VARIATIONS BETWEEN DUST AND GAS IN THE DIFFUSE INTERSTELLAR MEDIUM
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ABSTRACT

Using the Planck far-infrared and Arecibo GALFA 21 cm line surveys, we identified a set of isolated interstellar clouds (approximately degree-sized on the sky and comprising 100 solar masses) and assessed the ratio of gas mass to dust mass. Significant variations of the gas/dust ratio are found both from cloud to cloud and within regions of individual clouds; within the clouds, the atomic gas per unit dust decreases by more than a factor of 3 compared with the standard gas/dust ratio. Three hypotheses are considered. First, the apparently low gas/dust ratio could be due to molecular gas. Comparing to Planck CO maps, the brightest clouds have a H2/CO ratio comparable to Galactic plane clouds, but a strong lower limit is placed on the ratio for other clouds, such that the required amount of molecular gas is far higher than would be expected based on the CO upper limits. Second, we consider self-absorbed 21 cm lines and find that the optical depth must be ~3, significantly higher than found from surveys of radio sources. Third, grain properties may change within the clouds: they become more emissive when they are colder, while not utilizing heavy elements that already have their cosmic abundance fully locked into grains. It is possible that all three processes are active, and follow-up studies will be required to disentangle them and measure the true total gas and dust content of interstellar clouds.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The nature of the diffuse interstellar medium, which pervades the volume of the Galaxy and is distinct from the dense, self-gravitating clouds where stars form, is only understood in broad terms. Studies of absorption toward nearby stars reveal a combination of atomic and molecular gas toward those lines of sight (Savage et al. 1977; Rachford et al. 2002), but they leave large gaps between the observed stars and have relatively little context by which we can understand the organization of the material or its relation to material not readily studied by absorption lines. Large-scale surveys of emission from diffuse interstellar gas were made possible by the brightness of the 21 cm hyperfine line of H1: and dedicated all-sky surveys at half-degree angular resolution (Kalberla et al. 2005). Widespread emission from interstellar dust was revealed by the first infrared satellite observations and unveiled even more detail about the organization of the interstellar medium (Low et al. 1984). The structure of the interstellar clouds and the relation between gas and dust could be studied at half-degree resolution, limited by the 21 cm surveys. Dust and gas correlated well at that scale; however, the infrared images showed significant structure on smaller scales, and variations between dust and gas appeared significant on small scales in limited observations performed. As one observer noted, “[o]ur results emphasize that it is not always evident, from observations with spatial resolutions coarser than a few arc minutes, how interstellar gas and dust are related” (Verschuur et al. 1992).

At the level of individual clouds, where a “cloud” is defined as a structure on the sky of high spatial contrast on the <1° scale as well as a velocity coherent at the <10 km s−1 scale, there are significant variations between the properties of dust and gas. The advantage of working on features of relatively high contrast is that we can isolate the structures by subtracting the brightness just outside the cloud, allowing measurements of the cloud without requiring knowledge of the absolute brightness. For degree-sized clouds selected from the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS), we found significant variations in the relative amounts of dust and gas from cloud to cloud (Heiles et al. 1988) despite the overall linear correlation between dust and gas when averaged over very large areas (Boulanger & Perault 1988). In particular, there was more dust emission per unit H1 column density for some clouds, suggesting the dust was tracing additional material not traced by the 21 cm line observations. Follow-up observations showing CO in several of those clouds indicated that the extra infrared emission was often associated with molecular gas, though the correspondence was not perfect (Reach et al. 1994; Meyer dumb and Heithausen 1996). Some Galactic CO emission is apparently present in small clumps, even at high Galactic latitude (Heithausen 2002).

All-sky comparisons of dust and gas emission, including far-infrared observations out to 240 μm wavelength with the Cosmic Background Explorer at degree scales, showed that locations with apparent “excess” infrared emission tended to also be colder than their surroundings (so the excess is truly in the amount of dust, not the amount of heating) and provided an all-sky guide to locations of potential molecular clouds (Reach et al. 1998). More recently, the all-sky survey by Planck with its exceptionally high sensitivity at 5μ angular scales, confirmed the presence and relative frigidity of the infrared excess and showed that the amount of material that is implicated in producing the excess is comparable to the known molecular mass of the local interstellar medium (Planck Collaboration XIX 2011).

The potential for molecular gas without CO has been noted for some time (Blitz et al. 1990), but the true amount and extent of such gas is only recently becoming elucidated by observations such as mentioned above and described in this paper. H2 is self-shielded and forms in regions protected...
from the interstellar radiation field by approximately half a magnitude of extinction, while CO requires somewhat more protection at approximately 2 mag of extinction. A theoretical prediction for the amount of CO-dark molecular gas yields results that can generally agree with those inferred from observations, with a reasonable set of physical properties of the interstellar medium, depending primarily on the fraction of the total medium that is in very large clouds (Wolffire et al. 2010). Therefore, in regions with no giant molecular clouds, the fraction of “dark” molecular gas is expected to be high. The potential existence of untraced molecular gas is important for understanding the formation of molecular clouds on galactic scales, where large-scale molecular (but low-density) filaments are predicted to extend well beyond the observed dense filaments (Smith et al. 2014).

In this paper, we study isolated structures in the local interstellar medium in order to compare dust and gas at 5′ angular scales. We address the questions of whether dust and gas are well mixed, what is the relative amount of atomic and molecular gas in the interstellar medium, and where does the gas transform from atomic to molecular.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Arecibo

The 21 cm line data used in this work were part of the large-scale Galactic Arecibo L-band Feed Array (GALFA) H i survey, Data Release 1 (Peek et al. 2011). The observations with the 305 m Arecibo telescope are part of a survey that will cover the entire sky visible from that telescope (decl. 0°−40°) with an angular resolution of 4′. The time-ordered spectra were regridded into cubes and presented in an online archive. We extracted cubes centered on distinct interstellar clouds evident in the Planck all-sky survey. An interference spike was removed from the cube near G94−36, and linear baselines were refit using symmetrically located portions on either side of the low-velocity H i that dominates the spectra. For each position, we integrate the 21 cm line and convert to a column density assuming that the line is optically thin. We return to address this assumption later in the paper. Note that the Arecibo observations contain stray light due to 21 cm emission from distant sources (in particular, the Galactic plane) entering the far side lobes of the beams of the seven receivers. Comparison with the stray-light-corrected all-sky survey at low angular resolution (Kalberla et al. 2005) shows that the amplitude of stray light is less than ~1 K brightness temperature, which is much less than the brightness of the clouds studied in this paper (>10 K). The stray light should be smooth on the angular scales of about a degree and smaller that are of interest in this project. The stray light should also have a relatively broad velocity profile (because it contains large portions of the rotating Galactic plane), which we verify is not evident at the levels >10^{20} cm^{-2} of interest to this project.

2.2. Planck

The Planck survey covered the entire sky in nine bands with wavelengths from 30 to 857 GHz (1 cm to 350 μm) (Tauber et al. 2010; Planck Collaboration I 2011). The wide range of frequencies allowed a separation of the sky into the cosmic microwave background and astrophysical foregrounds (Planck Collaboration XII 2014); we utilized the public release of the “thermal dust” component. The optical depth of interstellar dust at 353 GHz was derived from a fit to the combination of the Planck 353, 545, and 857 GHz data (wavelengths 850, 550, and 350 μm) from the High-frequency Instrument (Planck Collaboration VIII 2014) together with the IRAS 100 μm data as reprocessed to combine Cosmic Background Explorer/ Diffuse Background Experiment (COBE/DIRBE) large-scale calibration (Miville-Deschênes & Lagache 2005). After the contaminating signals from the CMB and zodiacal light, the dust emission was fitted with

\[ I_ν = τ(353 \text{ GHz}) \left( \frac{ν}{353 \text{ GHz}} \right)^β B_ν(T_d) \]  

(1)

where \( τ \) is the optical depth and \( β \) is an emissivity power-law index that approximates the frequency dependence of the dust emissivity. The fit for \( β \) was performed at 30′ resolution, and then used to make a finer-scaled fit for \( T_d \) at the full 5′ resolution (Planck Collaboration XI 2014).

2.3. Cloud Selection

Our goal was to identify isolated, degree-sized clouds for which the unrelated background ISM could be separated from a distinct spatially compact (at 5′ resolution) structure. The clouds studied in this paper were selected by inspecting the Planck 353 GHz map, in equatorial coordinates, within the Arecibo declination range −1° < δ < 38° and clearly separated from the ridge of emission centered in the Galactic plane. Figure 1 shows the clouds on the Planck image, and Table 1 lists their locations. Despite being the prominent, isolated features in the Arecibo-visible portion of the sky, most of the clouds have not been previously studied. G94−36 includes MBM 53 and 54, which had been identified in a survey of the Palomar plates for visible extinction (Magnani et al. 1985). G104−39 includes DIR 105-38, an infrared excess cloud identified from comparison of COBE/DIRBE far-infrared observations with the large-scale 21 cm survey (Reach et al. 1998).

Several peaks within the clouds are listed in the Planck Galactic Cold Core Catalog (PGCC), which contains all compact cores from the Planck survey with dust temperature significantly lower than surroundings (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015). Table 3 lists the cataloged cold cores within our sample clouds together with the clump dust temperature and emissivity index. The weighted mean dust temperature is \( ⟨T_d⟩ = 14.85 \pm 0.07 \text{ K} \), and the weighted mean emissivity index is \( ⟨β⟩ = 1.81 \pm 0.31 \). While the \( β \) values are comparable to those we estimated from entire clouds, the temperatures in the PGCC are lower. This measures the decrease of dust temperature and increase of \( β \) that continue from the diffuse medium (average \( T_d = 20.8 \text{ K} \), \( β = 1.55 \) over the faintest 10% of the sky, Planck Collaboration et al. 2014) to the isolated clouds (\( ⟨T_d⟩ = 18.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ K} \), \( ⟨β⟩ = 1.66 \) from Table 2) down to the smaller scale of the cold cores. For some of the cold cores, there are distance estimates in the PGCC based on reddening of SDSS stars. For G94−36, the distances are in the range 203−281 pc (and one at 421 pc); for G198+32 the distance estimates are 290−360 pc; and for G103−39 the distances estimate is 241 pc. The distance estimates should be treated with caution because of the low extinctions and uncertainties in stellar distances, but they suggest that at least some of the clouds are further than the fiducial 100 pc we would normally
assume for high-latitude interstellar clouds within the scale height of cold atomic gas.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Properties of the Clouds

For each cloud, the dust temperature was measured by Planck and IRAS using Equation (1). In no case was one of the clouds of our sample warmer than its surroundings, indicating that none of them are heated internally by stars. Table 2 lists the dust temperatures, $T_d$, in the diffuse medium around the clouds as well as the dust temperatures, $T_{\text{cloud}}$, in the brightest parts of the clouds. The dust temperatures in the clouds are typically 1 K lower than the surroundings, with temperature decrements into the clouds spanning 0–1.6 K.

Of critical importance for this project is the manner in which the dust optical depth was derived from the observed intensities. The dust column density is derived from multi-frequency measurements that cover the spectral energy distribution from 100 to 850 μm, spanning both sides of the peak and including sufficiently long wavelengths to measure the dust temperature and wavelength-dependent emissivity. The emissivity index, $\beta$, was determined using maps degraded in resolution to 30′, in order to improve sensitivity, while the optical depths were then calculated at the full 5′ resolution. This will lead to systematic errors in locations where $\beta$ is spatially variable. In fact, the clouds in this study tend to be local maxima in $\beta$. If $\beta$ were measured at full resolution, the maxima would likely become more pronounced. Because the dust temperature and $\beta$ are inversely correlated (Juvela et al. 2013), the dust temperatures in the clouds would likely

![Figure 1. All-sky map of the Planck 353 GHz optical depth, $\tau$ (353 GHz), in celestial coordinates. The declination range not visible from Arecibo is grayed out. Each of the relatively isolated interstellar clouds addressed in this study is labeled with its name (based on Galactic coordinates).](image)

Table 1

| Name   | Coordinates (J2000) | $v_0$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\Delta v$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $T_d$ (K) | $\beta$ | $\Delta N$(H I) ($10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------|--------|---------------------------------|
| G94–36 | 23:05:23+20:59       | −7.0                | 4.90 ± 0.08            | 17.0 ± 0.3| 1.78 ± 0.01| 4.2 |
| G96–51 | 23:43:14+08:58       | −9.1                | 6.61 ± 0.11            | 18.0 ± 0.3| 1.64 ± 0.02| 4.3 |
| G104–39| 23:48:42+21:50       | −13.6               | 6.8 ± 0.2              | 17.4 ± 0.3| 1.79 ± 0.02| 3.0 |
| G108–53| 00:17:06+09:45       | −5.7                | 8.70 ± 0.14            | 17.0 ± 0.2| 1.84 ± 0.01| 4.6 |
| G138–52| 01:29:26+10:10       | −9.5                | 6.45 ± 0.05            | 18.7 ± 0.2| 1.71 ± 0.01| 4.4 |
| G198+32| 08:27:26+26:12       | +5.1                | 2.91 ± 0.02            | 18.4 ± 0.7| 1.45 ± 0.03| 3.0 |
| G198+32 E$^b$ | 08:16:15+27:02    | +5.1                | 2.9 ± 0.02             | 19.3 ± 0.3| 1.70 ± 0.02| 1.5 |
| G221+35| 09:08:42+09:26       | +6.1                | 10.1 ± 0.2             | 18.6 ± 0.2| 1.66 ± 0.01| 5.0 |
| G236+39| 09:45:58+00:35       | +10.2               | 8.1 ± 0.5              | 18.0 ± 0.3| 1.64 ± 0.02| 3.0 |
| G236+39 N$^c$ | 09:46:46+01:51     | +9.3                | 5.0 ± 0.2              | 18.9 ± 0.2| 1.73 ± 0.02| 5.7 |
| G254+63| 11:29:18+07:34       | −1.6                | 6.22 ± 0.09            | 18.9 ± 0.4| 1.25 ± 0.01| 4.7 |

Notes.

$^a$ $T_d$ and $\beta$ are the average dust temperature (K) and emissivity index over the cloud peaks, and the numbers after the ± are standard deviations within the cloud.

$^b$ $\Delta N$(H I) is the 21 cm column density (in the optically thin limit) toward the cloud peak minus a background within the 8° region containing the cloud.

$^c$ Cloud near G198+32 with high gas/dust.

Notes.

$^a$ $T_d$ and $\beta$ are the average dust temperature (K) and emissivity index over the cloud peaks, and the numbers after the ± are standard deviations within the cloud.

$^b$ $\Delta N$(H I) is the 21 cm column density (in the optically thin limit) toward the cloud peak minus a background within the 8° region containing the cloud.

$^c$ Cloud near G198+32 with high gas/dust.
The density of points in the scatter plot is shown in a continuous color table (blue and the dust column density inferred from the Planck optical depth in green). (Bottom panel) Hess diagram (densitized scatter plot) of the dust vs. gas column density using the pixel values from the image shown in the top panel. The density of points in the scatter plot is shown in a continuous color table from red (high density) to blue (low density).

Figure 2. (Top panel) An image covering 8° × 7.5 centered on J2000 coordinates 23:11:51+21:11 (Galactic coordinates 93.9, −36.0) with celestial north upward. The color composite was constructed with the gas column density (inferred in the optically thin limit) from the Arecibo 21 cm line in red and the dust column density inferred from the Planck optical depth in green. (Bottom panel) Hess diagram (densitized scatter plot) of the dust vs. gas column density using the pixel values from the image shown in the top panel. The density of points in the scatter plot is shown in a continuous color table from red (high density) to blue (low density).

The measurements of the gas and dust column densities reveal that the H I mass, measured using the 21 cm line integral and assumed optically thin. Both mass determinations were made in regions of 80′ radius (increased to 100′ for the largest cloud) centered on the infrared peak, with the unrelated emission in front of and behind the cloud estimated in an annulus surrounding it. We assume the clouds are at a distance of 100 pc, typical of the scale height for cold atomic gas (Dickey & Lockman 1990). The clouds are typically 100 $M_\odot$.

3.2. Comparison between Dust and Gas

Figures 2 through 10 summarize the observations. The top panel of each figure shows a color composite image combining the Arecibo and Planck data. The fields are filled with emission from the diffuse interstellar medium, with relatively bright features, which we noticed from the all-sky images as isolated clouds, near the center. The lower panels show pixel-by-pixel comparisons of the images in the form of Hess diagrams, wherein we count the number of image pixels that fall within each of 300 × 300 cells in the $N(H I)$, $\tau (353$ GHz) plane. For the lowest column densities, which pervade much of the area of the images, and hence most of the points in the Hess diagrams, there is a fairly tight correlation between dust and gas. This correlation is evident by the relatively constant color of the spatial images, and the high density of points forming a red ridge in the Hess diagrams.

For the distinct cloud-like features, the dust and gas trace similar structures but with distinctly different relative amounts. For example, in Figure 10, the ellipses labeled A, B, C show the spatial location (top panel) and the dust–gas correlation (bottom panel) of three features. The coherence of the features in the image and in the Hess diagram proves that the features have different relative amounts of dust and atomic gas. It is evident that, within the cloud-like features, there is relatively more dust per unit atomic gas than there is in the more diffuse medium. The individual clouds in the top panel correspond to “tracks” in the bottom panel due to their different dust–gas proportions. In Figure 5, the circles labeled A and B tell similar stories. Because the “green” emission is associated with the structure of the H I cloud, it is almost certainly all interstellar. But because galaxies could also cause infrared excess (their gas being at velocities outside the 21 cm survey), we investigated the relatively compact features in the ellipse labeled B individually. The easternmost “green” feature in ellipse B is at 00°30′58.9 + 10°46′30″, and there are no corresponding sources in the SIMBAD database. The peak just to its west is at 00°29′38.1 + 10°47′36″ and has a corresponding IRAS point source catalog entry at 100 μm only with a flux density of 3 Jy. Inspection shows the source is extended and there is no optical counterpart; the most likely explanation is that the IRAS and Planck emission are both interstellar.

3.3. Measuring the Gas/Dust Ratio

The figures of the clouds show that there are compact (“green”) regions where there is material being traced by the dust maps but not by the 21 cm maps. We can quantify the “excess” emission by scaling and subtracting the 21 cm map. The measurement procedure is best summarized with an example. Figure 11 shows slices through two components of the same cloud, G236+39. Note how the northern component has a simple, linear trend of dust versus gas. The material along this slice is most likely all atomic, and the 21 cm line is decreased if $\beta$ were measured at full resolution. For these reasons, we expect the cloud temperatures are likely to be even lower than the surroundings. The amount of dust required to explain the observed emission increases if the dust temperature is lower. Therefore, for the same observed emission from the clouds, we expect that a full-resolution determination of $\beta$ and $T_{\text{cloud}}$ would yield dust optical depths higher than we report. The amount by which $\beta$ might increase is not known but is likely no more than 0.2 (based on the observed range of $\beta$ on large scales and not expecting drastic spectral variations on angular scales between 5′ and 30′), with implied systematic uncertainty of the cloud optical depths at the 30% level. We emphasize this point because we are measuring dust excess relative to gas in clouds, and a full-resolution measurement would tend to make the dust excesses even more pronounced than we measure.

To give some context for the clouds, Table 2 lists the mass of each cloud, measured using the maps of $\tau (353$ GHz) and the standard gas/dust mass ratio of 124 (Li & Draine 2001), and...
optically thin, so that both $\tau$ (353 GHz) from Planck and $N$ (H I) from Arecibo are linear tracers of column density of the same material. The slope of this line is the nominal dust optical depth per unit gas column density ($\tau/N$ (H)). In contrast to the

| Name | $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) | $[G/D]_{\text{eff}}$ | $T_{\text{cloud}}$ (K) | $[G/D]_{\text{cloud}}$ | $\delta_{\text{dark}}$ | Cloud Mass ($M_\odot$) | H I Mass ($M_\odot$) |
|------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| G94–36 | 18.5 ± 0.6 | 48 ± 11 | 17.1 ± 0.2 | 17 ± 2 | 2.9 | 106 | 22 |
| G96–51 | 18.3 ± 0.4 | 51 ± 3 | 17.5 ± 0.2 | 24 ± 3 | 2.1 | 72 | 17 |
| G104–39 | 18.4 ± 0.5 | 53 ± 9 | 17.4 ± 0.2 | 20 ± 2 | 2.6 | 91 | 14 |
| G108–53 | 18.1 ± 0.5 | 38 ± 3 | 16.5 ± 0.2 | 8.7 ± 2 | 4.4 | 140 | 35 |
| G138–52 | 18.5 ± 0.5 | 80 ± 6 | 18.6 ± 0.2 | 80 ± 6 | 1.0 | 52 | 38 |
| G198+32 | 20.0 ± 0.5 | 53 ± 9 | 18.4 ± 0.2 | 16 ± 2 | 3.2 | 60 | 12 |
| G198+32 E | 20.0 ± 0.5 | 80 ± 29 | 20.0 ± 0.2 | 138 ± 19 | 0.6 | 7 | 7 |
| G221+35 | 19.1 ± 0.4 | 97 ± 5 | 18.3 ± 0.2 | 48 ± 3 | 2.0 | 32 | 22 |
| G236+39 | 19.5 ± 0.6 | 74 ± 17 | 18.4 ± 0.2 | 42 ± 2 | 1.8 | 45 | 30 |
| G236+39 N | 19.5 ± 0.6 | 51 ± 5 | 19.0 ± 0.5 | 54 ± 6 | 1.0 | 28 | 22 |
| G254+63 | 20.5 ± 0.8 | 121 ± 14 | 18.9 ± 0.2 | 33 ± 2 | 3.6 | 84 | 27 |

Note. $^a$[G/D]$_{\text{cloud}}$ and [G/D]$_{\text{eff}}$ are gas/dust mass ratios inferred from the slope of dust optical depth versus H I column density for the clouds and for the regions just outside the clouds, respectively. For reference the average interstellar medium value is 124 (Li & Draine 2001). The “dark” factor, $\delta_{\text{dark}}$, is defined in Equation (7).

### Table 3

| Cloud | PGCC$^a$ | $T_{\text{chm}}$ (K) | $\delta_{\text{chm}}$ |
|-------|----------|------------------|------------------|
| G104–39 | G105.76–38.36 | 14.8 ± 1.7 | 1.98 ± 0.38 |
| G106.06–38.39 | 14.7 ± 2.5 | 1.79 ± 0.53 |
| G105.07–38.06 | 15.3 ± 1.5 | 1.96 ± 0.27 |
| G103.35–38.93 | 14.0 ± 2.4 | 1.93 ± 0.62 |
| G103.38–39.33 | 14.9 ± 1.9 | 2.04 ± 0.39 |
| G108–53 | G108.74–52.67 | 15.5 ± 1.5 | 1.78 ± 0.29 |
| G109.26–52.52 | 13.5 ± 3.6 | 2.19 ± 1.11 |
| G198+32 | G198.25–32.30 | 17.1 ± 3.1 | 1.22 ± 0.61 |
| G197.22–31.99 | 15.6 ± 3.4 | 1.40 ± 0.80 |
| G197.37–31.73 | 16.1 ± 3.6 | 1.55 ± 0.79 |
| G197.91–31.99 | 14.9 ± 3.2 | 1.65 ± 0.74 |
| G198.40–32.26 | 18.3 ± 2.5 | 1.07 ± 0.38 |
| G196.55–31.96 | 18.5 ± 3.5 | 1.29 ± 0.64 |
| G221+35 | G220.54–34.70 | 13.7 ± 1.9 | 2.34 ± 0.44 |
| G254+63 | G253.01–61.23 | 14.7 ± 3.5 | 1.44 ± 0.86 |
| G94–36 | G94.19–37.37 | 13.5 ± 1.3 | 1.83 ± 0.28 |
| G91.71–34.32 | 14.0 ± 1.4 | 2.06 ± 0.29 |
| G94.36–36.31 | 14.1 ± 1.6 | 2.12 ± 0.40 |
| G92.07–36.71 | 12.6 ± 1.2 | 2.27 ± 0.34 |
| G94.28–36.61 | 15.6 ± 2.4 | 1.83 ± 0.45 |
| G92.34–34.41 | 13.4 ± 1.8 | 2.08 ± 0.49 |
| G94.04–34.44 | 15.2 ± 2.5 | 1.80 ± 0.52 |
| G92.59–35.55 | 15.3 ± 2.5 | 1.85 ± 0.59 |
| G92.06–34.59 | 13.1 ± 3.5 | 2.33 ± 1.06 |
| G94.68–37.21 | 16.9 ± 2.8 | 1.61 ± 0.52 |
| G92.38–37.43 | 16.5 ± 2.4 | 1.73 ± 0.42 |
| G93.95–34.17 | 15.0 ± 3.0 | 1.96 ± 0.74 |
| G92.33–35.70 | 16.2 ± 2.9 | 1.71 ± 0.52 |
| G96–51 | G96.05–50.34 | 17.7 ± 1.8 | 1.39 ± 0.23 |
| G95.72–49.65 | 17.5 ± 2.5 | 1.67 ± 0.46 |
| G236+39 | G235.60–38.28 | 14.6 ± 1.9 | 2.11 ± 0.46 |
| G235.67–38.00 | 16.5 ± 2.2 | 1.91 ± 0.42 |

Note. $^a$ Designation within the Planck Galactic Cold Clump Catalog (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015).

Figure 3. (Top panel) An image covering 8:2 × 7:4 centered on J2000 coordinates 23:44:14+08:17 (Galactic coordinates 95.9, −51.0) with celestial north upward. The color composite was constructed with the gas column density (inferred in the optically thin limit) from the Arecibo 21 cm line in red +blue and the dust column density inferred from the Planck optical depth in green. (Bottom panel) Hess diagram (densitized scatter plot) of the dust vs. gas column density using the pixel values from the image shown in the top panel. The density of points in the scatter plot is shown in a continuous color table from red (high density) to blue (low density).
northern, purely atomic region, the cut through the southern component of the cloud shows a marked infrared excess at higher column densities. The linear fit from the northern component is still valid for the outermost portions of the southern component, forming a lower envelope at the higher column densities. Now examine the spatial profiles of these clouds, in the right-hand panels of Figure 11. While the dust and gas are a very good match for the northern component, leaving little residual after subtracting the gas from the scaled dust map, the southern component shows a significant positive excess, which is shown as the thin solid curve and is defined as

$$N_{\text{ex}} = \frac{\tau (353 \text{ GHz})}{(\tau/N(\text{H} \text{I}))} - N(\text{H} \text{I}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

In words, the infrared excess column density is the dust optical depth, scaled by the slope of the dust/gas correlation, then subtracting the atomic gas. In Figure 11, the excess can be directly measured from the right-hand panels by taking the positive deviation of the dust optical depth above the straight line fitted at low column densities, then dividing that deviation by the slope of the line fitted at low column densities. The dust opacity per unit gas column density and the infrared excess column density can now be derived qualitatively, to interpret the color images of the clouds.

For each cloud, we identified the location of the infrared excess peak in the Planck $\tau (353 \text{ GHz})$ map, and generated the H\textsc{i} 21 cm line spectrum from the Arecibo GALFA survey (subtracting a nearby off-cloud position to remove the large-scale H\textsc{i}). In all cases, the velocity component in the H\textsc{i} spectrum associated with the dust peak could be readily identified. The central velocity and the FWHM of these 21 cm line components are listed in Table 1. We then generated an H\textsc{i} map covering the velocity channels centered on that line profile. These H\textsc{i} maps in one velocity range had a much better correspondence to the Planck images, for the cloud centered on
the infrared excess peak. We then generated a one-dimensional profile through each cloud, using a sliding box of width 1° for each 1′ pixel. The dust and velocity-selected H I profiles showed peaks at the appropriate locations, and the slope of the gas/dust trend for these profiles was computed with a linear fit.

The dust opacity per unit gas column density is directly related to the gas/dust mass ratio. The dust optical depth is

$$\tau_r = \kappa_r N(\text{H}) \mu m_\text{H}$$

where $m_\text{H}$ is the mass of a hydrogen atom, $\mu$ is the gas mass per H-atom taking into account other elements ($\mu = 1.4$), and $N(\text{H})$ is the hydrogen column density. Note that this is the total column density of H nuclei, including, if they exist and have associated dust, molecular and ionized gas. The mass opacity coefficient, $\kappa_r$, depends only on the material properties and size distribution of the dust grains. Using $\kappa(353 \text{ GHz}; \ 850 \mu m) = 0.42 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ from Li & Draine (2001), we can directly convert the observed dust opacity per unit gas column density into a gas/dust mass ratio:

$$[G/D] = 9.7 \times 10^{-25} \left( \frac{\tau(353 \text{ GHz})}{N(\text{H})} \right)$$

where the H I column density is expressed in cm$^{-2}$. The gas/dust mass ratios for the clouds are listed in Table 1 as $[G/D]_{\text{cloud}}$. To measure the gas/dust ratio for regions surrounding the clouds, we took the total H I column density, minus the component centered on the cloud, and measured the gas/dust slope across the 64 deg$^2$ region around each cloud, using a robust fit that excludes positive outliers. These values are listed in Table 2 as $[G/D]_{\text{diff}}$. Please keep in mind that the $[G/D]$ values assume all the gas is atomic. We discuss possible interpretations below, but a low value of $[G/D]$ generally means there is a deficiency in the inventory of gas.

It is evident that the gas/dust ratios are significantly higher in the diffuse medium than in the clouds. In the diffuse interstellar medium, the wide-field observations by COBE used in the model of Li & Draine (2001) yield a gas/dust mass ratio of 124. This value is an “upper envelope” for the regions in the present Arecibo/Planck study that was centered on infrared

Figure 6. (Top panel) An image covering 7.1 × 6.4 centered on J2000 coordinates 01:27:51+09:40 (Galactic coordinates 137.7, −52.2) with celestial north upward. The color composite was constructed with the gas column density (inferred in the optically thin limit) from the Arecibo 21 cm line in red +blue and the dust column density inferred from the Planck optical depth in green. (Bottom panel) Hess diagram (densitized scatter plot) of the dust vs. gas column density using the pixel values from the image shown in the top panel. The density of points in the scatter plot is shown in a continuous color table from red (high density) to blue (low density).

Figure 7. (Top panel) An image covering 8.3 × 5.8 centered on J2000 coordinates 08:29:24+26:29 (Galactic coordinates 197.3, +32.2) with celestial north upward. The color composite was constructed with the gas column density (inferred in the optically thin limit) from the Arecibo 21 cm line in red +blue and the dust column density inferred from the Planck optical depth in green. (Bottom panel) Hess diagram (densitized scatter plot) of the dust vs. gas column density using the pixel values from the image shown in the top panel. The density of points in the scatter plot is shown in a continuous color table from red (high density) to blue (low density).
excess peaks. The cloud cores all have gas/dust mass ratios significantly lower than the diffuse interstellar medium. Many of the regions surrounding the clouds have lower gas/dust ratio than the diffuse ISM, suggesting that the same effect that causes the infrared excess peaks spreads over significant fractions of the regions studied.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Trends of Dust/Gas

The gas/dust ratio varies systematically with the temperature of the dust. Figure 12 shows the gas/dust ratio versus dust temperature, combining the observations toward the cloud peaks and the diffuse medium near the clouds. The dust temperatures of the diffuse medium were obtained from modes of the histograms of the 8° × 8° regions surrounding the cloud. The clouds have lower gas/dust ratio than the interstellar gas/dust ratio of 124 (Li & Draine 2001); the cloud peaks tend to be lower than the diffuse medium surrounding them; and the colder clouds and diffuse regions have lower gas/dust than the warmer ones. The dynamic range of the trend is an order of magnitude.

The apparently excess dust is not an artifact of converting the observed infrared intensities into the dust optical depth. The dynamic range of the dust temperature variations is only 17–20 K, over which the predicted emission at 353 GHz changes by only 28%, which is far smaller than the change in gas/dust. More likely, the dust temperature in the cloud cores is overestimated because of temperature gradients. The dust temperatures in the cloud cores are lower than those of their surroundings. If there is a radial temperature gradient into the core, the central temperature is lower than the apparent temperature of dust as seen by us, because both the core and the warmer dust surrounding it are present on the same line of sight. In this case, the optical depth is underestimated and the actual gas/dust in the clouds is actually even lower than inferred from the observed line-of-sight averages. The decreased gas/dust ratio in the clouds is not due to the conversion from infrared intensity to dust column density, and we turn to physical explanations for the effect.

Hypotheses for the observed trend are (1) the gas content neglects to include “dark” molecular gas (Section 4.2); (2) the amount of atomic gas in the clouds is underestimated because
Figure 10. (Top panel) An image covering 8:0 × 7:4 centered on J2000 coordinates 11:30:40+07:51 (Galactic coordinates 254.4,+62.8) with celestial north upward. The color composite was constructed with the gas column density (inferred in the optically thin limit) from the Arecibo 21 cm line in red +blue and the dust column density inferred from the Planck optical depth in green. (Bottom panel) Hess diagram (densitized scatter plot) of the dust vs. gas column density using the pixel values from the image shown in the top panel. The density of points in the scatter plot is shown in a continuous color table from red (high density) to blue (low density).

The 21 cm line is optically thick (Section 4.3); and (3) the dust properties change such that the optical depth per unit dust mass is higher in colder clouds (Section 4.4). We discuss each of these hypotheses in turn in the following subsections.

4.2. Interpreting as “Dark Gas”

If we interpret the dust emission as a tracer of the total gas column density, using the correlation in the diffuse medium, then inverting Equation (4) gives

$$N(H)_{\text{dust}} \equiv 1.03 \times 10^{23} \tau(353 \text{ GHz})(G/D)_{\text{diff}},$$  

where \(G/D\) is the gas/dust ratio measured in a reference region near the cloud or taken from an interstellar average \((G/D)_{\text{ISM}} = 124\). If the low values of gas/dust mass ratio are due to dust associated with some “dark” gas, then the column density of that gas is

$$N(H)_{\text{dark}} = N(H)_{\text{dust}} - N(H\ I).$$

Note that this column density is the equivalent number of nucleons, as for atomic gas; if the gas were molecular, then \(N_{\text{dark}} = 2N(H_2)\). The factor by which the total gas column density exceeds the atomic gas is then

$$f_{\text{dark}} \equiv \frac{N(H)_{\text{tot}}}{N(H\ I)} = \frac{(G/D)_{\text{diff}}}{(G/D)_{\text{cloud}}},$$

which measures the amount of “dark” gas with a unitless quantity that is directly (and linearly) related to observables. (Note that the dust properties needed for these calculations, \(\kappa\), cancel out in Equation (7) as long as they remain constant from cloud to more diffuse ISM.) If \(f_{\text{dark}} = 1\) then the cloud has the same gas/dust as the surrounding medium and no “dark” gas is required, while larger values indicate the ratio of total column density to that of atomic gas. The values of \(f_{\text{dark}}\) are summarized in Table 2. For all clouds but one, the inferred amount of “dark” gas is larger than that of atomic gas, with total masses exceeding atomic gas by factors 2–4. The fraction of the total amount of gas that is “dark” is \(1 - f_{\text{dark}}^{-1}\), with typical values 50%–75%. In smaller patches comparable to the 5’ beam size, the relative amount is significantly higher, reaching up to 6, meaning dark gas comprises 83% of the total.

The ratio of “dark” mass integrated over the clouds can be estimated similarly, though without taking into account the gas/dust ratio of the environment, using the cloud mass determined from the dust and \(H\ I\) maps; values of \(M_{\text{cloud}}/M_{\text{HI}}\) are similar to \(f_{\text{dark}}\) in the range 1–5.

The most likely candidate for “dark” gas is \(H_2\). Molecular hydrogen forms readily in interstellar conditions and would dominate the ISM if it were not dissociated by ultraviolet photons from the diffuse interstellar radiation field. In this hypothesis, \(N(H_2) = \frac{1}{2}N_{\text{dark}}\) measures the column density of molecular gas. This hypothesis can be tested in many ways: comparison to existing tracers of molecular gas, comparison to results of absorption line observations of molecular gas along essentially random lines of sight (toward ultraviolet sources), and searches for new tracers of molecular gas.

The last idea is the subject of follow-up observations we are pursuing, using OH absorption and [C ii] emission. We address each of the other two tests now.

4.2.1. Comparison to CO

For high Galactic latitude regions, there is no sensitive all-sky survey of the best mapping tracer of molecular gas, which is the millimeter-wave CO(1–0) line. However, it was found that the Planck 100 GHz band contained significant emission from the CO(1–0) line that could be separated from the continuum emission using a multi-frequency analysis (Planck Collaboration XIII 2014). The Type 3 CO map from that paper has a resolution of 5’/5, and rms fluctuations of the (mostly empty) map near one of our selected clouds are 0.5 K km s\(^{-1}\) at this angular scale. For molecular clouds in the Galactic plane, the typical ratio \(X(\text{CO}) 
\equiv N(H_2)/W(\text{CO}) = 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1} \text{km}^{-1} \text{s}, so the Planck CO map would be sensitive to \(N(H_2) \sim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) if that same ratio applied. For comparison, the map of \(N_{\text{dark}}\) has an rms at 6’ angular scale of 0.4 \(\times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\), which would correspond to molecular column densities

\(^4\) In many cases, one should consider \(f_{\text{dark}}\) calculated in this manner as lower limits, because the “dark” gas may extend far from the cloud and into the region where \((G/D)_{\text{diff}}\) is calculated. We use the conservative values in the remainder of the paper, but note for now that if instead we used the diffuse ISM gas/dust ratio value, \((G/D)_{\text{ISM}}\) as the reference, the values of \(f_{\text{dark}}\) would be typically 3–8.
Thus the 5σ clouds in the Planck maps of \(N_{\text{dark}}\) should appear in the CO map, if they have the same scaling as molecular clouds in the Galactic plane.

The Planck CO map for some clouds shows a good correspondence with the infrared excess, in particular G94–36, G104–39, and G108–53; others show a CO detection over a limited portion of the infrared excess region, such as G96–51, G138–52, and G236+39; while the rest show no CO detection. Figure 13 shows a pixel-by-pixel comparison of \(W(\text{CO})\) and \(N_{\text{dark}}\) for G108–53. The slope expected for the X-factor of the molecular clouds in the Galactic plane forms a rough upper bound of the points, while a lower bound is formed by a line with a 4 times lower effective X-factor. The CO and “dark” gas maps agree in detail for this cloud, and after subtracting the CO image scaled by the dashed line, the prominent infrared cloud that is green in Figure 5 is removed cleanly. To quantify the correlation between CO and infrared excess, we made Hess diagrams like Figure 13 for each cloud and estimated the slope \(\ln(W(\text{CO}))/\ln(N_{\text{dark}})\). The factor of \(1/2\) is needed because we calculate the dark gas column density in units of H nuclei, while the X-factor is for \(H_2\) molecules.) For many clouds, only lower limits were possible due to nondetection of CO. Then we identified the peaks in the infrared excess and the CO map (when present in the latter) and measured the ratio directly on the peaks. Again, some ratios are lower limits due to lack of detected CO, and we use 95% confidence (2σ) limits. Table 4 summarizes the results.
CO, W
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4.2.2. Trend of Dark Gas Column Density with Dust Temperature

The inferred amount of "dark gas" depends very cleanly on the difference in dust temperature between the interior of the cloud and its surroundings. Figure 14 shows that the inferred amount of "dark gas" increases monotonically with the difference in dust temperature between the cloud interior and surroundings. This trend has a relatively straightforward interpretation. Within cold cloud interiors, molecular form and the H I is no longer tracing the total column density. In the context of the "dark gas" hypothesis, the cold regions are where the dust is shielded from the radiation field, and those are the same regions where H2 self-shields. As the amount of self-shielding increases, the dust temperature decreases, and the formation rate of molecular hydrogen surpasses the dissociation rate, allowing hydrogen to become largely molecular. The regions with little self-shielding have a dust temperature as warm as the widespread ISM and the gas remains photodissociated.

For the brightest infrared-excess clouds with clearly associated CO peaks, the values of X (CO) we find are about a factor of 2 lower than the Galactic plane value. For G94–36 there is a linear correlation between ND and W(CO), yielding an accurate measure of X (CO). Figure 13 shows for G108–53 a range of apparent slopes between ND and W(CO), possibly curving from a high slope in faint regions to a lower slope in bright ones (though this cannot be quantified accurately due to the low signal levels). For other clouds, the lower limits on X (CO) exclude the Galactic plane value (cf. Bolatto et al. 2013) as well as the value for the brighter infrared excess clouds from this paper. The high X (CO) for those clouds does not rule out the presence of H2 with faint CO. The linear scaling between CO brightness and H2 column density only applies to molecular clouds that are gravitationally bound (Bolatto et al. 2013). If the CO line is optically thin or subthermally excited, X (CO) can be high even if CO is abundant, so we cannot rely upon the CO(1–0) emission as a tracer in diffuse regions.

Figure 12. Gas/dust mass ratio, measured from the optical depth at 353 GHz per unit H I column density, vs. the dust temperature. The cloud peaks are shown as asterisks (*), and the diffuse regions around the clouds are shown as diamonds (○).

Figure 13. “Dark” nucleon column density vs. the CO line integral for the cloud G108–53. The color image is the Hess diagram showing the density of pixels at each value of ND and W(CO), generated with a Gaussian kernel with width 0.5 in each axis (in the units shown). The straight dashed line is the slope that would be expected based on the Galactic plane value of XCO = 2.1, while the dotted line is a rough lower bound at ND/W(CO) ≈ 1, which corresponds to XCO = 0.5.

For other clouds, the lower limits on X (CO) exclude the Galactic plane value (cf. Bolatto et al. 2013) as well as the value for the brighter infrared excess clouds from this paper. The high X (CO) for those clouds does not rule out the presence of H2 with faint CO. The linear scaling between CO brightness and H2 column density only applies to molecular clouds that are gravitationally bound (Bolatto et al. 2013). If the CO line is optically thin or subthermally excited, X (CO) can be high even if CO is abundant, so we cannot rely upon the CO(1–0) emission as a tracer in diffuse regions.

Figure 14. Ratio of total column density to atomic column density, fdark for each cloud vs. the difference in dust temperature between the interior of the cloud and its surroundings.

Table 4

"X-factor" for Infrared Excess Clouds

| Name     | (1/\$N_{\text{dark}}$/W(CO)) | (1/\$N_{\text{dark}}$/W(CO)) |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| G94–36   | 0.45 ± 0.05                 | 0.34 ± 0.05                 |
| G96–51   | 2.3 ± 0.4                   | 2.8 ± 0.3                   |
| G104–39  | 0.60 ± 0.1                  | 0.60 ± 0.1                  |
| G108–53  | 0.75 ± 0.1                  | 0.65 ± 0.1                  |
| G138–52  | 1.1 ± 0.3                   | 0.90 ± 0.1                  |
| G198–32  | >3                          | >5                          |
| G221–35  | >3                          | >5                          |
| G236+39  | 1.2 ± 0.2                   | 1.4 ± 0.1                   |
| G254+63  | >10                         | >20                         |

Notes.

* a Half the slope of the infrared excess column density, ND, versus CO line integral, W(CO), from the Hess diagram.

* b Half the ratio of ND over CO line integral W(CO) at the cloud peak.
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The trend between dark gas and dust temperature can be reproduced by a simple model. The abundance of H$_2$ depends on the abundance of H I from which it forms, as well as the dissociation rate; for a clod with uniform density 100 cm$^{-2}$ and gas temperature 80 K,
\[
\frac{2N(H_2)}{N(H\,I)} = 0.8\chi^{-2}N(H\,I),
\]
where $\chi$ is the amount of radiation relative to the diffuse interstellar radiation field (Reach et al. 1994, Equation (3)). The energy emitted (or absorbed) by dust depends on $T_4^{\alpha+\beta}$, so the difference in dust temperature is related to the radiation field by
\[
T = T_0 \chi^{\alpha+\beta}
\]
where $T_0$ is the dust temperature at the surface of the cloud. The attenuation of the radiation field is roughly approximated as
\[
\chi = e^{-2A_V/1.086}
\]
(10)
where the visible-light extinction is
\[
A_V = \left[\frac{N(H\,I) + 2N(H_2)}{1.9 \times 10^{21}\text{ cm}^{-2}}\right]
\]
(Savage & Mathis 1979). The factor of 2 in the equation for $\chi$ is to account for the absorption of dust-heating photons being at ultraviolet rather than visible wavelengths. Note that the gas/dust ratio in Equation (11) is consistent with the assumed value for the diffuse interstellar medium by construction because it was part of the dust model from which $\kappa$ was obtained. The prediction of this simple model is shown in Figure 14 and agrees quite well with the observed data. Thus the idea of the infrared excess being due to dust associated with “dark” molecular gas can match the observations quantitatively.

4.3. Interpreting as Optically Thick H I

We have assumed throughout this paper that the integral over the 21 cm line brightness traces the column density of the atomic gas linearly. In fact, the 21 cm line is prone to optical depth effects over the column densities of interest. The underprediction of the H I column density by the optically thick 21 cm line could account for the apparent excess dust column density in interstellar clouds, as was recently suggested by, e.g., Fukui et al. (2015). The optical depth is
\[
\tau(v) = N(v)/CT_v,
\]
where $v$ is the velocity, $N(v)$ is the column density per unit velocity at velocity $v$, $C = 1.823 \times 10^{18}\text{ cm}^{-2}\text{ K}^{-1}\text{ km}^{-1}\text{s}$, and the line brightness
\[
T_B(v) = T_{v} \left[1 - e^{-\tau(v)}\right],
\]
where $T_v$ is the excitation (“spin”) temperature (Kulkarni & Heiles 1988). The column density in the optically thin limit is
\[
N_H^\theta = C \int T_B(v)dv
\]
while the actual column density is
\[
N_H = \int N(v)dv = CT_v \int \tau(v)dv.
\]
To calculate the total column density, one must correct the column density in the optically thin limit by a factor
\[
\frac{N_H}{N_H^\theta} = \left(\frac{\tau}{1 - e^{-\tau}}\right) = \frac{\int T_B(v)\frac{\tau(v)}{1 - e^{-\tau(v)}}dv}{\int T_B(v)dv}.
\]
(14)
For a single Gaussian component with peak optical depth $\tau_0$ we find a good fit
\[
\frac{N_H}{N_H^\theta} \approx \left[1 - e^{-\tau_0}\right]^{0.75}
\]
(15)
over a wide range of optical depths ($\tau_0 < 20$) and independent of spin temperature.

An advantage of studying isolated clouds at high Galactic latitude is that the observations are dominated by a single velocity component of 21 cm emission and a single spatial feature in both 21 cm and infrared emission. If the clouds we are observing are entirely atomic gas, and $N_{dust}$, as in the previous section, measures the total column density, then the ratio
\[
\frac{N_{dust}}{N_H} = f_{dark}
\]
(16)
can be combined with Equation (15) to solve for the optical depth of the 21 cm line. Taking the observed $f_{dark}$ for our clouds from Table 2, the implied 21 cm line optical depths of the clouds are of order unity, with typical values $\tau_0 \sim 3$. If the 21 cm lines are indeed this optically thick for the majority of the clouds, then observations of radio sources will show deep absorption features. The results of the Millennium H I survey (Heiles & Troland 2003) indicate that optical depths of the 21 cm line are typically small, but a pencil-beam survey cannot be used to address the possibility of regions of high 21 cm optical depth that coincide with where we observe high gas/dust ratios. The possibility that the apparently low gas/dust ratio in isolated clouds could be due to the H I being optically thick can be followed up with future observations of radio sources situated behind the clouds.

In a recent paper, Fukui et al. (2015) suggest that the excess infrared emission often referred to as “dark gas” is optically thick H I, which is the same hypothesis we explore in this section of this paper. Fukui et al. (2015) measure the temperature variation of dust using Planck and find it is correlated with the apparent infrared excess, then use this empirical correlation to determine the total column density under the assumption that the extra infrared emission is from optically thick H I. Shielding of the radiation field from the interior of clouds is a straightforward explanation that must be contributing to the apparent trends. The simple model we presented in the previous section (Equations (8) and (9)) and illustrated in Figure 14 seems to match the observations for the clouds in our sample well. The lack of widespread optically thick H I from 21 cm absorption studies further argues against a significant amount of cold H I adequate to explain the range of gas/dust variations that are observed. On a technical note, our Equations (14) and (15) above are similar to those of Fukui et al., but those authors did not take into account the line profile and defined a single optical depth for all velocities along each line of sight. This fictitious optical depth, $\tau^\theta$, can be solved
from the line profile and Equation (14):

\[
\frac{\tau^*}{1 - e^{-\tau^*}} = \left( \frac{\tau}{1 - e^{-\tau}} \right).
\]

Fukui et al. (2015) use the fictitious \( \tau^* \) together with a fictitious linewidth \( \Delta V \) (the ratio of the line integral to the peak brightness temperature), and a single spin temperature \( T_s \), as intermediaries to convert the observed line brightness into a total column density. None of \( \tau^*, \Delta V, \) or \( T_s \) applies to typical lines of sight where the warm neutral medium (WNM) contains about half the column density and has a distinctly different profile than the cold neutral medium (CNM; Kulkarni and Heiles 1988). The Millennium Survey results showed that randomly selected lines of sight toward extragalactic sources have multiple velocity components of varying widths and temperatures (Heiles & Troland 2003). For the simplest case of a single CNM line on the line of sight, like for the clouds in the present paper, the concepts are appropriate, and the more detailed solution finds that Fukui et al. (2015) would systematically overestimate the true column density by an amount that varies from 0 at low optical depth, to 15% at \( \tau_0 = 1 \), to 50% at \( \tau_0 = 5 \), where \( \tau_0 \) is the peak optical depth of the line profile.

It is highly unlikely that our results are being produced solely by an optically thick 21 cm line because this requires typical optical depths \( \geq 3 \). Such high optical depths are rare: Heiles & Troland (2003) surveyed 79 21 cm line absorption spectra and, apart from two positions in the Galactic plane, only one exhibited an optical depth exceeding 3. Also, Stanimirović et al. (2014) investigated whether the optical depth effect was significant in their inferring total \( H_2 \) content by comparing dust IR emission and 21 cm line emission in the Perseus molecular cloud by measuring 26 21 cm absorption line spectra in the immediate vicinity, and concluded that the optical depth effect was essentially negligible.

### 4.4. Interpreting as Dust Property Variations

The apparently low gas/dust ratios observed toward the isolated clouds in this study could be due to the amount of dust being overestimated, which could happen if the dust properties are different in the clouds than in the diffuse interstellar medium. Recall that the gas/dust ratios are calculated assuming a value of the dust opacity, \( \kappa \), which we take from Li & Draine (2001). To explain a gas/dust ratio lower than the diffuse ISM by a factor of 3, we require that \( \kappa \) is higher by a factor of 3. Variations of this amount were indeed inferred from the first submillimeter measurements of a diffuse interstellar cloud and comparison with extinction from star counts (Bernard et al. 1999; Stepnik et al. 2003). A recent comparison of Herschel emission to near-infrared extinction for cold cores shows that the ratio of 250 \( \mu m \) to 1.2 \( \mu m \) optical depth is higher than in the diffuse ISM by a factor of 3 (Juvela et al. 2015); this is a “dust to dust” comparison unaffected by “dark” gas. The earlier submillimeter and Planck results both indicate higher \( \kappa \), in the same direction and approximate amount required to explain the gas/dust variations derived in the present study. Observations of gas and dust in circumstellar disks yield \( \kappa \) about a factor of 10 lower (Beckwith et al. 1990); such a low \( \kappa \), if applied to the ISM, would yield gas/dust ratios 10 times higher, which goes in the opposite direction from what is observed in the cloud cores and is inconsistent with the observed depletions of metals in the diffuse ISM.

Because \( \kappa \) is the ratio of the extinction cross section to the dust mass, it is sensitive to the size distribution of the large grains. For a compact (solid) particle, \( \kappa \) is inversely proportional to the grain size, so grain growth would decrease \( \kappa \). But for coagulation of particles into fluffy aggregates, \( \kappa \) apparently increases by a factor of 2–3 for silicate grains in the size range relevant to the ISM (Köhler et al. 2012). Variations in the dust properties are important to understand, not only because they may affect the inference of the presence of “dark gas”, but also because of the role played by dust grains in the physics and chemistry of the interstellar medium, as the primary agents for gas heating (through the photoelectric effect) and molecule formation (which occurs primarily on grain surfaces).

Changes in dust composition are constrained by the availability of elements. Many heavy elements, including for example Fe and Si, are almost completely locked in grains (Dwek 1998), so unless the regions with different dust properties are enriched in heavy elements, any new grain construction would need to be done without the refractory material already depleted onto the grains. We have found no evidence of central sources in the clouds that could be responsible for heavy element enrichment. So for now we assume that regular cosmic abundances apply, and therefore that the changes in properties may be related to the grains’ geometry, degree of amorphization, or surface properties (like mantles). The carbonaceous and silicate grains may combine to form larger grains, for example, which may increase \( \kappa \). The wavelength-dependent emissivity may change as a result of any surface compositional change to the grains. This could occur when small grains cover the surfaces of the large grains. In this case it is not the “growth” in size that matters but rather the change in chemical bonds affecting the manner in which energy is absorbed by and emitted from the surface. Carbonaceous material may evolve due to prolonged exposure to the interstellar radiation field, leading to a darkening over time (Cecc: Pestellini et al. 2010; Jones et al. 2013). The nature of the silicate material may also be evolving in dark clouds. In order to explain the large values of \( J_{\text{dark}} \) by increased grain emission, one would have to invoke the quite unlikely scenario of a greatly enhanced (factor ~ 3) metal abundance, all of which resides in the dust; this seems unlikely.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

We find strong variations in the ratio of gas to dust mass both within interstellar clouds and from cloud to cloud. Our work complements all-sky studies, which use large amounts of data but, when averaged together, give a misleading impression of uniformity, missing the true diversity of interstellar clouds. The three hypotheses that we present as potential explanations for the variations all have implications for the interstellar medium, and they also have observational tests that can be performed. The first two hypotheses are based on the idea that the gas column density may have been underestimated, while the true gas/dust mass ratio is constant everywhere. There would need to be extra gas, commonly referred to now as “dark gas” following Grenier et al. (2005), not traced linearly by the 21 cm line emission.

The possibility of molecular gas (first hypothesis) would not be a surprise given its prevalence in the diffuse interstellar medium as measured by UV absorption on random lines of
sight (Rachford et al. 2002) and the widespread usage of dust images to trace the total gas column density even when in excess of the atomic gas column density (Planck Collaboration et al. 2011). For the brightest clouds in our sample, CO is detected and the H$_2$/CO ratio appears “normal” compared with Galactic plane clouds. But for many clouds, and for all cloud peripheries, there is no CO and the upper limits show that any H$_2$ present is far in excess of that expected based on the CO emission. The implication would be that the clouds in this sample are in fact molecular clouds, despite being translucent and tenuous. The clouds are not gravitationally bound, with gravitational forces being exceeded by the combined influence of both thermal and non-thermal motions by factors of $10^2$ to $10^3$. Observational tests can confirm or deny the presence of molecules, such as OH (which is readily formed wherever H$_2$ is present), in the clouds at abundance levels sufficient to explain the apparent “dark gas” as H$_2$.

The second hypothesis is that the 21 cm line is optically thick, which is expected if the atomic gas is cold, and has been proposed as an explanation for the apparent “dark gas.” We find that high optical depths are required, so that the 21 cm line is optically thick over entire interstellar clouds. The implication of this hypothesis is that 21 cm line observations are poor tracers of interstellar column density. The gas is likely to be cold and have optical depths $>3$ in the 21 cm line over significant areas. This hypothesis can be tested with absorption line measurements toward radio sources.

The third hypothesis is that the dust grain properties vary, in which case there may be no “dark gas” at all, but instead a new physical process that changes grain properties. If the grain properties evolve in translucent clouds, then the building blocks of molecular clouds also begin with these modified grain properties. Given the constraints of cosmic abundances and the physical process that changes grain properties, then the building blocks of molecular clouds also begin with these modified grain properties. The Galactic Arecibo L-Band Feed Array H$_2$ (GALFA-H$_2$) Survey data set was obtained with the Arecibo L-band Feed Array (ALFA) on the Arecibo 305 m telescope. The Arecibo Observatory is operated by SRI International under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation (AST-1100968), and in alliance with Ana G. Méndez-Universidad Metropolitana, and the Universities Space Research Association. Facilities: Planck, Arecibo, IRAS.
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