Major Chromosomal Rearrangements Distinguish Willow and Poplar After the Ancestral “Salicoid” Genome Duplication
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Abstract

Populus (poplar) and Salix (willow) are sister genera in the Salicaceae family. In both lineages extant species are predominantly diploid. Genome analysis previously revealed that the two lineages originated from a common tetraploid ancestor. In this study, we conducted a syntenic comparison of the corresponding 19 chromosome members of the poplar and willow genomes. Our observations revealed that almost every chromosomal segment had a parallel paralogous segment elsewhere in the genomes, and the two lineages shared a similar syntenic pinwheel pattern for most of the chromosomes, which indicated that the two lineages diverged after the genome reorganization in the common progenitor. The pinwheel patterns showed distinct differences for two chromosome pairs in each lineage. Further analysis detected two major interchromosomal rearrangements that distinguished the karyotypes of willow and poplar. Chromosome I of willow was a conjunction of poplar chromosome XVI and the lower portion of poplar chromosome I, whereas willow chromosome XVI corresponded to the upper portion of poplar chromosome I. Scientists have suggested that Populus is evolutionarily more primitive than Salix. Therefore, we propose that, after the “salicoid” duplication event, fission and fusion of the ancestral chromosomes first give rise to the diploid progenitor of extant Populus species. During the evolutionary process, fission and fusion of poplar chromosomes I and XVI subsequently give rise to the progenitor of extant Salix species. This study contributes to an improved understanding of genome divergence after ancient genome duplication in closely related lineages of higher plants.
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Introduction

Angiosperms, comprising approximately 420,000 extant species (Govaerts 2001), are the largest and most diverse group of terrestrial plants, and exhibit remarkable diversity in morphology, adaptations, genome size, and chromosome number. Modern angiosperms are derived from a common ancestor during the early Cretaceous period in about 150–300 million years ago (Ma) based on fossil records (Friis et al. 2006). Genomic analysis has revealed that the angiosperm genome has experienced one or more episodes of polyploidy in their evolutionary history (De Bodt et al. 2005; Tate et al. 2006). Subsequent to the polyploidizations events, substantial chromosomal reshuffling during genome stabilization has played a critical role in speciation in closely related lineages (Town et al. 2006; Tuskan et al. 2006; Velasco et al. 2010; Cheng et al. 2013). For example, genome comparison of Brassica oleracea and its sister species B. rapahas has revealed that 19 major and numerous fine-scale chromosome rearrangements contribute to the divergence of the two species (Liu et al. 2014). In addition, fusion of two chromosomes into a single chromosome is known to have occurred in many closely related plant taxa. Particularly striking...
examples have been observed in grasses. Five, three, and two dysploid reductions (i.e., reduction in chromosome number) are reported to have taken place by a process during which an entire chromosome is inserted by its telomeres into the centromeric region of another chromosome in finger millet (Srinivasachary et al. 2007), sorghum, and Triticeae (Luo et al. 2009), respectively. This process is suggested to be the dominant evolutionary mechanism in grass family (Tuskan et al. 2006). Poplar and willow each possess a relatively small genome, which together with increased research attention and the rapidly growing availability of genomic resources, leading to the emergence of Salicaceae species as model systems for genetic research on woody plants. The genomes of willow and poplar species have been sequenced and are publicly available (Tuskan et al. 2006; Dai et al. 2014). Genomic analysis has revealed that the two lineages share a common whole-genome duplication event, termed the “salicoid” duplication, which occurred around 58 Ma (Tuskan et al. 2006; Dai et al. 2014). Salix and Populus are indicated to have diverged from a common paleotetraploid ancestor approximately 6 Ma after the “salicoid” duplication event (Tuskan et al. 2006; Dai et al. 2014). Cytogenetic studies show that both genera predominantly comprise diploids and typically have a basic haploid chromosome number of \( n = 19 \) (Blackburn and Harrison 1924).

Thus, after the “salicoid” duplication event, genome diploidization is presumed to have occurred during the evolutionary process, accompanied by intensive chromosomal reshuffling. Sequencing of the genome of Populus trichocarpa has confirmed the intensive chromosomal rearrangements and the tandem fusions of ancestral chromosome blocks, for example, chromosome I in modern poplar is indicated to be derived from multiple rearrangements involving three major tandem fusions (Tuskan et al. 2006). Comparative genetic mapping indicates that fission or fusion of chromosome members has occurred in willow and poplar (Berlin et al. 2010). Moreover, multiple lines of evidence indicate that different autosomes have evolved into sex chromosomes in the two lineages subsequent to their divergence (Hou et al. 2015; Pucholt et al. 2015). However, a genome-wide comparison of sequence divergence between Populus and Salix has not been conducted previously.

Using the sequenced individual as the maternal parent, we previously established a large mapping pedigree for Salix suchoensis, and constructed highly saturated genetic maps for the maternal and paternal parents separately (Hou et al. 2015). Based on the established maps, sequence scaffolds of S. suchoensis were anchored along each chromosome for the female and male willow (Hou et al. 2015). In the present study, we integrated the sequence scaffolds anchored along each chromosome in the female and male S. suchoensis. Together with the anchored sequence scaffolds in the P. trichocarpa genome (Tuskan et al. 2006; Yin et al. 2008), it is feasible to perform genome-wide syntenic comparison of the corresponding chromosomes in willow and poplar. Using the most up-to-date genome assemblies for willow and poplar, we conducted intragenome and intergenome syntenic comparisons among the 19 chromosome members to elucidate the genomic mechanism that contributed to the divergence of Populus and Salix. The results contribute valuable information to improve our understanding of genome dynamics in closely related plant lineages subsequent to ancient genome duplication.

Materials and Methods

Integration of Sequence Scaffolds of S. suchoensis

In a previous study, highly saturated genetic maps for the maternal and paternal parents were built separately for a full-sib mapping pedigree of S. suchoensis by using single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers genotyped by Illumina resequencing and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers, in which the sequenced individual was the maternal parent (Hou et al. 2015). Willow species are outbreeding, and heterozygosity varies among loci on each chromosome. In map construction, if the genotype of a SNP marker is \( \text{Im} \times \text{Il} \) (heterozygous in the female, homozygous in the male), the marker will be mapped on the maternal map; if the genotype is \( \text{nn} \times \text{np} \) (heterozygous in the male, homozygous in the female), the corresponding marker will be mapped on the paternal map. In mapping the sequence scaffolds, if a scaffold only contains mapped \( \text{Im} \times \text{Il} \) loci, it will be anchored on a chromosome in the female willow (female-mapped scaffold); if a scaffold merely contains mapped \( \text{nn} \times \text{np} \) loci, it will be anchored on a chromosome in the male willow (male-mapped scaffold); if a scaffold contains both the mapped \( \text{Im} \times \text{Il} \) and the mapped \( \text{nn} \times \text{np} \) loci, it will be simultaneously anchored on chromosomes in the female and male willows (female-male-mapped scaffold). By using female-male-mapped scaffolds as references, we integrated female-mapped scaffolds and male-mapped scaffolds on each chromosome based on their relative genetic distances from the shared female-male-mapped scaffolds. Given the recombination heterogeneity between the female and male willows, the relative genetic distances in the male were scaled according to those in the female. Willow sequence assemblies were generated by Dai et al. (2014), which were conducted independently without taking poplar genome as reference. In the chromosome reconstructions, the non-capture gaps between the anchored sequence scaffolds were represented by 100 letters of “N”. Chromosome identities of willow were designated based on sequence homology to chromosome sequences of P. trichocarpa (http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/pages/dynamicOrganismDownload.jsf?organism=P.trichocarpa, last accessed June 8, 2016).
Intragenome and Intergenome Syntenic Comparisons

Genome sequences and protein sequences of *S. suchowensis* were downloaded from the website (http://115.29.234.170/willow, last accessed June 8, 2016). The corresponding information for *P. trichocarpa* was retrieved from the Joint Genome Institute, United States Department of Energy website (http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/pages/dynamicOrganismDownload.jsf?organism=P.trichocarpa, last accessed June 8, 2016). Intragenome and intergenome syntenic comparisons were performed with MCScanX (Wang et al. 2012) and conducted according to the pipeline as described by Hou et al. (2015). Syntelogs related to the “salicoid” duplication were further screened based on the Ks values, which were calculated by using KaKs_Calculator 2.0 (Wang et al. 2010). Range of Ks values related to the “salicoid” duplication were determined based on the Ks values distribution for willow and poplar separately. According to Cui et al. (2006), Ks values that were <0.005 were discarded. Collinearity charts were drawn using the software package VGSC (a web-based Vector Graph toolkit for displaying genome Synteny and Collinearity; available at http://bio.njfu.edu.cn/vgsc-web/, last accessed June 8, 2016) (Xu et al. 2016).

Experimental Verification of Interchromosomal Rearrangements Between Willow and Poplar

The chromosomes in Salicaceae species are typically metacentric and small (Blackburn and Harrison 1924; Nakajima 1937). Divergence took place over 50 Ma, therefore fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis was unsuitable to obtain cytological evidence for interchromosomal rearrangements between willow and poplar. Based on the homology between chromosomes I and XVI of willow and poplar, we divided poplar chromosome I into regions A and B (boundary at 21,383,037 bp), and designated poplar chromosome XVI as region C (fig. 3). The boundary between homologous regions A and C in willow was at 11,107,087 bp on willow chromosome I, and the homologous region B in willow corresponded to willow chromosome XVI (fig. 3). For verification of the interchromosomal rearrangements between these two genomes, we developed simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers in regions A, B, and C on poplar chromosomes I and XVI (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online), and mapped these markers to the genetic map of willow to verify their correspondence in the willow genome. First, SSRs on poplar chromosomes I and XVI obtained from the SSR primers database established by Yin et al. (2009) were searched against the willow genome sequence. The SSR primer pairs with sequences conserved in willow and poplar were identified, and a subset of primer pairs were selected and synthesized by the Generay Biotechnology Company, Shanghai, China. Marker segregation was tested using DNA isolated from the two parents and six progeny in the *S. suchowensis* mapping population of Hou et al. (2015). The SSR primer pairs that generated segregating loci were genotyped by using 92 progeny from the same pedigree. For SSR genotyping, GeneMapper version 3.7 Software, (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) was used to score the amplicons. The segregating SSR markers were linked to the willow linkage map built by Hou et al. (2015) with MapMaker version 3.0 (Lander et al. 1987). Examination of whether poplar-origin SSRs were located in the corresponding regions on the genetic map and reconstructed pseudomolecules of willow enabled validation of major interchromosomal rearrangements between poplar and willow.

Results

Integration of Sequence Scaffolds of *S. suchowensis*

Integration analysis enabled a total of 1,025 sequence scaffolds to be anchored on the 19 chromosomes (Assembly ver2.0; http://bio.njfu.edu.cn/willow_chromosome, last accessed June 8, 2016). The anchored sequence scaffolds covered a total physical distance of 229.2 Mb, which accounted for about 75.4% (229.2/303.8 Mb) of the total sequence scaffold assemblies of *S. suchowensis* generated by Dai et al. (2014). The number of sequence scaffolds on each chromosome and their physical spans varied greatly among the chromosome members. At the extremes, chromosome XII contained the least number of anchored sequence scaffolds (44) and spanned the shortest physical length (7.3 Mb). By contrast, chromosome I contained the highest number of sequence scaffolds (118) and covered the longest physical distance (26.3 Mb), which was about double the average physical length of the chromosome reconstructions. This biggest chromosome was commonly observed in many previous cytological studies. Size of this gigantic chromosome is reported to be almost double the size of the other chromosomes in various Salicaceae species (Blackburn and Harrison 1924; Erlanson and Hermann 1927; Muntzing 1936; Nakajima 1937; Johnsson 1940). According to Dai et al. (2014), the number of genes contained in each chromosome reconstruction ranged from 684 to 2,642, with a total number of 24,931 genes (table 1), which covered 93.7% of the total number of genes in the willow genome.

Intragenome and Intergenome Syntenic Comparisons

According to Tang et al. (2008), the overall median Ks value of the duplicated genes related to the γ triplication event is 1.54, and that associated with the “salicoid” duplication is 0.27 for *Populus*. By plotting the Ks values of syntelogs, the highly peaks appear between 0.00 and 1.00 both in willow and poplar, and the distributions can model as normals (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). We consider Ks values in range of $\mu \pm 2.58\sigma$ are associated with the “salicoid” duplication. It was determined that syntelogs with Ks values in range of 0.037–0.734 and 0.005–0.607 were associated with the “salicoid” duplication in willow and poplar, respectively (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online).
Collinearity charts were drawn by using syntelogs with Ks values in the corresponding range for each genome. According to the pinwheel charts of *Populus*, the distribution of syntenic blocks among chromosome members are generally consistent with that revealed in the previous report (Tuskan et al. 2006), only with a few fine-scale inconsistencies, which might due to the changes in the updated *Populus* genome assembly. Similar as that in *Populus*, many chromosomal segments are also found to have a parallel paralogous segment in *Salix* genome. Comparison of the pinwheel charts of the corresponding chromosomes between poplar and willow revealed that the two genomes shared almost identical pinwheel patterns for 15 chromosomes, consisting of chromosomes II, IV, V, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII, XIII, XIV, XV, XVII, XVIII, and XIX (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). However, the pinwheel patterns differed between the genomes for four chromosomes, namely chromosomes I and XVI. To verify this hypothesis, we conducted syntenic comparisons for the corresponding chromosomes in the willow and poplar genome (fig. 2). This analysis revealed macrosynteny for most of the corresponding chromosome members. However, no homology was detected between poplar chromosome XVI and willow chromosome XVI, and similarly between the upper portion of poplar chromosome I and the upper portion of willow chromosome I. Referring to the pinwheel charts of these chromosomes, poplar chromosome XVI showed high homology with the upper portion of willow chromosome I, and willow chromosome XVI showed high homology with the upper portion of poplar chromosome I (fig. 2). This finding provided evidence for chromosome fission and fusion involving chromosomes I and XVI between the two genomes.

In addition to these major interchromosomal rearrangements, both the intragenome and intergenome syntenic comparisons indicated that several chromosomes have experienced minor reorganizational exchanges between these two lineages (fig. 2 and supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online).

Experimental Verification of Interchromosomal Rearrangements Between Willow and Poplar

By blasting a total of 2,000 poplar SSR primers, which were selected from regions A, B, and C in the poplar SSR primers database (Yin et al. 2009), against the willow genome sequence, we detected 56 universal SSR primers that were transferable between willow and poplar. Twenty-five universal SSR primers were transferable between willow and poplar. Twenty-five unique SSR primers were transferable between willow and poplar.

### Table 1

| Chromosome No. | Number of Anchored Scaffolds | Length of the Chromosome Reconstructions (bp) | Average Length of Anchored Scaffolds (bp) | Number of Genes Contained in Each Chromosome |
|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| I              | 118                          | 26,293,657                                  | 222,828                                  | 2,642                                       |
| II             | 93                           | 16,228,781                                  | 174,503                                  | 1,844                                       |
| III            | 24                           | 12,249,699                                  | 510,404                                  | 1,399                                       |
| IV             | 46                           | 13,408,292                                  | 291,485                                  | 1,422                                       |
| V              | 44                           | 13,917,003                                  | 316,296                                  | 1,581                                       |
| VI             | 25                           | 16,519,934                                  | 660,797                                  | 1,884                                       |
| VII            | 48                           | 8,250,254                                   | 171,880                                  | 856                                         |
| VIII           | 23                           | 12,035,713                                  | 523,292                                  | 1,444                                       |
| IX             | 13                           | 9,584,840                                   | 737,295                                  | 1,174                                       |
| X              | 30                           | 14,178,701                                  | 472,623                                  | 1,725                                       |
| XI             | 99                           | 11,081,354                                  | 111,933                                  | 1,011                                       |
| XII            | 44                           | 7,280,141                                   | 165,458                                  | 684                                         |
| XIII           | 100                          | 10,740,571                                  | 107,406                                  | 1,061                                       |
| XIV            | 20                           | 10,550,056                                  | 527,503                                  | 1,260                                       |
| XV             | 71                           | 8,695,248                                   | 122,468                                  | 964                                         |
| XVI            | 29                           | 13,231,175                                  | 456,247                                  | 1,606                                       |
| XVII           | 70                           | 8,535,887                                   | 121,941                                  | 841                                         |
| XVIII          | 44                           | 8,151,547                                   | 185,262                                  | 797                                         |
| XIX            | 84                           | 8,222,739                                   | 97,890                                   | 736                                         |
| Total          | 1,025                        | 229,155,592                                 | 5,977,511                                 | 24,931                                      |
primers were located in poplar region A and all were physically mapped to the homologous region A in willow; 16 universal SSR primers were located in poplar region B and all were physically mapped to the homologous region B in willow; the remaining 15 universal SSR primers were located in poplar region C and all were physically mapped to the homologous region C in willow (fig. 3 and supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online).

The universal SSR primer pairs were synthesized and subjected to a segregation test in the pedigree mapping population of willow. Ten universal primers from region A, two universal primers from region B and three universal primers from region C generated segregating loci in willow. The SSR primer pairs that generated segregating loci were genotyped with 92 progeny from the willow mapping pedigree, and the obtained SSR markers were integrated into the genetic map of willow (Hou et al. 2015). On the integrated map, the boundary between the genetic intervals corresponding to homologous region A and homologous region C in willow was at 58.7 cM on LG_I, while LG_XVI covered the complete homologous region B in willow (fig. 3). Mapping results showed that the 10 segregating primers from poplar region A were mapped between 63.9 and 215.8 cM on LG_I of willow, the two segregating primers from poplar region B were mapped between 22.1 and 25.4 cM on LG_XVI of willow, and the three segregating primers from poplar region C were mapped between 54.5 and 55.6 cM on LG_I of willow (fig. 3). Therefore, all of the segregating SSR markers that originated from the target region in poplar were mapped in the expected region on the genetic map of willow. This finding verified that the detected major interchromosomal rearrangements did not result from misconnection of chromosomal blocks across the relevant chromosome members in either of the two genomes.

Discussion

Modern poplars and willows are generally diploids, and possess a basic haploid chromosome number of \( n = 19 \). Analysis of the poplar genome sequence reveals that nearly every chromosomal segment in \( \text{Populus} \) genome have a parallel paralogous segment elsewhere in the genome as a result of the “salicoid” duplication event according to Tuskan et al. (2006). The collinearity of genetic maps among multiple \( \text{Populus} \) species suggests that genome reorganization occurred before the evolution of extant \( \text{Populus} \) species (Tuskan et al. 2006). Alignment of \( \text{Salix} \) linkage maps with the \( \text{Populus} \) genome sequence reveals macrosynteny between the willow and poplar genomes (Hanley et al. 2006; Berlin et al. 2010). A number of studies have confirmed that \( \text{Populus} \) and \( \text{Salix} \) share this lineage-specific “salicoid” duplication (Hanley et al. 2006; Tuskan et al. 2006; Berlin et al. 2010; Dai et al. 2014). Thus these two lineages originate from a common tetraploid ancestor. By contrast, modern Salicaceae species generally appear as diploids. After the “salicoid” duplication, genome diploidization must have occurred through a genome reorganization process in the genome of their progenitor. If genome reorganization occurs independently after the divergence of willow and poplar, the distribution of syntenic blocks...
among chromosome members between these two lineages should be different by large. However, similar distribution pattern was observed on the majority of chromosome members between these two genomes (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online), which indicated that divergence of *Salix* and *Populus* took place after the genome reorganization in their common progenitor. On the pinwheel charts of both willow and poplar, it was noticeable that most of the duplicated segments were distributed in either the central or the telomeric region of the other chromosome. Luo et al. (2009) reported that in *Aegilops tauschii* major translocation breakpoints occurred in the telomeric or centromeric regions, and resulted in fusion of telomeric with telomeric, telomeric with centromeric, or centromeric with centromeric breakpoints. Therefore, we speculate that a similar mechanism also trigger the genome reorganization in the progenitor of modern willows and poplars.

Apart from the similarities between the two genomes, we detected two major interchromosomal rearrangements associated with chromosomes I and XVI of willow and poplar, which indicated that chromosomal fission and fusion had occurred involving the associated chromosomes between the two genomes (fig. 3). The rearrangements might have arisen through a process (“poplar-to-willow”) that involved poplar chromosome I breaking into two parts; subsequently, the lower portion (region A) was terminally joined with poplar chromosome XVI (region C), giving rise to willow chromosome I (fig. 2), whereas the upper portion (region B) of poplar chromosome I gave rise to willow chromosome XVI. Alternatively, the rearrangements might have resulted from a process (“willow-to-poplar”) that involved willow chromosome I breaking into two parts; the lower portion (homologous region A) was terminally joined with willow chromosome XVI (homologous region B), giving rise to chromosome I in poplar (fig. 2), and the upper portion (homologous region C) of willow chromosome I gave rise to poplar chromosome XVI. Fusion of chromosomes has occurred frequently during the evolution of higher plants, such as in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, in which the \( n = 5 \) genome is considered to be derived from an ancestral \( n = 8 \) genome. This process involved at least three chromosomal fusions that resulted from reciprocal translocations between two ancestral chromosomes, after a pericentric...
inversion converted one chromosome into an acrocentric chromosome (Lysak et al. 2006). An additional striking example among grasses has been reported, in which chromosomal fusions are proposed to have occurred through a process in which an entire chromosome was inserted by its telomeres into the centromeric region of another chromosome (Srinivasachary et al. 2007; Luo et al. 2009). Such a process also led to the transition from nine ancestral chromosomes to the \( n = 17 \) karyotype of extant Pyreae. In that instance, chromosome XV was proposed to have arisen from the translocation of an entire copy of chromosome IX into the centromeric region of chromosome VIII after a relatively recent genome-wide duplication in the apple genome (Velasco et al. 2010). In the present study, we propose that a major translocation breakpoint occurred in the centromeric region of poplar chromosome I, and subsequently the lower portion fused with a telomeric breakpoint of poplar chromosome XVI, which gave rise to willow chromosome I. Thus, the proposed mechanism of chromosomal fusion that contributed to the divergence of Salix and Populus differed from those responsible in Arabidopsis, extant Pyreae and grasses.

Although genome comparisons reveal that Salix and Populus share the same large-scale genomic history, the phylogenetic history of Salicaceae is not altogether clear. Dorn (1976) and Skvortsov (1999) suggested that Populus is evolutionarily more primitive than Salix, and certain morphological features point towards willows having arisen from the most advanced group in section Populus (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). Therefore, we propose that the “poplar-to-willow” process is more likely to underlie the divergence of these sister genera. As envisaged in the “poplar-to-willow” process, fission and fusion of the ancestral chromosomes first gave rise to the diploid progenitor of modern Populus after the “salicoid” duplication event. Subsequently, chromosomal fission and fusion involving poplar chromosomes I and XVI was manifested in the progenitor of modern Salix. According to Dai et al. (2014), this additional round of chromosomal rearrangements causing Salix diverged from Populus was estimated to have occurred approximately 6 Ma after the “salicoid” duplication event based on the 4DTv values of the orthologous pairs between these two lineages. In addition to the major interchromosomal rearrangements, several chromosomes are indicated to have experienced minor reorganizational exchanges within and between the two genomes, which might have resulted from fine-scale chromosomal rearrangements or be associated with the activities of transposons. However, the observed fine-scale rearrangements might be artifacts due to limitation in the precision of the genetic maps.

In conclusion, in this study we obtained evidence for the genomic mechanism that contributed to the divergence of the willow and poplar lineages after the “salicoid” duplication event. The results contribute to an improved understanding of how chromosomal rearrangements may promote the divergence of closely related lineages of higher plants.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary figures S1 and S2 and tables S1 and S2 are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online (http://www.gbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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