Multimodal Imaging and Phototherapy of Cancer and Bacterial Infection by Graphene and Related Nanocomposites
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Abstract: The advancements in nanotechnology and nanomedicine are projected to solve many glitches in medicine, especially in the fields of cancer and infectious diseases, which are ranked in the top five most dangerous deadly diseases worldwide by the WHO. There is great concern to eradicate these problems with accurate diagnosis and therapies. Among many developed therapeutic models, near infra-red mediated phototherapy is a non-invasive technique used to invade many persistent tumors and bacterial infections with less inflammation compared with traditional therapeutic models such as radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and surgeries. Herein, we firstly summarize the up-to-date research on graphene phototheranostics for a better understanding of this field of research. We discuss the preparation and functionalization of graphene nanomaterials with various biocompatible components, such as metals, metal oxides, polymers, photosensitizers, and drugs, through covalent and noncovalent approaches. The multifunctional nanographene is used to diagnose the disease with confocal laser scanning microscopy, magnetic resonance imaging computed tomography, positron emission tomography, photoacoustic imaging, Raman, and ToF-SMIS to visualize inside the biological system for imaging-guided therapy are discussed. Further, treatment of disease by photothermal and photodynamic therapies against different cancers and bacterial infections are carefully conferred herein along with challenges and future perspectives.
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1. Introduction

Humankind have faced many threats, especially from cancer and infectious diseases, in the past and in the current times. These problems have remained persistent for many decades. Science has provided remedies alongside many religious beliefs, especially during the pandemic times. This scenario increased the need for non-invasive, economic, therapeutic models to fight cancer, Alzheimer’s disease, cardiovascular disease, influenza, COVID-19, and other microbial infections, and existing diseases [1–4]. Scientific advancements are required to find solutions to these problems. Innovations in science have provided many therapeutic models, such as chemotherapy and surgeries, after traditional treatment methods such as Chinese medicine and Indian. Innovations in nanotechnology and nanomedicine aim to provide better solutions in medicine [5–8]. Nanotechnology offers small size delivery systems inside cellular and subcellular levels owing to high surface area to carry many therapeutic drugs with biocompatibility and inherent theranostic properties [9].

Theranostics is an emerging field in nanomedicine which may provide simple, economic diagnoses and therapy solutions to many cancers and infectious diseases. Rather than rely on single diagnosis and therapy models, multiple practices are important to provide accurate results of disease confirmation and cure. Nanomaterials with multiple diagnosis and therapeutic characteristics are highly desired in nanomedicine [10,11].
current diagnosis techniques for cancer and infectious diseases in research are Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM), Magnetic Resonance imaging (MRI), Computed Tomography (CT), Positron Emission Tomography (PET), Raman, and Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (ToF-SIMS). However, each model has its own advantages and disadvantage [12,13]. Other than multiple imaging guided techniques, multiple therapeutic models are also important, and chemotherapy, immunotherapy, gene therapy, and surgeries which can provide good results [14–17]. However, these treatments may prone to some kind of tissue damage and inevitable side effects [18–21].

In recent years, phototherapy has become emerging research topic in nanomedicine to treat cancer and bacterial infections [22]. Phototherapy is a non-invasive technique due to its usage of low laser powers and short time interactions to the patient [23]. This is due to the utilization of low energy NIR light which has better tissue penetration in biological systems than visible and UV light, which may burn the skin and harm the patient [24]. Any system which can absorb NIR light and create a local heat to burn tumors and bacterial cells would be beneficial to nanomedicine [25]. Many nanomaterials with different size, shape, and biofunctionality have been demonstrated to target cancer and bacterial invasion [26–28]. The most successful photo and chemotherapeutic nanomaterials, such as Au, Ag, Fe, carbon, and polymeric nanomaterials, are well studied [29]. Due to its very good biocompatibility, low toxicity, tunable size, and high surface areas, we selected 2D graphene and reviewed the status quo of this nanomaterial in nanomedicine and theranostics [30].

Graphene is an allotropic form of carbon where the carbons are arranged in a 2D hexagonal chicken-net-like network which can offer high surface area, better electrical and thermal conductivity with optical transparency, and tuneable surface functionality with the olefin carbon network [31,32]. The intriguing properties of size, shape, and toxicity of graphene and graphene-related nanomaterials, such as graphene oxide (GO), reduced graphene oxide (RGO), and functionalized graphene nanocomposites (GNCs), are investigated in this review for multimodal imaging guided targeted phototherapy. Herein, we discuss the preparation of GNCs functionalization with many metals, metal oxides, polymers, photsensitizers, as well as other therapeutic drugs by covalent and non-covalent approaches to treat malignant tumors and antibiotic resistant bacterial infections by NIR triggered photothermal therapy (PTT) and photodynamic therapy (PDT) as well as synergistic effects of other combination therapies (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Graphene nanocomposites for multimodal imaging guided therapy.

2. Preparation of Graphene Nanocomposites

2.1. Graphene Oxide

GO belongs to the graphene family which is a densely packed honeycomb-like structure made from a sheet of sp² and sp³ bonded carbon atoms. Graphene nanomaterials have benefits such as high mechanical strength, Young’s modulus, surface area, conductivity, and carrier mobility, making them a perfect nanomaterial for various applications [33]. Graphene and its derivatives are widely used due to their excellent inherent properties and
extraordinary composition in drug delivery, cancer treatment, biosensing, and bioimaging. Apart from these advantages of GO, the study has also focused on its toxicity and demonstrates GNCs are less toxic than carbon nanotubes. This outcome supports the use of GNCs for cancer and hyperthermia treatment [34]. Scheme 2 presents the various types of graphene and their composites, preparation, and biological applications. Types, preparation, properties, functionalization, and focused therapeutic applications of graphene nanomaterials are also shown in Scheme 2. Researchers are currently giving particular attention to the preparation of single-layered GO from graphite, by using strong oxidizing agents and concentrated acids, because of its extensive applications in the biomedical field [35]. GO contains epoxy, carboxyl, carbonyl, and hydroxyl functional groups that make it hydrophilic and biocompatible [33].

The graphene was synthesized by various methods in which the top–down and bottom–up approaches are generally used. In the top–down method, discrete graphene sheets are synthesized by breaking a stacked layer of graphite. The top–down approach includes micromechanical cleavage, thermal reduction, and electrochemical exfoliation whereas, chemical vapor deposition is included in the bottom–up approach [35]. Among the several preparation methods of graphene, the reduction of GO has gained significant attention because of its low-cost, ease of implementation, as well as variety of reducing agents and synthesis procedures [36]. Moreover, for the preparation of GO the Staudenmaier method, Brodie method, Hummers’ method and their modified versions are well known and widely used [37]. However, the Hummers’ method showed the degree of oxidation to be more compared with the other methods [38]. In brief, in the Hummers’ method the graphite flakes were mixed with H₂SO₄ and NaNO₃ solution under an ice bath. Then, KMnO₄ was added to the above mixture with constant stirring. Due to the addition of KMnO₄, the solution became brown. Next, that solution was diluted with water and then treated with hydrogen peroxide. Lastly, the product was washed with distilled water and 10% HCl solution to remove impurities. An improved form of the Hummers’ method for the preparation of GO was reported, by improving the oxidation with the addition of extra KMnO₄ without NaN₃ addition, and a reaction was carried out in H₂SO₄/H₃PO₄ with a 9:1 ratio. This improved form of the Hummers’ method showed an even carbon network, more oxidized hydrophilic carbon, and no toxic gas production during preparation [39].

Scheme 2. Exfoliation of graphite into graphene, GO, RGO, and GNCs as well as phototheranostic properties. MOs—metal oxides.
nanomaterials were characterized. Moreover, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), thermo-gravimetric analysis (TGA), differential scanning calorimeter (DSG), and atomic force microscopy (AFM) were used to evaluate graphene-based nanomaterials [40].

Graphene and GO have more surface area and strong light absorption properties, hence being considered ideal applicants in cancer therapy. Moreover, graphene has been confirmed to possess better photothermal anticancer efficiency than carbon nanotubes. The authors also concluded easy preparation, low cost, and low toxicity made graphene-based nanomaterials an ideal candidate for cancer treatment [34]. A later work evaluating the cytotoxicity of GO and GO loaded with doxorubicin (DOX) on human multiple myeloma cells suggested low-cytotoxicity GO as a suitable nanocarrier for anticancer drug [41]. Moreover, further work to improve GO biocompatibility was carried out by its initial conjugation with NH$_2$-PEG3500-maleimide. Then, functionalization was performed using peptide (integrin αβ6-specific HK) through maleimide-thiol coupling, and finally, HPPH was loaded on GO-PEG-HK via π−π stacking (Figure 1A). GO(HPPH)-PEG-HK was capable of killing the tumor cells and lung metastasis [42].

A novel mechanochemical method was developed to synthesize GO-Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposites [45]. An efficient, nontoxic PEGylated GO/epirubicin was designed to destruct tumor cells [46]. In addition, hypocrellin A (HA) was loaded onto GO for anticancer treatment. The carboxyl, hydroxyl, and epoxide groups present on GO were linked with the quinone portion of HA via hydrogen bonding, as shown in Figure 1B [43]. Moreover, a PAH/FA/PEG/GO siRNA complex for gene delivery consistin of a GO monolayer delivering HDAC1 and K-Ras siRNAs to target pancreatic cancer cells was reported. The detailed synthesis procedure for PAH/FA/PEG/GO siRNA is shown in Figure 1C [44]. The combined use of PEG and grafted GO (pGO) enhanced its aqueous stability followed by loading of pGO with chlorin e6 (Ce6) photosensitizer and doxorubicin (DOX). Higher photodynamic anticancer effects as compared with Ce6/pGO or DOX/pGO were found [47]. Additionally, a covalently bonded biocompatible GO-PEG showed toxicity for lung cancer A549 and human breast cancer MCF-7 cells. Further, paclitaxel (PTX) was conjugated with GO-PEG via π−π stacking and hydrophobic interactions, and the results showed high toxicity to A549 and MCF-7 cells [48].
FePt-DMSA/GO-PEG-FA (iron platinum-dimercaptosuccinic acid/PEGylated GO-folic acid) composite was reported [49]. For the breast cancer cells, a PEGylated nGO loaded with PS and two-photon (TP) compound was prepared. The results showed that GO-PEG (TP) has the capability to kill breast cancer cells (4T1) at a 980 nm laser irradiation [50].

For bacterial infection phototherapy, a variety of metals and metal oxides were loaded onto graphene as antibacterial agents. Briefly, the TiO$_2$-Ag/graphene as a ternary nanocomposite was synthesized and its photodynamic effect was carried on E. coli bacteria and A375 (melanoma), HaCaT (keratinocyte) cells. The results suggested the ternary composite could be applied for bacterial keratosis or skin tumors [51]. Additionally, different metals such as Zn, Ni, Sn, and steel were coated with GO (Figure 2A). The different metals have different capacities to fight against bacteria: GO-Zn acts as a better antibacterial agent than GO-Ni, followed by GO-Sn and GO-steel [52]. Moreover, a ZnO/GO nanocomposite prepared by loading green-synthesized ZnO NPs to GO nanosheets (Hummers’ method) (Figure 2B) has the capacity to kill the bacteria and also serves as an anticancer drug [53].

Additional advantages of graphene include (1) cross-linked capability with polymers, (2) admirable biocompatibility in in vitro and in vivo, and (3) more surface area, specifically graphene sheets. Hence it makes more contact with the bacteria and leads to more pronounced antibacterial effect. Considering all these advantages, a boronic acid-functionalized graphene and combined with quaternary ammonium salt (B-CG-QAS) acted as a multidrug-resistant to bacterial infection [54]. In addition, GO-PEI-GQDs via layer-by-layer deposition [55] and polyvinyl-N-carbazole-GO (PVK-GO) nanocomposite [56] were also reported. The variation in antibacterial effect due to variation in the combination of the substrate with GO was noticed. The GO fixed titanium with enhanced photoacoustic performance (GO-EPD) showed enhanced antibacterial, activity followed by GO-APS (GO-electrostatic interaction) and GO-D (GO-gravitational effect) [57].
2.2. Reduced Graphene Oxide

The RGO has been used in drug delivery, bioimaging, and anticancer applications due to its high electrical and thermal conductivity. However, GO has less NIR absorption capacity than RGO. Moreover, RGO is superior for high photothermal conversion and optical properties. The hydrophilic nature of RGO is essential in medical applications; hence, several efforts have been researched to enhance its hydrophilicity [58]. Furthermore, RGO was synthesized by chemical or thermal reduction of GO or graphite oxide. The hydrazine, hydrazine hydrate, sodium borohydride, and L-ascorbic acid are used as reducing agents during RGO synthesis [59]. Moreover, the plant extract is also used for the synthesis of RGO due to its non-toxicity, cost-effectiveness, biocompatibility, and environment-friendly nature over chemical and physical approaches (see Scheme 2). As per the report, these biomolecules, such as amino acids, bovine serum albumin, humanin, glucose, melatonin, and ascorbic acid, interact with functional groups present in RGO [60]. Furthermore, humanin has been used for the green synthesis of RGO [61]. Chitosan was used to combine with RGO to reduce and stabilize the GO as well as entrap DOX and IR820 dye. The in vitro and in vivo results confirmed the chit-RGO-DOX-IR820 was applicable for cancer theranostics [62].

Various studies have reported the increased effectiveness of cancer treatment on combination therapies. For instance, GO (from graphene flakes) was partially reduced with NaOH and chloroacetic acid followed by surface modification to form FP-PrGO-Ce6-AuNR by depositing gold nanorods (AuNR) onto FP-PrGO-Ce6. The FP-PrGO-Ce6-AuNR nanocarrier acted as a targeting agent for anticancer theranostics [63]. Moreover, RGO-coated polydopamine doped mesoporous silica was used for anticancer treatment. The RGO/MSN/PDA-loaded DOX helps photothermal activity and shows an antitumor effect [64]. A green approach used an environmentally friendly, non-toxic, natural phenolic resveratrol compound instead of hydrazine and hydrogen sulfide for the formation of RGO [65]. Recently, an HSA/RGO/Cladophora glomerata bio-nano composite was prepared as a PS for study using L929, HeLa cancer cell line, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Staphylococcus aureus bacteria. The results showed that the synthesized composite has the capacity to kill bacteria with demonstrated photothermal activity [66].

For bacterial infection problems, silver is a well-known antibacterial agent. Moreover, combination therapies showed increased antibacterial properties. In addition, RGO induces photothermal effect for bacterial treatment. For instance, RGO/Ag composite was prepared as an antibacterial agent [67]. Moreover, the RGO-Cu$_2$O nanocomposite was synthesized to fight against bacteria [68]. The GO/nitrogen-doped carbon dots/hydroxyapatite/titanium film (GO/NCD/Hap/Ti) showed a PTT/PDT approach to bacterial infection [69].

2.3. Functionalization

The synthesis of stable and functional GNCs is the most crucial aspect of the biomedical field. Though GO and RGO are reported as good PTT agents, its NIR absorption capability has to be improved further for more efficient phototherapy results. Moreover, RGO is hydrophobic, which limits its application during cancer treatment [37]. Hence, to fulfill these drawbacks, surface functionalization is the best option in the medical field to treat cancerous cells. Generally, the surface functionalization was carried out by covalent and non-covalent interactions. Non-covalent bonding includes electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding, π-π stacking, and van der Waals interactions. For example, a MFG (magnetic and fluorescent graphene)-SiNc$_4$ (silicon naphthalocyanine bis(trihexylsilyloxide) via covalent and non-covalent π-π stacking was prepared. MFG showed flat NIR absorption and was reported to have a remarkable PTT/PDT in HeLa cancer cells [70]. GO quantum dots (GOQDs) using hypocretin A (HA) for loading via π-π interaction were applied to detect cancer cells [71]. In addition, nucleophilic substitution, condensation, and electrophilic addition offer alternative paths for the covalent functionalization of GO.

Alternative approaches, such as GO with polyamidoamine dendrimer (GO-PAMAM) loaded with DOX and MMP-9 shRNA plasmid (Figure 3A), were applied for the treatment
of breast cancer cells [72]. Moreover, the NGO-COOH prepared using Hummers’ method was functionalized with a Gd-DTPA dendrimer and finally loaded with the anticancer drugs epirubicin (EPI) and Let-7g miRNA (Figure 3B) to treat cancer cells [73]. Moreover, the GO-PLL(poly-L-lysine)/DOX/ZnPc acts as an admirable anticancer carrier to transport DOX and ZnPc to detect cancer cells. The synthesized nanocomplex shows not only anticancer activity but also photodynamic and chemotherapeutic effects against cancer cells [74]. Moreover, the GO firstly composited with carboxymethyl chitosan (CMC) followed by conjugation with hyaluronic acid (HA) and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FI) to prepare GO-CMC-FI-HA/DOX. The results proved that the nanocomplex can be used as an anticancer drug with controlled release [75].

Figure 3. (A) Synthetic route of PAMAM 3.0G and GO-PAMAM [72]. (B) Schematic of the procedure for preparation of Gd-NGO/Let-7g/EPI [73]. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Refs. [72,73]. Copyright 2017, copyright Elsevier. Copy right 2014, copyright Elsevier.

The increase in bacterial infections is a serious problem for human health. Hence, the synthesis of multifunctional materials would be beneficial for surgical operations. Concerning this situation, GO functionalized (noncovalent) PEGylated phthalocyanines were synthesized for antibacterial phototherapy (ZnPc-TEGMME@GO) (Figure 4A) [76]. Moreover, RGO was functionalized with polycationic poly-L-lysine (PLL) to increase its drug loading capability with colloidal stability, as shown in Figure 4B. Further, RGO-PLL was labelled with anti-HER2 to form a bond with HER2 receptors to detect breast cancer cells [77]. The GO/AuNRs was synthesized and functionalized with polystyrene sulfonate (PSS) which showed tumor-killing capacity [78]. Furthermore, functionalization of RGO with hyaluronic acid increases the stability and cytotocompatibility, as well as induce cancer cell ablation [79]. Moreover, the ZnO QDs-GO nanocomposite was prepared as an antibacterial agent. The author has combined chitosan with ZnOQDs@GO to enhance drug delivery capacity, biodegradability, and biocompatibility [80].
Molecules 2022, 27, x FOR PEER REVIEW 8 of 34

**Figure 4.** (A) Schematic Illustration of the Preparation of ZnPc-TEGMME@GO [76]. (B) Synthesis of anti-HER2-rGO-PLL is performed in two steps: (I) GO is functionalized with PLL under alkaline conditions followed by NaBH₄ reduction to form rGO-PLL and (II) rGO-PLL is subsequently conjugated with anti-HER2 antibodies via glutaldehyde bifunctional linkers [77]. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Refs. [76,77]. Copyright 2017, copyright ACS. Copyright 2016, copyright Elsevier.

3. Graphene Nanocomposite Theranostics for Multimodal Imaging Guided Phototherapy

Nanomaterials-based theranostics are the future of personalized medicine as a single nanoplatform can provide multiple imaging and therapies in a short time by simplifying the cost and the amount of the drug required for multiple diseases [81]. Multimodal imaging-based diagnosis is the most reliable technique to identify the problems in cancer- and bacteria-infected patients, and it will be helpful to surgeons and clinicians to make better predictions and conclusions about the problem, thus will improve treatment confidence. Several imaging techniques have been adopted in the research, such as CLSM, MRI, CT, PET, PAI, Raman, ToF-SIMS, and other imaging techniques for early diagnosis (Scheme 3) [82–85].

Phototherapy (PT) involves light interaction (Vis-NIR) with nanomaterials to generate heat or reactive oxygen to destruct cancer and bacterial infection. If the therapy process involves generation of heat from nanomaterials which can suppress or burn the tumor/bacteria is called PTT. If the PT involves reactive oxygen species (ROS) and singlet oxygen (¹O₂) generation to destruct the cellular components, it is called PDT. If the nanomaterial inherently cannot generate ROS and ¹O₂, it has to functionalize with PS [70]. The NIR light has high tissue penetration and low absorption by the biological medium. Hence, we usually adopt the NIR lasers for PT. Apart from PT, combination therapies with chemo and gene therapies could also enhance the treatment results [86]. Various PT agents have been explored by researchers including inorganic, organic, and composite nanomaterials [24]. The extensive publication record of functionalized nanographene composites on imaging guide therapy shows they are a focus in this field of theranostics due to their effectiveness in disease eradication. To overcome the individual drawbacks of diagnosis and therapy, integration of independent techniques has become a major challenge in nanomedicine.
When the nanodrug is added to the cells before going to the CLSM, optical microscopes—Au nanomaterials are said to be a hallmark for imaging guided therapy due to their tunable size and variable colors with stable fluorescence emissions [87]. On the other hand, its toxicity issues lead to a focus on alternate materials. In this perspective, Au nanomaterials are said to be a hallmark for imaging guided therapy due to their tunable size and stable emissions with good biocompatibility [88,89]. However, carbon-based nanomaterials, such as graphene and CQDs, are emerging and attractive nanomaterials due to their high surface area and versatile surface chemistry to functionalize inorganic and organic imaging and drug molecules for multimodal imaging-guided therapy for cancer and bacterial infection. They are also reported to be highly biocompatible and antibacterial [90]. In order to be an imaging probe, graphene must be functionalized with luminescent inorganic or organic materials. In pioneering works by Dai et al. on the preparation of nanoGO-based imaging probes for imaging and therapy of cancer, GO was functionalized with PEG- and B-cell-specific Rituxan antibody for targeted cell imaging and cancer therapy [91,92]. Later, GO was functionalized with PEG and fluorescein to make the GO as highly biocompatible and fluorescent to monitor its internalization into the cells. Few more GO-based fluorescence imaging probes have been successfully reported [93,94].

We prepared a multifunctional graphene (MFG) by functionalization with polyacrylic acid, FeNPs, and fluorescein ortho-methacrylate to impart both magnetic and fluorescence properties for CLSM imaging in HeLa cells and in zebrafish whole-body imaging (Figure 5). The MFG showed good biodispersability, biocompatibility, and stable green fluorescence emission inside the biological system. These results confirmed that the MFG could be a good candidate for imaging guided PTT of cancer and bacterial infection as it also possessed flat absorption in the entire VU-Vis-NIR region [95,96]. In order to make it a PDT drug, we functionalized a PS (SiNC₄) to offer MFG-SiNC₄ and the synergistic effects of PTT/PDT and PTT, as shown in Figure 5C,D, with 98% efficacy in light [70]. Very recently, mesoporous silica (MS) coated RGO was synthesized and functionalized with indocyanine green (ICG), PEG (MS-RGO-ICG-PEG), and folic acid (MS-RGO-ICG-PEG-FA) for targeted imaging...
and phototherapy of cancer. Figure 5G shows the increase in the temperature with laser irradiation at the tumor site of mice injected with MS-RGO-ICG-PEG-FA. Hence, it could be a good candidate for phototherapy, which was evident after the experiments. Figure 5H marks that there is a decrease in the tumor volume of the mice treated with MS-RGO-ICG-PEG-FA compared to MS-RGO-FA alone, without PS ICG. The effectiveness of tumor suppression can be explained by the synergistic effects of PTT from rGO and PDT from ICG. The experiments are performed using an 808 nm laser with 1 W/cm$^2$ for 10 min [97]. Irrespective of this progress, fluorescence-based techniques are still limited to the laboratory stage presumably due to the limitations of poor resolution, and because the drug molecules should be fluorescent.

![Figure 5 CLSM images of functionalized graphene composites](image_url)

**Figure 5.** CLSM images of functionalized graphene composites. (A) In vitro images of MFG-SiNC$_4$ in HeLa cells where the images reveal very good biodistribution throughout the cell (a) control stained with DAPI, (b) green, and (c) red emissions from the MFG-SiNC$_4$ and, (d,e) are the combined images of ab and ac. (f) The combined images of abc with DIC. (B) In vivo whole-body zebrafish images with MFG, where the green fluorescence is observed throughout the body due to its very good bio-distribution [70,95]. (C,D) The combined PTT/PDT and PTT of MFG-SiNc$_4$ and MFG [70,95]. (E,F) Time dependent in vivo mice non-targeting and targeting images of MS-RGO-ICG-PEG and
MS-RGO-ICG-PEG-FA. The image taken at 24 h reveals that the FA functionalized GO, (E) has shown bright luminescence and very good tumor specificity whereas it is not observed in non FA targeted GO (F). (G) Time vs. temperature at tumor bearing mice. (H) Tumor volume after irradiation with laser up to 10 days [97]. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Refs. [70,95]. Copyright 2012 and 2014, copyright Elsevier. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [97]. Copyright 2022, copyright MDPI.

3.2. MRI for Imaging Guided Therapy

Among all imaging techniques, MRI and CT scans are clinically versatile and best used so far for imaging-based diagnosis purpose. These techniques do not rely on any fictionalization of fluorophores and QDs. MRI works based on the radio waves and magnetic field to identify damaged (cancer) tissue from the healthy tissues based on activating the local proton environment. It has the great advantage of ease of use for X-ray imaging techniques. However, it has the limitations of poor sensitivity and lengthy signal recording times. The proton magnetic moment of tissue is environment-dependent and the T1 and T2 times may not produce a better image, hence some external contrast agents are frequently used. The well-known Gd\(^{3+}\) for bright contrast and superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs for dark contrast are used [98].

Graphene-related nanomaterial magnetic composites have a great advantage in helping these imaging guided therapy processes to improve the signals or contrasts to provide enhanced resolution in the final images during disease identification [99]. GO has been a scaffold for many imaging probes and drug loadings, and there are several works that have discussed magnetic nanoparticles-loaded GO, creating a better contrast agent due to its high loading capacity [100]. Recently, the oxidation of ball-milled graphite producing a nanoGO was reported. The extent of oxidation along with the presence of Mn\(^{2+}\) ions from KMnO\(_4\) are responsible for better proton relaxivity and displayed very good T1- and T2-weighted MRI contrast images [101]. Moreover, the RGO and created structural defects and oxygen functionalities are reported too. The destruction of symmetry in RGOs sublattices created a paramagnetic property, and it was demonstrated to be a good MRI contrast agent. The authors have suggested that the amount of the defects and the oxygen functionality determines the paramagnetism [102]. A nonmagnetic particles-based GO by the functionalization of GO with fluorine for MRI with NIR absorption capability for photo therapy of cancer was reported [103]. Apart from this, metal-free, magnetic graphene QDs doped with boron provided very good MR imaging results in both in vitro and in vivo [104]. Moreover, a GO-DTP-Gd magnetic complex for T1 MRI was prepared and demonstrated to be a better contrast agent than commercially used Magnevist. The complex has further functionalized with doxorubicin through physorption and shows very good toxicity towards cancer [105]. In addition, graphene encapsulated cupper probes were prepared and used as neural electrodes to image neural-cell activities in the brain [106]. Further, \(^{99m}\)Tc\(^4\) and Gd-based pegylated ultrasmall nano GO (\(^{99m}\)Tc\(^-\) and Gd-usNGO-PEG) were prepared for the multimodal MRI and SPECT/CT imaging of lymph nodes. The preparation approach is claimed to be chelator free, and the final product has been utilized for multimodal purposes [107].

In brief, many authors have reported that GO- and RGO-based iron oxide nanocomposites are excellent for MR imaging and guided therapy of cancer [100]. As discussed earlier, we also prepared an MFG-SiNC\(_4\) with excellent superperamagnetic properties, fluorescence, biocompatibility, and water dispersability for in vitro MRI to serve as a good contrast agent, as shown in Figure 6A,B. Later, we demonstrated this material for guided PT of cancer (Figure 5C,D) [70,95]. Further, polyethylene glycol and super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles functionalized rGO (rGO-IONPs-PEG) was prepared for multimodal imaging, such as the MRI-, CLSM-, and PAI-guided PTT of breast cancer in both in vitro and in vivo. The prepared GNC had excellent magnetic properties for MRI imaging, with good fluorescence and PAI imaging capabilities and good drug loading capability. Figure 6C,D show the PT efficiency of RGO-IONPs-PEG-Laser and greater tumor reduction was ob-
erved after the laser ablation compared to controls. Figure 6E shows the guided MRI images of mice before and after injection, and during therapy, with and without lasers. The day 7 laser-treated MRI reveals the complete tumor absence compared to untreated mice at the same duration of time. The study [108] is among the papers which have demonstrated imaging-guided therapy with reliable MR imaging in a systematic manner for theranostics, as shown in Figure 6.

**Figure 6.** MR images of GNCs in vitro and in vivo imaging guided phototherapy. (A) In vitro MRI of MFG and in HeLa cells. The contrast of the images increases with the concentration of MFG and MFG-SiNc4 than clinically accepted resovist. (B) The corresponding $1/T_2$ intensities with concentration [70]. (C) Relative tumor volume with respect to the graphene photodrug and duration of therapy up to 14 days. (D) The pictorial representation of mice bearing tumor before and after PT, where the tumor has vanished within a few days of therapy than compared to the control experiments without RGO-IONPs-PEG and laser. (E) Upper row is the MR images of tumor (indicates with white arrow) before and after PT and its suppression monitoring with time (0–7 days). The lower row is for without laser irradiation after injection of RGO-IONPs-PEG [108]. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [70]. Copyright 2014, copyright Elsevier. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [108]. Copyright 2012, copyright Wiley-VCH.
Apart from these, many researchers have synthesized GO/RGO based magnetic nanocomposite for MR imaging [109–111]. In addition to GO and rGO based IONPs, Gd doped graphene QDs also has been prepared and demonstrated for MR imaging [112,113], which could also offer good loading of imaging and drugs molecules and less toxicity to serve as a theranostic material. According to the literature the contrast agents improve the image quality and here the IONPs produce better contrast than Gd or other magnetic nanomaterial composites.

3.3. CT for Imaging Guided Therapy

CT scan is an alternative 3D imaging technique which works based on the X-ray attenuation of molecular tissues to identify tumors at lower cost than an MRI. It can also provide good spatial–temporal resolution of cancer tissues, and the image recording time is shorter than MRI. Usually, barium sulphate and iodine-based contrast agents are used when CT lacks the necessary sensitivity to image some soft, low-density tissues. The literature on various nanomaterials for CT scan is available. Carbon-based nanomaterials have a unique role due to their biocompatibility and high contrast agent’s immobilization capability. Among the few nanomaterials reported for CT scanning, Bi nanomaterial is exclusively studied, though CuS and some of its composites are also explored for CT and MR imaging together [98].

However, the available literature is limited regarding GNCs for CT scanning along with MR imaging. GNCs are synthesized from the oxidation of graphite with KMnO₄ and reduced with HCl before being further functionalized with iodine, and have shown good CT and MR imaging contrast [114]. It has been reported that Bi NPs functionalized graphene QDs was prepared with good dispersibility and low toxicity for improved CT imaging followed by PT of cancer [115]. GO functionalized with FePt NPs composite was made and successfully demonstrated for both MR and CT imaging followed by in situ pH responsive targeted cancer inactivation [116]. A nanocomposite of BaHoF₅ decorated GO-PEG was prepared with good biocompatibility, and was well demonstrated as a CT and MR imaging agent followed by PTT therapy [117]. GO decorated with ultra-small ZnFe₂O₄ and upconversion luminescence nanoparticles (UCNPs) have been well demonstrated for CT scanning along with MRI, PAI, and fluorescence imaging guided phototherapy as a unique multi diagnosis platform [118].

Recently, GO decorated with AuNPs, SPIONPs, along with DOX-loaded 1-tetradecanol (TD) was prepared (called smart nanocomposite, NC) and successfully demonstrated for CT- and MRI-guided controlled chemo-phototherapy of cancer in vitro and in vivo. The advantage of this material is that the Au NPs on GO can act as a CT contrast agent and provide better emission of X-rays due to its high atomic number (Figure 7A) with good house-filed values (Figure 7B). The FeNPs can act as dual CT and MRI contrast agents (Figure 7C,D). Hence, the combination of these two materials on top of GO has provided a great advantage of dual modal imaging and revealed increased contrast in concentration, after 24 h, at the post-intratumoral site (i.t) compared to the post intraperitoneal site in the images of Figure 7C,D. Figure 7E shows a reduction in tumor volume within a few days after PT with DOX-NCs+NIR. Figure 7F shows the corresponding morphology of tumor and total mice view from pre injection to 90 days of PT [119].
Figure 7. In vivo CT and MR imaging of NCs containing AuNPs and SPIOs. (A) CT images of CNs with increasing concentration of AuNPs from 0–3 mg/mL. (B) The corresponding graph of Hounsfield values with AuNPs concentration. In vivo (C) CT scan and (D) MR images of CT26 tumor bearing mice, pre and post injections at post i.p and post i.t. The upper, middle, and lower images are for original, intensity mapping, and merged images of all. (E) Corresponding results after PT where the graph shows reduction in tumor volume within few days of time with DOX-NCs+NIR. (F) The morphology of tumor and total mice view from pre injection to 90 days of therapy. The stars (*) represents the statistical significance of the data (* for 0.05, ** for 0.01). Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [119]. Copy right 2022, copyright Ivyspring.

Apart from these three (CLSM, MRI, and CT) well used techniques PET, PAI, and ToF-SIMS, and combined MRI/CT, CT/PEI, MRI/CT/PL/PAI, and MRI/CT/EPR, could also be important tools to the earlier diagnosis. These are currently of great interest to nanomedicine researchers.

3.4. PET for Imaging Guided Therapy

Radio labelling techniques such as PET and CT have great sensitivity due to the low background, requirement of low signal amplification, and good penetration depth in vivo. As said earlier, nanographene-based scaffolds have a greater importance in radio imaging technology with the functionalization of radioactive elements such as $^{198,199}$Au, $^{64}$Cu, $^{66}$Ga, $^{111}$In, and $^{121}$I. In this regard of PET imaging, for the first time GO-based
targeting and non-targeting imaging agents such as $^{64}$Cu-NOTA functionalized GO-PEG ($^{64}$Cu-NOTA-GO) and $^{64}$Cu-NOTA-GO conjugated with TRC105 ($^{64}$Cu-NOTA-GO-TRC105) for targeting a CD105 (endogline) has been synthesized. $^{64}$Cu was linked with GO-PEG via 1,4,7-triazacyclo nonane-1,4,7 triacetic acid (NOTA, a chelating agent of $^{64}$Cu). As shown in Figure 8A–D, the $^{64}$Cu-NOTA-GO-TRC105 has very good biodistribution and targeting ability towards 4T1 tumor-bearing mice compared to non-targeting $^{64}$Cu-NOTA-GO, pre injected TRC105 blocking dosed mice, and CD105-negative MCF7 human breast cancer cells. The combined CT and PET images also can be seen in the images [120].

Figure 8. PET and CT in vivo 4T1 tumor containing mice images of GO labelled with $^{64}$Cu isotope and tumor targeting TRC105 bioconjugate. (A) The post injected $^{64}$Cu-NOTA-GO-TRC105 PET images with different time intervals from 0.5 h to 48 h. The arrows at the right corners of the images
indicate the tumor. (B) $^{64}$Cu-NOTA-GO alone TRC105, and (C) Preinjected blocking dose of TRC105. The tumor specificity is very apparent in case of GO functionalized with TRC105 than not functionalized GO. (D) The CT, PET, and combined CT/PET images of $^{64}$Cu-NOTA-GO-TRC105. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [120]. Copyright 2012, copyright ACS.

After that $^{66}$Ga was functionalized to GO and obtained the same result with similar strategy of PET imaging of 4T1 cells in vitro, in vivo and ex vivo with good distribution in the body without toxicity [121]. Later, the same researchers labelled the $^{64}$Cu to the rGO with the same synthetic adaption to prepare $^{64}$Cu-NOTA-rGO-TRC105 for targeting 4T1 murine breast cancer cells and obtained successful results than with non-targeting rGO nanodrug [122]. Very recently, radioactive iodine ($^{124}$I)-labelled GO nanocomposite has been reported for PET imaging and boron delivery inside mice. The images reveal that time-dependent biodistribution in the liver, spleen, stomach, and heart for long-time circulation inside the body, up to 48 h. The in vitro studies of C. elegans confirmed that the $^{124}$I-GO does not show any significant toxicity. Hence $^{124}$I-GO could be a better candidate for boron neutron capture therapy of cancer [123].

3.5. PAI for Imaging Guided Therapy

PAI is another non-invasive imaging model to monitor the tumor environment with greater resolution and high tissue penetration depth. The PA signal production involves the following process. The light energy absorbed by the material converts into heat and increases the temperature, followed by thermoelastic expansion which causes the generation of acoustic waves (AWs). The AW generates an image contrast respective to the concentration of the absorbing material. In this regard, light absorbing nanomaterials have an advantage of converting photo energy into thermal energy and generation of AWs for better PAI imaging [124,125]. Among carbon nanomaterials, graphene-based 2D nanomaterials and their composites draw great interest in the study of PAI imaging due to their unique light absorption from UV, VIS, to NIR-I and NIR-II regions. Based on the belief of the light absorption of graphene, rGO are highly advantageous than GO as the later has a poor absorption of light in the visible and NIR regions [126]. Graphene-based nanoplatelets and nanoribbons (GNRs) are tested for PAI and thermal acoustic imaging (TAI) imaging. The oxidized GNRs were found to reveal dual modal PAI and TAI imaging [127]. Similar results of NIR absorption of microwaves reducing RGO-based PAI has been reported, and it is believed that the imaging intensities are wavelength-independent [128]. In the same year, dye-enhanced NIR absorption of GO was reported to overcome the limitations of GO absorption in NIR region to produce PA images for phototherapy of cancer. It was observed that the GO-ICG-FA (indocynine green an NIR absorbing dye and folic acid, a tumor targeting agent) showed better contrast, and no contrast was observed with GO-ICG or GO-FA alone [129]. Graphene microbubbles as an enhanced NIR PAI contrast agent was also reported with good biocompatibility and spatial resolution [130]. Another work describes that GO functionalized with chitosan–FA (GO-CS-FA) has good success as a PA and fluorescence tumor vascular imaging guided therapy for cancer in vivo [131].

A dual modal PAI and photothermal imaging probe rGADA nanocomposite was fabricated by the rGO functionalized with AuNS (gold nanostars), bilayered lipids, FA (rGADA), and K-Ras gene plasmid (KrasI) rGADA-KrasI, for targeted imaging guided photothermal and gene therapy of pancreatic cancer. The Figure 9A shows that there is a good PAI contrast with increasing concentrations of rGADA. The Figure 9B reveals in vivo tumor PAI imaging at different times from 0–48 h showing that a distinct rGADA at tumor has been apparent with time. Figure 9C,D are photothermal curves for the temperature rise of the nanocomposite and photothermal images at 808 nm. From the information obtained from the above experiments it is evident that the rGADA has successfully internalized and distributed at the tumor site for PTT and gene transfection of cancer in vivo. In vivo PTT experiments showed 76.1% tumor suppression under laser with rGADA+L, whereas the gene therapy results in 55.2% with rGADA-KrasI. The combined PTT and gene ther-
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information obtained from the above experiments it is evident that the rGADA has successfully internalized and distributed at the tumor site for PTT and gene transfection of cancer in vivo. In vivo PTT experiments showed 76.1% tumor suppression under laser with rGADA+L, whereas the gene therapy results in 55.2% with rGADA-KrasI. The combined PTT and gene therapies of rGADA-KrasI + L with laser resulted in very good tumor suppression of 98.5% compared individual therapy and therapy without a laser. The measured comparative weights are shown in Figure 9E of the tumors for controls and rGADA-Kras after laser irradiation. They demonstrate that a negligible and completely vanished tumor was evidenced [132]. Similarly, rGO–AuNPs also reported to PAI for NIR–II phototherapy [133]. Hence, graphene-based targeted multiple imaging guided combination therapies could be a very good idea in the implementation of non-invasive theranostic probes.

Figure 9. PAI and PT images of rGADA with different concentration and, at different time after intravenous injection to mice containing Capan-1 tumor and, PT and gene therapies with RGADA-KrasI. (A,B) are for PAI images of samples and in mice. The white circles represent the tumor region. (C,D) are temperature curves of tumor with different irradiation times (0–48 h) and thermographic images from in vivo tumor site at the irradiation time of 10 min with 808 nm laser with 1.2 W/cm² power densities. (E) Tumor bearing mice and its weights before and after laser treatment with PBS-L, rGADA + L, and rGADA-KrasI + L. The stars (*) represents the statistical significance of the data (* for 0.05, ** for 0.01). Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [132]. Copyright 2020, copyright Wiley-VCH.

3.6. Raman for Imaging Guided Therapy

Raman is a spectroscopic technique named by its inventor Sir. C. V. Raman in 1928 who proposed the Raman Effect. The phenomenon is based on the inelastic and elastic scattering of light from the vibrations of objects such as nanomaterials, drugs, and other biological molecules. When this technique is coupled with microscopy it is called Raman microscopy, and can be used to visualize in vitro and in vivo biological components, internalized nanomaterials, and drugs with high specificity and sensitivity at workable spatial resolutions. However, high sample concentration is required for better resolution and fast image acquisition due to the weak scattering signals. To overcome this hurdle, Resonance Raman (RR), Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS), and Coherent Raman spec-
troscopy (CRS) were developed. Scientists have mostly adopted SERS in nanomedicine due to its signal enhancement in the presence of rough surface nanomaterials such as Au, Ag, and Cu in the sample system [134].

A GO@Au and fluorescent tag functionalized dual modal luminescent and Raman imaging has been reported [135]. Moreover, the GO-Ag nanocomposite for a SERS-based imaging cellular probe and FA for targeting the tumor to impart was prepared. The GO-Ag-FA treated cells have shown excellent uptake and cellular internalization and evidenced by SERS images taken after 2 h of incubation time [136]. In addition, a AuNR@GO nanocomposite functionalized with DOX to obtain DOX@GO@AuNRs for chemo and PT of HeLa cancer cells was reported. The GO and AuNRs showed strong SERS signals, but the DOX signals decreased within the cells due to the phagocytosis and the acidic environment inside the cells. The prepared nanomaterial showed good SERS signals and temperature changes upon laser irradiation. Hence it demonstrated good chemo-PTT results under light and was titled as a two-step Raman guided therapy [137]. After GO, an RGO-based, SERS-guided, low laser-powered, targeted PT was reported by preparing anti-EGFR-PEG-rGO@CPSS-Au-R6G. The RGO was functionalized with PEG, CPSS (carbon porous silica nanosheets), Au nanosheets, R6G, (Rhodamine 6G a Raman reporter), and anti-EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor for targeting tumor) for sensitive low-powered laser-efficient NIR PT therapy against A549 and MRC-5 cells [138].

Recently, a SERS-guided multi modal chemo, gene, and PT of cancer with Au@GO-NP-NACs was reported, where the NP stands for nanoparticles and NACs for nucleic acid components ex. BCL2 mRNA. Figure 10A shows the schematic image of the guided SERS imaging and therapy in vivo. There is a tumor microenvironment which depicts the heterogeneity of the tumor, and the laser illumination of the tumor and normal tissue projects the effectiveness of the tumor eradication with Au@GO-NP-NACs. Figure 10B is for schematic representation of SERS signals at non-tumor and tumor site and the SERS intensity mapping, where the intensity of Cy5 is high at non-tumor tissues and less at the tumor. Figure 10C shows the corresponding Raman spectrum intensities at 1120 cm of Raman dye in different tissues. From the observation of the mapping and the spectral intensity, the SERS was varied, and we observed very weak signal at tumor in vivo due to the over expression of BCL2 in mice after the injection of the graphene drug. This kind of analysis is indispensable to evaluate drug distribution and its circulation in healthy and unhealthy tissues for specific and effective eradication of cancer. After the therapy process, the tumor from tissue has removed and observed its volume compared with untreated tumors. It was found that there is a great reduction in its volume in (Au@GO-NP-NACs) NP-NIR-treated mice, as shown in Figure 10D. The comparative tumor volume and time of therapy with control, NIR, and non-NIR treated NPs (Au@GO-NP-NACs) was plotted and it was apparent that the therapy was highly effective with NIR laser and NPs after 3 weeks (Figure 10E). The same material was functionalized with DOX and other types of genetic materials to evaluate the combined chemo-gene–PT of cancer to provide a better outlook of the therapy results in a single and minimal dosage of a drug, within a short time, with non-invasive NIR lasers. Such efforts for evaluating the potential of single material theranostic ability are highly warranted in nanomedicine to clear the hurdles of clinical trials [139].
Figure 10. In vivo Raman (SERS) imaging and multimodal therapy of cancer. (A) Au@GO NP-NACs injected mice, tumor heterogeneity and PT. (B) Schematic diagram for non-tumor and tumor tissue and corresponding SERS mappings. (C) Raman shifts and SERS intensities at tumor sites and non-tumor tissues. (D) Extracted tumor from mice after 3 days of PT, the images are for control, without NP and NIR laser, with NP and without NIR laser, with NP and with NIR laser treated tumor and its volume. The NP-NIR treated tumor has shown a complete shrinkage of tumor. (E) The tumor volume ratio with synergistic cancer therapies of control, no NP-NIR, NP-No NIR, and NP-NIR. After 3 weeks of the therapy, the NP-NIR gave a remarkable therapy result of close to zero tumor volume ratio. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [139]. Copyright 2020, copyright Wiley-VCH.

3.7. ToF-SIMS for Cellular Imaging and Guided Cancer Therapy

ToF-SIMS imaging is one of the most surface-sensitive techniques to analyze chemical compositions of materials and biological systems containing chemical components. It has great capability to map low molecular weight components (<500 KD) and submicron resolution. ToF-SIMS involves sputtering the primary ion beam (Bi$_3^+$, Ar$_3^+$, and C$_{60}^+$) with the sample surface, and the secondary ions generated from the sample will be collected according to their flight times and its mass/charge. The chemical compositions can be predicted based on their respective masses in comparison with the reference library. This technique is unique in imaging single cells, human tissues, and skin and cancer cells, and could be an important label-free tool to diagnosis the cancer cells from healthy normal cells. It is helpful in studying the toxicity of nanomaterials, drug internalization into the cells, apoptosis, and to predict other cellular killing mechanisms by collecting and imaging the cellular components’ mass/time values [140,141]. The information obtained
also has great importance in predicting the drug and cellular interactions, hence also in drug development and pharmacology studies [142]. However, it has a limitation of low sensitivity in analyzing the very low molecular weight components in wet samples, as the large molecules’ excessive fragmentation obtained with a high energy ion beam is not very accurate. As a result, any material which could enhance the signal sensitivity has priority, as every single fragment is indispensable in predicting the disease information. GO and graphene have been used as a matrix material for enhancing surface sensitivity and signal intensity in analyzing small lipid molecules [143].

The potential toxicity by ZnO NPs in sun cream is of increasing concern. We have developed ToF-SIMS and CLSM imaging methods using human skin equivalent HaCaT cells as a model system for rapid and sensitive ZnO NPs cytotoxicity study (Figure 11A). The CLSM images (Figure 11B) revealed the absorption and localization of ZnO NPs in the cytoplasm and nuclei. The TOF-SIMS images demonstrated elevated levels of intracellular ZnO concentration and associated Zn concentration-dependent $^{40}\text{Ca}/^{39}\text{K}$ ratio, presumably caused by the dissolution behavior of ZnO NPs (Figure 11C). The imaging results demonstrated spatially-resolved cytotoxicity relationship between intracellular ZnO NPs, $^{40}\text{Ca}/^{39}\text{K}$ ratio, phosphocholine fragments, and glutathione fragments [144].

Figure 11. Cont.
In a recent study, the ToF-SIMS signal enhancement of the single layer graphene covered wet cells with Bi$_3^+$ as a primary ion source was reported. The secondary ion imaging of cholesterol at $m/z$ 369.25, phosphoethanolamine at $m/z$ 142.05, palmitic acid at $m/z$ 255.25, and oleic acid at $m/z$ 281.26 are mapped [145]. An earlier study on the signal enhancement of ToF-SIMS by amine functionalized graphene quantum dots (GQDs) also show a better signal enhancement compared to hydroxyl GQDs in a comparative study [146]. From the above discussion it was evident that, GO, GQDs, and graphene have a remarkable effect on the quality of ToF-SIMS spectra and imaging and can overcome the hurdles of wet cell imaging’s complex matrix effects. Non-invasive multimodal imaging by a single nanoprobe could offer a greater advantage of gathering the diagnosis information from each technique by providing its advantage where an individual imaging technique cannot. It will improve diagnosis accuracy and efficiency. Moreover, the multiple nanoprobe-based nanotheranostic material offers minimal toxicity and provides body–blood clearance easily by avoiding multiple drug dosages. In brief, each technique has its advantages and disadvantages. However, highly sensitive, non-invasive techniques could take a greater importance than other techniques in nanomedicine in the future.

3.8. Guided Phototherapy of Bacteria

Bacterial infections have led to millions of patients dying every year all over the world. Generally, antibiotic treatment has been used for bacterial infections. However, inappropriate and overuse of antibiotics has led to an increase in the drug-fighting capacity of bacteria [146]. Notably, antibiotic resistance is related to structure transformation, gene
mutation, and bacterial biofilm formation. Additionally, biofilm is a multicellular bacterial group surrounded by its own synthesized extracellular polymeric material composed of proteins, polysaccharides, lipids, and extracellular DNA [147]. The extracellular polymeric material provides an appropriate microenvironment for bacterial growth, and protection against antibiotics, and hence, bacterial infection control becomes an obstinate challenge. Thus, there is an urgent need to find new strategies to combat bacterial infections [148]. PTT gained increasing demand in the medical field over conventional antibiotic therapy because it destructs bacteria and their biofilm. Specifically, PTT combined with NIR light has various benefits, including deep tissue penetration, spatiotemporal controllability, and little light absorption in tissue. Nevertheless, the disadvantage of PTT is that a nonselective thermal effect may arise due to the weak affinity between pathogenic bacteria and a photothermal agent that may damage healthy cells during irradiation [149].

Increasing bacterial infections are a serious problem for human health. Hence, the synthesis of multifunctional antibacterial materials is needed for surgical operations. Concerning this situation, we prepared non-targeted and targeted magnetic graphene and carbon nanotubes against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* for PTT. Excellent bacterial capturing efficiency (Figure 12A,B) was observed with MRGOGA (magnetic RGO functionalized with glutaraldehyde). This was also evident from the SEM images shown in Figure 12C. The batch-mode- and continuous-mode PTT showed 99% killing efficiency under NIR laser irradiation at 808 nm, shown in Figure 12B. The plate count method, shown in Figure 12D, demonstrated that both the strains had completely vanished after laser treatment with MRGOGA [150,151].

Figure 12. Cont.
The formation of holes on the bacterial surface confirmed the damage to the cell membrane.

The capturing capability of phthalocyanines was used for antibacterial phototherapy (ZnPc-TEGMME@GO). The antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* bacteria at different illumination was shown in Figure 13A,B. As reported, the synthesized nanocomposite showed PTT/PDT capacity with antibacterial activity. The authors further recorded SEM images before and after the treatment of nanocomposites against bacteria. The formation of holes on the bacterial surface confirmed the damage to the cell membrane. Further, the material was internalized and can create local heating around the wound. Hence, it favors the in vivo PT/PDT, and the results after irradiation with 450 nm (PTT) and 680 nm (PDT) confirmed complete wound healing after 12 days of treatment. Whereas the control mice has the persistent wound even after laser irradiation without photodrug (Figure 13C–E) [76]. In addition, the concept of targeted nanoparticles in cancer therapy with in vivo biocompatibility of graphene-based nanomaterials is summarized. The detailed chemistry and properties of GO as well as the review of functionalized GO and GO-metal nanoparticle composites in nanomedicine for anticancer drug delivery and cancer treatment is reviewed [158]. Moreover, the concept of targeted nanoparticles in cancer therapy with in vivo biocompatibility of graphene-based nanomaterials is summarized. The detailed chemistry and properties of GO as well as the functionalized GO and GO-metal nanoparticle composites in nanomedicine for anticancer drug delivery and cancer treatment is reviewed [159].

We have started working on phototherapy of bacterial infection with progressive achievements firstly using ZnO NPs [152] followed by using modified carbon nanotubes [153] and lastly extends to biomimetic applications using graphene nanomaterials [154–157]. The trend of using graphene nanomaterials is just at the beginning. For instance, the preparation of GO-functionalized (noncovalent) PEGylated phthalocyanines was used for antibacterial phototherapy (ZnPc-TEGMME@GO). The antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* bacteria at different illumination was shown in Figure 13A,B. As reported, the synthesized nanocomposite showed PTT/PDT capacity with antibacterial activity. The authors further recorded SEM images before and after the treatment of nanocomposites against bacteria. The formation of holes on the bacterial surface confirmed the damage to the cell membrane. Further, the material was demonstrated in vivo by considering mice as a model animal. From the thermographic images it was confirmed that the material was internalized and can create local heating around the wound. Hence, it favors the in vivo PT/PDT, and the results after irradiation with 450 nm (PTT) and 680 nm (PDT) confirmed complete wound healing after 12 days of treatment. Whereas the control mice has the persistent wound even after laser irradiation without photodrug (Figure 13C–E) [76]. In addition, the concept of targeted nanoparticles in cancer therapy with in vivo biocompatibility of graphene-based nanomaterials is summarized. The detailed chemistry and properties of GO as well as the review of functionalized GO and GO-metal nanoparticle composites in nanomedicine for anticancer drug delivery and cancer treatment is reviewed [158]. Moreover, the concept of targeted nanoparticles in cancer therapy with in vivo biocompatibility of graphene-based nanomaterials is summarized. The detailed chemistry and properties of GO as well as the functionalized GO and GO-metal nanoparticle composites in nanomedicine for anticancer drug delivery and cancer treatment is reviewed [159].

**Figure 12.** Efficient capture and targeted PTT of bacteria by MRGO, MRGOGA, and MCNGA. (A) The capturing capability of *S. aureus* (a) and *E. coli* (b). (B) The batch mode and dynamic mode (lab on chip) PTT with laser irradiated at 808 nm. (C) SEM of *E. coli* (a) and *S. aureus* (b) after capturing by MRGOGA. (D) Bacterial plates for both *S. aureus* (a) and *E. coli* (b), blank, laser only, MRGO dark, and MRGOGA with NIR laser. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [150]. Copyright 2013, copyright ACS 2013.
Figure 13. (A,B) for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* antibacterial activity with ZnPc-TEGMME@GO at different lasers (450 nm and 680 nm) triggered combined PTT/PDT. (C) In vivo antibacterial activity to infected mice treated with ZnPc-TEGMME@GO and its thermographic images. (D) Infected wound area before and after PT from Day 1 to day 12 represented in five groups. Group I with saline water and dark. Group II is ZnPc-TEGMME@GO and dark. Group III is ZnPc-TEGMME@GO 680 nm laser. Group IV is for ZnPc-TEGMME@GO and 450 nm lasers and finally Group V is for ZnPc-TEGMME@GO with 680 nm and 450 nm laser. (E) H&E (Hematoxylin and Eosin) Staining [76]. Reprinted/adapted with permission from Ref. [76]. Copyright 2021, copyright ACS 2021.

In another study, the RGO was functionalized with polycationic poly-L-lysine (PLL) because of more drug loading capability with colloidal stability. Further, rGO-PLL is labeled with anti-HER2 to form a bond with HER2 receptors to detect breast cancer cells [159].

3.9. Comparison among GNCs

According to the above research discussion, every author made their contribution towards this field. Dai et al., for the first time, introduced the GO and RGO to the theranostic applications, thereby extensively contributing to this important research. Later, other researchers gave their insights to fuel the graphene nanomedicine.
anostic applications, thereby extensively contributing to this important research. Later, other researchers gave their insights to fuel the graphene nanomedicine. For instance, we have prepared MFG to impart long-range absorption of graphene in both biological window I and II, along with demonstrating the CLSM/MRI and both single light induced PTT/PDT [70,95,96]. Choi et al., Yang et al., Mirrahimi et al., Hong et al., Jia et al., Yang et al., Belu et al., and Lim et al. demonstrated multimodal imaging (CLSM, MRI, CT, PET, PAI, RAMAN and ToF-SIMS) and multimodal therapies including chemo, gene, and PTs. Most of these researchers have also given tremendous efforts to improve the therapeutic capabilities by minimizing GNCs concentration, laser wavelengths from the first biological windows to the second biological window, and less laser powers and irradiation times [84,97,107,108,119,120,132,139,145,146]. Keshav et al., provided excellent pharmacokinetic data to take the material towards preclinical trials. Table 1 represents some of the interesting works discussed. Based on the comparison of the tabulated literature, 808 nm laser with irradiation time of 5–15 min with ~1 W/cm² and ~100 µg/mL were the most suitable parameters for phototheranostics. In the case of bacteria, the same parameters are good for photodisinfection. However, according to us and to Liang et al., experiments with very low powers and GNCs dosage have also shown great therapeutic effects [96,150,158]. As each datum is indispensable, we appreciate the existing literature greatly. Apart from GNCs, there are several nanomaterials which have been reported for nanomedicine. Among the reported carbon, Au, Fe, Si, dendrimer, and polymer nanomaterials are highly suitable as novel theranostic agents [160–164]. Graphene has a great advantage over other nanomaterials due its tunable size, dimensionality, tunable surface, covalent and non-covalent chemistry, atomic sensitivity and <nm thickness, easy synthesis, and its economic availability for both cancer therapy and antibacterial activity [165–167].

Table 1. Self-comparison of GNCs phototheranostic ability for cancer and bacterial infection.

| No | GNCs               | Imaging     | Cell/Animal Model | Light and Power                          | Ref. No |
|----|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
|    |                    | Time (min)  | Therapy           | Dose                                     |         |
| 1  | MFG-SiNC₄          | 20          | PTT/PDT           | 100 µg/mL                                | [70,95] |
|    |                    |             | CLSM and MRI      | HeLa cells/Zebrafish                      |         |
| 2  | MS-RGO-ICG-PEG-FA  | 10          | PTT/PDT           | 100 µg/mL                                | [97]    |
|    |                    |             | CLSM and IVIS     | CT-26 cells/mice                         |         |
| 3  | GO (⁸⁸⁸⁹Tc⁻ and Gd-usNGO-PEG) | -          | MRI and SPECT/CT  | Lymph nodes                              | [107]   |
|    |                    |             |                   |                                          |         |
| 4  | RGO-IONP-PEG       | 5           | PTT/PDT           | 2 mg/mL                                  | [108]   |
|    |                    |             | CLSM, MRI and PAT | 4T1 tumor cells/mice                      |         |
| 5  | ZnFe₂O₄/UCNPs      | 15          | PTT/PDT           | 250 µg/mL                                | [118]   |
|    |                    |             | UCL, CT, MRI, PAT | U14 cells/mice                            |         |
| 6  | DOX-NCs            | 15          | Chemo and PTT     | 20 µg/mL                                 | [119]   |
|    |                    |             | CT and MRI        | CT26 cells/mice                           |         |
| 7  | ⁶⁴Cu-NOTA-GO-TRC105 | -          | CT/PET            | 4T1 tumor cells/mice                      | [120]   |
|    |                    |             |                   |                                          |         |
Table 1. Cont.

| No | GNCs                     | Imaging               | Cell/Animal Model          | Light and Power                  |
|----|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
|    |                          | Time (min)            | Therapy                   | Dose                             |
| 8  | rGADA-KrasI              | 10                    | PAI/PT                    | Pancreatic cancer cells/mice      |
|    |                          |                       |                           | 808 nm laser, 1.2 W/cm²           |
| 9  | anti-EGFR-PEG-rGO@CPSS-Au-R6G | Optical microscope/CLSM/SERS | A549 cells               | 808 nm laser, 0.5 W/cm²          |
| 10 | Single layer graphene   | 5                     | PTT                       | 100 µg/mL                        |
|    |                          |                       |                           | [138]                            |
| 11 | GQDs                     | 10                    | ToF-SIMS                  | MCF-7 cell                       |
|    |                          |                       |                           | [84]                             |
| 12 | MRGOGA                   | 10                    | SEM and CLSM              | S. aureus and E. coli            |
|    |                          |                       |                           | 808 nm laser, 1.2 W/cm²           |
| 13 | ZnPc-TEGMME@GO          | 10                    | SEM/Thermographic imaging | S. aureus and E. coli            |
|    |                          |                       |                           | 450 nm and 680 nm lasers, 0.0142 W/cm² |
| 14 | RGO-PAA                  | 10                    | CLSM                      | HeLa cells/S. aureus and E. coli |
|    |                          |                       |                           | 808 nm/1064 nm laser, 0.4 mW/cm² |
| 15 | Chitosan with ZnQDs@GO  | 6                     | PTT                       | 500 µg/mL                        |
|    |                          |                       |                           | [158]                            |

4. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

We summarized the recent progress of the general preparation and functionalization of GO, RGO, and GNCs as theranostic materials to provide simple and advanced imaging-guided therapeutic drugs to invade malignant tumors and bacterial infections. The water solubility, low toxicity, and high surface area of GO made a very good nanoplatform to carry many therapeutic organic drugs and to load different imaging probes. However, its low NIR absorption is unlikely, and not very favorable to the phototherapy of cancer and bacteria. Hence, RGO or functionalized nanocomposites of graphene-related materials provide a better solution to overcome the difficulties where GO cannot. The multi-modal imaging and PS functionalized nanographene composite provide a very accurate diagnostic confidence to proceed with the therapy of combined PTT/PDT, which may require in less time and smaller drug concentrations. Among the nanotherapies reported, phototherapy has good results, with less intensive time and energy, and without any side effects and damage to healthy tissues.

Graphene/GO/GQDs can offer diversified chemistry for self-acting luminescent for CLSM, magnetic for MRI, surface plasmonic state for SERS and ToF-SIMS signal enhancement, PAI imaging, and inherent PTT, PDT agent. It has great potential to carry many chemical drugs and genes for chemo- and gene therapies with very good biocompatibility. However, much research is required to move GNCs towards clinical implementation, as their size, shape, no of carbons, layers, number of oxygen functional groups, accurate mass, and photo yield to generate ROS and heat have to be optimized precisely. In perspective of PT, the biological windows must be explored in NIR-I and NIR-II. Overall, nanotechnology scientists could use flexible GNCs in whatever they want to fabricate.
Author Contributions: All authors conceptualized the outline and agreed on the content of the manuscript. G.G. and A.V.G. prepared the manuscript. Y.-C.L. revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Editorial: Reflecting on 20 years of progress. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2021, 21, 605. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Knopman, D.S.; Amieva, H.; Petersen, R.C.; Chetelat, G.; Holtzman, D.M.; Hyman, B.T.; Nixon, R.A.; Jones, D.T. Alzheimer disease. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers 2021, 7, 33. [CrossRef]
3. Flora, G.D.; Nayak, M.K. A Brief Review of Cardiovascular Diseases. Associated Risk Factors and Current Treatment Regimes. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2019, 25, 4063–4084. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Baker, R.E.; Mahmud, A.S.; Miller, I.F.; Rajeev, M.; Rasambainarivo, F.; Rice, B.L.; Takahashi, S.; Tatem, A.J.; Wagner, C.E.; Wang, L.F.; et al. Infectious disease in an era of global change. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2022, 20, 193–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Salata, O.V. Applications of nanoparticles in biology and medicine. J. Nanobiotechnol. 2004, 2, 3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Gu, L.; Mooney, D.J. Biomaterials and emerging anticancer therapeutics: Engineering the microenvironment. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2016, 16, 56–66. [CrossRef]
7. Shi, J.; Kantoff, P.W.; Wooster, R.; Farokhzad, O.C. Cancer nanomedicine: Progress, challenges and opportunities. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2017, 17, 20–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Chen, G.; Roy, I.; Yang, C.; Prasad, P.N. Nanochemistry and Nanomedicine for Nanoparticle-based Diagnostics and Therapy. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 2826–2885. [CrossRef]
9. Soares, S.; Sousa, J.; Pais, A.; Vitorino, C. Nanomedicine: Principles, Properties, and Regulatory Issues. Front. Chem. 2018, 6, 360. [CrossRef]
10. Rakhshandehroo, T.; Smith, B.R.; Glockner, H.J.; Rashidian, M.; Pandit-Taskar, N. Molecular Immune Targeted Imaging of Tumour Microenvironment. Nanotheranostics 2022, 6, 286. [CrossRef]
11. Chen, H.; Zhang, W.; Zhu, G.; Xie, J.; Chen, X. Rethinking cancer nanotheranostics. Nat. Rev. Mater. 2018, 9, 80. [CrossRef]
12. Robson, A.L.; Dastoor, P.C.; Flynn, J.; Palmer, W.; Martin, A.; Smith, D.W.; Woldu, A.; Hua, S. Advantages and Limitations of Current Imaging Techniques for Characterizing Liposome Morphology. Front. Pharmacol. 2018, 9, 245. [CrossRef]
13. Man, F.; Lammers, T.; de Rosales, R.T.M. Imaging Nanomedicine-Based Drug Delivery: A Review of Clinical Studies. Mol. Imaging Biol. 2018, 20, 683–695. [CrossRef]
14. Adeel, M.; Duzagac, F.; Canzonieri, V.; Rizzolio, F. Self-therapeutic nanomaterials for cancer therapy: A review. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2020, 3, 4962–4971. [CrossRef]
15. Chabner, B.A., Jr; Roberts, T.G. Chemotherapy and the war on cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2005, 5, 65–72. [CrossRef]
16. Akkin, S.; Varan, G.; Bilensoy, E. A review on cancer immunotherapy and applications of nanotechnology to chemoimmunotherapy of different cancers. Molecules 2021, 11, 3382. [CrossRef]
17. Waldman, A.D.; Fritz, J.M.; Lenardo, M.J. A guide to cancer immunotherapy: From T cell basic science to clinical practice. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2020, 20, 651–668. [CrossRef]
18. Bulaklak, K.; Gersbach, C.A. The once and future gene therapy. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 5820. [CrossRef]
19. Altun, I.; Sonkaya, A. The most common side effects experienced by patients were receiving first cycle of chemotherapy. Iran. J. Public Health 2018, 47, 1218–1219.
20. Nurgali, K.; Jagoe, R.T.; Abalo, R. Editorial: Adverse effects of cancer chemotherapy: Anything new to improve tolerance and reduce sequelae? Front. Pharmacol. 2018, 9, 245. [CrossRef]
21. Schirrmacher, V. From chemotherapy to biological therapy: A review of novel concepts to reduce the side effects of systemic cancer treatment (Review). Int. J. Oncol. 2019, 54, 407–419.
22. Amjad, M.T.; Chidharla, A.; Kasi, A. Cancer Chemotherapy; StatPearls Publishing: Treasure Island, CA, USA, 2022.
23. Shi, H.; Sadler, PJ. How promising is phototherapy for cancer? Br. J. Cancer 2020, 123, 871–873. [CrossRef]
24. Chitgupi, U.; Qin, Y.; Lovell, J.F. Targeted nanomaterials for phototherapy. Nanotheranostics 2017, 1, 38–58. [CrossRef]
25. Ma, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Li, X.; Zhao, Y.; Li, M.; Jiang, W.; Tang, X.; Dou, J.; Lu, L.; Wang, F.; et al. Near-Infrared II phototherapy induces deep tissue immunogenic cell death and potentiates cancer immunotherapy. ACS Nano 2019, 13, 11967–11980. [CrossRef]
26. Zhang, X.; Wang, S.; Cheng, G.; Yu, P.; Chang, J. Light-responsive nanomaterials for cancer therapy. Engineering 2022, 13, 18–30. [CrossRef]
27. Albanese, A.; Tang, P.S.; Chan, W.C.W. The effect of nanoparticle size, shape, and surface chemistry on biological systems. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 2012, 14, 1–16. [CrossRef]
28. Renero-Lecuna, C.; Iturrioz-Rodriguez, N.; González-Lavado, E.; Padin-González, E.; Navarro-Palomares, E.; Valdivia-Fernández, L.; García-Hevia, L.; Fanarraga, M.L. Effect of size, shape, and composition on the interaction of different nanomaterials with Hela cells. *Hindawi J. Nanomater.*, 2019, 11, 7518482. [CrossRef]

29. Raza, M.A.; Karwal, Z.; Rauf, A.; Sabri, A.N.; Riaz, S.; Naseem, S. Size- and shape-dependent antibacterial studies of silver nanoparticles synthesized by wet chemical routes. *Nanomaterials*, 2016, 6, 74. [CrossRef]

30. Garg, B.; Sung, C.H.; Ling, Y.C. Graphene-based nanomaterials as molecular imaging agents. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2015, 7, 737–758. [CrossRef]

31. Mao, H.Y.; Laurent, S.; Chen, W.; Akhavan, O.; Imani, M.; Ashkarran, A.A.; Mahmoudi, M. Graphene: Promises, facts, opportunities, and challenges in nanomedicine. *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, 113, 3407–3424. [CrossRef]

32. Gollavelli, G.; Ling, Y.C. Chapter 21 Ultrathin graphene structure, fabrication and characterization for clinical diagnosis applications. In *Smart Nanodevices for Point-of-Care Applications*; Suvardhankanchi, S., Chokkareddy, R., Rezakazemi, M., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2022; pp. 263–280.

33. Yin, P.T.; Shah, S.; Chhowalla, M.; Lee, K.B. Design, synthesis, and characterization of graphene-nanoparticle hybrid materials for biosapplications. *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, 115, 2483–2531. [CrossRef]

34. Markovic, Z.M.; Harhaji-Trajkovic, L.M.; Todorovic-Markovic, B.M.; Kepić, D.P.; Arsikin, K.M.; Jovanović, S.P.; Pantovic, A.C.; Dramišan, M.D.; Trajkovic, V.S. In vitro comparison of the photothermal anticancer activity of graphene nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes. *Biomaterials*, 2011, 32, 1121–1129. [CrossRef]

35. Edwards, R.S.; Coleman, K.S. Graphene synthesis: Relationship to applications. *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 38–51. [CrossRef]

36. Tang, L.; Li, X.; Ji, R.; Teng, K.S.; Tai, G.; Ye, J.; Wei, C.; Lau, S.P. Bottom-up synthesis of large-scale graphene oxide nanosheets. *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 5676–5683. [CrossRef]

37. Eskizmir, G.; Baskın, Y.; Yapiçi, K. Chapter 9 Graphene-based nanomaterials in cancer treatment and diagnosis. In *Fullerenes, Graphenes and Nanotubes: A Pharmaceutical Approach*; Grumezescu, A.M., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; pp. 331–374.

38. Shamaila, S.; Sajjad, A.K.L.; Iqbal, A. Modifications in development of graphene oxide synthetic routes. *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, 294, 458–477. [CrossRef]

39. Marcano, D.C.; Kosynkin, D.V.; Berlin, J.M.; Sinitskii, A.; Galeano, V.; Shen, Q.; Ruoff, R.; Tour, M.M. Improved synthesis of graphene oxide. *ACS Nano*, 2010, 4, 4806–4814. [CrossRef]

40. Naeem, H.; Ajmal, M.; Muntha, S.; Ambreen, J.; Siddiq, M. Synthesis and characterization of graphene oxide sheets integrated with gold nanoparticles and their applications to adsorptive removal and catalytic reduction of water contaminants. *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 3599–3610. [CrossRef]

41. Wu, S.; Zhao, X.; Cui, Z. Cytotoxicity of graphene oxide and graphene oxide loaded with doxorubicin on human multiple myeloma cells. *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2014, 9, 1413–1421.

42. Yu, X.; Gao, D.; Gao, L.; Lai, J.; Zhang, C.; Zhao, Y.; Zhong, L.; Jia, B.; Wang, F.; Chen, X.; et al. Inhibiting Metastasis and Preventing Tumor Relapse by Triggering Host Immunity with Tumor-Targeted Photodynamic Therapy Using Photosensitizer-Loaded Functional Nanographenes. *ACS Nano*, 2017, 11, 10147–10158. [CrossRef]

43. Zhou, L.; Wang, W.; Tang, J.; Zhou, J.H.; Jiang, H.J.; Shen, J. Graphene oxide noncovalent photosensitizer and its anticancer activity in vitro. *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 12084–12091. [CrossRef]

44. Yin, F.; Hu, K.; Chen, Y. SiRNA Delivery with PEGylated Graphene Oxide Nanosheets for Combined Photothermal and Genetherapy for Pancreatic Cancer. *Theranostics*, 2017, 7, 1133–1148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Narayanaswamy, V.; Obaidat, I.M.; Kamzin, A.S.; Latiyan, S.; Jain, S.; Kumar, H.; Srivastava, C.; Alaabeed, S.; Issa, B. Synthesis of Graphene Oxide-Fe3O4 Based Nanocomposites Using the Mechanochemical Method and In Vitro Magnetic Hyperthermia. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2019, 20, 3368. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Yang, H.W.; Lu, Y.J.; Lin, K.J.; Hsu, S.C.; Huang, C.Y.; She, S.H.; Liu, H.L.; Lin, C.W.; Xiao, M.C.; Wey, S.P.; et al. Biomaterials EGRF conjugated PEGylated nanographene oxide for targeted chemotherapy and photothermal therapy. *Biomaterials*, 2013, 34, 7204–7214. [CrossRef]

47. Miao, W.; Shim, G.; Lee, S.; Lee, S.; Choe, Y.S.; Oh, Y.K. Safety and tumor tissue accumulation of pegylated graphene oxide nanosheets for co-delivery of anticancer drug and photosensitizer. *Biomaterials*, 2013, 34, 3402–3410. [CrossRef]

48. Xu, Z.; Wang, S.; Li, Y.; Wang, M.; Shi, P.; Huang, X. Covalent functionalization of graphene oxide with biocompatible poly(ethylene glycol) for delivery of paclitaxel. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 6, 17268–17276. [CrossRef]

49. Yue, L.; Wang, J.; Dai, Z.; Hu, Z.; Chen, X.; Qi, Y.; Zheng, X.; Yu, D. pH-Responsive, Self-Sacrificial Nanotheranostic Agent for Potential n Vivo and in Vitro Dual Modal MRI/CT Imaging, Real-Time, and in Situ Monitoring of Cancer Therapy. *Bioconjug. Chem.*, 2017, 28, 400–409. [CrossRef]

50. Liu, J.; Yuan, X.; Deng, L.; Yin, Z.; Tian, X.; Bhattacharyya, S. Graphene oxide activated by 980 nm laser for cascading two-photon photodynamic therapy and photothermal therapy against breast cancer. *Appl. Mater. Today*, 2020, 20, 100665. [CrossRef]

51. Suci, M.; Porav, S.; Radu, T. Photodynamic effect of light emitting diodes on *E. coli* and human skin cells induced by a graphene-based ternary composite. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol.*, 2021, 223, 12298. [CrossRef]

52. Panda, S.; Rout, T.K.; Prusty, A.D.; Ajayan, P.M.; Nayak, S. Electron transfer directed antibacterial properties of graphene oxide on metals. *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, 30, 1702149. [CrossRef]
53. Nagaraj, E.; Shanmugam, P.; Karuppannan, K.; Chinnasamy, T.; Venugopal, S. The biosynthesis of a graphene oxide-based zinc oxide nanocomposite using Dalbergia latifolia leaf extract and its biological applications. *New J. Chem.* 2020, 44, 2166–2179. [CrossRef]

54. Wang, H.; Zhao, B.; Dong, W.; Zhong, Y.; Zhang, X.; Gony, G.; Zhan, R.; Xing, M.; Zhang, J.; Luo, G.; et al. A dual-targeted platform based on graphene for synergistic chemo-photothermal therapy against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria and their biofilms. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, 393, 124595. [CrossRef]

55. Kumar, M.; Thakur, M.; Bahadur, R.; Kaku, T. Preparation of graphene oxide-graphene quantum dots hybrid and its application in cancer theranostics. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2019, 103, 109774.

56. Meij, I.E.; Santos, C.M.; Rodrigues, D.F. Toxicity of a polymer-graphene oxide composite against bacterial planktonic. *Nanoscale* 2012, 4, 4746–4756.

57. Qiu, J.; Liu, L.; Zhu, H.; Liu, X. Bioactive Materials Combination types between graphene oxide and substrate affect the antibacterial activity. *Bioact. Mater.* 2018, 3, 341–346. [CrossRef]

58. Liu, T.; Tong, L.; Lv, N.; Ge, X.; Fu, Q.; Gao, S.; Ma, Q.; Song, J. Two-Stage Size Decrease and Enhanced Photoacoustic Performance of Stimuli-Responsive Polymer-Gold Nanorod Nanorod Assembly for Increased Tumour Penetration. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2019, 29, 1806429. [CrossRef]

59. Pei, S.; Cheng, H.M. The reduction of graphene oxide. *Carbon* 2012, 50, 3210–3228. [CrossRef]

60. Mathew, T.; Sree, R.A.; Aishwarya, S.; Kounaina, K.; Patil, A.G.; Satapathy, P.; Hudeda, S.P.; More, S.S.; Muthucheliyan, K.; Kumar, T.N.; et al. Graphene-based functional nanomaterials for biomedical and bioanalysis applications. *FlatChem* 2020, 23, 00184. [CrossRef]

61. Gurunathan, S.; Han, J.; Kim, J.H. A novel functional molecule for the green synthesis of graphene. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 2013, 111, 376–383. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

62. Zaharie-butucel, D.; Potara, M.; Suarasan, S.; Licarete, E.; Astilean, S. Efficient combined near-infrared-triggered therapy: Phototheraphy over chemotherapy in chitosan-reduced graphene oxide-IR820 dye-doxorubicin nanoplatorms. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2019, 552, 218–229. [CrossRef]

63. Lee, S.; Kim, S.Y. Gold Nanorod/Reduced Graphene Oxide Composite Nanocarriers for Near-Infrared-Induced Cancer Therapy and Photoacoustic Imaging. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* 2021, 4, 11849–11860. [CrossRef]

64. Liu, R.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, F.; Wang, X.; Liu, X.; Zhang, Y. Polydopamine doped reduced graphene oxide/mesoporous silica nanosheets for chemo-photothermal and enhanced photothermal therapy. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2019, 96, 138–145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Gurunathan, S.; Han, J.W.; Kim, E.S.; Park, J.H.; Kim, J.H. Reduction of graphene oxide by resveratrol: A novel and simple biological method for the synthesis of an effective anticancer nanotherapeutic molecule. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2015, 2015, 2951–2969. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Amina, M.; Al Musayeib, N.M.; Alarfaj, N.A.; El-tohamy, M.F.; Al-hamoud, G.A. Facile multifunctional-mode of fabricated bio-compatible human serum albumin/reduced graphene oxide/Cladophora glomerata nanoparticles for bacteriostatic phototherapy, bacterial tracking and antioxidant potential. *Nanotechnology* 2021, 32, 315301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Tan, S.; Wu, X.; Xing, Y.; Lilak, S.; Wu, M.; Zhao, J.X. Enhanced synergetic antibacterial activity by a reduce graphene oxide/Ag nanocomposite through the photothermal effect. *J. Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 2020, 185, 110616. [CrossRef]

68. Yang, Z.; Hao, X.; Chen, S.; Ma, Z.; Wang, W.; Wang, C.; Yue, L.; Sun, H.; Shao, Q.; Murugadoss, V.; et al. Long-term antibacterial stable reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites loaded with cuprous oxide nanoparticles. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2019, 533, 13–23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

69. Li, Y.; Xu, X.; Liu, X.; Zheng, Y.; Chen, D.F.; Yeung, K.W.K.; Chiu, Z.; Li, Z.; Zhu, S.; et al. Photoelectrons Mediating Angiogenesis and Immunotherapy through Heterojunction Film for Noninvasive Disinfection. *Adv. Sci.* 2020, 7, 2000023. [CrossRef]

70. Gollavelli, G.; Ling, Y.C. Magnetic and fluorescent graphene for dual modal imaging and single light induced photothermal and photodynamic therapy of cancer cells. *Biomaterials* 2014, 35, 4499–4507. [CrossRef]

71. Choi, S.Y.; Baek, S.H.; Chang, S.J.; Song, Y.; Rafique, R.; Lee, K.T.; Park, T.J. Synthesis of upconversion nanoparticles conjugated with graphene oxide quantum dots and their use against cancer cell imaging and photodynamic therapy. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2017, 93, 267–273. [CrossRef]

72. Gu, Y.; Guo, Y.; Wang, C.; Xu, J.; Wu, J.; Kirk, T.B.; Ma, D.; Xue, W. A polyamidoamine dendrimer functionalized graphene oxide for DOX and MMP-9 shRNA plasmid co-delivery. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2017, 70, 572–585. [CrossRef]

73. Yang, H.W.; Huang, C.Y.; Lin, C.W. Gadolinium-functionalized graphene oxide for combined drug and microRNA delivery and magnetic resonance imaging. *Biomaterials* 2014, 35, 6534–6542. [CrossRef]

74. Wu, C.; He, Q.; Zhu, A.; Li, D.; Xu, M.; Yang, H.; Liu, Y. Synergistic anticancer activity of photo- and chemoresponsive nanoformulation based on polysilane-functionalized graphene. *ACS Appl. Mater Interfaces* 2014, 6, 21615–21623. [CrossRef]

75. Yang, H.; Brenner, D.H.; Tao, L.; Li, H.; Hu, J.; Zhu, L. Carboxymethyl chitosan-mediated synthesis of hyaluronic acid-targeted graphene oxide for cancer drug delivery. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2016, 135, 72–78. [CrossRef]

76. Mei, L.; Shi, Y.; Cao, F.; Liu, X.; Li, X.M.; Xu, Z.; Miao, Z. PEGylated phthalocyanine-functionalized graphene oxide with ultrahigh-efficient photothermal performance for triple-mode antibiotic therapy. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 2021, 7, 2638–2648. [CrossRef]
104. Wang, H.; Revia, R.; Wang, K.; Kant, R.J.; Mu, Q.; Gai, Z.; Hong, K.; Zhang, M. Paramagnetic properties of metal-free boron-doped graphene quantum dots and their application for safe magnetic resonance imaging. *Adv. Mater.* 2017, 29, 1605416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Zhang, M.; Cao, Y.; Chong, Y.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, H.; Deng, Z.; Hu, C.; Zhang, Z. Graphene oxide based theranostic platform for t1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging and drug delivery. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2013, 5, 13325–13332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Zhao, S.; Liu, X.; Xu, Z.; Ren, H.; Deng, B.; Tang, M.; Lu, L.; Fu, X.; Peng, H.; Liu, Z.; et al. Graphene encapsulated copper microwires as highly MRI compatible neural electrodes. *Nano Lett.* 2016, 16, 7731–7738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

107. Cao, T.; Zhou, X.; Zheng, Y.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, J.; Chen, W.; Zhang, J.; Zhou, Z.; Yang, S. Chelator-free conjugation of 99mTc and Gd3+ to PEGylated nanographene oxide for 3 dual-modality SPECT/MR imaging of lymph nodes. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2017, 9, 42612–42621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

108. Yang, K.; Hu, L.; Ma, X.; Ye, S.; Cheng, L.; Shi, X.; Li, C.; Li, Y.; Liu, Z. Multimodal imaging guided photothermal therapy using functionalized graphene nanosheets anchored with magnetic nanoparticles. *Adv. Mater.* 2012, 24, 11868–11872. [CrossRef]

109. Lin, C.H.; Chen, Y.C.; Huang, P.J. Preparation of multifunctional dopamine-coated zerovalent iron/reduced graphene oxide for targeted phototheragnosis in breast cancer. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 1957. [CrossRef]

110. Sadighian, S.; Bayat, N.; Najafiou, S.; Kermanian, M.; Hamidi, M. Preparation of graphene oxide/Fe3O4 nanocomposite as a potential magnetic nanocarrier and MRI contrast agent. *Chem. Sci.* 2021, 6, 2862–2868.

111. Peng, E.; Choo, E.S.G.; Chandrasekharan, P.; Yang, C.T.; Ding, J.; Chuang, K.H.; Xue, J.M. Synthesis of manganese ferrite/graphene oxide nanocomposites for biomedical applications. *Small* 2012, 8, 3620–3630. [CrossRef]

112. Yang, Y.; Chen, S.; Li, H.; Yuan, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Xie, J.; Hwang, D.W.; Zhang, A.; Liu, M.; Zhou, X. Engineered paramagnetic graphene quantum dots with enhanced relaxivity for tumour imaging. *Nano Lett.* 2019, 9, 441–448. [CrossRef]

113. Ding, H.; Wang, D.; Sadat, A.; Li, Z.; Hu, X.; Xu, M.; Morais, P.C.; Ge, B.; Sun, S.; Ge, J.; et al. Single-atom gadolinium anchored on graphene quantum dots as a magnetic reporter signal amplifier. *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.* 2021, 4, 2798–2809. [CrossRef]

114. Lalwani, G.; Sundararaj, J.L.; Schaefer, K.; Button, T.; Sibtharman, B. Synthesis, characterization, In vitro phantom imaging, and cytotoxicity of a novel graphene-based multimodal magnetic resonance imaging-x-ray computed tomography contrast agent. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2014, 2, 3519–3530. [CrossRef]

115. Badrigilan, S.; Shaabani, A.; Aghaji, N.G.; Mesbahi, A. Graphene quantum dots-coated bismuth nanoparticles for improved CT imaging and photothermal performance. *Int. J. Nanosci.* 2020, 19, 1850043.

116. Li, W.M.; Wei, D.M.; Wushouer, A.; Cao, S.D.; Zhao, T.T.; Yu, D.X.; Lei, D.P. Discovery and Validation of a CT-Based Radiomic Signature for Preoperative Prediction of Early Recurrence in Hypopharyngeal Carcinoma. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2020, 2020, 4340521. [CrossRef]

117. Chang, X.; Zhang, M.; Wang, C.; Zhang, J.; Wu, H.; Yang, S. Graphene oxide/BaHoF3/PEG nanocomposite for dual-modal imaging and heat shock protein inhibitor-sensitized tumour photothermal therapy. *Carbon* 2020, 158, 372–385. [CrossRef]

118. Bi, H.; He, F.; Dai, Y.; Xu, J.; Dong, Y.; Yang, D.; Gai, S.; Li, C.; Yang, P. Quad-model imaging-guided high-efficiency phototheragnosis based on upconversion nanoparticles and ZnFe2O4 integrated graphene oxide. *Inorg. Chem. 2018, 57, 9988–9998. [CrossRef]

119. Mirrahimi, M.; Alamzadeh, Z.; Beik, J.; Sarikhani, A.; Mousavi, M.; Irajirad, R.; Khani, T.; Davani, E.S.; Farashahi, A.; Ardakani, T.S.; et al. A 2D nanotheranostic platform based on graphene oxide and phase-change materials for bimodal CT/MR imaging, NIR-activated drug release, and synergistic thermo-chemotherapy. *Nanotheranostics* 2022, 6, 350–364. [CrossRef]

120. Hong, H.; Yang, K.; Zhang, Y.; Engle, J.W.; Feng, L.; Yang, Y.; Tapas, R.; Goel, N.S.; Bean, J.; Theuer, C.P.; et al. In vivo targeting and imaging of tumour vasculature with radiolabeled, antibody-conjugated nanographene. *ACS Nano* 2012, 6, 2361–2370. [CrossRef]

121. Hong, H.; Zhang, Y.; Engle, J.W.; Nayak, T.R.; Theuer, C.P.; Nickles, R.J.; Barnhart, T.E.; Cai, W. In vivo targeting and positron emission tomography imaging of tumour vasculature with 64Ga-labeled nano-graphene. *Biomaterials* 2012, 33, 4147–4156. [CrossRef]

122. Shi, S.; Yang, K.; Hong, H.; Valdivinos, H.F.; Nayak, T.R.; Zhang, Y.; Theuer, C.P.; Barnhart, T.E.; Liu, Z.; Cai, W. Tumour vasculature targeting and imaging in living mice with reduced graphene oxide. *Biomaterials* 2013, 34, 3002–3009. [CrossRef]

123. Ugalde, A.F.; Sandoval, S.; Pulagam, K.R.; Juan, A.M.; Laromaine, A.; Llop, J.; Tobias, G.; Núñez, R. Radiolabeled oblatibus (dicarbollide) anion-graphene oxide nanocomposites for in vivo bioimaging and boron delivery. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* 2021, 4, 1613–1625. [CrossRef]

124. Binte, A.; Balasundaram, G.; Moothanchery, M.; Dinish, U.S.; Renze, B.; Nitziachristos, V.; Olivo, M. A review of clinical photoacoustic imaging: Current and future trends. *Photoacoustics* 2019, 16, 100–144. [CrossRef]

125. Han, S.H. Review of photoacoustic imaging for imaging-guided spinal surgery. *Neurospine* 2018, 15, 306–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

126. Huang, K.; Zhang, Y.; Lin, J.; Huang, P. Nanomaterials for photoacoustic imaging in the second near-infrared window. *Biomater. Sci.* 2019, 7, 472–479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

127. Lalwani, G.; Cai, X.; Nie, L.; Wang, L.V. Balaji Sitharaman. Graphene-based contrast agents for photoacoustic and thermoacoustic tomography. *Photoacoustics* 2013, 1, 62–67. [CrossRef]

128. Patel, M.A.; Yang, H.; Chiu, P.L.; Mastrogiovanni, D.D.T.; Flach, C.R.; Savaram, K.; Gomez, L.; Hemmarine, A.; Mendelsohn, R.; Garfunkel, E.; et al. Direct Production of graphene nanosheets for near infrared photoacoustic imaging. *ACS Nano* 2013, 7, 8147–8157. [CrossRef]
129. Wang, Y.W.; Fu, Y.Y.; Peng, Q.; Guo, S.S.; Liu, G.; Li, J.; Yang, H.H.; Chen, G.N. Dye-enhanced graphene oxide for photothermal therapy and photothermal imaging. J. Mater. Chem. B 2015, 3, 5762–5767. [CrossRef]
130. Tournay, Y.; Domenici, F.; Orlanducci, S.; Mura, F.; Grishenkov, D.; Trochet, P.; Lacerenza, S.; Bordi, F.; Paradossi, G. Graphene meets microbubbles: A superior contrast agent for photothermal imaging. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 16465–16475. [CrossRef]
131. Jun, S.W.; Manivasagan, P.; Kwon, J.; Nguyen, V.T.; Mondal, S.; Ly, C.D.; Lee, J.; Kang, J.H.; Kim, C.S.; Oh, J. Folic acid-conjugated chitosan-functionalized graphene oxide for highly efficient photothermal imaging-guided tumour-targeted photothermal therapy. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2020, 155, 961–971. [CrossRef]
132. Jia, X.; Xu, W.; Ye, Z.; Wang, Y.; Dong, Q.; Wang, E.; Li, D.; Wang, J. Functionalized graphene@goldnanostar/lipid for pancreatic cancer gene and photothermal synergistic therapy under photothermal/photothermal imaging dual-modal guidance. Small 2020, 16, e2003707. [CrossRef]
133. Wang, Z.; Sun, X.; Huang, T.; Song, J.; Wang, Y. A Sandwich nanostructure of gold nanoparticle coated reduced graphene oxide for photocatalytic imaging-guided photothermal therapy in the second NIR window. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 2020, 8, 655. [CrossRef]
134. El-Mashtoly, S.F.; Gerwert, K. Diagnostics and Therapy Assessment Using Label-Free Raman Imaging. Anal. Chem. 2022, 94, 120–142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
135. Zhang, Z.; Liu, Q.; Gao, D.; Luo, D.; Niu, Y.; Yang, J.; Li, Y. Graphene oxide as a multifunctional platform for Raman and fluorescence imaging of cells. Small 2015, 11, 3000–3005. [CrossRef]
136. Liu, Z.; Guo, Z.; Zhong, H.; Qin, X.; Wan, M.; Yang, B. Graphene oxide based surface-enhanced Raman scattering probes for cancer cell imaging. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013, 15, 2961–2966. [CrossRef]
137. Deng, L.; Li, Q.; Yang, Y.; Omar, H.; Tang, N.; Zhang, J.; Nie, Z.; Khashab, N.M. “Two-step” Raman imaging technique to guide chemo-photothermal cancer therapy. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 17274–17281. [CrossRef]
138. Chen, Y.W.; Liu, T.Y.; Chen, P.J.; Chang, P.H.; Chen, S.Y. A high-sensitivity and low-power theranostic nanosystem for cell SERS imaging and selectively photothermal therapy using anti-egrf-conjugated reduced graphene oxide/mesoporous Silica/AuNPs nanoshes. Small 2016, 12, 1458–1468. [CrossRef]
139. Yang, L.; Kim, T.H.; Cho, H.Y.; Luo, J.; Lee, J.M.; Chueng, S.T.D.; Hou, Y.; Yin, P.T.T.; Han, J.; Kim, J.H.; et al. Hybrid graphene-gold nanoparticle-based nucleic acid conjugates for cancer-specific multimodal imaging and combined therapeutics. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2020, 31, 2006918. [CrossRef]
140. Cai, L.; Sheng, L.; Xia, M.; Li, Z.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, X.; Chen, H. Graphene oxide as a novel evenly continuous phase matrix for TOF-SIMS. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2016, 28, 399–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
141. Colliver, T.L.; Brummel, C.L.; Pacholski, M.L.; Swanek, F.D.; Ewing, A.G.; Winograd, N. Atomic and molecular imaging at the single-cell level with TOF-SIMS. Anal. Chem. 1997, 69, 2225–2231. [CrossRef]
142. Lee, T.G.; Park, J.W.; Shon, H.K.; Moon, D.W.; Choi, W.W.; Li, K.; Chung, J.H. Biochemical imaging of tissues by SIMS for single-cell level with TOF-SIMS. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2014, 25, 381–388. [CrossRef]
143. Belu, A.M.; Davies, M.C.; Newton, J.M.; Patel, N. TOF-SIMS characterization and imaging of controlled-release drug delivery systems. Anal. Chem. 2000, 72, 5625–5638. [CrossRef]
144. Lee, P.L.; Chen, B.C.; Gollavelli, G.; Shen, S.Y.; Yin, Y.S.; Lei, S.L.; Jhang, C.L.; Lee, W.R.; Ling, Y.C. Development and validation of TOF-SIMS and CLSM imaging method for cytotoxicity study of ZnO nanoparticles in HaCaT cells. J. Hazard. Mater. 2013, 277, 3012. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
145. Kim, J.Y.; Lim, H.; Moon, D.W. Mass spectrometry imaging of small molecules from live cells and tissues using nanomaterials. Surf. Interface Anal. 2022, 54, 381–388. [CrossRef]
146. Li, H.W.; Hua, X.; Long, Y.T. Graphene quantum dots enhanced ToF-SIMS for single-cell imaging. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2019, 411, 4025–4030. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
147. Roope, L.S.J.; Smith, R.D.; Pournels, K.B.; Buchanan, J.; Abel, L.; Eibich, P.; Butler, C.C.; Tan, P.S.; Walker, A.S.; Robotham, J.V.; et al. The challenge of antimicrobial resistance: What economics can contribute. Science 2019, 364, eaau4679. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
148. Costerton, J.W.; Stewart, P.S.; Greenberg, E.P. Bacterial Biofilms: A Common Cause of Persistent Infections. Science 1999, 284, 1318–1322. [CrossRef]
149. Qian, W.; Yan, C.; He, D.; Yu, X.; Yuan, L.; Liu, M.; Luo, G.; Deng, J. pH-triggered charge-reversible of glycol chitosan conjugated carboxyl graphene for enhancing photothermal ablation of focal infection. Acta Biomater. 2018, 69, 256–264. [CrossRef]
150. Wu, M.C.; Deokar, A.R.; Liao, J.H.; Shih, P.Y.; Ling, Y.C. Graphene-based photothermal agent for rapid and effective killing of bacteria. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 1281–1290. [CrossRef]
151. Gollavelli, G.; Chang, C.C.; Ling, Y.C. Facile synthesis of smart magnetic graphene for safe drinking water: Heavy metal removal and disinfection control. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2013, 1, 462–472. [CrossRef]
152. Ghule, K.; Ghule, A.V.; Chen, B.J.; Ling, Y.C. Preparation and characterization of ZnO nanoparticles coated paper and its antibacterial activity study. Green Chem. 2006, 8, 1034–1041. [CrossRef]
153. Deokar, A.R.; Lin, L.Y.; Chang, C.C.; Ling, Y.C. Single-walled carbon nanotube coated antibacterial paper: Preparation and mechanistic study. J. Mater. Chem. B 2013, 20, 2639–2646. [CrossRef]
154. Deokar, A.R.; Madhulika, S.; Ganesh, G.; Ling, Y.C. Chapter 3 Antimicrobial Perspectives for Graphene-Based Nanomaterial. In Graphene Science Handbook; Applications and Industrialization; Allokhazraei, M., Ali, N., Milne, W.I., Ozkan, G.S., Mitura, S., Gervasoni, J.L., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2016; Volume 6, pp. 27–40.

155. Bhaskar, G.; Ling, Y.C. Chapter 10 Richness of Graphene-Based Materials in Biomimetic Applications. In Graphene Science Handbook; Applications and Industrialization; Allokhazraei, M., Ali, N., Milne, W.I., Ozkan, G.S., Mitura, S., Gervasoni, J.L., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2016; Volume 6, pp. 125–142.

156. Bhaskar, G.; Ling, Y.C. Chapter 14 Carbon-based nanomaterials as nanozymes. In Carbon Nanomaterials Sourcebook: Nanoparticles, Nanocapsules, Nanofibers, Nanoporous Structures, and Nanocomposites; Sattler, B.K., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2016; Volume 2, pp. 309–333.

157. Wen, Y.J.; Yan, L.Y.; Ling, Y.C. The designing strategies of graphene-based peroxidase mimetic materials. Sci. China Chem. 2018, 61, 266–275. [CrossRef]

158. Feng, W.J.; Wang, Z.K. Biomedical applications of chitosan-graphene oxide nanocomposites. iScience 2022, 25, 103629. [CrossRef]

159. Sharma, H.; Mondal, S. Functionalized Graphene Oxide for Chemotherapeutic Drug Delivery and Cancer Treatment: A Promising Material in Nanomedicine. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 6280. [CrossRef]

160. Manzano, M.; Vallet-Regí, M. Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2020, 30, 1902634. [CrossRef]

161. Zhang, Y.; Li, S.; Xu, Y.; Shi, X.; Zhang, M.; Huang, Y.; Liang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Ji, W.; Kim, J.R.; et al. Engineering of hollow polymeric nanosphere-supported imidazolium-based ionic liquids with enhanced antimicrobial activities. Nano Res. 2022, 15, 5556–5568. [CrossRef]

162. Zhang, Y.; Song, W.; Lu, Y.; Xu, Y.; Wang, C.; Yu, D.G.; Kim, I. Recent Advances in Poly (α-L-glutamic acid)-Based Nanomaterials for Drug Delivery. Biomolecules 2022, 12, 636. [CrossRef]

163. Tang, Y.; Varyambath, A.; Ding, Y.; Chen, B.; Huang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, D.G.; Kim, I.; Song, W. Porous organic polymers for drug delivery: Hierarchical pore structures, variable morphologies, and biological properties. Biomater. Sci. 2022; advance article.

164. Zhang, Y.; Kim, I.; Lu, Y.; Xu, Y.; Yu, D.G.; Song, W. Intelligent poly (l-histidine)-based nanovehicles for controlled drug delivery. J. Control. Release 2022, 10, 963–982. [CrossRef]

165. Chen, H.; Chen, Z.; Yang, H.; Wen, L.; Yi, Z.; Zhou, Z.; Dai, B.; Zhang, J.; Wue, X.; Wu, P. Multi-mode surface plasmon resonance absorber based on dart-type single-layer graphene. RSC Adv. 2012, 12, 7821. [CrossRef]

166. Cai, L.; Zhang, Z.; Xiao, H.; Chena, S.; Fua, J. An eco-friendly imprinted polymer based on graphene quantum dots for fluorescent detection of p-nitroaniline. RSC Adv. 2019, 9, 41383. [CrossRef]

167. Tang, N.; Li, Y.; Chen, F.; Han, Z. In situ fabrication of a direct Z-scheme photocatalyst by immobilizing CdS quantum dots in the channels of graphene-hybridized and supported mesoporous titanium nanocrystals for high photocatalytic performance under visible light. RSC Adv. 2018, 8, 42233. [CrossRef]