Flexible piezoresistive sensor matrix based on a carbon nanotube PDMS composite for dynamic pressure distribution measurement
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Abstract. A highly flexible, piezoresistive sensor matrix based on a carbon nanotube (CNT) polymer composite is developed for pressure distribution measurement applications. With an overall height of about 400 µm, the sensors can measure pressure directly, without any deformation elements, such as a cantilever or a deformation membrane. The measurement range is from 2.5 to 640 kPa. Both the position and the pressure of the applied load can be measured and visualized as a resistance change. The relative resistance measurement deviation of the data acquisition system is lower than 3 % for the resistance range of 610 Ω to 380 kΩ. This corresponds to a systematic deviation of pressure measurement of less than 3 % in the measurement range. Besides the measurement of pressure, different sizes of loads can be detected as well. The developed fast and compact measurement system allows dynamic pressure measurement, such as gait analysis when used in an insole application.

1 Motivation

The need for thin and flexible sensor matrices for the measurement of pressure distribution is increasing, e.g. in plantar pressure distribution measurement to monitor critical pressure values as an insole in everyday use (Gurney et al., 2008) or as tactile sensitive skin in robotics (Someya et al., 2005). The measurement of pressure distribution is also important for the recognition of shapes in different fields of applications, e.g. the detection of a fallen and procumbent person (Lauterbach et al., 2012) with a sensor matrix on the floor with differentiation of other objects at the same time (Mihai et al., 2016) for ambient assisted living systems. In these applications, conventional silicon-based pressure sensors get to their limits because they allow only a punctual measurement and because of lack of flexibility and sensor dimension in direction of the applied pressure force. To overcome these issues polymer-based films containing conducting filler particles are promising materials, able to realize piezoresistive sensors with less thickness, which are printable and therewith low-cost in fabrication as well as scalable in different dimensions ranging from a single sensor to large-area sensor matrix. Such polymer-based sensors are being commercially implemented for health monitoring applications like insoles by Novel, Tekscan, Parotec, etc. The pressure range of these sensors varies from 260 to 1200 kPa with the number of sensor elements from 6 to 960 and a thickness of around 2 mm (Abdul Razak et al., 2012). In comparison to capacitive sensors, piezoresistive sensors require a less complex data acquisition system and are less sensitive to electromagnetic noise (Amjadi et al., 2016; Kappassov et al., 2015). The choice of nanofiller in the polymer matrix determines the piezoresistive property of the composite. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have been used as nanofillers in several works, with a concentration in the range of 1 wt % (Costa and Choi, 2017) to 8 wt % (Yogeswaran et al., 2015) and a maximum pressure ranging from 5 kPa (Costa and Choi, 2017) to 200 kPa (So et al., 2013). A CNT polymer-based piezoresistive sensor ma-
unbundling of the MWCNTs, is particularly important for the homogeneity of the composite, including an adequate dispersed into a PDMS matrix using organic solvents. Since stability up to 300 °C because of its biocompatibility, flexibility and temperature gard 184, Dow Corning) was a desirable choice of polymer polymer nanocomposite. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Syl- 0 resistance across each electrode structure was in the range of the upper side and establishing contact. The measured re- dicular to the electrode lines, intersecting the through holes side, 1 mm wide silver conductor tracks were printed perpen- nected circular interdigital electrodes with a line thickness an oven (see Fig. 1), following the procedure described in 557x727). Based on the experimen-
tal results in Hu et al. (2018), the relative resistance measurement deviation between the actual resistance value and the measured resistance value of this system is lower than 3% within the resistance range of 2 kΩ to 1 MΩ and 10% within the range of 1 to 5 MΩ. The VFC module in this work has been improved with better amplifiers and suitable reference resistors so that the maximum relative measurement deviation is ensured to be less than 3% within the working resistance range of the sensor material, which is around 610 kΩ to 380 kΩ. Meanwhile, the GUI is designed and developed in Python and can display the measured resistance value of each sensor unit in addition to the colour-coded bar function to visualize the different measured resistance values. The GUI is also capable of presenting relative resistance value change of each sensor unit and comparing to its initial resistance value, which is recorded during the calibration stage when the sensor matrix is not under pressure.

4 Results and discussion

To investigate the piezoresistive behaviour of the nanocomposite pressure sensor matrix a measurement set-up is used that comprises of a digital multimeter (Agilent 34401A) interfaced to a custom-built pressure measurement test bench equipped with a high-resolution load cell (K307M.200) allowing a maximum load of 200 N. The pressure sensing prin-
Figure 5. Cyclic test under continuous loading–unloading for 20 cycles at a rate of 2 N s\(^{-1}\).

Figure 6. (a) Repeatability of the sensor after 20 continuous pressure cycles. (b) Stability of the sensor at a constant load of 25 N over 28 h.

The principle of the nanocomposite is an effect of compression and relaxation behaviour of the polymer under pressure, which results in a change of the distance between individual MWCNTs within the polymer matrix. As the MWCNTs are concealed in the polymer, there exists always a minimum distance between the dispersed individual CNTs. Hence, a predominantly tunnelling effect takes place between the dispersed CNTs. Under pressure, the distance between the CNTs is reduced, hence promoting more tunnelling with one or more adjacent CNTs. The overall resistance of the sensor decreases with increasing pressure following the piezoresistive property of the fabricated material. At no load condition, the sensor placed on top of the electrode structure exhibits a base resistance of \(\sim 380 \Omega\). By the application of pressure, the resistance gradually decreases to a point of a sudden drop, at which the sensor is completely in contact with the underlying electrode. This is the nominal pressure (\(\sim 45 \text{kPa}\)), at which a stable measurement of the sensor is possible, and the corresponding resistance is \(\sim 15 \Omega\). Further increases in load lead to the formation of more conductive paths as the tunnelling gaps between MWCNTs are greatly reduced, resulting in a further decrease in resistance.

Each sensor in the matrix is subjected to a loading–unloading test with a maximum load of 50 N (640 kPa) at a rate of 0.1 N s\(^{-1}\). Figure 4a shows the piezoresistive response of a single sensor within the matrix in the applied load range of 45 to 640 kPa and corresponding resistance range of 15 k\(\Omega\) to 610 k\(\Omega\). This range is considered the nominal operating range of the sensor. The inner graph in Fig. 4a represents the complete range of resistance change from \(\sim 400 \Omega\) to 610 \(\Omega\) between 0 and 640 kPa. Even though the sensor response appears to be saturating after 10 N it is evident from Fig. 4b that the response still decreases with increased load but with a reduced sensitivity.

The sensitivity of the sensor can be divided into segments depending on the different load regions of the sensor, as shown in Fig. 4c. In the loading cycle, the sensitivity varies from 4.3 k\(\Omega\) kPa\(^{-1}\) to 3.5 k\(\Omega\) kPa\(^{-1}\) and in the unloading cycle, it varies from 1.2 k\(\Omega\) kPa\(^{-1}\) to 7.8 k\(\Omega\) kPa\(^{-1}\). The different region of sensitivities is a factor of material compressibility and concentration of nanofillers in the polymer matrix. PDMS is a viscoelastic polymer as its glass transition temperature is less than the room temperature and hence the compressibility of the polymer composite material gradually decreases under applied pressure. With any applied pressure, the polymer composite material gets compressed faster, exhibiting higher sensitivity until it reaches a threshold, beyond which the compressive force increases, leading to a decreased sensitivity.

The viscoelasticity of PDMS causes instability in the compression state of the polymer composite material under applied pressure. This effect is also referred to as the relaxation behaviour of the polymer. Hence the sensor exhibits different loading and unloading response curves and there exists a
hysteresis, as shown in Fig. 4d. Hysteresis reduces with increasing applied pressure, from over 60% at 100 kPa to 0.8% at 640 kPa, and at 50% of the maximum applied pressure the hysteresis is 21.4%.

Cyclic loading–unloading test was performed to test for the repeatability of the sensor response over continuous pressure cycles. The sensor was subjected to 20 continuous pressure cycles at a rate of 2 N s\(^{-1}\) to a maximum load of 50 N (640 kPa). The complete cyclic test response is shown in Fig. 5. Within the polymer composite dispersion, the nanofillers are randomly distributed in the polymer matrix and exhibit a higher tendency to re-agglomerate. Upon curing, the polymer conceals the nanofillers, preventing further re-agglomeration, and when this composite is subjected to repeated pressure cycles there exists a stress relaxation behaviour providing better stability in the polymer matrix. Such a mechanical training of the composite enables the sensor to exhibit better stability, improved repeatability and reduced hysteresis. After 20 cycles the overall response of the sensor has shifted down, which indicates a decrease in the hysteresis (see Fig. 6a). For better evaluation of this phenomenon, the sensor must be subjected to more cycles, for example several thousand cycles, to get a better understanding of the repeatability, hysteresis and long-term durability of the sensor.

The stability of the sensor can be determined both under cyclic pressure conditions and under static pressure conditions. The inner graph in Fig. 6b shows the stability of the sensor at a constant applied load of 25 N (320 kPa) over a duration of 28 h. The sensor response gradually decreases at a rate of 122 \(\Omega h^{-1}\) from 1.95 to 1.34 k\(\Omega\) in 5 h, and the decrease in resistance continues at a rate of 15.62 \(\Omega h^{-1}\) from 1.35 to 1.194 k\(\Omega\) in 15 h; later the change is negligible, which is also shown in Fig. 6b.

In polymer-composite-based sensors, reproducibility is challenging as it is governed by the distribution of nanofillers in the polymer matrix. Homogeneous distribution of nanofillers can ensure similar responses across multiple samples of the fabricated sensor. Homogeneous distribution can be achieved by completely de-bundling the MWCNTs and preventing further re-agglomeration. By our optimized material synthesis process the MWCNTs are effectively de-bundled followed by a fast curing process resulting in signif-
Figure 9. Pressure distribution measurements of different weights and positions of test loads measured with a 4 × 4 sensor matrix.

significantly fewer agglomerates and better homogeneous distribution. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images on the cross section of the sample can be used to determine the homogeneous distribution of MWCNTs in the polymer matrix at different levels of magnification.

Figure 7a and b show the cross-sectional view of the sample and a magnified image at the centre of the cross section using the field-emission mode of the microscope. The dark regions correspond to the conductive part of the material, comprising dominantly MWCNT-MWCNT networks and MWCNT-PDMS networks, and the bright regions correspond to the insulating part comprising mainly the polymer, hence leading to a charging effect while imaging. Figure 7c shows an SEM image scanned using immersion mode in the dark region of the sample, where the randomly well-distributed MWCNTs in the polymer matrix are clearly visible. The images clearly show the homogenous distribution of MWCNTs in the polymer matrix.

The measured pressure data have been used to design and fine-tune the circuit parameters of the data acquisition system. The interface was designed to visualize the complete range of the sensor response from 500 kΩ and displays the actual resistance values of the sensor under a load both numerically and as colour codes for better visualization. The pressure distribution on the sensor matrix was tested with slotted weights of 20, 50 and 100 g as shown in Fig. 8, with 20 g begin the minimum weight the system can detect. The calculated resistances are in the range of 390 kΩ for 20 g (~2.5 kPa) to 108 kΩ for 100 g (~12.7 kPa).

To evaluate the pressure distribution of the matrix, different slotted weights were placed in different positions, as shown in Fig. 9a. It can be observed that the system can detect different loads within the matrix without any cross-talking effect. Four disc weights of 100 g each were positioned at the centre and close to the top of the sensor matrix and the corresponding pressure distribution can be seen in Fig. 9b. This pressure corresponds to ~ 45 kPa and the measured resistance is roughly around 20 kΩ, which is observed at the centre where the weight concentration is higher. As the weights were positioned more to the top, the bottom row has significantly higher resistance compared to other segments.

5 Conclusions

A thin, flexible, piezoresistive sensor matrix based on a MWCNT-PDMS composite was synthesized by an optimized fabrication process and simple mold casting technique. The electrode layout has been screen printed with silver ink on temperature-stable and mechanically stable polyimide thin film to enable a thin and homogenous layer. The composite is self-adhering to the electrode substrate, providing effortless sensor matrix production. The pressure measuring system can detect loads from 2.5 to 640 kPa with a maximum sensitivity of 4.3 kΩ kPa⁻¹ under 45 kPa of applied pressure. With higher pressure like 640 kPa, the sensor exhibits a much lower hysteresis value of 0.8 %. At a constant pressure, the sensor drifts at a rate of 122Ω h⁻¹ before it stabilizes at 15.6Ω h⁻¹. With a data acquisition system based on Python and Arduino, a dynamic pressure measurement and visualization is possible. Both the position and the pressure of the applied load can be recorded and visualized as a resistance change, numerically and graphically. The pressure measurement system bears great potential in human
health monitoring applications like long-term patient monitoring mattresses or insoles for gait monitoring.
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