The Stebnyk Formation (Miocene) in the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes of the Ukrainian Carpathians: a record of environmental change in the Carpathian Foredeep
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The late Early–Middle Miocene Stebnyk Formation is a ~600–2000 m thick unit of the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes of the Carpathian Foredeep incorporated within the marginal part of the Outer Eastern Carpathian accretionary wedge. In the valley of the Prut River, between Deliatyn and Lanchyn, the Stebnyk Formation overlies the alluvial fan deposits of the Sloboda Conglomerate and the deltaic deposits of the Dobrotiv Formation in the south, and the lagoonal salty clays of the Vorotyshcha Formation in the north. The Stebnyk Formation is built of mainly rose, greenish and grey calcareous mudstones intercalated with several variable sandstone beds, including thick-bedded packages. The occurrence of tetrapod footprints and raindrop imprints, as well as the overall red-bed character reflect prevailing continental conditions during deposition of the formation, which is interpreted as sediments of a delta plain with distributary channels infilled with thick sandstone beds and associated with intercalations of thinner beds referred to channel levees and crevasse splays. The upper part of the Stebnyk Formation contains marine microfossils of the NN4 Zone, and locally of the NN5 Zone, corresponding to the Early Badenian transgression in the region. On a regional scale, the Stebnyk Formation shows a polarity of facies, with a large contribution of conglomerates and thick-bedded sandstones in the lower part in the north-west and fining to the south-east, with transport from the west and north-west. The sediments accumulated in an elongated subsiding zone between the rising Carpathian orogen and the forebulge elevation of the foreland, in warm and semi-dry climatic conditions corresponding roughly to the Middle Miocene Climatic Optimum. The accumulation was balanced by a subsidence caused by sinking of the platform slab and by sedimentary loading.
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INTRODUCTION

The Stebnyk Formation (Early–Middle Miocene) is a characteristic lithostratigraphic unit of the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes of the Outer Eastern Carpathians in Ukraine. According to Tołwiński (1950), the Stebnyk Formation, between Przemyśl (Poland) and Kolomyia in Ukraine (ca. 200 km) occurs in a belt up to 20 km wide; it became abruptly narrower to the south-east, down to ~250 m at the Rybnytsia Valley near Kosiv. Its deposits, dominated by variegated marly mudstones intercalated with sandstones, with significant contributions of conglomerates in the west, typically as red beds, and showing evidence of marine influence in its upper part, record a significant change in depositional palaeoenvironment during the final stage of development of the Inner Carpathian Foredeep. However, its depositional palaeoenvironment has never been subject to closer analysis.

The aim of this paper is: 1) to describe facies features of the Stebnyk Formation in the valley of the Prut River, between Lanchyn and Deliatyn, as well as in the western bank of the Bystriftsia Nadvirnianska River near Nadvirna (Fig. 1), and 2) to interpret the palaeoenvironmental conditions of this formation in the context of the foredeep basin evolution and with reference to previous publications on the underlying deposits (Oszczypko et al., 2012, 2014). The best exposures, characterized by good continuity and covering altogether the whole formation, have been selected for these studies. Furthermore, a review of research into the Stebnyk Formations is compiled, because of its long and complex history of study and dispersal in the literature.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Along the marginal part of the Ukrainian Carpathians, a wide zone of folded Miocene deposits belongs to the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes (Fig. 1B, C). The Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe, known also as the Marginal Fold Unit or the...
Fig. 1. Location maps

A – position of the study area in the Alpine-Carpathian system (after Picha, 1996, modified by Oszczypko et al., 2006); B – tectonic map of the Ukrainian Carpathians (after Slązka et al., 2006, simplified); CF – Carpathian Foredeep; CZ – Chornohora Nappe, MA – Magura Nappe, MK – Marmarosh Klippen Zone, MR – Marmarosh Massif, PK – Pieniny Klippen Belt, RA – Rakhiv Nappe, TC – Trans-Carpathian Depression, WH – Vihorlat-Gutin Volcanic Massif; C – geological map of Deliatyn-Lanchyn-Nadvirna area (after Matskiv et al., 2009, simplified), showing location of section D and the study area; D – geological sketch-map along the Prut River showing location of the cross-sections 1–2, 3–4, and sections A–E (the map partly after Bujalski, 1938)
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Boryslav-Pokuttya Folds, is exposed in a narrow (up to 20 km) belt located between the Skyba (Skole) and Sambir nappes. This nappe is traced from Romania in the SE up to the Polish border in the NW. It is built of a complex set of superimposed thrust sheets (Koltun et al., 2005). The Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe, composed of flysch and molasse deposits, descends beneath the frontal Carpathian overthrust of the Sambir Nappe, which comprises exclusively molasse deposits. Strata of the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes represent the deformed part of the inner Carpathian Foredeep, which is thrust over the Middle Miocene (Badenian and Sarmatian) autochthonous deposits of the Bilche-Volytsia Zone resting directly on the foreland platform basement (Burov et al., 1978; Smirnov et al., 2000).

BORYSŁAW-POKUTTYA NAPPE

This nappe is subdivided into the Deliatyn and Runhury Sloboda sub-nappes (Kulchytsky et al., 1997; Matskiv et al., 2009). The Deliatyn Sub-nappe is composed of Cretaceous-Lower Miocene flysch, which is similar to that of the Skyba Nappe. The youngest Lower Miocene flysch succession belongs to the Polanytsia Formation, which is overlain by the Vorotyshcha Formation (NN3–NN4 Zone; Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008a). The Vorotyshcha Formation, up to 700 m thick, composed of grey mudstones-siltstones intercalated with coarse-grained sandstones and conglomerates, contains large blocks of impure halite and anhydrite (Tolwinski, 1950; Gurzhyi, 1969; Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008a). Locally, the middle and upper parts of the Vorotyshcha Formation are replaced by the Sloboda Conglomerate and Dobrotiv Formation (Oszczypko et al., 2012, 2014 and references therein; Fig. 2).

The Deliatyn Sub-nappe, overthrust upon the Runhury Sloboda Sub-nappe, is built mainly of deposits of the Sloboda Conglomerate (Oszczypko et al., 2012), Dobrotiv Formation (Oszczypko et al., 2014) and the Stebnyk Formation (this paper). Several boreholes showed that the Sloboda Conglomerate is underlain by the Vorotyshcha Formation, which overlies flysch deposits of the upper part of the Menilite and Polanytsia formations, represented mainly by olistoliths, olistostromes, and olistoplaques (Kolody et al., 2004).

In the southern limb of the Runhury Sloboda Anticline, the Sloboda Conglomerate is underlain by the Menilite Formation (Oligocene), and in its northern limb by salt clays of the Vorotyshcha Formation (Towirski, 1950). The Sloboda Conglomerate contains exotic blocks, boulders and cobbles of Upper Proterozoic—Lower Paleozoic green phyllite, black schist, dolomite, Jurassic white limestone and rare, flysch-derived olistoliths and olistostromes (Fedushchak, 1962; Oszczypko et al., 2012). The thickness of the Sloboda Conglomerate increases from 450–500 m in the Nadvrina area up to 1400 m at Runhury Sloboda. The Sloboda Conglomerate passes into the mudstones, siltstones and sandstones of the ?Ottnangian Dobrotiv Formation, which is up to 800 m thick. This formation is followed by the Stebnyk Formation (Karpatian—Lower Badenian; see Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 1995, 1997, 2008a, b). On the northern limb of the Runhury Sloboda Anticline, at Jabloniv, gypsum-bearing beds up to 100 m thick are sandwiched between the Sloboda and Dobrotiv formations (Towirski, 1950).

A fundamentally different stratigraphic scheme of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe was proposed by Smirnov (2003). In his interpretation, the Boryslav-Pokuttya succession begins with the upper part of the Menilite Formation (Eggerian), which is followed by the Polanytsia Formation (Eggenburgian) with the Rushor Conglomerate at its base, and is terminated by the Vorotyshcha Formation (Ottnangian) with the Truskavets Conglomerate at its base. In this interpretation, the Miocene deposits of the Namir Nappe begin with the Sloboda Conglomerate (Eggerian), which is followed by the Dobrotiv Formation...
(Eggenburgian/Ottnangian). These deposits pass upwards into the undivided Kalush succession, which is up to 2000 m thick (Ottnangian–Middle Badenian). Its lower part is composed of the Stebnyk facies (rose and variegated, marly mudstones and sandstones) followed by grey and "blue deposits" of the Balych facies. This succession is terminated by the Kalush salts and gypsum deposits.

**SAMBIR NAPPE**

The Sambir Nappe, up to 24 km wide and composed of several thrust-sheets (Fig. 1), is correlated with the Sub-Carpathian Unit in Romania and the Stebnyk Unit in SE Poland (Oszczypko et al., 2008, 2008a). This unit is thrust over the Badenian-Sarmatian deposits of the outer Carpathian Foredeep (Kolody et al., 2004). The Sambir Nappe succession is composed mainly of a thick succession of the 'lower' Miocene molasse of the Stebnyk and Balych formations. The lithostratigraphy of its basal part is still under discussion. Several authors (Koltun et al., 2005; Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008b and references therein) regard the Vorotyshcha Formation as the oldest division of the Sambir Nappe succession. This opinion is supported by data from boreholes, e.g. Hvizd 1 near Nadirvna, and Urych 6 (NE of the Boryslav), where the Vorotyshcha Formation was penetrated (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 1997, 2008a). Coarse clastic deposits of the Sloboda Conglomerate and the mudstone-sandstone Dobrotiv Formation occur in this unit in a residual form. In the Prut Valley, the last large exposures of the Sloboda Conglomerate and Dobrotiv Formation are present between the Oslava and the Chorna rivers, which are the right-side tributaries of the Prut River. An exposure of the latest formation several tens of metres long is also known from the Prut River banks close to the Lanchyn bridge (Figs. 1 and 3A, see also Bujalski, 1934; Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008a; Oszczypko et al., 2012, 2014).

The Dobrotiv Formation is followed by variegated marls, mudstones and sandstones of the Stebnyk Formation (Karpatski–Middle Badenian; Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008a).

**Fig. 3. Views of exposures of the Stebnyk Formation**

A – exposures along the Prut River between Dobrotiv and Lanchyn (section B); view down the river; B – large exposure along the left bank of the Bystrytsia Nadvirnianska River at Nadirvna (section D); inverted beds in an antiformal syncline; measured section E indicated by a series of bars.
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Stebnyk Formation. Different thicknesses of the formation are reported in the literature. 1000–1500 m (Tokwirski, 1927), 600–2000 m (Gurzhyi, 1969), 500–1000 m (Hyryko, 2004), up to 1200 m in SE Poland (Ney et al., 1974), or 800–1200 m in the Borysław-Pokuttya Nappe and 500–1000 m in the Sambir Nappe (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011).

In the study area, Cizancourt (1925) distinguished three facies types in the Stebnyk Formation (his "red shales"):
- the Strutyń type: brick-coloured or chocolate-red marls, rich in mica, with intercalations of grey-greenish sandstones and "traces" of gypsum;
- the Nadvírna type: micaceous, vine-red shales intercalated with cross-bedded, micaceous sandstones, which are 10–50 cm thick;
- the Petranka type: 20–100 cm thick beds of sandy, micaceous, red-greenish or rose marls, with common greenish spots, gypsum crystals, locally with intercalations of cross-bedded sandstones.

Vialov (1965) and Gurzhyi (1969) noticed a lateral facies change in the Stebnyk Formation more widely, with a significant contribution of conglomerates (Vyna River facies, according to Vialov, 1965) and thick-bedded sandstones in the north-west, which became thinner and rarer toward the south-east, and with a transition to more clayey facies with more frequent occurrences of gypsum, with salt lenses (e.g., the potash salts at Kalush), and finally with the salty shale "blue (Lanchyn) facies" further in this direction, similar to the salt-bearing Vorotyschcha Formation. Zuber (1883) had reported that the red shales are partly replaced by grey green shales to the south-east. Vialov (1965) and Gurzhyi (1969) considered the Dubnik Conglomerate in the Stebnik Unit in SE Poland as the lowest part of the Stebnyk Formation. Conglomerates are known from the lower part of the Stebnyk Formation from boreholes south of Przemysł in SW Poland (Głowacki et al., 1966). In the boreholes Nyżhankovychi-1 and Chyzhky-1 (both very close to the Polish border), a thick conglomerate (255 m and 550–600 m, respectively) occurs at the base of the Stebnyk Formation, with thinner packages of conglomerates higher in the formation (Gurzhyi, 1969; Burov et al., 1976; Khadykin and Shakin, 1976). The conglomerates contain material both from the platform (mainly phylites) and from the flysch of the Carpathians. The facies changes are related to changes in palaeomorphology (Vialov, 1965). These views must be corrected according to newer stratigraphic researches (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2003, 2008a, 2011), which shows that the potash salts at Kalush are distinctly younger (Early Badenian, NN4 Zone; Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 1997) and locally to the Middle Badenian (NN5 Zone), suggesting a diachronous boundary with the overlying Balych Formation (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008a). Lateral transition between these formations had been suggested already by Bujalski (1930) who placed the Balych Formation within the upper part of the Stebnyk Formation. Fragments of red shales (see also Głowacki et al., 1966; Łuczowska in Ney, 1968) and nonplankton of the NN4 Zone have also been found in the upper part of the Stebnyk Formation in the Stebnik Unit in SE Poland (Garecka and Otłoszewski, 1997). In the middle part of the formation, algae (Characea) and ostracods (Loxoconcha dromax Liventzi) occur; sponge spicules, radiolaria or echinoid spines, which can be found in some horizons, are probably redeposited (Vialov, 1965; Głowacki et al., 1966). In some borehole, Gurzhyi (1969) reported a few centimetre-thick limestone intercalations, which contain fragments of foraminifers, radiolaria, crinoids, and sponge spicules.

Several authors reported sedimentary structures and other sedimentary features in the Stebnyk Formation, which were summarized by Vialov (1965), Gurzhyi (1969), and Kompanets (1986). They include cross-bedding (noticed already by Cizancourt, 1925), the lenticular character of some sandstone beds (1.5–2.0 m long, 15–20 cm thick; Vialov, 1965), amalgamation of beds, the presence of ripple marks, mud-cracks, raindrop imprints, sand dyes and concretions (small calcareous concretions of septarian type – see Tokwirski, 1927; calcareous concretions up to 0.5 m across – see Gurzhyi, 1969). Khrushchov and Kompanets (1988) distinguished four different facies referred to the Stebnyk Formation and referred them to different deltaic and marine environments.

The Stebnyk Formation is known from vertebrate trace fossils summarized in Vialov (1966 and references therein) and Kulchytsky and Kulchytsky (1980). Hizhnianok (1964) recognized two artiodactyl mammal footprints ascribed to the Ovinae and Susidae at Nadvírna. Vialov (1966) determined one of them as Pecoripeda (Ovipeda) djali Vialov. Vialov (1965) noticed footprints and trackways of artiodactyl mammals and footprints of large birds in the lower part of the Stebnyk Formation in the Prut Valley section, and in the underlying Dobrotov Formation. Vialov (1965, 1966) also reported Skolithos, interpreted as a shallow marine trace (Vialov, 1965). Among bird footprints, Vialov (1960, 1966) determined Avipeda phoenix Vialov, Avipeda sirin Vialov (both also in
Kulchytsky and Kulchytsky, 1980), and Avipeda filioparrotis Vialov. Mammal footprints include Pecoripeda (Ovipeda) djali Vialov, Pecoripeda sp. 1 (Vialov, 1966), forms similar to Bestiopeda sanguinottia Vialov, Pecoripeda (Gazellipeda) gazella Vialov (Kulchytsky and Kulchytsky, 1980). The latest cited authors described also a fragment of jaw of a mammal belonging to the Bovidae and determined it as Hippidea parva Kulchytsky. Also a fragment of jaw of Hypsodontus (Bovidae) was found in the lower part of the Stebnyk Formation at Yabloniv (Kulchitsky and Smirnov, 1996).

The litho- and biostratigraphy of the Stebnyk Formation in the Prut Valley (Dobrotiv, Lanchyn) has been studied by Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al. (2008a, b, 2011), who recognized calcareous nannoplankton zone NN4 (Karpatian) in the Boryslav succession and NN4 (Karpian) and NN5 (Early Badenian) zones in the Dobrotiv section (Sambir succession), in the lower and upper parts of the formation, respectively (Fig. 2). These authors reported intercalations of thick-bedded sandstones (1.5–2.0 m) with large-scale trough cross-bedding in the lower part of the Stebnyk Formation, which shows palaeotransport from the WNW (290–300°). Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al. (2011), based on calcareous nannoplankton and pelagic foraminifers, introduced a new Neogene stratigraphic scheme for the Ukrainian Carpathians and its foredeep. In this interpretation, the boundaries of almost all formations were recognized as diachronous. This interpretation was followed by Kulyanda and Hnylko (2012) who studied foraminifers from the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir successions near Dobromyl, close to the Ukrainian/Polish boundary. In their opinion, the youngest part of the Vorotyshcha Formation belongs to the Karpatian, while the boundaries between the Vorotyshcha and Stebnyk formations are diachronous.

Tołwiński (1950) reported salt diapirs crossing the Stebnyk Formation, accompanied by salt brine springs, e.g., at Lanchyn. Between Dobrotiv and Lanchyn (see also Zuber, 1888; Tołwiński, 1927; Bujalski, 1936), Świderski (1925) noticed that the “salt clays” come out from under “red shales and sandstones”.

According to Tołwiński (1950), some salt brine springs in the area may also point to a shallow occurrence of salt clays of the Vorotyshcha Formation. Hnylko (2001, 2012, 2014; see also Vashchenko and Hnylko, 2003) distinguished the Lanchyn Olistostrome surrounded by the Stebnyk Formation. According to this author, the Middle Miocene Lanchyn Olistostrome belongs to the Balych Formation, developed at the front of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe. Earlier, from the same locality (a section close to the cable bridge at Dobrotiv), the salt-bearing deposits have been described as the Vorotyshcha Olistostrome included in the upper part of the Stebnyk Formation (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008a).

SECTIONS STUDIED

The studied sections A–C, E of the Stebnyk Formation are located in the Prut Valley (Fig. 1D), between the villages of Dobrotiv and Lanchyn, where almost continuous exposures are present over a distance of several kilometres along the river banks (Fig. 3A). These exposures display folded Miocene deposits of the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes (see Oszczypko et al., 2012, 2014). However, the boundary between the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes is unclear, because it is marked inside of the Stebnyk Formation in the northern limb of the Runhury Sloboda Anticline, ca. 1 km below inflow of the Oslava River (Fig. 1C; see geological maps by Jankowski et al., 2007; Matskiv et al., 2009; Hnylko, 2012) without any clear evidence. Additionally, the Stebnyk Formation was studied in the Nadvira area in the section D (Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe; Fig. 3B). According to Hnylko (2012, 2014), the Lanchyn Olistostrome is located between
Fig. 5. Sedimentary log of the lower part of the Stebnyk Formation along the Prut River (section A) and a detailed section of its upper part.
the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes. In the Prut River valley, the Stebnyk Formation fills the Dobrotiv Syncline, which is about 3 km across, located between the Runhur Sloboda Anticline of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe to the south and the Lanchyn Anticline of the Sambir Nappe to the north. This syncline is clearly asymmetrical and secondarily folded (Fig. 4). On the northern limb of the anticline, the Stebnyk Formation crops out in a ca. 900 m wide belt (section A). Sedimentary logs of the sections are shown in Figures 5 and 6, and their facies features in Figures 7–10.
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Fig. 7. Facies of the Stebnyk Formation

A – amalgamated thick sandstone beds (channel facies) intercalated with packages of thin sandstone beds and mudstones (levee and crevasse splay facies), section A, the measurement stick is 1 m long; B – a package of thin sandstone beds and intercalated mudstones (levee and crevasse splay facies) between thick sandstone beds (channel facies), inverted position, hummocky surface of the bed in the middle (h), section A; C – large-scale cross-bedding in a thick sandstone bed (channel facies), inverted position, section D; D – parallel-laminated thick sandstone bed, section C; E – pinching out, thick, cross-bedded sandstone above variegated mudstones and siltstones, section E; F – thin-bedded sandstones (levee and crevasse facies) intercalated with red, grey and dark grey mudstones, section E

SECTION A

This section begins at the sedimentary boundary between the Dobrotiv and Stebnyk formations (N48°32′26.4″; E24°43′09.4″) (Oszczypko et al., 2014: fig. 4) and ends on the southern boundary fault of the “Lanchyn Olistostrome” beneath the cable bridge at Dobrotiv (N48°32′38.6″; E24°43′51.6″). In the lower part of this formation (Fig. 4), at a distance of ~500 m, beds dip to NE under an angle of ~45°, while in the upper part, at a distance of ~400 m, the beds are rotated to the sub-vertical position and dip to the NW in an overturned position. The hinge of a narrow syncline is located close to the cable bridge at Dobrotiv.
Fig. 8. Some facies features of the Stebnyk Formation

A – cross-rippled lamination in a sandstone bed, with mud intraclasts in the lower part, section E; B – amalgamated, cross-bedded sandstone, inverted position, section A; C – caverns after mudstone intraclasts in a thick sandstone beds, section A; D – thin beds of sandstone and siltstone (levee and crevasse splay facies) above dark grey mudstones (organic-rich delta plain facies), section E; E – ripple-marks on the surface of a sandstone bed, section E; F – red and greenish calcareous mudstone and siltstones (delta plain facies), section E.

(Figs. 1D and 3). Section A and other sections can be subdivided into units characterized by the same lithological features.

The section (Fig. 5) begins with red-dominated and dark grey mudstones, partly with shale partings (Unit A, 40 m thick), with a few intercalations of medium- to thick-bedded, cross-laminated sandstone, locally containing the trace fossil *Skolithos* isp. The inclination of the cross-laminae points to palaeotransport from 260–270°. Higher up, ~180 m thick red and grey shales prevail (Unit B), with rare intercalations of thin-to medium-bedded sandstone. The next interval, 160 m thick (Unit C), beginning with a 1.5 m thick bed of parallel and cross-laminated sandstone (palaeotransport from 270°), is composed of thick packages (up to 25 m thick) of red and grey calcareous mudstones and shales intercalated with five, 1.2–2.0 m thick sandstone beds, which display large-scale cross- and parallel-bedding (palaeotransport from 270–310°). Above, a ~90 m thick succession (Unit D) begins with red and grey mudstones and shales followed by a 3 m thick bed of medium-grained sandstone with parallel and cross-bedding. The latest Unit E (160 m thick) begins with a 5 m thick bed of amalgamated sandstones, intercalated with packages of thin sandstone beds and mudstones (Fig. 7A, B). At the top of the sand-
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Fig. 9. Some sedimentary, diagenetic and biogenic features of the Stebnyk Formation

A - spotty root structures (r) in red and greenish calcareous mudstone and siltstones (delta plain facies), section E; B - calcareous concretions (c) in intercalations of calcareous mudstones, siltstones and thin-bedded sandstones, section E; C - ferruginous rings around root structures in a muddy sandstone, section C; D - casts of mudcracks and raindrop imprints, section C; E - lower bedding surface covered by flute casts crossed by mudcracks, section D; F - parting sandstone surface cross-cut by the trace fossil Skolithos isp., section C

Section B (Figs. 1D and 6) is located between two reverse faults; the northern boundary fault of the “Lanchyn Olistostrome” (N48°32’38.6”; E24°43’51.6”) and the other reverse fault (N48°32’54”; E24°44’26.9”; Fig. 1D) which separates the west-dipping beds (in overturned position) of the Stebnyk Formation from the east-dipping (normal position) beds of this formation in section C (Fig. 4). Beds of the Stebnyk Formation in
Fig. 10. Some diagenetic and biogenic features of the Stebnyk Formation

A - pseudomorphs after gypsum crystals on a sandstone bed surface, section E; B - pseudomorphs after gypsum crystals (mainly upper left side) and microbially induced structures (m) on a sandstone bed surface, section B; C - pseudomorphs after gypsum crystals on a sandstone bed surface, section B; D - a vein of honey-coloured gypsum (g) in a sandstone and siltstone, section B

section B dip steeply (45–50°) to the west, in an overturned position. The total thickness of the section is up to 500 m.

The first 100 m thick portion of the section (lower Unit A; Fig. 6), is dominated by red mudstones and shales with crystals of gypsum and salt on parting surfaces (Fig. 10B, C). The mudstones are intercalated with three medium beds of fine-grained sandstone at the base and one thick bed of muscovite sandstone at the top of Unit A. This unit contains also two disturbed beds, up to 10 m thick, with blocks of sandstone (Fig. 11C) and gypsum in fissures. The next 40 m thick portion (Unit B) is composed of dark grey mudstones. The mudstones are intercalated with two beds (up to 0.5 thick each) of fine- to medium-grained sandstone. The upper 10 m thick part of this portion is composed of laminated mudstone with intercalations of four, medium-bedded, parallel-laminated and amalgamated sandstone beds (Fig. 7D). This unit is followed by 85 m of red shales (Unit C) with two beds of amalgamated, fine-grained, thick-bedded sandstone, with fissures filled with pink and honey-coloured gypsum (Fig. 10D). The next portion (Unit D) is 20 m thick and composed of dark grey mudflow deposits with gypsum. The higher Unit E (120 m thick) is composed of red mudstones with thin intercalations of fine-grained sandstone with dispersed salt and gypsum crystals. The section B is terminated by ~ca 130 m thick succession (Unit F) of red and dark grey mudstone with mudcracks and rain prints (Fig. 9D) followed by two thick-bedded (~50 cm) sandstones. The total thickness of the section B attains 500 m.

Section C is followed along the river for 1.2 km. It begins from the footbridge across the Prut River at Lanchyn (N48°33'01.5"; E24°45'15.5") and it continues up to a reverse fault (N48°32'54"; E24°44'26.9") separating sections C and B. The section, 320 m thick, is built of gently folded beds of the Stebnyk Formation in a normal position (Fig. 4). According to Oszczypko et al. (2014), this section is located in the southern limb of the Lanchyn Anticline, with a transition from the uppermost part of the Vorotyshcha Formation through the Sloboda Conglomerate and the Dobrotiv Formation, strongly reduced in thickness, followed by the lower part of the Stebnyk (Fig. 6) of the Sambir Nappe.

In this section, the Stebnyk Formation is composed of variegated mudstones, red and dark grey, with a predominance of red mudstones. The variegated mudstones are intercalated with medium-bedded, cross-laminated sandstones (20–30 cm thick), which indicate palaeotransport from the north-west. Sparadically, in the upper and lower part of the section, thick beds of
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Fig. 11. Sedimentary features of the diapir at Lanchyn
A – grey mudstones with lenses of gypsum, Vorotyshcha Formation; B – deformed, partly imbricated fragments of a thick sandstone bed, Stebnyk Formation, side of the structure; C – an isolated block of sandstone within deformed strata of the Stebnyk Formation.

fine-to medium-grained, parallel- to cross-bedded sandstone occur (Fig. 7D). The cross-bedding indicates palaeoflow from the north-west. Thinner, muddy sandstone beds show mudcracks and raindrop imprints and rarely ferruginous rings around root structures (Fig. 9C).

SECTION D

Deposits of section D are visible in a large exposure over a distance of ~1300 m in cliffs up to ~100 m high along the left bank of the Bystystsia Nadvirnanska River at Nadvinra (Fig. 3B; from N48°39'10.7"; E24°34'49.1" and N48°39'06.0"; E24°34'21.5"). Beds dip to the north are overturned (antiformal syncline, see Vialov, 1965). The exposure probably represents the middle part (rich in thick-bedded sandstones) of the Stebnyk Formation of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe. The measured part of the exposure (ca. 64 m thick) is located in its topographically lower part, which was stratigraphically measurable in the upper (younger) part of the succession (Fig. 3B).

After rotation of the measured section to the normal position, the section begins with amalgamated, very thick-bedded (10.2 m) sandstone (N48°39'07.2"; E24°34'29.2", 429 m a.s.l.), which is overlain by two sandstone beds (1.2 and 0.6 m thick), followed by ~9 m thick package of red and grey mudstones and shales, with a 30 cm thick sandstone bed at the top (Fig. 6). The next interval, 10 m thick, is composed of variegated mudstones with an intercalation of bright, 17 cm thick tuffite, which is covered by a 60 cm thick sandstone bed at the top. Stratigraphically above are variegated mudstones, 1.8 m thick, with a 60 cm thick, fine- to medium-grained sandstone at the top, followed by the next variegated mudstone package, 10.8 m thick, overlain by a 40 cm thick sandstone bed. Higher up, a 10 m thick portion of the section is composed of red-brick and grey-greenish mudstones with intercalations of thin-bedded sandstone (1–2 cm thick; Fig. 9E). Above, ~5 m of variegated mudstones with three tuffite layers, respectively 3.5 cm, 9 cm (both rose in colour), and 11 cm (grey-greenish) thick are present (N48°39'06.9"; E24°34'26.0"). This tuffite-bearing part is overlain by an amalgamated sandstone bed, which is ~5 m thick (N48°39'07.0"; E24°34'34.0"). The measured part of the section is terminated by a 40 cm thick, cross-bedded sandstone bed with a wavy top. Another 5 m thick sandstone bed occurs in the northern part of the exposure (N48°39'06.5"; E24°34'38.0").

SECTION E

Section E is located in the southern limb of the Runhury Sloboda Anticline (Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe; Fig. 1D), along the Prut River, near Zariche (GPS coordinates: from N48°32.074"; E24°40.030" to N48°32.004"; E24°39.266"). This section is representative of the transition from the uppermost part of the Dobrotiv Formation to the Stebnyk Formation (Fig. 6; see also Oszczypko et al., 2014).

Section E begins with a ~42 m thick unit of grey siltstones and mudstones with sporadic intercalations of thin bedded sandstone (Figs. 6 and 8A). The top of this unit displays grey siltstones with calcareous concretions and thin-bedded sandstones with mud cracks, raindrop imprints, root structures (Fig. 9A) and concretions (Fig. 9B). The next interval, ~50 m thick, is dominated by grey, dark grey and reddish mudstones with very rare intercalations of thin-bedded sandstone (Figs. 7E, F...
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Thin- and medium- to thin-bedded sandstones (Figs. 7A, C–E and 8B). The sandstones are fine- to coarse-grained. Some beds are rich in muddy matrix. The thick beds can display large-scale cross-beds or horizontal lamination. Cross-laminated sets can be separated by amalgamation surfaces. In thinner beds, ripple-lamination is common. Some beds are rich in mud intraclasts (Fig. 8C). This facies form packages, which start with the thickest sandstone bed. Above, thinner sandstone beds intercalated with mudstones and siltstones, usually rose in colour, are present. The packages are from 0.5 to 5 m thick. Many beds of all thicknesses change their thickness and pinch out. The thinner beds can display mudracks. Surfaces of some beds display variable pseudo-morphs after gypsum crystals (Fig. 10A–C). Many fissures crossing sandstone beds and mudstones in all facets are filled with gypsum (Fig. 10D).

Variegated mudstones and siltstones (Figs. 8F and 9A, B). Bedded, rose, reddish, greenish or light grey variegated marly mudstones are the most eye-catching, dominant and basic lithology, present throughout the whole section of the Stebnyk Formation. Intercalations of siltstone show the same colours and other features are less common. Most of the mudstone is rose, with gradual transition to other colours. Locally, diffuse enclaves of some colours form spots against a background of other colours. Some of these can be interpreted as root structures (Fig. 9A). At some horizons calcareous concretions are present (Fig. 9B). The rose mudstones can form about 10 m thick packages intercalated with packages a few metres thick of light grey or greenish mudstones (e.g., lower part of section A). Centimetre- to decimetre-thick bedding is locally easily visible, locally marked by subtle changes of grain size. Pinching out of siltstone beds is locally present. In some beds, horizontal lamination is easily visible, but in others the mudstones seem to massive. The lamination is parallel or subparallel, and locally can be disturbed plastically or interrupted by bioturbational structures. The content of calcium carbonate fluctuates, some mudstones being calcareous locally with transitions to marls. Locally, small crystals of gypsum or their pseudomorphs can be observed. This facies contains intercalations of variable sandstones or dark grey mudstones, which are ascribed to other lithofacies. This facies corresponds to the Strutyn type distinguished by Cizancourt (1925).

Dark grey mudstones (Figs. 7F and 8D). Dark grey calcareous mudstones are rare, though locally present as packages up to a few metres thick sandwiched between the variegated mudstones and siltstones. They are mostly massive and can be intercalated with thin-to medium-grained muddy sandstones.

LITHOFACIES

The Stebnyk Formation displays characteristic lithofacies, which are characterized by a certain set of sedimentary features (Figs. 5–10). They allow interpretation of their respective depositional palaeoenvironments.

The sandstone beds are tabular or pinch out on the scale of metres. In thinner beds, ripple-lamination is common. Some beds are rich in mud intraclasts (Fig. 8C). This facies form packages, which start with the thickest sandstone bed. Above, thinner sandstone beds intercalated with mudstones and siltstones, usually rose in colour, are present. The packages are from 0.5 to 5 m thick. Many beds of all thicknesses change their thickness and pinch out. The thinner beds can display mudracks. Surfaces of some beds display variable pseudo-morphs after gypsum crystals (Fig. 10A–C). Many fissures crossing sandstone beds and mudstones in all facets are filled with gypsum (Fig. 10D).

The sandstone beds are tabular or pinch out on the scale of metres. In thinner beds, ripple-lamination is common. Some beds are rich in mud intraclasts (Fig. 8C). This facies form packages, which start with the thickest sandstone bed. Above, thinner sandstone beds intercalated with mudstones and siltstones, usually rose in colour, are present. The packages are from 0.5 to 5 m thick. Many beds of all thicknesses change their thickness and pinch out. The thinner beds can display mudracks. Surfaces of some beds display variable pseudo-morphs after gypsum crystals (Fig. 10A–C). Many fissures crossing sandstone beds and mudstones in all facets are filled with gypsum (Fig. 10D).

DISCUSSION

THE "LANCHYN OLISTOSTROME" – A DIAPIR FOLD OR A TECTONIC WINDOW?

According to Hnylik et al. (2012, 2014; see also Hnylik, 2001), the Stebnyk Formation of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe (Outer Carpathians) in the Prut River contacts with the Lanchyn Olistostrome of the Sambr Nappe (Carpathian Foredeep). In this interpretation, the Lanchyn Olistostrome, up to 1 km thick, composed of the Stebnyk Formation deposits, is incorporated into the Boryslav Formation (Badenian) of the Sambr Nappe.

Based on our field observations, a re-interpretation of the "chaotic" deposits ascribed to the "Lanchyn Olistostrome" between Lanchyn and Dobrotiv is proposed, as follows:

1. The deposits regarded by Hnylik (2010, 2012, 2014) as the "Lanchyn Olistostrome" are located in the Prut River, between the studied sections A and B of the Stebnyk Formation (Figs. 1D and 4). The southern boundary between the "chaotic deposits" and section A is located along the left river bank, ca. 50 m beneath the Dobrotiv cable bridge, where sub-vertical SW-dipping, strongly brecciated, dark grey salt clays (Fig. 11A) with gypsum as well as blocks of "Sloboda-type" conglomerate and thick-bedded sandstones, associated with rose marly mudstones of the Stebnyk Formation, are exposed (Fig. 11B, C). Such "chaotic" deposits, 350–400 m thick, can be traced downriver for a distance of about 500 m. These deposits also contact with the Stebnyk Formation of section B along a reverse fault. The same deposits were observed on the right river bank, beneath the cable bridge (Fig. 4). In this case, the salt clay breccia of Vorotyshcha type, incorporated into the folded deposits of the Stebnyk Formation, reached only 15 m in thickness.

2. The salt clay breccia described was considered previously as the Vorotyshcha Olistostrome (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008a). The "Lanchyn Olistostrome" does not occur within the Boryslav Formation, but is overlain by the Stebnyk Formation.

3. It is difficult to explain how the Lanchyn olistostrome has been developed in such relatively shallow-water or even continental (alluvial plain; see the next chapter) deposits of the Stebnyk Formation environment.

4. For a proper interpretation of the chaotic deposits of the "Lanchyn Olistostrome" in the section studied, the following points may be considered:

    a – the tripartite division of the Vorotyshcha Formation includes the upper and lower salt clays, separated by Sloboda-type conglomerates (Vialov, 1965, Gurzhyi, 1969, Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 1997). Such an association of salt clays and conglomerates is nothing strange;
b – in the study area, the distribution of the Sloboda Conglomerate and the Dobrotiv Formation is discontinuous (e.g., in the Lanchyn section) and the salt clays with gypsum of the Vorotyshcha Formation can occur directly under the Stebnyk Formation; c – in several sections of the region studied, Tōłwiński (1950) described vertical, direct, tectonic contacts of salt clays (Vorotyshcha Formation) with pink marls of the Stebnyk Formation (e.g., in the Runhury Sloboda and Jabloniv anticlines); Świderski (1925) concluded that the salt clays come out from under the Stebnyk Formation (his red shales and sandstones); d – the above-mentioned data clearly suggest that this structure could be a salt-clay diapir fold, accompanied by salt brine springs, among others at Lanchyn and at nearby Vyzhny Maydan and Molodiyan (Bujalski, 1938; Tōłwiński, 1950).

5. Summarizing, we find that the "Lanchyn Olistostrome" (Figs. 1D, 3 and 4) located near the Dobrotiv cable bridge, may be a diapir built of the Vorotyshcha Formation, which pierces the Stebnyk Formation in the southern limb of the Lanchyn Antcline.

6. The tectonic origin of the "Lanchyn Olistostrome" is also suggested by its contacts with overturned deposits of the Stebnyk Formation in sections A and B. In our opinion, this "olistostrome" displays features of a "flower structure" kind composed of tectonically deformed deposits, but it does not display any feature of a synsedimentary olistostrome.

7. Another possible explanation is that this is a tectonic window of the salt deposits of the Stebnyk Formation of the Sambir Nappe, nevertheless of a diapir character.

8. We do not question the presence of olistostrome deposits outside of the study area.

DEPOSITIONAL SYSTEM AND ENVIRONMENT

The depositional environment of the Stebnyk Formation remains poorly determined. Tōłwiński (1950) regarded that the succession of the Dobrotiv and the Stebnyk formations reflects deposition in a deepening basin with increasing distance from the shore. Vitalov (1960) suggested that the environment of the Stebnyk Formation was characterized by wide beaches and very shallow water, with frequent migrations of the shoreline, but Tōłwiński (1961) postulated a fully marine environment referred to a transgression, and partial restriction of the basin during sedimentation of the middle part of the formation. Vitalov (1965) noted that the presence of tetrapod footprints, mudcracks and raindrop imprints demonstrates subaerial conditions at some horizons. Głowacki et al. (1966) suggested a lagoonal environment for the salt-bearing formations of the region, and Gurzhyi (1969) proposed the same environment for the Stebnyk Formation and stated that its clastic material derived from flint and shelly shores. Vitalov et al. (1981) regarded conglomerates of the Stebnyk Formation as a marginal facies, which transit to sandstone and mudstone facies towards the inner parts of the basin. The presence of marine foraminifers and calcareous nannoplankton, at least in the upper part of the formation (see previous work) shows marine influence, though the common occurrence of tetrapod footprints, mudcracks and raindrop imprints, the absence of marine macro- and microfossils in most of the deposits, and the overall "red beds" character of the Stebnyk Formation challenges the suggestion of a prevailing marine environment, including a lagoonal environment, for which conglomerates are atypical sediments (e.g., Einsele, 2000). Kulchytskyi and Kulchytskyi (1980) who reported tetrapod footprints at levels located 20–30 m, 100–150 m and 280–340 m above the base of the formation in the Deliatyn area, concluded that the deposition of the Dobrotiv and Stebnyk formations took place in a changeable shallow-water basin with many shoals, barriers and islands, and that the basin was surrounded by an alluvial plain with plants and animals typical of a dry savannah. Krushchov and Kompanets (1988) distinguished four facies in the Stebnyk Formation:

- deltaic facies, which are mostly sandy;
- near-shore facies – mostly mudstones and sandstones,
- shallow-marine facies – mostly mudstones and sandstones;
- marine deposits – mostly mudstones and sandstones with erosive bases.

Following their descriptions, the first facies was not found in the sections studied. The interpretations of facies 2–4 cannot be accepted. The authors cited found raindrop imprints and mudcracks in them, and their sedimentary features are different from standard nearshore and shallow-marine facies (e.g., Einsele, 2000).

Considerssions regarding the palaeoenvironment of the deposits studied should include the context of the whole Stebnyk Formation, which represents a large depositional system in a certain geotectonic setting of the Carpathian Foredeep. In the Ukrainian Carpathians, the formation occurs in a narrow belt. Gągała et al. (2012) estimated the width of the "Stebnyk Basin" at about 70 km at the meridian of Przemyśl. Very likely, this width reached up to 100 km some tens of kilometres to the east, but it is unclear whether the Stebnyk Formation occupied the whole width of the basin represented by the Boryslav-Pokuttya and the Sambir nappes. The belt of the Stebnyk Formation stretches along the Carpathian front from SE Poland to at least the Ukrainian-Romanian border, for at least 300 km (Fig. 12). Ney (1968) and Ney et al. (1974) postulated deposition of the Stebnyk Formation in the gutter-like basin developed in front of the overthrusting Carpathian orogen. According to the literature (e.g., Vitalov, 1965; Gurzhyi, 1969; Ney et al., 1974), the belt of Stebnyk Formation is characterized by a distinct polarity of facies, with a high contribution of conglomerates and thick-bedded sandstones, especially in the lower part of the formation, disappearance of conglomerates and fining of the succession further to the SE, which is seen very well in the study area. A reduction in general thickness of the formation to the SE is also possible; it attains 1200 m in SE Poland (Ney et al., 1974), and locally 2000 m in the western part of Ukraine (Gurzhyi, 1969), and 600 m in section A (this study). The formation was deposited over 1.2 m.y. (Andreyeva-Gregorovich et al., 2008b). This gives a very high accumulation rate of 50–167 cm/Ky. Most of the thicker sandstone beds in the study area show erosive bases and include intraclasts and cross-bedding. The sand was transported by water flowing from the west and north-west as shown by inclination of cross-laminae in several beds of the sections studied and by the orientation of flute casts in some beds. No evidence of wave action or tides was observed. The conglomerates of the formation (western part) contain material from the foreland (mostly phyllites) and from the Carpathian flysch. Coarse-grained sandstones contain many lithic grains that indicate short transport (Gurzhyi, 1969).

All these data suggest that the depositional system (or a system tract) was transported mostly from the west and north-west, with clastic material deriving from the rising Carpathian orogenic belt and from the foreland forebulge, which confined the depositional belt of the Stebnyk Formation from both sides. The conglomerates might have been deposited as alluvial fans...
Fig. 12. Palaeogeographic scheme of the Karpatian–Early Badenian in the study region (based on Oszczypko and Oszczypko-Clowes, 2003; Oszczypko et al., 2006, 2012, supplemented)

and fan-deltas, as for the older Sloboda Conglomerate in the study area (see Oszczypko et al., 2012), or in braided rivers. Further to the south-east, as in the study area, the system became more distal with a transition to a delta plain, which was cross-cut by river channels. In migrating channels, the thicker sandstone beds were deposited. The thinner sandstone beds can be related to channel levees and crevasse splays. The basic facies, i.e. the red mudstones, were deposited on the delta plain. Marine ingressions to the delta plain took place as the upper part of the formation was deposited (as indicated by Miocene marine microfossils), which is overlain and partly laterally replaced by the marine Balych Formation, but no sedimentary features of marine sedimentation have been encountered in the Stebnyk Formation. Vialov (1966) considered that the presence of Skolithos is some exposures of the Stebnyk Formation is evidence of a marine environment, but this trace fossil is known also from fluvial settings (e.g., Buatois and Mángano, 2007).

The weak part of this interpretation is the absence of delta front deposits, with typical thickening-up packages and large-scale cross-bedding with foreset units. These may be farther to the south-east or in the overlying Balych Formation, but no investigations have been made that could prove or disprove this hypothesis. Maybe the sandstone-dominated deltaic facies in 0.5–16 m thick packages distinguished by Khrushchov and Kompanets (1988) indeed represent a delta front facies, but they were not found in the sections studied. It is also possible that delta front deposits were not developed, as in the underlying Dobrotiv Formation interpreted as a mud-dominated depositional system (see Oszczypko et al., 2014). This is a feature of type C shallow-water deltas dominated by fluvial processes, where the very shallow water in the water basin does not allow development of typical mouth bars; instead, gently inclined (a few degrees) beds are deposited (Postma, 1990). Such beds are difficult to recognize even if they are traced for several tens of metres, as in the scale of most exposures in the study area. Also deltaic sediments that accumulated in shallow lakes, with very limited accommodation space, do not form typical thickening-up packages of beds (Tye and Coleman, 1989).

General fining of the succession up the Stebnyk Formation, which is very clear in SE Poland (Ney et al., 1974) and the transition to the marine Balych Formation show an overall retrogradation of the depositional system. That a high rate of accumulation was compensated by subsidence had already been noticed by Tokwitsky (1950). Flexural modelling of the Polish and Ukrainian Carpathian orogen (Royden and Burchfiel, 1989; Krzywiec and Jochym, 1997) suggests that deeper crustal processes and associated subsurface loads are responsible for the present-day flexural bending of the foreland lithospheric plate (see also Kováč et al., 1998; Oszczypko et al., 2006 and references therein). During the Ottnangian–Karpatian, the rate of subsidence at the
front of the Ukrainian Outer Carpathians may have reached at least 2000 m/m.y. (Oszczypko, 1998) and it was compensated by sediment input, including of those belonging to the Stebnyk Formation.

Onset of coarser sedimentation of the lower part of the Stebnyk Formation, especially in the north-west of its depositional area, may have been caused by a lowering of the base level. An about 30 m drop in sea level around the Burdigalian-Langhian boundary, before the Middle Miocene Climatic Optimum, has been postulated (Hilgen et al., 2012). However, some geotectonic processes in the foredeep development may have been the dominant factor, such as the intra- -Burdigalian folding and uplift of the Outer Carpathians related to the north-eastwards translation of the Alaca and Tiszai-Dacia microplates, in response to the roll-back of the Carpathian subduction slab (Zoetemeijer et al., 1999; Ziegler et al., 2002; Rasser et al., 2008).

PALAEOCLIMATIC ASPECTS

The red bed character of the deposits of the Stebnyk Formation, the presence of evaporitic minerals and the common mudcracks suggest frequent drying of the sediment surface and generally a hot climate favouring evaporation. The deposits of zones NN4–NN5 (sedimentation of the Stebnyk Formation corresponds to the upper part of the NN4 – lower part of the NN5 zones; Fig. 2) show evaporitic facies only in the eastern part of the Carpathian Foredeep, not in its western part or in the Central Paratethys (see Kováč et al., 2003). Such conditions show a climatic change with respect to the underlying Dobrotiv Formation, the deposits of which are generally grey, with no mudcracks even in horizons with tetrapod footprints (Oszczypko et al., 2014), except for the uppermost part of the formation, where Vialov (1965) noted some mudcracks. This change corresponds well to the global trend, but with some regional differences. Generally, the interval between 17 and 15 Ma (16.5–14 Ma – uppermost Karpatian–Early Badenian – sedimentation of the Stebnyk and the Balych formations; see Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2009a; Fig. 2) is referred to the Middle Miocene Climatic Optimum characterized by global warmth, a high content of CO2 in the atmosphere, and a significant decrease in the size of the Antarctic icecap (Foster et al., 2012). Kroh (2007) studied Miocene echinoderms and associated echinoderm faunas from the Paratethys in the context of the climate. He concluded that the temperature rose from the Karpian to the Early Badenian optimum up to tropical levels (sea surface temperatures 16–17°C during winter and up 28°C during summer) for the Central Paratethys; however, he suggested that the Carpathian Foredeep part still remained in the temperate climate zone, with the reservation that the faunal differences between these regions may have been influenced by isolation. Taking in account that the deposits of the Stebnyk Formation and coeval strata are not suitable for development of such fauna due to continental or hypersaline conditions, the increase in the temperature noted probably touched also the eastern part of the Carpathian Foredeep and influenced sedimentation of the Stebnyk Formation. Lower temperatures obtained on the basis of oxygen isotopes from bryozoan skeletons, mainly for the Vienna Basin and the western part of the Carpathian Foredeep, and related to upwelling (Key et al., 2013) may rather reflect local conditions. Continuous Late Paleogene–Neogene aridification of the climate, known from the Eurasian interior and from the Northern Hemisphere in general, was related to global cooling and its consequences (Tang and Ding, 2013). However, this trend varied locally in space and time (Eronen et al., 2012). For instance, coeval sections from Bulgaria, located roughly 500 km to south-east from the study area, do not show any palaeobotanical evidence of arid climate (Ivanov et al., 2012). However, it cannot be excluded that the climate of the eastern part of the Carpathian Foredeep, by its location, was more influenced by this aridification than in the other parts of the Paratethys.

CONCLUSIONS

The Miocene Stebnyk Formation (Karpian–Middle Badenian) is a ~600–2000 m thick unit of the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes. It contains conglomerates and thick-bedded sandstones in the lower part in the north-west. In the upper part and in the entire section further to the south-east, it is dominated by variegated calcareous mudstones and siltstones intercalated with sandstones as in the study area in the valley of the Prut River, between Deliatyn and Lanchyn. These deposits represent mostly a delta plain facies, where mudstones were deposited in the interchannel areas, thick sandstone beds in the distributary channels and thinner sandstone beds in channel levees and crevasse spays. In the upper part of the formation, marine ingressions are marked by an occurrence of marine microfossils (NN4 and locally NN5 zones). Sediments of the Stebnyk Formation accumulated at rates of 50–167 cm/kyr in a narrow and long (at least 300 km) depression between the rising Carpathian orogen and the forebulge elevation of the European Platform foreland, in warm and semi-dry climatic conditions (~Middle Miocene Climatic Optimum). The accumulation was compensated by subsidence in the developing Carpathian Foredeep. The so-called “Lanchyn olistostrome” is rather a diapir that ascended from under the Stebnyk Formation.
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