ABSTRACT

With the adaptation of the \textsc{FLASH} code, we simulate magnetohydrodynamic-gravity waves and vortices as well as their response in the magnetized three-dimensional (3D) solar atmosphere at different heights to understand the localized energy transport processes. In the solar atmosphere, strongly structured by gravitational and magnetic forces, we launch a localized velocity pulse (in horizontal and vertical components) within a bottom layer of 3D solar atmosphere modelled by initial \textsc{VAL-IIIC} conditions, which triggers waves and vortices. The rotation direction of vortices depends on the orientation of an initial perturbation. The vertical driver generates magnetoacoustic-gravity waves which result in oscillations of the transition region, and it leads to the eddies with their symmetry axis oriented vertically. The horizontal pulse excites all magnetohydrodynamic-gravity waves and horizontally oriented eddies. These waves propagate upwards, penetrate the transition region and enter the solar corona. In the high-beta plasma regions, the magnetic field lines move with the plasma and the temporal evolution show that they swirl with eddies. We estimate the energy fluxes carried out by the waves in the magnetized solar atmosphere and conclude that such wave dynamics and vortices may be significant in transporting the energy to sufficiently balance the energy losses in the localized corona. Moreover, the structure of the transition region highly affects such energy transports and causes the channelling of the propagating waves into the inner corona.
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1 INTRODUCTION

One of the fundamental goals of solar physics research is to explore and understand dynamical phenomena (waves and transients) in the magnetized plasma of the Sun’s atmosphere. Space-borne observations and advanced multidimensional numerical models seem to have captured the essentials of coupled dynamics of solar plasma and its embedded magnetic field that collectively generates the variety of waves in the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) threshold (e.g. Alfvén, slow and fast magnetoacoustic) as well as transients (vortices, jets, spicules, etc.) at diverse spatio–temporal scales. The complete understanding of these processes requires increasing the observational and theoretical efforts, and in particular the intellectual inquiry into fundamental processes of strongly magnetized and gravitationally stratified plasmas. Such MHD waves, instabilities and dynamical processes in solar and other astrophysical plasma received special attention in the last decades since such effects may play an important role in triggering large-scale eruptions, heating and formation of supersonic winds. The observed MHD waves and oscillations in solar plasmas drove an unprecedented advancement in the context of remote diagnostics of densities, magnetic field strength and structure, temperatures, etc., within the framework of MHD seismology (e.g. for a review, see Nakariakov & Verwichte 2005, and references therein).

High-resolution observations of dynamical phenomena occurring on various spatial and temporal scales of the last decade enabled the development of complex and realistic numerical/analytical models of waves and oscillations in solar atmospheric plasmas, refining MHD seismological methods employed to diagnose remotely the thermal state of the plasma, magnitude and sub-resolution structure of the magnetic field, etc. (e.g. O’Shea et al. 2007; Selwa, Ofman & Murawski 2007; Gruszczek, Murawski & Ofman 2008; Srivastava et al. 2008; Andries et al. 2009; Pascoe et al. 2009; Srivastava & Dwivedi 2010a; Kumar, Srivastava & Dwivedi 2011, and references cited therein).

Recently, at comparatively smaller spatio–temporal scales, the different kind of photospheric/chromospheric vortex motions are
observed both from space- and ground-based instruments, by which the associated magnetic flux-tubes also transfer the responses to upper transition region and corona in form of waves (e.g. torsional modes) and plasma motions at various spatio–temporal scales (e.g. Jess et al. 2009; Srivastava & Dwivedi 2010b, and references cited therein). Such photospheric vortex motions are also considered as an exciter of the MHD waves (e.g. Murawski et al. 2013b), while they are inherently originated at the photosphere in the granular/intergranular regions (Shelyag et al. 2011) most likely due to the convectively driven flows (Bonet et al. 2008). However, the detailed information of the generation of photospheric vortices and their connections with the upwardly launched MHD waves as well as plasma jets are still not fully known, and it became the topic of great interest of the researchers recently (e.g. Su et al. 2012, and references cited therein).

The modelling aspects of the vortex motions and their responses in the upper solar atmosphere in form of wave and plasma dynamics are very challenging, though the topic of great interest in recent days (e.g. Murawski et al. 2013b). Due to the variation of physical conditions throughout the propagation and the change in the dominant buoyancy, modelling the evolution of such processes through the entire solar atmosphere is associated often with the simplified assumptions by reducing the complexity and focusing on few physical aspects. Numerical investigations are probably the only way to use as many assumptions as possible to determine the true nature of the evolution of such motions and their upper atmospheric responses. Despite considerable advance in this field of research, there are still several complications connected to how well a numerical code can cope with the realistic profiles of physical parameters. The MHD theory is the simplest conceivable model describing the macroscopic behaviour and dynamics of plasmas and despite some applicability limitations, it offers a reasonable framework for studying dynamics in the solar atmosphere. At the same time, the MHD equations are strongly non-linear and therefore their solutions usually require approximate analytical methods (e.g. multiple scaling or reductive perturbative methods) or numerical treatment. Godunov-type methods (e.g. Murawski & Lee 2012) are one of several numerical techniques available to solve the MHD equations (e.g. Murawski & Lee 2011). These methods are simple to implement, easily adaptable to complex geometries and well suited to handle non-linear terms. Classical numerical schemes of at least second-order accuracy generate polluting oscillations which may result in non-monotonic solutions (e.g. Murawski 2011). Lower order schemes such as the upwind method (Godunov 1959) are usually monotonic, but they are too dissipative, leading to unacceptable solutions (Murawski & Lee 2012). In the past, most of the numerical schemes have adopted artificial viscosity to reduce the numerically induced oscillations at steep spatial profiles (Stone & Norman 1992). However, recent experiments with high-order numerical codes proved that they are superior in resolving complex profiles which are present in the solar atmosphere (Murawski & Lee 2012).

The aim of this paper is to discuss impulsively generated MHD-gravity waves in low atmospheric layers and their propagation in the magnetized solar atmosphere. We present a three-dimensional (3D) numerical model of the solar atmosphere (see Section 2) and, as a particular application of this model, we study the morphology of the vortices resulted from localized initial velocity pulses. One of the major objectives of this paper is also to understand the energy transport by the evolved MHD waves in the different layers of the solar atmosphere to balance the localized energy losses. This paper is completed by summary of the main results.

## 2 Numerical Model of the Solar Atmosphere

We consider a gravitationally stratified solar atmosphere that is described by the set of ideal, adiabatic, 3D MHD equations given as

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{\mu} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} + \rho \mathbf{g}, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = \gamma (1 - \gamma) \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad p = \frac{k_B}{\mu} \rho T, \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (4)
\]

Here, \(\rho\) denotes density, \(\mathbf{v} = [V_x, V_y, V_z]\) is the flow velocity, \(\mathbf{B} = [B_x, B_y, B_z]\) is the magnetic field, \(p\) gas pressure, \(T\) temperature, \(\gamma = 1.4\) is the adiabatic index, \(\mathbf{g} = (0, -g, 0)\) is gravitational acceleration with its magnitude \(g = 274 \text{ m s}^{-2}\), \(m\) is mean particle mass that is specified by mean molecular weight value of 1.24 (Steiner, private communication) and \(k_B\) is Boltzmann’s constant.

While writing equations (1)–(4), we assume the plasma to be a completely ionized and collisional single fluid throughout the entire domain and we neglect the non-ideal terms such as viscosity, magnetic diffusivity and thermal conduction. The latter may play an important role at the transition region, where temperature gradient attains a high value. Additionally, we dropped the non-adiabatic effects such as plasma heating and cooling which are important in lower regions of the solar atmosphere. However, our objective is to build up our models incrementally, with a clear focus on the underlying physical processes at each step. Moreover, all neglected effects are not expected to alter the general behaviour of waves and vortex plasma motions which will experience amplitude attenuation in non-ideal and non-adiabatic plasma. From this point of view, our model, described by equations (1)–(4), seems to be justified. Furthermore, simplification will be assumed in the configuration of the equilibrium as we will consider a simple vertical magnetic field embedded in realistic solar atmosphere.

### 2.1 The equilibrium configuration

We assume that the solar atmosphere is in static equilibrium \((\mathbf{v} = 0)\). We express the equilibrium magnetic field \((\mathbf{B}_e)\) as a constant and vertical magnetic field, i.e.

\[
\mathbf{B}_e = B_0 \hat{y}. \quad (5)
\]

with a constant strength of \(\approx 23\) Gauss that is close a typical magnetic field strength in the quiet Sun (Vögl er & Schüssler 2007). Here, the subscript ‘e’ denotes equilibrium quantities.

As a result, the equilibrium of our model is not affected by magnetic forces and the equilibrium is reached via the balance of the pressure gradient and gravitational force, i.e.

\[
\omega_c g - \nabla p_e = 0. \quad (6)
\]

Note that all thermodynamical equilibrium quantities have only a vertical coordinate dependence.

Having specified the equilibrium magnetic field, we can use the momentum equation to find the equilibrium gas pressure and mass
density profiles as
\[ p_s(y) = p_0 \exp \left[ -\int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{dy'}{\Lambda(y')} \right], \quad \rho_s(y) = \frac{p_s(y)}{g \Lambda(y)}, \tag{7} \]
where
\[ \Lambda(y) = \frac{k_b T_s(y)}{mg} \tag{8} \]
is the non-constant pressure scaleheight, and \( p_0 \) and \( \rho_0 \) are the gas pressure and mass density at the reference level that we choose in the solar corona at \( y = 10 \) Mm. Note that \( y = 0 \) corresponds to the base of the photosphere.

We adopt a temperature profile, \( T_s(y) \), for the solar atmosphere that is close to the VAL-IIIC atmospheric model of Vernazza, Avrett & Loeser (1981), and smoothly extended into the corona (Fig. 1, top). Combining the variation of temperature with height and the hydrostatic pressure balance (equation 7), we can determine the variation of the equilibrium mass density and gas pressure with height (not shown). In this model, the temperature reaches 1 MK at coronal heights and saturates at this level. The atmosphere is structured so that the solar photosphere occupies the region \( 0 < y < 0.5 \) Mm, the chromosphere is sandwiched between \( y = 0.5 \) Mm and the transition region that is located at \( y \approx 2.7 \) Mm.

Once the magnetic field and gas pressure are specified, the plasma beta is given by
\[ \beta(y) = \frac{p_s}{\rho_s} \tag{9} \]
and it is shown by Fig. 1, bottom panel. Note that \( \beta \) attains a value of about \( 10^3 \) at \( y = 0.5 \) Mm. This value results from magnetic pressure being so small compared to the kinetic pressure. For higher values of \( y \), \( \beta \) falls off to \( \approx 0.007 \) for \( y > 2.7 \) Mm. This parameter is paramount in the process of investigating dynamics as its value relative to 1 would mean that the dominant forces are of kinetic \((\beta > 1)\) or magnetic \((\beta < 1)\) nature.

2.2 Perturbations

The atmospheric magnetic field is continuously perturbed by large-scale dynamical changes that are able to transfer kinetic energy (e.g. buffeting due to the granular motion in the photosphere, or flare-driven blast waves in the upper atmosphere, reconnection-driven shocks, etc.). We assume that the instigator of changes in the magnetic field has such a nature; however, its exact property and nature are not specified. Initially (at \( t = 0 \) s), we perturb the above-described equilibrium impulsively by a Gaussian pulse either in the horizontal, \( V_x \), or vertical, \( V_y \), component of velocity, viz.,
\[ [V_x, V_y](x, y, z, t = 0) = A_v e^{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2+y^2+z^2}{\sigma^2}}, \tag{10} \]
Here, \( x_s \) and \( y_s \) attain the discrete values of 0, 1. The symbol \( A_v \) denotes the amplitude of the pulse, \( y_0 \) its initial position over the vertical direction and \( w \) the width of the pulse. We set and hold fixed \( A_v = 3 \) km s\(^{-1} \), \( w = 100 \) km and \( y_0 = 500 \) km.

3 RESULTS OF NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Equations (1)–(4) are solved numerically, using the FLASH code (Lee & Deane 2009; Lee 2013). This code implements a second-order unsplit Godunov solver (Murawski & Lee 2011) with various slope limiters and Riemann solvers, as well as adaptive mesh refinement (AMR). We use the minmod slope limiter and the Roe Riemann solver (Murawski & Lee 2012). For all considered cases, we set the dimension of the simulation box to \((-0.75, 0.75)\) Mm \( \times (-0.25, 5.75) \) Mm. Therefore, the spatial scale of numerical domain is 6 Mm in the vertical direction and 1.5 Mm in the horizontal directions. At the top and bottom of the numerical domain, we impose the boundary conditions by fixing in time all plasma quantities to their equilibrium values, while at the remaining four sides open boundaries are implemented. In our simulations, we use an AMR grid with a minimum (maximum) level of refinement set to 2 (5). The extent of the simulation box in the \( y \)-direction ensures that we catch the essential physics occurring in the solar photosphere–low corona domain and minimize the effect of spurious signal reflections from the level \( y = 5.75 \) Mm.

As each block consists of \( 8 \times 8 \times 8 \) identical numerical cells, we reach the effective finest spatial resolution of about 30 km, below the altitude \( y = 3.25 \) Mm. The initial system of blocks is shown in Fig. 2. In the remaining part of the paper, we discuss two particular cases of equation (10): (a) vertical perturbation with \( s_x = 0 \) and \( s_y = 1 \); (b) horizontal perturbation, corresponding to \( s_x = 1, s_y = 0 \).

3.1 Vertical perturbation

In this section, we consider the case of vertical perturbations which are realized by setting \( s_x = 0 \) and \( s_y = 1 \) in equation (10). Earlier investigations, by e.g. Jain, Gascoyne & Hindman (2011), showed that \( p \)-modes are able to provide the necessary initial perturbation considered here. The initial pulse given by equation (10) triggers...
Magnetohydrodynamic-gravity waves and vortices in the solar atmosphere

Figure 2. Numerical blocks with their boundaries (solid lines) and the pulse in velocity of equation (10) (colour maps) at $t = 0$ s in the vertical plane ($z = 0$). Maximum velocity (red colour) corresponds to 3 km s$^{-1}$.

Figure 3. Temporal snapshots of $V_y(x, y, z = 0)$ at $t = 100$ s (top) and $t = 200$ s (bottom) for the case of the vertical perturbation with $s_x = 0$, $s_y = 1$ in equation (10). Velocity is expressed in units of 1 km s$^{-1}$. The source is situated at $y_0 = 0.5$ Mm.

3.2 Horizontal perturbation

We discuss here the case of horizontal perturbations which are described by equation (10) with $s_x = 1$ and $s_y = 0$. Drivers of this type are easily found in solar atmosphere as these would correspond to, e.g. the continuous buffeting of magnetic field lines by granular cells and given the nature of the resulting waves, transversal waves of this type are the most easy to trigger. Fig. 6 illustrates spatial profiles of the horizontal velocity components at $t = 150$ s (top panel) and $t = 250$ s (bottom panel). The initial pulse excites all MHD waves which are altered by the gravity field. The magnetoacoustic-gravity waves (violet wave-fronts) are seen at $t = 150$ s, reaching the level of about $y = 1.75$ Mm (top panel). The upwardly propagating waves head on towards the transition region (bottom panel) and later on they partially reflect from the transition region, as expected.

$\beta$ is high at the launching point, the initial pulse excites both fast- and slow-magnetoacoustic-gravity waves which are coupled one to each other. At $t = 100$ s, these waves reached the point $(x = 0, y \approx 1.4$ Mm; Fig. 3, top), spreading quasi-isotropically out of the launching place. The red and violet patches move towards the transition region. The red (violet) patch exhibits the positive (negative) vertical velocity of the perturbations. At $t = 200$ s, the leading wave-front reached the transition region (Fig. 3, bottom).

One indication of the nature of these waves is their propagation speed. It is found that the average propagation speed is approximately 12 km s$^{-1}$. Due to the increase in temperature and decrease in density, the amplitude of these waves grows with height (see the colour code attached to each figure). At $t = 200$ s (bottom panel), the fast magnetoacoustic-gravity excited perturbations, initially for high plasma $\beta$ seen in the vertical component of velocity, have already penetrated the transition region and entered the solar corona.

Temporal snapshots of streamlines are displayed at $t = 100$ s (Fig. 4, top panel) and $t = 200$ s (bottom panel). These streamlines, defined by the expression

$$\frac{dx}{V_x} = \frac{dy}{V_y} = \frac{dz}{V_z},$$

reveal vortices which develop in time into more complex structures with the symmetry axis $y$. Such a development of vortices albeit in a 2D uniform magnetic field case was already reported by Murawski et al. (2013b). Vortices were also found to accompany acoustic waves in case they were triggered by velocity pulses launched initially in a uniform, 3D, magnetic-free medium (Murawski et al. 2013a).

It is noteworthy that waves and vortices result in alteration of magnetic field lines which are pushed off from the central line, $x = 0$ Mm, well seen at $t = 300$ s (Fig. 5). As a result of vortices, which are associated with up-flowing and down-flowing plasma, the central magnetic field lines are looped at $t = 300$ s (Fig. 5). These vortices experience energy cascade into smaller scales, and they are present until the end of our simulation runs. The first vortex results from the initial pulse in $V_y$, which is a characteristic feature of velocity perturbations (Murawski et al. 2013a). Such vortices were also theorized by Konkol, Murawski & Zaqarashvili (2012) in a similar but 2D context. The observed vertical vortices are located at the height below 1 Mm that possesses a very high plasma beta. Therefore, the diffusion and twisting of the magnetic field with the local rotational velocity field of the plasma may yield the simulated vertical vortices in such a weakly magnetized solar atmosphere.
while their significant part penetrates into the solar corona (bottom panel).

Similarly to the case of the vertical driver, the horizontal driver generates vortices, however, with entirely different inherent physical scenario. Temporal snapshots of streamlines are displayed in Fig. 7. At $t = 150$ s (top panel), there are well-seen eddies which occupy a region close to the launching place. These eddies evolve into more complex rotating structures at later moments of time, e.g. at $t = 250$ s (bottom panel). Comparing Figs 4 and 7, we infer that in the case of the horizontal perturbation the resulted eddies exhibit less symmetry and their morphology is more complex than the vortices in the case of the initial vertical velocity pulse. Note that these eddies are directly triggered by the corresponding initial pulses and they do not result from convective instabilities which settle at the launching place at $t \approx 200$ s (not shown). The magnetic field lines, illustrated in Fig. 8, top panel, are bent concave towards positive values of $x$. These curved lines show that the kink wave is excited. However, at later moments of time magnetic field lines exhibit more complex braiding that accompany the formation of the vortices (bottom panel). The horizontal vortices in strong magnetic fields may have morphologically very different characteristics than the vertical vortices generated in the weak vertical magnetic fields. In the case of horizontal vortices triggered by convective motions or granular buffeting, magnetic tension prevents strong twisting of magnetic field lines, and therefore, none of the long-lived rotating structures appear. The twisting of the field lines that we observe is located in the high-beta plasma region; therefore, we see the strong deformation of the field lines, which follow the velocity field. The lifetime of these structures is about 500 s, which is comparable to the characteristic time required for the flow to propagate through the magnetic structure.

### 3.3 Energy fluxes associated with the MHD wave propagation and their comparison

We calculate the horizontally averaged energy fluxes of magnetoacoustic-gravity waves generated by the vertical (Fig. 9) and horizontal (Fig. 10) pulses. These fluxes are evaluated at the levels: (i) $y = 2.5$ Mm (just below the transition region, left-hand panels); (ii) $y = 3.0$ Mm (slightly above the transition region, middle panels) and (iii) $y = 3.5$ Mm (in the inner corona, right-hand panels). The components of the energy fluxes are calculated by means of the relations (Vigeesh et al. 2012)

\[ E_{f_{x,z}} \approx \rho V_{x,z}^2 \sqrt{c_s^2 + c_A^2}, \]

\[ E_{f_y} \approx \rho V_y^2 c_s. \]
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Figure 6. Temporal snapshots of $V_x(x, y, z = 0)$ at $t = 150$ s (top panel) and $t = 250$ s (bottom panel) for the case of the horizontal perturbation with $s_x = 1, s_y = 0$ in equation (10). Velocity is expressed in units of $1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Figure 7. Temporal snapshots of streamlines at $t = 150$ s (top panel) and $t = 250$ s (bottom panel) for the case of the horizontal perturbation with $s_x = 1, s_y = 0$ in equation (10). Red, green and blue arrows correspond to the $x$, $y$ and $z$-axis, respectively.

In the case of the vertical pulse, it is clear from Fig. 9 that the vertical component of the energy flux is about $10^3$ times higher than the horizontal energy flux (compare top and bottom rows). Moreover, the vertical energy flux is almost doubled just above the transition region compared to the same below of it (left-bottom and middle-bottom panels). As a result, we conclude that energy is transferred essentially along the vertical direction and energy transfer becomes more efficient above the transition region. The vertical component of the energy flux obtained in this way implies that the waves could play a significant role in quiet coronal heating requirements ($100$–$200 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$; Withbroe & Noyes 1977).

Fig. 10 illustrates the horizontally averaged energy fluxes, given by equations (12)–(13) for the case of the horizontal pulse. We investigate the horizontal components of the flux ($E_{f_x}$ and $E_{f_z}$) which drop by about one order of magnitude at the transition region (compare left-hand and middle columns). As the initial pulse is launched in the horizontal velocity, $E_{f_x}$ is about 300 times larger than $E_{f_z}$ (compare top and bottom rows). Note also that $E_{f_y}$ is by a factor of 2 larger than $E_{f_z}$ just below the transition region (left-top and left-middle panels). Although the initial driver was horizontal, at higher altitudes $E_{f_y}$ drops twice and it is about 10 times larger than $E_{f_z}$ in the inner corona (compare middle and top rows). As $E_{f_y}$ is much larger than $E_{f_x}$, we infer that energy transport occurs essentially along the vertical direction. Comparing the values of the energy fluxes obtained for the two types of the driver, we can conclude that the amount of energy that can be transported into the solar corona as
probable advection of the magnetic fields into the box through the same boundaries. In general, it looks more like a start of an oscillation within the simulation box. A constant rise of the sum of kinetic and internal energies (bottom) smoothly rises by a significant value far above normal numerical noise levels.

Fig. 12 illustrates the vertical component of the Poynting flux (top) which is defined as

\[ F = \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbf{B} \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) \tag{14} \]

Horizontally averaged Poynting flux, \( \langle F \rangle \), at the level \( y = 0.75 \) Mm is displayed in the bottom panel. It is discernible that \( \langle F \rangle \) is essentially positive in agreement with the numerical findings of Shelyag, Mathioudakis & Keenan (2012).

4 SUMMARY

This paper presents the stringent 3D numerical modelling of the solar atmosphere with an adaptation of the FLASH code, which demonstrates the feasibility of fluid simulations in obtaining quantitative features in the weakly magnetized and gravitationally stratified solar atmosphere. These simulations reveal the generation and propagation of MHD-gravity waves and vortices in the solar atmosphere, which are impulsively excited by an initial localized pulse in a velocity component that is either horizontal or vertical. In both cases, the initial pulse triggers vortices with their axis oriented either along the \( y \)- or \( x \)-direction depending on the vertical or horizontal perturbations. These vortices develop in time into more complex structures which are typical for turbulence. It is apparent that large-sized eddies cascade into small-scale vortices. The latter are prone to dissipation which may transfer mechanical energy into heat and therefore they may contribute to a deposition of thermal energy in higher layers of the solar atmosphere. However, the detailed study of the dissipation of energy carried by such vortices and associated waves is out of the scope of this paper and will be undertaken in our future works.

The convectively driven initial perturbations in form of the photospheric vortices or similar kind of plasma rotatory motions can launch their responses in form of the plasma dynamics into the upper atmosphere at diverse spatio–temporal scales to channel the mass and energy and thus to heat the solar corona locally.

Our presented 3D numerical simulations contribute to understanding such physical conditions in the model solar atmosphere with realistic temperature and related conditions within the strongly magnetized flux-tubes rooted at the photosphere and fanning out up to inner corona. Our model mimics the formation of the vortices, magnetoacoustic-gravity waves and their responses into the chromosphere, transition region and inner corona. The evolved model of vortices in the 3D atmosphere with their upper atmospheric responses can be considered very similar to the dynamics as swirling motions that are considered to be the potential candidates to channel the energy in localized atmospheric heating. Our model, therefore, can be considered as a potential reference to the various observed and recurring swirling motions into the solar atmosphere. Temporal evolution of energy fluxes over the three directions revealed that irrespective of the type of the driver, by the time the perturbation reach the transition region, the dominant direction of energy flow will be always in the vertical direction.

In summary, we initiated 3D numerical models of energy transport from the photosphere into the corona in the form of vortices and magnetoacoustic-gravity waves. This 3D numerical model of solar vortices, associated waves and their responses in the upper atmosphere.
Figure 9. Temporal snapshots of the $x$- and $y$-components of the horizontally averaged energy fluxes, $E_{f_x}, E_{f_y}$, evaluated at (i) $y = 2.5 \text{ Mm}$ (just below the transition region, left-hand columns), (ii) $y = 3.0 \text{ Mm}$ (slightly above the transition region, middle columns) and (iii) $y = 3.5 \text{ Mm}$ (in the inner corona, right-hand columns) for the case of the vertical pulse.

Figure 10. Temporal snapshots of the $x$, $y$, and $z$-components of the horizontally averaged energy fluxes, $E_{f_x}, E_{f_y}, E_{f_z}$, evaluated at (i) $y = 2.5 \text{ Mm}$ (just below the transition region, left-hand columns), (ii) $y = 3.0 \text{ Mm}$ (slightly above the transition region, middle columns) and (iii) $y = 3.5 \text{ Mm}$ (in the inner corona, right-hand columns) for the case of the horizontal pulse.
atmosphere may shed some light on the energy transport processes that can further cause the chromospheric and coronal heating in the case of their dissipation. The detailed physical analyses of MHD-gravity waves and the various type of vortices and their coronal/transition region responses, as well as their vis-to-vis comparison with the observations, will be the part of our forthcoming work.
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