Anisotropic spin fluctuations and multiple superconducting gaps in hole-doped Ba$_{0.72}$K$_{0.28}$Fe$_2$As$_2$: NMR in a single crystal
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Abstract – We report the $^{75}$As-NMR study on a single crystal of the hole-doped iron-pnictide superconductor Ba$_{0.72}$K$_{0.28}$Fe$_2$As$_2$ ($T_c = 31.5$ K). We find that the Fe antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations are anisotropic and are weaker compared to underdoped copper-oxides or cobalt-oxide superconductors. The spin lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ decreases below $T_c$ with no coherence peak and shows a stepwise variation at low temperatures, which is indicative of multiple superconducting gaps, as in the electron-doped Pr(La)FeAsO$_{1-x}$F$_x$. Furthermore, no evidence was obtained for a microscopic coexistence of a long-range magnetic order and superconductivity.

The discovery of superconductivity in LaFeAsO$_{1-x}$F$_x$ at the transition temperature $T_c = 26$ K [1] has led to a great breakthrough in the research of high-temperature superconductivity. Soon after the initial work, $T_c$ was raised to 55 K in SmFeAsO$_{1-x}$F$_x$ [2], which is the highest among materials except cuprates. These compounds have a ZrCuSiAs type structure ($P4/nnm$) in which FeAs forms a two-dimensional network similar to the CuO$_2$ plane in the cuprates case. By replacing O with F, electrons are doped. The superconductivity is found to be in the spin-singlet state with multiple gaps [3], the latter property is likely associated with the multiple electronic bands. The Fermi surface consists of two hole-pockets centered at the Γ-point, and two electron-pockets around the M-point [4].

After the discovery of ReFeAsO (Re: rare earth), several other Fe-pnictides have been found to superconduct. BaFe$_2$As$_2$ has a ThCr$_2$Si$_2$-type structure, which is the very same structure for the heavy-fermion superconductor CeCu$_2$Si$_2$ [5]. By replacing Ba with K, holes are doped and $T_c$ can be as high as 38 K [6]. Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ has attracted particular attention for the following reasons. Firstly, it provides an opportunity to study possible difference between electron doping and hole doping, which have a different effect in the case of cuprates. For example, the electron correlations are usually quite strong in lightly and optimally hole-doped cuprates, while they are weak in electron-doped cuprates [7]. Secondly, it has two Fe layers in the unit cell instead of one in ReFeAsO, which offers an opportunity to study the relationship between structure and superconductivity. Thirdly, hole doping will lead to a different evolution of the Fermi surface, which can have great impact on the superconductivity. In addition, it has been reported that antiferromagnetic order may coexist with superconductivity for $0.2 \leq x \leq 0.4$ [8].

In this letter, we report the first $^{75}$As-NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) study on a single crystal of the hole-doped Ba$_{0.72}$K$_{0.28}$Fe$_2$As$_2$ ($T_c = 31.5$ K). The measurements using single crystal allow us to address the anisotropy of electron correlations in the superconductor. We find that the Fe antiferromagnetic (AF) spin fluctuations are weaker compared to underdoped cuprates or cobaltate superconductors, and are anisotropic in spin space. Namely, the susceptibility at the AF wave vector is larger in the $a$-axis direction than in the $c$-axis direction. This property resembles the case of the cobaltate superconductor Na$_2$CoO$_2$·1.3H$_2$O [9] but is different from the high-$T_c$ cuprates [10]. An NMR measurement using a powder sample was recently reported [11], but the measured temperature was not low enough to discuss the superconducting gap feature. We find that the spin lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$ decreases below $T_c$ with no coherence.
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sweeping the magnetic field. The Knight shift (using a phase-coherent spectrometer at a fixed frequency $\nu$) respectively. All NMR measurements were carried out by $\sim$H was measured at the central transition peak ($\nu$) and shows a broad hump at $T_c$. The vertical axis for $H$ \parallel a$ is offset by 1.1 for clarity.

Figure 1: (Color online) $^{75}$As-NMR spectra at a frequency of 55.1 MHz and $T = 100$ K for the doped and non-doped samples. The peak and shows a broad hump at $T \sim T_c/2$, which is an indication of multiple superconducting gaps. The multiple-gap feature appears to be common irrespective of the nature of doped carriers. NMR is the most direct probe to test if the coexistence of different states takes place in the microscopic scale or not. We find no evidence for a microscopic coexistence of magnetic order and superconductivity.

The single crystals of Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ were grown by using Sn-flux [12]. Plate-like crystals of $x = 0$ and 0.28 (determined by ICP) with a surface area $\sim 8$ mm $\times$ 6 mm were used for NMR measurements. AC susceptibility measurement using the NMR coil indicates $T_c = 35.5$ K at zero magnetic field ($H = 0$). The $T_c$ is 31 K for $H(=7.5$ T $) \parallel a$-axis, and 29.5 K for $H(=7.5$ T $) \parallel c$-axis, respectively. All NMR measurements were carried out by using a phase-coherent spectrometer at a fixed frequency ($f = \omega/2\pi$) of 55.1 MHz. The spectrum was taken by sweeping the magnetic field. The Knight shift (K) was determined with respect to $\omega/\gamma$ with $\gamma = 7.292$ MHz/T. The $1/T_1$ was measured by using a single saturation pulse. Figure 1 shows the $^{75}$As-NMR spectrum for the parent compound and the doped sample. The nuclear quadrupole frequency $\nu_Q$ for the doped sample is found to be $\sim 5.9$ MHz at $T = 100$ K. The $\nu_Q = 3$ MHz for our parent compound, which is in excellent agreement with a previous report on a similar sample [13]. Thus, hole doping results in a drastic increase in $\nu_Q$.

Figure 2 shows the $T$-dependence of the Knight shift obtained from the central transition peak. For $H \parallel a$-axis, the effect of the nuclear quadruple interaction was taken into account in extracting $K^a$. Both $K^a$ and $K^c$ slightly decrease with decreasing $T$, but becomes a constant below $T \sim 70$ K, which resembles closely the cuprate [7] or cobaltate cases [14].

Figure 3 shows the $T$-dependence of $^{75}(1/T_1T)$, $T_1$ was measured at the central transition peak ($H = 7.5$ T) and determined from an excellent fit of the nuclear magnetization to the theoretical curve $1 - M(t)/M_0 = 0.1exp(-t/T_1) + 0.9exp(-6t/T_1)$ (ref. [15]), where $M_0$ and $M(t)$ are the nuclear magnetization in the thermal equilibrium and at a time $t$ after saturating pulse, respectively. For comparison, the data for BaFe$_2$As$_2$ ($T_N = 80$ K) were also plotted in the figure, which are in agreement with previous reports [13,16].

We first discuss the electron correlations and their anisotropy above $T_c$. The Knight shift is composed of two parts, the part $K_s$ due to spin susceptibility $\chi_s$ and $K_{orb}$ due to orbital susceptibility. Namely, $K = K_s + K_{orb}$ and $K_s = A_{hf}(0)\chi_s$, where $A_{hf}(0)$ is hyperfine coupling constant at wave vector $q = 0$. As can be seen in fig. 2, below $T_c$, $K^c$ decreases and approaches to zero, which
is consistent with the spin-singlet pairing symmetry and suggests $K_{\text{orb}} \sim 0$. The spectrum for $H \parallel a$-axis becomes much broader below $T_c$ which makes the determination of $K^a$ more difficult and will be discussed in a separated publication in the near future. Meanwhile, it is noted that $K_{\text{orb}}$ is also negligible in the electron-doped counterparts [3,17]. Thus the anisotropy in $K$ is likely due predominantly to the spin part $K^a$, and can be accounted for by the anisotropy of the hyperfine coupling constant, which was found to be $\frac{A(0)}{A(0)} = 1.4$ in BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [16].

From fig. 3 one notices that, in the normal state above $T_C$, $1/T_1 T$ increases with decreasing $T$, although not as steeper as in the paramagnetic state of BaFe$_2$As$_2$. This is an indication of antiferromagnetic (AF) electron correlation, since the spin susceptibility at $q = 0$ does not increase upon cooling. However, below $T \sim 70 \text{K}$, $1/T_1 T$ becomes $T$-independent. This indicates that a modified Korringa relation is satisfied below this temperature and that the system is in a renormalized Fermi liquid state. This is quite different from the cases of underdoped cuprates [18] or cobalt-oxide superconductor [19] where upon cooling antiferromagnetic correlations develop all the way down to $T_C$. In this context, the spin correlations are weaker in the present compound than the cuprates or cobaltates. This property seems to be common for Fe-pnictides irrespective of hole- or electron-doping [3].

In a general form, $1/T_1 T$ is written as

$$\frac{1}{T_1 T} = \frac{\pi k_B^2 \gamma_a^2}{(\gamma_c \hbar)^2} \sum_q A^a_{hf}(q)^2 \frac{\chi''_c(q, \omega_n)}{\omega_n},$$

(1)

where $\chi''_c(q, \omega_n)$ is the imaginary part of the dynamical susceptibility perpendicular to the applied field. The larger magnitude of $1/T_1 T$ along the $a$-axis direction than that along the $c$-axis direction indicates that there are stronger fluctuations along the $c$-axis direction seen by the As-site, as discussed in detail below.

If we neglect the planar anisotropy, each component of $(1/T_1 T)$ is related to $\sum_q \chi''_c(q)$ through the following expressions:

$$\left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right)^c = 2 \frac{\pi k_B^2 \gamma_a^2}{(\gamma_c \hbar)^2 \omega_n} \sum_q A^a_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q),$$

(2)

$$\left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right)^a = \frac{\pi k_B^2 \gamma_a^2}{(\gamma_c \hbar)^2 \omega_n} \sum_q \left[ A^a_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q) + A^c_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q) \right].$$

(3)

Then, we have the ratio

$$\frac{\sum_q A^a_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q)}{\sum_q A^c_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q)} = \left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right)^a - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{T_1 T} \right)^c.$$

(4)

This quantity is plotted in fig. 4. The data for the parent compound is also shown for comparison. The ratio in Ba$_{0.72}$K$_{0.28}$Fe$_2$As$_2$ is around 1.5 at high $T$ but increases to 2 below 100 K. Also, the $T$-dependence of the ratio is reduced upon doping hole. The $A^a_{hf}(q)$ seems to be $q$-independent. By using $A^a_{hf} = 1.88 T/\mu_B$ and $A^c_{hf} = 2.64 T/\mu_B$ obtained for the parent compound [16], the anisotropy of the spin fluctuation $\sum_q \chi''_c(q)$ seen at the As site is shown in fig. 4 with scale on the right axis.

Now, neutron experiment found that, in the undoped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ compound, the ordered Fe magnetic moment is along the $a$-direction and forms a stripe [20]. Since the As atom sits in the position above (below) the middle of four Fe-atoms, the above results imply that, in the Fe site, a stronger fluctuating field exists along the $a$-axis direction, as illustrated in the inset of fig. 5.

It is remarkable that the Fe antiferromagnetic fluctuations are anisotropic in spin space. Namely, $\chi''^a(q)$ is much larger than $\chi''^c(q)$, where $z$ is along the $c$-axis direction. This is in contrast to the high-$T_C$ cuprates where the difference between the spin fluctuations along the $c$-axis and the $ab$-plane is small [10,21], but similar situation
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**Fig. 4:** (Color online) $T$-dependence of the anisotropy of the spin fluctuations seen at the As site in terms of $\sum_q A^a_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q)$ (left axis) and $\sum_q A^c_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q)$ (right axis).
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**Fig. 5:** (Color online) Sketch of the Fe-As block. The arrows illustrate the larger component of the fluctuating field of Fe and that seen by the As site. The indicated crystal axes are defined for the orthorhombic phase of the parent compound.

The paramagnetic (tetragonal) phase, the $a$-axis is defined as rotated by $45^\circ$.
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**Fig. 6:** (Color online) $T_1 T$-dependence of the anisotropy of the spin fluctuations seen at the As site in terms of $\sum_q A^a_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q)$ (left axis) and $\sum_q A^c_{hf}(q)^2 \chi''_c(q)$ (right axis).
was encountered in cobaltate superconductor [9]. The relationship between the energy- and q-dependences of the spin fluctuations (SF) and possible SF-induced superconductivity has been studied both theoretically [22] and experimentally [23]. To our knowledge, however, the relationship between the anisotropy of SF and superconductivity has been less explored so far. In the three-dimensional Hubbard Model, it was suggested that an anisotropic SF is un-favored for superconductivity compared to isotropic SF [24]. We hope that our results will stimulate more theoretical work in this regard.

We next move on to discuss the superconducting state. As seen in fig. 6, 1/T₁ decreases with no coherence (Hebel-Stichter) peak just below T_c, which is in contrast to conventional BCS superconductors with an isotropic gap. Obviously, a d-wave gap will suppress the coherence peak just below T_c. The s±-symmetry with two s-wave gaps that change sign on different Fermi surfaces, which was recently proposed for the iron-pnictides [25,26], may also reduce the coherence peak provided that the scattering between the hole- and electron-like bands is strong enough. Upon further cooling, however, the T-dependence is not a simple power law, such as 1/T₁ ∝ Tβ (refs. [27,28]) or 1/T₁ ∝ T^3 (ref. [29]) as seen in heavy-fermion compounds or high-T_c cuprates, nor exponential as seen in conventional BCS superconductors.

The most striking feature is that 1/T₁ shows a “knee” shape around T ∼ 0.5 T_c. Namely, the sharp drop of 1/T₁ just below T_c is gradually replaced by a slower change below T ∼ 15 K, then followed by another steeper drop below. This “convex” shape is clearly different from the case of superconductors with a single gap which show a “concave” shape of T-variation. It should be emphasized that this unusual T-variation is not due to sample inhomogeneity, which would result in a two-component T₁. We find that T₁ is of single component throughout the whole T range. Such peculiar feature was first found in the electron-doped PrFeAsO$_{0.85}$F$_{0.11}$ [3] and confirmed in LaFeAsO$_{0.92}$F$_{0.08}$ [30], and was interpreted as due to multiple gaps. This finding was subsequently echoed by many other experiments [31,32].

In the present case, a two-gap model can reproduce the stepwise T variation of 1/T₁. The underlying physics is that the system is dominantly governed by a larger gap for T near T_c while at sufficiently low T it starts to “notice” the existence of a smaller gap, resulting in another drop 1/T₁ below 10 K. In the d-wave case with two gaps, where the density of states (DOS) is Nₕ,ᵢ(E) = N₀,i × E/(E²−Δᵢ²), 1/T₁ₙ in the superconducting state is written as

\[
\frac{T₁ₙ}{T₁ₛ} = \sum_{i=1,2}^2 \frac{2}{kₜE} \int Nₕ,i(E) Nₕ,i(E') f(E) [1 − f(E')] \delta(E − E') \text{d}E \text{d}E',
\]

where f(E) is the Fermi distribution function. We find that the parameters 2Δ₁(0) = 9.0k_B T_c, 2Δ₂(0) = 1.62k_B T_c and κ = 0.69 can fit the data very well as shown by the solid curve in fig. 6, where

\[
κ = \frac{N₀,₁}{N₀,₁ + N₀,₂}
\]

is the relative DOS of the band(s) with larger gap to the total DOS. Comparison with electron-doped PrFeAsO$_{0.85}$F$_{0.11}$, where 2Δ₁(0) = 7.0 k_B T_c, 2Δ₂(0) = 2.2 k_B T_c, and κ = 0.4 within the same model [3], shows that the Δ₁ is substantially larger in the hole-doped case. The same parameters can also fit the Knight shift data as shown in fig. 7.

For the case of s±-gap, recent calculations have shown that scattering between the different bands may reduce the coherence peak just below T_c [33,34]. Following ref. [34], we calculated 1/T₁ for the s±-gap model, by introducing the impurity scattering parameter η in the energy spectrum, E = ω + iη. The parameters 2Δ₁(0) = 7.2k_BT_c, 2Δ₂(0) = 1.67k_BT_c, κ = 0.6 and η=0.22k_BT_c can well fit the data, as shown in fig. 6 (see footnote 1).

It is worthwhile pointing out that Δ₁ is in the strong coupling regime, while the Δ₂ is much smaller than the BCS value. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy found that the gap on the inner hole-pocket (α-band) and the electron-pockets (γ, δ-bands) has a larger value of 2Δ = 7.2 ∼ 7.7k_BT_c, while the gap on the outer

\[1\text{A three-band model with } 2Δ₁(0) = 7.2k_BT_c, 2Δ₂(0) = 1.67k_BT_c, 2Δγ(0) = 7.2k_BT_c \text{ and } N₀,₁ : N₀,₂ : N₀,₃ = 0.3 : 0.4 : 0.3 \text{ can also fit the data well.} \]
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hole-pocket (β-band) is smaller [32]. It is tempting to assign the larger gap $\Delta_1$ found in the present work to the gap on α-band and the electron pockets, while the smaller gap $\Delta_2$ to the β-band. The large value of $\Delta_1$ could be attributable to the excellent Fermi-surface nesting which can enhance pairing in the $s^\pm$-wave scenario, while the small value of $\Delta_2$ would be owing to the lack of nesting counterpart [2]. In the electron-doping case, on the other hand, the β-pocket shrinks so that it becomes to nest with the electron pockets but the nesting is not as good as the hole-doped case [35]. This may explain the smaller $\Delta_1$ in the electron-doped PrFeAsO$_{0.85}$F$_{0.11}$.

Finally we address the issue of possible coexistence of antiferromagnetic order with superconductivity. Neutron scattering experiment suggested that, in the doping range of 0.2 $\leq x \leq$ 0.4, a long-range antiferromagnetic order coexists with superconductivity [8]. However, our result shows that such AF order, if exists, is macroscopically phase-separated from the main phase that undergoes the superconducting transition. This is because no internal magnetic field arising from the AF order is detected in the NMR spectrum of the superconducting phase, nor shows any anomaly attributable to an AF order in the $T$-dependence of $1/T_1$. Below $T = 70$ K, as mentioned already, the system satisfies the Korringa relation expected for a weakly correlated electron system. We do observe an anomaly at $T_0 \sim 100$ K in $1/T_1$, but this $T_0$ is higher than $T_N = 80$ K of the parent compound. A similar anomaly was found by Baek et al. [13] at 140 K in BaFe$_2$As$_2$. The origin is unclear at the moment.

In summary, we have presented the NMR results on the hole-doped iron-pnictide single crystal Ba$_{0.72}$K$_{0.28}$Fe$_2$As$_2$ ($T_c = 31.5$ K). We find a weak antiferromagnetic spin fluctuation that is anisotropic in spin space. The $1/T_1$ decreases below $T_c$ with no coherence peak and does not follow a simple power law nor exponential function. As in the electron-doped (Pr,La)FeAsO$_{1-x}$F$_x$, the result indicates multiple superconducting gaps. However, $\Delta_1$ is substantially greater than the corresponding value in the electron-doped counterparts. We find no evidence for a microscopic coexistence of magnetic order and superconductivity.
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