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Abstract: River basins in Madagascar are prone to water scarcity and conflicts of use, which are projected to worsen due to climate change. Therefore, effective integrated water resources management (IWRM) is key. This review examines the current condition of IWRM in Madagascar, evaluates its sustainability, and compares it with the one in Japan. The effects of climate change were analyzed using Aqueduct data. Madagascar has established an IWRM legal framework aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals; however, its application remains limited. For Japan, it was useful to establish IWRM for floods, water utilization, and environmental conservation. This study analyzes the future projections of water availability and demand for the 12 major river basins of Madagascar. Climate change will reduce water availability; the West and the East will experience water stress; the demand will be higher in the highlands, with less water available in the south. The proposed framework and Japan’s experience in river basin management can contribute to solving those problems. The findings of this study serve to enhance the knowledge on IWRM and the impact of climate change in Madagascar; they also suggest actions to be taken by the Malagasy government.
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1. Introduction

Water is the most important element for the preservation of life. Water resources underlie the production of agricultural and industrial goods and services; their careful development and management are essential to generating wealth, mitigating risks, and alleviating poverty. Water, energy, and food resources are essential for human sustainable development [1]. Global water demand will increase substantially over the next two decades in the industrial, domestic, and agricultural sectors [2]. Previous studies have demonstrated that water is becoming limited, and more careful consumption is needed. This is partially caused by increasing population coupled with changes in the consumption pattern and climate change. Recently, considerable attention has been focused on the implementation of integrated water resources management (IWRM) in global river basins, including basins in Africa. Addressing the development challenge is an increasingly important issue in African countries. By 2050, the African population growth is projected to increase by 108% of the current value [3], while industrial and domestic water demand will increase by 300% and 800%, respectively [4].

Madagascar is an island country located in southeastern Africa. The country is sufficiently endowed with water resources both in terms of surface water and groundwater, but water resources are distributed irregularly in terms of seasons and geographical location. Previous studies have demonstrated that the pressures on water resources in Madagascar vary between regions [5,6]. In addition to the lack of infrastructure, various pressures on such resources threaten their existence. Water resources must cope with natural
pollution because of soil erosion due to deforestation, pollution due to wastewater discharge from human activities, and practices such as agricultural fires (Tavy). IWRM was incorporated into Madagascar’s Water Code in 1998 [7]. A policy is needed to ensure adequacy between the quantities used and the need for water resources to enable socioeconomic development within the country. Madagascar does not currently experience tensions or wars related to water resources. However, the island is exposed to various weather and climate phenomena because of its diverse landscape [8] and climate change. In 2018, the country ranked fourth among the 10 countries most vulnerable to extreme weather events [9]. Climate change has impacted virtually every river basin in Madagascar, often degrading the quality and availability of water and water-related [10,11]. In this paper, we explored the possibility of managing the major river basins to achieve sustainable development. For that purpose, we evaluated the existing IWRM policy, legal and strategic frameworks in Madagascar. The integrated river basin management (IRBM) in Madagascar was compared with Japan to assess the progress of both countries in the implementation of IWRM/IRBM and possible strategies for Madagascar to reach the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) target 6.5. Japan is one of the largest and most developed economies in the world, whereas Madagascar is one of the poorest. There are important differences between Japan and Madagascar, such as the level of economic development, population density, climate, geography, culture, etc. Not all Japan’s experience of river basin management can be applied to Madagascar, but some lessons can be drawn and adapted in Madagascar’s context. We also used Aqueduct data to analyze the projected effects of climate change on the 12 major river basins to provide information regarding future water availability and water demand. Further, we proposed a solution to manage the basins based on the results of the precedent analysis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Madagascar is the fourth largest island on Earth. It is situated 300 km east of the African coast and has an area of 587,295 km². The island has six large basins, divided into 32 macrobasins and 533 subbasins, all of which are distributed across 22 regions [7]. The island can be divided into four climatic ecoregions with four forest types: moist in the East, dry in the West, spiny in the South, and mangrove forests on the west coast [8]. Forest types are defined based on their inclusion in one of these four ecoregions. Madagascar’s geographical position coupled with the island’s irregular physical relief makes its climate extremely varied, which could be more accurately described as several climates differing by region. On the east coast, the climate is hot and humid, with the annual rainfall of 1100–3700 mm per year and the average temperature between 23 and 26 °C. The North and northwest regions have a tropical climate, with monsoon conditions driving rainfall in the summer. On the contrary, the southwest part is semiarid, with the annual rainfall of 500–700 mm per year. On the west coast, the climate is tropical, with a hot and dry summer. The annual average temperature varies between 24 and 27 °C. An interannual variation in temperature and precipitation is observed in the central highlands, with the annual rainfall of 900–1500 mm per year and the annual temperature range from 16 to 22 °C [12,13]. Previous studies pointed out that the annual rainfall decreases from 1500 to 400 mm per year from north to south across the west coast while the temperatures increased by 0.2 °C over northern Madagascar and by 0.1 °C over southern Madagascar [10,12].

This study focuses on the 12 major river basins that cover areas larger than 10,000 km²: Mangoky, Betsiboka, Tsiribihina, Mananara, Mangoro, Maningory, Mahajamba, Onilahy, Manambolo, Mahavavy, Sofia, and Mandrare (Figure 1).
2.2. Methods

Initially, we compared the river basin management in Madagascar and Japan by evaluating the existing framework documents in terms of the effects of climate change, and then estimated the impacts of climate change on the major river basins. The island country of Japan was chosen for comparison because of two reasons. The first is similarities between Japan and Madagascar. Both countries are islands with a diverse natural environment, prone to natural disasters, suffer from the impact of climate change, but have abundant water resources in contrast to many other island countries [14,15]. Japan and Madagascar rank first and fourth, respectively, among the ten countries most vulnerable to extreme weather events in 2018 [9]. The second reason is Japan’s well-known experience in water management, as well as mitigation of climate change and water-related disasters. Japan is among the countries with higher levels of IRBM implementation. This view is supported by the findings in a comparative study on river basin management in Japan and other island countries [16,17]. Indeed, the traditional water wisdom of Japan enabled the achievement of its Millennium Development Goals in 2015; currently, Japan is a global leader in water technology developed by private companies [18]. In this paper, we focused on water availability and demand issues on a large river basin scale. The analysis of these issues is an essential component for water resources assessment, which is, therefore, a significant component of the evidence and analysis required for IWRM [19]. Unlike most other countries on the African continent, no river basin management plan has been completed by Madagascar. Therefore, the next step of the research was to investigate the future changes in water stress, water supply, and water demand for the major river basins. The data were obtained from Aqueduct projections using Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 General Circulation Models provided by the World Resources Institute [20]. The Aqueduct Project is a data platform run by the World Resources Institute, an environmental research organization (Washington/ USA). It provides a global water risk atlas to help companies, governments, and civil society understand and respond to
water risks—such as water stress, variability from season to season, pollution, and water access. It intends to measure, map, and understand water risks around the globe. The Aqueduct Project is widely used by researchers across the globe because it uses open-source, peer-reviewed data to map water risks and collaborate with companies, governments, and research partners through the Aqueduct Alliance [21]. Projections of climate variables were driven primarily by the CMIP5 Project, and socioeconomic variables were based on the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways database from the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis. The project computed water supply from the runoff values extracted from an ensemble of CMIP5 data. Herein, the total blue water or renewable surface water is used as an indicator of water supply. The projected change in the total blue water (the renewable surface water) is equal to the 21-year mean around the target year divided by the baseline period of 1950–2010. The data used by the World Resources Institute (WRI) to calculate the baseline include over 50 years of data across several indicators reported by country (FAO) to 2010. Water demand is measured as the sum of water withdrawals. The projected change in water withdrawal is equal to the total withdrawals in the target year divided by the baseline year of 2010.

Water withdrawals were modeled from the projected size, wealth, and other characteristics of the countries, for each of the three sectors as defined by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO): agricultural, industrial, and domestic. Water stress is an indicator of competition for water resources; it is informally defined as a societal demand for water, divided by available water. Water stress was computed as the ratio of water withdrawals to the available blue water on the average annual basis. We produced maps showing the projected changes in the water stress, water supply, and water demand from the baseline (1950–2010) to the future using geographic information systems and Aqueduct future-value data for the year 2040 under the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway 2 and Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 scenarios. We also compared the future water stress scores of Madagascar and Japan using Aqueduct country and province ranking data (2020, 2030, and 2040). Higher scores on the scale from 0 to 5 correspond to greater competition among water users relative to the available surface water resources. All data are available at https://www.wri.org/aqueduct (accessed on 25 May 2020). Finally, an alternative solution for effective IWRM implementation and sustainable river basin management was considered based on the above comparisons and projections.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Importance of IWRM toward the SDGs

Madagascar is among the 193 countries that signed the 2030 UN Agenda [22]. Madagascar agreed to the water, energy, and food nexus concept in early 2018 within the framework of the Southern African Development Community. This concept was intended to be integrated into the discussions of IWRM. The island is one of the countries that did not reach the Millennium Development Goals by the end of 2015 [23]. The reduction of poverty by half compared with 1990, Millennium Development Goals targets, and other targets have not been achieved. Thus, Madagascar continues to be confronted by the unfinished agenda of the Millennium Development Goals. Madagascar is at the very beginning of the process of implementing the 2030 Agenda. A great effort is required to address the current and future challenges, such as eradication of extreme poverty and reduction of inequalities; protection of the environment; and access to water, sanitation, and hygiene services [24].

Japan is also committed to extensive domestic and international efforts to achieve SDGs. Japan has led the promotion of SDGs in the national and international community, building upon its strengths in traditional wisdom, cutting-edge technologies, and information. Japan promotes the SDGs on the basis of three aspects: promotion of the society, vitalization of local areas to make communities more resilient, and empowerment of next generations and women [25].
Both countries have attempted to promote the SDGs and align them with the national policies and strategies. The Japanese government sets the SDGs as the focal point of the national strategies: SDGs Promotion Guiding Principles and SDGs Action Plan 2018. To have Madagascar classified as an emerging country, the current government developed a new strategic document called Plan Emergence Madagascar (PEM) (2019–2023) as a component of the General Government Policy (Programme Générale de l’Etat) to ensure tangible progress toward the SDGs. The IWRM and water, energy, and food nexus approaches are used to ensure sustainable water management. Each approach has particular advantages in terms of SDG implementation. However, SDG 6.5 requires nations to “implement IWRM at all levels, including through transboundary cooperation” (https://sdgs.un.org/goals accessed on 10 February 2020). IWRM must give priority to the creation of infrastructure, construction of local capacity, and development of an information base to provide the foundation for basin-level planning in fragile economies, such as Madagascar [26].

3.2. Water Resources Management

In Madagascar, only 54% of the population uses an elementary water service, 11% use basic sanitation, and more than 51% practice open defecation [27]. The management of water resources was placed under the supervision of the Ministry of Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene created in July 2008 by Decree No. 2008-829. The Ministry is responsible for the design, management, coordination, harmonization, and implementation of the National Development Plan and the General Government Policy in the sector. Under the provisions of Law No. 98-029 of 20 January 1999, bearing the Water Code, the Malagasy government created the National Authority for Water and Sanitation (ANDEA), which is an independent, non-user organization that promotes the participatory process to implement the Integrated Resource Management Process in Waters [28]. Policy, legal, and strategic frameworks of Madagascar’s water, sanitation, and hygiene sector are in place and are generally well-structured. In a clear alignment with the SDGs, those documents outline the key commitments for increasing access to safe water and sanitation facilities; they also outline commitments for developing IWRM, emphasizing public–private partnerships, and growing the water, sanitation, and hygiene sector. The government has also committed to international frameworks, such as the Sanitation and Water for All initiative, the eThekwini Declaration, the Ngor Declaration on Sanitation and Hygiene, and the Rio Declarations [29]. Framework documents exist and databases are available, but applications at the field level for the project implementation remain limited or almost nonexistent; thus, they require an examination. Many projects have begun to experiment with specific tools at the local scale (e.g., resource inventory) or at the regional or national (master plan) scales, but such projects are not widely disseminated. At the community level, implementation of the IWRM concept is considered ineffective [30]. The river basin management is based on the concept of decentralization. There are four levels of water resources administration depending on the territory: national (ANDEA), provincial (basin agency), regional (basin committee), and local (water point committee). Each structure has its role and responsibilities stipulated by the Water Code (Law No. 98-029 of 20 January 1999 and its 13 application decrees; https://jwf-legal2.fr.gd/Lois-183.htm accessed on 5 March 2020).

In contrast, access to drinking water and sanitation is universal in Japan. An improved water source is available to 98% of the population, and 99% of the population use improved facilities [27]. The ministries involved in water-related matters are the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare; the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries; the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry; the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport, and Tourism (MLIT); and the Ministry of Environment. Domestic water supply falls under the supervision of the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare; the Ministry of Environment is responsible for water quality and environmental conservation. Generally, water policies in Japan remain fragmented, except for the coordination between the central government and local governments during droughts and water shortages [17]. With
its diverse natural environment, Japan has developed region-specific IWRM tailored to regional climatic and social conditions. Japan’s IWRM promotes sustainable water use and effective water cycle governance through appropriate policy and frameworks involving relevant sectors and stakeholders. IWRM is promoted from such perspectives as groundwater and surface water, water quantity, and water quality (both upstream and downstream); it also promotes water efficiency and environmental conservation. In Japan, Water Plan 21 is formulated with three basic objectives: establishment of sustainable water use systems; conservation; and improvement of the water environment and fostering of a water-related culture. Water Plan 21 identifies long-term water supply and demand prospects, as well as means of improving water use stability, through water efficiency measures and effective use of the existing infrastructure [18]. River administration is based on classification of rivers into classes A and B, which contain, respectively, 109 and 2691 river systems [31]. Rivers are managed depending on the importance of their roles for the country. Class A river systems are considered important for the national economy and people’s lives; they are administered by the MLIT. Class B River systems are administered by prefectural governments. Each section’s responsibility is stipulated by the River Law (Law No. 69 of 4 June 1997) [32].

Table 1 lists IWRM framework documents, institutional supports, and some examples of lessons learned from the river basin management in Madagascar and Japan.

| Country  | Madagascar | Japan | Findings |
|----------|------------|-------|----------|
|          | National Development Plan (Plan National de Développement/PND): | National Policy | The Water Code and the River Law set out the value of water (public property). |
|          | Axis 4: Adequate human capital for the development process | Article 2: River water is public property and cannot be made the subject of a private right | Both legal frameworks are devoted to managing and conserving the water resources (quantitatively and qualitatively) and protecting the water environment. |
|          | Axis 5: Development of natural capital and strengthening of resilience to disaster risks | Axis 23: provides the permission of river water users | Japanese framework documents are revised and updated regularly, contrary to Madagascar. |
|          | Sectoral Program for WASH (Programme Sectoriel pour l’Eau, l’Assainissement et l’Hygiène/PSEAH) | Article 32: provides charging for the use of river water | Public participation in the planning is required by law in Japan, not in Madagascar. |
|          | Sectoral strategy 2013–2018 | Article 34: provides the transfer of water rights | Japan’s river management system integrates flood management, water utilization, and environmental conservation. |
|          | Guidelines 2019 | Article 35: provides consultation with the concerned administrative organization | Japan has established many laws aimed at increasing the resilience of water systems to global change pressures (Dams, Groundwater, Waterworks; Sewerage, Water Pollution Control, etc.). |
|          | Water Code (Law No. 98-029 of January 1999) and its 13 application decrees: | Article 36: provides consultation with the Prefectures and Municipalities | |
**Article 69: Conservation, mobilization, and protection of water resources**

**Article 73: National Water Resources Fund (FNRE)**

**Articles 75 and 76: Creation of the National Water and Sanitation Authority (ANDEA)**

**Decree 2003-191: Creation of basin agencies**

**Decree 2003-193/Article 10: Supply of the universal drinking water service**

**Decree 2003-793/943: Authorization of withdrawal and dumping**

**Decree MECIE: Alignment of investments with the environment**

**Masterplans: define the division of the six large basins into 32 macrobasins and 533 subbasins (Decree 2003-191, chap. II)**

**Institutional/legislative supports**

- **National Water and Sanitation Authority (ANDEA):** operates the IWRM mechanisms
- **Local governments:** region, district, and municipality
- **Decentralized technical service (Service Techniques Déconcentrées/STD)**

**Water Resources Department, Land and Water Bureau (MLIT)**

- **Japan Water Agency (JWA):** promotes water resources development
- **Coordination Committee for the Promotion of a Sound Water Cycle**
- **Local governments:** city, prefecture, municipality

**Lesson learned from river basin management**

- **Case of the PADAP project:**
  - Application of the landscape approach based on the problems of developing sustainable agriculture through the combination of agricultural production and advanced technology.
  - Pool the interests of each sector in the implementation of activities.
  - Development of a Landscape Management Development Plan (PAGDP)

- **Case of the GIRE SAVA, GIRE Lac Itasy project:**
  - Application of the bottom-up approach: includes all the stakeholders and users to identify the priorities in terms of need and

**Factory Effluent Control Law (1958)**

**Waterworks Law**

**Law on Execution of the Preservation Project for Water Supply**

**National Land Sustainable Plan Law (2005)**

**Law for the Focused Planning of Social Infrastructure Improvement (2003)**

**Forest Law**

**Sewerage Law (1970)**

**Water Pollution Control Law (1970)**

**Basic Law for Environmental Pollution (1967/1970/1993)**

**The Decrees of the Water Code are not implemented correctly => ANDEA is nonfunctional. Japan integrates five ministries related to water to ensure better coordination of the water resources (intersectorality).**

**Upstream–downstream coordination.**

**Public and stakeholder participation in the planning.**

**Adequate dissemination of information and communication at all levels.**

**Capacity building of local communities.**

**Establishment of IRBM plans at the local level.**
management as well as the strategies to be adopted.
- Establishment of the basin communities at the municipal level.
- Establishment of the Lake Itasy management committee (COGELI) in February 2019.

Case of the KOLORANO project:
- Linkage of all the stakeholders in the watersheds throughout the process to avoid recurrent conflicts of usage between drinking water and agriculture due to unsuitable and non-concerted practices.
- Development of the watershed management plan, then validated by municipal decrees (local level).

Case of the Tama River:
- Roundtable conference of the stakeholders, the river authority, the governors, and the mayor.
- Active participation of the residents, the municipalities, the industry, academic experts, and the administrators from the initial planning stage.

Case of Lake Biwa:
- Legislative framework for conflict resolution between the upstream area, the Lake Biwa basin, and the downstream area: enactment of the Clean Lake Law in 1984.
- Formulation of a comprehensive conservation plan with participation of all the stakeholders in the basin.

The table shows that both countries have laws and regulations to support IWRM and IRBM. The institutional support for IWRM implementation in Japan (MLIT) is operational, in contrast to Madagascar (ANDEA). In Madagascar, ANDEA leads decisions and actions coordinated at three established basin agencies and the 22 regional basin committees. However, the framework documents are not applied effectively; this has led to unsuccessful implementation of basin Agencies and basin committees, as well as non-establishment of the National Fund for Water Resources. Consequently, there is confusion concerning the roles and responsibilities assigned to the regional and local basin committees. In contrast, Japan’s MLIT has 10 regional offices, each of which controls approximately 12 local river offices. Each organization is independent in its fields and activities and has an autonomous budget. Notably, the concepts of IWRM and IRBM require major participatory roles for all the stakeholders involved. Some reports have indicated that difficulties in the implementation of IWRM in Madagascar are caused by customs, land ownership problems, and the lack of consultation between the local population and the authorities [33]. In contrast, Japan’s law requires public participation in the planning process, which makes river basin management very specific. Stakeholders work together on decentralized sites at the level of catchment areas or on the national level. The Japanese national IWRM plan, referred to as Water Plan 21, is formulated for the involvement of more than one local government. The establishment of the Coordination Committee for the Promotion of a Sound Water Cycle, which involves the five water-related ministries, promotes better integration and coordination of water resources. Nevertheless, a previous study noted the incompatibility of river basin organizations with the current administrative systems, which has led to conflicts between the ministries involved in water management [17]. The example projects presented in Table 1 demonstrated that IRBM represents a key factor for accelerating local development and managing water resources and their environments.

Madagascar can learn three important strategies from Japan: effective implementation of the existing IWRM frameworks; intersectoral cooperation at the river basin level and decentralization of decision-making; and sustainable management of the available water resources by minimizing adverse environmental and social impacts.

3.3. Impact of Climate Change in Madagascar
Madagascar established the National Climate Change Coordination Office, which reports to the Ministry of the Environment, Ecology, and Forests, in 2010 [34]. According to Decree No. 2015-092 of 5 March 2015, this Ministry is responsible for the implementation and coordination of actions, as well as the mainstreaming of climate change issues in various social and economic sectors. The impacts of climate change have been severe during the past two decades: extended drought periods, increased rainfall variability, cyclones’ intensification, and floods associated with cyclonic disturbances [35]. In addition, temperatures continue to increase in nearly all the regions, and the precipitation decreases by 3% per year in some regions [36]. Furthermore, the flooding has considerably increased over the past few years in coastal cities, which has resulted in crop failures. Indeed, the maximum temperature is rising faster in the rainy season than in the dry season, except in the humid tropical climate region and at high altitudes in the center of the country. Madagascar is one of the least-developed countries with insignificant greenhouse gas emissions [35]. Primary sectors, particularly agriculture and fisheries, are prominent in the national economy. However, the country has severe problems with soil erosion and deforestation, which reduce soil fertility and productivity, thus increasing the vulnerability of agriculture and fishing-based livelihoods. Therefore, decreased agricultural yields and poor fishery performance may lead to increased food insecurity, which aggravates poverty. Moreover, the electrification level is extremely low, such that only approximately 20% of the households have access to electricity. This explains the nation’s dependence on wood as fuel, which is expected to persist in the short term. Climate change will affect forests because increased temperatures and lower rainfall will lead to increased tree die-off, forest fires, fuel buildup, and insect abundance [37]. By 2050, the temperature is expected to increase by 1.1–2.6 °C across Madagascar [38]. A study conducted by the WHO and the UNFCC [39] showed that the mean annual temperature is projected to rise by approximately 4.1 °C from 1990 to 2100 under the high emissions scenario Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5. If global emissions decrease rapidly, the temperature rise will be limited to approximately 1.1 °C. In addition, the risks of vector-borne diseases (e.g., malaria and dengue) are expected to increase toward 2070 [40]. The increasing rate of deforestation in Madagascar is most likely associated with demographic growth and poor environmental law enforcement. If nothing is done to rapidly curb deforestation, Madagascar risks losing almost all of its tropical forest and associated biodiversity within the next 50 years [8]. Land use is the main sector in which large greenhouse gas emissions reductions must be achieved. In this scenario, biomass, hydropower, solar, and wind energies contribute to most of the electricity generation from 2030 onward [41]. Previous research suggested that climate change will increase future risks to health and well-being [40]. Madagascar has a policy framework to address those challenges: the Disaster Risk Resilience National Strategy for Disaster Risk Management (2016–2030) and the Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (2015–2030). Moreover, the country is committed to mitigating climate change (Law No. 98-1068 of 18 December 1998, ratifying the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change; implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action in 2005; Sendai Framework in 2015; Paris Agreement in 2016), reducing the climate change vulnerability, and promoting effective adaptation measures.

3.4. Future Changes in Water Stress, Water Demand, and Water Supply

Climate change is a significant element in the forward projection of water availability. The availability of water is a key factor for the development of agriculture and industry, as well as a requirement in satisfying the increasing needs of populations as standards of living are improved. Limitations of water availability will often form a major constraint on development plans [19]. Consequently, inadequate knowledge of the physical, technical, and socioeconomic aspects of water resources induces degradation of river basins. The projections presented in Figure 2 indicate that the Maningory (4), Tsiribihina (6), and Mangoky (8) basins will experience water stress (low-to-medium, 10–20%), most notably in the central–western and eastern regions of Madagascar. The projected changes in
the total blue water (water supply) in the Mahajamba (1) and Maningoro (4) basins (located in the northern and eastern regions) are much higher (100–300 cm) compared with changes in the Mangoky (8), Onilahy (9), and Mandrare (11) basins (10–30 cm). The future water demand will be higher in the Maningory (4), Betsiboka (3), Tsiribihina (6), Mangoro (7), and Mangoky (8) basins (10–30 cm), which are located in the central highlands. Overall, the projections indicate an increasing water demand, water stress, and water supply in the major river basins. Factors that may induce pressure on water resources include socioeconomic growth, urbanization, agricultural expansion, and climate change. This would result in water scarcity for agriculture, drinking, and other domestic purposes in those river basins.

Figure 2. Projected change of water stress, water demand, and water supply from the baseline (1950–2010) to a future period (2040) under the business-as-usual scenario RCP8.5/SSP2. Source: WRI Aqueduct projections 2015 (Data available at https://www.wri.org/aqueduct accessed on 25 May 2020)

Figure 3 shows that the water stress score is higher in Japan than in Madagascar. As indicated in Table 2, Japan’s water stress score is medium-to-high (20–40%), while it will remain low-to-medium (10–20%) for Madagascar under the business-as-usual scenarios for the years 2020, 2030, and 2040. Notably, the agricultural sector has the highest water stress score in both countries. Thus, the agricultural sector consumes a larger amount of water, compared with other sectors; there is less competition among users in the industrial sector than in the agricultural sector. Agriculture is the driver of Madagascar’s economy. In particular, agriculture dominates the overall use of Madagascar’s land and water resources, and it provides livelihoods for more than 70% of the population [42]. Although Japan is an industrialized country, the agricultural sector is considered a very important sector, which dominates 65% of water use [43]. These results indicate that action must be taken to ensure that there is sufficient water in the future for both humans and the environment.

Table 2. Water stress score range.

| Score | Value                |
|-------|----------------------|
| 0–1   | Low (< 10%)          |
| 1–2   | Low-to-medium (10–20%)|
| 2–3   | Medium-to-high (20–40%)|
| 3–4   | High (40–80%)        |
| 4–5   | Extremely high (> 80%)|
3.5. Problem-Solving Based on Japan’s IRBM Experience

Relevant information about the existing IWRM policy, legal and strategic frameworks and their importance for the SDGs, and climate change in both countries were investigated in the previous sections. The results indicate that Madagascar has several water resources and climate change-related issues. We found that climate change induces pressure on water availability. The projections indicate an increasing water demand, water stress, and water supply in the major river basins. A reasonable approach to tackle those issues could be the adoption of effective and sustainable policies as shown in the case of Japan.

The findings of this study show that Japan has decentralized water policies and effective coordination among the central and local governments [17]. This water plan identifies long-term water supply and demand prospects, as well as means of improving water use stability, through water efficiency measures and effective use of the existing infrastructure [18]. In addition, the country has developed region-specific integrated water resources management tailored to regional climatic and social conditions. The most important relevant finding was the integration of the five ministries related to water to ensure better integration and coordination of the water resources. This intersectoral approach helps to solve the problem of overlapping responsibilities and conflicting decisions.

Regarding the adaptation to climate change through IWRM, the country adopted an integrated approach to managing surface water and groundwater by considering the balance between water supply and water demand, as well as between water quantity and quality. Indeed, stakeholder participation and information sharing help to cope with climate change and social needs. Japan’s IWRM promotes sustainable water use and effective water cycle governance through an appropriate policy and frameworks involving relevant sectors and stakeholders. IWRM is promoted from perspectives such as groundwater and surface water, water quantity, and water quality (both upstream and downstream); it also promotes water efficiency and environmental conservation. Stakeholder integration in water facility planning, designing, and operation is embedded in the policy framework [15]. Japan’s river management system integrates flood management, water utilization, and environmental conservation. The establishment of several laws increases the resilience of water systems to global change pressures (dams, groundwater, waterworks; sewerage, water pollution control, etc.). Concrete action must be implemented to preserve water resources and manage the major river basins. Effective implementation of IWRM now requires all sectors to work together to achieve the shared vision of peaceful economic development. The establishment of the IRBM framework is recommended to
enable the major river basins to optimally use their water resources to meet the needs of the people.

On the whole, these findings suggest that successful implementation of the IWRM approach in Madagascar needs systematic planning and implementation to achieve sustainable and resilient solutions. Figure 4. In the context of Japan’s experience, this proposed framework shows the process from a problem’s discovery to the resolution. First, we identified the main issues and the elements that caused those issues in terms of river basin management (Sections 3.2 and 3.3). Then, we considered the structure of the problem by recognizing the connections among the elements and searched for leverage points to improve the situation. Finally, we proposed appropriate solutions to solve the problems by using systematic methodologies. The river basin management in Madagascar has challenges because of political, environmental, social, and water resources issues. Those issues are interconnected and affect water resources in terms of quality and quantity. The proposed framework considers seven important solutions: research and technology prioritization and usage, infrastructure creation, local consultation and participation, sectoral integration, effective governance, information and telecommunications technology and capacity building, and financial resources.

**Figure 4.** Example of the systemic thinking approach to IWRM in Madagascar.

### 4. Conclusions and Recommendations

This paper investigated the current condition of IWRM in Madagascar, evaluated its sustainability, and compared it with the one in Japan. Relevant information about the existing IWRM policy, legal and strategic frameworks, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and climate change in both countries were investigated. The effects of climate change were analyzed using Aqueduct data under SSP2 RCP85 scenarios. This study revealed two important findings. First, Madagascar and Japan differ greatly in terms of IWRM implementation for river basin management. Second, climate change will decrease the available water resources in Madagascar by 2040. Based on the lessons learned from river basin management in Japan, our findings suggest reinforcement of water management for the major river basins through flexible and adaptive policies, systemic thinking and planning, and capacity-building programs. We recommend the establishment of the intersectoral approach that integrates all the ministries related to water to ensure better coordination of the water resources as shown in the case of Japan. This study suggests a regular revision and update of Madagascar’s framework documents in terms of the national and global contexts such as climate change, natural hazards, and population needs.
When using Aqueduct data, our analysis found that the Maningory, Tsiribihina, and Mangoky basins (in the west and the east) will experience water stress; the demand will be higher in the Maningory, Betsiboka, Tsiribihina, Mangoro, and Mangoky basins (in the highlands), while less water will be available in the Mangoky, Onilahy, and Mandrare basins (in the south). The evidence from these findings suggests that considerable attention must first be paid to the Maningory, Tsiribihina, and Mangoky basins when implementing IRBM across the 12 river basins.

We conclude that the implementation of IWRM is operational, but requires clearer programming and integrated planning. Japan is internationally recognized for its experience in IWRM, as well as its effective and resilient IRBM institutions. The country has many tools available for IWRM planning, monitoring, evaluation, and budgeting. The establishment of an integrated river management system for flood management, water utilization, and environmental conservation was helpful for stakeholders at the ground level. Japan’s law requires public participation during the planning, which makes river basin management very specific. There is a need for a better understanding of the positioning and relationships between stakeholders to ensure that they are effectively and efficiently involved in the process. It was shown that Madagascar established an IWRM legal framework aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals; however, their application remains limited and should be re-examined. The results of this study indicate that the unsuccessful implementation of various structures (basin agencies and basin committees), non-application of framework documents, lack of coordination and budget have made the current river management strategies unsustainable. The ground realities for water management in Madagascar indicate that concepts of IWRM or IRBM are not just a myth. Many projects started IWRM implementation at the catchment and small basin levels. Effective frameworks and policies are responsible for the implementation of IRBM at the major river basins. The Malagasy government must implement concrete actions to manage and preserve water resources more effectively. The proposed framework and Japan’s experience in river basin management can contribute to solving the current and future water scarcity. The findings of this study will serve to enhance the knowledge concerning the link between IWRM implementation and the impacts of climate change in Madagascar; they may assist in actions taken by the Malagasy government. A great effort is needed to address the current and future challenges for river basin management, to achieve SDG 6.5. The present study makes several noteworthy contributions to the WASH sector in Madagascar. The findings should be considered for the update of the current Water Code which is currently in process.

There are several issues related to water resources and river basin management. The current study only examined the issues of water availability and demand across the major river basins in Madagascar. It is important to note that the comparison with Japan was the first step of the study because, as highlighted in this paper, these countries have similar characteristics. Future studies on the current topic should be conducted to investigate other issues related to river basin management and comparison with other countries are therefore recommended for implementation of the most appropriate IRBM/IWRM approaches in Madagascar.
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