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Abstract
Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) is a well-recognized procedure for management of hepatocellular carcinoma. We present a 54-year-old man who presented with a periumbilical maculopapular skin rash that developed after an otherwise uneventful TACE procedure. A retrospective review of imaging was consistent with non-target embolization of the hepatic falciform artery (HFA). He was treated with oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication for 3 weeks with improvement, but had slight skin induration and an excoriated papule at 6-month follow-up. Non-target embolization of HFA is very rare, but clinicians and interventionalists should be aware of this complication, especially in patients predisposed to enlargement of HFA.

Introduction
Hepatocellular cancer (HCC) is the most common primary malignant tumor of the liver, the fifth most common cancer worldwide, and the third most common cause of cancer-related death.1 Liver transplantation or surgical resection is the preferred treatment of HCC; however, many patients diagnosed with HCC are not surgical candidates. Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) is central for management of intermediate BCLC-B stage HCC, as it has shown a survival benefit in these patients.1 A myriad of complications related to TACE has been described, and more systemic complications have been reported with conventional TACE than with TACE using drug-eluting beads (DEB-TACE).2,3

Case Report
A 54-year-old man with a history of cirrhosis secondary to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) presented to hepatology clinic for management of cirrhosis. He complained of vague abdominal discomfort and subjective weakness for the prior 4 weeks. Abdominal examination showed mildly distended non-tender abdomen with normal bowel sounds. The laboratory work-up was unremarkable, with an alpha fetal protein level of 2.67 ng/mL. A triple-phase computed tomography (CT) showed a suspicious area of arterial enhancement in segment V of the liver (Figure 1). A repeat triple-phase magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) confirmed a 2.6-cm arterially enhancing lesion in segment V that did not demonstrate portal venous phase washout, so the patient was managed with short-term imaging follow-up (Figure 1). After discussion by a multidisciplinary tumor board, the patient was scheduled for TACE.
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Selective angiography of the middle hepatic artery showed a faint hypervascular focus corresponding to target lesion (Figure 2). The branch was subsequently embolized with 75 mg of doxorubicin loaded on 70-150 μm LC beads (BTG International, West Conshohocken, PA). The postembolization angiogram confirmed occlusion of the branch. The patient tolerated the procedure well and was discharged the next morning.

He returned 1 week later complaining of a worsening ‘red area’ on his belly that he noticed 3 days after the procedure. He denied fever or pain. The initial examination showed a non-tender erythematous papular rash in the periumbilical area, predominantly on left side (Figure 3). A retrospective review of middle hepatic artery angiogram and cone beam CT obtained during the TACE procedure showed a patent hepatic falciform artery (HFA) that was not recognized during the procedure (Figure 4). The clinical findings were consistent with non-target embolization of the HFA. The patient was started on non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication for 3 weeks. His rash partially cleared at his 3-week follow-up visit, but there was interval development of an approximately 1-cm ulcer with indurated margins (Figure 5). There was a nearly complete resolution of rash at his 6-month visit, but the ulcer persisted with dry eschar, suggesting previous skin necrosis (Figure 5). The patient is currently doing well and is still on the liver transplant list.

Figure 2. Selective middle hepatic artery angiogram showing the hepatic falciform artery (arrows) with typical arc and caudal course.

Figure 3. Irregularly coalescing erythematous papules and plaque around the left periumbilical area, visible 7 days after TACE.
Non-target embolization is a recognized complication of TACE. Common vessels prone to non-target embolization include the gastroduodenal artery, cystic artery, right gastric artery, retroduodenal artery, supraduodenal artery, accessory left gastric artery, and the HFA, which typically arises as a small terminal branch of the left or middle hepatic artery that courses through the falciform ligament. It supplies the skin around the umbilicus and terminally communicates with branches of the superior and inferior epigastric arteries. The HFA can be recognized at angiography by its characteristic inferomedial diagonal course. Although the reported incidence of HFA during angiography varies from 2–24.5%, very few cases of non-target embolization to the HFA leading to abdominal wall rash or necrosis have been reported in the literature. The HFA may be relatively enlarged in patients with adhesions around the anterior abdominal wall due to prior laparotomy or in patients with stenosis/occlusion of the hepatic artery, theoretically making them more prone to such complications. Of note, neither of these factors was present in our patient.

Two factors that have been shown to cause a higher incidence of skin injury after transcatheter therapy are smaller size of embolization agents and use of continuous arterial injection. The systemic complications are also less common with the use of DEB-TACE rather than conventional TACE. Typically, the biopsy of such a rash will show dermal sclerosis with fat necrosis and foreign body reaction. If large, these areas of fat necrosis can be demonstrable even on CT scan. In our case, skin biopsy was not performed because the rash presented immediately after TACE and retrospective review of the images was compatible with non-target embolization of the patent falciform artery.

There is no consensus on the need of prophylactic embolization of the HFA if noticed at angiography. A study involving 127 patients, out of which 16 had patent HFA, showed that there is no need for prophylactic embolization of the HFA for prevention of skin rash prior to hepatic arterial chemoinfusion with or without subsequent embolization. Some reports have advocated prophylactic embolization with or without the use of ice packs on the upper abdomen for prevention of this rare complication. Due to its rarity, there is also no consensus regarding treatment of this complication. It is mostly treated with local warm compresses, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and intralesional or oral steroids with or without antihistamines. Pentoxifylline, which is known to improve microcirculatory perfusion, has also been successfully used to treat the skin rash after TACE.

When the HFA is visualized on the angiogram, we propose that the operator place the tip of microcatheter distal to the origin of HFA to prevent this complication. If distal placement is not possible, it may be useful to perform prophylactic HFA embolization or place ice packs near umbilicus, especially in patients that have risk factors for relatively enlarged HFA.
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