Anisotropic conductivity of doped graphene due to short-range non-symmetric scattering
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The conductivity of doped graphene is considered taking into account scattering by short-range nonsymmetric defects, when the longitudinal and transverse components of conductivity tensor appear to be different. The calculations of the anisotropic conductivity tensor are based on the quasiclassical kinetic equation for the case of monopolar transport at low temperatures. The effective longitudinal conductivity and the transverse voltage, which are controlled by orientation of sample and by gate voltage (i.e. doping level), are presented.
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The conductivity tensor \( \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \) is determined both by the symmetry properties of carriers and by the character of scattering processes. For a medium with cubic (quadratic for the 2D case) symmetry, the conductivity appears to be a scalar, i.e. \( \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \propto \delta_{\alpha\beta} \). Anisotropic conductivity of hot electrons in low-symmetric bulk materials (Ge and Si) were studied over 50 years ago. \[2\] For the hexagonal symmetry case, which is correspondent to an ideal graphene sheet, the longitudinal (along \( K \)- or \( K' \)-valleys and the linear dispersion law \( \varepsilon_p = v_F |p| \) and \( \varepsilon_p \) is given by

\[
W_{\eta' \eta \mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}'} = \frac{2\pi n_{im}}{\hbar^2 L^2} \langle \eta' \mathbf{p}' | \hat{U} | \eta \mathbf{p} \rangle^2 \delta (\varepsilon_{p'} - \varepsilon_p),
\]

where 4 \( \times 4 \) matrices \( \Sigma_{\eta} \) and \( \Lambda_l \) are introduced in Refs. 4-6. In the framework of the Born approximation, the transition probability between the c-band states \( \langle \eta' \mathbf{p}' | \hat{U} | \eta \mathbf{p} \rangle \) and \( \eta \mathbf{p} \) is given by

\[
\hat{u} = \sum_{s,l=x,y,z} u_{s,l} \hat{\Sigma}_s \hat{\Lambda}_l,
\]

where \( s \) is the number of impurities placed at \( \mathbf{x} = 0 \) is given by

\[
\hat{u} = \sum_{s,l=x,y,z} u_{s,l} \hat{\Sigma}_s \hat{\Lambda}_l,
\]

where 4 \( \times 4 \) matrices \( \Sigma_{\eta} \) and \( \Lambda_l \) are introduced in Refs. 4-6. In the framework of the Born approximation, the transition probability between the c-band states \( \langle \eta' \mathbf{p}' | \hat{U} | \eta \mathbf{p} \rangle \) and \( \eta \mathbf{p} \) is given by

\[
W_{\eta' \eta \mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}'} = \frac{2\pi n_{im}}{\hbar^2 L^2} \langle \eta' \mathbf{p}' | \hat{U} | \eta \mathbf{p} \rangle^2 \delta (\varepsilon_{p'} - \varepsilon_p),
\]

where \( \mathbf{p} \) is 2D momentum, \( n_{im} = N_{im}/L^2 \) is the impurity concentration, \( \eta = K, K' \) is correspondent to \( K \)- or \( K' \)-valleys and the linear dispersion law \( \varepsilon_p = v_F |p| \) is not dependent on \( \eta \) (\( v_0 = 10^8 \) cm/s is the characteristic velocity). \[3\] Below we restrict ourselves by the case of weak short-range corrections to the long-range scattering contribution. As a result, one obtains the intravalley matrix element in (2) as

\[
\left| \langle \mathbf{Kp'} | \hat{U} | \mathbf{Kp} \rangle \right|^2 \approx v_F^2 \frac{1}{2} \cos \Delta \phi
\]

\[
+ \frac{v_F^2}{2} \left[ 1 + \cos (\phi + \phi') \right] + \frac{u_{x,x}^2}{2} [1 - \cos (\phi + \phi')],
\]

In this Letter, we consider the low-temperature conductivity taking into account both the long-range isotropic disorder (see \[4\]) and the short-range non-symmetric defects (see \[4\]). The longitudinal and transverse components of conductivity tensor are calculated based on the linearized Boltzmann equation with the transition probabilities written in the Born approximation. The effective conductivity and the transverse voltage of a graphene strip are presented for the case of weak anisotropy and their dependencies on carrier’s concentration (gate voltage) and on orientation of strip are discussed.

We consider the momentum relaxation in graphene which is caused by the interaction of carriers with a weak potential \( \sum_j \hat{U}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j) \), where \( \mathbf{x}_j \) is the coordinate of \( j \)th impurity (\( j = 1, \ldots, N_{im} \) and \( N_{im} \) is the number of impurities over the normalization area \( L^2 \)). The potential of a single impurity placed at \( \mathbf{x} = 0 \) is given by

\[
\hat{U}(\mathbf{x}) = v_0 \hat{\mathbf{p}} \delta(\mathbf{x});
\]

where we separated the long-range scalar contribution, \( v_0 \), and the short-range addend determined by the \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix \( \hat{u} \). For a nonmagnetic defect, this matrix should be Hermitian and time-reversal symmetric and one can write \( \hat{u} \) using 9 independent real parameters \( u_{s,l} \):

\[
\hat{u} = \sum_{s,l=x,y,z} u_{s,l} \hat{\Sigma}_s \hat{\Lambda}_l,
\]

where \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices \( \Sigma_{\eta} \) and \( \Lambda_l \) are introduced in Refs. 4-6. In the framework of the Born approximation, the transition probability between the c-band states \( \langle \eta' \mathbf{p}' | \hat{U} | \eta \mathbf{p} \rangle \) and \( \eta \mathbf{p} \) is given by

\[
W_{\eta' \eta \mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}'} = \frac{2\pi n_{im}}{\hbar^2 L^2} \langle \eta' \mathbf{p}' | \hat{U} | \eta \mathbf{p} \rangle^2 \delta (\varepsilon_{p'} - \varepsilon_p),
\]

where \( \mathbf{p} \) is 2D momentum, \( n_{im} = N_{im}/L^2 \) is the impurity concentration, \( \eta = K, K' \) is correspondent to \( K \)- or \( K' \)-valleys and the linear dispersion law \( \varepsilon_p = v_F |p| \) is not dependent on \( \eta \) (\( v_0 = 10^8 \) cm/s is the characteristic velocity). \[3\] Below we restrict ourselves by the case of weak short-range corrections to the long-range scattering contribution. As a result, one obtains the intravalley matrix element in (2) as

\[
\left| \langle \mathbf{Kp'} | \hat{U} | \mathbf{Kp} \rangle \right|^2 \approx v_F^2 \frac{1}{2} \cos \Delta \phi
\]

\[
+ \frac{v_F^2}{2} \left[ 1 + \cos (\phi + \phi') \right] + \frac{u_{x,x}^2}{2} [1 - \cos (\phi + \phi')],
\]

In this Letter, we consider the low-temperature conductivity taking into account both the long-range isotropic disorder (see \[4\]) and the short-range non-symmetric defects (see \[4\]).
where the main contribution is written through the Fourier component of \(v_x\), which is dependent on the momentum transfer \(\hbar q = p - p'\), and through the factor \((1 - \cos \Delta \varphi)\) with \(\Delta \varphi = p'_y p_y\) described the suppression of backscattering processes. The \(\Delta \varphi\)-dependent contributions, which are proportional to \(u_{xz}^2\), were omitted in Eq. (3). In addition, a weak \(\Delta \varphi\)-dependent intervalley matrix element, which is proportional to \(u_{zl}^2\) or to \(u_{3l}^2\) with \((s, l) \to (x, y)\) should also be neglected. The remaining corrections in Eq. (3), which are dependent on \(\varphi + \varphi'\) (\(\varphi\) and \(\varphi'\) are the polar angles of \(p\) and \(p'\)), are responsible for the anisotropic conductivity under consideration if \(u_{xz}^2 \neq u_{yz}^2\), i.e. scattering processes along \(X\)- and \(Y\)-directions are different.

Next, we solve the Boltzmann kinetic equation linearized with respect to the steady-state electric field \(\mathbf{E}\). Taking into account that the transition probability given by Eqs. (2), (3) does not dependent on \(\eta\) and that \(W_{pp'} = W_{p'p}\), we write the kinetic equation for the anisotropic part of distribution \(\Delta f_p\), which is \(\propto \mathbf{E}\), in the following form:

\[
e(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v}_p) \frac{df_p}{d\varepsilon} = \sum_{p'} W_{pp'} (\Delta f_{p'} - \Delta f_p).
\] (4)

Here \(\mathbf{v}_p = \nabla_\mathbf{p} \varepsilon_p\) is the velocity, \(f_\varepsilon\) is the equilibrium distribution and \(df_\varepsilon/d\varepsilon \simeq -\delta(\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_p)\) for the degenerate carriers with the Fermi energy \(\varepsilon_p\). We solve the integral equation (4) using the variational approach \([11]\) with the trial distribution function \(\Delta f_p = e(\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{v}_p) \delta(\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_p)\). An unknown vector \(\mathbf{C}\) is determined from the extremum conditions for the quadratic form:

\[
\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{C}) = \left( \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{A}} \cdot \mathbf{E} \right) + \left( \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{L}} \cdot \mathbf{C} \right),
\] (5)

where the field-dependent contribution is determined by the tensor:

\[
A_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\varepsilon_F^2}{L^2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \delta(\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_p) \frac{p_\alpha p_\beta}{p^2} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\varepsilon_F^2 \rho_F}{4}
\] (6)

written through the density of states at the Fermi energy, \(\rho_F\). The collision-induced contribution in Eq. (5) is determined by the matrix:

\[
L_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\pi n_{im} v_F^2}{\hbar L^4} \sum_{\mathbf{p}p'} \left| \langle \mathbf{K} \mathbf{p}' | \mathbf{U} | \mathbf{K} \mathbf{p} \rangle \right|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_p) \times \delta(\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_p) \frac{p_\alpha (p'_\beta - p_\beta)}{p^2}
\] (7)

and after substitution of the matrix elements (3) one obtains \(L_{\alpha\beta} = 0\) if \(\alpha \neq \beta\). The diagonal components of Eq. (7) take form:

\[
L_{\alpha\alpha} \simeq \frac{2\pi n_{im} v_F^2 p_\alpha v_0^2}{8\hbar} \left[ \Psi \left( \frac{p_F l_z}{\hbar} \right) \pm \frac{u_{xz}^2 - u_{yz}^2}{8v_0^2} \right],
\] (8)

where \(+\) (or \(-\)) is correspondent to \(L_{xx}\) (or \(L_{yy}\)). The factor \(v_0^2 \Psi(p_F l_z/\hbar)\) appears here due to the long-range part of potential \((v_0 \equiv v_{\perp 0})\) with the correlation lenth \(l_\perp\). The function \(\Psi(p_F l_z/\hbar)\) was introduced in \([9]\) for the case of the finite-range potential with Gaussian correlations.

The current density is given by \(\mathbf{I} = (4e/L^2) \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{v}_p \Delta f_p\), where the factor 4 is due to the spin and valley degeneracy. Since \(\Delta f_p \propto \mathbf{C} \propto \mathbf{E}\), the conductivity tensor \(\sigma\) is introduced as \(\mathbf{I} = \sigma \mathbf{E}\). Substituting \(\Delta f_p\) into \(\mathbf{I}\) and performing integration over \(\mathbf{p}\), one obtains \(\mathbf{I} = (eW)^2 \mathbf{C} / 2\), where \(\mathbf{C}\) is determined from the extremum conditions which give the linear equations: \(\partial \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{C}) / \partial C_{\alpha\beta} = 0\) for \(\alpha = x, y\). As a result, one obtains the diagonal tensor \(\sigma_{\alpha\alpha} = \sigma \pm \delta\sigma / 2\), where \(+\) (or \(-\)) is correspondent to \(\sigma_{xx}\) (or \(\sigma_{yy}\)). Here the isotropic part of conductivity \(\sigma\) and the anisotropic correction \(\delta\sigma\) are given by

\[
\sigma \simeq \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \left( \frac{u_W h}{2\pi n_{im} v_0^2} \right)^2 \Psi(p_F l_z/\hbar),
\]

\[
\delta\sigma \simeq -\frac{\sigma}{\Psi(p_F l_z/\hbar)} \frac{u_{xz}^2 - u_{yz}^2}{8v_0^2},
\] (9)

so that the anisotropy of conductivity is determined by the dimensionless factor \((u_{xz}^2 - u_{yz}^2)/(8v_0^2)\).

![FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) Graphene strip of width \(d\) with angle \(\theta\) to \(X\)-direction (dotted arrows are correspondent to the \(X0Y\) coordinate system). Longitudinal and transverse fields, \(E_\perp\) and \(E_\parallel\), as well as longitudinal current \(I_\parallel\) are shown. (b) Functions \(\Psi^{-1}\) and \(\Psi^{-2}\) which describe gate voltage dependencies of transverse voltage, \(E_\perp/E_\parallel\), and of anisotropic contribution to conductivity, \((\sigma_{eff} - \sigma)\), given by Eq. (10).](image-url)

Using the conductivity tensor determined by Eqs. (9), we consider the conductivity of strip, see Fig. 2a where \(d\) is the width of strip and \(\theta\) is the angle between its orientation and \(X\)-axis. Since the absence of the transverse current, \(I_\perp = 0\), the linear relation \(\mathbf{I} = \sigma \mathbf{E}\) permits one to calculate the effective conductivity, which is introduced according to \(I_\parallel = \sigma_{eff} E_\parallel\), and the induced transverse voltage, \(E_\perp d\). For the weak anisotropy case, we obtain
the simple angle dependencies

\[
\frac{E_\perp}{E_\parallel} \simeq \frac{\delta \sigma}{\Psi} \sin 2\theta, \quad \sigma_{\text{eff}} - \sigma \simeq \frac{\delta \sigma}{2} \cos 2\theta \tag{10}
\]

and maximal values of the transverse voltage \(|E_\perp/E_\parallel|\) and of the anisotropic contributions to \(\sigma_{\text{eff}}\) appear at \(\theta = \pi/4, 3\pi/4, \ldots\) and at \(\theta = 0, \pi/2, \ldots\) respectively. The concentration (or gate voltage, \(V_g\)) dependencies are determined through the function \(\Psi(pF_L/E, \hbar)\) introduced in Eqs. (8), (9). According to Eq. (10), one obtains \(|E_\perp/E_\parallel| \propto \Psi^{-1}\) and \(\delta \sigma \propto \Psi^{-2}\); we plot these functions in Fig. 2b for the graphene strip placed over the SiO\(_2\) substrate of 300 nm width. We also use \(l_c \simeq 10\) nm in agreement with experimental data, see Ref. 9. Since the function \(\Psi\) decreases with \(V_g\), both the transverse voltage \(|E_\perp/E_\parallel|\) and the anisotropy of conductivity \((\sigma_{\text{eff}} - \sigma)\) increase with \(V_g\); notice, that the anisotropy of conductivity increases more faster (about 10 times at \(V_g \simeq 10\) V) because \(\delta \sigma \propto \Psi^{-2}\). The transverse field and the anisotropy of effective conductivity given by Eq. (10) are proportional to the unknown parameter \((u_{2g}^2 - u_{yz}^2)/(8u_{0}^2)\) and a value of the anisotropy effect is not estimated here. However, these results permit one to verify a mechanism of anisotropy using the gate voltage and angle dependencies obtained. In addition, a ratio \((u_{2g}^2 - u_{yz}^2)/(8u_{0}^2)\) can be measured. Supposing \((u_{2g}^2 - u_{yz}^2)/(8u_{0}^2) \sim 10^{-2}\), one obtains \(|E_\perp/E_\parallel|\) and \(|\delta \sigma/\sigma|\) are about 10 %.

Further, we discuss the assumptions used. The consideration performed is based on the simplified description of scattering with separated long- and short-range parts of potential. The parameters of long-range scattering are determined from the phenomenological consideration \([9]\) while the short-range contribution is expressed through unknown matrix \(\hat{u}\), without verification of a microscopical nature of defects. The variational solution of Eq. (4) gives a good estimation of the anisotropy under consideration. The results (10) do not dependent on a width of strip if \(d\) exceeds the mean free path due to the long-range scattering, so that an edge scattering is not essential under the condition \(d \gg 0.1\) \(\mu\)m (here we used the results for non-ideal nanoribbons \([12]\)). We also restrict ourselves by the degenerate carriers case because the anisotropy effects increase with concentration (or gate voltage). The rest of assumptions (quasiclassical kinetic equation, weak acoustic phonon scattering, and model description of long-range scattering \([9]\)) are rather standard.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the nonsymmetric short-range scattering gives rise to the transverse voltage and to the orientation-dependent effective conductivity of graphene strip. These dependencies permit one to measure asymmetry of scattering which is expressed through the characteristics of short-range defect. We believe that these results will stimulate further measurements of anisotropy and microscopical calculations of nonsymmetric defects. Beside this, a nonequivalent heating of carriers in different valleys (and an intervalley redistribution of carriers) by a strong electric field takes place under an essential anisotropy of scattering (in analogy with the bulk case \([13]\)).

I am grateful to E. I. Karp for a useful comment.
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