Association between comprehensive workstation and neck and upper-limb pain among office worker
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Abstract

Objectives: Our study aimed to investigate the association between comprehensive workstations and neck and upper-limb pain (NUP) among office workers.

Methods: This cross-sectional study included 307 office workers (median age, 39 years; 88% men). Workstations (presence of armrest, armrest position, number of monitors used, mouse position, mouse usage, keyboard usage, and keyboard position) were investigated in terms of 17 items and judged as “adequate” or “inadequate.” NUP was assessed using a numerical rating scale. NUP locations included the neck, shoulder, elbow, and wrist. In the statistical analysis, outcome variables were the presence of pain in each part, while explanatory variables were the number of inadequate workstations. Logistic regression analyses were conducted with adjustment for age, gender, working duration, and exercise habit.

Results: The prevalence of neck pain was 47% (n = 143), shoulder pain was 50% (n = 153), elbow pain was 7.2% (n = 22), and wrist pain was 13% (n = 40). In the adjusted model, the number of inadequate workstations had significant positive associations with elbow pain (odds ratio [OR], 1.39; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.06-1.81) and wrist pain (OR, 1.80; 95% CI, 1.17-2.26). However, the number of inadequate workstations was not significantly associated with neck pain or shoulder pain.

Conclusions: Workstation-related factors (presence of armrest, armrest position, mouse usage, and keyboard usage) were significantly associated with elbow and wrist pain. Our findings suggest that workstations can contribute to elbow and wrist pain in office workers.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Computer work has recently increased due to technological developments. In developed countries, office work is among the most rapidly growing occupations; in Japan, more than 60% of the workforce are office workers.\(^1\) Among office workers, neck and upper-limb pain (NUP) is a prevalent health problem. The pain prevalence in the last 12 months in a population of office workers was 55% in the neck, 38% in the shoulder, 15% in the elbow, and 21% in the wrist.\(^2\) Pain is not simply a physical problem; it increases medical costs and diminishes work productivity.\(^3\) Therefore, it is essential to detect factors related to NUP among office workers.

With increasing computer work, the impact of workstation-related factors (place or usage of monitor, armrest, mouse, and keyboard) on musculoskeletal problems has attracted attention. Workstations can influence muscle activities.\(^4\) Excessive muscle activity can lead to pain. In some experimental studies, factors such as workstations had a significant impact on NUP.\(^5-10\) However, these experimental studies were not conducted in real work environments. Therefore, it is difficult to conclude whether workstation-related factors have an actual impact on NUP among office workers in the real world. In other words, a gap remains between experimental and epidemiological studies. A few epidemiological studies have investigated the relationship between individual workstations (eg, monitor and keyboard position) and NUP.\(^11-13\) Nevertheless, in the real world, the workstation consists of a number of factors, for example, armrest position, distance from monitor, mouse position, and keyboard and mouse usage. Thus, we hypothesized that the greater the number of inadequate workstations, the higher the risk of NUP. In previous studies, however, the relationship between comprehensive workstations and NUP was not investigated. (“Comprehensive workstation” refers to the concept of assessing a keyboard, a mouse, a monitor, and an armrest comprehensively rather than separately.)

Therefore, in this study, we aimed to investigate the relationship between comprehensive workstations (armrest, monitor, mouse, and keyboard) and the current prevalence of NUP among office workers.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Design and participants

In this cross-sectional study, data collection was conducted at two companies from June to September 2018. The data were collected using a self-reported questionnaire (web-based and paper) at annual health check-ups. One of the two companies was an electric company, while the other was the laboratories of a steel company. A total of 915 workers completed an annual health check-up. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) written consent and (ii) office worker. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) working less than 1 year (because workers working for less than 1 year may be exposed to their workstation for an insufficient amount of time), and (ii) trauma or disease related to NUP (sprain of neck or upper limb in the past month, fracture under treatment, medial epicondylitis, bruise, whiplash injury, osteoarthritis, and other cervical spine injuries). Among the 620 participants who provided consent, the following individuals were excluded: 130 who were not office workers, 31 who worked less than a year, and 12 with trauma or disease in the neck or upper limb. After the exclusion of workers for whom data were missing on the workstation questionnaire (n = 115) or the annual health check-up (n = 8), a total of 307 workers were included in the final analysis (response rate: 70%).

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Kobe University School of Medicine Faculty of Health Science and conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 | Measurement

2.2.1 | Workstation

Workstations were assessed using a web-based questionnaire distributed by e-mail. We developed all items of the questionnaire based on the findings of previous studies that identified the relationships between workstations and muscle activities or NUP.\(^5-7,9,10,12,14-19\) The questionnaire consisted of 17 items (5 about armrest, 5 about monitor, 3 about mouse, and 4 about keyboard). For example, the first question was “Are there armrests on your work chair?” and the answer was “Yes” or “No.” Detailed information about the workstation questionnaire is provided in Appendix 1. The workstation questionnaire was self-rated by the participants. In order to reduce recall bias, we instructed the participants to answer the workstation questionnaire when they were in front of their own workstation.

Of the 17 items, 11 were included in the analysis that revealed the association between workstation and neck pain (neck model): 4 items about the armrest (presence, height, adjustability, and width), 5 items about the monitor (number, desktop or laptop, vertical and horizontal position, and distance from eye to monitor), 1 item about the mouse (position height), and 1 item about the keyboard (distance from near side of desk edge). In Appendix 1, these items were nos. 1, 2, 4-11, and 17. Seven items were included in the shoulder model: 5 about the armrest (presence, height, distance from body, adjustability, and width) and 2 about the mouse (position height and distance from body). In Appendix 1, these items were nos. 1-5, 11, and 12. Six items were included in the elbow model: 4 about the armrest (presence, height,
adjustability, and width), 1 about the mouse (position height), and 1 about the keyboard (position height). In Appendix 1, these items were nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 11, and 14. Three items were included in the wrist model: 1 about the mouse (usage) and 2 about the keyboard (wrist extension and ulnar flexion). In Appendix 1, these items were nos. 13, 15, and 16.

Next, the number of inadequate workstations in each body part was counted. The score range was 0-11 for the neck, 0-7 for the shoulder, 0-6 for the elbow, and 0-3 for the wrist. A higher score indicates more inadequate workstations.

2.2.2 | Neck and upper-limb pain

NUP was measured using a numerical rating scale (NRS)\(^{20}\) ranking of 0-10. On this scale, 0 means “no pain” and 10 means “worst imaginable pain.” In this study, the current pain intensity in the neck, shoulder, elbow, and wrist was measured using NRS. Zero indicated “no pain” and answers 1-10 indicated “having pain.” To indicate pain location, we used a picture of the human body (Appendix 2).

2.2.3 | Other data

We collected data regarding age, gender, work duration, and trauma or disease in the neck and upper limbs from the paper-based questionnaire. The working duration questions consisted of 3 items: “<1 year,” “1 year but < 5 years,” and “≥5 years.” The trauma and disease questions consisted of 6 items: “no trauma or disease,” “twisted in a month,” “broken a bone and under treated,” “rheumatoid arthritis,” “fibromyalgia,” and “others.” If they answered “others,” specific names of the trauma or disease were provided.

From the annual health check-up data, we extracted data regarding height, weight, and exercise habits. Exercise habits were measured differently between the two facilities. Therefore, regardless of the measurement method, exercising at least twice per week was defined as “active,” while exercising once or not at all per week was defined as “non-active.”\(^{21}\)

2.3 | Statistical analysis

Categorical variables (eg, gender, exercise habit, and working duration) are described as number and percentage. Normally distributed continuous variables are described as means and standard deviations (SDs). Non-normally distributed continuous variables are described as medians and interquartile ranges (Table 1).

The reliability of the workstation questionnaire was inspected using the test-retest method. For the reliability test, we recruited 25 office workers from a company other than the two companies included in the main analysis. Two weeks after the first test, these workers answered the same questionnaire in the retest. Four workers were excluded because of missing data. Finally, the data of 21 workers were analyzed. Cohen’s kappa (κ) between the first and second tests was calculated for each question. In a previous study, 0 < κ ≤ 0.4 indicated “low reliability,” 0.4 < κ ≤ 0.6 indicated “moderate reliability,” 0.6 < κ ≤ 0.8 indicated “high reliability,” and 0.8 ≤ κ indicated “very high reliability.”\(^{22}\)

Simple and multiple logistic regression was used to determine the association between workstations and NUP. The outcome variables were “no pain” or “having pain” for NUP. In the analysis, four models were performed for each of the four NUP parts (neck, shoulder, elbow, and wrist). The explanatory variables were the number of inadequate workstations. Based on previous studies,\(^{2,8,13}\) confounding factors were selected (age, gender, working duration, and exercise habit). Two sensitivity analyses were performed: the first analysis to compare the characteristics of the group that answered (n = 307) and the group that did not answer (n = 115) the workstation questionnaire and another analysis after excluding questions with low reliability (Q5 and Q11). Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated and the statistical significance set at \(P < .05\). EZR ver. 1.37 was used for all statistical analyses.\(^{23}\)

3 | RESULTS

The characteristics are listed in Table 1. The median [interquartile range] age was 39 [30-49] years. Male participants comprised 88% (n = 270). The prevalence of neck pain was 47% (n = 143), shoulder pain in 50% (n = 153), elbow pain in

---

**TABLE 1** Characteristics of participants

| Age, (y) | 39 [30-49]\(^a\) |
|----------|------------------|
| Male, n (%) | 270 (88) |
| Married n (%) | 195 (64) |
| Height, (cm) | 170.7 ± 7.2\(^b\) |
| Weight, (kg) | 65.9 ± 11.4\(^b\) |
| Work duration, n (%) | |
| 1-5 y | 72 (23) |
| >5 y | 235 (77) |
| Presence of pain, n (%) | |
| Neck | 143 (47) |
| Shoulder | 153 (50) |
| Elbow | 22 (7.2) |
| Wrist | 40 (13) |

\(^a\)Median [Interquartile range].
\(^b\)Mean ± Standard deviation.
7.2% (n = 22), and wrist pain in 13% (n = 40). The number of inadequate workstations is shown in Figure 1. Of the participants, 92 (30.0%) had no pain, 125 (40.7%) had one painful site, and 90 (29.3%) had multiple painful sites, with a mean number of painful sites per person of 1.08 ± 0.94.

The reliabilities for most questions were medium (0.4 < κ ≤ 0.6), high (0.6 < κ ≤ 0.8), or very high (0.8 < κ) reliability. However, “Question 5” and “Question 11” had low reliability (κ ≤ 0.4).

Table 2 shows the associations between workstations and NUP. In the simple logistic regression models, the number of inadequate workstations had significant positive associations with shoulder pain (OR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.07-1.44; \( P = .005 \)), elbow pain (OR, 1.39; 95% CI, 1.06-1.81; \( P < .001 \)), and wrist pain (OR, 1.80; 95% CI, 1.17-2.26; \( P < .001 \)). However, neck pain was not significantly associated with workstations.

In the multiple logistic regression models, the number of inadequate workstations had significant positive associations with elbow pain (OR, 1.36; 95% CI, 1.01-1.83; \( P = .043 \)) and wrist pain (OR, 1.87; 95% CI, 1.21-2.88; \( P = .004 \)), even after adjusting for age, gender, working duration, and exercise habit. However, the number of inadequate workstations did not have significant associations with neck or shoulder pain.

Two sensitivity analyses were performed. One analysis to compare the characteristics of the group that answered (n = 307) and the group that did not answer (n = 115) the workstation questionnaire. There was no significant difference in the characteristics between both groups (data not shown). Another analysis was performed after excluding Q5 and Q11. The results of the neck and shoulder models were unchanged (non-significant association). Moreover, the results of the elbow model were also unchanged (OR, 1.44;
95% CI, 1.07-1.93; \( P = .014 \)). Q5 and Q11 were not included in the wrist model.

4 | DISCUSSION

We investigated the association between comprehensive workstations and NUP among office workers in this epidemiological study. In the adjusted model, the number of inadequate workstations was significantly associated with elbow and wrist pain but not with neck and shoulder pain.

This study found a significant association between workstations and elbow/wrist pain. Previous studies indicated that an adequate environment for armrests (having armrest, height at elbow level, adjustable, width of 5-10 cm) \[6,7,14,15\], desk height (more than 5 cm from the elbow), \[14,16\] keyboard use (using neutral wrist position), \[14,18,19\] and mouse (not gripping) \[9\] affected muscle activities of the forearm. Thus, the results of this study support those of previous experimental studies. However, this is the first epidemiological study to indicate the association between workstations and elbow and wrist pain among office workers.

In addition, this study showed that workstations were not significantly associated with neck and shoulder pain. However, a previous study suggested that workstations affected neck and shoulder pain. In other words, the results of our study differed from those of previous studies, which revealed the associations between individual workstations and neck or shoulder pain (e.g., horizontal monitor position and distance from keyboard to near side of desk edge were significantly associated with neck pain). \[11-13\] This may be due to other factors, including psychological factors and working time. For example, emotional exhaustion was reported to be associated with neck and shoulder pain, but not with elbow and wrist pain in office workers. \[24,25\] Conversely, mechanical factors such as wrist posture might be associated with elbow and wrist pain rather than psychological factors or working time. Therefore, these factors might explain why the workstations were significantly associated with elbow and wrist pain but not with neck and shoulder pain in this study.

This study found that several workstations (armrests, desk height, keyboard usage, and mouse) were associated with elbow and wrist pain. Some workstations can be modified more easily and rapidly (e.g., wrist extension during keyboard use is modified by lean adjustment only) than other factors such as office environment (noise or light) or psychosocial factors (job demands, job control, and social support). Furthermore, once workstations are corrected, adequate environments will be sustained over the long term. On the other hand, several workstations are difficult to modify with individual effort. For example, without armrests, a chair must be replaced to create an adequate workstation. Therefore, we suggest that an individual adjusts workstations that are easy to modify, whereas an organization prepares those that are difficult to modify.

Some limitations should be considered regarding the interpretation of results. First, this was a cross-sectional study, so it could not reveal the causal association between workstations and NUP; thus, a longitudinal study is needed in the future. Second, most of the participants were men; only 12.1% (n = 37) were women. Women generally have lower muscle strength than men. In a previous study, female muscle activities were larger than male muscle activities during computer work \[5\] because of the lower strength. Moreover, women are more sensitive to pain than men. \[10\] Therefore, the relationships between workstations and NUP might differ between men and women. To increase the generalizability of the results, future studies should investigate these associations among female office workers. Third, the workstation questionnaire was original. In Japan, there is no validated tool for assessing workstations. Hence, the validity of this workstation questionnaire is uncertain. However, all questions were based on the results of previous studies, which showed significant associations between workstations and muscle activities or NUP. Furthermore, the workstation questionnaire consisted of pictures and text, which can reduce misunderstanding. In the future, objective measurements of workstations are necessary to reduce measurement bias. Fourth, the results showed an association between workstation and presence of current pain rather than long-term pain and pain intensity. To reduce recall bias, we measured the current pain. Moreover,
the distributions of pain intensity were not normal. The NRS score of most participants was 0, and the participants decreased as the NRS scores increased. Thus, the analyses were performed in the presence of current pain. Additionally, the participants could work full-time; therefore, the participants had a little disability despite having pain. For this reason, the disabilities were not measured.

In conclusion, this study was the first to investigate the association between several workstations and NUP among office workers. The results showed that the greater the number of inadequate workstations (armrest and keyboard and mouse usage), the higher the risk of elbow and wrist pain but not neck and shoulder pain. In the future, longitudinal studies including an adequate number of female office workers are necessary to reveal causal relationships between workstations and NUP.
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