An hybrid control strategy design for Photovoltaic battery charger
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Abstract. This work presents the design and the modelling of an improved lead acid Battery charger for solar photovoltaic applications. In this context, the control unit of the battery charger is composed of two intelligent controllers. In the first state, an MPPT controller based on an Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) is used to extract the full maximum power provided by the PV array, in the second stage, the control unit switches to the regulator mode on the basis of a fuzzy logic control block that offers the three charging stages according to DIN 41773 standard for lead-acid battery. In order to demonstrate the performance of the ANFIS controller, this paper presents also a comparison of several MPPT techniques for solar PV applications.

1 Introduction

In recent decades, photovoltaic (PV) systems have become a vital source of energy for a wide range of applications. They are the ideal options for many modest electrical energy demanding systems in distant regions where the grid source or tiny alternator are insufficient [1]. Solar energy’s inconsistency can be solved by using storage devices. As a result, a constant and uninterruptible energy supply can be guaranteed. In renewable energy systems, the battery is the most efficient long-term electrical energy storage equipment. Lead-Acid(Le-A) batteries are the most widely installed storage devices in the PV applications thanks to its confirmed stability, excellent performance in various sizes, and cheaper cost.

Several maximum power point tracking (MPPT) methods have been implemented in the literature, and they may be classified into the following categories[2],[3]: classical MPPT methods, MPPT methods based on linear and non-linear controllers, as well as MPPT strategies based on Artificial Intelligence (AI). The conventional Perturb and Observe (P & O) strategy[4], incremental conductance (IncC), adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS), and neural network [5],[6] strategies are some of the most widely used MPPT techniques. The ANFIS controller-based MPPT approach has attracted a large number of researchers[7],[8],[9].

Furthermore, it has been confirmed that the adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system control method MPPT exceeds conventional MPPT methods in terms of resilience and efficiency under varying weather circumstances[10].

As a bridge between the PV panel and the battery bank, an MPPT method needs a dc-dc converter. The MPPT’s role is to control the converter’s duty cycle and fit the voltage and current of the PV array’s maximum power under such A meteorological conditions by adjusting the duty cycle of the converter [11].

Furthermore, the management of the converter as a battery charger is frequently overlooked, despite the fact that lead acid batteries need an accurate control of their charging operations to avoid battery damage. This is especially important in isolated PV systems, where the battery bank is unquestionably one of the costlier components.

According to the literature, many methods and strategies for charging batteries have been developed, including constant current, constant voltage, and on-off, among others; nevertheless, these techniques often result in the battery not being completely charged. On the other hand, most lead acid battery producers suggest that the three stages charging technique according to the DIN41773 standard is the best and most effective approach to restore the battery’s full capacity and prolong the battery’s lifespan.

2 Methodology

This paper provides in this regard, an improved control approach for a DC-DC Buck converter utilized as an efficient lead-acid battery charger for stand-alone solar PV-Battery system [12]. The proposed control technique adjusts the DC-DC converter’s duty cycle with two main goals: first, achieving the maximum power provided by the solar PV array through the implication of the ANFIS MPPT Technique, second, increasing the lifespan of the lead acid battery by employing a fuzzy logic controller for the battery load in three stages charging process. The schematic representation of a standalone PV-based MPPT battery charger closed loop controller is shown in the figure 1. The simulation of the general system is developed in Matlab/Simulink™.
3 System design

3.1 PV Modeling

An ideal solar PV cell is fundamentally constituted of a PN semiconductor junction that converts light energy into electricity. A current source with a diode linked in parallel can be used to present a solar cell. In a practical system, however, both the series and shunt resistances have fixed values and should be taken into account as presented in the figure 2 [13]. The PV cell’s produced current is determined by the following formula:

\[
I_{\text{pv}} = I_{\text{ph}} - \frac{V_{\text{pv}} + R_s \cdot I}{R_p} - I_0 \left( e^{\frac{qV_{\text{pv}}}{kT}} - 1 \right)
\]  

(1)

By neglecting the shunt resistance, the following expression can be calculated.

\[
V_{\text{pv}} = \left[ \frac{AKT}{q} \ln \left( \frac{I_0 - I + I_{\text{sc}}}{I_0} \right) \right] - I \cdot R_s
\]  

(2)

Figure 1. PV battery control system schematic

The Photovoltaic system acts as a power supply when exposed to light. The PV array is always an arrangement of series/parallel of solar panels. The PV array utilized in this study is made up of 8 Shenzhen Sunshine modules (SY-270W) linked in series. The parameters of the used PV-panel are given in Table 1. The design for the industrial employed panel has been created in order to obtain more realistic simulation scenarios.

| PV Data | Unit | Value |
|---------|------|-------|
| Maximum power | W | 270 |
| Current at the MPP | A | 7.44 A |
| Voltage at the MPP | V | 36.28 |
| Open-Circuit Voltage | V | 43.63 |
| Short-Circuit Current | A | 7.96 |

3.2 DC/DC Buck converter Modeling

The DC-DC buck converter was selected in this study for the purpose of adjusting the input voltage into the desired low Le-acid battery voltage[14]. The figure 3 corresponds to the average model of the buck converter based essentially of the internal and output capacitors Cin and Co. S and Lb are the controlled MOSFET and the inductance, where the input/output voltages are respectively Vin & Vout. The figure 4 presents the equivalent circuit of the converter where the switch S is on or off. The buck parameters are calculated as follow:

\[
V_{\text{out}} = V_{\text{in}} \times D
\]  

(3)

\[
L_b = \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{1 - D} \frac{1}{f} \times \frac{1}{M}
\]  

(4)

\[
C = \frac{V_{\text{out}}}{8f \times L_b \times \Delta V_{\text{out}}}
\]  

(5)

Ripples in the output voltage and the amount current ripples are respectively called \( \Delta I \) and \( \Delta V_{\text{out}} \).

Figure 3. Buck design

3.3 Le-Acid Battery design

Because of their proven reliability, excellent performance, and availability in a variety of sizes, as well as their cheaper price, Lead-Acid batteries are the most frequently used storage equipment in the PV systems [15],[16]. A parallel resistance and capacitance R1//C1 connected to an internal resistance R2 in series with a voltage source are the fundamental element of the Le-A battery circuit. Figure 5 shows the Le-A battery’s associated circuit architecture.
4 Control strategy

The enhanced control management introduced in this study is presented in this part. The main aim of this technique is presented in the flowchart below in the figure 6. This technique is based on the combination of two intelligent techniques which are the ANFIS algorithm and the Fuzzy Logic control (FLC) technique.

4.1 Battery charging process

To accomplish a steady, full, and fast Le-A battery charging process, a control design approach must be used. The charge controller suggested in this article is based on the DIN 41773 standard, which includes three charging phases, as illustrated in the figure 7: bulk, absorption, and float. The bulk charge is the initial step, during which the effective ANFIS controller acts to extract the most power from the PV panel, which allows the charging of the Lead-Acid battery through a constant current up to 80 percent of the SOC. The provided current is limited to the allowed maximum charging current $I_{BMAX}$ during the bulk process to avoid early battery deterioration and excessive heating. The battery voltage begins to approach the absorption voltage $V_{bas}$; to avoid over-voltage, the second stage which presents the FLC controller is activated in this phase (absorption) to regulate the battery voltage at this point $V_{bas}$. At this stage, the battery power is usually recovered to approximately 90%. The constructor is always in charge of determining the complete charge voltage. The charging current decreases in the absorption area until the battery is fully charged, with $I_{battery} = I_{Bmin}$. When the battery arrived at 100% of its SoC, the voltage is reduced to its float voltage $V_{bfl}$ where this state is named the Float State. At this phase, the $V_{bfl}$ reference generates a neglected float current $I_{bfl}$ which is enough to compensate for self-discharge.

4.2 Description of the ANFIS and FLC controllers

4.2.1 ANFIS stage based MPPT control

The ANFIS model is divided into five levels, each one has a similar purpose [17]. The IF-THEN rule set, whose outputs are linear configurations of their inputs [18],[19]. The
Three states charging process

![Figure 7. Three states charging process](image)

**Figure 7.** Three states charging process

Design of five-layer ANFIS controller

![Figure 8. Design of five-layer ANFIS controller](image)

**Figure 8.** Design of five-layer ANFIS controller

Figure 8 represents the architecture of the ANFIS configuration.

The first layer: is composed of membership functions, which are used to do the fuzzification operation, and each node is adaptive.

\[ O_1^i = \mu_{A_i}(X) \] (6)

Where the input of the node is \( X \), and \( A_i \), \( B_i \) are a linguistic label connected with this node.

Layer 2: This layer’s nodes are fixed. A rule’s firing strength is represented by each node output. The output of each Layer 2 node determines the rule base’s firing strength, where the node function is defined as follows:

\[ O_2^i = W_{(i)} = \mu_{A_i}(X) \cdot \mu_{B_i}(X) \] (7)

Layer 3: This layer node is defined as a fixed node, and its result is computed by calculating the ratio of every node’s value to the total of all nodes’ values.

\[ O_3^i = \frac{W_i}{\sum_{1}^{i} W_i} \] (8)

Layer 4: An adaptive node is a kind of layer node. The following equation represents the form of this node:

\[ O_4^i = \hat{W}_i (r_i + q_i b + p_i a) \] (9)

Where \( r_i, q_i, p_i \) are the resulted parameters of the network.

Layer 5: The resulting output is provided by the sum of the preceding outputs in the final layer.

\[ O_5^i = \sum_{i=1}^{i} \hat{W}_i f_i \] (10)

4.2.2 FLC stage based voltage control

The second stage of the controller is the fuzzy logic unit called (FLC2), which employs as inputs the error \( \zeta' \) and the error change \( \Delta \zeta' \) of the battery’s voltage as indicated in the following equations. The fuzzy memberships rules are presented in detail in the table 2. It regulates the voltage in the output of the battery with the typical voltage of the power converter.

\[ \zeta'(n) = V_L(n) - V_B(n) \] (11)

\[ \Delta \zeta'(n) = \zeta'(n) - \zeta'(n-1) \] (12)

\[ \Delta D'_{n+1} = FLC_2[\zeta'(n)] \] (13)

Where \( V_L \) is the battery voltage required, \( \Delta D'_{n+1} \) is the FLC controller’s output.

5 Results and discussion

The developed model is simulated in MATLAB/Simulink™ software. On the investigated system, several simulations are performed. The PV array is made up of eight 270W SY-270W PV panels connected in series. This part presents the simulation of the whole system. Firstly, The ANFIS MPPT controller is investigated under a sudden change in the solar irradiation as seen in the profile shown in the figure 9.

The developed model is tested and compared with two other techniques. A comparative evaluation between the three techniques P&O, FLC, and ANFIS is carried out in

| \( \zeta' \) | N.B | N.M | Z.E | P.M | P.B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( \Delta \zeta' \) | P.B | N.B | N.B | Z.E | Z.E |
| \( \Delta \zeta' \) | P.M | N.M | N.M | Z.E | Z.E |
| \( \Delta \zeta' \) | Z.E | P.M | Z.E | Z.E | Z.E |
| \( \Delta \zeta' \) | N.M | Z.E | Z.E | N.M | P.M |
| \( \Delta \zeta' \) | N.B | Z.E | Z.E | P.B | P.B | P.B |

Table 2. Voltage controller fuzzy rules.
Table 3. Performance analysis of the different MPPT techniques

|                  | P and O | ANFIS | InCond |
|------------------|---------|-------|--------|
| Rise time(s)     | 0.188   | 0.118 | 0.202  |
| Power ripples(w)  | 0.8     | 0.056 | 0.62   |
| Efficiency %      | 99.81   | 99.93 | 99.88  |

order to see the effectiveness of the ANFIS method. The above equation is used to calculate the efficiency of the three tested controller.

\[ \eta = \frac{\int_0^t P_{\text{array}} \, dt}{\int_0^t P_{\text{MPPT}} \, dt} \times 100 \]  

Figure 9. Irradiance profile

Figure 10 depicts the performance of the comparative MPPT methods for each irradiance condition, whereas Table 3 highlights the findings for the various controllers. When compared to other MPPT controllers, the ANFIS-MPPT provides a better outcome in terms of dynamic response and output ripples.

Figure 10. Power extracted of the SY-270W with different controller

The output of the battery charge regulator is shown by progressively charging the battery via the bulk stage, absorption stage, and float phase. The three-stage charging levels of the Le-A battery are clearly seen in the figure 11. The used battery bank is a 24V/50 AH battery, it consists of two 12V batteries associated in series, where the simulation is conducted via a standard test conditions.

Figure 11. The Le-A Battery performance of the second test

6 Conclusion

A smart lead acid battery charger controller for PV/battery applications is presented in this paper. The suggested technique allows the system to perform in ideal circumstances according to the three stages charging technique. Therefore, the control strategy developed is an hybrid controller (ANFIS based MPPT and the FLC based voltage regulator). The results of the current study demonstrate that the ANFIS technique provides a better performance compared to the traditional P&O and IncCon methods in terms of efficiency and rising time, while the second fuzzy controller FLC2 acts as a voltage charge regulator to guarantee the maintaining of the voltage across the Le-A battery, thus, verify automatically the DIN 41773 standard. The proposed control method offers the advantages of recovering the maximum power from the PV array, minimising battery damage caused by changing MPPT voltage, and extending the battery life. The experimental implementation of the suggested control strategy will be considered in the future research.
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