Cardiac arrest due to accidental overdose with norepinephrine dissolved in crystalloid
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SUMMARY
Vasoactive agents should be administered through a controlled well-marked infuser pump, ideally via a central venous catheter if given over longer periods of time. During transfer of haemodynamically unstable patients with limited staffing and resources on site, a peripheral vasopressor infusion is sometimes resorted to as a temporary measure of optimising haemodynamic parameters. We report a case of accidental norepinephrine overdose after such practice, resulting in cardiac arrest. It illustrates the importance of careful use and labelling of vasoactive agents during the transport and handover of critically ill patients. Finally, we explore human factor issues associated with transfer from the pre-hospital to the in-hospital environment when such preparations are used.

BACKGROUND
Vasoactive agents should be administered through a controlled well-marked infuser pump, ideally via a central venous catheter if given over longer periods of time. During transport of haemodynamically unstable patients with limited staffing and resources on site, a peripheral vasopressor infusion is sometimes resorted to as a temporary measure of optimising haemodynamic parameters.1 The most commonly used vasopressor in such circumstances is diluted epinephrine, where 1 mg of epinephrine (1:1000) is mixed into a given amount of crystalloid, producing a diluted solution that can be easily titrated.2 Other vasoactive substances can be constituted in a similar fashion or as push-dose vasopressors, but such use is highly controversial. We report a case of accidental norepinephrine overdose after such practice, resulting in cardiac arrest. It illustrates the importance of careful use and labelling of vasoactive agents during the transport and handover of critically ill patients. Finally, it demonstrates the human factor issues associated with transfer from the pre-hospital to the in-hospital environment when such preparations are used.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 56-year-old man was transported to a tertiary healthcare facility by aeromedical services after a suicide attempt by co-ingestion of an unknown quantity of tramadol, oxycodone and oral morphine. Due to a decreased respiratory rate and airway compromise, a modified rapid sequence induction with propofol and rocuronium was performed on scene and the patient was endotracheally intubated without complications prior to transport. During fixed-wing transport the patient experienced hypotension refractory to a fluid bolus of 500 mL Ringer’s lactate. Norepinephrine 5 mg was injected into the remaining 500 mL of crystalloid in the bag and titrated to effect via a roller flow control clamp. The preparation was marked as ‘+NOR 5 mg/500 mL’ with a ballpoint pen onto the transparent bag without a dedicated label (see figure 1).

On arrival at the receiving emergency department (ED), the patient had stable vital signs, was mechanically ventilated and did not require additional sedation. A standard situation, background, assessment and recommendation handover took place between the aeromedical transfer team, the ED team and the intensive care unit (ICU) registrar, with mention of the diluted vasopressor. Cessation of the pre-hospital norepinephrine infusion was requested verbally by the ICU registrar and stopped by a member of the nursing staff. A new infuser preparation of norepinephrine was prepared before hospital policy and started by peripheral in the antecubital fossa at a rate of 0.02 μg/kg/min via syringe driver in light of the anticipated need for a low dose of norepinephrine to match the solution in the bag of crystalloid. A mean arterial pressure of 65 was targeted clinically. An arterial cannula for invasive blood pressure (IBP) monitoring was inserted at that point. Within minutes the patient became markedly hypertensive with an IBP reading of 230/110 mm Hg and heart rate of 110/min. The new norepinephrine infusion via syringe driver was stopped immediately, thought to have caused the spike. The patient remained hypertensive during transport to the ICU. A blanching macular rash developed on the front torso, extending up to the right aspect of the neck and head. Rapidly, the patient developed sinus tachycardia at a rate of 150/min. Glyceryl trinitrate was administered intravenously in quick successive boluses of 0.5 mg up to 2 mg with no response. The patient proceeded to develop frequent premature ventricular complexes (PVC) on the 5-lead ECG monitor, with the IBP reading rising to 315/190 mm Hg at its highest. At that point the pre-hospital norepinephrine infusion was recognised by a member of the team to be running fully open and immediately stopped and disconnected. The patient experienced further PVCs and approximately 30 s after cessation of the infusion, the monitor displayed ventricular fibrillation and a flat line on the IBP trace. Cardiac arrest was confirmed, compressions initiated and performed until the defibrillator was available, and return of spontaneous circulation was achieved after a single shock with 200 J.
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After cessation of the pre-hospital norepinephrine infusion, the patient stabilised within 10 min and did not require vasopressor support nor sedation. He received approximately 3 mg of norepinephrine from arrival to the ED over a span of about 20 min and 4 mg in total, equivalent to a dose of approximately 4 µg.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹. Post-arrest, the patient had marked mydriasis bilaterally with no discernible response to light initially. ECG demonstrated sinus tachycardia with no overt signs of ischaemia. High-sensitivity serial troponin measurements were within normal limits. On stabilisation a non-contrast CT scan of the head was performed to exclude intracranial haemorrhage, which was normal. The patient was extubated later that day and discharged from the ICU on day 2 without neurological or cardiac sequelae for further mental health evaluation.

A hot debrief was performed with the team involved in the patient’s care immediately after resuscitation. There was no clear conclusion as to a single cause of the incident, but several factors were highlighted as contributory: a poorly labelled drug dilution, accidental re-initiation of norepinephrine as a bolus by a staff member unaware of the dilution, failure to remove the bag instead of just stopping the infusion and a relatively late recognition of ongoing bolus infusion. The adverse incident was reported and a root cause analysis was performed at a later stage, with all parties from the pre-hospital and in-hospital setting debriefed and action plans implemented to improve future care.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
The patient had a short hospital stay during this admission and was discharged without long-term sequelae.

DISCUSSION
Drug labelling
Drug labelling is a safety measure where non-compliance continues to be implicated in a myriad of cases of drug errors.¹⁻⁴ It is especially important to have clear labels when a handover of work is expected and as such adherence to good practice standards is the safest approach.³ Most international guidelines on product labelling state that bags should be labelled immediately once an injectable is added and should contain a clearly visible sticker with at the very least the substance name, quantity, dilution, date and time.⁶

A matter of some confusion in our case was the marking of 500 mL on the 1000 mL bag, with later clarification that the norepinephrine was added after the first 500 mL had been given. Ideally, a separate infusion from the resuscitation fluid should have been mixed.

The opinions on whether vasoactive substances should be given via a roller flow control clamp at all are divided at best. It can be justified in extreme emergency circumstances (eg, pre-hospital environment where access to an infusor pump might be limited) only as a temporary measure before at least an elastomeric infusion pump, or ideally a syringe driver infusor can be set up.

Human factors
There was a clear failure of closed-loop communication in the above case. The pre-hospital diluted norepinephrine was stopped to begin with, until a member of the team, who had not heard the handover data point regarding norepinephrine, opened the roller clamp again after the new syringe driven norepinephrine was being administered, without letting the rest of the team know explicitly. The team leader, unaware of said change, did not factor the old solution into the list of possible causes of the hypertensive emergency, wrongly assuming that the pre-hospital solution had been stopped.

Communication breakdowns and teamwork failures have been identified as key contributing factors in the occurrence of patient safety incidents and have been estimated to result in 1744 deaths in the USA over the span of 5 years, according to a Joint Commission report from 2017.⁷

Protocolised care and the use of a structured checklist has the potential to prevent catastrophic outcomes.⁸ Whereas the operating theatre environment has long since embraced the culture of checklists (eg, WHO Surgical Safety Checklist), they seem harder to implement in acute and unpredictable environments, where multiple time-sensitive interventions need to happen simultaneously to prevent patient harm.⁹ An essential prerequisite for error prevention and risk reduction in such situations is a well-functioning team with clearly defined roles and responsibilities. We noted a breakdown in those as well in this case, as a closed-loop communication style was not adhered to fully with limited oversight over the situation by the ICU registrar, who was assuming the care of the patient. As the team expands with more stakeholders, the lines of responsibility may become blurred even further and this must be guarded against by team leaders.
In this specific case, a simple institutional policy of discontinuing and discarding all fluids and medical devices from the prehospital transfer team would have likely prevented this adverse incident, if adherence were perfect. The question remains where to implement checklists and policies so as not to impede sensible clinical care and avoid a scapegoating culture, while maximising safety and preventing adverse outcomes. Strides have been made in the pre-hospital and ED setting in larger systems with implementation of checklists for common high-risk scenarios (eg, rapid sequence induction).10

Advanced healthcare systems have developed tools and frameworks for implementing a patient safety culture, which consists of organisational commitment, management involvement, employee empowerment, reward systems and reporting systems. There has been a gradual shift from individual to systems failure identification over the past decades.11 The Swiss cheese model was proposed by Reason et al. in 1990 and has been a symbol of how systems malfunction when errors occur. The model is still poorly defined and understood by professionals, though, with great variability in the interpretation of its meaning, leading some to question whether it is an appropriate descriptor of organisations prone to errors.12

Finally, it is interesting to note that publications on misadventure in healthcare are quite rare outside of public corridor’s inquests. Although reporting medical errors to patients is mandatory, no laws or codified guidelines exist on reporting of errors to colleagues.13 This usually takes place in the form of debriefings, morbidity and mortality meetings, and root-cause analyses, which can translate to institutional memory, but deprives the wider professional community of invaluable learning opportunities.

Learning points

► Adherence to drug-labelling guidelines can reduce preventable morbidity and mortality.
► Norepinephrine can cause cardiac arrest in a short timespan at moderately toxic doses in humans.
► A deeper understanding of human factors and implementation of sensible safety nets is essential for improving patient care.
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