An Information Entropy-Based Approach for Computationally Identifying Histone Lysine Butyrylation
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Butyrylation plays a crucial role in the cellular processes. Due to limit of techniques, it is a challenging task to identify histone butyrylation sites on a large scale. To fill the gap, we propose an approach based on information entropy and machine learning for computationally identifying histone butyrylation sites. The proposed method achieves 0.92 of area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve over the training set by 3-fold cross validation and 0.80 over the testing set by independent test. Feature analysis implies that amino acid residues in the down/upstream of butyrylation sites would exhibit specific sequence motif to a certain extent. Functional analysis suggests that histone butyrylation was most possibly associated with four pathways (systemic lupus erythematosus, alcoholism, viral carcinogenesis and transcriptional misregulation in cancer), was involved in binding with other molecules, processes of biosynthesis, assembly, arrangement or disassembly and was located in such complex as consists of DNA, RNA, protein, etc. The proposed method is useful to predict histone butyrylation sites. Analysis of feature and function improves understanding of histone butyrylation and increases knowledge of functions of butyrylated histones.
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INTRODUCTION

Butyrylation, a type of post-translation modification (PTM), refers to a biochemical interaction process where the butyryl functional group covalently modifies the lysine amino acid (Chen et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2018). Protein butyrylation is a newly discovered PTM (Chen et al., 2007). In the past 5 years, butyrylation’s roles in the cellular process have been gradually uncovered. For example, Goudarzi et al. (2016) confirmed that histone butyrylation directly stimulates gene expression and inhibits Brdt Binding. Xu et al. (2018) found that butyrylation and acetylation are responsible for the phenotype and metabolic shifts of the endospore-forming Clostridium acetobutylicum, and Lu et al. (2018) revealed that butyrylation prefers poising gene activation by external stresses in the rise of submergence and starvation. Nevertheless, compared to such extensively-studied PTMs as
acetylation (Kiemer et al., 2005; Basu et al., 2009; Gnad et al., 2010; Choudhary et al., 2014) and methylation (Chen et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2012b; Hamamoto et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2018), few functions of butyrylation are known. With in-depth exploration of butyrylation, more biological functions of butyrylation will undoubtedly be found.

Identifying butyrylation sites is an important foundation to further explore its functions. Biotechnologies whose representative is mass spectrometry provide a necessary approach to identify PTMs including butyrylation. Zhang et al. (2008) found four lysine butyrylation sites in histone yeast, Xu et al. (2014) 11 histone butyrylation sites in human cells, and Lu et al. (2018) identified four histone butyrylation sites in rice using mass spectrometry. Obviously, this strategy is not only labor-intensive and time-consuming, but also generally low-throughput. On the contrary, bioinformatics approaches provide an alternative to explore PTM sites, with characteristic being high-throughput. Since Hansen et al. (1995; 1998) proposed a method for computationally predicting mucin type O-glycosylation sites in the 1990s, dozens of computational approaches have been developed for identifying PTM sites (Blom et al., 2004; Xue et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2012b; Zhang et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015a; Zhou et al., 2016). For instances, glycosylation identification includes the neural network-based method (Hansen et al., 1998), the support vector machine-based method (Li et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2008; Sasaki et al., 2009), the random forest-based method (Hamby and Hirst, 2008; Chuang et al., 2012), and ensemble learning algorithms (Caragea et al., 2007). Features used for predicting methylation sites are from protein sequences (Shao et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2013; Qiu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2018), structure (Shien et al., 2009) or amino acid properties (Shi et al., 2012a). Xu et al. (2015b) proposed a pseudo amino acid composition-based method for predicting lysine succinylation. Zhou et al. (2004) proposed the GPS method for phosphorylation prediction, and Xu et al. (2008) proposed the method SUMOpre for sumoylation prediction. These computational methods are capable of screening potential modified sites on a large scale in a little time and help the former methods narrow the scope of verification of it. Here, we didn’t plan to comprehensively review and discuss them, but propose a novel method based on information entropy and random forest for predicting histone butyryllysine. To the best of my knowledge, this is the first computational method for predicting butyrylation.

**METHOD AND MATERIALS**

**Materials**
One hundred butyrylated proteins were retrieved by searching both the Uniprot database (UniProt Consortium, 2018); https://www.uniprot.org and the Protein Lysine Modifications Database (PLMD): http://plmd.biocuckoo.org/ (Xu et al., 2017). The Uniprot database is a comprehensive repository of function annotation and sequences of proteins, which is updated every 2 months. The PLMD is dedicated to specifically collect lysine-modified proteins, and the current version 3.0 contains 284,780 modification events of 20 types of lysine-modified PTMs from 53501 proteins, including butyrylation, crotonylation and propionylation. Searching the Uniprot database with the keyword “butyryllysine”, we retrieved 91 butyrylated histones containing 176 butyrylation sites with the manual assertion. We downloaded the butyrylation data from the PLMD. Merging these two datasets and then removing abnormal proteins, we got 100 unique histones. To eliminate dependency of the computational method on homology, it is a general step to remove homology among protein sequences. The computational clustering tool (Huang et al., 2010) was used to cluster these 100 protein sequences with the sequence identity cut-off 0.7. Thirteen representative protein sequences were obtained among which sequence identity of any two is no more than 0.7. We selected six proteins from the Uniprot database as the training set which contained 17 butyrylation sites and the remaining seven from the PLMD as the testing set which contained nine butyrylation sites.

**Method**
As shown in Figure 1, the overall workflow of the proposed method consists mainly of four steps: cutting sequence, sequence encoding, training and predicting. The training and the predictive butyrylation histone sequences were cut into fragments which centered lysine with respectively N amino acid residues in the upstream and the downstream of it. That is, the window of (2N+1) residues centering lysine were separated out. For the windows containing lysine but less than 2N+1 residues, we prefixed or suffixed the character “X” to it for complement. The fragments undergoing butyrylation event were viewed as positive samples. We randomly selected 18 non-butyrylation fragments from the training set as training negative samples, and 18 non-butyrylation ones from the testing set as the testing negative samples. The Supplementary Table 1 listed all the training and the testing butyrylation as well as the non-butyrylation sites. For each fragment with (2N+1) residues, the information entropy-based encoding (IEE) and the composition of k-space amino acid pair (CKSAAP) transformed it into numerical feature. After the random forest algorithm trained a classifier using the training set with the numerical features, the unknown protein sequences were input into the trained classifier for final prediction.

**IEE**
Histone butyrylation is assumed as a stochastic system described as \( P(\alpha) \) which stands for probability of the amino acid \( \alpha \) occurring at the i-th position. Obviously, \( P(\alpha) \) is an \( m \)-by-\( n \) matrix where \( m \) is the number of characters of amino acid (here \( m = 21 \)) and \( n \) the length of the sequence (here \( n=2*2N+1 \)). This stochastic system is measured by the information entropy of...
amino acid (PIEA) and the information entropy of position (PIEP), which are denoted respectively by

\[ \text{PIEA}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{2N+1} -P^i(\alpha) \log P^i(\alpha) \]  

and

\[ \text{PIEP}(i) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} -P^i(\alpha) \log P^i(\alpha) \]

where \( \Phi \) represents the set of characters of amino acid. \( P^i(\alpha) \) can be estimated by calculating frequencies of amino acid over all the positive samples in the training set, respectively. The PIEA and the PIEP represent uncertainty of the butyrylation system. The more the PIEA and the PIEP are, the more uncertainty the system is. After a new sample \( s \) was added to the system, its information entropies of amino acid and position are denoted by PIEPs and PIEAs. The variation of information entropies after addition of the new sample to the system is defined by

\[ \text{PVIEA} = \text{PIEA}(\alpha) - \text{PIEAs}(\alpha) \]  

and

\[ \text{PVIEP} = \text{PIEP}(i) - \text{PIEPs}(i) \]  

Similarly, the non-butyrylation system is also assumed as a distinct stochastic system \( N^i(\alpha) \) which is estimated by calculating frequencies of amino acid over all the negative samples in the training set, respectively. The information entropies of amino acid (NIEA) and the information entropies of position (NIEP) for the non-butyrylation system are defined by

\[ \text{NIEA}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{2N+1} -N^i(\alpha) \log N^i(\alpha) \]  

and

\[ \text{NIEP}(i) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} -N^i(\alpha) \log N^i(\alpha) \]

The variation of information entropies after addition of the new sample \( s \) to the non-butyrylation system is defined by

\[ \text{NVIEA} = \text{NIEA}(\alpha) - \text{NIEAs}(\alpha) \]  

and

\[ \text{NVIEP} = \text{NIEP}(i) - \text{NIEPs}(i) \]  

where NIEAs and NIEPs denote respectively information entropies of amino acid and position after addition of the new sample to the non-butyrylation system. The new sample is encoded by PVIEA-NVIEA and PVIEP-NVIEP. Therefore, for each sample, we obtain \((21 + 2N+1)\) feature to represent it.

CKSAAP

The CKSAAP is occurrence frequency of \( k \)-spaced amino acid pair which is spaced by up to \( k \) residues. \( k \) is equal to or more than 0. For example, AA, AC, ..., YX and XX belong to 0-spaced amino acid pair, while AA, AC, ..., XX, ABA, ABC, ..., and XBX to 1-spaced amino acid pair. Generally, there are \((K+1)*21*21\) features for \( k \)-spaced amino acid pair. The CKSAAP were widely applied to prediction of phosphorylation, methylation, palmitoylation, pupylation, ubiquitination and O-glycosylation (Chen et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2012; Tung, 2013; Zhang et al., 2013).

Feature Normalization

All the features are normalized by the following formula

\[ x^*_n = \frac{x^m_n - \min \{x^m_n\}}{\max \{x^m_n\} - \min \{x^m_n\}}, \]

where \( x^*_n \) denotes the \( n \)-th non-normalized feature of the sample \( n \). The normalized feature lies between 0 and 1.

Random Forest

Random forest by Breiman (2001) is an ensemble learning algorithm which combines decision trees for vote. The random forest is composed mainly of constructing of decision trees and voting over all the decision trees for the given sample. Each decision tree grow out of the new training set drawn with replacement from the training set and with \( m << M \) randomly selected features (\( M \) is the total number of sample features). The majority of vote for a sample is the output class for classification. The advantage of Random forests is that it overcome overfitting which occurred in decision trees, and meanwhile produce a limiting value of the generalization error. For more details of random forest, readers can refer to relevant references. Here, we
use Weka software package (Hall et al., 2009) which realized a wide range of machine learning algorithms using the Java programming language.

CROSS VALIDATION AND METRICS

We used 3-fold cross validation to examine performance of the proposed method. For 3-fold cross validation, n training samples are divided into three parts in approximately or equal size. Each part is in turn used as the testing set which is predicted by the trained classifier over the other two parts. Independent test was used to examine generalization ability of the proposed method.

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to assess the predictive performances, which is plotting true positive rate against false positive rate under various threshold. Area under the ROC curve (AUC) was used to compare it, ranging from 0 to 1. The AUC was 1, meaning the perfect prediction, while the AUC was 0.5, indicating the uninformative classifier.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To investigate effects of the parameter N (length of amino acid residues in the upstream or the downstream of the butyrylation sites) on the predictive performances, we conducted 3-fold cross validation over the training set. Most approaches for predicting PTM sites generally set N to the interval of 10 to 15 (Hou et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2015a; Hasan et al., 2016; Jia et al., 2016a; Jia et al., 2016b; Xu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). For example, the iSulf-Cys for predicting s-sulfenylation sites (Xu et al., 2016) adopted a window of 21 residues (i.e., N=10), while the iSuc-PseOpt (Jia et al., 2016a), a tool for predicting lysine succinylation sites, used N=15 amino acid residues of the upstream/downstream of the modified site. Therefore, we tested N only between 10 to 15. As shown in Figure 2, the ROC curves of 3-fold cross validation under various N were plotted. The best AUC (N=13) is 0.92, while the worst (N=15) is 0.73. Therefore, we set N to 13.

ROC curves of 3-fold cross validation over the training set for single type of IEE and for single type of CKSAAP features were shown in Figure 3A. The IEE outperformed the CKSAAP and the combination of two. ROC curves of independent test were plotted in Figure 3B. Obviously, the combination performs best, followed by the CKSAAP and then by the IEE feature. The single performance of the IEE feature is best over the training set, but worst over the testing set. The single performance of the CKSAAP is worst over the training set. The combination of IEE and CKSAAP features performs most stable, with 0.92 of AUC over 3-fold cross validation and 0.80 of AUC over independent test respectively.

Analysis Of Sequence Pattern

We used the WebLogo program (Crooks et al., 2004) to draw a sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A. The sequence logo of all the 26 positive samples both from the training and the testing sets, as shown in Figure 4A.

Analysis of Information Entropy Feature

As shown in Figure 5, we calculated information entropies of all the used positive and the negative samples in the experiment using the equations (1) and (2). Regardless of amino acid or position, information entropies of butyrylation wholly are less than those of non-butyrylation, indicating that the distribution of amino acid followed a rule in the butyrylation than at random. The information entropies of C (cysteine) and W (tryptophan) are near or equal to zero (Figure 5A), implying that two types of amino acid would occur in a fixed way at random. The information entropies of F (phenylalanine) and N (asparagine) are much less in the butyrylation than in the non-butyrylation, indicating that phenylalanine and asparagine would play a role in the butyrylation. Information entropies of G, P, M and R in the positive sample is approximately equal or more than those in the negative samples, respectively. This indicated non-difference of these amino acids between butyrylation and non-butyrylation. The information entropies of position in the butyrylation is less than those in the non-butyrylation exception the position 14 (Figure 5B), indicating that amino acid distribution in the butyrylation would follow more rules than at random.

Analysis of CKSAAP Feature

We calculated pairs of amino acid separated by up to one residue. Namely, amino acid pair might be of such form as αβ or αΔβ, where Δ represent an amino acid. Figure 6 shows frequencies of pair of amino acid. Obviously, distribution of amino acid pairs in
the butyrylation differs largely from that in the non-butyrylation. The butyrylation focuses mainly on these amino acid pairs of DN, GG, GK, KA, KD, KL, KP, KS, KV, PE, RH, RN, VY, XM and XX, while the non-butyrylation on GK, KA, KK and XX.

Analysis of Function for Histone Butyrylation

We used the PANTHER classification system (Mi et al., 2013) (http://www.pantherdb.org/) for functional analysis of histone butyrylation. Both statistical over-representation tests of Homo sapiens butyrylation histones against the whole H. sapiens genes and of Mus musculus butyrylation histones against the whole M. musculus genes were performed. The significantly over-represented GO terms ($P < 0.05$) for biological process, molecular function and cellular composition are listed in Supplementary Table 2–7. It is obviously observed that all GO terms of M. musculus butyrylated histones appeared in the H. sapiens histones, except cytosol (GO:0005829) which is defined as the part of the cytoplasm which does not contain organelles but contain such particulate matter as protein complexes. However, some GO terms of H. sapiens butyrylated histones fail to fall into the set of GO terms of M. musculus histones. For example, in terms of molecular function, STAT family protein binding (GO:0097677), RNA polymerase II core promoter sequence-specific DNA binding (GO:0000979), core promoter sequence-specific DNA binding (GO:0001046), core promoter binding (GO:0001047), chromatin binding (GO:0003682), protein-containing complex binding (GO:0044877), protein binding (GO:0005515) and binding (GO:0005488) are significant over-represented GO terms in H. sapiens butyrylation histone, not in M. musculus histones. The difference of the first four molecular functions between two species would be caused by the small-sample question. The number of studied M. musculus butyrylated histones is 17, less than the number of H. sapiens histones. The term GO:0097677 appeared two times, and these three terms GO:0000979, GO:0001046 and GO:0001047 appeared three times in these 30 butyrylated H. sapiens histones, while they would likely appear less than two times in these 17 butyrylated M. musculus histones. Only functions appearing two times or more would be statistically analyzed. Therefore, these four molecular functions could not separate H. sapiens from M. musculus histones. GO:0044877 appeared 10 times, GO:0003682 11 times, GO:0005515 29 times, while GO:0005488 appeared 30 times in the H. sapiens histone. It is rational to infer occurring more than two times in 17 M. musculus butyrylation histones, but they were not significant over-represented GO terms. This indicated that
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**FIGURE 2** | ROC curves under various parameter $N$.
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**FIGURE 3** | ROC curves. (A, B) depict ROC curves of 3-fold cross validation and independent test, respectively.
these later four molecular functions were enriched only in the *H. sapiens*, not in all the species.

Table 1 listed the most significant five GO terms of molecular function, biological process and cellular component in the butyrylated *H. sapiens* histone which all belonged to the set of the over-represent GO terms in the *M. musculus* histones respectively. These three terms GO:0003677 (DNA binding), GO:0003676 (nucleic acid binding) and GO:0031492 (nucleosomal DNA binding) are defined as interacting selectively and non-covalently with DNA, with any nucleic acid and with the DNA portion of a nucleosome, respectively. GO:0046982 (protein heterodimerization activity) is defined as interacting selectively and non-covalently with a non-identical protein to form a heterodimer, whose relationship with GO:0046983 (protein dimerization activity) is “is a”. All the five terms belongs to the ancestor GO:0005488 (binding) via the “is a” relationship, implying that butyrylation histones could bind other molecules such as DNA, nucleic acid or protein. GO:0006334 (nucleosome assembly) is defined as the aggregation, arrangement and bonding together of a nucleosome, the beadlike structural units of eukaryotic chromatin composed of histones and DNA, which is of “is a” relationship with GO:0034728 (nucleosome organization) and of “part of” relationship with GO:0006323 (DNA packaging) and of “is a” relationship with...
GO:0006333 (chromatin assembly or disassembly). These five terms finally are traced up to two terms: GO:0016043 (cellular component organization) and GO:0044085 (cellular component biogenesis), indicating that butyrylation histones might be associated with these processes of biosynthesis, assembly, arrangement or disassembly. The term GO:0000786 (nucleosome) refers to a complex consisting of DNA wound around a multi-subunit core and associated proteins, which forms the primary packing unit of DNA into higher order structures. The term GO:0000786 is of “is a” relationships both with the term GO:0044815 (DNA packaging complex) and with GO:0032993 (protein-DNA complex) and is of “part of” relationship with the term GO:0000785 (chromatin) which is of part of relationship with the term GO:0005694 (chromosome). These results indicate that butyrylation histone might be located in a complex composed of DNA, proteins, etc.

We used the David (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) (Huang da et al., 2009a; Huang da et al., 2009b) to explore biological pathways in which the butyrylated histones are potential to be involved. The David is one of most popular tool for enrichment analysis of gene function, currently including over 40 annotation categories, such as ordinary GO terms, protein functional domains, bio-pathways, etc. The backgrounds for H. sapiens and M. musculus butyration histones were respectively the whole H. sapiens and the whole M. musculus genes. The statistically significant Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways (P-value < 0.01) are systemic lupus erythematosus, alcoholism, viral carcinogenesis and transcriptional misregulation in cancer, whether for H. sapiens or for M. musculus genes, indicating that histone butyrylation is involved in similar bio-pathway.

CONCLUSION

Histone butyrylation is a newly discovered PTM, whose mechanism remains unknown. In this paper, we presented an approach based on information entropy and machine learning for identifying histone butyrylation sites. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first computational method for identifying histone butyrylation sites. By comparing sequences, IEE and CKSAAP between butyrylation and non-butyrylation, we found some specific characteristics implying potential and hidden pattern of histone butyrylation. The statistical test suggests that the butyrylation histone might be of binding with other molecules, be associated with the processes of biosynthesis, assembly, arrangement or disassembly, be located in the

**TABLE 1** | Most significant five GO terms of molecular function, biological process and cellular component for Homo sapiens.

| Molecular function                              | Biological process                                      | Cellular component                  |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Protein heterodimerization activity (GO:0046982) | Nucleosome assembly (GO:0006334)                        | Nucleosome (GO:0000786)             |
| DNA binding (GO:0003877)                        | Chromatin assembly (GO:0031497)                        | DNA packaging complex (GO:0044815)  |
| Protein dimerization activity (GO:0046983)      | Chromatin assembly or disassembly (GO:0006333)         | Protein-DNA complex (GO:0032993)    |
| Nucleic acid binding (GO:0003878)               | Nucleosome organization (GO:0034728)                    | Chromatin (GO:0000785)             |
| Nucleosomal DNA binding (GO:0031492)            | DNA packaging (GO:0006323)                             | Chromosome (GO:0005694)            |

**FIGURE 6** | Heatmap of amino acid pair. (A) represents heatmap of all the positive samples and (B) heatmap of all the negative samples.
complex of DNA, protein, etc, and be involved in the such pathway as systemic lupus erythematosus, alcoholism, viral carcinogenesis and transcripational misregulation in cancer.
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