On the gradient flow structure of the isotropic Landau equation
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Abstract

We prove that the isotropic Landau equation equipped with the Coulomb potential introduced by Krieger-Strain and Gualdani-Guillen can be identified with the gradient flow of the entropy in the probability space with respect to a Riemannian metric tensor with nonlocal mobility. We give characterizations of the corresponding geodesics equations and present a convergence rate result by estimating its Hessian operator.

1 Introduction

Since Otto’s pioneering work on analyzing the porous medium equation [20], there has been a lot of work on exploring gradient flow structures of different partial differential equations in the space of probability measures. The gradient flow method has proven to be important for both analytical and numerical simulation purposes, for example [13, 2, 21, 5, 19], just to name a few.

Although there has been a vast amount of literature on mathematical analysis of Boltzmann and Landau equations, the investigation of their gradient flow structures has only started quite recently: for example see [7, 11] for recent analysis results on the Boltzmann equation and [3] for a novel numerical method on approximating the homogeneous Landau equation. As all these results are based on the dissipation of the entropy functional, i.e., H-theorems, those kinetic equations can be viewed as gradient flows of the entropy with respect to various specific geometries.

The reason of limited progress on the gradient flow approach of the Boltzmann-like kinetic equations is the following: unlike the classical $L^2$ Wasserstein distance and generalized Wasserstein distance regarding concave, nonlinear, and local mobilities (see for example [6, 18, 15, 17, 16, 8]), the metrics associated with these Boltzmann-like kinetic equations involve nonlocal mobilities, which cause significant challenges when one tries to analyze related displacement convexity, functional inequalities, contractions and so on.

The goal of this short note is to identify the gradient flow structure of a modified version of classical Landau-Coulomb equations, the isotropic Landau equation, which has drawn interests within the kinetic community recently [14, 9, 10, 12, 11]. Given this gradient flow structure, we are able to characterize some basic geometric properties and calculate a time-dependent convergence rate for the entropy dissipation.

1.1 The isotropic Landau equation

Let us first recall the homogeneous Landau equation with the Coulomb potential

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (A[\rho]\nabla \rho - \rho \nabla L \rho), \quad \text{with} \quad A[\rho] = \frac{1}{8\pi |x|} \left( I_d - \frac{x \otimes x}{|x|^2} \right) * \rho.$$ (1.1)
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The modified Landau equation, which shares structural similarities with Landau equation from plasma physics and was first considered by Krieger and Strain [14], has the form
\[ \partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L} \rho \Delta \rho + \alpha \rho^2, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L} \rho = (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho. \] (1.2)
So far its global-in-time well-posedness with radial monotonic positive initial data has been proven in [9] for \( \alpha \in (0, 74/75) \). When \( \alpha = 1 \), the above can be rewritten as
\[ \partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{L} \rho \nabla \rho - \rho \nabla \mathcal{L} \rho), \] (1.3)
which is called the isotropic Landau equation since \( A[\rho] \) is replaced by \( \mathcal{L} \rho \) and has been studied by Gualdani and her collaborators in papers [10, 12, 11]. We recall that the inverse fractional Laplacian operator \( (-\Delta)^{-s} \) is a Riesz potential of order \( 2s \) and can be expressed as
\[ (-\Delta)^{-s} \rho(x,t) := \frac{4^{s} \Gamma(d/2+s)}{|(-s)_{d/2}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\rho(y,t)}{|x-y|^{d-2s}} dy, \quad t > 0, \] (1.4)
with \( c_{d,s} = \frac{4^{s} \Gamma(d/2+s)}{|(-s)_{d/2}|} \). Here for the isotropic Landau equation (1.3), we only consider the case where \( d = 3 \) and \( s = 1 \), thus \( c_{3,1} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \).

### 1.2 Previous work on the isotropic Landau equation

The global-in-time existence of smooth solutions given radially symmetric and monotonically decreasing initial data that have finite mass, energy and entropy was shown in [10]. Later, the radial symmetry requirement was relaxed to even functions in [12]. Although the isotropic Landau equation (1.3) is structurally similar to the classical Landau-Coulomb equation, in analysis it is very different in the sense that its second moment increases in time as shown in [11]. Because of that, the dissipation computation
\[ -\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\rho) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{|x-y|} \left| \frac{\nabla \rho(x)}{\rho(x)} - \frac{\nabla \rho(y)}{\rho(y)} \right|^2 dx dy \geq 0 \] (1.5)
does not imply a Maxwellian equilibrium. In fact, the only steady solution for the isotropic Landau equation is the identically zero solution.

Let us summarize some conditional regularity results from [12, 11], which will be utilized to analyze a distance based on the nonlocal mobility to be introduced below. By assuming that the initial data \( \rho_0 \) is even, \( |\mathcal{E}(\rho_0)| < +\infty \) and \( ||\rho_0||_{L^2} = 1 \) by normalization, the following time-dependent dissipation-Fisher information relation holds
\[ \frac{d\mathcal{E}(\rho)}{dt} + \kappa(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{|\nabla \sqrt{\rho(x,t)}|^2}{1 + |x|} dx \leq 0, \quad t > 0, \] (1.6)
with \( \kappa = \frac{1}{8\pi \mathcal{E}(t)^{1/2} + 1} \) and \( \mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{|x|^2}{2} \rho(x,t) dx \).

In addition, the second moment of data is locally bounded in time
\[ \mathcal{E}(t) \leq C_{p,\epsilon}(1 + t^{2p/(2p-4+\epsilon)}), \quad t > 0, \quad \frac{9}{5} < p < 2, \quad 4 - 2p < \epsilon < \frac{2}{5}. \]
Integrating (1.6) in time gives rise to
\[ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{|\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2}{1 + |x|} dx dt \leq C_T. \] (1.7)
The conditional regularity estimates in [12, 11] are built on assuming the following $\varepsilon$--Poincaré inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \phi^2 dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^2 dx, \quad \text{for} \ \phi \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3),
$$

(1.8)

The above assumptions allows for a uniform bound in space and time for $(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho(x, t)$ and we restate this result here.

**Lemma 1.1** ([11], Theorem 2). Suppose $\rho$ is a solution to the isotropic Landau equation (1.3) with even non-negative initial data $\rho_0$, and (1.8) holds. For any $0 < t < T$ and any $s_1 > 1, s_2 > 1/3$, and any ball $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with arbitrary radius $R > 0$, there exists constants $C_1(T, R, s_1), C_2(T, s_2)$ such that

$$
\|ho\|_{L^\infty(t,T;B_R)} \leq C_1(T, R, s_1) \left( \frac{1}{t} + 1 \right)^{s_1}, \quad t \in (0, T),
$$

(1.9)

$$
\|(-\Delta)^{-1}\rho\|_{L^\infty(t,T;\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_2(T, s_2) \left( \frac{1}{t} + 1 \right)^{s_2}, \quad t \in (0, T).
$$

(1.10)

### 1.3 Main results

The first main result of this note is the following gradient flow characterization of the isotropic Landau equation.

**Theorem 1.1.** The isotropic Landau equation (1.3) can be viewed as the gradient flow for the Boltzmann Shannon entropy $\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \int \rho \log \rho dx$,

$$
\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x, y) \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(y) dy \right) \quad \text{with} \quad K(x, y) = \delta_{(x=y)} \rho(x) \mathcal{L}\rho(x) - \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{4\pi |x-y|}.
$$

Based on this structure, we can define a distance function $W_K$ (2.8) in the Benamou-Brenier fashion, and have a lower bound with respect to the Wasserstein-1 distance (see Section 2.1). The corresponding geodesic equations can also be computed from the Hamiltonian (in Section 2.2).

Let us denote $\rho_t \equiv \rho$ and $K_{\rho_t} \equiv K$ to emphasize the density path. The second main result of this note provides a time-dependent convergence rate result for the entropy functional by estimating its Hessian operator. The proof essentially follows the Bakry-Emery strategy.

**Theorem 1.2.** Along the gradient flow (1.3), we have a lower bound for the Riemannian Hessian operator of the entropy as

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{E}(\rho_t) = -\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_t^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t^2 \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 ||\nabla^2 \Phi_t||^2 dx
$$

(1.11)

With an additional assumption that if there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1/7)$ such that in the weak sense

$$
2\gamma \rho_t - \frac{||\nabla \rho_t||^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t}{\rho_t^3} \geq 0,
$$

(1.12)

we then have the convergence rate for the entropy

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\rho_t) \leq -\alpha \|\rho_t\|_{L^3([t, \infty);\mathbb{R}^3)}^3,
$$

with some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ depending on $\gamma$.  

3
This result provides another view comparing to the dissipation-Fisher information relation \[1.6\]. The detailed computations, which heavily use the geodesic equations, the relation \( \Phi_t = -\log \rho_t \) along the gradient flow, and the Bochner’s formula, will be given in section 3.

**Organization.** The rest of the note is organized as follows. Section 2 details the gradient flow structure and Section 3 gives the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 2 The gradient flow structure

Consider the density space (or sometimes called the statistical manifold)

\[
\mathcal{M} = \left\{ \text{non-negative functions } \rho \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = 1 \right\}.
\] (2.1)

The tangent space of \( \mathcal{M} \) at \( \rho \in \mathcal{M} \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{M} = \left\{ \text{functions } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma = 0 \right\}.
\] (2.2)

The key object of this note is the nonlocal metric tensor defined as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** (Nonlocal metric tensor) Given \( \rho \in \mathcal{M} \), for \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\rho \mathcal{M} \), the nonlocal metric tensor \( g_K \) is given by

\[
g_K(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) := \langle \sigma_1, (-K)^{-1} \sigma_2 \rangle = \langle \Phi_1, -K \Phi_2 \rangle,
\] (2.3)

where

\[
K u(x) = \nabla \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x, y) \nabla u(y) dy \right) \text{ with } K(x, y) = \delta_{x=y} \rho(x) \mathcal{L} \rho(x) - \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{4\pi|x-y|},
\] (2.4)

and \( \Phi_i \) is a weak solution to the equation

\[
\sigma_i(x) = -\nabla \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x, y) \nabla \Phi_i(y) dy \right) = -K \Phi_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2.
\] (2.5)

To show that the metric tensor \( g_K \) is well-defined, one needs to verify that it is bilinear, symmetric, and positive semi-definite. The first two conditions can be checked directly while the last condition requires that for any \( u \) in some Banach space

\[
\iint \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)u^2(x)}{|x-y|} - \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)u(x)u(y)}{|x-y|} dxdy \geq 0.
\] (2.6)

This inequality holds by simply using the symmetry and Young’s inequality,

\[
\iint \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)u^2(x)}{|x-y|} dxdy = \iint \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)(u^2(x)/2 + u^2(y)/2)}{|x-y|} dxdy \geq \iint \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)u(x)u(y)}{|x-y|} dxdy.
\]

The following theorem states that the isotropic Landau equation is the gradient flow of the entropy with respect to the Riemannian structure introduced above.
Theorem 2.1. Given the Boltzmann Shannon entropy $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ where

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \log \rho \, dx,$$  

(2.7)

under the nonlocal metric tensor defined in (2.3), the gradient flow dynamics of (2.7) is exactly (1.3).

Proof. Note that

$$g_K(\text{grad} \mathcal{E}|_\rho, \sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(x) \sigma(x) \, dx.$$

By the definition (2.3),

$$g_K(\text{grad} \mathcal{E}|_\rho, \sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{grad} \mathcal{E}|_\rho(x)(-K)^{-1} \sigma(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{grad} \mathcal{E}|_\rho(x) \Phi(x) \, dx.$$

Plugging in the equation (2.5) and using $\nabla \delta \mathcal{E}/\delta \rho = \nabla \rho$ leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(x) \sigma(x) \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(x) \nabla \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \delta_{x=y} \rho(x) L \rho(x) - \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} \right) \nabla \Phi(y) \, dy \right) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \delta_{x=y} \rho(x) L \rho(x) - \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} \right) \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}(x) \, dx \right) \nabla \Phi(y) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (\rho(y) L \rho(y) - \rho(y) L \rho(y)) \nabla \Phi(y) \, dy$$

$$= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot \left( \rho(x) L \rho(x) - \rho(x) L \rho(x) \right) \Phi(x) \, dx.$$  

Therefore,

$$\text{grad} \mathcal{E}|_\rho(x) = - \nabla \cdot (L \rho(x) \nabla \rho(x) - \rho(x) L \rho(x)).$$

Since $\partial_t \rho = -\text{grad} \mathcal{E}|_\rho$, the Riemannian gradient flow in $(\mathcal{M}, g_K)$ gives the isotropic Landau equation as desired. \hfill \Box

Based on the above characterization, it is natural to define a Benamou-Brenier like formalism \cite{2} of the distance similar to the classical Wasserstein distance, but instead with a nonlocal mobility defined in (2.4).

Definition 2.2. If the kernel $K(x, y)$ is well-defined, the distance function $W_K : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ between two functions $\rho_0(x) = \rho(x, 0)$ and $\rho_1(x) = \rho(x, 1)$ is

$$W_K(\rho_0, \rho_1) := \inf_{v, \rho} \left( \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x, t) K(x, y) v(y, t) dx dy dt \right)^{1/2},$$  

(2.8)

and the infimum is taken over all smooth paths $\rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and vector field $v : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{T} \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho(x, t) + \nabla \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x, y) v(y, t) dy \right) = 0.$$  

(2.9)
2.1 Comparison to $L^1$–Wasserstein distance

Based on the conditional regularity results provided in \cite{12,11}, we can obtain a lower bound for the distance introduced in \eqref{2.8} in terms of the $L^1$–Wasserstein distance. Let us first recall that the $L^1$–Wasserstein distance between $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ is defined as

$$W_1(\rho_0, \rho_1) := \inf_{\pi \in \Gamma(\rho_0, \rho_1)} \int |x - y| \pi(dx, dy), \tag{2.10}$$

where $\Gamma(\rho_0, \rho_1)$ is the set of all couplings of $\rho_0$ and $\rho_1$.

**Theorem 2.2.** If $\rho_0$ is even, $\rho_0 \log \rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and satisfies the $\varepsilon$–Poincaré inequality \eqref{1.8}, then we have the bound

$$W_1(\rho_0, \rho_1) \leq CW_K(\rho_0, \rho_1). \tag{2.11}$$

**Proof.** Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded 1–Lipschitz function. Clearly $\varphi \in W_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Using the continuity equation \eqref{2.9} and integration by parts gives rise to

$$\left| \int \varphi \rho_1 dx - \int \varphi \rho_0 dx \right| = \left| \int_0^1 \int \varphi \partial_t \rho \ dx dt \right| = \left| \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \varphi(x)K(x, y)v(y, t) \ dx dy dt \right| \leq \left( \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \varphi(x)K(x, y)\nabla \varphi(y) \ dx dy dt \right)^{\frac12} \left( \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} v(x, t)K(x, y)v(y, t) \ dx dy dt \right)^{\frac12}.$$

The last inequality uses Cauchy-Schwarz since \eqref{2.6} holds. Now as $||\nabla \varphi||_{L^\infty} = 1$, using the uniform bound \eqref{1.10} we have that

$$\left| \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \varphi(x)K(x, y)\nabla \varphi(y) \ dx dy dt \right| \leq 2 \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(x, t)||(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho(x, t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} dx dt \leq 2C(n) \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{t} + 1 \right)^8 dt \leq C.$$

Taking the supremum over all bounded 1–Lipschitz functions $\varphi$ on the left hand side accompanied with Kantorovich-Rubinestein duality, and taking the infimum over $v, \rho$ on the right hand side, we then obtain the inequality \eqref{2.11}. \hfill \qed

2.2 The geodesic equations

Let us consider the the geometric action functional in the density space

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho_t, \partial_t \rho_t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int \partial_t \rho_t (-\mathcal{K}_{\rho_t})^{-1} \partial_t \rho_t dt,$$ \tag{2.12}

where $\rho_t = \rho(x, t)$ is the density path connecting $\rho_0$ and $\rho_1$, $\mathcal{K}_{\rho_t}$ is the Onsager operator defined in \eqref{2.3} with its dependency on $\rho_t$ explicitly written.

**Lemma 2.3.** With the relation $\Phi_t = (-\mathcal{K}_{\rho_t})^{-1} \partial_t \rho_t$, the geodesic equations are

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t \rho_t + \mathcal{K}_{\rho_t} \Phi_t = 0 \\
\partial_t \Phi_t + \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla \Phi_t|^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t + (-\Delta)^{-1} (|\nabla \Phi_t|^2 \rho_t)) - \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) = 0
\end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$
\textbf{Proof.} The derivation follows directly from the Hamiltonian formulation, which by Legendre transform is
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}(\rho_t, \Phi_t) = \sup_{\Phi_t \in C^\infty(M)} \int \Phi_t \partial_t \rho_t dx - \mathcal{L}(\partial_t \rho_t, \partial_t \Phi_t).
\end{equation}

The optimum is obtained when $\Phi_t = (-\mathcal{K}_{\rho_t})^{-1} \partial_t \rho_t$, and the Hamiltonian is
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}(\rho_t, \Phi_t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t (-\mathcal{K}_{\rho_t})^{-1} \partial_t \rho_t dx = \frac{1}{2} \int \int \nabla \Phi_t(x) K_{\rho_t}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_t(y) dxdy.
\end{equation}

The co-geodesic flow satisfies
\begin{equation}
\partial_t \rho_t = \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}(\rho_t, \Phi_t)}{\delta \Phi_t}, \quad \partial_t \Phi_t = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}(\rho_t, \Phi_t)}{\delta \rho_t}.
\end{equation}

The first equation of (2.13) can be easily obtained from the first relation in (2.16). To obtain the second equation of (2.13) from the second relation in (2.16) here, we write (2.15) as
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}(\rho_t, \Phi_t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int \nabla \Phi_t(x) (\delta_{t=x=y} \rho_t(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t(x) - \frac{\rho_t(x) \rho_t(y)}{4\pi |x-y|}) \nabla \Phi_t(y) dxdy
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \Phi_t(x)|^2 \rho_t(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \int \nabla \Phi_t(x) \frac{\rho_t(x) \rho_t(y)}{4\pi |x-y|} \nabla \Phi_t(y) dxdy.
\end{equation}

Thus for any $v \in M$,
\begin{equation}
\int \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \rho_t} v dx = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathcal{H}(\rho_t + \epsilon v, \Phi_t)|_{\epsilon=0}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= \frac{1}{2} \int \int \nabla \Phi_t(x) \frac{\rho_t(x) v(y) + v(x) \rho_t(y)}{4\pi |x-y|} \nabla \Phi_t(y) dxdy
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= \frac{1}{2} \int \((\nabla \Phi_t |^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t + (-\Delta)^{-1} |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 \rho_t) v dx - \int \nabla \Phi_t(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) v dx,
\end{equation}

which gives the second equation that we stated.
\hfill \square

3 Estimate of the Hessian operator

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The Hessian operator of the entropy can be computed by taking the second time derivative of $\mathcal{E}$ along the geodesic equations as in Lemma 2.3. Let us use $\delta \mathcal{E}$ to denote $\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho_t}$ for convenience. Note that
\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\rho_t) = \langle \text{grad} \mathcal{E}|_{\rho_t}, \Phi_t \rangle = \int \delta \mathcal{E} \partial_t \rho_t dx
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= \int \int \nabla \delta \mathcal{E}(\rho_t(x)) K_{\rho_t}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_t(y) dxdy.
\end{equation}
The second variation of $E$ is
\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\rho_t) = \langle \text{Hess} E|_{\rho_t} \Phi_t, \Phi_t \rangle = \int \nabla \frac{d}{dt} \delta E(\rho_t(x)) K_{\rho_t}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_t(y) dxdy \\
+ \int \nabla \delta E(\rho_t(x)) \partial_t K_{\rho_t}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_t(y) dxdy \\
+ \int \nabla \delta E(\rho_t(x)) K_{\rho_t}(x, y) \nabla \partial_t \Phi_t(y) dxdy
\]
\[= I + II + III.
\]

We begin the proof of Theorem 1.2. The following rather long computations will involve the quantity
\[
\partial_t \rho_t = - \nabla \cdot (\rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t - \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) \\
= - \nabla \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t - \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t - \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \Phi_t + \nabla \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) + \rho_t^2. 
\]
(3.1)
The last term above uses the relation $\Phi_t = -\log \rho_t$ since we follow the Hessian operator along the gradient flow. Thus
\[
\nabla \cdot (-\Delta)^{-1}(\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) = \rho_t. 
\]
(3.2)
However, unless to analyze some difficult terms, we shall keep $\Phi_t$ for the majority of the computation in order to explore the associated Hessian structure.

For the first term $I$, let us use (3.1) and study its quadratic expansion,
\[
I = \int \delta^2 E(\rho_t(x)) \left( \nabla \cdot \left( \int K_{\rho_t}(x, y) \nabla \Phi_t(y) dy \right) \right)^2 dx \\
= \int \frac{1}{\rho_t(x)} \left( \nabla \cdot (\rho_t(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t(x) - \rho_t(x)(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t(x))) \right)^2 dx \\
= \int \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 (\Delta \Phi_t)^2 dx \\
+ \int \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)^2 dx + \int \rho_t^2 dx + \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \\
+ 2 \int \nabla \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \Delta \Phi_t dx + 2 \int \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx \\
+ 2 \int |\nabla \rho_t|^2 ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t dx + \int \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \Delta \Phi_t dx \\
- 2 \int \nabla \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx \\
- 2 \int \nabla \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx.
\]
For the second term $II$,
\[
II = \int \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} \left( \delta_{x=y} \partial_t \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t + \delta_{x=y} \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_t \rho_t - \frac{\partial_t \rho_t(x) \rho_t(y) + \rho_t(x) \partial_t \rho_t(y)}{4\pi |x-y|} \right) \nabla \Phi_t(y) dxdy \\
= \int \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} \partial_t \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t dx + \int \nabla \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_t \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t dx \\
- \int \frac{\nabla \rho_t}{\rho_t} \partial_t \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx - \int \nabla \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\partial_t \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx := II_1 + II_2 + II_3 + II_4.
\]
Plugging in (3.1), we have

\[ II_1 = -\int \frac{\|\nabla \rho_t\|^2}{\rho_t} ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[ -\int \nabla \rho_t ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \frac{\|\nabla \rho_t\|^2}{\rho_t} ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[ -\int |\nabla \rho_t|^2 ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t) dx, \]

and

\[ II_3 = \int \frac{\|\nabla \rho_t\|^2}{\rho_t} ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx + \int \nabla \rho_t ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx \]

\[ + \int \nabla \rho_t ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx - \int \frac{\|\nabla \rho_t\|^2}{\rho_t} ((-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t))^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t^3 dx. \]

Moreover,

\[ II_4 = -\int (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t \partial_t \rho_t dx \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t ((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t |\Delta \Phi_t dx \]

\[ -\int \nabla \rho_t (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t^3 dx. \]

For the third term, we use the geodesic equation in (2.3) and note that the (1.3) also can be written as \( \partial_t \rho_t = (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \rho_t + \rho_t^2 \). Therefore,

\[ III = \int \nabla \cdot \left( \int (\delta_{x=y}) \rho_t (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t - \frac{\rho_t (x) \rho_t (y)}{4 \pi |x-y|} \frac{\nabla \rho_t (y)}{\rho_t (y)} dy \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t + (-\Delta)^{-1} |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 \rho_t \right) - |\nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) \right) dx \]

\[ = \int ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \rho_t + \rho_t^2) \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t + \rho_t (-\Delta)^{-1} |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 \rho_t \right) - |\nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) \right) dx \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 \Delta \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int (-\Delta)^{-1} ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \rho_t + \rho_t^2) \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[ -\int (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[ -\int \rho_t^2 |\nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx := III_1 + III_2 + III_3 + III_4 + III_5. \]
Combining $I, II_1, III_3$ and $II_4$ results in
\[ I + II_1 + III_3 + II_4 = 2 \int \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t^2 dx + \int \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 (\Delta \Phi_t)^2 dx + 2 \int \rho_t^3 dx \]
\[ + \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t^2 dx + \int \nabla \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \Delta \Phi_t dx \]
\[ + \int |\nabla \rho_t|^2 ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t) dx + \frac{3}{2} \int \rho_t \nabla ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \Delta \Phi_t dx \]
\[ - 2 \int \nabla \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t^-1 (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx - 2 \int \rho_t^2 ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t^2 \Delta \Phi_t^2 dx \]
\[ - \int \nabla \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t) \nabla \Phi_t^-1 (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx := IV. \]

We can now rearrange the first three lines in $IV$ in a nicer way by doing integration by parts,
\[ \int \nabla \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \Delta \Phi_t dx = -\int \rho_t \nabla ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \Delta \Phi_t dx - \int \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t^2 (\Delta \Phi_t)^2 dx \]
\[ - \int \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t^2 (\nabla \Phi_t, \nabla \Delta \Phi_t) dx, \]

and as a result,
\[ IV = 2 \int \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t^2 dx + 2 \int \rho_t^3 dx + \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t^2 dx \]
\[ - \int \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t^2 (\nabla \Phi_t, \nabla \Delta \Phi_t) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \nabla ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \Delta \Phi_t dx \]
\[ - 2 \int \nabla \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t^-1 (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx - 2 \int \rho_t^2 ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t^2 \Delta \Phi_t^2 dx \]
\[ - \int \nabla \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t) \nabla \Phi_t^-1 (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx + \int |\nabla \rho_t|^2 ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t dx. \]

Note that
\[ III_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \Delta (\nabla \Phi_t, \nabla \Phi_t) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \Delta ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t^2 dx \]
\[ + \int \rho_t \nabla ((\Delta)^{-1}\rho_t)^2 \nabla |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx. \]

Using the Bochner’s formula
\[ \frac{1}{2} \Delta (\nabla \Phi_t, \nabla \Phi_t) - (\nabla \Phi_t, \nabla \Delta \Phi_t) = \|\nabla \Phi_t\|^2, \]

(3.3)
Finally, we can rearrange the terms into the form

\[ IV + III_1 = 2 \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + 2 \int \rho_t^2 dx + \int \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[ + \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla^2 \Phi_t|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \nabla \Phi_t dx \]

\[ - 2 \int \nabla \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx \]

\[ - 2 \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \Phi_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx \]

\[ - \int \nabla \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \Phi_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx \]

\[ + \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx \]

\[ - \int |\nabla \rho_t|(-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t dx, \]

with the last term obtained by plugging in \( \Phi_t = - \log \rho_t \). Furthermore, using this substitution can reformulate the second term in the third line of \( IV + III_1 \) as

\[ - 2 \int \rho_t^2((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \Phi_t) dx = 2 \int \rho_t \Delta \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t) dx - 2 \int |\nabla \rho_t|^2((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t) dx. \]

Finally, we can rearrange the terms into the form

\[ IV + III_1 + III_2 = 2 \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx - \int \nabla \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx \]

\[ + \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla^2 \Phi_t|^2 dx + 2 \int \rho_t^3 dx + 2 \int \rho_t \Delta \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t) dx \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \Delta((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[ + \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 \nabla \Phi_t \Delta \Phi_t dx \]

\[ - 2 \int |\nabla \rho_t|^2((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t) dx := V. \]

Using \( \Phi_t = - \log \rho_t \), the first line in \( V \) can be reduced to

\[ 2 \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx - \int \nabla \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t(-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx = \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx. \]

Moreover, in the second line, we observe that

\[ 2 \int \rho_t^3 dx + 2 \int \rho_t \Delta \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t) dx = 2 \int \rho_t \partial_t \rho_t dx = -2 \int \rho_t \rho_t \Phi_t dx \]

\[ = -2 \int \rho_t^2((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + 2 \int \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t((-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t)) dx := VI. \]
Therefore,

$$VI + III_4 + III_5 = -\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_t^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t^2 \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx.$$  

The third and fourth lines in $V$ can be organized as follows

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \Delta ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \frac{5}{4} \int \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 \nabla |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[
= -\frac{3}{4} \int \rho_t \Delta ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[
= \frac{3}{2} \int \rho_t^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx - \frac{3}{2} \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx.
\]

Collect all the terms above, we arrive at

\[
V + III_4 + III_5 = -\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx \]

\[
+ \int \rho_t^2 \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx + \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla^2 \Phi_t|^2 dx - 2 \int |\nabla \rho_t|^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t dx,
\]

plus the remaining terms

\[
II_2 + III_2 = \int (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) \partial_t \rho_t dx + \frac{1}{2} \int (-\Delta)^{-1}((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \rho_t + \rho_t^2) |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2)^2 [(\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t \Delta \rho_t + \rho_t^2) dx \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx - \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 \nabla |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t dx,
\]

when using the integration by parts to move the Laplacian operator to other places. The second term above can be dealt with by viewing $\rho_t$ as $-\nabla \cdot (\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t$ and an integration by parts gives

\[-\int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla (\rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2)(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t dx = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx.
\]

Now we are ready to wrap up all terms,

\[
I + II + III = -\frac{3}{2} \int \rho_t^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t^2 \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx \]

\[
- \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx + \int \rho_t((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla^2 \Phi_t|^2 dx.
\]

This proves (1.11). The term, $-\frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx$, can be rewritten using $\Phi_t = -\log \rho_t$ as

\[-\frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t \left( \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^2 ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)}{\rho_t^2} \right) (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx.
\]

We further decompose $\int \rho_t^2 \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx$ into

\[
\int \rho_t^2 \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx = \alpha \int \rho_t^3 dx + \beta \int \rho_t^3 dx + \gamma \int \rho_t^2 \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx,
\]
with $2(\alpha + \beta) + \gamma = 1$. Now if we additionally assume that

$$\beta \int \rho_t^3 dx - \frac{3}{2} \int \rho_t^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx = \int \rho_t^2 \left( \beta \rho_t - \frac{3}{2} \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t}{\rho_t^2} \right) dx \geq 0$$

and

$$\gamma \int \rho_t^2 \nabla \Phi_t (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx - \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla \rho_t \nabla ((-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t)^2 |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_t \nabla \Phi_t \left( 2 \gamma \rho_t - \frac{|\nabla \rho_t|^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \rho_t}{\rho_t^2} \right) (-\Delta)^{-1} (\rho_t \nabla \Phi_t) dx \geq 0,$$

with $\gamma = \beta/3 \in (0, 1/7)$ for compatibility, then the positivity assumption (1.12), with ignorance of the Hessian term, gives

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{E}(\rho_t) \geq \alpha \int \rho_t^3 dx. \quad (3.4)$$

Integrating (3.4) for $[t, \infty)$ results in

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\rho_t) \leq -\alpha \int_t^\infty \int \rho_t^3 dx dt = -\alpha \|\rho_t\|_{L^3([t, \infty); \mathbb{R}^3)}^3$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2. This relation gives us another view of time-dependent entropy dissipation comparable to (1.6).

**Remark 3.1.** Although the convergence rate estimate we provide here is a very crude bound, we can still observe the slowness of the entropy decay rate. From Theorem 2 in [11], we can see that $\rho_t$ decays asymptotically close to $1/t^3, t \gg 1$ (see (1.9)). It implies that the entropy $\mathcal{E}(\rho_t)$ decreases at most polynomially fast when $t \gg 1$.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Wuchen Li and Lenya Ryzhik for stimulating discussions. The first author is also grateful to Inwon Kim, Yao Yao, and Yuming Zhang for initial discussions. J.A. is supported by the Joe Oliger Fellowship from Stanford University. The work of L.Y. is partially supported by the National Science Foundation under award DMS-1818449.

**References**

[1] Giada Basile, Dario Benedetto, and Lorenzo Bertini. A gradient flow approach to linear boltzmann equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.09204*, 2017.

[2] Jean-David Benamou and Yann Brenier. A computational fluid mechanics solution to the monge-kantorovich mass transfer problem. *Numerische Mathematik*, 84(3):375–393, 2000.

[3] Jose A. Carrillo, Jingwei Hu, Li Wang, and Jeremy Wu. A particle method for the homogeneous Landau equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03080*, 2019.

[4] José A Carrillo, Robert J McCann, Cédric Villani, et al. Kinetic equilibration rates for granular media and related equations: entropy dissipation and mass transportation estimates. *Revista Matematica Iberoamericana*, 19(3):971–1018, 2003.
[5] José Antonio Carrillo, Yanghong Huang, Francesco Saverio Patacchini, and Gershon Wolansky. Numerical study of a particle method for gradient flows. *Kinetic & Related Models*, 10(3):613–641, 2017.

[6] José Antonio Carrillo, Stefano Lisini, Giuseppe Savaré, and Dejan Slepčev. Nonlinear mobility continuity equations and generalized displacement convexity. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 258(4):1273–1309, 2010.

[7] Matthias Erbar. A gradient flow approach to the boltzmann equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.00540*, 2016.

[8] Alfredo Garbuno-Inigo, Franca Hoffmann, Wuchen Li, and Andrew M Stuart. Gradient structure of the ensemble kalman flow with noise. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.08866*, 2019.

[9] Philip T Gressman, Joachim Krieger, and Robert M Strain. A non-local inequality and global existence. *Advances in mathematics*, 230(2):642–648, 2012.

[10] Maria Gualdani and Nestor Guillen. Estimates for radial solutions of the homogeneous landau equation with coulomb potential. *Analysis & PDE*, 9(8):1773–1810, 2016.

[11] Maria Gualdani and Nicola Zamponi. A review for an isotropic landau model. In *PDE Models for Multi-Agent Phenomena*, pages 115–144. Springer, 2018.

[12] Maria Pia Gualdani and Nicola Zamponi. Global existence of weak even solutions for an isotropic landau equation with coulomb potential. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 50(4):3676–3714, 2018.

[13] Richard Jordan, David Kinderlehrer, and Felix Otto. The variational formulation of the fokker–planck equation. *SIAM journal on mathematical analysis*, 29(1):1–17, 1998.

[14] Joachim Krieger and Robert M Strain. Global solutions to a non-local diffusion equation with quadratic non-linearity. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 37(4):647–689, 2012.

[15] Wuchen Li. Geometry of probability simplex via optimal transport. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.06360*, 2018.

[16] Wuchen Li. Diffusion hypercontractivity via generalized density manifold. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.12946*, 2019.

[17] Wuchen Li and Lexing Ying. Hessian transport gradient flows. *Research in the Mathematical Sciences*, 6(4):34, Oct 2019.

[18] Matthias Liero and Alexander Mielke. Gradient structures and geodesic convexity for reaction–diffusion systems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 371(2005):20120346, 2013.

[19] Qiang Liu and Dilin Wang. Stein variational gradient descent: A general purpose bayesian inference algorithm. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 2378–2386, 2016.

[20] Felix Otto. The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 26:101–174, 2001.
[21] Filippo Santambrogio. {Euclidean, metric, and Wasserstein} gradient flows: an overview. *Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences*, 7(1):87–154, 2017.