Body mass index and survival after diagnosis of invasive breast cancer: a study based on the Japanese National Clinical Database—Breast Cancer Registry
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Abstract
Few studies have reported the association between body mass index (BMI) and outcome among Asian breast cancer patients. We analyzed data for 20,090 female invasive breast cancer patients who had been followed-up for a median period of 6.7 years entered in the National Clinical Database—Breast Cancer Registry between 2004 and 2006. We used mainly the WHO criteria for BMI (kg/m²) categories; <18.5 (underweight), ≥18.5–<21.8 (reference), ≥21.8–<25, ≥25–<30 (overweight), and ≥30 (obese). We divided normal weight patients into two subgroups because this category includes many patients compared to others. The timing of BMI measurement was not specified. The Cox proportional hazards model and cubic spline regression were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Smoking, alcohol, and physical activity were not controlled. A total of 1418 all-cause, 937 breast cancer–specific deaths, and 2433 recurrences were observed. Obesity was associated with an increased risk of all-cause (HR: 1.46; 95% CI: 1.16–1.83) and breast cancer–specific death (HR: 1.47; 95% CI: 1.11–1.93) for all patients, and with all-cause (HR: 1.47; 95% CI: 1.13–1.92) and breast cancer–specific death (HR: 1.58; 95% CI: 1.13–2.20) for postmenopausal patients. Being underweight was associated with an increased risk of all-cause death for all (HR: 1.41; 95% CI: 1.16–1.71) and for postmenopausal patients (HR: 1.45; 95% CI: 1.15–1.84). With regard to subtype and menopausal status, obesity was associated with an increased risk of breast cancer–specific death for all cases of luminal B tumor (HR: 2.59; 95% CI: 1.51–4.43; \( P_{\text{heterogeneity}} \) of Luminal B vs. Triple negative = 0.016) and for postmenopausal patients with luminal B tumor (HR: 3.24; 95% CI: 1.71–6.17). Being obese or underweight is associated with a higher risk of death among female breast cancer patients in Japan.
Introduction

Obesity defined in terms of body mass index (BMI) is a possible factor affecting the prognosis of patients with breast cancer. A previous meta-analysis including 43 studies showed that obesity was associated with higher risk of all-cause or breast cancer-specific death among pre- and postmenopausal women [1]. A more recent large-scale meta-analysis of 82 studies conducted by the World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research (WCRF/AICR) also showed that obese patients had poorer overall and breast cancer survival, for both pre- and postmenopausal patients, and that being underweight was not associated with breast cancer survival, although the latter included only 10 studies [2].

It has been suggested that associations between BMI and outcome in Asians may differ from those in Europe [3]. A large-scale study from Korea including 24,698 breast cancer patients demonstrated significantly lower overall and breast cancer-specific survival and a higher risk of recurrence in patients who were underweight than in those of normal weight, although no conclusion was drawn with regard to any association between overweight/obesity and breast cancer recurrence or death [4]. A recent study from Japan suggested that both higher BMI and lower BMI are associated with an increased risk of mortality among breast cancer patients [5]. However, the associations between being obese or underweight and survival among breast cancer patients have not been adequately assessed in Asian countries; previous meta-analyses of Asian patients included only two [1] and seven [2] studies, respectively.

There is biological evidence that breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease [6, 7]. There is considerable heterogeneity of breast cancer subtypes, each showing a distinct gene-expression profile [6, 8]. Biological heterogeneity defined by combined estrogen/progesterone receptor (ER/PR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status may imply important differences in tumor etiology and prognosis [9]. Thus, assessment of associations between BMI and breast cancer prognosis according to tumor subtypes defined by ER/PR/HER2 may shed further light on this relationship. In fact, several studies have already investigated the effects of tumor subtype defined by ER/PR status [10–12]. A recent meta-analysis of 21 studies, including the ER/PR status of breast cancer and menopausal status, showed that obesity impacted negatively on both overall and breast cancer survival irrespective of ER/PR and menopausal status [13]. However, few studies have addressed the association between obesity and survival of breast cancer patients in terms of ER/PR/HER2 status [14, 15].

In this study, we investigated the relationship between BMI and the risk of all-cause death and breast cancer-specific death among breast cancer patients in terms of menopausal status and also tumor subtype using a nationwide database in Japan.

Materials and Methods

Study subjects, database, and clinical information

The Japanese Breast Cancer Society (JBCS) has maintained the Breast Cancer Registry (BCR) supported by the Public Health Research Foundation (Tokyo). Affiliated institutes have voluntarily provided the BCR with data on newly diagnosed primary breast cancer cases through a web-based system since 2004 [16]. The National Clinical Database (NCD) in Japan, which was launched in 2010, is a nationwide prospective web-based registry linked to the surgical board certification system. Detailed information about the NCD has been published previously [17, 18]. In brief, the NCD systematically collects accurate data in order to develop a standardized database for improvement of quality and evaluation of healthcare quality from the standpoint of structure, process, and outcome [17]. Detailed information on cancers, such as gastrointestinal, liver, pancreas, thyroid, and breast cancer, is also collected [19]. The NCD contains >1.2 million surgical cases collected up to 2011, and approximately 4000 institutions have been participating. The NCD continuously communicates with hospital personnel responsible for data collection through the NCD web-based data management system, and also consistently performs random site visits to validate the submitted data. Between 2004 and 2011, 238,840 cases were transferred from the JBCS to the NCD for creation of the National Clinical Database—Breast Cancer Registry (NCD-BCR). For our present study, we used NCD-BCR data for 53,670 patients who had been newly diagnosed and registered as having breast cancer at 388 institutions between 2004 and 2006 and who were requested to attend for initial follow up at around 8 years after initial diagnosis. An estimate of newly diagnosed female breast cancer cases between 2004 and 2006 is 155,027 [20]. Newly diagnosed breast cancer cases captured in this registry are 34.6%. Finally, 25,898 patients from 170 institutions were followed up.

Information on patients covering age, sex, height and weight, place of residence, detection method, family history of breast cancer, menopausal status, tumor characteristics, TNM classification, and treatment (chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, radiation therapy) was obtained from the NCD-BCR. The TNM classification and histological classification were registered according to the UICC staging [21] and WHO classification systems, [22] respectively. Patients who were male (n = 231) or of unknown sex.
(n = 1), or who were at stage 0 (n = 5546) or IV (n = 1355) or unknown stage (n = 1349) were excluded, leaving a total of 45,188 patients. Information on ER/PR/HER2 was also obtained from the NCD-BCR. ER/PR positivity was diagnosed if at least 1% of nuclei in the tumor were immunohistochemically positive for ER or PR. HER2 overexpression was defined as an immunohistochemical score of 3+ and/or a positive FISH result. Cases were categorized into four subtypes on the basis of their status: luminal A (ER+/PR+/HER2−); luminal B (ER+/PR−/HER2− or ER+/HER2 +); HER2− overexpressing (ER−/PR−/HER2 +); and triple negative (ER−/PR−/HER2−) [23].

Ascertainment of exposures and follow up

Body mass index was calculated as weight divided by the square of height (kg/m²). Patients whose height or body weight was unknown (n = 2582) were excluded, as were those whose age (n = 206) and place of residence (n = 10) were unknown, leaving a total of 42,390 patients. We categorized BMI into a five-level variable with reference to the WHO criteria, [24] using a median value of 21.8 between 18.5 and 25.0: <18.5 (underweight), ≥18.5–<21.8 (reference), ≥21.8–<25.0, ≥25.0–<30.0 (overweight), and ≥30 (obese).

Figure 1 shows a flow diagram of this study. Information on the date of follow up and status (alive, death from breast cancer, death due to causes other than breast cancer, and death due to unknown causes) and the date of recurrence and status (with or without recurrence) were obtained from the NCD-BCR. During the study period, 20,090 (47.4%) patients were followed up.

Statistical analysis

The endpoint of our analysis was all-cause death, breast cancer–specific death, and recurrence. Recurrence included local (conserved breast, chest wall, axillary lymph nodes, and regional lymph nodes) and distant (lung, liver, bone, brain, distant lymph nodes, pleura, and others) metastasis. Survival time was calculated for each patient from the date of first treatment to the date of death, recurrence, or the end of follow up. We used date of first treatment instead of date of diagnosis because the NCD-BCR does not have date of diagnosis.

The Cox proportional hazards model was used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for all-cause death, breast cancer–specific death, and recurrence in relation to BMI [25]. Dose–response relationships were tested by treating each exposure category as a continuous variable and were employed in the Cox model for BMI ≥18.5 because we expected the overall relationship of BMI to each endpoint to be U shaped rather than linear (i.e., we expected patients with BMI <18.5 have higher mortality than the reference category). To evaluate a potential non-linear relationship between BMI and each endpoint, we applied cubic splines with three knots in settled percentiles (10%, 50%, and 90%) of the distribution to model the possible association [26].

We considered the following variables to be potential confounders: age, place of residence (eastern Japan, western Japan), detection method (self-detection, screening with symptoms, screening without symptoms, others), family history of breast cancer (no, yes), tumor stage [Stage I,
### Table 1. Patient characteristics.

| BMI          | Total (N = 20,090) | <18.5 (N = 1561) | ≥18.5–<21.8 (N = 6833) | ≥21.8–<25 (N = 6784) | ≥25–30 (N = 4015) | ≥30 (N = 897) |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------|
|              | N  | %  | N  | %  | N  | %  | N  | %  | N  | %  | N  | %  |
| All-cause death | 1418 | 7.1 | 138 | 8.8 | 414 | 6.1 | 476 | 7.0 | 298 | 7.4 | 92 | 10.3 |
| Breast cancer–specific death | 937 | 4.7 | 73 | 4.7 | 287 | 4.2 | 323 | 4.8 | 191 | 4.8 | 63 | 7.0 |
| Recurrence | 2433 | 12.1 | 193 | 12.4 | 796 | 11.7 | 839 | 11.7 | 478 | 11.9 | 127 | 14.2 |
| Age (year) | Mean (SD) | 57.3 | 12.8 | 54.8 | 14.7 | 54.0 | 12.9 | 58.6 | 12.1 | 60.9 | 11.9 |
| Median | 57.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 58.0 | 61.0 | 60.0 |
| Follow up | Median | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.6 |
| Person years | 119873.4 | 8875.8 | 40967.5 | 40681.3 | 24062.4 | 5286.3 |
| Living place | Eastern Japan | 9598 | 47.8 | 737 | 47.2 | 3247 | 47.5 | 3260 | 48.1 | 1917 | 47.8 | 437 | 48.7 |
| Western Japan | 10,492 | 52.2 | 824 | 52.8 | 3585 | 52.5 | 3524 | 52.4 | 2098 | 52.3 | 460 | 51.3 |
| Detection method | Self–detection | 14,736 | 73.4 | 1219 | 78.1 | 4988 | 73.0 | 4885 | 72.0 | 2948 | 73.4 | 696 | 77.6 |
| Screening with symptom | 1203 | 6.0 | 81 | 5.2 | 441 | 6.5 | 402 | 5.9 | 235 | 5.9 | 44 | 4.9 |
| Screening without symptom | 3131 | 15.6 | 175 | 11.2 | 1092 | 16.0 | 1130 | 16.7 | 625 | 15.6 | 109 | 12.2 |
| Others | 1020 | 5.1 | 86 | 5.5 | 175 | 2.6 | 167 | 2.5 | 104 | 2.6 | 48 | 5.4 |
| Family history of breast cancer | No | 17,078 | 85.0 | 1337 | 85.7 | 5827 | 85.3 | 5762 | 84.9 | 3392 | 84.5 | 760 | 84.7 |
| Yes | 1761 | 8.8 | 132 | 8.5 | 589 | 8.6 | 604 | 8.9 | 364 | 9.1 | 72 | 8.0 |
| Missing | 1251 | 6.2 | 92 | 5.9 | 417 | 6.1 | 418 | 6.2 | 259 | 6.5 | 48 | 5.4 |
| Tumor stage | Stage I | 8304 | 41.3 | 725 | 46.4 | 3075 | 45.0 | 2765 | 40.8 | 1473 | 36.7 | 266 | 29.7 |
| Stage II (IIA/IIB) | 9841 | 49.0 | 662 | 42.4 | 3186 | 46.6 | 3376 | 49.8 | 2102 | 52.4 | 515 | 57.4 |
| Stage III (IIIA/IIIB/IIIC) | 1945 | 9.7 | 174 | 11.2 | 572 | 8.4 | 643 | 9.5 | 440 | 11.0 | 116 | 12.9 |
| Treatments | Chemotherapy | No | 10,638 | 53.0 | 889 | 57.0 | 3567 | 52.2 | 3557 | 52.4 | 2154 | 53.7 | 471 | 52.5 |
| Yes | 9452 | 47.1 | 672 | 43.1 | 3266 | 47.8 | 3227 | 47.6 | 1861 | 46.4 | 426 | 47.5 |
| Endocrine therapy | No | 6524 | 32.5 | 539 | 34.5 | 2339 | 34.2 | 2194 | 32.3 | 1220 | 30.4 | 232 | 25.9 |
| Yes | 13,566 | 67.5 | 1022 | 65.5 | 4494 | 65.8 | 4590 | 67.7 | 2795 | 69.6 | 665 | 74.1 |
| Radiation therapy | No | 10,543 | 52.5 | 848 | 54.3 | 3408 | 49.9 | 3577 | 52.7 | 2236 | 55.7 | 474 | 52.8 |
| Yes | 9409 | 46.8 | 700 | 44.8 | 3381 | 49.5 | 3161 | 46.6 | 1751 | 43.6 | 416 | 46.4 |
| Unknown | 138 | 0.7 | 13 | 0.8 | 44 | 0.6 | 46 | 0.7 | 28 | 0.7 | 7 | 0.8 |
| Tumor subtypes | Luminal A | 9850 | 49.0 | 732 | 46.9 | 3252 | 47.6 | 3272 | 48.2 | 2084 | 51.9 | 510 | 56.9 |
| Luminal B | 3988 | 19.9 | 327 | 21.0 | 1378 | 20.2 | 1383 | 20.4 | 754 | 18.8 | 146 | 16.3 |
| HER2 | 1485 | 7.4 | 122 | 7.8 | 542 | 7.9 | 523 | 7.7 | 258 | 6.4 | 40 | 4.5 |
| Triple negative | 2993 | 14.9 | 227 | 14.5 | 1064 | 15.6 | 1028 | 15.2 | 556 | 13.9 | 118 | 13.2 |
| Others | 1774 | 8.8 | 153 | 9.8 | 597 | 8.7 | 578 | 8.5 | 363 | 9.0 | 83 | 9.3 |
| Menopausal status | Premenopausal | 6785 | 33.8 | 696 | 44.6 | 3065 | 44.9 | 1923 | 28.4 | 879 | 21.9 | 222 | 24.8 |
| Postmenopausal | 12576 | 62.6 | 814 | 52.2 | 3524 | 51.6 | 4611 | 68.0 | 2987 | 74.4 | 640 | 71.4 |
| Unknown (including surgery) | 729 | 3.6 | 51 | 3.3 | 244 | 3.6 | 250 | 3.7 | 149 | 3.7 | 35 | 3.9 |
| Registered year | 2004 | 6368 | 31.7 | 468 | 30.0 | 2157 | 31.6 | 2195 | 32.4 | 1302 | 32.4 | 246 | 27.4 |
| 2005 | 7199 | 35.8 | 561 | 35.9 | 2432 | 35.6 | 2428 | 35.8 | 1434 | 35.7 | 344 | 38.4 |
| 2006 | 6523 | 32.5 | 532 | 34.1 | 2244 | 32.8 | 2161 | 31.9 | 1279 | 31.9 | 307 | 34.2 |

BMI, body mass index.
Stage II (IIA/IIB), Stage III (IIA/IIIB/IIIC), chemotherapy (no, yes), endocrine therapy (no, yes), radiation therapy (no, yes, unknown), tumor subtype (luminal A, luminal B, HER2, triple negative, others), menopausal status (premenopausal, postmenopausal, unknown), and registration year (2004, 2005, 2006).

Separate analyses were conducted after dividing the patients according to menopausal status and tumor subtype, along with analysis of the patients overall. Menopause was defined as the cessation of menstrual periods for more than 1 year. Menopause resulting from surgery was defined as unknown menopausal status. To evaluate heterogeneity of the associations between BMI and each endpoint across tumor subtypes (Luminal B vs. Luminal A/HER2− overexpressing/triple negative), interaction terms (BMI * tumor subtypes) were tested.

Results were regarded as significant if the two-sided $P$ values were <0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Results**

The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. During a median follow-up period of 6.7 years, 1418 all-cause deaths, 937 breast cancer–specific deaths, and 2433 recurrences were observed. Obese patients were more likely to have an advanced stage of breast cancer, a luminal A tumor, or to have undergone endocrine therapy. Underweight patients were more likely to have self-detected tumors, and less likely to have undergone chemotherapy.

Table 2 shows the association of BMI with each endpoint. Compared to patients with BMI ≥18.5–<21.8, those with BMI ≥30.0 were shown to have a higher risk of all-cause death (HR: 1.46; 95% CI: 1.16–1.83; $P = 0.0012$) and breast cancer–specific death (HR: 1.47; 95% CI: 1.11–1.93; $P = 0.0065$). A dose–response relationship was observed between BMI and all-cause death ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.026$). Stratification by menopausal status revealed that postmenopausal obese patients had a higher risk of all-cause death (HR: 1.47; 95% CI: 1.13–1.92; $P = 0.0045$) and breast cancer–specific death (HR: 1.58; 95% CI: 1.13–2.20; $P = 0.0072$). For premenopausal women, our results showed that obesity was associated with non-significant higher risks of all-cause death (HR: 1.46; 95% CI: 0.91–2.35) and breast cancer–specific death (HR: 1.34; 95% CI: 0.79–2.27). Underweight patients had a higher risk of all-cause death among patients as a whole (HR: 1.41; 95% CI: 1.06–1.91; $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.067$).
CI: 1.16–1.71; \( P = 0.0005 \)) and among postmenopausal patients (HR: 1.45; 95% CI: 1.15–1.84; \( P = 0.0018 \)).

Table 3 shows the association of BMI with recurrence and breast cancer–specific death according to tumor sub-type. Compared to patients with BMI \( \geq 30 \), those with BMI \( \geq 30.0 \) were shown to have a higher risk of breast cancer–specific death (HR: 2.59; 95% CI: 1.51–4.43; \( P = 0.0006 \); \( P_{\text{heterogeneity}} \) of Luminal B vs. Triple negative = 0.016) among patients with luminal B tumor. A dose–response relationship was observed between BMI and breast cancer–specific death (\( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.017 \)).

Stratification by menopausal status among patients with luminal B tumor (Table 4) revealed that postmenopausal obese patients had a higher risk of breast cancer–specific death (HR: 3.24; 95% CI: 1.71–6.17; \( P = 0.0003 \)). A dose–response relationship was observed between BMI and breast cancer–specific death (\( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.022 \)).

Figure 2 shows HR and the corresponding 95% CI of multivariate-restricted cubic splines between BMI and each endpoint. A dose–response relationship was observed between BMI and breast cancer–specific death (Fig. 2A and B) overall. Among postmenopausal patients a dose–response relationship was observed between BMI and all-cause death, higher BMI and breast cancer–specific death (Fig. 2C and D). Among patients with luminal B tumor, a dose–response relationship was observed between higher BMI and breast cancer–specific death overall (Fig. 2E) and postmenopausal patients (Fig. 1F).

### Table 3. HR (95% CI) of each endpoint with BMI by tumor subtypes.

| BMI   | Cases | Events | Recurrence | HR (95% CI) | \( P_{\text{trend}} \) | Breast cancer–specific death | HR (95% CI) | \( P_{\text{trend}} \) |
|-------|-------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Luminal A |       |        |            |             |              |                             |             |                |
| \( \geq 30 \) | 510   | 50     | 1.23       | 0.90–1.68   | 0.19          | 1.64                        | 0.93–2.90   | 0.087          |
| \( >25 \leq <30 \) | 2084  | 173    | 1.07       | 0.87–1.31   | 0.53          | 1.27                        | 0.84–1.92   | 0.26           |
| \( >21.8 \leq <25 \) | 3272  | 258    | 1.11       | 0.92–1.33   | 0.27          | 1.05                        | 0.71–1.56   | 0.81           |
| \( >18.5 \leq <21.8 \) | 3252  | 221    | 1.00 (Reference) | 0.93–1.64 | 0.14 | 1.39 | 0.77–2.49 | 0.27 |
| \( <18.5 \) | 732   | 63     | 1.24       | 1.09–1.47   | 0.19          | 1.64                        | 0.93–2.90   | 0.087          |

### Luminal B

| BMI   | Cases | Events | Recurrence | HR (95% CI) | \( P_{\text{trend}} \) | Breast cancer–specific death | HR (95% CI) | \( P_{\text{trend}} \) |
|-------|-------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| \( \geq 30 \) | 146   | 26     | 1.16       | 0.77–1.75   | 0.49          | 1.53                        | 0.68–3.42   | 0.3            |
| \( >25 \leq <30 \) | 754   | 98     | 0.87       | 0.68–1.12   | 0.27          | 1.14                        | 0.75–1.74   | 0.54           |
| \( >21.8 \leq <25 \) | 1383  | 196    | 1.01       | 0.83–1.24   | 0.93          | 0.73                        | 0.73–1.54   | 0.74           |
| \( >18.5 \leq <21.8 \) | 1378  | 194    | 1.00 (Reference) | 0.70–1.37 | 0.88 | 1.32 | 0.75–2.35 | 0.34 |
| \( <18.5 \) | 327   | 41     | 0.97       | 0.61–1.47   | 0.83          | 1.22                        | 0.99        | 0.98           |

### HER2

| BMI | Cases | Events | Recurrence | HR (95% CI) | \( P_{\text{trend}} \) | Breast cancer–specific death | HR (95% CI) | \( P_{\text{trend}} \) |
|-----|-------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| \( \geq 30 \) | 40    | 12     | 1.24       | 0.68–2.26   | 0.49          | 1.53                        | 0.68–3.42   | 0.3            |
| \( >25 \leq <30 \) | 258   | 46     | 0.74       | 0.52–1.05   | 0.094         | 1.03                        | 0.77–1.39   | 0.84           |
| \( >21.8 \leq <25 \) | 523   | 112    | 0.93       | 0.72–1.22   | 0.61          | 0.73                        | 0.48–1.10   | 0.13           |
| \( >18.5 \leq <21.8 \) | 542   | 114    | 1.00 (Reference) | 0.61–1.47 | 0.8  | 1.09 | 0.52–1.89 | 0.98 |
| \( <18.5 \) | 122   | 25     | 0.95       | 0.61–1.47   | 0.83          | 1.22                        | 0.99        | 0.98           |

### Triple negative

| BMI   | Cases | Events | Recurrence | HR (95% CI) | \( P_{\text{trend}} \) | Breast cancer–specific death | HR (95% CI) | \( P_{\text{trend}} \) |
|-------|-------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| \( \geq 30 \) | 118   | 29     | 1.09       | 0.74–1.62   | 0.73          | 1.11                        | 0.67–1.84   | 0.68           |
| \( >25 \leq <30 \) | 556   | 114    | 0.95       | 0.75–1.20   | 0.66          | 1.03                        | 0.77–1.39   | 0.84           |
| \( >21.8 \leq <25 \) | 1028  | 230    | 1.08       | 0.89–1.31   | 0.44          | 1.15                        | 0.90–1.48   | 0.26           |
| \( >18.5 \leq <21.8 \) | 1064  | 200    | 1.00 (Reference) | 0.61–1.47 | 0.39 | 1.12 | 0.62–1.51 | 0.89 |
| \( <18.5 \) | 227   | 50     | 1.15       | 0.84–1.57   | 0.39          | 1.12                        | 0.62–1.51   | 0.89           |

Adjusting for age, living place, detection method, family history of breast cancer, tumor stage, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, menopausal status, and registered year. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.
Discussion

Our present study demonstrated that being obese or underweight was associated with an increased risk of death overall, especially for postmenopausal patients. In terms of tumor subtype and menopausal status, obesity was associated with an increased risk of death in patients with luminal B tumor and in patients who were postmenopausal. The association between BMI and survival among breast cancer patients has not been adequately addressed in Asian countries [1, 2]. Our study is therefore of importance in that a nationwide database in Japan has been analyzed for the first time in a prospective setting, involving a large number of breast cancer patients stratified according to tumor subtype and menopausal status.

A meta-analysis including 213,075 breast cancer patients with 41,477 deaths (23,182 from breast cancer) reported that the relative risk (RR) of total mortality for obese patients was 1.41 (95% CI: 1.29–1.53) and that of breast cancer mortality was 1.35 (95% CI: 1.24–1.47) in comparison with patients of normal weight [2]. That study also revealed that the RR of total mortality for obese patients was 1.75 (95% CI: 1.26–2.41) among those who were premenopausal and 1.34 (95% CI: 1.18–1.53) for those who were postmenopausal, whereas the RR of breast cancer mortality was 1.50 (95% CI: 1.13–2.00) for premenopausal women and 1.34 (95% CI: 1.21–1.48) for postmenopausal women in comparison with women of normal weight [2]. Our present results are consistent with these, showing that obesity was associated with a higher risk of all-cause death and breast cancer-specific death for the patients overall and for postmenopausal patients. For premenopausal women, our present results demonstrated that obesity was associated with a non-significant higher risk of all-cause death and breast cancer-specific death. One possible reason for this relationship may have been the slightly higher proportion of obese patients with advanced-stage breast cancer. Therefore, we attempted to analyze the data for Stage I breast cancer alone. However, this yielded almost the same results (Table 5). We hypothesized a reason for a slightly higher proportion of obese patients with advanced-stage cancer. This might be due to the development of more aggressive tumors rather than screening behavior. The proportion of TNBC, an aggressive type of tumor, in overweight or obese women was lower than others.

Our present study demonstrated that underweight patients had an increased risk of all-cause death, among both the patients overall and those who were postmenopausal. A previous meta-analysis of 10 studies had shown that being underweight had no association with breast cancer survival [2]. Also a large study of Korean breast cancer patients had shown that underweight patients were at a significantly higher risk of all-cause death (HR: 1.48; 95% CI: 1.15–1.90) [4]. Underweight patients might have included undernourished patients, especially among postmenopausal women, as well as properly nourished, naturally lean patients. In patients showing chronic undernutrition, cytokine reactions and subsequent activation of the immune system are compromised [27]. This may have partly contributed to the increased risk of all-cause death among underweight, postmenopausal women. Another reason for the association between being underweight and the higher risk of all-cause death might have been the slightly higher proportion of patients with advanced-stage breast cancer. Therefore, we attempted to analyze the data by omitting

| BMI   | Cases | Events | HR     | 95% CI  | P   | Events | HR     | 95% CI  | P   |
|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|-----|--------|--------|---------|-----|
| Premenopausal                       |       |        |        |         |     |
| ≥30  | 30    | 8      | 1.41   | 0.67–3.01 | 0.37 | 4      | 1.95   | 0.63–6.10 | 0.25 |
| ≥25–<30 | 114   | 17     | 0.97   | 0.57–1.66 | 0.91 | 7      | 1.07   | 0.45–2.55 | 0.87 |
| ≥21.8–<25 | 283   | 42     | 0.93   | 0.63–1.37 | 0.73 | 12     | 0.90   | 0.44–1.84 | 0.77 |
| ≥18.5–<21.8 | 481   | 73   | Reference |        |     | 23     | Reference |        |       |
| <18.5 | 100   | 12     | 0.83   | 0.45–1.54 | 0.56 | 3      | 0.74   | 0.22–2.49 | 0.62 |
|       |       |        |        |         |     |        |        |         |     |
| P_trend |       |        | 0.67   |         |     |        | 0.47   |         |     |
| Postmenopausal                      |       |        |        |         |     |
| ≥30  | 109   | 18     | 1.09   | 0.66–1.80 | 0.72 | 14     | 3.24   | 1.71–6.17 | 0.0003 |
| ≥25–<30 | 613   | 76     | 0.81   | 0.61–1.09 | 0.16 | 29     | 1.19   | 0.71–1.99 | 0.5  |
| ≥21.8–<25 | 1054  | 147   | 1.02   | 0.80–1.30 | 0.89 | 46     | 1.27   | 0.80–2.02 | 0.31 |
| ≥18.5–<21.8 | 847   | 118   | Reference |        |     | 31     | Reference |        |       |
| <18.5 | 215   | 27     | 0.95   | 0.63–1.45 | 0.81 | 10     | 1.39   | 0.68–2.86 | 0.37 |
|       |       |        |        |         |     |        |        |         |     |
| P_trend |       |        | 0.35   |         |     |        | 0.022  |         |     |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index. Adjusted by age, living place, detection method, family history of breast cancer, tumor stage, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, and registered year.
cases of advanced breast cancer. However, this yielded almost the same results (Table 5).

A few studies have reported the association between BMI and survival of breast cancer patients with combined ER/PR/HER2 status [14, 15]. One study found that a higher BMI was associated with shorter disease-free survival in postmenopausal patients, but no independent effect of any specific subtype was observed [14]. The other study showed that patients with ER-/HER2 + tumors showed significantly worse overall survival and that a higher proportion of obese patients had distant metastases [15]. In our present study, an association of obesity with poorer
outcome was seen in patients with luminal B tumors overall and among postmenopausal patients. Patients with luminal B tumors had a poorer prognosis than those with luminal A tumors [6] and were usually recommended to undergo endocrine therapy and chemotherapy [23]. Obese and older breast cancer patients tend to show poorer survival because of suboptimal chemotherapy resulting from comorbidities and chemotherapy dose reduction due to toxicity.

Table 5. HR (95% CI) of each endpoint with BMI by Stage I or I + II overall and by menopausal status.

| Stage I | Cases | Events | HR   | 95% CI    | P     | Cases | Events | HR   | 95% CI    | P     | Cases | Events | HR   | 95% CI    | P     |
|---------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-------|
| All     | 266   | 17     | 2.85 | 1.66–4.92 | 0.0002 | 17 | 1.69 | 1.02–2.81 | 0.041 | 7 | 3.88 | 1.46–7.83 | 0.0045 |
| ≥30     | 1473  | 52     | 1.30 | 0.89–1.89 | 0.18 | 76 | 1.14 | 0.86–1.51 | 0.38 | 20 | 1.42 | 0.79–2.55 | 0.24 |
| ≥21.8–25 | 2765 | 79     | 1.17 | 0.83–1.64 | 0.37 | 140 | 1.10 | 0.87–1.39 | 0.43 | 33 | 1.32 | 0.79–2.20 | 0.29 |
| ≥18.5–21.8 | 3075 | 62    | 1.00 (Reference) | 155 | 1.00 (Reference) | 28 | 1.00 (Reference) | 8 | 1.36 | 0.62–3.00 | 0.44 |
| <18.5   | 725   | 27     | 1.90 | 1.21–2.99 | 0.0056 | 46 | 1.31 | 0.94–1.82 | 0.11 | 8 | 1.36 | 0.62–3.00 | 0.44 |
|         |       |        |      |           |       | 0.0026 | 0.081 |       |       | 0.017 |       |       |       |       |       |
|         |       |        |      |           |       | 0.0026 | 0.081 |       |       | 0.017 |       |       |       |       |       |
|         |       |        |      |           |       | 0.0026 | 0.081 |       |       | 0.017 |       |       |       |       |       |

| Stage I + II | Cases | Events | HR   | 95% CI    | P     | Cases | Events | HR   | 95% CI    | P     | Cases | Events | HR   | 95% CI    | P     |
|--------------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|------|-----------|-------|
| All          | 781   | 63     | 1.69 | 1.29–2.23 | 0.0002 | 85 | 1.27 | 1.01–1.60 | 0.039 | 39 | 1.83 | 1.29–2.60 | 0.0007 |
| ≥30          | 3575  | 194    | 1.04 | 0.87–1.25 | 0.67 | 332 | 1.03 | 0.90–1.18 | 0.69 | 110 | 1.07 | 0.84–1.37 | 0.56 |
| ≥21.8–25     | 6141  | 340    | 1.10 | 0.94–1.29 | 0.23 | 622 | 1.10 | 0.98–1.24 | 0.092 | 213 | 1.20 | 0.98–1.46 | 0.079 |
| ≥18.5–21.8   | 1261  | 83     | 1.00 (Reference) | 596 | 1.00 (Reference) | 181 | 1.00 (Reference) | 39 | 1.08 | 0.76–1.52 | 0.68 |
| <18.5        | 1387  | 87     | 1.41 | 1.11–1.80 | 0.0049 | 138 | 1.10 | 0.91–1.32 | 0.34 | 39 | 1.08 | 0.76–1.52 | 0.68 |
|         |       |        |      |           |       | 0.014 | 0.11 |       |       | 0.014 |       |       |       |       |       |
|         |       |        |      |           |       | 0.014 | 0.11 |       |       | 0.014 |       |       |       |       |       |
|         |       |        |      |           |       | 0.014 | 0.11 |       |       | 0.014 |       |       |       |       |       |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

1Adjusted by age, living place, detection method, family history of breast cancer, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, tumor subtypes, menopausal status, and registered year.

2Adjusted by age, living place, detection method, family history of breast cancer, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, tumor subtypes, and registered year.
to concerns about toxicity [28]. Differences in trastuzumab treatment might modify the association of obesity with breast cancer survival in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. Among the patients receiving trastuzumab and chemotherapy, [29] those who are obese might show poorer survival than normal weight patients because of more severe trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity [30]. Obesity is also associated with poorer survival after endocrine therapy in breast cancer patients [31]. In postmenopausal obese patients, higher synthesis of peripheral estrogen in adipose tissue [32–34] is the most likely mechanism responsible for the higher risk of breast cancer–specific death [35]. A recent systematic review reported that obesity was associated with decreased efficacy of endocrine therapy in postmenopausal patients with hormone receptor-positive tumors [36]. Suboptimal endocrine therapy and chemotherapy might explain the poorer outcome of postmenopausal obese patients with luminal B tumors. Further studies will be needed to clarify these associations.

There was increasing evidence that a specific BMI reflects a higher percentage of body fat among Asian populations at a given BMI than do white or European [37]. A previous study in Japan suggested that BMI $\geq$25 adequately specifies complication, [38] where the prevalence and degree of obesity remain mild [39]. A WHO Expert Consultation panel in 2002 proposed BMI cut-off points for Asians for policy and intervention strategies; <18.5 (underweight), $\geq$18.5–23 (reference), $\geq$23–$\geq$27.5 (increased risk), and $\geq$27.5 (high risk). Table 6 shows the association of BMI with each endpoint by this cut off overall and by menopausal status. Those with BMI $\geq$27.5 were not shown to have a higher risk of all-cause death, but shown to have a higher risk of breast cancer–specific death (HR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.01–1.52; $P = 0.0038$). A dose–response relationship was observed between BMI and breast cancer–specific death ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.048$). Postmenopausal patients with BMI $\geq$27.5 did not have a higher risk of all-cause death, but have a higher risk of breast cancer–specific death (HR: 1.30; 95% CI: 1.02–1.65; $P = 0.035$). A dose–response relationship was observed between BMI and breast cancer–specific death ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.04$). There might be some kind of metabolic reserve which is not protective at high levels of obesity for all-cause death. BMI cut-off points for Asians might not be useful for policy, and intervention strategies in this cohort as BMI of $\geq$18.5–$\geq$25 were already recommended to maintain a healthy condition [40]. The WHO Expert Consultation also recommended to use all categories for reporting purposes with a view to facilitating international comparisons whenever possible [37].

Several limitations of our study should be considered. First, due to the timing of weight measurement, exposure might have been misclassified to some degree. This might be important, as there are some concerns regarding the

Table 6. HR (95% CI) of each endpoint with BMI cut offs for Asian populations overall and by menopausal status.

| BMI     | Cases | Events | HR   | 95% CI  | $P$  | Events | HR | 95% CI | $P$  | Events | HR | 95% CI | $P$  |
|---------|-------|--------|------|---------|------|--------|-----|--------|------|--------|-----|--------|------|
| All     |       |        |      |         |      |        |     |        |      |        |     |        |      |
| $\geq$27.5 | 2130  | 179    | 1.16 | 0.98–1.37 | 0.09 | 282    | 1.05 | 0.92–1.20 | 0.46 | 125    | 1.24 | 1.01–1.52 | 0.038 |
| $\geq$23–$\geq$27.5 | 6685  | 485    | 1.04 | 0.92–1.17 | 0.55 | 797    | 0.97 | 0.88–1.06 | 0.46 | 320    | 1.05 | 0.91–1.22 | 0.49  |
| $\geq$18.5–$\geq$23 | 9714  | 616    | 1.00 | (Reference)$^1$ | 1.161 | 1.00 | (Reference)$^1$ | 1.161 | 419    | 1.00 | (Reference)$^1$ | 1.161 |
| $<$18.5 | 1561  | 138    | 1.40 | 1.16–1.69 | 0.0004 | 193    | 1.08 | 0.93–1.26 | 0.33 | 73     | 1.17 | 0.91–1.50 | 0.23  |
| $P_{\text{trend}}$ |       |        |      |         |      |        |     |         |      |        |     |         |      |
| Premenopausal |       |        |      |         |      |        |     |         |      |        |     |         |      |
| $\geq$27.5 | 474   | 36     | 1.20 | 0.84–1.73 | 0.32 | 68     | 1.06 | 0.82–1.37 | 0.67 | 31     | 1.19 | 0.80–1.76 | 0.39  |
| $\geq$23–$\geq$27.5 | 1669  | 101    | 1.03 | 0.81–1.32 | 0.81 | 209    | 0.94 | 0.80–1.11 | 0.48 | 84     | 0.99 | 0.76–1.29 | 0.93  |
| $\geq$18.5–$\geq$23 | 3946  | 183    | 1.00 | (Reference)$^2$ | 468 | 1.00 | (Reference)$^2$ | 468 | 158    | 1.00 | (Reference)$^2$ | 158 |
| $<$18.5 | 696   | 32     | 1.11 | 0.76–1.62 | 0.6 | 72     | 0.87 | 0.68–1.11 | 0.26 | 23     | 0.94 | 0.60–1.46 | 0.78  |
| $P_{\text{trend}}$ |       |        |      |         |      |        |     |         |      |        |     |         |      |
| Postmenopausal |       |        |      |         |      |        |     |         |      |        |     |         |      |
| $\geq$27.5 | 1575  | 140    | 1.16 | 0.96–1.41 | 0.13 | 204    | 1.06 | 0.91–1.25 | 0.45 | 92     | 1.30 | 1.02–1.65 | 0.035 |
| $\geq$23–$\geq$27.5 | 4753  | 364    | 1.03 | 0.89–1.18 | 0.74 | 545    | 0.97 | 0.86–1.08 | 0.54 | 224    | 1.09 | 0.91–1.31 | 0.36  |
| $\geq$18.5–$\geq$23 | 5434  | 412    | 1.00 | (Reference)$^2$ | 658 | 1.00 | (Reference)$^2$ | 658 | 246    | 1.00 | (Reference)$^2$ | 246 |
| $<$18.5 | 814   | 97     | 1.45 | 1.16–1.81 | 0.0011 | 113 | 1.16 | 0.95–1.42 | 0.14 | 45     | 1.22 | 0.89–1.67 | 0.23  |
| $P_{\text{trend}}$ |       |        |      |         |      |        |     |         |      |        |     |         |      |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

1Adjusted by age, living place, detection method, family history of breast cancer, tumor stage, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, tumor subtypes, menopausal status, and registered year.

2Adjusted by age, living place, detection method, family history of breast cancer, tumor stage, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, tumor subtypes, and registered year.
adverse prognostic implications of a change in BMI after diagnosis of breast cancer [41]. A recent review describing the association between weight change and breast cancer prognosis concluded that the existing data are conflicting [42]. A meta-analysis found no significant difference between the timing of BMI measurement and breast cancer outcome [2]. Second, there was a relatively low rate of follow-up during the study period. Follow-up was requested from the NCD and performed by each of the institutions participating in the NCD-BCR, but was not mandatory. We compared the background of patients who were followed up and those who were not, but found no apparent difference between the two groups (Table S1). The results of our study were almost consistent with those of the previous large-scale meta-analysis of qualified studies conducted by the WCRF/AICR [2]. Third, our study included no information on comorbidity. Both obese and underweight patients are thought to have a higher risk of comorbid conditions. Fourth, Japan is ethnically homogeneous, and the patients included in our study were all female patients living in Japan. Therefore, the external validity of our results will need to be considered cautiously. Fifth, our study included no information on body composition. The relation between BMI and lean or fat mass may differ between people, but BMI cannot distinguish lean mass from body fat distribution. There have been several studies investigating between body fatness and survival in breast cancer patients. Two studies found negative association between body fat and survival, [43, 44] but others did not [45, 46]. Sixth, we do not have key confounders: smoking, alcohol, and physical activity. This might cause bias. Smoking rate in 2015 was 10.6% and alcohol consumption rate in 2005 was 8.0% [47] among Japanese women, which were lower than other countries. Recent large studies from Korea also do not have items of smoking, alcohol, and physical activity [4, 48].

Among breast cancer patients living in Japan, being obese or underweight is associated with a higher risk of all-cause death, especially in postmenopausal patients. There is some suggestion that postmenopausal obese patients with luminal B tumors have a poorer prognosis. A few studies have addressed the association between underweight and outcome of breast cancer patients in terms of ER/PR/HER2 status [1, 2, 14, 15]. As higher and lower BMI are directly related to mortality, [49] it is important for breast cancer patients to maintain an appropriate body weight for height.

Acknowledgments
This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15H04796. We would like to thank David B. Douglas for English language editing.

Conflict of Interest
The authors have no conflict of interest.

References
1. Protani, M., M. Coory, and J. H. Martin. 2010. Effect of obesity on survival of women with breast cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 123:627–635.
2. Chan, D. S., A. R. Vieira, D. Aune, E. V. Bandera, D. C. Greenwood, A. McTiernan, et al. 2014. Body mass index and survival in women with breast cancer—systematic literature review and meta-analysis of 82 follow-up studies. Ann. Oncol. 25:1901–1914.
3. Expert Consultation, W. H. O. 2004. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet 363:157–163.
4. Moon, H. G., W. Han, and D. Y. Noh. 2009. Underweight and breast cancer recurrence and death: a report from the Korean Breast Cancer Society. J. Clin. Oncol. 27:5899–5905.
5. Kawai, M., Y. Minami, Y. Nishino, K. Fukamachi, N. Ohuchi, and Y. Kakugawa. 2012. Body mass index and survival after breast cancer diagnosis in Japanese women. BMC Cancer 12:149.
6. Sorlie, T., R. Tibshirani, J. Parker, T. Hastie, J. S. Marron, A. Nobel, et al. 2003. Repeated observation of breast tumor subtypes in independent gene expression data sets. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 100:8418–8423.
7. Sotiriou, C., S. Y. Neo, L. M. McShane, E. L. Korn, P. M. Long, A. Jazaeri, et al. 2003. Breast cancer classification and prognosis based on gene expression profiles from a population-based study. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 100:10393–10398.
8. Perou, C. M., T. Sorlie, M. B. Eisen, M. van de Rijn, S. S. Jeffrey, C. A. Rees, et al. 2000. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 406:747–752.
9. Lacroix, M., R. A. Toillon, and G. Leclercq. 2004. Stable ‘portrait’ of breast tumors during progression: data from biology, pathology and genetics. Endocr. Relat. Cancer 11:497–522.
10. Tait, S., J. M. Pacheco, F. Gao, C. Bumb, M. J. Ellis, and C. X. Ma. 2014. Body mass index, diabetes, and triple-negative breast cancer prognosis. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 146:189–197.
11. Dawood, S., X. Lei, J. K. Litton, T. A. Buchholz, G. N. Hortobagyi, and A. M. Gonzalez-Angulo. 2012. Impact of body mass index on survival outcome among women with early stage triple-negative breast cancer. Clin. Breast Cancer 12:364–372.
12. Ademuyiwa, F. O., A. Groman, T. O’Connor, C. Ambrosone, N. Watroba, and S. B. Edge. 2011. Impact
of body mass index on clinical outcomes in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer 117:4132–4140.
13. Niraula, S., A. Ocana, M. Ennis, and P. J. Goodwin. 2012. Body size and breast cancer prognosis in relation to hormone receptor and menopausal status: a meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 134:769–781.
14. Biglia, N., E. Peano, P. Sgandurra, G. Moggio, S. Pecchio, F. Maggiorotto, et al. 2013. Body mass index (BMI) and breast cancer: impact on tumor histopathologic features, cancer subtypes and recurrence rate in pre and postmenopausal women. Gynecol. Endocrinol. 29:263–267.
15. Mazzarella, L., D. Disalvatore, V. Bagnardi, N. Rotmensz, D. Galbiati, S. Caputo, et al. 2013. Obesity increases the incidence of distant metastases in oestrogen receptor-negative human epithelial growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer patients. Eur. J. Cancer 49:3588–3597.
16. Saji, S., M. Hiraoka, Y. Tokuda, N. Fukui, and T. Ikeda. 2012. Trends in local therapy application for early breast cancer patients in the Japanese Breast Cancer Society Breast Cancer Registry during 2004–2009. Breast Cancer 19:1–3.
17. Miyata, H., M. Gotoh, H. Hashimoto, N. Motomura, A. Murakami, A. Tomotaki, et al. 2014. Challenges and prospects of a clinical database linked to the board certification system. Surg. Today 44:1991–1999.
18. Niikura, N., A. Tomotaki, H. Miyata, T. Iwamoto, M. Kawai, K. Anan, et al. 2015. Changes in Tumor Expression of HER2 and Hormone Receptors Status after Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in 21,755 Patients from the Japanese Breast Cancer Registry. Ann. Oncol. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdv611.
19. Anazawa, T., H. Miyata, and M. Gotoh. 2014. Cancer registries in Japan: National Clinical Database and site-specific cancer registries. Int. J. Clin. Oncol. 20:5–10.
20. Matsuda, A., T. Matsuda, A. Shibata, Katanoda K, Sobue T, and H Nishimoto: Japan Cancer Surveillance Research Group. 2014. Cancer incidence and incidence rates in Japan in 2008: a study of 25 population-based cancer registries for the Monitoring of Cancer Incidence in Japan (MCII) project. Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol. 44:388–396.
21. Greene, F. L., D. L. Page, L. N. Fleming, et al. 2002. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 6th ed. Springer, New York.
22. World Health Organization. 2003. Tumours of the Breast and Female Genital Organs. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
23. Goldhirsch, A., E. P. Winer, A. S. Coates, R. D. Gelber, M. Piccart–Gebhart, B. Thurlimann, et al. 2013. Personalizing the treatment of women with early breast cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2013. Ann. Oncol. 24:2206–2223.
24. WHO Expert Committee. 1995. Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. World Health Organ. Tech. Rep. Ser. 854:1–452.
25. Cox, D. R. 1972. Regression models and life–tables (with discussion). J. Roy. Statist. Soc. 34:187–220.
26. Harrell, F. E. Jr, K. L. Lee, and B. G. Pollock. 1988. Regression models in clinical studies: determining relationships between predictors and response. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 80:1198–1202.
27. Cunningham-Rundles, S., D. F. McNeely, and A. Moon. 2005. Mechanisms of nutrient modulation of the immune response. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 115:1119–1128; quiz 29.
28. Griggs, J. J., M. E. Sor hern, and G. H. Lyman. 2005. Undertreatment of obese women receiving breast cancer chemotherapy. Arch. Intern. Med. 165:1267–1273.
29. Crozier, J. A., A. Moreno–Aspitia, K. V. Ballman, A. C. Dueck, B. A. Pockaj, and E. A. Perez. 2013. Effect of body mass index on tumor characteristics and disease–free survival in patients from the HER2–positive adjuvant trastuzumab trial N9831. Cancer 119:2447–2454.
30. Adamo, V., G. R. Ricciardi, B. Adamo, G. Ferraro, T. Franchina, R. Rossello, et al. 2014. The risk of toxicities from trastuzumab, alone or in combination, in an elderly breast cancer population. Oncology 86:16–21.
31. Sestak, I., W. Distler, J. F. Forbes, M. Dowsett, A. Howell, and J. Cuzick. 2010. Effect of body mass index on recurrences in tamoxifen and anastrozole treated women: an exploratory analysis from the ATAC trial. J. Clin. Oncol. 28:3411–3415.
32. Siiteri, P. K. 1987. Adipose tissue as a source of hormones. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 45:277–282.
33. Potischman, N., C. A. Swanson, P. Siiteri, and R. N. Hoover. 1996. Reversal of relation between body mass and endogenous estrogen concentrations with menopausal status. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 88:756–758.
34. Bulun, S. E., Z. Lin, G. Imir, S. Amin, M. Demura, B. Yilmaz, et al. 2005. Regulation of aromatase expression in estrogen-responsive breast and uterine disease: from bench to treatment. Pharmacol. Rev. 57:359–383.
35. Grodin, J. M., P. K. Siiteri, and P. C. MacDonald. 1973. Source of estrogen production in postmenopausal women. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 36:207–214.
36. Ioannides, S. J., P. L. Barlow, J. M. Elwood, and D. Porter. 2014. Effect of obesity on aromatase inhibitor efficacy in postmenopausal, hormone receptor–positive breast cancer: a systematic review. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 147:237–248.
37. Consultation W. H. O. E. 2004. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet 363:157–163.
38. Examination Committee of Criteria for ‘Obesity Disease’ in J, Japan Society for the Study of O. 2002. New criteria for ‘obesity disease’ in Japan. Circ. J. 66:987–992.
39. Yoshiike, N., F. Seino, S. Tajima, Y. Arai, M. Kawano, T. Furuhata, et al. 2002. Twenty-year changes in the prevalence of overweight in Japanese adults: the National Nutrition Survey 1976-95. Obes. Rev. 3:183–190.
40. Bianchini, F., R. Kaaks, and H. Vainio. 2002. Weight control and physical activity in cancer prevention. Obes. Rev. 3:5–8.
41. Goodman, M. T., J. B. Cologne, H. Moriwaki, M. Vaeth, and K. Mabuchi. 1997. Risk factors for primary breast cancer in Japan: 8-year follow-up of atomic bomb survivors. Prev. Med. 26:144–153.
42. Vance, V., M. Mourtzakis, L. McCargar, and R. Hanning. 2011. Weight gain in breast cancer survivors: prevalence, pattern and health consequences. Obes. Rev. 12:282–294.
43. Borugian, M. J., S. B. Sheps, C. Kim-Sing, I. A. Olivotto, C. Van Patten, B. P. Dunn, et al. 2003. Waist-to-hip ratio and breast cancer mortality. Am. J. Epidemiol. 158:963–968.
44. Dal Maso, L., A. Zucchetta, R. Talamini, D. Serraino, C. F. Stocco, M. Vercelli, et al.: Prospective Analysis of Case–control studies on Environmental f, health study
g. 2008. Effect of obesity and other lifestyle factors on mortality in women with breast cancer. Int. J. Cancer 123:2188–2194.
45. Tao, M. H., X. O. Shu, Z. X. Ruan, Y. T. Gao, and W. Zheng. 2006. Association of overweight with breast cancer survival. Am. J. Epidemiol. 163:101–107.
46. Chen, X., W. Lu, W. Zheng, K. Gu, Z. Chen, Y. Zheng, et al. 2010. Obesity and weight change in relation to breast cancer survival. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 122:823–833.
47. W. H. O. Global Health Observatory data repository. Alcohol data by country. http://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.1800?lang=en
48. Jeon, Y. W., S. H. Kang, M. H. Park, W. Lim, S. H. Cho, and Y. J. Suh. 2015. Relationship between body mass index and the expression of hormone receptors or human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 with respect to breast cancer survival. BMC Cancer 15:865.
49. Zheng, W., D. F. McLerran, B. Rolland, X. Zhang, M. Inoue, K. Matsuo, et al. 2011. Association between body-mass index and risk of death in more than 1 million Asians. N. Engl. J. Med. 364:719–729.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Patient characteristics.