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Epidermodysplasia verruciformis human papillomavirus types and carcinoma of the conjunctiva: a pilot study
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A total of 21 squamous-cell carcinoma of the conjunctiva (SCC) and 22 control subjects had conjunctival samples tested for human papillomavirus (HPV) types using PCR-based assays. Epidermodysplasia verruciformis HPV types were found in 86% of SCC cases and 36% of control subjects (Odds ratio = 12.0), suggesting a role of HPVs in the aetiology of SCC.
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Squamous-cell carcinoma of the eye conjunctiva (SCC) is a rare tumour associated with heavy sun exposure, which has greatly increased in some Sub-Saharan African countries, coincident with the spread of HIV (Newton, 1996). An increased risk of SCC has been reported in HIV-positive individuals in the United States (Goedert and Cote, 1995). The link with immune impairment suggests that infectious agents such as epithelio-tropic human papillomaviruses (HPVs) may be involved in the aetiology of SCC.

HPVs comprise mucosal and cutaneous types, the latter being found in skin carcinomas from patients with Epidermodysplasia verruciformis (EV) (zur Hausen, 2000). A subgroup of high-risk mucosal HPV types, notably HPV 16, 18 and 45, are the cause of cervical carcinoma and of a subset of ano-genital and head and neck carcinomas (zur Hausen, 2000). Low-risk HPV types 6 and 11 have been detected in benign papillomas of the conjunctiva, while studies of SCC have produced inconsistent results. A few studies found HPV types 16 and 18 DNA in SCC tissue biopsies, while others did not (Newton, 1996). Squamous-cell carcinoma of the conjunctiva risk was not associated with antibodies against HPV16, 18 and 45 in a case–control study in Uganda (Newton et al, 2002).

METHODS

Between March 2000 and January 2001, we conducted a pilot case–control study on 21 SCC cases (mean age: 33.5, range 23–49) and 22 age frequency-matched control patients with benign conjunctival lesions (mean age 33.8, range 14–55) in New Mulago Eye Department, Kampala, Uganda, where SCC has become the most common ocular malignancy. This aimed to assess the feasibility of a larger case–control study in that location, and to test methods.

All patients signed an informed consent and the study was approved by the local Ethical Committee. In total, 10 controls had pterygium, seven pingueculum, four solar keratosis and one pigmented naevus. Tissue specimens from SCC cases and control patients were collected, histologically confirmed, and deep-frozen before shipment to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Lyon, France, for HPV testing. To minimise the risk of contamination, the collection of the specimens was performed at different days using disposable material. DNA was extracted from each sample in an IARC laboratory that is exclusively used for this purpose. To monitor the possible occurrence of cross contamination between the different specimens during the extraction of DNA, tubes containing distilled water (one every 10 specimens) were included.

HPV detection was performed by means of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays using different sets of primers (Chen et al, 1994; Berkhout et al, 1995; Jacobs et al, 1995; Forslund et al, 1999, Harwood et al, 1999; Caldeira et al, 2003). Laboratory personnel were blinded to the histological diagnosis of each patient.

The PCR mixture was prepared in a special laboratory (pre-PCR laboratory) in sterile conditions, while the PCR and analysis of products were performed in different laboratories. As in the DNA extraction protocol, negative controls were included in the different PCRs. Products obtained with all type-specific primers were also tested by Southern blotting. All analyses, including cellular DNA extraction, PCR, and Southern blotting, were performed in duplicate using consecutive sections of frozen specimens.
RESULTS

We first determined the presence of the mucosal HPV types using the general primers (GP) 5′/+6′, GP1/GP2 and specific primers for HPV16, HPV18 and HPV45. No mucosal types were found in either cases or controls, but in one SCC case (patient number 21) we detected, by means of GP1/GP2 primers, a novel HPV type closely related to EV HPV type RTRX7 (Table 1). To search for other EV HPV types, we used the FAP59/64 primers, which were designed from two relatively conserved regions present in the L1 gene of most HPV types, including the cutaneous ones. The low-risk mucosal HPV type 11 was detected in two controls with pterygium (patient numbers 22 and 23; Table 1).

We then followed three highly sensitive nested PCR protocols for detecting EV HPV types: CP62/69-EN3F/EN3R; CP62/69-EN1F/EN1R; and CP66/CP69-CP65/CP70 (Berkhout et al, 1995; Harwood et al, 1999). We found additional EV HPV types in six cases (patient numbers 3, 4, 6, 7, 14, 18) and one control (patient number 43). In the majority of the HPV positive subjects (patient numbers 3, 4, 7, 18 and 43) we were able to directly sequence the PCR product and identify the HPV type, including one each for HPV38 (patient number 43). In the majority of the HPV positive subjects (patient numbers 3, 4, 6, 7, 14, 18 and one control (patient number 24) were we able to demonstrate the presence of HPV38 by DNA sequencing. One case (patient number 4) was positive for both HPV 38 and HPV 24. Positive signals at Southern blotting, not confirmed by DNA sequencing, are likely to correspond to the presence of HPV types closely related to HPV38 (Table 1). Testing for HPV22 and HPV23, which are closely related to HPV38, and HPV10, that is associated with benign skin lesions, gave negative results for all patients. The results of the different PCR protocols used in the study are summarised in the last column of Table 1. Overall, EV HPV types were found in 18 out of 21 (86%) SCC cases and in eight out of 22 (36%) controls.

SCC cases and 20 controls who had a questionnaire including sociodemographic and lifestyle information are compared in Table 2 by means of age-adjusted odds ratios (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). The OR for the presence of EV HPV was 12.0 (95% CI: 1.7 – 84.9). The prevalence of EV HPV did not vary by age group in either cases or controls. Educational level was inversely associated with SCC risk, whereas outdoor occupation and sun exposure were directly associated. On account of the small number of study patients, the corresponding confidence intervals were broad and included unity, except for EV HPV.

Finally, we performed a PCR analysis followed by Southern blotting using specific primers for the EV HPV type 38 that we have used in a previous study on nonmelanoma skin cancer (Caldeira et al, 2003). These primers are approximately 50–100 fold more sensitive than the CP primers described above in detecting HPV38 (data not shown). HPV38 E6 specific PCR followed by Southern blotting showed a positive signal in 17 SCC cases and eight controls. Only in two SCC cases (patient numbers 4 and 6) and one control (patient number 24) were we able to demonstrate the presence of HPV38 by DNA sequencing. One case (patient number 4) was positive for both HPV 38 and HPV 24.

Table 1 Detection of DNA of HPV in biopsies from 21 cases of carcinoma of the conjunctiva and 22 control patients. Kampala, Uganda, 2000–2001

| Type of lesion (patient identification number) | Age | GP1/GP2 | FAP59/ FAP64 | CP62/69 EN3F/EN3R | CP62/69 EN1F/EN1R | CP65/CP70 CP66/CP69 | HPV38 E6 | Summary results of EV HPV positiveb |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|---------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| Carcinoma (1, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 19, 20) | 25: 30; 26; 42: 34; 24; 30: 39; 48; 30: 43 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Carcinoma (2, 15, 17) | 30: 23; 35 | – | – | HPV12 relatedc | – | – | – | – |
| Carcinoma (3) | 25 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Carcinoma (4) | 39 | – | – | – | HPV38 relatedc | – | – | + |
| Carcinoma in situ (6) | 29 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Carcinoma (7) | 29 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Carcinoma (14) | 49 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Carcinoma (18) | 37 | – | – | HPV38c | – | – | + | + |
| Carcinoma (21) | 36 | – | RTRX7 relatedc | – | – | – | + | + |
| Pterygium (22) | 33 | – | – | HPV11c | – | – | + | + |
| Pterygium (23) | 19 | – | – | HPV11c | – | – | + | + |
| Pterygium (24) | 45 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Pterygium (25, 27, 28, 29, 30) | 48: 37; 50; 29; 33 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Pterygium (32, 33, 36, 37, 38) | 27; 48; 28; 27; 30 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Pterygium (26, 29) | 29; 26 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Pterygium (26, 34, 35) | NA: 32 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Solar keratosis (39, 41; 40; NA: 25 42) | – | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Solar keratosis (40) | 55 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |
| Pigmented naevi (45) | 14 | – | – | – | – | – | + | + |

aThe PCR data obtained with GP5+/GP6+, HPV10, HPV16, HPV18, HPV20, HPV22, HPV23 and HPV45 primers were not included in the table since no positive cases were detected. bPositive sign indicates that HPV was detected in any of previous columns in the table. cThe HPV type was determined by direct DNA sequence of the PCR product. NA = not available.
The association between EV HPV and SCC was strengthened (OR = 22.7) by adjustment for sun exposure (Table 2). We repeated all analysis in Table 2 excluding from the control group the three patients with solar keratosis from whom a questionnaire were not materially different from the results presented may dilute the associations studied. The results obtained were not materially different from the results presented in Table 2 (e.g. OR for EV HPV DNA after exclusion of solar keratosis = 21.8; 95% CI = 1.4 – 344).

### DISCUSSION

The most severe limitation of our findings is that HIV serology was not available for our study patients. Although medical records did not mention AIDS, it is likely that a few controls but a majority of our SCC cases were HIV-positive, as found in a previous study in Uganda (Newton et al, 2002). We cannot, therefore, rule out the possibility that immunosuppression due to HIV infection may partly or even totally explain the strong association between EV HPV and SCC in this study.

We detected in SCC cases eight different EV HPV types (HPV8, HPV12, HPV14, HPV24, HPV36, HPV38 and RTRX7) in addition to several undetermined EV HPV types related to HPV38. HPV38 displays in vitro transforming properties and has been found in nonmelanomatous skin cancer (Caldeira et al, 2003). In three SCC cases two different EV HPV types were detected. The prevalence of this virus was significantly greater among SCC cases than among controls, although the impact of HIV infection on this finding is unknown.

The extreme heterogeneity of the cutaneous HPV family and the lack of standard GP for their detection greatly complicate the study of the relationship between the cutaneous HPV types and cancers like SCC. However, the strong association that we found between EV HPV types and SCC points to a possible aetiological role of these viruses.
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### Table 2

| Cancer cases | Controls | OR* (95% CI) | ORb (95% CI) |
|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| HPV DNA     |          |              |              |
| Absent      | 3        | 1            | 1            |
| Present     | 18       | 12.0 (1.7 – 84.9) | 22.7 (1.7 – 312) |
| Education   |          |              |              |
| Primary or less | 17       | 1            | 1            |
| Secondary   | 4        | 0.3 (0.06 – 1.1) |              |
| Occupation |          |              |              |
| Indoor      | 5        | 1            | 1            |
| Outdoor     | 15       | 3.2 (0.7 – 13.8) |              |
| Sun exposure (daily hours) |          |              |              |
| <6          | 10       | 1            | 1            |
| >7          | 11       | 6.4 (0.8 – 54.2) | 29.0 (0.7 – 1137) |

*Adjusted for age and gender by means of Mantel–Haenszel procedure. bAdjusted for EV HPV DNA or sun exposure, as appropriate. cSome figures do not add up to the total because of missing values. EV HPV = Epidermodysplasia verruciformis (EV) types of human papillomavirus (HPV).