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Cryptochrome 1 and phytochrome B control shade-avoidance responses in Arabidopsis via partially independent hormonal cascades
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SUMMARY

Plants respond to a reduction in the red/far-red ratio (R:FR) of light, caused by the proximity of other plants, by initiating morphological changes that improve light capture. In Arabidopsis, this response (shade avoidance syndrome, SAS) is controlled by phytochromes (particularly phyB), and is dependent on the TAA1 pathway of auxin biosynthesis. However, when grown in real canopies, we found that phyB mutants and mutants deficient in TAA1 (sav3) still display robust SAS responses to increased planting density and leaf shading. The SAS morphology (leaf hyponasty and reduced lamina/petiole ratio) could be phenocopied by exposing plants to blue light attenuation. These responses to blue light attenuation required the UV-A/blue light photoreceptor cry1. Moreover, they were mediated through mechanisms that showed only limited overlap with the pathways recruited by phyB inactivation. In particular, pathways for polar auxin transport, auxin biosynthesis and gibberellin signaling that are involved in SAS responses to low R:FR were not required for the SAS responses to blue light depletion. By contrast, the brassinosteroid response appeared to be required for the full expression of the SAS phenotype under low blue light. The phyB and cry1 inactivation pathways appeared to converge in their requirement for the basic/helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTORS 4 and 5 (PIF4 and PIF5) to elicit the SAS phenotype. Our results suggest that blue light is an important control of SAS responses, and that PIF4 and PIF5 are critical hubs for a diverse array of signaling routes that control plant architecture in canopies.
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INTRODUCTION

Competition is a critical determinant of plant fitness in dense populations. One strategy used by plants to improve their competitive success is based on morphological plasticity, where the shape of the plant body is constantly remodeled to optimize the capture of light and other resources (Aphalo and Ballaré, 1995; Ballaré, 1999; Dorn et al., 2000; De Kroon et al., 2009; Novoplansky, 2009; Sultan, 2010). A prime example of morphological plasticity is the shade avoidance syndrome (SAS) (Smith, 1982). SAS responses typically include increased elongation of the stem and petioles, leaf hyponasty, reduced branching and phototropic orientation of the plant shoot towards gaps in the canopy (Ballaré, 1999).

The SAS responses are triggered and controlled by multiple canopy signals, particularly signals in the light environment (reviewed in Ballaré, 1999; Vandenbussche et al., 2005; Ballaré, 2009; Keuskamp et al., 2010b). The best characterized of these signals is the red/far red ratio (R:FR; 660–670/725–735 nm), which decreases in response to
canopy density because of the strong absorption of red light by chlorophyll and scattering of far-red photons by cell walls and other plant constituents. Other potentially important light signals controlling SAS responses include variations in total irradiance, and specific changes in the blue light component caused by the absorption of visible wavelengths by chlorophyll and other leaf pigments (reviewed in Ballaré, 2009; Keuskamp et al., 2010b).

Phytochrome B (phyB) is the major photoreceptor that senses a reduction in the R:FR ratio, and controls the initial appearance of SAS phenotypes (reviewed in Franklin, 2008; Ballaré, 2009; Jailais and Chory, 2010; Martínez-García et al., 2010). In fully de-etiolated Arabidopsis plants in the rosette stage, SAS responses to low R:FR (increased petiole elongation and leaf hyponasty) depend on increased auxin biosynthesis through the TRYPTOPHAN AMINOTRANSFERASE OF ARABIDOPSIS 1 (TAA1) pathway (Tao et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2009), and polar auxin transport by the auxin efflux carrier PIN3 (Keuskamp et al., 2010a). Increased gibberellin (GA) production, perhaps in response to increased auxin (Frigerio et al., 2006), is also required for the expression of petiole elongation responses to low R:FR in Arabidopsis plants at the rosette stage (Djakovic-Petrovic et al., 2007). Increased GA production triggers degradation by the 26S proteasome of DELLA proteins, a group of five nuclear proteins that redundantly repress growth (Djakovic-Petrovic et al., 2007). DELLA proteins bind to and inactivate PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTORS (PIFs) (Feng et al., 2008; de Lucas et al., 2008), which are growth-promoting transcription factors involved in the elicitation of SAS responses to low R:FR (Lorrain et al., 2008; Hornitschek et al., 2009). Brassinosteroids (BRs) (Kozuka et al., 2010) and ethylene (Pierik et al., 2009) are additional hormones that have been implicated as playing a role during the elicitation of SAS responses to low R:FR ratios.

A reduction in blue light fluence rate may also provide plants with information on the proximity of competitors, and trigger adaptive SAS responses. Blue light inhibits hypocotyl growth in recently germinated seedlings, and this effect is mediated by the cryptochrome photoreceptors (Cashmore et al., 1999; Foita and Spalding, 2001; Pierik et al., 2009; Sellaro et al., 2010). Blue light gradients can drive phototropnic responses of seedlings in patchy canopies (Ballaré et al., 1992), an effect probably mediated by the phototropins (Briggs and Christie, 2002; Takemiya et al., 2005). Even fully de-etiolated plants can present strong SAS-like responses to blue light attenuation, including increased main-stem elongation (Ballaré et al., 1991), petiole elongation (Kozuka et al., 2005) and leaf hyponasty (Pierik et al., 2004; Millenaar et al., 2009).

The importance of blue light signals in the control of morphological plasticity in plant canopies has not been clearly established. Furthermore, it is not known whether the hormone signaling circuits triggered by depletion of the active form of phyB (Pfr) in response to low R:FR are also recruited to elicit SAS responses to blue light attenuation. These questions are addressed in this article, using a combination of canopy and physiological experiments with Arabidopsis plants at the rosette stage. We evaluated variations in leaf morphology (lamina/petiole length ratio, L:P) and leaf angle (hyponasty) as the principal indicators of SAS. We found that mutants deficient in R:FR responses show robust morphological responses to increased canopy density or leaf shading, suggesting that additional light-regulated pathways are involved in SAS. The high-density/shade morphology could be phenocopied by exposing plants to light in which the blue light component was attenuated. These blue light responses required cry1, and were mediated through hormonal pathways that showed only limited overlap with the pathways activated in response to phyB Pfr depletion by low R:FR ratios. Interestingly, our results demonstrate that PIF4 and PIF5, which are basic/helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors known to mediate morphological responses to phyB inactivation, are also required for the elicitation of the SAS phenotype in response to blue light attenuation.

RESULTS

Mutants deficient in R:FR responses display SAS-like responses in canopies

Phytochrome B and increased auxin biosynthesis through the TAA1 pathway are essential for the response of plants to variations in the R:FR ratio caused by the proximity of other plants (Tao et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2009). We tested the effects of the phyB-9 and sav3-2 mutations, which affect the genes encoding for the PHYB apoprotein and the TAA1 allele, respectively, on the responses of Arabidopsis plants to real plant neighbors in a series of glasshouse experiments under the full solar spectrum. When wild-type (Col-0) Arabidopsis plants were grown in monocultures of different densities they responded to crowding with characteristic SAS responses (Figure 1). These responses included increased leaf angles (hyponasty) and reduced growth of the leaf lamina relative to the petiole (reduced L:P ratio). Compared with Col-0 plants, phyB mutants had constitutively hyponastic leaves and low L:P ratios. sav3-2 plants showed significantly attenuated morphological responses to crowding, but only during the early part of the experiment, when the canopy cover was small (Figure 1a,b; day 25). As the plants grew bigger and the canopies closed (greater canopy cover), both sav3-2 and phyB plants displayed clear morphological responses to increased levels of crowding (Figure 1c,d; day 45).

Neighbor proximity was further manipulated by growing Arabidopsis plants in front of or underneath canopies of annual ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum). Rosettes of the Col-0 plants responded to FR radiation reflected from the grass
canopy with a typical SAS phenotype, even though the canopy did not shade the target plants; this response to reflected FR was missing in sav3-2 (Figure 2a, row 2; non-shading neighbors). In contrast, when plants were placed under the ryegrass canopy and were actually shaded by the grass leaves, Col-0 and the sav3-2 mutant displayed very similar SAS responses (Figure 2a, rows 3 and 4; see quantitative data in Figure 2b,c).

**SAS responses to blue light attenuation are retained in sav3 and pin3 mutants**

It is well established that reflected FR is the principal signal of canopy density in open canopies (with a low leaf area index, LAI), but at high LAIs other signals are thought to play an important role, including the level of blue light received per plant, which decreases as the density of the canopy increases (references in Ballaré, 1999). Therefore, we reasoned that the responses of sav3-2 to crowding under high levels of cover (Figure 1), and to shading by the ryegrass canopy (Figure 2), could reflect a conserved response to blue light attenuation.

We directly tested the effect of blue light attenuation on plants at the rosette stage, similar to those used in the canopy experiments described above, by using selective light filters. Plants responded to blue light attenuation with strong hyponasty and reduced L:P ratios (Figure 3). The SAS response to blue light attenuation was probably mediated by a specific blue light photoreceptor, as we found little or no response to green light attenuation, when a control filter was used to test for the effects of reducing photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). Importantly, the SAS responses to blue light depletion were clearly conserved in the sav3-2 mutant (Figure 3a–c,g).

Recent work showed that in addition to TAA1 the auxin efflux carrier PIN3 is required for petiole elongation triggered by low R:FR ratios in rosette-stage plants (Keuskamp et al., 2010a). This suggests that auxin produced in the leaves by TAA1 in response to low R:FR is actively transported to its site of action by PIN3. However, in the case of SAS responses elicited by blue light attenuation, we found a completely wild-type response in the pin-formed3 (pin3-3) mutant (Figure 3d–f,h).

Next, we addressed whether other auxin biosynthesis or transport pathways are involved in blue light attenuation responses in rosette plants. First, we tested the requirement for auxin biosynthesis catalysed by YUCCA proteins. YUCCA proteins are flavin monoxygenases, and form a family of 11 members in Arabidopsis (Zhao et al., 2001). A quintuple yucca mutant (lacking yuc3, yuc5, yuc7, yuc8 and yuc9; Tao et al., 2008) displayed normal responses to blue light attenuation (Figure S1). We also tested the effect of 1-N-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA), an inhibitor of polar auxin transport (Okada et al., 1991), on SAS responses to attenuated blue light. The NPA experiments produced results that were difficult to interpret, because, as found in previous studies (reviewed in van Zanten et al., 2010), low doses of NPA per se induced leaf hyponasty in the control plants grown under white light (Figure S2). For the other SAS responses characterized in our experiments (reduction of L:P ratio), 5 μM NPA tended to cancel the effect of blue light depletion, mainly by reducing petiole elongation (Figure S2). These results suggest that polar auxin transport may play a role in the activation of the SAS response to blue light attenuation, but the auxin biosynthesis and transport genes that are critical for activating the SAS response to low R:FR (SAV3 and PIN3) are not essential for blue light responses.

**Blue light attenuation does not induce DELLA turnover in rosette plants**

Petiole elongation responses induced by low R:FR in mature plants correlate with DELLA turnover induced by gibberellins, and are eliminated by enhanced DELLA stability in the gain-of-function gai-1 mutant (Djakovic-Petrovic et al., 2007). Therefore, we wanted to determine whether the blue light attenuation effects inducing SAS responses are associated with increased DELLA degradation. We tested the effect of blue light attenuation on DELLA stability using transgenic lines expressing RGA fused to the fluorescent protein mCITRINE at its N terminus. To circumvent the potential transcriptional regulation of RGA by blue light attenuation, we used the mild constitutive promoter of
the POLYUBIQUITIN10 gene (pUBQ10). Our pUBQ10::mCITRINE-RGA line did not harbor any developmental phenotypes (data not shown), and GA treatment triggered rapid mCITRINE-RGA turnover (Figure S3). We found that blue light attenuation treatments that are highly effective at inducing the SAS phenotype (Figure 3) failed to increase DELLA degradation in both Col-0 and the sav3 mutant (Figure 4). These results indicate that blue light attenuation and phyB inactivation by low R:FR have very different effects on DELLA turnover in Arabidopsis plants at the rosette stage.

We directly tested the role of DELLA turnover in SAS responses induced by blue light attenuation by using a quintuple (global) della mutant (Feng et al., 2008) and the gai-1 gain-of-function mutant (Koorneef et al., 1985), which is impaired in DELLA degradation (in the Landsberg erecta background, Ler). Ler plants are known to be less responsive than those of the Col-0 accession in terms of their hyponastic response, when the response is induced by ethylene (Benschop et al., 2007). However, in our filter experiments, Ler plants showed a clear hyponastic response to blue light attenuation (Figure 5), which is consistent with the idea that ethylene and light attenuation trigger hyponastic responses through independent pathways (Millenaar et al., 2009). The global della mutant clearly retained the capacity to respond to blue light attenuation with a typical SAS repertoire (leaf hyponasty and reduced L:P ratio) (Figure 5). As expected, plants of the gai-1 mutant were significantly dwarfed compared with the Ler wild type (Koorneef et al., 1985). This phenotype is consistent with the persistence of the growth inhibitory effects of the stable version of the GAI protein (Harberd et al., 2009). However, the effects of blue light depletion promoting leaf hyponasty and reducing the L:P ratio were retained, although the expression of the response was not as intense as in the Ler wild type. Absolute petiole elongation was not promoted by low blue light in gai-1 (Figure 5c). Taken together, these results indicate that DELLA turnover is neither induced by blue light attenuation (Figure 4) nor directly involved in triggering specific growth remodeling components of the SAS phenotype (hyponasty and reduced L:P ratio) induced by blue light attenuation (Figure 5). Nevertheless, abnormal DELLA stability may reduce the L:P response, probably as a consequence of persistent inhibition of petiole elongation (Figure 5c).

Brassinosteroid signaling is required for elongation responses to blue light depletion

Studies with Arabidopsis plants at the rosette stage (Kozuka et al., 2010) showed that BR (along with auxin) are involved...
in controlling petiole elongation and leaf morphology responses to end-of-day low R:FR treatments. Furthermore, studies with recently germinated Arabidopsis seedlings established that auxin (from the TAA1 pathway) and BR signaling are both required for the effects of blue light attenuation in the promotion of hypocotyl elongation (Keuskamp et al., 2011). We tested the response to blue light attenuation at the rosette stage in mutants impaired in BR synthesis and perception. The det2-1 plants (impaired in BR biosynthesis) have a strong phenotype and very compact rosettes. Their growth is severely impaired, and no morphological responses to blue light attenuation were evident in this mutant (Figure S4). Using a mutant that carries a weak allele of BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1, bri1-301, we found that the hyponastic response to blue light attenuation was completely retained. However, the effect of blue light attenuation reducing L:P ratio was impaired in bri1-301, mainly because petiole elongation was inhibited (Figure 6).

SAS responses to blue light attenuation in rosette plants are probably mediated by cry1

Cryptochromes are known to be involved in the leaf hyponastic response of Arabidopsis rosettes to neutral shade (Millenaar et al., 2009), and both cry1 and cry2 were found to be required for the inhibitory effect of monochromatic blue light on petiole elongation (Kozuka et al., 2005). We tested blue light receptor mutants for their SAS responses to blue light attenuation. In the cry1 single mutants and cry1 cry2 double mutants the leaves were constitutively hyponastic, and had reduced L:P ratios compared with the Col wild type (Figure 7). cry2 had a normal phenotype, whereas the phot1 phot2 phototropin double mutant showed a slightly attenuated response in terms of hyponasty and normal L:P response (Figure 7). These results suggest that the SAS-like response to blue light attenuation in rosette plants of the Col-0 ecotype is principally mediated by cry1 inactivation. Differences among accessions may be present, however, as previous studies in the Ler background did not report observations of hyponastic leaves for plants of the cry1 single mutant under white light (Ballaré and Scopel, 1997; Mullen et al., 2006).

The response to blue light attenuation requires PIF4 or PIF5

In seedlings, hypocotyl elongation responses triggered by low R:FR (or the phyB mutation) are partially dependent on the bHLH transcription factors PIF4 and PIF5 (Lorrain et al., 2008; Horntitschek et al., 2009). We found that compared with Col-0 the hyponastic response to blue light attenuation was reduced in pif4 and pif5 single mutants, and even more so in the pif4 pif5 double mutant (Figure 8). In terms of leaf morphology, pif4 had a greater impact than pif5 in reducing the L:P ratio and petiole elongation response to blue light attenuation (Figure 8). These results suggest that both of these bHLH transcription factors, but particularly PIF4, are
required for the full activation of the SAS phenotype in response to low levels of blue light.

DISCUSSION

Plants perceive the proximity of neighboring plants using specific photoreceptors, which modulate the expression of growth and tropic responses, and allow the plant to forage for photons in heterogeneous light environments. A critical signal of neighbor proximity is a low R:FR ratio, which reduces the levels of the active form of phyB and other stable phytochromes, and leads to the appearance of SAS (reviewed in Franklin, 2008; Ballaré, 2009; Keuskamp et al., 2010b). Two hallmarks of SAS in Arabidopsis and other rosette plants are leaf hyponasty and reduced growth of the leaf lamina relative to petiole growth (reduced L:P ratio). These responses help the plant to move its photosynthetic organs upwards and reach canopy strata with improved light conditions. In even-aged plant stands, both responses are triggered early on during canopy development, well before mutual shading among neighboring plants becomes intense. These responses are: (i) triggered by the low R:FR ratio of the light reflected by neighboring plants; (ii) mediated by the inactivation of phyB; and (iii) completely dependent on the TAA1 pathway of auxin biosynthesis (Tao et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2009). In our canopy experiments, we found reduced SAS responses in the sav3 mutant (compared with Col-0) under conditions of low canopy cover (Figure 1a,b) or in the proximity of non-shading neighbors (Figure 2a). In contrast, later in the density gradient experiment, when leaf cover was 70% or more (Figure 1c,d), or when the plants were placed underneath a dense grass canopy (Figure 2), sav3 displayed robust hyponasty and L:P responses. Furthermore, even phyB plants showed exacerbation of their constitutively expressed SAS phenotype at high LAIs (Figure 1c,d). These observations demonstrate that phyB-independent light and hormonal signals participate in activating the SAS phenotype in Arabidopsis plants grown in real canopies.

A signal that may activate SAS responses to crowding or leaf shading is blue light attenuation, which results from the strong absorption of blue photons by chlorophyll (Ballaré et al., 1991). Hypocotyl growth is inhibited by blue light (Cashmore et al., 1999; Foit and Spalding, 2001; Pierik et al., 2009; Sellaro et al., 2010; Keuskamp et al., 2011), and in fully de-etiolated plants grown under white light, blue light attenuation has been shown to cause increased internode elongation in mustard and Datura ferox (Ballaré et al., 1991), and leaf hyponasty in Nicotiana tabacum (tobacco; Pierik et al., 2004). Previous studies have shown that Arabidopsis plants at the rosette stage respond to treatments that reduce total light intensity with leaf hyponasty, and that this response can be partially reversed by reintroducing blue light in the low light treatment (Millenaar et al., 2009). Our results confirm that Arabidopsis rosettes can display very marked SAS responses to blue light attenuation, including pronounced hyponasty and a reduced L:P ratio (Figure 3). Our data also suggest that, at least in Col-0, this response is predominantly mediated by cry1, with no obvious participation of cry2 or phototropins (Figure 7). Thus, cry1 is likely to participate in the SAS responses of Arabidopsis plants shaded by competitors (Figures 1 and 2).

The two SAS responses characterized in this study (hyponasty and altered leaf morphology), although concomitantly displayed in response to competition signals (low R:FR or low blue light), appeared to be controlled by different mechanisms. This difference between responses is apparent from the differential effects of the mutations tested in our experiments, which are summarized in Table 1.
Therefore, L:P and leaf hyponasty responses will be considered separately in the discussion below.

Leaf morphology (L:P ratio)

Our results suggest that, at the rosette stage, the auxin and GA action pathways that are recruited by phyB inactivation are not engaged by cry1 inactivation, even though inactivation of both photoreceptors produce similar L:P phenotypes. The L:P response to blue light attenuation was completely independent of SAV3 and PIN3 (Figure 3). In contrast, SAV3 and PIN3 are required for the expression of leaf morphology responses elicited by low R:FR ratios in rosette plants (Tao et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2009; Keuskamp et al., 2010a), and are also known to play important roles in the hypocotyl elongation responses to low blue light and R:FR in young Arabidopsis seedlings (Tao et al., 2008; Keuskamp et al., 2010a, 2011). Moreover, whereas DELLA degradation appears to play a significant role in mediating SAS responses to low R:FR ratios in seedlings and rosette plants (Djakovic-Petrovic et al., 2007), it was not activated by blue light attenuation (Figure 4), and not directly involved in the production of the SAS response, because blue light attenuation causes robust L:P responses in global della mutants (Figure 5). It is apparent, however, that the degradation of DELLA is somehow required for the full expression of the SAS phenotype in low levels of blue light, presumably because L:P responses and hyponasty ultimately depend on elongation, which is repressed in the mutants carrying stable DELLA proteins (gai-1 in Figure 5c).

Brassinosteroids also appeared to be partially involved in the L:P response to blue light attenuation (Figure 6). Although BRs are known to participate in light-controlled
signaling networks (Szekeres et al., 1996; Neff et al., 1999; Luccioni et al., 2002; Luo et al., 2010), very little is known about their involvement in SAS responses. A recent study (Kozuka et al., 2010) demonstrated that BRs are required for petiole elongation and lamina growth inhibition responses to end-of-day FR pulses. Experiments reported in a companion paper (Keuskamp et al., 2011) demonstrate that BRs are required, along with auxin, for the hypocotyl elongation response of Arabidopsis seedlings to blue light attenuation. Collectively, these studies suggest that BR may have a more important role than previously thought in the fine-tuning of SAS responses elicited by multiple canopy signals. The mechanism of BR action in SAS responses remains to be elucidated. The study of Keuskamp et al. (2011) suggests that, in Arabidopsis hypocotyls, BRs regulate elongation responses to blue light depletion via the regulation of specific sets of XTH genes.

Leaf hyponasty

None of our experiments revealed a specific connection between the hyponastic response to blue light attenuation and hormonal action, as the leaf angle response to low blue light was present in all of the hormone-related mutants that we tested (Table 1). Furthermore, previous work has shown that ethylene, which is known to cause leaf hyponasty when exogenously applied to plants, does not play a significant role mediating the hyponastic response to low light intensity in Arabidopsis (Millenaar et al., 2009). The same study concluded that auxin perception and PIN3 are necessary for short-term (hours) leaf angle responses to reduced total irradiance (neutral shade). However, although we cannot completely rule out a requirement for auxin action, it is clear that the hyponastic response elicited by blue light attenuation is independent of SAV3 and PIN3. This is most remarkable, particularly because when exposed to low R:FR ratios the hyponastic response is completely absent in sav3 mutants (Moreno et al., 2009), and is clearly attenuated in pin3 mutants (Keuskamp et al., 2010a). Therefore, as discussed for the L:P response, we are forced to conclude that the auxin synthesis and transport pathways that are recruited by phyB inactivation are not used to mount responses to BL attenuation, even though the ultimate responses (hyponasty) are very similar.

The mechanism that controls the hyponastic response elicited by cry1 inactivation requires further investigation. Some morphological responses to blue light attenuation have been attributed to hydraulic signals, activated by stomatal closure (Barillot et al., 2010). Blue light promotes stomatal opening though the combined action of cryptochromes and phototropins. It has been shown that cry1 single and cry1 cry2 double mutants were more tolerant to water deprivation than wild-type plants, and this effect was correlated with a reduced stomatal sensitivity to blue light (Mao et al., 2005). Further work is needed to test whether the effect of blue light attenuation producing leaf hyponasty is functionally connected with stomatal responses.

PIF4 and PIF5: integrators for multiple signals in the plant canopy?

A key point of convergence between the phyB and cry1 inactivation signals uncovered by this study was the requirement for the bHLH transcription factors PIF4 and PIF5 (Figure 8). Previous work has shown that PIF4 or PIF5 are required for the normal elongation responses of hypocotyls to light of low R:FR (Lorrain et al., 2008). The role of PIFs in
the SAS responses of plants at the rosette stage has been less well characterized (Lorrain et al., 2008), and no previous study has demonstrated the involvement of these transcription factors in photomorphogenic responses activated by specific blue light photoreceptors (Leivar and Quail, 2011). Our experiments show that PIF4 is required for normal L:P and petiole elongation responses, and that both PIF4 and PIF5 need to be present for full expression of the hyponastic response to blue light attenuation (Figure 8). Interestingly, PIF4 was also found to be essential for hyponastic responses induced by high temperatures in Arabidopsis, with no obvious redundancy with other PIFs (Koini et al., 2009).

Collectively, these results suggest a model in which PIFs integrate the effects of several environmental and hormonal pathways in the control of leaf angle and morphology in canopies (Figure 9). The mechanisms of interaction between cry1 and PIF proteins need to be investigated in future studies. In the case of phyB, there is direct interaction between PIF and photo-activated phyB in the nucleus, and indirect interactions mediated by the phyB control of GA synthesis and DELLA stability (Feng et al., 2008; Lorrain et al., 2008; de Lucas et al., 2008; Kami et al., 2010). There is no evidence of cry-induced degradation of PIFs, or of physical interactions between crys and PIFs (Leivar and Quail, 2011), and our data show that blue light attenuation treatments do not result in increased DELLA turnover (Figure 4). A potential mechanism whereby blue light attenuation activates PIF4 and PIF5 might involve a related bHLH factor, HFR1 (for long hypocotyl in far-red light; Fairchild et al., 2000). Previous work has established that HFR1 is a positively acting component in cry1 signaling (Duek and Fankhauser, 2003), and recent studies demonstrate that HFR1 directly inhibits the action of growth-promoting PIFs by forming transcriptionally inactive, non-DNA binding heterodimers (Hornitschek et al., 2009).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Understanding how plants detect their neighbors is a requisite for the intelligent modification of plant responses to population density in order to increase crop yield per unit area. The data reported in this paper show that mutations that knock down key players involved in phyB signaling (phyB and sav3) do not eliminate the SAS responses of Arabidopsis plants to increased canopy density. This conserved SAS phenotype in mutants impaired in phyB signaling demonstrates the presence of additional signaling circuits. Communication theory maintains that redundancy is a requirement for the reliable transmission and processing of information in noisy environments (Lesne, 2008). Our results

**Table 1** Summary of the effects of various mutations on the expression of different components of the shade avoidance syndrome (SAS) phenotype in response to blue light attenuation in rosette plants

| Response               | cry1 | sav3 | pin3 | 5 × della | gai1 | bri1 | pif4 | pif5 | pif4 pif5 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Hyponasty              | Constitutive | Normal | Normal | Normal | Reduced | Normal | Reduced | Reduced | Strongly reduced |
| Reduction of L:P       | Constitutive | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal | Reduced | Normal | Reduced | Reduced |
| Petiole elongation     | Constitutive | Reduced | Normal | Normal | Reduced | Reduced | Normal | Reduced | Strongly reduced |

L:P, lamina/petiole length ratio.
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Figure 8. PIF4 and PIF5 are required for the full expression of the shade avoidance syndrome (SAS) phenotype to blue light attenuation. Plants were grown under white light (WL) or WL filtered through a yellow filter (–BLUE). Morphological measurements and photographs were taken after 7 days of treatment. Thin bars indicate ±1 SE (n = 8–20 individual plants). The light × genotype interaction term (L × G) was significant (P < 0.05) in all panels; different letters indicate significant differences between means.

(a–c) Morphological responses to blue light attenuation in Col-0 plants and in the various pif mutants.
(b) Representative photographs of the plants after exposure to the indicated light treatments.
suggest that plants use low R:FR and blue light as partially redundant signals of the proximity of competitors. Low R:FR is the main signal at low canopy LAIs, where plants can sense FR radiation reflected from neighbors, and both low R:FR and reduced blue light irradiance become important indicators of competition at high LAIs (Ballaré, 1999). Our experiments demonstrate that these signals, perceived by phyB and cry1, respectively, also activate separate signaling networks that show limited overlap with regard to the molecular players involved (Figure 9). Parallel control pathways frequently converge in major regulatory nodes, sometimes characterized as phenotypic capacitors (Levy and Siegal, 2008), which are responsible for ensuring that a robust, adaptive phenotype is produced in response to a diverse array of input signals. Our data suggest that PIF4 and PIF5 are critical hubs in the core SAS pathway, which integrate information from the principal light signaling routes that control adaptive plasticity in plant canopies.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plant material

Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh accessions Columbia (Col-0) and Landsberg erecta (Ler) were used as the wild-type controls. The mutants phyB-9 (Reed et al., 1993), sav3-2 (Tao et al., 2008), pin3-3 (Friml et al., 2002), quintuple yucca yuc3,5,7,8,9 (donated by Y. Zhao, University of California at San Diego), bni1-301 (weak allele of bni1; donated by S. Trupkin), det2-1 (Chory et al., 1991), cry1-301 (Mockler et al., 1996), cry2-1 (Guo et al., 1998), cry1 cry2 (cry1-hy4-b104 cry2-1; Buchovsky et al., 2008), phot1-5 phot2-1 (both donated by H. Boccalandro), pif4-101 and pif4pif5 (de Lucas et al., 2008) were all in the Col background. The GA-insensitive gain-of-function gai1 mutant (Koornneef et al., 1985) and gai-t6 rga-t2 rgl1-1 rgl3-1 rgl2-1/SGT625-5 quintuple della (CS 16298-ABRC) mutants were all in the Ler background.

Growth conditions

For all experiments, seeds were sown onto solid agar (0.8%, w/v) in Petri dishes and stratified at 4°C in darkness for 48 h. They were then irradiated for 1 h with red light and transferred to white light (120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Seedlings were grown for 5 or 6 days until they were transplanted to individual pots or trays with a 1 : 1 : 1 vermiculite : perlite : peat mixture. For the density experiments, seedlings were transplanted to 20 × 16 × 4.5 cm trays in monocultures of four densities: 394, 701, 1315 and 2456 plants per m² (9, 20, 42 and 81 plants per tray in a square planting arrangement), and placed in glasshouse conditions. For each genotype tested (Col-0, phyB-9 and sav3-2) there were four replicates per density. Plants were allowed to compete above and below ground. For the Lolium canopy shade experiments, Arabidopsis Col-0 and sav3-2 seedlings were transplanted to individual plastic pots (0.2 L) and grown in a glasshouse under full sunlight, until they were 21 days old. For the non-shading grass neighbor set-up, the plants were placed on the north side of a thick L. multiflorum canopy (the experiment was performed in the southern hemisphere; therefore, Arabidopsis seedlings were not shaded by the grass leaves). To produce the two levels of shading (partial shade and total shade), the plants were placed under the L. multiflorum canopy at two different heights, which resulted in the attenuation of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 75 or 92%, respectively. All the glasshouse experiments were carried out in the autumn, under short photoperiods (10–11 h per day); daily temperatures fluctuated between 11 and 25°C. Peak levels of PAR were between 700 and 1000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Trays and individual pots were watered daily with tap water until the appearance of the sixth rosette leaf, and then twice a week with a solution (1 ×) of HAKAPHOS Rojo 18-18-18 (Compo).

For the light manipulation experiments, seedlings were transplanted to soil in individual pots and placed in a growth chamber (photoperiod = 10 h; PAR = 120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹; standard fluorescent bulbs; temperature = 21°C). The plants were between 14 and 16 days post germination (with between five and seven true leaves) at the start of the light manipulation experiment.

Light treatments and measurements

In the growth chamber, blue light attenuation was achieved with a yellow filter (Code 101; Lee Filters USA, http://www.leefilters.com/); a pink filter (Lee Filters Code 794) was used to attenuate PAR with minimal reduction of the blue light component, and a clear film (clear polyester 0.75 mm; Oeste Aislante, http://www.oesteaislante.com.ar) was used as a control (see spectral scans in Figure S5). Light measurements were taken with an SKL 904/SpectroSense2 meter fitted with an SKR 1850 four-channel sensor (Skye Instruments, http://www.skyeinstrumetns.com). Light spectra were scanned with a USB4000-UV-VIS spectrometer, pre-configured with a DET2-200-B50 detector and a QP600-2-SR optical fiber (Ocean Optics, Inc., http://www.oceanoptics.com), and processed with SPECTRASUITE (http://www.oceanoptics.com/Products/spectradsuite.asp).
Measurements of leaf angle and leaf morphology

In the light attenuation experiments, plants were measured and photographed at the end of the photoperiod of day 7, counted from the initiation of the light treatments, unless otherwise stated. Because leaf inclination in Arabidopsis displays diurnal variation (Mullen et al., 2006), plants of all genotypes and light treatments were imaged at the same time of the day. Leaf blade angle relative to the horizontal plane was measured in the tallest (petiolated) leaf of the rosette with a protractor. Petiole and lamina lengths were measured with a digital caliper in the fifth or sixth leaf, which sometimes corresponded to the same leaf used to measure the leaf angle.

In the density experiment, plant morphological measurements were taken every week. Measurements taken 25 and 45 days after germination were used to construct Figure 1. Because the initial rate of leaf area expansion varied among genotypes, we used relative canopy cover (instead of the actual plant densities) to compare the different genotypes with regard to their morphological responses to crowding, essentially as described by Ballare and Scopel (1997). In the canopy shading experiment, plants were measured and photographed as indicated for the light attenuation experiments.

DELLA abundance

The RGA coding sequence was amplified from Col-0 genomic DNA with the following primers (RGA-B2R, GGGGACAGCTTTCTTGTAC AAAGTGCTATGAAGAGATCATAC; RGA-B3xSTOP, GGGGA CAACCTTTGATATAAAAGTTGCTGCTAGACCCGGCGTGCA) and cloned into pDONR2P23 using gateway recombination (Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com). mCITRINE was amplified with the following primers (mCITRINE-B1wKOZAK, GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAGACAGCTTACATGAAAAAGCTGGGTACTTGTAAGG; mCITRINE- B2xSTOP, GGGGACACCTTTGATACAGAAAGAAGCTGGTGACTTGTA- CAGCTGTTCAATG) and cloned into pDONR221 using gateway recombination as well (Invitrogen). The final destination vector was obtained with a three-fragment recombination system using pHUB10/pDONR4P1R (Jailais et al., 2011), mCITRINE/pDONR221 and RGA/pDONR2P23 entry vectors and pB7m34GW as a destination vector (Karimi et al., 2006). The pHUB10::mCITRINE-RGA was transformed into Col-0 and selected using its glyfosinate (Basta) resistance. Confocal microscopy was carried out with a Leica SP2 inverted microscope (Leica, http://www.leica.com). The same confocal settings were used in all conditions, and each image is representative of three independent experiments (eight plants per genotype and experiment).

Pharmacological experiments

To investigate the involvement of auxin polar transport, intact Col-0 rosette plants were sprayed at 15 days after germination with an aqueous solution of the polar auxin transport inhibitor NPA (Sigma-Aldrich, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com). NPA stock solutions (1000 ×) were prepared in DMSO. Working solutions at final concentrations of 0.5, 5 and 50 μM NPA and 0.1% Tween 20 were sprayed onto the plants. Control plants were sprayed with solutions containing equivalent quantities of DMSO and Tween 20, without NPA. After applying NPA, plants were immediately placed under the light treatments. Morphological data were obtained 4 days after NPA application.

Statistical analyses

Experimental data were analysed using INFOSTAT 1.1 (http://www.infostat.com.ar) by means of factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA). In the figures, we report the significance of the main effect of the light treatments. If the light effect varied with genotype (i.e. in cases of a significant light × genotype interactions; L × G), treatment means were further separated using Tukey’s comparisons. In this case, significant differences between treatment means are indicated by different letters within a given genotype.
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Figure S4. Representative photographs of the phenotype of the det2-1 mutant under the light conditions used in this study (after 7 days of treatment).

Figure S5. Characteristics of the light environment in the canopy experiments and spectral photon distribution under the light treatments used in the filtration experiments.

Please note: As a service to our authors and readers, this journal provides supporting information supplied by the authors. Such materials are peer-reviewed and may be re-organised for online delivery, but are not copy-edited or typeset. Technical support issues arising from supporting information (other than missing files) should be addressed to the authors.

REFERENCES

Aphalo, P.J. and Ballare, C.L. (1995) On the importance of information-acquiring systems in plant-plant interactions. Funct. Ecol. 9, 5–14.

Ballare, C.L. (1999) Keeping up with the neighbours: phytochrome sensing and other signalling mechanisms. Trends Plant Sci. 4, 97–102.

Ballare, C.L. (2009) Illuminated behaviour: phytochrome as a key regulator of light foraging and plant anti-herbivore defence. Plant Cell Environ. 32, 713–725.

Ballare, C.L. and Scopel, A.L. (1997) Phytocrome signalling in plant canopies. Testing its population-level consequences using photoreceptor mutants of Arabidopsis. Funct. Ecol. 11, 441–450.

Ballare, C.L., Scopel, A.L. and Sanchez, R.A. (1991) Photocontrol of stem elongation in plant neighbourhoods: effects of photon fluence rate under natural conditions of radiation. Plant Cell Environ. 14, 97–105.

Ballare, C.L., Scopel, A.L., Radosevich, S.R. and Kendrick, R.E. (1992) Phytochrome-mediated phototropism in de-etiolated seedlings. Plant Physiol. 100, 170–177.

Barillot, R., Frak, E., Combes, D., Durand, J.L. and Escobar-Gutierrez, A.J. (2010) What determines the complex kinetics of stomatal conductance under blueless PAR in Festuca arundinacea? Subsequent effects on leaf transpiration. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 2795–2806.

Benschop, J.J., Millenaar, F.F., Smeets, M.E., Van Zanten, M., Voesenek, L.A.D.J. and Peeters, A.J.M. (2007) Abscisic acid antagonizes ethylene-induced hyponastic growth in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 143, 1013–1023.
Briggs, W.R. and Christie, J.M. (2002) Phototropins 1 and 2: versatile plant blue-light receptors. Trends Plant Sci. 7, 204–210.

Buchovsky, A.S., Strasser, B., Cerdan, P.D. and Casal, J.J. (2008) Suppression of pleiotropic effects of functional CRYPTOCHROME genes by TERMINAL FLOWER 1. Genetics, 180, 1467–1474.

Cashmore, A.R., Jarillo, J.A., Wu, Y.-J. and Liu, D. (1999) Cryptochromes: blue light receptors for plants and animals. Science, 284, 760–765.

Chory, J., Nagpal, P. and Peto, C.A. (1991) Phenotypic and genetic analysis of det2, a new mutant that affects light-regulated seedling development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell, 3, 445–459.

De Kroon, H., Visser, E.J.W., Huber, H., Monmer, L. and Hutchings, M.J. (2009) A modular concept of plant foraging behaviour: the interplay between local responses and systemic control. Plant Cell Environ. 32, 704–712.

Djakovic-Petrovic, T., Wit, M.d., Voesenek, L.A.C.J. and Pierik, R. (2007) DELLA protein function in growth responses to canopy signals. Plant J. 51, 117–126.

Dom, L.A., Pyle, E.H. and Schmitt, J. (2000) Plasticity to light cues and resources in Arabidopsis thaliana: testing for adaptive value and costs. Evolution, 54, 1982–1994.

Duek, P.D. and Fankhauser, C. (2003) HFR1, a putative bHLH transcription factor, encodes an inhibitor of shade avoidance responses to neighbor proximity signals independent of gibberellin and DELLA proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 140, 117–126.

Fairchild, C.D., Schumaker, M.A. and Quail, P.H. (2008) Brassinosteroid mutants uncover fine tuning of phytochrome signaling. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 105, 9729–9734.

Franklin, K.A. (2000) Involvement of auxin and brassinosteroid in the regulation of petiole elongation under shade. Plant Physiol. 123, 737–749.

Franklin, K.A. (2008) Shade avoidance. New Phytol. 179, 930–944.

Girlerio, M., Alabadi, D., Perez-Gomez, J., Garcia-Cancel, L., Phillips, A.L., Hedden, P. and Blazquez, M.A. (2006) Transcriptional regulation of gibberellin metabolism genes by auxin signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 141, 552–563.

Griml, J., Wistneska, J., Benkova, E., Mendgen, K. and Palme, K. (2002) Lateral relocation of auxin efflux regulator PIN3 mediates tropism in Arabidopsis. Nature, 415, 806–809.

Guo, H., Yang, H., Mockler, T.C. and Lin, C. (1998) Regulation of flowering time by Arabidopsis photoreceptors. Science, 279, 1360–1363.

Harrington, N.P., Belfield, E. and Yasumura, Y. (2009) The Angiopsis gibberellin-GID1-DELLA growth regulatory mechanism: how an “inhibitor of an inhibitor” enables flexible response to fluctuating environments. Plant Cell, 21, 1328–1339.

Hornitschek, P., Lorrain, S., Zoete, V., Michielin, O. and Fankhauser, C. (2009) Inhibition of the shade avoidance response by formation of non-DNA binding bHLH heterodimers. EMBO J. 28, 3893–3902.

Jaillais, Y. and Chory, J. (2010) Unraveling the paradoxes of plant hormone signaling integration. Nature, 465, 642–645.

Jaillais, Y., Hothorn, M., Belkhadir, Y., Dabi, T., Nimchuk, Z.L., Meyerowitz, E.M. and Chory, J. (2011) Tyrosine phosphorylation controls brassinosteroid receptor activation by triggering membrane release of its kinase inhibitor. Genes Dev. 25, 232–237.

Kami, C., Lorrain, S., Hornitschek, P. and Fankhauser, C. (2010) Light-regulated plant growth and development. In: Current Topics in Developmental Biology (Timmernann, M.C.F., ed.). London: Academic Press, pp. 29–66.

Karimi, M., Depicker, A. and Hilson, P. (2007) Recombinational cloning with plant gateway vectors. Plant Physiol. 145, 1144–1154.

Keuskamp, D.H., Pollmann, S., Voesenek, L.A.C.J., Peeters, A.J.M. and Pierik, R. (2010a) Auxin transport through PIN-FORMED 3 (PIN3) controls shade avoidance and fitness during competition. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 22740–22745.

Keuskamp, D.H., Sasidharan, R. and Pierik, R. (2010b) Physiological regulation and functional significance of shade avoidance responses to neighbors. Plant Signal Behav. 5, 1–8.

Keuskamp, D.H., Sasidharan, R., Vos, I., Peeters, A.J.M., Voesenek, L.A.C.J. and Pierik, R. (2011) Blue light-mediated shade avoidance responses com-
Reed, J.W., Nagpal, P., Poole, D.S., Furuya, M. and Chory, J. (1993) Mutations in the gene for the red/far-red light receptor phytochrome B alter cell elongation and physiological responses throughout arabidopsis development. Plant Cell, 5, 147–157.

Sellaro, R., Crepy, M., Trupkin, S.A., Karayekov, E., Buchovsky, A.S., Rossi, C. and Casal, J.J. (2010) Cryptochrome as a sensor of the blue/green ratio of natural radiation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 154, 401–409.

Smith, H. (1982) Light quality, photoperception, and plant strategy. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 33, 481–518.

Sultan, S.E. (2010) Plant developmental responses to the environment: eco-devo insights. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 13, 96–101.

Szekeres, M., Németh, K., Koncz-Kalman, Z., Mathur, J., Kauschmann, A., Altmann, T., Rédei, G.P., Nagy, F., Schell, J. and Koncz, C. (1996) brassinosteroids rescue the deficiency of CYP90, a cytochrome P450, controlling cell elongation and de-etiolation in Arabidopsis. Cell, 85, 171–182.

Takemiya, A., Inoue, S.-i., Doi, M., Kinoshita, T. and Shimazaki, K.-i. (2005) Phototropins promote plant growth in response to blue light in low light environments. Plant Cell, 17, 1120–1127.

Tao, Y., Ferrer, J.L., Liung, K. et al. (2008) Rapid synthesis of auxin via a new tryptophan-dependent pathway is required for shade avoidance in plants. Cell, 133, 164–176.

Vandenbussche, F., Pierik, R., Millenaar, F.F., Voesenek, L.A.C.J. and Van Der Straeten, D. (2005) Reaching out of the shade. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 8, 462–468.

van Zanten, M., Pons, T.L., Janssen, J.A.M., Voesenek, L.A.C.J. and Peeters, A.J.M. (2010) On the Relevance and Control of Leaf Angle. Critical Rev. Plant Sci. 29, 300–316.

Zhao, Y., Christensen, S.K., Funkhauser, C., Cashman, J.R., Cohen, J.D., Weigel, D. and Chory, J. (2001) A role for flavin monooxygenase-like enzymes in auxin biosynthesis. Science, 291, 306–309.