Abstract

Constipation is a common medical problem and when standard laxatives fail it can be difficult to treat. Different aetiologies require tailored therapeutic approaches. Simple constipation may only require dietary manipulation while severe neurological or slow transit constipation may need pharmacologic intervention. Recently new drug therapies have been introduced. PubMed and Ovid were searched for reviews, systematic reviews and meta-analysis published since 2003 using the terms: constipation, prucalopride, linaclotide and lubiprostone. This review summarizes potential novel therapies identified as effective in the management of chronic constipation. Prucalopride is a selective 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor agonist. The prucalopride study was in patients, largely women with idiopathic constipation showed improved spontaneous complete bowel movement (SCBM) at a dose of 2 mg a day with few adverse events reported. Linaclotide is a 14-amino acid peptide guanylate cyclase-C agonist. The linaclotide study was carried out in patients with irritable bowel syndrome, constipation group (IBS-C). There was significant improvement of bowel evacuation and symptom resolution in patients on the active treatment arm. Lubiprostone activates type-2 chloride channels, increasing intestinal fluid secretion. In the trials of this drug, the lubiprostone arms had a greater mean number of SCBM. The novel therapies, prucalopride, lubiprostone, and linaclotide had very different modes of action yet, all three have been shown to be efficacious and safe in the treatment dose for constipation.
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Core tip: When standard laxatives fail in the management of constipation, licensed medication linaclotide and prucalopride show useful efficacy in clinical trials.

INTRODUCTION

Constipation is a common complaint reported to General practitioners and Gastroenterologists. A systematic review by Peppas et al[1] found that the mean value of constipation rates in Europe is 17.1% and 15.3% in Oceania. Constipation is a symptom complex. A thorough history and physical examination is paramount in the evaluation of a patient presenting with constipation. The physician should seek to understand the patient’s perception of their current bowel habit, compared to the past and should include stool frequency, form and the ease of passage of stool[2]. The use of the Bristol Stool Chart may aid the patient in their description of the stool form (Table 1)[2,3].

The presence of alarm features such as unintentional weight loss and rectal bleeding should be excluded during the history taking. The drug history should include the type of laxatives and the dose and duration previously tried. Physical examination should include both an abdominal examination for palpation of any masses or
Table 1  Bristol stool chart

| Type | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1    | Separate hard lumps |
| 2    | Sausage shaped but lumpy |
| 3    | Like a sausage but with cracks on its surface |
| 4    | Like a sausage or snake, smooth and soft |
| 5    | Soft blobs with clear cut edges |
| 6    | Fluffy pieces with ragged edges, a mushy stool |
| 7    | Watery, no solid pieces, entirely liquid |

Various definitions of constipation have been used, ranging from the patients own account of constipation to the formal criteria used in clinical trials. A practical definition would be a reduced frequency or ease of stool passage that is different to the individual's normal pattern. Constipation can be acute and chronic, with chronic constipation defined as duration of greater than three months.

The Rome III criterion for chronic constipation includes the presence of two or more of six symptoms for at least twelve weeks in the preceding six months (Table 2). Constipation leads to a reduction in quality of life. A recent systematic review by Belsey et al. has shown that this reduction is predominant in the mental health domain and is equivalent to chronic conditions such as diabetes.

Constipation can be a primarily functional disorder or secondary to medications, and systemic diseases. Constipation can be classified as normal transit, slow transit, or due to obstructed defecation. A study conducted in Thailand found that 13% of patients had slow transit, 29% had obstructed defecation, 11% of patients had a mixture of slow transit and obstructed defecation, with the remaining 47% having normal transit.

Obstructed defecation
Functional outlet obstruction can occur because of dysfunction of the anal sphincter, pelvic floor muscle dyssynergy or structural abnormalities like obstructing rectoceles.

Slow transit constipation
Patients with slow transit constipation have a reduction in the frequency of high amplitude colonic contractions. Scintigraphic measurements indicate that slow transit is more common in the left colon than in the transverse and ascending colon. A loss of co-ordination between contractile activity in the rectum and sigmoid colon and a reduced rectal sensory threshold has been implicated in slow transit constipation.

Normal transit constipation
In this subgroup the colonic transit time is normal and there is no evidence of functional outlet obstruction on palpable stool and a rectal examination, which may reveal evidence of strictures or fissures.

Table 2  Rome III criteria

1 Straining at defecation on at least 1/4 of occasions.
2 Stools that are lumpy/hard on at least 1/4 of occasions.
3 Sensation of incomplete evacuation on at least 1/4 of occasions.
4 Manual manoeuvres to facilitate at least 25% of defecations.
5 Sensation of anorectal obstruction/blockage at least 25% of defecations.
6 Fewer than 3 bowel movements a week.

PRUCALOPRIDE
Prucalopride is a selective, high affinity 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor agonist, used in patients with severe chronic constipation. There have been 3 pivotal studies of the use of prucalopride in the management of chronic constipation. Camilleri et al. has shown that this reduction is predominant in the mental health domain and is equivalent to chronic conditions such as diabetes.

The study by Camilleri et al. was a multicentre, randomized, placebo-controlled, trial in 620 patients with severe chronic constipation (<2 spontaneous, complete bowel movements per week). They found that the proportion of patients with 3 or more spontaneous, complete bowel movements per week was 30.9% of those receiving 2 mg of prucalopride and 28.4% of those receiving 4 mg of prucalopride, compared to 12.0% in the placebo group (P < 0.001 for both comparisons). The most frequently reported side effects of the drug have been headache, nausea, and diarrhea.

In the 12 wk study by Tack et al. 713 patients recruited were given either 2 or 4 mg prucalopride daily vs placebo. The number of patients achieving >3 SCBMs/wk was 19.5%; P < 0.01 on 2 mg prucalopride and 23.6; P < 0.001 on 4 mg prucalopride vs 9.6% for placebo.
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Quigley et al. [17] also demonstrated a similar efficacy of prucalopride in 641 patients compared to placebo in chronic constipation. In this 12 wk study 641 patients received either 2 or 4 mg of prucalopride vs placebo. In the 2 mg prucalopride group 23.9% had > 3 SCBM per week. In the 4 mg group 23.5% had > 3 SCBM per week (P < 0.01, in both cases) vs 12.1% with placebo [18].

A study from the Asia-Pacific region evaluated the efficacy and safety of the 2 mg dose of prucalopride compared to placebo in patients with chronic constipation. This study found that prucalopride greatly improved bowel function, and patient satisfaction in individuals suffering from chronic constipation over a 12-wk treatment period. It found that prucalopride was safe and was well tolerated by patients [19].

Prucalopride has not been found to have a significant interaction with the hERG potassium channel which was assumed to have been responsible for the development of adverse cardiovascular effects seen with Cisapride [18, 24]. The three pivotal clinical trials of prucalopride did not demonstrate any relevant electrocardiographic changes [15-17]. A recent meta-analysis of seven RCTs of prucalopride found that the number needed to treat (NNT) was 6 [25, 26].

Lubiprostone
Chloride channels play a vital role in the transport of fluid and maintaining cell volume and pH in cells and tissues, particularly intestinal epithelial cells. The CIC-2 channel when activated promotes the secretion of intestinal fluid. Lubiprostone activates type-2 chloride channels, increasing intestinal fluid secretion. This may facilitate intestinal transit, thereby increasing the passage of stool [23].

In a multicentre 4 wk trial, Johanson et al demonstrated that the use of lubiprostone in chronic constipation produced a bowel motion within 24-48 h of initial dosing and increased frequency of bowel motions with short term treatment. This double-blinded trial recruited 242 patients with constipation. The patients were randomized to receive either 24 mcg oral lubiprostone or placebo twice daily for 4 wk. One hundred and twenty patients received lubiprostone and 122 received placebo. The lubiprostone arm reported a greater mean number of spontaneous bowel movements at week 1 compared with the placebo arm (5.69 vs 3.46, P = 0.0001), with an increased frequency of spontaneous bowel movements reported at weeks 2, 3 and 4 (P <= 0.002). Twenty-four hours after the first dose 56.7% of the lubiprostone group reported a SCBM compared with 36.9% in the placebo group (P = 0.0024); within 48 h, 80% and 60.7% of these patients reported a SCBM (P = 0.0013), respectively. Nausea (31.7%) and headache (11.7%), were the most common side effects noted [23].

Barish et al. [23] showed a similar outcome in their multicentre, double-blinded study. A total of 237 patients with chronic constipation were randomized to 4 wk of 24 mcg oral lubiprostone or placebo twice daily. The lubiprostone arm again had a greater mean number of SCBM at week 1 compared to placebo (5.89 vs 3.99, P = 0.0001), with a higher proportion having SCBM’s in the first 24 h of the initial dose (61.3% vs 31.4%, P < 0.0001) [23].

Linaclotide
Linaclotide is a 14-amino acid peptide guanylate cyclase-C agonist. It binds to and activates GC-C on the luminal surface of the intestinal epithelium. Activation of GC-C leads to increased cGMP (cyclic guanosine monophosphate) which triggers a signal transduction cascade activating the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. This causes an increase in the secretion of chloride and bicarbonate into the intestinal lumen, resulting in increased luminal fluid secretion and an acceleration of intestinal transit.

Linaclotide at a daily dosage of 290 mcg vs placebo to patients with IBS-C. Based on the recommendations for IBS-C trial design and in the FDA guidance for IBS clinical trials, a responder was defined as a patient who reported: (1) An improvement of > 30% from baseline in average daily worst abdominal pain score; and (2) Increase of > 1 complete spontaneous bowel movement from baseline, both in the same week for > 6/12 wk and 3 other primary end points, based on improvements in abdominal pain and CSBMs for 9/12 wk [26, 27].

After the initial screening, 804 patients were recruited. 33.7% of the linaclotide arm were FDA end point responders vs 13.9% of the placebo arm (P < 0.0001). The NNT was 5.1, (95%CI: 3.9-7.1). The pain responder criterion of the FDA end point was met by 48.9% of linaclotide treated patients vs 34.5% of placebo-treated patients (NNT = 7.0, 95%CI: 4.7-13.1) and the CSBM responder criterion was met by 47.6% of linaclotide-treated patients, vs 22.6% of placebo patients (NNT = 4.0, 95%CI: 3.2-5.4) [26].

Another 12 wk trial by Rao et al. [28] recruited 800 patients to a double-blinded, parallel group, placebo controlled trial to placebo vs 290 mcg linaclotide once daily, followed by a 4 wk randomized withdrawal period. Thirty point six percent of the linaclotide-treated patients met the FDA end point compared with 21% of placebo treated patients (P < 0.0001) (NNT = 8, 95%CI: 5.4-15.5). Throughout the randomized withdrawal period, patients remaining on linaclotide showed a sustained improvement. The patients that were re-randomized from linaclotide to placebo showed a return of symptoms without any worsening of symptoms relative to baseline. The most common adverse effects were GI-related, of which diarrhoea had the highest incidence [29].

**CONCLUSION**

Novel therapies such as prucalopride, lubiprostone, and
linaclootide have been shown to be efficacious and safe in the treatment dose for constipation. They have different mechanisms of action influencing and activating colonic motility, secretions and transit time leading to improvement in frequency and consistency of stool and bowel symptoms with greater satisfaction in chronic constipation. Overall, all these new treatment options have been shown to have a good safety profile.
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