Reductions in aircraft particulate emissions due to the use of Fischer–Tropsch fuels
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Abstract. The use of alternative fuels for aviation is likely to increase due to concerns over fuel security, price stability, and the sustainability of fuel sources. Concurrent reductions in particulate emissions from these alternative fuels are expected because of changes in fuel composition including reduced sulfur and aromatic content. The NASA Alternative Aviation Fuel Experiment (AAFEX) was conducted in January–February 2009 to investigate the effects of synthetic fuels on gas-phase and particulate emissions. Standard petroleum JP-8 fuel, pure synthetic fuels produced from natural gas and coal feedstocks using the Fischer–Tropsch (FT) process, and 50 % blends of both fuels were tested in the CFM-56 engines on a DC-8 aircraft. To examine plume chemistry and particle evolution with time, samples were drawn from inlet probes positioned 1, 30, and 145 m downstream of the aircraft engines. No significant alteration to engine performance was measured when burning the alternative fuels. However, leaks in the aircraft fuel system were detected when operated with the pure FT fuels as a result of the absence of aromatic compounds in the fuel.

Dramatic reductions in soot emissions were measured for both the pure FT fuels (reductions in mass of 86 % averaged over all powers) and blended fuels (66 %) relative to the JP-8 baseline with the largest reductions at idle conditions. At 7 % power, this corresponds to a reduction from 7.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for JP-8 to 1.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for the natural gas FT fuel. At full power, soot emissions were reduced from 103 to 24 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (JP-8 and natural gas FT, respectively). The alternative fuels also produced smaller soot (e.g., at 85 % power, volume mean diameters were reduced from 78 nm for JP-8 to 51 nm for the natural gas FT fuel), which may reduce their ability to act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN). The reductions in particulate emissions are expected for all alternative fuels with similar reductions in fuel sulfur and aromatic content regardless of the feedstock.

As the plume cools downwind of the engine, nucleation-mode aerosols form. For the pure FT fuels, reductions (94 % averaged over all powers) in downwind particle number emissions were similar to those measured at the exhaust plane (84 %). However, the blended fuels had less of a reduction (reductions of 30–44 %) than initially measured (64 %). The likely explanation is that the reduced soot emissions in the blended fuel exhaust plume results in promotion of new particle formation microphysics, rather than coating on pre-existing soot particles, which is dominant in the JP-8 exhaust plume. Downwind particle volume emissions were reduced for both the pure (79 and 86 % reductions) and blended FT fuels (36 and 46 %) due to the large reductions in soot emissions. In addition, the alternative fuels had reduced particulate sulfate production (near zero for FT fuels) due to decreased fuel sulfur content.

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.
To study the formation of volatile aerosols (defined as any aerosol formed as the plume ages) in more detail, tests were performed at varying ambient temperatures (−4 to 20°C). At idle, particle number and volume emissions were reduced linearly with increasing ambient temperature, with best fit slopes corresponding to $-8 \times 10^{14}$ particles $(\text{kg fuel})^{-1} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ for particle number emissions and $-10 \, \text{mm}^3 \, (\text{kg fuel})^{-1} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ for particle volume emissions. The temperature dependency of aerosol formation can have large effects on local air quality surrounding airports in cold regions. Aircraft-produced aerosols in these regions will be much larger than levels expected based solely on measurements made directly at the engine exit plane. The majority (90% at idle) of the volatile aerosol mass formed as nucleation-mode aerosols, with a smaller fraction as a soot coating. Conversion efficiencies of up to 2.8% were measured for the partitioning of gas-phase precursors (unburned hydrocarbons and SO$_2$) to form volatile aerosols. Highest conversion efficiencies were measured at 45% power.

1 Introduction

Aircraft are a unique anthropogenic source of pollution as they emit at both ground-level and high altitude. Ground-level emissions have an effect on local air quality in regions surrounding airports, while emissions at altitude increase cloud cover (through the formation of contrails and aircraft-induced cloudiness) and increase background levels of black carbon, which is estimated to be the second strongest contributor to current global warming (Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008). Excluding newly identified emissions of lubrication oils (Yu et al., 2012), aircraft particulate emissions (including direct emissions of soot and secondary aerosols formed from the processing and partitioning of gas-phase emissions) are especially linked to the composition of the fuel. Aromatics in the fuel lead to the formation of soot (Bauer and Jeffers, 1988; Richter and Howard, 2000), while fuel sulfur is converted to gas-phase sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) and then to particulate sulfate (Tremmel and Schumann, 1999; Lukachko et al., 2008). Thus, changes to the composition of the fuel lead to changes in particulate emissions.

The continued increase in energy usage and political instability in many petroleum-producing countries have increased the demand for alternative aviation fuel research with the belief that the introduction of commercial-scale non-petroleum fuel sources will improve fuel security and stabilize future prices. Current research has focused on the goal of developing “drop-in” fuels, allowing for use in aircraft without engine modification (Law, 2012). Possible feedstocks include bio-renewable resources (plants, algae, or animal fat) and alternative fossil fuels (such as coal or natural gas). These feedstocks are reformulated to provide a fuel with similar physical (such as viscosity and density) and combustion properties (such as heat of combustion, ignition delay, and flame speed) as petroleum-derived fuel but likely with distinct chemical compositions.

The production of liquid fuel from coal, natural gas, and biomass dates back to the early 20th century. The first step in this process is the production of syngas (a mixture of CO and H$_2$) from the feedstock. The syngas can be converted via the Fischer–Tropsch (FT) process to produce hydrocarbons and further processed to produce a fuel with a volatility range similar to JP-8. This process leads to a fuel composed primarily of alkanes with very little aromatic content and no sulfur. These characteristics are beneficial when considering particulate emissions from aircraft engines burning FT fuels as low levels of aromatics have been shown to produce less soot, particularly at low engine power (Corporan et al., 2010; Timko et al., 2010; Lobo et al., 2011), and low sulfur content leads to decreased formation of volatile aerosols (defined as any aerosol formed as the plume ages).

However, it should be noted that while FT fuels result in reduced particulate emissions, the synthesis of FT fuels is likely to produce more CO$_2$ than the production of standard jet fuel. For example, Jaramillo et al. (2008) estimated life-cycle greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions for an automotive FT fuel from coal and natural gas that are twice as large as for petroleum-based gasoline. To equalize the GHG emissions it is necessary for FT fuel production facilities to utilize carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) technology and use a low-carbon source of energy (such as nuclear or renewable sources). Alternatively, the use of a biomass feedstock also can reduce GHG emissions relative to petroleum-based fuels (Xie et al., 2011). While it is essential to take into account the feedstock when determining overall emissions, it is believed that reductions in particulate emissions are expected for all alternative fuels with similar fuel composition regardless of the feedstock. Therefore it is important to characterize the particulate emissions produced from burning alternative fuels based on fuel composition and not on the specific production process in order to guide future advancements in production technologies.

To study the effects of FT fuel usage on aircraft gaseous and particulate emissions, NASA sponsored the Alternative Aviation Fuel Experiment (AAFEX). Measurements were made behind a commercial aircraft burning standard JP-8, neat FT and JP-8–FT blended fuels. Particulate emissions are presented here, while gas-phase chemistry is presented in Lee et al. (2011) and Santoni et al. (2011). In addition to measurements at the exhaust plane, downwind plumes were sampled to determine the effects of aging on aerosol concentrations and composition including the role of ambient temperature. Emissions from the aircraft’s auxiliary power unit (APU) are discussed in Kinsey et al. (2012).
Table 1. Measurements used for analysis.

| Parameter                                | Instrument                                                                 | Sampling Location |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Total Particle Number (> 4 nm)           | Condensation Particle Counter (CPC, TSI 3775)                              | 1, 30, and 145 m  |
| Total Particle Number (> 5.6 nm)         | Engine Exhaust Particle Spectrometer (EEPS, TSI 3090)                      | 1 and 30 m        |
| Aerosol Size Distribution (9–310 nm)     | Differential Mobility Analyzer (DMA) output to a CPC (TSI 3776)            | 1, 30, and 145 m  |
| Black Carbon Mass                         | Multi-Angle Absorption Photometer (MAAP, Thermo Scientific 5012)          | 1 and 30 m        |
| Particulate Sulfate and Organic Mass     | Compact Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (C-ToF-AMS, Aerodyne Research Inc.) | 1 and 30 m |
| CO and CO\(_2\)                          | Non-Dispersive Infrared Spectroscopy (NDIR)                               | 1 m               |
| Unburned Hydrocarbons (UHCs)\(^b\)       | Flame Ionization Detector (FID)                                           | 1 m               |
| SO\(_2\)                                 | UV Fluorescence                                                           | 1 m               |

\(^a\) The EEPS measures the size distribution of particles between 5.6 and 560 nm. The particle number concentration is found by integrating this size distribution.

\(^b\) Used to calculate the combustion efficiency.

2 Experimental design

The AAFEX experiment was performed at the NASA Dryden Aircraft Operations Facility in Palmdale, California from 20 January to 3 February 2009 with participants from Aerodyne Research Inc., the Air Force Research Lab at Wright-Patterson, Arnold Engineering Development Center, Carnegie-Mellon University, the US EPA, Harvard University, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Montana State University, NASA (Dryden, Glenn, and Langley Research Centers), United Technologies Research Center, and the University of California (San Diego). The large collaboration allowed for a variety of measurements and comparison between similar measurements to determine optimal sampling methods. A complete list of instrumentation is provided in the NASA AAFEX Technical Report (Anderson et al., 2011) and measurements used in the present analysis are listed in Table 1. Many of the experimental techniques were based on previous NASA-sponsored experiments including the three tests in the Aircraft Particle Emissions Experiment series (APEX; Wey et al., 2007).

The test aircraft was a DC-8 with four CFM-56-2C1 engines. The aircraft was parked on the tarmac and exhaust inlet probes were placed at 1, 30, and 145 m behind the exhaust planes of the #2 and #3 engines (left and right inboard, respectively; Fig. 1). Samples collected at 1 m were diluted with a concentric flow of dry nitrogen at the tip of the probes to prevent condensation of water and low-volatility exhaust components. This dilution ratio was typically on the order of 10–20:1. Exhaust drawn into the downstream probes was typically naturally diluted by a factor of 20 or more with background air and was thus analyzed without additional dilution. Unheated stainless steel sample lines carried the exhaust flow from the 1 and 30 m probes to trailers that housed the research instrumentation. The two 1 m and two 30 m probes were each sampled individually in alternating time periods during the course of a test run. The full instrumentation suite was available to analyze samples extracted at 1 and 30 m, whereas the 145 m sample was analyzed with a smaller set of instruments housed in a separate trailer. At 145 m downwind, the exhaust from the left and right engines often merged, resulting in a mix between emissions from the two engines. For this reason, data from the 145 m probe are only considered for periods when the same fuel was burned.

Fig. 1. Diagram of the AAFEX test setup. Exhaust samples were drawn from 1, 30, and 145 m probes (circles; details in Anderson et al., 2011) mounted behind both the #2 engine (left, inboard), which burned only JP-8 fuel, and the #3 engine (right, inboard), which burned JP-8 and alternative fuels. Five mobile labs contained instrumentation for the 1 m and 30 m probes, while a separate lab contained instrumentation specifically for the 145 m probe. Probe distances are not drawn to scale.
in both engines (i.e., only for JP-8 fuel tests since the alternative fuels were never burned in engine #2).

During testing, the #2 and #3 engines were cycled through a set of eight different power settings from 4 to 100 % of maximum rated thrust (fuel flow rates of 0.13–0.96 kg s⁻¹ or 1000–7600 lb hr⁻¹), which correspond to the range from ground idle to take-off. The International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) standardized power for ground idle is 7 %; however, aircraft typically idle at powers closer to 4 % (Herndon et al., 2009). The #2 engine was fueled with JP-8, while the #3 engine was fueled with one of the five test fuels: JP-8, a FT fuel made from natural gas by Shell (FT-1); a FT fuel made from coal by Sasol, Ltd. (FT-2); and 50/50 blends of each of the FT fuels with JP-8 (Blend-1 and Blend-2, respectively). Due to differences between the two engines (discussed in Sect. 3.2), analysis of emissions from the different fuels is based solely on the #3 engine data. Data from the #2 engine (JP-8 fuel only) are used for analysis of ambient temperature effects on volatile aerosol formation.

The hazardous conditions directly behind the aircraft engines necessitated long sampling lines between the probes and instrumentation. As part of AAFEX, tests were performed to determine losses of particulates in the sampling lines (Anderson et al., 2011). Transmission efficiencies were found to be on average 60 % for both the 1 and 30 m probes on the #3 engine (Fig. S1). A minor size dependence was seen with efficiencies of 45 % for 10 nm particles, 50 % for 20 nm, and 70 % for 100 nm soot. Because of the uncertainties in the transmission efficiencies, the data have not been corrected for this loss. Correcting for line losses would change the emission indices dramatically; however relative reductions in alternative fuel emission indices to JP-8 would change by less than 3 %. Correcting the emissions for size-dependent losses results in changes in aerosol size distributions of less than 1 nm.

Fuel properties were measured before and after the experiment by the Air Force Research Laboratory at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (Table S1). The JP-8 had an aromatic content of 19 % and sulfur content of 1148 ppm (by mass), while the neat FT fuels were essentially aromatic- and sulfur-free; the blended fuels had concentrations of these components that were intermediate between the two extremes. The FT fuels were similar in composition except FT-1 was composed primarily of straight-chain alkanes, while FT-2 was primarily branched.

Tests were performed between 5:00 and 16:00 local time. During the AAFEX timeframe the temperature ranged from about −5 °C at sunrise to 20 °C in the midafternoon. Experiments were planned such that each alternative fuel was tested twice with one of the tests early in the morning and the other in the afternoon. This allowed for a determination of the effects of ambient temperature on particulate emissions.

3 Results

3.1 Engine performance

The alternative fuels were compared to the standard JP-8 to determine differences between the fuels. During testing, the engine thrust was changed by adjusting the fuel flow rate, which was corrected for differences in fuel density and heating values amongst the fuel types. Once corrected, the required fuel flow rates to produce a given engine’s low-pressure fan speed (N1) were similar amongst the fuel types showing little effect on engine performance for the FT fuels. The major operational issue observed was leaks in the aircraft fuel system when the neat FT fuels were allowed to sit in the tanks for more than a few hours. This is the result of near-zero levels of aromatic compounds in the neat FT fuels. Aromatics in fuel cause elastomer seals in the fuel system to swell (DeWitt et al., 2008) and thus their absence in the FT fuels resulted in leakage. Leaks were corrected when the neat FT fuel was replaced with JP-8 or the blended fuels.

Complete combustion of fuels would result in CO₂ as the only carbon-containing emission. Based on the carbon content of the fuel, a theoretical CO₂ emission index (EI) can be determined for each fuel varying between 3090 g CO₂ kg⁻¹ fuel burned for FT-1 and 3160 g CO₂ kg⁻¹ for JP-8. Combustion efficiencies (based on emissions of CO and unburned hydrocarbons – UHCs) above 95 % were seen for all the fuels with efficiencies above 99 % at high power settings (Fig. 2). However, the FT and blended fuels exhibited higher combustion efficiencies than JP-8 at low power settings by approximately 1 % (a response also measured for the combustion of biodiesels; Thaïyatsut et al., 2012). Because of the high combustion efficiencies and the ease of measuring CO₂, it provides a convenient parameter against which to normalize gas-phase and particulate measurements. For example, the particulate number emission index (EI_N, particles kg⁻¹ or kg⁻¹) can be found by

\[ EI_N = \left( \frac{\Delta N}{\Delta CO_2 \cdot 10^{-6}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{M_{air}}{M_{CO_2} \cdot \rho_{air}} \right) \cdot EI_{CO_2}, \]  

where \( \Delta N \) is the enhancement in particle density (particles cm⁻³) above background (for particles with diameters larger than 4 nm), \( \Delta CO_2 \) is the enhancement in CO₂ (ppmv), \( M_{air} \) and \( M_{CO_2} \) are the molar masses of air and CO₂, \( \rho_{air} \) is the density of the air, and the value for EI_{CO2} is dependent on the fuel used. This equation is similar to that suggested by the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) Aircraft Exhaust Emissions Measurement Committee (SAE E31). The same technique can be used to calculate the emission indices of gas-phase SO₂ (EI_{SO_2}, g kg⁻¹), gas-phase unburned hydrocarbons (EI_{UHC}, g kg⁻¹), particulate volume density (EI_v, mm³ kg⁻¹), and particulate masses of black carbon (EI_{BC}, mg kg⁻¹), sulfate (EI_{SO_4}), and organics (EI_{org}). Two measurements of aerosol number concentration were made (Table 1): one by a condensation particle counter (CPC) with a
size cut of 4 nm and the other by integrating the size distribution from 5.6 to 560 nm measured by an engine exhaust particle spectrometer (EEPS). At the 1 m probe, both instruments gave similar concentrations. However at the 30 m probe, the higher particulate number concentrations caused saturation of the CPC signal. Particulate levels were never large enough to saturate the EEPS signal. Therefore, the EEPS data were used for determining EI\text{UHC}, UHCs and SO\textsubscript{2}. Uncertainties in the calculated emission indices are dependent on the uncertainties of the measurements utilized in the calculation. Uncertainties ranged from 12 % for EI\textsubscript{N}, EI\textsubscript{SO\textsubscript{2}}, and EI\textsubscript{UHC} to 22 % for EI\textsubscript{V}, EI\textsubscript{BC}, EI\textsubscript{SO\textsubscript{2}}, and EI\textsubscript{Org}. Additionally, the standard deviations of multiple measurements at the same power conditions are used as indicators of emission variability.

### 3.2 Engine differences

Initially, particulate measurements behind the #3 engine burning alternative fuels were to be compared to measurements behind the #2 engine burning JP-8. This approach assumes that the two engines have similar emission characteristics. To validate this assumption, tests were performed burning JP-8 in each engine. Comparison of the two engines showed similar EI\textsubscript{V} values (a test-to-control-engine ratio of 0.86 ± 0.22) but differing EI\textsubscript{BC} (ratios of 0.56 ± 0.30), with the largest discrepancy at intermediate power settings. Thus, it appears that even though the two engines are of approximately the same age and received the same maintenance and servicing, the #2 engine simply produced more soot at the mid-power settings. In addition, it is possible that there were differences in particulate losses in the lines running between the two probes and the instrumentation. Because of these differences, the #3 engine data will be used for the further analysis of alternative fuels. Data from engine #2 burning JP-8 will allow for analysis of temperature effects on volatile aerosol formation.

### 3.3 Direct emissions

As expected based on previous literature reports, UHC emissions were greatest at low power and decreased significantly with increasing power (Fig. 2). Conversely, EI\textsubscript{SO\textsubscript{2}} was fairly constant with power. A small increase in EI\textsubscript{SO\textsubscript{2}} was measured with increasing power; however this could be a result of interference from NO, which has a large engine-power dependence. Use of the FT fuels resulted in reductions in EI\textsubscript{UHC} of 40 % and in EI\textsubscript{SO\textsubscript{2}} of over 90 %. Blended fuel emissions of UHCs and SO\textsubscript{2} were intermediate between JP-8 and the FT fuels.

Directly behind the engine, aerosol is composed almost entirely of soot due to the high temperatures of the exhaust. The soot is composed of primary spherules, which coagulate to form larger soot aggregates. The primary spherules (soot nuclei) form via the HACA (hydrogen abstraction–carbon addition) process, which first forms polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and then soot (Bauer and Jeffers, 1988; Wang and Frenklach, 1994; McNally and Pfefferle, 1997). This process is favored at high engine power, causing an increase in EI\textsubscript{BC} (Fig. 3). The EI\textsubscript{V} values follow the same trend as EI\textsubscript{BC} (with fairly consistent emissions at low to mid-power and an increase above 45 %); however the trend in EI\textsubscript{V} differs with high emissions at idle, decreasing to minimums at mid-power before increasing above 45 % power. This differing trend in EI\textsubscript{V} is attributed to varying particle size with power (Fig. 4). Soot mean particle diameter increases from 47 nm at idle to 97 nm at 100 % power. This size shift could be the result of formation of soot aggregates from primary spherules (also suggested by Timko et al., 2010); as power increases, more spherules are produced, increasing the probability of coagulation forming larger soot particles.

As shown in Fig. 3, there is a marked decrease in EI\textsubscript{V}, EI\textsubscript{L}, and EI\textsubscript{BC} when burning the alternative fuels. The low aromatic content of the alternative fuels results in lower soot formation. JP-8 emissions exceeded those from FT fuels and blends for all parameters measured and at all powers. No
trend with respect to power is seen in the $E_{IN}$ mass reductions with average FT / JP-8 ratios of 0.14 ± 0.05 and Blend / JP-8 ratios of 0.34 ± 0.15 for all powers. However, the largest $E_{IN}$ and $E_{IV}$ reductions were seen at mid-powers as a result of a shift in the soot size. These reductions were over 95% for the neat FT fuels and 85% for the blended fuels.

The alternative fuels also produced smaller soot particles in comparison to JP-8 (at the same power settings). For example, at 85% power volume mean diameters (VMDs) of 78, 63, and 51 nm were measured for JP-8, Blend-1, and FT-1, respectively. As discussed earlier, this is the result of reduced number concentration of primary soot spherules in the alternative fuel exhaust causing reduced spherule coagulation. Thus, VMD monotonically increases with $E_{IBC}$ (Fig. 5). The amount of coagulation also causes a shift in the soot effective density ($E_{IBC}$ and $E_{IV}$ measured by a MAAP and DMA, respectively; DeCarlo et al., 2004). Density calculations are only made based on soot mass and volume measurements 1 m behind the engine for power settings higher than 65% due to low soot loadings at low power. For the pure FT fuels, with the lowest soot emissions, the relatively lower level of coagulation results in spherules that are tightly packed (higher density). As the $E_{IBC}$ increase, coagulation increases and the resulting soot particles have more branching and voids and thus a lower density. This is seen in the central panel of Fig. 5, where density decreases with increasing $E_{IBC}$. However, a dependence on engine power is also seen. Similar densities (near unity) were measured during APEX (Onasch et al., 2009).

The separate dependence on $E_{IBC}$ leads to an inverse relationship between VMD and density (Fig. 5, right panel). The trend is also apparent for emissions from a modified PW308 gas turbine engine burning JP-8 and Fischer–Tropsch fuels, which emitted larger soot particles (Timko et al., 2010). The dependence of soot density on VMD is in agreement with measurements of synthetic fullerene soot (Gysel et al., 2011), which is often used for calibration of black carbon instrumentation. As seen in the figure, fullerene soot is likely an appropriate surrogate for sub-100 nm aircraft soot particles, but may not be relevant for larger sizes. This is in comparison to Moteki and Kondo (2010), who studied other synthetic black carbon sources and found weaker (or no) correlation between particle size and density.

The differences in particulate emission characteristics observed between the fuels of different aromatic content are expected given the current understanding of soot formation and growth in gas turbine engines. Several studies have shown that the soot production is proportional to fuel aromatic and naphthalene content (Rosfjord, 1987; Chin and Lefebvre, 1990a, b) and inversely proportional to fuel hydrogen content (Sampath et al., 1986; Rosfjord, 1987). Based on the analysis...
of the AAFEX fuels (Table S1), FT-2 had lower hydrogen (15.1 vs. 15.5 %), higher alkene (3.8 vs. 0 %) and higher aromatic (0.6 vs. 0 %) content than FT-1, all of which suggests that the FT-2 fuel should exhibit greater sooting tendency than the FT-1 fuel (which it does). In addition, the FT-2 had more branched alkanes than FT-1, which promotes sooting (DeWitt et al., 2008). The same trend is true for the blended fuels. In general, good correlation was seen at all power settings between soot production (for ElN, ElV and ElBC) and fuel properties, with a linear correlation for aromatic content and an inverse relationship versus hydrogen content. Particle emissions were slightly better correlated with fuel aromatic content than hydrogen content at all thrust settings. However, because the fuel aromatic and hydrogen contents do not vary independently, these results are only suggestive of observed relationships. Additional testing with more varied fuel composition is needed to fully address fuel composition effects on soot formation.

Previous work has also shown significant reductions in soot emissions due to alternative fuel usage. Reductions in comparison to a standard fuel (JP-8 in Timko et al., 2010, and in the current study; Jet A1 in Lobo et al., 2011) are shown in Fig. S2. At idle, reductions measured by all three studies are comparable with reductions of over 90 % for the pure FT fuels and 80 % for 50/50-blended fuels. All three studies show a decrease in reductions as power increases. However, at 85 % the pure FT reductions are higher in the current study (72 % for ElN and 85 % for ElBC) than measured by Timko et al. (2010) (33 % for both ElN and ElBC) and Lobo et al. (2011) (approximately 40 % for ElN and 60 % for ElBC). For the blended fuels, Timko et al. (2010) found no reductions in ElN and ElBC at 85 % power, while the other two studies still had significant reductions. The causes for the range of reductions are likely the result of engine differences (Timko et al. (2010) tested a Pratt–Whitney PW308 engine and Lobo et al. (2011) used a CFM-56-7B); however differences due to fuel composition and experimental design are possible. Despite this, it is clear from these studies that (1) the largest reductions in soot emissions are always seen at idle power, (2) lower reductions are measured at high power, and (3) emissions from blended fuels are intermediate between standard and alternative fuels.

### 3.4 Aged emissions

As the distance from the engine increases, the plume temperature decreases and less-volatile emission species begin...
to condense, either to form new particles or as coatings on existing soot particles. As shown in Fig. 6, only soot-mode particles (80–100 nm) are measured at the exhaust plane, while additional nucleation-mode particles (10–40 nm) are observed downwind. These result in large increases in EI_{VN} and EI_{V} between 1 and 30 m (Fig. 7). Up to a 50 % increase in EI_{VN} is seen between 30 and 145 m but no significant increase in EI_{V} because any particles formed between 30 and 145 m are small (Fig. 6).

Comparing the emission indices at 30 m from all runs (for JP-8 behind engine #2 in Fig. 8), a large spread is seen in the values due to differences in ambient temperature. Data from engine #2 are used as this allows for the analysis to include a greater number of engine runs at more variable temperatures. As ambient temperature increases, aerosol number concentration clearly decreases. To examine the ambient temperature impact more closely, a linear fit of EI_{VN} versus temperature was plotted for each power (Fig. 8, right-hand panel). The y intercept (and its error) gives the emission index at 0 °C (and its error), while the slope (and its error) gives the temperature dependence (and its error) in particles kg fuel)\(^{-1}\) °C\(^{-1}\). The EI_{VN} at 15 °C can then be calculated (15 °C is chosen because it is the ICAO standard temperature). The EI_{VN} (15 °C) decreases with power (Fig. 9) as
a result of reduced precursor UHC emission (which results in less nucleation). The temperature dependence is always negative representing a decrease in nucleation as the ambient temperature increases. The temperature dependence is also most pronounced at low power but is relatively constant at all other powers.

A similar analysis can be performed for the aerosol volume data (Fig. 10). The total aerosol volume decreases from low to mid-power due to a decrease in the formation of volatile aerosols. However, the aerosol volume increases at powers greater than 65% due to increased soot production at these powers. A strong temperature dependence of the total aerosol volume is seen at low power but the dependence is negligible at higher powers. The EI\text{V} measurements are a composite of both nucleation-mode particles and soot-mode particles (which in turn are composed of black carbon and any volatile coating). To determine the importance of these components, the nucleation and soot modes are fitted with a bimodal lognormal fit. The volume emissions indices for the two separate modes are shown in Fig. 10. Nucleation-mode volume is similar to the trend for gas-phase UHC emissions with greatest EI\text{V} at low power. As the power increases, the engines produce less hydrocarbons and the mass of volatile aerosols decreases. Sulfur dioxide (also a volatile aerosol precursor) is fairly constant with power and thus not responsible for the decrease in volatile mass. Temperature effects for the nucleation-mode volume are most important at low power.

Conversely, the soot-mode particle volume increases as power increases (Fig. 10). This soot mode is composed of both the soot and its coating. The trend in soot-mode EI\text{V} is similar to the EI\text{BC} trend (Fig. 3). However, to compare the soot EI\text{V} and black carbon EI\text{BC} quantitatively requires the density of the black carbon to be known. At the exhaust plane, the aerosol is composed entirely of soot and the data in Fig. 5 suggest an average soot density (\(\rho_{\text{BC}}\)) of 1.1 g cm\(^{-3}\). Black carbon volumes for the 30 m sampling are then found using this density (assuming the density is constant between 1 and 30 m). Calculated emissions of black carbon volumes agree with the total soot-mode aerosol EI\text{V} to within \(\pm 15 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}\), suggesting an upper limit of \(15 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}\) for the EI\text{V} of the soot-mode coating. This would represent just 10% of the total volatile emissions (Fig. 11) at idle conditions but could be significant at high-power conditions. The temperature dependence for the soot-mode volume is negligible except at high power. This suggests a higher coating volume than at low power. It is likely that condensation is more pronounced at high power due to the large soot surface area available.

The volatile aerosol volume emission index (EI\text{V}\text{(Volatile-V)}) can be determined based on the total EI\text{V}, the EI\text{BC}, and the soot density:

\[
\text{EI}_{\text{V}\text{(Volatile-V)}} = \text{EI}_V - \text{EI}_{\text{BC}} \cdot \rho_{\text{BC}}.
\]

This then gives the volatile aerosol mass emission index (EI\text{V}\text{(Volatile-V)}) using a volatile aerosol density of 1.2 g cm\(^{-3}\).
based on the literature review of Turpin and Lim (2001). Aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) measurements showed that volatile aerosol composition was dependent on the gas-phase precursors in the exhaust. Both gas-phase (Fig. 2) and particulate organics (Fig. 11) are highest at low power and decrease rapidly for powers greater than 15 %. Conversely, SO$_2$ and particulate sulfate vary less than 10 % with power. Thus at engine idle, organics dominate the volatile PM, while at take-off power, sulfates represent 30 % of the volatile mass.

Significant reductions in volatile aerosol formation are expected for the alternative fuels due to dramatically lower precursor gas emissions (SO$_2$ and hydrocarbons). A significant reduction in EI$_V$ was measured for the pure FT fuels of 94 %, similar to that measured directly behind the engine (Fig. 12). However, reductions for the blended fuels measured downwind (30–44 %) were significantly less reduced than measured at the exhaust plane (64 %). This result is not unusual: Timko et al. (2010) observed higher relative EI$_V$ in a plume generated by combustion of 50 % synthetic fuel. From modeling analysis, Timko et al. (2010) showed that the reduced availability of soot surface area in the blended fuel exhaust caused new particle formation to be favored over condensation of volatile material on to soot-mode particles. For the pure FT fuels, precursors are low enough that EI$_V$ reductions are still large. Alternatively, EI$_V$ trends are dominated by the reductions in soot between the fuels with reductions of 79–86 % for the FT fuels and 36–46 % for the blends.

A shift in volatile aerosol composition was measured when the alternative fuels were used. Particulate sulfate EIs were near zero for the FT fuels as a result of the lower fuel sulfur and subsequent SO$_2$ emissions (Fig. 2). A reduction in organics was also measured for the alternative fuels, consistent with the reductions in gas-phase UHC.

### 3.5 Gas-phase-to-volatile-aerosol conversion efficiency

Volatile aerosol measured downwind can be attributed to gas–particle conversion of the gas-phase precursors emitted by the engine. As discussed earlier, volatile aerosol formation was most pronounced at low power, when gas-phase precursors are at their maximum (Fig. 13). A gas-phase-to-volatile-aerosol conversion efficiency can be defined as

Conversion Efficiency = \( \frac{E_{\text{volatile-M}} \times 100 \%}{E_{\text{UHC}} + E_{\text{SO}_2}} \). \hspace{1cm} (3)

E$_{\text{UHC}}$ and E$_{\text{SO}_2}$ are measured at 1 m before any volatile aerosols form. The measured SO$_2$ does not include fuel sulfur converted into SO$_3$ in the aircraft engine. However, this is likely a small contribution (0.1–1 %; Timko et al., 2013). The fuel sulfur converted to SO$_3$ is the first to form particulate sulfate; SO$_2$ measured in the plume must be oxidized to SO$_3$ before partitioning into the aerosol phase.

Comparing each of the fuels, the efficiency follows a similar trend with low efficiencies at low and high power (0–1.5 %) and maximum conversion at mid-power (1.5–3 %). No significant difference is seen in conversion efficiencies amongst the fuels. However, conversion efficiencies at 45 %
are significantly elevated in comparison to the other power settings. The increase from low to mid-power could be the result of changes in hydrocarbon speciation with power (Beyersdorf et al., 2012). At low power, gaseous hydrocarbons are dominated by alkenes, while with an increase in power, aromatics begin to dominate the hydrocarbon speciation. While alkenes are more likely to be oxidized than aromatics, the oxidation products of aromatics (compared to those from alkenes) are more likely to partition to the aerosol phase because of potentially lower volatilities. The decrease in conversion efficiency above 45% power is likely a result of the continuing decrease in hydrocarbon emissions. Compared to hydrocarbons, sulfur dioxide emission indices are fairly constant with power. Thus at the highest powers the precursor emissions are dominated by sulfur dioxide. Conversion efficiencies at these high powers are consistent with measurements of the SO$_2$-to-SO$_3$ conversion in engines (0.1–1%; Timko et al., 2013).

4 Conclusions

The potential use of FT fuels as aviation fuel is driven by the goal of reducing dependence on foreign oil and for the development of sustainable fuel sources. A beneficial impact of alternative jet fuels is a decrease in particulate and gas-phase emissions. Significant reductions on the order of 90% were seen in aerosol emissions when using the neat Fischer–Tropsch fuels. During the test, no marked differences were seen in engine performance between the fuels. However, fuel leaks occurred in the aircraft fuel system and tanker trucks for the neat FT fuels. This was due to the absence of aromatic compounds, which have been shown to increase seal swell. For this and other reasons (for example, the neat FT fuels studied do not meet the minimum density specifications for aviation fuels) the initial alternative fuels routinely used for aviation will likely be blends with standard jet fuel.

Volatile aerosol formed rapidly within the first 30 m downwind of the engine. Formation was most significant at low power, when precursors are the most abundant. Aerosol volume (and mass) was significantly reduced for all the neat alternative fuels and blends with JP-8, while EI$_V$ was only significantly reduced for the neat FT fuels. Volatile aerosol formation was highly dependent on ambient temperature especially at low powers. This can have large effects on local air quality surrounding airports in cold regions. Aircraft-produced aerosol concentrations in these regions will be much larger than levels expected based solely on measurements made directly at the engine exit plane. However, further research is needed to determine if the volatile aerosols will form further downwind (that is, volatile aerosol formation takes a longer time period when the ambient temperature is higher). Timko et al. (2013) studied formation of volatiles further downwind during AAFEX but did not study temperature effects. Despite this, the more rapid formation of volatile aerosols would result in increased aerosol number concentrations in urban areas immediately adjacent to airports, resulting in worse air quality. For in-flight emissions, volatile aerosol number concentrations are expected to be higher than measured here due to the colder temperatures at altitude. However, the limited temperature range and ambient pressure of the current measurements likely limits extrapolation to in-flight conditions. Further research of volatile aerosol formation at these lower pressures and temperatures is needed.

The particulate emission reductions associated with FT fuel usage may have significant impacts on air quality and radiation budgets. Decreased ground-level particulate emissions are beneficial for local air quality. The reduction in soot size and sulfur-based emissions for alternative fuels will reduce the soot’s potential to act as CCN. This, along with reductions in total soot emissions, should cause a decrease in contrail formation for aircraft utilizing FT fuels. Conversely, the smaller size and reduced CCN activation will cause an increase in the atmospheric lifetime of the soot. Both of these roles of smaller soot (lower CCN activity but longer lifetime) must be taken into account in modeling of aircraft emissions radiation budget.

Considering solely the particulate emissions, alternative fuels will result in decreased radiative forcing (soot and contrails have positive radiative forcings that are greater than the...
negative forcing from sulfate emissions; Lee et al., 2009). However, some benefits from the reductions may be offset by increased CO$_2$ emissions during FT fuel production. Thus emissions during both the production and use must be further analyzed to determine the true benefits of alternative aviation fuels. The use of bio-feedstocks in addition to CCS technology could reduce the energy of production penalty. Regardless of the feedstock, the reductions in particulate emissions measured here are expected for all alternative fuels with similar fuel composition changes.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/14/11/2014/acp-14-11-2014-supplement.pdf.
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