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Abstract

Cytoplasmic dynein and dynactin participate in retrograde transport of organelles, checkpoint signaling and cell division. The principal subunits that mediate this interaction are the dynein intermediate chain (IC) and the dynactin p150Glued; however, the interface and mechanism that regulates this interaction remains poorly defined. Herein, we use multiple methods to show that the N-terminus of mammalian dynein IC, residues 10–44, is sufficient for binding p150Glued. Consistent with this mapping, monoclonal antibodies that antagonize the dynein-dynactin interaction also bind to this region of the IC. Furthermore, double and triple alanine point mutations spanning residues 6 to 19 in the yeast IC homolog, Pac11, produce significant defects in spindle positioning. Using the same methods we show residues 381 to 530 of p150Glued form a minimal fragment that binds to the dynein IC. Sedimentation equilibrium experiments indicate that these individual fragments are predominantly monomeric, but admixtures of the IC and p150Glued fragments produce a 2:2 complex. This tetrameric complex is sensitive to salt, temperature and pH, suggesting that the binding is dominated by electrostatic interactions. Finally, circular dichroism (CD) experiments indicate that the N-terminus of the IC is disordered and becomes ordered upon binding p150Glued. Taken together, the data indicate that the dynein-dynactin interaction proceeds through a disorder-to-order transition, leveraging its bivalent-bivalent character to form a high affinity, but readily reversible interaction.

Introduction

Regulated, vectorial transport of signaling molecules, membranous organelles and cytoskeletal elements as well as the generation of forces to separate chromosomes and drive cellular motility are critical for cell function, viability and division [1]. Three classes of motor proteins, myosin, kinesin and cytoplasmic dynein, are responsible for force production and transport, and each produce force along cytoskeletal elements to coordinate these cellular processes. Myosins interact with actin filaments while kinesins and cytoplasmic dynein interact with microtubules [2]. Cytoplasmic dynein is unique in that as a family with a single member in mammals it is responsible for essentially all microtubule-based, retrograde transport [2,3]. Cytoplasmic dynein, along with its regulatory partner dynactin, also participates in checkpoint signaling [4] and cell division [5] and requires both recognition and regulatory elements specific to each process. Point mutations and misfolding of dynein and/or its associated binding partners results in neurological diseases including spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy (SBMA), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), schizophrenia, and lissencephaly [6–8]. This further accentuates the critical role of cytoplasmic dynein, especially in highly polarized cells, such as motor neurons.

Cytoplasmic dynein is a large, 1.2 MDa multisubunit complex that is composed of multiple homodimeric molecules (Fig. 1A). The heavy chain, 530 kDa, can roughly be divided into two functional regions. The C-terminus (residues ~1140–4646, DYNC1H1) contains six AAA repeat domains and a coiled-coil stalk region responsible for microtubule binding. The AAA domains are responsible for force generation through ATP hydrolysis. The N-terminus of the IC (residues 1 to ~1140) is dimeric and acts as a scaffold for both the dynein light intermediate chain (LIC) and the dynein intermediate chain (IC)(The residue numbering herein is based on the rat dynein and dynactin sequences, unless otherwise stated) [9,10]. The dynein IC appears to contain two functional regions as well. The C-terminus contains a WD domain (residues 280–612, DYNC1H2 isoform C) that binds to the scaffolding region of the dynein HC. The N-terminal domain of the IC binds to the dimeric dynein light chains, TcTex1, LC8 and LC7 (residues 112–280). The extreme N-terminus of the IC (residues 1–106) binds to dynactin (Fig. 1B).
Recently, Lis1 and NudE were also demonstrated to bind to the N-terminus of the IC as well [14,15].

Dynactin was originally identified as a cytosolic activity that increases dynein’s processivity along microtubules (Fig. 1A) [16]. Like dynein, dynactin is a large (1.2 MDa), multisubunit complex composed of eleven different oligomeric proteins [17]. A critical component of the dynactin complex is the homodimeric p150Glued. p150Glued contains an N-terminal microtubule binding domain, the dynein IC binding site and a C-terminal scaffold for the vesicle binding components of the actin-like Arp-1 filament (Fig. 1B) [17].

Dynactin is required for reported dynein functions and disruption of this interaction affects cellular processes such as the mitotic checkpoint, Golgi positioning and retrograde transport [18–20]. While the mechanism that regulates the dynein-dynactin interaction is critical, little is known about it. In fact, the precise interface remains uncertain. Previous reports indicate the p150Glued binding site on the dynein IC spans residues 1 to 106 of the IC [IC2C isoform] [11–13] and recent NMR results have further refined the dynactin interface to residues 1–87 [21]. This region contains a highly predicted coiled-coil, splice sites found in mammalian dynein [22], and a serine rich region. However, the IC binding site on p150Glued is not clear. One report provides evidence that the dynein IC binds to a region spanning residues 217 to 548 of dynactin p150Glued [13,14], also strongly predicted to be a coiled-coil. In opposition with this, another report provides evidence that the IC binding site spans p150Glued residues 600–811 [23], positioned within the predicted ARP1 rod binding site [17].

Beyond the conflicting results of the IC binding on p150Glued, there is also disagreement concerning how the interaction is regulated. Phosphorylation of dynein IC at Ser84 has been shown to block dynactin binding in blot overlay assays. However, expression of an N-terminal IC fragment containing the phosphomimic, Ser84Asp, did not affect dynein-mediated processes including endosome or Golgi dispersion. At the same time, the expression of the same fragment with a Ser84Ala mutation produced a range of dynein-mediated defects [24]. Even further confounding the issue, independent in vitro studies did not find any
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To help resolve the discrepancies concerning both the interface and regulation of the dynein-dynactin interaction, and extend our recent studies suggesting that the dynein LCs regulate the interaction [25–27], we have generated a number of fragments of each component and used mutagenesis to refine the interface. In addition, we applied multiple methods to characterize the assembly of this critical interaction. We further confirm the importance of these interactions in vitro with cell-based assays. Collectively, these data suggest that residues 10–44 of the N-terminus of the dynein IC are sufficient to bind p150\textsubscript{Glued} in a predominantly electrostatic interaction and that in doing so, they undergo a disordered-to-order transition. They also highlight the functional aspects of coupling disordered regions through a bivalent-bivalent interaction and provide additional support for a regulatory role of the LCs on dynein function [25–27].
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**Molecular Biology**

*R. norvegicus* dynein intermediate chain isoform 2C (Accession number: AA8916; kindly provided by K. Vaughan, Notre Dame University) was used to amplify DNA for constructs spanning residues 1–124, 10–124 and 1–44 are capable of binding CC1 (indicated by arrows). However, fragments spanning 20–124 and 1–32 are not able to bind to CC1. It is important to note that the large negative charge of the IC some constructs to not enter the gel. B. Epitope mapping of IC antibodies: The epitopes of α-IC mAb 70.1 and 74.1 are located within the p150\textsubscript{Glued} binding domain. Specifically, α-IC 70.1 recognizes the region between residues 1–18 and α-IC 74.1 recognizes 10–30.
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The eluted protein was concentrated between 500 μM and 4 mM, flash frozen and stored at -80°C.

GST-Pac11 1–86 was isolated using Glutathione Sepharose™ 4B media (GE Biosciences). The eluted protein was incubated with precision protease (a gift from J. Pascal, Thomas Jefferson University) and dialyzed against 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 for two hours at room temperature. Cleavage of GST-Pac11 was confirmed by SDS-PAGE. GST was separated from Pac11 1–86 by running a second Glutathione Sepharose™ 4B column. The non-binding fraction was collected, concentrated to 5 ml and subsequently loaded onto a HiLoad™ 26/60 Superdex 75 pre-parative column (GE Biosciences). The eluted protein was concentrated between 200 μM and 1 mM, flash frozen and stored at -80°C.

Analytical Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC)

Equimolar amounts of the IC2B 1–158-TcTex1-LC8 complex or p150Glued (fragments CC1 – p150Glued coiled-coil 1, CC1A – p150Glued coiled-coil 1A and CC1B – p150Glued coiled-coil 1B) were loaded on an analytical Superdex™ 200 10/300 GL column (GE Biosciences) alone or in combination. SDS-PAGE was used to verify protein content of eluted fractions.

Equimolar amounts of the Pac11-LC8 complex (either wild type or Pac11-R12A,Q13A,L14A) and CC1B were loaded onto an analytical Superdex™ 75 10/300 GL column (GE Biosciences) to assess binding. SDS-PAGE was used to verify protein content of eluted fractions.

Sequence Comparison and Alignment

Intermediate chain sequences from yeast through human [Accession codes: AAP97254, AAA89165, NP_001069351, NM_068637, XM_685023, XM_855099, XP_396853, AAL67574, NP_010776, BC086292.1, AF070697.1] and dynactin [Accession codes: NP_004073, NP_077044, XP_870398, XM_861321.1, NP_001080006, XP_700158.1, NP_001026538, XP_397370, NP_502033, AACD01000107.1, CAAS1030] were aligned using ClustalW (see Fig. S1 A/B) [29]. Based on the alignment, sequences comprising the p150Glued binding domain from both rat and yeast were analyzed with Align [30] to determine the sequence similarity of each region.

Spindle position defects

Yeast manipulation, media and transformation were performed by standard methods [31]. Mutations in pac11 were generated at the endogenous chromosomal locus, as previously described [31]. Mutations were confirmed by sequencing genomic loci. Expression of each pac11 allele was confirmed by integrating a 13-myc epitope tag at the C-terminus, and assessing protein levels by anti-myc immunoblot. To assay for spindle positioning defects, cells expressing GFP-labeled microtubules (plasmid pSK1050, a gift from K. Lee at the National Institutes of Health) were grown to late log phase at 30°C, then diluted 1:50 into fresh media and shifted to 12°C for 22 h. Defective spindle position was defined as cells containing either anaphase spindles entirely within the mother cell or supernumerary microtubule organizing centers.
Circular Dichroism

CD spectra were collected with a J-810 spectropolarimeter (Jasco) using a 1 nm bandwidth and 4 s response time. Molar ellipticity experiments were performed with 5 µM protein at 4°C using a 0.1 cm cuvette. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Ellipticity is reported at mean residue ellipticity \([\theta]n\) in deg cm\(^2\) dmol\(^{-1}\) using the equation: \([\theta]n = 0_{obs} \times \text{MRW}/(10lc)\), where \(0_{obs}\) is the observed ellipticity in degrees, MRW is the mean residue weight (molecular mass/number of residues), \(l\) is the path-length in cm, and \(c\) is the concentration in mg/ml. The mean residue ellipticity for a 100% helical protein was calculated from the equation \([\theta]222 = 40 \times 10^3 \times (1 – 4.6/n)\) where \(n\) equals the number of residues in the protein [32]. The helical percentage of each protein was determined from the ratio of \([\theta]222\) experimental divided by the \([\theta]222\) theoretical value.

Temperature scans were performed with 5 µM for individual protein scans or equimolar amounts of 3.5 µM of each protein in a 1 cm cuvette with constant stirring from 0–80°C. The wavelength was recorded at 222 nm with a data pitch of 1°C, a 45 s delay, and a temperature slope of 40°C/h. The melting point (Tm) of each protein was calculated from the first derivative of the data. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Native PAGE

Native PAGE analysis was performed using both Mini 12% cross-linked gels with a stacking gel at pH 7.4 or 8–25% gradient gels. Purified proteins were incubated at 25°C for five minutes in equimolar or two fold molar excess to assess binding characteristics.

Western Blotting

2 ml cultures of each indicated SMT3-IC fusion construct were expressed at 37°C for 3 h. Cells were harvested and the resulting pellet was resuspended in 100 µl SDS loading dye. Each (20 µl) overexpression was loaded onto two 15% SDS PAGE gels. Overexpression was confirmed by Coomassie staining. Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using the submerged method. The membrane was blocked using 5% (w/v) dry milk in PBS-T (1× PBS, 0.05% Tween 20). IC constructs were detected using either mouse monoclonal anti-IC 70.1 or mouse monoclonal anti-IC 74.1 (a gift from K. Pfister, University of Virginia). For visualization, blot membranes were incubated with goat anti-mouse secondary conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma) and developed using Pierce ECL western blotting substrate.

Sedimentation Equilibrium Analysis

Temperature dependence of binding was determined using sedimentation equilibrium performed at either 4 or 20°C using the Beckman-Coulter XLI Analytical Ultracentrifuge. Samples of individual proteins or protein complexes were dialyzed against 1× PBS and 1 mM TCEP. Protein concentrations were analyzed between 0.1–0.6 OD\(_{280}/230\)nm using three or more speeds. Equilibrium was assessed by radial scans at both 10 and 12 h during each speed. Data were analyzed using conventional equilibrium equations incorporated into IgorPro (Wavemetrics) [33]. The partial specific volume for each construct was calculated using SEDNTERP [34]. Values are as follows: CC1 = 0.721, CC1A = 0.727, CC1B = 0.726, IC1–44 = 0.736 and IC1–124 = 0.731. Extinction coefficient for 230 nm was calculated at 900 absorbance units per residue. For molecular weight determinations, \(v_B\), \(\rho\) (density), and \(\varepsilon\) (extinction coefficient) were kept constant, the protein concentration was allowed to float.
Figure 5. Biophysical characteristics of intermediate chain fragments. A. Cartoon of two potential mechanisms to create a dimer-of-dimers through a coiled coil. Magenta highlights the IC binding interface on CC1. Blue indicates the CC1 binding site on the IC. The top route assumes that the IC is homodimer before binding to CC1. The bottom route assumes that the IC is monomeric before binding the CC1. B. Circular dichroism spectra of 20 μM IC1–44 in 1× PBS 1 mM TCEP at 4°C with increasing concentrations of trifluoroethanol results in a minimal increase of α-helical characteristics. C. Thermal denaturation of 20 μM IC1–124 and IC1–14. Thermal denaturation was monitored at 222 nm. D/E. Sedimentation equilibrium:
while the molecular weight was calculated. It is important to note the reported errors for molecular weight are from the Fast Fitter. Instrumental error is ±5%. For association constants, \( \nu_{\text{BAR}} \), \( \rho \), \( \epsilon \), and \( n \) (number of associating units), molecular weight and concentration were kept constant, while the association constant was fit.

Dimerization and temperature dependence assays were performed using p150\( ^{\text{Glued}} \) (CC1 or CC1B) and IC\( ^{1-124} \) individually and as admixtures. A single speed of 25,000 rpm was analyzed at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25°C. Each speed was fit to a single molecular weight to assess: 1) oligomeric state of IC or p150\( ^{\text{Glued}} \) and 2) complex formation between IC and p150\( ^{\text{Glued}} \).

pH and salt dependence assays were performed on both IC\( ^{1-124} \) and p150\( ^{\text{Glued}} \) CC1 individually and in complex at 4°C. Four speeds were analyzed for each component over a range of pH 6.0–9.0 and sodium chloride concentrations from 0–1 M.

Cross-linking Experiments

3,5 dimaleimidobenzoic acid (3,5 DMBA) was synthesized as previously described (assisted by Prof. David Horne and Yuelong Ma, City of Hope) [35]. A linker, CysGlyGlySer, was engineered at the N-terminus of p150\( ^{\text{Glued}} \) CC1 using standard subcloning protocols. Cys-CC1 was expressed and purified as described above. Cys-CC1 was exchanged under argon into 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4 1 mM TCEP. 50 \( \mu \)M Cys-CC1 was incubated with 50 \( \mu \)M 3,5 DMBA for one hour. Samples were run on an 8% SDS PAGE gel under non-reducing or reducing conditions to assess cross-linking.

Results

p150\( ^{\text{Glued}} \) binding site on the IC

The IC and p150\( ^{\text{Glued}} \) fragments contain predicted coiled-coil regions, suggesting that this interaction may form a heterotetrameric coiled-coil (Fig. 1B). While previous studies report that
the minimal region of the IC capable of binding p150 Glued spans residues 1 to 106, only the first 44 residues of the N-terminus of the IC in mammalian sequences are strongly predicted to form a coiled-coil (Fig. S2A) and are highly conserved across multicellular organisms. The region between the predicted coiled-coil and residue 106, on the other hand, is highly variable and not conserved. To discriminate whether the coiled-coil region is sufficient to bind to p150Glued or residues to the C-terminus are required, we generated a series of constructs truncated at either the N-terminus or C-terminus of the IC. Native PAGE, a non-denaturing gel electrophoresis method that separates protein based on charge and hydrodynamic size, was used to determine the stoichiometry of the IC-p150 Glued interaction. A–C. Sedimentation equilibrium: A. SE-AUC at 12000, 16000 and 20000 and 4°C of CIC1 and IC1-124. B–C. SE-AUC at 10000, 20000, and 30000 rpm and 4°C of CIC1A (B) and CIC1B (C) with IC1-124. Both CIC1 and CIC1B associate much more strongly with IC1-124 than CIC1A.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059453.g007

Figure 8. Salt and pH dependence of IC-p150 Glued interaction. A. Sedimentation equilibrium: SE-AUC of CIC1, IC1-124 and the CIC1-IC1-124 complex in the presence of 0, 50, 100, 250, 500 mM and 1.0 M sodium chloride. No change in the oligomeric state of either CIC1 or IC1-124 occurs with increasing salt (inset). The CIC1-IC1-124 interaction is strongest at 100 mM sodium chloride and decreases upon increasing salt concentration (fitting analysis is shown in Fig. 5B). B. SE-AUC of CIC1, IC1-124 and the CIC1-IC1-124 complex was run at pH 6.0, 7.0, 8.0 and 9.0. No change in oligomeric state is seen in either CIC1 or IC1-124. (asterisk denotes that CIC1 precipitates at pH 6.0). A strong pH dependence is seen for formation of the CIC1-IC1-124 complex, where the interaction is the strongest at pH 8.0 and weaker at pH 7.0 and 9.0.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059453.g008
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Based on this mapping, we tested if IC antibodies, 70.1 and 74.1, used to disrupt the dynein-dynactin interaction in microinjection experiments, map to the same region of the IC (Fig. 2B) [36]. Using the truncated constructs, we observe that mAb 70.1 binds to residues 1 to 18, but not residues 10–124, of the IC, indicating that the epitope of mAb 70.1 lies between residues 1–10. mAb 74.1 binds to the IC construct spanning residues 10–124, but not to constructs spanning residues 1–18 or 30–124, indicating that the epitope for mAb 74.1 lies between 10 and 30. These results indicate that both antibody epitopes overlap with the p150Glued binding site on the IC, consistent with their role in disrupting the dynein-dynactin interaction through competition. It should be noted that using either antibody to immunoprecipitate dynein invariably fails to pull down dynactin components [37, 38].

Figure 9. Proposed mechanism of dynein-dynactin binding. Based on the refinement of the dynein-dynactin interaction and the physical characterization of the individual fragments, we propose that the N-terminus of the IC is disordered in the absence of dynactin. Further, based on recent data from our lab and others we propose that the dynein LCs affect the N-termini by reducing and/or orienting the N-termini of the IC to optimally bind to dynactin. Taken together, we suggest the following model: A. The N-terminus of the intermediate chain exists in a disordered state. B. Upon IC binding to the light chains the radius of gyration of the disordered region is reduced. C. The N-termini of the IC bind to p150Glued CC1B located in the shoulder.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059453.g009

ability of IC fragments to bind to p150Glued. We note that the IC fragments and p150Glued fragments are highly, but oppositely charged. Thus, several of the IC constructs migrate to the opposite pole and are not observed in the gel, but if there is an interaction, the overall charge is reduced and the complex will migrate into the gel. We observe IC constructs encoding residues 1–44 and 10–124 bind to p150Glued (see below), but 1–25 and 20–124 do not (Fig. 2A). The construct, 1–32, produces a smear, suggesting weak affinity. Collectively, these data show residues 10–44 of the IC are sufficient to bind to p150Glued. This mapping is consistent with recent NMR studies using the Drosophila sequence [21].

Alanine Scanning Mutagenesis of Dynein IC

As a complementary and biologically relevant analysis, we characterized the ability of the S. cerevisiae dynein intermediate chain (Pac11) to bind to p150Glued in vivo and in vitro based on the mapping studies above. The N-terminal predicted coiled-coil of mammalian and yeast IC are 22.4% identical and 42.9% similar (overall, IC and Pac11 are 17.6% identical and 30.2% similar) and have a high proportion of negatively charged amino acids (Fig. 3A). First, we established that the wild type Pac11 N-terminal fragment, residues 1 to 86, which contains two dynein LC8 binding sites [27], binds to mammalian p150Glued by sedimentation equilibrium measurements of a complex of p150Glued, Pac11 and LC8. This fits to a molecular weight of 102,300 ± 300 Da (data not shown), consistent with the theoretical mass of the complex being 97,108 Da. Next, mitotic spindle positioning assays in S. cerevisiae were used to assess dynein function. In these studies sequential double and triple alanine mutations were generated in PAC11 and expressed using the PAC11 endogenous promoter. Shown in Fig. 3B and S3A, the percentage of cells with either long anaphase spindles or multiple microtubule organizing centers (MTOCs) located within the mother cell was determined for wild-type, nip100Δ, pac11Δ, pac11-L4A,K5A,Q6A (4AAA), pac11-Q6A,L7A,E8A (6AAA), pac11-E9A,K10A,R11A (9AAA), pac11-R12A,Q13A,L14A (12AAA), pac11-L17A,R18A (17AA), and pac11-E19A,R20A,R21A (19AAA) strains. Defects are observed for point mutations extending from 6 to 18, with the triple point mutant, pac11-L12A,Q13A,L14A showing nearly the same phenotype as completely removing either Pac11 or Nip100, the yeast p150Glued homolog. The complete loss of these genes disrupts the dynein-dynactin interaction through competition. It should be noted that using either antibody to immunoprecipitate dynein invariably fails to pull down dynactin components [37, 38].

Subsequently, biochemical analysis was used to confirm that spindle positioning defects were a direct result of abrogation of the Pac11-p150Glued interaction. Triple and double alanine Pac11 point mutants were generated, purified to homogeneity, and their affinity for p150Glued was tested by native PAGE and size exclusion chromatography. The N-terminal fragment of p150Glued CC1, denoted CC1B, was used for this analysis (see explanation in subsequent section). Consistent with in vitro results, the triple point mutant Pac11-R12A,Q13A,L14A (12AAA) has the largest effect on p150Glued binding. Both native PAGE and analytical gel filtration indicate that the triple point mutation Pac11-R12A,Q13A,L14A (12AAA) abrogates the Pac11-p150Glued interaction in the presence or absence of LC8 (Fig 3C/D). On the other hand, mutants Pac11-L4A,K5A,Q6A (4AAA), Pac11-Q6A,L7A,E8A (6AAA), Pac11-E9A,K10A,R11A (9AAA), Pac11-L17A,R18A (17AA), and Pac11-E19A,R20A,R21A (19AAA) fail to bind p150Glued in the absence of LC8. However, in the presence of LC8, the latter point mutants also bind p150Glued (Fig. S3B). Collectively, these results further confirm that the extreme N-
end of the IC is necessary for the dynein-dynactin interaction. Moreover, they also indicate that critical elements of the IC-p150Glued interaction are conserved across species.

**IC binding site on p150Glued**

The IC binding site on p150Glued remains unclear. Initial studies show that p150Glued fragment spanning residues 1 to 811 is sufficient to bind the IC [12], but subsequent refinement indicated that the IC binds to p150Glued fragments either spanning residues 217 to 548 [13] or 600 to 811 [23]. The difference in the mapping produced in these studies could be due to different techniques used to detect binding (e.g., blot overlay versus solution-based methods) or differences in experimental conditions (e.g., buffers, temperature, pH, salts). In addition, the purification tags used in these studies differed both in composition and location. In order to move forward in characterizing the dynein-dynactin interface, we first attempted to resolve this difference by producing multiple constructs of mammalian p150Glued, removing the purification tag and using multiple methods to characterize the binding.

First, we generated the same fragments previously published and used the histag-SMT3 fusion to produce and purify each fragment. Using a recombinant histag-Ulp1 protease, the histag- and used the histag-SMT3 fusion to produce and purify each protein was undetected.

A number of attempts were made to solubilize the second site including altering expression temperature, inducing chaperones, using different cell lines, and refolding the protein from inclusion bodies, but well-behaved (soluble) protein was undetected.

Consequently, we focused our efforts on the N-terminal region, residues 1 to 548. Based on coiled-coil predictions, previous studies have generated a construct that spans 217 to 548 and denoted CC1 [13]. Moreover, the N-terminal region of the IC has been strongly predicted to contain a coiled-coil region in the first 44 residues (see previous section). We reasoned that if the interaction proceeds through a coiled-coil, CC1 should contain a subdomain that binds to the predicted 6 heptad repeats of the IC. First, we used limited proteolysis to identify stable boundaries within the p150Glued fragment. We note that the N-terminal histag-SMT3 fusion dramatically increases the expression of different constructs compared to a N-terminal histag only. We observe that the p150Glued fragment, residues 1–548, was readily soluble whereas p150Glued fragments encoding residues 1–811 and 600–811 were insoluble (data not shown). A number of attempts were made to solubilize the second site including altering expression temperature, inducing chaperones, using different cell lines, and refolding the protein from inclusion bodies, but well-behaved (soluble) protein was undetected.

Consequently, we focused our efforts on the N-terminal region, residues 1 to 548. Based on coiled-coil predictions, previous studies have generated a construct that spans 217 to 548 and denoted CC1 [13]. Moreover, the N-terminal region of the IC has been strongly predicted to contain a coiled-coil region in the first 44 residues (see previous section). We reasoned that if the interaction proceeds through a coiled-coil, CC1 should contain a subdomain that binds to the predicted 6 heptad repeats of the IC. First, we used limited proteolysis to identify stable boundaries within the p150Glued fragment spanning 1 to 548. We observed a stable fragment of approximately 32 kDa and used N-terminal sequencing to show that this fragment starts at residue 204 (data not shown). We observed a slight degradation at the C-terminus corresponding to approximately a 2 kDa difference. These results indicate that residues 204 to 530 are stable to limited proteolysis. Using sequence alignment and coiled-coil prediction algorithms [29,39], we observe a conserved break spanning residues 349 through 380 in the coiled-coil fragment, across multiple species (yeast to human; Fig. S2B). To test which fragment encompasses the IC interface, we generated two new fragments of CC1, denoted CC1A (residues 204–348) and CC1B (residues 381–530). Surprisingly, gel filtration experiments indicate that both CC1A and CC1B interact with dynein IC2B/C pre-saturated with the dynein light chains, LC3 and TcTex1 (residues 1 to 138 of 2B and 1 to 124 of 2C) (Fig. 4). On closer analysis, the size exclusion data show a significant shift in the elution volume of an admixture of CC1B and IC fragment bound to the dynein light chains TcTex1 and LC3 compared to their individual elution volumes. Little or no change in elution volume is observed in the admixture of CC1A and the IC complex; however, SDS-PAGE of the fractions corresponding to the CC1A elution volume shows the presence of the IC. We note that the IC and CC1 are highly, but oppositely charged (Fig. S2 C/D). Thus, we suspect that the interaction between the dynein IC and CC1A or CC1B using these methods is partially due to electrostatic effects (see below).

Based on SEC results and results presented below, we further truncated the CC1B fragment. Expecting that the IC-p150Glued interaction forms a heterotetrameric coiled-coil, new p150Glued fragments were made by systematically deleting predicted heptads from the N- and C-terminus of CC1B. Native PAGE experiments indicate residues 415–530 of p150Glued are sufficient to bind to IC1–44 (Fig. 4A). However, the interaction is lost upon further truncation of either ten or three heptad repeats at the N- and C-terminus of CC1, respectively. Specifically, constructing fragments, 381–495, 415–510 and 489–530 did not produce a shift (Fig. 4A and data not shown). Residues 415–530 contain 16 potential heptad repeats.

**Biophysical Characteristics of the Individual Binding Domains**

Based on the observation that all dynein subunits and the dynein p150Glued subunit are predicted to be homodimeric [3], we hypothesized that the IC and p150Glued fragments interact through a local symmetry axis, forming a hetero-tetrameric complex, or a 'dimer of dimers', which implies that the regions comprising the interface of one or both components must be monomeric in the absence of the other preceding the interaction. This hypothesis stems from 'symmetry' considerations and is supported by multiple crystal structures of 'dimer of dimers' interactions (e.g., transcription factors bound to palindromic DNA [40], the IC peptide binding to LC8 [41], EB1 binding to the CAP-gly domain of p150Glued [42], etc.). Specifically, in forming a homodimeric coiled coil, two binding surfaces are created (see Fig. 5A). To form a 2:2 complex, the interacting partner would have to bind to both surfaces, otherwise an oligomer would form. To do this, either p150Glued or the IC would have to be monomeric. Otherwise, it is possible to create an oligomeric species (see Fig. 5A).

To test this, we used sedimentation equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC), and circular dichroism (CD) experiments to quantify the hydrodynamics and secondary structure properties of each individual component. Molar ellipticity curves (Fig. 5B) of this fragment indicate a minimal amount of θ-helical structure, as illustrated by the slight minima seen at both 222 and 208 nm. The θ222 nm of −5.7 deg cm2 dmol−1 corresponds to approximately 14% helicity or 6 residues (a θ222 nm value of −35.8 deg cm2 dmol−1 would correspond to a 100% helical peptide of 45 residues). To test the propensity of residues 1–44 to exist in an θ-helix we incubated IC1–44 with increasing concentrations of trifluoroethanol (TFE), previously shown to induce helical structure in peptides with the propensity to form a helix. Upon addition of up to 80% TFE we see little change in the θ-helical content of the IC1–44, an increase from 14% to 21% or 9 residues total. This suggests that the IC fragment is not intrinsically helical. In addition, thermal denaturation of both IC1–44 and IC1–124 show no transition, further confirming these fragments are not folded (Fig. 5C). Finally, there is no concentration dependence seen in the CD spectra of the IC (data not shown).

Next, we used sedimentation equilibrium to determine the oligomeric state of both IC1–44 and IC1–124 (Fig. 5 D/E). Sedimentation equilibrium experiments were performed at 10000, 20000, 30000, and 40000 rpm at 20°C. Using FastFitter, the calculated molecular mass of IC1–44 is 6100±200 Da (Red.


χ² = 2.9) [33]. The theoretical weight is 5470 Da. Similar measurements were made for the IC¹⁻¹²⁴ fragment to rule out potential dimerization values from the residues between the predicted coiled-coil region and the TcTex1 binding site. The calculated molecular weight of this construct, IC¹⁻¹²⁴, is 15,200±10 Da, which is consistent with the theoretical monomeric weight of 13,880 Da. Taken together, these data indicate that the N-terminal region of the IC is monomeric and disordered.

As with the IC fragments, CD and sedimentation equilibrium measurements were used to quantify the secondary structure content and oligomeric state of the p150Glued fragments, CD spectra of the p150Glued fragments indicate that each construct is predominantly α-helical at 5 μM (Fig. 6A), with molar ellipticity values of −20.5, −27.5 and −12.7 deg cm² dmol⁻¹, for CC1, CC1A and CC1B, respectively. The CC1A fragment has a high percent helicity, 73%, whereas the CC1B fragment is lower, 31%. The percent helicity of the full-length CC1 fragment is 52%. This value is the geometric mean of CC1A and CC1B, indicating that the presence of the CC1A helix does not increase the propensity for CC1B to form a helix (100% α-helical character of CC1, CC1A and CC1B would produce a signal of −39.4, −38.7 and −38.7 deg cm² dmol⁻¹, respectively). Thermal denaturation experiments, performed by monitoring the CD signal at 222 nm while increasing the temperature, show that CC1, CC1A and CC1B denature at 38°C, 27°C and 13°C, respectively (Fig. 6B). Each experiment was done in triplicate and the error for each is ±1°C. In these experiments, we observe that CC1A melting is reversible, but not CC1 or CC1B (data not shown).

Next, we measured the hydrodynamic properties of CC1, CC1A and CC1B using sedimentation equilibrium experiments. Since CC1B has a melting point of 13°C, we collected sedimentation equilibrium data at 4°C and observed an increase in the mass and poor fit assuming a single species. Thus, we fit the data to a monomer-dimer model and observed a dimer dissociation constant (K_d) < 60 nM (Fig. 6E). Sedimentation equilibrium studies were also collected at 4°C for CC1 and CC1A (Fig. 6C/D). Both proteins also form dimers at this temperature with estimated K_p's < 1 and < 10 nM, respectively (fitting analysis in Fig. S4A).

When comparing our CD and AUC data to recent electron microscopy images (See Fig. 1C in Imai [43]), we propose that CC1A constitutes the “arm” of the dynactin complex. Specifically, the CD spectrum of the p150Glued fragments, CC1A and CC1B, indicates the percent helicity of these constructs are 73% and 31%, respectively. Given that α-helices rise 1.5 Å/residue and CC1A is 145 residues, we calculate that the end-to-end length of CC1A is 154 Å. On the other hand, CC1B is 149 residues with 30% helical content suggesting an end-to-end distance of 67 Å. Based on EM projections in Imai (2006), we calculate the average length of the dynactin arm to be 148±22 Å (5 images) [43]. This distance is comparable with an extended coiled-coil structure of CC1A. In addition, we engineered cysteine residues at the N-termini of CC1 and show that it is rapidly crosslinked by 3,5 DMBA (Fig. S5). Also, using a split fluorescein arsenical hairpin (e.g., a FlaSH tag with two cysteines at the N-termini of CC1), we observe a fluorescent signal upon the addition of the FlaSH reagent but not for the non-modified CC1 (data not shown) [44]. While not unexpected, these data provide experimental evidence that CC1A forms a parallel coiled-coil.

**Stoichiometry and Energetics of the Dynein-Dynactin Interaction**

All components of dynein and the p150Glued subunit of dynactin are dimeric within the assembled complexes. Thus, we and others propose that the IC-p150Glued interaction proceeds through a heterotetrameric complex. Sedimentation equilibrium experiments at 4°C indicate both CC1 and CC1B p150Glued fragments associate with IC¹⁻¹²⁴ (Fig. 7A/C). Sedimentation equilibrium experiments at 4°C of CC1 and CC1B indicate they are dimeric based on the calculated dissociation constants, therefore the data were fit to a model of CC1DIMER + 2 IC fragments → CC1DIMER-IC2 with a dissociation constant < 10⁻¹⁵ M² (N.B., the units are molar squared since we treated the IC as individual fragments). CC1B was fit to a model of CC1B_DIMER + 2 IC → CC1B_DIMER-IC2 with a dissociation constant between 10⁻¹¹ and 10⁻¹³ M². The same analysis applied to AUC measurements on a stoichiometric admixture of 10 μM CC1A and 10 μM IC1–124 (Fig. 7B) showed little or no heteromeric association (dissociation constant > 10⁻¹⁰ M²). See fitting analysis in figure S4B.

Complementary binding analysis was performed using thermal denaturation experiments with equimolar (3.5 μM) amounts of p150Glued fragments, CC1A or CC1B, and IC¹⁻¹²⁴. No increase in denaturation temperature occurs upon incubation of CC1A and IC¹⁻¹²⁴ compared to CC1A alone (Fig. S6A). In contrast, thermal denaturation of CC1B and IC¹⁻¹²⁴ indicates a melting temperature of 16±1°C, a three degree increase from CC1B alone (Fig. S6B). Both sedimentation equilibrium and thermal denaturation data indicate that at concentrations below 10 μM CC1A does not associate with the IC.

Taken together, sedimentation equilibrium and thermal denaturation data of CC1B indicates it is monomeric at temperatures above 13°C. At 4°C, we observed CC1B is dimeric and a complex between CC1B and the IC¹⁻¹²⁴ is formed. Based on this we suspect that the IC binding site lies across the dimeric interface of CC1B and a complex is only able to form when CC1B is a dimer. To test this sedimentation equilibrium experiments were carried out at a fixed speed of 25000 rpm and a scan was taken after 10 and 12 h in 5°C increments from 5 to 25°C with individual protein concentrations of 10 μM. The molecular weight of both CC1B and CC1B + IC¹⁻¹²⁴ decreases with increasing temperatures (Fig. S7A). Similar experiments were performed with CC1. In contrast to CC1B-IC¹⁻¹²⁴ association, there is no change in association of CC1 and IC¹⁻¹²⁴ or CC1 alone with increasing temperatures (Fig. S7B). Taken together, these data indicate that dimer formation of p150Glued is necessary for the p150Glued-IC complex to form.

**Dynein-Dynactin Interaction is Governed by Electrostatics**

Since the N-terminal region of the dynein IC, IC¹⁻¹²⁴ and the p150Glued CC1 are highly, but oppositely charged at neutral pH (isoelectric points are 9.7 and 4.7, respectively), we hypothesize that the binding is predominantly governed by electrostatic interactions (Fig. S2 panels C and D). To test this, we determined the dissociation constant of the IC-p150Glued interaction as a function of salt and pH.

First, we collected sedimentation equilibrium data of IC¹⁻¹²⁴ between 0 and 1 M sodium chloride and observed that this fragment is monomeric to the limits of detection (Fig. 8A inset) Likewise, sedimentation equilibrium experiments of CC1 as a function of salt show no change in hydrodynamic properties between 0 and 1 M sodium chloride. Fitting the sedimentation equilibrium data of CC1 to a monomer-dimer model indicates that the association constant is unchanged as a function of salt. The same set of experiments was performed using a stoichiometric mixture of CC1 and IC¹⁻¹²⁴. Each complex was fit to a model of CC1DIMER + 2 IC fragments → CC1DIMER-IC2. Other models were explored, but produced worse fits. Plotting the negative log of the calculated dissociation constant using this model indicates
a peak at 100 mM sodium chloride. The calculated values for the dissociation constant monotonically decrease with increasing salt concentration above 100 mM (Fig. 8A). Note that the fits are best-fit values. The CC1-IC1–124 dissociation constants at 0 and 50 mM NaCl are between $10^{-11}$ and $10^{-15}$ M$^2$. The CC1-IC1–124 dissociation constants at 100, 250, 500 and 1000 mM are $\approx 10^{-15}$, $10^{-14}$, $10^{-13}$ and $10^{-12}$ M$^2$, respectively. See fitting analysis in Fig. S8.

Next we determined the pH dependence of complex formation using sedimentation equilibrium experiments. First, we observe that CC1 alone is not stable below p$H$ 6.5, however at p$H$ 7.0 and above, it is well-behaved. We observe no significant differences in either CC1 or the IC from p$H$ 7.0 to 9.0 and 6.0 to 9.0, respectively (Fig. 8B, inset). We also observe no difference in the CD spectra of IC1–44 at p$H$ 6.0 or 8.0 (data not shown). The complex was fit to a model of CC1$_{DIMER}$ + 2 IC fragments $\rightarrow$ CC1$_{DIMER}$-IC$_2$. The CC1-IC1–124 complex contains a maximum in the fitted dissociation constant at p$H$ 8.0, $\leq 10^{-13}$ M$^2$. Changing the pH of the buffer by one unit results in at least a 10 fold change; with a dissociation constant of $\approx 10^{-13}$ M$^2$ at p$H$ 7.0 and $\approx 10^{-12}$ M$^2$ at p$H$ 9.0. Note, in the presence of the IC, CC1 remains in solution. See fitting analysis in Fig. S9.

**Discussion**

The dynein-dynactin interaction has been shown to be critical for organelle transport, cytoskeletal organization and cell division [2,3,17]. Despite the importance of this interaction, little is known regarding its regulation or energetics. Previous studies have mapped the interaction to the dynein IC and dynactin p150 Glued subunits. However, there remains discrepancy in the literature concerning the dynein IC interface on p150 Glued [13,23] as well as the affect of phosphorylation at residue 84 has on dynactin-dynactin association [15,24]. To better understand the interaction as well as to resolve the IC binding site on p150 Glued, we generated a series of truncation mutants and characterized these mutants by biochemical and biophysical methods. In doing so, we have significantly refined the dynein-dynactin interface. We also provide biochemical evidence that the dynein-dynactin interaction proceeds through a bivalent-bivalent interaction, is predominantly electrostatic, and likely proceeds through a disorder-to-order transition. In addition, we confirmed the mapping results in vivo by generating point mutants in the equivalent regions of the yeast IC and quantified their effect on dynein function in cells.

First, we show that the minimal region of the IC that is required for the dynactin interaction spans residues 10 to 44 (in mammals). This mapping is consistent with previous reports where the p150 Glued interface was mapped to residues 1 to 106 of the rat IC [11–13] and closely matches the sequence identified in recent NMR studies using Drosophila IC [21]. In addition, using the IC truncation constructs, we map the epitope of monoclonal antibodies, mAb70.1 and mAb74.1, to the same region of the IC. Again consistent with our refinement, these antibodies have been demonstrated to block the dynein-dynactin interaction in vivo. In addition, these antibodies fail to immunoprecipitate dynactin [11,14,45]. Finally, point mutations in this region of Pac11, the yeast IC homolog, significantly affected spindle positioning, similar to loss of either Pac11 or Nip100, the yeast p150 Glued homolog [27].

Overall, our interpretation of the free IC data is in agreement with recent NMR studies of the drosophila IC homolog with the exception of the extent of $\alpha$-helical content, "site 2" [21]. The reason for this discrepancy requires further investigations, but could originate from species differences. Of note, there are six isoforms of cytoplasmic dynein IC in rats, murine and humans. All six differ due to a variable splicing site between the extreme N-terminus (residues 1–44) and the dynein LC binding sites (residues 106–138). While there are multiple isoforms in drosophila dynein IC, each splice site is generated after the dynein LC binding site. Note, in mammalian dynes, these isoforms are subject to post-translational modifications and thus may have a direct effect on the N-terminus of the IC. For instance, the phosphorylation site mapped to residue 84 in the mammalian IC2C isoform has been implicated in disrupting the dynein-dynactin interaction is distinct from our mapping of the p150 Glued binding site on the IC [24,46]. While this site and others within this disordered region of the mammalian isoforms contain multiple, highly predicted phosphorylation sites (9 sites in IC2C), the sequence that spans the extreme N-terminus and the IC binding site in drosophila only contains one such site (IC S84) and the kinase consensus sequence of this site is not obvious in the rat isoforms. Thus, there are subtle but meaningful differences in the primary sequence that may account for the difference in the biochemical data.

Next, we attempted to resolve the IC binding site on p150 Glued. While our data strongly indicate that the IC interface requires a dimeric fragment spanning residues 381 to 530, we cannot rule out an additional binding site spanning residues 600 to 811 of p150 Glued [23]. Our findings, nonetheless, are consistent with previous studies implicating the dynactin CC1 fragment as the IC binding site [13]. Moreover, overexpression or microinjection of CC1 produces defects in dynein-mediated processes including organelle positioning, implying that CC1 selectively blocks the dynein-dynactin interaction. Finally, we show that forced dimerization of CC1B effectively binds to the dynein IC in vitro and in vivo and produces similar effects as the expression of the CC1 (Siglin A, Dawn A, Luo Y, Keen J and Williams J, manuscript in preparation). Together, these observations provide substantial evidence that the IC-CC1B interface is required for the dynein-dynactin interaction.

It is also important to note that the interaction shows strong salt and pH dependence. We ascribe this to the highly charged character of each component. Dynein IC1–44 is highly, positively charged whereas CC1B is highly, negatively charged. Moreover, we also observe an interaction between dynein IC1–44 and the dynactin fragment, CC1A. We currently ascribe this to a non-specific electrostatic interaction, as we did not observe a significant change in the melting temperature, nor a single species in the AUC experiments when CC1A was incubated with IC1–124. Future studies will test whether the interaction between dynein IC1–44 and dynactin CC1A is physiologically relevant as well as whether the IC N-terminus partitions to negatively charged structures in vivo.

Also of note, p150 Glued and the C-terminal half of nudE have been reported to bind an overlapping region of the IC N-terminus [14,15,47]. Given that the IC-p150 Glued interaction is predominantly electrostatic, it is also possible that the nudE binds in a similar manner. We note that the specific region in nudE reported to bind to the dynein IC, residues 256–291, has an isoelectric point of 9.2, not 4.4 as observed for CC1B. Moreover, this region has been shown to be intrinsically disordered [13]. These observations suggest that p150 Glued and nudE bind to the IC by different mechanisms.

Based on this mapping and results in the literature, CC1B is predicted to be at the ‘shoulder’ of the dynactin complex as observed in electron microscopy images [43]. This is also the same region in the dynactin complex that dynactin subunits, p50 and p24, are proposed to bind p150 Glued [48]. Similar to in vivo studies using CC1, the overexpression of p50 is also implicated in blocking
the dynein-dynactin interaction, albeit by a completely different mechanism (e.g., p30 is proposed to disrupt the Arp rod from dynactin) [49]. In addition, the secondary structure, sedimentation equilibrium results and chemical cross linking data suggest that the p150Glued CC1A fragment comprises the 'arm' observed in the EM micrographs [43]. Deletion of the equivalent region in Nip100, the yeast homolog of p150Glued, does not affect spindle positioning though it does affect the dynein processivity or run length [50]. Taken together, the proximity of proposed position of the refined IC binding site (e.g., CC1B and p50 and p24 within the dynactin complex and their effect of the overexpression/microinjection on dynein-mediated processes in vivo suggests that the IC1–44 and CC1B is the primary interface governing the dynein-dynactin interaction.

Characterization of the hydrodynamic properties of these fragments also suggests a potential mechanism that governs the dynein-dynactin interaction. Specifically, sedimentation equilibrium of the IC1–44 only (as well as IC1–124 only) shows that the N-terminus is monomeric under all conditions. This is also consistent with the lack of secondary structure observed by CD and no thermal transition. In the context of the dynein complex, the C-terminal WD domains of the dynein IC bind to the dimeric heavy chain [51]. In addition, the dimeric dynein LCs, TcTex1, LC8 and LC7, bind to the IC at residues 112–125, 129–137, and 180–280, respectively, and further enforce the dimerization of the IC [25,52]. On the other hand, there are no further restraints on the highly positively charged, N-terminal 44 residues. Thus, we argue that this region remains disordered in the dynein complex, absent dynein.

The IC binding site of p150Glued, on the other hand, is dimeric in the context of the dynactin complex. Specifically, the sedimentation equilibrium data show that the CC1B fragment of p150Glued is a dimer at temperatures below 13°C. Moreover, CC1A, which immediately precedes CC1B, is also dimeric. Finally, a second coiled-coil region in p150Glued, CC2 (residues 928–1049), is also dimeric (A Siglin & J Williams, unpublished observation). Additionally, we observe a substantially higher melting point for CC1 compared to CC1A or CC1B, suggesting favorable multivalent interactions. Thus, in the context of the p150Glued and the dynactin complex, CC1B is embedded between two homodimeric coiled-coils of p150Glued that strongly favors its dimerization.

Based on these observations, we suggest that CC1B acts as a folded template for the dynein IC1–44 to bind and potentially 'folds' on to, akin to a disordered-to-ordered transition found in many other systems including the well studied KIX/CREB interaction [53]. More importantly, the hydrodynamic data indicate that the interaction proceeds through a "dimer of dimers". While not entirely unexpected [25], there are a number of important ramifications in these experimental observations. First, interactions involving disordered regions are generally weak (e.g., entropic penalty upon binding). By turning to a bivalent-bivalent interaction, significant gains in apparent affinity are frequently observed [54,55]. We note that our measured dissociation constant range for this interaction by AUC is 10^−11 to 10^−13 M^2. This is close in line with the isothermal titration measurements with the Drosophila IC and p150Glued CC1 fragment, which yielded values of K_D = 3.5 μM [21]. Physically tethering the ICs on the other hand could decrease the binding concentration affinity of the full dynein complex to the full dynactin complex to picomolar levels [54,55]. Presumably, such a high affinity interaction is potentially necessary as a strong interaction would be necessary to transport vesicles (e.g., assuming a diffusion limited on-rate, k_on = 10^9 M^−1 s^−1 and a K_D ≈ 3.5 μM for the monomeric interaction, the life time of the complex would be ~2 ms). Second, a bivalent-bivalent interaction effectively enhances the specificity of the interaction. Specifically, this arrangement requires two binding sites of the receptor to be optimally spaced and oriented to optimally interact with the bivalent ligand. In fact, earlier on in this study, we recognized that whether the IC or p150Glued or both must be monomeric prior to the interaction. This realization is distinct from previous assumptions that the N-terminus of the dynein IC is a preformed coiled-coil and that this coiled-coil binds to a coiled-coil fragment of the dynactin p150Glued [2]. Such an interaction of two preformed coiled-coils would produce an asymmetric structure and potentially lead to aggregation. We note that in sedimentation equilibrium experiments we observe the hetero-tetramer complex and did not find evidence of higher molecular weight species consistent with an oligomer. Finally, a bivalent-bivalent interaction permits rapid regulation by post-translation modification. Specifically, due to the weak affinity of each half-site, post-translational modification of one half of the dimer (e.g., one IC chain) that affects its binding (directly or through a conformational change) will lead to the rapid dissociation of the complex. Taken together, the dimeric organization of the dynactin complex and the p150Glued confers a number of advantages in forming and regulating this interaction. We note that other proteins that are well characterized and bind to the dynein IC are also dimeric (e.g., Lis1, Nde1) and suspect that their bivalent character is critical to their function in regulating dynein activity.

In addition, our recent structural and cell-based assays investigating the role of the dynein LCs on dynein function suggest that the LCs regulate dynein function [26,27]. The dynein LCs bind to the dynein IC immediately C-terminal to the dynactin binding site. Specifically, we observe that sequestering the dynein LCs, LC8 and TcTex1, using an inducible molecular trap rapidly affects endosome and lysosome positioning [26,27]. Based on the 'monomeric' character of the IC N-terminus, we propose that the presence of the LCs is necessary to orient and reduce the radius of gyration of the ICs (Fig. 9) and strengthening the dynein-dynactin interaction.

In summary, the extensive biochemical and biophysical characterization of the IC-p150Glued interaction presented here reveals that the interaction proceeds through a bivalent-bivalent interface, is governed by electrostatic interactions and undergoes a disorder-to-order transition.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Alignment of dynein IC and p150Glued sequences from different organisms and predicted coiled-coil regions. (TIF)

Figure S2 Coiled-coil and isoelectric point sequence analysis of IC and p150Glued binding regions. (A/B) Both the intermediate chain and p150Glued contain regions that have a propensity to exist in a coiled-coil, denoted by a P-score of 0.025 or less (1). Coiled-coil prediction programs indicate a possible N-terminal coiled-coil spanning residues 1–44 of the IC (A). In addition, sequence alignment (2) and coiled-coil prediction of p150Glued indicate a conserved break in the coiled-coil region. Based on this break we designed two new fragments denoted CC1A and CC1B. (C/D) The average isoelectric point of the intermediate chain, residues 1–151 and p150Glued CC1 was determined by calculating the isoelectric point for 28 residues, every 7 residues. Both the p150Glued and IC binding sites are highlighted with a grey box. Note that the isoelectric point of the
IC\textsuperscript{1-44} is 9.7, while p150\textsuperscript{Glued} (415–530) is 4.43, indicating the interaction may primarily be governed by electrostatic interactions. (TIF)

**Figure S3** Alanine scanning mutagenesis of Pac11 and statistical analysis of spindle position assays. (A) Native PAGE indicates that points mutants Pac11-L4A,K5A,Q6A, Pac11-Q6A,L7A,E8A, Pac11-E9A,K10A,R11A,Pac11-L17A,R18A, and Pac11-E19A,R20A,R21A abrogate Pac11-p150Glued CC1B binding. In the presence of LC8, Pac11-p150Glued CC1B binding is restored (indicated by an asterisk). Note only a slight change in migration is seen for the Pac11-p150Glued-LC8 complexes, however the CC1B band is absent or reduced indicating incorporation into the complex (arrow). Figure is composed of four separate native PAGE gels. (B) P-values were determined by t-test for mitotic spindle position assay. (TIF)

**Figure S4** Chi square analysis of p150Glued oligomer formation and IC-p150Glued complex formation. (A) The radial absorbance of the CC1, CC1A and CC1B constructs was fit to a monomer-dimer model affording an optimal dissociation constant. Next, the dissociation constant was fixed at different values centered about the best-fit value and the resulting chi squared value was determined. The chi squared was plotted against the fixed dissociation constants. A sharp rise in the chi squared value indicates limiting values. For instance, the lower limit of the dissociation constant is 8 for CC1A (left panel), however, the value may be much greater. (B) The radial absorbance of IC\textsuperscript{1-124} mixed with CC1, CC1A and CC1B was fit to a 2IC +CC ⇔ (IC\textsubscript{2}/CC\textsubscript{1}) model affording an optimal dissociation constant. The same chi square analysis was performed. Note that CC1B + IC\textsuperscript{1-124} is well constrained at 12. (B) The IC-p150Glued complex data was fit by fixing the dissociation constant around the best-fit value and the chi squared value was recorded. (TIF)

**Figure S5** p150Glued CC1 is a parallel coiled-coil. (A) Upon incubation of Cys-CC1 with 3,5-DMBA we see the presence of a band equivalent to two times the molecular weight of Cys-CC1 (arrow). This indicates that the two cysteines are in close proximity in the CC1 dimer and are able to be crosslinked. (TIF)

**Figure S6** Thermal Denaturation of p150Glued fragments with IC\textsuperscript{1-44}. (A) CC1A alone (diamonds) or incubated with an equimolar concentration of IC\textsuperscript{1-44} (squares). (B) CC1B alone (diamonds) or incubated with an equimolar concentration of IC\textsuperscript{1-44} (squares). (TIF)

**Figure S7** Dimerization and temperature dependence of IC-p150Glued interaction. (A/B) Oligomeric state and association with IC\textsuperscript{1-124} was examined as a function of temperature for both CC1B and CC1. A single speed of 25000 rpm was analyzed at 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25°C. The dimerization of CC1B and association with IC\textsuperscript{1-124} is temperature dependent (A), while no change in either dimerization or association is seen for CC1 (B). IC\textsuperscript{1-124} is monomeric at all temperatures. (TIF)

**Figure S8** Chi square analysis of p150Glued oligomer (A) and IC-p150Glued complex (B) in salt dependence assays. (TIF)

**Figure S9** Chi square analysis of p150Glued oligomer (A) and IC-p150Glued complex (B) in pH dependence assays. (TIF)
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