Trouton’s Rule for Vapor Sorption in Solids
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Featured Application: A tool for the selection of the most suited material systems for (reversible) thermal heat storage with respect to the envisaged use cases. Temperatures of dehydration and rehydration are needed, as well as the heat storage density for the realization of heat batteries.

Abstract: Hygroscopic salts exhibiting fast and reversible hydration are promising systems for seasonal heat storage, providing the possibility of storing excess solar energy from the warm season for later use during the cold season. For heat storage, the salt is dehydrated with the available heat, and for heat recovery, the salt is rehydrated. There are many salt hydration transitions and for selecting the most suited ones with respect to the envisaged use cases, temperatures of dehydration and rehydration are needed, as well as the heat storage density. Estimation of these properties requires entropy and enthalpy changes of the transitions. Collections of hydration entropies and enthalpies have been published, but not all data seems reliable for various reasons, and it is often hard to access original sources and experimental conditions. For the necessary data validation, we propose the use of Trouton’s rule, known to hold for the evaporation of classes of fluids. Besides data validation, Trouton’s rule is useful for predicting heat storage densities and vapor pressures when only the transition enthalpy is known. We discuss the validity of Trouton’s rule for salt hydration and ammoniation transitions by theoretical and experimental evidence on the available extensive data collections.
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1. Introduction

Here, we would like to share some results of our investigations on selecting salt hydrates for application in heat storage systems. Selection criteria are, for instance, a high heat storage density at favorable operating temperatures, sufficient output power, cycling stability, and low costs and low toxicity [1–9]. Examples of salt hydrates that could be used for heat storage are Na₂S·5H₂O (cf. [1–4]) and K₂CO₃·1½H₂O [5], but there are many other candidate reactions [6–9]. Selecting a suited salt hydrate depends on a number of its properties, which are obtained from experimental data. Validation of such data is our main objective here, and we will discuss the application of Trouton’s rule for that purpose. In order to properly introduce our research questions and their relevance, we start with a brief discussion on heat storage by salt hydrates.

Salt hydrates can be used for heat storage by removing the contained crystal water molecules with the available heat, e.g., by the following transition:

\[ \text{K₂CO₃·1½H₂O} + \text{Heat} \leftrightarrow \text{K₂CO₃} + 1½\text{H₂O}. \]
This is an example of a reversible thermochemical reaction, and $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\cdot\frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is therefore a thermochemical material (TCM). The reaction products $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ can be stored separately and the input heat can be recovered by rehydrating $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ with water vapor. This way of heat storage is virtually loss-free and therefore particularly suited for long-term storage. Figure 1 is a sketch of a system for thermochemical heat storage (THS), designed for seasonal storage of excess solar heat in summer for later use in winter [4]. For heat storage, heat from solar collectors of, e.g., 80–90 °C, is transferred to a hot water storage tank. When sufficient heat is collected, hot water is transferred by a heat exchanger (HX) to a reactor containing modules with TCM, e.g., a hydrated salt. With the available heat, the salt is dried while the desorbed water is captured by a pool condenser. Condensation heat is transferred to a reservoir, which may be a borehole energy storage (BES). During heat storage, the salt is kept dry by closing a valve to the condenser. For heat recovery, the valve is opened. Then, the pool acts as an evaporator providing water vapor for rehydration. The hydration heat is transferred to hot water storage for further application. Meanwhile, the BES provides 10–15 °C heat to the evaporator to prevent it from cooling down during evaporation.

For this THS system, we need a salt hydrate dehydrating at 80–90 °C while the process water from the salt is condensed in the pool at, for example, 20 °C during summer. From the vapor-pressure lines (pT-lines) of water and a candidate salt hydration transition, one can determine whether the candidate transition is suited and indeed takes place at the given temperatures. As an example, Figure 2 shows the pT-lines of water (blue) and of the transition $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\cdot(1\frac{1}{2}-0)\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (green). The horizontal red line shows the vapor pressure of about 23 hPa in the condenser at 20 °C. According to the intersection with the pT-line of $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\cdot(1\frac{1}{2}-0)\text{H}_2\text{O}$, the $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\cdot\frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O}$ needs to be heated to at least 68 °C to dehydrate. Thus, the transition can very well take place using the available 80–90 °C from the collector with the pool condenser at 20 °C. For heat regeneration during winter, the pool will be somewhat colder, say 10 °C, giving a pressure of about 12 hPa for evaporation, according to the horizontal blue line in Figure 2. From the intersection with the pT-line of $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\cdot(1\frac{1}{2}-0)\text{H}_2\text{O}$, it follows that the maximum achievable hydration temperature is then about 60 °C. Thus, apart from some sensible heat to preheat the salt for hydration or dehydration, all heat is recovered, although at a somewhat lower temperature due to the difference in condenser and evaporator temperatures during summer and winter.
We see that in order to estimate dehydration and hydration temperatures at given operation conditions, it is sufficient to have the pT-line of a candidate transition. In literature, [10], pT-lines of salt hydrate transitions are often represented by the entropy and enthalpy differences \( \Delta s_0 \) and \( \Delta h_0 \) of the transition at reference pressure \( p_0 = 1 \) atm. The pT-line is then obtained by the relation [10,11]

\[
\ln \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \right) = \frac{\Delta s_0}{R} - \frac{\Delta h_0}{RT}.
\] (1)

Here, \( R = 8.314 \text{ J/mol K} \) is the gas constant. In the following section, we discuss the assumptions on which the derivation of this formula is based. Besides dehydration temperature at operating pressure, heat storage density \( Q/V \) (J/m\(^3\)) is an important parameter, determining the system volume for a given amount of stored heat. The heat storage density follows by

\[
\frac{Q}{V} = \frac{n \rho \Delta h}{M}.
\] (2)

This is the amount of heat per volume of hydrate, where \( \Delta h \) is the transition enthalpy, \( n \) the amount of water molecules involved in the transition, and \( \rho \) (kg/m\(^3\)) and \( M \) (kg/mol) are the density and molar mass of the hydrate. For instance, for the transition \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \cdot (1\frac{1}{2} - 0)\text{H}_2\text{O} \) with \( \Delta h = \Delta h_0 = 63.6 \text{ kJ/mol} \), \( n = 1\frac{1}{2} \), \( \rho = 2181 \text{ kg/m}^3 \), and \( M = 0.1652 \text{ kg/mol} \), we obtain \( Q/V = 1.26 \text{ GJ/m}^3 = 350 \text{ kWh/m}^3 \).

Fortunately, tables of \( \Delta s_0 \) and \( \Delta h_0 \) have been published for many salt hydration transitions [5,10,12], as well as for salt ammoniate transitions [11]. However, hydration states as well as \( \Delta s_0 \) and \( \Delta h_0 \) values of different references are sometimes contradictory, and hydration states are not always confirmed by X-ray diffraction data from, e.g., the online database of the ICDD (International Centre for Diffraction Data) [13]. Besides, behind every pT-line is an article describing the measurements and the analysis of the obtained data to arrive at the fitted \( \Delta s_0 \) and \( \Delta h_0 \). Collecting and verifying all this information is not easy. Often sources are difficult to find and the reports sometimes lack sufficient completeness.

Therefore, a rule obeyed by \( \Delta s_0 \) and \( \Delta h_0 \) data of certain classes of transitions would be valuable. For that purpose, we consider Trouton’s rule [14] that asserts the proportionality of transition enthalpy \( \Delta h_0 \) with transition temperature \( T_0 \) at given reference pressure \( p_0 \) for a certain class of transitions. Possible applications of Trouton’s rule include:

- Validation of experimentally obtained pT-lines;
- Estimation of pT-lines when only \( \Delta h_0 \) is known;
- Predicting limits for the heat storage density of a given desorption temperature.

Figure 2. Vapor pressure lines of water (blue) and \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \cdot (1\frac{1}{2} - 0)\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (green). The red horizontal line gives the vapor pressure of about 23 hPa for water at a typical condenser temperature of 20 °C. The intersection with the green line gives a minimum desorption temperature of \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \cdot 1\frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O} \) of about 68 °C. The blue horizontal line gives the vapor pressure of about 12 hPa for water at a typical evaporation temperature of 10 °C. The intersection with the green line gives the maximum sorption temperature of \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \cdot 1\frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O} \) of about 60 °C.
Trouton’s rule is usually considered for phase transition between liquid and vapor, but has, for instance, also been suggested and verified for adsorption reactions of, for example, activated carbon, silica gel and zeolite, and for evaporation of salt solutions [15,16]. Whether Trouton’s rule also applies to transitions of salt hydrates and ammoniates is verified in the following sections based on the theoretical and experimental evidence.

2. Thermodynamic Evidence for Trouton’s Rule

2.1. Modeling pT-Lines

We start by a discussion on the validity of Equation (1) for modeling pT-lines of vapor-liquid and vapor-sorbed equilibria. For such equilibria in contact with a reservoir at given \( p \) and \( T \), the Gibbs free energy per mole, or chemical potential \( g \) (J/mol), of the molecules is equal for both phases [17]; that is, \( g_v = g_l \) for vapor-liquid and \( g_v = g_s \) for vapor-sorbed equilibria. With expressions for \( g(p,T) \) of the relevant phases, one can thus arrive at formulas for pT-lines. When the phases are ideal gases or diluted solutions, or when \( g \) of one of the phases can be considered constant, one thus obtains the Van’t Hoff equation [17]. In our case, however, simple analytic expressions for \( g(p,T) \) are not available for the liquid and sorbed states, not even for van der Waals fluids [18]. Instead, one may start with the differential relations \( dg = \Delta v dp \) for e.g., both vapor and liquid, one obtains:

\[
\frac{dp}{dT} = \frac{s_v - s_l}{v_v - v_l} \equiv \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta v} = \frac{\Delta h}{T \Delta v}.
\] (3)

This is the Clausius-Clapeyron equation [17]. From here, the common way forward is to assume that far below the critical point \( T \ll T_c \), the evaporation heat \( \Delta h \) is independent of \( T \), that the vapor is an ideal gas, and that the molar volume of the liquid can be ignored. Then, \( \Delta v \equiv v_v = p/RT \) and Equation (3) can be integrated, giving:

\[
\ln \frac{p}{p_0} = \frac{\Delta h_0}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T_0} - \frac{1}{T} \right) \equiv \frac{\Delta s_0}{R} - \frac{\Delta h_0}{RT}.
\] (4)

Here, \( p_0, T_0, \Delta h_0, \) and \( \Delta s_0 \) are values at any reference point on the pT-line. As \( p_0 \) and \( T_0 \) are related by the pT-line, and because \( \Delta s_0 = \Delta h_0/T_0 \), the pT-line has only two free parameters. We thus obtain Equation (1). We note that for salt hydrate equilibria in the range \( T = 20–90 \) °C, vapor pressures are usually in the order of \( p_0 = 10 \) mbar, so that \( \Delta h_0 \) and \( \Delta s_0 \) will actually be fitted around 10 mbar rather than at 1 atm. Using Equation (1) with, e.g., \( p_0' = 1 \) atm instead of \( p_0 = 10 \) mbar, is then a matter of adding a constant offset; that is, with \( \Delta s(p_0') \equiv \Delta s(p_0) + R \ln(p_0/p_0') \) and \( \Delta h(p_0') \equiv \Delta h(p_0) \) by assumption, we arrive at Equation (4) with \( p_0' \).

How good, though, is the assumption that \( \Delta h \) is independent of \( T \)? This assumption seems suspicious because \( \Delta h \) vanishes towards the critical point \( T_c \). For vapor-liquid transitions, the van der Waals equation of state reads [19]

\[
\left( \frac{p}{p_c} + \frac{3}{(v/v_c)^2} \right) \left( \frac{v}{v_c} - \frac{1}{3} \right) Q = \frac{8}{3} \frac{T}{T_c}.
\] (5)

Here the critical pressure, molar volume and temperature \( p_c, v_c, \) and \( T_c \) are related by \( p_c v_c = 3RT_c/8 \), so that Equation (5) has only two independent parameters. For the van der Waals equation, the latent heat \( \Delta h \) at \( T \ll T_c \) approaches \( \Delta h = 9p_c v_c = 27RT_c/8 \) [20,21] and deviates by only about 5% from this value for \( T/T_c < 0.5 \). This is illustrated by Figure 3, showing the latent heat \( \Delta h/RT_c \) (orange) as well as the slope of the pT-line \((1/T_c)d(\ln p)/d(-1/T)\) (blue). These quantities are approximately equal if \( \Delta h/R \approx d(\ln p)/d(-1/T) \), according to Equation (4). We note that Figure 3 as well as the following Figures 4 and 5 were made using published tables [21].
Moreover, according to the van der Waals equation, the slope of the pT-line increases from $27/8 = 3.375$ to 4 towards $T_c$, as illustrated by the blue line in Figure 3. Hence, for van der Waals fluids, pT-lines of vapor-liquid equilibria are nearly straight up to the critical point $T_c$, as illustrated by Figure 4. This may be surprising regarding $dp/dT$ according to Equation (3) and the fact that $\Delta h$ vanishes towards $T_c$. However, the slope of the pT-line can remain finite towards $T_c$ because $\Delta s$ and $\Delta v$ vanish simultaneously with $\Delta h$.

\[ \Delta h = T \Delta v \frac{dp}{dT} \approx T \nu_v \frac{dp}{dT} = \frac{RT^2}{p} \frac{dp}{dT} = \epsilon - \frac{1}{2}RT. \quad (7) \]

Here, the ideal gas law was used for the vapor phase. Equation (7) implies that for a temperature range of for instance $\Delta T = 100$ K, the latent heat $\Delta h$ varies by 415 J/mol, only about 1% of a typical value of 50–60 kJ/mol for water vapor sorption. It follows that for vapor-sorbed equilibria as well, the latent heat may be considered constant, confirming Equation (1) for vapor-sorbed equilibria as well.
2.2. Trouton’s Rule for Vapor-Liquid and Vapor-Sorber Equilibria

In his original paper, Trouton observed that for a collection of vapor-liquid equilibria for different fluids, the latent heat \( \Delta h \) is proportional to the normal boiling temperature \( T_0 \) at \( p_0 = 1 \) atm [14]. In fact, from \( g = h - Ts \) for both vapor and liquid in equilibrium at \( T = T_0 \), we obtain:

\[
\Delta h = T_0 \Delta s. \tag{8}
\]

This would imply the proportionality of \( \Delta h \) and \( T_0 \) if \( \Delta s \) would be the same for different fluids \( j \) at the boiling temperature \( T_0 \) at reference pressure \( p_0 \). Trouton’s rule may thus be formulated as:

\[
\Delta h_j = T_0 \Delta s. \tag{9}
\]

It has been argued from the principle of corresponding states that Trouton’s rule in the form of Equation (9) should not be valid, but that instead \( \Delta h \) should be proportional to the critical temperature \( T_c \) [17,24]. According to the principle of corresponding states, different media obey the same equation of states when expressed in terms of reduced pressure, temperature and volume \( p/p_c, T/T_c \), and \( v/v_c \), such as for van der Waals fluids. Indeed, we already noted that for van der Waals fluids, \( \Delta h \cong 27RT_c/8 \) for \( T < 0.5T_c \). From this, Trouton’s rule (9) would additionally follow if the boiling temperature \( T_0 \) at reference pressure \( p_0 \) would be proportional to the critical temperature \( T_c \), which is not obvious. However, for experimental boiling temperatures \( T_0 \) at \( p_0 = 1 \) atm for common gases, it indeed roughly holds that \( T_0 \cong 0.6T_c \) [17]. This is illustrated by Figure 5, showing \( p_0/p_c \) as a function of \( T_0/T_c \) for both van der Waals fluids and for experimental values for common gases [17]. The experimental values are off the \( pT \)-line of the van der Waals equation, but the rapid increase of \( p_0/p_c \) with \( T_0/T_c \) is similar, and we see that for the experimental values of \( p_0/p_c \), the reduced boiling temperatures \( T_0/T_c \) would vary with less than 10% around 0.5 for van der Waals fluids, somewhat below the experimental average of 0.6. Taking \( T_0 \cong 0.5T_c \) for van der Waals fluids with \( \Delta h = 27RT_c/8 \) we arrive at the following prediction:

\[
\Delta h_j \cong \frac{27RT_0}{4}. \tag{10}
\]

This can be regarded as Trouton’s rule for van der Waals fluids, with \( \Delta s \cong 27R/4 = 6.75R \). Although this is considerably off the experimental value of \( \Delta s \cong 9.0R \) [17], the van der Waals equation and the above argument do support the idea that \( \Delta s \) should be roughly the same for common fluids. To this, we may add that the numerical accuracy of the van de Waals equation is limited anyway, as, for instance, the van der Waals equation predicts \( RT_c/p_cv_c = 8/3 \cong 2.7 \), while the experimental value for common gases is rather between 3.0 and 3.5 [19].

Figure 5. Van der Waals normalized vapor pressure lines \( p_0/p_c \) as a function of normalized boiling temperatures \( T_0/T_c \) at \( p_0 = 1 \) atm, together with points representing experimental values for common fluids [17]. Although the points are well off the line, both the line and the points show a similar rapid increment of \( p_0/p_c \) with \( T_0/T_c \). Since the difference in critical pressures between common gases is limited between about 25 and 90 atm for the selected gases, and because \( p/p_c \) increases so rapidly with \( T/T_c \), it follows that the ratio of boiling temperature and critical temperature \( T_0/T_c \) is roughly constant at a given pressure of e.g., \( p_0 = 1 \) atm.
For vapor-sorbed equilibria, we cannot use the van der Waals equation, but we may adopt the idea that the entropy is a function of the free volume \( v - b \), where \( b \) represents the volume occupied by the molecules [21]. Thus, at given pressure \( p_0 \) we arrive at the following for the transition entropy of vapor-sorbed equilibria:

\[
\Delta s = R \ln \frac{v_v - b}{v_s - b} \cong R \ln \frac{v_v}{v_s} .
\] (11)

Here, we assumed \( v_v >> b \) regarding the vapor as ideal gas. For liquids, \( v - b \) is hard to estimate, as the free volume is determined by the liquid itself. For sorbent molecules bound in solids, the free volume will be determined by the remaining vibration space in the bound position. If the type of binding is roughly the same for a collection of transitions \( j \), then the free volume \( v_{vj} - b_j \) at fixed \( p_0 \) will be roughly the same. Possible variations of \( \Delta s \) then mostly depend on the vapor entropy \( s_v \), which is determined by the type of sorbent. With the ideal gas law \( v_v = RT_0/p_0 \), we then obtain:

\[
\Delta s_j \cong R \ln T_{0j} + C. \tag{12}
\]

Here, \( C \) is independent of species \( j \) and temperature. As \( R \ln(T_{0j}) \) varies only mildly with \( T_{0j} \) between 20–90 °C with respect to the vapor entropy, it then follows that \( \Delta s \) will not vary much for different sorbers \( j \). We may note that heuristic modifications of Trouton’s rule [25,26] also include an additional \( R \ln(T_{0j}) \) term, although this result was obtained from collections of experimental boiling temperatures of liquids instead of vapor-sorbed equilibria.

Alternatively, we may obtain an expression for \( \Delta s \) for vapor-sorbed equilibria from Equation (7) by comparison with Equation (4):

\[
\Delta s = R \ln \left( \frac{m}{2\pi} \right)^{3/2} \frac{\omega^3}{(kT)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{p_0} .
\] (13)

The mass per sorbed molecule \( m \) and the reference pressure \( p_0 \) are the same a priori. Again, we obtain a mild \( \ln(T_{0j}) \) dependence on temperature. The only quantity that might differ for different sorbers \( j \) is the vibration frequency \( \omega \). For a harmonic oscillator, \( \omega^2 = c/m \), where \( c \) (N/m) is the constant of the restoring force \( c_x \), where \( x \) is the sorbed molecule position relative to its equilibrium position. Assuming that the type of binding is roughly the same, at least for a certain class of sorbers, then \( \omega \) will be the same and Trouton’s rule will hold for that class of sorbers.

Some support for Trouton’s rule also follows from Nerst’s argument that at \( T = 0, s = 0 \) [17]. This limits possible variations of the entropy in the bound state. For instance, for water, typical entropy values at atmospheric conditions for the vapor, liquid, and solid phases are \( s_v = 190 \) J/molK, \( s_l = 70 \) J/molK, and \( s_s = 40 \) J/molK. Since \( s_s << s_v \), the contribution of \( s_s \) to \( \Delta s \) will be relatively small, limiting possible variations of \( \Delta s \) between transitions in different sorbers.

3. Experimental Evidence for Trouton’s Rule

3.1. Common Fluids

Figure 6 illustrates Trouton’s rule for a collection of common fluids [17]. The figure shows the evaporation enthalpy \( \Delta h \) as a function of both the normal boiling temperature \( T_b \) at 1 atm and the critical temperature \( T_c \). We recall that Trouton’s rule predicts \( \Delta h \propto T_b \), while the principle of corresponding states instead predicts \( \Delta h \propto T_c \). The plots contain the fitted straight line as well as the regression coefficient \( r^2 \), which for a relation between \( X \) and \( Y \) is determined by:

\[
r = \frac{\text{cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} . \tag{14}
\]

In other words, \( r \) is the covariance divided by the product of the standard deviations. In case of a linear relation, \( r = \pm 1 \), giving the maximum \( r^2 = 1 \). For the correlations \( \Delta h \propto T_b \) and \( \Delta h \propto T_c \), we find
extrapolated Neveu’s plot to within the crystal lattices and coordination to the respective cations. Adding data for other ammoniate salts than chlorides [27] seems to result in a less accurate correlation. It would be interesting to further study the influence of anions and cations on the validity and accuracy of Trouton’s rule for salt ammoniates. Table 1 shows $T_b$, $T_c$, and $\Delta h$ as well as the ratios $\Delta h/RT_c$ and $\Delta h/RT_b$. We see that the latter quantities for H$_2$O and NH$_3$ are considerably higher than for common gases. This may be explained by the stronger mutual binding of the polar water and ammonia molecules, reducing the free volume and with that the entropy of the liquid state [25].

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Correlations of latent heat $\Delta h$ versus the normal boiling temperature $T_b$ (Trouton’s Rule, blue line) and of $\Delta h$ versus the critical temperature $T_c$ (principle of corresponding states, orange line) for some common fluids [17]. For this data, the regression coefficient is not better for the principle of corresponding states than for Trouton’s rule.

According to this fit, we have $\Delta h/T_b \cong 78.9$ J/molK = 9.5$R$ and $\Delta h/T_c \cong 45.8$ J/molK = 5.5$R$. It is interesting to compare this to the values for H$_2$O and NH$_3$, the sorbents considered in this paper. Table 1 shows $T_b$, $T_c$, and $\Delta h$, as well as the ratios $\Delta h/RT_c$ and $\Delta h/RT_b$. We see that the latter quantities for H$_2$O and NH$_3$ are considerably higher than for common gases. This may be explained by the stronger mutual binding of the polar water and ammonia molecules, reducing the free volume and with that the entropy of the liquid state [25].

**Table 1.** Trouton’s rule for H$_2$O and NH$_3$ at 1 atm.

| Fluid | $T_b$ (K) | $T_c$ (K) | $\Delta h$ (J/molK) | $\Delta h/RT_b$ | $\Delta h/RT_c$ |
|-------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| H$_2$O | 373.15    | 647.6     | 44.0                | 14.2            | 8.2             |
| NH$_3$ | 239.75    | 405.5     | 23.35               | 11.7            | 6.9             |

### 3.2. Salt Ammoniates

Figure 7 shows a collection of pT-lines of ammoniate transitions of chloride salts [11]. We extrapolated Neveu’s plot to $1/T = 0$, so that an apparent intersection of pT-lines around $1/T = 0$ emerges, which is predicted by Trouton’s rule (9) with Equation (4). Figure 7 also contains the pT-line of the ammonia vapor-liquid transition (red). This transition does not belong to the class of vapor-sorbed ammonia transitions, and indeed the pT-line does not intersect with the others around $1/T = 0$. With the fitted transition entropies and enthalpies $\Delta s$ and $\Delta h$, we constructed a plot of Trouton’s rule for chloride ammoniates, shown in Figure 8. We considered a pool evaporator-condenser at 20 °C, giving an NH$_3$ vapor pressure of 8.6 bar. Hence, the temperature $T_d$ in Figure 8 is the deammoniation temperature at 8.6 bar.

The fit provides $\Delta h/T_d = 116.7$ J/molK = 14.0$R$ = $\Delta s$ at 8.6 bar. The regression coefficient is even higher than for the common gases shown earlier. This may be due to the fact that for all salts, the anion $\text{Cl}^-$ was the same, which might provide a well-defined binding environment for the NH$_3$ molecules within the crystal lattices and coordination to the respective cations. Adding data for other ammoniate salts than chlorides [27] seems to result in a less accurate correlation. It would be interesting to further study the influence of anions and cations on the validity and accuracy of Trouton’s rule for salt ammoniates. Figure 9 shows $\Delta s_j$ for all chloride ammoniate transitions $j$, with an average of about 135 J/molK at $p_0 = 1$ atm. In orange, we have added the transition entropy of 98.2 J/molK of ammonia vapor and liquid at 1 atm, which considerably differs from the average, in agreement with Figure 7.
the temperature in Figure 10 is the dehydration temperature at 23.4 mbar. The fit provides $\Delta h / T_d = 0$ and therefore does not belong to this collection.

Figure 7. Vapor pressure lines of chloride ammoniates (black) and ammonia (red). This plot is an extension of an earlier plot of Neveu [11], which we extrapolated towards $1/T = 0$. Here, an approximate intersection of the pT-lines of the chloride ammoniates becomes apparent, which confirms Trouton’s rule for the collection. As anticipated, the vapor pressure line of ammonia does not intersect at $1/T = 0$ and therefore does not belong to this collection.

Figure 8. Trouton’s rule for ammoniates of chloride salts. This plot was constructed from the pT-lines of chloride ammoniates transitions of Figure 7. The regression coefficient $r^2 = 0.9958$ is even better than for the vapor-liquid transitions of common liquids of Figure 6.

Figure 9. Transition entropy at 1 atm for ammoniate transitions of chloride salts, corresponding to Figures 7 and 8. The orange point is the transition entropy between ammonia vapor and liquid, which differs considerably from the average value for ammoniate transitions, as expected.

3.3. Salt Hydrates

Figure 10 illustrates Trouton’s rule for a collection of salt hydrate transitions, calculated from collections of parametrized pT-lines [5,10]. Instead of the normal boiling point at 1 atm,
we considered a pool evaporator-condenser at 20 °C, giving an H₂O vapor pressure of 23.4 mbar. Thus, the temperature in Figure 10 is the dehydration temperature at 23.4 mbar. The fit provides ∆h/Td = 171.6 J/molK = 20.6R = ∆s at 23.4 mbar. Figure 11 shows ∆s_j for transitions j of the collection, with an average of about 119 J/molK between water vapor and liquid at 1 atm, which differs considerably from the average value for hydration transitions, as expected.

Figure 10 was obtained after elimination of the most obvious outliers, e.g., with negative ∆s, negative hydration states, etc. Remaining transitions with remarkably low and high ∆s are plotted in orange in both Figures 10 and 11. Table 2 shows ∆s for these transitions. Sometimes different values of ∆s are given [5]. For these cases, the last column gives the alternative ∆s values. In some cases, the alternative value is close to the average 119 J/molK, making the outlier particularly suspicious. We do not see an obvious correlation with cation or anion type for the transitions with particularly low or high ∆s. This may be due to the limited number of transitions in the table.

Table 2. Hydration transitions with lowest and highest ∆s.

| Salt                  | H₂O | ∆s (J/molK) | Alternative ∆s (J/molK) |
|-----------------------|-----|-------------|-------------------------|
| Ca(H₂PO₄)₂            | 1-0 | 69          | 69                      |
| Mn₂                  | 2-1 | 77          | 77                      |
| CuSO₄                | 1-0 | 81          | 81                      |
| MnSeO₄               | 1-0 | 82          | 82                      |
| MgSeO₄               | 1-0 | 84          | 84                      |
| CsCd(SO₄)₂           | 6-2 | 90          | 90                      |
| SrCl₂                | 2-1 | 91          | 91                      |
| Mn₂                  | 1-0 | 95          | 95                      |
| Na₂HPO₄              | 12-7| 99          | 99                      |
| CaCl₂                | 6-4 | 182         | 182, 142, 166           |
| SrCl₂                | 1-0 | 184         | 184                     |
| Ti(Al(SO₄))₂         | 12-0| 184         | 184                     |
| LiBr                 | 2-1 | 185         | 185                     |
| BaCl₂                | 1-0 | 190         | 190, 100, 145           |
| Na₂B₄O₇              | 5-0 | 192         | 149 for 10-0            |
| CsCr(SeO₄)₂          | 12-0| 196         | 196                     |
| HIO₃                 | 1-0 | 201         | 201                     |
| CH₃NH₂Cr(SO₄)₂       | 12-0| 204         | 204                     |

The corresponding regression coefficient r² = 0.8757 of Trouton’s rule for salt hydrates is considerably worse than for chloride ammoniates. One possible explanation might be that this collection contains salts of many different anions and cations. We checked this by fitting Trouton’s rule separately for the largest sub-collections of chlorides and sulfates with 21 and 150 members, respectively. For chlorides we obtained ∆h/Td = 21.4R with r² = 0.8866 and for sulfates ∆h/Td = 20.9R with r² = 0.9717. For the rather large sulfate collection, the regression coefficients are considerably higher, but the fitted ∆h/Td hardly differs from the value of 20.6R for the whole collection. Thus, this collection does not provide evidence that the type of anion makes much difference for obeying Trouton’s rule. We add that measurements of salt hydrates are calculated at typically lower pressure, around 10 mbar, than the typical value of around 1 bar for salt ammoniates. Experiments at low pressures may be more difficult as leakage may influence the measured pressure. In addition, driving forces for vapor transport may be lower at lower pressures, so that it may take considerably longer to measure equilibrium states.
We now arrive at a discussion of the issues mentioned at the end of the introduction. In short, the validity of Trouton’s rule for salt hydrates is illustrated by Figures 10 and 11. We have seen that to some extent, Trouton’s rule can be used to identify suspicious pT-lines. Predicting the pT-line of a salt hydrate transition for which only the sorption enthalpy $\Delta h$ is known can be done by Equation (4), taking for $\Delta s$ the average of collection of similar transitions. Finally, for a given $T_d$ of dehydration, one can predict that no more heat than about $\Delta h = T_d \Delta s$ per mole of sorbent molecules can be released. For estimating the heat storage density per volume of hydrate $Q/V (\text{J/m}^3)$, we also need the molar mass $M (\text{kg/mol})$ and density $\rho (\text{kg/m}^3)$ of the hydrate. Figure 12 shows the correlation between $Q/V$ and $T_d$. This figure was constructed from Figure 10 with available values for $M$ and $\rho$ [28]. With a regression coefficient of only $r^2 = 0.19$, Figure 12 does not seem to be very valuable for predictions. The correlation is considerably improved by including process water, which is the water extracted from the salt at dehydration, to the material volume. Figure 13 shows the correlation between $Q/(V_H + V_W)$ and $T_d$, where $V_H$ is the hydrate volume and $V_W$ is the volume of process water. For this figure, a considerably better correlation with $r^2 = 0.64$ is obtained. Including the process water in the heat storage density is fair when implementing a closed system such as in Figure 1.
Figure 12. Heat storage densities $Q/V$ ($\text{GJ/m}^3$) for salt hydrates, obtained for the same collection as Figures 10 and 11 for cases for which we found hydrate densities $\rho$ (kg/m$^3$). Orange points are transitions with the lowest and highest transition entropies. We see that due to hydrate densities and the amount of water in the transition, $Q/V$ shows no clear correlation with $T_d$, according to $r^2 = 0.19$.

Figure 13. Heat storage densities $Q/V$ ($\text{GJ/m}^3$) for salt hydrates, including the volume of process water in $V$ for the same data as for Figure 12. Orange points are transitions with the lowest and highest transition entropies. Including process water in the storage density is fair for closed systems as in Figure 1 where process water is kept for rehydration. Consequently, the resulting heat storage density is smaller. In addition, the correlation heat between storage and temperature is more accurate, with $r^2 = 0.64$ compared to $r^2 = 0.19$ for Figure 12.

4. Discussion

Selecting sorption reactions for sorption reactors involves collecting relevant vapor pressure lines. Fortunately, collections of parametrized vapor pressure are available [5,10,11], but it is not always easy to check the validity of the available data, as the original sources and with that the experimental methods and conditions are often difficult to access. For that purpose, we studied the thermodynamic background of vapor pressure lines in order to validate collections by mutual comparison of the data contained. For liquid-vapor equilibria, we considered the van der Waals equation, and for solid sorption, the Polanyi-Wigner equation.

In particular, we examined conditions for Trouton’s rule (1884) to hold, as this would provide a particularly useful method to examine the validity of vapor pressure lines compared to an existing collection. It is known that for liquid-vapor equilibria, Trouton’s rule cannot be derived from a law of corresponding states such as the van der Waals equation [17,24], but one can argue from the rapid increase of vapor pressure lines that Trouton’s rule may roughly hold for collections of common fluids. For solid sorption, the validity of Trouton’s rule seems to depend on the entropy or free space of sorbent molecules in the bound state, suggesting that for subgroups with certain types of bonds, Trouton’s rule will hold. Indeed, for the chloride ammoniate and the sulfate hydrate subgroups, Trouton’s rule was particularly accurate, with a high regression coefficient. When adding other salts to
the hydrate collections, the regression coefficient becomes considerably smaller, although the fitted line seems about the same. Possible explanations for this observation may be the limited accuracy of the additional data for other salts or a fundamental difference in the binding of water to the other salts.

We note that the entropy difference of evaporation of the work fluid, e.g., water or ammonia, considerably differs from the corresponding entropy differences of sorption in salts. This is different for adsorption in, for example, activated carbon, silica gel, and zeolite, and for condensation in salt solutions, where these entropy differences are approximately equal [15,16].

It would be interesting to keep track of Trouton’s rule when new sorption measurements on ammoniation or hydration transitions are done or when old measurements are verified. Depending on the fundamental accuracy of Trouton’s rule, not only data validation can be done but also predictions of vapor pressure lines for transitions of which only the latent heat is known.

Trouton’s rule sets a limit to the possible heat storage density for THS systems for given desorption and sorption temperatures in terms of heat per mole sorbent. In terms of heat per volume of hydrated salt, no clear correlation with hydration and dehydration temperatures was found. However, a much better correlation was found when the process water, which is the water extracted from the salt during dehydration, was included in the heat storage density. The latter is fair in case a closed system is implemented, where all process water is stored in the system.

We also note that although Trouton’s rule may provide a way to check vapor pressure lines, it should still always be checked if for a given salt, all ammoniation or hydration states are known and verified, e.g., by XRD spectra [13].

5. Conclusions

The observation that vapor pressure lines are approximately straight when plotted as the logarithm of pressure versus temperature can be supported for the evaporation of fluids as well as for sorption in salt hydrates by the van der Waals and Polanyi-Wigner equations, respectively. The same models support the validity of Trouton’s rule, i.e., that the latent heat is proportional to the boiling temperature at a given pressure for certain classes of process, such as evaporation of liquids and vapor sorption in salts.

Deviations from Trouton’s rule are interesting in itself, as they may be symptoms of differences between materials, such as electric dipole interaction in the liquid state, structure in the gas phase, or different binding of crystal water. Trouton’s rule can also be used for validation of experiments, such as vapor pressure measurements on salt hydrates, or to predict the desorption temperature at a given vapor pressure when only the latent heat is known, and so on.

Finally, we recall that selecting sorption reactions involves more criteria than only the heat storage density and operation temperatures, including material costs, toxicity, possible side reactions under operation, cycling stability, kinetics of vapor and heat transport, corrosion issues and countermeasures, possible material enhancement for stabilization and improving kinetics, etc. We hope to address these issues in following papers.
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