Forming Highly Polluted PMs Caused by the Invasion of Transboundary Air Pollutants: Model Simulation and Discussion
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ABSTRACT

Transboundary air pollutants that deteriorate ambient air quality have become an emerging international concern recently. This study analyzed and simulated an air pollution case of highly concentrated particulate matter (PM) formed by a severe dust storm case from January 17 till 19 in 2014. For this case, concentrations of PM10 and PM2.5 reached up to 680 and 165 µg m⁻³, respectively. Those concentrations were 5–10 folds higher than the proposed ambient air quality standards of Taiwan. The mechanisms for forming high-concentrated PM in the ambient air of Taiwan and its surrounding area were investigated. Based on the monitored pollutant concentrations and the simulation results with the Weather Research and Forecasting-Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model, both the meteorological conditions and the mechanisms for forming high-concentrated PMs were analyzed. The long-range transported-in air pollutants, travelling with the movement of a strong continental cold high-pressure system originating in northern China, contributed to the poor ambient air quality. Two important mechanisms for forming this highly concentrated PM were proposed, including the transported-in transboundary air pollutants (or their precursors) from China and the leeward side effects on the western side of the Taiwan Island. Low inversion layers in the atmosphere and terrain downwash near the ground surface were observed in this case study while continental cold air approached. The WRF-Chem model simulation results confirmed that the ambient air of western Taiwan was dry and moved downward during the investigated period. Hence, the contribution of transboundary air pollutants to deteriorating ambient air quality cannot be ignored.
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INTRODUCTION

The air pollution problems caused by the invasion of transboundary air pollutants have become problems of universal concern because the movements of transboundary air pollutants are mostly uncontrollable (Zheng et al., 2005; Nenes et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). The pollution problems induced by transboundary air pollutants were less crucial in the past, but the problems have become more serious recently due to global climate change. Extreme climate events not only alter the weather patterns but also transfer air pollutants farther from their origins (Fiore et al., 2012; Fang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Donkelaar et al., 2015).

Poor air quality is of great concern to the residents of western Taiwan from late autumn till early spring, particularly. Particulate matters (PMs) are major concerned air pollutants with concentrations above the ambient air quality standards (Hung and Lo, 2015; Li et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015). Highly concentrated PM cases are often observed from late autumn till early spring in Taiwan, which are mainly caused by two important reasons, including heavy loading of air pollutants originally and unfavorable meteorological conditions for air pollutants dispersing in the ambient air within these periods (Lu et al., 2006; Yen et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017), especially for the latter reason. Atmospheric subsidence over western Taiwan is commonly observed with “northeastern monsoon winds” blowing toward Taiwan, which typically occurs during the periods from late autumn till early spring. In compassion of reducing emissions of local air pollutants, it is much more difficult to constrain the invasion of transboundary air pollutants, and less investigation was conducted for this kind of transport-in pollution problems. Thus, clarifying the forming reason is necessary.

Both air quality and meteorological models are often
applied to investigating the transformation and transportation of air pollutants in the atmosphere. The effects of weather conditions on air pollutant concentrations are particularly interesting, which can be analyzed on the basis of the simulated results from various air quality and/or meteorological models, such as CALGRID (Yamartino et al., 1992), MM5 (Grell et al., 1994), CMAQ (Pai et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2017), MODEL3 (Zheng et al., 2013), AERMOD (Kumar et al., 2017), and CAMx. In these studies, air quality and meteorological models are typically applied separately first and then together for comprehensively analyzing both fluid fields and air pollutant concentrations. However, extra efforts are usually required for combining these models, and meanwhile, some errors often happen. On the other hand, the Weather Research and Forecasting/Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model, established by the US government and academic groups after 2011, was developed by combining the WRF model with atmospheric chemical reaction models (Guenther et al., 1993; Borge et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2017). The WRF-Chem model can thus more comprehensively and conveniently model the transport phenomena of air pollutants in the atmosphere (Kumar et al., 2012; Lo and Hung, 2012). Thus, the WRF-Chem was applied in this research.

Taiwan is a small island but with a complex geography. The mountains with heights up to 4000 m are located along the Taiwan Island, causing both macro-meteorological conditions arising from the regional climate and micro-meteorological conditions resulting from high mountains affecting the flow patterns of air streams around and within Taiwan. Accordingly, a transboundary PMs–induced haze case occurring January 17–19, 2014, was investigated in this study. The phenomena for forming high-concentrated PMs, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, in the ambient air above the Taiwan Strait and over the Taiwan Island were analyzed. Both meteorological conditions and air pollutant concentrations in the aforementioned ambient air were recorded for further analysis and simulation with the WRF-Chem model. The intrinsic mechanisms underlying the transboundary air pollutant–induced formation of high-concentrated PMs in ambient air were discussed in the study. These results can provide essential information for air quality management.

**METHODODOLOGY**

**WRF-Chem Model Operating and Parameters Setting**

Starting from January 17, 2014, a strong continental cold high-pressure system originating in northern China blew down to Far East Asia. This cold high-pressure system affected Taiwan weather from the noon of January 17 (see Fig. 1) and considerably deteriorated the ambient air quality from the morning of January 18 till noon on January 19. In this study, the WRF-Chem model was used to simulate both PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the ambient air of Taiwan during the period of January 17–19, 2014 (China Standard Time). In order to make the numerical model operate more stably and accurately, the period from January 15 00 Z till January 17 00 Z (about 48 hours) was set as a pre-integration stage for model calculation for more accurately modeling the concentrations of PMs after January 17 00 Z. Table 1 summarizes the parameter settings for operating the WRF-Chem model (Guenther et al., 1994; Kumar et al., 2017). There were three nesting domains used in this study (illustrated in Fig. 2). The outermost domain (D1) has a horizontal grid of $45 \times 45$ and a grid spacing of 81 km, which covers Far East Asia with a domain center point at $25^\circ$N, $120^\circ$E. The domain 2 (D2), covering the area of southeastern China including Taiwan, has a horizontal grid of $40 \times 40$ and a grid spacing of 27 km. The domain 3 (D3), designed to cover the whole Taiwan Island, has a horizontal grid of $47 \times 59$ and a grid spacing of 9 km (Table 1).

In addition, several data systems were applied for conducting the WRFChem model. For instance, both initial meteorological fields and boundary conditions were adopted from NCEP FNL Operational Global Analysis Data with 1° × 1°-resolution-degree grids for every 6 hours. Anthropogenic air pollutant emissions were estimated from the Regional Emission Inventory in Asia (REAS2007) and Taiwan Emission Database v 9.0 (TEDS 9.0) which included stationary, mobile, point, area, line, and natural sources (Taiwan EPA, 2016). Natural emissions of gases and aerosols were based on online calculations of MEGAN (version 2) (Guenther et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2018). Gas-phase chemical reactions for PMs in the model were calculated by the mechanisms proposed by Statewide Air Pollution Research Center (SAPRC-99) (Carter, 2000). Finally, photolysis schemes reported by Madronich Fast Troposphere Ultraviolet Visible (F-TUV) were used for photolytic rate calculation in this study (Tie et al., 2003).

Besides, several configurations provided by WRF-Chem were applied in this study, which included GODDARD shortwaves schemes (Chou et al., 1998), RRTMG longwave radiation schemes (Clough et al., 2005; Lacono et al., 2008), and Yonsei University (YSU) planetary boundary layer (PBL) schemes (Hong and Noh, 2006). The YSU scheme could accurately simulate deeper vertical mixing in buoyancy-driven PBLs with shallower mixing in strong-wind regimes compared to medium-range forecast (MRF) model schemes (Hong and Lim, 2006). The meteorological data from Taiwan EPA’s monitoring stations were also introduced into the WRF-Chem through FDDA (four-dimensional data assimilation) for model simulating (Kumar et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017).

**Air Pollutant Emission Inventories and Air Quality Data**

Air pollutant inventories for Asia, the regional emission inventory in Asia (REAS) Version 1.1 from 2014, were collected for this study. REAS is an air pollutant inventory that integrates historical, present, and possible future emissions in Asia on the basis of a consistent methodology. The target area for this study is from south to east Asia ranging from $50^\circ$N to $10^\circ$S in latitude and from $60^\circ$E to $150^\circ$E in longitude (Kurokawa et al., 2013; Saikawa et al., 2017).

Additionally, hourly concentrations of PMs were collected from ambient air quality monitoring stations located within Taiwan and on offshore islands established by the Taiwan Environmental Protection Agency. Data on the PM...
Fig. 1. Surface weather charts for Jan. 17, 18 and 19, 2014 (data from Taiwan CWB).
Table 1. WRF/Chem model domain setting and simulation configurations.

| Domain setting | D1 | D2 | D3 |
|----------------|----|----|----|
| Domain point (x,y) | 45,45 | 40,40 | 47,59 |
| Horizontal resolution | 81-km | 27-km | 9-km |
| Vertical resolution | 27 layers from surface to 100 hpa (~16 km) | | |

Physical and chemical processes

Microphysics

Morrison
(Chen and Dudhia, 2001; Morrison et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2017)

Cumulus

Grell 3D ensemble (domain D1 and D2), none for D3
(Grell and Freitas, 2014)

Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL)

Yonsei University Scheme (YSU)
(Hong and Lim, 2006; Hong and Noh, 2006)

Anthropogenic emission

Regional Emission inventory in Asia (REAS2007);
Taiwan Emission Database v9.0 (TEDS 9.0)
(Lo and Hung, 2012)

Biogenic emission

MEGAN 2; Taiwan Biogenic Emission Inventory System Version 2, TBEIS-2.0
(Guenther et al., 2006)

Photolysis

Madronich F-TUV
(Tie et al., 2003)

Longwave radiation

Rapid and accurate Radiative Transfer Model for GCM (RRTMG)
(Clough et al., 2005; Lacono et al., 2008)

Shortwave radiation

GODDARD scheme
(Chou et al., 1998)

Gas-phase chemistry

SAPRC-99
(Cater, 2000)

Aerosol module

MADE/SORGAM and MADE/VBS
(Grell et al., 2005; Shrivastava et al., 2011)

Fig. 2. Modelling domain and terrain height for the WRF-Chem model.

Concentrations were obtained from more than 80 ambient air quality monitoring stations. The daily peak concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ and the mass ratio of PM$_{2.5}$ to PM$_{10}$, measured at 16 representative air quality monitoring stations, including the stations located on offshore islands (Matsu, Kinmen, and Penghu), in northern Taiwan (Yangming, Longtan, Hukou, and Sanyi), in central Taiwan (Fengyuan, Chushan, and Lunbei), and in southern Taiwan (Tainan, Qiaotou, Linyuan, Hengchun, and Taitung), are summarized in Table 2 and depicted in Fig. 2. Among these 16 stations, 3 of them are on small islands in the Taiwan Strait, and the rest of them are in various locations of Taiwan Island.
The bar above the squared differences is the mean (similar to \( \bar{x} \)). The same formula can be written with the following, slightly different notation (Barnston, 1992):

\[
RMSE_{f_o} = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (Z_f - Z_o)^2}{N} \right]^{1/2}
\]

where:

- \( f \): forecasts (simulated results),
- \( o \): observed values (observed results).

The same formula can be written with the following, slightly different notation (Barnston, 1992):

\[
RMSE_f = \sqrt{\left( \bar{f} - \bar{o} \right)^2} \tag{1}
\]

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Weather Systems**

Fig. 1 illustrates the major weather systems around Taiwan from January 17 till 19 in 2014. On the morning of January 17, 2014, strong and cold high pressure, a cold outburst formed in Mongolia (40°20’–50°5’N, 106°–121°40’E),
moved eastward by following the direction from northern China to Shandong and Bohai Bay (37°07′–41°N, 117°35′–121°10′E). After January 18, 2014, the strong cold northwest winds brought continental cold high pressure, traveled along East Asia, and turned into northeast winds after passing 30°N. The northeastern monsoon features a core cold high pressure system with a low relative humidity (< 55%). While moving down south to the areas near Taiwan and Fujian (east coast of China), the winds continued blowing southwards along the region between the Taiwan Strait and west coast of Taiwan (Fig. 1). However, the radiative cooling effect at night was strong enough to deteriorate the ambient air quality over Taiwan. In fact, surface atmosphere was stable and had high density (Streets et al., 2003). Furthermore, because the Taiwan Strait becomes narrow around the middle of Taiwan, whereas it stretches to the south of the latitudinal positions, the divergence of air currents resulting from the Venturi effect weakens the strong northeastern monsoon over the Strait and redirects the wind to blow northwesterly.

Both northern and central Taiwan, including offshore islands (Kinmen, Matsu, and Penghu), were within the reach of the northeastern monsoon caused by the continental high pressure system, but southern and eastern Taiwan were under the southwest edge of the high pressure system. The wind direction began to turn weak eastwards from the northeast gradually, causing atmospheric conditions unfavorable to air pollutant dispersion in the ambient air of southern Taiwan. When the northeast monsoon reached the south end of the Central Mountain Range, it might have gone over Hengchun Peninsula, characterizing lower terrain followed by blowing northwesterly and moving into the waters to the southwest of Hengchun under the geostrophic effect. Two air currents converged offshore while the wake area formed along the coast with a mild horizontal wind speed.

**PM Concentration Variation**

For this study, data on the PM concentrations were obtained from more than 80 ambient air quality monitoring stations established by the Taiwan Environmental Protection Agency (Taiwan EPA). The daily peak concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ and the mass ratio of PM$_{2.5}$ to PM$_{10}$ for the 16 represented ambient air quality monitoring stations (Fig. 2) are summarized in Table 2. The ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations for certain stations increased to unusually high levels, up to 152 and 680 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively, while the continental cold high-pressure system approached Taiwan region. The concentrations were much higher than the air quality standards proposed by the Taiwan EPA, 35 µg m$^{-3}$ for PM$_{2.5}$ and 65 µg m$^{-3}$ for PM$_{10}$.

Moreover, the PM$_{2.5}$ to PM$_{10}$ ratios during the investigation period peaked at 0.8 (besides at certain Lunbei and Mailao stations), which was relatively higher than those usual at this time of the year, 0.4–0.6. In particular, the PM$_{2.5}$ to PM$_{10}$ ratio increased at Lunbei station to reach 680 µg m$^{-3}$. Similar phenomena were also observed at the other stations located in central Taiwan (23°50′–24°25′N, 120°15′–120°45′E).

Figs. 3(a)–3(d) illustrates the temporal variation of measured PM concentrations and relative humidity variations during the period of January 17–19, 2014, at four representative air quality monitoring stations, namely, the Matsu, Longtan, Mailao, and Zouying stations. The Matsu station is located on Nangan Island, which is a small island located less than 10 km from Mainland China; its ambient air quality is thus easily affected by the air pollutants from the southeastern coast of China. Northern, northwestern, and northeastern winds may all transport airborne pollutants to the Matsu station. The other three stations are located in the northern, middle, and southern regions of Taiwan, respectively, and thus are representative of the ambient air quality in different places of Taiwan.

Figs. 3(a)–3(b) shows high PM concentrations in the ambient air across Taiwan, which was mainly contributed by the transboundary airborne PMs transported with the movement of a cold high-pressure system from the northwest to the southeast. Considerably high PM concentrations were reported during the 30th till 66th hour of the investigated period. Matsu achieved its peak concentration first, followed by Mailao, Longtan, and Zouying (Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)). Among these four stations, Matsu had the highest PM$_{2.5}$ concentration, 165 µg m$^{-3}$, and Mailao had the highest PM$_{10}$ concentration, 588 µg m$^{-3}$. These peak PM concentrations occurred at approximately the 38th–40th hour when atmospheric humidity was less than 50% (Fig. 3(c)). Such poor air quality was caused by the transboundary air pollutants and local air pollutants. Fig. 4 illustrates the backward trajectories from the NOAA ARL Hybrid single-particle Lagrangian integrated trajectory simulation for both the Matsu and Kaohsiung stations, verifying the extent to which long-range transportation contributes to air pollutant concentrations. With January 21, 2014, as the base, the backward trajectory analysis covered Matsu (26°09′37.69″N,
Fig. 3. (a)–(d) Observed PM concentrations of four represented ambient air quality monitoring stations (Matsu, Longtan, Mailao, Zouying) from Jan. 17 to 19, 2014. (Transboundary air pollutants arrived in Taiwan in the afternoon of Jan. 18, 2014).
119°56′59.55″E) and Kaohsiung (22°38′N, 120°17′E) at heights of 10 m, 20 m, and 500 m for 96 hours. The continental cold air masses were shown to have originated in Mongolia and traveled southward through Beijing and Hebei before moving seaward to the southeast, affecting Taiwan (Fig. 4), thus confirming the transport of air pollutants from China to Taiwan.

The continental cold air masses transported air pollutants from China to Kaohsiung and then to Taiwan, which moved through Beijing (39°26′–41°03′N, 115°25′–117°30′E), Tianjin (38°34′–40°15′N, 116°43′–118°19′E) and Heifei (30°57′–32°32′N, 116°41′–117°58′E). Checking historic air quality index data in China (https://www.zq12369.com/environment.php), the AQI index and PM concentrations increased from January 15. The AQI index ranged from 310 to 400 µg m–3. The PM10 concentrations were higher than 350 µg m–3, and the PM2.5 concentrations were higher than 270–350 µg m–3 at these three stations (Fig. 5).

In addition, as shown in Figs. 3(a)–(b), PM concentrations in the ambient air of East Asia rapidly increased to high levels after the morning of January 18 while the cold high-pressure system from China began to disperse southward. The high-pressure system contributed to a substantial increase in PM concentrations along the coast of East China, which was already completely enveloped in haze. The PM2.5 concentration in Matsu in the afternoon of January 18 was 165 µg m–3 and peaked to 125 and 87 µg m–3 at Kinmen and Magong, respectively, in the evening of January 18. Meanwhile, the PM10 concentrations for the offshore stations were as high as 214 µg m–3. During the period of January 17–19, the ambient air over most of Taiwan, particularly mid-western Taiwan, also had very high PM concentrations. The PM2.5 and PM10 concentrations at the Lunbei station in mid-western Taiwan, for example, were 138 and 680 µg m–3, respectively, which were 4–10 times higher than the Taiwan EPA ambient air quality standards.

This severe haze case is a typical air pollution case caused by transboundary air pollutants. The PM concentrations increased from January 18 09:00. Before 14:00 on the same day, the PM2.5 concentrations were 104, 138, 125, and 59 µg m–3 in northern, mid-western, southern, and eastern Taiwan, respectively. The PM10 concentration in these regions was as high as 159, 680, 408, and 114 µg m–3. Western Taiwan, particularly the mid-western and southern regions, had overwhelmingly high PM concentration conditions. The concentration of fine PM was about 10-fold higher than its normal concentration.

Following the early morning of January 19, both PM2.5 and PM10 concentrations in northern and central Taiwan began to decrease, but those overseas (e.g., Matsu) and the southern Taiwan stations (e.g., Zuoying) maintained high PM conditions until the midnight of January 19. Matsu’s high PM concentration was attributed to its nearness to China. As shown in Fig. 3(d), during the period of January 17–19, strong northeast winds continuously brought air pollutants to Matsu, thus deteriorating its ambient air quality dramatically. After January 19, although the cold high-pressure system had weakened, the air quality in southeastern coastal regions of China was still poor, and air pollutants from China continuously affected Matsu.

The PM concentration in Zuoying peaked after it peaked in Matsu and Mailao. Atmospheric humidity in Zuoying was lower than at other stations, which might be a side effect of the atmospheric subsidence in southwestern Taiwan caused by the northeastern monsoon winds. Finally, the PM concentrations in northern Taiwan were still 2–3 times.
higher than usual. The northeastern monsoon continuously prevailed toward north Taiwan (Fig. 3(d)) during the investigated period, showing the typical flowing patterns of a cold high-pressure system and airborne pollutants travelling with the high-pressure winds.

Compared to other stations, the ambient air quality in Hengchun was less affected by the transboundary pollutants. Its PM concentration was about 1–2 folds higher than usual. The cold high-pressure system was considerably weakened by the time it arrived in southern Taiwan, a similar case to the Asian dust originating in China seldom reaching southern Taiwan (He et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). The PM$_{2.5}$ to PM$_{10}$ ratio in Hengchun station was the lowest among the investigated stations, further evidencing the weak influence of the transboundary air pollutants on southern Taiwan.

**Simulated Results: Wind Fields and PM Concentrations**

Fig. 6 depicts both observed (dotted lines) and the simulated (solid lines) PM concentrations at four representative ambient air quality monitoring stations during the period of January 17–19, 2014, at a resolution of 9 km. The simulated results agreed well (moderate correlation coefficients, except for certain cases of very high concentrations) with the measured PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations (Zang et al., 2016) (Fig. 7).

Both atmospheric wind fields and PM concentrations within the region covering Southeast Asia, including Taiwan, were simulated. First, the WRF-Chem model was simulated at two synoptic scale resolutions (81 and 27 km). Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, respectively, present the simulated spatial and temporal variations in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and wind fields. The model was subsequently simulated at a
Fig. 6. Both observed and simulated PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in the ambient air in Taiwan from Jan. 17 to 19, 2014 (Matsu, Longtan, Mailao, and Zouying stations).
Fig. 7. Dispersion plots between observed (X-axis) and simulated PM concentrations (Y-axis) (Units in μg m⁻³, PM₁₀ is left column, PM₂·₅ is right column).
higher resolution of 9 km for air pollutants and fluid fields on the Taiwan Island (Fig. 10). These simulations confirmed that the rapid deterioration of ambient air quality was mainly caused by the transboundary air pollutants from China. On January 17, a cold high-pressure system moved southeastward and transported air pollutants toward Taiwan. After passing 30 N, the high-pressure system moved farther southward along the region between the Taiwan Strait and western Taiwan’s Central Mountain Range. Meanwhile, PMs gradually accumulated in the ambient air of western Taiwan.

After the cold high-pressure system passed over Matsu, Kinmen, and Magong, the cold front entered mid-western Taiwan before moving over the rest of Taiwan. The PMs accompanying the cold front increased its concentration in the ambient air of most of Taiwan. But the cold front had
Fig. 9. Simulated surface PM$_{2.5}$ concentration contour and wind vectors in East Asia and Taiwan from Jan. 17 to 19, 2014 (Units: concentration in µg m$^{-3}$; wind vector full bar: 10 kt hr$^{-1}$, red (left column)/white (right column) dotted line: sulfate deposition flux $\geq$ 1 µg m$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$; Left column is for D1-81 km, right column is D2-27 km).

more influence on the western part of Taiwan than the eastern part of Taiwan (176 and 60 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively) because the leeward side effects of the Central Mountain Range also contributed to increasing PM concentrations (Shrivastava et al., 2011). The PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in southern Taiwan did not decrease until the morning of January 19, 2014, when the high pressure reflux was decreasing slowly.

Table 3 shows the MAE and RMSE values of ensemble WRF-CHEM simulations for the investigated air pollution. For the PM$_{10}$ case, the bias values indicated that the coupled models resulted in slightly positive biases of simulation except for 24 hours, which had a bias value of 9.21 µg m$^{-3}$. The MAE and RMSE values of the three sequentially (24, 48, 72 hrs) did not change significantly, but the ensemble 72 hr simulation was associated with the lowest MAE value.

Table 3 shows the MAE and RMSE values of ensemble WRF-CHEM simulations for the investigated air pollution. For the PM$_{10}$ case, the bias values indicated that the coupled models resulted in slightly positive biases of simulation except for 24 hours, which had a bias value of 9.21 µg m$^{-3}$. The MAE and RMSE values of the three sequentially (24, 48, 72 hrs) did not change significantly, but the ensemble 72 hr simulation was associated with the lowest MAE value.
Fig. 10. Simulated PM$_{10}$ (left column) and PM$_{2.5}$ (right column) concentrations ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$) and wind (bars) at 9 km-grid resolution on 04UTC Jan. 17–19, 2014.

Table 3. PMs statistical comparison (Bias, MAE, RMSE) between WRF/Chem simulated and observation.

| Time       | Jan. 17$^{th}$ (24 hr) | Jan. 18$^{th}$ (48 hr) | Jan. 19$^{th}$ (72 hr) |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| PM$_{10}$ ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$) |                        |                        |                        |
| Surface Bias       | 9.21                   | -27.69                 | -17.31                 |
| Surface MAE        | 19.85                  | 20.5                   | 18.7                   |
| Surface RMSE       | 22.3                   | 30.9                   | 23.5                   |
| PM$_{2.5}$ ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$) |                        |                        |                        |
| Surface Bias       | 1.93                   | -7.42                  | -15.06                 |
| Surface MAE        | 8.2                    | 9.1                    | 13.1                   |
| Surface RMSE       | 10.3                   | 10.4                   | 13.5                   |
The MAE values were 19.85, 20.5, and 18.7 µg m$^{-3}$ for the 24$^\text{th}$, 48$^\text{th}$, and 72$^\text{th}$ hr model simulations, respectively. In addition, the RMSE value of ensemble 24 hr simulations was reduced by 22.3, 30.9, and 23.5 µg m$^{-3}$ when compared to the 24$^\text{th}$, 48$^\text{th}$, and 72$^\text{th}$ hr modeling, respectively. Although the low concentration was within the range of the sampling error (≤ 5%), the high concentration trend has more higher (5–10%). For the PM$_{2.5}$, the bias values indicated that the coupled models resulted in slightly positive biases (above observation data) in the simulation except for the 24$^\text{th}$ hr, which had a bias value of 1.93 µg m$^{-3}$. The MAE and RMSE value of the three sequentially (24$^\text{th}$, 48$^\text{th}$, 72$^\text{th}$ hr) did not change significantly, but the ensemble 24$^\text{th}$ hr simulation was associated with the lowest MAE value. The MAE values were 8.2, 9.1, and 13.1 µg m$^{-3}$ for the 24$^\text{th}$, 48$^\text{th}$, and 72$^\text{th}$ hr model simulations, respectively. Besides, the RMSE value of the ensemble 24$^\text{th}$ hour simulations was reduced by 10.3, 10.4, and 13.5 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively, compared to the 24$^\text{th}$, 48$^\text{th}$, and 72$^\text{th}$ hr modeling simulations. The PM$_{10}$ concentration has a large deviation value during the 24–48 hr period. The PM$_{2.5}$ concentration has a large deviation value during the 48–72 hr period. Although the low concentration was within the range of the sampling error (≤ 5%), the high concentration trend has more higher (5–10%).

Table 4 shows the forecast average errors at 4 stations over 3 days, which indicates a larger but still acceptable deviation in surface wind direction (up to 10 degrees for RMSE). For temperature, the average error value of 4 stations is small (meaning the forecast temperature is on the lower side). The best meteorological simulation appears in the pressure range from 1 to 24 hr. With the period changes of 48–72 hours, meteorological parameters the bias will gradually become larger for WRF model simulate.

Fig. 11 depicts the variation in PBL height for four representative air quality monitoring stations during the investigated period. Atmospheric PBL height can be an indicator for the height or threshold for a boundary layer and can be determined by vertical temperature changing in the atmosphere (Coniglio et al., 2013; Yen et al., 2013; Grell et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017). The PBL height in the atmosphere is usually about 1000–2000 m during the daytime in winters, but it is often under 900 m or even lower in the night. For this case study, because the ground was heated by solar radiation during the daytime, the height of the boundary layer was about 1100 m. But in the night, with the decrease in solar radiation, the turbulence intensity in the boundary layer gradually decayed, and the PBL height decreased to as low as 410 m. On Jan. 18, 2014, the PBL height for both MT and LT was higher than 1200 m, but the height for the ML and ZY stations, stations in mid-western and southern Taiwan, respectively, was between 900 and 980 m. Consistently, PM$_{10}$s in ZY were higher than 125 µg m$^{-3}$ (PM$_{2.5}$) and 300 µg m$^{-3}$ (PM$_{10}$). High PM concentration is often observed under a low atmospheric PBL height (Fig. 11). As a matter of fact, most areas of Taiwan were under the effects of the high pressure system for this case study, causing the relatively low PBL height observed in most of Taiwan.

Table 4. Meteorological data statistical comparison (Bias, MAE, RMSE) between WRF/Chem simulated and observation.

| Time       | Jan. 17$^\text{th}$ (24 h) | Jan. 18$^\text{th}$ (48 h) | Jan. 19$^\text{th}$ (72 h) |
|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| *** Temperature (°C) *** |                             |                             |                             |
| Surface Bias | –0.7842                     | –0.506                      | –0.7446                     |
| Surface MAE  | 2.589                       | 2.342                       | 2.647                       |
| Surface RMSE | 4.186                       | 2.9938                      | 4.067                       |
| *** Dew point (°C) *** |                             |                             |                             |
| Surface Bias | –4.131                      | 1.261                       | 1.262                       |
| Surface MAE  | 4.979                       | 2.707                       | 2.735                       |
| Surface RMSE | 5.847                       | 4.028                       | 4.008                       |
| *** Wind U Component (m s$^{-1}$) *** |                             |                             |                             |
| Surface Bias | –8.761                      | –1.992                      | –1.890                      |
| Surface MAE  | 1.897                       | 2.910                       | 2.979                       |
| Surface RMSE | 2.470                       | 3.886                       | 3.909                       |
| *** Wind V Component (m s$^{-1}$) *** |                             |                             |                             |
| Surface Bias | –1.293                      | –1.788                      | –1.971                      |
| Surface MAE  | 2.408                       | 3.104                       | 3.297                       |
| Surface RMSE | 3.110                       | 4.066                       | 4.250                       |
| *** Wind Direction (Deg) *** |                             |                             |                             |
| Surface Bias | 4.462                       | 12.297                      | 12.317                      |
| Surface MAE  | 7.102                       | 8.112                       | 8.061                       |
| Surface RMSE | 10.879                      | 12.938                      | 10.260                      |
| *** Wind Speed (m s$^{-1}$) *** |                             |                             |                             |
| Surface Bias | 2.130                       | 3.544                       | 3.711                       |
| Surface MAE  | 2.493                       | 3.700                       | 3.868                       |
| Surface RMSE | 3.168                       | 4.734                       | 4.887                       |
downwash and thus results in the formation of high air pollution cases.

On January 18, 2014, the Matsu station showed that the observed PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was 165 µg m$^{-3}$ (Table 2), while the simulated concentration was underestimated as about 110 µg m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 12). There were some possible reasons for such underestimation, including inconsistencies in the emission inventory of PMs, especially for the emission sources in China, the special topographic surface conditions of the Taiwan Island unable to be reflected in the model, and the additional air pollutants (e.g., fugitive road dust generated by strong winds), which were not considered in the model (Chang et al., 2015; Donkelaar et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016). Nevertheless, both the measured and simulated results clearly demonstrated that the long-range transported air pollutants from China substantially affected the ambient air quality in Taiwan from the early morning of January 18, 2014.

On the basis of the WRF-Chem simulations, the vertical atmospheric structures within the investigation area were analyzed with a simulated Skew-T log-P diagram for both Matsu and Lontan (Fig. 13). The dry cold air currents of the high-pressure systems started moving southward on January 17, resulting in a rapid overnight fall in temperature, the formation of a stable inversion layer, and the weakening of surface wind speeds. With the east wind approaching, the air pollutants accumulated and were trapped within the leeward side of the mountains of Taiwan. The vertical wind field also showed that the vertical atmosphere in Matsu (Fig. 13, left) generally featured downward motion and temperature inversion during the period of January 17–19.

The simulated Skew-T log-P diagram of Mailao (left) and Zouying (right) illustrated in Fig. 14 shows that ground heat radiated strongly into the air at sunset on the windless and clear winter nights during the investigation period. Accordingly, ground and near-ground temperatures dropped rapidly. During the investigation period, the boundary layer in Zouying was at < 900 hPa (Fig. 14, right). However, the temperature in the higher atmospheric layers decreased relatively slowly. An inversion featuring high temperatures at high layers and low temperatures at low layers was formed. It was difficult for this inversion layer to disperse air pollutants vertically owing to insufficient sunlight and low temperatures during the day, which resulted in high ground-level air pollution throughout the day (Gao et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2015).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The mechanisms underlying the increase in concentrations of PM during the period of January 17–19, 2014, over East Asia, including Taiwan, were investigated in this case study. Both PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ peaked as high as 680 and 165 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively, on January 18, 2014, mainly because of the transported transboundary air pollutants accompanying a cold high-pressure weather system. The WRF-Chem model was used to simulate and analyze the main mechanisms underlying the formation of high concentrations of PM in western Taiwan. Some important results of this study can be summarized as follows:
(1) The cold high-pressure system featured low temperatures and dry and downward currents in the middle and higher atmospheric layers, which facilitated the long-range transport of air pollutants. The transported air pollutants significantly lowered the ambient air quality. In addition, the complex topography in western Taiwan led to the formation of wake areas and/or low inversion layers, consequently further deteriorating the ambient air quality.

(2) The temperature inversion, which occurs frequently in winter, lowered the ambient air quality after nightfall.

The consistent mechanisms observed in this case study show that the rising indexes of air pollution were highly correlated with temperature inversion conditions.

(3) The WRF-Chem model simulation confirmed that the air in western Taiwan was dry and moved downward for this case study, resulting in the formation of low inversion layers. The simulation was highly correlated with the measurements at stations upwind and downwind of the cold front, indicating that the pollution was contributed by the synergistic effects of the transported air pollutants and the topographic distribution mechanisms.

Fig. 12. WRF-Chem simulated vertical profile of PMs (PM$_{10}$ PM$_{2.5}$). (Station: X-axis, Model level (SURFACE (SFC): 0, 1000 hpa (near 180 m): 1, 975 hpa: 2, 950 hpa: 3, 925 hpa (near 800 m): 4, 900 hpa: 5, 850 (near 1500 m) hpa: 6, 800 hpa: 7, 750 hpa: 8, 700 hpa (near 3000 m): 9, 650 hpa: 10, 600 hpa: 11, 550 hpa: 12, 500 hpa (near 5500 m): 13, 450 hpa: 14, 400 hpa: 15, 350 hpa: 16, 300 hpa (near 9600 m): 17, 250 hpa: 18, 200 hpa: 19, 150 hpa: 20, 100 hpa (16500 m): 21: Y-axis).
Fig. 13. Simulated skew-temperature diagram for Matsu and Longtan air quality monitoring stations (black line: temperature (°C); gray line: dew-point temperature (°C); wind vector full barb = 5 m s⁻¹).
Fig. 14. Simulated skew-temperature diagram for Mailao and Zuoying air quality monitoring stations (black line: temperature (°C); gray line: dew-point temperature (°C); wind vector full barb = 5 m s⁻¹).
(4) The model simulation demonstrated that the air pollutants were transported along the north–south direction in western Taiwan, whereas the cold and dry descending currents on the leeward side gradually moved southward. This led to the formation of high PM concentrations near the ground surface and to terrain downwash.
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