Order-disorder transition induced by deformation of vortex lines at the twin boundaries in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$-crystals: test of the Lindemann criteria
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We show that rotation of the magnetic field off the plane of twin boundaries (TB's) induces transition of an ordered vortex solid phase to a disordered one. This transition arises due to appearance of non-monotonous field variation of the pinning force in low-$T_c$ (NbSe$_2$ [1, 2], V$_3$Si [3]) and high-$T_c$ (BiSrCaCuO [4], YBaCuO [5, 6]) superconductors is subject of long-time interest. Increase of the pinning force can be explained by softening of the elastic modulus of vortex lattice in vicinity of the upper critical field $H_{c2}(T)$ [2] or the melting line $H_m(T)$ [8] that causes better adaptation of the vortex lines to the pinning landscape. In frames of the collective pinning theory [8] the non-monotonous field variation of the force $F_p$ in the thermally activated mode is determined by competition between increase of the activation energy $U$ and decrease of the depinning current $J_u$ upon increase of the field. Two alternative models [9, 10] suggest transition of an ordered VL into a disordered one with increased magnetic field, though the nature of the order-disorder (OD) transition and increase of the force $F_p$ in these models are different. These models are supported by correlation between the field corresponded to the structural OD transition [11] and the onset of the $F_p$ increase [4] in Bi-CaSrCuO crystals.

The model proposed in Ref. [13] assumes that OD transition occurs when transverse deformations of vortex lines $u_{t,\text{TB}}$, induced by interaction of vortices with random pinning potential, satisfy the Lindemann criteria, $u_{t,\text{TB}} = c_L a_0$, where $a_0 \simeq (\Phi_0/B)^{1/2}$ is the intervortex distance, $\Phi_0$ is the flux quantum, and $c_L$ is the Lindemann number. This model can be tested in the YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$ crystals through investigation of the effect of the magnetic field rotation off the TB’s plane on pinning and dynamics of the vortex solid (VS). Indeed, decoration experiments [14] show that the superconducting order parameter at the TB’s is suppressed. This causes deformation of the vortex lines near the TB’s, as it is shown in Fig. 1. Here, at the angles $\theta \equiv \angle \text{H,TB}$ smaller than a certain critical $\theta_c$ value, a part of the vortex line $L_{\text{TB}}$ is trapped by the TB, the vortex fragment $L_h$ and the twin plane limit the angle $\theta_c$, and far away from the TB the vortex line is aligned along the external field. These deformations induce appearance of transverse displacements of the vortex line, the amplitude of which $u_{t,\text{TB}}$ can satisfy the Lindemann criteria in high magnetic field. Therefore, according to Ref. [13], one can expect occurrence of the OD transition when rotating the field off the TB’s plane. Results of our measurements give strong experimental support for occurrence of this transition.

The measurements were performed on two YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$ crystals with $T_c \approx 93$ K and $\delta T_c \approx 0.4$ K, which contained TB’s aligned in one direction. The transport current was applied along the $ab$-plane and at angle of 90° and 45° to the TB’s plane in crystals C1 and C2, respectively. The average distance between the TB’s was about 0.8 $\mu$m in sample C1, and about 0.3 $\mu$m in sample C2. Measurements were performed for different orientations of vector $H$ with respect to the $c$-axis. In sample C1 the vector $H$ was located in plane constituted by the vectors $c$ and $J$, while in sample C2 it
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**FIG. 1:** Kinked structure of vortex line near the plane of TB proposed in Ref. [12] (panel a), and sketch of measurements geometry of crystal C1 (panel b) and C2 (panel c).
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was located in plane perpendicular to the vector $\mathbf{J}$, as it is shown in panel (a) and (b) of Fig. 1, respectively. The resolution of angles $\theta$ and $\beta \equiv \angle \mathbf{H};\mathbf{c}$ was about 0.1°. The concentration of point defects $n_{pd}$ in sample C2 was varied by low-temperature ($T \leq 10$ K) irradiation with 2.5 MeV electrons, and the irradiation-measurements cycles were performed without heating the sample above 110 K [13]. The vortex dynamics was studied through measurements of current-voltage characteristics $E(J)$ at $dc$-current by the standard four-probe method at a temperature of $t \equiv T/T_c = 0.92$ in a magnetic field of 15 kOe.

The measurement results of sample C2, plotted as log $E(J)$ versus 1/$J$ and log $\rho(J) = \log E(J)/J$ versus $\sqrt{J}$, are shown in Fig. 2. The dynamic resistance $\rho_d(J) \equiv dE(J)/dJ$ corresponded to the measured $E(J)$ dependencies is much smaller than the flux flow resistance $\rho_{BS}$ indicating that measurements correspond to the thermally activated creep mode. It is seen that in non-irradiated sample and at angle $\beta = 0$° and $\beta = 49°$ the experimental data follows equation

$$E(J) = e_0 e^x (-U_{cl}/k_B T) (J_d/J)$$

(1)

which corresponds to the elastic mechanism of vortex creep [6], while in the interval of angles $4° \leq \beta \leq 49°$ they follows equation

$$E(J) = \rho_0 J \exp \{(-U_{pl}/k_B T) [1 - (J/J_d)^{4/5}] \}$$

(2)

which corresponds to the plastic creep mediated by motion of the VS dislocations. Here $E_0$ and $\rho_0$ are the constants, and $U_{cl}$ and $U_{pl}$ are the activation energies correspondent to elastic and plastic creep, respectively. It is also seen that in irradiated sample the creep follows Eq. 2 at angle $\beta = 0$°. The crossover from elastic to plastic creep, realized in the field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ with an increased concentration $n_{d}$, is caused by OD transition [15]. The transition arises due to increase in the pinning energy, $E_p \propto n_{d}^{1/3}$, which dominates over increase of the elastic energy induced by transverse deformations $u_{t, rp} = c L \rho_0$. The crossover from elastic to plastic creep, which is observed in a non-irradiated sample at field rotation off the TB’s plane through $\beta \geq 4$°, can arise due to the OD transition, too. But in this case it is initiated by appearance of transverse displacements of vortex lines near the TB’s, $u_{t, TB}$, see Fig. 3b. The displacement amplitude

$$u_{t, TB} \approx L_h \sin(\theta_c - \theta)$$

(3)

is specified by the length of fragment $L_h \approx (\varepsilon a_0/2\sqrt{\pi}) (n(a_0/\xi))^{1/2}$ [12], and the angles $\beta$ and $\theta$ in sample C2 are related by $\sqrt{\sin \theta} = \sin \beta$. Decoration experiments [16] show that TB’s affect vortex structure up to angle $\theta_c \approx 70$°, when the field is rotated off the $c$-axis. For $a_0(15kOe) = 400$ Å, $\theta = 4°$, $\theta_c \approx 70$°, and for reasonable values of the anisotropy parameter $\varepsilon = 1/5$ and coherence length $\xi(85K) = 40$ Å we obtain the amplitude $u_t \approx 0.1 a_0$, which satisfy the Lindemann criteria.

The crossover from elastic to plastic creep is observed in the sample C1 too. In this sample, as evident from Fig. 3b, the value of ratio $\rho_{d}/\rho_{BS} \ll 1$ corresponds to the creep regime at small currents, while at high currents the ratio $\rho_{d}/\rho_{BS} \approx 1$ corresponds to the flux flow regime. Angular variation of the critical currents $J_{E1}$ and $J_{E2}$ inside the creep regime (determined at voltage criteria of 2 and $100 \mu V/cm$, respectively) and of the depinning current $J_d$ (determined by extrapolation of the linear parts of the $E - J$ curves in zero voltage) is shown in Fig. 3b. The currents $J_d$ and $J_{E1}$ vary with the angle $\theta$ in a similar way: they gradually increase with angle $\theta$ up to the value of $\theta = 25°$, and then sharp drop down to their values at angle $\theta = 0°$. Fig. 3b, shows angular variation of the critical currents $J_{E1}$ and $J_{E2}$, determined at the same voltage criteria, in sample C2.

The rise in $J_c$, observed in the angular range $0 < \theta < 25$°, can not be explained by enhanced pinning of the trapped fragments $L_{TB}$ because their portion (the value of ratio $L_{TB}/L_h$) decreases as $\sin(\theta_c - \theta)$. Also, the reduced pinning in the field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ cannot be explained by suppression of pinning by point defects, as it was assumed in Ref. [17]: the vortices located in between the TB’s poorly accommodate themselves to the point defects landscape due to a strong interaction with vortices trapped by the TB’s. This interpretation implies the formation of the ordered VS in the irradiated samples placed in the parallel field; that contradicts the results of our measurements. Therefore we believe that the current $J_d$ increases due to occurrence of the OD transition. This interpretation implies that weak 1D-pinning

FIG. 2: The $E(J)$ curves in crystal C2, which are plotted in the scale $\log p = \log |E(J)/J|$ vs. $\sqrt{J}$ (light symbols, left-hand and bottom scales), and in the scale $\log E(J)$ vs. $1/J$ (dark symbols, right-hand and top scales). Cross-wise symbols correspond to voltage-current characteristics plotted in the scale $\log p$ vs. $\sqrt{J}$, which were measured in the parallel field after electron irradiation with doses of $10^{18}$ (+) and $3 \times 10^{18}$ (×) el/cm². Irradiation dose $10^{18}$ produces the averaged over all sublattices concentration of the defects $10^{-4}$ dpa.
of the ordered VS, which realizes in the parallel field, is replaced by strong 3D-pinning of the disordered VS in the inclined fields. Density of the displacements (number of displacements \(n_{LTB}\) per unit vortex length) increases as 

\[n_{LTB} \propto \sin \theta\]

This leading to continuous increase of the dislocation concentration, and thus, to a greater disorder of the vortex solid. Therefore the current \(J_d\) increases due to better adaptation of the disordered phases to the pinning landscape \([18]\) and \([19]\). On the other hand, according to Eq. 3 the amplitude \(u_{LTB}\) decreases with increased angle \(\theta\). Therefore, as soon as it drops below the value of \(cL_{B0}\), one can expect transformation of the disordered VS into the ordered VS. This transition must be accompanied by the crossover of the 3D to 1D pinning regime that reduces the pinning force, and by the crossover of the plastic to elastic creep regime. Sharp drop in the current \(J_c\) and crossover from the plastic to elastic mechanism of vortex creep, which are realized in the narrow interval of angles \(25^\circ \leq \beta \leq 30^\circ\), strongly support occurrence of this transition.

An important feature of vortex dynamics is that the resistance \(\rho_d\) monotonous increases with the current at angles \(\theta = 0^\circ\) and \(\theta = 30^\circ\), while in the interval of angles \(5^\circ \leq \beta \leq 25^\circ\) a peak in the \(\rho_d(J)\) curves is observed, see Fig. 3c. This peak corresponds to the \(S\)-shape of the \(E(J)\) curves, which in some papers is attributed to possible non homogeneous distribution of the magnetic flux or current in samples. However, it is difficult to point out any reliable mechanism responsible for the change of homogeneity of these parameters with small change in the angle \(\theta\), namely, with it variation from 0 to 5\(^\circ\), or from 25\(^\circ\) to 30\(^\circ\). Therefore we believe that the peak is dynamic characteristic of the disordered VS, and it characterizes the dynamic ordering of the VS in presence of the random pinning potential, as it is observed in the numerical studies \([20, 21]\). This ordering is caused by reduction in the amplitude \(u_{LTB} \propto 1/v^{[22]}\), and realizes in the interval of currents confined by the peak and minimum position in the \(\rho_d(J)\) curves \([20, 21]\). The effect of dynamic ordering on the pinning of VS in \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}\) crystals containing chaotic pinning potential has been recently studied in Ref. \([23]\). It has been found that dynamic ordering sub-
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**FIG. 3:** The \(E(J)\) curves in crystal C1 plotted in the linear (panel a) and semi-logarithmical (panel b) scale. Panel c shows the \(\rho_d(J)/\rho_{BS}\) curves plotted in the linear scale.

**FIG. 4:** Angular variation of the depinning current \(J_d\) (squares) and of the currents \(J_{E1}\) and \(J_{E2}\) determined at electric field level of \(E_1 = 2 \mu V/cm\) (circles) and \(E_2 = 100 \mu V/cm\) (triangles), respectively, in crystal C1 (panel a) and C2 (panel b).
substantially reduces the pinning force. Note that in crystal C1 vortices move along the plane of TB’s and the amplitude $u_{i,TB}$ is not changed with $v$ due to 2D nature of these defects. Therefore increase in $v$ partially orders the VS, but dynamic VS remains disordered due to permanent amplitude $u_{i,TB}$ that causes substantial increase of the current $J_d$ in the interval of angles $0 < \theta \leq 25^\circ$.

As seen in Fig. 4h, angular variation of the current $J_{E1}$, which characterizes pinning in deep creep regime, differs from the $J_d(\theta)$ and $J_{E2}(\theta)$ dependencies. This is reasonable considering that inside deep creep regime the pinning force depends on both the depinning current and activation energy. The activation energy correspondent to the plastic creep depends on the angle $\alpha \equiv \angle H, ab$ and amplitude $u_{i,TB}$ [15]. It can be shown that in presence of correlated displacements $u_{i,TB}$ the energy $U_{pl}$ depends on mutual orientation of the displacements and direction of vortex motion. This is caused different angular variation of the current $J_{E1}$ in samples C1 and C2. Detailed analysis of this difference and of the effect of point disorder on angular variation of the energy $U_{pl}$ and on angular variation of the currents $J_{E1}$ and $J_{E2}$ will be discussed elsewhere [22].

In conclusion, we have studied the effect of transverse deformation of vortex lines near the planes of TB’s on the pinning force, mechanism of thermally activated creep, and dynamics of vortex solid. We show that in the interval of angles $5 \leq \theta \leq 25^\circ$ the amplitude of displacements $u_{i,TB}$ satisfy the Lindemann criteria, $u_{i,TB} = c_la_0$, that leading to formation of the disordered vortex solid. This phase is characterized by the plastic creep mediated by motion of dislocations, by increase of the depinning current with increased density of the displacements, and by the S-shaped voltage-current characteristics, which manifests partial dynamic ordering of the vortex solid induced by suppression of the effect of random point pinning potential. Decrease of the amplitude $u_{i,TB}$ below the value of $c_la_0$ causes transition to the ordered vortex solid, which is characterized by the elastic creep and smaller depinning current.
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