Muscarinic Receptors Types 1 and 2 in the Preoptic-Anterior Hypothalamic Areas Regulate Ovulation Unequally in the Rat Oestrous Cycle
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Acetylcholine (ACh) is the natural ligand of the muscarinic cholinergic membrane receptor (mAChR), which belongs to the superfamily of G protein-coupled receptors. There are five subtypes of mAChRs (m1 to m5). In particular, m1AChRs and m2AChRs have been detected in several brain areas; the highest proportion of m1AChR was found in the hippocampus, while the cerebellum and hypothalamus were the regions with the highest m2AChR expression [1].

Everett et al. and Markee and Hunter [2, 3] analysed the effects of blocking the muscarinic system with atropine sulfate, revealing that the cholinergic system plays a role in regulating the secretion of ovulating hormones in rats and rabbits. Moreover, intrahypothalamic atropine implants decreased ovarian weight and prevented ovarian compensatory hypertrophy in unilaterally ovariectomized rats [4, 5]. The injection of atropine in the 3rd ventricle blocked the surge of luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) by the pituitary gland in rats on the day of proestrus [6].

The muscarinic cholinergic system in the regulation of ovulation varies throughout the oestrous cycle and exhibits a circadian rhythm [7]. The subcutaneous injection of atropine sulfate on each day of the oestrous cycle blocked the preovulatory surge of LH on the proestrus day, without
apparent changes in preovulatory FSH levels [8]. On the other hand, implants of atropine or pilocarpine crystals into the left or right side of the preoptic and anterior hypothalamus areas (POA-AHA) resulted in an asymmetric blockade of spontaneous ovulation, suggesting that the hypothalamic-muscarinic cholinergic system is involved in the asymmetric regulation of spontaneous ovulation and varies throughout the oestrous cycle [9, 10]. In recent studies [11], we showed that the unilateral microinjection of atropine in the left POA-AHA region performed on dioestrous-2 had the same effects as the implant of atropine in the same region.

The injection of synthetic LH-releasing hormone (LHRH-Gly-OH) or human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) into nonovulating rats implanted with atropine in the right or left side of POA-AHA restored ovulation. Atropine implants placed in the right side, but not in the left side, of the POA-AHA on the day of oestrous or dioestrous-1 blocked the positive feedback of oestradiol benzoate (EB) on the release of gonadotropins necessary for ovulation. These results suggest that activation of mAChRs in the right side of POA-AHA plays a role in the oestrogen-dependent regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) pre-ovulatory secretion [12]. Additionally, a unilateral implant of atropine in the POA-AHA modifies ovarian follicular growth in an asymmetric manner [13]. Taken together, these results suggest that depending on the day of the oestrous cycle and the side of the POA-AHA involved, the ACh binding to mAChR plays a stimulatory role in GnRH and LH preovulatory secretion and in the tonic secretion of FSH. However, according to Turi et al. [14], the classical cholinergic synapses rarely occur on GnRH neurons, suggesting a dominant nonsynaptic route in this cholinergic neuronal communication.

To assess the specific participation of m1AChR and m2AChR neurons located in the left or right side of the POA-AHA region on spontaneous ovulation during the oestrous cycle, we evaluated the following: (1) the variation of m1AChR or m2AChR protein on either side of the POA-AHA during each phase of the oestrous cycle and (2) the effects of the blockade of m1AChR or m2AChR during specific phases of the oestrous cycle on either side of the POA-AHA region. Based on these analyses, we intend to determine whether m1AChR or m2AChR in the POA-AHA is a trigger for the asymmetric effects of the muscarinic system on spontaneous ovulation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. The study was performed in 3-4-month-old virgin female rats (195–225 g) of the CIIZ-V strain from our own stock. The animals were kept under controlled light conditions (lights on from 05:00 to 19:00 h), with ad libitum access to regular chow (Harlan S.A., DF, México) and tap water. Oestrous cycles were monitored by cytological examination of daily vaginal smears. Only rats showing at least two consecutive 4-day cycles were used in the experiments. All microinjections were performed between 12:30 and 13:30 h.

2.2. Immunohistochemistry. Intact rats in each phase of the oestrous cycle were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital and sacrificed at 09:00, 13:00, or 17:00 h (3 rats per time point). These time points were selected because previously our group has reported several findings about the participation of Ach in the ovulation and its role in the secretion of the sex steroid hormones in those critical hours [7–13]. The brains were removed and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 24 h, dehydrated with graded alcohol, and embedded in paraffin. Immunoreactive neurons for m1AChR (m1AChR-ir) or m2AChR (m2AChR-ir) were detected using a conventional avidin-biotin immunoperoxidase protocol. Brain sections (10 μm thick) were deparaffinized, hydrated, and pretreated with 1% H2O2 for 30 min to quench endogenous peroxidase activity; rinsed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer; and then incubated in 1% NaBH4 (Sigma Chemical Co.) to reduce free aldehydes. For antigen retrieval, 10 mM sodium citrate buffer was used. The tissue sections were incubated at 4°C for 48 h with either m1AChR or m2AChR antibodies (sc-9106 or sc-9107, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Dallas, TX) (1:25 dilution). Next, the sections were incubated with secondary polyclonal antibodies (1:100 dilution) for 3 h at room temperature (pk-6101, VECTASTAIN Elite ABC Kit, Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA). Immune complexes were detected with the avidin DH-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase H complex, and brown immunostaining was observed in the cytoplasmic compartment. The sections were counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin to stain the nucleus (blue/purple) and dehydrated permanently in nonaqueous mounting media. As a negative control, the primary antibody was omitted. The number of m1AChR-ir or m2AChR-ir neurons was determined by counting positive cells (brown immunostaining) as described by Mendoza-Garcés et al. [15]. The positive cells were counted within the central, lateral, and medial portions of the medial preoptic nucleus (Figure 1) using a light microscope with a KS500 imaging system (Carl Zeiss, Germany). The region was restricted to rostral-caudal coordinates –0.6 to –0.68 mm relative to the bregma of the left or right side of the POA-AHA region [16].

2.3. Effects of the Blockade of m1AChR or m2AChR in the Left or Right POA-AHA on Spontaneous Ovulation. The time line for the two experimental paradigms of this study is diagrammed in Figure 2. The animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital (25 mg/kg; Anestesal, Smith-Kline, Mexico City) and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). The skin of the skull was sectioned, and the left or right side of the skull was drilled with a 1 mm bit. Subsequently, a 29-gauge stainless steel needle was lowered into the left or right side of the POA-AHA. The POA-AHA was located using the bregma coordinates from the atlas as the reference (A-P, 0.679 to 0.628; lateral, 0.06; and vertical, 0.86) [16], following a previously described protocol [9–12]. The needle was connected to a 20 μL Hamilton syringe placed on a microinjection pump (CMA/100; BAS, Stockholm, Sweden) with a Teflon tube (0.65 mm OD 9, 0.12 mm ID; Bioanalytical Systems Inc., West Lafayette, IN).
Groups of rats (n = 8–10 rats per group) at oestrous, dioestrous-1, or dioestrous-2 (Figure 2) were unilaterally microinjected in the left or right POA-AHA region with the following: (a) 1 μL of vehicle (0.9% saline v/v); (b) 100 pg/μL of pirenzepine dihydrochloride (PZP) (Sigma-Aldrich, Mexico), an m1AChR antagonist; and (c) 100 pg/μL of methoctramine (MTC) (Sigma-Aldrich, Mexico), an m2AChR antagonist. All solutions were injected at a rate of 1 μL/min. Vaginal smears were taken 24 h after surgery, and the animals were sacrificed at 10:00 h on the next predicted day of oestrous; then, the oviducts were dissected, the number of ova shed was counted with a stereo microscope (Olympus SZ51-LGB, Tokyo, Japan), and the ovulation rate was analysed. A different group of untreated (intact) animals was sacrificed on the morning of the next predicted day of oestrous, and the ovulation rate was analysed (Figure 2).

2.4. Effects of the Replacement of LHRH or EB in Rats with Blockade of m1AChRs or m2AChRs in the Left or Right POA-AHA on Spontaneous Ovulation. Other groups of animals (n = 5–8) treated with PZP or MTC on either side of the POA-AHA region were subcutaneously (s.c.) injected with 3.7 μg/kg of synthetic LH-releasing hormone (LHRH-Gly-OH) at 14:00 h on the day of proestrous or with 10 μL of oestradiol benzoate (EB) at 14:00 on dioestrous-2 (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). The animals were sacrificed on the morning of the next predicted day of oestrous, and the ovulation rate was analysed (Figure 2).

2.5. Brain Histological Procedures. To verify the accuracy of the microinjection site, 100 μm sections of the POA-AHA region were obtained with a vibratome (Technical Products International Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA). The sections were mounted on slides and were immediately examined under a stereoscopic microscope. Only rats with verified microinjection into the POA-AHA were used in the study.

2.6. Statistical Analyses. Data for m1AChR-ir or m2AChR-ir cells were analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test. All measurements are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Data on the ovulation rate (number of ovulating animals over total number of the treatment group) were analysed using the
chi-square test. Data on the number of ova shed were analysed using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s test. A probability value of $p \leq 0.05\%$ was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad InStat3 Software Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Changes in the Number of $m_1$AChR-ir or $m_2$AChR-ir Neurons in Each Side of the POA-AHA throughout the Oestrous Cycle. No significant changes were observed in the number of $m_1$AChR or $m_2$AChR positive cells between the left and right sides of the POA-AHA region at 9:00, 13:00, or 17:00 h on each day of the oestrous cycle. However, we observed that the number of $m_2$AChR positive cells on both sides (left side plus right side) of the POA-AHA region was significantly higher at 09:00 h of each day of the oestrous cycle than at 13:00 or 17:00 h (Figure 3).

3.2. Effects of the Blockade of $m_1$AChRs or $m_2$AChR in the Left or Right POA-AHA on the Ovulation Rate. In comparison with that in the vehicle group, the microinjection of PZP on either side of the POA-AHA on the day of oestrous (Figure 4) or MTC on dioestrous-2 (Figure 5) resulted in a lower ovulation rate. The ovulation rate in animals microinjected with the vehicle on dioestrous-1 was lower than that in the intact group (left POA-AHA: 4/12 or right POA-AHA: 4/10 versus control: 10/10; $p < 0.01$), while PZP or MTC microinjection restored ovulation on dioestrous-2 (Figures 4 and 5).

The number of ova shed from both ovaries of rats microinjected with PZP or MTC on either side of the POA-AHA was lower than that of those microinjected with the vehicle (PZP: 6.2 ± 0.9 or MTC: 6.2 ± 0.4 versus vehicle: 11.5 ± 0.7; $p < 0.001$). Interestingly, when the number of ova shed was counted per ovary, we observed that animals microinjected in the right POA-AHA with PZP on dioestrous-2 released lower numbers of oocytes than those treated with vehicle (left ovary: 3.8 ± 0.9 versus 6.9 ± 0.3, $p < 0.0025$; right ovary: 0 versus 5.9 ± 0.4). This effect was not seen in the animals that received microinjections into the left POA-AHA region.

3.3. Effects of Hormonal Replacement with LHRH or EB on Nonovulating Rats with Blockade of $m_1$AChRs or $m_2$AChR in the Left or Right POA-AHA. The LHRH or EB replacement therapy for nonovulating rats unilaterally microinjected with PZP or MTC on either side of the POA-AHA on dioestrous-2 was lower than that of those microinjected with the vehicle (PZP: 6.2 ± 0.9 or MTC: 6.2 ± 0.4 versus vehicle: 11.5 ± 0.7; $p < 0.001$). Interestingly, when the number of ova shed was counted per ovary, we observed that animals microinjected in the right POA-AHA with PZP on dioestrous-2 released lower numbers of oocytes than those treated with vehicle (left ovary: 3.8 ± 0.9 versus 6.9 ± 0.3, $p < 0.0025$; right ovary: 0 versus 5.9 ± 0.4). This effect was not seen in the animals that received microinjections into the left POA-AHA region.

4. Discussion

The results of the present study suggest that in the POA-AHA region, ACh regulates spontaneous ovulation through
the m₁AChR and m₂AChR and this regulation depends on the oestrous cycle phase. In oestrous, ovulation is regulated by m₁AChR, while dioestrous-2 is regulated by m₂AChR. The fact that ovulation is blocked by changes to the binding of ACh to m₁AChR or m₂AChR and that the activation of these receptors is dependent on the day of the oestrous cycle suggests that the activation of each receptor results in different intracellular signals necessary for the regulation of ovulation. The m₂AChRs are selectively coupled to the Gi/Go family of G proteins [20]. Since m₂AChRs mediate the inhibition of voltage-sensitive Ca²⁺ channels [21] that are known to be intimately involved in the regulation of neurotransmitter release, m₂AChRs are considered the major inhibitory muscarinic autoreceptors in the mouse hippocampus and cerebral cortex [22]. In brain slices from adult male mice, blocking endoplasmic reticulum calcium reuptake to elevate intracellular calcium evokes GnRH release in both the median eminence and preoptic area (POA) [23]. Therefore, the blockade of m₂AChR on the POA-AHA could increase the release of ACh and could elicit GABAergic transmission, as occurred in primary cultures of the lateral hypothalamus via nicotinic pathways [24], which in turn would inhibit GnRH secretion [25]. In vitro studies have shown that an increase in intracellular calcium induced by Ach could be modulated by oestradiol in LHRH neurons through specific receptor sites at the plasma membrane [26]; these results could help to partially explain how oestradiol exerts its rapid, negative feedback actions on GnRH and LH secretion in female reproduction. Twenty years ago, Sokolovsky et al. [27] suggested that the muscarinic receptors

**Figure 3:** Number of m₁AChR or m₂AChR immunostained neurons (m₁AChR-ir or m₂AChR-ir) in the POA-AHA region throughout the oestrous cycle in the rat. A number of m₁AChR-ir (a) or m₂AChR-ir (b) were counted on both sides (left side plus right side) of the medial preoptic nucleus in the preoptic region at 9:00 (grey bar), 13:00 (blue bar), or 17:00 h (green bar) for each phase of the oestrous cycle. The results are expressed as the mean±SEM. *p < 0.05 versus 9:00 h, for its respective phase of the oestrous cycle. D1, dioestrous-1; D2, dioestrous-2; P, proestrous; E, oestrous.

**Figure 4:** The effects of vehicle (Vh) or pirenzepine (PZP) microinjected in the left or right side of the POA-AHA region on ovulation. The ovulation rate (number of ovulating animals over total number of the treatment group) of rats microinjected with vehicle (Vh) or pirenzepine (PZP) in the left or right POA-AHA at 13:00 h of the oestrous (E), dioestrous-1 (D1), or dioestrous-2 (D2). *p < 0.05 versus the respective Vh group (chi-square test).
play a role in the positive or negative (or both) regulation of the oestrogens on sex hormone secretion. Previous results by our group had shown that the unilateral microinjection of vehicle on the right side of the POA-AHA at 09:00 h on dioestrous-1 reduces the ovulation rate [13].

A similar effect was observed in the present study when either side of the POA-AHA was microinjected with the vehicle. This could be attributable to the different reactivities of neurons or glia to the neuroendocrine signals generated as a consequence of the swelling process induced by the vehicle treatment [28]. Cytokines released during vehicle-induced swelling or during the inflammatory process would directly or indirectly affect GnRH secretion [29, 30]. Another possible explanation is that a local increase in ACh was induced by the vehicle microinjection, suggesting that on dioestrous-1, the ACh attached to the m1AChR or m2AChR inhibits the process of ovulation, since the blockade of m1 or m2 restores ovulation.

The blockade of the m2AChR in either side of the POA-AHA on dioestrous-2 decreased spontaneous ovulation, so we postulate that at 13:00 h on dioestrous-2, the activation of these receptors by ACh plays a stimulatory role in the mechanisms regulating ovulation. The low number of released ova from rats with m2AChR blockade on dioestrous-2 suggests that the stimulation of these receptors is also necessary for normal ovulation. We have previously shown that the unilateral blockade of mAChR in the POA-AHA on dioestrous-1 or dioestrous-2 by implants of atropine slows follicular growth [13]. Marchetti et al. [31] proposed the existence of a direct neural connection between the brain and the ovaries, and our results support such an idea and suggest that this neural connection could be through the activation of muscarinic receptors located in the POA-AHA region.

In contrast, on the day of oestrous, activation of m1AChR is required for ovulation. Activation of m1AChR leads to the Gq protein-mediated activation of phospholipase C, which causes the formation of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3). Formed IP3 releases Ca2+ from Ca2+ stores in the endoplasmic reticulum [20] and then causes the release of GnRH [23]. Therefore, blocking these receptors inhibits the secretion of GnRH [25] and LH, thus inhibiting ovulation. Previous reports have shown that activation of m1AChR in GT1-7 cells (obtained from a hypothalamic tumour in a transgenic mouse) by ACh leads to the stimulation of phosphoinositide hydrolysis, which is followed by increased LHRH secretion [32]. Morales et al. [33] suggested a rapid effect of oestradiol on ACh-induced calcium signals in GT1-7 cells through cyclic GMP cascade. Interestingly, the maximal response of GT1-7 cells to ACh on calcium mobilization occurs at 5 seconds, and ACh-induced calcium transients were blocked completely by atropine [23], suggesting that the decrease in cytosolic Ca2+ accumulation could be resulted of the blockade of m1AChR or the blockade of ACh release through an inhibitory muscarinic autoreceptors. In the case of the blockade of m2AChR, it could result in the absence of secretion of GnRH and LH and thus ovulation. Interestingly, whatever signaling mechanism is triggered in the GnRH network, ACh bound to muscarinic receptors plays a stimulatory role in ovulation.

The specific blockade of m1AChR or m2AChR on each portion (right or left side) of the POA-AHA does not regulate ovulation in an asymmetric way, as occurred when all the mAChRs (m1–m5) were blocked at the same time by atropine implants [9]. Since, at 13:00 h of each day of the oestrous cycle, the number of m1AChR-ir and m2AChR-ir cells is similar on each side of the POA-AHA, we think that the binding parameters (Bmax and Kd) of the m1AChR and

![Figure 5: The effects of vehicle (Vh) or methoctramine (MTC) microinjected in the left or right side of the POA-AHA region on ovulation.](image)
m2AChR to ACh could be different on each side of the POA-AHA. We have previously shown that in membranes of the left side of the POA-AHA obtained from rats at 13:00 h on dioestrous-2, the number of binding sites (Bmax) for [3H]-N-methyl-scopolamine is 50% lower that in those of the right portion, but the dissociation constant (Kd) is 118% lower, and low levels of ACh were observed [34]. In the current study, we did not observe a relationship between the number of m2AChR-ir and m2AChR-ir cells and the ability of the blockade of either receptor to modify spontaneous ovulation. The differences observed in the effects of m2AChR and m2AChR blockade on ovulation performed at dioestrous-2 or oestrous could result from differences in the affinity of each mAChR present in each side of the POA-AHA.

From the effects of the unilateral microinjections of PZP and MTC on ovulation, we suggest that the number and affinity of m2AChR are higher on dioestrous-2 than on the day of oestrous, while m2AChR predominates on the day of oestrous, even when the number of cells positive for mAChR is unchanged at 13:00 h on dioestrous-2 and on the day of oestrous.

The acute microinjection of m2AChR or m2AChR selective antagonists on the regulation of spontaneous ovulation showed different effects than that previously reported in a study of chronic treatment with an atropine implant in the POA-AHA region [9]. The differing results could be explained by the following differences between the methodologies used: (1) atropine is a nonselective blocker of muscarinic receptors, and therefore, the results of the atropine implant suggest that the participation of each side of the POA-AHA in the regulation of GnRH secretion is asymmetric and varies throughout the oestrous cycle; (2) PZP and MTC antagonize only one receptor type, suggesting that the effects of ACh binding to each receptor have a different participation in the regulation of GnRH secretion; (3) the concentrations of the drugs used in the experiments are very different (100 pg in the current experiment and 25 ± 3 μg on implanting atropine research); and (4) the microinjection of the antagonist in solution produces a short-lived effect because the cerebrospinal fluid flow washed away the drug that was not binding to the receptor, while the atropine crystal implants stayed longer, producing a longer-lasting effect than the microinjections.

The number of ova shed reduced from each ovary in rats that were microinjected with 100 pg/μL of PZP or MTC on dioestrous-2; these results suggest that ACh regulates the growth of ovarian follicles through m2AChR or mAChR. Interestingly, we have previously shown that at this stage of the cycle, implants of atropine in the POA-AHA region produce low follicular growth and atresia in the left ovary, without any apparent effects on the right ovary [13].

LHRH- or EB-induced ovulation observed in rats treated with PZP or MTC in the POA-AHA region supports the idea that inhibition of the LH or GnRH surge results from the inhibition of GnRH or oestradiol secretion, respectively, and/or from the stimulating feedback effects of oestradiol on LH release.

5. Conclusion

Based on the present results, we suggest that ovulation requires the stimulation of the m2AChR on either side of the POA-AHA region on the day of oestrous and the stimulation of m2AChR on dioestrous-2, while on dioestrous-1, the activation of both receptors inhibits the mechanisms that regulate the spontaneous ovulation process. Therefore, m2AChR and m2AChR activation is also required on dioestrous-2 for a complete restoration of ovulation.

Additional Points

All data sets on which the conclusions of the paper rely are available to readers.

Ethical Approval

All experiments were performed in strict accordance with the Mexican Law of Animal Treatment and Protection Guidelines and the specifications of the Mexican Official Standard NOM-062-ZOO-1999. The Institutional Committee of the Facultad de Estudios Superiores Zaragoza, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, approved the experimental protocols (FES/DEPUCI/236/14). All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions

María Esther Cruz designed the experiments. María Esther Cruz, Isabel Arrieta-Cruz, Roger Gutiérrez-Juárez, and Roberto Domínguez wrote the manuscript. Yadira L. López-Ramírez and Kayro López-Ramírez performed all the experiments. Luciano Mendoza-Garcés and Raúl A. Librado-Osorio performed the immunohistochemical analysis. María Esther Cruz, Angélica Flores, Isabel Arrieta-Cruz, Roger Gutiérrez-Juárez, and Roberto Domínguez parti- cipated in the analysis and discussion of the results. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. Yadira L. López-Ramírez and Kayro López-Ramírez contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Facultad de Estudios Superiores Zaragoza, UNAM, Mexico City, for access to the facilities used to perform this study. The experimental work was supported by UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT no. 220014-3.

References

[1] E. Giraldo, R. Hammer, and H. Ladinsky, “Distribution of muscarinic receptor subtypes in rat brain as determined in binding studies with AF-DX 116 and pirenzepine,” Life Sciences, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 833–840, 1987.
[2] J. W. Everett, C. H. Sawyer, and J. E. Markee, “A neurogenic timing factor in control of the ovulatory discharge of luteinizing hormone in the cyclic rat,” Endocrinology, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 234–250, 1949.

[3] F. K. Markee and W. C. Hunter, “Experiences with the vaginal smear; report of a six year study,” Portland Clinical Bulletin, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 1952.

[4] J. M. Monti, M. A. Sala, J. T. Otegui, W. L. Benedetti, and E. Grino, “Inhibition of ovarian compensatory hypertrophy by implants of atropine in the hypothalamus,” Experimentalia, vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 1263–1264, 1970.

[5] M. A. Sala, J. T. Otegui, W. L. Benedetti, J. M. Monti, and E. Grino, “Blockade of ovarian compensatory hypertrophy and ovulation in the rat by hypothalamic implants of an anticholinergic drug,” Journal of Neuro-Visceral Relations, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 241–248, 1971.

[6] C. Libertun and S. M. McCann, “Further evidence for cholinergic control of gonadotropin and prolactin secretion,” Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine, vol. 147, no. 2, pp. 498–504, 1974.

[7] R. Dominguez, L. Riboni, D. Zipitria, and R. Revilla, “Is there a cholinergic circadian rhythm throughout the oestrous cycle related to ovulation in the rat?” The Journal of Endocrinology, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 175–180, 1982.

[8] M. E. Cruz, A. Dominguez-Gonzalez, A. Flores, M. Cárdenas, R. Chavira, and R. Dominguez, The Cholinergic Blockade during the Rat Estrous Cycle Results in Opposite Effects on Gonadotropins and Estradiol Surge at Proestrus. 2010 Neuroscience Meeting Planner San Diego, CA: Society for Neuroscience, 2010 Online: Society for Neuroscience, p. 185.110/WW118, 2010.

[9] M. E. Cruz, L. P. Jaramillo, and R. Dominguez, “Asymmetric ovulatory response induced by a unilateral implant of atropine in the anterior hypothalamus of the cyclic rat,” The Journal of Endocrinology, vol. 123, no. 3, pp. 437–439, 1989.

[10] E. Lopez, M. E. Cruz, and R. Dominguez, “Asymmetrical effects of the unilateral implant of pilocarpine on the preoptic-anterior hypothalamic area on spontaneous ovulation of the adult rat,” Archives of Medical Research, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 343–348, 1997.

[11] A. Espinosa-Valdez, A. Flores, I. Arrieta-Cruz et al., “The participation of the muscarinic receptors in the preoptic-anterior hypothalamic areas in the regulation of ovulation depends on the ovary,” Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 75, 2016.

[12] M. E. Cruz, J. Castro, and R. Dominguez, “A comparative analysis of the neuroendocrine mechanisms regulating ovulation, affected by a unilateral implant of atropine in the preoptic-anterior hypothalamic area, in intact and hemiovarectomized adult rats,” The Journal of Endocrinology, vol. 133, no. 2, pp. 205–210, 1992.

[13] M. E. Cruz, A. Flores, and R. Dominguez, “The cholinergic system of the preoptic-anterior hypothalamic areas regulates the ovarian follicular population in an asymmetric way,” Endocrine, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 913–922, 2014.

[14] G. F. Turi, Z. Lipositz, and E. Hrabovszky, “Cholinergic afferents to gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons of the rat,” Neurochemistry International, vol. 52, no. 4-5, pp. 723–728, 2008.

[15] L. Mendoza-Garcés, C. A. Mendoza-Rodríguez, F. Jimenez-Trejo, O. Picazo, M. C. Rodríguez, and M. Cerbon, “Differential expression of estrogen receptors in two hippocampal regions during the estrous cycle of the rat,” Anatomical Record (Hoboken), vol. 294, no. 11, pp. 1913–1919, 2011.

[16] G. Paxinos and C. Watson, The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, 5th Edition edition, 2005.

[17] A. Hashi, S. Mazawa, J. Kato, and J. Arita, “Pentobarbital anesthesia during the proestrous afternoon blocks luctotroph proliferation occurring on estrus in female rats,” Endocrinology, vol. 136, no. 10, pp. 4665–4671, 1995.

[18] C. Y. Kim, K. Wakabayashi, and T. Nobunaga, “Time-dependent ovulation-blocking effect of ether anesthesia differs from pentobarbital in rats,” The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine, vol. 172, no. 3, pp. 237–242, 1994.

[19] T. Funabashi, K. Jinnai, and F. Kimura, “Fos expression by naloxone in LHRH neurons of the mediobasal hypothalamus and effects of pentobarbital sodium in the proestrus rat,” Journal of Neuroendocrinology, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 87–92, 1997.

[20] J. Wess, “Molecular biology of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors,” Critical Reviews in Neurobiology, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 69–99, 1996.

[21] M. S. Shapiro, M. D. Lose, S. E. Hamilton et al., “Assignment of muscarinic receptor subtypes mediating G-protein modulation of Ca (2+) channels by using knockout mice,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 96, no. 19, pp. 10899–10904, 1999.

[22] W. Zhang, A. S. Basile, J. Gomeza, L. A. Volpicelli, A. I. Levy, and J. Wess, “Characterization of central inhibitory muscarinic autoreceptors by the use of muscarinic acetylcholine receptor knock-out mice,” The Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1709–1717, 2002.

[23] K. M. Glanowska and S. M. Moenter, “Differential regulation of GnRH secretion in the preoptic area (POA) and the median eminence (ME) in male mice,” Endocrinology, vol. 156, no. 1, pp. 231–241, 2015.

[24] Y. H. Jo and L. W. Role, “Cholinergic modulation of purinergic and GABAergic co-transmission at in vitro hypothalamic synapses,” Journal of Neurophysiology, vol. 88, no. 5, pp. 2501–2508, 2002.

[25] A. E. Herbison, “Physiology of the adult gonadotropin-releasing hormone neuronal network,” Knobil and Neill’s, Physiology of Reproduction, vol. 2399–594, Chapter 11.

[26] A. Morales, M. Diaz, A. B. Ropero, A. Nadal, and R. Alonso, “Estradiol modulates acetylcholine-induced Ca” signals in LHRH-releasing GT1-7 cells through a membrane binding site,” The European Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 2505–2514, 2003.

[27] M. Sokolovsky, Y. Egozi, and S. Avisar, “Molecular regulation of receptors: interaction of β-estradiol and progesterone with muscarinic system,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 78, no. 9, pp. 5554–5558, 1981.

[28] D. K. Jones, “Chapter 5 - Gaussian modeling of the diffusion signal,” in Diffusion MRI, H. Johansen-Berg and T. E. J. Behrens, Eds., pp. 87–104, Academic Press, San Diego, 2014.

[29] C. Rivier and W. Vale, “Cytokines act within the brain to inhibit luteinizing hormone secretion and ovulation in the rat,” Endocrinology, vol. 127, no. 2, pp. 849–856, 1990.

[30] S. Wu and A. Wolfe, “Signaling of cytokines is important in regulation of GnRH neurons,” Molecular Neurobiology, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 119–125, 2012.
[31] B. Marchetti, M. Cioni, and U. Scapagnini, “Ovarian LHRH receptors increase following lesions of the major LHRH structures in the rat brain: involvement of a direct neural pathway,” Neuroendocrinology, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 321–331, 1985.

[32] L. Z. Krsmanovic, N. Mores, C. E. Navarro, S. A. Saeed, K. K. Arora, and K. J. Catt, “Muscarinic regulation of intracellular signaling and neurosecretion in gonadotropin-releasing hormone neurons,” Endocrinology, vol. 139, no. 10, pp. 4037–4043, 1998.

[33] A. Morales, M. Díaz, P. Guelmjes, R. Marín, and R. Alonso, “Rapid modulatory effect of estradiol on acetylcholine-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) signal is mediated through cyclic-GMP cascade in LHRH-releasing GT1-7 cells,” The European Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 2207–2215, 2005.

[34] M. E. Cruz, P. R. Arteaga, M. I. Huerta-Delgadillo, M. A. Sánchez, and R. Domínguez, “Differences on the acetylcholine concentration and binding and affinity parameters of the muscarinic receptors in the preoptic anterior hypothalamic area during the oestrous cycle of the rat,” Medical Science Research, vol. 25, p. 3, 1997.