Subclinical myopathic changes in COVID-19
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Abstract

Introduction Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is associated with neuromuscular symptoms in up to 10.7% of hospitalized patients. Nevertheless, the extent of muscular involvement in infected subjects with no signs of myopathy has never been assessed with neurophysiological investigations.

Methods Over a 3-week period — from April 30 through May 20, 2020 — a total of 70 patients were hospitalized in the Internal Medicine Ward of the Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico in Milan, Italy. After excluding patients who underwent invasive ventilation and steroid treatment, 12 patients were evaluated. Nerve conduction studies (NCS) included the analysis of conduction velocity, amplitude, and latency for bilateral motor tibial, ulnar nerves, and sensory sural and radial nerves. Unilateral concentric-needle electromyography (EMG) was performed evaluating at least 4 areas of 8 selected muscles. For each muscle, spontaneous activity at rest, morphology, and recruitment of motor unit action potentials (MUAPs) were evaluated.

Results While nerve conduction studies were unremarkable, needle electromyography showed myopathic changes in 6 out of 12 subjects. All patients were asymptomatic for muscular involvement. Clinical features and laboratory findings did not show relevant differences between patients with and without myopathic changes.

Conclusion Our data show that in SARS-CoV-2 infection muscular involvement can occur despite the absence of clinical signs or symptoms and should be considered part of the disease spectrum. The application of muscle biopsy to unravel the mechanisms of myofiber damage on tissue specimens could help to clarify the pathogenesis and the treatment response of coronavirus-mediated injury.
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Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was detected in Wuhan, China, in December 2019. The disease (COVID-19) spread rapidly into a worldwide pandemic causing severe morbidity and mortality. It is mainly considered a respiratory disease, and valuable descriptions about its pulmonary involvement are largely provided by literature. Apart from prominent lung injury, neuromuscular symptoms including muscle weakness and myalgia are encountered in up to 10.7% of hospitalized patients affected by COVID-19 [1].

Other similar human coronaviruses, such as the Middle East respiratory syndrome virus (MERS-CoV) and the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 1 (SARS-CoV-1), have been previously associated with neurological complications and skeletal muscle injury [2, 3]. It is tempting to speculate that SARS-CoV-2 may share the same tropism and could lead to neuromuscular damage as its akin viruses.

Cabañes-Martinez and Villadóniga [4] extensively assessed the prevalence and the characteristics of neuromuscular involvement in twelve intensive care unit (ICU) patients affected by COVID-19. Signs of critical illness myopathy (CIM) and polyneuropathy (CIP) were documented in 11 out of 12 patients with nerve conduction studies (NCS), quantitative EMG, and muscle biopsy, although no distinctive pattern of neuromuscular involvement was found. In line with these results, Versace and Bagnato described 3 cases of CIM after SARS-CoV-2 infection and came to similar conclusions [5, 6].

CIM has been described as a potential confounding factor during the identification of SARS-CoV-1-related myopathy [3]; in addition to that, the ICU setting and the need of personal protection equipment may compromise the accurate detection of myopathic involvement in such patients.

To precisely assess the extent of primary neuromuscular involvement in SARS-CoV-2 infection, we focused on a subset of non-severe COVID-19 patients.

Methods

The study was approved by the ethics committee of Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Over a 3-week period — from April 30 through May 20, 2020 — a total of 70 patients affected by COVID-19 were hospitalized in one of the Internal Medicine wards of the Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico in Milan, Italy.

We focused on patients aged from 18 to 80 years. COVID-19 diagnosis was confirmed with polymerase chain reaction on at least 2 nasopharyngeal swabs performed before and after our evaluation.

To avoid the inclusion of patients affected by intensive care unit acquired weakness (ICUAW) that can be caused either by critical illness myopathy (CIM) or polyneuropathy (CIP) [7], we excluded patients who underwent invasive ventilation. Patients undergoing steroid treatment were also excluded to avoid misinterpretation of data due to the possibility of steroid-induced myopathy.

Twelve patients fulfilling these criteria were enrolled.

We tested muscle strength in functional limb muscle groups with the Medical Research Council (MRC) scale; then, we combined individual MRC scores into a sum score, in order to yield an overall estimation of motor function. This score was defined as the sum of MRC from six muscle groups in the upper and lower limbs on both sides so that the score ranged from 60 (normal) to 0 (quadruplegic). Each of the six muscle groups (shoulder abductors, elbow flexors, wrist extensor, hip flexor, knee extensors, foot dorsiflexors) was examined bilaterally each with a score from 0 to 5 [8]. Neurological evaluation and MRC sum score were performed by a trained neurologist immediately before the neurophysiological investigation that was carried out by 2 different trained neurophysiologists in a double-blind assessment.

The patients’ medical records were reviewed with particular attention to their clinical presentations, laboratory findings, COVID-19-related therapies, and clinical courses.

Nerve conduction studies (NCS) were performed in all patients; the protocol included the analysis of conduction velocity, amplitude and latency for bilateral motor tibial, ulnar nerves and sensory sural and radial nerves. Sensory NCS were conducted with antidromic stimulation.

Concentric-needle electromyography (EMG) was performed evaluating at least 4 areas of each muscle tested. For all subjects, the electromyographic protocol included unilateral examination of the following muscles: trapezius, deltoid, extensor digitorum communis, first dorsal interosseus, iliopsoas, vastus medialis, tibialis anterior, and gastrocnemius medialis. For each muscle, spontaneous activity at rest, morphology, and recruitment of motor unit action potentials (MUAPs) were evaluated.

Quantitative EMG was performed when possible: mean amplitude and duration of motor unit potentials (MUP) were measured, as well as the percentage of polyphasic potentials. The recruitment pattern was also evaluated in those cases where the clinical situation of the patient allowed it. EMG interpretation was completed by 2 trained neurophysiologists immediately after the conclusion of the respective measurement, based on the characteristics of the EMG waveforms (high-pass filter set to 10 Hz, low-pass filter setting 10 kHz).
Epochs of 200 ms were displayed on-screen by setting the sweep speed to 10 ms/division.

**Results**

A total of 12 patients were included in this study. Mean age was 69 (SD 12.80) years, and 7 patients were women. None of the patients exhibited neuromuscular symptoms during the disease course. Reported symptoms were as follows: fever (10 (83%)), cough (8 (67%)), dyspnea (6 (50%)), diarrhea (4 (33%)), and conjunctivitis (2 (17%)). All patients showed mild to moderate respiratory symptoms and chest X rays were suggestive of pneumonia. The main comorbidity was hypertension (6 (50%)). Overall, 3 patients (25%) were started on hydroxychloroquine at admission, 3 (25%) were started on remdesivir and only one (8%) was treated with both. Four patients (33%) received statins before hospitalization.

Neurological examination was unremarkable and all the subjects obtained a MRC sum score of 60.

None of the patients showed an elevation of the serum creatine phosphokinase (CPK) (mean 59.9–SD 37.18) or serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) (mean 298.3–SD 165.20) levels performed before the neurophysiological assessment. Compared to laboratory normative values, our population had high mean levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) (mean 2.54 mg/dL–SD 2.67), D-dimer (mean 2570 µg/L–SD 1778.88), fibrinogen (mean 535 µg/L–SD 131.93), and ferritin (mean 900 µg/dL–SD 488.67).

Clinical evaluation and neurophysiological assessment were performed from 5 to 48 (mean 21.83–SD 15.25) days after symptoms onset.

The clinical features and the laboratory findings of the cohort are summarized in Table 1.

No NCS abnormalities were found except the absence of bilateral sural sensory action potential (SAP) in patient 12, which was already reported in a previous evaluation and likely due to diabetes.

Short-duration, low-amplitude, polyphasic, and high-pitch MUAPS with a characteristic early recruitment pattern as described by Dauber and Rubin [9] were documented in 6 out of 12 subjects. All of these studies were concluded as having electromyographic signs of myopathy. Such alterations were prominent in a patchy fashion, in particular in proximal muscles such as trapezius (6 (50%)), iliopsoas (4 (33%)), and deltoid (1 (8%)). No spontaneous activities were recorded (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Material).

Given the exiguity of our cohort, we could not perform statistical correlations between muscle involvement, demographic data, pharmacological treatment, and blood tests. However, the levels of fibrinogen, CPK, D-dimer, and C-reactive protein did not show relevant differences between the two groups. When compared to non-myopathic subjects, patients with myopathic alterations exhibited more severe respiratory conditions according to the need for non-invasive mechanic ventilation (NIMV).

**Discussion**

The present report documents subclinical myopathic changes in 6 out of 12 patients infected with SARS-Cov-2. To our knowledge, this is the first description of muscular involvement with neurophysiological assessment in COVID-19-affected patients with no signs of myopathy.

Other coronavirus infections have been previously associated with skeletal muscle injury, despite viral cultures yielding no organisms [3]. A metalloproteinase named angiotensins-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) has been identified as the functional receptor for SARS-Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2. SARS-Cov-2 spike protein directly binds with the host cell surface ACE2 receptor promoting virus entry and replication [10]. ACE2 expression in skeletal muscle has been previously reported [11] suggesting that SARS-Cov-2 may have skeletal muscle tropism directly causing muscular damage. Another possible mechanism of muscular involvement could imply an overactive immune state promoted by cytokines storm and molecular mimicry between specific viral and muscular proteins [12]. Inflammatory cytokine storm in addition with coagulopathy and macrophage activation has been reported as the primary pathogenetic mechanism that causes interstitial pneumonia and damage to extrapulmonary tissues and organs in COVID-19; skeletal muscular damage may be due to the same process [5, 13]. Cytokines play a key role in initiating, propagating, and regulating tissue-specific autoimmune injury. This pathway is thought to be implied in two-thirds of Guillain Barré syndrome (GBS) cases [14]. Five GBS cases have been documented in Italy after SARS-Cov-2 infection [15, 16] although a clear cause-effect relation has not been elucidated yet [17]. Furthermore, both acute motor axonal neuropathy (AMAN) and acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (AIDP) variants have been reported after SARS-Cov-1 infections [18]. However, in our population, myopathic patients do not seem to exhibit higher inflammatory state compared to non-myopathic subjects.

In a recent case series, Mao and colleagues described muscular involvement in 19.3% of severe and 4.8% of non-severe COVID-19 patients [1]. These authors defined as “skeletal muscle injury” the presence of both muscle pain and elevated CPK serum level (>200 U/L). However, in our cohort, despite myopathic changes on EMG, the neurological examination including MRC sum score was normal in all subjects, as was the CPK serum level performed before the neurophysiological assessment. Furthermore, motor
Table 1 Clinical and demographic features of the case series

| #  | Gender—age (year) | Past medical history | Medications | Symptoms | Respiratory support | Time to EMG-ENG (days) | COVID-19 treatment | Myopathic electrophysiological findings | Blood tests (obtained before EMG-ENG) |
|----|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1  | F—77              | Hypertension, choledocho-cholangiocarcinoma, dyslipidemia | Atenolol, hydrochlorothiazide, simvastatin | Cough, fever, diarrhea | - | 32 | Remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine | 20 | 159 | 23 | 1.60* | 4250* | 525* | 1600* |
| 2  | M—53              | Colitis isis, dyslipidemia | Pantoprazole, simvastatin | Conjunctivitis, fever | - | 18 | - | 144 | 446 | 370* | 0.19 | 1208* | 508* | 754* |
| 3  | F—79              | Hypertension | Telmisartan, hydrochlorothiazide | Dyspnea, fever, diarrhea | NIMV | 46 | Hydroxychloroquine | 21 | 627 | 88* | 0.70* | 784* | 596* | 1337* |
| 4  | M—68              | Hypertension | Ramipril | Dyspnea, cough, fever | NIMV | 18 | - | 21 | 204 | 20 | 1.50* | 3184* | 500* | 1102* |
| 5  | M—39              | - | - | Cough, fever | - | 6 | Remdesivir | 76 | 176 | 22 | 3.60* | 609* | 643* | 474* |
| 6  | F—74              | Hypertension | Captopril | Cough, fever conjunctivitis | Low-flow O2 | 29 | Hydroxychloroquine | 100 | 258 | 17 | 0.48 | 5124* | 379* | 242 |
| 7  | M—74              | Prostate cancer, TIA, dyslipidemia | Aspirin, simvastatin, bromazepam | Cough, fever | - | 31 | - | 24 | 147 | 21 | 0.08 | 4968* | 596* | 1580* |
| 8  | F—76              | - | - | Dyspnea, fever, diarrhea | Low-flow O2 | 8 | Remdesivir | 72 | 303 | 23 | 3.92* | 1165* | 441* | 1062* |
| 9  | F—56              | Breast cancer, dyslipidemia | Simvastatin | Cough, fever | - | 5 | - | 58 | 187 | 71* | 8.75* | 2561* | 857* | 297 |
| 10 | F—79              | - | - | Dyspnea, cough | NIMV | 15 | Remdesivir | 52 | 244 | 17 | 3.75* | 4626* | 518* | 953* |
| 11 | M—77              | AF, hypertrophiadromia, hypertension | Levofloxacin, dabigatran, famotidine, metoprolol | Dyspnea, diaphoresis | Low-flow O2 | 6 | - | 59 | 241 | 50 | 0.30 | 743* | 355* | 292 |
| 12 | F—76              | T2DM, glaucoma, hypertension | Telmisartan, timolol, insulin | Dyspnea, cough, fever | NIMV | 48 | Tocilizumab, hydroxychloroquine | 72 | 588 | 58 | 5.60* | 1618* | 507* | 1111* |

Data were obtained immediately before the neurophysiological examination. Skeletal muscles were classified as myopathic when exhibiting abnormal neurophysiological parameters (see Fig. 1 for further details).

Blood tests normative values: CPK (38–170 U/L); CRP (<0.5 mg/dL); LDH (135–2225 U/L); ALT (9–59 U/L); D-dimer (<500 µg/L); fibrinogen (165–350 µg/dL); ferritin (30–400 µg/dL)

*Abnormal value. Abbreviations: EMG, electromyography; ENG, electroneurography; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALT, alanine transaminase; CRP, C-reactive protein; TIA, transient ischemic attack; AF, atrial fibrillation; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; NIMV, non-invasive mechanical ventilation; EMG-ENG, electromyography-electroneurography.
Fig. 1 Myopathic pattern: short duration, small amplitude (mean: 3.9 ms, 319 µV) and polyphasic motor unit potentials of the trapezius muscle in patient 11.
In addition to that, the latency between COVID-19 symptoms onset and neurophysiological evaluation was heterogeneous among all subjects. In fact, this limitation forbade us to unravel the actual onset as well as the evolution of COVID-19-related muscular injury. The employment of EMG in a wider spectrum of patients including different disease severity and the longitudinal analysis of myopathic changes could shed light on the natural history of this condition. Fatigue and musculoskeletal injury are reported in both ICU and non-ICU patients 4 weeks after discharge from hospital as described by Halpin in the post-COVID syndrome [31]. However, predictive factors for developing post-COVID syndrome are not well established yet. It is tempting to speculate that myopathic damage could play a key role in developing musculoskeletal symptoms later, such as weakness, pain, and fatigue. In this scenario, EMG may help to early identify rehabilitation needs and maximize the functional return of patients infected by SARS-Cov-2. Nevertheless, the analysis of tissue specimen from muscle biopsy seems to be necessary to ascertain the actual mechanisms of myofiber damage.

Another limitation of this study is the lack of a control group represented by patients admitted to a Medicine Ward for pathologies different from COVID-19. Therefore, the abnormalities reported may be due to the simple hospitalization, drugs, or concurrent pathologies. However, to our best knowledge, no data regarding patients without muscular injury admitted to a medicine ward developing myopathic pattern on EMG are actually available in literature.

In conclusion, our data show that muscular involvement occurs in SARS-Cov-2 infection despite the absence of clinical signs or symptoms and should be considered part of the disease spectrum. Further studies are warranted to document the actual prevalence of this manifestation, as to clarify the pathogenesis and the treatment response of COVID-19 myopathy.
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