The signal sequence of type II porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus glycoprotein 3 is sufficient for endoplasmic reticulum retention
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Introduction

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) has been a worldwide threat to the pig industry since it was first reported in the US [19] and Europe [36]. The etiological agent, PRRS virus (PRRSV), was isolated in Europe in 1991 and in the US in 1992 [12,36]. Weak and delayed protective immune response against PRRSV and lack of proper vaccine are the main reasons for worldwide spread of this virus [24,30,35]. PRRSV belongs to the family Arteriviridae, along with equine arteritis virus (EAV), lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus (LDV), and simian hemorrhagic fever virus (SHFV) [6]. PRRSV can be divided into European (type 1) and American (type 2) strains based on their sequence homology [25]. PRRSV is a single positive-stranded RNA virus composed of ten different open reading frames (ORFs). ORF 1a and 1b are the largest ORFs, encompassing approximately 80% of the whole genome. Protein products translated from these sequences are cleaved into several non structural proteins (nsps), some known to be required for the replication of viruses and others with unknown functions [33]. ORF 2a, 3, 4, and 5 are glycoproteins (GPs), while ORF 2b is an envelope (E) protein and ORF 6 and 7 are matrix (M) and nucleocapsid (N) proteins, respectively [14,22,23,26,27].

GPs of PRRSV were known to be critical to infection due to their ability to interact with receptors on the cell surface, including sialoadhesin and CD 163 [6,35,37], and the formation of GP complexes [10,38]. Additionally, GPs are considered to be important antigens in immune response against PRRSV [13,14,17,37]. Although minor GPs (GP2a, 3, 4) are thought to play important roles in infection and formation of the glycoprotein complex of PRRSV, their structures and functions have not been extensively investigated relative to those of GP5, which is classified as a major glycoprotein. The absence of any minor GPs or envelope protein suppresses the protein complex formation or retention of proteins in the ER, which further hinders modification at the Golgi complex for unknown reasons [38]. Furthermore, in a recent study, minor glycoproteins GP2-GP4 were shown to be retained in the ER along with E proteins [39]. GP5 moves to the Golgi for further modification and the signal sequence is cleaved [2], which differs from other GPs.

GP3 is a minor GP that consists of 254 a.a. with a molecular weight of approximately 42 kDa and contains 7 N-glycosylation sites [14,16,22,27]. In previous studies,
the secretion of GP3 of type 2 PRRSV in the medium of cell culture was reported, although it had characteristics of a typical transmembrane protein [14,18,22]. In contrast, this protein has also been reported to contain the same structural component as type 1 PRRSV [12]. GP3 was reported to be retained in the ER, but the reasons for this are not yet known [39].

It is well known that arteriviridae is assembled and budded in the ER or golgi complex, as is the necessity of viral protein complex formation; however, the method by which the proteins are assembled to the complex and budded has not yet been investigated fully. The localization of GP3 in the ER may be natural considering its assembly and budding in the ER. However, we hypothesized that this protein may have an ER retention signal among its domains and play an important role in inducing retention in the ER to facilitate budding of viruses in the ER. Therefore, we conducted this study to identify the domain containing such retention signals.

Materials and Methods

Sequence analysis of GP3

We first analyzed the amino acid sequence of GP3 to investigate if it had typical characteristics. To accomplish this, the amino acid sequence of GP3 of type II PRRSV was analyzed by the SignalP 4.0 Server provided by the Center for Biological Sequence Analysis at the Technical University of Denmark [32]. In addition, the transmembrane domain was analyzed using the DAS Transmembrane Prediction Server [10].

Construction of plasmid containing variants of GP3

Plasmid containing EGFP sequence (EGFP N-1 Invitrogen, USA) was kindly provided by Dr. Chi-Ho Yu from Samseong Medical Center in Seoul, Korea. BHK-21 cells for transfection were generously provided by Dr. Yeon Gyeong Shin from the Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency (National Veterinary Research Quarantine Services, Anyang, Korea). The EGFP fusion construct containing the full sequence was designated GP3-GFP and other constructs without signal sequences and transmembrane domains were designated as GP3-ATM2-GFP, GP3ATM1.2-GFP, GP3AS.S.-GFP, GP3AS.S.TM2-GFP, and GP3-ΔS.S.TM1.2-GFP, respectively. Moreover, the construct that contains signal sequence of GP3 fused with GFP was designated as S.S.-GFP. The sequences of primers are listed in Table 1. Additionally, the Kozak motif sequence (GCCGCGGCC) immediately upstream of the ATG starting codon and GC was added for every reverse primer to maintain the in-frame of GFP, which was downstream of the insert. To prevent early stop of the fusion protein, the stop codon of the insert was also removed. Sequences were amplified using 0.5 μL Ex Taq (Takara Bio, Japan) and the FL-12 infectious cDNA clone (AY545985), which was generously provided by Dr. Fernando A. Osorio (University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA) as the backbone sequence. Each PCR product was subcloned into RBC TA cloning vector (Real Bio Tech, Taiwan) as the backbone sequence. Each PCR product was digested with restriction enzymes and the product obtained from the clone containing the PCR product was ligated with T4 ligase (New England Biolab, USA) to generate inserts. The EGFP-N1 vector was also digested with the same restriction enzymes and the product obtained from the clone containing the PCR product was ligated with T4 ligase (New England Biolab, USA) overnight. Each ligate was transformed into DH 5α cell (Real Bio Tech, Taiwan) to amplify the plasmid. The sequence of each clone was analyzed at Cosmo Gene Tech (Korea). The clones containing correct sequences were amplified in LB broth containing 25 mg/mL of ampicillin and the obtained plasmids were digested with Bam Hi and Kpn I restriction enzyme (New England Biolab, USA) to generate inserts. The EGFP-N1 vector was also digested with the same restriction enzymes and the product obtained from the clone containing the PCR product was ligated with T4 ligase (New England Biolab, USA) overnight. Each ligate was transformed into DH 5α cells and the plasmid containing the product was sequenced. Consequently, we obtained seven clones harboring each insert located upstream of the EGFP in-frame under control of the CMV promoter. The clones were cultured in 300 mL of LB broth containing 25 mg/mL of Kanamycine overnight (O.D. = 0.6) and the plasmid for the transfection was purified using the Maxxi Prep kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, after which it was stored at −20°C for later use.

Table 1. Sequences and pairs of primers used for PCR in this study

| Names of primer | Sequences of primer |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| GP3 F w/ S. S.  | 5’-GGT ACC* (Kpn I) GCC GCGGCCG ATG GCT AAT AGC TGT GCA CTC C-3’ |
| GP3 F w/o S.S.  | 5’-GGT ACC* (Kpn I) GCC GCGGCCG ATG GAT TCC AAT ACT ACG TAC TGT-3’ |
| GP3 R w/ T. M.  | 5’-GGG TCC* (Bam H I) CGA TCG CCG CGC GGC ACT-3’ |
| GP3 R w/o T. M. 1, 2 | 5’-GGG TCC* (Bam H I) CGA TCG CCG CCG GGC ACT-3’ |
| GP3 R w/o T. M. 2 | 5’-GGG TCC* (Bam H I) CGA TCG CCG GGC ACT-3’ |
| Signal sequence R | 5’-GGG TCC* (Bam H I) CGA TCG CCG GGC ACT-3’ |

*Underlined sequences are the flanking sites used for construction of the EGFP fusion plasmid. Bold typed sequences are the integrated Kozak sequence. Italiced sequences are added to prevent frame shift of the fusion protein.
Subcellular localization analysis of GP3 variants by confocal microscopic analysis

BHK-21 cells were cultured on sterile coverslips autoclaved with PBS in 60 mm culture dishes in MEM (WelGene, Korea) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, USA) at 37°C under 5% CO₂. When cell confluency reached at 80%, the cells were incubated with 1 mL of MEM without antibiotics and fetal bovine serum (FBS) containing 25 μL of Lipofectamine Reagent (Invitrogen, USA) and 5 μg of each plasmid construct. After 5 hrs of incubation, the medium was changed into medium containing 10% FBS and incubated for an additional 12 h at 37°C under 5% CO₂.

For the colocalization study of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and EGFP fused protein signal by confocal microscopic analysis, the ER of transfected cells were counterstained with ER-Tracker Red marker (E34250 Invitrogen, USA). Transfected cells were incubated with ER tracker diluted in Hank’s Buffered Salt Solution (Gibco, USA) to a final concentration of 1 mM at 37°C under 5% CO₂ for 30 min and then washed with PBS.

Cells were immersed with VECTASHIELD Mounting Medium purchased from Vector Laboratories (USA) to prevent the loss of fluorescence and rapid drying of cells. Consequently, the cover slip was transferred to a glass slide and visualized using the inverted confocal laser scanning microscope system (FV-1000 spectral Olympus, Japan).

The GFP fusion proteins were visualized with the GFP filter (488 nm) and the ER tracker was visualized with the Ds-Red2 filter (543 nm). For each construct, at least 30 cells were analyzed at 40× and the representative images of the cells were captured at 63× magnification using the objective lens and 3.5× software magnification at 40 μs/pixel. The captured image was analyzed with Olympus FluoView FV10-ASW software. The images of GFP fusion constructs and ER Tracker were overlaid for the colocalization study.

Results

GP3 contains a hydrophobic signal sequence and transmembrane domains

Computational analysis of GP3 showed that this ORF contains one signal sequence at the N-terminus (1-26 a.a.) with the expected cleavage site between amino acids 26 and 27. Additionally, the GP3 was expected to have two different transmembrane domains at amino acids 106-116 and 188-197, respectively. Based on analysis of the amino acid sequence, it contains an N-terminal signal sequence, C-terminal hydrophobic region, and several N-glycosylation sites. Additional amino acid analysis revealed that this ORF does not contain any domain for myristoylation or palmitoylation.

Interestingly, we found that the signal sequence of GP3 is rich in hydrophobic sequences that are similar to that of cytochrome P450, which is retained in the ER owing to its high hydrophobicity [1,31]. These findings led us to examine the possibility that GP3 is also retained in the ER and find the portion of the protein that affects localization of the protein.

Subcellular localization of GP3-GFP in BHK cells

We designed seven different protein constructs with or without signal sequences or trans-membrane domains based on the predicted signal sequence and trans-membrane domain prediction program (Fig. 1) and analyzed them by confocal microscopic analysis. The majority of the GP3-GFP construct was expressed in the cytoplasm without a typical rim-like staining pattern (Fig. 2A). The results also showed signals distributed at the perinucleus. Additional ER Tracker counter staining showed that the GFP signal co-localized with the ER Tracker, implying that this fusion protein is mainly localized in the ER (Fig. 2B and C). These results agree with the assumptions suggested by previous study [22].

Effect of truncation of signal sequence or transmembrane domain on the subcellular localization of GP3-GFP

In previous reports, the transmembrane domain was mainly regarded as the ER retention signal in viral protein showing the ER retention property [3,7,8,20,31]. However, GP3 had a signal sequence domain with hydrophobicity, similar to that of cytochrome P450, which acts as an ER retention signal [1]. Therefore, we constructed several GP3 variants that removed signal sequences or transmembrane domains and fused to GFP (Fig. 1) to examine the possibilities of having an ER retention signal in the signal sequences or transmembrane...
Upon confocal microscopic examination, GP3ΔTM2-GFP showed a distribution pattern similar to that of GP3-GFP (Fig. 3A). However, GP3ΔTM1.2-GFP localized throughout the cytoplasm with punctuate accumulation of signals, which was similar to the results of previous studies demonstrating that the bubble-like punctuate signal in the cytoplasm is the result of accumulation of protein in the ER (Fig. 3D) [1,9,30,33]. The ER counterstaining of these two constructs also showed that they colocalized well with ER, implying that the protein is retained in the ER even after deletion of whole transmembrane domains. These result strongly support the possibility that the signal sequence is the ER retention signal, while the transmembrane domains are not (Fig. 3B, C, E and F).

To assess the effects of signal sequence deletion on the localization of GP3, the constructs GP3ΔS.S-GFP, GP3ΔS.S.TM2-GFP and GP3ΔS.S.TM1.2-GFP were also constructed. In GP3ΔS.S-GFP, which lacked the signal sequence domain of the original GP3, localization of the GFP signal was detected in the cytoplasm of the cells with similar distribution as seen in the GP3-GFP construct. However, the signal was also detected in the nucleus with the form of a web, which is thought to be affected by the diffusion-driven movement of the protein from the cytoplasm (Fig. 3G). It is thought that the signal sequence may act as a leader sequence to determine the localization and is required for a protein to be retained in the ER. In the GP3ΔS.S.TM2-GFP construct, the localization of the GFP signal was also observed in both the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 3E). However, we observed partial accumulation of the GFP signal at the nuclear membrane and cytoplasmic membrane, in contrast to the results of GP3ΔS.S-GFP (marked as an arrowhead). Interestingly, the cytoplasmic signal of this construct was observed to be more granular than that of GP3ΔS.S-GFP. The GFP signal of GP3ΔS.S.TM1.2-GFP was not significantly different from that of GP3ΔS.S.TM2-GFP, which was distributed in the cytoplasm and nucleus with a punctuate signal (Fig. 3F). Partial accumulation of the GFP signal at the nuclear and cytoplasmic membrane was also observed (marked as an arrow and dotted-arrow, respectively).

**ER retention signal-like property of the signal sequence of GP3**

Finally, to establish whether the signal sequence itself can determine the ER retention of protein, we constructed the S.S.-GFP (Fig. 1). The localization of the GFP signal was also confined to the cytoplasm and the colocalization assay of GFP and dsRed signal showed that these two signals overlap (Fig. 4A, B, and C). We also could not observe the
typical membrane protein signal surrounding the cell surface. These results strongly support our hypothesis that the signal sequence of GP3 may have played a role as an ER retention signal in protein synthesis.

Discussion

Certain proteins move to predetermined locations under control of the signal sequence, which tends to be located at the N-terminus [4,5,21]. Signal sequences are generally recognized by the signal recognition particle (SRP), which binds to the signal sequence and is immediately cleaved from the ribosome once it is synthesized. The SRP and ribosome complex is delivered to the ER to synthesize protein with modifications including glycosylation. Modified proteins are then moved to the Golgi complex for further modification and secreted following the secretory pathway or moved to the plasma membrane [17]. ER retention is generally known to be triggered by the C-terminal -KDEL or -KKXX sequence [34].

However several studies have reported that ER retention is not controlled by specific KDEL or KXXX signals. Indeed, some viral proteins have been shown to remain in the ER, even without traditional ER retention signals, and authors have assumed that this would be due to the high hydrophobicity of the transmembrane domain [3,7,8,20,31].

In these cases, it has been suggested that the ER retention is mediated by the transmembrane domain rather than the signal sequence. In contrast to these results, the ER retention of GP3 of type 2 PRRSV did not disappear after the removal of two transmembrane domains, even though aggregation of the GFP signal increased. These results support the finding that the ER retention is mediated by the signal sequence rather than the transmembrane signal. Additionally, the GFP fused with the signal sequence also showed an ER localization signal, strongly suggesting that the signal sequence is an indispensable ER retention signal for GP3. All variants with signal sequences (GP3-GFP, GP3ΔTM2-GFP, GP3ΔTM1.2-GFP) showed ER specific localization and were absent from the nucleus and plasma membrane. The first construct, which was designated as GP3-GFP, was localized in the ER (Fig. 3A-C), while the GP3ΔTM2-GFP construct, which removed the second transmembrane domain of GP3, showed a similar localization pattern. Additionally, the GP3ΔTM1.2-GFP showed an ER localization signal with a higher aggregation pattern.

The variants with the signal sequence removed (GP3ΔS.S.-GFP, GP3ΔS.S.TM2-GFP, GP3ΔS.S.TM1.2-GFP) showed similar patterns, but the signals were also observed in the nucleus. The significant difference in localization between the groups with and without the signal sequence is believed to be caused by the retention ability of the signal sequence of GP3 in the ER. Moreover, the lack of ER retention in response to deletion of the signal sequence and transmembrane domain from the original sequence of GP3 supports the possibility that the functional domain of this protein does not have an ER retention signal.

In EAV, which is also classified as Arteriviridae, GP3 has attracted interest regarding its localization and the non-cleavage of the signal sequence after translation. In this study, GP3 was mainly located in the perinuclear area, and the molecular weight of the expressed GP3 without microsomal transfection showed similar movement to that of normal GP3 treated with Endo-H, implying that the signal sequence of the GP3 of EAV is not cleaved by signal peptidase [15,37].

The first glycosylation site of GP3 in both EAV and PRRSV is located at site N-29. In previous studies, it was hypothesized that N-29 that is very close to the cleavage site (a.a. 26-27) may hinder cleavage of the signal sequence of the GP3 by signal peptidase [15]. It can be speculated that the high homology between the GP3 of EAV and PRRSV causes hindrance of cleavage of the signal sequence and subsequently may have caused similar localization of the GP3 in both viruses, facilitating ER localization of the protein.

In previous studies, sensitivity to Endo-H was observed in the single transfection product of GPs 2, 4 and 5, which supported the assumption that these proteins may reside somewhere between the ER and the Golgi complex [22,23,27,28,38]. Moreover, in an immunoprecipitation study, GPs 2, 3, 4 and 5 were shown to interact together to form a complex [11,38]. Similarly, GPs 2, 3 and 4 of EAV form a trimer via cysteine linkage [37]. It is also possible that the reason PRRSV and EAV lacking any GPs have reduced infectivity even though the viral particles were released [29,38] may be their inability to release the protein complex, which acts as a ligand to bind to the cellular receptor.

Based on the results of this and previous studies, it is feasible that the signal sequence of GP3 may be sufficient
for ER retention of GP3. This retention may also be required for the assembly of PRRSV viral proteins in the ER. In future studies, we will focus on revealing the key signal releasing the GP complex.
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