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Down-regulated IncRNA DLX6-AS1 inhibits tumorigenesis through STAT3 signaling pathway by suppressing CADM1 promoter methylation in liver cancer stem cells
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Abstract

Background: Liver cancer stem cells (LCSCs) are a small subset of cells characterized by unlimited self-renewal, cell differentiation, and uncontrollable cellular growth. LCSCs are also resistant to conventional therapies and are thus believed to be held responsible for causing treatment failure of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). It has been recently found that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are important regulators in HCC. This present study aims to explore the underlying mechanism of how IncRNA DLX6-AS1 influences the development of LCSCs and HCC.

Methods: A microarray-based analysis was performed to initially screen differentially expressed lncRNAs associated with HCC. We then analyzed the lncRNA DLX6-AS1 levels as well as CADM1 promoter methylation. The mRNA and protein expression of CADM1, STAT3, CD133, CD13, OCT-4, SOX2, and Nanog were then detected. We quantified our results by evaluating the spheroid formation, proliferation, and tumor formation abilities, as well as the proportion of tumor stem cells, and the recruitment of DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) in LCSCs when lncRNA DLX6-AS1 was either overexpressed or silenced.

Results: LncRNA DLX6-AS1 was upregulated in HCC. The silencing of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 was shown to reduce and inhibit spheroid formation, colony formation, proliferation, and tumor formation abilities, as well as attenuate CD133, CD13, OCT-4, SOX2, and Nanog expression in LCSCs. Furthermore, downregulation of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 contributed to a reduction in CADM1 promoter methylation via suppression of DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b in LCSCs and inactivating the STAT3 signaling pathway.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated that down-regulated IncRNA DLX6-AS1 may inhibit the stem cell properties of LCSCs through upregulation of CADM1 by suppressing the methylation of the CADM1 promoter and inactivation of the STAT3 signaling pathway.
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Background
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common type of primary liver cancer. This form of malignancy ranks sixth as the most occurring cancer globally and is the third leading cause of death [1, 2]. In general, the incidence of HCC occurs higher in men than that in women worldwide [3]. Despite early detection, patients diagnosed with HCC usually have a poor prognosis which is mainly due to unobvious pathognomonic symptoms and occult onset [2]. Although surgical resection, including liver transplantation has therapeutic effects in treating patients with HCC, the prognosis of HCC still remains poor mostly due to the inhomogeneity of primary tumors, and tumor relapse [4]. Despite the widely-used use of combination chemotherapy, these approaches still fail to improve the overall survival (OS) of HCC patients [5]. HCC has been found to be caused and linked to various factors, such as autoimmune hepatitis, alcohol abuse, chronic hepatitis B/C virus infections (HBV/HCV), and some other metabolic diseases [6]. The advanced genetic technology makes it clear that many more factors than what was previously thought are revealed to be implicated in the development of HCC; however, the specific mechanism still remains elusive. According to the theory proposed by Reya et al., only a small number of cells in tumor tissue, named cancer stem cells (CSCs), have the ability for indefinite self-renewal and have the multidirectional differentiation potential to generate the heterogeneity of tumor cells [7]. Liver CSCs (LCSCs) have been regarded as the cells with specific stem cell-like features in the HCC, in which therapeutic approach is to realize specific target and eradication of LCSCs [8]. It has been indicated that long non-coding RNA (lncRNAs) may play important roles in the regulation of the biological functions of LCSCs [9].

LncRNAs refer to a class of transcripts coded in non-proteins with a length of over 200 nucleotides. LncRNAs and have been reported to be closely involved in both development and progression of a variety of tumors [10]. LncRNA distal-less homeobox 6 antisense 1 (DLX6-AS1) is regulatory of members in the DLX gene family [11]. Overexpression of DLX6-AS1 has been previously revealed in HCC tissues, which highly suggests that DLX6-AS1 could serve as an oncogene of HCC by acting via the DLX6-AS1/miR-203a/MMP-2 pathway [12]. Interestingly, DLX6-AS1 was reported to enhance osteosarcoma stemness through regulation of mir-129-5p/DLK1, suggested that DLX6-AS1 might correlate with cancer stemness [13]. In addition, cell adhesion molecule 1 (CADM1), whose expression has been extensively found in lung, brain, testis, liver, and some cancer cells [14], has been observed to be downregulated in HCC cells, serving as a propellant of tumorigenesis of HCC [15]. Research has provided evidence that HCC is more likely to recur in patients with extensive CADM1 methylation compared to patients with less or without CADM1 methylation [16]. Additionally, the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3)/Nanog signaling pathway have been suggested to participate in the induction of liver cancer stem cell (LCSC) properties [17]. In a recent study, CADM1 was shown to suppress STAT3 in patients with squamous cell carcinomas (SqCC) [18]. Another study also found that another type of lncRNA known as SNHG16 could regulate the STAT3 signaling pathway in carcinogenesis of HCC [19]. However, the relationship among lncRNA DLX6-AS1, CADM1 methylation, STAT3 signaling pathway remains to be investigated. Therefore, we aim to investigate whether the STAT3 signaling pathway participates in the progression of LCSCs with the hypothesis that lncRNA DLX6-AS1 could influence LCSC progression via regulation of CADM1 promoter methylation and STAT3 signaling pathway.

Material and methods
Ethics statement
The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Municipal Hospital of Xuzhou. All participants have signed written informed consent forms prior to the experiment. Efforts were made to relieve the mice pain as much as possible.

Microarray-based data analysis
The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (http://cancergenome.nih.gov/) was employed to retrieve the data of expressed genes related to HCC. The expression of DLX6-AS1 in HCC was analyzed by R language software. The transcriptome profiling data was analyzed by differential analysis with package edgeR of R [20]. The obtained p-value was corrected by false positive discovery (FDR) correction with package multtest. FDR < 0.05 and |log2 (fold change)| > 1 were considered as the screening criteria for differentially expressed genes (DEGs). The prediction of co-expression gene of the differentially expressed lncRNA was conducted on The Multi Experiment Matrix (MEM, http://biit.cs.ut.ee/mem/) website, and the IncATLAS (http://lncatlas.crg.eu/) was used for subcellular localization of target lncRNA. Co-expressed genes retrieved from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) was analyzed using the webgestalt database (http://www.webgestalt.org/option.php) to confirm the co-expressed genes. The Blast results showed the existence of binding sites of DLX6-AS1 on CADM1 gene promoter region.

Cell and tissue collection
Five HCC cell lines of SMMC-7721, HCCLM3, Hep3B, HepG2 and Huh7 and an immortalized normal L02 liver
cell line were purchased from the Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). We collected 48 primary HCC tissue samples from patients who underwent HCC surgical resection in the Affiliated Municipal Hospital of Xuzhou. Amongst the 48 patients, 36 were males and 12 were females with a mean age of 48.9 ± 4.57 years old. The survival time of patients was evaluated by the Kaplan-Meier method after a follow-up period of 60 months. During the follow-up period, the time of death was designated as the endpoint. If patients died before the end of the follow-up, the time of the last follow-up was regarded as the endpoint. The interval between the date of surgery and the time of death was defined as the OS. HCC tissues were collected in strict accordance with the specifications for specimen collection. One portion of the specimens was frozen at -80°C, and the other part was fixed in 10% formalin, dehydrated using an automatic dehydrator, and embedded in paraffin.

### Sorting and collection of LCSCs

HCC cells in the logarithmic growth stage were suspended in PBS, and incubated with 100 μL FcR-blocking reagent at a cell density of 1 × 10^7 cells/100 μL in order to block nonspecific binding. The cells were then mixed with 100 μL of CD133 and CD13 antibodies, and incubated at 4°C in the dark for 30 min. After centrifugation at 300×g for 10 min, cells were resuspended to create a single cell suspension using buffer solution, sorted by flow cytometry, and collected.

### Cell grouping and treatment

The LCSCs in the logarithmic growth stage were assigned into the following eight experimental groups according to what they were treated with: blank group (cells without treatment), negative control (NC) group (cells transfected with empty plasmids), oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group (cells transfected with LncRNA DLX6-AS1 plasmids), shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group (cells transfected with lncRNA DLX6-AS1 interference plasmids), oeCADM1 group (cells transfected with CADM1 plasmids), oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 + oeCADM1 group (cells transfected with LncRNA DLX6-AS1 and CADM1 plasmids), oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 + shCADM1 group (cells transfected with lncRNA DLX6-AS1 and CADM1 interference plasmids), S3I-201 group (cells treated with 100 μM STAT3 signaling pathway inhibitor S3I-201), and shCADM1 + S3I-201 group (cells treated with both S3I-201 and CADM1 interference plasmids).

### Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

After total RNA extraction from cells and tissues by Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), the obtained RNA was reversely transcribed to form complementary DNA (cDNA) according to the instructions provided by the TaqMan MicroRNA Assays Reverse Transcription primer kit (4,427,975, Applied Biosystems Inc. Carlsbad, CA, USA). RT-qPCR was conducted using an ABI7500 quantitative PCR appliance using β-actin as the internal reference (Applied Biosystems Inc. Carlsbad, CA, USA). The 2^ΔΔCt method was used to calculate the ratio of expression of the target gene in the experimental groups and the blank or NC groups, and the formulas were as follows: ΔΔCt = ΔCt experimental group − ΔCt blank group or NC group, ΔCt = Ct target gene − Ct internal reference. Each experiment was repeated three times. The primers of LncRNA DLX6-AS1, CADM1, STAT3, surface markers of LCSCs (CD133 and CD13), and relative transcriptional factors Nanog, SOX2, and OCT-4 were designed and synthesized by TaKaRa (Tokyo, Japan) (Table 1).

### Western blot analysis

The total proteins were extracted from cells using radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA) cell lysis buffer (BB-3209, BestBio Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and electrically transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane. The membrane was sealed with the sealing solution for 1 h, followed by incubation

### Table 1 Primer sequences for RT-qPCR

| Gene          | Primer sequences                      |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| LncRNA DLX6-AS1 | F: 5′-AGT TTC TCT CTA GAT TGC CCTT-3′  |
|               | R: 5′-ATT GAC AGT ATG TTA GTC CCC TT-3′ |
| CADM1         | F: 5′-ATG GCG AGT GTA CTC TTG C-3′    |
|               | R: 5′-GAT CAC TGT CAC GTC TTT GCT-3′  |
| STAT3         | F: 5′-CAG CAG CTC GAT ACA CCG TA-3′   |
|               | R: 5′-AAA CAC CAA AGT GGC ATG TGA-3′  |
| CD133         | F: 5′-AGT CGG AAA CTG GCA GAT ACC-3′  |
|               | R: 5′-GAT GGT CTC GAT GCA CAA T-3′    |
| CD13          | F: 5′-GAC CAA AGT AAA GCG TGG AAT CG-3′ |
|               | R: 5′-TCT CAG CGT CAC CGG GTA G-3′    |
| Oct-4         | F: 5′-CTG GGT GTA TCC TGC GAC CT-3′   |
|               | R: 5′-CCA TCG GAG TTG CTC TCC A-3′   |
| SOX2          | F: 5′-GCC GAG TTG AAA CTT TTG CTC-3′ |
|               | R: 5′-GCG AGC GTG TAC TTA TCC TTC T-3′|
| Nanog         | F: 5′-TTT GTG GGC CTG AAG AAA ACT-3′  |
|               | R: 5′-AGG GCT GTC CAG AAT AAG CAG-3′  |
| β-actin       | F: 5′-GCT GTG CGT CGA CAA CGG CTC-3′  |
|               | R: 5′-CAA ACA TGA TCT GGG TCA TCT C-3′|  

Note: RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; R, reverse; F, forward; CADM1, cell adhesion molecule 1; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; LncRNA, long non-coding RNA, Oct-4, octamer-binding transcription factor 4; SOX2, SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 2
at 4 °C overnight with the addition of following primary antibodies: rabbit polyclonal antibody to CD133 (1: 1000, ab198981), CD13 (1: 500, ab154116), Nanog (1: 500, ab80892), SOX2 (1: 1000, ab97959), OCT-4 (1: 1000, ab19857), rabbit monoclonal antibodies to STAT3 (1: 1000, ab68153) and p-STAT3 (1: 2000, ab76315) (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA), and rabbit polyclonal antibody to CADM1 (1: 1000, A1892, ABclonal Biotech Co., Ltd., Cambridge, MA, USA). On the following day, the membrane was incubated with HRP conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) (1: 5000, A21020, Abbkine, USA) at 37 °C for 1 h and developed with ECL reagent. Using glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as the internal reference, the relative protein levels of target proteins were expressed as the ratio of gray value of target band to that of internal reference band. Each experiment was conducted 3 times.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)**

The subcellular localization of IncRNA DLX6-AS1 was predicted using a bioinformatics tool (http://lncatlas.crg.eu/). FISH was carried out to confirm the subcellular localization of IncRNA DLX6-AS1 in LCSCs. According to the instructions provided by the Ribo™ IncRNA FISH probeMix (RiboBio company, Guangzhou, China), Huh7 cells were cultured in cover glasses and transferred to 6-well plates, allowing them to incubate for one day in order let the cell confluence reach approximately 80%. Next, cells were fixed in 1 mL 4% paraformaldehyde at
DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b were detected by zene or chloroform. The conjugations of CADM1 with fragments were extracted and purified by hydroxyben- 
sepharose and dissociated at 65 °C overnight. The DNA compound was then precipitated with either agarose or positive control. The antibody bound DNA-protein com-
ments of appropriate size. The cells were then centrifuged at 302373×g at 4 °C and the supernatant was 

**Dual-luciferase reporter gene assay**

CADM1 wild-type (WT) containing DLX6-AS1 binding sites on the CADM1 promoter region and CADM1 mutant type (MUT), were ligated into PGLO vectors respectively. Either PGLO-CADM1 WT or PGLO-CADM1-MUT was co-transfected with oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 or NC plasmid into LCSCs. After 24 h of transfection, the cells were collected and lysed. Luciferase activity was detected using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (E1910, Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The relative luciferase activity was expressed as the ratio of firefly luciferase activity to renilla luciferase activity. The experiments were conducted 3 times.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**

The enrichment of DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b in the CADM1 gene promoter region was assessed using a ChIP kit (Millipore Inc., Billerica, MA, USA). After LCSCs were selected and cultured, they were incubated until cell confluence reached 70–80%. LCSCs were then obtained and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature to crosslink DNA and protein. Cells were randomly lysed using ultrasonic treatment with 15 μL proteinase K, glycine, and ethyl phthalate reagent. After 5 min and 72 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 10 min. In the MSP results, the appearance of the M band represented no methylation (−), while the appearance of U band represented methylation (+), while the figure < 10% represented un-methylation (−). In the BSP results, the data > 50% represented methylation (+), while the figure <10% represented unmethylation (−).

**Immunofluorescence staining**

The transfected LCSCs were cultured in immunofluorescence chambers with a cell density of 2 × 10^5 cells per well. When the cell confluence reached 90%, the cells were fixed in 4% polyformaldehyde at room temperature for 15 min. After that, the cells were treated with 0.3% Triton X-100 and blocked with goat serum for 30 min. Next, cells were incubated with the STAT3 primary antibody (1: 200, ab68153, Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA) at 4 °C overnight, secondary antibody at room temperature, followed by treatment with 2 μg/mL protease K, glycine, and ethyl phthalate reagent. After that, cells were incubated with 250 μL prehybridization solution at 42 °C for 1 h, and then incubated with 250 μL of 300 ng/mL hybridization solution containing probe at 42 °C overnight after removing the prehybridization solution. The cells were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline with Tween-20 (PBST), incubated with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (1: 800) for five minutes in a 24-well plate, followed by a PBST rinse. Finally, cells were sealed with anti-fluorescence quenching agent. By randomly selecting give different visual fields, cells were observed and photographed under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus Optical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

**RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP)**

This part of the experiment was conducted in accordance with the instructions provided by the Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation kit (Milli-
pore Inc., Billerica, MA, USA). LCSCs were first lysed with 100 μL cell lysis buffer containing protease inhibitor and ribonuclease inhibitor and centrifuged at 25764×g at 4 °C for 3 min. The supernatant was then collected and incubated with the corresponding 1 μg antibodies: NC antibody, IgG of normal mice, and specific antibody, rabbit antibody against target proteins (DNMT1 [ab13537], DNMT3a [ab2850], and DNMT3b [ab2851] [Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA]). After incubation with 10 to 50 μL protein A/G-beads at 4 °C overnight, and washed with 1 mL cell lysis buffer 3 to 4 times, the supernatant was then centrifuged at 179×g for 1 min at 4 °C and subjected to heat treatment with 15 μL of 2 × sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) for 10 min. The RNA was then extracted and RT-qPCR was performed to test the interactions DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b with IncRNA DLX6-AS1 using the primers specific to IncRNA DLX6-AS1.

**Bisulfite sequencing PCR (BSP) and methylation-specific PCR (MSP)**

Genomic DNA was extracted from LCSCs and subjected to DNA methylation using a Methyl Detector TM Bisul-
fone Modification Kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, USA), followed by PCR amplification. The primer sequences for CADM1 promoter were as follows: the upstream primer was 5′-GGATTTGTTTTTTTTATTT-3′, and the downstream primer was 5′-AATCCCCAAAAAAA-
TATTCTCC-3′. The reaction was conducted at 95 °C for 5 min, with 33 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 58 °C for 2 min and 72 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 10 min. In the BSP results, the appearance of the M band represented methylation (+), while the appearance of U band represented no methylation (−). In the MSP results, the data > 50% represented methylation (+), while the figure <10% represented un-methylation (−).
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temperature in the dark for one hour, and DAPI solution for 15 min also in the dark. Finally, the cells were mounted with a fluorescence quenching agent and observed and photographed under a fluorescence microscope.

Onco-spheroids formation assay
A total of $1 \times 10^4$ LCSCs were seeded and distributed in a 96-well low adsorption plate and cultured with serum-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagles Medium (DMEM)-F12. They were then conjugated with 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF) and 20 ng/mL fibroblast growth factors (FGF)-β for 10 days with semi-quantitative fluid exchange every 2 days. After 10 days, the cells were observed and counted, followed by image capture using an electron microscope.

Soft agar colony formation (SACF) assay
One mL cell suspension was mixed with 1 mL 0.7% agarose in DMEM to obtain a cell density of $1 \times 10^4$ cells/cm² and seeded into a 100-mm-diameter container pre-covered with 0.7% agarose. Three parallel samples were set for each group. A total of 3–3 mL culture medium was added to the surface of solidified agar in a dropwise manner after which the cells were then incubated with 5% CO₂ at 37 °C, replacing the medium every 2 to 3 days. After 7 days, the cells were counted under an inverted microscope, and the opaque spots with ≥ 50 cells were regarded as one colony. Images were photographed and stored for statistical analysis.

5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) staining
Cells in the logarithmic growth stage were seeded in a 96-well plate with a cell density of $4 \times 10^3$–$1 \times 10^5$ cells per well. After seeding, cells were and cultured to normal growth stage. Then, 50 μM EdU medium was prepared along with the cell culture medium. A total of 100 μL 50 μM EdU medium was added into each well and allowed to be incubated for 2 h. Each well was fixed with 50 μL PBS containing 4% polyformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature followed with the addition of 50 μL 2 mg/mL glycine. Then, the cells were incubated in a dark place for 5 min. Each well was then incubated with 100 μL penetrating agent (PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100) for 10 min. A total of 100 μL 1 X Apollo® dye solution was then added into each well, and the cells were incubated on a decolorizing rocker at room temperature in the dark for another 30 min. The cells were then washed 2 to 3 times, 10 min each time with the penetrating agent and rinsed with 100 μL methanol 1 to 2 times, 5 min each time. Next, 100 μL Hoechst33342 reaction solution was added to the cells and left to incubate in the dark at room temperature for 30 min. Finally, cells in each well were washed with 100 μL PBS 1 to 3 times.

Limiting dilution assay (LDA) in vivo
The cells were cultured in a low-adhesion plate for 7 d, after which LCSC clumps of each group were centrifuged in a 10 mL glass centrifuge tube. The cells were washed with normal saline and detached to form single cells. Cells of different quantities ($1 \times 10^3$, $5 \times 10^3$, $1 \times 10^4$, and $5 \times 10^4$) were first re-suspended in 50 μL normal saline, mixed with 0 μL Matrigel Matrix (1: 1), followed by inoculation into the subcutaneous tissue of NOD-SCID mice. Two weeks after inoculation, the formation of tumor cells was observed and recorded. The ratio of tumor stem cells to total cells was determined using the extreme limiting dilution analysis (ELDA) software (http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/software/elda/index.html) [21].

Tumor xenograft in NOD-SCID mice
The cells were cultured in a low-cell adhesion plate for 7 days followed by LCSC ball collection. Cells were washed with normal saline, triturated gently and prepared into a single cell suspension, followed by cell counting. A total of 2 × 10⁶ cells were re-suspended in 50 μL normal saline, mixed with 50 μL Matrigel Matrix (1: 1), and inoculated into the subcutaneous tissue of NOD-SCID mice with 8 mice in each group. Two weeks later, the volume and size of the tumor were observed and recorded.
Statistical analysis
All the data were performed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Differences between two groups were analyzed by t-test, while that among multiple groups was analyzed by one-way analysis of variance, followed by a Turkey’s post hoc-test. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) indicate statistical significance.

Results
LncRNA DLX6-AS1 is expressed at high levels in both LCSCs and HCC cells
The levels of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 in LCSCs and HCC cells were initially analyzed from the TCGA database which showed that DLX6-AS1 was overexpressed in HCC (Fig. 1a). A total of 48 HCC tissues and adjacent normal tissues were collected from HCC patients to detect the level of DLX6-AS1. According to RT-qPCR results shown in Fig. 1b & f and Additional file 1: Table S1, HCC tissues exhibited higher levels of DLX6-AS1 compared to adjacent normal tissue. This showed that the expression of DLX6-AS1 was associated with the prognosis of HCC. We measured the expression of DLX6-AS1 in some HCC cell lines (Fig. 1c) and found that the expression of DLX6-AS1 was higher in SMMC-7721, HCCLM3, Hep3B, HepG2, and Huh7 cells compared to L02 cells. LCSCs were enriched in low-adhesion sphere culture in order to further explore the expression of DLX6-AS1 by RT-qPCR. As shown in Fig. 1d, compared with non-sphere LCSCs, we found that the expression of DLX6-AS1 was higher in LCSC spheres. Since CD133 and CD13 were common LCSCs markers, flow cytometry

![Down-regulating lncRNA DLX6-AS1 suppresses tumorigenesis and tumor growth of LCSCs.](image)

- **a**, the proportion of LCSCs in mice of different groups determined by LDA; **b**, size of subcutaneous tumors in mice of different groups; **c**, weight of subcutaneous tumors in mice of different groups; **d**, volume of subcutaneous tumors in mice of different groups; \( N = 8 \); \( ^* p < 0.05 \), vs. the blank group; the statistical data were expressed as mean value ± standard error and analyzed by one-way ANOVA; ANOVA, analysis of variance; the experiment was conducted 3 times; LDA, limiting dilution assay; LCSCs, liver cancer stem cells; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; DLX6-AS1, DLX6 antisense RNA 1
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was conducted to sort the cells that expressed CD133 and CD13 positive or negative cells from the Huh7 and HepG2 expressing cells. By detecting DLX6-AS1, we found that DLX6-AS1 was higher in CD133+ CD13+ positive cells compared to CD133− CD13− cells (Fig. 1e). These findings help demonstrate that LCSCs and HCC cells exhibited high expression of DLX6-AS1.

**Downregulation of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 suppresses self-renewal, amplification, and proliferation of LCSCs**

LSCCs enriched from the Huh7 and HepG2 cells were transfected with different plasmids by low-adhesion sphere culture. The expression changes of LSCCs surface markers and transcription factors were determined using RT-qPCR and western blot analysis. In contrast to the blank and NC groups, the expression of LSCCs surface markers, CD133 and CD13, and transcription factors Nanog, SOX2, and OCT-4 were all downregulated in the shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group but were all downregulated in the shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group (Fig. 2a-c). Spheroids formation assay showed that the number of spheroids in the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group was significantly lower compared to the blank and NC groups. Besides, the proportion of EdU positive cells in the shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group was much higher than that in the blank and NC groups. This suggests that overexpression of DLX6-AS1 significantly increased the self-renewal of LSCCs (Fig. 2d). In addition, SACF assay showed that the number of cell colonies in the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group was significantly larger, while that in the shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group was clearly smaller, suggesting that overexpression of DLX6-AS1 improved amplification of LSCCs. EdU staining used for the detecting cell proliferation shows how the proportion of EdU positive cells in the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group was much higher than that in the blank and NC groups. Besides, the proportion of EdU positive cells in the shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group was significantly lower compared to the blank and NC groups which highlights cell proliferation was enhanced when DLX6-AS1 was overexpressed (Fig. 2f). All these findings illustrate that down-regulation of DLX6-AS1 could inhibit the self-renewal, amplification, and proliferation of LCSCs.

**Silenced lncRNA DLX6-AS1 inhibits tumorigenesis and tumor growth of LCSCs in vivo**

To further elucidate the role of DLX6-AS1 in LCSCs, EdU and tumor xenograft in NOD-SCID mice were conducted in vivo to examine the tumorigenicity and stem cell proportion of LSCCs. LDA showed that the number of tumors and the proportion of tumor stem cell in the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group were significantly higher than those in the blank and NC groups (Table 2 and Fig. 3a). Tumor xenograft in NOD-SCID mice showed that tumors in the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group appeared earlier, grew faster, and exhibited a larger volume, while the tumors in the shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group showed the opposite results when compared to the blank and NC groups (all p < 0.05) (Fig. 3b-d). These results revealed suppressing the tumorigenesis and tumor growth of LCSCs could be achieved by inhibiting lncRNA DLX6-AS1.

**lncRNA DLX6-AS1 inhibits CADM1 expression by promoting methylation of the CADM1 promoter**

DLX6-AS1 was initially predicted to be localized in the nucleus by the IncATLAS website (Fig. 4a), which was confirmed by FISH (Fig. 4b). Sequence blasting identified complementary base pairing between DLX6-AS1 and CADM1 promoter region (Fig. 4c). The MEM website helped further confirm that CADM1 was indeed a target gene of DLX6-AS1 (Fig. 4d). Dual-luciferase reporter gene assay revealed that compared with the NC group, the luciferase activity of cells transfected with CADM1-WT in the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group decreased significantly (p < 0.05), while there was no significant change in luciferase activity in cells transfected with CADM1-MUT between the NC and oeLncRNA.
DXL6-AS1 groups (Fig. 4e). This further highlights how DXL6-AS1 could downregulate the transcription of CADM1. To elucidate the binding of DXL6-AS1 to CADM1 promoter region, CHIP and RIP assays were conducted in LCSCs. As shown in Fig. 4f, the enrichment of methyltransferase DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b in the CADM1 promoter region could be observed obviously. Additionally, Fig. 4g shows the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group exhibited a higher conjugation rate with DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b (all $p < 0.05$), while the shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group showed opposite results when compared with the blank group (all $p < 0.05$).

Furthermore, the methylation of the CpG sites in the CADM1 promoter region was determined using MSP and BSP in LCSCs (Fig. 4i). The CpG island of CADM1 in the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group was highly

Fig. 5 Reducing lncRNA DLX6-AS1 suppresses the STAT3 signaling pathway via the upregulation of CADM1. a, nuclear import of STAT3 detected by immunofluorescence staining, scale bar = 25 μm; b, CADM1 expression in LCSCs isolated from Huh7 and HepG2 determined by RT-qPCR; c-e, CADM1 protein level and phosphorylation levels of STAT3 in LCSCs isolated from Huh7 and HepG2 determined by western blot analysis: *, $p < 0.05$, vs. the blank group; the statistical data were expressed as mean value of standard error and analyzed by one-way ANOVA; the experiment was conducted 3 times; CADM1, cell adhesion molecule 1; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; ANOVA, analysis of variance; LncRNA, long non-coding RNA; DLX6-AS1, DLX6 antisense RNA 1.
Fig. 6 (See legend on next page.)
methylated and poorly methylated in the shLncRNA DXL6-AS1 group (Fig. 4h, j), suggesting that the methylation of CpG island of CADM1 gene was related to the expression of DXL6-AS1. RT-qPCR and western blot analysis (Fig. 4k-n) suggested that in comparison with the blank group, the oeLnc DXL6-AS1 group displayed a reduction in CADM1 levels, which was opposite to what was found in the shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 group. These findings showed lncRNA DLX6-AS1 was able to downregulate the expression of CADM1 by promoting the methylation of CADM1 promoter region.

LncRNA DLX6-AS1 downregulation inactivates the STAT3 signaling pathway by upregulating CADM1 in LCSCs

A small molecule inhibitor of STAT3 S3I-201 was employed in order to investigate the role of STAT3 signaling pathway in LCSCs. The nuclear translocation of STAT3 detected by immunofluorescence staining was considered as an indicator that reflects the activation of the STAT3 signaling pathway. The nuclear import of STAT3 in the LCSCs was increased in the shCADM1 group, and decreased in the S3I-201 group, suggesting that knocking down of CADM1 activated the STAT3 signaling pathway (Fig. 5a). Moreover, RT-qPCR and western blot analysis (Fig. 5b-e) detected the phosphorylation of CADM1 and STAT3 and showed that the shCADM1 group exhibited a reduction in mRNA and protein expression of CADM1 as well as higher phosphorylation level of STAT3. This indicated increased STAT3 activity led to STAT3 signaling pathway activation, while the reverse trend was found in the S3I-201 group. These results provided evidence of how lncRNA DLX6-AS1 silencing could inactivate the STAT3 signaling pathway by elevating CADM1 in LCSCs.

Down-regulation of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 inhibits the spheroid formation ability, colony formation ability, and proliferation ability of LCSCs by increasing CADM1 and suppressing STAT3 signaling pathway

Low-adhesion spheroid formation LCSCs isolated from Huh7 and HepG2 was conducted to explore the effects of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 on LCSCs. In comparison with the blank and NC groups, the shCADM1 group showed significantly higher mRNA and protein expression of CD133, CD13, Nanog, SOX2, and OCT-4 (Fig. 6a-c), and upregulated spheroid formation ability, colony formation ability, and proliferation ability of LCSCs (Fig. 6d-f). This contrasts to that of the S3I-201 group, which showed the opposite results which inhibited the STAT3 signaling pathway. However, mRNA and protein levels of CD133, CD13, Nanog, SOX2, and OCT-4, spheroid formation ability, colony formation ability, and proliferation ability did not differ among the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 + shCADM1, shCADM1 + S3I-201, blank, and NC groups. These results suggested downregulation of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 could lead to suppressed spheroid formation ability, colony formation ability, and proliferation ability of LCSCs through inactivating the STAT3 signaling pathway by upregulating CADM1.

Table 3 Tumorigenicity of Huh7 spheroid cells

| Injected cells | Blank | NC | shCADM1 | S3I-201 | shLncRNA DLX6-AS1 + shCADM1 | shCADM1 + S3I-201 |
|----------------|-------|----|---------|---------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 1000           | 0/3   | 0/3| 2/3     | 0/3     | 0/3                        | 0/3              |
| 5000           | 1/3   | 1/3| 3/3     | 0/3     | 1/3                        | 1/3              |
| 10,000         | 1/3   | 1/3| 3/3     | 1/3     | 2/3                        | 1/3              |
| 50,000         | 2/3   | 2/3| 3/3     | 1/3     | 2/3                        | 2/3              |
| Total          | 4/12  | 4/12| 11/12   | 2/12    | 5/12                       | 4/12             |

Note: NC, negative control; CADM1, cell adhesion molecule 1; LncRNA, long non-coding RNA; DLX6-AS1, DLX6 antisense RNA 1
in the number of tumors and proportion of tumor stem cells, while the S3I-201 group showed opposite results. In addition, tumor xenograft in NOD-SCID mice was also conducted, and results demonstrated that compared with the blank and NC groups, tumors in the shCADM1 group developed earlier, grew faster and larger, while those in the S3I-201 group showed the reverse trend; the formation time, growth speed, and tumor size did not significantly differ among the oeLncRNA DLX6-AS1 + shCADM1, shCADM1 + S3I-201, blank, and NC groups (Fig. 7b-d). These results highlighted the inhibition of IncRNA DLX6-AS1 could suppress tumorigenesis and tumor growth of LCSCs in vivo by suppressing the STAT3 signaling pathway after increasing CADM1.

Discussion

HCC is well recognized malignant tumor worldwide, which is characterized by high malignancy, a high risk of metastasis, as well as high occurrence and recurrence rates [22]. Current standard treatment approaches for treating patients with HCC remain unsatisfactory due to poor prognosis rates despite early detection. Therefore, more potential therapeutic targets are required to improve HCC patient’s outcome and mortality [23]. It has been proved that IncRNAs are implicated in the genesis and development of many tumors [24]. In the present study, we aimed to shed light on the potential mechanism of how IncRNA DLX6-AS1 affects tumorigenesis and development of LCSCs. Our findings provided evidence demonstrating that IncRNA DLX6-AS1 silencing could lead to reduced methylation of CADM1 promoter, which further enhanced the expression of CADM1 and inactivated the STAT3 signaling pathway, thus repressing the tumorigenicity and tumor progression of LCSCs.
Initially, we found that lncRNA DLX6-AS1 was up-regulated in HCC tissues, and that down-regulation of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 could contribute to repressed self-renewal, amplification, and proliferation of LCSCs. The crucial role of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 in the tumor progression of HCC has been widely reported, and that abnormal overexpression of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 could be correlated to poor prognosis in patients suffering from HCC [12], which were consistent with our findings. Additionally, lncRNA DLX6-AS1 overexpression has also been found in other carcinomas such as renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and lung adenocarcinoma, serving a pivotal part in cancer development [25]. Abnormal expression of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 in lung adenocarcinoma was demonstrated to be related to the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage and histological differentiation [26]. Taken together, extensive amount evidence has shed a lot of light on how inhibiting lncRNA DLX6-AS1 could lead to a reduction in tumorigenesis and cancer development of HCC by inhibiting the self-renewal, amplification, and proliferation of LCSCs.

The present study also demonstrated that higher methylation levels at the CADM1 promoter region and lower CADM1 expression were both presented in LCSCs. CADM1, a member belonging to the immunoglobulin superfamily of cell adhesion molecule, is an extensively known tumor suppressor [27]. DNA methylation is a physiological process by which methyl groups are added to DNA molecules. In pathological conditions such as cancer, DNA hypermethylation can result in increased tumorigenicity [28, 29]. High methylation of the CADM1 promoter was reported in several cancers such as cervical carcinomas, cell lung carcinoma and pancreatic cancers [30–32]. On the other hand, CADM1 downregulation induced by promoter methylation has been suggested to be important for the pathogenesis of HCC [16], which is largely in agreement with the observations of our study. Our study strongly suggests that upregulation of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 was able to lead to a reduction in CADM1 expression by increasing CADM1 methylation, thus activating the STAT3 signaling pathway. STAT3 is a potent regulator of tumorigenesis, survival, and inflammation of liver cells, is constitutively activated in the vast majority of HCC cells [23]. It has been demonstrated by a recent study that STAT3 is implicated in regulating the microenvironment and development of cancers [33]. In accordance with our study, CADM1 has been identified to exhibit a suppressive effect on STAT3 in patients with SqCC [18]. Han et al. suggested that inhibition of STAT3 signaling pathway was able to regulate tumor growth in HCC patients by inhibiting cell proliferation, yet inducing cell apoptosis [34]. S31–201, an inhibitor of the STAT3 signaling pathway, has been demonstrated to have an inhibitory effect on cell growth in HCC [35]. The STAT3 signaling pathway plays an important role in a

---

Fig. 8 The molecular mechanism involved in lncRNA DLX6-AS1 affecting LCSCs by regulating STAT3 signaling pathway through affecting CADM1 promoter methylation. Down-regulation of lncRNA DLX6-AS1 inhibited CADM1 promoter methylation, increased CADM1 expression, and suppressed the activation of the STAT3 signaling pathway, and finally the expression of CD133 and CD13 in LCSCs were decreased and the progression of LCSCs was repressed. lncRNA DLX6-AS1, long non-coding RNA DLX6-AS1; CADM1, cell adhesion molecule; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; LCSC, liver cancer stem cells.
variety of CSCs, including breast cancer stem cells [36, 37], LCSCs [38, 39], pancreatic cancer stem cells [40], ovarian cancer stem cells [41], and regulates many downstream pluripotent genes-related to CSCs including OCT4, SOX2, and Nanog [42]. Interestingly, STAT3 was also reported to be a critical factor or a promoter in the expansion of LCSCs promoting the methylation of CADM1 promoter and inactivation of the STAT3 signaling pathway, thus exerting suppressive effects on tumorigenesis and tumor development of LCSCs (Fig. 8). Therefore, IncRNA DLX6-AS1 may serve as a novel biomarker for the treatment of HCC.
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