Effectiveness of real-time tele-ultrasound for echocardiography in resource-limited medical teams
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Abstract
Background  Echocardiography is a first-line tool for the screening of patients with cardiac dysfunction. However, the mastery of echocardiography requires significant training, and not all medical teams involve an echocardiography specialist. Telemedicine approaches can potentially improve the quality of echocardiography in resource-limited situations.
Methods  We used a novel tablet-based hand-held ultrasound device that enables tele-ultrasound—the real-time video telecommunication with remote control for ultrasound images. A trainee scanned patients with various cardiovascular diseases and interpreted the images. Subsequently, the same trainee re-scanned the same patients and re-interpreted the same images using tele-ultrasound with an echocardiography specialist. An examination on the same patients by a blinded specialist was considered the gold standard.
Results  We included 31 patients (median 77 [interquartile range 68–84] years old, 42% women). Mean absolute errors in left ventricular (LV) end-diastolic and end-systolic diameters, visual LV ejection fraction, and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion decreased significantly after tele-ultrasound advice (5.9 mm, 5.8 mm, 8.6%, and 4.5 to 1.6 mm, 2.8 mm, 0.7%, and 1.8 mm, respectively, all \(p<0.001\)), and intra-class correlation coefficients improved (0.76, 0.84, 0.68, and 0.44 to 0.96, 0.93, 0.99, and 0.90, respectively). Notably, with tele-advice, the trainee’s examination showed perfect agreement with that of the specialist in classifying LV ejection fraction (> 50%, 50–35%, or > 35%) and identifying significant valvular heart diseases.
Conclusion  Real-time tele-ultrasound improved a trainee’s echocardiography results to those of a specialist-level examination. This approach might be helpful in resource-limited medical teams where echocardiographic specialists are not readily available.
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Introduction
Cardiovascular disease is one of the most prevalent and severe comorbidities in patients who require hospital admission, and there is a significant demand for evaluating cardiac function and status in various situations in hospitals and medical teams [1, 2]. Echocardiography is a first-line cardiac examination performed when cardiovascular complications are suspected, for example, in patients with abnormal electrocardiograms, elevated cardiac
biomarkers, or diseases that frequently cause cardiovascular complications. However, significant training is required to perform and interpret echocardiography [3]; moreover, there are situations wherein an echocardiography specialist is not available, such as in small clinics, hospitals in rural areas, and infectious disease medical teams that restrict the number of participants.

Telemedicine refers to the remote delivery of healthcare services using information and communication technologies (ICT) [4]. Although the most common form of telemedicine is online clinics where patients directly talk with doctors online, such ICT approaches are also useful in communications and consultations between doctors [5, 6]. Recently, a novel ultrasound device that enables real-time screen sharing and telecommunication with remote doctors has emerged [7, 8]. This device provides a unique opportunity to perform “tele-ultrasound,” a subfield of telemedicine, as an augmentation of non-specialist ultrasound by a real-time consultation with a specialist [9, 10]. Such tele-ultrasound may be useful in resource-limited medical situations where a specialist is not readily available onsite, such as an infection disease team and a medical team that works in an ambulance/a medical helicopter where the number of medical staffs is restricted. In this study, we sought to elucidate the efficacy of real-time tele-ultrasound for echocardiographic examination in resource-limited medical teams.

Methods

Study outline

In November 2020, we conducted a study on consecutive patients with cardiovascular diseases who were admitted to Juntendo University Hospital. We simulated a resource-limited medical team wherein only non-specialists could perform echocardiography, whereas a specialist was available online. Using a tablet-based handheld ultrasound device (Lumify; Philips, Andover MA), a trainee performed echocardiography on patients with various cardiovascular diseases and interpreted the echocardiographic images. Subsequently, the same trainee re-scanned and re-interpreted the same patients under tele-advice by a remote echocardiography specialist who was observing the echocardiographic images in another building. The device enables real-time telecommunication using an internet connection, and allows a remote doctor at distance to control a pointer on the ultrasound images that can be also visible to the examiner (Reacts system; INNOVATIVE IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES INC, Canada). The accuracies of the first (trainee alone) and the second examinations (under tele-advice) were assessed using the agreements with an examination by a blinded specialist, which was considered the gold standard.

Level of training and echocardiography

The trainees who participated in the study were in the middle of a general cardiology fellowship that was equivalent to level 1 of the training statement by American societies [3], which represented the basic training of competent consultants. They had just finished their 2-year residency program and had not undergone training on echocardiography. A specialist was defined as an attending echocardiography doctor who had finished training equivalent to level 3 training of the training statements by American societies. Using the hand-held device, left ventricular end-diastolic (LVIDd) and end-systolic diameter (LVIDs), left atrial diameter (LAD), and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) were measured. The handheld device was designed for point-of-care ultrasound without a function for calculating left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) using the method of disks, and the purpose of the examination was not to obtain dedicated echocardiography-lab-level measurements; therefore, LVEF was assessed by visual estimation, which has been reported to be a good estimate of the method of disks if performed by experienced reviewers [11, 12]. The severity of valvular heart disease was also investigated using color Doppler and B-mode images. Moderate and severe valvular heart diseases were considered significant valvular heart disease. All analyses were performed in accordance with the latest guidelines published by the American Society of Echocardiography and the Japanese Circulation Society [13–15].

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as the median [interquartile range] and frequency (%) for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Group differences were evaluated using the Mann–Whitney U and chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Errors of measurements were calculated as absolute values of the difference between trainee and specialist results, and paired t tests were used to compare errors with and without tele-advice. Agreement between measurements were assessed using intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC; two-way random-effects, absolute agreements, for single rater) for continuous variables and weighted Kappa coefficients for categorical variables. Bland–Altman plots were used to check the limits of agreement.

All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.0.3 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), with packages “irr” and
“BlandAltmanLeh” [16, 17]. A two-tailed $p$ value < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

**Results**

**Population**

A total of 31 consecutive patients were scanned three times: by a trainee without tele-ultrasound, the same trainee

| Table 1 Patient characteristics | $N=31$ |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Age                             | 77 [68–84] |
| Female                          | 13 (42%) |
| **Etiology**                    |       |
| Acute coronary syndrome         | 2 (6.5%) |
| Arrhythmia                      | 3 (9.7%) |
| Heart failure                   | 24 (77%) |
| Peripheral artery disease       | 2 (6.5%) |
| **Rhythm**                      |       |
| Sinus                           | 22 (71%) |
| Atrial fibrillation             | 3 (9.7%) |
| Pacing                          | 6 (19%) |
| **Device**                      |       |
| PM/ICD                          | 6 (19%) |
| CRT-D/P                         | 3 (9.7%) |
| No device                       | 22 (71%) |
| Coronary artery disease         | 10 (32%) |
| Prosthesis                      | 11 (35%) |
| **Echocardiography**            |       |
| LV end-diastolic diameter, mm   | 51 [48–56] |
| LV end-systolic diameter, mm    | 33 [29–42] |
| LV ejection fraction, %         | 60 [45–62] |
| LA diameter, mm                 | 38 [36–45] |
| TAPSE, mm                       | 20.0 [15.5–23.0] |
| Valvular heart disease          | 15 (48%) |
| Aortic stenosis                 | 2 (6.5%) |
| Aortic regurgitation            | 6 (19%) |
| Mitral regurgitation            | 11 (35%) |
| Tricuspid regurgitation         | 7 (23%) |

PM pacemaker, ICD implantable cardiac device, CRT-D cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillator, CRT-P cardiac resynchronization therapy pacemaker, LV left ventricular, LA left atrial, TAPSE tricuspid annular plane excursion.
with tele-ultrasound, and a specialist. The median age was 77 [68–84] years and 42% were women. Heart failure was the most frequent reason (77%) for index hospitalization. The majority of patients had sinus rhythm, whereas 9.7% and 19% were atrial fibrillation and pacemaker rhythms, respectively. Approximately one-third of patients had an implanted cardiac device such as a pacemaker. Baseline and echocardiographic parameters obtained by a specialist are summarized in Table 1. Median values of left ventricular (LV) and left atrial diameters, LVEF, and TAPSE were normal; however, the interquartile ranges were beyond the normal range, suggesting that the population was heterogeneous. Approximately half of the patients had significant valvular heart diseases, and mitral regurgitation was most frequent in 35% of patients. There was only one patient with a small amount of pericardial effusion.

**Improvement of measurements by tele-ultrasound**

Without using the tele-ultrasound system, the trainee’s scan showed moderate to good agreements with the specialist’s measurements (Fig. 1, left side of each panel): ICC 0.76 for LVIDd, 0.84 for LVIDs, 0.68 for LVEF, 0.83 for LAD, and 0.44 for TAPSE. However, the limits of agreement (Fig. 2, left side of each panel) were wide, and the mean absolute errors were out of clinically acceptable ranges: LVIDd, 5.9 [− 9.3 to 15.4] mm; LVIDs, 5.8 [− 14.9 to 13.4] mm; LVEF, 8.6 [− 15.7 to 26.3]%; LAD, 4.9 [− 8.5 to 13.0] mm; and TAPSE, 4.5 [− 11.2 to 11.6] mm. Under tele-advice, these agreements significantly improved (ICC 0.96 for LVIDd, 0.93 for LVIDs, 0.99 for LVEF, 0.89 for LAD, and 0.90 for TAPSE; Fig. 1, right side of each panel) and the limits of agreement decreased (Fig. 2, right side of each panel). The mean absolute errors significantly decreased under tele-advice, and most errors appeared clinically acceptable (1.6 mm for LVIDd, 2.8 mm for LVIDs, 0.7% for LVEF, 3.3 mm for LAD, and 1.8 mm for TAPSE; *p* < 0.001 for all parameters except for LAD, *p* = 0.042).

Regarding the severity of valvular heart diseases, the trainee’s scan without tele-advice showed poor to moderate agreements, which were dramatically improved by tele-advice (Kappa coefficients; from 0.38 to 0.90, from 0.21 to 0.85, from 0.51 to 0.83, and from 0.55 to 1.00 for aortic regurgitation, aortic stenosis, mitral regurgitation, and tricuspid regurgitation, respectively; Fig. 3).
Importantly, the trainee’s examination with tele-advice showed excellent accuracy for screening cardiac dysfunction, as demonstrated in Fig. 4. Under tele-advice, LV systolic function classification into preserved, midrange, and reduced LVEF (> 50%, 50–35%, and > 35%, respectively; we selected 35 as our cutoff value since several treatment indications are based on above and below 35) and significant valvular heart disease identification showed perfect agreement with the specialist’s results. Identification of regional LV wall motion abnormality and abnormal right ventricular systolic function as abnormal TAPSE (< 17 mm) were also improved by tele-advice (weighted Kappa coefficients, from 0.59 to 0.88 and from 0.50 to 0.77, respectively). The presence of pericardial effusion was not correctly diagnosed by the trainees; the only patients who actually had pericardial effusion was overlooked, while another patient who did not have pericardial effusion was misdiagnosed as having pericardial effusion. With the tele-advice, the diagnosis of pericardial effusion also showed perfect agreement.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate the efficacy of a tele-ultrasound system using a dedicated commercially available handheld ultrasound device. The overall study outline is summarized in Fig. 5. The results showed that tele-ultrasound enables the performance of specialist-level echocardiography even in settings where no echocardiography specialist is available.

Although ultrasound is one of the most established imaging modalities used in medical diagnosis, there is a substantial learning curve for performing and interpreting ultrasound examinations; moreover, the quality of ultrasound examinations varies significantly depending on the observer’s experience, unlike computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging, which provide very consistent and observer-independent images [18]. In addition, ultrasound examinations do not usually record all slices/images of the target organ, unlike other imaging modalities, and unrecorded images can never be referred to remote doctors. Thus, there is a unique and significant demand for “real-time” telecommunication and/or supervision when performing ultrasound. Furthermore, the dynamic motion of the heart in movies carries crucial information in echocardiography [19]. Typical telecommunication tools, such as
Zoom or FaceTime, do not usually provide a sufficient frame rate for accurate diagnosis in echocardiography. In this study, we used a device that was developed specifically for tele-ultrasound, and thus was optimized for this purpose [8]. The ultrasound device enables telecommunication, and therefore the observer can focus on performing an examination since no other device is required. The observer and a remote doctor can simultaneously observe the images.

Fig. 4 Agreements in Simple Categorization. x- and y-axes indicate measurements obtained by a specialist and a trainee, respectively. The left and right panels show the correlations in the absence and presence of tele-ultrasound, respectively. With tele-ultrasound, these simple screening measurements showed almost perfect agreements.

HFrEF heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, HFmrEF heart failure with mid-range ejection fraction, HFrEF heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, TAPSE tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion

Fig. 5 Study Outline. The overall study outline is summarized. With tele-ultrasound, real-time tele-ultrasound using the handheld device enabled specialist-level echocardiography by non-specialists.
therefore, the remote doctor can instruct the observer to acquire appropriate views and avoid overlooking important findings. The dedicated communication system attached to this device usually provides a sufficient frame rate for diagnosis, although it may depend on the internet connection. Furthermore, the remote doctor can control a pointer on the screen, which helps reduce miscommunication and greatly increases the accuracy of measurements by the observer.

There are many resource-limited medical teams and situations where such tele-ultrasound is useful as a specialist is not available. For example, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is the most important topic in medicine today [20, 21]. This infectious disease frequently causes cardiovascular involvement, such as LV and right ventricular wall motion abnormalities, which are associated with a higher rate of in-hospital morbidity and mortality. In addition, the existence of baseline cardiovascular disease has been reported as a risk factor for adverse clinical outcomes [22–24]. However, many medical teams for COVID-19 management do not involve an echocardiography specialist because medical care for patients with COVID-19 entails the risk of infection, and the number of medical staff who directly contact patients should be restricted [25, 26]. Importantly, our results showed that even trainees can provide specialist-level echocardiography examinations using this tele-ultrasound system. In particular, the almost perfect agreements in simple estimations of LV and right ventricular functions in our results highlight the efficacy of this system as a perfect screening tool, as these findings have been reported to be a marker of poor prognosis [22, 27]. Thus, tele-ultrasound is a valuable option for identifying cardiovascular complications in patients with COVID-19. Also, our results suggest that this system may be useful for education for trainees who have just started learning echocardiography, in consistency with the previous literature [28]. Further studies are warranted to establish the educational effect.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. First, this was a single-center study with a relatively small number of patients. Second, the study was only conducted at Juntendo University Hospital, where a Wi-Fi connection was provided by the hospital. Although internet connectivity is becoming a common infrastructure in most developed countries, the system may not be feasible in places with an unstable internet connection. Next, this tele-ultrasound may be time-consuming and thus not be very useful for routine echocardiographic examinations. The primary use of this system may be limited in situations where a specialist is not available onsite or for educational purposes. Finally, our trainees were in their fellowship program and were not completely novice. The results may not be applied to a paramedical staff such as a nurse or a non-medical staff without medical knowledge.

Conclusion

Real-time tele-ultrasound using the handheld device was feasible and enabled specialist-level echocardiographic results by non-specialists. This approach might have a possibility of being useful in resource-limited medical situations and teams, such as rural areas and infectious disease teams.
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