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The Beneficial Effect of Human Amnion Mesenchymal Cells in Inhibition of Inflammation and Induction of Neuronal Repair in EAE Mice
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Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease of the central nervous system (CNS) in young adults. It is characterized by mononuclear cell inflammation, demyelination, extensive axonal damage, and axonal loss [1, 2]. Several lines of evidence indicated that aberrant autoreactive T cell responses, combined with dysfunction of the regulatory network of the immune system, played a central role in the pathogenesis of MS [3, 4]. Up to now, there is still no cure for MS. Current approved drugs predominantly exert their effects on the inflammatory disease process but hardly decrease neurodegeneration or promote CNS repair [5]. Therefore, looking for a new treatment that can not only attenuate inflammation but also prevent neurodegeneration as well as support remyelination and repair of damaged tissue is urgently needed [6].

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) are considered as a readily available source for tissue engineering. They have multipotent differentiation capacity and can be differentiated into various cell types. Therefore, they are mainly used in organ transplantation and tissue repair in the past [7, 8]. Recently, due to their immunomodulatory properties, MSC have been regarded as promising therapeutic candidates for the treatment of autoimmune diseases such as insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and rheumatoid arthritis [9].

Human amnion mesenchymal cells (hAMC) are isolated from the amniotic membrane of human placenta [10]. Compared with MSC from other sources, hAMC can be easily obtained with minimal ethical problem. More than...
$10^7$ hAMC could be isolated from one single amnion by simple enzyme digestion procedures [11]. More importantly, these cells do not express telomerase, which exclude the risk of tumor formation after cell transplantation [12]. Those properties make hAMC a new ideal MSC resource for clinical application. In our previous studies, we found that hAMC could decrease the production of proinflammatory cytokines, and further, they inhibited T lymphocyte proliferation not only in vitro but also in rats with collagen-induced arthritis, a classic animal model for human rheumatoid arthritis [13–15]. Besides having effect on immune cells and inflammatory cytokines, hAMC have also been proved to produce neuroprotective factors [16]. These evidences indicate that hAMC may have potential clinical use in the treatment of some CNS-related inflammatory diseases. Actually, using hAMC treating animal models with CNS diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and spinal cord injury has been reported with success [17, 18]. However, whether it could be used for the treatment of MS has still not been explored.

Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) is the most commonly used experimental model for MS. Many studies have successfully used this model to explore the immune and neural mechanisms and evaluate efficacy of potential therapeutic interventions in MS [19–21]. Therefore, in this study, we investigate the therapeutic effect and possible mechanism of hAMC in mice with EAE.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Isolation of hAMC. Placentas were obtained at elective cesarean section with informed consent. hAMC were isolated from abandoned human placentas according to our previous description [11]. In brief, amnion layer was mechanically peeled off from chorion layer and washed several times with Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS) without calcium and magnesium to remove blood. Then, the amnion was digested with 0.25% trypsin (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) at 37 °C for 30 min. Further, the amnion was cut into pieces and digested with 0.1% collagenase V (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 37 °C for 30 min to obtain hAMC. Finally, separated hAMC were cultured in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). hAMC of no more than 3 passages were used for experiments. In addition, all the correlated ethic issues concerning this study were approved by review board of China-Japan Friendship Hospital.

2.2. Animals. Female C57BL/6 mice purchased from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China) weighted 18–20 g with 8–10 weeks of age. The mice were housed in a room with a temperature-, humidity-, and light-controlled environment. They were fed food and water ad libitum and allowed to acclimatize themselves for one week before the initiation of experiment. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of China-Japan Friendship Hospital.

2.3. Induction of EAE. Primary progressive EAE model for C57BL/6 mice was established following the published protocol [22]. The mice were immunized subcutaneously on the back with 0.2 mL of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) 35–55 peptide (MEVGWYRSPFSRVVHLNYRNGK, HPLC-purity: >95%) (ChinaPeptides, Shanghai, China) emulsified in CFA (Chondrex, Redmond, WA, USA) containing 4 mg/mL Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra. Those injections were distributed over the following three sites: one along the midline of the back between the shoulders and two on either side of the midline on the lower back. The final dose of MOG 35–55 and Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra was 200 μg and 400 μg per mouse. Each mouse received an additional 400 ng of pertussis toxin (R&D Systems, MN, USA) by intraperitoneal injection of 200 μL PBS on day 0 and day 2 postimmunization. Clinical scores were calculated blindly by two researchers daily according to a 0–5 scale as follows [23]: 1, limp tail or waddling gait with tail tonicity; 2, waddling gait with limp tail (ataxia); 2.5, ataxia with partial limb paralysis; 3, full paralysis of 1 limb; 3.5, full paralysis of one limb with partial paralysis of the second limb; 4, full paralysis of two limbs; 4.5, moribund; and 5, death.

2.4. Treatment. The treatment started from day 14 (at the disease onset) after primary immunization and lasted for 21 days. Mice were randomly divided into three groups: normal group, model group, and hAMC group. The mice in hAMC group were injected intraperitoneally with 100 μL PBS containing 1 × 10^5 hAMC. The mice in normal group and model group were injected intraperitoneally with the same volume of PBS.

2.5. Immunofluorescence Staining Assay. Isolated hAMC were seeded onto 24-well plates. After adherence, cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature. The fixative solution was removed and the cells were rinsed three times with PBS. The cells were processed with respective primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight, including anti-CD105 antibody (mouse monoclonal, Invitrogen), anti-CD73 antibody (rabbit monoclonal, Abcam), and anti-vimentin antibody (mouse monoclonal, Santa Cruz). Then cells were incubated with corresponsive secondary antibodies conjugated to fluorescein (FITC, Jackson) or Alexa Fluor 488 (Jackson). Negative control was prepared by using isotype-controlled antibody. After washing three times with PBS, digital images were acquired with microscope.

2.6. ELISA. Blood of the mice was collected from the orbital artery and serum was isolated by centrifugation at 600 g for 20 min. The levels of IL-1β, IL-17A, TNF-α, and IFN-γ in serum were detected by using Luminex Multi-factor Detection Technology (eBioscience ProcartaPlex) according to the manufacturer suggested protocol.

2.7. Histopathology. After mice were sacrificed, the spinal cords were quickly removed and postfixed with 10% neutral formalin for 48 h. Paraffin-embedded spinal cord cross-sections (5 mm thick) were dewaxed in xylol, rehydrated,
and then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and luxol fast blue (LFB) staining in order to detect tissue inflammation and demyelination, respectively. Histopathological examination was performed and scored in a blinded fashion as follows [24]: for inflammation: 0, no inflammatory cells; 1, a few scattered inflammatory cells; 2, organization of inflammatory infiltrates around blood vessels; and 3, extensive perivascular cuffing with extension into adjacent parenchyma, or parenchymal infiltration without obvious cuffing. For demyelination: 0, none; 1, rare foci; 2, a few areas of demyelination; and 3, large (confluent) areas of demyelination. Five serial sections of each spinal cord from each of eight mice per group were scored.

2.8. Immunohistochemistry. The cross-sections (5 mm thick) were dewaxed using xylene and dehydrated in a graded series of alcohols after incubation at 60°C for 1 h. The endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched with 3% H2O2, and heat-induced epitope retrieval was done in sodium citrate buffer. Sections were incubated with anti-CD3 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-CD4 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), and anti-CD8 antibody (Abcam Cambridge, UK) overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with SignalStain® Boost IHC Detection Reagent (HRP, Rabbit) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) according to instructions from manufacturers. Final color product was developed with SignalStain DAB Substrate Kit (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), and then sections were counterstained with hematoxylin (Leagene, Beijing, China). Images were captured by LEICA DM6000 B with a LEICA DFC300 FX (Leica Microsystems Ltd., Solms, Germany) at a magnification of 200x. Six fields were evaluated for each slide [25]. The numbers of positive cells per mm2 of spinal cord tissues were made by manual counting at Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA) [26].

2.9. Quantitative Real-Time PCR. IL-1β, IL-17A, TNF-α, and IFN-γ mRNA levels in the spinal cord were analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR. Total RNA was isolated from the spinal cord through tissue homogenate using TaKaRa RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Kusatsu, Japan) and analyzed with ABI 7500 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA) [26]. The numbers of positive cells per mm2 of spinal cord tissues were made by manual counting at Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA) [26].

2.10. Western Blot. The levels of NGF, CNTF, and BDNF in the CNS of EAE mice were detected by Western blot. Brain was collected and snap frozen at −80°C immediately. Tissue homogenates were prepared in lysis buffer, consisting of 1 nM PMSF. Proteins were denatured, and equal amounts of proteins were electrophoresed in 12% bis-Tris/polyacrylamide gels and transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked for 2 h in blocking solution and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) diluted in blocking solution. Next, incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody was performed at room temperature for 2 h, and immunoreactivity was detected by using enhanced chemiluminescence. Blots were scanned and analyzed for measurement of the band intensities with UN-SCAN-IT version 5.1 software.

2.11. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 18.0 software. All data were expressed as mean ± SD. Differences in mean values of various groups were analyzed by ANOVA. Comparisons of numerical data between two groups were calculated by Student t-tests. Difference with P value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of hAMC. Immunofluorescence staining assay showed that isolated hAMC expressed stem cell specific markers CD105, CD73, and vimentin (Figure 1).

3.2. hAMC Ameliorated the Symptom and Improved CNS Pathology of EAE Mice. To determine the effect of hAMC on MS, we administrated hAMC in a classical MOG-induced EAE mice model. In our preliminary studies, we found that 1×10⁵ hAMC was optimal for suppressing EAE; therefore, this dose was chosen for the subsequent in vivo experiments. As shown in Figure 2(a), hAMC remarkably attenuated the clinical symptoms of EAE mice. The mean clinical score was obviously lower in hAMC group when compared to model group from day 20 till the end of the experiment. In addition, we found improvement of body weight in hAMC group to some extent, although there was no significant difference between model group and hAMC group (Figure 2(b)). In order to investigate the effect of hAMC on CNS pathology of EAE mice, we detected the inflammation and demyelination changes in the spinal cords by H&E staining and LFB staining, respectively. As shown in Figure 2(c), the model group showed significant vascular cuff-like changes and diffused inflammatory cell infiltration and demyelination compared with the normal group. Excitingly, hAMC treatment could improve the severity of
these pathological changes. The inflammation score and demyelination score were obviously lower in the hAMC group compared to the model group (Figures 2(d) and 2(e)).

3.3. hAMC Suppressed Proinflammatory Cytokine Production in EAE Mice. To determine the anti-inflammatory properties of hAMC in EAE mice, we examined the levels of several important proinflammatory cytokines in serum and CNS of EAE mice. As shown in Figure 3(a)–3(d), production of TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-1β, and IL-17A in the serum of EAE mice were significantly increased compared to the normal mice, whereas hAMC could remarkably decrease these proinflammatory cytokine levels. On the other hand, we also detected the levels of these cytokines in the CNS by real-time PCR. The results showed that compared to the model group, hAMC treatment could significantly lower the levels of these proinflammatory cytokines (Figures 3(e)–3(h)).

3.4. hAMC Decreased CD4+ T Cells and CD8+ T Cells in EAE Mice. To further investigate the anti-inflammatory mechanism of hAMC, we detected CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells in the CNS of EAE mice after hAMC treatment. Immunohistochemistry analysis showed that the numbers of CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells were significantly increased in the spinal cords from the model group. hAMC treatment significantly reduced the numbers of CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells when compared with the model group (Figure 4).

3.5. hAMC Promoted Neuron-Repair Factor Production in EAE Mice. To further investigate the action mechanism of hAMC, we next examined the levels of several important neuron-repair factors (NGF, CNTF, and BDNF) in the CNS of EAE mice after hAMC treatment. The results could be seen in Figure 5, which showed that hAMC treatment could significantly increase the expression of NGF, CNTF, and BDNF in the EAE mice.

4. Discussion

Recently, accumulating evidence showed that MSCs from different origins, including adipose-derived, bone marrow-derived, and umbilical cord-derived, could attenuate the disease progression in EAE animal models [27–29]. Furthermore, autologous bone marrow-derived MSCs transplantation and allogeneic umbilical cord-derived MSCs transplantation for the treatment of MS have been proved safe and effective in clinical trials, which showed that treatment improved the course of the disease, reduced the inflammatory response, and promoted neuroprotection [30–32]. Although MSCs provide a unique approach to the treatment of MS, how to obtain the abundant cells easily and how to avoid possible adverse effect in the clinic application are still rigorous challenges.

Human amniotic membrane is previously regarded as postlabor medical waste. However, accumulating evidences indicated it is a valuable biomaterial, because it possesses two distinct stem/progenitor cell populations: human amniotic mesenchymal cells (hAMC) and human amniotic epithelial cells (hAEC). hAMC are derived from embryonic mesoderm, while hAEC are derived from embryonic ectoderm. Both cells express stem cell marks including CD73 and CD105. The distinction between the two types of cells is that hAMC expresses vimentin but not cytokeratin 19, whereas hAEC expresses cytokeratin 19 but not vimentin [33]. Despite both hAMC and hAEC display some similar properties including helping regeneration and repair of damaged tissues and organs [34], there still exist a few differences between these two kinds of cell types, such as immunoregulation [35]. As hAEC have already been reported to have immunosuppressive and therapeutic effect in MS, we wonder whether hAMC can also be used in the treatment of MS. Our study firstly demonstrated that hAMC could effectively attenuate the disease development in EAE mice. Because hAMC are easy to isolate and expand in vitro, at the same time, almost no risk of tumor formation, our study would help provide an alternative source of MSC in the MS treatment.

Although the cause and pathogenesis of MS are largely unknown, the current theories favor MS as an autoimmune inflammatory disorder of the CNS, wherein T lymphocytes play a central role [36]. Both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells have been demonstrated in MS lesions. On the one hand, a variety of stimuli including environmental factors, infection, inflammation, and autoimmune reactions induces
Figure 2: hAMC ameliorates the clinical symptoms and improves CNS pathology of EAE mice. (a) Time course changes of mean clinical score in the mice from respective group. Arrow shows the starting point of hAMC treatment. (b) Time course changes of body weight in the mice from respective group. (c) Representative histological finding of spinal cords from each group. Tissue sections from the spinal cords were stained with H&E or LFB, respectively. Scale bars, 200 μm. (d) Histological inflammation score in each group. (e) Demyelination score in each group. Results are shown as mean ± SD. n = 8 mice per group. For pathological analysis, n = 5 sections per animal, eight mice in each group. **P < 0.01, compared to model group.
autoreactive CD4+ T cells to be activated in the periphery. These activated CD4+ T cells adhere to the CNS endothelial and enter the CNS by transendothelial migration. After entering the CNS, these cells are reactivated by local and infiltrating activated antigen-presenting cells (APC), resulting in subsequent inflammatory processes and eventually in demyelination and axonal damage [37]. On the other hand, increasing evidence found that inflammatory infiltrates are often dominated by CD8+ T cells in the florid multiple sclerosis lesions [38]. These cells are probably much better suited to mediate CNS damage, since neurons, oligodendrocytes, and astrocytes in the CNS express MHC-I and therefore are preferentially recognized by CD8+ T cells [39]. In our study, we found that CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells were both increased in the CNS of EAE mice. These results were consistent with the previous reports. hAMC treatment effectively lowered the numbers of these cells, indicating that its therapeutic effect...
on EAE mice was at least partly through the decreased numbers of these cells.

Cytokine dysfunction responses are also observed in MS patients and EAE animal models [40, 41]. Overexpression of proinflammatory cytokines including IL-1β, IL-17, TNF-α, and IFN-γ has been shown to activate various inflammatory processes [29, 30]. Previous studies reported that these proinflammatory cytokines overexpressed in the blood, cerebrospinal fluid, and CNS lesions of MS patients [31]. Moreover, IL-1β deficiency significantly attenuated clinical symptom of EAE mice [27]. Therefore, regulating these overexpressed proinflammatory cytokines to physiological levels might be effective for the treatment of MS.

In our study, we found that hAMC treatment effectively lowered the proinflammatory cytokines not only in the periphery but also in the CNS of EAE mice.

In MS, promoting remyelination is still a crucial therapeutic challenge [42, 43]. Stem cells on neural repair and neuroprotection function have been discovered and confirmed in recent years, as administration of these cells in experimental models of stroke and spinal cord injury obtained success [44, 45]. Studies indicated that stem cells had a neuroprotective activity because they could not only inhibit the production of inflammatory factors but also increase neurotrophic factors [46, 47]. However, whether hAMC can promote the neurotrophic factors production in
EAE mice is still unknown. Neurotrophins, including NGF, CNTF, and BDNF, are a family of proteins that induce the survival, development, and function of neurons [48, 49]. They act by preventing the neuron from initiating programmed cell death, thus allowing the neurons to survive. They also induce differentiation of progenitor cells to form neurons [49]. Increasing evidence showed that the levels of these neurotrophins were significantly reduced in the CNS of MS patients and EAE mice and correlated with the deteriorated neuron damage, which implied that increasing the levels of these neurotrophins and/or maintaining their physiological levels in the CNS might be beneficial for MS [42, 50]. Actually, several related preparations, such as NGF eye drop and NGF infusion, have been approved in clinic to treat optic nerve injury, brain injury, etc. [51, 52]. In our study, we found that the levels of NGF, CNTF, and BDNF were significantly reduced in the CNS of EAE mice, which was consistent with the previous reports. Treatment with hAMC showed a significantly increase in the levels of these factors. These results indicated that besides anti-inflammatory effect, hAMC could also promote the neural repair in EAE mice.

Collectively, the results of the present study demonstrated that hAMC could effectively inhibit inflammation and promote remyelination in EAE mice, which was partly through decreasing the numbers of CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells, inhibiting the production of proinflammatory cytokines as well as increasing the levels of neuron-repair factors. Certainly, more studies still need to be done to further explain the mechanism of hAMC so as to promote its clinical application.
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