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A B S T R A C T

The front line demonstration of black gram were conducted during the rainy season at 50 farmer's field to determine the production potential and economic benefit of improved technologies consisting suitable variety (PU-31), integrated nutrient management (20:50:20 kg N:P:K/ha + Rhizobium + P.S. B.@ 5 g per kg of seed) integrated pest management-Deep ploughing + seed treatment with Rhizobium + Quniolphos @1.5 lit/ha) at Dhar district of Madhya Pradesh (India) during kharif season of 2016-17 & to 2017-18. The results of demonstrations showed that farmers could increase the black gram productivity notably by switching over to improved variety and adoption of improved production technology. The improved technologies recorded mean yield of 11.06 q/ha, which was 32.47 percent higher than that obtained with farmers practices of 8.38 q/ha. The additional cost of Rs 1300 to 2350 gave additional net return, it was ranged Rs 7805 to Rs 11350 per hectare with increase benefit: cost ratio ranged from 1:3.9 to 1:4.1. The extension gap ranging between 2.55 to 3.00 q/ha. Data on technology index reduced from 12.91 to 4.16%, exhibited the feasibility of technology demonstration in this region. It can be concluded that the pulses production could be enhanced by encouraging the farmers through adoption of recommended technologies which were followed in the CFLDs.

Introduction

Historically India is the largest producer, consumer and importer of pulses. Pulses are a good and chief source of protein for a majority of the population in India. Protein malnutrition is prevalent among men, women and children in India. Pulses contribute 11% of the total intake of proteins in India (Reddy, 2010). India is the world’s largest producer as well as consumer of black gram. It produces about 1.5–1.9 MT of black gram annually from about 3.5 m ha of area, with an average productivity of 600 kg/ha. Black gram output accounts for about 10 per cent of India’s total pulse production.
It is therefore, necessary to assess the technological gap in production and also to know the problems and constraints in adopting modern black gram production technologies Islam et al (2011). Black gram (Vigna mungo L.) belongs to family Fabaceae sub family papilionaceae, is being grown as one of the principle pulse crop.

In Madhya Pradesh is occupies in area of 0.64 million ha with the production and productivity of 0.26 MT and 413 kg/ha respectively. In India black gram is a grown in 3.11 million ha area with total production of 1.90MT and average productivity is 642 kg/ha (Anonymous 2013). The most important states for pulses are Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Bihar which together account for 80% of total production.

The decreasing per capita availability of pulses from 69 g in 1961 to 37 g in 2011 in the country has been a serious concern. To alleviate protein energy malnutrition, a minimum of 50g pulses/capita/day should be available in addition to other sources of protein such as cereals, milk, meat and eggs.

India grow nearly 24 million hectare pulse crops and produce nearly 15.9 million tonnes of pulses grain, which is still deficit of the present consumption, i.e. 17.65 million tonnes (Ali and Kumar, 2008). They also stated that At least 29.30 million tonnes of pulses are required by 2020.

Keeping this in view, the present investigation was undertaken to study the level of knowledge of farmers regarding black gram cultivation, extent of adoption of improved practices, to out the yield gap in black gram production technology. The present study was undertaken to evaluate the difference between demonstrated technologies through CFLDs demonstration vis-a-vis practices followed by the local farmers.

**Materials and Methods**

The improved technologies included improved variety (PU-31), integrated nutrient management (20:50:20 kg N:P:K/ha) + Rhizobium & P.S.B. @ 5 g per kg of seed, integrated pest management- Deep ploughing + Profenophos @ 1.5 Lit/ha) were tested under the demonstration.

Deep ploughing was done during the April month. Crop was sown between 06 to 09 July with a spacing of 30 cm x 10 cm and seed rate was 20 kg/ha. An entire dose of N and P through Di ammonium Phospate & murate of potash was applied as basal before sowing, Farmer practice constituted no deep ploughing was done during summer, old variety of T-9 seed was used with higher seed rate 40 kg/ha, no seed treatment, no bio fertilizer rand imbalance use of fertilizer (18:46:0 NPK( kg/ha) were adopted.

Before conducting FLDs a list of farmers was prepared from group meeting and specific skill training was imported to the selected farmers regarding different aspect of cultivation. All other step like site selection, farmer selection, layout of demonstration, farmers participation etc were followed as suggested by Choudhary (1999).

Total 150 farmers were associated under this programme. The total area covered in 3 year was 60 hectares for demonstration of recommended improved practices of Black gram. In the demonstration one control plot was also kept where farmers practices was carried out.

All the production and protection technologies other than interventions were applied in similar manner in demonstrated as well as in farmers practices.
The yield data was collected from the selected FLD farmers by random crop cutting method. The collected data were analyzed using simple tabular analysis like percentage etc.

The yield data were collected from both the demonstration and farmer’s practices and their technology gap, extension gap, and technology index were a workout (Samui et al. 2000) as given below.

(1) Technology gap = Potential yield - demonstration yield

(2) Extension gap = Demonstration yield - farmer's yield

(3) Technology Index = \( \frac{\text{Technology gap} \times 100}{\text{Potential Yield}} \)

**Results and Discussion**

The gap between the recommended practices and exiting farmer practices under black gram is presented in Table 1. Full gap was observed in case of variety, seed rate, seed treatment, and partial gap was observed in fertilizer and plant protection.

| Crop operations      | Recommended practices | Farmers practice | gap          |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Variety              | PU-31                 | T-9 (Old)        | Full gap     |
| Land preparation     | One deep ploughing with soil turning plough and inter cross ploughing. | One cultivator ploughing and two inter cross ploughing. | Nil         |
| Seed rate (Kg/ha)    | 20                    | 40               | Full gap     |
| Seed treatment       | Rhizobium + PSB @ 5 gm/kg seed | No seed treatment. | huge gap     |
| Fertilizer dose (Kg/ha) | DAP (100 Kg)          | DAP (50 Kg)      | Partial gap  |
| Sowing method        | 30x10 Row to row and plant to plant Ridge and furrow | Line sowing     |              |

Table.1 Difference between recommended practices and existing farmer practices under chickpea FLD
Table 2: Productivity, Extension Gap, Technology gap and technology index of chickpea as grown under FIB and existing package of practices.

| Year   | Area in ha | No. of Demo | Potential yield (q/ha) | Yield q/ha | % increase over F.P. | Extention gap q ha⁻¹ | Technology gap q/ha⁻¹ | Technology Index (%) |
|--------|------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 2015-16| 20         | 50          | 12.00                  | 10.45      | 7.90                 | 33.55                | 2.55                  | 1.55                 | 12.91                |
| 2016-17| 20         | 50          | 12.00                  | 11.25      | 8.75                 | 28.57                | 2.50                  | 0.75                 | 6.25                 |
| 2017-18| 20         | 50          | 12.00                  | 11.50      | 8.50                 | 35.29                | 3.00                  | 0.50                 | 4.16                 |
| Total/ Mean | 60       | 50          | 12.00                  | 11.06      | 8.38                 | 32.47                | 2.68                  | 2.35                 | 7.77                 |

Table 3: Economic analysis of demonstration and farmers practices

| Year   | Cost of cultivation | Gross return | Net return | Additional cost | Additional net return | B:C ratio |
|--------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|
|        | RP                  | R.P.         | F.P.       | R.P.            | FP                    |           |
| 2015-16| 1050                | 41800        | 31600      | 31300           | 2345                  | 2350      | 7850      | 3.9       |
| 2016-17| 1135                | 66625        | 31800      | 55275           | 2365                  | 3200      | 11350     | 3.9       |
| 2017-18| 9800                | 39870        | 28000      | 30070           | 1950                  | 1300      | 10570     | 4.1       |
| Mean   | 10550               | 49432        | 30467      | 38882           | 22200                 | 2283      | 9923      | 4.0       |

Seed yield

The yield of Black gram obtained over the year under improved technology as well as local check are presented in Table 2. The productivity of chickpea ranged from 10.45 to 11.50 q/ha with mean yield of 11.06 q/ha under improved technology on farmers field as against a yield range from 7.90 to 8.75 q/ha with a mean of 8.38 q/ha recorded under farmers practice.

The higher productivity following recommended practices as well as farmer practice was during the year 2017-18, which might be due to continuous use of IPNM (Integrated Pest and Nutrient Management) practices. The higher yield of chickpea under recommended practices was due to the use of latest high yielding variety, integrated nutrients management and pest management. Similar findings were reported by Kirar et al. (2006), Singh et al (2014).

Economic

The input and output prices of commodities prevailed during each year of demonstration were taken for calculating cost of cultivation, net return and benefit cost ratio (Table 3). The net return from recommended practices was Rs 16210 to Rs 19625 while the net return from farmer practices was Rs 12180 to Rs 14330. It means that net return from demonstration was higher than the farmer.

This finding is in corroboration with the findings of Dhakad et al, Mokidue et al, (2011) and Raj et al (2013). The frontline demonstration (FLDs) plays a very important role to disseminate the recommended technology because it shows the potential of technologies resulting, which motivate the farmers for adopting these technology.

Many farmers approached the FLD farmers to purchase the high yielding variety seed of
blackgram and now the area under these varieties & package of practices have increased which will spread in the adjoining village as well as other area in the district. These practices may be popularized in this area by the extension agency to bridge the higher extension gaps.
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