A fourteen-year audit of surgery for inflammatory bowel disease at a tertiary colorectal unit
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Background: In South Africa, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is increasing in frequency. The purpose of the study was to document our experience with the surgical management of patients with IBD and describe the cohort undergoing surgical management of IBD in a KwaZulu-Natal province tertiary state sector hospital.

Methods: Retrospective analysis of a database of patients undergoing surgery for IBD. Demographics, site and disease duration, surgical indications, management and outcome were analysed.

Results: Of 397 patients with IBD, 136 had Crohn’s disease (CD) (African 13, Indian 78, Coloured 10 and White 35) and 261 had ulcerative colitis (UC) (African 67, Indian 158, Coloured 9 and White 27). Eighty-six of 136 patients with CD required surgical referral. Ileo-colonic CD was most common and non-stricturing/non-penetrating disease behaviour predominated. Seventy-four patients with CD underwent 76 abdominal surgical procedures with in-hospital mortality of 3.5% and a morbidity of 20.9%. Twenty patients required anorectal fistula procedures. Recurrence occurred in nine patients (10.5%) and malignant transformation was seen in three patients (2.2%). One hundred and sixty-three of the 261 patients with UC had pancolitis. Failed medical management was the most common indication for surgical referral. Fifty-one patients with UC had surgery, (age 44.5 [IQR 27–56] years). Forty-five patients underwent 63 abdominal surgical procedures with an overall mortality of 17.7% and a morbidity of 39.2%. Colorectal cancer was seen in 10 patients (3.8%). Laparoscopic procedures were undertaken in eight CD patients (10.8%) and 29 UC patients (39%). The median hospital stay was 7 days for both open and laparoscopic resection.

Conclusion: IBD is not uncommon in African patients, with UC being more frequent than CD. Postoperative mortality and potential for malignant transformation are higher for UC.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) represents a group of idiopathic chronic inflammatory intestinal conditions, the two main disease categories being Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC).1 CD is transmural, affecting all segments of the gastrointestinal tract.2 UC is confined to the colonic mucosa only, almost always involving the rectum with variable continuous proximal extension.3

The global annual incidence of IBD is 5.0–24.3 per 100 000 person-years.4 However, its prevalence varies globally5 and studies from various countries demonstrate an increasing incidence.6,7 Historically, the highest prevalence rates have been reported in White populations, particularly those of North America and Europe, with significantly lower rates seen in Black and Asian populations within these countries.1,5,6 CD generally begins in young adulthood and lasts throughout life.4,7 Its course is characterised by episodic remissions and relapses with distinct yet overlapping clinical and pathological features.8

Due to the fact that the majority of reports on this disease originate primarily from populations in high-income countries (HICs), there is a paucity of literature in sub-Saharan Africa and other low- to middle-income countries (LMICs).4 In South Africa, IBD is a well-recognised entity in the White population but is relatively rare in the indigenous African populations.1 This rarity among African populations is seen in the rest of Africa.9

The management of patients with IBD requires a multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach.10 Medical therapy is the mainstay of treatment and is directed at control of the underlying inflammatory process and improvement of symptoms.10 Despite the advances in medical therapy, up to 45% of patients with UC3,10-12 and up to 61% of patients with CD will require surgical intervention at some point.2,7,13

A few studies from sub-Saharan Africa have reported on IBD1,14-16 and one has addressed the risk of colectomy in UC.17 However, no studies have described the surgical aspects of IBD management in detail. In an attempt to address this dearth of data in the South African context, we undertook this observational case study in a tertiary colorectal unit in Durban. We proposed that the surgical indications in our setting are similar to those seen worldwide and we sought to document our experience with the surgical management of
patients with IBD. We used an existing database of patients with IBD disease requiring surgical intervention.

**Methods**

This was a retrospective observational case study of patients with IBD from KwaZulu-Natal referred for surgical intervention over a 14-year period (2005–2018). The study was conducted in the Colorectal Unit at Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (IALCH), a tertiary teaching centre in Durban, South Africa, which is the only quaternary hospital serving the province of KwaZulu-Natal. Patients with IBD at IALCH are managed by an MDT led by medical gastroenterologists and colorectal surgeons.

Patient data were extracted from a prospectively collected IBD database into which patients referred for surgical intervention were entered. This database is archived by the Gastrointestinal Cancer Research Group of the Department of Surgery at the University of KwaZulu-Natal. Patients with CD and UC were included in the analysis whilst patients with “indeterminate colitis” and other colitides were excluded. Population groups are defined as African, Indian, Coloured and White according to the criteria used by the South African Government. In South Africa, “Coloured” refers to people of mixed ancestry. Data collected and analysed included demographics, clinical presentation, extent of disease, duration before referral, surgical indications, management and outcome. The study outcome measures were hospital stay, in-hospital mortality and morbidity, as well as long-term follow-up. Disease distribution and behaviour were reported using the Montreal Classification of IBD. Data were entered onto a clinical proforma and subsequently analysed using Microsoft Excel. Early complications were defined as complications occurring on the same admission or within 30 days of surgery. Complications occurring after discharge were regarded as late complications. Short-term follow-up was undertaken in the colorectal clinic and the long-term follow-up was undertaken at the medical gastroenterology clinic, both at IALCH. CD-related anorectal fistulae are generally managed conservatively and incidental anorectal fistula disease in UC patients was managed on its merits.

**Results**

Figure 1 shows sample derivation of patients with IBD. Four hundred and nine consecutive IBD patients were managed by the combined team at IALCH: 136 with CD and 261 with UC. Eighty-six of 136 of CD patients and 51 of 261 of UC patients were referred to surgery. The rest of the patients were successfully managed medically.

### Table I: Profiles of all IBD patients

| Parameter                        | CD                | UC                |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Overall                          | 136              | 261              |
| Males                            | 52               | 126              |
| M:F ratio                        | 1:1.6            | 1:1.1            |
| Age at diagnosis (years)         | 29 (IQR 24–38)   | 35 (IQR 21–50)   |
| Age at referral to surgery       | 32 (IQR 26–41)   | 44 (IQR 26–56)   |
| Duration of disease before referral (months) | 35 % (IQR 3–111) | 30.5 % (IQR 6–109) |
| African                          | 13               | 67               |
| Indian                           | 78               | 158              |
| Coloured                         | 10               | 9                |
| White                            | 35               | 27               |

| Exclusions (Indeterminate colitis) | 12 |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Inflammatory bowel disease       | 397 |
| Crohn's disease                  | 136 |
| Surgical referral                | 86  |
| No surgical referral             | 50  |
| Ulcerative colitis               | 261 |
| Surgical referral                | 51  |
| No surgical referral             | 210 |

Figure 1
shown in Table III. The most common indication for surgical and one fissure-fistula complex. Indications for surgery are anorectal fistula disease included 19 cryptoglandular fistulae were seen in 23 patients (17%). The 20 CD patients with was ileo-colonic. Extra-intestinal manifestations (EIMs) As can be seen in Table II, the most common site for CD was ileo-colonic. Extra-intestinal manifestations (EIMs) were seen in 23 patients (17%). The 20 CD patients with anorectal fistula disease included 19 cryptoglandular fistulae and one fissure-fistula complex. Indications for surgery are shown in Table III. The most common indication for surgical referral was bowel stricture with obstructive symptoms. Seventy-four of 86 patients (86%) underwent 76 abdominal surgical procedures (Table IV). The most common resectional procedure was a right hemicolectomy. Nineteen patients required emergency abdominal surgery (26.3%). One patient with benign anorectal stenosis was managed by repeated anal dilatations, but eventually required abdominoperineal resection (APR) for refractory stricture. One patient referred for failed medical management declined surgery and was managed conservatively. He improved on conservative management and was sent back to the base hospital in a stable condition. Anorectal fistula disease was

| Table II: Disease characteristics at diagnosis |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Montreal classification for disease extent** |
| **L1**: Ileal                          | 1 | 0.7 |
| **LE**: Colonic                        | 35 | 25.7 |
| **L3**: Ileo-colonic                   | 100 | 73.5 |
| **Montreal classification for disease behaviour** |
| **B1**: Non-stricturing/non-penetrating | 84 | 61.8 |
| **B2**: Stricturing                     | 43* | 31.6 |
| **B3**: Penetrating                    | 11* | 8 |
| **p**: Anorectal disease               | 20 | 14.7 |
| **Upper GI**                           | 0 | 0 |
| **Extra-intestinal manifestations (EIMs)** |
| **E1**: Ulcerative proctitis            | 0 | 0 |
| **E2**: Left-sided colitis             | 98 | 37.6 |
| **E3**: Pancolitis                     | 163 | 62.5 |
| **EIMs**                               | 42 | 16.1 |

* Three patients had coexistent structuring and penetrating disease

| Table III: Indications for surgical intervention in patients with inflammatory bowel disease |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Indications** | **CD** | **n** | **%** | **UC** | **n** | **%** |
| Total           | 66     | 51    |       |        |       |       |
| Stricture leading to obstructive symptoms    | 44     | 51.2  | 5   | 9.8   |       |       |
| Anorectal disease                             | 20     | 23.2a | 17  | 33a   |       |       |
| Enteric fistula                                | 13     | 15.1  | -   | -     |       |       |
| Inflammatory mass                             | 9      | 10.5  | 1   | 1.9   |       |       |
| Perforation                                    | 6      | 10.5  | -   | -     |       |       |
| Acute severe colitis                           | 8      | 9.3   | 16  | 31.4  |       |       |
| Failure of medical management                  | 9      | 10.5  | 20  | 39.2  |       |       |
| Benign anorectal stricture                     | 1      | 1.2   | -   | -     |       |       |
| Uncontrollable bleeding                        | -      | -     | 1   | 1.9   |       |       |
| Cancer                                        | 2      | 2.3   | 7   | 13.7  |       |       |
| Villous adenoma                                | -      | -     | 1   | 2     |       |       |
| Primary sclerosing cholangitis                 | -      | -     | 2   | 3.9   |       |       |
| Hilar cholangiocarcinoma                       | -      | -     | 1   | 2     |       |       |

* Some patients had more than one indication
* Anorectal fistulae = 19 Fissure-fistula complex = 1
* Incidental anorectal disease
* Comprised entero-vesical 8, entero-colic 2, colo-entero-vesical 1, colo-entero-vesico-cutaneous 1, entero-enteric 1
* Comprised perforation with peritonitis 3 and with abdominal abscess 3

| Table IV: Surgical procedures undertaken in patients with Crohn’s disease |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Procedure** | **n** |
| Right hemicolectomy                          | 57 |
| Procedures for anorectal fistula disease     | 20 |
| Insertion of seton                           | 15 |
| Fistulotomy of fissure-fistula followed by conservative management | 1 |
| 1+D perianal abscess followed seton insertion | 1 |
| Conservative                                 | 3 |
| Procedure for idiopathic anorectal stricture | 1 |
| Multiple anal dilatations followed by APR    | 1 |
| Total colectomy + ileostomy                  | 5 |
| Right hemicolectomy + sigmoidectomy          | 2 |
| Left hemicolectomy                           | 2 |
| Right hemicolectomy followed by total colectomy | 1 |
| Ileal resection                              | 1 |
| Transverse colectomy                         | 1 |
| Sigmoid colectomy                            | 2 |
| Total colectomy + ileostomyc                 | 1 |
| Laparotomy + adhesiolysis                    | 1 |
| No abdominal surgery (refused)               | 1 |

* Some patients had more than one procedure
a Includes 3 extended right hemicolectomies
b Includes 1 extended left hemicolectomy
* Ileostomy subsequently converted to ileo-rectal anastomosis

| Table V: Surgical procedures undertaken in patients with ulcerative colitis |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **First procedure** | **n** |
| Anterior resection                           | 1 |
| Non-operativea                              | 6 |
| Proctocolectomy + ileostomy                  | 2 |
| Proctocolectomy + IPAA                       | 11 |
| Total colectomy + ileostomyb                | 23 |
| Total colectomy + IRA                        | 2 |
| Trial of dissection no resection             | 1 |
| Right hepaticectomy + hepatojejunostomy      | 1 |
| Laparotomy for primary peritonitis           | 1 |
| Liver transplant                             | 2 |

a Six patients did not undergo abdominal surgery
b Underwent 15 secondary procedures namely completion procedures (14) and abdominoperineal resection (1). Some patients did not have a completion procedure

As can be seen in Table II, the most common site for CD was ileo-colonic. Extra-intestinal manifestations (EIMs) were seen in 23 patients (17%). The 20 CD patients with anorectal fistula disease included 19 cryptoglandular fistulae and one fissure-fistula complex. Indications for surgery are shown in Table III. The most common indication for surgical
managed by seton placement. As shown in Table VI, early postoperative complications occurred in 17 patients (19.8%), all of whom required re-look laparotomies. Six patients died, giving an overall mortality rate of 4.4%, two of whom died in the postoperative period (in-hospital mortality rate 3.5%). Three patients (2.2%) had gastrointestinal cancer, namely colorectal cancer diagnosed preoperatively (2), and small bowel adenocarcinoma diagnosed at surgery.

Median follow-up after surgery was 33.5 (IQR 1–76) months. Recurrent disease occurred in nine patients (10.5%) and manifested as ileal stricture (3), duodenal stricture (2), enteric fistula (2), pelvic abscess (1) and inflammatory mass (1). The two enteric fistulae were colo-vesical and colo-cutaneous. One patient with colo-vesical fistula and pelvic collection went on to develop sepsis and multi-organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) and died before surgery could be undertaken. She survived 121 months after the original surgery.

The 51 patients with UC referred for surgical intervention had a median age of 44.5 (IQR 27–56) years at the time of surgical referral. The median duration of disease before surgical referral was 30.5 (IQR 6–109.5) months. As shown

| Table VI: Surgical complications in patients undergoing abdominal procedures for IBD |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Clavien–Dindo classification    | Complication                  | Crohn’s disease n = 86          | Ulcerative colitis n = 51         |
| Total                           | Patients with complications    | 17 (19.8%)                      | 21 (41.2%)                       |
| Grade I                         | Surgical site infection       | 0                               | 4                               |
|                                 | Prolonged ileus               | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Thalamic infarct              | 0                               | 2                               |
|                                 | Sub-total                     | 0                               | 5 (9.8%)                        |
| Grade II                        | Acidosis                      | 0                               | 0                               |
|                                 | Sub-total                     | 0                               | 0                               |
| Grade III                       | Peritonitis                   | 6                               | 2                               |
|                                 | Haemorrhage                   | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | SSI                           | 4                               | 1                               |
|                                 | POI                           | 3                               | 0                               |
|                                 | Bladder injury                | 1                               | 0                               |
|                                 | Enterocutaneous fistula       | 8                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Abd abscess                   | 6                               | 2                               |
|                                 | Anastomotic leak              | 5                               | 2                               |
|                                 | Sub-total                     | 14 (16.3%)                      | 5 (9.8%)                        |
| Grade IV                        | MODS                          | 1                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Peritonitis                   | 0                               | 0                               |
|                                 | SSI                           | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Abd abscess                   | 1                               | 0                               |
|                                 | Renal dysfunction             | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Intestinal obstruction        | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | POI                           | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Sub-total                     | 1 (1.2%)                        | 2 (3.9%)                        |
| Grade V                         | Renal dysfunction             | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | MODS                          | 0                               | 6                               |
|                                 | Peritonitis                   | 1                               | 3                               |
|                                 | SSI                           | 0                               | 2                               |
|                                 | Fistula                       | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | POI                           | 0                               | 3                               |
|                                 | Stump blow-out                | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | UTI                           | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Cerebellar infarct            | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Anastomotic leak              | 2                               | 2                               |
|                                 | DVT + IVC thrombosis          | 0                               | 1                               |
|                                 | Sub-total                     | 2 (2.3%)                        | 9 (17.7%)                       |

The subtotal and total are the numbers of patients with the complications and not the number of complications.

* Some patients developed more than one complication.

SSI – surgical site infection, MODS – multi-organ dysfunction syndrome, UTI – urinary tract infection, POI – postoperative ileus, DVT – deep vein thrombosis, IVC – inferior vena cava
in Table II, the majority of patients had pancolitis (E2). Forty-two patients (27%) had EIM of the disease.

The common indications for surgery were failed medical therapy and acute severe colitis (Table III). Table V shows procedures undertaken. Forty-five patients underwent 63 abdominal procedures. The most commonly performed elective procedure was proctocolectomy & ileal pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA) and the most commonly performed emergency procedure was total colectomy & ileostomy. Of the 23 patients undergoing emergency total colectomy and ileostomy, 14 went on to have completion proctectomy and IPAA and one had APR; the rest remained with an ileostomy. The two patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis underwent transplantation and one patient with hilar cholangiocarcinoma underwent right hepatectomy and hepaticojejunostomy. One patient required two exploratory laparotomies, the initial one for primary peritonitis and the subsequent one for bleeding. Six patients were managed non-operatively for various reasons including refusal of surgery (3), default prior to surgery (1) death prior to surgery (1) and palliative stent insertion for malignant lesion due to poor general condition (1). Twelve of the patients undergoing abdominal surgery required emergency surgery. Seventeen patients with incidental anorectal fistulae were managed by seton placement.

Ten patients (3.8%) were found to have colon cancer at a median age of 43 years. Diagnosis of cancer was made preoperatively in seven patients and postoperatively in three patients. Of the latter three patients, all had undergone colonoscopy in the preceding year. Two had had a normal colonoscopy in the preceding year and the last patient was diagnosed with the primary disease three months previously and the indication for surgical referral was an “inflammatory mass”, which turned out to be cancer. The sites involved with cancer were rectum (4), sigmoid colon (3), transverse colon (2) and caecum (1).

Postoperative complications for both CD and UC, stratified according to the Clavien–Dindo classification, are shown in Table VI. The postoperative complication rate was 19.8% for CD and 41.2% for UC. Grade III complications were the most commonly seen in CD, whereas grade V were the most commonly seen in UC. Six patients with CD died (7%), two of whom died within 30 days of the abdominal procedure (making a 30-day mortality rate of 3.5%) and the rest died during follow-up. Nine patients with UC died (overall mortality 17.7% and 20% mortality following abdominal surgery). Five patients died in hospital during initial admission; one of these died without operation as she was too sick to undergo surgery. The remaining four patients who died had been readmitted for a complication and died in hospital because of postoperative complications. The patients with anorectal disease are still being followed up as are the three patients managed conservatively for colonic disease.

Eight of the 76 abdominal procedures (10.8%) for CD and 31 of the 63 abdominal procedures for UC (46%) were scheduled for laparoscopy. There were no conversions among CD patients and two conversions among UC patients, allowing complete laparoscopy in 29 patients. All laparoscopic procedures were performed by one surgeon (NM). Late complications occurred in one CD patient (1%) who developed intestinal obstruction, requiring laparotomy and adhesiolysis. Eight UC patients (16%) developed late complications; four had intestinal obstruction which was managed with adhesiolysis (2) and bowel resection (2), one patient developed pouch dysfunction which required eventual removal, one had pouchitis which was managed non-operatively with antibiotics and one patient had a parastomal hernia which needed repair. Median hospital stay for CD and UC was 7 days (IQR 5–39) and 7 days (IQR 6–13) respectively. Three patients required critical care admission with median ICU stay of 3 days. The hospital stay for both laparoscopic and open procedures was 7 days. Median follow-up was 59 (IQR 21.5–118) months for CD and 56.5 (IQR 25.5–98) months for UC.

Discussion

Although the findings in this study share some similarities with the current international literature, there are some significant contrasting observations. IBD was observed in all population groups, and this is in keeping with findings from a previous South African study. According to the mid-year population estimates, the South African population is 57 730 000 with KwaZulu-Natal province, comprising 20% of the population of whom 85%, 8.5%, 5% and 1.5% are African, Indian, White, and Coloured respectively. The study showed that Africans and Whites, who comprise 8.5% and 5% of the population respectively, constituted 59% and 22% of patients with IBD respectively. This demonstrates a greater relative proportion of affected Indian and White patients relative to their demographic representation in the entire province. The fact that Africans contributed 12% and 18% to the disease burden of CD and UC respectively contrasts the previously held view that IBD is rare in Africans patients, and supports the growing evidence that this disease is increasingly being seen in all sub-Saharan African population groups as reported in countries like Uganda, Nigeria and South Africa. EIMs are seen in 25–40% of patients with IBD. The EIMs were seen in 17% of CD patients in this series compared to the 40% reported in the literature and in 16% of UC patients, which is higher than the 4.5–8% seen in certain Asian studies, but lower than the 30–45% reported in the Western literature. We concede that this is a select group of patients and this observation cannot be generalised to all patients with IBD.

We demonstrated a slight female predominance of IBD in our population, and this predominance was seen in both CD and UC. These data are contrary to other recent studies, which support a female predominance in CD and either no difference or a male predominance in UC. The median age of 29 years at diagnosis and 32 years at referral to surgery for CD compares well with the 26–45 years reported in the literature. The median age at diagnosis of UC and time of referral for surgery was 35 years and 44 years respectively. International literature reports a delay of 5–9 years before surgical referral. The median duration of disease between diagnosis and surgical referral was 36 and 39.5 years respectively for CD and UC in this series and suggests an earlier development of complications of IBD compared to international literature. Although the literature suggests an increasing trend in the prevalence of IBD with each decade of life, we were not able to demonstrate this as it was not the focus of our study.

The cumulative probability of surgery in patients with CD increases over time with reported rates varying between
44–71%. The 63% seen in this series falls within this figure. Strictureing disease leading to obstruction seen in 31.6% in this series falls within the reported 20–35%.24 The 19% enteric fistula rate was similar to the reported 8–24%.24 Most notably, failure of medical management was very uncommon at 10.5%, falling below the reported rate of 14–47%.24 Enteric fistulae is a complex manifestation of CD seen in 17–50% of patients with CD.28 Its management requires close multidisciplinary teamwork, and surgery should be delayed until the condition has been optimised.28

Surgery requires resection of the affected abdominal wall, the fistula tract and the affected bowel segment with the anastomosis separated from the abdominal wall with omentum, if possible.28 Bowel resection is an important component in the treatment strategy for Crohn’s perianal fistula supported by surgery;26 however, access to biologicals is restricted in our setting due to cost concerns.

The risk of perineal manifestation in CD increases with disease duration and is reported in 25–30% of patients.2,24,29 It was slightly lower in this series at 22%. We routinely use drainage (non-cutting) setons in our unit for patients with IBD-related anorectal fistulae. Biologics would be an important component in the treatment strategy for Crohn’s perianal fistula supported by surgery;26 however, access to biologicals is restricted in our setting due to cost concerns.

The postoperative complication rate was 20%. The 3.5% postoperative mortal mortality rate following laparotomy fell within the 2.9–18.6% reported in the literature.24 A recurrence rate of 21% was seen in this series. Postoperative recurrence rates increase with the duration of disease after surgery, the recurrence rate being 17–55% at 5 years, 32–76% at 10 years and 72–73% at 20 years.31 In patients with Crohn’s disease, cancer of small and large bowel is seen in 2.6% in this series. It was seen in 2.2% in this series. The risk of small-bowel adenocarcinoma is 20–30 times that in patients without Crohn’s disease33 and is reported at 1.7% in the literature.32

The proportion of patients with UC requiring surgical referral was 19.5%, which falls within the 15–30% reported in the literature.16 An overwhelming majority of the patients with UC presented with pancolitis in this series.

The literature, however, shows no consistency of disease extent in the literature, with pancolitis reported to occur in 20–77% of patients in the general UC population,11,21.22 followed by left-sided colitis at 17–20% and proctitis in 3–4.5%.1,11

Indications for surgery include cancer risk, intractability, acute severe colitis, fulminant colitis and perforation.11,21 Failed medical therapy is the most common indication for surgical referral at 44%,21 an observation made in this series with failed medical therapy being an indication in 39% of cases. Acute severe colitis was the next most common indication at 31%, which is a little higher than the reported 5–15%.17 Protocolectomy and IPAA is the surgery of choice for UC because it removes the diseased colon and maintains gut continuity.10 In emergency settings, a total colectomy and ileostomy is the recommended procedure.10,11

It is not surprising that total colectomy and ileostomy were the most commonly performed emergency procedures and protocolectomy and IPAA was the most common elective procedure.

The postoperative complication rate was 41%. The postoperative mortality of 17.7% is higher than the reported 0.6–13.6% reported in the literature.11 Postoperative deaths and complications in LMICs are potentially preventable and mortality and morbidity rates can be improved by improved systems-wide monitoring and evaluation of surgical care overall, use of surgical safety checklists and improved access to healthcare.24 We urge our policymakers to facilitate the establishment of these proposed protocols in our health facilities in order to improve healthcare access and reduce mortality and morbidity.

Late pouch-related complications following protocolectomy and IPAA include pouchitis (in about a third of patients), pouch-vaginal fistula, and later pouch dysfunction due to pouch sepsis and pouchitis.11,22,25 Late complications were present in 16% of the patients in this series. Three per cent of our patients with UC developed colorectal cancer, which falls within the 2–27% reported in international studies.3,10,11 The severity of complications varied between the two conditions. Although CD displayed aggressiveness in behaviour, requiring more surgical procedures, it was associated with fewer grade V Clavien–Dindo complications when compared to UC.

Our laparoscopy rate of 10.8% for CD and 46% for UC demonstrates the increasing employment of laparoscopy in our colorectal unit. Even though this was not a comparison study, the hospital stay in our study was similar among both laparoscopic and open procedures and the conversion rate was very low. Studies have demonstrated a shorter or similar hospital stay for patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery compared to open surgery for UC.35

The study does have some limitations. It was a retrospective analysis. The numbers are relatively small and thus may have led to bias. Despite these limitations, the study does provide an understanding of the clinicopathological status of IBD in the KwaZulu-Natal province of South Africa.

Conclusion
IBD is not uncommon in African patients, with UC being more frequent than CD. Postoperative mortality and potential for malignant transformation are higher for UC. Surgical resection appears to be the preferable management of IBD complications with minimal in-hospital mortality.
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