Untargeted metabolic analysis in dried blood spots reveals metabolic signature in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome
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The 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11.2DS) is characterized by a well-defined microdeletion and is associated with increased risk of neurodevelopmental phenotypes including autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and intellectual impairment. The typically deleted region in 22q11.2DS contains multiple genes with the potential of altering metabolism. Deficits in metabolic processes during early brain development may help explain the increased prevalence of neurodevelopmental phenotypes seen in 22q11.2DS. However, relatively little is known about the metabolic impact of the 22q11.2 deletion, while such insight may lead to increased understanding of the etiology. We performed untargeted metabolic analysis in a large sample of dried blood spots derived from 49 22q11.2DS patients and 87 controls, to identify a metabolic signature for 22q11.2DS. We also examined trait-specific metabolomic patterns within 22q11.2DS patients, focusing on intelligence (intelligence quotient, IQ) and ASD. We used the Boruta algorithm to select metabolites distinguishing patients from controls, patients with ASD from patients without, and patients with an IQ score in the lowest range from patients with an IQ score in the highest range. The relevance of the selected metabolites was visualized with principal component score plots, after which random forest analysis and logistic regression were used to measure predictive performance of the selected metabolites. Analysis yielded a distinct metabolic signature for 22q11.2DS as compared to controls, and trait-specific (IQ and ASD) metabolomic patterns within 22q11.2DS patients. The metabolic characteristics of 22q11.2DS provide insights in biological mechanisms underlying the neurodevelopmental phenotype and may ultimately aid in identifying novel therapeutic targets for patients with developmental disorders.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the last few decades an increasing proportion of developmental disorders have been connected to a known genetic etiology [1, 2]. However, the mechanisms relating genetic mutation to phenotypic manifestation are oftentimes poorly understood. Knowledge about these mechanisms would be valuable as this may provide novel therapeutic targets and might aid in symptom prediction and disease stratification. Various genetic disorders may function as a window into the underlying neurobiological pathways that result in the manifestation of developmental disorders. The 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11.2DS) is one such pathogenic genetic variant.

22q11.2DS results from a hemizygous deletion of the long arm of chromosome 22 [3]. The 22q11.2 deletion has an estimated prevalence of 1 in ~2000 live births [4] and is associated with a highly variable clinical presentation, affecting multiple organs and tissues. The clinical phenotype may include heart anomalies, palatal abnormalities, facial dysmorphisms, T-cell abnormalities and endocrine gastrointestinal problems [5, 6]. Furthermore, 22q11.2DS is associated with cognitive deficits and neurodevelopmental symptoms [3]. Most of the genes that are typically deleted in 22q11.2DS are expressed in the brain [7]. Patients with 22q11.2DS have an increased risk of developing brain-related phenotypes, including language impairment, anxiety disorders, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in early life, as well as schizophrenia and early onset Parkinson's disease later in life [6, 8–12].

Intellectual disability is common in people with 22q11.2DS, with an estimated prevalence of 45–50% [9]. The mean Intelligence Quotient (IQ) in individuals with 22q11.2DS is ~70, with approximately two-third of the population having an IQ in the range of 55–85 [13], as opposed to a mean IQ of 100 in the general population. Furthermore, ASD is highly prevalent among individuals with 22q11.2DS. The prevalence of ASD in 22q11.2DS patients has been estimated to be around 35% [9, 14].

Over the last few decades, 22q11.2DS has been well characterized genetically [3]. However, despite the fact that 90% of people with 22q11.2DS carry an identical mutation [3], the clinical
phenotype is highly heterogeneous. The reasons for this phenotypic variability remain largely unclear and may include epigenetic mechanisms, genetic risk variants outside the 22q11.2 locus and environmental factors. Recently, progress has been made towards elucidating the mechanisms behind this phenotypic variability, illustrating the role of common genetic variation and parental phenotypes [15, 16].

One relevant but understudied mechanism behind phenotypic variability in 22q11.2DS is metabolic functioning [3, 17]. Out of the ~90 genes involved in 22q11.2 DS, nine genes are implicated in key metabolic processes: Catechol-O-Methyltransferase (COMT), Ubiquitin Recognition Factor In ER-Associated Degradation 1 (UFD1L), DiGeorge Syndrome Critical Region 8 (DGCR8), Mitochondrial Ribosomal Protein L40 (MRPL40), proline dehydrogenase (PRODH), Solute Carrier Family 25 Member 1 (SLC25A1), Thioredoxin Reductase 2 (TXNRD2), T10, and Zinc Finger DHHC-Type Palmitoyltransferase 8 (ZDHHC8) [18]. Of these nine, the first three are believed to have an indirect effect on mitochondrial functioning, whereas the remaining six are directly involved in mitochondrial functioning. These six are maximally expressed shortly after birth, when forebrain synaptogenesis peaks [19]. Reduced gene dosage of genes involved in metabolism may lead to disrupted neuronal connectivity, synaptic signaling and neuronal metabolism [17, 18]. This, in turn, could lead to altered neurocognitive development, contributing to the various 22q11.2DS-associated cognitive and neurodevelopmental phenotypes.

Thus, studying the metabolome of the 22q11.2DS might allow for a deeper understanding of the underlying neurobiological pathways, which could also lead to better understanding of developmental disorders in general. Furthermore, studying the metabolome of individuals with 22q11.2DS may reveal how genotype and phenotype are connected in this genetic syndrome and provide insight into mechanisms underlying atypical neurodevelopment.

Here, we report and discuss results of untargeted metabolic analysis of dried blood spots derived from 22q11.2DS patients and controls, aiming to identify a metabolic “signature” for 22q11.2DS. In addition, we analyze associations between 22q11.2DS-related metabolomic patterns and two highly prevalent neurodevelopmental expression in 22q11DS: low intellectual functioning (defined as lowest third of IQ-scores measured in sample) and autism spectrum disorder (ASD).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Samples and procedures
This study was part of a large ongoing clinical cohort study at the University Medical Center Utrecht, the Netherlands, aiming to describe trajectories of cognitive and behavioral phenotypes in children and adolescents with 22q11.2DS [14]. All of the subjects had a molecularly confirmed 22q11.2 deletion. As part of the clinical assessments, all patients undergo routine laboratory assessment including metabolic parameters, as hyperprolinemia is highly prevalent in 22q11.2DS [20]. As part of the metabolic analyses, a dried blood spot is generated and stored as reported previously [21]. Subjects were asked to refrain from food and drinks (except water, and black tea/coffee without added sugar) 10 h before the blood test.

IQ, including parameters of full scale IQ, verbal IQ, and performance IQ, was assessed according to an age-appropriate version of the Wechsler [22]. For this study, only full scale IQ measures were used (from now on called “IQ”). ASD diagnosis was based on clinical assessment, which included the ADI-R [23] and direct clinical observation, and consistent with DSM-IV-TR diagnostic criteria [24]. Clinical assessments were conducted by a team of experienced psychiatrists and psychologists at the University Medical Center Utrecht, Department of Psychiatry.

The control group consisted of 87 individuals without 22q11.2DS from whom a dried blood spot was available. For these individuals, aged between 0 and 18 years, a routine metabolic analysis had been requested as part of a general pediatric assessment; no phenotypic or behavioral data were available for this control group. Therefore, it cannot be ensured that the control group was age- and sex-matched.

Ethical approval was obtained from the local Ethics Board (METC Utrecht, The Netherlands, 08/345) and informed consent was obtained from all participants and legal guardians prior to research procedures.

Metabolic profiling
Sample preparation, direct infusion high-resolution mass spectrometry (DI-HRMS) and data processing was performed as previously described [25, 26]. Mass peak intensities were composed of summed intensities of isomers, as DI-HRMS cannot separate these. To compare the metabolic profiles of controls and 22q11.2DS patients, mass peak intensities were converted to Z-scores to normalize measurements across samples.

Data analysis
R-software (v4.0.3) [27] was used to conduct data analysis. A flow chart for the data analysis is available in the Supplementary Materials (Supplementary Fig. 1). R code is available upon request.

Boruta as implemented in the Boruta package (v7.0.0) [28] was used to determine metabolic features informative about metabolic differences between individuals with and without 22q11.2DS. To ensure no interference of metabolites by psychotropic medication, individuals taking psychotropic medication (n = 12) were excluded from this step. Boruta is a wrapper around random forest (RF) analysis that selects the features that are most relevant than random probes. Tentative attributes were removed from selected variables, as these did not perform significantly better than random probes.

To visualize the extent to which 22q11.2DS patients could be distinguished from controls based on the features selected by Boruta, principal component analysis (PCA), RF analysis and logistic regression (LR) were performed. For the PCA we used the pca function from the MixOmics package (v6.14.0) [29]. RF analysis was performed using the method “rf” from the function train from the package caret (v6.0.86) [30], implemented with a 10-fold cross validation. LR was executed using the method “glm” from the train function belonging to the package caret (v6.0.86) [30]. The function roc from the package pROC was used to calculate the area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) for LR (v1.17.0.1) [31]. Additionally, the Pearson correlations between the age of the patients and the first 5 principal components (PCs) of the whole metabolomics dataset available for patients were calculated to explore the potential confounding effect of the age of the patients.

The sequence of analyses described was repeated for within-patients analysis. To explore metabolic patterns associated with ASD co-occurring with 22q11.2DS, features distinguishing patients with ASD from patients without were selected. Additionally, features that significantly distinguished the third of the patients with the lowest IQ score (IQ < 62) from the third of patients with the highest IQ score (IQ > 69) were selected to explore metabolic patterns associated with IQ for 22q11.2DS. We choose to split the data into three categories instead of two, as this leads to a smaller loss of efficiency when analyzing [32].

RESULTS
Dried blood spots were available for 49 individuals with a confirmed 22q11.2 deletion (21 male, 42.8%). Of this group, 12 individuals used psychotropic medication (Supplementary Table 1). Subjects were aged between 11 and 27, with a mean of 16.8 (SD ± 3.3). No strong correlations (r < 0.4) between the age of the patients and the first 5 PCs of the whole metabolomics dataset were present (Supplementary Table 2).

The distribution of IQ scores, subdivided in full scale IQ (mean = 66.1, SD ± 10.4), verbal IQ (mean = 72.3, SD ± 12.4) and performance IQ (mean = 68.5, SD ± 11.2) was slightly skewed to the left compared to the normal distribution representative of 22q11.2DS patients as a whole [33, 34] (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Out of the 49 individuals with 22q11.2DS included in this study, 22 individuals (44.8%) were diagnosed with ASD. A detailed overview of the clinical phenotype of this cohort can be found in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Table 3). A total of 1867 metabolites, and their respective isomers, were available for this analysis.
Case–control analysis
Boruta identified 50 metabolites distinguishing controls and patients (Table 1; Supplementary Table 4). The PCA score plot visualizing the features selected by Boruta showed a good separation (Fig. 1). PCA contribution plots are presented in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Figs. 3–4).

To measure the relevance of the features selected by Boruta, we performed RF analysis, resulting in an out-of-bag error (a method of estimating the prediction error for RF) of 8.4% (AUROC = 0.98, sensitivity = 0.77, specificity = 0.98), whereas logistic regression resulted in an AUROC of 0.86 (sensitivity = 0.89, specificity = 0.83).

Within-patients analysis
Boruta analysis revealed 13 metabolites that significantly distinguished patients with ASD from those without ASD (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 5), and revealed 8 metabolites that significantly distinguished 22q11.2DS patients with a lower IQ (IQ < 62) from 22q11.2DS patients with a higher IQ (IQ > 69) (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 6). The PCA score plots of both within-analyses revealed relatively clear clustering (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). PCA contribution plots can be found in the Supplementary Materials (Supplementary Figs. 5–8).

Table 1. The metabolites that are found to be relevant, as calculated by Boruta analysis, for distinguishing 22q11.2DS patients from controls, distinguishing 22q11.2DS patients with ASD from 22q11.2DS patients without ASD and distinguishing 22q11.2DS patients with an IQ score in the lowest range (IQ < 62) from 22q11.2DS patients with an IQ score in the highest range (IQ > 69).

| Metabolites distinguishing 22q11.2DS patients from controls, according to Boruta analysis. | Metabolites distinguishing 22q11.2DS patients with ASD from 22q11.2DS patients without ASD, according to Boruta analysis. | Metabolites distinguishing 22q11.2DS patients with an IQ < 62 from 22q11.2DS patients with an IQ > 69, according to Boruta analysis. |
|---|---|---|
| Glutamyl-Tryptophan | 11′-Carboxy-alpha-tocotrienol | 3-Methoxybenzenepropanoic acid |
| 12-Ketodeoxycyclic acid | Glutamyl-Tyrosine | 2-Hexaprenyl-3-methyl-6-hydroxy-1,4-benzoquinone | DG(14:0/14:0/0:0) |
| 1-Methylguanosine | Glyccholic acid | 4-Methylcatechol | Diethylthiophosphate |
| 2′-Deoxyninosine triphosphate | Histamine | Bisnorbiotin | Hydroquinone |
| 3,5-Diiodothyronine | Hydroxyphenylacetylglycine | Cer(d18:0/16:0) | Hydroxypropyl-Isoluecine |
| 3b,12a-Dihydroxy-5a-cholanoic acid | Hydroxypropyl-Isoluecine | dTDP | Imidazoleacetic acid riboside |
| 3-Hydroxyhexadecanoyl carnitine | L-Proline | Eicosapentaenoyl Ethanolamide | Se-Methylselenocysteine |
| 3-Methoxytyrosine | LysoPE(0:0/18:2(9Z,12Z)) | Estrone sulfate | Tyramine |
| 4-Hydroxy-5-(dihydroxyphenyl)-valeric acid-O-sulfate | LysoPE(0:0/20:2(11Z,14Z)) | Galactaric acid | |
| 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid | N-(2-formyl-3-chlorophenyl)anthranilic acid | Hydroquinone | |
| 5,10-Methenyltetrahydrofolic acid | N(6)-(Octanoyl)lysine | Leukotriene B4 dimethylamide | |
| 5-Dodecanoic acid | N-Acetyl-L-phenylalanine | Leukotriene F4 | |
| Thymidine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate | Thymidine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate | Thymidine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate | |

The names of the metabolites are shown in alphabetical order. The isobars of these metabolites can be found in the supplemental materials (Supplemental Tables 4–6).
RF analysis performed to evaluate the relevance of the features selected by Boruta in distinguishing patients with ASD from those without, resulted in an out-of-bag error of 10.0% (AUROC = 0.94, sensitivity = 0.95, specificity = 0.81). The relevance of the features selected by Boruta measured with LR resulted in an AUROC of 0.73 (sensitivity = 0.67, specificity = 0.80).

RF analysis measuring the relevance of the features selected by Boruta in distinguishing patients with a lower IQ (IQ < 62) from patients with a higher IQ (IQ > 69) resulted in an out-of-bag error of 10.0% (AUROC = 0.98, sensitivity = 0.91, specificity = 0.83). LR measuring the relevance of these features selected by Boruta resulted in an AUROC of 0.75 (sensitivity = 0.67, specificity = 0.83).

DISCUSSION
This study performed untargeted metabolomics in dried blood spots and identified a metabolic signature for 22q11.2DS and related phenotypic expressions of ASD and IQ. The results point to potential biological mechanisms associated with 22q11.2DS and related neurodevelopmental phenotypes. This facilitates a deeper understanding of the etiology of the syndrome as well as the connection between genotype and phenotype in the context of 22q11.2DS. However, more research is required to fully interpret the broader implications of this metabolic signature.

Metabolomics is a powerful study method as metabolites and their concentrations often directly reflect biochemical activity as well as pathogenic mechanisms [35, 36]. Metabolomics allows for extensive characterization of metabolic alterations that may underlie disease etiology. Only one previous study has investigated metabolomics in a small sample of patients with 22q11.2DS (n = 11) [18]. This pilot study reported significant differences between the metabolome of children with 22q11.2DS (aged 8–15) and controls (aged 6–13). To our knowledge, our study included the largest sample size to date for any metabolomics analysis in 22q11.2DS and is the first study to report on metabolomics in adolescents and young adults with 22q11.2DS. The results reveal relevant metabolites that may guide future studies investigating pathogenic mechanisms underpinning 22q11.2DS-related phenotypes.

Proline
Plasma proline levels are commonly increased (hyperprolinemia) in 22q11.2DS patients, occurring in 30–50% of this population [20, 37]. Therefore, it is not surprising that proline is a prominent feature of the metabolic signature. The conversion of proline to glutamate is catalyzed by the mitochondrial enzyme proline dehydrogenase [38]. This enzyme is encoded by the PRODH gene, which is one of the ~90 genes implicated in 22q11.2DS. Proline showed to be a significant influence in the metabolic signature distinguishing between 22q11.2DS patients and controls (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 3). In accordance with our findings, Napoli et al. (2015) found the metabolite proline to be significantly increased in 22q11.2DS patients compared to controls [18]. This is consistent with previous studies reporting on hyperprolinemia in patients with 22q11.2DS [20, 37]. Our secondary analyses within the 22q11.2DS patients revealed no significant differences between 22q11.2DS patients with and without ASD; or between IQ groups. This too is in line with currently available evidence demonstrating that there is no direct association between high proline levels and specific psychiatric expressions, and that additional genomic and environmental factors may be needed to produce clinical symptoms [39]. High proline levels may lower the threshold for developing neuropsychiatric disorders by indirectly affecting neuronal connectivity, synaptic signaling and neuronal metabolism [39].

Effect of medication use
Some of the metabolites present in the metabolic signatures are associated with certain types of medication. Examples are the metabolite pyronaridine, which is used to treat malaria [40], and the metabolite quinaprilat, which is an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor [41]. According to the available data, none of the participants were taking these drugs at the moment of sampling.
Fig. 2 Principal component analysis score plot serving as visualization of the features selected by Boruta analysis for distinguishing 22q11.2DS patients with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) from 22q11.2DS patients without autism spectrum disorder (No ASD). Ellipses with a 95% confidence level serve to indicate clusters. One patient without ASD is plotted outside of this ellipse and is labeled. More information about this patient can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

Fig. 3 Principal component analysis score plot serving as visualization of the features selected by Boruta analysis for 22q11.2DS patients with an IQ score in the lowest range (IQ < 62) from 22q11.2DS patients with an IQ score in the highest range (IQ > 69). Ellipses with a 95% confidence level serve to indicate clusters. Two patients with an IQ score in the lowest range are plotted outside of this ellipse and are labeled. More information about these patients can be found in Supplementary Table 3.
Existing literature has not clarified this finding. It should also be noted that one of the patients took the psychotropic drug olanzapine at the moment of sampling (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). The data of this patient, indicated by the number 30 in this study, was plotted outside of the ellipse (95% confidence level) in the PCA graphs of the observed metabolic signature of ASD and the observed metabolic signature of IQ (Figs. 2 and 3). Olanzapine has been shown to significantly alter metabolic patterns [42, 43], which may explain the observed separation of patient 30 in both Figs. 2 and 3.

Strengths and limitations

Strengths of this study are the large sample size and thorough psychotropic phenotyping. A limitation of the study is that interpretation of results may be hampered by the fact that 22q11.2DS subjects had a fasting blood sample taken whereas for healthy controls this was not the case. However, a 10 h fast is considered brief and insufficient to activate a full fasting response. We checked the metabolites present in the metabolic signatures for relevance to fasting pathways but none were identified. Another limitation is that the age of 22q11.2DS patients ranged from 11–27 years. However, as no correlations between age and metabolic data were identified, the influence of age is likely to be small (Supplementary Table 2).

Another limitation is that phenotypic data about the control group were unavailable. Ideally, future studies would include healthy controls for whom phenotypic data are available, in order to allow for better interpretation of between-group comparisons. Furthermore, longitudinal designs are needed to investigate risk of psychiatric illness over time. The 22q11.2DS is associated with an increased risk of psychotic disorders up to 25% [9]. A longitudinal study design would enable identification of metabolic features associated with psychosis risk. Ultimately, metabolic data jointly with clinical and other data may be used for predictive modeling of disease risk and stratification.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study used untargeted metabolomics in dried blood spots to identify a metabolic signature for 22q11.2DS and related neurodevelopmental expressions, ASD and low intellectual functioning. By examining metabolic characteristics of 22q11.2DS we aim to detect biological mechanisms underlying these neurodevelopmental traits. Increasing our understanding of metabolic mechanisms underlying phenotypic expressions of 22q11.2DS facilitates identification of novel therapeutic targets that may ultimately lead to improved treatment strategies for patients with neurodevelopmental disorders.
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