The development and validation of a scoring tool to predict the operative duration of elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy
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Abstract

Background The ability to accurately predict operative duration has the potential to optimise theatre efficiency and utilisation, thus reducing costs and increasing staff and patient satisfaction. With laparoscopic cholecystectomy being one of the most commonly performed procedures worldwide, a tool to predict operative duration could be extremely beneficial to healthcare organisations.

Methods Data collected from the CholeS study on patients undergoing cholecystectomy in UK and Irish hospitals between 04/2014 and 05/2014 were used to study operative duration. A multivariable binary logistic regression model was produced in order to identify significant independent predictors of long (> 90 min) operations. The resulting model was converted to a risk score, which was subsequently validated on second cohort of patients using ROC curves.

Results After exclusions, data were available for 7227 patients in the derivation (CholeS) cohort. The median operative duration was 60 min (interquartile range 45–85), with 17.7% of operations lasting longer than 90 min. Ten factors were found to be significant independent predictors of operative durations > 90 min, including ASA, age, previous surgical admissions, BMI, gallbladder wall thickness and CBD diameter. A risk score was then produced from these factors, and applied to a cohort of 2405 patients from a tertiary centre for external validation. This returned an area under the ROC curve of 0.708 (SE = 0.013, \( p < 0.001 \)), with the proportions of operations lasting > 90 min increasing more than eightfold from 5.1 to 41.8% in the extremes of the score.

Conclusion The scoring tool produced in this study was found to be significantly predictive of long operative durations on validation in an external cohort. As such, the tool may have the potential to enable organisations to better organise theatre lists and deliver greater efficiencies in care.
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There are 70,000 cholecystectomies performed in the UK each year, making it one of the most common general surgical operations [1]. The average operative duration for this laparoscopic procedure is usually < 1 h [2]. With the average hourly cost for an operating theatre being £1200, efforts to utilise every minute of allocated theatre time is vital [3]. This is especially so in a resource constrained National Health Service (NHS) working environment that is required to save £20 bn by 2020 to remain sustainable for the future [4]. Poor planning can lead to cancellations, which are expensive for the Trust and, more importantly, distressing for patients. One particular study found that 63% of on-the-day cancellations were due to a lack of theatre time [5], with another study demonstrating that approximately
30% of lists are under-run, leaving the operating theatre idle [6]. Careful planning and scheduling is therefore paramount to increase operating theatre efficiency and, in doing so, it is estimated that NHS trusts can make efficiency savings of approximately £4 m per year [3]. In a time where rising demographic pressures are demanding ever increasing spending on healthcare, it is vital we optimise the use of our existing resources.

Previous research in other surgical areas has assessed a variety of patient factors and their impact on operative duration [7–11], but studies in laparoscopic cholecystectomy are few and a predictive scoring tool is yet to be developed. Traditionally, surgeons have estimated their operative durations, but research has demonstrated that these estimates are often inaccurate [6]. Many hospitals have now moved to electronic systems and central schedulers with the aim of reducing costs. However, such systems require significant learning time to adapt to organisational needs [12]. Historical procedure and surgeon data have been used to estimate operative duration. However, this is known to be of low accuracy, as it fails to account for pre-operative patient factors [12].

We aimed to create a clinically useful scoring tool to predict the operative duration of laparoscopic cholecystectomy using pre-operative patient factors and to externally validate its reliability using a separate dataset.

Methods

Two datasets were used in this study, to allow for a risk score to be produced and externally validated:

CholeS dataset

The CholeS study was a multicentre, prospective population-based cohort study that assessed variations in patient factors with outcomes of cholecystectomy [13, 14]. The protocol for this study has been published previously [15]. Data were collected from 8820 patients who underwent a laparoscopic cholecystectomy at 166 hospitals in the UK between March to April 2014, and was found to be 99.2% accurate by independent data validation. Pre-operative variables included patient demographics, indications for surgery, admission type, ASA grade, ultrasound findings and pre-operative ERCP. Surgical duration was calculated from the time (minutes) of skin incision to end of skin closure.

For this study, all patients undergoing emergency cholecystectomy were excluded (N=1420), as these are associated with long procedure times and elective theatre utilisation was the focus of this study. In addition, those where the operative duration was not recorded (N=168) were excluded, as were those with an operative duration <10 min (N=5), as these were thought to be unrealistic. This left a total of 7227 patients for analysis.

Validation dataset

The validation dataset was retrospectively collected from the University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust (UHB)—a large tertiary hospital with 1213 inpatient beds and 32 operating theatres [16]. Data were collected for all elective laparoscopic cholecystectomies carried out between 2010 and 2016, excluding emergencies, as well as those having combined procedures. This left a total of N=2405 patients for analysis. Data for surgical duration and pre-operative factors were gathered from a variety of electronic hospital systems, including the Lorenzo patient information system, Galaxy operating theatre system and PICS (Prescribing Information and Communication system). ASA grades were calculated using comorbidities derived from the informatics database, and were based on the definitions provided by the American Society of Anaesthesiologists [17].

Statistical methods

Initially, the operative duration was dichotomised into groups of ≤ 90 vs. > 90 min. Univariable analyses were then performed, comparing the rates of operative durations > 90 min across a range of factors. Comparisons across categorical factors were made using Fisher’s exact tests, whilst Mann–Whitney tests were used to compare ordinal factors between the operative duration groups.

A multivariable analysis was then performed to identify independent predictors of operative duration. A binary logistic regression model was produced, with a forwards stepwise approach used to select variables for inclusion. The resulting model was then converted to a risk score, by rounding the beta coefficient (log-odds) of each factor to the nearest 0.5, after multiplying by a constant to minimise the impact of rounding errors. Where this resulted in negative values, the reference category was changed, such that all values in the score were positive. The predictive accuracy of the model was then assessed using ROC curves. The model was also applied to a second cohort of patients for external validation.

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 22 (IBM Corp. Armonk, NY), with p < 0.05 deemed to be indicative of statistical significance throughout.

Results

Demographics

After exclusions, data were available for 7227 surgeries in the derivation (CholeS) cohort. The patients had a mean
The age of 51 years (SD = 16), and the majority were female (74.8%). The median operative duration was 60 min, with an interquartile range (IQR) of 45–85 min. Operations lasted for > 90 min in 17.7% (\(N = 1279\)) of the cohort.

### Risk score derivation

Associations between the operative duration and a range of demographic and pre-operative factors are reported in Table 1. All of the factors considered were found to be significantly associated with longer operative durations. For this reason, a multivariable analysis was performed, in order to identify which factors were independently associated with operative duration (Table 2). This analysis found the likelihood of an operation taking > 90 min to increase significantly with BMI \((p < 0.001)\), ASA grade \((p < 0.001)\), and the number of previous surgical admissions that the patient had \((p = 0.005)\). In addition, the indication on admission significantly influenced operative duration \((p < 0.001)\), with patients admitted with acalculous and cholecystitis being the most likely to have operations lasting > 90 min. Patients with a thickened gallbladder, a dilated CBD diameter, or who had received a pre-operative CT or planned intra-operative cholangiogram were significantly more likely to take > 90 min \((p < 0.001)\). In addition, patients of male gender \((p = 0.002)\) and aged 40+ \((p = 0.004)\) were also at significantly higher risk of requiring a longer operative duration.

These 10 factors were then combined to form a risk score (Table 3). This score has a potential range from 0 to 20, although the observed range in the cohort was 0.5–17.5 (median = 5). A ROC curve analysis returned an area under the curve (AUROC) of 0.696 \((SE = 0.009, p < 0.001)\) for the prediction of operations lasting > 90 min.
Validation

The risk score was then applied to a cohort of \( N = 2405 \) patients from UHB for external validation. This cohort had a similar operative duration to the derivation cohort, with a median of 66 min (IQR: 52–85), and with 20.0\% (\( N = 481 \)) of operations taking > 90 min. The median risk score was found to be 4.5, with a range from 0 to 14.5. The score as a whole had a similar degree of predictive accuracy to that observed in the derivation cohort, with an AUROC of 0.708 (SE = 0.013, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 1).

Discussion

Our study has created a scoring tool that uses pre-operative patient factors to predict the probability that a laparoscopic cholecystectomy will take > 90 min. This scoring tool has also been successfully externally validated against a separate dataset and has demonstrated predictive accuracy. The results showed that, for low-scoring individuals vs. high-scoring individuals, the proportion of operations taking > 90 min increases significantly from 5.8 to 41.4\%.

We hope this scoring tool could enable better planning and utilisation of elective theatre lists. A better
understanding of patient factors that result in increased operative duration and how this affects surgeon workload can help to optimise theatre scheduling and result in fewer cancellations. With theatres being one of the most expensive resources to run [18], even small improvements in theatre utilisation have the potential to impact costs greatly, and with many trusts under pressure to tackle unsustainable deficits [19], it is possible that utilising this scoring tool may be helpful in addressing this issue. This is particularly possible, given that laparoscopic cholecystectomies are one of the most commonly performed operations in the NHS [1].

Thiels et al. [12] assessed the surgical duration of 1801 elective laparoscopic cholecystectomies from 2007 to 2013 and found female sex, BMI, ASA grade and pre-operative laboratory results to be predictive factors in influencing operative duration. They used a large group of patients from the NSQIP (American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program) to validate their findings [12]. Zdichavsky et al. [20] performed a retrospective analysis of 677 consecutive patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomies from 2004 to 2007 (excluding conversions, intra-operative cholangiogram and concurrent liver cirrhosis) and found male sex, obesity, acute cholecystitis and previous abdominal surgery to be independently predictive of duration. In a small study of only 138 cholecystectomies, junior residents took significantly longer to complete a cholecystectomy than their senior counterparts (p < 0.05) [21].

In our study, factors found to be independently predictive of operative duration were patient age, gender, ASA grade, operative indication, BMI, CBD diameter, gallbladder wall thickness, pre-operative CT scan, planned intra-operative cholangiogram and the number of previous surgical admissions. These factors are broadly similar to previous work by other researchers [12, 20, 21]. Our study expands on their work and has developed a clinically useful scoring tool. To our knowledge, this is the first study to use patient factors to create a validated scoring tool to predict operative duration for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomies.

Our study uses high-quality, validated, prospective data that were collected as part of the CholeS study. The

### Table 3 Risk score

| Factor                        | Points |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Age (years)                   |        |
| <40                           | 0      |
| 40+                           | 1.5    |
| Gender (male)                 |        |
| Female                        | 0      |
| Male                          | 1      |
| Indication                    |        |
| Pancreatitis                  | 0      |
| Colic/dyskinesia/polyp        | 0.5    |
| CBD stone                     | 2      |
| Acalculous/cholecystitis      | 2.5    |
| BMI <25                       | 0      |
| 25–35                         | 1      |
| >35                           | 2      |
| CBD diameter                  |        |
| Normal                        | 0      |
| Dilated                       | 2      |
| Gallbladder wall              |        |
| Normal                        | 0      |
| Thick                         | 1.5    |
| Pre-operative CT              |        |
| No                            | 0      |
| Yes                           | 1.5    |
| Planned intra-op cholangiogram|        |
| No                            | 0      |
| Yes                           | 3      |
| Number of previous surgical admissions |        |
| 0                             | 0      |
| 1–2                           | 1      |
| >2                            | 2.5    |
| ASA 1                         | 0      |
| 2                             | 1      |
| >2                            | 2.5    |

Based on the multivariable analysis in Table 2. The number of points for each factor was calculated by rounding the beta coefficient to the nearest 0.5, after multiplying by 4 to minimise rounding errors. Categories for a factor that had the same number of points (e.g. age < 30 and 30–39) were combined to simplify the table.

Fig. 1 Demonstrates the relationship between the risk score and the proportion of operations taking > 90 min in the validation cohort. Of the 470 patients with risk scores of 0–3, only 5.1% (N = 24) of operations took > 90 min, increasing to 41.8% (109/261) in those with risk scores > 8.
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**Conclusion**

We have created a scoring tool to predict operative durations of elective laparoscopic cholecystectomies using pre-operative patient factors. Whilst previous research may have examined the significance of individual factors, there remained a lack of a formal scoring tool. Using the 7227 patient CholeS dataset to derive the scoring system, and a UHB database of 2405 patients to subsequently validate the tool, we have shown that it is possible to predict operations that are likely to last greater than 90 min. This could be useful for theatre schedulers to ensure theatre lists are planned appropriately to optimise theatre utilisation and achieve cost savings. For example, the tool could be used to select the most appropriate patients to fit into a half day operating list with a low risk of overrunning. Another use could be to place patients with a long operative duration (and hence higher operative difficulty) on a specialist surgeon’s operating list.
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