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Abstract. A new generalized version of the mixed Poisson distribution, called the Poisson-beta exponential (PBE) distribution, is obtained by mixing the Poisson and the beta exponential (BE) distributions. Estimation of the parameters, using the method of moments and maximum likelihood estimators, is discussed. We show the consistency of the new model parameters using simulation study. Examples are given for fitting the PBE distribution to data, and the fit model is compared with that obtained using other distributions.
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1 Introduction

Count data are often encountered in real world applications. In recent years, many discrete distributions have been developed for modelling count data. Poisson, generalized Poisson (GP) and negative binomial (NB) are the commonly distributions. Poisson distribution has the same mean and variance,
thus it is equi-dispersion. However, count data often exhibit under-dispersion or over-dispersion according to the case where the sample variance is substantially in excess of the sample mean or vice versa. One way to describe the dispersion characteristic is to introduce an additional parameter into Poisson distribution or handling over or under-dispersion phenomena.

During the last decade, a vast activity has been observed in generalizing of the classical discrete distributions. The main idea was to apply the extended versions for modelling different kinds of dependent count or frequency data structure in various fields. In literature, several extensions of the Mixed Poisson distribution have been proposed such as

- Poisson-Gamma (Negative Binomial) presented by Greenwood and Yule (1920).
- Poisson Beta with specific parameter values presented by Simon (1955).
- Poisson Beta Type 2 presented by Gurland (1958).
- Poisson-Exponential Beta presented by Pielou (1962).
- Poisson-Truncated Poisson presented by Patil (1964).
- Poisson Beta Type 1 presented by Holla and Bhattacharya (1965).
- Poisson Truncated Gamma presented by Bhattacharya (1966).
- Poisson Linear Exponential presented by Sankaran (1969).
- Poisson Lindley presented by Sankaran (1970).
- Poisson Power Function presented by Rai (1971).
- Poisson Lognormal presented by Bulmer (1974).
- Poisson Generalized Inverse Gaussian presented by Sichel (1974).
- Poisson Inverse Gaussian presented by Sichel (1975).
- Poisson Gamma Product Ratio (Generalized Waring) presented by Irwin (1975).
- Poisson Generalized Pareto presented by Kempton (1975).
- Poisson-Poisson Distribution (Neyman) presented by Douglas (1980).
• Poisson Pearson’s Family of Distribution presented by Albrecht (1982).
• Poisson Generalized Gamma presented by Albrecht (1984).
• Poisson Truncated Beta Type 2 presented by Willmot (1986).
• Poisson Log-Student presented by Gaver and O’ Muircheartaigh (1987).
• Poisson Shifted Gamma presented by Ruohonen (1988).
• Poisson Linear Exponential presented by Kling and Goovaerts (1993).
• Poisson Inverse Gamma presented by Willmot (1993).
• Poisson Truncated Gamma presented by Willmot (1993).
• Poisson Pareto presented by Willmot (1993).
• Poisson Shifted Pareto presented by Willmot (1993).
• Poisson Modified Bessel presented by Ong and Muthaloo (1995).
• Poisson-Power Variance presented by Hougard (1997).
• Poisson-Lomax presented by Al-Awadhi and Ghitany (2001).
• Poisson Exponential (Geometric) presented by Johnson et al. (2005).
• Poisson-Other Discrete Distribution (Neyman) presented by Johnson et al. (2005).
• Poisson-generalized gamma, -generalized inverse Gaussian, -general exponential, -lognormal and -inverse gamma presented by Gupta and Ong (2005).
• Poisson Lindley Distribution (Size Biased) presented by Ghitany and Al-Mutairi (2008).
• Zero Truncated Poisson-Lindley presented by Ghitany et al. (2008).
• Weighted generalized Poisson presented by Chakraborty (2010).
• Poisson Generalized-Lindley presented by Mahmoudi and Zakerzadeh (2010).
• Poisson-Marshall-Olkin-Generalized Exponential presented by Gómez-Déniz and Calderín-Ojeda (2015).

• Poisson Lindley-Beta prime presented by Gómez-Déniz et al. (2016).

This paper offers a two-parameter PBE distribution, as a model for count data. In our opinion, this new distribution offers several advantages. (i) The present distribution provides enough flexibility for analysing different types of count data in comparing with Poisson, NB, PL and GPL distributions. (ii) It has a natural interpretation in terms of zero-inflation. (iii) The proposed new discrete distribution is candidates for modelling of correlated count data which exhibit over-dispersion.

This study examines various properties of this model. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2, gives a brief review on BE distribution and studies some properties of this distribution. Section 3 provides the definition of the PBE distribution and represents its basic properties including: the behaviour of the density function, the expressions for the moments, the distribution of the sum of random variables and truncated and weighted PBE distributions. Section 4, discusses the different methods for parameters estimation. In Section 5, we present two algorithms for simulating random data from the PBE distribution. In this section, a simulation study is carried out to investigate the average bias and average mean square error (MSE) of the simulated estimators. An application of the PBE distribution, including two examples of fitting PBE distribution to real data, and comparing with other discrete distributions, is given in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 Beta Exponential Distribution: Brief Review

The pdf of the \( Beta(\beta, \alpha) \) distribution is given by

\[
g(z) = \frac{1}{B(\beta, \alpha)} z^{\beta-1}(1-z)^{\alpha-1}, \quad 0 < z < 1,
\]

where \( B(\beta, \alpha) \) denotes the beta function. The cdf and pdf of BE distribution which is introduced and analysed by Nadarajah and Kotz (2006) is given by

\[
F(x) = \frac{1}{B(\beta, \alpha)} \int_0^{1-e^{-\lambda x}} t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1}dt,
\]
and
\[ f(x) = \frac{\lambda}{B(\beta, \alpha)} e^{-\alpha \lambda x} (1 - e^{-\lambda x})^{\beta-1}, \quad x > 0, \ \alpha, \beta, \lambda > 0. \]

Putting \( \beta = 2 \) in the above formula, we have the pdf of \( BE(2, \alpha, \lambda) \) distribution as

\[ f(x) = \alpha (\alpha + 1) \lambda e^{-\alpha \lambda x} (1 - e^{-\lambda x}), \quad x > 0, \ \alpha, \lambda > 0. \]

The survival and hazard rate functions of BE distribution are given respectively by
\[ S(x) = 1 - I_{e^{-\lambda x}}(\alpha, 2), \]
and
\[ h(x) = \frac{\alpha (\alpha + 1) \lambda e^{-\alpha \lambda x} (1 - e^{-\lambda x})}{B_{1-e^{-\lambda x}}(2, \alpha)}, \]

where \( I_x(2, \alpha) = \frac{B_x(2, \alpha)}{B(2, \alpha)} \) with \( B_x(2, \alpha) = \int_0^x w(1 - w)^{\alpha-1} dw \) denotes the incomplete beta function ratio. The mgf of \( BE(\alpha, \lambda) \) is given by
\[ M(t) = \frac{\alpha (\alpha + 1) \lambda^2}{(\alpha \lambda - t)((\alpha + 1) \lambda - t)} = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{t}{\alpha \lambda})} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{(\alpha + 1) \lambda} \right). \]

It is easy to verify that \( X = U + V \) where \( U \sim \exp(\alpha \lambda) \) and \( V \sim \exp((\alpha+1) \lambda). \) By differentiating from \( \log(M(t)) \) and setting \( t = 0, \) the first three central moments of \( X \sim BE(\alpha, \lambda) \) are given by
\[ E[X] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \right), \]
\[ E[(X - \mu)^2] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{(\alpha + 1)^2} \right), \]
\[ E[(X - \mu)^3] = \frac{2}{\lambda^3} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(\alpha + 1)^3} \right). \]

The \( k \)th central moment of \( X \) can be calculated as
\[ E[(X - \mu)^k] = \frac{(k - 1)!}{\lambda^k} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^k} + \frac{1}{(\alpha + 1)^k} \right). \]
3 Definition and Some Properties of the PBE Distribution

Suppose that the random variable $Y|\theta$ follows the Poisson distribution with parameter $\theta$ and $\theta \sim BE(\alpha, \lambda)$, then the mixed Poisson distribution can be given by

$$P(y; \alpha, \theta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\theta y}}{y!} \alpha(\alpha + 1) \lambda e^{-\alpha \lambda \theta} (1 - e^{-\lambda \theta}) d\theta$$

$$= \alpha(\alpha + 1) \lambda [(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)} - (1 + (\alpha + 1) \lambda)^{-(y+1)}], \quad y = 0, 1, \ldots, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. We call this distribution as the PBE distribution and denote it by $PBE(\alpha, \lambda)$. Note that the pdf of the PBE can be expressed in terms of sum of two geometric random variables.

**Proposition 1.** The PBE distribution has a unique mode at $x^* = \lfloor y \rfloor$, where

$$y = \frac{\log(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1)) + \log \left[ \frac{\log(1 + \alpha \lambda)}{(1 + \alpha \lambda) \log(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))} \right]}{\log(1 + \alpha \lambda) - \log(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))},$$

when $\lfloor . \rfloor$ denotes the smallest integer $\geq x^*$.

**Proof.** Mode is the point which maximize the pmf or pdf, thus we must search a point for which $\frac{df(y; \alpha, \lambda)}{dy} = 0$. The derivative of $f(y; \alpha, \lambda)$ w.r.t. $y$ is given by

$$\frac{df(y; \alpha, \lambda)}{dy} = \alpha \lambda (\alpha + 1) [\log(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))^{-(y+1)}]$$

$$- \log(1 + \alpha \lambda)(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)}].$$

Solving $\frac{df(y; \alpha, \lambda)}{dy} = 0$ gives $\frac{\log(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))}{\log(1 + \alpha \lambda)} = \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \alpha \lambda}\right)^{y+1}$. Thus we have

$$y = \frac{\log(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1)) + \log \left[ \frac{\log(1 + \alpha \lambda)}{(1 + \alpha \lambda) \log(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))} \right]}{\log(1 + \alpha \lambda) - \log(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))}.$$

Since $y$ takes only the integer values, thus the mode is equal to $x^* = \lfloor y \rfloor$ and the proof is completed. \(\Box\)

**Theorem 1.** The cumulative distribution function of the PBE distribution
is given by

\[ F(y; \alpha, \lambda) = (\alpha + 1)(1 - (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-y+1}) - \alpha(1 - (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-y+1}), \quad (2) \]

for \( y = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

**Proof.** If \( Y \) has \( PBE(\alpha, \lambda) \) distribution, then its cumulative distribution function is obtained as

\[
F(y; \alpha, \lambda) = P(Y \leq y) \\
= \sum_{t=0}^{y} \alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda \left[ (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(t+1)} - (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-(t+1)} \right] \\
= \alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{y} (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(t+1)} - \sum_{t=0}^{y} (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-(t+1)} \right] \\
= \alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha \lambda} \left( 1 - (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)} \right) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda} \left( 1 - (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-(y+1)} \right) \right] \\
= (\alpha + 1)(1 - (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)}) - \alpha(1 - (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-(y+1)}). \]

The graphs of pmf of the PBE distribution for \( \lambda = 2 \) and different values \( \alpha \) are given in the Figure 1.

### 3.1 Moments, Skewness and Kurtosis

If \( Y \) has \( PBE(\alpha, \lambda) \) distribution, then the direct calculation shows that the corresponding moment generating function (mgf) and the probability generating function (pgf) can be defined respectively as

\[
M_{Y}(t) = M_{\theta}(e^{t} - 1) = \left( \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1 - e^{t}} \right) \left( \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1 - e^{t}} \right),
\]

and

\[
G_{Y}(t) = \left( \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1 - t} \right) \left( \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1 - t} \right).
\]
Using $M_Y(t)$ and $G_Y(t)$ in the above formula we see that the random variable $Y$ can be written as the sum of two random variables $W$ and $Z$, where $W \sim Ge(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1})$ and $Z \sim Ge(\frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1})$. We have

$$
\log M_Y(t) = \log(\alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda^2) - \log(\alpha\lambda + 1 - e^t) - \log((\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1 - e^t)).
$$

The four cumulants of $Y$ can be given by differentiating $\log M_Y(t)$ w.r.t. $t$ and setting $t = 0$. We have
\[ \mu = E[Y] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \right), \]
\[ \mu_2 = \sigma^2 = E[Y - \mu]^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^2} \right), \]
\[ \mu_3 = E[Y - \mu]^3 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \right) + \frac{3}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^2} \right) + \frac{2}{\lambda^3} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^3} \right), \]
\[ \mu_4 = E[Y - \mu]^4 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \right) + \frac{7}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^2} \right) + \frac{12}{\lambda^3} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^3} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^3} \right) + \frac{6}{\lambda^4} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^4} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^4} \right). \]

Since the index of dispersion is given by

\[ r = \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu} = 1 + a \mu, \]

where \( a = \frac{2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1}{4\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1} > 0 \), it follows that the PBE(\( \alpha, \lambda \)) distribution is suitable for fitting over-dispersed count data with variance \( \sigma^2 = \mu + a \mu^2 \). Other important indices of the shape of the distribution are the skewness, \( \sqrt{\beta_1} = \frac{\mu_3}{(\mu_2)^{3/2}} \), kurtosis, \( \beta_2 = \frac{\mu_4}{(\mu_2)^2} \), and the coefficient of variation, \( C.V. = \frac{\sigma}{\mu} \), respectively. For the PBE distribution these indices are given by

\[ \sqrt{\beta_1} = \frac{(1 + \alpha(2 + \lambda + \alpha \lambda))(2 + \alpha(1 + \alpha)(2 + \lambda + 2\alpha \lambda))}{(1 + \alpha(1 + \alpha)(2 + \lambda + 2\alpha \lambda))^{3/2}}, \]
\[ \beta_2 = \frac{6 + \alpha(1 + \alpha)(4 + \alpha(1 + \alpha)(2 + \lambda + 2\alpha \lambda))(6 + \lambda(3 + \alpha(6 + \lambda + \alpha \lambda))}{(1 + \alpha(1 + \alpha)(2 + \lambda + 2\alpha \lambda))^2}, \]
and

\[ C.V. = \frac{\sqrt{(1 + \alpha(1 + \alpha)(2 + \lambda + 2\alpha \lambda))}}{1 + 2\alpha}. \]

The graphs of the skewness, kurtosis, dispersion and coefficient of variation of PBE distribution for different values \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \) are shown in Figure 2. The interpretation of these graphs is as below:

(i) If \( \alpha \to 0 \), the skewness, kurtosis and coefficient of variation are close to 2, 6 and 1, respectively for each value of \( \lambda \).

(ii) If \( \lambda \to 0 \) and \( \alpha \to \infty \) the skewness, kurtosis and coefficient of variation
are close to $\sqrt{2}$, 3 and $\sqrt{2}/2$, respectively.

(iii) As $\lambda$ and $\alpha$ increase, the skewness, kurtosis and coefficient of variation are also increase.

Here, we give the distribution of sum of random variables having the PBE distribution. Truncated and weighted versions of the PBE distribution is presented in the next section.

### 3.2 Distribution of Sum of the PBE Random Variables

Let $f_{NB}(x; k, p)$ denotes the pmf of the negative binomial distribution defined by, $f(x; k, p) = \binom{x+k-1}{x}p^k(1-p)^x$, $x = 0, 1, \ldots$, $k > 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. It
is well known that the distribution of sum of independent negative binomial random variables with the same probability of success is again a negative binomial distribution. The following result shows that the distribution of sum of independent and identical random variables from PBE distribution, can be written as the sum of two independent negative binomial distributions.

**Proposition 2.** Let $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ denote $r$ independent and identical random variables from $PBE(\alpha, \lambda)$. Then the mgf of $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{r} X_i$, is given by

$$M_Z(t) = (M_{X_1}(t))^r = \left(\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1 - e^{t}}\right)^r \left(\frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1 - e^{t}}\right)^r = M_{Z_1}(t)M_{Z_2}(t),$$

where $Z_1 \sim NB(r, \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1})$ and $Z_2 \sim NB(r, \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 1\lambda + 1}).$

### 3.3 Truncated and Weighted Versions of the PBE Distribution

In the most common form of truncation, the zeroes are not recorded. In this case the zero-truncated distributions can be used as a distribution for the sizes of groups. This situation occurs in applications such as the number of offspring per family, the number of claims per claimant, and the number of occupants per car.

**Proposition 3.** The density function of the zero-truncated Poisson-beta exponential (ZTPBE) distribution is given by

$$f_{ZT}(y; \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{\alpha (\alpha + 1)\lambda (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)}{1 + \lambda} \left[(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)} - (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-(y+1)}\right],$$

for $y = 1, 2, \ldots.$

**Proposition 4.** The displaced Poisson-beta exponential (DPBE) distribution (left-truncated PBE distribution) is obtained by truncation of the first $r$ probabilities of a $PBE(\alpha, \lambda)$ distribution. It has the probability density function of the form

$$f_{LT}(y; \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)} - (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-(y+1)}}{\frac{(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)}}{\alpha \lambda} - \frac{(1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-(y+1)}}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}}, \quad y = r, r + 1, \ldots$$
Proposition 5. The right-truncated Poisson-beta exponential (RTPBE) distribution has support $0, 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and its probability density function is given by

$$f_{RT}(y; \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)} - (1 + (\alpha + 1) \lambda)^{-(y+1)}}{1-(1+\alpha \lambda)^{-m} - 1-(1+(\alpha+1) \lambda)^{-m}}, \ y = 0, 1, \ldots, m.$$ 

Very often, the distribution of recorded observations produced by a certain model may differ from that under the hypothesis model, mainly because of the recording mechanism that may employ unequal probabilities of recording the observations. The observed distribution will thus have a density of the form $f_w(x) = \frac{w(x)f(x)}{E[w(X)]}$, where $f(x)$ is the original density anticipated under the hypothesized model and $w(x)$ is a function proportional to the probability with which an observation $n$ is recorded. These model were introduced by Rao (1965) and are known as weighted models. When the weighted function $w(x)$ is equal to $x$, these models are known as size-biased models. The observed distribution is then termed size biased distribution.

Proposition 6. Let $Y$ is a random variable with $PBE(\alpha, \lambda)$ distribution. Then the size-biased PBE distribution (SBPBE) of $Y$ is given by

$$f_{SB}(y; \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{(\alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda)^2}{2\alpha + 1} \left[ y(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-(y+1)} - y(1 + (\alpha + 1) \lambda)^{-(y+1)} \right],$$

for $y = 1, 2, \ldots$.

3.4 Entropy

In information theory, entropy is a measure of the uncertainty associated with a random variable.

Theorem 2. For the random variable $Y$ having $PBE$ distribution, the en-
Entropy is given by

\[ H(Y) = -\sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \log P(y; \alpha, \lambda) \]

\[ = \log(\alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda) - \log(1 + \alpha \lambda) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \right) \right) \]

\[ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n} \left( \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1 - t^n} \right) \left( \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1 - t^n} \right), \]

where \( t = \frac{1 + \alpha \lambda}{1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda} \).

**Proof.** Using this fact that the mean and probability generating function of \( Y \) are given, respectively, by

\[ E[Y] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \right), \]

\[ \Psi_Y(t) = \left( \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1 - t} \right) \left( \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1 - t} \right), \]

we can give an expression for \( H(y) \). For simplicity, we first obtain an equation for \( \log P(y; \alpha, \lambda) \).

\[ \log P(y; \alpha, \lambda) = \log \left[ \alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda \left( (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-y+1} - (1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda)^{-y+1} \right) \right] \]

\[ = \log(\alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda) \]

\[ + \log \left( (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-y+1} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{1 + \alpha \lambda}{1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda} \right)^{-y+1} \right) \right) \]

\[ = \log(\alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda) - (y + 1) \log(1 + \alpha \lambda) \]

\[ + \log \left( 1 - \left( \frac{1 + \alpha \lambda}{1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda} \right)^{-y+1} \right). \]

Using this fact that the Taylor expansion of \( \log(1 - x) \) is

\[ \log(1 - x) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}, \quad \text{for } |x| < 1, \]
we have

\[
\log P(y; \alpha, \lambda) = \log(\alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda) - (y + 1) \log(1 + \alpha \lambda) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 + \alpha \lambda}{n + (\alpha + 1)\lambda} \right)^{y+1}.
\]

Hence, the entropy of the random variable \( Y \) is given by

\[
H(Y) = \log(\alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda) - \log(1 + \alpha \lambda) E[Y + 1] - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1 + \alpha \lambda}{1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda} \right)^n (1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1})
\]

\[
- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n} \left( \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1 - t^n} \right) \left( \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1 - t^n} \right),
\]

where \( t = \frac{1 + \alpha \lambda}{1 + (\alpha + 1)\lambda} \).

**Theorem 3.** For the random variable \( Y \) having PBE distribution, we have the following inequality

\[
\max \left\{ \frac{1 + 2\alpha \lambda}{\alpha^2 \lambda^2} \log \left( \frac{\alpha \lambda + 1}{\alpha \lambda} \right), \frac{1 + 2(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)^2 \lambda^2} \log \left( \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda} \right) \right\} \leq H(Y) \leq \frac{1 + 2\alpha \lambda}{\alpha^2 \lambda^2} \log \left( \frac{\alpha \lambda + 1}{\alpha \lambda} \right) - \frac{1 + 2(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)^2 \lambda^2} \log \left( \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda} \right).
\]

**Proof.** In Subsection 3.1, we show that \( Y = Z + W \) where \( Z \) and \( W \) are two independent random variable with \( Ge \left( \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1} \right) \) and \( Ge \left( \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1} \right) \).
respectively. So, the entropy of $Z$ and $W$ are given by

$$H(Z) = -\frac{\alpha \lambda + 1}{\alpha \lambda} \log\left(\frac{\alpha \lambda + 1}{\alpha \lambda}\right) + \frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1} \log\left(\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1 + 2\alpha \lambda}{\alpha^2 \lambda^2} \log\left(\frac{\alpha \lambda + 1}{\alpha \lambda}\right),$$

$$H(W) = -\frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda} \log\left(\frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}\right) + \frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1} \log\left(\frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1 + 2(\alpha + 1)\lambda}{(\alpha + 1)^2 \lambda^2} \log\left(\frac{(\alpha + 1)\lambda + 1}{(\alpha + 1)\lambda}\right).$$

Since $Y$ can be written as the sum of two independent geometric random variable, we have

$$\max\{H(Z), H(W)\} \leq H(Y) \leq H(Z) + H(W).$$

Thus, the proof is complete. See for more details about the entropy of sum of random variable.

4 Different Methods of Estimation

4.1 Method of Moments

Given a random sample $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of size $n$ from the PBE distribution, the moment estimates (MOM), $\tilde{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$, of $\lambda$ and $\alpha$ are given by

$$\tilde{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{m_1}{2\sqrt{2}(m_2 - m_1)} - m_1^2;$$

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1}{m_1} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha} + 1}\right),$$

where $m_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ and $m_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$.

**Theorem 4.** For a fixed values of $\alpha$, the moment estimator $\tilde{\lambda}$ of $\lambda$ is consistent and asymptotically normal distributed as,

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda) \sim N(0, \nu^2(\lambda)), $$
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where

\[ \nu^2(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)\lambda + (2\alpha + 1)(\alpha^2 + (\alpha + 1)^2)\lambda^2}{2\alpha + 1}. \]

**Proof.** We know that \( \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{m_1}(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}) \). Let \( g(t) = \frac{1}{t}(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}) \). In this case \( g(\hat{X}) = \hat{\lambda} \) and \( g(\mu) = \lambda \) where \( E(Y) = \mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}) \).

**Consistency:** Since \( \mu < \infty \), \( \hat{X} \to \mu \) in probability and \( g(t) \) is a continuous function at \( t = \mu \), then \( g(\hat{X}) \to g(\mu) \) in probability and this means \( \hat{\lambda} \to \lambda \) in probability.

**Asymptotic normality:** Since \( \sigma^2 < \infty \), using central limit theorem we have \( \sqrt{n}(\hat{X} - \mu) \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \). Also since \( g'(\mu) \neq 0 \), using the delta method we have \( \sqrt{n}(g(\hat{X}) - g(\mu)) \sim N(0, g'(\mu)^2\sigma^2) \), which proves the theorem.

As a result of the above theorem one can obtain a \( 100(1 - \gamma) \) confidence interval for \( \lambda \), for a given value \( \alpha \), using \( \hat{\lambda} \pm \frac{\nu(\lambda)}{\sqrt{n}}Z_{\gamma/2} \), where \( Z_{\gamma/2} \) is the quantile \( 1 - \gamma/2 \) of the standard normal distribution.

### 4.2 Method of Maximum Likelihood

In this part the maximum likelihood estimators of \( PBE(\alpha, \lambda) \) are considered, where both parameters are unknown. Given a random sample \( y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \), of size \( n \), from the PBE distribution, the log-likelihood function is of the form

\[
\ell(\alpha, \lambda) = n \log \alpha + n \log (\alpha + 1) + n \log \lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log [(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-y_i + 1} - (1 + (\alpha + 1) \lambda)^{-y_i + 1}].
\] (5)

Therefore, the normal equations are

\[
\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} + \frac{n}{\alpha + 1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1 + y_i) \lambda [(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-2-y_i} + (1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))^{-2-y_i}] - (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-1-y_i} - (1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))^{-1-y_i}}{(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-1-y_i} - (1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))^{-1-y_i}},
\] (6)

and

\[
\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1 + y_i) [(\alpha(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-2-y_i} + (1 + \alpha)(1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))^{-2-y_i}) - (1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-1-y_i} - (1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))^{-1-y_i}]}{(1 + \alpha \lambda)^{-1-y_i} - (1 + \lambda(\alpha + 1))^{-1-y_i}}.
\] (7)
Unfortunately, the two non-linear equations (6) and (7) have no closed form of answers. Also, these equations can be solved using non-linear algorithms such as Newton-Raphson, by taking the moment estimates of $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ in (3) and (4), as an initial values.

### 4.3 Asymptotic Variances and Covariances of the MLEs

Applying the usual large sample approximation, MLE of $\Theta$ i.e. $\hat{\Theta} = (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\lambda})$, can be treated as being approximately bivariate normal with mean $\Theta$ and variance-covariance matrix, which is the inverse of the expected information matrix $J(\Theta) = E[I(\Theta)]$, i.e., $N_2(\Theta, J(\Theta)^{-1})$, where $I(\Theta)$ is the observed information matrix with elements $I_{ij} = -\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j}$ with $i, j = 1, 2$, and the expectation is to be taken with respect to the distribution of $Y$. When the expectations of $I_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2$, is obtained, we would have the matrix $J(\Theta)$. The inverse of $J(\Theta)$, evaluated at $\hat{\Theta}$ provides the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix of MLEs. Alternative estimates can be obtained from the inverse of the observed information matrix since it is a consistent estimator of $J(\Theta)^{-1}$. The estimated asymptotic multivariate normal $N_2(\Theta, I(\hat{\Theta})^{-1})$ distribution of $\hat{\Theta}$ can be used to construct approximate confidence intervals for the parameters and for the hazard rate and survival functions. An $100(1 - \gamma)$ asymptotic confidence intervals for the parameters $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are given by $ACI_\alpha = (\hat{\alpha} - Z_{\gamma/2}\sqrt{I_{\alpha\alpha}}, \hat{\alpha} + Z_{\gamma/2}\sqrt{I_{\alpha\alpha}})$ and $ACI_\lambda = (\hat{\lambda} - Z_{\gamma/2}\sqrt{I_{\lambda\lambda}}, \hat{\lambda} + Z_{\gamma/2}\sqrt{I_{\lambda\lambda}})$ where $I_{\alpha\alpha}$ and $I_{\lambda\lambda}$ are the diagonal element of $I(\hat{\Theta})^{-1}$ and $Z_{\gamma/2}$ is the quantile $1 - \gamma/2$ of the standard normal distribution. Differentiating $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \alpha}$ and $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda}$ w.r.t. $\alpha$ and $\lambda$, the elements of the symmetric second-order observed information matrix are found. These elements can be seen in Appendix.

### 5 Random Data Generation

Here we give two methods for random data generation from $PBE(\alpha, \lambda)$.

**First method:** Note that if $Y \sim PBE(\alpha, \lambda)$, $W$ and $Z$ are two independent random variables having geometric distributions with respective parameters $\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha \lambda + 1}$ and $\frac{(\alpha + 1) \lambda}{(\alpha + 1) \lambda + 1}$, then $Y = W + Z$. For generating a random sample of size $n$ from PBE distribution, one can generate two independent random sample
from $Ge\left(\frac{\alpha\lambda}{\alpha+1}\right)$ and $Ge\left(\frac{(\alpha+1)\lambda}{(\alpha+1)\lambda+1}\right)$ and add them together.

**Second method:** An algorithm, similar to the one given by Ghitany et al. (2008), can be used to generate random data from PBE distribution. If $Y|\theta \sim Poisson(\theta)$ and $\theta \sim BE(\alpha, \lambda)$, then the density function of the PBE can be written as a mixed form

$$P_{PBE}(y) = \int_0^\infty P_{Y|\theta}(y|\theta)f_{BE}(\theta)d\theta.$$ 

To generate a random sample $y_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, from PBE distribution, regarding to the above expression, one can use the following algorithm:

- Generate $\theta_i \sim BE(\alpha, \lambda)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.
- Generate $Y_i \sim Poisson(\theta_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

In the above algorithm one can simulate a random sample from $BE(\alpha, \lambda)$ using the expression $\theta_i = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log Z_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, where $Z_i \sim Beta(\alpha, 2)$.

### 5.1 Simulation Study

In this section, a simulation study have been performed to investigate the consistency of the MMEs and MLEs of the parameters of a PBE distribution. A simulation study is carried out $N = 10,000$ times for each $(\alpha, \lambda) = (0.2, 0.6), (0.8, 0.6), (1, 0.8), (0.8, 1), (0.8, 2), (0.2, 0.8), (2, 0.8), (2, 2)$ and $n = 50(50)300$. The study calculates the following measures:

- Average maximum likelihood and moment estimators of the parameters $\alpha$, $\lambda$; i.e., $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\alpha}_i$, $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\lambda}_i$, $\check{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\alpha}_i$, $\check{\lambda} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\lambda}_i$.

- Average bias of the maximum likelihood and moment estimators of the parameters $\alpha$, $\lambda$; i.e., $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\alpha}_i - \alpha$, $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\lambda}_i - \lambda$, $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \check{\alpha}_i - \alpha$, $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \check{\lambda}_i - \lambda$.

- Average square root of mean square error of the maximum likelihood and moment estimators of the parameters $\alpha$, $\lambda$; i.e.,

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\tilde{\alpha}_i - \alpha)^2}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\tilde{\lambda}_i - \lambda)^2}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\check{\alpha}_i - \alpha)^2}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\check{\lambda}_i - \lambda)^2}.$$
The results from simulated data sets are reported in Tables 1 and 2 for MMEs and MLEs, respectively. Tables 1 and 2 indicate that the convergence has been achieved in all cases, even when the sample size is small and emphasizes the robustness of the MLEs and MMEs such that the average square root of mean square errors decrease when sample size increases. Also, the average bias is decreasing when sample size is increased. Figure 3 represents the bias and mse of the MLEs for parameters \((\alpha, \lambda) = (0.2, 0.6)\).

**Figure 3.** Graphs of bias and mse of the MLEs for parameters \((\alpha, \lambda) = (0.2, 0.6)\): bias of \(\alpha\) is top left, bias of \(\lambda\) is top right, mse of \(\alpha\) is bottom left and mse of \(\lambda\) is bottom right.
Table 1. The average estimators, average biases and average square root of mean square errors of the MME of the PBE distribution from 10,000 simulations.

| Parameter values | Average estimators | Average bias | Average rmse |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| n, α, λ | \( \tilde{\alpha} \) | \( \tilde{\lambda} \) | bias(\( \tilde{\alpha} \)) | bias(\( \tilde{\lambda} \)) | \( \sqrt{mse(\tilde{\alpha})} \) | \( \sqrt{mse(\tilde{\lambda})} \) |
| 50 0.2 0.6 | 1.4116 | 0.1847 | 1.2116 | -0.4153 | 2.1863 | 0.4705 |
| 0.2 | 1.9986 | 0.4502 | 1.1986 | -0.1498 | 2.6619 | 0.3446 |
| 1.0 0.8 | 2.0618 | 0.7977 | 1.0618 | -0.0023 | 3.1105 | 0.7169 |
| 0.8 1.0 | 1.8415 | 0.9003 | 1.0415 | -0.0997 | 3.0011 | 0.6695 |
| 0.8 2.0 | 1.2772 | 2.5017 | 0.4772 | 0.5017 | 1.3929 | 7.1859 |
| 0.2 0.8 | 1.4129 | 0.2336 | 1.2129 | -0.5664 | 1.8698 | 0.5987 |
| 2.0 0.8 | 1.5029 | 2.0946 | -0.4971 | 1.2946 | 1.8931 | 5.7338 |
| 2.0 2.0 | 0.8429 | 7.3466 | -1.1569 | 5.3466 | 2.7881 | 8.6023 |
| 100 0.2 0.6 | 1.2548 | 0.1655 | 1.0548 | -0.4345 | 1.6814 | 0.4398 |
| 0.2 | 2.1678 | 0.3809 | 1.3678 | -0.2191 | 2.843 | 0.302 |
| 1.0 0.8 | 2.1897 | 0.6463 | 1.1897 | -0.1537 | 3.3080 | 0.3961 |
| 0.8 1.0 | 1.9521 | 0.7259 | 1.1613 | -0.0023 | 3.0011 | 0.7169 |
| 0.8 2.0 | 1.4232 | 1.8953 | 0.6232 | 0.1047 | 3.0011 | 0.6695 |
| 0.2 0.8 | 1.8473 | 1.3911 | -0.1527 | 0.5911 | 2.0716 | 1.0925 |
| 2.0 0.8 | 0.9231 | 6.6796 | -1.0780 | 4.6796 | 2.7881 | 8.6023 |
| 2.0 2.0 | 1.1599 | 0.1643 | 0.9599 | -0.4357 | 1.2497 | 0.4400 |
| 150 0.2 0.6 | 2.2920 | 0.3455 | 1.4920 | -0.2545 | 2.8497 | 0.302 |
| 0.2 | 2.2097 | 0.6022 | 1.2097 | -0.1978 | 2.5653 | 0.3852 |
| 1.0 0.8 | 2.0690 | 0.6761 | 1.2690 | -0.3239 | 2.7537 | 0.4932 |
| 0.8 1.0 | 1.5777 | 1.6976 | 0.7777 | -0.3024 | 1.7192 | 1.0749 |
| 0.8 2.0 | 1.1400 | 0.2226 | 0.9400 | -0.5774 | 2.0152 | 0.7909 |
| 0.2 0.8 | 2.0913 | 1.1830 | 0.0913 | 0.3830 | 2.0152 | 0.5203 |
| 2.0 0.8 | 1.0050 | 4.7107 | -0.9970 | 2.7167 | 2.2111 | 6.2877 |
| 200 0.2 0.6 | 1.0661 | 0.1651 | 0.8661 | -0.4349 | 1.0130 | 0.4378 |
| 0.2 | 2.4858 | 0.3387 | 1.6585 | -0.2613 | 3.5359 | 0.3099 |
| 0.8 1.0 | 2.5861 | 0.5594 | 1.5861 | -0.2406 | 3.9634 | 0.3837 |
| 1.0 0.8 | 2.6688 | 0.6010 | 1.6888 | -0.3990 | 5.1487 | 0.5088 |
| 0.8 2.0 | 1.9648 | 1.5152 | 1.1648 | -0.4848 | 3.1072 | 0.9829 |
| 0.2 0.8 | 1.1324 | 0.2198 | 0.9324 | -0.5802 | 1.2068 | 0.3852 |
| 2.0 0.8 | 2.0913 | 1.1725 | 0.1696 | 0.3275 | 2.0152 | 0.7229 |
| 0.2 0.8 | 1.0030 | 4.7107 | -0.9970 | 2.7167 | 2.2111 | 6.2877 |
| 250 0.2 0.6 | 1.0475 | 0.1644 | 0.8475 | -0.4356 | 1.0159 | 0.4380 |
| 0.2 | 2.9978 | 0.3111 | 2.1978 | -0.2889 | 5.7499 | 0.3270 |
| 0.8 1.0 | 2.6641 | 0.5057 | 1.6641 | -0.2943 | 3.5167 | 0.3824 |
| 1.0 0.8 | 2.4619 | 0.5712 | 1.6619 | -0.4288 | 3.2205 | 0.5132 |
| 0.8 2.0 | 2.3963 | 1.3750 | 1.4983 | -0.6250 | 3.2146 | 1.0445 |
| 0.2 0.8 | 1.0534 | 0.2202 | 0.8534 | -0.5798 | 1.0442 | 0.5831 |
| 2.0 0.8 | 2.3363 | 1.0545 | 0.3363 | 0.2545 | 2.5187 | 0.6645 |
| 2.0 2.0 | 1.8244 | 4.0913 | -0.1756 | 2.0913 | 3.4323 | 4.1677 |
| 300 0.2 0.6 | 1.0061 | 0.1659 | 0.8061 | -0.4341 | 0.8796 | 0.4361 |
| 0.2 | 2.6473 | 0.3048 | 1.8473 | -0.2952 | 3.2084 | 0.3273 |
| 0.8 1.0 | 2.6541 | 0.5000 | 1.6541 | -0.3000 | 3.9226 | 0.3798 |
| 1.0 0.8 | 2.4839 | 0.5635 | 1.6839 | -0.4365 | 4.5985 | 0.5100 |
| 0.8 2.0 | 2.2079 | 1.3966 | 1.4079 | -0.6034 | 3.7682 | 0.9691 |
| 0.2 0.8 | 1.0160 | 0.2204 | 0.8160 | -0.5796 | 0.8921 | 0.5828 |
| 2.0 0.8 | 2.4397 | 0.9662 | 0.4397 | 0.1662 | 2.1959 | 0.5356 |
| 2.0 2.0 | 1.6532 | 3.8646 | -0.3468 | 1.8646 | 1.8640 | 3.1876 |
Table 2. The average estimators, average biases and average square root of mean square errors of the MLE of the PBE distribution from 10,000 simulations.

| Parameter values | Average estimators | Average bias | Average rmse |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Parameter values | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\lambda}$ | $\text{bias}(\hat{\alpha})$ | $\text{bias}(\hat{\lambda})$ | $\sqrt{\text{mse}(\hat{\alpha})}$ | $\sqrt{\text{mse}(\hat{\lambda})}$ |
| $n$ | $\alpha$ | $\lambda$ | $\alpha$ | $\lambda$ | $\alpha$ | $\lambda$ |
| 50 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.9385 | 1.132 | 0.7385 | 0.5307 | 1.825 | 1.932 |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 4.648 | 1.718 | 3.848 | 1.118 | 6.900 | 4.296 |
| 1.0 | 0.8 | 6.387 | 3.673 | 5.367 | 2.873 | 8.152 | 9.035 |
| 0.8 | 1.0 | 6.201 | 4.083 | 5.401 | 3.403 | 8.309 | 10.294 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 5.972 | 18.308 | 5.172 | 16.308 | 10.043 | 31.560 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.143 | 1.630 | 0.9429 | 0.8304 | 2.250 | 2.769 |
| 0.8 | 0.8 | 9.283 | 8.443 | 7.283 | 7.643 | 11.103 | 19.707 |
| 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.210 | 77.678 | 1.210 | 75.678 | 4.968 | 74.902 |
| 100 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4918 | 0.8272 | 0.2918 | 0.2272 | 0.9783 | 1.056 |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.687 | 1.037 | 2.888 | 0.4374 | 4.968 | 2.145 |
| 1.0 | 0.8 | 5.335 | 1.987 | 4.335 | 1.187 | 4.335 | 1.184 |
| 0.8 | 1.0 | 4.862 | 2.516 | 4.062 | 1.516 | 6.781 | 5.658 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 3.866 | 12.512 | 3.006 | 10.512 | 1.198 | 1.587 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 | 8.004 | 5.078 | 6.004 | 4.278 | 9.685 | 12.484 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.348 | 41.719 | -0.6522 | 39.719 | 3.999 | 61.064 |
| 150 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3322 | 0.7374 | 0.1322 | 0.1374 | 0.5380 | 0.7114 |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.863 | 0.7302 | 2.063 | 0.1302 | 3.926 | 0.938 |
| 1.0 | 0.8 | 4.486 | 1.439 | 3.486 | 0.6386 | 5.994 | 2.801 |
| 0.8 | 1.0 | 4.245 | 1.759 | 3.445 | 0.7585 | 6.020 | 3.499 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.807 | 9.374 | 2.007 | 7.374 | 6.053 | 16.496 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.3565 | 1.030 | 0.1566 | 0.2299 | 0.6296 | 0.896 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 7.338 | 3.021 | 5.338 | 2.821 | 8.309 | 10.294 |
| 0.2 | 2.0 | 1.087 | 41.719 | -0.6522 | 39.719 | 3.999 | 61.064 |
| 200 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2757 | 0.6967 | 0.0757 | 0.0968 | 0.3390 | 0.5087 |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.863 | 0.7302 | 2.063 | 0.1302 | 3.926 | 0.938 |
| 1.0 | 0.8 | 4.261 | 1.156 | 3.261 | 0.3562 | 5.652 | 1.845 |
| 0.8 | 1.0 | 3.821 | 1.530 | 3.021 | 0.5303 | 5.505 | 2.533 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.275 | 7.372 | 1.475 | 5.372 | 5.114 | 12.404 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.3007 | 0.9546 | 0.1007 | 0.1549 | 0.4229 | 0.7968 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 6.638 | 2.853 | 4.638 | 2.053 | 8.204 | 6.499 |
| 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.8976 | 33.493 | -1.102 | 31.493 | 3.358 | 50.225 |
| 250 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2542 | 0.6751 | 0.0542 | 0.0750 | 0.1831 | 0.4232 |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.616 | 0.6937 | 1.816 | 0.0937 | 3.595 | 0.7472 |
| 1.0 | 0.8 | 3.997 | 1.075 | 2.997 | 0.2747 | 5.324 | 1.559 |
| 0.8 | 1.0 | 3.369 | 1.358 | 2.569 | 0.3576 | 4.953 | 1.891 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.843 | 6.085 | 1.043 | 4.085 | 4.250 | 9.768 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.3068 | 0.9507 | 0.1067 | 0.1549 | 0.4229 | 0.7968 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 6.470 | 2.316 | 4.470 | 1.516 | 7.920 | 5.100 |
| 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.7630 | 28.730 | -1.237 | 26.730 | 2.727 | 43.627 |
| 300 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2409 | 0.6619 | 0.0409 | 0.0619 | 0.1831 | 0.3714 |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.342 | 0.6613 | 1.542 | 0.0613 | 3.228 | 0.5946 |
| 1.0 | 0.8 | 3.704 | 0.9975 | 2.704 | 0.1975 | 4.967 | 1.205 |
| 0.8 | 1.0 | 3.245 | 1.229 | 2.445 | 0.2287 | 4.739 | 1.454 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2529 | 0.8809 | 0.0529 | 0.0809 | 0.2343 | 0.5340 |
| 0.8 | 2.0 | 6.230 | 2.057 | 4.230 | 1.257 | 7.632 | 4.044 |
| 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.7893 | 24.493 | -1.211 | 22.493 | 2.628 | 38.057 |
6 Application of the PBE Distribution

In general, three types of distributions, used for modelling count data, are: under-dispersed, equi-dispersed and over-dispersed. The choice of distributions usually depends on the degrees of dispersion by observing the empirical distribution of the data. However, in the case of the highly-skewed empirical distribution of the data, with a very long-tail or a very heavy-tail, the commonly used distributions, such as Poisson and PL often fail to adequately fit the data.

To show the superiority of the PBE distribution, we compare the results of fitting the PBE distribution to some of other distributions such as Poisson, NB, PL and GPL distributions using two real data sets. The required numerical evaluations are implemented using the SAS and R softwares. The performances of the model fittings are compared by using chi-squared goodness-of-fit statistic, -2log likelihood statistic and Akaike Information Criteria (AIC). We deliberately choose to combine the adjacent classes when the expected frequency for the chi-square is below 5.

6.1 The Belgian Motor Third Party Liability Insurance Portfolio Observed During the Year 1997

Table 3 presents the Belgian motor third party liability insurance portfolio observed during the year 1997 (Denuit et al., 2007). The data set comprises 14,505 policies with average annual claim frequency 14.6 percent. The majority of policies are in force during the whole year. The data have a sample mean 0.1197, a sample variance of 0.1453, skewness of 3.41 and kurtosis of 16.67. Clearly over-dispersion is present. Our results show that the PBE distribution provides a better fit to this data than the Poisson, NB, PL and GPL models. The pmfs of these distributions is given in Appendix. Table 3 shows that the Poisson, NB, PL and GPL distributions are not suitable for fitting this set of data. The present two-parameter PBE distribution appears to give a best fit to this data set.

6.2 An Insurance Count Data from Belgium in Year 1993

Table 4 presents an insurance count data from Belgium in year 1993 which considered by Denuit (1997). The data set comprises 63299 observations. The data have a sample mean 0.1057, a sample variance of 0.1249, skewness of 3.52 and kurtosis of 17.59. Clearly over-dispersion is present. Our results
Table 3. Belgian motor third party liability insurance portfolio observed during the year 1997.

| Obs. | Observed | Poisson | NB | PL | GPL | PBE |
|------|----------|---------|----|----|-----|-----|
| 0    | 12962    | 12867.4 | 13030.4 | 12874.9 | 12886.9 | 12890.3 |
| 1    | 1369     | 1541.5  | 1318.7 | 1448.2 | 1431.4 | 1437.5 |
| 2    | 157      | 92.34   | 139.25 | 161.7 | 164.98 | 157.7 |
| 3    | 14       | 3.69    | 14.91 | 17.94 | 19.15 | 17.4 |
| 4    | 3        | 1.104   | 1.6  | 1.98 | 2.22 | 1.9 |

Total | 14505 | 14505 | 14505 | 14505 | 14505 | 14505 |

MLEs: $\hat{\lambda}=0.1198$, $\hat{r}=0.92$, $\hat{\theta}=8.72$, $\hat{\alpha}=0.015$,

Stds: $sd(\hat{\lambda})=0.0025$, $sd(\hat{r})=0.1187$, $sd(\hat{\theta})=0.1125$, $sd(\hat{\alpha})=0.0038$,

AIC: 11135.9, 11054.9, 11054.01, 11054.95, 11050.15

$\chi^2$-Pearson: 96.28, 4.56, 5.48, 4.44, 3.9

Table 4. An insurance count data from Belgium in year 1993.

| Obs. | Observed | Poisson | NB | PL | GPL | PBE |
|------|----------|---------|----|----|-----|-----|
| 0    | 57178    | 56989.6 | 57183.2 | 57243.7 | 57192.9 | 57171.1 |
| 1    | 5617     | 5983.9  | 5584.8 | 5479.3 | 5577.3 | 5610.1 |
| 2    | 446      | 314.2   | 486.6 | 521.5 | 484.8 | 475.08 |
| 3    | 50       | 11      | 40.69 | 49.4  | 40.38 | 43.22 |
| 4    | 8        | 0.29    | 3.33 | 4.7  | 3.28 | 4.71 |

Total | 63299 | 63299 | 63299 | 63299 | 63299 |

MLEs: $\lambda=0.105$, $\hat{r}=1.275$, $\hat{\theta}=10.297$, $\hat{\alpha}=1.27$, $\hat{\lambda}=49.99$,

Stds: $sd(\hat{\lambda})=0.0013$, $sd(\hat{r})=0.1208$, $sd(\hat{\theta})=0.1231$, $sd(\hat{\alpha})=0.0912$,

AIC: 44303.1, 44132.6, 44134.7, 44132.7, 44131.8

$\chi^2$-Pearson: 411.35, 8.03, 16.9, 8.11, 5.15
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show that the PBE distribution provides a better fit to this data than the Poisson, NB, PL and GPL models. Table 4 shows that the Poisson, NB, PL and GPL distributions are not suitable for fitting this set of data. The present two-parameter PBE distribution appears to give a best fit to this data set.

7 Conclusion

This paper proposes PBE distribution as an alternatives for modelling event counts. Some properties of the PBE distribution are studied. The flexibility of PBE distribution allows for fitting diverse distributions of count data. We derive expansions for the moments and generating function. The estimation of parameters is approached by the method of moments and method of maximum likelihood and the observed information matrix is derived. Two applications to real data sets serve as illustrations of how to fit the PBE distribution. We hope that this generalization may attract wider applications in the modelling event counts.
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Appendix

A1. Elements of the symmetric second-order observed information matrix:

\[
I_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha^2} + \frac{n}{(\alpha+1)^2} - \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(y_i+1)(1+\alpha\lambda)^{-2(y_i+2)} + (1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{-2(y_i+2)}}{(1+\alpha\lambda)^{-(y_i+1)} - (1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{-(y_i+1)}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
+ \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(y_i+1)(1+\alpha\lambda)^{-2(y_i+3)}(1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{-(y_i+3)}(2+\lambda(2+\lambda(y_i+2)+2\alpha(2+(\alpha+1))))}{(1+\alpha\lambda)^{-(y_i+1)} - (1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{-(y_i+1)}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
I_{\alpha\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i+1}{(1+\alpha\lambda)^2} - \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(y_i+1)^2(1+\alpha\lambda)^{2y_i}}{-(1+\alpha\lambda)^{y_i+1}(1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)+(1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{y_i+2}} \right),
\]

\[
I_{\lambda\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(y_i+1)(-2+\lambda y_i-2\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\lambda)^2(1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^2 - (1+\alpha\lambda)^{-(y_i-1)}(1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{y_i+3}},
\]

\[
+ \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(y_i+1)(1+\alpha\lambda)^{-2(y_i+2)} + (1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{-2(y_i+2)}}{(1+\alpha\lambda)^{-(y_i+1)} - (1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{-(y_i+1)}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(y_i+1)(1+\alpha\lambda)^{-2(y_i+3)}(1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{-(y_i+3)}(y_i+2(1+(\alpha+1)+(1+\alpha\lambda)(1+(\alpha+1)\lambda))}{(1+\alpha\lambda)^{-(y_i+1)} - (1+(\alpha+1)\lambda)^{-(y_i+1)}} \right)^2.
\]

A2. Pmf of the NB, PL and GPL are given by

- (NB) \( P(X = x) = \binom{x}{r} \rho^r (1-p)^x \).

- (PL) \( P(X = x) = \frac{\theta^2(x+\theta+2)}{(\theta+1)^{x+\theta+3}}. \)

- (GPL) \( P(X = x) = \frac{\Gamma(x+\alpha)}{2^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1) \Gamma(x+\alpha+1)} \left( \alpha + \frac{x+\alpha}{\theta+1} \right) \).
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