Lamina Cribrosa Curvature in Healthy Korean Eyes
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Given that posterior bowing of the lamina cribrosa (LC) is a principle event in the development of glaucomatous damage, assessment of the LC morphology may have clinical utility in diagnosing and managing glaucoma patients. LC curvature has been suggested as an index to evaluate the LC morphology. To apply LC morphology in clinical practice, it is necessary to know normal profiles of LC curvature in healthy population. This study was performed to investigate the characteristics of LC curvature in healthy eyes using enhanced depth imaging spectral-domain optical coherence tomography in a total of 250 eyes of 125 healthy Korean subjects. The lamina cribrosa curvature index (LCCI) values at seven locations spaced equidistantly across the vertical optic disc diameter were measured on serial horizontal B-scan images. The mean value of the seven measurements was defined as the average LCCI. The average LCCI was 7.46 ± 1.22 (range, 4.29–10.48) and did not differ significantly between the right and left eyes. There was a strong inter-eye correlation within subjects. LCCI was significantly larger in eyes with shorter axial length (P < 0.001). The observed range of LCCI in healthy subjects may be used as a reference for evaluating LC curvature in glaucomatous eyes.

The lamina cribrosa (LC) is considered the main supportive component of the optic nerve head (ONH)1, and also the putative primary site of axonal injury in glaucoma. Tensile strain within the LC can induce shearing stress on the axons passing through the laminar pores2,3. In addition, deformation and compression of the LC may promote optic nerve ischemia, because strain within the LC can induce possible occlusion of the laminar capillaries4,5. Thus, imaging and characterization of the LC morphology may not only expand our understanding of the pathogenesis of glaucomatous damage but help in developing better strategies in diagnosing and managing glaucoma.

Previous studies have attempted to characterize LC morphology using a measurable parameter6, the most frequent being LC depth measured from Bruch’s membrane opening (BMO) level (LCD_BMO)7–10. Although baseline (innate) LC depth is unknown, large LC depth may be considered a surrogate indicator of large posterior LC deformation. However, the evaluation of posterior LC deformation using LCD_BMO may provide a biased assessment because the measurement is influenced by choroidal thickness, which is not associated with posterior LC deformation. Previously, our group proposed that curvature of the LC, evaluated using the lamina curvature index (LCCI), may be superior to LCD_BMO as a parameter relevant to ONH biomechanics11. Unlike LCD_BMO, LC curvature is not affected by choroidal thickness.

To apply any index to characterize LC morphology, it is essential to determine this index in a healthy population. Such knowledge should provide a basis for the recognition of glaucomatous LC changes in a patient. The present study therefore evaluated LC curvature in healthy subjects, as well as analyzing the factors associated with LC curvature.

Results
This cross-sectional study initially involved 284 eyes of 142 Korean subjects. Seventeen subjects were excluded due to poor image quality, which prevented clear visualization of the anterior LC surface in at least two of the seven B-scan disc images. Thus, 250 eyes of 125 subjects were analyzed.

Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the included subjects. The 125 subjects included 79 women and 46 men, of mean age 49.02 ± 14.13 years. Intraocular pressures (IOP) at disc scanning, spherical...
equivalent, axial length (AXL), central corneal thickness (CCT), and mean deviation (MD) of visual field did not differ between the right and left eyes of the included subjects ($P > 0.05$ each).

The 95% Bland-Altman limits of agreement between the measurements of lamina cribrosa curvature index (LCCI) by the two glaucoma specialists ranged from $-$1.23 to 1.37.

**LCCI Variation Between Subjects.** The mean LCCI ($\pm$ standard deviation) was 7.46 $\pm$ 1.22. The mean frequency distribution of the LCCI showed Gaussian curves in both right and left eyes ($P = 0.200$, Fig. 1) ranging from 4.29 to 10.48. There was no significant difference between the right ($7.43 \pm 1.23$) and left eyes ($7.49 \pm 1.22$). Rather, a strong inter-eye association was observed (correlation coefficient $\geq 0.841$, all $P < 0.001$, Table 2, Fig. 2).

**LCCI Variation Within Eyes.** The mean LCCI showed a significant variation between planes. The LCCI was larger in the superior and inferior peripheral regions than in the mid-horizontal plane, where the LCCI was the smallest (Fig. 3).

**Factors Associated with LCCI.** Univariate analysis using a linear mixed model showed that axial length was significantly associated with LCCI in all planes (all $P < 0.003$, Table 3, Supplementary Figure 1). Age was associated with LCCI at all planes (all $P < 0.006$), except for planes 3 ($P = 0.026$) and 4 ($P = 0.226$). Multivariate analysis showed that only axial length was significantly associated with LCCI at planes 4 ($P < 0.001$), 5 ($P = 0.001$), and 6 ($P < 0.001$) and with average LCCI ($P < 0.001$, Table 4).

### Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study subjects. IOP = intraocular pressure; D = diopters; MD = mean deviation; dB = decibel. Data are reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation or $n$ (%), with statistically significant $P$ values in boldface. *Paired $t$-test: comparison of parameters between right and left eyes. †Correlation coefficient: correlation of parameters in right and left eyes.

| Variables                        | Participants (n = 125) | Right eyes (n = 125) | Left eyes (n = 125) | P-value* | Correlation Coefficient (P-value)† |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Age, years                       | 49.02 $\pm$ 14.13     | (range, 20–83)       |                     |         |                                   |
| Age distribution                 | 125                   |                      |                     |         |                                   |
| <40, n (%)                       | 32 (25.6)             |                      |                     |         |                                   |
| 41–50, n (%)                     | 25 (20.0)             |                      |                     |         |                                   |
| 51–60, n (%)                     | 42 (33.6)             |                      |                     |         |                                   |
| $\geq$61, n (%)                  | 26 (20.8)             |                      |                     |         |                                   |
| Male/female                      | 46/79                 |                      |                     |         |                                   |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)         | 7 (5.6)               |                      |                     |         |                                   |
| Systemic hypertension, n (%)     | 20 (16.0)             |                      |                     |         |                                   |
| IOP at disc scanning, mmHg       | 12.18 $\pm$ 2.60      | 12.38 $\pm$ 2.56     | 0.273               | 0.665   | (<0.001)                          |
| Spherical equivalent, D          | $-0.56 \pm 1.76$      | $-0.63 \pm 1.75$     | 0.178               | 0.953   | (<0.001)                          |
| Axial length, mm                 | 23.71 $\pm$ 1.06      | 23.71 $\pm$ 1.09     | 0.546               | 0.937   | (<0.001)                          |
| Central corneal thickness, $\mu$m | 555.00 $\pm$ 37.55    | 554.28 $\pm$ 37.51   | 0.718               | 0.979   | (<0.001)                          |
| Visual field MD, dB              | $-0.37 \pm 1.27$      | $-0.32 \pm 1.31$     | 0.847               | 0.956   | (<0.001)                          |

**Figure 1.** Histograms showing the distribution of LCCIs in the (a) right and (b) left eyes of the 125 healthy subjects. LCCI distribution in both eyes appeared as normal (Gaussian) curves ($P = 0.200$ by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test).
that changes in LC curvature are dependent on IOP. In contrast, the present study showed no correlation in healthy subjects.

As the eyeball becomes longer in the axial direction, the temporal sclera moves backward and becomes flatter, evaluating LC curvature may be useful in assessing LC morphology. Before applying LC curvature to clinical practice, however, it is essential to know the normal variations and factors associated with LC curvature. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine variations in LCCI and factors related to LCCI in healthy subjects.

The present study analyzed ONH morphology using seven horizontal B-scan images. Although vertical or radial scans may also be used to evaluate the LC curvature, there are technical problems using these scans. LC has a bowtie-shaped horizontal central ridge on three-dimensional LC images, with vertical scans showing that the radial scans may also be used to evaluate the LC curvature, there are technical problems using these scans. LC has a "W-shape". Therefore, LC curvature on vertical scans cannot be measured by a simple parameter such as deviation (range).

**Table 2.** LCCIs in the planes of right and left eyes in healthy subjects Data are reported as mean ± standard deviation (range). *Paired t-test; comparison of parameters between right and left eyes. **Correlation coefficient: correlation of parameters in right and left eyes. Bonferroni correction was applied to raw data for measurements in the seven planes. Values significant after Bonferroni correction (P < 0.0071; 0.05/7) are shown in bold.

### Discussion

Histologic examination has shown backward bowing of the LC in glaucomatous eyes. In addition, displacement of the LC may be the earliest change in experimental glaucoma models. These findings suggested that LC deformation is a principal event in glaucomatous optic neuropathy. Therefore, understanding variations of LC morphology in normal and glaucomatous eyes is important for diagnosing and managing glaucoma. Because LC deformation occurs as a posterior bowing, evaluating LC curvature may be a useful in assessing LC morphology. Before applying LC curvature to clinical practice, however, it is essential to know the normal variations and factors associated with LC curvature. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine variations in LCCI and factors related to LCCI in healthy subjects.

The ONH showed that LCCI was smallest in the mid-horizontal region. This finding is in agreement with prior imaging study showing a horizontal central ridge in the LC and a humplike structure in the center of the ridge. In contrast, the superior and inferior regions with large LC curvature are consistent with the regional differences in susceptibility to glaucomatous damage.

This study had several limitations. First, all subjects included were Korean. Further study is needed in other ethnic groups. Second, assessment of LC curvature from the LC insertion points would have allowed the precise

| Plane Number | All, n = 250 | Right Eyes, n = 125 | Left Eyes, n = 125 | P value* | Correlation Coefficient (P value†) | Absolute Difference |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1            | 7.58 ± 1.51 (4.06–12.15) | 7.53 ± 1.53 (4.06–12.07) | 7.62 ± 1.51 (4.50–12.15) | 0.230 | 0.868 (< 0.001) | 0.08 ± 0.78 |
| 2            | 7.73 ± 1.69 (4.41–11.76) | 7.77 ± 1.69 (4.41–11.76) | 7.69 ± 1.69 (4.46–11.65) | 0.269 | 0.878 (< 0.001) | 0.08 ± 0.83 |
| 3            | 7.21 ± 1.59 (3.34–12.86) | 7.23 ± 1.59 (3.34–12.86) | 7.20 ± 1.60 (3.67–12.70) | 0.687 | 0.848 (< 0.001) | 0.03 ± 0.88 |
| 4            | 6.51 ± 1.57 (3.01–12.01) | 6.48 ± 1.53 (3.01–10.99) | 6.54 ± 1.63 (3.45–12.01) | 0.451 | 0.853 (< 0.001) | 0.06 ± 0.86 |
| 5            | 7.41 ± 1.71 (3.44–12.14) | 7.39 ± 1.69 (3.44–12.14) | 7.43 ± 1.75 (3.99–11.74) | 0.610 | 0.886 (< 0.001) | 0.04 ± 0.82 |
| 6            | 7.90 ± 1.51 (4.09–11.75) | 7.82 ± 1.56 (4.09–11.75) | 7.98 ± 1.45 (4.39–11.53) | 0.030 | 0.841 (< 0.001) | 0.17 ± 0.85 |
| 7            | 7.87 ± 1.51 (4.03–12.23) | 7.78 ± 1.47 (4.03–11.34) | 7.96 ± 1.54 (4.13–12.23) | 0.019 | 0.856 (< 0.001) | 0.17 ± 0.81 |
| Average      | 7.46 ± 1.22 (4.29–10.48) | 7.43 ± 1.23 (4.29–10.48) | 7.49 ± 1.22 (4.79–10.35) | 0.106 | 0.947 (< 0.001) | 0.06 ± 0.40 |
quantification of LC configuration. However, it is not possible to identify LC insertion points in all eyes. LCs outside the BMO cannot be visualized with the currently available EDI SD-OCT due to overlying large vessels or rim shadowing. However, according to our previous study, there was no significant difference between LCCI measured from the whole LC and that measured on the LC within BMO in eyes where the entire LC (i.e., between its insertions) was visible. Therefore, LCCI measured within BMO may surrogate the curvature of entire LC. Third, eyes with discs with deformed morphology, such as tilted or torted, were not included in this study. Therefore, the normative data shown in this study cannot be applied to those eyes.

Figure 2. Scatterplots showing the relationship between the lamina cribrosa curvature index of right and left eyes at each location. Solid lines represent trend lines and dotted lines represent 95% confidence intervals.
Figure 3. Average LCCIs in the seven individual planes of both eyes of the 125 included subjects. Some of the differences between planes were statistically significant. The LCCI was smallest at the mid-horizontal plane (plane 4).

Table 3. Univariate linear mixed model analysis of factors associated with lamina cribrosa curvature index (N = 250 eyes). IOP = intraocular pressure; CCT = central corneal thickness; AXL = axial length, BMO = Bruch’s membrane opening. Bonferroni correction was applied to raw data for measurements in the seven locations. Values significant after Bonferroni correction (P < 0.0071; 0.05/7) are shown in bold.

| Plane Number | Age Coefficient, P value | Gender Coefficient, P value | IOP Coefficient, P value | CCT Coefficient, P value | AXL Coefficient, P value | BMO width Coefficient, P value |
|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1             | 0.025, P = 0.006         | 0.322, P = 0.239            | 0.068, P = 0.017         | 0.000, P = 0.895         | −0.292, P = 0.002        | 0.0002, P = 0.585           |
| 2             | 0.039, P < 0.001        | 0.384, P = 0.207            | 0.056, P = 0.069         | 0.002, P = 0.579         | −0.397, P = 0.001        | −0.0002, P = 0.722          |
| 3             | 0.022, P = 0.026        | 0.104, P = 0.716            | 0.052, P = 0.096         | 0.002, P = 0.612         | −0.349, P = 0.003        | 0.0002, P = 0.768           |
| 4             | 0.012, P = 0.226        | 0.231, P = 0.410            | 0.009, P = 0.763         | 0.001, P = 0.659         | −0.408, P < 0.001        | −0.008, P = 0.275           |
| 5             | 0.029, P = 0.005        | −0.067, P = 0.829           | −0.014, P = 0.642        | −0.001, P = 0.777        | −0.520, P < 0.001        | 0.0005, P = 0.422           |
| 6             | 0.029, P = 0.001        | −0.160, P = 0.549           | 0.020, P = 0.514         | 0.005, P = 0.077         | −0.610, P < 0.001        | −0.004, P = 0.509           |
| 7             | 0.027, P < 0.001        | −0.278, P = 0.302           | 0.028, P = 0.497         | 0.001, P = 0.738         | −0.459, P < 0.001        | 0.0001, P = 0.574           |
| Average       | 0.028, P = 0.001        | 0.072, P = 0.749            | 0.018, P = 0.250         | 0.001, P = 0.672         | −0.388, P < 0.001        | 0.0002, P = 0.695           |

In conclusion, this study presents the normal profiles of LCCI. The current data may enable the clinical application of LCCI for detecting and managing glaucoma.

Methods
This investigation was based on an ongoing prospective study, Investigating Glaucoma Progression Study (IGPS), being performed at the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital Glaucoma Clinic.21,22 Subjects with healthy eyes enrolled in the IGPS were selected for the present study. This study was approved by the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital Institutional Review Board and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided written informed consent.

Study Subjects. Each subject enrolled in the IGPS underwent comprehensive ophthalmic examinations including visual acuity measurements, Goldmann applanation tonometry, refraction tests, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, gonioscopy, dilated stereoscopic examination of the optic disc, stereo disc photography (EOS D60 digital camera, Canon, Utsunomiya-shi, Tochigiken, Japan), spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT, Spectralis OCT, Heidelberg, Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany), measurements of CCT (Orbscan II, Bausch & Lomb Surgical, Rochester, NY, USA), corneal curvature (KR-1800; Topcon, Tokyo, Japan), AXL (IOL Master version 5, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA), and standard automated perimetry (Humphrey Field Analyzer II 750, 24-2 Swedish interactive threshold algorithm, Carl Zeiss Meditec).

Both eyes of healthy subjects had an IOP ≤ 21 mmHg with no history of increased IOP, an absence of a glaucomatous disc appearance, no visible retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) defect on red-free photography, and a normal visual field. Absence of a glaucomatous disc appearance was defined as an intact neuroretinal rim without peripapillary hemorrhages, notches, or localized pallor. A normal visual field was defined as the absence of glaucomatous visual field defects and neurologic field defects. A glaucomatous visual field defect was defined as (1) outside the normal limits on glaucoma hemifield test; (2) three abnormal points with P less than 5% probability of being normal, including one with P less than 1% by pattern deviation; or (3) a pattern standard deviation less than 5%, confirmed on two consecutive tests. Visual field measurements were considered reliable when false-positive/ negative results were less than 25% and fixation losses were less than 20%.
Eyes included in the present study were required to have a best-corrected visual acuity of at least 20/40, spherical refraction within −6.0 to +3.0 diopters (D), and cylinder correction within −3.0 to +3.0 D without a tilted appearance (defined as a tilt ratio of the longest to the shortest diameters of the optic disc >1.3)\(^{25,26}\), or torsion of the optic disc (defined as a torsion angle [the deviation of the long axis of the optic disc from the vertical meridian] of >15°)\(^{24,25}\), because LC may be distorted in these eyes. Subjects with a history of intraocular surgery other than cataract extraction, intraocular disease (e.g., diabetic retinopathy, retinal vein occlusion, or optic neuropathies), or neurologic disease (e.g., pituitary tumor) that could cause visual field loss were excluded. Eyes were also excluded when a good-quality image (i.e., quality score >15) could not be obtained due to media opacity or lack of patient cooperation. Subjects were also excluded when images did not allow clear delineation of the anterior pupils using a rectangle subtending 10° × 15° of the optic disc. This rectangle was scanned with approximately 75 B-scan section images separated by 30 to 34 μm, with the scan line distance determined automatically by the machine. Approximately 42 SD-OCT frames per section were averaged. Using Spectralis OCT, the images were obtained only when the quality score is higher than 15. This protocol provided the best trade-off between image quality and patient cooperation\(^{29}\). Potential magnification errors were avoided by entering the corneal curvature model, plane 4 corresponds to the mid-horizontal plane, and planes 2 and 6 correspond approximately to the superior and inferior mid-periphery, respectively.

To assess the posterior bowing of the LC, the LCCI was defined as the inflection of a curve representing a section of the LC, as described\(^{21,27}\). Briefly, the LC surface reference line was set in each B-scan by connecting the two points on the anterior LC surface that met the lines drawn from each Bruch's membrane termination point perpendicularly to the BMO reference line. The length of this reference line was defined as the width (W). The lamina cribrosa curve depth (LCCD) was defined as the maximum depth from this reference line to the anterior LC surface, was measured, and LCCI was calculated as \(\text{LCCD}/W \times 100\).

To measure the LCCI for each plane, each B-scan image was enlarged on the computer screen so that each pixel was clearly visible when the caliper tool was used. Two experienced observers (SHL and EJL), who were masked to the clinical information measured the LCCI, and the measurements by the two observers were averaged for the analysis. The average LCCI for each eye was calculated as the mean measurements at the seven points of the LC.

**Statistical Analysis.** The Bland-Altman limits of agreement were used to measure the inter-observer reproducibility of measurements of the LCCI. Inter-eye comparisons of LCCI within subjects were analyzed using paired \(t\)-tests, and the correlation between right and left eyes within subjects were assessed by Pearson correlation analysis. The raw data for \(t\)-tests were subjected to Bonferroni's correction, based on the number of comparisons within each analysis. A linear mixed model was used to assess the association of clinical factors with the LCCI.
(univariate and multivariate), including both eyes of each subject. For multivariate analysis, the interaction term (CCT × IOP) was also included. P values less than 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version 22.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Data Availability
Data supporting the findings of the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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