Efficient generation of GGTA1-null *Diannan* miniature pigs using TALENs combined with somatic cell nuclear transfer
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**Abstract**

**Background:** α,1,3-Galactosyltransferase (GGTA1) is essential for the biosynthesis of glycoproteins and therefore a simple and effective target for disrupting the expression of galactose α-1,3-galactose epitopes, which mediate hyperacute rejection (HAR) in xenotransplantation. Miniature pigs are considered to have the greatest potential as xenotransplantation donors. A GGTA1-knockout (GTKO) miniature pig might mitigate or prevent HAR in xenotransplantation.

**Methods:** Transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) were designed to target exon 6 of porcine GGTA1 gene. The targeting activity was evaluated using a luciferase SSA recombination assay. Biallelic GTKO cell lines were established from single-cell colonies of fetal fibroblasts derived from *Diannan* miniature pigs following transfection by electroporation with TALEN plasmids. One cell line was selected as donor cell line for somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) for the generation of GTKO pigs. GTKO aborted fetuses, stillborn fetuses and live piglets were obtained. Genotyping of the collected cloned individuals was performed. The Gal expression in the fibroblasts and one piglet was analyzed by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS), confocal microscopy, immunohistochemical (IHC) staining and western blotting.

**Results:** The luciferase SSA recombination assay revealed that the targeting activities of the designed TALENs were 17.1-fold higher than those of the control. Three cell lines (3/126) showed GGTA1 biallelic knockout after modification by the TALENs. The GGTA1 biallelic modified C99# cell line enabled high-quality SCNT, as evidenced by the 22.3 % (458/2068) blastocyst developmental rate of the reconstructed embryos. The reconstructed GTKO embryos were subsequently transferred into 18 recipient gilts, of which 12 became pregnant, and six miscarried. Eight aborted fetuses were collected from the gilts that miscarried. One live fetus was obtained from one surrogate by caesarean after 33 d of gestation for genotyping. In total, 12 live and two stillborn piglets were collected from six surrogates by either caesarean or natural birth. Sequencing analyses of the target site confirmed the homozygous GGTA1-null mutation in all fetuses and piglets, consistent with the genotype of the donor cells. Furthermore, FACS, confocal microscopy, IHC and western blotting analyses demonstrated that Gal epitopes were completely absent from the fibroblasts, kidneys and pancreas of one GTKO piglet.

**Conclusions:** TALENs combined with SCNT were successfully used to generate GTKO *Diannan* miniature pigs.
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Background

The increasing life expectancy of humans has led to an increase in the number of patients suffering from chronic diseases and end-stage organ failure [1]. The number of organ donated cannot meet the demands of organ transplantation. Xenotransplantation (e.g., from pigs to humans) may resolve this problem [2]. Miniature pigs and humans have similar organ physiology and anatomy. Compared with non-human primates, miniature pigs present a decreased risk of cross-species disease transmission due to their greater phylogenetic distance from humans [3]. The Diannan miniature pig, a famous local variety, has unique advantages, including early sexual maturity, high birth rate and low full-grown body weight (compared with the Large White pig) [4]. Moreover, because of its high litter size, the cloning efficiency of Diannan miniature pigs was higher than those of 19 different donor cell types from other pigs [4]. Thus, these pigs can be considered an ideal source for human xenotransplantation.

However, before miniature pigs can be successfully used for xenotransplantation, the major obstacles of hyperacute rejection (HAR) and acute humoral xenograft rejection (AHXR) must be overcome [5]. The galactosyl-α(1,3)galactose (Gal) epitope is strongly expressed in porcine endothelium and mediates HAR. α1,3-Galactosyltransferase (GGTA1) is essential for the biosynthesis of glycoproteins. A null mutation of GGTA1 may thus prevent the expression of the Gal epitope on porcine tissues [6], and GGTA1 knockout (GTKO) pigs may mitigate or prevent HAR during xenotransplantation.

GTKO pigs were generated using traditional homologous recombination (HR), zinc-finger nuclease (ZFN) gene editing technologies and somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) methods [6–10]. However, methods for producing gene-modified pigs are inefficient, time-consuming and labor-intensive [11, 12]. TALEN is a versatile genome editing tool that has been successfully used for genome editing in various species. Several genetically modified embryos/pigs have been generated by TALENs, including mono- and biallelic mutations of the low-density-lipoprotein receptor gene [13], azoospermia-like and adenomatous polyposis coli gene knockout [14], polymorphic sequence variation within the transactivation domains of RELA [15] and CMAH knockout preimplantation embryos production [16]. These studies demonstrate the successful application of TALENs in pigs for efficient gene targeting. Another recently developed efficient genome editing tool, the clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated 9 system (CRISPR/Cas9), is easier to employ and permits multiplexible targeting. Although CRISPR/Cas9 has been successfully developed and effectively used for genomic editing in a range of species [17–21], TALENs are more precise and have fewer pronounced off-target effects [22]. Therefore, we used TALENs to modify GGTA1 in porcine fibroblast to produce GTKO pigs via SCNT.

In this study, we aimed to efficiently generate GTKO fetuses and piglets using TALEN and SCNT technologies. We established the first genetically modified Diannan miniature pigs and performed a systematic phenotypic characterization of GTKO fibroblasts and Diannan miniature piglets. These GTKO miniature pigs might be ideal organ donors with the prevention of HAR and AHXR for xenotransplantation.

Methods

Chemicals

All of the chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise stated.

TALEN design and generation

TALENs targeting exon 6 of the porcine GGTA1 gene were designed and assembled by ViewSold Biotech (China, Beijing) (Fig. 1a). A luciferase single strand annealing (SSA) recombination assay was employed to evaluate the targeting efficiency of TALEN vectors in vitro using a specific method described previously [23]. In brief, 293 T cells in 24-well plates were transfected with 200 ng of TALEN expression plasmids, 50 ng of SSA reporter plasmid and 10 ng of Renilla plasmid. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. The cells were harvested 1 d after transfection and were treated with Luciferase Cell Lysis Buffer, followed by detection of relative luciferase activity.

![Fig. 1 Schematic of TALENs targeting the porcine GGTA1 locus and the activity assay.](image-url)
Cell culture, transfection and selection

Pig fetal fibroblasts (PFFs) were prepared as previously described [24]. In brief, PFFs were isolated from 35-day-old Diannan miniature pig fetuses and were digested. After centrifugation and re-suspension, the PFFs were cultured in a flask for 12 h and then frozen in DMEM supplemented with 20 % FBS and 10 % dimethyl sulfoxide for future use. The day before transfection, the PFFs were thawed and cultured in medium. Approximately 7 x 10^6 PFFs in 70 μl PBS containing 21 μg of the TALEN plasmid pair were electroporated at 250 V for 20 ms with a Gene Pulser Xcell electroporator (Bio-Rad, California, USA). After electroporation, the cells were plated into T25 flask for 2 days in DMEM. The cell colonies were seeded individually into 48-well plates to isolate single colonies. Single cell-derived colonies were harvested after 12-14 d of culture, and the colonies were genotyped by PCR, T7 endonuclease I assay (T7EI) and sequencing.

Oocyte collection and culture

Oocyte collection and culture were performed as previously described [24]. Ovaries were collected from Hongteng slaughterhouse (Chenggong Ruide Food Co., Ltd., Kunming, Yunnan Province, China). Cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) were aspirated from 3–6 mm diameter follicles. COCs with at least three layers of compacted cumulus cells were selected, and approximately 50 COCs were cultured in 200 μl IVM (in vitro maturation) medium [24] at 38.5 °C in an atmosphere with 5 % CO₂ (APC-30D, ASTEC, Japan) and saturated humidity for 42–44 h.

SCNT and generation of GTKO piglets

After IVM, COCs with expanded cumulus cells were briefly treated with 0.1 % (w/v) hyaluronidase, and the cumulus cells were removed by gently pipetting. The denuded oocytes were enucleated by aspirating the first polar body and adjacent cytoplasm using a beveled pipette in TLH-PVA. The cells identified as biallelic GTKO by sequencing were digested with trypsin and used as donor cells, which were injected into the perivitelline space of oocytes. Donor cells were fused with recipient cytoplasts in fusion medium using a single direct current pulse of 150 V/mm for 100 μs in an activation medium [24]. The reconstructed embryos were cultured for 2 h in porcine zygote medium-3 (PZM-3) and then activated with a single pulse of 150 V/mm for 100 μs in an activation medium [24]. The reconstructed embryos were equilibrated in PZM-3 supplemented with 5 μg/ml cytochalasin B for 2 h at 38.5 °C in humidified atmosphere of 5 % CO₂, 5 % O₂ and 90 % N₂ (APM-30D, ASTEC, Japan). Then, embryos were washed three times and cultured in PZM-3 medium under the same conditions described above. Cleavage and blastocyst rates were documented on day 2 and day 7, respectively.

Crossbred prepubertal gilts (Large White/Landrace Duroc) weighing 100 to 120 kg were used as surrogates for the cloned embryos. They were checked for estrus at 09:00 and 18:00 h daily. Reconstructed embryos cultured for 2 h after activation were surgically transferred to the oviducts of the surrogate. Pregnancy was detected approximately 23 days after embryo transfer using an ultrasound scanner (HS-101 V, Honda Electonics Co. Ltd., Yamazuka, Japan).

Genotyping

A single-cell colony was selected for genotyping. Cell lysis was performed in 10 μl of NP-40 solution for 15 min at 65 °C and 10 min at 95 °C. Then they were used as templates for PCR amplification. The targeted fragments were amplified by PCR with specific primers (Additional file 1: Table S1) and then purified using a PCR cleanup kit (AP-PCR-50, Axygen, New York, USA). The purified PCR product mixture (50 ng of the wild-type PCR product added to 50 ng of the GGTA1 targeted PCR product) was denatured and renatured in NEBuffer 2 (NEB, Massachusetts, USA) for 30 min at 37 °C and separated by electrophoresis in a 1 % agarose gel. PCR products in which mutations were detected by the T7EN1 cleavage assay were sub-cloned into a T vector (D103A, Takara, Dalian, China) for sequencing.

We also extracted genomic DNA from one live fetus, aborted fetuses and piglets for gene typing. The targeted fragments were amplified as described above and cloned into a T vector for sequencing. For each sample, colonies were selected randomly and were sequenced using M13F primer (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Flow cytometric analysis

Fibroblasts (GTKO) derived from one two-month-old piglet were used for flow cytometric analysis. 293T cells were used as a negative control, and fibroblasts derived from Diannan miniature pigs cloned from unmodified GGTA1 PFFs by SCNT were used as a positive control. The cells were washed three times with PBS, stained with 20 μg/ml FITC-GS-IB4 lectin for 5 min at 37 °C, washed twice and re-suspended in 300 μl of PBS, and analyzed using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometry (BD, New Jersey, USA).

Fluorescent microscopy

Fibroblasts (GTKO), the negative control (293T) and the positive control were cultured on coverslips for 24 h, fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde for 10 min, and washed with PBS. First, the cells were incubated in 0.2 % Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature and washed with
PBS. The cells were then blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS (blocking buffer) for 1 h at room temperature and incubated overnight in a humid chamber at 4 °C with 40 μg/ml FITC-GS-IB4 in blocking buffer. The slides were washed with PBS, and the nuclei were counterstained with 1 μg/ml DAPI. The slides were covered with mounting medium and observed under a laser scanning confocal microscope (OLYMPUS FV 1000, Tokyo, Japan).

Immunohistochemical analysis of tissue sections

Two-month-old GTKO pigs and SCNT cloned pigs from TALEN-unmodified donor fibroblasts were euthanized by CO₂ inhalation, and their kidneys were excised. Kidney sections were placed in a mold, and a small amount of OCT (optimal cutting temperature) was added to cover the tissue. The frozen blocks were stored at -80 °C until use. The tissues were then equilibrated to the temperature of the cryostat (-20 °C) and cut to the desired thickness (usually 5 μm). Tissue sections were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and washed with PBS for three times. The slides were incubated in 3% H₂O₂ and methanol solution for 30 min, then washed with PBS for three times, and dried. The slides were blocked with 5% BSA in PBS for 15 min at room temperature in a humidified chamber. The tissue sections were then incubated with 5 μg/ml anti-gal antibody (ALX-801–090, Abcam, London, UK) at 4 °C overnight. After washing with PBS, the tissue sections were incubated with biotinylated antibody from an IHC kit (KIT-9901, Elivision TM plus Polyer HRP IHC Kit, Fuzhou, China) and stained using DAB (3,3′-diaminobenzidine).

Protein extraction and immunoblotting

Protein extraction and immunoblotting were performed as previously described in our previous study [25]. The pancreas tissue from GTKO piglets and cloned piglet derived from unmodified original donor cells were used to evaluate GGTA1 protein levels using western blotting. In brief, pancreas tissues were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (Bestbio, China) with protease inhibitors at 4 °C. After lysis, the supernatants were obtained by centrifugation at 13,800 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. Equal amounts of protein (70 μg) were run on SDS-PAGE gel, along with molecular weight marker. After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes and reacted with primary antibodies against GGTA1 (ALX-801–090-1, Enzo, Lausen, Switzerland; 1:15) and β-actin (anti-β-actin, Sigma-Aldrich; 1:2000) at 4 °C overnight. After incubation, the membranes were washed and incubated with anti-mouse secondary antibodies (R&D, USA). The membranes were incubated with the ECL (Easysee Western Blot Kit, China) and visualized with an Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Universal Hood II, USA).

Statistical analysis

All of the data were expressed as the mean ± standard error (SE). t-test was performed using the SPSS 22.0 software package (IBM Crop, Armonk, NY). Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05.

Results

TALENs activity validation

The activity of the designed TALEN targeting GGTA1 exon 6 was determined in vitro by using a luciferase single-strand annealing (SSA) recombination assay. The luciferase activity of the TALENs was 17.1-fold higher than that of the control (Fig. 1b).

Generation of GTKO piglets using TALENs

Nine cell colonies of the 126 single-cell colonies had modifications at the targeted site of GGTA1, and 3 of these colonies were biallelic GTKO (C43#, C94#, C99#) (Fig. 2). C99# GTKO cell colony was used as the donor cells for SCNT. We produced 2068 reconstructed embryos by SCNT, and the cleavage and blastocyst formation rates of the embryos were 75.2% (1667/2068) and 22.3% (458/2068), respectively (Table 1).

The reconstructed GTKO embryos were transferred to 18 recipient gilts. There are 12 recipient gilts became pregnant and 6 miscarried with the yielding of 8 fetuses (Fig. 3a). One live fetus was obtained on the 33th day of gestation for genotyping. A total of 12 live (Fig. 3b) and two stillborn (Table 2) piglets were collected from 6 surrogates by either caesarean or natural birth.

Sequencing analysis of the target site in all fetuses and piglets confirmed the homozygous GGTA1-null mutation, consistent with the genotype of the C99# donor cells (Fig. 3c). The average birth weight of the GTKO piglets (600 g) was slightly lower than that of the wild type control piglets (730 g) (Fig. 4a).

Phenotype of the GTKO newborn piglets

Next, phenotype of the GTKO fibroblasts and newborn piglets were evaluated with various technologies. Compared with wild type positive control samples, the expression of Gal epitope was absent in both GTKO cells and negative control samples (Fig. 4b). Same results were obtained by using confocal microscopy: Gal epitope only expressed in the wild type positive control cells; while there is no Gal epitope expression in the GTKO cells and negative control cells (Fig. 4c). IHC analysis also confirmed the absence of Gal epitope expression in the kidneys of the GTKO piglets (Fig. 4d). Western blotting analysis demonstrated that GGTA1 protein expression in pancreas tissue of GTKO piglets was completely absent in the comparison of the expression in wild type control piglets (Fig. 4e). These results suggest that the GGTA1 gene had been successfully knocked out in the Diannan miniature pigs.
Discussion

Animal-to-human organ transplantation (xenotransplantation) techniques would generate an unlimited supply of organs and tissues for the treatment of end-stage organ failure. Although non-human primates are closely related to humans, their smaller size, slow growth rates, limited production of offspring and difficulty of breeding in captivity limit their use as donor animals for xenotransplantation [26]. Pigs present several advantages over non-human primates and thus may serve as a large pool of animal donors for xenotransplantation in the future. One essential question regarding xenotransplantation is whether animal organs can serve as an effective physiological proxy for human organs [27]. The body weight of miniature pigs is typically less than 50 kg [28, 29], equivalent to that of an immature domestic pig. Therefore, compared with larger

Table 1 Developmental competence of reconstructed embryos after fusion and electrical activation

| No. reconstructed embryos | Cleaved (%) | Blastocysts (%) |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 2068                      | 1667 (75.2 ± 4.2) | 458 (22.3 ± 1.5) |
domestic pigs, miniature pigs are generally easier to handle and more suitable for medical application [30]. Among them, the cloned Diannan miniature pigs had been produced and suitable for further genetic modification [4]. TALENs have been used as genome editing tools to generate GGTA1-mutant pigs [31, 32]. By using limiting dilution method for the GTKO cell colonies’ selection, we successfully obtained three GGTA1 biallelic knockout colonies and produced the cloned piglets with the expected genotype from one GTKO cell colony. Compare with various methods for the selection of GGTA1-mutant somatic cells such as G418 selection [31] or IB4 lectin combined with magnetic beads selection [32], the efficiency of our method for GTKO cell colonies’ selection was slightly low. Furthermore, using TALEN mRNA [32] rather than TALEN DNA plasmids could increase the efficiency of GGTA1-mutant somatic cell selection. Therefore, either using alternative GTKO cell colonies’ selection methodology or using TALEN mRNAs might help to increase our efficiency for obtaining TALEN-mediated biallelic knockout cells. Moreover, our efficiency of generating GTKO piglets was slightly higher than that of previous studies [6–9, 31]. Our results suggested that this methodology was useful to produce the GTKO piglets. It has been reported that TALEN system exhibit high targeting specificity with little off-target effect [33, 34]. Previous similar in vivo studies of TALEN plasmid DNA editing in mammals like pig [35], mouse [36], monkey [37] did not observed detectable off-target effect either. Furthermore, our previous study also showed

Table 2 Development of reconstructed GTKO cloned embryos after transfer to recipient gilts

| Recipients | Pregnancy | Days of pregnancy | No. of fetuses (Dead) | Offspring (stillborn) |
|------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1          | +         | 33 (Cesarean)     | 1                    |                      |
| 2          | +         | 42 (Abortion)     | 3(3)                 |                      |
| 3          | +         | 117               | 2                    |                      |
| 4          | -         |                   |                      |                      |
| 5          | -         |                   |                      |                      |
| 6          | -         |                   |                      |                      |
| 7          | -         |                   |                      |                      |
| 8          | -         |                   |                      |                      |
| 9          | +         | 30 (Abortion)     | 3(3)                 |                      |
| 10         | +         | 114 (Cesarean)    | 3                    |                      |
| 11         | +         | 120 (Cesarean)    | 1                    |                      |
| 12         | +         |                   |                      | -                    |
| 13         | +         | 116 (Cesarean)    | 5(1)                 |                      |
| 14         | +         | 28 (Abortion)     | 1(1)                 |                      |
| 15         | +         | 28 (Abortion)     | 1(1)                 |                      |
| 16         | +         | 115 (Cesarean)    | 2                    |                      |
| 17         | -         |                   |                      |                      |
| 18         | +         | 115               | 1(1)                 |                      |
| Total      | 12 (66.7 %) | 9(8)              | 14(2)                |                      |

+ indicated pregnancy; - indicated not pregnancy
Fig. 4 (See legend on next page.)
TALEN plasmid DNA editing in sheep [25] did not observe detectable off-target using whole-genome sequencing. These results suggest that TALEN plasmid DNA editing in Diannan miniature pig also have no off-target.

Although our system efficiently generated GGTA1-modified pigs, high abortion rates (33.37%, 4/12) were observed. Abortion and fetal reabsorption were also observed in previous reports on GGTA1 knockout pigs and the reasons for these losses are unknown [9, 31]. GGTA1 encodes a member of the galactosyltransferase family of intracellular membrane-bound enzymes, which are involved in the biosynthesis of glycoproteins and glycolipids. The encoded protein catalyzes the transfer of galactose from UDP-galactose to N-acetyllactosamine in an α(1,3)-linkage to form galactose alpha(1,3)-galactose. There is no evidence that GGTA1 is involved in fetal development and growth, and no reports indicate that GGTA1 mutations induce the death of cloned animals. Therefore, the incomplete reprogramming of somatic cells in SCNT might be the reason for the observed abortion and fetal reabsorption. Stillborn piglets are another barrier to the efficient generation of live GGTA1-modified piglets. Our previous study showed that the stillborn piglets would have survived if caesarean sections had been performed prior to full gestation [4]. Therefore, caesarean sections were performed to aid the delivery of surrogate pigs to improve the survival rates of the cloned piglets in the present study. In this study, the higher piglet survival rate (7/8) achieved by caesarean section compared with that natural birth (2/3) also supports our previous result.

The primary purpose of generating GTKO pigs is to overcome the primate humoral response [6, 8], and these pigs are considered a platform for testing existing and future genetic solutions for xenotransplantation [38]. Even when immune, coagulative, and pro-inflammatory responses to grafts can be successfully overcome, the long-term graft survival and the functionality of transplanted pig organs and/or cells in a foreign environment is still unknown [39]. We have heterotopically transplanted the heart and one kidney from a GTKO pig into a Crab-eating Macaque. HAR did not occur in the Crab-eating Macaque, and the transplanted heart and kidney restored normal function. The heart began to beat and the kidney began to facilitate urination in the Crab-eating Macaque (date not shown). These results suggest that modified pigs have great potential in terms of reduced injury to pig organs following transplantation into non-human primates. In addition, previous investigations have demonstrated that the absence of galactose-α(1,3)-galactose expression reduces the human T-cell proliferative response and cytokine responses [40]. However, this reduction cannot sufficiently reduce the requirement for exogenous immunosuppressive therapies to permit clinical use. Therefore, further genetic modifications of pigs are likely necessary [2].

**Conclusions**

The combination of TALEN gene editing technology and SCNT is effectively used for the generation of biallelic GTKO Diannan miniature pigs. The rapid production of GTKO Diannan miniature pigs will enable many new applications in the future and help the development of xenotransplantation and alleviate the shortage of organs for clinical application.

**Additional file**

**Additional file 1: Table S1.** GGTA1-targeted fragment PCR amplification primers and TA cloning sequencing primer. (DOC 29 kb)

**Abbreviations**

AHXR: Acute humoral xenograft rejection; BMs: Bama miniature pigs; BSA: Bovine serum albumin; CMAH: Cytidine monophospho-N-acetylneuraminic acid hydroxylase; COCs: Cumulus-oocyte complexes; CRISPR/Cas9: Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; CRISPR associated 9; DAB: 3,3′-diaminobenzidine; DAPI: 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; DMEM: Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; FACS: Fluorescence activated cell sorting; FBS: Fetal bovine serum; FITC: Fluorescein isothiocyanate; Gal: Galactose; GGTA1: α1,3-galactosyltransferase; GTKO: GGTA1 knockout; HAR: Hyperacute rejection; HR: Homologous recombination; IVM: in vitro maturation; OCT: optimal cutting temperature; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; PFFs: Pig fetal fibroblasts; PZM-3: Porcine zygote medium-3; RELA: v-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog A; SCNT: Somatic cell nuclear transfer; SE: Standard error; SPSS: Statistical Product and Service Solutions; SSA: Single-strand annealing; TALENs: Transcription activator-like effector nucleases; TBs: Tibetan miniature pigs; TLH-PVA: HEPES-buffered Tyrode’s medium containing polyvinylalcohol; UDP-galactose: Uridine diphosphate-galactose; ZFN: Zinc-finger nuclease.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank the “Yunnan Provincial Science and Technology Department” and “National Natural Science Foundation of China” for the support provided for this study.

**Funding**

This work was supported by grants from Major Program on Basic Research Projects of Yunnan Province (Grant No. 2014FC006), the Talent Project of Young and Middle-aged Academic Technology Leadership in Yunnan Province (Grant No. 2013HB073) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.31360549), the Science Foundation Key Project of Yunnan Province Department of Education (Grant No. ZD2013003).
Availability of data and materials
All datasets on which the conclusions of the paper rely are available to readers.

Authors’ contributions
HJW, WW and HYZ conceived and designed the experiments. WC, HZ, JW, LZ, ZY, YG, HL, BL, CY, YS, LZ, GF, WP and HJW performed the experiments. HJW, HYZ and HZ analyzed the data. HYZ, JW and HY wrote the paper. All authors reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Ethics approval
Animal use and care were in accordance with animal care guidelines that conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85–23). The animals used in this study were regularly maintained in the Laboratory Animal Centre of Yunnan Agricultural University. All of the animal experiments were performed with the approval of the Animal Care and Use Committee of Yunnan Agricultural University.

Author details
1 College of Animal Science and Technology, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming 650201, China. 2 State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Bio-Resources in Yunnan, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming 650201, China. 3 Research Center of Life Science, Yulin University, Yulin 719000, China. 4 Hunan Xeno Life Science Co., Ltd, Changsha 410060, China. 5 Institute for Cell Transplantation and Gene Therapy, The Third Xiangya Hospital Central-South University, Changsha 410013, China. 6 Key Laboratory of Animal Nutrition and Feed of Yunnan Province, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming 650201, China.

Received: 29 June 2016 Accepted: 26 October 2016

Published online: 08 November 2016

References
1. Hytkowiak N, Aigner B, Brem G, Wolf E. Genetic modification of pigs as organ donors for xenotransplantation. Mol Reprod Dev. 2010;77(3):209–21.
2. Eksner B, Ezelrakar M, Hara H, van der Windt DJ, Wijkstra M, Bottino R, et al. Clinical xenotransplantation: the next medical revolution? The lancet. 2012;379(9816):672–83.
3. Yang YG, Sykes M. Xenotransplantation: current status and a perspective on the future. Nat Rev Immunol. 2007;7(7):519–28.
4. Pan W, Zhang G, Qing Y, Li H, Zheng W, Wang X, et al. Evaluation of cloning efficiency based on the production of cloned Diannan miniature pigs. RIRMB. 2015;4:17–1.
5. Michel SG, Madarimagi ML, Villani V, Shanmuganarakaj K. Current progress in xenotransplantation and organ bioengineering. Int J Surg. 2015;13:23–44.
6. Dai Y, Vaught TD, Boone J, Chen SH, Phelps CJ, Ball S, et al. Targeted disruption of the α1,3-galactosyltransferase gene in cloned pigs. Nat Biotechnol. 2002;20(3):251–5.
7. Lai L, Kolber-Simonds D, Park KW, Cheong HT, Greenstein JL, Im GS, et al. Production of α1,3-galactosyltransferase knockout pigs by nuclear transfer cloning. Science. 2002;295(5557):1089–92.
8. Phelps CJ, Kokele C, Vaught TD, Boone J, Wells KD, Chen S-H, et al. Production of α1,3-galactosyltransferase-deficient pigs. Science. 2003;299(5560):411–4.
9. Bao L, Chen H, Jong U, Rim C, Li W, Lin X, et al. Generation of GGTA1 biallelic knockout pigs using zinc-finger nucleases and somatic cell nuclear transfer. Sci China Life Sci. 2014;57(2):263–8.
10. Hauschildt J, Petersen B, Santiago Y, Queisser AL, Carnwath JW, Lucas-Hahn A, et al. Efficient generation of a biallelic knockout in pigs using zinc-finger nucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(29):12013–7.
11. Petersen B. Update on “molecular scissors” for transgenic farm animal production. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2012;24(1):317–8.
12. Carlson DF, Fahrenheit SC, Hackett PB. Targeting DNA with fingers and TALENs. Mol Ther Nucleic acids. 2012;1(1):e3.
13. Carlson DF, Tan W, Lilloco SG, Sverakova D, Proudfout C, Christian M, et al. Efficient TALEN-mediated gene knockout in livestock. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(43):17382–7.
14. Tan W, Carlson DF, Lancoa CA, Garbe JR, Webster DA, Hackett PB, et al. Efficient nonomeric allele introgression in livestock using custom endonucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(41):16526–31.
15. Lilloco SG, Proudfout C, Carlson DF, Sverakova D, Neil C, Blain C, et al. Live pigs produced from genome edited zygotes. Sci Rep. 2013;3:2847.
16. Moon J, Lee C, Kim SJ, Choi JY, Lee BC, Kim JS, et al. Production of CMAH knockout preimplantation embryos derived from immobilized porcine cells via TALE nucleases. Mol Ther Nucleic acids. 2014;3(5):e166.
17. Ni W, Qiao J, Hu S, Zhao X, Regoussi M, Yang M, et al. Efficient gene knockout in goats using CRISPR/Cas9 system. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e105718.
18. Shen B, Zhang J, Wu H, Wang J, Ma K, Li Z, et al. Generation of gene-modified mice via Cas9/RNA-mediated gene targeting. Cell Res. 2013;23(3):720.
19. Ma Y, Zhang X, Shen B, Lu Y, Chen W, Ma J, et al. Generating rats with conditional alleles using CRISPR/Cas9. Cell Res. 2014;24(1):122.
20. Niu Y, Shen B, Cui Y, Chen Y, Wang J, Wang L, et al. Generation of gene-modified cynomolgus monkey via Cas9/RNA-mediated gene targeting in one-cell embryos. Cell. 2015;164(4):836–43.
21. Wang Y, Du Y, Shen B, Zhou X, Li J, Liu Y, et al. Efficient generation of gene-modified pigs via injection of zygote with Cas9/gRNA. Sci Rep. 2015;5:8256.
22. Wang X, Wang Y, Wu X, Wang J, Wang Y, Qiu Z, et al. Unbiased identification of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas9 and TALENs using integrase-defective lentiviral vectors. Nat Biotechnol. 2015;33(2):175–8.
23. Huang P, Xiao A, Zhou M, Zhu Z, Lin S, Zhang B. Heritable gene targeting in vivo using customized TALOs. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(8):699–700.
24. Wei H, Qing Y, Pan W, Zhao H, Li H, Cheng W, et al. Comparison of the efficiency of Banna miniature inbred pig somatic cell nuclear transfer among different donor cells. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e57728.
25. Li H, Wang G, Zhang Q, Qing Y, Liu S, Qin L, et al. Generation of biallelic knock-out sheep via gene-editing and somatic cell nuclear transfer. Sci Rep. 2014;6:33675.
26. Lamb D. Animal-to-human Transplants: the Ethics of Xenotransplantation. J Med Ethics. 1997;23(2):124.
27. Ahn YK, Ryu JM, Jeong HC, Kim YH, Jeong MH, Lee MY, et al. Comparison of cardiac function and coronary angiography between conventional pigs and micropigs as measured by multidetector row computed tomography. J Vet Sci. 2008;9(2):121–6.
28. Lian L, Wang H, Xu J, Hu W. Biological characteristics of Banna minipig. Shanghai Laboratory Animal Science (China). 1993;13:185–91.
29. Liu Y. A novel porcine gene, MAPKAPK3, is differentially expressed in the prutitary gland from mini-type Diannan small-ear pigs and large-type Diannan small-ear pigs. Mol Biol Rep. 2010;37(7):3435–9.
30. Kobayashi E, Hishikawa S, Teratani T, Lefor AT. The pig as a model for translational research: overview of porcine animal models at Jichi Medical University. Transplant Res. 2012;21(1):8.
31. Xin J, Yang Y, Fan N, Zhao B, OuYang Z, Liu Z, et al. Highly efficient generation of GGTA1 biallelic knockout inbred mini-pigs with TALENs. PLoS One. 2013;8(12):e84250.
32. Feng C, Li X, Cui H, Long C, Liu X, Tian X, et al. Highly efficient generation of GGTA1 knockout pigs using a combination of TALEN mRNA and magnetic beads with somatic cell nuclear transfer. J Integr Agr. 2016;15(7):1540–9.
33. Sarjana NE, Cong L, Zhou Y, Cumniff MM, Feng G, Zhang F. A transcription activator-like effector toolbox for genome engineering. Nat Protoc. 2012;7(1):171–92.
34. Veres A, Gosis BS, Ding Q, Collins R, Ragavendran A, Brand H, et al. Low incidence of off-target mutations in individual CRISPR-Cas9 and TALEN targeted human stem cell lines detected by whole-genome sequencing. Cell Stem Cell. 2014;15(1):27–30.
35. Yao J, Huang J, Hai T, Wang X, Qin G, Zhang H, et al. Efficient bi-allelic gene knockout and site-specific knock-in mediated by TALENs in pigs. Sci Rep. 2014;4:6926.
36. Sung YH, Baek UI, Kim DH, Jeon J, Lee J, Lee K, et al. Knockout mice created by TALEN-mediated gene editing. Nat Biotechnol. 2013;31(1):23–4.
37. Liu H, Chen Y, Niu Y, Zhang K, Yang Y, Ge W, et al. TALEN-mediated gene mutagenesis in rheus and cynomolgus monkeys. Cell Stem Cell. 2014;14(3):323–8.
38. Gock H, Nottle M, Lew AM, d’Apice AJ, Cowan P, et al. Genetic modification of pigs for solid organ xenotransplantation. Transplant Rev. 2011;25(1):9–20.
39. Ibrahim Z, Busch J, Awwad M, Wagner R, Wells K, Cooper DK. Selected
physiologic compatibilities and incompatibilities between human and
porcine organ systems. Xenotransplantation. 2006;13(6):488–99.
40. Saethre M, Schneider MK, Lambris JD, Magotti P, Haraldsen G, Seebach JD,
Mollnes TE, et al. Cytokine secretion depends on Galα(1,3)Gal expression
in a pig-to-human whole blood model. J Immunology. 2008;180(9):6346–53.