Understanding the Global Problem of Drug Addiction is a Challenge for IDARS Scientists
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Abstract: IDARS is an acronym for the International Drug Abuse Research Society. Apart from our scientific and educational purposes, we communicate information to the general and scientific community about substance abuse and addiction science and treatment potential. Members of IDARS are research scientists and clinicians from around the world, with scheduled meetings across the globe. IDARS is developing a vibrant and exciting international mechanism not only for scientific interactions in the domain of addiction between countries but also ultimately as a resource for informing public policy across nations. Nonetheless, a lot more research needs to be done to better understand the neurobiological basis of drug addiction – A challenge for IDARS scientists.
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INTRODUCTION

Drug addiction is a chronically relapsing disorder that has been characterized by the compulsive use of addictive substances despite adverse consequences to the individual and society [1]. Addiction to drugs and alcohol is increasingly becoming a worldwide trend in lifestyle that is prevalent in rich and poor countries alike. Addiction to alcohol, drugs and cigarette smoking is now regarded as a major public health problem. Other forms of addiction including computer games, gambling, sex and food also have severe consequences on the health of the individual and to society. The commonly abused drugs have profound action in the nervous system, particularly in the brain. Some of these substances such as opium, marijuana, cocaine, nicotine, caffeine, mescaline, and psilocybin are obtained from natural sources while others are synthetic or designer drugs. Furthermore some of these substances like alcohol and nicotine are legal while some others that are legally available by prescription have addictive potential in vulnerable individuals. A number of addictive substances are illegal in most countries and this fuel the illegal drug trafficking and business that are often associated with criminal activities. The initiation of the use of these substances induces euphoria, reward and a state of well-being that can lead to physical and psychological dependences. Withdrawal syndrome occurs when the individual attempts to stop the use of addictive substances and this leads to the cycle of dependency. The mechanism(s) associated with the cycle of addiction include neuronal adaptation with tolerance or sensitization involved in the action of addictive substances. A number of factors have also been associated with addiction, including the availability, cost, method of administration, environmental factors such as behaviors acceptable in a community, peer influences and genetic and epigenetic factors. Over the years a number of therapeutic approaches for drug and alcohol addiction have been utilized. However, relapse the resumption of drug taking following a period of drug abstinence, is considered the main hurdle in treating drug addiction. Unfortunately pharmacological treatment of drug and alcohol dependency has largely been disappointing and new therapeutic targets and hypotheses are needed. Drug addiction is also influenced by the interaction of genes, epigenetics and the environment.

Twin studies consistently show that there is a heritable component to drug abuse and addiction [2]. Now using modern genomic techniques, we are able to examine genetic variants, or single nucleotide polymorphisms that contribute to addiction vulnerability. So a lot more research needs to be done to better understand the neurobiological basis of drug addiction and hence a continuous challenge for IDARS scientists. IDARS is therefore engaged in a vibrant and exciting international mechanism, not only for scientific interactions among scientists in the domain of addiction research between countries but also as a resource for informing public policy across nations. This is an exciting period in the study of the neurobiology of addiction where brain circuitry and molecular mechanisms are providing hope for understanding not only the vulnerability to addiction but also providing new targets for the treatment of various types of substance abuse/dependence as presented in this report.

MARIJUANA HIGHLIGHTS

Various forms of marijuana preparations comes from the cannabis plant and is the most commonly used drug in the world, for recreation and suddenly, we are awakened to potential therapeutic applications. Therefore, these are high
times for marijuana research with new findings on the biological effects of cannabinoids and as new potential applications in neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders [3]. The new advances and understanding indicate that the cellular, molecular and behavioral responses to marijuana are encoded in our genes [3]. The discovery that specific genes codes for cannabinoid receptors (CBRs) that are activated by marijuana use, and that the human body makes its own marijuana-like substances - endocannabinoids [4], that also activates CBRs have provided surprising new knowledge about cannabinoid genomic and proteomic profiles. These remarkable advances in understanding the biological actions of marijuana, cannabinoids and endocannabinoids, is unraveling the genetic basis of marijuana use and the implication in human health and disease. We know that the two well characterized cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors are encoded by CNR1 and CNR2 genes that have been mapped to human chromosome 6 and 1 respectively. A number of variations in cannabinoid receptor genes have been associated with human disorders including drug dependency [4], osteoporosis [5], ADHD and PTSD, [6, 7], obesity [8, 9], and depression [10, 11]. Thus, because of the ubiquitous distribution and role of the endocannabinoid system in the regulation of a variety of normal human physiology, drugs that are targeted to different aspects of this system are already benefitting cancer sub-

able advances in understanding the biological actions of marijuana, cannabinoids and endocannabinoids, is unraveling the genetic basis of marijuana use and the implication in human health and disease. We know that the two well characterized cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors are encoded by CNR1 and CNR2 genes that have been mapped to human chromosome 6 and 1 respectively. A number of variations in cannabinoid receptor genes have been associated with human disorders including drug dependency [4], osteoporosis [5], ADHD and PTSD, [6, 7], obesity [8, 9], and depression [10, 11]. Thus, because of the ubiquitous distribution and role of the endocannabinoid system in the regulation of a variety of normal human physiology, drugs that are targeted to different aspects of this system are already benefitting cancer subjects and those with AIDs and metabolic syndromes [8]. In the coming era of personalized medicine, genetic variants and haplotypes in CNR1 and CNR2 genes associated with obesity or addiction phenotypes may help identify specific targets in conditions of endocannabinoid dysfunction. Most strikingly, variants of CNR genes co-occur with other genetic variations and share biological susceptibility that underlies comorbidity in many neuropsychiatric disturbances [12]. Therefore, understanding the endocannabinoid system in the human body and brain will contribute to elucidating this natural regulatory mechanism in health and disease.

CHRONIC ALCOHOLISM IN HUMAN SUBJECTS

Illicit drug use has well-known detrimental effects, but the legal drugs tobacco and alcohol have far greater impact on human health world-wide. By a standard measure of morbidity, DALYS, tobacco is at 4.1%, alcohol 4.0% (6.6% for males, 3.1% for females [13]); all illicit drugs combined add only 0.8% to global disease burden [14]. Alcohol consumption is common: in one survey, 82% of respondents over 14 had imbibed it in the previous 12 months [15]. The social cost of alcohol use is also very high [16, 17]. Alcohol misuse leads to selective brain pathology, though subjects differ markedly [18]. Brain shrinkage, reduced white-matter volume, and dendritic pruning may be reversible with abstinence; irreversible effects are more focal: superior prefrontal cortex (SFC) is particularly vulnerable [19, 20]. Common comorbidities (cirrhosis of the liver and the Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome), which are more prevalent with higher rates of alcohol consumption [21], lead to greater brain atrophy [18]. Neuronal death may be due to excitotoxicity, an imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory inputs mediated by NMDA receptors (NMDAR) and reduced GABAergic-mediated responses [22, 23]. This allostatics [24, 25] maintains a proper balance between excitation and inhibition in the presence of alcohol. When alcohol is removed, as in periods of abstinence, the balance is skewed towards over-excitation. This leads to a large influx of Ca2+ ions into cells, affecting many signaling pathways and eventually leading to cell death. In rat and mouse models NMDAR are increased after chronic alcohol, but there are regional and species differences due to modes of administration, age, time of withdrawal, etc [26-29]. All studies show increases in at least one NMDAR subunit [30-34]. However, the area affected and the subunit(s) that change are paradigm-dependent [30, 32].

In human autopsy studies, NMDAR are increased in SFC and hippocampus [35, 36]. Alcoholics without comorbid disease shift the excitatory balance by GABA A receptor subunit switching to reduce inhibitory function. GABA A shifts are small in cirrhotic alcoholics, and the key change may be increased excitability via altered NMDAR expression. Using quantitative real-time PCR we found that alcoholics without liver cirrhosis did not differ significantly from controls in the expression of any subunit, whereas all subunits were significantly lower in cirrhotic alcoholics [37-40]. Promising NMDAR-specific tracers for PET and SPECT provide the potential to study NMDAR changes in human subjects in the future [41-43].

Chronic alcohol misuse affects the expression of many genes in the brain, leading to long-term changes in neural function. Microarray and proteomic studies have found changes in the expression of genes involved in metabolism, immune response, cell survival, cell communication, signal transduction, and energy production, DNA-binding proteins, transcription factors, repair enzymes, myelination, and cell-adhesion [44-46].

The Genetics of Alcoholism

Alcoholism in human subjects is mediated by many societal and genetic factors. Genes may mediate etiology and pathogenesis, although this issue is still hotly debated. Different genetic markers are associated with increased risk of alcohol misuse, dependence, craving, tolerance, and withdrawal severity [47]. Risk-factor genes code for alcohol-metabolising enzymes, and also for the effectors of neurotransmission – receptors, transporters and signal-transduction components – for a variety of transmitter classes, including dopamine, serotonin, glutamate, and GABA. Some genes are associated with general aspects of addiction [48, 49].

The effects of these polymorphisms can be divided into two categories: those that pre-dispose the individual to alcohol abuse, and those that make an individual more susceptible to the toxic effects of alcohol. Polymorphisms may not only alter the product of the parent gene (e.g., by changing the amino-acid codon) but may also have pleiotropic effects, i.e., the changes in one gene may affect the expression of, or activity of the product of, another gene. An emerging microarray literature is showing that knocking out a single gene alters the expression of hundreds of transcripts, many of which have no discernible association with the knocked-out gene. Knockout mice strains that differ in alcohol responsiveness have been compared to find transcripts that show discriminant expression [50]. Affected transcripts are from genes located on a range of chromosomes – not only that bearing the knocked-out gene. It is difficult to transfer this concept to human brain but an analogue of a gene knockout
relevant to human alcoholism is the $\text{ALDH2}$ gene ($\text{ALDH2}$-
2.2 homozygotes have no $\text{ALDH}$ activity [51]). More generally, allelic variants of alcoholism-associated genes are very likely to moderate the expression of a range of genes. There is a multiplier effect for expression whereby proteins usually show larger effect sizes than mRNA transcripts [52]; proteins are more readily linked to functional differences than transcripts.

Dopamine and Alcohol

Dopamine (DA) is a monoamine transmitter that mediates motivation, attention, short-term memory and reinforcement. Many dopaminergic neurons originate in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and project to the cerebral cortex, nucleus accumbens (NAc) and amygdala [53]. The mesolimbic system of the brain has been a focus of addiction research because it involves the so-called pleasure-centers such as the NAc. Dopaminergic transmission in this system may play a central role in many, if not all, addictions [54]. Animal studies show a dose-dependent increase in DA in response to alcohol in the NAc, indirectly mediated via the VTA. An increase in DA in the NAc occurs in conditioned animals in anticipation of ethanol administration. The local application of dopaminergic-specific neurotoxins in the NAc can lead to a reduction in ethanol consumption in alcohol-dependent rats. In human subjects, PET studies show a significant decrease in DA $D_2$ receptor binding in alcoholics. Alcoholics also show reduced dopaminergic function that correlates with addiction severity. Despite these observations, DA agonists and antagonists have had limited success as treatments for alcoholism [55].

Genetic variation in $\text{DRD2}$

The $\text{DRD2}$ gene that encodes the $D_2$ receptor has several well-characterized polymorphisms, some of which have been associated with diseases such as schizophrenia and dependence. The $\text{TaqIA}$ SNP has been extensively studied in relation to alcohol misuse. There is, however, little agreement whether it is [56-64] or is not [65-69] associated with alcohol dependence. This work is further complicated by some studies being limited to special populations [66, 68], limited to a single gender [61, 64], or broadened to additional psychiatric disorders [58, 60, 64]. Meta-analyses also disagree, with some finding an association with alcohol dependence [70, 71] and others not [72]. The $\text{TaqIA}$ story was further complicated when it was found that the SNP, which is ca. 9 kbp downstream of the last exon of $\text{DRD2}$, is in fact in the coding sequence of a poorly characterized neighboring gene, ankyrin-repeats containing kinase 1 ($\text{ANKK1}$) [73]. Work in this area continues.

CURRENT TRENDS IN METHAMPHETAMINE USE AND ABUSE

Abuse of methamphetamine (METH) is a growing international public health problem with an estimated 35 million users worldwide, including countries like Canada, China, Japan, Mexico, and USA [74]. It is thought that over half of the world’s METH consumers reside in Southeast Asia. In Mexico, the number of people admitted to treatment for psychostimulant addiction from 3% in 1996 to 20% in 2006. METH is the most commonly synthesized illegal drug in the United States and has been cited by law enforcement officials as the leading cause of criminal problems in the country. A 2006 survey showed that 5.8% of Americans aged 12 years or older used METH at least once [75]. There have been substantial increases in METH-related emergency room admissions at hospitals in the Southwest of the USA.

After taking the drug, users experience a sense of euphoria, increased productivity, hypersexuality, decreased anxiety and increased energy. These effects can last for several hours. METH abuse is also associated with a number of negative which include acute toxicity, altered behavioral and cognitive functions, and neurological damage [76]. Ingestions of large doses of the drug can also cause more serious consequences that include life-threatening hyperthermia, renal and liver failure, cardiac arrhythmias, heart attacks, cerebrovascular hemorrhages, strokes and seizures. Chronic abuse of METH contributes to anxiety, depression, aggressiveness, social isolation, psychosis, mood disturbances, and psychomotor dysfunction. Withdrawal from METH can produce anhedonia, irritability, fatigue, impaired social functioning, and intense craving for the drug [76]. Neuroimaging studies have revealed METH-induced neurodegenerative changes in the brains of human addicts [77]. These include persistent decreases in the levels of dopamine transporters (DAT) in various brain. Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies in METH addicts have documented substantial morphological changes in their brains [78].

Studies in animal models have reported that METH can cause depletion of dopamine, serotonin, and of their metabolites in the brain [79]. These abnormalities are associated with marked decreases in the DA and 5-HT transporters in various brain regions. These abnormalities are thought to be related to the production of oxygen-based radicals including superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals. Damage to mitochondria and abnormal metabolism of other reactive compounds might also play a role in causing METH-induced damage in monoaminergic terminals [79]. Some of the damage are prevented by pretreatment with dopaminergic receptor blockers and trophic factors such as GDNF or BMP7 [80]. Another process that has shown to be protective involves administration of low doses for METH that are not toxic, suggesting that small doses of the drug can trigger molecular and cellular changes that render the brain refractory to its pro-oxidant properties [81]. If we can project this idea to the human condition, this process might explain why drug addicts do not develop signs and symptoms of Parkinsonism.

In summary, METH addiction is a major neuropsychiatric problem. Research is under way in order to understand the basic mechanisms involved in switching from exposure to drug to being addicted to METH. These studies involve behavioral, cellular and molecular neurobiological approaches. It is hoped that understanding of the pathways involved will lead to better treatment approaches to the clinical population of METH addicted individuals.

TIME TO TAKE A CLOSER LOOK AT MDMA USE/ABUSE

MDMA became a popular drug in the USA in the 1970s and its use rapidly spread to Europe. Initially, drug use was
associated with "raves" and the youth dance culture and in the early 1990s reports of excessive use were restricted to case studies [82-85]. Early surveys suggested that use was limited [86, 87] and the seeming ability to control intake led to the belief that the drug had limited abuse potential [88, 89] did "not seem addictive" [90] and had "almost no potential to lead to dependence" [91]. In 1995, the Monitoring the Future survey in the USA indicated an increase in ecstasy use among high school students. Subsequent surveys indicated an increase in prevalence rates through to 2001 followed by a decrease. Current prevalence rates (2007) are at about 4% of high school students in the USA. The pattern of ecstasy use also appears to have changed quite considerably in more recent years. While most users consume MDMA relatively infrequently, increasingly the data indicate that many users consume MDMA frequently and in large amounts and some users met criteria for abuse and/or dependence as measured by DSM. The changes being observed in MDMA use and abuse are reminiscent of those that were seen with cocaine in the 1980s. Cocaine was also not initially considered to be “addictive” [92], a conclusion that we now know is not true. Some cocaine users consume the drug in a compulsive manner that characterizes abuse. MDMA users have also been classified as either novice or light users, moderate users or heavy users based upon either length of time of use, number of pills typically ingested per use or total lifetime use. When one examines the use patterns, it becomes apparent that heavy users take more pills on each occasion [93-96]. Specifically, the number of tablets usually taken and the largest number of tablets ever taken on one occasion was largest in the subjects classified as heavy MDMA users.

There is considerable controversy about the extent of short- and long-term consequences of MDM use and abuse. We have several decades of preclinical research that has investigated self-administration and other models of drug abuse. This knowledge and paradigm development is being applied to the study of MDMA abuse to ultimately understand the neurobiological mechanisms and the consequences of use and abuse of this drug.
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