An optimized procedure for plant recovery from somatic embryos significantly facilitates the genetic improvement of *Vitis*
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Plant regeneration from grapevine (*Vitis* spp.) via somatic embryogenesis typically is poor. Recovery of plants from *Vitis rotundifolia* Michx. (muscadine grape) is particularly problematic due to extremely low efficiency, including extended culture durations required for embryo-plant conversion. Poor plant recovery is an obstacle to the selection of improved genetically modified lines. Somatic embryos (SEs) of *V. rotundifolia* cultivar Delicious (Del-HS) and *Vitis vinifera* L. cultivar Thompson Seedless (TS) were used to identify culture media and conditions that promoted embryo differentiation and plant conversion; this resulted in a two-step culture system. In comparative culture experiments, C2D medium containing 6% sucrose was the most effective, among four distinct formulae tested, for inducing precocious SE germination and cell differentiation. This medium, further supplemented with 4 μM 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP), was subsequently determined to enhance post-germinative growth of SE. MS medium supplemented with 0.5 μM 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (MSN) was then utilized to stimulate root and shoot growth of germinated SE. An average of 35% and 80% ‘Del-HS’ and ‘TS’ SE, respectively, developed into plants. All plants developed robust root and shoot systems and exhibited excellent survival following transfer to soil. Over 150 plants of ‘Del-HS’ were regenerated and established within 2.5 months, which is a dramatic reduction from the 6- to 12-month time period previously required. Similarly, 88 ‘TS’ plant lines were obtained within the same time period. Subsequently, seven out of eight *Vitis* cultivars exhibited significantly increased plant conversion percentages, demonstrating broad application of the two-step culture system to produce the large numbers of independent plant lines needed for selection of desired traits.

**INTRODUCTION**

Cultivars of *Vitis rotundifolia* Michx. (muscadine grape) are widely grown in the southeastern United States, where they are utilized for fresh fruit, juice, jam and wine.26 Important health benefits associated with the fruit of *V. rotundifolia* include high content of dietary fiber, resveratrol, phenolics and other antioxidants with anti-inflammatory and anticancer properties.9,10,14,15,23,24 Development of cultivars with genetically improved traits, such as better postharvest storage and seedlessness, as well as other quality and yield attributes, is needed to expand the *V. rotundifolia* fruit industry in the southeastern United States.2

*V. rotundifolia* is a member of the *Vitis* subgenus *Muscadinia* Planch. Species of *Muscadinia* contain a chromosome number of 40 (2n) compared to that of subgenus *Euvitis* *Planch* (2n = 38), which includes the economically important bunch grape cultivars derived from *V. vinifera* L. and hybrids. Thus, it is particularly difficult to introgress useful genes/trait from bunch grape to muscadine grape by way of breeding.20 For instance, transfer of the seedless trait from *V. vinifera* to *V. rotundifolia* to produce commercially useful seedless muscadine grape cultivars has not yet occurred, despite concerted breeding efforts for more than half of a century.

Genetic engineering technology facilitates the introduction of single-gene traits without altering existing agronomic performance; thus, it provides an attractive tool to overcome genetic constraints and expedite progress for *Vitis* improvement. However, successful implementation of the technology largely hinges on the ability to obtain plants from engineered somatic embryos (SEs) and requires an efficient and reliable plant regeneration system.7

Despite relatively low SE-to-plant recovery overall, advances in genetic engineering technology for cultivars of *Euvitis* have resulted in a large number of transgenic grape plants for genetic, molecular and functional studies.1,2,17,19,23,24 In comparison, similar technological developments for *Muscadinia* have not occurred. Only four investigative reports concerning somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration have appeared.6,7,18,20 Dhekney et al.18 extensively characterized the somatic embryogenic culture response of a number of *V. rotundifolia* cultivars and provided an optimized protocol for callus induction, SE production and plant recovery. However, the efficiency of SE-to-plant conversion with *V. rotundifolia* remains lower and more time-consuming than *Euvitis*, which also typically exhibits poor plant recovery as well.

In this report, we compare several basal media commonly used in grapevine tissue culture to determine their efficacy on SE germination and growth. With timely addition of two plant growth regulators, a two-step culture procedure for embryo germination and root induction, respectively, was developed to significantly improve plant recovery from SE of both *Muscadinia* and *Euvitis* cultivars.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and medium preparation

Chemicals for the preparation of culture media were acquired from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). TC agar was purchased from Phytotechnology Laboratories, LLC (Shawnee Mission, KS, USA). Four media used for comparing SE maintenance are (i) basal salts and vitamins from DM medium, which is a modified DKW medium developed by Driver and Kuniyuki; (ii) MS medium; (iii) C2D medium; and (iv) X6 medium. Six percent (w/v) sucrose was added to each basal medium resulting in D6, M6, C6 and X6 media, respectively.

To study SE germination and plant recovery, the following previously described media were compared: (i) C2D4B (C2D medium supplemented with 3% (w/v) sucrose and 4 µM 6-benzylaminopurine); (ii) MS1B (MS medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 1 µM benzylaminopurine); and (iii) MSN (MS medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.5 µM 1-naphthaleneacetic acid). All culture media were adjusted to pH 5.8 with a 1 N KOH solution and supplemented with 7 g L⁻¹ TC agar prior to autoclaving at 121 °C for 20 min. Cooled medium was dispensed onto Petri dishes (30 mL dish⁻¹) or pre-sterilized Magenta GA7 vessels (70 mL vessel⁻¹).

Plant material

SE from two V. rotundifolia cultivars including Alachua (Ala) and Delicious (Del) plus a genetically modified line of Delicious (Del-HS) were employed. These cultivars previously were studied for their performance in producing the required somatic embryogenic cultures for genetic transformation. In investigative experiments to increase plant performance in producing the required somatic embryogenic cultures for genetic transformation, SE were initiated from immature leaf explants of in vitro shoots or stamens and maintained by subculturing proembryonic masses on X6 medium at monthly intervals.

To compare SE maintenance on each basal medium, a total of 15 SEs were combined into a group (replicate), with four groups per dish and three dishes per medium treatment (i.e., 12 replicates). Eight treatments included SE at torpedo or early cotyledonal stages of development, each tested on the four previously mentioned maintenance media. Cultures were kept in the dark for a total of 2 months with monthly subculture to fresh medium prior to data collection for explant fresh weight, total number of SE produced and frequency of precocious SE germination.

To test SE germination and plant regeneration, four SE at mid-cotyledonal stage of development were placed in each GA7 vessel on one of the four previously-mentioned germination/plant development media with 10 replicate vessels per treatment. Cultures were maintained under cool white fluorescent light (65 µm m⁻² s⁻¹) and a 16-h photoperiod at 26 °C. The growth of shoots and roots were monitored 3 weeks after culture initiation via measurement of fresh weight of individual SE. Germinated SE were then moved to MSN medium for root induction cultivation for 4 weeks. Rooted plants were transferred to potting soil in 3” pots and acclimatized in a mist bed for 1 week before being moved to a greenhouse bench.

The optimum duration of SE culture on C2D4B medium for plant development was determined by placing ‘Del-HS’ SE on C2D4B medium for 1, 2, 3 and 4 weeks, respectively, followed by subculture onto MSN medium. The resulting number of plants was determined for each treatment 1 month after culture on MSN medium. All experiments were repeated two times. Data were collected and statistically analyzed to yield mean values and standard errors for assessment of sample variation.

Somatic embryogenesis

SE were initiated from immature leaf explants of in vitro shoots or stamens and maintained by subculturing proembryonic masses on X6 medium at monthly intervals.

To compare SE maintenance on each basal medium, a total of 15 SEs were combined into a group (replicate), with four groups per dish and three dishes per medium treatment (i.e., 12 replicates). Eight treatments included SE at torpedo or early cotyledonal stages of development, each tested on the four previously mentioned maintenance media. Cultures were kept in the dark for a total of 2 months with monthly subculture to fresh medium prior to data collection for explant fresh weight, total number of SE produced and frequency of precocious SE germination.

SE germination and conversion to plants

To test SE germination and plant regeneration, four SE at mid-cotyledonal stage of development were placed in each GA7 vessel on one of the four previously-mentioned germination/plant development media with 10 replicate vessels per treatment. Cultures were maintained under cool white fluorescent light (65 µm m⁻² s⁻¹) and a 16-h photoperiod at 26 °C. The growth of shoots and roots were monitored 3 weeks after culture initiation via measurement of fresh weight of individual SE. Germinated SE were then moved to MSN medium for root induction cultivation for 4 weeks. Rooted plants were transferred to potting soil in 3” pots and acclimatized in a mist bed for 1 week before being moved to a greenhouse bench.

The optimum duration of SE culture on C2D4B medium for plant development was determined by placing ‘Del-HS’ SE on C2D4B medium for 1, 2, 3 and 4 weeks, respectively, followed by subculture onto MSN medium. The resulting number of plants was determined for each treatment 1 month after culture on MSN medium. All experiments were repeated two times. Data were collected and statistically analyzed to yield mean values and standard errors for assessment of sample variation.

Figure 1. Visual representation of the somatic embryogenesis system for grape. Depending on grape genotype, several different types of explants may be utilized to initiate embryogenic cultures, including shoot tips and leaves, stamens or pre-existing SEs. Embryogenic cell masses composed of proembryonal complexes are isolated from callusing explants. Cell masses produce SEs, which can be encouraged to germinate and develop into plants. The germination-to-plant step typically is very poor, which was dramatically improved by this study. It is at the stage of SE maturation (red arrows) that they may be utilized as targets for Agrobacterium-mediated gene insertion due to the abundance of totipotent cells on their hypocotyl and cotyledon surfaces. Utilizing the GFP gene as a visible marker, abundant transient GFP-expressing cells occur. Stable modified embryogenic lines are recovered, from which are obtained non-chimeric, genetically modified plants. GFP, green fluorescent protein.
RESULTS

The typical procedure to initiate embryogenic cultures, which is used to produce plants, is illustrated in Figure 1. The parallel development of genetically modified plants (red arrows) was illustrated by using a visible green fluorescent protein marker. A key obstacle in the SE-to-plant process is the step from SE germination to whole plant development, which typically restricts the number of plants obtainable from a given genetically modified plant line.

Effects of basal medium on SE maintenance

Four basal media including D6, M6, C6 and X6 without growth regulators but with 6% (W/V) sucrose were examined for SE proliferation and growth of both *V. rotundifolia* and *V. vinifera* cultivars. These media containing ingredients at distinctly different concentrations (Supplementary Fig. S1) are commonly used for grapevine tissue culture, but had not been evaluated in a comparative test. Culture of SE of both ‘Del-HS’ and ‘TS’ on these media resulted in dramatic differences in SE proliferation and precocious SE germination as signified by enlargement, greening, hypocotyl elongation and root development (Supplementary Fig. S2).

SE proliferation also varied between the two cultivars and among media. In general, SE explants of ‘Del-HS’ displayed higher secondary SE proliferation than ‘TS’. Among the compared media, D6 medium was most effective for SE proliferation, giving rise to 120 and 60 SEs per group for ‘Del-HS’ and ‘TS’, respectively (Figure 2a). Both M6 and X6 media performed similarly but with slightly lower SE proliferation. Noticeably, C6 medium led to the lowest total number of SE per group for both cultivars (Figure 2a).

A higher percentage of ‘TS’ SE precociously germinated on all media when compared with ‘Del-HS’. On D6 medium, about 20% of ‘Del-HS’ SE underwent precocious germination compared to that of ‘TS’ SE, which reached almost 60% (Figure 2b). A similar trend was observed on M6 medium with a slightly increased germination rate for both cultivars. Up to 60% and 100% of SE from ‘Del-HS’ and ‘TS’, respectively, germinated on C6 medium. On X6 medium, germination of ‘Del-HS’ SE was less than 10%, whereas that of ‘TS’ SE was about 50% (Figure 2b). C6 medium stimulated the greening of SE, even in dark-grown cultures (Supplementary Fig. S2). Thus, C6 medium is more conducive to precocious SE germination and cell differentiation/greening, while providing the least favorable conditions for SE proliferation.

SE germination and plant development

Based on aforementioned findings of growth responses of SE cultured on different basal media in darkness, we further investigated SE germination and seedling development under light on various media, including plant growth regulator-containing media C2D4B, MS1B, and MSN. These media are commonly used for micropropagation and plant recovery of *Vitis*.

Three weeks after culture initiation, all SE explants germinated on all three media. SEs of both ‘Del-HS’ and ‘TS’ expanded dramatically in size when cultured on C2D4B medium (Figure 3a, C2D4B). In particular, the cotyledons turned dark green and became oversized and thickened. Noticeably, some ‘TS’ SE developed robust roots and enlarged greenish cotyledons. On the other hand, no roots were produced by ‘Del-HS’ SE (Figure 3a, C2D4B, lower dish vs. upper dish). On MS1B medium, similar size expansion of cotyledons was evident for ‘TS’ SE but to a significantly lesser degree for ‘Del-HS’ SE (Figure 3a, MS1B, lower dish vs. upper dish). These findings are in agreement with observations of reduced cotyledon expansion in germinated SE of *V. rotundifolia* cultivars as compared to *V. vinifera* under similar medium conditions.

Although root development occurred from SEs of both cultivars on MS1B, roots from ‘Del-HS’ seedlings were longer in length, smaller in diameter and branched while those from ‘TS’ embryos were thicker in diameter and shorter in length without lateral branching (Figure 3a, MS1B, upper dish vs. lower dish). These experiments exemplified the relatively weak vegetative growth of SE-derived plants of *V. rotundifolia* on MS1B medium. All SEs of ‘Del-HS’ and ‘TS’ cultured on MSN were smaller and had very weak to no root systems (Figure 3a, MSN).

To quantify growth, the fresh weight of individual SE was measured at the end of a 3-week culture period. The fresh weight of ‘Del-HS’ SE cultured on three media illustrated a 6.3- and a 2.2-fold increase on C2D4B and MS1B, respectively, when compared to MSN (Figure 4, top panel). Fresh weight for ‘TS’ SE increased 3.1- and 2.2-fold on C2D4B and MS1B, respectively, when compared to MSN (Figure 4, bottom panel).

Plant recovery from a two-step culture system

To investigate whether improved root growth could break the stagnation of shoot development, SE germinated on different media for 3 weeks were subsequently transferred to 1-naphthaleneacetic acid containing MSN medium that was previously employed for root induction from transgenic tobacco shoots. Noticeably, from previous observations, MSN medium supported only very weak overall growth of ‘Del-HS’ SE, but did induce roots with larger diameter (Figure 3a, MSN).
As illustrated in Figure 3b, 4 weeks after transfer to MSN medium, 'Del-HS' SE previously cultured on C2D4B medium had developed into plants with robust shoots and roots. SE explants with such rapid plant development reached 35% (Figure 5, top panel). In comparison, only 2%–4% of SE explants cultured only on MSN medium without the C2D4B pre-treatment developed into plants. In agreement with previous observations, no in vitro plants were obtained when SE were cultured on MS1B medium (Figure 5, top panel). In comparison, plants of 'TS' were recovered on MSN after pre-treatment of SE on all three media (Figure 5, bottom panel). However, a higher percentage of plant recovery with more vigorous plant growth occurred when SE were previously cultured on C2D4B medium (Figures 3b and 5, bottom panel).

Plant recovery from SE as affected by duration on C2D4B Culture duration on C2D4B medium prior to subculture on MSN medium influenced plant development of 'Del-HS' SE. Plant recovery frequencies peaked at 3-week culture on C2D4B medium. Time periods shorter than 2 or longer than 3 weeks reduced plant recovery by almost 50% (Figure 6). Exposure of SE to C2D4B medium for more than 3 weeks tended to increase the level of tissue hyperhydricity that impeded subsequent plant development (data not shown).
Plant recovery from SE of various cultivars

General applicability of the best protocol for plant recovery from SE was determined by comparing the optimized two-step culture procedure with the standard MS1B single-medium culture procedure using SE of three *V. rotundifolia* cultivars and lines ‘Ala’, ‘Del’, ‘Del-HS’, four *V. vinifera* cultivars including ‘Cha’, ‘Che’, ‘Mer’, ”TS’ and *V. vinifera* hybrid ‘Sey’. Results showed that seven out of eight tested cultivars/lines had significantly higher frequencies of plant recovery using the two-step procedure (C2D4B-MSN), whereas only one cultivar ‘Che’ performed better with the standard procedure (Figure 7).

Plant acclimatization

Using the two-step C2D4B-MSN culture procedure, over 150 plants of ‘Del-HS’ and 88 plants of ‘TS’ were obtained within 2.5 months. All plants survived transplantation to soil and continuously displayed robust vegetative growth in the greenhouse (Figure 3c). Plants of the other cultivars obtained using the same culture conditions also showed vigorous growth and developed into vigorous plants (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

In plant tissue culture, genetic milieu of a particular species or genotype often dictates the overall growth response to culture conditions. *Vitis rotundifolia* is genetically and morphologically different from *V. vinifera* even though both belong to the same genus.

Previous studies showed that *V. rotundifolia* exhibited a difference in embryogenic callus development compared to *V. vinifera* and *V. rupestris*. *Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in V. rotundifolia displayed unique genotypic responses and required the use of culture media that were different from those used for other grape species.*

Although factors affecting callus induction and plant regeneration via somatic embryogenesis in *V. rotundifolia* were characterized recently, plant recovery frequencies remained low.

In previous studies, germinated SE of *Vitis* were maintained on the same medium, such as MS1B, with monthly subcultures and removal of cotyledons in order to achieve plant recovery. However, the relatively weak shoot and root systems of *V. rotundifolia* resulted in a long-term culture requirement of up to 1 year, plus poor plant establishment, as exemplified here by ‘Del-HS’. Similarly, over several years, less than two dozen transgenic plant lines of *V. rotundifolia* cultivar Alachua were recovered. Comparatively, within the same time period, over 1500 transgenic plants of *V. vinifera* were generated (unpublished). These varied responses between *Muscadinia* and *Euvitis* reflect their distinctive genotypic differences. Lack of an adequate number of genetically engineered plant lines for evaluation of anticipated traits presented a significant obstacle to genetic engineering of *V. rotundifolia*.
This study demonstrated the significant improvement of plant recovery from SE of V. rotundifolia based on timed application of optimized media. The current procedure dramatically reduces the time required for plant recovery from 6–12 months to 2.5 months. In addition, the resulting plants develop robust and vigorous shoot and root systems and are easily established in the greenhouse. Further, four of five Euvitis cultivars responded positively to this culture procedure.

Enhanced plant recovery from genetically modified SEs facilitates improvement for a wide range of Vitis cultivars. This culture procedure thereby removes a significant obstacle to creating the large numbers of genetically engineered lines of Vitis that are required for the efficient selection of improved cultivars.
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