Bathya brevicarpus gen. et sp. nov. (Amphipoda: Senticaudata: Calliopiidae), from hydrothermal vents, Okinawa Trough, North-west Pacific
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Abstract. One individual referable to Calliopiidae G.O. Sars, 1893 was collected from a chemically reduced habitat, the hydrothermal vent systems in Okinawa Trough, and was identified as a new genus and species belonging to this family after a morphological examination. A formal description of this new species and a discussion of the relationship of the new genus within Calliopiidae are presented.

Keywords. Taxonomy, morphology, Calliopiidae, new genus, deep sea.

Wang Y.-R., Zhu C.-D., Sha, Z.-L. & Ren X.-Q. 2020. Bathya brevicarpus gen. et sp. nov. (Amphipoda: Senticaudata: Calliopiidae), from hydrothermal vents, Okinawa Trough, North-west Pacific. European Journal of Taxonomy 693: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2020.693

Introduction

The calliopiids have been placed in the Eusiroidea Stebbing, 1888 (e.g., Bousfield & Hendrycks 1995, 1997; De Broyer et al. 2007). A phylogenetic study based on morphology by Lowry & Myers (2013) showed that the Calliopiidae G.O. Sars, 1893 sit in the Hadziidira S. Karaman, 1943 and have a close relationship with Pontogeneiidae Stebbing, 1906. This family currently contains 27 genera and more
than 100 species, most of which are described from arctic and subarctic regions (e.g., Barnard & Karaman 1991; Ren & Huang 1991; Weisshappel 2001; d’Udekem d’Acoz 2007, 2010, 2012). The calliopiids reported from vent fields are represented by two species: *Bouvierella curtirama* Bellan-Santini & Thurston, 1996 collected at a depth of 1636 m from the mid-Atlantic Ridge and *Leptamphopus fragilis* Larsen & Krapp-Schickel, 2007 collected at a depth of 2656 m near Wuzza Bare Mount, northeast Pacific (Bellan-Santini & Thurston 1996; Larsen & Krapp-Schickel 2007). The Chinese research vessel “KEXUE”, using the remote operated vehicle (ROV) “FAXIAN” to survey the biodiversity of hydrothermal vents in Okinawa Trough, collected one unusual specimen referable to Calliopiidae. A morphological examination shows that this individual is a new calliopiid. However, the new species does not belong to any of the described genera within this family based on the combination of the following morphological characters: a small rostrum; the absence of the antennae calceoli; the article 3 of antenna 1 not produced apicoventrally; the inner plate of maxilla 1 bearing only one apical seta; the gnathopod 2 not linear or greatly elongate and the carpus of the gnathopods 1–2 much shorter than the propodus; pereopod 5 slightly longer than pereopod 7; epimeron 3 smooth; the outer ramus of uropod 3 half-length of the inner ramus; the entire telson, longer than broad. Hence, a new genus, *Bathya* gen. nov., is erected to accommodate this new species herein. A key to all genera of Calliopiidae is also presented.

**Material and methods**

The present material was collected by ROV “FAXIAN”, during expeditions to the Okinawa Trough hydrothermal vents by the Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IOCAS) in April 2014. The type specimen examined is deposited in the Marine Biological Museum, Chinese Academy of Sciences (MBMCAS), Qingdao, China, and preserved in 75% ethanol. It was examined and dissected under a dissecting microscope (ZEISS Discovery V20). Line drawings were made with a tablet (Wacom Intuos Pro PTH-851) and Adobe Photoshop (ver. CS6). The length measurements are made along the outline of the animals, beginning from the anterior margin of head to the end of the telson.

**Abbreviations** (used in Figs 1–2)

- A = antenna
- C = coxa
- E = epimeron
- G = gnathopod
- H = head
- L = left
- Md = mandible
- Mx1 = maxilla 1
- Mx2 = maxilla 2
- Mxp = maxilliped
- P = pereopod
- R = right
- T = telson
- U = uropod
Results

Order Amphipoda Latreille, 1816
Suborder Senticaudata Lowry & Myers, 2013
Superfamily Calliopioidea G.O. Sars, 1893
Family Calliopiidae G.O. Sars, 1893

Genus Bathya gen. nov.  
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:AA9C504C-CE7E-426A-A988-27098BD64D25

Type species  
Bathya brevicarpus gen. et sp. nov.

Diagnosis

Antennae calceoli absent. Antenna 1 longer than antenna 2; accessory flagellum absent. Mandible molar triturative, columnar; palp 3-articulate, extremely elongated, article 3 longer than article 2. Maxilla 1 inner plate bearing one apical seta; palp article 1 shorter than article 2. Maxilla 2 inner plate without oblique setal row. Maxilliped outer plate not reaching end margin of palp article 2. Gnathopod 1 similar in shape to gnathopod 2, slightly smaller than gnathopod 2; subchelate; carpus much shorter than propodus; with posterior lobe. Coxae 5–6 bilobate, posterior lobe larger than anterior lobe; coxa 7 rounded. Pereopod 5 slightly longer than pereopod 7; anterior margin of propodus with bifid robust setae; dactylus simple, with small nail. Epimerons 2–3 posterior margin smooth, posteroventral corner of epimeron 2 subacute. Uropods 1–2 inner ramus longer than outer ramus, both inner and outer ramus with marginal robust setae. Uropod 3 inner ramus about twice longer than outer ramus, both inner and outer ramus with marginal robust setae, without simple or plumose setae. Telson entire, linguiform, longer than broad.

Etymology

The generic name Bathya refers to the type species designated herein that was collected from bathyal waters.

Distribution

North-west Pacific, Okinawa Trough, the hydrothermal vents at a depth of 996.9 m.

Remarks

Actually, it is a little questionable that Bathya gen. nov. is placed under the Calliopiidae G.O. Sars, 1893 for having the pereopod 5 longer than pereopod 7. The phylogenetic study by Lowry & Myers (2013) based on morphology analyzed showed that the Calliopiidae and Pontogeneiidae Stebbing, 1906 cluster together and form a clade with Hornelliidae d’Udekem d’Acoz, 2010 and Cheirocratidae d’Udekem d’Acoz, 2010. The new genus is not listed under Cheirocratidae or Hornelliidae, which are also not having antennae calceoli, for having the following characteristics: the inner plate of maxilla 1 only bearing apical seta, maxilla 2 without oblique setal row, the rami of uropod 3 unequal in length, and the entire telson. The new genus is not suited to be placed in Pontogeneiidae for the propodus of gnathopod 2 having robust setae along the palmar margin. Moreover, Sanchoitae Lowry, 2006, which are known as endemic to Australia and associated with sponges (Lowry & Barnard 2001), have been listed under the Calliopiidae. However, the present genus cannot be incorporated in this family for the lack of a non-recessed head and a dorsoventrally flattened urosome (Lowry 2006). Hence, it is more reasonable that this new genus is to be placed under Calliopiidae with an emendation of the diagnosis of this family.
Besides having the pereopod 5 longer than pereopod 7, Bathya gen. nov. can be distinguished its congeners of the Calliopiidae by having the combination of the following characters: eyes present but not well pigmented; antenna 1 longer than antenna 2; the absence of an accessory flagellum; the inner plate of maxilla 1 only bearing one apical seta; maxilla 2 without oblique setal row; gnathopod 1 similar in shape and size to gnathopod 2; pleonites 1–3 without dorsal carinae; the rami of uropod 3 unequal in length; the entire telson.

*Bathya brevicarpus* gen. et sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2A8520B1-C0C6-4BAB-9C8D-A7DFB57B111D

Figs 1–2

**Diagnosis**

As for the genus.

**Etymology**

The new species name contains the Latin ‘*brev*’ (= short), referring to the carpus of the gnathopods 1 and 2 being shorter than the propodus.

**Material examined**

**Holotype**

NORTH-WEST PACIFIC • ♂, 5.6 mm, dissected; Okinawa Trough; depth 996.9 m; 17 Apr. 2014; RY0108, ROV-4; MBM 286556.

**Description**

**Body.** Dorsally smooth.

**Head.** Nearly subequal in length to pereonites 1 and 2 combined, rostrum small, anterior lobe rounded, lower margin with large and acute projecting tooth anterodistally; eyes present, but not pigmented, hardly visible in ethanol material.

**Antenna.** Antenna 1 longer than antenna 2; peduncular article 1 wider and longer than article 2; article 2 less than twice longer than article 3; article 3 not produced apicoventrally; primary flagellum 23-articulate, few very thin and short setae scattered along flagellum; accessory flagellum absent. Antenna 2 slender than antenna 1, peduncular article 5 distinctly longer than article 4; flagellum longer than peduncle, 28-articulate.

**Mouth parts.** Upper lip and lower lip broken. Mandible symmetrical, with incisor dentate, bearing 7 teeth; lacinia mobilis dentate, with 8 teeth; with 12 accessory spines; molar well developed, columnar, triturative; palp elongate, slender, 3-articulate, article 3 longer than article 2, ventral face bearing short stout setae. Maxilla 1 with inner plate bearing one apical stout seta; palp 2-articulate, article 2 longer than article 1, bearing 6 small robust and three long simple setae. Maxilla 2 inner plate slightly narrower than outer, only bearing sparse slender subapical marginal setae, without oblique row of slender setae.

**Gnathopods.** Coxae 1–4 longer than broad, coxa 4 broader than coxae 1–3. Gnathopod 1 subchelate, slightly smaller than gnathopod 2; coxa weakly produced anteroventrally; merus subrectangular, bearing row of slender setae along distal margin; carpus shorter than propodus, cup-shaped, with dense long slender setae on posterior margin; propodus suboval, palm acute, posterior margin with robust and short simple setae; dactylus evenly tapering, with acute tip. Gnathopod 2 similar in shape to gnathopod 1; coxa subrectangular, subequal in length to coxa 1, anterior margin slightly convex; carpus distinctly
Fig. 1. Bathya brevicarpus gen. et sp. nov., holotype, ♂ (MBM 286556).
Fig. 2. *Bathyra brevicarpus* gen. et sp. nov., holotype, ♂ (MBM 286556).
shorter than propodus, weakly lobed; propodus with tooth-like protruded sub-distally, bearing short simple and robust setae along posterior margin; dactylus with acute tip, evenly tapering.

**Pereopods.** Pereopod 3 (distal three articles broken in holotype) slender, merus bearing large produced lobe anterodistally. Pereopod 4 similar to pereopod 3, but coxa much broader. Pereopod 5 slightly longer than pereopod 7, coxa bilobate, anterior lobe smaller than posterior lobe; merus broader than distal three articles, with posterodistal strongly produced as lobe, anterior margin with 4+ groups of 2–3 robust setae; propodus 1.4 times longer than carpus, anterior margin bearing 8 groups of 2–3 bifid robust setae; dactylus slender, with acute tip, evenly tapering, 0.7 length of propodus, with small nail. Pereopod 6 (distal three articles broken in holotype) gill smaller than that of pereopod 5; coxa bilobate, anterior lobe much smaller than posterior lobe; basis slight longer than that of pereopod 5. Pereopod 7 coxa unilobate, rounded; basis broader than that of pereopods 5 and 6, anterior margin bearing robust setae; propodus subequal in length to carpus; dactylus half-length of propodus, with small acute nail.

**Gills.** Present on coxae 2–6, small, not pleated.

**Epimeral plates 1 and 3.** Without tooth on posterior margin, postero-corner of epimeral 2 being subacute.

**Uropods.** Uropod 1 overreaching distal end of uropod 2, without interramal spur; peduncle shorter than rami, with 19 marginal and one large distal robust setae; outer ramus shorter than inner ramus, but subequal in broad to inner, with 9 marginal and three distal robust setae; inner ramus with 14 marginal and 3 distal robust setae. Uropod 2 peduncle distinctly shorter than rami, with 5 marginal and two distal robust setae; outer ramus 0.6 times shorter than inner ramus, with 10 marginal and three distal robust setae; inner ramus with 14 marginal and three distal robust setae. Uropod 3 shorter than uropod 2, peduncle shorter than rami, with one robust seta distally; outer ramus 0.55 times shorter than inner ramus, with 7 marginal robust setae; inner ramus with 15 marginal robust setae.

**Telson.** Entire, longer than broad (length 1.7 times as long as width at base), distal margin convex.

**Discussion**

The calliopiids are cosmopolitan (Barnard 1964; Bousfield & Hendrycks 1997; Lowry & Myers 2012), and have been reported from various environments including fresh water, bipolar, shallow and deep waters and hydrothermal vents (e.g., Barnard & Karaman 1991; Bellan-Santini & Thurston 1996; Krapp-Schickel & Sorbe 2006; d’Udekem d’Acoz 2007; Lowry & Myers 2012; Ringvold & Tandberg 2014). For example, species of the most species-rich genus of Calliopiidae, *Apherusa* Walker, 1891, are mostly recorded from the neritic environment (Barnard & Karaman 1991; Krapp-Schickel & Sorbe 2006) and 13 of 17 known species of *Oradarea* Walker, 1903 are found in the Antarctic and sub-Antarctic islands (Alonso 2012). Two calliopiids, *Bouvierella curtirama* Bellan-Santini & Thurston, 1996 and *Leptamphopus fragilis* Larsen & Krapp-Schickel, 2007, have been reported from vent fields. However, the present new species belongs to neither *Bouvierella* Chevreux, 1900 nor *Leptamphopus* G.O. Sars, 1893 (see Table 1). This is the third calliopiid from a hydrothermal vent habitat. As the new species cannot be assigned to any genus of Calliopiidae, a new genus is erected herein. Additionally, a key to all genera of the Calliopiidae is presented below.

Moreover, the morphological differences between several genera of Calliopiidae, such as *Halirages* Boeck, 1871, *Haliragoides* G.O. Sars, 1893 and *Apherusa*, are blurred (Ringvold & Tandberg 2014). The phylogenetic analyses based on a comparison of 18S and 28S rDNA sequences by Verheye et al. (2016) shows that the Calliopiidae are not monophyletic. Hence, the entire calliopiid family is in need of revision.
Identification key to genera of the Callioipiidae

Key based on original or amended descriptions of genera and adapted from previous keys given by Barnard (1964), Barnard & Karaman (1991) and Bousfield & Hendrycks (1997). All genera included in this key are according to Lowry & Myers (2013) and WoRMS (2020).

1. Mandibular molar not triturative, usually conical ................................................................. 2
   - Mandibular molar triturative, columnar, rather conical ....................................................... 3

2. Carpus of gnathopods 1–2 shorter than propodus, weakly lobed; antenna 2 elongate ..........
   - Carpus of gnathopods 1–2 as long as propodus, unlobed; antenna 1 elongate ....................

3. Inner plate of maxilla 2 much broader than outer plate ....................................................
   - Inner plate of maxilla 2 not much broader than outer plate .............................................. 4

4. Coxae very short and progressively longer towards coxa 7 .............................................
   - Coxae not as greatly shortened and not progressively lengthened towards coxa 7 ............ 6

5. Carpus and propodus of pereopods 6–7 extremely elongate (planktonic); body carinate; coxa 1 not
   or scarcely produced anteriorly .................................................................
   - Carpus and propodus of pereopods 6–7 not extremely elongate; body smooth; coxa 1 produced
   anteriorly ........................................................................................................

6. Palp of maxilla 1 reduced, not exceeding apex of outer plate, article 1 longer than article 2 ..........
   - Palp of maxilla 1 ordinary, article 1 shorter than article 2 ................................................ 7

7. Rami of uropods 1–2 without marginal robust setae, only with distal robust setae ..............
   - Rami of uropods 1–2 with both marginal and distal robust setae ........................................ 8

8. Gnathopods 2 very slender, linear, carpus very slender and elongate, unlobed, propodus generally
   elongate and linear (except in Amphithopsis) ............................................................
   - Gnathopod 2 not very slender nor linear nor greatly elongate (propodus not especially elongate) ....

9. Gnathopod 1 ordinary, neither linear nor elongate .............................................................. 10
   - Gnathopod 1 linear, elongate ....................................................................................... 12

Table 1. Synoptic table comparing Bathya brevicarpus gen. et sp. nov. and congeneric calliopiids
reported from vent fields on the basis of literature data.

| Species                | Accessory flagellum | Eyes   | Gnathopod 2 | Telson |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Bathya brevicarpus     | absent              | present| not linear  | entire |
| Bouvierella curtirama  | present             | absent | linear      | truncate|
| Leptamphopus fragilis  | present             | absent | linear      | cleft  |
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10. Propodus of gnathopod 2 not linear; dactylus of pereopods 3–7 serrated
   \[ \text{Amphithopsis Boeck, 1861} \]
   \[ \text{Propodus of gnathopod 2 linear; dactylus of pereopod 3–7 not serrated} \]

11. Accessory flagellum absent; lower lip with inner lobe absent
   \[ \text{Bouvierella Chevreux, 1900} \]
   \[ \text{Accessory flagellum present; lower lip with inner lobe present} \]

12. Dactylus of pereopods 3–7 with one or more superior robust setae
   \[ \text{Cleippides Boeck, 1871} \]
   \[ \text{Dactylus of pereopods 3–7 without superior robust setae} \]

13. Carpus of gnathopods 1–2 much longer than propodus
   \[ \text{Leptamphopus G.O. Sars, 1893} \]
   \[ \text{Carpus of gnathopods 1–2 scarcely longer and usually shorter than propodus} \]

14. Gnathopod 2 much longer than gnathopod 1, carpus and propodus extremely slender like that of
   pereopod 3–7
   \[ \text{Leptamphopus G.O. Sars, 1893} \]
   \[ \text{Gnathopod 2 as long as or slightly longer than gnathopod 1, carpus and propodus normal} \]

15. Telson entire, linguiform
   \[ \text{Membrilopus Barnard & Karaman, 1987} \]
   \[ \text{Telson with shallow apical notch on distal margin} \]
   \[ \text{Frigora Ren in Ren & Huang, 1991} \]

16. Antennae calceolate; antenna 1, peduncular article 3 with posterodistal process; uropod3, rami
    margin only with simple setae
   \[ \text{Halirages Boeck, 1871} \]
   \[ \text{Antennae usually lacking calceoli; antenna 1, peduncular article 3 unmodified; uropod 3, margins}
    with robust setae and inner marginal setae only} \]

17. Propodus of gnathopods expanded; pereopods 5–7 elongated
   \[ \text{Haliragoides G.O. Sars, 1893} \]
   \[ \text{Propodus of gnathopods not expanded; pereopods 5–7 normal, not extremely elongated} \]

18. Peduncular article 3 of antenna 1 produced apicoventrally
   \[ \text{Lopyastis Thurston, 1974} \]
   \[ \text{Peduncular article 3 of antenna 1 not or weakly produced apicoventrally} \]

19. Carpus on either of gnathopods 1–2 much shorter than propodus
   \[ \text{Lopyastis Thurston, 1974} \]
   \[ \text{Carpus on either of gnathopods 1–2 scarcely shorter than or longer than propodus} \]

20. Carpus of gnathopods not lobate
   \[ \text{Tylosapis Thurston, 1974} \]
   \[ \text{Carpus of gnathopods strongly lobate} \]

21. Epimeron 3 serrate
   \[ \text{Calliopius Lilljeborg, 1865} \]
   \[ \text{Epimeron 3 smooth} \]

22. Accessory flagellum well developed, 3+ articulate
   \[ \text{Weygrechita Stuxberg, 1880} \]
   \[ \text{Accessory flagellum scale-like} \]

23. Rostrum small
   \[ \text{Oligochinus Barnard, 1969} \]
   \[ \text{Rostrum large} \]

24. Accessory flagellum absent
   \[ \text{Paracalliopiella Tzvetkova & Kudrjaschov, 1975} \]
   \[ \text{Accessory flagellum 1-articulate, scale-like} \]

25. Gnathopod 1 larger in size than gnathopod 2
   \[ \text{Whangarusa Barnard & Karaman, 1987} \]
   \[ \text{Gnathopod 1 similar in size to gnathopod 2} \]
26. Carpus of gnathopods 1–2 much shorter than propodus; outer ramus of uropod 3 ½ length of inner ramus; telson longer than broad, entire .......................................................... Bathya gen. nov.
   – Carpus of gnathopods 1–2 subequal to propodus; rami of uropod 3 subequal in length; telson broader than long, emarginated .................................................. Lutriwita Lowry & Myers, 2012 (fresh water)

27. Dactylus of pereopods 3–7 bifid .................................................. Manerogeneia Barnard & Karaman, 1987
   – Dactylus of pereopods 3–7 pectinate ........................................ Metaleptamphopus Chevreux, 1911
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