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Abstract

Applying the equations of motion together with corresponding boundary conditions of bulk profiles at infrared and ultraviolet branes, we verify some lemmas on the eigenvalues of Kaluza-Klein modes in framework of warped extra dimension with the custodial symmetry $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X \times P_{LR}$. Using the lemmas and performing properly analytic extensions of bulk profiles, we present the sufficient condition for a convergent series of Kaluza-Klein excitations and sum over the series through the residue theorem. The method can also be applied to sum over the infinite series of Kaluza-Klein excitations in unified extra dimension. Additional, we analyze the possible connection between the propagators in five dimensional full theory and the product of bulk profiles with corresponding propagators of exciting Kaluza-Klein modes in four dimensional effective theory, and recover some relations presented in literature for warped and unified extra dimensions respectively. As an example, we demonstrate that the corrections from neutral Higgs to the Wilson coefficients of relevant operators for $B \to X_s \gamma$ contain the suppression factor $m_b^3 m_s / m_w^4$ comparing with that from other sectors, thus can be neglected safely.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Extensions of the standard model (SM) with a warped dimension [1–4], where all SM fields are propagating in the bulk, provide a naturally geometrical solution to the hierarchy problem regarding the huge difference between the Planck scale and the electroweak one. The small mixing between zero modes and heavy Kaluza-Klein (KK) excitations can induce the observed fermion masses and corresponding weak mixing angles [5, 6], and suppress flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) couplings [7, 8]. Additional, realistic models of electroweak symmetry breaking in warped extra dimension are constructed in literature [9–14], and the gauge coupling unification with a warped extra dimension is also analyzed in Ref.[15, 16].

If the SM gauge group $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ is chosen in the bulk for extensions of the SM with a warped extra dimension, the electroweak precision observables, for example the experimental data on the $S, T$ parameters and the well-measured $Zb_L b_L$ coupling [17–20], generally require that the exciting KK modes are heavier than 10 TeV and exceed the reach of colliders running now. In order to accomodate light exciting KK modes with $O$(1TeV) masses in warped extra dimension, literature [21, 22] enlarges the gauge group in the bulk to $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X \times P_{LR}$. With an appropriate choice of quark bulk masses, one indeed obtains the agreement with the electroweak precision data in the presence of light KK excitations [23, 24]. Actually, the electroweak precision observables are consistent with the light fermion KK modes with masses even below 1Tev while the masses of the KK gauge bosons are forced to be at least $(2-3)$TeV to be consistent with the data on the parameter $S$.

However, the large FCNC transitions are aroused by light exciting KK modes if we assume that the hierarchy of fermion masses together with corresponding weak mixings solely originate from geometry and the fundamental Yukawa couplings in five dimension are anarchic [25–30]. To suppress the large FCNC processes mediated by light exciting KK modes, literature [31] introduces the bulk and brane flavor symmetries where the naturally geometric explanation of fermion hierarchies is abandoned. The author of Ref. [32] proposes the minimal flavor protection where a global $U(3)$ bulk flavor symmetry is imposed on the triplet representations of the local gauge symmetry $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ in the quark sector.
In a similar way, literature [33] chooses the global flavor symmetry \( U(3)_L \times U(3)_R \) on lepton sector to control relevant FCNC transitions. Another approach to suppress FCNC processes in warped extra dimension introduces two horizontal \( U(1) \) symmetries which guarantee bulk masses in alignment with Yukawa couplings for charge \(-1/3\) quarks and charge \(-1\) leptons respectively [34]. An analogous strategy to solve the FCNC problems in warped geometry is based on \( A_4 \) flavor symmetry [35, 36]. A very different approach has been presented in Ref. [37, 38] where the bulk mass matrices are expressed in terms of five dimensional Yukawa couplings, thus flavor violation at low energy can be suppressed rationally. Comparing with the choices mentioned above, the warped extra dimension with a soft-wall [39–44] perhaps provides a natural solution to accommodate FCNC transitions at acceptable level and the lightest KK excitations with \( O(1\text{TeV}) \) masses simultaneously [45].

In a warped extra dimension with the custodial symmetry \( SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X \times P_{LR} \), a meticulous analysis on the electroweak and flavor structure is provided in Ref. [46], a complete study of rare \( K \) and \( B \) meson decays is presented in Ref. [47], the impact from KK excitations of fermions on the couplings among the SM particles is given in Ref. [48]. Assuming all fields are propagating in the bulk, the authors of literature [55] analyze the FCNC processes mediated by a light Higgs, the authors of literature [50] present an analysis on production and decay of KK gravitons at the LHC, and the authors of literature [51] study signals for KK excitations of electroweak and strong gauge bosons in the LHC. Additional, an analysis of loop-induced rare lepton FCNC transition \( \mu \to e\gamma \) in a warped extra dimension with anarchic Yukawa couplings and IR brane localized Higgs is presented in literature [52] through the five dimensional mixed position/momentum space formalism [53] and mass insertion approach.

It is well known that all virtual KK excitations contribute their corrections to theoretical predictions on the physical quantities at electroweak scale, and those theoretical corrections should be summed over infinite KK modes in principle [54, 55]. In this work, we verify some lemmas on the eigenvalues of exciting KK modes in extension of the SM with a warped extra dimension and the custodial symmetry \( SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X \times P_{LR} \). Performing properly analytic extensions of the bulk profiles, we sum over the infinite series of KK modes through the residue theorem. Additional, we also present the sufficient condition
for a convergent series of infinite KK modes in extensions of the SM with a warped extra
dimension. The method can also be applied to sum over the infinite series of KK modes
in unified extra dimension. The emphasized point here is that the authors of literature\[54]\nalso propose to sum over infinite series of KK modes applying the equations of motion and
corresponding completeness relations of bulk profiles. Nevertheless, the method proposed
there can only be applied to sum over the infinite series of KK modes for the five dimensional
fields with zero bulk mass and zero modes in extensions of the SM with a warped extra
dimension.

Our presentation is organized as follows. In section [II] the main ingredients of a warped
extra dimension with custodial symmetry are summarized briefly, the KK decompositions
of all five dimensional fields and relevant bulk profiles are given here also. In section [III]
we present the verification of relevant lemmas on the eigenvalues of exciting KK modes in
detail. In order to sum over the infinite series of KK modes properly, we also discuss how to
extend bulk profiles analytically. We discuss the possible relation between the perturbative
expansions in four dimensional effective theory and five dimensional full theory in section
[IV]. Furthermore, we also recover the equations presented in Ref.[18] through the residue
theorem. In section [V] we show how to sum over the infinite series of KK modes in uni-
fied extra dimension using residue theorem through recover an important relation applied
extensively in literature. As an example, we demonstrate in section [VI] that the corrections
from neutral Higgs to the Wilson coefficients of relevant operators for $B \rightarrow X_s\gamma$ contain
the suppression factor $m_b^3m_s/m_w^4$ in comparison with that from other sectors, thus can be
neglected safely. Our conclusions are summarized in Section [VII].

II. A WARPED EXTRA DIMENSION WITH CUSTODIAL SYMMETRY

In the Randall-Sundrum (RS) scenario, four dimensional Minkowskian space-time is
embedded into a slice of five dimensional anti de-Sitter (ADS$_5$) space with curvature $k$. The
fifth dimension is a $S^1/Z_2 \times Z'_2$ orbifold of size $r$ labeled by a coordinate $\phi \in [-\pi, \pi]$, thus
the points $(x^\mu, \phi), (x^\mu, \pi - \phi), (x^\mu, \pi + \phi)$ and $(x^\mu, -\phi)$ are identified all. The corresponding
metric of non-factorizable RS geometry is written as
\[ ds^2 = e^{-2\sigma(\phi)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - r^2 d\phi^2, \quad \sigma(\phi) = kr|\phi|, \]  
(1)

where \( x^\mu (\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3) \) are the coordinates on the four dimensional hyper-surfaces of constant \( \phi \) with metric \( \eta_{\mu\nu} = (1, -1, -1, -1) \), and \( e^\sigma \) is called the warp factor. Two branes are located on the orbifold fixed points \( \phi = 0 \) and \( \phi = \pi/2 \), respectively. The brane on \( \phi = 0 \) is called Planck or ultra-violet (UV) brane, and the brane on \( \phi = \pi/2 \) is called TeV or infra-red (IR) brane. Assuming the parameters \( k \) and \( 1/r \) to be of order the fundamental Planck scale \( M_{\text{Pl}} \) and choosing the product \( kr \simeq 24 \), one gets the inverse warp factor
\[ \epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{IR}} / \epsilon_{\text{UV}} \equiv e^{-kr\pi/2} \simeq 10^{-16}, \]  
(2)

which explains the hierarchy between the electroweak and Planck scale naturally. Meanwhile, the mass scale of low-lying KK excitations is set as
\[ \Lambda_{\text{KK}} \equiv k\epsilon = ke^{-kr\pi/2} = \mathcal{O}(1\text{TeV}). \]  
(3)

In the gauge symmetry \( SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X \times P_{LR} \), the discrete symmetry \( P_{LR} \) interchanging the local groups \( SU(2)_L \) and \( SU(2)_R \) implies that the five dimensional gauge couplings satisfy the relation \( g_{5L} = g_{5R} = g_5 \). The local gauge group \( SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X \) is broken to the SM gauge group by the boundary conditions (BCs) on the UV brane:
\[ W^{1,2,3}_{L,\mu}(++) , B_\mu(++) , W^{1,2}_{R,\mu}(-+) , Z_{X,\mu}(-+) , (\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3), \]
\[ W^{1,2,3}_{L,5}(--) , B_5(--) , W^{1,2}_{R,5}(+-) , Z_{X,5}(+-). \]  
(4)

Here, the first (second) sign is the BC on the UV (IR) brane: + denotes a Neumann BC and − denotes a Dirichlet BC. The zero modes of fields with (++)BCs are massless before the electroweak symmetry is spontaneously broken, and are identified as the SM gauge bosons. The fields with other BCs only contain massive KK modes. The third component of \( SU(2)_R \) gauge fields \( W^3_{R,M} \) and the \( U(1)_X \) gauge field \( \tilde{B}_M \) are expressed in terms of the neutral gauge fields \( Z_{X,M} \) and \( B_M \) as
\[ W^3_{R,M} = \frac{g_5 Z_{X,M} + g_{5X} B_M}{\sqrt{g_5^2 + g_{5X}^2}}, \quad \tilde{B}_M = -\frac{g_{5X} Z_{X,M} - g_5 B_M}{\sqrt{g_5^2 + g_{5X}^2}}, (M = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5), \]  
(5)
where $g_{5X}$ is the five dimensional gauge coupling of $U(1)_X$. The Lagrangian for gauge sector is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{\rho} g^{KN} g^{LN} \left( -\frac{1}{4} W^i_{KL} W^{ri}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{W}^i_{KL} \tilde{W}^{ri}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} B_{KL} \tilde{B}_{MN} - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{KL} G^a_{MN} \right). \quad (6)$$

For convenience in our discussion further, we define the following five dimensional fields:

$$A_A = \frac{\sqrt{g_5^2 + g_{5X}^2} B_A + g_{5X} W^3_{L,A}}{\sqrt{g_5^2 + 2 g_{5X}^2}},$$

$$Z_A = \frac{-g_{5X} B_A + \sqrt{g_5^2 + g_{5X}^2} W^3_{L,A}}{\sqrt{g_5^2 + 2 g_{5X}^2}},$$

$$W_{L,A}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( W_{L,A}^1 \pm i W_{L,A}^2 \right),$$

$$W_{R,A}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( W_{R,A}^1 \pm i W_{R,A}^2 \right). \quad (7)$$

As regards the matter fields, the quarks of one generation are embedded into the multiplets:

$$Q_L^i = \begin{pmatrix}
X_{UL}^i(+-+-5/3) & q_{UL}^i(++)2/3 \\
X_{DL}^i(+-+-2/3) & q_{DL}^i(++)1/3
\end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_R^i = u_R^i(++)2/3,$$

$$\tilde{Q}_{d_R}^i = \begin{pmatrix}
X_{UL}^i(+-+-5/3) \\
U_{R}^i(+-+-2/3) \\
D_{R}^i(+-+-1/3)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_{d_R}^i = \begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{X}_R^i(+-+-5/3) \\
\tilde{U}_R^i(+-+-2/3) \\
\tilde{D}_R^i(+-+-1/3)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

and the states with opposite chirality are written as

$$Q_R^i = \begin{pmatrix}
X_{UR}^i(+-+-5/3) & q_{UR}^i(+-+-2/3) \\
X_{dR}^i(+-+-2/3) & q_{dR}^i(+-+-1/3)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_{u_L}^i = u_L^i(+-+-2/3),$$

$$\tilde{Q}_{d_L}^i = \begin{pmatrix}
X_{UL}^i(+-+-5/3) \\
U_{L}^i(+-+-2/3) \\
D_{L}^i(+-+-1/3)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_{d_L}^i = \begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{X}_L^i(+-+-5/3) \\
\tilde{U}_L^i(+-+-2/3) \\
\tilde{D}_L^i(+-+-1/3)
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Here, $i = 1, 2, 3$ denote the indices of generation, the $U(1)_X$ charges are all assigned as

$$Y_{Q^i} = Y_{u^i} = Y_{Q^d_i} = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (10)$$
In order to give the kinetic terms of triplets, we redefine the quarks in triplet as

\[ T_{QR}^i = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_R^i + D_R^i) \right), \quad T_{LR}^i = \left( \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{X}_R^i + d_R^i) \right), \]

\[ \bar{T}_{Q_R}^i = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_R^i - D_R^i) \right), \quad \bar{T}_{Q_L}^i = \left( \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{X}_R^i - d_R^i) \right). \]  

(11)

Correspondingly, the Lagrangian for kinetic terms of quarks can be written as

\[ \mathcal{L}_Q = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2r} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ (\overline{\mathcal{Q}})^a_{a_1a_2} i E_A^M \gamma^A \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} (\partial_M - \overline{\nabla}_M) + ig_{5a} T^a G^a_M + ig_{5X} Y_{Qd} \tilde{B}_M \right) \delta_{a_1a_2} \right. \right. \]

\[ \left. + ig_{5}(\frac{\sigma^c 1}{2})_{a_1b_1} W^c_{LM} \delta_{a_2b_2} + ig_{5}(\frac{\sigma^c 2}{2})_{a_1b_1} W^c_{LM} \delta_{a_2b_2} \right] \left( Q^i \right)_{b_1b_2} + \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^a_{a_1a_2} \left[ i E_A^M \gamma^A \omega_M - \text{sgn}(\phi)(c_b)_{ij} \right] \left( Q^i \right)_{a_1a_2} \]

\[ + \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^a_{a_1a_2} \left[ i E_A^M \gamma^A \omega_M - \text{sgn}(\phi)(c^s)_{ij} \right] \left( Q^i \right)_{a_1a_2} \]

\[ + \overline{T}_Q^a_{a_1} i E_A^M \gamma^A \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} (\partial_M - \overline{\nabla}_M) + ig_{5s} T^a G^a_M + ig_{5X} Y_{Qd} \tilde{B}_M \right) \delta_{a_1b_1} \right. \]

\[ + ig_{5}(\xi_{a_1b_1 c_1}) W^c_{LM} \right] (\overline{T}_Q)_{b_1} + \overline{T}_Q^a_{a_1} \left[ i E_A^M \gamma^A \omega_M - \text{sgn}(\phi)(\eta_b)_{ij} \right] (\overline{T}_Q)_{a_1} \]

\[ + \overline{T}_Q^a_{a_1} i E_A^M \gamma^A \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} (\partial_M - \overline{\nabla}_M) + ig_{5s} T^a G^a_M + ig_{5X} Y_{Qd} \tilde{B}_M \right) \delta_{a_1b_1} \right. \]

\[ + ig_{5}(\xi_{a_1b_1 c_1}) W^c_{LM} \right] (\overline{T}_Q)_{b_1} + (\overline{T}_Q)_{a_1} \left[ i E_A^M \gamma^A \omega_M - \text{sgn}(\phi)(c^s)_{ij} \right] (\overline{T}_Q)_{a_1} + h.c. \} \]  

(12)

with \( \gamma^A = (\gamma^\mu, -i\gamma^5) \), the inverse vielbein \( E_A^a_B = \text{diag}(e^{\sigma(\phi)}, e^{\sigma(\phi)}, e^{\sigma(\phi)}, e^{\sigma(\phi)}, \frac{1}{r}) \), and the spin connection \( \omega_A = (\text{sgn}(\phi)\frac{i}{2}ke^{-\sigma(\phi)}\gamma_\mu\gamma^5, 0) \). Generally, three bulk mass matrices \( c_B, c_s, c_T \) are arbitrarily hermitian \( 3 \times 3 \) matrices.

To break down the electroweak symmetry, we introduce an IR brane located Higgs which transforms as a self-dual bidoublet under the gauge group \( SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \), and transforms as a singlet with charge \( Y_H = 0 \) under the gauge group \( U(1)_X \):

\[ H = \begin{pmatrix} -i\pi^+ /\sqrt{2} & -(h^0 - i\pi^0) / 2 \\ (h^0 + i\pi^0) / 2 & i\pi^- /\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \]  

(13)
After normalizing the kinetic term of Higgs in five dimension, we write the corresponding Lagrangian as

\[
\mathcal{L}_H = \text{Tr} \left[ (D_\mu \Phi(x))^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi(x)) \right] - \mu^2 \text{Tr} (\Phi^\dagger(x) \Phi(x)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left[ \text{Tr} (\Phi^\dagger(x) \Phi(x)) \right]^2. \tag{14}
\]

Accordingly, the Yukawa couplings between quarks and Higgs can be formulated as

\[
\mathcal{L}_Y^Q = e^{k r \pi/2} \sqrt{-G_{1R}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \left\{ \sqrt{2} \lambda_{ij} \tau^i_{aa} H_{aa} u^j - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{ij} \tau^i_{aa} (T^j_d) c H_{ba} \right\}, \tag{15}
\]

here the metric on the IR brane is\( G_{\mu \nu}^{IR} = e^{k r \pi/2} \eta_{\mu \nu} \). In the following we choose to work in the background gauge with \( \xi = 1.5 9 \). Furthermore, we also assume that three bulk mass matrices \( c_\beta, c_s, c_T \) are real and diagonal, i.e. each of them is described by three real parameters. This can always be obtained through some appropriate field redefinitions.

The KK decompositions of five dimensional gauge fields are extensively studied in literature, and can be written in our notations as

\[
A_\mu(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{(n)}_\mu(x) \chi^{A}_{(+)} (y^{A(n)}_+(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
A_\phi(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{KK} \sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{(n)}_A} \phi^{(n)}_A (x) \partial_\phi \chi^{A}_{(+)} (y^{A(n)}_+(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
Z_\mu(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z^{(n)}_\mu(x) \chi^{Z}_{(+)} (y^{Z(n)}_+(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
Z_\phi(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{(n)}_Z} \phi^{(n)}_Z (x) \partial_\phi \chi^{Z}_{(+)} (y^{Z(n)}_+(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
Z_{X,\mu}(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z^{(n)}_{X,\mu}(x) \chi^{Z}_{(-)} (y^{Z(n)}_{(-)}(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
Z_{X,\phi}(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{(n)}_Z} \phi^{(n)}_{Z} (x) \partial_\phi \chi^{Z}_{(-)} (y^{Z(n)}_{(-)}(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
W^{\pm}_{L,\mu}(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W^{\pm(n)}_{L,\mu}(x) \chi^{W_L}_{(+)} (y^{W_L(n)}_{(+)}(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
W^{\pm}_{L,\phi}(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{(n)}_L} \phi^{(n)}_{W_L} (x) \partial_\phi \chi^{W_L}_{(+)} (y^{W_L(n)}_{(+)}(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
W^{\pm}_{R,\mu}(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W^{\pm(n)}_{R,\mu}(x) \chi^{W_R}_{(-)} (y^{W_R(n)}_{(-)}(\phi), \phi),
\]

\[
W^{\pm}_{R,\phi}(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{(n)}_L} \phi^{(n)}_{W_R} (x) \partial_\phi \chi^{W_R}_{(-)} (y^{W_R(n)}_{(-)}(\phi), \phi),
\]
\[ W_{R,\phi}(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{KK} \sqrt{r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y_{W_R}^{(n)}} \varphi_{W_R}^{\pm(n)}(x) \partial_{\phi} \chi_{W_R}^{\pm(n)}(y_{W_R}^{(n)}), \]

\[ G^\pm(x, \phi) = \sum_n \{ b_n^{WL}(\phi) \varphi_{WL}^{\pm(n)}(x) + b_n^{WR}(\phi) \varphi_{WR}^{\pm(n)}(x) \}, \]

\[ G^0(x, \phi) = \sum_n \{ b_n^W(\phi) \varphi_{W}^{(n)}(x) + b_n^Z(\phi) \varphi_{Z}^{(n)}(x) \}; \]

\[ G^a_\mu(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{\mu}^{a(n)}(x) \chi_{(++)}^g(y_{(++)}^{(n)}), \]

\[ G^a_\phi(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{KK} \sqrt{r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y_{g}^{(n)}} \varphi_{g}^{a(n)}(x) \partial_{\phi} \chi_{(++)}^g(y_{(++)}^{(n)}). \]

As \( G = A, Z, W_L^\pm, g, y_{(++)}^{G(n)} (n = 0, 1, \cdots, \infty) \) denote the roots of equation \( z^2 R_{(++)}^{G,\epsilon}(z) = 0 \) with

\[ R_{(++)}^{G,\epsilon}(z) = Y_0(z) J_0(z \epsilon) - J_0(z) Y_0(z \epsilon). \]

When \( G = Z, W_R^\pm, y_{(---)}^{G(n)} (n = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty) \) denote the roots of equation \( R_{(---)}^{G,\epsilon}(z) = 0 \) with

\[ R_{(---)}^{G,\epsilon}(z) = Y_0(z) J_1(z \epsilon) - J_0(z) Y_1(z \epsilon). \]

In order to give the bulk profiles of those five dimensional fields conveniently, we introduce a coordinate \( t = \epsilon \exp(\sigma(\phi)) \) which takes values between \( t = \epsilon \) (UV brane) and \( t = 1 \) (IR brane). In terms of the variable \( t \), we write the bulk fields for those gauge fields as

\[ \chi_{(++)}^G(y_{(++)}^{G(n)}), \phi) = \frac{t \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(y_{(++)}^{G(n)}), t)}{N_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(y_{(++)}^{G(n)})} = \frac{t \Phi_{(ir)}^{G(+)}(y_{(++)}^{G(n)}), t)}{N_{(ir)}^{G(+)}(y_{(++)}^{G(n)})}, \]

\[ \chi_{(--)}^G(y_{(--)}^{G(n)}), \phi) = \frac{t \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(y_{(--)}^{G(n)}), t)}{N_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(y_{(--)}^{G(n)})} = \frac{t \Phi_{(ir)}^{G(-)}(y_{(--)}^{G(n)}), t)}{N_{(ir)}^{G(-)}(y_{(--)}^{G(n)})}, \]

with

\[ \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(y, t) = Y_0(\epsilon y) J_1(y t) - J_0(\epsilon y) Y_1(y t), \]

\[ \Phi_{(ir)}^{G(+)}(y, t) = Y_0(y) J_1(y t) - J_0(y) Y_1(y t), \]

\[ \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(y, t) = Y_1(\epsilon y) J_1(y t) - J_1(\epsilon y) Y_1(y t), \]

\[ \Psi_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(y, t) = Y_0(\epsilon y) J_0(y t) - J_0(\epsilon y) Y_0(y t), \]

\[ \Psi_{(ir)}^{G(-)}(y, t) = Y_0(y) J_0(y t) - J_0(y) Y_0(y t), \]

\[ \Psi_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(y, t) = Y_1(\epsilon y) J_0(y t) - J_1(\epsilon y) Y_0(y t). \]
It is easy to check Eq. (20) satisfying the equation of motions

\[ t \frac{\partial \Phi^{G(+)}_{(uv)}}{\partial t}(y, t) + \Phi^{G(+)\,(uv)}_{(uv)}(y, t) = yt\Psi^{G(-\,(uv))}(y, t), \]
\[ t \frac{\partial \Phi^{G(+)}_{(ir)}}{\partial t}(y, t) + \Phi^{G(+)\,(ir)}_{(ir)}(y, t) = yt\Psi^{G(-\,(ir))}(y, t), \]
\[ t \frac{\partial \Phi^{G(-)}_{(uv)}}{\partial t}(y, t) + \Phi^{G(-\,(uv))}_{(uv)}(y, t) = yt\Psi^{G(+\,(uv))}(y, t), \]
\[ t \frac{\partial \Psi^{G(-\,(uv))}}{\partial t}(y, t) = -yt\Phi^{G(+\,(uv))}(y, t), \]
\[ t \frac{\partial \Phi^{G(-\,(ir))}}{\partial t}(y, t) = -yt\Phi^{G(+\,(ir))}(y, t), \]
\[ t \frac{\partial \Psi^{G(+)\,(uv))}}{\partial t}(y, t) = -yt\Phi^{G(-\,(uv))}(y, t). \] (21)

The corresponding normalization constants are formulated as

\[ \left[ N^{G(+)\,(uv)}(y) \right]^2 = \frac{2}{kr} \left\{ \left( \Phi^{G(+\,(uv))}(y, 1) \right)^2 - e^2 \left( \Phi^{G(+\,(uv))}(y, \epsilon) \right)^2 + \left( \Psi^{G(-\,(uv))}(y, 1) \right)^2 - \frac{2}{y} \Phi^{G(+\,(uv))}(y, 1)\Psi^{G(-\,(uv))}(y, 1) \right\}, \]
\[ \left[ N^{G(+)\,(ir)}(y) \right]^2 = \frac{2}{kr} \left\{ \left( \Phi^{G(+\,(ir))}(y, 1) \right)^2 - e^2 \left( \Phi^{G(+\,(ir))}(y, \epsilon) \right)^2 - \frac{2}{y} \Phi^{G(+\,(ir))}(y, \epsilon)\Psi^{G(-\,(ir))}(y, \epsilon) \right\}, \]
\[ \left[ N^{G(-\,(uv))}(y) \right]^2 = \frac{2}{kr} \left\{ \left( \Psi^{G(+\,(uv))}(y, 1) \right)^2 - e^2 \left( \Psi^{G(+\,(uv))}(y, \epsilon) \right)^2 + \left( \Phi^{G(-\,(uv))}(y, 1) \right)^2 - \frac{2}{y} \Phi^{G(+\,(uv))}(y, 1)\Phi^{G(-\,(uv))}(y, 1) \right\}. \] (22)

Similarly, the KK decompositions of five dimensional quark fields are written as

\[ \chi^{i\,(uv)}_{ul}(x, \phi) = \frac{e^{2\sigma(\phi)}}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{n} \chi^{i\,(n)}_{ul}(x) f_{L,cB}^{L,eB}(y^{cB}_{-\,(\mp \pm )}, \phi), \]
\[ \chi^{i\,(uv)}_{dl}(x, \phi) = \frac{e^{2\sigma(\phi)}}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{n} \chi^{i\,(n)}_{dl}(x) f_{L,cB}^{L,eB}(y^{cB}_{+(\mp \pm )}, \phi), \]
\[ q^{i\,(uv)}_{ul}(x, \phi) = \frac{e^{2\sigma(\phi)}}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{n} q^{i\,(n)}_{ul}(x) f_{L,cB}^{L,eB}(y^{cB}_{-\,(\pm \pm )}, \phi), \]
\[ q^{i\,(uv)}_{dl}(x, \phi) = \frac{e^{2\sigma(\phi)}}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{n} q^{i\,(n)}_{dl}(x) f_{L,cB}^{L,eB}(y^{cB}_{+(\pm \pm )}, \phi), \]
\[ u^{i\,(uv)}_{R}(x, \phi) = \frac{e^{2\sigma(\phi)}}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{n} u^{i\,(n)}_{R}(x) f_{R,cB}^{R,eB}(y^{cB}_{-\,(\mp \mp )}, \phi), \]
In Eq. (23), the eigenvalues \( y^{c(n)}_{\pm \pm} \) \((n \geq 1)\) satisfy the equation \( R^{c,\epsilon}_{\pm \pm}(z) \equiv 0 \), \( y^{c(n)}_{\pm \mp} \) \((n \geq 1)\) satisfy the equation \( R^{c,\epsilon}_{\pm \mp}(z) \equiv 0 \), and the
eigenvalues \( y_c^{(n)}(n \geq 1) \) satisfy the equation \( R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) \equiv 0 \), respectively. Here, the concrete expressions of \( R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) \), \( R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) \), \( R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) \), \( R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) \) are

\[
R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) = \begin{cases} 
Y_N(z) J_N(z\epsilon) - J_N(z) Y_N(z\epsilon), & c = N + \frac{1}{2}, \\
J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z) J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(z\epsilon) - J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(z) J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z\epsilon), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2},
\end{cases}
\]

\[
R_{(\pm \mp)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) = \begin{cases} 
J_{N+1}(z) Y_{N+1}(z\epsilon) - Y_{N+1}(z) J_{N+1}(z\epsilon), & c = N + \frac{1}{2}, \\
J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z) J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z\epsilon) + J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(z) J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(z\epsilon), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2},
\end{cases}
\]

\[
R_{(\mp \mp)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) = \begin{cases} 
Y_N(z) J_{N+1}(z\epsilon) - J_{N+1}(z) Y_N(z\epsilon), & c = N + \frac{1}{2}, \\
J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z) J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z\epsilon) + J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(z) J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(z\epsilon), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2},
\end{cases}
\]

\[
R_{(\mp \mp)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) = \begin{cases} 
J_{N+1}(z) Y_N(z\epsilon) - Y_{N+1}(z) J_{N+1}(z\epsilon), & c = N + \frac{1}{2}, \\
J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z) J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z\epsilon) - J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(z) J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(z\epsilon), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}.
\end{cases}
\]

In terms of the variable \( t \), those bulk profiles for five dimensional fermions are formulated as

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_{L,c}^{(\pm \pm)}(y_c^{(n)}(\pm \pm), \phi) &= \sqrt{t} \varphi_{L(\pm \pm)}^{c,+}(y_c^{(n)}(\pm \pm), t) = \sqrt{t} \varphi_{L(\pm \pm)}^{c,-}(y_c^{(n)}(\pm \pm), t), \\
 f_{L,c}^{(\pm \mp)}(y_c^{(n)}(\pm \mp), \phi) &= \sqrt{t} \varphi_{L(\pm \mp)}^{c,+}(y_c^{(n)}(\pm \mp), t) = \sqrt{t} \varphi_{L(\pm \mp)}^{c,-}(y_c^{(n)}(\pm \mp), t), \\
 f_{L,c}^{(\mp \mp)}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), \phi) &= \sqrt{t} \varphi_{L(\mp \mp)}^{c,+}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), t) = \sqrt{t} \varphi_{L(\mp \mp)}^{c,-}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), t), \\
 f_{R,c}^{(\pm \pm)}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \pm), \phi) &= \sqrt{t} \varphi_{R(\mp \pm)}^{c,+}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \pm), t) = \sqrt{t} \varphi_{R(\mp \pm)}^{c,-}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \pm), t), \\
 f_{R,c}^{(\pm \mp)}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), \phi) &= \sqrt{t} \varphi_{R(\mp \mp)}^{c,+}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), t) = \sqrt{t} \varphi_{R(\mp \mp)}^{c,-}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), t), \\
 f_{R,c}^{(\mp \mp)}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), \phi) &= \sqrt{t} \varphi_{R(\mp \mp)}^{c,+}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), t) = \sqrt{t} \varphi_{R(\mp \mp)}^{c,-}(y_c^{(n)}(\mp \mp), t),
\end{align*}
\]
with

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi^{(+)}_{L_{(ir)}(y, t)} &= \begin{cases} 
Y_N(y)J_{N+1}(yt) - J_N(y)Y_{N+1}(yt), & c = N + \frac{1}{2} \\
J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt) + J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(yt), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}, 
\end{cases} \\
\varphi^{(+)}_{L_{(uu)}(y, t)} &= \begin{cases} 
Y_N(ye)J_{N+1}(yt) - J_N(y)Y_{N+1}(yt), & c = N + \frac{1}{2} \\
J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt) + J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(ye)J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(yt), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}, 
\end{cases} \\
\varphi^{(-)}_{L_{(ir)}(y, t)} &= \begin{cases} 
Y_{N+1}(y)J_{N+1}(yt) - J_N(y)Y_{N+1}(yt), & c = N + \frac{1}{2} \\
J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt) - J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(yt), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}, 
\end{cases} \\
\varphi^{(-)}_{L_{(uu)}(y, t)} &= \begin{cases} 
Y_{N+1}(ye)J_{N+1}(yt) - J_N(y)Y_{N+1}(yt), & c = N + \frac{1}{2} \\
J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt) - J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(ye)J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(yt), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}, 
\end{cases} \\
\varphi^{(-)}_{R_{(ir)}(y, t)} &= \begin{cases} 
Y_N(y)J_N(yt) - J_N(y)Y_N(yt), & c = N + \frac{1}{2} \\
J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt) - J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}, 
\end{cases} \\
\varphi^{(-)}_{R_{(uu)}(y, t)} &= \begin{cases} 
Y_{N+1}(y)J_{N+1}(yt) - J_N(y)Y_{N+1}(yt), & c = N + \frac{1}{2} \\
J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt) - J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}, 
\end{cases} \\
\varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(ir)}(y, t)} &= \begin{cases} 
Y_{N+1}(y)J_{N+1}(yt) - J_N(y)Y_{N+1}(yt), & c = N + \frac{1}{2} \\
J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt) + J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(yt), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}, 
\end{cases} \\
\varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(uu)}(y, t)} &= \begin{cases} 
Y_{N+1}(ye)J_{N+1}(yt) - J_N(ye)Y_{N+1}(yt), & c = N + \frac{1}{2} \\
J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(y)J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(yt) + J_{c+\frac{1}{2}}(ye)J_{c-\frac{1}{2}}(yt), & c \neq N + \frac{1}{2}. 
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

It should pointed out that those bulk profiles satisfy the following equations of motion

\[
\begin{align*}
t \frac{\partial \varphi^{(+)}_{L_{(ir)}(y, t)}}{\partial t}(y, t) + \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right) \varphi^{(+)}_{L_{(ir)}(y, t)}(y, t) &= yt \varphi^{(\mp)}_{L_{(ir)}(y, t)}, \\
t \frac{\partial \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(ir)}(y, t)}}{\partial t}(y, t) - \left( c - \frac{1}{2} \right) \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(ir)}(y, t)}(y, t) &= -yt \varphi^{(\mp)}_{L_{(ir)}(y, t)}, \\
t \frac{\partial \varphi^{(+)}_{L_{(uu)}(y, t)}}{\partial t}(y, t) + \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right) \varphi^{(+)}_{L_{(uu)}(y, t)}(y, t) &= yt \varphi^{(\mp)}_{R_{(uu)}(y, t)}, \\
t \frac{\partial \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(uu)}(y, t)}}{\partial t}(y, t) - \left( c - \frac{1}{2} \right) \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(uu)}(y, t)}(y, t) &= -yt \varphi^{(\mp)}_{L_{(uu)}(y, t)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

(27)

Meanwhile, the normalization factors can be written as

\[
\left[ N_{L_{(ir)}}^{(+)}(y) \right]^2 = \frac{2}{k_e r \epsilon} \left\{ \left( \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{(+)}(y, 1) \right)^2 - \epsilon^2 \left( \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{(+)}(y, \epsilon) \right)^2 - \epsilon^2 \left( \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{(-)}(y, \epsilon) \right)^2 \right\}
\]
\[+(2c + 1) \frac{\epsilon}{y}(\phi_{L_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\phi_{R_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon))\],

\[\left[N_{R_{(ir)}}^{(-)}(y)\right]^2 = \frac{2}{k_{re}}\left\{\left(\phi_{L_{(ir)}}(y, 1)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{L_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{R_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 + (2c - 1)\frac{\epsilon}{y}\phi_{L_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\phi_{R_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\right\},\]

\[\left[N_{L_{(ir)}}^{(-)}(y)\right]^2 = \frac{2}{k_{re}}\left\{\left(\phi_{L_{(ir)}}(y, 1)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{L_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{R_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 + (2c - 1)\frac{\epsilon}{y}\phi_{L_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\phi_{R_{(ir)}}(y, \epsilon)\right\},\]

\[\left[N_{R_{(uv)}}^{(-)}(y)\right]^2 = \frac{2}{k_{re}}\left\{\left(\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, 1)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{R_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 + (2c - 1)\frac{\epsilon}{y}\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\phi_{R_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right\},\]

\[\left[N_{L_{(uv)}}^{(-)}(y)\right]^2 = \frac{2}{k_{re}}\left\{\left(\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, 1)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{R_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 + (2c - 1)\frac{\epsilon}{y}\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\phi_{R_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right\},\]

\[\left[N_{R_{(uv)}}^{(+)}(y)\right]^2 = \frac{2}{k_{re}}\left\{\left(\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, 1)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{R_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 + (2c - 1)\frac{\epsilon}{y}\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\phi_{R_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right\},\]

\[\left[N_{L_{(uv)}}^{(+)}(y)\right]^2 = \frac{2}{k_{re}}\left\{\left(\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, 1)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 - \epsilon^2\left(\phi_{R_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right)^2 + (2c - 1)\frac{\epsilon}{y}\phi_{L_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\phi_{R_{(uv)}}(y, \epsilon)\right\}.

With the preparations above, we verify some lemmas on the eigenvalues of KK modes in the framework of warped extra dimension, then show how to sum over the infinite series of KK modes using the residue theorem.

**III. SUMMING OVER INFINITE SERIES OF KK MODES**

As mentioned above, the radiative corrections from all virtual KK modes to the physics quantities at electroweak scale should be summed over in principle in order to obtain the theoretical predictions in extensions of the SM with a warped or unified extra dimension. To sum
over the infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( f(y_{BCs}^{(n)}) + f(y_{BCs}^{(-n)}) \right)$ where $f(z)$ is analytic function except some limited in number nonzero poles $z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{n_0}$ and the possible pole $z = 0$, we construct another function $G(z)$ which is analytic except its poles of order one $y_{BCs}^{(\pm 1)}, y_{BCs}^{(\pm 2)}, \cdots$, and the possible pole of order $m$: $z = 0$ ($m \geq 1$). Additional, the residues of $G(z)$ are uniform one at nonzero poles $z = y_{BCs}^{(\pm 1)}, y_{BCs}^{(\pm 2)}, \cdots$. If the closed rectifiable contour $\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon(n)}$ does not pass through any of the points $y_{BCs}^{(\pm k)}$ ($k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$), and the region with the boundary $\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon(n)}$ contains the points $0, y_{BCs}^{(\pm 1)}, \cdots, y_{BCs}^{(\pm n)}$ and $z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{n_0}$. According the residue theorem, we obtain

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon(n)}} G(z) f(z) dz = i2\pi \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ f(y_{BCs}^{(i)}) + f(y_{BCs}^{(-i)}) \right] \right\} + \text{Res} \left( G(z) f(z), z = 0 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \text{Res} \left( G(z) f(z), z = z_i \right).$$

If the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon(n)}} G(z) f(z) dz = 0,$$

then we can sum over the infinite series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ f(y_{BCs}^{(i)}) + f(y_{BCs}^{(-i)}) \right] = -\text{Res} \left( G(z) f(z), z = 0 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \text{Res} \left( G(z) f(z), z = z_i \right).$$

To construct the function $G(z)$ and find the suitable contour $\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon(n)}$, we verify some lemmas on the eigenvalues of KK modes firstly.

**Lemma 1:** If $y_{BCs}^{(n)}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty)$ satisfy the equation $\mathcal{R}_{(BCs)}^{\epsilon c} (y_{BCs}^{(n)}) = 0$ where $c$ denotes the bulk mass of five dimensional fermions, then $y_{BCs}^{(n)}$ is real.

**Proof.** Taking (BCs) = $(\pm \pm)$ as an example, we show how to demonstrate that the roots of $\mathcal{R}_{\epsilon c}^{\pm \pm} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}) = 0$ are real. If the left-handed five dimensional fermion satisfies the BCs $(\pm \pm)$ and the dual right-handed five dimensional fermion satisfies the BCs $(\pm \pm)$, the corresponding bulk files are written as

$$f_{Lc}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}) = \frac{\sqrt{t} \varphi_{L\langle uv \rangle}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}, t)}{N_{L\langle uv \rangle}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}, t)} = \frac{\sqrt{t} \varphi_{L\langle uv \rangle}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}, t)}{N_{L\langle uv \rangle}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}, t)};$$

$$f_{Rc}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}) = \frac{\sqrt{t} \varphi_{R\langle uv \rangle}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}, t)}{N_{R\langle uv \rangle}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}, t)} = \frac{\sqrt{t} \varphi_{R\langle uv \rangle}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}, t)}{N_{R\langle uv \rangle}^{(\pm \pm)} (y_{\pm \pm}^{(n)}, t)}.$$
where \( \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \), \( \varphi_{L_{(u)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \), \( \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \), \( \varphi_{R_{(u)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \) satisfy the equations of motion in Eq. (27). Using Eq. (27), we can derive easily the following equations

\[
\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( t \frac{\partial \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t)}{\partial t} \right) + \left( \left[ y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} - \left( \frac{c + \frac{1}{2}}{t} \right)^{2} \right) \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) = 0 ,
\]
\[
\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( t \frac{\partial \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t)}{\partial t} \right) + \left( \left[ y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} - \left( \frac{c - \frac{1}{2}}{t} \right)^{2} \right) \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) = 0 .
\]

If \( y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} = r + is \) \((r, s\text{ are real and } s \neq 0)\) satisfies \( R_{c_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}) = 0\), then its conjugate \( \overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} = r - is \) also satisfies \( R_{c_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}) = 0\). This implies

\[
\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( t \frac{\partial \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t)}{\partial t} \right) + \left( \left[ \overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} - \left( \frac{c + \frac{1}{2}}{t} \right)^{2} \right) \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) = 0 .
\]

Using the first equation in Eq. (32) and that in Eq. (33), we find

\[
\left( \left[ y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} - \left[ \overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} \right) \int_{\epsilon}^{1} dt \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) = \left\{ t \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t)}{\partial t} - t \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t)}{\partial t} \right\}^{1}_{\epsilon}.
\]

Similarly, we also obtain

\[
\left( \left[ y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} - \left[ \overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} \right) \int_{\epsilon}^{1} dt \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) = \left\{ -t \overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) + t \overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \right\}^{1}_{\epsilon}.
\]

Since \( t \in (\epsilon, 1) \) is real, \( \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) = \left[ \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \right]^{*}, \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(\overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) = \left[ \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \right]^{*}, \) then

\[
\int_{\epsilon}^{1} dt \left| \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \right|^{2} > 0 ,
\]
\[
\int_{\epsilon}^{1} dt \left| \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \right|^{2} > 0
\]

for the nontrivial functions \( \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t), \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \). If \( r \neq 0 \), then \( \left[ y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} - \left[ \overline{y}_{c(n)}^{(\pm)} \right]^{2} = i4rs \neq 0 \). Applying \((-\cdots\cdots)\) BCs satisfied by the bulk profiles of right-handed fermions, we derive the following equations from Eq. (34) and Eq. (35):

\[
\int_{\epsilon}^{1} dt \left| \varphi_{L_{(r)}}^{(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \right|^{2} = 0 ,
\]
\[
\int_{\epsilon}^{1} dt \left| \varphi_{R_{(r)}}^{(-)(\pm)}(y_{c(n)}^{(\pm)}, t) \right|^{2} = 0
\]
which are contrary to the inequalities in Eq(36). For $r = 0$,

\[
\int_{\epsilon}^{1} dt \left| \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) \right|^2 = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{4} \left\{ t \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) \varphi^{(-)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) - t \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) \varphi^{(-)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) \right\}_1^1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ t \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) \varphi^{(-)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) + t^2 \varphi^{(+)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) \varphi^{(-)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) \right\}_1^1
\]

In the last step, we apply the equation of motion for the bulk profiles of fermions in Eq(27) and (--) BCs satisfied by the bulk filed of right-handed fermions. Similarly, we can derive

\[
\int_{\epsilon}^{1} dt \left| \varphi^{(-)}_{R_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, t) \right|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \varphi^{(+)}_{L_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, 1) \right| ^2 - \epsilon^2 \left| \varphi^{(+)}_{L_{(ir)}} (y^{(n)}_{(\pm \pm)}, \epsilon) \right| ^2 \right\}_1^1.
\]  
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When \( y_{(\pm)}^{(n)}(n = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty) \) satisfy the equation \( R_{(\pm\pm)}^{c,\nu}(y_{(\pm\pm)}^{(n)}) = 0 \), then

\[
\frac{dR_{(\pm\pm)}^{c,\nu}(z)}{dz} \bigg|_{z=y_{(\pm\pm)}^{(n)}} = \varphi_{R_{(\pm\nu)}}^{(c,\nu)}(y_{(\pm\pm)}^{(n)}, \nu) - \epsilon \varphi_{R_{(\pm\nu)}}^{(c,\nu)}(y_{(\pm\pm)}^{(n)}, \nu) \neq 0. \tag{42}
\]

In other words, \( y_{(\pm\pm)}^{(n)}(n = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty) \) are the zeros of order one for the function \( R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \). Using concrete expressions of the Bessel functions \( J_{\nu} \) and \( Y_{\nu} \), we can verify directly

\[
R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(-y_{(\pm\pm)}^{(n)}) = 0 \text{ if } R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(y_{(\pm\pm)}^{(n)}) = 0.
\]

Furthermore, we can obtain those similar results on the zeros of the functions \( R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \), \( R_{(\mp\mp\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \), \( R_{(\mp\pm\nu)}^{G,\nu}(z) \), and \( R_{(\mp\pm\nu)}^{G,\nu}(z) \).

When \( z \to 0 \) and \( c \neq N + \frac{1}{2} \), we expand the function \( R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \) according \( z \) as

\[
R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) = \frac{2(\epsilon^{c-\frac{1}{2}} - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2} - c})}{(1 - 2c)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - c)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + c)} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}(z^2) \right\}. \tag{43}
\]

When \( z \to 0 \) and \( c = N + \frac{1}{2} \), the function \( R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \) is approximated as

\[
R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{N\pi c^{N\nu}} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}(z^2) \right\}, & N \neq 0 \\
\frac{-2\ln z}{\pi} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}(z^2) \right\}, & N = 0. \end{cases} \tag{44}
\]

In other words, \( z = 0 \) is not the zero of \( R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \). Similarly, we find that \( z = 0 \) is not the zero of the functions \( R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \) as well as \( R_{(\pm\mp\nu)}^{G,\nu}(z) \) also, and is the pole of order one of the functions \( R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \), \( R_{(\pm\pm\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z) \) together with \( R_{(\pm\mp\nu)}^{G,\nu}(z) \).

**Lemma 3:** Let function \( f(z) \) be analytic except for limited in number isolated singularities on the complex plane. If there are two constants \( M > 0 \) and \( R > 0 \), we have \( |zf(z)| \leq M \) when \( |z| > R \). Then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{C_{r(n)}} \left\{ \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R_{(BC\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z)} \frac{dR_{(BC\nu)}^{c,\nu}(z)}{dz} \right\} f(z)dz = 0, \tag{45}
\]

where the path \( C_{r(n)} \) is the rectangular contour with four vertices \((1 \pm i)r_{(n)}\) and \((-1 \pm i)r_{(n)}\) with \( y_{(BC\nu)}^{(n)} < r_{(n)} < y_{(BC\nu)}^{(n+1)} \).
Proof. Firstly, we illustrate how to demonstrate the lemma for the case \((\text{BCs}) = (\pm \pm)\). Since \(|zf(z)| \leq M \text{ when } |z| > R\), all singularities of the function \(f(z)\) all distribute within the region \(|z| \leq R\). This implies that \(zf(z)\) is analytic at \(z = \infty\):

\[
zf(z) = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{z} + \frac{a_2}{z^2} + \cdots, \quad |z| > R,
\]

or

\[
 f(z) = a_0 + \frac{a_1}{z^2} + \frac{a_2}{z^3} + \cdots, \quad |z| > R.
\]

Applying residue theorem, we have

\[
\oint_{C_r(n)} \left\{ \frac{2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \right\} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz} \, dz
\]

\[
= i2\pi \left\{ \text{Res} \left( \frac{2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \right), z = 0 \right\}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \text{Res} \left( \frac{1}{z R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz}, z = y_{e(i)}^{(\pm \pm)} \right) \right. \]

\[
\left. + \text{Res} \left( \frac{1}{z R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz}, z = -y_{e(i)}^{(\pm \pm)} \right) \right\} \tag{48}
\]

Because \(2/z^2 + (dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)/dz)/(z R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z))\) is even function of \(z\), its Laurent series at the point \(z = 0\) does not contain the term which is proportional to \(1/z\). One directly has

\[
\oint_{C_r(n)} \left\{ \frac{2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \right\} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz} \, dz
\]

\[
= i2\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \text{Res} \left( \frac{1}{z R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz}, z = y_{e(i)}^{(\pm \pm)} \right) \right.
\]

\[
\left. + \text{Res} \left( \frac{1}{z R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz}, z = -y_{e(i)}^{(\pm \pm)} \right) \right] = 0, \tag{49}
\]

then gets

\[
\oint_{C_r(n)} \left\{ \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \right\} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz} \, dz
\]

\[
= \oint_{C_r(n)} \left\{ \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \right\} \left\{ \frac{f(z) - a_0}{z} \right\} \, dz. \tag{50}
\]
For $f(z) - a_0/z = 1/z^2 \{a_1 + a_2/z + \cdots + a_{n+1}/z^n + \cdots\}$, $a_1 + a_2/z + \cdots + a_{n+1}/z^n + \cdots$ is the analytic function and its absolute value has an upper limit in the region $|z| \geq R'$, $R' > R$. Assuming

$$|a_1 + \frac{a_2}{z} + \cdots + \frac{a_{n+1}}{z^n} + \cdots| \leq \mathcal{M}', \text{ as } |z| \geq R',$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

then one gets

$$|f(z) - \frac{a_0}{z}| \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}'}{|z|^2}, \text{ as } |z| \geq R'.$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

As $n$ is sufficiently large, we have $|z| \geq R'$ for $z \in C_{r(n)}$, then get

$$\left| \oint_{C_{r(n)}} \left\{ \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz} \right\} \left\{ f(z) - \frac{a_0}{z} \right\} dz \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{4r_{(n)}M'}{r_{(n)}^2} \times \{ \text{the upper bound of } \left| \frac{1}{R_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz} \right| \text{ for } z \in C_{r(n)} \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)

In order to obtain the upper bound of $\left| (dR_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)/dz)/R_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z) \right|$ for $z \in C_{r(n)}$, we express the Bessel functions as \[61\]

$$J_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \left\{ e^{i(z-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4})} + e^{-i(z-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{4})} \right\} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2\nu} \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \left[ e^{i(z-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4})} - e^{-i(z-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{4})} \right] \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right),$$

$$Y_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \left\{ -i e^{i(z-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4})} - e^{-i(z-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{4})} \right\} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\nu} \left( \nu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \left[ e^{i(z-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4})} + e^{-i(z-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}+\frac{\pi}{4})} \right] \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (54)

for $|z| \to \infty$. Using the above equations, we approximate $R_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)$ and $dR_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)/dz$ as

$$R_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z) = -\frac{i}{\pi \sqrt{\varepsilon z}} \left\{ e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} - e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right\} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{ic(c - 1)}{2z} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) \left[ e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} + e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right] \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right),$$

$$\frac{dR_{c,\varepsilon}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)}{dz} = \frac{\cos \epsilon}{\pi \sqrt{\varepsilon z}} \left\{ (1 - \epsilon) e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} + e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right\} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right) + \frac{i}{z} \left\{$$

$$+ \left( 1 - \frac{(1 + \epsilon^2)}{2\epsilon} \right) c(c - 1) \left[ e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} - e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right] \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right) \right\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (55)
for \( c \neq N + 1/2 \) and \(|z| \to \infty\). When \( c = N + 1/2 \), the functions \( R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) \) and \( dR_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z)/dz \) at \(|z| \to \infty\) can be similarly approximated as

\[
R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) = -\frac{i}{\pi \sqrt{\epsilon z}} \left\{ e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} - e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right\} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{i}{2z} \left( N^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) \left[ e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} + e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right] \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \right) \right\} ,
\]

\[
dR_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{\epsilon z}} \left\{ (1-\epsilon) \left[ e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} + e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right] \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \right) \right\} .
\]

Using Eq. (55) and Eq. (56), one obtains

\[
\frac{1}{R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z)} \frac{dR_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z)}{dz} = i(1-\epsilon) \frac{e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} + e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} + i \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{(1-\epsilon)e(1-1)}{2\epsilon} \right] \left[ e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} - e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right]}{e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} - e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} + \frac{ie(1-1)}{2\epsilon} \left[ e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} + e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right]} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \right] .
\]

If \( n \) is sufficiently large, \( y_{(\pm \pm)}^{\langle \epsilon \rangle} \) is approximately given by

\[
y_{(\pm \pm)}^{\langle \epsilon \rangle} \simeq \left[ n + \frac{1}{2} \left( |c + \frac{1}{2}| - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{4} \right] \pi .
\]

The fact implies that the interval between \( y_{(\pm \pm)}^{\langle \epsilon \rangle} \) and \( y_{(\pm \pm)}^{\langle \epsilon \rangle+1} \) is about \( \pi \) as \( n \gg 1 \). When

\[
y_{(\pm \pm)}^{\langle \epsilon \rangle} < \frac{\pi}{1-\epsilon} \left( N_0 + \frac{1}{4} \right) < \frac{\pi}{1-\epsilon} \left( N_0 + \frac{3}{4} \right) \leq y_{(\pm \pm)}^{\langle \epsilon \rangle+1}
\]

or

\[
y_{(\pm \pm)}^{\langle \epsilon \rangle} < \frac{\pi}{1-\epsilon} \left( N_0 + \frac{1}{4} \right) < y_{(\pm \pm)}^{\langle \epsilon \rangle+1} \leq \frac{\pi}{1-\epsilon} \left( N_0 + \frac{3}{4} \right)
\]

where the positive integer \( N_0 \) obviously turns large along with increasing of the number \( n \), one can choose

\[
r_{(\epsilon)} = \frac{\pi}{1-\epsilon} \left( N_0 + \frac{1}{4} \right) .
\]

When the point \( z \) belongs to the left- and right- borders of \( C_{r(\epsilon)} \), i.e. \( z = \mp \left( N_0 + 1/4 \right) \pi / (1 - \epsilon) + iy \) with \( -\left( N_0 + 1/4 \right) \pi / (1 - \epsilon) \leq y \leq \left( N_0 + 1/4 \right) \pi / (1 - \epsilon) \), we have

\[
\left| \frac{1}{R_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z)} \frac{dR_{(\pm \pm)}^{c,\epsilon}(z)}{dz}(z) \right|
\]
When the point $z$ belongs to the upper- and down- borders of $C_{r(n)}$, i.e. $z = x \pm i \left(N_0 + 1/4\right) \pi/(1 - \epsilon)$ with $-\left(N_0 + 1/4\right) \pi/(1 - \epsilon) \leq x \leq \left(N_0 + 1/4\right) \pi/(1 - \epsilon)$, we similarly obtain

$$
\left| \frac{1}{R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}}{dz}(z) \right| 
\leq (1 - \epsilon) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{|z|} \left| \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} - \frac{(1 - \epsilon)(c - 1)}{2|z|} \right| + \frac{|c(c - 1)|}{2|z|} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) \right\} 
\times \frac{e^{2(N_0 + \frac{1}{2}) \pi} + 1}{e^{2(N_0 + \frac{1}{2}) \pi} - 1 - \frac{|c(c - 1)|}{|z|} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 \right)} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|z|^2} \right) \right] 
\leq 2(1 - \epsilon) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{r(n)} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} + |c(c - 1)| \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) \right] \right\} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{r(n)^2} \right) \right] .
$$

As

$$
\frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left(N_0 + \frac{1}{4}\right) \leq y_{e(n)_{(\pm \pm)}}^{(n)} < \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left(N_0 + \frac{3}{4}\right) < y_{e(n+1)_{(\pm \pm)}}^{(n+1)},
$$

we choose

$$
r(n) = \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left(N_0 + \frac{3}{4}\right) ,
$$

and similarly get the upper bounds in Eq(62) and Eq(63). Applying Eq(53), we have

$$
\lim_{r(n) \to \infty} \left| \oint_{C_{r(n)}} \left\{ \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}}{dz}(z) \right\} \left\{ f(z) - \frac{a_0}{z} \right\} dz \right| 
\leq \lim_{r(n) \to \infty} \frac{4(1 - \epsilon)\mathcal{M}'}{r(n)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{r(n)} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} + |c(c - 1)| \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) \right] \right\} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{r(n)^2} \right) \right] 
= 0 .
$$

In other words, the integral

$$
\lim_{r(n) \to \infty} \oint_{C_{r(n)}} \left\{ \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,e}^{(\pm \pm)}}{dz}(z) \right\} f(z) = 0 .
$$
Using the equation in Eq(24) and Eq(54), we have

\[ \frac{1}{R_{c,\epsilon}^{(\pm \mp)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,\epsilon}^{(\pm \mp)}(z)}{dz} = i(1 - \epsilon) \frac{e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} - e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z}}{e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} + e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z}} \{ 1 - \frac{i}{2} \left[ c \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) - 1 \right] \} e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} \]

\[-c - 1 + \frac{(c^2 - 1)\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \left( e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} + e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{ic}{2z} \left( c - 1 - \epsilon \right) \left( e^{i(1-\epsilon)z} - e^{-i(1-\epsilon)z} \right) \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right], \quad (68) \]

for the case BCs = (±±). If \( n \) is sufficiently large, \( y_{c}^{(n)}(\pm\mp) \) is approximately given by

\[ y_{c}^{(n)}(\pm\mp) \simeq \left[ n + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left| c + \frac{1}{2} \right| - 1 \right) \right] \pi. \quad (69) \]

The fact also implies that the interval between \( y_{c}^{(n)}(\pm\mp) \) and \( y_{c}^{(n+1)}(\pm\mp) \) is about \( \pi \) as \( n \gg 1 \). When

\[ y_{c}^{(n)}(\pm\mp) < \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left( N_0 - \frac{1}{4} \right) < \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left( N_0 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \leq y_{c}^{(n+1)}(\pm\mp) \quad (70) \]

or

\[ y_{c}^{(n)}(\pm\mp) < \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left( N_0 - \frac{1}{4} \right) < y_{c}^{(n+1)}(\pm\mp) \leq \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left( N_0 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \quad (71) \]

where the positive integer \( N_0 \) obviously turns large along with increasing of the number \( n \).

One can obviously choose

\[ r_{(n)} = \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left( N_0 - \frac{1}{4} \right). \quad (72) \]

As

\[ \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left( N_0 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \leq y_{c}^{(n)}(\pm\mp) < \frac{\pi}{1 - \epsilon} \left( N_0 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \leq y_{c}^{(n+1)}(\pm\mp), \quad (73) \]

one can choose \( r_{(n)} = (N_0 + 1/4)\pi/(1 - \epsilon) \). Then performing the similar analysis above, we finally get

\[ \lim_{r_{(n)} \to \infty} \oint_{c_{r_{(n)}}} \left\{ \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R_{c,\epsilon}^{(\pm \mp)}(z)} \frac{dR_{c,\epsilon}^{(\pm \mp)}(z)}{dz} \right\} f(z) = 0. \quad (74) \]

As for the function \( R_{c,\epsilon}^{(\pm \mp)}(z) \), \( R_{c,\epsilon}^{(\pm \mp)}(z) \), \( R_{G,\epsilon}^{(++)}(z) \) and \( R_{G,\epsilon}^{(--)}(z) \), we derive the similar equations.
Using the lemmas verified above and Eq(26), we summarize the summing over infinite series of KK modes as
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ f(y^{(i)}_{(BC)}) + f(-y^{(i)}_{(BC)}) \right] = - \text{Res} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R^{c,e}_{(BC)}}(z) \right) f(z), z = 0 \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \text{Res} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R^{c,e}_{(BC)}}(z) \right) f(z), z = z_i \right],
\]
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ f(y^{G(i)}_{(BC)}) + f(-y^{G(i)}_{(BC)}) \right] = - \text{Res} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R^{G,e}_{(BC)}}(z) \right) f(z), z = 0 \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \text{Res} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{z} + \frac{1}{R^{G,e}_{(BC)}}(z) \right) f(z), z = z_i \right]
\]
(75)
where
\[
\lim_{|z| \to \infty} |zf(z)| \leq M, \quad 0 < M < \infty.
\]
(76)

Actually, Eq(76) is the sufficient condition to judge if the infinite series \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [f(y^{(i)}_{(BC)}) + f(-y^{(i)}_{(BC)})] \) is convergent.

In extensions of the SM with a warped extra dimension, the bulk profiles in Eq(25) affect amplitudes for relevant processes in terms of \( \chi^{G}_{(BC)}(y^{G(n)}_{(BC)}, \phi) [\chi^{G}_{(BC)}(y^{G(n)}_{(BC)}, \phi')] \), \( [f^{L,c}_{(BC)}(y^{(n)}_{(BC)}, \phi)] [f^{L,c}_{(BC)}(y^{(n)}_{(BC)}, \phi')] \) and \([f^{R,c}_{(BC)}(y^{G(n)}_{(BC)}, \phi)] [f^{R,c}_{(BC)}(y^{G(n)}_{(BC)}, \phi')] \). In order to sum over the infinite series of KK modes properly, one should analytically extend the above combinations of bulk profiles to the complex plane. Here, we illustrate how to extend analytically the combinations of bulk profiles for gauge fields satisfying \((++)\) \((-+-)\) BCs in the complex plane. When \( y = y^{G(n)}_{(++)} \) satisfies the equation \( R^{G,e}_{(++)}(y^{G(n)}_{(++)}) = 0 \), the combination of bulk profiles for gauge fields satisfying \((++)\) BCs can be formulated as
\[
\left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(y, \phi) \right] \chi^{G}_{(++)}(y, \phi') = \frac{tt'\Phi^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y, t)\Phi^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y, t')}{[N^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y)]^2} = \frac{tt'\Phi^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(y, t)\Phi^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(y, t')}{[N^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(y)]^2} = \frac{tt'\Phi^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y, t)\Phi^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(y, t')}{[N^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y)] [N^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(y)]} = \frac{tt'\Phi^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y, t)\Phi^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y, t')}{[N^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y)] [N^{G(+)}_{(uw)}(y)]},
\]
(77)
with \( t = e e^{\sigma(\phi)} \), \( t' = e e^{\sigma(\phi')}. \) When \( y = y_{(-+)}^{G(n)} \) satisfies the equation \( R_{(-+)}^{G,e}(y_{(-+)}^{G(n)}) = 0 \), the combination of bulk profiles for gauge fields satisfying the BCs \((-+)\) can be written as

\[
\left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi') \right] = \frac{t t' \Phi_{(-)}^G(y, t) \Phi_{(-)}^G(y, t')}{\left[ N_{(-)}^G(y) \right]^2} = \frac{t t' \Phi_{(+)}^G(y, t) \Phi_{(+) \Phi}^G(y, t')}{\left[ N_{(+)}^G(y) \right]^2} + \frac{t t' \Phi_{(-)}^G(y, t) \Phi_{(-)}^G(y, t')}{\left[ N_{(-)}^G(y) \right]^2} \left[ \Phi_{(-)}^G \right] \left[ \Phi_{(-)}^G \right]. \tag{78}
\]

The combinations of bulk profiles for gauge fields certainly satisfy the corresponding BCs:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{(uu)}} \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi') \right] \bigg|_{\phi_{(uu)}=0} = 0 ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{(ir)}} \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi') \right] \bigg|_{\phi_{(ir)}=\pi/2} = 0 ,
\]

\[
\left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi') \right] \bigg|_{\phi_{(uu)}=0} = 0 ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{(ir)}} \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(y, \phi') \right] \bigg|_{\phi_{(ir)}=\pi/2} = 0 , \tag{79}
\]

with \( \phi_{(uu)} = \min(\phi, \phi') \), \( \phi_{(ir)} = \max(\phi, \phi') \). Considering Eq.\,(79), we analytically extend the combinations of bulk profiles from Eq.\,(77) and Eq.\,(78) in the complex plane as

\[
\left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(z, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(z, \phi') \right] = \frac{t t' \theta(t - t') \Phi_{(-)}^G(z, t) \Phi_{(-)}^G(z, t')}{\left[ N_{(-)}^G(z) \right]^2} \left[ \Theta_{(-)}^G \right] \left[ \Theta_{(-)}^G \right] ,
\]

\[
+ \theta(t' - t) \Phi_{(-)}^G(z, t) \Phi_{(-)}^G(z, t') \bigg) ,
\]

\[
\left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(z, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi_{(-+)}^G(z, \phi') \right] = \frac{t t' \theta(t - t') \Phi_{(-)}^G(z, t) \Phi_{(-)}^G(z, t')}{\left[ N_{(-)}^G(z) \right]^2} \left[ \Theta_{(-)}^G \right] \left[ \Theta_{(-)}^G \right] ,
\]

\[
+ \theta(t' - t) \Phi_{(-)}^G(z, t) \Phi_{(-)}^G(z, t') \bigg) . \tag{80}
\]

Here, the step function \( \theta(x) \) is defined as

\[
\theta(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & x > 0 ; \\
\frac{1}{2}, & x = 0 ; \\
0, & x < 0 .
\end{cases} \tag{81}
\]
To guarantee that the combinations of bulk profiles are uniformly bounded in the complex plane, we analytically extend the corresponding normalization factors in Eq. (80) as

\[ |N_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(z)|^2 = \frac{2}{kr(z^2 - \bar{z}^2)} \{ \bar{z} \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(z, 1) \Psi_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(\bar{z}, 1) - z \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(\bar{z}, 1) \Psi_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(z, 1) \} \]

\[ + \frac{2}{kr} \Upsilon(z) , \]

\[ |N_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(z)|^2 = \frac{2}{kr(z^2 - \bar{z}^2)} \{ \bar{z} \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(z, 1) \Psi_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(\bar{z}, 1) - z \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(-)}(\bar{z}, 1) \Psi_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(z, 1) \} \]

\[ + \frac{2}{kr} \Upsilon(z) , \]

\[ |N_{(ir)}^{G(+)}(z)|^2 = \frac{2\epsilon}{kr(z^2 - \bar{z}^2)} \{ z \Phi_{(ir)}^{G(+)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \Psi_{(ir)}^{G(-)}(z, \epsilon) - \bar{z} \Phi_{(ir)}^{G(+)}(z, \epsilon) \Psi_{(ir)}^{G(-)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \} \]

\[ + \frac{2}{kr} \Upsilon(z) . \] (82)

Here, \( \bar{z} \) represents the conjugate of \( z \), and the non-negative function \( \Upsilon(z) \) is defined as

\[ \Upsilon(z) = \frac{1}{\pi^2|z|^2} \left\{ (1 - \epsilon)e^{-i(1-\epsilon)(z-\bar{z})} + e^{i(1-\epsilon)(z-\bar{z})} \right\} + \frac{e^{-i(1-\epsilon)(z-\bar{z})} - e^{i(1-\epsilon)(z-\bar{z})}}{i(z - \bar{z})}. \] (83)

In the limit of \( \bar{z} = z \) (i.e. \( z \) is real), one easily gets

\[ \lim_{\bar{z} \to z} \Upsilon(z) = 0 \] (84)

and the normalization factors in Eq. (82) recover the corresponding expressions in Eq. (22). Similarly, we can analytically generalize the normalization constants of bulk profiles for fermions as

\[ |N_{L_{(ir)}}^{c(+)}(z)|^2 = \frac{2}{kr(e(z^2 - \bar{z}^2)} \{ z \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{c(+)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{c(-)}(z, \epsilon) - \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{c(+)}(z, \epsilon) \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{c(-)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \} \]

\[ + \frac{2 \cos^2 c\pi}{kr\epsilon} \Upsilon(z) , \]

\[ |N_{L_{(uv)}}^{c(+)}(z)|^2 = \frac{2}{kr(e(z^2 - \bar{z}^2)} \{ z \varphi_{L_{(uv)}}^{c(+)}(\bar{z}, 1) \varphi_{R_{(uv)}}^{c(-)}(z, 1) - \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(uv)}}^{c(+)}(z, 1) \varphi_{R_{(uv)}}^{c(-)}(\bar{z}, 1) \} \]

\[ + \frac{2 \cos^2 c\pi}{kr\epsilon} \Upsilon(z) , \]

\[ |N_{R_{(ir)}}^{c(-)}(z)|^2 = \frac{2}{kr(e(z^2 - \bar{z}^2)} \{ z \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{c(+)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{c(-)}(z, \epsilon) - \bar{z} \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{c(+)}(z, \epsilon) \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{c(-)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \} \]

\[ + \frac{2 \cos^2 c\pi}{kr\epsilon} \Upsilon(z) , \]

\[ |N_{R_{(uv)}}^{c(-)}(z)|^2 = \frac{2}{kr(e(z^2 - \bar{z}^2)} \{ z \varphi_{R_{(uv)}}^{c(+)}(\bar{z}, 1) \varphi_{L_{(uv)}}^{c(-)}(z, 1) - \bar{z} \varphi_{R_{(uv)}}^{c(+)}(z, 1) \varphi_{L_{(uv)}}^{c(-)}(\bar{z}, 1) \} \]
Using the above normalization constant defined in Eq. (85), we write the uniformly bounded combinations of bulk profiles for fermion fields in the complex plane as

\begin{align}
\left[N_{L_{(ir)}}^{(-)}(z)\right]^2 &= \frac{2}{kT} \left(\frac{2}{z^2 - \bar{z}^2}\right) \left\{ \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{(-)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{(+)}(z, \epsilon) - \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{(-)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{(+)}(z, \epsilon) \right\} + \frac{2 \cos^2 \frac{2 \pi}{kT}}{kT} \Upsilon(z), \\
\left[N_{L_{(uv)}}^{(-)}(z)\right]^2 &= \frac{2}{kT} \left(\frac{2}{z^2 - \bar{z}^2}\right) \left\{ \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(uv)}}^{(-)}(\bar{z}, 1) \varphi_{R_{(uv)}}^{(+)}(z, 1) - \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(uv)}}^{(-)}(\bar{z}, 1) \varphi_{R_{(uv)}}^{(+)}(z, 1) \right\} + \frac{2 \cos^2 \frac{2 \pi}{kT}}{kT} \Upsilon(z), \\
\left[N_{R_{(ir)}}^{(+)}(z)\right]^2 &= \frac{2}{kT} \left(\frac{2}{z^2 - \bar{z}^2}\right) \left\{ \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{(-)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{(+)}(z, \epsilon) - \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(ir)}}^{(-)}(\bar{z}, \epsilon) \varphi_{R_{(ir)}}^{(+)}(z, \epsilon) \right\} + \frac{2 \cos^2 \frac{2 \pi}{kT}}{kT} \Upsilon(z), \\
\left[N_{R_{(uv)}}^{(+)}(z)\right]^2 &= \frac{2}{kT} \left(\frac{2}{z^2 - \bar{z}^2}\right) \left\{ \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(uv)}}^{(-)}(\bar{z}, 1) \varphi_{R_{(uv)}}^{(+)}(z, 1) - \bar{z} \varphi_{L_{(uv)}}^{(-)}(\bar{z}, 1) \varphi_{R_{(uv)}}^{(+)}(z, 1) \right\} + \frac{2 \cos^2 \frac{2 \pi}{kT}}{kT} \Upsilon(z). \tag{85}
\end{align}
\[ + \theta(t' - t) \varphi^{(c)(-)}_{L(\text{uv})}(z, t) \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{L(\text{ir})}(z, t') \}, \]

\[ \left[ f^{R, c}_{(+ -)}(z, \phi) \right] \left[ f^{R, c}_{(+ -)}(z, \phi') \right] = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{N^{(c)}_{R(\text{uv})}(z) N^{(c)}_{R(\text{ir})}(z)} \left\{ \theta(t - t') \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{R(\text{uv})}(z, t') \varphi^{(c)(-)}_{R(\text{ir})}(z, t) + \theta(t' - t) \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{R(\text{uv})}(z, t) \varphi^{(c)(-)}_{R(\text{ir})}(z, t') \right\}, \]

\[ \left[ f^{L, c}_{(- -)}(z, \phi) \right] \left[ f^{L, c}_{(- -)}(z, \phi') \right] = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{N^{(c)}_{L(\text{uv})}(z) N^{(c)}_{L(\text{ir})}(z)} \left\{ \theta(t - t') \varphi^{(c)(-)}_{L(\text{uv})}(z, t') \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{L(\text{ir})}(z, t) + \theta(t' - t) \varphi^{(c)(-)}_{L(\text{uv})}(z, t) \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{L(\text{ir})}(z, t') \right\}, \]

\[ \left[ f^{R, c}_{(+ +)}(z, \phi) \right] \left[ f^{R, c}_{(+ +)}(z, \phi') \right] = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{N^{(c)}_{R(\text{uv})}(z) N^{(c)}_{R(\text{ir})}(z)} \left\{ \theta(t - t') \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{R(\text{uv})}(z, t') \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{R(\text{ir})}(z, t) + \theta(t' - t) \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{R(\text{uv})}(z, t) \varphi^{(c)(+)}_{R(\text{ir})}(z, t') \right\}. \] (86)

The above expressions in Eq. (86) are valid for \( c \neq N + 1/2 \), one gets the corresponding expressions for \( c = N + 1/2 \) after replacing \( \cos^2 c \pi \) with \( 1 \) in the Eq. (83).

### IV. THE FOUR AND FIVE DIMENSIONAL PERTURBATIVE EXPANSIONS

The KK excitations affect the theoretical predictions of electroweak scale although it is very difficult to produce them directly in the colliders running now. When KK excitations of gauge fields satisfying \((++)\) BCs appear in the relevant Feynman diagrams as virtual particles in four dimensional effective theory, the amplitudes possibly contain the factor

\[ \frac{-i \chi^{G}_{(++)}(y^{G(n)}_{(++)}, \phi)}{p^2 - \Lambda^2_{KK} y^{G(n)}_{(++)}^2} \] (87)

when we expand them according \( v^2/\Lambda^2_{KK} \), here \( v \) denotes the nonzero vacuum expectation value (VEV) of neutral Higgs located on IR brane. The denominator \( p^2 - \Lambda^2_{KK} y^{G(n)}_{(++)}^2 \) originates from the four dimensional propagators of KK excitations for gauge fields in momentum space, \( \chi^{G}_{(++)}(y^{G(n)}_{(++)}, \phi) \) and \( \chi^{G}_{(++)}(y^{G(n)}_{(++)}, \phi') \) originate from the neighbor vertices in four dimensional effective theory. Note that \( \pm y^{G(1)}_{(++)}, \ldots, \pm y^{G(n)}_{(++)}, \ldots \) are zeros of the function \( R^{G, c}_{(++)}(z) \), and the limit

\[ \lim_{|z| \to \infty} \left| \frac{-iz \chi^{G}_{(++)}(z, \phi) \chi^{G}_{(++)}(z, \phi')}{p^2 - \Lambda^2_{KK} z^2} \right| = 0 \] (88)
when we adopt the analytical extension of the combination of bulk profiles for \((++)\) BCs gauge fields in Eq.\((80)\). Applying Eq.\((75)\), we have

\[
iD^{G}_{(++)}(p; \phi, \phi') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-i \left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(y^{G(n)}_{(++)}, \phi) \right] \delta(y^{G(n)}_{(++)}, \phi')} {p^2 - \Lambda^2_{KK} [y^{G(n)}_{(++)}]^2} = \frac{i}{p^2} \left\{ \left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(0, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(0, \phi') \right] - \left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(\frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(\frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi') \right] \right\} \right.

\[
- \frac{i}{2\Lambda_{KK} p} \left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(\frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi) \right] \left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(\frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi') \right] \frac{\partial R^{G,\epsilon}_{(++)}(\frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}})} {\partial z} \right|_{z=\frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}} \right.

\[
= - \frac{i\Omega^{G}_{(++)}(p/\Lambda_{KK})}{\pi\Lambda^2_{KK}} \left\{ \frac{\pi t^t}{2R^{G,\epsilon}_{(++)}(p/\Lambda_{KK})} \left[ \theta(t - t')\Phi^{G(+)}_{(uv)}(p/\Lambda_{KK}, t')\Phi^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(p/\Lambda_{KK}, t) + \theta(t' - t)\Phi^{G(+)}_{(uv)}(p/\Lambda_{KK}, t)\Phi^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(p/\Lambda_{KK}, t') \right] \right\} + \frac{i}{4\pi p^2} \right.
\]

\[
\Omega^{G}_{(++)}(x) = \frac{2R^{G,\epsilon}_{(++)}(x) + x \left[ \Phi^{G(+)}_{(uv)}(x, 1) - \varepsilon\Phi^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(x, \varepsilon) \right]} {x^2 \left[ N^{G(+)}_{(uv)}(x) \right] \left[ N^{G(+)}_{(ir)}(x) \right]} . \tag{90}
\]

The factor in the parenthesis \{\cdots\} of the first term in Eq.\((89)\) is the five dimensional mixed position/momentum-space propagator derived in \([59]\), the factor \(\Omega^{G}_{(++)}/\pi\) originates from the normalization constants of bulk profiles for gauge fields with \((++)\) BCs in four dimensional effective theory, the different definitions of couplings between the gauge and fermion fields in five dimensional full theory and corresponding four dimensional effective theory, and the difference between the normalization of kinetic terms of relevant fields in five dimensional full theory and corresponding four dimensional effective theory, respectively. Additional, \(D^{G}_{(++)}(p; \phi, \phi')\) satisfies the following equation

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left[ e^{-2\sigma(\phi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right] + p^2 \right\} D^{G}_{(++)}(p; \phi, \phi') = - \frac{i\Omega^{G}_{(++)}(p/\Lambda_{KK})}{\pi\Lambda^2_{KK} r} \delta(\phi - \phi') \right. \tag{91}
\]

and the corresponding BCs

\[
\frac{\partial D^{G}_{(++)}(p; \phi, \phi')}{\partial \phi_{(uv)}} \bigg|_{\phi_{(uv)}=0} = 0 ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial D^{G}_{(++)}(p; \phi, \phi')}{\partial \phi_{(ir)}} \bigg|_{\phi_{(ir)}=\pi/2} = 0 . \tag{92}
\]
For small $z$,

$$R_{(++)}^{G,\epsilon}(z) = -\frac{2\ln \epsilon}{\pi} \left\{ 1 - \frac{z^2}{4} \left[ 1 + \epsilon^2 + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} \right] + O(z^4) \right\},$$

$$\frac{\partial R_{(++)}^{G,\epsilon}}{\partial z}(z) = \frac{z}{\pi} \left[ 1 - \epsilon^2 + (1 + \epsilon^2) \ln \epsilon \right] \left\{ 1 - \frac{z^2}{16} \left[ 2(1 + 4\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^4) \ln \epsilon + 3(1 - \epsilon^4) \right] + O(z^4) \right\},$$

$$t \Phi_{(uv)}^{G(+)}(z, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ 1 + \frac{z^2}{4} \left[ t^2(1 - 2 \ln t + 2 \ln \epsilon) + 1 + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} \right] \right.$$

$$+ \frac{z^4}{16} \left[ - \frac{5}{4} t^4 + \epsilon^2 t^2 + (t^4 + 2\epsilon^2 t^2)(\ln t - \ln \epsilon) \right.$$  

$$+ \left( \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} + 1 + \epsilon^2 \right) \left( t^2 - 2\epsilon t \ln t + 2\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon \right) \bigg] + 2 + \frac{\ln \epsilon}{2} + \frac{47 - 32\epsilon^2 - 15\epsilon^4}{16 \ln \epsilon} + \frac{3(1 - \epsilon^2)^2}{2\ln^2 \epsilon} + O(z^6) \bigg\},$$

$$t \Phi_{(ir)}^{G(+)}(z, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ 1 + \frac{z^2}{4} \left[ t^2(1 - 2 \ln t + \epsilon^2 + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} \right] \right.$$

$$+ \frac{z^4}{16} \left[ - \frac{5}{4} t^4 + t^2 + (t^4 + 2\epsilon^2 t^2) \ln t + \left( \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} + 1 + \epsilon^2 \right) \left( t^2 - 2\epsilon t \ln t \right) \bigg] + 2\epsilon^4 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{47 - 32\epsilon^2 - 47\epsilon^4}{16 \ln \epsilon} + \frac{3(1 - \epsilon^2)^2}{2\ln^2 \epsilon} \right] + O(z^6) \bigg\}.$$  

(93)

Inserting the above equations into Eq. (89) and assuming $p \to 0$, one obtains obviously

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \chi_{(++)}^{G}(y_{(++)}^{G(n)}, \phi') \right] \left[ \chi_{(++)}^{G}(y_{(++)}^{G(n)}, \phi) \right] \Lambda_{KK}^2 \left[ y_{(++)}^{G(n)} \right]^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi \Lambda_{KK}^2} \left\{ t^2(2 \ln t - 1) + t^2(2 \ln t' - 1) \right.$$  

$$- 2 \ln \epsilon \left[ t^2 \theta(t' - t) + t^2 \theta(t - t') \right] - \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} \bigg\},$$

(94)

which is Eq. (34) exactly in Ref. [18]. Actually, this result can also be gotten directly by the residue theorem. Applying Eq. (73) and Eq. (93), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \chi_{(++)}^{G}(y_{(++)}^{G(n)}, \phi') \right] \left[ \chi_{(++)}^{G}(y_{(++)}^{G(n)}, \phi) \right] \Lambda_{KK}^2 \left[ y_{(++)}^{G(n)} \right]^2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Res} \left\{ \left[ \frac{2}{z^3} + \frac{1}{z^2 R_{(++)}^{G,\epsilon}(z)} \right] \left[ \chi_{(++)}^{G}(z, \phi') \right] \left[ \chi_{(++)}^{G}(z, \phi) \right], z = 0 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi \Lambda_{KK}^2} \left\{ t^2(2 \ln t - 1) + t^2(2 \ln t' - 1) \right.$$.
\[-2 \ln \epsilon \left[ t^2 \theta (t' - t) + t'^2 \theta (t - t') \right] - \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} \right] ,
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{KK}^4 [y^{G(n)}] \frac{1}{(z^+)^4} \frac{\partial R^{G\epsilon}_{(++)}(z)}{\partial z} \left[ \chi^{G}_{(++)}(z, \phi') \right] \chi^{G}_{(++)}(z, \phi), \quad z = 0 \right] \\
\left. \right. \\
\left. = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ t^4 \left[ \ln t - \frac{5}{4} \right] + t^2 \left[ 2 + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} - \frac{1}{4} \ln \epsilon \right] + t'^4 \left[ \ln t' - \frac{5}{4} \right] + t'^2 \left[ 2 + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln \epsilon} - \frac{1}{4} \ln \epsilon \right] \right] \\
+ 4t^2 t'^2 \left[ \ln t \ln t' - (\ln \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}) (tt') + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \epsilon \right] \\
- \ln \epsilon \left[ \left( t^4 - 4t^2 t'^2 \ln t \right) \theta (t' - t) + \left( t'^4 - 4t^2 t'^2 \ln t' \right) \theta (t - t') \right] \\
+ \frac{5(1 - \epsilon^4)}{8 \ln \epsilon} + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2}{\ln^2 \epsilon} \right] , \quad (95)\]

where the second equation is the Eq.(36) exactly in Ref.[18].

When the exciting KK modes of the left-handed fields with (++) BCs appear in relevant Feynman diagrams as virtual particles in four dimensional effective theory, the amplitudes certainly contain the factor

\[ i \left[ \phi^{L_c}(y^{(n)}_{(++)}, \phi') \right] \left[ f^{L_c}_{(++)}(y^{(n)}_{(++)}, \phi') \right] + \Lambda_{KK} \left[ y^{c(n)}_{(\pm\pm)} \right] \left[ f^{R_c}_{(-\pm)}(y^{c(n)}_{(\pm\pm)}, \phi) \right] \left[ f^{L_c}_{(++)}(y^{c(n)}_{(++)}, \phi') \right] \]

when we expand them according \( v^2 / \Lambda_{KK}^2 \). The limit

\[ \lim_{|z| \to \infty} \left| \frac{iz \phi^{L_c}_{(++)}(z, \phi)}{p^2 - \Lambda_{KK}^2 z^2} \right| = 0 \quad (97) \]

and the function

\[ \left| \frac{z^2 \Lambda_{KK} \left[ f^{R_c}_{(-\pm)}(z, \phi) \right] \left[ f^{L_c}_{(++)}(z, \phi') \right]}{p^2 - \Lambda_{KK}^2 z^2} \right| \quad (98) \]

is uniformly bounded. Since \( \pm y^{c(1)}_{(\pm\pm)}, \cdots, \pm y^{c(n)}_{(\pm\pm)}, \cdots \) are the zeros of the function \( R^{c\epsilon}_{(\pm\pm)}(z) \),
we have the following equation using Eq.(73):

\[ iD^{c}_{L(++)}(p; \phi, \phi') \]

31
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{p^2 - \Lambda_{KK}^2} \left\{ \hat{p} \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{y_{c(n)}^{(n)}(\pm \mp)}{\Lambda_{KK}} \right), f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{y_{c(n)}^{(n)}(\pm \mp)}{\Lambda_{KK}} \right) \right] + \Lambda_{KK} \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{y_{c(n)}^{(n)} \left( \frac{y_{c(n)}^{(n)}(\pm \mp)}{\Lambda_{KK}} \right), f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{y_{c(n)}^{(n)}(\pm \mp)}{\Lambda_{KK}} \right) \right] \right. \\
\left. - \frac{i}{2\Lambda_{KK}^2} \left[ \frac{f_{R,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \right] - f_{R,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \right] \right] \right) \right\} \\
= \frac{i}{p^2} \left\{ \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( 0, \phi \right) \right] \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( 0, \phi \right) \right] - \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \right] \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \right] \right\} \\
- \frac{i}{2\Lambda_{KK}^2} \left[ \frac{f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \right]}{\partial z} \right] \right|_{z=\frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}} \\
= -\frac{i}{p^2} \left\{ \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( 0, \phi \right) \right] \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( 0, \phi \right) \right] - \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \right] \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \right] \right\} \\
- \frac{i}{2\Lambda_{KK}^2} \left[ \frac{f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \left[ f_{L,c}^{(n)} \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}, \phi \right) \right]}{\partial z} \right] \right|_{z=\frac{p}{\Lambda_{KK}}} \\
\]

with

\[
\Omega_{L,c}^{(++)}(x) = \frac{2R_{G,c}^{(++)}(x)}{x^2 \left[ N_{L,c}^{(++)}(x) \right]} \left[ N_{L,c}^{(++)}(x) \right].
\]
\[ + \theta(t' - t) \phi_{R_{(\pm\pm)}}(N/\Lambda_{KK}, t) \phi_{R_{(\pm\pm)}}(N/\Lambda_{KK}, t') \right] + \frac{i\hbar}{\hbar^2} \left[ -2(1 + 2c)\epsilon \ln \epsilon \right] (tt')^c \right] \frac{4}{4} \] (101)

with

\[ \Omega_{R_{(\pm\pm)}}^c(x) = \frac{2R_{(\pm\pm)}^c(x) + x \left[ \phi_{R_{(\pm\pm)}}^c(x, 1) - \epsilon \phi_{R_{(\pm\pm)}}^c(x, \epsilon) \right]}{x^2 \left[ N_{R_{(\pm\pm)}}^c(x) \right] \left[ N_{R_{(\pm\pm)}}^c(x) \right]} \right] \right] \frac{4}{4} \] (102)

For small \( z \),

\[ R_{(\pm\pm)}^c(z) = \frac{2e^{-\frac{1}{2}z(z - 2c)} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - c \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c \right) \left( \frac{1}{3} - 2c \right) + \frac{1}{3 + 2c} + \frac{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^{1 - 2c}}{1 + 2c} \right] + O(z^4) \right] \]

\[ \frac{\partial R_{(\pm\pm)}^c}{\partial z} (z) = - \frac{2e^{-\frac{1}{2}z(z - 2c)} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - c \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c \right) \left( \frac{1}{3} - 2c \right) + \frac{1}{3 + 2c} + \frac{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon^{1 - 2c}}{1 + 2c} \right] \]

\[ \sqrt{t} \phi_{L_{(\pm\pm)}}^c(z, t) \]

\[ \frac{\sqrt{t} \phi_{L_{(\pm\pm)}}^c(z, t)}{N_{L_{(\pm\pm)}}^c(z)} = \frac{t - c}{\sqrt{2 \pi \left( 1 - \epsilon^{1 - 2c} \right)}} \left( \frac{1 - \frac{z^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 + 2c} \right) + \frac{t^2}{1 - 2c} \left( \frac{1}{1 - 2c} \right) - \frac{2e^{1 - 2c}t^{1 + 2c}}{1 - 2c} \left( 1 + 2c \right) \right)} \right] + O(z^4) \right] \]

Using Eq. (103), we have

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f_{L_{(\pm\pm)}}^c \left( y_{(\pm\pm)}^c, \phi \right) \right] \left[ f_{L_{(\pm\pm)}}^c \left( y_{(\pm\pm)}^c, \phi' \right) \right] \left[ \frac{L_{(\pm\pm)}^c}{\Lambda_{KK}^2} \right] \]

\[ = - \frac{1}{2\Lambda_{KK}^2} \left[ \frac{2}{z^3} + \frac{1}{z^2 R_{(\pm\pm)}^c}(z) \right] \frac{\partial R_{(\pm\pm)}^c}{\partial z} \left( \frac{2(1 - 2c)(1 - \epsilon^{3 - 2c})}{4\pi \Lambda_{KK}^2} + \frac{t^2}{1 - 2c} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{2}{(1 - 2c)(1 + 2c)} \left[ \theta(t' - t) \left( t^{1 + 2c} + \epsilon^{1 - 2c}t^{1 + 2c} \right) \right] \right] \right] \frac{4}{4} \] (103)
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi^+(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \psi_{R(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \psi_{R(n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{r} + \psi_{L(n)}(x) \sin \frac{ny}{r} \right\}, \\
\psi^-(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \psi_{L(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \psi_{L(n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{r} + \psi_{R(n)}(x) \sin \frac{ny}{r} \right\}, \\
A^\mu(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} A^\mu_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^\mu_{(n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{r}, \\
A^5(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^5_{(n)}(x) \sin \frac{ny}{r}, \\
\phi^+(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \phi_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^+_{(n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{r},
\end{align*}
\]

V. SUMMING OVER INFINITE SERIES OF KK MODES IN UNIFIED EXTRA DIMENSION

In this section, we depart from the main line above and discuss how to sum over the infinite series of KK modes in unified extra dimension. In these models all fields can propagate in all available dimension, the SM particles correspond to zero modes in the KK decomposition of five dimensional fields with \((++)\) BCs. The towers of the SM particle KK partners and additional towers of KK modes of five dimensional fields with \((-\)\(-)\) BCs do not correspond to any field in the SM\cite{62,63}. The simplest model of this type is proposed by Appelquist, Cheng and Dobrescu\cite{64} in which the only additional free parameter relating to the SM is the compactification scale \(1/r\).

Assuming that the topology of the fifth dimension is the orbifold \(S^1/Z_2\) and the coordinate \(y \equiv x^5\) runs from 0 to \(2\pi r\), one can write the KK expansions of five dimensional fields respectively as \cite{65}:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi^+(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \psi_{R(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \psi_{R(n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{r} + \psi_{L(n)}(x) \sin \frac{ny}{r} \right\}, \\
\psi^-(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \psi_{L(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \psi_{L(n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{r} + \psi_{R(n)}(x) \sin \frac{ny}{r} \right\}, \\
A^\mu(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} A^\mu_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^\mu_{(n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{r}, \\
A^5(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^5_{(n)}(x) \sin \frac{ny}{r}, \\
\phi^+(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \phi_{(0)}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^+_{(n)}(x) \cos \frac{ny}{r},
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \phi^-(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi r}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{(n)}^-(x) \sin \frac{ny}{r}. \] (105)

Here, the Dirac spinors \( \psi^\pm = (P_R + P_L)\psi^\pm = \psi^+_L + \psi^+_R \) satisfy the following BCs

\[ \begin{cases} 
\partial_y \psi^+_R \big|_{y=\{0,\pi r\}} = 0, \\
\psi^+_L \big|_{y=\{0,\pi r\}} = 0,
\end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} 
\partial_y \psi^-_L \big|_{y=\{0,\pi r\}} = 0, \\
\psi^-_R \big|_{y=\{0,\pi r\}} = 0,
\end{cases} \] (106)

with the chirality projectors \( P_{R/L} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2 \). Additionally, the vector fields satisfy the BCs

\[ \begin{cases} 
\partial_y A^\mu \big|_{y=\{0,\pi r\}} = 0, \\
A^5 \big|_{y=\{0,\pi r\}} = 0,
\end{cases} \] (107)

and the scalar fields \( \phi^\pm \) satisfy the BCs

\[ \begin{cases} 
\partial_y \phi^+ \big|_{y=\{0,\pi r\}} = 0, \\
\phi^- \big|_{y=\{0,\pi r\}} = 0.
\end{cases} \] (108)

In unified extra dimension, the couplings involving KK excitations do not depend on the bulk profiles since the integral over the 5th coordinate \( y \) can be integrated out explicitly. Correspondingly, the summing over KK excitations is simplified drastically because it is unnecessary to extend the square of bulk profile to the complex plane when we apply the residue theorem. Actually, the authors of Ref.[65] have applied the relation

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b}{n^2 + c} = \frac{b(\sqrt{c\pi} \coth(\sqrt{c\pi}) - 1)}{2c} \] (109)

to perform the summing over KK excitations in some lower energy processes. In unified extra dimension, the KK mass \( n/r \) is the zero of order one of the function \( \sin(\pi rz) \), and residue of the function \( G(z) = \pi r \cos(\pi rz)/\sin(\pi rz) \) at \( z = n/r \) is uniform one. Obviously, the function

\[ f(z) = \frac{B}{z^2 + C} \] (110)

is uniformly bounded because

\[ \lim_{|z| \to \infty} |zf(z)| = 0. \] (111)
Here,

\[ B = \frac{b}{r^2}, \quad C = \frac{c}{r^2}. \]  

(112)

Using the residue theorem, one obtains obviously

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b}{n^2 + c} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B}{(\frac{n}{r})^2 + C}. \]

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Res}\left\{ \frac{\pi r \cos(\pi rz)}{\sin \pi rz} \frac{B}{z^2 + C}, z = 0 \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Res}\left\{ \frac{\pi r \cos(\pi rz)}{\sin \pi rz} \frac{B}{z^2 + C}, z = i\sqrt{C} \right\} \]

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Res}\left\{ \frac{\pi r \cos(\pi rz)}{\sin \pi rz} \frac{B}{z^2 + C}, z = -i\sqrt{C} \right\} \]

\[ = -\frac{B}{2C} - \frac{B\pi r \cos(i\sqrt{C}\pi r)}{2\sqrt{C}\sin(i\sqrt{C}\pi r)} = \frac{b(\sqrt{c\pi} \coth(\sqrt{c\pi}) - 1)}{2c}, \]  

(113)

which is the equation presented in Eq. (109). Furthermore, the residue theorem can be applied to sum over the infinite series of KK excitations in more complicate forms.

VI. THE CORRECTIONS TO \( B \rightarrow X_s \gamma \) FROM NEUTRAL HIGGS IN WARPED EXTRA DIMENSION

Within the framework of warped extra dimension, the neutral Higgs located on IR brane induces the mixing between states of the same electric charge, a consequence of the mixing is that the FCNC transitions are also mediated by neutral Higgs, the KK excitations of gluon and photon, and the KK excitations of neutral electroweak gauge bosons besides the charged electroweak gauge bosons \( W^\pm \) together with their KK partners. In this section, we demonstrate that the radiative correction to the rare decay \( b \rightarrow s + \gamma \) from neutral Higgs contains the suppression factor \( m_b^3 m_s / m_w^4 \) comparing with the corrections from other sector.

The effective Hamilton for \( B \rightarrow X_s \gamma \) at scales \( \mu = \mathcal{O}(m_b) \) is given by [58]:

\[ \mathcal{H}_{eff}(b \rightarrow s\gamma) = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{6} C_i(\mu) Q_i(\mu) + C_{7\gamma}(\mu) Q_{7\gamma}(\mu) + C_{8G}(\mu) Q_{8G}(\mu) \right. \]

\[ + C_{7N}(\mu) Q_{7N}(\mu) + C_{8GN}(\mu) Q_{8GN}(\mu) \]  

(114)

with \( G_F \) denoting the Fermi constant. The magnetic penguin operators are

\[ Q_{7\gamma} = \frac{e}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) b_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}, \]
\[ Q_{8g} = \frac{g_s}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_a T_\alpha^a \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) b_\beta G_\mu^a, \]
\[ Q_{7\gamma}^N = \frac{e}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_a \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1 - \gamma_5) b_\alpha F_\mu^a, \]
\[ Q_{8g}^N = \frac{g_s}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_a T_\alpha^a \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1 - \gamma_5) b_\beta G_\mu^a, \]

(115)

and concrete expressions of other dimension six operators can be found in Ref. \[58\]. Here \(\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3\) denote the color indices of quarks, \(F_{\mu\nu}\) and \(G_{\mu\nu}^a\) \((a = 1, \ldots, 8)\) are the electromagnetic and strong field strength tensors, respectively. The magnetic penguin operators \(Q_{7\gamma}, Q_{8g}, Q_{7\gamma}^N, Q_{8g}^N\) in the effective Hamilton are induced by the virtual heavy freedoms through the one loop diagrams. As the internal virtual particles are neutral Higgs and electric charge \(-1/3\) quarks, the corresponding corrections to relevant Wilson coefficients can be written as

\[
C_{7\gamma}(\mu_{\text{EW}}) = \frac{4s^2 Q_f}{e^2} \left\{ \frac{3}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}} \left( \xi_0 \right)_{s,d_i} \left( \xi_0 \right)_{d_i,b} F_1(x_h, x_d) \right. \\
+ \sum_{d_i=1}^{3} \frac{m_{d_i}}{m_b} \left( \xi_0 \right)_{s,d_i} \left( \xi_0 \right)_{d_i,b} F_2(x_h, x_d) \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \xi_n \right)_{s,D_i} \left( \xi_n \right)_{D_i,b} F_1(x_h, x_D) \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d_i=1}^{3} \frac{m_{D_i}}{m_b} \left( \xi_n \right)_{s,D_i} \left( \xi_n \right)_{D_i,b} F_2(x_h, x_D) \left\}, \right.

\]

\[
C_{8g}(\mu_{\text{EW}}) = \frac{1}{Q_f} C_{7\gamma}(\mu_{\text{EW}}), \\
C_{7\gamma}^N(\mu_{\text{EW}}) = \frac{4s^2 Q_f}{e^2} \left\{ \frac{3}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}} \left( \xi_0 \right)_{s,d_i} \left( \xi_0 \right)_{d_i,b} F_1(x_h, x_d) \right. \\
+ \sum_{d_i=1}^{3} \frac{m_{d_i}}{m_b} \left( \xi_0 \right)_{s,d_i} \left( \xi_0 \right)_{d_i,b} F_2(x_h, x_d) \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \xi_n \right)_{s,D_i} \left( \xi_n \right)_{D_i,b} F_1(x_h, x_D) \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d_i=1}^{3} \frac{m_{D_i}}{m_b} \left( \xi_n \right)_{s,D_i} \left( \xi_n \right)_{D_i,b} F_2(x_h, x_D) \left\}, \right.

\]
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\[ C_{8G}(\mu_{\text{EW}}) = \frac{1}{Q_f} C_{7\gamma}(\mu_{\text{EW}}), \]  

with \( Q_f = -1/3 \), \( x_d = m_d^2/m_w^2 \), \( x_h = m_h^2/m_w^2 \). The abbreviation \( s_w = \sin \theta_w \) where \( \theta_w \) is the Weinberg angle, and the functions \( F_{1,2}(x, y) \) are defined as

\[
F_1(x, y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^3 \varphi_{3,1}}{\partial x^3} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{2,1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1,1}}{\partial x} \right\}(x, y),
\]

\[
F_2(x, y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{2,1}}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1,1}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1,1}}{\partial y} \right\}(x, y),
\]

with

\[
q_{m,n}(x, y) = \frac{x^m \ln x - y^m \ln y}{x - y}. \tag{118}
\]

The couplings \( \xi_{(0)} \) depend on the bulk profiles of zero modes for charge \(-1/3\) quarks, and the mixing between zero modes of charge \(-1/3\) quarks and corresponding KK partners. To obtain approximately the mixing between the zero modes of charge \(-1/3\) quarks and exciting KK modes, we write the infinite dimensional column vectors for down type quarks in the chirality basis as

\[
\Psi_L(-1/3) = \begin{pmatrix} g_L^{i(0)}(++) & \cdots & g_L^{i(n)}(++) & D_L^{i(n)}(+-) & D_L^{i(n)}(--) & \cdots \end{pmatrix}^T,
\]

\[
\Psi_R(-1/3) = \begin{pmatrix} d_R^{i(0)}(++) & \cdots & d_R^{i(n)}(----) & D_R^{i(n)}(--+) & D_R^{i(n)}(+-+) & \cdots \end{pmatrix}^T. \tag{119}
\]

Here, \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) is the index of generation, \( n = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty \) is the index of KK modes, the signs in parentheses denote the BCs satisfied by corresponding fields on UV and IR branes respectively. We then formally diagonalize the \(-1/3\) charge mass matrix and write the mass eigenvector as

\[
\Psi_L^{(m)}(-1/3) = D_L^\dagger \Psi_L(-1/3),
\]

\[
\Psi_R^{(m)}(-1/3) = D_R^\dagger \Psi_R(-1/3). \tag{120}
\]

Using above equations, one writes the couplings as

\[
(\xi_{(0)})_{d,b} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^3 \left[ f_{(++)}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) f_{(+)}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] \left( \lambda_d^\dagger \right)_{\alpha\beta} (D_L)_{\beta,b},
\]

\[
(\xi_{(m)})_{D,\beta} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^3 \left[ f_{(++)}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] (D_R)^\dagger_{D,\alpha\beta} \left( \lambda_d^\dagger \right)_{\alpha\beta} (D_L)_{\beta,b},
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
(\eta_{(n)})_{D_1b} = & \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^3 \left[ f^{L,c\beta}_{Rc\alpha}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] (D_R)_{D_1,9n-3+\alpha}^\dagger \left[ f^{R,c\alpha}_{Rc\beta}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] (\lambda^d)^\dagger_{\alpha\beta} \left( D_L \right)_{\beta,b} , \\
(\zeta_{(n)})_{D_1b} = & \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^3 \left[ f^{R,c\beta}_{Rc\alpha}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] (D_L)_{D_1,9n-6+\alpha}^\dagger \left[ f^{L,c\alpha}_{Rc\beta}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] (\lambda^d)^\dagger_{\alpha\beta} \left( D_R \right)_{\beta,b} .
\end{align*}
\]

For the infinite dimensional column vectors, we have no means of obtaining the mixing matrices \(D_{L,R}\) exactly. Adopting the effective Lagrangian approach\[48, 66\], we expand the left- and right-handed mixing matrices according \(v^2/\Lambda_{KK}^2\) and then approximate the Wilson coefficients \(C_{7\gamma}\) in Eq.\((110)\) as

\[
C_{7\gamma}(\mu_{EW}) = \frac{4s_w^2 Q_f}{e^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \sum_{\beta=1}^3 \sum_{\gamma=1}^3 \left\{ - \left( \delta_{b,di} \frac{m_i^2}{\Lambda_{KK}^2} + \delta_{s,di} \frac{m_s^2}{\Lambda_{KK}^2} \right) \right. \\
\times \left[ f^{L,c\beta}_{Rc\alpha}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) f^{R,c\beta}_{Rc\alpha}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] (D_R^{(0)})_{\gamma,b}^\dagger \left( \lambda^d \right)_{\alpha\beta} (\lambda^d)_{\beta\gamma} (D_R^{(0)})_{\gamma,b} \\
\times \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\left[ f^{L,c\beta}_{Rc\alpha}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) f^{R,c\beta}_{Rc\alpha}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right]_{\gamma,b} (D_R^{(0)})_{\gamma,b}^\dagger} \right\} F_1(x_h, x_{d_i}) \\
+ \frac{1}{\left[ f^{L,c\beta}_{Rc\alpha}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) f^{R,c\beta}_{Rc\alpha}(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right]_{\gamma,b} (D_R^{(0)})_{\gamma,b}^\dagger} \right\} F_2(x_h, x_{d_i}) \]
\[ + \frac{s^2 m^2 Q}{e^2 \Lambda_{KK}^2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{3} \left[ f_{c_T^\alpha}^R (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) f_{c_T^\beta}^R (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] \left( D_{R}^{(0)} \right)_{s,\alpha} (\Lambda^d)_{\alpha\beta} \times \left( \Lambda^d \right)_{\beta\gamma} \left( D_{R}^{(0)} \right)_{\gamma b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f_{c_T^\alpha}^L (y_{c_T^\alpha}^{(n)} \pm \frac{\pi}{2}) f_{c_T^\beta}^L (y_{c_T^\beta}^{(n)} \mp \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] \times F_1 \left( \frac{m_w^2}{\Lambda_{KK}^2} x_h, \left[ y_{c_T^\alpha}^{(n)}(\pm) \right]^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda_{KK}^4) \]

\[ = - \frac{Q_f m_b m_s}{m_w^2 \Lambda_{KK}^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} \left\{ (\delta_{b,d_i} m_b^2 + \delta_{s,d_i} m_s^2) \left( D_{L}^{(0)} \right)_{s,\beta} \Theta_1 (c_T^{(0)}) \left( D_{L}^{(0)} \right)_{\beta,b} + m_b m_s \left( \delta_{b,d_i} + \delta_{s,d_i} \right) \left( D_{R}^{(0)} \right)_{s,\beta} \Theta_1 (c_T^{(0)}) \left( D_{R}^{(0)} \right)_{\beta,b} \right\} \times F_1 (x_h, x_{d_i}) \]

\[ - \frac{Q_f m_b m_s}{m_w^2 \Lambda_{KK}^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ m_b m_s \left( \delta_{b,d_i} + \delta_{s,d_i} \right) \left( D_{L}^{(0)} \right)_{s,\beta} \Theta_1 (c_T^{(0)}) \left( D_{L}^{(0)} \right)_{\beta,b} + (\delta_{b,d_i} m_b^2 + \delta_{s,d_i} m_s^2) \left( D_{R}^{(0)} \right)_{s,\beta} \Theta_1 (c_T^{(0)}) \left( D_{R}^{(0)} \right)_{\beta,b} \right\} \times F_2 (x_h, x_{d_i}) + \mathcal{O}(v^4/\Lambda_{KK}^4) \] (122)

Here, \( D_{L,R}^{(0)} \) are the rotations of zero modes of charge \(-1/3\) quarks from chirality eigenvectors to mass eigenvectors in the absence of the mixing between zero modes and exciting KK modes of quarks. The nonnegative functions are defined as

\[ \Theta_1 (c) = \frac{(1 - 2c)(1 - \epsilon^{2c})}{(3 - 2c)(1 + 2c)(1 - \epsilon^{-1 + 2c})} + \frac{1}{1 - 2c} - \frac{1 + \epsilon^{-1 + 2c}}{(1 - 2c)(1 + 2c)} \]

\[ \Theta_2 (c) = \frac{1 - \epsilon^{2c}}{2(3 + 2c)(1 - \epsilon^{1 + 2c})} + \frac{1}{2(1 - 2c)(1 - \epsilon^{1 + 2c})} + \frac{1}{2(1 + 2c)} + \frac{2}{(1 - 2c)(1 - \epsilon^{1 + 2c})} - \frac{1}{(1 - 2c)(1 + 2c)} \] (123)

Analogously, we find that the corrections from neutral Higgs to the Wilson coefficients \( C_{SG} (\mu_{EW}) \), \( C_{\gamma_7} (\mu_{EW}) \) and \( C_{8G} (\mu_{EW}) \) all contain the suppression factor \( m_b^3 m_s / m_w^4 \) comparing with the corrections from other sectors. The detailed analysis on the radiative corrections to \( b \rightarrow s \gamma \), \( (g - 2)_\mu \) etc. are presented elsewhere [67].

VII. SUMMARY

In this work, we verify that the eigenvalues of KK excitations in warped extra dimension are real, and are symmetrically distributed contrasting to the origin in complex plane.
We also present the sufficient condition to judge if the infinite series of KK excitations is convergent. Applying the residue theorem, we sum over the infinitely series of KK modes, and analyze the possible relation between summation of the product of KK mode propagator with the corresponding bulk profiles in four dimensional effective theory and the propagator of field in five dimensional full theory. Additional, we also sum over the infinitely series of KK modes for the gauge boson satisfying the \((++)\) BCs, and recover the results in literature which are obtained through the equation of motion and completeness relation of all KK modes. We extend this method to sum over the KK modes in unified extra dimension, and obtain the equation applied extensively in literature. As an example, we demonstrate that the radiative correction from the penguin diagram composed by neutral Higgs and charge \(-1/3\) quarks contains the suppression factor \(m_b^3 m_s/m_w^4\) comparing with the corrections from other sectors.
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