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Abstract: Site-specific forage management (SSFM), comprising growth observation, impact assessment, and timely strategic response to small variations in sericea lespedeza (SL; Lespedeza cuneata (Dum-Cours.) G. Don) production, has been envisioned as a life-changing approach for resource-poor (R-P) farmers in developing countries, assisting in the effective rearing of their small ruminants. The application of geospatial technologies, including geographic information systems (GIS), remote sensing, global navigation satellite system, and information technology, can support SSFM but has not been widely used for site-specific forage management. From our previous studies, it appears that the entire range of condensed tannins of lespedeza, namely extractable condensed tannin (ECT), fiber-bound condensed tannin (FBCT), and protein-bound condensed tannin (PBCT), as well as crude protein (CP), are excellent for promoting small ruminant digestion and overall health. The goal of this study was to develop an SSFM strategy for SL to enhance animal production in areas of drought-prone, low pH, marginally infertile soils. To achieve this goal, study objectives were to: (i) develop statistical and artificial neural networks-based (ANN) models to identify if a sound correlation exists among forage growth environmental features and SL-ECT content; (ii) determine suitability criteria, including climate, soil, and land use/land cover (LULC), for mass scale production of SL and collect supporting environmental geospatial data; and (iii) develop an automated geospatial model for SL growth suitability analysis in relation to optimal areas for its production in a case-study location. Telemetric data and individual climatologic parameters (including minimum, maximum, and average temperature, humidity, dewpoint, soil temperatures at three depths, soil moisture, evapotranspiration, total solar radiation, and precipitation) were found to correlate well (>75%) with the forage production parameters, including values of SL-ECT from the Fort Valley State University (FVSU) research station in Georgia in the southern United States. A backpropagation neural network (BPNN) model was developed using similar climatic input parameters, along with elevation (topography) and a normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) to estimate the forage’s ECT with a testing root mean square error (RMSE) of 1.18%. With good correlation obtained between the climatic, slope, and land cover input parameters, and SL-ECT as the output parameter, an SSFM model was developed with potential application to R-P farmers in areas suitable for SL establishment and growth. Eswatini (previously Swaziland), a landlocked country in southern Africa, in which numerous R-P small ruminant (sheep and goat) farmers reside, was used as the case study.
location to develop the SL production suitability model. Geospatial data were used for automated model development in an ArcGIS Pro ModelBuilder platform to provide information on where to grow SL efficiently to economically feed small ruminants. Land use/land cover, soil, topography, and climate based geospatial data of the region helped in the development of the automated SSFM geospatial model for spatial growth suitability location determination to assist farmers of Eswatini with their SL production decision making. This automated model can easily be replicated for farmers in other countries in Africa, as well as in other parts of the world having similar climatic conditions.
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1. Introduction

*Sericea lespedeza (SL; *Lespedeza cuneata* (Dum-Cours.) G. Don)* is a drought-tolerant warm-season perennial forage legume well adapted to the southeastern United States [1], and the crop is also grown in arid or semi-arid locations of South Africa and other southern African countries [2,3]. As a forage crop, SL possesses numerous desirable qualities that enable it to be used for grazing, hay production, silage and conservation purposes [4]. It is a nutrient-rich forage and very suitable for livestock grazing by both small (sheep and goats) and large (cattle) ruminants, as it is non-bloating due to its high concentration of condensed tannins [1]. The advantages of cultivating this crop for livestock feed are: (1) it is resistant to diseases and is rarely attacked by insects; (2) mature plants can compete very well with grass; (3) it is a deep-rooted legume and hence can draw water from the subsoil even in conditions of drought, and fix atmospheric nitrogen; (4) even though it can grow on nutrient deficient soil, it grows very well also on loam and sandy loam soils; and (5) it can grow well under conditions of low pH and high drainage ability (high permeability), as in much of the southeastern United States [1] and in other areas around the world with similar growing conditions, such as in southern African countries [2,3]. After establishment, maintenance costs of SL are low compared to other forages. In a report from Alabama, SL was more economical in terms of total pasture costs/kg of gain of stocker steers than other forage crops adapted to the region [5].

In addition to its many agronomic advantages, such as that, as a low-input legume, it requires less fertilizer support than most crops in this category, recent work with SL has highlighted its health and environmental benefits, with excellent bioactivity reported against gastrointestinal nematode [6] and coccidial infections [7,8], rumen methane suppression [9], rumen protein bypass [10], and *Musca domestica* L. (common housefly) larval suppression in manure [11]. These bioactivity attributes of SL have been associated with the high concentration and unique structure of its condensed tannins, which are made up of nearly pure (up to 98%) prodelphinidin, a very reactive type of tannin [8,12]. As a low-input, soil-building nutraceutical forage that has both nutritional and health benefits as a natural dewormer, SL is particularly well-suited for use by limited-resource producers to improve sustainability of their livestock production systems [13].

Despite the potential of SL to improve the productivity and health of livestock for resource-poor (R-P) farmers in areas where it is well-adapted, such as in southern Africa [13,14], there are challenges to the successful establishment and management of this crop. Because of its very small seed size and relatively poor seedling vigor, SL does not compete well with other plants and should be planted as a monoculture [1]. In addition, achieving optimal nutritive value and stand viability of SL, whether grazed or harvested for hay, is based upon appropriate plant height both before and after (stubble height) plant removal [1]. Precision agriculture techniques may greatly assist in the profitable utilization of this forage by producers.

Any crop can grow with higher efficiency and better yield if it is grown on soil, elevation, land use, and climatic factors advantageous for its production. Many studies have established geographic
information systems (GIS)-multi-criteria analysis (MCA) or spatial data integration to assess spatial
crop suitability [15–18]. Such integrated GIS-MCA techniques have been considered within a large
number of disciplines, including plant, animal, crop, and horticulture production optimization studies
using suitable criteria [16,17]. Yalew et al. [19] developed a web-based GIS-MCA framework for
agricultural land suitability assessment using remote sensing, GIS, and the Google Earth Engine.
Reed and Panda [15] developed an automated geospatial model to map the entire state of Georgia in
the United States, suggesting high, moderate, and low suitability spatial locations to grow blueberries
in areas with low soil pH, <900 h of annual freezing, and generally on cleared land, adjacent to forest
land cover. Panda and Bowman [16] determined spatial suitability for growing several cash crops in
South Georgia to provide higher yield and more profit. This approach could be extended to suitability
for forage production.

Site-specific crop management (SSCM), a tool of precision agriculture, involves spatial referencing,
crop and climate monitoring, attribute mapping, decision support systems, and different management
actions [20–22]. It can result in increased production through more efficient use of inputs [23],
thus improving the cost-benefit of crop production. A greater degree of precision is achieved through
the use of geospatial technologies, including a combination of the four essential tools: remote sensing
(RS), geographic information systems (GIS), global navigation satellite systems (GNSS), and information
technology (IT), and then management of the resultant data [20,21,24,25].

While SSCM has commonly been applied in field and row crop management, its use for
non-traditional horticultural crops or pasture is still uncommon, despite the fact that high nutrient
pastures may benefit from following the components of SSCM, potentially to increase net returns
and optimize resource use [26–28]. The delineation of pasture lands and geospatial technology-based
spatial analysis can provide additional information for pasture management decision support,
including biomass yield determination, fertilizer application scheduling, need-based irrigation
scheduling, and pesticide application for pest and plant disease management. Remote sensing
technology, supported by weather, soil, and water data analyses and their correlation with forage
yield and quality analyses has the potential to improve profits for pasture owners by increasing
animal production and enhancing animal sale value, as well as enhancing ecosystem (fauna)
biodiversity [29–32].

Schellberg et al. [29] concluded that advances in agricultural research, using precision agriculture
and an SSCM approach on grasslands, could provide major economic and environmental benefits.
They suggested that most of the available technology is currently being applied on arable land,
i.e., row crop agriculture, but not on grassland, although the latter contributes substantially to
agricultural land use, as well as to capital and income. In their review, the authors discussed a range
of current developments and future prospects for utilization, including digital image processing,
remote sensing, yield measurement, and site-specific management on grasslands [29]. They suggested
that grassland scientists or pasture/forage researchers can significantly contribute to the development
of this field, using existing computer simulation models and developing newer models to contribute to
successful precision agriculture on grassland. Schaller et al. [30] developed “Climate Smart Agriculture”
(CSA) that addresses the challenges posed from climate change in agricultural production, especially
forage management. The team used the model with help from United Nations Food and Agricultural
Organization (UN-FAO) to create a pathway towards sustainable development and food security
in arid and semiarid locations. Using an SSCM approach, the three primary objectives of CSA are
increasing crop, livestock and fisheries productivity and income, enhancing resilience or adaptation of
livelihoods and ecosystems in climate extremes, and reducing and removing greenhouse gas emissions
from the atmosphere [30]. Other authors also strongly suggest interdisciplinary research for enhancing
linkage of existing knowledge on precision agriculture technology with grassland science and animal
science [29]. The current investigation is a step towards a goal of site-specific forage management
(SSFM) development for increased high quality forage production and increased animal production
under arid or semi-arid climatic conditions.
Our research goal was achieved through the following objectives:

1. Develop statistical and artificial neural networks-based (ANN) models to identify correlation among crop growth environmental features and sericea lespedeza extractable condensed tannin (SL-ECT) content to confirm SSFM production suitability for this forage;
2. Determine suitability criteria, including climate, soil, and land use/land cover (LULC), for mass scale production of SL, and collect supporting environmental geospatial data;
3. Develop an automated geospatial model for SL growth suitability analysis in relation to the optimal areas for its production in a case-study location.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Sericea lespedeza yield and forage quality research has been carried out since 2010 at Fort Valley State University (FVSU) in Georgia in the southern United States [7]. The FVSU site (32°32′27.834″ N; −83°53′45.884″ W) is in a semi-arid region, has a flat topography, is 322 km from the coast, and has silty loam soil (loamic/Areninovic). The forage was grown to determine how well SL physical parameters, including ECT content, protein and tannin content, yield, etc., are correlated with climatic, LULC, soil, and topography factors.

Once the correlations were confirmed for the FVSU site data, we used a case study site to test and develop our automated SL production suitability geospatial model as part of our SSFM development, with a long-term goal of enhancing quality of life for R-P farmers who rear small ruminants for a living in southern Africa and elsewhere in the world. The case study was conducted for the entire country of Eswatini (previously Swaziland), a landlocked country in South Africa (Figure 1—inset in the top-right of the map), in which numerous R-P small ruminant (sheep and goat) and cattle farmers reside. It has a land area of 17,364 km² and is centered at approximately 26°30′ S longitude and 31°30′ E latitude, with a wide variety of landscapes, from mountains along the Mozambican border, to savannas in the east and rain forest in the northwest. The topography of the country ranges from 50 to 1800 m altitude (Figure 1a). The country’s land cover represents typical African bush, consisting of thorn trees and grasslands, (Figure 1b), with a huge potential for increased SL production [2]. Eswatini has similar climatic conditions to the southern United States, including FVSU. The country is divided into four climatic regions: the Highveld, Middleveld, Lowveld and Lubombo plateau, with predominantly summer rainfall, often in the form of thunderstorms, and dry winters. Annual rainfall ranges from 1000 and 2000 mm, decreasing eastwards, to a total of 500 to 900 mm per annum in the Lowveld. Temperature variations are related to the altitude of the different regions, with record temperatures of around 40 °C in summer in the Lowveld region. The soils of Eswatini mainly consist of sandy rocks and some loam (Lithosolic), along with brown to black loams or red clays (Vertisolic), and deep red, yellow and orange loams (Figure 1c). It is to be noted that climatic factors in Eswatini (Southern Africa) are very close to the climatic conditions of the southern United States (FVSU).
2.2. Field-Based Data Collection and Analysis

2.2.1. Environmental and Forage Nutrient Quality Data Collection and Processing

Statistical regression models were developed using environmental parameters as inputs versus forage nutrient quality data, such as SL leaf extractable condensed tannin (ECT), SL-ECT, as the output parameter. Artificial neural networks—backpropagation neural network (ANN-BPNN) models were developed using environmental parameters along with elevation and temporal normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) of the site versus SL-ECT. A sensitivity analysis study was carried out to determine major contributing input parameters for SL-ECT yield estimation. The Georgia Automated Environmental Monitoring Network (http://www.georgiaweather.net/) weather station at the FVSU research station was used to generate environmental information for the study, with leaf, stem, and whole plant SL (forage) quality measurement being done weekly. Climatic data were downloaded and averaged for the entire period for which forage quality was estimated. The following weather-related data were obtained and analyzed for this SSFM models (statistical and ANN) development: maximum (max), minimum (min) and average (avg) 5 cm and 20 cm depth soil air temperature (°C), dewpoint (°C), relative humidity (%), and soil moisture, as well as evapotranspiration (mm), total solar radiation (MJ/m²) and total rainfall. As the forage quality component most directly related to SL bioactivity, SL-ECT was also used in yield estimation correlation models development.

As a case study, the Eswatini SSFM was developed using available and processed land cover, soil, and digital elevation models (DEM) and climatic data, including average annual temperature and precipitation. A detailed literature review was conducted to find suitable ranges of these parameters for efficient production of SL [4,15–18]. The land cover image was developed with a hybrid image segmentation approach using a combination of ISODATA unsupervised clustering and maximum likelihood supervised clustering algorithms in ArcGIS Pro (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA) on Landsat 8 tiles of September 2017. The Landsat 8 images were downloaded from the USGS National Map (https://nationalmap.gov/) server and were mosaicked for land cover classification. The DEM was developed through the Shuttle RADAR Topographic Mission (https://www2.jpl.nasa.gov/srtm/) of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), acquired from the National Map site, and a slope raster was created with the ArcGIS Pro SLOPE tool. The soil data were obtained from the UN-FAO world soil database (http://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soil-survey/soil-maps-and-databases/en/), and the attribute table was updated to obtain information for the SSFM decision support system (DSS) model development. Average precipitation and temperature rasters of the study area were acquired from the DIVA GIS (http://www.diva-gis.org/) geospatial data repository. These raster and vector data were processed in ArcGIS Pro software to bring them into a similar projection system and a common study area extent. Later, the soil vector data were converted to a raster file using the ‘Polygon to Raster’ tool of ArcGIS Pro with the applied characteristics of the soil layer for the SSFM DSS model development.
All the rasters used in the model development were brought to a common spatial resolution using the image fusion approach developed by Panda et al. [33].

2.2.2. Remote Sensing Data Collection and Processing

High resolution (1 m) National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP), 4 band imagery of Year 2010 were obtained from the USDA NRCS Geospatial Data Gateway site (https://gdg.sc.egov.usda.gov/), corresponding with the FVSU research station where the SL was being grown and the forage quality was evaluated. The image acquisition dates were ascertained from the USDA Farm Service Agency shape file. Thus, the digital information obtained from the images were correlated with the dates closest to those on which the forage leaf, stem, and whole plant quality measurements were taken. Images were geometrically corrected with ArcGIS Pro software with NAD83 UTM Zone 17N projection system provision. Individual bands (R, G, B and IR) were separated/extracted from the Multispectral Scanner Sensor (MSS) image in ArcCatalog (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA). As band combinations based on band ratios are mostly preferred by researchers for crop vigor or vegetation health analysis, NDVI was developed for the present study, based on the “Raster Calculator” tool of ArcGIS Pro and using Equation (1):

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\rho_{\text{IR}} - \rho_{\text{R}}}{\rho_{\text{IR}} + \rho_{\text{R}}}
\]

where, \( \rho_{\text{R}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{IR}} \) are spectral reflectance from the R- and NIR-band images, respectively [34,35]. These NDVI data were used in the BPNN model development along with other environmental inputs used in statistical models development.

The images were downloaded on a county basis from the gateway site, with four discernible bands. For the research plot site, GIS shape files were created using the research plot location coordinates. These shape files were used in the “Extract by Mask” tool to crop the larger GeoTIFF image for analysis. In ArcCatalog, the model study area 4-band imagery was separated into individual bands (R, G, B and NIR). Of these, individual R- and NIR- band images were used in developing the NDVI raster ArcGIS Pro software ModelBuilder platform. Figure 2 shows the automated geospatial model developed for obtaining the NDVI rasters used in environmental parameters vs. forage quality correlation model development. The FVSU research site DEM raster was obtained from Geospatial Data Gateway (https://datagateway.nrsc.usda.gov/) to obtain the topographic information of the research sites. The elevation mean, min, and max values were obtained for the site, using the descriptive statistics tools of ArcGIS Pro.

![Automated geospatial model developed in ArcGIS Pro ModelBuilder to obtain the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI).](image)

2.2.3. Statistical Model Development

The environmental parameters (provided in Section 2.2.1), along with the elevation and NDVI digital values, were used as input parameters of the model, and the forage nutrient data were used as the output parameters. Statistical models were developed to obtain the input–output correlation between individual environmental parameters and the LS-ECT. This will allow information to be provided to farmers as to which environmental parameters need to be monitored to predict growth and nutrient quality content of SL as needed.
2.2.4. Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Model Development

To confirm the input environmental parameters correlation with forage quality (SL-ECT) data, a backpropagation neural network (BPNN) architecture in JustNN (http://www.justnn.com) neural network software was developed to predict the whole SL plant average ECT yield values using the environmental and remote sensing image parameters described previously, such as avg, max and min humidity, avg max and min temperature, precipitation, dew point min, max and mean, NDVI max, NDVI min, NDVI mean, max, min, and DEM mean and standard deviation. Figure 3 is an example of the BPNN model architecture (as of JustNN software) developed for the forage ECT prediction. Table 1 shows an example of the data used in the BPNN modeling. A neural network-based sensitivity analysis was also conducted, showing the levels of parameter correlation (importance) with the forage whole plant nutrient quality values. Another output parameter used in this BPNN model was biomass yield of the forage, but is not relevant for discussion in this study. Hidden layer weights were randomly assigned in each iteration based on the error back propagation analysis in this BPNN model, and the best-fit model used the optimum weight combination [36].

Table 1. A snapshot of the data used in the artificial neural network (ANN) model development in JustNN software (Average sericea lespedeza extractable condensed tannin (SL-ECT) is the output (average of the week and image acquisition date) parameter, and the rest are selective input parameters).

| Min Hum | Max Hum | Avg Hum | Precipitation | DEM Mean | DEM Min | DEM Max | DEM StDev | NDVI Min | NDVI Max | NDVI Mean | Avg SL-ECT |
|---------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Query   | 52.00   | 93.00   | 75.00         | 0.01     | 101.26  | 43.55   | 198.21    | −0.26   | 0.58    | 0.14     | 17.89     |
| T:2     | 49.00   | 96.00   | 76.00         | 0.04     | 101.26  | 43.54   | 198.21    | −0.26   | 0.58    | 0.14     | 18.22     |
| April   | ?       | ?       | ?             | 0.05     | 101.26  | 43.55   | 198.21    | −0.26   | 0.58    | 0.15     | 18.22     |
| July    | ?       | ?       | ?             | 0.17     | 101.26  | 43.54   | 198.21    | −0.26   | 0.58    | 0.14     | 18.22     |
| T:6     | 55.00   | 100.00  | 78.00         | 0.04     | 15.23   | 0.00    | 68.66     | 12.53   | −0.95   | 0.48     | 0.12      |
| T:7     | 49.00   | 100.00  | 75.00         | 0.00     | 15.24   | 0.00    | 68.66     | 12.53   | −0.94   | 0.48     | 0.11      |
| July    | ?       | ?       | ?             | 0.14     | 15.23   | 0.00    | 68.66     | 12.53   | −0.95   | 0.48     | 0.11      |
| T:10    | 43.00   | 100.00  | 72.00         | 0.00     | 126.04  | 74.19   | 216.92    | 23.13   | −0.85   | 0.37     | 0.16      |
| Min     | ?       | 93.00   | ?             | ?        | ?       | ?       | ?         | ?       | ?       | ?        | ?         |
| Range   | ?       | ?       | ?             | ?        | ?       | ?       | ?         | ?       | ?       | ?        | ?         |
Figure 3. Artificial neural network (ANN) backpropagation neural network (BPNN) architecture built in JustNN neural network software for the whole sericea lespedeza (SL) plant extractable condensed tannin (ECT) prediction, using environmental and geospatial parameters (Input, hidden and output layer of the model depicted, respectively, in yellow, blue and magenta).
2.2.5. SSFM DSS Automated Geospatial Model Developed for Eswatini

As illustrated through the statistical and ANN “environmental factors vs. forage quality” correlation models, SL appears to be versatile as regards to localities, globally, where it should be able to grow effectively. However, testing premise would require conducting the SSFM DSS development of SL in such an area globally wherever the specific suitable production conditions exist. The SSFM DSS automated model was developed for Eswatini, where SL has been reported to grow well [2]. Our long-term goal is to eventually expand this SSFM model development process to the whole of southern Africa. In the current investigation, all the processed rasters were analyzed for their spatial suitability in the study area (Eswatini) for optimal production of SL using the properties described in Table 2. It was observed that both temperature and precipitation range suitability criteria were consistent for the entire country and hence were not used in the comprehensive SSFM DSS model development, but only soil, LULC, and slope rasters. All three rasters were reclassified to SL production suitability rasters individually using the ranges provided in Table 2. While developing the rasters, a value of 1 was provided for suitable soil spatial locations (pixels) and a value of 0 was provided for unsuitable spatial locations. Once all three (soil, LULC, and slope) suitability rasters were created, they were integrated (overlayed) together using the “Weighted Sum” tool of ArcGIS Pro. Table 2 shows the weights provided for each raster in the development of the final SL production spatial suitability raster, since a Delphi modeling approach suggested that each production parameter does not equally contribute to SL production. An automated geospatial model (Figure 4) was developed in ArcGIS ModelBuilder to complete the entire spatial modeling work process.

Table 2. Weight factors assigned to individual forage production-suitable rasters.

| SSFM DSS Model Development Environmental Factors | Suitability Criteria | Assigned Weights |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Land use/land cover (LULC)                      | Open land (any land cover) | 0.33 |
| Slope                                          | >45% slope           | 0.33 |
| Soil characteristics                            | Non-clay soil        | 0.34 |
| Temperature                                     | Between 20 °C and 30 °C, higher temperature provides more uniform germination | Entire study area has suitable condition, therefore, not used in analysis |
| Precipitation                                   | Low precipitation (Arid and semi-arid condition) | |
Figure 4. Automated geospatial model (workflow schematic) developed for creating the SSFM DSS for efficient production of SL in Eswatini.
3. Results and Discussion

Statistical analyses of environmental parameters with the whole plant SL-ECT were carried out for five Julian days (118, 148, 178, 208, 245) of the year 2010 for the FVSU study site. Statistically significant correlations were obtained for each of the environmental factors with respect to the SL whole plant ECT prediction, with the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) ranging from 0.54 to 0.96. Only the maximum relative humidity did not have a significant correlation ($R^2 = 0.0095$) with whole forage ECT. Almost all models (>80%) provided more than 75% $R^2$ values, thus suggesting that none of the environmental (climatic) production suitability criteria developed in this study for SL production would diminish the forage quality. Table 3 lists the correlational equations along with the corresponding $R^2$ and standard error of prediction (SEP) values.

| X (Climatological Input Parameter) | $R^2$ | SEP (%) | Equation |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|----------|
| Min Relative Humidity             | 0.96  | 5.2     | $y = 0.012x^2 - 0.8564x + 19.325$ |
| Avg Soil Moisture (%)             | 0.95  | 5.9     | $y = 667.45x^2 - 3033.9x + 348.94$ |
| Min Soil Moisture (%)             | 0.93  | 6.8     | $y = 6195.8x^2 - 2780.6x + 316.24$ |
| Max Air Temperature (°C)         | 0.89  | 7.2     | $y = -0.0166x^2 + 0.8505x - 4.9481$ |
| Max Dewpoint                     | 0.86  | 8.1     | $y = -0.0107x^2 + 0.2827x + 3.9489$ |
| Average Air Temperature (°C)     | 0.82  | 8.2     | $y = -0.0114x^2 + 0.39x + 2.4582$ |
| Min 5 cm Soil Temperature (°C)   | 0.80  | 8.5     | $y = -0.0027x^2 + 0.0347x + 5.6962$ |
| Max 10 cm Soil Temperature (°C)  | 0.79  | 9.3     | $y = -0.0012x^2 - 0.0119x + 6.4914$ |
| Avg 5 cm Soil Temperature (°C)   | 0.78  | 9.4     | $y = -0.0019x^2 + 0.0176x + 5.9453$ |
| Avg 10 cm Soil Temperature (°C)  | 0.78  | 9.5     | $y = -0.002x^2 + 0.0165x + 5.9658$ |
| Average Dewpoint                 | 0.77  | 10.1    | $y = -0.0063x^2 + 0.097x + 5.3295$ |
| Min 10 cm Soil Temperature (°C)  | 0.77  | 10.1    | $y = -0.0025x^2 + 0.0292x + 5.7453$ |
| Min Dewpoint                     | 0.77  | 10.2    | $y = 0.00008x^2 - 0.0655x + 5.6258$ |
| Max Soil Moisture (%)            | 0.77  | 10.1    | $y = -137.18x^2 + 96.918x - 10.237$ |
| Max 5 cm Soil Temperature (°C)   | 0.77  | 10.5    | $y = -0.0009x^2 - 0.0237x + 6.6236$ |
| Max 20 cm Soil Temperature (°C)  | 0.76  | 12.2    | $y = -0.0019x^2 + 0.0139x + 6.0761$ |
| Avg 20 cm Soil Temperature (°C)  | 0.75  | 12.5    | $y = -0.0022x^2 + 0.0233x + 5.8987$ |
| Min 20 cm Soil Temperature (°C)  | 0.75  | 12.5    | $y = -0.0024x^2 + 0.0291x + 5.791$ |
| Min Air Temperature              | 0.69  | 14.1    | $y = -0.0057x^2 + 0.093x + 5.3$ |
| Average Relative Humidity        | 0.61  | 14.2    | $y = 0.0088x^2 - 1.2828x + 51.309$ |
| Evapotranspiration1 (mm)         | 0.53  | 16.8    | $y = -0.1579x^2 + 0.8872x + 4.7443$ |
| Evapotranspiration2 (mm)         | 0.53  | 16.9    | $y = -0.6919x^2 + 6.4966x - 10.079$ |
| Total Solar Radiation (MJ/m²)    | 0.51  | 18.3    | $y = 0.0417x^2 - 1.9863x + 28.252$ |
| Max Relative Humidity            | 0.01  | 76.2    | $y = -0.0056x^2 + 1.0248x - 42.216$ |

The neural network sensitivity analysis (Figure 5) of the input data indicated that the most important indicators of SL-ECT yield were as follows: humidity, temperature, and precipitation. The models (separate modeling application) indicate that substantial increases in precipitation would lead to only negligible increases in crop yield. Furthermore, the BPNN model used only selective climatic factors (out of 25 used in statistical model development) along with NDVI and elevation (slope), which were later to become part of our production suitability model development. In summary, all models indicate that SL tends to be drought resistant.
Our study, using ANN modeling, provided the following results: (1) higher minimum elevations produced negligibly lower ECT values for SL; (2) lower maximum elevations produced substantially lower SL-ECT values; (3) lower mean elevations produced lower SL-ECT values; (4) higher NDVI mean values corresponded to higher SL-ECT values and lower minimum NDVI values corresponded to lower SL-ECT values; and (5) higher NDVI max values corresponded to lower ECT values for SL. Even though this remains to be confirmed by further investigation, the results from the ANN model suggest that selective environmental parameters, topographic raster data, as well as remote sensing image-based data could be applicable for estimation of predicted biomass yield (BPNN model completed but not discussed in this study) and quality of SL produced in any location around the world that complies with the various location climate characteristics as indicated in the present study.

After having tested the model in JustNN software with a randomly selected portion (30%) of the data, with the remaining data (70%) having been used for model training, the results suggest that the model could be relied on to predict ECT values and biomass yield of SL, with a testing error (RMSE) of 1.81%, thus with a high level of accuracy, using the parameters discussed above (climatic, imagery, and topographic).

Once the correlations between environmental parameters versus SL crop parameters were established for Eswatini, as a case study, a spatial SL production suitability model was developed. The automated geospatial model that used land cover suitability (Figure 6a), soil suitability (Figure 6b), and slope suitability (Figure 6c) provided a detailed spatial land suitability map (Figure 6e) of Eswatini to support SL production. Average annual temperature and precipitation (Figure 6d) were indicative of SL production potential in the entire country. Figure 6e depicts a five level scale (high, moderate, low, very low, and not suitable) for production suitability of SL in Eswatini. As stated above, this set of results needs to be confirmed through further investigation, with initial emphasis on the regions predicted to have moderate to highly suitable conditions for SL production, in order to allow resource-poor farmers to receive economic benefits. Of note is that these predictions are supported by a previous report on successful production of SL in the localities (Highveld, Man congco, Middleveld, and Malkerns) in Eswatini that falls within a part of the country predicted to be suitable for its production [2]. If confirmed in other regions, the resultant tannin-rich forage could have a positive effect on the production and well-being of small ruminants and thus the resource-poor farmers who have minimal access to extension services and other resources. Farmers in Eswatini should grow the forage in the moderate to highly suitable spatial locations to support high productivity and health of small ruminants, leading to improved livelihoods and greater resilience to climate and other shocks. In addition to nutritional benefits, tannin-rich forage from SL would help to control disease, including infection with gastrointestinal nematodes and coccidia, which is highly prevalent in the region and a dominant cause of mortality and failure to thrive in small ruminants [37]. Given its proven anthelmintic and anti-coccidial properties [6,7,13], cultivation of SL in areas suitable for production has the potential to reduce the need for veterinary intervention, which is presently not readily met, given limited animal health services in Eswatini and many other countries in southern Africa. Transmission of nematodes such as *Haemonchus*...
contortus is strongly influenced by environmental variables, especially climate [38,39], and mapping infection hazard alongside suitability for SL cultivation with high ECT content could identify areas where SL would be particularly well-placed to serve as a central strategy for control of nematodes and coccidia.

Figure 6. Cont.
4. Conclusions

This study has indicated that statistical analysis of image data obtained through an advanced image processing approach, along with forage production-related environmental variables, may be useful for prediction and evaluation of both sericea lespedeza (SL) nutrient quality and quantity of ECT of the plant, and that remote sensing data can be useful for SL precision agriculture or SSFM. Furthermore, significant correlation obtained between individual climatic and forage production parameters suggests that information obtained from this study would be applicable in the management of SL at other locations in the world, such as other parts of southern Africa with similar climatic conditions. Although the automated SSFM DSS geospatial model developed in this study provided a five level-based measure of the production suitability of SL in Eswatini (high, moderate, low, very low, and unsuitable), this holds promise, subject to further confirmatory inputs, for further application to the entirety of southern Africa. Model predictions could also be integrated with those areas with gastrointestinal nematode and coccidial transmission potential to identify areas that have both high risk conditions for disease and suitable conditions for growth of SL as a main mitigation strategy. As successful management of forage crops is challenging, it is clear that the use of SSFM, through advanced remote sensing and other data acquisition techniques, will be of great value as an
indicator of where SL can be grown, as well as in management of this crop from remote locations, and, in this way, to provide support to pasture managers for optimal production of the legume.
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