Fog signaling has diverse roles in epithelial morphogenesis in insects
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Summary

During embryogenesis, animals utilize a common set of morphogenetic processes, including tissue invagination and epithelial folding. Such processes have been intensively studied in several model animal systems, yet it is unclear to what extent conserved morphogenetic events are driven by the same molecular mechanisms. In Drosophila, gastrulation of the mesoderm and posterior gut are coordinated by the Fog signaling pathway. While these morphogenetic events are themselves deeply conserved, recent work has suggested that the involvement of Fog signaling may be restricted to a subset of higher flies. To address this question, we investigated the developmental role of Fog signaling in the beetle Tribolium castaneum, a species that is distantly related to Drosophila and exhibits a mode of embryogenesis that is broadly representative of insects. Like most insects (but not Drosophila), the Tribolium blastoderm epithelium undergoes a concerted array of morphogenetic events to generate a condensed germband that is encapsulated by two extraembryonic membranes. We found that, as in Drosophila, Fog signaling is involved in mesoderm internalization in Tribolium. In addition, this pathway is also required for both spreading and infolding of the blastoderm during germband formation, and internalization of the primordial germ cells. Lastly, we found that Fog signaling is required for Tribolium blastoderm cellularisation, and functional analysis of two other distantly related insects revealed this function to be deeply conserved. Together, our findings show that Fog signaling has an ancient role in early embryonic morphogenesis in insects, and is fundamentally required for formation of the blastoderm epithelium.
Introduction

The Folded gastrulation (Fog) pathway is one of the few signaling pathways dedicated to epithelial morphogenesis (Gilmour et al., 2017; Manning and Rogers, 2014). Fog signaling was discovered in the fly Drosophila melanogaster, where it is required for the formation of two major epithelial folds during early embryogenesis: the ventral furrow, and the posterior gut fold (Costa et al., 1994; Parks and Wieschaus, 1991; Sweeton et al., 1991; Zusman and Wieschaus, 1985). The ventral furrow leads to the internalization of the mesoderm, while the posterior gut fold leads to internalization of the hindgut, posterior endoderm and the pole cells (the Drosophila primordial germ cells [PGCs]) (Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein, 1997). These folds are formed by coordinated changes in cell shape that are driven by the reorganization of cytoskeleton components and the remodeling of cell junctions. Crucially, the inward directionality of the folding is caused by constrictions of the cells at their apical side, and it is this process that is coordinated by Fog signaling (Dawes-Hoang et al., 2005; Kolsch et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2009).

The molecular basis of the Fog signaling pathway has been extensively studied in Drosophila. Fog itself is an extracellular ligand that is secreted by future invaginating cells (Dawes-Hoang et al., 2005) and activates two G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs): Mist (Mesoderm-invagination signal transducer, also known as Mth11 [Methuselah-like1]) (Manning et al., 2013) and Smog (Jha et al., 2018; Kerridge et al., 2016). Activation of these receptors causes Concertina (Cta), the Gα12/13 subunit of a trimeric G protein, to recruit RhoGEF2 to the apical plasma membrane where it promotes myosin II contractility (via Rho and Rho kinase), thereby triggering apical cell constrictions (Barrett et al., 1997; Dawes-Hoang et al., 2005; Kolsch et al., 2007).

Although Fog is a secreted ligand, it appears to only act locally (Costa et al., 1994; Dawes-Hoang et al., 2005). Because of this, the localized expression of fog and mist in the presumptive mesoderm and posterior endoderm provides the spatial specificity of the pathway (Costa et al., 1994; Manning et al., 2013).

It is important to note that in the absence of Fog signaling, some cells do still undergo apical constriction in the ventral furrow and posterior gut fold. However, fewer cells undergo apical constriction, and the spatial and temporal coordination of those constrictions are disrupted. As such, Fog signaling is proposed to coordinate the apical constriction of cells across invaginating epithelia (Costa et al., 1994; Sweeton et al., 1991). For the ventral furrow, apical constrictions are also known to be caused by the transmembrane protein T48, which recruits RhoGEF2 apically in a Fog-independent manner (Kolsch et al., 2007). However, a Fog-independent mechanism driving apical constrictions at the posterior gut fold is unknown.

Differences between the ventral furrow and posterior gut fold also exist with regard to their sensitivity to loss of Fog signaling. In fog or cta mutants, ventral furrow formation is irregular and
delayed compared with wildtype, but mesoderm internalization still occurs (Parks and Wieschaus, 1991; Sweeton et al., 1991). In contrast, posterior gut folding and endoderm internalization are completely prevented in the same mutants, and it is this aspect of the phenotype that causes embryonic lethality (Seher et al., 2007; Zusman and Wieschaus, 1985).

The Fog pathway is also involved in other morphogenetic events. During late embryogenesis, it is required during salivary gland morphogenesis and it affects the folding of imaginal discs in larvae (Chung et al., 2017; Manning et al., 2013; Nikolaidou and Barrett, 2004). Most recently, loss of Fog signaling was found to affect cell intercalation during germband extension (Jha et al., 2018; Kerridge et al., 2016), thus revealing apical constriction independent functions for Fog signaling.

The importance of Fog signaling during development in other insects is largely unknown. While all pathway components have been identified in many lineages, the morphogenetic basis of early development greatly varies between different species (Anderson, 1972a, b; Roth, 2004; Urbansky et al., 2016).

Recent molecular analysis in the midge Chironomus riparius has also cast doubts about the functional conservation of the pathway for early embryonic development. Rather than forming a highly stereotyped ventral furrow, Chironomus embryos internalize their mesoderm via cell ingression, and this event is only weakly affected by loss of Fog signaling (Urbansky et al., 2016). However, over-expression of fog and/or T48 causes the formation of a ventral furrow and invagination of mesoderm in a Drosophila-like mode. Based on their results, Urbansky et al. (2016) hypothesized that Fog signaling was recruited from a later role in development to an early role in gastrulation in the Drosophila lineage. However, as pointed out by the authors, an alternative hypothesis is that Fog signaling does have a more widely conserved role in early development and this has been reduced in the lineage leading to Chironomus.

To test whether Fog signaling does have a more widely conserved role in early development, we have analyzed Fog pathway components in the beetle Tribolium castaneum. In contrast to Drosophila and other dipteran species like Chironomus, many features of Tribolium embryogenesis are more representative of insects in general, including the mechanism and timing of blastoderm cellularisation (van der Zee et al., 2015), the mode of germ cell formation (Schroder, 2006), germband formation (Benton, 2018), extraembryonic tissue development (Hilbrant et al., 2016; van der Zee et al., 2005) and segmentation (Clark and Peel, 2018; Sommer and Tautz, 1993). Therefore, analyzing Fog signaling in Tribolium will reveal the role of the pathway within a developmental context that is more representative of insects in general.

Our analysis of Fog signaling in Tribolium reveals that, in contrast to Chironomus but like in Drosophila, this pathway contributes to mesoderm internalization and drives an early invagination
at the posterior pole. In addition, *Tribolium* Fog signaling is involved in several aspects of development that have been lost or modified in the lineage leading to *Drosophila*, such as the extensive epithelial folding that leads to germband formation, the simultaneous spreading of the extraembryonic serosa, the positioning of germ cells, and even the cellularisation of the blastoderm. Comparative functional analysis in species from two basally branching insect lineages revealed this cellularisation function to be widely conserved within insects.
Results

Tc-cta, Tc-mist and Tc-fog are expressed in morphogenetically active tissues

As a first step towards characterizing the Fog signaling pathway in Tribolium, we identified and cloned the pathway components and characterized their expression during development. The Tribolium genome contains one ortholog each for fog, mist and cta (hereafter referred to as Tc-fog, Tc-mist and Tc-cta). Fog is a fast evolving protein with very low overall sequence conservation within insects and no detectable homologs in non-insect genomes currently available (Fig. S1) (Urbansky et al., 2016). In contrast, previous research has shown Mist and Cta to be well conserved among insects (de Mendoza et al., 2016; Kozasa et al., 2011; Manning et al., 2013; Parks and Wieschaus, 1991; Urbansky et al., 2016).

In Drosophila, fog, mist and cta are all maternally expressed (Costa et al., 1994; Manning et al., 2013; Parks and Wieschaus, 1991; Urbansky et al., 2016). In Tribolium, we were unable to detect maternal expression for any of the three genes using conventional whole-mount RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) (for staging and description of wildtype development see (Benton et al., 2013; Handel et al., 2000)). However, publically available RNA-sequencing data revealed the presence of maternal transcripts for Tc-cta and Tc-fog (Donitz et al., 2018).

After blastoderm formation, Tc-cta and Tc-mist transcripts were uniformly distributed, while Tc-fog transcripts were enriched at the anterior pole (Fig. S2A, I, Q). During later blastoderm stages, Tc-cta formed a shallow gradient with higher levels towards the posterior pole (Fig. 1E), while Tc-mist and Tc-fog were strongly expressed in an oblique anterior-dorsal domain (the future serosa; Fig. 1A, I, M). At the same time, weak Tc-mist expression became visible at the posterior pole, and weak Tc-fog expression was also visible in a patch of cells at the ventral side of the embryo (Fig. 1I, M; Fig. S3B).

The complex morphogenetic events that transform the Tribolium blastoderm into the germband (schematic in Fig. 1A-D, wildtype in Movie S1, S2) have been described in detail elsewhere (Handel et al 2000, Benton et al 2013), but we will briefly describe them here for the benefit of the reader. After cellularisation is complete, cells that will form the embryo proper and the extraembryonic amnion (together termed the germ rudiment) undergo mitosis and condense towards the posterior-ventral region of the embryo (Fig. 1B, C). At the same time, a patch of cells at the posterior pole undergoes apical constriction to form a cup-shaped indentation (termed the primitive pit, pp in Fig. 1B) that then deepens into a fold (termed the posterior amniotic fold, paf in Fig. 1C). Subsequently, the dorsal ‘lip’ of the posterior amniotic fold moves ventrally, progresses over the posterior pole, and then moves anteriorly over the ventral face of the embryo. As this process occurs, the edges of the posterior amniotic fold spread anteriorly until they meet with the anterior amniotic fold (which forms independently, aaf in Fig. 1D). As the above condensation and...
tissue folding occurs, the presumptive serosa cells undergo a cuboidal-to-squamous transition and spread over the entire egg surface. The boundary between serosa and germ rudiment is demarcated by a supracellular actin cable (sca) that may be involved in serosal window closure (sw in Fig. 2D and wildtype in Movie S2) (Benton, 2013). Throughout this period, mesoderm internalization occurs along the ventral part of the germ rudiment via both cell ingression and apical constriction mediated furrow formation (Handel et al., 2005).

Throughout embryo condensation, Tc-cta expression persisted in the posterior region of the germ rudiment/germ band (Fig. 1F-H, Fig. S2F-H). Tc-mist expression faded first from the dorsal serosa cells, then from the entire serosa, while expression in the primitive pit region/posterior end of the germ band strengthened (Fig. 1J-L, Fig. S2N-P). Tc-fog expression remained in the serosa throughout condensation and became up-regulated in a posterior-ventral stripe of cells fated to become mesoderm (Fig. 1N-P, Fig. S2T-X; Fig. S3C-E). Towards the end of embryo condensation, Tc-fog also became expressed in the ectoderm on either side of the mesoderm domain (Fig. S2W).

Our expression analysis shows that Tribolium Fog signaling components are activated in a spatiotemporal pattern suggestive of a role in epithelial morphogenesis.

The Fog pathway is required for the posterior amniotic fold in Tribolium

To test whether the Fog signaling pathway is involved in early Tribolium embryogenesis, we disrupted Tc-cta, Tc-mist or Tc-fog function via parental RNAi (pRNAi) knockdown (KD) and analysed both live and fixed embryos.

KD of each of the genes resulted in the same overall phenotype (Fig. S4) and we utilized fluorescent live imaging to better understand the underlying defects (Fig 2A-H; Movie S1-S3). The earliest and most prominent defect was the suppression of primitive pit and posterior amniotic fold formation (Fig. 2B, C, F, G; Fig. S5 and S7; Movie S2 and S3). Because of this lack of folding, the dorsal half of the germ rudiment remained at the dorsal side of the egg in KD embryos (Fig. 2H, K; Fig. S4; S5).

To investigate whether patterning of the dorsal half of the germ rudiment was disrupted in KD embryos, we analysed the expression of two known marker genes. Despite the abnormal position of the relevant tissue, both Tc-pnr and Tc-iro, which are expressed in broad dorsal domains, appeared to be expressed normally in KD embryos (Fig. 2J, K and Fig. S5A-C). This finding is supported by the fact that a supracellular-actin cable formed between the serosa and germ rudiment tissues, as occurs in wildtype embryos at the same stage (sca in Fig 2D, H) (Benton, 2013).

In addition to the above defects, epithelial holes formed at the serosa/germ rudiment boundary and, during later stages of development, at posterior-ventral regions of the germband (Fig.
S6, S7). In contrast to the major morphogenetic defects in the posterior of the embryo, anterior amniotic fold formation and head condensation still occurred in KD embryos (aaf in Fig. 2H; Fig. S4; S5D, E; S6; S7).

Despite the severe changes in overall embryo topology, segmentation still occurred in KD embryos. In wildtype embryos, segmentation genes are often expressed in rings that completely encircle the epithelium of the germband (Benton, 2013; Sarrazin et al., 2012). In our KD embryos, these rings were readily visible due to the outward facing topology of the germband (e.g. Tc-gooseberry (Tc-gsb) (Davis et al., 2001) (Fig. 2L, M).

**Fog signaling controls the positioning of the primordial germ cells**

*Drosophila* primordial germ cells (PGCs) are specified at the posterior pole of the early embryo and form as ‘pole cells’ above the surface of the blastoderm (Cinalli and Lehmann, 2013). In *Tribolium*, the PGCs are also specified at the posterior of the blastoderm, but they are integrated in the blastoderm cell layer and internalize beneath the blastoderm epithelium at around the same time as primitive pit formation (Schroder, 2006). In our live imaging analysis of KD embryos, we frequently observed a posterior ball of tissue (Fig. 2G, H, white asterisk) and asked whether this tissue consisted of incorrectly localized PGCs.

To follow the development of *Tribolium* PGCs, we examined the expression of the gene *Tc-tapas*, which encodes a Tudor domain protein (Patil et al., 2014). *Tc-tapas* has a similar but more robust expression profile than the previously described PGC marker gene *Tc-vasa* (Schroder, 2006). In control embryos, the *Tc-tapas* expressing cells are located in the center of the forming primitive pit (Fig. 3A, D). During early posterior amniotic fold formation, they leave the epithelium at its basal side by an unknown mechanism. Subsequently, the PGCs form a spherical cluster of cells that remains attached to the posterior end of the segment addition zone (SAZ) during germband extension (Fig. 3B, E).

In *Tc-fog* pRNAi embryos, *Tc-tapas* was also expressed in a distinct cluster of putative PGCs at the posterior, but in most embryos (85% of KD embryos that displayed phenotypic defects, Fig. S7), the cell cluster was located at the apical side of the embryonic epithelium (Fig. 3C, F). This cell cluster became visible in this location during embryo condensation, precisely when PGCs move beneath the epithelium in wildtype embryos (white asterisk in Fig. 2G, H). Thus, in the absence of Fog signaling and primitive pit formation, the putative germ cells become mislocalised to the apical side of the embryonic epithelium.

**Fog signaling is involved in, but not required for mesoderm internalization**

We next asked whether Fog signaling plays a role in mesoderm internalization in *Tribolium*. As
described earlier, *Drosophila* Fog signaling is required for the formation of a deep ventral furrow, but mesodermal cells still internalize in Fog signaling mutant embryos (Seher et al., 2007; Sweeton et al., 1991; Zusman and Wieschaus, 1985).

In *Tribolium*, mesoderm internalization occurs at the ventral side of the embryo like in *Drosophila*, but the mode of internalization is less uniform (Handel et al., 2005). Shortly after primitive pit formation, mesodermal cells flatten and constrict apically, causing the formation of a ventral furrow that is shallow at the anterior (Fig. 4A1 and A2) and deeper at the posterior (Fig. 4A3). After serosal window closure, the mesoderm is fully internalized and the left and right ectodermal plates fuse along the ventral midline (Fig. 4B).

Due to the dynamic nature of mesoderm internalization, it was important for us to compare carefully stage matched control and KD embryos. To do this, we carried out timed embryo collections and, in addition, examined the number of segments specified in these embryos (via analysis of *Tc-gsb* expression, (Davis et al., 2001)). At 19-21 hours after egg lay (AEL) (at 25°C), control embryos had four trunk *Tc-gsb* stripes and had completely internalized their mesoderm (Fig. 4B and E). *Tc-fog* KD embryos of the same age also had four trunk *Tc-gsb* stripes, and while some mesodermal cells exhibited apical constrictions, gastrulation was not complete (Fig. 4C and F; Fig. S8C-F). In anterior positions, gastrulation in KD embryos looked similar to 16-18h old control embryos (Fig. 4A1, C1), while in middle and posterior regions, KD embryos showed a shallower furrow than that of control embryos at corresponding anterior-posterior (AP) positions (Fig. 4D, F).

Despite the delay of mesoderm morphogenesis and the reduction in furrow depth in posterior positions, *Tc-fog* KD embryos eventually internalized the mesoderm. As in control embryos undergoing germ band extension, KD embryos possessed segmental clusters of *Tc-twi* expressing cells localized on the basal side of the ectoderm (Fig. 4G, H; Fig. S8A, B). This situation is similar to *Drosophila*, where loss of *fog* affects coordination and speed of ventral furrow formation, but does not prevent mesoderm internalization.

### Regulation of *Tc-fog* and *Tc-mist* expression

We next investigated how Fog signaling is regulated in *Tribolium*. Like in *Drosophila*, ventral tissue specification in *Tribolium* depends on Toll signaling; *Tc-Toll* KD leads to a complete loss mesoderm and ventral ectoderm fates (Moussian and Roth, 2005; Nunes da Fonseca et al., 2008; Roth et al., 1989). Therefore, we reasoned that the ventral stripe of *Tc-fog* expression is likely also dependent on Toll signaling. Indeed, pRNAi for *Tc-Toll* resulted in the loss of ventral *Tc-fog* expression (Fig. 5B). *Tc-mist* expression, on the other hand, remained in the primitive pit region (Fig. 5I). The serosa expression of each gene was also affected by *Tc-Toll* KD; *Tc-fog* became expressed in an expanded, DV symmetric domain, while *Tc-mist* showed weak uniform expression
(Fig. 5B, I). These changes reflect the expansion and dorsalization of the serosa upon Tc-Toll KD (Nunes da Fonseca et al., 2008).

To further dissect the ventral regulation of Tc-fog and Tc-mist, we analysed the patterning genes downstream of Toll signaling. In both Drosophila and Tribolium, the transcription factors twi and snail (sna) are co-expressed in a ventral stripe (Leptin and Grunewald, 1990; Sommer and Tautz, 1994; Stappert et al., 2016). In Drosophila, both genes are required together to activate mesodermal fog expression (Costa et al., 1994), while sna alone is largely sufficient to activate mesodermal mist expression (Manning et al., 2013). In Tribolium we found that Tc-mist expression was unchanged following Tc-twist pRNAi (as expected based on their non-overlapping domains of expression) (Fig. 5J), but Tc-fog was also unaffected (Fig. 5C). Mesodermal Tc-sna expression is completely dependent on Tc-twist (von Levetzow, 2008), and, therefore, Tc-fog expression is not regulated by either Tc-twist or Tc-sna. Instead, ventral Tc-fog expression must depend on other zygotic or maternal factors in Tribolium, and may even be a direct target of Toll signaling.

To analyze the influence of the AP patterning system on Tc-fog and Tc-mist expression, we performed pRNAi for Tc-caudal (Tc-cad) (Copf et al., 2004; Schoppmeier et al., 2009) and Tc-torsor-like (Tc-tsl) (Schoppmeier and Schroder, 2005). In Drosophila, caudal is required for the posterior, but not ventral, domain of fog expression (Wu and Lengyel, 1998). In contrast, KD of Tc-cad resulted in the loss of ventral Tc-fog expression and the appearance of a new domain of expression in the posterior-dorsal region of the embryo (asterisk in Fig. 5D). Thus, Tc-cad both activates Tc-fog expression within the mesoderm and inhibits Tc-fog expression at the posterior of the embryo. Expression of Tc-mist was not notably altered after Tc-cad KD (Fig. 5K).

Tc-tsl is a component of the terminal patterning system that specifies the anterior and posterior extremities of the AP axis (Schoppmeier and Schroder, 2005; Schroder et al., 2000). KD of Tc-tsl did not significantly affect mesodermal Tc-fog expression, but posterior Tc-mist expression was abolished (Fig. 5E and L). This result matches published descriptions of Tc-tsl KD, which describe loss of normal posterior folding (Schoppmeier and Schroder, 2005).

Taken together, our results show that ventral expression of Tc-fog requires a combination of DV patterning (Tc-Toll) and AP patterning (Tc-cad) inputs, while the posterior expression of Tc-mist is controlled by the terminal patterning system (Tc-tsl).

**Reconciling the expression and function of Tc-fog**

In Drosophila, the timing and location of fog expression is tightly linked with its function (Costa et al., 1994; Lim et al., 2017). In contrast, Tc-fog is highly expressed in the serosa and posterior mesoderm, while its expression is conspicuously absent (or not-detectable) from the posterior of the embryo where it shows its most prominent requirement: the initiation of primitive pit and posterior
amniotic fold formation. To approach this problem, we analyzed how each Tc-fog expression domain contributes to primitive pit and posterior amniotic fold formation. Specifically, we removed each Tc-fog domain individually or simultaneously and monitored the impact on the morphogenetic movements of the respective embryos.

To start, we deleted the serosal domain of Tc-fog (without affecting the ventral domain; Fig. S9B) by knocking down Tc-zen1 to prevent serosa specification (van der Zee et al., 2005). This treatment had no detectable impact on primitive pit indentation and on the initiation of the posterior amniotic fold formation (Fig. S9B). During further development, posterior amniotic fold formation fails to achieve full encapsulation of the embryo, but this is likely due to the lack of a serosa in Tc-zen1 KD embryos (Panfilio et al., 2013; van der Zee et al., 2005). However, the presence of a primitive pit and early posterior amniotic fold suggest that serosal Tc-fog expression is not essential for early morphogenetic events at the posterior of the embryo. Therefore, the ventral expression of Tc-fog might provide a source of Fog ligand that, by short-range diffusion, reaches the primitive pit region and activates its receptor Tc-mist.

To test this assumption, we knocked down Tc-Toll to delete the ventral Tc-fog expression while maintaining high levels of Tc-fog expression in the serosa. Such embryos form a primitive pit-like indentation and then a deep invagination at the posterior pole (Fig. 5M), but both structures are rotationally symmetric due to the loss of DV polarity in these embryos. Thus, posterior amniotic fold formation occurred in the absence of detectable Tc-fog expression in the germ rudiment.

As Tc-Fog is an extracellular ligand, it is possible that in the Tc-Toll KD embryos described above, Tc-Fog protein diffuses from the serosa domain to the posterior of the embryo to activate Tc-Mist and initiate the posterior morphogenetic events. To test this hypothesis, we knocked down both Tc-Toll and Tc-zen1 to remove both domains of Tc-fog expression simultaneously. In such embryos, no Tc-fog expression was visible by RNA ISH (Fig. S9C-E). Nevertheless, these embryos formed a symmetric posterior invagination as in Tc-Toll single KD embryos (Fig. 5N). Therefore, diffusion of Tc-Fog from the serosa domain to the posterior does not explain the posterior folding.

Lastly, to test our initial assumption that the posterior folding visible in Tc-Toll KD embryos is indeed dependent on Fog signaling, we simultaneously knocked down Tc-Toll and Tc-fog. In nearly all double-KD embryos (87%, N=81), neither a primitive pit nor posterior amniotic fold formed (Fig. 5G, Movie S4).

Two possibilities exist to explain this set of results. First, the primitive pit region of Tc-Toll KD embryos may harbor some Tc-fog transcript, which, despite its low amounts, is sufficient to trigger the large-scale invagination of a symmetric posterior amniotic fold. Alternatively, there may be sufficient Tc-Fog protein remaining from the maternal expression of Tc-fog (Donitz et al., 2018) to activate the Fog signaling pathway at the posterior of the embryo. However, both scenarios
suggest that small amounts of Tc-Fog are sufficient to trigger large-scale folding specifically at the posterior pole.

**A novel role for Fog signaling in serosal spreading**

Our finding that *Tribolium* Fog signaling is involved in mesoderm internalization and posterior amniotic fold formation fits with the classic function of this pathway in apical cell constriction. However, *Tc-fog* and *Tc-mist* are also expressed in the serosa, and what function (if any) these genes may have here is unknown.

One possibility is that Fog signaling is involved in the cuboidal-to-squamous cell shape change that occurs during serosal spreading (Benton et al., 2013; Handel et al., 2000). Indeed, spreading is clearly defective following KD of Fog signaling components, as the serosa remains at the anterior of the egg rather than spreading over the whole egg (Fig. 2G, H; Fig. S4, S8). However, this defect may simply be a consequence of the lack of pulling forces that normally come from the condensing/folding germ rudiment (Munster et al., 2019). To determine whether Fog signaling has a tissue-specific serosal function, we knocked down *Tc-fog* to a level where posterior amniotic fold formation and serosal expansion still occurred and examined the fully expanded serosa for defects. We used embryonic RNAi (eRNAi) to allow partial KD, and all embryos were co-injected with mRNA encoding the membrane marker GAP43-YFP to allow detailed live imaging (Benton et al., 2013).

In control embryos injected with GAP43-YFP mRNA alone, serosal cells expanded as previously described (Benton et al., 2013) and while there was some variability in final apical area, this did not show any bias along the AP axis ($\sigma = 145.96 \, \mu m^2$; $n = 535$ cells across 8 embryos; Fig. 6A, C). Microinjection of *Tc-fog* dsRNA at 1 $\mu$g/$\mu$L caused roughly a third of KD embryos ($n = 29$) to form a posterior amniotic fold and undergo serosal spreading. In such embryos, the variability in final serosal cell area was significantly increased compared with control embryos ($p < 0.001$ Fisher’s $F$ test, $\sigma = 215.9 \, \mu m^2$; $n = 578$ cells across 7 embryos; Fig. 6B, D). In addition, the serosal cells that covered the posterior half of the egg had larger surface areas than those in comparable positions in control embryos, while serosal cells in anterior regions showed the opposite pattern (Fig. 6B, D).

The increased variance in serosal cell area following *Tc-fog* KD suggests that Fog signaling does indeed play a role in serosal expansion. The relative differences in cell area are likely caused by cells at the posterior/dorsal region of the serosa (i.e. those that end up covering the posterior half of the egg) experiencing greater pulling force from the germ rudiment than the anterior-ventral cells where little-to-no movement occurs (Benton et al, 2013)

In addition to cell shape changes, serosa cells also undergo extensive cell intercalation...
during serosa spreading and window closure (Benton, 2013). These cell rearrangements could occur passively to increase tissue fluidity and spread external forces across the tissue (Tetley and Mao, 2018). As Fog signaling has been implicated in cell intercalation in *Drosophila*, we investigated whether intercalation was affected in KD embryos. However, due to limitations with our live imaging, we were unable to quantitatively analyse the frequency and distribution of intercalation events in the spreading serosa.

Based on our findings, we conclude that Tribolium Fog signaling has a novel role in serosal cells to coordinate the cuboidal-to-squamous cell shape transition that results in a uniformly thin layer of serosal cells surrounding the entire yolk and embryo. Fog signaling may also be involved in the intercalation of serosa cells, but further analysis is required to investigate this potential function.

**T48 enhances Fog signaling in Tribolium**

In *Drosophila*, Fog and T48 both function during ventral furrow formation (Kolsch et al., 2007). We identified a single homolog of T48 in Tribolium (hereafter referred to as *Tc*-T48), and while we could not detect localized *Tc*-T48 expression by ISH, RNA-sequencing data suggested it is weakly expressed in embryos (Donitz et al., 2018). Therefore, we tested whether *Tc*-T48 has an embryo-wide enhancement function on Fog signaling in Tribolium.

To test for such a *Tc*-T48 function, we microinjected embryos with *Tc*-fog dsRNA at 1 µg/µL (to partially KD *Tc*-fog) together with *Tc*-T48 dsRNA. As described above, roughly a third of embryos microinjected with *Tc*-fog dsRNA (at 1 µg/µL) alone still formed a posterior amniotic fold and underwent serosa spreading (Movie S5). However, when embryos were injected with both *Tc*-fog dsRNA and *Tc*-T48 dsRNA (either by co-injection or sequential injections; n = 20 and 10, respectively) all embryos failed to form a posterior amniotic fold (Movie S5). Microinjection of *Tc*-T48 dsRNA alone had no detectable effect on development (n=10).

The statistically significant difference (p < 0.001, Chi-Square test) in the phenotype caused by *Tc*-fog eRNAi alone versus *Tc*-fog and T-48 double RNAi, shows that *Tc*-T48 has a morphogenetic function in Tribolium. Given the apparent lack of localized *Tc*-T48 expression, we suggest that low levels of uniform expression play an embryo-wide role in enhancing Fog signaling.

**Fog signaling is required for Tribolium blastoderm formation**

In the course of analyzing the role of Fog signaling by embryonic RNAi, we injected different concentrations of dsRNA to vary the KD strength. While we recovered the phenotypes observed by parental RNAi with embryonic injections of 1 µg/µL dsRNA, injection of 3 µg/µL dsRNA yielded a phenotype that we had not obtained from pRNAi.

In the majority of KD embryos, major blastoderm-wide defects occurred during or prior to
embryo condensation: 70% in Tc-cta KD, 70% in Tc-mist KD, 40% in Tc-fog KD versus 10% in control (n=20 for each condition). Defects ranged from gaps in the blastoderm that became greatly enlarged during condensation to complete disintegration of the blastoderm prior to or during condensation (Fig. 7B-D; Movie S6). In addition to the visible morphological defects, there was also a statistically significant delay in the development of Tc-cta and Tc-mist KD embryos (as measured using division 13 as a temporal landmark; Fig. S10). This delay was not observed in Tc-fog KD embryos, which also had the lowest proportion of embryos with other blastoderm defects.

These phenotypes are unlikely to be artifacts caused by embryo handling or microinjection as they were seen at different proportions upon KD of each of the genes and were never seen at such high rates in control injections. As such, components of the Fog signaling pathway must also function during the formation of the blastoderm cell layer in Tribolium.

The blastoderm function of Fog signaling is widely conserved

After finding that Fog signaling has key morphogenetic functions during embryonic development in Tribolium, we asked whether such functions are widely conserved in insects. To answer this question, we functionally analysed Fog signaling pathway components in two distantly related (Misof et al., 2014) hemimetabolous insects: the milkweed bug Oncopeltus fasciatus and the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus.

We identified single orthologs for cta, mist and fog in both species (hereafter called Of-fog, Of-mist, Of-cta, and Gb-fog, Gb-mist, Gb-cta, Fig. S1). KD of each of these genes via pRNAi was performed in Oncopeltus and in Gryllus (except for Gb-fog, the KD of which resulted in adult lethality) and led to highly penetrant early phenotypes in both species. While control embryos (from parental injections of GFP dsRNA [Oncopeltus] or buffer [Gryllus]) formed a uniform blastoderm layer (n = 29 for Oncopeltus, n = 15 for Gryllus), each KD resulted in blastoderms that were interrupted by large holes along the entire AP axis (Oncopeltus: Fig. 7F-H, 65% in Of-fog KD [n=29], 64% in Of-mist KD [n=25], 88% in Of-cta KD [n=26]; Gryllus: Fig. 7J-L, 100% in Gb-mist, and Gb-cta KD [n=19 each]).

While these early blastoderm defects prevented us from studying Fog function during later development in Oncopeltus and Gryllus, these phenotypes show that Fog signaling components have a deeply conserved requirement during blastoderm formation in insects.
Discussion

In this article we have shown that Fog signaling plays major morphogenetic roles during embryogenesis in the beetle Tribolium. Disruption of this pathway leads to severe defects during germband formation, including a complete loss of posterior amniotic fold formation, delayed mesoderm internalization, and mislocalisation of PGCs (Fig. 8). Fog signaling was also involved in the cuboidal-to-squamous cell shape change that occurs as the serosa spreads to cover the whole surface of the egg. Lastly, we found Tribolium Fog signaling to function during earlier stages of development: disruption of this pathway led to defects in the formation of a regular, continuous blastoderm epithelium. Functional analysis of Fog signaling in two distantly related insect species revealed this early blastoderm function to be widely conserved. In this discussion, we break down these diverse roles and discuss their importance for our understanding of the evolution of insect embryogenesis.

Fog signaling has local morphogenetic functions during embryo formation

Fog signaling was discovered for its functions during early morphogenesis in Drosophila, but doubts were raised about whether this pathway functions during early embryogenesis in other insects (Goltsev et al., 2007; Sweeton et al., 1991; Urbansky et al., 2016; Zusman and Wieschaus, 1985). Here, we have shown that Fog signaling also functions during early development in a beetle, and that disruption of this pathway causes severe embryo-wide defects.

The most severe effect caused by disruption of Fog signaling in Drosophila is the loss of posterior midgut fold formation (Seher et al., 2007; Sweeton et al., 1991). Tribolium Fog signaling is also required for a folding event at the posterior of the blastoderm. However, since gut differentiation in Tribolium takes place only after the fully segmented germband has formed, it is not known how many cells involved in this folding event will later contribute to the gut (Anderson, 1972b; Benton, 2018; Berns et al., 2008; Handel et al., 2000; Johannsen and Butt, 1941; Roth, 2004; Stanley and Grundmann, 1970; Ullmann, 1964). Instead, posterior folding in Tribolium has far-reaching consequences for embryo topology: it causes the amnion primordium/dorsal ectoderm to cover the ventral side of the embryo to form the amniotic cavity (Handel et al., 2000). Upon Fog signaling disruption and loss of the posterior amniotic fold, most of the germ rudiment tissue remains in an open configuration. This defective topology is reminiscent of that of wildtype embryos of Drosophila and other (cyclorrhaphan) dipteran species that do not become covered by an amnion-like tissue (Schmidt-Ott, 2000). As such, reduction/loss of early posterior Fog signaling may have contributed to evolution of the Drosophila-like mode of development. To address this question, more detailed descriptions of the genetic and morphogenetic events occurring during
posterior development in other insect species are required.

The second major role of Fog signaling in *Drosophila* is during mesoderm gastrulation, and this function appears to be conserved in *Tribolium*. Disruption of Fog signaling has very similar consequences for mesoderm internalization in *Tribolium* and *Drosophila*. In both cases, the mechanism and timing of mesoderm internalization is affected by loss of signaling, but the mesoderm is still able to internalize (Seher et al., 2007; Zusman and Wieschaus, 1985). The same is also true for the dipteran *Chironomus*, where disruption of Fog signaling has a measurable impact on mesoderm internalization but the pathway is not strictly required for the process (Urbansky et al., 2016).

Further evidence for conservation of Fog signaling function in mesoderm internalization comes from the cell shape changes caused by Fog signaling. While anterior regions of the *Tribolium* mesoderm do not express *Tc-fog* and internalize without forming a furrow, the posterior half of the mesoderm expresses *Tc-fog* and does form a furrow during internalization (Handel et al., 2005). This result suggests that mesodermal cells that experience high levels of Fog signaling form a furrow, while those that may experience lower signaling (i.e. via diffusion of Fog ligand from neighbouring cells) do not. This hypothesis is also supported by research on Fog signaling in *Chironomus* and *Drosophila*. In *Chironomus*, the mesoderm forms a shallow furrow during internalization, and while *fog* is expressed in this tissue, expression is notably weaker in the ventral most part of the domain. Experimental over-activation of Fog signaling triggers the formation of a deep ventral furrow (Urbansky et al., 2016), suggesting a quantitative response to levels of Fog ligand. In *Drosophila*, quantitative analyses have shown that the accumulation of Fog ligand directly correlates with the degree of change in the cytoskeleton required for cell shape changes (Lim et al., 2017).

**The role of Fog signaling in primordial germ cell positioning**

One function for *Tribolium* Fog signaling that does not exist in *Drosophila* is the role in PGC positioning. We found that disruption of Fog signaling leads to *Tribolium* PGCs moving to the apical surface of the embryonic epithelium rather than being internalized basally. This new aberrant localization is comparable to the positioning of *Drosophila* PGCs (the pole cells) at the apical surface of the blastoderm (Cinalli and Lehmann, 2013).

We propose two possible scenarios for Fog’s role in PGC internalization in *Tribolium*. One possibility is that PGC localization is due to a requirement for Fog signaling within the epithelial cells surrounding the PGCs. For instance, Fog-mediated apical constrictions of posterior blastoderm cells could bias the movement of PGCs to the basal side of the epithelium. When Fog signaling is disrupted, PGCs would carry out their normal developmental program and leave the epithelium, but...
the absence of apical constriction and primitive pit formation would cause the PGCs to localize to the apical side of the blastoderm. Alternatively, Fog signaling may directly control cell polarity within the PGCs, and it is the breakdown of this process that affects PGC localization. In addition, some combination of both sites of Fog activity may be true. Given that we observe a well-formed, albeit wrongly positioned, cluster of PGCs after loss of Fog signaling, it seems more likely that Fog signaling acts after PGC formation and without impairing cellular organization within the cluster.

Despite the lack of overt conservation of this PGC function, research in *Drosophila* does reveal a possibility as to how Fog signaling could be affecting *Tribolium* PGC development. In *Drosophila*, the GPCR Trapped in endoderm 1 (Tre1) is necessary in PGCs for their migration through the midgut epithelium (LeBlanc and Lehmann, 2017). Tre1 is activated by guidance cues and promotes germ cell migration by polarizing Rho1. In *Tribolium*, Fog signaling could potentially also act to polarize Rho1 via RhoGEF2 recruitment within PGCs and thereby effect their migration to the basal side of the epithelium.

**Fog signaling has tissue wide functions in the blastoderm and serosa**

The functions for *Tribolium* Fog signaling discussed above fit with the traditional function for this pathway in apical constriction. In contrast, the involvement of Fog signaling in serosal spreading and blastoderm formation are two processes that do not involve apical constriction.

During serosal spreading, Fog signaling acts during a process that is effectively the opposite of apical constriction: the expansion of the apical (and basal) cell surface to cause the cuboidal-to-squamous transition. To analyse this function, partial knockdown of Fog was most informative, as posterior folding and serosal spreading still occurred, but was no longer uniform throughout the tissue. Rather, cells closest to the dorsal serosa/germ rudiment border acquired greater apical surface areas, while the remaining serosa cells in fact had reduced surface areas compared to wildtype cells at corresponding positions.

Tissue forces have not been directly measured in *Tribolium*, but descriptive results suggest that folding and condensation of the germ rudiment exert pulling forces on the serosa (Münster et al., 2018). If true, these forces would be greatest at the dorsal serosa/germ rudiment border. Despite this difference in force across the tissue, spreading of serosa cells appears to be fairly uniform in wildtype embryos. Thus, Fog signaling could be acting to evenly spread the propagation of forces between neighbouring serosal cells. The use of a paracrine signaling pathway such as Fog signaling for this function makes sense, as it would allow tissue-wide coarse-graining via the extracellular distribution of ligand, buffering the degree to which cells experience different forces across the tissue. At the intracellular level, Fog signaling could be influencing the distribution of myosin to affect cell spreading. In the same way, Fog signaling could also influence the cell intercalation,
which would affect the fluidity of this epithelial tissue, similar to the influence of Fog signaling on the ectoderm in *Drosophila* (Kanesaki et al., 2013; Kerridge et al., 2016). As the mechanisms underlying cuboidal-to-squamous cell shape transitions are not well-understood (Brigaud et al., 2015; Grammont, 2007; Wang and Riechmann, 2007), and only descriptive (Benton, 2013; Münster et al., 2018; Panfilio and Roth, 2010), but no mechanistic information on serosa spreading exists, substantial future work will be required to uncover the mechanism of Fog signaling during this important and widely conserved developmental event.

Fog signaling also appears to have a global tissue function during blastoderm formation in *Tribolium* and two other species representing deep branches within the insects. This function, and its absence in *Drosophila*, may be linked to differences in the modes of cellularisation. The formation of a high-columnar blastoderm, which has been well studied in *Drosophila*, is an exception among insects and even among flies (Bullock et al., 2004; van der Zee et al., 2015). In many insects, cellularisation generates a blastoderm of cuboidal cells, while some insects initially form individual cells that then migrate to form a continuous epithelium (Ho et al., 1997; Nakamura et al., 2010).

Little is known about the molecular mechanisms underlying the diverse modes of cellularisation described above, but each mode will have its own mechanical problems. For example, in *Drosophila* strong lateral adhesion between the highly columnar cells provides stability (Mazumdar and Mazumdar, 2002), while in *Tribolium* transitory holes and fractures between protocells are visible within the wildtype blastoderm (white asterix in Fig. 7A). Here, *Tribolium* Fog signaling may have a non-cell autonomous influence on actomyosin dynamics to increase the stability and robustness of the epithelium. However, the way in which this may occur at a mechanistic level is a completely open question. The discovery of special Innexin-based cell junctions that are essential for cellularisation in *Tribolium*, but do not exist in *Drosophila*, highlights the potential diversity in mechanisms underlying cellularisation in different insects (van der Zee et al., 2015). Thus, as with the spreading of the serosa, future work is required to uncover the mechanism of Fog signaling during blastoderm formation in *Tribolium* and other insects.

While the mechanism remains unknown, the requirement for Fog signaling during blastoderm formation in phylogenetically diverse insects suggests this to be a widely conserved function. Finally, while gastrulation is even more variable in non-insect arthropods than in insects, nearly all described arthropod species also form a cellular blastoderm that at least partially covers the surface of the egg. As such, the early function of Fog signaling that we identified here may be the most ancestral role of this pathway in arthropods.
Materials and Methods

Strains

*Tribolium castaneum* strains: San Bernandino wildtype (Brown et al., 2009), nuclear GFP (nGFP) (Sarrazin et al., 2012), LifeAct-GFP (van Drongelen et al., 2018) were cultured as described (Brown et al., 2009). *Oncopeltus fasciatus* was cultured as described (Ewen-Campen et al., 2011). *Gryllus bimaculatus* wildtype strain (Donoughe and Extavour, 2016) and pXLBGact Histone2B:eGFP (Nakamura et al., 2010) was kept as described (Donoughe and Extavour, 2016).

cDNA cloning

The primers used for *in-situ* hybridization and dsRNA synthesis were designed by using the new *T. castaneum* genome assembly (Donitz et al., 2018). Primer design, RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were carried out using standard protocols. For *Gryllus bimaculatus*, a new assembled transcriptome was used to design primers for *Gb-cta* and *Gb-mist*. The Advantage GC 2 PCR Kit (Takara) was used for gene cloning. All relevant genes, their corresponding Tc-identifiers and the primers used in this work are listed in the supplement.

dsRNA synthesis, parental and embryonic RNAi

dsRNA preparation, pupae and adult injections followed (Posnien et al., 2009). Embryonic RNAi was performed as described (Benton et al., 2013).

For *Gryllus bimaculatus*, a 1184bp fragment of *Gb-cta* and a 967bp fragment of *Gb-mist* was used to knock down gene function. For both genes, the knock down was performed in two independent experiments injecting 7 µg and 10 µg of dsRNA per animal, respectively. The dsRNA solution was injected into the proximal joint of the coxa of the second and third leg. For each experiment, four adult females of the pXLBGact Histone2B:eGFP line were injected and embryos of the second egg lay (collected about one week after injection) were analyzed via live imaging.

In situ hybridisation, immunohistochemistry

Single and double ISH were performed essentially as described (Schinko et al., 2009). For staining of cell membranes, Alexa Fluor 555/568 Phalloidin (Molecular Probes, life technologies) was used. Nuclear counterstaining was performed using DAPI (Invitrogen) or Sytox Green (Thermo Fisher) as previously described (Nunes da Fonseca et al., 2008).

Cryosections

Embryos were embedded in a melted agarose/sucrose solution (2% agarose, 15% sucrose in PBS).
After the agarose cooled down, blocks of agarose containing the embryos were cut and incubated in a solution of 15% sucrose in PBS overnight. The blocks were fixed to the specimen block using Tissue-Tek O.C.T.™ (Sakura). After shock freezing in -80°C isopentan, the blocks were transferred to a Cyrostat (Leica CM 1850) and sliced at -20°C (30µm-thick sections). The sections were mounted on Superfrost Ultra Plus microscope slides (Thermo Scientific) and tried overnight at RT. Phalloidin and Sytox staining directly on the sections was performed following the standard protocols using a humidity chamber.

**Live imaging**

Confocal time-lapse imaging of *Tribolium* embryos injected with GAP43-YFP mRNA was performed as described (Benton, 2018). Live imaging transgenic nuclear GFP (nGFP) (Sarrazin et al., 2012) or LifeAct-GFP (van Drongelen et al., 2018) embryos was done at room temperature using the Zeiss AxioImager.Z2 in combination with an Apotome.2 and movable stage (Zen2 Blue). For imaging *Gryllus bimaculatus* embryos we used a Zeiss AxioZoom.V16, equipped with a movable stage. *Gryllus* embryos were placed on 1.5% agarose and were covered with Voltalef H10S oil (Sigma). Imaging was performed at 25-27 °C.

**Software tools**

Image analysis was performed in FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012), box/violin plots were generated using PlotsOfData (Postma and Goedhart, 2019), and schematics were created using the open source software Inkscape.
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**Figure 1. Expression of Fog signaling components during early embryogenesis**

(A-D) Schematics showing embryo condensation as described in the text. Serosa is shown in purple, germ rudiment tissue is shown in grey, arrows display tissue movements. aaf: anterior amniotic fold, paf: posterior amniotic fold formation, pp: primitive pit formation, sw: serosal window. (E-P’) Whole mount ISH and DNA staining for *Tc-cta* (E-H), *Tc-mist* (I-L) and *Tc-fog* (M-P). (E’-P’) nuclear (DAPI) staining of respective embryos. Anterior is left, ventral is down (where possible to discern).
Figure 2. Fog signaling is required for posterior amniotic fold formation

(A-H) Stills from live fluorescent imaging of LifeAct-eGFP transgenic embryos, ranging from late blastoderm to germband extension stages. (A-D) wildtype control. (E-H) Tc-cta KD. The asterisk marks a cluster of cells that becomes visible at the posterior pole. (J, K) Tc-pnr is expressed in a broad dorsal domain. (J’, K’) nuclear (DAPI) staining of respective embryos. (L, M) Tc-gsb expression marks forming and differentiating segments in elongating germ band embryos. (L’, M’) nuclear (DAPI) staining of respective embryos. (J, L) Wildtype. (K, M) Tc-cta KD. aaf: anterior amniotic fold, am/de: amnion dorsal ectoderm, paf: posterior amniotic fold formation, pp: primitive pit formation, sca: supracellular actin cable, sw: serosal window. Anterior is left, ventral is down.
Figure 3. Fog signaling affects the positioning of the primordial germ cells

Whole mount ISH for the germ cell marker *Tc-tapas*. (A, B, D, E) Wildtype. (C, F) *Tc-fog* KD. (A-C) Optical sagittal sections of whole embryos. (D-F) Optical sagittal sections of posterior regions. (A’-F’) DAPI staining of the respective embryos. (A, D) Wildtype embryo at primitive pit stage. (B, E) Wildtype embryo at early germ band extension stage. (C, F) *Tc-fog* KD embryos at stage corresponding to primitive pit stage in wildtype. Anterior is left, ventral is down.
Figure 4. Tc-fog RNAi delays mesoderm internalization

(A-C) Whole mount embryos stained for the segmental marker Tc-gsb (yellow), nuclei (DAPI; blue). Embryos are also stained for Tc-twi expression but this is only visible in (A; dark blue ventral domain). (A-B) Wildtype at horseshoe or early germband extension stage with two or four trunk Tc-gsb stripes, respectively. (C) Tc-fog KD at a stage corresponding to (B) with four trunk Tc-gsb
stripes (the forth stripe is visible in lateral views). (A1-C3) transverse cryosections of the embryos shown in (A-C) with *Tc-twi* expression (blue) and *Tc-gsb* expression (red). The position of each section is indicated by a white dashed line in (A-C). (D-F”) Transverse cryosections at posterior positions of embryos corresponding in age to those in (A-C) showing F-actin (phalloidin; magenta) and nuclei (sytox; green). (G, H) Sagittal cryosections of embryos during germband elongation showing *Tc-twi* expression (dark blue). Only a portion of the germband comprising four segments is shown. For corresponding sections showing the entire embryo see Fig. S8. (G’, H’) nuclear (DAPI) staining of respective embryos. (A-C) are ventral views with anterior to the left. (A1-F”) are transverse sections. (G-H) are sagittal sections with anterior to the left. Staging was done at 25°C.
**Figure 5. Regulation of Tc-fog and Tc-mist expression**

Whole mount ISH for *Tc-fog* (A-E) and *Tc-mist* (H-L) expression in wildtype embryos (A, H) and embryos in which DV and AP genes have been knocked down (B-E, I-L; specific KD shown in panels). All embryos are at primitive pit stage except A and C, which are at the early posterior amniotic fold stage. The asterisk in (D) indicates the appearance of weak *Tc-fog* expression within a posterior-dorsal domain. (F, G, M, N) nuclear (DAPI) staining of wildtype (F) and KD (G, M, N; specific KD shown in panels) embryos at the early posterior amniotic fold stage. Anterior is left, ventral is down (where possible to discern).
Figure 6. Fog signaling affects serosal expansion

(A, B) Stills from confocal live imaging of wildtype (A) and Tc-fog eRNAi (B) embryos with cell membranes marked via transient expression of GAP43-YFP. Embryos are undergoing germ band elongation. (A’, B’) Single optical section of the serosa from the dashed box region in (A, B) showing cells whose areas were measured. Quantification was performed on single optical section to avoid artefacts caused by curvature of the egg. (C, D) scatter plots showing serosa cell areas (wildtype: 535 cells across 8 embryos, Tc-fog eRNAi: 578 cells across 7 embryos) together with AP position of cell centroids. Measurements were performed manually. (A, B) are average intensity projections of one egg hemisphere. Anterior is left, ventral is down.
Figure 7. Fog signaling is required for blastoderm formation in *Tribolium*, *Oncopeltus* and *Gryllus*

(A-D) Stills from confocal live imaging of wildtype (A), and *Tc*-fog, *Tc*-mist, *Tc*-cta eRNAi (B-D) *Tribolium* embryos with cell membranes marked via transient expression of GAP43-YFP. Embryos are at late blastoderm stage. White asterisk in (A) indicated hole within the blastoderm which later closes. (E-H) *O. fasciatus* blastoderm stage wildtype (E), and *Of*-fog, *Of*-mist, *Of*-cta pRNAi (F-G) embryos with nuclei stained (Sytox) to reveal their distribution. (I-L) Stills from fluorescent live imaging of control (I), and *Gb*-mist, *Gb*-cta pRNAi (J-L) *G. bimaculatus* embryos with nuclei labeled via a *histone2B*-eGFP transgene. The phenotype of *Gb*-mist pRNAi is stronger in (J) than in (K). The latter embryo recovered during later development. (A-D) are average intensity projections of one egg hemisphere, (I-L) are maximum focus projections of one egg hemisphere. Anterior is left for all embryos. (I, J, L) are ventral views, (K) is a ventrolateral view with ventral down.
Figure 8. Schematic representation of the embryonic phenotype produced by Fog pathway disruption

Schematics showing wildtype development and the effects on embryo formation of RNAi disruption of Tc-fog, Tc-mist or Tc-cta. Anterior is left, ventral is down.
Supplemental Figures

Supplement Figure 1. Insect Fog proteins

(A) Schematic representation of Fog proteins from *Drosophila melanogaster* (Dm-Fog), *Gryllus bimaculatus* (Gb-Fog), *Oncopeltus fasciatus* (Of-Fog) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Tc-Fog). The proteins contain an N-terminal signal peptide (yellow) followed by a conserved Fog domain (blue) and a highly variable C-terminal domain. (B) Sequences of the N-terminal regions including the signal peptide (SP, yellow) and the Fog domain (FOG, blue). Amino acids marked in yellow indicate the predicted cleavage side of the SP (identified via SignalP 4.1 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/)). (C) Sequence conservation within the Fog domain as indicated as percentage of identical amino acids.
Supplement Figure 2. Expression of *Tc-cta*, *Tc-mist* and *Tc-fog* during early embryogenesis

(A-X) Whole mount ISH for *Tc-cta* (A-H), *Tc-mist* (I-P) and *Tc-fog* (Q-X). (A’-X’) DAPI staining of respective embryos. Anterior is left, all panels show optical sagittal sections, except G, H, L, O, P, T and W which show ventral surface views.
Supplement Figure 3. *Tc-fog* and *Tc-twi* are co-expressed only within the posterior presumptive mesoderm

Double whole mount ISH for *Tc-twi* (red) and *Tc-fog* (blue). Anterior is left, all panels show optical sagittal sections.
Supplement Figure 4. Knockdown of *Tc-fog*, *Tc-mist* and *Tc-cta* by RNAi results in similar phenotypes

(A-D) Nuclear (DAPI in A, C and D; nGFP transgene in B) staining of embryos of similar age. (A) Wildtype embryo at early elongating germ band stage. (B-D) *Tc-cta*, *Tc-fog* or *Tc-mist* KD embryos. Anterior is left, ventral is down.
Supplement Figure 5. Fog signaling is required for posterior amniotic fold formation

(A- C) Tc-iro expression (ISH). (A’- C’) DAPI staining of respective embryos. In wildtype embryos, Tc-iro is expressed at the serosa/germ rudiment border and in a dorsal germ rudiment domain. (C) Upon Tc-cta knockdown, the dorsal germ rudiment domain remains on the dorsal side of the egg. (D, E) Tc-zen1 expression marks the rim of the serosal window (sw). (D’, E’) DAPI staining of respective embryos. (D) Wildtype embryo forms a serosal window while (E) Tc-cta KD embryo lacks a circumferential serosal window. Anterior is to the left for all embryos. (A) is dorsolateral, (B) is ventrolateral, (C) is lateral, (D, E) are ventral views. aaf: anterior amniotic fold, paf: posterior amniotic fold.
Supplement Figure 6. Morphogenetic defects in late *Tc-cta* knockdown embryos

(A-D) Stills from fluorescent live imaging of a *Tc-cta* KD embryo carrying a LifeAct-eGFP transgene. aaf: anterior amniotic fold, blue asterisk: lateral rupture, yellow asterisk: ventral rupture. Anterior is left, ventral is down.
Supplement Figure 7. Frequencies of phenotypic defects upon *Tc-fog* knockdown

(A) 98% of offspring of beetles injected with H₂O show normal development (N=124). 76% (N=183) of offspring of beetles injected with *Tc-fog* dsRNA showed phenotypic defects. (B) The 139 embryos with phenotypic defects were sub-scored for the defects described in Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. S6. Depending on the position and stage of the embryo not all defect types could be evaluated for each embryo. Therefore, the total number of scorable embryos changes for each phenotypic type. paf: posterior amniotic fold, aaf: anterior amniotic fold, PGCs, primordial germ cells
Supplement Figure 8. Mesoderm internalization in *Tc-fog* RNAi embryos

(A, B) Sagittal cryosections of embryos at the early elongating germ band stage showing *Tc-twi* expression (ISH, dark blue). (A’, B’) DAPI staining of respective embryos. (A) Wildtype. *Tc-twi* is expressed in each segment in regular cell clusters. The amnion covers the embryo at the ventral side. The serosa has been removed. (B) *Tc-fog* knockdown by pRNAi. *Tc-twi* is also expressed in segmental, albeit less regular cell clusters. The embryo is not covered ventrally since the amnion remains at the dorsal side. (C, D) Transverse section of staged embryos stained for F-actin (Phalloidin; red) and nuclei (Sytox; green). (C) Wildtype. The amnion/dorsal ectoderm covers the ventral side of the embryo. The mesoderm is internalized. The serosa was lost in the course of the fixation and staining procedure. (D) *Tc-fog* knockdown by pRNAi. The amnion/dorsal ectoderm remains at the dorsal side. Mesoderm internalization is delayed. (E, F) Schematic representation of transverse sections of wildtype (E) and *Tc-fog* knockdown (F) embryos. Purple: serosa; Yellow: yolk, Gray: Amnion plus embryonic ectoderm; Red: Mesoderm. Anterior is left for (A, B), ventral is down for all embryos.
Supplement Figure 9. *Tc-fog* expression after *Tc-zen1* and *Tc-Toll* & *Tc-zen1* knockdown

Whole mount ISH for *Tc-fog*. Optical sagittal sections. (A, B) anterior *Tc-fog* expression is lost upon KD of *Tc-zen1*. (C-E) *Tc-fog* expression is lost (or greatly reduced) upon *Tc-Toll* and *Tc-zen1* double KD by pRNAi. (C’-E’) nuclear (DAPI) staining of respective embryos. Anterior is left, ventral is down (where possible to discern).
Supplement Figure 10. Developmental delay upon knockdown of Fog pathway components

Violin plot showing effect of Tc-fog, Tc-cta or Tc-mist KD on timing of early development. All data points are shown in a quasi-random offset. ***p < 0.001 (unpaired t-test).
Supplemental movies

Movie S1. Fluorescent live imaging of wildtype and *Tc-fog* RNAi nGFP transgenic embryos. Maximum intensity projections of one egg hemisphere are shown with anterior to the left and ventral to the bottom.

Movie S2. Fluorescent live imaging of wildtype and *Tc-cta* RNAi LifeAct-GFP transgenic embryos. Maximum intensity projections of one egg hemisphere are shown with anterior to the left and ventral to the bottom.

Movie S3. Fluorescent live imaging of *Tc-cta* RNAi LifeAct-GFP transgenic embryo. Maximum intensity projection of one egg hemisphere is shown with anterior to the left and ventral to the bottom.

Movie S4. Fluorescent live imaging of *Tc-Toll1* and *Tc-fog* double RNAi nGFP transgenic embryo. Maximum intensity projection of one egg hemisphere is shown with anterior to the left.

Movie S5. Fluorescent live imaging of wildtype and *Tc-fog, Tc-T48*, and *Tc-fog and Tc-T48* double RNAi embryos transiently expressing GAP43-YFP. Average intensity projections of one egg hemisphere are shown with anterior to the left and ventral to the bottom.

Movie S6. Fluorescent live imaging of wildtype and *Tc-fog, Tc-cta*, and *Tc-mist* RNAi embryos transiently expressing GAP43-YFP. Average intensity projections of one egg hemisphere are shown with anterior to the left and ventral to the bottom (where possible to discern).

Movie S7. Fluorescent live imaging of wildtype and *Gb-mist* RNAi histone2B-EGFP transgenic embryos. Maximum focus projections of one egg hemisphere are shown as ventral views with anterior to left.
### Supplemental Material

#### Primer List

| Primer         | Accession     | Sequence               |
|----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Tc-T48 (1)_F   | TC033934      | GCCCCAAAGGATGTATCAAA   |
| Tc-T48 (1)_R   | TC033934      | GAGTGGCATGAAGTGCAAGGA |
| Tc-T48 (2)_F   | TC033934      | TTATAACACTGAGGCCCGCA   |
| Tc-T48 (2)_R   | TC033934      | CTGGGGGCCACATTCAGGG   |
| Tc-mist (1)_F  | TC010654      | GGCTTTCTTCTGGCTCAACAC  |
| Tc-mist (1)_R  | TC010654      | AAGACCGCTTGAGCAGGTT    |
| Tc-mist (2)_F  | TC010654      | ATGACGGCTGTAGGGTGAA    |
| Tc-mist (2)_R  | TC010654      | GACACAGCGATGAGAAGCA    |
| Tc-fog (1)_F   | TC006723      | TAAATCACCATTGGCATCTTG  |
| Tc-fog (1)_R   | TC006723      | ATCGTGGTCACTTCACCTC    |
| Tc-fog (2)_F   | TC006723      | CCAATGACAGAAGAGATGG    |
| Tc-fog (2)_R   | TC006723      | ACATGACAGAAGACAGAGT    |
| Tc-cta (1)_F   | TC034430      | TCAAGCTTGCGCGGATAG     |
| Tc-cta (1)_R   | TC034430      | CAGTGGAATGTTGCTCTGGGATT |
| Tc-cta (2)_F   | TC034430      | AATCCCAGACGAACATCCA    |
| Tc-cta (2)_R   | TC034430      | TTACATAAGAACCGCGCGGA   |
| Of-mist_F      |               | TTTGACAAAAGCCAGGAAGC   |
| Of-mist_R      |               | GGCACAATTACCATTGTACAC  |
| Of-cta_F       |               | GAAACGGTGGAGTTGATG     |
| Of-cta_R       |               | AGTGGCTTATTTGGCAAGCCT  |
| Of-fog_F       |               | AGTGGTCTCATCCCGTGTC    |
| Of-fog_R       |               | ATCCCGAGACGAACATCCA    |
| Gb-cta_F       |               | CAG AAG CGG AGC TAG GC  |
| Gb-cta_R       |               | GAA TGC TGT CTG GCA CAT AAC |
| Gb-mist_F      |               | CAT CTG GTG GAC CTt CAG AG |
| Gb-mist_R      |               | CAT TCA TTA GCA TGC GTA AGT G |
| Gb-fog_F       |               | CTGTGCACGCCAGACGCTC    |
| Gb-fog_R       |               | GTGGAGGAGGTCGAGGAGTG    |
| Tc-tap_F       | TC034722      | CGGGGAATACCGCTAAGTTGG  |
| Tc-tap_R       | TC034722      | TCTGAGAGGCACTGCGTTG    |