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Abstract. We study weightings (a.k.a. quasi-homogeneous structures) arising from manifolds with singular Lie filtrations. This generalizes constructions of Choi-Ponge, Van Erp-Yuncken, and Haj-Higson for (regular) Lie filtrations.

1. Introduction

A Lie filtration on a manifold \( M \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-filtration of the tangent bundle \( TM \) by subbundles
\[
TM = H_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H_{-1} \supseteq 0,
\]
in such a way that that the induced filtration on the Lie algebra of vector fields \( \mathfrak{X}(M) \) is compatible with brackets. The concept of such filtered manifolds (called Carnot manifolds in \[10\]) was introduced by T. Morimoto \[28\] as a generalization of the differential systems of Tanaka \[31\]; independently, the concept was considered by Melin \[23\]. Filtered manifolds have been much studied in recent years as a framework for certain types of hypo-elliptic operators. Roughly, these operators are elliptic in a weighted sense, where weights are assigned according to the Lie filtration. Unlike the usual elliptic setting, the principal symbols of these operators do not live on the usual tangent bundle, but on the osculating groupoid \[34\] (also called tangent cone \[10\]). The latter is a family of nilpotent Lie groups \( P \to M \), obtained by integrating the family of Lie algebras
\[
\mathfrak{p} = \text{gr}(TM) = \bigoplus_i H_{-i}/H_{-i+1}.
\]

An index theorem for this context was recently obtained by Mohsen \[25\], generalizing Van Erp’s index theorem for contact manifolds \[32, 33\]. The proofs are based on Connes’ tangent groupoid strategy \[11\]. The relevant deformation groupoid was constructed by Choi-Ponge \[10\], Haj-Higson \[19\], Van Erp-Yuncken \[34\], and Mohsen \[26\], using different techniques. Later work of Van Erp-Yuncken \[35\], building on ideas of Debord-Skandalis \[14\], used this viewpoint to develop a pseudo-differential calculus for this context.

Haj-Higson \[19\] extended the construction of osculating groupoid to define a normal cone, and corresponding deformation space, for filtered submanifolds \( N \subseteq M \) of filtered manifolds. This was obtained by using a filtration on the algebra of functions on \( M \) by a weighted order of vanishing, as determined by the Lie filtration. The normal cone is described algebraically as the character spectrum of the associated graded algebra, and the deformation space is the character spectrum of the Rees algebra associated to the filtration. Haj-Higson proved that
the normal cone is a quotient $P|_N/R$, where $P$ and $R$ are the osculating groupoids of $M$ and $N$, respectively.

In [21], we found that the construction of the normal cone and the associated deformation space only requires a much weaker notion of weighting along $N$. This concept was introduced by Melrose under the name of quasi-homogeneous structure, in his lecture notes on manifolds with corners [24]. One possible description of weightings is in terms of a filtration on the algebra of functions, and allows for a definition of weighted normal bundle in terms of the associated graded algebra

$$\nu_W(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\text{gr}(C^\infty(M)), \mathbb{R})$$

just as in [19]. Equivalently, $r$-th order weightings admit a description in terms of certain subbundles $Q \to N$ of the $r$-th tangent bundle $T_rM \to M$. From this perspective, the weighted normal bundle is realized as a quotient

$$\nu_W(M, N) = Q/\sim$$

generalizing the familiar description of the usual normal bundle as $\nu(M, N) = TM|_N/\sim$.

Weighted normal bundles (and the associated deformation spaces) are tailor-made for discussions of weighted normal forms of geometric structures, such as those arising in singularity theory (see e.g. Golubitsky-Guillemin [15]). Weightings also allow for a coordinate-free definition of weighted blow-ups, which play an important role in algebraic and symplectic geometry (see e.g., the result of Guillemin-Sternberg [18] on birational equivalence). Given a collection $N_1, \ldots, N_j$ of submanifolds of $M$ that intersect cleanly (in the sense that there are local charts in which the $N_i$ are given by coordinate subspaces), one obtains a multi-weighting given by the order of vanishing on the $N_i$, and an associated total weighting. This type of situation appears, for example, in orbit type decompositions of $G$-manifolds and their resolutions (see Albin-Melrose [2]).

In the present article, we shall study weightings in the context of singular Lie filtrations, given by a filtration of the sheaf of vector fields,

$$\mathfrak{X}_M = \mathcal{H}_{-r} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{H}_{-1} \supset 0$$

by locally finitely generated $C^\infty_M$-submodules, with $[\mathcal{H}_{-i}, \mathcal{H}_{-j}] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-i-j}$. Singular Lie filtrations appear in a large variety of contexts. They arise as Carnot structures in sub-Riemannian geometry [1, 7], and play a central role in recent work of Androulidakis, Mohsen, Van Erp and Yuncken [4] on hypo-elliptic operators, encompassing a broader class than those associated with regular Lie filtrations.

In Section 3.1, we introduce the notion of a $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$-clean submanifold $N \subseteq M$, which essentially means that sections of the normal bundle $\nu(M, N)$ given as images of $\mathcal{H}_{-i}$ are in fact subbundles. One of our main results is the following (see Theorem 4.1):

**Theorem.** Let $M$ be a manifold with a singular Lie filtration $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$, and let $N \subseteq M$ be an $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$-clean submanifold. Then $M$ acquires a canonical weighting along $N$, in such a way that the vector fields in $\mathcal{H}_{-i}$ have filtration degree $-i$.

The proof of this result amounts to a construction of local coordinates adapted to the singular Lie filtration. To describe the subbundle $Q \subseteq T_rM$ corresponding to the weighting, we observe that a singular Lie filtration of $M$ determines a singular foliation of $T_rM$. We then show that
Q is obtained as the ‘flow-out’ of $T_rN \subseteq T_rM$ under this singular foliation; here the cleanness assumption guarantees that this flow-out is smooth.

This gives a first description of the weighted normal bundle as a quotient (3). We will also give a more direct description, by associating to each $m \in M$ a nilpotent Lie algebra $p_m$ given as a pullback of the sheaf of Lie algebras $\bigoplus \mathcal{H}_{-i}/\mathcal{H}_{-i+1}$. If $m \in N$, we define $r_m$ similarly, by using vector fields in $\mathcal{H}_{-i}$ that are tangent to $N$. Letting $P_m \supseteq R_m$ be the corresponding groups, we show that the fibers of the weighted normal bundle are the homogeneous spaces $P_m/R_m$. For the case of a regular Lie filtration, this recovers the result of Haj-Higson mentioned above.

2. Weightings

The basic idea of a weighting is to have a notion of ‘weighted order of vanishing’ along submanifolds $N \subseteq M$. This appeared in work of Melrose [24] under the name of quasi-homogeneous structure. Some foundational aspects of weightings, such as the concepts of weighted normal bundles and weighted deformation spaces, were developed in [21]. Let us briefly summarize some of this material, starting with the definitions. We adopt a sheaf-theoretic language, so that all constructions carry over to the holomorphic or analytic categories with straightforward changes.

2.1. Definitions. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be a given sequence of weights. An upper bound for the weight sequence will be called its order.

For open subsets $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, consider the filtration of the algebra $C^\infty(U)$ of smooth functions, where $C^\infty(U)_{(i)}$ is the ideal of functions generated by monomials $x^s = x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}$ with $s \cdot w = s_1 w_1 + \cdots + s_n w_n \geq i$. A weighted atlas on an $n$-dimensional manifold $M$ is given by coordinate charts such that the transition functions between two charts are filtration preserving; a maximal weighted atlas is a weighting on $M$. The local coordinates from a weighted atlas are called weighted coordinates. The weighting determines a closed submanifold

$$N \subseteq M,$$

given in local weighted coordinates as the vanishing set of the coordinates $x_a$ such that $w_a > 0$. In particular, the weighted coordinates are submanifold coordinates for $N$. The weighting gives a filtration of the function sheaf by ideals

$$C^\infty_M = C^\infty_M(0) \supseteq C^\infty_M(1) \supseteq \cdots$$

where $C^\infty(U)_{(i)}$ has the description above whenever $U \subseteq M$ is the domain of a weighted coordinate chart. The definition implies that the filtration on functions is multiplicative:

$$C^\infty_M(1) C^\infty_M(j) \subseteq C^\infty_M(i+j)$$

and that $C^\infty_M(1)$ is the vanishing ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ of $N$. We think of the filtration as giving the weighted order of vanishing along $N$, and we speak of a weighting along $N$. Furthermore, we obtain a filtration of the normal bundle

$$\nu(M, N) = F_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_{-1} \supseteq F_0 = 0$$

where for all $i \geq 1$,

$$C^\infty_M(i+1)/C^\infty_M(i+1) \cap \mathcal{I}^2 = \Gamma_{\text{ann}(F_{-i})}$$
where \( \text{ann}(F_{-i} \subseteq \nu(M, N)^*) \) is the annihilator bundle. That is, the differentials of functions of filtration degree \( i+1 \) vanish in the direction of \( F_{-i} \). Note that \( \dim F_{-i} = \# \{ w_a : w_a \leq i \} \).

Conversely, weightings of order \( r \) along \( N \) are characterized as multiplicative filtrations of \( C^\infty_M \) with \( C^\infty_{M,(1)} = \mathcal{I} \), with the property (6) for a suitable filtration (5) of the normal bundle, and with the additional property that for \( i > 1 \),

\[
C^\infty_{M,(i)} \cap \mathcal{I}^2 = \sum_{j<i} C^\infty_{M,(j)} \cdot C^\infty_{M,(i-j)}.
\]

The filtration on the sheaf of functions determines a filtration on the sheaves of differential forms, vector fields, and other tensor fields. In particular,

\[
\mathfrak{X}_M = \mathfrak{X}_{M,(r)} \supseteq \mathfrak{X}_{M,(r+1)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{X}_{M,(0)} \supseteq \cdots
\]

where \( \mathfrak{X}_{M,(j)} \) is the sheaf of vector fields \( X \) with the property that the Lie derivative on functions raises the filtration degree on functions by \( j \). The sections of \( \mathfrak{X}_{M,(0)} \) are the infinitesimal automorphisms of the weighting. Letting \( \mathfrak{X}^N_M \) be the subsheaf of vector fields tangent to \( N \), with its induced filtration, we have that

\[
\mathfrak{X}_{M,(j)}/\mathfrak{X}^N_{M,(j)} = \Gamma_{F_j}.
\]

(For \( j \geq 0 \) we have \( \mathfrak{X}_{M,(j)} = \mathfrak{X}^N_{M,(j)} \), since infinitesimal automorphisms of the weighting are in particular tangent to \( N \).)

**Remark 2.1.** One can generalize the definition to non-closed or immersed submanifolds \( i: N \to M \) by applying the definition above to pairs of open subsets \( (U, V) \subseteq (M, N) \) where \( i(V) \) is a closed embedded submanifold of \( U \), and requiring agreement between the local weightings on overlaps. Globally one obtains a filtration of the pullback sheaf \( i^{-1}C^\infty_M \).

### 2.2. The weighted normal bundle.

For any closed submanifold \( N \subseteq M \), with vanishing ideal \( \mathcal{I} \subseteq C^\infty_M \), the sheaf \( \bigoplus_i \mathcal{I}^i/\mathcal{I}^{i+1} \) may be regarded as the sheaf of fiberwise polynomial functions on the normal bundle \( \nu(M, N) = TM|_N/TN \). Such functions are the (local) sections of a graded algebra bundle \( A \to N \), and the normal bundle is obtained by taking its fiberwise spectrum, \( \nu(M, N)|_m = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A_m, \mathbb{R}) \).

#### 2.2.1. Definition of weighted normal bundle.

This algebraic description of the normal bundle generalizes to the weighted case. Given a weighting of \( M \) along \( N \), the sheaf of associated graded algebras \( \text{gr}(C^\infty_M) \) is supported on \( N \), and is the sheaf of sections of a graded algebra bundle \( A \to N \), with components \( A^i \to N \) of finite rank. In weighted coordinates over \( U \subseteq M \), the space of sections of \( A^i|_{N \cap U} \) is spanned by monomials \( x^s \) such that \( s \cdot w = i \), with \( s_b = 0 \) for \( w_b = 0 \). We define a **weighted normal bundle**

\[
\nu_W(M, N) \to N
\]

by taking the fiberwise spectrum: \( \nu_W(M, N)|_m = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A_m, \mathbb{R}) \). This is naturally a smooth fiber bundle of rank equal to the codimension of \( N \) in \( M \); the smooth structure on \( \nu_W(M, N) \) is uniquely determined by the property that for any given element of \( \text{gr}(C^\infty(U)) \), the corresponding function on \( \nu_W(M, N)|_{N \cap U} \) is again smooth.
2.2.2. Graded bundles. The weighted normal bundle does not have a natural vector bundle structure, in general. It does, however, carry an action of the monoid of multiplicative scalars,\[\kappa: \mathbb{R} \times \nu_V(M, N) \to \nu_V(M, N), \quad \kappa(t, x) = \kappa_t(x).\]

This is induced fiberwise by the action of \(t \in \mathbb{R}\) on \(A^j|_m\) as multiplication by \(t^i\). Grabowski-Rotkiewicz \[16, 17\] refer to a smooth manifold \(E\) with a monoid action \(\kappa: \mathbb{R} \times E \to E\) as a graded bundle. As the name suggests, a graded bundle is automatically a fiber bundle over \(\nu_V(M, N)\). As shown in \[16, 17\], there always exists an isomorphism of graded bundles \(E \cong E_{\text{lin}}\), but such an isomorphism (called a linearization) is not unique. In the case of \(E = \nu_V(M, N)\), for a given weighting of \(M\) along \(N\), the linear approximation is \[\nu_{\nu}(M, N)_{\text{lin}} = \text{gr}(\nu(M, N)),\]

the associated graded bundle for the filtration (5).

2.2.3. Homogeneous approximations. For \(f \in C^\infty(U)(i)\) let \(f[i] \in \text{gr}^i(C^\infty(U))\) be its image. By definition of the weighted normal bundle, it may (and will) be regarded as a function \(f[i] \in C^\infty(\nu_V(M, N)|_{\text{lin}}|U).\)

This function is homogeneous of degree \(i\) with respect to the \((\mathbb{R}, \cdot)\)-action, and is called the homogeneous approximation of \(f\). For \(i = 0\), \(f[0]\) is the pullback of the restriction of \(f|_{\text{lin}}|U.\)

More generally, any tensor field \(\alpha\) of filtration degree \(i\) on \(U\) determines a tensor field \(\alpha[i]\), homogeneous of degree \(i\), on \(\nu_V(M, N)\). In particular, this applies to vector fields and differential forms; note also that homogeneous approximation is compatible with the usual operations from Cartan’s calculus.

If \(X\) is a vector field of strictly negative filtration degree \(j < 0\), then the vector field \(X[i]\) on \(\nu_V(M, N)\) is vertical (tangent to the fibers of \(\nu_V(M, N) \to N\)). To see this, it suffices to note that \(X[i]\) vanishes on pullbacks of functions, which in turn follows from \(X[j]f[0] = (Xf)[j] = 0\) for \(j < 0\).

If \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) are local weighted coordinates on \(U \subseteq M\) (thus \(x_a \in C^\infty(U)\) has weight \(w_a\)), then the functions \(x_1^{[w_1]}, \ldots, x_n^{[w_n]}\) serve as local coordinates on \(\nu_V(M, N)|_U = \nu_V(U, U \cap N)\); the homogeneous lifts of the corresponding coordinate vector fields are \[(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a})^{-w_a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^{[w_a]}}.\]

Example 2.2. Consider the case of a symplectic manifold \((M, \omega)\) of dimension \(2n\) with an isotropic submanifold \(N \subseteq M\) of dimension \(k\). Let \(\mathcal{I}\) be the vanishing ideal of \(N\). Let \(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{I})\)
be the Poisson normalizer of $\mathcal{I}$, i.e., the sheaf of functions $f$ such that $\{f, \cdot\}$ preserves $\mathcal{I}$. The intersection $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{I})$ is an ideal in $C^\infty_M$, and we obtain a weighting of order $r = 2$, with
\[ C^\infty_{M,(1)} = \mathcal{I}, \quad C^\infty_{M,(2)} = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{I}). \]

The resulting filtration of the normal bundle is
\[ \nu(M,N) \supseteq TN^\omega / TN \supseteq 0. \]

By standard normal form theorems, there exists local coordinates $q_1, \ldots, q_n, p_1, \ldots, p_n$ near any given point of $N$ such that the vanishing ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $N$ is spanned by $q_{k+1}, \ldots, q_n, p_1, \ldots, p_n$. In such coordinates, the ideal $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{I})$ is spanned by $\mathcal{I}^2$ together with $p_1, \ldots, p_k$. The coordinates $q_1, \ldots, q_k$ have weight 0, the dual coordinates $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ have weight 2, and the remaining coordinates $q_a, p_a$ for $a > k$ have weight 1. Note that the symplectic form has filtration degree 2 for this weighting, as is evident from the coordinate description $\omega = \sum dq_i \wedge dp_i$. Hence it has a homogeneous approximation $\omega^{(2)} \in \Omega^2(\nu_N(M,N))$, which is again symplectic. See [22] for a discussion of Weinstein’s isotropic embedding theorem from this perspective.

2.2.4. Alternative description of weighted normal bundle. The weighting also determines a negatively graded Lie algebra bundle
\[ \mathfrak{t} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{t}^{-i} \]
where $\mathfrak{t}^{-i}$ has $\text{gr}(\mathcal{X}_M)^{-i}$ as its sheaf of sections, using the filtration (28). From the filtered subsheaf $\mathcal{X}_M^N \subseteq \mathcal{X}_M$ of vector fields that are tangent to $N$, we obtain a graded Lie subalgebra bundle
\[ \mathfrak{l} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{l}^{-i}, \]
where $\mathfrak{l}^{-i}$ has $\text{gr}(\mathcal{X}_M^N)^{-i}$ as its sheaf of sections. The quotient bundle $\mathfrak{t}/\mathfrak{l}$ is identified with $\text{gr}(\nu(M,N)) = \bigoplus F_{-i}/F_{-i+1}$, using the filtration (5) of $\nu(M,N)$.

Remark 2.3. In [21] we defined $\mathfrak{l}$ in terms of the subsheaf of vector fields that vanish along $N$, rather than those which are tangent to $N$. To see that the definitions are equivalent, note that $\mathcal{X}_M^N(0) = \mathcal{X}_M^N(0)$ since infinitesimal automorphisms of the weighting are tangent to $N$, and that the restriction map $\mathcal{X}_M^N(0) \to \mathcal{X}_N$ is surjective. This implies that
\[ \mathcal{X}_M^N(-i) = \mathcal{X}_M^N + \mathcal{I} \mathcal{X}_N \cap \mathcal{X}_M^N(-i), \quad i \geq 0, \]
and hence $\text{gr}(\mathcal{X}_M^N)^{-i} = \text{gr}(\mathcal{I} \mathcal{X}_N)^{-i}$ for all $i > 0$.

Remark 2.4. In local weighted coordinates $x_a$ on $U \subseteq M$, the space of sections of $\mathfrak{t}^{-1}|_{U \cap N}$ is spanned by vector fields $x^s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}$ with $w_a > 0$, ranging over multi-indices with $s_b = 0$ for $w_b = 0$ and with $w \cdot s - w_a = -i$. The subspace of sections of $\mathfrak{l}^{-1}|_{U \cap N}$ is given by the additional condition that $s \neq 0$; hence the quotient $\mathfrak{t}^{-1}/\mathfrak{l}^{-1}$ is spanned by coordinate vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}$ with $w_a = i$. Note in particular that $\mathfrak{l}^{-r} = 0$.

Since the monoid action of $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{t}$ preserves brackets, it exponentiates to a monoid action on the nilpotent Lie group bundle $K \to N$ integrating $\mathfrak{t}$; thus $K$ is an example of a graded Lie group bundle. Similarly $\mathfrak{l}$ integrates to a graded Lie subgroup bundle $L \subseteq K$. One obtains
the following description of the weighted normal bundle as a graded bundle of homogeneous spaces,

\[ \nu_W(M, N) = K/L, \quad \nu_W(M, N)_{\text{lin}} = \mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{l}. \]

See [21, Proposition 7.7]. For the case of a trivial weighting \((r = 1)\), we directly have \(\mathfrak{k} = \nu(M, N)\) (with zero bracket) and \(\mathfrak{l} = 0\), hence \(K/L = K = \nu(M, N)\).

3. Singular Lie filtrations

In this section, we unify the concept of Lie filtrations with the concept of a singular foliation. These singular Lie filtrations, to be discussed below, appear in the work of Androulidakis, Mohsen, Yuncken, and van Erp on hypo-elliptic operators [3, 4]. As we shall see, they provide a rich source of examples of weightings.

3.1. Singular distributions. A distribution on a manifold \(M\) is a subbundle \(D \subseteq TM\) of the tangent bundle. It is called Frobenius integrable if its space of sections is closed under Lie bracket. In the Stefan-Sussman theory of singular foliations, one considers more general families of subspaces \(D_m \subseteq T_m M\) which are not necessarily of constant rank. Following work of Androulidakis-Skandalis [5], it was found to be more useful to work with the sheaf \(\mathcal{X}_M\) of vector fields, regarded as a sheaf of \(C^\infty\) modules. The formulation in [5] is in terms of vector fields of compact support; the equivalence with the sheaf-theoretic formulation is discussed in [6].

**Definition 3.1.** Let \(M\) be a manifold.

(a) A singular distribution on \(M\) is a sheaf of \(C^\infty\)-submodules \(D \subseteq \mathcal{X}_M\) that is locally finitely generated. That is, every point in \(M\) admits an open neighborhood \(U\) such that \(D(U)\) is finitely generated as a \(C^\infty(U)\)-module.

(b) A singular foliation on \(M\) is a singular distribution \(D\) that is involutive: \([D, D] \subseteq D\).

(c) If \(D\) is the sheaf of sections of a subbundle \(D \subseteq TM\), we speak of a regular distribution. It is called a regular foliation if \(D\) is involutive.

The sheaf formulation entails a gluing property: If a vector field \(X \in \mathcal{X}(U)\) is such that every point of \(U\) has an open neighborhood \(U' \subseteq U\) with \(X|_{U'} \in D(U')\), then \(X \in D(U)\).

**Remark 3.2.** Given a singular foliation, one obtains a decomposition of \(M\) into leaves, such that \(D\) spans the tangent spaces to the leaves. However, the subbundle \(D\) contains more information, in general, than the decomposition into leaves. (For example [5], the vector fields \(x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\) and \(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\) span different submodules of \(\mathcal{X}\), but yield the same decomposition into leaves.)

Here are some simple constructions with singular distributions. First, we note that if \(M', M''\) are equipped with singular distributions \(D', D''\), then the direct product \(M' \times M''\) inherits a product distribution \(D' \times D'' \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{M' \times M''}\) defined by

\[ (D' \times D'')(U' \times U'') = C^\infty(U' \times U'') \cdot (D'(U') \oplus D''(U'')). \]

If \(D', D''\) are involutive then so is their product. Next, consider the restriction of singular distributions to embedded submanifolds \(N \subseteq M\).

**Definition 3.3.** Let \(D \subseteq \mathcal{X}_M\) be a singular distribution. We say that \(N \subseteq M\) is

(a) \(D\)-transverse if \(T_m N + D|_m = T_m M\) for all \(m \in N\),
(b) **D-invariant** if $D|_m \subseteq T_m N$ for all $m \in N$.
(c) **D-clean** if $\dim(T_m N + D|_m)$ is constant, as a function of $m \in N$.

Clearly, properties (a),(b) are special cases of property (c).

**Remark 3.4.** If $D$ is a regular distribution, given as the sheaf of sections of a subbundle $D \subseteq TM$, the cleanness condition is equivalent to $D|_N \cap TN$ being a subbundle of $TN$.

Suppose $D \subseteq \mathfrak{x}_M$ is a singular distribution, and $N \subseteq M$ is $D$-clean. Then we obtain a subbundle $\tilde{F} \subseteq TM|_N$ with fibers
$$\tilde{F}_m = T_m N + D|_m,$$
and a corresponding subbundle of the normal bundle
$$F = \tilde{F}/TN \subseteq \nu(M, N) = TM|_N/TN.$$
The $D$-transverse and $D$-invariant cases are the special cases for which $F$ is the full normal bundle or the zero bundle, respectively. Let $D^N = D \cap \mathfrak{x}^N_M$ the subsheaf tangent to $N$, and denote by
$$i^iD \subseteq \mathfrak{x}_N$$
its image under restriction $\mathfrak{x}^N_M \to \mathfrak{x}_N$. Explicitly, for $U \subseteq M$ open,
$$(i^iD)(U \cap N) = \{X|_{U \cap N} | X \in D(U) \text{ is tangent to } U \cap N\}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $M$ be a manifold with a singular distribution $D \subseteq \mathfrak{x}_M$. If $i: N \to M$ is $D$-clean, then $i^iD \subseteq \mathfrak{x}_N$ is again a singular distribution on $N$. If $D$ is a singular foliation on $M$, then $i^iD$ is a singular foliation on $N$.

**Proof.** The clean intersection condition is equivalent to
$$q = \dim(D|_m) - \dim(T_m N \cap D|_m)$$
being constant as a function of $m \in N$. Hence, we may cover $N$ by open subsets $U \subseteq M$ such that $D(U)$ is generated by $X_1, \ldots, X_p, Y_1, \ldots, Y_q$, where the $Y_i$’s are linearly independent vector fields spanning a complement to $TN|_m \cap D|_m$ in $D|_m$ at points $m \in U \cap N$, while $X_1, \ldots, X_p$ are tangent to $N$. The restrictions of $X_i$'s to $U \cap N$ are then generators of $(i^iD)(U \cap N)$. The last claim follows since relatedness of vector fields with respect to smooth maps is compatible with Lie brackets.

Restriction to submanifolds can be iterated: suppose $N' \subseteq N \subseteq M$ are nested submanifolds, with inclusions denoted
$$i: N \to M, \quad j: N' \to N, \quad i' = i \circ j: N' \to M.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** If $D$ is a singular distribution, and $i$ is $D$-clean, then $j$ is $i^iD$-clean if and only if $i'$ is $D$-clean, and in this case
$$j^i i^iD = (i')^iD.$$  

**Proof.** Suppose $i$ is $D$-clean, so that $\dim(D|_m) - \dim(T_m N \cap D|_m)$ is constant as a function of $m \in N$. Then $i^iD$ is defined, with $(i^iD)|_m = T_m N \cap D|_m$. For $m \in N'$, we have
$$\dim((i^iD)|_m) - \dim(T_m N' \cap (i^iD)|_m) = \dim(T_m N \cap D|_m) - \dim(T_m N' \cap D|_m),$$
which is constant as a function of \( m \in N' \) if and only if \( \dim(D|_m) - \dim(T_mN' \cap D|_m) \) is constant as a function of \( m \in N' \). This proves the first claim, and (12) is a set-theoretic verification. \( \square \)

We generalize the ‘restriction to submanifolds’ from Lemma 3.5 to a pullback operation under more general maps \( \varphi: M' \to M \), by the usual trick of replacing the map with its graph

\[
\text{gr}(\varphi) = \{(\varphi(x), x) \mid x \in M'\} \subseteq M \times M'.
\]

Identify \( \text{gr}(\varphi) \cong M' \) under projection to the second factor, and denote the inclusion map by \( i_{\text{gr}(\varphi)}: M' \cong \text{gr}(\varphi) \to M \times M' \).

**Definition 3.7.** Let \( M \) be a manifold with a singular distribution \( D \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_M \). We say that \( \varphi: M' \to M \) is \( D \)-clean (resp., \( D \)-transverse) if the dimension of

\[
\text{ran}(T_x\varphi) + D|_{\varphi(x)}, \ x \in M'
\]

is constant (resp., if \( \text{ran}(T_x\varphi) + D|_{\varphi(x)} = T_{\varphi(x)}M \)).

Note that \( \varphi \) is \( D \)-clean (resp., transverse) if and only if \( \text{gr}(\varphi) \) is \( D \times \mathfrak{X}_{M'} \)-clean (resp., transverse). We define

\[
\varphi^!D = i_{\text{gr}(\varphi)}^{-1}(D \times \mathfrak{X}_{M'}).
\]

**Lemma 3.8.** If \( \varphi \) is an embedding \( i: N \to M \) of a \( D \)-clean submanifold, then this definition of pullback agrees with the restriction to \( N \), as defined above.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 3.5, \( N \) may be covered by open subsets \( U \) such that there are generators \( X_1, \ldots, X_p, Y_1, \ldots, Y_q \in D(U) \), with the \( X_i \)'s tangent to \( N \). The sets of the form \( U \times (U \cap N) \subseteq M \times N \) cover the graph \( \text{gr}(i) \). Letting \( Z_1, \ldots, Z_r \in \mathfrak{X}(U \cap N) \) be generators for the module of vector fields on \( U \cap N \), the vector fields of the form \( (X_i, X_i|_{U \cap N}), (Y_j, 0) \) and \( (0, Z_k) \) are generators of \( (D \times \mathfrak{X}_N)(U \times (U \cap N)) \), with the \( (X_i, X_i|_{U \cap N}) \)'s tangent to \( \text{gr}(i) \). The restrictions \( (X_i, X_i|_{U \cap N})|_{\text{gr}(i)} \) are just \( X_i|_{U \cap N} \), under the identification \( \text{gr}(i) \cong N \). \( \square \)

As a special case, the clean intersection condition is automatic when \( \varphi: M' \to M \) is a submersion. In this case, \( \varphi^!D \) is locally generated by vector fields on \( M' \) that are \( \varphi \)-related to vector fields on \( M \). In particular, if \( \varphi \) is a local diffeomorphism, then \( \varphi^!D \) is the obvious pullback. Let us finally remark that the pullback operation is well-behaved under composition:

**Lemma 3.9.** Let \( D \) be a singular distribution on \( M \), and suppose the smooth map \( \varphi: M' \to M \) is \( D \)-clean. Let \( \psi: M'' \to M' \) be another smooth map. Then \( \psi \) is \( \varphi^!D \)-clean if and only if \( \varphi \circ \psi \) is \( D \)-clean, and in this case \( (\varphi \circ \psi)^!D = \psi^!\varphi^!D \).

**Proof.** The embeddings of graphs \( \psi_1 = i_{\text{gr}(\psi)}, \varphi_1 = i_{\text{gr}(\varphi)}, (\varphi \circ \psi)_1 = i_{\text{gr}(\varphi \circ \psi)} \) fit into a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M'' & \xrightarrow{(\varphi \circ \psi)_1} & M \times M'' \\
\downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \times \psi_1 \\
M' \times M'' & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1 \times \text{id}_{M''}} & M \times M' \times M''
\end{array}
\]

The composed map is the embedding

\[
(\varphi \circ \psi) \times \psi \times \text{id}_{M''}: M'' \to M \times M' \times M''.
\]
We have
\[(\varphi \circ \psi)_1^1(id_M \times \psi_1)^1(D \times \mathfrak{X}_{M'}) = (\varphi \circ \psi)^1_1(D \times \mathfrak{X}_{M'}) = (\varphi \circ \psi)_1^1 D,
\]
and similarly
\[\psi_1^1(\varphi_1 \times id_{M''})^1(D \times \mathfrak{X}_{M'} \times \mathfrak{X}_{M''}) = \psi_1^1(\varphi_1^1(D) \times \mathfrak{X}_{M''}) = \psi_1^1 \varphi_1^1 D.
\]
On the other hand, by (12) each of these coincide with
\[((\varphi \circ \psi) \times \psi \times id_{M''})^1(D \times \mathfrak{X}_{M'} \times \mathfrak{X}_{M''}).\]
\[\square\]

3.2. **Singular Lie filtrations.** The concept of singular Lie filtration generalizes singular foliations, as well as (regular) Lie filtrations.

**Definition 3.10.** A singular Lie filtration of order \( r \) is a filtration of the sheaf of vector fields
\[(13) \quad \mathfrak{X}_M = \mathcal{H}_{-r} \supseteq \mathcal{H}_{-r+1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{H}_0 \supseteq 0,
\]
by singular distributions (i.e., locally finitely generated \( C^\infty_M \)-submodules) such that
\[[\mathcal{H}_{-i}, \mathcal{H}_{-j}] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-i-j}\]
for all \( i, j \). It is called a (regular) Lie filtration if the \( \mathcal{H}_{-i} \) are sheaves of sections of subbundles \( \mathcal{H}_{-i} \to M \) of the tangent bundle.

**Remark 3.11.** Note that we are allowing for a non-trivial \( \mathcal{H}_0 \). The bracket condition then shows that \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) is involutive, and so defines a singular foliation of \( M \). We shall see that leaves of this singular foliation acquire natural weightings. On the other hand, we will construct weightings along more general submanifolds with a ‘clean intersection’ property. Since that construction does not involve the summand \( \mathcal{H}_0 \), we will put \( \mathcal{H}_0 = 0 \) in the next section.

3.3. **Examples.** Regular Lie filtrations have been much studied in recent years as a framework for the theory of hypo-elliptic operators. See the work of Choi-Ponge [8, 9, 10], van Erp-Yuncken [34], Haj-Higson [19], Dave-Haller [12, 13], Mohsen [25, 26], among others. These references provide many examples; see [9] for an overview. The singular Lie filtrations play a similar role for a broader class of hypo-elliptic operators [4]. Other examples arise, for instance, in the context of sub-Riemannian geometry.

**Example 3.12.** A Carnot manifold (also called a Carnot-Carathéodory manifold) is a manifold \( M \) with a subbundle \( D \subseteq TM \), with sheaf of sections \( \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_M \), such that iterated brackets of \( \mathcal{D} \) generate all of \( \mathfrak{X}_M \). See, e.g., [30]. One obtains a singular Lie filtration by letting
\[\mathcal{H}_{-1} = \mathcal{D},\]
and inductively
\[(14) \quad \mathcal{H}_{-i-1} = \mathcal{H}_{-i} + [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}_{-i}].\]

The Carnot manifold is called equiregular if this is a regular Lie filtration. An example of an equiregular Carnot manifold is given by
\[D = \text{span}\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\} \subseteq T\mathbb{R}^3.\]
An example of a Carnot manifold that is not equiregular is given by the Martinez Carnot structure

\[ D = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\} \subseteq T\mathbb{R}^3. \]

**Example 3.13.** Every singular distribution \( D \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_M \) defines a singular Lie filtration of order \( r = 2 \),

\[ \mathfrak{X}_M = \mathcal{H}_{-2} \supseteq D = \mathcal{H}_{-1}. \]

If \( D \) is a regular distribution, then this is a regular Lie filtration. More generally, one obtains a singular Lie filtration of order \( r \) by putting \( \mathcal{H}_{-1} = D \), \( \mathcal{H}_{-r} = \mathfrak{X}_M \), and using (14) for \( -i - 1 \geq -r \). In particular, any finite collection of vector fields defines a singular Lie filtration, by taking \( D \) to be the submodule spanned by them.

**Example 3.14.** Let \( G \) be a Lie group whose Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) has a filtration \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_0 \), i.e., \( [\mathfrak{g}_{-i}, \mathfrak{g}_{-j}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{-i-j} \). Using left-translation, the filtration of the Lie algebra defines a (right-invariant) regular Lie filtration of order \( r \). If \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \) exponentiates to a closed subgroup \( H \), then the foliation defined by \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \) has as its leaves the right-translates of \( H \).

**Example 3.15.** Generalizing Example 3.14, let \( G \supset M \) be a Lie groupoid. Let \( s,t : G \to M \) be the source, target maps. Suppose the Lie algebroid of \( G \) has a bracket-compatible filtration \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_0 \) by subbundles. Let \( H_{-i} \subseteq TG \) be the subbundle spanned by left-invariant vector fields (tangent to \( t \)-fibers) \( \xi^L_i \) for \( \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{-i} \). Then \( H_0, \ldots, H_{-r} = \ker(T_t) \) together with \( H_{-r-1} = TG \) defines a regular Lie filtration of order \( r + 1 \).

**Example 3.16.** Given a weighting of order \( r \) along a submanifold \( N \subseteq M \), with the resulting filtration on vector fields, we obtain a singular Lie filtration of order \( r \) by truncation. We shall use the special notation

\[ \mathcal{K}_{-i} = \mathfrak{X}_{M,(-i)}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, r. \]

In local weighted coordinates, the module \( \mathcal{K}_{-i}(U) \) is generated by all \( x^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} \) such that \( s \cdot w \geq w_a - i \). We also have the singular Lie filtration of order \( r + 1 \) by the submodules \( \mathcal{K}_{-i}^N \) together with \( \mathfrak{X}_M \) in degree \( -r - 1 \).

The basic constructions for singular distributions give corresponding constructions for singular Lie filtrations. In particular, products \( (\mathcal{H}' \times \mathcal{H}'')_\bullet \) of singular distributions are defined by setting \( (\mathcal{H}' \times \mathcal{H}'')_{-i} = \mathcal{H}'_{-i} \times \mathcal{H}''_{-i} \). Pullbacks \( \varphi^* \mathcal{H}_\bullet \) of singular Lie filtrations under smooth maps \( \varphi : M' \to M \) are defined provided that \( \varphi \) is \( \mathcal{H}_\bullet \)-clean, that is, it is \( \mathcal{H}_{-i} \)-clean for all \( i \). The compatibility with brackets is clear in the case of embeddings, and for general maps \( \varphi \) follows by turning the map into an embedding.

In the following section we will show that \( \mathcal{H}_\bullet \)-clean submanifolds define weightings. Here are some examples of such submanifolds.

**Examples 3.17.** Let \( M \) be a manifold with a singular Lie filtration \( \mathcal{H}_\bullet \).

(a) Every point \( N = \{ m \} \) is \( \mathcal{H}_\bullet \)-clean.

(b) Suppose \( \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_M \) is a singular foliation with the property \( [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}_{-i}] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-i} \) for all \( i \). Then the leaves \( N \) of \( \mathcal{F} \) are \( \mathcal{H}_\bullet \)-clean submanifolds. Indeed, since the vector fields in \( \mathcal{F}(U) \) act by infinitesimal automorphisms of \( \mathcal{H}_{-i}(U) \), the dimensions of \( T_mN + \mathcal{H}_{-i}|_m \) are constant along \( N \).
Given a Lie group action on $M$, preserving the singular Lie filtration, the cleanness condition holds true for all orbits of the action.

Let $i$ be the smallest index for which $H_{-i} \neq 0$. If $N$ is $H_{-i}$-transverse, then it is $H_{-i'}$-transverse for all $i' \geq i$, and in particular is $H_*$-clean.

If $H_*$ is a singular Lie filtration on $M$, then the diagonal $\Delta_M \subseteq M \times M$ is $H_\times H_*$-clean if and only if $H_*$ is a regular Lie filtration.

If $H_*$ is a regular Lie filtration, given as the sheaves of sections of a sequence of sub-bundles $H_{-i} \subseteq TM$, the cleanness condition means that $H_{-i} \cap TN$ are subbundles of $TN$.

In particular, in Example 3.15 the unit space $M \subseteq G$ satisfies the cleanness condition.

4. Weightings from singular Lie filtrations

Throughout this section, $M$ is a manifold with a singular Lie filtration $H_*$. Our construction of weightings will not involve $H_0$, hence we will assume throughout this section that $H_0 = 0$:

\[ \mathcal{X}_M = H_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H_{-1} \supseteq 0. \]

4.1. Construction of weighting. Suppose $N \subseteq M$ is an $H_*$-clean closed submanifold. We obtain a filtration

\[ TM|_N = \tilde{F}_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \tilde{F}_0 = TN \]

by subbundles $\tilde{F}_{-i}$, where $\tilde{F}_{-i}|_m = T_m N + H_{-i}|_m$, and a resulting filtration of the normal bundle

\[ \nu(M, N) = F_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_0 = 0. \]

Define a filtration

\[ C^\infty_M = C^\infty_{M,(0)} \supseteq C^\infty_{M,(1)} \supseteq \cdots \]

by induction, starting with $C^\infty_{M,(1)} = \mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the vanishing ideal of $N$, and for $i > 1$

\[ C^\infty(U)_{(i)} = \{ f \in C^\infty(U) | \forall X \in H_{-j}(U), 0 < j < i : \mathcal{L}_X f \in C^\infty(U)_{(i-j)} \}. \]

(note that the condition on $\mathcal{L}_X f$ would be vacuous if $j \geq i$). From the definition, it is clear that the filtration is multiplicative: $C^\infty_{M,(i_1)} \cdot C^\infty_{M,(i_2)} \subseteq C^\infty_{M,(i_1+i_2)}$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $M$ be a manifold with a singular Lie filtration $H_*$ of order $r$, and suppose $N \subseteq M$ is an $H_*$-clean submanifold. Then the filtration of $C^\infty_M$ described above is a weighting of order $r$ along $N$, with (17) as the associated filtration of the normal bundle.

The proof of this result is by construction of local weighted coordinates. For the case of a regular Lie filtration, this was done by Choi-Ponge [9] in the case of dim $N = 0$, and by Haj-Higson [19] for dim $N > 0$. 


4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Given the assumptions from Theorem 4.1, we will produce weighted coordinates near any given point \( m \in N \). The argument is similar to a proof in [21], which, in turn, builds on the constructions of [7, 9, 8]. It will require several steps.

We first note that the filtration \( \mathcal{H}_* \) on the sheaf of vector fields determines a filtration on differential operators,

\[
\cdots \supsetneq \text{DO}_{M,-2} \supsetneq \text{DO}_{M,-1} \supsetneq \text{DO}_{M,0}.
\]

Here, \( \text{DO}_0(U) = C^\infty(U) \), while \( \text{DO}_{-j}(U) \) for \( j > 0 \) is spanned by sums of products \( X_1 \cdots X_k \) with \( X_\nu \in \mathcal{H}_{-\ell_\nu} \) and \( j_1 + \ldots + j_k \geq j \). We say that \( D \in \text{DO}_{-j}(U) \) has \( \mathcal{H} \)-weight \(-j\).

**Remark 4.2.** The filtration on \( C^\infty_M \) determines another filtration on differential operators, which depends on the choice of \( N \), and which is usually different from the filtration by \( \mathcal{H} \)-weight.

The filtration on \( C^\infty_M \) can now be rephrased as follows: \( f \in C^\infty(U)_{(i)} \) if and only if

\[
j < i, \quad D \in \text{DO}_{-j}(U) \implies Df|_N = 0.
\]

Let

\[
k_0 = \text{dim} \, N, \quad k_1 = \text{dim} \, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-1}, \ldots, \quad k_r = \text{dim} \, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-r} = n,
\]

and let \( w_1, \ldots, w_n \) be the corresponding weight sequence, so that \( w_a = i \) for \( k_{i-1} < a \leq k_i \). Choose an open neighborhood \( U \) of the given point \( m \) and linearly independent vector fields

\[
V_a \in \mathfrak{X}(U), \quad a = k_0 + 1, \ldots, n
\]

such that for all \( j > 0 \), the vector fields \( V_{k_0+1, \ldots, V_{k_j}} \) are in \( \mathcal{H}_{-j}(U) \), and represent a frame for \( F_{-j}|_{U \cap N} \). Given a multi-index \( s = (s_{k_0+1}, \ldots, s_n) \) with \( s_a \geq 0 \), let

\[
V^s = \prod_a V_{s_a} = V_{s_{k_0+1}} \cdots V_{s_n}
\]

be the corresponding differential operator of order \( |s| = \sum_a s_a \) and \( \mathcal{H} \)-weight \(-j\), where \( j = s \cdot w = \sum_a s_a w_a \).

**Lemma 4.3.** A function \( f \in C^\infty(U) \) has filtration degree \( i \) if and only if

\[
(V^s f)|_N = 0
\]

for all multi-indices \( s \) with \( s \cdot w < i \).

**Proof.** Clearly, if \( f \) has filtration degree \( i \), then the condition (19) holds since \( V^s \) is a differential operator of \( \mathcal{H} \)-weight \(-j\), with \( j < i \). For the converse, suppose the condition (19) is satisfied. We want to show that \( Df|_N = 0 \) for all differential operators \( D \) of \( \mathcal{H} \)-weight \(-j\) with \( j < i \). Using induction on the order \( k \) of differential operators, we may assume that this holds true for all such differential operators of order less then a given number \( k \). To prove it for differential operators \( D \) of order \( k \), it suffices to show that any \( D \in \text{DO}^k(U)_{-j} \) may be written in the form

\[
D = \sum_s f_s V^s + D' + D''
\]

where the sum is over multi-indices \( s \) with \( |s| = k \) and \( s \cdot w = j \), where \( D' \in \text{DO}^k(U)_{-j} \) is a sum of products \( Y_1 \cdots Y_k \) (with \( Y_\nu \in \mathcal{H}_{-\ell_\nu}(U) \), \( \sum \ell_\nu = j \)) such that the first vector field \( Y_1 \) is tangent to \( N \), and where \( D'' \in \text{DO}^{k-1}(U)_{-j} \). Once this is shown, we have \( D''f|_N = 0 \) by induction hypothesis, and similarly \( D'f|_N = 0 \) since \( Y_1 \cdots Y_k f|_N = (Y_1|_N)(Y_2 \cdots Y_k f|_N) = 0 \).

The decomposition (20) follows from the following observations:
(a) Suppose $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ are vector fields with $X_\nu \in H_{-j_\nu}(U)$, $j_1 + \ldots + j_k = j < i$. Then
\[
(X_1 \cdots X_\nu \cdots X_{\nu'} \cdots X_k) - (X_1 \cdots X_{\nu'} \cdots X_\nu \cdots X_k) \in DO^{k-1}(U)_{-j},
\]
for all $\nu \neq \nu'$. Hence, modulo differential operators of lower order we may re-order the $X_\nu$ as we please. In particular, if any of the $X_\nu$ is tangent to $N$, we may 'move it to first place'.

(b) Similarly, given $g \in C^\infty(U)$, we have
\[
(X_1 \cdots (gX_\nu) \cdots X_k) - g(X_1 \cdots X_\nu \cdots X_k) \in DO^{k-1}(U)_{-j}.
\]
Since any $X \in H_{-\ell}(U)$ is of the form $X = X' + \sum f_a V_a$ with $X'$ tangent to $N$, $f_a \in C^\infty(U)$, and $V_a \in H_{-\ell}(U)$, we may use this to re-arrange any product of $X_\nu$'s in the form (20).

\[\square\]

We now proceed as in [21]. Taking $U$ smaller if needed, choose coordinates $x_1, \ldots x_n$ on $U$ such that
\[(21)\quad V_a(x_b)|_N = \delta_{ab}, \quad a > k_0.
\]
(In particular, $x_1, \ldots, x_{k_0}$ restrict to coordinates on $U \cap N$.) We will show how to modify the coordinates in such a way that $x_a$ has weight $w_a$ (while retaining the property (21)). For $w_a \leq 2$, no modification is needed. Indeed, the coordinates $x_{k_0+1}, \ldots, x_{k_1}$ have weight 1 since they vanish on $N$, while $x_{k_1+1}, \ldots, x_{k_2}$ have weight 2 since their differentials vanish on $\bar{F}_{-1}$. However, the coordinates $x_a$ with $w_a \geq 3$ may require adjustment. Suppose by induction that for a given $\ell \geq 2$, the coordinates $x_a$ with $k_{\ell-1} < a \leq k_\ell$ have weight $\ell$. For $x_a$ with $k_{\ell} < a \leq k_{\ell+1}$, we look for a coordinate change of the form
\[
\bar{x}_a = x_a + \sum \chi_{au} x^u
\]
(using multi-index notation $x^u = x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n}$), where the sum is over multi-indices with
\[
|u| = \sum_{b} u_b \geq 2, \quad w \cdot u < w_a, \quad u_b = 0 \text{ for } b \leq k_0,
\]
such that the coefficients $\chi_{au} \in C^\infty(U)$ depend only on the coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_{k_0}$. The condition $|u| \geq 2$ means that $\sum u_a \chi_{au} x^u \in \mathcal{I}(U)$; hence the coordinate change will retain the property (21). The property $w \cdot u < w_a$ means, in particular, that only $x_b$'s with $b \leq k_\ell$ enter the expression for $\sum u_a \chi_{au} x^u$. The coordinate function $\bar{x}_a$ has filtration degree $w_a$ if and only if
\[
(V^s \bar{x}_a)|_N = 0
\]
for all multi-indices $s = (s_{k_0+1}, \ldots, s_n)$ with $w \cdot s < w_a$. As explained in [21], these conditions on the functions $\chi_{as}$ have a unique solution, defined recursively in terms of $|s|$: \[
\chi_{as} = -\frac{1}{c_s} (V^s x_a)|_N + \sum_{u: 2 \leq |u| < |s|} (V^s (\chi_{au} x^u))|_N, \quad c_s = V^s x^s|_N.
\]
In conclusion, with this choice of $\chi_{as}$ the new coordinates $\bar{x}_a$ have weight $\ell + 1$. Rename $\bar{x}_a$ as $x_a$, and proceed. The conditions $V_a(x_b) = \delta_{ab}$ for $a > k_0$ show that the filtration of $TM|_{U \cap N}$
for this weighting is given by the subbundles spanned by

\[ TN + \text{span}\{V_a|_N, \ k_0 < a \leq k_i\} = \tilde{F}_{-i}|_{U \cap N}. \]

4.3. Examples. Here are two examples illustrating the construction of weighted coordinates for singular Lie filtrations.

Example 4.4. Consider the vector fields

\[ X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \]

on \( M = \mathbb{R}^3 \). Define a regular Lie filtration of order 3, where \( \mathcal{H}_{-1} \) is spanned by \( X \), \( \mathcal{H}_{-2} \) is spanned by \( X, Y \), and \( \mathcal{H}_{-3} = \mathcal{X}_M \). This defines an order 3 weighting at \( N = \{0\} \), with \( w_1 = 1, w_2 = 2, w_3 = 3 \). Take \( V_1 = X, V_2 = Y, V_3 = Z \) to be the frame of the discussion above. The original coordinates \( x_1 = x, x_2 = y, x_3 = z \) satisfy \( V_4 x_4|_0 = \delta_{ab} \), but they are not weighted coordinates since \( z \) does not have weight 3. To obtain weighted coordinates, we use a coordinate change \( \tilde{z} = z + \lambda x^2 \). This satisfies

\[ \mathcal{L}_X \tilde{z} = x + 2\lambda x, \]

which has weight \( 3 - 1 = 2 \) if and only if \( \lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \). We conclude that

\[ x, \ y, \ z - \frac{1}{2} x^2 \]

is the desired set of weighted coordinates. Note that in the new coordinates, \( X, Y, Z \) are just the coordinate vector fields.

Example 4.5. For a singular Lie filtration that is not regular, consider the following example of a Martinez-Carnot structure on \( M = \mathbb{R}^3 \): Let

\[ X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (2x + y) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + (x + x^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \]

Define a singular Lie filtration of order 4, where \( \mathcal{H}_{-1} \) is spanned by \( X \), \( \mathcal{H}_{-2} \) is spanned by \( X, Y \), \( \mathcal{H}_{-3} = \mathcal{X}_M \), and \( \mathcal{H}_{-4} = \mathcal{X}_M \).

Again, let \( N = \{0\} \). Take \( V_1 = X, V_2 = Y, V_3 = Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \) corresponding to \( w_1 = 1, w_2 = 2, w_3 = 4 \). The coordinates \( x, y \) have filtration degrees \( 1,2 \) has required, but \( z \) does not have filtration degree 4. To obtain weighted coordinates, we seek a coordinate change of the form \( \tilde{z} = z + \lambda x^2 + \mu xy \). From

\[ \mathcal{L}_X \tilde{z} = (2x + y) + 2\lambda x + \mu y, \quad \mathcal{L}_Y \tilde{z} = (x + x^2) + \mu x \]

we see that \( \mathcal{L}_Y \tilde{z} \) has filtration 2 if and only if \( \mu = -1 \), and \( \mathcal{L}_X \tilde{z} \) has filtration degree 3 if and only if furthermore \( \lambda = -1 \). Hence,

\[ x, \ y, \ z - x^2 - xy \]

is the desired set of weighted coordinates.
5. Singular Lie filtrations in terms of higher tangent bundles

In [21], we gave an alternative description of weightings on \( M \) in terms of subbundles \( Q \) of higher tangent bundles \( T_r M \). In this section, we will explain that similarly, singular Lie filtrations admit descriptions as singular foliations of higher tangent bundles. Given an \( \mathcal{H} \)-clean submanifold \( N \subseteq M \), the subbundle \( Q \) for the corresponding weighting along \( N \) is described as the flow-out of \( T_r N \subseteq T_r M \). In this section, we will temporarily abandon the sheaf language, for notational convenience.

5.1. Higher tangent bundles. We begin with some background material on higher tangent bundles. A reference for some of this material is the book [20].

The \( r \)-th tangent bundle \( T_r M \rightarrow M \), also known as bundle of \( r \)-velocities, was introduced by Ehresmann as the space of \( r \)-jets of curves \( T_r M = J^r_0(\mathbb{R}, M) \).

Its elements are equivalence classes of curves \( \gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M \), where \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) are considered equivalent if \( \gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) \) and the Taylor expansions of the two curves in a coordinate chart agree up to order \( r \). There is also an algebraic definition, which for us will be more convenient: Let \( \mathcal{A}_r \) be the unital algebra with a single generator \( \epsilon \) and relation \( \epsilon^{r+1} = 0 \). Then

\[
(22) \quad T_r M = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(C^\infty(M), \mathcal{A}_r).
\]

Elements of \( T_r M \) are sums \( u = \sum_{i=0}^r u_i \epsilon^i \) with \( u_0 : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), where \( u_0 \) is an algebra morphism (specifying a base point in \( M \)), \( u_1 \) is a derivation with respect to \( u_0 \) (specifying a tangent vector), and so on. The smooth structure on \( T_r M \) is characterized by the property that for all \( f \in C^\infty(M) \), the function given by evaluation

\[
(23) \quad T_r f : T_r M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r, \quad (T_r f)(u) = u(f)
\]

is again smooth. For \( r > 1 \), the \( r \)-th tangent bundle is not a vector bundle, but is a graded bundle (see Section 2.2.2), with the monoid action of \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) given by the algebra morphism of \( \mathcal{A}_r \) taking \( \sum_{i=0}^r u_i \epsilon^i \) to \( \sum_{i=0}^r u_i t^i \epsilon^i \). The \( r \)-th tangent bundle fits into a tower of fiber bundles

\[
(24) \quad T_r M \rightarrow T_{r-1} M \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow TM \rightarrow M
\]

where the maps \( T_r M \rightarrow T_{r-1} M \) are induced by the algebra morphisms \( \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{r-1} \). The tangent bundle \( TM \rightarrow M \) (regarded as a Lie group bundle) acts on \( T_r M \) by

\[
(25) \quad TM \times_M T_r M \rightarrow T_r M, \quad v \cdot u = u - v \epsilon;
\]

the maps in (23) may also be seen as the quotient maps for this action.

Remark 5.1. The tangent bundle \( TM \) may be identified with the normal bundle of the diagonal in \( M \times M \). Similarly, \( T_r M \) may be identified with the weighted normal bundle of the diagonal in \( M^{r+1} \), for a suitable weighting. Details will be given elsewhere.

5.2. Lifts. For \( f \in C^\infty(M) \) we denote by \( f^{(i)} \in C^\infty(T_r M) \) the components of \( T_r f \), so that

\[
(26) \quad T_r f = \sum_{i=0}^r f^{(i)} \epsilon^i.
\]

Here \( f^{(0)} \) is the pullback of \( f \) under the base projection, \( f^{(1)} \) is the pullback of the exterior differential \( df \in C^\infty(T_1 M) \) under the map \( T_r M \rightarrow TM \); more generally, \( f^{(i)} \) is the pullback of
a function on \( T_i M \). The function \( f^{(i)} \) is homogeneous of degree \( i \) for the scalar multiplication on \( T_r M \). The tangent lift

\[
T_r X \in \mathfrak{X}(T_r M)
\]

of a vector field \( X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \) is characterized by the property \((T_r X)(T_r f) = T_r (X f)\). We also use the notation \( X^{(0)} = T_r X \), so that \( X^{(0)} f^{(i)} = (X f)^{(i)} \). The vertical lifts

\[
X^{(-1)}, \ldots, X^{(-r)}
\]

are similarly defined by \( X^{(-j)} f^{(i)} = (X f)^{(i-j)} \); the fact that these vanish on all \( f^{(0)} \) implies that they are tangent to the fibers of \( T_r M \to M \) everywhere. The superscript indicates the homogeneity, i.e., \( \kappa_t^* X^{(-j)} = t^{-j} X^{(-j)} \) for \( t \neq 0 \). The lifts satisfy

\[
[X^{(-i)}, Y^{(-j)}] = [X, Y]^{(-i-j)} , \quad (f X)^{(-i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-i} f^j X^{(-i-j)}.
\]

The vector fields \( X^{(-r)} \) define a vector bundle action of \( T M \to M \) on \( T_r M \to M \) (as in (24)), with quotient \( T_{r-1} M \). If \( x_a \) for \( a = 1, \ldots, n \) are local coordinates on \( U \subseteq M \), then the functions

\[
x_a^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq a \leq n, \quad 0 \leq i \leq r
\]

serve as fiber bundle coordinates on \( T_r U \subseteq T_r M \). The tangent lift of \( X = \sum_a f_a^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^{(i)}} \) is

\[
X^{(0)} = \sum_{a, i} f_a^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^{(i)}}.
\]

The lift \( X^{(-j)} \) is obtained from this expression by replacing \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^{(i)}} \) with \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^{(i+j)}} \) if \( i + j \leq r \), with 0 otherwise.

We shall also need the following observation, discussed in the articles \([27, 29]\) where it is attributed to Koszul.

**Proposition 5.2 (Koszul).** There is a natural action

\[
\mathbb{A}_r \to \Gamma(\text{End}(T(T_r M)))
\]

of the algebra \( \mathbb{A}_r \) on the fibers of the tangent bundle of \( T_r M \), in such a way that the generator \( \epsilon \in \mathbb{A}_r \) acts as

\[
\epsilon \cdot X^{(0)} = X^{(-1)}, \ldots, \epsilon \cdot X^{(-r+1)} = X^{(-r)}, \quad \epsilon \cdot X^{(-r)} = 0
\]

for all \( X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \).

One way of describing this algebra action uses the identification

\[
T(T_r M) = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(C^\infty(M), \mathbb{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_r).
\]

Elements of \( \text{End}_{\text{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_r) \) act on \( T(T_r M) \) by composition of algebra morphisms. The Koszul action comes from the inclusion \( \mathbb{A}_r \to \text{End}_{\text{alg}}(\mathbb{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_r) \), where \( x \in \mathbb{A}_r \) acts as

\[
x \cdot (1 \otimes y + \epsilon \otimes z) = 1 \otimes y + \epsilon \otimes x z.
\]
5.3. The group structure on $\Gamma(T_r M)$. The group structure on sections of the tangent bundle, given by addition of vector fields, generalizes to a nilpotent group structure on sections of the $r$-th tangent bundle $T_r M \to M$. Similar group structures on sections are discussed in [20, Chapter 37.6] in the general context of Weil functors. Likewise the action of $\Gamma(TM)$ on $TM$ generalizes to an action of $\Gamma(T_r M)$ on $T_r M$.

We begin with the characterization of diffeomorphisms as algebra automorphisms

$$\text{Diff}(M) = \text{Aut}_{\text{alg}}(C^\infty(M));$$

here a diffeomorphism $\Phi$ corresponds to the algebra automorphism $\Phi_*^*$ given by push-forward of functions. Identifying $M = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(C^\infty(M), R)$ via evaluation maps $m \mapsto ev_m$, the action of $\text{Aut}_{\text{alg}}(C^\infty(M))$ on $M$ is given by $ev_m \mapsto ev_{\Phi(m)} = ev_m \circ (\Phi_*)^{-1}$. To extend to the $r$-th tangent bundle it is convenient to write (22) as

$$T_r M = \text{Hom}_{A_r-\text{alg}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes A_r, A_r)$$

where the subscript indicates $A_r$-linear maps. The group

$$U_r = \text{Aut}_{A_r-\text{alg}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes A_r),$$

acts on $T_r M$ by $U \cdot u = u \circ U^{-1}$.

**Remark 5.3.** In the jet picture, note that on $T_r M$ there is an action of the group of smooth 1-parameter families of diffeomorphisms $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times M \to M$ of $M$: $\Phi$ sends the equivalence class of the smooth curve $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \to M$ to the equivalence class of the smooth curve $t \mapsto \Phi(t, \gamma(t))$. Then $U_r$ is the quotient of this group by the normal subgroup acting trivially on $T_r M$.

We may write the $A_r$-module endomorphisms of $C^\infty(M) \otimes A_r$ as

$$U = \sum_{i=0}^r U_i e^i, \quad U_i \in \text{End}(C^\infty(M)).$$

This defines an $A_r$-linear algebra endomorphism if and only if

$$U_i(fg) = \sum_{i_1+i_2=i} U_{i_1}(f) U_{i_2}(g),$$

and is invertible if and only if $U_0$ is invertible. The monoid $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$ acts on $U_r$ by group homomorphisms, via $\sum_{i=0}^r U_i e^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^r U_i t^i e^i$. Note also that the quotient maps $A_r \to A_{r-1}$ give a tower of groups and surjective group homomorphisms

$$\cdots \to U_r \to U_{r-1} \to \cdots \to U_0 = \text{Diff}(M);$$

The group $U_1$ is a semidirect product $\mathfrak{X}(M) \ltimes \text{Diff}(M)$, with $(X, \Phi)$ corresponding to $U = \Phi_* + \epsilon X$.

**Lemma 5.4.** The kernel of $U_r \to U_{r-1}$ is a copy of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, with group structure given by addition.

**Proof.** Elements of the kernel are of the form $\text{id}_{C^\infty(M)} + U_r \epsilon^r$. Here (26) says that $U_r$ is a derivation of $C^\infty(M)$. \qed

The $U_r$-action of $T_r M$ determines an action on functions, via push-forward. In particular, we are interested in the action on lifts $f^{(i)}$. 
Lemma 5.5. For $U \in \mathfrak{U}_r$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $i = 0, \ldots, r$,

$$U \cdot f^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (U_j(f))^{(i-j)}.$$ 

Proof. For $u \in T_r M$,

$$(U \cdot T_r f)(u) = (T_r f)(U^{-1} \cdot u) = (T_r f)(u \circ U) = (u \circ U)(f).$$

Expanding $T_r f = \sum_i f^{(i)} \epsilon^i$, $U = \sum U_j \epsilon^j$ this becomes

$$\sum_i (U \cdot f^{(i)})(u) \epsilon^i = \sum_j u(U_j(f)) \epsilon^j = \sum_{i,j} (U_j(f))^{(i-j)}(u) \epsilon^i.$$

The lemma follows by comparing coefficients. \qed

Let

$$\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r) = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{A}_r}^\text{alg}(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r)$$

be the space of $\mathbb{A}_r$-linear derivations of the algebra $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r$. Writing its elements as $X = \sum_i X_i \epsilon^i$, the condition $X(fg) = X(f)g + fX(g)$ simply says that all $X_i$ are derivations of $C^\infty(M)$. That is,

$$\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r) = \mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r.$$

Lemma 5.6. The action of the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r)$ on $T_r M$ is given by the map

$$\varrho : \mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(T_r M), \quad X = \sum_{j=0}^{r} X_j \epsilon^j \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{r} X_j^{(-j)}.$$ 

Proof. The infinitesimal version of Lemma 5.5 shows that

$$X \cdot f^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (X_j f)^{(i-j)} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} X_j^{(-j)} \cdot f^{(i)}.$$ 

\qed

Let $\mathfrak{U}_r^-$ be the subgroup of all $U = \sum U_i \epsilon^i$ for which $U_0 = \text{id}$. This group is unipotent (its elements satisfy $(U - \text{id})^{r+1} = 0$); its Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r^-) = \mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r^-$ consists of all $X = \sum X_i \epsilon^i$ such that $X_0 = 0$. Note that the action of $\mathfrak{U}_r^-$ preserves fibers; accordingly, the action of $\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r^-)$ is by vertical vector fields.

Corollary 5.7. The vector fields $\varrho(X)$ for $X = \sum_{i=1}^{r} X_i \epsilon^i \in \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r^-)$ are complete.

Proof. Since $X^{r+1} = 0$ as an operator on $C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r$, the 1-parameter group $t \mapsto U(t) = \exp(t X) \in \mathfrak{U}_r^-$ is well-defined. Its action on $T_r M$ is a 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $T_r M$, giving the flow of $X$. \qed

Since the group $\mathfrak{U}_r^-$ preserves fibers of $T_r M$, it acts on the space $\Gamma(T_r M)$ of sections. This space has a base point given by the ‘zero section’ $\text{ev} : M \rightarrow T_r M$, $m \mapsto \text{ev}_m$. 
Lemma 5.8. The action of $\mathcal{U}_r^-$ on the space of sections of $T_rM$ is free and transitive. Its application to the zero section hence gives a bijection

$$\mathcal{U}_r^- \to \Gamma(T_rM).$$

Similarly, the map $X \mapsto g(X)|_M \mod TM$ gives an isomorphism

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_r^-) \to \Gamma((T_rM)_{\text{lin}}).$$

Proof. Recall from Lemma 5.4 that the kernel of the map $\mathcal{U}_r^- \to \mathcal{U}_{r-1}^-$ consists of elements $\text{id} + X \epsilon^r$ where $X$ is a vector field. Its action on the fibers of $\Gamma(T_rM) \to \Gamma(T_{r-1}M)$ is free and transitive. By induction, this implies that the action of $\mathcal{U}_r^-$ on the fibers of $\Gamma(T_rM) \to \Gamma(T_0M) = \{0_M\}$ is free and transitive.

For the second part, recall $(T_rM)_{\text{lin}} = \nu(T_rM, M)$. The map $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_r^-) \to \Gamma(T(T_rM)|_M)$, $X \mapsto g(X)$ is a bijection, as is immediate from the coordinate description (and also from the result for $\mathcal{U}_r$). It restricts to a bijection $\mathcal{X}(M) \otimes \mathbb{R} \subseteq \text{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_r^-)$ to $\Gamma(TM) = \Gamma(T(T_0M)|_M)$, and hence descends to a bijection $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_r^-) \to \Gamma((T_rM)_{\text{lin}})$.

Remark 5.9. The proof gives a bijection

$$\text{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_r^-) = \Gamma(TM \otimes \mathbb{A}_r) \to \Gamma(T(T_rM)|_M), \; X \mapsto g(X)|_M.$$ 

One readily checks that this map is $C^\infty(M)$-bilinear, and hence gives isomorphisms of vector bundles $TM \otimes \mathbb{A}_r \to T(T_rM)|_M$ and $TM \otimes \mathbb{A}_r^- \to (T_rM)_{\text{lin}}$.

Finally, let us note the following fact.

Proposition 5.10. The tangent action of $\mathcal{U}_r$ on $T(T_rM)$ commutes with the Koszul action of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_r$.

Proof. This follows from the description

$$T(T_rM) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_r^- \text{-alg}}(C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r, \mathbb{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_r)$$

since the $\mathcal{U}_r$-action is defined by $\mathbb{A}_r^- \text{-alg automorphisms of } C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r$ while the Koszul action is defined by $\mathbb{A}_r^- \text{-alg homomorphisms of } \mathbb{A}_1 \otimes \mathbb{A}_r$.

5.4. Weightings in terms of $T_rM$. The description of weightings in terms of the $r$-th tangent bundle is as follows.

Theorem 5.11. [21] Given an order $r$ weighting of $M$ along $N$, there is a unique graded subbundle $Q \subseteq T_rM$ along $N \subseteq M$, with the property that for all $0 < i \leq r$,

$$(27) \quad C^\infty(M)_{(i)} = \{ f : \; f^{(i-1)}|_Q = 0 \}.$$ 

(The ideals for $i > r$ are determined by (7).) The non-positive part of the filtration on vector fields is described in terms of $Q$ as

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{X}(M)_{(-j)} = \{ X : \; X^{(-j)} \text{ is tangent to } Q \},$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, r$. The weighted normal bundle $\nu_\mathcal{W}(M, N)$ is the quotient of $Q$ under the equivalence relation

$$q_1 \sim q_2 \iff \forall f \in C^\infty(M)_{(i)} : f^{(i)}(q_1) = f^{(i)}(q_2).$$
In local weighted coordinates \( x_a \in C^\infty(U) \), the submanifold \( Q \) is described by the vanishing of all coordinates \( x_a^{(i)} \in C^\infty(T_r U) \) such that \( w_a > i \). The quotient map forgets the coordinates for which \( w_a < i \), while \( x_a^{(w_a)} \) descend to the coordinates \( x_a^{[w_a]} \) on the weighted normal bundle. The vertical bundle of the fibration \( Q \to \nu_{QY}(M, N) \) is \( \epsilon \cdot (TQ) \subseteq TQ \), where the dot indicates the Koszul action.

**Remark 5.12.** Let \( Q_i \subseteq T_i M \) defined as pre-images of \( Q \) under \( T_i M \to T_r M \) if \( i > r \) and as images under \( T_r M \to T_i M \) if \( i < r \). This gives a tower of graded bundles

\[
\cdots Q_{r+1} \to Q_r \to Q_{r-1} \to \cdots Q_0 = N,
\]

and \( C^\infty(M)_{(i)} \) may be described for all \( i \) as the functions for which \( f^{(i-1)} \) vanishes on \( Q_{i-1} \).

Not every graded subbundle \( Q \subseteq T_r M \) arises from a weighting. One necessary condition is that the tangent bundle \( TQ \) must be invariant under the Koszul \( \mathbb{A}_r \)-action (whenever \( X^{(-j)} \) is tangent to \( Q \) then so is \( X^{(-j-1)} \)). Further, by our conventions \( Q \) must be the pre-image of a subbundle \( Q' \subseteq T_{r-1} M \), i.e., it must be \( TM \)-invariant.

**Theorem 5.13.** [21] Suppose \( Q \subseteq T_r M \) is a graded subbundle, invariant under the action of \( TM \) and such that \( TQ \) is invariant under the Koszul action. Then \( Q \) comes from an order \( r \) weighting if and only if the subgroup \( (\mathbb{A}_r)_Q \) preserving \( Q \) acts locally transitively on \( Q \).

Another way of putting the last condition (and indeed the way it was formulated in [21]) is that \( TQ \) is spanned by the collection of all lifts \( X^{(-i)} \), for \( i = 0, \ldots, r \) and \( X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \), with the property that \( X^{(-i)} \) is tangent to \( Q \).

### 5.5. Singular Lie filtrations as singular foliations of \( T_r M \).

We shall now turn to the interpretation of singular Lie filtrations in terms of singular foliations of higher tangent bundles. Observe that a singular Lie filtration \( \mathfrak{X}_M = \mathcal{H}_r \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{H}_0 \) determines a graded Lie subalgebra

\[
\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r, \mathcal{H}) \subseteq \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \mathbb{A}_r
\]

consisting of all \( X = \sum_{j=0}^r X_j \epsilon^j \) with \( X_j \in \mathcal{H}_{-j} \). It follows that

\[
\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{H}}(M) = C^\infty(T_r M) \cdot \{ g(X) \mid X \in \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_r, \mathcal{H}) \} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(T_r M)
\]

is locally finitely generated and involutive: \( [\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{H}}] \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{H}} \). This singular foliation is \( \mathbb{A}_r \)-invariant (since \( \mathcal{H}_{-j} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-j-1} \)), \( TM \)-invariant (since \( \mathcal{H}_{-r}(M) = \mathfrak{X}(M) \)), and \( (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) \)-invariant (since the lifts \( X^{(-j)} \) are homogeneous). If \( \mathcal{H} \) is a regular Lie filtration, so that \( \mathcal{H}_{-j} = \Gamma(H_{-j}) \), then \( \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{H}} \) is a regular foliation of rank equal to \( \sum_j \text{rank}(H_{-j}) \). For the following result, we assume \( \mathcal{H}_0 = 0 \).

**Theorem 5.14.** Let \( M \) be a manifold with a singular Lie filtration \( \mathcal{H}_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{H}_{-1} \supseteq 0 \), and let \( N \subseteq M \) be an \( \mathcal{H}_* \)-clean submanifold. Then the graded subbundle \( Q \subseteq T_r M \) corresponding to the weighting along \( N \) is given by

\[
Q = \mathfrak{U}_{r, \mathcal{H}} \cdot T_r N.
\]

Its linear approximation is

\[
Q_{\text{lin}} = \tilde{F}_{-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{F}_{-r}
\]

where \( \tilde{F}_{-i}|_M = T_M N + H_{-i}|_M \).
Proof. Let $Q \subseteq T_r M$ be the graded subbundle defined by the weighting. We have $Q = (\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}}^{-})_Q \cdot T_r N$ since this is true for any weighting. Since $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}}^{-} \subseteq (\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}}^{-})_Q$, this proves the inclusion $\supseteq$ in (29). For the opposite inclusion, we use a dimension count. Recall that $\dim Q = \sum_{i=0}^{r} k_i$ where $k_i = \dim F_{-i}$. On the other hand, given $m \in N$, choose an open neighborhood $U \subseteq M$ and a local frame $V_1, \ldots, V_n \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$ of $TM|_U$, with the property that $V_1, \ldots, V_{k_0}$ are tangent to $N$, and $V_a \in \mathcal{H}_{-i}(U)$ for $k_0 < a \leq k_i$. Then $V_a^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq a \leq n$ and $0 \leq i \leq r$ are a local frame for $T(T_r(U))$. Since the $V_a^{(i)}$ for $a \leq k_0$ restrict to a frame for $T(T_r(N \cap U))$, it follows that the $V_a^{(i)}$ for $a > k_0$ span a complement of $T(T_r(N \cap U))$. We hence see that at any point $x \in T_r N$, with base point $m \in N$, the tangent space to $T_r N$ together with the orbit directions for the $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}}^{-}$-action span subspaces of dimension

$$\dim T_r N + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\dim \mathcal{H}_{-i}|_m - \dim(\mathcal{H}_{-i}|_m \cap T_m N))$$

$$= (r + 1) \dim N + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\dim \tilde{F}_{-i}|_m - \dim T_m N)$$

$$= (r + 1) k_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (k_i - k_0) = k_0 + \ldots + k_r = \dim Q \quad \square$$

6. Weighted normal bundles from singular Lie filtrations

Given a regular Lie filtration $TM = \mathcal{H}_{-r} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \mathcal{H}_{-1} \supseteq \mathcal{H}_0 \supseteq 0$, the associated graded bundle $\mathfrak{p} = \text{gr}(TM)$ inherits a fiberwise Lie bracket, turning it into a family of nilpotent Lie algebras [31]. In [34], this is called the osculating Lie algebroid, and the family of Lie groups $P \rightarrow M$ integrating it is called the osculating Lie groupoid. Given an $H$-filtered submanifold $N \subseteq M$, there is the osculating Lie groupoid $R \rightarrow N$ for the induced Lie filtration on $N$. Haj-Higson proved that the weighted normal bundle $\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)$ is the quotient $P|_N/R$. We will generalize this observation to singular Lie filtrations.

6.1. Lie algebras from singular Lie filtrations. Suppose $M$ is a manifold equipped with a singular Lie filtration $\mathfrak{X}_M = \mathcal{H}_{-r} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \mathcal{H}_{-1} \supseteq \mathcal{H}_0 \supseteq 0$. Consider the sheaf of negatively graded Lie algebras

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{H}_{-i}/\mathcal{H}_{-i+1}.$$  

(30)

Pulling (30) back to a given point $m \in M$ (as $C^\infty_M$-modules), we obtain a negatively graded vector space

$$\mathfrak{p}_m = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{p}_m^{-i}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_m^{-i} = \mathcal{H}_{-i}/(\mathcal{H}_{-i+1} + \mathcal{I}_m \mathcal{H}_{-i}).$$

(31)

where $\mathcal{I}_m \subseteq C^\infty_M$ is the vanishing ideal.

Lemma 6.1. The Lie bracket on vector fields descends to Lie brackets on the vector spaces $\mathfrak{p}_m$, compatible with the grading.
Proof. For $0 < i, j \leq r$, we have
\[
[H_{-i+1} + \mathcal{I}_m H_{-i}, H_{-j}] \subseteq H_{-i-j+1} + \mathcal{I}_m H_{-i-j} + H_{-i} \subseteq H_{-i-j+1} + \mathcal{I}_m H_{-i-j}.
\]
We conclude that the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : H_{-i} \times H_{-j} \to H_{-i-j}$ descends to the quotients, making (31) into a negatively graded Lie algebra.

Example 6.2. Consider the case of a regular Lie filtration, given by a filtration of the tangent bundle $TM = H_{-r} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H_{-1} \supseteq H_0$. Here the spaces $\mathfrak{p}_m$ are the fibers of the associated graded bundle $\mathfrak{p} = \text{gr}(TM) = \bigoplus H^{-i}/H^{-i+1}$, and the bracket defines a Lie algebroid structure on $\mathfrak{p}$, with zero anchor. Following [34], we call $\mathfrak{p}$ the osculating Lie algebroid of the filtered manifold $(M, H_{-\bullet})$. The nilpotent Lie groups $P_m$ integrating $\mathfrak{p}_m$ define the osculating groupoid $P = \bigcup_{m \in M} P_m$.

6.2. Clean submanifolds. For a submanifold $N \subseteq M$, let $H^N_{-i} \subseteq H_{-i}$ be the subsheaf of vector fields in $H_{-i}$ that are furthermore tangent to $N$. Since $[H^N_{-i}, H^N_{-j}] \subseteq H^N_{-i-j}$, this defines a singular Lie filtration $H^N_{\bullet}$. Replacing $H$ with $H^N$ in the definition of $\mathfrak{p}_m$, we obtain a graded Lie subalgebra
\[
\tau_m \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_m
\]
where $\tau_m^i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_m^i$ is the image of $H^N_{-i}$ under the quotient map. Let $R_m \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_m$ be the nilpotent Lie groups integrating $\tau_m \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_m$.

Example 6.3. Given a weighting of $M$ along a submanifold $N$, let $K_{-i} = X_{M,(-i)}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$. (See Example 3.16.) Then the graded Lie algebra bundle $\mathfrak{k} = \text{gr}(X_M)^- \to N$ from Section 2.2.4 may be described, for all $m \in N$, as
\[
\mathfrak{k}_m = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{k}_m^i, \quad \mathfrak{k}_m^i = K_{-i}/(K_{-i+1} + \mathcal{I}_m K_{-i}),
\]
which is a special case of the construction of $\mathfrak{p}_m$. The images of $K^N_{-i}$ define the summands of the graded Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{l}_m \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_m$.

Theorem 6.4. Let $M$ be a manifold with a singular Lie filtration $H_{\bullet}$, and $N \subseteq M$ an $H_{\bullet}$-clean submanifold, with the corresponding weighting of $M$ along $N$. Then the fibers of the weighted normal bundle are
\[
\nu_W(M, N)|_m = P_m/R_m.
\]

Proof. The singular Lie filtration $H_{\bullet}$ determines a weighting of $M$ along the $H_{\bullet}$-clean submanifold $N$. We shall use the notation from Example 6.3 for this weighting. The inclusion maps
\[
H_{-i} \hookrightarrow K_{-i}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, r
\]
determine a morphism of sheaves of graded Lie algebras $\text{gr}(H) \to \text{gr}(K)$; upon pullback to $m \in N$ this becomes a Lie algebra morphism
\[
\mathfrak{p}_m \to \mathfrak{k}_m.
\]
The map (33) restricts to inclusions $H^N_{-i} \hookrightarrow K^N_{-i}$, $i = 0, \ldots, r$, hence (34) takes $\tau_m$ to $\mathfrak{l}_m$, and induces a map
\[
\mathfrak{p}_m/\tau_m \to \mathfrak{k}_m/\mathfrak{l}_m.
\]
Since $p^{-i}m^{-i}/r^{-i}m^{-i}$ and $t^{-i}m^{-i}/l^{-i}m^{-i}$ are both identified with $F^{-i}/F^{-i+1}m$, this map is an isomorphism.

Exponentiating (34) defines an action of $P_m$ on $K_m/L_m = \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)|_m$, and (35) implies that the stabilizer of this action is $R_m$. That is,

$$P_m/R_m \cong K_m/L_m = \nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N)|_m.$$ 

With the additional assumption that $\dim H^{-i}_m$ is constant for $m \in N$, the Lie groups $P_m$ assemble into a smooth family, i.e., a Lie groupoid $P|_N \to N$, and similarly for $R_m$. □

For the case of a regular Lie filtration, we saw in Example 6.2 that the Lie algebras $p_m$ combine into a locally trivial vector bundle, the osculating Lie algebroid $p \to M$. Similarly, the induced Lie filtration of $N$ given by the bundles $H^{-i}|_N \cap TN$ defines the osculating Lie algebroid $\tau \to N$. Exponentiating to the corresponding osculating Lie groupoids, we then obtain

$$\nu_{\mathcal{W}}(M, N) = P|_N/R.$$ 

This recovers the result of Haj-Higson [19].

For more singular Lie filtrations, the Lie algebras $p_m$ do not combine into a vector bundle, unless the dimension of the graded summands $p^{-i}_m$ are constant. In fortunate situations, this can happen along submanifolds $N \subseteq M$, and in this case

$$p|_N = \bigcup_{m \in N} p_m$$

will be a Lie algebroid over $N$, with integrating Lie groupoid $P|_N = \bigcup_{m \in N} P_m \to N$. If $N \subseteq M$ is $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$-clean, then it follows that the $r^{-i}_m$ have constant dimension as well, and so define a Lie algebroid $\tau \to N$, integrating to $R \to N$. In these cases, we again have the presentation of the weighted normal bundle as a quotient (36).

**Example 6.5.** Suppose $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$ is a singular Lie filtration, and $\mathcal{F}$ is a singular foliation with the property $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}_{-i}] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{-i}$ for all $i$. Then the local flow of vector fields in $\mathcal{F}$ acts by automorphisms of the singular Lie filtration. Hence, if $N$ is a leaf (or an open subset of a leaf) of $\mathcal{F}$, then it is automatic that $N$ is $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$-clean, and that $\tau \subseteq p|_N$ are well-defined Lie algebroids over $N$.

**References**

1. A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, and U. Boscain, *A comprehensive introduction to sub-riemannian geometry*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2019.

2. P. Albin and R. Melrose, *Resolution of smooth group actions*, Spectral theory and geometric analysis, Contemp. Math., vol. 535, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 1–26. MR 2560748

3. I. Androulidakis, O. Mohsen, and R. Yuncken, *The convolution algebra of Schwarz kernels on a singular foliation*, Preprint (2019), arXiv:1910.02623.

4. I. Androulidakis, O. Mohsen, R. Yuncken, and E. van Erp, in preparation.

5. I. Androulidakis and G. Skandalis, *The holonomy groupoid of a singular foliation*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **626** (2009), 1–37.

6. I. Androulidakis and M. Zambon, *Stefan-Sussmann singular foliations, singular subalgebroids and their associated sheaves*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 13 (2016), no. suppl., 1640001, 17.

7. A. Bellaïche, *The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry*, Sub-Riemannian geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996, pp. 1–78.

8. W. Choi and R. Ponge, *Privileged coordinates and nilpotent approximation for Carnot manifolds, II. Carnot coordinates*, J. Dyn. Control Syst. **25** (2019), no. 4, 631–670.
9. ______., Privileged coordinates and nilpotent approximation of Carnot manifolds, I. General results, J. Dyn. Control Syst. 25 (2019), no. 1, 109–157.
10. ______., Tangent maps and tangent groupoid for Carnot manifolds, Differential Geom. Appl. 62 (2019), 136–183.
11. A. Connes, Noncommutative geometry, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
12. S. Dave and S. Haller, Graded hypoellipticity of BGG sequences, arXiv 1705.01659.
13. ______., The heat asymptotics on filtered manifolds, J. Geom. Anal. 30 (2020), no. 1, 337–389.
14. C. Debord and G. Skandalis, Lie groupoids, pseudodifferential calculus and index theory, Advances in Noncommutative Geometry. On the Occasion of Alain Connes’ 70th Birthday., Springer International Publishing, 2019, arXiv:1907.05258.pdf, pp. 245–289.
15. M. Golumbisky and V. Guillemin, Stable mappings and their singularities, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. MR 0341518
16. J. Grabowski and M. Rotkiewicz, Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds, J. Geom. Phys. 59 (2009), no. 9, 1285–1305.
17. ______., Graded bundles and homogeneity structures, J. Geom. Phys. 62 (2012), no. 1, 21–36.
18. V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Birational equivalence in the symplectic category, Invent. Math. 97 (1989), no. 3, 485–522. MR 1005004
19. A.R. Haj Saeedi Sadegh and N. Higson, Euler-like vector fields, deformation spaces and manifolds with filtered structure, Documenta Mathematica 23 (2018), 293–325.
20. I. Kolár, P. Michor, and J. Slovák, Natural operations in differential geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
21. Y. Loizides and E. Meinrenken, Differential geometry of weightings, Preprint (2020), arXiv:2010.01643.
22. E. Meinrenken, Euler-like vector fields, normal forms, and isotropic embeddings, Indagationes Mathematicae 32 (2021), 224–245.
23. A. Melin, Lie filtrations and pseudo-differential operators, Preprint, 1982.
24. R. B. Melrose, Differential analysis on manifolds with corners, Manuscript, available at http://www-math.mit.edu/ r bm/book.html.
25. O. Mohsen, Index theorem for inhomogeneous hypoelliptic differential operators, Preprint, 2020, arXiv 2001.00488.pdf.
26. ______., On the deformation groupoid of the inhomogeneous pseudo-differential calculus, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 53 (2021), no. 2, 575–592.
27. A. Morimoto, Prolongation of connections to bundles of infinitely near points, Journal of Differential Geometry 11 (1976), no. 4, 479 –498.
28. T. Morimoto, Geometric structures on filtered manifolds, Hokkaido Math. J. 22 (1993), no. 3, 263–347.
29. E. Okassa, Prolongement des champs de vecteurs `a des vari´et´es de points proches, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (5) 8 (1986/87), no. 3, 349–366.
30. P. Pansu, Submanifolds and differential forms on Carnot manifolds, after M. Gromov and M. Rumin, Preprint (2016).
31. N. Tanaka, On differential systems, graded Lie algebras and pseudogroups, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 10 (1970), 1–82.
32. E. van Erp, The Atiyah-Singer index formula for subelliptic operators on contact manifolds. Part I, Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), no. 3, 1647–1681.
33. ______., The Atiyah-Singer index formula for subelliptic operators on contact manifolds. Part II, Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), no. 3, 1683–1706.
34. E. van Erp and R. Yuncken, On the tangent groupoid of a filtered manifold, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 49 (2017), no. 6, 1000–1012.
35. ______., A groupoid approach to pseudodifferential calculi, J. Reine Angew. Math. 756 (2019), 151–182.