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**Abstract**

The bacterium *Vibrio ordalii* is best known as the causative agent of vibriosis outbreaks in fish and thus recognized for generating serious production losses in aquaculture systems. Here we report for the first time on the isolation and the genome sequencing of phage vB_VorS-PVo5, infectious to *Vibrio ordalii* ATCC 33509. The features as well as the complete genome sequence and annotation of the *Vibrio* phage are described; vB_VorS-PVo5 consists of a linear double stranded DNA totaling ~80.6 Kb in length. Considering its ability to lyse *Vibrio ordalii* ATCC 33509, the phage is likely to gain importance in future aquaculture applications by controlling the pathogen and as such replacing antibiotics as the treatment of choice.
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**Introduction**

The Chilean coast is characterized by the Humboldt Current System, a cold, low-salinity ocean current that is considered one of the most productive marine ecosystems on Earth. Cold, nutrient rich waters are constantly upwelled into the photic zone providing sustenance for primary producers [1, 2]. This environment offers highly favorable natural conditions for the growth of heterotrophic bacteria [3], e.g., for *Vibrio* species, known as the main pathogenic bacterial group in Chilean aquaculture [4, 5]. One of the most frequently isolated marine *Vibrio* species in the salmon industry is *Vibrio ordalii* which has been described as highly pathogenic for larvae reared in hatcheries [6–9], and therefore significantly contributes to production losses. In order to prevent diseases and control infections in fish farms, the intensive use of a wide variety of antimicrobials is applied. However, the poor management of such treatments, e.g., the use of antibiotics in discrete doses as a prophylactic therapy [10, 11], has caused enormous damage to the environment [12–14]. Moreover, the increasing development of antimicrobial resistance in natural bacterial communities [10, 15, 16], calls for stricter regulations of antibiotic use [17]. As a consequence, the interest in phage therapy as an alternative control for bacteria in aquaculture systems has recently re-gained momentum [18–21].

In our quest to find a natural control for *V. ordalii* in aquaculture, we focused our research on isolating phages potentially effective against the pathogen, surveying various marine sources, e.g., sea water, sediment and intertidal filter organisms. Amongst others we tested the filter-feeding *Perumytilus purpuratus* (Lamarck, 1819), an intertidal mussel common to the northern Chilean coast and a promising source organism for phages as it uptakes and concentrates local microbiota in its gut system. We succeeded in isolating and identifying vB_VorS-PVo5 a novel marine phage belonging to the family Siphoviridae that causes lytic infections in the bacterium *V. ordalii* ATCC 33509 and therefore qualifies as a potent future candidate to control one of the...
most harmful bacteria in the aquaculture industry. The whole genome sequence of the phage was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform and is described here, presenting the first report of an isolated and sequenced phage that infects the marine bacterium *Vibrio ordalii*.

### Organism information

#### Classification and features

The *Vibrio* phage vB_VorS-PVo5 belongs to the Siphoviridae, a family of double-stranded DNA viruses in the order Caudovirales, and forms ~2-mm diameter plaques when infecting *V. ordalii* type strain ATCC 33509.

The phage was isolated from macerated specimens of the mussel *Perumytilus purpuratus*, collected in the intertidal zone off the Antofagasta coast in Chile (23° 42′ 00″ S; 70°25′ 88″ W). Transmission electron microscopy of purified phage particles (Fig. 1) revealed an icosahedral capsid (~85 nm diameter) and a distinguishable long tail (~150 nm length). The capsid encapsulates a linear double-stranded DNA genome of a length of 80,578 bp. An alignment of the DNA polymerase gene, a method commonly applied as a viral phylogenetic marker [22], demonstrated that vB_VorS-PVo5 phage clusters closely to (a) *Vibrio* phage pVp_1 GB JQ340389, a known predator of *V. parahaemolyticus* ATCC 33844 previously isolated from the Yellow Sea coast in Korea [23], and (b) *Vibrio* phage phi 3 GB AJF40879, associated with *Vibrio cholerae* 1051 and previously isolated in Russia (Fig. 2). All sequences were collected from NCBI and aligned using CLUSTALW [24]; the evolutionary analysis was inferred through the neighbor-joining method using MEGA6 [25] under auto settings.

### Genome sequencing information

#### Genome project history

The bacteriophage vB_VorS-PVo5 was selected for genome sequencing based on its potential as a biological control agent for the pathogenic bacterium *Vibrio ordalii*; there are no previous reports describing the isolation and characterization of a lytic phage against this bacterium. The present study represents a first step towards better understanding the roles of bacteriophages in the ecology and virulence of *V. ordalii* and contributes to the limited data sets of vibriophage and podovirus genomes. All processes, including the isolation, multiplication, count and selection of phages, and their DNA extraction/purification were performed in the Laboratorio de Microbiología Costera at the University of Antofagasta (see following section for more details). The DNA sequencing and assembly was performed at the Molecular Research Laboratory MR-DNA (Shallowater, TX). The annotation and submission was performed in-house, using openly accessible informatics tools (see previous section for more details). The genome project was recorded in the NCBI database under the accession number PRJNA290661 and the genome annotation was deposited in GenBank under the accession number KT345706. A summary of the phage’s features is shown in Table 1 and detailed project information is given in Table 2.

#### Growth conditions and genomic DNA preparation

The phage multiplication was performed applying the double-layer agar plates method [26, 27]. *Vibrio ordalii* cells (conc. 5E + 06 cells/mL) in the soft layer of Tryptone Soy Agar, (Oxoid, UK) were subjected to six serial dilutions (1:10–1:1000000) of phage and the lysis plaques...
formed were counted to determine the total number of phage. For the DNA extraction each of three plates were inoculated with 1000 PFU phage and incubated for 16 h at 25 °C. Viral particles were re-suspended in 4 mL phage buffer [28] and incubated for 4 h with intervals of gentle shaking at 30 min. Subsequently the supernatant was transferred into 15 mL falcon tubes, a 1 mL chloroform solution was added, gently shaken for 30 s and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The product was filtered through 0.22 μm nitrocellulose filters (Merck-Millipore, Germany) to eliminate bigger cells. For the elimination of all external genomic content, DNase I (Thermo-Fisher, Germany) and RNase-A (Thermo-Fisher, Germany) were added at a final concentration of 5 units mL\(^{-1}\) each, for an incubation time of 30 min at 37 °C. Subsequently the flocculant PEG-80 was added at a 4:10 ratio and incubated overnight at 4 °C. Viral particles were pelleted in a centrifugation step at 10000 × g for 1 h at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed, the pellet dried for ~5 min under a sterile hood, a 50 μl of 10 units mL\(^{-1}\) Proteinase K (Thermo-Fisher, Germany) and phage buffer mix added, and incubated at 50 °C for 30 min to inactivate nucleases. The genomic DNA of the vB_VorS-PVo5 phage was extracted with a Phage DNA Isolation Kit (Norgen Biotek Corp., Canada), and evaluated and quantified with a UV–VIS spectrophotometer (BioTek Epoch, USA). In order to confirm the type of nucleic acid extracted, the product was digested separately in 1 unit/mL DNase I and RNase A, respectively. DNase I, as opposed to RNase A, degraded the extract, confirming the organism to be a DNA-containing phage.

**Genome sequencing and assembly**

The genome was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform at the MR-DNA Laboratory (Shallowater, TX). The library for each sample was prepared using a Nextera DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina), following the manufacturer’s instructions. 2 × 130-bp paired-end reads allowed for an estimate of 50,000 output sequences of 287 bp length with 45,367 reads remaining after the quality filtering. The assemblage of quality-filtered reads was executed for the complete genome sequence, using the pipeline by MR-DNA and resulted in an average coverage of 130 fold. A single contig of 80,578 bp corresponding to the linear genome was assembled using NGEN (DNASTAR ®) by MR-DNA.

**Genome annotation**

The prediction of open reading frames and the comparative analysis were performed combining two methods: the PHAST server [29] and Glimmer 2.1 [30]. For the assignment of protein functions to ORFs a combination of an automatic and a manual method was used, i.e., the PHAST server and BLASTp against the NCBI non-redundant database. Only homologues with E-values <1e-5 were present in the annotations. The tRNA genes were searched using the tRNAscan-SE 1.21 tool [31] and TMHMM [32], and SignalP [33] were used to predict transmembrane helices and signal peptides, respectively.

**Genome properties**

The genome statistics are summarized in Table 3. The double-stranded and non-redundant DNA genome displayed a length of 80,578 bp with a G + C content of
Table 1 Classification and general features of *Vibrio* phage vB_VorS-PVo5

| MIGS ID | Property                  | Term                                                                 | Evidence code<sup>a</sup> |
|---------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| MIGS-13 | Source Material Identifier| Personal culture collection                                         |                          |
|         | Project relevance         | Aquaculture                                                          |                          |
| MIGS-6  | Habitat                   | Oceanic, coastal                                                     | IDA                      |
| MIGS-6.3| Salinity                  | 35 % NaCl (w/v)                                                      | IDA                      |
| MIGS-22 | Oxygen requirement        | Facultative aerobic                                                  | IDA                      |
| MIGS-15 | Biotic relationship       | Obligate intracellular parasite of *Vibrio ordalii*                  | IDA                      |
| MIGS-14 | Pathogenicity             | Lytic virus of *Vibrio ordalii*                                      | IDA                      |
| MIGS-4  | Geographic location       | Chile/Antofagasta                                                   | IDA                      |
| MIGS-5  | Sample collection         | Jan 25 2014                                                          | IDA                      |
| MIGS-4.1| Latitude                  | 23.65 S                                                              | IDA                      |
| MIGS-4.2| Longitude                 | 70.5 E                                                               | IDA                      |
| MIGS-4.4| Altitude                  | 0 m                                                                  | IDA                      |

<sup>a</sup>Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay; TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes were obtained from the Gene Ontology project [40].

Table 2 Project information

| MIGS ID | Property                  | Term                                                                 |
|---------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MIGS 31 | Finishing quality         | Complete                                                             |
| MIGS 28 | Libraries used            |                                                                      |
| MIGS 29 | Sequencing platforms      | Illumina Myseq                                                       |
| MIGS 31.2| Fold coverage            | 130                                                                  |
| MIGS 30 | Assemblers                | NGEN (DNAstar)                                                      |
| MIGS 32 | Gene calling method       | PHAST server [29] Glimmer 2.1 [30]                                   |
|         | Locus Tag                 | AEO54                                                                |
|         | GenBank ID                | KT345706                                                             |
|         | GenBank Date of Release   | November 03 2015                                                    |
|         | GOL D ID                  | Gp0120391                                                            |
|         | BIOPROJECT                | PRINA290661                                                          |
|         | Source Material Identifier| Personal culture collection                                         |
|         | Project relevance         | Aquaculture                                                          |
A total of 10 tRNAs and 93 ORFs were identified, with no bacterial matches found. Putative functions of the identified ORFs were clustered by function [34], revealing DNA genes related to: metabolism (16), head/capsid proteins (7), phage tails (7), phage fibers and fiber assemblies (2), endolysin (1), and transcriptional regulation (1). The remaining 59 ORFs did not match any known function. The COG functional categories of identified genes are presented in Table 4, whereas the gene map is displayed in Fig. 3. The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on aligned sequences of DNA polymerases (Fig. 2). All sequences were collected from NCBI and aligned using CLUSTALW [24]; their evolutionary analysis was inferred through the neighbor-joining method using MEGA6 [25].

**Insights from the genome sequence**

Based on its morphological characteristics, the phage vB_VorS-PVo5 was attributed to the family of the Siphoviridae. Gene comparisons confirmed the relatedness to other members in the family, 93 ORFs code for proteins homologous to known phages, 82 of which show similarity with *Vibrio* phage pVp-1, (GenBank accession number JQ340389), indicating a close genetic relationship between these two phages (Fig. 4). The phylogenetic tree comparing DNA-Polymerase genes confirms this similarity, forming a robust cluster supported by high bootstrap values (Fig. 3), and therefore suggests a high degree of relatedness between vB_VorS-PVo5 and other *Vibrio* phages. A whole genome comparison of *Vibrio* phage vB_VorS-PVo5, and *Vibrio* phage pVp-1, was performed using the Artemis Comparison Tool [35].

Genomes were aligned by WebACT, using the default tblastx settings (E-value: 10e-4, genetic code: eubacterial). The ACT display was set to show homologous regions with BLAST scores of > 40, and a sequence similarity of >25 %.

Phage reproduction may involve either a lytic or a lysogenic cycle with some viruses being capable of performing both. In the lysogenic cycle the viral genome will integrate with host DNA and replicate along with it, whereas the lytic phage will destroy the host cell immediately after the replication, breaking bacterial cells open and allowing the phage progeny to find new hosts to infect. Due to quickly destroying the bacterial cells, lytic phages are more suitable for phage therapy, and the genes coding for the production of endolysin are the key evidence for the phage's lytic characteristics [36, 37]. A BLASTP comparison of the endolysin sequences displayed

| Table 3 Genome statistics |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Attribute                 | Value | % of the Total |
| Genome size (bp)          | 80,578| 100.00        |
| DNA coding (bp)           | 72,239| 90.00         |
| DNA G+C (bp)              | 32,674| 40.55         |
| DNA scaffolds             | 0     | 0.00          |
| Total genes               | 103   | 100           |
| Protein coding genes      | 93    | 92.99         |
| RNA genes                 | 10    | 9.71          |
| Pseudo genes              | 0     | 0.00          |
| Genes in internal clusters| 0     | 0.00          |
| Genes with function prediction | 34 | 36.56       |
| Genes assigned to COGs    | 33    | 35.49         |
| Genes with Pfam domains   | 0     | 0.00          |
| Genes with signal peptides| 1     | 1.08          |
| Genes with transmembrane helices | 1 | 1.08       |
| CRISPR repeats            | 1     | -             |

*The total is based on either the size of the genome in base pairs or the total number of protein-coding genes in the annotated genome*

| Table 4 Number of genes associated with general COG functional categories |  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Code | Value | % of Total | Description                                      |
|------|-------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| J    | 0     |            | Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis |
| A    | 0     |            | RNA processing and modification                 |
| K    | 1     | 1.08       | Transcription                                   |
| L    | 13    | 13.98      | Replication, recombination and repair           |
| B    | 0     |            | Chromatin structure and dynamics                |
| D    | 0     |            | Cell cycle control, Cell division, chromosome partitioning |
| V    | 0     |            | Defense mechanisms                              |
| T    | 0     |            | Signal transduction mechanisms                  |
| M    | 0     |            | Cell wall/membrane biogenesis                   |
| N    | 0     |            | Cell motility                                   |
| U    | 0     |            | Intracellular trafficking and secretion         |
| O    | 0     |            | Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones |
| C    | 0     |            | Energy production and conversion                |
| G    | 0     |            | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism           |
| E    | 0     |            | Amino acid transport and metabolism             |
| F    | 1     | 1.08       | Nucleotide transport and metabolism             |
| H    | 0     |            | Coenzyme transport and metabolism               |
| I    | 0     |            | Lipid transport and metabolism                  |
| P    | 0     |            | Inorganic ion transport and metabolism          |
| Q    | 0     |            | Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism |
| R    | 0     |            | General function prediction only                |
| S    | 59    | 63.44      | Function unknown                                |
| -    | 1     | 1.08       | Not in COGs                                    |
| X    | 18    | 19.35      | Mobilome: Prophage, Transposons                 |

*The total is based on the total number of protein coding genes in the genome*
a similarity of 94% and 46% with the Vibrio phage pVp-1 and Vibrio phage Phi-3, respectively. Furthermore, the enzyme destroys bacterial cell walls and has therefore been discussed for its use as an anti-infective to control pathogens [36, 38].

The specificity of the phage vB_VorS-PVo5 has been tested on Vibrio anguillarum, the most closely related species to Vibrio ordalii [8] and another Chilean strain of Vibrio ordalii, isolated from scallops, and whereas vB_VorS-PVo5 infected both Vibrio ordalii species it did not lyse Vibrio anguillarum. More research has to be done in order to test the effect of vB_VorS-PVo5 phage and its endolysin as a therapy, however, the fact that only Vibrio ordalii strains were lysed indicates that vB_VorS-PVo5 might be highly species specific and may therefore prove to be a very promising candidate for phage therapy against Vibrio ordalii.

**Conclusions**

Here we report for the first time on the isolation and genome sequencing of vB_VorS-PVo5 a novel phage that belongs to the family of the Siphoviridae and is
capable of lysing the pathogen *Vibrio ordalii* ATCC 33509. The lytic character of the phage, together with the first indication of its specificity for *Vibrio ordalii* strains indicates the potential for its future use in aquaculture applications, controlling the pathogen either by using the phage or its endolysin.
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