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ABSTRACT
Abelisaurid theropods dominated the predator role across Gondwana during the Late Cretaceous. They are characterized by highly reduced forelimbs and one of the most specialized cranial morphologies among carnivorous dinosaurs, exemplified by a broad skull, short rostrum, high occipital region, and highly kinetic intramandibular joint, suggestive of a specialized feeding strategy. Late Cretaceous abelisaurids are known from some remarkably complete taxa with well-preserved skulls. However, little is known about the pattern of character transformation that led to their highly modified condi-
The Early Cretaceous is an interval of over 40 million years that is critical for understanding the latter phases in the evolutionary history of dinosaurs. In contrast with the well-known dinosaur diversity in continental ecosystems during the Late Cretaceous, current knowledge of Early Cretaceous dinosaurs is much more limited, especially for theropod dinosaurs (Barrett et al. 2009). The scarcity of Early Cretaceous theropods is particularly marked in Gondwanan landmasses, where a diverse assemblage is mainly known from the Late Cretaceous of South America (Novas 1997; Weishampel et al. 2004; Novas et al. 2013). Abelisauridae was a dominant clade of ceratosaursian theropods in Gondwanan during this period, although they were also present in Europe (Accarie et al. 1995; Ösi & Buffetaut 2011; Tortosa et al. 2014).

Late Cretaceous abelisaurids include some remarkably complete taxa and are characterized by their highly reduced forelimbs and a bizarre skull anatomy, including a short, high and conspicuously fused cranium ornamented with a rugose external surface that evolved, in some cases, horns or domes on the parietal roof, and highly a kinetic intramandibular joint (Bonaparte 1991; Sampson et al. 1998; Sampson & Witmer 2007; Novas 2009; Novas et al. 2013). The recent discovery of *Eoabelisaurus* in the Middle Jurassic of Argentina (Pol & Rauhut 2012) sheds some light on abelisaurid origins. However, some doubts exist about the phylogenetic affinities of this early species (Novas et al. 2013) and there is still a remarkable morphological gap between the plesiomorphic *Eoabelisaurus* (as well as other Jurassic abelisaurids) and well-known derived Late Cretaceous abelisaurids such as *Carnotaurus*, *Skorpiovenator* and *Majungasaurus* (Bonaparte et al. 1990; Sampson et al. 1998; Sampson & Witmer 2007; Canale et al. 2009). The Early Cretaceous is in the midst of this obscure period of the history of abelisaurid evolution, given that known records from this time are limited to highly fragmentary remains (Rauhut et al. 2003; Sereno & Brusatte 2008; Smith et al. 2010), and many of which were reinterpreted as basal abelisauroids rather than true abelisaurids (Carrano & Sampson 2008; Farke & Sertich 2013; Novas et al. 2013).

Here we report a basal abelisaurid from the Early Cretaceous (Barremian-Aptian) of Brazil that represents the first definitive abelisaurid from this critical interval with a complete and well-preserved skull, mandible, and informative postcranial skeleton. This new taxon is placed as the sister-group of other Cretaceous abelisaurids and bears a unique combination of plesiomorphic and apomorphic features that reveal the pattern and timing of character acquisition toward the highly apomorphic skull anatomy of derived abelisaurids from the Late Cretaceous.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**SPECIMEN PREPARATION AND CT SCAN IMAGERY**

The abelisaurid specimen described here was found underneath the holotype of *Tapuiasaurus macedoi*, a titanosaurian described by Zaher et al. (2011). The specimen is represented by an almost complete and articulated skeleton that was collected as a single block. Part of the skeleton was partly prepared in the field and the rest of the rock matrix was later removed at the Laboratório de Paleontologia of the Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo. During preparation, the whole skeleton and some additional postcranial elements were isolated from the rest of the skeleton and scanned in the Museum's micro-CT
The specimen and isolated bones were measured using a digital calliper (Appendix 1). The specimen was deposited in the Paleontological collection of the Museu de Zoologia under accession number MZSP-PV 833.

CT-scanning procedures with MZSP-PV 833 were conducted on a 300-kV μ-focus X-ray source micro-computed tomography GE Phoenix v|tome|x M 300 (General Electric Measurement & Control Solutions, Wünstorf, Germany) at the Laboratório de Microtomografia of the Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo. Scan parameters such as exposure time (ms), number of projections, kilovoltage (kV), and current strength (μA) were variously set for each individual bone element to obtain the maximized spatial resolution and better image contrast. X-ray projection images were recorded at 333 ms of time of exposure per image, with the images (varying from 1440 to 3500) in one slow stepwise rotation of the sample. The duration of the scanning procedure varied according to the configuration chosen for each element. For the skull, mandible and cervical series, we performed a Multiscan comprising 4 individual scans, to improve resolution, with projections varying from 1440 to 3500, voltage from 120 to 150kV, current from 180 to 200 μA, and voxel size resolution varying from 55 to 79 μm. The other elements were scanned only in a single procedure, with projections varying from 1440 to 1700, voltage from 90 to 150 kV, current from 180 to 210 μA, and voxel size from 51 to 131 μm.

The acquired scan data were processed on a high-end computer HP Z820 workstation with eight-core Intel Xeon E5-2660, 2.20 GHz (2 processors), 128 GB of memory. A Microsoft Windows 7 Ultimate 64-bit operating system was used, with a graphics processing unit Cubix Elite Xpander (Cubix Corporation, Carson City, NV). Reconstruction of raw data was performed using the system-supplied software phoenix datav|x reconstruction v. 2.3.0 (General Electric Measurement & Control Solutions, Wünstorf, Germany). A beam hardening correction module was used to reduce beam artifacts, using a correction factor of five (values ranging from zero to 10). Three-dimensional visualization as well as the analysis of the reconstructed data were performed using VGStudio MAX 2.2.3 64 bit (Volume Graphics GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany).

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

Superorder DINOSAURIA Owen, 1842
Suborder THEROPODA Marsh, 1881
Clade CERATOSAURIA Marsh, 1884
Superfamily ABELISAUROIDEA Bonaparte, 1991
Family ABELISAURIDAE Bonaparte & Novas, 1985

Genus Spectrovenator n. gen.

um:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E3C6B4F7-7097-4472-8E86-1593966BB1D8

Type species. — Spectrovenator ragei n. gen., n. sp.

Diagnosis. — As for type and only species (type by monotypy).

Etymology. — From the Latin spectrum (“ghost”) and venator (“hunter”). The generic name refers to the fact that the specimen was found unexpectedly underneath the holotype of Taptopsaurus macedoii while the latter was being partially prepared in the field (Zaher et al. 2011).

Spectrovenator ragei n. sp.
(Figs 1-6)

Holotype. — MZSP-PV 833, a partially articulated skeleton consisting of complete skull and mandibles, partially preserved cervicodorsal vertebras and ribs, complete sacrum, partially preserved caudal series, complete pelvic girdle and hindlimbs.
ETYMOLOGY. — The specific epithet ‘ragei’ is a patronym honouring Dr Jean-Claude Rage for his influential contribution to our knowledge on the Paleobiogeography of continental Mesozoic vertebrates.

DIAGNOSIS. — Spectrovenator ragei n. gen., n. sp. differs from all other abelisaurid theropods by the following unique autapomorphies: posterior ramus of maxilla ornamented with vertically oriented grooves except for the smooth region anterior to the maxilla-jugal suture; lacrimal lateral surface rugose except for smooth ventral region; ventrally bowed posterior process of the maxilla is posteriorly slanted along its dorsal margin; straight nuchal crest; no, narial opening; or, orbit; os, orbitosphenoid; pa, parietal; paf, parietal foramen; pfr, prefrontal; pfrf, prefrontal fenestra; pl, palatine; pm, premaxilla; pmnt, premaxillary teeth; po, postorbital; poc, paroccipital process; pra, proatlas; pt, pterygoid; q, quadrate; qj, quadrotaljugal; rug, rugosity; soc, supraoccipital; sq, squamosal; stf, supratemporal fenestra; vsc, vascular sulcus. Scale bar: 5 cm.

DESCRIPTION AND COMPARISONS

Skull

The skull of Spectrovenator ragei n. gen., n. sp. is nearly complete, missing only portions of the left and right premaxillae and part of the right mandible (Figs 1-4). The skull is compressed anteroposteriorly in the left part of occipital region, lateromedially in the right of orbital region, and part of the left quadrate was broken and displaced anteriorly. Although the premaxillae are badly preserved, Micro-CT scan imaging revealed the presence of four premaxillary teeth and a strong premaxilla-maxilla contact (Appendix 1).

The rostrum (as preserved) corresponds to more than 50% of the total skull length (Fig. 1A-D; Appendix 1). The maxilla is ornamented, except below the antorbital fenestra where it bears a series of vertically oriented grooves. The anteriormost grooves, on the alveolar margin, are smaller than the posterior ones, as in Majungasaurus, Kryptops, and Rugops (Sampson & Witmer 2007; Sereno et al. 2004; Sereno & Brusatte 2008). The maxilla-jugal contact is smooth (Fig. 1A, B). The rostral ramus of the maxilla is relatively short, resembling the condition found in Rugops (Sereno et al. 2004). The ascending process of the maxilla is posteriorly slanted along its dorsal region and the antorbital fenestra is subtriangular and longer than high. The medial lamina of the maxilla is anteroposteriorly short, limiting the antorbital fossa to the anterior margin of the antorbital opening, as in most abelisaurids (Bonaparte...
A promaxillary recess is present, but hidden in lateral view, a feature found in other abelisaurids (Novas 2009). Posteriorly, the maxilla has a long anteroposteriorly directed contact with the jugal that precludes a maxilla-lacrimal contact. There are 18 maxillary teeth as in *Rugops* (Sereno et al. 2004). The medial surface of the maxilla above the alveolar margin bears fused paradental plates that are smooth (Fig. 3E), rather than rugose as in other abelisaurids.

The nasals are thick and at least partially fused anteriorly (Fig. 2A, B and Appendix 1). The nasals are anteriorly forked, with a long and acute maxillary process as in *Rugops* (Sereno et al. 2004). The flattened dorsal surface of the nasals is ornamented on its anterior third and smooth on its posteromedial region. The nasals bear a series of large pits and invaginations that are located medial to the ornamented ridge that form the lateral edge of the bone, a feature previously regarded as autapomorphic for *Rugops* (Sereno et al. 2004). Similar pits are also present in *Carnotaurus* (Canale et al. 2009), the thin and ornamented rostral ramus of the lacrimal in *Spectrovenator* n. gen. forms part of the external posterodorsal border of the antorbital fossa (Figs 1C, D; 2A, B). The lacrimal has a short posterior process that projects posteriorly toward the suborbital process of the postorbital, as in most abelisaurids (Carrano & Sampson 2008). The lacrimal is massive, ornamented, and contacts the maxilla and nasal anteriorly, the prefrontal posterozally, and the postorbital and jugal ventrally (Figs 1C, D; 2A, B). The posterodorsal antorbital fossa on the lacrimal is exposed laterally whereas the pneumatic foramen is hidden in lateral view, as *Ceratosaurus* (Novas et al. 2013), *Eoabelisaurus* (Pol & Rauhut 2012), and other abelisaurids (Carrano & Sampson 2008). The lacrimal bears a broad rugose ridge that divides its dorsal and lateral surfaces and extends posteriorly to contact the postorbital. Differently from other abelisaurids (Canale et al. 2009), the thin and ornamented rostral ramus of the lacrimal in *Spectrovenator* n. gen. forms part of the external posterodorsal border of the antorbital fossa (Figs 1C, D; 2A, B). The lacrimal has a short posterior process that projects posteriorly toward the suborbital process of the postorbital, as in most abelisaurids (Carrano & Sampson 2008).
The descending ramus of the postorbital is anteroventrally directed, instead of perpendicular to the dorsal ramus, and bears a moderately expanded suborbital process (Fig. 1C, D). The dorsal ramus retains a relatively well-developed squamosal process in comparison to those of other abelisaurids (Canale et al. 2009; Pol & Rauhut 2012). The jugal is barely ornamented, dorsoventrally low below the orbit, and with a convex ventral margin (Fig. 1A-D). The anterior process separates the maxilla from the lacrimal whereas the posterior process is bifurcated and embraces the quadratojugal on its anterior third (Fig. 1A-D). The ascending process of the jugal is triangular and tapers distinctly on its dorsal tip. The dorsal process of the quadratojugal is anteroposteriorly broad and contacts the squamosal.

The suture between the prefrontal and lacrimal of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. is not visible on the dorsal skull surface and they may have been fused as in other abelisaurids (Canale et al. 2009; Sereno et al. 2004; Pol & Rauhut 2012). However, these elements are unfused along their descending processes on the anteromedial margin of the orbit. The frontals of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. are paired and their suture is visible dorsally as in *Rugops* (Sereno et al. 2004), but their dorsal surfaces are smooth and lack the rugosities present in other abelisaurids (Sereno et al. 2004; Paulina Carabajal 2011a; Fig. 2A, B). The frontals are proportionately wide and short, as in other abelisaurids (Canale et al. 2009; Paulina Carabajal 2011a), and have a median boss that extends longitudinally along the interfrontal suture. The lateral margins of the frontals are

---

**Fig. 3.** Teeth of *Spectrovenator ragei* n. gen., n. sp. (MZSP-PV 833). Mesial margin of left premaxillary tooth in oblique labial view (A); Right posterior premaxillary tooth in labial view (reversed, distal to the right) (B); Right mid maxillary tooth in labial view (reversed, distal to the right) (C); posterior maxillary teeth in labial view (reversed, distal to the right) (D); Left maxilla in labial view showing fused and smooth paradental plates (reversed, distal to the right). Scale bar: E, 5 cm.
dorsoventrally thin and have a short concave orbital margin. This margin is the medial edge of the fenestra that is laterally closed by the lacrimal-postorbital contact above the orbit, opening also present in *Rugops*, *Ekrixinatosaurus* and *Arcovenator* (Sereno et al. 2004; Novas et al. 2013; Tortosa et al. 2014). The frontal is not fused to the parietal, at least within the supratemporal fossa where the suture is clearly present, in contrast to other abelisaurids (Paulina Carabajal 2011b). On this region, the dorsal surface formed by the frontals and parietal is flat, lacking the median fossa at the level of the anterior margin of the supratemporal fenestra and the narrow parietal crest separating the supratemporal fossae, both
features that characterize the skull roof of most abelisaurids (Bonaparte & Novas 1985; Sereno et al. 2004; Canale et al. 2009; Tortosa et al. 2014). The flat parietal surface between the supratemporal fossae of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. is even broader than that of *Aucasaurus* (Paulina Carabajal 2011b).

The posterior end of the parietal is not significantly raised above the level of the skull roof but extends posteriorly, capping the supraoccipital crest (Fig. 2C, D). The parietal and squamosal form together a broad transversal nuchal crest, resembling but less developed than in other abelisaurids (Sampson et al. 1998; Carrano & Sampson 2008; Paulina Carabajal 2011b). The dorsal margin of this crest is thin and smooth rather than broad and rugose as in other abelisaurids. The squamosal has a smooth lateral surface with a marked concavity and a straight postquadratic process that extends posteriorly, as in other abelisaurids (Carrano & Sampson 2008). The descending process of the squamosal is thin and tapers gradually, forming the dorsal half of the posterior margin of the infratemporal fenestra down to its contact with the quadratejugal (Fig. 1A-D).

The supraoccipital has a thick and prominent median vertical crest, but this crest is not as sharp and does not project posteriorly to the level of the occipital condyle as it does in derived abelisaurids (Canale et al. 2009). The paroccipital processes are only slightly expanded at their distal ends rather than flared as in *Carnotaurus* (Paulina Carabajal 2011a). The paracondylar recess of the otoccipital is well developed and bears two small foramina, one of which leads to a medially directed duct (hypoglossal canal) while the other extends to an anteromedially directed duct (vagal canal). The *crista tuberalis* is well developed and connects the posteroventral end of the paraoccipital process with the lateral margin of the basal tubera. The basioccipital is vertical, bears a slightly developed median ridge, and forms most of the basal tubera, which are wider than the basioccipital condyle, as in *Ceratosaurus* and abelisaurids (Carrano & Sampson 2008). The basisphenoid covers the anterior and ventral surfaces of the basal tubera and has basipterygoid processes that are slightly recurved posteriorly, as in other ceratosaurians (Canale et al. 2009). The ventral surface of the basisphenoid bears a deep recess that is rounded in shape and laterally enclosed by the *crista ventrolateralis* (Paulina Carabajal & Currie 2017).

### Mandible

The mandible has an anteroposteriorly elongated external mandibular fenestra that reaches anteriorly the level of the posteriormost dentary tooth, as in other abelisaurids (Carrano & Sampson 2008; Figs 4, 5; Appendix 1). The dentary is straight rather than ventrally convex as in *Carnotaurus* and *Ekrxinatosaurus* (Bonaparte et al. 1990; Calvo et al. 2004) and
extends approximately along 50% of the mandibular length (Fig. 4A-D). There are 16 small and posteriorly recurved teeth. The lateral surface of the dentary bears a longitudinal sulcus that is located at its dorsoventral midpoint and contains aligned neurovascular foramina. Ventral to this sulcus the dentary is ornamented like the lateral surface of the skull. The posterodorsal process of the dentary has a broad concave facet for the surangular (Fig. 5B), as in other abelisaurids (Pol & Rauhut 2012; Canale et al. 2009). The splenial bears an anteriorly placed ovoid foramen as in Ceratosaurus and abelisaurids (Sampson & Witmer 2007). The angular process of the splenial is broad, ornamented, and exposed on the lateral surface of the mandibular ramus. The posterior margin of the splenial is straight, angled, and sutured to the anterior margin of the prearticular.

The surangular has a slightly convex dorsal margin and a well-developed lateral shelf with a deep fossa underneath as in Majungasaurus (Sampson & Witmer 2007). The posterodorsal margin of the surangular, along its suture with the prearticular, is laterally everted forming a well-developed anteroposterior crest on the ventrolateral margin of the mandibular ramus (Fig. 4A-D). The surangular bears an anterior foramen near its contact with the dentary, a posterior foramen ventral to the surangular crest, and an intermittently positioned foramen above the posterior margin of the mandibular fenestra as in Carnotaurus and Majungasaurus (Bonaparte et al. 1990; Sampson & Witmer 2007). The surangular forms the lateral part of the mandibular glenoid cavity along its contact with the articular. The glenoid fossa is deep and has elevated anterior and posterior buttresses (Fig. 4C, D). The retroarticular process is anteroposteriorly long, rounded, and has a dorsomedially concave surface, as in Carnotaurus and Majungasaurus (Bonaparte et al. 1990; Sampson & Witmer 2007).
Dentition
The upper dentition of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. includes 4 premaxillary and 18 maxillary teeth whereas the lower dentition includes 16 dentary teeth. The teeth vary in apicobasal height along the toothrow, reaching their maximal height at the level of the 6th to 8th maxillary teeth. The premaxillary teeth (Fig. 3A, B) are less distally recurved than the maxillary teeth and bear denticles that are similarly large on both their mesial (2.4 denticles per mm) and distal (2.4 denticles per mm) margins, taken at the midheight of the crown. The maxillary teeth become larger at the mid section of the toothrow (Fig. 3C) in comparison with the premaxillary teeth, although the size difference is not as large as in ceratosaurids (Rauhut, 2004). Similarly, the largest maxillary teeth are up to 70% of the height of the dentary, in contrast to proportionately higher teeth of ceratosaurids (Rauhut, 2004). The posterior maxillary teeth decrease in size and become more distally recurved posteriorly (Fig. 3D, E). The maxillary teeth have denticles on their distal margin that are similar in size to those of the premaxilla (2.8 denticles per mm) but those of their mesial margin are much smaller (3.4 denticles per mm). The dentary teeth also become larger at the midpoint of the toothrow and posterior elements are more distally recurved than anterior elements (Fig. 4).

Postcranium
The postcranial remains of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. reveal cervicodorsal vertebrae that are characterized by their elongated epiphyseal and well-developed epiphyseal-prezygapophyseal laminae (EPRL), distally bifurcated cervical ribs, and transverse processes of caudal vertebrae distally anteroposteriorly expanded, as in most abelisaurids (Fig. 6A, C; Carrano & Sampson 2008). Other abelisaurid features present in the postcranium include the preacetabular process of the ilium directed anteroventrally and with an irregular anterior margin and the cnemial crest on the tibia with an expanded distal end (Fig. 6B, E). The astragalus is fused to the calcaneum, a feature present in other ceratosaurians (Carrano & Sampson 2008) that differs from that of basal tetanurans (Rauhut & Pol 2017) and non-averostrans (Ezcurra 2017). The astragalar ascending process is tall and laminar and lacks the fusion with the fibula present in *Masiakasaurus* (Carrano et al. 2011). The metatarsus of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. is gracile and proportionately more elongated than in other abelisaurids (Coria et al. 2002; Carrano 2007), lacking the reduced width of metatarsal II present in noasaurids (Fig. 6D; Carrano & Sampson 2008). The pedal unguals of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. have proximally bifurcated grooves (Y-shaped) and a flexor tubercle with an associated ventral depression, as in other abelisauroids (Fig. 6F, G; Novas et al. 2005; Carrano 2007; Novas et al. 2013).
PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS

The phylogenetic position of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. was tested using a dataset of ceratosaurian relationships that included the new taxon and integrates recently published information on abelisauroids (see Material and methods). All most parsimonious trees (MPTs) depict *Spectrovenator* n. gen. as a basal member of Abelisauridae, being the sister group of the clade formed by *Rugops* and more derived taxa (Fig. 7). Abelisaurid apomorphies supporting the inclusion of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. in this clade include a large list of features: external ornamentation in the maxilla, nasal, lacrimal, and postorbital, antorbital fossa failing to extend posterolaterally along the maxilla, partially fused nasals, prefrontal at least partly fused to the lacrimal, lacrimal-postorbital contact above the orbit, lacrimal with suborbital process, presence of suborbital flange of postorbital, postorbital anteroposteriorly longer than high and with descending process anteroventrally projected, maxillary dentition with more than 12 teeth, anterior process in transverse processes in anterior caudal vertebrae, and straight dorsal margin of iliac blade. The long list of characters supporting the inclusion of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. in the Abelisauridae is reflected in high nodal support values for this node when the position of highly unstable and fragmentary taxa is ignored (bremer = 4; bootstrap = 94%; jackknife = 95%; Appendix 1). It should be noted that although this large list of synapomorphies does not diagnose Abelisauridae in our analysis given that *Eoabelisaurus* is retrieved as the most basal member of this clade. Other studies (e.g., Novas et al. 2013), however, have retrieved *Eoabelisaurus* as a basal abelisauroid and under these hypotheses the list of apomorphies diagnosing the clade formed *Spectrovenator* n. gen. and other abelisaurids would be synapomorphies of Abelisauridae.

The position of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. as the sister group to *Rugops* and more derived abelisaurids is supported by the absence in *Spectrovenator* n. gen. of the following derived characters:
maxillary-jugal contact larger than 40% of total maxilla length, knob-like dorsal projection of parietal, narrow sagittal crest between the supratemporal fenestrae, reduced anterior process of the lacrimal externally to the antorbital fossa, and rugose parapalatal plate on the maxilla. The monophyly of *Rugops* and more derived abelisaurids, excluding *Spectrovenator* n. gen. and *Eoabelisaurus*, also reaches high support values when fragmentary taxa are ignored (Bremer = 4; bootstrap = 82%; jackknife = 89%; see Appendix 1).

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

*Spectrovenator* n. gen. represents the first Early Cretaceous abelisaurid known with a complete skull (Fig. 8), demonstrating a key morphological intermediate between the basalmost Jurassic *Eoabelisaurus* and the Late Cretaceous abelisaurids. Whereas the abelisaurid position of *Eoabelisaurus* has been disputed (e.g., Novas et al. 2013), the long list of apomorphies shared by *Spectrovenator* n. gen. and other abelisaurids provide a strong support for the position of this taxon as a definitive basal abelisaurid. Its basal phylogenetic position provides critical additional information for understanding the stepwise acquisition of the highly specialized skull morphology that have characterized abelisaurids since their recognition as a clade, over 25 years ago (Bonaparte 1991). The overall plesiomorphic skull condition of primitive abelisaurids was known so far only for a fragmentary maxilla belonging to *Kryptops* from the Aptian-Albian Elrhaz Formation of Niger (Sereno & Brusatte 2008; Fig. 8B) and from a rostrum and skull roof preserved in *Rugops* from the Cenomanian Echkar Formation of Niger (Sereno et al. 2004; Fig. 8C). Although the position of the highly fragmentary *Kryptops* is still debated, including its validity (Delcourt 2018), there is a broad consensus in the placement of *Rugops* as one of the most basal abelisaurids (Sampson & Witmer 2007; Sereno & Brusatte 2008; Tortosa et al. 2014; Rauhut & Carrano 2016; Delcourt 2017). *Spectrovenator* n. gen. shares plesiomorphic features with *Rugops*, including a long and acute maxillary process, nasals with a smooth central phalic configuration of the temporal region, including a broad mandibular fenestra (Fig. 4A, B). Although crushed and somewhat distorted, the region of dorsal contact between the dentary and the surangular lacks the typical peg and socket condition present in derived abelisaurids (Fig. 5A, B). Previous authors underscored the presence of this joint as indicative of an unusual kinetic intramandibular joint in derived abelisaurids (Mazzetta et al. 1998; Canale et al. 2009). The absence of this feature in *Spectrovenator* n. gen. suggests that early abelisaurids may have retained a more generalized feeding strategy comparable to that of other theropods.

Both the temporal and mandibular regions of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. differ structurally and possibly functionally from that of more derived abelisaurids, which have been characterized as having a specialized feeding strategy that diverge from other theropods (Therrien et al. 2005; Canale et al. 2009). The Early Cretaceous age of *Spectrovenator* n. gen. (Barremian-Aptian) also indicates that the highly modified feeding strategy hypothesized for the group is restricted to derived abelisaurids and must have arisen during the Late Cretaceous, after the Cenomanian (100-93 My), and possibly influenced by an increase in body size in the late evolution of the group.

**NOTE ADDED IN PROOF**

Since this paper went to press, two other complete South American abelisaurid skulls have been redescribed: *Skorpiovenator bustingorryi* from the Upper Cretaceous (Cenomanian) Huincul Formation of Neuquén Province, northern Patagonia (Cerroni et al. 2020a), and *Carnotaurus sastrei* from the Upper Cretaceous (Campanian-Maastrichtian) La Colonia Formation of Chubut Province, central Patagonia, Argentina (Cerroni et al. 2020b). In these contributions, the authors identified two distinct morphologies in abelisaurid nasal bones: a) a strongly transversely convex nasal with heavily sculptured surfaces (*Carnotaurus*); and b) a transversely concave nasal with marked bilateral crests and poorly sculptured surfaces (*Rugops, Skorpiovenator*). In addition, the distinctive row of large foramina on the skull roof displayed by some abelisaurids (e.g., *Rugops*) was also described in detail for *Skorpiovenator* and *Carnotaurus*. Like *Rugops* and *Skorpiovenator,*
Spectrovenator ragei n. gen., n. sp. displays a transversely concave nasal and a similar row of large foramina on its skull roof. In all three taxa, the foramina are well-marked in dorsal view, due to their reduced nasal sculpturing, contrasting with the complex rugosity pattern observed in Carnotaurus. The reason for the occurrence of these foramina was previously associated with the presence of an integumentary coverage, such as scales or other cornified structures (Dekourt 2018). However, Cerroni et al. (2020a, b) hypothesized that the symmetry and disposition of the foramina in Rugops, Skorpiovenator, Carnotaurus, and now in Spectrovenator n. gen., may indicate a neurovascular path (i.e., blood vessels and nerves), probably related to the passage of the lateral nasal and supraorbital vessels and the trigeminal nerve.
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