Phase-Coherent Heat Circulator Based on Multiterminal Josephson Junctions
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We theoretically propose a phase-coherent thermal circulator based on ballistic multiterminal Josephson junctions. The breaking of time-reversal symmetry by either a magnetic flux or a superconducting phase bias allows heat to flow preferentially in one direction from one terminal to the next while heat flow in the opposite direction is suppressed. We find that our device can achieve a high circulation efficiency over a wide range of parameters and that its performance is robust with respect to the presence of disorder. We provide estimates for the expected heat currents for realistic samples.

I. INTRODUCTION

The miniaturization of electronics has led to ever more powerful computers but also to an increased production of heat in computer chips. One possible way to deal with such waste heat is to recover it via thermoelectric energy harvesting [1]. Alternatively, one may construct logical circuits that operate with heat rather than charge flow [2]. The underlying heat flow can be carried by phonons [3], photons [4, 5], or electrons [6].

An ideal playground to investigate phase-coherent electronic heat flows is mesoscopic superconducting circuits [7, 8]. Phase-dependent heat currents in Josephson junctions were predicted theoretically by Maki and Griffin more than half a century ago [9, 10] but they have been measured only very recently by Giazotto and Martínez-Pérez [11]. Phase-coherent heat flow in superconducting circuits allows for the realization of various caloritronic devices such as heat interferometers [11–15], thermal diodes [16–19] and transistors [20, 21], heat switches [22], thermal memory [23], and nanoscale refrigerators [24–26].

Still missing, however, is a phase-coherent heat circulator, which is a multiterminal device that allows heat to flow, say, clockwise from one terminal to the next but blocks thermal transport in the counterclockwise direction. This is analogous to a microwave or radio-frequency circulator in electronics [27]. A possible route to the realization of circulators is the use of chiral edge states in quantum Hall systems [28, 29] but the required strong magnetic fields are incompatible with superconductivity.

In this paper, we suggest multiterminal Josephson junctions subject to a magnetic flux and/or phase bias as an alternative way to realize efficient thermal circulators. Such junctions are also of interest for simulating topologically nontrivial band structures [30, 31].

II. THE MODEL

We consider a three-terminal ballistic Josephson junction [32] where the junction is described by a minimal model consisting of three sites that are connected to the three superconducting leads [30] with respective order parameters $\Delta_i = |\Delta_i|e^{i\phi_i}$ (see Fig. 1). Each site is characterized by an on-site energy $\epsilon_i$ and hopping matrix elements $t_{ij}$ between the different sites, i.e., from $j$ to $i$. In the absence of a magnetic flux, the hopping matrix elements can be chosen all real. In the presence of a magnetic flux $\varphi$ through the junction, their phases $\gamma_{ij}$, i.e., $t_{ij} = |t_{ij}|e^{i\gamma_{ij}}$, satisfy $\gamma_{10} + \gamma_{21} + \gamma_{02} = 2\pi\varphi/\varphi_0 \equiv \alpha$, where $\varphi_0 = h/e$ is the magnetic-flux quantum. By redefining the superconducting phases in a gauge-invariant manner, one can always achieve the symmetric choice $\gamma_{10} = \gamma_{21} = \gamma_{02} = \alpha/3$. In the following, we consider the simplest case of a symmetric junction with identical on-site energies $\epsilon_i = \epsilon$ and identical hopping matrix elements $t_{ij} = t$. The scattering matrix of electrons at the junction is then given by [30] $\hat{s}_0 = \hat{U}\hat{\sigma}\hat{U}^\dagger$ with $\hat{\sigma} = (1 - i\hat{D})^{-1}(1 + i\hat{D})$, where $\hat{D} = \text{diag}(\epsilon + 2t\cos \frac{\alpha}{3}, \epsilon + 2t\cos \frac{2\alpha}{3}, \epsilon + 2t\cos \frac{\alpha - 2\alpha}{3})$. 

![FIG. 1. A sketch of the heat circulator based on a minimal three-terminal Josephson junction. A rectified heat circulator with a high efficiency $R$ [cf., Eq. (8)] can be achieved with an applied magnetic flux $\varphi$ and two superconducting phases $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$, i.e., $\kappa_{ij}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \varphi) \gg \kappa_{ij}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \varphi)$. The scattering region is described by a three-site tight-binding model, which is sufficient to capture the essential physics for our proposal.](arXiv:1808.04606v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 27 Oct 2018)
and
\[ \hat{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ e^{i2\pi/3} & e^{-i2\pi/3} & e^{i2\pi/3} \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(1)

In order to obtain the scattering matrix of the whole system at an arbitrary energy \( \omega > |\Delta_j| \), we make use of the reflection and transmission coefficients for Andreev reflection given by 3 \times 3 matrices at the normal-superconductor interfaces within the Andreev approximation as [33]
\[ \hat{r}_{eh}^A = \hat{r}_{he}^A = -\frac{v}{u} \hat{1}, \]  
\[ \hat{r}_{eh}^A = (\hat{p}_{he}^A)^* = \frac{v}{u} \text{diag}(e^{i\phi_0}, e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2}), \]  
\[ \hat{t}_{ee}^A = (\hat{p}_{ee}^A)^* = \frac{v}{u} \text{diag}(e^{i\phi_0/2}, e^{i\phi_1/2}, e^{i\phi_2/2}), \]
where the coherence factors are given by
\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \Delta^2}}{\omega} \right)}, \]  
\[ v = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \Delta^2}}{\omega} \right)}. \]  

(3a)
\[ (3b) \]

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to quantify the circulator efficiency, we introduce a figure of merit defined by
\[ R = \frac{\kappa_{20} \kappa_{10} - \kappa_{12} \kappa_{20}}{\kappa_{20} \kappa_{12} + \kappa_{10} \kappa_{20}}. \]  

(8)
The first term in the numerator, \( \kappa_{20} \kappa_{10} \), describes the heat circulation in a counterclockwise sense, i.e., \( 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 0 \), whereas the second term, \( \kappa_{12} \kappa_{20} \), quantifies the clockwise rotation \( 0 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0 \). If there is no rectification in thermal conductances, i.e., \( \kappa_{ij} = \kappa_{ji} \) for all \( i, j \), we have \( R = 0 \), as the numerator in Eq. (8) vanishes. In an ideal case with a perfectly counterclockwise heat rotation, the clockwise circulation is completely blocked, i.e., \( \kappa_{01} \kappa_{12} = 0 \), while \( \kappa_{02} \kappa_{10} \) remains finite and hence \( R = 1 \). Analogously, one obtains \( R = -1 \) for a perfectly clockwise heat circulation.

Figure 2(a) displays \( R \) as a function of the magnetic flux \( \alpha \) with a relatively weak coupling between the sites, i.e., \( t = 0.1\Delta \), for several background temperatures \( T \). We remark that in linear response the temperature dependence of the order parameter can be neglected with \( \Delta = \Delta(T) \). The ratio \( T/\Delta \) can be tuned arbitrarily by varying \( T \). As \( \alpha \) increases, \( R \) slowly rises with a positive sign corresponding to the counterclockwise heat circulation and reaches the maximum \( R \approx 0.6 \) at \( \alpha = \pi/2 \). For \( \alpha > \pi/2 \), \( R \) starts to decrease until \( R = 0 \) at \( \alpha = \pi \). For \( \pi < \alpha < 2\pi \), \( R \) becomes negative and the heat rotates clockwise. Note that the efficiency \( |R| \) becomes higher with a lower temperature \( T \). If we look at the rectification in only one leg of the junction \( j \leftrightarrow i \), the maximum efficiency at \( \alpha = \pi/2 \) corresponds to \( \kappa_{ij}/\kappa_{ji} \sim 2 \) at \( k_B T = 0.2\Delta \).

When the coupling strength \( t \) gets larger, the efficiency grows more rapidly as \( \alpha \) increases and the maximum comes from the sum over the electron and hole subspace. Finally, the thermal conductance matrix is given by its elements \( (i, j) = 0, 1, 2 \)
\[ \kappa_{ij} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int d\omega \omega^2 \left[ 2\delta_{ij} - \tau_{ij}(\omega)\zeta(\omega) \right] \]  
\[ (7) \]

where \( \zeta^{-1}(\omega) = 4k_B T^2 \cosh^2(\omega/2k_B T) \). Note that \( \kappa_{ij} \) describes the thermal conductance from terminal \( j \) to \( i \).

Onsager reciprocity dictates that \( \kappa_{ij}(\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \alpha) = \kappa_{ji}(\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, -\alpha) \) [34]. However, one can in general achieve \( \kappa_{ij}(\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \alpha) \neq \kappa_{ji}(\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \alpha) \), i.e., heat rectification in linear response is possible in our setup by adjusting \( \phi_1, \phi_2 \) and \( \alpha \) with \( \phi_0 = 0 \). Based on this observation, we propose a heat circulator with which one can make the heat rotate along the junction preferentially in one direction. We emphasize that thermal rectification and heat circulation can occur in our device in linear response due to its multiterminal nature. In contrast, conventional two-terminal devices can achieve thermal rectification only in nonlinear transport.
approaches closer to the ideal case \(|R| \sim 1\), as shown in Fig. 2(b) with an example of \(t = \Delta\). In addition, the \(T\) dependence is reversed at the maximum where a higher \(T\) gives rise to a higher efficiency. Indeed, \(\kappa_{ij}/\kappa_{ji}\) reaches from 3 to 22 as we increase the temperature from \(k_B T = 0.2\Delta\) to \(k_B T = \Delta\) [cf., Fig. 4(a)]. This is a nice property for practical applications, since more quasiparticles are activated when the temperature becomes of the order of the superconducting gap, contributing largely to the thermal conductance. Therefore, our proposal suggests an easy manipulation of the heat rectification, e.g., controlling the direction and the magnitude, with relatively small magnetic fields of the order of millitesla. This realizes an almost ideal phase-coherent thermal circulator, which so far is still absent in the field of coherent caloritronics.

In Fig. 3, we plot the figure of merit \(R\) as a function of the coupling strength \(t\) and the temperature \(T\) at \(\alpha = \pi/2\), where \(R\) reaches the maximum with a counterclockwise rotation (cf., Fig. 2). One can note that over a broad range of the parameters, \(R\) reaches almost unity as long as \(t > 0.5\Delta\) [Fig. 3(a)] and \(k_B T > 0.2\Delta\) [Fig. 3(b)]. Thus, our setup does not require a fine tuning of the control parameters to achieve a high rectification efficiency once these conditions are fulfilled.

In Fig. 4(a), one-leg rectification \(\kappa_{21}/\kappa_{12}\) is shown as a function of \(\alpha\) at \(t = \Delta\). Indeed, maximum rectification around a factor of 22 can be achieved with \(k_B T \sim \Delta\) at \(\pi/2\), i.e., 22 times more heat flows preferentially in one direction than in the opposite direction. Remarkably, for this choice of the coupling strength—the order of the energy gap, i.e., \(t \sim \Delta\)—one can obtain an enormous rectification for \(k_B T > \Delta\), e.g., \(\kappa_{21}/\kappa_{12} \sim 70\) at \(k_B T \sim 2\Delta\), as displayed in Fig. 4(b). However, for \(t > \Delta\), the asymmetry \(\kappa_{ij}/\kappa_{ji}\) decreases again for any given \(T\), e.g., \(t = 1.5\Delta\) in Fig. 4(b). We emphasize that \(t \sim \Delta\) is actually the optimum coupling strength for enhancing the rectification with our choice \(\varepsilon = E_F\). This can be explained by the band dispersion with a width \(2t\) in the scattering region, which is commensurate with a superconducting gap of width \(2\Delta\), the edge of which possesses a divergent quasiparticle density of states. Therefore, while \(\kappa_{ij}/\kappa_{ji}\) saturates as \(T\) increases for either \(t < \Delta\) or \(t > \Delta\), this is not the case for the optimum coupling condition. In a real superconductor, \(\Delta\) is not constant but, rather, a decreasing function of \(T\) until the superconductivity breaks down at the critical temperature \(T_c\), i.e., \(\Delta(T_c) = 0\). Thus, the relative ratio \(k_B T/\Delta(T)\) can still be much larger than 1 even in a superconducting state, making it possible to observe the huge \(\kappa_{ij}/\kappa_{ji}\) as expected from Fig. 4(b).
A phase bias $\phi_1 - \phi_2 \neq 0$ across the junction can also lead to heat rectification. Experimentally, it can be realized in a multiloop structure, where each magnetic flux can be controlled in an independent way. Figures 5(a) and 5(b), respectively, display a density plot of $\kappa_{21}/\kappa_{12}$ for various $t$ at $\alpha = \pi/2$. We use $\varepsilon = E_F \equiv 0$ and no phase bias is applied among the superconductors.

Thus far we have considered a perfectly symmetric junction with equal on-site energies $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon$ and hopping matrix elements $t_{ij} = t$. Relaxing this condition to the asymmetric case with arbitrary $\varepsilon_i$ and $t_{ij}$ is straightforward [30].

Figure 6 shows the effect of a strong random variation of the parameters on the rectification efficiency $\langle R \rangle$ averaged over 1000 random samples, which can be compared to the results of symmetric junction in Fig. 2. Increasing the sample number leads to effectively the same results. In Fig. 6(a), the respective coupling strength is a uniform random variable with a mean centered at $\langle t_{ij} \rangle = 0.1\Delta$ and the full width $w(t_{ij}) = 0.2\Delta$, i.e., $\langle t_{ij} \rangle - w(t_{ij})/2 < t_{ij} < \langle t_{ij} \rangle + w(t_{ij})/2$, with $t_{ij} \neq t_{k\ell}$ for all $i,j,k,\ell$. On-site energy fluctuations are analogously described by $\langle \varepsilon_i \rangle = E_F$ and $w(\varepsilon_i) = 2\Delta$. Likewise, in Fig. 6(b), we introduce stronger randomness with $\langle t_{ij} \rangle = \Delta$ and $w(t_{ij}) = 2\Delta$. In both cases, the averaged efficiency $\langle R \rangle$ tends to decrease by roughly 15% compared to the symmetric case due to the strong disorder with the random fluctuation of wide ranges $w(\varepsilon_i) = 2\Delta$ and $w(t_{ij}) = 2\langle t_{ij} \rangle$. Instead, if one reduces the fluctuations by choosing $w(\varepsilon_i) = \Delta$ and $w(t_{ij}) = \langle t_{ij} \rangle$, the figure of merit $R$ quickly recovers the value of the symmetric junction with a reduced efficiency drop. Hence, the high rectification efficiency of our proposed heat circulator is rather robust with respect to the unintended random variations of the parameters. Moreover, even if the system were diffusive rather than ballistic, we do not expect drastic changes in the circulator performance since the main underlying mechanism of our proposal is the broken time-reversal symmetry in multiterminal configurations.

Finally, we estimate the expected heat current in the forward direction for realistic superconductor samples with an average temperature $k_B T = \Delta$ at $\alpha = \pi/2$ and
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IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we propose a phase-coherent thermal circulator based on ballistic multiterminal Josephson junctions. Its operation relies on the breaking of time-reversal symmetry by a magnetic flux or a superconducting phase bias. We demonstrate that the device achieves a high circulation efficiency over a wide range of parameters. Furthermore, its operation is robust with respect to the presence of disorder.
[14] Antonio Fornieri, Christophe Blanc, Riccardo Bosisio, Sophie D’Ambrosio, and Francesco Giazotto, “Nanoscale phase engineering of thermal transport with a Josephson heat modulator,” Nature Nanotech. 11, 258–262 (2016).
[15] Antonio Fornieri, Giuliano Timossi, Pauli Virtanen, Paolo Solinas, and Francesco Giazotto, “0–π phase-controllable thermal Josephson junction,” Nature Nanotech. 12, 425–429 (2017).
[16] M. J. Martínez-Pérez and F. Giazotto, “Efficient phase-tunable Josephson thermal rectifier,” Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 182602–182602–5 (2013).
[17] F. Giazotto and F. S. Bergeret, “Thermal rectification of electrons in hybrid normal metal-superconductor nanojunctions,” Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 242602 (2013).
[18] Antonio Fornieri, María José Martínez-Pérez, and Francesco Giazotto, “A normal metal tunnel-junction heat diode,” Appl. Phys. Lett. 104, 183108 (2014).
[19] María José Martínez-Pérez, Antonio Fornieri, and Francesco Giazotto, “Rectification of electronic heat current by a hybrid thermal diode,” Nature Nanotech. 10, 303–307 (2015).
[20] F. Giazotto, J. W. A. Robinson, J. S. Moodera, and F. S. Bergeret, “Proposal for a phase-coherent thermoelectric transistor,” Appl. Phys. Lett. 105, 062602 (2014).
[21] Antonio Fornieri, Giuliano Timossi, Riccardo Bosisio, Paolo Solinas, and Francesco Giazotto, “Negative differential thermal conductance and heat amplification in superconducting hybrid devices,” Phys. Rev. B 93, 134508 (2016).
[22] Björn Sothmann, Francesco Giazotto, and Ewelina M. Hankiewicz, “High-efficiency thermal switch based on topological Josephson junctions,” New J. Phys. 19, 023056 (2017).
[23] Claudio Guarcello, Paolo Solinas, Alessandro Braggio, Massimiliano Di Ventra, and Francesco Giazotto, “Josephson Thermal Memory,” Phys. Rev. Appl 9, 014021 (2018).
[24] Paolo Solinas, Riccardo Bosisio, and Francesco Giazotto, “Microwave quantum refrigeration based on the Josephson effect,” Phys. Rev. B 93, 224521 (2016).
[25] Patrick P. Hofer, Martí Perarnau-Llobet, Jonatan Bohr Brask, Ralph Silva, Marcus Huber, and Nicolas Brunner, “Autonomous quantum refrigeration in a circuit QED architecture based on a Josephson junction,” Phys. Rev. B 94, 235420 (2016).
[26] Francesco Vischi, Matteo Carrega, Pauli Virtanen, Elia Strambini, Alessandro Braggio, and Francesco Giazotto, “Coherent Josephson thermodynamic cycles,” arXiv:1806.01568 (2018), arXiv: 1806.01568.
[27] C. L. Hogan, “The Ferromagnetic Faraday Effect at Microwave Frequencies and its Applications,” Rev. Mod. Phys. 25, 253–262 (1953).
[28] Giovanni Viola and David P. DiVincenzo, “Hall Effect Gyrotrons and Circulators,” Phys. Rev. X 4, 021019 (2014).
[29] A. C. Mahoney, J. I. Colless, S. J. Pauka, J. M. Hornibrook, J. D. Waton, G. C. Gardner, M. J. Manfra, A. C. Doherty, and D. J. Reilly, “On-Chip Microwave Quantum Hall Circulator,” Phys. Rev. X 7, 011007 (2017).
[30] Julia S. Meyer and Manuel Houzet, “Nontrivial Chern Numbers in Three-Terminal Josephson Junctions,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 136807 (2017).
[31] Hong-Yi Xie, Maxim G. Vavilov, and Alex Levchenko, “Topological Andreev bands in three-terminal Josephson junctions,” Phys. Rev. B 96, 161406 (2017).
[32] E. Strambini, S. D’Ambrosio, F. Vischi, F. S. Bergeret, Yu V. Nazarov, and F. Giazotto, “The ω-SQUiPT as a tool to phase-engineer Josephson topological materials,” Nature Nanotech. 11, 1055–1059 (2016).
[33] C. W. J. Beenakker, “Universal limit of critical-current fluctuations in mesoscopic Josephson junctions,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3836–3839 (1991); “Erratum: “Universal limit of critical-current fluctuations in mesoscopic Josephson junctions” [Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3836 (1991)],” Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1442–1442 (1992).
[34] Lars Onsager, “Reciprocal Relations in Irreversible Processes. I.” Phys. Rev. 37, 405–426 (1931).
[35] F. Giazotto, K. Grove-Rasmussen, R. Fazio, F. Beltram, E. H. Linfield, and D. A. Ritchie, “Josephson Current in Nb/InAs/Nb Highly Transmissive Ballistic Junctions,” J. Supercond. 17, 317–321 (2004).
[36] F. Deon, V. Pellegrini, F. Giazotto, G. Biasiol, L. Sorba, and F. Beltram, “Proximity effect in a two-dimensional electron gas probed with a lateral quantum dot,” Phys. Rev. B 84, 100506 (2011).
[37] M. Amado, A. Fornieri, F. Carillo, G. Biasiol, L. Sorba, V. Pellegrini, and F. Giazotto, “Electrostatic tailoring of magnetic interference in quantum point contact ballistic Josephson junctions,” Phys. Rev. B 87, 134506 (2013).
[38] A. Fornieri, M. Amado, F. Carillo, F. Dolcini, G. Biasiol, L. Sorba, V. Pellegrini, and F. Giazotto, “A ballistic quantum ring Josephson interferometer,” Nanotechnology 24, 245201 (2013).