Zero modes of tight binding electrons on the honeycomb lattice
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The integer quantum Hall effect has been observed in graphene$^{1,2}$ when the carriers are changed by the gate voltage. The quantization of the Hall effect is observed as $\sigma_{xy} = 2n e^2/h$ with $n = \pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots$, where the factor 2 comes from the spin degrees of freedom. These quantum numbers are unusual, in a usual case $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$. This unusual quantum Hall effect was discussed in terms of relativistic Dirac theory$^3$. However, it is more natural to be explained by the realization of the quantum Hall effect in periodic systems$^4$ in the presence of zero modes$^5$. We will call zero modes instead of massless Dirac excitations in this paper because we do not consider relativistic particles. The energy spectrum and the density of states of the honeycomb lattice near half filling and in zero or small magnetic field are similar to these in the square lattice near half filling in a very strong magnetic field about half flux quantum per each unit cell$^6$.

At zero carrier concentration (i.e. half-filled electrons), the resistivity $\rho_{xx}$ is close to the quantum value $h/(4e^2) = 6.45\text{k}\Omega$ independent of temperature$^7$, which has been also attributed to the zero modes$^{7,8}$. The existence of zero modes has also been proposed for the quasi-two-dimensional organic conductor $\alpha$-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$I$_3$. The conductivity under pressure is almost constant in a wide range of temperature$^9$. Pertinent numerical computations performed by Kobayashi et al. found that, for certain range of parameters, the Fermi surfaces become points and the density of states is proportional to energy at $3/4$ filling of electrons. The existence of zero modes was also confirmed by the band structure calculation$^{10,11}$. The unit cell for the model of $\alpha$-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$I$_3$ has four non-equivalent sites. Katayama et al. studied simpler model with two sites in the unit cell and they obtained a condition for zero modes.

In this Letter we study a tight binding model on the honeycomb lattice and obtain the condition of $t_a, t_b$ and $t_c$ for the existence of zero modes.

Unit cell of the honeycomb lattice contains two sublattices as shown in Fig. 1a. The Bravais lattice is a triangular lattice with

$$v_1 = \left(\frac{3a}{2}, 0\right),$$

$$v_2 = \left(\frac{3a}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}a}{2}\right),$$

where $a$ is a distance between nearest sites. We consider only nearest neighbor hoppings. There are three nearest neighbors for each site, $t_a, t_b$ and $t_c$, as shown in Fig. 1b. We study the generalized honeycomb lattice model where $t_a, t_b$ and $t_c$ are not necessarily equal. Under uniaxial pressure, $t_a, t_b$ and $t_c$ have different values for each other. For example, $t_a > t_b = t_c$ is expected, if the uniaxial pressure along the $x$ direction is applied. The Hamiltonian for the generalized honeycomb lattice is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}_m} \left[ -t_a (a_{\mathbf{r}_m} \dagger b_{\mathbf{r}_m} + h.c.) - t_b (a_{\mathbf{r}_m + v_1} \dagger b_{\mathbf{r}_m} + h.c.) - t_c (a_{\mathbf{r}_m + v_2} \dagger b_{\mathbf{r}_m} + h.c.) \right].$$

\(\text{FIG. 1: (color online) a. honeycomb lattice. Unit vectors are } v_1 = (3a/2, -\sqrt{3}a/2) \text{ and } v_2 = (3a/2, \sqrt{3}a/2). \text{ Three nearest neighbor hoppings are } t_a, t_b \text{ and } t_c. \text{ The red hexagon is a Brillouin zone for the honeycomb lattice. The reciprocal lattice vectors are } G_1 = (4\pi/3a, -2\pi/\sqrt{3}a) \text{ and } G_2 = (4\pi/3a, 2\pi/\sqrt{3}a). \text{ White circles are } \Gamma \text{ points. Brillouin zone can also be taken by the green diamond.} \)
Using the Fourier transform

\[ a_{\mathbf{r}_m} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_m} a_{\mathbf{k}}, \]  
\[ b_{\mathbf{r}_m} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_m+\mathbf{x})} b_{\mathbf{k}}, \]  

(4) (5)

where \( \mathbf{x} = (a, 0) \), we obtain

\[ \mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[ \left( -t_a \exp(-i k_x) - t_b \exp \left( i \left( \frac{1}{2} k_x - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k_y \right) \right) \right) - t_c \exp \left( i \left( \frac{1}{2} k_x + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k_y \right) \right) \right] a^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}} + h.c. . \]  

(6)

The energy is given by

\[ \epsilon^2_{\mathbf{k}} = t_a^2 + t_b^2 + t_c^2 + 2t_a t_b \cos \left( \frac{3}{2} k_x - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k_y \right) + 2t_a t_c \cos \left( \frac{3}{2} k_x + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k_y \right) + 2t_b t_c \cos \left( \sqrt{3} k_y \right). \]  

(7)

If we perform a translation in the momentum space

\[ (k_x, k_y) \to (k_x + \frac{2}{3} \pi, k_y), \]  

(8)

and a replacement \( t_a \to -t_a \) simultaneously, we get the same \( \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \). Therefore we can take \( t_a \geq 0 \) without loss of generality. In a similar way one can take \( t_b \geq 0 \) and \( t_c \geq 0 \) without loss of generality by taking a translation in the momentum space,

\[ (k_x, k_y) \to (k_x + \frac{1}{3} \pi, k_y \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \pi). \]  

(9)

The reciprocal lattice vectors are

\[ \mathbf{G}_1 = \left( \frac{2\pi}{3a}, -\frac{2\pi\sqrt{3}}{3a} \right), \]  

\[ \mathbf{G}_2 = \left( \frac{2\pi}{3a}, \frac{2\pi\sqrt{3}}{3a} \right), \]  

(10) (11)

as shown in Fig. 1b. Let us write

\[ \mathbf{k} = k_1 \mathbf{G}_1 + k_2 \mathbf{G}_2, \]  

(12)

where

\[ k_1 = \frac{3}{4\pi} k_x - \frac{3}{4\sqrt{3}\pi} k_y, \]  

\[ k_2 = \frac{3}{4\pi} k_x + \frac{3}{4\sqrt{3}\pi} k_y. \]  

(13) (14)

The energy is

\[ \epsilon^2_{\mathbf{k}} = t_a^2 + t_b^2 + t_c^2 + 2t_a t_b \cos(2\pi k_1) + 2t_a t_c \cos(2\pi k_2) + 2t_b t_c \cos(2\pi (-k_1 + k_2)). \]  

(15)

FIG. 2: Graphical explanation for appearance of zero modes.
(a) If \( t_a, t_b \) and \( t_c \) do not form a triangle, there are no zero modes and gaps at \( E = 0 \) are open. (b) Zero modes exist when \( t_a, t_b \) and \( t_c \) form a triangle. Angles \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are shown.

FIG. 3: Zero modes exist in the filled region.

The minimum of \( |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}| \) is obtained as follows. Consider the quadrangle ABCD in Fig. 2. Then we have

\[ |\mathbf{DA}|^2 = |\mathbf{AB} + \mathbf{BC} + \mathbf{CD}|^2 \]
\[ = t_a^2 + t_b^2 + t_c^2 \]
\[ - 2t_a t_b \cos \beta - 2t_a t_c \cos \gamma - 2t_b t_c \cos(\pi - \beta - \gamma) \geq 0. \]  

(16)

Put

\[ \beta = \pi - 2\pi k_1 \]  

\[ \gamma = \pi + 2\pi (k_1 - k_2), \]  

(17) (18)

then

\[ t_a^2 + t_b^2 + t_c^2 + 2t_a t_b \cos(2\pi k_1) + 2t_a t_c \cos(2\pi (k_1 - k_2)) \geq 0 \]  

(19)
The equality is satisfied when \( t_a, t_b \) and \( t_c \) form a triangle which can be seen in Fig. 2b., i.e.,

\[
\cos(2\pi k_1) = \frac{t_a^2 - t_b^2 - t_c^2}{2t_a t_b} \\
\cos(2\pi k_2) = \frac{t_b^2 - t_c^2 - t_a^2}{2t_b t_c} \\
\cos(2\pi(k_1 - k_2)) = \frac{t_c^2 - t_a^2 - t_b^2}{2t_c t_a t_b}
\]

(20)  (21)  (22)

The triangle can be formed when

\[
\left| \frac{t_b}{t_a} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{t_c}{t_a} \leq \left| \frac{t_b}{t_a} + 1 \right|
\]

(23)

is satisfied. See Fig. 3.

In the isotropic case where \( t_a = t_b = t_c \), zero modes are at \((k_1, k_2) = \pm (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})\), \((k_1, k_2) = \pm (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3})\), and \((k_1, k_2) = \pm (-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})\) i.e. the corners of the first Brillouin zone, \((k_x, k_y) = \pm (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3})\), \((k_x, k_y) = \pm (\frac{2\pi}{3}, -\frac{2\pi}{3})\) and \((k_x, k_y) = \pm (0, \frac{4\pi}{3})\). See Fig. 4. The density of states is plotted in Fig. 5b.

If the parameters are in the boundary as seen in Fig. 3, two zero modes merge into a confluent point. For example, \( \epsilon_k = 0 \) at confluent point \((k_1^*, k_2^*) = (0, 1/2)\) for \( t_a = t_b = 1, t_c = 2 \) (Fig. 6). Near this point \( \epsilon_k \) is written as

\[
\epsilon_k \propto \pm \sqrt{c_1(k_1 - k_1^*)^4 + c_2(k_2 - k_2^*)^2}
\]

(24)

where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are constants. In this case the density of states near \( E = 0 \) becomes

\[
N(E) \propto \sqrt{|E|}
\]

(25)

See Fig. 5b), while \( N(E) \propto |E| \) in the case of two zero modes (Fig. 5a). When the inequality Eq. (23) is not satisfied, a finite gap opens at \( E = 0 \) as shown in Fig. 5c.

In conclusion, we have studied the energy of tight binding electrons in the generalized honeycomb lattice and found the condition for the existence of zero modes. The zero modes exist at the corners of the hexagonal first Brillouin zone for the usual honeycomb lattice. Two zero modes moved to become a confluent point at the critical values of parameters \( t_a, t_b \) and \( t_c \), where \( t_a, t_b \) and \( t_c \) stop to form a triangle.
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FIG. 6: (color online) 3D plot (a) and the contour plot (b) of the energy of the generalized honeycomb lattice with $t_a = t_b = 1, \ t_c = 2$.
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