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Abstract

Aim: Acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) is one of the third-generation behavioral therapies in which it is attempted to increase the psychological relationship of an individual with his or her thoughts and feelings instead of changing cognition. The purpose of this study was the effectiveness of ACT on conflict resolution styles of incompatible marital women. Methods: This is a semi-experimental, pretest and posttest design and a 3-month follow-up. The statistical population of the study consisted of all incompatible women who referred to counseling centers in Arak in 2018. Therefore, 24 participants were selected by convenience sampling method and randomly assigned to one test group and one control group of 12 participants for each. Data were collected in the pretest, posttest and follow-up stages with (the Conflict Resolution Styles Scale or Rahim Organizational Conflict Inventory-II). The test group received a therapeutic intervention based on ACT for twelve 90-min sessions, but no therapy was provided for the control group. After the completion of treatment sessions, both groups were subjected to posttest. The data were analyzed using analysis of variance with repeated measures and Bonferroni post-hoc test. Results: The results showed that there was a statistically significant difference between the posttest scores of the test group compared with that of the control group (P < 0.05), and the difference was suitably sustainable during the time. Conclusion: ACT is considered as an effective intervention in improving conflict resolution styles.
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Introduction

The family system, which is contingent on opposite-sex marriage, is one of the most important social systems.¹ Marriage is the most important event in human life cycle, whereby a person enters a mutual relationship with their spouse. Marriage is also the most important relationship in every human’s life,² while it is a challenging institution. Although most marriages start well, couples are not eventually satisfied with their marriages.[³]

Conflicts are the core of romantic relationships.[⁴] Buehler et al. defined marital conflicts as follows “it refers to the disagreements, stressful and hostile interactions between a wife and a husband, and desecration, which may be accompanied by insults.” However, the ability to effectively manage and resolve conflicts can foster a strong relationship, enabling couples to establish an intimate relationship.[⁵]

Conflicts are generally classified into the constructive and nonconstructive categories. A constructive conflict is resolved through compromise and positive appropriate solutions, whereas a nonconstructive conflict is fueled by threats and tyrannical inappropriate solutions.[⁶] A constructive approach to marital conflicts provides for intimacy, whereas nonconstructive solutions for conflicts may increase anxiety and disorders in couples’ relationships.[⁷]

The conflict resolution styles are behaviors displayed by humans in a conflict to dominate the situation. Rahim[⁸]
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classified the conflict resolution styles into five categories, and concern for self and concern for others during conflicts were the basis for this classification. These conflict resolution styles are the integrating style, avoiding style, compromising style, dominating style, and obligating style. In the integrating style, the efforts are shared by the couple. This style is characterized by openness, communication, analysis of differences, and discovery of mutually agreed-on solutions. The avoiding style is, on the other hand, characterized by isolation, avoiding responsibilities, avoiding conflict, ignoring disagreements, and neutrality. Trade-offs and mutual decisions form the pivot of the compromising style. The dominating style is based on competitions and threatening attitudes. Finally, in the obligating style, it is tried to reduce differences and stress the similarities to win others’ satisfaction. 

Marital conflict resolution styles are more important than conflicts because marital conflicts determine the continuity of marriage or opposition. Hence, conflict resolutions styles are key to successful marriages, and great conflict resolution techniques elongate relationships. 

Research results suggest that conflict resolution styles are linked to marital commitment, marital burnout, the quality of couple’s relationship, and marital satisfaction. Acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) is one of the effective treatments for marital conflicts. ACT seeks to bring about psychological flexibility through the following six processes: acceptance, diffusion, self as a context, contact with the present moment, values, and committed action. ACT primarily aims to teach the individuals how to stop controlling their thoughts, how to detach from unwanted thoughts, and how to tolerate unwanted emotions. ACT enables the patients to improve their relationships using their subjective experiences, reduce avoidance of experience, and increase flexibility to successfully adjust. It also teaches the patients to move in a valued direction.

Numerous studies have been carried out on the applications of ACT. For example, Amani et al. analyzed the effectiveness of ACT on marital distress, marital conflicts, and optimism. Their findings revealed the positive effect of ACT on marital conflicts and marital distress. Samadi and Doostkam also explored the effect of ACT on marital adjustment in infertile women. They reported that ACT improves marital adjustment in infertile women. Azimifar et al. conducted a study to analyze and compare the effects of cognitive-behavioral couple therapy and ACT on the marital happiness of dissatisfied couples. They stated that the cognitive-behavioral couple therapy and ACT increased marital happiness in dissatisfied couples.

If conflicts are managed poorly, they can ruin marriages and harm the physical and emotional health of couples. Besides, the destruction of marital relationships is the most common manifestation of severe conflicts. Hence, rational conflict resolution styles can effectively prevent conflicts that ruin families. These styles can also help find better solutions to couples’ problems, and conflicts can be settled by dint of marital counseling and effective interventions. Moreover, given the efficiency and effectiveness of ACT, which focuses on the fundamental deep relationship problems, it is necessary to adopt this approach in this society. To wit, ACT can serve as a suitable solution for increasing marital joy and evoking couples’ positive feelings for each other and their relationships. Therefore, the overarching goal of this research was to study the effect of ACT on the conflict resolution styles of incompatible marital women. In other words, this research was an attempt to explore the effect of ACT on the conflict resolution styles of incompatible marital women.

**Methods**

This quasi-experimental study was carried out using a pretest–posttest design and a 3-month follow-up program with an experimental group and a control group. The independent research variable was ACT, while the conflict resolution styles formed the dependent variable.

The dependent variable data were collected in the pretest, posttest, and follow-up phases. The statistical population for this research included all of the incompatible women who visited the counseling centers of Arak City in 2017. The convenience sampling technique was used in this research, and notices were put up in some counseling centers in Arak that were affiliated with the Welfare Organization to hold the therapy sessions and collect the samples. First, the clients were registered and then, they were interviewed about the inclusion and exclusion criteria by the researcher. Finally, 24 clients were selected and were classified into two 12-member groups, namely, the experimental group and the control group, using the random assignment technique (by drawing lots). The sample size was determined considering the number of members in the experimental group varied between 5 and 10. At most, three more members could be added to the sample to prevent damage to the group in the case of early attrition. The inclusion criteria in this research were as follows: women who did file for divorce; women aged between 25 and 40 years; women with at least 1 year of marital life experience; women with at least 6 months of marital incompatibility experience; women with at least a high school diploma; and women who gave informed consents and were willing to attend the therapy sessions. The exclusion criteria were also as follows: receiving any other treatment at least in the course of the research; being absent for more than three sessions; failing to accomplish the tasks in the course of the program, and expressing unwillingness to cooperate. The repeated measures test and Bonferroni post hoc test were also carried out to analyze the data. The demographic data are shown in Table 1.

**Rahim Organizational Conflict Inventory-II**

This inventory was designed by Rahim to assess the conflict resolution styles. This 28-item scale assesses five conflict resolution styles. The five conflict resolution styles are the
Table 1: Demographic data of participants

| Demographic variables | Sub variables     | ACT group | Control group | P      |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|--------|
| Age                   | 20-25 years olds  | 1         | 4             | 0.67   |
|                       | 26-30 years olds  | 3         | 3             |        |
|                       | 31-35 years olds  | 3         | 2             |        |
|                       | 36-40 years olds  | 5         | 3             |        |
| Education             | Diploma           | 3         | 4             | 0.58   |
|                       | Advanced diploma  | 3         | 3             |        |
|                       | Under graduate    | 6         | 4             |        |
|                       | Postgraduate      | 0         | 1             |        |
| Job                   | Unemployed        | 5         | 6             | 0.67   |
|                       | Self-employed     | 5         | 3             |        |
|                       | Employee          | 2         | 3             |        |

ACT: Acceptance and commitment therapy

integrating style (questions 26-24-18-17-7-6-1), avoiding style (24-14-13-11-4-3), compromising style (questions 27-21-19-9), dominating style (questions 23-20-15-12-10), and obligating style (questions 28-25-16-8-2-5). The questions are rated based on a five-point Likert scale from “fully disagree,” “disagree,” “neutral,” “agreed,” and “fully agreed,” which represent scores 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. Rahim [30] carried out a study on 1219 samples to assess the validity and reliability of this inventory. The factor analysis revealed five conflict resolution styles. The calculation of the reliability coefficients in the test phase and the internal consistency of the five subscales of this inventory also yielded satisfactory results. Moreover, Rahim and Magner [26] carried out a study on 1417 samples to analyze the factor structure of this questionnaire. Their findings confirmed the satisfactory convergent and divergent validities of this inventory. In their research, the internal consistency of this scale varied between 0.76 and 0.85 using Cronbach’s alpha coefficient. Finally, Haghighi et al. [27] analyzed the psychometric properties of this questionnaire and reported a reliability coefficient in the range from 0.70 to 0.75 using the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of the subscales. The reliability of the instrument was obtained in this research from 0.71 to 0.83 by Cronbach’s alpha coefficient.

Procedure

At the beginning of the research, both groups completed Rahim’s ROC-II inventory. Afterward, the experimental group members attended twelve 90-min ACT group training sessions that were held once a week. The patients were treated by a researcher, who had previously attended the ACT training workshop in MehrAndish Counseling Center in Arak and had earned their certificate. The control group was also on the waitlist. At the end of the research, both groups completed Rahim’s Rahim Organizational Conflict Inventory-II questionnaire, and a 3-month follow-up was conducted. Some of the moral considerations taken into account in this research were as follows: the participants’ awareness of the research process, their consent, their authority to withdraw from the research, the confidentiality of the information collected from the respondents, and the individual and complementary counseling services provided following the research.

Intervention

A treatment plan was formulated to determine the ACT sessions after reviewing the studies by Izadi and Abedi [28] and Harris [29] and Harris. Table 2 shows the intervention sessions’ contents.

Results

The participants in the experimental and control groups were compared with respect to their age, education, employment status, how they met, the consent of the spouse’s family for marriage, and the consent of the participant’s family for marriage. However, no significant difference was observed.

The descriptive indicators of the components of the conflict resolution styles for the experimental and control groups during the three phases of the study are listed in Table 3. As seen, the mean score of the constructive conflict resolution styles (the integrating and compromising styles) in the posttest and follow-up phases increased, whereas the mean score of the nonconstructive conflict resolution styles (the avoiding, dominating, and obligating styles) decreased.

According to Table 3, the effects of ACT on the components of the conflict resolution styles of the incompatible marital women are significant considering the posttest scores (P < 0.05). Moreover, there is a difference between the effects of time on the components of the conflict resolution styles in the pretest, posttest, and follow-up phases regardless of the group (P < 0.05). The effect of the interaction between groups and time is also statistically significant (P < 0.05). Hence, the effect of the ACT on the conflict resolution styles is confirmed.

The results listed in Table 4 mirror the significant difference between the mean scores of the control and ACT groups on the constructive conflict resolution styles (the integrating and compromising styles) (P < 0.05). A negative mean difference reflects an increase in the mean score of the ACT group as compared to the control group. Moreover, the difference between the mean scores of the control and ACT groups on the components of the nonconstructive conflict resolution styles (the avoiding, dominating, and obligating styles) are significantly different (P < 0.05). The positive difference between these mean scores is indicative of a decrease in the mean score of the ACT group as compared to the control group, reflecting the effectiveness of ACT in improving the nonconstructive conflict resolution styles of the incompatible marital women.

The comparison of the mean scores in Figure 1 reveals that the mean score of the ACT group on the conflict resolution styles (the integrating and compromising styles) increased as compared to the control group, reflecting the effectiveness of ACT in improving the conflict resolution styles (the integrating and compromising styles). In addition, the mean score of the ACT group on the nonconstructive conflict resolution styles (the avoiding, dominating, and obligation styles) decreased as compared to the control group, indicating the
The research data were analyzed in SPSS 23 using the repeated measures analysis of variance and Bonferroni post hoc techniques [Table 5]. The variance homogeneity hypothesis was tested through a Leven test which revealed its insignificance. It also confirmed the variance homogeneity hypothesis ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, Mauchly’s test of sphericity was carried out to analyze the covariance uniformity of the conflict resolution styles, and the Greenhouse-Geisser test was conducted.

**Table 3: Mean and standard deviation of studied variables**

| Variable       | Group   | Pretest Mean±SD | Posttest Mean±SD | Follow up Mean±SD |
|----------------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Integrating style | ACT     | 26.50±2.84      | 31.83±1.58       | 30.83±4.16        |
| Control         |         | 26.75±3.08      | 26.25±2.63       | 25.08±3.6         |
| Avoiding style  | ACT     | 20.75±4.22      | 12±3.91          | 12.16±4.06        |
| Control         |         | 22.50±4.01      | 23.08±3.58       | 22.16±3.76        |
| Dominating style| ACT     | 19.75±2.59      | 11.16±2.72       | 11.50±2.24        |
| Control         |         | 18.83±3.64      | 19.25±3.77       | 19.75±3.82        |
| Compromising style | ACT     | 12.83±2.29      | 18.16±1.03       | 18.08±1.50        |
| Control         |         | 12.50±1.88      | 12.42±1.73       | 12.67±1.78        |
| Obligating style | ACT     | 22±3.13         | 14.42±3.92       | 16.25±4.43        |
| Control         |         | 22.83±3.37      | 21.67±3.42       | 21.25±2.56        |

ACT: Acceptance and commitment therapy, SD: Standard deviation

The research results unraveled the significant effectiveness of ACT in improving the conflict resolution styles of incompatible marital women. Besides, this positive effect showed acceptable stability over time. These findings are in line with the findings reported by other researchers such as Adile and Rafiee,[31] Kavousian et al.,[32] Samadi and Doostkam,[31] Peterson et al.,[33] Mohammadi et al.,[34] Moosavi et al.,[35] and Morshed et al.[36]

To explain the findings from this research, it could be stated that ACT differently conceptualizes the marital issues. Therefore, the combination of controlling and avoiding strategies in a marital relationship preserves and increases marital distress, conflicts, and emotional divorce. Assuming thoughts are real, making pessimistic assessments, and taking actions on the basis of these assessments are the causes of the preserved negative communication cycle in couples’ relationships.[33]

**Table 2: Acceptance and commitment therapy sessions**

| Session       | Educational content                                      | Treatment style                                      |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 1st session   | Making communication and making subjects ready           | Manner of holding sessions, primary controls         |
| 2nd session   | Conceptualizing the problem                              | Making a good relationship, investigating the problem and conceptualizing the problem from the viewpoint of members and consultation |
| 3rd session   | Selecting between staying and going                       | Selection, healthy communication levels (openness, concentration, and eagerness) |
| 4th session   | 1. Couples’ problem                                      | First psychological toxin: Mind engagement, first antitoxin: Getting rid of mind |
| 5th session   | 2. Couples’ problem                                      | Second psychological toxin: Highlighting the expectations, second antitoxin: Weakening expectations (not removing them) |
| 6th session   | 3. The couples’ problem                                  | Third psychological toxin: Ambiguous values, third antitoxin: Clear value and dominating the skills to move along with the values (conflict-solution and effective communication) |
| 7th session   | 4. Couples’ problem                                      | Fourth psychological toxin: Cutting communication, fourth antitoxin: Uniting with the spouse (openness, acceptance, and curiosity) |
| 8th session   | 5. Couples’ problem                                      | Fifth psychological toxin: Attempting to avoid, fifth antitoxin: Tending to suffer, introducing control methods |
| 9th session   | Values                                                   | Couple values, identifying impediments against values, choosing couple value and making it objective |
| 10th session  | Action impediments and anti-toxin of internal impediments | Identifying action impediments, acceptance and diffusion as the antitoxin of internal impediments of change |
| 11th session  | Antitoxin of external impediments                        | Problem-solving as the antitoxin of external impediments of change |
| 12th session  | Psychological fog                                        | Introducing psychological fog (what happens when you mix with your thoughts), introducing psychological fog layers (layer of musts, there is nowhere to try, if only, just if, painful past, scary future, etc., |

**Discussion**

The present research was an attempt to analyze the effect of ACT on the conflict resolution styles of the incompatible marital women. The research results unraveled the significant effectiveness of ACT in improving the conflict resolution styles of incompatible marital women. Besides, this positive effect showed acceptable stability over time. These findings are in line with the findings reported by other researchers such as Adile and Rafiee,[31] Kavousian et al.,[32] Samadi and Doostkam,[31] Peterson et al.,[33] Mohammadi et al.,[34] Moosavi et al.,[35] and Morshed et al.[36]
On the other hand, Hayes and Lillis[17] argued that instead of focusing on the elimination of the risk factors, the ACT helps couples accept their controlled emotions and understandings to get rid of verbal rules that create the problems. It also enables couples to avoid conflicts.

By increasing understanding and mindful acceptance, ACT enables couples to experience negative marital thoughts and reactions in a new way and become less involved with them. The avoidance-based thoughts and situations prevent growth and progress, and thus, they must be isolated and accepted. The clarification of values and commitment to them also allow couples to improve the quality of their relationship and their marital satisfaction and reduce psychological pain and inter-personal pain.[33]

Moreover, as a result of this acceptance, ineffective family conflicts transform into openness and fundamental changes, allowing couples to accept their thoughts and emotions effortlessly. It also enables couples to think, feel, and resolve their conflicts with a nonjudgmental approach. Relationships change through inner experiences (by increasing inner awareness). In addition, it is recommended to foster a nonjudgmental, compassionate experienced-based relationship.

| Variable   | Source               | SS   | df | MS  | F     | P   | Effect size | Observed power |
|------------|----------------------|------|----|-----|-------|-----|-------------|----------------|
| Integrating style | Between groups     | 213.56 | 1  | 213.56 | 11.08 | 0.003 | 0.33         | 0.89           |
|            | Group               | 423.94 | 22 | 19.27 |       |     |             |                |
|            | Error               | 71.08  | 1.3| 54.61 | 7.55  | 0.006 | 0.26         | 0.83           |
|            | Within groups       | 123.86 | 1.3| 95.16 | 13.16 | <0.001| 0.37         | 0.97           |
|            | Time                | 207.06 | 28.63| 7.23  |       |     |             |                |
| Avoiding style | Between groups     | 1042.72 | 1  | 1042.72 | 25.74 | <0.001| 0.54         | 1              |
|            | Group               | 891.06 | 22 | 40.5  |       |     |             |                |
|            | Error               | 293.53 | 1.43| 205.02 | 49.5  | <0.001| 0.69         | 1              |
|            | Within groups       | 312.69 | 1.43| 218.4 | 52.74 | <0.001| 0.71         | 1              |
|            | Time                | 130.44 | 31.5| 4.14  |       |     |             |                |
| Dominating style | Between groups     | 475.35 | 1  | 457.35 | 18.67 | <0.001| 0.46         | 0.98           |
|            | Group               | 560.19 | 22 | 25.46 |       |     |             |                |
|            | Error               | 242.33 | 1.21| 200.29 | 47.23 | <0.001| 0.68         | 1              |
|            | Within groups       | 330.11 | 1.21| 272.84 | 64.33 | <0.001| 0.74         | 1              |
|            | Time                | 112.89 | 26.62| 4.24  |       |     |             |                |
| Compromising style | Between groups     | 264.5  | 1  | 264.5  | 39.69 | <0.001| 0.64         | 1              |
|            | Group               | 164.61 | 22 | 6.66  |       |     |             |                |
|            | Error               | 113.86 | 1.48| 76.7  | 46.2  | <0.001| 0.68         | 1              |
|            | Within groups       | 110.58 | 1.48| 74.49 | 44.87 | <0.001| 0.67         | 1              |
|            | Time                | 54.22  | 32.66| 1.66  |       |     |             |                |
| Obligating style | Between groups     | 304.22 | 1  | 304.22 | 14.35 | 0.001| 0.39         | 0.95           |
|            | Group               | 466.22 | 22 | 21.19 |       |     |             |                |
|            | Error               | 218.69 | 2  | 109.35 | 13.64 | <0.001| 0.38         | 1              |
|            | Within groups       | 161.19 | 2  | 80.6  | 1.05  | <0.001| 0.31         | 0.98           |
|            | Time                | 352.78 | 44 | 8.02  |       |     |             |                |

SS: Sum of squares, MS: Mean of squares

| Variable   | Group (I) | Group (J) | Mean differences (I-J) | P   
|------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|-----|
| Integrating style | Control  | ACT       | -3.44                  | 0.003 |
| Avoiding style    | Control  | ACT       | 7.61                   | <0.001|
| Dominating style  | Control  | ACT       | 5.14                   | <0.001|
| Compromising style| Control  | ACT       | -3.83                  | <0.001|
| Obligating style  | Control  | ACT       | 4.11                   | 0.001|

ACT: Acceptance and commitment therapy
because the absence of judgment and flexibility benefits couples’ relationships in different ways. In fact, couples who avoid judgments and give flexible behavioral, cognitive, and emotional responses experience increased marital satisfaction. Similar to other studies, there were also constraints on this research which must be taken into account in the generalization of the results. This study was only conducted on women and thus, its results must be cautiously generalized to couples. The convenience sampling technique and the self-report scales were among the other constraints on the present study. Hence, it is recommended to assess the effect of this approach on other dimensions of marital life. A comparison of the effects of the ACT with the other couple and family therapy approaches is also recommended to gain an in-depth understanding of the effectiveness of this therapy in the cultural context of Iran.

CONCLUSION

According to the findings from this research, the ACT improves the conflict resolution styles of incompatible marital women. This research also brought about theoretical and practical achievements. On the theoretical level, our findings confirmed the previous research findings. On the practical level, the findings from this research can form the basis for training and treatment plans.
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