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ABSTRACT
A considerable body of research has demonstrated that online search data can be used to complement current syndromic surveillance systems. The vast majority of previous work proposes solutions that are based on supervised learning paradigms, in which historical disease rates are required for training a model. However, for many geographical regions this information is either sparse or not available due to a poor health infrastructure. It is these regions that have the most to benefit from inferring population health statistics from online user search activity. To address this issue, we propose a statistical framework in which we first learn a supervised model for a region with adequate historical disease rates, and then transfer it to a target region, where no syndromic surveillance data exists. This transfer learning solution consists of three steps: (i) learn a regularized regression model for a source country, (ii) map the source queries to target ones using semantic and temporal similarity metrics, and (iii) re-adjust the weights of the target queries. It is evaluated on the task of estimating influenza-like illness (ILI) rates. We learn a source model for the United States, and subsequently transfer it to three other countries, namely France, Spain and Australia. Overall, the transferred (unsupervised) models achieve strong performance in terms of Pearson correlation with the ground truth (> .92 on average), and their mean absolute error does not deviate greatly from a fully supervised baseline.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Syndromic surveillance systems aim to provide timely estimates about the prevalence of a disease in a population. Their main source of information is based on doctor assessments about the probable health status of patients given a set of symptoms. For example, to monitor the rate of influenza, syndromic surveillance relies on a network of doctors who report on a daily or weekly basis the number of patients exhibiting related symptoms, such as fever, cough or a sore throat. Recent research efforts have shown that this traditional approach can be complemented by alternative methods trained on data from online user activity, e.g. social media or online search behavior [43]. Applications vary from modelling dengue fever [24] to depression [17], but particular research focus has been drawn to influenza, an infectious disease that is responsible for 290-650,000 deaths worldwide on an annual basis. Data from the microblogging platform of Twitter [15, 32, 50] as well as from search engines [21, 35, 53, 67] combined with statistical natural language processing methods have produced promising outcomes, which in some occasions have been incorporated into national influenza surveillance schemes [9, 63]. The main advantages of these complementary methods are timeliness, and sampling from a larger segment of the population, including people who may not visit a doctor while being ill. It is also commonly cited that such approaches may be very useful in regions where health infrastructure is poor or absent. However, this is often impractical as the proposed machine learning solutions rely on training data which apart from the user-generated inputs, need to contain confirmed disease rates at the target location, broadly referred to as "ground truth". This data is typically provided by existing syndromic surveillance systems. Hence, for locations where ground truth is not available, user-data driven approaches are not realistically applicable.

In this paper, we propose a statistical framework to circumvent problems associated with no training data in some geographic regions. Our approach is based on the broad notion of transfer learning, where we aim to transfer parts of the knowledge gained while solving a certain task to better solve a different, but related one [49]. In particular, our goal is to transfer a well-performing disease rate inference model from a source location, where supervised learning is possible, to a target location, where supervision is not possible, given the lack of ground truth. We focus our experiments on influenza (flu) and utilize Google search query statistics as our descriptive variable for aggregate, population-level, online user activity. For example, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) monitor and report influenza-like illness (ILI) rates on a weekly basis, providing sufficient ground truth to learn a function that maps online search query frequencies to these rates. In our experiments we show that we can adapt this function to derive estimates of ILI rates at different locations (outside the US). Language may or may not differ between the source and target locations. Online search statistics can be obtained for these target locations, but we assume that there is no ground truth data.
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1World Health Organization, who.int/mediacentre/news/statements/2017/flu/
The proposed approach comprises 3 steps. After learning a source regression model (step 1), we seek ways to map the selected source search queries to sets of queries in the target location. To derive this mapping we deploy a hybrid metric, which combines a semantic similarity with a time series correlation component (step 2). Semantic similarities are estimated using cross-lingual or monolingual word embeddings and correlations are computed using query frequencies. Finally, query weights from the source model are transferred to the identified target queries (step 3). This framework is evaluated on three transfer learning tasks, where the source model is always based in the US, and the target countries are France, Spain and Australia. While ground truth is available for all the target countries, we only use it to evaluate the performance of the transferred models. Transferred models, assessed on four flu seasons (2012 to 2016), can accurately estimate the peak of each flu season, achieving on average Pearson correlations greater than .92 and root mean squared errors comparable to the ones obtained by the corresponding fully supervised models ($\leq 21.6\%$ increase in errors). Therefore, they can be considered as practical solutions for locations that lack historical ground truth data.

**Main contributions.** A novel, end-to-end transfer learning framework is proposed for mapping a disease model trained on online search data from a location, where ground truth is available, to a location, where ground truth is not available. Variations of this model are investigated, exploring different query mapping functions using semantic or temporal similarities or combinations of the two. In addition, we empirically show that our approach works in three case studies, two of which require a transfer to a different language (English to French or Spanish), and one that maintains the same language (English), but demands a model transfer to a different hemisphere (US to Australia).

2 DATA SETS
We use two sources of data, namely Google search query frequency statistics and ILI rates from established health organizations.

**Google search query frequency statistics.** Time series of weekly search query frequencies were retrieved through Google Correlate. A frequency represents the weekly search activity of a query (number of searches issued each week which can be due to a variety of causes, including summer vacations, responses to news events, and a longer-term trend of increased web usage [45]). Normalized query frequencies are subsequently standardized, such that their time series have a zero mean and a standard deviation of one. This normalization controls for variations in the number of searches issued each week which can be due to a variety of causes, including summer vacations, responses to news events, and a longer-term trend of increased web usage [45]. Normalized query frequencies are subsequently standardized, such that their time series have a zero mean and a standard deviation of one. This results in expressing query frequencies under the same units for different geographical regions with potentially varying population sizes and search usage patterns. We obtained weekly frequencies of search queries from September 1, 2007 to August 31, 2016 inclusive (470 weeks) for US, France, Spain, and Australia. Given that an exhaustive list of user search queries was not available to us, we extracted them by first using a set of 12 flu-related queries per country as a seed to Google Correlate and then iterating through this process (using correlated queries as new seeds). This process extracted 34,121, 29,996, 15,673 and 8,764 queries for US, France, Spain and Australia, respectively. Queries were not limited to the topic of flu, given that various other spurious queries may also correlate with the seeds.

**ILI rates.** We obtained weekly ILI rates for the US, France, Spain and Australia from their established syndromic surveillance systems, namely the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), GP’s Sentinelles Network (SN), Spanish Influenza Sentinel Surveillance System (SISSS), and Australian Sentinel Practices Research Network (ASPREN), respectively. ILI rates represent fraction of the population that has been diagnosed with influenza-like symptoms. The data spans from September 1, 2007 to August 31, 2016 inclusive, which covers approximately 9 consecutive influenza seasons. Note that for Spain, we only have ILI rates from week 40 in a year to week 20 in the following year. The prevalence of influenza outside this period is typically very low. We denote the ILI rates from each syndromic surveillance system using the corresponding country code (US, FR, ES, and AU).

In our experiments we are transferring a flu model trained on US data to one of the other three countries. To provide some insight about the difficulty of the task, we have plotted the historical ILI rates for all countries in Fig. 1. ILI rates may correlate between countries, e.g. the Pearson correlation between the US and FR rates is equal to 0.6 ($p \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-25}$), but peaks and troughs are occurring at different times and with very different intensity. The US and AU ILI rates are negatively correlated ($-0.4, p \approx 8 \cdot 10^{-17}$), as expected, since these countries are situated in different hemispheres and influenza is strongly seasonal. The optimal correlation we can obtain by shifting the ILI rate time series is equal to 0.68 (US-ES). Notably, the metric for ILI may differ in the countries we considered in this paper. Therefore, in our experiments we are working with a standardized representation of ILI (z-score).

3 METHODS

Disease rate estimation from online search data is commonly formulated as a regression task [21, 35]. The aim is to learn a function $f : X \rightarrow y$ that maps the input space of search query frequencies, $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}$, to the target variable, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, representing disease rates; $n$ denotes the number of samples and $s$ is the size of the feature space, i.e. the number of unique search queries we are considering. More specifically, $X$ contains the time series of search query frequencies, and $y$ represents a rate of disease diagnoses in a population (as reported by a health agency) at corresponding times. The time interval for computing the frequency of queries is often set to one week to match the frequency of syndromic surveillance reports.

Regression approaches require observations of the target variable $y$ (ground truth) for training a machine learning model. This restricts the application of such techniques to areas where historical disease rates are available. We attempt to address this limitation by proposing a transfer learning methodology, that maps an existing disease model, $f : X \rightarrow y$, from a source location, where disease rates are available, to another location, where disease rates are not possible to obtain. We define the source domain as $D_s = \{(x_i, y_i)\}$,$^2$Links: CDC (US), cdc.gov, SN (FR), websenti.io/707_jussieu.fr/sentisweb, SISSS (ES), eng.isciii.es/ISCIII, ASPREN (AU), aspren.dmac.adelaide.edu.au.

$^3$ILI is defined as the presence of high fever plus cough or sore throat [11, 46].

$^4$In Figs. 1 and 2, we have set the missing ILI rates for ES to zero for visualization purposes. However, we are not using these rates to train or evaluate models for ES.
The proposed transfer learning framework consists of three steps, described in the following paragraphs, tries to exploit these similarities.

### 3.2 Transfer learning framework

The proposed transfer learning framework consists of three steps which are described in detail in the following sections.

#### 3.2.1 Step 1 — Learning a regression function in the source domain.

Regularized regression has been successfully applied to various text regression tasks, including the estimation of disease rates from social media or online search data \([32, 35]\). In this paper, we use elastic net \([74]\) as our regression function, similarly to previous work on the topic \([35, 37]\). Elastic net combines \(l_1\)-norm regularization, commonly known as the lasso \([58]\), with \(l_2\)-norm, or ridge \([26]\), regularization. In addition to the sparsity encouraged by the \(l_1\)-norm regularization, the \(l_2\)-norm regularizer attempts to address model consistency problems that arise when collinear predictors exist in the input space \([69]\), which is common in text regression tasks \([34, 36, 54]\). Given \(X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}\) and \(y \in \mathbb{R}^n\) from the source domain \(D_s\), we apply a constrained version of elastic net which solves the following optimization problem:

$$
\text{argmin}_{w, \beta} \left( \|y - Xw - \beta \|^2_2 + \lambda_1 \|w\|^2_2 + \lambda_2 \|w\|_1 \right) \quad \text{subject to } w \geq 0,
$$

where \(\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0\) are respectively the \(l_1\)-norm and \(l_2\)-norm regularization parameters, and \(\beta\) denotes the intercept term. The non-negativity constraint for \(w\) may result in a worse performing model for the source country, but, at the same time, makes the weight transfer from a source to a target country more comprehensible (positive weights are easier to interpret) and eventually more accurate in terms of performance (see Section 4.2).

Due to the seasonal nature of influenza, our dataset of candidate queries contains a significant number of confounders, i.e. queries with frequencies that are correlated to IILI rates, but have no link to flu, such as `college basketball` or `spring break`. To remove these unrelated queries we applied a semantic filter based on word embedding representations, similar to the one proposed in \([38, 72, 73]\). Word embeddings were trained on the English Wikipedia corpus using the fastText method \([12]\). A topic about flu, \(T\), was defined as a simple set of two flu-related terms, \(T = \{\text{flu}, \text{`fever'}\}\). For each of the source queries, we calculate a similarity score defined as the product of the cosine similarities between the embeddings of the terms in \(T\) and \(e_q\), i.e.

$$
g(q, T) = \cos(e_q, e_T) \times \cos(e_q, e_T'),
$$

where each cosine similarity component is mapped to \([0, 1]\) via \((\cos(\cdot, \cdot) + 1) / 2\). Queries from the source domain with \(q \leq .5\) are
filtered out and are not considered in our experiments. The remaining queries are used to train an elastic net. This operation further reduces the selected queries to a subset $Q_S$, i.e. the ones that have been allocated a nonzero weight.

3.2.2 Step 2 — Mapping source to target queries. The identified and weighted set of search queries in the source domain ($Q_S$) should be mapped to a set of queries in the target domain from a potential pool of target query candidates ($P_T$). Queries about the same topic may vary in their textual formulation, especially when they are issued by users located in different countries. Even in cases, where countries share the same language, cultural and socioeconomic differences may result into different querying preferences. Thus, simple approaches, where search queries from the source country are translated or directly mapped to queries in the target country, are not effective. In our approach, we utilize word embeddings (mono- or cross-lingual) to map source to target queries based on their broad semantic relationship. We consider both one-to-one and one-to-many query mappings from the source to the target domain. In addition, the weight associated with each source query reflects on how correlated the query is with the modeled disease rate. Therefore, another desired property is to map source queries to target ones based on their pairwise temporal correlation as this rate. Therefore, another desired property is to map source queries

towards the target domain based on semantic similarity and based on the similarity in temporal correlation. To capture both, we define a combined similarity metric, $\Theta$, that is the weighted sum of a semantic similarity $\Theta_s$ and a correlation similarity $\Theta_c$, i.e.

\[
\Theta = \gamma \Theta_s + (1 - \gamma) \Theta_c, \tag{3}
\]

where $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ controls the relative weighting of each. When $\gamma = 1$ the mapping is based only on semantic similarity. Conversely, when $\gamma = 0$ the mapping is based only on the correlation similarity.

Semantic similarity ($\Theta_s$). If the source and target domains have different languages, a translation module is required. For this purpose, we deploy cross-lingual word embeddings. Cross-lingual embeddings are trained using corpora from multiple languages, and can be used to compute word similarities in different languages [57, 60, 61]. Empirical evidence indicates that they can also facilitate better knowledge transfer between languages [2, 44, 47]. The majority of cross-lingual word embedding models are trained by exploiting sources of monolingual text alongside a smaller cross-lingual corpus of aligned text [56]. The alignment can be made at word [2, 5, 18, 41, 57, 60], sentence [39, 75], and document level [44, 62]. In this paper, we utilize a method for learning bilingual word embeddings proposed by Smith et al. [57].

First, for each of the source and target languages, we respectively learn a word embedding space based on monolingual text. For all languages considered in our experiments (English, French and Spanish) we obtained word embeddings by applying fastText on corresponding Wikipedia corpora [12]. The dimensionality of the word embeddings was set to $d = 300$. Then, we used a core selection of exact translation pairs ($s \rightarrow t$) from the source to the target domain language to generate bilingual embeddings. Given the embedding matrices of this alignment dictionary, $E_S$ and $E_T$ both $\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, where $m, d$ denote the number of translation pairs and the dimensionality of the word embedding respectively, we learn a transformation matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ such that $E_T = E_S W$. $W$ is an orthogonal matrix learned by minimizing the squared Euclidean distance between $E_S$ and $E_T$, i.e.

\[
\arg\min_W \|E_S W - E_T\|_2, \text{ subject to } W^T W = I. \tag{4}
\]

The orthogonality constraint ensures that the transformation works both ways, that is $E_T \approx E_S W, E_S \approx W^T E_T$, and $E_T \approx E_S W W^T$ [57].

In addition, Artexte et al. have empirically shown that it also improves the performance of machine translation [4]. The exact solution of Eq. 4 is given by $W = VU^T$, where $E_S^T E_S = U \Sigma V^T$ is the singular value decomposition of $E_S^T E_S$ [4, 23].

A query’s embedding is defined as the average of the embeddings of its tokens, an effective practice for short texts [8, 42, 66, 72]. We denote with $v_{S,i}$, $v_{T,j}$ both $\in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$, the embeddings of a source query (from $Q_S$) and of a target query from $P_T$, respectively. Then, an element $\omega_{ij}$ from the cosine similarity matrix $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times |P_T|}$ between the embeddings of source and valid target queries is given by

\[
\omega_{ij} = \frac{(v_{S,i} \cdot W v_{T,j})}{\|v_{S,i}\|_2 \|v_{T,j}\|_2}. \tag{5}
\]

Note that the cosine similarities are computed after projecting the embeddings of the source domain to the target domain using the transformation matrix $W$.

In theory, we can directly use $\omega_{ij}$ to determine the $k$ most similar target queries to the source query, thus providing a one-to-many mapping. However, in practice when conducting translations based on cross-lingual word embeddings, this may result in the presence of “hubs”, i.e. target words or queries that are similar to unrealistically many different source words, a development that reduces the performance of translation [18, 57]. Smith et al. mitigate this effect by using an inverted softmax ranking, described next [57].

Given $q_i$ in the source language, its translation is determined by finding candidate target queries $q_j^i$ that maximize the probability defined by

\[
P_{j \rightarrow i} = \frac{\exp(\eta \omega_{ij})}{\alpha_j \sum_{z=1}^{s} \exp(\eta \omega_{iz})}, \tag{5}
\]

where $\alpha_j$ is a normalization factor that ensures $P_{j \rightarrow i}$ is a probability, and $s$ is the number of source queries in the vocabulary. The inverted softmax estimates the probability $P_{i \rightarrow j}$ that a candidate target query translates back to the source query, rather than

\[
\text{Table 1: Mean ratio of query frequency over ILI rate (and standard deviation of the mean) in four countries.}
\]

| Search queries                      | US  | FR  | ES  | AU  |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| flu (US/AU), gripe (FR), gripe (ES)| 0.036 (.010) | 0.033 (.012) | 0.032 (.011) | 0.031 (.016) |
| symptoms of flu (US/AU), symptômes de la grippe (FR), síntomas de gripe (ES) | 0.030 (.009) | 0.031 (.012) | 0.029 (.009) | 0.027 (.014) |
| flu in children (US/AU), grippe chez le bébé (FR), gripe en el bebé (ES) | 0.017 (.007) | 0.020 (.008) | 0.019 (.009) | 0.022 (.010) |

We have empirical evidence about this, obtained during the first stages of this work.

The embeddings were obtained from github.com/facebookresearch/fastText
the other way around, \( P_{i \rightarrow j} \) [18, 57]. If a target query is a hub, then the denominator in Eq. 5 will be large, preventing this target query from being selected. The parameter \( \eta \) is learned by maximizing the log probability over the alignment dictionary \((\sigma \rightarrow \tau)\), i.e., \( \arg\max_{\omega, \tau} \sum_{i \in I} \log P\left( P_{i \rightarrow \tau} \right) \). The top-k queries from \( P_{T} \) with the highest pairing probability \( P_{i \rightarrow \tau} \) are then selected as possible translations of the source query \( q_i \). Finally, we compute the semantic (cosine) similarity score \( \Theta_q \) between the source query \( q_i \) and the target query \( q_j \) using \( \Theta_q(q_i, q_j) = \langle e_{q_i}, W e_{q_j}^T \rangle / (\|e_{q_i}\|_2 \|e_{q_j}\|_2) \), where \( e_{q_i}, e_{q_j} \) are the embeddings of \( q_i, q_j \), respectively. Our experiments report results for a variety of values of \( k \).

If the language in the source and the target domain is the same, the previously described approach is not applicable. Given potential differences in querying preferences across different countries, some of the source queries, \( Q_s \), may not be present in the pool of candidate target queries, \( P_T \). Therefore, we use cosine similarity to map each source query to the \( k \) most similar target ones using the common word embedding space for the shared language.

**Temporal correlation similarity** (\( \Theta_t \)). We compute the Pearson correlation between the frequency time series of the source and target queries over a fixed period (set to 5 years in our experiments). Since the flu season may be offset in the target domain with respect to the source domain, we computed the maximum correlation between these two frequency time series using a shifting window of \( \pm \xi \) weeks. The range of possible values for \( \xi \) is determined based on the seasonal offset between the source and target countries (see Section 4). Given a source query, \( q_i \), and a target query, \( q_j \), which is a member of a mapping set \( T \) consisting of \( k \geq 1 \) queries from \( P_T \), and their associated daily search frequencies, \( x_i(t) \) and \( x_j(t) \), respectively, the temporal correlation similarity, \( \Theta_t \), is given by

\[
\Theta_t(q_i, q_j) = \rho \left( x_i(t), x_j(t + l_{ij}) \right),
\]

where \( \rho(x_i(t), x_j(t + l_{ij})) \) denotes the optimal Pearson correlation coefficient between \( x_i, x_j \) within the shifting window. Note that the optimal window is independently computed for each target query in \( T \), and thus optimal shifts may vary.

3.2.3 Step 3 — Weighting target queries. In the previous steps, we have established that a source query \( q_i \), which has received a regression weight \( w_i \), is mapped to a set, \( T_i \), of \( k \geq 1 \) queries in the target domain. If \( k = 1 \), then we can directly assign \( w_i \) to the single target query. If \( k > 1 \), then the source query’s weight, \( w_i \), should be distributed across these \( k \) mapping target queries. To perform this, we have considered two alternatives:

- **Uniform.** We divide the source query weight, \( w_i \), by the number of queries \( q_j \) in \( T_i \), and assign each query in \( T_i \) a weight equal to \( w_j' = w_i / k \).

- **Non-uniform.** The \( k \) target query weights are determined based on each target query’s similarity score \( \Theta_{ij} \), \( j \in \{2, \ldots, k\} \), with the source query (see Eq. 3). More specifically, a target weight \( w_j' \) is defined as \( w_j' = w_i \Theta_{ij} / \sum_{j \in T_i} \Theta_{ij} \).

To obtain a baseline performance estimate, we randomly shuffle the established query mappings in Step 2, and then transfer the source weights to \( k \) target queries using the uniform approach. We repeat this process multiple times and report the mean performance of these randomized transfer learning models.

4 EXPERIMENTS

We deploy the proposed transfer learning framework to estimate ILL rates in three target countries without using any ground truth from these countries to supervise modeling. US is always set as the source country, while the target countries are FR, ES and AU. We assess the performance of the proposed model, comparing it to various baselines, and also provide a qualitative analysis, aiming to interpret some of the intrinsic properties of our approach.

**Settings.** After applying the semantic filter (Eq. 2) to the pool of 34,121 US queries, 1,403 queries were retained. The applied evaluation protocol is as follows. We train a source model (US) using the first 5 flu seasons (2007-12). A flu season is conventionally defined as the 1-year long period from the first week in September to the last week of August in the next year. Prior to applying elastic net, we maintain search queries that have a \( \geq 3 \) Pearson correlation with the US ILL rates (these queries may vary per training fold). We then transfer the model to FR, ES, and AU and test it in the following flu season (2012-13). Then, we move our training data window to include the 2012-13 flu season and remove the first flu season (2007-08), and test in the following season (2013-14), so that we still have 5 flu seasons to train. We repeat this process until we have tested on the last flu season in our data set (2015-16), evaluating performance 4 times in total. The window size \( (\xi) \) used for identifying optimal correlations between the frequency time series of the source and target queries (see Section 3) is set to \( \pm 6 \) weeks for FR and ES. The window is the same for AU, although prior to applying it, the query frequency time series are shifted by 6 months to account for the seasonal difference in the northern and southern hemispheres. For the one-to-\( k \) mapping from a source to a set of target queries, we explore sizes up to \( k = 5 \) (values \( > 5 \) did not yield any different insights). We measure the performance of transferred models by comparing our estimates with their national public health estimates, using Pearson correlation \( (r) \), mean absolute error (MAE), and root mean squared error (RMSE). Regression errors are computed after reverting inferences back to their corresponding non standardized values.

**Baseline models.** To demonstrate the effectiveness of our transfer learning framework, we compare it with four baseline models:

- **Random.** After determining the mapping between source and target queries, the pairs (one-to-\( k \)) are randomly permuted. The source query weight is uniformly distributed across the mapped \( k \) target queries. We repeat this process 2,000 times and report the average inference performance. This random assignment of query weights provides a possibly worst case baseline.

- **Transfer component analysis (TCA).** TCA is a transfer learning approach that aims to learn transfer components across source and target domains in a reproducing kernel Hilbert space using maximum mean discrepancy [48]. After we map source to target queries, TCA is applied to source and target query frequencies.

- **Unsupervised query selection based on semantic similarity.** We apply a semantic filter (described in Eq. 2) to remove queries that are irrelevant to the flu topic. The term pairs ‘grippe’,

\[\text{Note that for AU this may result into including the end of a flu season and the beginning of the next in training and test folds.}\]
Table 2: Performance estimates for the US→FR transfer learning task. Different values of \(\gamma\) determine how queries are mapped from the source to the target domain (\(\gamma=1\): semantic similarity only, \(\gamma=0\): temporal correlation only, \(\gamma=(0,1)\): joint similarity score). Numbers in parentheses represent the standard deviation of the error. The best performance among all transfer learning models is denoted in bold. The best performance among models under a common \(\gamma\) value is underlined. Only the best random mapping performance (R) is enumerated per choice of \(\gamma\). The last two rows show the performance of the baseline models.

| \(\gamma = 0\) | \(\gamma = 1\) | \(\gamma_{opt} = .5\) |
|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| \(k\) | \(w\) | Performance | \(k\) | \(w\) | Performance | \(k\) | \(w\) | Performance |
| | | MAE | RMSE | MAE | RMSE | MAE | RMSE | MAE | RMSE |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | 797 | 78.905 | 136.999 | 789 | 59.584 | 93.752 | 900 | 56.107 | 92.324 | 855 | 51.353 | 78.073 | \(\text{835 (0.045)}\) | 61.532 (10.422) | 100.062 (21.690) |
| 2 | U | 803 | 80.044 | 137.247 | 794 | 59.961 | 94.853 | 890 | 58.372 | 96.282 | 843 | 55.532 | 84.438 | \(\text{833 (0.038)}\) | 63.477 (8.066) | 103.205 (20.179) |
| 3 | U | 802 | 79.010 | 135.995 | 796 | 59.750 | 95.350 | 886 | 57.241 | 94.956 | 844 | 54.806 | 83.578 | \(\text{834 (0.040)}\) | 63.527 (8.124) | 103.093 (19.260) |
| 4 | U | 798 | 79.077 | 135.892 | 795 | 59.429 | 95.295 | 885 | 58.380 | 95.852 | 834 | 57.929 | 90.180 | \(\text{830 (0.040)}\) | 64.179 (6.167) | 104.305 (18.370) |
| 5 | U | 799 | 78.881 | 135.743 | 794 | 58.508 | 95.036 | 893 | 58.439 | 96.988 | 820 | 60.075 | 91.182 | \(\text{829 (0.040)}\) | 60.976 (6.830) | 104.737 (18.023) |
| 6 | NU | 803 | 80.012 | 137.180 | 794 | 59.971 | 94.869 | 890 | 58.360 | 96.268 | 843 | 55.502 | 84.399 | \(\text{833 (0.038)}\) | 63.461 (8.689) | 103.179 (19.059) |
| 7 | NU | 802 | 79.899 | 135.885 | 796 | 59.763 | 95.360 | 886 | 57.244 | 94.453 | 844 | 57.721 | 86.358 | \(\text{834 (0.040)}\) | 63.319 (9.110) | 103.058 (19.259) |
| 8 | NU | 799 | 79.068 | 135.875 | 795 | 59.519 | 95.278 | 885 | 58.367 | 95.834 | 834 | 59.676 | 90.166 | \(\text{830 (0.040)}\) | 64.157 (6.623) | 104.273 (18.381) |
| 9 | NU | 798 | 78.686 | 135.725 | 794 | 58.499 | 95.015 | 893 | 58.434 | 96.972 | 820 | 60.029 | 91.110 | \(\text{829 (0.040)}\) | 60.975 (6.832) | 104.706 (18.033) |
| 10 | R | 771 | 125.422 | 152.275 | 731 | 93.122 | 105.769 | 807 | 125.578 | 109.180 | 825 | 102.972 | 113.607 | \(\text{783 (0.036)}\) | 115.024 (17.943) | 132.413 (22.982) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

\(k\): number of target queries (1-to-1 mapping); \(w\): weighting approach; \(U\): uniform; \(NU\): non-uniform; \(C\): correlation; \(R\): random.
deploying a shifting window) to determine the proportion of the source weight that will be allocated to the k mapped queries. In countries that deploy a translation module based on bilingual word embeddings, the “C” scheme (k = 2 or 3) outperforms the other two (uniform, non-uniform). For the US→AU task, where high semantic similarity often means that very similar queries are being mapped to each other (given the common language), the optimal model is obtained for k = 1, and thus, no further distribution of the weights is required. With or without the “C” weighting scheme, better performance is achieved compared to setting γ = 0 (MAEs of 46.788/48.177, 33.224/34.834 and 34.509/30.275 for FR, ES, and AU). The joint similarity scheme attempts to combine the positive attributes of semantic and correlation based similarities. To assess its potential contribution, we performed a grid search using 9 values of y (from .1 to .9), and presented the results for the best performing one (yopt). For completeness, we also show results for the default settings of y = .5 and k = 1, there are discrepancies between the optimal y value for each task (yopt = .5, .2 and .9 for FR, ES, and AU). One possible explanation may be that this is an artefact of the intrinsic characteristics (size, semantic/temporal similarities) of the pool of candidate target queries used for each task (see Section 4.2). Better performance is always obtained (in terms of MAE and RMSE) compared to the random mapping allocation baseline (“R”), the best performance estimates of which per y value are provided. The same holds for TCA, which performs even worse than random (results are omitted). One explanation for this is that TCA fails to capture the time series structure of this particular data set, an essential property for producing a meaningful solution. Furthermore, the optimal models (joint similarity) outperform the unsupervised baseline in terms of correlation, the only metric which is relevant in this case. Finally, compared to the fully supervised elastic net, the transfer learning unsupervised approach reaches to a comparable performance, which is worse by 23.5%, 5.55%, and 17.5% (in terms of RMSE, for FR, ES, and AU, respectively).

Fig. 2 plots the time series of a selection of these estimates, including the ones of the best performing models, in comparison to the ground truth, for each target country. We can see how these estimates become significantly better when the joint similarity is

Table 3: Performance estimates for US→ES transfer learning task. Please refer to Table 2’s caption for further information.

| Mapping | k | w  | 09/2012 – 09/2013 | 09/2013 – 09/2014 | 09/2014 – 09/2015 | 09/2015 – 09/2016 | Average |
|---------|---|----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
|         |   |     | r MAE RMSE       | r MAE RMSE      | r MAE RMSE      | r MAE RMSE      | r MAE RMSE |
| γ = 0   | 1 | .91 | 780.4 568.4 41.104 | 807.2 57.89 42.137 | 843.9 29.21 47.360 | 791.2 15.23 48.397 | 812.0 14.09 26.303 | 24.275 (3.222) |
|         | 2 | U | 799.2 558.4 42.631 | 833.4 25.80 39.092 | 844.3 30.00 48.128 | 821.4 24.70 36.902 | 827.0 14.09 25.994 | 24.434 (4.240) |
|         | 3 | U | 795.2 557.4 42.883 | 833.4 25.89 37.913 | 843.9 29.59 48.189 | 823.6 25.82 37.913 | 823.6 25.82 25.981 | 24.190 (4.088) |
|         | 4 | U | 783.2 560.4 43.662 | 833.4 25.87 39.207 | 844.3 29.85 48.355 | 809.2 25.71 38.748 | 818.4 24.63 25.225 | 24.427 (3.884) |
|         | 5 | U | 783.2 567.4 43.391 | 833.4 25.60 38.861 | 842.9 30.36 48.800 | 806.2 25.68 39.843 | 818.4 24.67 25.766 | 24.776 (3.892) |
|         | 2 | NU | 799.2 557.4 42.610 | 833.4 25.82 39.095 | 844.3 30.00 48.111 | 821.4 24.72 36.907 | 827.0 14.09 25.991 | 24.240 (4.233) |
|         | 3 | NU | 795.2 558.4 42.867 | 833.4 25.70 38.930 | 843.9 29.89 48.176 | 813.4 24.89 37.712 | 823.6 24.28 24.977 | 24.192 (4.082) |
|         | 4 | NU | 794.2 560.4 43.463 | 833.4 25.70 38.429 | 843.9 29.84 48.325 | 809.2 25.70 38.734 | 818.4 24.62 24.428 | 24.475 (3.881) |
|         | 5 | NU | 783.2 567.4 43.380 | 833.4 25.60 38.866 | 842.9 30.32 48.785 | 806.2 25.67 39.826 | 818.4 24.68 23.784 | 24.714 (3.887) |
|         | R | 383.0 45.46 45.589 | 903.0 25.24 41.718 | 846.3 53.92 61.098 | 819.3 45.76 49.672 | 848.3 53.94 44.120 | 49.599 (7.419) |

**Table 3: Performance estimates for US→ES transfer learning task. Please refer to Table 2’s caption for further information.**

- **Mapping:** The scheme used for mapping.
- **k:** Number of target queries (1-to-1 mapping).
- **w:** Weighting approach.
- **opt:** Optimally tuned.
- **C:** Correlation.
- **R:** Random.
Table 4: Performance estimates for the US→AU transfer learning task. Please refer to Table 2's caption for further information.

| Mapping | k | w | 09/2012 to 09/2013 | 09/2013 to 09/2014 | 09/2014 to 09/2015 | 09/2015 to 09/2016 | Average |
|---------|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
|         | r MAE | RMSE | r MAE | RMSE | r MAE | RMSE | r MAE | RMSE | r MAE | RMSE |
| γ = 0   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 1       | 704 | 26.004 | 35.121 | 38.576 | 709 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 703 | 38.381 |
| 2       | 621 | 32.004 | 34.076 | 37.076 | 628 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 623 | 38.381 |
| 3       | 640 | 33.004 | 35.076 | 38.076 | 645 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 643 | 38.381 |
| 4       | 600 | 29.004 | 32.076 | 35.076 | 606 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 603 | 38.381 |
| 5       | 623 | 31.004 | 34.076 | 37.076 | 628 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 626 | 38.381 |
| 6       | 598 | 28.004 | 32.076 | 35.076 | 598 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 597 | 38.381 |
| 7       | 600 | 29.004 | 32.076 | 35.076 | 606 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 603 | 38.381 |
| 8       | 623 | 31.004 | 34.076 | 37.076 | 628 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 626 | 38.381 |
| 9       | 598 | 28.004 | 32.076 | 35.076 | 598 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 597 | 38.381 |

| γopt = 0.9 |         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Unsupervised Supervised | 911 | 23.004 | 24.076 | 25.076 | 914 | 27.004 | 29.076 | 30.076 | 906 | 28.004 |
| 1       | 704 | 26.004 | 35.121 | 38.576 | 709 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 703 | 38.381 |
| 2       | 621 | 32.004 | 34.076 | 37.076 | 628 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 623 | 38.381 |
| 3       | 640 | 33.004 | 35.076 | 38.076 | 645 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 643 | 38.381 |
| 4       | 600 | 29.004 | 32.076 | 35.076 | 606 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 603 | 38.381 |
| 5       | 623 | 31.004 | 34.076 | 37.076 | 628 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 626 | 38.381 |
| 6       | 598 | 28.004 | 32.076 | 35.076 | 598 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 597 | 38.381 |
| 7       | 600 | 29.004 | 32.076 | 35.076 | 606 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 603 | 38.381 |
| 8       | 623 | 31.004 | 34.076 | 37.076 | 628 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 626 | 38.381 |
| 9       | 598 | 28.004 | 32.076 | 35.076 | 598 | 37.121 | 41.381 | 39.121 | 597 | 38.381 |

| r MAE | RMSE |
|-------|-------|
| 703 | 38.381 |
| 706 | 38.576 |
| 709 | 39.121 |
| 704 | 39.576 |
| 709 | 40.075 |
| 703 | 40.381 |
| 702 | 40.075 |
| 706 | 40.381 |
| 709 | 40.075 |
| 703 | 40.381 |

k: number of target queries (1-to-k mapping), w: weighting approach, U: uniform, NU: non-uniform, C: correlation, R: random

used versus its extremes. The transferred models can very often estimate the peak of the flu season accurately. This includes the time of occurrence as well as its intensity. Notably, ILI rates in the target countries differ in terms of scale compared to others of the source, but the proposed models are capable of capturing different scales effortlessly, providing further evidence about the user search behavior similarities among different countries (Section 3.1). At the same time, most models show some inaccuracies, especially during the time periods with very moderate flu circulation (e.g. summer).

4.2 Qualitative analysis

A fair criticism for the proposed framework is that in a practical scenario the optimal values for γ and k cannot be validated. However, we have already demonstrated that the default settings of γ = 0.5 and k = 1 provide very satisfactory performance in all our case studies. Fig. 3 looks further into this, depicting performance estimates (MAE) for different values of γ. As discussed previously, optimal γ values differ per target country. Interestingly, all error trends are monotonically decreasing (as γ increases) until they reach a minimum, and then begin to monotonically increase. We argue that γopt reflects on the actual pool of candidate target queries (Pγ), although we have a small sample size to be able to empirically prove this. In our data, the average correlation over the average semantic similarity ratio between all source-target query pairs is equal to 1.143, .982 and 2.261, for the FR, ES, and AU tasks respectively. These ratios depend on characteristics of the target queries which we are not controlling for in our approach. They do correlate with the respective optimal γ values (.5, .2, and .9), an insight that can be used to make a more informed choice of γ in future applications of the proposed framework.

Table 5 lists the top-5 query mappings that were the most impactful in the ILI estimates on average during the 10 weeks with the lowest and greatest MAEs (for the optimal transfer models). Impact is determined by the percentage of an estimated ILI rate that is contributed by a query (frequency × weight / estimated ILI rate). The identified pairs during the weeks with the lowest errors are topically coherent (about flu) and in many occasions are accurate translations from the source to the target language. On the other hand, pairs responsible for the largest errors include inaccurate translations that sometimes lead to an off-topic target query selection. For example, “24 hour flu” is mapped to “grippe intestinale” (impact: 13.2%), “child fever” to “sinusitis” (7.7%), and “child temperature” to “warmer” (9.8%). Nevertheless, it is encouraging.

8Grippe intestinale translates to “stomach flu” (formally “viral gastroenteritis”).
that some of these mappings may have been avoided by carefully preprocessing the target query candidates to avoid spurious queries.

The optimal joint similarity transfer models do not improve by increasing the number of target queries ($k > 1$). An interpretation for that might be drawn by the fact that for $k = 1$ at most 77.9% of the selected target queries are unique (at least 22.1% are repetitive selections). Hence, the method seems to be converging to a subset of queries already for $k = 1$. As $k$ increases, the error increases monotonically. This might be due to the existence of various spurious queries in the feature space which are being introduced as additional mappings.

Finally, the choice of adding a non-negativity constraint to the regularized regression function for the source domain (Eq. 1), was also empirically justified. When it is removed, we can learn a more accurate source model for the US, but the MAE on the target countries increases on average by 20.6%, 21.6%, and 20.5% for FR, ES, and AU respectively. This confirms our original assumption that transferring negative weights is a harder task, and thus, error-prone.

5 RELATED WORK

The fundamental properties of transfer learning have been thoroughly discussed in relevant literature [6, 7, 40, 49, 59, 65]. In contrast to traditional machine learning methods, which assume that the training and test data belong to the same domain, i.e. they are drawn from the same feature space and distribution, transfer learning aims to improve the learning function in a target domain by
transferring knowledge from a related, source domain. This concept has been successfully applied to various tasks, including text classification [14, 16, 22, 48], part of speech tagging [10, 28], machine translation [20, 29], and image classification [19, 30, 71].

In this work, we present a statistical framework for transferring a disease surveillance model from a source country, where supervised learning is applicable, to a target country, where no ground truth is available. We formulate it as a cross-lingual transductive regression task [49], which poses the following challenges: (a) ground truth is not available in the target domain, and (b) features (queries) may not belong in the same feature space due to linguistic or cultural differences. Due to (a), multi-task learning models, such as this solution for ILI [72], cannot be used because they still require partial ground truth from the target domain to capture the relationship between the different tasks [13]. To solve (b), a few studies have attempted to learn a mapping of both source and target languages to the same space [27, 55, 57, 64]. For example, Prettenhofer and Stein used unlabeled documents along with a word translation oracle to automatically induce task-specific, cross-lingual correspondences for cross-lingual text classification [55]. In this paper, we used cross-lingual word embeddings to align different languages [57].

Methods have also been proposed for reducing the distance between the source and target features [48, 70]. For example, Pan et al. proposed TCA to learn transfer components across source and target domains in a reproducing kernel Hilbert space using maximum mean discrepancy [48]. Zhou et al. constructed a sparse feature transformation matrix based on compressive sensing theory to map the weight vector of classifiers learned from the source domain to the target domain [70]. However, their tasks are very different from the regression task studied in this paper. These models were not able to capture efficiently the time series structure in our data.

Finally, the topic of disease modelling, and in particular of ILI, from online user-generated content has been extensively studied in the literature. The vast majority of methods proposed supervised solutions, using social media or search engine data together with disease rates from an established health authority [15, 21, 32, 33, 35, 38, 50, 52, 53, 67]. A few unsupervised methods have also been attempted, but they showcased moderate accuracy in terms of correlation [31, 51]. Our approach is able to provide accurate estimates without using any ground truth in the target locations.

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \]

6 CONCLUSIONS

Prior work on estimating disease rates from online user-generated content relies heavily on supervised learning models. Such models require ground truth data which is usually provided by public health organizations. Syndromic surveillance data, however, is either sparse or absent from locations with a poor healthcare infrastructure. This is somewhat ironic as it is often stated that web-based approaches hold considerable promise for regions that lack an established health surveillance system. This paper proposes a transfer learning framework as a potential solution to this problem. We leverage semantic and temporal relationships to map a supervised model from a source to a target location. We show that we can obtain a satisfactory performance (\( r > .92 \) on average) that does not deviate much from a fully supervised model (\( \leq 21.6\% \) increase in RMSE), without using any ground truth from the target domain.

There is a number of avenues for future work. It is highly desirable to perform a study where the target country is from a low or middle income region. However, such a study is complicated, since the lack of ground truth data does not allow the performance to be quantified. Nevertheless, a qualitative study that demonstrated ILI estimates that followed an expected seasonal pattern would be of value. Our experiments on regions with ground truth data allowed us to investigate parameters \( k \) and \( y \), i.e. the choice for the one-to-\( k \) mapping and the relative weight assigned to the semantic and temporal similarities. Our analysis indicated that a one-to-one \( (k = 1) \) mapping performed best on average, and that the optimal \( y \) differed per target country. Although we attempted to justify both outcomes, further experiments on other regions are needed to understand the effect of these parameters better.
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