OBJECTIVE: To explore the long-term effects of women’s childbearing patterns on their body mass index.

INTRODUCTION

Even a modest 1% reduction in body mass index (BMI) in western countries would substantially reduce the number of obesity-related diseases and their costs. Excess body weight is an important risk factor for vascular disease and, to a lesser extent, for cancer. In a prospective study of almost one million people from high-income countries, a 1% increase in average BMI was associated with about a 1% increase in all-cause mortality. Among reproductive-aged women in developed countries weight tends to increase after each birth but the short-term effect of breastfeeding on weight is less clear, though breastfeeding has been associated with a lower risk of the metabolic syndrome and of other conditions associated with adiposity. In the longer term, after a woman’s reproductive years are over, some investigators have also found that various measures of adiposity are increased the more children women had, although the evidence is somewhat inconsistent. To our knowledge only two small studies have looked at the association between breastfeeding history, and their BMI in later life in a large population of postmenopausal women, taking into account the effects of potential confounding factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data collection and definitions

The Million Women Study is a prospective study of women aged between 50 and 64 years when they were invited for screening by the National Health Service (NHS) Breast Screening Programme in England and Scotland between 1996 and 2001. Women completed a study questionnaire at recruitment which asked about height, weight, reproductive history, socioeconomic and lifestyle factors, and other personal characteristics. Questions on breastfeeding were added to the baseline questionnaire after the first 9% were recruited. Full details of the study design and methods are described elsewhere, and study questionnaires can be viewed at http://www.millionwomen.org. The study was approved by the Anglia and Oxford Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee. All participants gave their written consent to take part in the study.

At recruitment women were asked to report the number of children they had (including still births). We used this information to define parity. Women were asked to report, for each birth, if they had breastfed and if so, the duration of breastfeeding in months. We used this information to define breastfeeding (ever or never), and total duration of breastfeeding (summation over all children of reported duration of breastfeeding in months). We also calculated the average duration of breastfeeding per child using each woman’s total duration of breastfeeding divided by her parity. No questions were asked about the exclusivity of breastfeeding.

RESULTS:

Women were aged 57.5 (s.d. 4) years on average, and had a mean BMI of 26.2 kg m⁻² (s.d. 5); 88% were parous, with 2.1 (s.d. 1.2) children on average. The standardised mean BMI increased progressively with the number of births from 25.6 kg m⁻² (95% confidence interval (CI): 25.5–25.6) in nulliparous women up to 27.2 kg m⁻² (CI: 27.2–27.3) for women with four or more births, a difference of 1.7 kg m⁻² (CI: 1.6–1.7). Among the parous women 70% had ever breastfed and their average total duration of breastfeeding was 7.7 (s.d. 8.8) months. At every parity level the standardised mean BMI was significantly lower among women who had breastfed than those who had not, decreasing by 0.22 kg m⁻² (CI: 0.21–0.22) for every 6 months of breastfeeding, that is, women’s mean BMI was 1% lower for every 6 months that they had breastfed. These associations were highly statistically significant (P<0.0001) and independent of the effects of socioeconomic group, region of residence, smoking and physical activity.

CONCLUSIONS: Childbearing patterns have a persistent effect on adiposity in this population. The reduction in BMI associated with just 6 months breastfeeding in UK women could importantly reduce their risk of obesity-related disease as they age.
Women’s reported current weight and height at recruitment were used to derive BMI (BMI) as weight (kg)/height (m)\(^2\) and this value was used in the analyses. For a random sample of 2800 women weight and height were measured by their general practitioners. We used this information to compare BMIs calculated from self-reported data to BMIs calculated from measured data, using Spearman’s correlation and analyses suggest by Bland and Altman.\(^{24,25}\)

Analyses

The main outcome was BMI, treated as a continuous variable. The main exposure variables were parity and duration of breastfeeding treated as categorical variables. Parity was summarized as 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 or more; and total duration of breastfeeding as never breastfed, breastfed for <6 months, breastfed for 6–9 months, or breastfed for 10 months or more (approximate tertiles of total duration for women who breastfed). The following potential confounders were included in statistical models for the main analyses: age (continuous variable), region of residence (10 regions in the UK), quintiles of socioeconomic status based on the Townsend deprivation index, smoking (never, past, current <15 cigarettes per day, current ≥15 cigarettes per day) and strenuous physical activity (≤1 time per week, 2–3 times per week, ≥4 times per week).

We used linear regression to estimate the mean change in BMI in association with increasing parity and duration of breastfeeding, with adjustment for all of the factors listed above. Mean BMIs, standardised by the variables listed above, were estimated using the regression coefficients in categories of parity, breastfeeding, socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity. Where appropriate, we fitted parity and duration of breastfeeding as continuous variables in tests for trend. When results are presented as figures they show the standardised mean BMI (with 95% confidence intervals (CIs)) for categories of parity, breastfeeding and, where appropriate, other factors.

A total 801 155 postmenopausal women with information about their childbearing and breastfeeding histories and who had not previously been diagnosed with a cancer (other than non-melanoma skin cancer) were eligible for analysis. Women were classified as postmenopausal if they had not had a hysterectomy or used HRT were postmenopausal by age (88% of women aged ≥53 years). The analyses were restricted to women with complete data, using Spearman’s correlation and analyses suggest by Bland and Altman.\(^{24,25}\) There was strong association between BMIs calculated from self-reported data and BMIs calculated from measured data, Spearman’s correlation coefficient 0.95 (Figure 1). Analysis using the methods suggested by Bland and Altman\(^{26}\) indicated that the difference in BMIs based on measured and self-reported data were not significantly different from zero (−0.065 kg m\(^{-2}\), 95% Cl: −3.10 to 1.79 kg m\(^{-2}\)).

Figure 2 shows the mean BMI by parity and breastfeeding history, standardised by age, region, socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity. Nulliparous women had a lower mean BMI than parous women. Among parous women the standardised mean BMI increased with each additional child. Within each parity group, women who had ever breastfed had significantly lower standardised mean BMIs than women who had never breastfed.

Figure 3 shows the mean BMI by parity and breastfeeding history separately for women in upper, middle and lower socioeconomic tertiles (standardised by age, region, smoking and physical activity). As expected, standardised mean BMIs were lower for women with higher parity and higher socioeconomic status.

### RESULTS

In total, 740 628 postmenopausal women were eligible for analysis. Table 1 shows characteristics of study participants by parity and, among parous women, by breastfeeding history. The mean age of the women was 57.5 (s.d. 4) years and 88% reported having had at least one child, with mean parity 2.1 (s.d. 1.2).

Among parous women the mean age at first birth was 23.9 (s.d. 4) years, the mean age at last birth was 28.5 (s.d. 5) years and 70% had ever breastfed. The mean total duration of breastfeeding was 7.7 (s.d. 8.8) months in women who had breastfed and increased with increasing parity. The mean duration of breastfeeding per child was 3.1 (s.d. 3.1) months and increased slightly with increasing parity. Compared with parous women, the nulliparous tended to be of a higher socioeconomic status, and were less likely to be current smokers, and more likely to report engaging in regular physical activity. Among the parous women, high parity was associated with lower socioeconomic status, an increasing likelihood of being a current smoker, and a lower likelihood of engaging in regular physical activity. Women who had breastfed were of a higher socioeconomic status, less likely to be current smokers, and more likely to engage in regular physical activity than women who had not breastfed.

| Table 1. Characteristics of study participants included in these analyses by parity and breastfeeding |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Population characteristics | By parity | By breastfeeding\(^a\) | All women |
| | Nulliparous | One | Two | Three | Four or more | Never breastfed | Ever breastfed | | |
| n = 89 448 | n = 100 639 | n = 309 841 | n = 159 100 | n = 80 600 | n = 201 688 | n = 449 492 | n = 740 628 |
| **Age in years** (mean (s.d.)) | 57 (5) | 57 (5) | 57 (4) | 58 (4) | 58 (4) | 57 (4) | 58 (4) | 58 (4) |
| **Parity** (mean (s.d.)) | 0 | 1 (0) | 2 (0) | 3 (0) | 4.4 (0.8) | 2.1 (0.9) | 2.5 (1.0) | 2.1 (1.2) |
| **Number of children breastfed** (mean (s.d.)) | 0 | 0.5 (0.5) | 1.1 (0.9) | 1.7 (1.2) | 2.5 (1.8) | 0 | 1.9 (1.0) | 1.2 (1.2) |
| **Women who ever breastfed (%)** | 0 | 52 | 68 | 76 | 80 | 0 | 100 | 70 |
| **Duration breastfeeding** | | | | | | | | |
| **Total duration in months** (mean (s.d.)) | 0 | 1.9 (3.5) | 4.3 (6.2) | 6.8 (8.7) | 10.5 (13.9) | 0 | 7.7 (8.8) | 4.7 (7.8) |
| **Duration per child in months** (mean (s.d.)) | 0 | 1.9 (3.5) | 2.1 (2.9) | 2.3 (2.9) | 2.3 (3.0) | 0 | 3.1 (3.1) | 1.9 (2.9) |
| **Lower third of socioeconomic group (%)** | 33 | 34 | 30 | 36 | 51 | 39 | 33 | 34 |
| **Current smokers (%)** | 17 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 25 | 23 | 17 | 19 |
| **Strenuous physical activity less than once a week (%)** | 26 | 30 | 27 | 28 | 34 | 34 | 26 | 28 |

\(^a\)Among parous women.
were consistently lower in higher socioeconomic groups, for example, in nulliparous women the standardised mean BMI was 25.3 kg m⁻² in the highest socioeconomic group but signifi-
cantly higher (P < 0.0001), at 26.4 kg m⁻², in the lowest group (a difference of 1.1 (1.0–1.2) kg m⁻²). Nevertheless, within each socioeconomic group the pattern of increasing standardised mean BMI with increasing parity being offset by breastfeeding is clear (Figure 3).

Table 2 shows the estimated change in mean BMI with increasing parity (adjusted for total duration of breastfeeding) and with increasing total duration of breastfeeding (adjusted for parity). Results are shown both with standardization for age and region only and with additional adjustment by socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity. Although standardization by these additional factors slightly attenuated the results, strong independent effects of increasing parity and increasing duration of breastfeeding on standardised mean BMI remained.

Figure 4 illustrates the effect of increasing duration of breastfeeding on the relationship between parity and standardised mean BMI. Again it can be seen that parity and breastfeeding independently affect BMI. It can also be seen that at every level of parity, the standardised mean BMI is lower the longer the average duration of breastfeeding per child.

Figure 5 shows the standardised mean BMI by parity, total duration of breastfeeding, socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity (for each characteristic the mean BMI is standardised for all other factors shown in the figure). It can be seen that each characteristic has an independent effect on BMI in this population of postmenopausal women. Comparing the relative magnitude of the effects of each factor on standardised mean BMI, women with parity of four or more have a mean BMI 1.7 kg m⁻² (1.6–1.7) greater than nulliparous women and parous women with a total duration of breastfeeding of 10 months or more (on average 18 months) have a mean BMI 0.5 kg m⁻² (0.5 to 0.6) lower than women with similar characteristics who had not breastfed their children. The difference in standardised mean BMI between women in the lowest and highest socioeconomic quintiles was 1.2 kg m⁻² (1.1–1.2), and between never and current smokers was 1.1 kg m⁻² (1.0–1.1). Women who reported engaging in physical activity four or more times per week had standardised mean BMIs 1.8 kg m⁻² (1.7–1.8) lower than women who engaged in physical activity less than once per week.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study of almost 750 000 postmenopausal middle-aged women in the UK (aged 57.5 years on average) we found persistent and important effects of their parity and breastfeeding histories on their BMI. These associations were independent of other known factors that are associated with adiposity—socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity.

As both parity and breastfeeding have independent (but opposing) effects on BMI, analyses that do not take account of both factors will tend to underestimate slightly the effect of each on women’s BMI. Nevertheless, an increased BMI associated with
BMI was lower among women who had breastfed than among those who had not.18

Dutch middle-aged women (born during the 1944/46 Dutch famine, who therefore may be a somewhat atypical population),

middle-aged Swedish women the risk of abdominal obesity decreasing with their duration of breastfeeding 17 and among middle-aged women the risk of abdominal obesity was only slightly attenuated, and in mutually adjusted and for parity (when looking at breastfeeding) strength of this study include its size and the availability of information about potential confounders. The Million Women Study includes about one in four UK women aged between 50 and 64 years at the time of recruitment. The study population is ethnically homogenous with 98% reporting they were white. Detailed information on socioeconomic factors, smoking and physical activity allowed for fine subdividing by these key potential confounders while retaining sufficient power to assess the effects of childbearing and breastfeeding on BMI.

Our findings and those from related publications on the association between childbearing and adiposity are from developed countries, and do not necessarily apply to women in other settings, particularly in developing countries. For women in the UK, and probably also women in other developed countries, our results provide good evidence that even after the menopause childbearing history has a persistent effect on BMI and that the magnitude of some of these effects are of a similar order of magnitude as established risk factors, such as socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity. For example, the difference in standardised mean BMI between women with 4 or more children versus one child is similar to the difference in standardised mean BMI between women in the highest versus the lowest socioeconomic quintiles.

We found that breastfeeding was associated with a long-term reduction in BMI among postmenopausal women. Women’s average BMI decreased by 0.22 kg m⁻², for every 6 months that they breastfed, equivalent to about a 1% reduction in average BMI in the study population. Such a reduction in

Table 2. Change in mean BMI (kg m⁻²) among parous women by parity and total duration of breastfeeding in models variously standardised

| Parity (mean) | Number of women | Unadjusted results | Model A—standardised by age and region only | Model A — additionally standardised by breastfeeding (when looking at parity), and for parity (when looking at breastfeeding) | Model A — additionally standardised by breastfeeding, parity, socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|              |                 |                   |                                              |                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                  |
| 1            | 100 639         | Reference         | Reference                                    | 0.11 (0.08 to 0.14)                                                                                                             | 0.13 (0.09 to 0.16)                                                                                                             |
| 2            | 310 841         | –0.02 (–0.05 to 0.01) | –0.01 (–0.04 to 0.02) | 0.11 (0.08 to 0.14)                                                                                                             | 0.13 (0.09 to 0.16)                                                                                                             |
| 3            | 159 100         | 0.45 (0.41 to 0.48) | 0.45 (0.41 to 0.48)                          | 0.63 (0.60 to 0.67)                                                                                                             | 0.38 (0.35 to 0.62)                                                                                                             |
| ≥ 4 (4.4)    | 80 600          | 1.31 (1.27 to 1.36) | 1.30 (1.26 to 1.34)                          | 1.33 (1.49 to 1.58)                                                                                                             | 1.33 (1.28 to 1.37)                                                                                                             |
| Total duration of breastfeeding (mean in months) | |                   |                                              |                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                  |
| Did not breastfeed | 201 688 | Reference         | Reference                                    | Reference                                                                                                                      | Reference                                                                                                                      |
| <6 months (2.3) | 239 836 | –0.27 (–0.24 to –0.30) | –0.26 (–0.24 to –0.29) | –0.32 (–0.29 to –0.35)                                                                                             | –0.24 (–0.21 to –0.26)                                                                                                             |
| 6–9 months (7.3) | 82 198 | –0.43 (–0.40 to –0.47) | –0.42 (–0.38 to –0.46) | –0.52 (–0.48 to –0.56)                                                                                             | –0.36 (–0.32 to –0.40)                                                                                                             |
| ≥10 months (18.5) | 127 458 | –0.44 (–0.41 to –0.48) | –0.43 (–0.40 to –0.46) | –0.75 (–0.71 to –0.78)                                                                                             | –0.53 (–0.50 to –0.57)                                                                                                             |
| 6 months breastfeeding* | 80 600 | –0.12 (–0.11 to –0.13) | –0.11 (–0.10 to 0.12) | –0.17 (–0.16 to –0.18)                                                                                     | –0.13 (–0.11 to –0.13)                                                                                                             |

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index. *Trend fitted through category mid-points and multiplied as appropriate.

Figure 4. Mean BMI (kg m⁻²) by parity and increasing of breastfeeding per child standardized by age, region, socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity.

increasing parity in middle-aged women is consistent with previous reports by some, but not all, investigators.11–16 To date only two small studies have reported on the relationship between breastfeeding history and BMI or a related measure in later life, and their findings are also broadly consistent with ours: among middle-aged Swedish women the risk of abdominal obesity decreased with their duration of breastfeeding17 and among Dutch middle-aged women (born during the 1944/46 Dutch famine, who therefore may be a somewhat atypical population), BMI was lower among women who had breastfed than among those who had not.18

The relationships between parity, breastfeeding and BMI found here are highly statistically significant and unlikely to be due to chance. They are also unlikely to be the result of reverse causation as childbearing and breastfeeding occurred on average 30 years before women’s weights and heights were recorded in this study. In this study socioeconomic status, smoking and physical activity were all related to BMI, but the observed associations with childbearing are not confounded by these factors. After adjusting for them the association between parity, breastfeeding and BMI was only slightly attenuated, and in mutually adjusted and stratified analyses the associations between BMI and parity and breastfeeding were shown to be largely independent of the other risk factors. The associations between BMI and socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity in this study are of a broadly similar magnitude to those reported in the published literature.19–22

Parity and breastfeeding history were obtained by self-report, and long-term recall of these events is reliable.27–30 Breathing frequency and duration in this cohort is consistent with published findings for women of similar birth cohorts in other European countries.31 BMI was calculated using women’s self-reported heights and weights and may be affected by random and systematic measurement error.32 This is unlikely to be a material source of bias; when comparing self-reported versus measured height and weight data we found a strong correlation between BMIs calculated from measured data and BMIs calculated from self-reported data (Spearman’s correlation coefficient 0.95).33 We also found that the difference between mean measured and self-reported BMIs was not significantly different from zero.3

Strengths of this study include its size and the availability of information about potential confounders. The Million Women Study includes about one in four UK women aged between 50 and 64 years at the time of recruitment. The study population is ethnically homogenous with 98% reporting they were white. Detailed information on socioeconomic factors, smoking and physical activity allowed for fine subdividing by these key potential confounders while retaining sufficient power to assess the effects of childbearing and breastfeeding on BMI.

Our findings and those from related publications on the association between childbearing and adiposity are from developed countries, and do not necessarily apply to women in other settings, particularly in developing countries. For women in the UK, and probably also women in other developed countries, our results provide good evidence that even after the menopause childbearing history has a persistent effect on BMI and that the magnitude of some of these effects are of a similar order of magnitude as established risk factors, such as socioeconomic group, smoking and physical activity. For example, the difference in standardised mean BMI between women with 4 or more children versus one child is similar to the difference in standardised mean BMI between women in the highest versus the lowest socioeconomic quintiles.

We found that breastfeeding was associated with a long-term reduction in BMI among postmenopausal women. Women’s average BMI decreased by 0.22 kg m⁻², for every 6 months that they breastfed, equivalent to about a 1% reduction in average BMI in the study population. Such a reduction in
Mean BMI has been associated with about a 1% decrease in all-cause mortality. Although these numbers seem small, ‘even a modest 1% reduction in BMI would substantially reduce the number of obesity-related diseases and their costs’.

During peripartum counselling on infant feeding choices, it seems relevant to inform women that breastfeeding is associated with a relatively small, but important, persistent reduction in their weight decades later.
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