Surgical management of cavernous malformations presenting with drug-resistant epilepsy
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INTRODUCTION

Cerebral cavernous malformations (CMs), also known as cavernous angiomas, cavernomas, or cavernous hemangiomas, were first described in the central nervous system by Virchow in 1863 (Iza-Vallejo et al., 2005) and characterized microscopically by McCormick (1966). These mulberry-like lesion consist of intertwined clusters of thin-walled vascular sinusoids lined by a thin endothelium lacking smooth muscle, elastin, and intervening parenchyma, surrounded by hemosiderin deposits and gliosis (Raychaudhuri et al., 2005). CMs account for about 10% of all symptomatic vascular malformations, being the most frequent angiographically occult intracranial vascular malformations (Gomori et al., 1986), with an estimated frequency of 0.4–0.9% (Otten et al., 1989; Brown et al., 2005), and an annual bleeding rate laying somewhere between 0.7 and 1.4%/lesion/year, or 0.25–3.1%/person/year of exposure (Kim et al., 1997; Stefan and Hammen, 2004; Ferroli et al., 2006).

Their occurrence can be sporadic, or as an autosomal dominant condition with variable expression and incomplete penetrance. The first recognized gene association (CCM1), was mapped in the long arm of chromosome 7 (7q11 to q12), upon studying the familial form of CM in a Hispanic family (Dubovsky et al., 1995). Three genes implied in the pathogenesis have been identified, all of them expressed in neurons rather than in blood vessels, suggesting an impaired signaling between these structures (Revençu and Vikkula, 2006).

It is well recognized the changing nature of CMs. This dynamism is determined by both extrinsic – hemorrhage, calcifications, thrombosis, and intrinsic factors – pseudotumoral growth of the cavernous matrix – that result in growing or shrinking of established malformation; and by pathologic angiogenic factors in de novo appearing lesions (Houtteville, 1997).

CMS AND EPILEPSY

Clinical manifestations, when present, are variable and include headache, seizures, hemorrhage, and sudden onset focal neurological deficits (FND; Raychaudhuri et al., 2005). The latter are the most common presentation of brainstem lesions, whereas seizures are the most frequent manifestation of supratentorial lesions (Awad and Jabbour, 2006; Van Gompel et al., 2010).

Epileptic seizures linked to CMs are often drug-resistant (Robinson et al., 1991; Kim et al., 1997). Cortical involvement is the most relevant risk factor for epilepsy. A greater diameter, the absence of edema, and localization in the left hemisphere are also associated with the occurrence of epilepsy. Mesiotemporal archicortical CMs pose a significantly higher risk for the development of epilepsy than neocortical lesions, a group that shows no differences regarding lobar location (Yeon et al., 2009; Menzler et al., 2010). Patients with perinatal or anterior temporal lesions may show signs of dual pathology, consistent in macroscopically – hippocampal sclerosis – microscopically, or only functional abnormalities within the mesial temporal lobe (Cendes et al., 1995; Stefan and Hammen, 2004).

The mass effect does not completely account for CMs’ high epileptogenicity, as the clinician may appreciate when dealing with larger lesions, namely other kinds of AVMs – in the absence of...
prior hemorrhage or FND – and gliomas, which are less likely to present with seizures (Awad et al., 1991; Josephson et al., 2011). This feature, referred to as secondary epileptogenesis (Morrell, 1985), has been studied in CMs. Williamson et al. (2003) performed intracellular recordings from neurons adjacent to gliomas and cavernomas, finding that neurons adjacent to CMs were more likely to show large complex spontaneous synaptic events than neurons adjacent to tumors. These neurons also showed more pronounced responses to synaptic stimulation, e.g., multiple action potentials riding on prolonged excitatory postsynaptic potentials were evoked in the majority of these cells, as opposed to those belonging to the tumor group (Williamson et al., 2003).

Intracerebral bleeding is another factor to consider. This event may partially destroy the CM, leaving residual cysts, and calcifications (Pozzati et al., 1989). It is thought that the breakdown of blood products results in gradual deposition of hemosiderin and hemin in the cerebral tissue surrounding the malformation (Kim et al., 1997); ultimately leading to biochemical abnormalities that become more prevalent and significant as the duration of epilepsy continues. The latter might explain why a longer history of epilepsy is a predictive of poorer results following pure lesionectomy, i.e., restricted surgical removal of the CM, respecting the hemosiderin-stained gliotic perilesional tissue (Ferroli et al., 2006). In addition, reactive glial proliferation may be epileptogenic.

**PREOPERATIVE EVALUATION**

A detailed preoperative epileptological and radiological work-up tailored to the individual’s pathology allows the clinician to classify seizures according to the ILAE guidelines. Clinical history, neurological examination, epilepsy-oriented MRI, scalp EEG, and video–EEG are essential (Von Der Brelie and Schramm, 2011). Spin echo and gradient echo sequences using T1 and T2-weighed MRI provide useful information on the CM hemodynamics; and also permit to classify them in four types according to the findings focused on signal characteristics (Zabramski et al., 1994).

Complementary studies include interictal and/or ictal SPECT, PET, functional MRI, magnetoencephalography (Stefan et al., 2004), intracarotid amobarbital testing (Stefan et al., 2004; Yeon et al., 2009), electrocorticography (ECoG), or implantation of intracerebral or subdural grid electrodes when needed (Siegel et al., 2000; Ferrier et al., 2007). Computer tomography with contrast enhancement (Stavrou et al., 2008) or angiography can also be used, although the latter usually fails to identify CMs (Gomori et al., 1986; Stavrou et al., 2008).

**MANAGEMENT**

Radiosurgery leads to the progressive obliteration of CMs by endothelial cell proliferation, with consequent luminal closure. This process takes 1–3 years on average to complete (Schneider et al., 1997), a period of time during which the risk of hemorrhage remains. Moreover, serious complications are not uncommon, with as much as 41% of patients presenting neurological deterioration after treatment and 27% requiring microsurgical extirpation (Karlsson et al., 1998). In fact, pathological studies on previously radiated patients undergoing surgery show that radiation does not always warrant complete vascular obliteration (Gewirtz et al., 1998).

Although seizure freedom is reported to reach 52% (Regis et al., 2000), there is still a lack of consensus on the indications for radiosurgery and the appropriate dose of irradiation, let alone the limited evidence supporting a protective role against rebleeding (Kim et al., 1997; Pollock, 2008). For these reasons, excision remains the optimal therapeutic strategy for patients with drug-resistant epilepsy. Standard microsurgical technique is widely accepted, and variations in surgical behavior exist among different groups. For instance, some of them describe the routine (Ferroli et al., 2006) or occasional (Kivelev et al., 2011) use of a stereotactic device or frameless neuronavigation system. A mini-invasive transsural approach has been described (Ferroli et al., 2006); alternatively, a transsylvian approach may be useful for anteromedial temporal CMs, or transcortical excision, at times using intersulcal dissection, for other locations (Kivelev et al., 2011). Neurophysiologic monitoring, in the form of direct cortical mapping and monitoring of neurological functions are used for CMs in eloquent locations (Ferroli et al., 2006).

The amount of resected tissue is also a matter of discussion. For instance, Yeon et al. (2009) take into account seizure frequency, opting for more aggressive approaches for drug-resistant epilepsy, i.e., extended rather than simple lesionectomy in patients without mesial temporal lesions; and tailored resection rather than standard temporal lobectomy for mesiotemporal CMs (Yeong et al., 2009). On the other hand, Ferroli et al. (2006) endorse a two-step surgical policy for patients with epilepsy secondary to CMs, attempting at first a pure lesionectomy, followed by invasive localization and tailored removal of the epileptogenic zone in those patients with drug-resistant seizures at 1–2 years follow-up (Ferroli et al., 2006).

**GENERAL OUTCOME**

Up to 17% of patients may develop neurological symptoms (sensorimotor deficits and homonymous hemi or quadrantanopsia) immediately after the operation (Ferroli et al., 2006; Stavrou et al., 2008). Nonetheless, at follow-up, the rate of neurological deficits including severe headache, slight dysphasia, sensory disturbances, ataxia, severe hemiparesis, pontocerebellar degeneration, descends to 2.6–8% (Zevgaridis et al., 1996; Baumann et al., 2006; Ferroli et al., 2006; Stavrou et al., 2008; Kivelev et al., 2011). No mortality related to the procedure is reported.

Data is still limited regarding neuropsychological outcome. In one study, 15% of patients complained of postoperative memory deficits, the half of these presented with only temporary short-term memory decline. The rest underwent neuropsychological evaluation, reporting new memory deficit (4%) or worsening of previous symptoms (4%). Postoperative depression and fatigue were also assessed, finding a 9.4% rate of new-onset symptoms (Kivelev et al., 2011).

**SEIZURE OUTCOME**

It is not easy to systematically evaluate seizure outcome due to the following limitations: several studies include patients whose main complaint was not epilepsy; definitions for intractability are not always clear; group subdivision is not uniform among studies regarding the evolution, frequency, and/or severity of seizures; control groups are compared against lesionectomy or epilepsy.
surgery, but rarely both. Finally, standardized classifications – Engel et al. (1993), ILAE (Wieser et al., 2001) – are yet to be universally adopted.

OVERALL SEIZURE OUTCOME
The largest series to date, including 168 patients, showed an Engel I rate of 70% (48% IA) after 1 year. This figure declined to 68 and 65% for the second and third, respectively (Baumann et al., 2007), a phenomenon previously described by Kim et al. (1997), arguably due to the lack of follow-up for patients who became seizure-free. In contrast, smaller series report up to 82–84% seizure freedom rates (Casazza et al., 1996; Cappabianca et al., 1997).

LENGTH OF FOLLOW-UP
As commented above, slight differences on outcome are to be expected as follow-up lengths, namely after the third year (Baumann et al., 2007; Von Der Brelie and Schramm, 2011), while this is not a constant finding (Yeon et al., 2009).

LOBAR LOCATION
There seems not to be any correlation between the lobar location nor the side of CMs and seizure freedom (Cappabianca et al., 1997; Baumann et al., 2007). At 1-year follow-up, the outcome was better for patients with mesiotemporal cavernomas and worst for neocortical locations (Baumann et al., 2007), but subsequent comparisons showed no differences. Lesion location within the temporal lobe does not correlate with epilepsy outcome, i.e., patients with mesial or lateral lesions have the same chance of having a favorable seizure outcome (Yeon et al., 2009; Kivelev et al., 2011).

SIZE OF Lesion
A size of less than 1.5 cm diameter has been associated with better seizure control during the first 2 years but no differences arise at longer evaluation (Baumann et al., 2007; Englot et al., 2011), a finding confirmed later on (Yeon et al., 2009; Englot et al., 2011).

TYPE OF SEIZURES
Patients with simple partial and complex partial seizures are more likely to become asymptomatic than patients affected by secondarily generalized seizures, although this analysis is not routinely performed by most of studies (Baumann et al., 2007; Englot et al., 2011).

DURATION OF EPILEPSY
The theory of secondary epileptogenesis states that a prolonged preoperative history of epilepsy brings an increased risk for worse seizure outcome as a result of developing remote epileptogenic foci (Morrell, 1985; Cohen et al., 1995; Cappabianca et al., 1997). The impact of this variable is controversial, for there are series that correlate the duration of epilepsy with seizure outcome, i.e., they report a slightly (Stavrou et al., 2008) or significantly (Cohen et al., 1995; Casazza et al., 1996; Zevgardis et al., 1996; Cappabianca et al., 1997; Schroeder et al., 1997; Moran et al., 1999; Hammen et al., 2007; Englot et al., 2011) poorer outcome for patients with more than 1–2 years of seizure history, with the notable exception of patients with sporadic seizures over a long period of time. On the other hand, others report similar results for patients with 0.5 to more than 10 years of seizure history (Baumann et al., 2007; Kivelev et al., 2011).

Yeon et al. (2009) did a sub-analysis within their non-lesionectomy group, for which duration of illness showed statistical significance only when Engel class IA was set aside and compared to the other classes considered as a whole (Yeon et al., 2009).

GENDER
Gender differences on outcome, when present, show that men seem to have a higher chance to become seizure-free (Cohen et al., 1993; Cappabianca et al., 1997; Stavrou et al., 2008), but, once again, it is not a constant finding (Baumann et al., 2007; Yeon et al., 2009).

AGE
Some studies show a better outcome in patients whose first seizure occurred after age 30 (Cohen et al., 1995; Baumann et al., 2007) or 40 (Cappabianca et al., 1997). In contrast, others considered age at onset to be irrelevant (Moran et al., 1999; Stavrou et al., 2008; Yeon et al., 2009). Baumann et al. (2007) found better seizure control for patients aged 30 or more at the time of surgery.

PREOPERATIVE EEG
There is a reported correlation between epileptiform abnormalities after the first unprovoked seizure and seizure recurrence (Van Donselaar et al., 1992). Subjects with normal findings on preoperative scalp EEG have better odds of being seizure free (Kivelev et al., 2011), as opposed to patients with multifocal epileptic activity (Baumann et al., 2007).

POSTOPERATIVE EEG
Kivelev et al. (2011) found no correlation between neurophysiological evaluation and epilepsy control, but an earlier study described an association of interictal epileptiform activity and seizure persistence (Di Gennaro et al., 2004).

LESION BLEEDING AT THE TIME OF SURGERY
Perilesional bleeding – old or recent – whether documented on MRI or directly observed during surgery, did not affect outcome on Baumann et al. (2007) study, but was considered as negative prognostic factor by Stefan et al. (2004) and Stefan and Hammen (2004).

FREQUENCY AND NUMBER OF SEIZURES
In two studies patients were classified according to the total number of seizures in three groups, i.e., 1, 2–5, and >5 seizures, achieving a seizure-free rate of 100, 69–100, and 62.5–69%, respectively (Cappabianca et al., 1997; Kivelev et al., 2011).

A high seizure frequency before surgery has been shown to worsen the postoperative outcome in some series (Cohen et al., 1995; Cappabianca et al., 1997; Moran et al., 1999; Stefan and Hammen, 2004; Ferroli et al., 2006; Englot et al., 2011) but had no effect in others (Casazza et al., 1996; Baumann et al., 2007; Stavrou et al., 2008). In their study, Yeon et al. (2009) named “intractable” those patients presenting >1 monthly seizure over a 1-year period.
Seventy-two percent of these patients were in Engel I class (54.5% IA), as opposed to 89.5% (84.2% IA) of subjects with sporadic seizures (Yeon et al., 2009).

**THE ROLE OF “PURE” LESIONECTOMY**

The extension of excision is subject of controversy. Several studies report a better outcome when surrounding gliosis and hemosiderin are removed (Piepras et al., 1993; Yeh et al., 1993; Cohen et al., 1995; Casazza et al., 1995; Kim et al., 1997; Siegel et al., 2000; Stefan and Hammern, 2004; Baumann et al., 2006; Hammern et al., 2007; Stavrou et al., 2008; Menzler et al., 2010), while others fail to find significant differences (Casazza et al., 1996; Zevgaridis et al., 1996; Cappabianca et al., 1997).

The problem arises when trying to compare techniques due to the lack of a control group – one of medical treatment – that can be compared with both lesionectomy and more extended resections in the same study. For instance, Yeon et al. (2009) found no difference between lesionectomy and other methods; but the decision of what procedure to perform was predetermined by their protocol, which, in turn favors the use of a more aggressive approach for patients with intractable epilepsy, a group in which blood degradation products are thought to play a major role in the aforementioned mechanisms of seizure propagation and secondary epileptogenesis (Morrell, 1991; Yeh and Privitera, 1991).

Lesionectomy alone appears to be beneficial for patients with sporadic seizures or evolution shorter than 1 year (Acciarri et al., 1995; Cohen et al., 1995; Casazza et al., 1995; Zevgaridis et al., 1996; Cappabianca et al., 1997; Ferroli et al., 2006). In this subset of individuals, it has been suggested to reserve a more invasive approach for persisting or relapsing cases (Yeo et al., 2009).

Growing evidence both in clinical and basic grounds suggests that resection of all cortical hemosiderin might improve seizure outcome, whereas incomplete removal of subcortical hemosiderin to spare eloquent tracts might not influence postoperative seizures (Siegel et al., 2000; Baumann et al., 2006; Menzler et al., 2010).
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