Morphometric Study of the Nerve Roots Around the Lateral Mass for Posterior Foraminotomy
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Objective: Morphometric data on dorsal cervical anatomy were examined in an effort to protect the nerve root near the lateral mass during posterior foraminotomy.

Methods: Using 25 adult formalin-fixed cadaveric cervical spines, measurements were taken at the lateral mass from C3 to C7 via a total laminectomy and a medial one-half facetectomy. The morphometric relationship between the nerve roots and structures of the lateral mass was investigated. Results from both genders were compared.

Results: Following the total laminectomy, from C3 to C7, the mean of the vertical distance from the medial point of the facet (MPF) of the lateral mass to the axilla of the root origin was 3.2-4.7 mm. The whole length of the exposed root had a mean of 4.2-5.8 mm. Following a medial one-half facetectomy, from C3 to C7, the mean of the vertical distance to the axilla of the root origin was 2.1-3.4 mm, based on the MPF. Mean vertical distances from the MPF to the medial point of the root that crossed the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc were 1.2-2.7 mm. The mean distance of the exposed root was 8.2-9.0 mm, and the mean angle between the dura and the nerve root was significantly different between males and females, at 53.4-68.4°.

Conclusion: These data will aid in reducing root injuries during posterior cervical foraminotomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Although the anterior approach has been well-established as the standard treatment modality for cervical spine diseases, excellent treatment outcomes have also been achieved with the posterior approach. Great advances have been made with the posterior approach with the development of equipment such as the microscope and the endoscope. Advantages of the posterior approach include an ability to avoid damage to vital structures located in the anterior area of the cervical spine (i.e., the trachea, esophagus, internal carotid artery, vertebral artery, thoracic duct, and recurrent laryngeal nerve), an ability to prevent structural and biomechanical damage to the remaining vertebral disc by preserving it, an ability to lower the morbidity associated with the joint, and an ability to reduce the occurrence of complications associated with the bone graft, as well as degenerative changes at the adjacent joint25-31,16,18). In cases where only dorsal decompression of the nerve root is performed and only fragments of the intervertebral disc are removed, excellent treatment outcomes have also been reported27,4,12,21). When a posterior approach is performed for a posterior foraminotomy or for the removal of an intervertebral disc, it is essential to understand the anatomical relationships between the dura, where the nerve root originates, and the lateral mass, where the nerve root passes. It is also important to understand the morphological relationships between the dura, lateral mass, and intervertebral disc to maximize the effect of the decompression while minimizing any impairment of motor function.

To define the anatomical relationship between the facet
and the nerve root crossing the intervertebral disc, morphometric analyses of the nerve root and the lateral mass were conducted after a total laminectomy and a medial one-half facetectomy of the cervical spine using adult cadavers. The findings presented here will assist neurosurgeons in safely performing posterior laminotomies in the absence of a navigational aid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-five adult cadavers (19 males, 6 females) with a mean age of 60.8 (range, 23-75) were fixed in a prone position in a mixture of formalin, phenol, alcohol, and glycerin. Posterior muscles of the cervical spine were removed, and the posterior area was completely exposed to observe areas ranging from the second cervical spine to the first thoracic spine (Fig. 1). The cadavers were assigned to two groups, one for total laminectomy analysis and the other for medial one-half facetectomy analysis.

A total laminectomy was performed on C3-7 and included both left and right sides. The following measurements were obtained, based on the medial point of the facet (MPF) of the lateral mass: the horizontal distance to the lateral margin of the dura, the vertical distance to the axilla of the nerve root, and the length of the exposed nerve root (Fig. 2).

Following the medial one-half facetectomy, the following measurements were also obtained, based on the MPF of the lateral mass: the horizontal distance to the lateral surface of the dura, the vertical distance to the axilla of the nerve root, the horizontal and vertical distances to the medial point of the root crossing the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc, the length of the exposed nerve root, and the angle between the nerve root and the lateral margin of the dura (Fig. 3).

A standard meter and a goniometer were used to obtain measurements, and all measurements were performed by a single investigator to minimize error. Factors for statistical analysis of measurements were the spinal segment, age, and gender. The SPSS software (version 11.0) was used to perform a t-test with the threshold p value set at 0.05.

RESULTS

Anatomical structure of the cervical lateral mass

The cervical lateral mass is posterior and lateral to the cervical spine (Fig. 1). Medially, it forms a margin with the lamina and laterally forms a margin with the base transverse process. The superior and inferior articular processes form a facet connecting adjacent vertebrae on the lateral side. In
the lower cervical spine, the facet shows an increased slant towards the cephalo-caudal direction. The facet of the cervical spine is closely directed to the axial plane, making rotation, flexion, and extension movements possible. The spinous process is horizontally attached to the posterior arch and has an increasing slope in the lower cervical spine.

**Morphological measurements following total laminectomy**

Table 1 shows the results of the measurement of each segment, and Table 2 illustrates the results corresponding to gender and each spinal segment. Left and right side measurements were summed and then averaged.

### Horizontal distance to the lateral surface of the dura

The horizontal distance of C3-4 to the lateral surface of the dura was 3.7 ± 1.0 mm and was the shortest. The horizontal distance further widened towards the lower level, with the longest being that of C6-7, at 4.0 ± 1.1 mm. The difference between the horizontal distance of males (4.0 ± 1.0 mm) and females (3.7 ± 1.1 mm) was not significant ($p = 1.417$).

### Vertical distance to the axilla of the nerve root

The vertical distance to the axilla of C5, C3, and C7 nerve roots were 3.2 ± 2.2, 3.8 ± 2.6, and 4.7 ± 2.4 mm, respectively. There was no significant difference ($p = 2.501$) between the vertical distance in males (4.3 ± 2.4 mm) and females (3.0 ± 2.8 mm).

### Length of the exposed nerve root

The shortest length, corresponding to the exposed C3 nerve root, was 4.2 ± 1.5 mm, while that of the C6 nerve root was 5.8 ± 1.8 mm. This indicates that length increased in the lower cervical levels. By gender, the length of the exposed nerve root was 5.2 ± 1.6 mm (males) and 4.9 ± 1.7 mm (females).

**Morphological measurements following medial one-half facetectomy (Table 3, 4)**

### Horizontal distance to the lateral surface of the dura

The mean horizontal distance of C2-3 to C6-7 to the lateral surface of the dura was within 8-9 mm. Compared with the total laminectomy, the horizontal distance to the dura was longer, by 5.0 mm on average. The horizontal distances were 9.0± 1.9 and 8.2± 1.9 mm in males and females, respectively.

### Vertical distance to the axilla of the nerve root

The vertical distance was 2.1-3.4 mm from the MPF to the axilla of the C3-7 nerve roots. Compared with the findings following the total laminectomy, the posterior lateral mass had an ascending form towards the lateral side, with a shorter vertical distance (1-2 mm). There was no significant difference ($p = 0.139$) between the vertical distance in males and females (2.7 ± 3.1 and 3.4 ± 3.1 mm, respectively).

### Horizontal distance from the MPF to the medial point of the root crossing the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc

The horizontal distance was 2.1-3.4 mm from the MPF to the axilla of the C3-7 nerve roots. Compared with the findings following the total laminectomy, the posterior lateral mass had an ascending form towards the lateral side, with a shorter vertical distance (1-2 mm). There was no significant difference ($p = 0.139$) between the vertical distance in males and females (2.7 ± 3.1 and 3.4 ± 3.1 mm, respectively).
the horizontal distance increased. The longest horizontal distance, 6.8 ± 2.4 mm, was near the C5 nerve root. The horizontal distance between males and females was not significantly different (6.7 ± 2.3 and 5.9 ± 2.0 mm, respectively; p = 0.056).

Vertical distance between the medial margin of the root and the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc

The vertical distance was within 1-3 mm for the C3 to C7 nerve roots. With males at 1.8 ± 2.6 mm and females at 2.1 ± 2.1 mm, there was no significant difference in the overall vertical distance (p = 0.462).

Length of the exposed nerve root

The lengths of the exposed C3-7 nerve roots were within 8.2-9.0 mm. The longest mean length was 9.0 ± 1.8 mm and corresponds to the C5 nerve root. In the lower level of the cervical spine, the length of the exposed nerve root decreased, with C7 as the shortest (8.2 ± 1.8 mm). The average length of the nerve root in males was 8.5 ± 2.0 mm, compared with 9.2 ± 1.6 mm in females.

Angle between the nerve root and the lateral margin of the dura

The smallest angle, at 59.4 ± 21.8°, corresponded to the C5 nerve root. The angle of the C7 nerve root was 68.4 ± 22.4°. There was a statistically significant difference (p = 0.011) between the mean angle in males (65.4 ± 22.9°) and females (56.5 ± 19.2°). Thus, the nerve root passed from the dura at a greater angle in males.

DISCUSSION

Representative surgical treatments for cervical spine disease include the anterior and posterior approaches. In 1934, Mixter, Barr, et al.19) used a laminectomy to treat 19 patients with vertebral disc disease. In 1946, Scoville19) reported a surgical treatment called keyhole foraminotomy at a Harvey Cushing meeting. In the 1950s, Smith and Robinson,21) and Cloward6) introduced decompression and fusion, via the anterior approach. Since then, remarkable advances using both the anterior and posterior approaches have been made to surgically treat patients with degenerative cervical spine diseases. Although the posterior approach has greatly improved treatment outcomes, the anterior approach has been more commonly used by neurosurgeons to treat cervical spine lesions, including radiating pain from the cervical nerve root.

In 1983, Raynor,13) compared the removal of the medial one-half of the posterior joint between the anterior and posterior approaches, and obtained a nerve root approximately 3-5 mm long. It was noted, however, that the anterior approach needed a more in-depth dissection of structures to obtain an identical range. In recent years, renewed interest has gained by many surgeons with regard to the posterior approach to treat cervical spine lesions, because of advancements in minimally invasive surgery and endoscopy, with a series of reports detailing excellent treatment outcomes1-2,9-11,15-18).

In cases where foraminotomy or discectomy is performed via the posterior approach (using microscopy, endoscopy, or both), it is necessary for the bones to be removed once the lateral mass at the site of the lesion is secured and for the muscles to be dissected to a minimal extent, using a narrow retractor. To do this, the lateral part of the lamina should initially be ground with a 3-mm high-speed drill; the drill is generally used to remove the lamina at the point where it meets the lateral mass. This allows for visibility of the dura, which would be located closer to the medial side of the drill. Special attention is required for high cervical spines because the dura and the lateral mass are closely located (indeed, in our series, the horizontal distance of C3 and C4 was 3 and 4 mm, respectively, for the MPF of the lateral mass to the dura after total laminectomy).

Based on the results obtained after total laminectomy, the vertical distance to the axilla of the nerve root was 3.2 mm for the C5 nerve root, which was the shortest. For cases in

| Table 3. Data of variables measured at each vertebral level after medial one-half facetectomy in 50 specimens from 25 cadavers (Group B) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Measured variables** | **Distance in mm and degrees (mean ± SD)** |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Horizontal distance from point B to the lateral surface of dura | 8.4 ± 1.7 | 8.6 ± 1.9 | 9.0 ± 2.0 | 9.0 ± 2.0 | 8.9 ± 2.0 |
| Vertical distance from point B to the axilla of the root | 3.4 ± 3.3 | 2.7 ± 2.9 | 2.1 ± 2.8 | 2.8 ± 3.0 | 3.4 ± 3.4 |
| Horizontal distance from point B to point C | 6.4 ± 2.0 | 6.7 ± 2.5 | 6.8 ± 2.4 | 6.6 ± 2.6 | 6.1 ± 2.0 |
| Vertical distance from point B to point C | 2.7 ± 2.3 | 1.9 ± 2.0 | 1.2 ± 2.16 | 1.2 ± 2.1 | 2.4 ± 3.3 |
| Length of exposed nerve root | 8.5 ± 1.8 | 8.7 ± 2.3 | 9.0 ± 1.8 | 9.0 ± 1.9 | 8.2 ± 1.8 |
| Angle between the nerve root and the lateral margin of dura | 63.9 ± 22.1 | 61.1 ± 21.2 | 59.4 ± 21.8 | 63.4 ± 22.4 | 68.4 ± 22.4 |

Point B: After medial one-half facetectomy, the medial point of the facet of lateral mass. Point C: the cross point between medial margin of the root and the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc. SD: standard deviation.
which the latero-inferior part of the lamina is removed using a 3-mm high-speed drill, special attention is needed. Interestingly, the origin of each nerve root is initiated at a location 5 mm superior to the MPF. This indicates that when the decompressive laminectomy of each segment is performed, this procedure, performed primarily for the superior rather than the inferior lamina, should prevent unnecessary damage to normal tissue. We removed the cortical bone on the latero-inferior side of the superior lamina using a 3-mm cutting drill with the aid of a microcurette. Subsequently, the medial cortical bone was removed using a diamond drill under the continuous irrigation of normal saline. The remaining cortical bone was removed with a microcurette. As a result, attempts were made to achieve a partial hemostatic effect and to prevent any nerve damage. The dissection of the medial one-third of the lateral mass should be done and this procedure should not exceed more than one-half of the lateral mass.

Biomechanical studies of this rationale have been alluded to in several papers. Raynor14 noted that bone fracture might occur during the loading, within the physiologic range, when a 50% or greater facetectomy is performed. Zdeblick et al.22 noted that torsional hyper-mobility might be generated when the facet is dissected for a facetectomy of at least 50%. In recent years, Chen et al.4 reported that a minimal extent of movement occurs during a keyhole foraminotomy, compared with a normal procedure. Movement is 18% more likely to occur when lateral bending is done on the side where the facetectomy is performed. Within the range of medial facetectomy, to confirm the intervertebral disc and to remove the lesions without damaging the nerve root, the absolute surgical location must be known.

Based on the results obtained from the medial one-half facetectomy, spare space was generated to such an extent that the resulting horizontal distance to the dura was 8-9 mm and the vertical distance was 2-3 mm. In cases of medial one-half facetectomy, the vertical distance from the dura to where the axilla of the C5 nerve root originates was the shortest (2.1 mm). Because the C5 nerve root has the highest risk of causing a nerve root injury, more attention should be focused on the C5 nerve root.

Chang et al.3 reported that the width of the dura was greater in the C5-6 cervical spine. Our results are based on the same phenomena and are consistent with the previous study.

| Table 4. Gender difference of the biometric data after medial one-half facetectomy in 50 specimens of 25 cadavers (Group B) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Measured variables | Level of vertebra | Distance in mm and degrees (mean ± SD) | T-test |
| | | Male | Female | |
| Horizontal distance from point B to the lateral surface of dura | C3 | 8.3 ± 1.7 | 8.7 ± 2.0 | 0.665 |
| | C4 | 8.6 ± 2.2 | 8.5 ± 1.3 | 0.245 |
| | C5 | 9.1 ± 2.1 | 9.0 ± 1.6 | 0.136 |
| | C6 | 8.9 ± 2.1 | 9.3 ± 2.1 | 0.555 |
| | C7 | 8.6 ± 2.1 | 9.8 ± 1.6 | 1.677 |
| Total | | 8.2 ± 1.9 | 9.0 ± 1.9 | 0.495 |
| Vertical distance from point B to the axilla of the root | C3 | 3.2 ± 3.3 | 3.9 ± 3.4 | 0.564 |
| | C4 | 2.7 ± 2.9 | 2.7 ± 3.2 | 0.014 |
| | C5 | 2.0 ± 2.8 | 2.6 ± 3.1 | 0.688 |
| | C6 | 2.4 ± 2.7 | 3.9 ± 3.6 | 1.546 |
| | C7 | 3.0 ± 3.5 | 48 ± 2.9 | 1.514 |
| Total | | 2.7 ± 3.1 | 3.4 ± 3.1 | 0.139 |
| Horizontal distance from point B to point C | C3 | 6.6 ± 2.0 | 5.3 ± 1.6 | 1.585 |
| | C4 | 6.9 ± 2.7 | 6.3 ± 2.1 | 0.721 |
| | C5 | 7.1 ± 2.4 | 5.9 ± 2.5 | 1.292 |
| | C6 | 6.7 ± 2.7 | 6.3 ± 2.5 | 0.427 |
| | C7 | 6.0 ± 2.1 | 6.6 ± 1.7 | 0.731 |
| Total | | 6.7 ± 2.3 | 5.9 ± 2.0 | 0.056 |
| Vertical distance from point B to point C | C3 | 2.7 ± 2.3 | 2.7 ± 2.9 | 0.041 |
| | C4 | 2.2 ± 2.1 | 1.1 ± 1.2 | 1.658 |
| | C5 | 1.2 ± 2.5 | 1.1 ± 1.0 | 0.038 |
| | C6 | 0.9 ± 2.4 | 1.8 ± 1.4 | 1.101 |
| | C7 | 2.2 ± 3.6 | 30 ± 2.2 | 0.584 |
| Total | | 1.8 ± 2.6 | 2.1 ± 2.1 | 0.462 |
| Length of exposed nerve root | C3 | 8.4 ± 1.9 | 9.0 ± 1.6 | 0.814 |
| | C4 | 8.7 ± 2.6 | 8.8 ± 1.3 | 0.504 |
| | C5 | 8.9 ± 2.0 | 9.3 ± 1.2 | 0.676 |
| | C6 | 8.5 ± 1.9 | 9.9 ± 1.7 | 2.208 |
| | C7 | 7.8 ± 1.8 | 9.2 ± 1.2 | 2.330 |
| Total | | 8.5 ± 2.0 | 9.2 ± 1.64 | 2.057 |
| Angle between the nerve root and the lateral margin of dura | C3 | 65.9 ± 22.7 | 57.1 ± 19.9 | 1.004 |
| | C4 | 62.2 ± 21.2 | 58.1 ± 22.3 | 0.098 |
| | C5 | 61.6 ± 21.5 | 53.1 ± 22.4 | 1.168 |
| | C6 | 67.4 ± 24.6 | 51.8 ± 19.0 | 2.003 |
| | C7 | 72.7 ± 22.7 | 54.5 ± 15.7 | 2.357 |
| Total | | 65.4 ± 22.9 | 56.5 ± 19.2 | 0.011* |

*These results indicate that the nerve root was passed from the dura at a smoother angle. Point B: After medial one-half facetectomy, the medial point of the facet of lateral mass. Point C: the cross point between medial margin of the root and the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc. SD: standard deviation
The relationship with the intervertebral disc is also important. The horizontal distance between the medial margin of the nerve root and the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc, following the dissection of the medial one-half facetectomy, is 6-7 mm. Its vertical distance is 1.2-2.7 mm.

These results were also seen in our series, again indicating that special emphasis must be placed on this particular surgical procedure. To remove the herniated intervertebral disc and hypertrophied osteophyte, a nerve hook is placed in the axillary region. This is retracted superiorly, exposing the nerve root and the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc. In our series, after the medial one-half facetectomy, the vertical distance from the medial margin of the C5-6 nerve root to the inferior margin of the intervertebral disc was 1.2 mm; the angle measured 59˚ for the C5 nerve root, and this was the smallest. Chang et al.\(^\text{39}\) reported that the width of the dura was the greatest at C5-6, so was the angle between the nerve root and the lateral margin of the dura.

Due to a shortage of spare space, C5-6 nerve roots require a greater degree of retraction than other nerve roots to obtain sufficient surgical vision. The resulting complications must also be considered. For this reason, the removal of the pedicle, which is located superior to the inferior facet, can secure the axillary region for the appropriate nerve root for cases in which osteophyte formation is severe. The nerve root and its adjacent dura and the epidural vein are severely compressed by the bone at all times. Surgical damage predominantly occurs during the decompression of these lesions. Sometimes, a ventral decompression of the nerve root is solely performed, as well as using the ventral osteophyte and removing intervertebral discs that are secured under surgical vision.\(^\text{24,12,30}\) A sufficient degree of decomposition can be confirmed based on findings that the nerve root is released freely when using a probe to manipulate an intervertebral foramen.

**CONCLUSION**

The cervical spines of 25 adult cadavers were used to apply a posterior foraminotomy via the posterior approach. The landmark formed by the MPF of the lateral mass following a medial facetectomy, the relative relationship between the nerve root exposed on the medial side of the superior and inferior articular surface of the lateral mass, and the correlation between the nerve root and the dural theca near the lateral mass on the dorsal surface of the cervical spine. These findings can aid in reducing root injury during minimal decompression via a posterior foraminotomy.
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