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It is found that the second-order nonlinearity induced in lead silica by electron-beam irradiation increases linearly with the lead percentage of the glass and a value of 4 pm/V has been estimated for ZF7 lead silica. The electrostatic field created under the glass surface increases with the lead percentage, which can be explained by the theory of stopping collisions of fast electrons. The layer depth is found proportional to the inverse square root of the lead percentage. The accumulative effect of electron irradiation for inducing the nonlinearity appears limited by the breakdown threshold in the charged layer. An optimum total electron charge per unit of scanned area of 0.29 C/m² has been determined for SF2 glass. Nonlinearity layer depths of 1.9–4.1 μm have been estimated by chemical etching for different lead silica and electron voltages, and the coefficients of the Bohr–Bethe penetration formula have been determined for SF2 glass. © 1998 American Institute of Physics. [S0003-6951(98)04047-9]

Glass is a centrosymmetric material and has therefore no second-order susceptibility, $\chi^{(2)}$. However, a variety of centrosymmetry breaking procedures have been demonstrated in the last years and $\chi^{(2)}$ values as large as 1 pm/V have been achieved in the surface of pure silica by thermal poling under an applied electric field and in the surface of a lead silica plate irradiated with an electron beam.

Both the thermal poling and electron irradiation techniques lead to $\chi^{(2)}$ values of the same order in a thin layer beneath the glass surface. Nevertheless, Kazansky et al. have shown that the electron-beam irradiation does not work on pure silica but erases the second-order nonlinearity in thermally poled silica. The same authors observed the absence of second-harmonic (SH) signal in poled lead silica samples with unspecified Pb concentration. This motivated us to investigate the role of the lead component in both $\chi^{(2)}$-inducing techniques and this letter presents results concerning with second-harmonic generation by electron-beam irradiation on a variety of lead silica glasses. We have found that the SH signal by electron implantation increases linearly with the lead concentration. On the other hand, SH generation has been also observed in thermally poled lead silica with high Pb concentration and in this case the SH signal increases exponentially with the Pb concentration.

We used different kinds of commercial lead silica glasses, F2, SF2, SF11, and ZF7, in which the weight concentration of PbO is 47%, 51%, 61%, and 71%, respectively, and other components as listed in Table I. The 2-cm-diam 1-mm-thick samples, polished on both sides, were irradiated by an electron beam in a scanning electron microscope. Three scanning modes were alternatively used: TV mode (0.017 s/frame with 255 scanning lines), SL3 mode (20 s/frame with 1000 scanning lines), and PH mode (160 s/frame with 2000 scanning lines). The scanned area was 5 × 3.7 mm² in the center of the sample and the line spacing for the three modes was 14.5, 3.7, and 1.8 μm, respectively.

After irradiation the samples were tested for evidence of SH generation. A Q-switched Nd:yttrium aluminum garnet (YAG) laser providing 0.4 mJ, 6 ns pulses of 1.064 μm radiation was focused onto the electron-beam scanned area of the sample in similar conditions as in Ref. 1. The focus spot was of 40 μm in diameter and it covered a certain number of scanning lines (from two or three in the TV mode to more than ten in the other modes). The SH signal from the treated lead silica samples was calibrated by comparing with the signal obtained from a piece of crystalline quartz under similar light irradiation conditions. A $\chi^{(2)}$ value of about 4 pm/V was estimated for a ZF7 sample scanned at 6 nA and 30 kV for 15 min in SL3 mode or, equivalently, with a total electron charge (TEC) per unit of scanned area of 0.291 C/m². The phase matching factor has not been considered by assuming an effective layer depth of 2 μm, much less than the coherence distance of 7 μm.

As shown in Fig. 1, the SH signal increases linearly with

| Table I. Composition in percentage of the lead silica glasses used in the work. |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | SF2              | SF11             | ZF7              |
| PbO              | 46.65            | 51.18            | 61.23            |
| SiO₂             | 46.44            | 41.32            | 31.19            |
| NaO₂             | 0.71             | …                | 0.45             |
| K₂O              | 5.46             | 7.0              | 5.932            |
| As₂O₃            | 0.05             | 0.05             | 1.0              |
| Sb₂O₃            | 0.25             | …                | 0.2              |
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the lead percentage. The samples were irradiated with 6 nA at 30 kV in SL3 mode, either during 5 min, line (a), or 15 min, line (b). These two irradiations correspond to a TEC of 0.097 and 0.291 C/m², respectively. The slope of line (b) is larger than that of line (a). The increase of three times of the TEC produces increases of about 30%–40% in the SH signal only. The SH signal from ZF7 is about 60% larger than that from F2. Similar results were obtained for the different scanning modes so that the density of scanning lines is not significant. The SH signal depends on the lead percentage in the lead silica glass and on the TEC of the electron irradiation.

The role of lead can be understood in a twofold way: the permanence of charges and the enhancement of the ionization rate by electron collisions. According to the results of Ref. 2, there exists a pair of positive and negative charged layers below the glass surface and the negative layer locates deeper than the positive one. A strong space-charge electrostatic field is created and, acting on the third-order susceptibility induces the χ(2) nonlinearity in the layered structure. The presence of lead may aid in establishing the positive layer there. On the other hand, during the stopping collision of fast electrons on glass, most of the energy of the electrons is exhausted in exciting substrate molecules and only a small part of it contributes to the ionization collision.11 The ionization rate per cm is

\[
S_0 = \frac{2\pi N e_4}{mv^2} \sum_{nl} c_{nl} Z_{nl} \log \left( \frac{2mv^2}{C_{nl}} \right),
\]

where \(N\) is the atomic density on the target, \(mv^2/2\) is the kinetic energy of electron, \(e\) is the electron charge, \(n\) and \(l\) are the shell quantum numbers, \(Z_{nl}\) is the electron number in the shell, \(-E_{nl}\) is the electron energy in the shell, \(C_{nl}\) is the quantity characterizing the energy of the shell, and \(c_{nl}\) is an integral matrix element proportional to the square of effective nuclear charges acting on the nl shell electrons. Hence, the ionization rate is proportional to the square of effective nuclear charges and so the ionization cross section of lead is much larger than that of silicon. The ionized charge per unit area is proportional to the lead concentration, \(N\), because the effective nuclear charges of other elements are much less. Thus, \(\chi(2) \propto 3\chi(3)Z_{nl} E_{nl} N r\), where \(\chi(3)\) is the third-order susceptibility of lead silica, \(E\) the electrostatic field and \(r\) the lead percentage. Since the SH signal may be assumed proportional to \((\chi(2) L)^2\), where \(L\) is the depth of the nonlinear layer, and the experimental results indicate a linear growing with \(r\), we than conclude that \(L \propto r^{-1/2}\).

Figure 2 shows the SH signal in SF2 samples as a function of the total electric charge by changing either the irradiation time with a fixed current of 6 nA, curve (a), or the beam current with a fixed time of 15 min, curve (b). The maximum of curve (a) at 0.29 C/m² corresponds to 15 min so that both curves would be coincident in that point but different samples were used for the measurements. The curves overlap closely for low TEC values, but curve (b) drops quicker than curve (a) at the other side of the maximum. Electric breakdown occurs in the space-charged layer for TEC values larger than the optimum and the inner field becomes weakened. The inhomogeneous distribution of charges when scanning at high electron currents probably explains the stronger breakdown effects in curve (b). Similar behavior was observed in other lead silica glasses.

We analyzed the depth profile of the nonlinearity by applying differential chemical etching with a very dilute HF solution (0.5 wt. %) and measuring the residual SH signal. The etched depths were determined with a Michelson interferometer (迈克尔逊干涉仪).
The electron-beam irradiation was at 6 nA and 30 kV, for 5 min in the SL3 mode. The horizontal line near the bottom denotes the space-selective etching in-between 2 and 7 min etching times. The black spots are deep holes due to fast etching and appear distributed along the edges of the scanned area. Such holes appear quickly during the first etching step and may be associated with accumulation of charges induced by the electron-beam scanning dynamics.

In conclusion, we found that the second-order nonlinearity induced in lead silica by electron beam irradiation increases linearly with the lead percentage of the glass. This can be explained from the theory of stopping collision of fast electrons, showing that the ionization rate is proportional to the lead percentage, \( r \), and the depth of the induced charge distribution is proportional to \( r^{-1/2} \). The \( \chi^{(2)} \) value of 4 pm/V obtained in ZF7 glass irradiated with 0.29 C/m² is about four times that found in poled pure silica. The depth of the charged layer has been estimated by chemical etching and the values of 1.9–4.1 \( \mu \)m have been obtained for different electron voltages in different lead silica glasses.

FIG. 3. (a) Microscopic view covering 2.7 mm \( \times \) 1.8 mm of the etched surface of a SF₂ sample. The electron-beam irradiation was at 6 nA and 30 kV, for 5 min in the SL3 mode. The horizontal line near the bottom denotes the space-selective etching in-between 2 and 7 min etching times. The black spots are deep holes due to fast etching and appear distributed along the edges of the scanned area. (b) Enlarged view of the scanned area edge covering 0.69 mm \( \times \) 0.46 mm.

The etching depths required to halve the SH signal, we estimate the depth of the nonlinear layer to be 4.1 \( \mu \)m for 30 kV, 3.1 \( \mu \)m for 25 kV, and 1.9 \( \mu \)m for 20 kV. The penetration of electrons in the lead silica can be calculated from the Bohr–Bethe formula\(^{2,11}\)

\[
d = CE_0^\alpha,
\]

where \( d \) is the penetration in \( \mu \)m, \( E_0 \) is the electron energy in keV, \( \alpha \) and \( C \) are constants depending on the material and from the etching data are estimated to be \( \alpha = 0.46 \) and \( C = 0.57 \) for the SF₂ glass. Table II does not give a linear relation between the SH signal and the electron voltage. If the scanning time is changed to 15 min at 6 nA, 30 kV in SL3 mode, the charged depth of SF₂ becomes 2.2 \( \mu \)m. The depths in scanned ZF₇ glass are 2 \( \mu \)m for 15 min and 3.5 \( \mu \)m for 5 min, at 6 nA and 30 kV in SL3 mode. The layer depth basically satisfies the inverse square root relation, \( L \propto r^{-1/2} \).
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