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Abstract

In this paper we consider a model based on interacting $p$-forms and explore some cosmological applications. Restricting to gauge invariant actions, we build a general Lagrangian allowing for arbitrary interactions between the $p$-forms (including interactions with a 0-form, scalar field) in a given background in $D$ dimensions. For simplicity, we restrict the construction to up to first order derivatives of the fields in the Lagrangian. We discuss with detail the four dimensional case and devote some attention to the mechanism of topological mass generation originated by couplings of the form $B \wedge F$ between a $p$-form and a $(3-p)$-form. As a result, we show the system of the interacting $p$-forms ($p = 1, 2, 3$) is equivalent to a parity violating, massive, Proca vector field model. Finally, we discuss some cosmological applications. In a first case we study a very minimalistic system composed by a 3-form coupled to a 0-form. The 3-form induces an effective potential which acts as a cosmological constant term suitable to drive the late time accelerated expansion of the universe dominated by dark energy. We study the dynamics of the system and determine its critical points and stability. Additionally, we study a system composed by a scalar field and a 1-form. This case is interesting because the presence of a coupled 1-form can generate non vanishing anisotropic signatures during the late time accelerated expansion. We discuss the evolution of cosmological parameters such as the equation of state in this model.
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1. Introduction

The inflationary paradigm [1–3] successfully predicts the statistical properties of the fluctuations in temperature of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) and the formation and distribution of large scale structures (LSS), whose properties have been measured with a significantly increase of precision during the last decades [4]. In its simple form, based on a single scalar field with a slow-roll potential, inflation predicts a nearly scale invariant, nearly Gaussian and statistically isotropic distribution of the primordial perturbations. However, some anomalies in the data, suggest that models beyond the standard slow-roll description are needed in order to fully account for its presence. These anomalies are in principle related to non-gaussianity, statistical anisotropy, parity violation, the power deficit at low multipoles, the hemispherical power asymmetry, the alignment of low multipoles in the CMB angular power spectrum, among others [4–8] (see [7] and [8] for a review of the cosmological anomalies).

Models based on vector fields [9–11], p-forms [12–18], higher spin fields (HSF) [19–26] or axion monodromy inflationary models [27–30] have been considered as a plausible explanation of some of those anomalies. The use of vectors, or general gauge fields is strictly constrained by the cosmic no-hair theorem [31], which states that these fields dilute rapidly in the presence of a cosmological constant, which render them, in principle, irrelevant during the inflationary expansion. Nevertheless, one can evade the conditions behind this theorem by introducing couplings of the form $f(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ and $f(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ where $f(\phi)$ is an arbitrary function of the scalar inflaton field, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength of the 1-form $A_\mu$ and $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ is its dual. Those couplings allow for the introduction of anisotropic non-diluting signals in the correlation functions of the primordial curvature perturbation during the inflationary era. The model $f(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ has been extensively studied in the literature [32–48]. Parity violating signals in the correlators and potential applications to primordial magnetogenesis can be achieved with a prototypical term of form $f(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$. This term has also been studied with great interest in the recent literature [49–60].

In the specific context of inflation, a general study of cosmological perturbations and stabilities at background level has been done in [13, 14, 17, 18], in which, a coupling of a function of a scalar field and a 1- and 2-form, is considered. At perturbative level, the statistical anisotropy induced by these models can be parameterized through the power spectrum of curvature perturbations [61]

$$P_\zeta(k) = P_\zeta^{(0)}(k) \left[ 1 + g_* \cos^2 \theta_{kV} \right],$$

being $P_\zeta^{(0)}(k)$ the isotropic power spectrum, $g_*$ the anisotropy parameter, $V$ is the preferred direction, $k$ the wave vector and $\theta_{kV}$ the angle between $k$ and $V$. It has been shown that the different types of anisotropies (coming from the vector and 2-form field) affect the sign of $g_*$, being positive for the 2-form field, and negative for the 1-form case [13]. These features allow to constraint different inflationary models with current CMB bounds on $g_*$. Interestingly enough, some oscillatory features in the spectrum can be derived from certain axion monodromy inflationary models [62, 63] yielding in some cases to a step-like profile on the inflaton’s potential [27]. These type of models lead to observational predictions for the power spectrum which exhibits great similarities with the predictions from the models discussed here. Specifically, the power spectrum of the curvature perturbation can be parametrized in a similar form as the one in equation (1) and their predictions are highly degenerated with the predictions of inflation in presence of p-forms [28]. Aside of offering a nice explanation of the power deficit anomaly at low multipoles, these kind of models predict specific patterns in
the temperature and polarization spectra which can be tested and constrained by forthcoming CMB observations [28].

A different approach to the $p$-forms that we consider here, that induce statistically anisotropic signatures in the power spectrum and the bispectrum, is the use of HSF [19–25]. As in the model with vector fields, HSF does not generate long-lived perturbations with spin $s$ unless they are coupled to the inflaton field through terms of the form $f(\phi)\sigma_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_s}\sigma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_s}$, where $\sigma_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_s}$ is a symmetric tensor representing a spin $s$ field. Possible observables and signatures from HSF in LSS probes and future galaxy surveys have been discussed in [64–67]. A peculiar difference between the $p$-forms and the HSF are the symmetries involved: while $p$-forms are built with antisymmetric objects, HSF are built out of symmetric tensors. This implies that, for instance, it is not possible to introduce non-vanishing parity violating signals by using HSF since contractions of the antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}$ with any symmetric tensor is trivially zero. $p$-forms on the other hand, due to their antisymmetric structure, are better suited to study parity breaking signatures.

Going beyond the 1- and 2-form cases in four dimensions mentioned before, studies of general $p$-forms in Bianchi cosmologies has been carried out recently in [68], and studies specifically related with 3-forms had been carried out in [16, 29, 30, 69–80]. A property exploited in those references relies on the fact that the field strength of the 3-form in four dimensions is proportional to the volume element and can be seen as a cosmological constant term. This makes three form relevant for the discussion of the cosmological constant problem and also viable dark energy candidates [81]. Vector fields, or 1-forms, had also been used as dark energy candidates [48, 82–85]. On more theoretical grounds, a generalization of the scalar 0-form Galileons [86–90] to arbitrary $p$-forms in $D$-dimensions has been carried out in [91, 92]. In the previous construction, it is allowed to have an action with second derivatives of the $p$-form, in close resemblance with Gauss–Bonnet–Lovelock actions. This procedure leads to counterterms and non-minimal couplings with the gravity sector when writing the action in curved space. Differently from this $p$-form Galileon generalization which includes second order derivatives in the Lagrangian, our aim here is to study general $p$-forms models with up to first order derivatives.

It is desirable to fully describe a theory which couples different types of $p$-forms demanding only first derivatives of the field strengths, unlike the approach of the $p$-form Galileons, and classifies their possible imprints in statistical correlators. The main purpose of this paper is to set up a general Lagrangian based on coupled $p$-forms, restricted by gauge invariance. Additionally, we aim to highlight the role of $p$-form models in cosmological backgrounds. In section 2 we start with the basic definitions of $p$-forms, their field strengths and duals. After discussing the gauge invariance of the $p$-forms the construction of the Lagrangian starts using as building blocks the field strengths coupled with a function of a scalar field (a 0-form), as well as couplings between different $p$-forms in $D$ dimensions. We briefly discuss the existence of topological terms and their natural appearance in the Lagrangian. Section 3 is devoted to exploring the Lagrangian in 4 dimensions, with a detailed description of the field equations and the energy-momentum tensor. We devote a subsection to describe the mechanism of topological mass generation that arises due to the interplay of the 1-form and the 2-form. Some cosmological applications are shown in section 4, with special attention to the effect of the coupled 3-form-scalar field term in the dynamics; we also discuss a late time evolution of the universe in presence of an anisotropic source such as a 1-form; lastly, we also comment very shortly on the signatures of $p$-forms in statistical inflationary correlators. Finally we draw the conclusions in section 5. Throughout this paper we will use a Lorentzian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ with signature $(-, +, +, +)$; greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$ will denote space-time coordinates, while latin indices $i,j,k,\ldots$ denote spatial coordinates.
2. \( p \)-forms coupled to a scalar in \( D \) dimensions

In this section, we recall the basic definitions of \( p \)-forms and establish our notation. Our goal is to construct a general Lagrangian compatible with gauge symmetry and allowing for couplings between different arbitrary \( p \)-forms of different rank. Having in mind applications to inflationary physics, we also concede for kinetic coupling with a scalar field \( \phi \) which we can see as a 0-form whose dynamics is introduced through a field strength \( \partial \mu \phi \). So, the Lagrangian that we are going to consider is the following

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_p(\phi, A_p),
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}_\phi = \mathcal{L}_\phi(\phi, K) \) is an arbitrary function of the field and the kinetic term \( K \equiv \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi \). In the canonical case we have

\[
\mathcal{L}_\phi = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi),
\]

being \( V(\phi) \) a potential suitable for the specific case of study, for instance, in the case of inflationary physics, the potential \( V(\phi) \) should be able to drive slow roll inflationary evolution. \( A_{(p)} \)

is a \( p \)-form

\[
A_{(p)} = \frac{1}{p!} A_{(p)\mu_1\mu_2\cdots \mu_p} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \cdots \wedge dx^{\mu_p},
\]

where the \( A_{(p)\mu_1\mu_2\cdots \mu_p} \) are taken totally anti-symmetric and \( \wedge \) representing the usual ‘wedge’ product. To avoid further confusion with indices in a specific dimension, we will use a subscript \( p \) between parenthesis to denote the order of the \( p \)-form. The Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}_p(\phi, A_p) \) will include all the possible \( p \)-forms in a given \( D \)-dimensional spacetime. The highest rank of a \( p \)-form in \( D \) dimensions is obviously \( D \), which is proportional to the \( D \)-dimensional volume element, this is:

\[
A_D \propto \sqrt{-g} e_1 \cdots D dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^D,
\]

where \( e_1 \cdots D \) is the Levi-Civita tensor. This term can be absorbed as a cosmological constant term or can be seen as a redefinition of the vacuum of the potential [12, 15, 77, 93], it has no dynamics, and for this reason, we shall only consider up to \( A_{D-1} \) in the following. Given a \( p \)-form \( A_{(p)\mu_1\mu_2\cdots \mu_p} \) its dynamics is introduced through the field strength \( F_{(p)} \), which is a \((p + 1)\)-form defined as the exterior derivative of the \( p \)-form\(^3\):

\[
F_{(p)} = \frac{1}{p!} \nabla[^{\mu_1} A_{(p)\mu_2\mu_3\cdots \mu_{p+1}]} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \cdots \wedge dx^{\mu_{p+1}}.
\]

Here, it is important to mention that, although we define the field strength with covariant derivatives, in a spacetime endowed with a symmetric connection, without torsion, the anti-symmetrization in equation (6) will transform all covariant derivatives into ordinary partial derivatives. Having said that, applying the definition equation (4) for the field strength, their components are computed as

\[
F_{(p)\mu_1\mu_2\cdots \mu_{p+1}} \equiv (p + 1) \partial[^{\mu_1} A_{(p)\mu_2\mu_3\cdots \mu_{p+1}}].
\]

Joint with this, we define the Hodge dual of a \( p \)-form \( B_p \) as a \((D - p)\)-form in the following way

\(^3\) Notice that we have used the subscript \( p \) as a label for the \( p \)-form. The field strength has the same label, but we emphasize that \( F_{(p)} \) is a \((p + 1)\)-form.
\begin{equation}
\star B_{(p)} = \frac{1}{p!} \frac{1}{(D-p)!} \eta_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{D-p}} B_{(p)}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p} \, dx^{\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\nu_{D-p}},
\end{equation}

with \( \eta_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_D} = \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_D} \). With this definition the Hodge dual of the field strength \( F_{(p)} \) reads

\begin{equation}
\star F_{(p)} = \frac{1}{(p+1)! (D-p-1)!} \eta_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p+1} \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{D-p-1}} F_{(p)}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p+1}} \, dx^{\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\nu_{D-p-1}}.
\end{equation}

Denoting the components of the Hodge dual as \( \tilde{F}_{(p)}{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{D-p-1}}, \) and using again equation (4) for a \((D-(p+1))\)-form we find

\begin{equation}
\tilde{F}_{(p)}{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{D-p-1}} = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{(p+1)!} \eta_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p+1} \nu_1 \cdots \nu_{D-p-1}} F_{(p)}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p+1}}.
\end{equation}

Along with the previous definitions, we will also use the notation for the wedge product of any two forms

\begin{equation}
(A_{(r)} \wedge B_{(q)}){\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r \nu_1 \cdots \nu_q} = \frac{(p+r)!}{p! r!} A_{(r)}{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r} B_{(q)}{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_q}.
\end{equation}

### 2.1. Gauge invariance and minimal coupling to gravity

The field strength is endowed with symmetry under the redefinitions of the \( p \)-form

\begin{equation}
A_{(p)}{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p} \rightarrow A_{(p)}{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p} + \partial_{[\mu_1} \xi_{(p-1)\mu_2 \cdots \mu_p]} ,
\end{equation}

being \( \xi_{(p-1)\mu_2 \cdots \mu_p} \) a \((p-1)\)-form. This is the usual form to state that a model is gauge invariant. It is important to mention that, as we said before, the derivatives in the gauge transformation before involves only ordinary derivatives and the quantity \( \xi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p} \) is an antisymmetric tensor. If we restrict our construction to gauge invariant terms, with only first order derivatives in the Lagrangian, the covariant version of this theory do not need to introduce non-minimal couplings to gravity. In theories with higher order derivatives, the covariant version for curved backgrounds requires the inclusion of non-minimal coupling terms in order to avoid that higher than two derivatives terms appearing in the equations of motion for both, the \( p \)-forms and the gravitational field. The general description to include non-minimal coupling terms for theories with second order derivatives in the Lagrangian is carefully described in [91]. As we restrict ourselves to first order derivatives, gauge invariant combinations in the Lagrangian, we will not need to care about non-minimal coupling with gravity.

### 2.2. General procedure

The Lagrangian that we are going to formulate will be built out from the appropriate combinations of the \( p \)-forms field strengths and their duals. To start with, in \( D \)-dimensions, we endow a \( p \)-form with dynamics via a term like

\begin{equation}
S_{(p)} = - \frac{1}{2} \int F_{(p)} \wedge \star F_{(p)} \equiv - \frac{1}{2(p+1)!} \int q^D \sqrt{-g} F_{(p)}^2 ,
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
F_{(p)}^2 = F_{(p)}{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{p+1}} F_{(p)}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{p+1}} ,
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\eta_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_D} = \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_D} .
\end{equation}
which is a generalization of the usual Maxwell term for the 1-form $\mathcal{L}_M = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu
u}F^{\mu\nu}$. Now, we will consider couplings as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \propto f_p(\phi) F(p) \wedge \cdots \wedge F(p),$$

(15)

where $f_p(\phi)$ is a function of the scalar field only. We do not consider couplings of the form $f_p(\phi, K)$ where $K = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi$, since, for the $A_\mu$ case, this leads to configurations in which the Hamiltonian of the theory is not bounded by below, which renders the theory unstable [94]. It is possible to find, however, regions in the parameter space, with appropriate initial conditions, in which the theory behaves stably [95], but, on general grounds we will not consider such couplings here, neither contractions of $p$-forms with $\partial_\mu \phi$ since this leads to non-causal equations of motion in the case of a 1-form [94]. Couplings like equation (15) have been extensively studied in the literature in the context of inflationary physics [35–41, 44–47]. The main motivation for the introduction of those couplings is that they allow the possibility for the massless perturbations of the antisymmetric tensors to leave some imprint on the inflationary correlators. It also has some impact on the dynamics of the inflationary curvature and tensor perturbations. Without the coupling, the signatures of the $p$-forms would be totally washed out by the inflationary dynamics.

Aside of the quadratic term in equation (13), we shall also consider general mixing between $p$-forms of different rank. In $D$-dimensions we have the following general form to couple $p$-forms of different rank:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mixing}} = g_{p_1 \cdots p_m}(\phi) X(p_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge X(p_k) = g_{p_1 \cdots p_m}(\phi) \eta^{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_1} X(p_1)_{\mu_1} \cdots \mu_{p_1+1} \cdots X(p_k)_{\mu_{p_1}+1} \cdots \mu_{p_k},$$

(16)

where $g_{p_{1} \cdots p_{m}}(\phi)$ as before, is a coupling function depending only of the scalar field, $X(p_k)$ can be either the field strength of a $p$-form or its Hodge dual, and the ranks of the $p$-forms involved in the product is such that $(p_1 + 1) + \cdots + (p_k + 1) = D$, when only field strengths are involved, and $(p_1 + 1) + \cdots + D - (p_1 + 1) \cdots + (p_k + 1) = D$ if the Hodge dual of the $p_k$-form is involved. It is straightforward to see that with the expression equation (16) we can write all the possible combinations of forms constructed as contractions of the field strengths with the appropriate number of indices in the covariant and contravariant factors. We could also include explicit couplings with the $p$-forms but we will exclude those couplings because they break the gauge symmetry reflected by equation (12). Nevertheless, there are some couplings that include manifestly the $p$-forms and still retain the gauge symmetry. We will list this cases in the next subsection. Restricting to the field strengths, for instance, we can combine different $p$-forms in the following way:

$$F(p) F^{(n)} F^{(m)} \equiv F(p)_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{p+1}} F^{(n)}_{(n)} \phi^{\mu_{p+2} \cdots \mu_{p+1}} F^{(m)}_{(m)},$$

(17)

such that the condition $p = n + m + 1$ holds. Indeed, we can contract a $p$-form with a number $i$ of $n_i$-forms satisfying

$$F(p) F^{(n_1)} \cdots F^{(n_i)} \equiv F(p)_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{p+1}} F^{(n_1)}_{(n_1)} \phi^{\mu_{p+2} \cdots \mu_{p+1}} \cdots F^{(n_i)}_{(n_i)} \phi^{\mu_{p+2} \cdots \mu_{p+1}},$$

(18)

with $p \equiv n_1 + \cdots + n_i + i - 1$. In an analogous way, including the Hodge duals, such as the rank fullfils $p \leq D$, we can also construct gauge invariant terms such as

$$\tilde{F}(p) F^{(n)} F^{(m)} \equiv \tilde{F}(p)_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{p-(p+1)}} F^{(n)}_{(n)} \phi^{\mu_{p+2} \cdots \mu_{p+1}} F^{(m)}_{(m)},$$

(19)

taking into account that $D - (p + 1) = n + m + 2$ holds. And so on and so forth. It is clear that all the possible combinations strongly depend on the dimension of the spacetime and for this reason, such possibilities can only be listed when we are working on a specific dimension.
In the next section, we will focus on the four dimensional case and we describe with detail all the possibilities.

Finally, we add gravity to the system. As we said before, gauge invariance and the antisymmetric structure of the $p$-forms avoids us from including non-minimal coupling terms, so, we can include gravity in a minimal way to the system by just adding tan Einstein–Hilbert like term in $D$ dimensions in the Lagrangian:

$$S = \int d^Dx\sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left( \frac{M^{D-2}_p}{2} \bar{R} + \mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_p \right),$$

(20)

where

$$\mathcal{L}_p = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{D-1} \tilde{f}_n(\phi) F(n) \wedge \ast F(n) + \sum_{(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_D)} g_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_D} \phi X(p_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge X(p_D),$$

(21)

$$\bar{g}, \bar{R}$$ and $M_p$ are the determinant of the metric, the Ricci scalar and the Planck mass in $D$ dimensions, respectively.

2.3. Topologic terms

The procedure that we outlined before allows us to include topological terms. Although such terms are not relevant for the dynamics of the system, they will play a non-trivial dynamical role when they couple to a scalar field. One of the most widely studied case in even dimensions $D = 2(p + 1)$ is the Chern–Pontryagin density or $\theta$-term, which is written as:

$$S_{\text{CP}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int F(p) \wedge F(p) \quad \text{with} \quad D = 2(p + 1).$$

(22)

According with the definition in equation (11), we have

$$S_{\text{CP}} = -\frac{1}{2(p + 1)} \int d^Dx\sqrt{-\tilde{g}} F(p)_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p+1}} F(p)_{\mu_{p+1} \cdots \mu_D}. \quad \text{(23)}$$

This theory is topological as it is manifestly independent of the metric, so, this particular term does not modify the structure of the gravitational field equations because its energy-momentum tensor vanishes. Nevertheless, once it is coupled to a scalar field,

$$S_{\phi \text{CP}} = \int g_1(\phi) F(p) \wedge F(p),$$

(24)

it becomes relevant for the dynamics of the scalar and the $p$-form field. In this case, it could leave an imprint on the gravitational field because of the scalar field coupling. This term has been extensively studied for the case of a vector field in the context of inflation [49–59], in particular, it has been used to provide a mechanism to seed chiral gravitational waves [51, 53, 57].

At this point, we have to stress out that, with the procedure outlined before, by including couplings of the form (16), we have not considered other topological terms which are gauge invariant under the transformation given in equation (12). Depending on the dimensionality
of the spacetime, we can add two more terms to the list. For odd dimensions we have the Chern–Simons invariant \[96\]

\[
S_{\text{Chern-Simons}} = \int g_2(\phi) A(p) \wedge F(p), \quad \text{with} \quad D = 2p + 1,
\]

(25)

which is only gauge invariant when the coupling \(g_2\) is a constant. For even dimensions, we have the so called BF-theories \[97–100\] which couples a \(p\)-form with the field strength of a \((p - 1)\)-form. This theory is usually written in the following way

\[
S_{\phi BF} = \int g_3(\phi) A(p) \wedge F_{(D-p-1)},
\]

(26)

which, in the decoupled case, produces the equations of motion

\[
d A_{(D-p-1)} = 0, \quad d B_{(p)} = 0,
\]

(27)

Notice that when we couple the BF term with the scalar field, the action is not gauge invariant anymore under the transformations in equation (12). However, the theory is still symmetric under the next transformations:

\[
A_{(1)\mu} \rightarrow A_{(1)\mu} + \partial_\mu \xi \quad \text{and} \quad B_{(2)\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{(2)\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{g_3(\phi)} \partial_\mu \xi_\nu.
\]

(28)

In the presence of terms like \(F_{(1)} \wedge \star F_{(1)}\) and \(F_{(2)} \wedge \star F_{(2)}\), the theory is not invariant under the previous transformation unless the coupling \(g_3\) is constant. Of course, all the previous quantities, although expressed for an Abelian symmetry group, can be extended straightforwardly for non-Abelian internal symmetry groups.

An interesting feature that we want to highlight here is the fact that some of the terms listed before break parity symmetry. As mentioned previously, in the context of inflation, the parity violating nature of equation (24), have been exploited to source chiral gravitational waves and to study parity violating signals in the inflationary correlators \[49, 51\]. For instance, for the three point correlator, it was found in \[52, 54\] that the term in equation (24) is related to the presence of angular dependencies with odd multipole terms in the correlation functions. The idea that we want to promote here is that such parity violating features are generic from topological terms like the ones that we discussed in this section, and, in this way, the presence of parity violating signatures in the correlation functions can be related to global, topological features of the spacetime. In particular, we consider that BF-like models could be of potential interest for the discussion of chiral gravitational waves and parity odd signals in the statistical distribution of the inflationary perturbations. We expect to come back to this issues elsewhere.

3. \(p\)-forms in four dimensions

In this section, we work in a four dimensional background. According to the discussion in the previous section, we will have three \(p\)-forms in this case. Following the definition of equation (4):

\[
J_P \text{ Beltrán Almeida et al}
\]
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As we said before, in four dimensions the list stops here since the 4-form is proportional to the volume element, which is proportional to a cosmological constant term. Moreover, there is no associated field strength and consequently, this field is non dynamical and for this reason, we do not consider it here\(^4\).

Associated with them, from equation (7), we have the field strengths

\[
F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} = 2\partial_{[\mu_1}A_{(1)\mu_2]}, \quad F_{(2)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} = 3\partial_{[\mu_1}A_{(2)\mu_2\mu_3]}, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{(3)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} = 4\partial_{[\mu_1}A_{(3)\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4]}.
\]

Besides, we also have the Hodge duals, which, according with equation (10), are:

\[
\tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} &= \frac{-\sqrt{-g}}{2!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} F_{(1)\mu_3\mu_4}, \\
\tilde{F}_{(2)\mu_1} &= \frac{-\sqrt{-g}}{3!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} F_{(2)\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}, \\
\tilde{F}_{(3)} &= \frac{-\sqrt{-g}}{4!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} F_{(3)\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_4}.
\]

Then, we will construct the Lagrangian with the field strengths \(F_{(i)}, F_{(2)},\) and \(F_{(3)}\), their duals, and with \(A_{(1)}, A_{(2)}\) and \(A_{(3)}\) whenever gauge invariance is respected. This is, aside from the scalar field couplings, we have the following building blocks:

\[
A_{(1)\mu_i}, \quad A_{(2)\mu_1\mu_2}, \quad A_{(3)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}, \quad F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2}, \quad \tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2}, \quad F_{(2)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}, \quad \tilde{F}_{(2)\mu_1}, \quad F_{(3)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}, \quad \tilde{F}_{(3)}.
\]

and we will construct our Lagrangian as the various contractions build up from these, having always in mind that the presence of \(A_{(i)}\) terms is restricted by gauge invariance. First, let us write the Maxwell like terms \(F_{(i)} \wedge \star F_{(i)},\) with the proper coefficients coming from the first term of equation (21). This is:

\[
\mathcal{L}_M^M(\phi, A_p) = -\frac{f_1(\phi)}{4} F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} - \frac{f_2(\phi)}{12} F_{(2)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} F_{(2)\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1} - \frac{f_3(\phi)}{48} F_{(3)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} F_{(3)\mu_4\mu_3\mu_2\mu_1}.
\]

Now, we formulate the mixing terms following the prescription in equation (16). The only possible contractions that we can form according to equation (16) are the following:

\[
\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{mixing}}(\phi, A_p) = -\frac{g_1(\phi)}{4} F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} \tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} - \frac{g_2(\phi)}{2} A_{(2)\mu_1\mu_2} \tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} - g_3(\phi) \tilde{F}_{(3)}.
\]

Other possible cubic terms, mixed contractions (in the abelian case that we are dealing here with), are trivially zero due to antisymmetrization. Alternative combinations can be seen to be equivalent to the combinations already present in equations (35) and (36), as example:

\[
F_{(3)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} \tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_3\mu_4} \propto F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} \tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2},
\]

\[
F_{(3)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} \tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_3\mu_4} \propto F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2}.
\]

Combinations such as \(F_{(2)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} \tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2}\) and \(F_{(2)\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} \tilde{F}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2}\) that are apparently nonvanishing, can be proved to be identically zero when we write them as in equation (16). This is:

\(^4\)Nevertheless, see [101] for an attempt to construct a model with a propagating degree of freedom out of a 4-form.
where the last equality is a consequence of antisymmetrization over the indices $\sigma, \mu_1$. The first term in equation (36), as discussed in section 2.3, is topological and parity violating. The second one, as we will see in section 3.1.1 can be absorbed in a parity conserving massive vector field model. Despite its appearance, the last term $\tilde{F}_{(3)}$ is not parity violating since it consists of two $\epsilon$ symbols contracted, one from the Hodge dual definition and the other one from the field strength 4-form. This term is not topological either and it has a non-trivial contribution to the dynamics of the coupled system. To understand better the role of the 3-form in the dynamics of the system, it is useful to isolate the part of the Lagrangian involving only the scalar and the 3-form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi\mathcal{A}_{(3)}} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{f_{1}(\phi)}{24} F_{(3)}^{2} - g_{3}(\phi) \tilde{F}_{(3)}.$$  

The structure of the action of the 3-form is very particular, and, as pointed out in [69–72] (and more recently reviewed and generalized in [16]), in order to have well defined variation for general field configurations, it is necessary to add the boundary term $\partial_{\mu} \left[ (g_{3} - f_{3}\tilde{F}_{(3)}) \epsilon^{\mu \nu_{1} \nu_{2} \nu_{3} \mu_{4}} A_{(3) \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}} / 4! \sqrt{-g} \right]$ to the action. So, the full action reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi\mathcal{A}_{(3)}} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{f_{3}(\phi)}{48} F_{(3)}^{2} - g_{3}(\phi) \tilde{F}_{(3)} + \partial_{\mu} \left[ (g_{3} - f_{3}\tilde{F}_{(3)}) \frac{\epsilon^{\mu \nu_{1} \nu_{2} \nu_{3} \mu_{4}} A_{(3) \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}}}{4! \sqrt{-g}} \right].$$  

(42)

The equations of motion derived from the previous action are:

$$\Box \phi - V_{,\phi} - \frac{f_{3}(\phi)}{48} F_{(3)}^{2} - \frac{g_{3}(\phi)}{24} \sqrt{-g} F_{(3) \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}} = 0,$$  

(43)

$$\nabla^{\mu} \left[ f_{3} F_{(3) \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}} + g_{3} \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}} \right] = 0,$$  

(44)

where we use the notation $V_{,\phi} \equiv dV/d\phi$. The equation of the 3-form can be integrated exactly by using the fact that $F_{(3) \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}}$ is proportional to the four dimensional volume element:

$$F_{(3) \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}} = X(x^{\mu}) \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3} \mu_{4}},$$  

(45)

where $X(x^{\mu})$ is a scalar function. With this, we obtain the solution to equation (44):

$$X(x^{\mu}) = \frac{c - g_{3}(\phi)}{f_{3}(\phi)},$$  

(46)

where $c$ is an integration constant. Using $F_{(3)}^{2} = -4! X^{2}$ and $\tilde{F}_{(3)} = -X$, and substituting the solution (46) into the action (42) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi\mathcal{A}_{(3)}} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) - \left( \frac{c - g_{3}(\phi)}{2f_{3}(\phi)} \right)^{2}.$$  

(47)

From the previous equation we see that the 3-form was integrated out and it was absorbed as a potential term for the scalar field, generating an effective potential

$$V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = V(\phi) + \frac{(c - g_{3}(\phi))^{2}}{2f_{3}(\phi)}.$$  

(48)
It is straightforward to see that the equation of motion \((43)\) coincides with the equation of motion derived from the effective Lagrangian \((47)\). Interesting applications of this mechanism to dark energy in the string theory landscape and to chaotic inflation were considered in \([75, 76]\). Models involving the mixing of multiple scalars with 3-forms were also studied in detail in \([16, 29, 30, 80]\).

With the previous results we see that the role of the 3-form in the dynamics of the system is to contribute with an induced potential, so, the complete system of interacting \(p\)-forms is reduced to a system composed by a scalar field, a 1-form and a 2-form. The 3-form was integrated out and absorbed by the scalar field. Thus, the complete Lagrangian for the \(p\)-forms is reduced to:

\[
\mathcal{L}_p(\phi, A_p) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{f_n(\phi)}{(n+1)!} F^{(n)} - \frac{g_1(\phi)}{4} F_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} \tilde{F}^{(1)}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2} - \frac{g_2(\phi)}{2} A_{(2)\mu_1\mu_2} \tilde{F}^{(2)}_{(1)\mu_1\mu_2},
\]

where we used the shorthand notation for the Maxwell like terms equation \((14)\).

Remarkably, although at first sight we could expect more available non-trivial mixing combinations, the list reduces to the terms shown in equation \((49)\). The only term which actually mixes \(p\)-forms of different rank is the \(B \wedge F\) term, which, as we pointed out before, preserves gauge invariance only when the coupling function \(g_2\) is a constant. The Lagrangian consists only of two dynamical and two topological terms. As we said before, including gravity can be done in a minimal way by just adding the Einstein–Hilbert Lagrangian, so, the total Lagrangian for the coupled system, including the scalar field is

\[
S_p = \int d^4 \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_T, \quad \mathcal{L}_T = \left( \frac{M_p^2}{2} R + \mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_p(\phi, A_p) \right).
\]

Even if in this work we only deal with abelian gauge fields, all the arguments and procedures can be generalized straightforwardly to the case of non-Abelian gauge symmetry groups. Certainly, much more combinations will appear if we consider non-abelian groups or multiple \(p\)-forms of the same rank. As an example, in the presence of non-abelian group, cubic non-vanishing terms will appear, terms like

\[
\sum_{a} f_{abc} F^{(a)\mu_1\alpha} F^{(b)\alpha_2} F^{(c)\beta_\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{a} f_{abc} F^{(a)\mu_1\alpha} \tilde{F}^{(b)\alpha_2} \tilde{F}^{(c)\beta_\mu},
\]

where \(f_{abc}\) are the structure constants of the gauge group and \(F^{(a)\mu_1\alpha}\) are the field strengths. Some four dimensional models have been considered in the recent literature in the context of inflation. For an extensive review of inflationary models with non-abelian symmetry groups, see \([9, 11]\). From those models, it is important to highlight the Gauge-flation model \([102, 103]\) which involves a dimension eight operator \((\text{Tr}[F_{\mu_\nu}^{(a)} F^{(a)\mu_\nu}])^2\) as the cause of the inflationary expansion and the closely related one, the Chromo-natural inflation model \([104]\), which involves a coupling between a scalar, inflaton field and a Chern–Pontryagin term \(\phi \text{Tr}[F_{\mu_\nu}^{(a)} F^{(a)\mu_\nu}]\). Those models, on their original version, have serious challenges when confronted with current CMB data \([105]\), and in an attempt to correct the observational discrepancies, massive versions of them have been proposed, so, we can find the massive Gauge-flation \([106]\) and the Higgsed chromo-natural model \([107]\), and in a more theoretical context, a generalized Proca model with non-abelian gauge groups \([108]\). Models including the presence of cubic terms like the ones in equation \((51)\) and its relevance for gravitational leptogenesis and the production of chiral gravitational waves were considered in \([109, 110]\). The models listed
before and models related to them, have appealing phenomenological features and provide interesting connections with particle physics.

In the next subsection we will write the equations of motion and the energy-momentum tensor for the coupled system.

### 3.1. Equations of motion and energy momentum tensor

For obtaining the energy-momentum tensor of the \( p \)-form Lagrangian equation (49), we take into account that the topological terms \( F_1 \wedge F_1 \) and \( A_2 \wedge F_1 \) do not contribute to the energy-momentum tensor as they are metric independent. The result from a direct calculation is

\[
T_{\alpha \beta}^{(p)} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta (\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_p)}{\delta g^{\alpha \beta}} = \sum_{n=1}^{2} \frac{f_n(\phi)}{(n+1)!} \left[ (n+1) F_{(n)\alpha \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n} F_{(n)\beta}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} F_{(n)}^2 \right],
\]

\[
= f_1(\phi) \left( F_{(1)\alpha \beta} F_{(1)\beta} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha \beta} F_{(1)}^2 \right) + f_2(\phi) \left( \frac{1}{2} F_{(2)\alpha \beta \mu} F_{(2)\beta}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{12} g_{\alpha \beta} F_{(2)}^2 \right).
\]  

(52)

Additionally, we have the energy momentum tensor for the scalar field

\[
T^{(\phi)}_{\alpha \beta} = \partial_{\alpha} \phi \partial_{\beta} \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\sigma} \phi \partial^{\sigma} \phi - g_{\alpha \beta} V_{\text{eff}}(\phi),
\]  

(53)

so, the Einstein equations are written as

\[
R_{\alpha \beta} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\alpha \beta} = 8\pi G \left( T^{(\phi)}_{\alpha \beta} + T^{(p)}_{\alpha \beta} \right),
\]  

(54)

where we split the metric variation of the Lagrangian in two parts: \( T^{(\phi)}_{\alpha \beta} \) the energy-momentum tensor for the scalar field (equation (53)) and \( T^{(p)}_{\alpha \beta} \) the associated with the \( p \)-forms (equation (52)). We also set \( M_p^{-1} = 8\pi G \).

The equations of motion of the scalar field and the \( p \)-form are obtained via variation of the Lagrangian with respect to \( \phi, A_\rho \):

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta (\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_T)}{\delta \phi} = 0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{(p)\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta (\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_p)}{\delta A_{(p)}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}} = 0.
\]  

(55)

Explicitly, we have, for the scalar field:

\[
\Box \phi - V_{\text{eff}, \phi} + \left( \frac{f_1(\phi)}{4} F_{(1)\mu \nu}^2 + \frac{f_2(\phi)}{12} F_{(2)}^2 + \frac{g_1(\phi)}{4} F_{(1)\mu \nu} \wedge F_{(1)} \right) = 0,
\]  

(56)

and for the \( p \)-forms

\[
\nabla^\mu \left( f_1(\phi) F_{(1)\mu \nu} + g_1(\phi) \bar{F}_{(1)\mu \nu} + g_2 A_{(2)\mu \nu} \right) = 0,
\]  

(57)

\[
\nabla^\mu \left( f_2(\phi) F_{(2)\mu \nu \alpha} + \frac{g_2}{2} \bar{F}_{(1)\mu \nu} \right) = 0.
\]  

(58)

These equations are supplemented with the Bianchi identities:

\[
\nabla^\mu \bar{F}_{(1)\mu \nu} = 0, \quad \nabla^\mu \bar{F}_{(2)\mu} = 0.
\]  

(59)
Next, we will deal with each field in detail. For concrete reference, we will write the equations of motion for a Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) solution in conformal time:

$$\text{d} s^2 = a(\tau)^2 \left[ -d\tau^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2 \right].$$

(60)

### 3.1.1. Equations for the coupled 1-form and 2-form system.

The previous system of equations describe an interesting interacting system when the coupling functions are different from zero, otherwise, all the $p$-forms evolve independently. For definiteness, we will introduce some simplifying assumptions. First of all, to untangle notation, in this and in the next subsection, we will use $A$ for the 1-form, $F$ for its field strength, $B$ for the 2-form and $H$ for its field strength, as it is commonly used in the literature (see for instance [93]). In order to retain gauge invariance in the coupled system, we have to demand that $g_2$ is a constant coupling. Moreover, we will assume that aside of $g_2$, all the coupling functions are only time dependent which is consistent with a homogeneous background. The e.o.m for the 1-form and for the 2-form equations (57) and (58), can be written as

$$\nabla^\mu \left( f_1(\phi) F_{\mu\nu} + g_1(\phi) \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} + g_2 \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \right) = 0,$$

(61)

$$\nabla^\mu \left( f_2(\phi) H_{\mu\nu\alpha} \right) + \frac{g_2}{2} \tilde{F}_{\nu\alpha} = 0.$$

(62)

And in the following, we describe the system in terms of the four dimensional components of the fields. To start with, we notice that the components $A_0, B_{0i}$ are non dynamical as its time derivative do not appear in the Lagrangian, so, we will use the gauge freedom and set them to zero. Moreover, we will also use the gauge freedom to set a null divergence of the fields, this is

$$\partial_i A_i = \partial_j B_{ij} = \partial_i B_i = 0.$$

In components, an using $\nabla^\mu \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = 0$, in the first equation when $\nu = 0$ we have

$$f_1 \partial_i F_{i0} = \frac{1}{2} g_2 \epsilon_{0ijk} \partial_j B_k,$$

(63)

which using the gauge choice $A_0 = 0 = \partial_i A_i = 0$ we get

$$\epsilon_{0ijk} \partial_j B_k = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0ijk} H_{ijk} = 0.$$

(64)

For the spacial components $\nu = i$ we get

$$\nabla^\mu \left( f_1(\phi) F_{\mu i} + g_1(\phi) \tilde{F}_{\mu i} + g_2 \tilde{B}_{\mu i} \right) = 0,$$

(65)

which, after using the gauge choice gives:

$$f_1 (\nabla^2 - \partial_i^2) A_i - f'_{1i} A'_i + g'_1 \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k - g_2 \nabla_i B_{i0} = 0,$$

(66)

where we used, according with the gauge choice, that $\tilde{B}_{ij} = 0$, and primes $'$ denoting derivatives with respect to conformal time. Using $B_{i0} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} B_{jk}$ we can write

$$-f_1 \left[ A''_i - \nabla^2 A_i + \frac{f'_{1i}}{f_1} A'_i + g'_1 \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k \right] = \frac{g_2}{2} \left[ \partial_\tau - \frac{a'}{a} \right] \epsilon_{ijk} B_{jk}.$$

(67)

On the other hand, we can write the equations for the 2-form as

$$\nabla^j \left( f_2(\phi) H_{j0} \right) + \frac{g_2}{2} \epsilon_{0ijk} \partial_j A_k = 0,$$

(68)
\[ \nabla^\mu \left( f_2(\phi) H_{\mu ij} \right) - \frac{g_2^2}{4} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_k A_i = 0, \]

which in the Friedmann metric becomes

\[ \frac{f_2}{a^2} \partial_j H_{0ij} = - \frac{g_2^2}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k, \]

\[ \frac{f_2}{a^2} \left( \partial_0 H_{0ij} - \partial_i H_{0j} + \left( \frac{f'_2}{f_2} - 2 \frac{a'}{a} \right) H_{0ij} \right) = - \frac{g_2^2}{4} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_0 A_i. \]

Using

\[ H_{0ij} = \partial_i B_j + \partial_j B_i, \quad H_{ijk} = \partial_i B_{jk} + \partial_j B_{ki} + \partial_k B_{ij}, \]

and the gauge choice mentioned before \( B_0 = \partial_i B_j = \partial_j B_i = 0 \), we obtain

\[ \frac{f_2}{a^2} \partial_j B'_{ij} = - \frac{g_2^2}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k = 0, \]

\[ \frac{f_2}{a^2} \left( B''_{ij} - \nabla^2 B_{ij} + \left( \frac{f'_2}{f_2} - 2 \frac{a'}{a} \right) B'_{ij} \right) = - \frac{g_2^2}{4} \epsilon_{ijk} A'_k. \]

By doing \( g_2 = 0 \) we obtain the uncoupled version of this system

\[ A''_i - \nabla^2 A_i + \frac{f'_1}{f_1} A'_i + \frac{g'_1}{f_1} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_k A_i = 0, \]

\[ B''_{ij} - \nabla^2 B_{ij} + \left( \frac{f'_2}{f_2} - 2 \frac{a'}{a} \right) B'_{ij} = 0, \]

which (except by the parity violating term due to the coupling \( g_1 \)) has been studied in extent in [13, 93].

The equations (67), (73) and (74), define the dynamics of the coupled system in a Friedmann background. This is a system difficult to solve analytically and numerically, and depends on the particular details of the kinetic coupling functions. It is beyond the scope of this paper to enter into the concrete details of the solution of the coupled system as our main goal here is to describe the general features and possibilities that arise when a general mixing between arbitrary \( p \)-forms is allowed. Nevertheless, the coupled system involving the \( B \wedge F \), has a great potential interest for cosmological applications due to the fact that it constitutes, as we will see next, an interesting mechanism for generation of mass due to the topological coupling in the context of inflationary physics, a mechanism which is different from the Higgs mechanism. We hope to come back to the study of the specific details of this system elsewhere.

To conclude this section, we comment on the mass generation mechanism that we mentioned before which is an interesting possibility offered by the coupled system equations (61) and (62). First, we notice that the 2-form equation (62) can be solved for \( F^{\mu \nu} \) as follows:

\[ F^{\mu \nu} = - \frac{1}{3g_2^2} \nabla[\mu f_2(\phi) \tilde{H}^{\nu}]. \]

Defining the vector field:

\[ V^\mu \equiv \frac{f_2(\phi)}{3g_2^2} \tilde{H}^\mu, \]

and replacing in equation (61) we get
\[ \nabla^\mu \left( f_1(\phi)\nabla_{[\mu} V_{\nu]} + \frac{g_1(\phi)}{2} \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla^{[\alpha} V^{\beta]} \right) = m^2(\phi) V_\nu, \]  

(79)

where \( m^2(\phi) \equiv \frac{3\xi}{2} \) and where we used \( \nabla^\mu B_{\mu\nu} = H_\nu/2 \). Equation (79) is the equation corresponding to a massive vector field derived from an action of the form

\[ S_V = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ f_1(\phi) W_{\mu\nu\rho} W^{\mu\nu\rho} + g_1(\phi) \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu} + 2m^2(\phi) V_\mu V^\mu \right], \]

(80)

with \( W_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{[\mu} V_{\nu]} \). This action is not invariant under gauge transformations \( V_\mu \to V_\mu + \partial_\mu \xi \) as the gauge symmetry is broken by the coupling \( f_2(\phi) \). Nevertheless, the theory is indeed invariant under the transformation \( \tilde{H}_\mu \to \tilde{H}_\mu + \partial_\mu \xi \). Actually, the action and the equations of motion can be formulated in terms of the 1-form \( A_\mu \) by solving equation (77). By using equation (78), we see that equation (77) can be solved as \( V_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \nu \) for a convenient \( \nu \) function. Using the gauge \( \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu A_\mu = -\frac{m^2}{f_1} \partial_\nu \nu \) we obtain

\[ \Box A_\nu - R_{\nu\mu} A_\mu + \frac{\partial^\mu f_1}{f_1} (\nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu) + \frac{\partial^\mu g_1}{g_1} \eta_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla^\alpha A^\beta - \frac{m^2}{f_1} A_\nu = 0, \]

(81)

which is the equation of motion of a Proca vector field with kinetic couplings in a curved background. This peculiarity of obtaining a massive theory from a massless gauge invariant theory through the introduction of a topological coupling term such as \( B \wedge F \), \( F \) is an example of the mechanism of ’topological mass generation’ described in [111, 112]. Generalizations of the Proca model have been studied with interest in recent literature [113–117] and, although the mechanism described here differs from the construction developed in those studies by the fact that we keep gauge invariance of the fields, it would be interesting to study if some connection can be made with those models at certain limits. An appealing and interesting relation of these terms followed here to the non-abelian case that we discussed before and obtain a non-abelian version of equation (80). Notice however, that here we have a mechanism different from the Higgs-like mechanism for the generation of a mass that was considered in the massive Gaugation model [106] and the Higgsed chromo-natural inflation model [107] mentioned before.

We should also comment that the theory described by equation (80) is consistent with the gauge symmetries and the number of degrees of freedom in the theory described by equations (61) and (62). The theory described by a 1-form coupled to a 2-form consistent with gauge symmetry contains a total of 3 propagating degrees of freedom, two transverse polarizations for the 1-form and one degree of freedom for the 2-form. This is precisely the same number of degrees of freedom present in the model equation (80) which contains two transverse and one longitudinal propagating polarization. Equivalently, we could think this theory in terms of a massive 2-form field with three propagating degrees of freedom.

3.1.2. 3-form. We saw before that the 3-form can be integrated out and absorbed as a potential term of the scalar field. Nevertheless, it is instructive to analyze the time evolution of the 3-form potential and discuss some of its particular features. To this end, let us recall equation (44) and the solution equation (46). From equation (45) we evaluate the component \( (0i\bar{k}) \)

\[ F_{(3)0i\bar{k}} = \sqrt{-\hat{g}} X(x^\alpha) \epsilon_{0i\bar{k}} = \partial_0 A_{(3)i\bar{k}}, \quad \text{or} \quad \sqrt{-\hat{g}} X(x^\alpha) = \partial_0 A_{(3)}, \]

(82)
where \( A_{(3)} \equiv A_{(3)i k} \). It is interesting to notice that the solution of this equation is invariant under the transformation \( A_{(3)} \rightarrow A_{(3)} + b(x') \) where \( b(x') \) is an arbitrary time-independent function. This invariance reflects the gauge symmetry of the 3-form which manifests as a shift (space dependent) symmetry. For an arbitrary background, the solution of this equation is

\[
A_{(3)}(\tau) = \int d\tau' \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{c - g_3(\phi)}{f_3(\phi)} \right).
\]

For a Friedmann background, and assuming that the coupling functions depend only on the conformal time, the previous solution reads

\[
A_{(3)}(\tau) = \int d\tau' a^4(\tau') \left( \frac{c - g_3(\tau')}{f_3(\tau')} \right).
\]

This solution is valid for any Friedmann cosmology and for any time dependence of the couplings \( f_3, g_3 \). Given this result, we realize that, when coupled to a scalar field, the 3-form potential has a non-trivial time evolution depending on the particular form of the couplings \( f_3, g_3 \). As mentioned before, the 3-form is invariant under constant space dependent shifts of the form \( A_{(3)} \rightarrow A_{(3)} + b(x') \) which also reflects the fact that this field does not propagate in space, and it is not a true physical propagating degree of freedom. Furthermore, given that it only depends on time, the 3-form evolves in a homogeneous way and no statistical anisotropies are seeded by this field.

To conclude, we comment about the energy-momentum tensor of the 3-form. The 3-form only enters in the energy-momentum tensor through the effective potential equations (48) in (53):

\[
T^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta} = \left( V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) - V(\phi) \right) g_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{(c - g_3(\phi))^2}{2f_3(\phi)} g_{\alpha\beta},
\]

which is a cosmological constant term. In the decoupled case, the 3-form acts as a true constant term, but in the coupled case, the cosmological constant term acquires an evolving behaviour due to the coupling functions \( f_3, g_3 \) and mimics the effect of a cosmological constant with an exact equation of state (hereafter e.o.s.) parameter \( w_{(3)} = -1 \). The evolution of this cosmological constant term depends on the specific coupling functions \( f_3, g_3 \). This feature makes the 3-form useful for applications related to the inflationary period and the late time accelerated inflation of the universe. We discuss some application of this behaviour in section 4.

## 4. Some simple applications to cosmological backgrounds

In this section we discuss some applications of cosmological interest (at background level) of the model presented here. We consider reduced versions of the model for simple choices of the couplings of the \( p \)-forms and the scalar field. Previous studies of the background evolution of a system involving a 1-form and a 2-form fields in the context of inflation, assuming an exponential form for the potential \( V(\phi) \) and the kinetic coupling functions \( f_i(\phi) \), can be found in [13, 14, 17, 18, 48, 93]. Aside of these, others interesting applications of \( p \)-forms in the context of inflation and dark energy scenarios have been widely discussed in the recent literature (see e.g. [12, 15, 16, 18, 77, 79, 120–122]). In the following two subsections we employ dynamical system techniques in order to give statements about the evolution of the degrees of freedom and the physical parameters of the model [123].
### 4.1. Single scalar field with effective potential induced by a 3-form

Here we concentrate in the effect of the 3-form field, more precisely, in the induced effective potential obtained in equation (48). As we discussed before, the presence of 3-form is consistent with an homogeneous and isotropic evolution, so, the background metric that we use here is the FLRW metric

\[
\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a^2(t)\mathrm{d}x^2.
\]

(86)

With this background metric, the Lagrangian equation (50) reduces to

\[
\mathcal{L}_T = \left[3M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{a^2} + \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - V_{\text{eff}}(\phi)\right],
\]

(87)

and the e.o.m for the scalar field is

\[
\ddot{\phi} + V_{\text{eff},\phi} + 3H \dot{\phi} = 0,
\]

(88)

where overdot means derivative w.r.t cosmic time. Additionally, we will add an energy-momentum for matter as a perfect fluid in the form

\[
T^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = (\rho_m + p_r) u_\mu u_\nu + p_3 g_{\mu\nu},
\]

(89)

being \(u^\mu\) the 4-velocity of the fluid with \(u_\mu u^\mu = -1\), \(\rho_m\) the energy density and \(p_r\) the pressure. In the following we consider two matter sources, pressureless cold dark matter and radiation with e.o.s. \(p_m = 0\) and \(p_r = \rho_r/3\) respectively. With these definitions, the Friedmann equations coming from equation (54) reads

\[
3M_{\text{Pl}}^2 H^2 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) + \rho_m + p_r.
\]

(90)

\[
2M_{\text{Pl}}^2 H^2 = -\left[\dot{\phi}^2 + \rho_m + \frac{4}{3} p_r\right].
\]

(91)

It is useful to define the energy density and pressure for each component, namely the scalar field and the 3-form. From the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field equation (53), we can define as usual

\[
\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \quad p_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi), \quad w_\phi = \frac{p_\phi}{\rho_\phi} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi)}{\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi)}.
\]

(92)

While, from equation (85), we have

\[
\rho_{(3)} = -p_{(3)} \equiv \frac{(c - g_3(\phi))^2}{2f_3(\phi)} \quad \text{so} \quad w_{(3)} \equiv \frac{p_{(3)}}{\rho_{(3)}} = -1,
\]

(93)

for the 3-form. Furthermore, we will assume \(f_3 > 0\) to achieve a strictly positive energy density for the 3-form. Using the definition of equations (93) and (48), we split the contributions to the effective potential as

\[
V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = V(\phi) + \rho_{(3)},
\]

(94)

and we define the dark energy density, the pressure and its equation of state as

\[
\rho_{\text{DE}} = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V(\phi) + \rho_{(3)}, \quad p_{\text{DE}} = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} - V(\phi) - \rho_{(3)}, \quad w_{\text{DE}} \equiv \frac{p_{\text{DE}}}{\rho_{\text{DE}}},
\]

(95)
Now, to study the dynamics of this system, we define the variables

\[ X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6M^2 \rho}} \phi, \quad Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3M^2 \rho}} \sqrt{V}, \quad \Omega_{(3)} = \frac{\rho_{(3)}}{3M^2 \rho H^2}, \quad \Omega_r = \frac{\rho_r}{3M^2 \rho H^2}. \]

(96)

The first Friedmann equation, equation (90), can be written as a constraint in the density parameter \( \Omega_m \equiv \frac{\rho_m}{3M^2 \rho H^2} \) as

\[ \Omega_m = 1 - \Omega_{\text{DE}} - \Omega_r, \quad \Omega_{\text{DE}} \equiv X^2 + Y^2 + \Omega_{(3)}. \]

(97)

To gain some insight in the physics, we also define the effective e.o.s. for the system as

\[ w_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{p_m + p_r + p_\phi + p_{(3)}}{\rho_m + \rho_r + P_\phi + \rho_{(3)}} = w_\phi \Omega_m + w_r \Omega_r + w_\phi \Omega_\phi + w_\rho \Omega_{(3)} = -1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \]

(98)

where the ratio \( \dot{H}/H^2 \) can be computed from equations (90) and (91)

\[ \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( 3 + 3 \sqrt{\frac{M^2 Y^2}{3 \Omega_{(3)} + 1} - 3 \Omega_{(3)} + 1} \right). \]

(99)

Now, we notice from equation (88) that the evolution of the scalar field depends on the derivative of the effective potential \( V_{\text{eff}} \). Then, in order to solve the system in a closed way, we need to assume a particular form for the potential \( V(\phi) \) and the coupling functions \( f_3(\phi) \) and \( g_3(\phi) \). Another possibility remains to leave the potential as an additional dynamical variable, but, we prefer here to choose a particular form for the potential and the couplings. For the sake of simplicity we use exponential functions for them

\[ V(\phi) \propto e^{-\lambda \frac{\phi}{\rho}}, \quad f_3(\phi) \propto e^{-\beta \frac{\phi}{\rho}}, \quad g_3(\phi) \propto e^{-\gamma \frac{\phi}{\rho}}, \]

(100)

where \( \lambda, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are constants. Further, we set the integration constant \( c \) in equation (93) to zero. With this setup, the energy density of the 3-form has a simple form:

\[ \rho_{(3)} = e^{\beta - 2\gamma \frac{\phi}{\rho}} = e^{\delta \frac{\phi}{\rho}}, \quad \delta \equiv \beta - 2\gamma, \]

(101)

where we also drop the proportionality constants in equation (100); the constant \( \delta \) is introduced to simplify further calculations. We emphasize in the fact that \( \delta \) comes directly from the choice of the coupling functions, and its behaviour depends entirely on them. Differentiating w.r.t to \( N \) each of these parameters, with \( dN = H dt \), and using equations (88) and (99), we find

\[ X' = \frac{3}{2} X \left( X^2 - Y^2 - 1 - \Omega_{(3)} + \Omega_r \right) + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \left( \lambda Y^2 - \delta \Omega_{(3)} \right)}, \]

(102)

\[ Y' = \frac{1}{2} Y \left( 3X^2 - 3Y^2 + 3 - 3\Omega_{(3)} + \Omega_r - \sqrt{6} \lambda X \right), \]

(103)

\[ \Omega'_{(3)} = \Omega_{(3)} \left( 3X^2 - 3Y^2 + 3 - 3\Omega_{(3)} + \Omega_r - \sqrt{6} \lambda X \right), \]

(104)

\[ \Omega'_r = \Omega_r \left( 3X^2 - 3Y^2 - 1 - 3\Omega_{(3)} + \Omega_r \right). \]

(105)
The critical points for the system, being the points in which \( \frac{dx}{dN} = \frac{dY}{dN} = \frac{d\Omega}{dN} = 0 \), are presented in table 1. The dynamical system, equations (102)–(105), reveals the invariance under the transformation \( Y \mapsto -Y, \quad \Omega_{(3)} \mapsto -\Omega_{(3)} \),

\[ Y \mapsto -Y, \quad \Omega_{(3)} \mapsto -\Omega_{(3)}, \quad (106) \]

this was used in the construction of table 1, since also fixed points with negatives \( Y \) and \( \Omega_{(3)} \) appeared, but discarded by the previous invariance; in other words, it is enough to consider the dynamics for positive values of \( Y \) and \( \Omega_{(3)} \). From the exponential form of the potential and the coupling function, another simultaneous invariance is present

\[ \lambda \mapsto -\lambda, \quad \delta \mapsto -\delta, \quad X \mapsto -X, \quad (107) \]

meaning that the system could be fully described assuming only \( \lambda > 0 \), and \( \delta > 0 \).

4.1.1. Stability of the fixed points. The conditions of stability are related with the behavior of the system under small perturbations close to the fixed points [123, 124]. If we denote our set of critical points presented in table 1 as \( x_0 = (X_0, Y_0, \Omega_{(3)}_0, \Omega_r, 0) \) and consider small perturbations around them, denoted as \( X = \{ \delta X, \delta Y, \delta \Omega_{(3)}, \delta \Omega_r \} \), the linearized system (after perturbing equations (102)–(104)), could be written as

\[ X' = \mathcal{M}X, \quad (108) \]

being \( \mathcal{M} \) a Jacobian matrix. The stability criteria refers to the relative signs of the eigenvalues for the Jacobian matrix \( \mathcal{M} \), evaluated at the fixed points. Denoting the eigenvalues by \( \lambda_i \), and assuming them in general to be complex, the stability criteria can be summarized as

(i) **Stable point**: The critical point \( x_0 \) is a stable point if all the eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) are real and negative.
(ii) **Unstable point**: If the eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) are real and positive, \( x_0 \) is an unstable point.
(iii) **Saddle point**: Again, if the eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) are real, and any of these \( \lambda_i \) is negative, \( x_0 \) is a saddle point.
(iv) **Stable spiral**: if the eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) are complex with negative real parts, the point \( x_0 \) is called a stable spiral.

| Point | \( X \) | \( Y \) | \( \Omega_{(3)} \) | \( \Omega_r \) | \( \Omega_m \) | \( \Omega_{DE} \) | \( w_{eff} \) |
|-------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|------------|--------|
| \( \mathcal{O} \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \( \mathcal{A}_\pm \) | \( \pm 1 \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \( \mathcal{B} \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \( \frac{1}{2} \) |
| \( \mathcal{C} \) | \( \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3X} \) | \( \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3X} \) | 0 | 1 | \( \frac{4}{X} \) | \( \frac{4}{X} \) | \( \frac{1}{5} \) |
| \( \mathcal{D} \) | 0 | \( \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3X} \) | \( \frac{\lambda}{3+\lambda} \) | 0 | 0 | 1 | \(-1 \) |
| \( \mathcal{E} \) | \( \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{X} \) | \( \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3X} \) | 0 | 0 | \( 1 - \frac{3}{X} \) | \( \frac{3}{X} \) | 0 |
| \( \mathcal{F} \) | \( \frac{\lambda}{X} \) | \( \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{\sigma}} \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | \( \frac{X^2-4}{X} \) |
| \( \mathcal{G} \) | \( \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3X(3X^3)} \) | 0 | \( \frac{2(\delta^2-6)}{6(3X^3-1)} \) | 0 | \( 1 - \frac{9}{(\delta^2-3)} \) | \( \frac{9}{(\delta^2-3)} \) | \( \frac{3}{\delta^2} \) |
| \( \mathcal{H} \) | \( \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3X} \) | 0 | \( -\frac{4}{X} \) | \( 1 - \frac{12}{\delta^2} \) | \( \frac{32}{3X^3} \) | \( \frac{4}{3X} \) | \( \frac{1}{3} \) |
Applying these criteria we found general statements for the behaviour of the critical points of table 1.

• **Point O**: This is the origin of the phase space. Since $\Omega_{DE} = 0$, this point corresponds to a matter dominated universe with $\Omega_m = 1$. The eigenvalues for this point are $\{-3, -3\frac{1}{2}, 3, -1\}$, corresponding thus to a saddle point. Since the kinetic and potential energy of the scalar field and the coupled 3-form are zero, this point has no physical importance.

• **Points $A_\pm$**: In these two points the universe is dominated by the scalar field kinetic energy in which $w_{\text{eff}} = 1$ corresponding to stiff matter, with no acceleration. The eigenvalues are $\{3, 2, 6 \mp \sqrt{6}\delta, 3 \mp \sqrt{6}\lambda\}$.

Thus, they never are stable points. They are unstable if $\delta < \sqrt{6} (\beta > 2\gamma + \sqrt{6}), \lambda < \sqrt{6}$, and $\delta > -\sqrt{6} (\beta > 2\gamma - \sqrt{6}), \lambda > -\sqrt{6}$ for $A_+$ and $A_-$, respectively. Similarly, $A_+$ is a saddle point if $\delta > \sqrt{6} (\beta > 2\gamma + \sqrt{6})$ or $\lambda > \sqrt{6}$, while $A_-$ becomes saddle for $\delta < -\sqrt{6} (\beta < 2\gamma - \sqrt{6}),$ or $\lambda < -\sqrt{6}$. For current observations of accelerated expansion, a stiff matter fluid is not relevant, and only have importance at early times.

• **Point B**: This point matches a pure radiation dominance. The eigenvalues are $\{4, 2, -1, 1\}$, corresponding to a saddle point.

• **Point C**: This point corresponds also a radiation dominance with $\Omega_{DE} = 3\lambda^2$. From big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) bounds [125, 126], we have $\Omega_{DE} < 0.045$ translating into $\lambda > 9.2$. The eigenvalues read $\{1, 4 - 4\delta \lambda, -3 \pm \frac{\sqrt{64 - 15\lambda^2}}{2\lambda}\}$.

Under the previous bound give rise to a saddle point.

• **Point D**: Dark energy dominated point. This point provides accelerated expansion ($w_{\text{eff}} = -1$) with $\Omega_{DE} = 1$. For the existence of this point we should set $\lambda > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ ($\beta > 2\gamma$). The eigenvalues are $\{-4, -3, -3\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{3\sqrt{(\delta + \lambda)(3\lambda + \delta(3 + 4\lambda(\delta - \lambda)))}}{2(\delta + \lambda)}\}$.

Under the bound $\lambda > 9.2$, three of the eigenvalues are negative and one is positive, becoming a saddle point.

• **Point E**: This point corresponds to a matter dominated point with $w_{\text{eff}} = 0$, and $\Omega_{DE} = \frac{1}{3}\lambda^2$. Under the CMB bound for the dark energy density parameter $\Omega_{DE} < 0.02$ [127], we restrict $\lambda$ to be $\lambda > 12$. The eigenvalues are $\{-1, 3 - 3\delta \lambda, -3 \frac{3}{4} \pm \frac{3\sqrt{24 - 7\lambda^2}}{4\lambda}\}$.

Under the previous bound for $\lambda$ this point becomes saddle.

• **Point F**: This point could give cosmic acceleration with $w_{\text{eff}} < -\frac{1}{3}$, translating into $\lambda^2 < 2$. From table 1 this point exists for $\lambda^2 < 6$. The eigenvalues are $\{\lambda(\lambda - \delta), \frac{1}{2} (\lambda^2 - 6), \lambda^2 - 4, \lambda^2 - 3\}$.
It could be stable if $\lambda^2 < 3$ with two options depending the sign of $\delta$: if $\delta < 0$, $0 < \lambda < \delta$, otherwise $\delta < \lambda < 0$.

- **Point $G$**: This point could give cosmic acceleration with $\Omega_{\text{DE}} = \frac{9}{(2\lambda)^2}$ and exists for $\delta \neq \pm \sqrt{3}$. From the BBN bound $\Omega_{\text{DE}} = 0.045$ we have $\delta = \pm 4.14$. The eigenvalues are

$$\left\{ \frac{3}{4} \pm W, \frac{9}{\delta^2 - 3} - 1, \frac{3\delta(\delta - \lambda)}{2(\delta^2 - 3)} \right\},$$

being

$$W = -\frac{(7\delta^2 - 48)\delta^2}{(\delta^2 - 3)^3} + \frac{9}{\delta^2 - 3} - 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (115)

The function $W$ has two asymptotes in $\delta = \pm \sqrt{3}$, and inside this range becomes negative. For $\delta < -4\sqrt{3}$ or $\delta > 4\sqrt{3}$ the term inside the radical is negative and the whole function is complex; in addition we have the limiting value of $-1 + i\sqrt{7}$ for $W$ when $\delta \to \pm \infty$. Thus, the first eigenvalue ($\frac{3}{4} + W$) is negative when $\delta$ ranges the interval $(-\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3})$, while the second eigenvalue ($\frac{3}{4} - W$) is negative in the interval $[-4\sqrt{3}/7, -\sqrt{3}) \cup (\sqrt{3}, 4\sqrt{3}/7]$. The third eigenvalue is positive inside $\delta \in (-\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3})$.

Finally, for $\lambda > 0$ there are three options for the fourth eigenvalue to be negative: for $\lambda \in (0, \sqrt{3})$, $\delta \in (-\sqrt{3}, 0)$ or $\delta \in (\lambda, \sqrt{3})$, for $\lambda = \sqrt{3}$, $\delta \in (-\sqrt{3}, 0)$, and for $\lambda > \sqrt{3}$, $\delta \in (-\sqrt{3}, 0)$ or $\delta \in (\sqrt{3}, \lambda)$.

- **Point $H$**: This point corresponds to radiation domination with $\Omega_c = 1 - \frac{\delta^2}{\lambda^2}$. The eigenvalues are

$$\left\{ \frac{1 - Z^{1/3}}{\sqrt{Z}}, \frac{Z^{1/3} - 1 \mp i\sqrt{3}(Z^{1/3} + 1)}{2\sqrt{Z}}, 2 - \frac{2\lambda}{\delta} \right\},$$

with

$$Z = \frac{24}{\delta^2} + \sqrt{5 - \frac{96(\delta^2 - 6)}{\delta^4}} - 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (117)

We check numerically the behaviour of $Z$ in function of $\delta$. Besides the asymptotic nature at $\delta = 0$, $Z$ never gets negative values. In fact, the asymptotic value of $-2 + \sqrt{3}$ is reached when $\delta \to \pm \infty$. For $Z > 0$, the first eigenvalue is always negative, while is positive for $Z$ ranging $[0, 1]$; conversely, the real part of the two complex eigenvalues is positive for $Z > 1$, and negative for $Z \in [0, 1]$. Finally, the third eigenvalue is positive for $\delta > 0$ or $\delta > \lambda$ being $\lambda$ positive, while is negative with $\delta$ ranging $[0, 1]$ assuming $\lambda > 0$.

The typical viable cosmological dynamics corresponds to a transition between a radiation epoch ($w_{\text{eff}} \simeq \frac{1}{3}$, $\Omega_r \simeq 1$) to a matter dominated epoch ($w_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0$, $\Omega_m \simeq 1$), and finally an accelerated expansion epoch ($w_{\text{eff}} \lesssim -\frac{1}{3}$, $\Omega_{\text{DE}} \simeq 1$). Such transition can be made as

\[ \{ B, C, H \} \longrightarrow \{ \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E} \} \longrightarrow \{ D, \mathcal{F}, G \}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (118)

For the radiation domination we observe that point $\mathcal{H}$ can not realize $\Omega_r \simeq 1$, except for $\delta \to \infty$, implying an unbounded energy density for the 3-form. Moreover, the point $B$ has more positive eigenvalues than point $\mathcal{C}$, this suggest that the solutions approach the saddle
point $C$ during the radiation era. The matter domination can be realized by point $E$, noticing that point $O$ has two negative eigenvalues while $E$ has one negative, and two complex eigenvalues with negative real parts when the CMB bound ($\lambda > 12$) is considered. Finally, for the CMB bound for $\lambda$ the point $\mathcal{F}$ can not realize the accelerated expansion due to the existence condition $\lambda^2 < 6$; under the BBN $\delta = \pm 4.14$ the point $\mathcal{G}$ has two negative eigenvalues and two complex eigenvalues with negative real parts, nevertheless, point $\mathcal{D}$ under this bounds has two complex numbers with negative real parts, and two negative eigenvalues, becoming a stable spiral. In summary, we can produce a viable cosmological evolution by the sequence

\[
\text{Radiation } \xrightarrow{C} \text{Matter } \xrightarrow{E} \text{Dark Energy } \xrightarrow{D} .
\]

(119)

From the definitions of the critical points $C$ and $E$, we realize that the transition from radiation to matter dominance can be made without the existence of the 3-form, i.e. only with the quintessence field $\phi$. The 3-form induced potential is only relevant for the dark energy dominated epoch.

4.2. Anisotropic dark energy: coupled 1-form with a scalar field

In this section, we briefly discuss some aspects of a model for dark energy built with a 1-form coupled to a scalar field. The particular model that we will study is described by the action

\[
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{M_p^2}{2} R + \mathcal{L}_{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_s \right),
\]

(120)

where

\[
\mathcal{L}_{(1)} = -\frac{1}{4} f_1(\phi) F_{(1)\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{(1)}.
\]

(121)

$\mathcal{L}_\phi$ is given by equation (3) and $\mathcal{L}_s$ stands for the perfect fluid of matter and/or radiation. This model has some interest since it can be responsible for a late time anisotropic accelerated expansion. It was previously studied in [48], but adding an explicit coupling between matter and the scalar field. In this reference, the authors use the dynamical system approach to show that the kinetic coupling can produce anisotropic scaling solutions which can be interpreted as a matter and dark energy dominated epochs. For this reason, in this section we only focus on the kinetic coupling to call the attention of a particular behaviour of the equation of state that was not mentioned in [48], and that is interesting from the observational point of view.

We will use the gauge freedom $A_0 = \partial_0 A_i = 0$, to choose the vector field along the $x$ direction $A_i(1) = A_i(t) dx$. Due to the rotational symmetry in the $yz$ plane we will use a Bianchi I metric:

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^2(\alpha(\dot{\alpha} + 4\dot{\sigma})) dx^2 + e^2(\dot{\sigma}(dy^2 + dz^2)),
\]

(122)

being $e^\alpha \equiv a$ with $a$ the scale factor, and $\sigma$ the spatial shear. In this configuration and from equation (57), the e.o.m. for the field $A_{1\mu i}$ leads to

\[
\ddot{A}_i + \left[ \frac{L}{f_1} \ddot{\phi} + \dot{\alpha} + 4\dot{\sigma} \right] \dot{A}_i = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ddot{A}_i = \tilde{p}_i f_1(\phi)^2 e^{-\alpha - 4\dot{\sigma}},
\]

(123)

being $\tilde{p}_i$ an integration constant. Friedmann equations and the e.o.m for the scalar field can be written as
\[ \dot{\alpha}^2 = \dot{\sigma}^2 + \frac{1}{3M_{\text{pl}}^2} \left( \rho_m + \rho_r + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\rho}^2 + V(\phi) + \rho(1) \right), \]  
\[ \ddot{\alpha} = -3 \dot{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} \left( \rho_m + \frac{\rho_r}{3} + V(\phi) + \frac{1}{3} \rho(1) \right), \]  
\[ \ddot{\sigma} = -3 \dot{\sigma} \dot{\alpha} + \frac{2}{3M_{\text{pl}}^2} \rho(1), \]  
\[ \ddot{\phi} = -3 \dot{\phi} \dot{\alpha} + V(\phi) + 2 \frac{f_1(\phi)}{f_1} \rho(1), \]

where we have defined the energy density of the 1-form field as

\[ \rho(1) = \frac{f_1^2}{2} e^{-2\lambda \phi} \dot{\alpha}_1^2. \]

By using the parameters defined in equation (96) and two more related to the anisotropy and energy density of the 1-form:

\[ \Sigma = \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{H}, \quad \Omega(1) = \frac{\rho(1)}{3H^2M_{\text{pl}}^2}. \]

As in the previous subsection, we can define the following set of quantities

\[ \Omega_{\text{DE}} = \frac{\dot{X}^2 + \dot{Y}^2 + \Sigma^2 + \Omega(1)}{3}, \]
\[ \rho_{\text{DE}} = \frac{\dot{X}^2 + V(\phi) + \rho(1) + 3M_{\text{pl}}^2 H^2 \Sigma^2}{3}, \quad p_{\text{DE}} = \frac{\dot{Y}^2}{3} - V(\phi) + \frac{\rho(1)}{3} + 3M_{\text{pl}}^2 H^2 \Sigma^2, \]
\[ w_{\text{DE}} = \frac{p_{\text{DE}}}{\rho_{\text{DE}}} = \frac{3(\dot{X}^2 - \dot{Y}^2 + \Sigma^2 + \Omega(1))}{3(\dot{X}^2 + \dot{Y}^2 + \Sigma^2 + \Omega(1))}, \]

where \( \rho_{\text{DE}}, p_{\text{DE}}, \Omega_{\text{DE}} \) and \( w_{\text{DE}} \) are the energy density, the pressure, the density parameter and the equation of state related to dark energy component, respectively. The effective equation of state \( w_{\text{eff}} \) has a simple form:

\[ w_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\dot{X}^2 - \dot{Y}^2 + \Sigma^2}{3} + \frac{\Omega(1)}{3} + \frac{\Omega_r}{3}. \]

Again, we fix the potential and the coupling \( f_1 \) with exponential forms as in equation (100):

\[ V(\phi) \propto e^{-\lambda \phi}, \quad f_1(\phi) \propto e^{-\mu \phi}. \]

With the previous definitions the equations (123)–(126) can be converted like an autonomous system as

\[ X' = \frac{3}{2} X \left( \dot{X}^2 - \dot{Y}^2 + \Sigma^2 - 1 + \frac{\Omega(1)}{3} + \frac{\Omega_r}{3} \right) + \sqrt{6} (\lambda Y^2 - 2\mu \Omega(1)), \]
\[ Y' = \frac{1}{2} Y \left( 3\dot{X}^2 - 3\dot{Y}^2 + 3\Sigma^2 + 3 + \Omega(1) + \Omega_r - \sqrt{6} \lambda X \right). \]
The previous set of equations allow us to fully describe the background evolution of the universe characterised by this model, from the analysis of the dynamical system, as we made in section 4.1, or by direct numerical integration of the variables. Since our ultimate goal is to illustrate the applications of the coupled $p$-forms formalism, without rigorous treatment, we decide here to implement the second choice. By fixing the couplings constants to be $\lambda = 2$ and $\mu = 100$, we integrate the whole system equations (135)–(139) with suitable initial conditions. In figure 1(a) we show the evolution of the density parameters and the e.o.s. for dark energy. We clearly see a well-behave cosmological dynamics, starting from a radiation-dominated epoch, followed by a matter-dominated era around the redshift $z \simeq 2200$, and afterwards a dark energy-dominated epoch in which the dark energy density $\Omega_{DE}$ start to deviate from zero around $z \simeq 8$. The shear $\Sigma$, which measure the degree of anisotropy, has interesting features. We check numerically that even if it starts from a null value, it gained shear in two stages: first it decreases from a value of $\simeq 10^{-5}$ at $z \simeq 10^{7}$ until a minimum value of order $10^{-8}$ at around $z \simeq 1$, and then increases until a value of 0.1 today. Thus, starting from a nearly isotropic configuration, the system acquires anisotropy through time. In addition, an interesting feature of this model, that was not mentioned in [48], appears: the dark energy e.o.s. acquires a value around $-1$ close to $z \simeq 100$, but present an oscillating behaviour over $z \simeq 3$ to finally reach the value $-1$ close to the present time. This characteristic represents an interesting observational signature for distinguishing this scenario from other dark energy models, since one of the current observational interest is to reconstruct the temporal evolution of the equation of state of dark energy. In this sense, we expect that future surveys like Euclid [128] can elucidate if models like the one present here are viable or not. In figure 1(b) we present the evolution of the dark energy e.o.s for different values of the coupling $\mu$. We can observe that the amplitude of the oscillations of $w_{DE}$ strongly depend on the value of $\mu$, in particular if $\mu \sim 10^{2}$ then $w_{DE}$ approaches to $-1$ at present time, as expected. We plan to make a full analysis for the cosmological dynamics of coupled $p$-form system in a forthcoming publication.

Before concluding this section, it is worth to mention the possible impact of this scenario in current issues such as the so called $H_0$ tension. This tension appears when comparing the value of the Hubble rate today from local distance indicators or low redshift (distance ladder method) and high redshift (CMB) observations from the Planck survey; even both methods give precision measurements, they have a significant statistical discrepancy of around $3.3 \sigma$ [129]. Besides possible explanations coming from statistical analysis and problems when modeling, for instance, the astrophysics behind the supernovae events, models beyond the flat $\Lambda$CDM paradigm had been considered to aliviate this discrepancy [130–135]. Most of them use contributions from the dark sector in the form of a dynamical equation of state for dark energy. Since our model reveals an oscillatory behavior as shown in figure 1(b), it could be interesting if, with a proper statistical analysis using current data, we could give some hints in the discussion on this tension. We expect to come back to this issues in a future work.
4.3. A comment on topologic terms and parity breaking signatures

As we realized in section 3.1.1, the system involving a general coupling between the 1-form and the 2-form can be expressed as a model of a massive vector field with kinetic couplings as in equation (80). Aside of this, we also saw in section 3.1.2 that the 3-form, when gauge invariance is respected, evolves homogeneously only as a function of time and do not contribute to the sourcing of statistical anisotropies. This implies that the possible cosmological signatures of the model involving all the possible coupling between the $p$-forms are equivalent to the signatures of a massive vector field model. There is a great body of literature about about cosmological signatures of vector field models, an incomplete list of references on the subject include [32, 34–47] for parity conserving vector field models; [49–59] for parity violating vector field models and [13, 14, 17] for 2-forms models.

As evidenced by the previous list of references, the issue of the statistical anisotropies and parity breaking signatures in vector fields and 2-form field models with kinetic couplings have been explored in great detail in recent literature, and there is nothing really new more to say about this subject here. Nevertheless, one aspect that we would like to mention here is the fact that parity violating signatures, in the presence of $p$-forms, appears always as topological terms. In the four-dimensional case that we considered here, the only topological term which plays a decisive role in the dynamics of the system is $F(1) \wedge F(1)$. This term is responsible for the breaking of parity in the transverse polarizations of the vector field [49–59]. Some striking features of this model is the production of chiral gravitational waves [49, 51] and the presence of...
of odd terms in the multipolar expansion of the inflationary correlators such as the bispectrum of primordial curvature perturbations \[54\], among others.

If the features mentioned before, turns out to be measurable by near term future missions, this could be seen as signatures of either topological defects, similar to topological defects in a continuous medium \[118\] during the inflationary expansion, or as global topological characteristics of the background spacetime during the inflationary era.

5. Conclusions

In this paper we provided a general construction of a Lagrangian based on coupled \(p\)-forms in \(D\) dimensions. Assuming as building blocks the \(p\)-forms, their field strength and their duals, we wrote down the general expression of the Lagrangian including general mixtures of the \(p\)-forms. Under the assumption of a Lagrangian based solely on first derivatives of the \(p\)-forms, and providing as well gauge invariance, the construction, of course, becomes much more simpler, but not trivial, in comparison with the case of generalized \(p\)-forms Galileons, since no extra couplings with curvature are invoked.

We specialized to the case of a four dimensional space-time, and besides the standard 1-form, its dual and the 2-form, we allow the Lagrangian to have a contribution from the 3-form. In addition to the general result which implies that the field strength of the 3-form acts as a cosmological constant, we provide an expression for the energy momentum-tensor, probing effectively that this component has an equation of state \(w_{(3)} = -1\). We see how the 3-form is absorbed in an effective potential for the scalar field and how it contributes to the dynamics of the system. In this sense, the 3-form-scalar field system offers an interesting approach to the inflationary problem, and also to the current accelerated expansion of the universe. We discuss as well the importance of topological terms, which are the seeds for parity violating signatures in the statistical correlators. Working in four dimensions, we show that the system composed by interacting \(p\)-forms is equivalent, under a particular parametrization of the coupling scalar functions, to a massive, parity violating vector field Lagrangian, giving thus an alternative mechanism to mass generation consistent with gauge symmetry.

Next, we provided some applications to cosmological backgrounds. We studied a very minimalistic system composed by a scalar field and a 3-form. We isolated the analysis of the 3-form due to its relevance in the context of an accelerated expansion driven by dark energy or a cosmological constant. We considered the late time evolution of this system in the presence of a perfect fluid with matter and radiation components, examined the dynamics and its critical points and their stability. We also analyzed, at the background level, the signatures of an anisotropic source such as a 1-form field in the late time evolution of the universe. We plan to include the information of all the coupled \(p\)-form interacting system in a forthcoming publication.

Finally, we made some general statements about the signatures of \(p\)-forms in the correlation functions. As expenses of a homogeneous evolution in time of the 3-form, no statistical anisotropies are generated by this degree. In fact, all possible signatures of parity violation rely in the topological terms \(F \wedge F\) of the 1-form. Despite their appearance, the terms \(\tilde{F}_{(3)}\) and \(B \wedge F\), do not introduce further parity violating signatures. Due to the vast literature concerning statistical anisotropies with single 1-forms, no more studies in this paper were made in this direction. Nevertheless, the statistical signatures in the system of coupled 1- and 2-forms was not studied in detail in the literature and we expect to come back to study these subject elsewhere.
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