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Abstract

To better understand the assembly of the sturgeon egg microbiome, we purified six bacterial isolates from eggs and characterized their ability to form biofilms under the stress of tobramycin, with and without exogenous protein. In experiments with single species biofilms, tobramycin reduced the metabolic activity of all isolates and increased biofilm biomass of three. The addition of exogenous protein to the assay countered the inhibition of biofilm and metabolic activity by tobramycin of Pseudomonas sp., Brevundimonas sp., Flavobacterium columnare and mixed biofilms of Pseudomonas-F. columnare and Brevundimonas-Hydrogenophaga. Two of the isolates (Pseudomonas spp.) that produced antimicrobial activity, were effective at reducing biofilm formation by Brevundimonas, but enhanced biofilm formation in other isolates. Increasing concentrations of Mg²⁺ had no effect on biofilm formation but Ca²⁺ enhanced biofilm formation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA01 (positive control) and Brevundimonas. Biofilm assembly by these two bacteria was inhibited by low concentrations of Ni³⁺. Mixed biofilms of Brevundimonas and Hydrogenophaga consistently produced more robust biofilm than the strains in isolation, suggesting synergism. Established Brevundimonas biofilm appeared adept at recruiting pelagic Acidovorax and Hydrogenophaga into biofilm, suggesting that it plays an important role in the selection of species into the microbiome.
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1. Introduction

The response of biofilm to stressors has been heavily investigated, primarily from the perspective of medicine and health. Biofilm is often viewed as an alternative life form of microbes that can provide some resistance to host defenses, antibiotics and other stressors [1]-[8]. In its most passive aspect, biofilm, by virtue of its macromolecular matrix, provides a barrier that protects the embedded cells from soluble stressors. For example, the cells of a *P. aeruginosa* biofilm are more resistant to tobramycin than planktonic cells [9] [10] and matrix encased bacteria cannot be opsonized as easily and are more resistant to polymorphonuclear cells [4] [11] [12]. There also appears to be “restricted penetration” of metals in biofilm matrices that accounts for an up to 600 times increase in resistance to toxic metals [13], although specific local conditions and time can play a large role [14] [15]. A more active role for biofilm has been demonstrated as well in the secretion of proteases, for example, that attack lactoferrin, a host-produced, bacterial growth-inhibiting protein [16]. More subtle is the strategy of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms that release lytic toxins that alter the differentiation of activated macrophages [17]. Biofilms have proven to be such a successful survival strategy that from 40% - 80% of bacteria and archaea are thought to exist in quasi-structured matrix-bound communities [18].

Our work has focused on the biofilm of Lake Sturgeon (*Acipenser fluvascens*) eggs as a model for biofilm assembly as well as for aspects of conservation. Regarding the former, eggs of aquatic vertebrates are expelled into the water in essentially a sterile state. Because these naive eggs are rapidly colonized by bacteria from the surrounding milieu, they provide an excellent view into the assembly of a natural, multispecies biofilm. With respect to conservation, mortality during early oncogenic stages can exceed 95% for many fish species [19] [20] [21]. Lake Sturgeon is an endangered ancient species of fish with high egg mortality, in part the result of microbial activity [22]. Some endangered species, like the lake sturgeon, receive an assist from hatchery-reared juvenile supplements to the natural populations. More favorable outcomes in the hatchery will come from understanding the “rules of assembly” of the egg-associated microbes and the nature and composition of beneficial versus parasitic consortia that colonize these eggs.

Initial investigations into the sturgeon egg-associated microbiome included the isolation and characterization of over 100 bacterial isolates from the surface of sturgeon eggs as well as the phylogenetic characterization of the communities from healthy and moribund eggs [23]. Microbial community analysis allowed us to tentatively identify 3 - 4 phylotypes that were consistently present in healthy eggs and were also represented in our isolate collection [24]. These isolates included 1.) *Acidovorax* sp., a Betaproteobacteria in the *Comamonadaceae* family, 2.) *Brevundimonas* sp., an Alphaproteobacteria in the *Caulobacteraceae* family, 3.) *Hydrogenophaga* sp., a Betaproteobacteria, also in the *Comamonadaceae* family, and 4.) *Massilia* sp., a Betaproteobacteria in the *Oxalobacteraceae* family. Isolate characterization included screening for the production of antimicrobial
activity in soft agar overlays [24] that led to the identification of two Pseudomonas spp. that produced strong antimicrobial activity against other members of the collection. More recent work has shown that three of these isolates, as well as the fish pathogen Flavobacterium columnare, formed substantially more robust biofilm in the presence of exogenous protein [25]. These isolates were utilized in this study to examine the effects of antimicrobials on biofilm assembly of single and double species biofilms, metabolic activity of tobramycin-stressed biofilms, and the resistance/sensitivity of biofilms to increasing concentrations of Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺ and Ni²⁺. Several of our isolates appear to interact synergistically when co-founding a biofilm and these mixed biofilms were examined as well. Finally, our studies identified Brevundimonas as a strong and collegial initiator of biofilm formation.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Media, Bacteria and Cultivation

Lake Sturgeon egg isolates have been described previously [24] and included in this study were Massilia B13, Pseudomonas C22, Pseudomonas D2, Acidovorax F19, Hydrogenophaga F14, and Brevundimonas F16. These isolates were initially identified to genus level with partial 16S rRNA sequence [24]. GenBank accession numbers for the 16S rRNA sequence of the sturgeon isolates are: Massilia B13: KY075696, Pseudomonas C22: MH465524, Hydrogenophaga F14: MH465525, Brevundimonas F16: MH465526, and Acidovorax F19: MH465527. Pseudomonas C22, Acidovorax F19, Hydrogenophaga F14, and Brevundimonas F16 have since been refined with genomic data (Angoshtari & Marsh, unpublished). The genome sequence of Pseudomonas C22 is closest to Pseudomonas fluorescens, strain 48D1 (Genbank accession # MOBT01000000); Acidovorax F19 is closest to Acidovorax sp. CF316 (Genbank accession # AKJX00000000); the genome sequence of Hydrogenophaga F14 is closest to Hydrogenophaga sp. RAC07 (Genbank accession # CP016449). Finally, Brevundimonas F16 was originally identified as a Caulobacter based on partial sequence of 16S rRNA [24]. Genomic data now clearly identified this isolate as a Brevundimonas, closest to Brevundimonas subvibrioides strain 32-68-21 (Genbank accession # NCEQ00000000). These genomic comparisons were made with Mash/MinHash as implemented in Patrick 3.6.7 [25] [26]. Because these “strains” have not been formally consecrated at the species level, we will refer to them as isolates throughout the manuscript. Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA01 was a gift of Dr. M. Bagdasarian (MSU) and Flavobacterium columnare 090702-1 was provided by Dr. Thomas Loch (MSU). Bacterial isolates were maintained at −80°C in 20% glycerol solution and streaked onto R2A agar (Difco) several days prior to experiments. In experiments requiring broth, isolates were cultivated in R2broth, the composition of which is the same as R2A agar (Difco) minus the agar (Yeast extract 0.5 g/L, Proteose Peptone No. 3 0.5 g/L, Casamino Acids 0.5 g/L, Dextrose 0.5 g/L, Soluble Starch 0.5 g/L, Sodium Pyruvate 0.3 g/L, Dipotassium Phosphate
0.3 g/L, Magnesium Sulfate 0.05 g/L). To prepare broth with 2.5% milk protein, an autoclaved 5% solution of milk protein in water was added to an equal volume of 2x R2Broth 30 minutes after autoclaving.

2.2. Chemicals

All solutions were prepared using water from a MilliQ water purification system fed with building Reverse Osmosis water. All chemicals were of molecular biology grade. MgCl₂, CaCl₂, and NiCl₂ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Milk Protein was purchased from Hardy Diagnostics and Crystal violet and Tobramycin, certified by the Biological Stain Commission, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Koptec™ 200 Proof pure ethanol was purchased from Biochemistry Stores at Michigan State University. Glacial acetic acid ReagentPlus® was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. A concentrated stock of tobramycin was filter sterilized (0.2 µm Millipore) and then added to sterile R2Broth.

2.3. Formation of Single- and Mixed-Species Biofilms

In order to study single species biofilm formation, 50 μL of an overnight bacterial culture grown in R2Broth was added to 100 μL of sterile R2Broth in one well of a 96-well plate (Corning costar® 3595). Each condition was replicated 3 - 8 times depending on the experiment. Plates were sealed with sterile adhesive foil (VWR). We used P. aeruginosa PA01 as a positive control and 4 wells with un-inoculated sterile R2Broth as negative control. The average absorbance of the negative controls was subtracted from all samples. All plates were incubated at 25°C on a rotary shaker (100 RPM) for 48 or 96 hours, depending on the timeline of the experiment.

To investigate biofilm formation by double species mixed-cultures, 25 μL of overnight culture of each isolate was added to the well along with 100 μl of sterile R2Broth (3 - 4 replicates per condition based on the experiment). These plates were also incubated as above. In all experiments, pre- and post-incubation optical density at 600 nm was measured to confirm growth within the broth.

In addition to single- and mixed-species biofilm formation, we studied the effect of one isolate on the established biofilm of another isolate. In these experiments, 50 μL of overnight culture of the first species was added to 100 μL of sterile R2Broth in one well of a 96-well plate. After 24 - 48 hours of incubation the remaining pelagic cells and broth was removed, and the wells were washed x3 with sterile physiological saline. 50 μL of the second species was then added along with 100 μL of fresh sterile R2Broth and the plates were re-incubated for 24 - 48 hours, depending on the experiment.

2.4. Tobramycin and Milk Protein Assays

Tobramycin has been identified as an antibiofilm compound by numerous investigators [9] [10] [27] [28] [29] [30] [31]. Tobramycin was used at 5 μg/mL. This concentration was derived from the literature as a level at which the cell
numbers within a *P. aeruginosa* biofilm were reduced [31]. In addition, at this concentration the dominant mode of inhibition of *Pseudomonas* is at the level of the ribosome [32]. Because we were interested in the metabolic activity of biofilms, we tested the activity of the biofilm of all isolates and isolate combinations in the presence of 5 µg/mL tobramycin and found that activity was diminished in all cases. This indicated that tobramycin at 5 µg/mL was diffusing through the biofilm matrix and into cells. Regarding milk protein, previous work in our laboratory indicated that the addition of exogenous protein to cultures promoted substantial biofilm formation by some species [25]. Based on these studies, milk protein was tested at 2.5%. To determine if tobramycin or milk protein influenced biofilm formation of our isolates, wells of 96-well microtiter plates containing 100 µl R2Broth supplemented with either 2.5% milk protein or tobramycin (5 µg/mL) or both, were inoculated with single- or double-species mixtures and then incubated at 25˚C on a rotary shaker (100 RPM) for 48 hours.

### 2.5. Formation of Biofilm in the Presence of Metals

In this set of experiments, biofilm formation by one or two isolates was tested in the presence of increasing concentrations of metals. R2Broth was supplemented with CaCl₂ (10 µM, 20 µM, 50 µM, and 100 µM), MgCl₂ (100 µM, 200 µM, 500 µM, and 1 mM), or NiCl₂ (100 µM, 200 µM, 300 µM, and 400 µM). These metals and concentrations were selected because they have been reported to enhance biofilm formation [33] [34] [35] [36]. Biofilm biomass was measured using crystal violet assay after 48 hours of incubation at 25˚C on a rotary shaker (100 RPM).

### 2.6. *Brevundimonas* Titration Assays

We measured biofilm formation of *Hydrogenophaga* F14 and *Acidovorax* F19 in co-culture with different starting concentrations of *Brevundimonas* F16 to determine the dependence of biofilm formation on *Brevundimonas*. Five ml of sterile distilled H₂O was added to the surface of an R2A plate with a confluent culture of *Brevundimonas*. The cells were scrapped off the surface with a sterile bent glass rod and the cell suspension was made homogeneous by vortexing for 2 minutes. The cell suspension was centrifuged (10,000 RPM) for 30 minutes at 4˚C and the resulting cell pellets were re-suspended in 5 mL fresh sterile R2Broth and diluted in R2broth to the OD 600 nm of an overnight culture (~1.5). A dilution series was constructed using fresh R2Broth and resuspended cells at 0.3×, 0.2×, 0.1×, 0.05×, and 0.01×. Biofilms were established as described above for two isolates using overnight cultures of either *Hydrogenophaga* or *Acidovorax* with six different concentrations of *Brevundimonas*. Biofilm biomass was measured after 48 hours using crystal violet. Additionally, we tested the biofilm formation of mixed cultures using dead F16 cells to determine if an active culture of *Brevundimonas* was required for biofilm formation. This experiment was performed similar to the live titration assay except that after centrifuging *Brevundimonas* (10,000 RPM) for 30 minutes at 4˚C, the cell pellets were
re-suspended in 5 mL of 80% ethanol and stored at 4°C for two weeks. After two weeks, 1 mL of the dead Brevundimonas F16/ethanol solution was centrifuged (10,000 RPM) for 10 minutes. The resulting dead cell pellet was then re-suspended in 1 mL fresh R2Broth. This step was repeated to completely remove residual EtOH, after which the re-suspended ethanol killed cells were diluted 0.3×, 0.2×, 0.1×, 0.05×, and 0.01× in sterile R2Broth. The biofilm formation assay was then performed as described above using the original dilution (1x) as well as the dilution series of dead Brevundimonas F16 cells in combination with Hydrogenophaga F14 or Acidovorax F19. Biofilm biomass was measured after 48 hours using crystal violet assay.

2.7. Crystal Violet Assay

Biofilm biomass was measured using the crystal violet assay [37] [38]. Crystal violet is a triarylmethane dye that forms a bond with negatively charged molecules and polysaccharides on the surface of bacterial cells within the biofilm and/or the extracellular matrix [39]. All biofilm measurements were conducted in Corning Costar™ 3595 96-well microtiter plates. Optical density measurements were performed at the beginning of each experiment after inoculation and at the end of incubation after transferring the supernatant to a new microtiter plate. Wells of incubated plates were gently washed x3 with sterile H2O and the biofilm was stained with 200 µl of a 0.2 µm filtered, 0.1% crystal violet solution for 15 minutes while shaking at 100 RPM on a rotary shaker at 25°C. After staining, plates were rinsed twice in distilled water and inverted to dry completely. The biofilm bound dye was extracted with 200 µl of 30% acetic acid accompanied by shaking at 100 RPM as above. Plates were read on a BioTek Epoch plate reader at 600 nm. Wells were read x3, averaged, and the uninoculated control wells were subtracted from the absorbance of the sample wells.

2.8. Resazurin Assay

The resazurin assay [39] [40] [41] was used to measure metabolic activity within formed biofilms. Resazurin, also known as CellTiter-Blue (CTB) or Alamar Blue, is a blue non-fluorescent dye that reduces to the pink highly fluorescent resorufin by cellular metabolic activity. This effect increases proportionally with the number of cells that are metabolically active and, thus, serves as an indicator of metabolic activity of the population of cells within a biofilm. After incubation and the formation of biofilm, the wells were washed three times using sterile physiological saline. To each washed well, 100 µl of physiological saline and 20 µl of commercially available resazurin solution (CellTiter-Blue, CTB, Promega) were added [39]. The end point of the reaction was determined by measuring fluorescence (λex: 560 nm and λem: 590 nm) after 60, 120, 180, 240, and 300 minutes of incubation (25°C) [39]. Under our experimental conditions, the observed fluorescence strength approached its steady-state value by 180 minutes. Extended incubation time (240 and 300 minutes) did not result in any change of
the fluorescence signal, therefore all incubations were for 180 minutes.

2.9. Statistics

The Student’s t-test as implemented in Microsoft Excel (two sample assuming unequal variance) was used to evaluate dissimilarity between samples. In experiments where there was a mixed biofilm of two isolates, our null hypothesis was that the two populations of bacteria did not interact in any manner. In this way we compared two-isolate biofilms with the sum of the individual isolate biofilms. A “P” value of 0.05 or less indicated that the mixed biofilm differed from our null hypothesis. When challenging a 24-hour established biofilm with a second isolate, we used a biofilm with identical total incubation time derived from simultaneous inoculation of the two isolates as the control. For testing the effects of stressors (tobramycin or milk protein), the cognate sample without stressor(s) was used as the control.

3. Results

Over ten years ago we began an investigation into the microbial communities that attach to sturgeon eggs. As a model system, aquatic vertebrates offer a unique view into the assembly and biological relevance of microbial communities on the surface of eggs. When essentially sterile eggs are released from the female, they are engulfed by an environment with $10^5$ - $10^7$ bacteria per ml and are rapidly colonized. Experimentally the investigator can control the environment surrounding the egg, measure physiological parameters and egg survival and correlate these with the egg-associated microbial community that assembled. Our previous work indicated that the egg-associated community was significantly different from the aquatic community, varied depending on the water temperature, increased in density as the egg matured and maintained unique populations of bacteria when healthy [23] [42]. Moreover, we purified over 100 bacterial isolates from the sturgeon egg and phylogenetically identified them, as well as characterizing their capacity to form biofilm and produce antimicrobial agents. Several of our isolates, Acidovorax F19, Brevundimonas F16, Hydrogenophaga F14 and Massilia B13, were correlated with higher survival rates of hatchery eggs and two Pseudomonas isolates, C22 & D2, were identified as producers of antimicrobials as measured by soft agar overlay technique. How these isolates interact with each other and with the fish pathogen F. columnare in the formation of biofilm, and the resistance of this biofilm to antibiotics, are the subjects of these investigations.

In Figure 1, we present the effect of antimicrobial producing isolates Pseudomonas C22 and Pseudomonas D2 on biofilm formation. Under the standard conditions of our assay (growth in R2broth at 25°C), biofilms produced by Pseudomonas C22 and D2, Hydrogenophaga and Massilia were lower than 0.1 A600 nm while Acidovorax and particularly Brevundimonas had relatively robust biofilms (Panel A). When isolates were challenged with Pseudomonas...
Figure 1. Crystal violet assays for single- and double-species biofilms. Panel (A). Single-species biofilms and biofilm assembly in the presence of antimicrobial-producing isolates C22 and D2. “Isolate X >>> C22” indicates that the established biofilm of X was challenged after 24 hours by a fresh overnight culture of C22. Controls for the two-species biofilms (black bars) were the sums of cognate single-species biofilms (gray bars). Panel (B). Assembly of biofilm with two species (gray bars) and challenge of established single-species biofilms (black bars). Controls for the challenged biofilms (black bars) were the cognate two-species biofilms (gray bars). The sum of the single-species biofilms of Panel A served as the controls for two-species biofilms in Panel B (null hypothesis). The asterisks identify responses that were statistically different (p = 0.05 or less) from the controls. The arrows indicate an increase (↑) or decrease (↓) of absorbance compared to controls. Note the abscissa scale differences between the two panels.

C22, one of the antimicrobial-producing isolates, biofilm production varied greatly. *Brevundimonas* had substantially diminished biofilm while biofilms of *Acidovorax, Hydrogenophaga* and *Massilia* were modestly increased when incubated with *Pseudomonas* C22. When *Pseudomonas* D2 replaced C22, biofilm formation of both *Acidovorax* and *Brevundimonas* were reduced while that of *Hydrogenophaga* and *Massilia* were modestly increased. These changes were statistically relevant. As pointed out in the Material and Methods section, our
null hypothesis was that the isolates did not interact in any manner. Therefore, the control for a two-species biofilm was the sum of the biofilms formed by single isolates. A Welch’s t-test showing a high probability of difference between the two-species biofilm and the sum of the single isolate biofilms indicated departure from this null assumption. The combinations of *Pseudomonas C22- Acidovorax F19* and *Pseudomonas C22- Pseudomonas D2* produced biofilms at levels statistically indistinguishable from the null hypothesis. *Pseudomonas C22* was of particular interest because it appeared to be the most aggressive isolate in soft agar overlays [42]. To determine if C22 could disrupt established biofilms of *Acidovorax, Brevundimonas* and *Hydrogenophaga*, C22 in fresh broth was added to established biofilms (24 hours) and incubated for an additional 24 hours (Top, Panel A). *Acidovorax* and *Brevundimonas* biofilms were reduced in this challenge but the biofilm of *Hydrogenophaga* was modestly increased. *Massilia B13* is of interest to us as a potential probiotic but it forms clumps in liquid media and is therefore difficult to manipulate in these tests. For this reason, it was not carried into the remaining experiments. Panel B presents biofilm formation by two species where the focus is on temporal effects. Note here that the scale of the abscissa is different from Panel A, as more robust biofilms were detected. In this experiment, particular attention was paid to *Brevundimonas*, which appeared to enhance biofilm formation when combined with *Hydrogenophaga*, and under some conditions, *Acidovorax*. The combination of *Brevundimonas* and *Hydrogenophaga* routinely produced a more robust biofilm surpassing the simple sum of individual biofilms (null hypothesis). The combination of *Acidovorax* and *Hydrogenophaga* produced biofilm significantly less than single species tests, and the combination of *Brevundimonas* and *Acidovorax* produced biofilm amounts indistinguishable from the control. The sequence of addition of isolates in establishing mixed biofilms made a substantial difference in biofilm robustness. *Acidovorax* or *Hydrogenophaga*, when added to established biofilms of *Brevundimonas*, significantly increased biofilm biomass, nearly equivalent to the positive control, PA01. This suggests that *Brevundimonas* is able to recruit pelagic cells from the milieu. Other combinations where *Brevundimonas* was added as the second isolate to *Acidovorax* and the *Acidovorax-Hydrogenophaga* combination produced no substantial change in biofilm. A reduction in biofilm was detected when *Brevundimonas* was added to an established biofilm of *Hydrogenophaga*.

The effects of tobramycin and exogenous protein on the formation of biofilm are presented in Figure 2. Previous work by many investigators identified tobramycin as a disruptor [9] [27] [28] [29] [43] as well as an inducer of biofilm [44] [45]. As mentioned above, we have demonstrated that exogenous protein (milk protein in this example) provided a strong putative trigger for the formation of robust biofilm in select species, and we sought to extend this observation. In addition, the potential for altered resistance in mixed-species biofilms was examined, guided by previous work that indicated interactions between *Pseudomonas C22* and *F. columnare* (a fish pathogen) and between *Brevundimonas* and *Acidovorax* or *Hydrogenophaga*.
Regarding susceptibility of biofilm formation to tobramycin, only the isolate combination of Brevundimonas F16 and Hydrogenophaga F14 was unaffected. All other isolates and combinations were statistically different from the controls. The biofilms of Pseudomonas PA01, Pseudomonas C22, F. columnare and the combination C22-F. columnare were reduced while those of Acidovorax F19, Hydrogenophaga F14 and the combination of Acidovorax-Brevundimonas increased, compared to the unstressed controls. With the addition of milk protein to the incubations, only Pseudomonas PA01 was unaffected, as we have observed...
previously [25]. All isolates and two isolate combinations had statistically confirmed increases in biofilm, upon the addition of milk protein. In experiments where both milk protein and tobramycin were present, there were two responses. Some isolates saw complete amelioration of tobramycin inhibition when milk protein was present (Pseudomonas PA01, Brevundimonas, F. columnare, Pseudomonas C22-F. columnare and Brevundimonas-Hydrogenophaga) while the remaining isolates and combinations saw a partial amelioration.

**Figure 3** presents the companion experiment to **Figure 2** where the metabolic activities of single- and double-species biofilms were determined. There were
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**Figure 3.** Resazurin assays of duplicate biofilms from experiments of **Figure 2.** The asterisks identify responses that were statistically different (p = 0.05 or less) from the unstressed controls (gray bars).
only two cases where there was not statistical support for a departure from the control, unstressed state. All isolates and isolate combinations saw a statistically significant diminution of metabolic activity in the presence of tobramycin (p = 0.05 or less). All isolates and isolate combinations, with the exceptions of Acidovorax and the Acidovorax-Brevundimonas combination, saw an increase in metabolic activity upon addition of milk protein. With the addition of both milk protein and tobramycin, there were four phenotypic responses detected. F. columnare, Brevundimonas and the combination of Brevundimonas-Hydrogenophaga saw sharp, statistically significant reductions of metabolic activity with tobramycin, but complete amelioration of inhibition with the addition of milk protein. P. aeruginosa PA01 and C22 and the combination Pseudomonas C22-F. columnare were strongly inhibited by tobramycin, but partially recovered from inhibition with the addition of milk protein. Acidovorax was mildly (statistically significant) inhibited by tobramycin, more strongly inhibited by milk protein, and robustly inhibited by tobramycin + milk protein. Hydrogenophaga showed inhibition of activity with tobramycin, very strong activity when milk protein was present and then nearly complete inhibition of metabolic activity, when both tobramycin and milk protein were present.

The combination of measuring both biofilm biomass and metabolic activity provides unusual insights into the response of isolate and isolate combinations to changing environmental conditions. To better visualize the relationship between biofilm and metabolic activity, we computed the ratio of biofilm biomass (crystal violet staining) to metabolic activity (resazurin reduction) and plotted this as the fraction of the unamended cognate sample (Figure 4). Thus, the value for P. aeruginosa PA01 in the presence of tobramycin is 1.71, indicating that the biomass: metabolic activity ratio is 1.71 times P. aeruginosa PA01 in the absence of the antibiotic. In the case of PA01, the metabolic activity has been disproportionately reduced compared to the biofilm. Only two samples had values less than 1.0, F. columnare + tobramycin and Pseudomonas PA01 + milk protein, indicating that the biomass: metabolic activity ratio was less than the isolate without supplements. Most of the remaining samples had values less than 10, indicating that the biofilm biomass had increased relative to the activity. Several samples were greater than 10 times their cognate control. Tobramycin alone produced nearly a 10-fold increase in biofilm accompanied by a 10-fold reduction in activity of Acidovorax and Hydrogenophaga, while milk protein produced substantial increases in biofilm biomass that were not matched by increases in activity in Acidovorax, Hydrogenophaga and F. columnare. Finally, the combination of milk protein and tobramycin yielded substantial increases in F. columnare, Acidovorax F19 and Hydrogenophaga F14. Of particular note was the robust response of Hydrogenophaga F14 where the biofilm increased 20-fold, but the activity was diminished 5-fold.

The effect of magnesium, calcium, and nickel on the formation of biofilms is presented in Figure 5. These metals were selected based on previous studies that suggested either an enhancement, in the case of magnesium and calcium [35].
Figure 4. The ratio of biofilm biomass (CV) to metabolic activity (Resazurin) expressed as the fraction of stressed to the unstressed cognate sample.

[36], or stimulation, in the case of nickel [34], of biofilm formation. In the concentration range of 100 µM - 1 mM, Mg²⁺ had little effect on biofilm formation. Only Hydrogenophaga F14 and the combination of Brevundimonas-Pseudomonas C22 responded with a modest concentration-dependent increase in biofilm. The response to calcium (1 µM - 100 µM) was more complex. Pseudomonas C22, Acidovorax F19, and the combination Pseudomonas C22 with Brevundimonas F16 showed no effects with calcium, but biofilm formation by P. aeruginosa PA01, Brevundimonas F16 and Hydrogenophaga F14 were modestly enhanced at the higher concentrations. The combinations of Brevundimonas with Hydrogenophaga or Acidovorax were moderately inhibited at higher calcium concentrations. Nickel was tested because of reports of biofilm stimulation in E. coli by subinhibitory concentrations [34]. Under the conditions of our assays in R2Broth, Nickel had little or no effect on biofilm formation by Pseudomonas C22,
Hydrogenophaga F14, Acidovorax F19 and the combination of Pseudomonas C22 and Brevundimonas F16. Nickel was, however, inhibitory to biofilm formation by P. aeruginosa PA01, Brevundimonas F16 and mixed biofilms with Brevundimonas and Hydrogenophaga or Acidovorax. Brevundimonas was particularly sensitive at even the lowest concentration (100 μM NiCl₂).

In our investigations, Brevundimonas was an unusually robust biofilm former, particularly in the presence of exogenous protein. In addition, it facilitated biofilm formation in collaboration with other isolates, notably Hydrogenophaga and Acidovorax. To test its role in biofilm formation with these isolates, we titrated either Hydrogenophaga or Acidovorax with Brevundimonas in standard biofilm assays. The results are presented in Figure 6(A) and shows that the amount of biofilm of Hydrogenophaga or Acidovorax, when paired with Brevundimonas, was dependent on the starting concentration of Brevundimonas. Increasing concentrations of Brevundimonas increased the yield of biofilm. In Panel A the controls to establish statistical relevance were the two-species biofilms at full concentration. Ethanol-killed Brevundimonas was tested under the same conditions to determine if live cells were required (Figure 6(B)). No biofilm
was detected with Ethanol-killed *Brevundimonas* in the absence of a second strain. However, moderately enhance biofilm formation by *Hydrogenophaga* and *Acidovorax* was detected in the presence of Ethanol-killed *Brevundimonas*, although there was little concentration dependence. Note that in these experiments the controls to establish statistical relevance were the single-species biofilms of *Hydrogenophaga* or *Acidovorax*, inasmuch as Ethanol-killed *Brevundimonas* produced no measurable biofilm.

### 4. Discussion

The experiments reported herein describe the response of recently purified, undomesticated freshwater bacterial isolates to antibiotics and metals during the formation of biofilm. With the exception of *P. aeruginosa* PA01 and *F. columnare*, these isolates were purified from the microbiome associated with lake sturgeon eggs. In part, the variability in the amount of biofilm produced by these isolates under our experimental conditions reflects the phylogenetic and metabolic diversity of these bacteria. One isolate, *Brevundimonas* sp., produced a robust biofilm while the remaining five isolates made only modest amounts, compared to the *P. aeruginosa* PA01 positive control. In the formation of biofilm when two species are included in the inoculant, one of which produces an antimicrobial compound, the results were not straightforward. Our previous work indicated that both *Pseudomonas* C22 and D2 produced antimicrobial com-
pounds in soft agar overlays that were inhibitory to Brevundimonas and Hydrogenophaga, but considerably less so to Acidovorax [24] and had no effect on Massilia. In addition, we also showed that established biofilm of Brevundimonas was significantly attacked by pelagic Pseudomonas C22 [24]. In this series of experiments when both an aggressive antibiotic producing isolate and a sensitive isolate were incubated together, biofilm formation did not necessarily correlate with the results from soft agar overlay. Brevundimonas had reduced biofilm when incubated with either Pseudomonas C22 or D2, consistent with results from a soft agar overlay challenge. In contrast, biofilm in mixed solutions of Hydrogenophaga and Massilia, with either Pseudomonas C22 or D2, was increased. The results with Acidovorax were mixed, showing an increase with Pseudomonas C22 but little change with D2. On testing the ability of Pseudomonas C22 to disrupt established biofilms, we found that it was effective against Brevundimonas, but not other isolates. Thus, even though Brevundimonas was accomplished in establishing a biofilm, that option was not effective against antimicrobials produced by the Pseudomonas isolates.

The results were equally complex when biofilm formation was challenged with tobramycin. In these experiments, biofilm assembly was conducted in the presence of tobramycin and the activity assays were conducted after the assembled biofilms had been washed. Tobramycin was not present during incubation with resazurin. Three isolates, P. aeruginosa PA01, F. columnare and Pseudomonas C22, saw a reduction in the amount of biofilm in the presence of tobramycin, whereas biofilms of Acidovorax, Brevundimonas and Hydrogenophaga increased. However, all six isolates appeared sensitive to tobramycin based on the reduction of metabolic activity. Tobramycin has been shown to inhibit bacterial growth via two mechanisms [32], inhibition of translation and disruption of the outer membrane. These experiments were conducted at a concentration of 5 µg/ml (low-intermediate concentration), at which inhibition is thought to be via interference with translation. At higher concentrations (>8 µg/ml) disruption of the outer membrane is the primary mode of killing.

In mixed biofilms of Brevundimonas-Acidovorax, both biofilm and metabolic activity increased in the presence of tobramycin. Indeed, the biofilm biomass doubled indicating that this two-isolate alliance responded to the tobramycin challenge with increased metabolic activity not seen in the isolates individually. The nature of this synergism is not known. The opposite was seen with Pseudomonas C22-F. columnare where both biofilm and activity were reduced in the presence of tobramycin, consistent with the results of single species biofilms. The reduction of metabolic activity was substantially greater than the reduction of biofilm in the Brevundimonas-Hydrogenophaga mix but, in the absence of stressor, these two isolates together out-performed each isolate individually. We posit that the isolate combinations of Brevundimonas with either Acidovorax or Hydrogenophaga exhibit attributes that differed significantly from the isolates in isolation. Populations within a biofilm may be noncommunicative, antagonistic or cooperative where the nature of their interactions may stimulate functionality
not present in single-species biofilms. This has been termed “emergent properties” of biofilms [46] and is thought to indicate synergisms between species [47]. The best example of this in our data was the interactions between *Brevundimonas* and *Hydrogenophaga*. When together, these isolates produced twice as much biofilm as the isolates individually. Mapping the intersections of each species’ biofilm physiology will help to determine the essential metabolic features driving these synergisms.

As mentioned previously, milk protein was found to stimulate biofilm formation substantially in select species [25]. When 2.5% milk protein was applied as a stressor it elicited a more robust biofilm in *Pseudomonas C22*, *F. columnare*, *Hydrogenophaga* and *Brevundimonas* as well as an increase in metabolism. Milk protein also stimulated biofilm in *Acidovorax* but metabolic activity was reduced. Of interest was the response to tobramycin in the presence of milk protein which provided partial (*Pseudomonas PA01*, *Pseudomonas C22*, and C22-*F. columnare*) or complete (*Brevundimonas* and *F. columnare*) amelioration of the effects of tobramycin. This seemingly high protein concentration was not selected randomly. In previous work [25] we showed that select bacterial isolates produced abundant biofilm, as measured with the crystal violet assay, in the presence of relatively high concentrations of exogenous protein. We also demonstrated that the number of cells within the biofilm and the amount of protein incorporated into the matrix, increased, in response to exogenous protein.

Relatively high protein concentrations were selected in extended studies [25] to determine possible environmental stimuli of biofilm formation in *Serratia marcescens*. One potential habitat for this species is the human lung, where the concentration of only the surfactant proteins of alveolar fluid can be as high as 10% of the dry weight [48]. Similar high protein concentration exists in fish gills, targets of *F. columnare*. One interpretation of this is that in microenvironments with high protein concentration, the efficacy of tobramycin may be limited. Counter to this hypothesis is the observation that enhanced respiration, of the type we see upon addition of 2.5% milk protein, reduces the fraction of persister cells in biofilm of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, rendering it more susceptible to antibiotics [49]. Persister cells are present in biofilm and are thought to account, in part, for the resistance of biofilm to antibiotics [50] [51]. Vilchèze et al. [49] suggest that high metabolic rates and increasing consumption of O₂ lead to fewer persister cells and greater production of reactive oxygen species. While reactive oxygen species have been invoked in the inactivation of antibiotics [52], clearly it does not explain the robust potency of tobramycin in *Hydrogenophaga* biofilm with milk protein where there was high metabolic activity. Thus, extending the results regarding persister cells from *M. tuberculosis* to these environmental isolates may be unwise. The metabolic diversity of the isolates in question may preclude a simple explanation.

It should be noted that in experiments where there were two isolates present in the establishment of a biofilm, we did not determine the phylotype composition, hence we do not know if one isolate substantially outcompeted another.
during the assembly process. Nonetheless, if we saw a substantial diminution of biofilm in mixed cultures compared with individual isolates, we can conclude that some form of antagonism was present. Similarly, a substantial boost in biofilm formation in mixed cultures suggests positive interactions between the two species. In a manuscript in preparation, the phylotypic composition of mixed biofilms was performed and we can report that in mixed biofilms of *Brevundimonas* with either *Hydrogenophaga* or *Acidovorax*, the phylotype distribution was approximately 55% *Brevundimonas* and 45% of the second isolate, under unstressed conditions similar to those used in the experiments described above. In a mixed biofilm of *Pseudomonas* C22 and *F. columnare*, the mixture was 90% C22. Thus, even in a situation where there was potential for complete dominance by an antimicrobial producing isolate (C22), *F. columnare* maintained a foothold in the biofilm.

We selected magnesium, calcium and nickel to test because these metals enhance rather than inhibit biofilm formation. Both magnesium and calcium are divalent cations commonly found on the outer membrane of bacteria [53] [54] and appear to assist the structuring of biofilm at low concentrations [35] [36] [47]. Nickel has been shown to stimulate biofilm formation in *E. coli* at 100 µM Ni^{2+} [34]. Metals are also toxic to biofilms [15] including nickel [55] [56]. In general, while we could detect statistically relevant differences in biofilm formation with magnesium and calcium, the effects were small. We found no substantial effect of magnesium on biofilm formation at relatively moderate concentrations. Calcium produced a modest stimulation of biofilm at the two highest concentrations for *Pseudomonas* PA01 and *Brevundimonas* and a moderate reduction of biofilm for two mixed species biofilms with *Brevundimonas*. Several hypotheses have been advanced to account for the influence of metals on biofilm including reducing surface charge and improve packing, bridging molecules and contributions to the structuring of the cell surface and conditioning the substratum [36]. Nickel proved to be the most interesting in that *Brevundimonas* and *Pseudomonas* PA01 appeared particularly sensitive. The concentrations we employed were based on NiCl₂, hence the actual Ni^{2+} concentrations in the assays were 45 µM, 90 µM, 135 µM and 180 µM. Thus, even at the lowest concentration, strong inhibition of biofilm formation was observed and *Brevundimonas* was particularly sensitive. While the sensitivity was attenuated in the mixed cultures with *Acidovorax* and *Hydrogenophaga*, at the higher concentrations of nickel the biofilm was reduced to barely detectable levels. *Brevundimonas* may be a critical target for nickel in the sense that our work indicated that *Brevundimonas* was instrumental in establishing mixed biofilms with emergent properties and higher concentrations of *Brevundimonas* translated into more robust biofilms. In stream systems, it has been observed that biofilm associated metals influence the microbial composition of the community [57]. All of our isolates were derived from freshwater systems, including *Brevundimonas*. Given the sensitivity of *Brevundimonas* to nickel, this group may be a sentinel for metal contamination.
Brevundimonas, like the phylogenetically related genus Caulobacter, possesses a holdfast with a sticky terminus, located at one end of the rod-shaped cell [58] [59]. This structure is critical in establishing a connection to the substrata. Moreover, Brevundimonas biofilm actively recruited both Hydrogenophaga and Acidovorax from broth. We have also observed Brevundimonas induced cell-cell aggregation forming rosettes with Hydrogenophaga and Acidovorax under the light microscope (data not shown), similar to what is seen with Caulobacter [60]. Symbiotic relationships of Brevundimonas with other microbes have been identified [61] [62] and a recent report on nitrogen fixation in this genus [63] may explain in part, its collegiality. These attributes point to a key role for Brevundimonas in establishing biofilms in aquatic settings. Overall, our observations describe a deep complexity in the assembly, function and resistances of multispecies biofilms.

5. Conclusions

Our investigations into the structure and function of sturgeon egg microbiomes were designed to assist in the development of hatchery protocols that reduce egg mortality caused by microbial activity. To that end, we have purified over 100 isolates from eggs and in preliminary studies, described antagonism between isolates and characterized biofilm formation [42]. The investigations presented here extend our description of interactions between isolates. By measuring the formation of biofilm comprised of one or two species in the presence and absence of stressors, we have identified several types of isolate interactions that may facilitate the formation of the egg’s microbiome. We have identified significant sensitivity of some biofilm-forming isolates to two independently isolated Pseudomonas spp. that produce antimicrobial compounds in situ. In one case, the Pseudomonas isolate (C22) diminished the formation of a robust biofilm of F. columnare, a fish pathogen. While the metabolic activity of all tested isolates was diminished by tobramycin, three produced less biofilm and three produced more biofilm in response to the antibiotic. One of our isolates, Brevundimonas, formed abundant biofilm with increased metabolic activity in the presence of exogenous protein. In several cases, the presence of exogenous protein ameliorated the effects of tobramycin, suggesting that antimicrobial activity is conditional for these strains. Biofilm formation by both Brevundimonas and P. aeruginosa PA01 were exceptionally sensitive to low concentrations of nickel. Finally, we posit that Brevundimonas is a keystone species in the formation of multispecies biofilms based on the ability of established Brevundimonas biofilms to recruit pelagic Hydrogenophaga and Acidovorax and its collaborative interactions with Hydrogenophaga.
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