BIO-CONTROL OF THE LESION NEMATODE PRATYLENCHUS COFFEAE USING LIXIVIATE FROM BANANA RACHIS (MUSA SP.)
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ABSTRACT

The efficiency of lixiviate on the tolerance of plantain to root attacks of Pratylenchus coffeae has been examined. During the growing phase which lasted a month, four different treatments were applied to Banana plants of cultivars CORNE 1 and PITA 3: lix- (no lixiviate), lix100 (100% lixiviate), lix25 (25% lixiviate) and lix5 (5% lixiviate). Then, these plants were inoculated with 500 individuals of P. coffeae to assess the effect of lixiviate treatments against nematodes on both cultivars CORNE 1 and PITA 3. This study showed that 5% lixiviate and 25% lixiviate doses gave better agronomic parameters (mass of root system, the collar circumference, pseudo stem height, leaf area and a number of leaves) evaluated on vivoplants. Cultivars CORNE 1 treated with 25% lixiviate dose and cultivars PITA 3 treated with 5% lixiviate dose, gained tolerance against nematode’s attacks. Otherwise, cultivars PITA 3 were resistant to nematodes after 25% lixiviate dosage because P. coffeae were reduced by 80% in this treatment. The results presented in this work are encouraging for the development of biological control methods of banana nematodes by lixiviate.
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INTRODUCTION

Among the main banana pests, nematodes (alone or combined with other pests) are major constraints (Lescot and Chabrier, 2016). Infection with nematodes can interfere with the uptake and transport of nutrients and water, which results in slow growth and lodging sensitivity (Risède et al., 2010).

Researches in Côte d’Ivoire has shown that Pratylenchus coffeae and Radopholus similis are the nematodes that cause the most damage to banana. P. coffeae has experienced rapid expansion and longevity of banana plantations in areas where P. coffeae species predominate is reduced to less than 5 years (Gnonhouri and Adiko, 2005). So far, the control of nematodes in banana plantations is mainly based on the application of nematicides (Chabrier et al., 2005). However, nematicides are highly toxic and can have a negative impact on the environment and on a human being (Aubertot et al., 2007). Therefore, the search for other, less polluting and less expensive, control methods is mandatory (Oka, 2001). The use of organic matter composting could contribute to the biological protection of plants against nematodes (Siddiqui, 2004). Lixiviate is extracted from banana rachis. This extract rich in mineral elements and having antimicrobial properties seems to increase the plant resistance to certain diseases (Álvarez et al., 2001). Thus, the effectiveness of lixiviate phytosanitary protection against several pathogenic fungi has been demonstrated (Castaño-Zapata et al., 2007; Mogollón and Castaño-Zapata, 2010). However, the use of lixiviate against nematodes has been rarely explored. The aim of this work is to determine, on the one hand, the effectiveness of several lixiviate doses on vivoplants plantain growth, and on the other hand to estimate the effect of these doses on the activity of P. coffeae.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this study, two banana genotypes belonging to two different ploidy groups were used. The cultivar CORNE 1 of the AAB genomic group which represents more than
80% of the national production of plantain (Traoré et al., 2009). As for the cultivar PITA 3, it is a primary hybrid of the AAAB genomic group that is being disseminated in Côte d'Ivoire. The plants (100 per cultivar) are the result of the multiplication on a hulled stock. They were provided by the Centre National de Recherche Agronomique (CNRA) after the weaning and are free from nematode infection.

**Lixiviate preparation:** Five of dessert banana rachis were cut on the day of harvest diets into small pieces (3-4 cm thick and 5-10 cm in length) and mixed with 15 L of distilled water in a 20 L barrel. Then the barrel was sealed and kept under ambient laboratory conditions (25 to 27 °C). The mixture was stirred manually and vigorously for two minutes each day. After 2 months of incubation, the infusion obtained was filtered using a 250 μm diameter mesh sieve. The filtrate obtained is the lixiviate. This lixiviate stock solution (lixiviate 100%) was diluted to 5% and 25% in distilled water to obtain respective concentrations of lixiviate 5% and 25%.

**Determination of effective lixiviate dose during the growth phase:** The growth phase took place in a greenhouse. The plants were put individually in a polyethylene bag of 20 cm-diam and of 25 cm in depth, containing sand from the vicinity of the station and the peat taken from Société d’Etude et de Développement de la Culture Bananière de Niéky (Dabou), in (1:1) proportion. This substrate has been sterilized by steam (Jaizme-Vega et al., 2002) and the growth phase lasted a month. During this time, a batch of 25 banana plants received only distilled water (lix-), and 3 other batches of equivalent number of plants was sprayed respectively with pure (100% of lixiviate called lix100), 25% dose of lixiviate (called lix25) and a 5% dose of lixiviate (called lix5). The experience was consisting of 8 treatments (4 lixiviate doses by 2 cultivars). At the end of the experiment, five plants per treatment and for each variety were selected for the evaluation of certain agronomic parameters (mass of root system, the collar circumference, pseudostem height, leaf area and a number of leaves).

**Greenhouse assessment of the effect of lixiviate on the harmfulness of Pratylenchus coffeae:** Plants treated with lixiviate at 25%, 5%, and 0% doses were used for this experiment as 100% lixiviate dose caused leaf phytotoxicity for plants that received this dose. Sixty plants per cultivar were transferred to another greenhouse. The plants were transplanted into six-liter pots containing a mixture of sand and (1:1) sterilized by steam (Jaizme-Vega et al., 2002). They were kept under natural lighting, then watered as needed. The temperature oscillated from 25 to 30 °C during experimentation inside the greenhouse.

**Inoculum preparation:** Isolates of *P. coffeae* used were extracted from roots taken from banana (variety ORISHELE). The root samples were taken from a banana plantation located 10 km North of Azaguïé. This pathotype of Azaguë is characterized by a strong aggressiveness (Konan, 2016). Nematodes were extracted from roots according to the sprinkler method of Seinhorst (1950). The inoculum was made from the nematodes extracted for 48 hours and then adjusted to a concentration of 100 nematodes per ml of water.

**Inoculation of plants:** The nematodes were inoculated into 5 different holes of 2-3cm deep, uniformly distributed in the soil near the stipe using a pipette. Each plant received 500 nematodes i.e. 5 ml of inoculum. For each lixiviate dose (lix-, lix 5%, and lix 25%) 10 plants were inoculated (T1) and the other 10 non-inoculated plants served as control plants (T0). This inoculation was done for each of the two cultivars. The plant-nematode contact period time, lasted 30 days. At the end of this period time, all plants were removed for a second agronomic parameters’ evaluation. Those parameters that were evaluated for this experiment were: mass of root system, collar circumference, plant height, leaf area and a number of leaves issued.

For the second experiment, the effect of lixiviate pretreatment on nematodes was also evaluated through the necrosis index and the evolution of the nematode population. For the determination of root necrosis percentage per banana, 5 functional primary roots measuring at least 10 cm were chosen at random. The roots have been cut longitudinally. The percentage of root cortex showing necrosis was noted for each half root according to the scale of Speijer and De-Waele (1997). The nematode population contained in the roots was evaluated after extracting nematodes by centrifugation-flotation technique (Vilardebo and Guérout, 1974).

**Statistical analysis:** The STATISTICA 7.1 software was used to process the data of this study. ANOVA 2 analysis of variance was performed to see the impact of the factors or their interaction on the analyzed parameters. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for the statistical significance (P <0.05) between inoculated plants and uninoculated plants of the same lixiviate dose and from the same cultivar. Nematodes have been transformed into
The statistical analysis had indicated that the average percentage of root nematodes in the root is lower than the number of
lixiviate doses in the cultivar PITA 3 where the experiment has shown that, for example, the lixiviate dose was
higher (P < 0.05) than the control sample. In contrast, the lixiviate dose gave significantly (P < 0.05) lower values compared to the control, for all agronomic parameters. Even phytotoxicity, have been observed on the foliage of almost all plants treated with the 100% lixiviate dose.

Table 1. Agronomic parameters of vivoplant of PITA 3 and CORNE 1 cultivars according to different lixiviate doses.

| Lixiviate dose | Root Mass (g) | Number of leaves issued | Collar circumference (cm) | Pseudostem height (cm) | Leaf area (cm²) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| CORNE 1       |              |                         |                          |                        |                |
| lix−          | 6.8 ± 0.9    | 2.8 ± 0.2               | 4.08 ± 0.4               | 8.1 ± 1                | 78 ± 20.8      |
| lix100        | 2.4 ± 0.3    | 0.7 ± 0.4               | 2.8 ± 0.5               | 4.8 ± 0.6             | 24.3 ± 6.2     |
| Lix25         | 25.5 ± 3.8   | 3.3 ± 0.3               | 4.6 ± 0.4               | 13 ± 2.9              | 119 ± 20.6     |
| Lix5          | 27.4 ± 4     | 3.4 ± 0.5               | 4.6 ± 0.7               | 12.1 ± 2.4            | 122.3 ± 8.1    |
| Average CORNE 1 | 15.5 ± 11.6 b | 2.5 ± 1.9 a          | 4 ± 0.9 b               | 9.5 ± 3.8 a           | 86 ± 43 b      |
| PITA 3        |              |                         |                          |                        |                |
| lix−          | 10.7 ± 1.5   | 3 ± 0.1                 | 4.5 ± 0.5               | 8.3 ± 1.1             | 79.5 ± 15      |
| lix100        | 1.8 ± 0.3    | 0.3 ± 0.2               | 2.9 ± 0.1               | 3.9 ± 0.3             | 16.7 ± 3.4     |
| Lix25         | 33.6 ± 4.2   | 3.6 ± 0.3               | 5.8 ± 0.5               | 14.4 ± 0.7            | 182.7 ± 23.8   |
| Lix5          | 34.4 ± 3.1   | 3.5 ± 0.3               | 5.5 ± 1                 | 14.3 ± 1.5            | 174.7 ± 26.5   |
| Average PITA 3 | 20.1 ± 14.8 a | 2.6 ± 1.4 a           | 4.7 ± 1.3 a             | 10.2 ± 4.7 a          | 113.4 ± 73 a   |
| average of lixiviate doses | 8.8 ± 2.3 b | 3 ± 0.2 a               | 4.3 ± 0.5 b             | 8.2 ± 1 b             | 78.8 ± 17.1 b  |
| lix100        | 2.1 ± 0.4 c  | 0.5 ± 0.4 b             | 2.8 ± 0.3 c             | 4.3 ± 0.7 c           | 20.5 ± 6.2 c   |
| Lix25         | 29.6 ± 5.7 a | 3.5 ± 0.4 a             | 5.2 ± 0.7 a             | 13.7 ± 2.1 a          | 150.9 ± 39.6 a |
| Lix5          | 30.9 ± 5.1 a | 3.4 ± 0.4 a             | 5 ± 0.9 a               | 13.3 ± 2.1 a          | 148.5 ± 33.2 a |
| Effect of the cultivar | 0.000009 | 0.534878 | 0.001345 | 0.146979 | 0.000023 |
| Effect of the lixiviate doses | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| Interaction   | 0.006294     | 0.119522                | 0.181036                | 0.136356              | 0.000063 |

In the same column, the averages affected with different letters indicate significant differences between treatments according to Fischer’s LSD test (P < 0.05).

The cultivar type has also an impact on the agronomic parameters (Table 1). PITA 3 cultivar gave significantly higher values (P < 0.05) than CORNE 1 cultivar for the root mass, the collar circumference, and the leaf area. No significant difference (P > 0.05) was observed between PITA 3 and CORNE 1 cultivars for the number of leaves and the pseudo stem height.

For all the parameters studied, the interaction between cultivars and the lixiviate dose was only significant (P < 0.05) for the root mass and the leaf area (Table 1).

Effect of lixiviate on the nuisance of P. coffeae. Effect of the cultivar type has also an impact on the agronomic parameters (Table 1). PITA 3 cultivar gave significantly higher values (P < 0.05) than CORNE 1 cultivar for the root mass, the collar circumference, and the leaf area. No significant difference (P > 0.05) was observed between PITA 3 and CORNE 1 cultivars for the number of leaves and the pseudo stem height.

For all the parameters studied, the interaction between cultivars and the lixiviate dose was only significant (P < 0.05) for the root mass and the leaf area (Table 1).

Effect of lixiviate on the nuisance of P. coffeae. Effect of the cultivar type has also an impact on the agronomic parameters (Table 1). PITA 3 cultivar gave significantly higher values (P < 0.05) than CORNE 1 cultivar for the root mass, the collar circumference, and the leaf area. No significant difference (P > 0.05) was observed between PITA 3 and CORNE 1 cultivars for the number of leaves and the pseudo stem height.

For all the parameters studied, the interaction between cultivars and the lixiviate dose was only significant (P < 0.05) for the root mass and the leaf area (Table 1).

Effect of lixiviate on the nuisance of P. coffeae. Effect of the cultivar type has also an impact on the agronomic parameters (Table 1). PITA 3 cultivar gave significantly higher values (P < 0.05) than CORNE 1 cultivar for the root mass, the collar circumference, and the leaf area. No significant difference (P > 0.05) was observed between PITA 3 and CORNE 1 cultivars for the number of leaves and the pseudo stem height.

For all the parameters studied, the interaction between cultivars and the lixiviate dose was only significant (P < 0.05) for the root mass and the leaf area (Table 1).
Figure 1. Average number of nematodes according to different treatment (lixiviate concentration) for PITA 3 and CORNE 1 cultivars. For a given concentration of lixiviate, the histograms of same letters are not significantly different (Fisher’s LSD test, p < 0.05).

Table 2. Percentage of root necrosis caused by Pratylenchus coffeae on plants of PITA 3 and CORNE 1 cultivars pretreated with different lixiviate doses.

| Treatment | Root necrosis % |
|-----------|-----------------|
|           | PITA 3 | CORNE 1 |
| lix−      | T0     | 8,2 ± 1,8 a    | 9,45 ± 2,2 a   |
|           | T1     | 22,6 ± 4,5 b   | 39,4 ± 6,8 b   |
|           | P      | 0,000157       | 0,000157       |
| Lix25     | T0     | 9,4 ± 2,4 a    | 14,1 ± 3,8 a   |
|           | T1     | 9,9 ± 3 a      | 14,65 ± 3,2 a  |
|           | P      | 0,762369       | 0,791337       |
| lix5      | T0     | 10 ± 2,6 a     | 12,3 ± 1,7 a   |
|           | T1     | 13,8 ± 3,3 b   | 20,4 ± 3,3 b   |
|           | P      | 0,031210       | 0,000183       |

In the same column, the averages affected with different letters indicate significant differences between treatments according to Mann-Whitney’s U test. (P=0,05).

lix− : control sample without lixiviate; lix25 : 25 % lixiviate dose; lix5 : 5 % lixiviate dose.

**Evaluation of growth parameter:** Whatever the cultivar without lixiviate dose (lix−): all the agronomic parameters (root mass, number of leaves, collar circumference, pseudostem height, and leaf area) of plants infested with *P. coffeae* were significantly lower (P < 0.05) than those of the control plants samples (Table 3). Depending on the cultivar, the root mass decreased by more than 70%, the number of leaves and the collar circumference suffered further losses by 80% and the height of the pseudostem falls by at least 12%. For the surface foliar, the decrease observed was approximately 75%.

For 5% lixiviate dose, the root mass of plants infested with *P. coffeae* decreased by 13% compared to control plant samples in both cultivars. All aerial parameters (collar circumference, pseudo-trunk height, leaf area and a number of leaves) of the inoculated plants are not significantly different (P > 0.05) from uninoculated plants in PITA 3 cultivar. Inoculated plants of CORNE 1 cultivars have a leaf area significantly lower (P < 0.05) than uninoculated plants of the same cultivar (Table 3).
For 25% lixiviate dose, the agronomic parameters were not influenced by nematode infestation, of each cultivar. Indeed, a reversed trend was observed compared to the two previous observations. No major difference (P > 0.05) was observed between plants inoculated with *P. coffeae* (T1) and the control sample plants (T0) (Table 3).

**Table 3. Agronomic Parameters of plants of PITA 3 and CORNE 1 cultivars treated with different lixiviate doses and inoculated with *Pratylenchus coffeae***.

| Agronomic Parameters | Roots mass (g) | Number of leaves | Collar circumference (cm) | Pseudo stem height (cm) | Leaf area (cm²) |
|----------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
|                      | T0             | T1               | P0                        | T0                     | T1             | P0                        | T0             | T1             | P0                        | T0             | T1             | P0                        |
| lix -                | 20.8 ± 2.4 a   | 16.1 ± 2.4 a     | 4.9 ± 0.6 a               | 4.3 ± 0.5 a            | 5.7 ± 1 a          | 4.7 ± 0.8 a     | 15.7 ± 3.2 a             | 13.9 ± 1.5 a   | 182.6 ± 16 a   | 122.5 ± 12.7 a          |
| lix25                | 49 ± 5.6 a     | 38.3 ± 5 a       | 6.0 ± 0.4 a               | 5.53 ± 0.5 a           | 6.4 ± 1.2 a       | 6.1 ± 1.4 a     | 23.2 ± 3.4 a            | 19.6 ± 1.9 a   | 369.8 ± 23 a   | 314.4 ± 35.4 a          |
| lix5                 | 50.2 ± 6 a     | 37.1 ± 8.7 a     | 5.8 ± 0.6 a               | 5.4 ± 0.5 a            | 6.0 ± 0.5 a       | 5.8 ± 0.8 a     | 20.2 ± 3.4 a            | 19.3 ± 3.1 a   | 305 ± 42.2 a   | 293.6 ± 24.6 a          |

In the same column, the averages affected with different letters indicate significant differences between treatments according to Mann-Whitney’s U test. (P=0.05)

lix-: control sample without lixiviate; lix25: 25 % lixiviate dose; lix5: 5 % lixiviate dose.

The results showed that 5% and 25% lixiviate doses were more effective on the agronomic parameters than the 100% lixiviate dose. Such comments have been made by Escobar and Castano (2005) who obtained a higher banana weight than that of the control sample after applying a 0.5% lixiviate dose. The lixiviate dose application (5% and 25%) had a more positive effect on the growth of vivoplants form PITA 3 cultivars. These results are in agreement with those of Thiemele *et al.* (2015) who showed the better behavior of PITA 3 cultivar compared to FHIA 21, ORISHELE and CORNE 1 cultivars after application of cytokinin. On the other hand, for 100% lixiviate dose, phytotoxicity effects were observed and confirmed by the work of Álvarez *et al.* (2001) who showed that, starting from the 50% dose, the application of lixiviate causes phytotoxicity phenomena on the foliage.

High variability of nematode was observed at the roots of the treated plants. In fact, the amount of nematode was higher, at the roots of both cultivars treated with 5% lixiviate and those of CORNE 1 cultivar treated with 25% lixiviate, compared to other lixiviate dosage. It could be explained by the important root mass caused by 5% and 25% lixiviate dosage.

These results are similar to those of Yeates (1987) who noticed that an increase in the root system led to an increase of phytoparasitic nematodes and vice versa. Presence of *P. coffeae* ha been higher in CORNE 1 cultivar, which is more responsive to this nematode than PITA 3 cultivar. These results are in agreement with those of Speijer and Bosch (1996) who showed that traditional varieties seemed more responsive to *Pratylenchus* spp. compared to newly introduced varieties in Tanzania. The nearly absence of *P. coffeae* at the root plant of PITA 3 cultivar treated with 25% lixiviate dose could show the existence of resilience factors. Indeed, after application of the lixiviate dosage, cultivar PITA 3 could show a low attractiveness or a repulsive action as shown by Caswell *et al.* (1991) in their study of the changes in root exudates perceived by nematodes in the case of coffee’s root plant, resilient to *P. coffeae*.
Other authors have mentioned an accumulation of phenolic material (Hahlbrock and Scheel, 1989) following lixiviate treatment. The role of phenolic compounds as substances that narrow the spread and the progress of nematodes in banana root tissues has been described by Valette (1996). Banana plants that have not been treated with lixiviate and inoculated with nematodes were strongly necrotic, reducing the functional root area. Indeed, it has been shown that the direct damage of the nematode is more important for the roots system of low density (Tabarant, 2011).

The decrease of all growth parameters observed is the consequence of the impact of nematodes on the root system. This result is supported by Mukasa et al. (2006), who observed a 40% decrease in aerial biomass on banana plants after an attack of nematodes.

Pretreatment of the both plant cultivars with the 5% lixiviate dose did not prevent health status (percentages of necrosis and dead roots) and root mass from being affected by the nematode. However, a correction of the underground damages has been observed at the aerial level in the PITA 3 cultivar as the whole aerial part (leaves, collar, pseudo stem and leaf area) was not affected. On the other hand, in the CORNE 1 cultivar, the application of 5% lixiviate dose does not prevent underground damages from affecting the leaf area. These results are similar to those of Álvarez et al. (2001) who showed that lixiviate prepared from plantain led to resilience to certain diseases. The percentage of necrosis and dead roots are similar for banana inoculated and not inoculated in both cultivars after applying a 25% lixiviate dose. For plants of the CORNE 1 cultivar with a high nematode concentration, lixiviate at this dose had a very positive effect on plant tolerance to nematode attack. Such comments were also made by Tixier et al. (2006) who claimed that this tolerance could be due to the increase in root system which was a strategy for controlling nematodes.

Other authors, such as Mogollón and Castaño-Zapata (2010), have shown that the lixiviate produced from the composting of banana’s scape is rich in nutrients and substances that can influence microorganism by preventing from the tissue lesions expansion. The health status of the roots and the growth of the PITA 3 plants treated at 25% dose are not affected by inoculation of the nematode. That could be due to, as has mentioned Villain (2000), the resilience mechanism put in place by these plants to prevent the parasitic activity of the nematode.

CONCLUSION

5% and 25% lixiviate doses gave better agronomic parameters evaluated on vivoplants, while the dosage at 100% resulted in phytotoxicity. The application of doses at 5% and 25% allowed an optimum development of PITA 3 cultivar. The application of 5% lixiviate dose allows only plants from PITA 3 cultivars to tolerate underground damages, while lixiviate dosed at 25% allow the CORNE 1 cultivar to tolerate nematodes. That same dose in PITA 3 cultivar, appeared as a way of controlling Pratylenchus coffeae because it reduces the nematode concentration, thus reducing the damages caused by those ones. From these results, we recommend that further studies should be conducted to assess the stability of lixiviate compounds in the soil and promote lixiviate as a non-polluting phytosanitary control way in banana plantations against P. coffeae.

REFERENCES

Álvarez, E., C. Grajales, J. Villegas and J. Loke. 2001. Control del mildio polvoso (Sphaerotheca panossa var. rosea) en rosa (Rosa sp.), usando un lixiviado de compost del raquis de plátano (Musa AAB). Revista Asocolflores, 62: 41-47.

Aubertot, J.-N., J. M. Barbier, A. Carpentier, J.-N. Gril, L. Guichard, P. Lucas, S. Savary and M. Voltz. 2007. Pesticides, agriculture et environnement. Réduire l’utilisation des pesticides et en limiter les impacts environnementaux. Expertise scientifique collective Inra-Cemagref INRA et Cemagref, France. pp. 64.

Castaño-Zapata, J., R. B. Gutiérrez and Z. L. Posada. 2007. Efecto Inhibitorio in vitro de lixiviados del raquis de plátano sobre Botrytis cinerea y Charanaea sp. (Whetzel), causante del Moho gris en flores. Fitopatología Colombiana, 31: 19-22.

Caswell, E. P., C. Tang, J. D. Frank and W. Apt. 1991. The influence of root exudates of Chloris gayana and Tagetes patula on Rotylenchulus reniformis. Revue Nematol, 14: 581-87.

Chabrier, C., J. Hubervic and P. Quénéhervé. 2005. Evaluation de l’efficacité de deux formulations d’oxamyl contre les nématoles et charançons des bananiers à la Martinique. Nematropica, 35: 11-22.

Escobar, V. J. H. and Z. Castano. 2005. Traitement des maladies causées par les Mycosphaerella spp. par application d’acides fulviques. Info Musa, 14: 15-17.

Gnonhoué, G. P. and A. Adiko. 2005. Résultats de prospections nématologiques réalisées en culture...
de banane de dessert en Côte d'Ivoire CORUS-NEMATOLOGIE, rapport de la première étape. pp. 4-7.
Hahlbrock, K. and D. Scheel. 1989. Physiology and molecular biology of phenylpropanoid metabolism. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology, 40: 347-69.
Jaizme-Vega, M. C., M. Esquivel-Delamo, P. Tenoury-Domínguez and A. S. Rodríguez-Romero. 2002. Effet de la mycorrhization sur le développement de deux cultivars de bananier issu de micropropagation. Infomusa, 11: 25-28.
Konan, E. 2016. Diversité pathotype de Pratylenchus coffeae en Côte d'Ivoire, Université Lorougnon Guédé.
Lescot, T. and C. Chabrier. 2016. Amélioration de la culture de la banane en Hait Projet de sécurité alimentaire SECAL-OUEST. Rapport de mission. pp. 39.
Mogollón, A. and J. Castaño-Zapata. 2010. Evaluación in vitro de lixiviados del raquis de plátano sobre Paracercospora fijensis (Morelet) Deighton. Agronomía, 18: 17-23.
Mukasa, H. H., D. Ocan, D. De Waele, P. R. Rubaihayo and G. Blomme. 2006. Effect of a multispecies nematode population on the root, corm, and shoot growth of East African Musa genotypes. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 43: 229-35.
Oka, Y. 2001. Nematicidal activity of essential oil components against the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne javanica. Nematology, 3: 159-64.
Risède, J.-M., C. Chabrier, M. Dorel, T. Dambas, R. Achard and P. Quénéhervé. 2010. Protection intégrée contre les nématodes du bananier: enseignements tirés de l'étude de cas des Antilles françaises françaises Étude de Cas sur la Banane.
Seinhorst, J. W. 1950. De betekenis van de toestand van de grond voor het optreden van aantasting door het stengelaaltje (Ditylenchus dipsaci (Kühn) Filipjev). Tijdschrift Over Plantenziekten, 56: 289-348.
Siddiqui, Z. 2004. Effects of plant growth promoting bacteria and composed organic fertilizers on the reproduction of Meloidogyne incognita and tomato growth. Bioresource Technology, 95: 223-27.
Speijer, P. R. and C. H. Bosch. 1996. Susceptibility of Musa cultivars to nematodes in Kagera Region, Tanzania. Fruits, 4: 217-22.
Speijer, P. R. and D. De-Waele. 1997. Evaluation du matériel génétique de Musa pour la résistance aux nématodes Réseau international pour l'amélioration de la banane et de la banane plantain. INIBAP. Montpellier, France. pp. 47.
Tabarant, P. 2011. Effets d'apport de matières organiques sur le contrôle biologique des nématodes parasites du bananier en Guadeloupe, Paris Tech.
Thiemele, D. E. F., A. E. Issali, S. Traore, K. M. Kouassi, N. Aby, P. G. Gnonhouri, J. K. Kobenan, T. N. Yao, A. Adiko and A. N. Zakra. 2015. Macropropagation of plantain (Musa spp.) cultivars Pita 3, Fhia 21, Orishele and Corne 1: Effect of benzyaminopurine (bap) concentration. Journal of Plant Development, 22: 31-39.
Tixier, P., J. M. Risède, M. Dorel and E. Malézieux. 2006. Modelling population dynamics of banana plant-parasitic nematodes: A contribution to the design of sustainable cropping systems. Ecological Modelling, 198: 321-31.
Traoré, S., K. Kobenan, K. S. Kouassi and G. P. Gnonhouri. 2009. Systèmes de culture du bananier plantain et méthodes de lutte contre les parasites et ravageurs en milieu paysan en Côte d'Ivoire. Journal of Applied Biosciences, 19: 1094-101.
Valette, C. 1996. Etude de la variabilité des interactions bananier-nématode: approche des facteurs de la résistance du bananier à Radopholus similis, Université de Paris.
Vilardebo, A. and A. Guérout. 1974. Technique d’extraction de Radopholus similis des racines de bananiers. In, Méthodes d’essais d’efficacité” pratique de nématicides étudiés sur Radopholus similis Cobb en bananeraies. Soc. Franç: Phytiatire et phytopharmac.
