The use of kallikrein-related peptidases as adjuvant prognostic markers in colorectal cancer
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Several members of the human tissue kallikrein-related peptidase (KLK) family are emerging cancer biomarkers. The aim of this study was to analyse the expression of a panel of KLKs in colorectal cancer and to find out if the multiparametric combination of them can increase the accuracy of prediction of patients survival beyond the traditional clinical information. Nine KLKs (KLK5–8, KLK10, KLK11, KLK13–15) were measured using ELISA assays in cytosolic extracts of 122 colon cancer tissues and their nearby normal mucosa, obtained during surgery. The mean levels of almost all KLKs in tumour tissues were significantly different from their counterparts of normal tissue (P < 0.0001). KLK 5, 6, 7, 13, 14 were significantly associated with overall survival in univariate analysis, but after adjusting for age, TNM and differentiation stage, only KLK5 (HR: 1.24 (95% CI: 1.05–1.47)), KLK7 (HR: 1.57 (95% CI: 1.04–2.37)) and KLK14 (HR: 1.43 (95% CI: 1.05–1.94)) remained significant. Addition of a panel of selected KLK markers to clinical parameters gave an increment in AUC of 0.86 beyond the clinical factors at year 1, showing that it can increase the accuracy of prediction of overall survival beyond the traditional clinical information, particularly the short-term (1 year) survival after surgery.
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Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the cause of half a million deaths worldwide every year. There are also about 1 million new cases diagnosed annually, making it the third most common type of cancer in the world, despite the fact that it mostly affects populations of western lifestyle (American Cancer Society, 2005; McCracken et al, 2007). The variation in cancer survival within the same countries depends on the socio-economic level of the people affected (Coleman et al, 2008). In the past few years, the death rate caused by CRC has been reduced, mainly because of increased screening based on serum markers, such as carcinoembryonic antigen (Sturgeon, 2002), endoscopic technology and improved treatment of the disease (Duffy et al, 2003). Tissue-based markers have been proposed as possible prognostic markers and predictors of response to treatment. Such markers include thymidilate synthase, the transcription factor p53, the oncogene K-ras and the adenomatous polyposis coli gene. Nevertheless, there are remarkable contradictions in the literature with regard to the relation between common CRC genes and prognosis (Anwar et al, 2004). Therefore, extensive research is needed to blend current endoscopic and surgical technology with specific molecular markers that will discriminate subgroups of patients for prognosis or specific targeted therapies.

Proteases may represent good diagnostic/prognostic biomarkers, as they are involved in cancer progression (Duffy, 1996). Human tissue kallikrein-related peptidases (KLKs) are a family of 15 serine proteases with diverse physiological functions. KLKs play important roles in different physiologic processes such as regulation of cell growth and differentiation, tissue remodelling, angiogenesis (Borgono and Diamandis, 2004), skin desquamation, human semen liquefaction (Pampalakis and Sotiropoulos, 2007), dental enamel formation (Lu et al, 2008), neuro-degeneration (Scarisbrick et al, 2008), inflammation (Oikonomopoulou et al, 2007), cervico-vaginal physiology (Shaw and Diamandis, 2008), and vascularisation (Smith et al, 2008). So far, KLKs have been widely examined as cancer biomarkers, mostly in steroid-hormone-regulated cancers (Emami and Diamandis, 2007), because steroid hormones play an important role in the regulation of kallikrein transcription (Palouras et al, 2007). Many members of the kallikrein family have been reported to be promising diagnostic/prognostic biomarkers for several cancer types, including breast, ovarian, prostate and testicular carcinomas (Borgono and Diamandis, 2004). However, recent studies indicate that other mechanisms probably cooperate in KLK regulation, including the use of alternative promoters (Christophi et al, 2004), the production of multiple splice variants (Pampalakis et al, 2006) or epigenetic alterations, like DNA methylation and histone modification (Pampalakis et al, 2004; Emami and Diamandis, 2007). Kallikrein-related peptidases may also be involved in cancer pathogenesis by degrading extracellular matrix proteins or promoting angiogenesis (Borgono and Diamandis, 2004). Few
studies in non-hormone regulated cancers have been conducted so far concerning lung (Petraki et al, 2006; Planque et al, 2008), pancreatic (Yousef et al, 2004; Dong et al, 2008), head and neck (Chung et al, 2004) and brain (Prezas et al, 2006b) cancers and leukaemia (Roman-Gomez et al, 2004) and their relation with KLKs. Until now, only KLK6 and KLK10 have been examined as biomarkers in CRC by Ogawa et al (2005) and Feng et al (2006) using real time PCR and by Yousef et al (2004) using in silico analysis. The aim of this study was to evaluate whether human tissue KLKs, alone or in combination with established clinical and pathological variables in a multiparametric model, can be used as potential prognostic markers for CRC patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical samples

Primary colorectal cancer specimens from 122 patients, collected at the Oncologic Hospital of Athens 'Saint Savvas', were staged according to Dukes' operative staging system. Paired normal colon mucosa, sufficiently separated from the tumours, was available for all cancer samples. Both cancer and normal samples were histologically confirmed by eosin–haematoxylin staining. Investigations were carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 1983. Histologic diagnoses and grading of tumours were made based on the revised World Health Organization (WHO) classification for colon tumours. All cases under study came from complete surgical excision of the tumour. No chemotherapy or radiotherapy was administered before surgery. Clinical and pathological characteristics such as age, tumour size, TNM stage, tumour grade and differentiation, were available for all patients. Two time-to-event outcomes after surgery were recorded: Disease-Free Survival (DFS) and Overall Survival (OS). DFS in each case was defined as the time interval between the date of surgical removal of the primary cancer and the date of the first documented evidence of relapse. OS was defined as the time interval between the date of surgery and the date of death, or the date of last follow up for those who were alive at the end of the study.

Ethics

Agreement of the Institute's Ethics Committee for the scientific analysis of tumour tissues, as well as patients' written informed consent had been obtained.

Preparation of colon tissue extracts

Upon collection, colon tissues were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and subsequently transferred to −80 °C until extraction. Frozen samples (0.2 g) were pulverised using a Mikro-Dismembrator – U (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany) and processed as described earlier (Shaw and Diamandis, 2007). Protein concentration of the extracts was determined (Pierce Chemical Co, Rockford, IL, USA).

Immunofluorometric ELISA measurement of kallikreins in colorectal cytosolic extracts

Concentration of KLKs was measured with a non-competitive immunoassay, described earlier (Shaw and Diamandis, 2007; Planque et al, 2008). Two types of configurations of ELISA were used in this study, using either monoclonal–monoclonal (KLK5, KLK6, KLK7, KLK8, KLK10, KLK13) or monoclonal–polyclonal (KLK11, KLK12, KLK14) combinations. All ELISAs were tested negative for cross-reactivity against other KLKs.

Statistical methods

Marker measurements were logarithmically transformed due to skewness in the distributions. The differentiation in marker expression in paired tumour and normal tissues was evaluated by the paired t-test on the transformed values. The relationships between biomarkers and tumour and patient characteristics were examined with the Kruskal–Wallis test. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlations among biomarkers (Supplementary Table S1 along with hierarchical clustering based on Spearman's correlation). Cox regression model was applied to evaluate the hazard ratios (HR) of biomarkers on DFS or OS applying univariate or multivariate analyses. Clinical variables, including age, tumour size, TNM stage, grade and tumour differentiation were adjusted in multivariate Cox proportional hazards models. Both HRs and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were calculated on log-transformed biomarkers and were represented with two-sided P-values. In this study, to further evaluate the prognostic usefulness of the markers, we used a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (Heagerty et al, 2000). ROC analysis was first conducted on individual markers and then on their combination to explore the possibility of a marker panel to lead to improved performance. We used an algorithm that gives a single composite score in the sense that the ROC curve is maximised at every threshold value. To get a prognostic index we built marker panels at year 1 and 5 after the surgery using a weighted logistic regression that is appropriate for censored failure time (Zheng et al, 2006) with the stepwise selection. The predictive accuracy of composite scores was evaluated based on a re-sampling algorithm to correct for potential overfitting when deriving the combinatorial rule (Supplementary Figure S1). Specifically, we randomly split data into a training set and a validation set. The training set included two thirds of the observations, and the validation set included one third of the observations. Using the training set, we first operated a model selection from which the final selected model gave rise to the linear combination rule. We then, calculated two ROC curves for the linear score: one with using data from the training set and the other using the validation set. The vertical differences between the two ROC curves gave the overestimation of the sensitivities at a given specification. The whole procedure was repeated 100 times, and these differences were averaged to yield an estimate of the expected overestimation. We present both the original ROC curves and the ROC curves that are corrected for overestimation. All analyses were done using Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and S-plus 7.0 software (Insightful Corp., Palo Alto, CA, USA).

RESULTS

Distribution of kallikreins between colon cancer and its paired normal tissues

To determine the clinical utility of the kallikrein family as potential tumour markers for colorectal cancer, the expression profile of several members of the family was examined by immunofluorometric ELISA assays, previously developed and validated (Dorn et al, 2007; Shaw and Diamandis, 2007; Planque et al, 2008). The detection limit was 0.05 mg l⁻¹ for KLK5, KLK6, KLK10, KLK13, KLK14 and KLK15, but only 0.2 mg l⁻¹ for KLK7, KLK8 and KLK11. Table 1 shows the distribution of numerical variables of the study. The differential expression of KLK5–8, KLK10 and KLK13–15 was evaluated by the paired t-test on log-transformed values. As shown in Table 2, the means of kallikrein concentrations between cancer and normal samples were significantly different for all KLKs (P < 0.001), with the exception of KLK9 (P = 0.92) and KLK14 (P = 0.87).
Association of kallikrein markers with overall survival of colon cancer patients

Cox regression models were used to examine the association between OS and kallikreins, and clinical and pathological parameters (Table 3). In univariate analysis the clinical and pathological parameters, age, grade, TNM stage and tumour differentiation are significantly associated with OS (\(P = 0.001\), \(P = 0.014\), \(P < 0.001\) and \(P = 0.004\), respectively), whereas in multivariate analysis only age, TNM stage and tumour differentiation remained significant (\(P = 0.005\), \(P = 0.004\) and \(P = 0.036\), respectively). Kallikrein markers KLK5, KLK6, KLK7, KLK13, and KLK14 were significantly associated with OS (\(P \leq 0.05\) in univariate analysis, but after adjusting for the significant clinical factors, age, TNM stage and differentiation, only KLK5 (HR: 1.24 (95% CI: 1.05 – 1.47)), KLK7 (HR: 1.57 (95% CI: 1.04 – 2.37)) and KLK14 (HR: 1.43 (95% CI: 1.05 – 1.94)) remained significant (Table 3). Whereas, when using the forward selection with a \(P\)-value of 0.1 as entry criterion in the Cox regression, only KLK14 and KLK7 were selected in the multi-marker model. The fitted Cox model is: 0.054 \times 10^7 \times (\text{Age} - 68.7) + 0.629 \times 10^7 \times (\text{TNM Stage III/IV}) + 0.703 \times 0.629 \times (\text{Tumour Differentiation (poor)}) + 0.380 \times 0.629 \times \log(\text{KLK14}) + 0.364 \times \log(\text{KLK7})

The clinical usefulness of kallikreins for prediction of OS status at 1 year and 5 years after operation for CRC was evaluated by ROC curve analysis. For OS, age and TNM stage alone were very predictive with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.79 at both year 1 and 5 years after operation for colorectal cancer was also evaluated by ROC curve analysis. The addition of a panel of selected KLK markers (KLK14) at year 1 by stepwise selection in weighted logistic regression, gave an increment in AUC from 0.79 to 0.86, but much less at year 5 (Figure 1).

Association of kallikrein markers with disease-free survival of colon cancer patients

Cox regression model results for colorectal cancer DFS are listed in Table 4. Among all clinical and pathological parameters, only TNM stage had a significant (\(P = 0.04\)) hazard ratio in multivariate analysis. Kallikrein markers KLK5, KLK6, KLK7, KLK10, KLK13, and KLK14 were significantly associated with DFS (\(P \leq 0.05\) in univariate analysis, but after adjusting for TNM stage only KLK14 (HR: 1.33 (95% CI: 1.05 – 1.68)) remained significant and no other marker entered into the multivariate Cox model using the forward selection with a \(P\)-value of 0.1 as entry criterion. The fitted Cox model is: 1.404 \times 10^7 \times (\text{Stage III/IV}) + 0.283 \times \log(\text{KLK14}). The clinical usefulness of kallikreins for the prediction of DFS status at 1 year and 5 years after operation for colorectal cancer was also evaluated by ROC curve analysis. The addition of a panel of selected KLK markers by stepwise selection in weighted logistic regression hardly gave any increment values at both year 1 and year 5 (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Tissue or serum levels of KLKs have been examined either individually or in panels as diagnostic or prognostic factors in different types of cancer (Borgono and Diamandis, 2004; Dorn et al., 2007; Paliouras et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2007; Planque et al., 2008). With the development of technology and automation and the promising concept of individualised therapy, it is tempting to examine concurrently, in panels, many different parameters as cancer biomarkers. In this study, we analysed the cytosolic extracts of 122 pairs of cancer/normal colon mucosa for the expression of nine KLKs on the protein level, to evaluate the clinical utility of this gene family as prognostic markers for colorectal cancer. Using a sensitive and specific immunofluorometric assay developed at Professor Diamandis’s laboratory (University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada), we studied the alterations in the expression between cancer and paired normal colorectal tissue for nine KLKs. In addition, we used extensive statistical analysis to examine whether

---

**Table 1** Distribution of numerical variables of the study

| Variable          | Mean ± s.e. | Range (median) |
|-------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Patient age (years) | 68.7 ± 0.8  | 31.0 – 91.0    |
| Tumour size (cm)  | 4.7 ± 0.1   | 0.2 – 12.0     |
| Follow-up (months; n = 122) | 37.2 ± 2.5 | 1.0 – 132.0    |
| DFS (months; n = 122) | 31.3 ± 2.7 | 0.0 – 132.0    |
| OS (months; n = 122)      | 37.1 ± 2.5  | 1.0 – 132.0    |

DFS = disease-free survival; OS = overall survival.

**Table 2** Marker distribution in 122 paired tumour (1) and normal tissues (0) and the distribution of the expression ratios of tumour to normal

| Marker | CA/normal | Mean ratio* (s.e.) | 95% CI | P-value** |
|--------|-----------|--------------------|--------|-----------|
| KLK5   | 1         | 0.005 (0.004, 0.008) | 0.985 (0.146) | 0.736 – 1.318 | 0.9198 |
| 0      |           | 0.006 (0.005, 0.01) |        |           |        |
| KLK6   | 1         | 0.4485 (0.104, 1.684) | 15.548 (2.47) | 11.388 – 21.228 | <0.0001 |
| 0      |           | 0.0315 (0.01, 0.036) |        |           |        |
| KLK7   | 1         | 0.29 (0.171, 0.51) | 2.323 (0.235) | 1.905 – 2.832 | <0.0001 |
| 0      |           | 0.13 (0.078, 0.217) |        |           |        |
| KLK8   | 1         | 0.009 (0.005, 0.023) | 2.001 (0.221) | 1.611 – 2.485 | <0.0001 |
| 0      |           | 0.0055 (0.004, 0.008) |        |           |        |
| KLK10  | 1         | 0.464 (0.071, 2.206) | 33.307 (6.398) | 22.857 – 48.535 | <0.0001 |
| 0      |           | 0.008 (0.005, 0.029) |        |           |        |
| KLK11  | 1         | 0.817 (0.291, 1.98) | 3.131 (0.435) | 2.385 – 4.11 | <0.0001 |
| 0      |           | 0.266 (0.15, 0.568) |        |           |        |
| KLK13  | 1         | 0.009 (0.005, 0.016) | 1.518 (0.148) | 1.254 – 1.838 | <0.0001 |
| 0      |           | 0.005 (0.004, 0.008) |        |           |        |
| KLK14  | 1         | 0.035 (0.019, 0.061) | 0.98 (0.123) | 0.767 – 1.253 | 0.8738 |
| 0      |           | 0.043 (0.032, 0.064) |        |           |        |
| KLK15  | 1         | 0.132 (0.063, 0.256) | 3.263 (0.512) | 2.4 – 4.438 | <0.0001 |
| 0      |           | 0.0365 (0.006, 0.14) |        |           |        |

CI = confidence interval; KLK = kallikrein-related peptidase. *Back-transformed mean log ratios of cancer to normal expressions. **P-values from the paired t-test on log-transformed values.
the use of those KLKs, as a panel, has to offer more information to the prognosis of colorectal cancer patients than the already existent clinical and pathological parameters.

Almost all KLKs, except KLKs 5 and 14, effectively separate cancer from paired normal tissue in a statistically significant manner. This is in agreement with our unpublished data showing overexpression of several kallikreins (KLK6, KLK7, KLK10) on the mRNA level of cancer samples as compared with their paired normal colon mucosa. Similar studies using the same method with us and examining the expression patterns of several kallikreins either in ovarian cytosolic extracts (Dorn et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2007) or serum samples from lung cancer patients (Planque et al., 2008), have revealed unique patterns.

Table 3  The univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for the overall survival

| Clinical variable | N (%) | HR   | CI    | P**    | HR   | CI    | P-value** |
|------------------|-------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|-----------|
| Age (years)      | 123   | 1.06 | (1.02, 1.09) | 0.001 | 1.07 | (1.02, 1.12) | 0.004 |
| Tumour size      | 116   | 1.01 | (0.84, 1.22) | 0.911 | 1.07 | (0.73, 1.56) | 0.721 |
| Grade            |       |      |        |        |      |        |           |
| I or II          | 65 (68)| 2.4  | (1.19, 4.82) | 0.014 | 1.07 | (0.17, 1.88) | 0.356 |
| III or IV        | 30 (32)|      |        |        | 1.07 | (1.62, 13.66) | 0.004 |
| TNM stage        |       |      |        |        |      |        |           |
| I or II          | 59 (51)| 4.39 | (2.08, 9.26) | <0.001 | 4.71 | (1.62, 13.66) | 0.004 |
| III or IV        | 56 (49)|      |        |        |      |        |           |
| Differentiation  |       |      |        |        |      |        |           |
| Well-moderate    | 99 (86)| 2.92 | (1.41, 6.02) | 0.004 | 1.07 | (1.09, 12.6) | 0.036 |
| Poor             | 16 (14)|      |        |        | 1.07 | (1.09, 12.6) | 0.036 |
| Markers          |       |      |        |        |      |        |           |
| KLK5             | 126   | 1.25 | (1.1, 1.42) | 0.001 | 1.24 | (1.05, 1.47) | 0.012 |
| KLK6             | 127   | 1.26 | (1.03, 1.54) | 0.026 | 1.09 | (0.85, 1.39) | 0.493 |
| KLK7             | 127   | 1.78 | (1.32, 2.4) | <0.001 | 1.57 | (1.04, 2.37) | 0.022 |
| KLK8             | 127   | 1.1  | (0.91, 1.34) | 0.335 | 1.07 | (0.78, 1.32) | 0.914 |
| KLK10            | 127   | 1.15 | (1.1, 1.33) | 0.051 | 1.07 | (0.94, 1.34) | 0.193 |
| KLK11            | 126   | 1.2  | (0.99, 1.46) | 0.057 | 1.16 | (0.91, 1.49) | 0.236 |
| KLK13            | 127   | 1.31 | (1.03, 1.68) | 0.028 | 1.36 | (1.87) | 0.053 |
| KLK14            | 127   | 1.32 | (1.1, 1.6) | 0.003 | 1.43 | (1.05, 1.94) | 0.022 |
| KLK15            | 127   | 1.01 | (0.78, 1.32) | 0.934 | 1.02 | (0.77, 1.36) | 0.891 |

CI = confidence interval; KLK = kallikrein-related peptidase. *P-values from univariate Cox regression. **P-values for clinical variables are from multivariate Cox regression after adjusting for each other. P-values for markers are from multivariate Cox regression with significant clinical variables from above and the marker of interest.

Figure 1  ROC curves for 1- and 5-year overall survival for ‘Clinical’: age and TNM stage; and ‘Clinical + Markers’: age, TNM stage, and selected marker panels of KLK(s). The marker panel at year 1 is: KLK14. The marker panel at year 5 is: KLK8, KLK10, KLK14, and KLK15.

Figure 1  ROC curves for 1- and 5-year overall survival for ‘Clinical’: age and TNM stage; and ‘Clinical + Markers’: age, TNM stage, and selected marker panels of KLK(s). The marker panel at year 1 is: KLK14. The marker panel at year 5 is: KLK8, KLK10, KLK14, and KLK15.

The present report confirms the in silico study by Yousef et al., 2004, showing an overexpression of KLK6, KLK8 and KLK10 in CRC. It is also in agreement with Ogawa et al. (2005), reporting that KLK6 mRNA overexpression in CRC correlates with poor prognosis of CRC patients. Furthermore, we are in line with Feng et al. (2006), reporting upregulation of KLK10 in CRC. We underline as important the difference noticed between the upregulation of KLK10 in CRC shown in this work and its downregulation shown in previous studies and attributing it to CpG island hypermethylation, examining other cancer tissue types, like breast, prostate or ovarian (Sidiropoulos et al., 2005), gastric (Huang et al., 2007), head and neck (Worsham et al., 2006), testicular (Luo et al., 2001) and lung (Planque et al., 2008). However, contradictory results have
been reported in other studies, claiming that KLK10 is upregulated in cancers, as breast (Luo et al., 2002), ovarian (Luo et al., 2003), gastric (Feng et al., 2006) and head and neck (Dasgupta et al., 2006).

All members of the KLK family have been examined as biomarkers for different types of cancer and reviewed recently by Paliouras et al., 2007. Current results showing an overexpression of KLK6 in CRC may be explained by the fact that KLK6 acts as a mediator of K-Ras-dependent migration of CRC cells, as reported by Henkhaus et al. (2008a) and that K-RAS mutations are common in CRC, occurring in approximately 50% of cases. We have recently demonstrated that ovarian cancer cells of epithelial origin like colon cells, stably transfected to express KLKs 4, 5, 6 and 7 were significantly more invasive in vitro and formed larger
tumours in mice (Prezas et al., 2006a). Furthermore, Klucky et al., 2007 trying to explain the function of KLK6 in cancer, provided evidence that KLK6 induces E-cadherin shedding and thus, promotes cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. In addition, recent data presented by Henkhaus et al. (2008b) indicate a central role for caveolin-1, the main structural protein of caveolae in both KLK6 gene expression and protein secretion of colon cancer cell line HCT116.

Cytosolic KLK7 protein in this study and KLK7 transcripts from the same samples used in an earlier study conducted in our lab (Talieri et al., 2009), seem to follow the same pattern of upregulation. As reported recently by Ramani and Haun (2008), overexpression of KLK7 in tumour cells may play an important

Table 4 The univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for the disease-free survival

| Clinical variable | N (%) | HR (CI) | P* | HR (CI) | P-value** |
|------------------|-------|--------|----|--------|-----------|
| **Univariate**    |       |        |    |        |            |
| Age (years)      | 124   | 1.04 (1.01, 1.07) | 0.013 | 1.03 (0.99, 1.08) | 0.101 |
| Tumour size      | 116   | 1.01 (0.84, 1.21) | 0.951 | 0.94 (0.68, 1.31) | 0.721 |
| Grade            |       |        |    |        |            |
| I or II          | 65 (68)| 3.04 (1.55, 5.97) | 0.001 | 1.33 (0.45, 3.95) | 0.605 |
| Ill or IV        | 31 (32)|        |    |        |            |
| TNM stage        |       |        |    |        |            |
| I or II          | 59 (51)| 4.1 (2.01, 8.39) | 0.000 | 2.86 (1.05, 7.79) | 0.040 |
| Ill or IV        | 57 (49)|        |    |        |            |
| Differentiation  |       |        |    |        |            |
| Well-moderate    | 99 (85)| 2.78 (1.42, 5.43) | 0.003 | 2.04 (0.72, 5.81) | 0.182 |
| Poor             | 17 (15)|        |    |        |            |
| **Markers**      |       |        |    |        |            |
| KLK5             | 127   | 1.21 (1.07, 1.37) | 0.002 | 1.16 (0.99, 1.37) | 0.065 |
| KLK6             | 128   | 1.23 (1.03, 1.48) | 0.024 | 1.09 (0.9, 1.33) | 0.384 |
| KLK7             | 128   | 1.47 (1.14, 1.9) | 0.003 | 1.28 (0.96, 1.72) | 0.095 |
| KLK8             | 128   | 1.09 (0.91, 1.31) | 0.349 | 1 (0.8, 1.26) | 0.972 |
| KLK10            | 128   | 1.15 (1.01, 1.31) | 0.040 | 1.07 (0.92, 1.23) | 0.387 |
| KLK11            | 127   | 1.18 (0.99, 1.41) | 0.068 | 1.12 (0.9, 1.39) | 0.298 |
| KLK13            | 128   | 1.32 (1.04, 1.67) | 0.025 | 1.29 (0.98, 1.71) | 0.074 |
| KLK14            | 128   | 1.28 (1.06, 1.54) | 0.011 | 1.33 (1.05, 1.68) | 0.018 |
| KLK15            | 128   | 1.07 (0.84, 1.37) | 0.584 | 1.12 (0.85, 1.46) | 0.425 |

| Multivariate     |       |        |    |        |            |

CI = confidence interval; KLK = kallikrein-related peptidase. *P-values from univariate Cox regression. **P-values for clinical variables are from multivariate Cox regression after adjusting for each other. P-values for markers are from multivariate Cox regression with clinical variable TNM stage and the marker of interest.

Figure 2 ROC curves for 1- and 5-year disease-free survival for ‘Clinical’: age and TNM stage; and ‘Clinical + Markers’: age, TNM stage, and selected marker panels of KLK(s). The marker panel at year 1 is: KLK10 and KLK14. The marker panel at year 5 is: KLK5, KLK6, KLK8, KLK10, KLK13, KLK14, and KLK15.
role in tumour invasion through proteolysis of extracellular matrix components, such as fibronectin. Our lab has shown in the past that KLK7 may serve as a potential biomarker for breast cancer patients (Talieri et al., 2004) and that its overexpression in intracranial tumours reveals a less favourable outcome (Prezas et al., 2006b). Moreover, we have shown that U-251-MG glioblastoma cells transfected with KLK7 showed increased invasive potential in an in vitro Matrigel assay (Prezas et al., 2006b). Present work shows upregulation of KLK8, although it is not associated either with OS or DFS. This is in line with our previous work (Prezas et al., 2006b) in brain cancer, where we did not find any association of KLK8 with DFS or OS. In addition, in the same study, glioblastoma U-251-MG cells stably transfected by the KLK8 gene did not show invasion in the Matrigel assay. Although the expression of KLK14 of CRC samples does not seem to be significantly different from their normal counterparts, it is the marker that remained statistically significant in association with the survival outcomes: DFS and OS in the Cox regression univariate and multivariate analysis showing unfavourable prognosis for the patients. KLK14 is involved in extracellular matrix degradation (Borgono et al., 2007) and the present finding may be associated with response to treatment.

As most members of the KLK family display differential expression in CRC, it is very tempting to speculate on their potential role in CRC initiation and progression. Studies on the physiological function of KLKs in normal colon will shed more light into the roles of these enzymes in colon cancer and other diseases of colon and rectum. In addition, these enzymes may represent promising future therapeutic targets.

We need to point out that even though a combination of selected clinical factors and KLKs panel showed an increase in OS prediction at 1 year after the surgery with AUC of 0.86 under the time-dependent ROC curve, after correction for possible overfitting from the model selection process estimated by cross-validation, the corrected AUC was only at 0.69 and it was no better than the prediction of age and TNM stage alone (Supplementary Figure S1). Therefore, the performance of this selected KLK marker panel and the combination rule defined in this particular data need to be further evaluated in an independent validation study.
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