FERMI DISCOVERY OF GAMMA-RAY EMISSION FROM NGC 1275
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We report the discovery of high-energy ($E > 100$ MeV) $\gamma$-ray emission from NGC 1275, a giant elliptical galaxy lying at the center of the Perseus cluster of galaxies, based on observations made with the Large Area Telescope (LAT) of the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope. The positional center of the $\gamma$-ray source is only $\approx 3\,\arcmin$ away from the NGC 1275 nucleus, well within the 95% LAT error circle of $\approx 5\,\arcmin$. The spatial distribution of $\gamma$-ray photons is consistent with a point source. The average flux and power-law photon index measured with the LAT from August 4 to 2008 December 5 are $F_\gamma = (2.10 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-7}$ ph $(>100$ MeV) cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and $\Gamma = 2.17 \pm 0.05$, respectively. The measurements are statistically consistent with constant flux during the four-month LAT observing period. Previous EGRET observations gave an upper limit of $F_\gamma < 3.72 \times 10^{-8}$ ph $(>100$ MeV) cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ to the $\gamma$-ray flux from NGC 1275. This indicates that the source is variable on timescales of years to decades, and therefore restricts the fraction of emission that can be produced in extended regions of the galaxy cluster. Contemporaneous and historical radio observations are also reported. The broadband spectrum of NGC 1275 is modeled with a simple one-zone synchrotron/synchrotron self-Compton model and a model with a decelerating jet flow.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Perseus cluster is one of the few clusters exhibiting a minihalo of size $\approx 3\,\arcmin$ from the K. A. Wallenberg Foundation. Corresponding author: J. Kataoka, kataoka.jun@waseda.jp.
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\( \sim 300 \text{ kpc} \) seen in low-frequency radio emission (Burns 1990). This minihalo is presumed to arise from synchrotron emission from widely distributed relativistic particles and fields energized in the central regions of the cluster.

Furthermore, the Perseus cluster appears to contain a nonthermal component, namely an excess of hard X-ray emission above the thermal bremsstrahlung from the diffuse hot cluster gas. Based on a deep Chandra observation, the nonthermal X-ray component has been mapped over the core of the cluster and shows a morphology similar to the radio minihalo (Sanders et al. 2005; Sanders & Fabian 2007). This claim was, however, questioned on the basis of a long XMM-Newton exposure (Molendi & Gastaldello 2009). Above 10 keV, a hard X-ray component has been detected with HEAO-1 (Primini et al. 1981) and BeppoSAX/PDS (Nevalainen et al. 2004), although it was not detected with Compton Gamma Ray Observatory (CGRO)/OSSE in the 0.05–10 MeV range (Osako et al. 1994). More recently, 10 galaxy clusters were detected in the 15-55 keV range with Swift/BAT (Ajello et al. 2009). Perseus is the only cluster that displays a high-energy nonthermal component up to 200 keV, but the hard tail seen in the BAT spectrum is likely due to nuclear emission from NGC 1275 rather than to nonthermal emission from the intercluster medium. This idea is supported by possible flux variations compared to past hard X-ray observations, and by the fact that the extrapolation of the BAT spectrum is in good agreement with the luminosity of the nucleus as measured with XMM-Newton (Churazov et al. 2003).

At higher energies, \( \gamma \)-ray observations toward NGC 1275 and the Perseus clusters were first reported in the 1980s by Strong & Bignami (1983). The COS B data, taken between 1975 and 1979 (Strong et al. 1982; Mayer-Hasselwander et al. 1982), show a \( \gamma \)-ray excess at the position of the galaxy, although evidence for emission uniquely related to NGC 1275 is ambiguous (positional uncertainties were not given for the COS B data). Interpreted as emission from NGC 1275, the \( \gamma \)-ray flux was \( F_\gamma = 8.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ ph} (>70 \text{ MeV}) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). Further observations in the MeV–GeV range were made by CGRO/EGRET in the 1990s as part of a search for \( \gamma \)-ray emission from 58 clusters of galaxies between 1991 and 2000 (Reimer et al. 2003). No evidence was found for high-energy \( \gamma \)-ray emission of individual clusters, nor as a population. The 2\( \sigma \) upper limit for the Perseus cluster/NGC 1275 is \( F_\gamma < 3.72 \times 10^{-8} \text{ ph} (>100 \text{ MeV}) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \), which is more than an order of magnitude lower than the flux reported by COS B. Observations with improved sensitivity, now possible with Fermi, are crucial to confirm \( \gamma \)-ray emission from NGC 1275 and possible time variability.

There are several reasons to think that the Perseus/NGC 1275 (3C 84) system could be a \( \gamma \)-ray emitter. First, a few extragalactic non-blazar sources, namely Centaurus A, an FR I radio galaxy (Sreekumar et al. 1999), 3C 111, a broad line radio galaxy (Nandikotkar et al. 2007; Hartman et al. 2008), and possibly the radio galaxy NGC 6251 (Mukherjee et al. 2002), were already detected with EGRET. In contrast to blazars, which form the majority of extragalactic \( \gamma \)-ray sources (Hartman et al. 1999), most radio galaxies have large inclination angles and hence there is no significant amplification of the emission due to Doppler beaming. However, if the jet has velocity gradients (see Georganopoulos & Kazanas 2003b for a decelerating flow and Ghisellini et al. 2005 for a spine-sheath velocity profile), as suggested by recent radio/X-ray observations (e.g., for transversal profiles, see Laing & Bridle 2002; Kataoka et al. 2006), it is possible to produce bright \( \gamma \)-ray emission from the nuclei of some radio galaxies via the inverse Compton process where the emission from the slow part is amplified in the rest frame of the faster part, and vice versa. Second, \( \gamma \)-ray emission from the cluster is also expected as a result of (1) secondary nuclear interactions of high-energy cosmic rays with the intercluster medium or as the origin of a secondary population of relativistic electrons (Berezinsky et al. 1997; Atoyan & Völk 2000); (2) particle acceleration at large-scale scale shocks in forming clusters (e.g., Totani & Kitayama 2000), or at a shock excited by an AGN outburst at the cluster center (Fujita et al. 2007); and (3) dark matter annihilation, which also acts as a heat source in the core of cooling flow clusters (Totani 2004). In contrast to the emission from a compact AGN region, \( \gamma \)-rays from clusters would be steady on the observing time scales. Hence time variability, if detected, provides an important clue to the origin of the \( \gamma \)-ray emission.

With the successful launch of Fermi (formerly known as GLAST), we have a new opportunity to study \( \gamma \)-ray emission from radio galaxies and cluster of galaxies with much improved sensitivity. As a first step, we report here the Fermi discovery of \( \gamma \)-ray emission from NGC 1275. In Section 2, we describe the Fermi \( \gamma \)-ray observations, data reduction process, and analysis results. In Section 3, we present historical radio and contemporaneous radio observations with the UMOA, RATAN, and the MOJAVE program. Our results are presented in the context of jet emission models in Section 4. Conclusions are given in Section 5.

2. \( \gamma \)-RAY OBSERVATIONS

On 2008 June 11, the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope was successfully launched into a low-Earth orbit at \( \sim 565 \text{ km} \), with an inclination angle of 25\(^\circ\). The Large Area Telescope (LAT) instrument on Fermi is described in detail in Atwood et al. (2009) and references therein. The LAT relies on the conversion of \( \gamma \)-rays into electron–positron pairs; tracking of those pairs allows the determination of the direction of the incident \( \gamma \)-ray. Such a design results in a wide field of view (\( \sim 2.4 \text{ sr} \)), simultaneously available to the detector. Compared to earlier \( \gamma \)-ray missions, the LAT has a large effective area (\( \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \) on axis at 1 GeV for the event class considered here), wide energy coverage from \( \approx 20 \text{ MeV} \) to \( > 300 \text{ GeV} \), improved angular resolution (a point-spread function (PSF) of \( \sim 0.6 \text{ at } 1 \text{ GeV} \) for 68\% containment) and is live about 90\% of the time.

During the first year of operations, most of the telescope’s time is being dedicated to “survey mode” observing, where Fermi points away from the Earth, and nominally rocks the spacecraft axis north and south from the orbital plane to enable monitoring of the entire sky on a timescale shorter than a day or less. The whole sky is surveyed every \( \approx 3 \text{ hours} \) (or 2 orbits). The first light images of the \( \gamma \)-ray sky are found in the LAT official web page.\(^{64}\) We report here on the LAT’s initial observations of the Perseus/NGC 1275 region, using data collected during the first four months of the ongoing all-sky survey. The source was first detected during the Launch and Early Operation phase (L&EO, namely the period lasting approximately 60 days after the launch until August 3). However, because the instrument configuration was not tuned for optimum performance, we concentrate our analysis on the survey data starting from 2008 August 4.

\(^{64}\) http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/GLAST/main/index.html
2.1. Data Reduction

The data used here comprise all scientific data obtained between 2008 August 4 and 2008 December 5. The interval runs from MET 239557417 to 25034308. We have applied the zenith angle cut to eliminate photons from the Earth’s limb, at 105°. This is important in pointed mode observations, but also important for survey mode due to overheads and sun avoidance maneuvers. The same zenith cut is also accounted for in exposure calculation using the LAT science tool GTLTCUBE. We use the “Diffuse” class events (Atwood et al. 2009), which are those reconstructed events having the highest probability of being photons.

In the analysis presented here, we set the lower energy bound to a value of 200 MeV, since the bin count for photons with energies of ≈ 100 MeV and lower is systematically lower than expected based on extrapolation of a reasonable function. Note that theta cuts, which would eliminate events close to the edge of the field of view, are not applied in the present analysis since we still need to study the tradeoffs introduced by the cut versus those introduced by not having the cut. Science Tools version v9r8p3 and Instrumental Response Functions (IRFs) P6_V1 (a model of the spatial distribution of photon events calibrated prelaunch) were used throughout this paper.

2.2. γ-ray Results

Figure 1 shows a close-up of the Fermi image above 200 MeV centered on the position of NGC 1275 (R.A. = 49°951, decl. = 41°512), with an image radius of the Region of Interest (ROI) r = 8 deg. The image has been smoothed with a two-dimensional Gaussian function with σ = 0.2. The extended feature toward the upper left is the edge of the Galactic diffuse emission. The brightest source is located at R.A. = 50°000, decl. = 41°524, and coincides within the uncertainties with the direction to NGC 1275. The positional center of the γ-ray emission is only 0:05 from the position of the NGC 1275 nucleus, well within the 95% LAT error circle of 0:086.

Figure 2 shows the projection of the γ-ray images in low (0.2–1 GeV) and high (1–10 GeV) energy bands, specifically, sliced photon count distributions projected onto a R.A. axis with Δθ_{decl.} = ±2°, centered on NGC 1275 (black points with errors; bin width 0:1). The most prominent peak in the center is NGC 1275, while the smaller peak is also seen in the east (“src_A”). The red solid lines show the best-fit model determined from the likelihood analysis described below, in which we assume two point sources (NGC 1275 and src_A) with the Galactic and extragalactic diffuse emission overlaid. The LAT has an angular resolution of θ_{θ8} ≈ 0:6°×0.75 (Atwood et al. 2009), giving θ_{θ8} ≈ 2:0 at 200 MeV. The counts distributions of NGC 1275 and src_A are consistent with this distribution in low- and high-energy bands, indicating that the diffuse extended component combined with a point source for src_A does not contaminate NGC 1275/Perseus, at least within current photon statistics.

To study the average spectrum of NGC 1275 during the four-month observation, we use the standard maximum-likelihood spectral estimator provided with the LAT science tools GTLIKE.

This fits the data to a source model, along with models for the uniform extragalactic and structured Galactic backgrounds. As shown in Figure 1, the upper left count map (≈3° from the NGC 1275 nucleus) is dominated by the bright soft γ-ray emission of the Galactic plane. Since the distribution and amount of the Galactic diffuse emission itself are still a matter of debate, careful choice of the source region is important especially for relatively faint sources. We, therefore, made several trials by changing the ROI radius from 5° to 20° in steps of 5°, 8°, 10°, 12°, 15°, and 20°, respectively. We used a recent Galactic diffuse model, 54_59Xvarh7S, generated using GALPROP with the normalization free to vary in the fit. The response function used is P6_V1_DIFFUSE.

Since a different choice of ROI yielded essentially the same results within statistical uncertainties, we set r = 8° in the following analysis to minimize contamination from the Galactic plane and nearby sources (for details, see the Fermi LAT bright γ-ray source list; Abdo et al. 2009) and to reduce computational time; this region is large enough to contain most of the photons even at the lowest energies where the LAT PSF broadens. With this choice, the only sources to be included in the modeling are NGC 1275, src_A, and the Galactic and extragalactic emission as underlying diffuse background components. We have also checked the contribution from sources outside the ROI, but found it to be completely negligible.

We model the continuum emission from both NGC 1275 and src_A with a single power law. The extragalactic background is assumed to have a power-law spectrum, with its spectral index and the normalization free to vary in the fit. From an unbinned GTLIKE fit the best-fit power-law parameters for NGC 1275 are

\[
\frac{dN}{dE} = (2.45 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-9} \times \left(\frac{E}{100 \text{ MeV}}\right)^{-2.17 \pm 0.04} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ MeV}^{-1},
\]

66 More accurately, we should call this the “NGC 1275/Perseus region,” since at this stage it is still unclear whether the γ-ray emission comes from the nucleus of NGC 1275 or the Perseus cluster. This will be discussed later in the discussion in Section 4. Also there are several galaxies, NGC 1273, 1274, 1277, 1278, and 1279 in the LAT error circle, but NGC 1275 is by far the brightest, is strong in the radio, and is the closest source to the γ-ray peak position.

66 The LAT team recommends that the ROI should be at least 15°–20° in confused regions near the Galactic plane, ≲10° for isolated high-latitude regions.
In summary, the best-fit parameters for a power-law function gives the photon index $\Gamma = 2.17 \pm 0.26$ and normalization $F_0 = 100$ MeV for NGC 1275. Bin width is 0.1.

$$F_\gamma = (2.10 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-7} \text{ph} (> 100 \text{ MeV}) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where only statistical errors are taken into account and the spectrum was extrapolated down to 100 MeV. Systematic errors for the LAT are still under investigation (P. Bruel et al. 2009, in preparation), but for a relatively faint source like NGC 1275, the uncertainty is dominated by statistical errors.

The predicted photon counts from NGC 1275 in the ROI are $N_{\text{pred}} = 866.5$ and the test statistic (defined as $TS = 2(\log L - \log L_0)$, where $L$ and $L_0$ are the likelihood when the source is included or not) is $TS = 1206.6$ above 200 MeV, corresponding to a 35$\sigma$ detection. For the Galactic diffuse background, the normalization is 1.050 $\pm$ 0.026 and $N_{\text{pred}} = 11542.8$. The near unity normalization suggests that the Galactic diffuse emission estimated in the ROI is in good agreement with the current GALPROP model. The power-law photon index of the extragalactic background is $\Gamma = 2.14 \pm 0.04$ with $N_{\text{pred}} = 2793.2$. This spectral shape is consistent with what has been measured with CGRO/EGRET ($\Gamma = 2.10 \pm 0.03$) but the normalization determined with Fermi, $(1.23 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-7}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ MeV$^{-1}$ when extrapolated to 100 MeV, is about 30% lower than that measured with EGRET (Sreekumar et al. 1998). Although we have considered src_A, the source turned out to be weak and did not affect the analysis results presented here. Figure 3 shows the LAT spectrum of NGC 1275 obtained by separately running gtlike for seven energy bands; 200–400 MeV, 400–800 MeV, 800 MeV–1.6 GeV, 1.6–3.2 GeV, 3.2–6.4 GeV, 6.4–12.8 GeV, and 12.8–25.6 GeV, where the dotted line shows the best-fit power-law function for the NGC 1275 data given by Equation (1).

Finally, we investigate the flux variations of NGC 1275 from August 4 to December 5 in 2008. To this end, we accumulated spectra with a time resolution of 7 days and fit each spectrum with the same model as above. The ROI radius ($r = 8'$), energy range ($E > 200$ MeV), and other screening conditions were the same as described above. Since variability is not expected for underlying background diffuse emission, we fixed the best-fit parameters as to an average values determined from the four-month integrated spectrum for the Galactic/extragalactic background components. In this manner, only four parameters (power-law photon indices and normalizations for both NGC 1275 and src_A) are set to be free for the time-resolved spectral fits.

Figure 4 shows the plot of the flux ($E > 100$ MeV: upper) and photon index (lower) versus time. It appears that the flux

---

67 In summary, the best-fit parameters for a power-law function gives the photon index $\Gamma = 1.92 \pm 0.20$ with $F_\gamma = (0.25 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-7} \text{ph} (> 100 \text{MeV}) \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for src_A.
of NGC 1275 may decrease gradually over four months, and there are some hints of spectral evolution as well. However, the hypothesis of constancy cannot be rejected, with $\chi^2 = 12.2$ and 12.4 for 16 degrees of freedom, for flux and photon index variations, respectively.\footnote{NGC 1275 is flagged as a variable source in the LAT bright $\gamma$-ray source list (Table 6 of Abdo et al. 2009). This is because they have fixed the spectral index of each source to the best-fit value over the full interval to avoid large error bars in the flux estimates, while both flux and photon index are free to vary in the fit of this paper. Further long-term monitoring is thus important to confirm the variability of this source.} We checked that the contaminant src_A does not vary. We independently checked the light curve using gtlike, taking a small ROI radius of $r = 2^\circ$ to reduce the contamination from diffuse background and nearby sources. We assumed the spectral photon index of $\Gamma = 2.2$, and background was subtracted from nearby region of the same ROI radius. The results were consistent with what has been obtained with gtlike. Further long-term monitoring of this source is important. Since the source is apparently variable on longer timescale, year-scale variability is naturally expected as we will discuss below.

3. RADIO OBSERVATIONS

In the radio, the University of Michigan Radio Astronomy Observatory (UMRAO) have monitored 3C 84 since 1965. The UMRAO variability program utilizes a 26 meter prime focus paraboloid equipped with transister-based radiometers which operate at the central frequencies 4.8, 8.0, and 14.5 GHz; the bandwidths are 560, 760, and 1600 MHz, respectively. A typical observation consists of 8–16 individual measurements obtained over a 20–40 minute time interval. The flux scale is set by observations of Cassiopeia A (e.g., see Baars et al. 1977). Further details of the UMRAO calibration and data analysis procedures are given in Aller et al. (1985). Figure 5 shows a long-term light curve of 3C 84 measured at 14.5 GHz, taken by the UMRAO from 1974 February to 2008 December. Interestingly, the radio flux density reached a maximum between 1977. The continuum spectrum was measured on 2008 August 25, show a significant brightening of the central sub-parsec-scale structure, indicating that a flare is happening in the innermost jet region (Figure 6). This brightening might be connected to the $\gamma$-ray activity detected. The 1–22 GHz instantaneous radio spectrum of 3C 84 was also observed with the 600 meter ring radio telescope RATAN-600 of the Special Astrophysical Observatory, Russian Academy of Sciences, located in Zelenchukskaya, Russia, on 2008 September 11 and 12. The continuum spectrum was measured on both days quasi-simultaneously (within several minutes) in a transit mode at six different bands with the following central frequencies (and frequency bandwidths): 0.95 GHz (0.03 GHz), 2.3 GHz (0.25 GHz), 4.8 GHz (0.6 GHz), 7.7 GHz (1.0 GHz), 11.2 GHz (1.4 GHz), and 21.7 GHz (2.5 GHz). Details on the method of observation, data processing, and amplitude calibration are described in Kovalèv et al. (1999). An average spectrum is used for the spectral energy distribution (SED).

4. DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION

In the previous sections, we have reported the detection of $\gamma$-ray emission from NGC 1275 during the initial sky survey with Fermi, and historical and contemporaneous radio observations with UMRAO, RATAN, and MOJAVE. Although excess $\gamma$-ray emission around the position of this galaxy had been previously found with COS B, the association of the latter with NGC 1275 was ambiguous, due to the relatively poor angular resolution and low photon statistics (Strong & Bignami 1983; see Section 1). The Fermi observations, with much improved sensitivity and angular resolution, allow us to more precisely determine the localization of the $\gamma$-ray source and its possible association with NGC 1275. More intriguing is that the source was not detected during CGRO/EGRET observations over 10 viewing periods (Reimer et al. 2003). The 2$\sigma$ EGRET upper limit to the flux is $F_\gamma < 3.72 \times 10^{-8}$ (ph($>$100 MeV) cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), which is about a factor of seven lower than the flux measured by Fermi/LAT, and more than an order of magnitude lower than the $COS B$ flux (see Figure 2). This means the source varies on timescales shorter than years to decades, so that the emission region size $R \lesssim c t_{\text{var}} \approx 0.3$ pc.

With this simple estimate, we can provide useful constraints on whether the $\gamma$-ray emission originates from a cluster or AGN. Although the LAT error circle is still large enough to include both nonthermal AGN and nonthermal cluster emission, a large fraction of the $\gamma$-ray emission measured with the Fermi LAT must originate from within a few light years of an active region, most likely the cluster center, on the basis of the EGRET upper limit. Since the Perseus cluster is extended over $\gtrsim 0.5$ (or $\beta$ radius $\sim 0.3$; see Section 1), corresponding to hundreds of kpc, if the emission were extended on this size scale, it would not have been variable and could have been detected as an extended source with the LAT above 1 GeV, where the PSF becomes smaller than $\approx 0.5$. As seen in Figure 2, however, the observed count distribution is consistent with a point source.

This limits the $\gamma$-ray flux from the cluster formed by (1) p–p interactions of high-energy cosmic rays or by (2) particle
acceleration at a large-scale shock to the flux upper limit measured with EGRET (see Section 1). Thus, the bulk of the \textit{Fermi} emission is limited to a region of a few light years in extent. One may also suspect that the high-energy $\gamma$-ray emission could be related to the "cavities" seen in the X-ray images of the Perseus Cluster (Fabian et al. 2000, 2003), which are likely inflated by the jet from 3C 84. Their size scale, on the order of arcminutes, is too large to account for the inferred time variability.

Another possibility is the $\gamma$-ray flux originates from the annihilation of dark matter particles, for example, neutralino dark matter. An annihilation $\gamma$-ray signal from the whole cluster would be extended and inconsistent with the \textit{Fermi} observation. In any case, the expected flux is much smaller when a standard annihilation cross section is assumed. However, the growth of the supermassive black hole at the cluster center may produce a spike in the density profile, resulting in a much higher annihilation rate from the central region within $\sim$0.1 pc (e.g., Totani 2004; Colafrancesco et al. 2007). This annihilation emission should be observed as a point source for the LAT resolution, and flux modulation on the dynamical timescale ($\sim$four months within 0.1 pc) is possible. However, the continuum $\gamma$-ray spectrum from neutralino annihilation should be strongly peaked at $\sim$1–10 GeV in the standard framework of particle physics, which conflicts with the observed LAT spectrum (Figures 3 and 2).

The available evidence appears to be most consistent with $\gamma$-ray emission arising from the pc-scale AGN jet. Nonthermal nuclear emission is also detected at other wavelengths. Recent \textit{Chandra} and \textit{XMM-Newton} observations with excellent angular resolution to resolve the nucleus revealed that nonthermal nuclear emission is well represented by a simple power-law function of $\Gamma = 1.65$ (Churazov et al. 2003; Balmaverde et al. 2006; Molendi & Gastaldello 2009), with some hints of flux variations. Also, hard X-ray emission detected with \textit{Swift}/BAT is likely due to nonthermal emission from the nucleus of NGC 1275 (Ajello et al. 2009). In the optical, nonthermal nucleus emission is well represented by a simple power-law function of $\Gamma = 1.65$ (Churazov et al. 2003; Balmaverde et al. 2006; Molendi & Gastaldello 2009), with some hints of flux variations. Also, hard X-ray emission detected with \textit{Swift}/BAT is likely due to nonthermal emission from the nucleus of NGC 1275 (Ajello et al. 2009).
γ-rays: BL Lac (Albert et al. 2007) and 3C 66A (Acciari et al. 2009), and thus NGC 1275 is a potential TeV source as well.

We use two models to fit the SED of the nonthermal emission of NGC 1275 in Figure 7. First, we consider a simple one-zone synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model fit to the Fermi data and contemporaneous radio data (blue dashed curve; see Finke et al. 2008 for details). This model employs a jetted outflow with bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma = 1.8$ and Doppler factor $\delta = 2.3$, so that the observing angle to the jet direction is $\theta = 25^\circ$. The mean magnetic field in the radiating plasma is $B = 0.05 \text{ G}$, and the comoving radius of the jet emission region is $2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}$, corresponding to a variability timescale of $\approx 1 \text{ yr}$. The nonthermal distribution is assumed to be described by a broken power law with number indices (where the electron distribution $n(\gamma) \propto \gamma^{-p_1}$ $p_1 = 2.1$ for 800 $\lesssim \gamma \lesssim 960$, and index $p_2 = 3.1$ for 960 $\lesssim \gamma \lesssim 4 \times 10^5$, where $\gamma$ is the electron Lorentz factor in the fluid frame, and the Poynting flux density is about twice the electron energy density. This simple homogeneous model provides an adequate fit to the NGC 1275 data, and is consistent with mildly relativistic outflows observed in the expanding radio lobe of 3C 84 (Asada et al. 2006). An apparent discrepancy between the model and data in optical–UV emission can be accommodated by the remaining host galaxy contribution as described above.

In the standard blast wave scenario, the jet protons will contain the majority of the jet’s kinetic energy, and will be radiatively inefficient since they are unlikely to lose their energy without a significant observable component. If we assume they have 10 times the energy density of the electrons, the total jet power will be $2.3 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, which may be inconsistent with the estimated power required to inflate the lobe of 3C 84 against the pressure of the hot cluster gas, $(0.3–1.3) \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Dunn & Fabian 2004), although the jet power in the past could be lower than at present. With the assumption that there is one cold proton in the flow for each radiating electron, we will get a total jet power of $3.8 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, which is consistent to within a factor of 2 of the lobe inflation power. Furthermore, in the context of BL Lac and FR I unification, larger values of $\Gamma$ near the base of the jet are expected if NGC 1275 is a misaligned BL Lac object. Velocity gradients in the jet also help to resolve spectral modeling issues in BL Lac objects (Georganopoulos & Kazanas 2003b). Velocity gradients in the jet also help to resolve the context of BL Lac and FR I unification, larger values of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma = 1$. The injection power for the electron Lorentz factor in the fluid frame, and the Poynting flux density is about twice the electron energy density. This simple homogeneous model provides an adequate fit to the NGC 1275 data, and is consistent with mildly relativistic outflows observed in the expanding radio lobe of 3C 84 (Asada et al. 2006). An apparent discrepancy between the model and data in optical–UV emission can be accommodated by the remaining host galaxy contribution as described above.

A fit to the NGC 1275 data using the decelerating flow model of Georganopoulos & Kazanas (2003b), which was developed to overcome these problems, is also shown in Figure 7 (blue solid curve). In this model, the high-energy emission is due to synchrotron photons produced in the slower part of the flow that are Compton-scattered by energetic electrons in the faster, upstream part of the flow (Georganopoulos & Kazanas 2003a). The jet starts with a bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma_{\text{min}} = 10$ and decelerates down to $\Gamma_{\text{min}} = 2$ over a distance of $5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}$. The cross section of the flow at the inlet has a diameter of $3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}$, and the magnetic field at the base is $B = 0.2 \text{ G}$. The injected power-law electron distribution, $n(\gamma) \propto \gamma^{-p}$ has an index $p = 1.8$, and extends from $\gamma_{\text{min}} = 800$ to $\gamma_{\text{max}} = 1.0 \times 10^5$, and the particle energy density is higher than the magnetic field energy density by a factor of 13. If the protons have 10 times the energy density of the electrons, the total jet power is $L_{\text{jet}} = 4.9 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, which is still above the power needed to inflate the lobes. With an assumption of one proton per radiating electron, the total jet power, $L_{\text{jet}} = 6.0 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, is consistent with this value.

The blue solid curves in Figure 7 represent the SED as seen at an angle $\theta = 20^\circ$ (approximately coincident with $\theta_{\text{jet}} \sim 32^\circ$; Asada et al. 2006). Models with structure jets involving decelerating flows, considered here, or a spine-sheath model (Ghisellini et al. 2005), make predictions for FR I radio galaxies as potential Fermi γ-ray sources. Indeed, Ghisellini et al. (2005) predicted that 3C 84 would be one of the strongest γ-ray emitting radio galaxies above 100 MeV.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have reported the discovery that the radio galaxy 3C 84, associated with NGC 1275 is a source of high-energy γ rays in the 100 MeV–GeV range based on data taken with the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope between 2008 August and December. The emission is consistent with a point source centered at the nucleus of NGC 1275. No convincing variability is evident in the Fermi data, though there is a hint of a declining flux during the four-month observing period. Compared with the EGRET flux upper limit, however, the γ-ray flux measured with Fermi is almost an order-of-magnitude brighter and therefore implies that the NGC 1275 is varying significantly on timescales from months to years. These results limit the amount of flux that can originate from extended galaxy-cluster or dark matter annihilation radiation to the flux upper limit measured with EGRET.

Associated with the γ-ray observations, we also report contemporaneous and historical radio data from 3C 84. The

69 This was obtained using the brightness ratio of the northern and southern radio lobes, apparent velocity, and apparent distance between the core and jet from recent VLBI observations.
long-term radio light curve appears to be brightening from an historical minimum at 8.0 and 14.5 GHz. Core brightening during the Fermi era may be related to its brighter γ-ray flux state than observed with EGRET, but no unambiguous radio/γ-ray flux correlation is evident from the historical data.

Two jet models were used to fit the broadband SED of the nuclear emission from NGC 1275. A simple one-zone SSC model gives an adequate fit to the SED with a moderate Lorentz factor. A decelerating jet model motivated by expectations of nuclear emission from NGC 1275. A simple one-zone SSC flux state than observed with EGRET, but no unambiguous fraction of γ-rays from other sources to establish the variability timescale and the continuation to monitor the flux variations of NGC 1275 and also provides a good fit to the data.

During the first year all-sky survey and beyond, we will continue to monitor the flux variations of NGC 1275 and other sources to monitor the mechanism variables timescale and the fraction of γ-ray emission associated with compact regions. Future monitoring campaigns covering various wavebands of the electromagnetic spectrum will provide crucial data for understanding possible correlations between high- and low-energy bands and discriminating between models. The Fermi γ-ray observatory will provide substantial insight into the physics of radio galaxies and clusters in general.
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