The effect of hyperoxia on central blood pressure in healthy subjects
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Abstract

Introduction: Hyperoxia increases total peripheral resistance by acting locally but also inhibits the activity of carotid body chemoreceptors. We studied the effect of hyperoxia on central pressure in normotensive subjects.

Material and methods: Medical air followed by 100% oxygen was provided to 19 subjects (12/7 female/male, age 28.2 ±1.1 years) for 15 min through a non-rebreather mask. Central blood pressure was then measured using applanation tonometry.

Results: After the first 2 min of hyperoxia, heart rate decreased significantly (65 ±2.6 beats/min vs. 61 ±2.1 beats/min, p = 0.0002). Peripheral and central blood pressure remained unchanged, while hemoglobin oxygen saturation and subendocardial viability ratio index increased (97 ±0.4% vs. 99 ±0.2%, p = 0.03; 168 ±8.4% vs. 180 ±8.2%, p = 0.009). After 15 min of 100% oxygen ventilation, heart rate and peripheral and central blood pressures remained unchanged from the first 2 min. The augmentation index, augmentation pressure and ejection duration increased as compared to baseline values and those obtained at 2 min (−5.1 ±2.9% vs. −1.2 ±2.6%, p = 0.005 and −4.6 ±2.7% vs. −1.2 ±2.6%, p = 0.0015; −1.3 ±0.7 mm Hg vs. −0.2 ±1.2 mm Hg, p = 0.003 and −1.1 ±0.7 mm Hg vs. −0.2 ±1.2 mm Hg, p = 0.012; 323 ±3.6 ms vs. 330 ±3.5 ms, p = 0.0002 and 326 ±3.5 ms vs. 330 ±3.5 ms, p = 0.021, respectively).

Conclusions: The present study shows that hyperoxia does not affect central blood pressure in young healthy subjects and may improve myocardial blood supply estimated indirectly from applanation tonometry.

Key words: carotid body chemoreceptors, hyperoxia, central blood pressure.

Introduction

Oxygen supplementation is used widely used in the treatment of patients with acute circulatory and respiratory distress. Additionally, hyperoxia reduces the tonic activity of carotid body chemoreceptors [1–5]. The carotid body chemoreceptors attract attention as a possible therapeutic target in the treatment of heart failure and hypertension [6–9].
Data on the hemodynamic effects of hyperoxia are not consistent across studies. In patients with heart failure, hyperoxia reduces cardiac output and increases total vascular resistance [10, 11]. In patients after heart transplantation, the reduced tonic activity of carotid body chemoreceptors due to hyperoxia caused a decrease in blood pressure [4]. In patients with hypertension peripheral blood pressure values remained unchanged [2, 4] or decreased [5, 12] in response to hyperoxia. The recent AVOID trial with oxygen supplementation during acute coronary syndrome showed worse clinical outcomes in patients who were not hypoxic at the start of the study [13, 14]. In the pilot study hyperoxia increased central blood pressure, with no effect on peripheral blood pressure values in the treated hypertensives [15].

In healthy individuals hyperoxia decreases heart rate and cardiac output and increases blood pressure and vascular resistance [16–20]. However, certain studies showed that hyperoxia did not affect blood pressure [16] or decreased blood pressure [3].

The mechanisms of the hemodynamic effects of hyperoxia are not fully explained. The inhibition of sympathetic activity due to the withdrawal of tonic activity of the carotid body chemoreceptors and the direct effect of hyperoxia on smooth muscle were suggested to be responsible for the observed changes in blood pressure [20].

The influence of hyperoxia on central aortic blood pressure has not yet been evaluated. Central blood pressure may differ from peripheral blood pressure values, and is affected by the elastic properties of peripheral arteries [21]. Furthermore, parameters of central aortic pressure may be a good surrogate for the effect of hyperoxia on arterial hemodynamics [21]. An estimation of central blood pressure could help in understanding the cardiovascular response to hyperoxic exposure. Therefore we evaluated both early (after the first 2 min) and prolonged effects (after 15 min) of acute hyperoxia on central blood pressure in healthy subjects.

Material and methods

Subjects

Nineteen healthy volunteers (12 female and 7 male; age: 28.2 ±1.1 years; body mass index (BMI) 22.1 ±0.8 kg/m²) were invited to participate in the study. All subjects underwent routine diagnostic evaluation in our clinic to exclude arterial hypertension, diabetes, respiratory disorders, as well as heart and vascular diseases. None of the participants were active smokers or were taking any medication. None of the female subjects were pregnant. The Ethical Committee of the Medical University of Warsaw accepted the study protocol. All participants provided their informed consent.

Study procedures

All subjects were examined at midday, in a quiet room, in the supine position at ambient temperature of 20–21°C. The subjects were instructed to take only a light breakfast in the morning. Prior to the placement of a non-rebreather mask all patients underwent baseline recordings (as defined below) for 10 min, after which the subjects respired with 21% oxygen in nitrogen through a mask for 15 min and were subsequently ventilated with 100% oxygen for 15 min to induce hyperoxia. The flow rate through the non-rebreather mask was constant throughout the study at 10 l/min. The subjects were blind to the type and percentage of gas they were inspiring. Hemoglobin oxygen saturation (SaO₂) was monitored throughout the study (CapnoCheck Plus, Smith Medical International Ltd., Watford, Herts, UK).

Assessment of hemodynamic responses

Brachial blood pressure was measured with a certified automatic oscillometric device: NIS 2000 (ELMED, Augsburg, Germany). Central blood pressure and heart rate were determined using the SphygmoCor system (MM3 model, AtCor Medical, Sydney, Australia). SphygmoCor uses high fidelity applanation tonometry for the non-invasive registration of radial artery pressure waves and computer software for pressure wave analysis. The average pressure wave was calculated from 8-second recordings. The averaged pressure waves were accepted only when the variation of the peak and bottom pressures of individual pressure waves was < 10%. The SphygmoCor device provides a quality index, which represents reproducibility of the waveform. Measurements were performed by two trained physicians (P.A. and A.D.). Intra-operator and inter-operator variability for these investigators based on 150 previously performed studies is 0 ±4% and 0 ±3% respectively. The visually acceptable recordings of a peripheral pulse waveform were allowed when measurements had a quality index (operator index) > 90. The mean operator index was 93.5 ±1.6% in this study. The central pressure wave was automatically calculated from radial pressures by a built-in general transfer function [22, 23]. The aortic systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressures (mm Hg) were calculated from the recorded central waveforms. SphygmoCor makes it possible to determine other parameters from the central pressure wave. These are: time to first shoulder determined by the outgoing pressure wave (T₁, ms), time to the peak of the second shoulder determined by the reflected
pressure wave \((T_2, \text{ms})\), duration of absolute ejection \((\text{ED}, \text{ms})\), augmentation pressure \((\text{AP})\) defined as the difference between pressure values at \(T_2\) and \(T_1\) \((\text{mm Hg})\), and augmentation index \((\text{AI})\) defined as the quotient of augmentation pressure and central pulse pressure \((\text{PP})\) and expressed as a percentage \((\%)\) (Figure 1). The augmentation index and augmentation pressure were normalized for a heart rate of 75 beats per minute. The subendocardial viability ratio \((\text{SEVR})\) was also evaluated from recorded central waveforms. The SEVR (also known as the Buckberg index) is an index of myocardial oxygen supply and demand, and is calculated by pulse wave analysis. Originally, SEVR is derived from pressures measured in the aorta and left ventricle \([24, 25]\) and is defined as the ratio of the pressure-time integral in which the numerator is the diastolic pressure-time integral. The diastolic pressure-time integral (expressed in mm Hg \(\times\) s) indicates subendocardial blood supply, whereas the systolic pressure-time integral (expressed in mm Hg \(\times\) s) indicates myocardial contraction (oxygen consumption) \([26]\). In the study, SEVR was calculated using software provided by the producer. This software automatically calculates SEVR from diastolic/systolic aortic area.

**Statistical analysis**

During the session the subjects’ central blood pressure was recorded at the end of the 21% oxygen respiration period \((P_0)\), after 2 min of hyperoxia \((P_1)\) and once the 15 min hyperoxia period \((P_2)\) had ended. These time periods for recording peripheral and central blood pressure were selected so as to evaluate early responses to hyperoxia-induced carotid body deactivation \([27, 28]\) and to determine the results of prolonged hyperoxia. Recording was performed at \(P_0\) due to the fact that early hemodynamic effects of carotid body deactivation in previous observations had started within the 1st min of exposure to hyperoxia \([12]\) plus the time needed to perform the recording. A timeline representing the period of analyses is presented in Figure 2.

Our primary variable was the change in central systolic and diastolic blood pressure during the early stage of hyperoxic stimuli (at 2 min, \(P_1\)). The size of the study group was determined by extrapolating the results of peripheral blood pressure changes, obtained in other studies \([12, 20]\). It was calculated that the study sample size of 19 subjects represented an 80% chance of detecting a 5 mm Hg difference in systolic blood pressure before and after hyperoxic stimuli. The data were processed through a normality test (Shapiro-Wilk test) and then analyzed with repeated ANOVA or Friedman ANOVA tests for non-normal distribution. Differences between time points were tested post-hoc using the Fisher least significant difference (LSD) test. The Wilcoxon test with adjusted \(p\)-value was used as a post-hoc test for Friedman ANOVA. All data were expressed in mean values ±
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SEM. The statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 10 software (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, USA).

Results

The baseline group characteristics are presented in Table I. For the primary variable, breathing 100% oxygen did not result in a significant change in central systolic and diastolic blood pressure between $P_0$ and $P_1$ (Table I). No changes were observed in the peripheral systolic and diastolic blood pressure values. Heart rate decreased significantly between $P_0$ and $P_1$ (65 ±2.6 beats/min vs. 61 ±2.1, beats/min, $p = 0.0002$) (Table I). SaO$_2$ and SEVR increased likewise (97 ±0.4% vs. 99 ±0.2%, $p = 0.03$; 168 ±8.4% vs. 181 ±8.2%, $p = 0.009$, respectively) (Figure 3, Table I). After 15 min of 100% oxygen ventilation, peripheral and central systolic and diastolic blood pressures remained unchanged (Table I). A further decrease in heart rate was not observed (61 ±2.1 beats/min vs. 58 ±2.5 beats/min, NS). Augmentation pressure, augmentation index and ejection duration increased after 15 min of breathing 100% oxygen, as compared to baseline values and values obtained at $P_1$ (Figure 3, Table I).

Discussion

The original finding of the study is that hyperoxia does not influence central aortic blood pressure in healthy subjects. In the early phase of hyperoxia (first 2 min) central and peripheral blood pressures did not change with increased SaO$_2$. The present results are consistent with previous studies evaluating the early effects of hyperoxia on peripheral blood pressure. It is interesting that in hypertensive patients, blood pressure decreased in the early phase of hyperoxia, unlike in normotensive subjects [12, 28, 29]. The reason for this is unclear. It is possible that blood pressure decreases in hypertensive patients due to the inhibition of a carotid body chemoreflex, which is enhanced in hypertensive subjects, but not in normotensive subjects [30, 31]. It is also known that early phase hyperoxia does not affect muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA) in normotensive subjects, though it does reduce MSNA in hypertensive patients [2, 4]. In these studies microneurography was used, which is considered the most accurate and reproducible quantitative method for evaluation of activity of the sympathetic nervous system [32].

Table I. Effect of 100% O$_2$ breathing on the recorded parameters during study periods in normotensive subjects

| Parameter           | $P_0$          | $P_1$          | $P_2$          | $P_0$ vs. $P_1$ | $P_1$ vs. $P_2$ | $P_0$ vs. $P_2$ |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Central SBP [mm Hg] | 97 ±2          | 96 ±2          | 96 ±2          | NS              | NS              | NS             |
| Central DBP [mm Hg] | 72 ±2          | 71 ±2          | 71 ±2          | NS              | NS              | NS             |
| Peripheral SBP [mm Hg] | 113 ±2      | 113 ±2         | 112 ±2         | NS              | NS              | NS             |
| Peripheral DBP [mm Hg] | 71 ±2        | 70 ±2          | 70 ±2          | NS              | NS              | NS             |
| HR [beat/min]       | 65 ±3          | 61 ±2          | 58 ±3          | 0.0002          | 0.00001         | NS             |
| ED [ms]             | 323 ±4         | 326 ±4         | 330 ±4         | NS              | 0.0002          | 0.021          |
| AP [mm Hg]          | –1.3 ±0.7      | –1.1 ±0.7      | –0.2 ±0.6      | NS              | 0.003           | 0.012          |
| AP (75) [mm Hg]     | –2.6 ±0.8      | –2.8 ±0.7      | –2.1 ±0.7      | NS              | NS              | NS             |
| AI (%)              | –5.1 ±2.9      | –4.6 ±2.7      | –1.2 ±2.6      | 0.005           | 0.0015          | NS             |
| AI (75) (%)         | –9.8 ±3.0      | –11.2 ±2.7     | –7.8 ±2.8      | NS              | NS              | NS             |
| T1 [ms]             | 109 ±3         | 114 ±4         | 114 ±3         | NS              | NS              | NS             |
| T2 [ms]             | 208 ±6         | 220 ±5         | 219 ±6         | NS              | NS              | NS             |
| SEVR (%)            | 168 ±8         | 181 ±8         | 189 ±10        | 0.009           | 0.00003         | NS             |
| SaO$_2$ (%)         | 97 ±0.4        | 99 ±0.2        | 99 ±0.3        | 0.005           | 0.005           | NS             |
| RR [breath/min]     | 14 ±0.7        | 12 ±0.7        | 12 ±0.7        | NS              | NS              | NS             |

$P_0, P_1$, and $P_2$ are means ± SEM of the parameters recorded at baseline and after 2 and 15 min of 100% oxygen breathing. SaO$_2$ – hemoglobin oxygen saturation, ED – ejection duration, AP – augmentation pressure, AI – augmentation index, SEVR – subendocardial viability ratio, T1 – time to first shoulder determined by outgoing pressure wave, T2 – time to the peak of the second shoulder determined by the reflected pressure wave.
The results of the present study show that longer exposure to hyperoxic stimuli did not affect central aortic pressure and peripheral pressure. This observation is important. The effect of hyperoxia on central blood pressure in healthy subjects has not yet been investigated, while results concerning the effect of hyperoxia on peripheral blood pressure differ substantially across studies. During longer hyperoxic exposure, peripheral blood pressure increased [18–20] or no effects were reported [2, 4, 12, 16, 33, 34]. The difference in the results obtained in our study from previous reports may be due to the difference in subject age, sex and/or duration of hyperoxic exposure. Despite these differences, it is unclear why in the present study central and peripheral blood pressures remained unchanged during prolonged hyperoxia. It is documented that prolonged hyperoxia increases total peripheral resistance [16] and reduces heart rate in healthy subjects [18, 35]. It is also known that hyperoxia may reduce stroke volume or depress heart muscle contractility [16, 35, 36]. In the present study, heart rate actually decreased. Although peripheral resistance and stroke volume were not evaluated, the augmentation index and augmentation pressure were calculated from the central pressure wave. The values of both indices increased between periods $P_1$ and $P_2$ (Figure 3). This may reflect increased peripheral resistance/increased arterial wall stiffness during prolonged hyperoxia.

The absence of blood pressure changes due to hyperoxia may be explained in different ways. We can assume that the increase in total peripheral resistance caused by hyperoxia is compensated by a reduced heart rate. It is less likely that the decrease in stroke volume is responsible for unchanged central blood pressure, not unlike a similar experimental model where no differences in stroke volume were observed in healthy normotensive subjects [12]. We can also speculate that the unchanged central and peripheral blood pressure is the result of antagonistic mechanisms in which hyperoxia influences peripheral vasculature: withdrawal of tonic chemoreceptor activity causing a decrease in the total peripheral resistance and the direct effect of high pO$_2$ increasing total peripheral resistance.

Hyperoxia within the first 2 min caused an increase in SEVR. Based on applanation tonometry, SEVR differs from the Buckberg and Hoffman method [24, 25], and the results should be analyzed with caution. The SEVR is calculated from the central pressure wave. Moreover, the central pressure wave is a result of the calculation made when applying a generalized transfer function on the measurement taken at the radial artery. However, there is a sound correlation between the central pressure wave obtained using a transfer function and the pressure wave obtained invasively [22, 37]. When using a generalized transfer func-
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It is assumed that arterial vasculature properties are similar in different subjects, but vary according to age, arterial pressure, body size and health status [38]. In the current study, subjects were of similar age, body mass index, body surface area and resting blood pressure. Although SEVR is an indirect quantification, it is considered by other authors as a valid, sensitive and reproducible measure of the balance between oxygen demand and supply and a marker of subendocardial perfusion [26, 39–42]. Recently, a correlation was also found between SEVR and the coronary flow reserve measured during coronaryography [26].

The SEVR correlates with heart rate, and in the current study such an association was observed before and after hyperoxic stimulation (Figure 4). It can be postulated that the changes observed in SEVR are secondary to the decrease in heart rate. However, SEVR also increases after nitroglycerin infusion, thus implying that SEVR may improve as a result of vasodilatation [43]. The results of the AVOID study did not show any beneficial effects of oxygen supplementation in patients with myocardial infarction [13, 14]. It needs to be clarified whether the observed increase in SEVR after inspiring 100% oxygen is only a transient effect and is present only in the normal coronary vasculature.

The present study has some limitations. We did not use a control group inspiring medical air. Previous studies with a control group showed no hemodynamic effects of inspiration in healthy subjects [2, 11]. In the present study, the values obtained while breathing medical air through the mask were used as a control for breathing 100% oxygen. Such a design has been used in other studies [12, 20, 44].

Total peripheral resistance, stroke volume and cardiac output were not measured in the present study. These parameters were evaluated recently in a normotensive population using a similar experimental design [12].

The study group was composed of more female subjects than male subjects. It is not well established how hemodynamic parameters differ across sex in young subjects. Circulatory hemodynamics may differ according to menstrual cycle phase [45, 46], but no change in blood pressure or heart rate was observed across cycle phases. It is rather unlikely for the results of the study to be affected by sex or menstrual cycle phase.

In conclusion, the present study shows that central blood pressure in healthy subjects does not change after being exposed to hyperoxia. This may be due to a decrease in heart rate and two antagonistic mechanisms, in which hyperoxia has an impact on hemodynamics. The study results also demonstrate that hyperoxia in healthy subjects may improve myocardial blood supply, estimated indirectly using applanation tonometry.
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