HCV treatment in 2020: How to translate highly effective therapies into elimination strategies
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Abstract
The hepatitis C virus (HCV) is among the most common blood-borne infections worldwide and a major cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. HCV was first identified in 1989. The current use of direct-acting antiviral agents (DAAs) to cure HCV reflects rapid diagnostic and therapeutic advances in a short period of time that is seen in few diseases. Both the cost and access to DAAs have improved since the introduction of these therapies in 2014. While HCV is very easy to treat, it will be difficult to eliminate worldwide. The tools exist to create strategies to treat and eliminate HCV as a public health threat; however, elimination of HCV will involve improving access to diagnostic testing for HCV with confirmation of active infection. Models of care will need to be revised from centralized, specialized care to decentralized, point-of-care treatment for HCV patients. These models should include clinics that care for populations with a high prevalence of HCV, such as those treating intravenous drug users, needle exchange services, community health centers, and prisons, in addition to primary care clinics. These care pathways are feasible because of the simplicity of pan-genotypic therapies for HCV that require minimal monitoring. Many countries and regions of the world have embarked on programs with the goal of achieving the World Health Organization target of elimination by 2030. Best practices in HCV elimination should be shared globally.
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Introduction
The hepatitis C virus (HCV) is one of the most common blood-borne pathogens in the world. An estimated 70 million persons are infected with chronic HCV, and it remains a major cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Recent data demonstrated that 30 countries account for 80% of HCV infections worldwide.[1] HCV was first recognized as a potential infectious agent in 1951 when an outbreak of serum hepatitis was identified in a group of individuals who injected drugs, with other cohorts also subsequently reported in that decade.[2,3] In 1989, both a circulating antibody and a cDNA clone were identified in those with non-A non-B hepatitis, and it was officially named hepatitis C.[4,5] Since then, the identification of HCV genotypes, the demonstration of interferon and ribavirin as effective therapy for HCV, and finally, the introduction of direct-acting antiviral agent (DAAs) as treatment agents for HCV, have revolutionized our ability to cure those with chronic HCV.

Highly effective DAAs for HCV are now available worldwide, including pan-genotypic (sofosbuvir/daclatasvir, sofosbuvir/velpatasvir, glecaprevir/pibrentasvir) therapies, as well as genotype-specific therapies. Regardless of the choice of treatment, successful completion of DAA therapy leads to sustained response rates of 90% or higher. Recent studies have also demonstrated improved survival and reduced liver-related complications in those with advanced liver disease and reduced rates of hepatocellular carcinoma.[6,7] The cost of treating those with HCV with DAAs has been markedly reduced in both resource-rich and resource-poor countries since their introduction in 2014. One important intervention has been the introduction of low-cost generic DAAs that have been made available to countries that have limited resources to pay for HCV treatment; courses of therapy now cost less than US $100 in many countries.[8] As a result, many of the restrictions that had initially been placed on access to DAAs by some payers at the time of their introduction have gradually been lifted, including requirements related to an advanced fibrosis level, sobriety, and subspecialty care. Treatment is now widely available in many parts of the world.

With the availability of pan-genotypic therapy, the treatment of HCV has been simplified by eliminating much of the evaluation and monitoring that has historically been performed (https://www.hcvguidelines.org/treatment-naive/simplified-treatment).[9] These advances have led national and international organizations to call for strategies to eliminate viral hepatitis (hepatitis C and hepatitis B) as public health threats by 2030. Specifically, the World Health Organization has set targets that 90% of individuals with viral hepatitis could be diagnosed, and 80% of these individuals successfully treated, leading to a 65% reduction in mortality (https://www.who.int/hepatitis/publications/hep-elimination-by-2030-brief/en/). Currently, there are cities, states, countries, and other geographic units that are pursuing elimination strategies and setting ambitious targets to reduce and eliminate viral hepatitis as a public health threat.

When setting HCV elimination goals, it is important to accurately define what disease elimination means. Elimination, in general, can be defined as a reduction to zero incidence of infection in a geographical area while intervention measures are still required; an example of this is the elimination of poliomyelitis.[10] Eradication implies permanent reduction to zero worldwide incidence and intervention measures are no longer required, with the eradication of smallpox being one example.
Historically, the elimination or eradication of most infectious diseases has been the result of the development of effective vaccines to prevent the disease. Even with an effective vaccine, elimination and eradication can be difficult, as has been demonstrated with hepatitis B, for which an effective vaccine is currently available. One exception has been the successful elimination of the infectious parasite onchocerciasis, which causes river blindness; over 100 million individuals have been treated, typically with ivermectin, and this disease has been eliminated in many countries. For HCV, elimination will likely be achieved using microelimination strategies in combination with broader strategies targeting those that are undiagnosed as well as at-risk populations.

The pathway to eliminate HCV involves multiple steps, including access to diagnostic testing, confirmation of active infection, referral for evaluation, disease staging, initiation of DAA therapy, and confirmation of cure. Worldwide, the largest gap in the cascade of care remains the challenge of the underdiagnosis of HCV.[2] It has been estimated that worldwide there are 70 million individuals infected with chronic HCV and that just 20% have been diagnosed, with an even smaller percentage (7%) treated. Strategies to initiate widespread testing for HCV should help reduce this gap in diagnosis. One example is the recent recommendation by the United States Preventive Services Task Force to perform universal testing for HCV infection in patients 18 to 79 years of age (https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/hepatitis-c-screening) rather than risk-based screening. Accompanying this challenge is how to confirm active viral replication. In many resource-constrained areas of the world, confirming active viral replication can be the most expensive and logistically challenging step once exposure to HCV has been confirmed. Point-of-care testing to confirm viremia through polymerase chain reaction techniques or the use of assays such as HCV core antigen testing will be required to allow elimination strategies to move forward. If this is not feasible, another option is to offer an inexpensive treatment (DAAs) to those who are anti-HCV antibody-positive even though some individuals who do not have active viremia will be exposed to DAAs. One could use the regional prevalence of active infection for those who are anti-HCV positive to help determine if this strategy might be effective: however, in some developed countries, the number of viremic patients is falling.[10]

Once the diagnosis of HCV infection is established, improved access to care will be essential to obtain DAA therapies. Historically, HCV has been treated by specialists, due to the complexities of monitoring those who were on interferon and ribavirin therapy. With the introduction of oral DAA therapy, the vast majority of the complexities of monitoring those on treatment are no longer present and oral DAA therapy can be safely given to those with compensated liver disease with little or no monitoring.[10] Thus, our models of care are evolving from a centralized model of care, where patients seek out HCV evaluation and treatment with specialists, to a decentralized model of care with point-of-care testing wherever those with HCV infection are seeking care, including primary healthcare providers, clinics for those with drug and alcohol use disorders, sexual health clinics, needle exchange services, community health centers, and prisons, among others. The use of telehealth and extended community health outreach models have also been shown to be effective in teaching healthcare providers to treat patients with HCV, including those with high-risk behaviors, in their local communities.[11]

As we evolve to include decentralized models of care for the treatment of HCV, it is important to provide education worldwide about the importance of treatment so that those who are infected understand the necessity of obtaining therapy for HCV. This is especially important with DAA therapy, which is well-tolerated and is associated with sustained virologic response (SVR) rates that virtually guarantee cure. Even though cure rates are well above 90% for HVC, there will need to be additional investments worldwide in harm reduction services for comorbid conditions. Effective treatment of opioid addiction, directly observed therapies, and other strategies will be required in addition to universal access to DAAs.[12,13]

With more emphasis on decentralized care, the treatment of HCV will need to evolve from subspecialty care to community-based care. Multiple studies in the United States have demonstrated that sustained response rates are not compromised in any way when care is supervised by generalists, and similar results have been reported worldwide.[14] In Egypt, an educate, test, and treat program was deployed to eliminate HCV infection in rural communities. In a population of rural villages consisting of 310,814 individuals, 33,839 (16.5%) were HCV antibody-positive, 15,892 (47%) had detectable HCV RNA, and 14,495 (91.2%) initiated treatment.[15] The treatment completion rate was 99.9%, with 98.3% of these treated individuals achieving SVR. Overall this decentralized model cured 84.6% of the estimated 17,137 infected persons across 73 villages in rural Egypt.

Other regions of the world have had similar success. India has released a national action plan to eliminate viral hepatitis and recently reported initial results from the Punjab model of HCV elimination using training models with e-learning and e-courses.[16] In the preliminary report, 48,088 individuals were enrolled, assessed, and treated for HCV. Primary care providers from 3 university and 22 district hospitals were trained to provide algorithm-based DAA therapy using generic all-oral DAA regimens and were supervised by tele-health clinics using non-invasive measures used to assess fibrosis. The interim results demonstrated a per protocol SVR rate of 91.2% with a 67.6% intention-to-treat SVR rate. Those with cirrhosis and those with genotype 3 infection had comparable SVR rates to those without the predictors of poor response. Multiple other successful elimination efforts have been reported, including in the Republic of Georgia, Mongolia, and the Cherokee nation.[16-18]

These approaches can succeed among differing populations with varying comorbidities. The most important group of high-risk patients with HCV infection that must be engaged is intravenous drug users. This population worldwide may comprise 10 million individuals, with up to two-thirds infected with HCV.[19] These individuals may require more intensive care with enrollment in opiate substitution programs and other harm-reduction initiatives. One recent report demonstrated that high SVR rates can be achieved regardless of treatment approach: directly observed therapy, group therapy, or traditional individual therapy.[12] Efforts to engage high-risk populations with chronic HCV can reduce the incidence of chronic HCV. The recently reported Treatment as Prevention for Hepatitis C (TRAP Hep C) protocol from Iceland reported that 95% of eligible individuals were initiated on DAA therapy during the first 3 years of implementation and there was a marked reduction in the incidence of new HCV cases.[20] While there are unique aspects to Iceland, including its small population and centralized care, this project demonstrates the value of the testing and treatment approach. Another population with recently reported success is the prison population, which has a high prevalence of HCV worldwide. A recent report from Australia has demonstrated that it is feasible to treat those who are incarcerated using decentralized care models and primary care providers.[21]

As we gain more experience with widespread diagnosis and treatment of HCV, a universal care pathway should be established that incorporates screening with the diagnostic testing and confirmation of active infection (Fig. 1) that will help move treatment from a specialist-oriented centralized model to a decentralized model of care. Ideally,
these tests can be combined and should be point-of-care. Establishing a diagnosis of HCV remains the greatest barrier at this time to worldwide elimination efforts. Another important aspect of HCV elimination is the determination of hepatic fibrosis. Numerous noninvasive tests, including serum markers, platelet count, and fibrosis indices (aspartate aminotransferase-to-platelet ratio index and the fibrosis-4 index for liver fibrosis), as well as technologies such as elastography are available to help generalists assess the level of fibrosis or to determine if cirrhosis is present.\(^22\) Once sustained response has been confirmed, the vast majority of these individuals can be dismissed from care if no cirrhosis is present. Monitoring should be continued for those with cirrhosis and those with ongoing risk factors for reinfection. HCV elimination programs should be integrated into existing health systems, along with the appropriate funding to ensure the diagnosis, treatment, and monitoring of these individuals.\(^23\) Finally, the results of the many elimination programs should be reported such that best practices are available worldwide. Indeed, in the elimination of HCV, it will take a village.
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