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Abstract. The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is expected to provide proton-proton collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 14 TeV, yielding millions of top quark events. The top-physics potential of the two general purpose experiments, ATLAS and CMS, is discussed according to state-of-the-art simulation of both physics and detectors. An overview is given of the most important results with emphasis on the expected improvements in our understanding of physics connected to the top quark.
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PROTON COLLISIONS AT THE LHC

The Large Hadron Collider or LHC is to become operational after the summer of 2007. The proton-proton accelerator will provide collisions at 14 TeV with a luminosity starting at $2 \cdot 10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ up to $10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ in a later stage. This will result in an integrated luminosity of about $10\text{fb}^{-1}$ per year.

Two general purpose detectors, ATLAS and CMS, will collect the final state events of from head-on proton-proton collisions taking place every 25 ns. This defines challenging constraints on the trigger systems of the experiments. In this dense particle environment both detector designs are optimized with respect to the performance of for example vertex reconstruction, track momentum measurement up to high energies and calorimetry segmentation for jet reconstruction. Therefore the LHC experiment and its detectors offer perfect settings to study the top quarks produced in the proton collisions.

The following sections describe the physics results in the domain of top quark physics one can potentially achieve with this experiment. It provides both a data set to pin down the Standard Model measurements connected to this interesting fermion and, complementary, a unique window to new physics. The increase in collision energy with respect to the Tevatron accelerator provides a natural decrease of background processes relative to top quark signal processes.

The potential of the LHC data is been determined via simulated events reflecting the state-of-art knowledge of our detector performance. Usually the leading-order PYTHIA generator has been used, while for some specific studies dedicated generators like AlpGen or CompHEP have been applied. Next-to-leading order corrections have been accounted for where needed. Because the detector responds has been simulated with GEANT-4, major data-challenge efforts have been made to produce dedicated simulation. A number of pile-up collisions are added as minimum bias events with a Poissonian expected value reflecting the low luminosity settings of the accelerator, namely $2 \cdot 10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$. The information concerning the reconstruction of the general physics objects can be found on the respective webpages of the experiments: [http://atlas.web.cern.ch](http://atlas.web.cern.ch) and [http://cms.cern.ch/iCMS](http://cms.cern.ch/iCMS).

CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS

According to the Standard Model the dominant top quark production is either in pairs or single in proton collisions. The top quark pair production has already been observed and measured at a collision energy of 1.8-1.96 TeV at the Tevatron, while the single top quark production is yet to be discovered. The top quark has a branching ratio of about 100% to decay as $t \rightarrow bW$. Therefore its decay channels are defined by the decay channels of the W boson, neglecting QCD corrections this is $\text{BR}(W \rightarrow l\nu) = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\text{BR}(W \rightarrow q\bar{q}) = \frac{2}{7}$. 
Top Quark Pair production

The next-to-leading order cross section for top quark pair production in proton collisions at 14 TeV is calculated to be 833 pb of which some references are given in [1]. This would result in more than 8 million \( pp \to t\bar{t} \) events per year in the low luminosity settings of the experiment or about 1 such event per second to be compared with about 10 per day at the Tevatron. Contrary to the Tevatron proton-antiproton collisions at 1.96 TeV at the LHC the production mechanism is with 87% dominated by gluon fusion.

The selection of the events in the three categories of \( t\bar{t} \) decay channels is based on the reconstruction and calibration of jets, b-tagging algorithms and for the leptonic decays on the isolation of the lepton. Each channel has different trigger requirements and different dominating backgrounds. Applying both kinematic cuts on the reconstructed objects and topological cuts, the following efficiencies \( \varepsilon \) and signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) are reaches:

- Fully hadronic channel (\( t\bar{t} \to bq\bar{q}Q\bar{Q} \): 3.7M events per 10 fb\(^{-1}\)) the dominating background are the QCD multi-jet events from general 2 \( \to \) 2 processes with radiation, for a S/N ratio of 3.7 and value \( \varepsilon \approx 2.7\% \) was reached from the fast simulation of ATLAS [2].
- Semi-leptonic channel (\( t\bar{t} \to bq\bar{q}l\nu_l \); 2.5M events (l=e,\( \mu \)) per 10 fb\(^{-1}\)): the dominating background are the W+jet events, for a S/N ratio of about 27 a value of \( \varepsilon \approx 6.3\% \) was reached from the full simulation of CMS [3,4].
- Fully lepton channel (\( t\bar{t} \to bl\nu_l \bar{b}l\nu_l \); 0.4M events (l=e,\( \mu \)) per 10 fb\(^{-1}\)): the Drell-Yan process and the Z+jet events are the dominating backgrounds which can be reduced to a S/N ratio of about 5 for an efficiency of \( \varepsilon \approx 5\% \) [2,5].

From the selected signal and background events in the simulation an estimate was made of the precision which can be obtained on the cross section with an integrated luminosity of 10 fb\(^{-1}\). Several systematic uncertainties are taken into account, amongst other they are related to the pile-up, the jet energy scale calibration, the radiation effects, the b-tagging algorithms and for the leptonic decays on the isolation of the lepton. Each channel has different trigger requirements and different dominating backgrounds. Applying both kinematic cuts on the reconstructed objects and topological cuts, the following efficiencies \( \varepsilon \) and signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) are reaches:

- Fully hadronic channel (\( t\bar{t} \to bq\bar{q}Q\bar{Q} \): 3.7M events per 10 fb\(^{-1}\)) the dominating background are the QCD multi-jet events from general 2 \( \to \) 2 processes with radiation, for a S/N ratio of 3.7 and value \( \varepsilon \approx 2.7\% \) was reached from the fast simulation of ATLAS [2].
- Semi-leptonic channel (\( t\bar{t} \to bq\bar{q}l\nu_l \); 2.5M events (l=e,\( \mu \)) per 10 fb\(^{-1}\)) the dominating background are the W+jet events, for a S/N ratio of about 27 a value of \( \varepsilon \approx 6.3\% \) was reached from the full simulation of CMS [3,4].
- Fully lepton channel (\( t\bar{t} \to bl\nu_l \bar{b}l\nu_l \); 0.4M events (l=e,\( \mu \)) per 10 fb\(^{-1}\)): the Drell-Yan process and the Z+jet events are the dominating backgrounds which can be reduced to a S/N ratio of about 5 for an efficiency of \( \varepsilon \approx 5\% \) [2,5].

The total next-to-leading order cross section for single top and anti-top production is 373 pb at the LHC of which some references are given in [8]. In this total cross section the fraction from top and anti-top is not equal. The events can be produced in three channels:

- s-channel: \( \sigma^{NLO}(t) = 6.6 \text{ pb} \) and \( \sigma^{NLO}(\bar{t}) = 4.1 \text{ pb} \);
- t-channel: \( \sigma^{NLO}(t) = 153 \text{ pb} \) and \( \sigma^{NLO}(\bar{t}) = 90 \text{ pb} \);
- associated tW-channel: \( \sigma^{NLO}(t) = 60 \text{ pb} \) and \( \sigma^{NLO}(\bar{t}) = 60 \text{ pb} \).

This is a significant increase compared to the cross section of the same processes at the Tevatron, while the backgrounds like W+jets do not increase much from Tevatron to LHC settings. Each channel is sensitive to different signals of new physics: the s-channel to heavy W’ bosons, the t-channel to Flavour-Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC) and the tW-channel to charged Higgs bosons.
FIGURE 1. Left: Distribution of the mass of the hadronic decaying top quark reconstructed in the CMS detector for the selected events after applying the kinematic fit. The contribution of all relevant background processes is shown. Right: Comparison of the reconstructed and fitted top quark mass distribution from CMS using only correct jet combinations.

The event selections depend on the channel, but are based on missing transverse momentum, b-tagging and topological cuts reflecting the expected kinematical topology of the reconstructed event. In many cases control regions for the background level estimation are developed reducing the overall systematic uncertainty due to the uncertainty on the background cross section. The following potential results are obtained in the cross sections [9, 10]:

- t-channel: $\frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma} = 2.7 (\text{stat}) \pm 8.1 (\text{syst}) \pm 3 (lumi)\%$ (CMS for 10 fb$^{-1}$);
- s-channel: $\frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma} = 18 (\text{stat}) \pm 31 (\text{syst}) \pm 3 (lumi)\%$ (CMS for 10 fb$^{-1}$);
- s-channel: $\frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma} = 12 (\text{stat}) \pm 10.1 (\text{syst.exp}) \pm 8 (bck.theo) \pm 5 (lumi)\%$ (ATLAS for 30 fb$^{-1}$).

For the tW-channel CMS obtains in the di-lepton and single-lepton decay channel a combined sensitivity of 6.4$\sigma$ at 10 fb$^{-1}$ for observing the process. The limiting factor being the systematic uncertainties due to the jet energy scale and the b-tagging knowledge, both can be improved when a better understanding of the true detector performance is reached.

The measurement of the cross sections are directly related to the $|V_{tb}|$ matrix element in the CKM matrix. In this measurement the s-channel is preferred because the t-channel is dominated by uncertainties from the parton density functions.

**TOP QUARK MASS**

One of the most important measurements to be performed at the LHC amongst all Standard Model measurements [11] is a precision measurement of the mass of the top quark. This will happen from the top quark pair events in which after the event selection several challenges remain: the different jet combinations, the influence of the background and the missing neutrino’s. The selection of the correct jet pairing can be enhanced by examining on an event-by-event basis the kinematic topology of the selected event. Several topological observables which characterize a jet combination can be combined [4], for example the angle between the b jet in the 3-jet system reflecting the top quark and the lepton [2]. Kinematic fits can be applied forcing the W boson mass to the 2-jet system from its decay [12]. This reduces the influence of the jet energy scale calibration [2, 4] and increases the statistical power of the event, see Figure 1.

In [4] advanced statistical inference techniques are applied to extract the top quark mass from the selected events. Following the LEP and Tevatron expertise [13, 14] convolution methods are introduced which weight the reconstructed ideogram reflecting all kinematic information in the event with the expected theoretical probability density function which are basically Breit-Wigner functions. This results in a likelihood per event which can be weighted according
to the observed topology in the event enhancing for example signal rather than background events or correct jet combinations rather than wrong ones.

It is shown in [3] that in the so-called golden channel ($t\bar{t} \rightarrow b\bar{q}d\bar{q}μν$) a total uncertainty of 1 GeV/c² could be reached with 10 fb⁻¹ of data. The uncertainty is totally dominated by systematic uncertainties due to the jet energy scale calibration. To reach the benchmark precision of 1 GeV/c² on the top quark mass, a 1.5% uncertainty is to be reached on the b jet energy scale calibration. The light quark jets can be calibrated directly from the W boson mass of 1 GeV/c from uncertainties related to the definition of the reconstructed jets. Most of the systematic uncertainties are however related to the theoretical modeling of the events. They add up to about 1.5 GeV/c², triggering that more work is needed to understand these phenomena.

Work is also needed to determine the correlation between the diverse range of methods and the different channels explored at the LHC to extract the top quark mass. With a proper understanding of the detector performance a precision on the top quark mass of 1 GeV/c² is however feasible.

### SPIN CORRELATIONS

The top quark does not lose its spin information before it is decaying. Therefore measurements can be performed to understand the spin correlations between both top quarks in the pair produced events. They have been implemented in TopRex for example [18]. The measurement of possible asymmetries $A$ is performed from the double differential distribution of the decay angle $\theta$:

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{d^2N}{dcos\theta/dcos\theta_q} = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \kappa_l \kappa_q cos\theta cos\theta_q)$$

where the two observables are the angle $\theta_l$ ($\theta_q$) between the top (anti-top) direction in the $t\bar{t}$ center-of-mass frame and the lepton (quark) direction of flight in the top (anti-top) rest frame. Several combinations of spin analyzers are studies, but the spin analyzing power $κ$ is strongest for the lepton and least energetic quark couple [19]: $\kappa_l \kappa_q = 0.158$ according to the Standard Model prediction in next-to-leading order.

The potential for spin correlations measurements is studied both in ATLAS [20] and CMS [21]. For 10 fb⁻¹ CMS developed an measurement which results in:

- $A_{b-1} = 0.375 \pm 0.027$ (stat) $^{+0.055}_{-0.084}$ (syst)
- $A_{q-1} = 0.346 \pm 0.021$ (stat) $^{+0.026}_{-0.055}$ (syst)

with central values in good agreement with the prediction as implemented in TopRex. In ATLAS a analysis has been performed using single differential distributions of the opening angle between two spin analyzers. For 10 fb⁻¹ a sensitivity of around 5σ was obtained to observe the correlations according to the Standard Model predictions. The main systematic uncertainties are related to the parton generation (parton density functions and the $Q^2$ scale dependency), the radiation and the jet energy scale uncertainties.

### W HELICITY IN TOP QUARK DECAYS

The large top quark mass allows the W boson in the top quark decay to be longitudinally polarized. This could be confirmed by a measurement of the angular distribution $\theta$ which is the angle between the lepton in the W boson rest frame and the W boson in the top quark rest frame. The differential distribution is defined as:

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN(W \rightarrow lν)}{dcos\theta} = K \left[ f_0 m_W^2 sin^2 \theta + f_1 m_W^2 (1 - cos \theta)^2 + f_2 m_W^2 (1 + cos \theta)^2 \right]$$

where the two observables are the angle $\theta_l$ ($\theta_q$) between the top (anti-top) direction in the $t\bar{t}$ center-of-mass frame and the lepton (quark) direction of flight in the top (anti-top) rest frame. Several combinations of spin analyzers are studies, but the spin analyzing power $κ$ is strongest for the lepton and least energetic quark couple [19]: $\kappa_l \kappa_q = 0.158$ according to the Standard Model prediction in next-to-leading order.
where the Standard Model prediction of \( f_0 = \frac{m_t^2}{2m_W m_t} \approx 0.7 \) and \( f_R \approx 0 \) (when \( m_b \approx 0 \)). The potential for measuring \( f_L, f_0 \) and \( f_K \) has been determined by ATLAS for a dataset of 10 fb\(^{-1}\). They are transformed into measurements of angular asymmetries, \( A_{FB} = \frac{3}{7}(f_L - f_R) \), \( A_+ = -3\beta(f_0 + (1 + \beta)f_R) \) and \( A_- = 3\beta(f_0 + (1 + \beta)f_L) \) with \( \beta = 2^\frac{1}{2} - 1 \).

The results are deconvoluted for detector effects via simulation:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{FB} &= 0.2234 \pm 0.0035(stat) \pm 0.0130(syst) \\
A_+ &= -0.5472 \pm 0.0032(stat) \pm 0.0099(syst) \\
A_- &= 0.8387 \pm 0.0018(stat) \pm 0.0028(syst)
\end{align*}
\]

where the systematic uncertainties dominate the statistical precision. Potential confidence intervals at the 2\( \sigma \) level are obtained on the general Wtb vertex which reach significant better limits compared to the Tevatron: \(-0.14 < V_R < 0.19\), \(-0.10 < g_L < 0.07\) and \(-0.04 < g_R < 0.04\).

### SEARCHES FOR NEW PHYSICS

In many directions one can search for deviations of the Standard Model predictions in the selected top quark events. The appearance of FCNC phenomena is suppressed in the Standard Model with a factor \( 10^{-12} \), while in extensions of the Standard Model (like in supersymmetric models) they could be less suppressed to the level of only \( 10^{-4} \). Three couplings are studied in \([22]\): \( t \rightarrow qZ \), \( t \rightarrow q'\gamma \) and \( t \rightarrow qg \). For each of the channels a topological likelihood variable is constructed to identify the signal above the Standard Model background. The results are interpreted as potential limits on the branching ratios of the decays. Already at 10 fb\(^{-1}\) they reach a level which is two orders of magnitude better (lower) than the current Tevatron extrapolated predictions for 2 fb\(^{-1}\).

Also in the domain of flavour physics the potential of a search for like-sign top quark pairs and other FCNC signals have been explored by CMS \([23]\). From top- and technipions in topcolor assisted Technicolor models or gluino pair production in the MSSM, a signal could be created which results in top quark pairs with the same electric charge. Hence in the di-lepton decay channel this would be visible by measuring the charge of the reconstructed leptons. When using a ratio \( R \) of selected events with the same versus different lepton charges, most of the systematic uncertainties cancel and the new physics models can be probed. With an integrated luminosity of 30 fb\(^{-1}\) a cross section of like-sign \( pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}(\ell\bar{\ell}) \) events of 1 pb becomes visible as a 5\( \sigma \) effect on this ratio \( R \).

The reconstructed invariant mass distribution of the \( t\bar{t} \) system is an important window to new physics. Technicolor and supersymmetry based models can introduce a resonance in this distribution. For example MSSM one-loop electroweak corrections give a significant distortion in the shape of this distribution. For heavy Higgs \( A^0 \) masses from the \( t\bar{t} \) production threshold of about 350 GeV/c\(^2\) up to about 500 GeV/c\(^2\) this distortion could be visible as it is much larger than the Standard Model corrections \([24]\).

### COMMISSIONING WITH TOP QUARKS

Top quark events at the LHC are very complex and their reconstruction is based on almost each crucial aspect of the detector. Therefore top quark events are already from the start-up of the experiment perfect for the commissioning of the detector and the physics performance of the new detectors. The measurement of the b-tagging efficiency \([6]\) and the measurement of the jet energy scale calibration factors \([15]\) can be performed on the events from the first weeks of data taking. It is shown that with an integrated luminosity of about 300 pb\(^{-1}\) the jet energy scale can be extracted using the W boson mass constraint in the event to a precision of better than 1%. The main challenge being the control of the pile-up collisions, for which vertex counting methods can be envisaged.

In general, a rediscovery of the top quark peak at the LHC would be one of the first milestones in the physics commissioning of the detector performance \([25]\). The top quark pair production cross section will be one of the first relevant measurements to be performed. It is shown that in a few hours of data taking and without b-tagging the W boson and the top quark mass peaks are visible above the background. With the first day of running (30pb\(^{-1}\)) the top quark mass could be measured to a precision of 3 GeV/c\(^2\) and the W boson mass to 1 GeV/c\(^2\). This will allow for a first estimation of the jet energy scale calibration corrections and a first performance test of the b-tagging algorithms. The main challenge is to select a pure top quark sample without the application of b-tagging identification algorithms.
CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

It is demonstrated with the use of state-of-art physics and detector simulation that the LHC proton collision data opens a new area of top quark physics. Already from the data collected in the first weeks of the LHC experiment the top quark measurements are of interest in the physics commissioning of the individual experiments. With the huge amount of statistics the production mechanisms of top quark processes, the particles properties and its decay can be studied for the first time in great detail. For most of the measurement analyses the challenge will be in the domain of the systematic uncertainties related to the detector and the soft-QCD involved in the collisions. For the analyses searching for deviations from the Standard Model predictions in the above one becomes sensitive to a wide range of physics models beyond the Standard Model, an area to be further explored in the high luminosity settings of the LHC experiment.
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