BRCA1 Plays a Role in the Hypoxic Response by Regulating HIF-1α Stability and by Modulating Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Expression*
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A recent study of breast cancer patients with and without BRCA1 gene mutations found significantly lower levels of VEGF in serum from patients with BRCA1 mutations (Tarnowski, B., Chudecka-Glaz, A., Gorski, B., and Rzepka-Gorska, I. (2004) Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 88, 287–288). Here, we describe a possible mechanistic explanation for this correlation. Because hypoxia in tumors stimulates VEGF expression and secretion and we hypothesized that altered BRCA1 protein levels in breast tumors could affect hypoxia-stimulated VEGF promoter activity. This possibility was tested in cells transfected with various combinations of expression plasmids for BRCA1, BRCA1 specific inhibitory RNAs (BRCA1-siRNAs), HIF-1α, and a VEGF promoter-reporter and then incubated in normoxia (21%, O2) or hypoxia (0.1%, O2). As predicted, increased BRCA1 levels enhanced both hypoxia-stimulated VEGF promoter activity and the amounts of VEGF mRNA, as determined by semiquantitative RT–PCR and quantitative real time PCR. Using the ChIP assay, we discovered that BRCA1 could be recruited to the endogenous human VEGF promoter along with HIF-1α following hypoxia. An interaction between BRCA1 and HIF-1α was found in human breast cancer cells. We also found that hypoxia-stimulated VEGF promoter activity and secretion was reduced in cells containing reduced amounts of endogenous BRCA1 protein (obtained by transfecting with BRCA1-siRNAs). A mechanistic explanation for these effects is provided by our finding a reduced half-life and reduced accumulation of HIF-1α in hypoxic cells transfected with BRCA1-siRNAs and that proteasome inhibitors blocked these effects of BRCA1-siRNAs. Thus, our results suggest that normal amounts of BRCA1 function in hypoxia to regulate HIF-1α stability, probably by interacting with HIF-1α.

The gene encoding the breast cancer susceptibility gene 1 (BRCA1), which is frequently mutated in the germline of women with a genetic predisposition to breast and ovarian cancers, was cloned in 1994 (1). Although somatic mutations inactivating BRCA1 are rare in sporadic breast cancers, BRCA1 levels are often decreased or undetectable in cells of these cancers. These reduced BRCA1 levels, whether reflecting promoter methylation or other causes, suggests a role for normal levels of BRCA1 in protecting against non-hereditary tumors (2, 3). Although the specific functions of BRCA1 that contribute to tumor suppression remain unknown, functions related to its roles in regulating the cell cycle, transcription and DNA damage repair have been suggested (reviewed in Ref. 4).

Reports describing new roles for BRCA1 in regulating transcription activity continue to increase. The earliest evidence showed that a conserved acidic domain of BRCA1 can activate transcription in both yeast and mammalian cells when fused to the GAL4 DNA binding domain (5). Although BRCA1 is not known to bind directly to specific DNA sequences, it may regulate transcription through several indirect mechanisms; by interacting with components of the basal transcription factor (e.g. RNA helicase A and RNA pol II), by interacting with transcription coactivators and corepressors (e.g. p300 and its functional homolog CBP (the CREB-binding protein), retinoblastoma 1 (RB1), by interacting with RB-associated proteins (RhAp46/48), by interacting with several histone deacetylases (HDAC-1/2), and/or by interacting with sequence-specific transcription factors (e.g. p53, c-Myc, estrogen receptor, and other proteins) (6–11). Increased VEGF levels are considered to be an important marker of the aggressiveness of breast cancer. VEGF presents about 7-fold higher in tumor regions compared with normal adjacent breast tissue (12). Multiple studies have shown that VEGF secretion by tumor cells is required for the early stages of breast tumor growth (13, 14). Consistent with these observations, other experiments have shown that inhibition of angiogenesis, by anti-angiogenic agents such as angiostatin and endostatin, resulted in reduced tumorigenesis and/or some regression of established tumors (15–18). In addition, VEGF expression was found to be essential for the survival of metastatic breast carcinoma cells (19). VEGF expression is regulated by estradiol. A recent study shows that BRCA1 interacts with ER-α to inhibit estrogen-induced VEGF expression and secretion (20).

In response to hypoxia, HIF-1α, a major hypoxia-inducible transcription factor, becomes stabilized, translocates into the nucleus, binds to inducible transcription factor 1α; IP, immunoprecipitation; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VHL, von Hippel-Lindau gene; WB, Western blotting assays; CHX, cycloheximide; GFP, green fluorescent protein; HRE, hypoxia responsive element; Luc, luciferase; wt, wild type.
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The gene encoding the breast cancer susceptibility gene 1 (BRCA1), which is frequently mutated in the germline of women with a genetic predisposition to breast and ovarian cancers, was cloned in 1994 (1). Although somatic mutations inactivating BRCA1 are rare in sporadic breast cancers, BRCA1 levels are often decreased or undetectable in cells of these cancers. These reduced BRCA1 levels, whether reflecting promoter methylation or other causes, suggests a role for normal levels of BRCA1 in protecting against non-hereditary tumors (2, 3). Although the specific functions of BRCA1 that contribute to tumor suppression remain unknown, functions related to its roles in regulating the cell cycle, transcription and DNA damage repair have been suggested (reviewed in Ref. 4).

Reports describing new roles for BRCA1 in regulating transcription activity continue to increase. The earliest evidence showed that a conserved acidic domain of BRCA1 can activate transcription in both yeast and mammalian cells when fused to the GAL4 DNA binding domain (5). Although BRCA1 is not known to bind directly to specific DNA sequences, it may regulate transcription through several indirect mechanisms; by interacting with components of the basal transcription factor (e.g. RNA helicase A and RNA pol II), by interacting with transcription coactivators and corepressors (e.g. p300 and its functional homolog CBP (the CREB-binding protein), retinoblastoma 1 (RB1), by interacting with RB-associated proteins (RhAp46/48), by interacting with several histone deacetylases (HDAC-1/2), and/or by interacting with sequence-specific transcription factors (e.g. p53, c-Myc, estrogen receptor, and other proteins) (6–11).

Increased VEGF levels are considered to be an important marker of the aggressiveness of breast cancer. VEGF presents about 7-fold higher in tumor regions compared with normal adjacent breast tissue (12). Multiple studies have shown that VEGF secretion by tumor cells is required for the early stages of breast tumor growth (13, 14). Consistent with these observations, other experiments have shown that inhibition of angiogenesis, by anti-angiogenic agents such as angiostatin and endostatin, resulted in reduced tumorigenesis and/or some regression of established tumors (15–18). In addition, VEGF expression was found to be essential for the survival of metastatic breast carcinoma cells (19). VEGF expression is regulated by estradiol. A recent study shows that BRCA1 interacts with ER-α to inhibit estrogen-induced VEGF expression and secretion (20).

In response to hypoxia, HIF-1α, a major hypoxia-inducible transcription factor, becomes stabilized, translocates into the nucleus, binds to
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ARNT, forming HIF-1α-ARNT heterodimers (21). The heterodimeric complex acts as a transcription factor, regulating a variety of hypoxia-inducible target genes, including VEGF (22). In this study, we investigated whether and how BRCA1 affects hypoxia-induced VEGF expression or secretion.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture and Reagents**—The human breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7, MCF-10A, and T47D) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection, and the human embryonic kidney cell line (HEK293T) was obtained from the Tissue Culture Shared Resource, at Georgetown University (Washington, D. C.). All cell lines were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, fetal bovine serum (10%), l-glutamine (5 mM), non-essential amino acids (5 mM), penicillin (100 units per ml), and streptomycin (100 mg per ml). All cell culture reagents were obtained from Bio Whittaker (Walkersville, MD). Several proteasome inhibitors, including ALLN, MG115 and epoxomicin were purchased from Peptides International (Louisville, KY).

**Subcellular Fractionation**—Biochemical fractionation of the cells was done using the Nuclear Extract kit (Panomics) following the manufacturer’s protocol. MCF-7 cells grown in 100-mm tissue culture plates were transfected with siRNAs (control versus BRCA1) for 72 h and then incubated in hypoxia for 6 h, when the cells were washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline and lysed on ice for 10 min in Buffer A (10 mm HEPES, pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM EDTA, 4% IGEPEAL, 10 mM dithiothreitol, protease inhibitor mixture) with shaking. The cells were harvested and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 3 min. The supernatant (cytoplasmic fraction) was collected in a tube; the nuclear pellet remained. The nuclear pellet was resuspended in Buffer B mix (20 mm HEPES, pH 7.9, 0.4 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 10 mM dithiothreitol, protease inhibitor mixture) and incubated on a rocking platform at 4 °C for 2 h. The mixture was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant (nuclear extract) was collected. 25 μg of the cell fractions were resolved on a 7.5% SDS-PAGE gel and probed with antibodies against BRCA1 and ARNT.

**Quantitative Real Time PCR (qRT-PCR)**—qRT-PCR was performed using ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with a standard temperature protocol and 2× SYBR Green PCR Master Mix reagent (Applied Biosystems) in a 20-μl volume in triplicate as previously described (23). As a control, the mRNA level of β-actin was determined in the real time PCR assay for each RNA sample and was used to correct for experimental variations. The following primer sequences were used: VEGF121 forward primer was 5'-ccc tga tgt gta cga gta cat ctt-3' and VEGF121 backward primer was 5'-ggc tcg gct tct cac att tt-3'. The VEGF121 forward primer was also used as the VEGF165 forward primer. The VEGF165 backward primer was 5'-agc aag gcc cac gaggt tt-3'. VEGF121 and VEGF165 are two most abundant VEGF mRNA isoforms.

**Semi-quantitative RT-PCR**—Semi-quantitative reverse transcription-PCR assays were performed as described previously (24). β-Actin, whose expression is unaffected by BRCA1 overexpression or hypoxia, was used as a loading control. The PCR products were loaded on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide (0.1 mg per ml), and photographed with a digital camera under UV illumination.

**Transient Transfection of DNA and Luciferase Assays**—The HIF-1α expression vector (pCEP4-HIF-1α), the VEGF promoter-reporter plasmid (pVEGF-kpn-Luc containing the 2.6-kb VEGF promoter in pGL2), p11wt (the VEGF promoter containing the wild-type HRE in the pGL2 vector), and p11mt (the VEGF promoter containing a mutated HRE in the pGL2 vector) were purchased from ATCC. For assaying promoter activity, subconfluent proliferating cells in 24-well plates were transfected with various expression vector(s) (125 ng per well) and luciferase reporter plasmid (125 ng per well), unless indicated otherwise in the figure legends, using Lipofectamine Plus (Invitrogen). Luciferase activity values (minus background) were normalized to the control (reporter only) and expressed as means ± S.E. of quadruplicate wells. We repeated these promoter reporter experiments at least three times. Measured luciferase activity levels were normalized to relative transfection efficiencies, which were monitored using the control plasmid pCMV-β-gal as previously described (24).

**Transfection of siRNAs**—The BRCA1-siRNA-1, BRCA1-siRNA-3, and control (scrambled)-siRNA were previously described (24, 25). These siRNAs were chemically synthesized and provided by Dharmacon (Boulder, CO). For siRNA treatments, subconfluent proliferating cells were transfected with each siRNA (50 nm), using Lipofectamine 2000. The cells were incubated with siRNA for 48 or 72 h prior to the start of the experiment (cotransfection with fresh siRNA and a reporter
plasmid as described in the figure legends). The control siRNA had no effect on BRCA1 levels and siRNAs (BRCA1 or control) were not toxic to the cells under these experimental conditions, as determined using the WST-1 assay (data not shown). Alternatively, we used DNA-based siRNA (pKD-negative control (NC) empty vector and pKD-BRCA1 siRNA expression vector) (Upstate Biotechnology).

**Immunoprecipitation (IP) and Western Blotting (WB)**—All IP procedures were carried out at 4 °C. Cells grown on 100-mm dishes were washed twice with 1 × PBS before lysis. Then, cells were lysed in a buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40) and immunoprecipitated with either anti-HIF-1α rabbit polyclonal antibody (H-206, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or a combination of anti-BRCA1 mouse monoclonal antibodies against N- and C-terminal epitopes on BRCA1 (Ab-1 + Ab-2 + Ab-3, Oncogene Research Products) as previously described (11). Immunoprecipitated proteins were run on SDS-PAGE gels, and Western blots were analyzed using anti-HIF-1α mouse monoclonal antibody (Transduction Laboratories) and anti-BRCA1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (C-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as the primary antibodies. Anti-GFP mouse monoclonal antibody (BD Sciences, Inc) was also used for IP and WB to detect transfected GFP-HIF-1α. The membranes were then washed and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. The bound secondary antibodies were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) detection kits using Fuji x-ray films.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assay**—The ChIP assay was performed according to the protocol provided with the ChIP assay kit (Upstate Biotechnology). MCF-7 and HEK293T cells exposed to hypoxic gas (0.1%, O2) for the times indicated in the figure legends were cross-linked by adding formaldehyde to a final concentration of 1% and incubating at 37 °C for 10 min. Then, cells were washed with 1× phosphate-buffered saline and sonicated to yield DNA fragments ranging in size from 200 to 1000 base pairs. Sonicated samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 13,000 rpm, supernatants recovered, diluted 10-fold into ChIP dilution buffer, and then precleared by incubating with 75 μl of salmon sperm DNA/protein A-agarose-50% slurry for 30 min at 4 °C with agitation to reduce the nonspecific interaction of chromatin DNA with the agarose. Supernatants were immunoprecipitated with each antibody (ARNT, HIF-1α, BRCA1) or control IgG. The anti-ARNT rab-
bit polyclonal antibody was H-172 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The antibodies for HIF-1α and BRCA1 were the same as used for IPs (see above). Immunoprecipitated complexes were eluted from the beads (with 1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3), the DNA was separated from protein (as described in the ChIP kit), and used as templates for PCR reactions. The genomic primer sequences, designed to amplify the HRE element-containing region of the VEGF promoter, used as previously described (27), were: VEGF forward primer (5'-aca gac gtt cct tag tgt tgg-3') and VEGF reverse primer (5'-agc tga gaa cgg gaa gct gtg-3'). As negative controls, we used two sets of primers for regions of the genomic VEGF promoter that do not have any known HRE sites, as follows: for NC-1 (negative control primer set 1): forward primer (5'-gga cac cat acc gat gga ac-3') and reverse primer (5'-ccc ctt ttc ctc caa ctc tc-3') and for NC-2 (negative control primer set 2): forward primer (5'-gaa ttc tgt gcc cct ac cc-3') and reverse primer (5'-gta gag atc ttc ggg cag ga-3').

**VEGF Secretion Assay**—Cells were transiently transfected with either control (scrambled)-siRNA or BRCA1-siRNA as previously described (24). The next day the medium was changed to serum-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium. After 48 h, cells were exposed to hypoxic gas (0.1%, O2) for an additional 16 h when the supernatants were collected for a VEGF secretion assay, which was measured using a Quantikine human VEGF ELISA immunoassay (R&D Diagnostics, Minneapolis, MN). The values obtained were normalized to the total protein concentration in the total cell extracts prepared from each dish.

**Hypoxic Treatment**—Human cells were dispensed into a 100-mm culture dishes. The dishes were placed in a sealed hypoxia chamber (Billups-Rothenberg, Del Mar, CA) equilibrated with a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere or with certified gas containing 0.1% O2, 5% CO2, and 94% N2 (28).

**BRCA1 Mutants**—The panel of BRCA1 mutant constructs are shown in Fig. 4A. The 5382insC mutant (Q1756term), which is commonly found in Ashkenazi Jews, a population with a significantly increased risk for BRCA1 mutant breast cancers (29–31), encodes a truncated protein missing part of the C-terminal transcriptional activation domain (TAD). The C5365G mutant, (p1749R) encodes a full-length BRCA1 protein containing a point mutation in the C-terminal TAD region that abolishes TAD activity (5). The 5677insA mutant, (Y1853term), encodes a near full-length BRCA1 protein that is missing only the last 11 amino acids. This mutation reduces TAD activity. The T300G mutant (61Cys → Gly), encodes a full-length protein whose single point mutation inactivates the N-terminal RING finger domain function (11).
RESULTS

Effects of BRCA1 on HIF-1α Transcriptional Activity—In this study, a concentration of 0.1% O₂ was used to induce hypoxia. This hypoxic condition is physiologically relevant, for some breast cancer tissues have extremely low O₂ concentrations (0 to 0.3% O₂) (32–34). To determine if BRCA1 affects hypoxia-induced VEGF expression, we used a VEGF promoter-reporter system. Following transient co-transfection with HIF-1α and pVEGF-kpnI-Luc, reporter plasmid activity was measured after 6 h of hypoxia. Higher reporter activity under our hypoxic conditions was observed in extracts of HIF-1α-transfected cells than in extracts of cells lacking the HIF-1α expression vector. Co-transfection of MCF-7 cells with BRCA1 and the HIF-1α expression vector further enhanced activity from the VEGF promoter construct (Fig. 1A). The amount of this effect depends on the amount of BRCA1 plasmid DNA (Fig. 1A). Thus, under hypoxia, a statistically significant effect on reporter activity was observed when only HIF-1α was overexpressed, and this effect was significantly more enhanced when BRCA1 was also overexpressed. To determine if this ability of BRCA1 to enhance HIF-1α-induced VEGF promoter activity requires the presence of a functional HRE (hypoxia response element) in the VEGF promoter, cells were co-transfected with three plasmids, BRCA1, HIF-1α, and a VEGF reporter plasmid containing either a wild-type HRE (p11wt) or an HRE containing a point mutation (p11mt) and then incubated under hypoxic conditions and assayed for luciferase reporter activity. The results show that exogenous BRCA1 has no independent ability to enhance activity from a VEGF promoter under hypoxic conditions when this promoter contains a mutation blocking its responsiveness to exogenous HIF-1α (Fig. 1B). This finding suggests that BRCA1 may alter VEGF promoter activity via protein–protein interactions rather than by protein–DNA interactions. To directly determine whether the BRCA1-enhanced, HRE-dependent VEGF reporter activity reflects, at least in part, increased transcription, we measured the effect of overexpressed BRCA1 on endogenous VEGF mRNA levels. For this test, HEK293T cells were transfected with BRCA1 or empty vector and exposed to hypoxic conditions for 6 h when total RNA was isolated and used for semiquantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 2A and B) and quantitative real time PCR (Fig. 2C). As expected, we found that BRCA1 increased the amounts of the two most abundant endogenous isoforms of VEGF mRNA (VEGF121 and VEGF165) in cells exposed to hypoxic conditions (Fig. 2) (p < 0.005 for comparisons of cells transfected with pCDNA3 versus BRCA1 under hypoxia).

BRCA1 Is Recruited to VEGF Promoter Regions—ChIP was used to identify and quantitate interactions of proteins with specific genomic regions. Because BRCA1 is known to regulate transcriptional activity by directly interacting with various transcription factors, it is possible that BRCA1 (and other factors) are recruited to the endogenous VEGF promoter during hypoxia. Indeed, significantly increased binding of HIF-1α, ARNT, and BRCA1 to the promoter region of the endogenous (wild type, HRE-containing) VEGF promoter was found in both MCF-7 and HNK293T cells after either 6 or 16 h of hypoxia (Fig. 3A and B) (p < 0.005 for each protein in cells incubated in hypoxia versus normoxia). The negative control, rabbit IgG, could not precipitate detectable amounts of chromatin containing VEGF promoter DNA. Combined, these results suggest that the observed enrichment of VEGF promoter sequences in ChIPs precipitated by specific antibodies reflect increased interaction between the targeted proteins and genomic VEGF promoter DNA. Our two sets of negative control primers (VEGF-NCl and VEGF-NC2), from regions of the genomic VEGF promoter that do not have any known or putative HRE binding sites, did not yield detectable amounts of VEGF promoter DNA under any of the tested conditions (Fig. 3C).

BRCA1 Sequences Important for Regulating the Enhanced VEGF Promoter Activity—To begin identifying regions(s) of BRCA1 important for regulating VEGF-reporter plasmid activity under hypoxic conditions we used the panel of BRCA1 mutant constructs shown in Fig. 4A. Two of the BRCA1 mutants (567insA and C5365G) significantly (but only partially) impaired BRCA1 ability to enhance hypoxia-mediated VEGF expression (p < 0.005 or 0.05), one (T300G) was completely defective (p < 0.005) and one (S382insC) had no detectable defect in stimulating hypoxia-induced VEGF (Fig. 4B). These differences cannot be explained simply as differences in BRCA1 protein levels produced by the different expression vectors (Fig. 4C).

BRCA1 and HIF-1α Interact—Because BRCA1 can regulate transcription activity via physically interacting with various transcription factors, we looked for potential interactions between endogenous BRCA1 and endogenous HIF-1α by using standard IP-Western blot (WB) analysis. Following IP performed with anti-BRCA1 antibodies the precipitated proteins were analyzed by WB. HIF-1α protein was detected in the total lysates only in samples from cells incubated in the hypoxic condition (Fig. 5A). This hypoxia-dependent interaction did not significantly affect total BRCA1 protein levels (although as expected, hypoxia did increase HIF-1α levels in this experiment). As a positive control, to be sure that our IP assay could detect BRCA1-HIF-1α interactions under normoxic conditions, if they occurred, the BRCA1 expression vector was co-transfected with a GFP-HIF-1α expression vector into HEK293T cells. Cell lysates were prepared and precipitated with either an anti-GFP antibody or anti-BRCA1 antibodies. Western blot analysis revealed that BRCA1 was present in IP complexes precipitated with the anti-GFP antibody and that GNP-HIF-1α precipitated with anti-BRCA1 antibodies. The immunoprecipitates were analyzed by our standard Western blot method.
was present in IP complex precipitated with the anti-BRCA1 antibodies (Fig. 5B). However, neither protein was detected in samples precipitated with control antibodies (mouse IgG) or in samples transfected with empty vector (GFP), suggesting that normoxic conditions, per se, do not block BRCA1 and HIF-1α from being able to interact, directly or indirectly.

**Endogenous BRCA1 Levels Affect Hypoxia-induced VEGF Promoter Activity and Endogenous VEGF Protein Secretion**—We employed small inhibitory RNA (siRNA) to evaluate whether endogenous BRCA1 protein levels affect hypoxia-induced VEGF gene expression and secretion (Fig. 6). We designed two BRCA1-siRNAs (BRCA1-siRNA-1 and BRCA1-siRNA-3), complementary to two different regions of the BRCA1 gene, to avoid potential artifacts resulting from inappropriate siRNA sequences (35). Cells transfected with siRNA for 48 h were then co-transfected with the pVEGF-kpnI-Luc reporter and fresh siRNA, incubated for 24 h and then exposed to our standard hypoxic condition for an additional 6 h, when luciferase activity was measured. Both BRCA1-siRNA constructs significantly reduced hypoxia-induced VEGF-Luc reporter activity when compared with the control (Fig. 6A; p < 0.005). In addition, reducing endogenous BRCA1 levels by either siRNA construct also reduced secretion of endogenous VEGF protein following hypoxia (Fig. 6B; p < 0.005). However, this reduced VEGF secretion may reflect, at least partially, reduced VEGF induction in these BRCA1-depleted hypoxic cells. Note that BRCA1 siRNA treatments did not significantly reduce either total or secreted levels of VEGF (or VEGF promoter activity) in the absence of hypoxia. The molecular basis for the apparent BRCA1 level, independence of normoxic VEGF levels, has not been investigated.

**BRCA1 Regulates HIF-1α Stability**—Proteasome-mediated degradation is known to regulate HIF-1α protein stability (36). In particular, the rapid degradation of HIF-1α that occurs under normoxia is blocked under hypoxic conditions (36). These observations suggest a mechanism for how BRCA1 levels could affect HIF-1α-dependent transcriptional activity during hypoxia: perhaps BRCA1 influences HIF-1α stability under hypoxic conditions. To test this hypothesis, we first examined the effect of BRCA1-siRNAs on the steady state levels of endogenous HIF-1α protein. In the absence of hypoxia, BRCA1 siRNA...
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The effects of proteasome inhibitors on endogenous HIF-1α protein levels in hypoxic cells containing reduced levels of endogenous BRCA1 protein. A, MCF-7 cells transiently transfected with control-siRNA or BRCA1-siRNA-1 for 72 h were exposed to hypoxia for 20 h, when various proteasome inhibitors, including ALLN (50 μM), MG115 (10 μM), and epoxomycin (1 μM), were added, and the hypoxic condition continued for an additional 4 h. The accumulation of endogenous HIF-1α protein with or without various proteasome inhibitors was determined by using an anti-HIF-1α antibody in Western blot analysis. The effects of the BRCA1-siRNA treatments on BRCA1 protein levels were monitored on Western blots with an anti-BRCA1 antibody (C-20). A β-actin antibody was used to normalize densitometer tracing values for differences in loading and transfer efficiencies. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results. B, bar graphs presenting calculated means ± S.E. of densitometer tracings values from three independent experiments of A. Each proteasome inhibitor (in comparison to Me2SO alone) significantly increased HIF-1α accumulation in cells transfected with BRCA1 siRNA but not in cells transfected with control siRNA (p < 0.005).

We then tested whether the effect of BRCA1 on HIF-1α accumulation under hypoxic conditions could reflect altered HIF-1α stability. Cells transfected with BRCA1-siRNAs were exposed to hypoxia for 20 h and then treated with various proteasome inhibitors for an additional 4 h under hypoxic conditions (Fig. 8). Each proteasome inhibitor treatment significantly increased HIF-1α accumulation (in comparison to Me2SO alone) only in cells containing reduced endogenous BRCA1 levels (Fig. 8; p < 0.005). This finding suggests that reducing BRCA1 levels under hypoxic conditions contributes to a more rapid degradation of HIF-1α. To directly test whether reduced BRCA1 levels in hypoxic cells is associated with decreased HIF-1α stability, we measured HIF-1α half-lives (Fig. 9). For this test cells were transfected with expression plasmids encoding either control-siRNA (pKD-NC) or BRCA1-siRNAs (pKD-BRCA1), then exposed to hypoxia and then treated, under hypoxia, with cycloheximide for up to 30 min. Indeed, reducing the endogenous BRCA1 level had a rapid and significant effect on the stability of HIF-1α under hypoxic conditions (p < 0.005 for the difference between hypoxic cells with and without BRCA1 knockdown at each time point after adding 100 μg/ml cycloheximide). Consequently, reducing endogenous BRCA1 levels also significantly shortened the HIF-1α half-life (p < 0.005). In addition, the amounts of HIF-1α in CHX-treated cells, relative to the 0 time points, is reduced significantly more at each time point in the BRCA1-depleted hypoxic cells than in non-depleted BRCA1 cells (p < 0.005).
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FIGURE 10. The effect of exogenous BRCA1 on the stability of endogenous HIF-1α in hypoxic cells. A, accumulation of HIF-1α protein in lysates of HEK293T cells transfected with wt BRCA1 or the T300G mutant for 24 h and then exposed to hypoxia for 8 h was monitored on Western blots. B, mean (±S.E.) amounts of HIF-1α (relative to β-actin and then normalized to the amounts present just before hypoxia) from three independent experiments were calculated from densitometer tracings and plotted as bar graphs. C, as in A except that MCF-7 cells were used. D, as in B except that the data are from three independent experiments with MCF-7 cells. E and F, three independent experiments with MCF-7 cells transfected with wt BRCA1 or the T300G mutant and exposed to hypoxia as in A and C were then incubated with CHX (100 µg/ml) for the indicated times, analyzed by Western blot (f), and quantified (F) as in B and plotted (G) as in Fig. 9 to graphically calculate half-lives. BRCA1 overexpression significantly increased the HIF-1α half-life (p < 0.005). In addition, the amounts of HIF-1α in CHX-treated cells, relative to the time 0 points, are reduced significantly more at each time point in the T300G-transfected hypoxic cells than in wild-type BRCA1-transfected cells (p < 0.005).

DISCUSSION

The data presented here suggest a critical role for BRCA1 in the transcriptional regulation of VEGF expression in hypoxia. BRCA1 forms complexes with HIF-1α under hypoxic conditions (Fig. 5), and increased BRCA1 protein levels can increase the response of the VEGF promoter to hypoxia in a HIF-1α dependent fashion (Fig. 1). Furthermore, under hypoxic conditions functional wtBRCA1 is necessary for maintaining the stability of HIF-1α and for inducing normal (hypoxic) amounts of VEGF expression and secretion (Figs. 6–10). Thus, our data strongly suggest that BRCA1 plays an important role in hypoxia-induced expression of VEGF and that, most likely, BRCA1 might also be involved in the transcriptional induction of other hypoxia-responsive genes.

Our studies revealed that C-terminal mutants of BRCA1 (5382insC, C5365G, and 5677insA) retained partial ability to stimulate reporter activity whereas a point mutation in the N-terminal RING domain (T300G) completely abrogated the ability of BRCA1 to stimulate transcriptional activity in human breast cancer cells (Fig. 4). These results suggest that both the N-terminal and C-terminal domains of BRCA1 are necessary to fully enhance hypoxia-induced VEGF expression. More complete mapping of the sites necessary for the interaction between BRCA1 and HIF-1α may increase our understanding of how these widely separated BRCA1 domains modulate hypoxia-induced gene expression. The results of our siRNA studies (Figs. 6 and 7), (showing that reduced endogenous BRCA1 protein levels reduce the ability of hypoxia to induce VEGF expression) suggest that our data may be relevant to sporadic breast cancers that also contain reduced amounts of BRCA1 protein. However, the nature of this connection is not clear. That is, because reducing BRCA1 levels reduces both VEGF expression and potential angiogenic capacity, it is hard to understand why cancer-promoting BRCA1 point mutants, that presumably reduce both BRCA1 activity and angiogenesis, would nevertheless, promote tumorigenesis. One interesting possibility for the cancer-promoting effects of reduced BRCA1 levels is suggested by recent reports showing that the survival of certain stem cells and/or precursor cells, or the inhibition of differentiation is promoted by hypoxia (37). A recent article suggested that one key function of BRCA1 may be as a stem cell regulator, a suggestion based on clinicopathological features of breast cancer related to BRCA1 (38). Thus, a major effect of BRCA1 mutants on breast cancer progression may be via cancer stem cells, by intensifying hypoxia and the hypoxic response.

Previous studies have demonstrated that HIF-1α mediated transactivation requires a coactivator, such as, CBP/p300 (39, 40), SRC-1 (41), or...
TRIP230 (27). It has been reported that overexpression of BRCA1 down-regulates p300 in a subset of human cancer cell lines (42). However, ectopic expression of BRCA1 did not affect p300 protein levels in either of the two cell types used here, MCF-7 and HEK293T (data not shown).

Here, using the ChIP assay, we demonstrated a new role for BRCA1 in HIF-1α-mediated transactivation. BRCA1 is recruited to chromosomal sites where it is presumably part of activated transcriptional complexes containing HIF-1α and ARNT, at least in vivo at the endogenous VEGF promoter (Fig. 3). These data suggest that BRCA1 is a physiologically important co-activator of HIF-1α under hypoxic stress.
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