Modular equations for some \( \eta \)-products

François Morain
INRIA Saclay–Île-de-France
& Laboratoire d’Informatique (CNRS/UMR 7161)
École polytechnique
91128 Palaiseau
France
morain@lix.polytechnique.fr

February 8, 2011

Abstract

The classical modular equations involve bivariate polynomials that can be seen to be univariate with coefficients in the modular invariant \( j \). Kiepert found modular equations relating some \( \eta \)-quotients and the Weber functions \( \gamma_2 \) and \( \gamma_3 \). In the present work, we extend this idea to double \( \eta \)-quotients and characterize all the parameters leading to this kind of equation. We give some properties of these equations, explain how to compute them and give numerical examples.

1 Introduction

Let \( \eta \) denote Dedekind’s function. When \( N > 1 \) is an integer, \( \eta \)-quotients of the form \( f = \prod_{d|N} \eta(z/d)^{r_d} \) are functions for \( \Gamma_0(N) \) when the integer \( r_d \)'s satisfy some properties known as Newman’s Lemma \[12\]. In other words, there exists a bivariate polynomial \( \Phi[f](X,J) \) such that \( \Phi[f](f(z), j(z)) = 0 \) for all \( z \), where \( j \) is the classical modular invariant.

In some cases, there exist equations of the form \( \Phi[f](X, G_3, G_2) \) where \( \Phi[f](f(z), \gamma_3(z), \gamma_2(z)) = 0 \) for the Weber function \( \gamma_3, \gamma_2 \). Kiepert was the first to compute modular equations of this type for \( f = \omega_p = \eta(z/p)/\eta(z) \) for \( p \leq 29 \) (see \[9\]). Weber cites some examples in \[14, \S72\] and Antoniadis \[1\] extended this to \( p \leq 61 \).

In the present work, we study such equations for the double \( \eta \)-quotients \( \omega_{p_1,p_2}^e \), as introduced in \[5\]. We give all parameters \((p_1, p_2, e)\) leading to equations in \( \gamma_2 \) and \( \gamma_3 \).

Section 2 recalls known facts on Weber and \( \eta \) functions. Section 3 deals with the case of \( \omega_p \) where we introduce a faster variant of the classical algorithm to compute the modular equation via series expansions. Section 4 proves the necessary results for \( \omega_{p_1,p_2} \), gives algorithms to compute the equations in the spirit of Section 3, and we add numerical examples.

Notations: If \( u \) is some function, we will note \( \Phi[u](X,J) \) the corresponding modular equation. If \( u = j(nz) \), we will note \( \Phi_n \) to simplify.
2 Preliminaries

2.1 Properties of the functions $\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_3$

We will use the traditional notations

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and use the notation $f \circ M$ to denote the function $z \mapsto f(Mz)$. The modular invariant is $j(z) = j(q) = 1/q + 744 + \cdots$ with $q = \exp(2i\pi z)$. The classical Weber functions are

$$\gamma_2(q) = j(q)^{1/3} = q^{-1/3}(1 + 248q + 4124q^2 + 34752q^3 + 213126q^4 + O(q^5)) \in q^{-1/3}(1 + \mathbb{Z}[q]),$$

$$\gamma_3(q) = (j(q) - 1728)^{1/2} = q^{-1/2}(1 - 492q - 22590q^3 + O(q^5)) \in q^{-1/2}(1 + \mathbb{Z}[q]).$$

If $n$ is an integer, we note $\zeta_n = \exp(2\pi i/n)$. Remember that $j$ is invariant through $T$ and $S$ and that

$$\gamma_2 \circ T = \zeta_3^{-2}\gamma_2, \quad \gamma_2 \circ S = \gamma_2,$$

$$\gamma_3 \circ T = -\gamma_3, \quad \gamma_3 \circ S = -\gamma_3.$$ (1)

Moreover, we have [14, §55]

Theorem 2.1 (a) Any function invariant by $T$ and $S$ is a rational function of $j$.

(b) Any function $f$ satisfying $f \circ T = -f$ and $f \circ S = -f$ is equal to $\gamma_3$ times a rational function of $j$.

(c) Any function $f$ satisfying $f \circ T = \zeta_3^{\pm 1}f$ and $f \circ S = f$ is equal to $\gamma_2^{\pm 1}$ times a rational function of $j$.

(d) Any function $f$ satisfying $f \circ T = -\zeta_3^{\pm 1}f$ and $f \circ S = -f$ is equal to $\gamma_3\gamma_2^{\pm 1}$ times a rational function of $j$. (Note that $-\zeta_3^{\pm 1} = \zeta_6^{\pm 1}$.)

Let us precise this result in a special case.

Proposition 2.2 Let $T(q)$ be invariant as in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $T(q) \in q^{-a/b}\mathbb{Z}[q]$ for an irreducible fraction $a/b > 0$ with $b \mid 6$. When $b = 1$, $T(q)$ is a polynomial in $j(q)$. When $b = 2$, $T(q)/\gamma_3(q)$ is a polynomial in $j(q)$. For $b = 3$, $T/\gamma_2^i$ is a polynomial in $j(q)$ where $i \equiv -a \mod 3$. For $b = 6$, $T/(\gamma_2^i\gamma_3)$ is a polynomial in $j(q)$, where $i \equiv -(a + 3)/2 \mod 3$. In all cases, the polynomial in $j(q)$ has integer coefficients.

Proof: in all cases, the integer $i$ is chosen in such a way that the resulting series $T'$ is invariant through $S$ and $T$, therefore a rational function in $j$. Noting that $T'$ has integer coefficients, by the Hasse principle, so does the polynomial. □

From the algorithmic point of view, we have to recognize a polynomial with integer coefficients applied to $j(q)$, given the first terms of the series $T(q)$. Note that we need the order of this series to be $> 0$. We proceed step by step.

function RecognizePolyInJ(T)

input: a series $T = c_v q^v + \cdots + O(q^1)$ with integer coefficients, $v \leq 0$ and $c_v \neq 0$.

output: a polynomial $P(X)$ of degree $-v$ such that $T = P(j(q))$.

1. $R := T$; $i := \text{valuation}(R)$; $P := 0$;
2. while $i \leq 0$ do
   { at this point $R = r_i q^i + \cdots + O(q^1)$ with $r_i \neq 0$ }
   2.1 $P := P + r_i X^{-i}$;
   2.2 $R := R - r_i j(q)^{-i}$;
   2.3 $i := \text{valuation}(R)$;
3. return $P$.

Note that we can precompute the powers of $j(q)$ whenever needed, so that each call to the function requires $O(v^2)$ operations. In large cases, computations can be done using results computed modulo small primes and reconstructed via the CRT (as done by Atkin, see [10]).

2.2 Formulas for the $\eta$-function

The following is taken from [6] and will be our main tool in the computations of Section 4.

**Theorem 2.3** Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ be normalised such that $c \geq 0$, and $d > 0$ if $c = 0$. Write $c = c_1 2^{\lambda(c)}$ with $c_1$ odd; by convention, $c_1 = \lambda(c) = 1$ if $c = 0$. Define

$$\varepsilon(M) = \left(\frac{a}{c_1}\right)^{ab+e(c(1-a^2)-a)+3c_1(a-1)+\frac{1}{2}(a^2-1)}\sqrt{d} \eta(\frac{c}{a}) \eta\left(\frac{d\left(cz + \frac{K}{\delta_K}\right)}{\delta_K}\right),$$

For $K \in \mathbb{N}$ write $u_K a + v_K Kc = \delta_K = \gcd(a, Kc) = \gcd(a, K)$.

Then

$$\eta\left(\frac{z}{K}\right) \circ M = \varepsilon\left(\left(\frac{a}{\delta_K} \frac{-v_K}{u}\right)\frac{\delta_K (cz + d)}{\delta_K}\right) \eta\left(\frac{\delta_K z + (u_K b + v_K Kd)}{K}\right),$$

where the square root is chosen with positive real part.

We can decompose the formula into several parts: $\varepsilon(M) = \text{Jac}(M) \zeta_{24}^{\xi(M)}$ where we distinguish the Jacobi symbol part and the exponent of $\zeta_{24}$; then, we have the squareroot part $Q(M)$ and the $\eta$-part $N(M)$. When dealing with a $\eta$-quotient, the above formulas are applied by multiplicativity on the different pieces $\eta(z/d)$ (see below).

3 Generalized Weber functions

3.1 Definition and properties

Let $N > 3$ be an odd integer. For all factorizations $N = ad$, let $e = \gcd(a, d)$ and consider the functions

$$P_{c,d,a} = i^{(a-1)/2} \left(\frac{c}{e}\right) \sqrt{d} \frac{\eta((c + dz)/a)}{\eta(z)}$$

for $0 \leq c < a$ with $\gcd(c, e) = 1$. These functions were introduced in [13, §72]. It is easy to see that $P_{0,1,N} = i^{(N-1)/2} \eta(z/N)/\eta(z) = i^{(N-1)/2} w_N(z)$ where the function $w_N$ was studied in [4].

Weber proves that in all cases, $P_{c,d,a}^2$ are roots of a modular equation. In some cases, the results are better, for instance:

**Theorem 3.1** If $\gcd(N, 6) = 1, 12 \mid c$, then the $P_{c,d,a}^2 \gamma_{2^{-1}}^{N-1/2} \gamma_3^{(N-1)/2}$ are roots of a modular equation.
3.2 Computations in the prime order case

For a prime $N = p > 3$, this setting simplifies to

$$x_{0,1} = p \left( \frac{\eta(pz)}{\eta(z)} \right)^2, \quad x_{12h,1,p} = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \left( \frac{\eta \left( \frac{12h+z}{p} \right)}{\eta(z)} \right)^2, \quad 0 \leq h < p.$$ 

**Theorem 3.2** The numbers $x_{c,d,a} \gamma_2(z)^{p-1} \gamma_3(z)^{(p-1)/2}$ are roots of a modular equation whose coefficients are rational functions of $j(z)$. In particular, the constant term is $(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$.

Antoniadis extended the results of Kiepert to $p \leq 61$ and gave more properties of the polynomials $[1]$. He computed the equation by solving a linear system in the unknown coefficients of the equation, using the $q$-expansion of $j(q)$ and the fact that $x_{0,1}$ must be a root of the equation.

A standard approach (already known to Enneper [7, §52]) is to compute the powers sums of the roots of the equation, recognize them as polynomials in our variables, and then terminate using the classical Newton relations. Inspecting our roots, we see that the $q$-expansion of $x_{0,1}$ has positive order, and all $x_{12h,1,p}$ have negative order. So the power sums can be computed using the $x_{12h,1,p}$ only; we can find formulas for the $q$-expansion of $\sum_{h=0}^{p-1} x_{12h,1,p}$ if needed.

A better approach is to look at the reciprocal polynomial, whose roots are the $1/x_{0,1}$ and $1/x_{12h,1,p}$ and only the first one contributes to the power sums. Write $(p-1)/12 = e'/\delta$ as an irreducible fraction with $6 \mid \delta$. Noting that

$$p/x_{0,1} = q^{(1-p)/12}(1 + \ldots) = q^{-e'/\delta}(1 + \ldots),$$

we see that all powers are expressible as functions whose expansions satisfy Proposition 2.2.

The algorithm is:
1. compute $S_k = p/x_{0,1}^k$ and recognize it as a polynomial in the usual variables.
2. use Newton’s formulas.
3. Remove the powers of $p$.

Note that the largest power is $(p/x_{0,1})^{p+1} = q^{-(p^2-1)/12}(1 + \ldots)$ where the exponent is an integer as soon as $p > 3$. Therefore, we need up to $(p^2 - 1)/12$ terms in the $j$-series.

We have

$$S_1 = 11/x_{0,11,1} = q^{-5/6} - 2q^{1/6} - q^{7/6} + 2q^{13/6} + O(q^{19/6}).$$

Dividing by $\gamma_2 \gamma_3$, we find

$$1 + 242q + O(q^2)$$

which must be a polynomial in $j(q)$, hence the constant 1. The other coefficients are given in Table 1. We have replaced $\gamma_2$ (resp. $\gamma_3$) by $G_2$ (resp. $G_3$). The corresponding polynomial is (after reductions between variables)

$$\Phi[-w_{11}^2(F, G_2)] = F^{12} - G_3G_2F^{11} - 242G_2^2F^{10} - 19965G_3F^9 - 585640G_2F^8 + 159440490F^6 - 285311670611.$$ 

Taking its reciprocal and removing the spurious powers of $p$ yields:

$$F^{12} - 990F^6 + 440G_2F^4 + 165G_3F^3 + 22G_2^2F^2 + G_3G_2F - 11,$$
Table 1: Computations for $p = 11$.

| $k$ | $(11/x_{0,11,1})^k$ |
|-----|---------------------|
| 2   | $q^{-5/3} - 4q^{-2/3} + 2q^{-1/3} + \cdots = G_2^J(J - 1244)$ |
| 3   | $q^{-5/2} - 6q^{-3/2} + 9q^{-1/2} + 10q^{1/2} + \cdots = G_3(J^2 - 1002J + 59895)$ |
| 4   | $q^{10/3} - 8q^{-7/3} + 20q^{-4/3} - 70q^{2/3} + \cdots = G_2(J^3 - 2488J^2 + 1510268J - 135655520)$ |
| 5   | $q^{-25/6} - 10q^{-19/6} + 35q^{-13/6} - 30q^{-7/6} - 105q^{-1/6} + 238q^{5/6} + \cdots$ |
|     | $= G_3G_2^J(J^3 - 2246J^2 + 1287749J - 145411750)$ |
| 6   | $q^{-5} - 12q^{-4} + 54q^{-3} - 88q^{-2} - 99q^{-1} + 540 - 418q + \cdots$ |
|     | $= J^5 - 3732J^4 + 4586706J^3 - 2059075976J^2 + 253478654715J - 2067305393340$ |
| 7   | $q^{-35/6} - 14q^{-29/6} + 77q^{-23/6} - 182q^{-17/6} + 924q^{-5/6} - 1547q^{1/6} + \cdots$ |
|     | $= G_3G_2^J(J^5 - 3940J^4 + 4063139J^3 - 1796527998J^2 + 24785470055J - 4740750382830)$ |
| 8   | $q^{-20/3} - 16q^{-17/3} + 104q^{-14/3} - 320q^{-11/3} + 260q^{-8/3} + 1248q^{-5/3} - 3712q^{-2/3}$ |
|     | $+ 1664q^{1/3} + \cdots = G_2^J(J^6 - 4976J^5 + 9210680J^4 - 7786404608J^3 + 2955697453292J^2$ |
|     | $- 418137392559040J + 12629117378938720)$ |
| 9   | $q^{-15/2} - 18q^{-13/2} + 135q^{-11/2} - 510q^{-9/2} + 765q^{-7/2} + 1242q^{-5/2} - 7038q^{-3/2} + 8280q^{-1/2}$ |
|     | $+ 9180q^{1/2} + \cdots = G_3(J^7 - 4734J^6 + 8386065J^5 - 6877048710J^4 + 261119515626J^3$ |
|     | $- 398512090001700J^2 + 16457557949779815J - 41283301866181650)$ |
| 10  | $q^{-25/3} - 20q^{-22/3} + 170q^{-19/3} - 760q^{-16/3} + 1615q^{-13/3} + 476q^{-10/3} - 11210q^{-7/3}$ |
|     | $+ 2240q^{-4/3} + 1615q^{-1/3} - 64600q^{2/3} + \cdots$ |
|     | $= G_2(J^8 - 6220J^7 + 15382190J^6 - 19242776200J^5 + 12809764457825J^4$ |
|     | $- 4368737795118764J^3 + 66961935263295750J^2$ |
|     | $- 33921007872189625000J + 23370209052423750000)$ |
| 11  | $q^{-55/6} - 22q^{-49/6} + 209q^{-43/6} - 1078q^{-37/6} + 2926q^{-31/6} - 1672q^{-25/6} - 15169q^{-19/6}$ |
|     | $+ 47234q^{-13/6} - 31350q^{-7/6} - 107426q^{-1/6} + 218680q^{5/6} + \cdots$ |
|     | $G_2G_2^J(J^8 - 5978J^7 + 14256527J^6 - 17312108670J^5 + 11327366012605J^4$ |
|     | $- 3889904574252522J^3 + 631138185556080950J^2$ |
|     | $- 384114445385382670180J + 473098671409604281800)$ |
| 12  | $q^{-10} - 24q^{-9} + 252q^{-8} - 1472q^{-7} + 4830q^{-6} - 6048q^{-5} - 16744q^{-4} + 84480q^{-3}$ |
|     | $- 113634q^{-2} - 115920q^{-1} + 534612 - 370920q + \cdots$ |
|     | $J^{10} - 7464J^9 + 23101236J^8 - 38353325536J^7 + 36913772324730J^6 - 2078485156729552J^5$ |
|     | $+ 6580486714450069928J^4 - 106301139511905159360J^3 + 7200512776501813677595J^2$ |
|     | $= 132220496750938739221000J + 142458371058688670191932$ |
already computed by Weber.

Note that one drawback of the approach is the large degree and sizes of the coefficients before
reduction via Newton formulas. However, if computations are performed using CRT primes, this
is not a problem, since we compute the final polynomial modulo the primes.

The smallest cases are

\[
\Phi[w_2^7](X, G_2) = X^6 + 10 X^3 - G_2 X + 5,
\]

\[
\Phi[-w_2^7](X, G_3) = X^8 + 14X^6 + 63X^4 + 70X^2 + G_3 X - 7,
\]

\[
\Phi[w_{13}^2](X, J) = X^{14} + 26X^{13} + 325X^{12} + 2548X^{11} + 13832X^{10} + 54340X^9 + 157118X^8 + 333580X^7 + 509366X^6 + 534820X^5 + 354536X^4 + 124852X^3 + 15145X^2 + (746 - J)X + 13.
\]

Remark. We concentrated here on the prime index case. The same work can be done on composite
ones. Note also that we could use resultants for that task, noting the following. Suppose
\(p\) is prime
and \(M\) is an integer prime to \(p\); write
\[N = pM.\]
Write

\[w_{pM}(z) = (w_p(z)w_M(z/p))^s.\]

On the other hand:

\[
\Phi[w_p^1](w_p^1(z), j(z)) = 0,
\]

\[
\Phi[w_M^2](w_M^2(z/p), j(z/p)) = 0,
\]

\[
\Phi_p(j(z), j(z/p)) = 0.
\]

Writing \(Z = w_{pM}(z), X = w_p(z), Y = w_M(z/p),\) the different quantities are related via the
algebraic equations:

\[Z = X^s Y^s,\]

\[\Phi[w_p^1](X^s, J) = 0,\]

\[\Phi[w_M^2](Y^s, J') = 0,\]

\[\Phi_p(J, J') = 0,\]

and the variables can be eliminated via resultants to get a modular equation in \(Z\) and \(J,\) that needs
to be factored to get the correct polynomial.

4 Double \(\eta\)-quotients

4.1 Definition and statement of the result

For primes \(p_1\) and \(p_2,\) let

\[w_{p_1, p_2}^s = \left( \frac{\eta \left( \frac{z}{p_1} \right) \eta \left( \frac{z}{p_2} \right)}{\eta \left( \frac{z}{p_1 p_2} \right) \eta(z)} \right)^s = \left( \frac{w_{p_1}(z)}{w_{p_1}(z/p_2)} \right)^s\]

where \(s = \frac{24}{\gcd(24, (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1))}\) is the smallest integer such that \(sr\) is an integer, where \(r = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)/24.\) Note that \(s \mid 24;\) and \(s \mid 6\) when \(p_1\) and \(p_2\) are odd primes. It is shown in [6] that
the function $w_{p_1,p_2}$ is a function on $\Gamma^0(p_1p_2)$; properties of the classical modular equation are also given.

We can now state the result that we will prove in this Section.

**Theorem 4.1** Let $p_1, p_2$ be two primes, $N = p_1p_2$, $s = 24/\gcd(24, (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1))$, $e \neq s$ a divisor of $s$ and $\delta = s/e$. If $N \equiv 1 \mod \delta$ and the parameters are chosen in Table 2, then there exists a modular equation $\Phi[(-1)^{\delta+1}w_{p_1,p_2}^e]$, whose coefficients are rational functions in $\gamma_3, \gamma_2$.

| $p_1$ | $p_2$ | $s$ | $e$ | $\delta$ |
|-------|-------|-----|-----|---------|
| 2     | 2     | 24  | 8   | 3       |
| 2     | 5 mod 12 | 6 | 2  | 3       |
| 2     | 11 mod 12 | 12 | 4  | 3       |
| 3     | 3     | 6   | 3   | 2       |
| 3     | 7 mod 12 | 2 | 1  | 2       |
| 3     | 11 mod 12 | 6 | 3  | 2       |
| 5 mod 12 | 5 mod 12 | 3 | 1  | 3       |
| 5 mod 12 | 11 mod 12 | 3 | 1  | 3       |
| 7 mod 12 | 7 mod 12 | 2 | 1  | 2       |
| 7 mod 12 | 11 mod 12 | 2 | 1  | 2       |
| 11 mod 12 | 11 mod 12 | 6 | 1  | 6       |

Table 2: Values of $p_1$ and $p_2$ leading to a modular equation $\Phi[(-1)^{\delta+1}w_{p_1,p_2}^e]$.

The following Lemma is used in the Theorem.

**Lemma 4.1** Let $\delta \in \{2, 3, 6\}$ be as above and suppose $N = p_1p_2 \equiv 1 \mod \delta$. Then $p_i \equiv -1 \mod \delta$.

**Proof:** For $\delta = 2$, $N \equiv 1 \mod 2$ gives the answer. When $3 \mid \delta$, we cannot have $p_i = 3$ since $N \equiv 1 \mod \delta$. For $\delta$ to be equal to 3 (resp. 6), surely we cannot have $p_i \equiv 1 \mod 3$ (resp. 6). This leaves $p_i \equiv -1 \mod 3$ (resp. 6). □

The proof of the Theorem will take use several intermediate results that we will present in as much a compact way as possible. When $p_1 \neq p_2$, we will make the convention that $p_1$ is odd (so that we may have $p_2 = 2$). Moreover, we let $u$ and $v$ be two integers such that $up_1 + vp_2 = 1$. To simplify the proofs, we will be mostly looking at properties using $p_2$, this case being complicated when $p_2 = 2$. Reciprocally, using $p_1$ and $p_2$ supposes that $p_1 \neq p_2$. The results and proofs are of course symmetrical by exchanging $p_1$ and $p_2$. In case of equality, we will write $p_1 = p_2 = p$.

### 4.2 The conjugates of $w_{p_1,p_2}$

In [6] are given the conjugates of $w_{p_1,p_2}^s$ (with some minor typos). Here, we need precise the expansions of $w_{p_1,p_2}$. In view of Theorem 2.3, the value of $w_{p_1,p_2} \circ M$ can be composed as

$$w_{p_1,p_2} \circ M = \text{Jac}(M)\zeta_{24}(M)Q(M)N(M)$$

where the first part cumulates Jacobi symbols, the second the exponents of $\zeta_{24}$, the third one is the product of the squareroots and the last one the $\eta$ quotient. To ease notations, we also put $\phi = \zeta_{24r} = \zeta_{24}(p_1-1)(p_2-1)$. We use the notations and philosophy of computations from [6].
Proposition 4.2 Let $p_1$ and $p_2$ be two primes. In all cases, we have the $N + 1$ following conjugate functions:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
M & \mathfrak{w}_{p_1,p_2} \circ M & \text{ord} & l \\
T^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \nu \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & A_\nu(z) = \frac{\eta(z+\nu)\eta(p_2(z+\nu))}{\eta(z+\nu)\eta(z)} - \frac{r}{p_1p_2} \zeta_N^{-\nu r} & -r & 1 \\
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & B(z) = \frac{\eta(p_1z)\eta(p_2z)}{\eta(z)\eta(p_1p_2z)} = \mathfrak{w}_{p_1,p_2}(Nz) & -r & 1
\end{array}
$$

The remaining $p_1 + p_2$ conjugates are:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
M & \mathfrak{w}_{p_1,p_2} \circ M & \text{ord} & l \\
M_{1,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu p_2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & C_{1,\nu}(z) = \phi^{\theta_1(\nu)}\varepsilon_1 \frac{\eta(z+\nu)\eta(p_2(z+\nu))}{\eta(z+\nu)\eta(z)} , 0 \leq \nu < p_1 & \frac{r}{p_1} & \phi^{\theta_1(\nu)}\varepsilon_1 \zeta_p^{\nu r} \\
M_{1,0} = \begin{pmatrix} v p_2 & -u p_1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \\
M_{2,\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu p_1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & C_{2,\nu}(z) = \phi^{\theta_2(\nu)}\varepsilon_2 \frac{\eta(p_1(z+\nu))\eta(z+\nu)}{\eta(z+\nu)\eta(z)} , 0 \leq \nu < p_2 & \frac{r}{p_2} & \phi^{\theta_2(\nu)}\varepsilon_2 \zeta_p^{\nu r} \\
M_{2,0} = \begin{pmatrix} u p_1 & -v p_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &
\end{array}
$$

where in the case of $C_{2,\nu}$, we set $\nu \equiv -(\mu p_1)^{-1} \mod p_2$, $v_2 = (1 + p_1\mu \nu)/p_2$ for $\mu \neq 0$ (equivalently $\nu \neq 0$; $\mu = 0$ corresponds to $\nu = 0$). When $\nu > 0$, we get

$$
\theta_2(\nu) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\mu((p_2 + 1)v_2 + 1) + \nu}{(3p_1 + 2)\frac{\nu}{p_2}} & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\
\frac{\nu}{p_2} & \text{if } p_2 = 2.
\end{cases}
$$

Moreover

$$
\theta_2(0) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{uv((p_2 + 1)v_2 + 1) + u - 1}{(3u+2)(u-1)} & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\
\frac{u}{p_2} & \text{if } p_2 = 2.
\end{cases}
$$

Also,

$$
\varepsilon_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{v}{p_2} & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\
1 & \text{if } p_2 = 2.
\end{cases}
$$

When $p_1 = p_2 = p$, we must consider the $p - 1$ following conjugate functions:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{matrix} & \mathfrak{w}_{p,p} \circ M & \text{ord} & l \\
M_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & C_{\nu}(z) = \sqrt{p} \varepsilon(\nu) \frac{\eta(p_2)^2}{\eta(z)\eta(z+\nu)} \zeta^{\theta(\nu)-\nu} , 1 \leq \nu < p & \frac{p-1}{12} & \sqrt{p} \varepsilon(\nu) \zeta^{\theta(\nu)-\nu}
\end{array}
$$

where $1 = -\mu \nu + vp$, $\varepsilon(\nu) = \left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right)$ if $p$ odd (resp. $1$ when $p = 2$) and

$$
\theta(\nu) = \begin{cases} 
\nu(1 - \mu^2) + (-3p + 2 + v) \mu - 3 + 3p & \text{if } p \text{ is odd}, \\
0 & \text{if } p = 2.
\end{cases}
$$

Proof: the cases of the $A_\nu$ matrices and of $B$ are treated without difficulty, as in [6]. The value of $\mathcal{Q}(M)$ is $1$, unless we are dealing with the case $p_1 = p_2 = p$. The computations for the $C$ matrices involve non-zero exponents for $\zeta_{24}$. 
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Case $p_1 \neq p_2$:  
In the same lines as in [6], we first prove the result for $C_{2,\nu}$ when $\nu > 0$. Iterate over $1 \leq \mu < p_2$ and define $\nu = -(\mu p_1)^{-1} \mod p_2 \in \{1, \ldots, p_2 - 1\}$, $v_2 = (1 + \mu \nu p_1)/p_2$. Note that $\nu \mapsto \mu$ is an involution and the corresponding $v_2$'s are equal. Moreover, iterating over $1 \leq \mu < p_2$ is the same as iterating over $1 \leq \nu < p_2$. We find
\[
\mathcal{N}(M_{2,\mu}) = \frac{\eta(p_1 z)\eta((z + \nu)/p_2)}{\eta(z)\eta(p_1(z + \nu)/p_2)} = \zeta_{24}^{\nu(1-p_1)} \frac{\eta(p_1(z + \nu))\eta((z + \nu)/p_2)}{\eta(z + \nu)\eta(p_1(z + \nu)/p_2)}.
\]
(a) Assume first $p_2 \neq 2$. We compute $\text{Jac}(M_{2,\mu}) = (\frac{p_1}{p_2})$, and the total exponent of $\zeta_{24}$ is
\[
\nu(1-p_1) + \mathcal{E}(M_{2,\mu}) = (p_1 - 1) (p_2 \nu p_1 \mu + p_2 \nu + 2 \mu p_2 - \mu v_2 - \nu - \mu)
\]
\[
= (p_1 - 1)((p_2 - 1)(\nu \mu p_1 + \nu + 2 \mu) + \mu(1 - v_2 + \mu \nu p_1))
\]
\[
= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(\nu \mu p_1 + \nu + 2 \mu + \mu v_2)
\]
\[
= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(\mu((p_2 + 1)v_2 + 1) + \nu)
\]
where we have used $p_2v_2 = 1 + \mu \nu p_1$ twice.
When $p_2 = 2$, we find $\text{Jac}(M_{2,\mu}) = 1$ and the total exponent of $\zeta_{24}$ is
\[
\nu(1-p_1) + \mathcal{E}(M_{2,\mu}) = (p_1 - 1) (3p_1 \mu^2(\nu + 1) + \mu(3\mu - 1) + \nu)/2.
\]
Since $\nu$ is odd, $\mu = 1$ and the exponent reduces to
\[
(p_1 - 1)(3p_1 + 2)\frac{\nu + 1}{2}.
\]
(b) For $C_{2,0}$,
\[
\mathcal{N}(M_{2,0}) = \frac{\eta(p_1(z + 1))\eta(z/p_2)}{\eta(z + 1)\eta(p_1 z/p_2)} = \zeta_{24}^{\nu-1} \frac{\eta(z/p_2)\eta(p_1 z)}{\eta(z)\eta(p_1 z/p_2)}.
\]
Assume first $p_2 \neq 2$. Then $\text{Jac}(M_{2,0}) = (\frac{p_1}{p_2})$ and the exponent of $\zeta_{24}$ is
\[
p_1 - 1 + \mathcal{E}(M_{2,0}) = -(p_1 - 1)((p_2 - 1)(p_1u^2 - 2u + 1) + u(p_1u + v - 1))
\]
\[
= -24r(p_1u^2 - 2u + 1 - uv)
\]
\[
= 24r(uv(p_2 + 1) + u - 1).
\]
When $p_2 = 2$, we find $\text{Jac}(M_{2,0}) = 1$ and the total exponent of $\zeta_{24}$ is
\[
(p_1 - 1)(3u + 2)(u - 1)/2.
\]
Case $p_1 = p_2 = p$:  
In all cases:
\[
\mathcal{N}(M_p) = \sqrt{p} \frac{\eta(pz)^2}{\eta(z)\eta(z + \nu/p)}
\]
where $1 = -\mu \nu + v p$.
When $p \neq 2$, we find $\text{Jac}(M_p) = (\frac{p}{p})$ and the exponent given by $\theta(\nu)$. When $p = 2$, $\text{Jac}(M_p) = 1$ and the exponent given by $v - 1 = 0$. □
4.3 Action of $T$ and $S$

This section is devoted to the proofs of the actions of $T$ and $S$ on our basic functions as stated in the following two propositions.

**Proposition 4.3**

(i) $B \circ T = \phi^{-1} B$.

(ii) For $0 \leq \nu < N - 1$, we have $A_{\nu} \circ T = A_{\nu+1}$; $A_{N-1} \circ T = \phi^{-1} A_0$.

(iii) For $0 \leq \nu < p_2 - 1$, $C_{2,\nu} \circ T = \phi^{\theta_2(\nu) - \theta_2(\nu+1)} C_{2,\nu+1}$; $C_{2,p_2-1} \circ T = \phi^{\theta_2(p_2-1) - \theta_2(0)+1} C_{2,0}$.

(iv) For $1 \leq \nu < p$, $C_{\nu} \circ T = \zeta_2^{2p-2} C_{\nu}$.

**Proof of Proposition 4.3**: (i), (ii) and (iv) are direct applications of Theorem 2.3.

(iii) For $0 \leq \nu < p_2 - 1$, one has $C_{2,\nu} \circ T = \phi^{\theta_2(\nu) - \theta_2(\nu+1)} C_{2,\nu+1}$. For $\nu = p_2 - 1$:

$$C_{2,p_2-1} \circ T = \phi^{\theta_2(p_2-1)} \frac{\eta \left( \frac{z + p_2}{p_2} \right) \eta \left( \frac{p_1(z + p_2)}{p_2} \right)}{\eta(z + p_2) \eta \left( \frac{p_1(z + p_2)}{p_2} \right)} = \phi^{\theta_2(p_2-1)} \frac{\eta \left( \frac{p_1(z + N)}{p_2} \right)}{\eta\left( \frac{p_1z + (p_2-1)}{p_2} \right)} = \phi^{1 + \theta_2(p_2-1) - \theta_2(0)} C_{2,0}.$$

**Proposition 4.4**

For all primes $p_1$ and $p_2$, one has

(i) $(A_0, B) \circ S = (B, A_0)$.

(ii) When $0 < \nu < p_1 p_2$ and $\gcd(\nu, p_1 p_2) = 1$:

$$A_{\nu} \circ S = \phi^{\theta_3(\nu)} A_{\nu},$$

where $1 = -\omega \nu + v_{12}(p_1 p_2)$ and

$$\theta_3(\nu) = \begin{cases} 
-\nu^2 - 2\nu + \omega + 3 + \nu v_{12} & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\
\omega + \nu(v_{12}(1 - 2p_1) + 2) & \text{if } p_2 = 2.
\end{cases}$$

Suppose from now on that $p_1 \neq p_2$. The following hold:

(iii) When $0 < \nu = p_1 \rho < p_1 p_2$:

$$A_{\nu} \circ S = \phi^{\theta_4(\rho)} C_{2,\nu},$$

where $1 = -\omega \nu + w p_2$, and

$$\theta_4(\rho) = \begin{cases} 
-\theta_2(\omega) + \rho(p_2+1) + 1 + \omega & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\
-\theta_2(\omega) + 3p_1+1 + \rho(3w-2) + \omega & \text{if } p_2 = 2.
\end{cases}$$

(iv) When $0 < \nu < p_2$, use $\mu \equiv -1/(\nu p_1) \mod p_2$ and

$$C_{2,\nu} \circ S = A_{\mu p_1}.$$

(v) We have

$$C_{2,0} \circ S = C_{1,0} \times \begin{cases} 
\phi^{-2\theta_1(0)} & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\
\phi^{-\theta_1(0) + \left( u^3 p_1 \zeta_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right)} & \text{if } p_2 = 2.
\end{cases}$$
Proof:
(i) We first get:
\[ w_{p_1,p_2} \circ (T^\nu \circ S) = w_{p_1,p_2} \circ \begin{pmatrix} \nu & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]
and the case \( \nu = 0 \) yields immediately \( A_0 \circ S = B \). On the other hand, we also have the reassuring result that
\[ B \circ S = w_{p_1,p_2}(-N/z) = w_{p_1,p_2}(z) = A_0(z). \]

(ii) When gcd(\( \nu, p_1p_2 \)) = 1, we write \( 1 = -\omega \nu + v_{12}(p_1p_2) \), and find
\[
N(M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = \frac{\eta((z + \omega)/p_1)\eta((z + \omega)/p_2)}{\eta(z)\eta((z + \omega)/p_1/p_2)} = \zeta_{24}^{\omega}A_\omega.
\]

When \( p_2 \neq 2 \), Jac\((M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = 1 \) and the total exponent of \( \zeta_{24} \) is
\[
\omega + E(M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = -\omega \nu^2(24r - 1) + \nu(-48r + 1 + v_{12}(1 - p_1 - p_2)) + 24r(\omega + 3)
\]
\[= 24r(-\omega \nu^2 - 2\nu + \omega + 3) + \nu(\omega \nu + 1 + v_{12}(1 - p_1 - p_2))
\]
\[= 24r(-\omega \nu^2 - 2\nu + \omega + 3 + \nu v_{12})
\]
\[= 24r\theta_3(\nu).
\]

When \( p_2 = 2 \), we also have Jac\((M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = 1 \) and the exponent of \( \zeta_{24} \) becomes
\[ (p_1 - 1)(\omega + \nu(v_{12}(1 - 2p_1) + 2)). \]

The same type of computations show that the results also holds for \( p_1 = p_2 = 2 \).

(iii) Suppose now that \( \nu = \rho p_1, 1 \leq \rho < p_2 \). We write \( 1 = -\omega \nu + wp_2 \). In all cases
\[ N(M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = \frac{\eta(p_1 z)\eta((z + \omega)/p_2)}{\eta(z)\eta((p_1(z + \omega))/p_2)}
\]
\[= \zeta_{24}^{-p_1\omega + \omega} \frac{\eta(p_1(z + \omega))\eta((z + \omega)/p_2)}{\eta(z + \omega)\eta((p_1(z + \omega))/p_2)}
\]
\[= \zeta_{24}^{-p_1\omega + \omega} \left(\phi^{-\theta_2(\omega)}\epsilon_2C_{2,\omega}\right).
\]

Assume \( p_2 \neq 2 \). We get Jac\((M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)\). The partial exponent is given by
\[-\omega(p_1 - 1) + E(M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 p_1 \omega \rho^2 + (-w + 2p_2 - 1)\rho + (p_2 - 1)\omega)
\]
\[= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 \rho(p_1 \rho \omega) + (-w + 2p_2 - 1)\rho + (p_2 - 1)\omega)
\]
\[= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 \rho(wp_2 - 1) + (-w + 2p_2 - 1)\rho + (p_2 - 1)\omega)
\]
\[= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(\rho(w(p_2 + 1) + 1) + \omega)
\]
yielding the final result.
When \( p_2 = 2 \), we find Jac\((M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = 1 \) and
\[-\omega(p_1 - 1) + E(M_{2,\mu} \circ S) = (p_1 - 1) \left(\rho(3w - 2) + 3\frac{p_1 + 1}{2}\rho^2 + \omega\right).
\]
(iv) For $1 \leq \nu < p_2$, we compute $\mu \equiv -1/(\nu p_1) \mod p_2$ and

$$C_{2,\nu} \circ S = w_{p_1,p_2} \circ \left( \begin{array}{c} \mu p_1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \circ S = \frac{\eta((z + p_1 \mu)/p_1) \eta((z + p_1 \mu)/p_2)}{\eta(z + p_1 \mu) \eta((z + p_1 \mu)/p_1/p_2)} = A_{p_1,\mu}.$$ 

(v) In all cases, we compute

$$\text{Jac}(M \circ S) N(M \circ S) = \left( \frac{p_2}{p_1} \right) \frac{\eta(z/p_1) \eta(p_2 z - p_2)}{\eta(z - 1) \eta(p_2 z/p_1)} = \left( \frac{p_2}{p_1} \right) \zeta_{24}^{1 - p_2} \frac{\eta(z/p_1) \eta(p_2 z)}{\eta(z) \eta(p_2 z/p_1)}.$$ 

When $p_2 \neq 2$, this yields

$$\text{Jac}(M \circ S) N(M \circ S) = \zeta_{24}^{1 - p_2} \phi^{-\theta_1(0)} C_{1,0}.$$ 

The exponent of $\zeta_{24}$ is

$$1 - p_2 + \mathcal{E}(M \circ S) = (p_2 - 1)(p_1 p_2 u^2 + (u + 1 - 2p_1)v + p_1 - 1)$$

$$= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(-uv(p_1 + 1) - v + 1)$$

$$= 24r(-uv(p_1 + 1) - v + 1)$$

$$= -24r \theta_1(0)$$

so that $C_{2,0} \circ S = \phi^{-2\theta_1(0)} C_{1,0}$.

When $p_2 = 2$, the exponent of $\zeta_{24}$ becomes

$$-1 + \mathcal{E}(M \circ S) = (p_1 - 1) \left( u^2 p_1 \frac{p_1 + 1}{2} + \frac{1 - u}{2} \right),$$

so that the final answer is

$$\phi^{-\theta_1(0)} + \left( u^2 p_1 \frac{p_1 + 1}{2} + \frac{1 - u}{2} \right) C_{1,0}. \square$$

**Proposition 4.5** We suppose that $p_1 = p_2 = p$. Then

(i) When $\nu = \rho p$, $1 \leq \rho < p$, set $1 = -\varpi p + wp$. Then $A_{\nu} \circ S = C_{\varpi}$.

(ii) For all $p$, and all $1 \leq \nu < p$, one has $C_{\nu} \circ S = A_{\mu p}$ where $\mu \equiv -1/\nu \mod p$.

**Proof:**

(i) When $p \neq 2$:

$$A_{\nu} \circ S = \sqrt{p} \left( \frac{\rho}{p} \right) \frac{\eta(pz)^2}{\eta(z) \eta(z + \varpi/p)} \zeta_{24}^{-\rho^2 p \varpi + (-3p + 2 + w)\rho + p \varpi - 3 + 3p}$$

$$= \sqrt{p} \left( \frac{-\varpi}{p} \right) \left( 1/\sqrt{p} \right) \zeta_{24}^{-\theta(\varpi)} C_{\varpi} \zeta_{24}^{-\rho^2 p \varpi + (-3p + 2 + w)\rho + p \varpi - 3 + 3p}$$

$$= \zeta_{24}^{-\rho^2 p \varpi + (-3p + 2 + w)\rho + p \varpi - 3 + 3p - \theta(\varpi)} C_{\varpi}$$

$$= C_{\varpi}$$

using $\theta(\varpi) = p \varpi (1 - \rho^2) + (-3p + 2 + w) \rho - 3 + 3p$. 
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When \( p = 2, \rho = 1 \) implying \( \varpi = w = 1 \) and
\[
A_2 \circ S = \sqrt{2} \zeta_{24}^{\nu-1} \frac{\eta(2z)^2}{\eta(z)\eta(z + \varpi/2)} = \zeta^{\theta(z)}_{24} C_1 = C_1.
\]

(ii) In all cases, we get
\[
C_\nu \circ S = \frac{\eta((z + \rho u)/p)^2}{\eta(z + \rho u)\eta((z + \rho u)/p^2)} = A_{\rho p}. \quad \Box
\]

### 4.4 Finding invariant functions

The idea is simple. Using the explicit actions given above, we need to find suitable modifications of the functions \( B, A_\nu, C_{1,\nu}, C_{2,\nu} \) such that the action of \( T \) and \( S \) on any power sum coincides with the action on \( \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \) or the product \( \gamma_2\gamma_3 \), as given in Section 4.3.

Note that \( B^e \circ T = \zeta_{24}^{24e} B^e \). Write \( re = t/\delta \) and remark that this fraction is irreducible (\( s \) being prime to \( t \) implies \( \delta \) is). This leads to set \( \chi = \phi^{-e} = \zeta_{24}^{-24e} = \zeta_{\delta}^{-t} \), a primitive \( \delta \)-th root of unity.

The aim of this Section is to prove the following Theorem from which Theorem 4.1 will follow.

**Theorem 4.6** Assume we are in the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Define the functions
\[
A'_\nu = \chi^{\alpha_0-\nu} A_\nu, \quad B' = B^e, \quad C'_{1,\nu} = \chi^{\delta_1(\nu)-\nu} C_{1,\nu}, \quad C'_{2,\nu} = \chi^{\delta_2(\nu)-\nu} C_{2,\nu}, \quad C'_\nu = \chi^\mu C'_\nu,
\]
where \( \mu \equiv -1/\nu \) mod \( p \) and
\[
\alpha_0 = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \delta = 2, \\
0 & \text{if } \delta = 3, \\
3 & \text{if } \delta = 6,
\end{cases}
\]

making \( \chi^{\alpha_0} = (-1)^{\delta+1} = \chi^{-\alpha_0} = \chi^{-3} \).

Then, for all integers \( k \), the quantity
\[
S_k = B'^{k} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} A'_\nu^{k} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_1-1} C'_{1,\nu}^{k} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_2-1} C'_{2,\nu}^{k} = B^k + S_{A,k} + S_{C_{1,k}} + S_{C_{2,k}}
\]
satisfies \( S_k \circ (T, S) = (\chi^k, \chi^{\alpha_0 k})S_k \).

With these notations, we have

**Proposition 4.7** The following hold:
(a) \( B' \circ T = \chi B' \).
(b) \( \{A'_\nu\}_\nu \circ T = \{\chi A'_\nu\}_\nu \).
(c) \( \{C'_{1,\nu}\}_\nu \circ T = \{\chi C'_{1,\nu}\}_\nu \).
(d) For all \( \nu \), \( C'_\nu \circ T = \chi C'_\nu \).

Proof: (a) and (c) follow easily from Proposition 4.3
(b) We first obtain \( A_{N-1}' \circ T = \chi A_0' \). Let us explain how the choice \( A'_\nu \) comes from. For some function \( \alpha \) to be precised later, let us put \( A'_\nu = \chi^{\alpha(\nu)} A_\nu' \), for which
\[
A'_\nu \circ T = \chi^{\alpha(\nu)} A_{\nu+1}' = \chi^{\alpha(\nu)-\alpha(\nu+1)} A_{\nu+1}',
\]
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\[ A'_{N-1} \circ T = \chi^{\alpha(N-1)} A_0^\alpha = \chi^{\alpha(N-1)+1-\alpha(0)} A'_0. \]

We must find \( \alpha \) s.t.
\[ \alpha(\nu) - \alpha(\nu + 1) \equiv 1 \mod \delta, 0 \leq \nu < N - 1, \]
and
\[ \alpha(N - 1) - \alpha(0) + 1 \equiv 1 \mod \delta. \]

The first set of equations gives us \( \alpha(\nu) \equiv \alpha(0) - \nu \mod \delta \) and the second \( \alpha(0) - (N - 1) \equiv \alpha(0) \mod \delta \), which is possible only when \( N \equiv 1 \mod \delta \). Setting \( \alpha_0 = \alpha(0) \) yields the result.

(d) Proposition 4.7 gives us \( C'_\nu \circ T = \zeta_{24}^{2e(p-1)} C'_\nu \). A glance at Table 2 shows that \( p^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \mod (24/e) \), which implies \( 2e(p - 1) \equiv -(p - 1)^2 e \mod 24 \) and therefore \( \zeta_{24}^{2e(p-1)} = \chi \). □

The actual value of \( \alpha \) is in fact dictated by the other invariance properties that follow.

**Remark.** This proposition shows at the same time that we cannot expect some nice \( T \)-action when \( N \neq 1 \mod \delta \).

Let us turn our attention to the \( S \)-action on our candidate functions, using the notations of Proposition 4.3.

**Proposition 4.8**

(i) \((B', A'_0) \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0}(A'_0, B') \).

(ii) When \( \gcd(\nu, p_1 p_2) = 1 \), \( A'_\nu \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0} A'_\nu \).

(iii) For \( \nu = p_1 \rho \), \( A'_\nu \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0} C'_{2, \varpi} \).

(iv) For \( 1 \leq \nu < p_2 \), \( \mu \equiv -1/(\nu p_1) \mod p_2 \) and \( C'_{2, \nu} \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0} A'_\mu \).

(v) \( C'_{2, 0} \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0} C'_{4, 0} \).

(vi) For \( \nu = \rho p \), \( 1 \leq \rho < p \), set \( 1 = -\varpi \rho + w p \). For all \( p \), \( A'_\nu \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0} A'_\rho \).

(vii) For \( 1 \leq \nu < p \), setting \( \mu \equiv -1/\nu \mod p \), we have \( C'_\omega \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0} A'_\mu \).

**Proof:**

(i) We have \( B' \circ S = \chi^{-\alpha_0} A'_0 \); \( A'_0 \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0} B' \) and the result follows from \( \chi^{-\alpha_0} = \chi \alpha_0 \).

(ii) Proposition 4.4 can be rewritten
\[ A'_\nu \circ S = \chi^{\omega - \nu - \theta_3(\nu)} A'_\omega \]

and we simplify the exponent using \( 1 = -\omega \nu + v_{12} \mod \delta \), which leads to:
\[ A'_\nu \circ S = A'_\omega \begin{cases} 
\chi^{-3} & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\
\chi^{(-3 + v_{12}(2p_1 - 1))} & \text{if } p_2 = 2.
\end{cases} \]

When \( p_2 \neq 2 \), we use \( \chi^{-3} = \chi \alpha_0 \). The \( p_2 = 2 \) can occur only for \( \delta = 3 \), in which case \( p_1 \equiv -1 \mod 3 \) and the exponent is \( \chi = 0 \).

(iii) For \( \nu = \rho p_1 \): we use \( 1 = -\varpi \nu + w p_2 \) to get
\[ A'_\nu \circ S = \chi^{-\theta_4(\rho)} C'_{2, \varpi} \]

or
\[ \chi^{-\alpha_0 + \nu} A'_\nu \circ S = \chi^{-\theta_4(\rho)} \chi^{\varpi - \theta_2(\varpi)} C'_{2, \varpi} \]

and we need simplify:
\[ -\theta_4(\rho) - \theta_2(\varpi) + \alpha_0 + \varpi - \nu. \]
Using the definition of $\theta_4$, we get

$$A'_\nu \circ S = C'_{2,\omega} \begin{cases} \chi^{\alpha_0 - \rho((p_2 + 1)w + p_1 + 1)} & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\ \chi^{\alpha_0 - \rho((3p_1 + 1)p + p_1 + 3w - 2)} & \text{if } p_2 = 2. \end{cases}$$

and we conclude using $p_i \equiv -1 \mod \delta$.

(iv) For $1 \leq \nu < p_2$, we compute $\mu \equiv -1/(\nu p_1) \mod p_2$ and

$$C'_{2,\nu} \circ S = \chi^{\theta_2(\nu) - \nu} A'_{\mu p_1} = \chi^{\theta_2(\nu) - \nu - \alpha_0 + \mu p_1} A'_{\mu p_1}.$$

Simplifying the exponent gives

$$C'_{2,\nu} \circ S = A'_{\mu p_1} \begin{cases} \chi^{\alpha_0 + \mu((p_2 + 1)v_2 + p_1 + 1)} & \text{if } p_2 \neq 2, \\ \chi^{\alpha_0 + 4p_1 + 3} & \text{if } p_2 = 2. \end{cases}$$

where for $p_2 = 2$, we used $\nu = \mu = 1$. We conclude as in (iii).

(v) When $p_2 \neq 2$, we start from

$$C'_{2,0} \circ S = \chi^{2\theta_1(0)} C'_{1,0}$$

from which

$$\chi^{-\theta_2(0)} C_{2,0}' \circ S = \chi^{\theta_1(0)} C_{1,0}'$$

or

$$C_{2,0}' \circ S = \chi^{\theta_1(0) + \theta_2(0)} C_{1,0}'$$

and the exponent is

$$uv(p_1 + p_2 + 2) + u + v - 2.$$ This quantity is $\equiv u + v - 2 \mod \delta$ since $p_i \equiv -1 \mod \delta$. Moreover $1 \equiv p_1(u + v) \mod \delta$ and finally the exponent is $-3 \mod \delta$.

When $p_2 = 2$, we have

$$\chi^{-\theta_2(0)} C_{2,0}' \circ S = \chi^{-(u^2 p_1 p_2 + 1) + u - \nu} C_{1,0}'$$

or

$$C_{2,0}' \circ S = \chi^{-\frac{(p_1^2 + p_2 - 3)w^2 - 3}{2}} C_{1,0}'$$

and this is $\chi^0$ since this can only happen when $\delta = 3$.

(vi) Since $1 = -\omega \rho + wp$, we can write

$$A'_\nu \circ S = \chi^{\alpha_0 - \nu - \kappa(\omega)} C'_{\omega}.$$

and the result comes from the definition of $\kappa$.

(vii) $C'_{\nu} \circ S = A'_{\mu p}$ or

$$C'_{\nu} \circ S = \chi^{\kappa(\nu)} \chi^{-\alpha_0 + \mu p} A'_{\mu p},$$

and we conclude as in (vi). □
4.5 Properties of the modular equation

From the preceding sections, we see that

$$
\Phi(F) = (F - B') \prod_{\nu=0}^{N-1} (F - A'_{\nu}) \prod_{\nu=0}^{p_1-1} (F - C'_{1,\nu}) \prod_{\nu=0}^{p_2-1} (F - C'_{2,\nu})
$$

is a modular equation whose coefficients can be expressed in terms of \(j, \gamma_2\) or \(\gamma_3\) depending on the value of \(\delta\). Before doing this, we may express these coefficients as Puiseux series.

**Proposition 4.9** With the usual notations:

(a) the coefficient of smallest order of \(\Phi\) is \(q^{-2\text{re}}\);

(b) the trace has order \(\text{re}\);

(c) when \(p_1 \neq p_2\), \(\Phi(0) = 1\);

(d) when \(p_1 = p_2 = p\), \(\Phi(0) = (-p)^{e(p-1)/2}\) when \(p\) is odd and \(2^4\) when \(p = 2\).

**Proof:** (a) the coefficient of smallest order comes from the coefficient of \(F^{\psi(N) - N - 1}\) which has the order of \(B' \prod_{\nu=0}^{N-1} A'_{\nu}\) or

$$
-\text{re} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \frac{-\text{re}}{N} = -2\text{re}.
$$

When \(p_1 \neq p_2\), \(\psi(N) - N - 1 = p_1 + p_2\); when \(p_1 = p_2 = p\), this is \(p - 1\). Note that all other terms have orders strictly less than this bound.

As an example, when \(s = e\), the degree of the equation in \(J\) is \(2rs\) and the corresponding term is \(J^{2rs} F^{\psi(N) - N - 1}\).

Moreover

$$
B' \prod_{\nu=0}^{N-1} A'_{\nu} = \left( \prod_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \chi^{a_0 - \nu} \right) \zeta_{24N}^{-\text{re}N(N-1)/2} q^{-2\text{re}} (1 + \cdots)
$$

$$
= \chi^{a_0 - N(N-1)/2} \zeta_{24N}^{-4\text{re}N(N-1)/2} q^{-2\text{re}} (1 + \cdots)
$$

$$
= \chi^{a_0 - N(N-1)/2} \zeta_{24N}^{-3\text{re}N(N-1)/2} q^{-2\text{re}} (1 + \cdots),
$$

using \(N \equiv 1 \mod \delta\). When \(N\) is odd, this reduces to

$$
\chi^{a_0 - N(N-1)/2 + (N-1)/2} q^{-2\text{re}} (1 + \cdots) = \chi^{a_0 - (N-1)^2/2} q^{-2\text{re}} (1 + \cdots).
$$

(b) The dominant term in the sum of the conjugates is that of \(B'\), namely \(q^{-\text{re}}\).

(c) For \(p_1 \neq p_2\):

$$
\prod_{\nu=0}^{p_1-1} C'_{2,\nu} = \prod_{\nu=0}^{p_2-1} \chi^{\theta_2(\nu) - \nu} \zeta_{24N}^{-\text{re}e(p-1)/2} q^{-2\text{re}/p_2} (1 + \cdots) = \chi^{-p_2(p_2-1)/2} \zeta_{24N}^{-e(p-1)/2} q^{-2\text{re}/p_2} (1 + \cdots).
$$

Multiplying all together, we find the norm to be of valuation 0, hence a constant

$$
\vartheta = \chi^{a_0 - N(N-1)/2} \zeta_{24N}^{-4\text{re}N(N-1)/2} \chi^{-p_1(p_1-1)/2} \zeta_{p_1}^{-e(p-1)/2} \chi^{-p_2(p_2-1)/2} \zeta_{p_2}^{-e(p-1)/2}.
$$
\[
\vartheta = \frac{2}{p_1} \varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^2 \chi \alpha_0 - N(N-1)/2 - p_1(p_1-1)/2 - p_2(p_2-1)/2 - 24 \epsilon \tilde{e} N((N-1)/2 - (p_1 + p_2 - 2)/2).
\]

When \( p_2 = 2 \) (with \( p_1 \) odd), we have \( \delta = 3 \) always, meaning \( \alpha_0 = 0 \) and \( N \equiv 1 \mod 3 \). Therefore, noting that \( \epsilon \) is always even:

\[
\vartheta = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p_1 \equiv -1 \mod 3. \\
\end{cases}
\]

When \( p_2 \neq 2 \), both \( p_i \) being odd, we may use the quadratic reciprocity law to find:

\[
\vartheta = (-1)^e(p_1-1)(p_2-1)/4 \chi \alpha_0 - N(N-1)/2 - p_1(p_1-1)/2 - p_2(p_2-1)/2 - 24 \epsilon \tilde{e} N((N-1)/2 - (p_1 + p_2 - 2)/2).
\]

Since \( p_1 + p_2 - 2 \) is even, we obtain:

\[
\vartheta = \frac{3(24 \epsilon)}{2} \chi \alpha_0 - N(N-1)/2 - p_1(p_1-1)/2 - p_2(p_2-1)/2 + (N-1)/2 - (p_1 + p_2 - 2)/2
\]

\[
= \chi \alpha_0 - N(N-1)/2 - p_1(p_1-1)/2 - p_2(p_2-1)/2 + (N-1)/2 - (p_1 + p_2 - 2)/2,
\]

and by inspection, this is always 1.

(d) When \( p_1 = p_2 = p \), we get:

\[
\prod_{\nu=1}^{p-1} C_{\nu} = \prod_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \chi^{\kappa(\nu)} p^{\nu/2} / \varepsilon_{\nu} \frac{\zeta_{24}^{\epsilon \theta(\nu)} \zeta_{24p}^{-\nu} q^{(p-1)/12}}{} (1 + \cdots)
\]

\[
= \chi^{p(p-1)/2} p^{p(p-1)/2} \left( \prod_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon(\nu) \right)^{e} \frac{\zeta_{24}^{\epsilon \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \theta(\nu)} \zeta_{24p}^{-p(1)/2} q^{-2p+1} (1 + \cdots)}{}.
\]

The quantity \( \prod_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon(\nu) \) is 1 for \( p = 2 \); when \( p \) is odd

\[
\prod_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon(\nu) = \left( \frac{\epsilon(p-1)(p-1)!}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)
\]

using Wilson’s theorem.

When \( p = 2 \), \( e = 8 \), \( \delta = 3 \), we find:

\[
\vartheta = \chi^{\alpha_0 - N(N-1)/2} \epsilon^{-24 \epsilon \tilde{e} N((N-1)/2 + p(p-1)/2 p^{p(1-1)/2} - \epsilon \tilde{e} N((N-1)/2 + \epsilon^{-p(1-1)/2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \theta(\nu)) \zeta_{24p}^{-p} \zeta_{24}^{\epsilon \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \theta(\nu)} \zeta_{24}^{\epsilon} \chi_{24}^{24} \chi_{48}^{-8} = 2^4.
\]

When \( p \) is odd

\[
\vartheta = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{e} \chi^{\alpha_0 - N(N-1)/2 + p(p-1)/2 p^{p(1-1)/2} - \epsilon 24 \epsilon \tilde{e} N((N-1)/2) p^{p(1-1)/2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \theta(\nu)} \zeta_{24}^{\epsilon} \chi_{24}^{24} \chi_{48}^{-8} = 2^4.
\]

Now:

\[
\sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \theta(\nu) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} p \nu(1 - \mu^2) + (-3p + 2 + \nu) \mu - 3 + 3p = (p - 3p + 2) S_0 + 3(p - 1)^2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} -p \nu \mu^2 + v \mu
\]
where \( S_0 = \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \nu \). Using \( 1 = -\mu \nu + vp \), the sum becomes
\[
\sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} p\mu (1 - vp) + v\mu = \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \mu (p - vp^2 + v) \equiv pS_0 \mod 24
\]
in all cases: when \( p > 3 \), \( p^2 \equiv 1 \mod 24 \); when \( p = 3 \), \( \nu = 1 \) (resp. \( \nu = -1 \)) leads to \( \mu = -1 \), \( v = 0 \) (resp. \( \mu = 1 \), \( v = 0 \)). Therefore, the exponent of \( \zeta_{24} \) is
\[
\equiv e(-p-1)/2 + (-p+2)S_0 + 3(p-1)^2 \equiv -e(p-7)(p-1)^2/2 \mod 24,
\]
so that
\[
\vartheta = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^e p^{e(p-1)/2} e^{-24reN(N-1)/2} \chi_{\alpha_0-N(N-1)/2+p(p-1)/2}^{-e(p-1)^2/2} \varphi_{24N}
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^e p^{e(p-1)/2} \chi_{\alpha_0+(N-1)/2-N(N-1)/2+p(p-1)/2+(p-7)/2}^{-e(p-1)/2} \chi_{\alpha_0-(p^4-3p^2-8)/2}.
\]
For instance, when \( p = 3 \), \( e = 3 \), \( \delta = 2 \), we find \( \vartheta = (-1)3^3(-1)^{1-31} = -3^3 \). More generally, as soon as \( p > 3 \),
\[
\vartheta = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^e p^{e(p-1)/2} \chi_{\alpha_0-3} = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^e p^{e(p-1)/2}
\]
since \( p^2 \equiv 1 \mod 24 \) and the fact already used that \( \chi_{\alpha_0} = \chi^{-3} \). \( \square \)

### 4.6 Computing the modular equations using series expansions

There are many methods to compute the modular equations. For large computations, it is possible to use suitably modified versions of [3] or [2]. Also, we can use resultants in the same spirit as in the remark at the end of Section 3, noting that \( \mathfrak{w}_{p_1,p_2}^s = (\mathfrak{w}_{p_1}(z)/\mathfrak{w}_{p_1}(z/p_2))^s \).

Here, we content ourselves to use series expansions and nice formulas that can help us for small cases. Also, this will add new properties to our equations.

Looking carefully at the expression for \( S_k \), we see that the terms in \( C_1, C_2 \) or \( C \) cannot contribute to the modular equation, since they have positive order. Therefore, we need only consider the expansions of \( B'^k \) and \( S_{A,k} \). Doing this, we see that the useful terms for \( S_{A,k} \) are for \( j \leq -ktN'/N \). Since \( B'^k = q^{-r^k}(1 + \cdots) \) and \( 1 \leq k \leq \psi(N) \), we need at least \( rev\psi(N) \) terms in the last coefficient. Since \( B' \) is the product and quotient of very sparse series, it might be worthwhile to compute its powers by successive applications of special routines handling this kind of computations. It is possible to compute nice formulas for the \( S_{A,k} \), in the spirit of the ones to come, but we do not need them.

A second algorithm consists in grouping
\[
\Phi(F) = P_B(F)P_A(F)PC_1(F)PC_2(F)
\]
and to compute \( P_A \) (resp. \( PC_1 \) and \( PC_2 \)) via its power sums that are given in the preceding propositions.

Inspired by the approach of section 3.2, the third algorithm uses the reciprocal polynomial, whose powers sums will depend on the \( C'_{1,\nu} \) and \( C'_{2,\nu} \) only:
\[
\Sigma_k = \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_2-1} \frac{1}{C_{2,\nu}'} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_1-1} \frac{1}{C_{1,\nu}''},
\]
which is a process involving \( p_1 + p_2 \). We will prove two useful results (propositions 4.10 and 4.11 below) to help us compute these quantities.

**Proposition 4.10** For all integers \( k \neq 0 \),

\[
S_{C_2,k} = p_2^{k} q^{kt/\delta} \sum_{j \geq ktp'_2/p_2} c_{k,jp_2 - ktp'_2} q^j,
\]

where \((p_2 + 1)/\delta = p'_2\) and the \( c_{k,i} \) are explicitly given in the proof.

**Proof**: put \( w = q^{1/p_2} \), \( \zeta = \zeta_{p_2} \) and write

\[
\frac{\eta(p_1z) \eta\left(\frac{z}{p_2}\right)}{\eta(z) \eta\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)} = \left(\frac{w^{p_1p_2/24}(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i w^{p_2i})}{w^{p_2/24}(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i w^{p_2i})} w^{1/24}(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i w^{p_2i})\right) = w^\nu C_{12}(w)
\]

with \( C_{12}(q) = 1 + \cdots \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]] \) (which is symmetrical in \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \), from which

\[
C_{2,\nu}' = \chi^{\theta_2(\nu) - \nu} \chi^{-\theta_2(\nu)} \varepsilon_2^{\nu}(w\zeta^{\nu})^\nu C_{12}(w\zeta^{\nu})^\nu.
\]

and

\[
S_{C_2,k} = \varepsilon_2^{ke} w^{k\nu} \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_2-1} \chi^{-k\nu} \zeta^{k\nu} C_{12}(w\zeta^{\nu})^\nu.
\]

Writing \( C_{12}(w)^{ek} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{k,i} w^i \) (remark this is valid irrespective of the sign of \( k \), the inner sum becomes

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{k,i} w^i \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_2-1} \left(\chi^{-k\nu} \zeta^{k\nu+i}\right)^\nu,
\]

in which the root of unity \( \chi^{-k\nu} \zeta^{k\nu+i} = \zeta^{24k\nu} \zeta^{k\nu} = \zeta^{24k\nu p_2 + 24k\nu} = \zeta^{24k\nu(p_2+1)} \). Now, we use the fact that \( p_2 \equiv -1 \mod \delta \), so that \( re(p_2 + 1) = tp'_2 \) where \( p'_2 = (p_2 + 1)/\delta \). The above sum is now

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{k,i} w^i \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_2-1} \left(\chi^{kp'_2+i}\right)^\nu = p_2 \sum_{i = -kp'_2 \mod p_2}^{p_2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{p_2-1} c_{k,i} w^i = p_2 w^{-kp'_2} \sum_{j \geq kp'_2/p_2}^{p_2-1} c_{k,jp_2 - kp'_2} q^j.
\]

leading to the result. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.11** In case \( p_1 = p_2 = p \), for all \( k \neq 0 \),

\[
S_{C,k} \in \left(q^{-1/24} \frac{\eta(pz)^2}{\eta(z)}\right)^{ek} \mathbb{Z}[[q]] = q^{Kk/\delta} \mathbb{Z}[[q]],
\]

where all series are explicated in the proof.

**Proof**: One uses \( \zeta = \zeta_p \) in

\[
S_{C,k} = p^{ek/2} \left(\frac{\eta(pz)^2}{\eta(z)}\right)^{ek} \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon(\nu)^{ek} \chi^{k\nu} \zeta^{ek\nu} \sum_{j \geq kp'_2/p_2}^{p_2-1} c_{k,jp_2 - kp'_2} q^j
\]
\[ S_{C,k} = 2^{4k} \left( \frac{q^{-1/24} \eta(2z)^2}{\eta(z)} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{8k} c_{k,i} q^i (\zeta_2^{-k} \zeta_2^i)^{c_k \epsilon_k} = (\zeta_2^i) \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(2z)^2 \right) \sum_{i=0}^{8k} c_{k,i} (-q)^i. \]

For \( p \) odd, the root of unity in the inner sum of \( (3) \) is

\[ \epsilon(\nu) \chi_{24} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_{k,i} q^i (\zeta_2^{-k} \zeta_2^i)^{\nu}, \]

the exponent of \( \zeta_{24p} \) being

\[ p(- (p - 1)^2 \mu + p \nu (1 - \mu^2) + (-3 p + 2 + \nu) \mu - 3 + 3 p) - \nu. \]

When \( p = 3 \) and \( e = 3 \), we find

\[ \zeta_{24}^{-k(32 \mu + 8 \nu - 8 \nu \mu^2 + 18)} = (\zeta_{12}^{-16 \mu + 4 \nu - 4 \nu \mu^2 + 9})^k = (\zeta_4^3 \zeta_3^k)^k, \]

leading to

\[ S_{C,k} = 3^{3k/2} \zeta_4^{3k} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(3z)^2 \right) \sum_{i=0}^{3k} c_{k,i} q^i \sum_{\nu=1}^{2} \epsilon(\nu)^k (\zeta_3^{i+k})^{\nu}. \]

When \( k \) is even, this boils down to

\[ S_{C,k} = (-3)^{3k/2} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(3z)^2 \right) \sum_{i=-k \mod 3}^{2} c_{k,i} q^i - \sum_{i \neq -k \mod 3}^{2} c_{k,i} q^i \]

\[ = (-3)^{3k/2} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(3z)^2 \right) \sum_{i=-k \mod 3}^{3} c_{k,i} q^i - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{k,i} q^i. \]

When \( k \) is odd

\[ S_{C,k} = 3^{3k/2} \zeta_4^{3k} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(3z)^2 \right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{k,i} q^i \sum_{\nu=1}^{2} \epsilon(\nu)^k (\zeta_3^{i+k})^{\nu} \]
and
\[
\sum_{\nu=1}^{2} \varepsilon(\nu)^{k}(\zeta_{3}^{i+k})^{\nu} = -\zeta_{3}^{i+k} + \zeta_{3}^{2(i+k)} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } i + k \equiv 0 \mod 3, \\
(\nu(i+k) \mod 3 \sqrt{3} & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]
from which
\[
S_{C,k} = (-3)^{(3k+1)/2} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(z)^{2} \right)^{3k} \sum_{i+k \not\equiv 0 \mod 3}^{\infty} (\nu(i+k) \mod 3 \sqrt{3} c_{k,i} q^{i}.
\]

When \( p > 3 \), we get
\[
(\zeta_{24}^{-(p-1)^{2}u \mu + q \nu} (1-\mu^{2} + (-3 \mu + 2 + \nu) - 3 + 3 \mu) e_k(\zeta_{24}^{1-e_k+24\nu}))^{\nu} = \left( \zeta_{24}^{(\nu-\nu \mu^{2}-\mu+3) \mu + \mu \nu-3} \right) e_k(\zeta_{24}^{(\nu-\nu \mu^{2}+1)} e_k(\zeta_{24}^{(\nu-\nu \mu^{2}+1)})))^{\nu}.
\]
Using \( p^{2} \equiv 1 \mod 24 \). We simplify this as
\[
(\zeta_{24}^{p^{(\nu+u)-3}} e_k(\zeta_{24}^{1-e_k+24\nu}))^{\nu} = \zeta_{8}^{e_k(p-1)} \left( \zeta_{24}^{(\nu-\nu \mu^{2}+1)} e_k(\zeta_{24}^{1}) \right)^{\nu}.
\]

Write \( p^{2} - 1 = 24p' \) to obtain \( \zeta_{8}^{e_k(p-1)} (\zeta_{p}^{ekp'+i})^{\nu} \).

When \( k \) is even, this gives
\[
S_{C,k} = p^{e_k/2} \zeta_{8}^{e_k(p-1)} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(z)^{2} \right)^{ek} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{k,i} q^{i} \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} (\zeta_{p}^{ekp'+i})^{\nu}
\]
\[
= p^{e_k/2} \zeta_{8}^{e_k(p-1)} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(z)^{2} \right)^{ek} \left( p - 1 \right) \sum_{i+ekp' \equiv 0 \mod p} c_{k,i} q^{i} - \sum_{i+ekp' \equiv \not 0 \mod p} c_{k,i} q^{i}
\]
\[
= p^{e_k/2} \zeta_{8}^{e_k(p-1)} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(z)^{2} \right)^{ek} \left( p \sum_{i+ekp' \equiv 0 \mod p} c_{k,i} q^{i} - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{k,i} q^{i} \right)
\]

When \( k \) is odd, remarking that \( e \) is always odd from Table 2, the sum is now
\[
S_{C,k} = p^{e_k/2} \zeta_{8}^{e_k(p-1)} \left( q^{-1/24} \eta(z)^{2} \right)^{ek} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{k,i} q^{i} \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon(\nu) (\zeta_{p}^{i+ekp'})^{\nu}
\]

But \( \sum_{\nu=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon(\nu) (\zeta_{p}^{i+ekp'})^{\nu} = 0 \) when \( i + ekp' \equiv 0 \mod p \) since there are the same number of quadratic residues and non-quadratic residues modulo \( p \). When \( i + ekp' \equiv \not 0 \mod p \), \( \zeta_{p}^{i+ekp'} \) is a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity. Remember that \[8,\ Ch. 6]\]
\[
\sum_{x \text{ residue}}^{\zeta_{p}^{x}} - \sum_{x \text{ non residue}}^{\zeta_{p}^{x}} = \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{p}.
\]
Let \( g \) be a generator of \((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*\). If \( u \) is an integer, then
\[
\sum_{x \text{ residue}} (\zeta_{p}^{u} x)^{\nu} - \sum_{x \text{ non residue}} (\zeta_{p}^{u} x)^{\nu} = (-1)^{u} \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{p}.
\]
When $i + e k p' \not\equiv 0 \mod p$, we set $\Omega(i + e k p') = u$ such that $g^u \equiv i + e k p' \mod p$. Then

$$S_{C,k} = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \sqrt{\left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)} c_{C,k}^{(p-1)/2} \left( q^{-1/24} \frac{\eta(pz)^2}{\eta(z)} \right)^{ek} \sum_{i=0, i + e k p' \not\equiv 0 \mod p} (-1)^{\Omega(i + e k p')} c_{k,i} q^i.$$ 

When $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, the first terms simplify to $c_{C,k}^{(p-1)/4} = (-1)^{(p-1)/4}$; when $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, we get $c_{C,k}^{2 + e k (p-1)} = -c_{C,k}^{1 + e k (p-1)/2} = (-1)^{(3 + e k (p-1)/2)/2}$. 

As a last point, the dominant term of $S_{C,k}$ is $q^{k (p-1)/12}$. When $p = 2$ and $e = 8$, this is $2k/3$, whereas $re = 1/3$; when $p = 3$, $e = 3$, we get $k/2$, whereas $re = 1/2$. For $p > 3$, we have $e = 1$ and we compare $(p - 1)/12$ and $re = (p - 1)/2 \cdot (p - 1)/12$. Looking at the valuation of 2 and 3, we deduce that $re = t/\delta$ and $(p - 1)/12 = p'/\delta$. □

4.7 Tables of equations for double $\eta$-quotients

$$\Phi[u_2^3](F, G_2) = F^6 - G_2 F^5 + 208 F^3 + 31 G_2 F^2 + G_2^2 F + 16,$$

$$\Phi[u_3^2](F, G_3) = F^{12} - G_3 F^{11} - 522 F^{10} + 27 G_3 F^9 - 10557 F^8 - 162 G_3 F^7 - 14076 F^6 - 18 G_3 F^5 - 9801 F^4 + 163 G_3 F^3 + (486 - G_3^2) F^2 - 9 G_3 F - 27.$$

$$\Phi[u_3^2](F, G_3) = F^{32} - G_3 F^{31} - 514 F^{30} + 21 G_3 F^{29} - 12585 F^{28} - 147 G_3 F^{27} - 25158 F^{26} + 32 G_3 F^{25} - 5103 F^{24} + 378 G_3 F^{23} + 90556 F^{22} - 1638 G_3 F^{21} - 21994 F^{20} - 28136 F^{18} + 1620 G_3 F^{17} + 25650 F^{16} - 252 G_3 F^{15} - 3944 F^{14} - 322 G_3 F^{13} - 14938 F^{12} + 22 G_3 F^{11} - (G_3^2 - 940) F^{10} - 10 G_3 F^9 + 1953 F^8 + G_3 F^7 - 462 F^6 + 7 G_3 F^5 + 15 F^4 - G_3 F^3 - 10 F^2 + 1.$$ 

5 Conclusion

We have studied modular equations involving $\eta_2$ and $\eta_3$ for double $\eta$-quotients. As a result, more compact modular equations can be stored and used, with application to the SEA algorithm (see for instance [10]), or CM computations, as motivated for instance by [13] (see [11]).

It seems natural to conjecture that more general functions can exhibit the same properties. Experiments can be conducted on Newman functions, using for instance the resultant approach, leading to new instances of the theorems. This will described in another article.
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