No association between Epstein-Barr Virus and Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus with Breast Cancer in Mexican Women
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Breast cancer is the most frequent malignancy affecting women worldwide. It has been suggested that infection by Epstein Barr Virus (EBV), Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus or a similar virus, MMTV-like virus (MMTV-LV), play a role in the etiology of the disease. However, studies looking at the presence of these viruses in breast cancer have produced conflicting results, and this possible association remains controversial. Here, we used polymerase chain reaction assay to screen specific sequences of EBV and MMTV-LV in 86 tumor and 65 adjacent tissues from Mexican women with breast cancer. Neither tumor samples nor adjacent tissue were positive for either virus in a first round PCR and only 4 tumor samples were EBV positive by a more sensitive nested PCR. Considering the study’s statistical power, these results do not support the involvement of EBV and MMTV-LV in the etiology of breast cancer.

Breast cancer (BC) is one of the most frequent malignancies affecting women worldwide, with an estimated 1.38 million new cases diagnosed in 2008, representing 23% of all cancers1. Risk factors include a family and personal history of BC, lifetime menstrual cycles, reproductive history, hormone therapy, cigarette smoking, obesity, and others2. Viral infection has also been proposed to trigger the development of BC3–5. Epstein Barr virus (EBV) has been consistently associated with several malignancies, including Burkitt’s and Hodgkin’s lymphoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC), and some studies also support a link with BC. EBV initially raised interest as a possible causal agent in BC because the high incidence of male BC observed in countries with a high frequency of EBV-associated lymphomas4, and because of the similar histological pattern between NPC and medullary BC5. The first evidence of the possible participation of EBV in BC was reported by Labreque et al, who found EBV sequences in 21% of BC samples6. However, other studies have generated contradictory results, with association frequencies ranging from 0 to 100%7–13, probably reflecting the lack of uniformity in the study design and methods by the different authors (for a comprehensive analysis of the methodology utilized see14).

The non-acute transforming beta retrovirus mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) is responsible for most BC cases in several strains of mice15. This observation prompted the search for MMTV-like sequences (MMTV-LV) in human BC, under the assumption that MMTV or a related virus (MMTV-LV) could be involved. Reports addressing the presence of MMTV-LS in human BC have also generated highly variable results, with some studies reporting frequencies of up to 80–100%15–18, and others unable to find evidence of infection1,6,19,20.

In this study, we screened for both EBV and MMTV-LV sequences in BC tissue samples from Mexican patients by a standard polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. Our viral screening tests are based on what is known about the mechanisms of EBV and MMTV oncogenesis, which are through direct infection of the cancer initiating cell.
Thus, if infection is part of the initial genetic lesion and cancer is a monoclonal expansion of this initiating cell, then all tumor cells should carry evidence of the viral infection: EBV episomes or MMTV-LV integrated in the cellular genome. In order to make the test more stringent we included in the analysis only samples with $\geq 30\%$ of tumor cells and designed a PCR test to equate the number of infected cells with the number of tumor cells. Also, since some retroviruses show high sequence variability, we have designed two separated PCRs to interrogate for the presence of MMTV-LS. Furthermore, we have compared tumor samples with non-tumor control samples isolated from the same patients, and a more sensitive nested PCR was used to confirm results from both EBV and MMTV-LS tests. With this strategy we have found no evidence of participation of either EBV or an MMTV-LV in Mexican samples of BC.

Results

Study population. A description of the patients included in this study is presented in Table 1. Sixty-five cases were recruited in the Oncology and Gynecology hospitals in Mexico City, and 21 in the General hospital in Merida, for a total of 86 cases. Non-tumor adjacent-tissue was obtained in all 65 cases from Mexico City hospitals, but not in patients from Merida. Median age for patients in Mexico City was 60 years and 50 years for patients of Merida. Most cases presented with infiltrating ductal carcinoma (67.4%), and less with mixed carcinoma (16.3%), in situ ductal carcinoma (8.1%), or infiltrating lobular carcinoma (8.1%). Only tissues of $\geq 30\%$ tumor cells were included in the study; tissues ranged from 30 to 95% tumor cells with a mean = 56%. Figure 1 shows two examples of tissues with about 55–60% of tumor cells.

EBV screening. The limit of detection of the PCR for EBV was about 900 infected cells in the first PCR (Figure 2a) in Raji cells, which have been reported to carry about 50 EBV episomal copies per cell. A similar number has been reported for EBV-associated lymphomas21. This would mean that our PCR test is able to detect down to 45,000 viral genomes. Similar detection limits were obtained using Daudi and B95-8 cell lines that carry slightly different number of EBV episomes (not shown). The number of EBV copies per cell in EBV-associated carcinomas is not known, although a study in a single patient with NPC estimated 7 copies/cell22. 200 ng of DNA (equivalent to about 30,000 cells) were analyzed in the first PCR.

Since samples had $\geq 30\%$ tumor cells, we were testing $\geq 9,000$ tumor cells per sample. Assuming that breast carcinoma cells also harbor 7 EBV copies/cell, our sample would contain $\geq 63,000$ viral genomes, which is in the order of the limit of detection of the assay of 45,000 viral genomes if infection is part of the initiating oncogenic insult, and therefore all or most tumor cells are infected. Although the number of EBV copies/cell in breast epithelial cells is just an educated guess, the first PCR test was able to detect EBV genomes from a gastric cancer lymphoepitheliome type (Fig. 2c) and we have used this PCR to screen GC tissues, finding about 10% of EBV positives (submitted for publication). Furthermore, we confirmed the first PCR with a more sensitive nested PCR, which detects EBV in $\geq 30$ Raji cellular genomes (Fig. 2b). Still, we considered that only samples positive in the first PCR would support a viral participation in the tumor genesis.

Once the limit of detection was established, we analyzed each tumor and non-tumor tissue by PCR and nested PCR. We did not observe any positive sample after the first PCR (Fig. 3a), whereas we found four (4.7%) positive samples with the nested PCR (Fig. 3b). Because only the first PCR equates the number of tumor cells, these data do not support an EBV participation in the tumorigenic process. None of the adjacent non-tumor tissues were EBV positive after the first and nested PCRs. The four products by nested PCR were purified and sequenced and their EBV identity was confirmed.

MMTV screening. Considering the high rate of mutation of some retroviruses, for MMTV-LS screening, we implemented the following strategy to reduce false negatives resulting from inadequate primer recognition. First, the PCR detection was set up using two DNA templates, plasmid DNA (pENV) and genomic DNA from BALB/c mice spleen cells (mSP). The latter was included to more closely reproduce the high sequence variability found in vertically transmitted MMTV. Second, we carried out two first PCR tests targeting two different sequences in the MMTV env gene. Third, in order to favor primers hybridization even in the presence of mismatches, the annealing temperature was 50°C for both reactions; the above because temperature gradients showed that a good PCR signal could be obtained in a range of annealing temperatures from 48 to 58°C for primers P1–P4 (Fig. 4a) and from 47–57°C for primers P2–P3. The limits of detection of the first and nested PCRs for MMTV-LS were established as described for EBV. The limit of detection of the first PCRs was about 2100 mSP cells for both P1–P4 and P2–P3 reactions (not shown) whereas for pENV it was 25 plasmid copies for P1–P4 (Fig. 4b) and 250 for P2–P3 (Fig. 4c). Since MMTV genomes in lymphoid cells of virtually all laboratory mouse strains has been estimated between 2 to 8 copies per cell11, the limit of provirus detection is between 4,200–16,800. There are many more copies of the MMTV provirus in mouse BC samples, which has been estimated between 10–10011. We analyzed DNA equivalent to 9,000 (30%) = 2700 tumor cells, which would be sufficient to detect MMTV infection even if as few as 2 (5,400) or 10 (27,000) provirus were harbored per tumor cell. In addition, a nested PCR that had an

---

Table 1 | Characteristics of patients and samples

| City of origin (N) | Mexico City (65) | Merida, Yucatan (21) | Total (86) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Age in years median (range) | 60 (35–88) | 50 (30–75) | 57 (30–88) |
| Histopathological classification: | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) |
| Infiltrating ductal carcinoma | 39 (60) | 19 (90.5) | 58 (67.4) |
| In situ ductal carcinoma | 6 (9.2) | 1 (4.8) | 7 (8.1) |
| Infiltrating lobular carcinoma | 6 (9.2) | 1 (4.8) | 7 (8.1) |
| Mixed carcinoma | 14 (21.5) | None | 14 (16.3) |
| Non-tumor control | N = 65 | N = 0 | 65 |
| Tissue Preservation | Frozen | FFPE* | -- |

*Formalin fixed paraffin embedded.

---
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Figure 1 | Frequency of tumor cells. Two examples of breast cancer tissues with 55–60% of tumor cells. H-E staining.
**Figure 2** | Limit of detection for the EBV PCRs. (a) Limit of detection of first PCR expressed in number of cellular genomes (Raji cells) and EBV genomes. White arrows indicate the lower limits of detection set in 900 cells for the first PCR and, (b) of 30 cells for the nested PCR. (c) Detection of EBV genome in a sample of gastric cancer type lymphoepitheliome (LE). DNA from EBV positive cell line Raji was used as positive control (C+). DNA from the EBV negative cell line Ramos was used as negative control (C−). Molecular marker (M).

**Figure 3** | Screening of EBV in breast cancer samples. (a) Ten representative samples of BC analyzed by the first PCR are shown, and (c) by the nested PCR, including the four samples that gave a positive signal in the nested PCR. The β-actin cellular gene is shown as a control for DNA integrity (b). DNA from Raji cell line was used as positive control (C+) and Ramos as negative control (C−). Molecular marker (M).
increased detection of at least 1000-fold was used to confirm the first PCR result.

The 86 BC samples were analyzed with the two first round PCRs and no evidence of MMTV-LS infection was found in either, the tumor or non-tumor adjacent tissues. Figure 5a shows results of a screening of 10 BC samples with P1–P4 primers and Figure 5b with P2–P3 primers. Furthermore, none of the BC or non-tumor adjacent tissues were positive in the more sensitive nested PCR; Figure 5d shows results of the analysis of six representative BC samples.

**Discussion**

The involvement of different viruses in the etiology of human BC has been extensively investigated with highly variable results, providing evidence in favor or against it, hence the issue remains controversial. Different arguments have been used to explain the source of variability, including the high retroviral mutation rate accounting for false negatives to DNA contamination or the use of highly sensitive detection techniques accounting for false positives. In this study, we analyzed 86 cases of breast cancer from Mexican patients and found evidence of EBV in 4 samples but no evidence of MMTV-LS in any of the specimens; still, the low level EBV signal found does not support clonal expansion of an altered cell in which viral infection played a role in oncogenesis. The screening approach used in this study was designed to test a number of tumor cells exceeding the sensitivity of the first PCR, since we would expect all tumor cells to be infected. The above because the documented oncogenic mechanisms for both MMTV and EBV are via direct infection, in the case of MMTV through insertion mutagenesis and expression of the viral env oncogene, and for EBV mainly by expression of LMP1 and LMP2A viral oncogenes. In agreement, most murine breast cancer tissues carry MMTV sequences in all tumor cells, and in EBV-associated lymphomas and carcinomas, all tumor cells carry viral episomes. Furthermore, EBV-positive lymphoma cell lines fail to maintain the transformed state after experimental elimination of the EBV genome. These data support that in MMTV and EBV-associated neoplasias, cancer results from the clonal expansion of a wounded cell in which infection was part of the oncogenic damage. Further elimination of the virus is rather difficult since MMTV gets integrated in the host genome and EBV expresses EBNA1, a protein coordinating equally segregation of viral episomes to daughter cells. Highly sensitive techniques such as nested PCR, real-time PCR or Southern blot, often do not match infection with the total number of tumor cells in the sample. Here, we included in the analysis only samples with >30% of tumor cells and designed a PCR test to equate the number of infected cells with the number of tumor cells. A similar approach used in gastric cancer cell lines has shown 10% of EBV positive samples (submitted for publication). Also, since retroviral sequences show high variability, we have designed two separated PCRs to interrogate for the presence of MMTV-LS and the annealing temperature of the screening PCR was set up to allow for template mismatches. With this strategy we aimed to reduce the chances of both false positives and negatives.

Indirect mechanisms of transformation triggered by other pathogens have been described, such as chronic inflammation by Helicobacter pylori or immunosuppression by HIV, in which the pathogen does not reside in the tumor cell. In the case of EBV or MMTV-LV participation in human BC, the hypothesis of an indirect transforming mechanism has not been documented with the appropriate experiments. The above are important considerations in the test design and in the interpretation of results when
searching for possible virus-cancer associations. In our hands, no MMTV positive samples were found even with the more sensitive nested PCR; while 4.7% of the tumor samples were positive for EBV. However, as discussed above, we did not consider those cases as causally associated. It is well documented that about 95% of the adult world population is infected by EBV, usually residing in latently infected memory B cells. Thus, we think that in those positive samples by the highly sensitive test, the signal does not originate in the tumor cells and most likely comes from infected B cells infiltrating the tumor lesion. 1–10 per million EBV infected blood cells have been estimated in healthy individuals. Alternative explanations for tumor samples with low infection levels are: infected B cells in which the lytic cycle was triggered feeding the tumor with viral particles, as it has been previously documented, or increased tropism of EBV for certain types of tumor cells, as suggested by quantitative PCR reports showing that breast cancer samples positive for EBV have very low levels of infection. The involvement of another murine retrovirus, the xenotropic murine leukemia-related virus or XMRV, in human disease highlighted how common is to contaminate tissues in the laboratory. XMRV was originally cloned from prostate cancer cell lines and several studies linked the virus with this cancer and chronic fatigue syndrome. However, it was found later that XMRV originated as a result of genetic recombination between human and murine endogenous retroviruses after human cells were implanted in mice. Currently, it is widely accepted that all XMRV positive associations resulted from sample contamination.

Finally, it is unlikely that our results were due to an insufficient sample size since we calculated the statistical power of the study and found that in our population (N = 86), the probability of finding at least one EBV or MMTV-L5 positive sample starting from hypothetical frequencies of 10% and 5% was as high as 99.99% and 95.00% respectively. In conclusion, given the strict methodological approach and the high statistical power, our study does not support the participation of neither EBV nor MMTV-LV in Mexican samples of human breast cancer. Importantly, in all the tissues tested we did not have available any medullary type of cancer. This type of cancer resembles histologically the LE carcinomas, in which EBV has been associated with an 80–100% frequency. It is possible that EBV is mainly associated with this particular type of BC.

**Methods**

**Ethics statement.** This study was approved by the ethical and scientific review boards of the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social (IMSS): Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica and Comité de Ética en Investigación, Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-062-ZOO-1999, approved by COFEPRIS (register number CONBIOETICA09CEI01520130424). All patients prospectively enrolled were informed on the nature of the study and those willing to participate signed a written informed consent prior to specimen collection and were treated according to the ethical guidelines of our institution. The use of BALB/c mice was also approved by the ethical and scientific review boards of the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social (IMSS); animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation before spleen isolation in order to ameliorate suffering in accordance with approved guidelines established by IMSS and the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

**Study population.** Breast cancer patients were recruited from the Oncology Hospital (Centro Médico Nacional Siglo XXI, CMN-SXXI) and the Gynecology Hospital "Luis Castelazo Ayala" in Mexico City, and the General Hospital in Merida Yucatan in the South East of Mexico, all hospitals from IMSS (Table 1). In the Oncology and Gynecology Hospital all patients were enrolled prospectively, while samples from the General Hospital were from retrospective cases.

**Histopathological examination.** A tissue sample from the tumor was obtained from each patient, and in patients from Oncology and Gynecology Hospital an additional sample was obtained from tissue adjacent to the tumor (at least 2 cm apart from the tumor lesion). Tissue samples were obtained in the surgical room and kept frozen at −70 °C until used. In the cases from the Oncology and Gynecology hospitals, the frozen tissues were used for DNA extraction, whereas in the cases from the General Hospital, paraffin embedded tissues were used (Table 1). A fragment of all tissues was...
fixed in formaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and a slide preparation stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H-E) to determine the type and grade of the lesion, and the frequency of tumor cells. The latter was done by the experienced pathologist of the Oncology Hospital (CMN SXXI IMSS). Only tissues containing ≥30 tumor cells were included in the study.

Cells, mice and plasmids. The cell lines utilized were: three EBV-positive - Raji, Daubi and B95-8- and two EBVnegative - Ramos and BJAB - BJAB cell line was purchased from Life Technologies, Inc and all the others from the American Type Culture Collection. All cell lines were cultured in advanced RPMI medium supplemented with 4% of fetal bovine serum and Hepes 1× (all from Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) and maintained at 37°C in 5% of CO2. Splenocytes from BALB/c mice (kindly provided by Dr. K. Chávez, Pediatric Hospital at the CMN SXXI, IMSS) were removed in aseptic conditions and splenocytes were recovered by passing through a sterile mesh and lysing erythrocytes with lysing buffer (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis Missouri, USA). To construct the env plasmid (pENV), a 687 bp env fragment was PCR amplified from BALB/c splenocytes using primers 1 and 4 previously described by Wang et al and cloned into the T-easy pGEM plasmid (Promega, Madison, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions.

DNA purification. In the case of cell lines and mice splenocytes, DNA was extracted from 5 × 10⁶ cells using the QIAamp mini kit, whereas for frozen tissue, up to 10 mg were disrupted in the Tissue Lyser II for 20 seconds and DNA extracted with QIAamp DNA mini kit. For the paraffin embedded tissue samples, sections of 5–10 μm thick were cut and DNA purified using the QIAamp DNA FFPE tissue kit. Plasmid DNA was purified using QIAGEN Plasmid Mini Kit. All DNA purification kits were from QIAGEN (Hilden, Germany). Purified DNA was quantified using a spectrophotometer NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), whereas DNA quality was determined by the 260/280 ratio and Integrity of PCR by Ct value and β-globin endogenous genes.

PCRs of endogenous genes. The PCR primers for β-actin were, forward 5′CCT AAG GCC AAC CTT GAA AAG 3′ and reverse 5′ TCT TCA TGG TGC TAG CAA CCA 3′ and for β-globin were, forward 5′ ACA CAA CTG TGT TCA CTA GC 3′ and reverse 5′ TGG TCT CCT TAA ACC TGT CTT G 3′. For both genes, the reaction mixture contained 100 ng of DNA in PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM dNTPs, 200 nM of each primer and 2.5 U of Taq Polymerase (all PCR reagents were from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). For β-actin the amplification conditions were: an initial denaturation step of 7 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, with a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. For β-globin, the amplification conditions were: an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 95°C and then 30 cycles of 95°C for 45 sec, 54°C for 1 min and 72°C for 30 sec, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 2 min. PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in agarose gels.

Viral detection by standard and nested PCR. For the EBV PCR tests DNA from the infected cell lines Raji, Daubi and B95-8 was used as positive control DNA and from the uninfected BJAB as negative control. For the negative control for the first PCR primers LLW1 y LLW2 were used, which amplify a fragment (position 505 to 741 nucleotide) of the EBV BamHI W fragment. This fragment is repeated 11 times in the EBV genome, which increases the sensitivity of EBV detection. The reaction mixture contained 200 ng of DNA in PCR buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM dNTPs, 200 nM of each primer and 2.5 U of Taq Polymerase. The PCR reaction was: an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 94°C and then 30 cycles of 94°C for 1.5 min, 57°C for 45 sec and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 7 min (all PCR reagents were from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). A nested PCR was designed with first PCR amplicon internal primers LLW1 int 5′ CTT TCG TCA GAT GTC AGG G3′ and LLW2 int 5′ GGC TGA GCC TCT ACT TTT GG 3′. PCR reactions contained 0.05 μl of the first PCR product (1:1000 dilution), and PCR buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM dNTPs, 400 nM of each primer and 2.5 U of Taq Polymerase. The nested reaction was performed with an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 15 cycles consisting of 94°C for 20 sec, 57°C for 20 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and a final extension of 72°C for 7 min.

For MMTV screening we selected four primers (P1, P2, P3 and P4) previously described by Wang et al, which target the MMTV env gene and are characterized by having low identity to human endogenous retroviral sequences (HERVs)⁷. According to MMTV NCBI Reference Sequence (NC_001503.1), primers P1 and P4 generate a fragment of 668 bp and primers P2 and P3 generate a fragment of 253 pb, however, the expected amplicon size may slightly vary due to quasispecies variation. The first PCR reactions were performed with P1–P4 and P2–P3 primers, whereas primers P2– P3 were used for the nested PCR to amplify an internal fragment of the first PCR. PCR reaction components were as described for the endogenous genes, except for the amount of DNA. In the case of plasmid DNA we used 2 μg × 10⁻⁶ ng, and for mouse DNA 50 ng; whereas for the nested PCR we used 0.05 μl of first P1–P4 PCR product (1:1000 dilution). The cycling conditions were: an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 94°C; 35 (first round) or 20 (nested) cycles consisting of 94°C for 45 sec, 50°C for 1.5 min and 72°C for 45 sec, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

Sequencing. Positive samples were confirmed by sequencing of both forward and reverse strands of the PCR products, after purification with QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen Hilden, Germany). Sequences were compared with the Genbank database⁸ using the BLAST program⁸. Sequencing was carried out in the Instituto de Biologia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

Statistical analysis. The statistical power of the findings was evaluated in relation to the ability of a study of this sample size (N = 86) to detect viral genomes (infecting the bulk of the tumor cells) in at least one patient. The hypothetical frequencies of infection were 10% and 5%. The statistical package used for this analysis was Epi Info version 7.1.2.
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