Superb microvascular imaging (SMI) for evaluating hand joint lesions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission
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Abstract
The utility of superb microvascular imaging (SMI) for evaluating hand joint lesions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in clinical remission is unreported. This study aimed to compare SMI and power Doppler imaging (PDI) for the evaluation of hand joint lesions in these patients. Twenty-six patients with RA in clinical remission were enrolled. A total of 572 joints (52 wrist, 260 proximal interphalangeal, and 260 metacarpophalangeal joints) were detected by SMI and PDI. A semi-quantitative scale of 0–3 was used to compare the detection of synovial blood flow signal by SMI and PDI. Inter-observer agreement for the assessment of SMI and PDI scores was measured with kappa values. In the ten healthy volunteers, SMI and PDI signals were both scored 0. In the 26 RA patients, the remission rate via PDI was 65.4% but was only 42.3% via SMI. SMI also detected microvessel flow signal in seven patients diagnosed with clinical remission via PDI. Moreover, a total of 106 blood flow signals (18.5%) were detected by SMI, while 50 blood flow signals (8.7%) were detected by PDI. Compared with PDI, SMI increased 18.0% of power flow signals from Grade 0–1 and increased 13.7% of power flow signals from Grade 1–2. One joint classified as Grade 1 by PDI was classified as Grade 0 by SMI. Inter-observer agreement for PDI and SMI semi-quantitative scoring was moderate (kappa = 0.463). SMI seems more sensitive than PDI for detecting hand joint lesions in RA in clinical remission PDI, and could aid the achievement of true remission in RA patients.

Keywords Superb microvascular imaging · Rheumatoid arthritis · Power Doppler imaging · Remission

Introduction
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune disorder characterized by polyarticular inflammation and pannus formation, leading to joint destruction and severe disability [1, 2]. Remission or low disease activity is the ultimate aim for the treatment of RA [3, 4]. Moreover, in the past few years, several remission criteria have been established including clinical and biological criteria [5]. However, several studies have shown infra-clinical synovitis and disease exacerbation has persisted in patients who achieved clinical remission [6]. Thus, it is important to identify true remission in RA patients.

Imaging modalities, such as magnetic resonance imaging, have been reported to detect persistent inflammation in RA patients in clinical remission; however, they require contrast enhancement [7, 8]. In addition, power Doppler imaging (PDI) can detect modifications in synovial vascularity but it is not very sensitive to microvascular patterns and low blood flow velocity [9]. Superb microvascular imaging (SMI) is a recent innovative and effective ultrasound (US) technology.
Doppler modality, which can visualize low-velocity flow in microvessels. SMI uses a new adaptive algorithm to extract flow signals from large to small vessels and has been used in the diagnosis of breast, thyroid, and urinary tract infection [10–13]. Moreover, SMI can detect synovial inflammation in rheumatic diseases [14]. However, to date, the utility of SMI for evaluating hand joint lesions in patients with RA in clinical remission has not been reported.

The present study aimed to investigate SMI signals in the hand joint of patients with RA in clinical remission and compare the findings with those of PDI. We also aimed to demonstrate the value of SMI for identifying true remission in RA.

Method

Patients

Twenty-six consecutive outpatients and inpatients with RA in clinical remission were recruited for this study from January 2016–December 2017. All patients fulfilled the 2011 American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism (ACR/EULAR) diagnosis criteria for RA, and two definitions for the clinical remission criteria were proposed as follows: (1) swelling joint counts, tender joint counts, C-reactive protein (mg/L), and patient global self-assessment (all four ≤ 1); and (2) simplified disease activity index (SDAI) ≤ 3 [15]. Exclusion criteria were: (1) congenital abnormalities or a history of trauma in the hand joints; (2) joint swelling pain caused by connective tissue diseases and other unknown reason.

Ten healthy volunteers (220 joints) without any history of RA as controls participated in this study. Exclusion criteria were: (1) congenital abnormalities or a history of trauma in the hand joints; (2) synovial hyperplasia in the hand joints.

US examination

The wrist, proximal interphalangeal, and metacarpophalangeal joints of both hands (total 572 joints: 52 wrist, 260 proximal interphalangeal, and 260 metacarpophalangeal joints) were assessed in the axial and longitudinal planes using a 5–14 MHz broadband linear transducer (Aplio 500 US system, Toshiba Medical Imaging, Japan). The distribution and thickness of the synovium were observed by two-dimensional ultrasound, the position of the probe was fixed with a thick section of the synovial membrane, then we activated the power Doppler mode, and the blood flow scale and blood flow sampling frame were adjusted to appropriate, maximized the color gain but there is no false color displayed, the image is stored at the same time. Switch to SMI mode, we kept parameters such as 2D gain, color gain, blood flow scale, sampling frame size, and dynamic range unchanging, then recorded the distribution of SMI synovial blood flow on the same plane and stored the image. All examinations and assessments were performed by one rheumatologist who had more than 3 years of experience in US diagnosis and blinded to the clinical information and laboratory data. The synovial blood flow signal detected by SMI and PDI were scored on a semi-quantitative scale of 0 to 3: Grade 0 (no blood flow signal in the synovial membrane); Grade 1 (1–2 blood flow signal); Grade 2 (3–4 short linear blood flow signal in less than one-half of the synovial membrane); and Grade 3 (blood flow signal in more than one-half of the synovial membrane) [16].

Statistical analysis

Data in this study were analyzed with SPSS 17.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The chi-squared test was used to assess the difference between SMI and PDI, and a \( p \) value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation or median value and interquartile range (25th–75th percentiles). Inter-observer agreement for the assessment of SMI and PDI scores was measured with kappa values. Interpretation of kappa was as follows: kappa < 0: less than chance agreement; kappa 0.01–0.20: slight agreement; kappa 0.21–0.40: fair agreement; kappa 0.41–0.60: moderate agreement, kappa 0.61–0.80: substantial agreement; and kappa 0.81–0.99: almost perfect agreement.

Results

Demographic characteristics

The clinical characteristics of the RA patients in remission and healthy volunteers involved in the study were showed in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in age, gender, RF, CRP and ESR between the two groups. In addition, in the ten healthy volunteers, SMI and PDI signals were both scored 0 in the 220 joints, and no trauma, arthredema, synovial hyperplasia or synovitis was observed.

Comparison of the remission rate in PDI and SMI

Table 2 compares the remission rate as evaluated by SMI and PDI in the 26 patients. PDI showed 9 patients (34.6%) with synovial inflammation and 17 patients in remission (remission rate 65.4%). However, SMI showed 15 patients with synovial inflammation and 11 patients in remission (remission rate 42.3%). Moreover, SMI detected microvessel flow signal in seven patients diagnosed with clinical remission by PDI. According to these results, SMI revealed
that patients with RA in clinical remission did not achieve real remission. The difference in the detection of remission rate between SMI and PDI was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 5.488$, $P = 0.019$).

Comparison of the presence of synovial SMI and PDI signals

SMI and PDI further detected the synovial blood flow signal in the 572 hand joints of the 26 patients. Table 3 shows that SMI detected 106 blood flow signals (18.5%), while PDI detected 50 blood flow signals (8.7%). SMI, compared to PDI, revealed the presence of synovial blood flow signal in a significantly greater number of joints in patients with RA in clinical remission ($\chi^2 = 229.1$, $P < 0.001$).

Comparison of the grades by SMI and PDI

Using the semi-quantitative scale of 0–3, Table 4 shows the comparison of the grades of synovial blood flow in the 572 hand joints via SMI and PDI. Regarding SMI, 18.0% of the power flow signals increased from Grade 0–1, while 13.7% increased from Grade 1–2. Moreover, one joint classified as Grade 1 via PDI was classified as Grade 0 via SMI. Inter-observer agreement for the assessment of PDI and SMI semi-quantitative scoring was moderate (Kappa = 0.463, $P < 0.01$). These results demonstrated that SMI was more sensitive than PDI for detecting synovial vessel signals of the hand joint in patients with RA in clinical remission. Figure 1 illustrates the case of a woman patient (45 years old) evaluated with SMI and PDI.
Discussion

RA, characterized by erosive synovitis, causes irreversible bone damage and loss of function. Thus, remission is important for RA patients and persistent subclinical synovitis in RA patients who achieve clinical remission highlights the importance of true remission [17]. In the present study, we showed that SMI, a new microvascular flow imaging modality, was more sensitive than PDI for detecting synovial vessel signals of the hand joint in RA patients who achieved clinical remission, suggesting that SMI has great potential for improving diagnostic accuracy in evaluating RA remission.

Although the 2011 ACR/EULAR remission criteria have been developed as guidelines for clinical remission, several studies have proposed the use of imaging remission [17, 18]. A recent study showed that in RA patients with clinical remission, power Doppler activity was demonstrated in the dominant hand and wrist in approximately half of the patients via US examination and the risk of recurrence was 4.5 greater for those with power Doppler positivity than for those with power Doppler negativity [19]. In addition, the recurrence rate of RA in patients who achieved imaging remission was significantly lower than that of patients who did not achieve imaging remission [20]. Our present results showed that in the 10 healthy volunteers, SMI and PDI signals were both scored 0, while in 26 RA patients with clinical remission, the imaging remission rate was 65.4% by PDI and only 42.3% by SMI, consistent with the previous study. Thus, imaging remission could be used to improve the prognosis of RA patients.

Our results further showed that SMI detected more synovial blood flow signal in the 572 hand joints compared with PDI, and improved the blood signal classification to some extent, suggesting that SMI could be more sensitive than PDI for detecting synovitis of the hand joint in RA patients with clinical remission. The moderate inter-observer agreement between PDI and SMI indicates that SMI is a feasible and reliable technique. In recent years, SMI has been reported to allow the visualization of low-velocity flow in microvessels excluding the use of contrast agents, high costs, and invasiveness [21]. Moreover, several studies have reported that SMI, compared to PDI, significantly improved the detection of blood flow signal and synovial inflammation within the joints in RA patients [13, 14]. And our present study is the first to evaluate SMI in RA patients with clinical remission. Compared with PDI, SMI significantly improved the detection of synovial blood flow signals.

In our study, a patient was classified as having Grade 1 synovial blood flow by PDI but was classified as Grade 0 by SMI. We found the patient was in the motor neuron obstacle treatment center in our hospital and had a history of RA. Thus, because of the interference of the tissue movement, PDI might have produced the pseudo-image. PDI is an important method for evaluating RA synovitis and for detecting vascularity in the joint of RA patients [22–24]. However, it is limited in the detection of microvascular patterns and low blood flow velocity [25]. Our results indicated that SMI does not have this PDI limitation and is of great value for identifying true remission in RA patients.

In conclusion, our results suggest that SMI is more sensitive than PDI for the detection of hand joint synovitis in RA patients who have achieved clinical remission, and could aid
RA patients to achieve true remission. Further studies are needed to validate the role of SMI in improving diagnostic accuracy in RA remission.

**Author contributions** There are six authors for the original article. The contributions of each author were: (1) the idea of this article was provided by XLY, he is the corresponding author and first author who was responsible for data collection, processing, drawing, charting, literature review, and article writing. (2) ZL, JX provided rich experience of ultrasound. (3) MR and JBW helped collect patients. (4) YXJ provided specialized guidance of ultrasound. All authors have read and approved this version of the article, and declare no financial or other relationships that could lead to a conflict of interest among authors. 

**Funding** None.

**Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this paper.

**Ethical standards** Informed and written consent were obtained from all participants. The corresponding protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Changzhou Wujin People’s Hospital, affiliated with Jiangsu University. All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest and there is no part of the manuscript that was published or copied from elsewhere.

**Ethical approval** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Informed consent** Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

**References**

1. Harris E Jr (1990) Rheumatoid arthritis. Pathophysiology and implications for therapy. N Engl J Med 322:1277–1289
2. Matsui T, Nakata N, Nagai S et al (2009) Inflammatory cytokines and hypoxia contribute to 18F-FDG uptake by cells involved in pannus formation in rheumatoid arthritis. J Nucl Med Off Publ Soc Nucl Med 50:920–926
3. Dougados M, Devauchellepensec V, Ferlet JF et al (2013) The ability of sonovists to predict structural damage in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparative study between clinical examination and ultrasound. Ann Rheum Dis 72:665
4. Naredo E, Collado P, Cruz A et al (2007) Longitudinal power Doppler ultrasonographic assessment of joint inflammatory activity in early rheumatoid arthritis: Predictive value in disease activity and radiologic progression. Arthritis Care Res 57:116–124
5. Nguyen H, Ruyssenwbrand A, Gandjakhch F et al (2014) Prevalence of ultrasound-detected residual synovitis and risk of relapse and structural progression in rheumatoid arthritis patients in clinical remission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatology 53:2110–2118
6. Molenaar ETH, Voskuyl AE, Dinant HJ et al (2004) Progression of radiologic damage in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission. Arthritis Rheumatol 50:36–42
7. Eijkjberg M, Szkudlarek B (2005) Imaging in early rheumatoid arthritis: roles of magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasonography, conventional radiography and computed tomography. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 19:91–116 M
8. Sciré CA, Montecucco C, Codullo V et al (2009) Ultrasonographic evaluation of joint involvement in early rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission: power Doppler signal predicts short-term relapse. Rheumatology 48:1092
9. Niu LJ, Hao YZ, Zhou CW (2006) Diagnostic value of ultrasonography in thyroid lesions. Chin J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 41:415
10. Ma Y, Li G, Li J, Ren WD (2015) The diagnostic value of superb microvascular imaging (smi) in detecting blood flow signals of breast lesions: a preliminary study comparing sIto color Doppler flow imaging. Medicine 94:e1502
11. Lu R, Meng Y, Yan Z et al (2017) Superb microvascular imaging (SMI) compared with conventional ultrasound for evaluating thyroid nodules. BMC Medical Imaging 17:65
12. Kim HK, O’Hara S, Je BK, Kraus SJ, Horn P (2017) Feasibility of superb microvascular imaging to detect high-grade vesiocutaneous reflux in children with urinary tract infection. Eur Radiol 28:1–8
13. Orlandi D, Gatto S, Bernardi SP et al (2017) Advanced power Doppler technique increases synovial vascularity detection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ultrasound Med Biol 43(9):1880–1887
14. Yokota K, Tsuzuki WT, Akiyama Y, Mimura T (2017) Detection of synovial inflammation in rheumatic diseases using superb microvascular imaging: comparison with conventional power Doppler imaging. Mod Rheumatol 28:1–7
15. Selson DT, Smolen JS, Wells G et al (2011) American College of Rheumatology/European League against rheumatism preliminary definition of remission in rheumatoid arthritis for clinical trials. Arthritis Rheumatol 63:573–586
16. Naredo E, Valor L, De ITI et al (2015) Predictive value of Doppler ultrasound-detected synovitis in relation to failed tapering of biologic therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology 54:1408
17. Horton SC, Ai LT, Freeston JE et al (2016) Discordance between the predictors of clinical and imaging remission in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis in clinical practice: implications for the use of ultrasound within a treatment-to-target strategy. Rheumatology 55:1177
18. van der Heijde D (2012) Remission by imaging in rheumatoid arthritis: should this be the ultimate goal? Ann Rheum Dis 71(Suppl 2):i89
19. Han J, Geng Y, Deng X, Zhang Z(2016) Subclinical synovitis assessed by ultrasound predicts flare and progressive bone erosion in rheumatoid arthritis patients with clinical remission: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Rheumatol 43:2010
20. Foltz V, Gandjakhch F, Etchepare F et al (2012) Power Doppler ultrasound, but not low-field magnetic resonance imaging, predicts relapse and radiographic disease progression in rheumatoid arthritis patients with low levels of disease activity. Arthritis Rheum 64:67
21. Bottos KM, Leite MT, Aventura-Isidro M et al (2011) Corneal asphericity and spherical aberration after refractive surgery. J Cataract Refract Surg 37:1109–1115
22. D’Agostino MA, Terslev L, Wakefield R et al (2016) Novel algorithms for the pragmatic use of ultrasound in the management of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: from diagnosis to remission. Ann Rheum Dis. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-209646
23. Ikeda K, Nakagomi D, Sanayama Y et al (2013) Correlation of radiographic progression with the cumulative activity of synovitis estimated by power Doppler ultrasound in rheumatoid arthritis: difference between patients treated with methotrexate and those treated with biological agents. J Rheumatol 40:1967–1976
24. Nam JL, Hensor EMA, Hunt L et al (2016) Ultrasound findings predict progression to inflammatory arthritis in anti-CCP antibody-positive patients without clinical synovitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2015:208235
25. Boote EJ (2003) AAPM/RSNA physics tutorial for residents: topics in US. Doppler US techniques: concepts of blood flow detection and flow dynamics. Radiographics 23:1315