Clinical review: flow cytometry perspectives in the ICU – from diagnosis of infection to monitoring of injury-induced immune dysfunctions
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Abstract
Septic syndromes represent a major healthcare problem worldwide. Clinical and experimental evidence indicates that septic patients rapidly present with numerous compromised immune functions. Although flow cytometry remains a relatively confidential diagnostic tool, it could be useful at every step of ICU patient management. Indeed, neutrophil CD64 expression is a sensitive and specific tool for diagnosis of sepsis in adults, neonates and children. Diminished monocyte HLA-DR expression is a reliable marker for the development of monocyte anergy, prediction of secondary nosocomial infection and death in critically ill patients. Finally, the measurement of an increased CD4+CD25+CD127low regulatory T-cell percentage may represent a reliable marker for the diagnosis of lymphocyte dysfunctions in these patients. Ideally, these biomarkers should be part of a panel helping to define ICU patients’ immune status. The potential of flow cytometry is further illustrated by use of the biomarkers listed above as stratification tools in preliminary clinical studies. Importantly, many other markers of immune dysfunctions are currently under development that could further enable the administration of targeted individualized therapy in ICU patients. The next critical step would be to use these standardized flow cytometry protocols in large multicentric clinical trials testing individualized immunotherapy.

Sepsis pathophysiology
Septic syndromes represent a major, although largely under-recognized, healthcare problem worldwide accounting for thousands of deaths every year [1,2]. Mortality remains high, ranging from 20% for sepsis to over 50% for septic shock despite almost 20 years of anti-inflammatory clinical trials [1,2]. The inability of these therapies to mitigate the devastating effects of this condition indicates that the initial hypotheses for sepsis pathophysiology may have been misconstrued or inadequately addressed. Two major explanations have been proposed: septic patients have mainly been treated as a group despite the extreme heterogeneity characterizing this population [1]; and the postulate that death after sepsis is solely due to an overwhelming proinflammatory immune response may actually be inaccurate [1,2].

Indeed, several lines of evidence now establish that death from septic shock is probably due to the effects of distinct mechanisms over time [1,2]. Early in the course of the disease, a massive release of inflammatory mediators (normally designated to trigger immune response against pathogens) is occurring that may be responsible for organ dysfunctions and hypoperfusion [1,2]. Concomitantly, the body develops compensatory mechanisms to prevent overwhelming inflammation and dampen an overzealous anti-infectious response [1,2]. These negative feedback mechanisms, although having protective effects during the initial hours, may paradoxically become deleterious as they persist over time, leading to immune suppression (Figure 1) [1,2]. Indeed, considerable clinical and experimental evidence indicates that patients rapidly present with numerous compromised immune functions [1,2]. As our capacity to treat patients during the very first hours of shock has improved (early and aggressive initial supportive therapy) [1], many patients now survive this critical step but eventually die later in a state of immunosuppression that is illustrated by patients’ difficulty to fight the primary bacterial infection, decreased resistance to secondary nosocomial infections and reactivation of viral infections normally solely pathogenic in an immunocompromised host [1-3]. Consequently, immunostimulatory therapies are now considered an innovative strategy for the treatment of
sepsis [1,2]. The first critical step, however, is to identify patients who would actually benefit from these therapies [2]. Indeed, in the absence of specific clinical signs of their immune response, it is therefore critical to determine the best biological tools for patient stratification according to their immune status (a missing step in most of previous clinical trials) [1,2]. This would define the right action (that is, stimulating innate immunity and/or adaptive immunity, blocking apoptosis, restoring other altered functions) at the right time (early or delayed treatment) in the right patient (individualized/tailored therapy).

In this context, we will show that flow cytometry (FCM) could be useful at every step of ICU patient management: from the diagnosis of infection to the definition of targeted and individualized therapy, and finally – and most importantly – for the control of drug efficacy.

**General facts about flow cytometry**

Despite marked improvements over the past decades, FCM still remains a relatively confidential diagnostic tool. Indeed, clinical situations in which FCM is used on a routine basis are still limited (for example, lymphoma and leukemia phenotyping, CD4⁺ T-cell counting in HIV-infected patients, basophil testing in allergy, diagnosis of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, and so forth).

Several reasons could explain such poor development in clinics. First, FCM suffers from its lack of standardization. Indeed, FCM is an open technique and is therefore difficult to standardize whereas very reproducible protocols are mandatory for multicentric clinical studies [4]. In fact, as opposed to automated clinical chemistry analyzers, the measurement of a new parameter by FCM offers the possibility to set up homemade protocols (that is, to define a staining and a gating strategy, a lysis system and an appropriate acquisition protocol). Second, FCM refers to immunology and hematology, meaning supposedly complex fields easily accessible only to specialists. This is especially true when considering the expanding universe of immune pathways and the continuously increasing number of clusters of differentiation measurable by FCM. Indeed, most clinicians do not want any other fashionable biomarker without knowing what to do with the results. Similarly, although we can multiply the number of colors detectable by sophisticated flow cytometers, the final and crucial step remains the application of the new biomarker in impact studies. Third, not being considered a commonly available routine tool (that is, available on a 24/7 basis), access to FCM facilities is not often easy for clinicians. Despite these limitations, however, we strongly believe that FCM is ready for the prime time and vulgarization, especially in the context of ICU patient monitoring.

Indeed, although the mechanistic and molecular bases for sepsis-induced immunosuppression are not exhaustively established, several features of the condition have been already described including enhanced leukocyte apoptosis, lymphocyte anergy and deactivated monocyte functions [1,2]. In that context, FCM is undeniably the best tool so far for monitoring immune cell functions. Indeed, as opposed to circulating molecules, the major advance in measuring a cell surface marker by FCM is that its level of expression is the result of the sum of the effects of multiple mediators, all of which are potentially regulated during septic shock [2]. Finally, harmonizing protocols, commercially available standards for results comparison across laboratories (calibrated beads, stabilized cell blood), internal controls (provided by manufacturers) and external controls (for example, UK National External Quality Service) are now available and should contribute to improve standardization and thus enable the use of FCM in multicentric clinical trials. Furthermore, it is noteworthy to mention that new technical developments (low-cost compact portable flow cytometer, bedside FCM or chip-based FCM) are now being proposed that will facilitate the use of FCM at the bedside in the ICU [5]. For now, this equipment remains devoted to very simple applications, but we may expect major developments in the forthcoming years, including multicolor FCM.

The present review will focus on biomarkers measurable by FCM on a routine standardized basis and usable for the diagnosis of sepsis (CD64 expression on
neutrophil) and for prediction of adverse outcome, occurrence of secondary nosocomial infections or guidance of putative immunotherapy relative to innate (HLA-DR expression on monocytes) and adaptive (percentage of circulating CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells) immune dysfunctions.

ICU patient monitoring by flow cytometry

Diagnosis of severe infections in ICU patients: CD64 expression on neutrophils

Infection remains a major healthcare problem in hospitals, and especially in the ICU where seriously ill patients with impaired immunity are readily exposed to pathogenic microorganisms. Antibiotherapy, if appropriate and given early during the course of the infection, has been shown to reduce mortality fivefold in patients with septic shock [6]. It is thus of vital importance for clinicians to detect severe infections at an early stage, when effective treatment and complete recovery are still possible. Usual biomarkers of infection such as procalcitonin or C-reactive protein lack specificity [7]. In particular, procalcitonin has been shown to be a poor diagnosis marker of infection although it is reliable for the monitoring of antibiotic efficacy [8,9].

Recently, neutrophil CD64 has been shown to be a highly sensitive and specific marker for systemic infection and sepsis [7]. The membrane molecule defined by monoclonal antibody CD64 is a high-affinity receptor (FcyRI) found on normal monocytes and only expressed at low levels by normal neutrophils. Neutrophil CD64 expression is regulated in a graded fashion in parallel with the degree of inflammatory response to a significant clinical process of infection or tissue injury [10]. Indeed, neutrophil CD64 is one of many activation-related antigenic changes manifested by neutrophils during the normal pathophysiologic acute inflammatory response. CD64 expression differs, however, from the parallel changes of increased CD45RA and CD11b/18 expressions, as well as loss of expression of CD16, CD62L, and CD66b, in that the normal baseline CD64 expression on neutrophils is negligible. Additionally, CD64 expression is stable at room temperature for more than 30 hours, in contrast to the labile expression of CD11b and other neutrophil antigens. In that sense, neutrophil CD64 has been shown to be a highly sensitive (>95%) and specific marker for systemic infection and sepsis in adults, neonates, and children [7,11-16]. Moreover, the first results showed that CD64 measurement could allow clinicians to discontinue antimicrobial treatment if negative within 24 hours of suspected infection, without waiting for the definitive microbiological results [17]. These preliminary results now need to be confirmed in larger cohorts of patients including appropriate control groups with systemic inflammatory response syndromes. Moreover, the role of CD64 in differentiating bacterial and viral infection should be clarified, since CD64 was also found to be elevated in some viral infections [15,18].

To note, a diagnostic kit is currently available that allows rapid and precise quantitative measurement of neutrophil CD64 expression (Leuko64; Trillium Diagnostics, Brewer, ME, USA). Moreover, since CD64 expression is stable in ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid-anticoagulated blood for at least 24 hours at room temperature, it is well suited for application in any clinical laboratory having access to a flow cytometer. Additionally, the Leuko64 assay can be performed on hematology blood counters that use fluorescence flow cytometric principles, such as those produced by Abbott Diagnostic and by Horiba-ABX [10,19]. This test is almost fully automated, the results are available rapidly (<20 minutes) and the assay can be performed on a 24/7 basis without specific expertise in FCM [19].

Diagnosis of monocyte dysfunctions in ICU patients: HLA-DR expression on monocytes

Monocytes from septic patients are mainly characterized by a decreased capacity to mount a proinflammatory reaction upon a secondary bacterial challenge and by impairment in antigen presentation capacity, most probably due to the lowered expression of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules. Regarding molecules expressed on monocytes, which are readily measured by standardized FCM protocols, numerous studies have been performed regarding the measurement of HLA-DR expression.

Monocytes strongly express HLA-DR on their surfaces. This molecule can thus easily be detected by FCM (Figure 2). Monocytes with diminished or missing HLA-DR expression are markedly inhibited in their antigen-presenting function and in their ability to produce inflammatory mediators in response to a stimulus [2,20,21].

In septic patients, a decreased cell-surface expression of HLA-DR has regularly been observed on circulating monocytes (mHLA-DR) and there is now a general consensus that a diminished mHLA-DR expression is a reliable marker for the development of immunosuppression in critically ill patients [2] (Figure 2). Indeed, decreased mHLA-DR expression has been assessed as a predictor of septic complications after trauma, after surgery, after pancreatitis, in burn patients and after septic shock. In these studies, low levels of mHLA-DR were observed in patients who subsequently developed nosocomial infections [2,22-25]. In contrast, in injured patients with uneventful recovery, mHLA-DR rapidly returned to normal values (generally in less than 1 week). Finally, decreased mHLA-DR has been shown to be predictive of adverse outcome in different groups of
critically ill patients, including burn and septic shock patients [2,22].

Of note, because HLA-DR is a marker that is rapidly regulated, the storage temperature and the delay before staining are important issues. Use of ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid blood, storage of unprocessed blood on ice as soon as possible before staining and staining within 4 hours after blood drawing were the conditions that produced the lowest variance between *ex vivo* values [20].

Finally, the level of expression of HLA-DR on monocytes can be measured either as the percentage of cells positive for this marker or as the mean fluorescence intensity of this marker on total monocytes (Figure 3). Both expression types are used in the literature, but no study has so far been conducted to compare the predictive value of HLA-DR when measured as a percentage or as the mean fluorescence intensity. Important to mention, however, is that results in mean fluorescence intensity cannot be compared between laboratories. To circumvent this issue and using calibrated beads, kits enabling the calculation of the number of antibodies bound per cell have been developed. This latter approach has been validated during a multicentric evaluation [20].
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**Figure 2.** Monocyte HLA-DR expression measurement by flow cytometry. (a) Representative CD14 versus side scatter (SSC) dot-plot that allows for the gating of CD14*+* monocytes. (b) Representative HLA-DR linear histograms gated on monocytes in a healthy volunteer and a septic patient (white histogram = isotype control). FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; PE, phycoerythrin.

Diagnosis of T-lymphocyte dysfunctions in ICU patients: percentage of circulating CD4*+CD25*+CD127*low* regulatory T cells

Owing to their ability to interact not only with cells of the innate immune system but also with other cells of the adaptive response, T lymphocytes play a central role in anti-infectious immune response both as effectors and regulators of this response. This has been illustrated by the description of an increased mortality, a decreased bacterial clearance and an altered proinflammatory immune response after polymicrobial septic challenge in mice lacking both T cells and B cells [26,27]. A growing body of evidence has now confirmed that the lymphocyte-mediated immune response may be dysfunctional after severe sepsis and may play a major role in the development of a state of immunosuppression in patients [28,29].

T-lymphocyte dysfunctions after severe sepsis include the occurrence of a state of anergy with a decreased proliferation to mitogen stimulation, a shift toward a Th2 profile of cytokine secretion, an increased apoptosis and an increased percentage of CD4*+CD25*+ regulatory T lymphocytes (Tregs) [1,2,28,30].

Tregs have recently been reported as a potent regulatory T-cell lineage playing an essential role in the control of both adaptive and innate immune responses [31]. An increased Treg percentage has been described in septic shock patients [28]. Importantly, this increase was observed immediately after the diagnosis of sepsis, but persisted only in nonsurviving patients in association with an augmented CTLA-4 expression [32]. A similar increase in Treg percentage has been observed in trauma patients and in mice after polymicrobial septic challenge and stroke [28]. We recently observed a strong correlation between the increased Treg/effector ratio measured in whole blood after septic shock and the decreased proliferative response of patients’ lymphocytes after mitogenic stimulation [33]. This suggests not only that the measurement of the Treg percentage may represent a reliable marker for the diagnosis of lymphocyte dysfunctions in patients, but also that these cells may play a central role in the development of immunoparalysis after sepsis.

In mice, although activated murine CD4*+* T cells express CD25, the CD4*+CD25* phenotype identifies a
largely homogeneous regulatory population. In humans, however, complications arise because of the presence of a sizeable population of activated CD4+ T cells that express CD25 [34]. Although the human CD4+CD25hi population is the most efficient in terms of suppression, there is no uniformly defined consensus on where the boundary between CD25hi and CD25int expression occurs. Comparing results directly has therefore been difficult, in terms of both Treg numbers and functionality, from studying human clinical samples, particularly in inflammatory settings.

Figure 3. Measurement of percentage or mean fluorescence intensity by flow cytometry (theoretical histograms). (a) Isotype control (gray peak), a nonrelevant immunoglobulin labeled with similar fluorochrome as the staining antibody. This identifies nonspecific staining and enables one to set up a region of positive/specific staining (usually set up between 1 and 2%). (b) Percentages. After setting up the region of positive staining using isotype control, staining with specific antibody is acquired. In healthy volunteers (left histogram) about 100% of circulating monocytes express HLA-DR, whereas in septic shock patients (right histogram) this percentage is decreased. (c) Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI). The average intensity of fluorescence on all cells of interest is measured. In cases of circulating monocyte human leukocyte antigen-DR expression (mHLA-DR) measurements, the gated region includes all monocytes and the MFI value is decreased in septic patients (right histogram) in comparison with the normal value (left histogram). However, the measurement of this parameter is highly dependent on the flow cytometer settings and cannot be compared between two laboratories. To circumvent this, kits using calibrated beads and calculating the number of antibodies bound per cells (AB/C) have been developed. Regarding mHLA-DR expression, the usual threshold of 30% positive monocytes (retained in several studies for predicting mortality after sepsis) is similar to 5,000 AB/C [20].
conditions where there are significant numbers of activated effector T cells [34]. The CD4+CD25+ phenotype is therefore not Treg specific, and this lack of specificity is also exhibited by other surface markers, including glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor family-related protein, CTLA-4, CD45RB, CD62L, neuropilin-1, CD103 and lymphocyte activation gene 3, which have shown enriched expression in Treg populations [34].

A more specific marker is the forkhead box P3 transcription factor. This marker can only be used to isolate cells that are permeabilized and fixed, however, and the staining procedure is long (around 3 hours for completion) [35]. A waiting new reagents and protocols for rapid intracellular staining, specific surface markers are thus needed to allow consistent identification and functional testing of Tregs.

Two groups have recently addressed these issues by identifying the utility of CD127 expression for discriminating between Tregs and activated T cells [34,36,37]. In adult human whole blood, both studies associated the phenotype of CD4+CD25+CD127low cells with intracellular forkhead box P3 expression – suppressive and hypoproliferative activities therefore identifying Tregs [35]. In human whole blood this population represents approximately 6 to 8% of total CD4+ T cells [34].

We verified that the combined expressions of CD4 and CD25 and the low of expression of CD127 appeared adequate for the characterization of circulating Tregs in whole blood of either healthy volunteers or septic shock patients [33]. A significant increase in the percentage of circulating CD4+CD25+CD127low Tregs in septic shock patients was measured in comparison with healthy individuals, which was mainly due to a decrease in the CD4+CD25+CD127low T-cell number rather than a rise in the Treg count after sepsis [33]. This study showed the validity of the three-color FCM staining (CD4/CD25/CD127) for the standardized routine monitoring of Tregs in patients (Figure 4). The measurement of CD127 in addition with CD4 and CD25 thus allows for a rapid (below 30 minutes) and standardizable estimation of Treg numbers, usable in multicentered clinical studies. In ICU patients, therefore, the measurement of Treg and conventional T-cell frequency or absolute count (CD4+ T-cell enumeration is doable on the same tube used for Treg identification) may represent simple and valuable surrogate markers of lymphocyte anergy usable on a routine basis for the monitoring of sepsis-induced immune dysfunctions. Once again, the relative predictive values of these parameters need to be confirmed in large multicentric clinical evaluations because, to date, only few studies (including small cohorts of patients) investigated the association between lymphocyte alterations and clinical outcome (nosocomial infections, mortality) in ICU patients.

**Perspective**

**Targeted individualized therapy based on FCM-measured biomarkers**

FCM could represent an innovative diagnostic tool in many clinical situations (allergy, transplantation, autoimmunity, and so forth), especially since this technique can also be used for the measurement of soluble markers (a point that has not been addressed here).

In the specific clinical context of ICU patient monitoring, the potential of FCM is further demonstrated by the use of the biomarkers listed above as stratification tools in preliminary clinical studies testing immunomodulating therapies. In particular, several clinical trials have recently used the measurement of mHLA-DR expression to stratify the administration of IFNγ or granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor in small cohorts of ICU patients [25,38-41]. These studies showed promising results and such a strategy should now be tested in clinical trials including a large number of patients.

Moreover, several other innovative immunotherapies may be proposed for the treatment of immune dysfunctions in ICU patients based on the initial measurement of biomarkers by FCM (Table 1). In particular, recombinant human IL-7 represents an interesting candidate. This molecule is an essential cytokine for T-lymphocyte development, survival, expansion and maturation in humans [42]. Phase I clinical trials in cancer and HIV-infected patients have shown that T-cell expansion can be achieved at doses that are well tolerated [42]. Phase II clinical trials in HIV-infected patients showed that IL-7 can significantly expand CD4+ and CD8+ T cells with lower expression of programmed death 1. Importantly, in murine models of sepsis (cecal ligation and puncture), studies have shown the capacity of recombinant human IL-7 to improve survival, block apoptosis, restore lymphocyte functions (IFNγ production), improve effector cell recruitment and prevent loss of delayed-type hypersensitivity [43,44]. Preliminary results in children with severe bacterial infections showed that the plasmatic IL-7 level was increased in survivors in comparison with nonsurvivors [45]. In sepsis, the administration of recombinant human IL-17 could be stratified based on the assessment of lymphocyte anergy.

Finally, beside the markers listed in the present article, other markers of immune dysfunctions measurable by FCM have been proposed. These include markers of apoptosis, increased co-signaling receptor expression, measurement of intracellular co-signaling pathways or circulating cytokine dosages using beads (Table 1). For example, increased programmed death 1-related molecule expressions have been described in murine models of sepsis [46] and in sepsis patients [47]. As anti-programmed death 1 blocking antibodies are currently
Figure 4. CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127low regulatory T-cell measurement by flow cytometry. (a) Representative CD4 versus side scatter (SSC) dot-plot that allows for the gating of CD4⁺ lymphocytes (Ly). (b) Gated on CD4⁺ Ly, representative CD25 versus CD127 dot-plots in one healthy volunteer and a septic patient. The CD25⁺CD127low population is easily identified and its percentage is increased in septic patients. FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; PE, phycoerythrin.

Table 1. Immune dysfunctions in septic patients: potential biomarkers

| Response                      | Biomarker                                                                 | Flow cytometry technique               |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Innate immune response        | Functional testing                                                         | Cytometric bead array                  |
| Plasma cytokines              | ↑ ex vivo cytokine production after TLR agonist stimulation                | Cytometric bead array                  |
| Cell surface marker expression | ↓ IL-10                                                                   | Cell surface staining                  |
| Apoptosis                     | Depolarized mitochondria                                                   | Cell surface staining                  |
|                              | ↓ CD14                                                                    |                                        |
| Adaptative immune response    | Functional testing                                                         | CFSE probes                            |
| Cell surface marker expression | ↑ proliferation after antigenic or nonspecific stimulation                 | Cell surface staining                  |
| Apoptosis                     | ↓ T-cell count                                                             | Cell surface staining                  |
|                              | ↑ Annexin V staining                                                       | Cell surface staining                  |
|                              | ↓ Bcl2 expression protein/gene                                              | Intracellular staining                 |
|                              | Bax/Bcl-xl or Bax/Bcl2 ratios                                              |                                        |

CFSE, carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester; GM-CSFR, granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor; mHLA-DR, circulating monocyte human leukocyte antigen-DR expression; PD-1, programmed death 1; TLR, Toll-like receptor.
tested in phase I clinical trials in cancer, such immunoregulatory therapy is now proposed in the treatment of sepsis [48]. Again, under standardized protocols, these aspects have to be investigated in multicentric clinical trials.

Conclusion

Patients with sepsis present with features consistent with immunosuppression, and stimulating their immune system may thus represent promising therapeutic strategies. An absolute prerequisite for the next clinical trials, however, is to initially systematically assess patients’ immune functions to be able to define individualized immunotherapy. For that purpose, the measurement of biomarkers using standardized FCM protocols appears to be the appropriate tool for forthcoming years. Eventually, FCM will provide a panel of biomarkers giving clinicians an overview of the patients’ immune status and thus indicate the best immunostimulating therapy to be initiated.
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