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Abstract

We show that the pointed measured Gromov convergence of the underlying spaces implies (or under some condition, is equivalent to) the weak convergence of Brownian motions under Riemannian Curvature-Dimension conditions.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

The aim of this paper is to characterize a probabilistic convergence of Brownian motions in terms of a geometric convergence of the underlying spaces. Our main results show that the pointed measured Gromov (pmG) convergence of the underlying spaces implies (or under some condition, is equivalent to) the weak convergence of Brownian motions under Riemannian Curvature-Dimension (RCD) conditions for the underlying spaces.

Let us consider the following motivating example: let a sequence of Riemannian manifolds \( \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) converges to a (possibly non-smooth) metric measure space in the Gromov–Hausdorff (GH) sense. Let \((B_n, \mathbb{P}^n)\) be a Brownian motions on each \(M_n\). Noting that \((B_n, \mathbb{P}^n)\) can be determined only by the underlying geometric structure of the Riemannian manifolds \(M_n\), an important question is whether

(Q) a sequence of Brownian motions on Riemannian manifolds also converges weakly to the Brownian motion on the GH-limit space.

This question does not make sense, however, without additional assumptions because there is a gap between the geometric and probabilistic convergences: the weak convergence of Brownian motions clearly involves the first-order differentiable structure of the underlying spaces although the GH convergence never sees any information of differentiable structures. Indeed, we have examples whereby the limit process is no more a diffusion process (see (ii) and (iii) in Remark 1.10).

In this paper, adopting as an additional assumption the uniform lower Ricci curvature bound of \(M_n\), we can answer (Q) affirmatively, which is an application of the main results in this paper. To be more precise, we obtain the equivalence between these geometric/probabilistic convergences in the framework of metric measure spaces under the synthetic lower Ricci curvature bound (called RCD in this paper), which is a substantially more general condition than the one assumed in (Q).

Let us explain the background issues in more detail. Generally, the GH-limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci curvature bounds (called Ricci limit spaces) are so singular that they are not necessarily even topological manifolds and may have a dense singular set (see Example 4.3). However, they still have “Riemannian-like” structures and similar properties to smooth Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci curvature bounds, which have been investigated initially by Cheeger–Colding [17, 18, 19].
The RCD condition, which was introduced by Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [5, 2], Ambrosio–
Mondino-Savaré [7] and Erbar–Kuwada–Sturm [22], is a proper generalization of the notion
of lower Ricci curvature bounds to non-smooth spaces including Ricci limit spaces. It is
known that RCD spaces include various finite- and infinite-dimensional non-smooth spaces,
not only Ricci limit spaces, but also infinite-dimensional spaces such as Hilbert spaces with
log-concave measures (related to various stochastic partial differential equations) (see further
details in Section 4).

By recent developments of analysis on metric measure spaces, we can construct Brow-
nian motions on RCD spaces by using a certain quadratic form, what is called Cheeger
energy. This is a generalization of Dirichlet energy on smooth manifolds and induces a quasi-
regular strongly local conservative symmetric Dirichlet form (Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [4, 5],
Ambrosio–Gigli–Mondino–Rajala [2]), which is determined only by the underlying metric
measure structure.

One of the important problems for Brownian motions on these non-smooth spaces is
to characterize the weak convergence of Brownian motions in terms of some geometrical
convergence of the underlying spaces, which we call the stability of Brownian motions. The
significance of the stability can be explained from several different perspectives. From the
standpoint of limit theorems of stochastic processes, the stability is interpreted as a geometric
characterization of invariance principles for Brownian motions in the sense that Brownian
motions on limit spaces are approximated by Brownian motions on converging spaces. From
the viewpoint of “well-definedness”, the stability also enables us to verify that Brownian
motions in limit spaces are “well-defined” in the sense that Brownian motions intrinsically
defined by Cheeger energies on limit spaces coincide with limit processes of Brownian motions
on approximating spaces. From the perspective that Brownian motions are considered as “a
map” assigning laws of diffusions (i.e., probability measures on path spaces) to each metric
measure space, the stability reveals the interesting fact that this map is continuous with
respect to the corresponding topologies (e.g., GH-topology of metric measure spaces/weak
topology of probability measures on path spaces), which is one ideal aspect of Brownian
motions but has not been focused on so much until now.

The main contribution of this paper is to prove the stability of Brownian motions in
the general framework of RCD spaces, whereby various singular/infinite-dimensional spaces
are included. Moreover, we show several equivalences of the weak convergence of Brownian
motions and the pmG convergence of the underlying spaces. For references to other
investigations regarding the stability problem, see the historical remarks (Section 1.3 below).

1.2 Main Results

In this paper, we always consider pointed metric measure (p.m.m.) spaces $\mathcal{X} = (X, d, m, \pi)$
whereby

$$(X, d)$$ is a complete separable geodesic metric space with nonnegative and nonzero Borel
measure $m$ which is finite on all bounded sets, and $\pi$ is a fixed point in $\text{supp}[m].$ \hfill (1.1)

For main theorems, we assume the following condition:

**Assumption 1.1** Let $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\{\mathcal{X}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{(X_n, d_n, m_n, \pi_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be
a sequence of p.m.m. spaces satisfying (1.1) and RCD$(K, \infty)$ condition.

The notion of CD$(K, \infty)$ spaces was introduced by Sturm [62] and Lott–Villani [44], and the
notion of RCD$(K, \infty)$ spaces was introduced by Ambrosio–Gigli–Savaré [5] and Ambrosio–
Gigli–Mondino–Rajala [2]. The CD$(K, \infty)$ condition is a generalization of Ricci curvature
bounded from below by $K$ to metric measure spaces in terms of the $K$-convexity of the entropy on the Wasserstein spaces. Furthermore RCD($K, \infty$) condition means the CD($K, \infty$) and that the Cheeger energy is \textit{quadratic}. We will explain the precise definition in Subsection 2.4. RCD spaces admit the GH limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci curvature bounds, and also admit Alexandrov spaces (metric spaces satisfying a generalized notion of “sectional curvature $\geq K^\ast$”) (Petrunin [52] and Zhang–Zhu [68]), cone spaces and warped product spaces (Ketterer [37], [38]), and quotient spaces (Galaz-García–Kell–Mondino–Sosa [28]). Moreover, not only finite-dimensional spaces, but also several infinite-dimensional spaces related to stochastic partial differential equations are included such as Hilbert spaces with log-concave measures (Ambrosio–Savaré–Zambotti [8]).

Under Assumption 1.1, we can always take constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ dependent only on $K$ satisfying the following volume growth estimate (see [62, Theorem 4.24])

$$m_n(B_r(\pi_n)) \leq c_1 e^{c_2 r^2}, \quad \forall r > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

Here we mean $B_r(\pi_n) := \{x \in X_n : d(x, \pi_n) < r\}$. Taking $C > c_2$, we set a weighted measure $\hat{m}_n$ as follows:

$$z_n := \int_{X_n} e^{-C d_n^2(x, \pi_n)} d\mu_n(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{m}_n := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{z_n} e^{-C d_n^2(x, \pi_n)} m_n, & \text{if } m_n(X_n) = \infty, \\
\frac{1}{m_n(X_n)} m_n, & \text{if } m_n(X_n) < \infty. \end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (1.3)$$

Under Assumption 1.1 the Cheeger energy $\mathbf{Ch}_n$ on $X_n = (X_n, d_n, m_n, \pi_n)$ (see Subsection 2.4.2) induces a quasi-regular conservative symmetric strongly local Dirichlet form, and there exists a conservative symmetric Markov process on $X_n$, which is unique at quasi-every starting point in $X_n$ (see [1] for the case of $m(X) = 1$ and see [2] for the $\sigma$-finite case). Among equivalent Markov processes associated with $\mathbf{Ch}_n$, we choose a specific Markov process $\{P^n_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ corresponding to a modification $\{P^n_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of the heat semigroup $\{H^n_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ associated with $\mathbf{Ch}_n$ (See Section 3.1). The Markov process $\{P^n_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a diffusion at any starting point $x \in X_n \setminus N$ whereby $N$ is a set of capacity zero. We call $\{P^n_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ Brownian motion on $X_n$.

The following main theorem states that the weak convergence of the Brownian motions can be characterized by the pmG convergence of the underlying spaces under Assumption 1.1 (we will give the definition of the pmG convergence in Subsection 2.3).

\textbf{Theorem 1.2} Suppose that Assumption 1.1 holds. Then the following (i) and (ii) are equivalent:

(i) \textbf{(pmG Convergence of the Underlying Spaces)}

The p.m.m. spaces $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to $X_\infty = (X_\infty, d_\infty, m_\infty, \pi_\infty)$ in the pmG sense.

(ii) \textbf{(Weak Convergence of the Laws of Brownian Motions)}

There exist a complete separable metric space $(X, d)$ and isometric embeddings $\iota_n : X_n \to X$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ so that $\iota_n(\pi_n) \to \iota_\infty(\pi_\infty)$, and

$$\iota_n(B^n), \mathbb{P}^\pi_n \to \iota_\infty(B^\infty), \mathbb{P}^\pi_\infty \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty) ; X)).$$

Here $(\iota_n(B^n), \mathbb{P}^\pi_n)$ means the law of the embedded Brownian motion $\iota_n(B^n)$ with the initial distribution $\hat{m}_n$ and $\mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty) ; X))$ denotes the set of all Borel probability measures on the continuous path space $C([0, \infty) ; X)$.\hspace{1cm}
**Remark 1.3** Several remarks for Theorem 1.2 are given below.

(i) The \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \) condition is stable under the pmG convergence (see [29, Theorem 7.2]), and therefore the limit space \( X_\infty \) also satisfies the \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \) condition so that the Brownian motion can be defined also on the limit space \( X_\infty \).

(ii) The pmG convergence is weaker than the measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence. See [29, Theorem 3.30].

In statement (ii) in Theorem 1.2, the initial distribution is absolutely continuous with respect to the reference measure \( m_n \). It is natural in the next step to ask how the case of the dirac measure \( \delta_{\pi_n} \) is, which means the Brownian motions start at the point \( \pi_n \). We introduce several conditions below:

\[ (A) \quad \text{For any } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m_n(X_n) < \infty. \]

\[ (B) \quad \text{For any } r > 0 \text{ and any } t > 0, \]

\[ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|p_n(t, x, \cdot)\|_{\infty, B_r(x_n)} < \infty, \]

whereby \( p_n(t, x, y) \) is the density of the transition probability \( p_n(t, x, dy) \) of \( \{P^n_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) with respect to the reference measure \( m_n \), and \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty, B_r(x_n)} \) means the essential supremum on the ball \( B_r(x_n) \).

Now we state the second main result.

**Theorem 1.4** Suppose that Assumption 1.1 holds. If, moreover, either (A), or (B) holds, then (i) (thus also (ii)) in Theorem 1.2 implies the following (iii) > 0:

(iii) > 0 **(Weak Convergence of the Laws of Brownian Motions Starting at Points in a Time Interval \((0, \infty)\))**

There exist a complete separable metric space \((X, d)\) and isometric embeddings \( \iota_n : X_n \to X \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) so that it holds that

\[ (\iota_n(B^n), \mathbb{P}^n) \to (\iota_\infty(B^\infty), \mathbb{P}^\infty) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty); X)). \quad (1.4) \]

If, moreover, \( \{(\mathbb{P}_n^x)_{x \in X_n}, (B^n_t)_{t \geq 0}\} \) is a diffusion at every starting point \( x \in X_n \) (without any exceptional set \( N \)) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( (1.4) \) holds in \( \mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty); X)) \).

**Remark 1.5** Several remarks for Theorem 1.4 are given below.

(i) Note that, in (1.4), the time interval of the path space is not \([0, \infty)\) but \((0, \infty)\). This is due to the ambiguity in the starting points of Markov processes associated with Dirichlet forms. However, since Brownian motions on \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \) spaces are conservative and the heat kernel \( p_n(\varepsilon, x, dy) \) is absolutely continuous with respect to \( m_n \) for every \( x \) and every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), each law \( \iota_n(B^n) \) lives on \( C([0, \infty); X) \) for every \( x \in X_n \) (not only quasi-every \( x \))(see Section 3.1). Therefore statement (iii) > 0 in Theorem 1.4 makes sense without quasi-every ambiguity of starting points \( \pi_n \) if we restrict the time interval \([0, \infty)\) to \((0, \infty)\).
(ii) Concerning the last statement in Theorem \ref{thm:main1}, if \( X \) is an RCD\(^*(K, N) \) space for \( K \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( 1 < N < \infty \), then Brownian motions are Feller processes, under which Brownian motions can be constructed uniquely at every starting point (see Proposition \ref{prop:existence}). Thus \((\{P^n_x\}_{x \in X}, \{B^n_t\}_{t \geq 0})\) is a diffusion at every starting point \( x \in X \).

(iii) Condition (B) is satisfied for any RCD\(^*(K, N) \) spaces according to the Gaussian heat kernel estimate by Jiang–Li–Zhang \cite{JLZ}.

(iv) If the following uniform ultra-contractivity of the heat semigroup \( \{H_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) holds, then condition (B) holds (see \cite{BMS} Proposition 6.4): there exists a \( p > 1 \) so that, with some positive constant \( C(t, K) \) dependent only on \( t \) and \( K \), we have

\[
\|H_t f\|_p \leq C(t, K)\|f\|_1, \quad \forall f \in L^1(X, m), \forall t > 0.
\]

We have examples satisfying the ultra-contractivity which is a RCD\((K, \infty) \) space but not a RCD\(^*(K, N) \) space for any \( 1 < N < \infty \). Let \( X_\alpha = (\mathbb{R}, |x|^{-\alpha} \cdot |x|^{\alpha} \exp(-|x|^2)) \) whereby \( \alpha \in \{2, 4, 6, ...\} \) is an even number and \( C_\alpha \) is the normalizing constant. For any \( \alpha > 2 \), it is known that \( X_\alpha \) satisfies the ultra-contractivity of the heat semigroup (Bakry–Bolley–Gentil–Maheux \cite{BBGM}) and satisfies the RCD\((0, \infty) \) condition, but not RCD\(^*(K, N) \) for any finite \( 1 < N < \infty \).

By Theorem \ref{thm:main1} (ii) and (iii) in Remark \ref{rem:remark1}, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.6** Let \( K \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( 1 < N < \infty \). If a sequence of p.m.m. spaces \( \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) satisfies \ref{assumption} and RCD\(^*(K, N) \), then (i) (thus also (ii)) in Theorem \ref{thm:main1} implies (iii) \( t \geq 0 \) in Corollary \ref{cor:cor1} (thus also (iii) \( t > 0 \) in Theorem \ref{thm:main1}).

The notion of RCD\(^*(K, N) \) spaces was first introduced by Erbar–Kuwada–Sturm \cite{EKS} and Ambrosio–Mondino-Savaré \cite{AMS}. It is the class of metric measure spaces satisfying the reduced curvature-dimension condition CD\(^*(K, N) \) with the Cheeger energies being quadratic. Roughly speaking, RCD\(^*(K, N) \) condition is a generalization of Ricci curvature bounded from below by \( K \) and dimension bounded above by \( N \) to metric measure spaces, which is stronger than the RCD\((K, \infty) \) condition.

Next we consider the converse implication that the weak convergence of Brownian motions induces the pmG convergence of the underlying spaces. Define \( p_n(t, x, x) = \|p_n(t/2, x, \cdot)p_n(t/2, x, \cdot)\|_2^2 \), which can be defined for every \( x \in X \). Let us consider the following condition: there exists \( t_0 > 0 \) and a constant \( M \) so that

\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n(t_0, x_n, x_n) < M < \infty.  \tag{1.5}
\]

Note that, since \( p_n(t, x, x) \) is non-increasing function in \( t \), if we find the time \( t_0 \) satisfying \ref{thm:main1}, then for any \( t > t_0 \), the estimate \ref{thm:main1} holds. We also note that if \( X_n \) satisfies the RCD\(^*(K, N) \) with \( 1 < N < \infty \) and \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is bounded, then \ref{thm:main1} is satisfied for some constant \( M \) and \( t_0 \) because of the local Gaussian heat kernel estimate by Jiang–Li–Zhang \cite{JLZ}. Let \( \text{diam}(X_n) \) denote the diameter of \( X_n \): \( \text{diam}(X_n) := \sup_{x,y \in X_n} d_n(x, y) \). We now state the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.7** Suppose that Assumption \ref{assumption} and condition \ref{thm:main1} hold. If, moreover, either \( K > 0 \), or \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam}(X_n) < D \) holds for some \( 0 < D < \infty \), then (iii) \( t_0 \) in Theorem \ref{thm:main1} implies (i) and (ii) in Theorem \ref{thm:main1} (therefore all statements (i), (ii) and (iii) \( t_0 \) are equivalent).
As a corollary of Theorem 1.4 (ii) in Remark 1.5, Corollary 1.6 and Theorem 1.7, we give the following statement in which all statements (i), (ii), (iii) \( > 0 \), and (iii) \( \geq 0 \) are equivalent under the RCD\((K,N)\) condition and a uniform diameter bound.

**Corollary 1.8** Let \( K \in \mathbb{R}, 1 < N < \infty \) and \( 0 < D < \infty \). Suppose that a sequence of p.m.m. spaces \( \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) satisfies (1.1), RCD\((K,N)\) and \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam}(X_n) < D \). Then all four statements of (i), (ii) in Theorem 1.2, (iii) \( \geq 0 \) in Theorem 1.4 and the following (iii) \( \geq 0 \) are equivalent:

(iii) \( \geq 0 \) (Weak Convergence of the Laws of Brownian Motions Starting at Points in a Time Interval \([0, \infty)\))

There exist a compact metric space \((X,d)\) and isometric embeddings \( \iota_n : X_n \to X \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) so that

\[
(t_n(B^n), \mathbb{P}^n) \to (t_\infty(B^\infty), \mathbb{P}^\infty) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty); X)).
\]

**Remark 1.9** We give several remarks for Corollary 1.8

(i) The RCD\((K,N)\) condition is stable under the pmG convergence (see [22]), and therefore the limit space \( X_\infty \) also satisfies the RCD\((K,N)\) condition so that the Brownian motion can be defined at every starting point also on the limit space \( X_\infty \).

(ii) The pmG convergence (see Definition 2.1) is equivalent to the pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence under the assumption in Corollary 1.8 (see [29, Theorem 3.33]).

**1.3 Historical Remarks**

**Remark 1.10** Several historical remarks are given below.

(i) In Ambrosio–Savaré–Zambotti [8, Theorem 1.5], they investigated the weak convergence of Brownian motions on a fixed Hilbert space (as an ambient space) with varying log-concave measures and norms, which is a specific case of RCD\((0, \infty)\) spaces. Their metrics \( d_n \) are not necessarily isometric to the metric \( d \) in the ambient space, but each \( d_n \) is equivalent to \( d \). In the case that each \( d_n \) is isometric to \( d \), our results (Theorem 1.2 and 1.4) can be seen as a generalization of their result [8, Theorem 1.5] to general RCD\((K, \infty)\) spaces.

(ii) In Ogura [48], under the condition of uniform upper bounds for heat kernels (not necessarily lower bound of Ricci curvatures) and the Kasue-Kumura (KK) spectral convergence, he studied the weak convergence of the laws of time-discretized Brownian motions on weighted compact Riemannian manifolds. The KK spectral convergence roughly means a uniform convergence of heat kernels and stronger than the mGH convergence. In his case, the Ricci curvature is not necessarily bounded from below and the limit process may be a jump process ([48, 4.6]). The time-discretization is one possible approach for a convergence of stochastic processes on varying spaces, while we adopt in this paper a different approach, i.e., embedding into one common metric space \( X \).

(iii) If we do not assume RCD conditions for a sequence of the underlying metric measure spaces, then limit processes are not necessarily diffusions. In Ogura–Tomisaki–Tuchiya [49], they considered a sequence of Euclidean spaces \((\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_2)\) with certain underlying measures \( \mu_n \) whereby \( \{(\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_2, \mu_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) do not necessarily satisfy RCD conditions.
They showed that diffusion processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$ associated with the corresponding local Dirichlet forms converge to jump processes (or generally jump-diffusion processes) corresponding to certain non-local Dirichlet forms.

(iv) In Freidlin–Wentzell [25, 20] and Albeverio-Kusuoka [1] (see also references therein), diffusion processes associated with SDEs on thin tubes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ were studied. When thin tubes shrink to a spider graph, diffusion processes converge weakly to a one-dimensional diffusion on this spider graph. Their setting does not satisfy the RCD condition since spider graphs branch at points of conjunctions but RCD spaces are essentially non-branching (see [55, Theorem 1.1]).

(v) In Athreya–Löhr–Winter [9], the weak convergence of certain Markov processes on tree-like spaces was studied. When tree-like spaces converge in Gromov-vague sense, the corresponding processes also converge weakly. Their tree-like spaces admit $0$-hyporbolic spaces, which are not necessarily included in RCD spaces.

(vi) In Suzuki [65], the author investigated the weak convergence of continuous stochastic processes on metric spaces converging in the Lipschitz distance. The Lipschitz convergence is stronger than the measured Gromov convergence (see [31, Section 3.C]).

Finally we list related studies not mentioned in Remark 1.10. In Suzuki [66], the author studied the weak convergence of non-symmetric diffusion processes on RCD spaces as a next step of the current paper. In Li [41, 42], she studied a convergence of random ODE/SDE on manifolds. In Stroock–Varadhan [57], Stroock–Zheng [58] and Burdzy–Chen [16], approximations of diffusion processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by discrete Markov chains on $(1/n)\mathbb{Z}^d$ were investigated. In Bass–Kumagai–Uemura [12] and Chen–Kim–Kumagai [20], they studied approximations of jump processes on proper metric spaces by Markov chains on discrete graphs. Approximations of Markov processes on ultra-metric spaces were explored in Suzuki [64].

Pinsky [53], he studied approximations of Brownian motions on Riemannian manifolds by random walks, while the case of sub-Riemannian manifolds was investigated by Gordina and Laetsch [30]. In Croydon–Hambly–Kumagai [21], in which it was assumed that a sequence of resistance forms converges with respect to the GH-vague topology and satisfies a uniform volume doubling condition, they showed the weak convergence of corresponding Brownian motions and local times. There are many studies about scaling limits of random processes on random environments (see, e.g., Kumagai [39] and references therein).

1.4 Organization of the Paper

The paper is structured as follows: First, the notation is fixed and preliminary facts are recalled in Section 2 (no new results are included), namely: basic notations and basic definitions (Subsection 2.1); $L^2$-Wasserstein distance (Subsection 2.2); pmG convergence (Subsection 2.3); RCD($K, \infty$) and RCD$^*$($K, N$) spaces (Subsection 2.4); $L^2$-convergence of the heat semigroup (Subsection 2.5). In Section 3, we state several properties about Brownian motions on RCD spaces. In Section 4, we present examples in which Assumptions 1.1 and the assumption in Corollary 1.8 are satisfied. These examples consist of weighted Riemannian manifolds and their pmG limit spaces, Alexandrov spaces, and Hilbert spaces with log-concave probability measures. In Section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 6, we show the proof of Theorem 1.4. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.7. Finally, in Section 8, we prove Corollary 1.8.
2 Notation & Preliminary Results

2.1 Notation

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the set of natural numbers and the set of natural numbers with $\{\infty\}$ respectively. For a complete separable metric space $(X, d)$, we denote by $B_r(x) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$ the open ball centered at $x \in X$ with radius $r > 0$. By using $\mathcal{B}(X)$, we mean the family of all Borel sets in $(X, d)$; and by $B_b(X)$, the set of real-valued bounded Borel-measurable functions on $X$. Let $C(X)$ be the set of real-valued continuous functions on $X$, while $C_b(X)$, $C_{\infty}(X)$, $C_0(X)$ and $C_b(X)$ denote the subsets of $C(X)$ consisting of bounded functions, functions vanishing at infinity, functions with compact support, and bounded functions with bounded support, respectively. Let $\text{Lip}(X)$ and $\text{Lip}_b(X)$ denote the set of Lipschitz continuous functions, and the set of bounded Lipschitz continuous functions, respectively. For $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$, we denote by $\text{Lip}_X(f)$ the global Lipschitz constant of $f$. The set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denotes all Borel probability measures on $X$. The set of continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ valued in $X$ is denoted by $C([0, \infty), X)$.

A continuous curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to X$ is connecting $x$ and $y$ if $\gamma_a = x$ and $\gamma_b = y$. A continuous curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to X$ is a minimal geodesic if

$$d(\gamma_t, \gamma_s) = \frac{|s-t|}{|b-a|} d(\gamma_a, \gamma_b) \quad a \leq t \leq s \leq b.$$ 

In particular, if $\frac{d(\gamma_a, \gamma_b)}{|b-a|}$ can be replaced by 1, we say that $\gamma$ is unit-speed. A metric space $X$ is called geodesic if for any two points $x, y \in X$, there exists a minimal geodesic $\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ connecting $x$ and $y$.

Let $\text{supp}[m] = \{x \in X : m(B_r(x)) > 0, \forall r > 0\}$ denote the support of $m$. Let $(Y, d_Y)$ be a complete separable metric space. For a Borel measurable map $f : X \to Y$, let $f_{\#}m$ denote the push-forward measure on $Y$: $f_{\#}m(B) = m(f^{-1}(B))$ for any Borel set $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$.

2.2 $L^p$-Wasserstein Space

Let $(X_i, d_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) be complete separable metric spaces and $1 \leq p < \infty$. For $\mu_i \in \mathcal{P}(X_i)$, a probability measure $q \in \mathcal{P}(X_1 \times X_2)$ is called a coupling of $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ if $\pi_1 \# q = \mu_1$ and $\pi_2 \# q = \mu_2$, whereby $\pi_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) is the projection $\pi_i : X_1 \times X_2 \to X_i$ as $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_i$. We denote by $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ the set of all coupling of $\mu$ and $\nu$.

Let $(X, d)$ be a complete separable metric space. Let $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ consisting of all Borel probability measures $\mu$ on $X$ with finite $p$-th moment:

$$\int_X d^p(x, \overline{x}) d\mu(x) < \infty \quad \text{for some (and thus any) } \overline{x} \in X.$$ 

We equip $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ with the transportation distance $W_p$, called $L^p$-Wasserstein distance, defined as follows:

$$W_p(\mu, \nu) = \left( \inf_{q \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int_{X \times X} d^p(x, y) dq(x, y) \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.1)$$ 

A coupling $q \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$ is called an optimal coupling if $q$ attains the infimum in the equality $[2.1]$. It is known that, for any $\mu, \nu$, there always exists an optimal coupling $q$ of $\mu$ and $\nu$ (e.g., [67, §4]). It is also known that $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), W_p)$ is a complete separable geodesic metric space for $1 < p < \infty$ if $(X, d)$ is a complete separable geodesic metric space (e.g., [67, Theorem 6.18]).
2.3 Pointed Measured Gromov Convergence

In this subsection, we recall the definition of pmG convergence introduced in Gigli-Mondino-Savaré [29].

Definition 2.1 ([29]) (pmG Convergence) A sequence of p.m.m. spaces \( \{X_n = (X_n, d_n, m_n, \pi_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) satisfying (1.1) is convergent to \( X_\infty = (X_\infty, d_\infty, m_\infty, \pi_\infty) \) in the pointed measured Gromov (pmG) sense if there exist a complete separable metric space \( (X, d) \) and isometric embeddings \( \iota_n : X_n \to X \) \((n \in \mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}) satisfying

\[
\iota_n(\pi_n) \to \iota_\infty(\pi_\infty) \in \text{supp}[m_\infty], \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X f d(\iota_n \# m_n) \to \int_X f d(\iota_\infty \# m_\infty),
\]

for any bounded continuous function \( f : X \to \mathbb{R} \) with bounded support.

Remark 2.2 We would like to remark on the pmG convergence in Definition 2.1.

(i) In general, the pmG convergence is strictly weaker than the pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff (pmGH) convergence ([29, Theorem 3.30, Example 3.31]). However, if \( \text{supp}[m_\infty] = X_\infty \) and \( \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) satisfies a uniform doubling condition, then the two notions of pmG and pmGH coincide (see [29, Theorem 3.33]).

(ii) The pmG convergence is metrizable by the distance \( pG_W \) on the collection \( X \) of all isomorphism classes of p.m.m. spaces (see [29, Definition 3.13]). The space \( (X, pG_W) \), moreover, is a complete and separable metric space (see [29, Theorem 3.17]).

2.4 RCD Spaces

In this subsection, we recall the definition of the \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \) condition, following [29]. We also recall several properties satisfied on \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \) spaces.

2.4.1 Relative Entropy

In this subsection, we recall the definition of the relative entropy functional \( \text{Ent}_m : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to \mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \):

\[
\text{Ent}_m(\mu) = \begin{cases} 
\int_X \frac{d\mu}{dm} \log(\frac{d\mu}{dm})dm, & \text{if } \mu \ll m, \\
+\infty, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Here \( d\mu/dm \) denotes the Radon–Nikodym derivative. Let us write \( D(\text{Ent}_m) := \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X) : \text{Ent}_m(\mu) < \infty\} \). Although \( m \) might not be a probability measure, the entropy \( \text{Ent}_m \) is well-defined and lower-semicontinuous thanks to condition (1.2). Indeed, by recalling (1.3):

\[
z := \int_X e^{-C\delta^2(x, \pi)} dm(x),
\]

so that \( \tilde{m} := \frac{1}{z} e^{-C\delta^2(\cdot, \pi)} m \),

we can check that, for any \( \rho m = \mu \in D(\text{Ent}_m) \) with \( \rho \frac{d\mu}{dm} \), it holds that \( \mu = z \rho e^{C\delta^2(\cdot, \pi)} \tilde{m} \).

Therefore we obtain

\[
\text{Ent}_m(\mu) = \text{Ent}_{\tilde{m}}(\mu) - C \int_X \delta^2(\cdot, \pi) d\mu - \log z,
\]

which implies that \( \text{Ent}_m \) is well-defined and lower-semicontinuous with respect to \( W_2 \)-topology. See [29, §4.1.1] for more details.
2.4.2 Cheeger Energy

In this subsection, we recall the Cheeger energy $\mathrm{Ch}$ on $(X,d,m)$. For $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$, the local Lipschitz constant $|\nabla f| : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows:

$$|\nabla f|(x) = \begin{cases} 
\limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(y,x)}, & \text{if } x \text{ is not isolated,} \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

Then we now recall the definition of Cheeger energy: (see [2, 4])

$$\mathrm{Ch}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int |\nabla f_n|^2 dm : f_n \in \text{Lip}(X) \cap L^2(X,m), \int_X |f_n - f|^2 dm \to 0 \right\}$$

$W^{1,2}(X,d,m) = \{ f \in L^2(X,m) : \mathrm{Ch}(f) < \infty \}$.

If $\mathrm{Ch}(f) < \infty$, then the Cheeger energy can be written as an integral form by minimal weak upper gradient $|\nabla f|_w$ (see [4, 2]):

$$\mathrm{Ch}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X |\nabla f|_w^2 dm, \quad \forall f \in W^{1,2}(X,d,m).$$

2.4.3 RCD$(K,\infty)$ Spaces

In this subsection, we recall the CD$(K,\infty)$/RCD$(K,\infty)$ condition.

**Definition 2.3** The CD$(K,\infty)$/RCD$(K,\infty)$ conditions are defined as follows:

(i) (CD$(K,\infty)$) [Sturm [62], Lott–Villani [44]]

We say that $(X,d,m)$ satisfies the curvature-dimension condition CD$(K,\infty)$ for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ if, for each $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in D(\text{Ent}_m)$, there exists a $W_2$-geodesic $\{ \mu_t \}_{t \in [0,1]} \subset D(\text{Ent}_m)$ connecting $\mu_0$ and $\mu_1$ so that

$$\text{Ent}_m(\mu_t) \leq (1 - t)\text{Ent}_m(\mu_0) + t\text{Ent}_m(\mu_1) - \frac{K}{2} t(1 - t) W_2^2(\mu_0, \mu_1). \quad (2.3)$$

(ii) (RCD$(K,\infty)$) [Ambrosio–Gigli–Savaré [5] Theorem 5.1] & Ambrosio–Gigli–Mondino–Rajala [2, Theorem 6.1]]

We say that $(X,d,m)$ satisfies the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition RCD$(K,\infty)$ if the following two conditions hold:

(ii-a) CD$(K,\infty)$

(ii-b) the infinitesimal Hilbertianity, that is, the Cheeger energy $\mathrm{Ch}$ is a quadratic form:

$$2\mathrm{Ch}(u) + 2\mathrm{Ch}(v) = \mathrm{Ch}(u + v) + \mathrm{Ch}(u - v),$$

for any $u, v \in W^{1,2}(X,d,m)$.

It is known that CD$(K,\infty)$/RCD$(K,\infty)$ conditions are stable under the pmG convergence.

**Theorem 2.4** ([62, 5, 2]) (Stability of the RCD$(K,\infty)$ condition)

Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of RCD$(K,\infty)$ spaces. If $X_n$ converges to $X_\infty$ in the pmG sense, then the limit space $X_\infty$ is also an RCD$(K,\infty)$ space.
2.4.4 $W_2$-gradient Flow of Relative Entropy

In this subsection, following [2] [3], we recall the heat flow on the $L^2$-Wasserstein space $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$, which is constructed by the gradient flow of the relative entropy functional. We also recall the stability of the heat flows under the pmG convergence.

The descendent slope $|D^{-\text{Ent}_m}| : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to [-\infty, \infty]$ of the relative entropy $\text{Ent}_m$ is defined as follows:

$$|D^{-\text{Ent}_m}|(\mu) = \begin{cases} 
\limsup_{W_2(\nu, \mu) \to 0} \frac{(\text{Ent}_m(\mu) - \text{Ent}_n(\nu))^+}{W_2(\nu, \mu)}, & \text{if } \mu \in D(\text{Ent}_m), \\
0, & \text{if } \mu \text{ is isolated in } \mathcal{P}_2(X), \\
+\infty, & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X) \setminus D(\text{Ent}_m).
\end{cases}$$

Here $(\cdot)^+$ denotes the positive part. Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, d, m, \pi)$ be a CD($K, \infty$) space and $\pi \in D(\text{Ent}_m)$. A curve $\mu : [0, \infty) \to D(\text{Ent}_m) \subset \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ is said to be the $W_2$-gradient flow of $\text{Ent}_m$ starting at $\pi$ if $\mu$ is locally absolutely continuous in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ with $\mu_0 = \pi$ and

$$\forall t \geq 0 \text{ heat flow on } \mathcal{P}_2(X).$$

Theorem 2.5 (Theorem 7.7 in [29]) (Stability of heat flows)

Let $\{X_n = (X_n, d_n, m_n, \pi_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of RCD($K, \infty$) spaces converging to $X_\infty = (X_\infty, d_\infty, m_\infty, \pi_\infty)$ in the pmG sense. If $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}_2(\text{supp}[m_n]) \subset \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ converges to $\mu_\infty \in \mathcal{P}_2(\text{supp}[m_\infty]) \subset \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ in the $W_2$-sense:

$$W_2(\mu_n, \mu_\infty) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,$$

then the solution $\mu_t^n = \mathcal{H}_t^n(\mu_n)$ of the heat flow starting at $\mu_n$ converges to the limit one $\mu_t^\infty = \mathcal{H}_t^\infty(\mu_\infty)$ in the $W_2$-sense:

$$W_2(\mu_t^n, \mu_t^\infty) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Here $\iota_n$ is an embedding $X_n \to X$ corresponding to the pmG convergence (see Definition 2.4).

2.4.5 $L^2$-gradient Flow of Cheeger Energy

We now recall the $L^2$-gradient flow of Cheeger energy by Hilbertian theory of gradient flows (see e.g., [3]). We also recall the important fact that the heat flow in the previous section and the $L^2$-gradient flow of Cheeger energy in this section coincide under the CD($K, \infty$) condition.

For $f_0 \in L^2(X; m)$, there exists a locally Lipschitz map $t \mapsto f_t = H_t f_0 \in L^2(X; m)$ with $f_t \to f_0$ as $t \downarrow 0$ whose derivative satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} f_t \in -\partial^\circ \text{Ch}(f_t), \quad \text{a.e.-} t > 0.$$  

(2.4)
Here the subdifferential $\partial^- \text{Ch}$ of convex analysis is the multi-valued operator in $L^2(X; m)$ defined at all elements of the domain of the Cheeger energy $f \in W^{1,2}(X, d, m)$ by the family of inequalities
\[
h \in \partial^- \text{Ch}(f) \iff \int_X h(g - f) \, dm \leq \text{Ch}(g) - \text{Ch}(f), \quad \forall g \in L^2(X; m).
\]
The map $H_t : f_0 \mapsto f_t$ is uniquely determined by (2.4) and define a contraction semigroup (not necessarily linear) on $L^2(X; m)$. The flow $f_0 \mapsto f_t = H_t f$ is called $L^2$-gradient flow of the Cheeger energy, and the semigroup $\{H_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called heat semigroup.

We recall that the $L^2$-gradient flows of Cheeger energies and the $W_2$-gradient flow of entropies are equivalent under the $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$ condition.

**Theorem 2.6** [3]. Theorem 9.3 (see also [2]) Let $\mathcal{X} = (X, d, m, \pi)$ be a p.m.m. space satisfying the $\text{CD}(K, \infty)$ condition. If $\mu_0 = f_0 m \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ with $f_0 \in L^2(X; m)$, then
\[
\mathcal{H}_t(\mu_0) = (H_t f_0) m, \quad \forall t \geq 0.
\]

### 2.4.6 $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ Spaces

In this subsection, we recall the definition of the $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ condition and several properties satisfied by $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ spaces (see [7] and [22]) for more details.

For each $\theta \in [0, \infty)$, we define the following functions
\[
\Theta_\kappa(\theta) = \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa} \theta), & \text{if } \kappa > 0, \\ \theta, & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa} \theta), & \text{if } \kappa < 0, \end{cases}
\]
We define the following functions: for $t \in [0, 1],$
\[
\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \Theta_\kappa(t \theta), & \text{if } \kappa \theta^2 \neq 0 \text{ and } \kappa \theta^2 < \pi^2, \\ t, & \text{if } \kappa \theta^2 = 0, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } \kappa \theta^2 \geq \pi^2. \end{cases}
\]

Let $P_\infty(X, d, m)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ consisting of $\mu$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to $m$ and has bounded support.

**Definition 2.7** [7, 10, 22] ($\text{CD}^*(K, N)$ and $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$)

(i) A metric measure space $(X, d, m)$ is said to satisfy the reduced curvature-dimension condition $\text{CD}^*(K, N)$ for $K, N \in \mathbb{R}$ with $1 < N < \infty$ if, for each pair $\mu_0 = \rho_0 m$ and $\mu_1 = \rho_1 m$ in $P_\infty(X, d, m)$, there exist an optimal coupling $q$ of $\mu_0$ and $\mu_1$ and a geodesic $\mu_t = \rho_t m$ $(t \in [0, 1])$ in $(P_\infty(X, d, m), W_2)$ connecting $\mu_0$ and $\mu_1$ so that, for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $N' \geq N$, we have
\[
\int_{X \times X} \rho_t^{-\frac{1}{N'}} \, d\mu_t \geq \int_{X \times X} \left[ \sigma_\kappa^{(1-t)}(d(x_0, x_1)) \rho_0^{-\frac{1}{N'}}(x_0) + \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(d(x_0, x_1)) \rho_1^{-\frac{1}{N'}}(x_1) \right] \, dq(x_0, x_1).
\]

(ii) A metric measure space $(X, d, m)$ is said to satisfy the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ if the following two conditions hold:
Theorem 2.11 (See [29, Theorem 6.11])

of the underlying spaces.

Let \( \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence of RCD\((K, \infty)\) spaces. Assume that \((X_n, d_n, m_n, \nu_n)\) converges to \((X_\infty, d_\infty, m_\infty, \nu_\infty)\) in the pmG sense. Let \((X, d)\) be a complete separable metric space and \(\iota_n : \text{supp}[m_n] \to X\) be isometries as in Definition 2.1. We identify \((X_n, d_n, m_n)\) with \((\iota_n(X_n), d, \iota_n \# m_n)\) and omit \(\iota_n\).

(i) We say that \(u_n \in L^2(X, m_n)\) converges weakly to \(u_\infty \in L^2(X, m_\infty)\) if the following hold:

\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int |u_n|^2 \, dm_n < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int \phi u_n \, dm_n \to \int \phi u_\infty \, dm_\infty \quad \forall \phi \in C_{bs}(X),
\]

whereby recall that \(C_{bs}(X)\) denotes the set of bounded continuous functions with bounded support.

(ii) We say that \(u_n \in L^2(X, m_n)\) converges strongly to \(u_\infty \in L^2(X, m_\infty)\) if \(u_n\) converges weakly to \(u_\infty\) and the following holds:

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int |u_n|^2 \, dm_n \leq \int |u_\infty|^2 \, dm_\infty.
\]

Let \(\{H^a_t\}_{t \geq 0}\) be the \(L^2(X, m_n)\)-semigroup corresponding to the Cheeger energy \(\text{Ch}_n\). Then the following theorem states that \(\{H^a_t\}_{t \geq 0}\) converges strongly in \(L^2\) under the pmG convergence of the underlying spaces.

Theorem 2.11 (See [29, Theorem 6.11])

Let \(\{X_n, d_n, m_n, \nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a sequence of p.m.m. spaces satisfying the RCD\((K, \infty)\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Then, for any \(u_n \in L^2(X, m_n)\) converging strongly to \(u_\infty \in L^2(X, m_\infty)\), we have, for any \(t > 0\)

\[
H^a_t u_n \text{ converges strongly to } H^a_t u_\infty \text{ in the sense of Definition 2.10.}
\]

Note that, in [29, Theorem 6.11], the above Theorem 2.11 was stated without the condition of the infinitesimal Hilbertian.
3 Brownian Motion on RCD spaces

3.1 Brownian Motions on RCD($K, \infty$) Spaces

Let $(X, d, m)$ satisfy the RCD($K, \infty$) condition. Let $\delta_x$ denote the unit mass at $x \in X$, and define a kernel $p(t, x, dy)$ by the action of the heat flow (see Subsection 2.4.4)

$$p(t, x, dy) := \mathcal{H}_t(\delta_x) \quad \forall t > 0, x \in X.$$  

Then we have that (see [5, 2])

$$p(t, x, dy) \text{ is absolutely continuous with respect to } m \text{ for any } t > 0,$$

and we denote the density by $p(t, x, y)$. By [5, Theorem 6.1] and [2] (for the case of $\sigma$-finite reference measures), the density $p(t, x, y)$ is symmetric in $x$ and $y$, and satisfies the Chapman–Kolmogorov formula. Moreover, the following action of semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$

$$P_t f(x) := \int_X f(y) d\mathcal{H}_t(\delta_x)(dy) \quad (3.1)$$

is a version of the linear heat semigroup $\{H_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined as the gradient flow of the Cheeger energy $\text{Ch}$ (see Subsection 2.4.5) for any $f \in L^2(X; m)$. Furthermore $P_t$ is an extension of $H_t$ to a continuous contraction semigroup in $L^1(X; m)$ which is point-wise everywhere defined on $\text{supp}[m]$ if $f \in L^\infty(X; m)$ since $P_t f$ becomes Lipschitz continuous on $\text{supp}[m]$ whenever $f \in L^\infty(X; m)$ (see [5, Theorem 6.5] and [2, Theorem 7.3]). We call $p(t, x, dy)$ and $p(t, x, y)$ the heat kernel and the heat kernel density, respectively. By the Kolmogorov extension theorem, we can construct a family of probability measures $\{\mathbb{P}_x\}_{x \in X}$ on $X^{[0, \infty)}$ and a system of Markov processes $\{(\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in X}, \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}\}$ on $X$ with respect to $p(t, x, dy)$.

On the other hand, we can define a Dirichlet form (i.e., a symmetric closed Markovian bilinear form) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ induced by the Cheeger energy $\text{Ch}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} (\text{Ch}(u + v) - \text{Ch}(u - v)), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F} = W^{1,2}(X, d, m).$$

By [5, Lemma 6.7] (see [2, Theorem 7.2] for $\sigma$-finite reference measures), the form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ becomes a quasi-regular conservative strongly-local symmetric Dirichlet form below. See [5, Proposition 4.11] for the strong locality, and the conservativeness follows from the volume growth estimate [1, 2] and Sturm’s conservativeness test [59, Theorem 4].

Therefore, by [10, Theorem IV 3.5, V1.5], there exists a family of probability measures $\{\mathbb{Q}^x\}_{x \in X}$ on $C([0, \infty); X)$ and a system of conservative diffusion processes $\{(\mathbb{Q}^x)_{x \in X}, \{B_t^x\}_{t \geq 0}\}$ so that

$$\mathbb{E}_Q^x(f(B_t^x)) = H_t f, \quad \forall f \in L^2(X; m) \cap B_b(X), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X \setminus \mathcal{N}.$$ 

Here $\mathbb{E}_Q^x$ denotes the expectation with respect to $\mathbb{Q}^x$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is a set of zero-capacity with respect to the Cheeger energy $(\text{Ch}, W^{1,2}(X, d, m))$. Such systems of Markov processes are unique up to zero-capacity sets.

Since $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a version of $\{H_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, the systems of Markov process $\{(\mathbb{P}^x)_{x \in X}, \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}\}$ and $\{(\mathbb{Q}^x)_{x \in X}, \{B_t^x\}_{t \geq 0}\}$ coincide except on zero-capacity sets. In this paper, we adopt $\{(\mathbb{P}^x)_{x \in X}, \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}\}$ for representing a system of Brownian motions.

By the same argument of [5, Proof of (c) in Theorem 1.2], we have that

$$\mathbb{P}^x(C([0, \infty))) = 1 \quad \text{for every } x \in X \text{ (not only quasi-every } x \in X). \quad (3.2)$$
Note that by the conservativeness and the strong locality of the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$, considering that $\mathbb{P}^x$ and $\mathbb{Q}^x$ coincide for quasi-every $x$, we know that $\mathbb{P}^x(C([0,\infty))) = 1$ for quasi-every $x \in X$. However, the property (3.2) is not necessarily true in general, and this property is thanks to the absolute continuity of the heat kernel $p(t,x,dy)$ with respect to $m$ for any $t > 0$.

**Remark 3.1** The diffusion process defined above is conventionally called *Brownian motion* ([5]), but this may indicate other diffusion processes than the standard Brownian motion in some situations. For instance, when we take $(X,d,m) = (\mathbb{R}^d, \| \cdot \|_2, \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|_2^2\} dx)$ whereby $dx$ denotes the Lebesgue measure, and $\| \cdot \|_2$ denotes the Euclidean distance. Then $(X,d,m)$ satisfies RCD(0,∞) and the diffusion induced by the Cheeger energy coincides with what is known as the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, which is different from the standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

### 3.2 Brownian Motions on RCD$^*(K,N)$ Spaces

In this subsection, we show the Feller property of the heat semigroup on RCD$^*(K,N)$ spaces. Therefore Brownian motions can be constructed uniquely at every starting point.

**Proposition 3.2** Under the RCD$^*(K,N)$ condition, the heat semigroup $\{H_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ has a Feller modification. That is, there exists a semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ so that $P_tf = H_tf$ m-a.e. for any $f \in L^2(X,m)$ and any $t > 0$ and the following conditions hold:

**F-1** For any $f \in C_\infty(X)$, $P_tf \in C_\infty(X)$ for any $t > 0$.

**F-2** For any $f \in C_\infty(X)$, $\|P_tf - f\|_\infty \to 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$.

**Remark 3.3** The following proof is the result of a private communication with Prof. Kazuhiro Kuwae. Although the proof might be already known in some literature, we could not find good references and we give the proof for the sake of reader’s convenience.

**Proof.** By [5] (iii) in Theorem 6.1, there exists a semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ which is a modification of $\{H_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ so that $P_tf \in \text{Lip}_b(X)$ if $f \in L^\infty(X,m)$. Before checking (F-1) and (F-2), we first give a heat kernel estimate. By [34] Theorem 1.2, we have the following Gaussian heat kernel estimate: there exist positive constants $C_i = C_i(N,K)$ for $i = 1,2,3$ depending only on $N,K$ so that

$$p(t,x,y) \leq \frac{C_i}{m(B_r(y))}\exp\left\{-C_2\frac{d(x,y)^2}{t} - C_3t\right\}, \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x,y \in X$ and $0 < t$. Here the heat kernel $p(t,x,y)$ means the integral kernel of the heat semigroup $P_tf(x) = \int_X fp(t,x,y)m(dy)$ for $t > 0$.

We now show condition (F-1). We already know $P_tf \in C_0(X)$, so it suffices to show that $P_tf$ vanishes at infinity for $f \in C_\infty(X)$ and $t > 0$, which is an easy consequence of (3.3) as follows: we may assume that $f$ is compactly supported since every element in $C_\infty(X)$ can be approximated by elements in $C_0(X)$ with respect to the uniform norm. Let $K \supset \text{supp}[f]$ be a compact set. By (3.3) and $\inf_{y \in K} m(B_{r}(y)) > 0$ by the lower semi-continuity of $m(B_r(x))$
in $x$), we see that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K' \subset X$ so that

$$|P_t f(x)| \leq \int_K p(t, x, y)|f(y)|m(dy)$$

$$< \|f\|_\infty \int_K \frac{C_1}{m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(y))} \exp\left\{-\frac{C_2}{t} \frac{d(x, y)^2}{t} - C_3t\right\}m(dy)$$

$$< \frac{C_1\|f\|_\infty}{\inf_{y \in K} m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(y))} \int_K \exp\left\{-\frac{C_2}{t} \frac{d(x, y)^2}{t} - C_3t\right\}m(dy)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \quad (\forall x \in X \setminus K').$$

Thus we have proved (F-2).

Now we prove (F-2). We may assume $f \in C_0(X)$. Let $K \supset \text{supp}[f]$ be a compact set. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, take $\delta > 0$ so that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $d(x, y) < \delta$ in $x, y \in K$. By the Gaussian estimate (3.3), we can choose a positive number $T$ so that $p(t, x, y) < \varepsilon$ for any $0 < t < T$, and for any $x \in X$ and $y \in K$ satisfying $d(x, y) \geq \delta$. Then we have that, for any $x \in X$

$$|P_t f(x) - f(x)| = \left| \int_K p(t, x, y)f(y)m(dy) - f(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_K p(t, x, y)|f(y) - f(x)|m(dy)$$

$$= \int_{B_\delta(x) \cap K} p(t, x, y)|f(y) - f(x)|m(dy) + \int_{(B_\delta(x) \setminus \cap K) p(t, x, y)|f(y) - f(x)|m(dy)}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon\|f\|_\infty.$$

Thus we have shown that (F-2) holds.

4 Examples

In this section, several specific examples satisfying Assumption 1.1 or the assumption in Corollary 1.8 are given. In the first subsection, we explain weighted Riemannian manifolds whose weighted Ricci curvature is bounded below, and their pmG limit spaces. In the second subsection, we explain Alexandrov spaces, which are a generalization of the lower sectional curvature bound to metric spaces. In the third subsection, we give Hilbert spaces with log-concave probability measures.

4.1 Weighted Riemannian Manifolds and pmG Limit Spaces

Let $\{(M_n, g_n, w_n, \pi_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pointed complete and connected weighted $N$-dimensional Riemannian manifolds whose weight satisfies $w_n = e^{-V_n}$ for a twice continuously differentiable function $V_n \in C^2(M_n)$. We write the corresponding pointed metric measure space $\mathcal{M}_n = (M_n, d_{g_n}, w_n \text{Vol}_n, \pi_n)$ whereby $d_{g_n}$ denotes the distance function associated with the Riemannian metric $g_n$; $\text{Vol}_n$ denotes the Riemannian volume measure; and $\pi_n \in M_n$ is a fixed point. Let the weighted Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ of $\mathcal{M}_n$ be bounded from below by $K$: there exists $K \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{M}_n} = \text{Ric}_g + \nabla^2 V_n \geq Kg_n,$$
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whereby \( \text{Ric}_{g_n} \) means the Ricci curvature of \((M_n, g_n)\) and \( \nabla^2 \) means the Hessian. Then \( \mathcal{M}_n \) satisfies RCD\((K, \infty)\) spaces \([56, 62]\). Even when \( V_n : M_n \to \mathbb{R} \) is not in \( C^2(M_n) \), if \( \text{Ric}_{g_n} \geq K \) and

\[
V_n : M_n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is } K'-\text{convex (see [62]),}
\]

then \( \mathcal{M}_n \) satisfies RCD\((K + K', \infty)\). If, moreover,

\[
V_n : M_n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is } K'-\text{convex (see [22]),}
\]

then \( \mathcal{M}_n \) satisfies RCD\(^*\)(\(K + K', N + N'\)). The Brownian motion on \( M_n \) is a Markov process whose infinitesimal generator \( A_n \) is

\[
A_n = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{M_n} - \langle \nabla V_n, \nabla \rangle,
\]

whereby \( \Delta_{M_n} \) is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \( M_n \).

If \( \mathcal{M}_n \) satisfying RCD\((K, \infty)\) (or, RCD\(^*\)(\(K, N\))) converges to a metric measure space \( \mathcal{M}_\infty \) in pmG sense, then the limit space \( \mathcal{M}_\infty \) satisfies RCD\((K, \infty)\) (or, RCD\(^*\)(\(K, N\))), respectively (see [29, 22]). Thus we can apply our main results and obtain the weak convergence of the Brownian motions.

We have various singular examples appearing as the limit space. See e.g., [17, Example 8]. We give one of the simplest examples included in this framework.

**Example 4.1 (Collapsing: Torus \( \to \) Circle)**

Let \( S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) be the unit circle. Let \( d_{S^1} \) be the shortest path distance on \( S^1 \), that is, the distance between \( x \) and \( y \) is defined by the infimum over lengths of geodesics on \( S^1 \) connecting \( x \) and \( y \). Let

\[
\overline{H}_{S^1} := \frac{1}{H_{S^1}(S^1)} H_{S^1}
\]

be the normalized Hausdorff measure on \((S^1, d_{S^1})\). Let \( \mathbb{T}_n = S^1 \times S^1 \) be a two-dimensional flat torus with a metric \( d_n = d_{S^1} \otimes \frac{1}{n} d_{S^1} \) and the normalized Hausdorff measure \( \overline{H}_n \) on \((\mathbb{T}_n, d_n)\), whereby

\[
d_{S^1} \otimes \frac{1}{n} d_{S^1}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) := \sqrt{d_{S^1}^2(x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{n^2} d_{S^1}^2(y_1, y_2)}.
\]

Then \((\mathbb{T}_n, d_n, \overline{H}_n)\) satisfies the RCD\(^*\)(0, 2) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and converges to \((S^1, d_{S^1}, \overline{H}_{S^1})\) in the measured Gromov sense. Thus we can apply our result (Corollary 1.8) and the weak convergence of the Brownian motions is equivalent to the pmG convergence of the underlying spaces.

Figure 1: Tori Converge to a Circle.
4.2 Alexandrov Spaces

We explain Alexandrov spaces, which are a generalization of lower bounds of sectional curvatures to metric spaces. We refer the reader to [15] for basic theory of Alexandrov spaces. Let \((X, d)\) be a locally compact length space. For a triple of points \(p, q, r \in X\), a geodesic triangle \(\triangle pqr\) is a triplet of geodesics joining each two points. Let \(M^N(K)\) be the \(N\)-dimensional complete simply connected space of constant sectional curvature \(K\). For a geodesic triangle \(\triangle pqr\), we denote by \(\triangle \tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{r}\) a geodesic triangle in \(M^2(K)\) whose corresponding edges have the same lengths as \(\triangle pqr\).

A locally compact length space \((X, d)\) is said to be an Alexandrov space with \(\text{Curv} \geq K\) if for every point \(x \in X\), there exists an open set \(U_x\) including \(x\) so that for every geodesic triangle \(\triangle pqr\) whose edges are totally included in \(U_x\), the corresponding geodesic triangle \(\triangle \tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{r}\) satisfies the following condition: for every point \(z \in qr\) and \(\tilde{z} \in \tilde{q}\tilde{r}\) with \(d(q, z) = d(\tilde{q}, \tilde{z})\), we have \(d(p, z) \geq d(\tilde{p}, \tilde{z})\).

If we consider a complete \(N\)-dimensional Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\), then \((M, g)\) is an Alexandrov space with \(\text{Curv} \geq K\) if and only if \(\text{sec}(M) \geq K\), whereby \(\text{sec}(M)\) means the sectional curvature of \(M\).

Let \(X = (X, d, H)\) be an \(N\)-dimensional Alexandrov space with \(\text{Curv} \geq K\) and \(H\) be the Hausdorff measure (see e.g., [15] for details). According to [52, 68], \(X\) satisfies CD\(^*\)\(((N - 1)K, N)\). Moreover, as was shown in [40], \(X\) satisfies the infinitesimal Hilbertian condition, and as a result, \(X\) satisfies RCD\(^*\)\(((N - 1)K, N)\). Thus we can apply our results (Theorem 1.2, 1.4) and if a sequence of pointed Alexandrov spaces \(X_n\) with \(\text{Curv} \geq K\) converges to the limit space \(X_\infty\) in the pmG sense, then the Brownian motions on \(X_n\) converge weakly to the limit Brownian motion on \(X_\infty\). We give several examples.

**Example 4.2 (Cone \(\to\) Interval)**

Let \(X_n \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) be a cone defined by \(X_n = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{1}{n}x, \ 0 \leq x < 1\}\) \(\cup\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{1}{n}, \ x = 1\}\). Let \(d_n\) be the shortest path distance on \(X_n\) and \(H_n\) be the normalized Hausdorff measure on \(X_n\). Then \((X_n, d_n, H_n)\) satisfies RCD\(^*\)(0, 2) and converges to \(((0, 1], |·|, m)\) in the measured Gromov sense, whereby \(m\) is a measure on \([0, 1]\). Thus we can apply our result (Corollary 1.8) and the weak convergence of the Brownian motions is equivalent to the pmG convergence of the underlying spaces.

![Figure 2: Cones Converge to an Interval.](image)

As a second example, we give a sequence of polygons made by the barycentric subdivision. The limit space has dense singularities.
Example 4.3 (Dense Singularities [50, p. 632, Examples. (2)])
Let $X = (X, d)$ be a polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the shortest path metric $d$ on $X$. Then we can check that $X$ is an Alexandrov space with $\text{Curv} \geq 0$, which is also an $\text{RCD}^*(0, 2)$ space. For any vertices $p \in X$, let $\angle(X, p)$ denote the sum of all inner angles of at $p$ of faces $T$'s such that $p$ is a vertex of $T$.

Now we construct a sequence of polyhedra $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ inductively. Let $M_1$ be a tetrahedron in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the barycenter $o$. Let $M_n$ be defined. Then we define $M_{n+1}$ as follows: Take a monotone decreasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ with $0 < \varepsilon_n < 1$ and $\varepsilon := \Pi_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_n) > 0$. We take the barycentric subdivision of $M_n$. Keep the original vertices in $M_n$ in the same positions and move the new vertices generated by the barycentric subdivision outward along rays emanating from $o$ so small that, for the new polyhedra $M_{n+1}$ generated by the new and original vertices, we have

$$2\pi - \angle(M_{n+1}, p) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_n)(2\pi - \angle(M_n, p)),$$

for any vertex $p \in M_n$. See [50, p. 632, Examples. (2)] for more details.

Let $d_n$ and $H_n$ be the shortest path distance and the Hausdorff measure on $M_n$. Then there exists the Hausdorff-limit of $M_n = (M_n, d_n)$, denoted by $M_\infty$. The limit space $M_\infty$ is a two-dimensional Alexandrov space with nonnegative curvature. In particular, $(M_n, d_n, H_n)$ converges to $(M_\infty, d_\infty, H_\infty)$ in the measured Gromov sense. The limit space $M_\infty$ also satisfies the $\text{RCD}^*(0, 2)$ by the stability of $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ spaces under the measured Gromov convergence (see [22]). The set of singular points in $M_\infty$ is dense (see [50]). Since each diameter of $M_n$ is obviously uniformly bounded by the construction, we can apply our result (Corollary 1.8) and the weak convergence of the Brownian motions is equivalent to the pmG convergence of the underlying spaces.

4.3 Hilbert Space with Log-concave Measures
In this subsection, we give a specific class of $\text{RCD}(0, \infty)$ spaces, which is a Hilbert space with log-concave measures. This subsection follows [8].

Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space, which would be a finite- or infinite-dimensional space, with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the corresponding norm $\| \cdot \|$. A Borel probability measure $\gamma$ on $H$ satisfies the log-concave condition if, for all pairs of open subsets $A, B \subset H$, it holds that

$$\log \gamma((1 - t)A + tB) \geq (1 - t) \log \gamma(A) + t \log \gamma(B), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$
Let \( K = \text{supp}[\gamma] \) and \( A = A(\gamma) \) be the smallest closed linear subspace containing \( K \). We write canonically
\[
A = H_0 + h_0, \quad h_0 \in K, \quad \|h_0\| \leq \|k\|, \quad \forall k \in K,
\]
so that \( h_0 \) is the element of the minimal norm in \( K \) and \( H_0 \) is a closed linear subspace in \( H \).

Let \( C^1(A) \) be the set of all \( \Phi : A \to \mathbb{R} \) which are bounded, continuous and Fréchet differentiable with a bounded continuous gradient \( \nabla \Phi : A \to H_0 \). Then, according to \( [8] \), Theorem 1.2, the following bilinear form becomes closable and the closed form becomes a symmetric quasi-regular Dirichlet form \( \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\|\cdot\|,\gamma} : \)
\[
\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \int_K (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{H_0} \, d\gamma, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F} := \frac{C^1(A)}{\mathcal{H}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{\|\cdot\|}}.
\]
(4.1)

In \( [8] \), the corresponding semigroup \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) associated with \( (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \) satisfies EVI0 property, which is equivalent to the \( \text{RCD}(0, \infty) \) condition of \( (H, \|\cdot\|, \gamma) \) according to \([5]\). Let \( \{H_n = (H, \|\cdot\|_n, \gamma_n, \mathcal{F}_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence of pointed Hilbert spaces with log-concave probability measures satisfying the above conditions. Then we can apply our results (Theorem 1.2, 1.4) and the weak convergence of the Brownian motions on \( H_n \) to that on \( H_\infty \) follows from the pmG convergence of the underlying spaces \( H_n \) to \( H_\infty \).

Various infinite dimensional examples are included in the framework of Hilbert spaces with log-concave probability measures. For instance, all measures \( \gamma \) of the following form satisfy the log-concave condition: let \( dx \) be the Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) and
\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-V} \, dx, \quad \text{whereby} \quad V : H = \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R} \text{ convex and} \quad Z = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-V} \, dx < +\infty,
\]
such as all Gaussian measures and all Gibbs measures on on a finite lattice with a convex Hamiltonian. See \([8, \text{Section 1.2}]\) for various infinite-dimensional literatures related to stochastic partial differential equations. We give several finite-dimensional examples.

**Example 4.4 (B)\)** We explain several examples associated with stochastic differential equations (SDE). The first one is SDEs on the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^N \) with variable potentials. The second one is SDEs on variable convex domains in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) with variable potentials.

(a) **(SDE with Variable Convex Potentials)** Let \( H = \mathbb{R}^N \) with \( 1 < N < \infty \). Let \( \{V_n : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence of convex functionals with a Lipschitz continuous gradient \( \nabla V_n : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-V_n} \, dx < \infty \). Take
\[
\gamma_n = \frac{1}{Z_n} e^{-V_n} \, dx, \quad \text{whereby} \quad Z_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-V_n} \, dx.
\]
Then \( \gamma_n \) becomes a log-concave probability measure. Therefore the diffusion process associated with the Dirichlet form \( \mathcal{E}_n \) in (4.1) is a solution of the following SDE:
\[
dX^a_t = -\nabla V_n(X^a_t) \, dt + \sqrt{2} dW_t, \quad X_0 = \overline{x}_n.
\]
(4.2)
If, \( V_n \) converges to \( V_\infty \) uniformly and \( \overline{x}_n \to \overline{x}_\infty \), then it is easy to check that \( \gamma_n \) converges to \( \gamma_\infty \) weakly and \( (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_2, \gamma_n, \mathcal{F}_n) \) converges to \( (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_2, \gamma_\infty, \mathcal{F}_\infty) \) in the pmG sense. Thus we can apply our results (Theorem 1.2, 1.4) and the solution to SDE (4.2) on \( H_n = (H, \|\cdot\|_n, \gamma_n, \mathcal{F}_n) \) converges weakly to the limit one on \( H_\infty \).
(b) \textbf{(SDE on Variable Convex Subsets with Variable Convex Potentials)} Let $H = \mathbb{R}^N$ with $1 < N < \infty$ and $U_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a convex open set. We consider a convex functional $V_n \in C^{1,1}(U_n)$ and $V_n \equiv +\infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus U_n$ with $\int_{U_n} e^{-V_n(x)} dx < \infty$. Take

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1}{Z_n} e^{-V_n(x)} dx|_{U_n}, \quad \text{whereby} \quad Z_n = \int_{U_n} e^{-V_n(x)} dx.$$  

Then $\gamma_n$ becomes a log-concave probability measure. Therefore the diffusion process associated with the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}_n$ in (4.1) is a solution of the following SDE:

$$dX^n_t = -\nabla V_n(X^n_t) dt + \sqrt{2} dW_t + n(X_t) dL^n_t, \quad X_0 = x_n \in U_n. \quad (4.3)$$

Here $n$ is an inner normal vector to $\partial U_n$ and $L^n$ is a continuous monotone non-decreasing process which increases only when $X_t \in \partial U_n$.

If the closure $\overline{U}_n$ converges to a closed convex subset $\overline{U}_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ in the Hausdorff sense (see e.g., [31]), $\overline{x}_n \to x_\infty$ and $V_n$ converges to $V_\infty$ uniformly, then it is easy to check that $\gamma_n$ converges to $\gamma_\infty$ weakly and $\gamma_n, x_n$ converges to $(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_2, \gamma_\infty, x_\infty)$ in the pmG sense. Thus we can apply our results (Theorem 1.2, 1.4) and the solution to (4.3) on $U_n$ converges weakly to the solution to the limit SDE on $U_\infty$.

\section{Proof of Theorem 1.2}

We first show the implication of \((ii) \implies (i)\) in Theorem 1.2.

\textbf{Proof of (ii) \implies (i) in Theorem 1.2}. If we assume (ii), then it is obvious that the initial distributions $\hat{m}_n$ converge weakly to $\hat{m}_\infty$. Since the weak convergence of $m_n$ to $m_\infty$ is equivalent to the convergence of $m_n$ to $m_\infty$ in the sense of (2.2) (easy to check), we finish the proof of the implication \((ii) \implies (i)\) in Theorem 1.2. \hfill \Box

We now show the implication \((i) \implies \text{(ii)}\).

\textbf{Proof of (i) \implies \text{(ii)} in Theorem 1.2}. By Definition 2.1 there exist a complete separable metric space $(X, d)$ and a family of isometric embeddings $\iota_n : X_n \to X$ such that, for any bounded continuous function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with bounded support, we have

$$\int_X f(d(\iota_n \# m_n)) \to \int_X f(d(\iota_\infty \# m_\infty)).$$

Set the notation for the laws of Brownian motions as follows:

$$\mathbb{B}^{\iota_n}_n := (\iota_n(B^n), \mathbb{P}^{\iota_n}_n), \quad \mathbb{B}^{\iota_\infty}_n := (\iota_n(B^n), \mathbb{P}^{\iota_\infty}_n).$$

Hereafter we identify $\iota_n(X_n)$ with $X_n$ and we omit $\iota_n$ for simplifying the notation.

To show the weak convergence of the Brownian motions, we have two steps. The first step is to show the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions, and the second is to show tightness. We first show the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions in the case that the initial distribution is the Dirac measure $\delta_{x_n}$.

\textbf{Lemma 5.1 (Convergence of Finite-Dimensional Distributions)}. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \cdots < t_k < \infty$ and $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k \in C_b(X)$, the following holds:

$$\mathbb{E}^{\iota_n}[f_1(B^n_{t_1}) \cdots f_k(B^n_{t_k})] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\iota_\infty}[f_1(B^\infty_{t_1}) \cdots f_k(B^\infty_{t_k})].$$
Therefore, for any \( f \) on the curvature lower-bound \( K \) by [2, Theorem 7.3], so that

\[
\mathbb{E}^x 1_{B_R(x)}(B_t^\infty) = \mathbb{E}^x (B_t^\infty \in B_R(x)) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].
\]

If we know that \( \mathbb{E}^x_n(f(B_t^n)) \) converges to \( \mathbb{E}^x(f(B_t^\infty)) \) for any \( f \in C_b(X) \cap L^2(X; m_\infty) \), then we know that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}^x_n(B_t^n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}^x_n(1_{B_R(x)}(B_t^n)) = \mathbb{E}^x(1_{B_R(x)}(B_t^\infty)) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].
\]

Therefore, for any \( f_1, \ldots, f_k \in C_b(X) \), and any small \( \delta > 0 \), we can choose \( R > 0 \) large enough so that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}^x_n(f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}^x_n\left(f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^n) : \bigcap_{j=1}^k \{B_{t_j}^n \in B_R(x)\} \right)
+ \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}^x_n\left(f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^n) : \bigcap_{j=1}^k \{B_{t_j}^n \in B_R(x)\} \right) ^c
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}^x_n\left(f_1 1_{B_R(B_{t_1}^n)} \cdots f_k 1_{B_R(B_{t_k}^n)} \right) + \delta.
\]

Thus we may show the proof only for \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k \in C_b(X) \cap L^2(X; m_\infty) \).

Recall that we have the following equality (see Subsection 3.1): for every \( f \in C_b(X) \cap L^2(X; m_\infty) \),

\[
\mathbb{E}^x_n(f(B_t^n)) = P_t^n f(x), \quad (5.1)
\]

for every \( x \in X_n \). Here recall that \( \{P_t^n\}_{t \geq 0} \) is the semigroup defined in (3.1) by the action of the heat flow whereby \( P_t \) is a modification of the heat semigroup \( H_t \) and \( P_t^n f(x) \) can be defined for every point \( x \in X^n \) if \( f \in C_b(X) \cap L^2(X; m_\infty) \). Since the Brownian motion \( \{\xi^n_t\}_{x \in X_n} \) is constructed by the Kolmogorov extension theorem with the integral kernel \( p_n(t, x, dy) \) of \( \{P_t^n\}_{t \geq 0} \) as in Section 3.1, the equality (5.1) holds for every point \( x \in X_n \).

By using the Markov property, for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[
\mathbb{E}^x_n[f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^n)] = P^{n}_{t_1 - t_0} \left[f_1 P_{t_2 - t_1}^{n} \left(f_2 \cdots P_{t_k - t_{k-1}}^{n} f_k\right)\right](x).
\]

By [2] Theorem 7.3, \( P_t^n \) is bounded Lipschitz on \( X_n \) whose Lipschitz constant depends only on the curvature lower-bound \( K \).

For later arguments, we extend \( P_t^n \) to the whole space \( X \) (note that \( P_t^n \) is defined only on each \( X_n \)). The key point is to extend \( P_t^n \) to the whole space \( X \) preserving its Lipschitz regularity and bounds.

**Proposition 5.2 (47, Corollary 1.2)** Let \( \overline{P}_t^n \) be the function defined on the whole space \( X \) as follows:

\[
\overline{P}_t^n(x) := \left( \sup_{a \in X_n} \{P_t^n(a) - H_d(a, x)\} \wedge \sup_{a \in X_n} P_t^n(a) \right) \vee \inf_{a \in X_n} P_t^n(a), \quad x \in X. \quad (5.2)
\]
Here $H$ denotes the same Lipschitz constant of the original function $P^n_k$. Then $\widetilde{P}^n_k$ is a bounded Lipschitz continuous function on the whole space $X$ with the same Lipschitz constant $H$ and the same bound. Moreover $\widetilde{P}^n_k = P^n_k$ on the original domain $X_n$. The function $\widetilde{P}^n_k$ is called McShane extension of $P^n_k$.

We now return to the proof of Lemma 5.1. We have that

$$|\mathbb{E}^\pi_n [f_1(B_1^n) \cdots f_k(B_k^n)] - \mathbb{E}^\pi_n [f_1(B_1^n) \cdots f_k(B_k^n)]| = |P^n_k(x_n) - \widetilde{P}^n_k(x_\infty)| \leq |P^n_k(x_n) - \widetilde{P}^n_k(x_\infty)| + |\widetilde{P}^n_k(x_\infty) - P^n_\infty(x_\infty)| =: (I)_n + (II)_n.$$  

Therefore it suffices to show $(I)_n \to 0$ and $(II)_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

We first discuss to show $(I)_n \to 0$. Since $\|P^n_k f\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty \|f\|_{ \mathcal{X}_n} p_n(t,x,y)m_n(dy)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$, for any $f \in C_b(X_n) \cap L^2(X; m_\infty)$, we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P^n_k f\|_\infty \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \|f_i\|_\infty < \infty.$$  

(5.3)

Therefore, by Proposition 5.2, it holds that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\widetilde{P}^n_k\|_\infty < \infty.$$  

(5.4)

By [2, Theorem 7.3], we have that $\text{Lip}_X(P^n_k f) \leq C(t,K)\|f\|_\infty$ for any $f \in L^\infty(X_n;m_n) \cap L^2(X; m_\infty)$ for some positive $C(t,K)$ depending only on $t,K$. Here $\text{Lip}_X(f)$ means the global Lipschitz constant of a Lipschitz function $f$ on $X$. Thus by considering (5.3), there exists a constant $L$ depending only on $t_k, K$ and $\|f_1\|_\infty, \ldots, \|f_k\|_\infty$ (but independent of $n$) so that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Lip}_X(P^n_k) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C(t_k,K)\|f_k P^n_{k-1}\|_\infty < L < \infty.$$  

By the property of the McShane extension in Proposition 5.2, we have that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Lip}_X(\widetilde{P}^n_k) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C(t_k,K)\|f_k P^n_{k-1}\|_\infty < L < \infty.$$  

(5.5)

Thus we have

$$(I)_n = |P^n_k(x_n) - \widetilde{P}^n_k(x_\infty)| = |\widetilde{P}^n_k(x_n) - \widetilde{P}^n_k(x_\infty)| \leq \text{Lip}(\widetilde{P}^n_k) d(x_n, x_\infty) \leq Ld(x_n, x_\infty) \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$

We next show that $(II)_n \to 0$. By (5.4) and (5.5), we can apply the Ascoli–Arzelà theorem to $\{\widetilde{P}^n_k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $\{\widetilde{P}^n_k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact. Therefore, for any subsequence $\{\widetilde{P}^n_{k'}\}_{n'}$ whereby $\{n'\} \subset \{n\}$, there exists a further subsequence $\{\widetilde{P}^{n''}_k\}_{n''}$ whereby $\{n''\} \subset \{n''\}$ satisfying

$$\widetilde{P}^{n''}_k \to F'' \quad \text{uniformly in } X.$$  

(5.6)

On the other hand, we have that $P^n_k$ converges to $P^n_\infty$ $L^2$-strongly in the sense of Definition 2.10. We give a proof below.
Lemma 5.3 \( \mathcal{P}_k^n \) converges to \( \mathcal{P}_k^\infty \) in the \( L^2 \)-strong sense in Definition 2.10.

Proof. By Theorem 2.11 the statement is true for \( k = 1 \). Assume that the statement is true when \( k = l \). Since we have

\[
\mathcal{P}_{l+1}^n = \mathcal{P}_{t_{l+1}}^n \left( f_{l(t_{l+1})}^n \right),
\]

by Theorem 2.11 it is sufficient to show \( f_{l+1} \mathcal{P}_l^n \to f_{l+1} \mathcal{P}_l^\infty \) strongly in \( L^2 \). This is obvious to be true because \( \mathcal{P}_l^n \to \mathcal{P}_l^\infty \) strongly (the assumption of the induction), \( f_{l+1} \in C_b(X) \) and \( \mathcal{P}_l^n \) is bounded uniformly in \( n \) thanks to (5.4). Thus the statement is true for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). \( \square \)

We return to the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. By using Lemma 5.3 and (5.6), it is obvious to check that

\[
\tilde{F}'|_{X_n} = \mathcal{P}_k^n,
\]

whereby \( F'|_{X_n} \) means the restriction of \( F' \) into \( X_n \). The R.H.S. \( \mathcal{P}_k^n \) of the above equality is clearly independent of choices of subsequences and thus the limit \( F'|_{X_n} \) is independent of choices of subsequences. Thus we conclude that

\[
\tilde{P}_k^n \to \mathcal{P}_k^\infty \text{ uniformly in } X_n. \tag{5.7}
\]

Now we return to show (II) goes to zero. By (5.7), we have that

\[
(II)_n = \| \tilde{P}_k^n (\mathcal{I}_n) - \mathcal{P}_k^\infty (\mathcal{I}_n) \| \leq \| \tilde{P}_k^n - \mathcal{P}_k^\infty \|_{\infty, X_n} \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).
\]

Here \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty, X_n} \) means the uniform norm on \( X_n \). Thus we finish the proof of Lemma 5.1. \( \square \)

We next show the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions for the case that initial distributions are \( W_1 \)-convergent, which includes \( \tilde{m}_n \) for the case of \( m_n(X_n) = \infty \).

Lemma 5.4 Let \( \{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{P}(X_n) \) be a sequence of probability measures on \( X_n \subset X \) converging to \( \nu_\infty \in \mathcal{P}(X_\infty) \) in \( W_1 \)-distance. Then, for any \( k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k < \infty \) and \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k \in C_b(X) \cap L^2(X; m_\infty) \), the following holds:

\[
\mathbb{E}^{\nu_n}[f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^n)] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\nu_\infty}[f_1(B_{t_1}^\infty) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^\infty)].
\]

Proof. By the same argument at the beginning of Lemma 5.1 it suffices to show the statement for any \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k \in C_b(X) \cap L^2(X; m_\infty) \). Recall that we set in Lemma 5.1 as follows:

\[
\mathbb{E}_{\nu}^n[f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdot f_k(B_{t_k}^n)] = \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_l} \int_{t_{l-2}}^{t_{l-1}} \cdots \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} f_{l(t_{l-1})}^n P_{t_{l-1}} \cdots P_{t_{k-1}} f_{l(t_{k-1})}^n \, (x)
\]

By the Kantorovich–Rubinsteint duality (see e.g., [67, Theorem 5.10]), we have

\[
W_1(\nu_n, \nu_\infty) = \frac{1}{L} \sup \left\{ \int_X f \, d\nu_n - \int_X f \, d\nu_\infty : f \in \text{Lip}_b(X), \text{Lip}_X(f) \leq L \right\}
\]

According to (5.4) and (5.5), we have that \( \tilde{P}_k^n \) is bounded and \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Lip}(\tilde{P}_k^n) < L < \infty \) for some constant \( L \). Thus we have that

\[
\int_X \tilde{P}_k^n \, d\nu_n - \int_X \tilde{P}_k^n \, d\nu_\infty \leq LW_1(\nu_n, \nu_\infty). \tag{5.8}
\]
Since $\tilde{P}_n$ converges to $P_n^\infty$ uniformly in $C_b(X_\infty)$ by (5.7), and $\nu_n$ converges to $\nu_\infty$ in the $W_1$-distance, by using (5.5), we have that
\[
\left| \mathbb{E}^{\nu_n}[f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^n)] - \mathbb{E}^{\nu_\infty}[f_1(B_{t_1}^\infty) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^\infty)] \right|
= \left| \int_X P_k^n d\nu_n - \int_X P_k^\infty d\nu_\infty \right|
\leq \left| \int_X P_k^n d\nu_n - \int_X P_k^n d\nu_\infty \right| + \left| \int_X P_k^n d\nu_\infty - \int_X P_k^\infty d\nu_\infty \right|
\leq LW_1(\nu_n, \nu_\infty) + \| P_k^n - P_k^\infty \|_{\infty, X} \int_X d\nu_\infty
\rightarrow 0, \quad n \to \infty.
\]
Thus we have completed the proof. \hfill \square

We now show the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions for the case that initial distributions are $\frac{1}{m_n(X_n)} m_n$, which corresponds to the case of $m_n(X_n) < \infty$.

**Lemma 5.5** Let $m_n(X_n) < \infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k < \infty$ and $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k \in C_b(X)$, the following holds:
\[
\mathbb{E}^{\tilde{m}_n}[f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^n)] \overset{n \to \infty}{\to} \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{m}_\infty}[f_1(B_{t_1}^\infty) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^\infty)].
\]

**Proof.** Because of $m_n(X_n) < \infty$, we have $f \in L^2(X, m_n)$ for all $f \in C_b(X)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\tilde{m}_n$ converges weakly to $\tilde{m}_\infty$ in $P(X)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K \subset X$ so that
\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{m}_n(K^c) < \varepsilon.
\]
Thus, by (5.3), for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a compact set $K \subset X$ so that
\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \int_{X_n} P_k^n d\tilde{m}_n - \int_{K} P_k^n d\tilde{m}_n \right| \leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} \| f_i \|_\infty \right) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{m}_n(K^c) < \delta. \tag{5.9}
\]

Take $r > 0$ so that $K \subset B_r(x_n) := \{ x \in X : d(x_n, x) < r \}$. Let $\tilde{1}_r^R$ denote the following function: ($r < R$)
\[
\tilde{1}_r^R(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & x \in B_r(x_n), \\
1 - \frac{d(x, B_r(x_n))}{R - r}, & x \in B_R(x_n) \setminus B_r(x_n), \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then $\tilde{1}_r^R \in C_b(X)$. Thus, by Theorem 2.11 and (5.9), for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $r > 0$ so that
\[
\left| \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{m}_n}[f_1(B_{t_1}^n) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^n)] - \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{m}_\infty}[f_1(B_{t_1}^\infty) \cdots f_k(B_{t_k}^\infty)] \right|
= \left| \int_{X_n} P_k^n d\tilde{m}_n - \int_{X_\infty} P_k^\infty d\tilde{m}_\infty \right|
= \left| \int_{X_n} P_k^n d\tilde{m}_n - \int_{X_n} \tilde{1}_r^R P_k^n d\tilde{m}_n + \int_{X_n} \tilde{1}_r^R P_k^n d\tilde{m}_n - \int_{X_n} \tilde{1}_r^R P_k^\infty d\tilde{m}_\infty + \int_{X_n} \tilde{1}_r^R P_k^\infty d\tilde{m}_\infty - \int_{X_\infty} P_k^\infty d\tilde{m}_\infty \right|
\leq \delta + \left| \int_{X_n} \tilde{1}_r^R P_k^n d\tilde{m}_n - \int_{X_n} \tilde{1}_r^R P_k^\infty d\tilde{m}_\infty \right| + \delta
\to^\infty 2\delta.
\]
In the fourth line, the first $\delta$ comes from using \([5.9]\) and the second $\delta$ comes from using the tightness of the single measure $m_\infty$. The the middle term in the fourth line converges to zero thanks to the $L^2$-strong convergence of the heat semigroup $P_t$ in the sense of Definition \([2.10]\). Note that the total mass $m_n(X_n) \to m_\infty(X_\infty)(\leq \infty)$ because of the pmG convergence. Thus we have completed the proof. 

Now we show the tightness of $\{\mathbb{B}^{\tilde{m}_n}\}$. For later arguments, we show the tightness for more general initial distributions $\nu_n$ than $\tilde{m}_n$.

**Lemma 5.6** Let $\nu_n \in \mathcal{P}(X_n)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $\nu_n \to \nu_\infty$ weakly in $\mathcal{P}(X)$;

(ii) $\nu_n$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $m_n$ with $d\nu_n = \phi_n dm_n$ and there exists a positive constant $M$ so that, for any $r > 0$,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_n\|_{\infty, B_r(\mathbb{R})} < M < \infty.$$ 

Then $\{\mathbb{B}^{\nu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight in $\mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty), X))$.

**Proof.** Let us denote the law of $h(B^n)$ for $h \in \text{Lip}_b(X)$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{B}^{\nu_n, h} = (h(B^n), \mathbb{P}^{\nu_n}).$$

It is easy to show that $\text{Lip}_b(X)$ strongly separates points in $C_b(X)$, that is, for every $x$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^b \subset \text{Lip}_b(X)$ so that

$$\inf_{y : d(y, x) \geq \varepsilon} \max_{1 \leq i \leq b} |h_i(x) - h_i(y)| > 0.$$ 

Therefore, by \([23]\) Corollary 3.9.2 with Lemma 5.4, the following two statements are equivalent:

(i) $\{\mathbb{B}^{\nu_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight in $\mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty), X))$;

(ii) $\{\mathbb{B}^{\nu_n, h}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight in $\mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}))$.

Thus we will show that, for any $h \in \text{Lip}_b(X)$,

$$\{\mathbb{B}^{\nu_n, h}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is tight in } \mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})).$$

We note that, although \([23]\) Corollary 3.9.2] gives sufficient conditions for tightness only in the càdlàg space $D([0, \infty); X)$, since the law of each Brownian motion $\mathbb{B}^{\tilde{m}_n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has its support on the space of continuous paths $C([0, \infty); X)$, the tightness in $D([0, \infty); X)$ implies the tightness in $C([0, \infty), X)$. See, e.g., \([24]\) Lemma 5 in Appendix for this point.

Since $\nu_n$ converges weakly to $\nu_\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, the set of the laws of the initial distributions $\{(h(B^0_n), \mathbb{P}^{\nu_n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{h \# \tilde{m}_n\}$ is clearly tight in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$. For $\delta > 0$, let us define

$$L^{n, h}_{\eta, T}(x) := \mathbb{P}^{\nu_n}_\eta\left( \sup_{0 \leq s, t \leq T, a \leq \eta} |h(B_t) - h(B_s)| > \delta \right).$$

The desired result we would like to show is the following:

$$\limsup_{\eta \downarrow 0} \int_{X_n} L^{n, h}_{\eta, T} d\nu_n = 0, \quad (5.10)$$
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for any $T > 0$. By conditions (i) and (ii) in this lemma, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ so that
\[
\int_{X_n} L_{n,T}^{n,h} \, d\nu_n = \|\phi_n 1_{B_R(\tau_n)}\|_\infty \int_{X_n} L_{n,T}^{n,h} 1_{B_R(\tau_n)} \, d\nu_n + \nu_n(B_R^c(\tau_n)) < M \int_{X_n} L_{n,T}^{n,h} 1_{B_R(\tau_n)} \, d\nu_n + \varepsilon.
\]
It suffices to show, for any $T, R > 0$,
\[
\limsup_{n \to 0} \limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{X_n} L_{n,T}^{n,h} 1_{B_R(\tau_n)} \, d\nu_n = 0.
\]
Let $m_{n,R} := 1_{Y_n^R} m_n$ whereby
\[
Y_n^R = \overline{B_R(\tau_n)}
\]
is the closure of the open ball $B_R(\tau_n)$. We have
\[
\int_{X_n} L_{n,T}^{n,h} \, d\nu_{n,R} = \mathbb{P}^m_{n,R} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s, t < T} |h(B_{t}^n) - h(B_{s}^n)| > \delta : \Lambda_r \right)
\]
\[+ \mathbb{P}^m_{n,R} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s, t < T} |h(B_{t}^n) - h(B_{s}^n)| > \delta : \Lambda_r \right) := (I)_{n,R} + (II)_{n,R},
\]
whereby $\Lambda_r := \{ w \in \Omega^n : \sup_{0 \leq s, t < T} d_n(B_{t}^n, B_{s}^n) < r \}$. Here $\mathbb{P}_{n,R}$ is a conservative diffusion process associated with $(\text{Ch}^r_n, F^r_n)$
\[
\text{Ch}^r_n(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{Y_n^r} \nabla f^2_{l,n,Y_n^r} \, d\nu_{n,r}, \quad F^r_n := \{ f \in L^2(Y_n^r; m_{n,r}) : \text{Ch}^r_n(f) < \infty \}.
\]
Recall that $|\nabla f|_{l,n,Y_n^r}^2$ means the minimal weak upper gradient on $Y_n^r$ (see Subsection 2.4.2). We note that the Cheeger energy $\text{Ch}^r_n$ on the closed ball $Y_n^r$ is also quadratic because of [5] Theorem 4.19. Since closed balls are not necessarily convex subset in $X_n$, the closed ball $Y_n^r$ is not necessarily an RCD($K, \infty$) space. However, we can still construct the Brownian motion on $Y_n^r$ since we have that $(\text{Ch}^r_n, F^r_n)$ is quadratic ([5] Theorem 4.19) and $|d(x, \cdot)| \leq m_{n,r}$ ([5] (iv) Theorem 4.18) for any fixed $x \in Y_n^r$, which imply that $(\text{Ch}^r_n, F^r_n)$ becomes a quasi-regular Dirichlet form by the same manner of [5] Lemma 6.7 and [8] Theorem 1.2 (see also [27] §7.2). Here $[f]$ means the energy measure of the Cheeger energy (see [5] (4.21)) and $|d(x, \cdot)| \leq m_{n,r}$ means
\[
\frac{d|d(x, \cdot)|}{dm_{n,r}} (y) \leq 1 \quad m_{n,r}-\text{a.e. } y \in Y_n^r.
\]
Note that although [5] Lemma 6.7] assumed the RCD($K, \infty$) condition, only the quadraticity of the Cheeger energy and $|d(x, \cdot)| \leq m_{n,r}$ are used to construct the Brownian motions, and the CD($K, \infty$) condition is not necessary (see also [43] §4 for more detailed studies of the Cheeger energies and Brownian motions on subsets in RCD($K, \infty$) spaces).

We first estimate $(I)_{n,R}$. By Lyons-Zheng decomposition ([45], and see also [27] Section 5.7)), we have
\[
h(B_{t}^n) - h(B_{s}^n) = \frac{1}{2} (M_t^{[h]} - M_s^{[h]}) + \frac{1}{2} (M_t^{[h]}(r_T) - M_s^{[h]}(r_T)), \quad \mathbb{P}_{n,R+r}^{m,n,R+r}-\text{a.e.}
\]
for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Then by time-symmetry (see [27, Lemma 5.7.1]), we have

\begin{equation}
(I)_{n,\eta} \leq \mathbb{P}^n_{R+r} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} |h(B^u_s) - h(B^u_t)| > \delta \right)
\end{equation}

\begin{align*}
&\leq \mathbb{P}^n_{R+r} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} |M^{|h|,n}_t - M^{|h|,n}_s| > \delta \right) + \mathbb{P}^n_{R+r} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} |M^{|h|,n}_{T-t}(r_T) - M^{|h|,n}_{T-s}(r_T)| > \delta \right) \\
&= 2\mathbb{P}^n_{R+r} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} |M^{|h|,n}_t - M^{|h|,n}_s| > \delta \right).
\end{align*}

Since $M^{|h|,n}$ is a continuous martingale, by the martingale representation theorem, there exists the one-dimensional Brownian motion $B^n(t)$ on an extended probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n)$ whereby $M^{|h|,n}$ is represented as a time-changed Brownian motion with respect to the quadratic variation $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n_{\eta}$-a.s. (see, e.g., Ikeda–Watanabe [33, Chapter II Theorem 7.3]). That is, for $x \in Y^{R+r}_n$, $M^{|h|,n}_t = B^n(\langle M^{|h|,n} \rangle_t) = B^n \left( \int_0^t \frac{dU^n_{hs}}{d\eta_n} B^n_s du \right) = B^n \left( \int_0^t |\nabla h|_{w,Y^{R+r}}^2(B^n_s) du \right)$ \tilde{\mathbb{P}}^n_{\eta}$-a.s.

The last equality followed from [5] (iv) Theorem 4.18. Since $|\nabla h|_{w,Y^{R+r}} \leq \text{Lip}(h)$, we have

\begin{align*}
&\{ \omega \in \tilde{\Omega} : \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} |M^{|h|,n}_t - M^{|h|,n}_s| > \delta \} \\
&= \{ \omega \in \tilde{\Omega} : \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} |B^n \left( \int_0^t |\nabla h|_{w,Y^{R+r}}^2(B^n_s) du \right) - B^n \left( \int_0^s |\nabla h|_{w,Y^{R+r}}^2(B^n_s) du \right)| > \delta \} \\
&\subset \{ \omega \in \tilde{\Omega} : \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq \text{Lip}(h)^2 T} |B^n(t) - B^n(s)| > \delta \}.
\end{align*}

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the standard Wiener measure on $C([0,\infty); \mathbb{R})$. Let

$$\theta(\eta, h) := \mathcal{W}_n \left( \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq \text{Lip}(h)^2 T} |\omega(t) - \omega(s)| > \delta \right).$$

By (5.11) and noting $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n(B_{R+r}(x_n)) < \infty$ because of the weak convergence of $m_n$, we have, for any $T > 0$,

\begin{align*}
(I)_{n,\eta} &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{X_n} L_{\eta,\delta} dm_{n,R+r} \\
&\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2\mathbb{P}^n_{R+r} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} |M^{|h|}_t - M^{|h|}_s| > \delta \right) \\
&\leq 2\theta(\eta, h) \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n(B_{R+r}(x_n)) \\
&n \to 0. 
\end{align*}

(5.12)
We now estimate \((II)_{n,\eta}\). We have the following estimate:

\[
(II)_{n,\eta} = \mathbb{P}^{m_n,n}\left( \sup_{0 \leq s,t \leq T} \left| h(B^n_t) - h(B^n_s) \right| > \delta : \Lambda_c \right) \leq 6m_n(B_{R+r}(\pi_n)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\frac{s^2}{2}}^{\infty} \exp\{-\frac{s^2}{2}\} ds \leq c \exp\{c_2(R + r)^2\} \frac{3\sqrt{\text{Lip}(h)^2T}}{2r} \exp\{-\frac{r^2}{18\text{Lip}(h)^2T}\}.
\]

Here \(c > 0\) is a constant independent of \(n\). In the second line above, we used \([27, \text{Lemma 5.7.2}]\), in the third line, we used the volume growth estimate \((1.2)\) and, in the fourth line, we used the fact \(\int_{x}^{\infty} \exp\{\frac{s^2}{2}\} ds \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\{-\frac{s^2}{2}\}\). Thus, by \((5.12)\) and \((5.13)\), we have that, for any \(R > 0\),

\[
\lim_{\eta \to 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{X_n} f_{n,h}^{\eta,T} 1_{B_R(\pi_n)} dm_n = \lim_{\eta \to 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( (I)_{n,\eta} + (II)_{n,\eta} \right) = 0.
\]

Thus we have the desired result \((5.10)\). \(\Box\)

We resume to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 It is easy to check that conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.6 are satisfied with \(\nu_n = \tilde{m}_n\) in the both cases of \(m_n(X_n) = \infty\) and \(m_n(X_n) < \infty\). Thus we have shown the tightness. By using Lemma 5.5, we have completed the proof of (i) \(\implies\) (ii) in Theorem 1.2 in the case of \(m_n(X_n) < \infty\). Moreover, we can check easily that the conditions in Lemma 5.4 are satisfied with \(\nu_n = \tilde{m}_n\) in the case of \(m_n(X_n) = \infty\) (see \([29, \text{Remark 4.6}]\)). Therefore, we have completed the proof of (i) \(\implies\) (ii) in Theorem 1.2 in the case of \(m_n(X_n) = \infty\). We finish the proof of (i) \(\implies\) (ii) in Theorem 1.2. \(\Box\)

6 Proof of Theorem 1.4

To show statement (iii)\(>0\), it suffices to show the following statement: for any \(\varepsilon > 0\),

(iii)\(\varepsilon\) There exist a complete separable metric space \((X,d)\) and isometric embeddings \(\iota_n : X_n \to X \ (n \in \mathbb{N})\) so that

\[
(\iota_n(B^n),\mathbb{P}_n^\varepsilon) \to (\iota_\infty(B^\infty),\mathbb{P}_\infty^\varepsilon) \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{P}(C([\varepsilon,\infty); X)).
\]

We first show the case of condition (A), that is, \(m_n(X_n) < \infty\). Since we have already shown the weak convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions under the general RCD\((K,\infty)\) condition for starting points \(\pi_n\) in Lemma 5.1, it suffices to prove the tightness:

Lemma 6.1 Under condition (A), \(\{\mathbb{P}_n^\varepsilon\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is tight in \(\mathcal{P}(C([\varepsilon,\infty), X))\) for any \(\varepsilon > 0\).
Proof. In the proof of [29, Theorem 7.7], we have
\[ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ent}_{m_n}(p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, dy)) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ent}_{m_n}(\mu^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}^n}) < \infty, \]
where \( \mu^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}^n} := \mathcal{H}^{n}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \delta_{\mathcal{X}_n} \) defined in Subsection 2.4.4. Let \( \mathbb{B}^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \) and \( \mathbb{\tilde{m}}^n \) be restricted to the path space \( C([\varepsilon, \infty), X) \). By using Markov property, we have that
\[ \frac{d\mathbb{B}^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}}{d\mathbb{\tilde{m}}^n} = p(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}). \]
In fact, we have that, for any Borel measurable functions \( F : C([\varepsilon, \infty), X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \),
\[ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{B}^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}}(F(B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}+})) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{\tilde{m}}^n}(\mathbb{E}^{B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}}(F)) \]
\[ = \int_{\mathcal{X}^n} \mathbb{E}^y(F(B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}))p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, dy) \]
\[ = \int_{\mathcal{X}^n} \mathbb{E}^y(F(B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}))p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, y)\tilde{m}_n(dy) \]
\[ = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{\tilde{m}}^n}(p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})F(B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})) \]
\[ = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{\tilde{m}}^n}(p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})F(B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}+})). \]
Let us denote \( \text{Ent}_{\nu}(\mu) = \text{Ent}(\mu|\nu) \). By the fact that \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ent}_{m_n}(p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, dy)) < \infty \), we have
\[ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ent}(\mathbb{B}^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}|\mathbb{\tilde{m}}^n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathcal{X}^n} p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})\log\left\{ p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, B^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) \right\}d\mathbb{\tilde{m}}^n \]
\[ = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathcal{X}^n} P^n_{\varepsilon}\left\{ p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, \cdot) \log\left\{ p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, \cdot) \right\} \right\}d\tilde{m}_n \]
\[ = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathcal{X}^n} p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, \cdot)\log\left\{ p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, \cdot) \right\}d\tilde{m}_n \]
\[ = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{m_n(X^\infty)} \text{Ent}_{m_n}(p_n(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}_n, dy)) < \infty. \]
In the third line above, we used the invariance property of \( \tilde{m}_n \) with respect to the heat semigroup \( \{ P^n_t \}_{t \geq 0} \) whereby
\[ \frac{1}{m_n(X^\infty)} \int_{X^\infty} P^n_t f dm_n = \frac{1}{m_n(X^\infty)} \int_{X^\infty} f dm_n. \]
Note that \( \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n(X^\infty) > 0 \) because \( m_\infty(X^\infty) > 0 \) by assumption that \( m_\infty \) is non-zero, and \( m_n(X^\infty) \rightarrow m_\infty(X^\infty) \). Since \( \{ \mathbb{B}^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is tight by Lemma 5.6, by using the tightness criterion with respect to the entropy [29, Proposition 4.1], we have the tightness of \( \{ \mathbb{B}^n_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \).

\( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 1.4 in the case of (A). By the weak convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions in Lemma 5.1 and the tightness in Lemma 6.1, we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.4 for the case (A).

\( \square \)

Now we show the case of condition (B).

Proof of Theorem 1.4 in the case of (B). By using Markov property, we have that, for any
Borel measurable functions $F : C([\varepsilon, \infty), X) \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}^T_n(F(B^n_{\varepsilon+})) = \mathbb{E}^T_n\left(\mathbb{E}^{B^n} (F(B^n))\right)$$

$$= \int_{X_n} \mathbb{E}^y(F(B^n)) p_n(\varepsilon, x_n, dy)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}^{p_n(\varepsilon, x_n, dy)}(F(B^n)).$$

By Theorem 2.5, it holds that $p_n(\varepsilon, x_n, dy) \to p_\infty(\varepsilon, x_\infty, dy)$ in $W_2$-sense and thus also in $W_1$-sense (see e.g., [74, Remark 6.6]). Therefore, condition (i) in Lemma 5.6 holds with $\nu_n = p_n(\varepsilon, x_n, dy)$. Moreover, condition (ii) with $\nu_n = p_n(\varepsilon, x_n, dy)$ in Lemma 5.6 also holds by the assumption (B). Therefore, by Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.6 with $\nu_n = p_n(\varepsilon, x_n, dy)$, we have the desired result.

We finally prove the last statement in Theorem 1.4.

**Proof of the last statement in Theorem 1.4** By the above argument, we have that $\mathbb{B}_n$ starting at $x_n$ converges weakly to $\mathbb{B}_\infty$ starting at $x_\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}(C((0, \infty), X))$. If $\mathbb{B}_n$ is a diffusion at every starting point for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, combined with the fact that the starting point $x_n$ converges to the limit one $x_\infty$, it is clear to obtain that $\mathbb{B}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathbb{B}_\infty$ also in $\mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty), X))$. We finished the proof of Theorem 1.4.

7 Proof of Theorem 1.7

**Proof of Theorem 1.7** The goal of the proof is to show the pmG convergence of $X_n$ to $X_\infty$, that is, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{bs}(X)$ (recall $\mathcal{C}_{bs}(X)$ means the set of bounded continuous functions with bounded supports), we have

$$\int_X f dm_n \to \int_X f dm_\infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$ 

We first consider the case of $K > 0$.

The case of $K > 0$:

Let $\lambda_n^1$ be the spectral gap of $Ch_n$:

$$\lambda_n^1 := \inf\left\{ \frac{Ch_n(f)}{\|f\|^2_{L^2(m_n)}} : f \in \text{Lip}(X_n) \setminus \{0\}, \int_{X_n} f dm_n = 0 \right\}.$$

The following is a well-known fact (easy to obtain by using the spectral resolution):

$$\|P^m_t - m_n(\cdot)\|_{2 \to 2} \leq e^{-\lambda_n^1 t}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$  \quad (7.1)

whereby $\cdot$ $\| \cdot \|_{2 \to 2}$ means the operator norm from $L^2(X_n; m_n)$ to $L^2(X_n; m_n)$, and $m_n(f) := \int_{X_n} f dm_n$.

By (7.1) and the assumption (1.5), we have that, for any $t > t_*$ ($t_*$ appeared in the assumption (1.5)),

$$\|p_n(t, x_n, \cdot) - \frac{1}{m_n(X_n)} \|_{L^2(m_n)} = \|p_n(t, x_n, \cdot) - m_n(\cdot)\|_{L^2(m_n)}$$

$$\leq e^{-\lambda_n^1 s}\|p_n(t - s, x_n, \cdot)\|_{L^2(m_n)}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda_n^1 s}(p_n(2(t - s), x_n, x_n))^{1/2} \quad (0 < s < t, \quad t_* < \varepsilon := t - s)$$

$$< M^{1/2} e^{-\lambda_n^1 (t-\varepsilon)}.$$  \quad (7.2)
Since the global Poincaré inequality holds under the CD\((K, \infty)\) condition with a positive \(K > 0\) (see e.g., [52, Theorem 30.25]), we have that there exists a positive constant \(C_P = C_P(K)\) depending only on \(K\) so that

\[
\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n > C_P > 0. \tag{7.3}
\]

By the condition of \(K > 0\), there exists a positive constant \(C\) so that \(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n(X_n) < C\) (see [62, Theorem 4.26]). Thus, by statement (iii)\(_{>0}\), (7.2) and (7.3), we have that, for any \(\delta > 0\),

\[
\int_X fdm_n - \int_X f dm_\infty = \int_X f dm_n - m_n(X_n) E^\tau_{n}(f(B^n_t)) + m_n(X_n) E^\tau_{n}(f(B^n_t)) - m_\infty(X_\infty) E^\tau_{\infty}(f(B^\infty_t))
\]

\[
+ m_\infty(X_\infty) E^\tau_{\infty}(f(B^\infty_t)) - \int_X f dm_\infty
\]

\[
\leq C\left(\int_X |p_n(t, \tau, t, y) - \frac{1}{m_n(X_n)} f dm_n + |E^\tau_{n}(f(B^n_t)) - E^\tau_{\infty}(f(B^\infty_t))|\right)
\]

\[
+ \int_X |p_\infty(t, \tau, t, y) - \frac{1}{m_\infty(X_\infty)} f dm_\infty\right)
\]

\[
\leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^2(m_n)} \|p_n(t, \tau, t, \cdot) - \frac{1}{m_n(X_n)} \|_{L^2(m_n)} + |E^\tau_{n}(f(B^n_t)) - E^\tau_{\infty}(f(B^\infty_t))|\right)
\]

\[
+ \|f\|_{L^2(m_\infty)} \|p_\infty(t, \tau, \cdot) - \frac{1}{m_\infty(X_\infty)} \|_{L^2(m_\infty)}\right)
\]

\[
\leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^2(m_n)} Me^{-\lambda_1(t-\varepsilon)} + |E^\tau_{n}(f(B^n_t)) - E^\tau_{\infty}(f(B^\infty_t))| + \|f\|_{L^2(m_\infty)} Me^{-\lambda_1(t-\varepsilon)}\right)
\]

\[
\leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^2(m_n)} Me^{-C \varepsilon(t-\varepsilon)} + |E^\tau_{n}(f(B^n_t)) - E^\tau_{\infty}(f(B^\infty_t))| + \|f\|_{L^2(m_\infty)} Me^{-C \varepsilon(t-\varepsilon)}\right)
\]

\[\rightarrow \delta + \delta as n \rightarrow \infty and sufficiently large t.
\]

Thus we finish the proof of Theorem 1.7 for the case of \(K > 0\).

The case of \(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam}(X_n) < D\):

The case of \(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam}(X_n) < D\) can be proved in the same way as the case of \(K > 0\) since the local Poincaré inequality holds for any RCD\((K, \infty)\) spaces (see [51, Theorem 1.1]). If \(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam}(X_n) < D\) holds, then the local Poincaré inequality is equivalent to the global Poincaré inequality and the proof will be the same as the case of \(K > 0\). Thus we finish the proof of Theorem 1.7.

\[\square\]

8 Proof of Corollary 1.8

In this section, we prove Corollary 1.8. In the previous sections, we have already proved (i) \(\iff\) (ii) by Theorem 1.2 and (i) \(\implies\) (iii)\(_{>0}\) by Corollary 1.6. If \(\sup_n \text{diam}(X_n) < \infty\), then the implication of (iii)\(_{>0}\) (or (iii)\(_{\geq0}\)) \(\implies\) (i) follows from Theorem 1.7.

Thus we only have to show the implication (i) \(\implies\) (iii)\(_{\geq0}\). But this has also been already proved by Theorem 1.4 since Brownian motions are Feller processes by Proposition 3.2. Therefore Brownian motions are diffusions at every starting point and the last statement in Theorem 1.4 can be applied.
In the following argument, however, we give another proof for tightness of \( \{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( \mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty), X)) \) by using the heat kernel estimate.

**Proof of (i) \( \implies \) (iii) in Corollary 1.8** Since we have already shown the weak convergence of the laws of finite-dimensional distributions in Lemma 5.1 for the general \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \) case, what we should prove is only the tightness of the Brownian motions on \( C([0, \infty]; X) \).

**Lemma 8.1** \( \{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is tight in \( \mathcal{P}(C([0, \infty), X)) \).

**Proof.** Since \( x_n \) converges to \( x_\infty \) in \( (X, d) \), the set of the laws of the initial distributions \( \{B^n_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{\delta_{x_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is clearly tight in \( \mathcal{P}(X) \). Thus it suffices to show the following (see [13, Theorem 12.3]): for each \( T > 0 \), there exist \( \beta > 0 \), \( D > 0 \), \( C > 0 \) and \( \theta > 1 \) such that, for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
\mathbb{E}^n_{x}[\tilde{d}^3(B^n_t, B^n_{t+h})] \leq C h^\theta, \quad (0 \leq t \leq T \text{ and } 0 \leq h \leq 1),
\]

(8.1)

whereby \( \tilde{d}(x, y) := d(x, y) \wedge 1 \).

We first give a heat kernel estimate. Let \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam}(X_n) < D \). By the generalized Bishop–Gromov inequality [22, Proposition 3.6], we have the following volume growth estimate: for any \( D > 0 \), there exist positive constants \( \nu = \nu(N, K, D) > 0 \) and \( c = c(N, K, D) > 0 \) such that, for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
m_n(B_r(x)) \geq cr^{2\nu} \quad (0 \leq r \leq D).
\]

(8.2)

In fact, by the generalized Bishop–Gromov inequality, we have that, for any \( 0 < r \leq D < \infty \),

\[
m_n(B_r(x)) \geq \int_0^r \Theta_{K/N}(t)^N dt \int_0^D \Theta_{K/N}(t)^N dt m_n(B_D(x)) \geq c(N, K, D) \int_0^r \Theta_{K/N}(t)^N dt.
\]

Here \( c(N, K, D) = \frac{1}{\int_0^D \Theta_{K/N}(t)^N dt} \). Thus we have (8.2). Combining (3.3) with (8.2), we have the following uniform upper heat kernel estimate:

\[
p_n(t, x, y) \leq \frac{C_1}{c t^{\nu}} \exp\left\{-C_2 \frac{d_n(x, y)^2}{t}\right\},
\]

(8.3)

for all \( x, y \in X_n \) and \( 0 < t \leq D^2 \). Here constants \( C_1, C_2, c, \nu \) only depend on the given constants \( N, K, D \). Note that the constant \( C_3 \) in (3.3) can be taken as zero under \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{diam}(X_n) < D \) according to [60, 61] (note that the MCP condition is satisfied under the assumption of Corollary 1.8).

Take \( \beta > 0 \) such that \( \beta/2 - \nu > 1 \), and set \( \theta = \beta/2 - \nu \). By the Markov property, we have

L.H.S. of (8.1)

\[
= \int_{X_n \times X_n} p_n(t, x_n, y) p_n(h, y, z) d^3(t_n(y), t_n(z)) m_n(dy) m_n(dz)
\leq \int_{X_n \times X_n} p_n(t, x_n, y) p_n(h, y, z) d^3(t_n(y), t_n(z)) m_n(dy) m_n(dz).
\]

(8.4)
By the Gaussian heat kernel estimate (8.3), we have

\[
\int_{X_n} p_n(s, y, z) d^β(\nu_n(y), \nu_n(z)) m_n(dz)
\leq C_1 \frac{c}{s^{\nu}} \int_{X_n} \exp\left(- C_2 \frac{d_n(y, z)^2}{s}\right) d^β(\nu_n(y), \nu_n(z)) m_n(dz)
\leq C_1 \frac{c}{s^{\nu}} \int_{X_n} \exp\left(- C_2 \frac{d_n(y, z)^2}{s}\right) d_n(y, z)^{\beta} m_n(dz)
\leq C_1 e^{-1} C_2^{2/\beta} s^{\beta/2 - \nu} m_n(X_n) \sup_{y, z \in X_n} \left\{ \left( C_2 \frac{d_n(y, z)^2}{s}\right)^{\beta/2} \exp\left(- C_2 \frac{d_n(y, z)^2}{s}\right) \right\}
\leq C_1 e^{-1} C_2^{2/\beta} C_3 M_\beta \beta^{\beta/2 - \nu}
= C_4 s^{\beta/2 - \nu},
\]  

(8.5)

whereby \( M_\beta := \sup_{t \geq 0} t^{\beta/2} \exp(-t) \), \( C_3 = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n(X_n) \) and \( C_4 = C_4(N, K, D, \beta) = C_1 e^{-1} C_2^{2/\beta} C_3 M_\beta \) are constants dependent only on \( N, K, D, \beta \) (independent of \( n \)). Note that, since \( m_n \) converges weakly to \( m_\infty \) and \( m_\infty(X_\infty) < \infty \) because of \( \text{diam}(X_\infty) < D \), we have that \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n(X_n) = C_3 < \infty \). By (8.5), we have

\[
\text{R.H.S. of } (8.4) \leq C_4 h^{\beta/2 - \nu} \int_{X_n} p_n(t, x_n, y) m_n(dy)
\leq C_4 h^{\beta/2 - \nu}.
\]

Thus we finish the proof. \( \square \)

Thus we have completed the proof of Corollary 1.8. \( \square \)
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