Neurosensory dysfunction: A diagnostic marker of early COVID-19
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

\textbf{Objective:} To describe neurosensory dysfunctions, including hyposmia, hypogeusia, and tinnitus, in patients with COVID-19.

\textbf{Methods:} Clinical characteristics and oropharyngeal swabs were obtained from 86 patients with COVID-19 hospitalized in Guangzhou Eighth People’s Hospital. The chronological analysis method was used to detail neurosensory dysfunction. The cycle threshold (Ct) values were used to approximately indicate viral load.

\textbf{Results:} Forty-four (51.2%) patients had neurosensory dysfunction: hyposmia (34; 39.5%), hypogeusia (33; 38.4%), and tinnitus (three; 3.5%). Neurosensory dysfunction was significantly more common in patients under 40 years old (p = 0.001) and women (p = 0.006). Hyposmia and hypogeusia coexisted in 23 (26.7%) patients. The interval between onset of hyposmia and hypogeusia was 0.7 ± 1.46 days. The interval from onset of hyposmia and hypogeusia to typical COVID-19 symptoms was 0.22 ± 4.57 and 0.75 ± 6.77 days; the interval from onset of hyposmia and hypogeusia to admission was 6.06 ± 6.68 and 5.76 ± 7.68 days; and the duration of hyposmia and hypogeusia was 9.09 ± 7.74 and 7.12 ± 4.66 days, respectively. The viral load was high following symptoms onset, peaked within the first week, and gradually declined.

\textbf{Conclusions:} Neurosensory dysfunction tends to occur in the early stage of COVID-19, and it could be used as a marker for the early diagnosis of COVID-19.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

\textbf{Introduction}

A global pandemic named Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection, has been wreaking havoc with much of human civilization’s health. By April 30, 2020, more than three million patients with COVID-19 had been confirmed worldwide, including over 217 thousand deaths (\textit{World Health Organization}, 2020).

Early diagnosis is key to the management of the COVID-19 pandemic. Recently, some researchers have reported that patients with COVID-19 suffer from neurosensory dysfunction, including loss of smell (hyposmia) and taste (hypogeusia), with a prevalence of 5.1%–98% (Lee et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2020; Moein et al., 2020) for hyposmia, and 5.6%–90.3% (Lechen et al., 2020a,b; Lee et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2020) for hypogeusia. However, the exact onset time and the duration of hyposmia and hypogeusia are rarely reported.

Neurosensory dysfunction of patients with COVID-19 might be considered less harmful than typical symptoms (fever, cough, or shortness of breath) (Arons et al., 2020). However, that does not mean they should be neglected. Clarifying the onset time and duration of these symptoms will offer help for early diagnosis and accurate management of COVID-19.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.06.086
1201-9712/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
In this study, we report characteristic neurosensory dysfunctions in 44 of 86 patients with COVID-19. We detailed the exact time of onset and duration of neurosensory dysfunction, using the chronological analysis method. The viral load of oropharyngeal swab tests was analyzed.

Materials and methods

Patients

Eighty-six confirmed cases of COVID-19 (admission date from March 16 to April 12, 2020) at Guangzhou Eighth People’s Hospital in Guangdong, China, which was the designated hospital exclusively for COVID-19 in Guangzhou, were included in this study. The confirmed criteria followed the latest Diagnosis and Treatment Guidelines for COVID-19 issued by the National Health Committee of the People’s Republic of China (National Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China, 2020). This study was performed according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Guangzhou Eighth People’s Hospital. Verbal consent was obtained from patients before enrollment.

Data collection

Demographic information, clinical characteristics (included medical history, comorbidities, signs, and symptoms), and laboratory findings were obtained from the electronic medical record system of Guangzhou Eighth People’s Hospital and analyzed by three independent researchers. Neurosensory symptoms were obtained on the day of discharge using a self-made questionnaire. The onset date was defined as the day when any symptoms were noticed by the patients. A chronological method (a record of the times and the order in which a series of past events took place) was used for analysis.

Oropharyngeal swabs were collected and placed into a sterile tube containing an RNA preservation solution. The swabs were sent for SARS-CoV-2 RNA extraction and detection within one hour by a real-time reverse transcriptional polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) system, following the commercial test kit instructions (Da’an Gene cooperation, Cat DA0930) as previously described (Chen et al., 2020). Briefly, two PCR primer and probe sets targeting ORF1a/b and nCoV-N genes were separately added into the same reaction tube. Positive and negative controls were involved in the detection. Cycle threshold (Ct) values were used to quantify the viral load, with lower values indicating higher viral load. The samples were defined as viral-positive when either or both genes had a Ct value <41.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described as median and range values. The analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism 9 or IBM SPSS Statistics 25. Categorical variables were compared using Fisher’s exact test and continuous variables with the Mann–Whitney U test. Spearman’s correlation test was performed to analyze the relationship between age and viral load and between days after symptom onset and test values. The significance level was set as 0.05.

Results

A total of 86 hospitalized patients (44 male and 42 female) with confirmed COVID-19 were included in this study. The demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age of patients was 25.5 years (range 6–57). 85 patients had mild COVID-19, and one was a severe case. 18 (20.9%) patients had at least one comorbidity: chronic liver diseases (eight, 9.3%), hyperlipidemia (three, 3.5%), cardio-cerebrovascular disease (three, 3.5%), followed by hypertension, anemia, and hyperthyroidism (two, 2.3%). The most common typical symptom was cough (41, 47.7%), followed by fever (26, 30.2%), fatigue and pharyngalgia (16, 18.6%), anorexia (15, 17.4%), headache (12, 14.0%), myalgia (eight, 9.3%), diarrhea (six, 7.0%), and vomiting (four, 4.7%); and eleven (12.8%) patients showed no typical symptoms.

Forty-four (51.2%) patients had neurosensory dysfunction: hyposmia (34, 39.5%), hypogeusia (33, 38.4%), and tinnitus (three, 3.5%). Table 2 shows the demographic characteristics and laboratory findings of 44 cases with neurosensory dysfunction. Patients with neurosensory dysfunction were noticed to be of a younger age (median 23.5 years vs. 31.5 years, p = 0.024). Of the 44 patients, 42 (95.5%) were under 40 years old (6–39 years old). Neurosensory dysfunction was significantly more common in patients under 40 years old (p = 0.001). Women develop neurosensory dysfunction more commonly than men (p = 0.006). There was no significant correlation between comorbidity and neurosensory dysfunction. No obvious changes in laboratory tests were noticed between patients with and without neurosensory dysfunction.

We followed the developmental pattern of neurosensory dysfunction in these 44 patients by conducting a chronological analysis (Figure 1). Hyposmia and hypogeusia coexisted in 23 (26.7%) patients, and the interval between onset of hyposmia and hypogeusia was 0.7 ± 1.46 days. Tinnitus existed in Cases 23, 26, and 28. Cases 2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 43, and 44 did not have typical COVID-19 symptoms. The average interval from onset of hyposmia, hypogeusia, and tinnitus to typical symptoms was 0.22 ± 4.57, 0.75 ± 6.77 and 1 ± 1 days, respectively; while the average interval from onset of hyposmia, hypogeusia, and tinnitus to admission was 6.06 ± 6.68, 5.76 ± 7.68 and 6 ± 5.29 days, respectively; the average duration of hyposmia, hypogeusia and tinnitus was 9.09 ± 5.74, 7.12 ± 4.66 and 5 ± 0 days, respectively.

A total of 407 oropharyngeal swabs were obtained from 86 hospitalized patients (mean 4.7 specimens per patient). SARS-CoV-2 RNA was undetectable in oropharyngeal swabs from 24 patients after admission. The results showed that the viral load peaked within the first week after symptoms onset and then gradually declined; a significant negative correlation was noticed between viral load and days after symptom onset (r² = 0.1250, p < 0.001; Figure 2A). The first positive results (Ct value <41) of oropharyngeal swabs after admission were used to evaluate the initial viral load. There was no significant difference in initial Ct values between patients with and without neurosensory dysfunction (Figure 2B). Age group (Figure 2C) and gender (Figure 2D) had no significant effect on initial Ct values.

Discussion

In this study, we detailed the exact time of onset and duration of neurosensory dysfunction, including hyposmia, hypogeusia, and tinnitus, of patients with COVID-19. Patients under 40 years old and women seem to be more susceptible to neurosensory dysfunction. Hyposmia tends to cooccur with hypogeusia in the early stage of COVID-19, even before the onset of typical symptoms.

Most of the reports about loss of smell and taste appear in countries outside East Asia, with the incidence rate of 54.2%–90.3% (Moein et al., 2020; Arons et al., 2020; Beltran-Corbellini et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2020; Giacomelli et al., 2020). There are only two reports on neurosensory dysfunction of patients with COVID-19 in China and Korea. Specifically, Mao et al. (2020) reported that hyposmia and hypogeusia accounted for 5.1% and 5.8% of
hospitalized patients in Wuhan, China. In the study via telephone interview by Lee et al. (2020), anosmia or ageusia was observed in 15.3% of patients in the early stage of COVID-19. In our cohort, 44 (51.2%) showed neurosensory dysfunction, a percentage much higher than that in these two studies. The reason for this inconsistency may be that most of the patients in our cohort were imported cases who were infected with the coronavirus abroad; as Forster et al. (2020) reported, the genotyping of the coronavirus may be different (potential mutations).

The present study is the first to use a chronological analysis method to clarify the neurosensory dysfunction of patients with COVID-19. Neurosensory dysfunction tends to occur in the early stage of COVID-19, even before the onset of typical symptoms. The first evidence was, that out of the eleven patients with no typical symptoms, nine reported neurosensory dysfunction. Secondly, the onset time of neurosensory dysfunction is close to or even earlier than that of typical symptoms. Thirdly, the average duration of hyposmia and hypogeusia in this cohort was 9.09 ± 5.74 days and

| Table 1 |
| Clinical characteristics of patients with COVID-19. |
| Age, year–median (range) | Cases (n = 86) |
| Gender, n (%) | 25.5 (6–57) |
| Male | 25.5 (6–57) |
| Female | 25.5 (6–57) |
| Severity, n (%) | 15.3% |
| Mild | 15.3% |
| Severe | 15.3% |
| Comorbidity, n (%) | 15.3% |
| Any | 15.3% |
| Chronic liver disease | 15.3% |
| Hyperlipidemia | 15.3% |
| Cardio cerebrovascular disease | 15.3% |
| Hypertension | 15.3% |
| Anemia | 15.3% |
| Hyperthyroidism | 15.3% |
| Typical symptoms, n (%) | 15.3% |
| Any | 15.3% |
| Cough | 15.3% |
| Fever | 15.3% |
| Fatigue | 15.3% |
| Pharyngalgia | 15.3% |
| Anorexia | 15.3% |
| Headache | 15.3% |
| Myalgia | 15.3% |
| Diarrhea | 15.3% |
| Vomiting | 15.3% |
| Neurosensory dysfunction, n (%) | 15.3% |
| Any | 15.3% |
| Hypogeusia | 15.3% |
| Hypogeusia | 15.3% |
| Tinnitus | 15.3% |

| Table 2 |
| Clinical characteristics and laboratory findings of patients with neurosensory dysfunction. |
| Neurosensory dysfunction | No (n = 42) | Any (n = 44) | p Value |
| Age, year–median (range) | 31.5 (6–57) | 23.5 (14–51) | 0.024 |
| Age group, n | 6–39 | 28 | 42 |
| 40–57 | 14 | 2 |
| Gender, n | 6–39 | 28 | 42 |
| Male | 28 | 16 |
| Female | 14 | 8 |
| Comorbidities, n | Any | 10 | 8 |
| Laboratory findings, median (range) | 0.006 |
| Leukocytes, ×10^9/L | 5.27 (2.57–11.68) | 5.54 (2.87–8.82) | 0.863 |
| Neutrophils, ×10^9/L | 3.29 (1.35–9.07) | 3.38 (0.98–6.45) | 0.59 |
| Lymphocytes, ×10^9/L | 1.47 (0.61–3.51) | 1.54 (0.84–2.67) | 0.739 |
| D-dimer, mg/L | 0.78 (0.1–1.68) | 0.82 (0.23–3.24) | 0.547 |
| Procalcitonin, ng/mL | 0.047 (0.01–0.13) | 0.039 (0.001–0.087) | 0.121 |
| Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L | 171 (112–283) | 168.5 (99–359) | 0.982 |
| Urea, mmol/L | 3.39 (1.97–7.22) | 3.24 (1.83–6.84) | 0.26 |
| Creatinine, μmol/L | 69.7 (41.5–98.5) | 67.0 (35.7–88) | 0.351 |
| Alanine aminotransferase, U/L | 21 (8.3–138.2) | 18.1 (8.6–225.2) | 0.262 |
| Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L | 17.9 (11.5–69.6) | 16.9 (12.5–57) | 0.19 |

Note: Data was presented as median (range) and n (%). P values denote the comparison between patients with and without neurosensory dysfunction. Categorical variables were compared using the Fisher’s exact test and continuous variables with the Mann-Whitney U test.
Figure 1. The chronology of neurosensory dysfunction of 44 patients.
Note: A, days of admission; T, onset of typical symptoms; Red solid circle, onset of hyposmia; Red hollow circle, offset of hyposmia; Blue solid square, onset of hypogeusia; Blue hollow square, offset of hypogeusia; Green solid triangle, onset of tinnitus; Green hollow triangle, offset of tinnitus.

Figure 2. The Cycle threshold values of patients with COVID-19.
(A): Chronological changes in Ct values detected in 407 oropharyngeal swabs obtained from 86 patients after hospital admission. The Ct value is considered to be inversely correlated to viral RNA copy number and a value below 40 means the viral RNA is undetectable.
(B): Comparison of initial viral load (the first positive Ct value after admission) between patients with and without neurosensory dysfunction. ND, neurosensory dysfunction.
(C): Comparison of initial viral load between age groups (6–39 vs. 40–57 years old).
(D): Comparison of initial viral load between male and female patients.
(E): Comparison of initial viral load between patients with and without comorbidity.
Statistical tests: Spearman’s correlation test (A) and Mann-Whitney U test (B–E).
They suggest that it is beneficial to perform diagnostic swabs in the first 12 days of olfactory dysfunction to avoid the risk of a false-negative result. Our data may support these findings, with the fact that the viral load is gradually reduced under treatment after admission. Neither gender (Figure 2D) nor comorbidity (Figure 2E) was significantly associated with significantly affected viral load. These findings are consistent with the report by Huang et al. (2020) and To et al. (2020). To et al. (2020) reported a positive correlation between age and peak viral load. However, in this study, no difference in Ct values was noticed between age groups (Figure 2C). This inconsistency may be because patients in our cohort are much younger (median 25.5 vs. 62 years old), with only one severe COVID–19 case.

This study has both strengths and limitations. Its major strength is using the chronological analysis method to detail the exact time of onset and duration of neurosensory dysfunction. This study proves that neurosensory dysfunction could be used as a biomarker for early diagnosis of COVID–19. There are two limitations. First, only 86 patients were included. It would be better to conduct multicenter research with a larger sample size. Second, for patients’ comfort, we did not use nasopharyngeal swabs, which could have been better for assessing viral load on olfactory mucosa.

In conclusion, the present study detailed the exact time of onset and duration of neurosensory dysfunction and reported the viral load of hospitalized patients with COVID–19. Our findings suggest that neurosensory dysfunction could be used as a diagnostic marker of early COVID–19, and should be added to the routine screening list for COVID–19.
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