The IncRNA VELUCT strongly regulates viability of lung cancer cells despite its extremely low abundance
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Abstract

Little is known about the function of most non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). The majority of long ncRNAs (IncRNAs) is expressed at very low levels and it is a matter of intense debate whether these can be of functional relevance. Here, we identified IncRNAs regulating the viability of lung cancer cells in a high-throughput RNA interference screen. Based on our previous expression profiling, we designed an siRNA library targeting 638 IncRNAs upregulated in human cancer. In a functional siRNA screen analyzing the viability of lung cancer cells, the most prominent hit was a novel IncRNA which we called Viability Enhancing Lung Cancer Transcript (VELUCT). In silico analyses confirmed the non-coding properties of the transcript. Surprisingly, VELUCT was below the detection limit in total RNA from NCI-H460 cells by RT-qPCR as well as RNA-Seq, but was robustly detected in the chromatin-associated RNA fraction. It is an extremely low abundant IncRNA with an RNA copy number of less than one copy per cell. Blocking transcription with actinomycin D revealed that VELUCT RNA was highly unstable which may partially explain its low steady-state concentration. Despite its extremely low abundance, loss-of-function of VELUCT with three independent experimental approaches in three different lung cancer cell lines led to a significant reduction of cell viability: Next to four individual siRNAs, also two complex siPOOLs as well as two antisense oligonucleotides confirmed the strong and specific phenotype. In summary, the extremely low abundant IncRNA VELUCT is essential for regulation of cell viability in several lung cancer cell lines. Hence, VELUCT is the first example for a IncRNA that is expressed at a very low level, but has a strong loss-of-function phenotype. Thus, our study proves that at least individual low-abundant IncRNAs can play an important functional role.

Introduction

Protein-coding genes were long assumed to be the main and probably only molecular drivers in a cell and RNA molecules were viewed as mediator molecules and servants for processes in protein synthesis. However, deep sequencing methods revealed that a large part of the human genome (~75%) is transcribed, whereas only 1.5% encode for proteins (1). Long ncRNAs (IncRNAs) form a highly diverse non-coding RNA (ncRNA) class with >200 nucleotides in length that lack an open reading frame of significant length (2). The number of IncRNA genes in the human genome is still increasing, but recent analyses suggest at least numbers similar to protein-coding genes (3). The expression of IncRNAs is highly regulated and depends on the developmental stage (4), on the tissue (5,6) and on cell subtypes (7,8). So far, only a small fraction of IncRNAs is functionally characterized, but several examples are shown to play a critical role in physiological and pathological processes such as cancer. Transcripts such as HOTAIR (HOx Transcript Antisense Intergenic RNA) or MALAT1 (Metastasis-Associated Lung Adenocarcinoma Transcript 1) are upregulated in lung cancer (9,10) and associated with enhanced proliferation, metastasis and poor prognosis (9–12). However, knowledge is mostly limited to the most abundant IncRNAs. Contrarily, the majority of IncRNAs is of very low abundance, with many IncRNAs having a copy number of even lower than one per cell (13,14). Thus, an intense debate arose whether any of these many low abundant IncRNAs could play important physiological roles in a cell. Opponents of this theory argue that most of the low abundant IncRNAs are non-functional ‘junk’ (15,16), i.e. spurious RNAs that might derive from leaky transcrip-
tion. Those junk transcripts might be rapidly removed because of quality control mechanisms resulting in their low abundance (17). Proponents of this theory argue in contrast, that the majority of lncRNAs—although expressed at a low level—could indeed play an important role in a cell (18,19). Small amounts of RNAs might be sufficient to trigger downstream effects, e.g. if acting directly on the genome at a unique allele.

Here, we identify lncRNAs that regulate the viability in lung cancer cell lines in a high-throughput RNA interference screen. We identified the novel lncRNA VELUCT (Viability Enhancing Lung Cancer Transcript) which was extremely low abundant and only reproducibly detectable in the chromatin-associated RNA fraction. Nonetheless, the functional importance of this transcript for cell viability and proliferation was validated using multiple independent silencing approaches. Thus, VELUCT is an example for a lncRNA that is expressed at a very low level, but has a strong phenotype upon knockdown.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell lines and actinomycin D treatment**

NCI-H460, NCI-H1944 and NCI-H1437 lung cancer cells were propagated in RPMI + 10% FCS. H1944 and H1437 were purchased from ATCC. H460 were authenticated using Multiplex Cell Authentication by Multiplexion (Heidelberg, Germany) as described recently (20). The SNP profiles matched known profiles or were unique. Cells were regularly tested for mycoplasma. For actinomycin D (actD) treatment, 2 × 10^6 H460 cells were seeded in a 10 cm dish and incubated for 24 h. The medium was aspirated and 7.5 ml complete medium was added containing 10 μg/ml actD (resuspended in DMSO) or the same volume of DMSO as a control.

**siRNA Library**

The library contained approx. 3100 single Silencer Select siRNAs (Life Technologies) targeting 638 lncRNAs that were upregulated in lung, liver and breast cancer samples according to previous studies. The library was arrayed in white 384-well plates using a Biomek FX200 liquid handling system (Beckman Coulter). Each well contained 5 μl of 300 nM siRNA. Column 23 and 24 of all screening plates contained three positive siRNA controls (siCOPB2, siKIF11, siPLK1; each in duplicates per plate) and non-targeting negative siRNA controls (NC_1, NC_2, NC_3; each in quadruplicates per plate).

**Transfection with silencing reagents**

Cells were reverse transfected with 10 or 30 nM RNAi reagents (siRNAs, siPOOLS or ASOs) in different plate formats. H460 cells were transfected with Dharmafect1 (Dharmacon); H1944 and H1437 with RNAiMAX (Life Technologies). For transfection in 384-well plates, 0.05 μl transfection reagent per well were diluted in 4.95 μl RPMI (Sigma) and incubated for 10 min (for siPOOLS (siTOOLs Biotech) and siRNAs) or 5 min (for ASOs (Exiqon)). 10 μl RPMI were added and incubated with 5 μl silencing reagent for 30 min (for siPOOLS and siRNAs) or 20 min (for ASOs). Cells (1000 cells/well) in 30 μl complete medium were added and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2. Volumes were multiplied by two for transfection in 96-well plates. If subcellular fractionation of transfected cells was performed, 3 × 10^6 cells were transfected in a 10 cm dish (7.5 ml final volume) with 15 μl Dharmafect1 in 2.25 ml RPMI. See Supplementary Tables S3, S4 and S5 for sequences of siRNAs, siPOOLS and ASOs, respectively.

**Subcellular fractionation, RNA isolation and DNase treatment**

Subcellular fractionation was performed according to Gagnon et al. (21). RNA was isolated using TRI reagent (Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Whole cell RNA that was used for RNA-seq experiments was isolated using RNeasy Mini columns (Qiagen). DNase treatment of RNA was performed with Turbo DNase (Thermo Scientific) with subsequent RNA purification using Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol (25:24:1 [v/v/v]) (Roth).

**rRNA depletion and RNA-seq**

5 μg RNA were depleted of rRNA using the Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit for human, mouse and rat RNA (Illumina). RNA-seq libraries were generated using the Agilent SureSelect Strand Specific RNA Library Prep for Illumina Multiplex Sequencing Version C.0 (Illumina). The RNA input to generate the library was 20 ng. The single-stranded sequences were analyzed on a HiSeq 2000 V4 (Illumina) with 125 bp paired-end reads. The quality of the reads was assessed with the pipeline EvalRSeq that checks rRNA contamination and computes quality metrics. After adapter sequence removal, the reads were sorted for a length between 50 and 126 bp and uniquely aligned to the human genome v37 using TopHat2, allowing for up to two mismatches (22).

**Statistical analysis**

Luminescence data of the siRNA screens was statistically analyzed using the R package cellHTS2 (23,24). All other statistical analyses were performed using Excel. For statistical analysis of VELUCT expression in lung cancer samples according to the microarray profiling data, a paired t-test of the 26 paired normal and cancer tissue data was performed. Otherwise, significance was assessed using t-tests after determination of the variance equality using an f-test.

**RESULTS**

An siRNA screen to identify lncRNAs regulating cell viability

To identify lncRNAs that regulate the cell viability in lung cancer cells, an siRNA screen was performed using a custom-made siRNA library targeting cancer-associated lncRNAs. In order to identify tumor-related lncRNAs, a microarray-based expression profiling of 17 000 polyadenylated ncRNAs was carried out in lung, liver and breast cancer samples (Polycarpou et al., Roth et al., in preparation). Based on the profiling analysis, we identified 638 ncRNAs...
of interest, most of them lncRNAs that were significantly and at least two-fold upregulated in at least one tumor entity compared to normal tissue. These genes were subsequently used as targets of a custom-made siRNA library. Up to five single siRNAs per target were provided, resulting in a library that consisted of more than 3100 siRNAs. The siRNA screen was performed in the lung cancer cell line NCI-H460 (H460) and the cell viability was analyzed 72 h after transfection. Robust Z scores were calculated using the R package cellHTS2 and assigned to each single reaction. The Z score negatively correlated with the relative cell viability and indicated the strength and significance of the phenotype. Z scores of the replicates were averaged (Supplementary Table S1). All screening plates additionally contained three negative and three positive siRNA controls. As expected, Z scores of the negative controls (NCs) were close to zero indicating no change of cell viability (Figure 1A). Positive siRNA controls targeting COPB2, KIF11 and PLK1 resulted in high median Z scores of 10.8, 7.0 and 10.7, respectively, correlating with a strong reduction of cell viability. Thus, the controls indicated an excellent dynamic range and good performance of the screen. The lncRNA-targeting siRNAs triggered many high Z scores. In sum, there were many more and higher positive Z scores than negative ones. This was expected since the siRNA library mainly targeted lncRNAs that were overexpressed in human cancer and potentially of oncogenic function. The Pearson correlation coefficient between the two replicate screens was 0.94 indicating strong reproducibility (Figure 1B). To analyze edge effects of the screening plates, summarized Z scores were averaged over each well position of the nine screening plates (Figure 1C). The plates showed a rather random distribution of averaged Z scores over the entire plate indicating independence from their location and the absence of strong edge effects. To identify transcripts that potentially played a role in cell viability regulation, 44 hit candidates were selected with more than 60% of siRNAs per gene having a Z score of at least 2 (Supplementary Table S2). The number was further narrowed down to 17 genes with a stricter cutoff of at least 60% of siRNAs (e.g. 3 out of 5) per gene having a Z score of at least 4. Out of these, four genes were targeted by siRNAs for which even 80% had a Z score of minimum 4 (see Supplementary Table S2).

**VELUCT** — **Viability Enhancing in Lung Cancer Transcript**

Out of these four hit candidates, ENST00000567151 was selected as the only intergenic long non-coding RNA (lincRNA). The other candidates were the snoRNA NR_003350 and the lincRNAs NR_036472 and U90903 which were not intergenic but overlapping with protein-coding genes. Thus, we focused on the lincRNA ENST00000567151 which was referred to as VELUCT. Its phenotype was extraordinarily strong with four siRNAs out of five having a mean Z score higher than 5 and one siRNA even higher than 10 (Figure 2A). The Z scores corresponded to a relative cell viability of 34–8% in those samples (Figure 2B).

The transcript was 5.2-fold upregulated in lung cancer samples according to the microarray analysis (Supplementary Figure S1). The expression difference between normal and tumor samples was highly significant ($P = 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$). 3′ RACE analyses confirmed the annotated 3′-end and revealed another, less abundant isoform with a non-annotated 3′-end (Figure 2C). The obtained sequences have been deposited into GenBank as VELUCT transcript isoform 1: KY072937 and VELUCT transcript isoform 2: KY072938. The gene for VELUCT was poorly conserved at the sequence level and transcribed into a 2418 nt long lincRNA according to Gencode version 19 (Figure 2C). The neighboring genes of VELUCT on chromosome 2 are histone deacetylase 4 (HDAC4) in upstream direction (~40 kb distance) and TWIST2 (~170 kb distance) in downstream direction.

To analyze the coding potential of VELUCT, the CPAT analysis revealed a coding probability of only 4.6% suggesting a non-coding nature of the transcript and PhyloCSF scores were negative (Figure 2C). Both bioinformatical analysis tools, CPAT and PhyloCSF, provide a robust prediction of the coding potential and also incorporate the conservation of the codon usage. Furthermore, there were no peptides found in PeptideAtlas.

**VELUCT** is a low abundant, chromatin-associated lncRNA

Since VELUCT was a novel RNA, no published expression data were found for this gene. Thus, we characterized the expression of VELUCT in the cell line H460 using multiple detection methods. Surprisingly, there were no reads detectable in the VELUCT region after deep sequencing of H460 whole cell RNA (Figure 3A). Using RT-qPCR, two out of three amplicons for VELUCT resulted in undetermined cycle threshold (CT) values or unspecific melt curves indicating unspecific products (Supplementary Figure S2). Only one out of three amplicons revealed measurable CT values; nevertheless, the CT values were very high (CT 33–34.3) and had a high standard deviation corresponding to a linear 2.5-fold expression difference in technical replicates (Supplementary Figure S2). It was not possible to reliably quantify VELUCT expression despite several reverse transcription and qPCR modifications and multiple qPCR amplicons. Also, northern blot analyses with 10 to 100 μg whole cell RNA did not reveal any signals (data not shown).

In order to enrich RNAs of the single subcellular compartments, a subcellular fractionation of H460 cells into cytoplasmic, nucleoplasmic and chromatin-associated fractions was performed (Figure 3B). The cytoplasmic lysinetrna, nucleoplasmic RNU1-1 and chromatin-associated MALAT1 and NEAT1 validated the fractionation method. Remarkably, VELUCT was reproducibly detectable particularly in the chromatin fraction. Controls lacking reverse transcriptase (-RT) confirmed that qPCR signals of all amplicons of the monoexonic VELUCT were exclusively triggered by cDNA and not by gDNA. RNA deep sequencing of H460 cells validated the low abundance of VELUCT in chromatin by the presence of a few single reads (Figure 3A). To analyze the VELUCT level per cell, the VELUCT expression in the chromatin-associated fraction was analyzed via qPCR and compared to standard curve generated by serial dilutions of a plasmid that contained the annotated VELUCT sequence. Three amplicons were measured and
Figure 1. Quality assessment of siRNA screens addressing viability of H460 cells. H460 cells were screened for cell viability 72 h after transfection \((n = 2)\). Raw values were normalized to the plate median and \(Z\) scores were calculated for each reaction. Replicates were summarized by averaging the \(Z\) scores. (A) Boxplots with summarized \(Z\) scores of the negative controls (blue), the respective positive controls (red) and siRNAs targeting lncRNAs (gray). (B) \(Z\) scores of the two replicates were plotted against each other. \(R\) indicates the Pearson correlation coefficient. (C) Image plot of summarized \(Z\) scores that were averaged over each well position of the nine screening plates. Red indicates the maximum value, blue the minimum value. Positive and negative controls were excluded for this analysis and were not depicted on the plot.

an average copy number of \(\sim 0.01\) per cell was calculated as a conservative estimate (Figure 3C).

**VELUCT is an unstable transcript**

The abundance of a transcript is determined by the balance between RNA synthesis and degradation. Thus, H460 cells were treated with actinomycin D (actD) in order to reveal whether the low abundance levels of VELUCT in the chromatin-associated fraction could be evoked by low RNA stability. Since actD blocked transcription of all three classes of polymerases, the total amount of chromatin-associated RNA was reduced to 52% upon actD treatment for 1 h (Supplementary Figure S3A). To analyze the transcript stability, its RNA levels should be normalized to a transcript which was stable upon inhibition of transcription by actD treatment (Supplementary Figure S3B). Hence, RNA levels of three housekeeping genes (cyclophilin A, GAPDH and RPLP0) were measured which are known stable transcripts for this experiment. RNA levels were analyzed in relation to untreated cells \(2^{\Delta\text{ACT}}\) analysis) corrected for the loss of total RNA upon actD treatment. RPLP0 was used as normalization gene due to its high stability and little variance in detection. Although 18S rRNA is generally a very stable RNA (28), the rapid decrease of chromatin-associated 18S rRNA levels to 26% reflected the sensitivity of RNA polymerase I to actD (Figure 3D). The C-MYC mRNA has a half-life of only \(<30\) min and is often used as a control for short-lived RNAs, also in the chromatin-associated fraction (29,30). The C-MYC levels in this experiment were consistent with these publications showing a strong reduction of RNA levels to 26% within one hour. RNA levels of three independent VELUCT amplicons were analyzed located close to the 5’ end, the middle and the 3’ end of the transcript. They were significantly reduced to 12–24% in the chromatin fraction upon actD treatment resulting in an RNA half-life of 20–30 min. Notably, the reduction was strongest and hence the half-life the shortest compared to all analyzed genes.

**Chromatin-associated VELUCT knock-down efficiency**

To analyze the downregulation of VELUCT upon transfection, H460 cells were transfected with five individual siRNAs targeting VELUCT, a subcellular fractionation was performed and gene expression was analyzed in the chromatin-associated RNA. A knockdown of VELUCT using three qPCR amplicons was not detectable after siRNA transfection of H460 cells in the chromatin fraction (Figure 4A). Additionally, H460 cells were transfected with two different VELUCT siPOOLS. SiPOOLS are a mixture of 30 independent siRNAs targeting one transcript and are a highly specific RNAi tool (31). Also these RNAi reagents
did not evoke a significant detectable downregulation in the chromatin-associated fraction (Figure 4B). We hypothesized that this finding could either be due to a lack of knockdown efficiency or due to a lack of detectability of RNAi effects in the chromatin fraction. In order to analyze the general knockdown of chromatin-associated RNAs by RNAi reagents, the upstream neighboring gene HDAC4 was selected for comparison. It was targeted using two independent siRNAs and one siPOOL (Figure 4C). All reagents significantly knocked down HDAC4 expression in the cytoplasm and nucleoplasm to 20–40%. Only one siRNA caused a slight, significant knockdown of HDAC4 by 30% in the chromatin fraction, while the other two reagents also did not show an effect in the chromatin-associated fraction. Hence, the detectable knock-down in the cytoplasm and nucleoplasm was much stronger than in the chromatin for all the reagents. This leads to the hypothesis that RNAi reagents post-transcriptionally regulate gene expression of cytoplasmic and nucleoplasmic, but not as efficiently of chromatin-bound RNA. This could explain the lack of a detectable RNAi-induced knockdown of \textit{VELUCT} in the chromatin fraction, whereas the potential downregulation in the nucleoplasm or cytoplasm was not detectable due to its low abundance. Since nuclear transcripts are more effectively knocked down by antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) (32), H460 cells were also transfected with three independent ASOs targeting \textit{VELUCT}. They were designed to bind to sites close to the 5’-end, in the middle and close to the 3’-end of the transcript (ASO 5'/m/3’). Gene expression analyses in the chromatin-associated RNA fraction revealed a significant knockdown of \textit{VELUCT} (Figure 4D). The \textit{VELUCT} levels were significantly reduced by all three ASOs to 12–46% for all three tested amplicons. Interestingly, the single ASOs evoked deviating RNA levels for each amplicon, which were consistent for the single replicates. For example, ASO 5’ also led to the strongest knock-down at the 5’-end, while ASO 3’ showed its strongest impact on the 3’-end of \textit{VELUCT}. To analyze a possible \textit{in cis} effect of \textit{VELUCT} on the expression regulation of the immediate neighboring genes \textit{HDAC4} and \textit{TWIST2}, we analyzed their chromatin-associated RNA levels upon transfection of H460 cells with RNAi reagents targeting \textit{VELUCT}. Notably, there was no significant deregulation of the neighboring genes \textit{HDAC4} and \textit{TWIST2} (Supplementary Figure...
Figure 3. The instable, low abundant *VELUCT* was only detectable in chromatin-associated lncRNA. (A) RNA deep sequencing was performed with whole cell RNA and chromatin-associated RNA of H460 cells. The read coverage of both runs is shown on a scale from 0 to 120 and from 0 to 5, respectively. The human genome version hg19 was used for alignment of reads. (B) Expression levels in cytoplasmic, nucleoplasmic and chromatin-associated fractions of H460 cells were analyzed by RT-qPCR and normalized to the expression in whole cell RNA. The *VELUCT* amplicon was used for detection of *VELUCT*. Bars show mean ± SD (*n* = 3). (C) Determination of *VELUCT* copy number in chromatin-associated H460 RNA with three qPCR amplicons (location at 5′ end, middle or 3′ end) (*n* = 3). (D) H460 cells were treated with actD or DMSO (-actD) for 1 h and subsequently subcellularly fractionated. Chromatin-associated RNA levels of indicated genes were normalized to RPLP0 and relative to DMSO-treated cells. Bars show mean ± SD (*n* = 3). Asterisks indicate significant expression difference between treated and untreated cells. *P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.01, ***P** < 0.001.

S4). Also expression of *p21* which is negatively regulated by HDAC4 (33) did not change significantly.

**Multiple independent *VELUCT*-specific silencing reagents strongly reduce viability**

Since the siRNA screen already pointed to a pivotal role of *VELUCT* in cell viability, more detailed experiments were performed in order to validate the screen. To analyze the effect of *VELUCT* overexpression on H460 cell viability, a plasmid was generated that contained the annotated gene sequence of *VELUCT*. Despite high upregulation of exogenous *VELUCT* expression upon plasmid transfection (Supplementary Figure S5A), cell viability was not affected 24, 48 or 72 h after transfection (Supplementary Figure S5B). In order to validate the loss-of-function screening results, independent knockdown experiments were performed with the same settings as in the screen. Since si*VELUCT_3* did not show any phenotype in the screen, it was omitted from further analyses. The screening results were validated as viability of H460 cells was significantly reduced to 30–40% upon siRNA-mediated loss-of-function of *VELUCT* (Figure 5A). To show that this effect was not only siRNA-
Figure 4. VELUCT knockdown was not detectable with siRNAs and siPOOLS, but with ASOs. H460 cells were transfected with 30 nM VELUCT-specific siRNAs (A) or siPOOLS (B), 10 nM HDAC4-specific siRNAs or siPOOLS (C) or 30 nM VELUCT-specific ASOs (D) for 24 h. VELUCT RNA levels were analyzed in the chromatin fraction using three independent qPCR amplicons. HDAC4 levels were determined in all three subcellular fractions. All RNA levels were normalized to cyclophilin A and relative to the respective NC. Bars show mean ± SD (n = 3–4). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
Figure 5. Multiple independent VELUCT-specific silencing reagents reduced viability and proliferation of H460 cells. H460 cells were transfected with 30 nM VELUCT-specific siRNAs (A, D), siPOOLs (B, E) or ASOs (C, F). Cell viability (A–C) and proliferation (D–F) was measured 72 h after transfection and normalized to the respective NC. Bars show mean ± SD (n = 3–4). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

dependent, the same experiment was performed with two VELUCT-specific siPOOLs. Both siPOOLs significantly decreased the cell viability even stronger to 10–20% (Figure 5B). H460 cells were also transfected with VELUCT-specific ASOs in order to have a silencing approach that was independent of the RNAi machinery. Compared to all silencing reagents, two out of three ASOs triggered the strongest phenotype with a reduction of cell viability to 3–6 % (Figure 5C). Only the ASO that was located close to the 3′ end of VELUCT did not affect cell viability. The phenotype was time-dependent with a modest, but significant viability decrease after 24 h and reaching its maximum 48 or 72 h after transfection with all silencing reagents tested (Supplementary Figure S6A–C). Moreover, the effect on cell viability was significant, but slightly weaker using 10 nM silencing reagent concentration (Supplementary Figure S6D–E), except for ASOs for which only a concentration of 30 nM triggered a phenotype (Supplementary Figure S6F). To validate that the phenotype was not assay-specific, an independent readout was performed that measured the cell proliferation upon loss-of-function of VELUCT by BrdU incorporation. Most VELUCT-specific silencing reagents significantly decreased the cell proliferation to approx. 25% and the phenotypic pattern was similar between proliferation and viability (Figure 5D–F). Although the cell proliferation was reproducibly reduced upon transfection of ASO_m, the deregulation was not significant due to a high deviation between the biological replicates (Figure 5F). ASO_3′ did not trigger a
proliferation phenotype recapitulating the viability readout. A time-dependent analysis of the proliferation revealed that the phenotype was significantly reduced to ∼50% already 24 h after transfection, whereas the phenotype was more prominent after 48 and 72 h (Supplementary Figure S7). The analysis of the apoptosis rate revealed that cell death did not contribute to the reduction of viability upon siRNA transfection (Supplementary Figure S8).

**VELUCT** knockdown impairs the viability of multiple lung cancer cell lines

In order to analyze whether these results were not only representative for H460 cells, but for several lung cancer cell lines, we tested multiple lung cancer cell lines for their viability phenotype upon loss-of-function of **VELUCT**. Not all cell lines tested revealed any phenotype, but all analyzed siRNAs targeting **VELUCT** significantly reduced the viability in H1437 and H1944 cells. This observation is concordant with the observation that IncRNAs are in general expressed and function in a tissue- and cell subtype-specific manner (5,8). H1437 cells showed a stronger phenotype than H1944 with a median relative cell viability of 56% versus 78%, respectively (Figure 6A). The **VELUCT** siPOOLs-1 and -2 significantly reduced the viability in both cell lines to 51% to 78%, respectively (Figure 6B). Strikingly, cell viability was not significantly altered upon transfection of H1437 with all three ASOs (Figure 6C). The viability of H1944 cells was significantly reduced by ASO5′ and ASO_m to 31% and 76%, respectively, but not by ASO3′ which was consistent with the cell line H460. Hence, the effect on cell viability due to transfection with **VELUCT**-specific siRNAs, siPOOLs and partially ASOs was validated in the lung cancer cell lines H1437 and H1944 and was thus not restricted to the cell line H460.

In summary, eight different knockdown reagents targeting **VELUCT** in three different cell lines reduced cell growth as determined in two different assays—despite the extremely low abundance of **VELUCT**.

**DISCUSSION**

Although thousands of IncRNAs exist, only a minor fraction has been functionally characterized. A common tool to analyze several hundreds of genes for their phenotype upon loss-of-function is an siRNA screen. However, so far, only a few studies described siRNA screens targeting IncRNAs (34–36). According to our knowledge, no siRNA screen has been performed that targeted tumor-associated IncRNAs in cancer cell lines. Thus, we designed a custom-made siRNA library that was targeting 638 tumor-associated IncRNAs. In order to reduce the impact of off-target effects on hit identification, mostly five siRNAs were designed for each target. Since each siRNA has a distinct range of off-target effects, but the same on-target, a phenotype that is observed with multiple individual siRNAs increases the confidence that it is due to downregulation of the intended target (37,38). One of the most prominent hits was a novel gene that that we called **VELUCT**. Similar to most IncRNAs (13,14,39), **VELUCT** was a low abundant transcript. It was 5.2-fold upregulated in lung cancer adenocarcinoma according to microarray analyses. However, it was not reproducibly detectable in whole cell RNA by RT-qPCR. This signal difference between microarray and RT-qPCR might be due to a low correlation as determined before (40). Upon cellular fractionation of cells, **VELUCT** was quantifiable in the chromatin-associated RNA fraction of H460 cells. This implied that **VELUCT** might act directly on chromatin and might, e.g. regulate gene transcription as it was reported for many IncRNAs (41). On average and as a conservative estimate, only 0.01 **VELUCT** RNA copies were present in the chromatin fraction of each cell. While an underestimation for technical reasons is possible, it is highly likely that the copy number of **VELUCT** will remain even in a less conservative analysis lower than one copy per cell. The low abundance suggested that **VELUCT** likely acted only on single alleles. One reason for the low abundance of **VELUCT** was its low stability with a half-life of ∼20–30 min. For comparison, the median half-life of all mammalian RNAs is 5–9 h (27,42–44), while only a minor fraction of mammalian RNAs have a half-life of <1 h (e.g. **MYC** or the transcription factor **FOXA2**) (27,45). Short-lived transcripts are reported to be tightly regulated (42) and play a major role in processes such as transcription, cell cycle progression and apoptosis (27,45). Accordingly, the low stability of **VELUCT** might indicate a precise temporal regulation of this transcript.

Cell viability was not altered upon overexpression of **VELUCT**. This might be explained by several reasons: First, **VELUCT** might act in cis, such as enhancer IncRNAs (46), **XIST** (47) or **ANRIL** (48). Since cis-acting genes regulate the expression of other genes exclusively on the same chromosome from which they are derived, a plasmid-based overexpression could not recapitulate its effect. Second, since only single **VELUCT** copies likely directly acted on the genome, only single alleles might be bound by IncRNAs in order to exert their function. In case the binding sites were already occupied, a further increase of **VELUCT** levels would not promote the phenotype.

To confirm that the observed phenotype in the siRNA screen was elicited by targeting of the **VELUCT** transcript, **VELUCT** expression was analyzed upon siRNA transfection of H460 cells. However, there was no RNAi-mediated knockdown of **VELUCT** detectable in the chromatin-associated fraction. Interestingly, RNAi reagents targeting the upstream neighboring gene **HDAC4** as control also failed to induce a detectable knockdown in the chromatin fraction, while it significantly and efficiently knocked down **HDAC4** expression in the cytoplasm and nucleoplasm. The fact that there is no detectable knockdown of chromatin-associated **VELUCT** might be explained by several reasons: On the one hand, technical limitations of RT-qPCR might contribute to the non-detectable knockdown. Although **VELUCT** is detectable in the chromatin-associated RNA fraction, its low abundance might still be hindering a precise measure of RNAi-mediated downregulation. On the other hand, we hypothesize that the RNAi reagents could bind to and thereby block, but do not downregulate the chromatin-bound transcript as also observed for **HDAC4**. Thus, the formation of an alternative secondary structure of **VELUCT** and/or the binding of other effector proteins to **VELUCT** could be prevented. In contrast, transfection of H460 cells with independent ASOs targeting
Figure 6. Multiple independent VELUCT-specific silencing reagents reduced viability of other lung cancer cell lines. H1437 and H1944 cells were transfected with 30 nM siRNAs (A), siPOOLs (B) or ASOs (C) targeting VELUCT. Cell viability was measured 72 h after transfection and normalized to the respective NC. Bars show mean ± SD (n = 4–5). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

VELUCT evoked a significant knockdown of VELUCT to 12–46%. ASOs - in contrast to RNAi reagents - might induce knockdown of chromatin-bound RNAs due to their gene silencing mechanism via RNase H (49,50). The localization of effector proteins differs between subcellular compartments: since RNase H is mainly localized in the nucleus (51), ASOs have a superior silencing efficiency in the nucleus than RNAi reagents (32). In contrast, since the RNA degrading RISC complex is primarily localized in the cytoplasm, RNA interference is predominantly active in the cytoplasm (52,53).

The VELUCT-specific phenotype that was observed in the siRNA screen was confirmed in multiple validation experiments. To further exclude the possibility of off-target effects, two siPOOLs comprised of 30 independent siRNAs confirmed the phenotype. Off-target effects are diluted out by the low concentration of each single siRNA making siPOOLs highly specific with strong on-target effects (31). Also, lower siRNA concentrations can reduce off-target effects (54), and the VELUCT-specific phenotype was also recapitulated at a 10 nM RNAi reagent concentration. RNAi reagents can nevertheless lead to a global perturbation of miRNA-mediated regulation due to saturation of the RNAi machinery (55). Again, the RNAi-independent silencing mechanism of ASOs confirmed that no saturation of the RNAi pathway caused the observed loss of cell viability. Lastly, the effect of VELUCT loss-of-function was not restricted to H460 cells, but also found in the lung cancer cell lines H1437 and H1944 and was also reproduced with a proliferation readout. This observation may hypothesize that VELUCT may be involved in the cell cycle. Unfortu-
tial, such as X-inactive specific transcript (XIST) and Antisense IGF2 receptor RNA (Air) (58). Furthermore, genes are not only conserved on the level of their sequence, but also in their secondary structure (59).

To our knowledge, VELUCT is the first example of a lncRNA that is expressed at a very low level, but nevertheless has a strong phenotype upon knockdown. Thus, our study corroborates that - at least individual - lncRNAs are required to distinguish between the ‘transcriptional noise’ of aberrant transcripts and functionally important lncRNAs like VELUCT.
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