Male 11β-HSD1 Knockout Mice Fed Trans-Fats and Fructose Are Not Protected From Metabolic Syndrome or Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease
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Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) defines a spectrum of conditions ranging from simple steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and cirrhosis and is regarded as the hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. Glucocorticoids can promote steatosis by stimulating lipolysis within adipose tissue, free fatty acid delivery to liver and hepatic de novo lipogenesis. Glucocorticoids can be reactivated in liver through 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1) enzyme activity. Inhibition of 11β-HSD1 has been suggested as a potential treatment for NAFLD. To test this, male mice with global (11β-HSD1 knockout [KO]) and liver-specific (LKO) 11β-HSD1 loss of function were fed the American Lifestyle Induced Obesity Syndrome (ALIOS) diet, known to recapitulate the spectrum of NAFLD, and metabolic and liver phenotypes assessed. Body weight, muscle and adipose tissue masses, and parameters of glucose homeostasis showed that 11β-HSD1KO and LKO mice were not protected from systemic metabolic disease. Evaluation of hepatic histology, triglyceride content, and blinded NAFLD activity score assessment indicated that levels of steatosis were similar between 11β-HSD1KO, LKO, and control mice. Unexpectedly, histological analysis revealed significantly increased levels of immune foci present in livers of 11β-HSD1KO but not LKO or control mice, suggesting a transition to NASH. This was endorsed by elevated hepatic expression of key immune cell and inflammatory markers. These data indicate that 11β-HSD1-deficient mice are not protected from metabolic disease or hepatosteatosis in the face of a NAFLD-inducing diet. However, global deficiency of 11β-HSD1 did increase markers of hepatic inflammation and suggests a critical role for 11β-HSD1 in restraining the transition to NASH. (Endocrinology 157: 3493–3504, 2016)
with NASH (4), leading to increased morbidity and mortality (5).

Accumulating evidence supports an association between NAFLD and metabolic syndrome (MetS). Around 75% of obese people have NAFLD, with insulin resistance a key mechanistic factor between both conditions (6). As such, NAFLD can be regarded as the hepatic manifestation of the MetS, which together increase the risk of developing cardiovascular disease (6, 7).

Patients affected by glucocorticoid (GC) excess (Cushing syndrome) present with many of the disorders associated with MetS and can develop NAFLD (8). GCs promote steatosis through multiple mechanisms including stimulation of lipolysis within adipose tissue resulting in increased free fatty acid (FFA) delivery for utilization in the liver to produce lipids through enhanced hepatic de novo lipogenesis (9–11). In most patients with NAFLD and MetS, circulating GC concentrations are not elevated (12). However, GCs can be activated in a tissue-specific manner through the prereceptor activity of the 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1) enzyme, with the site of greatest activity being the liver (13). Thus, hepatic metabolism affected by GCs is a balance between circulating delivery and 11β-HSD1-mediated intracellular activation.

Preclinical studies using 11β-HSD1 knockout (KO) and transgenic mice have exemplified the role 11β-HSD1 can play in determining hepatic metabolic phenotype. Global deletion of 11β-HSD1 protects against high-fat diet induced obesity and glucose intolerance, whereas liver-specific 11β-HSD1 deletion does not (14–16). 11β-HSD1KO mice are protected from hepatosteatosis in the face of circulating GC excess and reveal the importance of adipose tissue in determining hepatic phenotype (14). Furthermore, mice with transgenic overexpression of 11β-HSD1, specifically in adipose and liver, develop hepatosteatosis in the context of a high-fat diet (17, 18).

Data from studies in humans support the idea that with steatosis there is decreased hepatic 11β-HSD1-mediated GC reactivation, possibly due to decrease local GC availability and preservation of metabolic phenotype (19). 11β-HSD1 inhibitors have been the subject of interest regarding their use in the treatment of conditions associated with MetS, with in-excess of 170 compounds having been developed for this purpose (20–23). Human clinical studies evaluating NAFLD patients showed that pharmacological inhibition of 11β-HSD1 was able to modestly reduce liver fat content over a 12-week treatment period, although whether this was a direct or peripheral effect was unclear (24).

Given the important role that 11β-HSD1-mediated GC metabolism plays in determining systemic and liver metabolic phenotype, we hypothesized that global deletion (11β-HSD1KO) and hepatocyte-specific deletion (LKO) mice would be protected from MetS and hepatosteatosis when subjected to a potent steatogenic diet. To this end, we fed 11β-HSD1KO mice the American Lifestyle Induced Obesity Syndrome (ALIOS) diet which, unlike a standard high-fat diet, is known to more faithfully recapitulate the spectrum of NAFLD, from steatosis to NASH (25).

Our data suggest that 11β-HSD1 loss of function affords no protection from ALIOS induced obesity, glucose intolerance, insulin resistance or hepatosteatosis. Unexpectedly, we show that in the context of hepatosteatosis, 11β-HSD1KO mice have increased inflammation, a prerequisite for the progression to NASH, as revealed by an accumulation of hepatic immune foci and increased expression of immune and inflammatory markers. These results suggest a role for 11β-HSD1 in restraining hepatic inflammation in NAFLD.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animal husbandry**

Male mice aged 7–8 weeks, with global (11β-HSD1KO) and hepatocyte-specific (LKO) deletions of 11β-HSD1 (16, 26); along with age-matched C57BL/6 control mice (Charles River) were used. Male mice were used as we did not want to deviate from the original ALIOS protocol, where advanced hepatosteatosis was attained using the feeding protocol described below (25). Mice were housed 2–3 per cage and maintained at the Biomedical Services Unit at the University of Birmingham, and all procedures conducted in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986; regulated by the United Kingdom Home Office. Mice were maintained on a 12-hour light, 12-hour dark cycle at 21°C–22°C and were fed ad libitum, a diet consisting of 45% of calories from fat, of which 11.6% were derived from transfaits (D13022701; Research Diets, Inc). Mice were also given a high fructose corn syrup equivalent drinking water replacement (55% fructose;45% glucose [wt/vol] deionized H2O at a concentration of 42 g/L). This feeding regimen, referred to as the ALIOS diet (25), was maintained for 16 weeks, after which mice were subjected to exsanguination via cardiac puncture under general anesthetic (isoflurane), followed by cervical dislocation; upon which tissues were excised and weighed. Once weighed, tissues were dissected then promptly snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen (LN2) or fixed in 4% formaldehyde (10% formalin [vol/vol] PBS).

**Metabolic analyses and plasma analytes**

After 13 weeks of ALIOS treatment, blood from each mouse was collected into Microvette EDTA lined hematological tubes, from lateral tail veins and blood-glucose concentrations measured using an Accu-Chek monitor. The week after, mice were fasted overnight and glucose tolerance tests (GTTs) performed; whereby ip injections of 20% glucose (vol/vol) 0.9% sterile saline solutions at a dose of 2 g/kg were administered. Blood-glu-
cose concentrations were measured at times (T)0, T15, T30, T60, T90, and T120 minutes after glucose injections. Blood samples were also collected at T0 and T30 after glucose injection and plasma insulin concentrations measured using the Ultra Sensitive Mouse Insulin ELISA kit (Crystal Chem).

Insulin tolerance testing (ITT) was performed during post week 15 of the study. Mice were fasted for 4 hours and blood-glucose concentrations measured (T0), followed by the administration of insulin (Actrapid) via ip injection at a concentration of 0.1 IU/mL (0.1% 100 IU/mL [vol/vol]) of sterile saline at a dose of 0.75 IU/kg. Blood-glucose concentrations were subsequently measured at 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes after insulin injections.

Plasma FFA, triglyceride (TAG), and high-density lipoprotein (HDL)/low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol concentrations were measured from blood taken via terminal cardiac bleeds using protocols outlined according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BioVision).

Hepatic TAG quantification

Hepatic TAG content was ascertained using Biovision’s colorimetric assay (Cambridge Bioscience). Briefly, 100 mg of frozen liver tissue was homogenized in 5% Nonidet P-40 before being heated for 5 minutes at 95°C in a water bath to solubilize TAGs, samples were left to cool to room temperature and the process repeated before centrifugation at 13 000 rpm. The resultant supernatants were diluted in distilled H2O and analyzed on a 96-well Wallac plate reader at λ570 nm.

Histological analyses

Freshly excised livers were dissected, processed and embedded in paraffin wax, from which 5-μm sections were cut for analyses via histological staining. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was used to score hepatosteatosis and inflammation using the NAFLD activity score (NAS) (27) and trichrome staining to access fibrosis. All images pertaining to histological analyses were viewed via light microscopy and photomicrographs taken using a Leica imaging system.

Real-time quantitative PCR

Fragments of frozen liver tissue were homogenized using TRI Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) for total RNA isolation. One microgram of total RNA was reversed transcribed to cDNA using Applied Biosystems High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Reaction mixes consisting of Applied Biosystems TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix and primer/probes (assays on demand), along with 1-μL cDNA, were made to 20 μL with nuclease-free water. All primer/probes targeted to genes of interest were labeled with FAM, whereas the reference gene, invariably 18s, was labeled with VIC; all reactions were singleplex and performed using Applied Biosystems ABI 7500 sequence detection system. Gene expression data was graphically represented as fold changes against controls. Reference genes’ (18s) cycle threshold (Ct) values from each sample were subtracted from Ct values of corresponding samples genes of interest for ΔCt values (ΔΔCt). These values were then averaged and controls averages taken from those of KOs to give ΔΔCt values which were then incorporated into the equation 2−ΔΔCt to normalize cohort controls values to 1 and KO cohort as fold changes compared with controls (28).

Statistical analyses

All data are derived from n = 13 controls, n = 11 11β-HSD1KO, n = 9 LKO, and n = 9 LKO control male mice with data presented as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using Mann-Whitney and Student’s t tests and statistical significance assigned where P < .05. Although graphical representation of RT-qPCR data are presented as fold change versus controls, statistical analyses were performed using ΔCt values.

Results

Effect of ALIOS diet feeding on metabolic parameters

NAFLD is considered the hepatic manifestation of the MetS. Thus, we used the ALIOS diet as a means to induce MetS and fatty liver disease in 11β-HSD1KO and control mice. After 16 weeks of ALIOS diet, controls and 11β-HSD1KO mice showed similar rates of body weight gain (Figure 1A). Body weight normalized sc fat, gonadal fat, and perirenal fat pad weights showed no differences, with evidence for moderately increased accumulation of mesenteric fat in 11β-HSD1KOs compared with controls (P < .01) (Figure 1B). No differences were seen in lean mass (quadriceps, tibialis anterior, and soleus muscles) between 11β-HSD1KO and control mice (Figure 1C). 11β-HSD1KO mice showed no difference in glucose or insulin tolerance when compared with control mice (Figure 1, D and E). Furthermore, neither fed nor fasted state blood-glucose concentrations were different between 11β-HSD1KO and control mice (Figure 1F). Blood-insulin concentrations in the fasted state and 30 minutes after glucose bolus injection showed no differences between 11β-HSD1KO and control mice (Figure 1G). We also assessed plasma lipid profiles and show HDL and LDL cholesterol, FFAs and TAGs were no different between 11β-HSD1KO and control mice (Figure 1, H–J). Taken together, these data suggest that global deletion of 11β-HSD1 does not protect form the metabolic dysregulation associated with 16 weeks of ALIOS diet feeding, a time frame validated to cause obesity, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia (25).

Hepatic histology and steatosis scoring

ALIOS diet is also an established means to induce the classical features of hepatosteatosis and fatty liver disease (25), from which 11β-HSD1KO mice should be protected. However, there were no differences in the macroscopic
appearance of the liver, or body weight normalized liver weight between 11β-HSD1KO and control mice (Figure 2A). Hepatic TAG content endorsed the liver weight data, with no differences observed (Figure 2B). Steatosis analysis, using blind scoring of H&E-stained livers of 11β-HSD1KO and control mice, showed microvesicular and macrovesicular steatosis in both 11β-HSD1KO and control mice. Although the severity varied across the cohorts, no differences in overall distribution in lipid accumulation were observed (Figure 2C). Indeed, qualitative assessment using NAS showed there was no statistically significant difference between hepatosteatosis in 11β-HSD1KO and control mice (Figure 2D). Overall, we find that deletion of 11β-HSD1 in mice treated with the ALIOS diet affords no protection from hepatic TAG accumulation or classical histological profile associated with hepatosteatosis and an overall MetS.

**Metabolic and hepatic analysis of hepatocyte-specific 11β-HSD1 deletion**

We also wished to delineate the contribution of hepatocyte-specific 11β-HSD1 deletion to the development of a MetS and fatty liver in ALIOS-fed mice given the high level of enzyme expression in this cell type and its prominent role in GC-regulated lipid metabolism. To do this, we subjected hepatocyte-specific KO mice (LKO) to ALIOS diet for 16 weeks. As in 11β-HSD1KO, LKO mice gained weight at a similar rate to controls over the 16 weeks, with no discernible differences in end-point weight observed (control 34.73 ± 1.47 vs LKO 36.56 ± 0.70 g).
No differences in body weight normalized adipose or lean tissue weights tissue weights were seen. In terms of glucose metabolism, area under the curve (AUC) analysis of GTTs and ITTs again showed no differences between LKO and control mice (GTT AUC, control 17.23 ± 2.26mM vs LKO 20.32 ± 2.22mM; ITT AUC, control 7.92 ± 0.33mM vs LKO 7.35 ± 0.37mM). Furthermore, there were no differences between normalized liver weight and hepatic TAG content between control and LKO mice, being equivalent to the 11β-HSD1KO (Figure 3, A and B). Hepatosteatosis analysis was conducted and showed equally distributed microvesicular and macrovesicular

Figure 2. Loss of 11β-HSD1 does not reduce or delay hepatic lipid accumulation in mice fed the ALIOS diet for 16 weeks. A, Liver to body weight ratios in controls and 11β-HSD1KO. B, Hepatic TAG content in controls and 11β-HSD1KO mice. C, H&E-stained sections cut at 5 μm of controls and 11β-HSD1KO liver demonstrating levels of macro- and microvesicular steatosis. D, Dot plot representing steatosis in livers of control and 11β-HSD1KO mice was assessed using the NAS grading system. Mean ± SEM (A and B), interquartile range (D); n = 13 (control) and n = 11 (11β-HSD1KO). Scale bars, 200 μm.
steatosis in both LKO and control mice (Figure 3C). NAS-assessed LKO and control livers showed similar levels of hepatosteatosis (Figure 3D). These data confirmed the overall view that ALIOS diet and its associated induction of MetS and NALFD is unaffected by the 11β-HSD1 loss of function.

Hepatic inflammation analysis in 11β-HSD1KO mice

It became apparent during the process of blind assessing histological NASs that certain sections displayed accumulations of hepatic immune foci in the context of steatosis. To better characterize this, blinded NAS sys-

Figure 3. Mice with hepatocyte-specific deletion of 11β-HSD1 have steatosis comparable with global KO mice. A, Liver to body weight ratios in controls and LKO. B, Hepatic TAG content in controls and LKO mice. C, H&E-stained sections cut at 5 μm of control and LKO liver demonstrating levels of macro- and microvesicular steatosis. D, Dot plot representing steatosis in livers of control and LKO mice, assessed using the NAS grading system. Mean ± SEM (A and B), interquartile range (D); n = 9 (control) and n = 9 (LKO). Scale bars, 200 μm.
tem quantification of inflammatory and immune foci was conducted and revealed an average of 80% of fields of view from 11β-HSD1KO livers had 2 or more inflammatory foci compared with 30% in controls (P < .001) (Figure 4, A and B). Importantly, scoring of LKO immune foci showed no discernible differences with their controls (Figure 4C). These data suggest that despite no differences in systemic or hepatic metabolic parameters being observed, 11β-HSD1KO livers are potentially engaged in the early transition to inflammatory disease, and that extrahepatocyte 11β-HSD1 activity is important to this process.

**Markers of inflammation, immune cell infiltration, and fibrosis in 11β-HSD1KO mice**

Following the observation of increased immune foci and early stage inflammatory disease in 11β-HSD1KO mice, we conducted gene expression analysis for markers of cytokines,

---

**Figure 4.** Loss of 11β-HSD1 results in an increased frequency of hepatic inflammatory foci compared with control after 16 weeks of ALIOS diet. A, H&E-stained sections cut at 5 μm show inflammatory foci (arrows) in livers of controls and 11β-HSD1KO mice, areas inside boxes highlight inflammatory foci (magnified an additional ×2). B, Dot plot showing % fields of view with 2 or more inflammatory foci; using the Mann-Whitney test, there was a significant increase in 11β-HSD1KO mice compared with controls, which was not seen in livers of LKO mice when compared with their controls (C). ***, P < .001, interquartile range; n = 13 (control), n = 11 (11β-HSD1KO), n = 9 (LKO control), and n = 9 (LKO). Foci per field of view at ×200 magnification. Scale bars, 200 μm.**
macrophages, lymphocytes and fibrosis to further characterize this finding. The proinflammatory genes Tnf and Ccl2 were significantly increased in livers of 11β-HSD1KO compared with controls (Figure 5A). Further to this, macrophage markers Lgals3, Fsp1, and Cd11b, known to be involved in the early modulation of NASH, were significantly increased, as were lymphocyte specific markers Cd8 and B220 in 11β-HSD1KO compared with controls (Figure 5, B and C). These suggest that 11β-HSD1 expression in nonhepatocyte cells is important to restraining the immune response to ectopic liver fat accumulation.

Because the pathogenesis of NASH involves the deposition of collagen and the formation of fibrotic lesions as hallmark features of advancing disease, we assessed the expression of fibrosis markers and show that Col1a1, encoding the major protein component of type 1 collagen, is significantly increased (P = .004) in 11β-HSD1KO but not in control livers. Reelin (Reln) expressed by hepatic stellate cells can act as a marker of hepatocyte damage, and was shown not to be altered (Figure 6A). However, trichrome staining showed no ectopic collagen deposition evident in either 11β-HSD1KO or control liver, with only collagen of the tunica externa of blood vessels stained (Figure 6B), suggesting that increased Col1a1 expression was a marker of the very earliest progression to NASH only seen in 11β-HSD1KO mice.

Discussion
Mice deficient in 11β-HSD1, or treated with 11β-HSD1 inhibitors, resist obesity and a MetS phenotype when fed conventional high-fat diets (15, 21). Based on observa-
tions from such models and recent human clinical studies we postulated that 11β-HSD1KO and hepatocyte-specific KO (LKO) mice would be protected against the development of MetS and resist hepatosteatosis when challenged with the ALIOS diet. After 16 weeks of ALIOS, we show that male 11β-HSD1KO and LKO mice are indistinguishable from control mice displaying obesity, glucose intolerance, dyslipidemia and indeed hepatosteatosis. An increase in mesenteric adipose tissue mass was measured in 11β-HSD1KO mice. This runs contrary to that found for 11β-HSD1KO mice fed a high-fat diet, in which there was preferential adipose deposition away from the mesenteric depot (15). Nonetheless, positive correlations have been shown between mesenteric fat mass and hepatosteatosis in human subjects (28–30).

Unlike conventional high-fat diets, ALIOS diet includes transfats and fructose, both of which can drive hepatic lipid metabolism and accumulation, and have been shown to exacerbate the development of MetS beyond that achieved by conventional high-fat diets (25, 31–33). Indeed ALIOS is also validated to induce a more vigorous progression from simple steatosis to NASH, something that high-fat diets rarely achieve (25, 34).

We had further hypothesized that KO mice would be protected from developing overt fatty liver and show a decrement in lipid accumulation. However, hepatic lipid accumulation and quantitative NAS analysis demonstrated no differences between 11β-HSD1KO, LKO, and their control mice. A number of preclinical animal models have demonstrated the potential for 11β-HSD1 to modulate lipid accumulation and the NAFLD phenotype. Mice with transgenic over expression of 11β-HSD1, specifically in adipose and liver, develop steatosis in the context of a HFD (17, 18). 11β-HSD1KO and adipose-specific 11β-HSD1KO mice resist hepatosteatosis when GCs are in circulatory excess, achieved through the lack of adipose 11β-HSD1 limiting lipolysis and hepatic FFA delivery, whereas LKO maintained florid steatosis as in wild-type mice (14, 16). Furthermore, a recent phase 1B clinical trial using the 11β-HSD1 inhibitor RO5093151 effectively reduced liver-fat content of those treated; however, the tissues mediating this effect were not identified (24).

On this basis, we conclude that in the context of the ALIOS diet, neither global nor hepatocyte-specific 11β-HSD1 loss of function in male mice has any protective effect against the development of hepatosteatosis.

Simple and benign steatosis is a reversible condition which precedes more destructive NASH, fibrosis and cirrhosis (35). Our unanticipated observations of inflammatory disease only, in 11β-HSD1KO mice, implied that we had collected liver transitioning to the early stage of NASH. Inflammatory scoring was not a primary endpoint measure in terms of our initial hypothesis regarding metabolic disease. However, there is accumulating evidence for a prominent role for 11β-HSD1 in modulating local tissue inflammatory responses, regulating early restraint of the acute inflammatory process. 11β-HSD1 deficiency has been shown to worsen acute inflammation with greater infiltration of inflammatory cells into target sites in experimental models of rheumatoid arthritis and myocardial infarction (36, 37). However, this is not a uni-
universal observation. Reduced inflammation in adipose tissue has been observed in 11β-HSD1KO mice, suggesting tissue-specific inflammatory phenotypes are important (38).

We endorsed our histological observations by showing elevated expression of markers of inflammation and immune cell infiltration/activation in livers of 11β-HSD1KO mice when compared with controls. Fibroblast-specific protein-1 (Fsp1/S100a4), a marker for a subpopulation of macrophages specific to liver damage was increased yet F4/80 and Cds68, pan macrophage markers, and Clec4f, expressed in Kupffer and migrant liver macrophages were not. Although no firm conclusions can be drawn, they do suggest differential regulation of FSP1 \( ^{+ve} \) macrophages in the context of 11β-HSD1 and diet-induced hepatic inflammation. Importantly, FSP1 \( ^{+ve} \) macrophages also express Cdl1b, Ccl2, and Tnf, all of which were also significantly increased in 11β-HSD1KO mice. Because several liver resident immune cells types express these markers, elucidation of the subpopulations involved could not be ascertained (39–42). In healthy livers, resident immune cells are found in portal tracts and migrate into the parenchyma upon initiation of an inflammatory response (43–45). It has been shown that they autoregulate their physiology via intracrine 11β-HSD1-mediated reactivation of GC, regulating processes such as mast cell degranulation, dendritic cell differentiation, and neutrophil and dendritic cell susceptibility to apoptosis (46–50). This evidence suggests the intrinsic ability of immune cells to autoregulate availability of GC is crucial to appropriate immune function.

We postulate that ALIOS induced hepatic inflammation is initially restrained by extrahepatocyte 11β-HSD1 activity in resident hepatic immune cells or/and by peripheral extravasated immune cells such as macrophages (51, 52). This is supported by data showing macrophages deficient in 11β-HSD1 fail to phagocytose apoptotic neutrophils when treated in vitro with 11β-HSD1 substrate; with 11β-HSD1KO mice having delayed macrophage phagocytic competence during induced peritonitis (49). The enhanced inflammatory phenotype exhibited by 11β-HSD1KO mice also endorses clinical data, whereby steatohepatitis was accompanied with an increase in 11β-HSD1, suggesting a requirement for increased GC activity in inflamed livers (19).

Although we show significantly increased hepatic expression of Colla1, an early marker of liver collagen deposition (53), we could not demonstrate histological evidence of fibrosis, and may be due to 16 weeks of ALIOS being insufficient to evoke fibrotic lesions. ALIOS diet fed for 12 months has been shown to recapitulate the development of NASH, as 80% of animals developed fibrosis and 60% hepatocellular carcinoma (34).

These data indicate ALIOS as a useful means to examine the relationship between hepatic 11β-HSD1-mediated GC metabolism, MetS and inflammatory disease. Importantly, we highlight the need to further explore 11β-HSD1 in the context of hepatic inflammation and its role in the mechanisms that gateway the development of NASH. Further work is required to elucidate the cell types and mechanisms critical to resolving hepatic inflammation in the setting of ALIOS induced NAFLD and MetS. Ultimately, the clinical application of 11β-HSD1 inhibitors as treatments for many of the conditions associated with MetS may not be advisable when NAFLD is also present.

Acknowledgments

We thank Gary Reynolds for technical advice and Rowan Hardy and Yasir Mohamed Elhassan for reviewing the paper draft.

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Gareth G. Lavery, PhD, Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, 2nd Floor, IBR Tower, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom. E-mail: g.g.lavery@bham.ac.uk.

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council David Philips Fellowship BB/G023468/1 (to G.G.L), the Wellcome Senior Fellowship Grant 104612/Z/14/Z (to G.G.L.), the European Research Council Grant 20090506 (to P.M.S.), and the Wellcome Trust Grant 082809 (to P.M.S.).

Disclosure Summary: The authors have nothing to disclose.

References

1. Ludwig J, Viggiano TR, McGill DB, Oh BJ. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: Mayo Clinic experiences with a hitherto unnamed disease. Mayo Clin Proc. 1980;55(7):434–438.
2. Ascha MS, Hanounen IA, Lopez R, Tamimi TA, Feldstein AF, Zein NN. The incidence and risk factors of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology. 2010;51(6):1972–1978.
3. Starley BQ, Calcagno CJ, Harrison SA. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma: a weighty connection. Hepatology. 2010;51(5):1820–1832.
4. Vernon G, Baranova A, Younossi ZM. Systematic review: the epidemiology and natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in adults. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2011;34(3):274–285.
5. Ekstedt M, Hagström H, Nasr P, et al. Fibrosis stage is the strongest predictor for disease-specific mortality in NAFLD after up to 33 years of follow-up. Hepatology. 2015;61:1547–1554.
6. Fabbrini E, Sullivan S, Klein S. Obesity and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: biochemical, metabolic, and clinical implications. Hepatology. 2010;51(2):679–689.
7. Marchesini G, Brizi M, Bianchi G, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver
8. Rockall AG, Sohaib SA, Evans D, et al. Hepatic steatosis in Cush-
ing’s syndrome: a radiological assessment using computed tomo-
graphy. Eur J Endocrinol. 2003;149(6):543–548.
9. Baxter JD, Forsham PH. Tissue effects of glucocorticoids. Am J Med. 1972;53(5):573–589.
10. Hellerman MK. De novo lipogenesis in humans: metabolic and reg-
ulatory aspects. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1999;53:S33–S63.
11. Dolinsky VW, Douglas DN, Lehner R, Vance DE. Regulation of the enzymes of hepatic microsomal triacylglycerol lipolysis and re-
esterification by the glucocorticoid dexamethasone. Biochem J. 2004; 378:967–974.
12. Fraser R, Ingram MC, Anderson NH, Morrison C, Davies E, Con-
nell JM. Cortisol effects on body mass, blood pressure, and choles-
terol in the general population. Hypertension. 1999;33:1364–1368.
13. Gathercole LL, Lavery GG, Morgan SA, et al. 11β-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1: translational and therapeutic aspects. Endocr Rev. 2013;34(4):525–555.
14. Morgan SA, McCabe EL, Gathercole LL, et al. 11β-HSD1 is the major regulator of the tissue-specific effects of circulating glucocor-
ticoid excess. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111(24):E2482–E2491.
15. Morton NM, Paterson JM, Masuzaki H, et al. Novel adipose tissue-
mediated resistance to diet-induced visceral obesity in 11β-hydroxy-
esteroid dehydrogenase type 1-deficient mice. Diabetes. 2004; 53(4):931–938.
16. Lavery GG, Zielinska AE, Gathercole LL, et al. Lack of significant metabolic abnormalities in mice with liver-specific disruption of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1. Endocrinology. 2012; 153:3236–3248.
17. Livingstone DE, Walker BR. Is 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 a therapeutic target? Effects of carbadoxolone in lean and obese Zucker rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2003;305(1):167–172.
18. Masuzaki H, Paterson J, Shinya H, et al. A transgenic model of visceral obesity and the metabolic syndrome. Science. 2001; 293(5549):2166–2170.
19. Ahmed A, Rabbitt E, Brady T, et al. A switch in hepatic cortisol metabolism across the spectrum of non alcoholic fatty liver disease. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e29531.
20. Masuzaki H, Flier JS. Tissue-specific glucocorticoid reactivating enzyme, 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1) - a promising drug target for the treatment of metabolic syndrome. Curr Drug Targets Immune Endocr Metabol Disord. 2003;3:255–262.
21. Hermanowski-Vosatka A, Balkovec JM, Cheng K, et al. 11β-HSD1 inhibition ameliorates metabolic syndrome and prevents pro-
gression of atherosclerosis in mice. J Exp Med. 2005;202(4):517–527.
22. Tomlinson JW, Stewart PM. Mechanisms of disease: selective inhi-
bition of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 as a novel treat-
ment for the metabolic syndrome. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2005;1:92–99.
23. Anderson A, Walker BR. 11β-HSD1 inhibitors for the treatment of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Drugs. 2013;73(13): 1385–1393.
24. Stefan N, Ramsauer M, Jordan P, et al. Inhibition of 11β-HSD1 with ROS50931511 reduces non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2014;2(5):406–416.
25. Tetti LH, Basaranoglu M, Brunet EM, Yerian LM, Neuschwander-
Tetri BA. Severe NAFLD with hepatic necroinflammatory changes in mice fed trans fats and a high-fructose corn syrup equivalent. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2008;295(5):G987–G995.
26. Semonious NM, Sherlock M, Jeyasuria P, et al. Hexose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase contributes to skeletal muscle homeostasis inde-
dent of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1. Endocrinology. 2011;152:93–102.
27. Kleiner DE, Brunet EM, Van Natta M, et al. Nonalcoholic steato-
hepatitis clinical research, design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology. 2005;41(6):1313–1321.
28. Jakobsen MU, Berentzen T, Sorensen TI, Overvad K. Abdominal obesity and fatty liver. Epidemiol Rev. 2007;29:77–87.
29. Liu KH, Chan YL, Chan JCN, Chan WB, Kong WL. Mesenteric fat thickness as an independent determinant of fatty liver. Int J Obes. 2006;30:787–793.
30. Ma RC, Liu KH, Lam PM, et al. Sonographic measurement of mes-
enteric fat predicts presence of fatty liver among subjects with poly-
cystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96:799– 807.
31. Basciano H, Federico L, Adeli K. Fructose, insulin resistance, and metabolic dyslipidemia. Nutr Metab (Lond). 2005;2(1):5.
32. Kohli R, Kirby M, Xanthakos SA, et al. High-fructose, medium chain trans fat diet induces liver fibrosis and elevates plasma coen-
yzme Q9 in a novel murine model of obesity and nonalcoholic ste-
atohepatitis. Hepatology. 2010;52(3):934–944.
33. Dhibi M, Brahimi F, Mnari A, et al. The intake of high fat diet with different trans fatty acid levels differentially induces oxidative stress and non alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in rats. Nutr Metab (Lond). 2011;8(1):65.
34. Downman JK, Hopkins LJ, Reynolds GM, et al. Development of hepatocellular carcinoma in a murine model of nonalcoholic ste-
atotohepatitis induced by use of a high-fat/fructose diet and sedentary lifestyle. Am J Pathol. 2014;184(5):1550–1561.
35. Wong VW, Wong GL, Choi PC, et al. Disease progression of non-
alcoholic fatty liver disease: a prospective study with paired liver biopsies at 3 years. Gut. 2010;59(7):969–974.
36. Coutinho AE, Gray M, Brownstein DG, et al. 11β-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1, but not type 2, deficiency worsens acute inflammation and experimental arthritis in mice. Endocrinology. 2012;153(1):234–240.
37. McSweeney SJ, Hadoke PW, Kozak AM, et al. Improved heart func-
tion follows enhanced inflammatory cell recruitment and angiogen-
eria in 11β-HSD1 deficient mice post-MI. Cardiovasc Res. 2010; 88(1):159–167.
38. Wamlil M, Battle JH, Turban S, et al. Novel fat depot-specific mechan-
isms underlie resistance to visceral obesity and inflammation in 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1-deficient mice. Diabetes. 2011;60(4):1158–1167.
39. Christensen JE, Andreasen SO, Christensen JP, Thomsen AR. CD11b expression as a marker to distinguish between recently acti-
vated effector CD8+ T cells and memory cells. Int Immunol. 2001;13(4):593–600.
40. Van Coillie E, Van Damme J, Opendakker G. The MCP/peptaxin subfamily of CC chemokines. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 1999; 10(1):61–86.
41. Caron G, Delneste Y, Aubry JP, et al. Human NK cells constitutively express membrane TNF-α (mTNFα) and present mTNFα-depen-
dent cytotoxic activity. Eur J Immunol. 1999;29(11):3388–3395.
42. Mueller L, von Seggern I, Schumacher J, et al. TNF-α similarly induces IL-6 and MCP-1 in fibroblasts from colorectal liver metas-
tases and normal liver fibroblasts. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2010;397(3):586–591.
43. Norris S, Collins C, Doherty DG, et al. Resident human hepatic lymphocytes are phenotypically different from circulating lymphocytes. J Hepatol. 1998;28(1):84–90.
44. Doherty DG, Norris S, Madrigal-Estebas L, et al. The human liver contains multiple populations of NK cells, T cells, and CD3+ CD56+ natural T cells with distinct cytotoxic activities and Th1, Th2, and Th0 cytokine secretion patterns. J Immunol. 1999; 163(4):2314–2321.
45. Jomantaite I, Dikopoulos N, Kröger A, et al. Hepatic dendritic cell subsets in the mouse. Eur J Immunol. 2004;34(2):355–365.
46. Zhang TY, Ding X, Daynes RA. The expression of 11β-hydroxyster-
oid dehydrogenase type 1 by lymphocytes provides a novel means
for intracrine regulation of glucocorticoid activities. J Immunol. 2005;174(2):879–889.

47. Coutinho AE, Brown JK, Yang F, et al. Mast cells express 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1: a role in restraining mast cell degranulation. PLoS One. 2013;8(1):e54640.

48. Freeman L, Hewison M, Hughes SV, et al. Expression of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 permits regulation of glucocorticoid bioavailability by human dendritic cells. Blood. 2005;106(6):2042–2049.

49. Gilmour JS, Coutinho AE, Cailhier JF, et al. Local amplification of glucocorticoids by 11 β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 promotes macrophage phagocytosis of apoptotic leukocytes. J Immunol. 2006;176(12):7605–7611.

50. Soulier A, Blois SM, Sivakumaran S, et al. Cell-intrinsic regulation of murine dendritic cell function and survival by prereceptor amplification of glucocorticoid. Blood. 2013;122(19):3288–3297.

51. Henning JR, Graffeo CS, Rehman A, et al. Dendritic cells limit fibroinflammatory injury in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in mice. Hepatology. 2013;58(2):589–602.

52. Thieringer R, Le Grand CB, Carbin L, et al. 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 is induced in human monocytes upon differentiation to macrophages. J Immunol. 2001;167(1):30–33.

53. Ala-Kokko L, Pihlajaniemi T, Myers JC, Kivirikko KI, Savolainen ER. Gene expression of type I, III and IV collagens in hepatic fibrosis induced by dimethylnitrosamine in the rat. Biochem J. 1987;244(1):75–79.