Adult-onset idiopathic dystonia: A national data-linkage study to determine epidemiological, social deprivation, and mortality characteristics

Grace A. Bailey1 | Anna Rawlings2 | Fatemeh Torabi2,3 | Owen Pickrell2,4 | Kathryn J. Peall1

1Neuroscience and Mental Health Research Institute, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
2Swansea University Medical School, Swansea, UK
3Health Data Research UK, Swansea, UK
4Department of Neurology, Morriston Hospital, Swansea Bay University Health Board, Swansea, UK

Correspondence
Kathryn J. Peall, Neuroscience and Mental Health Research Institute, Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, CF24 4HQ Cardiff, UK. Email: PeallKJ@cardiff.ac.uk

Funding information
G.A.B. is funded by a KESS2, European Social Fund, and Cardiff University PhD Studentship. F.T. is funded by Health Data Research UK, which receives its funding from HDR UK (HDR-9006), funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council, Department of Health and Social Care (England), Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates, Health and Social Care Research and Development Division (Welsh Government), Public Health Agency (Northern Ireland), British Heart Foundation, and the Wellcome Trust. W.O.P. is funded by the Brain Repair and Intracranial Neurotherapeutics (BRAIN) Unit Infrastructure Award (UA05). The BRAIN Unit is funded by the Welsh Government through Health and Care Research Wales. K.J.P. is funded by an MRC Clinician-Scientist Fellowship (MR/P008593/1)

Abstract

Background and purpose: Accurate epidemiological information is essential for the improved understanding of dystonia syndromes, as well as better provisioning of clinical services and providing context for diagnostic decision-making. Here, we determine epidemiological, social deprivation, and mortality characteristics of adult-onset idiopathic dystonia in the Welsh population.

Methods: A retrospective population-based cohort study using anonymized electronic health care data in Wales was conducted to identify individuals with dystonia between 1 January 1994 and 31 December 2017. We developed a case-ascertainment algorithm to determine dystonia incidence and prevalence, as well as characterization of the dystonia cohort, based on social deprivation and mortality.

Results: The case-ascertainment algorithm (79% sensitivity) identified 54,966 cases; of these cases, 41,660 had adult-onset idiopathic dystonia (≥20 years). Amongst the adult-onset form, the median age at diagnosis was 41 years, with males significantly older at time of diagnosis compared to females. Prevalence rates ranged from 0.02% in 1994 to 1.2% in 2017. The average annual incidence was 87.7/100,000/year, increasing from 49.9/100,000/year (1994) to 96.21/100,000/year (2017). In 2017, people with dystonia had a similar life expectancy to the Welsh population.

Conclusions: We have developed a case-ascertainment algorithm, supported by the introduction of a neurologist-reviewed validation cohort, providing a platform for future population-based dystonia studies. We have established robust population-level prevalence and incidence values for adult-onset idiopathic forms of dystonia, with this reflecting increasing clinical recognition and identification of causal genes. Underlying causes of death mirrored those of the general population, including circulatory disorders, respiratory disorders, cancers, and dementia.
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INTRODUCTION

Dystonia is a hyperkinetic movement disorder characterized by sustained muscle contractions, producing repetitive movements and abnormal postures, impacting both physical and social functioning [1,2]. Accurate epidemiological information is essential for the improved understanding of dystonia syndromes, as well as better provisioning of clinical services and providing context for diagnostic decision-making. However, the true prevalence of dystonia remains largely unknown, with notable variation in reported rates worldwide, depending on disorder subtype, cohort ethnicity, and study design [3].

A recent meta-analysis estimated the global prevalence of dystonia to be 16.43 per 100,000 [4], although work to date suggests that this may vary geographically. Northern European countries, the USA, and Colombia have higher rates of prevalence than those observed in Asia and Southern Europe [5–11]. Similar variation between ethnicities has also been observed in rates of incidence. Higher rates of adult-onset idiopathic, isolated focal cervical dystonia (AOIFCD) have been identified amongst Caucasian populations [12,13]. Higher rates of early-onset (<28 years old) idiopathic torsion dystonia (5 per 100,000) were identified amongst Ashkenazi Jewish populations, in part due to the founder effects of genetic forms of dystonia [14]. Prevalence estimates are also dependent on age. The prevalence of early-onset dystonia (<20 years) is estimated to be between 0.3 and 5 cases per 100,000 [15,16], whereas the prevalence of adult-onset (>20 years) dystonia is between 0.3 and 732 cases per 100,000 [3,15,17]. Table 1 summarizes dystonia prevalence studies.

Different study types have been used to determine the epidemiological characteristics of dystonia. These have included service-based, linkage record-based, and population-based studies. Studies also vary in their reliance upon patient-reported diagnoses and clinical confirmation (Table 1), possibly explaining the variation in observed prevalence rates. Current estimates of dystonia prevalence are also likely to be underestimates, due to a combination of lack of recognition, underdiagnosis, and the limited number of patients seeking treatment [4,17].

Recent advances in record-linked population data repositories have led to increased opportunities for population-based studies, providing more detailed epidemiological data across a range of neurological disorders [18–20]. There is a specific need to apply this population-based approach to dystonia syndromes, particularly in relation to adult-onset focal syndromes [21]. Use of data from several sources may address conflicting epidemiological estimates, and also has the potential to generate detailed information over several years and across diverse geographic areas, which is currently lacking in the field. The Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL) Databank contains anonymized, routinely collected data for the Welsh population (3.15 million [2019]) [22]. It is one of the most accessible and broadest sources of anonymized data in the world, covering an extensive percentage of the Welsh population (100% of Welsh hospitals and ~80% of general practitioners). SAIL also provides complete data linkage of social, education, and health care data, enabling a more comprehensive understanding of patient care, and allowing for analysis of multifactorial relationships. In comparison, another UK primary dataset (Clinical Practice Research Datalink) has linkage to only around 10% of English general practices (GPs) [23]. Other linked population data include those in Scandinavia and Australia. However, those in Australia are managed at a state level, including data collections, individual data linkage units, and ethics committees. The Lumos programme, the first state-wide database linked to GP records throughout New South Wales (NSW), was recently established and covers 16% of the NSW population [24].

Using SAIL, we aimed to derive an algorithm to identify individuals diagnosed with dystonia, and to establish key epidemiological characteristics, including links to deprivation and causes of mortality.

METHODS

Study design and data sources

Using a retrospective population-based cohort study design, we identified patients diagnosed with dystonia within the SAIL Databank (Swansea University, UK: www.saildatabank.com). SAIL is a databank containing anonymized, electronic, person-based health, social, and education data about the population of Wales. Clinical and demographic data from multiple data-providing organizations, including Welsh General Practices, Public Health Wales National Health Service (NHS) Trust, Digital Health and Care Wales, HDR Wales, Welsh Government, Office for National Statistics, Welsh Ambulance Service NHS Trust, and Intensive Care National Audit & Research Centre, are anonymized and encrypted by a trusted third party, the NHS Wales Informatics Service, and transferred directly to SAIL. Individuals are reliably matched against records and anonymized using a unique Anonymous Linking Field. This matching algorithm has demonstrated high specificity (>99%) and sensitivity (>95%) and enables linkage across different datasets at a person-based level [25,26].

Our study population was formed from primary care (Welsh Longitudinal General Practice dataset) and secondary care (Patient Episode Database for Wales [PEDW] and Outpatient Dataset [OPD]) between January 1994 (utilization of the reference population and the first monogenic form of dystonia identified in 1994 [DYT5a]) [27] and December 2017. At the time of analysis, primary care and secondary care data were available for ~80% and 100% of the Welsh population, respectively. Time coverage varies between GPs, and there are dates with higher periods of data capture within SAIL, for example, 2004–2007. Inpatient (PEDW) and outpatient (OPD) datasets commenced in 1997 and 2004, respectively. We recorded demographic characteristics including age, sex, GP registration history, and deprivation. We measured deprivation using the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (WIMD) obtained from the Welsh Demographic Service Dataset, which contains administrative data on all persons registered with a primary care practice in Wales [28]. Date and cause of death (if applicable) were obtained from the
| Author          | Year | Country     | Study design | Type of dystonia             | Age of study population | Population size | Female: male ratio | Mean age at onset, years ± SD | Prevalence per 100,000 |
|-----------------|------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Population-based studies<sup>a</sup> |      |             |              |                              |                         |                |                    |                             |                        |
| Li et al.       | 1985 | China       | Population   | Focal/generalized           | All ages                | 63,195         | -                  | -                           | Generalized: 5         |
| Kandil et al.   | 1994 | Egypt       | Population   | Focal                        | All ages                | 42,000         | Focal: 0.33:1 Drug-induced: 1:1 Drug-induced: 28 ± 12.4 Encephalitic: male Overall: 0.4:1 | Focal: 34.6 ± 4.9 | Focal: 10 Drug-induced: 10 Encephalitic: 7 Overall: 26 |
| Müller et al.   | 2002 | Italy       | Population   | Focal/segmental             | ≥ 50 years              | 707            | 1:1                | 50.5 (median)               | 732                    |
| Jankovic et al. | 2007 | USA         | Population   | Focal/segmental             | ≥ 18 years              | 60,062         | -                  | -                           | 390                    |
| Das et al.      | 2007 | India       | Population   | Primary                     | All ages                | 52,377         | -                  | -                           | Primary: 43.91 Early onset: 5.72 Late onset: 38.18 |
| El Tallawy et al. | 2010 | Egypt       | Population   | Not specified               | All ages                | 62,583         | -                  | -                           | 30.36                  |
| El Tallawy et al. | 2013 | Egypt       | Population   | Not specified               | All ages                | 33,285         | -                  | -                           | 39.11                  |
| Badry et al.    | 2019 | Egypt       | Population   | Primary                     | All ages                | 33,285         | 2:1                | -                           | 9.01                   |
| Service-based studies<sup>b</sup> |      |             |              |                              |                         |                |                    |                             |                        |
| Nakashima et al. | 1995 | Japan       | Service      | Focal                        | All ages                | 244,935        | 1.14:1             | -                           | Focal: 6.12             |
| ESDE Collaborative Group | 2000 | France, Austria, England, Spain, Finland, Germany, Portugal, Italy | Service | Focal/segmental | > 20 years | 5,792,937 | Focal: 1.5:1 Segmental: 1.9:1 | - | Focal: 11.7 Segmental: 3.2 Focal and segmental: 14.8 |
| Defazio et al.  | 2001 | Italy       | Service      | Focal/segmental             | All ages                | 67,606         | 8:1                | Female: 60 Male: 40 Overall: 574 | Focal and segmental: 13.3 Focal BSP: 7.4 Segmental BSP: 5.9 |
| Castelon Konkiewitz et al. | 2002 | Germany     | Service      | Focal/segmental/ generalized | All ages                | 1,322,883      | Segmental: 2.3:1 Generalized: 1.0:1 Generalized: 9.8 ± 2.9 Segmental: 55.0 ± 12.8 | Focal: 10.1 Segmental: 3.0 Generalized: 0.3 Overall: 17.4 |
| Matsumoto et al. | 2003 | Japan       | Service      | Focal/segmental/ generalized | All ages                | 1,459,130      | 1:1                | Primary: 48.8 ± 16.5 | Generalized: 0.07 Focal: 10.1 |
| Pekmezović et al. | 2003 | Serbia      | Service      | Focal/segmental             | ≥ 20 years              | 1,602,226      | Focal: 1.5:1 Segmental: 1.1:1 Overall: 1:4:1 | Female: 48.8 ± 13.0 Male: 41.8 ± 16.5 Both: 46.0 ± 14.9 | Focal: 11.2 Segmental: 2.2 Overall: 13.6 |
| Le et al.       | 2003 | Norway      | Service      | Focal/segmental             | All ages                | 508,726        | 2.1:1              | 46.3                        | 25.4                   |

(Continues)
### TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Author                  | Year | Country     | Study design | Type of dystonia                        | Age of study | Population size | Female: male ratio | Mean age at onset, years ± SD | Prevalence per 100,000 |
|-------------------------|------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Sugawara et al.         | 2006 | Japan       | Service      | Focal/generalized                       | All ages     | 1,166,967       | -                   | -                         | Generalized: 0.68       |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | Focal: 14.4             |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | Overall: 15.1           |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | 3.22                   |
| Cosu et al.             | 2006 | Italy       | Service      | Focal                                   | All ages     | 1,652,332       | 2.5:1               | 58.5 ± 13                 | Generalized: 0.3        |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | Focal: 31.2             |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | Segmental: 3.1          |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | Overall: 37              |
| Asgeirsson et al.       | 2006 | Iceland     | Service      | Focal/segmental/generalized             | All ages     | 288,801         | 1.9:1               | 42.7                      |                         |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           |                         |
| Fukuda et al.           | 2006 | Japan       | Service      | Focal                                   | All ages     | 247,973         | 0.9:1               | Female: 54.3 ± 13.2      |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | Male: 43.5 ± 13.0        |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | Both: 48.6 ± 14.0        |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | 13.7                   |
| Papantonio et al.       | 2009 | Italy       | Service      | Focal/segmental                         | >17 years    | 541,653         | 1.46:1              | -                         | Focal and segmental:     |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | 12.74 (adjusted:         |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | 13.8)                  |
| Bhidayasiri et al.      | 2011 | Thailand    | Service      | Focal/segmental/generalized             | All ages     | 1,039,595       | 1.18:1              | Males: 42.0 ± 18.1       |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                |                     |                           | Females: 47.3 ± 18.4     |
| Joensen                 | 2016 | Faroe Islands | Service      | Focal                                   | All ages     | 48,100          | 1.9:1               | 60.2                      |                         |
| Atehortúa et al.        | 2016 | Colombia    | Service      | Focal/segmental/generalized             | All ages     | 6,221,742       | 1.6:1               | 44                        |                         |
| Wang et al.             | 2016 | China       | Service      | Late onset                              | >26 years    | 54,938,000      | 2:1                 | 48.54 ± 13.08           | 2.7                     |
| Williams et al.         | 2017 | Ireland     | Service      | Focal                                   | ≥20 years    | 4,588,252       | -                   | 17.8                      |                         |
| Ortiz et al.            | 2018 | Finland     | Service      | Focal/segmental/generalized             | ≥20 years    | 2,043,819       | Generalized: 2.5:1   |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Segmental: 3.7:1    |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Overall: 2.7:1       |
| Louis et al.            | 2019 | Faroe Islands | Service      | Focal/segmental                         | ≥40 years    | 24,154          | Generalized: 49 ± 12  |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Segmental: 58 ± 12   |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Overall: 54 ± 12     |
| Nutt et al.             | 1988 | USA         | Record linkage | Focal/generalized                       | All ages     | 56,433          | Generalized: 1:46:1  |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Focal: 20           |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Generalized: 1:3:1   |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Overall: 2:1:1       |
| Duffey et al.           | 1998 | England     | Record linkage | Focal/generalized                       | All ages     | 2,605,100       | Generalized: 16:7     |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Focal: 12.9         |
|                         |      |             |              |                                         |              |                | Overall: 14:3        |

(Continues)
AOID: A NATIONAL DATA-LINKAGE STUDY

Annual District Death Extract (ADDE). Data were analysed from September 2020 to May 2021.

Reference population and diagnostic validation

Anonymized records from 90 patients with a confirmed diagnosis of AOIFCD were linked to records held in SAIL. Each participant was recruited via the Welsh Movement Disorders Research Network Study (Research Ethics Committee reference: 14/WA/0017, Integrated Research Application System ID: 146495), was clinically assessed, and had their NHS records reviewed by a neurologist with expertise in movement disorders (K.J.P.). These patients formed the reference population for our derived cohort of individuals diagnosed with dystonia.

Sensitivity algorithm

A list of Read codes (version 2) and International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes was selected and used to identify individuals with a primary and/or secondary dystonia diagnosis. All codes relevant to dystonia including diagnosis, symptoms, and therapy were reviewed by a clinical neurologist with movement disorder expertise. Code lists were created to maximize the positive predictive value, while maintaining a reasonable sensitivity. In a stepwise manner, Read codes that did not contribute to the identification of the reference cohort were removed. All ICD-10 codes, excluding drug-induced dystonia, were used. Sensitivity analyses of Read codes are available in Table S1. A full list of the final Read and ICD-10 codes is provided in Table 2.

Dystonia diagnosis

An individual was defined as having a diagnosis of dystonia if their GP or hospital record contained a Read version 2 or ICD-10 code from the list of codes (Table 2). Dystonia subtypes were not mutually exclusive; an individual could have more than one dystonia subtype. Results from primary and secondary care extracts were combined to create a joined dystonia cohort. Individuals diagnosed with a potential secondary cause of dystonia were excluded (Tables S2–S4) [29,30]. Our stringent exclusion criteria removed diagnostic codes linked with tremor (apart from dystonic tremor) and diagnoses that may include tremor as part of the phenotype. Medicine codes for all forms of dopaminergic therapy were also included in this exclusion algorithm, providing an additional mechanism to exclude degenerative forms of tremor. Inclusion of the tremor code was shown to increase the sensitivity of our patient identification algorithm by 6% (73%–79%) in our validation cohort, allowing for recognition of dystonic tremor as a form of primary dystonia, while limiting the possibility of including other forms of tremor.

Additionally, individuals were required to be resident in Wales at the time of diagnosis and have an age, sex, and GP registration...
date recorded. Individuals born before 1 January 1900 and older than 100 years were excluded. Entry date into the cohort was the first date of a new dystonia diagnosis and the last date of follow-up was the earliest GP deregistration (from a contributing practice), date of death, or the end of the study period, whichever was first. Figure 1 summarizes how the dystonia cohort was derived.

We identified all dystonia cases in primary and secondary care datasets; however, further analysis including epidemiological, deprivation, and mortality characterization focuses on individuals with adult-onset idiopathic dystonia (≥20 years of age).

### Incidence and prevalence

Estimates for prevalence and incidence were calculated annually for the study period (January 1994–December 2017). Prevalence was calculated by dividing the number of people diagnosed with dystonia midyear (30 June) by the midyear population estimate. Incidence was calculated by dividing the number of newly diagnosed cases (no previous diagnosis) for that year by the midyear population estimate. The midyear population was estimated by the total number of Welsh residents with a recorded sex and age, registered to a GP on 30 June of that year.

### Deprivation score

Deprivation scores were derived using WIMD 2014 quintiles according to Lower Super Output Area (LSOA) of residence (2011). WIMD identifies and ranks all LSOAs in Wales from 1 (most deprived) to 1909 (least deprived); each LSOA is then grouped into quintiles, with 1 being the most deprived and 5 being the least deprived [28]. The WIMD quintile was assessed at three dates: study entry, time of dystonia diagnosis, and exit date from the study (study end [December 2017], deregistration from GP, moving out of Wales, or death).

### Mortality

Date and underlying causes of death during the study period were determined from the ADDE. Underlying causes of deaths coded using ICD-9 were converted to ICD-10.

### Statistical analysis

Data was analysed using R software (version 4.0.4). Where applicable, a t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test was used to determine any

---

**TABLE 2** ICD-10 and read codes used to identify dystonia patients in hospital and general practice electronic records, respectively

| Dystonia subtype                        | ICD-10 code | Read code | Read code description |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Genetic torsion dystonia                | G24.1       | F136.     | Idiopathic torsion dystonia |
| Idiopathic torsion dystonia             |             | F137.     | Symptomatic torsion dystonia |
|                                         |             | F137γ     | Symptomatic torsion dystonia OS |
|                                         |             | F137z     | Symptomatic torsion dystonia NOS |
|                                         |             | F138.     | Fragment of torsion dystonia |
|                                         |             | F138γ     | Torsion dystonia fragment NOS |
| Idiopathic nonfamilial dystonia         | G24.2       | F1360     | Idiopathic familial dystonia |
| Idiopathic familial dystonia            |             | F1382     | Spasmodic torticollis |
|                                         |             | 16A3.     | Torticollis, symptom |
|                                         |             | N135.     | Torticollis unspecified |
|                                         |             | N1350     | Intermittent torticollis |
|                                         |             | N135z     | Torticollis NOS |
| Cervical dystonia                       | G24.3       | F1380     | Blepharospasm |
| Idiopathic Orofacial dystonia           | G24.4       | F1383     | Organic writer’s cramp |
|                                         | G24.5       | F1384     | Myoclonic dystonia |
| Blepharospasm                           |             | F1380     | Organic blepharospasm |
|                                         |             | 16A3.     | Torticollis symptom |
|                                         |             | N135.     | Intermittent torticollis |
|                                         |             | N135z     | Torticollis NOS |

**Abbreviations:** [X], external causes of morbidity and mortality; ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision; NOS, not otherwise specified; OS, otherwise specified.
significant difference in the median age at diagnosis and subtype of dystonia, and Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine changes to WIMD quintile following diagnosis.

Ethics

This study design uses anonymized, routinely collected data and therefore does not require ethical approval and written informed consent. The SAIL independent Information Governance Review Panel, experts in information governance and members of the public, approved this study (Reference: 0768).

RESULTS

Validating the dystonia diagnosis

The optimized case-ascertainment algorithm (Figure 1) had a diagnostic sensitivity of 79%, identifying 70 of 89 of the clinically confirmed reference population (one patient could not be identified within SAIL).

Dystonia cohort

A total of 54,966 patients were identified as having been diagnosed with dystonia between 1 January 1994 and 31 December 2017. Of these, 54,488 were identified from primary care (GP records) and 478 from secondary care (hospital records). Of these, 41,660 were determined to have adult-onset symptoms (age ≥ 20 years) and 13,306 young-onset forms of dystonia (age <20 years; Table 3). In adult-onset forms, the female:male ratio was 1.7:1. Fourteen cases had more than one dystonia diagnosis. The most common combination of diagnoses was cervical dystonia and unspecified (n = 8). No cases of idiopathic familial dystonia or Segawa syndrome were identified, irrespective of levodopa medication exclusion.

Prevalence and incidence rates

There were 32,662 patients diagnosed with adult-onset idiopathic dystonia at the midpoint of 2017, providing a crude prevalence of 1.2% amongst the Welsh population. During the study period, 41,660 new cases of adult-onset idiopathic dystonia were reported, giving a mean incidence of 87.6/100,000/year, varying between 49.9/100,000/year in 1994 and 96.2/100,000/year in 2017. The maximum incidence was 112.7/100,000/year in 2006 (Figure 2a and Table S5). Dystonia incidence increased in males and females, 23.5/100,000 to 62.6/100,000 (1994 and 2017), and 45.5/100,000 to 95.1/100,000 (1994 and 2017), respectively.

Deprivation

At the time of diagnosis, adult-onset cases were equally distributed across deprivation quintiles (Table 3 and Figure 3b). There were no significant changes in deprivation quintile throughout the study between entry into the dataset (during the study period) and diagnosis (median = 0, p < 0.01; Figure 3a), diagnosis and follow-up
| Characteristic | Overall dystonia | Genetic torsion dystonia | Idiopathic torsion dystonia | Idiopathic nonfamilial dystonia | Cervical dystonia | Idiopathic orofacial dystonia | Blepharospasm | Writer's cramp | Myoclonic dystonia | Other/unspecified | Tremor associated with dystonia |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Total participants, n | 41,660 | 5 | 39 | <6 | 26,563 | 24 | 1181 | 41 | 8 | 604 | 13,207 |
| Sex, n (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Female | 26,311 (63) | 26 (67) | 17,185 (65) | 16 (66.7) | 773 (65) | 25 (61) | a | 375 (62) | 379 (60) | | |
| Male | 15,349 (37) | 13 (33) | 9,378 (35) | 8 (33.3) | 408 (35) | 16 (39) | a | 229 (38) | 530 (40) | | |
| Median age at diagnosis, years (IQR) | 42 (28) | 49 (9) | 38.5 (13.5) | 38 (20) | 72.5 (27.25) | 48 (29) | 40 (21) | 44.5 (13.75) | 48 (29) | 55 (34) | | |
| Female median age at diagnosis, years | 41b | 55 | 47.5 | 37b | 73 | 51b | 36 | 44 | 48b | 55 | | |
| Male median age at diagnosis, years | 43 | 45 | 50 | 39 | 72.5 | 45 | 43.5 | 68 | 45 | 55 | | |
| Deprivation quintile at diagnosis, n (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1: most deprived | 7986 (19.17) | a | a | a | 4927 (18.55) | a | 139 (11.77) | 6 (14.63) | a | 134 (22.19) | 2768 (20.96) |
| 2 | 8389 (20.14) | a | 8 (20.51) | a | 5294 (19.93) | a | 218 (18.46) | 7 (17.07) | a | 127 (21.03) | 2735 (20.71) |
| 3 | 8952 (21.49) | a | a | a | 5817 (21.90) | a | 267 (22.61) | 10 (24.39) | a | 119 (19.70) | 2731 (20.68) |
| 4 | 8012 (19.23) | a | 9 (23.08) | a | 5169 (19.46) | a | 258 (21.85) | 6 (14.63) | a | 106 (17.55) | 2458 (18.61) |
| 5: least deprived | 8321 (19.97) | a | 13 (33.33) | a | 5356 (20.16) | a | 299 (25.32) | 12 (29.27) | a | 118 (19.54) | 2515 (19.04) |
| Median follow-up, years (IQR) | 23.50 (7.65) | 9.52 (10.51) | 23.98 (8.06) | 17.42 (6.60) | 23.97 (6.44) | 12.46 (8.82) | 23.11 (8.40) | 21.37 (12.69) | 16.76 (7.93) | 21.64 (10.54) | 22.12 (9.58) |
| Median change in WIMD quintile at follow-up (p-value) | 0 (<0.001)c | 0 | 0 (0.41)c | 0 | 0 (<0.001)c | 0 (0.02)c | 0 (0.34)c | 0 (1)f | 0 (0.38)c | 0 (0.03)f | | |
| Median change in WIMD quintile from entry into dataset to diagnosis (p-value) | 0 (<0.001)c | 0 | 0 (0.29)f | 0 | 0 (<0.001)c | 0 (0.37)c | 0 (<0.01)f | 0 (0.14)c | 0 (0.59)c | 0 (0.57)c | 0 (<0.001)c |
| Mortality data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deceased | 4315 | <6 | 5 | d | 1475 | 13 | 155 | <6 | <6 | 93 | 2567 |
| Age at death, years | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <45 | 149 | a | a | a | 71 | a | a | a | a | a | 6 | 69 |
| 45–64 | 577 | a | a | a | 253 | d | a | a | a | a | 12 | 299 |
| 65–84 | 2048 | a | a | a | 701 | a | 74 | a | a | a | 44 | 1214 |
| ≥85 | 1541 | a | a | a | 450 | a | 69 | a | a | a | 31 | 985 |
| Female deceased, n (%) | 2417 (56) | a | a | a | 755 (51) | 8 (62) | 110 (71) | a | a | 64 (69) | 1475 (57) |

(Continues)
Mortality

There were 4,315 deaths (10%) in the adult-onset dystonia cohort (Table 3). Distribution of mortality data by dystonia syndromes, including the 10 most common causes of death, is shown in Figure 5.

DISCUSSION

Our longitudinal, nationwide population-based cohort study has combined anonymized electronic data derived from GP (family doctor/community health care) and hospital records for more than 3.6 million Welsh individuals to estimate the prevalence and incidence rates for dystonia and its multiple subtypes. We maintained terminology of Read and ICD-10 codes for a true reflection of their use within primary and secondary care. Our case ascertainment algorithm demonstrated an overall sensitivity of 79%, identifying 70 of 89 of the clinically confirmed cases. The midyear point prevalence of adult-onset idiopathic dystonia in Wales increased from 16.4/100,000 (0.02%) in 1994 to 1,219.8/100,000 (1.2%) in 2017, with an increase in annual incidence from 49.9/100,000 (1994) to 96.2/100,000 (2017). Individuals diagnosed with adult-onset idiopathic dystonia were found to be equally distributed across measures of socioeconomic deprivation at time of diagnosis, with no evidence of change in deprivation over time. There were 4,315 deaths in those diagnosed with adult-onset idiopathic dystonia, with ischaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular diseases, pneumonia, bronchitis, emphysema, and other chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases being the most common causes of death.

Previous estimates of dystonia prevalence, from service- or population-based studies, have varied between 6.1 and 70.1 per 100,000 in the former [31,32], to between 7.91 and 732 per 100,000 in the latter [15,17]. However, these approaches are likely to introduce bias, identifying only those seeking out or receiving clinical care, as well as underascertaining cases, suggesting that rates of dystonia within the population are higher than previously suggested [21]. In support of this, our results suggest an overall prevalence of dystonia syndromes of 1,219.8/100,000 (1.2%), which, given the unbiased nature of the case identification, suggests a more accurate population-based value. The median age at diagnosis (42 years) and proportion of males to females (1:1.7) determined in this study are consistent with previous studies, suggesting that the cohort identification criteria were appropriately applied.

Amongst population-based studies, two of the highest notable outliers estimated a prevalence of 732/100,000 (0.73%) and 390/100,000 (0.39%) [6,17]. Previous UK-based studies have reported prevalence rates of 38 per 100,000 in those diagnosed with focal dystonia, higher than other European studies reported
over the same period [10,16,33–35]. The UK study also used a linkage-based approach, ascertaining cases by reviewing hospital records, as well as recruiting individuals registered as members to the Dystonia Society, and via a postal survey [36]. Our study has expanded upon this using detailed linked data available across the whole of Wales. More recent epidemiological studies of dystonia show a trend toward elevated estimates compared to the literature prior to 2012 [21], which may be in part due to an ageing population, increased clinical recognition of dystonia, and identification of an ever-expanding number of causative genes [37].

Three US-based studies have estimated the incidence of cervical dystonia between 0.8 and 1.18 per 100,000 person-years [5,12,38], and incidence rates of other types of dystonia varied between 0.2 and 0.4/100,000 person-years [5]. As with the multiple factors
influencing prevalence rates, it is likely that these estimates are lower than true population values. In comparison, we found an average annual incidence of 87.65/100,000, increasing from 49.85/100,000 cases (1994) to 96.21/100,000 (2017). New cases were highest between 2004 and 2008, ranging from 104.09 to 112.66 per 100,000. These dates coincide with a known period of higher data capture within SAIL [39], whereas electronic records prior to 2000 in the SAIL Databank are sparse, providing a likely explanation for the more marked increase in numbers after this time. However, rates have slowly decreased since 2011, plateauing at ~100/100,000. Elevated rates of incidence are consistent with increased recognition, awareness, and improved availability of neurological services.

Our study demonstrated that deprivation scores did not change following a diagnosis of dystonia, arguably an unexpected finding given the potential for dystonia to impact employment and social interaction. To our knowledge, there has been no research that directly investigates changes in deprivation, with previous studies that have included measures of this nature identifying conflicting findings. A population-based survey in India found no significant differences in dystonia prevalence estimates when comparing slum dwellers and non-slum dwellers, despite their socioeconomic differences [9]. Other studies have also noted that dystonia affects employment, with pain and severity of symptoms impacting work status in up to 40% of cases [40]. However, given that many of the treatments typically used to manage motor symptoms, notably botulinum neurotoxin and deep brain stimulation (DBS), have been shown to improve quality of life, and reduce pain and motor symptom severity, it is plausible that this may contribute to maintained working status [41,42]. Interestingly, increased rates of DBS surgery have been observed in the USA between 2002 (n = 2372) and 2014 (n = 5260), with a similar trend likely in the UK [43].

To our knowledge, ours is the first study to assess mortality in patients with dystonia. Of the cohort, 10% died during the study period, with a median age at death of 80 years. There was no evidence of a higher level of premature death (<75 years of age) amongst the dystonia cohort (35.9%), which was comparable to the rate in the general population in Wales (31.7%, 2017). Amongst our cohort, males and females had a median age at death (78 and 82 years, respectively) comparable to the life expectancy in Wales (78.5 years and 82.3 years, 2017– 2019) [44]. Males diagnosed with other/unspecified forms of dystonia and writer’s cramp were younger than the expected median age (72 and 74 years, respectively), and both males and females (70 and 72.5 years) with idiopathic torsion dystonia were younger at death. The most common causes of death included respiratory disorders, circulatory disorders, cancers, and dementia, in keeping with the leading causes of deaths registered in England and Wales (2017) [45]. Comparable causes of death were also noted amongst dystonia subtypes (Figure 5).

As with all record linkage-based studies there are several limitations. First, those who have not sought medical attention, either in the community or hospital-based specialist care, will not be captured by this analysis.

Dystonia is primarily diagnosed in secondary care by a specialist, and subsequently coded in primary care by general practitioners. Our algorithm was 79% sensitive, with 74% of the reference population being identified from primary records alone. Although there is little incentive for GPs to subtype dystonia, in general, there is evidence to suggest that primary care coding is relatively accurate in the UK [46]. Several previous studies, using the SAIL database, have validated the accuracy of using these diagnosis codes for case ascertainment of other neurological conditions [20,47,48]. However, coding practices may vary between GPs as well as having the potential
to change with time. Our validation cohort consisted of individuals seen in one specialist service, and it may be that these individuals were more likely to get a primary care dystonia code when compared to individuals seen in other services.

There is always the potential for diagnostic misclassification through coding. For example, we did not identify any cases of idiopathic familial dystonia. This likely reflects the focus on achieving the most accurate clinical diagnosis for the individual patient, with information relating to other affected family members not always being known to the patient and/or the treating clinician.

Including hospital inpatient and outpatient data, in addition to primary care data, provided only a small increase in case ascertainment sensitivity (0.9%). This may be because hospital coding is primarily related to recording the admission or attendance, with the reasons for being admitted and comorbidities being less frequently documented [20].

We were unable to obtain a measure of specificity for our case ascertainment algorithm in general and for dystonia subtypes, as we were unable to upload relevant negative control groups. It therefore remains possible that we included a proportion of cases without dystonia. However, we sought to account for this by applying stringent exclusion criteria that would account for most known causes of acquired dystonia or misdiagnosis of dystonia. Lastly, deprivation is difficult to measure, and although the WIMD is one of the more comprehensive deprivation scores available, it does not cover every aspect of deprivation. It is also an area-based rather than individual-based measure of deprivation. It is therefore possible that an increase in deprivation for an individual would not change their WIMD score if they did not change address. We may therefore lack recognition of social change in deprivation.

Our results indicate that prevalence and incidence rates of dystonia are higher than previously estimated, 1.220/100,000/year (1.2%) and 96/100,000/year, respectively, potentially indicating more accurate population estimates given the unbiased nature of patient identification. We have shown that a diagnosis of dystonia

FIGURE 5 Mortality data of cases including leading underlying causes of death and proportions of death categorized by causes in adult-onset idiopathic dystonia. (a) General population in Wales (2017). (b) Overall dystonia. (c) Cervical dystonia. (d) Tremor associated with dystonia. (e) Blepharospasm. (f) Other/unspecified. COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; SSAF, symptoms, signs, and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified.
does not appear to have a detrimental impact on socioeconomic status, with no changes in deprivation observed at follow-up. In addition, there was no evidence of decreased life expectancy and causes of underlying death, mirroring the Welsh leading causes of death. The findings from this work have important implications for patients, carers, health care providers, third sector organizations, and health care policy, with our case-ascertainment algorithm providing a platform for application in future population-based dystonia studies.
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