Structures of Exocyclic R,R- and S,S-N⁶,N⁶-(2,3-Dihydroxybutan-1,4-diyil)-2′-Deoxyadenosine Adducts Induced by 1,2,3,4-Diepoxybutane

Ewa A. Kowal, ‡ Uthpala Seneviratne, ‡ Susith Wickramaratne, ‡ Kathleen E. Doherty, † Xiangkun Cao, † Natalia Tretyakova, ‡ and Michael P. Stone* ‡

†Department of Chemistry, Center in Molecular Toxicology, and Center for Structural Biology, Stevenson Science Center, Vanderbilt University, 2201 West End Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee 37235, United States
‡Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Masonic Cancer Center and Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: 1,3-Butadiene (BD) is an industrial and environmental chemical present in urban air and cigarette smoke, and is classified as a human carcinogen. It is oxidized by cytochrome P450 to form 1,2,3,4-diepoxybutane (DEB); DEB bis-alkylates the N⁶ position of adenine in DNA. Two enantiomers of bis-N⁶-dA adducts of DEB have been identified: R,R-N⁶,N⁶-(2,3-dihydroxybutan-1,4-diyil)-2′-deoxyadenosine (R,R-DHB-dA), and S,S-N⁶,N⁶-(2,3-dihydroxybutan-1,4-diyil)-2′-deoxyadenosine (S,S-DHB-dA) [Seneviratne, U., Antsyypovich, S., Dorr, D. Q., Dissanayake, T., Kotapati, S., and Tretyakova, N. (2010) Chem. Res. Toxicol. 23, 1556–1567]. Herein, the R,R-DHB-dA and S,S-DHB-dA adducts have been incorporated into the 5′-d(C¹G²T³A⁴C⁵G⁶A⁷G⁸A⁹A¹₀G¹₁)-3′;S′-d(C¹²T¹₃T¹₄C¹₅T¹₆T¹₇G¹₈T¹₉C²₀C²₁G²₂)-3′ duplex [X° = R,R-DHB-dA (R°) or S,S-DHB-dA (S°)]. The structures of the duplexes were determined by molecular dynamics calculations, which were restrained by experimental distances obtained from NMR data. Both the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA adducts are positioned in the major groove of DNA. In both instances, the bulky 3,4-dihydroxypropyrrilidene rings are accommodated by an out-of-plane rotation about the C6-N⁶ bond of the bis-alkylated adenine. In both instances, the directionality of the dihydroxypropyrrilidene ring is evidenced by the pattern of NOEs between the 3,4-dihydroxypropyrrilidene protons and DNA. Also in both instances, the anti conformation of the glycosyl bond is maintained, which combined with the out-of-plane rotation about the C6-N⁶ bond, allows the complementary thymine, T1⁷, to remain stacked within the duplex, and form one hydrogen bond with the modified base, between the imine nitrogen of the modified base and the T1⁷-N3H imino proton. The loss of the second Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding interaction at the lesion sites correlates with the lower thermal stabilities of the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA duplexes, as compared to the corresponding unmodified duplex. The reduced base stacking at the adduct sites may also contribute to the thermal instability.

INTRODUCTION

1,3-Butadiene (BD) is used to manufacture styrene-butadiene rubber (SBR). Several billion pounds of SBR is produced annually in the United States. It is also a combustion product from automobile emissions and cigarette smoke. BD is genotoxic and is carcinogenic in rodents, particularly in mice, and less potent in rats. These species-specific differences in genotoxicity and carcinogenicity have been attributed to differences in various pathways of BD metabolism. In the SBR industry, occupational exposures to BD are associated with increased risk for hematopoietic cancers. Consequently, BD has been classified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as “carcinogenic to humans by inhalation” and has been also characterized as a known human carcinogen by the National Toxicology Program. The International Agency for Cancer Research (IARC) lists BD as “carcinogenic to humans (Group 1).” Accordingly, there has been interest in identifying biomarkers of exposures to BD.

Delineating the molecular basis for BD-induced genotoxicity is complicated by the formation of multiple electrophilic species derived from BD metabolism, their abilities to alkylate multiple sites in DNA, and the stereochemistry of the resulting adducts. BD is oxidized by cytochrome P450s to form 1,2-epoxy-3-butenes (EB). As shown in Scheme 1, EB can either undergo hydrolysis to form 1,2-dihydroxy-3-buten-2-one or further oxidation to 1,2,3,4-diepoxybutane (DEB). Hydrolysis of DEB can form 1,2-dihydroxy-3,4-epoxybutane (EBD). Albertini, Kirman, and co-workers have reviewed BD metabolism and genotoxicity. Although DEB is a minor metabolite of BD, it is 50- to 100-fold more genotoxic and mutagenic in human cells than the monoepoxide metabolites,
EB and EBD. Three stereoisomers of DEB, S,S, R,R, and meso, are generated metabolically. Of these, the S,S isomer is the most cytotoxic and mutagenic. In mice, levels of DEB have been measured at ~250 pmol/g in blood. While the genotoxicity of DEB has been attributed to its ability to cross-link DNA via the N7 position of guanine, it induces a significant number of A to T transversions, suggesting the formation of adenine adducts. A number of these have been identified, including the stereospecific R,R- and S,S-N,N-(2,3-dihydroxybutan-1,4-diy)-2-deoxyadenosine adducts (N,N,N,N-DHB-dA), which arise from bis-alkylation of the exocyclic amino groups of adenosine in DNA by R,R- and S,S-DEB (Chart 1).

Presently, we have used NMR spectroscopy to determine the structures of the R,R- and S,S-N,N-DHB-dA adducts incorporated into the oligodeoxynucleotide duplexes S′-d(C′G′G′A′C′G′A′G′A′G′A′G′A′G′G′G′G′)-3′-S′-d(C12T13T14T15T16T17G18C19T20G21C22)-3′ (R = R,R-DHB-dA) and S′-d(C′G′G′-A′C′G′C′A′G′A′A′G′A′G′G′G′G′G′G′)-3′-S′-d(C12T13T14T15T16T17G18-T19C20C21G22)-3′ (S = S,S-DHB-dA) (Chart 1). The sequence of these duplexes arises from codon 61 and the surrounding sequence of the ras proto-oncogene, and the unmodified duplex has been spectroscopically characterized and examined as to its structure in solution. As compared to the unmodified duplex, both the R,R-DHB-dA and S,S-DHB-dA adducts thermally destabilize the DNA. The structures of the duplexes containing either the R or S adduct shows that, in both instances, the DHB moiety rotates around the C6-N bond and is located in the major groove of DNA. DHB-dA adducts can only form one Watson–Crick hydrogen bond, between N1 of R or S and the T7 N3H imino proton in the complementary strand. These results reveal that the R and S DHB-dA adducts destabilize DNA by disrupting Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding and affecting stacking interactions with neighboring base pairs.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Synthesis of Modified Oligodeoxynucleotides

The 2′-deoxyribonucleoside-3′-phosphoramidites iPr-PAC-dG-CE, PAC-DA-CE, and Ac-dC-CE, dT-CE, the iPr-PAC-dG-CPG ABI columns, and other reagents necessary for automated DNA synthesis were purchased from Glen Research (Sterling, VA). The S′-O-(4,4′-dimethoxytrityl)-3′-O-(2-cyanoethyl)-N,N-diisopropyl phosphoramidite of the 6-chloropurine-2′-deoxyribose was purchased from ChemGenes Co. (Wilmington, MA). Oligodeoxynucleotides were synthesized by solid phase methods using an ABI 394 DNA synthesizer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). All solvents and chemical reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. The modified oligodeoxynucleotides S′-d(CGAGCACGAGAG)-3′ and S′-d(CGAGACCGAGAG)-3′ containing site- and stereospecific N,N,N,N-DHB-dA lesions were synthesized by a postoligonomerization approach, which was originally developed by Harris, and co-workers. Briefly, 11-mer oligodeoxynucleotides containing a site-specific 6-chloropurine at position R or S (100 nmol) were coupled with (R,R)- or (S,S)-pyrrolidine-3,4-diol (20 mg), respectively, in the presence of DIPEA (200 μL) in DMSO (300 μL) for 72 h at 37 °C. The resulting structurally modified oligodeoxynucleotides were purified and desalted by reverse phase HPLC, characterized by capillary HPLC-ESI-MS, and quantified by UV spectrophotometry. Sequence and site-specificity were confirmed by MALDI-MS of partial exonuclease digests.

The unmodified oligodeoxynucleotides S′-d(CGAGACGAGAG)-3′ and S′-d(CGAGACGAGAG)-3′ were synthesized by the Midland Reagent Company (Midland, TX) and purified by anion-exchange HPLC. The oligodeoxynucleotides were purified using a semi-preparative scale reverse-phase HPLC column (YMC, Kyoto, Japan, Phenyl-Hexyl, 5 μm, 250 mm×10.0 mm) equilibrated with 0.1 M ammonium formate (pH 7.0) using an acetonitrile gradient. The oligodeoxynucleotides were desalted by elution from Sephadex G-25, lyophilized, and characterized by MALDI-TOF-MS.

The concentrations of single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotides were determined by UV absorbance at 260 nm using extinction coefficients of 118,300 L M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for strands S′-d(CGAGACGAGAG)-3′, S′-d(CGAGACGAGAG)-3′ and assum-
UV Melting Studies. Absorption vs temperature profiles (UV melts) for each duplex were measured using a Varian Cary 100 Bio spectrophotometer (Varian Associates, Palo Alto, CA). The concentrations of the duplexes were 2.1 \( \mu \)M. Samples were prepared in a buffered solution of 10 mM NaH2PO4 (pH 7.0) and 50 \( \mu \)M Na2EDTA containing either 0.1 M NaCl or 1 M NaCl. The temperature was increased from 5 to 85 \( ^\circ \text{C} \) for each duplex at a rate of 0.50 \( ^\circ \text{C}/\text{min} \). The UV absorbance was monitored at 260 nm.

NMR Spectroscopy. The modified double-stranded duplexes containing the \( R^6 \) or \( S^6 \) adducts were prepared in 10 mM NaH2PO4 (pH 7.0) containing 0.1 M NaCl and 50 mM Na2EDTA at 0.7 mM and 0.4 mM concentrations, respectively. To observe the nonexchangeable protons, the duplexes were exchanged with D2O and dissolved in 9:1 H2O:D2O. 1H NMR spectra were recorded using 800 MHz, 600 MHz, and 500 MHz spectrometers equipped with cryogenic probes (Bruker Biospin Inc., Billerica, MA). Chemical shifts were referenced to the chemical shift of water resonance at the corresponding temperature, with respect to 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid (DSS). Data were processed using the program TOPSPIN (Bruker Biospin Inc., Billerica, MA). The NOESY spectra in D2O were collected at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 \( ^\circ \text{C} \) at 800 MHz for the unmodified duplex, and 600 MHz for the long- and unmodified duplexes. These experiments were performed with a relaxation delay of 2.0 s. The NOESY spectra in 9:1 H2O:D2O were collected at 5 \( ^\circ \text{C} \) at 500 MHz for modified duplexes and 600 MHz for the unmodified duplex, with a 250 ms mixing time. NMR experiments in 9:1 H2O:D2O were collected at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 \( ^\circ \text{C} \) at 800 MHz for the unmodified duplex, and 600 MHz for the long- and unmodified duplexes. These experiments were performed with a relaxation delay of 1.5 s. Water suppression was performed using the WATERGATE pulse sequence.64

NMR Experimental Restraints. The NOESY spectra of the duplexes measured in D2O were processed using the TOPSPIN software and were evaluated using the program SPARKY65 to obtain the cross-peak assignments. The cross-peak intensities were measured by volume integrations. The peak volumes were divided into classes based on the confidence in integrations of the cross-peaks. For well-resolved strong nonoverlapping cross-peaks, a volume integration error of 10% was assigned. For weaker or more overlapping cross-peaks, for which the confidence in volume integration was lower, errors of 20, 30, 40, or 50% were applied. Experimental intensities were combined with intensities calculated from complete relaxation matrix analysis of a starting model to generate a hybrid intensity matrix.66,67 Conversion from peak volumes into distances, including upper and lower bounds, was completed using the program MARDIGRAS68 which refined the hybrid intensity matrix.69 For methyl protons, the JUMP 3D model was employed. Calculations were performed using 150, 200, and 250 ms mixing time data and 2, 3, and 4 ns isotropic correlation times. Evaluations of these results, e.g., for spin diffusion effects, provided distance restraints used for restrained molecular dynamics (MD) calculations. Additional empirical restraints were obtained from canonical values derived from B-DNA.70 Empirical Watson–Crick base pair restraints were employed with the exception of the modified base pairs. Pseudorotation restraints were also used, but with the exceptions of the terminal bases C\textsuperscript{1}, G\textsuperscript{11}, C\textsuperscript{12}, G\textsuperscript{22}, and the modified nucleotides R\textsuperscript{6} or S\textsuperscript{6}.
For unmodified nucleotides, empirical phosphodiester backbone angles were restrained by square well potentials with widths of ±60°. For the modified nucleotides R or S, the widths of the square well potentials were increased to ±120°.

Restained Molecular Dynamics Calculations. A B-type DNA duplex was constructed. The adenine at position A was replaced by either the R or the S adduct using the program INSIGHT II (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA). The program AMBER71 including the parm99 force field,72 was used. Partial charges for the R-R and S-S-DHB-dA adducts were calculated with the B3LYP/6-31G basis set in GAUSSIAN,73 and they were employed in the parameter information provided the parametrization utilized for the R-R and S-S-DHB adducts. The modified duplexes were each subjected to 5,000 steps of potential energy minimization, and used to calculate deviations from the experimentally measured distances. For each modified duplex, eight structures were chosen, based on the lowest deviations from the experimental distance and dihedral restraints. These were subjected to potential energy minimization, and used to obtain average refined structures. Helicoidal analyses were performed using the CURVES+ web server.78

Data Deposition. The structure factors and coordinates were deposited in the Protein Data Bank (www.rcsb.org). The PDB ID code for the duplex containing the R-R-DHB-dA adduct is 2MHX, and for the duplex containing the S,S-DHB-dA adduct the PDB ID code is 2MHZ.

RESULTS

UV Melting Studies. The unfolding of the duplexes was studied by temperature-dependent UV spectroscopy, monitored at 260 nm. The Tm values were determined by taking the first derivatives of the melting curves and shape analyses. For the unmodified duplex, at a concentration of 2.1 μM in 0.1 M NaCl at pH 7, the Tm was 44 °C. At the same concentration and under the same conditions, the Tm for the R-R-duplex was 28 °C and for the S,S-duplex was 27 °C. Thus, both the R-R- and S,S-duplexes resulted in similar thermal destabilization of unmodified nucleotides, empirical phosphodiester backbone angles were restrained by square well potentials with widths of ±60°. For the modified nucleotides R or S, the widths of the square well potentials were increased to ±120°.

Restained Molecular Dynamics Calculations. A B-type DNA duplex was constructed. The adenine at position A was replaced by either the R or the S adduct using the program INSIGHT II (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA). The program AMBER71 including the parm99 force field,72 was used. Partial charges for the R-R and S-S-DHB-dA adducts were calculated with the B3LYP/6-31G basis set in GAUSSIAN,73 and they were employed in the parameter information provided the parametrization utilized for the R-R and S-S-DHB adducts. The modified duplexes were each subjected to 5,000 steps of potential energy minimization, and used to calculate deviations from the experimentally measured distances. For each modified duplex, eight structures were chosen, based on the lowest deviations from the experimental distance and dihedral restraints. These were subjected to potential energy minimization, and used to obtain average refined structures. Helicoidal analyses were performed using the CURVES+ web server.78

Data Deposition. The structure factors and coordinates were deposited in the Protein Data Bank (www.rcsb.org). The PDB ID code for the duplex containing the R-R-DHB-dA adduct is 2MHX, and for the duplex containing the S,S-DHB-dA adduct the PDB ID code is 2MHZ.

RESULTS

UV Melting Studies. The unfolding of the duplexes was studied by temperature-dependent UV spectroscopy, monitored at 260 nm. The Tm values were determined by taking the first derivatives of the melting curves and shape analyses. For the unmodified duplex, at a concentration of 2.1 μM in 0.1 M NaCl at pH 7, the Tm was 44 °C. At the same concentration and under the same conditions, the Tm for the R-R-duplex was 28 °C and for the S,S-duplex was 27 °C. Thus, both the R-R- and S,S-duplexes resulted in similar thermal destabilization of
Figure 3. Expanded plot of the NOESY spectrum of the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA modified-duplexes, showing assignments of the adduct protons and cross-peaks from the adduct protons to neighbor base protons. (A) The R,R-DHB-dA-duplex. The chemical shifts for each proton are A7 H2, 7.53 ppm; R6 H2, 7.27 ppm; C5 H6, 7.14 ppm; C6 H5, 5.10 ppm; R6 H4, 4.31 ppm; and R6 H5, 4.29 ppm; R6 H6 could not be unequivocally assigned. They are observed at 3.73 and 3.64 ppm. T16 CH1, 1.68 ppm. The dashed lines show the NOE connectivity for each proton. For clarity, in the regions with black borders the contours are represented at 8x and 1x the intensity, which is indicated in the corner of each region. (B) The S,S-DHB-dA-duplex. The chemical shifts for each proton are A7 H2, 7.53 ppm; S6 H2, 7.30 ppm; C5 H6, 7.14 ppm; C6 H5, 5.14 ppm; S6 H4, 4.36 ppm; and S6 H5, 4.25 ppm; S6 H6 could not be unequivocally assigned and were observed at 3.62 and 3.70 ppm; T17 CH3, 1.82 ppm; and T16 CH3, 1.69 ppm. The dashed lines show connectivity for each proton. For picture clarity, in the regions the contours are represented at 32x and 4x, and 1x the intensity, which is indicated in the corner of each region. For both samples spectra were obtained at 800 MHz, with a mixing time of 250 ms. The temperature was 15 °C.

the DNA, irrespective of adduct stereochemistry. The Tm experiments were repeated in 1 M NaCl at pH 7. Under these conditions, the Tm of the unmodified duplex was 53 °C, while the melting temperatures of the R,R- and S,S-duplexes were 36 and 35 °C, respectively. Again, both the R,R- and S,S-duplexes thermally destabilized the DNA, to approximately the same extent for both-duplexes, irrespective of adduct stereochemistry.

NMR Spectroscopy of the R,R-DHB-dA Duplex. Base Proton Assignments. Figure 1 (panels A and B) shows the region of the NOESY spectrum including the base aromatic proton resonances and deoxyribose H1′ proton resonances59,80 for the modified strand C1′ → G11 and the complementary strand C12 → G22. For the modified strand, the NOE cross-peaks adjacent to the R,R-DHB-dA adduct, C6 H6 → C6 H1′, C1′ H1′ → R6 H8, R6 H8 → R6 H1′, and R6 H1′ → A7 H8 were of similar intensities as compared to the cross-peaks arising from distal nucleotides. For the complementary strand, among the cross-peaks of bases proximal to the DHB-dA adduct, those arising from T16, T17, and G18 were of similar intensities compared to the remainder of the cross-peaks from nucleotides distal to the adduct. The greatest chemical shift perturbations as compared to the unmodified-duplex (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information) were observed in the modified strand, for C6 H5, which shifted 0.1 ppm upfield, C6 H1′, which shifted 0.3 ppm downfield, R6 H8, which shifted 0.1 ppm upfield, and R6 H2, which shifted 0.1 ppm downfield. Minimal chemical shift perturbations were observed for the complementary strand.

Imino Proton Assignments. The sequential pattern of cross-peaks between imino protons61 was observed for base pairs G2′:C21 → G1′:C20 → A7′:T14 → C6′:G18. The T16 N3H → T17 N3H and T17 N3H → G18 N1H sequential cross-peaks were not observed, indicative of structural changes in the vicinity of the adduct. The sequential walk resumed from G2′:C15 → A7′:T14 → A10′:T13 (Figure 2, panels A–C). The NOEs between the base imino and amino protons and adenine H2 protons showed cross-peaks for all base pairs, with an exception of the terminal base G22 (Figure 2B). The A7 H2 → T16 N3H cross-peak was of lower intensity compared to the other cross-peaks and overlapped with the G11 N1H → C12 N′H1 cross-peak. The R6 H2 → T17 N3H cross-peak (k, Figure 2, panel B) was visible when the contour levels of the spectrum were lowered; its intensity was significantly lower than the remainder of the A:T cross-peaks. The greatest chemical shift perturbation was observed for the T17 N3H proton, which shifted 0.35 ppm upfield as compared to that of the unmodified-duplex (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). The T17 and T16 imino protons resonances (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information) were broader and of lower intensity as compared to that of the unmodified-duplex (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information) at 5 °C, and when the temperature was increased to 20 °C, both resonances disappeared (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information).

R,R-DHB-dA Proton Assignments. The R,R-DHB-dA adduct is characterized by a 2-fold rotation axis about the C6-N6 bond. The pro-R and pro-S diastereotropic hydrogens on the two methylene groups are symmetry related with respect to rotation about this bond, as are the corresponding hydroxyl and hydrogen substituents on the stereoisomeric carbons of the DHB moiety. Accordingly, the two pro-R methylene hydrogens are designated Hα and Hγ, the two methylene pro-S hydrogens are designated Hβ and Hγ′, and the stereoisomeric hydrogens on the hydroxylated carbons are designated Hα and Hγ′ (Chart 1). Six resonances were observed between 3.6 and 4.4 ppm (Figure 3A). This indicated that on the NMR time scale, the DHB moiety did not rapidly rotate about the C6-N6 bond and that the nonsymmetric DNA environment split the three sets of symmetry-related hydrogens into six resonances. This observation was consistent with previous calculations that indicated partial double bond character for the C6-N6 bond.56 These resonances were observed in the same region of the spectrum as the resonances for the 1,4-bis(2′-deoxyadenosin-5′-yl)-2S,3S-butanediol intrastrand DEB-derived cross-link in the same sequence.82 For the two sets of methylene protons, i.e., Hα,β and Hα′,β′ (Chart 1), it was not possible to establish unequivocally which was the resonance arising from the pro-R hydrogen and which was the resonance arising from the pro-S...
hydrogen. However, it was possible to assign the two sets of methylene resonances, based upon NOEs to DNA protons. One set of methylene resonances, assigned as the H_{α,β} hydrogens, was located at 3.88 and 3.95 ppm, and the other set, assigned as the H_{α',β'} hydrogens, was located at 3.64 and 3.73 ppm. The H_{α,β} set of resonances exhibited a strong NOE to C^3 H5 and weak NOEs to C^3 H6 [not observed at the contour level plotted in Figure 3]. This set of methylene protons exhibited weak NOEs to A^1 H2 in the 3′-direction. These methylene protons did not exhibit NOEs to R^6 H2. The H_{α',β'} NOEs to the major groove T^16 CH3 protons, located in the 3′-neighbor A^1:T^16 base pair. The H_{α,β} methylene protons exhibited strong NOEs to C^3 H5 and weaker NOEs to C^3 H6. This set of methylene protons did not exhibit NOEs to R^6 H2. The diastereomeric H_{γ} and H_{γ'} protons were identified at 4.31 and 4.29 ppm. It was possible to make the assignments of the H_{γ} and H_{γ'} based on the NOE intensities to the methylene protons. H_{γ} had stronger cross-peaks to the H_{α,β} and weaker cross-peaks to H_{α',β'}. The opposite results were observed for H_{γ'}, which exhibited stronger cross-peaks to H_{α,β} and weaker to H_{α',β'}. NOEs were observed from both to the neighbor base A^1 H2, C^3 H6, C^3 H5, and T^16 CH3 protons. Weak interstrand NOEs were observed between H_{α,γ}, H_{α',β'} and H_{γ',γ'} of R^6 and the imino proton of G^18 (Figure 2, panel A).

### Structural Refinement of the R,R-DHB-dA Duplex

A total of 204 distance restraints obtained from the analyses of the NOE data of nonexchangeable protons were used for restrained molecular dynamics (rMD) calculations, where 10^6 NOE restraints were used for a total of 204 distance restraints obtained from the analyses of the imino proton of G^18 (Figure 2, panel A).

#### Table 1. NMR Restraints Used for the rMD Structural Refinement of the R,R- and S,S-Duplexes, and the Refinement Statistics

| NMR restraints          | R,R-duplex | S,S-duplex |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| NOE restraints           |            |            |
| internucleotide          | 106        | 103        |
| intranucleotide          | 98         | 96         |
| total                    | 204        | 199        |
| backbone torsion angle restraints | 90 | 90 |
| hydrogen bonding restraints | 42 | 42 |
| deoxyribose pseudorotation restraints | 17 | 17 |
| total number of restraints | 353 | 348 |
| refinement statistics    |            |            |
| number of distance restraint violations >0.025 Å | 8 | 10 |
| number of torsion restraint violations | 8 | 7 |
| total distance penalty/maximum penalty [kcal mol^{-1}] | 0.48/0.11 | 0.82/0.31 |
| total torsion penalty/maximum penalty [kcal mol^{-1}] | 0.59/0.13 | 3.15/1.61 |
| r.m.s. distances (Å)    | 0.012      | 0.012      |
| r.m.s. angles (deg)     | 2.32       | 2.30       |
| distance restraint force constant [kcal mol^{-1} Å^{-2}] | 32 | 32 |
| torsion restraint force constant [kcal mol^{-1} deg^{-2}] | 32 | 32 |
| average well width for distance restraints [Å] | 1.5 | 1.5 |

These eight structures were averaged, and the resulting average structure was subjected to complete relaxation matrix analysis. The results are shown in Figure 4A. In general, the sixth root residuals (R_s^6 values) were consistently below 10%, for both internucleotide NOEs and internucleotide NOEs. This indicated that the average of the refined structures was in reasonable agreement with the experimental NOE intensities. Base pairs A^6:T^13 and G^11:C^12, located at the 3′-end of the duplex with respect to R^6, showed somewhat poorer agreement with the experimental NOE intensities. This was attributed to a combination of spectral overlap and increased fraying of the duplex at this end. Table 2 summarizes the structural statistics.

### Table 2. Structural Statistics for the R,R- and S,S-Duplexes

|                      | R,R-duplex | S,S-duplex |
|----------------------|------------|------------|
| RMS pairwise difference between structures [Å] | 0.67 | 0.66 |
| RMS difference from average structure [Å] | 0.45 | 0.44 |
| CORMA analysis for average structure in intranucleotide | 0.068 | 0.071 |
| intranucleotide      | 0.080 | 0.086 |
| total                | 0.074     | 0.078     |
| average error        | 0.016     | 0.016     |

^a The mixing time was 250 ms. ^b R_s^6 is the sixth root R factor: \[ \Sigma((I_o(i))^{1/6} - ((I_o(i))^{1/6})/\Sigma(I_o(i))^{1/6}) \]. ^c Average error: \[ \Sigma(I_o(i) - I_o(n))/n \], where I_o values are NOE intensities calculated from refined structure, and I_n values are experimental NOE intensities.

### Figure 5A

Figure 5A shows the average structure of the R,R-duplex in the region of the C^5:G^18, R^6:T^17 and A^1:T^16 base pairs. The view is from the major groove. The R,R-DHB-dA nucleoside maintained the anti conformation about the glycosyl bond, and the adduct was located in the major groove. The DHB moiety rotated around the C6-N6 bond such that the diastereotopic R^6 H_{α,β} hydrogens were oriented toward the 5′ direction and were in proximity to the major groove edge of the C^5 base. This placed the diastereotopic R^6 H_{α',β'} hydrogens in the 3′ direction, facing toward base pair A^1:T^16. The stereoisomeric hydroxyls of the R,R-DHB moiety both faced into the major groove. They did not participate in hydrogen bonding interactions with the DNA. With respect to base pairing, the R^6 base was positioned such that it could maintain only one Watson–Crick hydrogen bond with the complementary thymine T^17, between the N1 imine nitrogen and the T^17 N3H imino proton (Figure S6 in the Supporting Information shows these eight superimposed structures. Good convergence was observed, with a maximum pairwise rmsd between the eight structures of 0.67 Å (Table 2).
The distance between R6 N1 and T17 N3H was 2.1 Å, and the distance between A7 N1 and T16 N3H was 2.0 Å, which was slightly longer than that for an unmodified A:T base pair, which is typically 1.9 Å. This was confirmed by helicoidal analysis,78 where the opening parameter for the R6:T17 base pair was 19° (Figure S7 in the Supporting Information) but was 6° for the unmodified duplex.55 The modified R6 base was tilted out of plane, as was also discerned by helicoidal analysis (Figure S8 in the Supporting Information). The exocyclic DHB ring of the R6 base was rotated out of plane and the N1-C6-N6-Cδ dihedral angle was −118°. Watson–Crick base pairing geometry at the neighboring C5:G18 and A7:T16 base pairs was maintained. However, the A7:T16 base pair exhibited lower stability as could be observed from NMR data collected as a function of temperature (Figure S3 of the Supporting Information). The R,R-DHB-dA adduct perturbed stacking interactions at base pairs C5:G18, R6:T17, and A7:T16 (Figure 6A,B). Thus, R6 stacked with its 5′ neighbor C5 but not with its 3′ neighbor A7. The complementary thymine T17 stacked well with its 5′ neighbor T16 but not with 3′ neighbor G18.

**NMR Spectroscopy of the S,S-DHB-dA Duplex. Base Proton Assignments.** The region of the NOESY spectrum showing the resonances between aromatic protons of the bases to the deoxyribose H1′ protons79,80 for the modified strand C1
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**Figure 4.** Complete relaxation matrix analysis results for internucleotide and intranucleotide NOEs for the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA duplexes. (A) The R,R-DHB-dA duplex. (B) The S,S-DHB-dA duplex. R1 is the sixth root R factor: \( \Sigma \left( \left( I_i \right)^{1/6} - \left( I_o \right)^{1/6} \right) / \Sigma \left( I_o \right)^{1/6} \), where \( I_o \) values are NOE intensities calculated from the refined structure, and \( I_o \) values are experimental NOE intensities.
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**Figure 5.** Average structures of the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA modified duplexes. (A) Stereo drawing for the R,R-DHB-dA duplex in the region of the C5:G18, R6:T17, and A7:T16 base pairs. (B) Stereo drawing for the S,S-DHB-dA duplex in the region of the C5:G18, S6:T17, and A7:T16 base pairs. The modified nucleotides R6 or S6 are shown in green and red, respectively.
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**Figure 6.** Stacking interactions for the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA duplexes. (A) The R,R-DHB-dA duplex. Stacking of the C5:G18 base pair (black) above R6 (green) and T17 (black). (B) The S,S-DHB-dA duplex. Stacking of the R6:T17 pair (in green and black, respectively) above the base pair A7:T16 (black). (C) Stacking of the C5:G18 base pair (black) above S6 (red) and T17 (black). (D) Stacking of the S6:T17 pair (in red and black, respectively) above the base pair A7:T16 (black).
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to G\(^{11}\) and for the complementary strand C\(^{12}\) → G\(^{22}\) is shown in Figure 1C,D. For the modified strand, the sequential NOE cross-peaks C\(^{6}\) H\(^{6}\) → C\(^{11}\) H\(^{1}\), C\(^{6}\) H\(^{1}\) → S\(^{6}\) H\(^{8}\), S\(^{6}\) H\(^{8}\) → S\(^{6}\) H\(^{1}\), and S\(^{6}\) H\(^{1}\) → A′ H\(^{8}\) were of similar intensities compared to those of the other cross-peaks arising from nucleotides distal to the adduct. Likewise, for the complementary strand, the sequential NOE cross-peaks for the bases proximal to the adduct T\(^{16}\) H\(^{6}\) → T\(^{16}\) H\(^{1}\), T\(^{16}\) H\(^{1}\) → T\(^{17}\) H\(^{6}\), T\(^{16}\) H\(^{6}\) → T\(^{17}\) H\(^{1}\), and T\(^{17}\) H\(^{1}\) → G\(^{18}\) H\(^{8}\) were of similar intensities as compared to the remainder of the cross-peaks arising from bases distal to the adduct. The greatest chemical shift perturbations compared to the unmodified duplex (Figure S1 of the Supporting Information) were observed for C\(^{6}\) H\(^{5}\), which shifted upfield 0.1 ppm, C\(^{6}\) H\(^{1}\), which shifted downfield 0.3 ppm, S\(^{6}\) H\(^{8}\), which shifted upfield 0.1 ppm, and S\(^{6}\) H\(^{2}\), which shifted downfield 0.1 ppm. Minimal chemical shift perturbations were observed for the complementary strand.

**Imino Proton Assignments.** Figure 2 D–F shows an expansion of the NOESY spectrum, in the far downfield region in which the Watson–Crick base paired imino proton resonances are visible. The sequential NOE cross-peaks between imino protons\(^{81}\) were observed for base pairs G\(^{2}:C\(^{21}\) → G\(^{4}:C\(^{20}\) → A\(^{1}:T\(^{19}\) → C\(^{5}:G\(^{18}\)\) The sequential NOE cross-peaks between imino protons for base pairs C\(^{5}:G\(^{18}\) and S\(^{6}:T\(^{17}\) → A′:T\(^{16}\) were not observed. The sequential pattern of NOE cross-peaks could be continued from G\(^{2}:C\(^{15}\) → A\(^{1}:T\(^{14}\) → A\(^{10}:T\(^{13}\) (Figure 2, panel F). The region of the spectrum showing NOEs between the base imino and the amino and adenine H\(^{2}\) protons exhibited the anticipated NOE cross-peaks for all base pairs, except for the terminal base G\(^{2}\) (Figure 2, panel E). The S\(^{6}\) H\(^{2}\) → T\(^{17}\) N\(^{3}\)H cross-peak (g, Figure 2, panel E) was visible when the contour level of the spectrum was lowered and overlapped with the G\(^{11}\) N\(^{1}\)H → C\(^{15}\) N\(^{2}\) H\(^{1}\) cross-peak; its intensity was significantly lower than the remainder of the A:T cross-peaks. The A′ H\(^{2}\) → T\(^{16}\) N\(^{3}\)H cross-peak was of lower intensity as compared to the other cross-peaks. The greatest chemical shift perturbation was observed for the T\(^{17}\) N\(^{3}\)H resonance, which moved 0.35 ppm upfield as compared to the unmodified duplex (Figure S2 of the Supporting Information). The intensities of the T\(^{16}\) N\(^{3}\)H and T\(^{17}\) N\(^{3}\)H resonances were significantly lower (Figure S9 in the Supporting Information). These resonances were broader compared to their counterparts in the unmodified duplex (Figure S4 of the Supporting Information). Both resonances disappeared from the spectrum when the experiment was conducted at 20 °C.

**S,S-DHB-daA Duplex.** Six S,S-DHB-daA adduct resonances were observed between 3.62 and 4.36 ppm (Figure 3B). This indicated that on the NMR time scale the DHB moiety did not rapidly rotate about the C6-N\(^{6}\) bond. Two sets of diastereotopic methylene proton resonances, i.e., H\(^{6}\)\(_{\alpha}\) and H\(^{6}\)\(_{\beta}\) (Chart 1), were identified, one located at 3.62 and 3.70 ppm and the other at 3.66 and 4.14 ppm. It was not possible to establish unequivocally which resonances were arising from the pro-R and from the pro-S hydrogen. It was possible to assign the two sets of methylene resonances, based upon NOEs to DNA protons. The set of methylene resonances at 3.66 and 4.14 ppm, assigned as the H\(^{6}\)\(_{\alpha}\) hydrogens, exhibited intense NOEs to C\(^{6}\) H\(^{5}\) of the S′-neighbor C\(^{5}:G\(^{18}\) base pair at 5.14 ppm. They exhibited weaker NOEs to C\(^{6}\) H\(^{6}\). The set of methylene resonances at 3.62 and 3.70 ppm, assigned as the H\(^{6}\)\(_{\beta}\) protons, exhibited NOEs to S\(^{6}\) H\(^{2}\) and a weak NOE to A′ H\(^{2}\). They also exhibited NOEs to the T\(^{16}\) CH\(_{3}\) protons at 1.69 ppm. The diastereomeric protons H\(_{\alpha}\) and H\(_{\beta}\) were identified at 4.36 ppm and 4.25 ppm. The resonance at 4.36 ppm showed stronger NOEs to the H\(_{\alpha}\)\(_{\beta}\) protons, whereas the resonance at 4.25 ppm exhibited NOEs to the H\(_{\alpha}\)\(_{\alpha}\) protons. The H\(_{\alpha}\)\(_{\beta}\) protons gave more intense cross-peaks to the S\(^{6}\) H\(^{2}\) compared to that of other adduct protons. Additional weak interstrand cross-peaks were observed between the adduct protons S\(^{6}\) H\(^{1}\)\(_{\alpha}\) and H\(^{1}\)\(_{\beta}\) and the G\(^{28}\) N\(^{1}\)H imino proton (cross-peaks a and b, Figure 2, panel D).

**Structural Refinement of the S,S-DHB-daA Duplex.** The structure of the S,S-duplex was determined using a simulated annealing rMD protocol, using distance restraints determined from the NOE data. A total of 199 NOE-based distance restraints were employed, which included 103 internucleotide restraints and 96 internucleotide restraints (Table 1). The diastereotopic DHB H\(_{\alpha}\)\(_{\beta}\) and H\(_{\alpha}\)\(_{\alpha}\) protons could not be unequivocally assigned. As for the R,R-DHB-daA adduct, in some instances these protons exhibited similar NOE intensities to specific DNA protons, and in such cases, it was possible to include these distances in the rMD calculations. Also, the weak interstrand cross-peaks between DHB H\(_{\alpha}\)\(_{\beta}\) and H\(_{\alpha}\)\(_{\alpha}\) protons and the G\(^{18}\) N\(^{1}\)H imino proton were not included in the structure calculations. The experimentally determined restraints were supplemented with 90 empirical phosphodiester backbone restraints, 42 Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding restraints, and 17 deoxyribose pseudorotation restraints. The inclusion of these empirical restraints was predicated upon the observation that the NMR data suggested that apart from the modified base pair S\(^{6}:T\(^{17}\) and its S′- and 3′-neighboring base pairs C\(^{5}:G\(^{18}\) and A′:T\(^{16}\), the duplex maintained a right handed B-DNA-like conformation in solution. Table 1 shows the restraints used for rMD calculations. Eight structures that emerged from the rMD calculations were subjected to potential energy minimization. These are shown superimposed in Figure S5, panel B of the Supporting Information. These structures converged to a maximum pairwise rmsd value of 0.66 Å and were used to calculate an average structure, which was subjected to complete relaxation matrix analysis.\(^{82}\) The overall sixth root residual (R\(_{6}\)) was 7.8% (Table 2). An evaluation of the R\(_{6}\) residuals for individual nucleotides (Figure 4B) indicated that these were consistently within the 10% range. Thus, the average structure was in good agreement with the observed NOE intensities. The statistically determined R\(_{6}\) values for the S,S-duplex in the region of the C\(^{5}:G\(^{18}\) S\(^{6}:T\(^{17}\), and A′:T\(^{16}\) base pairs, viewed from the major groove. The S,S-DHB-daA modified base adopted the anti conformation about the glycosyl bond. The DHB moiety was located in the major groove. The adduct rotated around the C6-N\(^{6}\) bond and was positioned such that the S\(^{6}\) H\(^{1}\) protons oriented toward the S′ direction and were proximate to the C\(^{6}\) base. This placed the S\(^{6}\) H\(_{\alpha}\)\(_{\beta}\) hydrogens toward the 3′-neighbor A′:T\(^{16}\) base pair. The stereoisomeric hydroxyl groups of the DHB moiety both faced into the major groove, and they did not form hydrogen bonds with the DNA. The H\(_{\alpha}\) proton faced toward the modified strand, whereas the H\(_{\beta}\) proton faced toward the complementary strand. The S\(^{6}\) base formed one Watson–Crick hydrogen bond between the S\(^{6}\) N\(^{1}\) imine nitrogen and the T\(^{17}\) imino proton (Figure S10 in the Supporting Information). The distance between S\(^{6}\) N\(^{1}\) and N\(^{3}\)H was 2.0 Å, which is slightly longer than that for an unmodified A:T base pair, which is typically 1.9 Å. It is also confirmed by helicoidal analysis, where the opening for the S\(^{6}:T\(^{17}\) base pair was 12° higher than that.
for other base pairs (Figure S7 of the Supporting Information). The exocyclic DHB ring of the S° base was rotated out of plane, and the N1-C6-N°-C° dihedral angle was −123°. Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding at the neighbor base pairs was maintained. However, the A°:T16 base pair exhibited lower stability as observed in the NMR spectra obtained as a function of temperature (Figure S9 of the Supporting Information). The S,S-DHB-dA base interfered with base stacking interactions. It did not stack well with its S’ neighbor C° but stacked well with its 3’ neighbor A° (Figure 6C,D). The complementary thymine T17 did stack well with its S’ neighbor T16 but not with 3’ neighbor G18.

**DISCUSSION**

The potential for bis-alkylation of DNA appears to be critical for the mutagenicity and cytotoxicity of DEB, as butadiene mono-oxidation products, e.g., EB and EBD, are much less genotoxic. Adenine adducts are likely to play a major role in the genotoxicity of DEB since the number of A:T base pair substitutions equals or exceeds the number of mutations at the G:C base pairs, despite the fact that DEB preferentially alkylates guanines in DNA. Thus, one or more DEB-specific da lesions must contribute to DEB-induced genotoxicity. However, the specific DEB-dA adducts capable of inducing these A to T transversions remain obscure. The double alkylation of the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA adducts removes the N°-dA amino groups as hydrogen bond donors in base pairing with dT and raises the question as to how the bulky 3,4-dihydroxypyrrrolidine rings are accommodated in the DNA duplex. UV melting studies have revealed that the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA adducts significantly destabilize duplex DNA, evidenced by a 16–17 °C decrease in T_m as compared to that of unmodified DNA. However, CD studies have suggested that R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA-modified DNA duplexes maintain a B-type DNA conformation. Consequently, it was of interest to determine the solution structures of the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA adducts.

**Structures of the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA Adducts.** The structures of the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA adducts in DNA are similar, and both adducts are positioned in the major groove of DNA. In both instances, the bulky 3,4-dihydroxypyrrrolidine rings are accommodated by an out-of-plane rotation about the DNA. In both instances, the bulky 3,4-dihydroxypyrrolidine similar, and both adducts are positioned in the major groove of observed between H_α and T17 H_e at the neighbor base pair. Likewise, the presence of the corresponding hydrogen bond at the modified S°:T17 base pair is indicated by the weak NOE between S° H2 and T17 N3H (cross-peak k, Figure 2, panel B). The loss of the second Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding interaction at the lesion sites correlates with the significantly lower thermal stabilities of the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA duplexes, as compared to that of the unmodified duplex. The reduced base stacking at the adduct sites may also contribute to the thermal destabilization. In particular, both the R° and S° bases stack with the S’ neighbor C° but not with the 3’ neighbor A° (Figure 6). Likewise, in both instances, the complementary thymine T17 stacks well with the S’ neighbor T16 but not with the 3’ neighbor G18.

In summary, these data suggest that out-of-plane rotations of the 3,4-dihydroxypyrrrolidine rings allow the complementary thymine to be accommodated in the DNA duplex. Density functional calculations at the nucleoside level have revealed that the pyrrolidine nitrogen lone electron pair is shared with the nitrogen lone electron system of the purine ring, resulting in partial double bond character and a barrier to rotation about the C6-N° bond. At the nucleoside level, the two sets of methylene protons for the pyrrolidine ring experience different chemical shift environments. The same is observed in duplex DNA (vide infra). Hence, the rMD calculations employed herein utilized an sp³ hybridized pyrrrolidine nitrogen. These calculations suggest that the adenine C6-N° base possesses sufficient single bond character to facilitate its out-of-plane orientation with respect to the adenine nucleobase. Accordingly, a second set of rMD calculations was performed, which utilized an sp³ hybridized pyrrrolidine nitrogen. The resulting structures were similar, with the pyrrolidine rings of both DHB-dA stereoisomers similarly orienting in the major groove. Complete relaxation matrix calculations performed on the refined structures emergent from the calculations involving the sp³ hybridized pyrrrolidine nitrogen showed comparable agreement with the NOESY data at the modified base pairs, as was obtained from the refined structures involving the sp³ hybridized pyrrrolidine nitrogen. Overall, this is consistent with the conclusion that the adenine C6-N° base possesses some degree of partial double bond character but retains sufficient single bond character to allow the 3,4-dihydroxypyrrrolidine ring to rotate out of the plane of the adenine nucleobase.

While both the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA adducts are oriented in the major groove, they differ as to the configurations of the hydroxyl groups at the stereoisomeric carbon atoms of the 3,4-dihydroxypyrrrolidine ring. It was thus of interest to examine the possibility of differential hydrogen bonding patterns between the stereoisomeric hydroxyl groups and the DNA. However, the structural data does not support the notion that the R,R- and S,S-DHB-dA adducts exhibit differential hydrogen bonding patterns between the stereoisomeric hydroxyls and the DNA. Also, the thermodynamic data do not suggest the formation of interstrand hydrogen bonding interactions by these stereoisomeric lesions. In both instances, the DNA is destabilized, and for R,R-duplex, T_m is 28°, while for the S,S-duplex, T_m is 27°. This suggests that neither modified duplex is stabilized by hydrogen bonding interactions involving the DHB hydroxyl.
groups. However, differential orientations of the DHB hydroxyl groups might provide a mechanism to differentially interact with DNA polymerases during translesion bypass, thus modulating mutagenic outcomes. Consequently, it will be of interest to examine the structures of the \( R,R \)- and \( S,S \)-DHB-dA adducts in the context of translesion replication complexes.

**Structure–Activity Relationships.** The orientations of the \( R,R \)- and \( S,S \)-DHB-dA adducts in the major groove of DNA with respect to the bis-alkylated adenine nucleobase allows for thymine in the complementary strand to be accommodated in the DNA duplex with relatively little distortion. However, because the pyrroline nitrogen cannot participate in hydrogen bonding with thymine in the complementary strand, at the DNA damage site, the damaged DNA base pair is stabilized by a single hydrogen bond. Thus, the loss of the T \( O^\text{t} \) \( \rightarrow \) A \( N^\text{H} \) Watson–Crick hydrogen bond, coupled with reduced base stacking interactions, probably accounts for the significant decrease in \( T_m \) observed for both the \( R,R \)- and \( S,S \)-DHB-dA adducts. Structural studies of a series of other monodentate thymine in the complementary strand to be accommodated in with respect to the bis-alkylated adenine nucleobase allows for superpositions of eight structures obtained from \( \text{rMD} \) calculations for the \( R,R \)- and \( S,S \)-duplicates; the \( R^\text{2}:T^\text{17} \) base pair, in the \( R,R \)-duplex; helicoidal analysis for the \( R,R \)- and \( S,S \)-duplicates; NMR spectra showing the imino proton resonances for the \( S,S \)-DHB-dA duplex as a function of temperature; and the \( S^\text{2}:T^\text{17} \) base pair in the \( S,S \)-duplex. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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