First decade of π-electronic ion-pairing assemblies
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Ion-pairing assemblies consisting of appropriately designed charged π-electronic species afford various functional supramolecular assemblies, including crystals and soft materials; they are formed by the anisotropic arrangement of charged π-electronic species through electrostatic and other weak noncovalent interactions. The design, synthesis and combination of charged π-electronic species (ion-pair formation) are crucial for the preparation of functional dimension-controlled assemblies. This article includes the prologue and progress of ion-pairing assemblies comprising π-electronic ions. Synthesis of π-electronic ions, preparation of ion pairs, fabrication of assemblies as crystals, gels and liquid crystals and their characteristic properties are summarized.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background on ion-pairing assemblies

Over the past several decades, π-electronic molecular assemblies have been extensively investigated for implementation in functional electronic and optical devices such as photovoltaic cells, light-emitting diodes and field-effect transistors. The assembling modes of π-electronic molecules determine their assembling states and properties. In particular, a crucial factor for controlling the properties of organic electronics is the ordered arrangement of π-electronic systems (overlap of orbitals), which enables charge-carrier transport properties. Further modifications of π-electronic systems enable the preparation of fascinating π-electronic ion pairs and functional ion-pairing assemblies.
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mobility. Thus far, various noncovalent interactions such as hydrogen-bonding, van der Waals, π–π and dipole–dipole interactions have been combined to assemble π-electronic molecules in desired arrangements. Importantly, the incorporation of electrostatic interactions into appropriately designed π-electronic species provides anisotropically ordered molecular arrangements as dimension-controlled assemblies, including low-dimensional crystals (fibres, sheets, etc.), supramolecular gels and liquid crystals. Such highly organized assemblies based on interactions between charged species have recently become known as ionic self-assemblies (ISAs). The components of ISAs are ion pairs associated through a combination of geometrically and electronically distinct charged species by noncovalent interactions. Therefore, these assemblies of ion pairs possess tuneable properties depending on the constituent cations and anions. To achieve the desired properties, it is essential to prepare appropriately designed π-electronic ion pairs with suitable geometries and electronic states that result in ordered arrangements and the formation of dimension-controlled ion-pairing assemblies with diverse modes.

1-2. Concepts

In 1828, Magnus’ green salt (Fig. 1a), a pair of planar positively and negatively charged PtII complexes, [Pt(NH3)4]2+ and [PtCl4]2−, was reported. A single-crystal X-ray structure of Magnus’ green salt reported in 1957 exhibited oppositely charged PtII complexes aligned by electrostatic interactions, forming an anisotropic quasi one-dimensional linear array of PtII ions (Fig. 1b). This fascinating ion-pairing structure of Magnus’ green salt has stimulated numerous studies regarding the use of 1D arrays as wires for electrically conductive materials. Such an alignment of oppositely charged planar species results in a highly stable structure due to the electrostatic interactions. The remarkable structure of Magnus’ green salt clearly illustrated that the geometries of constituent ions affect the packing structure and the resultant properties. Further modifications of Magnus’ green salt with organic ligands resulted in the improvement of various functions, such as solubility and processability.

Essentially, a planar geometry is suitable for components of stacking-based supramolecular assemblies. A variety of planar charged species can be rationally designed on the basis of π-electronic charged species. With regard to the key concept of ion-pairing assemblies based on π-electronic charged species, a charge-by-charge assembly (Fig. 2 left) is defined as an assembling mode comprising alternately stacked positively and negatively charged species, whereas a charge-segregated assembly (Fig. 2 right) results from the stacking of identically charged species, attained by overcoming electrostatic repulsion. In addition, partial contributions by charge-by-charge and charge-segregated assemblies provide intermediate assembling modes. A charge-by-charge mode is suitable for constructing columnar assemblies because of the attractive force between oppositely charged π-electronic species on the basis of π–π stacking interactions.

π-Electronic ion pairs can essentially be classified as follows: (i) pairs of non-π-electronic cations and π-electronic anions (section 2), (ii) pairs of π-electronic cations and non-π-electronic anions (section 3) and (iii) pairs of π-electronic cations and π-electronic anions (genuine π-electronic ion pairs) (section 4). Practically, desired ion pairs are prepared by ion metathesis: a π-electronic cation with an inorganic counteranion and a π-electronic anion with an inorganic donors.
countercation are mixed, affording a π-electronic ion pair after purification including the removal of an inorganic salt (Fig. 3a). The design and synthesis of π-electronic ions that form ion-pairing assemblies, especially in the case of π-electronic anions, are more challenging compared to those of electronically neutral molecules. Although positive charges in cations can be stabilized by delocalization within π-electronic systems, stabilization of anions is challenging due to their excess electrons, which induce oxidation and electrophilic reactions. Thus far, complexes composed of electronically neutral host anion-responsive π-electronic molecules (receptors) and guest inorganic anions can be used as pseudo π-electronic anions (Fig. 3b). In particular, anion binding by π-electronic systems induces the transformation of hard guest anions to soft anions as anion complexes, and the properties of the anion complexes can be controlled by π-electronic receptor molecules. Therefore, the synthesis of π-electronic receptors is also another important factor in the production of various ion-pairing assemblies. The combination of electronically neutral receptors, guest anions and countercations can provide diverse ion pairs. The chemistry of artificial receptors has been primarily developed for sensors, transporters, etc.,9 but there is significant potential for receptor-anion complexes to be used as components of ion-pairing dimension-controlled assemblies.

1.3. History of ion-pairing dimension-controlled assemblies

Among various π-electronic anion receptors, since 2005, we have investigated a series of dipyrrrolkyketone BF2 complexes (e.g., 1a-c, Fig. 4), which exhibit effective [1 + 1]-type anion-binding properties through the inversion of two pyrrole rings.10 The anion-binding constant of the parent 1a for Cl\(^-\) is 15 000 M\(^{-1}\) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) at r.t., suggesting the possibility of using anion complexes as building blocks for assemblies. Thus far, various modifications of dipyrrrolldiketone BF\(_2\) complexes have been investigated, and their anion complexes were used as components of various ion-pairing assemblies in the forms of crystals, supramolecular gels and liquid crystals.1b,e In solution, anion-driven helical structures of covalently linked oligomers undergo chirality induction by ion-pairing with chiral countercations, displaying circularly polarized luminescence.11 As the details of a series of anion receptors are summarized in other review articles,3 a brief history and several topics regarding ion-pairing assemblies based on receptor-anion complexes are discussed in this section.

In 2007, the solid-state structure of a receptor–anion complex 1b–Cl\(^-\) with a tetrapropylamonium cation (TPA\(^+\)) was revealed by single-crystal X-ray analysis; the ion pair TPA\(^-\)·1b–Cl\(^-\) formed charge-by-charge stacking of 1b–Cl\(^-\) and TPA\(^+\) (Fig. 5).12 [1 + 1]-Type receptor–anion complex 1b–Cl\(^-\) formed a planar geometry through hydrogen bonding of the pyrrole NH, bridged CH and phenyl·o-CH. Electrostatic interactions between 1b–Cl\(^-\) and TPA\(^+\) stabilized the columnar structure. It is noteworthy that this initial finding was crucial for further investigations of ion-pairing assemblies because introduction of planar cations instead of bulky TPA\(^+\) allows for contribution of π-π stacking interactions. Among planar π-electronic cations, the trioxatrianguleniun cation (TOTA\(^+\)) as a Cl\(^-\) salt was initially attempted for combination with 1b for the crystallization (Fig. 6a).13 In fact, the precursor of the TOTA\(^+\) ion pair was prepared as a Cl\(^-\) salt, and the final ring closure reaction was conducted with LiI, followed by anion exchange with aqueous KCl. However, Cl\(^-\) was partially exchanged with \(\Gamma\) during the ring closing reaction. Therefore, the single-crystal X-ray analysis of the obtained anion complex of 1b revealed the existence of both 1b–Cl\(^-\) and 1b–\(\Gamma\), in the disordered state, with countercation TOTA\(^+\), forming a charge-by-charge stacking columnar structure comprising alternately stacked 1b–X\(^-\) (X\(^-\) = Cl\(^-\) and \(\Gamma\)) and TOTA\(^+\) with distances of 3.38 and 3.50 Å (Fig. 6b(i)). This observation indicated that anion exchange from softer \(\Gamma\) to harder Cl\(^-\) based on the soft countercation (TOTA\(^+\)) is not straightforward, as it follows the hard and soft acid and base
HSAB theory. Therefore, π-electronic cations that do not require any reagents including anions except for Cl⁻ were necessary.

Following a literature survey, the π-electronic triazatriangulenium (TATA⁺) cation, whose structure is similar to TOTA⁺, was selected as an alternative (Fig. 6a).¹⁴ The synthetic process of TATA⁺ allows for much easier control of counteranion purity. Cl⁻ in the intermediate species is not excluded because the final ring closure reaction does not require reagents that induce anion exchange. The combination of 1b and TATA⁺ afforded the ion pair TATA⁺-1b-Cl⁻, forming a charge-by-charge assembly, similar to TOTA⁺-1b-X⁻ (X = Cl⁻ and I⁻), with a shorter distance of 6.85 Å between the 1b-Cl⁻ units than the ion pair with TPA⁺ (7.29 Å) (Fig. 6b(ii)).¹⁵ Crystallization with planar TATA⁺ also allowed for the possibility of controlling the geometries (stoichiometries) and packing structures of receptor-anion complexes, as seen in the Cl⁻ complexes of 1a: a Cl⁻-bridged 1D structure was formed in the TBA⁺ ion pair,¹⁶ whereas a [2 + 1]-type planar receptor–Cl⁻ complex was constructed in the TATA⁺ ion pair.¹⁶ Importantly, the peripheral receptor substituents are readily introduced to form dimension-controlled assemblies based on the ordered arrangement of constituent ionic species.

Aliphatic π-electronic receptor 1c formed a supramolecular gel from hydrocarbon solvents such as octane (10 mg mL⁻¹).¹³ Interestingly, the addition of Cl⁻ as a solid TBA⁺ salt to the gel of 1c resulted in a gradual transition to the solution state, which was attributed to the formation of a soluble ion pair consisting of 1c-Cl⁻ and aliphatic TBA⁺. In sharp contrast to gel decomposition by the addition of the bulky TBA salt, the addition of the π-electronic cation salt TATA-Cl afforded dimension-controlled assemblies based on charge-by-charge stacking structures.¹⁵ The ion pair TATA⁺-1c-Cl⁻ afforded an opaque octane gel with a gel-to-solution transition temperature of 35 °C (Fig. 7a). Furthermore, X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis, polarized optical microscopy (POM) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of the ion pair TATA⁺-1c-Cl⁻ as the mesophase revealed the formation of a hexagonal columnar (Colh) structure based on charge-by-charge assembly. These significant findings regarding the formation of ion-pairing dimension-controlled assemblies afforded valuable insights into the design of π-electronic ions and their combinations.

An advantage of forming dimension-control assemblies from π-electronic receptors, bound anions and coexisting cations is the variety of possible combinations, which in turn allows for tuning of the functionality of the materials. In the solution state, the receptor molecules bind anions through hydrogen bonding in equilibrium. Thus, it is important to investigate solid-state binding modes by single-crystal X-ray analysis. Since the first report of ion-pairing dimension-controlled assemblies in 2010,¹⁵ various ion pairs based on receptor-anion complexes have been prepared. Modified anions with π-electronic receptors provide tuneable π-electronic anionic species as the building components of ion-pairing assemblies.¹⁷ Control of the geometries and electronic states of counterion species for π-electronic anions (receptor–anion complexes) is also essential for achieving functional ion-pairing assemblies.¹⁸ Based on the modifications of diverse cationic species, the combination of Cl⁻ complexes of dipyrrolyldiketone BF₂ complexes and the positively charged π-ligand–metal complex trpyPt⁺ afforded fascinating ion-pairing assemblies (Fig. 7b).¹⁹ The charge-segregated assembly of trpyPt⁺-1c-Cl⁻ exhibited hole and electron mobilities of 0.7 and 0.6 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively, suggesting that ion-pairing dimension-controlled assemblies have characteristic properties such as electric conductivity. Furthermore, the complexation of 1b with 3,4,5-trihexadecyloxyphenyl-substituted benzoate 2⁻ as a TBA⁺ salt formed lamellar mesophases derived from charge-by-charge assemblies (Fig. 7c). Twenty The ion-pairing material showed a moderately efficient charge-carrier transport ability due to
the highly organized assembling state, as demonstrated in the value of 0.05 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ for TBA⁻–1b·2⁻.

Focusing on the components of ion-pairing assemblies, the introduction of a negatively charged site in anion receptors constructs self-assembled dimers with counterions.²¹ Carbonylate-appended derivatives of dipyrrolyldiketone BF₂ complexes exhibited narcissistic self-sorting dimerization behaviours.²¹α Such effective self-associating dimeric structures can also be formed by the introduction of a cationic site onto anion-appended anion receptors. In fact, zwitterionic π-electronic systems 3a–c formed self-associating dimeric structures in the solution state [Fig. 8].²¹b Such self-assembled dimerized forms are stabilized by hydrogen bonding between the anionic moieties and interaction sites as well as by charge delocalization.

These examples demonstrate the possibility of diverse combinations of anion-responsive π-electronic molecules,²² modified anions and coexisting cations, which produce highly organized dimension-controlled ion-pairing assemblies. The introduction of functional units to the component(s) results in tuneable functionalities. On the basis of the concepts of ion-pairing dimension-controlled ion-pairing assemblies established by the above-mentioned examples, a wide range of ion-pairing materials have been prepared using π-electronic ions for potential applications such as ferroelectricity and electric conductivity.

2. Ion-pairing assemblies based on π-electronic anions with non-π-electronic cations

2-1. Ion-pairing assemblies of deprotonated species

Ion pairs consisting of receptor–ion complexes and counterions are remarkable as they lend themselves to precise tuning of their properties by modification of the building components as electronically neutral π-electronic receptors, guest ions and counterions. Because π-electronic receptors and ions are noncovalently bonded, receptor–ion complexes can possibly dissociate. Therefore, the fabrication of stable non-complexing ion pairs with ideal geometries and electronic states is desired for the formation of various assembling structures that exhibit tuneable properties and robustness.

The deprotonation of an acid unit introduced into an appropriate π-electronic molecule affords anion-appended π-electronic species. In fact, this strategy has been widely applied for the formation of ionic liquid crystal materials.³ Five sulfonates,²¹ carboxylates²³ and hydroxides²⁵ are often used as anionic components, whose counterions are exchangeable by ion metathesis. For example, BODIPY-sulfonate-ammonium ion pairs²³ exhibited Col₃ mesophases. Notably, the important point is that the combination of appropriate anions and cations can provide characteristic properties. The precisely designed BODIPY-sulfonate–ammonium ion pair 4 (Fig. 9) showed an efficient interionic energy transfer from the BODIPY sulfonate dye to the ammonium-appended BODIPY dye both in solution and in the Col₃ mesophases.²¹b

Introduction of stimuli-responsive units to ionic components can produce stimuliresponsive ion-pairing assemblies (Fig. 10).²⁶ For example, azobenzene carboxylates bearing aliphatic chains with TBA⁺, TBA⁻–5, exhibited photo-induced crystal–crystal mesophase transitions via trans–cis isomerization (Fig. 10a).²⁶α On the other hand, trans–cis isomerization of anion-complex ion pair TBA⁻–1a·5 was inhibited by energy transfer from the azobenzene unit to the receptor–anion complex as well as by the close packing around the azobenzene unit that reduced the free volume, providing a photo-stable assembly.²⁶b Meanwhile, the ion pair of an azobenzene anion bearing alkyl chains on both sides, TBA⁻–6, exhibited comparably improved photo-responsive properties in the anion-binding form TBA⁻–1a·6 in the bulk state (Fig. 10b and c).²⁶c Interestingly, TBA⁻–1c·6 afforded a photo-responsive supramolecular octane gel, suggesting that these fascinating properties were realized by an appropriate combination of ion pairs.

2-2. Deprotonation of dipyrrolyl π-systems: π-electronic anions stabilized by intramolecular hydrogen bonding

The design of the anion shapes is essential in order to achieve an anisotropic alignment of π-electronic ions. Maintaining the stabilities of deprotonated species is likewise an important factor. A strategy for stabilizing deprotonated anions is the use of intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the anionic components and proximally located hydrogen-bonding donors. Partial delocalization of negative charges also enhances the stability of π-electronic anions.

![Fig. 8 Zwitterionic π-electronic systems 3a–c.](image)

![Fig. 9 BODIPY-sulfonate–ammonium ion pair 4.](image)
On the basis of the above strategies, dipyrrolylnitrophenols 7a–c were designed as precursors of π-electronic anions (Fig. 11a).27 Their deprotonation by tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH) delivered π-electronic anions 7a–c as TBA+ ion pairs via the inversion of pyrrole rings and intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the pyrrole NH and the anionic phenoxide oxygen. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations also revealed the delocalization of negative charges into the core phenoxide units with the electron-withdrawing nitro group. Various countercations can be introduced to form π-electronic ion pairs, which give rise to charge-by-charge assemblies in the crystal state.27a The single-crystal X-ray analysis of deprotonated species of π-extended TBA+–7b− revealed the formation of charge-by-charge assemblies with a superior anisotropic columnar orientation due to more efficient stacking (Fig. 11b). Meanwhile, deprotonated species bearing aliphatic chains 7c–TBA+ formed a liquid crystal mesophase of Colh structure, as observed in the POM image (Fig. 11c).27b The deprotonation of a pyrrole NH of appropriately designed pyrrole-based π-electronic systems can provide corresponding anionic species that are accompanied by countercations.28,29 For example, deprotonation of a single NH of dipyrrolylquinoxalines (DPQs) 8a,b by TBAOH resulted in the formation of ion pairs of anionic DPQ− and TBA+ (Fig. 12a).29 TBA+–8a− exhibited a solid-state charge-by-charge columnar assembly (Fig. 12b), whereas the ion pair of aliphatic TBA+–8b− formed an octane gel (10 mg mL−1) based on fibril morphologies (Fig. 12c).

**2-3. Deprotonation of hydroxy-substituted porphyrins for π-electronic anions**

Incorporation of π-electronic anions with an extensive π-conjugated system is meaningful for electronic materials. Larger π-electronic molecules with an acid unit can provide stable anionic species owing to more effective delocalization of negative charges within the core π-electronic unit.30 The deprotonation of meso-hydroxy-substituted porphyrin 9 by treatment with TBAOH provided the anionic species 9− (Fig. 13) as indicated by UV/vis absorption and 1H NMR spectral changes.30b The single-crystal X-ray analysis of TBA+–9− revealed the packing structure consisting of the alternately stacked column of 9− and TBA+. Interestingly, the PdII complex 9′ coordinated at meso-oxygen and β-carbon was obtained from 9 by treatment with Pd(OAc)2, rac-BINAP and Cs2CO3 (Fig. 14a).30b The coupling reaction of 9′ with PhMgBr afforded β-phenyl-substituted 10a. Subsequently, according to similar procedures, 10a was converted to β-diphenyl-
Fig. 13 meso-Hydroxy-substituted porphyrin 9 and formation of deprotonated species 9⁻ obtained as a TBA⁺ ion pair.

Fig. 14 (a) Synthesis of β-phenyl-substituted porphyrins 10a,b and (b) (i) deprotonated species 10b⁻ obtained as a TBA⁺ ion pair and (ii) single-crystal X-ray structure of TBA⁺⁻10b (cyan: TBA⁺, magenta: 10b⁻).

Fig. 15 (a) PMCp⁻ (left) and PCCp⁻ (right), (b) single-crystal X-ray structures of (i) C₁₂H₁₇(CH₃)₂N⁺⁻PCCp⁻ and (ii) TPA⁺⁻PCCp⁻ (cyan: cation, magenta: PCCp⁻) and (c) POM and packing model of (C₁₂H₁₇)₂CH₃N⁺⁻PCCp⁻ at 70 °C upon cooling.
smectic B (SmB) and Col phases, respectively, in their mesophases. The characteristic diffraction peaks at ~0.60 and ~0.36 nm observed in the XRD corresponded to the repeating distances of the ammonium cations and PCCp⁻, respectively (Fig. 15c). These observations strongly suggested the formation of charge-segregated assemblies in the mesophases. Furthermore, the stacking columnar assembly of ((C₁₂H₂₅)₃CH₃N⁺-PCCP⁻) showed hole-transporting properties (0.4 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹) in the film prepared by drop-casting of a CHCl₃ solution, as revealed by the FI-TRMC method.

3. Ion-pairing assemblies based on π-electronic cations with non-π-electronic anions

3-1. π-Electronic cations for ion-pairing assemblies

Due to the stability of π-electronic cations compared to π-electronic anions, a myriad of methods exist for the preparation of cationic systems. The fascinating electronic and optical properties of dyes and fluorescence materials based on intriguing geometrical features of π-electronic cations have motivated broad research fields. Here, π-electronic cations are classified as genuine positively charged π-conjugated systems and cationic metal complexes of π-electronic ligands which do not compensate the whole positive charge of the complexed metal cations. It is imperative to design the geometries of π-electronic cations such that they enable the delocalization of electrons and the induction of π-π stacking with identically or oppositely charged π-electronic ions. To date, several types of π-electronic cationic species are in use as building units of ion-pairing assemblies.

3-2. Genuine π-electronic cations

Stable genuine π-electronic cations have been reported involving fascinating synthetic routes. One of the original carbocations is the cycloheptatrienyl (Ch⁺; tropylium) cation, involving fascinating synthetic routes. One of the original π-charged carbocations is the cycloheptatrienyl (Ch⁺; tropylium) cation, involving fascinating synthetic routes.
3-4. Porphyrin–AuIII complexes as planar π-electronic cations

Regarding assemblies based on stacking of π-electronic units, an extended rigid planarity is crucial. An effective way to achieve this is to use the metal complexes of large π-conjugated systems. Porphyrin is a dianionic tetradoentate π-electronic ligand, which forms complexes with various metal cations. Its complexation with trivalent metal cations afforded positively charged complexes, which resulted in the formation of planar cationic species. An important strategy is the use of AuIII, which provides planar porphyrin–AuIII complexes (Fig. 17c) as stable π-electronic cations that require no axial ligand, resulting in the formation of ion pairs with various anions. The counteranions of porphyrin–AuIII complexes can be exchanged by ion metathesis with metal salts of target anions.

The electron deficiency of porphyrin–AuIII complexes as cations has been useful in many research fields. The preparation of a porphyrin–AuIII complex was first reported in 1969.46 Since then, porphyrin–AuIII complexes have been used as anticancer agents,47 electron-accepting units in donor–acceptor systems48 and also precursors for porphyrin–AuIII complexes.49 Although there are a few number of studies on single-crystal structures and irregularly shaped nanoscale aggregates of porphyrin–AuIII ion pairs,50 their dimension-controlled assemblies as soft materials have not been reported. Assemblies of electronically neutral porphyrins have been investigated extensively, whereas dimension-controlled assemblies of charged porphyrin–AuIII ion pairs have not been developed. Through peripheral modifications of porphyrins, the complexation with AuIII can afford a variety of π-electronic ion pairs. Therefore, there are significant advantages in using porphyrin–AuIII complexes as components of stacking assemblies especially in the case of ion pairs with π-electronic counteranions because of the potential formation of assemblies based on collaborative π–π stacking and electrostatic interactions.

Based on the aforementioned background, we prepared porphyrin–AuIII complex ion pairs with appropriate peripheral substituents to control the electronic states as well as the bulk-state ion-pairing assemblies.51 In 1977, Tulinsky et al. disclosed the crystal structure of a tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP) AuIII complex as a Cl− ion pair 11+−Cl− (Fig. 18a).52 In their initial report, the X-ray structure of the Cl− ion pair was represented by a line depicting the coordination of Cl− to the porphyrin–AuIII centre. In order to prove the nature of the Cl− ion pair, we also prepared 11+−Cl− in order to examine whether Cl− acts as an anion coordinated to AuIII or as a proximally located anion without coordination bonding. The single-crystal X-ray analysis of 11+−Cl− elucidated the formation of two crystal pseudo-polymorphs (type A and B). The type A polymorph showed a partially charge-segregated assembly with a single co-crystallized CHCl₃ molecule (Fig. 18b). On the other hand, the type B polymorph exhibited a columnar structure based on a charge-by-charge assembly of 11+ and Cl− associated with four co-crystallized CHCl₃ molecules. It is noteworthy that the proximal Au−···Cl− distances in the type A and B polymorphs are 3.00 and 3.12 Å, respectively, being comparable to the sum of the ionic radii of AuIII and Cl− (3.18 Å), suggesting the formation of contact ion pairs. Importantly, the line passing through both Au and Cl has angles of 76.9° and 80.2° to the porphyrin plane for type A and B structures, respectively. It should be noted that these angles are not 90°, indicating that the Cl− is not coordinated to the core AuIII but is located proximally around the porphyrin–AuIII complex, as a result of electrostatic interaction.51

The counteranion of porphyrin–AuIII can be exchanged by ion metathesis with the metal salts of target anions. In some cases, starting from the Cl− ion pair, silver (Ag+) salts of the anions were used for anion exchange by removing AgCl as an insoluble salt. Ion metathesis also provided 11+−X− (X = BF₄− and PF₆−) (Fig. 18a). In contrast to 11+−Cl−, ion pairs with bulky anions, 11+−BF₄− and 11+−PF₆−, formed columnar structures of charge-segregated assemblies with separately stacked 11+, with distances of 3.7−3.9 Å (Fig. 18c).51

3-5. Effect of peripheral modifications for electron-deficient π-electronic cations

An advantage for using porphyrins as scaffolds is their potential for modulation of electronic states by the introduction of peripheral electron-donating and withdrawing substituents. The pentafluorophenyl (C₆F₅) unit is considered an electron-withdrawing group in comparison to phenyl units and is often used to stabilize porphyrin core
3.6. Aliphatic porphyrin–AuIII complexes for dimension-controlled assemblies

Introduction of aliphatic long alkyl chains into an appropriate π-electronic unit affords soft materials comprising the stacking core π-units supported by van der Waals interactions of alkyl chains. This can be applied to electronically neutral porphyrin systems; meso and pyrrole-β positions can be modified. The core modification with AuIII complexation affords cationic species, which can exist as an ordered arrangement of core units resulting from electrostatic interactions.

As modified derivatives, meso-trialkoxyphenyl-substituted porphyrins as free bases were reported as liquid states, indicating a less ordered molecular arrangement. The AuIII complexes of alkoxy-substituted porphyrins \( \text{13}^{\pi-}\text{X} (n = 8, 12, 16, 20) \) and \( \text{13}^{\pi-}\text{PF}_6 \) ion pairs (Fig. 20a). DSC and POM revealed mesoscale information, for \( \text{13}^{\pi-}\text{Cl} (n = 12, 16, 20), \text{13}^{\pi-}\text{BF}_4 (n = 16) \) and \( \text{13}^{\pi-}\text{PF}_6 (n = 12) \) that formed mesophases, in contrast to other ion pairs showing liquid or crystal states. Synchrotron XRD analysis revealed characteristic anion-dependent ordered assemblies. Interestingly, \( \text{13}^{\pi-}\text{Cl} (n = 12, 16, 20) \) had Colh structures in the mesophases; for example, \( \text{13}^{16-}\text{Cl} \) showed a Colh structure at 100 °C (cooling) with \( a = 3.73, c = 0.36 \text{ nm} \) and \( Z \text{1316-Cl} \) with \( Z \text{1316-Cl} = 8 \text{, 16} \text{, and 20} \).

π-electronic systems. AuIII complexation of meso-C\(_6\)F\(_5\)-substituted porphyrins has been successfully achieved under reaction conditions that utilize HAuCl\(_4\) and AgOTf, as reported by Zhang and Zhang.\(^{53}\) Recently, we prepared ion pairs of porphyrin–AuIII complexes \( \text{12a}^{\pi-}\text{c}^+ \) and \( \text{12c}^{\pi-}\text{c}^+ \), which were partially or totally substituted with C\(_6\)F\(_5\) groups at the meso positions (Fig. 19a), in order to control the electronic states and resulting ion-pairing assemblies.\(^{54}\)

The electron-deficient character of C\(_6\)F\(_5\)-substituted porphyrin–AuIII complexes was confirmed by electrostatic potential (ESP) diagrams, suggesting that the electron deficiency of the porphyrin core increases with the introduction of C\(_6\)F\(_5\) units (Fig. 19b). The single-crystal X-ray analysis of the ion pairs revealed valuable insight into the ion-pairing assemblies with substituent dependencies. For example, tetra-C\(_6\)F\(_5\)-substituted \( \text{12c}^{\pi-}\text{c}^+ \) formed a columnar structure based on a charge-by-charge assembly for \( \text{12c}^- \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \) associated with four co-crystallized CHCl\(_3\) molecules with a distance of 6.57 Å between two \( \text{12c}^+ \) planes (Fig. 19c(i)). On the other hand, the ion pair with a bulky anion, \( \text{12c}^-\text{BF}_4^- \), formed a columnar structure based on a charge-segregated assembly (Fig. 19c(iii)), which was stabilized by hydrogen-bonding and F-π\(^{55}\) interactions. On the other hand, in the case of \( \text{12b}^-\text{PF}_6^- \), stacking dimers of \( \text{12c}^- \) were formed in the assembly in order to cancel their dipole moments. This type of electron-withdrawing character of peripheral substituents is crucial for tuning interionic interactions.\(^{54}\)
investigated using – For example, various donor features and characteristic electronic and optical properties. can possess fascinating properties due to their geometrical arrangement of ion pairs. Consequently, bulky counteranions hinder the ordered arrangement of ion pairs.

4. Ion-pairing assemblies based on π-electronic cations and π-electronic anions (genuine π-electronic ion pairs)

4.1. π-Electronic ion pairs comprising genuine π-electronic ions

Ion pairs comprising π-electronic ions (cations and anions) can possess fascinating properties due to their geometrical features and characteristic electronic and optical properties. For example, various donor-acceptor systems have been investigated using π-electronic ion pairs consisting of PMCpπ, as seen in the solution state as well as in the solid state.33c Ion pairs comprising PMCpπ and π-electronic cations, such as Chπ, 2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium and N'-methylpyridinium, give rise to charge-transfer complexes.33a

PCCpπ is also an effective building unit for ion-pairing assemblies in combination with various cations for the formation of a variety of ordered ion-pairing assemblies.34,35 We prepared a series of ion pairs of PCCpπ (see also section 2) (Fig. 21a) via an ion-exchange method starting from Na+–PCCpπ. For example, the single-crystal X-ray analysis of Ch+–PCCpπ (Fig. 21a(ii)) revealed a charge-by-charge stacking assembly mode, fabricated through π–π and electrostatic interactions, with a distance of ca. 3.4 Å (Fig. 21b(i)). We additionally reported on solid-state assembled structures of PCCpπ ion pairs with TATA+ (Fig. 21a(iii)) and crystal violet cations.16 In the solid state, π-electronic cations and anions in TATA+–PCCpπ alternately stack, providing a two-by-two charge-by-charge assembly (Fig. 21b(ii)). The charge-by-charge columnar structure is stabilized by electrostatic interactions as well as the stacking of π-electronic ions.

4-2. π-Electronic ion pairs comprising porphyrin–AuIII complexes

Ion metathesis using appropriate conditions (solvents, temperatures, counterions, etc.) affords a range of ion pairs. It is important to purify ion pairs prior to their use in materials for further applications. Purification processes, such as silica gel chromatography, ion-exchange chromatography and precipitation (crystallization), are indispensable for obtaining pure and stoichiometrically appropriate ion pairs. In particular, solvent conditions, the choice of which depends on the solubilities of the starting ion pairs and formed π-electronic ion pairs, are essential for purification. By employing ion metathesis, we have prepared various π-electronic ion pairs.

Treating a Cl− salt of 11+ with Na+–PCCpπ afforded exchanged ion pairs 11+–PCCpπ and NaCl with small amounts

Fig. 21 π-Electronic ion pairs (a) (i) Ch+–PCCpπ and (ii) TATA+–PCCpπ and (b) representative packing modes (represented by space-filling models) of the single-crystal X-ray structures: (i) Ch+–PCCpπ and (ii) TATA+–PCCpπ (cyan: cation, magenta: PCCpπ).

Fig. 22 π-Electronic ion pairs (a)(i) 11+–PCCpπ and (ii) 12a–c–PCCpπ and (b) representative packing modes (represented by space-filling models) of the single-crystal X-ray structures: (i) 11+–PCCpπ and (ii) 12c–PCCpπ (cyan: cation, magenta: PCCpπ).
of unexchanged starting ion pairs (Fig. 22a). These starting materials tend to remain and need to be carefully removed. In this case, silica gel column chromatography was used to purify the target ion pairs. Interestingly, ion pairs possessing small (hard) counteranions of 11⁺ are more polar in character as evidenced by smaller Rf values on TLC. 11⁻–PCCP⁺ formed a solid-state charge-by-charge assembly (Fig. 22a) in contrast to 11⁻–BF₄⁻ and 11⁻–PF₆⁻, exhibiting charge-segregated assemblies (Fig. 22b(i)). A columnar structure comprising alternately stacked 11⁺ and PCCP⁻ was observed with stacking distances of 3.33 Å and 3.40 Å between the porphyrin core plane and PCCP⁻. The charge-by-charge column is stabilized by π–π stacking and electrostatic interactions of oppositely charged species.⁵¹,⁵⁷

As shown in section 3, porphyrin–AuIII complexes with partially or totally substituted electron-withdrawing groups exhibited tuneable electronic states at the core π-electronic units. Combination with appropriate π-electronic anions promotes electron-transfer behaviour and the development of further materials. Therefore, we extended our research to the combination of a variety of ion pairs comprising modified porphyrin–AuIII complexes and π-electronic anions. For example, π-electronic ion pair 12c⁻–PCCP⁻ (Fig. 22a(ii)) underwent the formation of a charge-by-charge assembly of 12c⁻ and PCCP⁻ (1:2) along with a columnar assembly of 12c⁺. The PCCP⁻ dimers with stacking distances of 3.28 and 3.31 Å were stabilized by hydrogen-bonding and π–π interactions (Fig. 22b(ii)).⁵⁴

4-3. π-Electronic ion pair comprising positively and negatively charged porphyrin–metal complexes

Counteranions of π-electronic porphyrin–AuIII cations can be exchanged by the introduction of deprotonated species of extended π-electronic units with an appropriate acid moiety, such as hydroxy and carboxy units (section 2). Deprotonation of NiII porphyrin 9 by NaOH affords Na⁺–9⁻. π-Electronic ion pair 11⁺–9⁻ (Fig. 23a) can be prepared from the ion metathesis of 11⁻–Cl⁻ and Na⁺–9⁻ (Fig. 13). The ion-pairing formation of 11⁺ and 9⁻ was revealed by the ¹H NMR signal shifts, based on the effects of (i) the aromatic ring current and (ii) proximally located charges. Consequently, the ¹H NMR signals of 11⁺–9⁻ (1.0 × 10⁻³ M) were shifted upfield compared to those of 11⁻–Cl⁻ and TBA⁺–9⁻. This observation suggested the influence of the aromatic ring current derived from the interaction between these cations and anions in the solution state.

The single-crystal X-ray analysis of 11⁺–9⁻ revealed a charge-by-charge columnar assembly with (C–)O⋯Au distances of 3.03 and 3.55 Å and the dihedral angles between the mean planes of 11⁺ and 9⁻ were 14.0° and 14.6° (Fig. 23b), suggesting closely located oppositely charged ions. Interestingly, the metal ions, AuIII and NiII, were arranged in a zigzag fashion with Au···Ni distances of 5.88 and 6.44 Å. The distances for identical metal ions AuIII and NiII in the columnar direction were 6.02/6.98 and 12.84 Å, respectively. The heterometals were arranged through the formation of ion-pairing assemblies comprising appropriately designed positively and negatively charged porphyrin–metal complexes.⁵¹ This observation demonstrates an advantage of ion pairs, which can consist of more than two types of ionic species.

4-4. Dimension-controlled assemblies based on π-electronic ion pairs

As seen in the crystal structure of 11⁺–PCCP⁻, which formed a charge-by-charge columnar assembly, the alternate stacking

Fig. 23 (a) π-Electronic ion pair 11⁺–9⁻ and (b) single-crystal X-ray structure of 11⁺–9⁻ (cyan: 11⁺, magenta: 9⁻).

Fig. 24 (a) π-Electronic ion pair 13⁺–9⁻ (n = 8, 12, 16 and 20), (b) octane gel (30 mg mL⁻¹) of 13⁺–PCCP⁻: (i) photograph of the gel, (ii) OM and (iii) AFM images and (c) mesophase properties of 13⁺–PCCP⁻: (i) POM image and (ii) XRD pattern and a proposed assembled model at 280 °C upon cooling from Iso.
of oppositely charged $\pi$-electronic ions was critical for constructing stable materials. $\pi$-Electronic cations and anions can afford fascinating ion-pairing dimension-controlled assemblies. We disclosed the first examples of dimension-controlled assemblies comprising $\pi$-electronic ion pairs.$^{31}$ $\pi$-Electronic ion pairs $13^{n^\circledR–}PCP^{-}$ ($n = 8, 12, 16$ and $20$) (Fig. 24a) were prepared from aliphatic porphyrin-Au$^{III}$ complex ion pairs $13^{n^\circledR–}Cl^{-}$ ($n = 8, 12, 16$ and $20$) and Na$^{+}$–PCP$^{-}$ in CH$_3$CN/CH$_2$Cl$_2$, followed by purification with silica gel chromatography. The ion-pairing dimension-controlled assembly of $13^{16^\circledR–}PCP^{-}$ fabricated a supramolecular octane gel (10 mg mL$^{-1}$) (Fig. 24b(i)), as a result of the entanglement of fibrous morphologies with diameters of 1–3 $\mu$m and lengths of >100 $\mu$m (Fig. 24b(ii) and iii)). Other anion combinations did not afford such a fibrous morphology, and thus, it can be concluded that charge-by-charge assembly of $\pi$-electronic ions is crucial for stabilizing the columnar assembly. DSC and POM revealed a remarkably wide-range mesophase temperature region (36 to 292 °C for the cooling process of $13^{16^\circledR–}PCP^{-}$) with dendritic textures (Fig. 24c(i)). The synchrotron XRD analysis of the ion pairs revealed well-defined Co$_h$ structures with perfect charge-by-charge assemblies in mesophases. For example, in the mesophase at 280 °C (cooling), $13^{16^\circledR–}PCP^{-}$ exhibited a Co$_h$ structure with $a = 3.46$, $c = 0.71$ nm and $Z = 1$ ($\rho = 0.88$) (Fig. 24c(ii)). The diffraction peak at 0.71 nm is nearly twice that of a $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking distance, indicating the distance between identical $\pi$-electronic charged species arising from the formation of a charge-by-charge columnar assembly, as observed in the crystal structure of $11^{–}PCP$ (Fig. 22b(ii)). The geometry of $\pi$-electronic PCP$^{-}$ fits well with the core planes of porphyrin-Au$^{III}$ complexes and thus enables the formation of highly anisotropic charge-by-charge stacking assemblies. Such assembling behaviour was confirmed in the XRD patterns of sheared mesophase samples.

**Conclusions**

Inspired by the structures of ion pairs comprising receptor-anion complexes and countercations, the chemistry of ion-pairing assemblies that contain genuine $\pi$-electronic ions was explored. Ordered arrangements of $\pi$-electronic species were achieved by designing and synthesizing charged $\pi$-electronic species as the building blocks. Stacking alignments of $\pi$-electronic ions, charge-by-charge and charge-segregated assemblies, were constructed by the incorporation of $\pi$-electronic ions with suitable geometries and electronic states. Importantly, combinations of $\pi$-electronic cations and anions are crucial for adjusting the assembling modes as well as resultant bulk-state properties. Ion-pairing dimension-controlled assemblies based on $\pi$-electronic ions exhibit versatile electronic properties, such as charge-carrier transporting properties. Further modifications of $\pi$-electronic systems enable the preparation of fascinating $\pi$-electronic ion pairs and their associated functional ion-pairing assemblies. As seen in inorganic materials, the ion-pairing strategies demonstrated in this article provide versatile approaches for the fabrication of functional materials based on $\pi$-electronic systems.
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