The Challenging Pathway of Treatment for Neurogenesis Impairment in Down Syndrome: Achievements and Perspectives
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Down syndrome (DS), also known as trisomy 21, is a genetic disorder caused by triplication of Chromosome 21. Gene triplication may compromise different body functions but invariably impairs intellectual abilities starting from infancy. Moreover, after the fourth decade of life people with DS are likely to develop Alzheimer’s disease. Neurogenesis impairment during fetal life stages and dendritic pathology emerging in early infancy are thought to be key determinants of alterations in brain functioning in DS. Although the progressive improvement in medical care has led to a notable increase in life expectancy for people with DS, there are currently no treatments for intellectual disability. Increasing evidence in mouse models of DS reveals that pharmacological interventions in the embryonic and neonatal periods may greatly benefit brain development and cognitive performance. The most striking results have been obtained with pharmacotherapies during embryonic life stages, indicating that it is possible to pharmacologically rescue the severe neurodevelopmental defects linked to the trisomic condition. These findings provide hope that similar benefits may be possible for people with DS. This review summarizes current knowledge regarding (i) the scope and timeline of neurogenesis (and dendritic) alterations in DS, in order to delineate suitable windows for treatment; (ii) the role of triplicated genes that are most likely to be the key determinants of these alterations, in order to highlight possible therapeutic targets; and (iii) prenatal and neonatal treatments that have proved to be effective in mouse models, in order to rationalize the choice of treatment for human application. Based on this body of evidence we will discuss prospects and challenges for fetal therapy in individuals with DS as a potential means of drastically counteracting the deleterious effects of gene triplication.
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INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome (DS) is a relatively high-incidence pathology (∼1 in every 800–1,000 live births; see Antonarakis et al., 2020; Hughes-McCormack et al., 2020) caused by triplication of Hsa21. Increased expression of Hsa21 genes (and genes on other chromosomes) impairs development and functions of various organs, including the brain (Bull, 2020). While some disorders may
not be present in all individuals with DS, intellectual disability (ID) is the invariable hallmark of DS (Zigman, 2013; Ballard et al., 2016; Lott and Head, 2019). ID scores range from moderately (IQ of 50–70) to severely (IQ of 20–35; Bull, 2020) affected; even in its milder form, intellectual performance may compromise the ability to live independently. ID is already detectable in children with DS, especially regarding language, memory, and adaptive behavior, and is exacerbated with age (Godfrey and Lee, 2020). Moreover, individuals with DS are at a high risk of developing Alzheimer's disease (AD) after 40 years of age (Zigman and Lott, 2007). There is currently no treatment for ID in DS.

A reduction in the number of neurons forming the brain and in brain size are typical phenotypic features of DS starting from prenatal life stages (see Stagni et al., 2018). Although there is no simple correlation between neocortical size and cognitive abilities, cortical expansion during primate evolution is thought to underlie the extraordinary cognitive abilities of humans (Kaas, 2019). Thus, it is very likely that the reduced number of neurons in the DS brain is a key determinant of the ID that characterizes this pathology.

The reduction in neuron number in DS is not due to neuronal degeneration but to impairment in the process of neurogenesis during fetal life, the critical period during which almost all neurons that form the brain are generated. Accumulating evidence clearly shows that neurogenesis reduction in DS is attributable to two main causes: cell cycle alterations, leading to a reduced proliferation potency of neural progenitor cells (NPCs), and augmented differentiation of the daughter cells into glial elements at the expense of their differentiation into neuronal cells (thus, reduction of neurogenesis, i.e., generation of new neurons, sensu stricto; see Stagni et al., 2018). Moreover, the process of neuron maturation (dendritogenesis) in early infancy is also impaired (Takashima et al., 1981, 1994), causing defective connectivity. This knowledge poses an intriguing question: is it possible and feasible to restore or to improve neurogenesis in DS with early and targeted interventions? A positive answer to this question would imply the possibility of preventing ID in individuals with DS, the more optimistic of hypotheses, or at least of boosting brain functioning, a more cautious hypothesis. This challenge requires knowledge of the mechanisms that underlie neurogenesis alterations in DS and preclinical evidence in DS mouse models that neurogenesis and cognition can be pharmacologically ameliorated. Studies carried out during the past 20 years in mouse models have shown that treatments during adult life stages aimed at ameliorating cognitive performance are promising, thus encouraging this effort (see Costa and Scott-McKean, 2013; Gardiner, 2015; Stagni et al., 2015a; Hart et al., 2017; Vacca et al., 2019; Rueda et al., 2020a). Fewer studies have examined the effects of treatment during the early neonatal and embryonic period (see (Stagni et al., 2015a and section “Achievements Obtained by Early Pharmacotherapies in Down Syndrome Models”). Thanks to these studies, we now know that it is possible to fully restore neurogenesis impairment with precocious interventions. Moreover, both prenatal and neonatal treatment lead to restoration not only of neurogenesis but also of dendritic maturation, connectivity, and cognitive performance. This body of evidence provides proof of principle demonstration that neurogenesis can be pharmacologically ameliorated in DS, and may spur the scientific community to continue in its search for pharmacological treatments that are effective and applicable to people with DS.

In this review, we will summarize achievements and challenges in the field of treatment for neurogenesis impairment in DS by focusing on the following issues: (i) Overview of the timeline of neurogenesis in humans as a tool to understand DS-related alterations; (ii) Spatiotemporal characteristics of neurogenesis alterations in DS. This knowledge provides fundamental information regarding the window/s of opportunity for treatment; (iii) Current knowledge of the genetic and cellular mechanisms responsible for neurogenesis impairment in DS, as revealed by human and mouse model studies. This knowledge is fundamental for the design of treatments; (iv) Achievements obtained so far through early pharmacotherapies in DS models; and (v) Long-term perspectives for treatment, with particular emphasis on the necessity to rationalize our efforts in the identification of the more suitable therapeutic targets and treatments.

OVERVIEW OF THE TIMELINE OF NEUROGENESIS, Gliogenesis, and NEURON MATURATION IN THE NORMAL BRAIN

The brain is formed by neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes, plus microglia which is the nervous immune system. The generation of neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes takes place during definite and partially overlapping phases of brain development. Key steps of brain development are outlined below (mainly based on Rakic, 2009; Rice and Barone, 2010; Stiles and Jernigan, 2010; Yamaguchi et al., 2016; Kostović et al., 2019), as being instrumental in understanding pathological changes in DS. The prenatal period comprises the embryonic period, that goes from conception to gestational week (GW) 8 and the fetal period, that goes from GW9 to birth. Neurogenesis begins in the embryonic period and continues to mid-gestation. The neural stem cells (also called NPCs) appear during gastrulation, a process that takes place between embryonic day (E) 14 and E21. The first brain structure is the neural tube, a hollow cavity that begins to form at E20–E27 and will subsequently give origin to the different parts of the nervous system. The inner surface of the neural tube is lined with NPCs. This region is called the ventricular zone (VZ) because the cavity of the neural tube will give origin to the cerebral ventricles. The VZ is gradually replaced by the subventricular zone (SVZ).

Neurogenesis

From the end of gastrulation through approximately E42 in humans, the neuroepithelial proliferative cells of the VZ (NPCs) constitute a homogeneous pseudo-stratified epithelium. These cells have radial processes and divide “symmetrically” producing two identical NPCs (Bystron et al., 2008; Figure 1Aa). Various rounds of symmetrical cell division augment the size of the
NPC pool and cause a surface expansion of the cerebral cortex. The NPCs generate subsequently radial glial cells (RGC) which share some molecular characteristics with earlier NPCs (Bystron et al., 2008). RGCs undergo “asymmetrical” divisions thereby producing one progenitor and one neuron (Figure 1Ab). The former remains in the proliferative niche, whereas the latter migrates to its final location in the developing brain. In the case of cortical neurogenesis, the first neurons that abandon the proliferative zone form a structure called the preplate (PP; Figure 1Ba), a largely transient structure that comprises various cell types, most of which are destined to die (Bystron et al., 2008). Once the PP is complete, the next wave of migrating neurons splits the PP into two regions, the marginal zone (MZ) and the subplate (SP), beginning to form a new region interposed between the MZ and the SP, the cortical plate (Figure 1Bb,c), which will become the cortex (Figure 1Bd). The MZ and the SP are two transient laminar compartments populated by diverse cell types that have a major role in the development of the cortex but that are largely eliminated by the end of the fetal period (Allendoerfer and Shatz, 1994). The MZ contains an important class of cells, the Cajal–Retzius cells, that control the positioning of neurons into the correct layers of cortex. It will become layer I of the mature cortex (Figure 1Bd). The SP contains multipolar neurons that play a functional role in setting up connections between cortex and thalamus during development. The SP in humans reaches its maximum thickness roughly two-thirds of the way through gestation (Bystron et al., 2008). Its size then gradually decreases, leaving only a thin layer with scattered cells in the white matter in the late fetal period (Figure 1Bd). At the beginning of cortical development neurons migrate through a process called somal translocation. During later stages this process is no longer possible, due to brain growth, and so neurons migrate to the cortex along the shafts of RGCs (Figure 1Ab). Cohorts of postmitotic neurons follow radial glial scaffolding to form arrays of minicolumns. The larger the number of columns, the larger the cortical surface. Neurons that arrive first settle in the prospective layer VI, while later migrating neurons settle to successively more superficial layers. This pattern of migration is called inside-out and causes an expansion in cortical thickness (Figure 1C). It has been estimated that in humans neurogenesis of the cells that will be found in the SP starts at day 47 post-conception and that those that form cortical layer VI are produced starting at day 57 (Clancy et al., 2001). When does cortical neurogenesis stop? Malik et al. (2013) addressed this issue based on the fact that a transient neural progenitor population called intermediate progenitor cells (Figure 1Ac), which are exclusively neurogenic and generate glutamatergic neurons, express Tbr2 but not Sox2 (that is expressed by radial glia). They found that the number of Tbr2-positive cells is very high at GW16–GW19, decreases to approximately one third at GW23–GW25, and becomes extremely small by GW26–GW28, to disappear thereafter. According to Malik et al. (2013) and a subsequent study (Kostović et al., 2019), the end of cortical neurogenesis can be placed at GW24–GW25 (Figure 2). While cortical neurogenesis is completed by the second trimester, cerebellar and hippocampal neurogenesis extends for a more prolonged period. Production of cerebellar granule cells starts at GW12 (ten Donkelaar et al., 2003) and continues as late as the fifth postnatal month (Abraham et al., 2001; Figure 2). In the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG), production of granule cells begins at GW12–GW13, slowly continues during the first postnatal year (Seress et al., 2001; Rice and Barone, 2010), and, at a much slower rate, throughout life (Eriksson et al., 1998; Boldrini et al., 2009; Spalding et al., 2013; Moreno-Jiménez et al., 2021; Figure 2). In addition to the VZ/SVZ, a proliferative region exists in the ganglionic eminences of the ventral telencephalon. In rodents, this transient region is the source of inhibitory (GABAergic) interneurons that reach their final location through tangential migration in the dorsal telencephalon (Brazel et al., 2003; Figure 1D). In humans, however, inhibitory interneurons are also born in the VZ/SVZ of the dorsal telencephalon (see Rakic, 2009).

**Gliogenesis**

During cortical development, neurons are generated first, followed by astrocytes, and then oligodendrocytes (see Sauvageot and Stiles, 2002; Lanjewar and Sloan, 2021 for a review). Glial cells make up at least 50% of brain cells (Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010). Glial progenitors begin to be produced prenatally but the processes of proliferation, migration, differentiation, and maturation continue after birth. While much is known regarding the timing of these processes in rodents, scarce information is available regarding humans. In rodents, VZ neurogenesis begins at E12 and lessens by E17. Cells produced by the SVZ, which is by now the primary germinal zone, principally become glia in the period E17-postnatal (P) day 14. Astrocyte and oligodendrocyte generation peaks at P0–P2 and P14, respectively. The relative timing of these processes in humans is thought to reflect that seen in rodents (Figure 1E). In humans, NPCs give rise to neuronal restricted progenitors early in development, and to glial restricted progenitors only later. Around GW16–GW18, radial glia slowly begin to form astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, a process by which the same progenitor domain switches the developmental program from neuron production to astrocyte or oligodendrocyte production. The neurogenic to gliogenic cell fate transition of radial glia is called “gliogenic switch” (Figure 2). The gliogenic switch in cell fate is regulated by a combination of extrinsic, intrinsic, and epigenetic signals (Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010). Gliogenesis may occur postnatally because astrocytes can be locally generated in the cortex (Ge et al., 2012) and oligodendrocyte precursor cells that are already resident in the gray matter can slowly produce oligodendrocytes (Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010). Microglia cells that, unlike astrocytes and oligodendrocytes are of mesodermal origin (Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010), invade the brain as early as GW5. Microglial migration and proliferation continue until around GW24 (see Lanjewar and Sloan, 2021).

**Neuron Maturation**

Neurons that have settled in the cortex develop dendrites and axons to communicate with other neurons. Excitatory inputs are established mainly on dendritic spines, whereas inhibitory inputs contact non-spiny dendritic portions. In humans, dendritogenesis starts as early as GW13.5–GW15 and...
FIGURE 1 | (A) The first step of neurogenesis increases the size of the pool of the neural progenitor cell (NPC) population through symmetrical cell divisions (a). NPCs, that begin as neuroepithelial cells, then become radial glia cells (RGC). Beginning at ~E42, asymmetrical divisions of RGC produce one RGC that remains proliferative and one neuron (N). The postmitotic neuron leaves the proliferative zone migrating along radial glia processes to reach its place in the developing cortex (b). Asymmetrical divisions of RGC can produce one RGC that remains proliferative and one intermediate progenitor cell (IPC; also called transit amplifying cell) that undergoes divisions (c). (B) Development of laminar compartments in the neocortical cerebral wall from early embryonic (a) to late fetal period (d). Neurons migrate radially from the VZ out to the developing cortex. The first neurons leaving the VZ form the preplate (PP; a). Further waves of neuron migration split the PP into the marginal zone (MZ) and the subplate (SP), giving origin to a new region called the cortical plate (CP; b, c) which will give origin to the future cortex (d). The CP is separated from the SVZ by the intermediate zone (IZ), that will later become the white matter layer (d). (C) The earliest neurons migrating to the cortical plate settle to what will become layer VI. Successively migrating neurons settle to progressively more superficial cortical layers (inside-out pattern). (D) Interneurons born in the ganglionic eminences reach their destination through tangential migration. (E) Relative timing of neurogenesis, astrogliogenesis, and oligogliogenesis during brain development in humans, schematically depicted based on evidence in rodents. Abbreviations: CP, cortical plate; IPC, intermediate progenitor cell; IZ, intermediate zone; LGE, lateral ganglionic eminence; MGE, medial ganglionic eminence; MZ, marginal zone; N, neuron; NPC, neural progenitor cells; PP, preplate; RGC, radial glial cells; SP, subplate; SVZ, subventricular zone; VZ, ventricular zone; and WM, white matter.

during GW17–GW25 the basic features of the apical and basal dendrites of cortical pyramidal neurons develop, with a spurt in growth at GW26–GW32 (Mrzljak et al., 1988, 1992; Figure 2).

At GW27 fibers from the thalamus invade the cortical plate (Kostovic and Judas, 2010). It is noteworthy that the timeline of dendritic development may vary according to neuron location...
FIGURE 2 | Timeline of neurogenesis, gliogenesis, and neuron maturation in the human brain. Neural stem cells appear at GD14. Symmetrical divisions of NPCs that start at GD28 gradually shift at GD42 to neuron-producing asymmetrical divisions. Cortical neurogenesis starts at GW9 and is completed at GW24-25. Cerebellar and hippocampal neurogenesis continue beyond birth. Cerebellar neurogenesis stops at month 5. Hippocampal neurogenesis is largely completed by the first year but continues very slowly throughout life (dashed arrow). Starting from GW16 neuron-producing divisions are gradually replaced by glia-producing divisions (gliogenic switch). Gliogenesis extends beyond birth (yellow arrow). Neurons emit dendritic processes starting from GW13, but the dendritic spurt takes place much later at GW26, associated with the appearance of dendritic spines. Dendritic maturation begins shortly before birth (GW34) and is largely completed by the second postnatal year. Note that graphic representation of the postnatal time is not to scale with embryonic and fetal periods. Abbreviations: GD, gestation day; GM, gestation month; GT, gestation trimester; GW, gestation week; and Y, year.

(Becker et al., 1984; Lu D. et al., 2013). For instance, the dendrites of layer V pyramidal neurons attain their maximum size earlier (4 months of age) than layer III neurons (2 years of age; Becker et al., 1984). The dendritic spurt of prefrontal cortex pyramidal neurons (GW26–GW32) is accompanied by the appearance of dendritic spines (Mrzljak et al., 1988, 1992; Figure 2). Dendritic spines in hippocampal pyramidal neurons occur at GW22–GW26 and the process is completed by the end of postnatal months 5–6 (Purpura, 1975; Lu D. et al., 2013).

SPATIOTEMPORAL CHARACTERISTICS OF NEURODEVELOPMENTAL ALTERATIONS IN DOWN SYNDROME

Information regarding the developing DS brain derives from fixed brain samples, sonographic and, more recently, MRI examinations. Evidence is mainly available for the last 2/3 of the second trimester. What happens before this remains obscure although it can be inferred that changes observed at later stages are the outcome of earlier occurring pathogenic events. Below we review our knowledge regarding brain development in fetuses with DS.

The Brain of Down Syndrome Fetuses Is Hypotrophic

The fetal DS brain is reduced in weight, volume, and linear size (see Stagni et al., 2018). Size defects have been detected as early as GW 14.7, involve forebrain structures and the cerebellum, and are in the range between −10 and −30% vs. control brains (see Stagni et al., 2018). Recent MRI studies in the living fetus (Patkee et al., 2020; Tarui et al., 2020) have consented a quantification of the dimensions of the fetal DS brain at different time points. Fetuses with DS (GW21–GW35) have a reduced volume of the cerebellar hemispheres, whole cerebellum, cortical plate, and subcortical parenchymal volume compared to
controls and the difference increases with gestation (Tarui et al., 2020). Likewise, Patkee et al. (2020) found a reduction in whole brain and cerebellar volume in the second and third trimester. These multiple approaches provide unequivocal proof that brain hypotrophy is a typical phenotype of DS starting from early fetal life stages, retained at later fetal stages, and postnatally (see Stagni et al., 2018).

**Early Hypocellularity in the Down Syndrome Fetus**

Brain hypotrophy in fetuses with DS might be due to a reduction in the number of cells forming the brain and/or a reduction in the extension of their dendritic (and axonal) processes. Considering that brain hypotrophy has been detected well before the spurt of dendritic growth (see Figure 2), it seems very likely that it is due (or mainly due) to a lack of cellular elements. Indeed, a reduction in cellularity has been documented in several brain regions of DS fetuses in the period GW17–GW21 (earlier evidence is missing). These regions include the whole cerebrum (Larsen et al., 2008), the hippocampus, DG, presubiculum and entorhinal cortex (Guidi et al., 2008), the subiculum (Stagni et al., 2019a), the cortex of the inferior temporal gyrus and fusiform gyrus (Guidi et al., 2018), and some thalamic nuclei (Stagni et al., 2020). This reduction ranges between -22 and -35%, indicating a large deficiency in the number of brain cells. In addition, the fetal cortex has layers that are disorganized in comparison with the normal brain (Takashima et al., 1981; Becker et al., 1991; Golden and Hyman, 1994; Engidawork and Lubec, 2003; Guidi et al., 2018), which suggests impairment in circuit formation.

**Neurons Are a Missing Population in the Fetal Down Syndrome Brain**

Regarding hypocellularity in the fetal DS brain, the question arises whether the missing population is represented by neurons, glial cells, or both. Studies in fetuses with DS show that at GW17–GW21, in the DG, hippocampus, presubiculum, entorhinal cortex, subiculum, inferior temporal gyrus, and fusiform gyrus (i) the majority of cells (~75–95%) are neurons (NeuN+ cells), which is consistently consistent with the delayed timing of gliogenesis in comparison with neurogenesis and, (ii) in DS fetuses the missing population is represented by neurons but not by astrocytes (Guidi et al., 2008, 2018; Stagni et al., 2019a). In line with this histological evidence, analysis of protein expression in fetuses with DS (GW 19.6 ± 2.0) shows a reduction in beta-tubulin (Engidawork et al., 2003), a protein that is specifically expressed by neurons. Taken together, these studies show that a deficit in neuron number underlies the hypocellularity that characterizes the fetal DS brain.

**Astrocytes Are Not a Missing Population in the Fetal Down Syndrome Brain**

While at GW17–GW22 neurons were found to be reduced in number, this was not the case for astrocytes, the absolute number of which did not differ between DS and control fetuses (Guidi et al., 2008, 2011, 2018; Stagni et al., 2019a). Consistent with the timing of gliogenesis, that peaks at later stages, at GW17–GW22 astrocytes (GFAP+ cells) were only ~5–18% of total cells. Zdaniuk et al. (2011) found that at GW18–GW20 DS fetuses may even have a larger number of astrocytes in comparison with controls. A larger expression of the gliogenitor marker GFAP, accompanied by a reduction in the level of the neurorogenitor marker Paired box 6 (PAX6), was found in the DS fetal frontal cortex at GW14 and GW21 (Lu et al., 2011). Likewise, in the VZ/SVZ of DS fetuses (GW18) there is a reduced percentage of cells expressing neuronal markers and a higher percentage of cells expressing the glial markers GFAP and oligodendrocyte transcription factor 2 (OLIG2; Lu et al., 2012). An increase in the number of GFAP- or S100B-positive cells has been additionally documented in cultures of trisomic human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs; Briggs et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014; Hibaoui et al., 2014). Thus, astrogliogenesis is not compromised and may even be enhanced in the fetal DS brain. Studies regarding astrocytes after mid-gestation are lacking. In infants with DS, astrocytes exhibit a deficit of interlaminar processes, suggesting impairment in their maturation (Colombo et al., 2005). However, the propensity for astrogliogenesis is not a positive event in DS because astrocyte functioning is impaired, which may negatively affect neuronal function (see Ponroy Bally and Murai, 2021).

**Oligodendrocytes Are Not a Missing Population in the Fetal Down Syndrome Brain**

Lu et al. (2012) found that in the VZ/SVZ of DS fetuses (GW18) there is a larger percentage of cells expressing OLIG2 (putatively oligodendrocyte precursor cells). The studies by Guidi et al. (2008, 2018) and Stagni et al. (2019a) show that cells that were neither NeuN- nor GFAP-positive represented a small fraction of total cells and that their number was similar (or even higher) in DS vs. control fetuses. These cells may include the precursors of oligodendrocytes and astrocytes as well as oligodendrocytes, suggesting no impairment in their generation. Trisomic NPCs obtained from hiPSCs give rise to fewer neurons but more astrocytes as well as oligodendrocytes (Hibaoui et al., 2014), confirming no impairment or even enhancement of oligogliogenesis. Transcriptome analysis shows that genes associated with oligodendrocyte progenitor cells gradually increase in the DS brain in comparison with controls from early mid-gestation to middle-adulthood (Olmos-Serrano et al., 2016), which also suggests an increase in oligogliogenesis. Contrariwise, genes associated with myelinating oligodendrocytes are expressed at lower levels from birth through adulthood, suggesting impairment in oligodendrocyte maturation (Olmos-Serrano et al., 2016). Moreover, the expression of the myelin components myelin basic protein and myelin associated glycoprotein are reduced starting from mid-gestation and the early neonatal period, respectively (Olmos-Serrano et al., 2016). This is consistent with the myelination impairment seen in individuals with DS from early postnatal life stages into adulthood (Wisniewski and Schmidt-Sidor, 1989; Becker et al., 1991; Koo et al., 1992; Abraham et al., 2011). Thus, although oligogliogenesis is not impaired in DS, impaired oligodendrocyte maturation prevents proper oligodendrocyte functioning.
Proliferation Potency Impairment in the Fetal Down Syndrome Brain

In human beings, proliferation potency of NPCs can be indirectly estimated by quantifying the pool of actively dividing cells. This can be done in fixed brain sections by using immunohistochemistry for endogenous proteins expressed during the cell cycle, such as Ki-67, which is expressed during most of the cell cycle, Cyclin A, which is expressed during the S-phase, and phospho-histone H3, which is expressed during the M-phase. Very few studies have evaluated the number of proliferating cells in the fetal DS brain. The available evidence shows that DS fetuses have a reduced number of proliferating cells in the VZ/SVZ of the frontal cortex (GW18; Lu et al., 2012), the ventricular germinal matrix of the inferior horn of the lateral ventricle, VZ/SVZ of the hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, and subiculum, various germinal zones of the DG, the external granular layer of the cerebellum, and VZ/SVZ of the third ventricle (GW17–GW23; Contestabile et al., 2007; Guidi et al., 2008; Stagni et al., 2019a, 2020). In addition, the number of proliferating cells is reduced in a region of the cerebellum that is the remnant of the cerebellar VZ (Guidi et al., 2011). Finally, fetuses with DS at GW16–GW24 have a reduced number of SOX2+ cells (radial glia progenitors) in the VZ/SVZ of the frontal lobe (Baburamani et al., 2019). All these data suggest that in the fetal DS brain NPCs proliferate at a slower rate compared to controls. Since direct information on the length of the cell cycle cannot be obtained in human beings, some investigators have measured the length of the cell cycle in the Ts65Dn mouse model of DS, providing direct evidence of cell cycle elongation in the embryonic VZ (Chakrabarti et al., 2007) and in germinal layers of the cerebellum of neonate mice (Contestabile et al., 2009). The reduction in proliferation potency seen in the fetal brain is confirmed by evidence in cultures of NPCs derived from DS-hiPSCs showing that trisomic NPCs proliferate at a slower rate in comparison with controls, give rise to fewer neurons and exhibit reduced levels of genes involved in neurogenesis (Chen et al., 2014; Hibaoui et al., 2014; Murray et al., 2015; Sobol et al., 2019). Very recent evidence shows that DS-hiPSC-derived cerebral organoids present defects that are very similar to those of the fetal DS brain, such as volume reduction, reduced number of proliferating cells in VZ-like regions, reduced number of neuronal progenitors (SOX2+ cells), and no change in the expression of apoptotic markers (Tang et al., 2021). Taken together, data reported in this and preceding sections (“Neurons Are a Missing Population in the Fetal Down Syndrome Brain,” “Astrocytes Are Not a Missing Population in the Fetal Down Syndrome Brain,” and “Oligodendrocytes Are Not a Missing Population in the Fetal Down Syndrome Brain”) strongly suggest that brain hypotrophy in DS is due to a paucity of neurons that is caused by neurogenesis impairment.

Apoptotic Cell Death May Contribute to Reduce Neuron Number in the Fetal Down Syndrome Brain

The process of neurogenesis is accompanied by naturally occurring cell death (apoptosis). This physiological process eliminates approximately 50% of the new neurons, thereby shaping future neural circuits. Conflicting results are available regarding apoptosis in the fetal DS brain. There is evidence of no change in apoptosis in the cerebellum, hippocampus, and parahippocampal gyrus at GW17–GW21, but of an increase in the VZ/SVZ and DG (Guidi et al., 2008, 2011). Likewise, an apoptosis increase was found in the VZ/SVZ of DS fetuses at GW18 (Lu et al., 2011). An increase in apoptosis was also detected in cultures of trisomic hiPSC-derived NPCs (Hibaoui et al., 2014), although another study found no changes (Sobol et al., 2019). Since, at least in the second trimester, the number of cells undergoing apoptosis in the brain is very low both in euploid and DS fetuses (Abraham et al., 2001; Guidi et al., 2008, 2011), the quantitative relevance of apoptosis in reducing the final neuron number in DS remains to be established.

Spatiotemporal Characteristics of Neurogenesis Alterations in Down Syndrome

The studies reviewed above show that in the fetal DS brain proliferation potency is impaired at several locations along the rostro-caudal axis of the VZ/SVZ of the cerebrum, in the VZ/SVZ of the III ventricle and in various neurogenic niches of the DG and cerebellum. Thus, proliferation impairment appears to have a spatially large distribution.

Due to the lack of fetal brain samples during the first trimester, it cannot be established whether at the beginning of neurogenesis (GW6) DS fetuses have the same asset of neural stem cells as controls. Even if this were the case, the hypocellularity found at GW17 and the reduced number of dividing cells around this age indicate that at some point after the onset of neurogenesis the renewal of NPCs must begin to slow down. Differences in the expression of genes involved in neuron development and differentiation have been detected in the cerebral cortex of DS fetuses as early as GW14 (Olmos-Serrano et al., 2016), which suggests that neurogenesis defects are already present at this time. It must be recalled that symmetrical cell divisions taking place at GW4-5 provide a means for cortical surface expansion, due to an increase in the number of founder cells that give rise to radial cortical columns, whereas asymmetrical divisions (from GW6 on) provide the means to increase cortical thickness within radial columns without a change in cortical surface area (Rakic, 2003, 2009). Linear measurements of the fetal DS brain revealed a reduction in the fronto-occipital (Schmidt-Sidor et al., 1990; Guirard-Costa et al., 2006; Patkee et al., 2020) and biparietal diameters (Guirard-Costa et al., 2006), and a reduced length of the frontal lobe (Bahado-Singh et al., 1992), features that are suggestive of a reduced cortical expansion. In addition, fetuses with DS have lower average brain sulcal depths and gyriification indexes than control fetuses (Yun et al., 2021) which also suggests a reduced cortical expansion, because cerebral convolutions are formed in parallel with an increase in cortical surface without a comparable increase in cortical thickness (Rakic, 2004). On the other hand, during the second trimester fetuses with DS exhibit a notable reduction in cortical thickness (Golden and Hyman, 1994; Guidi et al., 2018) and a reduction in the number
of cells expressing the radial glia marker SOX2 and of radial glia processes (Hutton and Pevny, 2011; Guidi et al., 2018), which suggests impairment in asymmetrical cell divisions. Based on this evidence, it seems conceivable that in DS fetuses there is a reduced rate of symmetrical cell divisions, during early neurogenesis in the first trimester, followed later by a reduced rate of asymmetrical cell divisions. While the second possibility is substantiated by the reduction in proliferation potency seen during the second trimester of gestation, the first possibility is merely speculative, due to a lack of direct information at earlier ages.

## Impaired Neuron Maturation in the Developing Down Syndrome Brain

Very few studies have examined dendritic development in DS. Takashima et al. examined neurons from the visual cortex of fetuses, neonates, and adults with DS (Takashima et al., 1981) and found a reduction in the length of the basal dendrites in infants who were older than 4 months. Becker et al. (1986) found that in infants younger than 6 months branching and length of apical and basal dendrites of visual cortex neurons were larger than in controls but that they were reduced after 2 years of age. Prinz et al. (1997) showed that a 3-month-old infant with DS had cortical interneurons with a higher number of branching points but reduced dendritic arborization. A reduction in spine density and aberrant spine shape in neocortical and hippocampal neurons was detected in fetuses and children with DS. Takashima et al. (1981) found that at GW20 and GW23 pyramidal neurons in the visual cortex had long, thin spines. At GW40 and at 3 months spines were shorter, i.e., more mature. No differences in spine density were seen between DS and controls at these ages. At and after 4 months of age, however, dendritic spine density was reduced. Moreover, while in controls spine density increased up to 15 years of age this did not occur in children with DS (Takashima et al., 1994). Unusually long and tortuous (i.e., immature) dendritic spines were observed in the motor cortex of a 19-month-old child with DS (Marin-Padilla, 1976). Alteration in spine structure and decreased spine density in hippocampal neurons were also observed in two children with DS aged 8 and 9 months (Purpura, 1975). This evidence shows that defects in dendritic maturation appear in early infancy.

There is a paucity of studies that have characterized the dendritic pattern in mouse models of DS. Early evidence showed that cortical neurons of Ts65Dn mice exhibit dendritic defects that parallel those found in humans (see Benavides-Piccione et al., 2004). Further studies found reduced density of dendritic spines and dendritic hypotrophy in hippocampal granule neurons of adult Ts65Dn mice (Belichenko et al., 2004; Guidi et al., 2013; Dang et al., 2014; Stagni et al., 2015b) and reduced spine density in granule neurons of Ts1Cje mice (Belichenko et al., 2007). Defects in dendritic complexity and dendritic spine density of hippocampal granule neurons were also detected in Ts65Dn mice aged 15 days (Stagni et al., 2017a, 2019b; Emili et al., 2020). Recent evidence shows that granule neurons of Ts65Dn mice already exhibit dendritic hypotrophy and spine shape (but not density) alterations at postnatal day 8 (Uguagliati et al., 2021). The presence of dendritic branching defects has been additionally found in neocortical pyramidal neurons of Ts65Dn pups aged 2 days (Uguagliati et al., 2022). Taken together the latter two studies are in line with the early presence of dendritic alterations seen in infants with DS.

While neurogenesis (and other) defects of DS may be shared with some other types of ID, such as fragile X syndrome (Bardoni et al., 2017), fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (Miranda, 2012), and autism spectrum disorders (Bicker et al., 2021), dendritic alterations are shared with virtually all mental disorders (Dierssen and Ramakers, 2006; Quach et al., 2021; Granato and Merighi, 2022). It has been suggested that cognition defects in different types of ID may be underpinned by alterations of different dendritic domains (Granato and Merighi, 2022). In this connection it is interesting to note that dendritic branching defects in Ts65Dn pups mainly involve the basal domain shortly after birth (postnatal day 2) and the apical domain slightly later (postnatal day 8) suggesting a relationship between age, affected dendritic compartment (Uguagliati et al., 2022) and, possibly, cognitive impairment in DS.

---

**FIGURE 3** | The cell cycle is a series of events that lead to cell division. It comprises four phases: the first gap phase called G1 during which cells prepare for DNA replication, the S phase of DNA synthesis, a second gap phase called G2, and the M phase of cell mitosis in which segregation of replicated chromosomes into two separate cells occurs. Cells in G1 can, before DNA replication, enter a resting state, called GO. Progression through the cell cycle is tightly regulated by cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK 1, 2, 4, 6). CDKs are serine/threonine protein kinases that phosphorylate key substrates for DNA synthesis and mitotic progression. CDKs interact with various positive and negative cell cycle regulators. Cyclins (A, B, D, E) are positive cell cycle regulators that represent the regulatory subunits of CDKs; their binding to CDKs allows inactive CDKs adopting an active configuration, driving transition phases. Different cyclins are required at different phases of the cell cycle. For instance, D-type cyclins bind to CDK4/6 forming the active cyclin D/CDK4/6 complex that is necessary for progression through the G1 phase of the cell cycle. The G1 phase is considered a critical window during which cells decide to proliferate, assume a reversible arrest (G0), or begin a path toward terminal differentiation or senescence. The activity of CDKs can be counteracted by the CDK inhibitory protein/Kinase inhibitory protein (Cip/Kip) family, that includes p21^CDK1^, p27^Kip1^, p57^Kip2^, p57/Kip2, and by proteins of the INK4 family. These negative cell cycle regulators inhibit cell cycle progression by binding to specific CDKs alone (INK4 family) or cyclin-CDK complexes (Cip/Kip family).
GENES RESPONSIBLE FOR NEUROGENESIS IMPAIRMENT IN DOWN SYNDROME

The preceding sections have shown that the fetal DS brain exhibits a reduced number of proliferating NPCs. Were the fate of their progeny unaltered, the outcome would be a proportional reduction in the number of neurons and glial cells. However, only the number of neurons is reduced in DS, while that of astrocytes and oligodendrocytes is unchanged or increased. This implies deregulation of the genetic mechanisms that control proliferation potency (cell cycle progression) as well as of those that control cell fate.

In addition to genes on Chr21, many genes throughout the genome are differentially expressed in the DS brain (Olmos-Serrano et al., 2016) and many genes are hypermethylated (i.e., their transcription is repressed; El Hajj et al., 2016), which highlights a potentially enormous complexity in neurogenesis regulation. Nonetheless, most of the investigations carried out so far have focused on those triplicated genes on Chr21 (and related pathways) that are thought to be important for the proliferation and fate of NPCs. Among candidate genes, Dual-specificity tyrosine phosphorylation-regulated kinase 1A (DYRK1A), amyloid beta precursor protein (APP), Regulator of calcineurin 1 (RCAN1) have been more widely investigated, although additional genes (described below) are emerging as potential candidates. For simplicity, we will describe the genes and mechanisms that impair (i) proliferation and (ii) neurogenesis (i.e., acquisition of a neuronal vs. an astrocytic phenotype) in two separate sections, although this distinction is somewhat forced because these processes may be intermingled.

Mechanism of Neural Progenitor Cell Proliferation Impairment

Evidence in fetuses and mouse models suggests that the reduced size of the pool of NPCs in the fetal DS brain is due to changes in cell cycle dynamics. The latter is regulated by (i) cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), (ii) their interactions with cyclins, and (iii) Cip and Kip inhibitors of CDK activity (Figure 3). As shown below, many of these regulatory mechanisms are disrupted in DS.

Dual-Specificity Tyrosine Phosphorylation-Regulated Kinase 1A

DYRK1A is the more intensively studied gene in DS (Atas-Ozcan et al., 2021) because it plays an important role in neurogenesis, is highly expressed during embryonic neurogenesis, and Dyrk1a transgenic mice exhibit brain alterations that are reminiscent of DS (Hammerle et al., 2003). DYRK1A is a kinase that phosphorylates a multitude of targets, including transcription factors. Regarding its expression in the fetal DS brain, DYRK1A resulted as being overexpressed (RNA) at GW15–GW37 (El Hajj et al., 2016) and GW20 (Guimera et al., 1999), and DYRK1A protein was overexpressed at GW23 (Park et al., 2010). However, no changes at the protein level were found at GW18–GW19 (Cheon et al., 2003a) or in infants aged 1–3 years, although DYRK1A was more widely expressed in DS adolescents and adults (Dowjat et al., 2007). These discrepancies prompt further investigations. There are four major mechanisms whereby overexpression of DYRK1A may impair NPC proliferation in DS (summarized in Figure 4).

1) Overexpression of DYRK1A impairs the cell cycle (Figure 3) by directly affecting the levels of negative and positive regulators of cell cycle progression. In particular, overexpression of DYRK1A increases the levels of the antiproliferative CDKs inhibitor p27KIP1 and promotes its stability by phosphorylating it on Ser(10) (Hammerle et al., 2011; Soppa et al., 2014). This action of DYRK1A on p27KIP1 in conjunction with its action on cyclin D1 (see below) inhibits cell cycle progression through the G1 phase, promotes cell cycle exit into G0 and subsequent premature neuronal differentiation. This effect can be prevented by normalization of DYRK1A activity with harmine (Mazur-Kolecka et al., 2012).

2) DYRK1A phosphorylates p53, resulting in the transcription of p53 target genes, including p21CIP1 (Park et al., 2010) which impairs G1/G0–S phase transition. Brains from embryonic Dyrk1a transgenic mice have high levels of phosphorylated p53, and p21CIP1, and reduced neuronal proliferation (Park et al., 2010). Increased levels of DYRK1A, p53, and p21CIP1 have been found in the frontal cortex of fetuses and adults with DS (Park et al., 2010), and increased levels of p21CIP1 have been found in the brains of fetuses with DS (Engidawork et al., 2001).

3) An additional mechanism consists in a cyclin D1-dependent precocious exit from the cell cycle and premature neuronal differentiation (Tejedor and Hammerle, 2011; Hindley and Philpott, 2012; Chen et al., 2013; Najas et al., 2015). DYRK1A phosphorylates cyclin D1 at Thr(286) (Chen et al., 2013) which allows for its nuclear export followed by degradation (Yabut et al., 2010; Soppa et al., 2014). Reduction of cyclin D1 nuclear levels causes an increase in G1 duration and precocious exit from the cell cycle (Chen et al., 2013). The validity of this working model is supported by evidence obtained in DS fibroblasts and the Ts65Dn model which show an extended G1 duration that can be reversed by DYRK1A inhibition or knockdown (Chen et al., 2013). Radial glia progenitors in the VZ of Ts65Dn embryos have reduced cyclin D1 levels and a lengthening of the G1 phase (Najas et al., 2015). These alterations curtail the number of neuron-producing divisions and, thus, impair neurogenesis. Normalization of Dyrk1a dosage restores cyclin D1 levels and the number of cortical neurons (Najas et al., 2015).

4) The repressor element-1 silencing transcription factor (REST) modulates the expression of genes encoding important neuronal functions and is a key regulator of target genes for the transition from pluripotent embryonic stem cells to NPCs and, subsequently, to mature neurons (see Canzonetta et al., 2008). REST transcriptional levels are reduced in neural stem cells and NPCs from the cortex of fetuses with DS (Bahn et al., 2002; El Hajj et al., 2016)
and DS hiPSC-derived NPCs (Hibaoui et al., 2014). REST transcriptional levels have also been found to be reduced in transchromosomic mouse embryonic stem cells (containing an extra copy of chromosome 21) with concomitantly reduced expression of two key pluripotency regulators, Nanog and Sox2, resulting in aberrantly premature expression of transcription factors driving early endodermal and mesodermal differentiation (Canzonetta et al., 2008). Dyrk1a dosage imbalance in embryonic stem cells was found to perturb REST expression, with both over- and under expression of Dyrk1a resulting in REST suppression. This evidence suggested that REST dysregulation in trisomic cells was mediated by overexpression of Dyrk1a. Importantly, partial knockdown of Dyrk1a increased the reduced expression of Nanog and Sox2 (Canzonetta et al., 2008), strongly suggesting that DYRK1A-mediated deregulation of REST in DS plays a role in the alterations of pluripotency and embryonic stem cell fate.

**Amyloid Beta Precursor Protein**

Accumulating evidence suggests that APP plays a key role both in neurogenesis alterations in DS and development of AD-like pathology in adulthood (Coronel et al., 2019). APP is hydrolyzed by α-, β-, and γ-secretase to generate various fragments (Figure 5A), including Aβ peptides and the APP intracellular C-terminal domain (AICD). Neurons mainly contain the APP695 isoform which preferentially forms sAPPα, Aβ, and AICD (Belyaev et al., 2010). In human embryonic kidney 293 cells, overexpression of APP inhibits cell proliferation and affects the expression of genes involved in G1/S checkpoint regulation, cell proliferation, and p53 signaling (Wu et al., 2016), suggesting that overexpression of APP during fetal life stages may contribute to the impairment of neurogenesis. In the fetal DS brain, APP was found to be increased in the temporal cortex (protein) at GW17-21 (Guidi et al., 2017), whole cortex (protein) at GW14 and GW21 (Lu et al., 2011), whole cortex (RNA) at GW15-37 (El Hajj et al., 2016), and whole brain (protein and RNA) at GW19 (Tanzi et al., 1987, 1988). A single study detected no changes in APP protein levels in the fetal DS brain at GW18-19 (Cheon et al., 2003b). Higher APP levels were detected in DS hiPSCs generated from second trimester amniotic fluid (Lu H. E. et al., 2013) and cultures of DS-fetuses-derived cortical neurons (Busciglio et al., 2002). Moreover, high levels of various APP derivatives were detected in the fetal DS brain (Takashima et al., 1994; Teller et al., 1996; Russo et al., 2001). Regarding the mechanisms whereby excessive APP levels impair NPC proliferation, AICD is very likely a major effector because, as detailed below, AICD...
overexpression impairs both Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) signaling and Glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK3β) activity, both of which are involved in neurogenesis (Figure 5B).

1) AICD and the SHH pathway. Following interaction with Fe65, AICD translocates into the nucleus and promotes the transcription of various genes (see Nalivaeva and Turner, 2013; Coronel et al., 2019), including the gene encoding the transmembrane receptor PATCHED 1 (PTCH1; Trazzi et al., 2011; see inset in Figure 5B).GT

Consistently with increased APP/AICD levels, PTCH1 is overexpressed in fetuses with DS and in Ts65Dn mice (Trazzi et al., 2011). PTCH1 is an SHH receptor that keeps the mitogenic SHH pathway repressed by inhibiting the transmembrane protein Smoothened (SMO), the activator of the SHH pathway. Canonical SHH signaling takes place when SHH binds and inactivates PTCH1 (Carballo et al., 2018). Once PTCH1 is inhibited, SMO is activated and initiates the SHH downstream signaling cascade. This results in the translocation of GLI proteins to the nucleus. Once activated, GLIs (GLI1, GLI2, and GLI3) bind to GLI-promoters and activate/inhibit gene transcription. These genes include cyclin D1, cyclin D2, and cyclin E (Kenney and Rowitch, 2000; Cayuso et al., 2006), the expression of which is enhanced following SHH pathway activation. Thus, reduced SHH pathway activation, due to excessive PTCH1 levels, causes a reduction in cyclin D1, cyclin D2, and cyclin E transcription (Figure 5B). It is worth mentioning that GSK3β belongs to the complex that prevents GLI migration into the nucleus (Pan et al., 2006), thereby potentiating the effects of excessive AICD levels on these cyclins. Non-canonical SHH signaling may take place independently of SMO; binding of SHH to PTCH1 disrupts its interaction with Cyclin B1, allowing cyclin B1 to localize to the nucleus which leads to an increase in cell proliferation and survival (Barnes et al., 2001; Carballo et al., 2018). This effect may be hampered by excessive PTCH1 levels (Figure 5B). Cyclin B1 is the regulatory subunit of CDK1, the key controller of mitosis entry (Takizawa and Morgan, 2000). Accordingly, in the cerebellum of Ts65Dn mice cyclin B1 levels are reduced and there is a disproportionate number of cells in G2 and a prolonged G2 phase (Contestabile et al., 2009). In summary, excessive PTCH1 expression results in reduced canonical and non-canonical SHH signaling, down regulation of cell-cycle components and, ultimately, proliferation impairment. This conclusion is substantiated by evidence that restoration of PTCH1 levels restores proliferation in trisomic NPCs (Trazzi et al., 2011) and that direct stimulation of SMO restores cerebellar granule cell proliferation in Ts65Dn pups (Roper et al., 2006). It should be noted that AICD promotes the transcription of the β-site APP cleaving enzyme 1 (β-secretase, BACE1; Nalivaeva and Turner, 2013) which may result in enhanced production of APP derivatives, including AICD itself (Figure 5B).

2) AICD and GSK3β. GSK3β is a constitutively active kinase that is inhibited by an increase in phosphorylation at Ser(9). Reduced phosphorylation of GSK3β at Ser(9) was observed in NPCs from Ts65Dn mice (Trazzi et al., 2014), the hippocampus of Ts65Dn pups (Giacomini et al., 2015), and VZ of fetuses with DS (Trazzi et al., 2014). In NPCs derived from Ts65Dn mice, excessive AICD levels prevent GSK3β phosphorylation at Ser(9), thereby enhancing GSK3β activity (Trazzi et al., 2014). Since over activity of GSK3β impairs neurogenesis (and neuron migration; Kim and Snider, 2011), the APP-AICD-mediated increase in GSK3β activity is expected to impair proliferation in the fetal DS brain. This hypothesis is confirmed by evidence that inhibition of GSK3β restores proliferation of NPCs from the SVZ of Ts65Dn mice (Trazzi et al., 2014). Down regulation of cyclin D1 is most likely a key mechanism whereby GSK3β impairs proliferation (Figure 5B). This regulation may take place in a dual manner: (i) over-active GSK3β may directly increase cyclin D1 phosphorylation at Thr(286) and its nuclear export and degradation; (ii) over-active GSK3β increases beta-catenin phosphorylation and retains it in the cytoplasmic compartment. This prevents the action of beta-catenin that, when translocated into the nucleus, induces the expression of target genes, including cyclin D1 (Takahashi-Yanaga and Sasaguri, 2008; Figure 5B). It is of interest to note that GSK3β enhances β-secretase expression through NF-kappaB signaling (Ly et al., 2013) which may result in enhanced production of APP derivatives, including AICD (Figure 5B) and amplification of the detrimental effects described above.

3) AICD and FOXO3a. Recent evidence in an AICD transgenic mouse model shows that AICD promotes the transcription of Foxo3a, a transcription factor that is expressed in NPCs and regulates neurogenesis and mitochondrial function (Jiang et al., 2020). While AICD-dependent regulation of FOXO3a inhibits hippocampal proliferation, suppresses neuronal stem cell differentiation, and increases cell death, functional loss of FOXO3a in NPCs of AICD transgenic mice rescues neurogenesis (Jiang et al., 2020). FOXO3a increases cell cycle inhibitor proteins p21 and p27 (Nho and Hergert, 2014), suggesting that an AICD-mediated increase in FOXO3a expression may concur to impair cell cycle progression in DS (Figure 5B).

Regulator of Calcineurin

The Down syndrome critical region 1 (DSCR1), also named Regulator of calcineurin 1 (RCAN1), a member of a family of calcineurin binding proteins, is highly expressed in neuroproliferative zones during brain development and in various brain regions postnatally (Pritchard and Martin, 2013). RCAN1 is overexpressed (RNA) in the fetal DS brain at GW20 (Guimera et al., 1999) and GW22 (Fuentes et al., 2000), in lymphoblastoid cell lines from children with DS (Granese et al., 2013), and in cultured amniocytes from fetuses with DS (Altug-Teber et al., 2007). Likewise, Rcan1 is overexpressed in the embryonic brain of DS models (Kurabayashi and Sanada, 2013). RCAN1 interacts with calcineurin catalytic A subunit thereby inhibiting calcineurin-dependent signaling
FIGURE 5 | Effect of APP overexpression on NPC proliferation. (A) Proteolytic processing of APP by non-amyloidogenic pathway and amyloidogenic pathway. Both pathways give origin to AICD. While AICD produced by non-amyloidogenic processing undergoes degradation, AICD produced by amyloidogenic processing translocates to the nucleus and promotes transcription of various genes (inset in B). (B) APP overexpression leads to excessive levels of AICD. The AICD promoted transcription of PTCH1 leads to reduced transcription of cyclin D1 (through the SHH canonical pathway) and reduced levels of cyclin B1 (through the SHH non-canonical pathway). The AICD promoted transcription of FOXO3a promotes the transcription of p27 and p21, thereby inhibiting the activity of cyclin-CDKs. The AICD promoted transcription of ß-secretase enhances the amyloidogenic cleavage of APP. The AICD-mediated increase in GSK3ß activity causes a beta-catenin-mediated reduction in cyclin D1 transcription, a reduction in cyclin D1 levels, due to its degradation, and an increase in the transcription of ß-secretase, thereby enhancing the amyloidogenic cleavage of APP. The AICD-mediated increase in GSK3ß activity causes a beta-catenin-mediated reduction in cyclin D1 transcription, a reduction in cyclin D1 levels, due to its degradation, and an increase in the transcription of ß-secretase, thereby enhancing the amyloidogenic cleavage of APP. The AICD-mediated increase in GSK3ß activity causes a beta-catenin-mediated reduction in cyclin D1 transcription, a reduction in cyclin D1 levels, due to its degradation, and an increase in the transcription of ß-secretase, thereby enhancing the amyloidogenic cleavage of APP. The AICD-mediated increase in GSK3ß activity causes a beta-catenin-mediated reduction in cyclin D1 transcription, a reduction in cyclin D1 levels, due to its degradation, and an increase in the transcription of ß-secretase, thereby enhancing the amyloidogenic cleavage of APP. The AICD-mediated increase in GSK3ß activity causes a beta-catenin-mediated reduction in cyclin D1 transcription, a reduction in cyclin D1 levels, due to its degradation, and an increase in the transcription of ß-secretase, thereby enhancing the amyloidogenic cleavage of APP. The AICD-mediated increase in GSK3ß activity causes a beta-catenin-mediated reduction in cyclin D1 transcription, a reduction in cyclin D1 levels, due to its degradation, and an increase in the transcription of ß-secretase, thereby enhancing the amyloidogenic cleavage of APP. Abbreviations: AICD, intracellular C-terminal domain; APP, amyloid beta precursor protein; αCTF, α-carboxy-terminal fragment; ßCTF, ß-carboxy-terminal fragment; ß-secr, ß-secretase; cs, canonical signaling; n, nuclear; non-cs, non-canonical signaling; sAPPα, soluble APPα; and sAPPß, soluble APPß.

pathways. Calcineurin is a calcium and calmodulin-dependent serine/threonine protein phosphatase that activates the family of nuclear factor of activated T cell (NFATc or NFAT) transcription factors (the most studied substrates of calcineurin) through dephosphorylation (Figure 6A). In T cells, activated NFAT then translocates into the nucleus, where it upregulates the expression of Interleukin 2, which, in turn, stimulates growth and differentiation of T cells. In addition to T cells, NFATs are present in a variety of cells, including neurons and astrocytes. Inhibition of NFAT activation in NPCs from the SVZ reduces the percentage of cells in G0/1 and causes cell cycle elongation (Serrano-Perez et al., 2015). Human RCAN1 transgenic mice, in which overexpression of RCAN1 was close to the level of overexpression observed in DS, exhibit defects in adult hippocampal neurogenesis and acquisition of a neuronal phenotype similar to those of DS (Martin et al., 2012). Taken together, these data suggest that RCAN1-dependent inhibition of calcineurin in the DS brain may maintain NFAT in its
phosphorylated state, preventing its translocation to the nucleus and its pro-proliferative effects. This idea is strengthened by evidence of hyperphosphorylated NFATc4 in the fetal DS brain at GW20 (Arron et al., 2006). Regarding the mechanisms, there is evidence that dephosphorylation of NFAT by calcineurin promotes transcription of factors that promote proliferation, including cyclin D1 (Masaki and Shimada, 2022; Figure 6A).

In addition, calcineurin exerts its phosphatase activity directly on cyclin D1, dephosphorylating it at T(286) (Goshima et al., 2019), thereby inhibiting its degradation (Figure 6B). An inhibitor of calcineurin (CN585) decreases cyclin D1 expression and delays G1-S progression (Goshima et al., 2019). Taken together these data suggest that overexpression of RCAN1 in DS hampers cell cycle progression by inhibiting calcineurin phosphatase activity which (i) reduces the NFAT-mediated activation of genes that favor cell cycle progression (including cyclin D1) and (ii) increases cyclin D1 degradation (Figures 6A,B).

**Interactions Between Dual-Specificity Tyrosine Phosphorylation-Regulated Kinase 1A, Regulator of Calcineurin 1, and Glycogen Synthase Kinase-3β**

Dual specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinase 1A and RCAN1 can act synergistically to control NFAT phosphorylation. DYRK1A phosphorlylates RCAN1 at Ser(112) and Thr(192) residues (Jung et al., 2011). Phosphorylation of Thr(192) enhances the ability of RCAN1 to inhibit calcineurin, leading to reduced NFAT transcriptional activity (Jung et al., 2011; Figure 6C). DYRK1A can also reduce NFAT transcriptional activity through direct phosphorylation of NFAT (Arron et al., 2006; Figure 6C). In the mouse embryonic cortex, inhibition of NFAT activity, via increased levels of DYRK1A and RCAN1, causes a delay in cell cycle exit and neuronal differentiation of NPCs, and alteration of the laminar positioning of cortical neurons (Kurabayashi and Sanada, 2013). Consistently with this evidence, the delayed neuronal differentiation of progenitors in Ts1Cje is ameliorated by counteracting the dysregulated DYRK1A/RCAN1/NFAT pathway, either by reducing the expression of DYRK1A/RCAN1 or by activating NFAT (Kurabayashi and Sanada, 2013). RCAN1 activity is also modulated by GSK3β. Phosphorylation of RCAN1 at Ser(112) primes RCAN1 for GSK3β-mediated phosphorylation at Ser(108), which contributes to increasing RCAN1 activity. In addition, GSK3β phosphorylates NFAT proteins in the nucleus, resulting in their inactivation and export (Beals et al., 1997; Figure 6C).

**Mechanisms Impairing Neurogenesis and Favoring Gliogenesis in Down Syndrome**

Differentiation of NPCs into either neurons or glia is regulated by the expression of proneural and progliogenic signals, respectively. Thus, triplicated genes that modify the expression of proneurogenic factors, such as Neurogenin1 (NGN1), Neurogenin2 (NGN2), Neurogenic differentiation factor1 (NEUROD), and mammalian achaete scute homolog-1 (MASH1) are likely to be strongly involved in the process of neurogenesis. The Janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK-STAT) pathway plays a key role in gliogenesis (Bonni et al., 1997; Lee et al., 2016). Therefore, triplicated genes activating this pathway are likely to increase gliogenesis in DS.

**Oligodendrocyte Transcription Factor 2**

The oligodendrocyte transcription factor 1 (OLIG1) and OLIG2 are both located on HSA21. They are thus named because of their key function in oligodendrocyte development. OLIG2 is fundamental for oligodendrogenesis and generation of motor neurons in the spinal cord (Lu et al., 2002). In the frontal cortex of fetuses with DS, OLIG2 is overexpressed at GW14 and GW18, in parallel with proliferation reduction (Lu et al., 2012). OLIG2 overexpression is accompanied by reduced expression of the neural progenitor marker PAX6 and increased expression of GFAP (Lu et al., 2012). In transgenic mice with Olig2 overexpression in nestin-expressing neural stem/progenitors, cells exhibit impairment in proliferation, precocious cell cycle exit, massive cell death, downregulation of proneural and neuronal differentiation genes, including Ngln1, Ngln2, and Pax6, as well as of Nfatc4, and a defect in cortical neurogenesis (Liu et al., 2015). This suggests that overexpression of OLIG2 in DS may (i) reduce the acquisition of a neuronal phenotype by reducing the expression of proneural genes and (ii) concurrently impair proliferation by reducing the expression of NFAT (Figure 7A). Experiments in cultures of DS-derived NPCs revealed an OLIG2-dependent reduction in the expression of KCNA3 potassium channel, suggesting that a decline in K+ channel activity may cause an elongation of the cell cycle and, thus, diminish NPC proliferation (Lu et al., 2012; Figure 7A). Intriguingly, during embryonic development, interneural precursors in the medial ganglionic eminence of the Ts65Dn mouse exhibit a faster proliferation rate, which is at variance with other neurogenic niches, although they exhibit higher expression levels of OLIG2 (and OLIG1), and this defect is abrogated by deletion of an allele of Olig1 and Olig2 (Chakrabarti et al., 2010). This suggests that OLIG1 and OLIG2 may play a differential role in the modulation of neurogenesis according to brain region and developmental time. A faster proliferation rate of interneuron precursors might translate into the increase in the number of calretinin-positive interneurons, a population that appears early in cortical development (see Bayatti et al., 2008), observed in fetuses (Guidi et al., 2018), and infants (Xu et al., 2019) with DS, in the Ts65Dn model (Perez-Cremades et al., 2010; Hernandez-Gonzalez et al., 2015) and in an alcohol syndrome model (Granato, 2006).

**IFNAR1, IFNAR2, IFNGR2, and IL10RB**

IFNAR1, IFNAR2, IFNGR2, which encode receptors for interferons (IF), and IL10RB which encodes a receptor for interleukin (IL) form a cluster on HSA21. Binding of IFNs and IL to their receptors activates JAK proteins that phosphorylate the transcription factors STATs that can migrate to the nucleus. Among the STATs, STAT3 specifies glial cell fate through transcriptional activation of astrocytic genes, such as GFAP.
FIGURE 6 | Effect of RCAN1 overexpression on NPC proliferation. (A) Calcineurin dephosphorylates NFAT which allows its translocation to the nucleus where it promotes transcription of various genes, including cyclin D1. Phosphorylated NFAT remains in the cytoplasm where it undergoes degradation. RCAN1 inhibits calcineurin activity, thereby reducing NFAT nuclear translocation. (B) Calcineurin dephosphorylates cyclin D1 which allows its nuclear stabilization. Phosphorylated cyclin D1 remains in the cytoplasm where it undergoes degradation. RCAN1 inhibits calcineurin activity, thereby reducing cyclin D1 stabilization. (C) Excessive levels of RCAN1 increase the inhibition of calcineurin activity. This leads to reduced cyclin D1 protein levels due to its excessive degradation, and to reduced cyclin D1 transcription due to reduced NFAT translocation to the nucleus. DYRK1A and GSK3β increase the activity of RCAN1, thereby increasing its inhibition on calcineurin. In addition, DYRK1A and GSK3β enhance NFAT phosphorylation, thereby contributing to its degradation and reducing its nuclear levels. Abbreviations; RCAN1, regulator of calcineurin 1; NFAT, nuclear factor of activated T cell; and NFAT n, nuclear NFAT.

and S100beta. IFNAR1, IFNAR2, IFNGR2, and IL10RB are upregulated in DS fibroblasts, rendering them more sensitive to interferon and inducing greater activation of the IFN pathways (Sullivan et al., 2016). In addition, an increase in IFNAR2 proteins has been detected in the cerebral cortex of DS fetuses at GW19–21 (Ferrando-Miguel et al., 2003) and serum levels of IL-6...
are increased in DS children (Corsi et al., 2006). Taken together, these data suggest that overstimulation of JAK-STAT signaling due to overexpression of IFNRs and IL10R may promote NPC fate toward astrogligenic pathways in DS (Figure 7B). Trisomic fibroblasts show activation of IFN ligands including IFNG (Sullivan et al., 2016), which reduces differentiation of oligodendrocyte precursors into oligodendrocytes and favors acquisition of an astrocytic phenotype (Tanner et al., 2011). This suggests that the JAK-STAT pathway may also increase astrogliogenesis at the expense of oligodendrocyte differentiation.

**Amyloid Beta Precursor Protein**
Repression of the SHH pathway due to APP-AICD mediated PTCH1 overexpression (see above) causes downregulation of GLI transcription factors. GLI2 induces neurogenesis in neuronal stem cells by positively regulating the expression of neurogenic genes, such as MASH1 (Voronova et al., 2011), suggesting that APP-AICD-mediated downregulation of GLI2 may reduce neurogenesis by reducing the expression of MASH1 (Figure 7C). AICD can promote the expression of miR-665 in the nucleus which suppresses neuronal differentiation by reducing the expression of proneurogenic genes such as FBXL18 and CDK6 (Shu et al., 2015). This provides an additional mechanism whereby AICD may reduce neurogenesis (Figure 7C). Interaction between APP and S100beta promotes a deleterious pathway that causes oxidative stress (Lu et al., 2011); reactive oxygen species activate JNK/p38 and the JAK/STAT signaling pathway (Esposito et al., 2008). In addition, sAPP enhances the activity of the JAK-STAT signaling cascade (Trazzi et al., 2013). This evidence suggests that APP, in addition to reducing neurogenesis, favors astrogliogenesis (Figure 7B).
Dual Specificity Tyrosine-Phosphorylation-Regulated Kinase 1A

Overexpression of Dyrk1a in wild-type cortical progenitors increases STAT3 phosphorylation at Ser(727), which enhances the transcriptional activity of STAT3 (Kurabayashi et al., 2015), suggesting that increased dosage of DYRK1A may contribute to the gliogenic shift in DS (Figure 7B). Indeed, targeting DYRK1A pharmacologically or by shRNA in DS-hiPSCs resulted in a considerable correction in the acquisition of a neuronal phenotype (Hibaoui et al., 2014).

Early Undifferentiated Retina and Lens (C21/ORF91)
The gene Early Undifferentiated Retina and Lens (EURL), also called Chromosome 21 open reading frame 91 (C21ORF91) is a protein coding gene localized on Chr21 that is emerging as a potential candidate for neurogenesis impairment in DS. EURL is expressed in the fetal brain (GW16) and its transcripts undergo a temporal increase in neonatal and adult brains with a spatiotemporal profile that differs between DS and controls (Li et al., 2016). In a mouse model, knockdown of Eurl causes a reduction in radial glial progenitors (PAX6-positive cells) but not in NPCs (TBR2-positive cells; Li et al., 2016). Contrariwise, forced Eurl expression increases both progenitor populations. Moreover, both knockdown and enhancement of Eurl alter the cortical positioning of embryonically born neurons, indicating that the dose of Eurl is crucial for cortical development and neuron maturation. The significance of EURL overexpression in neurogenesis and neuron maturation in DS requires further investigation. A recent study examined the role of EURL in gliogenesis (Reiche et al., 2021). Results showed that forced overexpression of Eurl in cultured rat primary oligodendroglial precursor cells resulted in aberrant coexpression of astroglial and oligodendroglial markers. In particular, there was a reduction in the number of cells exhibiting oligodendroglial features, such as nuclear expression of OLIG2 and SOX10 and an increase in the number of cells exhibiting astrocytic features, such as ubiquitous (nuclear and cytoplasmatic) expression of OLIG2, which indicates astrogliogenesis (Setoguchi and Kondo, 2004) and Sox10, and increased expression of hairy and enhancer of split-1 (HES1) and GFAP (Reiche et al., 2021). This evidence suggests that EURL overexpression in DS may induce glial precursor cells to acquire an astrocytic phenotype at the expense of an oligodendroglial phenotype (Figure 7D). This conclusion is in line with the temporal profile of EURL expression, that peaks between birth and adulthood (Li et al., 2016), i.e., a time of prominent gliogenesis. Significantly, forced Eurl expression causes accelerated maturation of rat oligodendroglial cells but diminished myelination capacity (Reiche et al., 2021), suggesting that EURL plays a role in myelination impairment in DS.

GENES RESPONSIBLE FOR NEURONAL MATURATION IMPAIRMENT IN DOWN SYNDROME

Evidence regarding this issue is currently very scarce, indicating the need for specific studies that focus on therapeutic interventions in the neonatal period, a critical window for neuronal maturation.

FIGURE 8 | Timeline of neurogenesis and neuron maturation in the mouse brain. Cortical neurogenesis takes place between embryonic (E) days E11–E17 (Takahashi et al., 1998). In the hippocampus proper neurogenesis takes place between E10–E18 (Angevine, 1965). In the hippocampal DG, neurogenesis begins at E10, exhibits its maximum rate in the first two postnatal weeks and then continues at a slow rate throughout life (Altman and Bayer, 1975, 1990a,b). In the cerebellum, granule cell production begins at E12.5 and E15.5 and is accomplished by the second postnatal week (Sillitoe and Joyner, 2007; Sudarov and Joyner, 2007). The basal and apical arbors of cortical pyramidal neurons appear at postnatal day 2 and attain maturation within the third week (Meller et al., 1969; Uguagliati et al., 2022). Cortical spinogenesis begins at postnatal days 6–9 and is completed by the third postnatal week (Meller et al., 1969; Uguagliati et al., 2022).
Down Syndrome Cell Adhesion Molecule

Down syndrome cell adhesion molecule (DSCAM) is a gene located on the so-called critical region of Chr21, a region that was previously thought to be particularly relevant for the DS-linked phenotypes. DSCAM expression (RNA) is increased in neurospheres from GW8–GW18 fetuses (Bahn et al., 2002), and children and adults with DS exhibit higher brain DSCAM levels (Saito et al., 2000) compared to controls. During dendritic development DSCAM promotes self-avoidance through homophilic contact-mediated repulsion (Fuerst et al., 2008; Montesinos, 2017). Knockdown of DSCAM increases the complexity of dendritic branching and inhibits axon growth in mouse cortical neurons (Zhang et al., 2015). A recent study used a trisomic cell line (trisomic CTb, derived from Ts16 mice) to investigate the molecular mechanisms whereby DSCAM impairs development of neuritic processes (Perez-Nunez et al., 2016). This study shows that overexpressed DSCAM deregulates p21-activated kinase activity which, in turn, destabilizes actin cytoskeleton and formation of neuritic processes. DSCAM may also regulate neuron morphogenesis through its intracellular domain (ICD; Sachse et al., 2019). Gain-of-function experiments in primary cortical neurons show that increasing the levels of DSCAM or DSCAM ICD leads to an impairment of neurite growth and synapse number (Sachse et al., 2019).

Regulator of Calcineurin 1

Human RCAN1 transgenic mice, in which overexpression of RCAN1 was close to the level of overexpression observed in DS, exhibited reduced spine density on basal and apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons (Martin et al., 2012), suggesting that RCAN1 overexpression in DS may be involved in spinogenesis impairment.

Early Undifferentiated Retina and Lens//C21orf91

Excessive levels of this gene cause various effects (see above), including reduction of dendritic spine density in a mouse model in which Eurl expression was enhanced (Li et al., 2016). The defects in spinogenesis in DS take place in infancy, during which EURL undergoes an increase in DS brains (Li et al., 2016), suggesting that this gene may concur to reduce dendritic spine density in DS children.

AchEIVEMENTS OBTAINED BY EARLY PHARMACOTHERAPIES IN DOWN SYNDROME MODELS

During the past 20 years various studies have exploited mouse models of DS to establish whether it is possible to pharmacologically improve the morpho-functional brain defects of DS and behavior. Most of these studies have been carried out at adult life stages (see Costa and Scott-McKean, 2013; Gardiner, 2015; Stagni et al., 2015a; Hart et al., 2017; Vaccia et al., 2019; Rueda et al., 2020a). This timing, however, is not suitable to counteract neurogenesis alterations, because in mice, similarly to humans, neurogenesis is a prenatal/neonatal event. Cortical neurogenesis occurs between E11–E17, hippocampal neurogenesis occurs between E10–E18, cerebellar granule cell neurogenesis starts at E13 and is completed at postnatal day 14 (Figure 8). Unlike the rest of the brain, the DG produces most of its neurons (~80%) in the first two neonatal weeks and continues, slowly, to produce neurons throughout life (Figure 8). Dendritogenesis and spinogenesis occur from birth to weaning (Figure 8). Thus, considering the milestones of mice brain development, we report here only studies in which mice were treated during the embryonic (Table 1; 21 studies) and neonatal (Table 2; 19 studies) period. Tables 1, 2 summarize the type and timing of treatments, the short- and long-term effects of treatment on neuroanatomy and behavior, and, when available, the effects on molecular pathways. For ease of reference, treatments are labeled with a “T” followed by a number and individual studies are labeled with an “S” followed by a number. The substances used in the studies reported in Tables 1, 2 were either of non-natural or natural origin and were chosen based on a rationale detailed in the corresponding articles, to which the reader is referred. We will comment on these studies below, with the principal aim of highlighting the aspects that could serve as a guide for the design of fetal therapies for DS.

Prenatal Studies

Timing

Non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT), based on analysis of cell free DNA circulating in the maternal plasma, allows trisomy of the fetus to be established with good confidence (Mersy et al., 2013). This test takes place no earlier than 10–11 weeks into pregnancy. Treatments in mice that started at conception (Table 1: S4, S7–S17) are logical, because they cover the whole period of neurogenesis, but this strategy does not mimic what would happen in the case of trisomy 21 diagnosis, which is necessarily delayed. From this viewpoint, studies that started at later times of gestation (Table 1: all other studies) may provide better insight for human application.

Type of Treatment and Short- and Long-Term Effect on Neural Progenitor Cell Proliferation/Neurogenesis

Only 8 out of 21 studies examined the effect of treatment on NPC proliferation and/or neurogenesis (S1, S2, S9, S13, S18–S21). These studies used either natural or non-natural substances. The type of treatment represents a “hot” issue considering potential side effects, especially during pregnancy. From this viewpoint, natural substances, which, at proper doses have a safe profile, may be preferable. A comparison of the studies that examined NPC proliferation/neurogenesis shows that natural substances (save for melatonin, that has no effect on any examined variable; Table 1: S13) have a short-term positive effect on proliferation/neurogenesis (Table 1: S9, S18–S21) similarly to fluoxetine, the only non-natural substance for which short-term effects are available (Table 1: S1). While the effect of fluoxetine was retained in adulthood (Table 1: S1), the effects of natural substances on proliferation/neurogenesis disappeared with time (Table 1: S18–S21), with the exception of 7,8-dihydroxyflavone (7,8-DHF; Table 1: S18).
| S  | T | Treatment | Action | Treatment window | Age at testing | Effects | References |
|----|---|-----------|--------|------------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| S1 | T1 | Fluoxetine | Inhibitor of serotonin reuptake | E10-Birth | Short term: P2 Long term: P45 | NPC Proliferation (DG, SVZ, CK, STR, TH, HYP, MES, CRB); R Cellularity (CX, DG, CA3, CA1, STR, TH, HYP, MES, CRB); R NPC Proliferation (DG, SVZ); R Neurogenesis (DG); R Cellularity (DG, OX); R Dendritic hypotrophy (DG); R Connectivity (CX, CA1, DG); R Fiber tract size: R p21, pERK1/2: R L/M (Contextual Fear Conditioning): R | Guidi et al., 2014 |
| S2 | T2 | ALGERNON | Inhibitor of DYRK1A | § E10–E15 | Short term: E15.5 Long term: Adult | Intermediate zone and cortical plate thickness: R NPC proliferation (DG): F L/M (Y Maze): PR L/M (Barnes Maze): PR L/M (Contextual Fear Conditioning): R | Nakano-Kobayashi et al., 2017 |
| S3 | T3 | Rapamycin | Inhibitor of mTOR | § E15 1 injection | Long term: P18 Long term: P21–P30 | Spine density (CA1): F Mushroom spines: R LTD (CA1): R | Urbano-Gamez et al., 2021 |
| S4 | T4 | SGS-111 | Nootropic agent | E1–5M | Short term: 3M | Sensorimotor tests: F L/M (Morris Water Maze, Passive Avoidance): F | Rueda et al., 2008 |
| S5 | T5 | NAP + SAL | Small peptides mimetic of ADNP and ADNF | E8–E13 | Short term: P5–P21 | Motor and sensory milestones: R | Toso et al., 2008 |
| S6 | T5 | NAP + SAL | Small peptides mimetic of ADNP and ADNF | E8–E12 | Long term: 8–10M | L/M (Morris Water Maze): R | Incerti et al., 2012 |
| S7 | T6 | P021 | Small-peptide mimetic of the ciliary neurotrophic factor | E1–P21 | Short term: P1–P21 Long term: 5–7M | Motor and sensory milestones: PR pGSK3ß, pCREB, BDNF: R L/M (Novel Object Recognition): PR L/M (Morris Water Maze): R pGSK3ß, pCREB, BDNF: R | Kazim et al., 2017 |
| S8 | T7 | Choline | Precursor of acetylcholine | E1–P21 | Long term: 6–12M | Visual attention tasks: PR | Moon et al., 2010 |
| S9 | T7 | Choline | Precursor of acetylcholine | E1–P21 | Long term: 13–17M | Neurogenesis (DG): PR L/M (Radial Arm Water Maze): PR ChAT-positive cells (septum): PR L/M (Radial Arm Water Maze): PR | Velázquez et al., 2013, Ash et al., 2014 |
| S10 | T7 | Choline | Precursor of acetylcholine | E1–P21 | Long term: 13–17M | Number of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons: PR Cholinergic innervation (hippocampus): PR | Kelley et al., 2014 |
| S11 | T7 | Choline | Precursor of acetylcholine | E1–P21 | Long term: 4–7M | | |
| S12 | T7 | Choline | Precursor of acetylcholine | E1–P21 | Long term: 12M Long term: 16M | Attention tasks: PR Attention tasks: F | Powers et al., 2021 |
| S13 | T8 | Melatonin | Hormone | E1–4.5/5M | Short term: 4.5–5M | NPC proliferation (DG): F Cellularity (DG): F Sensorimotor tests: F L/M (Contextual Fear Conditioning, Morris Water Maze): F | Corrales et al., 2017 |
| S14 | T9 | α-tocopherol | Antioxidant | E1–12W | Short term: 12W | Cellularity (DG): R Anxiety (Elevated-plus maze): PR L/M (Morris Water Maze): PR | Shichiri et al., 2011 |
| S15 | T10 | ECGC enriched green tea extract | DYRK1A natural inhibitor and antioxidant | E1–5M | Short term: 9M | L/M (Morris Water Maze): PR | Yin et al., 2017 |

(Continued)
TABLE 1 (Continued)

| S | T | Treatment Action | Treatment window | Age at testing | Effects | References |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| S16 | T10 | EGCG enriched green tea extract DYRK1A natural inhibitor and antioxidant | §§ E1–P90 | Short term: P90 | L/M (Y Maze): F | Souchet et al., 2019 |
| S17 | T11 | Apigenin 4,5,7-trihydroxyflavone Antioxidant | $§$ E1–P21 | Short term: E15.5 Short term: P3–P21 Long term: Adults | Overexpression of Discrn, Kcnj6, Pcp4, Ets2, Il10rb, Cav1, Dnna; PR Upregulation of proneural genes (e.g., Nestin, Sox2, Pax6) Developmental milestones: PR Olivary memory: PR L/M (Contextual Fear Conditioning): R | Guedj et al., 2020 |
| S18 | T12 | 7,8-dihydroxyflavone BDNF mimic and antioxidant | E10-Birth | Short term: P2 Long term: P52–P60 | NPC proliferation (DG, SVZ, CX, STR): R NPC proliferation (TH, HYPO, PHA, MOR): F Cellularity (CX): R Cellularity (DG, CA1): F Neurogenesis (DG3): F Cellularity (DG3): PR | Stagni et al., 2021 |
| S19 | T13 | Curcumin Pleiotropic effects | E10–P2 | Short term: P2 Long term: P45 | NPC proliferation (DG3): R Cellularity (DG): F Connectivity (DG, CA1, CA3): PR NPC proliferation (DG3): F Cellularity (DG): F Connectivity (DG, CA1, CA3): F L/M (Morris Water Maze): PR | Rueda et al., 2020b |
| S20 | T14 | Oleic acid Monounsaturated fatty acid of the 12 series that occurs naturally in fats | E10–P2 | Short term: P2 Long term: P45 | NPC proliferation (DG): R Cellularity (DG): R NPC proliferation (DG3): F Connectivity (DG, CA1, CA3): R L/M (Morris Water Maze): R | Garcia-Cerro et al., 2020 |
| S21 | T15 | Linolenic acid Polynsaturated fatty acid of the 13 series that occurs naturally in fats | E10–P2 | Short term: P2 Long term: P45 | NPC proliferation (DG): R Cellularity (DG): R NPC proliferation (DG3): F Connectivity (DG, CA1, CA3): PR L/M (Morris Water Maze): R | Garcia-Cerro et al., 2020 |

Summary of the main effects of embryonic treatment in Ts65Dn, Ts1Cje (labeled with § in the column “Treatment Window”), and Dp(16) (labeled with §§ in the column “Treatment Window”) mice. The 15 substances used for treatment (T) tested in prenatal studies (S1–S21) have been grouped as follows: T1–T6 are non-natural substances and T7–T15 are natural substances. The non-natural substances T5 and T6 are peptides of neurotrophic factors: NAP and SAL are fragments of the activity dependent neuroprotective protein (ADNP) and activity dependent neurotrophic factor (ADNF), respectively; P021 is a peptide of the ciliary neurotrophic factor. The reported studies examined the short-term and/or long-term effects of treatment at the ages indicated in the columns “Age at Testing”. One or more of the following variables were investigated: NPC proliferation, neurogenesis, cellularity, connectivity (i.e., density of pre- and postsynaptic terminals), dendritic arborization, spine density, long-term depression, and behavior. A few studies also examined molecular mechanisms. The effects of treatment are indicated as follows: R, Rescue; PR, Partial Rescue; and F, Failure. Abbreviations: ChAT, Choline acetyltransferase; CRB, cerebellum; CX, cortex; DG, dentate gyrus; E, embryonic; HYPO, hypothalamus; L/M, learning and memory; LTD, long-term depression; M, month; MES, mesencephalon; NPC, neural progenitor cells; P, postnatal; STR, striatum; S, study; SVZ, subventricular zone; T, treatment; and TH, thalamus.

Treatment Effects Beyond Neurogenesis

Some of the prenatal studies examined one or more of the following variables: cellularity, dendritic hypotrophy, spine density, and connectivity. Both natural and non-natural substances were effective. However, the long-term effects of natural substances (Table 1: S18–S21), unlike those of non-natural substances (Table 1: S1, S3), diminished or disappeared with time. Regarding the effect on behavior, save for two studies (S4 and S13), studies that examined learning and memory (L/M) report rescue or a partial rescue (Table 1: S1, S2, S6, S7, S9, S10, S14–S17, S19–S21). Interestingly, although the long-term beneficial effects of natural substances on neurogenesis fade with time, L/M is restored or improved in adulthood (Table 1: S19–S21).

Neonatal Studies Timing

Treatments reported in Table 2 covered the first two postnatal weeks, i.e., the period of maximum hippocampal neurogenesis in rodents. In mice, the dendritic spurt and appearance of dendritic spines takes place in the first two postnatal weeks (Figure 8). The first two postnatal weeks in mice, therefore, correspond with the third trimester of gestation in humans (Figure 2). This correspondence also holds for other neurodevelopmental aspects (Clancy et al., 2001). Thus, from a translational viewpoint,
### TABLE 2 | Treatments administered at neonatal life stages in the Ts65Dn model.

| S  | T | Treatment               | Action                                      | Treatment window | Age at testing | Effects                                                                 | References               |
|----|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| S1 | T1| SAG Sonic Hedgehog pathway agonist | P0 1 Injection                              | Short term: P6   | NPC proliferation (Cerebellum); R Cellularity (Cerebellum); R            | Roper et al., 2006       |
| S2 | T1| SAG Sonic Hedgehog pathway agonist | P0 1 Injection                              | Short term: P6   | NPC proliferation (DG); F Cellularity (Cerebellum); R                    | Das et al., 2013         |
| S3 | T1| SAG Sonic Hedgehog pathway agonist | P0 1 Injection                              | Long term: 4M    | Cerebellar size: R LTD (Cerebellum); F LTP (CA1); R L/M (Y Maze); F L/M (Morris Water Maze); R | Gutierrez-Castellanos et al., 2013 |
| S4 | T2| Fluoxetine Inhibitor of serotonin reuptake | P3–P15                                      | Short term: P15  | NPC proliferation (DG, SVZ); R Cellularity (DG); R BDNF; R               | Bianchi et al., 2010     |
| S5 | T2| Fluoxetine Inhibitor of serotonin reuptake | P3–P15                                      | Long term: P45   | Dendritic hypertrophy (DG); R Spine density (DG); R Connectivity (DG); R DSCAM; R | Guidi et al., 2013       |
| S6 | T2| Fluoxetine Inhibitor of serotonin reuptake | P3–P15                                      | Long term: P45   | Dendritic spines (CA3); R DG–> CA3 input; R EPSCs and IPSCs (CA3); R | Stagni et al., 2013      |
| S7 | T2| Fluoxetine Inhibitor of serotonin reuptake | P3–P15                                      | Long term: 2.5M  | NPC proliferation (DG, SVZ); R Cellularity (DG); R Neurogenesis (DG); R | Stagni et al., 2015b     |
| S8 | T3| ELND006 γ-secretase inhibitor | P3–P15                                      | Short term: P15  | NPC proliferation (DG, SVZ); R Cellularity (DG); R Connectivity (DG, CA3); R APP, PTCH1; pGSK3β; R | Giacomini et al., 2015   |
| S9 | T3| ELND006 γ-secretase inhibitor | P3–P15                                      | Long term: P45   | NPC proliferation (DG); R Cellularity (DG); R Connectivity (DG); F Connectivity (CA3); R EPSCs (CA3); R | Stagni et al., 2017b     |
| S10| T4| Cyclosporin A Calcineurin inhibitor | P3–P15                                      | Short term: P15  | NPC proliferation (DG, SVZ); R Cellularity (DG); R Spine density (DG); R p21; R | Stagni et al., 2019b     |
| S11| T5| Clenbuterol β2 adrenergic receptor agonist | P3–P15                                      | Short term: P15  | NPC proliferation (DG); R Cellularity (DG); PR Dendritic hypertrophy; R Spine density (DG); R | Emili et al., 2020       |
| S12| T6| Salmeterol β2 adrenergic receptor agonist | P3–P15                                      | Short term: P15  | NPC proliferation (DG); F Dendritic hypertrophy; R Spine density (DG); R | Emili et al., 2020       |

(Continued)
TABLE 2 | (Continued)

| S  | T   | Treatment Action | Treatment window | Age at testing | Effects | References |
|----|-----|------------------|------------------|---------------|---------|------------|
| S13| T7  | EGCG natural inhibitor and antioxidant | P3–P15 | Short term: P15 | NPC proliferation (DG, SVZ): R | Stagni et al., 2016 |
|    |     |                  |                  | Long term: P45 | Cellularity (DG): R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Connectivity (DG, CA1; CX): R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | p21, pGSK3β: R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | NPC proliferation (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Neurogenesis (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Cellularity (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Connectivity (DG, CA1; CX): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | pGSK3β: F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | L/M (Morris Water Maze): F |          |
| S14| T8  | 7,8-dihydroxyflavone BDNF mimetic and antioxidant | P3–P15 | Short term: P15 | NPC proliferation (DG): PR | Stagni et al., 2017a |
|    |     |                  | P3–P45 | Short term: P45 | Cellularity (DG): R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Spine density (DG): R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | BDNF: F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | pERK1/2: R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | L/M (Morris Water Maze): F |          |
| S15| T8  | 7,8-dihydroxyflavone BDNF mimetic and antioxidant | P3–P15 | Long term: P45 | Neurogenesis (DG): F | Giacomini et al., 2019 |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | L/M (Morris Water Maze): F |          |
| S16| T8  | 7,8-dihydroxyflavone BDNF mimetic and antioxidant | P3–P15 | Short term: P15 | Mitochondrial function: R | Valenti et al., 2021 |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | PGC-1α: R |          |
| S17| T9  | Curcumin Pleiotropic effects | P3–P15 | Short term: P15 | NPC proliferation (DG): F | Rueda et al., 2020b |
|    |     |                  |                  | Long term: 3M | Cellularity (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Connectivity (CA3): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | NPC proliferation (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Cellularity (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Connectivity (CA3): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | L/M (Morris Water Maze): F |          |
| S18| T10 | Oleic acid Monounsaturated fatty acid of the 9 series that occurs naturally in fats | P3–P15 | Short term: P15 | NPC proliferation (DG): F | Vidal et al., 2020 |
|    |     |                  |                  | Long term: 3M | Cellularity (DG): R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Connectivity (DG, CA1, CA3): R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | NPC proliferation (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Neurogenesis (DG): R |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Cellularity (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Connectivity (DG, CA1, CA3): PR |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | L/M (Morris Water Maze): R |          |
| S19| T11 | Linolenic acid Polyunsaturated fatty acid of the 3 series that occurs naturally in fats | P3–P15 | Short term: P15 | NPC proliferation (DG): F | Vidal et al., 2020 |
|    |     |                  |                  | Long term: 3M | Cellularity (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Connectivity (DG, CA1, CA3): PR |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | NPC proliferation (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Neurogenesis (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Cellularity (DG): F |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | Connectivity (DG, CA1, CA3): PR |          |
|    |     |                  |                  |               | L/M (Morris Water Maze): PR |          |

Summary of the main effects of neonatal treatment in Ts65Dn mice. There are no similar studies for other DS models. The 11 substances used for treatment (T) tested in neonatal studies (S1–S19) have been grouped as follows: T1–T6 are non-natural substances and T7–T11 are natural substances. The non-natural substances T4, T5, and T6 are drugs: cyclosporine (T4) is used as an immunosuppressant and clenbuterol (T5) and salmeterol (T6) are used for the treatment of asthma. The reported studies examined the short-term and/or long-term effects of treatment at the ages indicated in the columns “Age at Testing.” P0 corresponds to the day of birth. One or more of the following variables were investigated: NPC proliferation, neurogenesis, cellularity, connectivity (i.e., density of pre- and postsynaptic terminals), dendritic arborization, spine density, long-term potentiation, long-term depression, and behavior. A few studies also examined molecular mechanisms. The effects of treatment are indicated as follows: R, Rescue; PR, Partial Rescue; and F, Failure. Abbreviations: CX, cortex; DG, dentate gyrus; EGCG, epigallocatechin-3-gallate; EPSCs, excitatory postsynaptic currents; IPSCs, inhibitory postsynaptic current; L/M, learning and memory; LTD, long-term depression; LTP, long-term potentiation; M, month; NPC, neural progenitor cells; P, postnatal; and SVZ, subventricular zone.

the effect of neonatal treatment in mice may partially mimic treatments during late gestation in humans.

**Type of Treatment and Short- and Long-Term Effect on Neural Progenitor Cell Proliferation/Neurogenesis**

Six out of the 11 molecules reported in Table 2 are of non-natural origin (T1–T3) or are drugs (T4–T6), and 5 are natural substances (T7–T11). Fifteen out of the reported studies (19) examined hippocampal NPC proliferation and/or neurogenesis (S1, S2, S4, S7–S15, and S17–S19). A comparison of these studies shows that 5 out of 6 non-natural substances (T1–T5) and 2 out of six natural substances (T7 ad T8) rescued hippocampal proliferation/neurogenesis. Save for oleic acid (S18), natural substances did not have a long-term effect (S13, S15, S17, and...
Many of the studies in Table 2 examined long-term effects with non-natural substances found a long-term benefit on NPC proliferation/neurogenesis (S4, S7, and S9).

TREATMENT EFFECTS BEYOND NEUROGENESIS

Many of the studies in Table 2 examined cellularity, dendritic hypotrophy, spine density, and connectivity. Both non-natural (S1–S12) and natural (S13, S14, and S17–S19) substances rescue or partially rescue these defects. However, while non-natural substances exert long-term effects (S2–S7, S9), the effects of natural substances are not retained (S13, S15, and S17) or are attenuated (S18, S19). Regarding learning and memory (L/M), both non-natural (S2, S4, and S7) and natural (S14, S18, and S19) substances may exert a positive effect, although some natural substances do not elicit any behavioral improvement (S13, S17).

LESSON LEARNED FROM EARLY TREATMENTS IN MOUSE MODELS

The studies in DS models reported in Tables 1, 2 unequivocally show that prenatal or postnatal treatment can restore neurogenesis, cellularity, connectivity, dendritogenesis, and behavior, indicating that the major DS-linked brain defects can be pharmacologically improved. Treatment with both natural and non-natural substances was effective, although the benefit of natural substances tended to fade away with time, especially in the case of postnatal treatment. Some treatments (fluoxetine, 7,8-DHF, curcumin, oleic acid, and linolenic acid) were tested in the prenatal (Table 1: S1, S18–S21) and neonatal (Table 2: S4–S7, S14–S19) period, allowing comparison of the same therapy during different time windows. (i) Fluoxetine emerges as the only treatment exerting equally powerful effects both prenatally and postnatally; (ii) Prenatal treatment with 7,8-DHF, curcumin, oleic acid, and linolenic acid leaves a larger trace in the brain in comparison with postnatal treatment; and (iii) oleic acid (Table 1: S20) and 7,8-DHF (Table 1: S18) result more effective than curcumin and linolenic acid. The larger efficacy of embryonic treatment is not unexpected, considering that trisomy-linked brain defects start during prenatal life stages. Thus, a fetal therapy (with the right treatment) may be much more beneficial for DS than postnatal therapy.

Considering the heterogeneity of treatment employed in mouse models, it is somewhat surprising that such a variety of agents has a similar outcome. Tables 1, 2 show that both natural (Table 1: S17 and Table 2: S13, S14) and non-natural (Table 1: S1, S7 and Table 2: S7–S10) substances restored molecular mechanisms involved in neurogenesis alterations in DS (e.g., p21 levels or GSK3β phosphorylation), indicating, significantly, that it is possible to bypass pharmacologically triplicated genes and that this action may be achieved with a variety of agents.

An obvious question regard which of the treatments attempted in mice may now be reasonably proposed for humans (this issue is also discussed below). Since DYRK1A appears to be a key determinant of neurogenesis alterations, it may represent a suitable therapeutic target. Embryonic treatment with a DYRK1A inhibitor (Table 1: S2) restored the thickness of neurogenic niches and restored/improved L/M, although it did not restore DG neurogenesis in the Ts1Cje model. This treatment, however, restored proliferation of NPCs derived from individuals with DS (Nakano-Kobayashi et al., 2017). Postnatal treatment with EGCG, which is a DYRK1A inhibitor (and antioxidant) restores NPC proliferation and connectivity, but its effects are completely extinguished 1 month later (Table 2: S13). This suggests the necessity to screen additional DYRK1A inhibitors in preclinical studies. Among the non-natural substances, fluoxetine resulted the most potent in terms of scope and duration of its effects. Its use in pregnancy, however, may raise concern due to potential side effects (discussed in Stagni et al., 2015a), although, in view of its pediatric use, it may be proposed as treatment during postnatal time windows. Importantly, various natural substances proved effective (in particular oleic acid and 7,8-DHF) which, in view of their safe profile, makes them ideal candidates for prenatal treatment. Whatever the choice, the preclinical evidence provides strong support to the idea that treatments for the improvement of neurogenesis (and other defects) in DS are feasible.

LONG-TERM PERSPECTIVES FOR TREATMENT

Neither prenatal nor postnatal treatments are currently available. However, thanks to the enormous progress in deciphering the molecular mechanisms of neurogenesis alterations in DS and knowledge that neurogenesis can be pharmacologically improved in DS models, the path leading to fetal (and neonatal) therapy is beginning to be better delineated and perspectives for treatment are becoming progressively more realistic. Which are the steps that should guide future actions?

THE SCENARIO: THE TIMING OF TREATMENT IS WELL-DILENEATED

It is now clear that in DS fetuses neurogenesis defects are already present at GW17 and very likely begin earlier. Defects in dendritogenesis appear in infancy and defects in myelination begin prenatally but become more prominent in adolescence. This knowledge provides windows of opportunity within which to counteract each of these defects. Interventions targeting cortical neurogenesis should be performed before the end of the second trimester. Interventions during late gestation might change cerebellar and hippocampal neurogenesis only. Interventions in adulthood might also modify hippocampal neurogenesis, although the small size of the postnatally proliferating population cannot radically change hippocampal connectivity. Treatment during late gestation and infancy/adolescence may be used to improve dendritogenesis and myelination (Figure 9). This scenario provides a rational basis for the timing of specific interventions. Considering that deficits in neuron number are most likely a leading cause of ID in DS, prenatal treatment counteracting neurogenesis impairment are likely to have a very large impact on ID. Therefore, we will focus here on the problems posed by prenatal interventions only.

The pressing questions now are: (1) what kind of treatment? (2) which steps could promptly lead from “bench to bedside”?
The Big Challenge for the Future: What Kind of Therapy?

The studies in DS mouse models summarized above show that various treatments are effective in improving or restoring prenatal neurogenesis. The question now is: what is the rational and ethical basis for choosing a treatment to be administered to mothers who are expecting a baby with DS? There are two options: treatments targeting triplicated genes that are known to disrupt neurogenesis (targeted treatments) or “generic” treatments with molecules that foster neurogenesis in the normal (or diseased) brain (untargeted treatments).

Targeted Treatments: The Pros and Cons

Since different triplicated genes concur to impair neurogenesis, which is/are the best candidate/s? To answer this question, we need to (i) examine the scope of their effects; (ii) establish whether there are drugs that counteract their activity; and (iii) have a clear picture of their temporal expression profile in the fetal DS brain. Based on the extent of their effects, DYRK1A and APP result as the best candidates because their overexpression reduces proliferation and neurogenesis, and increases gliogenesis, through a variety of mechanisms. RCAN1 also plays a role in neurogenesis impairment in DS, although less multifaceted.

While there is no specific drug targeting RCAN1, drugs are available that target DYRK1A and APP. Regarding DYRK1A, numerous inhibitors of its kinase activity are at hand (Nguyen et al., 2017; Atas-Ozcan et al., 2021), and a natural inhibitor of DYRK1A, EGCG, has been used in a pilot study in young adults with DS (De la Torre et al., 2014). Regarding APP, its small derivative AICD is the material effector of neurogenesis disruption, suggesting that drugs preventing AICD formation may be a useful strategy. Indeed, treatment with a γ-secretase inhibitor, that reduces the cleavage of β-CTF and, consequently, AICD production, fully restores hippocampal neurogenesis in Ts65Dn mice (Giacomini et al., 2015). However, the fact cannot be ignored that inhibition of β-CTF cleavage results in its accumulation which may cause endosome abnormalities and foster development of AD (Kim et al., 2016). Luckily, recent evidence in adult Ts65Dn mice shows that it is possible to reduce the level of APP itself (and, thus, of its derivative) through administration of posiphen, a translational inhibitor of APP, with no adverse effects (Chen et al., 2021). Importantly, posiphen fosters hippocampal neurogenesis and stimulates dendritic arborization in a model of AD (Lilja et al., 2013), indicating that reduction of APP levels restores two typical defects of DS. Thus, the possibility of preventing APP synthesis also makes it worth considering APP-targeted therapy. An ongoing
clinical trial is testing the safety of posiphen in subjects with early AD1. Regarding the expression profile of \textit{DYRK1A} and \textit{APP} in the fetal DS brain, relatively scarce and sparse data are available (see section “Genes Responsible for Neurogenesis Impairment in Down Syndrome”). Knowledge of the timeline of \textit{DYRK1A} and \textit{APP} overexpression is mandatory to time fetal treatment correctly. These gaps still remain. The importance of a clear picture of the temporal expression of candidate genes is exemplified by the contradictory results obtained in Ts65Dn mice following postnatal treatment with EGCG at different time points. While neonatal treatment restored hippocampal neurogenesis (Stagni et al., 2016), slightly later treatments were of no benefit (Stringer et al., 2015, 2017), very likely due to changes in \textit{DYRK1A} expression. At this point there is an additional issue to be considered. Fetal therapies imply that treatment must be administered to the mother. Thus, the question arises: do treatments targeting a triplicated gene (such as \textit{DYRK1A} or \textit{APP}) pose safety concerns for the expectant mother who, unlike the fetus, has two copies of it? The possibility that reduction of \textit{DYRK1A} activity or \textit{APP} levels may destabilize the neurochemistry of the mother cannot be ignored. To overcome this hurdle, we need specific preclinical studies in mouse models devoted to thorough investigations on the immediate and long-term effects of treatment on the dam. The caveats outlined above pose hurdles that cannot be readily overcome and whose removal will require time and intense effort.

\textbf{Untargeted Treatments: The Pros and Cons}

Although treatments targeting triplicated genes are, theoretically, the best choice, their application for human use during pregnancy may require years. Treatment employing a different strategy may result in a more prompt, feasible, and safe application. Natural compounds inducing neurogenesis and neuronal differentiation are presently attracting extensive attention (see An et al., 2021). These include polyphenols, flavonoids, glucosides, etc. Natural compounds can regulate the expression of (i) proteins involved in NPC proliferation and neurogenesis, such as \textit{STAT3}, \textit{HES1}, \textit{NEUROD1}, \textit{NOTCH}, and cyclin D1, (ii) transcription factors such as \textit{NGN1}, and (iii) signaling pathways such as \textit{JAK/STAT}, \textit{GSK-3β}, and \textit{β-catenin}, to mention only some of their effects. Natural compounds have been proven to restore neurogenesis (and other DS defects) in DS mouse models (see Tables 1, 2). Among these, apigenin which is a natural flavone, has been shown to ameliorate deranged signaling pathways, (e.g., \textit{STAT} and \textit{interferon signaling}) and to induce overexpression of genes associated with G2/M cell-cycle transition in trisomic amniocytes (Guedj et al., 2020). Moreover, in Ts1Cje mice prenatally treated with apigenin, the expression of genes that negatively affect neurogenesis, such as \textit{Dscam} is partially corrected and the expression of genes implicated in neural stem cell proliferation, such as \textit{Nestin}, \textit{Sox2}, and \textit{Pax6}, and of proneural genes, such as \textit{Neurog1} and \textit{Neurog2}, is significantly upregulated (Guedj et al., 2020). Moreover, luteolin, the major metabolite of apigenin, promotes hippocampal neurogenesis and increases the expression of \textit{Nestin} in adult Ts65Dn mice (Zhou et al., 2019).

Neonatal treatment with the natural flavonoid 7,8-DHF activates Erk1/2 signaling (Stagni et al., 2021), which regulates cyclin D1 transcriptional induction (Chambard et al., 2007). Both apigenin and 7,8-DHF, as well as the other natural substances used in DS models (Tables 1, 2), have no known toxicities suggesting safe use in pregnancy. Although the effects of natural substances tend to disappear with time, in view of their safe profile protocols of periodic treatments may be envisaged for the maintenance of their effects. Attributable to natural substances may be less powerful in comparison with targeted therapies, even a small improvement of ID might be considered a success. Therefore, we believe that the use of natural compounds for prenatal treatment in DS is an avenue that should be intensely explored because it may lead to a quicker transfer from preclinical evidence to clinical trials.

\textbf{Cerebral Organoids: A Key Platform for Treatment Selection}

Although studies in animal models represent a fundamental step for development of therapies for human diseases, adding the use of screens in human cells would provide a more robust starting platform, especially in the case of prenatal therapies. Cerebral organoids derived from hiPSCs represent the best possible approximation of a whole brain and may represent a powerful tool for studying the biology of DS (and other neurological disorders) and potential treatments (Tambalo and Lodato, 2020; Samarasinghe et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2021). The recent demonstration that it is possible to create hiPSC-derived organoids that closely reproduce dorsal forebrain identity2, suggests the possibility to exploit brain organoids to approximate prenatal and early postnatal development and test the efficacy and safety of drugs at these developmental stages. Such an approach may represent an invaluable tool for the selection of treatments for DS and the design of clinical trials during the delicate periods of pregnancy and in infancy.

\textbf{Ethical Considerations}

The sensitivity and accuracy of NIPT consents timely identification of DS, thereby enabling expectant mothers to decide whether to interrupt pregnancy. They could be guided in this morally difficult decision by knowledge that treatment options exist that may mitigate the consequences of Chr21 triplication. This and other ethical issues have been examined in a recent publication to which the reader is referred (de Wert et al., 2017). We will limit ourselves here to the following consideration: let us take the case of a mother who decides not to have an abortion. Knowledge that a fetal drug therapy is feasible and awareness that omission of treatment may deprive her baby of the opportunity for a better life are likely to create a moral dilemma. Natural substances have been traditionally used by mankind for their beneficial effects in a variety of illnesses and absence of side effects (at proper doses). Given that different treatment options are available, the possibility to choose treatment with natural substances might greatly facilitate the decision regarding “treatment or no treatment” and

\footnote{1https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02925650}

\footnote{2https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.28.482350v1}
direct the choice toward treatment, with possible benefits for the unborn child.

**CONCLUSION**

The high prevalence of DS, the increase in longevity of people with DS and the associated risk of AD are increasing the burden of this disease on families and society. The already available and compelling demonstration that it is possible to rescue neurogenesis in mouse models of DS opens the possibility to achieve similar benefits in humans. Although the long-term perspectives for treatment delineated above contain various obstacles, we believe that these obstacles are not insurmountable provided that investment in terms of economical and human resources are undertaken. We hope that the large body of evidence showing that the neurodevelopmental defects of DS are amenable to treatment will draw the attention of institutions and stakeholders, thereby fostering the creation of a world-wide consortium devoted to the prevention of ID in DS.
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