Synergy between peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \( \gamma \) agonist and radiotherapy in cancer
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Angiogenesis and inflammation are crucial processes through which the tumor microenvironment (TME) influences tumor progression. In this study, we showed that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \( \gamma \) (PPAR\(\gamma\)) is not only expressed in CT26 and 4T1 tumor cell lines but also in cells of TME, including endothelial cells and tumor-associated macrophages (TAM). In addition, we showed that rosiglitazone may induce tumor vessel normalization and reduce TAM infiltration. Additionally, 4T1 and CT26 tumor-bearing mice treated with rosiglitazone in combination with radiotherapy showed a significant reduction in lesion size and lung metastasis. We reported that a single dose of 12 Gy irradiation strongly inhibits local tumor angiogenesis. Secretion of C-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2) in response to local irradiation facilitates the recruitment of migrating CD11b\(^+\) myeloid monocytes and TAM to irradiated sites that initiate vasculogenesis and enable tumor recurrence after radiotherapy. We found that rosiglitazone partially decreases CCL2 secretion by tumor cells and reduces the infiltration of CD11b\(^+\) myeloid monocytes and TAM to irradiated tumors, thereby delaying tumor regrowth after radiotherapy. Therefore, combination of the PPAR\(\gamma\) agonist rosiglitazone with radiotherapy enhances the effectiveness of radiotherapy to improve local tumor control, decrease distant metastasis risks and delay tumor recurrence.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

As an indispensable treatment option, approximately 60% of newly diagnosed cancer patients radiotherapy (RT) for a neoadjuvant, definitive, adjuvant or palliative purpose as required.\(^1\) Recently, several preclinical investigations have highlighted changes in the tumor stroma or in the tumor microenvironment (TME) caused by irradiation that might induce proangiogenic and proinflammatory effects that lead to tumor recurrence.\(^2,3\) Tumor angiogenesis and tumor-promoting inflammation are regarded as 2 prominent hallmarks of cancer.\(^4\) Abnormal tumor vasculature impairs blood perfusion and the delivery of oxygen, and the resulting hypoxic TME helps tumor cells attain an increased invasive and metastatic capacity, and escape from host immune attack leading to radioresistance.\(^5,6\) The TME also contains various inflammatory immune cells, chemokines and cytokines\(^7\); moreover, myeloid-derived cells have

**Abbreviations:** CCL2, C-C motif chemokine ligand 2; CFRT, conventional fractionated irradiation therapy; HFRT, hypofractionated irradiation therapy; HIF-1\(\alpha\), hypoxia-inducible factor 1\(\alpha\); MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cell; PPAR\(\gamma\), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \(\gamma\); RT, radiotherapy; SABR, stereotactic ablative radiation therapy; SBRT, stereotactic body radiation therapy; TAM, tumor-associated macrophage; TAN, tumor-associated neutrophil; TME, tumor microenvironment; VEGFR2, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
been shown to play a pivotal part, both structurally and functionally, in tumor angiogenesis, progression and response to treatment.\(^7,^8\)

Based on preclinical and clinical studies, stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) or stereotactic ablative radiation therapy (SABR) has better antitumor efficacy than conventional fractionated radiation therapy. High single fraction doses (8-16 Gy) induce endothelial cell dysfunction and apoptosis and cause serious damage to the tumor vasculature accompanied by indirect tumor cell death.\(^10-12\) Irradiation blocks local tumor angiogenesis, whereas vasculogenesis, as a backup pathway, recovers tumor blood supply to enable tumor regrowth or recurrence after RT. Proangiogenic myeloid-derived cells such as tumor-associated macrophage (TAM),\(^13\) Tie-2-expressing monocytes (TEM),\(^14\) CD11b\(^+\) myeloid cells\(^15\) and myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC)\(^16\) participate in vasculogenesis.

In general, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \(\gamma\) (PPAR\(\gamma\)) is a ligand-activated transcription factor that has anti-inflammatory, antiangiogenic and antineoplastic activities based on preclinical data.\(^17,^18\) PPAR\(\gamma\) deletion in mouse macrophages not only facilitates mammary tumor progression but also weakens the anti-tumor effects of the PPAR\(\gamma\) agonist rosiglitazone accompanied by increased infiltration of CD11b\(^+\) myeloid cells and TAM of proinflammatory and proangiogenic phenotypes.\(^19\) With the increasing knowledge of tumor angiogenesis and inflammation, antiangiogenic or anti-inflammatory treatment modalities have emerged as novel research topics. PPAR\(\gamma\) agonists have been intensively evaluated as a promising new therapeutic strategy in the treatment of cancer. However, their effect on the tumor vasculature and cancer-related inflammation remains poorly explored. Angiogenesis and inflammation are crucial processes, through which the TME influences tumor radiation response. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the synergistic effect of the PPAR\(\gamma\) agonist rosiglitazone and RT.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell culture and in vitro irradiation

The murine colon carcinoma cell line CT26, breast cancer cell line 4T1, RAW264.7 macrophages, HUVEC and THP-1 cells were gifted from the State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy of West China Hospital. Cells were cultured in DMEM or RPMI 1640 (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) containing 10% FBS (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (HyClone) and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO\(_2\). Cells were irradiated (distance from X-ray source to the target was 30 cm) at a dose rate of 4 Gy/min using an X ray-generator (XRAD320; Precision X-ray Inc., North Branford, CT, USA). Sham irradiation involved placing cell culture plates at a similar temperature for the length of irradiation.

2.2 | Animals

Female BALB/c mice 6-8 weeks of age were purchased from the Chinese Academy of Medical Science. The animals were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and used according to Institutional Animal Care and Use Guidelines (no. SYXK2007-008). All animal experiments were carried out under approved protocols of the institutional animal use and care committee.

2.3 | Xenograft studies

CT26 and 4T1 cells were harvested and suspended in PBS. Each female BALB/c mouse was inoculated with 5 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) cells on the right proximal hind leg. When the tumor size reached approximately 50-100 mm\(^3\) or 200-300 mm\(^3\), the mice were randomly divided into 4-6 groups for CT26 (\(n = 6\) for each group) and 4T1 models (\(n = 6\) for each group). To investigate the therapeutic effect of rosiglitazone combined with RT, the mice were given 100 mg/kg rosiglitazone (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX, USA) or vehicle (DMSO:PEG300: Tween80:ddH\(_2\)O = 4:30:5:100) by oral gavage per day for 2 weeks. Before 6 or 12 Gy irradiation, each mouse was anesthetized and shielded by a lead box with only the tumor exposed. Tumor diameters were measured every 2 or 3 days using digital calipers, and the tumor volume was calculated by the formula: volume = length \(\times\) width \(\times\) width \(\times\) 0.5.

2.4 | Clonogenic survival assays

CT26 or 4T1 cells were plated in 6-well plates at various densities and treated with 40 \(\mu\)mol/L rosiglitazone or vehicle 1 hour prior to irradiation at various doses.\(^20\) After 2 weeks of incubation, surviving colonies containing at least 50 cells were counted. Survival fraction was calculated, and then radiation dose-survival curves, radiobiological parameters and sensitizing enhancement ratio were determined using the multi-target single-hit model as follows: 
\[ S = 1 - (1 - e^{-D/D_0})^N, \]
where 
\[ S = \text{Survival fraction}; e = \text{natural logarithm}; D_0 = \text{dose that decreases surviving fraction to 37%}; D = \text{dose}; N = \text{extrapolation number}. \]

2.5 | Endothelial cell tube formation assays

HUVEC were cultured for 24 hours with 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 \(\mu\)mol/L rosiglitazone or vehicle. The cells were then harvested and plated at a density of 4 \(\times\) 10\(^4\) cells/well in 96-well plates coated with 50 \(\mu\)L growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). After 6 hours of incubation, tube formation was observed under an inverted microscope. Images were captured within 4 randomly selected fields. Total tube length and number of tubules were calculated with ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) for angiogenesis analysis.

2.6 | Cell chemotaxis assays

Cell chemotaxis assays were carried out using 8.0 \(\mu\)m pore size transwells (Corning, Corning, NY, USA). CT26 cells were exposed to 12 Gy irradiation, and then the cells were cultured for 24 hours with 40 \(\mu\)mol/L rosiglitazone. Approximately 5 \(\times\) 10\(^4\) RAW264.7 cells were resuspended in serum-free media and seeded in the upper
chambers of 24-well transwells. Serum-free medium (no chemoattractant) in the lower chambers served as background control. To the remaining lower wells, 10 ng/mL recombinant murine CCL2 (PeproTech Inc., Rocky Hill, NJ, USA) was added. The relevant interventions were used as corresponding conditioned media. After 6 hours of incubation at 37°C, the migrated cells attached to the lower surface of the filters were stained with Wright’s Stain Kit. Migrated cells were examined and counted in 5 random microscopic fields. Chemotaxis index was defined as the mean number of migrated RAW264.7 cells in response to conditioned medium as fold increase relative to serum-free medium control.

2.7 | Hypoxia studies

Approximately 200 μL pimonidazole (PIMO) hydrochloride (60 mg/kg; Hypoxyprobe, Inc., Burlington, MA, USA) was ip, injected 1 hour before killing the mice. Pimonidazole immunofluorescence staining was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.8 | In vivo tumor microvascular imaging and perfusion assays

For tumor microvascular imaging assays, each tumor-bearing mouse was anesthetized, and 200 μL FITC-dextran (100 mg/mL, 2000 kDa; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was i.v. injected for circulating for 2 minutes. Local tumor was scanned and imaged with a Nikon A1R MP* multiphoton confocal microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc., New Orleans, LA, USA). For tumor microvascular perfusion assays, each tumor-bearing mouse was given an i.v. injection of 200 μL FITC-lectin (1 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) 15 minutes before death. Tumors were collected and directly frozen in liquid nitrogen until cryosectioning into 5-μm sections.

2.9 | Immunofluorescence, immunohistochemistry, immunoblotting and ELISA

Immunofluorescence, immunohistochemistry, immunoblotting and ELISA assays were carried out as described in Data S1.

2.10 | Flow cytometry

Single cell suspensions (10^6 cells in 100 μL total volume) from CT26 tumor samples were incubated with 7-AAD (BD Biosciences), anti-mouse Fc-block CD16/32 antibody (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) and fluorescently labeled antibodies and incubated at 4°C for 30 minutes. The primary antibodies against cell surface markers F4/80 (BM8), CD45 (30-F11), CD11b (M1/70), Ly-6G (1A8) were obtained from BD Bioscience. Multicolor FACS analysis was carried out with a flow cytometer (BD FACS Array). Gating strategy for myeloid monocytes, TAM and tumor-associated neutrophil (TAN) is presented in Figure S1. The data were analyzed using FlowJo v10.0 software (Treestar Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).

2.11 | Lung metastasis quantification

Lungs from 4T1 tumor-bearing mice were obtained 32 days after s.c. inoculation. H&E staining was used to quantify lung metastasis.

2.12 | In vivo lung imaging

4T1 tumor-bearing mice were anesthetized with isoflurane gas inhalation. Lung microcomputed tomography (CT) was done on a Quantum GX microCT Imaging System (standard 4 minutes, field of view = 36 mm), and used for 3-D reconstruction with a volume-rendering technique. Then, gross tumor volumes, lungs and bones were delineated on every section of the CT scans by the software Caliper Analyze.

2.13 | Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as means ± SEM. Statistical tests were carried out using ANOVA and unpaired Student’s t tests as required. Differences were considered statistically significant for P-values <.05. All data were analyzed using SPSS v22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ is not only expressed in tumor cells but also in cells of the TME, including tumor endothelial cells and TAM

To investigate the expression of the therapeutic target PPARγ, we first tested murine tumor cell lines CT26 and 4T1, murine macrophage RAW264.7 and HUVEC in vitro. Immunofluorescence staining and western blot indicated that all cell lines expressed the PPARγ protein (Figure 1A,B). Furthermore, PPARγ was expressed in tumor endothelial cells as well as in TAM from s.c. transplanted 4T1 and CT26 tumors (Figure 1C).

3.2 | Rosiglitazone remodels the tumor vasculature, decreases intratumoral hypoxia and TAM infiltration and improves vessel perfusion

Abnormal tumor vasculatures lead to a hypoxic and immunosuppressive TME that facilitates tumor progression, invasion and radioresistance. Before treatment, we collected some tumor samples as pretreatment baseline. As the tumors progressed 20 days after tumor inoculation, great changes occur in the TME involving increased microvessel density and neovascularization, reduced pericyte coverage, poor microvessel perfusion, elevated hypoxia and TAM infiltration. However, rosiglitazone can reverse these changes (Figure 2). Overall, these data indicate that rosiglitazone treatment...
normalizes CT26 tumor vasculature and suppresses TAM recruitment.

Furthermore, HUVEC were organized into network-like structures in Matrigel in response to rosiglitazone, and this tube formation was inhibited by rosiglitazone in a concentration-dependent method (Figure 3A-C), indicating that rosiglitazone exerts antiangiogenic effects in vitro. The vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)/vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) signaling pathway is responsible for the survival, migration, vascular permeability and angiogenesis of endothelial cells. VEGF (50 ng/mL) significantly activated the VEGFR2/PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, whereas the combination of VEGF with 40 \mu mol/L rosiglitazone partially reversed this effect (Figure 3D). In addition, hypoxia induced proangiogenic proteins including hypoxia-inducible factor 1\alpha (HIF-1\alpha), VEGF and MMP9, but rosiglitazone treatment downregulated the expression levels of these proteins compared with the vehicle group in vivo (Figure 3F).

### 3.3 Rosiglitazone combined with RT exerts a synergistically antitumor effect

To investigate the therapeutic potential of rosiglitazone combined with local RT, BALB/c mice were inoculated with CT26 and 4T1 cells. Compared with the vehicle treatment, rosiglitazone treatment mildly delayed the growth of s.c. transplanted CT26 and 4T1 tumor models. Furthermore, 6 Gy irradiation caused significant growth retardation of the irradiated tumors. However, tumor growth was markedly inhibited in response to RT combined with rosiglitazone.

![Image](image_url)
indicating a synergistic interaction between the 2 treatments. The optimal schedule for combination treatment with rosiglitazone and RT was further investigated in CT26 tumor-bearing mice. Irradiation (6 Gy) was given to the mice on either day 1 or day 5 of rosiglitazone treatment equivalent to 8 or 13 days after inoculation. No significant difference in tumor growth was observed between the groups on the 2 schedules; however, compared with radiation alone, rosiglitazone alone or the vehicle, the combination of rosiglitazone and irradiation markedly delayed tumor growth (Figure 4C).

**FIGURE 2** Rosiglitazone normalizes colon carcinoma vasculature and reduces tumor-associated macrophage (TAM) infiltration. When CT26 tumor size approximately reached 50 mm$^3$, the mice were given 100 mg/kg rosiglitazone or vehicle by oral gavage per day for 2 weeks. Then, the tumor samples were collected. A, Microvascular density and pericyte coverage were investigated by double immunofluorescence staining for CD31 and NG2. Scale bar, 50 μm. B, Multiphoton laser-scanning microscopy images of CT26 tumor vasculature (green) stained with FITC-dextran. Scale bar, 100 mm. C, Tumor vessel perfusion indicated by CD31-positive endothelial cells stained red; FITC-lectin perfused vessels are stained green. Scale bar, 50 μm. D, Representative micrographs show pimonidazole (PIMO)-stained (green) CT26 tumor sections for hypoxia study. Scale bar, 100 μm. Hypoxic tumor regions are highlighted by dashed lines. E, Immunofluorescence staining for F4/80 showing TAM infiltration. Scale bar, 50 μm. Immunofluorescence images from (A to E) were captured within randomly selected fields (4–6 fields per tumor, n = 6 mice). Data are presented mean ± SEM. **P < .01; ***P < .001.
To test whether rosiglitazone could improve the antineoplastic effect of hypofractionated irradiation therapy (HFRT) or conventional fractionated irradiation therapy (CFRT), we used CT26 and 4T1 tumor models. We defined 12 Gy delivered in 1 fraction as HFRT, and 12 Gy delivered in 4 daily fractions of 3 Gy each as CFRT. As shown in Figure 4D, rosiglitazone had a slight effect on CT26 tumor growth; however, compared with vehicle treatment, HFRT or CFRT significantly slowed tumor progression. Treatment with a combination of HFRT or CFRT and rosiglitazone effectively suppressed tumor growth (Figure 4D). The efficacy was also validated in s.c. transplanted 4T1 tumors (Figure 4E). Next, radiation dose-survival curves showed that rosiglitazone had a slight radiosensitizing effect on CT26 and 4T1 cells (Figure 4F-H).

3.4 | Rosiglitazone inhibits 4T1 tumor metastasis to the lungs

We investigated whether rosiglitazone could change the metastatic potential of 4T1 cells in vivo. Lung tissue samples were collected 32 days after s.c. tumor inoculation, and lung metastasis was finally confirmed by H&E staining. Interestingly, 100 mg/kg rosiglitazone markedly inhibited lung metastasis of 4T1 cells. Furthermore, no significant difference in lung metastasis was observed between the control and the 12 Gy (HFRT) group. Treatment with a combination of HFRT and rosiglitazone effectively suppressed lung metastasis, albeit without significant difference between the HFRT plus rosiglitazone group and the rosiglitazone alone group (Figure 5A,B). Chest CT scans also indicated similar results (Figure 5C). Because TAM have been proposed as important participants of the metastatic process, we also examined TAM infiltration in 4T1 primary tumors and lung metastases. Indeed, rosiglitazone evidently suppressed TAM infiltration into primary and metastatic sites (Figure 5D).

3.5 | Rosiglitazone reduces tumor-derived CCL2 levels and restricts recruitment of myeloid cells or TAM to irradiated tumors, which delays tumor regrowth by disrupting vasculogenesis after HFRT

Hypofractionated irradiation therapy significantly delayed tumor progression. However, 2 weeks after HFRT, tumors in the HFRT group started to regrow. HFRT plus rosiglitazone was more effective in controlling tumor regrowth than was HFRT alone (Figure 6A). Next, we investigated the effect of HFRT on local angiogenesis. Two weeks after HFRT, the irradiated tumors had fewer endothelial cells and pericytes than the vehicle-treated unirradiated tumors (Figure 6B). Thereby, 12 Gy HFRT significantly abrogated local angiogenesis, which contributes to tumor regression. However, when the tumors had completely relapsed 4 weeks after HFRT, endothelial cell
number and pericyte coverage had nearly reverted to control values, indicating that vasculogenesis supported tumor recurrence. However, combined with HFRT alone, HFRT combined with rosiglitazone treatment effectively inhibited vasculogenesis and delayed tumor recurrence (Figure 6A,B).

We also examined the infiltration of inflammatory cells involved in vasculogenesis. By immunofluorescence staining, tumors that relapsed after HFRT reflected a remodeled vasculature by increasing the recruitment of CD11b+ myeloid monocytes and TAM, which can be postponed by rosiglitazone (Figure 6C,D). In addition, using flow cytometry, we observed an increasing infiltration of leukocyte subsets within CT26 tumors after HFRT (Figure S1). Specifically, we found an increase in CD11b+ myeloid monocytes (Figure 6E) and TAM (Figure 6F), which can be inhibited by rosiglitazone. In contrast, we detected no change in TAN recruitment (Figure 6G).

CCL2 is an important chemoattractant involved in the recruitment of monocytes or TAM.21 We used ELISA to assess the potentiality of CT26 and 4T1 cells to produce CCL2 in response to irradiation. As expected, irradiation promoted CT26 and 4T1 cells to secrete CCL2 in a dose-dependent method. However, compared with irradiation alone, irradiation combined with rosiglitazone treatment significantly reduced the level of CCL2 in both cell supernatant and serum (Figure 7A,B). Similarly, immunofluorescence staining for CCL2 showed that HFRT evidently upregulated CCL2 production, whereas rosiglitazone inhibited the expression of CCL2 in CT26 tumors after HFRT (Figure 7C). Next, Transwell chemotaxis assays were carried out to determine the importance of tumor-derived CCL2 in recruiting TAM to the TME. Rosiglitazone inhibited the chemotactic migration of RAW264.7 macrophages (Figure 7D). Thus, rosiglitazone may reduce CCL2 secretion from tumors and reduces TAM infiltration to irradiated tumors.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present study, we showed that the PPARγ agonist rosiglitazone exerts antiangiogenic and antineoplastic effects that inhibit the proliferative, invasive, and metastatic potential of tumor cells (Figure 8A). RT displays prominent synergy with rosiglitazone that delays tumor recurrence by reducing the recruitment of myeloid...
FIGURE 5 Rosiglitazone inhibits 4T1 tumor metastasis to the lung. A, Photographs of the lungs. B, Representative H&E-stained lung sections and quantification of H&E-stained lung metastasis (n = 6). Scale bar, 0.5 mm. Metastatic lung foci are highlighted by dashed lines. C, Representative microCT images of 4T1 mice (n = 6). Gross tumor volumes (red), lungs (pale purple) and bones (white) are delineated on every section of the CT scans. Scale bar, 2.5 mm. D, Immunohistochemical stain for F4/80 to indicate tumor-associated macrophage infiltration in 4T1 primary tumor and lung metastasis (n = 6). Scale bars, 50 μm. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Rosig, rosiglitazone. *P < .05, **P < .01; ns, non-significant.

FIGURE 6 Rosiglitazone decreases the recruitment of myeloid monocytes and tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) to irradiated tumor. A, CT26 tumor growth curve. B-D, Immunofluorescence staining of CT26 tumor harvested from mice 2 wk after 12 Gy irradiation (12 Gy[2w]), recurrent tumors harvested from mice 4 wk after 12 Gy irradiation (12 Gy[4w]), and tumors harvested from mice 4 wk after 12 Gy irradiation plus daily 100 mg/kg rosiglitazone dosage for 14 consecutive days (12 Gy[4w] + Rosig). B, Microvascular density and pericyte coverage were investigated by double immunofluorescence staining for CD31 and NG2. C, Immunofluorescence staining for CD11b showing myeloid monocyte infiltration. D, Immunofluorescence staining for F4/80 showing TAM infiltration. B-D, Scale bar, 50 μm. Immunofluorescence images from (B to D) were captured within randomly selected fields (4-6 fields per tumor, n = 6 mice). E-G, Flow cytometry analysis shows the effect of rosiglitazone on the infiltration of leukocyte subsets within CT26 tumors. Quantification of (E) myeloid monocytes, (F) TAM and (G) tumor-associated neutrophils (TAN). Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n = 6). *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001; ns, non-significant.
monocytes and TAM to irradiated tumors and by disrupting vasculogenesis after RT (Figure 8B). Moreover, giving rosiglitazone does not affect the weight of CT26- or 4T1-tumor bearing mice (Figure S2).

Radioresponse is closely related to the TME. Recently, angiogenesis inhibitors, especially those targeting the VEGF signaling pathway, have made significant breakthroughs in cancer treatment from both clinical and preclinical perspectives.22 Consequently, angiogenesis inhibitors have been shown to improve the efficacy of RT,23,24 thereby emerging as a novel therapeutic strategy. However, intrinsic or evasive resistance against antiangiogenic therapies is an intractable problem.25 Accordingly, the exploration of a novel antiangiogenic and therapeutic target is necessary and important.

More than 20 years have passed since the PPAR subgroups were first discovered.26 Rosiglitazone, one of the synthetic ligands of PPARγ with highly pharmacological specificity, selectivity and affinity, shows potentially antitumor and antiangiogenic effects.17 However, there are only a handful of studies investigating whether the antitumor effect of rosiglitazone is mediated only by its inhibitory effect on the tumor vasculature. Normalization of the tumor vasculature (an emerging concept in antiangiogenic therapy) leads to a more efficient delivery of blood and oxygen to cancer cells that can improve the antineoplastic efficacy of chemotherapy or RT.27 We discovered that rosiglitazone promotes vascular normalization, and these results may provide a fresh insight into the effect of rosiglitazone on improving radioresponse. We showed that rosiglitazone exerts these antiangiogenic effects by inhibiting the proangiogenic VEGF/VEGFR2 signaling pathway in vitro. VEGFA is the master regulator of angiogenesis, binding to VEGFR2 to stimulate the proliferation of endothelial cells through the RAS-RAF-MAPK-ERK signaling pathway. VEGFA triggers endothelial

**Figure 7** Rosiglitazone decreases the ability of CT26 and 4T1 cells to produce C-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2) in response to radiotherapy and inhibits the chemotactic migration of RAW264.7 macrophages. CCL2 levels in culture (A) supernatant (n = 4) or (B) blood serum (n = 6) were determined by ELISA. C, Immunofluorescence staining for CCL2 from CT26 tumors. Scale bar, 50 μm. Immunofluorescence images were captured within randomly selected fields (4-6 fields per tumor, n = 6 mice). D, Representative images and quantification of chemotactic migration of RAW264.7 macrophages (n = 3). Scale bar, 100 μm. Data are presented mean ± SEM. *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001; ns, non-significant.
Model showing how rosiglitazone affects the tumor vasculature and inflammation and corresponding tumor progression, metastasis and recurrence. A, Rosiglitazone remodels the tumor vasculature, alleviates hypoxia, limits tumor-associated macrophage (TAM) infiltrations and facilitates perfusion in tumor vessels, which delays tumor progression and decreases lung metastasis. CCL2, C-C motif chemokine ligand 2; TME, tumor microenvironment. B, Hypofractionated irradiation therapy (HFRT) abrogates local angiogenesis to delay tumor growth. However, HFRT triggers the secretion of high levels of CCL2 from tumor cells to recruit CD11b+ myeloid monocytes and TAM to initiate vasculogenesis, and this process supports tumor recurrence after radiotherapy. Rosiglitazone, as an adjuvant therapy to HFRT, inhibits CCL2-mediated tumor recurrence by disrupting the recruitment of CD11b+ myeloid monocytes and TAM and consequent vasculogenesis.
cell migration, which is an integral component of angiogenesis.\textsuperscript{22} Hypoxia, a characteristic of solid tumors, is an important inducer of VEGF. In addition, rosiglitazone downregulates the expression of some key proangiogenic modulators such as HIF-1\textalpha, VEGF and MMP9. Similar findings have been reported in another study in which another PPAR\textgamma ligand, troglitazone, was shown to downregulate the expression of MMP9 and MMP2 in leukemia K562 and HL-60 cells in vitro.\textsuperscript{28} Rosiglitazone also decreased the expression levels of VEGF in human glioblastoma cells and murine Lewis lung carcinoma.\textsuperscript{29} The hypoxic TME elicits neovascularization in a HIF-dependent method by mediating the recruitment of bone marrow-derived cells to orthotopic glioblastomas.\textsuperscript{30} Further evidence indicates that MMP9-expressing bone marrow-derived myelomonocytes are sufficient to activate the angiogenic switch and are essential for the process of vasculogenesis; thus, these cells might become a novel therapeutic target for adjuvant therapy after HFRT.\textsuperscript{31} Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that rosiglitazone delays vasculogenesis by reducing the production of these proangiogenic factors.

The synergistic interaction between rosiglitazone and RT is evident. When the tumor size reached approximately 50 mm\textsuperscript{3} in the early stage, the combination of rosiglitazone treatment and 6 Gy irradiation effectively controlled tumor growth. Furthermore, when 6 Gy irradiation was given either on day 1 or on day 5 of rosiglitazone treatment, no significant difference in tumor growth was observed between these 2 groups. When tumor size reached approximately 200-300 mm\textsuperscript{3} in locally advanced stage, rosiglitazone alone showed limited antitumor efficacy. However, in comparison with HFRT or CFRT alone, combination of HFRT or CFRT with rosiglitazone effectively reduced tumor growth. Furthermore, the synergistic effect of HFRT plus rosiglitazone was superior to that of CFRT plus rosiglitazone.

Tumor-promoting inflammation, a remarkable hallmark of cancer, has been regarded as a novel therapeutic target to improve the efficacy of RT.\textsuperscript{32} The potential anti-inflammatory effects of PPAR\textgamma agonists lead to the speculation that some of the observed in vivo anti-tumor effects are as a result of a reduction in tumor-promoting inflammation. However, the mechanisms by which PPAR\textgamma ligands control tumor growth and exert anti-inflammatory effects have not been rigorously investigated. Inflammatory immune cells, especially TAM, support tumor progression and angiogenesis, metastasis, immune suppression and regulate response to treatment.\textsuperscript{8,9,33} Tumor-derived CCL2 is reported to be crucial for the recruitment of inflammatory monocytes and TAM to the TME.\textsuperscript{7} High numbers of TAM and inflammatory monocytes and high levels of CCL2 have been shown to be correlated with poor prognosis in some carcinomas, such as breast cancer, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma.\textsuperscript{34-36} Tumor-derived CCL2 preferentially recruits inflammatory monocytes to promote lung metastases.\textsuperscript{37} CCL2 also stimulates the recruitment of metastasis-associated macrophages (MAM) which is essential for extravasation and persistent growth of breast cancer cells.\textsuperscript{38,39} Here, we showed that rosiglitazone inhibits CCL2 secretion by 4T1 cells and leads to a reduction in the number of infiltrating TAM at metastatic sites. It has been reported that the inhibition of macrophage PPAR\textgamma by rosiglitazone inhibits tumor cell proliferation in vitro. Furthermore, macrophage PPAR\textgamma is a crucial mediator of the antitumor effect of rosiglitazone in vivo. Deletion of macrophage PPAR\textgamma in mice not only facilitates mammary tumor progression but also weakens the antitumor effects of PPAR\gamma agonists, and these effects are accompanied by an increase in the infiltration of CD11b\textsuperscript{+} myeloid cells and TAM with proinflammatory and proangiogenic phenotypes.\textsuperscript{19}

Our findings indicate that tumor-derived CCL2 mediates tumor recurrence after HFRT in s.c. transplanted CT26 tumor models. Similar results have been observed in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.\textsuperscript{40} HFRT abrogates local angiogenesis that causes indirect tumor cell death. As expected, HFRT triggers the secretion of high levels of CCL2 from tumor cells, leading to the recruitment of CD11b\textsuperscript{+} myeloid monocytes and TAM. These cells are highly proangiogenic, which can initiate vasculogenesis and support tumor recurrence after RT.\textsuperscript{13,31,41} Therefore, the CCL2-mediated inflammatory response can be considered a novel therapeutic target for improving the efficacy of RT.

In conclusion, we provide evidence that the PPAR\textgamma agonist rosiglitazone displays effective antineoplastic and anti-metastatic potential. Moreover, rosiglitazone may promote normalization of the tumor vasculature and limit TAM infiltration, thereby providing a fresh perspective on delayed tumor progression. Importantly, the synergistic interaction between rosiglitazone and RT is evident, which indicates this combination as a powerful antitumor treatment. Rosiglitazone, as an adjuvant therapy to HFRT, inhibits CCL2-mediated tumor recurrence by reducing the recruitment of CD11b\textsuperscript{+} myeloid monocytes and TAM and consequent vasculogenesis. Therefore, combination of the PPAR\textgamma agonist rosiglitazone with RT might represent a novel therapeutic strategy for improving efficacy of RT and for promoting local tumor control, decreasing distant metastasis risks and delaying tumor recurrence.
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