Resonant photon creation in a three dimensional oscillating cavity
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We analyze the problem of photon creation inside a perfectly conducting, rectangular, three
dimensional cavity with one oscillating wall. For some particular values of the frequency of the
oscillations the system is resonant. We solve the field equation using multiple scale analysis and
show that the total number of photons inside the cavity grows exponentially in time. This is also
the case for slightly off-resonance situations. Although the spectrum of a cavity is in general non
equidistant, we show that the modes of the electromagnetic field can be coupled, and that the rate
of photon creation strongly depends on this coupling. We also analyze the thermal enhancement of
the photon creation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of an attractive force between two perfectly conducting plates has been predicted by Casimir in 1948 [1]. It has been measured with accurate precision in the last years by Lamoreaux [2] and Mohideen et al. [3]. These experiments confirm the existence of vacuum field fluctuations in the framework of field quantization with static boundaries, and increase the interest in the case of dynamical boundaries as well.

The dynamical effect consists in the generation of photons due to the instability of the vacuum state of the electro-
magnetic field in the presence of time-dependent boundaries. In the literature it is referred to as dynamical Casimir
effect [4] or motion-induced radiation [5]. Up to now no concrete experiment has been carried to confirm this photon
generation, but an experimental verification is not out of reach. From the theoretical point of view it is widely ac-
cepted that the most favorable configuration in order to observe the phenomenon is a vibrating cavity in which it is
possible to produce resonant effects between the mechanical and field oscillations.

Many previous papers have focused their attention in the field quantization within a one dimensional cavity with one
or two walls performing small amplitude oscillations, at twice the eigenfrequency of some unperturbed electromagnetic
mode. For these cavities there exists a strong intermode interaction, which is a consequence of the equidistant character
of the frequencies spectrum. The main features of the one dimensional model are that photons are created in all
electromagnetic modes (due to mode-mode coupling), and that the total energy inside the cavity grows exponentially
at the expense of the energy given to the system to keep the wall moving. A simple approach is to make a perturbative
expansion in terms of the amplitude of oscillations, as was done in [6]. However this perturbative treatment breaks
down after a short period of time due to the appearence of secular terms. In [7] the renormalization group technique
was used in order to obtain a solution valid for a period of time longer than that of the pertubative case. There it
was shown that the energy spectrum develops a non trivial structure formed by peaks travelling at the speed of light
and bouncing against the walls (in agreement with other authors [8]). Reference [9] makes use of the fact that two
different time scales characterize the problem; the usual one, related to the wall’s oscillation period, and a ‘slow’ one
which accounts for the cumulative resonance effect. It is then possible to isolate the resonant part after averaging over
fast oscillations the initial equations for the electromagnetic field modes.

There are some works in the literature dealing with higher dimensional cavities . In [10] the radiation emitted in
each polarization of the electromagnetic field was computed perturbatively, when two parallel, plane, and perfectly
conducting plates oscillate along the direction perpendicular to their surfaces. Such geometry constitutes the simplest
example of an open three dimensional cavity. In [11] the authors obtained the distribution of the created photons for
the case of parametric resonance inside a three dimensional cavity. In both cases [10,11] a perturbative method was
applied so the results are valid in the short time limit. In [9] a nonperturbative analysis was presented, generalizing
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the method of averaging over fast oscillations to higher-dimensional cavities. However, the intermode coupling was neglected, reducing the problem to that of one single parametric oscillator.

Of particular interest is to find out how the finite temperature affects the photon production. This was studied in [12] with a nonperturbative method (see also [13]). A remarkable enhancement of the pure vacuum effect was found, but again neglecting the coupling between modes.

In this paper we present a detailed analysis of the photon generation inside a three dimensional resonant cavity. We also discuss the finite temperature case, showing the enhancement of the effect with respect to $T = 0$. We apply the Multiple Scale Analysis (MSA) which provides us with a simple technique equivalent to summing the most secular terms to all orders in the perturbative treatment. In this way we can get a solution valid for a period of time longer than that of the perturbative case. We pay particular attention to the resonant coupling between different modes.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we obtain the time evolution of the quantized field by expanding it over the ‘instantaneous basis’. For simplicity we deal with a scalar bosonic field. Following the steps given in [14] we arrive at an infinite set of coupled differential equations for the coefficients of the expansion. We also explain there how to compute the number of motion-induced photons for the zero temperature case. In section III we describe and apply the MSA to our problem. We find the coupling conditions between different modes that can be satisfied depending on the cavity’s spectrum. In section IV we present a general analysis of the coupling conditions, and discuss some examples. In particular we find that the fundamental mode of a cubic cavity is coupled to another mode in the parametric resonance case, giving as result that the number of photons with two different frequencies increases exponentially in time. However, the production rate for the fundamental mode is only one half of that expected if we had neglected the coupling, as previous works did. At the end of this section we study slightly off-resonance situations.

In section V we obtain an expression for the number of photons in each mode assuming that the field was initially in thermal equilibrium. Section VI contains our final remarks and comments on the generalization to the more realistic case of the electromagnetic field.

II. SCALAR FIELD QUANTIZATION WITH MOVING BOUNDARIES

We consider a rectangular cavity formed by perfectly reflecting walls with dimensions $L_x, L_y$, and $L_z$. The wall placed at $x = L_x$ is at rest for $t < 0$ and begins to move following a given trajectory, $L_x(t)$, at $t = 0$. Note that we assume this trajectory as prescribed for the problem (not a dynamical variable) and that it works as a time-dependent boundary condition for the field. The field $\phi(x, t)$ satisfies the wave equation $\square \phi = 0$ in $3 + 1$ dimensions, and the boundary conditions $\phi|_{\text{walls}} = 0$ for all times. The Fourier expansion of the field for an arbitrary moment of time, in terms of creation and annihilation operators, can be written as

$$\phi(x, t) = \sum_n d_n u_n(x, t) + \text{H.c.},$$

where the mode functions $u_n(x, t)$ form a complete orthonormal set of solutions of the wave equation with vanishing boundary conditions.

When $t \leq 0$ (static cavity) each field mode is determined by three positive integers $n_x, n_y$ and $n_z$. Namely

$$u_n(x, t < 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_n}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_x}} \sin \left(\frac{n_x \pi}{L_x} x\right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_y}} \sin \left(\frac{n_y \pi}{L_y} y\right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_z}} \sin \left(\frac{n_z \pi}{L_z} z\right) e^{i\omega_n t},$$

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{n_x^2}{L_x^2} + \frac{n_y^2}{L_y^2} + \frac{n_z^2}{L_z^2}},$$

with the shorthand $n = (n_x, n_y, n_z)$.

When $t > 0$ the boundary condition on the moving wall becomes $\phi(x = L_x(t), y, z, t) = 0$. In order to satisfy it we expand the mode functions in Eq.(2) with respect to an instantaneous basis

---

1 The inner product is the usual for Klein-Gordon equation, namely $\langle \psi, \xi \rangle = -i \int_{\text{cavity}} d^3x \langle \psi \xi^* - \xi \psi^* \rangle$.

2 We are using units $\hbar = c = 1$. 
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\[ u_n(x, t > 0) = \sum_k Q_k^n(t) \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_x(t)}} \sin \left( \frac{k_x \pi}{L_x(t)} x \right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_y}} \sin \left( \frac{k_y \pi}{L_y} y \right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_z}} \sin \left( \frac{k_z \pi}{L_z} z \right) \]  
\[ = \sum_k Q_k^n(t) \varphi_k(x, L_z(t)), \]  
(4)

with the initial conditions

\[ Q_k^n(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_n}} \delta_{k,n}, \quad \dot{Q}_k^n(0) = -i \frac{\omega_n}{2} \delta_{k,n}. \]  
(6)

In this way we ensure that, as long as \( L_x(t) \) and \( \dot{L}_x(t) \) are continuous at \( t = 0 \), each field mode and its time derivative are also continuous functions. The expansion in Eq.(3) for the field modes must be a solution of the wave equation. Taking into account that the \( \varphi_k \)'s form a complete and orthonormal set and that they depend on \( t \) only through \( L_x(t) \), we obtain a set of coupled equations for \( Q_k^n(t) \) \[(14)\]:

\[ \dot{Q}_k^n + \omega_k(t) Q_k^n = 2\lambda(t) \sum_j g_{kj} \dot{Q}_j^n + \dot{\lambda}(t) \sum_j g_{kj} Q_j^n + \lambda^2(t) \sum_{j, j'} g_{kj} g_{kj'} Q_j^n, \]  
(7)

where

\[ \omega_k(t) = \pi \sqrt{\left( \frac{k_x}{L_x(t)} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{k_y}{L_y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{k_z}{L_z} \right)^2}; \quad \lambda(t) = \frac{\dot{L}_x(t)}{L_x(t)}. \]  
(8)

The coefficients \( g_{kj} \) are defined by

\[ g_{kj} = L_x(t) \int_0^{L_x(t)} dx \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial L_x} \varphi_j, \]  
(9)

and read

\[ g_{kj} = -g_{jk} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k_x+j_x} \frac{2k_x}{\gamma^2-k_x^2} \delta_{k_y,j_y} \delta_{k_z,j_z} & \text{if } k_x \neq j_x \\ 0 & \text{if } k_x = j_x. \end{cases} \]  
(10)

Furthermore, in deriving Eq.(6) we have used that \( \sum_l g_{kl} g_{lj} = L_x^2 \int dx \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial L_x} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial L_x} \right) \), which follows from the completeness relation of the \( \varphi_k \)'s.

The annihilation and creation operators \( \hat{a}_k^\text{in} \) and \( \hat{a}_k^\text{out} \) correspond to the particle notion in the ‘in’ region \( (t < 0) \). If the wall stops for \( t > t_{\text{final}} \), we can define a new set of operators, \( \hat{a}_k^\text{in} \) and \( \hat{a}_k^\text{out} \), associated to the particle notion in the ‘out’ region \( (t > t_{\text{final}}) \). These two sets of operators are connected by means of the Bogoliubov transformation

\[ \hat{a}_k^\text{out} = \sum_n (\alpha_{nk} \hat{a}_n^\text{in} + \beta_{nk}^* \hat{a}_n^\text{in}). \]  
(11)

The coefficients \( \alpha_{nk} \) and \( \beta_{nk} \) can be obtained as follows. When the wall returns to its initial position the right hand side in Eq.(6) vanishes and the solution reads

\[ Q_k^n(t > t_{\text{final}}) = A_k^n e^{i\omega_k t} + B_k^n e^{-i\omega_k t}, \]  
(12)

with \( A_k^n \) and \( B_k^n \) being some constant coefficients to be determined by the continuity conditions at \( t = t_{\text{final}} \). Inserting Eq.(12) into Eqs.(11) and (13) we obtain an expansion of \( \phi \) in terms of \( \hat{a}_k^\text{in} \) and \( \hat{a}_k^\text{out} \) for \( t > t_{\text{final}} \). Comparing this with the equivalent expansion in terms of \( \hat{a}_k^\text{out} \) and \( \hat{a}_k^\text{out} \) it is easy to see that

\[ \alpha_{nk} = \sqrt{2\omega_k} A_k^n, \quad \beta_{nk} = \sqrt{2\omega_k} B_k^n. \]  
(13)

The amount of photons created in the mode \( \vec{k} \) is the average value of the number operator \( \hat{a}_k^\text{out} \hat{a}_k^\text{out} \) with respect to the initial vacuum state (defined through \( \hat{a}_k^\text{in} |0_n\rangle = 0 \)). With the help of Eq.(11) and Eq.(13) we get

\[ \langle N_k \rangle = \langle 0_n | \hat{a}_k^\text{out} \hat{a}_k^\text{out} | 0_n \rangle = \sum_n 2\omega_k |A_k^n|^2. \]  
(14)
III. MULTIPLE SCALE ANALYSIS

Up to this point the equations are valid for an arbitrary motion of the wall (we only assume \(L(0) = L_0\) and \(\dot{L}(0) = 0\) because the wall is at rest for \(t < 0\)). We are interested in the number of photons created inside the cavity, so it is natural to look for harmonic oscillations of the wall which could enhance that number by means of resonance effects for some specific external frequencies. So we study the following trajectory

\[
L(t) = L_0(1 + \epsilon \sin(\Omega t) + \epsilon f(t)),
\]

where \(f(t)\) is some decaying function that allows us to meet the continuity conditions at \(t = 0\) (for example \(f(t) = -\Omega t e^{-\alpha t}\)). For small amplitudes of oscillations (\(\epsilon \ll 1\)), the equations for the modes Eq. 12 take the form

\[
\ddot{Q}_k^{(n)} + \omega_k^2 Q_k^{(n)} = 2\epsilon \left( \frac{k}{L_x} \right)^2 \sin(\Omega t) Q_k^{(n)} + \epsilon^2 \sin(\Omega t) \sum_j g_{kj} Q_j^{(n)} + 2\epsilon \Omega \cos(\Omega t) \sum_j g_{kj} Q_j^{(n)} + +\epsilon O(f) + O(\epsilon^2),
\]

where \(O(f)\) denotes terms proportional to \(f\), \(\dot{f}\) and \(\ddot{f}\).

It is known that a naive perturbative solution of these equations in powers of the displacement \(\epsilon\) breaks down after a short amount of time, of order \((\epsilon \Omega)^{-1}\). This happens for those particular values of the external frequency \(\Omega\) such that there is a resonant coupling with the eigenfrequencies of the static cavity. In this situation, to find a solution valid for longer times (of order \(\epsilon^2 \Omega^{-1}\)) we use the multiple scale analysis (MSA) technique \[13\]. We introduce a second timescale \(\tau = \epsilon t\) and expand \(Q_k^{(n)}\) as follows (we shall content ourselves with first order MSA)

\[
Q_k^{(n)}(t) = Q_k^{(n)(0)}(t, \tau) + \epsilon Q_k^{(n)(1)}(t, \tau) + O(\epsilon^2).
\]

The derivatives with respect to the timescale \(t\) read

\[
\dot{Q}_k^{(n)} = \partial_t Q_k^{(n)(0)} + \epsilon [\partial_t Q_k^{(n)(0)} + \partial_t Q_k^{(n)(1)}],
\]

\[
\ddot{Q}_k^{(n)} = \partial^2_t Q_k^{(n)(0)} + 2\epsilon \partial^2_t Q_k^{(n)(0)} + \partial^2_t Q_k^{(n)(1)}.
\]

The initial conditions are

\[
Q_k^{(n)(0)}(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \delta_{n,k},
\]

\[
Q_k^{(n)(0)}(0) = -i \frac{\omega_k}{2} \delta_{n,k}.
\]

To zeroth order in \(\epsilon\) we get the equation of an harmonic oscillator

\[
Q_k^{(n)(0)} = A_k^{(n)}(\tau) e^{i\omega_k \tau} + B_k^{(n)}(\tau) e^{-i\omega_k \tau},
\]

and using the initial conditions it follows that

\[
A_k^{(n)}(\tau = 0) = 0,
\]

\[
B_k^{(n)}(\tau = 0) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \delta_{n,k}.
\]

To first order in \(\epsilon\) we obtain \[13\]

\[\text{It is not straighforward to compute the next order corrections using MSA. The introduction of new time scales like } \tau_1 = \epsilon t, \tau_2 = \epsilon^2 t, \text{ etc. is in general not sufficient to determine the second order solution unambiguously } [13]. \text{ The renormalization group method } [14] \text{ (which is equivalent to MSA to first order in } \epsilon) \text{ seems to be more appropiate to improve systematically the result. In any case, the next order corrections will be very small for } \epsilon^2 t \leq \Omega^{-1}.\]
Moreover, there is intermode coupling between modes \( j \) that the solution of Eq.\((16)\) is of the form we average over the fast oscillations. The resulting equations coincide with Eqs.\((24)\) and \((25)\).

In what follows we will be concerned with cavities with non equidistant spectrum. \( \Omega = 2 \omega \) has been extensively studied in the literature \([7,9,11,17]\). There is an alternative, equivalent way of deriving the equations of motion \([9]\). For \( \epsilon \ll 1 \), it is natural to assume that the solution of Eq.\((19)\) is of the form

\[
Q_k^{(n)}(t) = A_k^{(n)}(t)e^{i\omega_k t} + B_k^{(n)}(t)e^{-i\omega_k t},
\]

where the functions \( A_k^{(n)} \) and \( B_k^{(n)} \) are slowly varying. In order to obtain differential equations for them, we insert this ansatz into Eq.\((19)\) and neglect second derivatives of \( A_k^{(n)} \) and \( B_k^{(n)} \). After multiplying the equation by \( e^{\pm i\omega_k t} \), we average over the fast oscillations. The resulting equations coincide with Eqs.\((24)\) and \((25)\).

We derived the equations for 3+1 dimensions. It is very easy to obtain the corresponding ones in 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions. In all cases the resonant conditions are given by Eqs.\((26)-(28)\) above. The main difference between the 1+1 case and higher dimensions is that in 1+1 the eigenfrequencies \( \omega_k \) are proportional to integers. The spectrum is equidistant and therefore an infinite set of modes may be coupled. For example, when the external frequency is \( \Omega = 2\omega_1 \), the mode \( k \) is coupled with the modes \( k \pm 2 \). This has been extensively studied in the literature \([7,11,17]\). In what follows we will be concerned with cavities with non equidistant spectrum.

### IV. Resonant Photon Creation

In this section we shall solve the coupled Eqs.\((24)\) and \((25)\). We will see that there are different kinds of solutions depending both on the wall’s frequency and the spectrum of the static cavity. Note that the spectrum is related to the cavity’s dimensions through Eq.\((3)\). In subsection \([IV. A]\) we will present a general analysis of the resonant conditions and the solutions. We will show some particular examples in subsection \([IV. B]\).
A. General analysis

Let us consider the ‘parametric resonance case’, in which the frequency of the wall is twice the frequency of some unperturbed mode, say \( \Omega = 2\omega_k \). Under this condition we expect that the number of created photons in the mode \( k \) will grow exponentially in time due to resonance effects. In order to find \( A_k^{(n)} \) and \( B_k^{(n)} \) from Eq.(24) and Eq.(25) we have to analyze whether the coupling conditions \( |\omega_k \pm \omega_j| = \Omega \) can be satisfied or not. If we set \( \Omega = 2\omega_k \), the resonant mode \( k \) will be coupled to some other mode \( j \) only if \( \omega_j - \omega_k = \Omega = 2\omega_k \). Clearly, the latter relation will be satisfied depending on the spectrum of the particular cavity under consideration.

First, let us assume that this condition is not fulfilled. In this case, the equations for \( A_k^{(n)} \) and \( B_k^{(n)} \) reduce to

\[
\frac{dA_k^{(n)}}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2\omega_k} \left( \frac{\pi k_x}{L_x} \right)^2 B_k^{(n)}; \tag{30}
\]

\[
\frac{dB_k^{(n)}}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2\omega_k} \left( \frac{\pi k_x}{L_x} \right)^2 A_k^{(n)}. \tag{31}
\]

The solution that satisfies the initial conditions (21) and (22) reads

\[
B_k^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \delta_{k,n} \cosh(\gamma k_x \tau), \tag{32}
\]

\[
A_k^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \delta_{k,n} \sinh(\gamma k_x \tau), \tag{33}
\]

where \( \gamma = (k_x/\Omega)(\pi/L_x)^2 \). With the help of Eq.(34) we obtain

\[
\langle N_k \rangle = \sinh^2(\gamma k_x \tau), \tag{34}
\]

where \( \tau_f = \epsilon t_f \). In this uncoupled resonance case the average number of created photons in the mode \( k \) increases exponentially in time with a rate given by \( 2\gamma k_x \). The same result has been obtained in previous papers (cs. Ref. [9] and Ref. [12]). There it was assumed that the coupling condition \( \omega_j = 3\omega_k \) cannot be fulfilled for two and three dimensional cavities, essentially due to the non-equidistant character of the spectrum. As we shall see, this is not always true. In what follows we will solve Eq.(24) and Eq.(25) with coupled modes, and we will show some explicit examples.

Let us now assume the existence of one mode, say \( j \), in the infinite sum in Eq.(24) and Eq.(25), which satisfies \( \omega_j = 3\omega_k \). We obtain for \( A_k^{(n)} \) and \( B_k^{(n)} \)

\[
\frac{dA_k^{(n)}}{d\tau} = \gamma \left( -k_x B_k^{(n)} + (-1)^{j_x+k_x} j_x A_j^{(n)} \right), \tag{35}
\]

\[
\frac{dB_k^{(n)}}{d\tau} = \gamma \left( -k_x A_k^{(n)} + (-1)^{j_x+k_x} j_x B_j^{(n)} \right), \tag{36}
\]

where we have used that the relation \( \gamma_j = 3\omega_k \) is equivalent to

\[
j_x^2 = 9k_x^2 + 8 \left[ \left( \frac{L_x k_y}{L_y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{L_x k_z}{L_z} \right)^2 \right]. \tag{37}
\]

because the coupling coefficient \( g_{kj} \) is proportional to \( \delta_{k,j} \delta_{k,j} \). The next step is to obtain the equations for \( A_j^{(n)} \) and \( B_j^{(n)} \). The mode \( j \) is coupled to modes \( s \) that satisfy \( 2\omega_k = |\omega_j \pm \omega_s| \). Since \( \omega_j = 3\omega_k \) this relation is satisfied for \( \omega_s = \omega_k \) (as expected) and for \( \omega_s = 5\omega_k \). We assume that the spectrum under consideration does not satisfy the latter. In this case, the equations read

\[
\frac{dA_j^{(n)}}{d\tau} = -\frac{(-1)^{j_x+k_x} \gamma j_x}{3} A_k^{(n)}; \tag{38}
\]

\[
\frac{dB_j^{(n)}}{d\tau} = -\frac{(-1)^{j_x+k_x} \gamma j_x}{3} B_k^{(n)}. \tag{39}
\]
In order to find the solution to the above equations we write the system in matricial form
\[
\frac{d\vec{v}}{d\tau} = \mathcal{M} \vec{v},
\]  
where
\[
\vec{v}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix}
B_k^{(n)}(\tau) \\
A_k^{(n)}(\tau) \\
B_j^{(n)}(\tau) \\
A_j^{(n)}(\tau)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M} = \gamma \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -k_x & -k_x & 0 \\
-k_x & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{k_x+j_x} j_x \\
-(-1)^{k_x+j_x} j_x/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -(-1)^{k_x+j_x} j_x/3 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]  
and the initial condition reads
\[
\vec{v}(0) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \delta_{n,k} \\
0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_j}} \delta_{n,j} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  
The solution is easily obtained after diagonalizing \( \mathcal{M} \). The eigenvalues are given by
\[
\lambda = \pm \frac{\gamma k_x}{2} \pm \frac{i\gamma}{6} \sqrt{9k_x^2 - 12j_x^2},
\]  
where we have used Eq. (37). The solution can be formally written as
\[
\vec{v}(\tau) = C e^{\mathcal{D}\tau} C^{-1} \vec{v}(0),
\]  
where \( \mathcal{D} \) is the eigenvalues diagonal matrix and \( C \) is the corresponding eigenvectors matrix. This means that \( A_k^{(n)} \) and \( A_j^{(n)} \) are linear combinations of exponential functions of the eigenvalues in Eq. (37) times \( \tau \). The exponential growth of \( A_k^{(n)} \) and \( A_j^{(n)} \) is determined by the eigenvalues with positive real part. Looking at Eq. (14) we conclude that the number of created photons, in both the mode \( k \) and the mode \( j \), will increase exponentially in time with a rate given by \( \gamma k_x \).

This is our main result. In the ‘resonance parametric case’ the resonant mode may be coupled to some other mode. In this case the number of created photons in both modes grows exponentially in time with the same rate, which is exactly one half of the rate expected for the resonant mode when the coupling is neglected.

We have derived Eq. (40) assuming that only two modes are coupled. If the spectrum contains one mode \( s \) such that \( \omega_s = 5\omega_k \), besides \( \omega_j = 3\omega_k \), we get three coupled modes. The resulting equation will be similar to Eq. (40) but with a \( 6 \times 6 \) matrix to diagonalize. The number of photons in each mode (\( s \), \( j \) and \( k \)) will grow exponentially in time. Due to the non-equidistant character of the spectrum, it is not common to have three modes coupled. For a cubic cavity (i.e. \( L_x = L_y = L_z = L \)), the first three modes coupled are \( k = (11, 16, 13), j = (67, 16, 13) \), and \( s = (115, 16, 13) \), the frequency of the lowest mode being an order of magnitude larger than the fundamental frequency of the cavity. This case is therefore of less interest.

Let us now discuss briefly what happens with the remaining cases in which the MSA is non trivial and differs from the naive perturbation approach. We first study two non-resonant modes \( s \) and \( p \) (i.e. \( \omega_s \neq \Omega/2 \neq \omega_p \)) satisfying the condition (26), \( \omega_s + \omega_p = \Omega \). After some algebra on Eqs. (24) and (25) we get for \( A_s^{(n)} \) and \( B_p^{(n)} \) the following
\[
\frac{dA_s^{(n)}}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2\omega_s} \left( \frac{\pi}{L_x} \right)^2 (-1)^{s_x+p_x} s_x p_x B_p^{(n)},
\]  
\[
\frac{dB_p^{(n)}}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2\omega_p} \left( \frac{\pi}{L_x} \right)^2 (-1)^{s_x+p_x} s_x p_x A_s^{(n)},
\]  
\[\text{Note that we are not necessarily within the ‘parametric resonance case’. The external frequency } \Omega \text{ could be or not twice the frequency of some other unperturbed mode.} \]
and same equations holding for \( B_{s}^{(n)} \) and \( A_{p}^{(n)} \). The solutions are straightforwardly obtained, giving for the average value of the number operator

\[
\langle N_{s} \rangle = \langle N_{p} \rangle = \sinh^{2}\left( \frac{\pi}{L_{x}} \right) \frac{s_{x} \sigma_{x}}{2 \sqrt{\omega_{s} \omega_{p}}} \tau_{f}^{2}.
\]

(47)

Note that if we set \( s_{x} = p_{x} (s = p) \) we recover the ‘parametric resonance case’. This example shows the possibility of obtaining exponential growth of photons in modes which are not in resonance with the external frequency.

If the spectrum contains some sequence of equidistant frequencies \( \omega_{p_{i}} \) separated by \( \Omega \), the corresponding modes will be coupled through the conditions \( \Omega = \omega_{p_{i+1}} - \omega_{p_{i}} \), and \( \Omega = \omega_{p_{i}} - \omega_{p_{i-1}} \). One can show that, as long as the modes \( p_{i} \) are not coupled to modes outside the sequence, the number of created photons in each of these modes will be an oscillatory function of time.

**B. Examples**

The first and more important example is the cubic cavity. In order to obtain ‘parametric resonance’ we fix \( \Omega \) as twice the lowest cavity frequency,

\[
\Omega = 2\omega(1,1,1) = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{3}}{L}.
\]

(48)

For this example we will assume that \( L = 1cm \). The fundamental mode \( k = (1,1,1) \) will be coupled to \( j = (5,1,1) \) because \( \omega(5,1,1) = 3\omega(1,1,1) \). Only these two modes are coupled, since there does not exist in the spectrum any mode \( s \) satisfying \( \omega_{s} = 5\omega(1,1,1) \). The exponential growth for the modes \( k \) and \( j \) will be one half of that expected by previous papers [12]. Now we can write explicitly Eq. (14) for this particular case. The result is

\[
B_{k}^{(n)}(\tau) = \frac{\delta_{n,k}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{k}}} \left[ \cos(2.56\tau) \cosh(0.45\tau) + 0.176 \sin(2.56\tau) \sinh(0,45\tau) \right]
\]

+ \frac{\delta_{n,j}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{j}}} \left[ 1.76 \sin(2.56\tau) \cosh(0.45\tau) \right],

(49)

\[
A_{k}^{(n)}(\tau) = \frac{\delta_{n,k}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{k}}} \left[ \cos(2.56\tau) \sinh(0.45\tau) + 0.176 \sin(2.56\tau) \cosh(0.45\tau) \right]
\]

+ \frac{\delta_{n,j}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{j}}} \left[ 1.76 \sin(2.56\tau) \sinh(0,45\tau) \right],

(50)

\[
B_{j}^{(n)}(\tau) = \frac{\delta_{n,k}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{k}}} \left[ -0.586 \sin(2.56\tau) \cosh(0.45\tau) \right]
\]

+ \frac{\delta_{n,j}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{j}}} \left[ \cos(2.56\tau) \cosh(0.45\tau) - 0.176 \sin(2.56\tau) \sinh(0.45\tau) \right],

(51)

\[
A_{j}^{(n)}(\tau) = \frac{\delta_{n,k}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{k}}} \left[ -0.586 \sin(2.56\tau) \sinh(0.45\tau) \right]
\]

+ \frac{\delta_{n,j}}{\sqrt{2\omega_{j}}} \left[ \cos(2.56\tau) \sinh(0.45\tau) - 0.176 \sin(2.56\tau) \cosh(0.45\tau) \right].

(52)

An important remark is that this solution satisfies the unitary condition for the Bogoliubov transformation [13],

\[
\sum_{n} |B_{k}^{(n)}|^{2} - |A_{k}^{(n)}|^{2} = \frac{1}{2\omega_{k}}.
\]

(53)

We can compute the number of created photons in each mode inserting Eqs. (50) and (52) into Eq. (14). The result is

\[
\langle N_{k} \rangle = \cos^{2}(2.56\tau_{f}) \sin^{2}(0.45\tau_{f}) + 1.06 \sin^{2}(2.56\tau_{f}) \cos^{2}(0.45\tau_{f}) + 0.088 \sin(5.12\tau_{f}) \sinh(0.9\tau_{f}),
\]

(54)

\[
\langle N_{j} \rangle = \cos^{2}(2.56\tau_{f}) \sin^{2}(0.45\tau_{f}) + 1.06 \sin^{2}(2.56\tau_{f}) \cos^{2}(0.45\tau_{f}) - 0.088 \sin(5.12\tau_{f}) \sinh(0.9\tau_{f}).
\]

(55)

When \( \tau_{f} \geq 1 \) these expressions are approximated by
In a previous paper [14] the authors considered two dimensional cavities, which means that one of the cavity’s dimensions is much smaller than the others (say \( L_z \ll L_x, L_y \)). We can easily recover this limit by omitting the ‘z’ dimension. In what follows we will discuss this case, for increasing external frequencies.

Let us first assume that \( L_x = L_y \). If \( \Omega = 2\omega_{(1,1)} \), then the fundamental mode \( k = (1, 1) \) does not couple to any other mode and it grows exponentially in time. The next resonant frequencies are \( \Omega = 2\omega_{(1,2)} \) and \( \Omega = 2\omega_{(2,2)} \). In both cases the resonant mode is not coupled. If \( \Omega = 2\omega_{(1,3)} \), the mode \((1, 3)\) will be coupled to the mode \((9, 3)\) and both will grow exponentially in time. However, the mode \((3, 1)\) also satisfies the ‘parametric resonance condition’ and, being uncoupled to other modes, it will grow faster than the previous ones. For the same frequency, \( \Omega = 2\omega_{(1,3)} \), we have found by inspection three equidistant modes, \( \omega_{(13,39)} = 13\pi \sqrt{10}/L, \omega_{(27,39)} = 15\pi \sqrt{10}/L \) and \( \omega_{(37,39)} = 17\pi \sqrt{10}/L \). The number of created photons in each mode will oscillate in time.

Now we choose \( L_x = 3L_y \). This choice makes the fundamental mode to be coupled in ‘parametric resonance’. If we set \( \Omega = 2\omega_{(1,1)} \), the mode \((9, 1)\) satisfies that \( \omega_{(9,1)} = 3\omega_{(1,1)} \), so both modes will grow exponentially.

### C. Off resonance

In this subsection we study what happens when the external frequency \( \hat{\Omega} \) is slightly off-resonance, i.e., \( \hat{\Omega} = \Omega + h \), where \( \Omega \) is a resonant frequency and \( h \ll \Omega \). We will assume that \( h = \epsilon \alpha \), where \( \alpha = O(\Omega) \). We will show how to apply MSA to this case. Off-resonance motions have already been considered in the literature [18] using a different approach, and it was shown that there are threshold conditions on \( h \) for exponential photon creation.

For small amplitudes of oscillations, the equations for the modes are still Eq. (16) with \( \Omega \) replaced by the external frequency \( \hat{\Omega} \). Since \( h \ll \Omega \), all factors of the form \( e^{\pm ih} \) may be regarded as slow oscillations, so that the MSA conditions to get rid of secularities are exactly the same as in the resonant case, Eqs. (26, 27, 28). However, the equations for the modes (24, 25) do get modified. Their off-resonant version reads

\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{dA_k^{(n)}}{dt} = -\frac{n^2 k^2}{2\omega_k L_z^2} e^{i\alpha \tau} B_j^{(n)} (2\omega_k - \Omega) + \sum_j (-\omega_j + \frac{\Omega + h}{2}) \delta(-\omega_k - \omega_j + \Omega) \frac{\Omega + h}{2\omega_k} g_k j e^{i\alpha \tau} B_j^{(n)} \\
+ \sum_j \left[ e^{i\alpha \tau} (\omega_j + \frac{\Omega + h}{2}) \delta(\omega_k - \omega_j - \Omega) + e^{-i\alpha \tau} (\omega_j - \frac{\Omega + h}{2}) \delta(\omega_k - \omega_j + \Omega) \right] \frac{\Omega + h}{2\omega_k} g_k j A_j^{(n)}, \\
\frac{dB_k^{(n)}}{dt} = -\frac{n^2 k^2}{2\omega_k L_z^2} e^{-i\alpha \tau} A_k^{(n)} (2\omega_k - \Omega) + \sum_j (-\omega_j + \frac{\Omega + h}{2}) \delta(-\omega_k - \omega_j + \Omega) \frac{\Omega + h}{2\omega_k} g_k j e^{-i\alpha \tau} A_j^{(n)} \\
+ \sum_j \left[ e^{-i\alpha \tau} (\omega_j + \frac{\Omega + h}{2}) \delta(\omega_k - \omega_j - \Omega) + e^{i\alpha \tau} (\omega_j - \frac{\Omega + h}{2}) \delta(\omega_k - \omega_j + \Omega) \right] \frac{\Omega + h}{2\omega_k} g_k j B_j^{(n)}.
\end{array}\right.
\]

Let us solve these equations in the quasi parametric resonant case, that is for \( \hat{\Omega} - h = \Omega = 2\omega_k \). In the case when there are no coupled modes, we get two coupled first order differential equations for \( A_k^{(n)} \) and \( B_k^{(n)} \). After the change of variables

\[
A_k^{(n)} = e^{i\alpha \tau/2} a_k^{(n)}, \\
B_k^{(n)} = e^{-i\alpha \tau/2} b_k^{(n)},
\]

the equations take the form

\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{da_k^{(n)}}{dt} = -i \frac{\alpha}{2} a_k^{(n)} - \gamma k_x b_k^{(n)}, \\
\frac{db_k^{(n)}}{dt} = i \frac{\alpha}{2} b_k^{(n)} - \gamma k_x a_k^{(n)}.
\end{array}\right.
\]

There will be growing exponential solutions if an eigenvalue of the corresponding matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{\omega}{2} & -\gamma k_x \\
-\gamma k_x & \frac{\omega}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

has a positive real part. The eigenvalues are given by

\[
\lambda = \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 k_x^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}}
\]

and lead to the following threshold for resonant behavior

\[
|h| < 2\epsilon \gamma k_x \iff \frac{|h|}{\Omega} < \epsilon \left( \frac{k_x^2}{2} + \left( \frac{k_y}{L_y} \right)^2 \right)^2.
\]

For this uncoupled, quasi-resonant situation, the resonant mode satisfies a Mathieu equation (see Eq. (64)). The threshold we obtained in Eq. (64) coincides with the one obtained for the Mathieu equation using a different method.

As a second example, let us consider the case of two coupled modes, say modes \( k \) and \( j \), for which \( \omega_j = 3\omega_k \). After the change of variables (63), and defining

\[
A_j^{(n)} = e^{3i\alpha\tau/2} a_j^{(n)},
B_j^{(n)} = e^{-3i\alpha\tau/2} b_j^{(n)},
\]

the off-resonance form of Eqs. (63, 65, 66) is

\[
\frac{da_j^{(n)}}{d\tau} = -i\frac{\alpha}{2} a_j^{(n)} + \gamma \left(-k_x b_k^{(n)} + (-1)^{j_x+k_x} j_x d_j^{(n)} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{db_k^{(n)}}{d\tau} = i\frac{\alpha}{2} b_k^{(n)} + \gamma \left(-k_x a_k^{(n)} + (-1)^{j_x+k_x} j_x b_j^{(n)} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{da_j^{(n)}}{d\tau} = -3i\frac{\alpha}{2} a_j^{(n)} - (-1)^{j_x+k_x} \frac{\gamma j_x}{3} a_j^{(n)},
\]

\[
\frac{db_k^{(n)}}{d\tau} = 3i\frac{\alpha}{2} b_j^{(n)} - (-1)^{j_x+k_x} \frac{\gamma j_x}{3} b_j^{(n)},
\]

where we have neglected terms proportional to \( h/\omega_k = O(\epsilon) \) in the r.h.s., since they would introduce only small corrections to the eigenvalues and thresholds. Just as in subsection A, we can rewrite these equations in matricial form, and find the solution by diagonalizing such a matrix. The corresponding eigenvalues are

\[
\lambda = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{-U \pm \sqrt{V}},
\]

where \( U = \frac{5\alpha^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{3} [2j_x^2 - 3k_x^2] \) is a positive number (see Eq. (67)), and

\[
V = 4\alpha^4 + 4\alpha^2 \gamma^2 (k_x^2 + \frac{4}{3} j_x^2) + \gamma^4 k_x^2 (k_x^2 - \frac{4}{3} j_x^2).
\]

For \( \alpha = 0 \), \( V \) is negative, so there are two eigenvalues with positive real part, which corresponds to exponential growth. As \( |\alpha| \) grows, \( V \) will eventually become positive, all eigenvalues are pure imaginary for \( \sqrt{V} < U \), and no exponential growth is obtained. The condition for exponential growth is \( V < 0 \), which again sets a threshold for the off-resonance frequency difference \( h \),

\[
|h| < \epsilon \gamma \sqrt{-d_1 + \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2}},
\]

with

\[
d_1 = (k_x^2 + \frac{4}{3} j_x^2) > 0,
\]

\[
d_2 = k_x^2 (\frac{4}{3} j_x^2 - k_x^2) > 0.
\]

For the example of the cubic cavity discussed in the previous subsection, the threshold is \( |h| < 0.68 \epsilon \gamma \), that is \( |h|/\Omega < 0.06 \epsilon \).
V. DYNAMICAL CASIMIR EFFECT AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

Up to this point we have assumed that the field was in the in-vacuum state $T = 0$ (see, for example, Eq. (14)). It is well known that the temperature contribution can dominate the pure vacuum effect when computing the static Casimir force [20]. Then, it is expected that temperature plays an important role in the dynamical Casimir effect as well. In what follows we shall derive an expression for the number of created photons inside the cavity equivalent to Eq. (14), but now assuming an initial state in equilibrium at finite temperature. After that, we will apply the obtained result to the resonant vibrating cavity.

We adopt the scheme of scalar field quantization developed in section II. For $t < 0$ the wall is at rest, so we assume the system to be at thermal equilibrium at finite temperature $T = 1/\beta$. The state of the system is described by a statistical operator $\rho$ which does not evolve in time. We expand this operator in Fock states of the field at $t < 0$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n_{k1} \geq 0} \sum_{n_{k2} \geq 0} \ldots e^{-\beta \sum_k \left( \omega_{k} \frac{1}{2} \right) E_k} |n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, \ldots \rangle \langle n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, \ldots|,$$

(75)

where $E_{k_i} = \omega_{k_i}$ and the normalization factor is given by

$$Z = \sum_{n_{k1} \geq 0} \sum_{n_{k2} \geq 0} \ldots e^{-\beta \sum_k \left( \omega_{k} \frac{1}{2} \right) E_k}.$$

(76)

At $t = 0$ the wall begins to oscillate and the system will no longer remain at thermal equilibrium. Following the steps given in section II we assume that the wall stops at $t = t_{\text{final}}$. In that case, the number of photons in the $k$-mode is the average value of $\hat{a}^\dagger_{k} \hat{a}^\dagger_{k}$ with respect to the initial state $\rho$

$$\langle N_k \rangle_\rho = \text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{k} \hat{a}^\dagger_{k} \hat{a}^\dagger_{k} \hat{a}_{k}^\dagger_{k}) = \langle N_k \rangle_{T = 0} + \sum_n \left( |\beta_{nk}|^2 + |\alpha_{nk}|^2 \right) \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_n} - 1}.$$

(77)

Using Eq. (11) we can write this expression in terms of $\hat{a}^\dagger_{n}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger_{j}$

$$\text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{k} \hat{a}^\dagger_{k}) = \sum_{n,j} \beta_{nk} \alpha_{j k} \text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}) + \beta_{nk} \beta_{j k} \text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}) + \alpha_{nk} \alpha_{j k} \text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}) + \alpha_{nk} \beta_{j k} \text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}).$$

(80)

With the help of Eqs. (75) and (76) it is a straightforward calculation to find that

$$\text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}) = \text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}) = 0 = \text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}) = 0.$$

(79)

$$\text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_n} - 1} \delta_{nj} = \text{Tr}(\rho \hat{a}^\dagger_{n} \hat{a}^\dagger_{j}) - 1.$$

(80)

Inserting this into Eqs. (78) and (77) we arrive at

$$\langle N_k \rangle_\rho = \sum_n |\beta_{nk}|^2 + \sum_n (|\beta_{nk}|^2 + |\alpha_{nk}|^2) \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_n} - 1}.$$

(81)

Finally, using Eqs. (13) and (14) we get

$$\langle N_k \rangle_\rho = \langle N_k \rangle_{T = 0} + \sum_n \left( |B_{nk}^{(n)}|^2 + |A_{nk}^{(n)}|^2 \right) \frac{2\omega_k}{e^{\beta E_n} - 1}.$$

(82)

Let us now apply the results obtained in section IV for the vibrating cavity to the case in which the field is initially at thermal equilibrium. In the parametric resonance case without coupling the Bogoliubov coefficients are diagonal (see Eqs. (12) and (13)), so Eq. (82) can be reduced to

$$\langle N_k \rangle_\rho = \langle N_k \rangle_{T = 0} \left( 1 + 2 \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_k} - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{e^{\beta E_k} - 1}.$$

(83)

with $\langle N_k \rangle_{T = 0}$ given by Eq. (14). We see that the effect of the temperature is to enhance the amount of created photons in the pure vacuum case by a thermal distribution factor. Note that the second term in expression (83) corresponds to the average number of photons, in the mode $k$, present in the cavity when $t < 0$. The same result was obtained in
Ref. [12] for the fundamental mode of a cubic cavity using a different approach. However, we have seen that in this case the Bogoliubov coefficients are not diagonal, due to the coupling between the fundamental mode $k = (1, 1, 1)$ and the mode $j = (5, 1, 1)$. With the help of Eqs. (59), (60), (51), and (62) it is easy to obtain the number of photons present in the fundamental mode after the wall stops

$$
\langle N_k \rangle_{\rho} = \langle N_k \rangle_{T=0}(1 + 2n_k) - 2\sin^2(2.56\tau)\cosh^2(0.45\tau)(n_k - n_j) - \sin^2(2.56\tau)(n_k + n_j) + n_k, \tag{84}
$$

where $n_k = 1/(e^{\beta E_k} - 1)$ is the Bose mean occupation number for $t < 0$. For the mode $j$ we find

$$
\langle N_j \rangle_{\rho} = \langle N_j \rangle_{T=0}(1 + 2n_j) - 2\sin^2(2.56\tau)\cosh^2(0.45\tau)(n_j - n_k) - \sin^2(2.56\tau)(n_j + n_k) + n_j. \tag{85}
$$

Again, we obtain that the effect of the temperature is to increase the pure vacuum case with thermal factors now depending on $t$. For $\tau_f \geq 1$ we have $\langle N_k \rangle_{T=0} = \langle N_j \rangle_{T=0}$ (see Eq. 56). Therefore the total number of photons created inside the cavity becomes

$$
\langle N_{total} \rangle_{\rho} \equiv (1 + n_k + n_j)\langle N_{total} \rangle_{T=0}. \tag{86}
$$

For a cubic cavity of size $L = 1$ cm at room temperature $T \approx 290$ K, we obtain that the total number of created photons is approximately 300 times that of pure vacuum ($T = 0$).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have calculated the photon production inside a three dimensional oscillating cavity, using MSA to deal with the resonant effects. We have taken into account that, even though the spectrum of the cavity is non equidistant, the different modes may be coupled, and this coupling affects the rate of photon creation.

We have found resonant effects when the external frequency is equal to the sum of the frequencies of two unperturbed modes $\Omega = \omega_s + \omega_p$. When $\omega_s \neq \omega_p$, the number of photons in both modes grows exponentially. When $\Omega = 2\omega_k$, the usual “parametric resonance case”, the number of photons in the mode $k$ also grows exponentially, along with the number of photons of other modes coupled to $k$. When the mode $k$ is coupled to one mode, the rate of photon creation decreases by a factor 2 with respect to the uncoupled case.

We have also analyzed slightly off-resonance situations. Using an extension of the MSA we showed that the number of photons in the relevant modes also increases exponentially if certain threshold conditions are satisfied. These conditions imply that the external frequency should be almost equal to the resonant frequency.

As an important example, we have described in detail the case of a cubic cavity. The fundamental mode $(1, 1, 1)$ is coupled to the mode $(5, 1, 1)$ when the external frequency is $\Omega = 2\omega_{(1,1)}$. The number of photons created in both modes grows as $e^{0.9\tau}$. Neglecting the mode coupling, one would erroneously conclude that the number of photons in the mode $(1, 1, 1)$ grows as $e^{1.8\tau}$, and that there is no exponential growth for the mode $(5, 1, 1)$. The mode coupling in three dimensional cavities has not been taken into account in the previous literature.

We have also computed the enhancement of the dynamical Casimir effect for an initial thermal state. The main result is contained in Eq. (52). Only when the Bogoliubov coefficients are approximately diagonal one recovers the usual result, i.e. the number of photons created in a given mode at temperature $T$ is the $T = 0$ result times the thermal distribution factor.

For simplicity, we have considered a quantum scalar field. The generalization to the case of an electromagnetic field is not completely straightforward. We state here the main results, the details will be described in a future work. Assuming that the potential vector satisfies the gauge condition $\nabla \cdot A = 0$, the Maxwell equations read $\square A = 0$. The boundary conditions are the usual (perfect conductors) on the static walls. On the moving mirror, these boundary conditions must be imposed in a Lorentz frame in which the mirror is instantaneously at rest (see Eq. (14)). This implies that, at $x = L_x(t)$, the potential vector must satisfy $A_p(t, L_x(t), y, z) = A_z(t, L_x(t), y, z) = 0$.

As for the case of the scalar field, one can expand the potential vector in an instantaneous basis, and use MSA to deal with the secular terms. The resonant conditions coincide with Eqs. (20), (21) in the present paper. The differential equations for the (now polarization dependent) Bogoliubov coefficients are different for the transverse electric TE and transverse magnetic TM cases. However, it can be shown that, in the parametric resonant case, the rate of photon production in both polarizations TE and TM is the same and coincides with the rate for the scalar field computed in this paper.
Finally, we would like to comment briefly about the possibility of observing experimentally the dynamical Casimir effect. Considering cavities of dimensions of the order of 1cm, the external frequency should be at least 1GHz in order to have resonant photon creation. This is not trivial, the upper limit being hopefully around 100MHz with the present techniques. Other serious technical problem is that, as already mentioned, in order to have resonance the external frequency should be tuned with high accuracy to the resonant frequency. Although extremely difficult, an experimental verification of the photon production seems not completely unrealistic.
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