The sea squirt *Ciona intestinalis* (Figure 1) has recently emerged as a powerful model organism for biological research in the postgenomic era. Ever since their days as a favorite of early developmental biologists like Laurent Chabry (Chabry 1887), Ed Conklin (Conklin 1905), and even famed geneticist Thomas Hunt Morgan (Morgan 1923), sea squirts, or ascidians, have been recognized as choice organisms for experimental embryology due to their simple embryos, rapid development, and ease of manipulation. These advantages, coupled to their amenability to genomic and systems approaches (Satoh et al. 2003), today drive the sure but steady acceptance of ascidians into the mainstream of developmental biology.

A cidians are a paraphyletic group within the subphylum Tunicata in the chordate phylum. For a long time it was believed that cephalochordata as the sister group to the vertebrates. However, improved phylogenetic methods and sequencing of tunicate (also known as “urochordate”) and cephalochordate genomes clearly confirmed that the tunicates, although highly divergent in body plan and genomic architecture, are our closest living invertebrate relatives (Delsuc et al. 2006; Putnam et al. 2008). This phylogenetic position has been an important factor for the adoption of the sea squirt as a “model organisms” for the biomedical sciences.

We will not provide an in-depth discussion of all the advantages or disadvantages of using ascidians, more specifically *Ciona* spp., in biological research. Nor do we intend this review to be a primer on ascidian biology. These have been covered elsewhere (Christiaen et al. 2009c; Lemaire 2009, 2011). Instead, we will focus on the genetic tools available to the biologist studying *C. intestinalis* and related species. These include not only the traditional approaches of forward and reverse genetic screens, but also molecular genetic techniques, especially those based on transient transfection of whole embryos with plasmid DNA. The two major experimental species, *C. intestinalis* and *C. savignyi*, are weakly cross-fertile (Byrd and Lambert 2000) and are treated here almost interchangeably, referred to as simply *Ciona*. In fact, evidence points to the grouping of more than one cryptic species within *C. intestinalis* (Suzuki et al. 2005; Iannelli et al. 2007), so it would be more appropriate to talk about a *Ciona* species complex. Embryogenesis is virtually identical in all *Ciona* spp., despite neutral genomic sequence variation 10 times greater than that observed between rat and mouse (Hill et al. 2008).

Other ascidian species utilized as experimental species in the laboratory include *Halocynthia roretzi*, *Phallusia mammilata*, *Molgula* spp., *Ascidia aspersa*, *Ecteinascidia turbinata*, and *Botryllus schlosseri*. Extreme conservation of
embryonic development among these distantly related species is a general rule (Lemaire 2009), in spite of profound differences in genomic sequence (Oda-Ishii et al. 2005) or in choice of cell signaling pathway used for induction of a given cell fate (Tokuoka et al. 2007; Hudson and Yasuo 2008). This dichotomy of phenotypic constancy and molecular divergence is of great interest to those studying the evolution of development. Overall, the remarkable conservation of ascidian embryogenesis also provides some hope that most techniques described here might be readily applied to other sea squirt species.

Forward Genetics

The latest techniques and strategies for genetic screens in Ciona have recently been reviewed and outlined in exceptional detail (Veeman et al. 2011). Here we attempt to summarize basic aspects of doing forward genetics in Ciona. One particular advantage that has motivated the development of forward genetics in Ciona, especially for developmental studies, is the minimal overlap in gene functions. Due to tunicates having branched off from vertebrates before the latter underwent two whole-genome duplication events (Dehal and Boore 2005), several paralogous gene families in vertebrates are each represented by a single ortholog in Ciona. This means the requirement for these genes can be readily tested without the need for double or triple mutants to circumvent such overlap in gene function.

The generation time of Ciona is 1–3 mo. Under certain culturing conditions, sperm can be obtained in 1 mo and eggs after 2 mo (Sasakura et al. 2003). Most solitary ascidians are hermaphroditic broadcast spawners. This presents advantages as well as disadvantages to the geneticist studying them. One advantage is that Ciona adults show a modest ability to self-fertilize. This allows one to screen for recessive mutations in the progeny of selfed F0 animals. Second, their broadcast spawning strategy is tied to their large effective population sizes, which has resulted in extreme polymorphism rates (Small et al. 2007b). Genome-wide average single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) heterozygosity is at 1.2% in C. intestinalis and reaches 4.5% in C. savignyi (Dehal et al. 2002; Kim et al. 2007; Small et al. 2007b). In other words, any two Ciona individuals from the same population are as different from one another, at the sequence level, as humans are from chimpanzees. This means that there is no shortage of SNPs to use as genetic markers, averaging a SNP or indel per 80 bp of genome. Indeed, the latest positional mapping strategies in Ciona use direct sequencing of SNPs (Veeman et al. 2011).

Unfortunately, the elevated levels of polymorphism make identifying the particular mutation underlying a phenotype difficult to pinpoint. Nevertheless, successful identification of genes underlying mutant phenotypes has been carried out. Screening for short-tailed mutants revealed essential roles for prickle and laminin α3/4/5 in notochord cell polarity and convergence (Figure 2, B–F) (Jiang et al. 2005; Veeman et al. 2008). These mutants were identified by screening progeny from self-fertilized “wild-caught” Ciona. This demonstrates how the extreme natural variation in wild Ciona populations also provides a wealth of naturally occurring recessive mutations. Additionally, N-ethyl-N-nitosourea (ENU) has been successfully used to induce mutations (Figure 2A) (Chiba et al. 2009). Mutant strains are propagated for distribution by the Ascidian Stock Center at the University of California, Santa Barbara (http://www.ascidiancenter.ucsb.edu/). Currently, there are no isogenic inbred lines available for Ciona.

Ciona also present unique challenges relating primarily to their captivity and husbandry. As marine filter-feeders, they require circulating sea water. Both open circulation systems utilizing a natural source of sea water and food as well as closed circulation systems utilizing cultured microplankton as a food source have been developed to rear Ciona (Hendrickson et al. 2004; Joly et al. 2007). Open systems are easier to maintain, but may be difficult to set up, depending greatly on local availability of microplankton-laden sea water. Closed systems can be set up far inland, but may encounter problems such as inadequate food supply, water fouling, pests, etc. Nonetheless, the breeding and rearing of Ciona in the laboratory is feasible and stands to be further developed as more groups begin to incorporate genetic screens and genetic engineering in their studies (see below).

Reverse Genetics

The sequencing of the C. intestinalis (Dehal et al. 2002) and C. savignyi (Vinson et al. 2005; Small et al. 2007a) genomes in the early to mid 2000s immediately made reverse genetics in Ciona quite alluring. This is especially the case for studies in developmental biology where a limited number of candidate genes identified from genomic and EST sequences are predicted to effect early embryogenesis. For instance, of
mutant C. savignyi screened from progeny of self-fertilized wild animals. The aim is to obtain cells of an animal, contrasted to anterior or random placement of nuclei in the notochord. This results in metric localization of nuclei at the posterior end of wild-type notochord cells, required for proper notochord cell convergent extension. (E and F) Besides its role in regulating mediolateral polarity during convergent extension, Prickle is involved in regulation of later PCP in the notochord. Note asymmetric localization of nuclei at the posterior end of wild-type notochord cells, contrasted to anterior or random placement of nuclei in notochord cells of an aim mutant. (G) Wild-type and (H) swimming juvenile (sj) mutant C. intestinalis larvae. sj mutation is due to a Minos retrotransposon insertion in the cellulose synthase A (CesA) gene. The synthesis of cellulose (a major component of the larval and adult tunic) is impaired in sj mutants, resulting in larvae that metamorphose without settlement on a solid substrate and without apoptosis of tail structures. Note elongated stalk (St) and endostyle (Es), hallmarks of metamorphosis, in larvae that are still swimming by day 6 after fertilization (6 dpf). Bars in A, 50 μm; E and F, 10 μm; and H, 100 μm. A is adapted from Chiba et al. 2009 and B–D, from Veeman et al. 2008 with permission of the authors and Development/The Company of Biologists. E and F are reprinted from Jiang et al. 2005 with permission of Elsevier and the authors, and G and H, from Sasakura et al. (2005), with permission of the authors.

Figure 2 Ciona mutants. (A) Wild type (top) and ENU-induced Brachyury (Bra) homozygous null (bottom) larva of C. savignyi. Brachyury is a transcription factor required for notochord cell specification. Bra null mutants show severe disruption of tail morphogenesis, due to improper specification of convergent-extending notochord cells. (B–D) Notochord cells of (B) wild-type and homozygous, (C) chonnmag (chm), and (D) aimless (aim) mutant C. savignyi embryos. Chm and aim are naturally occurring alleles screened from progeny of self-fertilized wild animals. Chm encodes a homolog of Laminin α3/4/5, and aim encodes the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway component Prickle. Both are downstream of Brachyury and are required for proper notochord cell convergent extension. (E and F) Besides its role in regulating mediolateral polarity during convergent extension, Prickle is involved in regulation of later PCP in the notochord. Note asymmetric localization of nuclei at the posterior end of wild-type notochord cells, contrasted to anterior or random placement of nuclei in notochord cells of an aim mutant. (G) Wild-type and (H) swimming juvenile (sj) mutant C. intestinalis larvae. sj mutation is due to a Minos retrotransposon insertion in the cellulose synthase A (CesA) gene. The synthesis of cellulose (a major component of the larval and adult tunic) is impaired in sj mutants, resulting in larvae that metamorphose without settlement on a solid substrate and without apoptosis of tail structures. Note elongated stalk (St) and endostyle (Es), hallmarks of metamorphosis, in larvae that are still swimming by day 6 after fertilization (6 dpf). Bars in A, 50 μm; E and F, 10 μm; and H, 100 μm. A is adapted from Chiba et al. 2009 and B–D, from Veeman et al. 2008 with permission of the authors and Development/The Company of Biologists. E and F are reprinted from Jiang et al. 2005 with permission of Elsevier and the authors, and G and H, from Sasakura et al. (2005), with permission of the authors.

~385 annotated transcription factor genes predicted from the genome, only 65 are zygotically expressed before gastrulation (Imai et al. 2004). That is a very short list of genes potentially controlling most of early Ciona embryogenesis, tempting many a researcher to derive specific working hypotheses that can be addressed by targeting a small number of selected genes.

Transient genetic perturbations

Reverse genetics traditionally involves the generation of mutations at known positions of the genome prior to phenotyping. For those without the resources or infrastructure to build and maintain a marine culturing system in which to raise mutants, many modern molecular techniques are available for transient genetic perturbations in wild-caught Ciona. An abundance of gravid adults can be found in natural and artificial harbors across the globe, in some places year round (Lambert and Lambert 1998). Adults are simply aird from the substrate and brought to the laboratory where they are kept alive in tanks containing artificial sea water for up to 3 weeks without feeding. Animals can even be “seeded” on detachable substrates and left to fend for themselves until sexual maturity in such places. However, care should be taken to not introduce the species to a location where it does not already occur, as Ciona are notoriously invasive and can cause serious ecological and economic damage (Edwards and Leung 2008).

Microinjection

Thousands of synchronized embryos can be obtained by simply mixing gametes from two or three cultured or wild-caught animals. These embryos are then ready for any number of experiments. Traditionally, the most common manipulation of gene function was through microinjection of mRNA, and to a lesser extent, plasmid DNA (Hikosaka et al. 1993; Imai et al. 2000). mRNA microinjection is still recommended for examining the role of maternally expressed genes, and is the method of choice for sea squirt species for which a suitable DNA electroporation protocol has not yet been established, such as H. roretzi (Kumano et al. 2011).

Researchers studying Ciona embryogenesis have made great use of injected morpholino oligonucleotides (“morpholinos”) to inhibit mRNA translation or splicing, through hybridization to start codon or splicing signal sequences, respectively (Satou et al. 2001a; Heasman 2002). The unnatural backbones of morpholinos preclude their degradation by the cellular machinery. Thus, morpholinos are stable enough to persist throughout embryogenesis, irreversibly inhibiting bound target mRNAs.

Microinjection of Ciona eggs is a relatively labor-intensive technique primarily due to the small size of the ascidian egg (0.1 mm in diameter). Compare this to the egg size of other model chordates such as zebrafish (0.7 mm), Xenopus laevis (1–1.3 mm), and X. tropicalis (0.7–0.8 mm). The elastic nature of the oocyte cortex also makes handling and microinjection of Ciona eggs comparable in difficulty to microinjection of mammalian oocytes (Christiaen et al. 2009b). Published results typically report ~20–60 successfully injected survivors per morpholino tested.
Discussed the relative difficulty of morpholino injections, commitment and hard work can make it pay off in a big way. It was using morpholinos that the provision gene regulatory “blueprint” of the Ciona embryo was generated. Imai and colleagues assayed the expression of 73 developmental regulators at different embryonic stages, in 25 different morphant backgrounds generated by morpholino injection. This revealed over 3000 gene regulatory connections operating in the early Ciona embryo (Imai et al. 2006). Thus, microinjection skills are, for now, indispensable for a rigorous and thorough investigation of gene function in Ciona.

**Electroporation**

Due to the constraints of the microinjection technique, a protocol for electroporating plasmid DNA into fertilized, single-cell zygotes was developed (Corbo et al. 1997). Although electroporation has been used to transfected cells in tissue culture or in avian embryos, as far as we know Ciona are the only animals for which electroporation is used to introduce DNA to whole embryos, en masse. For this technique, plasmid DNA is simply mixed with embryos at the one-cell stage. This mixture is placed in an electroporation cuvette, which is subjected to an electric current, much akin to electroporation of cultured mammalian cells (Chu et al. 1987). It is no exaggeration to say this revolutionized the study of Ciona embryogenesis as thousands of synchronized, transgenic embryos could be generated at the push of a button. Today, electroporation remains the main molecular genetic technique used in most Ciona laboratories worldwide (Zeller 2004).

After cloning and preparation of plasmid DNA, the entire procedure from adult dissection to fertilization, dechorionation, and electroporation of embryos, takes ~1 hr. (Christiaen et al. 2009a). Several plasmids can be tested in hundreds of embryos each, daily. The sheer numbers of transfected embryos generated in short time by the electroporation technique allow for robust, large-scale yet rapid and low-cost phenotyping not possible in other chordate model organisms. In one such instance, Brown and colleagues were able to assay 85,506 transgenic embryos resulting from 1237 electroporations (Brown et al. 2007).

The typical plasmid electroporated into Ciona embryos consists of a driver and a transgene. We refer to the combination of distal cis-regulatory (“enhancers”) and basal promoter elements as the “driver.” The compact genomes of Ciona species allow for easy cloning and testing of intergenic and/or intronic sequences for enhancer activity. This has been facilitated by a shared library of plasmids that allows for the Gateway method of restriction-free, modular assembly of driver/transgene combinations (Roure et al. 2007). Several lineage-, tissue- and cell-type–specific drivers (Figure 3) have been described and are constantly being discovered and added to the researcher’s toolkit. Transgenes can be reporter genes (“reporters”) and/or perturbation genes. We refer to perturbation genes as simply any sequence expected to have a biological function in Ciona. We will later discuss which types of perturbation genes are frequently used in Ciona and which are still but promising possibilities.

**General strategies, caveats, and surprises of the electroporation technique**

Multiple plasmids are often mixed together and “coelectroporated” into the embryos (Figure 3A). In one example, five plasmids representing four different enhancers and three different fluorescent reporters were coelectroporated to label all five neuronal subtypes in the Ciona motor ganglion (Figure 3B) (Stolfi and Levine 2011). Multiple perturbation constructs can also be used to create complex epistatic backgrounds. In one study, converting an entire cell lineage into heart through overexpression of an active form of the FGF/
Which it was derived, as assayed by endogenous gene, labeling the descendants of these cells with lower (though sometimes equal or stronger) levels of reporter gene protein.

Transience of a transgene by a reporter gene will result in mutually exclusive, left cells. Bottom: mutually exclusive uptake of distinct plasmids (represented by blue and red transgenes) by left and right hemispheres after sequential electroporations. (A) Diagram of the principle of transient transfection of Ciona embryos with plasmid DNA. Plasmid molecules are nonreplicative and segregate randomly, presumably as extrachromosomal DNA "arrays." Transcription of a transgene in a given cell (determined by the activity of the driver) will result in inheritance of mRNA and/or resulting protein by all descendants of the cell. Given the short developmental time of Ciona (16 hr from fertilization to hatching), most reporters expressed during early embryogenesis are still visible late in development. (B and C) Diagram of possible outcomes of variable penetrance of a given perturbation transgene, presumably due to variation in total molecules of plasmid DNA taken up by the embryo at electroporation. (B) An embryo transfected with n copies of a plasmid carrying a gain-of-function gene (top) might not show any phenotype when compared to an unelectroporated control. If 2n copies of the same plasmid are transfected into an embryo, a given phenotype might occur. In this example, the fates of two cells are inverted along the anterior–posterior axis (middle). In embryos transfected with 3n copies of the plasmid, a qualitatively different phenotype might occur, in this case, an expansion of the anterior cell fate (blue) and the expense of its posterior neighbor (red) (bottom). (C) These outcomes can be interpreted as being due to different thresholds of plasmid dosage required for either phenotype (inv., inversion of cell fates; exp., posterior expansion of the anterior cell fate). Remembering that, we have little control over how many copies of the plasmid go into each embryo, meaning in any given batch of electroporated embryos, one will see the whole range of plasmid dosage represented. (D) Diagram of one-cell Ciona zygotes, viewed laterally (left) or caudally (center), and an eight-cell–stage embryo viewed laterally (right). Major embryonic axes and coordinates are indicated (A, anterior pole; P, posterior pole; An, animal pole; Vg, vegetal pole; L, left hemisphere; R, right hemisphere). (E) Model for mechanism underlying mosaicism in electroporated embryos. Expected mosaic expression patterns at the eight-cell stage (right) given the localization of plasmid DNA before the first cleavage (left and center, representing lateral and caudal views of the same embryo, respectively).

MAPK-signaling effector Ets1/2 while simultaneously blocking cell migration by expressing a repressor form of the transcription factor FoxF, resulted in the uncoupling of specification and directed migration of cardiac precursor cells (Behl et al. 2007).

The electroporation technique presents at least four peculiarities that can represent significant disadvantages when it is compared to stable transgenesis. They are: transience, variable dosage, mosaicism, and leakiness (Figure 4). However, simply keeping these caveats in mind when interpreting your experiments goes a long way toward minimizing their disadvantages. And, as always, it is up to the creative researcher to take these potential pitfalls and harness them to one's advantage.

**Transience:** Empirical evidence suggests electroporated plasmid DNA does not frequently integrate into the genome, but probably remains as nonreplicating, extrachromosomal arrays, like exogenous DNA in transgenic lines of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans (Stinchcomb et al. 1985). This nonreplicative, nonintegrative mode of mitotic segregation and inheritance means that transgene expression is transient (Figure 4A), and indeed seldom have we observed de novo transgene expression beyond metamorphosis, ~72 hr postfertilization. Due to the rapid development time of Ciona embryos (16 hr to hatching, 24 hr to onset of metamorphosis), this transience is not really an obstacle for studies focused on the embryo or larva. However, unless an irreversible modification is being carried out (e.g., targeted

---

**Figure 4** Caveats of the electroporation technique. (A) Diagram of the principle of transient transfection of Ciona embryos with plasmid DNA. Plasmid molecules are nonreplicative and segregate randomly, presumably as extrachromosomal DNA “arrays.” Transcription of a transgene in a given cell (determined by the activity of the driver) will result in inheritance of mRNA and/or resulting protein by all descendants of the cell. Given the short developmental time of Ciona (16 hr from fertilization to hatching), most reporters expressed during early embryogenesis are still visible late in development. (B and C) Diagram of possible outcomes of variable penetrance of a given perturbation transgene, presumably due to variation in total molecules of plasmid DNA taken up by the embryo at electroporation. (B) An embryo transfected with n copies of a plasmid carrying a gain-of-function gene (top) might not show any phenotype when compared to an unelectroporated control. If 2n copies of the same plasmid are transfected into an embryo, a given phenotype might occur. In this example, the fates of two cells are inverted along the anterior–posterior axis (middle). In embryos transfected with 3n copies of the plasmid, a qualitatively different phenotype might occur, in this case, an expansion of the anterior cell fate (blue) and the expense of its posterior neighbor (red) (bottom). (C) These outcomes can be interpreted as being due to different thresholds of plasmid dosage required for either phenotype (inv., inversion of cell fates; exp., posterior expansion of the anterior cell fate). Remembering that, we have little control over how many copies of the plasmid go into each embryo, meaning in any given batch of electroporated embryos, one will see the whole range of plasmid dosage represented. (D) Diagram of one-cell Ciona zygotes, viewed laterally (left) or caudally (center), and an eight-cell–stage embryo viewed laterally (right). Major embryonic axes and coordinates are indicated (A, anterior pole; P, posterior pole; An, animal pole; Vg, vegetal pole; L, left hemisphere; R, right hemisphere). (E) Model for mechanism underlying mosaicism in electroporated embryos. Expected mosaic expression patterns at the eight-cell stage (right) given the localization of plasmid DNA before the first cleavage (left and center, representing lateral and caudal views of the same embryo, respectively).

**Top:** plasmid that is taken up primarily at the posterior, animal pole on either side of the embryo results in expression (red fill) in the cells that derive from this part of the zygote. Center: plasmid taken up at the anterior pole of the left side of the embryo will result in expression in only the anterior/left-derived cells. Bottom: mutually exclusive uptake of distinct plasmids (represented by blue and red transgenes) by left and right hemispheres after sequential electroporations will result in mutually exclusive, left–right expression of these plasmids (blue and red fill, respectively; see Figure 3C). (F) Diagram of the transcription of a reporter gene by a “leaky” driver (right), that is, one that does not faithfully recapitulate the expression of the endogenous gene from which it was derived, as assayed by in situ hybridization or immunostain (left), being somewhat active in other cells not normally expressing the endogenous gene, labeling the descendants of these cells with lower (though sometimes equal or stronger) levels of reporter gene protein.
mutagenesis by overexpression of zinc-finger nucleases, as proposed below), plasmid electroporation is not a suitable method for genetic perturbations in juveniles or adults. This can actually be an advantage, because certain driver > perturbation combinations that might be adult lethal or sterile can be easily assayed during embryogenesis.

**Variable dosage:** The uptake of DNA by electroporated cells is not well understood (Escoffre et al. 2009). What we do know is that we have an imprecise control over exactly how many copies of the plasmid go into each embryo. This means that, in any batch of electroporated embryos, one sees a gradient of transgene dosage, though there is no simple way to accurately quantify this. This is why the large numbers of transfected embryos obtained by electroporating them *en masse* is so useful, as it allows one to average phenotypic quantification data over large numbers of individuals.

The one advantage of this variability is the possibility of uncovering the effects of gene dosage in a particular process. In this way, the gradient of plasmid dosage seen in a batch of electroporated embryos is akin to an allelic series. Whereas different transgenic strains must be generated to uncover the phenotypes associated with variable gene copy number in organisms such as flies, in *Ciona* one can see the entire range of phenotypes on a single slide. In some cases, qualitatively different phenotypes can arise from different plasmid doses, if, when, or where certain regulatory thresholds are met (Figure 4, B and C).

**Mosaicism:** This term relates to the spatial variation in expression of exogenous DNA, another issue inherent to the electroporation technique. One hypothesis is that mosaicism might occur by random, unequal distribution of plasmid DNA molecules into one of two daughter cells resulting from a mitotic division. Another possibility is random loss or silencing of plasmid DNA. Regardless of the underlying cause, transgene expression is frequently seen only in cells descended from one of the major “quadrants” or hemispheres of the early embryo (Figure 4, D and E). Mosaicism within a lineage is also known to occur (Zeller et al. 2006). However, with the appropriate optimization of electroporation rigs and parameters, one can increase or decrease the incidence of mosaicism at will, suggesting the underlying cause of mosaicism is closely linked to the initial distribution of plasmid DNA within the unicellular zygote (Zeller et al. 2006).

Mosaicism can be exploited to deliberately drive expression of different transgenes in equivalent cells of different lineages or on either side of the bilaterally symmetric embryo (Stolfi and Levine 2011). In embryos electroporated more than once, each time with a distinct plasmid, some proportion of embryos will randomly incorporate plasmid in one hemisphere and another plasmid in the opposite hemisphere (Figure 3C). This provides an internal control in an experiment in which cells on a genetically manipulated side of the embryo can be compared to cells on the opposite, unmanipulated side.

**Leakiness:** Quite often, the expression of a transgene under the control of a given driver does not exactly mirror that of the endogenous gene from which the driver was obtained as determined by *in situ* hybridization or transcriptional profiling. “Leaky” expression will be seen in closely related lineages or different lineages altogether (Figure 4F). Presumably, this is because the entire complement of a given gene’s cis-regulatory sequence is not contained within the driver. Alternatively, leakiness might be due to an intrinsic refractivity of exogenous plasmid DNA to chromatin state regulatory mechanisms that might be contributing to silencing of endogenous genes (Beisel and Paro 2011). Leakiness can be problematic because it can confound interpretation of perturbation experiments. You think a certain phenotype is induced when you express gene X in cell A, but the phenotype might in fact be due to leaky expression of gene X in cell B.

Leaky reporter plasmids have been used to counterselect certain cell types in fluorescence-activated cell sorting of dissociated *Ciona* embryos. For instance, *MesP > GFP* is used to sort cells descended from the B7.5 blastomeres, which express *MesP* (Figure 3C). However, this plasmid shows leaky expression in mesenchyme. A second plasmid, *MyoD > YFP*, which is also leaky in the mesenchyme, was used to counterselect these cells in a YFP-dependent negative gate (Christiaen et al. 2008). This use of leakiness to fight leakiness is a perfect representation of the dichotomy between the simultaneously annoying and useful quirks of electroporation in *Ciona*.

**What to electroporate?**

Overexpression of dominant-interfering neomorphs (often called “dominant negatives,” much to the chagrin of the rigorous geneticist) is widely used to study transcription factors and signaling pathways in development. Overexpression of a fusion between the DNA-binding domain of a given transcriptional activator and a Groucho corepressor-recruiting WRPW motif can partially mimic its loss-of-function phenotype as established by morpholino injection (Beh et al. 2007). Perturbation of signaling pathways by overexpression of dominant-interfering or constitutively active pathway components is better established. Transmembrane receptors truncated or mutated at their intracellular side efficiently sequester ligands of the FGF, BMP, and Ephrin families. (Davidson et al. 2006; Picco et al. 2007; Christiaen et al. 2010). Similarly, a DNA-binding mutant of the Notch transcriptional coactivator Suppressor of Hairless [Su(H)] can inhibit the transcriptional output of Delta/Notch signaling (Pasini et al. 2006). Self-dimerizing forms of certain receptor kinases can partially mimic activation of the wild-type receptor by their ligands (Shi and Levine 2008; Christiaen et al. 2010). Cytoskeleton dynamics have been perturbed by overexpression of dominant-interfering or constitutively active mutant forms of small GTPases such as RhoD/F and Cdc42 (Christiaen et al. 2008).

Wild-type proteins can also serve as perturbation genes, when misexpressed in a cell lineage or cell type in which
they are not normally expressed. Such misexpression has been used to show the inductive role of certain signaling ligands (Hudson et al. 2007) or to demonstrate the sufficiency of certain transcription factors in activating or repressing a given regulatory network (Stolfi et al. 2010; Kratsios et al. 2012).

**RNAi**

RNA interference (RNAi) holds great promise, but has not been developed to the point of widespread, routine use. Plasmid-based RNAi in particular is extremely useful as it allows for electroporation-based protein knockdown experiments, supplanting difficult morpholino injections. In *C. intestinalis*, plasmid-based RNAi against tyrosinase, the enzyme responsible for melanin synthesis, has been reported (Nishiyama and Fujiwara 2008). RNAi also was used in elucidating a role for the basement membrane-associated proteoglycan gene Leprecan in structural integrity of the notochordal sheath (Dunn and Di Gregorio 2009). However, in both cases RNAi was mediated by short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) transcribed by RNPoII in a constitutive and ubiquitous manner. The gold standard would be to use microRNA (miRNA)-like shRNA cassettes (“shmiR”), which can be driven by RNPoII promoters linked to cell-specific enhancers (Chang et al. 2006; Haley et al. 2010). This would allow for cell-specific knockdown of target mRNAs, by cooperation of the endogenous miRNA biogenesis pathway, which is fully functional during *Ciona* embryogenesis (Chen et al. 2011).

Such shmiR constructs, although already used in other metazoans, have yet to be successfully adapted to *Ciona*. Most attempts have relied on shRNA design principles gleaned from experiments on mammals, nematodes, and insects. However, as a marine invertebrate with an optimal developmental temperature of 19°C, perhaps some tweaking of hairpin stability and folding algorithms will be needed. As far as we know, targeted gene knockdown by miRNA-like RNAi constructs has not been reported in a marine invertebrate. The preponderance of microRNA-offset RNAs (moRs) in *Ciona* and the proclivity of *Ciona* Drosha to produce such moRs from heterologously expressed *Drosophila* pri-miRs suggests certain peculiarities of small RNA biogenesis in *Ciona* might also have to be taken into account (Shi et al. 2009).

**In the near future?**

Other genetically encoded tools have not been explored, though in theory they could be easily adapted to *Ciona*. Of particular note are those based on the DNA-binding domains of zinc-finger (ZF) and transcription activator-like (TAL) transcription factors (Beeri et al. 1998, 2000; Christian et al. 2010; Morbitzer et al. 2010). Fusions of DNA endonucleases to custom-designed ZFs or TALs (termed ZFNs and TALENs, respectively) have been used to promote targeted genetic deletions or homologous recombination in systems where such events were traditionally difficult to induce (Urnov et al. 2005; Wood et al. 2011; Young et al. 2011; see note added in proof).

More sophisticated tools for precise control of gene function would include optically controlled proteins (Riggsbee and Deiters 2010), such as light-activated G protein-coupled receptors like Channelrhodopsin-2 (Nagel et al. 2003), or plant phytochrome, or phototropin fusions (Shimizu-Sato et al. 2002; Strickland et al. 2008). Chemical control of protein function is also a burgeoning field (Ouyang and Chen 2010). Several genetically encoded protein domains that interact with small molecules can be fused to other proteins. These fusions are then active, inactive, or interact with other components depending on the presence (or absence) of the small molecule. For instance, a tamoxifen-induced mutated ER ligand binding domain-Cre recombinase fusion is routinely used for small molecule-dependent DNA recombination in mouse (Feil et al. 1997). Such methods could allow for another layer of precision on top of the spatiotemporal control of gene expression already afforded by the use of cell-specific drivers, taking the electroporation technique to new heights.

**Stable transgenesis and reverse genetic screens**

Stable transgenesis in *Ciona* is a relatively new development that holds great promise in expanding the scope of genetic analysis of ascidian biology. Plasmid DNA introduced into *Ciona* eggs rarely integrates into the genome (Matsuoka et al. 2005). Two methods have been developed for increasing the odds of germline transmission of transgenes, one based on co-injection of I-SceI meganuclease (Deschet et al. 2003) and one based on Tc1/mariner superfamily transposable elements (Sasakura et al. 2003).

I-SceI meganuclease is an endonuclease from yeast that recognizes an 18-bp target sequence (Monteilhet et al. 1990). Co-injection of I-SceI enzyme into eggs can increase the rate of genome integration and germline transmission of transgenes flanked by two I-SceI sites (Thermes et al. 2002). It is thought that I-SceI will cause double stranded breaks flanking the transgene, which is then inserted randomly into a native chromosome by the DNA repair machinery. Deschet et al. (2003) used the same strategy to generate stable C. savignyi transgenics carrying copies of GFP under the control of a Brachyury driver from *C. intestinalis*.

Transposable “P” elements reinvigorated the field of fly genetics, permitting stable transgenesis, insertion/deletion mutagenesis, and enhancer and gene trapping (Bellen et al. 1989). In *Ciona*, the Tc1/mariner-family member Minos allows for similar applications. Germline integration of transgenes is achieved by co-injection or coelectroporation of Minos transposase mRNA and plasmid carrying the transgene flanked by Minos terminal repeats (Sasakura et al. 2003; Matsuoka et al. 2004, 2005). Using this method, reporter lines were generated using previously characterized drivers. A slightly different application has been the generation of enhancer trap lines, using the same Minos transposable element. By introducing a Minos vector carrying GFP
downstream of a minimal promoter without an enhancer, random integration of the transgene resulted in lines showing distinct GFP expression patterns, depending on the enhancers found near each integration site (Figure 5) (Awazu et al. 2004, 2007). High-throughput remobilization of integration events by expression of transposase in sperm (Sasakura et al. 2008) or egg (Hozumi et al. 2010) has since expanded the collection of reporter lines. This has been useful to identify novel enhancers, though a paucity of embryonic reporter lines has been observed, perhaps owing to the choice of minimal promoter used or the rarity of embryonic enhancers in the genome. All told, the Ciona intestinalis Transgenic Line Resource center (CITRES, http://marinebio.nbrp.jp/ciona/) has 41 enhancer trap lines and several other Minos transgenic lines, which are all available to the community. With the growing availability of transgenic lines, perhaps Cre/lox- (Sauer and Henderson 1988) or GALA/UAS-like (Brand and Perrimon 1993) systems for modular, conditional genetic loss or gain of function could be adapted to Ciona.

The high-throughput remobilization of single integration events also holds great promise for generating mutants for reverse genetic screens. It has already been shown that a Minos transgene can disrupt the endogenous gene at the site of its integration. For instance, swimming juvenile mutants contain a Minos enhancer trap insertion in the cellulose synthase A (CesA) gene, leading to premature body plan reorganization prior to settlement of larvae (Figure 2G) (Sasakura et al. 2005). The unique sequence of the transgene can be used to easily locate the insertion site.

Genomics

The Ciona genomes have been sequenced at draft-level coverage, and are both ~150–170 Mb in length, coding for ~16,000 genes. (Simmen et al. 1998; Dehal et al. 2002; Vinson et al. 2005; Small et al. 2007a). They are the smallest chordate genomes sequenced to date, with the exception of the larvacean tunicate Oikopleura dioica (Seo et al. 2001). The C. intestinalis genome has been sequenced and assembled de novo twice, once in 2002 and once in 2005. A more accurate assembly, based on the first sequence, was published in 2008 and covers ~75% of the genome (Satou et al. 2008). A total of 65% of this has been mapped to the 14 pairs of chromosomes of C. intestinalis (Shoguchi et al. 2006). The two species’ genome sequences have been aligned against each other, and the evolutionary divergence between the two means that highly conserved non-coding sequences are usually imbued with functional significance, greatly aiding in the search for enhancers and other cis-regulatory elements. The alignment can easily be navigated via the VISTA browser (http://pipeline.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/gateway2?bg=Cloin2&selector=vistapoint) (Frazer et al. 2004).

Having a sequenced genome places Ciona into a select category of “postgenomic” model organisms. Not only does it allow for researchers to more easily clone genomic and cDNA sequences as experimental tools, but also allows for genome-wide observational studies that might generate testable hypotheses. For instance, chromatin immunoprecipitation combined with genome tiling chips (ChIP-chip) revealed the genome-wide binding profile for 11 transcription factors during development (Kubo et al. 2010). In other studies, a combination of high-throughput mRNA sequencing and computational methods have allowed for detection and mapping of Ciona microRNA loci (Hendrix et al. 2010; Keshavan et al. 2010). These are but some examples of genome-scale studies performed in Ciona.

On-line resources

Various databases and online resources serving the Ciona research community are spread around the world. Reflecting the long tradition of ascidian biology in Japan, extensive EST sequencing data (Satou et al. 2001b, 2002a) and cDNA collections (Satou et al. 2002b) are available to researchers as part of the GHOST portal (http://ghost.zool.kyoto-u.ac.jp/cgi-bin/gb2/gbrowse/ki/) (Satou et al. 2005), which also harbors the most recent and accurate assembly and gene annotation for the C. intestinalis genome (“KyotoHoya” or “KH” gene models) (Satou et al. 2008). For more protein-oriented research, there is CIPRO (http://cipro.ibio.jp/current/) (Endo et al. 2011), which is another genomic and gene expression database that integrates 2D-PAGE and mass-spectrometry data from Ciona embryos.

Another ascidian research hotspot is France, which hosts the ANISEED portal (http://www.aniseed.cnrs.fr/) (Tassy et al. 2010). This database is more explicitly oriented toward developmental genetics, with a large curated collection of in situ hybridization images and other gene expression, gene model, and anatomical data. Unlike GHOST or CIPRO, ANISEED is also meant to cover other experimental ascidian genera, such as Phallusia and Halocynthia.
Recently, a greater effort toward integration of the various databases and resources has been made, with leaders responsible for the individual portals agreeing to cross-reference their information and delineate their “spheres of influence” in such a way that redundancies are slowly eliminated. The First International Workshop on Tunicate Information Systems, held in November 2010, served to outline these goals (Inaba et al. 2011). It was preliminarily agreed that genomic and sequence data would be curated and presented by GHOST, expression data by ANISEED, protein data by CIPRO, and cellular and anatomical data by a fourth database, Four dimensional Ascidian Body Atlas (http://chordate.bpni.bio.keio.ac.jp/faba/1.4/top.html) (Hotta et al. 2007). Although the information found at each website would not be strictly limited to one type of data, the missions of each has been altered to emphasize these broad divisions while reinforcing their interconnectivity. With this, a more seamless integration is hoped to be achieved, without sacrificing the independence of each database.

Conclusion

In sum, Ciona spp. are versatile organisms amenable to diverse genetic perturbation strategies (Table 1). Various reverse genetic approaches, as well as traditional forward genetics, have been successfully used to study gene function in Ciona. Transient transfection experiments using the electroporation technique are now being complemented by stable, germline transgenesis. The Ciona community is also on the cusp of harnessing targeted loss-of-function techniques such as ZFNs, TALENs, and RNAi. In the near future, we anticipate that three major approaches—genetic screens, electroporation, and stable transgenesis—will be increasingly integrated in comprehensive functional studies. The growing stable of genomic resources for Ciona, as well as the suitability of Ciona embryos for medium- to high-throughput strategies, will be key to their continued employ as model organisms, especially in emerging fields such as systems biology. We believe that the upcoming years will be an exciting time for the study of Ciona genetics, and look forward to the continued growth of the Ciona community.
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Note added in proof: While this manuscript was in revision, the first report of targeted mutagenesis in Ciona was published by Kawai, N., H. Ochiai, T. Sakuma, L. Yamada, H. Sawada et al., 2012 Efficient targeted mutagenesis of the chordate Ciona intestinalis genome with zinc-finger nucleases. Dev. Growth Differ. 54: 535–545.

Table 1 Genetic toolkit of Ciona intestinalis

| Technique | Published? | Routine? | Reference |
|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Forward genetic screens | Y | Y | Jiang et al. (2005) |
| Germline transgenesis | Y | Y | Deschet et al. (2003); Sasakura et al. (2003) |
| Transient transgenesis | Y | Y | Corbo et al. (1997) |
| Morpholinos | Y | Y | Satou et al. (2001a) |
| Retrotransposon remobilization | Y | Y | Awazu et al. (2007) |
| Reverse genetic screens of retrotransposon insertions | Y | N | Sasakura et al. (2005) |
| RNAi | Y | N | Nishiyama and Fujiiwara (2008) |
| Targeted mutagenesis | Y | N | Kawai et al. (2012) |
| Homologous recombination | N | — | — |
| Cre/Lox or flpFRT recombination | N | — | — |
| GAL4-UAS heterologous expression system | N | — | — |

Genetic perturbation techniques available in Ciona. Techniques count as published if appearing at least once in a peer-reviewed journal article. Techniques are considered “routine” if three or more articles have been published using the technique. Reference given is the first (to our knowledge) published account of its use in Ciona. Y = yes, N = no. Techniques with no published instance of use in Ciona are considered to be on the “wish list,” with some currently in the process of being adapted to Ciona.
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