Direct core functionalisation of naphthalenediimides by iridium catalysed C–H borylation†
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We report the first boron-substituted naphthalenediimides (NDIs), prepared by iridium catalysed C–H activation. When the NDI substrates bear N-benzyl substituents, the naphthyl NDI core is borylated in preference, suggestive of a directed borylation mechanism. Borylated NDIs are substrates for Suzuki–Miyaura couplings and borylation of an NDI bearing two inequivalent N-substituents has also been demonstrated.

Naphthalenediimides (NDIs) have been the focus of intense and increasing research activity in recent years. This is due to the very wide range of areas in which they have found application, including organic electronics, bulk heterojunction solar cells, artificial photosynthesis, synthetic pores and sensors, electrochemical DNA detection, DNA polyintercalation, G-quadruplex binding and antiproliferative agents, bioimaging, rotaxanes, catenanes and knots, dynamic systems and supramolecular assemblies, ligands for transition metals, metal–organic frameworks, organocatalysts and thermoresponsive materials. In each field it is desirable to access NDIs with specific substituents in order to modulate their properties and accordingly much synthetic effort is being directed towards this goal.

Synthesis of N-substituted NDIs is straightforward, as condensation of the corresponding primary amine with the commercially available 1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic dianhydride (1, NDA) reliably furnishes the desired NDIs; we have previously described the synthesis of non-symmetrically N-substituted NDIs 4 by two sequential condensations with two different amines in a one-pot reaction (Scheme 1).

In contrast, however, preparation of core-substituted NDIs (referred to in the literature as cNDIs) typically necessitates multistep procedures. Most reported routes to cNDIs involve preparing a core-functionalised NDA followed by the condensation with primary amines described above. For example, the first report of a cNDI, nearly 80 years ago, describes the preparation of 2,6-disubstituted NDIs from 2,6-dichloro-NDI, prepared in turn from 2,6-dichloro-NDA. More recently, this route has been employed to access a diverse array of cNDIs. However, this approach is unavoidably hampered by the difficult access to 2,6-dichloro-NDA itself – this is prepared from pyrene in a four-step procedure that requires careful control of reaction temperature as well as the use of fuming HNO3/concentrated H2SO4 at 100 °C. More recently, direct bromination of NDA has been developed, with the resulting bromo-NDAs being further elaborated to cNDIs. This is a far more concise route to cNDIs, and can give access to monobromo, C2 symmetric dibromo and tetra-bromo NDAs. However, it still necessitates the use of concentrated H2SO4 and/or oleum. The various routes to access cNDIs have recently been reviewed.

A conceptually distinct approach to cNDIs is to functionalize directly the naphthyl core of a pre-formed core-unfunctionalized NDI. Examples of this approach are scant. To the best of our knowledge, there are five reports of nucleophilic or radical addition of amines to NDIs to afford amino- or heteroannellated NDIs; two further reports describe radical perfluoroalkylation of NDIs and Ru-catalysed C–H activation/styrene incorporation of NDIs respectively. We were interested in the direct introduction of a substituent on the naphthyl core which would allow for further elaboration to a wide selection of cNDIs. In this context, a cNDI bearing a boron-containing functional group was appealing, as the elaboration of these by Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling is well established (NDIs bearing core boryl substituents are unknown to date). Core-borylated NDIs would also be complementary to...
the known halo-NDIs/NDAs, insofar as the former would be nucleophilic partners for a cross-coupling, whereas the latter are electrophilic partners. C–H borylation catalysed by iridium has been extensively developed in recent years\(^1\) and this current paper describes our development of the C–H borylation of NDIs.

Encouraging precedent for our desired transformation was provided in the recent report of the Ir-catalysed C–H borylation of perylenedimides (PDIs, the higher rylene homologs of NDIs) with bis(pinacolato)diboron (B\(_2\)pin\(_2\))\(^{24}\). The borylated PDIs indeed proved to be versatile synthetic intermediates,\(^{3,25}\) but the borylation reaction itself did however require forcing conditions, i.e. 48–96 h at 110 °C in 1,4-dioxane. In the first instance we adopted these same reaction conditions to attempt the borylation of NDIs. As test substrate we employed 5, bearing branched alkyl N-substituents to aid solubility (Scheme 2). Three discrete borylated species were identified: monoborylated NDI 6 (17% conversion) and isomeric diborylated NDIs 7 and 8 (76% combined conversion). Separation of 7 from 8 was not possible chromatographically. The structure of 6 was assigned on the basis of mass spectrometry and through the observation of four inequivalent carbonyl environments in the \(^{13}\)C-NMR spectrum. The structures of 7 and 8 were assigned on the basis of mass spectrometry and exhaustive NMR analysis of the reaction mixture (see ESI†). Both \(C_2\)-symmetric isomer 7 and \(C_2\)-symmetric isomer 8 exhibit a single naphthyl resonance in the \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum. These are distinguishable and integrate in a 1:1 ratio (both before and after purification), implying that the second borylation event is entirely non-selective (ortho-diborylation being discounted on steric grounds). The \(^1\)H-NMR signal for the methylene group adjacent to nitrogen is also diagnostic: for 7 this is a doublet, but for 8, two doublets are observed as a result of the inequivalence of the two nitrogens in this molecule. It should be noted that the \(C_6\) isomer 8 possesses a core substitution pattern that has only recently\(^{18e}\) become accessible (indirectly) by the previously reported NDA bromination methodology.

We next briefly explored the extent to which altering reaction time or stoichiometry affected the ratio of products 6 (7 + 8). As would be expected, a reduction in reaction time to 24 h increased the proportion of monoborylated product (28% conversion to 6) to diborylated products (64% conversion to 7 and 8, 1:1). A similar outcome was seen upon lowering the excess of B\(_2\)pin\(_2\) to 4 equivalents, keeping reaction time at 48 h (50% conversion to 6 and 25% conversion to 7 and 8, 1:1).

We then considered the possibility of using an alternative solvent, since 1,4-dioxane is a group 2B carcinogen and is able to form peroxides. However, a replacement solvent had to meet several criteria: (a) have no aryl C–H bonds, to avoid competing borylation of the solvent; (b) have no ability to ligate the metal centre; (c) be capable of solvating the NDI substrates of notoriously poor solubility; (d) have a sufficiently high boiling point to allow reaction at elevated temperatures without dangerous pressure buildup; (e) be amenable to microwave heating and; (f) have an improved safety profile. Hexafluorobenzene was identified as meeting these criteria. Carrying out the reaction in Scheme 2, but with this solvent in place of dioxane led to a near-identical outcome: conversion to monoborylated 6 (18%) and diborylated 7 and 8 (76%, 1:1). Thus, all subsequent reactions were carried out in hexafluorobenzene.

In order to reduce the prohibitively long reaction times, we next employed microwave acceleration and elevated temperatures. Thus, the reaction depicted in Scheme 2, but using hexafluorobenzene as solvent at 140 °C for 2 h under microwave heating and with a smaller excess of boron source (4.0 eq. B\(_2\)pin\(_2\)) afforded borylated products in near quantitative overall conversion, i.e. monoborylated 6 (12% conversion) and diborylated 7 and 8 (85% conversion, 1:1). A screen of ligands commonly utilized for Ir-catalysed C–H borylation confirmed tris(pentafluorophenyl)-phosphine to be optimal (Table 1).

We next probed the effects of additional changes to the excess of borylating agent used (Table 2); lowering this to 2.0 gave the greatest conversion to monoborylated 6. Mass balance was near quantitative and unreacted 5 could be recovered from the crude reaction mixture by selective crystallisation from acetonitrile prior to chromatography. The borylation methodology also proved applicable to other NDI substrates (Scheme 3).

Homochiral NDI substrate 9 underwent borylation with very similar conversions to 5, affording monoborylated 10 and

### Table 1 Conversions using various ligands

| Ligand | Conversion to products\(^{a,b}\) | Monoborylated NDI 6 (%) | Diborylated NDIs 7 & 8 (%) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2,2′-Bipyridine | 12% | 85% | 44% |
| 4,4′-Di-tert-butyl-2,2′-bipyridine | 6% | 35% | 12 (8)% |

\(^{a}\) 4 eq. B\(_2\)pin\(_2\), 3 mol% [Ir(cod)OMe]\(_2\), 12 mol% ligand, \(C_6F_{14}\) 140 °C, 2 h, μW.  
\(^{b}\) Determined by \(^1\)H-NMR.  

### Table 2 Conversions using various equivalents of B\(_2\)pin\(_2\)

| B\(_2\)pin\(_2\) equivalents | Conversion to products\(^{a,b}\) | Monoborylated NDI 6 (%) | Diborylated NDIs 7 & 8 (%) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 4.0 | 12 | 85 | |
| 3.0 | 22 | 75 | |
| 2.0 | 48 | 42 | |
| 1.0 | 36 | 9 | |

\(^{a}\) B\(_2\)pin\(_2\), 3 mol% [Ir(cod)OMe]\(_2\), 12 mol% \(P(C_6F_{14})_3\), \(C_6F_{14}\) 140 °C, 2 h, μW.  
\(^{b}\) Determined by \(^1\)H-NMR.  

---

**Scheme 2**: Iridium catalysed C–H borylation of an NDI under conditions of thermal heating.
diborylated 11 and 12 (inseparable, 1:1). Borylation of N,N'-dibenzyldiaryl NDIs 13 proceeded to a lesser extent under the same conditions, giving proportionately more monoborylated products 14 to diborylated 15 and 16 (inseparable, 1:1). Notably, the borylation was entirely selective for the naphthyl core and no products arising from borylation of the benzyl groups were observed. This is strongly suggestive of the borylation being a directed process,26 which is precedented in the complete absence of bay-region functionalization in the reported borylation of PDIs.24 An NDIsubstrate bearing two inequivalent N-substituents, 17, was prepared as per Scheme 1, in order to probe the directing effects of these substituents. Upon subjecting 17 to the same reaction conditions, three diborylated products 18–20 were formed and isolated. In contrast to the preceding examples, no monoborylated products were isolated. The isomers 18:19:20 were formed in the statistical ratio 1:1:2, implying that despite the steric differences between the two N-substituents, both the first and second naphthyl borylation events were essentially nonselective. (Once again, no borylation of the aryl side-chain was observed). In contrast to the previous examples, isomers 18–20 proved sufficiently different in polarity that careful chromatography allowed for the isolation of each isomer in ≥ 85% purity, sufficient for their structures to be assigned unequivocally on the basis of 2D-NMR analysis (see ESI†).

To illustrate the synthetic utility of the borylated NDIs for accessing diverse chromophores, Suzuki–Miyaura cross-couplings were demonstrated with a variety of coupling partners. Monoborylated NDIs 14 was used as a representative substrate (Fig. 1); a dual cross-coupling of 20 was also carried out to give 26. Both electron-rich and electron-poor aryl bromides are acceptable coupling partners, affording the isolated core-arylated NDIs in moderate to good yields. NDIs bearing a substituted phenyl group as their sole core substituent are an extremely scarce27 and an under-studied class of compounds. The absorption spectra for 21–26 are shown in Fig. 2. They all have absorption maxima between 330–390 nm which are essentially conserved, but 23 and 24 also have absorption maxima between 260–290 nm and methoxy-substituted 23 has a maximum at 459 nm, well in the visible region.

In summary, we have demonstrated a concise and “core-selective” route to NDIs bearing boryl esters, which in turn are appropriate handles for further functionalisation. By these means, many previously unknown NDIs will be accessible. We anticipate this methodology will find multiple applications, given the plethora of uses for NDIs mentioned above.
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