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ABSTRACT

Long-duration gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are almost unequivocally associated with very energetic, broad-line supernovae of Type Ic-BL. While the gamma-ray emission is emitted in narrow jets, the SN emits radiation isotropically. Therefore, it has been hypothesized that some SN Ic-BL not associated with GRBs arise from events with inner engines such as off-axis GRBs or choked jets. Here we present observations of the nearby (d ~ 120 Mpc) SN 2020bvc (ASAS-SN 20bs) that support this scenario. Swift-UVOT observations reveal an early decline (up to two days after explosion), while optical spectra classify it as a SN Ic-BL with very high expansion velocities (vexp ~ 70 000 km s⁻¹), similar to that found for the jet-cocoon emission in SN 2017iuk associated with GRB 171205A. Moreover, the Swift X-Ray Telescope and CXO X-ray Observatory detected X-ray emission only three days after the SN and decaying onward, which can be ascribed to an afterglow component. Cocoon and X-ray emission are both signatures of jet-powered GRBs. In the case of SN 2020bvc, we find that the jet is off-axis (by ~23 degrees), as also indicated by the lack of early (~1 day) X-ray emission, which explains why no coincident GRB was detected promptly or in archival data. These observations suggest that SN 2020bvc is the first orphan GRB detected through its associated SN emission.
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1. Introduction

Type Ic broad-line (BL) supernovae (SNe) form a particular class of core-collapse SNe characterized by the presence of broad absorption features (full width half maximum ≥10 000 km s⁻¹) and extreme expansion velocities (vexp ~ 20000 km s⁻¹) at the maximum of the SN emission (Modjaz et al. 2016; Gal-Yam et al. 2017). The lack of hydrogen and helium in their spectra imply that their progenitors are compact massive stars, likely Wolf-Rayet stars with initial masses M ≈ 25–30 M☉ (Woosley & Bloom 2006) and generally characterized by low metallicity abundances (Sanders et al. 2012; Taddia et al. 2019). SNe Ic-BL are also the only flavor of SNe connected with gamma-ray bursts (GRB, Galama et al. 1998; Hjorth et al. 2003; Stanek et al. 2003; Kaneko et al. 2007), although the fraction of SNe Ic-BL associated with GRBs is only ≈10% of the entire population of SNe Ic-BL (Soderberg et al. 2006; Guetta & Della Valle 2007; Cano et al. 2017). Lack of GRB emission may be due to the presence of jet emission that is not aligned with our line of sight, given that GRB jets have average collimation angles of θ ≤ 10 deg (Frail et al. 2001; Kumar & Zhang 2015). Another possibility is that the jet is not sufficiently fed by the inner central engine such that it fails to break out of the progenitor star (the “choked-jet” scenario; MacFadyen et al. 2001; Ramirez-Ruiz et al. 2002; Lazzati et al. 2012). Extended surveys at radio frequencies have excluded the presence of off-axis jet emission in samples of SNe Ic-BL without an associated GRB (Soderberg et al. 2006; Corsi et al. 2016), although more recently for the case of the Ic-BL SN 2014ad (Stevance et al. 2017; Sahu et al. 2018) an off-axis angle of θ ≥ 30 deg could not be ruled out (Marongiu et al. 2019, but see also Ho et al. 2020a).

In the standard GRB scenario, the jet is highly collimated when it breaks out of the progenitor star. The jet opening angle is expected to be inversely related to the bulk Lorentz factor Γ of the expanding radiating plasma. This has been confirmed in theoretical (Tchekhovskoy et al. 2009; Komissarov et al. 2010) and population synthesis simulations (Chirlanda et al. 2013). However, as the jet expands in the circumburst medium, it decelerates due to the interaction with the medium, implying a decrease in Γ and a consequent increase in the jet beaming angle (Rhoads 1997). Depending on the initial direction of the jet axis, the beaming angle of the jet will reach our line of sight at later times, which is when the afterglow starts to become visible as weak and soft X-ray emission (the “orphan” GRB afterglow; Granot et al. 2002; Kumar & Granot 2003; Piran 2005). The early interaction of the jet with the dense stellar layers of the progenitor star also gives rise to an expanding “cocoon” of material that spreads laterally with respect to the direction of the collimated jet emission (Mészáros & Rees 2001). The jet deposits a
considerable amount of kinetic energy into the cocoon, which expands at mildly relativistic velocities once it breaks out of the progenitor star (Ramirez-Ruiz et al. 2002; Bromberg et al. 2011a). A choked jet, which fails to escape its progenitor star, transfers all its energy into the cocoon component (Bromberg et al. 2011b; 2012; Irwin et al. 2019). The cocoon emission is expected to be detectable a few hours after the collapse of the progenitor star (Ramirez-Ruiz et al. 2002), and once it breaks out it starts to expand into the interstellar medium. The main observational signatures for this emission are rapid ($t \approx 2–3$ days) cooling, hot thermal emission, and the presence of high-velocity absorption features of Fe-peak elements in the optical spectra (Nakar & Piran 2017; Izzo et al. 2019). Similarly, the detection of young SNe Ic-BL can also be used to pinpoint orphan GRB afterglows, in particular at X-ray energies.

In this Letter we present the first case of an off-axis GRB discovered via its associated SN\textsuperscript{1}. SN 2020bvc (or ASASSN-20bs) is a type Ic-BL SN recently discovered by the ASAS-SN survey (Shappee et al. 2014) in the nearby ($d = 120$ Mpc) galaxy UGC 9379. SN 2020bvc was discovered on February 4.6 2020 UT as a blue ($g' = 17$ mag) rising source (Stanek 2020). The most stringent upper limit ($g' = 18.6$ mag) provided by the ASAS-SN survey was obtained on February 3.6 UT, one day prior to the discovery. Spectral observations taken with the FLOYDS spectrograph at the Faulkes-North Telescope on February 5.54 UT suggested a blue featureless continuum (Hiramatsu et al. 2015; Chakrabarti et al. 2015). Similarly, the detection of young SNe Ic-BL can also be used to pinpoint orphan GRB afterglows, in particular at X-ray energies.

We observed SN 2020bvc with the AFOSC spectrograph, mounted on the 1.82-meter telescope of the Asiago Cima Ekar Observatory on February 28.95 UT. A series of $3 \times 900$ s spectra was obtained for the SN and a single spectrum for a spectrophotometric standard for the flux calibration. We reduced the data using the standard IRAF (Tody et al. 1986) procedure for long-slit spectra. The final calibrated spectrum is shown in Fig. 1, where we show the comparison with GRB-SNe 1998bw, 2003dh and 2006aj at similar epochs. The strong similarity between them confirms the type Ic-BL nature of SN 2020bvc. The position of SN 2020bvc is very close to a bright H ii region of its host galaxy, UGC 9379 (Fig. 1).

We extracted the Swift optical/UV light curves using a 5 arcsec aperture and the Swift analysis program UVOTSOURCE. We used a sky region of 20 arcsec radius to estimate and subtract the sky background. The UVOT magnitudes were derived assuming the most recent UVOT calibration (Breeveld et al. 2010). We did not attempt host galaxy subtraction for the Swift light curves, but the UVOT data were corrected for the Galactic extinction in the direction of SN 2020bvc, $E(B-V) = 0.01$ mag (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011), using a Fitzpatrick (1999) dust extinction function. Simultaneously, SN 2020bvc was observed using the X-Ray Telescope (XRT) on board Swift. All observations were analyzed using xrtpipeline version 0.13.2, and the standard filters and screening, as suggested by the Swift data reduction guide\textsuperscript{2} and the most recent calibration database

\textsuperscript{1} For GRB 020410, Levan et al. (2005) used late-time (28 days) Hubble Space Telescope observations of a SN lightcurve bump to identify the GRB optical afterglow in early optical data. Similarly, for FIRST J141918.9+394036, Marcote et al. (2019) presented evidence for an off-axis afterglow on the basis of the radio emission alone.

\textsuperscript{2} https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/analysis/xrt_swguide_vl_2.pdf

---

Fig. 1. (a) Host galaxy of SN 2020bvc, UGC 9379, as imaged by the Pan-STARRS PS1 telescope. The image was created using the $g', i'$, and $z'$ images as single channels of an RGB image. The cyan cross gives the position of SN 2020bvc, offset 18″ from the nucleus of its host galaxy. (b, c) CXO (upper panel) and Swift-XRT (lower panel) count map image superimposed on the contours of the PS1 i-band archival image of UGC 9379. The CXO image has better spatial resolution, showing the proximity of SN 2020bvc to the H ii region. (d) Spectrum of SN 2020bvc obtained with the AFOSC spectrograph at the Cima Ekar observatory in Asiago, Italy (black curve), on February 28, 2020, i.e., 24 days after the discovery of the SN, and compared with the spectra of three GRB SNe (arbitrarily offset) at similar epochs: SN 1998bw (blue: day 28, Galama et al. 1998), SN 2003dh (red: 28 days, Hjorth et al. 2003) and SN 2006aj (green: day 19, Sollerman et al. 2006).
SN explosion, respectively). We found that these SEDs can be fitted with a thermal component with the blackbody temperature varying from $T_{1,0} = 12\,300\,\text{K}$ to $T_{4,3} = 6100\,\text{K}$ (see the complete analysis in Appendix B). The radius of the thermal emitter rapidly evolves from $R_{1,0} = (1.42 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{10}\,\text{km}$ to $R_{4,3} = (4.43 \pm 1.59) \times 10^{10}\,\text{km}$, similarly to the SN 2017iuk case (Izzo et al. 2019).

We also analyzed the FLOYDS and the SPRAT optical spectra, available from WISEREP\(^5\). The FLOYDS spectrum reveals the presence of broad absorption centered at 4300 Å and 6900 Å. We interpret these as the multiplet 42 of Fe II and the near-IR Ca II triplet at an expanding blueshifted velocity of $v_{\text{exp},1} \approx 70\,000\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ (see Fig. 3). These features remain visible in the later SPRAT spectrum, but with a lower expansion velocity of $v_{\text{exp},2} \approx 35\,000\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$. The SPRAT spectrum also shows signatures of O I 7775 Å and the possible presence of Si II 6355 Å (absent in the earlier FLOYDS spectrum) at similar velocities.

Finally, from the analysis of the nebular emission lines visible in the Asiago spectrum, which originate from the gas surrounding the SN, we inferred the physical properties of the gas itself (see also Appendix A). We find an extinction consistent with zero, suggesting that the SN is in front of the bright underlying H II region. Moreover, using emission line indicators useful for estimating the star formation rate (SFR; Kennicutt et al. 1994) and the metallicity of the gas (i.e., the O3N2 and the N2 indices; Marino et al. 2013), we find a SFR of 0.08 $M_\odot$ per year and a metallicity of $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.16 \pm 0.18$. This value is consistent with the those inferred for GRB-SN host galaxies, $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.22 \pm 0.06$ (Japelj et al. 2018; Modjaz et al. 2020).

4. Discussion

There is a striking analogy with the case of SN 2017iuk, where an early blackbody component, characterized by high-velocity absorption lines in the optical spectra, was attributed to the presence of a cocoons component originating from the jet giving rise to GRB 171205A (Izzo et al. 2019). By comparison with SN 2017iuk, we can estimate the epoch of the collapse of the massive star associated with SN 2020bvc. Considering the observed value of $v_{\text{exp},1} \approx 70\,000\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ on Feb 5.54 UT and the evolution of the velocity in the cocoons of SN 2017iuk, we estimate the core collapse to have happened $\approx 1.5 \pm 0.1$ days before the epoch of the FLOYDS spectrum, which results in the epoch of Feb 4.0 UT ($\approx 0.1$ days) as the trigger time (Day 0) of the SN explosion.

X-ray emission from SN 2020bvc was not detected by the Swift-XRT in the first two days after the SN explosion: we report only an upper limit of $F_X < 3.23 \times 10^{-12}\,\text{erg}\,\text{cm}^{-2}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ at the position of the SN. An increase in the X-ray emission was detected approximately three days after the discovery of the supernova. After the detection, the X-ray emission dropped following a power-law decay with a decay index $\alpha = 1.35 \pm 0.09$, which is consistent with the typical behavior observed in the late X-ray afterglows of GRBs (Willingale et al. 2007). The lack of a sufficient number of counts in the available X-ray observations prevents us from performing a detailed spectral analysis. However, we derive the hardness ratio $HR = (H - S)/(H + S)$, where $S$ and $H$ are the number of counts in the (0.3–2) keV and (2–10) keV energy ranges, respectively (see Table C.3). A weighted average of the HR values gives $HR = -0.66 \pm 0.15$, indicating a soft spectrum consistent with a photon index $1.9 < 

---

3 A 10 arcsec radius corresponds to an encircled energy fraction of ~55% at 1.5 keV assuming on-axis pointing (Moretti et al. 2004).

4 https://cxc.harvard.edu/proposer/P06/html/chap4.html

5 https://wiserep.weizmann.ac.il/
Fig. 3. Left panel: FLOYDS spectrum of SN 2020bvc observed one day after the SN discovery and centered on three different atomic transitions (Fe II 5169, Si II 6355, and Ca II 8490). The gray band indicates the presence of an absorption feature at $v_{\text{exp}} \approx 70,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, common to Fe II and Ca II, while it is not observed for Si II. Right panel: SPRAT spectrum of SN 2020bvc observed three days after the SN discovery. The red spectrum is centered on the O I 8446 line, with the position of the features indicated for a velocity of $v_{\text{exp}} \approx 35,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, common to Fe II and O I and barely discernible for the Si II line.

$\gamma < 2.1$, where $N(v) \propto v^{-\gamma}$.$^6$ The soft spectrum excludes a large amount of absorption, typical of interacting SNe (like that seen in Type IIb SN2010jl; Chandra et al. 2012).

We can exclude that the X-rays are associated with emission from a choked jet; in this scenario, the cocoon is composed of the shocked stellar material that expands almost isotropically after breaking out from the progenitor star (Nakar & Piran 2017). The observed emission peaks at ~1 day, assuming an expansion velocity of ~0.1$c$, and then promptly decreases, similarly to the early cooling envelope emission reported for some SNe (Nakar 2015). Instead, we have compared the total X-ray emission with simulations of an off-axis GRB afterglow light curve with the jet propagating in a stratified external medium (Granot et al. 2018, see also Fig. 5). We have found a reasonable match with the results obtained for a jet propagating at an off-axis angle of $\theta \approx 23$deg within an external density profile described by a power-law density distribution as a function of the distance from the GRB progenitor $R^{-\delta}$ with the density power-law index $k = 1.5$, after re-scaling the theoretical curve for the distance of SN 2020bvc ($d = 120 \text{ Mpc}$). We conclude that the X-ray emission is roughly consistent with the off-axis emission of a typical GRB with a jet energy $4 \times 10^{50} \text{ erg}$, and microphysical parameters $e_c = 6.2 \times 10^3$, which is consistent with the behavior observed for GRB afterglows at late times (see, e.g., Kangas et al. 2020) and it is also consistent with the radio SED presented in Fig. 5 in Ho et al. (2020c). Alternatively, $\nu_{\text{radio}} < \nu_m < \nu_e < \nu_X^{\text{ray}},$ in which case we cannot set strong constraints on the value of $p$, although the evolution of the slow-cooling synchrotron spectrum would suggest a delayed peak in the radio emission. This last scenario opens the possibility that the early radio emission is contaminated by another component, which could be the tail of the radio cocoon emission (De Colle et al. 2018a). We also note that a good fit can be obtained with a less stratified environment and smaller observing angles (an $R^{-2}$ medium is not the best choice for our models; a more detailed analysis will be presented in a future publication).

X-ray emission from the cocoon of a successful GRB is expected to peak a few hundred seconds after the explosion, and then to decay quickly (De Colle et al. 2018a), similarly to what is seen in SN 2017iuk (Izzo et al. 2019), thus not representing an important contribution in the emission on the observed timescale ($\approx$days).

In Fig. 5 we compare the X-ray luminosity of SN 2020bvc with X-ray observations of low-luminosity GRB/SN, including SN 1998bw associated with GRB 980425 (Galama et al. 1998) and SN 2006 associated with GRB 060218 (Campana et al. 2006), and other SNe Ic-BL. In the sample shown in Fig. 5, SN 2020bvc is one of the brightest SNe Ic-BL observed in X-rays, similar to the luminosity of SN 1998bw, but is about one order of magnitude fainter than SN 2006aj. In this SN, the X-rays originate from a mildly-relativistic shock breakout whose luminosity and duration depend on the breakout radius $r_{\text{SBO}}$ (Waxman et al. 2007; Nakar 2015). For typical values of $r_{\text{SBO}} \leq 10^{13} \text{ cm}$ we should have observed an X-ray emission a few hours after the core collapse, so the lack of an X-ray detection in the first two days of the SN emission is not easily explained in the low-luminosity GRB scenario. We note that SN 2002ap was observed at similar epochs to those of SN 2020bvc, but with a much lower luminosity ($\approx$three orders of magnitude). This was attributed to emission from shocked circumstellar matter (Soria & Kong 2002). We also note that the detection of SN 2009bb and PTF11cjd could be consistent with the simulations of an off-axis GRB afterglow (De Colle et al. 2018b).

Radio observations of SN 2009bb have indeed demonstrated the

---

$^6$ To show this we simulated a series of XRT spectra varying the photon index $\gamma$ between 1.5 and 2.5 and the normalization to reproduce the observed X-ray fluxes, and assuming a Galactic and local absorption of $N(H) = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. 
presence of a bright radio afterglow, consistent with the emission from a relativistic outflow (Soderberg et al. 2010). The jet-cocoon emission and the X-ray afterglow suggest the presence of an off-axis collimated outflow in SN 2006aj, which was responsible for the very early optical emission and for the observed behavior of the X-ray light curve. We searched the Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) and the INTEGRAL archives for a possible gamma-ray counterpart detected by these instruments. At the expected time of the core collapse of SN 2006aj (February 4.0 ± 0.1) we found only a sub-threshold Fermi-GBM short burst event detected on February 3.8 UT at RA, Dec = (261.220, −45.310), which is 93.71 degrees from the position of SN 2006aj, much larger than the error radius of the GRB (r = 6.91 degrees). We also checked the Swift AFST log of observations 7 at the time of the SN core collapse. Swift was pointing at several targets in this time interval, and the position of SN 2006aj was partially covered from February 3.96 UT to February 4.08 UT, with a gap between February 4.02 and 4.04 UT. No high-energy triggers were reported by the Burst Alert Detector on board Swift. This is not surprising as the beaming angle for the gamma-ray emission is expected to be far smaller.

The analysis of the emission lines in the late spectrum of SN 2006aj suggests a low-metallicity environment at the SN location, which is thought to be a key ingredient for the Collapsar scenario (Woosley & Bloom 2006). This is because stellar evolution at low metallicities takes place with a significantly reduced mass-loss rate during the short lifetime of the pre-SN progenitor star (Maeder & Meynet 2001) and implies faster rotating Fe cores (Izzard et al. 2004), which are expected to form jets in broad-line core-collapse SN explosions. We then conclude that SN 2006aj represents the first orphan GRB afterglow detected through its associated SN emission, and gives further credence to the idea that a wide variety of burst phenomenology from X-ray flashes (Granot et al. 2005; Urita et al. 2015) to a fraction of low-luminosity GRBs (Ramirez-Ruiz et al. 2005)

---

7 https://www.swift.edu/operations/obsSchedule.php

---

Fig. 4. Multi-wavelength SED computed at Day 12.7 obtained using CXO data (blue data points: this paper) and the VLA radio observations (red data points: Ho et al. 2020c). We also included the ZTF and r data points, computed for the same epoch from interpolation of the ZTF light curve. X-ray and radio data are clearly explained within the slow-cooling afterglow scenario (black line) (Sari et al. 1998; Granot et al. 2002), with a cooling-break frequency at ν = 6.3 × 10^17 Hz. The dashed gray line shows the fit results using a single power-law segment with p = 2.08. The optical data are well above the afterglow model due to the presence of the bright SN 2006aj.

---

Fig. 5. Monochromatic (ν = 2.42 × 10^17 Hz) luminosity evolution of SN 2006aj in the first 16 days. The dashed lines represent simulations of an off-axis (θ = 23 deg) X-ray afterglow characterized by a power-law circumburst density distribution ρ ∝ R^−k, with index k = 2.0 (orange), k = 1.5 (blue), and k = 0 (green). The X-ray data and upper limits of SNe Ic-BL, including SN 1998bw associated with GRB 980419, reported with squares and triangles, respectively, are from Margutti et al. (2014) and have been corrected for the (0.1–10 keV) energy range, assuming a power-law spectral model with photon index γ = 2. Also shown are the light curve of SN 2006aj associated with GRB 060218 (Campana et al. 2006).

---
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Appendix A: Local environment of SN 2020bvc

Table A.1. Observed fluxes for the nebular emission lines measured in the Asiago spectrum of SN 2020bvc.

| Line     | Flux (10^{-15} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}) | EW (Å) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Hγ       | 1.20 ± 0.19                      | 4.42 ± 1.58 |
| Hδ       | 2.42 ± 0.38                      | 10.9 ± 2.48 |
| [O III] 5007 | 7.28±1.14                  | 23.64 ± 3.64 |
| Hα       | 6.98 ± 1.09                      | 18.9 ± 3.2  |
| [N II] 6584 | 0.37 ± 0.05                  | 0.58 ± 0.37  |

We investigated the physical properties of the gas surrounding the location of SN 2020bvc from an analysis of the nebular emission lines visible in the spectrum. We clearly detect Balmer lines (Hα, Hδ, Hγ), the [O III] 4959,5007 Å lines, and a very faint [N II] 6584 Å line. We report the fluxes of these lines in Table A.1. From an analysis of the Balmer lines we estimated the local extinction using the Balmer decrement ratio. The observed ratio \((Hα/Hδ)\)_{obs} = 2.88 ± 0.22 is consistent with the theoretical value of \((Hα/Hδ)\)_{th} = 2.86 obtained from a typical H II temperature of \(T = 10000\) K and an electron density \(n_e = 10^3\) cm^{-3} for Case B recombination (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). This suggests that the SN is located in front of the bright H II region in UGC 9379 and, in particular, it suggests a local extinction consistent with zero: \(E(B − V)_{\text{ext}} = 0.01 ± 0.01\) mag. From the luminosity of the Hα line we estimated the SFR using the Kennicutt et al. (1994) formulation; from an inferred luminosity of \(L = 1.08 × 10^{40}\) erg s^{-1}, we obtain a SFR of 0.08 M⊙ per year. Finally, we estimated the gas metallicity using the emission line ratio indicators N2 and O3N2 in the formulation provided by Marino et al. (2013). Using the values reported in Table A.1, we obtain \(12 + \log(O/H) = 8.16 ± 0.18\) using the O3N2 indicator and \(12 + \log(O/H) = 8.36 ± 0.16\) using the N2 indicator. The values obtained from the N2 and O3N2 indicators are consistent within their uncertainties. If we use the O3N2 value, the metallicity inferred for the SN 2020bvc environment is consistent with the value inferred for GRB-SNe host galaxies, \(12 + \log(O/H) = 8.22 ± 0.06\) (Japelj et al. 2018; Modjaz et al. 2020).

Appendix B: SED analysis

Table B.1. Best-fit results obtained for the SEDs built using Swift and ZTF data on MJD = 58884.0 and MJD = 58887.3.

| Epoch (MJD) | kT (eV) | Norm. \((10^{-4} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2})\) | Radius \((10^{15} \text{ cm})\) |
|-------------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 58884.0     | 1.23 ± 0.70 | 4.15 ± 2.63                      | 1.42 ± 0.56     |
| 58887.3     | 0.61 ± 0.38 | 2.39 ± 1.32                      | 4.43 ± 1.59     |

We used the Swift-UVOT observations to build two SEDs at the earliest epochs in order to constrain the temperature and radius of the thermal emitter observed in the first days of the SN 2020bvc emission. We also included the \(g\)- and \(r\)-band ZTF observations that are closest in time to each SED epoch in order to extend the UV and optical wavelength range from \(\sim 2000\) Å to \(\sim 7000\) Å. We used Swift data observed at MJD = 58884.0 and MJD = 58887.3 (see also Table C.1) that have been complemented with ZTF data observed on MJD = 58884.5 and MJD = 58887.5, respectively (see also Table C.2). The two datasets were fit using a blackbody model and two absorption models that take into account the Galactic interstellar reddening \((E(B − V) = 0.039\) mag) and an additional model that corrects for the dust grain extinction in the host galaxy, with the \(E(B − V)\) parameter fixed to the value of 0.01 mag obtained from the Balmer decrement ratio analysis. For both models we used a Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction law. The fits were obtained using XSPEC (Arnaud 1996) and the results are shown in Table B.1 (see also Fig. B.1). The normalization term is proportional to the luminosity of the thermal component, from which we estimated the radius \(R\) of the emitter using the equation relating the luminosity from a blackbody with its radius and temperature \(T\),

\[
L = 4\pi R^2 \sigma T^4, \tag{B.1}
\]

with \(\sigma\) being the Stefan–Boltzmann constant. We assume a distance to SN 2020bvc of \(d = 120\) Mpc.

Fig. B.1. Swift and ZTF data of SN 2020bvc obtained on MJD = 58884.0 (left panel) and MJD = 58887.3 (right panel) with the best-fit results obtained using an absorbed blackbody model (see text).
Table C.1. Swift-UVOT data.

| Filter | Epoch (MJD) | Magnitude (mag) | Error (mag) |
|--------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| UVOT-V | 58884.0     | 17.18           | 0.22        |
| UVOT-V | 58885.6     | 17.12           | 0.22        |
| UVOT-V | 58886.5     | 17.47           | 0.26        |
| UVOT-V | 58887.3     | 17.24           | 0.25        |
| UVOT-V | 58888.9     | 17.13           | 0.22        |
| UVOT-V | 58891.6     | 16.59           | 0.14        |
| UVOT-V | 58893.5     | 16.40           | 0.14        |
| UVOT-V | 58895.0     | 16.25           | 0.17        |
| UVOT-B | 58884.0     | 17.22           | 0.15        |
| UVOT-B | 58885.6     | 17.45           | 0.17        |
| UVOT-B | 58886.5     | 17.63           | 0.18        |
| UVOT-B | 58887.3     | 17.48           | 0.18        |
| UVOT-B | 58888.9     | 17.02           | 0.14        |
| UVOT-B | 58891.6     | 16.93           | 0.12        |
| UVOT-B | 58893.5     | 17.01           | 0.13        |
| UVOT-B | 58895.0     | 17.34           | 0.17        |
| UVOT-U | 58884.0     | 17.12           | 0.14        |
| UVOT-U | 58884.5     | 18.27           | 0.21        |
| UVOT-U | 58885.6     | 18.18           | 0.2         |
| UVOT-U | 58886.5     | 17.96           | 0.19        |
| UVOT-U | 58888.9     | 18.19           | 0.2         |
| UVOT-U | 58891.6     | 18.03           | 0.15        |
| UVOT-U | 58893.5     | 18.20           | 0.17        |
| UVOT-U | 58895.0     | 18.22           | 0.21        |
| UVOT-W1| 58884.0     | 17.32           | 0.13        |
| UVOT-W1| 58885.6     | 19.08           | 0.24        |
| UVOT-W1| 58886.5     | 18.96           | 0.22        |
| UVOT-W1| 58887.3     | 19.25           | 0.26        |
| UVOT-W1| 58888.9     | 18.92           | 0.22        |
| UVOT-W1| 58891.6     | 19.25           | 0.19        |
| UVOT-W1| 58893.5     | 19.30           | 0.21        |
| UVOT-W1| 58895.0     | 19.34           | 0.28        |
| UVOT-M2| 58884.0     | 17.47           | 0.12        |
| UVOT-M2| 58885.6     | 19.80           | 0.25        |
| UVOT-M2| 58886.5     | 19.92           | 0.26        |
| UVOT-M2| 58887.3     | 19.86           | 0.27        |
| UVOT-M2| 58888.9     | 19.62           | 0.22        |
| UVOT-M2| 58891.6     | 20.17           | 0.22        |
| UVOT-M2| 58893.5     | 19.93           | 0.21        |
| UVOT-M2| 58895.0     | 19.71           | 0.26        |
| UVOT-W2| 58884.0     | 17.83           | 0.14        |
| UVOT-W2| 58885.6     | 19.64           | 0.26        |
| UVOT-W2| 58886.5     | 19.43           | 0.24        |
| UVOT-W2| 58887.3     | 19.82           | 0.29        |
| UVOT-W2| 58888.9     | 19.75           | 0.26        |
| UVOT-W2| 58891.6     | 19.73           | 0.21        |
| UVOT-W2| 58893.5     | 19.65           | 0.21        |
| UVOT-W2| 58895.0     | 20.39           | 0.37        |

Table C.2. Data for ZTF (Graham et al. 2019; Bellm et al. 2019) and ASAS-SN (Shappee et al. 2014).

| Filter   | Epoch (MJD) | Magnitude (mag) | Error (mag) |
|----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| ASAS-SN g| 58883.6     | >18.6           | –           |
| ASAS-SN g| 58884.1     | 17.0            | –           |
| ZTF-g    | 58884.5     | 17.33           | 0.05        |
| ZTF-g    | 58887.5     | 17.06           | 0.05        |
| ZTF-g    | 58890.6     | 16.71           | 0.05        |
| ZTF-g    | 58893.5     | 16.64           | 0.04        |
| ZTF-g    | 58898.5     | 16.86           | 0.03        |
| ZTF-g    | 58903.5     | 17.18           | 0.05        |
| ZTF-g    | 58911.4     | 17.81           | 0.06        |
| ZTF-g    | 58911.6     | 17.77           | 0.08        |
| ZTF-g    | 58914.5     | 18.03           | 0.07        |
| ZTF-g    | 58936.5     | 18.91           | 0.12        |
| ZTF-g    | 58939.5     | 18.82           | 0.20        |
| ZTF-g    | 58943.4     | 18.80           | 0.12        |
| ZTF-g    | 58944.5     | 18.94           | 0.12        |
| ZTF-r    | 58884.5     | 17.55           | 0.06        |
| ZTF-r    | 58887.5     | 17.02           | 0.04        |
| ZTF-r    | 58890.5     | 16.59           | 0.04        |
| ZTF-r    | 58898.5     | 16.36           | 0.04        |
| ZTF-r    | 58903.5     | 16.48           | 0.04        |
| ZTF-r    | 58911.5     | 16.82           | 0.04        |
| ZTF-r    | 58914.5     | 17.07           | 0.04        |
| ZTF-r    | 58936.4     | 18.15           | 0.07        |
| ZTF-r    | 58939.4     | 18.13           | 0.09        |
| ZTF-r    | 58941.4     | 18.32           | 0.09        |
| ZTF-r    | 58943.5     | 18.32           | 0.08        |

Notes. The magnitudes are not corrected for the Galactic extinction ($E(B-V)=0.01$ mag).

Table C.3. Swift-XRT and CXO data.

| Epoch (MJD) | Flux (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | Error (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | HR | Error |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|-------|
| 58884.8     | <3.23e−14                     | –                             | –  | –     |
| 58886.9     | 1.20e−13                      | 8.65e−14                      | −0.99 | 0.71|
| 58892.3     | 3.51e−14                      | 2.19e−14                      | −0.93 | 0.56|
| 58895.8     | 1.53e−14                      | 0.54e−14                      | −0.39 | 0.32|
| 58907.0     | 1.35e−14                      | 0.51e−14                      | −0.77 | 0.30|

Notes. Fluxes and corresponding uncertainties are reported in Cols. 2 and 3, while the HR values and its uncertainties are in Cols. 4 and 5.