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SUMMARY

This study included three trials: 1- A laboratory experiment included twelve treatments to study the effect of using biological treatments (yeast, fungi and bacteria) on chemical composition and fiber constituents of sugar beet pulp (SBP) and olive cake (OC) to choose the best biological treatments to be use in in vitro and in vivo experiments. 2- In vitro experiment included five treatments to study the effect of control, untreated and treated SBP and OC on in vitro nutrients disappearance, T(1): Concentrate feed mixture (CFM) + berseem hay (BH) (control), T (2): CFM contains (40% untreated SBP + 30% untreated OC) + BH, T (3): CFM contains (40% SBP + 30% OC) treated with S. cerevisiae + BH, T (4): CFM contains (40% SBP + 30% OC) treated with T. viride + BH and T (5): CFM contains (40% SBP + 30% OC) treated with C. cellulase + BH. 3- In vivo experiment to study the effect of those experiments on sheep digestibility coefficients, rumen fermentations, microbial protein, rumen microbes and some blood parameters. The results revealed a significant improvement (P≤0.01) in CP content and a significant reduction in CF and NDF content with biological treatments. Digestibility coefficients and nutritive values were increased (P≤0.01) in treated groups, also, nitrogen balance was enhanced (P≤0.01) than untreated group. Rumen fermentations and microbes showed significant increase (P≤0.01) in treated groups, Serum total protein, albumin and globulin concentrations were more in treated groups, although they reduced urea concentration, GOT and GPT activity.
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INTRODUCTION

In Egypt there is a developing tendency to increase the sugar production from beet since 1982. The annual amounts of sugar beet pulp are about 385686 tons (Statistics of ministry of agriculture, 2011). Dried sugar beet pulp is a carbohydrate rich by-product. The protein content of sugar beet pulp is considered low compared with the requirements of most ruminants and monogastric animals (Israilides et al., 1994). The crude fiber content of sugar beet pulp is considerably high and the content of fast fermentable carbohydrates and ether extract are much lower than those of high energy grains (Haaksma, 1982). The cellulose structure of sugar beet pulp is mainly amorphous, which make it easily hydrolysable and its pectin content is not covalently linked to a lignified matrix, which make it available source of readily fermentable carbohydrate to enhance the microbial biosynthesis in the rumen (Mansfield et al., 1994).

The impact of feeding dried sugar beet pulp on rumen fermentation was investigated in many studies (Mansfield et al., 1994), however, the results did not show clear trend and they were contradictory. On the other hand, the effect of feeding sugar beet pulp on rumen microbial population and microbial enzymatic activity was poorly studied.

Olive cake is the solid residue generated after extraction of oil from olive crop. The annual amounts of olive cake are about 314450 tons (Statistics of Ministry of Agriculture, 2011). Mainly, 350 kg of olive cake is produced from one ton of olive. Olive cake as an industrial by-products have low nutritive value, high fiber content and low content of protein and energy, low degradability of cell wall components (Teimouri Yansari et al., 2007), lower digestibility coefficient of nutrients and condensed tannins (Martín Garcia et al., 2003). The poor digestibility and reduce voluntary intake of low quality by-products result
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from extensive lignicafications of cell wall, so the use of biological treatments for these feeds may improve its nutritive value.

The present study aims to improve the nutrient value of sugar beet pulp and olive cake using biological treatments to replace a part of concentrates and evaluate nutrients disappearance, digestibility coefficients, rumen fermentations parameters, microbial protein, rumen microbes and some blood parameters in adult sheep.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments were carried out at Ras Sudr Experimental Research Station, Desert Research Center, located in Southern Sinai Governorate in 2018. The study included laboratory, in vitro and in vivo experiments.

Laboratory experiment:

A laboratory experiment was designed to study the effect of using biological treatments (fungal, bacterial and yeast) on chemical composition and fiber constituents of sugar beet pulp and olive cake to choose the best biological treatments to be use in in vitro and in vivo experiments. The used biological treatments were obtained from the Microbial Genetic Department, National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt. The microorganisms were maintained on agar medium composed of (g/L) yeast extract, 3.0; malt extract, 30; peptone, 5.0; sucrose 20 and agar 20.

The laboratory experiment was designed as follow:

T (1): Untreated sugar beet pulp (SBP). T (2): SBP inoculated with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. T (3): SBP inoculated with Trichoderma viride. T (4): SBP inoculated with Asarglus orsa. T (5): SBP inoculated with Cellulomonas cellulasea.

T (6): SBP inoculated with Acetobacter xylinum. T (7): Untreated olive cake (OC).

T (8): OC inoculated with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. T (9): OC inoculated with Trichoderma viride. T (10): OC inoculated with Asarglus orsa. T (11): OC inoculated with Cellulomonas cellulasea. T (12): OC inoculated with Acetobacter xylinum.

Amount of 200 g of each air-dried SBP or OC were moistened for 60 % moisture and inoculated with the biological treatments for 14 days at 30 ± 2 °C. The used fungi, bacterial and yeast were added by ratio of 1.5 ml media to 100 g ration plus 10 % molasses solution from the dry matter. Moisture was kept at 60%. At the end of inoculation periods samples were oven dried at 70 °C. Product recovery (PR) was calculated according to Nigam (1994).

In vitro experiment:

This experiment was designed to study the effect of control, untreated and the best biologically treated sugar beet pulp and olive cake (fungal, bacterial and yeast) according to the results of chemical composition and fiber constituents obtained from laboratory experiment on in vitro nutrients disappearance. Five experiments were carried out as follow:

T (1): Concentrate feed mixture (CFM) + berseem hay (BH) (control).

T (2): CFM (contains 40% untreated SBP + 30% untreated OC) + BH.

T (3): CFM (contains 40% SBP + 30% OC treated with S. cerevisiae) + BH.

T (4): CFM (contains 40% SBP + 30% OC treated with T. viride) + BH.

T (5): CFM (contains 40% SBP + 30% OC treated with C. cellulasea) + BH.

The concentrate feed mixture for control (T 1) consisted of yellow corn (55%), wheat bran (20%), soya bean meal (15%), molasses (5%), limestone (3%), salt (1.5%) and minerals premix (0.5%), while the concentrate feed mixture for untreated (T2) and the other treatments (T3, T4 and T5) consisted of yellow corn (20%), SBP (40%), OC (30%), molasses (5%), limestone (3%), salt (1.5%) and minerals premix (0.5%). The ratio of CFM to BH was 60%: 40% in all treatments.

Ruminal contents were collected, two hours post feeding from six male sheep fed CFM and good quality berseem hay. Collected rumen liquor was kept warm in plastic jug (39°C), strained through two
layers of cheese cloth and mixed with urea-buffer under the lab conditions for in vitro studies. The ruminal fluid incubated with the samples of the five treatments, three tubes as replicates for each sample were incubated for 24 hours to estimated dry matter, organic matter and other nutrients disappearance according to the method described by Norris (1976).

**In vivo experiment:**

The objective of this experiment was to study the effect of feeding control, untreated and biologically treated SBP and OC (fungal, bacterial and yeast) on digestibility coefficients, rumen fermentation parameters, microbial protein, protozoa count, total numbers of bacteria, and cellulolytic bacteria number and blood parameters for Barki male sheep.

The same five experiments were carried out in the in vitro experiment were used in the in vivo experiment. The experiment was lasted for 50 days. Twenty adult Barki male sheep (four animals for each treatment) were fed on control, untreated and biologically treated SBP and OC for 30 days as a palatability and adaptation period for treatments. Then rams were placed in metabolic cages for 20 days from which the first 15 days were considered as an adaptation and preliminary period, followed by 5 days as collection period. Over the collection period, daily amount of feed consumed, residuals, feces and urine were estimated for each animal. Rams weighted at the start and the end of the trial.

**Proximate analysis:**

The proximate analysis for feeds, feces and urine were determined according to AOAC (1995). Neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) were determined according to the procedures of Van Soest (1994).

**Determination of second metabolites compounds:**

Approximately 200 mg (DM) of ground samples of dried SBP and OC were extracted in 10 ml of aqueous acetone (7:3 v/v) in a water bath maintained at 39-40°C for 90 min (Makkar, 2000). Condensed tannins (CT) were determined according to Porter et al. (1986). Saponins (SAP) were extracted and isolated according to Ahmad et al. (1990). Alkaloids (ALK) were determined according to Arambewela and Ranatunge (1991). Flavonoids (FLA) determination done according to Boham and Kocipai (1994).

**Rumen liquor parameters:**

Rumen liquor samples were obtained at 0, 2, 4 and 6 hours post feeding, pH was immediately measured using a digital pH meter. Ammonia nitrogen, total nitrogen and non-protein nitrogen concentrations were determined according to AOAC (1995), while true protein nitrogen was calculated by subtracting the non-protein nitrogen content from total nitrogen content. The total volatile fatty acids (TVFA’s) were determined according to Warner (1964). Number of ruminal ciliate protozoa was determined as described by Ogimoto and Imai (1981). Identification of genera and species was according to the description published by Dehority (1993). Dilution series were prepared under O2-free CO2 by the anaerobic method of Bryant (1972) using the anaerobic dilutes described by Mann (1968) to determine total number of bacteria and cellulolytic bacteria number.

**Blood sampling:**

Blood samples were collected via jugular vein from each dietary treatment just before morning feeding and 4 hours post-feeding. Blood samples were left to coagulate at room temperature, then centrifuged at 4000 turn for 15 minute to separate serum and kept it frozen at -20°C till analyses for the total protein according to method of Armstrong and Carr (1964), albumin according to Doumas and Biggs (1971), globulin was calculated by subtracting the albumin from total protein, urea according to Patton and Crouch (1977) and GOT and GPT according to Wikison et al. (1972).

**Statistical analysis:**

The data was statistically analyzed according to statistical analysis system of SAS (2004), the data of chemical composition and cell wall constituents analysis (two replicates of samples for each item were used), nutrients disappearance, digestibility coefficients and nitrogen utilization were analyzed by one-way analysis and the model was: Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + I_j + e_{ij}.

The used design for rumen fermentations, rumen microbes and blood parameters was two-way analysis, the model was: Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + I_j + T_Ii_j + e_{ij}

Where: Y_{ij} = experimental observation, \mu = general mean, T_i = effect of treatment (i = 1:5), I_j = effect of time of sampling (j = 0, 2, 4 and 6), T_Ii_j =effect of interaction of treatment and time of sampling and e_{ij} = experimental error.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Laboratorial experiment:

Chemical composition and cell wall constituents:

Data of Table (1) and (2) showed that yeast, fungus and bacterial treatments significantly (P≤0.01) improved chemical composition and cell wall constituents of SBP and OC comparative with untreated. Treatments with S. cerevisiae, T. viride and C. cellulasea were the greatest (P≤0.1) content of DM, OM, EE and CP for SBP and OC. Whereas they were the lowest (P≤0.01) content of ash, CF, NFE, NFC, NDF, ADF, ADL, cellulose and hemicellulose for the two by-products. Treatment with S. cerevisiae was the best one for SBP, while treatment with T. viride was the best one for OC. Yeast, fungus and bacterial treatments increased CP content for treated SBP and OC more than untreated SBP and OC, the increase was about 11.65, 11.05, 10.9, 9.85 and 7.69 for T2, T3, T5, T6 and T4; respectively for SBP more than USBP (T1) and about 2.66, 2.30, 2.07, 1.32 and 0.83 for T9, T8, T10, T11 and T12; respectively for OC more than UOC (T7).

| Table (1): Effect of treatments on chemical composition, cell wall constituents and product recovery (%) of sugar beet pulp during laboratorial experiment. |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Item                          | Treatment  |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| DM                            | T1         | T2       | T3       | T4       | T5       | T6       | ±SE      |
| DM                            | 91.10d     | 93.00a   | 92.90b   | 92.20c   | 92.82e   | 92.66d   | 0.025    |
| OM                            | 90.60c     | 92.90a   | 92.80b   | 92.10d   | 92.91a   | 92.75b   | 0.025    |
| Ash                           | 9.40a      | 7.10d    | 7.20c    | 7.90b    | 7.09d    | 7.25c    | 0.025    |
| EE                            | 1.18c      | 2.24a    | 2.10b    | 1.78d    | 2.08b    | 1.91c    | 0.016    |
| CP                            | 9.20f      | 20.85a   | 20.25b   | 16.89e   | 20.10c   | 19.05d   | 0.021    |
| CF                            | 24.40a     | 19.98d   | 19.98d   | 21.04b   | 20.02d   | 20.18c   | 0.023    |
| NFE                           | 55.82a     | 50.08e   | 50.47d   | 52.39b   | 50.71d   | 51.61c   | 0.022    |
| NFC                           | 19.80a     | 15.77c   | 16.33d   | 17.08b   | 16.53c   | 16.59e   | 0.021    |
| Cell wall constituents%       |            |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| NDF                           | 60.42a     | 54.04c   | 54.12d   | 56.35b   | 54.20c   | 55.20e   | 0.023    |
| ADF                           | 29.05a     | 24.40c   | 24.95d   | 26.10b   | 25.06d   | 25.92c   | 0.061    |
| ADL                           | 2.84a      | 1.95d    | 2.00d    | 2.32b    | 2.15b    | 2.18e    | 0.015    |
| Hemicellulose                 | 31.37a     | 29.64c   | 29.17e   | 30.25b   | 29.14c   | 29.28d   | 0.061    |
| Cellulose                     | 26.21a     | 22.45c   | 22.95b   | 23.78b   | 22.91c   | 23.74b   | 0.052    |
| Product Recovery%             |            |          |          |          |          |          | 0.034    |

Means with different letters with each column are significantly different (P≤0.01).
T (1): untreated SBP. T (2): SBP inoculated with S. cerevisiae. T (3): SBP inoculated with T. viride. T (4): SBP inoculated with A. orsa. T (5): SBP inoculated with C. cellulasea and T (6): SBP inoculated with A. xylinum.

The lowest content of cellulose and hemicellulose for treated SBP and OC refers to that biological treatments decreased CF content and cell wall constituents (NDF, ADF and ADL), the difference between USBP and other treatments in CF content was 4.42 for T2 and T3; 3.36 for T4; 4.38 for T5 and 4.22 for T6, while the decrease in CF content was about 10.01, 7.92, 7.05, 5.07 and 1.77 for T9, T8, T10, T11 and T12; respectively for treated OC than UOC. This decrease probably due to breaking off gross linkage between lignin and cell wall component and solubilizing of cell wall contents (mainly hemicellulose). The best (P≤0.01) product recovery was for T. viride followed by S. cerevisiae then C. cellulasea, while the lowest (P≤0.01) product recovery was for A. xylinum followed by A. orsa.

As for second metabolites compounds, the data of Table (3) showed that USBP and biological treated SBP didn’t contain any condensed tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids and saponins, while UOC had a considerable content of them but it didn’t contain any saponins. Biological treatments for OC significantly (P≤0.01) decreased second metabolites compounds content T. viride (T9) had the most decrease. Condensed tannins decreased by 83.15, 81.05, 78.95 and 76.84%; respectively for T9, T8 and
T10, T11 and T12. Alkaloids decreased by 76.09, 65.22, 59.18, 58.69 and 54.35%. Flavonoids decreased by 65.44, 59.56, 47.79, 38.34 and 38.23%, respectively for T9, T8 and T10, T11 and T12. The content of condensed tannins in OC in the present study are slightly more than those obtained by Abdou (2017) but much less than those obtained by Salama (2013) who reported 11.09% as a level of total tannins. Chemical composition of OC varied according to type of OC, oil extraction and stage of maturity of the fruit.

Table (2): Effect of treatments on chemical composition, cell wall constituents and product recovery (%) of olive cake during laboratorial experiment.

| Item %   | Treatment | T7 | T8 | T9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | ±SE |
|---------|-----------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| DM      |           | 92.53±a | 96.24±a | 96.28±a | 95.30±b | 93.82±c | 93.50±d | 0.025 |
| OM      |           | 86.54±a | 88.14±a | 87.83±b | 87.60±c | 87.34±c | 86.88±d | 0.025 |
| Ash     |           | 13.46±a | 11.86±a | 12.17±e | 12.40±d | 12.66±c | 13.12±b | 0.025 |
| EE      |           | 7.34±c  | 8.73±a  | 8.76±a  | 8.56±b  | 8.41±e  | 8.34±d  | 0.016 |
| CP      |           | 6.88±f  | 9.18±b  | 9.54±a  | 8.95±e  | 8.20±c  | 7.71±e  | 0.021 |
| CF      |           | 24.27±a | 16.35±a | 14.26±f | 17.22±d | 19.20±b | 22.50±b | 0.023 |
| NFE     |           | 48.05±f | 53.88±b | 55.27±a | 52.87±c | 51.53±d | 48.33±c | 0.022 |
| NFC     |           | 10.02±d | 12.69±b | 17.03±a | 12.27±a | 11.78±d | 11.01±b | 0.021 |

| Cell wall constituents |          |
|------------------------|----------|
| NDF       | 62.30±a | 57.54±a | 52.50±d | 57.82±d | 58.95±c | 59.82±b | 0.023 |
| ADF       | 48.70±b | 45.87±b | 40.70±e | 46.64±d | 47.12±c | 47.50±b | 0.061 |
| ADL       | 36.30±b | 24.46±b | 22.45±d | 24.87±d | 28.62±d | 30.12±b | 0.015 |
| Hemicellulose | 13.60±b | 11.67±b | 11.80±e | 11.18±c | 11.83±b | 12.32±b | 0.061 |
| Cellulose | 12.40±d | 21.41±b | 18.25±b | 21.77±a | 18.50±b | 17.38±b | 0.052 |

| Product Recovery % |          |
|--------------------|----------|
|                    | 53.00±a | 54.33±a | 45.50±d | 47.25±b | 45.38±c | 0.034 |

Means with different letters with each column are significantly different (P≤0.01).

T (7): untreated OC. T (8): OC inoculated with S. cerevisiae. T (9): OC inoculated with T. viride. T (10): OC inoculated with A. orsa. T (11): OC inoculated with C. cellulasea and T (12): OC inoculated with A. xilinum.

Similar results were found by Israilides et al. (1994) who found that CP content of SBP was increased from 9.96 to 19.50% by fungal treatments. El-Ashry et al. (2003) and Kholif et al. (2005) indicated that the fungal treatment led to increase CP and decreased CF and OM content. Also, Abedo et al. (2005) found that fungal treatment with T. ressei increased the CP content of SBP from 9.94 to 19.37% and EE from 0.64 to 0.88%, in contrast, CF, ADF, ADL and cellulose content increased by fungal treatments, while NDF and hemicellulose were decreased.

Table (3): Effect of treatments on condensed tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids and saponins (%).

| Item | Treatment | Condensed tannins | Alkaloids | Flavonoids | Saponins |
|------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| T1   | Nil       | Nil               | Nil       | Nil        | Nil      |
| T7   | 0.95±a    | 0.46±a            | 2.72±a    | Nil        | Nil      |
| T8   | 0.18±d    | 0.16±c            | 1.10±d    | Nil        | Nil      |
| T9   | 0.16±c    | 0.11±d            | 0.94±c    | Nil        | Nil      |
| T10  | 0.18±d    | 0.19±c            | 1.68±b    | Nil        | Nil      |
| T11  | 0.20±c    | 0.17±c            | 1.42±c    | Nil        | Nil      |
| T12  | 0.22±b    | 0.21±b            | 1.65±b    | Nil        | Nil      |
| ±SE  | 0.052     | 0.060             | 0.052     | 0.000      |

Means with different letters with each column are significantly different (P≤0.01).

T (1): U SBP. T (7): untreated OC. T (8): OC inoculated with S. cerevisiae. T (9): OC inoculated with T. viride. T(10): OC inoculated with A. orsa. T (11): OC inoculated with C. cellulasea. T (12): OC inoculated with A. xilinum.

Gomaa et al. (2016) and Abdou (2017) reported that the supplemented OC with biological treatments reported a higher content of CP and a lower content of cell wall components and decreased condensed tannins compared to that without biological treatments. It seems that S. cerevisiae, T. viride and C. cellulasea were more efficient than A. orsa and A. xilinum in improving chemical composition of SBP.
and OC. So, the data of the laboratorial experiment suggested using these three biological treatments in *in vitro* and *in vivo* trials.

**In vitro and in vivo experiments:**

**Chemical composition and cell wall constituents:**

Comparison among treatments (Table 4) showed that all biological treatments for SBP and OC increased DM, OM, EE and CP content, the highest (P≤0.01) values were for T3 followed by T4 then T5, respectively. Whereas, ash, CF, NFE and NFC were decreased (P≤0.01) in the three treated groups. Untreated group (T2) had the highest values of NDF, ADF and ADL, while it was the lowest content of cellulose and hemicellulose.

**Table (4): Effect of treatments on chemical composition and cell wall constituents during *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments.**

| Items                  | Treatments |          |          |          |          | ±SE    |
|------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
|                        | CFM        | Hay      | T1       | T2       | T3       | T4     | T5     |
| **Chemical composition%** |            |          |          |          |          |        |
| DM                     | 93.80      | 91.24    | 91.60<sup>c</sup> | 89.34<sup>d</sup> | 93.61<sup>e</sup> | 93.59<sup>e</sup> | 92.75<sup>b</sup> | 0.023 |
| OM                     | 92.00      | 88.01    | 89.01<sup>d</sup> | 88.80<sup>e</sup> | 90.02<sup>e</sup> | 89.88<sup>b</sup> | 89.75<sup>b</sup> | 0.023 |
| Ash                    | 8.00       | 11.99    | 10.99<sup>b</sup> | 11.20<sup>b</sup> | 9.98<sup>e</sup> | 10.12<sup>d</sup> | 10.25<sup>c</sup> | 0.023 |
| EE                     | 3.10       | 2.55     | 2.55<sup>d</sup> | 2.71<sup>c</sup> | 4.51<sup>c</sup> | 4.47<sup>ab</sup> | 4.35<sup>b</sup> | 0.018 |
| CP                     | 12.49      | 14.00    | 13.55<sup>c</sup> | 9.33<sup>d</sup> | 14.53<sup>c</sup> | 14.45<sup>c</sup> | 13.95<sup>b</sup> | 0.022 |
| CF                     | 11.32      | 26.61    | 19.47<sup>b</sup> | 23.31<sup>a</sup> | 18.60<sup>c</sup> | 17.90<sup>d</sup> | 19.56<sup>b</sup> | 0.024 |
| NFE                    | 65.09      | 44.85    | 53.44<sup>a</sup> | 53.45<sup>a</sup> | 52.38<sup>c</sup> | 53.03<sup>b</sup> | 51.89<sup>d</sup> | 0.022 |
| NFC                    | 45.43      | 8.50     | 16.50<sup>a</sup> | 16.20<sup>a</sup> | 14.99<sup>b</sup> | 16.62<sup>a</sup> | 14.94<sup>b</sup> | 0.022 |
| **Cell wall constituents%** |          |          |          |          |          |        |
| NDF                    | 30.98      | 62.96    | 56.41<sup>b</sup> | 60.56<sup>a</sup> | 56.00<sup>c</sup> | 54.34<sup>e</sup> | 56.52<sup>b</sup> | 0.024 |
| ADF                    | 17.75      | 44.44    | 28.74<sup>d</sup> | 39.00<sup>a</sup> | 33.00<sup>b</sup> | 31.46<sup>c</sup> | 33.64<sup>b</sup> | 0.064 |
| ADL                    | 7.82       | 7.13     | 7.42<sup>d</sup> | 20.92<sup>a</sup> | 11.28<sup>c</sup> | 10.62<sup>d</sup> | 12.73<sup>b</sup> | 0.019 |
| Hemicellulose          | 13.23      | 18.52    | 27.67<sup>a</sup> | 21.56<sup>c</sup> | 22.99<sup>b</sup> | 22.88<sup>b</sup> | 22.88<sup>b</sup> | 0.064 |
| Cellulose              | 9.93       | 37.31    | 21.32<sup>a</sup> | 18.08<sup>b</sup> | 21.73<sup>a</sup> | 20.84<sup>a</sup> | 20.91<sup>a</sup> | 0.054 |
| **Second metabolites compounds%** |          |          |          |          |          |        |
| Condensed tannins      | Nil        | 0.5      | 0.11<sup>c</sup> | 0.63<sup>a</sup> | 0.22<sup>c</sup> | 0.19<sup>d</sup> | 0.29<sup>b</sup> | 0.064 |
| Alkaloids              | Nil        | Nil      | Nil<sup>c</sup> | 0.16<sup>c</sup> | 0.09<sup>c</sup> | 0.07<sup>d</sup> | 0.10<sup>c</sup> | 0.052 |
| Flavonoids             | Nil        | 4.12     | 1.00<sup>b</sup> | 2.10<sup>a</sup> | 1.00<sup>b</sup> | 0.88<sup>e</sup> | 1.00<sup>b</sup> | 0.068 |
| Saponins               | Nil        | Nil      | Nil      | Nil      | Nil      | Nil      | Nil      | 0.000 |

Means with different letters with each column are significantly different (P≤0.01).

T (1): Concentrate feed mixture (CFM) + berseem hay (BH) (control). T (2): CFM contains 40% untreated SBP + 30% untreated OC + BH. T (3): CFM contains 40% SBP + 30% OC (treated with *S. cerevisiae*) + BH. T (4): CFM contains 40% SBP + 30% OC (treated with *T. viride*) + BH. T (5): CFM contains 40% SBP + 30% OC (treated with *C. cellulase*) + BH.

The increase of CP content by biological treatments may be due to the increase in rumen microorganisms which consume CP of the diet to convert it into microbial protein. While, the decrease of CF content may be due to cellulosytic bacteria which secreted cellulase enzymes to degrade crude fiber, or due to the utilization of CF by fungi for their growth.

**In vitro nutrients disappearance:**

The data of Table (5) revealed that biological treatments increased (P≤0.01) DM, OM, EE, CP, CF, NFE and NDF disappearance more than T1 and T2. The difference was not significant (P≤0.01) between T2 and T1 in CP disappearance value, although, T1 had the lowest disappearance value of CF followed by T2, T1, T3, T4 and T5 had no significant difference (P≤0.01) for ADF, ADL, cellulose and hemicellulose disappearance values. Similar results were obtained by El-Ashry et al. (2003) who found that biological treatments for poor quality roughages by *T. viride*, *P. funiculosum* and *S. cerevisiae* increased DM and OM *in vitro* disappearance. Also, Aziz (2014) found that rations contained 30 % SBP treated with fungi, yeast or bacteria increased (P≤0.01) DM, OM, EE, CP, CF, NFE, NDF, ADF, ADL, cellulose and hemicellulose disappearance more than USBP and control.
Table (5): Effect of treatments on dry matter, organic matter and other nutrients disappearance (%) during in vitro experiment.

| Item         | Treatment | T1     | T2     | T3     | T4     | T5     | ±SE         |
|--------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|
| DM           |           | 63.02c | 60.75c | 70.05b | 71.62a | 67.93c | 0.245       |
| OM           |           | 63.44c | 65.19c | 70.62c | 72.74b | 74.42a | 0.264       |
| EE           |           | 73.05b | 54.84c | 69.35c | 66.34d | 75.72a | 0.153       |
| CP           |           | 59.06c | 59.42c | 77.97c | 77.23b | 77.33abc | 0.024       |
| CF           |           | 78.36c | 83.91b | 85.10c | 82.02d | 82.60b | 0.154       |
| NFE          |           | 57.50d | 66.74b | 66.07c | 71.48c | 71.24c | 0.090       |
| NFC          |           | 6.15a  | 13.17a | 7.07d  | 10.59b | 9.72c  | 0.019       |
| NDF          |           | 58.28c | 65.72b | 64.18b | 69.05a | 71.25a | 7.107       |
| ADF          |           | 75.30c | 63.19b | 72.83c | 71.67a | 75.88a | 1.469       |
| ADL          |           | 83.61c | 77.89c | 80.64c | 78.31b | 83.34a | 1.382       |
| Cellulose    |           | 68.10bc| 58.07b | 76.04a | 76.18a | 76.49a | 4.198       |
| Hemicellulose|           | 70.03a | 44.73b | 68.70a | 68.64a | 70.70a | 3.036       |

Means with different letters with each column are significantly different (P<0.01).

In vivo experiment:

Feed intake, digestibility coefficients and nutritive values:

The data of Table (6) indicated no significant (P≥0.01) difference among biological treatments and control group, as they had higher values than T2. Biological treatments significantly increased (P<0.01) digestibility coefficients of DM, OM, EE, CP, CF, NFE, NFC, NDF, ADF, ADL, cellulose and hemicellulose more than control and untreated groups, as T4 improved all nutrients digestibility coefficients followed by T5, T1 and T2, with no significant difference among T4, T3, T5 and T1 for NFE, NFC, cellulose and hemicellulose digestibility coefficients. Khampa et al. (2009) reported that higher nutrients digestibility as a result of yeast supplementation could be related to the microbial activities which solubilizing of carbohydrate esters of phenolic monomers in the cell wall. The reduction of the digestibility coefficients for untreated group (T2) could be attributed to the high level of fiber content. The estimated digestibilities were in the range reported by Aziz (2014) for sheep fed SBP or by Abdou (2017) for sheep fed OC.

Biological treatments increased (P<0.01) TDN and DCP (g/h/d, g/kg BW and % of DMI), also, T1 not significantly differed with T4 and T5 for TDN values, while, T2 had the lowest (P<0.01) values. Biologically treated groups T3 and T4 were more efficient in TDN and DCP values with no significant (P≥0.01) difference, as T3 and T4 increased TDN (% of DMI) value about 5.5 % more than T1 and about 7.5 % more than T2, while, DCP increased by 1.8 and 1.75 % of DMI more than T1 and 4.49 and 4.44 % of DMI more than T2 for T4 followed by T3, respectively. T3 had the highest value of metabolic energy (Mcal/ kg DM) followed by T4 then T1 with no significant difference among the three treatments, while T5 increased metabolic energy more than T2. It seems that inclusion of SBP and OC by 40% and 30% in CFM decreased feed costs, untreated group had the lowest feed costs followed by biological treatments.

The results of TDN and DCP reflected the values obtained for rations digestibility, these improvements may be associated with the increased digestion in fibrous materials particularly hemicellulose in addition to the increased bacterial digestion of cell wall content.

This result come online with those obtained by Kholif et al. (2005) and Aziz (2009) who reported slight increase in DMI and improvement of DM, CP and CF digestibility coefficients over a wide range of low-quality roughages treated by biological treatments. Allam et al. (2006) reported that treated SBP with T. viride and S. cerevisiae increased DM, OM, CF, NDF, ADF, ADL and cellulose digestibilities. Also, Aboul-Fotouh et al. (2013) found that treatment of OC with yeast increased digestibility coefficient and nutritive values. Aziz (2014 and 2015) found an increase (P<0.01) in digestibility coefficients, TDN, DCP and ME for sheep fed biologically treated SBP. Abdou (2017) found that sheep fed biologically treated OC recorded higher values of feed intake and improved all nutrients digestibilities, TDN and DCP than those fed control.
Table (6): Effect of treatments on nutrient digestibility and nutritive value of the experimental rations.

| Item                | Treatment | ±SE  |
|---------------------|-----------|------|
|                     | T1   | T2   | T3   | T4   | T5   |      |
| Number of animals   | 4    | 4    | 4    | 4    | 4    |      |
| Live body weight, kg| 47.50| 47.00| 46.87| 46.62| 46.50| 1.550|
| Feed intake g/h/d   | 1047.68 | 923.00 | 1047.81 | 1012.68 | 1026.87 | 21.22 |
| Digestibility %:     |       |      |      |      |      |      |
| DM                  | 66.07 | 62.14 | 70.16 | 70.39 | 68.15 | 0.562 |
| OM                  | 66.06 | 63.81 | 70.84 | 70.55 | 68.20 | 0.691 |
| EE                  | 70.99 | 66.20 | 72.03 | 73.05 | 68.72 | 0.431 |
| CP                  | 64.98 | 62.61 | 72.39 | 72.98 | 69.79 | 0.357 |
| CF                  | 63.84 | 61.76 | 66.81 | 69.07 | 65.52 | 0.525 |
| NFE                 | 66.68 | 63.96 | 67.61 | 67.04 | 52.65 | 2.270 |
| NFC                 | 84.26 | 83.31 | 88.13 | 85.05 | 96.18 | 3.752 |
| NDF                 | 58.82 | 57.80 | 62.53 | 64.75 | 60.37 | 0.370 |
| ADL                 | 50.65 | 52.21 | 60.04 | 60.79 | 58.96 | 0.637 |
| Cellulose           | 54.95 | 57.00 | 60.38 | 63.44 | 58.29 | 0.942 |
| Hemicellulose       | 64.00 | 61.76 | 64.13 | 67.28 | 58.90 | 1.555 |
| Nutritive value:    |       |      |      |      |      |      |
| TDN:                |       |      |      |      |      |      |
| g/h/d               | 584.46| 497.14| 643.40| 622.51| 539.07| 18.256|
| g/kg BW             | 12.39 | 10.58 | 13.82 | 13.40 | 11.61 | 0.681 |
| % of DMI            | 55.82 | 53.83 | 61.32 | 61.59 | 52.49 | 1.260 |
| DCP:                |       |      |      |      |      |      |
| g/h/d               | 84.46 | 49.67 | 102.92| 99.97 | 92.72 | 2.164 |
| g/kg BW             | 1.79  | 1.05  | 2.20  | 2.15  | 1.99  | 0.092 |
| % of DMI            | 8.07  | 5.38  | 9.82  | 9.87  | 9.03  | 0.357 |
| ME (Mcal/kg DM) *   | 21.15 | 17.99 | 23.28 | 22.53 | 19.51 | 0.660 |
| Feed costs L.E/kg   | 4.9   | 3.73  | 3.93  | 3.93  | 3.93  |      |

Means with different letters with each row are significantly different (P≤0.01).

ME = Metabolizable energy = TDN g/head x3.6 (Church and Pond, 1982).
The price of one kg of CFM, BH, SBP and OC were 5.5, 4, 4, 1 L.E., respectively. The price of one liter of fungi or bacteria or yeast = 200 L.E.

Nitrogen utilization:

Table (7) clarified that biological treatments increased (P≤0.01) nitrogen intake (NI) and digested nitrogen (DN) values more than control and untreated groups. The highest (P≤0.01) NI (g/h/d) and DN (g/h/d and % of NI) were for T3 and T4 followed by T5 with no significant difference followed by control, while untreated group was the less one. NI (g/h/d) for T3, T4 and T5 were more than T1 by 1.94, 1.11 and 0.46, respectively, while they were more than T2 by 10.06, 9.23 and 8.58, respectively.

Control had higher (P≤0.01) fecal and urinary nitrogen excretion (g/h/d) more than untreated and biological treatments, while untreated group (T2) was the lowest one as g/h/d, although it was the highest one (P≤0.01) as % of NI. It is clear that biological treatments increased (P≤0.01) nitrogen balance (g/h/d, % of NI and % of DN) more than control and untreated groups, T3 and T4 had the highest values with no significant difference followed by T5, while untreated group (T2) had the lowest values, control group (T1) was moderated values between T2 and biological treatments. Nitrogen balance values for T4, T3 and T5 were more than T1 by 9.2, 8.51 and 5.2 % of NI, respectively, while they were more than T2 about 12.07, 11.38 and 8.07% of NI, respectively. The improvement of nitrogen balance with biological treatment due to less nitrogen excretion or may because of the improvement in rumen fermentation especially ruminal ammonia, NPN, total nitrogen and true protein nitrogen. This result was in agreement with results obtained by Aziz (2009) who reported that nitrogen balance improved by biological treatments of agriculture by-products. Allam et al. (2006) reported that biologically treated SBP with T. viride and S. cerevisiae had the highest value of nitrogen balance. Aziz (2014 and 2015) found an increase in nitrogen balance of sheep and goats fed biologically treated SBP.
Table (7): Nitrogen utilization for Barki rams fed experimental treatments.

| Item                        | Treatment | T1       | T2       | T3       | T4       | T5       | ±SE     |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Nitrogen intake (g/h/d)     |           | 20.80b   | 12.68c   | 22.74a   | 21.91ab  | 21.26ab  | 0.479   |
| Digested nitrogen (g/h/d)   |           | 13.51c   | 7.95d    | 16.46a   | 15.99c   | 14.83b   | 0.346   |
| % of N intake               |           | 64.98c   | 62.61d   | 72.39a   | 72.98b   | 69.79b   | 0.357   |
| Fecal nitrogen (g/h/d)      |           | 7.28e    | 4.73c    | 6.28b    | 5.91b    | 6.42b    | 0.163   |
| % of N intake               |           | 35.01b   | 37.38a   | 27.60d   | 27.01d   | 30.20c   | 0.357   |
| Urinary nitrogen (g/h/d)    |           | 0.66a    | 0.47b    | 0.47b    | 0.43b    | 0.60a    | 0.032   |
| % of N intake               |           | 3.21ab   | 3.70a    | 2.10c    | 2.01c    | 2.82b    | 0.189   |
| Total N excretion (g/h/d)   |           | 7.95a    | 5.20d    | 6.75bc   | 6.35c    | 7.02b    | 0.157   |
| % of N intake               |           | 38.22b   | 41.09a   | 29.71d   | 29.02d   | 33.03c   | 0.446   |
| Nitrogen balance (g/h/d)    |           | 12.85c   | 7.48d    | 15.99a   | 15.55a   | 14.23b   | 0.361   |
| % of N intake               |           | 61.77c   | 58.90d   | 70.28a   | 70.97a   | 66.97b   | 0.446   |
| % of digested N             |           | 95.06a   | 94.07d   | 97.09a   | 97.24a   | 95.96b   | 0.285   |

Means with different letters with each row are significantly different (P≤0.01).

Rumen parameters and microbial protein:

Ruminal pH, volatile fatty acids and molar proportion of individual VFA’s (%):

The data of Table (8) indicated that biological treatments significantly increased (P≤0.01) ruminal pH

Table (8): Effect of experimental treatments on ruminal pH, total volatile fatty acids and molar proportion of individual VFA’s.

| Item                        | Treatment | Time | T1   | T2   | T3   | T4   | T5   | ±SE  |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| pH                          |           |      | 7.06 | 6.90 | 7.48 | 7.25 | 7.16 | 0.044|
| Overall mean                |           |      |      |      |      |      |      | 7.17±0.020 |
| TVFA’s (equiv/100 ml)       |           | 0    | 6.59 | 6.22 | 7.09 | 6.86 | 6.36 | 0.198|
| Overall mean                |           | 2    | 7.27 | 7.00 | 8.41 | 8.25 | 8.52 | 0.198|
| R.L.                        |           | 4    | 8.21 | 8.03 | 9.44 | 9.44 | 9.84 | 0.198|
| Overall mean                |           | 6    | 7.86 | 7.06 | 9.00 | 8.84 | 9.11 | 0.198|
| Acetic                      |           |      | 32.10| 31.41| 36.52| 35.06| 34.80| 0.243|
| Overall mean                |           |      |      |      |      |      |      | 33.98±0.108|
| Propionic                   |           |      | 34.76| 34.67| 39.23| 38.74| 37.88| 0.243|
| Overall mean                |           |      |      |      |      |      |      | 37.05±0.108|
| Butyric                     |           |      | 37.84| 36.67| 41.64| 40.52| 40.52| 0.243|
| Overall mean                |           |      |      |      |      |      |      | 39.44±0.108|
| Overall mean                |           |      |      |      |      |      |      | 38.41b±0.121|
| A/P ratio                   |           | 0    | 0.97 | 1.98 | 1.97 | 1.73 | 2.01 | 0.063|
| Overall mean                |           | 2    | 1.91 | 2.12 | 1.73 | 1.70 | 1.84 | 0.063|
| Overall mean                |           | 4    | 1.85 | 2.05 | 1.72 | 1.83 | 1.87 | 0.063|
| Overall mean                |           | 6    | 1.85 | 2.11 | 1.82 | 1.72 | 2.43 | 0.063|

Means with different letters with each row are significantly different (P≤0.05). * Molar proportion of individual VFA’s %
values more than control and untreated group. The highest value was for T3 followed by T4 then T5, while the lowest value was for T2 followed by T1. The overall means of ruminal pH at the different sampling times showed a significant decrease (P≤0.01) post feeding to reach the lowest value at 4 hrs post feeding then increased with progressed time of feeding at 6 hrs post-feeding. This trend of ruminal pH may be related to ruminal fermentation process by rumen microorganisms. Total ruminal VFA’s concentration (ml equivalent/100 ml R.L) showed that biological treatments increased ruminal TVFA’s concentration more than T2 and T1, the difference among biological treatments was not significant. It is clear that TVFA’s concentration was in the contrary of pH values, whereas TVFA’s concentration increased post feeding to reach the highest value at 4 hrs post feeding then decreased with progressed time of feeding, this trend of TVFA’s concentration might be related to the fermentation of unstructured carbohydrates of the ration as reported by Aziz (2004). Fouad (1991) concluded that the rumen pH in general decreased with increasing the TVFA’s concentration in lambs rumen.

As for molar proportions of ruminal individual volatile fatty acids (%), biological treatments significantly increased (P≤0.01) molar percentage of acetic, propionic and butyric more than control and untreated groups, T3 was significantly (P≤0.01) higher than all treatments followed by T4 then T5. The overall means of molar proportions of acetic, propionic and butyric at the different sampling times were the same trend of TVFA’s. As for the acetic to propionic ratio, the values showed significant decrease (P≤0.01) in T4 more than other groups. The present data indicated that biological treatments for SBP and OC increased propionate production and decreased A/P ratio which also means an increase in propionate production, this increase is favorable as that propionate acts a very important role as a major precursor of hepatic gluconeogensis also propionate is a major precursor of meet which in turn help in during growth period or pregnancy period.

**Total nitrogen, true protein nitrogen, non-protein nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and microbial protein concentrations:**

As given in Table (9) analysis of variance revealed a significant difference (P≤0.01) among the treated

| Item                  | Time | Treatment                     | Overall mean | ±SE    |
|-----------------------|------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Total nitrogen (mg/100 ml R.L) | 0    | 96.60 90.78 110.20 110.30 109.84 1.161 | 103.54±0.519 |
| True protein nitrogen (mg/100 ml R.L) | 2    | 108.57 105.16 119.45 120.55 118.51 1.161 | 114.45±0.519 |
| NPN (mg/100 ml R.L) | 0    | 39.19 33.63 38.70 40.64 47.14 1.550 | 39.86±0.693 |
| Ammonia nitrogen (mg/100 ml R.L) | 2    | 40.54 38.21 39.55 37.76 41.55 1.550 | 39.52±0.693 |
| Microbial protein (mg/100 ml R.L) | 0    | 63.16 62.80 66.53 67.46 66.30 0.244 | 65.25±0.109 |
| Overall mean          | 2    | 108.26±104.10                    | 120.09±121.23±120.07±0.580 |

**Means with different letters with each row are significantly different (P≤0.01).**
diets on ruminal fermentations. T4 had highest (P≤0.01) values of TN, NPN, NH3 and MP, while T5 had highest (P≤0.01) value of TP. It seems that T4 was more efficient in rumen fermentations followed T3 then T5, while T2 had the lowest values, T1 was moderated values. The overall means at different sampling times showed significant decrease (P≤0.01) for all rumen parameters at 2 hrs post-feeding then showed a significant increase (P≤0.01) to reach the maximum value at 4 hrs post-feeding then showed decrease with progressed time of feeding at 6 hrs.

The present results of rumen parameters are consistent with those of several studies. Aziz (2004 and 2009) found that biological treatments for by-products improved ruminal pH, TVFA’s, TN, NPN and NH3-N, also ruminal parameters were at minimum level before feeding then showed gradual increased to maximum level at 3 or 4 hrs post feeding then tended to decrease by progressed time of feeding. Also, Kholif et al. (2005) showed that values of ruminal TVFA’s increased significantly (P<0.05) with dietary treatment of T. viride followed by S. cerevisiae compared with the non-treated control. Aziz (2014 and 2015) found that rumen parameters and microbial protein production of sheep and goats were improved with ration contains SBP treated with T. viride and S. cerevisiae. Abdou (2017) found that biological treatments of OC improved ruminal pH and VFA’s and NH3 concentrations of sheep.

**Ruminal ciliate protozoa:**

Data of Table (10) represented the identification of ruminal ciliate protozoa species and their density in the rumen liquor. Seven genera with 13 species and 7 subspecies of ruminal protozoa were identified in ruminal fluid of sheep in this study. These genera (genus) are Entodinum spp. [E. simplex, E. caudatum, E. bursa, E. minimum and E. triacum], Dasytrachia rummantium, Isotricha spp. [I. intestinalis and I. prostoma], Ophryoscolox spp. [O. caudatus and O. purkynjei], Epidinium ecaudatum, Diplodinium anisacanthum and Polyolastron multivesiculatum. The values clearly showed that biological treatments significantly increased (P≤0.01) total and differential numbers of ruminal ciliate protozoa (x10⁸ cell/ml rumen liquor) more than T1 and T2. The highest (P≤0.01) values were for T4 followed by T3 then T5. T2 takes the lowest (P≤0.01) values at all followed by T1. It seems that the highest presence among all species was for Entodinum spp followed by Dasytrachia and Polyolastron spp. protozoa counts significantly decreased (P≤0.01) at 2 hrs post feeding then reached the maximum value at 4 hrs post feeding then decreased with progressed time of feeding.

The present results are in agreement with Ivan et al. (2000) who found that Entodinum spp. was the most detrimental of ciliate protozoa species. Jouany et al. (1998) found that addition of live yeast culture to ruminant diet increased protozoa count.

Aziz (2004 and 2009) found that biological treatments for poor quality roughage increased total and differential numbers of ruminal protozoa. Also, Aziz (2014 and 2015) indicated an increase in ruminal ciliate protozoa for sheep and goats fed biologically treated SBP.

**Total bacteria and cellulolytic bacteria numbers:**

Data of Table (11) showed total bacteria (x10⁶ cell /ml rumen liquor) and cellulolytic bacteria (x10⁶ cell /ml rumen liquor) numbers, biological treatments increased (P≤0.01) their numbers more than T1 and T2. It seems that treatment with C. cellulasea (T5) came in the first class for the numbers of bacteria and cellulolytic bacteria followed by T3, while T4 came in the third class. The lowest numbers were for T2 followed by T1. The overall means of total and cellulolytic bacteria at different sampling times showed the same trend of protozoa count. Similar results were obtained by Dawson and Tricarico (2002) and Marghany et al. (2005) who reported that addition of live yeast culture to ruminant diet has improved fiber digestibility and stimulated cellulolytic bacteria. Also, Aziz (2014 and 2015) found an increase in total and cellulolytic bacteria numbers in rumen of goats and sheep fed biologically treated SBP.

**Blood parameters:**

Blood parameters as affected by treated diets are given in Table (12), biological treatments significantly increased (P≤0.01) serum total protein, albumin and globulin values (g/dl) more than control and untreated groups, the difference among the three biological treatments was not significant (P≤0.01). Biological treatments especially T5 decreased (P≤0.01) serum urea values mg/dl more other treatments, the difference among biological treatments was not significant (P≤0.01). The decrease in serum urea can be attributed to the increase of NH3-N utilization by rumen microbes (Chaucheyars- Durand and Fonty, 2001), also, it is a real useful indicator for CP status and N metabolism (Valkeners et al., 2008).
Table (10): Effect of experimental treatments on ruminal ciliate protozoa.

| Item                  | Time | T1  | T2  | T3  | T4  | T5  | ±SE | Overall mean |
|-----------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|
| Total protozoa        | 0    | 6.91| 6.81| 7.21| 7.42| 7.20| 0.087| 7.11±0.039  |
| count x10⁶ cell /ml rumen | 2    | 6.07| 5.80| 6.12| 6.17| 6.14| 0.087| 6.06±0.039  |
| liquor                | 4    | 7.70| 7.51| 8.53| 9.57| 8.23| 0.087| 8.31±0.039  |
| Overall mean          | 6    | 7.00| 6.81| 7.82| 8.87| 7.52| 0.087| 7.60±0.039  |
| Entodinium spp.       | 0    | 5.42| 5.31| 5.71| 5.84| 5.68| 0.076| 5.59±0.034  |
|                       | 2    | 4.96| 4.73| 5.01| 5.03| 5.01| 0.076| 4.95±0.034  |
|                       | 4    | 5.98| 5.79| 6.70| 6.73| 6.41| 0.076| 6.50±0.034  |
|                       | 6    | 5.48| 5.29| 6.20| 7.13| 5.91| 0.076| 6.00±0.034  |
| Isotrichia spp.       | 0    | 0.217| 0.216| 0.207| 0.220| 0.211| 0.005| 0.214±0.002 |
|                       | 2    | 0.152| 0.152| 0.142| 0.151| 0.145| 0.005| 0.148±0.002 |
|                       | 4    | 0.261| 0.260| 0.279| 0.278| 0.272| 0.005| 0.270±0.002 |
|                       | 6    | 0.231| 0.230| 0.249| 0.248| 0.242| 0.005| 0.240±0.002 |
| Dasytrachia spp.      | 0    | 0.394| 0.414| 0.434| 0.442| 0.432| 0.007| 0.423±0.003 |
|                       | 2    | 0.364| 0.343| 0.361| 0.362| 0.360| 0.007| 0.358±0.003 |
|                       | 4    | 0.487| 0.487| 0.490| 0.567| 0.488| 0.007| 0.504±0.003 |
|                       | 6    | 0.457| 0.457| 0.460| 0.537| 0.458| 0.007| 0.474±0.003 |
| Epidinium spp.        | 0    | 0.183| 0.178| 0.185| 0.190| 0.182| 0.003| 0.184±0.001 |
|                       | 2    | 0.117| 0.115| 0.116| 0.122| 0.120| 0.003| 0.118±0.001 |
|                       | 4    | 0.199| 0.197| 0.229| 0.232| 0.223| 0.003| 0.216±0.001 |
|                       | 6    | 0.164| 0.162| 0.194| 0.197| 0.188| 0.003| 0.181±0.001 |
| Polyplastron spp.     | 0    | 0.361| 0.363| 0.346| 0.376| 0.331| 0.005| 0.355±0.002 |
|                       | 2    | 0.287| 0.278| 0.301| 0.301| 0.290| 0.005| 0.291±0.002 |
|                       | 4    | 0.353| 0.365| 0.401| 0.428| 0.410| 0.005| 0.392±0.002 |
|                       | 6    | 0.317| 0.329| 0.365| 0.392| 0.374| 0.005| 0.356±0.002 |
| Ophryocystis spp.     | 0    | 0.183| 0.192| 0.190| 0.194| 0.187| 0.001| 0.189±0.001 |
|                       | 2    | 0.116| 0.114| 0.120| 0.122| 0.116| 0.001| 0.118±0.001 |
|                       | 4    | 0.226| 0.222| 0.232| 0.234| 0.229| 0.001| 0.229±0.001 |
|                       | 6    | 0.190| 0.186| 0.196| 0.198| 0.193| 0.001| 0.193±0.001 |
| Diplodinium spp.      | 0    | 0.147| 0.142| 0.153| 0.158| 0.152| 0.001| 0.150±0.001 |
|                       | 2    | 0.076| 0.073| 0.081| 0.083| 0.080| 0.001| 0.078±0.001 |
|                       | 4    | 0.194| 0.189| 0.199| 0.200| 0.196| 0.001| 0.196±0.001 |
|                       | 6    | 0.158| 0.153| 0.163| 0.164| 0.160| 0.001| 0.160±0.001 |
| Overall mean          |      | 0.144| 0.139| 0.149| 0.151| 0.147| 0.001|               |

Means with different letters with each row are significantly different (P<0.01).

Table (11): Effect of experimental treatments on total bacteria and cellulolytic bacteria numbers.

| Items                  | Time | T1  | T2  | T3  | T4  | T5  | ±SE | Overall mean |
|------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|
| Total bacterial        | 0    | 3.41| 3.84| 4.27| 4.18| 4.43| 0.026| 4.03±0.011  |
| numbers x10⁶ cell /ml rumen | 2    | 3.85| 4.11| 4.70| 4.70| 4.76| 0.026| 4.42±0.011  |
|                        | 4    | 4.32| 4.58| 4.97| 4.84| 5.15| 0.012| 4.77±0.011  |
|                        | 6    | 4.14| 4.29| 4.73| 4.48| 4.48| 0.026| 4.42±0.011  |
| overall mean           |      | 3.93| 4.21| 4.67| 4.55| 4.71| 0.013|               |
| Cellulolytic bacteria  | 0    | 3.16| 3.01| 4.19| 4.12| 4.29| 0.119| 3.75±0.053  |
| numbers x10⁶ cell /ml rumen | 2    | 3.51| 3.33| 4.41| 3.94| 4.66| 0.119| 3.97±0.053  |
|                        | 4    | 3.78| 3.60| 4.88| 4.75| 4.95| 0.119| 4.39±0.053  |
|                        | 6    | 3.48| 3.33| 4.40| 4.37| 4.40| 0.119| 3.99±0.053  |
| overall mean           |      | 3.48| 3.31| 4.47| 4.29| 4.58| 0.059|               |

Means with different letters with each row are significantly different (P<0.01).
Table (12): Effect of experimental treatments on some blood parameters.

| Item            | Treatment | Overall mean | ±SE   |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------|-------|
|                 | Time      | T1           | T2    | T3       | T4       | T5       |       |
| Total proteins  | 0         | 7.35         | 7.12  | 7.87     | 7.85     | 7.86     | 0.090  |
|                 | 4         | 8.15         | 7.53  | 8.48     | 8.46     | 8.26     | 0.090  |
|                 | Overall   | 7.75         | 7.32  | 8.17     | 8.15     | 8.06     | 0.063  |
| Albumin g/dl    | 0         | 3.99         | 3.48  | 4.18     | 3.82     | 3.99     | 0.061  |
|                 | 4         | 4.28         | 4.11  | 4.49     | 4.73     | 4.51     | 0.061  |
|                 | Overall   | 4.14         | 3.80  | 4.33     | 4.27     | 4.25     | 0.043  |
| Globulin g/dl   | 0         | 3.35         | 3.63  | 3.68     | 4.03     | 3.86     | 0.097  |
|                 | 4         | 3.86         | 3.41  | 3.99     | 3.72     | 3.75     | 0.097  |
|                 | Overall   | 3.60         | 3.52  | 3.84     | 3.87     | 3.81     | 0.068  |
| A/G ratio       | 0         | 1.19         | 0.98  | 1.13     | 0.95     | 1.03     | 0.039  |
|                 | 4         | 1.10         | 1.21  | 1.12     | 1.28     | 1.20     | 0.039  |
|                 | Overall   | 1.14         | 1.09  | 1.13     | 1.11     | 1.12     | 0.027  |
| Urea mg/dl      | 0         | 29.95        | 32.16 | 23.18    | 23.02    | 23.36    | 0.445  |
|                 | 4         | 39.18        | 37.67 | 30.41    | 31.26    | 31.43    | 0.445  |
|                 | Overall   | 34.56        | 34.92 | 26.79    | 27.14    | 27.39    | 0.314  |
| GOT U/L         | 0         | 23.27        | 23.41 | 22.28    | 22.40    | 21.15    | 0.271  |
|                 | 4         | 26.13        | 26.14 | 25.33    | 25.17    | 26.30    | 0.271  |
|                 | Overall   | 24.70        | 24.78 | 23.81    | 23.78    | 23.72    | 0.191  |
| GPT U/L         | 0         | 15.26        | 15.18 | 15.20    | 12.55    | 15.12    | 0.828  |
|                 | 4         | 16.86        | 17.22 | 16.75    | 16.87    | 17.15    | 0.828  |
|                 | Overall   | 16.06        | 16.20 | 15.97    | 14.71    | 16.13    | 0.585  |

*Means with different letters with each row are significantly different (P<0.01).*

Serum GOT activity values (U/L) were similar to serum urea values trend. While GPT activity (U/L) was not significantly differed (P≤0.01) among treatments, the lowest value was recorded for T4 followed by T5. All blood parameters showed a significant increase (P≤0.01) after 4 hrs post feeding compared to pre-feeding values. It seems that biological treatments did not cause any abnormal conditions in liver and kidney functions.

Similar results were obtained with biological treatments by Kholif *et al.* (2005) and Aziz (2009) who reported an increase in total protein, albumin and globulin concentrations, and a decrease in urea, GOT and GPT concentrations in blood serum. Also, Aziz (2014 and 2015) indicated that blood parameters improved for sheep and goats fed biologically treated SBP.

**CONCLUSION**

It can be concluded that, inclusion of dried sugar beet pulp and dried olive cake untreated or treated with biological treatments to replace a part of 70% of common concentrate feed mixture had remarkable improved influence on chemical composition, nutrients digestibility coefficients, nitrogen balance, rumen parameters, microbial protein and ruminal protozoa and bacteria numbers, all these improvements will enhance animal performance. Also, inclusion of SBP and OC decreased feed costs more than control group.
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القيمة الغذائية لتقلل بجر السكر وتقلل الزيتون المعامل باستخدام المعاملة البيولوجية الفردية وتأثيرها على تغذية الأغنام.

هند أحمد عزيز
قسم تغذية الحيوان - مركز بحوث الصحراء - القاهرة - مصر.

تضمنت هذه الدراسة (إجراء ثلاث تجارب):

1 - تجربة عملية تشمل تأثير المعاملات البيولوجية (الخميرة، الفطر، البكتريا) على التحليل الكيميائي ومكونات جذر الخجول لتقلل السكر وتقلل الزيتون المعامل أو غير المعاملات على معدل إعطاء المواد الغذائية معملياً وهى معاملة (1): مخطوط مراكز + درس البرسيم (مقارنة). معاملة (2): مخطوط مراكز تحتوي على 40% تقل بنجر السكر 30% تقل الزيتون (غير معاملان) + درس البرسيم معاملة (3): مخطوط مراكز تحتوي على 40% تقل بنجر السكر 30% تقل الزيتون (معاملان بالخميرة) + درس البرسيم معاملة (4): مخطوط مراكز تحتوي على 40% تقل بنجر السكر 30% تقل الزيتون (معاملان بالخميرة) + درس البرسيم معاملة (5): مخطوط مراكز تحتوي على 40% تقل بنجر السكر 30% تقل الزيتون (معاملان بالبكتريا) + درس البرسيم.

2 - تجارب الهضم المعملية تشمل خمس معاملات تدرس تأثير علبة المقارنة لتقلل بنجر السكر وتقلل الزيتون المعاملات أو غير المعاملات على معدل إعطاء المواد الغذائية معملياً وهى معاملة (1): مخطوط مراكز + درس البرسيم (مقارنة). معاملة (2): مخطوط مراكز تحتوي على 40% تقل بنجر السكر 30% تقل الزيتون (غير معاملان) + درس البرسيم معاملة (3): مخطوط مراكز تحتوي على 40% تقل بنجر السكر 30% تقل الزيتون (معاملان بالخميرة) + درس البرسيم معاملة (4): مخطوط مراكز تحتوي على 40% تقل بنجر السكر 30% تقل الزيتون (معاملان بالبكتريا) + درس البرسيم معاملة (5): مخطوط مراكز تحتوي على 40% تقل بنجر السكر 30% تقل الزيتون (معاملان بالبكتريا) + درس البرسيم.

3 - تجارب الهضم لدراسة تأثير هذه المعاملات على معدل هضم المواد الغذائية وتخمرات الكروش والبروتينات الميكروبي وميكروبات الكروش وبعض مكونات الدم في الأغنام.

وقد أظهرت النتائج تحسن معنوي في بعض البروتينات الخام والبيولوجية في مختوى الألياف الخام ومكوناتها نتيجة المعاملات البيولوجية، ووجد معدل هضم المواد الغذائية والقيمة الغذائية في المجموع المعاملة، كما حصل بها ميزان النتروجين معنوي، وأظهرت تخمرات الكروش وميكروبات الكروش زيادة معنوية في المجموع المعاملة. كما تركز البروتينات الكلي والألبومين والجولوبين أعلى في المجموع المعاملة بما أن تركيز بوريا الدم في GOT و GPT كان أقل في هذه المعاملات.