IMPROVING SPATIAL ABILITY SKILLS OF FIRST-YEAR STUDENTS IN BASIC ENGINEERING DRAWING USING A SOLID PAIR MODEL
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ABSTRACT

Good spatial ability skills are an important component of an engineer’s ability to create and interpret engineering drawings, which is demanding in thinking, being a problem-solving process. The ability of an engineer to visualize in 3D is a cognitive skill that is attached to success in basic engineering drawing subjects. Engineering and technology education students need good spatial ability skills to understand several topics in basic engineering drawings like orthographic projection, axonometric drawing, sectional view, and hidden details drawings. This study aims at improving spatial ability skills using a solid pair model among first-year technical education students of Kaduna State College of Education Gidan Waya. A Quasi-experimental research design was used for the study, a standards pre and post-test were used to conduct a visualization transformation assessment to measure the students’ level of spatial ability skills. The study shows that after treatment using a solid pair model, the student without prior knowledge in basic engineering performed above average, likewise students with prior knowledge in the control and experimental group performed above average. The results indicate that a solid pair model was effective for improving spatial ability skills among first-year technical education students. This study implies to educators that there is need to appropriately enforce the use of a solid pair model for effective teaching and learning of basic engineering drawing. It is, therefore, affirmed that the use of a solid pair model in teaching basic engineering drawings should be enhanced with other teaching methods.
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INTRODUCTION

Spatial ability skill is referred to as a trait in human intelligence which is a vital and very important fundamental skill in the engineering and technology field (Kok and Bayaga 2019). According to Nagy-kondor (2007), the ability of an individual to manipulate or transform the patterns and image of an object into other shapes or form of arrangement is referred to as spatial ability. Kösa and Karaku, (2010) stated that the ability to imagine a picture and manipulate the image of an object mentally is called spatial ability. However, it specifically deals with the manipulation of 2D or 3D objects mentally.

The need to communicate ideas in architecture, mechanical engineering, civil engineering, and other engineering disciplines is a basic necessity where all efforts of engineering drawing for technological advancement are hinged on to satisfy the basics of translating such ideas. The need for technical drawing becomes very demanding in such a way that, no production or manufacturing can be successfully done without a neat and detail drawing to show the relationship between components, joints, and other linkages.

Lois (2017) stated that engineering drawing is used for communicating ideas, thoughts, and designs. Generally, it provides detailed information about the shape, size, surface quality, material, tolerance, and manufacturing process of the design. Bertoline et al., (2013) define drawing as a graphical representation of objects and structure. Drawing may be abstract and may become very complicated because of the existence of so many parts. In times of mass production, such parts are used interchangeably from one section to another. For easy identification of each part, engineering drawing is used with sufficient precision for the production.

Moreno-garcı (2019) defines engineering drawing as a schematic representation of an idea, an object, or a circuit showing in detail the relationship, the flow, and the constituents of such object or circuit. An example of such a drawing includes mechanical drawing and architectural drawing. For an engineer to successfully draw and define the flow of an object or a circuit, he or she needs to have good spatial ability skills Buckley et al., (2019).

According to Rodriguez and Rodriguez-velazquez, (2017) appropriate instructional aides have proven to have a good significant effect in improving student’s spatial ability skills. Azman (2015) highlighted that instructors’ and learners' attitudes are recommendable in learning skills towards digital technologies. A similar study by Hughes et al., (2017) shows the need for a significant score of instructors' computer efficiency for improving teaching and learning of technical drawing. Maudsley et al., (1999) in their research concluded that using an instructional model approach for teaching and learning improves students’ performance as well as other counterparts. As a technical teacher, one will not only want his students to learn technical drawing but also embrace the knowledge and approaches for solving a problem. Savery (2006) stated that learners will become proficient in conducting research and integrating theory practices by applying knowledge and skills to develop a viable solution in defining problems using an instructional model approach which is a curricular based methodology for teaching and learning problems.

Alias et al., (2002) strengthened that spatial ability skills are an important component in engineering drawing because it is an ability to effectively communicate through lines, graphics, and symbols which is a compulsory unique method to explain an idea.

According to Adanez and Velasco, (2004) engineering and technology students face many challenges in engineering drawing which are attributed to poor spatial ability skills and the inability for the students to draw...
orthographic views of an isometric block. These factors could also be attributed to inadequate facilities, methodology, instructional aides, or an inadequate number of hours per class.

In assessing technology and engineering students' performance in engineering drawing, Ghanat and Brown (2017) stated that a positive outcome using instructional aides promotes learning. According to Ghanat and Brown (2017) after conducting a research reported that 75% achievement was recorded from student's performance tests using instructional aides which were rated effective and very efficient in promoting teaching and learning of engineering drawing. Ramona (2013) researched that enhancing students' spatial ability is a needed skill that promotes the competency of students in fundamentals of basic engineering drawing, the projection of views, and shapes of compacting components before fabrication or manufacturing.

Spatial ability skills are critical skills required by engineering students for problem-solving and modelling in engineering drawings (Serdar 2015). The importance of spatial ability skills in engineering and technology education has attracted many scholars in the field of science and technology to search into ways of improving visualization skills among students (Katsioloudis et al., 2014). Interestingly, 3D tools and augmented reality could be an important tool, where when appropriately used, able to improve students’ spatial ability skills (Medina et al., 2019). According to Marunić and Glažar (2014), the inability of the students to visualize the elevations of an isometric block from 2D is a component of spatial ability skills.

**OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY**

The study aims to:

1. measure the effectiveness of a solid model for improving spatial ability skills in basic engineering drawing.
2. find out whether there a significant improvement of spatial ability skills using a solid model in teaching basic engineering drawing.

**RESEARCH QUESTION**

The following research questions were formulated in line with the purpose of the study to guide the study.

1. What the effectiveness of a solid pair model in improving spatial ability skills in basic engineering drawing?
2. What is the level of spatial ability skill improvement between the students who had prior knowledge of engineering drawing from secondary school and those who did not?

**METHODOLOGY**

A quasi-experimental research design was used for the study. A targeted class of 28 students who were in first-year and first semester in the department of technical education Kaduna state college Gidan Waya Kafanchan were used for the study. The class was separated into two groups which were: Control group and Experimental group. In the first instance, the teacher initially identified 13 students who had prior knowledge in technical drawing from secondary school. And 18 students who did not have prior knowledge of engineering drawing from secondary school. A non-purposive probability sampling technique was used to separate the students into the control and experimental group (Centre 2011).
A two and three-dimensional shapes instrument for visualization transformation test by French 1978 was adapted for the study. Korb (2013) stated that, when an instrument is adapted for a research study, its reliability and validity will be based on research that has been conducted using the same instrument which can be applied to the current study. The validity and reliability of the instrument was tested on a study conducted on the spatial ability of engineering students by Nagy-kondor (2007). A pre and post-test was used to collect the data from the students. To avoid the issues of data contamination, and since prior knowledge might temper the result of the pre and post-test, it was important to first identify the students with and without prior knowledge, the pre and post-test were taking simultaneously between the control and experimental groups.

The class activities during the data collection for the pre and post-test are illustrated in figure 1 below.

![Flow chart of pre and post-test activities](image)

**Figure 1:** flow chat of pre and post-test activities

For the pre-test, all the students were taught using the conventional method of teaching and learning of basic engineering drawing, as presented below.
At the second stage of data collection, a period of six weeks was given between the pre and post-test (Bate and Karp 2014). At this stage, the students were separated into three groups, the first category was: students who had prior knowledge of engineering drawing without treatment, the second group were students who have prior knowledge of engineering drawing with treatment and the third group were students who did not have prior knowledge of engineering drawing with treatment. The students who formed the second and third groups were separated into the experimental group while the first category of the students formed the control group. The experimental group was taught using the solid pair model while the control group was taught using the traditional method of teaching basic engineering drawing. at the end of the lesson, a post-test was conducted.

| Class Activities (pre-test) |
|----------------------------|
| **Activities**             |
| Control group and Experimental group class activities for the pre-test. |
| The students were taught the orthographic projection topic using the conventional method (2D). A 30 minutes test was given to the student at the end of the lesson figure 1. |
| Task 1 (pre-test). The students were asked to make the orthographic projection of the given solid block (French, 1978) |

![Figure 2: Isometric block (task 1)](image)

![Figure 3: Solution for task 1](image)
RESULTS

The data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software. The performance of the students was presented accordingly. The first group consisting of students who had prior knowledge of engineering drawing from secondary school. These students were taught using the conventional method at the post pest. The lowest score obtained for this group was 30% and the highest score was 80% in the pre-test, and the lowest score of 40% and highest score 80% in the post-test as presented in figure 1.

![Figure 1: VTT performance for students who have prior knowledge without treatment](image-url)
The second group comprised of students who and prior knowledge of basic engineering drawing from secondary school who were exposed to treatment, this group of students had the lowest score of 20% and the highest score of 80% in the pre-test, while in the post-test they had the lowest score of 40% and a higher score of 80% as represented in figure 2.

![Figure 2: VTT performance for students who have prior knowledge with treatment](image)

The third group of students consisted of students who did not have prior knowledge of basic engineering from secondary school but were exposed to a treatment using the solid model, this group had a lower score of 20% and a higher score of 60% in the pre-test, for the post-test, they had the lowest score of 40% and the highest score of 90% as presented in figure 3.

![Figure 3: VTT performance for students who don’t have prior knowledge with treatment](image)
Table 1: Mean score of VTT of each group statistic differences

| Test: VTT | Prior knowledge without treatment | Prior knowledge with treatment | Without prior knowledge with treatment |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|           | n   | M    | SD   | n   | M    | SD   | P<0.05 |          |
| Pre-test  | 8   | 45.00| 16.036| 5   | 42.00| 23.875|        | 12       |
|           |     | 35.33| 11.872|     |      |       |        |          |
| Post-test | 8   | 65.00| 13.093| 5   | 72.00| 19.235| P>0.05 | 12       |
|           |     | 59.33| 19.445|     |      |       |        |          |

DISCUSSION

In the level of prior knowledge, there were three groups for assessment, these groups were students who had prior knowledge without treatment, students who have prior knowledge with treatment, and students who don’t have prior knowledge with treatment. Comparing these three groups will show the level of importance of spatial ability skills hence showing the level of significant improvement of spatial ability skills between those who had prior knowledge and those who don’t.

According to the results from the pre-test, it can be said that Kaduna state college of education first-year students of the department of technical education have low spatial ability skills in basic engineering drawing. The mean, standard deviations of spatial visualization ability and statistical difference of each group are analyzed in terms of descriptive statistic which is presented in Table 1 above.

Further investigating the level of significant improvement of the spatial ability skill of each group, a post-test was administered to the students after receiving a treatment using a solid pair model. The results revealed that student who had prior knowledge of basic engineering drawing from secondary school who were taught using the conventional method at post-test without treatment had a mean score 65.00 (SD = 13.093), the students who had prior knowledge and received treatment had a mean score 72.00 (SD = 19.235) while those without prior knowledge with a solid pair model treatment had a mean score of 59.33 (SD = 19.445).

The result of this study shows that first-year students who were enrolled in the first semester in the department of technical education had challenge in picture a spatial model, the projections reconstruction, and representation. This finding is in contrast to Kok and Bayaga, (2019) who found that engineering and technology students in their first year of study do have challenges in engineering drawing which is attributed to poor spatial ability skills.

To further investigate whether there is any significant improvement of spatial ability skills, the result of the post-test, indicated there was no significant difference because all the three groups had a high mean score above 50%. Construct of knowledge using a solid pair model according to Lord (1985) is a cognitive process that has to do with several mental visual models. During the post-test class activities using the solid pair model, the cognitive system of the learner is perceived with an external picture at first instance through the eye. The students then began to process some visual models which enable them to construct a mental pictorial image within working memory. This finding aligns with Ambozas, (2018) who stated that visual models enable an engineer to communicate an idea on drawing paper and software tools. Hence, Bosnyák and Nagy-Kondor (2008) emphasized that a solid pair method (3D) can be
of great help in the teaching and learning of basic engineering drawing as revealed from the class activities between the control and experimental group, it is much easier to picture and reflect the various view of a solid using a solid pair model. The student without prior knowledge who received treatment and those with prior knowledge who also received treatment in the experimental group had higher performance and ability of the construction of knowledge using visual models and cognitive process of visualization as reflected in the research of (Fleeson et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION
The study is aimed at improving first-year NCE technical education students of Kaduna State College of Education Gidan Waya spatial ability skills in basic engineering drawing using a solid pair model. The findings revealed that the mean performance of spatial ability skills in basic engineering drawing between the three test groups had no significant difference. This is because the students who did not have prior knowledge but received a treatment using a solid pair model performed above average. Likewise, the students with prior knowledge who were exposed to treatment using a solid model and those with prior knowledge without treatment both performed above average.

Challenges of poor spatial ability skills among first-year Technical education students of Kaduna State College of Education Gidan Waya in basic engineering drawing can be effectively improved using a solid pair model. The construct of knowledge using a solid pair model according to Mayer (2002) is a cognitive process that has to do with several mental visual models. During the class activities using the solid pair model, the cognitive system of the learner is perceived with an external picture at first instance through the eye. The students then begin to process some visual models which aids him in the construction of a mental pictorial image within working memory. By the process of sequential arrangement of mental images, the learner arranges a number set of images into a coherent mental representation which is referred to as the projection views or the pictorial model. Every skill, like the spatial ability, as well can be developed at the very beginning with a suitable teaching strategy. The findings, therefore, indicated the effectiveness of a solid pair model for improving spatial ability skills among first-year NCE technical education students cannot be overemphasized.
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