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ABSTRACT

4U 1822–37 is a Low Mass X-ray Binary (LMXB) system with an Accretion Disk Corona. It is one of the very few LMXBs that shows narrow X-ray eclipses and small amplitude pulsations of the neutron star. The X-ray eclipse is an excellent reference for measurement of orbital evolution of the binary, and we have obtained 16 new mid-eclipse time measurements of this source during the last 13 years using X-ray observations made with the RXTE-PCA, RXTE-ASM, Swift-XRT, XMM-Newton and Chandra observatories. These, along with the earlier known mid-eclipse times have been used to accurately determine the timescale for a change in the orbital period of 4U 1822–37. We have derived an orbital period $P_{\text{orb}} = 0.23210887(15)$ d, which is changing at the rate $\dot{P}_{\text{orb}} = 1.3(3) \times 10^{-10}$ d d$^{-1}$ (at $T_0 = \text{MJD} 45614$). The timescale for a change in the orbital period is $P_{\text{orb}}/\dot{P}_{\text{orb}}$ of $4.9(1.1) \times 10^6$ yr. We also report the detection of $0.59290132(11)$ s (at $T_0 = \text{MJD} 51975$) X-ray pulsations from the source with a long term average $\dot{P}_{\text{spin}}$ of $-2.481(4) \times 10^{-12}$ s s$^{-1}$, i.e., a spin-up time scale ($P_{\text{spin}}/\dot{P}_{\text{spin}}$) of 7578(13) yr. In view of these results, we have discussed various mechanisms that could be responsible for the orbital evolution in this system. Assuming the extreme case of conservative mass transfer, we have found that the measured $\dot{P}_{\text{orb}}$ requires a large mass transfer rate of $(4.2 - 5.2) \times 10^{-8}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ which together with the spin up rate implies a magnetic field strength in the range of $(1 - 3) \times 10^8$ G. Using the long term RXTE-ASM light curve, we have found that the X-ray intensity of the source has decreased over the last 13 years by $\sim 40\%$ and there are long term fluctuations at time scales of about a year. In addition to the long term intensity variation, we have also observed significant variation in the intensity during the eclipse. Variation was also seen in the pulse profile, which could be due to changes in the accretion geometry. We briefly discuss the implications of these results on our understanding of the properties of the neutron star and the accretion geometry in this source.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Low mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) are stellar systems that consist of a compact object, such as a neutron star or a black hole, accreting matter from a companion star by Roche lobe overflow. Mass transfer in these systems can be conservative or non-conservative. In most systems, the mass of the binary system and the total angular momentum remains constant, which is the case of conservative mass transfer. However, in some systems, a significant mass loss may occur and in such systems the mass transfer is called non-conservative. Mass loss from the binary system may occur through various processes such as, irradiative evaporation of the secondary star, jet emission from the compact star or emission of a wind from the accretion disk (Ruderman et al. 1989). Accretion may also be driven by the loss of orbital angular momentum through gravitational wave radiation, or magnetic braking (Hurley et al. 2002; Rappaport, Verbunt & Joss 1983). The orbital period of X-ray binaries is expected to change due to redistribution of the angular momentum due to interaction
between the components of the binary system. Measurement of the rate of change of the orbital period (i.e., orbital period derivative, $P_{\text{orb}}$) of the binary system is therefore necessary in order to understand evolution of compact binary systems.

In some accretion powered X-ray binaries, repeated measurements of the orbital ephemeris have led to an accurate determination of the orbital period evolution. Pulse arrival time delay is one of the several techniques used to determine the evolution of the binary orbit. Using this technique, the orbital evolution timescales have been accurately determined in several X-ray binary systems (Her X-1; Deeter et al. 1991; Paul et al. 2004; Staubert, Klochikov & Wilms 2009, 4U 1538−52; Baykal et al. 2006; Mukherjee et al. 2006, Cen X-3; Kelley et al. 1983; Paul et al. 2007, LMC X-4: Levine et al. 2000, SMC X-1: Levine et al. 1993, Wodarczak & Nowak 2001). Pulse folding and $\chi^2$ maximization with a varying orbital ephemeris have been successfully applied to LMC X-4 (Naik & Paul 2004) and SAX J1808.4−3658 (Jain et al. 2007) to determine the X-ray pulsations and the mid eclipse times. However, measurement of $P_{\text{orb}}$ for a non-pulsing LMXB requires a stable fiducial point in the X-ray light curve. Such a measurement is difficult because most of the LMXBs do not exhibit sharp orbital features and even the pulsating objects often show variable pulse profiles.

In the absence of pulses from the compact object, eclipsing binary systems provide a good fiducial timing marker for precise determination of the orbital evolution. Parmar et al. (1986) and Wolff et al. (2009) applied the X-ray eclipse timing technique to determine the orbital evolution in the LMXB EXO 0748−676. Eclipse timings were also used to establish orbital evolution timescales in 4U 1822−37 (Hellier et al. 1990; Parmar et al. 2000) and 4U 1700−37 (Rubin et al. 1996).

In the case of non-eclipsing X-ray binaries, such as Cyg X-3, the orbital evolution has been measured using its stable orbital modulation light curve (Singh et al. 2002). Van der Klis et al. (1995) also studied the orbital evolution of the LMXB 4U 1820−303 by analyzing the modulation of the 685 s orbital light curve.

Amongst the above mentioned LMXBs, the orbital separation has been found to be increasing in 4U 1822−37 (Parmar et al. 2000), X2127+119 (Homer & Charles 1998) and SAX J1808.4−3658 (Jain et al. 2007). The observed orbital evolution timescale of $\sim 10^5$ yr in the case of 4U 1822−37 (Heinz & Nowak 2001) and X2127+119 (Homer & Charles 1998) is assumed to be due to short lived mass exchange episodes. An orbital evolution timescale of about $70 \times 10^6$ yr has been determined in the accretion powered millisecond X-ray pulsar SAX J1808.4−3658 (Jain et al. 2007; Burderi et al. 2009; Hartman et al. 2009). The timescale of orbital evolution was proposed to be due to a strong tidal interaction between the components of the binary. A decreasing orbital period has been detected in 4U 1820−303 (van der Klis et al. 1993) and Her X−1 (Deeter et al. 1991; Paul et al. 2004). However, the observed orbital period evolution is faster than that predicted by theoretical models of conservative mass transfer. In the case of EXO 0748−676, Wolff et al. (2009) found four distinct orbital period epochs in the last 20 years and attributed it to be due to magnetic cycling in the companion star.

4U 1822−37 is an LMXB with an orbital period of 5.57 hr (Hellier et al. 1990; Parmar et al. 2000). It is one of the few LMXBs that harbors an accretion powered X-ray pulsar (Jonker & van der Klis 2001). The source is surrounded by an accretion disc corona formed by evaporation of matter from the inner accretion disc by radiation pressure of the neutron star (White & Holt 1982). The light curve exhibits a narrow and a broad dip in the intensity. The narrow dip in the X-ray light curve is attributed to the partial eclipse of the corona by the companion star (White et al. 1981; White & Holt 1982; Mason & Cordova 1982; Hellier & Mason 1989. Hellier et al. 1992), whereas the broad dip is interpreted as resulting from occultation of the corona by a bulge on the outer edge of the accretion disc (White & Holt 1982; Hellier & Mason 1989). Being an eclipsing system, the orbital inclination is also known with a small uncertainty (Heinz & Nowak 2001; Jonker et al. 2003). An accurate ephemeris of 4U 1822−37 is also known from the eclipse timing (Parmar et al. 2000) and the size of the binary orbit is known from the pulse timing (Jonker & van der Klis 2001). It is thus an ideal system for determination of the masses of the stellar components. From the spectroscopic measurements of the binary system and by assuming a mass of $1.4 M_{\odot}$ for the neutron star, Cowley et al. (2003) calculated the mass of the companion. A good estimate of the mass of the neutron star is also known from the K-correction of the radial velocity curves (Munoz−Dariz et al. 2005).

We report here on a detailed timing analysis of the eclipsing X-ray binary pulsar, 4U 1822−37. We have determined 16 new mid-eclipse time measurements of the source with data obtained from instruments onboard RXTE, Swift, XMM-Newton and Chandra observatories. We have also measured the spin period from several newer RXTE observations. Combining these new results with previously published measurements, we have derived new updated estimates of the orbital and spin parameters. Using these measurements, we have also determined the accretion luminosity and the magnetic field strength of the pulsar, which is crucial for this system. Even though the binary parameters have been reliably measured in the past, only rough estimates of the source intrinsic luminosity and the neutron star’s magnetic field have been made by various authors, with inconsistent results. Assuming a distance of 2 kpc, Mason & Cordova (1982) had estimated an isotropic luminosity of $\sim 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$. From the broad band spectrum analysis, Parmar et al. (2000) measured the 1−10 keV X-ray flux to be $5 \times 10^{-10}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This implies an X-ray luminosity of $5.6 \times 10^{32} (d/1kpc)^2$ ergs s$^{-1}$. Assuming a magnetic field strength in the range $(1−5) \times 10^{12}$ G, Jonker & van der Klis (2001) derived an intrinsic luminosity of $(2−4) \times 10^{37}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ from the spin-up measurements.

### 2 OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

In this work, we have analyzed observations made with the Proportional Counter Array (PCA) and the All Sky Monitor (ASM) on board the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE); the X-ray Telescope (XRT) on board Swift; the European Photon Imaging Cameras (EPIC) - MOS instruments on board XMM-Newton; and the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) on board the Chandra observatory. A log of the X-ray observations used for the present work is presented in Table 1.
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Table 1. Log of observations analyzed in the present work

| Instrument     | Time range (MJD) | Observation IDs | Exposure (ks) |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| RXTE-ASM       | 50088 - 54756    | 5096            |
| RXTE-PCA       | 51018            | 30060-02-02-00  | 18            |
|                | 51975            | 50048-01-01-05  | 8             |
|                | 52094            | 50048-01-03-01  | 6             |
|                | 52095            | 50048-01-03-02  | 8             |
|                | 52432            | 70037-01-01-00  | 26            |
|                | 52489            | 70037-01-03-00  | 21            |
|                | 52489            | 70037-01-03-01  | 7             |
|                | 52491            | 70037-01-04-00  | 18            |
|                | 52503            | 70037-01-06-02  | 4             |
|                | 52503            | 70037-01-06-04  | 12            |
|                | 52519            | 70037-01-07-00  | 24            |
|                | 52882            | 70037-01-11-00  | 11            |
|                | 52883            | 70037-01-11-01  | 13            |
| Chandra-ACIS   | 51779 - 51780    | 671             | 40            |
| XMM-Newton-MOS | 51975 - 51976    | 01112301010     | 54            |
| Swift-XRT      | 54317 - 54318    | 00036691003      | 17            |

Figure 1. 1.5–12 keV RXTE-ASM light curve of 4U 1822-37 and Crab, binned with 50 d. An overall decrease in the intensity is clearly seen in 4U 1822-37, whereas the count rate is almost constant in case of Crab (Count rate for Crab has been scaled-down by a factor of 100).

4U 1822-37 was monitored regularly by the RXTE-ASM (Levine et al. 1996), which comprises three wide-field scanning shadow cameras (SSCs) which are mounted on a rotating boom. The SSC’s are rotated in a sequence of “dwell’s” with an exposure typically of 90 s, so that most of the sky can be covered in one day. The dwell data are also averaged for each day to yield a daily-average. The data used in the present analysis, covered the time between MJD 50088 to MJD 54756. The 1.5–12 keV long term ASM light curve was corrected for the earth motion using the tool earth2sun of the HEAsoft analysis package, ftools was corrected for the earth motion using the tool barycen of the HEAsoft analysis package. Light curves were extracted from a circular region with a radius of 5″. Background events were obtained from an annular region with an inner (outer) radius of 15″ (30″). The background subtracted light curve was barycenter corrected using the SAS tool barycen, using the JPL-DE405 ephemerides.

4U 1822-37 was observed with Chandra-ACIS (Weiskopf et al. 2000) on August 23, 2000 for an exposure time covering 2 full binary periods (Cottam et al. 2001). We used the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO) software (ver. 4.0; CalDB ver.3.4.2) and standard Chandra analysis threads to reduce the data. No background flares were found, so all data were used for further analysis. For the present work, we used the energy range 0.2–15 keV. The X-ray events were extracted from a circular region of radius 10″ centered on the position of the target in the EPIC-MOS image. The background X-ray events were extracted from a source-free circular region, with a radius of 20″. The background subtracted light curve was barycenter corrected using the SAS tool barycen, using the JPL-DE405 ephemerides.

We have also analyzed the data taken with RXTE-PCA, consists of five xenon/methane proportional counter units (PCUs) and is sensitive in the energy range of 2–60 keV with an effective area of 1300–6500 cm², depending on the number of operating PCUs (Jahoda et al. 1996). Data for the eclipse timing analysis were chosen such that it covered the entire eclipse phase. The data were taken from the Standard-1 mode of PCA and the background count rate estimated using the runpcabackest tool was subtracted from the light curves. The photon arrival times of the background subtracted light curve were then corrected for the solar system barycenter using the ftool fxbary. To search for the pulse sations, we have used the Event mode data with a time resolution of 16 μs.

4U 1822–37 was observed with XMM-Newton (Jansen et al. 2001) for 54 ks on March 07, 2001 (obs ID - 0111230101). XMM-Newton carries three X-ray mirrors and three focal plane instruments, a European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) - pn, MOS1 and MOS2, each with a field of view of about 30 ′× 30 ′. All the cameras (Struder et al. 2001; Turner et al. 2001) were operated in full frame mode with medium filter. The observation details are summarized in Table 1. The EPIC observation data files were processed using the XMM - Science Analysis System (SAS version 8.0.0). For the present analysis, we have used data taken with the EPIC-MOS2 in the energy range 0.2–15 keV. The X-ray events were extracted from a circular region of radius 10″ centered on the position of the target in the EPIC-MOS image. The background X-ray events were extracted from a source-free circular region, with a radius of 20″. The background subtracted light curve was barycenter corrected using the SAS tool barycen, using the JPL-DE405 ephemerides.
is a major concern while measuring flux/spectra, especially when the source is bright. But there will be no effect on the determination of the mid-eclipse time. Pile-up can make the eclipse shallower but cannot change the mid-eclipse time.

We have also analyzed data from the Swift observatory (Gehrels et al. 2004). The scientific payload consists of a wide field instrument, the gamma ray Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Barthelmy et al. 2005) and two co-aligned narrow field instruments: the X-ray Telescope (XRT, Burrows et al. 2005) operating in the 0.2-10 keV energy band and the Ultraviolet/Optical Telescope (UVOT, Roming et al. 2005). For the present work, the XRT data was processed with XRTDAS software data pipeline package (XRT-PIPELINE v.0.12.0). Calibrated and cleaned level 2 files were produced with the xrtpipeline task. We have used an energy range of 0.2–10 keV and all data were extracted in the Window Timing mode, with a total exposure time of 17.3 ks. X-ray events from within a rectangular region of width 6 and height 40 pixels were extracted for timing analysis. Background data was extracted from a neighbouring source free region of similar dimensions. We have applied earth2sun correction to the background subtracted light curve which was produced with a timing resolution of 1 s.

2.1 Eclipse-timing analysis

The light curves obtained from Chandra, XMM-Newton, Swift and RXTE-PCA observations were folded with the known orbital period of 5.5706 hr (Parmar et al. 2000). But since the RXTE-ASM dataset represents an average value over years of data, we folded the RXTE-ASM light curves with the best measurement of the orbital period derived from the actual RXTE-ASM data. We obtained an orbital period of 20054.27 s and 20054.24 s from the RXTE-ASM data spanning MJD 50088-52432 and MJD 52432-54756, respectively. The RXTE-ASM light curve was therefore, folded in two segments (MJD 50088–52432 and MJD 52432–54756), while a single folded profile was obtained from each of the other observations.

The folded light curves of the data obtained from RXTE-ASM, Chandra, XMM-Newton and Swift missions are shown in Figure 2. The RXTE-PCA light curves are shown in Figure 3. It should be noticed that the eclipse morphology is changing. The eclipses seem to vary in depth and shape. The variable eclipse depth shows that the projected geometry of the accretion disk and corona is changing. It is also possible that a part of the change in the eclipse morphology in Figure 2, is due to the slightly different energy bands used and the differences in instrument efficiency over the energy bands.

The light curves show clear signs of orbital modulation (i.e. partial eclipse and a sinusoidal modulation) with an orbital period of 5.57 hr. A model consisting of a Gaussian and a constant was fit to the eclipse interval (0.45–0.55 orbital phase) in each folded light curve (as in Parmar et al. 2000). The reduced χ² of the fits were in the range 0.5–4 for 13 d.o.f. Figure 4 shows the eclipse interval (0.45–0.55 orbital phase) for one of the folded RXTE-PCA light curves (ObsId 70037-01-03-00). Gaussian and a constant model was fit to this interval. Solid line in the top panel of Figure 4 shows the best fit model and the bottom panel shows the residuals of the fit.

![Figure 2. Folded light curves of 4U 1822-37 from the data obtained from RXTE-ASM, Chandra, XMM-Newton and Swift-XRT. The Chandra, XMM-Newton and Swift-XRT light curves were folded with a period of 20054.3 s, while the RXTE-ASM light curves were folded with 20054.27 s (MJD 50088-52432) and 20054.24 s (MJD 52432-54756). The epoch of the mid eclipse time for each observation is given in each panel (in MJD). The Y-axis shows the intensity normalized by the average intensity and on the X-axis, two orbital cycles are shown for clarity.](image-url)
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Figure 3. 2–60 keV RXTE-PCA light curves of 4U 1822-37, folded with a period of 20054.3 s. The epoch (in MJD) of each observation is given in the corresponding panel. The Y-axis shows the intensity normalized by the average intensity and on the X-axis, two orbital cycles are shown for clarity.
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Table 2. New X-ray mid-eclipse times of 4U 1822-37.

| Cycle | MJD       | Uncertainty (d) | Satellite     |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|
| 23281 | 51018.54400 | 0.00006         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 24200 | 51255.0638  | 0.0018          | RXTE-ASM†    |
| 26562 | 51780.0954  | 0.0004          | Chandra      |
| 27406 | 51975.9968  | 0.0001          | RXTE-PCA     |
| 27470 | 51976.22941 | 0.00004         | XMM-Newton   |
| 27918 | 52094.83802 | 0.00007         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 27922 | 52095.76593 | 0.00006         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 29374 | 52432.78961 | 0.00005         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 29618 | 52489.42192 | 0.00005         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 29628 | 52491.74614 | 0.00007         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 29678 | 52503.34773 | 0.00005         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 29747 | 52519.36724 | 0.00006         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 31311 | 52882.38623 | 0.00006         | RXTE-PCA     |
| 31315 | 52883.3151  | 0.0001          | RXTE-PCA     |
| 34378 | 53594.2680  | 0.0020          | RXTE-PCA     |
| 37498 | 54318.4506  | 0.0004          | Swift-XRT    |

†The mid eclipse times represent the time span MJD 50088-52432 and 52432-54756.
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Figure 4. The expanded view of the eclipse interval (0.45–0.55 orbital phase) of one of the folded RXTE-PCA light curve of 4U 1822-37 (ObsId 70037-01-03-00; Figure 3(f)). A model consisting of a Gaussian plus a constant was fit to this interval. The solid line in the top panel shows the best fit model (with a reduced χ² of 1.2 for 13 d.o.f.) and the bottom panel shows the residuals of the fit.

2.2 Pulse-timing analysis

We have performed a pulsation analysis to determine the spin period of the neutron star and the pulse period evolution. The light curves were corrected for the orbital mo-


Table 3. Orbital parameters of 4U 1822-37. The numbers in the bracket indicate the 1σ uncertainty.

| Parameter         | Hellier & Smale (1994) | Parmar et al. (2000) | Best fit value from the present analysis |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|
| \( T_0 \) (MJD)   | 45614.80962(15)         | 45614.80964(15)      | 45614.80951(12)                          |
| \( P_{\text{orb}} \) (d) | 0.232108788(64)        | 0.232108755(50)      | 0.23210887(15)                           |
| \( c \) (10^{-11} \text{days})† | 2.37 ± 0.56           | 2.06(23)             | 1.55(40)                                 |
| \( P_{\text{orb}}/\dot{P}_{\text{orb}} \) (10^{6} \text{yr}) | 3.1 ± 0.7             | 3.6                  | 4.9(1.1)                                 |

† Quadratic Ephemeris: \( \text{JD} = T_0 + N \times P_{\text{orb}} + N^2 \times c \)

Table 4. Mid-eclipse times and the corresponding pulse period.

| Mid-eclipse times (MJD) | Spin period (s) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 51975.9968(1)           | 0.59260132(11)  |
| 52094.83802(7)          | 0.59286109(8)   |
| 52095.76593(6)          | 0.59286421(12)  |
| 52432.78961(5)          | 0.5927922(13)   |
| 52489.42192(5)          | 0.5927790(06)   |
| 52491.74614(7)          | 0.5927795(11)   |
| 52503.34773(5)          | 0.5927737(10)   |
| 52519.36724(6)          | 0.5927721(08)   |
| 52882.38623(6)          | 0.5926793(15)   |
| 52883.3151(1)           | 0.5926852(21)   |

Figure 5. The mid-eclipse time residuals of 4U 1822-37 have been plotted as a function of the orbital cycle, relative to the best fit linear ephemeris (orbital period of 0.233210854 d). The solid curve is the best fit of a quadratic function having a \( \chi^2 \) of 36.2 for 35 d.o.f. The eclipse times are tabulated in Table 2. The curvature of the locus of the residuals is a measure of the orbital period derivative of the binary system. The square boxes are the values known from previous measurements. The newly determined mid eclipse times are shown with “◦”. The two horizontal bars indicate the time span of the RXTE-ASM data.

3 DISCUSSIONS

We have performed a detailed timing analysis of the low mass X-ray binary pulsar 4U 1822−37 using the archival X-ray data from several X-ray observatories. Observations used in the present work covered a time span of 13 years and more than 14,000 binary orbits. Using the 16 new accurately measured mid-eclipse times, we have obtained an orbital period of 0.23210887(15) d with a significant orbital period derivative of 1.3(3) \times 10^{-10} \text{d}^{-1} (at \( T_0 = \text{MJD} 45614 \)). It indicates an orbital evolution timescale \( P_{\text{orb}}/\dot{P}_{\text{orb}} = 4.9(1.1) \text{Myr} \). The orbital and spin parameters were also measured by correcting the light curves for the binary motion using the long term orbital solution obtained from the eclipse timing technique described above. Figure 6 shows the spin period history of the neutron star. The pulse period was found to be continuously decreasing with time at an average rate \( (\dot{P}_{\text{spin}}) \) of \(-2.481(4) \times 10^{-12} \text{s}^{-1}\), indicating a spin-up timescale of 7578(13) yr. From the observations made in 1996 and 1998, Jonker & van der Klis (2001) had calculated an average spin-up \( (\dot{P}_{\text{spin}}/P_{\text{spin}}) \) of \(-1.52 \times 10^{-4} \text{yr}^{-1}\). The pulse profiles obtained after correcting for the orbital motion, were normalized by the average intensity and are shown in Figure 7. The value of the spin period, determined by Jonker & van der Klis (2001) are also marked for completeness. A considerable variation was seen in the pulse profile. The pulse profile exhibited a non-sinusoidal profile at \( T_0 = \text{MJD} 51975 \); a relatively broad maximum at \( T_0 = \text{MJD} 52432 \); a sharper profile at around \( T_0 = \text{MJD} 52491 \) and a sinusoidal variation around \( T_0 = \text{MJD} 52519 \). However, it is difficult to quantify the observed variation in the pulse shape at this point.

We also tried to obtain an independent measurement of orbital evolution using the technique of pulse folding and \( \chi^2 \) maximization (Naik & Paul 2004; Jain et al. 2007). But it should be remarked that in this source, the light travel time across the orbit \((a \sin i)\) is only a factor of two larger than the spin period. Moreover, in case of pulse timing technique, the pulse profile is assumed to be invariant. But even a small orbital phase dependence of the pulse shape, for example caused by varying absorption can lead to systematic errors in measurement of the orbital parameters. Therefore, though this analysis has proved to be successful for other LMXBs, we could not find a very accurate measurement of the orbital parameters by this technique.
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3.1 Orbital Evolution

X-ray binaries can evolve by various mechanisms such as mass transfer within the system due to Roche lobe overflow, tidal interaction between the components of the binary system, gravitational wave radiation, magnetic braking, and X-ray irradiated wind outflow (As mentioned before in Section 1). Orbital evolution has been measured in some other low magnetic field LMXBs, such as 4U 1820−30 (van der Klis et al. 1993), EXO 0748−676 (Wolff et al. 2008) and SAX J1808.4−3658 (Jain et al. 2007), and several models have been proposed to explain the orbital period evolution in them. In the case of 4U 1822−37, the reason behind a high rate of orbital evolution is not known. The measured rate of change of orbital period in 4U 1822−37 is much greater than that expected due to gravitational wave radiation ( Verbunt 1993). We also note that the timescales of orbital evolution due to tidal interaction between the components of the binary system ranges from a few Myr in HMXBs to about 10^{10} years in LMXBs (Applegate & Shaham 1994). Conservative mass transfer, mass loss from the binary system due to an X-ray irradiated wind outflow and magnetic cycling in one of the binary components are the other possibilities. We first examine the possibility and consequences of the case of a conservative mass transfer in this system, followed by the case of an X-ray irradiated wind outflow and magnetic cycling in the companion star.

Figure 6. The variation of spin period (P_{spin}) of the neutron star with time. The first two points indicate the spin period determined by Jonker & van der Klis (2001). The straight line represents the best fitted linear curve, with a \chi^2 of 13.7 for 12 d.o.f.

3.1.1 Conservative mass transfer

In the case of conservative mass transfer, the mass transfer rate from the companion star equals the accretion rate onto the neutron star. We have estimated the mass accretion rate using the best known estimate of the mass of the neutron star (M_{ns}) and the companion star (M_c) (Muñoz-Darias et al. 2005) in this system:

1.61M⊙ ≤ M_{ns} ≤ 2.32M⊙

0.44M⊙ ≤ M_c ≤ 0.56M⊙

and a mass ratio q = \frac{M_c}{M_{ns}} in the range:

0.24 ≤ q ≤ 0.27

In the case of compact binaries, the orbital angular momentum (J) is given by (King 1988):

J = M_cM_{ns} \left( \frac{G a}{M} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}

where,

M = Total mass of the binary system = M_c + M_{ns}

G = Gravitational constant

a = Binary orbital separation.

Figure 7. Normalized X-ray pulse profiles of 4U 1822−37, obtained from observations with RXTE-PCA. We have divided the pulse period into 32 bins. The epoch (in MJD) of each observation is mentioned in the corresponding panel.
For conservative mass transfer, all the mass lost from the companion is accreted by the compact object \((M_{\text{ns}} + \dot{M}_e = 0)\) and the orbital angular momentum is conserved \((J = 0)\). In such a case, the mass accretion rate \((\dot{M}_{\text{ns}})\) is related to the orbital period \((P_{\text{orb}})\) as:

\[
\dot{M}_{\text{ns}} = \frac{1}{3} M_c \frac{\dot{P}_{\text{orb}}}{P_{\text{orb}}} \left(1 - \frac{M_e}{M_{\text{ns}}}\right)^{-1}
\]  

(5)

Since the observed \(\frac{\dot{P}_{\text{orb}}}{P_{\text{orb}}}\) is \(2.10 \times 10^{-7} \text{ yr}^{-1}\), therefore, we get a mass accretion rate of \((4.2 \pm 5.2) \times 10^{-8} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}\) and a mass transfer timescale of \(\sim 10^7 \text{ yr}\). Assuming an accretion efficiency \((\eta)\) of 10\%, this implies an accretion luminosity \(L_{\text{acc}}\) in the range \((1.19 - 1.48) \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\).

For a neutron star with a magnetic moment \(\mu\) \((\sim 10^{-3})\), the spin frequency derivative \(\dot{\nu}\) is related with the mass accretion rate as \((\text{Frank et al. 2002})\):

\[
\dot{\nu} \simeq 0.47 \times 10^{-12} m_1 \dot{m}_{16}^{6/7} m_{16}^{6/7} \mu_{30}^{1/7} I_{45}^{-1} \text{ Hz s}^{-1}
\]  

(6)

where,

\(m_1 = \text{mass (m) of the neutron star in units of } 1 M_\odot\)
\(m_{16} = \text{accretion rate in units of } 10^{16} \text{ g s}^{-1}\)
\(\mu_{30} = \text{magnetic moment in units of } 10^{30} \text{ G cm}^3\)
\(I_{45} = \text{the moment of inertia of the accreting star in units of } 10^{45} \text{ g cm}^2. I_{45} \sim 1 \text{ for a neutron star.}\)

Using data obtained from RXTE-PCA, we have detected \(0.59290132(11) \text{ s (at } T_0 = \text{MJD 51975)}\) X-ray pulsations with an average spin-up rate of \(-2.481 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s s}^{-1}\). These values imply a magnetic field strength of \((1 - 3) \times 10^8 \text{ G}\).

The following caveats apply to the case of conservative mass transfer:

- It requires a large mass transfer which corresponds to luminosity near the Eddington rate. Considering the uncertainties in some of the parameters used above, a near-Eddington accretion luminosity is not inconceivable, especially because this source has a corona surrounding it \((\text{White \\& Holt 1982; Heinz \\& Nowak 2001; Bayless et al. 2010). A comparison of the } L_x/L_{\text{opt}} \text{ ratio of this system with other LMXBs also suggest that the true X-ray luminosity of the central X-ray source is probably significantly higher. See Bayless et al. (2010) for more discussion on this. For example, in SS433 the mass transfer rate is believed to be much higher than the Eddington rate, that results in accumulation of material around the compact object which blocks its X-ray emission (Begelman, King, \\& Pringle 2006; Clark, Barnes, \\& Charles, 2007).}

- It requires the neutron star to have a low magnetic field strength of \((1 - 3) \times 10^8 \text{ G}\) while from the high energy cut-off in the X-ray spectrum \(\text{Parmar et al. 2000, Jonker \\& van der Klis (2001)}\) determined a magnetic field strength of \((1 - 5) \times 10^{12} \text{G}. It should be noted that the coronal X-rays dominate the X-ray spectrum of this source and the pulsed X-rays contribute to only a few percent of the total X-ray emission. Thus the X-ray spectral shape is unlikely to be a reliable indicator of the magnetic field strength of the compact star.

- In accreting neutron stars, we expect pulslations only if the compact object possesses a magnetic field strong enough to disrupt the inner regions of the accretion disc and channel the accretion flow onto the polar caps. In other words, accretion onto the neutron star is controlled by the magnetic field if the magnetospheric radius is larger than the stellar radius. In case of 4U 1822-37, the observed values of the mass transfer rate and the magnetic moment, indicate a magnetospheric radius of \(2 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}\), which is of the same order as the neutron star radius. Therefore, it is not certain whether the conservative mass transfer is indeed responsible for the observed changes in the orbital period. However, several low mass X-ray binaries, such as SAX J1808.4-3658, do show pulsations at similar luminosity level even though they have a low surface magnetic field of \((1 - 5) \times 10^8 \text{ G}\) \((\text{Di Salvo \\& Burderi 2003).}

- For the accretion luminosity, spin period and magnetic field strength mentioned above for a conservative mass transfer case, the neutron star is far from spin equilibrium. Detection of such a system is a novelty and unlikely, unless the current X-ray state is a long lived transient phase. Here one may note that in the recent years, many LMXBs have been found which spend a small fraction of the time in transient high states, for example, the millisecond accreting pulsars.

3.1.2 X-ray irradiated wind outflow

Mass loss from the binary system can occur in the form of X-ray irradiated wind outflow. In case of LMXB 4U 1822-37, there is no signature of wind outflow in the form of absorption lines in high resolution X-ray spectrum \((\text{Cottam et al. 2001). Recently, Bayless et al. (2010) reported a broad C IV emission line in the UV spectrum of 4U 1822-37, indicating a strong disk wind outflow. They have derived a wind outflow velocity of 4000 km s\(^{-1}\), based on a measured width of 45 A\(^\circ\) of the C IV emission line. However, these observations are insufficient to estimate the total mass outflow rate.}

3.1.3 Magnetic cycling in the Companion star

Secular changes such as magnetic cycles in the secondary star \((\text{Hellier et al. 1990})\) is also a possible mechanism responsible for the observed orbital evolution in 4U 1822-37. However, the spectral type and the evolutionary history of the companion star is unknown \((\text{Muñoz-Darias et al. 2005).}

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented new and more accurate measurement of orbital evolution of the LMXB 4U 1822-37 and a longer time base for measurement of its spin evolution. Considering the possibility of a large intrinsic \(L_x\) and some evidence of mass outflow, we conclude that the orbital evolution in this system is complex including the effects of a large mass transfer rate and X-ray irradiated wind outflow. In this scenario, the magnetic field strength of the neutron star is probably in between the same in typical low mass X-ray binaries and high mass X-ray binaries.
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