Scleral Contact Lenses for Treatment of Ocular Surface Disorders: A Narrative Review

Ramkishor Sah* and Jeewan S Titiyal
Dr. Rajendra Prasad Centre for Ophthalmic Sciences, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Ansari Nagar, New Delhi-110029, India

Abstract

Scleral lenses have been in the origin of contact lenses back in 1880, when the pioneers did the first glass contact lenses. With the advent of highly oxygen permeable materials and the increasing need for advanced solutions for severe ocular surface disorders has produced the driving pressures for a great amount of different contact lens designs to be available. The ocular surface disorders such as Primary Ocular Ectasia, Corneal Transplant, Ocular Surface Diseases, Aphakia, Myopia, PMDs, Keratoconus, Dry Eyes, Post-Refractive Surgeries and Trauma can be treated with scleral lenses. This literature reviewed support the effectiveness of scleral lenses. The main outcomes of the major trials published in peer-review journals obtained from PubMed, Google Scholar, Research Gate & CORE search engines have been reviewed and presented in a summarized structure in order to a better understandings of modern scleral lens.

However, considering the physiological implications of these lenses and the potential interaction with seriously compromised eyes, it is pertinent to review the main advantages and drawbacks of these devices and how to overcome them from the morphological point of view to enrich fitting process and the physiological point of view to improve medium and long-term tolerance.
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Introduction

Scleral contact lenses have been in the origin of contact lenses back in 1880 when the pioneers did the first glass contact lenses. These lenses have a back history about years of century with available option for ocular and vision correction [1]. A new introduction of High Oxygen Permeable (HOP) materials and mounting the need for advanced solutions for severe ocular surface disorders have produced the driving pressures for a great amount of different contact lens designs to be available [2]. These lenses have become an integral part of the specialty contact lens practice. Tangible Hydra-PEG (Hydra Poly-Ethylene-Glycol) developed by (Tangible Science, LCC, Menlo Park, CA, USA) is a breakthrough custom contact lens coating designed to solve the problems of discomfort and dry eyes. It is Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) based polymer mixture that is clear, water-based coating that is permanently bonded to GP and hybrid contact lenses. It covers lenses in a smooth wet layer designed to maintain natural tear film, composed of 90% water content and resistant to protein & lipid deposits, which can increase your comfort and wear time [3].

Now a day practitioners can draw from a variety of scleral lenses to best fit their patient's contact lens needs. The new development of materials with high gas permeability together with various advance technology innovations in the lens design and manufacturing of scleral lenses has open new prospective for their use. These lenses available today have the potential to really improve the lives of our patients not only by helping them see better but also providing all day comfort and there are instances when visual rehabilitation has only been successful with scleral [4]. Therapeutically, scleral contact lenses are the latest options available for treating dry eye disease (DED) [5]. Advancements in lens manufacturing technology, lens materials and fitting techniques have expanded the capabilities of treating those patients with compromised corneas [6]. Several publications report on long-term outcomes and success in managing moderate to severe dry eye disease with scleral lenses. According to the tear film and ocular surface society (TFOS) Dry Eye Workshop DEWS II report, those who are symptomatic with signs of ocular surface disease may benefit from scleral lenses and show improvement in corneal punctate staining & filamentary keratitis. In addition, the DEWS II report stated that those with neurotrophic keratitis and neuropathic pain may benefit from scleral lenses [7]. Ocular surface disorders such as dry eye disease, sjogren syndrome, limbal stem cell deficiency (LSCD), filamentary keratitis and exposure keratopathy are challenging to treat; following these cases scleral contact lenses may play key role in the management of these conditions. However, many patients with keratoconus, corneal ectasia and post-surgery are also associated with dry eye [6]. In addition to treating corneal irregularities, scarring and high astigmatism, the scleral lens’s tear reservoir may also effective in dry eye [8]. Patients with severe dryness may require frequent replacement and may not be able to sustain full-time lens wear due to the severity of their condition [9].

The contradictory to popular perception, the large size and scleral bearing surface of scleral contact lenses can be beneficial in the management of certain ocular surface disorders [10,11]. Scleral lenses usually eliminate those above discomforts because they are classically fit with complete corneal clearance and only scleral bearing, while their large size ensures that there is minimal eyelid interaction. It also provides an alternative vision solution for those who have grown intolerant to corneal conventional contact lenses or for patients...
who have high amounts of residual corneal astigmatism [12]. In recent years the developments of scleral lenses have more options for patients to treat different ocular disorders. These lenses are being prescribed for the management of corneal irregularity, uncomplicated refractive error and ocular surface diseases [13]. Scleral lenses rank second only to corneal rigid gas permeable lenses for management of corneal irregularity, it generally considered after other medical intervention and before surgery for the management of ocular surface disease [14]. In addition these lenses can be successfully used in the management and protection of moderate to severe ocular surface disease (OSD), improve visual acuity in patients whose surface disease has compromised vision [15,16]. The purpose of this review is to summarize a new novel approach, future prospects for scleral lenses and contributes to their success with current clinical practices.

Materials & Methods

The literature search was performed using following these headings & sub-headings such as Scleral contact lens, Keratoconus, Irregular cornea, Corneal ectasia, Ocular surface disease, Dry eye, Stevens - Johnson syndrome, Ocular surface homeostasis, Matrix metalloproteinase-9 and Diadenosinetetraphosphate (Ap(4)A) or its combinations. The literature search included 67-publications from PubMed, Google Scholar, Research Gate & CORE search engines have been reviewed.

Indications

The modern scleral lenses are indicated for several ocular conditions and can nearly always be fitted successfully. A scleral lens provides optical correction, mechanical protection, relief of symptoms and healing facilitators. It creates a neutralizing tear lens that corrects any corneal irregularities and it retains a pre-corneal reservoir [17]. In severe corneal distortion, which occurs in keratoconus and other corneal diseases are a major obstacle to the fitting of corneal contact lenses [18-20]. Inability to fit contact lenses is often due to a combination in the corneal bearing areas which make it impossible to achieve a satisfactory fit and can also result in subjective irritation. In these cases the successful fitting of high gas-permeability scleral lenses made of rigid fluorosilicone-acrylate co-polymer with a Dk of $10 \times 10^{-11}$ (cm²/sec)/(mO2/ml/mm Hg) at 35°C was reported by Rabinowitz YS [21]. In general, most of the eye conditions for which contact lens correction is an option can be considered for scleral lenses if the wearer is experiencing problems with conventional lenses.

Scleral lenses should be considered especially in the following condition like Primary corneal ectasia (e.g. Keratoconus, Keratoglobus, Pellucid marginal degeneration, Terrien marginal degeneration, Corneal dystrophies) and Advanced corneal ectasia & Post-keraotorefractive ectasia (e.g. Post-LASIK, Post-LASEK, Post-RK & PRK, Corneal Transplant), Aphakia, Myopia, Ocular Surface Diseases (OSDs) and others i.e. Aniridia, Ptosis, Exopthalmos, Trichiasis, Entropion, Protective & Therapeutic application as well as in drug delivery. The OSDs encountered can be categorized into two groups: first, those with mucosal disorder (an autoimmune disorder) create scars & conjunctival inflammation that may lead to corneal involvement and second, primary corneal abnormalities with a normal conjunctival function [20,22]. Ocular drug delivery involves achieving therapeutic concentrations of medication at the ocular surface. This is traditionally achieved through eye drops, sometimes frequent dosing is necessary to achieve therapeutic concentrations. Unfortunately, frequent dosing is inconvenient, not cost-effective, and often leads to patient noncompliance. To overcome these limitations found in conventional eye drop treatment, novel delivery systems and devices have been explored. It is suggested that therapeutic lenses may be suitable for controlled and sustained drug delivery due to their extended-wear function and higher bioavailability than eye drop formulations [23] (Table 1).

Classification & Terminology

In the past, the Scleral Lens Education Society (SLS) defined lenses based on their diameter and where they rest on the ocular surface. Scleral contact lenses are generally defined as lenses resting entirely on the sclera. These lenses are up to 6mm larger than horizontal visible iris diameter (e.g. has up to 3mm of bearing on the sclera on each side of the cornea) being further described as “mini-scleral” lenses. Lenses those extending beyond the 6mm than the horizontal visible iris diameter are considered as “large scleral”. Lenses resting partly on the cornea and partly on the sclera were known as “corneo-scleral” and finally, lenses resting entirely on the cornea were referred to as “corneal lenses”. The new terminology to define scleral lenses removes the difference between mini and large lenses. All scleral lenses, regardless of size, are fitted to completely vault over the cornea and land on the conjunctiva, thus there is no need for distinction and the terminology can be simplified to “scleral lens” for all sizes of lenses. To this point, knowing that the scleral contact lens is resting on the ocular surface and that the conjunctiva conforms to the shape of the underlying sclera, the term scleral is kept on the basis of naming the lens by where it distributes its weight or bears on the ocular surface [25,26-29]. The different categories can be recognized to acknowledge the differences in lens fit and challenges. The difference apart from bearing area and location among the smaller & larger diameter lenses is the amount of clearance that can be created underneath the central lens. The small diameter scleral lenses the tear reservoir capacity is typically small, while in the larger diameter scleral contact lenses the tear reservoir capacity is almost unlimited. All types of scleral contact lens designs have the ability to promote good apical clearance to some degree compared to corneal contact lenses, which can reduce mechanical stress to the cornea and is the major advantage of any type of scleral contact lens [26,29].

According to Scleral Lens Education Society, 2018 [27], the term scleral lens is used to describe the broad range of all large diameter lens modalities but if a specific lens type is referred to, then that terminology (e.g., corneo-scleral, full-scleral, mini-scleral and large-scleral) will be used (Table 2).

---

**Figure 1:** This reference data is collected from Moorefield and Oxford Eye Hospital. The above figure shows the major indications for scleral contact lens [20].
The anatomy, nomenclature and designs of the scleral contact lenses are based on the back scleral radius (BSR) and Total Diameter (TD) both are variables, which need to be kept constant for a range of Optic Zone Designs (OZD) in order to vary corneal clearance. The optic zone may be defined either by optic zone sagitta (OZS), a combination of Back Optic Zone Radius (BOZR) and Back Optic Zone Diameter (BOZD) are used to vary to sagittal depth. The early design for the limbal zone was a trans-curve but more modern designs are aspheric. The importance of the Optic Zone Projection (OZP) is to achieve an ideal corneal clearance varied by altering the forward projection of the optic zone from the extrapolar of the scleral curve at its apex without reference to the BOZR or BOZD. The limbal zone is an aspheric curve and may be specified by projection from the extrapolation of the scleral plane at the edge of the optic [25,31-33].

**Table 1:** The major indication of scleral contact lenses categorized on the basis of management & therapeutic applications in ocular surface disorders [2,24].

| Irregular Cornea                  | High Refractive Errors                  | Therapeutic                                      |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Primary Corneal Ectasia           | Advance Corneal Ectasia & Post-Kerato-refractive Ectasia | Mucosal Disorder                                 |
| - Keratoconus                     | - Post-LASIK                           | Corneal Disorder                                 |
| - Keratoglobus                    | - Post-PRK & PPK                       | Corneal Protection                               |
| - Pellucid marginal degeneration  | - Post-PK                              | Cosmetic                                          |
| - Tenxen marginal degeneration    | - Corneal trauma                       | - Ocular surface disease                         |
| - Corneal dystrophies             | - Corneal scarring                     | - Pain relief                                     |
|                                   | - Myopia                               | - Nerve palsy                                     |
|                                   | - Hypermetropia                        | - Keratoconjunctivitis                            |
|                                   | - High astigmatism                     | - Trichiasis                                      |
|                                   | - High power; leading to decompensation, creating diplopia & prismatic effect | - Entropion                                       |
|                                   | - Dry eye                              | - Pusis                                           |
|                                   | - Stevens Johnson syndrome             | - Persistent epithelial corneal defects           |
|                                   | - Sigmoid syndrome                     | - Graft vs. Host disease                          |
|                                   | - Cicatrising ocular pemphigoid        |                                                  |
|                                   | - Chemical burn                        |                                                  |
|                                   | - Pterygium                            |                                                  |
|                                   | - Exposure keratitis                   |                                                  |
|                                   | - Epithelial defects                   |                                                  |
|                                   | - Epithelial dysplasia                 |                                                  |
|                                   | - Neurotrophic keratitis               |                                                  |
|                                   | - Filamentary keratitis                |                                                  |
|                                   | - Drug delivery                        |                                                  |

**Table 2:** The Scleral Lens Education Society (SLS) introduced an internationally recognized nomenclature for describing scleral lenses based on the resting zone area of the lens on the ocular surface, not on lens diameter [27].

**Table 2:**

| Types of Lens | Sub-division | Bearing                                                                 |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Corneal       | -            | Lens rests entirely on the cornea                                        |
| Corneo-Scleral| -            | Lens rests partly on the cornea & partly on the sclera                  |
| Full Scleral  | Mini-scleral lens is up to 6mm larger than HVID                      | Lens rests entirely on the sclera                                      |
|               | Large-scleral lens is more than 6mm larger than HVID                  |                                                                          |

**Lens design**

Scleral lenses have a special design that is much larger than a typical contact lens and a great tool to provide relief for patients. These lenses are large diameter that it extends beyond the limbus and crucially has its bearing surface on the scleral rather than resting on the cornea. It fits onto and is supported by the sclera, the white portion of the eye and creates a tear-filled vault over the cornea. It has its bearing surface on the sclera and is fitted with no or minimal corneal contact. They have their advantages too such as better fit and more comfort for patients [30]. They can provide retention of a pre-corneal tear reservoir for corneal hydration with total protection from the external environment, lid margins and eye lashes. They can relieve pain, prevent exposure keratitis and enhance epithelial healing. It also affords simultaneous optical correction of the irregular corneal surface and the rigidity of the material provides optical correction. These lenses are also a great tool in masking and correct the corneal irregularity that result from various corneal ectasia especially for patients who have failed in traditional corneal, piggyback or hybrid contact lenses fit.

Some of the past study reveals that the Central Corneal Swelling (CCS) that have been carried out indicate that there is a decreasing return in improvement of corneal metabolism measured by CCS, when the lens thickness is reduced if the material is of a high Dk [50,51].
De Luis Eguileor B et al. [39]  
Role of Scleral Contact Lenses in Management of Coexisting Keratoconus and Stevens-Johnson Syndrome  
A retrospective case series (Data base of 2-year period)  
9-eyes (5-patients)  
Keratoconus, a non-inflammatory condition of the cornea coexisted with inflammatory SJS. Managing such patients with ScCLs may improve vision and comfort.

Padmanabhan V et al. [40]  
Therapeutic and ocular surface indications for scleral contact lenses  
A review study  
Review  
ScCL use valuable and validated techniques that practitioners involved with the management of OSD.

Table 3: Scleral Contact Lenses for Treatment of Ocular Surface Disorders: Keratoconus, Irregular Corneas, Corneal Ectasia & Ocular Surface Disease.  
OSD: Ocular Surface Disease, GP-SCL: Gas Permeable Scleral Contact Lens, ScCL: Scleral Contact Lens and SJS: Stevens - Johnson syndrome.

These study result shown that there was no difference in the CCS when the lens thickness was reduced from 0.60 mm uniformly over the whole optic zone to 0.30 mm and again to 0.15 mm, which represents a fourfold increase in transmissibility. When a lens with 1.20 mm uniform optic zone thickness was used, the CCS was more than that induced by the 0.60 mm thick lens but still considerably less than PMMA. Even a very high positive powered lens is unlikely to exceed 1.20 mm average optic zone thickness, so these experimental results should be taken as encouraging [52]. The importance of Dk value in scleral is very significant issues in this practice because this is the directly dependence on a material’s oxygen permeable for sclerals [53]. The oxygen permeability (Dk) is measured in units of $10^{-11}$ (cm$^2$/s) ($\text{ml O}_2$/ml mm Hg) and Oxygen transmissibility (Dk/t), where, t is in cm, is measured in $10^{-9}$ (cm/s) (ml O$_2$/ml mm Hg). There is variability in thickness both between different lenses and from point to point.
The potential value of overnight ScCL wear in the management of corneal exposure and some ocular surface diseases has recently been reported by Tappin MJ et al., [58]. The introduction of new rigid gas permeable materials allows oxygen transmission through the lens to the cornea and significantly reduces the corneal hypoxia previously seen with Poly-Methyl-Meth-Acrylate (PMMA) sclerals [50]. As a consequence, the use of RGP ScCLs has increased in recent years both for visual and therapeutic applications. The use of RGP ScCL for daytime wear has considerably reduced central corneal swelling on any optically powered lens. The thickest portion of the lens is most important as it is the Dk/t at that site, which will produce the greatest hypoxic stress to the underlying corneal tissues [54,55]. Scleral lenses usually trap fluid reservoirs over the corneal surface. The entrapped fluid layer and contact lens should also act as resistance in the two medium as scleral contact lens & entrapped tear fluid layer. The tear layer creates a surface tension which plays a vital role to supply oxygen for corneal oxygen consumption based on metabolism for living corneal cells [37,56,57].

| Author/Journal | Title | Study Design | Sample Size | Findings |
|----------------|-------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| Lim Li et al. [16] | Therapeutic Contact Lenses in the Treatment of Corneal and Ocular Surface Diseases | A Review Study | Review | There are many indications and uses of Therapeutic contact lenses in alleviating ocular pail, enhancing corneal healing and corneal protection. Also, it promising in the use for drug delivery. |
| Yuskel E et al. [44] | The Management of Refractory Dry Eye With Semi-Scleral Contact Lens | A review study | Review | The corneal findings were resolved and the quality of patient life was improved with the aid of semi-scleral lens after 3 months. |
| Jennifer S H et al. [13] | Therapeutic uses of scleral contact lenses for ocular surface disease: patient selection and special considerations | A review study | Review | Scleral lenses are an effective therapeutic option in the management of ocular surface disease recalcitrant to traditional therapies. The overall goal when prescribing scleral lenses for ocular surface disease is to improve comfort while supporting the ocular surface and improving clinical signs of surface disease. |
| Carracedo G et al. [45] | Short-term Effect of Scleral Lens on the Dry Eye Biomarkers in Keratoconus | A pilot, experimental short-term study | 26-patients | Short-term scleral lens wearing improves the symptomatology and some signs of dry eye, such as osmolarity and (Ap(4)A) concentration. The increase of MMP-9 concentration could be caused by tear film stagnation and use of preserved saline. |
| Guzman A et al. [46] | Dry Eye Treatment Based on Contact Lens Drug Delivery | A review study | Review | Contact lenses are emerging as alternative ophthalmic drugs delivery systems that provide an increased residence time of the drug at the eye, thus leading to enhanced bio-availability and more convenient and efficacious therapy. |
| Hasinger JC et al. [47] | Scleral lens use in dry eye syndrome | A review study | Review | Scleral lenses are efficacious and well tolerated for use in severe dry eye syndrome. Further research is needed to compare different sizes and types of lenses, and to standardize outcome measures. |
| Elfrin N et al. [48] | Contact lens care and ocular surface homeostasis | A review study | Review | The mucin-glyocalyx plays an important role in ocular homeostasis. Tear Osmolarity may be increased in dry eye diseases & may adversely affect the tear film and it is important to prescribed lenses that will have the least impact possible. |
| Siqueira AC et al. [49] | Scleral contact lens for ocular rehabilitation in patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome | A retrospective study | 10-eyes (7-patients) | A successful adaptation to scleral contact lenses was feasible on most patients, with relief of symptoms and better visual acuity. Scleral contact lenses represent an important and accessible alternative to reduce the limitations inferred by the damages from Stevens-Johnson syndrome. |

**Table 4: Scleral Contact Lenses for Treatment of Ocular Surface Disorder: Dry Eyes, Stevens - Johnson Syndrome and Ocular Surface Homeostasis.**

OSD: Ocular Surface Disease, GP-SCL: Gas Permeable Scleral Contact Lens, ScCL: Scleral Contact Lens, SJS: Stevens - Johnson syndrome, MMP-9: Matrix Metallopeptidase-9 and Ap(4)A: Diadenosinetetraphosphate.
compared with that induced by PMMA ScCL and is comparable to physiologic overnight corneal swelling [59,60]. The lasting daytime wear benefits of ScCLs sometimes obviate overnight wear of therapeutic lenses. If overnight wear is indicated for therapeutic applications, TSLs are an acceptable option but there are some comprehensible reservations about the use of ScCLs. In major opinion, ScCLs can be considered for overnight wear when continuous corneal hydration or the best possible protection is especially required and this outweighs the anticipated effects of overnight hypoxia and corneal neo-vascularization [57,61-65].

A RGP semi scleral contact lens initially successful fitting for patients with corneal irregularities, who unable conventional contact lenses i.e. corneal contact lenses. This lens appears to increase wearing time, best visual acuity and reduction of other problem commonly related to hypoxia as to compare with corneal lens. Willem et al. [66] reported simply that there was a good correlation between both semi scleral and corneal lens. Semi-scleral lenses warranted 0.5 (6/10) SD = 0.28 to 0.7 (6/8) SD = 0.19 but this improvement was not significant. However, the visual acuity with semi scleral lens is higher than the patients with the poorer VA with corneal lens. They found in patients wearing semi-scleral lenses with better tolerance & wearing time of 10-15 hour per day compared with 4-6 hour per day with their corneal lenses. Esther et al., [67] was done another comparative study of visual acuity with scleral lens and without scleral lens, they found median VA with scleral lenses was 0.7 (ranged 0.05 to 1.20) and median best corrected VA without a scleral contact lens was 0.2 (ranged 0.003 to 1.00). There was a significant increase in VA with a scleral lens compare to the best corrected VA without a scleral lens is (P<0.001). This significant value increased in monocular and binocular VA was found with a scleral lens as compared to the best corrected VA without a scleral lens.

Apart from visual improvement, comfort advantages over corneal RGP lenses, they offer a number of applications. However, fitting them has been more art than science. Over the years, the preference has progressed systematically toward larger corneal diameter, then semi-scleral and now scleral lenses. Scleral lenses can be made to completely vault the cornea they are more useful for fragile diseased corneas and for dry eyes. Modern RGP materials cannot be thermoset from impression molds because corneal topographers do not acquire more imaging data information of the sclera, topography is of little use in fitting of these lenses.

Conclusion

The popularity of scleral lenses continues to grow due to the relative ease of fitting and the potential of giving patients, who have irregular corneas a way to have clear vision without discomfort. With new designs made of high Dk/t materials, scleral lenses have demonstrated safe physiological responses for the anterior eye. The novel concept described the tear layers of substantial thicknesses between or under the lenses. It has been well-established that scleral lenses can improve visual acuity in irregular corneal astigmatism and decrease the symptoms associated with ocular surface disorders. The gas permeable scleral lens appears to offer increased wearing time, higher visual acuity and a reduction in other problems commonly related to hypoxia, corneal vascularization and epithelial edema. It is also possible to incorporate almost any power into the scleral lens which enables us to fit patients with very high refractive errors.

The Fine Print

Scleral lenses rank second only to corneal rigid gas-permeable lenses for management of corneal irregularity. These lenses are generally considered after other medical intervention and before surgery for the management of ocular surface disease.
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