Microfungi on Leaves of Licuala bidentata (Arecaceae) from Sarawak, Malaysia

Adebola Lateef
Department of Plant Science and Environmental Ecology, Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Sarawak 94300, Malaysia
Department of Plant Biology, Faculty of Life Science, University of Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria, lateef.aa@unilorin.edu.ng

Sepiah Muid
Department of Plant Science and Environmental Ecology, Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Sarawak 94300, Malaysia

Mohamad Hasnul Bolhassan
Department of Plant Science and Environmental Ecology, Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Sarawak 94300, Malaysia

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/science

Recommended Citation
Lateef, Adebola; Muid, Sepiah; and Bolhassan, Mohamad Hasnul (2015) "Microfungi on Leaves of Licuala bidentata (Arecaceae) from Sarawak, Malaysia," Makara Journal of Science: Vol. 19 : Iss. 4 , Article 5. DOI: 10.7454/mss.v19i4.5170
Available at: https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/science/vol19/iss4/5

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Universitas Indonesia at UI Scholars Hub. It has been accepted for inclusion in Makara Journal of Science by an authorized editor of UI Scholars Hub.
Microfungi on Leaves of Licuala bidentata (Arecaceae) from Sarawak, Malaysia

Cover Page Footnote
The first author is grateful to Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS) for the Zamalah scholarship awarded. We are also grateful to the Sarawak government and to Sarawak Forestry Co-operation (SFC) for permission to collect samples from the National Park.

This article is available in Makara Journal of Science: https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/science/vol19/iss4/5
Microfungi on Leaves of *Licuala bidentata* (Arecaceae) from Sarawak, Malaysia

Adebola Lateef 1,2*, Sepiah Muid 1, and Mohamad Hasnul Bolhassan 1

1. Department of Plant Science and Environmental Ecology, Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Sarawak 94300, Malaysia
2. Department of Plant Biology, Faculty of Life Science, University of Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria

*E-mail: lateef.aa@unilorin.edu.ng*

**Abstract**

A microfungal survey was carried out on the living leaves and litters of *Licuala bidentata* in Kubah National Park in Sarawak, Malaysia. A total of 400 leaf segments (200 segments for each leaf type) were plated on two isolation media (water agar and malt extract agar) for endophytic and saprophytic fungal isolation. Forty-three microfungal species were obtained from both leaf types, 31 species identified from living leaves and 18 species from litters. Only six species were common to both leaf types, with 25 and 12 species exclusively identified from living leaves and litters, respectively. New records of fungi from this host plant and for the genus *Licuala* include *Isthmotricladia laeensis*, *Chloridium* sp., *Mucor* sp., *Oidiodendron* sp., *Kinochaeta* sp., *Cryptophiale* sp., *Chrysosporium merdarium* and *Circinotrichum fertile*. This study constitutes the first report on microfungal community on *L. bidentata*. Implications of this new report in comparison with the microfungal species on other plant species in the genus *Licuala* are discussed.

**Introduction**

Microfungi are important components of our ecosystem that are involved in the breakdown of organic matter and recycling of nutrients for plants’ use. They are also important in other aspects of human life such as drug production, biocontrol agents, and production of industrial chemicals [1]. The diversity and distribution of microfungi are still not fully understood, especially in the tropical regions of the world, where there are many unstudied areas, substrates and host plants. Adequate knowledge of global fungal diversity and distribution will not only enrich our biodiversity database, it also will improve the accessibility, usage, and conservation of our fungal natural endowments. This also will assist policy makers in prioritizing conservation programs in relation to specific areas and specific plant species.

*Licuala bidentata* is a member of the palm family Arecaceae, the only family in the order Arecales. Plant species in the genus *Licuala* are highly diverse in the forests of southeast Asia [2], with 141 species mainly found in the Malesian region [3]. However, this plant genus is not well studied for their microfungal communities, especially in Malaysia. *Licuala bidentata* is native to Asia and one of the palm species found in Kubah National Park in Sarawak, Malaysia, with characteristic leaves that split into very narrow leaflets.
Materials and Methods

Sample collection and processing. Living leaves and leaf litters were collected from Kubah National Park in Sarawak (N 01° 36’ 721”, E 110° 11’ 743 ”) at an elevation of 163 m above sea level in March 2014 and September 2014. Kubah National Park is located 22 km from Kuching, the capital city of the state of Sarawak [7]. The park is covered with lowland mixed dipterocarp forest, a hilly terrain, and an elevation ranging from 20 m to 799 m above sea level. Each sample collection was recorded, noting the date of collection and type of leaf collected, either living leaves or leaf litters. The samples were put in plastic bags and transported to the laboratory for processing.

Isolation and identification of fungi. The leaves were prepared for the isolation of both endophytic and saprophytic fungi and plated on two different types of isolation media, water agar (WA) and malt extract agar (MEA).

The protocol for endophytic fungal isolation using the method of Rakotoniriana et al. [8] was followed with little modifications whereby hydrogen peroxide was used as a disinfectant instead of calcium hypochlorite. The leaves were then plated on WA and MEA in petri dishes with 5 segments equidistant to each other. The plates were sealed with parafilm and incubated at room temperature under alternating light and dark conditions. Observation and isolation of the growing fungi start from the third day of incubation on MEA and four weeks on WA.

For isolation of saprophytic fungi, the leaf samples were washed with double sterilized distilled water [9], cut into 1 cm² into a 500 mL conical flask, washed again with sterile distilled water 5 times, and then blotted on sterilized filter paper. The leaf segments were then plated as done for the endophytic fungi. A total of 400 leaf segments were plated, 200 each for living leaves and leaf litters.

Data on the occurrence of fungi on the leaf segments were recorded. The presence of microfungi on each leaf segment was recorded, observing the characteristics of each microfungus using a Motic stereo microscope model MZ-168 and an Olympus compound microscope model CX31. Micrographs were taken with a Samsung handheld camera model ES91. Identification of the observed microfungi were made to the genus level and, wherever possible, to species level based on the morphological characters. The fungal isolates were preserved as pure cultures and semipermanent slide specimens and deposited in the Mycology laboratory culture collections at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.

Data analysis. Multiple colonies of the same fungal taxa growing on a single leaf segment were counted as one occurrence. The frequency of occurrence of each microfungal taxa observed was calculated and the frequency of isolation was determined according to [10,11] as follows:

\[
IF = \frac{\text{total number of leaf segments from which a fungal taxa was present}}{\text{total number of leaf segments observed}} \times 100
\]

Species diversity indices were calculated for each microfungal assemblage based on leaf type. Shannon’s diversity index (H), Simpson’s diversity index (1/D), and Bray-Curtis’s similarity index were calculated for the microfungal communities.

Species accumulation curves (SACs) were plotted and species richness estimated with the nonparametric estimators, abundance-based coverage estimator (ACE), and Chao1 for microfungal abundance data using the EstimateS diversity software version 9.1.0 released June 2013 [12].

Results and Discussion

A total of 43 fungal taxa were identified from 400 leaf segments observed both on living leaves and leaf litters of L. bidentata, comprising 3 Ascomycetes, 1 Basidiomycete, 1 Zygomyces, 5 Coelomycetes, and 29 Deuteromycetes and 4 sterile mycelia (Table 1). Thirty-one fungal species were identified on living leaves, and 18 species were on litters (Figure 1). The Shannon and Simpson’s diversity indices for fungal community on living leaves, 2.88 and 13.43, respectively, were higher than that of the litters, 2.48 and 9.1, respectively (Table 2).

The higher number of fungal taxa observed from leaves as compared to litters indicates that the leaves contain more supporting nutrients for the microfungal communities, which depletes rapidly on decomposition of...
Table 1. Percentage Dominance of Microfungal Species Identified from Green Leaves and Leaf Litters of *L. bidentata*

| S/N | Microfungal species name                  | Green leaves (%) | Leaf litters (%) |
|-----|------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1   | Anthostomella sp.                         | 2.46             | 0               |
| 2   | Ascomycete sp. 26                        | 1.23             | 0               |
| 3   | Sordaria fimicola                         | 0.82             | 0               |
| 4   | Xylaria sp.                               | 2.05             | 0               |
| 5   | Botryodiplodia sp.                        | 0.41             | 0               |
| 6   | Pestalotiopsis concolorous                | 8.20             | 0               |
| 7   | Pestalotiopsis sp.                        | 5.74             | 0               |
| 8   | Thielavia sp.                             | 0                | 9.40            |
| 9   | Colletotrichum gloeosporioides            | 0                | 1.34            |
| 10  | Isthmotricladiad laeensis Matsushima      | 2.46             | 20.13           |
| 11  | Graphium penicillioides                   | 10.25            | 2.68            |
| 12  | Cercospora sp.                            | 9.43             | 1.34            |
| 13  | Beltraniella sp.                          | 0                | 13.42           |
| 14  | Rhinocladium sp.                          | 6.15             | 0               |
| 15  | Gliocladium sp.                           | 0                | 6.71            |
| 16  | Neottiosporella sp.                       | 4.10             | 0               |
| 17  | Cladosporium sp. 2                       | 0                | 5.37            |
| 18  | Sporidesmium sp.                          | 2.87             | 0               |
| 19  | Bispora betulina                          | 2.46             | 0               |
| 20  | Menispora sp.                             | 0                | 4.03            |
| 21  | Trichoderma sp.                           | 0                | 4.03            |
| 22  | Hyphomycetes sp. 14                      | 0                | 2.68            |
| 23  | Sporidesmium sp.                          | 0                | 2.68            |
| 24  | Chloridium sp.                            | 1.23             | 0               |
| 25  | Codinae sp.                               | 1.23             | 0               |
| 26  | Diplodia sp.                              | 1.23             | 0               |
| 27  | Basipetospora sp. 2                      | 0                | 1.34            |
| 28  | Botrytis sp.                              | 0                | 1.34            |
| 29  | Chrysosporium merdarium                   | 0.41             | 0.67            |
| 30  | Circinotrichum fertile                    | 0.82             | 0               |
| 31  | Cryptophiale udagawae                    | 0.82             | 0               |
| 32  | Fusarium spp.                             | 0                | 1.34            |
| 33  | Hyphomycetes sp. 11                      | 0.82             | 0               |
| 34  | Idriella sp.                              | 0.82             | 0               |
| 35  | Cryptophiale sp.                          | 0.41             | 0               |
| 36  | Dactylaria sp. 2                         | 0.41             | 0               |
| 37  | Kionochaeta sp.                           | 0.41             | 0               |
| 38  | Oidiodendron sp.                          | 0.41             | 0               |
| 39  | Black sterile mycelia                     | 11.07            | 5.37            |
| 40  | White sterile mycelia                     | 13.93            | 0               |
| 41  | Brown sterile mycelia                     | 0.82             | 0               |
| 42  | Yellow sterile mycelia                    | 0.41             | 0               |
| 43  | Mucor sp.                                 | 6.15             | 16.11           |
the leaf. This is also evident from the feeble nature of the litters of *L. bidentata*.

The number of fungal taxa recovered from both leaf types was lower than those recorded from other palm species [4-6]. This could be attributed to the fact that only living leaves and litters were used in this study while other different plant tissues, such as trunks, petioles, and rachides were used in other studies. The most dominant microfungi on both leaf types include *Isthmotricladia laeensis*, black sterile mycelia, white sterile mycelia, *Graphium penicillioides*, *Cercospora* sp., *Pestalotiopsis* sp.2, *Beltraniella* sp., *Rhinocladium* sp., *Pestalotiopsis* sp. and *Thielavia* sp. (Figure 2).

On living leaves, the 5 most frequently observed taxa were white sterile mycelia, black sterile mycelia, *Graphium penicillioides*, *Cercospora* sp., and *Pestalotiopsis* sp. 2, while on leaf litters, *Isthmotricladia laeensis*, *Beltraniella* sp., *Thielavia* sp., *Gliocladium* sp., and black sterile mycelia were the most frequently observed.

Only 6 species were observed to be common both on leaves and litters with a Bray-Curtis value of 0.183. The species common to both leaf types are *Isthmotricladia laeensis*, black sterile mycelia, *Graphium penicillioides*, *Cercospora* sp., *Mucor* sp., and *Chrysosporium merdarium*. Species observed only on leaf litters include *Beltraniella* sp., *Thielavia* sp., *Gliocladium* sp., *Cladosporium* sp. 2, *Menispora* sp., *Trichoderma* sp., *Hyphomycetes* sp. 14, *Sporidesmium* sp. 2, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Basipetospora* sp. 2, *Botrytis* sp., and *Fusarium* spp., while *Botryodiplodia* sp., *Cryptophiale* sp., *Dactylaria* sp. 2, *Kinochaeta* sp., *Oidiodendron* sp., yellow sterile mycelia, *Sordaria fimicola*, *Circinotrichum* fertile, *Cryptophiale udagawae*, *Hyphomycetes* sp. 11, *Idriella* sp., brown sterile mycelia, *Ascomycete* sp. 26, *Chloridium* sp., *Codinae* sp., *Diplodia* sp., *Xylaria* sp., *Anthostomella* sp., *Bispora betulina*, *Sporidesmium* sp. 1, *Pestalotiopsis* sp., *Rhinocladium* sp., *Pestalotiopsis* sp. 2, and white sterile mycelia were only observed on living leaves. The low similarity between microfungal assemblages from the two leaf types and the high similarity between microfungal communities on living leaves and litters is evident from the low Bray-Curtis similarity index (0.183).

![Figure 1. Microfungal Taxa Identified on Living Leaves and Litters of *L. bidentata*](image)

**Table 2. Diversity Indices and Similarity Index of Microfungal Communities on Green Leaves and Leaf Litters of *L. bidentata***

| Diversity measurements | Living leaves | Leaf litters |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Number of Isolates     | 244           | 149          |
| Number of species observed | 31          | 18           |
| Number of Singletons   | 7             | 1            |
| Number of Doubletons   | 6             | 5            |
| ACE species estimate   | 33.99         | 18           |
| Chao 1 species estimate| 33.99         | 18           |
| Shannon Index          | 2.88          | 2.48         |
| Simpson Inv Index      | 13.43         | 9.1          |
| Bray-Curtis similarity index | 0.183     |               |
specificity show L. bidentata to support a wide variety of microfungi. This result represents new records of microfungal occurrence from L. bidentata from Sarawak as this is the first report of microfungi on the leaves of this plant species. However, some of the identified species have been isolated earlier from other Licuala host plants. For example, Pestalotiopsis spp. has been recorded from Licuala ramsayi [13,14], L. spinosa [15], Elaeis guineensis [15], and Licuala sp. in Brunei [13]; Gliocladium sp. and Trichoderma sp. from L. longicalycata in Thailand [4], L. spinosa [15], and Licuala sp. from Brunei [13]; and Beltraniella sp., Sporidesmium sp., Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, Cladosporium sp., Anthostomella sp., Botryodiplodia sp., Dactylaria sp., Fusarium spp., Idrriella sp., Cercospora sp., and Xylaria sp. also have been reported for the genus Licuala. Additional new reports of species from this study thus constitute new records for microfungi on host plants from the genus Licuala. For example, Isthmotricladia laeensis, which is found on both green leaves and leaf litters of L. bidentata, was first isolated and described from decaying leaves of Cocos nucifera (also a palm species) from Papua New Guinea [16].

In conclusion, 43 microfungal taxa were identified from both leaves and litters of Licuala bidentata with living leaves harboring more taxa than the leaf litters. Isthmotricladia laeensis was one of the dominant species on both leaf types, which indicates a microfungal similarity with other palm host plants. New records of microfungi occurring on L. bidentata and on palm species were recorded for the first time. The result from this study contributes to knowledge of fungal diversity and distribution occurring on leaves of L. bidentata and on palms (family Arecaceae).
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