THE INTERSTELLAR NEUTRAL He HAZE IN THE HELIOSPHERE: WHAT CAN WE LEARN?
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ABSTRACT

Neutral interstellar helium has been observed by the Interstellar Boundary Explorer (IBEX) since 2009, with a signal-to-noise ratio well above 1000. Because of the geometry of the observations, the signal observed from January to March each year is the easiest to identify. However, as we show via simulations, the portion of the signal in the range of intensities from $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-2}$ of the peak value, previously mostly left out from the analysis, may provide important information about the details of the distribution function of interstellar He gas in front of the heliopause. In particular, these observations may inform us about possible departures of the parent interstellar He population from equilibrium. We compare the expected distribution of the signal for the canonical assumption of a single Maxwell–Boltzmann population with the distributions for a superposition of the Maxwell–Boltzmann primary population and the recently discovered Warm Breeze, and for a single primary population given by a kappa function. We identify the regions on the sky where the differences between those cases are expected to be the most visible against the background. We discuss the diagnostic potential of the fall peak of the interstellar signal, reduced by a factor of 50 due to the Compton–Getting effect but still above the detection limit of IBEX. We point out the strong energy dependence of the fall signal and suggest that searching for this signal in the data could bring an independent assessment of the low-energy measurement threshold of the IBEX-Lo sensor.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Considerable progress has recently been made in the experimental studies of interstellar neutral (ISN) gas, especially helium. This progress was possible due to observations with the IBEX-Lo instrument (Fuselier et al. 2009) on the Interstellar Boundary Explorer (IBEX; McComas et al. 2009). After the successful detection of ISN He by Möbius et al. (2009), the first round of analysis of ISN He observations by Bzowski et al. (2012) and Möbius et al. (2012) suggested that the ISN He inflow parameters may be different than previously thought (Möbius et al. 2004). The IBEX-Lo observations from the 2009 and 2010 ISN observation seasons were consistent either with the gas flowing slower by $\sim 3$ km s$^{-1}$ and from a direction different by $\sim 4^\circ$ than that inferred from GAS/Ulysses observations (Witte 2004), but having the same temperature, or alternatively, having a similar flow vector but with the temperature being considerably lower (McComas et al. 2015a; Möbius et al. 2015). While these dilemmas have not been fully resolved, reanalysis of the earlier Ulysses observations (including interpretation of the previously not analyzed last observation season 2007) by Bzowski et al. (2014), as well as a recent analysis of the IBEX observations from 2013 and 2014 (McComas et al. 2015a), suggest that the second interpretation may be more likely.

IBEX-Lo observations revealed that in addition to the expected ISN He flow, another population inflowing from beyond the heliopause is present, dubbed the Warm Breeze (WB; Kubiak et al. 2014). The WB is flowing from a direction different by $\sim 20^\circ$ (mostly in ecliptic longitude) from the inflow direction of ISN He, and is approximately half as fast, and almost three times warmer than the primary ISN He. In the initial study, this flow was analyzed as another homogeneous Maxwell–Boltzmann population directly upstream of the heliopause. Kubiak et al. (2014) discussed various physical scenarios possibly responsible for the WB. These scenarios must result in considerable departures of this population from a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution, also in terms of the spatial homogeneity. The kappa distribution of ISN He in front of the heliopause was considered as one of the alternative possibilities of the source mechanism for the WB. Kappa distributions seem to form naturally in cosmic plasmas (see the recent review by Livadiotis & McComas 2013). Because of the elastic and charge-exchange collisions of ions from the ambient plasma with the ambient neutral component, including He atoms, departures from the ideal equilibrium state should be transmitted into the neutral population, and while not necessarily forming another kappa distribution, they certainly should be different from an ideal Maxwell–Boltzmann. Estimates by Kubiak et al. (2014) suggested that the hypothesis that the source population for the WB is a kappa distribution should be rejected, but the hypothesis that the original ISN He population is kappa-like rather than Maxwell–Boltzmann-like could not be ruled out. The departures of the ISN He flux in the heliosphere from Gaussianity were also studied by Gruntman (1986, 2013), who considered elastic collisions of ISN He atoms with solar protons. Such collisions should produce a halo, approximately 30°-wide, around the maximum of the core of the flux.

On the experimental side, Fuselier et al. (2014) and Galli et al. (2014) studied the sources of the foreground and background of the IBEX-Lo heliospheric signal and noticed a weak signal of 0.0005 $\pm$ 0.0002 times the maximum ISN signal strength (the lower end of the curve in Figure 1) in the
are 18 observations. The inset table shows the maximum count rates in all three maximum count rate for a given energy step, sorted in pixels of the data map shown further on in Figure 9. The background has not been subtracted.

four lower energy bins beside the ISN inflow and the WB, more or less uniformly distributed on the sky. This ubiquitous background appears in all energies from 15 to 110 eV and is approximately 50% of the heliospheric signal at 150 eV. It persists along the whole Earth’s orbit and in all directions, and does not exhibit a Compton–Geeing effect (McComas et al. 2010), which indicates a local origin (Galli et al. 2014). Thus, background arising from the terrestrial magnetosphere or some effect internal to the instrument would seem to be the most likely explanations.

In this paper, which is a part of a coordinated set of Special Issue papers on ISN atoms measured by IBEX, for which an overview is provided by McComas et al. (2015b), we suggest possible experimental tests to provide a better understanding of the nature of interstellar populations of neutral He inside the heliosphere. As we will demonstrate, it seems that the best visible differences between scenarios with different distribution functions of the gas in the source region should be manifested at the edges of the core of ISN He beam, observed by IBEX in the spring of each year at Earth’s ecliptic longitude $\lambda_{E}\sim 136^\circ$. These wings of the parent distribution in the interstellar medium should form a weak, distributed He atom flux on the sky, which we call “haze” due to its similarity to a very fine cloud of gas, covering a large portion of the space around the observer. We simulate it for the three lowest-energy channels for three different scenarios: first, a single-population Maxwell–Boltzmann flow; second, two Maxwell–Boltzmann flows: the ISN He and the WB; and third, a single kappa population featuring extreme departures from equilibrium, manifested by the low $\kappa$ index of 8/5. Based on these simulations, we suggest the best times and locations on the sky to look for the signatures specific to the different scenarios.

Finally, we note that the results of this study are also important for future measurements of ISN atoms from spacecraft beyond IBEX. In particular, the National Research Council (NRC; an arm of the United States National Academies) recently completed “The 2013–2022 Decadal Survey for Solar and Space Physics (Heliophysics),” which defined an Interstellar Mapping and Acceleration Probe (IMAP) as the next Solar Terrestrial Probe mission for NASA’s Heliophysics Division. The planned IMAP payload includes a low energy $\sim 5–1000$ eV neutral atom camera to measure the inflowing H, D, He, O, and Ne with much higher sensitivity and angular resolution than possible on IBEX. Such high-precision measurements of He (and other species) will carry on from IBEX and even more strongly constrain models of the ionization state and radiation environment of LISM as well as uncover secondary populations and their detailed distribution functions.

2. EXPERIMENTAL ASPECTS OF IBEX-LO OBSERVATIONS

The IBEX mission (McComas et al. 2009) comprises a spin-stabilized satellite that orbits the Earth in a highly elliptical orbit (McComas et al. 2011). The IBEX-Lo detector (Fuselier et al. 2009) is a time-of-flight mass spectrometer with the aperture pointing perpendicular to the spin axis. During each orbit or orbital segment, the aperture scans a single $\sim 7^\circ$ FWHM wide strip of the sky (for details of the peaked collimator point-spread function see Sokol et al. 2015, this volume). The spin axis of the spacecraft is maintained within a few degrees from the Sun and very close to the ecliptic plane. Therefore, after half a year of single strip scans, the entire sky is covered. Polar regions are observed almost continuously, but the individual ecliptic sectors are observed just twice per year. The instrument registers neutral atoms in eight logarithmically spaced energy steps with the energy resolution $\Delta E/E \approx 0.7$. In this paper we focus on the three lowest-energy channels, with center energies 14.5 (E1), 28.5 (E2), and 55.5 eV (E3). We also investigated the so-called energy step zero (E0), where we integrate over the whole energy spectrum.

IBEX-Lo is sensitive to neutral helium via an indirect detection mechanism in which neutral He atoms impact on a conversion surface that is especially designed for surface ionization (Wurz et al. 2006; Wieser et al. 2007). This surface is covered with a thin layer comprised mostly of water, established by the outgassing of the instrument (Wurz et al. 2009). The impact of He atoms sputters H, O, and C atoms and ions from the conversion surface, which are subsequently registered by IBEX-Lo (Wurz et al. 2008). Since the impact of the He atom on the surface is at a shallow angle, direct ejection of an atom from the surface layer by the impacting atom occurs following a process called recoil sputtering (Taglauer 1990). The energy distribution of the sputtered H atoms is wide, ranging from below the impactor energy down to zero (Eckstein et al. 1987), and is a weak function of the energy of the impacting He atom, except for a relatively narrow energy band immediately below the impactor energy (for discussion see Möbius et al. 2012). Thus, the signal of recoil-sputtered H$^-$ ions is visible in all energy steps below the energy of the impacting atoms. Since binding energies of the atoms on the surface have to be overcome by the recoil sputtering process, there is a minimum energy of the impactor required for the sputtering to occur, the so-called threshold energy (Taglauer 1990), being the lower limit for the instrument energy range for He detection. An estimate for this energy was obtained by Galli et al. (2015) based on simulations of the impact process. The existence of an energy threshold for the observations of IBEX-Lo has been qualitatively observed by Kubiak et al. (2014). They found that the behavior of the

![Figure 1. Ratios of count rates in individual IBEX-Lo 6$^\circ$-bins (pixels) to the maximum count rate for a given energy step, sorted in increasing order, for all 6$^\circ$-pixels in energy steps 1 (orange), 2 (green), and 3 (blue) from orbits 54 through 72, shown as an example to illustrate the high dynamic range of IBEX-Lo observations. The inset table shows the maximum count rates in all three energy steps, observed during the 2009/2010 ISN observation season. There are $18 \times 60 = 1080$ bins for one energy step. The bins from E2 constitute pixels of the data map shown further on in Figure 9. The background has not been subtracted.](image)
simulated signal at the wings of the WB beam is sensitive to the energy threshold and that the adoption of a finite threshold energy in the simulations improves the fit of the model to the data. However, details of this effect are not well known because reproducing the actual operational environment of the instrument in the laboratory is practically impossible. In this paper we propose an approximate experimental method of determining this sensitivity limit.

**IBEX-Lo** data for the ISN He flow have routinely achieved an extremely high contrast (the season maximum to minimum ratio) greater than $10^7$. The distribution of pixel brightness as shown in Figure 1 has been repeated in all seasons thus far. The steep decrease at the left side of the plot is due to the ISN He peak. The region between $\sim 10^{-3}$ and $\sim 10^{-2}$ is the main area of interest in this paper: it contains most of the WB and ISN distributed flux pixels, in addition to the ubiquitous background signal (Fuselier et al. 2014; Galli et al. 2014). The background signal seems to be in the regions below $\sim 7 \times 10^{-4}$ of the maximum value, where the signals from the all three energy steps start to diverge (i.e., starting from approximately the 800th pixel in the plot). For all three energy steps, a signal that is useful for the analysis of the darkest pixels is consistently as low as a few times $10^{-4}$ of the seasonal maximum. The effective observation times for a given pixel and energy step were not equal for the pixels shown in the figure; they varied from orbit to orbit from just a few minutes to a few hours per pixel per orbit. These times may seem short for an orbit of $\sim 7$ days, but one must keep in mind that the energy setting is stepped over the eight energy steps and that the data are collected in 60 $^\circ$-angular bins (pixels), which results in a $60 \times 8 = 480$-fold reduction in the effective observation time per bin compared with the total observation time for an orbit.

If the parent distribution of the gas in front of the heliopause is homogeneous, the flow of the gas inside the heliosphere will feature axial symmetry, unless there are asymmetric ionization losses in the heliosheath or inside the heliosphere due to a heliolatitude dependence of the solar factors (for a discussion of the latter, see Bzowski et al. 2013b). Therefore, the ISN He signal observed by a non-moving observer along the Earth’s orbit should be almost symmetric relative to the projection of the inflow direction on the ecliptic plane, as illustrated in Figure 2. However, the **IBEX** spacecraft is moving with the Earth, which causes an important difference, as presented in Figure 5. In spring ($\lambda_{\text{E}}$ from $\sim 60^\circ$ to $\sim 170^\circ$), the velocities of the spacecraft and the flux add, thus strongly enhancing the apparent flux via the Compton–Getting effect (modification of the magnitude of the registered flux by the relative motion of the flowing gas and the detector) and additionally increasing the measured count rate as the instrument sensitivity increases with increasing energy of neutral atoms entering the detector. In the fall ($\lambda_{\text{E}}$ complementary to the spring season), the situation is the opposite: the flux in the peak is reduced by almost two orders of magnitude due to subtracting the velocities of the ISN flow and the spacecraft. The effect of modification of the observed flux due to the relative velocity of the gas atoms and detector can be appreciated by comparing Figure 2 with Figure 5. It is important to realize that even if the instrument had been equally sensitive at all energies, the fall peak must be quite reduced solely due to the difference in relative velocities of the gas and the instrument. Indeed, the modification of the trajectories of the atoms by solar gravity is symmetric with respect to the Sun, and for an assumed symmetry of the ionization rate, the ionization losses of the ISN He gas on both sides of the Sun at a given time are identical. Thus, when the spring peak exists, the fall peak must also exist. The question is if it can be observed given the instrumental and observational constraints.

Once the parameters of the flow in front of the heliopause are known, it is straightforward to calculate the expected location in Earth’s orbit where the spacecraft will observe the fall peak, and the apparent direction of the flux maximum. While the absolute magnitudes of the spring and fall peaks depend on the ionization rate, the ratio of the peak maxima does not because the ionization losses on both sides of the Sun are nearly identical.\(^6\) With the background level established and with the

---

\(^6\) In reality, a small difference may exist because of the changes in the ionization rate with time.
known peak intensity for the spring peak, one can infer the expected intensity and location of the fall peak. If the peak is not observed, then the only reason (excluding a high local foreground) may be instrumental. In the following sections of the paper, this fact can be used to establish the practical sensitivity threshold of IBEX-Lo for low-energy He atoms.

3. ADOPTED DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS AND INTEGRATION BOUNDARIES

We carried out numerical simulations of the ISN He flux as it would be observed by IBEX-Lo on orbits 43 (2009 August 30) through 91 (2010 August 30), providing a full coverage of the Earth’s orbit around Sun. The simulations aimed at reproducing several alternatives for the physical state of ISN He gas in front of the heliopause, including an isotropic single Maxwell–Boltzmann, an isotropic single kappa population with a low \( \kappa \) value of 8/5 and several alternative values of the reference speed, and a superposition of two isotropic Maxwell–Boltzmann populations: the primary ISN He gas and the WB, all normalized by the density of the ISN gas in the source region.

For the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution function, we adopted the conventional definition:

\[
\frac{f_{\text{M–B}}(v, u_{\text{M–B}})}{e^{u_{\text{M–B}}}} = \frac{\pi^{-3/2}u_{\text{M–B}}^{-3} \exp\left(\frac{-v^2}{u_{\text{M–B}}^2}\right)}{u_{\text{M–B}}^3},
\]

for the kappa function we followed the definition from Livadiotis & McComas (2013) for which the fundamentals are extensively described in Livadiotis & McComas (2009, 2011):

\[
f_{\kappa}(v, u_{\kappa}) = \frac{\pi^{-3/2}u_{\kappa}^{-3}}{\Gamma(\kappa + 1)} \left(\frac{\kappa - 3/2}{\kappa - 1}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{v^2}{u_{\kappa}^2} + 1\right)^{-\kappa - 1},
\]

where \( v \) is the atom speed in the reference frame comoving with the gas at the source region, the reference speed in the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution \( u_{\text{M–B}} \) is the thermal speed, and \( u_{\kappa} \) is the reference speed in the kappa distribution function.

Integration boundaries are an important aspect of our simulation. We integrate the flux in the spacecraft reference frame, but the atoms are transferred to the heliocentric frame and traced to the source region, located in front of the heliopause. The integration goes from 0 relative speed to the limiting speed \( u_{\text{lim}} \) in the comoving frame. It leaves out only \( \sim 10^{-9} \) of the entire population.

For the kappa function, we proceed similarly, i.e., we start out from the prerequisite that at least 1 – \( \Delta \) = 0.99 of the population in front of the heliosphere is covered, and we calculate the upper speed boundary in the comoving frame. It can be shown that for a kappa distribution given by Equation (2) the absolute boundary speed \( u_{\text{lim}} \) is given by the following equation:

\[
\Delta = 1 - \frac{4\Gamma(\kappa + 1)}{3\Gamma(\kappa - 1/2)(\kappa - 3/2)^{3/2}\pi^{1/2}} \left(\frac{u_{\text{lim}}}{u_{\kappa}}\right)^3
\]

\[
\times \left(\frac{3}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{\kappa + 1}}^{\frac{1}{\kappa - 1}} (1 - t)^{\frac{1}{\kappa - 3/2} - 1} (1 + t) dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\kappa} - 1/2}.
\]

Equation (3) is solved numerically for \( u_{\text{lim}} \) with the adopted value of \( \kappa \). Solutions of this equation can be inferred from Figure 3, where the plots of \( \Delta(u_{\text{lim}}/u_{\kappa}) \) are provided for a few values of \( \kappa \). For example, for \( \kappa = 8/5 \), \( u_{\text{lim}} \sim 2.4u_{\text{M–B}} \). Starting from this speed, we calculate the corresponding maximum speed at 1 AU from the conservation of energy. Then this speed is used to calculate the upper boundary in the spacecraft frame. Since this speed is relatively low, we increase this limit to 4.5\( u_{\text{M–B}} \), which leaves out only \( \sim 10^{-9} \) of the entire population.

For the Maxwell–Boltzmann function defined in Equation (2), and \( zF_1(a; b; c; z) \) is defined as

\[
zF_1(a; b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)/\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - b)}{\Gamma(c)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1 - t)^{c-b-1}(1 - tz)^{-a} dt.
\]
4. NUMERICAL MODEL AND SIMULATIONS

Simulations discussed in the paper were performed using a new and independent code called an analytic Warsaw Test Particle Model (aWTPM), described in detail by Sokół et al. (2015), developed based on assumptions and prerequisites similar to the Warsaw Test Particle Model used by, e.g., Tarnopolski & Bzowski (2008), Bzowski et al. (2012, 2014), and Kubiatk (2014) in their studies. The program is implemented in the Wolfram Research Mathematica calculation system. It computes the expected ISN He signal for an assumed distribution function in front of the heliopause with a given set of relevant parameters, taking into account the spacecraft velocity, the exact spin-axis pointing, and the IBEX-Lo collimator transmission function as described in Sokół et al. (2015).

The aWTPM code used in this study follows the assumptions of the classical hot model (Thomas 1978; Fahr 1979; Wu & Judge 1979). The ionization rate is constant in time, but to alleviate the resulting inaccuracies, we adopted a quasi time-dependent approach, assuming, for each orbit, the instantaneous ionization rate resulting from the sum of photoionization, charge exchange, and electron impact ionization in the ecliptic plane for the time of detection with $1/r^2$ variations with the distance to Sun $(r)^7$. Among these the photoionization is the most effective; see Bzowski et al. (2013a). The differences between the flux calculated using the stationary model with instantaneous ionization and a full time-dependent model are presented by Ruciński et al. (2003), and they are not significant for the present study.

We calculated full-sky maps of the collimator-integrated ISN He flux, tabulated every 6° in spin-angle for the whole range of spin-angles from 0° to 360°. Note that this simplification is not fully equivalent to the flux integrated over 6° spin-angle bins, but is sufficient for the purpose of this study. Specifically, we calculated the flux orbit for orbits 43 through 91, i.e., for the entire IBEX orbit around the Sun, thus covering the entire sky in the ram (the detector points toward the direction of the velocity vector of the spacecraft) and anti-ram (the detector looks in the opposite direction than the velocity vector) hemispheres. The instrument sensitivities in different energy steps were not taken into account. For each orbit, we adopted the IBEX spin-axis pointing (Swaczyna et al. 2015) to precisely determine the field of view. We also used the actual Earth velocity relative to the Sun and the spacecraft velocity relative to the Sun to precisely account for the relative speed of incoming He atoms. For ionization we used the photoionization model by Sokół & Bzowski (2014), which is an update of the model by Bzowski et al. (2013a), and which is based on the actual solar EUV spectral flux measured by TIMED (Woods et al. 2005). The solar wind parameters for charge exchange and electron impact ionization from the ecliptic plane were taken from the OMNI database (King & Papitashvili 2005). For each orbit we calculated the ionization rate for the mid-time of the IBEX High-Altitude Science Operations interval. The flux for a given orbit was calculated for this moment in time without integrating over the time of observations in the given orbit (Möbius et al. 2012; Leonard et al. 2015). The spin-angles from 0° to 180° cover the anti-ram hemisphere and the spin-angles from 180° to 360° cover the ram hemisphere (see the inset in Figure 2).

The simulations were performed for the ISN He inflow parameters as reported by Bzowski et al. (2012) and for the WB as given by Kubiatk (2014). The results are shown mostly for the 2009/2010 ISN observation season, but we checked that our conclusions do not critically depend on the choice of the year analyzed. We performed the simulations with the conventional assumption of a single Maxwell–Boltzmann population in front of the heliosphere, supplemented by the Maxwell–Boltzmann WB population, and alternatively, assuming that the ISN He gas in front of the heliosphere features a kappa distribution, with the direction and bulk speed identical to that of the Maxwell–Boltzmann ISN He population, but with $\kappa = 8/5 = 1.6$, and various reference speeds $u_0$. We evaluated a few cases with $u_0$ starting from $u_0 = 5\text{ km s}^{-1}$ to $u_0 = 20.4\text{ km s}^{-1}$.

In the first case we get the same mean thermal energy as in the Maxwellian distribution we used, but the distribution function is much narrower, as shown in Figure 4. The $u_0 = 20.4\text{ km s}^{-1}$ was selected to obtain a similar shape of the distribution function close to the core of the distribution function in the rest frame, but the thermal energy in this distribution is much larger than in the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution. However, since the shape of these two distributions are quite similar within $\pm 5\text{ km s}^{-1}$ around the peak (see Figure 4) we wanted to check the sensitivity of the observed flux to such differences in the parent population of the ISN He gas. In addition, we simulated two intermediate cases, with $u_0$ equal to 8 and 16 km s$^{-1}$. We chose the low kappa value ($\kappa = 8/5$) in order to test an extreme case and see if significant differences in the distribution of the haze flux are produced. An illustrative comparison of the distribution functions of all tested cases in the frame comoving with the interstellar gas is shown in Figure 4.

The results of the simulations are presented as full-sky maps of the logarithm of the flux, normalized to the flux maximum for the map (Figures 5–8, 12–13). In this way, the maximum of the flux on the map is always equal to 1, which facilitates direct comparison with observations. When one knows the actual count rate registered during a given season, it is possible to make assessments of the actual count rates expected in different regions of the map and for different cases. The normalized flux values are marked in grayscale, with iscontour lines drawn at $10^{-4}$, $10^{-3}$, $10^{-2}$, and 0.8 of the flux maximum.

5. RESULTS

5.1. The Full-sky ISN He Haze

Simulations performed for the full energy range, i.e., with no energy threshold (labeled as E0 in Figures 5–8), show that regardless of the distribution function adopted in front of the heliopause: single or two-Maxwellian, or kappa function with various reference speed values, there are always two peaks of ISN He expected, one in the ram hemisphere (the spring peak), and the other one in the anti-ram hemisphere (the fall peak). The fall peak should be visible in orbit 50 ($\lambda_E \sim 30^\circ$) and the adjacent ones, as well as in equivalent orbits in all other years. The ratio of the fall peak to the spring peak heights is expected at $\sim 0.02$, weakly dependent on the assumed distribution function and observation year. Figures 5 through 8 show the maps with both peaks clearly visible.

Further inspection of Figures 5 through 8 reveals that the differences between flux distributions on the sky for the various ISN He distribution functions assumed in front of the heliosphere are most visible in the regions away from the
spring peak, where the expected signal is relatively low. We refer to this extended region of low signals as the ISN He haze in anticipation that this signal may be related to the foreground seen by IBEX-Lo at low energies (Galli et al. 2014). For the ISN He Maxwellian population, the haze is very faint and almost the entire sky is dark, i.e., the signal is below $10^{-4}$ of the peak value, and certainly cannot be observed by IBEX even with its high signal-to-noise ratio (Figure 5). The same is true for a narrow kappa distribution with the reference speed $u_\kappa = 5$ km s$^{-1}$ (Figure 6). In contrast, a superposition of the flux from the primary ISN He population and the WB (Figure 7) occupies a large portion of the sky, mostly in the intensity range below 0.01 of the spring peak value. The ISN He haze spreads over large regions of the ram and anti-ram hemispheres and the completely dark portion of the sky (i.e., with the signal level below $10^{-4}$ of the peak) shows well only after the spring peak (orbit 72 and following). For the extreme case of kappa distribution, with $\kappa = 8/5$ and a large reference speed of $u_\kappa = 20.4$ km s$^{-1}$, an even larger portion of the sky is occupied by the ISN He haze (Figure 8). As expected, in all simulated cases the fall peak is well-pronounced, located in the same location in the anti-ram hemisphere, and its height relative to the spring peak is approximately 2%.
Inspection of Figure 9, which shows IBEX-Lo measurements in energy step 2, taken for orbits 54 through 72, i.e., during the 2009/2010 ISN observation season, reveals a different picture. The data shown in this figure correspond to the data used by Bzowski et al. (2012) to analyze the ISN He population and by Kubiak et al. (2014) to analyze the WB. They were meticulously cleaned from undesirable background and transients using a restrictive algorithm (Möbius et al. 2012; Leonard et al. 2015) and represent the cleanest data set currently available for the studies of ISN He gas. While the ISN He peak and the WB region in the ram hemisphere are well visible, the entire anti-ram hemisphere is basically dark, with
some transient islands of enhanced emission due to magneto-
spheric foreground (Galli et al. 2014). The fall peak is not
visible, since it is expected to occur a few orbits before the
beginning of the ISN He data.

However, with the WB present and no energy threshold, one
does expect some signal in the region that is apparently dark.
The likely explanation for not observing this signal is an energy
sensitivity threshold. IBEX-Lo is sensitive to H atoms with
energies above \( \sim 10 \) eV. The sensitivity to He atoms is less well
known, but the cutoff effect certainly exists, as illustrated by
Kubiak et al. (2014), who showed in their Figures 8 and 9 that
the wings of the WB beams in spin-angle change significantly
with the assumed energy threshold. To verify this hypothesis,
we simulated sky maps of the ISN He flux seen by IBEX-Lo,
assuming that the threshold values equal 10, 19, and 38 eV,
which approximately correspond to the lower boundary of
IBEX-Lo energy steps E1, E2, E3, respectively. The
simulations do not include the process of the sputtering of
the H atoms registered by IBEX-Lo, but it is clear that a
sputtered H atom cannot have an energy larger than the
impacting He atom. Once sputtered, however, it can take any
energy from a little below the impact energy down to zero, so it
can be found in any IBEX-Lo energy steps below the
impactor’s energy (see more in Galli et al. 2015, this volume).

The simulations show that the energy threshold has no effect
on the flux registered in orbits where the spring peak is observed
(see the left-hand panels of Figures 10 and 11 for the ISN He +
WB and kappa function cases, respectively). This is because the
relative energy of the atoms in the spacecraft frame is high due to
the observations in the ram collision direction of detection. But
for the orbits where the WB dominates and where the ISN He
haze is expected, the situation is different: the signal in the anti-
ram hemisphere drops precipitously except for the case with the
low threshold of 10 eV (see the right panels of Figures 10 and 11

\[ \text{Figure 9. Observations from energy step 2 of IBEX-Lo taken in orbits 54 through 72. The grayscales show the logarithm of the count rate normalized to the peak count rate registered during the 2010 ISN gas observations campaign (orbit 64). The isocontour lines mark the intensities of } \times 10^{-1}, 10^{-2} \text{ (solid lines), } 10^{-3}, \text{ and } 10^{-4} \text{ of the peak intensity (broken line). Data from orbit 62 are missing (white block).} \]

\[ \text{Figure 10. He flux scaled to the maximum flux for orbits 64 (the spring peak orbit, left panel) and 50 (the fall peak orbit, right panel) for energy cutoff 0 (gray), 10 eV (orange), 19 eV (blue), and 38 eV (green), simulated assuming the ISN He and Warm Breeze Maxwellian population in front of the heliopause.} \]
Figure 11. He flux as in Figure 10, calculated assuming a kappa population in front of the heliopause with $\kappa = 8/5$ and reference speed $u_\kappa = 20.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Note the profound difference between the signal in the anti-ram hemisphere, calculated for four different energy thresholds for the case of kappa function, presented in the right panel of this figure, with the signal simulated for identical energy thresholds for the case of the Maxwellian ISN He + Warm Breeze, shown in the right panel of Figure 10.

Figure 12. Simulated sky map of helium flux (the ISN He + Warm Breeze case), equivalent to Figure 7, calculated with the energy threshold of 19 eV.

Figure 13. Simulated sky map of ISN He flux ($\kappa = 8/5$, $u_\kappa = 20.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), equivalent to Figure 8, calculated with the energy threshold of 19 eV.
and also Figures 8 and 9 in Kubiak et al. (2014). The fall peak is clearly visible only for the lowest-energy threshold, albeit shifted in spin-angle, and for higher-energy thresholds it is no longer visible. Generally, starting from a threshold of ∼19 eV the entire anti-ram hemisphere is expected to be almost totally dark, but the threshold has a relatively low impact on the signal in the ram hemisphere. Example sky maps for an energy threshold of 19 eV are shown in Figures 12 and 13 for the ISN He + WB and kappa functions, respectively. Note that for the broad kappa function, the angular size of the spring peak is much larger than that for the ISN + WB Maxwellian case, which implies that the spring peak should be visible in the data for a greater portion of the year and reach to higher north and south latitudes.

5.2. How to Determine The Energy Threshold from Observations: In Search of the Fall Peak

Determining the energy sensitivity threshold for neutral He observations by IBEX-lo is important for understanding the haze, both observed by Fuselier et al. (2014) and Galli et al. (2014), and predicted by the simulations presented in this paper. As qualitatively shown in the previous section, the ratio of the fall peak to the spring peak is a function of the energy sensitivity threshold. Therefore we propose to examine the data in search of the fall peak. Since for the zero energy threshold the fall peak should exist at an intensity level of about 2% of the spring peak intensity, which is well above the IBEX-lo background level, the lack of a fall peak in the data will be evidence that there is a finite energy cutoff in the instrument sensitivity to neutral He. To facilitate finding the likely value of this cutoff and to find at which energy threshold the fall peak disappears in the background, we calculated the behavior of the fall peak for the distribution functions and gas parameters discussed earlier at a few adjacent orbits, with an energy threshold increasing from 0 to ∼38 eV. We performed the calculations for the conditions of the 2009/2010 and 2013/2014 observation seasons.

The results are shown in Figures 10, 11, and 14. The fall peak is expected to be unaffected by the energy threshold below ∼7 eV. For larger values, the fall peak is rapidly reduced and drops to a half of its value for the threshold at ∼12 eV, and for ∼19 eV it is reduced to ∼0.002, i.e., the peak is effectively gone. For the kappa function and ISN He + WB cases, the drop with energy is less steep but the ∼19 eV limit for suppressing the fall peak is supported. The spin-angle of the peak varies with the threshold energy, moving northward for increasing threshold values. Not surprisingly, the profiles of the peak depend on the assumed distribution function, but the relative fall/spring peak height is affected only weakly. We checked that the increased ionization, characteristic for the current maximum of solar activity (Sokół & Bzowski 2014), does not modify the conclusions.

The experimental search of the threshold energy for the IBEX-lo detector is presented in the associated paper by Galli et al. (2015, this volume). Their analysis of IBEX-lo observations suggests that there exists an energy cutoff for ISN He detection and that it lies between 25 and 30 eV for hydrogen sputtered by helium. For a thorough discussion of the search of fall peak in the IBEX-lo data we refer the reader to the paper by Galli et al. (2015, this volume).

5.3. Where to Look to Discriminate Between the Kappa Function and Two Maxwellians

We find that the simulated sky maps for the two cases of distribution functions of the ISN He gas in front of the heliopause (Maxwellian primary ISN He + Maxwellian WB, and single-population kappa distribution function) show very distinct differences in the region just to the right of the spring peak (see Figures 7 and 8) and these regions may be crucial for discriminating between these two distributions of ISN He at the source region. The span of the region where the ISN haze flux exceeds the $10^{-3}$ isocountour is much wider in the case of the single kappa population than for the two-Maxwellian case. This difference holds regardless of the magnitude of the energy threshold, as can be verified by comparing simulated sky maps plotted for these two cases for the likely energy threshold of ∼19 eV (Figures 12 and 13).

We plot two cuts through the simulated and observed maps to better illustrate the differences between the discussed cases. One cut goes along the spin-angle (effectively, a cut in ecliptic latitude) on the spring peak orbit 64 (Figure 15, upper panel), the other one has a fixed spin-angle corresponding to the spring peak and runs through all of the orbits (Figure 15, lower panel), which is equivalent to scanning the flux in ecliptic longitude along the signal ridge. We use simulations for the zero energy threshold but the flux measured in these regions of the IBEX sky is not sensitive to the energy threshold (this insensitivity is demonstrated in Figures 10 and 11).

It is clearly seen from the comparison of the data with models that the two-Maxwellian case of ISN He + WB fits the data almost perfectly, but this is understandable since the parameters of the latter case were obtained from fitting performed by Bzowski et al. (2012) and Kubiak et al. (2014). In contrast, in the extreme case of single-population kappa functions with $\kappa = 8/5$, neither $u_\infty = 20.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ nor $u_\infty = 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ fit to the data even qualitatively. Also the kappa cases with intermediate reference speeds $u_\infty$ of 8
and 16 km s\(^{-1}\) do not fit. Admittedly, the \(\kappa\) and \(u_\kappa\) values were taken arbitrarily, but it seems that the differences are fundamental and most likely impossible to remove by adjusting these parameters. The narrow version of the kappa function (i.e., with a low \(u_\kappa\) value) is much too narrow, and the kappa function at the other extreme (\(u_\kappa = 20.4\) km s\(^{-1}\)) is too wide in ecliptic latitude. The orbital peaks of the flux given by the wide-kappa function fit the observed peaks with only small deviations, but with a very notable exception of orbits immediately after the spring peak, i.e., orbit 66 and subsequent ones. Perhaps one could think of increasing the kappa value while reducing the reference speed \(u_\kappa\), but there seems to be little room for adjustments that would not break the reasonable agreement between the data and the simulation seen in the left branch in the lower panel of Figure 15.

The data from the region observed in orbits after the spring peak seem to be at odds with a single wide kappa function; with \(\kappa = 8/5\), the predicted signal is well above the data. The simulated signal for the ISN + WB case is below the observed signal, but one needs to keep in mind that this region has an appreciable contribution from ISN H (Saul et al. 2012, 2013; Schwadron et al. 2013). It seems that the crucial regions for looking for evidence of non-equilibrium distribution of neutral He in front of the heliopause will be the orbits after the spring peak each year, and within these orbits, the pixels are at a distance of \(\sim 24^\circ\). Pixels farther away have poor statistics and seem to be a mixture of the ISN He haze and background counts in proportions unknown a priori. Reducing the background and increasing the statistics is important to better characterize departures of the neutral He gas from equilibrium in front of the heliopause.

Looking for evidence for non-equilibrium distribution functions of ISN He gas in front of the heliopause requires finding the energy sensitivity threshold of IBEX-Lo. When this threshold is established from the analysis of observations for fall peak orbits, it will be possible to test if a kappa distribution function, with \(\kappa\) and \(u_\kappa\) parameters found from fitting the data, better describes the observations.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In the analysis of the ISN He flux from the first two years of IBEX data collection Bzowski et al. (2012) reported on the elevated wings of the observed flux, which cannot be explained by a single Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution of the gas in the source region. Gruntman (2013) tried to explain this additional
signal as being due to the elastic collision of the He atoms with the solar wind protons. Kubiak et al. (2014) found that a part of this flux can be explained by the additional ISN He population, dubbed the Warm Breeze. In the meantime, Galli et al. (2014) and Fuselier et al. (2014) reported on a ubiquitous “background” visible by IBEX in the lowest energies. These findings motivated us to check if the additional signal can be described by the weak ISN He flux that should be distributed on the whole sky. We call it the ISN He haze due to its weakness and ubiquitousness. The haze should be present regardless of the distribution function of the gas and the nature of the WB. In addition to the ISN He haze, and in addition to the spring peak of ISN He gas, observed by IBEX during the first quarter of each year, there must be the fall peak, potentially observable when the spacecraft is located at the opposing side of the downwind axis. This fall peak is reduced in intensity by a factor of 50 relative to the spring peak due to the Compton–Getting effect (Figure 14).

We show that without an energy threshold, ISN He haze should be observed in both ram and anti-ram hemispheres. However, the intensity of the haze, as well as the fall peak height and location, are sensitive functions of the energy threshold of the instrument. The fall peak is expected in orbits from 49 to 51 (\( \lambda_{\text{E}} \) from \(-20^\circ\) to \(-40^\circ\)) and equivalent in other observation seasons at a level exceeding the IBEX-Lo background level. A careful analysis of data from these orbits should either reveal the peak or show that it is not there, which should be interpreted as independent evidence for the existence of an energy threshold in the IBEX-Lo sensitivity. We show that a non-detection of the fall peak would suggest that the energy threshold is at a level of at least \( \sim 19\) eV (Figure 14).

Similar conclusions are presented in the accompanying paper by Galli et al. (2015, this volume).

We present full-sky maps of the expected ISN He haze in both ram and anti-ram hemispheres for various energy thresholds and for various models of the distribution function of ISN He in front of the heliopause: one-population Maxwell–Boltzmann (Figure 5), corresponding to the primary ISN He population, convolution of two populations (it is primary ISN He and WB) given by Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution function, or kappa populations with a low \( \kappa \) index of 8/5 and a number of reference speed \( u_{\kappa} \) values, ranging from a low \( u_{\kappa} = 5\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 6) to an extremely and unrealistic high \( u_{\kappa} \sim 20.4\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 8).

We show that these alternative hypotheses will create very different ISN He hazes and that ISN He maps from IBEX-Lo, once the energy sensitivity threshold is established, can be used to differentiate between them. Most likely, the low value of \( \kappa = 8/5 \) is not supported by the data, but it is feasible that a kappa population with relatively low kappa value is a better model for the WB than the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution of gas.
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