Efficacy and safety of endoscopic submucosal dissection under general anesthesia
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Abstract

AIM: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) under general anesthesia.

METHODS: From January 2011 to July 2014, 206 consecutive patients had undergone ESD under general anesthesia for neoplasms of the stomach, esophagus, and colorectum were enrolled in this retrospective study. The efficacy and safety of ESD under general anesthesia were assessed.

RESULTS: The en bloc resection rate of esophageal, gastric, and colorectal lesions was 100.0%, 98.3%, and 96.1%, respectively. The complication rate of perforation
Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is a well-established treatment for early-stage malignant lesions of the stomach, esophagus, and colorectum with no risk of lymphatic metastasis\(^1\). ESD requires precise and complicated maneuvers. Minimal patient movement for maintaining good visualization is important for a successful procedure, and intraoperative management of the patient’s general condition is very important for achieving safe ESD. In Japan, ESD is usually performed under conscious sedation in the endoscopy room. However, some issues are associated with this procedure, including patient movement because of insufficient sedation. Thus, this retrospective study aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of ESD under general anesthesia in 206 consecutive patients. The complication rate was lower in our study than in previous studies. Moreover, no cases of aspiration pneumonia were observed. ESD under general anesthesia appears to be a useful method for reducing the risk of complications.

**CONCLUSION:** With the cooperation of an anesthesiologist, ESD under general anesthesia appears to be a useful method, decreasing the risk of complications.
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Core tip: Studies regarding endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) under general anesthesia in Japan are scarce because ESD is generally performed under conscious sedation. ESD requires minimal patient movement for optimal visualization, which may be hampered because of insufficient sedation. Thus, this retrospective study aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of ESD under general anesthesia in 206 consecutive patients. The complication rate was lower in our study than in previous studies. Moreover, no cases of aspiration pneumonia were observed. ESD under general anesthesia appears to be a useful method for reducing the risk of complications.
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## INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is a well-established treatment for early-stage malignant lesions of the stomach, esophagus, and colorectum with no risk of lymphatic metastasis\(^1\). ESD requires precise and complicated maneuvers. Minimal patient movement for maintaining good visualization is important for a successful procedure, and intraoperative management of the patient’s general condition is very important for achieving safe ESD. In Japan, ESD is usually performed under conscious sedation in the endoscopy room. However, some issues are associated with this procedure, including patient movement because of insufficient effect of sedation and a risk of aspiration pneumonia. Any patient movement during the procedure can result in complications such as perforation and hemorrhage because of impaired visual control. Aspiration pneumonia can induce respiratory failure. Therefore, we perform ESD under general anesthesia with mechanical ventilation. In this study, we retrospectively investigated the efficacy and safety of ESD under general anesthesia.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Indications for endoscopic submucosal dissection under general anesthesia at our institution

ESD under general anesthesia is performed in cases in which the predicted procedure time is > 120 min, an insufficient effect of conscious sedation (such as that in heavy drinkers) was observed, or strict anesthetic management is required (such as that in high-risk cases affected by comorbidities).

### Patients

We retrospectively enrolled consecutive 206 patients who had undergone ESD under general anesthesia for neoplasms of the stomach, esophagus, and colorectum in accordance with our indications, except for two patients who rejected the treatment for neoplasms because of their old age, at the Fukuoka University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Gastroenterological Surgery between January 2011 and July 2014. Information collected from medical records included clinical and operative data.

### General anesthesia

ESD was performed under general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation by the anesthesiologist in the operation room. General anesthesia was induced with rocuronium and propofol and was maintained with propofol or sevoflurane, remifentanil, and intermittent rocuronium administration during the procedure. After endotracheal intubation, patients were placed in the left lateral position in cases of esophageal or gastric lesions or in the supine position in cases of colorectal lesions.

### Endoscopic equipment

**Esophageal and gastric lesion:** A gastroscope (GIF H260Z; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used for marking the lesion. The lesion’s circumference was marked using argon plasma coagulation (APC). ESD was conducted using a gastroscope (GIF Q260J; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a distal attachment cap (DH-28GR; Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan). Submucosal injections were performed using a 25-G needle (3 mm; Impact Flow, Top Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) for esophageal lesions, and a 25-G needle (4 mm; Impact Flow, Top Corporation) for gastric lesions. Incision and dissection were performed using two types of Flush Knife BT (2.0 and 2.5 mm, respectively, DK2618JB, Fujifilm Medical, Tokyo, Japan). An electrosurgical generator (VIO 300D; ERBE, Tübingen, Germany) was used for all ESD procedures. All visible vessels were coagulated with hemostatic forcesps (Coagrasper; FD-410LR, Olympus).

**Colorectal lesions:** The endoscope used was a colonoscope (PCF-Q260AZ1; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a distal attachment cap (DH-29GR; Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan) for...
perforation or bleeding were observed, and there was no perioperative mortality (Table 1).

**Gastric ESD under general anesthesia**

We performed gastric ESD under general anesthesia for 121 gastric neoplasms from 111 patients. The baseline clinical and operative characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 2. The male:female ratio was 79:32 (71.2%/28.8%) and the patients’ mean age was 70.4 ± 10.2 years (range, 44-89 years). The number of patients who had preoperative comorbidities was 91 (75.2%). The diameter of the resected specimen was 39.5 ± 13.9 mm (range, 10-80 mm). The en bloc resection rate was 98.3%. The mean operating time was 188.4 ± 91.7 min (range, 50-615 min), and the mean anesthesia time was 254.5 ± 95.4 min (range, 110-680 min). With regard to complications, intraoperative bleeding occurred in 2 (1.7%) patients, delayed bleeding occurred in 1 (0.8%) patient, and intraoperative perforation occurred in 2 (1.7%) patients (Table 2). In all cases of intraoperative perforation, we were able to close the hole using endoclips. All complications were managed using conservative treatment, with no surgical intervention required. No perioperative mortality was observed.

**Colorectal ESD under general anesthesia**

We performed colorectal ESD under general anesthesia for 51 colorectal neoplasms from 49 patients. Baseline clinical and operative characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 3. The male:female ratio was 22:27 (44.9%/55.1%), and the patient mean age was 66.7 ± 9.6 years (range, 42-87 years). The number of patients who had preoperative comorbidities was 91 (75.2%). The diameter of the resected specimen was 36.5 ± 11.3 mm (range, 10-80 mm). The en bloc resection rate was 99.0%. The mean operating time was 254.5 ± 95.4 min (range, 110-680 min). With regard to complications, no cases of perforation or bleeding were observed, and there was no perioperative mortality (Table 1).

**Ethical considerations**

The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Fukuoka University Faculty of Medicine.

**RESULTS**

**Esophageal ESD under general anesthesia**

We performed esophageal ESD under general anesthesia for 58 esophageal neoplasms in 46 patients. The baseline clinical and operative characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. The male:female ratio was 41:5 (89.1%/10.9%) and the mean age of the patients was 69.7 ± 10.0 years (range, 26-82 years). The number of patients who had preoperative comorbidities was 32 (65.3%). The diameter of the resected specimen was 32.9 ± 12.1 mm (range, 10-80 mm). The en bloc resection rate was 100.0%. The mean operating time was 164.5 ± 95.1 min (range, 40-468 min). The mean anesthesia time was 233.6 ± 95.0 min (range, 105-545 min). With regard to complications, no cases of perforation or bleeding were observed, and there was no perioperative mortality (Table 1).

### Table 1 Baseline clinical and operational characteristics of patients undergoing esophageal endoscopic submucosal dissection

| Age, (yr) | 69.7 ± 10.0 |
| Sex, (n (%)) | Male 41 (89.1), Female 5 (10.9) |
| Preoperative co-morbidities, (n (%)) | 39 (84.8) |
| ASA score, (n (%)) | I 8 (17.4), II 37 (80.4), III 1 (2.2) |
| Histologic type, (n (%)) | Squamous cell carcinoma 53 (91.4), Leiomyoma 2 (3.4), Others 3 (5.2) |
| Mean diameter of resected specimen, (mm) | 32.9 ± 12.1 |
| en bloc resection rate, (n (%)) | 58 (100) |
| Duration of anesthesia, (min) | 233.6 ± 95.0 |
| Duration of operation, (min) | 164.5 ± 95.1 |
| Postoperative hospital stay (d) | 8.3 ± 2.3 |
| Complication rate, (n (%)) | 0 (0.0) |
| Intraoperative bleeding | 0 (0.0) |
| Delayed bleeding | 0 (0.0) |
| Intraoperative perforation | 0 (0.0) |

1Mean ± SD. ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists score.

### Table 2 Baseline clinical and operational characteristics of patients undergoing gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection

| Age, (yr) | 70.4 ± 10.2 |
| Sex, (n (%)) | Male 79 (71.2), Female 32 (28.8) |
| Preoperative co-morbidities, (n (%)) | 91 (75.2) |
| ASA score, (n (%)) | I 20 (18.0), II 88 (79.3), III 3 (2.7) |
| Histologic type, (n (%)) | Differentiated type adenocarcinoma 102 (84.3), Undifferentiated type adenocarcinoma 8 (6.6), Others 10 (8.3) |
| Mean diameter of resected specimen, (mm) | 39.5 ± 13.9 |
| en bloc resection rate, (n (%)) | 119 (98.3) |
| Duration of anesthesia, (min) | 254.5 ± 95.4 |
| Duration of operation, (min) | 188.4 ± 91.7 |
| Postoperative hospital stay (d) | 7.5 ± 2.0 |
| Complication rate, (n (%)) | 4 (3.3) |
| Intraoperative bleeding | 1 (0.8) |
| Delayed bleeding | 1 (0.8) |
| Intraoperative perforation | 2 (1.7) |

1Mean ± SD. ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists score.

Marking of the lesion and ESD. The lesion’s circumference was marked using APC. Submucosal injections were performed using a 25-G needle (3 mm, Impact Flow, Top Corporation, Japan). Incision and dissection were performed with a Flush Knife BT (1.5 mm, DK2618JB, Fujifilm Medical, Tokyo, Japan). An electro surgical generator (VIO 300D; ERBE, Tübingen, Germany) was used for all ESD procedures. All visible vessels were coagulated with hemostatic forceps (Coagrasper; FD-411UR, Olympus).

All ESD procedures were performed with carbon dioxide insufflation.

**Ethical considerations**

The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Fukuoka University Faculty of Medicine.
The limitation of ESD under general anesthesia is that it can be performed only in a limited number of institutions because it requires the cooperation of an anesthesiologist.

The advantages of ESD under general anesthesia include optimal visualization in the absence of patient movement; the operator can concentrate on ESD maneuvers without having to attend to anesthetic management because of the assistance of an anesthesiologist; if complications, such as intraoperative perforation and bleeding occur, we can manage this with optimal visualization; there is no risk of aspiration pneumonia during the ESD procedure; and the supervisor can teach beginners without having to care regarding the patient's consciousness.

The reported rate of perforation and bleeding of ESD is 5.0% and 2.1% in esophageal ESD [12, 19], 0.3%-5.0% and 3.4%-5.8% in gastric ESD [11,14], and 1.4%-10.4% and 0.0%-12.0% in colorectal ESD [15-18], respectively.

However, the complication rate of perforation and bleeding in ESD under general anesthesia in our institution was 0.0% and 0.0% in esophageal ESD, 1.7% and 1.7% in gastric ESD, and 3.9% and 2.0% in colorectal ESD, respectively. Although half of ESDs were performed by an endoscopy fellow under direct supervision of an experienced endoscopist, the complication rate was lower in our study than that in previous reports.

If intraoperative perforation and bleeding occur, any patient movement during the procedure may cause difficulty in controlling the endoscope because of poor visualization. In our study, intraoperative massive bleeding occurred in only one case of gastric ESD. Furthermore, we can safely and quickly control bleeding by maintaining optimal visualization. Moreover, in all cases of intraoperative perforation, we were able to close the hole using endoclips. Conservative treatment was sufficient for all complications, and no surgical intervention was required to manage any bleeding or perforation.

Aspiration pneumonia by vomiting can induce respiratory failure. Aspiration pneumonia is reported to occur in 2.2%-6.6% of patients undergoing ESD [15-21]. Endotracheal intubation reportedly prevents aspiration, and positive pressure ventilation decreases the risk of air-related adverse events [4,22]. Here we experienced no cases of aspiration pneumonia performing ESD under general anesthesia.

In this study, 25.5% patients of all ESD procedure under general anesthesia required strict anesthetic management because of severe heart or lung disease (data not shown). However, no complications associated with general anesthesia were observed. Strict anesthetic management by an anesthesiologist may be important in patients with severe heart or lung disease.

Postoperative hospital stay in our study may be longer than that in other advanced nations. The average number of hospitalization days is more in Japan than in other advanced nations because of difference in the medical insurance system. Therefore, the length of hospitalization days in our study was not because of general anesthesia.

Table 3  Baseline clinical and operational characteristics of patients undergoing colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissection

| Age, (yr) | 66.7 ± 9.6 |
| Male | 22 (44.9) |
| Female | 27 (55.1) |
| Preoperative comorbidities, n (%) | 32 (65.3) |
| ASA score, n (%) | 14 (28.6) |
| II | 34 (69.4) |
| III | 1 (2.0) |
| Histologic type, n (%) | 18 (35.3) |
| Adenoma | 29 (56.9) |
| Carcinoid | 3 (5.9) |
| Mean diameter of the resected specimen (mm) | 36.5 ± 11.3 |
| en bloc resection rate, n (%) | 49 (96.1) |
| Duration of anesthesia, (min) | 262.1 ± 93.0 |
| Duration of operation, (min) | 199.4 ± 82.2 |
| Postoperative hospital stay (d) | 7.4 ± 1.7 |
| Complication rate, n (%) | 3 (5.9) |
| Intraoperative bleeding | 0 (0.0) |
| Delayed bleeding | 1 (2.0) |
| Intraoperative perforation | 2 (3.9) |

1Mean ± SD. ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists score.

mm (range, 10-85 mm). The en bloc resection rate was 96.1%. The mean operating time was 199.4 ± 82.2 min (range, 68-465 min), and the mean anesthesia time was 262.1 ± 93.0 min (range, 130-630 min). With regard to complications, delayed bleeding occurred in 1 (2.0%) patient, and intraoperative perforation occurred in 2 (3.9%) patients (Table 3). Furthermore, in all cases of intraoperative perforation, we were able close the hole using endoclips. All complications were successfully managed using conservative treatment, with no surgical intervention required. No perioperative fatalities were observed.

DISCUSSION

In Japan, ESD is usually performed under conscious sedation in the endoscopy room. Therefore, reports regarding ESD under general anesthesia in Japan are scarce [5,6].

ESD requires precise and complicated maneuvers with minimal patient movement for maintaining optimal visualization. However, such precise and complicated maneuvers are sometimes difficult to perform because of patient movement because of an insufficient effect of sedation. Benzodiazepines, such as diazepam and midazolam, have been used as standard sedation in patients undergoing endoscopic therapy. However, the range of effective doses of such agents considerably differs among patients; therefore, it is difficult to achieve a stable level of sedation [7-9]. Moreover, the dose is often increased to suppress body movement, resulting in oversedation and potentially causing hypoxemia and decreased levels of consciousness upon the patient's return to the hospital ward [8]. Recently, the usefulness of propofol anesthesia for therapeutic endoscopy was reported [9-10]; however, the safe use of propofol is limited.

The limitation of ESD under general anesthesia is that it can be performed only in a limited number of institutions because it requires the cooperation of an anesthesiologist.
With the cooperation of an anesthesiologist, ESD under general anesthesia will be a useful method for reducing ESD-related complications. Also, ESD under general anesthesia may be a favorable option for ESD beginners.
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