XEN Gel Staining with Trypan Blue: A Simple and Effective Technique to Improve Device Visualization during Implantation
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Abstract
The Xen Gel Stent (Allergan, Irvine, CA, USA) is a hydrophilic collagen-based gelatin tube of 6 mm in length which creates a channel of communication between the anterior chamber and the subconjunctival space allowing aqueous humor outflow. XEN is an ab interno, minimally invasive, glaucoma surgery device which has a better safety profile and offers a less invasive way of lowering intraocular pressure. Unfortunately, one of its weaknesses is that visualization of the implant during surgery is difficult, and this difficulty may be exacerbated, for instance, by a subconjunctival hemorrhage. The purpose of this paper is to describe a simple and effective technique for improving device visualization (XEN 45 μm) during the implantation.
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Introduction
Glaucoma is an optic neuropathy that can lead to progressive irreversible blindness.¹ Usually, the first treatments are medical therapy or laser therapy. However, poor compliance, side effects, and other factors can lead to failure to control the progression and consequently surgical treatment is needed.² ³ Trabeculectomy and tube shunt drainage devices are currently considered the gold standard for surgical management of glaucoma.⁴ ⁵ Recently, less invasive procedures known as minimally invasive glaucoma surgery (MiGKS) have been introduced. They have more successful outcomes and fewer potential complications (e.g., hypotony or endophthalmitis) than traditional surgery.⁶ ⁷ Minimally invasive glaucoma surgery devices permit aqueous outflow via three main pathways: the suprachoroidal space improves uveoscleral drainage, Schlemm’s canal potentiates trabecular outflow, and the subconjunctival space opens an artificial outflow pathway.⁸

The Xen Gel Stent (Allergan, Irvine, CA, USA) is a hydrophilic collagen-based gelatin tube of 6 mm in length which creates a channel of communication between the anterior chamber and the subconjunctival space allowing aqueous humor outflow and therefore IOP reduction.⁹ The stent is implanted with an ab interno approach and, due to its biocompatibility, does not cause foreign body reactions.¹⁰ There are three models with different inner diameters: 140, 63, and 45 μm, designed according to the Hagen–Poiseuille equation. The XEN Gel Stent is soft and flexible. When injected it hydrates within 1 to 2 minutes, acquiring an S-shaped curve that minimizes many of the complications seen with synthetic devices, such as migration, erosion, and corneal endothelial damage.¹⁰ Xen Gel Stent implantation can be performed as a solo procedure or combined with cataract surgery. Either way, it has been shown to significantly reduce both IOP and the need for antiglaucoma medications to a rate comparable to that of trabeculectomy, but with a better safety profile.¹¹

Surgical Technique
To improve the visualization of the device during implantation, we stained the XEN 45 μm device with Trypan Blue 0.1% (Oftal Blue, Alfa Intes) (Fig. 1). Injecting mitomycin C (MMC) can cause subconjunctival hemorrhage, making it difficult to see the device in the target area. Xen staining avoids this problem and improves visibility. Staining also makes the Xen device more easily distinguishable from the surrounding tissues, and checking for correct positioning is thus facilitated (Fig. 2). We placed a 23-g cannula on the syringe containing the Trypan Blue: then we inserted the cannula into the XEN injector. We then gently injected the Trypan Blue to obtain the XEN staining. Now we were ready to implant. After topical anesthesia, landmarks were drawn to mark a target area of 3 × 3 mm in the superior-nasal conjunctiva before XEN positioning. Another area, 3 mm away from the first, was then marked in the posterior fornix as the MMC injection site. A 27-gauge needle was then used to inject 0.1 cc of MMC 0.01% (Galenic
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Fig. 1: Staining XEN 45 with trypan blue

Fig. 2: Checking for correct positioning of the device

Fig. 3: Priming of the bleb

preparation from the hospital pharmacy) subconjunctivally. Corneal tunnels were created and the iridocorneal angle was visualized with a gonio-lens. After filling the anterior chamber first with lidocaine 1% (Monico) and then with viscoelastic (Johnson & Johnson Vision Healon GV Pro), a 27-gauge preloaded injector was inserted through the corneal tunnel in the inferior-temporal quadrant. The XEN 45 stent was then placed superior-nasally in the ideal position under gonioscopic view. Finally, the viscoelastic was withdrawn from the anterior chamber and Trypan Blue 0.1% was injected in the anterior chamber with a 30-gauge needle to prime the bleb, directed at the nasal angle, where it was allowed to remain for at least 30 seconds, after which the anterior chamber was rinsed with balanced saline solution. The “priming of the bleb” makes it possible to check that the device is functioning and placed correctly (Fig. 3). We used the blue dye to better highlight the bleb. The day after surgery, no trypan remains below the bleb or in the anterior chamber. Surgery is concluded with hydro-suture of corneal incisions.

**Discussion**

A critical point in the surgical technique is the final placement of the XEN device. Intraoperative complications can occur, misplacement during the first attempt; posterior placement of the implant, especially through the ciliary body, causing bleeding and hypotony; anterior chamber and subconjunctival bleeding possibly caused by injecting MMC. Consequently, it is very important to find instruments that facilitate visualization, improve the contrast between the XEN device and the surrounding tissues, and simplify checking for correct positioning. Such tools would be really useful when visibility is compromised by complications.

Trypan blue has been demonstrated to have great potential as a surgical agent. Safe and easy to use, it has made many surgical procedures safer and easier to perform. Besides its application in cataract surgery, corneal transplantation, and ERM removal, it has many applications in glaucoma procedures. Trypan blue has already been described in evaluations of the patency of the bleb filtering and drainage device; it highlights the exact location for goniotomy, trabeculectomy, and XEN placement, as it selectively stains the trabecular meshwork. Besides its application in the initial surgery, it has also been described as assisting the needling technique for early bleb failure after XEN implantation. Trypan blue is safe for both corneal and trabecular meshwork cells. Moreover, surgeons are already familiar with its use and it is also readily available.

**Conclusion**

The success of XEN implantation relies critically on visibility, and because trypan blue makes the device more visible, this stain can be an especially useful tool. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of a surgical technique involving the staining of XEN gel with trypan blue. We hope this new technique might make a small contribution to the still novel field of MIGS.
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