Adiabaticity in a time dependent trap: a passage near continuum threshold
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We consider a time dependent trap externally manipulated in such a way that one of its bound states is brought up towards the continuum threshold, and then down again. We evaluate the probability $P^{\text{stay}}$ for a particle, initially in a bound state of the trap, to continue in it at the end of the passage. We use the Sturmian representation, whereby the problem is reduced to evaluating the reflecting coefficient of an absorbing potential. In the slow passage limit, $P^{\text{stay}}$ goes to 1 for a state turning before reaching the continuum threshold, and vanishes if the bound state crosses into the continuum. For a slowly moving state just "touching" the threshold $P^{\text{stay}}$ tends to a universal value of about 38\%, for a broad class of potentials. In the rapid passage limit, $P^{\text{stay}}$ depends on the choice of the potential. Various types of trapping potentials are considered, with an analytical solution obtained in the special case of a zero-range well.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent technological developments have renewed the interest in the dynamics of a particle, or particles, trapped in bound states of time dependent potentials. External manipulation of Hamiltonians with both discrete and continuum spectra routinely occur in applications such as metrology and quantum information processing. The presence of a continuum plays an important role in atom lasers \cite{1, 2}, in the preparation of atomic pulses with a known velocity distribution \cite{3}, or in the production of few-body number states \cite{4-8}. Quite often a continuum is responsible for undesirable loss of trapped particles, as it happens in transport of trapped ions, or in trapped ion atomic clocks. An obvious way to avoid such loss is to manipulate the trapping potential sufficiently slowly (adiabatically) so that the trapped particle would remain trapped throughout the evolution.

The question of adiabaticity in bound-to-continuum transitions, studied by various authors, \cite{9-12} leaves room for further discussion, even in regard to its formulation. As a trapping potential becomes shallower, a bound states is brought closer to the continuum, and eventually joins it. With this drastic reorganisation of the adiabatic spectrum, application of methods developed for levels crossing situation, such as the original Landau-Zener model \cite{13} and its numerous generalisations, is at best problematic. Moreover, in an experimental situation one is likely to control the shape of the trap, so that the evolution of the energy of the bound state near the continuum threshold, must be deduced from that of the potential. With this in mind, one may be interested in asking two distinct questions. Firstly, let the depth of the trap decrease linearly in time. When the evolution stops, what is the probability to remain in the modified bound state? Secondly, let the depth of the potential first decrease, and then increase again, e.g., being a quadratic function of time. What is the probability to remain in a bound state at the end of the passage? The first case was studied in \cite{14}. In this paper, we consider the second generic case, where a time dependent trap is manipulated in such manner, that a bound state completes a passage near the continuum threshold, first rising towards it, and then moving away again. There are three possibilities: the state may "turn" and begin the downward part of its journey before reaching the threshold. Alternatively, it can just "touch" the threshold once, or cross into the continuum temporarily, to reappear at a later time. In all cases we will want to know the probability for remaining in the initial state, or, more generally, inside the well, once the passage is completed.

As in \cite{14} we will employ the Sturmian technique, developed in Refs. \cite{15-18} for applications in the theory of atomic collisions. In this way, we reduce the problem of solving a time-dependent Schroedinger equation, to a simpler problem of determining the reflection coefficient of a complex valued "potential". This, in turn, will allow us gain further insight into what happens near a continuum threshold, and occasionally obtain an exact analytical solution to the problem.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: in Sect. II we will formulate the problem of a time dependent trap, which can lose a previously bound particle to the continuum. In Sect. III we introduce the Sturmian basis, and use it to expand the particle’s state. In Sect. VI we consider a zero-range well, and formulate the adiabatic condition for the passage. In Sect. V we solve the zero-range problem exactly for the case where the bound state just touches the continuum threshold. We will show that the probability to remain in the well is independent of the rate of change of the potential, and always equals approximately 38\%. In Sect. VI the general case for a zero-range potential is analysed. In Sect. VII we consider the Sturmian representation for a rectangular potential, and the corresponding adiabatic limit. In Sect. VIII we
employ the single-Sturmian approximation in order to
describe the particle’s evolution in a rectangular well. In
Sect. IX we show that the 38% rule of Sect. V applies
universally in the slow passage limit to a wide class of
potentials whose evolution is quadratic in time. Sect. X
contains our conclusions.

II. LOSS AND RECAPTURE OF PARTICLES
BY A TIME DEPENDENT POTENTIAL WELL

We start by considering a particle of a mass \( \mu \) in a
one-dimensional potential,

\[
V(x,t) = -W(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V^{(k)} t^k,
\]

where \( W(x) \) is normalised by the condition
\( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x) dx = 1 \). The potential is obtained by
varying the magnitude of a finite-range potential well
\(-W(x)<0\) by means of a time dependent factor, so that
whenever \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V^{(k)} t^k \) turns negative, \( V(x,t) \) becomes
a barrier, which doesn’t support bound states. The
question we will ask is the following one: if a particle is
put into one of the bound states of the well, \( \phi_n \), what is
the probability to still find it there at some time in the
future? The Schroedinger equation (SE) to be solved
has the form (we will use \( \hbar = 1 \))

\[
i\partial_t \Psi(x,t) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Psi + V(x,t) \Psi,
\]

and we will assume that the potential is a deep well in
the distant past and future, \( V(x,t) < 0 \) for \( t \to \pm \infty \). A
particle in a bound state \( \phi_n(x,t) \), \( \langle \phi_m | \phi_n \rangle = 1 \) with a
large negative energy \( E_m(t) < 0 \) should continue in it for
some time, before approaching the continuum threshold
\( E_0 \). For \( \Psi(x,t) \) in Eq. (3) we, therefore, write

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \Psi(x,t) = \exp[-i \int^{t}_{t'} E_n(t') dt'] \phi_n(x,t),
\]

Similarly, for \( t \to \infty \), we should have

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \Psi(x,t) = \sum_{n} A_{mn} \exp[-i \int^{t}_{t'} E_n(t') dt'] \phi_n(x,t) + \delta \Psi(x,t),
\]

where the first term corresponds to the particles which
remained in the well, although possibly not in the same
state, and \( \delta \Psi \) describes the particles lost to the contin-

tuum during the passage. Thus, the total probability for
the particle to stay in the well is given by

\[
P_{\text{stay}} = \sum_{n} P_{\text{stay}}^{mn} = \sum_{n} |A_{mn}|^2.
\]

In the following will will consider the simplest case of a
passage, which is quadratic in time,

\[
V(x,t) = (E - \nu^2 t^2) W(x),
\]

and of a particle trapped in an ascending bound state
in the distant past, which may remain trapped in one of
the descending states, or be ejected into the continuum as
\( t \to \infty \) (see Fig.1). In particular, the ground state of the
well (which in one dimension exists as long \( V(x,t) < 0 \))
will "turn" before reaching the continuum threshold if
\( E < 0 \), "just touch" it if \( E = 0 \), or, for \( E > 0 \), disappear
at \( t = -\sqrt{E}/\nu \), before re-appearing again at \( t = \sqrt{E}/\nu \).
In all three cases, we will be interested in the probabilities
\( P_{\text{stay}}^{mn} \) defined in Eq. (5).

III. STURMIAN EXPANSION OF THE TIME
DEPENDENT STATE

With the help of the Fourier transform,

\[
\Psi(x,t) = \int d\omega \exp(-i\omega t) \Psi(x,\omega),
\]

we rewrite Eq. (2) as

\[
\omega \Psi(x,\omega) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Psi - W(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-i)^k V^{(k)} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} \Psi.
\]

and look for a suitable basis in which to expand \( \Psi(x,\omega) \).
Using the set of the positive-energy scattering states de-
scribing particles incident on \( V(x,t) \) from left and right is
one option, yet there is a more convenient one. Particles
ejected from the well should be described by outgoing
waves on both sides of the potential. Sturmian basis sets
with the desired properties are well known in literature
[17]. They are obtained by imposing outgoing boundary
conditions, fixing the value of \( \omega \) in Eq. (6), and searching
for particular shapes of \( V_n(x,t) = \rho_n W(x) \), \( n = 1, 2, .. \)
such that the stationary SE

\[
-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} S_n/2 \mu + \rho_n W(x) S_n = \omega S_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2,..
\]
has a solution \( S_n(x, \omega) \) which satisfies the boundary conditions
\[
S_n(x, \omega) \sim \exp(\pm i \sqrt{2\mu \omega} x), \quad x \to \pm \infty. \tag{10}
\]
The Sturmian eigenfunctions \( S_n \) (also known as Sturmians) differ for positive and negative \( \omega \)'s. As seen from Eq.\,(10), for \( \omega < 0 \), all \( S_n(x) \) exponentially decay on both sides of the well, \( S_n(x) \sim \exp(-\sqrt{2\mu \omega} |x|) \) for \( |x| \to \infty \), so that \( \rho_n W(x) \) has a bound state at the chosen energy \( \omega \) \[19\]. For \( \omega > 0 \), a Sturmian contains outgoing travelling waves, \( S_n(x, \omega) \sim \exp(\pm i \sqrt{2\mu \omega} |x|) \) as \( x \to \pm \infty \).

This can only be the case if \( \rho_n W(x) \) is a complex valued emitting potential, which, in turn, requires \( \text{Im} \rho_n > 0 \) for \( \omega > 0 \). In general, as \( \omega \) changes from \(-\infty\) to \(+\infty\), a chosen \( \rho_n(\omega) \) traces a continuous trajectory in the complex \( \rho \)-plane.

From Eq.\,(9) follows an orthogonality relation
\[
(S_m(\omega)|S_n(\omega)) \equiv \int S_m(x, \omega) W(x) S_n(x, \omega) dx = \delta_{mn} \tag{11}
\]
where \( \delta_{mn} \) is the Kroneker delta.

The Sturmians are also known to form complete sets (we refer the reader to Ref.\,[17] for a detailed discussion). Thus, to construct a physical solution \( \Psi(x, t) \) describing particles which escape from the trap, we expand \( \Psi(x, \omega) \) in [5] in the basis of \( S_n \),
\[
\Psi(x, \omega) = \sum_n B_n(\omega) S_n(x, \omega), \tag{12}
\]
where the coefficients \( B_n(\omega) \) are to be determined. Inserting [12] into Eq.\,(8), after adding and subtracting \( \sum_n \rho_n W B_n S_n \), we have
\[
\sum_n \{ \sum_k (-i)^k V^{(k)}(x) \partial_k^2 [B_n(\omega) S_n(x, \omega)] + \rho_n W(x) B_n(\omega) S_n(x, \omega) \} = 0. \tag{13}
\]

In our quadratic case [4], multiplication of Eq.\,(13) by \( S_m(x, \omega) \) and integration over \( x \), yields the following set of equations for \( B_n(\omega) \),
\[
M_{mn}^{(0)\nu\nu} B_m^\nu + (\mathcal{E} - \rho_m) B_m = 0, \tag{14}
\]
where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to \( \omega \), and
\[
M_{mn}^{(j)} \equiv \int S_m(x, \omega) \partial^2 \omega S_n(x, \omega) dx \equiv (S_m(\omega)|S_n^{(j)\omega}(\omega)). \tag{15}
\]

Equations \[14\] and \[17\] are the main achievement of the Sturmian approach: the problem of solving a partial differential equation \[2\] is reduced to solving a system of second-order ordinary differential equations. With only few terms in Eqs.\,[14], usually needed, the Sturmian approach offers a significant computational advantage in case of many dimensions \[15\,18\]. In the one-dimensional case considered here, it can offer a further insight into the physics of scattering by time-dependent potentials and simplify calculations in certain limiting cases, as we will demonstrate next.

IV. QUADRATIC ZERO-RANGE MODEL. THE ADIABATIC LIMIT

We start with the simplest case of a zero-range potential,
\[
W(x) = \delta(x), \tag{16}
\]
which for \( \mathcal{E} - v^2 t^2 < 0 \) support a single adiabatic bound state \( (\theta(x) = 1 \text{ for } x \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise}) \)
\[
\phi_0(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu E_0(t)}} \left[ \exp(i \sqrt{2\mu E_0(t)} x) + \theta(-x) \exp(-i \sqrt{2\mu E_0(t)} x) \right], \tag{17}
\]
with an energy
\[
E_0(t) = -\mu (\mathcal{E} - v^2 t^2)^2/2. \tag{18}
\]
There is only one Sturmian \[14\,18\],
\[
S_0(x, \omega) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2\pi}} \exp(i \sqrt{2\mu \omega} x) + \theta(-x) \exp(-i \sqrt{2\mu \omega} x), \tag{19}
\]
and the corresponding Sturmian eigenvalue, given by
\[
\rho_0(\omega) = i \sqrt{2\omega/\mu}, \tag{20}
\]
is single-valued on a two-sheet Riemann surface \( \mathcal{R} \) of \( \sqrt{\omega} \), cut along the positive semi-axis. With no other Sturmians present, and \( M_{00}^{(2)} = 0 \) since \( S(0, \omega) = 1 \), taking complex conjugate of Eqs.\,[14] \[20\] yields
\[
B_0'' + v^2 q^2 B_0 = 0, \quad q(\omega) \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{E} - \rho_0^2(\omega)} \tag{21}
\]
must be integrated along the contour running along the real \( \omega \)-axis above the cut the first sheet of \( \mathcal{R} \), where \( S_0(x, \omega) \) satisfies the required outgoing/decaying waves boundary conditions \[10\].

Equation \[21\], which is exact, can now be read in a completely different manner. It has the form of a stationary SE describing a "particle" of a "mass" 1/2 with a "coordinate" \( \omega \), of an "energy" \( \mathcal{E} \), scattered by a "potential" \( W(\omega) = \rho_0(\omega) \), with \( v \) playing the of the "Planck constant" \( h \) \[21\]. We will always use the quotes when we refer to the fictitious "particle" in Eq.\,(21), in order to distinguish it from the real particle described by the SE [3]. We note that the "potential" \( W \), shown in Fig. 2, has a valley \( (\text{Re} W < 0, \text{Im} W = 0) \) for \( \omega < 0 \), and becomes purely absorbing, \( (\text{Re} W = 0, \text{Im} W < 0) \) for \( \omega > 0 \).

Properties of equations of the type \[21\] are well known (see, e.g., \[13\]). As \( \omega \to -\infty, q(\omega) \to \infty \), while \( W(\omega) \) becomes flatter, \( W(\omega) \sim 1/\sqrt{|\omega|} \to 0 \), so that \( B(\omega) \)
can be expressed in semiclassical form [13], in terms of "incoming" (+) and "outgoing" (-) "waves",

\[ B_0^* (\omega) \approx \frac{A_+}{\sqrt{q(\omega)}} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{\omega}^{\infty} q(\omega') d\omega' \right] + \frac{A_-}{\sqrt{q(\omega)}} \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{\omega}^{\infty} q(\omega') d\omega' \right], \quad \omega \to -\infty \tag{22} \]

where \( A_\pm \) are unknown constants to be determined. We do not expect the particle to acquire very high energy, and must, therefore, require that \( B(\omega) \to 0 \) as \( \omega \to \infty \). Thus, taking the principal branch of the square root in Eq. (21), we have

\[ B^* (\omega) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{q(\omega)}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{\omega}^{\infty} |q(\omega')| d\omega' \right], \quad \omega \to \infty. \tag{23} \]

Finally, inserting [12] and (22) into Eq. (7) we note that as \( t \to \pm \infty \) the integral over \( \omega \) may be evaluated by the stationary phase method [23], and as \( t \to -\infty \) we have (details are given in the Appendix)

\[ \Psi(x, t) \approx 2 \sqrt{\pi} A^+ \phi(x, t) \exp \left[ -i \int_{t}^{t'} E(t') dt' \right]. \tag{24} \]

This describes a particle trapped in the ascending bound state, which approaches the continuum threshold from below. Similarly, for \( t \to \infty \) we have

\[ \Psi(x, t) \approx 2 \sqrt{-\pi} A^- \phi(x, t) \exp \left[ -i \int_{t}^{t'} E(t') dt' \right] + \delta \Psi(x, t), \tag{25} \]

where first term describes a particle trapped in the descending bound state, moving away from the continuum threshold. For the probability to complete the passage, and remain in the bound state we, therefore, have

\[ P_{00}^{stay} = \frac{|A_-|^2}{|A_+|^2}, \tag{26} \]

which is less or equal to one, as guaranteed by the absorbing nature of the "potential" for \( x > 0 \).

Reduction of the original time dependent problem to the one of determining the reflection coefficient of a complex valued barrier allows us to prove the existence of the adiabatic limit in case the bound state "turns" without touching the continuum \( \mathcal{E} < 0 \). Now "absorption" represents the loss of the particle to the continuum, and to be absorbed, the "particle" must first cross the "classically forbidden region" (see Fig. 2), impenetrable in the "classically forbidden region" \( v \to 0 \). This is the adiabatic theorem. The behaviour for \( \mathcal{E} \geq 0 \) requires somewhat more attention, and we will consider it next.

V. A ZERO-RANGE WELL: JUST TOUCHING THE CONTINUUM

With \( \mathcal{E} = 0 \), we have \( E_0(t = 0) = 0 \), so the bound state of a zero-range well approaches the continuum threshold, and "touches" at the moment it "turns" to begin

the downward leg of its journey. In this special case the equation for \( B_0 \),

\[ B_0'' + b \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} B_0 = 0, \quad b = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu}} \exp(3\pi i/2)/\nu^2, \tag{27} \]

can be solved analytically in terms of the Bessel functions [24]. The solution which vanishes as \( \omega \to \infty \), is given by

\[ B(\omega) = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} H_{2/5}^{(1)}(z), \quad z \equiv \frac{2^{9/4}}{\nu^2} e^{3\pi i/4} \mathcal{E}^{5/4}, \tag{28} \]

where \( H_j^{(1)}(z), j = 1, 2 \) is the Hankel function of the \( j \)-th kind [25]. As \( \omega \to \infty \), we have (omitting inessential phase factors)

\[ B(\omega) \sim \omega^{-1/8} \exp[-(1 + i)K_5^{5/4}]_{\omega \to \infty} \to 0, \tag{29} \]

where \( K \equiv 2^{7/4}/5^{1/4} > 0 \). Equation (29) is readily recognised as a special case of Eq. (23), with \( q(\omega) = (2\omega/\mu)^{1/4} \exp(-i\pi/4) \). To find the asymptotic form of \( B(\omega) \) for \( \omega \to -\infty \), we use the formula connecting the values of \( H_{2/5}^{(1)}(z) \) on the ray \( z' = \rho \exp(3\pi i/4) \) with those along \( z = z' \exp(i\pi) = \rho \exp(7\pi i/4) \) (see [24], Sect. 3.62).

\[ H_{2/5}^{(1)}(z') = 2\cos(2\pi/5)H_{2/5}^{(1)}(z) + \exp(-2\pi i/5)H_{2/5}^{(2)}(z). \tag{30} \]

Recalling that \( H_{2/5}^{(2)}(z) \sim (2/\pi z)^{1/2} \exp[\pm i(z - \nu\pi/2 - \pi/2)] \) [25], and taking complex conjugate of Eq. (30), we

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{(Color online). Schematic diagram for the zero-range model. A "particle" with an "energy" \( \mathcal{E} \) is scattered by a complex-valued "potential", \( W \), whose real and imaginary parts are shown by the solid and dashed lines, respectively. Also indicated is the "classically forbidden region", separating a "particle" with \( \mathcal{E} < 0 \) from the "absorption" region \( \omega > 0 \). The inset shows the energy of the bound state given by (18), \( E_0(t) = \mu^{-1/5}v^{-4/5}E_0(t) \), as a function of. \( t = \mu^{1/5}v^{4/5}t \).}
\end{figure}
identify $H_{2/5}^{(2)}(z)$ with the "incoming wave" in Eq. (22), which gives

$$P_{00}^{\text{stay}}(v) = 4 \cos^2(2\pi/5) \approx 0.38197. \quad (31)$$

Thus, for a narrow well such that its bound state just "touches" the continuum at $t = 0$, the probability to remain in the well is independent of the rate of change of the potential. There is a perfect balance: a rapidly changing well is more likely to eject the particle into the continuum, yet the time the bound state spend near the threshold is short. If the well changes slowly, this time is longer, yet the particle is ejected less efficiently. As a result, there is no adiabatic limit as $v \to 0$, and the value of $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$ is always given by Eq. (31). Below we will show that Eq. (31) has a more general meaning, also beyond the zero-range model considered in this Section.

VI. A ZERO-RANGE WELL: THE GENERAL CASE

No analytic solution of Eq. (27) is known (at least to us) for $E \neq 0$, so the equation must be solved numerically. We note first that, for a narrow well (16), $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$ is determined by a single dimensionless parameter

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{\mu v^2}{\pi^{2/5}}. \quad (32)$$

Indeed, in the scaled variables $\tau = \mu^{1/5} v^{4/5} t$ and $y = \mu^{3/5} v^{2/5} x$, the SE reads $i \partial_\tau \Psi(y, \tau) = -\partial_y^2 \Psi/2 + \left(\gamma - \pi^2\right) \delta(y) \Psi$, and Eq. (27) only needs to be solved for $v = 1$, and various values of $E = \gamma$. The dependence of $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}(\gamma)$ on $\gamma$ is shown in Fig. 3. The probability tends to 1 for $\gamma \to -\infty$, where the "absorbing potential" in Fig. 2 is separated by a broad "classically forbidden" region. At $\gamma = 0$ the curve passes through the value given by Eq. (31), $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}(0) = 4 \cos^2(2\pi/5)$, and tends to zero as $\gamma \to \infty$, i.e., when the "particle" can penetrate deep into the "absorbing region", and nothing is "reflected".

Using Figure 3, it is easy to predict the behaviour of the retention probability $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$ as a function of $v$, for a given $E$. For $E < 0$, and $v \ll \mu |E|^{5/2}$ the passage will be adiabatic, with almost none of the particles lost. For $E > 0$ and $v \ll \mu |E|^{5/2}$, the bound state will disappear for a long time (see inset in Fig. 2), and none of the particles will be recovered when it finally reappears.

With $v \to \infty$, $\gamma$ will vanish for any choice of $E$, and we have

$$\lim_{v \to \infty} P_{00}^{\text{stay}}(E, v) = 4 \cos^2(2\pi/5) \quad (33)$$

so that a rapidly changing zero-range well will retain the particle in about 38% of all cases, regardless of the value of $E$. The dependence of $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}(E, v)$ on $v$ for different values of $E$ is shown in Fig. 4.

Finally, in order to study the evolution of the population $P_0(t)$ of the moving bound state, we solved numerically the original SE (3). The results shown in Fig. 5 demonstrate that $P_0(t) = |\langle 0|\Psi(t)\rangle|^2$ undergoes oscillations before reaching the asymptotic value $P_0(t) = P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$, when the bound state is well removed from the continuum.

VII. A RECTANGULAR WELL: THE ADIABATIC LIMIT

A more realistic case of a rectangular well of a width $2a$,

$$W(x) = \theta(x + a)\theta(a - x)/2a, \quad (34)$$

is somewhat more involved. There are two types of Sturmians, symmetric and antisymmetric about the origin, $S_n(x, \omega) = S_n(-x, \omega)$, and $T_n(x, \omega) = -T_n(-x, \omega)$. For
Thus, $t$ is real or complex, such that at a given energy $\omega$, the particle becomes bound at the top of the "potential surface" and, possibly, "outside" the well. There is also a possibility for hopping between the "surfaces", facilitated by matrix elements $M_{mn}$.

On each "surface", "absorption", possible for $\omega_{\pm}$ and $\omega_{\mp}$, the Sturmians $S_n^\mp(\omega)$ are coupled initially in a bound state symmetric about the origin. The corresponding Sturmian eigenvalues $\rho_n$, $n = 0, 2, 4, ...$, are then found by solving a transcendental equation,

$$\sin(p_n a)/\cos(p_n a) + ik/p_n = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

where

$$p_n(\omega) = \left(2\mu[\omega - \rho_n(\omega)]\right)^{1/2}, \ \text{and} \ k(\omega) = (2\mu\omega)^{1/2}. \hspace{1cm} (38)$$

Thus, $\rho_n(\omega)$, is the magnitude of the rectangular potential, real or complex, such that at a given energy $\omega$, there is a symmetric solution $S_n(x)$ of the SE, satisfying the boundary conditions $\partial_x S_n(0) = 0$, $\partial_x S_n(\pm a) = 0$.

The interpretation of equations for $B_n^\ast(\omega)$ is similar to that given in Sect. IV. One may think of a fictitious "particle" with an "energy" $E$ which can move on several complex valued "potential surfaces" $W_n(\omega) = p_n(\omega)$. On each "surface", "absorption", possible for $\omega > 0$, accounts for the loss of the real particle to the continuum. There is also a possibility for hopping between the "surfaces", facilitated by matrix elements $M_{mn}^{(1)}(\omega)$ and $M_{mn}^{(2)}(\omega)$. If a particle is prepared in the $m$-th state of the deep well, we must look for a solution of this "coupled channels problem" containing, as $\omega \to -\infty$, an incoming wave on the $m$-th "potential surface" and, possibly, "outgoing waves" in all other "channels",

$$B_n^\ast(\omega) \sim \frac{A_m^+}{\sqrt{q_m}} \exp[i\int_\omega^{\infty} q_m(\omega')d\omega'] +$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

$$\frac{A_m^-}{\sqrt{q_m}} \exp[-i\int_\omega^{\infty} q_m(\omega')d\omega'], \ \omega \to -\infty,$$

where $m, n = 0, 2, 4, ...$. The probabilities for a particle to start in the $m$-th, and end up in the $n$-th adiabatic bound states, are given by

$$P_{\text{stay}}^m = \frac{|A_m^-|^2}{|A_m^+|^2}, \ m, n = 0, 2, 4, ... \hspace{1cm} (40)$$

The "potentials" $W_n(\omega)$ are shown in Fig. 6 for $m = 0, 2, 4$. We have $\Im W_n(\pm) = 0$ for $\omega < 0$, where Sturmians are just bound states of a real potential well. We also note that

$$\lim_{\omega \to \pm \infty} \Re W_n(\omega) = \omega a - (2n + 1)^2\pi^2/2\mu a, \hspace{1cm} (41)$$

and

$$\lim_{\omega \to \infty} \Im W_n(\omega) = 0. \hspace{1cm} (42)$$

This is because for a large negative $\omega$, the Sturmians tend to the eigenstates of a potential box with infinite walls at $x = \pm a$. Since the energy of the state is $\omega$, $\rho_n$, is found by subtracting from $\omega$ the energy of the $n$-th state, as measured from the floor of the well. In the opposite limit, $\omega \to \infty$, the particle becomes bound at the top of an infinitely high rectangular barrier. These bound states, quantised between the sharp potential drops at

---

**FIG. 5.** (Color online) The population of the moving bound state, $P_0(t) = |\langle \phi_0(t)|\Psi(t) \rangle|^2$, vs. $\tau = \mu t^{1/5}$ for three values of $\gamma = E\mu^{2/5}v^{-2/5}$.

---

**FIG. 6.** (Color online) Real (a) and imaginary (b) parts of the "channel potentials" $W_n = \mu W_n$ vs. $\omega = \mu^{2/5}v$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$. In a), also shown by a dashed line is the large-$\omega$ asymptote of $\Re W_n$, $\omega a - \pi^2/2\mu a$. 

---

**TABLE 1.** Parameters for the Coupled Channels Problem

| $\mu$ | $a$ | $\omega$ |
|-------|-----|--------|
| 1.0   | 2.0 | -1.0   |
| 2.0   | 3.0 | 0.0    |
| 3.0   | 4.0 | 1.0    |
\[ x = \pm a, \text{ are essentially the same as those quantised between the walls of an infinite potential box} \] 
\[ S_n(x, \omega) \sim \theta(x + a)\theta(a - x)\cos[(2n + 1)\pi x/2a], \quad (43) \]

the matrix elements, coupling the "potential surfaces", vanish in the same limit,
\[ M_{m0}^{(1,2)}(\omega) \to 0, \quad \omega \to \pm \infty. \quad (44) \]

Both \( M_{m0}^{(1)} \) and \( M_{m0}^{(2)} \) are singular at the threshold \( \omega = 0 \), as is explained in the Appendix B. In particular, for \( M_{00}^{(2)} \), which will be required in the next Section, we find \( M_{00}^{(2)}(\omega) \sim \omega^{-1.5} \) (see Fig. 7).

We can now formulate the adiabatic limit for a particle prepared in the ground state of a rectangular well, \( m = 0 \), at \( t \to -\infty \), provided the state "turns" before reaching the continuum threshold, \( \mathcal{E} < 0 \). As in the case of the zero-range potential, the "absorbing region" is in Fig. 6 separated by a "classically forbidden region" and becomes inaccessible for a "particle" incident on the \( n = 0 \) "potential surface" as \( v \to 0 \). There is, however, a possibility to access the "absorbing potential" in Fig. 6 by hopping to a different "potential surface". But as \( v \to \infty \) the hopping also becomes improbable, since the solutions on different "surfaces" become highly oscillatory, and the integrals involving \( M_{0n}^{(1,2)}(\omega) \) vanish. We, therefore, have the adiabatic limit
\[ P_{0n}^{\text{stay}}(\mathcal{E} < 0, v \to 0) \to \delta_{0n}. \quad (45) \]

This result is easily extended to other initial states, \( m \neq 0 \). The behaviour for other values of \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( v \) requires more attention, and we will consider it next.

\section*{VIII. A RECTANGULAR WELL: THE SINGLE-STURMIAN APPROXIMATION}

The above discussion suggests that if the trapping potential changes sufficiently slowly, one can largely neglect scattering into other bound states of the well, thus leaving a few, or indeed just one, equation in \( |14| \). For a particle arriving in the adiabatic ground state, \( m = 0 \), we, therefore, write
\[ B_0^{\prime \prime} + [v^{-2}(\mathcal{E} - \rho_0^2) + (S_0)\partial^2(S_0)\partial \gamma^2]B_0^* = 0, \quad (46) \]

where we have retained the diagonal correction term \( M_{00}^{(2)}(\omega) \). With no analytical solution available for Eq. (46), we have to solve it numerically.

In the dimensionless variables \( \tau = 4ma^2t \), \( y = x/2a \), \( \mathcal{E} = 4\mu\mathcal{E}, \) and \( \tau = 8\mu^{1/2}a^2\nu \) the SE (2) reads \( i\partial\Psi(y, \tau) = -\partial^2\Psi/2 + [\mathcal{E} - \tau^2\nu^2]\partial(y + 1/2)\theta(1/2 - y)\Psi \), and we must solve Eq. (46) for a particle of a unit mass in a well of a unit length, replacing \( \omega \) with \( \mu a^2\omega \). The results for \( P_{0n}^{\text{stay}} \) are shown in Fig. 8 together with the exact curves, obtained by solving numerically the original SE (2).

The exact results are worth a brief discussion. For for the ground state just "touching" the continuum threshold, \( \mathcal{E} = 0 \), the \( P_{00}^{\text{stay}} \) tends to the constant value in Eq. (31),
\[ P_{00}^{\text{stay}}(\mathcal{E} = 0, \tau \to 0) \to 4\cos^2(2\pi/5). \quad (47) \]

This can be understood by scaling the variables in Eq. (2) in a different way, so as to put to unity the particle's mass \( m \) as well as \( v \), i.e., \( \tau = m^{1/5}v^{4/5}t \), i.e., \( y = m^{3/5}v^{2/5}x \). With this we also have \( W(y) = \theta(y + \mu^{3/5}v^{2/5}a)\theta(\mu^{3/5}v^{2/5}a - y)/2\mu^{3/5}v^{2/5}a \). As \( v \to 0 \), the width of \( W(y) \) tends to zero, and we recover the zero-range result (47), which holds universally for all values of \( v \) and, in particular, for \( v = 1 \).

For a rapidly changing rectangular trap, \( \tau \to \infty \), the particle always returns to the well, regardless of whether the adiabatic state "turns" before touching the continuum, just touches it, or even disappears for a while. An yet different type of scaling can be used to explain why. Putting to unity the well's width as well as \( v \), we have a particle of a mass \( \mu = 4a^2v^{2/3}/\mu \), and a new parameter, \( \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}/v^{2/3} \). As \( v \to \infty \), we have a picture of a very heavy particle, \( \mu \to \infty \), brought to the continuum threshold, \( \mathcal{E} \to 0 \), and then down again. The massive particle has no chance to escape, and we have
\[ P_{00}^{\text{stay}}(\mathcal{E}, \tau \to \infty) \to 1, \quad (48) \]

which holds for all finite values of \( \mathcal{E} \).

Finally, if a bound state disappears, the particle's state is a wave packet of continuos states, which spends a duration of \( 2\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}/v \) spreading away from the region. For \( v \to 0 \) the time of spreading is very long, so that little is recaptured after the bound state reappears at \( t = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}/v \). Thus we have
\[ P_{00}^{\text{stay}}(\mathcal{E} > 0, \tau \to 0) \to 0. \quad (49) \]
The single-Sturmian approximation for $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$, obtained by solving Eq. (46), is in good agreement with the exact result for $\tau \lesssim 40$. Comparing the two curves with the total probability to stay in the well, $P_{00}^{\text{stay}} = \sum_n P_{0n}^{\text{stay}}$, shown in the inset in Fig. 8 helps identify three approximate regimes.

A) Slow passage. For $\tau \lesssim 5$, we have $P_{00}^{\text{stay}} \approx P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$. The loss and recapture of particles is determined by interaction of a single bound state with the continuum. There is no scattering into other bound states. Mathematically, the problem reduces to solving a single equation (46) (see Fig. 9a). This suggests that a downward bound initial state recaptures some of the particles, and later each new bound state, which enters the deepening well, scoops some more (see Fig. 9b). This regime can be described by solving Eqs. (46), iteratively, using the solution of (46) as an initial approximation.

B) Intermediate passage. For $5 \lesssim \tau \lesssim 80$, we have $P_{00}^{\text{stay}} - P_{00}^{\text{stay}} < 1$, with $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$ is correctly described by Eq. (46). This suggests that a downward bound initial state recaptures some of the particles, and later each new bound state, which enters the deepening well, scoops some more (see Fig. 9b). This regime can be described by solving Eqs. (46), iteratively, using the solution of (46) as an initial approximation.

C) Rapid passage. For $80 \lesssim \tau$ we find notable discrepancies between the single-Sturmian approximation for $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$, and the exact result. This indicates that the loss to continuum is accompanied also by transitions between different bound states. Mathematically, this requires solution of the full "coupled channels problem" (14) (see Fig. 9c). We note that in the case of several spatial dimensions reduction of the original problem (2) to that of solving a system of ordinary differential equations may be a significant simplification.

IX. UNIVERSALITY OF THE "38% RULE" IN THE $v \to 0$ LIMIT

We have shown that in the two cases considered above, there is no conventional adiabatic limit for a ground state just touching the continuum threshold. Rather, as $v \to 0$, the probability to remain in the state is given by Eq. (31), and equals approximately 38%. It easy to show that, for a quadratic evolution (6), this result holds true in one dimension for a finite-width potential of an arbitrary form. Indeed, scaling the time and coordinate so as to put unity $v$ and the particle's mass $\mu$, while maintaining the normalisation $\int W(x)dx = 1$,

$$\tau = \mu^{1/5} v^{4/5} \tau, \quad y = \mu^{2/5} v^{2/5} x,$$

converts the SE (2) ($V(0) = 0$) into

$$i\partial_t \Psi(x, t) = -\partial_y^2 \Psi/2 + \frac{1}{2} W(y) \Psi,$$

where $W(y) \equiv \mu^{-3/5} v^{-2/5} W(\mu^{-3/5} v^{-2/5} y)$. As $v \to 0$, we have $\Psi(y) \to \delta(y)$ for any choice of $W(x)$, so that $P_{00}^{\text{stay}}$ is given by Eq. (31). To illustrate this, we plotted the results for a rectangular well (34), and a cut-off parabolic potential

$$W(x) = 1.5[\theta(x + a)\theta(a - x)]x^2/a^3,$$

in Fig. 10a. The case where the $m$-th excited state of the well touches the continuum requires more attention. Let the evolution of the potential be such that at $t = 0$, in the potential $-V(0)W(x)$, we have $E_m = 0$. Returning to Eq. (14) we note that as $v \to 0$, the last sum in it may be neglected. Also, in this limit "absorption" of the "particle" occurs in a small vicinity of $\omega = 0$. Thus, if we can demonstrate that, for $\omega \approx 0$, $B_m(\omega)$ satisfies Eq. (27), obtained earlier for a zero-range well, we will have also proven that $P_{nm}(v \to 0) = 4 \cos^2(2\pi/5)$, for all $m$'s and all potential shapes.
To show that this is the case, we use the standard approach, commonly used to describe potential scattering at low energies [22]. To this end, we will consider a potential \( \rho W(x) \), whose \( m \)-th bound state lies just below the threshold, construct a continuum state for a small positive energy, \( \omega > 0 \), and look for the condition under which the scattering amplitude diverges, \( S(E, \rho) \to \infty \). Namely, our state will have the form

\[
\phi_m(x, \rho) \sim \exp[-\kappa(x)],
\]

for \( x \approx a \), which we denote \( -\kappa \), so that \( \phi_m(x, \rho) \sim \exp[-\kappa(x)] \).

In the low energy limit, \( ka \ll 1 \), the wave length of the particle is large, and the well is characterised by a single parameter, the logarithmic derivative of the bound state’s wave function at \( x = \pm a \), which we denote \( -\kappa \), so that \( \phi_m(x, \rho) \sim \exp[-\kappa(x)] \).

We note that \( \kappa \) depends on the potential shape via \( \rho \), but not on the energy \( \omega \), as long as \( \omega \) is small. Matching the log-derivatives at \( x = \pm a \), and using \( ka >> 1 \) then yields

\[
S(E, \rho) = \frac{ik - \kappa(\rho)}{ik + \kappa(\rho)},
\]

which diverges whenever \( ik + \kappa(\rho) = 0 \). The condition is usually used to obtain the pole in the complex \( \omega \)-plane, given a real value of \( \rho \) [22]. We, on the other hand, require the value of \( \rho \), given a real value of \( \omega \), and need to make an additional assumption about how \( \kappa \) depends on \( \rho \).

The scattering length, defined as \( L = -1/\kappa \), is known to remain real, diverge, and change its sign as the shallow bound state moves toward the continuum threshold, and eventually becomes a virtual state [22]. Thus, we will assume \( \kappa \) to be a linear function of \( \rho \),

\[
\kappa(\rho) \approx C(\rho - \rho^0),
\]

where \( C > 0 \) is a real constant, and \( E_m(\rho^0) = 0 \). Solving the pole condition \( ik + \kappa(\rho) = 0 \) for \( \rho \), we have

\[
\rho_m(\omega) = i\sqrt{2\mu/\rho_m} - \rho_m^0,
\]

where we recalled that our derivation is for a particle prepared in the \( m \)-th state of the deep well, and added the index \( m \), where required. Inserting (55) into Eqs. (14), neglecting all but one of them, and noting that \( V(\varepsilon) = \rho_m^0 \), for \( \omega \approx 0 \) we have

\[
B_m'' + c\sqrt{\omega}B_m = 0, \quad c = -i\sqrt{2\mu/C_m}\nu^2
\]

The similarity between Eqs. (27) and (57) in the region of interest allow us to conclude that for any state \( \phi_m \), \( m = 0, 1, 2..., \) "touching the continuum threshold"

\[
\lim_{\nu \to 0} P_{\text{stay}}^{\text{stay}} = 4\cos^2(2\pi/5)\delta_{mn} \approx 0.3819 \times \delta_{mn} \quad \text{(57)}
\]

This general result is valid for any potential, provided the scattering length \( L \) has a simple pole when the bound state \( \phi_m \) joins the continuum, \( L(\rho) \sim 1/\rho - \rho^0 \), where \( E_m(\rho^0) = 0 \). This condition is fulfilled, for example, for a rectangular well [64], with the results for various excited states, obtained by integrating Eq. (16), shown in Fig. 10b.

\section{SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.}

In summary, we have analysed, in one dimension, the evolution of a particle prepared in a bound state of a trapping potential, whose magnitude has a simple maximum at \( t = 0 \), as described by Eq. (6). There are three possible scenarios for the state, which first approaches the continuum threshold, and then moves away from it. It may (i) turn before reaching the continuum threshold, (ii) just touch it once, or (iii) cross the threshold and temporarily disappear. Whether the particle remains in the trap, or is lost to the continuum, depends on how fast is the variation of the trapping potential.

In the slow passage limit, the particle always remains in its initial \( \text{(mth state)} \), provided the state "turns" before reaching the threshold, in accordance with the Adiabatic Theorem. If the state touches the threshold, the probability to remain in it \( P_{\text{stay}}^{\text{stay}} \) is approximately 38%. This result holds universally for all excited states and various potentials, under a very general assumption about the behaviour of the scattering length (54), and replaces the conventional adiabatic limit. If the bound state disappears for while, a particle ejected into the continuum has sufficient time to move away from the potential. Thus, there is 100% loss to the continuum, and nothing is recovered when the state reappears.

In the rapid passage limit, the outcome depends on the choice of the potential. Thus, for a zero-range well, \( P_{\text{stay}}^{\text{stay}} \) tends to the same 38% limit, regardless of whether the bound state turns, touches the threshold, or crosses it. This appears to be a consequence of a perfect balance between the time a bound state of a \( \delta \)-well spends near
the threshold, and the efficiency with which the particle is ejected. On the other hand, in the case of a rectangular potential, a rapidly evolving well always retains the particle in its original state, whichever the fate of the bound state.

The general case of a passage which is neither slow nor fast is conveniently studied in the Sturmian representation. Unless the potential changes very rapidly, it is sufficient to employ only one Sturmian state, and the task of solving the time-dependent SE [2] reduces to that of evaluating the reflection coefficient of a complex valued "potential", where absorption of a fictitious "particle" accounts for the loss of the real particle to the continuum. For larger values of $v$, several Sturmian states need to be taken into account, and the picture is that of a "particle" capable to moving on several absorbing "potential surfaces". In general, one can loosely identify three different regimes. If the passage is sufficiently slow, the state ejects the particles on its way up, and then recovers some of them on its way down. For faster variations, the original state recovers its share of the particles, while more particles are scooped by other states, which enter the well as its depth increases. At yet larger $v$'s, the loss to the continuum is accompanied by scattering into other bound states, and one needs to solve a full "coupled channels problem" [14].

Verification of the above theory is within the capabilities of modern experimental techniques, e.g., of the laser-based methods for containing cold atoms in quasi-one-dimensional traps. In spite of a practical difficulty of assuring that the state just touches the threshold, this result should be amenable to an experimental verification. Figure 10b shows $P_{00}^{t_{ad}}$, for a state that turns shortly before reaching the continuum, $E = -0.015$, closely follows the $E = 0$ curve before shooting up to its adiabatic limit $P_{00}^{t_{ad}} = 1$ for very small values of $v$. Thus, the condition $E$ can be fulfilled approximately, provided $v$ is chosen to be not too small.

Among other advantages offered by the Sturmian technique is a simple interpretation of the adiabatic condition for a state which turns before reaching the threshold. In this case, in order to absorb the fictitious "particle" must first cross a "classically forbidden region" in Fig. 2. With $v$ playing the role of a "Planck’s constant", this becomes improbable, if the passage is slow. How $P_{nn}^{t_{ad}}$ tends to the adiabatic limit as $v \to 0$ can then be studied by evaluating the corresponding phase integrals. We will consider this in our future work, together with extending the analysis to several spatial dimensions, different temporal evolutions, and the case of several identical bosons trapped in the same bound state.
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XII. APPENDIX A.

For a $t > 0$, the stationary phase approximation to the integral [7] evaluated along the contour specified in Sect. IV is given by

\[
I(t) = \int_{\Gamma} q(\omega)^{-1/2} S(\omega, x) \exp[-i\omega t + i \int_{\omega_0}^{\omega} q(\omega')d\omega'] \, d\omega
\]

\[
\approx [2\pi i/q(\omega_s)]^{1/2} S(\omega_s, x) \exp[i\Phi(\omega_s, t)],
\]

(58)

where $\Phi(\omega, t) = -i\omega t + \int_{\omega_0}^{\omega} q(\omega')d\omega'$, and $\omega_s < 0$ is defined by

\[
q(\omega_s) = t.
\]

(59)

Given the time evolution of the magnitude of the $\delta$-potential, there are three quantities, each of which can be used as an independent variable. These are the time itself, $\tau$, the well’s depth $V(\tau) = E_0 - v^2 \tau^2$, and the energy of the adiabatic bound state supported by the well, $E(\tau) = -\mu V(\tau)/2$. It is readily seen that $q(\omega)$ in Eq. (21) gives the time $\tau$, at which $E(\tau(\omega)) = \omega$

\[
q(\omega) = \tau(\omega) = [E - i\sqrt{2\omega/\mu}]^{1/2}/v
\]

(60)

Let the lower limit in the integral in the exponent in Eq. (58) be $\omega_0 = -\mu E^2/2$ if $E \geq 0$, and 0 otherwise. This ensures that $q(\omega)$ is always real non-negative for $\omega < 0$. Changing variables $\omega \rightarrow \tau(\omega)$, and integrating by parts, we have

\[
\int_{\tau(\omega)}^{\tau(\omega_0)} \tau d\omega = \tau E(\tau)|_{\tau=\tau(\omega)} - \int_{\tau(\omega_0)}^{\tau(\omega)} E(\tau) d\tau.
\]

(61)

With $\tau(\omega) = t$, and either $\tau(\omega_0)$ or $E(\tau(\omega_0))$ vanishing, we have

\[
\Phi(\omega_s) = -\int_{\omega_0}^{t} E(\tau) d\tau.
\]

(62)

For the second derivative of the phase, $\Phi''(\omega_s)$, and the pre-exponential factor, we obtain

\[
\Phi''(\omega_s) = q''(\omega_s) = [dE(\tau)/d\tau]_{\tau=\tau(\omega)}^{-1} = -[2\mu v^2 t (\Omega_0 + v^2 t^2)]^{-1} = -[2v^2 t \sqrt{-2\mu E(t)}]^{-1}.
\]

(63)

\[
g(\omega_s) = S(x, \omega_s)/\sqrt{q(\omega_s)} = S(x, E(t))/\sqrt{t}
\]

(64)

Inserting [62], [63] and [64] into [58], and using [17] yields the term which multiplies $A_1$ in Eq. (25). Equation (24) for a $t < 0$ can now be obtained as complex conjugate of Eq. (60).
XIII. APPENDIX B.

For a rectangular potential of a unit width, $a = 1/2$, and a particle of a unit mass, $\mu = 1$, we have

\[
(S_m(\omega)|S_n(\omega')) = \frac{F((p_m+p_n)/2) + F((p_m-p_n)/2)}{[F(p_m)F(p_n)]^{1/2}} \equiv G(p_m,p_n),
\]

where $p_m(\omega) = [2(\omega - \rho_m(\omega))]^{1/2}$, $p_n(\omega') = [2(\omega - \rho_n(\omega'))]^{1/2}$, and $F(x) \equiv \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$. Thus, the coupling matrix elements are given by

\[
M_{mn}^{(1)} = \frac{\partial G(p_m,p_n)}{\partial p_n} \frac{dp_n}{d\omega'}|_{\omega'=\omega}
\]

and

\[
M_{mn}^{(2)} = \frac{\partial^2 G(p_m,p_n)}{\partial p_n^2} \frac{dp_n}{d\omega'(\omega)} + \frac{\partial G(p_m,p_n)}{\partial p_n^2} \frac{d^2 p_n}{d\omega'^2} \frac{dp_n}{d\omega'}|_{\omega'=\omega}
\]

The divergencies of $M_{mn}^{(1,2)}$ at $\omega = 2$ come from the derivative of $p_n$, which has a branching singularity at $\omega' = 0$. It follows from Eq. (67) that, as $\omega' \to 0$, $p_0 \sim \omega^{1/4}$, and $p_{n\neq 0} \sim \omega^{1/2}$. Therefore, for $\omega \to 0$ we obtain $[M_{mn}^{(1)} = 0$, since $(S_m(\omega)|S_n(\omega)) = 1$

\[
M_{m0}^{(1)} \sim \omega^{-3/4}, \quad M_{mn}^{(1)} \sim \omega^{-1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad m \neq 0, n. \quad (68)
\]

Similarly, since the first term in Eq. (67) vanishes for $n = m$,

\[
M_{00}^{(2)} \sim \omega^{-1.5}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_{mn}^{(2)} \sim \omega^{-1} \quad \text{for} \quad m \neq 0. \quad (69)
\]

For $m \neq n$ the first term in Eq. (67) dominates, which leads to

\[
M_{m0}^{(2)} \sim \omega^{-1.75}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_{mn}^{(2)} \sim \omega^{-1.5} \quad \text{for} \quad m \neq 0. \quad (70)
\]
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