Deciphering inhibitory mechanism of coronavirus replication through host miRNAs-RNA-dependent RNA polymerase interactome

Olanrewaju B. Morenikeji1*, Muyiwa S. Adegaju2, Olayinka S. Okoh3, Asegunloluwa E. Babalola4, Anastasia Grytsay1, Olubumi A. Braimah1, Mabel O. Akinyemi5† and Bolaji N. Thomas6†

1Division of Biological and Health Sciences, University of Pittsburgh at Bradford, Bradford, PA, United States, 2Institute for Plant Biotechnology, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa, 3Department of Chemical Sciences, Anchor University, Lagos, Nigeria, 4Department of Mathematical Sciences, Anchor University, Lagos, Nigeria, 5Department of Biological Sciences, Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison, NJ, United States, 6Department of Biomedical Sciences, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY, United States

Despite what we know so far, Covid-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2 virus, remains a pandemic that still require urgent healthcare intervention. The frequent mutations of the SARS-CoV-2 virus has rendered disease control with vaccines and antiviral drugs quite challenging, with newer variants surfacing constantly. Therefore, the need for newer, effective and efficacious drugs against coronaviruses. Considering the central role of RNA dependent, RNA polymerase (RdRp) as an enzyme necessary for the virus life cycle and its conservation among coronaviruses, we investigated potential host miRNAs that can be employed as broad-range antiviral drugs averse to coronaviruses, with particular emphasis on BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. miRNAs are small molecules capable of binding mRNA and regulate expression at transcriptional or translational levels. Our hypothesis is that host miRNAs have the potential of blocking coronavirus replication through miRNA-RdRp mRNA interaction. To investigate this, we retrieved the open reading frame (ORF1ab) nucleotide sequences and used them to interrogate miRNA databases for miRNAs that can bind them. We employed various bioinformatics tools to predict and identify the most effective host miRNAs. In all, we found 27 miRNAs that target RdRp mRNA sequence of multiple coronaviruses, of which three - hsa-miR-1283, hsa-miR-579-3p, and hsa-miR-664b-3p target BCoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Additionally, hsa-miR-374a-5p has three bovine miRNA homologs viz bta-miR-374a, bta-miR-374b, and bta-miR-374c. Inhibiting the expression of RdRp enzyme via non-coding RNA is novel and of great therapeutic importance in the control of coronavirus replication, and could serve as a broad-spectrum antiviral, with hsa-miR-1283, hsa-miR-579-3p, and hsa-miR-664b-3p as highly promising.
**Introduction**

The diseases caused by SARS-CoV-2, a member of the Coronaviridae family, have had profound impact on all human endeavors, leaving hardship, death and destruction in its trail (Aftab et al., 2020). The rate of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from person to person is the major driver of the significant morbidity and mortality attendant to Covid-19 and its pandemic form (Gao et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020). After a successful entry into the host, viral replication is another important step to its pathogenicity and transmission. A large portion of coronavirus genome encodes open reading frame (ORF) 1a/1b (Figure 1), which produces two precursor polyproteins (pp1a) and (pp1ab), dedicated to code for multiple enzymes among which is RNA dependent, RNA polymerase (RdRp). Each of these precursor polyproteins are subsequently cleaved into non-structural proteins (nsp). The pp1ab is cleaved into 16 nsps, of which nsp12 or RNA dependent, RNA polymerase (RdRp) is one, and pivotal for successful virus replication in the host (Gao et al., 2020). In addition, formation of protein complex between RdRp protein, nsp seven and nsp eight have been reported, as the latter duo serve as cofactor for RdRp (Kirchdoerfer and Ward, 2019; Gao et al., 2020). Except for retroviruses, most RNA viruses require the activity of RdRp protein for viral replication and may explain why its active site is the most conserved among these viruses (Aftab et al., 2020), thereby making it a prominent target for drug development.

Several vaccines have now been developed and approved for use to limit COVID-19 infection in humans. However, their safety and long-term efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 is not guaranteed (Saha et al., 2021). Other strategies recommended for treating disease include inhibition of RdRp activity using antiviral agents like the nucleoside analogues, Favipiravir, Galidesivir, and Remdesivir, and other plant-based compounds such as Tellimagrandin I, Saikosaponin B2,
Hesperidin and Epigallocatechin gallate (Saha et al., 2021). So far, these antiviral drugs have been reported to be ineffective against SARS-CoV-2, possibly due to single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-induced changes culminating in conformational, structural and functional amino acids changes and the high virus mutation rate. Therefore, alternate therapeutic options that are effective against the virus must be explored. Here, we propose an alternative option that utilizes blocking RdRp transcript via host microRNAs thereby inhibiting translation of the most important protein for viral replication, leading to reduced viral propagation and pathogenicity (Figure 2).

MicroRNAs are short non-coding RNAs, of about 23 nucleotides transcribed by RNA polymerase II from the genome of an organism (Trobaugh and Klimstra, 2017). They control several cellular operations at pre- and posttranscription by taking on target transcripts such as host mRNA and RNAs from the genome of pathogens, via sequence-specific interlink, influencing the function and/or stability of these targets (Morenikeji et al., 2020; Tucker et al., 2021). Several studies have shown the involvement of microRNAs in regulation of host immune responses. The use of machine learning and bioinformatic tools is pivotal in understanding pre- and post-translational regulation of

**FIGURE 2**
Proposed model of miRNA biogenesis and base pairing with coronavirus RdRp mRNA sequence. The figure gives a description of coronavirus infection on host cell, and release of host miRNA to base pair and degrade the virus or inhibit translation. Figure created with sketch pad.
genes and many biological processes (Bao et al., 2018). Morenikeji et al. (2020) demonstrated via in silico analysis that certain bovine miRNAs are involved in regulating specific immune response genes associated with Bovine coronavirus (BCoV) infection and were identified as drug targets and diagnostic biomarker for the virus. Additionally, miRNAs such as gga-miR-1603 and gga-miR-1794 were found in chicken binding the L gene region of Newcastle Disease virus, causing viral degradation and inhibiting replication in vitro (Chen et al., 2021). In humans, decrease in viral replication, translation and transmission from person to person due to binding of certain miRNAs to the genome of viruses such as Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), Enterovirus 71 (E 71) and Human T cell leukemia virus, type 1 (HTLV-1) have also been reported (Nathans et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2013; Bai and Nicot 2015). More evidence on the involvement of miRNA in altering viral replication and pathogenesis have continued to emerge (Ingle et al., 2015; Khongnomnan et al., 2015; Trobaugh and Klimstra, 2017), but none of these studies have examined the role of miRNA in inhibiting coronavirus replication through RdRp nucleotide sequence, showing the importance of our study.

Considering the significant role of RdRp in viral replication and survival, we elucidated host miRNAs that can bind mRNA of RdRp in four coronaviruses, resulting in its disintegration, thereby controlling the replication and pathogenesis of RNA viruses and opening a new door to therapeutic targets for coronaviruses.

**Materials and methods**

**Sequence mining of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase region from the genome of various coronaviruses**

In this study, the analytical pipeline employed, starting from sequence curation to interactome networks, is a slight modification of our previously described model (Figure 3),

**FIGURE 3**
Flow chart of methodology used for the study. Step by Step pipeline for elucidating host miRNA–viral RdRp interaction.
Evolutionary analysis of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase in 13 coronaviruses

To determine the evolutionary relationship and distance of the RdRp region from the 13 coronaviruses, we constructed a phylogenetic tree using https://ngphylogeny.fr/ with the following workflow. Preliminary multiple sequence alignment (MSA) was generated using MAFFT, followed by trimming of the MSA to focus on the informative regions using block mapping and gathering with entropy (BMGE) (Criscuolo and Gribaldo, 2010). The phylogenetic tree was inferred using PhyML (Guindon et al., 2010) and tree visualization carried out with interactive tree of life (iTol) (https://itol.embl.de). Using the MSA generated by BMGE, pairwise distance between the RdRp of the 13 coronaviruses was computed using MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018).

Prediction and network of miRNA binding sites in the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase region of BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2

To examine whether host cellular miRNA can target coronavirus RdRp, we selected four common coronavirus in human and cattle for further analysis. Potential miRNA binding sites in the RdRp nucleotide sequences of BCoV, MERS-CoV, SAR-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 were predicted using mirDB software (http://mirdb.org). Each of the RdRp sequences from the four coronaviruses were used as the target sequence with human genome selected as the reference for miRNA prediction. After each prediction, miRNAs with a score of 60 and above were considered significant and selected for further analysis. The list of miRNAs from each coronavirus were intersected with Bioinformatic and Evolutionary Genomics (BEG) Venn diagram generator (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/). Based on the complementary base pairing of miRNAs and RdRp mRNA and the value of normalized binding free energy (ndG), possible miRNA-mRNA interactome network connections were determined using Cytoscape version 3.7.2, as previously described (Morenikeji et al., 2020; Tucker et al., 2021). To search for possible homologs of human miRNAs in the bovine genome, we searched the miRNA database (https://mirbase.org). The sequence of each of the top 25 miRNAs selected were used as query against the Bos taurus genome on mirDB (http://mirdb.org). Homologous bovine miRNAs were extracted and recorded for further analysis.

Results

Dataset of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase nucleotides from the genome of 13 coronaviruses

Our search for nucleotide sequences encoding RdRp in coronaviruses using the keyword “1ab polyprotein” initially yielded about 59 organisms. After filtering for only coronaviruses, 13 viruses, whose genomes were either fully or partially annotated were subsequently selected for further analyses. The sequences encoding RdRp regions of tylonycteris bat coronavirus, MERS-CoV, duck coronavirus, SARS-CoV, Canada goose coronavirus, BCoV, betacoronavirus England 1, alphacoronavirus, bat coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, pipistrellus bat, rabbit coronavirus and rodent coronavirus were identified to be within the ORF1ab gene (Table 1). Since RdRp protein is categorized to be one of the cleaved 16 non-structural proteins encoded by ORF1ab gene, its coding region which falls between nsp11 and nsp 13, is beyond the coding region of ORF1a gene, which partially overlaps with ORF1ab gene and encodes variants of nsp1 to nsp9 (Figure 1). Each of the 13 coronaviruses’ RdRp nucleotide sequences were extracted and added to the pipeline (Figure 3) to determine their evolutionary relationship.

Evolutionary relatedness of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase coding sequences

To confirm that RdRp coding sequence is conserved among the coronaviruses, we examined their evolutionary relatedness through pairwise distance and phylogenetic analysis. The nucleotide sequence analysis of RdRp reveals minor variation across the 13 viruses though sharing common evolutionary origin (Figure 4). Two viruses, MERS-CoV and Betacoronavirus England 1, are not different from each other in this region, showing a pairwise distance of 0.00 (Table 2). Similarly, comparing the RdRp sequences of bat coronavirus with either MERS-CoV or Betacoronavirus England one indicated some
level of closeness with a value of 0.18. A similar close relatedness was observed for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 having a pairwise distance of 0.29. Alphacoronavirus is the most distantly related from the rest of the viruses, showing consistent higher value for the pairwise distance, further supported by the phylogenetic tree analysis (Figure 4).

Open reading frame 1ab is conserved in BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2

From the genome organization of BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 1), ORF1ab in the viruses are very similar, indicating high level of conservation in this gene making it an excellent antiviral drug candidate. To ascertain the degree of conservation in BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, the well annotated ORF1ab genes of each of the viruses were overlayed on one another and compared. Comparing the gene across the viruses, we found that ORF1ab is highly conserved across the viruses as no conspicuous difference was noted in the arrangement of all the non-structural proteins and RdRp (Figure 1), emphasizing the choice of this region of RdRp as an excellent potential antiviral drug target.

Identification of miRNA binding to RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2

In this analysis, BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 miRNAs that bind to the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) of coronaviruses were examined. A total of one hundred and three (103) miRNAs were obtained for BCoV, seventy-eight (78) for MERS-CoV, fifty-seven (57) for SARS-CoV, and ninety-seven (97) for SARS-CoV-2. To ensure the binding of miRNAs to RdRp target, significant miRNAs were filtered based on the ranking scores as described above. The filtering generated a total of sixty-six (66) miRNAs for BCoV, forty-one (41) for MERS-CoV, twenty-nine (29) for SARS-CoV and fifty-three (53) for SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 5). The results of complementary binding of human miRNAs to the RdRp sequence for each of the four coronaviruses were intersected to identify broad-spectrum miRNAs, which can possibly inhibit viral replication. As shown, there was no miRNA that concomitantly bind to the RdRp region of all four viruses (Figure 6A). However, we uncovered three miRNAs; hsa-miR-1283, hsa-miR-664b-3p and hsa-miR-579-3p that could bind to this region in BCoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (Table 3). Similarly, miRNAs that could bind to the region in at least two coronaviruses were identified, ranging from as low as one (hsa-miR-8081) for MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV to as high as nine (hsa-miR-585-5p, hsa-miR-7159-5p, hsa-miR-1305, hsa-miR-15a-5p, hsa-miR-6507-5p, hsa-miR-16-5p, hsa-miR-3065-5p, hsa-miR-195-5p and hsa-miR-15b-5p) for BCoV and MERS-CoV (Table 3).

Interestingly, there was no miRNA concomitantly binding RdRp region in both MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 6A). The identity of the connections of miRNAs and RdRp between four coronaviruses (BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2) are depicted through a network as shown (Figure 6B). This interactome reveals a possible molecular mechanism for regulating multiple coronavirus replication through miRNAs binding RdRp mRNA. Network of different nodes were created based on all identified miRNAs and potential binding sites on RdRp mRNA, while the network edges were determined through the value ndGs and correlation between each RNA.

| S/N | Virus                     | Accession number          | Genome location of ORF1ab | Location of RdRp within ORF1ab |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1   | Tylonycteris bat CoV     | NC_009019.1               | 267–21625                 | 13553–16327                   |
| 2   | SARS-CoV                 | NC_004718.3               | 265–21485                 | 13401–16163                   |
| 3   | MERS-CoV                 | NC_019843.3               | 279–21514                 | 13410–16207                   |
| 4   | Duck coronavirus         | NC_048214.1               | 347–20364                 | 12211–15071                   |
| 5   | Bovine coronavirus       | NC_030345.1               | 211–21494                 | 13318–16100                   |
| 6   | Canada goose CoV         | NC_046965.1               | 554–20085                 | 11971–14786                   |
| 7   | Betacoronavirus England 1| NC_038284.1               | 278–21513                 | 13400–16185                   |
| 8   | Alphacoronavirus Bat-CoV | NC_046964.1               | 281–20175                 | 12136–14885                   |
| 9   | Bat CoV                  | NC_034440.1               | 13156–15970               |                               |
| 10  | Pipistrellus bat coronavirus | NC_009020.1            | 261–21808                 | 13661–16332                   |
| 11  | Rabbit coronavirus       | NC_017083.1               | 209–21663                 | 13483–16270                   |
| 12  | Rodent coronavirus       | NC_046954.1               | 211–21596                 | 13386–16201                   |
| 13  | SARS-CoV-2               | NC_045512.2               | 266–21555                 | 13430–16221                   |
From Table 3, it is shown that 27 human miRNAs targeted multiple viruses from BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Of particular importance, among these 27 miRNAs are three miRNAs (hsa-miR-1283, hsa-miR-579-3p and hsa-miR-664b-3p) that are predicted to target BCoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Additionally, we report five miRNAs targeting SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, while another five targeted BCoV and SARS-CoV.
|                       | Tylochrysopus bat coronavirus | SARS-CoV | MERS-CoV | Duck coronavirus | Canada goose coronavirus | B-CoV | Beta-Coronavirus England 1 | Alpha-Coronavirus bat | Bat coronavirus | Pipistrellus bat coronavirus | Rabbit coronavirus | Rodent coronavirus | SARS-CoV-2 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Tylochrysopus bat coronavirus |                               |          |          |                 |                         |       |                           |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| SARS-CoV              | 4.36                          |          |          |                 |                         |       |                           |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| MERS-CoV              | 1.8                           | 4.25     |          |                 |                         |       |                           |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| Duck coronavirus      | 4.85                          | 5.27     | 4.83     |                 |                         |       |                           |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| Canada goose coronavirus | 4.89                        | 5.17     | 4.81     | 2.34            |                         |       |                           |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| B-CoV                 | 4.48                          | 4.92     | 4.52     | 5.28            | 5.14                    |       |                           |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| Beta-coronavirus      | 1.8                           | 4.27     | 0        | 4.86            | 4.84                    | 4.55  |                           |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| England 1             |                               |          |          |                 |                         |       |                           |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| Alpha-coronavirus bat | 5.1                           | 5.32     | 5.13     | 4.99            | 5.11                    | 5.51  | 5.13                      |                     |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| Bat coronavirus        | 1.75                          | 4.33     | 0.18     | 4.76            | 4.93                    | 4.58  | 0.18                      | 4.98                |                |                             |                   |                    |           |
| Pipistrellus bat coronavirus | 1.57                  | 4.43     | 1.56     | 5.11            | 5.14                    | 4.61  | 1.62                      | 5.41                | 1.54 |                             |                   |                    |           |
| Rabbit coronavirus     | 4.49                          | 4.95     | 4.57     | 5.26            | 5.24                    | 0.2   | 4.6                       | 5.51                | 4.59 | 4.63                        |                   |                    |           |
| Rodent coronavirus     | 4.57                          | 4.83     | 4.58     | 5.26            | 5.21                    | 0.57  | 4.61                      | 5.44                | 4.56 | 4.71                        | 0.54              |                    |           |
| SARS-CoV-2            | 4.27                          | 0.29     | 3.97     | 5.21            | 5.07                    | 4.8   | 3.99                      | 5.27                | 4.21 | 4.34                        | 4.73              | 4.65              |           |

The least distance is 0; which is between MERS-CoV and Beta coronavirus England one; while 5.51 is the highest pairwise genetic distance and this is between BCoV and Alpha coronavirus bat; and between Alpha-coronavirus bat and rabbit coronavirus.
Human miRNA homologs found in bovine genome target RNA-dependent RNA polymerase mRNA sequences

Of the top 25 human miRNAs selected for further analysis, eight has bovine miRNA homologs as shown (Table 4). Interestingly, one of the human miRNAs, hsa-miR-374a-5p, had three bovine miRNA homologs including bta-miR-374a, bta-miR-374b, and bta-miR-374c. hsa-miR-3065-5p has two bovine homologs - bta-miR-338 and bta-miR-3065, while others have one homolog each. In all, we report 13 bovine homologs, and two of them, bta-miR-196a and bta-miR-338 are read in reverse direction while eleven are forward stranded.

Discussion

The genome arrangement of coronaviruses is similar and of particular importance is the open reading frame 1ab (ORF1ab) gene, which encodes 1ab polyprotein, a protein precursor that is further cleaved into sixteen non-structural proteins (nsps). One of the sixteen nsps is RdRp that plays a vital role in RNA virus replication (Aftab et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2021). RdRp protein is a promising candidate for drug target for treating diseases caused by coronavirus because the active site is highly conserved and the protein lacks homologous counterparts in host cell (Jiang et al., 2021). Medical interventions in form of mRNA vaccines and antiviral drugs have been developed and approved to treat coronavirus disease such as Covid-19, but many of these drugs are still undergoing clinical trials. The concept behind antiviral drugs for treating Covid-19 and other diseases caused by RNA viruses is to identify compounds which can bind to active site of the RdRp enzymes and prevent its catalytic activity, which leads to viral replication (Markland et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2011; Elfiky 2016; Elfiky et al., 2017; Elfiky and Ismail 2019; Ezat et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). However,
major concern on the efficacy of the antiviral drugs remains, necessitating exploring alternative options, including preventing RdRp protein translation. To our knowledge, the use of non-coding RNA such as miRNAs as an alternative route has not been explored as antiviral drug option. Since miRNA can bind directly to the genome of RNA virus or cause changes in the host transcriptome facilitated by the virus, it is noteworthy that finding host miRNA that can bind directly to the RdRp region of coronaviruses may provide insight on effective manipulation to control viral replication/load in the host and provide a remarkable alternative treatment.

To have an effective antiviral drug, it is important that a virus target be conserved. Therefore, we identify the conservation of miRNA binding site in the RdRp sequence of multiple coronaviruses through evolutionary analysis. First, 13 annotated RdRp nucleotide sequences were used to define the conservation of this region and construct a phylogenetic tree. Most of the viral species examined belong to the betacoronavirus subfamily, with our result showing high similarity between them, revealing this region as a potential drug target. Interestingly, the pairwise distance between MERS-CoV and Betacoronavirus Engalnd one show no difference in this region for both viruses, indicating that they are likely to have the same binding site for host miRNAs. A close similarity in the RdRp region of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 shows the virus evolved from a common origin, in agreement with previous findings (Aftab et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). The genetic conservation of RdRp gene across multiple viruses shows a strong positive selection for this region and justifies the fact that the enzyme coded by this region is important for almost all RNA virus replication, strengthening its choice for miRNA drug targeting.

Table 3: Predicted miRNAs with regions of complementarity in multiple coronaviruses from BCoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2.

| RdRp from virus | Number of intercepts | miRNA |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------|
| [B_Cov | SARS_Cov | SARS_Cov2 ] | 3 | hsa-miR-1283 hsa-miR-579-3p hsa-miR-664b-3p |
| [SARS_Cov | SARS_Cov2 ] | 5 | hsa-miR-4754 hsa-miR-555 hsa-miR-297 hsa-miR-1265 hsa-miR-302c-5p |
| [B_Cov | SARS_Cov ] | 5 | hsa-miR-4793-5p hsa-miR-302b-3p hsa-miR-556-3p hsa-miR-1248 hsa-miR-302d-5p |
| [MERS_CoV | SARS_Cov ] | 1 | hsa-miR-8081 |
| B_Cov SARS_Cov2 | 4 | hsa-miR-4504 hsa-miR-222-5p hsa-miR-567 hsa-miR-936 |
| B_Cov MERS_CoV | 9 | hsa-miR-7159-5p hsa-miR-585-5p hsa-miR-1305 hsa-miR-195-5p hsa-miR-6507-5p hsa-miR-3065-5p hsa-miR-15a-5p hsa-miR-15b-5p hsa-miR-16-5p |

Table 4: Predicted human miRNAs that have bovine miRNA homologs, their size and strands.

| miRNA | Seed location | Bovine homolog | Size | Strand |
|-------|---------------|----------------|------|--------|
| hsa-miR-196a-1-3p | 229, 1027, 1413, 1765, 1940 | bta-miR-196a | 3 to 20 | - |
| hsa-miR-654-5p | 1387 | bta-miR-380-5p | 1 to 22 | + |
| hsa-miR-541-3p | 1387 | bta-miR-541 | 1 to 22 | + |
| hsa-miR-374a-5p | 1047, 1362, 1370 | bta-miR-374a | 1 to 22 | + |
| | | bta-miR-374b | 2 to 22 | + |
| | | bta-miR-373c | 1 to 21 | + |
| hsa-miR-664b-3p | 1628, 2626 | bta-miR-664b | 3 to 23 | + |
| hsa-miR-545-5p | 830, 2750 | bta-miR-545-5p | 1 to 21 | + |
| hsa-miR-374b-5p | 1047, 1362, 1370 | bta-miR-374b | 1 to 22 | + |
| | | bta-miR-374a | 1 to 22 | + |
| | | bta-miR-374c | 1 to 21 | + |
| hsa-miR-3065-5p | 227, 512, 1025 | bta-miR-338 | 1 to 23 | - |
| | | bta-miR-3065 | 1 to 23 | + |

The seed location is with respect to the human miRNA, while the size and strand are the bovine miRNAs—strand miRNAs are read in reverse direction.
CoV-2 may have remarkable implications on the number of miRNAs which can bind concomitantly with both viruses. Several regions of RNA viruses mutate at a faster rate as a mechanism to escape host immune system reaction. However, a slower mutation rate at the RdRp region means a miRNA could be a broad spectrum antiviral drug for many viruses.

Remarkably, our study uncovered several miRNAs that bound to the RdRp sequence of coronaviruses including BcoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. The presence of human miRNA homologs in bovine genome is of great importance as this is indicative of the crucial functional role these miRNAs play has been preserved by evolutionary forces or selection. Additionally, some of the miRNAs have multiple binding sites within the RdRp region thereby increasing the binding probability and reducing off-target effects, strengthening their choice for possible antiviral molecule. This is contrary to the submission of Thorg et al. (2017), which stated that the common location of the miRNA binding site is the untranslated regions of the viral genome. Conversely, our results align with a similar study in chicken that identified multiple miRNA binding sites within the L gene of the NDV and infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV), reporting that the overexpression of ggam-miR-21 inhibits VP1 translation in chicken fibroblasts and suppresses overall viral replication (Chen et al., 2021).

We identified some miRNAs including hsa-miR-1283, hsa-miR-664b-3p, hsa-miR-579-3p, which targeted multiple regions in the RdRp sequence of BCoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2; these miRNAs have been previously linked with onco-protective roles, indicating their growth regulatory function. For example, hsa-miR-1283 has been connected with cardio-protection and inhibition of apoptosis (Liu et al., 2021), thereby blocking oncogenesis. In addition, this miRNA has also been implicated in hypertension (Chen et al., 2021). hsa-miR-579-3p, on the other hand has been reported to be associated with growth control and tumor suppression via control of melanoma progression (Fattore et al., 2016; Kalhori et al., 2019), while hsa-miR-664b-3p is reported to play a critical role in regulating cancer progression (Liu et al., 2020). From our study, an upregulation or administration of any of the three miRNAs might play a dual role of blocking viral replication/degradation and inhibition of cancer progression.

## Conclusion

In summary, we utilized several computational approaches to examine genome plasticity and elucidate potential host miRNAs that could bind to the RdRp sequence region of coronaviruses. Although viral genome is known to be variable, we report high conservation of RdRp sequence in multiple coronaviruses, indicating evolutionary favorability, hence a candidate signature for genome targeting. In all, this study also provide an insight into possible alternative route for targeting and inhibiting viral replication via host non-coding RNA (miRNAs) to combat disease rather than common anti-coronavirus drug, based on inhibiting RdRp enzymatic activities. In particular, hsa-miR-1283, hsa-miR-664b-3p, hsa-miR-579-3p and hsa-miR-374a-5p with bovine homologs bta-miR-374a, bta-miR-374b, and bta-miR-374c are very promising. This study opens the door for developing non-coding RNAs as a broad-spectrum antiviral therapy and lays a foundation for further investigation to validate the effective binding of identified miRNAs to RdRp sequences of coronaviruses through *in vivo* or *in vitro* analysis.
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