Abstract
The paper motivates a strategy for identification and annotation of derivational relations in the Bulgarian wordnet that aims at coping with the complex morphology of the language in an elegant way. Our method involves transfer of the Princeton WordNet (morpho)semantic relations into the Bulgarian wordnet, at the level of the synset, and further detection of derivational relations between literals in Bulgarian. Derivational relations have been annotated to reflect the complexity of Bulgarian morphology. Introduced literal relations improve the consistency and employability of the wordnet.

1 Introduction
Bulgarian is a language with rich derivational morphology but derivational relations in the Bulgarian wordnet (BulNet) have been marked so far only at the level of the synset (Koeva, 2008). This paper outlines our strategy for representing the derivational relations at the level of the literal. We advocate for an approach with a twofold aim – to reflect the language specificity and to keep the overall structure of the Princeton WordNet (PWN) while modifying the representation of derivational and morphosemantic information (Fellbaum et al., 2009). We focus on noun-verb pairs (for encoding of other derivational patterns, cf. Koeva, 2008; Stoyanova et al., 2013). The derivational relations are to be further exploited for a prediction of (morpho)semantic relations between synsets that are not part of BulNet yet (hence, they are not found in PWN as they have no morphological realisation in English). As morphosemantic relations in PWN are transferred into BulNet, we have used them to find prospective derivationally related pairs and derivational models in Bulgarian. Thus, the introduction of derivational relations improves connectivity in BulNet by explicitly linking morphological and semantic information through encoding links between literals in synsets connected via (morpho)semantic relations.

While encoding this information on different levels to reflect different phenomena, we enrich BulNet with information about derivational patterns that can be used in NLP tasks such as information retrieval and question answering (cf. Hathout and Tanguy, 2002; Ligozat et al., 2012).

In the next section, we briefly present the Bulgarian wordnet with some remarks on the specific conventions adopted for its development. Section 3 discusses other attempts at encoding derivational relations in wordnets of languages with rich morphology. The complexity of Bulgarian derivational morphology is outlined in Section 4. In Section 5, we brush on the first step of our method for automatic identification and annotation of derivational relations. Section 6 presents the set of conventions followed in the annotation of derivational relations that have been specified, along with the manual validation and correction of the results of the method applied (as introduced in Section 5). In Section 7, we outline directions for future work.

2 Bulgarian Wordnet – an Overview
The Bulgarian wordnet was launched as part of the BalkaNet project that aimed at creating a multilingual lexical database of wordnets for
Bulgarian, Greek, Romanian, Serbian, Turkish, and Czech (Stamou et al., 2002). BulNet aims to preserve the original structure of the Princeton WordNet and EuroWordNet (Vossen, 2004). Non-lexicalized synsets from PWN are kept in the overall structure and marked with the label *no lexicalization*. Language-specific concepts are included in the appropriate place of the lexical hierarchy.

Currently, BulNet comprises over 50,000 synsets. Unlike PWN which contains only open-class words, BulNet is enriched with function words (in synsets) added for the development of the Bulgarian Sense-Annotated Corpus, where every word is linked to a corresponding sense (synset) (Koeva et al., 2011). Words in BulNet are distributed into nine parts-of-speech: noun, verb, adjective, adverb, pronoun, preposition, conjunction, particle, and interjection – see the numbers in Table 1.

| Part-of-speech | Count |
|----------------|-------|
| Nouns         | 33,825|
| Verbs         | 6,199 |
| Adjectives    | 8,114 |
| Adverbs       | 1,395 |
| Pronouns      | 94    |
| Prepositions  | 423   |
| Conjunctions  | 108   |
| Particles     | 57    |
| Interjections | 11    |
| Total         | 50,226|

Table 1: Parts-of-speech distribution in BulNet

The main part of the relations in BulNet are semantic: *also see*, causes, holo_member, holo_part, holo_portion, hypernym, near_anonym, similar_to, subevent, verb_group. The list of semantic relations is based on the PWN lexical and conceptual relations (Koeva et al., 2004). BulNet encodes several morphosemantic – be_in_state, bg_derivative, and morphological (derivational) relations – derived, participle. Be_in_state is a relation between an adjective and a noun considered as state of the respective adjective: \{\text{амбициозен:1, амбиция:1}\} – \{ambition:2, ambitiousness:1\} is a state of \{\text{амбициозен:1}\} – \{ambitious:1\}. Bg_derivative links a verb and a noun derived from it that are semantically related, as in: \{\text{дирижирам:1} – \{conduct:3\}\} and \{\text{диригент:1, музикален ръководител:1} – \{conductor:2, music director:1, director:1\}\}. The relation bg_derivative is transferred from PWN. Derived is a relation between a noun and an adjective derived from it, as in \{\text{каменен:1}\} 'made of, characteristic of or related to stone' derived from \{\text{камък:1}\} – \{stone:4\}. Participle is a relation between a verb and its participle – \{пулверизиран:1} – \{spray-dried:1\} is a participle of \{пулверизирам:1\} – \{spray-dry:1\}.

3 Previous Work

Derivational relations in the Princeton WordNet 3.0 have been extracted through automatic identification of base-derived and semantically related noun-verb pairs (Fellbaum et al., 2009). A set of semantic relations across a number of morphologically derived noun-verb classes was determined, and morphological relations were added. The identified morphosemantic links connect word pairs where one of the literals is derived from another. They are marked as related both derivationally (relations derived/derivative) and semantically (relations event, state, result, agent, undergoer, property, instrument, location, means, uses, destination, material, body part, vehicle). Derivational pairs are available in a morphosemantic database through: http://wordnet.princeton.edu/wordnet/download/standoff/.

Other approaches involve automatic or semi-automatic adding of new synsets to wordnet by automatically deriving new words from already existing ones and adding morphological relations. Attempts at annotation of derivational relations are mostly made for wordnets of languages with rich morphology such as Romanian, Turkish, Estonian, and Slavic languages. Some approaches involve semi-automatic and automatic identification of derived word forms and pairs. The morphological analyser Ajka used for the Czech wordnet, works with a list of stems from which word forms are generated. A set of words is defined by identifying prefix, suffix, and a morphological tag, and a derivational rule is applied using a substitution of morphemes (affixes), with manual modification (deleting and correcting) of the generated word forms (Palá and Hlaváčková, 2007). The analyser Derywator is used for semi-automated expansion of the Polish wordnet through a combination of prefix and suffix modules in two transducers trained to

1 Curly brackets mark a synset, and square brackets mark a literal.
work in the opposite direction on pairs already described in the wordnet and extended with automated construction of mappings representing internal stem alternations (Piasecki et al. 2012). For adding morphosemantic relations to the Romanian wordnet, simple literals were extracted (Mititelu, 2012). A list of prefixes and a list of suffixes were used to form combinations, and resulting forms are matched against a list of literals in the wordnet. Estonian wordnet was also enriched with synsets that are automatically generated using derivational suffixes (Kahusk et al., 2010).

Wordnets of other languages use language-specific labels and relations. Czech and Turkish wordnets adopt a set of labels that is different from the PWN ones. The Czech wordnet uses labels referring to part-of-speech: deriv-na, deriv-dvrb, deriv-an, deriv-pos, deriv-pas, deriv-aad, deriv-an, deriv-g, deriv-ag, deriv-dem (Pala and Hlaváčková, 2007). Labels in the Turkish wordnet are more general such as become, acquire, be-in-state, something-with, someone-with, someone-from, someone-without, something-without, pertains-to, with, reciprocal, causes, is-caused-by, cat-of, manner (Bilgin et al., 2004). The work on the Croatian wordnet (Katunar and Šojat, 2011) plans to follow the morphosemantic field model (Raffaelli and Kerovec, 2008).

Previous attempts at adding derivational relations to BulNet are outlined in (Koeva, 2008), (Koeva et al., 2008) and (Stoyanova et al., 2013). The derivational relations in PWN are transferred into and aligned to BulNet. They are marked at the level of the synset with bg_derivative relation, or in snote when the transferred relation does not hold. Koeva (2008) proposes an approach for enlargement of BulNet that involves splitting verb synsets that contain both perfective and imperfective verbs.

The approach outlined in our paper involves automatic detection of candidate pairs and manual validation following language-specific conventions without straining too far from PWN. Morphosemantic relations hold among semantically related words sharing a stem with a close meaning. Semantic labels have been specified following PWN. After automatic detection of candidate pairs using the PWN morphosemantic database, we assign derivational relations to the identified literals. Next section gives a brief overview of some features of Bulgarian morphology to motivate our decisions for the annotation conventions adopted.

4 Bulgarian Derivational Morphology

Due to historical and cultural factors, Bulgarian language has preserved many Slavic features and acquired others that are common for the Balkan Sprachbund. Bulgarian is the only Slavic language with analytic nominal system, compensated by complex verb forms marked for aspect, mood, tense, voice, and evidentiality. Bulgarian derivational morphology combines inherited and borrowed word forms and shows great diversity of patterns.

4.1 Derivation Means

As in other Indo-European languages, there are two main morphological processes for formation of new words in Bulgarian – affixation and composition. Affixation consists in adding affixes to the root or the stem. Root is the central morpheme of a word that carries the main part of its semantic content, while stem is the root plus all derivational affixes, e.g., in discounted the root is -count- and the stem is discount-. Composition is defined as word formation by linking two or more stems. In Bulgarian, stems are often attached to each other by a linking morpheme – interfix, as in вод-О-пад 'waterfall'.

A relatively rare word formation means is paradigmatic derivation – a term used to denote a derivation where the derivative keeps the same stem, but differs from the source word by its paradigm (Radeva, 1991: 51), as in работа ‘work’ – работа ‘work’. Paradigmatic derivation may occur in different directions: noun-to-noun, noun-to-verb, verb-to-noun, adjective-to-verb, etc. Inflection markers and/or thematic vowels may be added, removed, or replaced, as in: десет ‘ten’ – десети ‘tenth’; няко ’to string’ – низ ’a string’; тъга ’a grief’ – тъжи ’to grieve’. In this paper, we will use the term conversion to designate such instances of zero-suffixation.

4.2 Derivation Formants

Suffixation is the most productive derivational process in Bulgarian, and the most complicated one. Suffixes are polysemic, i.e., one suffix usually has more than one meaning. For instance, the suffix -ник is used to form nouns for agent (проповядвам ‘preach’ – проповедник ‘preacher’), instrument (подема ‘lift up’ – подемник ‘gig’), location (багаж ‘luggage’ – багажник ‘luggage-carrier’), etc. The same meaning may be expressed by different suffixes such as the agentive -ач, -ар, -ец, -ник, -тел, -ко, -льо, -ент/-ант, -атор, -джия/-ция, etc.
In terms of origin, suffixes are domestic or borrowed from different languages – Turkish (-джия), Latin, directly or more often through intermediate language (-ция), Russian (-чия), English (-инг), etc. Some of the borrowed suffixes become productive and may be attached to domestic stems or even to stems borrowed from other languages, as the Turkish -джия/-ция in таксиджия 'taxi driver' and интересия 'someone who is looking after his own interests'.

New words are formed by attaching one or more suffixes to the root or the stem. Suffixes may be added to the stem by agglutination to form a derivation chain, as in: мела 'to mill'; мелица 'a mill', where the suffix for location -ница is added to the verb stem; меличар 'miller', with the suffix for agent -ар added to the noun stem; меличарски 'characteristic or belonging to a miller', with the suffix for property -ски.

Apart from agglutination, suffixation involves diachronic changes in the root or the stem, decomposition of the morphological structure, fusion between suffixes, between the suffix and the stem or between the suffix and the inflection, so that morpheme boundaries may become unclear. We will illustrate this process by two examples.

1) There are two possible analyses of the morpheme structure of imperfective verbs formed with the imperfectivating suffix -ва-, such as гребкам 'to scoop': греб-ва-м (root – imperfectivating suffix – inflection marker), or греб-в-а-м (root – imperfectivating suffix – thematic vowel – inflection marker). Both interpretations are possible (Ganeva, 2010: 135).

2) The words летица 'airports' and сънища 'dreams' seem to have the same derivational model. In fact, they have different morpheme structure: лет-ица (root – suffix for location – inflection marker for plural) and сън-ища (root – inflection marker for plural). The paradigm of the second word is formed by analogy and was subjected to stem decomposition.

Unlike suffixes, prefixes do not cause any changes in the stem. Derivatives formed by prefixation do not change their part-of-speech. Prefixation is a typical means for verbal derivation that involves change of verbal aspect, namely perfectivation: пиша 'to write-impf2 – на-пиша 'to write-pf'. Polyprefixation is characteristic for Bulgarian, where every prefix modifies the semantics of the word: пиша 'to write' - пре-пиша 'to copy out' – до-пре-пиша 'to copy out the rest'.

Both a prefix and a suffix can be attached to a stem to form a derivative, as in вод-а 'water' – под-вод-ен 'under-water'.

4.3 Phonetic Alternations

Derivation in Bulgarian is sometimes accompanied by phonetic changes that impede automatic detection of derivatives. Phonetic alternations are inherited from Old Bulgarian, and some of them are regular and still functional in Modern Bulgarian. Ablaut is a vowel alternation in the root that reflects word class or grammatical category, as in: из-дяр-а-м 'to choose' – verb, imperative; из-дяр-а 'to choose' – verb, perfective; из-бр-ан 'chosen' – participle; из-добр – noun. Umlaut is a vowel alternation [а]/[е] depending on the stress and the vowel in the next syllable. It can express number, as in: бял 'white-sg, sg'; бял 'white-pl' (pl). Consonant alternations are due to historical palatalization and other phonetic laws. Some typical consonant alternations are: к (к)/ч (ч)/ч – човек 'man', човеъде 'man-vocative', човеци 'men'; т (т)/ш (ш) – свеш 'shine', свеш 'candle'; д (д)/ж (ж) – огра 'enclosure', огра ждам 'enclose'.

Some of the phonetic alternations have a grammatical value, but they are not considered derivational means.

4.4 Derivation vs. Inflection

In Bulgarian, inflection marks verbs for person, number, tense, voice, and mood, and nominal word classes – for gender and person (and case for pronouns). Inflection markers usually stand at the end of the word, after the derivational suffix(es), with the exception of some old word forms where an inflection may appear within the word (м-ва 'this-sg, sg'), and before the definite article in nominal word forms (жена-ме 'the women'). In our work, inflection markers are not taken into account as they affect only word forms and have grammatical meaning, in contrast to derivational affixes. Still, there are several grammatical suffixes in Bulgarian that have a contradictory interpretation.

Thematic vowels in Bulgarian are inherited from Proto-Slavic, and were further subjected to complex diachronic modifications. In Modern Bulgarian, thematic vowels are considered classificatory suffixes showing a verb conjugation and/or tense. Unlike derivational suffixes, they
do not have any semantic content, but are involved in the derivation of verbs from nouns or adjectives, e.g., мъка 'pain' – мъквам 'to torment-2p.sg', червен 'red' – червенЕе 'to redden-3p.sg'. Bulgarian linguistic literature defines this mode of derivation as paradigmatic (see Section 4.1).

Verbal aspect in Bulgarian has two opposed interpretations: 1) aspectual pairs are grammatical forms of the same word; or 2) they are separate words as they show difference in meaning, verb frame, inflection type, and usage (Koeva, 2008: 363). We follow the second interpretation, i.e., to define aspect suffixes as derivational.

Participles are not explicitly classified for part-of-speech. As non-finite verb forms, they are traditionally considered a part of the verb paradigm, but their morphological formants are defined as derivational and not inflectional suffixes, as in ходи-'I walked' where -л is a derivation suffix for aorist active participle with a zero inflection for masculine (for details on the grammar of the contemporary Bulgarian literary language, cf. Gramatika na savremenija balgarski knizhoven ezik. T. 2 Morfologiya., 1982).

### 5 Automatic Identification of Derivational Relations in BulNet

For automatic detection of derivational relations in BulNet, we employ the applicable information encoded in PWN. The method applied does not require any additional language resources, such as dictionaries or lists of affixes. The first step is to query for pairs of synsets linked via a morphosemantic relation in PWN. If a given pair of synsets has a corresponding pair in BulNet, we search for a pair of literals in the corresponding synsets with similar representation, and add a derivational relation to the literals found.

Two literals are similar if at least one of the following conditions holds:
1. One of the literals is included into the other, i.e., is substring of it. They are similar by inclusion.
2. The two literals in a pair have a long enough common prefix (as a string of symbols in the beginning of the word form). Its length has to be at least half the length of the shorter literal. Therefore, they are defined as similar by prefix.
3. The two literals have a Levenshtein distance smaller than a given value. The value is calculated as the minimum number of: the length of the first literal, the length of the second literal; the absolute value of difference of the lengths of the two literals + a constant tolerance (2).

After calculating the similarity, we identify the differences between the words (literals) defined as relations: prefix, suffix, and conversion. If the literals match, the pair receives the relation conversion. If the two literals in the pair have the same beginning (defined as a string of symbols in the beginning of the word), the relation prefix cannot be attached. If the two literals have the same ending, the label suffix is excluded. If a relation of the type prefix, suffix, and conversion is not found, we compare the lengths of the common strings at the beginning and at the end. If the beginning is greater, we assign a suffix relation. A prefix relation is assigned if the ending is greater.

After the automatic assignment of derivational relations, manual validation was performed on all pairs found and annotation conventions were adopted in order to assure uniform and consistent approach to the morphological patterns in Bulgarian. We introduced two additional derivational relations – deriv (unspecified derivation) and noun_suffix/verb_suffix (substitution) to reflect specific processes and patterns (see section 6). Derivational relations were automatically assigned to literals denoting both members of the aspectual verb pairs, e.g., [премахване:3] 'disposal' received without suffix relation to both [премахвам:3] ‘to dispose-impl’ and [премахва:3] ‘to dispose-pf’. However, the direct derivational relation links it only to the imperfective verb (премахва-м > премахва-ме), so we remove the automatically assigned relation to the perfective verb. In the next section, we discuss the annotation conventions adopted.

### 6 Conventions for Annotation of Derivational Relations in BulNet

Literals pertaining to different synsets are derivationally linked via three asymmetrical (suffix/without_suffix, prefix/without_prefix, noun_suffix/verb_suffix) and two symmetrical (conversion, deriv) derivational relations attached to the literals. Synsets which contain these literals are linked via (morpho)semantic relations transferred from PWN. Numbers about the annotated literals are given in Table 2.
| Derivational relation                  | Count |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| suffix/without_suffix                | 2,352 |
| noun_suffix/verb_suffix               | 296   |
| prefix/without_prefix                | 241   |
| conversion                           | 177   |
| deriv                                | 21    |

Table 2: Number of literals with a derivalional relation assigned

Literals in BulNet can be linked via more than one derivational relations reflecting different patterns. Our aim is to find and represent the highly productive derivational patterns in order to trace other words that exhibit them and can be linked through respective (morpho)semantic relations (and assigned semantic labels). The noun literals are derivationally linked to one of the verb literals in a synset that contains both members of an aspect verb pair. If two literals in a synset are linked via a direct derivational relation, we do not assign an indirect one (although it may be a member of the corresponding synset). For instance, the noun [връщане] ‘return’ is linked to the verb [връщам се:1] ‘to return’, and the noun with the prefix за – [завръщане:3] ‘return’ is linked to the verb [завръщам се:1] ‘to return’ (respective literals are members of the same synsets – a noun and a verb one, respectively). However, there may be not a direct link, and we may link the two literals via an indirect derivational relation – we can observe further which pattern is more productive. The labels of derivational relations assigned do not reflect the real direction of the derivation. In the subsections, we will discuss the types of derivational relations assigned to verb-noun pairs.

6.1 Suffixification: suffix/without suffix

The derivational relation suffix/without_suffix is asymmetrical and marks suffixation (when a suffix or a combination of suffixes are used to generate new words) and suffix removal, respectively, as in [плувах:1] ‘to swim’ / [плувах:1] ‘swimming’ where the deverbal noun suffix -(n)е is attached to the stem of the verb плува/ (г is the inflection marker for 1p, sg, present form of the verb).

In BulNet, verbs are classified as imperfective, perfective, bi-aspectual, imperfectiva tautum, and perfectiva tautum (Koeva, 2008). Though verbs in aspect pairs are members of one synset, they express difference in meaning, and form different derivatives. Deverbal nouns with suffix -(н)е are derived from the imperfective stem and usually denote a process. Nouns ending in -(н)е are derivationally linked to the literals of imperfective verbs. Deverbal nouns formed with the suffix -(н)е are derived from the aorist stem, usually denote a result of an action, and can be derivationally linked to perfective or imperfective verbs. The synset {миграция:1, миграции:1, преселение:1} – {migration:1} ‘the movement of persons from one country or locality to another’ is linked as event to the synset {преселва се:2, преселя се:2, мигрирам:1, разселва се:1} – {migrate:1, transmigrate:1} ‘move from one country or region to another and settle there’. Literals are derivationally linked as follows:

{преселва се:2, преселя се:2, мигрирам:1, разселва се:1} has_event: {миграция:1, миграции:1, преселение:1} {преселва се:2} 
lnote: impf.
suffix: [преселване:1] 
{преселя се:2} 
lnote: pf.
suffix: [преселение:1] 
[мигрирам:1] 
lnote: impf. and pf.
suffix: [миграция:1] noun_suffix: [миграция:1]

A -(н)е noun can be derivationally linked to imperfectiva tautum verbs, such as: [тълкувам:2] – [interpret:3] ‘give an interpretation or explanation to’ and [тълкувание:1] and [тълкувание:2] (belonging to the same synset) – [interpretation:3] ‘a mental representation of the meaning or significance of something’.

In Bulgarian, participles can have both verbal interpretation (as in passive voice) and nominal one. If a participle is substantivised, i.e., is a member of a noun synset, and this synset is linked via a (morpho)semantic relation to a verb synset, the participle may receive a derivational relation. Разлято and разляно ‘spilled’ are both passive participles of the verb разля ‘to spill’. Thus, {разлято:1, разляно:1} – {spill:1} ‘liquid that is spilled’ is an event of {разлявам:1},

4 The aspect pairs are introduced in one and the same synset (the aspect is mentioned in an lnote) to keep the symmetry with PWN. However, as this representation is not sufficient, they are to be split into separate synsets subordinate to the same immediate hyponym (Koeva, 2008: 363).
The -не and -ние patterns are among the most productive. Most -не and -ние nouns in BulNet are members of synsets linked to the verbs via an event (morpho)semantic relation (1,207 of the synsets with -не nouns, and 448 with -ние nouns). 57 of the synsets containing -не nouns and 43 of the -ние nouns are linked to the verbs via result semantic relation. The state relation connects 42 of the synsets with -не nouns and 67 of the synsets of -ние nouns.

In order to find productive derivational patterns in Bulgarian, we mark derivational relations on literals that are indirectly related to the derivative (derived by another member of the chain) and show a pattern containing more than one suffix. The noun [ковачица:1] 'forge' is linked as location and via suffix to [кова:2] 'to forge' although ковачица is derived via ковач 'blacksmith' (PWN shows no derivational or (morpho)semantic relation between [forge:5] and [blacksmith:1]). The semantic relation between кова and ковачица is derivationally motivated – forge is a location where a blacksmith forges. The derivation path (verb + suffix for agent + suffix for location) may be applied to find other pairs with similar morphosemantic relation, as in тъка ‘to weave’ – тъкач ‘weaver’ – тъкачница ‘weaving workshop’.

6.2 Substitution: noun_suffix/verb_suffix

The relation noun_suffix/verb_suffix is asymmetrical and marks a suffix on both members of the pair, as in [акомпанирам:1] 'to accompany' and [акомпанимент:1] 'accompaniment' – the suffix on the verb is -ра- and the noun suffix is -ент. The derivation process involves two operations – removing a verb suffix and adding a noun suffix to form a noun and vice versa.

A literal can have several derivatives pertaining to the same or different synsets, as in [епилирам:1] – [epilate:1] 'remove body hair' linked via suffix relation to [епилация:1] – [epilation:1], and via noun_suffix relation to [епилация:1] – both are event members of the synset {епилация:1, епилация:1, депилираше:1, депилираше:1, обезкосмиване:1} – {epilation:1, deposition:1} 'the act of removing (as from an animal skin)'; and via noun_suffix relation to material [епилатор:1] – [epilator:1] of the synset {депилатор:1, депилатор:1, епилатор:1} – {depilatory:2, depilator:1, epilator:1} 'a cosmetic for temporary removal of undesired hair'.

6.3 Prefixation: prefix/without_prefix

Another asymmetrical relation marks prefixation and prefix removal. In Bulgarian, prefixation does not change the part-of-speech, so adding or removing a prefix in noun-verb pairs is always accompanied by attachment of a thematic vowel to form a verb and its removal to form a noun, e.g., [завивка:1] 'to screw' without_prefix [видим:1] 'screw'. As thematic vowels do not have any semantic content, their attachment or removal is not explicitly annotated.

The relation prefix/without_prefix can be combined with suffix/without_suffix or noun_suffix/verb_suffix when the suffix has a lexical content as in въоръжа 'to arm' vs. оръже 'armament' where the verb is derived via prefixation (prefix в-) and the noun is derived via suffixation (suffix -ие). Thus, the synset {въоръжа:1, въоръжавам:1} – {arm:2} is related via the (morpho)semantic relation uses with the synset {оръже:1, въоръжаване:1} – {armament:2}, and the the literal [оръже:1] is derivationally related to [въоръжа:1] via the relations prefix and without_suffix.

{оръже:1, въоръжаване:1} is_used_to: {въоръжа:1, въоръжавам:1} [оръже:1] prefix: [въоръжа:1] without_suffix: [въоръжа:1]

Derivationally related verb-noun pairs via prefixation are much rarer – 241 instances (2,352 of suffixation).

6.4 Conversion

The symmetrical relation conversion (marked on both literals of the pair) annotates zero-suffixation, as in [викам:1] 'to cry' and [вик:1] 'a cry' – the thematic vowel -a- and the inflectional suffix for 1p, sg, present tense -и are removed and no derivational suffix is added to generate the noun. The reverse process of adding a thematic vowel and an inflection marker to form a verb, is also marked as conversion, e.g.,
‘to mediate’ is derived by conversion from ‘mediator’.

Derivational relations may link literals of the same synset to literal from different synsets:

{тъжа:1, тъгува:2, жался:1} – {sorrow:1, grieve:1} ‘feel grief’

has_state: [тъжа:1, печал:2, униние:1] – {sorrow:5, sadness:3, sorrowfulness:2} ‘the state of being sad’

has_event: [жался:1, мъка:3, печал:1] – {sorrow:3} ‘an emotion of great sadness associated with loss or bereavement’

{тъга:1}

Inote: impf. t.
conversion: [тъга:1]

{жался:1}

Inote: impf. t.
conversion: [жался:1]

6.5 Not Otherwise Specified: deriv

The symmetrical relation deriv (derivative) marks both members of the pair if a derivational pattern is unclear, as in [помога:1] ‘to help-pf’ / [помагам:1] ‘to help-imph' and [помощ:1] ‘help’ – historically, помощ is a deverbal noun but the derivation is not transparent in modern Bulgarian.

We do not expect literals with a deriv relation to show evidence for any productive pattern.

7 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we presented the first results of an approach for introduction of derivational relations into the Bulgarian wordnet. We discussed the specifics of the Bulgarian morphology to support the conventions adopted for annotation of derivational patterns in Bulgarian. We identified (automatically) and annotated (through automatic identification and assignment of derivational labels with manual validation and modification afterwards) a set of noun-verb pairs in the Bulgarian wordnet.

The work on annotation allows for an observation on derivational patterns that can be used to improve the process of automatic identification and assignment of relations (derivational and (morpho)semantic ones). For instance, the nouns with suffix -а/-и are denote: event (312 instances), result (46), means (28), state (17), undergoer (17), uses (16), agent (5).

The annotation will allow us to enrich the Bulgarian wordnet with new relations. In addition, we can easily identify synsets that have not been created yet.

In the next stages of the experiment, we plan to rerun the automatic identification of derivational relations exploiting the newly specified relations/conventions. We can automatically detect derivational pairs using the patterns identified and link them with semantic relations. Automatic assignment of (morpho)semantic relations is also a potential direction to be exploited.
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