Developing a novel meatal areolar tissue autograft for minimally invasive tympanoplasty
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Abstract

Background: We developed an easy and minimally invasive method of transmeatal tympanoplasty using meatal areolar tissue (MAT) grafts to achieve less postoperative morbidity or surgical scarring. We compared the functional and anatomical results of the developed method with conventional endaural tympanoplasty with a temporalis fascia (TF) graft.

Methods: In this retrospective cohort study, 58 patients (59 ears) with simple chronic otitis media who underwent type I tympanoplasty between January 2016 and August 2018 were included. All surgeries were performed in a tertiary referral hospital and by the same senior surgeon. The tympanic membrane (TM) was repaired with either a TF or a MAT graft.

Results: Healing of the perforated TM and improvement in a hearing test by air-bone gap (ABG) closure were identified. Postoperative wound conditions were also evaluated. Twenty-eight ears were grafted with MAT, and 31 ears were grafted with TF. Both groups showed functional improvement compared with the preoperative measurements. The postoperative pure tone audiogram (p = 0.737), ABG closure (p = 0.547), and graft success rate (p = 0.726) were not significantly different between the two groups. Neither wound dehiscence nor keloid formation was observed in our patients.

Conclusion: Both MAT and TF grafts revealed satisfactory surgical and functional results. Compared with the conventional endaural approach with TF grafts, the new transmeatal approach method with an MAT graft causes relatively minimal trauma and results in better wound cosmetics. This method represents an easy, minimally invasive surgery and shows comparatively good results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Chronic otitis media is defined as a recurrent infection of the middle ear with the presence of a tympanic membrane (TM) perforation. Tympanoplasty was introduced by Wallstein in 1952 and successfully performed with remarkable outcomes. In addition to repair of the TM, the restoration of middle ear ventilation has also been reported. Temporalis fascia (TF), areolar tissue, perichondrium, or cartilage are the most commonly used autograft materials for perforation repair. Several types of incisions are used to access the middle ear and mastoid cavity for tympanoplasty. According to the surgeon’s preference, a posterior auricular incision and an endaural incision are two main methods used in conventional microscopic ear surgery, while a transmeatal (transcanal) incision is widely used in endoscopic ear surgery. The endaural approach is preferred by many surgeons when tympanoplasty with little atticomastoidectomy or meatoplasty is decided. In addition, it causes less scarring and discomfort than the postauricular approach. However, similar to the postauricular approach, some endaural incisions may result in visible postoperative surgical scars and significant pain to the patients, occurring in 15% and 2% of cases, respectively, according to one study. Moreover, severe morbidities, such as keloid formation, perichondritis, and even postoperative infection, could occur after surgery regardless of the surgery type.

In this study, we developed a transmeatal approach with meatal areolar tissue (MAT) reconstruction, modified from the canonical endaural tympanoplasty, to avoid external skin wounds for better cosmetics and reduced morbidity. The phrase “transmeatal approach with meatal areolar tissue reconstruction” was coined, and the procedure was applied to patients with TM perforation. Our goal was to compare the functional and anatomical results in patients treated with either the conventional endaural approach with a TF graft or the designed transmeatal approach with an MAT graft to reconstruct TM perforations. The areolar tissue from the external auditory meatus can be easily harvested and grafted to the perforated ear-drum. There was no need for an extended or additional excision.
to create a pocket under the temporalis or postauricular area for the autograft harvest. The surgical results were as good as those of the conventional endaural approach with a TF graft, and no external surgical scar was developed. In addition, there was no need for a mastoid bandage for these surgeries. We propose this innovative and alternative method for tympanoplasty.

2. METHODS

2.1. Participants

A retrospective cohort study was carried out that included patients with chronic otitis media who underwent tympanoplasty. A total of 58 participants with 59 diseased ears were enrolled in this study from January 2016 to July 2018. Patients were divided into two groups according to the type of surgery: repair with TF or MAT. TF-based repair was performed from January 2016 to January 2017, and MAT-based repair was performed from February 2017 to July 2018. The characteristics of the patient profiles, including age, sex, size of perforation, confounding factors (diabetes mellitus or hypertension), and side of the diseased ear were recorded. Detailed operative data were collected, including the method of operation (repaired with TF or MAT) and the duration of the surgery. Patients diagnosed with cholesteatoma or ossicle chain dislocation or undergoing revision surgery were excluded; only patients with tympanic perforations were included. All of the tympanic perforations were central perforations, and the procedures were performed by the same surgeon (W.H.L.), a senior faculty member at the tertiary referral hospital. The superfluous specimens of MAT and TF were reviewed after hematoxylin and eosin staining by a pathologist.

2.2. Ethical considerations

The trial was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taipei Veterans General Hospital (2019-06-004AC).

2.3. Surgical techniques

1. Xylocaine plus epinephrine (1:100,000) is locally injected into the external auricle canal for vasoconstriction and hydrodissection.
2. Then, a small longitudinal or parallel incision is made along the external ear canal at approximately the 12 o’clock position (Fig. 1A, B).
3. Another incision is made along the posterior canal, connecting the first incision to make a metatll flap in the shape of a rectangle or with an obtuse angle (over 90°) (Fig. 1C, D).
4. The MAT is harvested by careful separation of the dermis and hypodermis (Fig. 1E, F).
5. Under microscopy, the edge of the perforation in the eardrum is denuded; the tympanomeatal flap is then elevated, and the middle ear is clearly visualized.
6. Fibrotic bands or granulation tissue in the middle ear are then removed. Further canaloplasty is performed if needed.
7. The graft is then placed medially onto the perforation. The residual skin of the tympanomeatal flap is replaced at the end of the procedure.
8. A silicon sheet is placed on the eardrum and external auricle canal to facilitate the growth of the graft.
9. The intrameatal incision wound is sutured with 2–3 stitches, and then the canal is packed with antibiotic-soaked gelfoam. No mastoid bandages are applied.

2.4. Outcome measures

All patients were regularly followed once a week for 1-month postoperatively until removal of the external ear packing. Pure tone audiometry and endoscopic photography were performed preoperatively and at least 3 months postoperatively. The air conduction (AC) and bone conduction (BC) hearing thresholds and the air-bone gap (ABG) at 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 kHz were recorded and documented in accordance with the guidelines suggested by the American Academy of Otolaryngology in 1995. The size of the TM perforation is represented as a percentage of the entire area determined by endoscopic imaging. The primary outcome measure was the graft success rate. Success was defined as full, intact healing of the graft without perforation for at least 3 months postoperatively. The secondary outcomes were the AC hearing threshold, the BC hearing threshold, and the ABG.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Data were entered into Excel and analyzed using SPSS (version 21 for Windows, IBM Company, Chicago, IL). Categorical confounding variables were compared between the groups by the chi-squared test. The success rate and the incidence of postoperative ear discharge were compared by Fisher’s exact test. Continuous variables were compared by analysis of variance. Efficacy (AC, BC, and ABG) was compared between the groups by the generalized estimating equation approach.14 Outcomes were considered significantly different if p < 0.05.

3. RESULTS

Fifty-eight patients met their criteria, and 59 ears were enrolled in the study. The mean patient ages were 63.2 and 65.8 in the MAT and TF groups, respectively. The mean follow-up duration was 4.6 months. The demographic data and clinical findings of each group (TF, n = 31; MAT, n = 28) are presented in Table 1.

The preoperative demographic data and hearing thresholds were compared, and there were no differences between the two groups. The age and perforation size were considered continuous variables and are presented in Table 2. The rates of successful grafting and perforation closure were 92.9% and 90.3% in the MAT and TF groups, respectively, without a significant difference (p = 0.726).

The functional outcomes in the TF, MAT, and the combined TF–MAT groups are presented in Table 3. The mean preoperative AC hearing threshold in the TF and MAT groups was 62.6 and 59.8 dB, respectively, and the preoperative ABG in the TF and MAT groups was 20.6 and 20.0 dB, respectively. The ABG improved by 3.4 and 3.4 dB postoperatively. Compared with the preoperative values, the AC hearing threshold and the ABG improved in both the TF and MAT groups after the surgery. However, there was no significant difference in the AC hearing threshold improvement or the ABG closure between the two groups (p = 0.737, 0.547). No postoperative complications, such as keloid formation or wound dehiscence, were reported.

In the histological review, the MAT graft revealed a rich collagen fiber and glandular structure. Similar to MAT, the TF graft also contained collagen fibers (Fig. 2). Linear, dense collagen fibers were observed throughout the TF samples. Collagen fiber rearrangement and fibroblast ingrowth may contribute to the strength and texture of the reconstructed fascia. The postoperative images revealed good healing with neovascularization. In addition, the external wound was barely visible 3 months after the operation (Fig. 3), and there was no wound dehiscence or scar formation in this series. There was no need for postoperative mastoid bandages due to the minimal surgical incision. There were also no reported cases of periauricular hematoma or bleeding.
4. DISCUSSION

The concept of tympanoplasty using autografts was first introduced in the early 1960s. The advantages of grafting fascia include the presence of mucopolysaccharides and collagen, which provide strength to the fascia and can attract fibroblasts by chemotaxis. To date, TF has remained the most popular graft material in tympanoplasty. However, the conventional endaural or postauricular approach requires a relatively large incision to harvest the fascia. Several other graft materials have been studied, including TF muscle, perichondrium, dura mater, vein tissue, fat, and skin. Most studies have shown no significant differences between

![Fig. 1. Harvesting the meatal areolar tissue (MAT) graft during the surgery. (A) A linear incision is made over the inner surface of the external ear canal. (B) The underlying soft tissue beneath the skin. (C) The areolar tissue can be fully visualized using a mastoid retractor. (D) The MAT graft is separated from the adjacent tissue. (E) The remaining meatal skin after harvesting the areolar tissue graft. (F) The graft is trimmed to a customized size and thickness. The yellow arrow indicates the meatal skin, and the star indicates the intrameatal graft.]

**Table 1**

| Variables | MAT (n = 28) | TF (n = 31) | \( \chi^2 \) value | p |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|---|
| Sex (male) | 13 (46.4) | 16 (51.6) | 0.019 | 0.891 |
| DM (yes) | 5 (17.9) | 5 (16.1) | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| HTN (yes) | 8 (28.6) | 13 (41.9) | 0.637 | 0.425 |
| Side (right) | 13 (46.4) | 12 (38.7) | 0.112 | 0.737 |

DM = diabetes mellitus; HTN = hypertension; MAT = meatal areolar tissue; TF = temporalis fascia.

**Table 2**

| Variables | Mean ± SD | 95% CI (lower~ upper) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Age (y) MAT group | 63.2 ± 16.0 | 57.0~69.4 |
| TF group | 65.8 ± 17.8 | 59.3~72.4 |
| TM perforation (%) | 37.0±20.0 | 29.2~44.7 |
| MF group | 40.6 ± 27.2 | 33.9~53.8 |

MAT = meatal areolar tissue; MF = meatal fascia; TF = temporalis fascia; TM = tympanic membrane.

**Table 3**

| Variables | Coefficient | SE |
|-----------|-------------|----|
| AC (dB) Pre-op MAT | 59.8 | |
| Pre-op (TF–MAT) | 2.8 | 6.7 |
| MAT (post-op/pre-op) | –6.7 | 6.6 |
| Difference in slopes between two groups | 3.2 | 9.5 |
| BC (dB) Pre-op MAT | 39.8 | |
| Pre-op (TF–MAT) | 2.2 | 5.4 |
| MAT (post-op/pre-op) | –1.3 | 5.3 |
| Difference in slopes between two groups | 0.5 | 7.6 |
| ABG (dB) Pre-op MAT | 20.0 | |
| Pre-op (TF–MAT) | 0.6 | 3.1 |
| MAT (post-op/pre-op) | –5.3 | 3.0 |
| Difference in slopes between two groups | 2.7 | 4.4 |

ABG = air-bone gap; AC = air conduction; BC = bone conduction; MAT = meatal areolar tissue; TF = temporalis fascia.
fascia and cartilage in terms of hearing outcomes and success rates.\textsuperscript{17}

The transmeatal approach for tympanoplasty was first described in 1930.\textsuperscript{18} This approach was found to be somehow interchangeable with the transcanal approach in several articles.\textsuperscript{19,20} One of the advantages of the transmeatal approach is reduced injury to periauricular tissue and thus fewer postoperative morbidities. Other advantages, such as a short operation time, less discomfort, and less chance of postoperative wound infection, have also been reported. However, large or anterior perforations are thought to be difficult to be performed by this method. Allograft and anterior tympanomeatal flap technique have been discussed to solve these problems.\textsuperscript{20}
To avoid making an external incision for graft harvesting when performing the conventional transmeatal approach, which still requires another incision for grafting, we sought to trim and use the areolar tissue beneath the meatal skin as the autograft material and test its efficacy in perforation repair. Although we used this graft material for the treatment of chronic otitis media with tympanic perforation, MAT grafts as large as 1.0 × 1.0 cm² can be harvested, which may be used in most eardrum perforation cases with ease. MAT is generally extensible and can be placed by either the wet or dry method.

The external auditory canal is covered by squamous epithelium with hair follicles and sebaceous glands. Histological analysis of the MAT used for the eardrum graft revealed that this tissue, which lies between the temporal bone and dermis of the external ear canal and is also called the hypodermis or superficial fascia, is full of collagen fibers and connective tissue. In our study, collagen fibers and fibroblasts were observed in both MAT and TF specimens, which is compatible with the results of a previous study. However, the glands were present only in the meatal fascia, while the TF showed more connective tissue.

There are some advantages of performing tympanoplasty using MAT. The first is the enlargement of the external meatus by the concurrent metaplasia and graft harvesting. In this metaplasia approach, compared with conventional surgical approaches, such as the endaural and postauricular approaches, the underlying soft tissue is separated from the cartilage or bony wall beneath, and the redundant soft tissue is abandoned or excised due to its fragmental size. Our method is inspired by the metaplasia with tympanoplasty technique reported previously. There is no need for another external skin excision for grafting. In addition, we obtain a smaller wound.

Second, the incisional wound shows a good cosmetic result, and there are no wound issues during the postoperative follow-up. Without a postoperative mastoid bandage, the patients do not complain of sensations of head tightness or wound pain. There are also no reported cases of periauricular hematoma or bleeding.

Third, while the developed MAT showed good surgical and functional results, TF can be used for cases requiring revision or involving total eardrum perforations. According to several meta-analysis and case-control studies, 12%–18% of patients who undergo repair with autologous soft tissue still have a residual perforation and may need further surgery. In this case, either TF or the tragal perichondrium can be retrieved by extending the previous incision.

Furthermore, in elderly patients under treatment with antiplatelet or anticoagulant agents that cannot be withdrawn due to comorbidities, the developed MAT method can still be easily performed. Only minimal bleeding was observed when dissecting this avascular area and there was therefore no need for the use of compression bandages on the incision wound.

Finally, better visualization of the TM under a microscope can be achieved through our method. It has been reported that 80% and 83% of the TM can be completely visualized by microscopy and endoscopy, respectively, using the transcanal (transmeatal) method. The main cause of reduced visualization is a bulging of the external ear canal. In addition, the possibility of fully scrutinizing pathophysiological changes over the TM and middle ear cannot be overemphasized. The transmeatal approach allows better visualization by debulking the heavy amount of subcutaneous tissue in the membranous canal and arranging the metaplasia in suitable patients. Additionally, gelfoam compression and suture are used to ensure no changes in restenosis.

There are some limitations to this study. First, we only used this method for the treatment of chronic otitis media with relatively small perforations. The feasibility of using this method for larger perforations or other middle ear conditions requires further investigation. Second, the follow-up duration for some patients was relatively short, which might reflect the good surgical results in that the patients did not feel the need to return. Third, most of the patients were older in our study. Thus, these results may not be representative of the general population.

In conclusion, our results show that tympanoplasty with an MAT graft achieved a highly successful perforation closure rate with good hearing and cosmetic results. For chronic otitis media patients requiring simple tympanoplasty, the transmeatal approach with an MAT graft can be a good alternative treatment choice to the endaural approach with a TF graft.
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