Complex Effects of Ecosystem Engineer Loss on Benthic Ecosystem Response to Detrital Macroalgae
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Abstract

Ecosystem engineers change abiotic conditions, community assembly and ecosystem functioning. Consequently, their loss may modify thresholds of ecosystem response to disturbance and undermine ecosystem stability. This study investigates how loss of the bioturbating lugworm Arenicola marina modifies the response to macroalgal detrital enrichment of sediment biogeochemical properties, microphytobenthos and macrofauna assemblages. A field manipulative experiment was done on an intertidal sandflat (Oosterschelde estuary, The Netherlands). Lugworms were deliberately excluded from 1 m sediment plots and different amounts of detrital Ulva (0, 200 or 600 g Wet Weight) were added twice. Sediment biogeochemistry changes were evaluated through benthic respiration, sediment organic carbon content and porewater inorganic carbon as well as detrital macroalgae remaining in the sediment one month after enrichment. Microalgal biomass and macrofauna composition were measured at the same time. Macroalgal carbon mineralization and transfer to the benthic consumers were also investigated during decomposition at low enrichment level (200 g WW). The interaction between lugworm exclusion and detrital enrichment did not modify sediment organic carbon or benthic respiration. Weak but significant changes were instead found for porewater inorganic carbon and microalgal biomass. Lugworm exclusion caused an increase of porewater carbon and a decrease of microalgal biomass, while detrital enrichment drove these values back to values typical of lugworm-dominated sediments. Lugworm exclusion also decreased the amount of macroalgae remaining into the sediment and accelerated detrital carbon mineralization and CO2 release to the water column. Eventually, the interaction between lugworm exclusion and detrital enrichment affected macrofauna abundance and diversity, which collapsed at high level of enrichment only when the lugworms were present. This study reveals that in nature the role of this ecosystem engineer may be variable and sometimes have no or even negative effects on stability, conversely to what it should be expected based on current research knowledge.

Introduction

It is widely recognized that species loss may affect ecosystem stability and, in turn, have important social and ecological consequences [1–3]. Overall, when more species are present in a community, ecosystem functions are more stable in time and, in turn, have important social and ecological consequences [1–3]. Differences in environmental conditions and ensure that functions are performed even when some other species are displaced [4,5,7]. Empirical evidence has shown that sometimes species loss can have complex, often idiosyncratic effects on the stability of ecosystem functions and on both their resistance and resilience against disturbance [8,9]. This is especially true for the marine coastal ecosystem, where species identity, functional traits and environmental context may rule ecosystem functions and their response to disturbances [10–12]. One or few species can be key contributors to ecosystem functions to the extent that their loss can outweigh the effect of species richness on ecosystem functions, resilience or resistance [10,13,14]. Such overwhelming effect can, however, vary with the environmental context and change with increasing ecological complexity [15]. It seems thus evident that understanding the role of species loss in marine systems might require a large body of knowledge of the functional role of individual species and of the consequences of losing particular species for ecosystem functions and for their response to disturbance.

Ecosystem engineer species strongly modify resource availability and environmental conditions, which in turn can alter communities and ecosystem functions [16,17]. These species are thus ideal candidates to test hypotheses on how local extinction of key contributors to ecosystem functions can modulate the response of ecosystems to disturbance. In marine sediments and terrestrial soils, ecosystem engineers are often species that contribute to...
Bioturbating fauna can modulate the recycling of detrital macroalgae enhancing organic matter mineralization and microbial activity [36–38]. Some burrowing bioturbating species, such as certain crabs or polychaetes, can also incorporate detritus into their burrow wall lining and represent important sinks for detrital material [39]. In addition, often bioturbating infauna are also detritivores that can consume directly detritus and contribute to its decomposition they can alter sediment biogeochemistry and, in turn, benthic communities of consumers. Despite detrital seaweed and seagrass supply is often a natural event, proliferation and blooms of seaweeds as a consequence of eutrophication can dramatically increase detrital seaweed biomass and exacerbate the effects on benthic ecosystems [26–29]. Biomass decomposition can induce hypoxia followed by the release of reduced toxic compounds such as sulphides, which are toxic for several benthic species and can therefore strongly reduce species abundance and diversity [26,27,29–33]. Detrital decomposition can also provide food, directly for detritivores or indirectly, by stimulating bacterial metabolism, thereby altering the benthic food web [28,34]. These effects strongly depend on the amount of detrital inputs and on its decomposition patterns, which may strongly vary as a consequence of benthic invertebrate composition and identity [35].

Bioturbating fauna can change the process of bioturbation, which includes sediment reworking and burrow ventilation [10]. These bioturbating engineer species can be great contributors to diagenetic reactions, to the recycling of sedimentary organic matter, and to benthic community structure and biodiversity [10–21]. They also have the potential to strongly modify the response to disturbance of benthic communities and functions [22]. For instance bioturbation by *Macreellia viridis* has been found to decrease hypoxic crises in the Baltic Sea [23]. It has also been found that in soil, reworking activity of dominant contributors to bioturbation, such as earthworms, modulates the response of plant communities to invasions [24]. Surprisingly, however, ecosystem engineer loss has been poorly considered in studies investigating ecosystem response to disturbance.

In marine coastal systems, a large portion of seaweeds and seagrasses is not consumed by herbivores but returns to the environment as decaying organic matter [25]. In shallow-water coastal habitats, detrital seaweeds and seagrasses can supply important amounts of organic matter to benthic ecosystems. They represent an important source of disturbance because during decomposition they can alter sediment biogeochemistry and, in turn, benthic communities of consumers. Despite detrital seaweed and seagrass supply is often a natural event, proliferation and blooms of seaweeds as a consequence of eutrophication can dramatically increase detrital seaweed biomass and exacerbate the effects on benthic ecosystems [26–29]. Biomass decomposition can induce hypoxia followed by the release of reduced toxic compounds such as sulphides, which are toxic for several benthic species and can therefore strongly reduce species abundance and diversity [26,27,29–33]. Detrital decomposition can also provide food, directly for detritivores or indirectly, by stimulating bacterial metabolism, thereby altering the benthic food web [28,34]. These effects strongly depend on the amount of detrital inputs and on its decomposition patterns, which may strongly vary as a consequence of benthic invertebrate composition and identity [35].

Bioturbating fauna can modulate the recycling of detrital macroalgae enhancing organic matter mineralization and microbial activity [36–38]. Some burrowing bioturbating species, such as certain crabs or polychaetes, can also incorporate detritus into their burrow wall lining and represent important sinks for detrital macroalgae [39]. In addition, often bioturbating infauna are also detritivores that can consume directly detritus and contribute to its recycling in the food web. In a laboratory experiment, for instance, it was found that nitrogen and carbon fluxes at the sediment-water interface changed following both the addition of the detrital green macroalgae *Chlamydomorpha luma* and the presence of the bioturbating polychaete *Nereis diversicolor*. This polychaete ingested macroagal fragments, enhanced microbial metabolism and contributed to diffuse solutes in the interstitial sediment and at the sediment-water interface [36].

One common well-recognized bioturbating species typical of temperate waters is the burrowing lugworm *Arenicola marina*. This worm lives head down in J-shaped burrows where it ingests sediment and defecates on the surface. Its activity has been recognized to destabilize sediment and counteract the effect of microphytobenthos, increase sediment microhabitats and alter sediment biogeochemistry, by enhancing solute penetration into sediment depth and re-distributing organic material along the vertical profile [40]. Consequently, this species may alter other fauna and vegetation [36,37,41]. This study reports on how the loss of this bioturbating lugworm may alter the response to detrital macroalgae of intertidal benthic macrofauna and sediment biogeochemistry. It was hypothesized that the deliberate exclusion of lugworms would increase the magnitude of changes caused by the disturbance of macroagal detritus for (i) carbon availability and benthic respiration; (ii) microagal biomass and (iii) macrofauna assemblages. Recycling of the organic carbon derived from detrital decomposition was also investigated to explore some of the mechanisms that might regulate the effect of A. marina on benthic responses to macroagal detritus.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Site and Experimental Design**

Two manipulative experiments were done on an intertidal flat of the Oosterschelde estuary (The Netherlands) dominated by the bioturbating lugworm *Arenicola marina*, during summer 2006. The study intertidal flat, named Slikken van Viane (51° 37’ 00’’ N, 4° 01’ 00’’ E), was a sheltered area situated on the upper intertidal close to a salt marsh. Sediment grain-size was 65% fine sand and 35% very fine sand (Rossi F., unpublished data). The Oosterschelde estuary is a national park designated as zone VI by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). This IUCN classification has among its objectives the facilitation of scientific research and environmental monitoring, mainly related to the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources (http://www.iucn.org). No specific permits were therefore required for performing these scientific experiments, which did not involve endangered or protected species. The first experiment tested the effect of *A. marina* exclusion on the response of macrofauna assemblages and sediment biogeochemistry to different amounts of detrital macroalgae. The second experiment measured the changes in detrital recycling following *A. marina* exclusion. For the first experiment (Fig. 1), all lugworms were excluded from 15 randomly chosen sediment plots of 1×1 m, some meters apart, by burying mosquito nets to the depth of approximately 7 cm (hereafter exclusion A− treatment), following the method proposed by [41] in a more extensive area. A procedural control for the effect of the net and of the burial was done for other 15 sediment plots of 1×1 m by burying mosquito nets with 10×10 cm holes (hereafter procedural control A+ treatment).

One month after lugworm exclusion, the sediment plots were organically-enriched by addition of detrital *Ulva* spp. Fresh *Ulva* thalli were previously collected in the Oosterschelde estuary and washed to remove animals and sediment. Thalli were then weighted and frozen in separate plastic bags. Freezing is

- Lugworm excluded plots (A−)
- Procedural control plots (A+)

![Table](http://www.iucn.org)

**Figure 1. Schematic experimental design for experiment 1.** Each rectangle corresponds to 1 m² plot. Numbers refers to the detrital enrichment. (0: no addition; 200:200 g WW were added twice at a 1 week interval; 600:600 g WW were added twice with a 1 week interval). Distance among plots are not in scale.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066650.g001
commonly used in experiments that aims at testing effects of detrital macroalgae and decomposition patterns. This treatment is necessary in order to create detritus and ensure that Ulva is dead when buried since this seaweed can survive for very long periods in the dark [30]. The thalli were left defrosting at air temperature and then added to the top 1 cm of the sediment by gently hand-churning the detritus with the sediment. Enrichment was done twice, on July 3 and July 10, 2006. Each time either 200 or 600 g of wet weighted Ulva (hereafter treatments 200 and 600, respectively) were added to 10 exclusion (A−) and 10 procedural control (A+) plots. These amounts corresponded to 40 and 120 g dry weight. Other 10 plots (5 A− and 5 A+) were not enriched (hereafter treatment 0), but the sediment was hand-churned to control for the manipulation. There was no procedural control for the physical presence of detritus as previous experiments had demonstrated no effects [29–30]. The amount of Ulva spp. was based on the available literature to cause medium and high levels of disturbance (e.g. [27,30,32,33]).

The second experiment was run at the same time of experiment 1. Two additional procedural control plots for burial and 2 exclusion plots were enriched with 200 g 13C-labelled Ulva twice, resembling the treatment “200”. These four plots were used to trace the fate of the carbon released from detrital Ulva. The labeling of Ulva was done in the laboratory. Leaves were washed and carefully cleaned to eliminate epiphytes and animals. Then, thalli were transferred to aquaria containing filtered seawater supplemented with NaH13CO3 (99% 13C) to the concentration of 0.2 g L−1. The aquaria were oxygenated and covered with transparent PVC to limit 13C bacterial respiration.

Field Sampling

For the first experiment, sampling was done on July 30 and August 1, 2006, one month after detrital enrichment. This timing was chosen because previous studies suggested that effects of algal mats on macrofauna occur within 2 to 10 weeks from the deposition [31–33,42] and that decomposition of Ulva occurs within a month (e.g. [43,44]). Sediment samples were taken for organic carbon (hereafter OC), porewater total inorganic carbon (DIC = H2CO3 + HCO3− + CO32−), microalgal biomass (chlorophyll a) and macrofauna species composition, abundance and diversity. The sediment for chlorophyll a and OC was collected using a cut syringe of 3 mm inner diameter (i.d.). For chlorophyll a, the sediment was collected to 1 cm depth, kept in the dark and preserved at −80°C. The sediment for OC was collected to the depth of 6 cm, sectioned into 0–1, 1–2 and 2–6 cm depths and preserved freeze-dried. Ulva detritus was removed from this sediment under the stereo-microscope. The sediment for porewater DIC extraction was collected with cores of 5 cm i.d. and sectioned into 0–1, 1–2 and 2–6 cm depth intervals at the lowest and highest levels of organic enrichment (0 and 600) in both the control and the exclusion treatments. Two cores were collected from each plot and then pooled to reach the amount of water needed for the analyses. Porwater was extracted by centrifugation (1344 g, 15 min) and the supernatant was filtered on Millipore 45 μm. The extracted water was stored in glass vials, sealed, immediately poisoned with saturated HgCl2 to stop bacterial activity and analyzed within 3 d. Only 5 out of 5 randomly-chosen replicate plots were sampled for OC and TCO2.

The sediment for macrofauna was collected using 11 cm i.d. PVC core. This sediment was sieved (0.5 mm mesh) and macrofauna fixed in 4% buffered formol. Before sampling, all plots were photographed to estimate the abundance of Arenicola marina using visible mounds. In the laboratory, all plots were independently examined by four researchers to reduce estimate bias. Five randomly-chosen natural plots were also photographed and then sampled using 11 cm i.d. cores to test for the effect of the procedural control A+ on the natural abundance of Arenicola marina and of the other benthic macrofauna. No differences were detected between natural and procedural control treatments (see Results section) and this latter was used for evaluating the effect of detrital enrichment and lugworm exclusion.

Sediment cores were also used to measure fluxes of inorganic carbon (TCO2) and oxygen (O2) at the sediment-water interface. Intact sediment cores were collected by pushing Plexiglas cores (11 cm i.d.) into the sediment to a depth of 20 cm at each of three replicate plots for the lowest and the highest levels of organic enrichment (0 and 600) in both the control and the exclusion treatments. The net was carefully cut around the cores to allow the core penetration into the sediment. Cores were sealed with rubber stops and dug out of the sediment. All cores were brought to a climate controlled room within 2 h, and kept in the dark at in situ temperature (10°C) before further handling. The same day, the cores were incubated in the dark for 12 h. Incubation in the dark

![Figure 2. Comparison of sediment surface appearance after Arenicola marina exclusion at low tide. A− represent the sediment after 1 month from the burial of net to exclude burrowing species. A+ is the procedural control treatment (see Materials and Methods for details). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066650.g002](Image)
did not allow to gather additional information concerning the (auto) trophic state of the sediment, which would need a light incubations of other sediment cores. Our system was however limited to carry 12 cores at a time and no further analyses could be done. Prior to incubations, cores were inundated with well-oxygenated Oosterschelde water collected the same day ([NH$_4$]$^+$=20 μmol L$^{-1}$, [NO$_3$]$^-$=110 μmol L$^{-1}$, salinity = 22) and left to acclimatize in the dark for 6–8 h. During incubation, the overlying water-column (25–30 cm) was continuously stirred with a magnetic stirrer, maintaining continuous water circulation at a rate well below the resuspension limit. Dissolved O$_2$ never decreased below 65% of saturation. Water samples for TCO$_2$ and O$_2$ were collected in glass vials and sealed avoiding air bubbles. All samples were immediately poisoned with saturated HgCl$_2$ to stop bacterial activity and then analyzed within 3 d.

For experiment 2, sediment samples were taken from the four plots with labelled Ulva. Sampling was done on four occasions, 6, 8, 15 and 17 days after detrital enrichment. Each time, one plexiglass intact sediment core (11 cm i.d.) was collected to 20 cm depth, following the modality used to sample the cores for estimating benthic respiration. To reduce plot damage during core collection, the central part of the plot (20 cm from the edges) was divided into 4 quadrants of 30×30 cm. Each sampling occasion, a sediment core was taken from a different quadrant. An area of 15×15 cm was thus damages each time, corresponding to 2.25% of the entire plot area. The sampled sediment was replaced with plexiglass tubes to avoid any collapse and to leave the remaining

![Figure 3. Mean (+1SE) for (a) bulk sediment organic carbon (OC) and (b) porewater dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) at different sediment depths (1 cm: surface sediment to 1 cm depth; 2 cm: from the depth of 1 to 2 cm; 6 cm: from the depth of 2 to 6 cm). In the graphs, A−: A. marina excluded; A+: procedural control for burial; the legend indicates the levels of detrital addition (0: no addition; 200:200 g WW were added twice at a 1 week interval; 600:600 g WW were added twice with a 1 week interval). Data were sampled one month after detrital addition. Asterisk indicates significant differences. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066650.g003](image)

### Table 1. Two-way analyses of variance for sediment organic carbon (OC) and porewater DIC at different sediment depths one month after detrital enrichment.

| Sediment OC | 0–1 cm | 1–2 cm | 2–6 cm |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Exclusion = E | df | MS | F | df | MS | F | df | MS | F |
| 1 | 0.67 | 10$^{-3}$ | 0.40 | 1.60 | 10$^{-3}$ | 3.80 | 0.09 | 10$^{-3}$ | 0.52 |
| Detrital enrichment = D | 2 | 0.61 | 10$^{-3}$ | 0.96 | 0.09 | 10$^{-3}$ | 0.40 | 0.62 | 2.22 |
| E × D | 2 | 1.71 | 10$^{-3}$ | 2.64 | 0.42 | 10$^{-3}$ | 1.90 | 0.17 | 10$^{-3}$ | 0.62 |
| Residual | 12 | 0.63 | 10$^{-3}$ | 0.22 | 10$^{-3}$ | 0.28 | 0.03 | 10$^{-3}$ | 2.11 |

| Porewater DIC | 0–1 cm | 1–2 cm | 2–6 cm |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Exclusion = E | df | MS | F | df | MS | F | df | MS | F |
| 1 | 1.89 | 17.50 | 5.06 | 1.03 | 10.15 | 4.80 |
| Detrital enrichment = D | 1 | 0.06 | 2.00 | 1.28 | 5.12 | 0.15 | 0.28 |
| E × D | 1 | 0.11 | 3.43 | 4.93 | 19.71 | 0.30 | 0.57* |
| Residual | 8 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 2.11 |

Significant values ($P<0.05$) are in bold. Data were not-transformed ($P_{cochran} >0.05$). Asterisk indicates pooling of interaction when $P>0.25$.

![Figure 4. Mean (+1SE) for (a) benthic oxygen (O$_2$) consumption and total carbon dioxide (TCO$_2$) released during 12 hours dark incubation; (b) Respiratory quotient (RQ). In the graphs, A−: A. marina excluded; A+: procedural control for burial; the legend indicates the levels of detrital addition (0: no addition; 200:200 g WW were added twice at a 1 week interval; 600:600 g WW were added twice with a 1 week interval). Data were sampled one month after detrital addition. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066650.g004](image)
part of the plots undisturbed. The cores were handled like the cores used for evaluating oxygen and carbon exchange at the sediment-water interface for the experiment 1. However, before incubating for wet respiration and determining release of T13CO2 in the water column, the labelled cores were incubated for dry fluxes during 6 h. At the beginning and at the end of the incubation, air samples were collected using syringes and pumped into tubes containing soda lime to trap CO2 and measure the T13CO2 derived from Ulva decomposition. A LICOR automated CO2 analyzer was used to quantify CO2 release every hour. Sediment-water exchange rate and macrofauna data were generated as for experiment 1 (see “Analytical measures” section).

Following flux incubations for both experiments, the cores were sieved at 0.5 mm to collect the macrofauna. No lugworm was collected, dried and weighed. Chlorophyll a biomass to be used for stable isotope analyses relative to the

### Table 2. Two-way analyses of variance for sediment-water exchanges of O2 and TCO2 and for the benthic respiratory coefficient RQ.

|          | O2   | TCO2 | RQ  |
|----------|------|------|-----|
|          | df   | MS   | F   | MS   | F   | MS   | F   |
| Exclusion = E | 1    | 8.8 × 10^-6 | 2.38 | 252.08 | 0.78 | 0.29 | 2.39 |
| Detrital enrichment = D | 1    | 8.0 × 10^-8 | 0.00 | 102.08 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 0.18 |
| E × D    | 1    | 4.381 × 10^-7 | 0.11* | 70.08 | 0.22* | 0.00 | 0.01* |
| Residual | 8    | 4.101 × 10^-8 | 325.08 | 0.14   |      |      |      |

Significant values (P<0.05) are in bold. Data were not-transformed (P-cochran >0.05), except for O2, which was arc-tangent transformed to homogenise the residual variances. Asterisk indicates pooling of interaction when P=0.25. 

Water TCO2 (wet fluxes), gaseous CO2 (dry flux), bulk sediment and macrofauna collected in the labelled plots were analyzed for carbon isotope composition (δ13C). The gaseous 13CO2 (dry flux) captured using soda lime was analyzed after acidification. The 13C isotope signature for TCO2 dry and wet fluxes was analyzed using a GC column coupled to a Finnigan delta S mass spectrometer IRMS. The carbon isotopic composition for sediment, residual detritus and animals was determined using a Fisons elemental analyzer coupled to a Finnigan delta S mass spectrometer. 13C to 13C ratio was referred to Vienna PDB and expressed in units of %o. Reproducibility of the measurements is better than 0.2‰ [45]. The excess of the heavy isotope of carbon (above background) was expressed as total uptake (I) in milligrams of 13C. I was calculated as the product of excess 13C (E) and carbon or biomass (C):

\[
I = E \times C
\]

where F indicates the fraction of isotope added and it is calculated as:

\[
F = R_{\text{control}} - R_{\text{sample}}
\]

where R can be calculated from the measured δ13C using R reference of 0.0112372:

\[
\delta^{13}C = \left[ R_{\text{sample}} / R_{\text{reference}} - 1 \right] \times 10^3
\]

### Analytical Measures

In the laboratory, macrofauna were identified to the species level, counted and dried at 60°C for 48 h to obtain dry weight biomass to be used for stable isotope analyses relative to the experiment 2. The detrital Ulva remaining in the samples was also collected, dried and weighed. Chlorophyll a was extracted in darkness for 24 h at 0–4°C using a 90% acetone solution. The sediment was homogenized and sub-samples of about 1 g dry weight were taken. Pigments were measured spectrophotometrically before and after 0.1 N HCl acidification. Pre-combusted (450°C for 3 h) sediment was used as a blank. Measurements for bulk sediment OC were made using a Perkin-Elmer CHN element analyzer on freeze-dried material, after carbonate removal with HCl.

Flux rates of TCO2 and O2 between the sediment and the overlying water were estimated as difference between the initial and the final TCO2 or O2 concentrations during dark incubation, assuming constant solute exchange with time. The oxygen dissolved into the water samples was analyzed using the standard method of Winkler titration, while water TCO2 and porewater DIC were determined by the flow injection/diffusion cell technique, which is based on the diffusion of CO2 through a hydrophobic membrane into a flow of deionized water, thus generating a gradient of conductivity proportional to the concentration of CO2.

### Statistical Analyses

For experiment 1, data were analyzed with two-way orthogonal mixed model of analysis of variance (ANOVA), with exclusion (2 levels: procedural control A+ and exclusion of A. marina, A−) and detrital enrichment (3 or 2 levels: 0, 200 and 600) as fixed and random factors, respectively. Detrital enrichment was considered a random factor because the amount of detritus to add was chosen within a range of values to simulate conditions of low and high.
enrichment, but no specific hypothesis concerned the chosen amounts. A significant interaction between exclusion and detrital enrichment was expected if the exclusion of A. marina changed the response to detrital enrichment. These analyses were done for the total abundance of macrofauna individuals, number of macrofauna species, chlorophyll a, sediment OC, porewater DIC, water O_2 and TCO_2 exchange rate. C-coxran test was used to test for residual variance homogeneity before running the analyses. When this test was significant (P<0.05), data were transformed. Pooling was done when P>0.25. A posteriori multiple comparison SNK test was run when interaction term was significant.

The variables collected for evaluating the recycling of detrital 13C (experiment 2) were analyzed with repeated measure ANOVA, with time (6, 8, 15 and 17 sampling days from the end of detrital addition) as the repeated measure random factor and A. marina exclusion as fixed factor. Plots (2 levels) was nested in exclusion. The interaction of time with the exclusion treatment was measured over the interaction time*plot. When significant interaction was found, the main effect exclusion was not interpreted. Assumption about the correlation among the different observations of the repeated measure factor (time) was tested with Mauchley’s sphericity test.

Decomposition rate of macroalgal detritus was estimated by fitting the first-order model:

\[ B_t = B_0 e^{kt} \]

Where \( B_t \) is the g of detrital biomass at time \( t \) (days), \( B_0 \) is the initial biomass, at time 0, at the moment of the second detrital addition and \( k \) is the specific decomposition constant. The two detrital additions were done at a distance of one week, which may greatly affect the estimates of \( B_0 \). We therefore considered the estimates of residual Ulva as the sum of two decomposition curves, with the same constant \( k \) but different decomposing time:

\[ B_t = B_{(0-7)} e^{k(t+7)} + B_{(0)} e^{kt} \]

The curves of 13C benthic incorporation (including loss due to respiration) were fitted to the logistic curve:

\[ I_t = Y / (1 + q e^{-rt}) \]

\[ q = (Y - I_0) / I_0 \]

Where \( Y \) is the 13C loss from macroalgal decomposition and \( r \) is the capacity of benthos to incorporate 13C.

### Results

After the exclusion of A. marina, no burrows were visible in the excluded plots (Fig. 2) and the number of burrows in the procedural control (treatment A+) was comparable to that of natural sediment (mean±SE, n = 5:8.72±1.77 and 10.33±1.17 burrows m\(^{-2}\) for the natural and the procedural control, respectively). Overall, there were no differences in macrofauna species composition between the natural sediment and the procedural control plots. Macrofauna abundance (mean±SE, n = 5) was 294±42 and 220±53 individual m\(^{-2}\), whereas the number of species was 10±0.2 and 10±0.5 for A+ and natural sediment, respectively. Therefore, A+ could be used as a control for the effect of lugworm exclusion and detrital macroalgae.

Overall, in the natural sediments and in the A+ plots the gastropod Hydrobia ulvae was numerically dominant, representing 90% of macrofauna abundance. The remaining 10% was made up by oligochaetes (3%), deposit feeder polychaetes (3%) such as spionids, nereids, capitellids and cirratulids and bivalves (3%), mainly Macoma baltica and Cerastoderma edule.

### Carbon Availability and Benthic Respiration

At the time of sampling, sediment organic carbon (OC) did not vary following detrital enrichment, the exclusion of A. marina or their interactions (Table 1, Fig. 3a; ANOVA, P>0.05). Macroalgal debris was still present in the sediment where detrital macroalgae were added at the highest enrichment level (treatment 600). The biomass of this macroalgal debris was smaller in the lugworm-excluded sediment than in procedural controls (mean±SE: lugworm exclusion, treatment A–: 2.3±1.4 gDW m\(^{-2}\); A+: 12.0±6.6 gDW m\(^{-2}\); ANOVA: F\(1, 7\) = 6.19, P = 0.038).

There was a significant effect of the interaction between lugworm exclusion and detrital enrichment on porewater DIC to the depth of 1–2 cm (Table 1; Fig. 3b). In the non-enriched sediment, porewater DIC concentration was higher in the lugworm exclusion than in the control plots (SNK test at \( P = 0.05 \), non-enriched plots, treatment 0; A–>|A+). Moreover, in the lugworm-exclusion plots, porewater DIC was lower in the enriched than in the non-enriched plots (SNK test at \( P = 0.05 \), A–>|A+). Values in detrital-enriched, lugworm-excluded sediment were similar to those measured for the A+ treatment (Fig. 3b). A similar pattern was observed for superficial (0–1 cm) and deeper sediment (2–6 cm in Fig. 3b), but no significant changes were measured.

Both A. marina exclusion and detrital enrichment or their interaction did not significantly change sediment-water exchanges of TCO_2 and O_2 nor the RQ respiration coefficient (TCO_2/O_2 ratio) (Table 2; Fig. 4).

### Table 3. Two-way analyses of variance for chlorophyll a, macrofauna abundance and number (N) of species.

|               | Chlorophyll a | Abundance | N of species |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
|               | df | MS  | F | MS  | F | MS  | F |
| Exclusion = E | 1  | 72.23 | 1.27 | 0.48 | 0.69 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| Detrital enrichment = D | 2  | 53.74 | 4.02 | 0.29 | 0.56 | 30.00 | 7.11 |
| E × D         | 2  | 56.80 | 4.25 | 2.34 | 4.81 | 17.73 | 4.21 |
| Residual      | 24 | 13.37 | 0.49 | 4.22 |

Significant values (P<0.05) are in bold. Data were sqrt-transformed for macrofauna abundance. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066650.t003
There was a significant interaction between *A. marina* exclusion and detrital enrichment for microalgal biomass (Table 3; Fig. 5). Lugworm exclusion had a negative effect on microalgal biomass in the non-enriched plots (SNK test: 0: A+ > A−). In the lugworm-excluded sediment, detrital enrichment enhanced microalgal biomass (SNK test: A−: 0 = 200 > 600). Values were similar to those measured for the A+ control plots (treatments 200 and 600 in Fig. 5).

A significant interaction between *A. marina* exclusion and detrital enrichment was also found for macrofauna abundance and number of species (Table 3). Abundance was lowered by the highest level of detrital enrichment in the plots where *A. marina* was present (Fig. 6a; SNK test: A+: 0 = 200 > 600). Overall, both the dominant gastropod *Hydrobia ulvae* and all other remaining macrofauna decreased in abundance, especially nereids, spionids and bivalves (ANOVA: F2, 24 = 4.26, P = 0.026 for *H. ulvae*, Fig. 6b; F2, 24 = 4.65, P = 0.019 for remaining taxa, data were square-root transformed; SNK tests for both: A+: 0 = 200 > 600). Number of species showed a similar pattern, decreasing in response to the highest level of enrichment in the A+ plots (Fig. 6c; SNK test: A+: 0 = 200 > 600). Detrital enrichment seemed to not affect the abundance of the lugworms as the number of *Arenicola* burrows remained relatively stable at different levels of detrital enrichment (2 way ANOVA: F2, 12 = 0.88, P = 0.34, Fig. 6d).

There were differences in the rate of *Ulva* decomposition following *A. marina* exclusion. The decomposition constant k was 0.20 for the detritus added to the *A. marina* excluded sediment (A− plots in Fig. 7). Interestingly, the A+ plots did not fit well the exponential curve using the same constant k through the decomposition. Rather, at the beginning of decomposition, they fitted k values between 0.06 and 0.08, whereas at the end they fitted a K constant of 0.1 (Fig. 7). There was a significant interaction between exclusion treatment and time after detrital addition for the flux rate of T13CO2 from the sediment to the water column (Table 4). More T13CO2 was released by the sediment in the exclusion treatment within 6 days from burial (Fig. 8a). Respiration rates were (mean ± SE) 0.84 ± 0.25 and 0.12 ± 0.03 mg 13C m−2 day−1 for dry and wet fluxes, respectively. Part of the 13C remained in the benthos and it was incorporated in the bulk sediment and in the macrofauna. The amount incorporated was variable among plots, but it did not significantly vary between exclusion treatments or time (Table 4; Fig. 8b–c). *H. ulvae* incorporated the largest amount of 13C among all macrofauna taxa (mean ± SE: 3.2 ± 0.8 and 1.2 ± 0.4 mg 13C m−2, for *H. ulvae* and remaining fauna, respectively).
Bioturbation can enhance solute penetration in deeper sediments, their exchange between the sediment and the overlying water and re-distribute organic material along the vertical profile [36,37,41]. As such, the loss of species that are important contributors to bioturbation may greatly change the response to detrital decomposition of sediment biogeochemistry. In laboratory experiments, for instance, *A. marina* and the polychaete *Nereis diversicolor* were found to increase turnover of carbon and nutrients during detrital macroalgal decomposition [19,36]. The exclusion of *A. marina* from intertidal sediments was thus expected to modify the biogeochemical response to macroalgal detrital enrichment. Conversely, at the end of decomposition (1 month after the second enrichment) benthic respiration (*O₂* and *TCO₂* sediment-water exchanges) and sediment organic carbon content did not change as an effect of lugworm exclusion.

*Ulva* amount and time of sampling (one month after enrichment) allowed decomposition and release of a large quantity of organic matter, which could be mineralized or accumulated in the sediment, thereby modifying sediment organic carbon, inorganic carbon dissolved in the interstitial water and fluxes at the sediment-water interface. *Ulva* halftime decomposition rate is within 15 days [30]. By considering the range of k constant calculated for this study (between ~0.06 and ~0.2), at least 85% *Ulva* would be decomposed at the time of sampling for both enrichment levels. If one considers that dried sediment weighs about 1600 g l⁻¹ and *Ulva* organic carbon content is around 40% of dried tissues [34], this decomposed detritus corresponds to organic carbon concentrations ranging between 0.15 and 1.2% sediment dry weight for the top first cm, roughly 2–10 times the concentration of organic carbon found in natural sediments for low and high enrichment, respectively (see the non-enriched sediment in Fig. 2a). The lack of sediment carbon accumulation and changes in *TCO₂* fluxes at the sediment-water interface indicated that the benthic system was able to remove the carbon derived from *in situ* decomposition and that bioturbation did not play a central role in detrital carbon recycling.

It is important to re-iterate that fluxes were measured during 12 h dark incubation of sediment cores without lugworms, which indicated the overall indirect effect of lugworms on detrital benthic mineralization, but did not include any direct effects of lugworms due to burrow ventilation during the period of incubation. The importance of ventilation was instead assessed in the field by measuring porewater DIC, which increased after lugworm removal, indicating a decreased solute exchange due to *A. marina* loss. Interestingly, these changes were variable along the vertical sediment profile and were offset by the addition of detrital macroalgae, which supported the idea that fast mineralization of detrital carbon in these sediments is only in part due to bioturbation. The complexity of the relationships between species, functions and response to disturbance can increase with increasing ecological realism [15,46]. Our experiments were done *in situ* and they were designed to test effects of *A. marina* under natural environmental conditions. Detrital macroalgae were deliberately added to the sediment, without using artificial nets that could limit detrital loss. This method exposed decomposing detritus to tides and waves, especially when detritus remained at the sediment surface. The role of bioturbation on sediment biogeochemistry has been mainly assessed through laboratory studies. The few field studies on the role of *Arenicola* on porewater, fluxes and organic matter decomposition have revealed that its role may vary and decrease considerably in nature [19,37,47]. By comparing field to laboratory experiments, it was found, for instance, that solute exchange was more variable in the field because it was not only ruled by bioturbation but also by advection related to waves and tides and by differences in the reactivity of sediment organic matter related to the complexity of biological communities of primary producers and consumers [47]. This is particularly true when experiments are done in permeable sediment, where *A. marina* is often a dominant species [48]. In these sediments, hydrodynamics can enhance advective flow from the porewater to the overlying water column, rule the exchange of solutes and organic matter at the sediment-water interface and overwhelm the biological effect of bioturbation [49]. Although our experiments were done in a relatively sheltered sand flat, as indicated by the dominance of fine-grained sand, numerous ripple marks were visible at low tide (Fig. 2) and they probably indicated strong hydrodynamics generated by waves and tides. In these sediments, hydrodynamics could accelerate the exchange of solutes produced during decomposition, the removal of macroalgal detritus from the sediment and override the effect of bioturbation on the response of sediment biogeochemistry to detrital macroalgae.

The decomposition rate of *Ulva* in these sediments was fast, as indicated by the estimated k constants (k = −0.2 and −0.1 for A− and A+), respectively; Fig. 7). These values were at the limit or even exceeded the range of values typical of *Ulva* detritus, which varies between −0.04 and −0.1 [34]. More importantly, the estimated k

| Table 4. Repeated measure analyses of variance and sphericity tests for the 13C incorporation in macrofauna and bulk sediment, and for the 13CO₂ released from the benthos (benthic respiration) during detrital decomposition at an intermediate level of detrital enrichment (200 gWW plot−1 added twice). |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Macrofauna      | Bulk sediment   | Benthic respiration |
|                                 | df   | MS    | F    | df   | MS    | F    | df   | MS    | F    |
| Exclusion = E                   | 1    | 5.97 10⁻⁷ | 0.12 | 4.27 10⁻⁴ | 0.22 | 1.52 10⁻⁵ | 9.73 |
| Time = T                       | 3    | 3.13 10⁻⁷ | 0.60 | 8.78 10⁻⁴ | 1.02 | 1.84 10⁻⁵ | 14.45 |
| Residual (Plots)               | 2    | 4.96 10⁻⁶ | 1.96 10⁻³ | 1.56 10⁻⁶ |
| T×E                            | 3    | 6.21 10⁻⁷ | 0.12 | 8.79 10⁻⁴ | 1.02 | 8.98 10⁻⁶ | 7.07 |
| Residual (T×Plots)             | 6    | 5.21 10⁻⁶ | 8.59 10⁻⁴ | 1.27 10⁻⁶ |
| Sphericity test (χ²) n = 6      | 7.80 | 4.83 | 8.06 |

Significant values (P < 0.05) are in bold. Sphericity test was not significant.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066650.t004

Discussion

Bioturbation can enhance solute penetration in deeper sediments, their exchange between the sediment and the overlying water and re-distribute organic material along the vertical profile [36,37,41]. As such, the loss of species that are important contributors to bioturbation may greatly change the response to detrital decomposition of sediment biogeochemistry. In laboratory experiments, for instance, *A. marina* and the polychaete *Nereis diversicolor* were found to increase turnover of carbon and nutrients during detrital macroalgal decomposition [19,36]. The exclusion of *A. marina* from intertidal sediments was thus expected to modify the biogeochemical response to macroalgal detrital enrichment. Conversely, at the end of decomposition (1 month after the second enrichment) benthic respiration (*O₂* and *TCO₂* sediment-water exchanges) and sediment organic carbon content did not change as an effect of lugworm exclusion.

*Ulva* amount and time of sampling (one month after enrichment) allowed decomposition and release of a large quantity of organic material, which could be mineralized or accumulated in the sediment, thereby modifying sediment organic carbon, inorganic carbon dissolved in the interstitial water and fluxes at the sediment-water interface. *Ulva* halftime decomposition rate is within 15 days [30]. By considering the range of k constant calculated for this study (between −0.06 and −0.2), at least 85% *Ulva* would be decomposed at the time of sampling for both enrichment levels. If one considers that dried sediment weighs about 1600 g l⁻¹ and *Ulva* organic carbon content is around 40% of dried tissues [34], this decomposed detritus corresponds to organic carbon concentrations ranging between 0.15 and 1.2% sediment dry weight for the top first cm, roughly 2–10 times the concentration of organic carbon found in natural sediments for low and high enrichment, respectively (see the non-enriched sediment in Fig. 2a). The lack of sediment carbon accumulation and changes in *TCO₂* fluxes at the sediment-water interface indicated that the benthic system was able to remove the carbon derived from *in situ* decomposition and that bioturbation did not play a central role in detrital carbon recycling.

It is important to re-iterate that fluxes were measured during 12 h dark incubation of sediment cores without lugworms, which indicated the overall indirect effect of lugworms on detrital benthic mineralization, but did not include any direct effects of lugworms due to burrow ventilation during the period of incubation. The importance of ventilation was instead assessed in the field by measuring porewater DIC, which increased after lugworm removal, indicating a decreased solute exchange due to *A. marina* loss. Interestingly, these changes were variable along the vertical sediment profile and were offset by the addition of detrital macroalgae, which supported the idea that fast mineralization of detrital carbon in these sediments is only in part due to bioturbation. The complexity of the relationships between species, functions and response to disturbance can increase with increasing ecological realism [15,46]. Our experiments were done *in situ* and they were designed to test effects of *A. marina* under natural environmental conditions. Detrital macroalgae were deliberately added to the sediment, without using artificial nets that could limit detrital loss. This method exposed decomposing detritus to tides and waves, especially when detritus remained at the sediment surface. The role of bioturbation on sediment biogeochemistry has been mainly assessed through laboratory studies. The few field studies on the role of *Arenicola* on porewater, fluxes and organic matter decomposition have revealed that its role may vary and decrease considerably in nature [19,37,47]. By comparing field to laboratory experiments, it was found, for instance, that solute exchange was more variable in the field because it was not only ruled by bioturbation but also by advection related to waves and tides and by differences in the reactivity of sediment organic matter related to the complexity of biological communities of primary producers and consumers [47]. This is particularly true when experiments are done in permeable sediment, where *A. marina* is often a dominant species [48]. In these sediments, hydrodynamics can enhance advective flow from the porewater to the overlying water column, rule the exchange of solutes and organic matter at the sediment-water interface and overwhelm the biological effect of bioturbation [49]. Although our experiments were done in a relatively sheltered sand flat, as indicated by the dominance of fine-grained sand, numerous ripple marks were visible at low tide (Fig. 2) and they probably indicated strong hydrodynamics generated by waves and tides. In these sediments, hydrodynamics could accelerate the exchange of solutes produced during decomposition, the removal of macroalgal detritus from the sediment and override the effect of bioturbation on the response of sediment biogeochemistry to detrital macroalgae.

The decomposition rate of *Ulva* in these sediments was fast, as indicated by the estimated k constants (k = −0.2 and −0.1 for A− and A+), respectively; Fig. 7). These values were at the limit or even exceeded the range of values typical of *Ulva* detritus, which varies between −0.04 and −0.1 [34]. More importantly, the estimated k
constant for the lugworm-exclusion sediment was twice that of the control plots. In addition, the biomass of macroalgal debris was, on average, 6 times larger in controls than in lugworm-excluded sediments (2 and 12 g DW per plot for A+ and A−, respectively). This may bring to the conclusion that despite hydrodynamics could play an important role in determining benthic response to detrital macroalgae, A. marina could represent an important sink for detritus and it could modify in situ decomposition rate, as found for other burrowing bioturbators [39,50]. These species can mechanically bury macroalgae in their funnels, thereby decreasing detritus availability to surface sediment and slowing down decomposition rate, while maintaining a pool of organic matter in the sediment. Buried detritus and slowed decomposition rate could, in turn, modify mineralization processes. In this study, a peak in T13CO2 release to the water column was identified at an early stage of decomposition in A. marina-excluded sediment plots, suggesting that the loss of A. marina accelerated the mineralization of detrital Ulva at an early stage of decomposition. This explanation of increased mineralization following A. marina loss was supported by the finding that porewater DIC decreased in response to detrital addition in A. marina excluded sediments. In addition, simultaneously to this decrease, macroagal biomass increased. Probably, without the mechanical action of burying macroagal fragments by Arenicola, detrital macroalgae remaining on sediment surface and decomposing faster released nutrients that enhanced bacterial metabolism and their carbon consumption. Evidence for increased carbon consumption during biomass decomposition has been found for plant litter in freshwater ecosystems [51,52]. In addition, benthic microalgae are often regulated by bottom-up processes [53] and they could greatly benefit from nutrient release, thereby contributing to recycling the mineralized detrital carbon. Interestingly, detrital enrichment and lugworms had similar effects on microagal biomass. Probably, both lugworm bioirrigation and detrital decomposition can provide nutrients necessary to regulate microagal growth [19,28,37,47].

A. marina is believed to influence the assembly rules and the distribution of macrofauna and, as such, their patterns of response to disturbances by altering the sedimentary habitat (e. g. [37,41]). Our results showed a negative effect of A. marina on macrofauna response to detrital addition since both macrofauna abundance and diversity decreased following the highest level of enrichment in presence of lugworms. The increased detrital burial by A. marina could play a central role in determining this response. Patches of detrital macroalgae in sediments can govern the spatial and temporal variability of macrofauna patterns of distribution. The presence of detritus may decrease numbers of individuals and diversity because of hypoxia during decomposition. Once detritus has decomposed, fast recolonizing taxa can promptly occupy the previously disturbed patches and benefit from increased food supply [29]. Detrital burial due to lugworm activity probably prolonged hypoxic conditions and slowed down macrofauna recovery. The decrease in abundance common to all taxa and the loss of diversity could corroborate this explanation as anoxic conditions related to detrital decomposition deplete most taxa abundance and diversity [29]. Moreover, burial could decrease detrital availability to surface consumers and therefore prevent their colonization, once hypoxia ceases. Macroagal supply to sediment surface can represent an important source of food for surface grazers and detritivores, such as the gastropod Hydrobia ulvae [34,54]. Part of detrital carbon was transferred to macrofauna, especially to H. ulvae (experiment 2, Fig. 7c), which was the numerically dominant species in these area. Its important role for detrital carbon transport to the food web was found previously in the surrounding area [34]. This species is highly mobile and it crawls on sediment surface for feeding. Its local abundance might thus vary rapidly following food availability on surface sediment.

In summary, our field experiment showed that A. marina can be an important sink for detrital Ulva. However, conversely to what it should be expected based on current research knowledge, this

![Figure 8](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066650.g008)

**Figure 8.** Mean ± SE for (a) T13CO2 released from the sediment to the water column (sum of dry and wet fluxes); (b) amount of 13C organic carbon in the bulk sediment (averaged over depth profile) and (c) amount of 13C carbon incorporated by macrofauna. Data are fitted to the LOESS smoothing with an interval of 4 and 1 polynomial degree. Values are averaged over the two plots where A. marina was excluded (treatment A−; square symbols in the graphs) and the two procedural control plots (treatment A+; triangle symbols in the graph). Data refers to the experiment 2. Asterisk indicates significant differences.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066650.g008
species has moderate, sometimes negative effects on the response to detrital enrichment of sediment biogeochemistry and macrofauna. A. marina loss causes the sedimentary ecosystem to become a faster recycler of detrital macroleagage, enhancing detrital loss, carbon consumption and mineralization. This may bring to the conclusion that the loss of this species might be unimportant or somewhat beneficial for certain intertidal ecosystems. However, fast recycling and detrital dispersal could undermine carbon storage capacity of benthos and, in turn, the stability of whole estuarine ecosystem facing organic enrichment and eutrophication.

These findings clearly demonstrate that under natural conditions, the local extinction of an ecosystem engineer may have complex effects on the ecological stability of marine sediment biogeochemistry and benthic communities of consumers. They also highlight the importance of performing experiments under natural conditions if we are to understand and predict the response of ecosystems to perturbation and species loss.
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