Siglec-8 Signals Through a Non-Canonical Pathway to Cause Human Eosinophil Death In Vitro

Daniela J. Carroll†, Yun Cao, Bruce S. Bochner and Jeremy A. O’Sullivan*

Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, United States

Sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectin (Siglec)-8 is a glycan-binding receptor bearing immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory and switch motifs (ITIM and ITSM, respectively) that is selectively expressed on eosinophils, mast cells, and, to a lesser extent, basophils. Previous work has shown that engagement of Siglec-8 on IL-5–primed eosinophils causes cell death via CD11b/CD18 integrin–mediated adhesion and NADPH oxidase activity and identified signaling molecules linking adhesion, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and cell death. However, the proximal signaling cascade activated directly by Siglec-8 engagement has remained elusive. Most members of the Siglec family possess similar cytoplasmic signaling motifs and recruit the protein tyrosine phosphatases SHP-1/2, consistent with ITIM-mediated signaling, to dampen cellular activation. However, the dependence of Siglec-8 function in eosinophils on these phosphatases has not been studied. Using Siglec-8 antibody engagement and pharmacological inhibition in conjunction with assays to measure cell-surface upregulation and conformational activation of CD11b integrin, ROS production, and cell death, we sought to identify molecules involved in Siglec-8 signaling and determine the stage of the process in which each molecule plays a role. We demonstrate here that the enzymatic activities of Src family kinases (SFKs), Syk, SHIP1, PAK1, MEK1, ERK1/2, PLC, PKC, acid sphingomyelinase/ceramidase, and Btk are all necessary for Siglec-8–induced eosinophil cell death, with no apparent role for SHP-1/2, SHIP2, or c-Raf. While most of these signaling molecules are necessary for Siglec-8–induced upregulation of CD11b integrin at the eosinophil cell surface, Btk is phosphorylated and activated later in the signaling cascade and is instead necessary for CD11b activation. In contrast, SFKs and ERK1/2 are phosphorylated far earlier in the process, consistent with their role in augmenting cell-surface levels of CD11b. In addition, pretreatment of eosinophils with latrunculin B or jasplakinolide revealed that actin filament disassembly is necessary and sufficient for surface CD11b integrin upregulation and that actin polymerization is necessary for downstream ROS production. These results show that Siglec-8 signals through an unanticipated set of signaling molecules in IL-5–primed eosinophils to induce cell death and challenges the expectation that ITIM-bearing Siglec signals through inhibitory pathways involving protein tyrosine phosphatases to achieve their downstream functions.
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INTRODUCTION

Siglecs (sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins) are cell surface proteins found predominantly, but not exclusively, on the surface of immune cells and are characterized by their propensity to specifically bind sialic acid-containing structures. The human Siglec receptors are clustered into two subfamilies: a group of highly conserved Siglecs and the rapidly evolving CD33-related Siglecs, the latter including Siglec-8 (1). Siglec-8 is selectively expressed on the surface of human eosinophils and contains two cytoplasmic signaling motifs—an intracellular immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM) and an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based switch motif (ITSM)—thought to be responsible for inhibitory signal transduction (2–4).

Previous work using IL-5–primed human eosinophils has shown that multimeric engagement of Siglec-8 induces caspase-independent, CD11b/CD18 integrin– and NADPH oxidase–dependent cell death associated with diminished mitochondrial membrane potential via a mechanism that involves the PI3K/Akt pathway and Rac1 activity (5–8). A rapidly mobilizable pool of intracellular CD11b/CD18, like the one trafficked to the cell membrane in response to Siglec-8 ligation (8), is known to exist in secretory vesicles in eosinophils but may also be associated with granule membranes as well (9). The source of the intracellular pool of CD11b/CD18 mobilized by Siglec-8 signaling has not been determined.

Several studies have demonstrated that the association of Siglec family members with ITAM-bearing receptors or the engagement of the Siglec family member alone leads to Src family kinase (SFK)-dependent phosphorylation of the ITIM and/or ITSM of the Siglec receptor, recruitment of Src-homology region 2 domain-containing phosphatases such as SHP-1 and SHP-2, and the subsequent inhibition of cell activation, consistent with canonical ITIM-based inhibitory signaling (10–14). Phosphorylation of the cytoplasmic signaling motifs and recruitment of SHP-1/2 have been further shown for other Siglec through the use of the protein tyrosine phosphatase inhibitor pervanadate (15, 16), suggesting that ITIM-bearing Siglec generally achieve their inhibitory effects through phosphatase recruitment. Although studies of Siglec-8 signaling in eosinophils with simultaneous IL-5 stimulation by Kano et al. have implicated SFKs such as Fgr in the cell death response (17), the involvement of protein tyrosine phosphatases typically associated with ITIM-bearing CD33-related Siglec signaling have not been explored in detail for Siglec-8.

The elucidation of the signaling cascade leading from Siglec-8 engagement to cell death of human eosinophils has been impeded by the relative lack of molecules with demonstrated roles in the process; a shortage of information about whether these molecules are activated by cytokine signaling, Siglec-8 ligation, integrin-mediated adhesion, or ROS-mediated events; and the inability to use genetic techniques to silence or knock out candidate molecules in mature human eosinophils. Nevertheless, delineating the process whereby Siglec-8 achieves the elimination of cytokine-primed eosinophils may lead to additional therapeutic options that could potentially circumvent the need for priming or reveal conditions under which Siglec-8 signaling, whether physiological or induced by therapeutic intervention, is unable to reduce eosinophil numbers.

To address this, we combined pharmacological inhibition with assays to measure Siglec-8 engagement–induced CD11b integrin upregulation at the cell surface, CD11b conformational activation, ROS production, and cell death to identify molecules necessary for the overall process and more precisely identify the sequence and types of events for which they are essential. We demonstrate that Siglec-8 induces a signaling cascade that is uncharacteristic for an ITIM-bearing receptor, involving the activities of SFKs, Syk, PI3K, SHP1, Rac1, PLC, PKC, acid sphingomyelinase/ceramidase, PAK1, MEK1, ERK1/2, and Btk as well as actin rearrangement. No roles for c-Raf or SHP-1/2 were found in this pathway, although Siglec-8 appears to associate with SHP-2. Most of the identified molecules are involved in CD11b surface upregulation, whereas Btk is necessary later in the process for CD11b conformational activation. Actin filament disassembly is necessary and sufficient for CD11b upregulation and conformational activation but blocks ROS production. Thus, unlike the ITIM-dependent inhibitory effect of Siglec-8 antibody ligation on human mast cells (18), Siglec-8 engagement on IL-5–primed human eosinophils initiates a signaling pathway that is more typical of an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM)-bearing receptor, in stark contrast with the expectation that an ITIM-bearing receptor and CD33-related Siglec, in particular, would exert its effect through SHP-1/2–mediated pathways.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human Eosinophil Isolation and Culture With Various Pharmacologic Antagonists

Written informed consent for blood donation (up to 180 mL) was obtained using an institutional review board–approved protocol at the Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine. Eosinophils from mildly allergic and non-allergic donors were purified from peripheral blood using density gradient centrifugation, erythrocyte hypotonic lysis, and CD16 immunomagnetic negative selection (Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA) as described (19). Purity and viability were consistently greater than 95% as determined by Siglec-8 staining and DAPI (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) exclusion (20). Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium with 10% FCS and antibiotics (all from ThermoFisher Scientific) as well as with or without 30 ng/ml rhIL-5 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) for 18–24 hr as indicated. To assess the involvement of various intracellular pathways in Siglec-8–mediated integrin upregulation, integrin activation, ROS production, or cell death, eosinophils were exposed to the following inhibitors/chemical agents at these concentrations unless otherwise indicated. OXSI-2 (Syk inhibitor; 667 nM) and SCH772984 (ERK1/2; 300 nM) were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). NSC-87877 (SHP-1/2), 3-AC (SHPI1; 10 μM), AS1938909 (SHPI1/2), GW5074 (c-Raf;
30 nM), nocodazole (microtubule polymerization inhibitor; 1 µM), latrunculin B (actin depolymerizing agent; 5 µM), jasplakinolide (F-actin stabilizing agent; 1 µM), and Luperox T BH70X tert-butyl hydroperoxide solution (oxidative stress inducer; 200 µM), and desipramine (disruptor of acid sphingomyelinase and acid ceramidase; 10 µM) were purchased from Millipore Sigma (Burlington, MA). R406 (Syk inhibitor), NSC-23766 (Rac1; 50 µM), PD98059 (MEK1/2; 30 µM), U0126 (MEK1/2; 10 µM), LY294002 (PI3K; 5 µM), PP1 (SFKs), SU6656 (SFKs), FG019203x (PKC; 5 µM), ibrituximab (Btk), and acalabrutinib (Btk; 10 nM) were purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX). AZ13705339 (PAK1/2 inhibitor; 30 nM), U73343 (negative control for U73122; 100 nM), R406 (Syk inhibitor), NSC-23766 (Rac1; 50 µM), PD98059 (MEK1/2; 30 µM), U0126 (MEK1/2; 10 µM), LY294002 (PI3K; 5 µM), PP1 (SFKs), SU6656 (SFKs), FG019203x (PKC; 5 µM), ibrituximab (Btk), and acalabrutinib (Btk; 10 nM) were purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK). Cells were pre-incubated with each agent for 30 min at 37°C prior to addition of various stimuli, and the agent remained in the medium for the duration of the culture period.

Detection of Phosphorylated Signaling Proteins
Phosphorylated signaling proteins were measured by either traditional western blot or automated protein separation and immunodetection. For traditional western blotting, eosinophils (5×10^5 per condition) were incubated at 37°C with anti-Siglec-8 or isotype control mAb for durations of time. Next, 2x Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) was used to lyse the eosinophils and isolate protein, which was electrophoresed through 10% mini-PROTEAN TGX precast gels and transferred to Immobilon low-fluorescence PVDF membranes using the Trans-Blot SD semi-dry transfer cell (Bio-Rad), according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. After blocking with Blocking Buffer for Fluorescent Western Blotting (MB-070, Rockland, Limerick, PA), membranes were incubated overnight with various primary antibodies (used at 1:500 dilution), and then horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used to detect each primary antibody. The ECL detection system (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA) was used to detect the primary antibodies. Blots were imaged with the ChemiDoc XRS (Bio-Rad). Automation of protein separation and chemiluminescent immunodetection was performed using the Jess instrument (ProteinSimple, San Jose, CA). Eosinophils (2×10^5 per sample) were lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Antibodies against ERK1/2 (1:1000 dilution), pERK1/2 (1:1000 dilution), pERK1/2 (1:1000 dilution), pERK1/2 (1:1000 dilution), pERK1/2 (1:1000 dilution), pERK1/2 (1:1000 dilution), and total ERK1/2 (1:1000 dilution) were used in these assays. Data were analyzed using Compass for SW (v4.1.0; ProteinSimple).

Statistical Analysis
Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. Statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA and Dunnett (for comparisons with a single [vehicle] control) or Šidák (for pairwise comparisons at a given pharmacological inhibitor concentration) corrections for multiple comparisons using GraphPad Prism 6.0e. Statistical differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.
proteins were visualized by western blot after several durations of antibody treatment (Figure 1A). There was an acceleration of tyrosine phosphorylation events due to Siglec-8 engagement in IL-5–primed eosinophils and a band below 100 kDa in molecular weight that becomes particularly prominent at 120 min in these cells. Notably, there is no apparent protein dephosphorylation relative to baseline—the untreated control lane—as one might expect from the inhibition of ITAM-mediated signaling by an ITIM-bearing receptor. To more directly assess the roles played by protein and lipid phosphatases associated with ITIM-mediated signaling in Siglec-8 function, eosinophils were pretreated with the SHP-1/2 inhibitors NSC-87877, GS-493, and BVT-948; the SHIP1 inhibitor 3-AC; or the SHIP2 inhibitor AS1938909, which also acts on SHIP1 at higher concentrations. While the inhibitors of SHP-1/2 and SHIP2 did not affect the extent of cell death at concentrations near the IC50 values for these molecules, 3-AC and AS1938909 prevented Siglec-8–induced cell death at concentrations that would be expected to inhibit SHIP1 function (3-AC: IC50,SHIP1 ≈ 10 µM (22); AS1938909: IC50,SHIP1 = 0.57 µM, IC50,SHIP1 = 21 µM (23); Figure 1B). However, Siglec-8 was not detected in SHIP1 immunoprecipitates of lysates of eosinophils that had been treated with sodium orthovanadate (Supplementary Figure 1), suggesting that SHIP1 is activated indirectly or that this association is transient. Rather, there was an association observed between Siglec-8 and SHP-2, the significance of which is unclear.

SFK, Syk, and Btk Activities Are Necessary for Siglec-8 Function

The proximal signaling molecules involved in Siglec-8 signaling have been elusive. Although Src family kinases (SFKs) have been
implicated in Siglec-8–induced eosinophil death (17), SFK activation loop phosphorylation has not been demonstrated and dose-response curves for SFK inhibitors are lacking. In order to confirm a role for SFKs in this process, eosinophils were pretreated with two additional SFK inhibitors, PP1 and SU6656, at various concentrations and SFK phosphorylation at Y419 was evaluated by western blot following Siglec-8 antibody engagement. Indeed, both inhibitors prevented Siglec-8–induced cell death at concentrations consistent with targeting SFKs selectively (24, 25) (Figure 2A). Additionally, activating phosphorylation (pY419) of an SFK of approximately 58 kDa was observed following Siglec-8 ligation at statistically significant levels at 30 and 60 minutes (Figure 2B).

In addition to the overall pattern of protein tyrosine phosphorylation in response to Siglec-8 ligation (Figure 1A), previous publications indicate the Siglec-8 signaling pathway does not resemble a typical ITIM-mediated pathway and instead incorporates signaling molecules typically associated with ITAM-mediated signaling in a process that involves the upregulation and activation of CD11b and the generation of ROS (8, 26), although it is unclear which molecules act upstream of these cellular events and which act only downstream. Syk family proteins are involved in ITAM-mediated signaling downstream of SFKs, and Syk has been linked to integrin signaling and function previously (27–30). Therefore, we employed two Syk inhibitors, R406 and OXSI-2, to determine whether its enzymatic activity is necessary for Siglec-8–induced cell death. At concentrations appropriate for their selective inhibitory effects on Syk, both inhibitors blocked cell death (Figure 2C), indicating an essential role for this molecule in the pathway.

Because the Tec family kinase Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (Btk) has been found to play a role in integrin activation (31), we tested the effects of the Btk inhibitors ibrutinib and acalabrutinib on Siglec-8–induced eosinophil death. At concentrations consistent with their reported IC_{50} values for Btk, each inhibitor prevented Siglec-8 engagement-induced cell death (Figures 3A, B). Furthermore, phosphorylation and activation of Btk was observed in a Siglec-8 engagement–specific manner, which reached statistical significance following 120 min of antibody treatment (Figure 3C), likely indicating a role for Btk later in the cell death process.

MEK1, ERK1/2, and PAK1 Are Necessary for Siglec-8 Function

MEK1/2 have previously been shown to be necessary for Siglec-8 engagement-induced eosinophil death with short-term IL-5 treatment (26); however, whether MEK1 or MEK2 is the critical molecule or whether the upstream and downstream signaling molecules c-Raf and ERK1/2 are also necessary have not been determined. We first demonstrated that, with longer-term IL-5 treatment, the dual MEK1/2 inhibitor U0126 also prevents Siglec-8 engagement–induced cell death (Figure 4A) before using another inhibitor, PD98059, that can be used to discern between MEK1 and MEK2 activity on the basis of its different IC_{50} values for these
of this effect may indicate a role for novel or atypical PKC isozymes rather than the conventional PKC isozymes for which this compound is a more potent inhibitor. To help clarify which PKC class is likely involved in Siglec-8 signaling, inhibition of phospholipase C (PLC), a family of enzymes responsible for producing the conventional and novel PKC isozyme–activating second messenger diacylglycerol, was assessed within the cell death assay. Use of the PLC inhibitor U73122 revealed that PLC activity is essential for Siglec-8 engagement–induced eosinophil death, and, as anticipated, the structurally related but inactive analog U73343 did not impact cell viability (Figure 5B). One potential link between PKC signaling and the PAK1-MEK1-ERK1/2 signaling pathway is that PKCα phosphorylates and activates acid sphingomyelinase (36, 37), which acts in the first step of the process in the conversion of sphingomyelin to sphingosine, a lipid that promotes the activation of PAK1 (38, 39). Alternatively, or additionally, PKC may promote integrin conformational activation through the activation of the small GTPase Rap1 (40). To test the first possibility, desipramine was used to destabilize and cause the degradation of acid sphingomyelinase and acid ceramidase (41, 42), the lysosomal enzymes necessary to convert sphingomyelin to sphingosine, to determine whether this metabolic pathway is necessary for Siglec-8 function. Desipramine treatment prevented Siglec-8 ligation–induced eosinophil death at a concentration consistent with this effect (Figure 5C).

**Actin Cytoskeletal Dynamics Are Necessary for Siglec-8-Induced Cell Death**

Prior work has shown that many of the proteins phosphorylated as a result of Siglec-8 ligation on IL-5–primed eosinophils—and

**The PLC-PKC Signaling Axis and the Sphingolipid Metabolic Pathway Are Required for Siglec-8 Function**

Because PKC activity has been implicated in the regulation of integrin adhesion and signaling, we hypothesized that PKC may play a similar role downstream of Siglec-8 engagement. Treatment of eosinophils with the PKC-selective inhibitor GF109203x blocked Siglec-8–induced cell death (Figure 5A), although the observed IC50...
not on unprimed eosinophils—are involved in cytoskeletal rearrangement, including Formin-1, L-plastin, Talin-2, Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein family member 3, PDZ and LIM domain protein 2, Swiprosin-1/EF-hand domain-containing protein D2, and microtubule-associated proteins 1A/1B light chain 3C (8). In order to assess whether cytoskeletal rearrangement is necessary for Siglec-8 function in cytokine-primed eosinophils, we disrupted microtubules with nocodazole, blocked actin filament formation with latrunculin B, and promoted actin filament polymerization with jasplakinolide prior to antibody treatment. We found that disruption of the actin cytoskeleton but not microtubules prevented cell death, suggesting that both polymerization and depolymerization of actin filaments are necessary for Siglec-8 function (Figure 6A).
These compounds exerted similar effects on Siglec-8 endocytosis in a previous study (43), leaving open the possibility that Siglec-8 endocytosis is necessary to enable downstream signaling leading to cell death.

**Most of the Identified Critical Signaling Molecules Are Necessary for Siglec-8 Ligation–Induced CD11b Upregulation**

While roles for actin cytoskeletal dynamics and a number of signaling molecules have been established in the cell death pathway induced by Siglec-8 ligation, it is not clear at what point in the process each molecule acts. For example, these molecules may be involved in Siglec-8 signaling itself or may only be activated following integrin clustering or ROS production. To begin to establish an order of signaling events, we pretreated eosinophils with pharmacological inhibitors that have been effective in blocking cell death and analyzed their effects on earlier cellular events, namely the surface upregulation and conformational activation of CD11b integrin and ROS production. The inhibitors were used at the lowest concentrations that provided at least 80% protection from Siglec-8 engagement–induced cell death in the previous assays, as listed in the Methods. The concentration of the negative control U73343 was matched to that of U73122, and GW5047 was used at 30 nM. At 120 min after Siglec-8 ligation, CD11b surface expression on eosinophils increased about 2.5–3-fold relative to initial levels (Figures 6B, C). Consistent with the previously published finding that antibody blockade of CD11b/CD18 integrin subunits prevents ROS production downstream of Siglec-8 ligation, placing integrin involvement upstream of NADPH oxidase activation (8), the ROS scavenger N-acetylcysteine (NAC) did not prevent CD11b surface upregulation. In fact, NAC slightly increased the upregulation of CD11b at the cell surface; however, treatment with tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP), a cell-permeable inducer of oxidative stress, did not statistically significantly alter CD11b upregulation. The induction of actin depolymerization with latrunculin B increased CD11b levels with or without Siglec-8 ligation to levels statistically indistinguishable from those induced by Siglec-8 ligation without pretreatment. Conversely, the promotion of actin filament formation via jasplakinolide treatment blocked the upregulation of CD11b at the cell surface. The pharmacological agents acting on...
Syk, SHIP1, PI3K, Rac1, MEK1, ERK1/2, PKC, PLC, and acid sphingomyelinase/ceramidase all prevented cell surface upregulation of CD11b (Figures 6B, C). These results demonstrate that integrin-mediated adhesion, which occurs downstream, does not underlie the involvement of these molecules more commonly associated with activating pathways. To assess the pathway in the absence of any inadvertent engagement of activating Fc receptors, we used anti-Siglec-8 F(ab')2 fragments to engage the receptor. This engagement induced similar levels of cell death relative to intact mAb, in agreement with our previous results (8), via a pathway that was similarly dependent on the activities of Syk, PI3K, and PLC (Supplementary Figure 2), indicating that this pathway is genuinely the result of Siglec-8 engagement. In contrast, the Btk inhibitor acalabrutinib did not significantly affect the upregulation of CD11b, suggesting that Btk is involved at a later stage of this process. As expected, the inhibitor of c-Raf, GW5074, and the analog control for the PLC inhibitor, neither of which prevented Siglec-8 ligation-induced cell death, also did not prevent CD11b upregulation. Rapidly mobilizable intracellular stores of CD11b have been described on the membranes of secretory vesicles human eosinophils and may also occur on granule membranes (9).

**Btk Activity Is Necessary for Siglec-8 Ligation–Induced CD11b Activation**

Focusing on the roles of ROS, actin dynamics, and Btk, we also examined the conformational activation of CD11b as the likely next step in the process. Following 120 min of Siglec-8 ligation, activated CD11b expression at the cell surface was found to approximately double (Figure 6D). The activation of CD11b was blocked by promotion of F-actin polymerization (jasplakinolide) as well as by the inhibition of Btk (acalabrutinib), suggesting that Btk is involved in integrin activation but not upregulation. Furthermore, the prevention of actin polymerization by latrunculin B and the induction of oxidative stress by TBHP caused CD11b activation even in the absence of Siglec-8 antibody engagement. PLC and acid sphingomyelinase/ceramidase inhibition also prevented CD11b activation (Figure 6D). Activated CD11b levels following GF109203x pretreatment did not statistically change in response to Siglec-8 engagement, although these levels also did not statistically differ from those observed without pretreatment.

**Actin Filament Formation Is Essential for Siglec-8 Ligation–Induced ROS Production**

Siglec-8 engagement–induced eosinophil death is mediated by ROS via an as-yet undefined pathway (5), although there is evidence that the MEK/ERK pathway may additionally be involved after ROS generation (26). To determine whether these essential signaling molecules first act upstream or downstream of ROS production, the effect of each pharmacological agent on ROS production stimulated by anti-Siglec-8 mAb treatment was assessed. All of the inhibitors that prevented CD11b surface upregulation or activation also prevented ROS production (Figure 7), consistent with our previous study demonstrating the necessity of CD11b/CD18 integrin-mediated adhesion for ROS production in this pathway (8). In addition, latrunculin B, which promoted the expression and activation of CD11b at the cell surface even in the absence of Siglec-8 ligation, also blocked ROS production via the Siglec-8 signaling pathway, suggesting that F-actin polymerization plays a critical role between integrin activation and NADPH oxidase activation. Similarly, inhibitors of PKC, PLC, and acid sphingomyelinase/ceramidase also prevented Siglec-8 engagement–induced ROS production, whereas the negative control for PLC inhibition, U73343, as anticipated, had no apparent effect.

**DISCUSSION**

Siglec-8 ligation on cytokine-primed human eosinophils induces cell death in an ROS-dependent manner and represents a potentially important pathway in vivo whereby eosinophil numbers may be regulated physiologically or modulated...
therapeutically (5, 6). More recently, we demonstrated that this process is dependent on cell adhesion through CD11b/CD18 integrin, which is essential for ROS production (8). However, the proximal signaling pathway initiated by Siglec-8 engagement that leads to integrin upregulation and activation had not previously been elucidated. We show here that antibody engagement of Siglec-8 on IL-5–primed eosinophils initiates a signaling cascade involving SFKs, Syk, PI3K, SHIP1, Rac1, PLC, PKC, acid sphingomyelinase/ceramidase, PAK1, MEK1, ERK1/2, and actin filament disassembly that acts to upregulate cell-surface levels of CD11b (Figure 8). There was no evidence of c-Raf, SHIP2, microtubule polymerization, or SHP-1/2 involvement in this pathway, despite an apparent association between SHP-2 and Siglec-8 following orthovanadate treatment. Furthermore, Btk activity and actin polymerization are also necessary for Siglec-8–induced cell death but are involved later in the process to induce CD11b conformational activation and promote ROS production, respectively.

Upregulation of CD11b on the surface of human eosinophils, which is associated with cell activation, is induced by stimulation with IL-3, GM-CSF, IL-5, IL-33, or eosetin-1, among others, and is observed on eosinophils obtained from patients during the allergy season or in response to segmental or whole-lung antigen challenge [reviewed in (44)]. However, the pathway leading to this elevation in surface CD11b has not been fully delineated in eosinophils. More is known about the upregulation of CD11b on neutrophils, in which CD11b is transported to the cell membrane generally via the exocytosis of secretory vesicles upon stimulation, although secondary (specific) and tertiary (gelatinase) granules also augment surface CD11b levels upon their exocytosis (45, 46). Intracellular stores of CD11b in human eosinophils also appear to be primarily found on the membranes of secretory vesicles (9) and may therefore be transported to the cell surface via a similar pathway. The lack of eosinophil degranulation upon Siglec-8 engagement further indicates that this pool of CD11b molecules likely originated from secretory vesicles (8).

In parallel with the findings of this study, Syk has been found to be essential for the surface upregulation of CD11b on mouse neutrophils in response to stimulation with opsonized S. aureus in a genetic knockout model (29). Due to the lack of signaling motifs associated with Syk recruitment and activation in the cytoplasmic tail of Siglec-8 (4), it is possible that Siglec-8 interacts with signaling adapter molecules, such as DAP12, as has been reported for Siglecs-14, -15, and -16 in humans (47–49) or Siglec-H in mice (50), or with other cell-surface receptors to initiate this downstream signaling cascade. ITAM-mediated signaling proceeds through SFKs to activate Syk signaling. Our studies augment the evidence of the necessity of SFK activity in this pathway and reduce the likelihood of a common unintended target by using two additional selective inhibitors across a range of concentrations and demonstrating activating phosphorylation of an SFK downstream of Siglec-8 ligation.

Syk, shown here to be necessary for the initial upregulation of CD11b at the cell surface of eosinophils, has been shown to be necessary for the activation of PI3K signaling upon B cell and activating NK receptor ligation, suggesting that PI3K may follow Syk in this cascade (51, 52) (Figure 8). Indeed, upon NK receptor ligation, Syk activity leads to the consecutive activation of PI3K, Rac1, PAK1, MEK, and ERK (52). In addition to its role at this stage, it is possible that Syk may be involved at later stages of this process. For example, Syk associates with the cytosplasmic tails of integrin β subunits and is critical for the induction of ROS production and degranulation in response to integrin ligation in neutrophils (27, 30).

The efficacy of the PI3K inhibitor LY294002 and the SHIP1 inhibitor 3-AC demonstrate the importance of phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns) signaling in regulating CD11b recruitment to the cell surface. Acting in concert, a class I PI3K and SHIP1 would initiate this downstream signaling cascade. ITAM-mediated signaling proceeds through SFKs to activate Syk signaling. Our studies augment the evidence of the necessity of SFK activity in this pathway and reduce the likelihood of a common unintended target by using two additional selective inhibitors across a range of concentrations and demonstrating activating phosphorylation of an SFK downstream of Siglec-8 ligation.
of Btk (PtdIns[3,4,5]P3) and the NADPH oxidase subunits p47phox (PtdIns[3,4]P2) and p40phox (PtdIns[3]P), suggesting that PI3Ks and/or lipid phosphatases are also necessary later in this cell death process (55–58). The requirement for PtdIns (3, 4)P2 or PtdIns [3,4,5]P3 in the surface upregulation of CD11b is not yet fully understood but may involve the recruitment or activation of guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) for the RhGTPase Rac1, such as Tiam1 or Trio, through their PH domains. The binding of these GEFs to Rac1 is disrupted by the pharmacological agent NSC-23766 in this study (59), indicating the necessity of this interaction.

The apparent lack of direct interaction between SHIP1 and Siglec-8 could be explained by the recruitment of SHIP1 to the cell membrane by Syk, Dok1, Dok3, or Shc, with which SHIP1 is known to interact (60, 61). SHIP1 may also be recruited to a signaling adapter or cell-surface receptor interacting with Siglec-8 rather than to Siglec-8 itself, including ITAM-bearing molecules, as SHIP1 has been shown to bind phosphorylated ITAMs on the TCR signaling adapter CD3ζ and the BCR adapters Igα and Igβ (62, 63). In contrast, the direct binding observed between SHP-2 and Siglec-8 in response to orthovanadate treatment may reflect a Siglec-8 signaling pathway distinct from the one that terminates in cell death. Alternatively, this interaction may have no bearing on SHP-2 function or may reveal a mechanism whereby SHP-2 activity is inhibited through sequestration of the protein. Activating mutant forms of EGFR have been found to sequester SHP-2 at the membrane, consequently inhibiting its downstream activity (64).

The use of two different pharmacological inhibitors of MEK1/2 allowed us to determine that MEK1, in particular, is essential for CD11b surface upregulation in the cell death pathway. This is not the first study to identify a role for MEK1/2 in Siglec-8–induced cell death of cytokine-primed eosinophils. Using a system in which eosinophils simultaneously undergo cytokine priming with IL-5 and Siglec-8 receptor ligation, Kano et al. determined that MEK1/2 are essential for cell death but act downstream of ROS production (26). This apparent discrepancy may be explained by the fact that IL-5 signaling itself causes the acute activation of CD11b on eosinophils (65), which may rely on an alternative pathway that does not involve MEK1, thus bypassing the need for the molecule at that stage. By priming eosinophils with IL-5 for 24 h prior to Siglec-8 ligation, we can more effectively extricate the Siglec-8–signaling pathway from that induced by IL-5. However, because our approach only identifies the earliest stage at which a particular molecule is necessary, it remains possible that MEK1 is involved in both integrin upregulation and in cell death following ROS production in our system.

Although c-Raf is considered the typical activator of MEK1, several studies have found that the Rac1 target PKA can also activate MEK1/ERK signaling, including in a pathway in neutrophils stimulated by immune complexes that leads to cell death (32–34). Thus, the negative results with the c-Raf inhibitor GW5047 and the positive results with the PKAI inhibitor AZ13705339 in the prevention of Siglec-8–induced cell death together indicate that PKA is responsible for the activation of MEK1 and the subsequent activation of ERK1/2. ERK1/2, in turn, can activate p90S6K/RSK signaling, which has been shown to activate the actin-bundling protein L-plastin by phosphorylating it at the S5 residue (66). Importantly, the PKC inhibitor GF109203x, which prevented Siglec-8–induced cell death in this study, has also been shown to inhibit the RSK proteins at similar concentrations (67). L-plastin has been found to be phosphorylated at the S5 residue in human eosinophils in response to GM-CSF stimulation, and that phosphorylated form of L-plastin is sufficient for CD11b upregulation at the cell surface (68). That study, however, demonstrated a role for PKC activity in L-plastin phosphorylation and CD11b upregulation by using both pharmacological agents and siRNA knockdown of PKCBII, leaving open the question of whether PKC or RSK is essential in our system immediately downstream of ERK1/2. Incidentally, phosphorylation of L-plastin at this residue has been observed in human eosinophils following a brief stimulation with IL-5 as well as following anti-Siglec-8 treatment in IL-5–primed eosinophils (it was not observed in IL-5–primed eosinophils in the absence of Siglec-8 ligation in this study, nor in unprimed eosinophils following Siglec-8 ligation) (8, 69). IL-3 treatment of human eosinophils increases surface CD11b expression as well, and also activates RSK signaling (70, 71). Consistent with our finding that actin filament disassembly is required for integrin upregulation, α4β1 integrin–dependent K562 cell adhesion induced by the provision of cell-permeant L-plastin peptides is disrupted by treatment with the actin filament-stabilizing agent jasplakinolide and promoted by the actin filament–disrupting agent cytochalasin D (72). In addition, oxidative stress diminishes the actin-binding and -bundling functions of L-plastin through S-glutathionylation (73), potentially explaining why TBHP treatment prevented Siglec-8 ligation–induced surface upregulation of CD11b in this study—in contrast to its induction of CD11b conformational activation—and indicating the presence of a negative feedback loop within this Siglec-8 signaling pathway.

The results from the inhibition of PLC suggest that PKC itself is involved prior to the surface upregulation of CD11b. PLC causes the activation of novel PKC isozymes through the generation of the second messenger diacylglycerol (DAG) and conventional PKC isozymes through DAG and the release of Ca2+ stores through inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3). In addition to a possible role in phosphorylating and activating L-plastin, PKC may be involved in promoting the conversion of sphingomyelin to sphingosine, a lipid that acts in the activation of PAK1 (38, 39). PKCβ activates the lysosomal enzyme acid sphingomyelinase, which acts in the first step of this metabolic process (36, 37). Consistent with this role, destabilization of both of the enzymes involved in this process, acid sphingomyelinase and acid ceramidase, with the tricyclic antidepressant desipramine prevents CD11b upregulation, conformational activation, ROS production, and cell death in response to Siglec-8 ligation (41, 42). In agreement with these results, treatment of human neutrophils with exogenous sphingomyelinase alone reduces F-actin content and promotes CD18 expression and ROS production (74).

While most of the molecules that have been found to be essential for Siglec-8–induced cell death are involved in surface integrin upregulation, Btk activity and actin polymerization play roles at later stages. Btk appears to play no role in CD11b surface
upregulation in this pathway but rather is involved in the conformational activation of CD11b. In concordance with this finding, Btk was previously found to be essential for CD11b/CD18 activation and downstream functions, including adhesion, migration, and ROS production, in mouse neutrophils in a genetic knockout model (31). The precise nature of the essential role played by actin polymerization in NADPH oxidase assembly and function remains unclear. However, studies have found in cell-free systems that actin enhances NADPH oxidase activity, the NADPH oxidase subunit p47phox binds preferentially to filamentous actin when unmasked, and agents that cause actin depolymerization accelerate NADPH oxidase deactivation (75, 76).

The signaling cascade that has emerged from studies of Siglec-8 signaling is surprising given its cytoplasmic signaling motifs. Siglec-8 bears an ITIM and an ITSM and was therefore expected to signal through an SHP-1/2–dependent signaling pathway. Indeed, disruption of the ITIM has been found to abrogate the inhibitory effect of Siglec-8 on FcεRI-triggered degranulation and Ca2+ flux in RBL cells (18). Likewise, an intact ITIM was shown to be necessary for maximal Siglec-8 endocytosis in transfected HEK293T cells, whereas the ITSM appeared to play no role (43). However, these systems did not permit the study of cell death induction, and the necessity of other Siglec-8 domains in inducing cell death has likewise not been studied. Unexpectedly, the signaling pathway identified here is more typical of an ITAM-bearing receptor. This may suggest that Siglec-8 interacts with another receptor or signaling adapter containing an ITAM motif to transduce this signal. In support of this hypothesis, Siglec-7 has been shown to induce a form of non-apoptotic ROS-dependent cell death in U937 cells independent of its cytoplasmic domain and dependent instead on its extracellular membrane-proximal C2-set domain (77), suggesting that Siglec7 may transduce such signals via interactions with other cell-surface receptors or signaling adapters. Notably, a Siglec-8 variant with a truncated cytoplasmic domain lacking the aforementioned signaling motifs is expressed by eosinophils; in fact, this is the isoform of Siglec-8 that was first identified (2, 3, 78). Nevertheless, the contribution of this variant to the cell death response remains unknown.

Interestingly, other ITIM-bearing receptors have been found to induce cell death upon engagement. FcγRIb, in addition to negatively regulating BCR signaling when co-ligated with that receptor, initiates a signaling cascade involving c-Abl, Btk, and Jnk1 that leads to mitochondrial membrane potential dissipation and cell death in a Bid- and Bad-dependent but caspase-independent manner when engaged separately from the BCR (79–81). This latter effect is independent of the ITIM or, indeed, the entire cytoplasmic domain of FcγRIib (79). In addition, engagement of FcγRII with immobilized antibody also brings about the cell death of IL-5–primed human eosinophils in a CD18-dependent manner (82), although it is unclear which subtype of the receptor is responsible for this outcome. Antibody engagement of CD300LF similarly induces caspase-independent cell death on mouse myeloid cells (83). Importantly, the fact that Siglec-8 engagement–induced cell death is independent of the Fc region of the engaging antibody indicates that this does not reflect inadvertent engagement of an Fc receptor, including FcγRIib. The elements shared between these receptor-mediated cell death pathways are intriguing and may indicate the existence of a more general pathway whereby ITIM-bearing receptors can promote cell death upon aggregation. This study identifies an unanticipated signaling cascade initiated directly by Siglec-8 engagement in cytokine-primed eosinophils and further challenges the assumption that inhibitory Siglec8s—and, by extension, other ITIM-bearing receptors—effect their functions purely via SHP-1/2–mediated signaling pathways.
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