Interactions between nascent proteins and the ribosome surface inhibit co-translational folding
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Most proteins begin to fold during biosynthesis on the ribosome. It has been suggested that interactions between the emerging polypeptide and the ribosome surface might allow the ribosome itself to modulate co-translational folding. Here we combine protein engineering and NMR spectroscopy to characterize a series of interactions between the ribosome surface and unfolded nascent chains of the immunoglobulin-like FLN5 filamin domain. The strongest interactions are found for a C-terminal segment that is essential for folding, and we demonstrate quantitative agreement between the strength of this interaction and the energetics of the co-translational folding process itself. Mutations in this region that reduce the extent of binding result in a shift in the co-translational folding equilibrium towards the native state. Our results therefore demonstrate that a competition between folding and binding provides a simple, dynamic mechanism for the modulation of co-translational folding by the ribosome.

In the cell, most nascent polypeptide chains begin to fold during biosynthesis. In many cases, co-translational folding increases the ability of a protein to efficiently attain its native structure. This may in part be due to the ribosome modulating the conformational ensembles sampled by nascent chains. The ribosome constrains disordered chains close to its charged surface, and can promote the early formation of compact states during co-translational folding. In general, nascent chains have been found to be destabilized when they are bound to the ribosome. This could be linked to interactions between the disordered nascent chain and the ribosome surface, some of which bear a partial electrostatic character. Ribosome–nascent chain interactions have also been suggested to attenuate co-translational folding rates, and to compete with co-translational assembly between a nascent chain and its binding partner. Collectively, these studies point clearly towards a role for the ribosome in shaping the onset of co-translational folding. However, due in large part to the technical difficulty of measuring the intramolecular equilibria associated with ribosome–nascent chain interactions, a link between the energetics of ribosome interactions and co-translational folding outcomes has not yet been established in quantitative terms.

In this article we study the co-translational folding of FLN5, a 105 residue immunoglobulin-like domain from the tandem repeat protein filamin (Fig. 1a), using SecM-arrested ribosome–nascent chain complexes (RNCs) in which FLN5 is tethered to the ribosome via variable lengths of the following domain, FLN6 (Fig. 1b). Measurements of the accessibility of a C-terminal cysteine to covalent modification by PEG-maleimide (PEGylation) showed that the entire FLN5 domain emerges beyond the ribosome exit tunnel for linkers comprising at least 31 residues (FLN5+31). However, NMR observations demonstrated that FLN5 remains partially unfolded until the linker extends beyond 42 residues. This offset between the emergence of FLN5 and its folding suggests that the ribosome has a destabilizing effect on co-translational folding, which we speculated could be due to interactions between the unfolded nascent chain and the ribosome surface. We use NMR spectroscopy together with protein engineering, molecular dynamics simulations and PEGylation measurements of nascent chain stability to identify a series of interaction sites on the nascent chain of varying affinities, and their impact on co-translational folding.

Results

Identification of regions of FLN5 RNCs interacting with the ribosome surface. Since the FLN5+31 RNC represents the first point during protein biosynthesis when the entire sequence is available for folding, we have used this biosynthetic snapshot as a starting point to examine how the ribosome might modulate the dynamic properties of a nascent chain. Previously, a comparison of the 2D 1H,15N NMR correlation spectrum of a FLN5+31 RNC against an isolated unfolded variant, Y719E, revealed site-selective line broadening that we interpreted as evidence of such interactions (Fig. 1c,d). From this, we have identified three main regions for investigation here. First, two clusters of aromatic residues, located within the core of the folded domain (Fig. 1a), were identified as displaying substantial broadening; these are referred to here as ‘F3’ (residues F665–F675) and ‘Y3’ (residues Y715–Y727) sites (Fig. 1d, green). Second, strong line broadenings were observed in the mildly basic ‘C-terminal’ region of FLN5 (residues N728–C747, the ‘C-terminal segment’) (Fig. 1d, red). These observations correlate well with measurements of the interaction between individual amino acids and purified 70S ribosomes, which are strongest for aromatic and basic side chains (Fig. 1e and Extended Data Fig. 1). Third, resonances of the FLN6 tether are broadened to varying extents across FLN5 RNCs of increasing lengths, which, in part, relates to its occlusion within the ribosomal exit tunnel (Fig. 1d, cyan).

Interactions of F3, and Y3 aromatic clusters with the ribosome surface. We prepared a series of constructs, termed F3A30, A3Y3.
Articles

To measure the strength of these aromatic interactions, we developed and acquired sensitivity-optimized measurements of the transverse cross-correlated relaxation (CCR) rate (Extended Data Fig. 4). Interactions of nascent chain segments with the ribosome surface will result in transferred relaxation, and thus an increase in the CCR rate, $\Delta \tau_{\text{CCR}}$, relative to the isolated unfolded state. The increase, $\Delta \tau_{\text{CCR}} = p_{\text{CCR}} \tau_{\text{CCR}}^\text{bound}$, is proportional to the bound fraction $p_{\text{CCR}}$, and to the CCR rate of the bound state, $\tau_{\text{CCR}}^\text{bound}$. Based on the known rotational correlation time ($\tau_{\text{CCR}}$) of the ribosome $^{16}$, $\eta_{\text{CCR}}^\text{bound}$ is estimated to be $\sim 7,000 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

CCR measurements were acquired for FLN5+31 wt, F$_3$A$_3$, A$_3$Y$_3$, and A$_3$A$_3$ RNCs, and the corresponding isolated proteins (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4). In general, we observed that increased CCR rates were associated with reduced resonance intensities. In the wt RNCs, increases in $\eta_{\text{CCR}}$ of $\sim 15 \text{ s}^{-1}$ were measured around the aromatic F$_3$ cluster. Within the Y$_3$ site, residues beyond T714 were broadened beyond detection in wt and A$_3$Y$_3$ RNCs, but examination of flanking residues indicates that $\eta_{\text{CCR}}$ probably increases substantially beyond 15 $\text{ s}^{-1}$. Increased relaxation was also observed for residues at the N terminus, which we ascribe to an interaction of the 6xHis tag as previously observed in α-synuclein RNCs$^{11}$.

We observe some evidence of cooperativity between the F$_3$ and Y$_3$ clusters, both by comparison of resonance intensities and of CCR rates: the elimination of one cluster leads to a small reduction in the interaction of the neighbouring cluster (Fig. 2b,d). However, in quantitative terms the extent of interaction is weak in all cases. Within the F$_3$ cluster, observed increases in CCR rates correspond to ribosome-bound nascent chain populations of $\sim 0.1\%$ (Fig. 2d). While resonances for the Y$_3$ cluster were strongly broadened in wt and A$_3$Y$_3$, only allowing for a partial quantitation of CCR rates, the ribosome-bound population between residues 708 and 716 also neared $\sim 0.1\%$. Although these estimates assume a rigid bound state, even substantial flexibility that results in an order of magnitude decrease in $\eta_{\text{CCR}}$ would indicate a bound population of only a few percent. Such weak interactions within these regions are not sufficient to perturb the co-translational folding process.

Interaction of the C-terminal segment with the ribosome surface. We next sought to investigate the interaction of the C-terminal segment (N728–C747) (Fig. 1d). The elimination of the Y$_3$ cluster in the F$_3$A$_3$, A$_3$Y$_3$, and A$_3$A$_3$ variants resulted in newly observable resonances flanking this segment. Careful inspection revealed that small chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) were observed for these resonances (V717–G725, encompassing part of the Y$_3$ region), relative to the isolated protein, and that their magnitude increased towards the (unobserved) ribosome-binding segment (Fig. 3a,b and Extended Data Fig. 5). Similarly, CSPs were observed at the C-terminal end of the binding segment between I748 and A751. Focusing on the A$_3$A$_3$, variant, we found that these CSPs were substantially reduced at high ionic strength (Fig. 3c), suggesting that they are associated with an interaction mediated at least in part by an electrostatic contribution.

We then explored the effect of the RNC linker length on the observed CSPs. We hypothesized that a shorter RNC would experience a higher effective ribosome concentration$^{20}$ and therefore modulate the extent of binding. Indeed, as the linker length is increased from 26 to 110 amino acids, CSPs were observed to decrease (Fig. 3d) while resonance intensities increased (Extended Data Fig. 5). The CSPs at different lengths were collinear, indicating that changes in the H and 15N chemical shifts were strongly correlated (Fig. 3d). These collinear, correlated CSPs are an unambiguous indication that the C-terminal segment is rapidly exchanging between a ribosome-bound and free state, such that the observed chemical shift reflects a population-weighted average of unbound and bound states$^{22}$. Resonances of the C-terminal residues I749–A751 showed deviations from collinearity at short linker lengths, which we attribute to proximity to the exit tunnel. For this reason, they have been excluded from further analysis. Together, these observations provide compelling evidence for a strong ribosome interaction involving nascent chain residues between Y727 and C747.

Alanine mutations within the F$_3$ and Y$_3$ clusters did not substantially perturb the observed C-terminal CSPs, indicating that there is no detectable cooperativity between interactions of these aromatic clusters and the C-terminal segment (Extended Data Fig. 5). Furthermore, no modulations in chemical shifts or intensities were observed upon varying magnetic field strength (Extended Data Fig. 5). Given the fast chemical exchange behaviour observed, we can infer
We investigated the influence of the FLN6 linker on FLN5–ribosome interaction sites on the ribosome surface. Having identified a ribosome interaction site (Fig. 1e), given the reduced CSPs observed at increased ionic strength (Fig. 3c). To explore this, we designed a FLN5 construct, in which six residues (surface-exposed within the folded state) were replaced with acidic glutamate residues, thus reversing the net charge within this segment from +1 to −6 (Figs. 1a and 4a). The E6 mutations were found to greatly reduce the magnitude of CSPs in the C-terminal segment (Fig. 4b), indicating that these mutations reduce the affinity of the segment for the ribosome surface. However, some CSPs and line broadening persist (Extended Data Fig. 7), suggesting that the binding interaction of this region is not abrogated completely. Similar reductions in CSPs were also observed between FLN5+31 wt and E6 RNCs, although due to the increased line broadening fewer resonances adjacent to the interacting segment could be resolved (Extended Data Fig. 7).

To quantify the effect of the E6 mutations on the binding interaction, we have analysed further the observed CSPs, which reflect a population-weighted average of unbound and bound states. These residues provide a convenient ‘ruler’ to compare the interactions of different nascent chains with the ribosome. However, to determine the absolute amount of binding, the chemical shift of the fully bound state must be determined. To achieve this, we carried out a global analysis of CSPs observed in the A3A3 and A3A3E6 RNC variants across multiple linker lengths (Fig. 4c). Two assumptions were required for this analysis. First, while the strength of interactions in these variants clearly varies as a function of linker length, we assume that the difference in free energy of binding between variants, ΔΔG
\text{ASS–ASSE6}

(\text{U}-\text{U}_{\text{bound}}) (where \text{U}_{\text{free}} and \text{U}_{\text{bound}} represent unfolded states with the C-terminal segment unbound and ribosome-bound, respectively), is independent of RNC length. This is equivalent to the assumption that C-terminal segments in both variants experience the same effective ribosome concentration at a given linker length, which is supported by the similar structural and dynamic properties of the A3A3 and A3A3E6 variants: no chemical shift perturbations or differences in 1H T\text{rel} relaxation rates are observed beyond the immediate vicinity of the E6 mutations (Extended Data Fig. 8). Second, while unbound resonance positions vary between A3A3 and A3A3E6 RNCs due to local sequence effects, we assume that the chemical shift change upon binding, ∆δ_{bound}, is the same for both variants. Given this, the observed chemical shift perturbations of four well-resolved resonances in A3A3 and A3A3E6 RNCs were fitted to determine the chemical shift differences between free and bound states, and the difference in affinities of the two variants, ΔΔG
\text{ASS–ASSE6}

(\text{U}-\text{U}_{\text{bound}}) = 1.9 ± 0.1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} (Fig. 4d and Extended Data Fig. 8). These results indicate that at a short RNC length of 26 amino acids, 90% and 22% of the A3A3 and A3A3E6 RNCs are bound to the ribosome, respectively, then at a longer RNC length of 42 amino acids these values decreased to 60% and 7%, respectively, and by 110 amino acids, the interaction essentially disappears in the A3A3E6 variant (Fig. 4e).

Next, we used coarse-grained (CG) molecular dynamics simulations of the A3A3 and A3A3E6 RNCs to probe this interaction further, and to explore the location of nascent chain interaction sites on the ribosome surface (Fig. 4g). The strength of electrostatic interactions between the nascent chain and the ribosome surface in the CG model was calibrated using simulations of the A3A3 FLN5+42 RNC, in order to achieve ~60% binding of the C-terminal segment (730–746), as determined from our NMR observations (Fig. 4e). Simulations of other RNC lengths (±31, ±67 and ±110), and of the +42 E6 variant, were then carried out with no further adjustment of parameters. We found that this CG model accurately identified
elliptical interactions of the C-terminal segment of FLN5, which we have previously shown is essential for folding of the domain 29. A simple calculation (detailed in the Supplementary Information) relates the amount of binding within the unfolded state (pB) to its change in stability:

$$\Delta G_{U\text{free} - U} = RT \ln (1 - p_B)$$  (1)

We have used this expression, together with our measurements of interactions in unfolded RNAs of various lengths, to predict the effect on the co-translational folding equilibria of folding-competent FLN5 RNAs in the absence of the destabilizing A3A3 mutations (Fig. 4e, right-hand axis). While this calculation assumes that the A3A3 mutations themselves do not perturb the interaction, we have previously noted that the impact of E6 mutations on interactions of wt and A3A3 RNAs was similar (Extended Data Fig. 7), and that there was no detectable cooperativity between the aromatic clusters and interactions of the C-terminal segment (Extended Data Fig. 5). On this basis, we predict a destabilization of wt FLN5 RNAs by over 1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ at short linker lengths (Fig. 4e, right-hand axis). In the absence of other changes in thermodynamic stability, we also predict that the E6 variant should shift co-translational folding equilibria towards the folded state (Fig. 5a and Fig. 4e, right-hand axis).

To test the predicted effect of interactions on folding experimentally, we employed a cysteine mass-tagging approach, PEGylation 26, as a reporter of folding and co-translational folding equilibria. 2D NMR observations indicated that wt and E6 FLN5 have similar structures both in isolation and as RNAs (Extended Data Fig. 10),
Fig. 4 | Quantification and molecular modelling of interactions between the FLN5 C-terminal region and the ribosome surface. a, Design of the FLN5 E₆ variant. b, ¹H/¹⁵N SOFAST-HMQC spectra (283 K, 950 MHz) showing the E724 resonance within FLN5 A₃A₃ RNCs and FLN5 A₃A₃E₆ RNCs (linker lengths as indicated). Intensities have been rescaled for clarity. c, Equilibria underlying the joint analysis of A₃A₃ and A₃A₃E₆ nascent chain–ribosome interactions. d, Correlation plot of E724 ¹⁵N chemical shift perturbations in A₃A₃ versus A₃A₃E₆ RNCs, with linker lengths as indicated. A global fit across multiple resonances (Extended Data Fig. 7) is shown (red line) from which ΔΔG⁺31₋₃₁₋₃₁₋₃₁ is derived. e, Binding of the FLN5 C-terminal region as a function of linker length. The right-hand axis shows the predicted perturbation to the observed co-translational folding equilibrium in folding-capable RNCs (equation (2)). f, Interaction propensities of unfolded FLN5 nascent chain residues with the ribosome surface based on coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations. Markers with error bars indicate bound fractions of the C-terminal region (shaded) determined experimentally (Fig. 4e). g, A structural ensemble of the FLN5+67 nascent chain determined through molecular dynamics simulations. The nascent chain is coloured as in a, with the interacting segment highlighted in red. Ribosomal RNA and proteins are coloured white and grey, respectively. h, Contact probabilities between ribosome protein or RNA residues and the C-terminal region of the FLN5+31 A₃A₃ nascent chain, determined through coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations. i, Correlation across multiple nascent chain lengths between nascent chain–ribosome contact probabilities and the experimentally determined binding between the ribosome and the C-terminal region of FLN5 nascent chains.

and so the mutations C747V and A721C were introduced to replace the native, C-terminal cysteine with one that is unambiguously emerged beyond the exit tunnel at all linker lengths examined here, and that is protected from modification by PEG-maleimide unless the domain is unfolded (Extended Data Fig. 10). The isolated variants, FLN5 C721 V747 (the ‘pseudo-wild-type’) and FLN5 C721 V747 E₆, were reacted with PEG-maleimide in increasing concentrations of urea and the extent of protection was determined through coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations. Markers with error bars indicate bound fractions of the C-terminal region (shaded) determined experimentally (Fig. 4e).

We next subjected FLN5 and FLN5 E₆ RNCs to PEGylation following the same protocol (Fig. 5c and Extended Data Fig. 10). As ribosomes dissociate at high concentrations of urea, we restricted our analysis to measurements below 3 M urea, with the exception of FLN5+110 RNC for which data up to 3.75 M were used (Fig. 5c, dashed lines). The stabilities of FLN5+110 wt and E₆ RNCs are indistinguishable from the corresponding isolated proteins, indicating that the difference in thermodynamic stability between isolated FLN5 and FLN5 E₆ is conserved on the ribosome at long linker lengths (∆ΔG⁺110₋₁₁₀−₁₁₀−₁₁₀ = 4.0 ± 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹). However, at short linker lengths, the difference in stability between FLN5 and FLN5 E₆ was decreased (∆ΔG⁺₃₁₋₃₁−₃₁−₃₁ = 2.3 ± 0.2 kcal mol⁻¹) for FLN5+31 (Fig. 5c,d). Consistent with our hypothesis, wt nascent chains therefore become destabilized relative to E₆ under strongly interacting conditions. Based on our earlier measurements of bound populations (Fig. 4e), we can predict the change in stability relative to the isolated domains (Fig. 5d), and these predictions closely match direct observations using PEGylation (Fig. 5d). Therefore, we conclude that the C-terminal segment can indeed modulate co-translational FLN5 folding through the competition between binding and folding.

Conclusion

For many domains, co-translational folding has been reported to be destabilized on the ribosome. This effect is generally inferred to arise through interactions between emerging nascent chains and the ribosome, which have been observed for a range of different nascent chain sequences. However, a direct link between
the energetics of interactions and of co-translational folding has not previously been established. In this article we have therefore systematically examined a series of interactions between unfolded FLN5 nascent chains and the ribosome surface, in order to determine their effect on co-translational folding. While some of these interactions, between aromatic clusters and the ribosome surface, are too weak to perturb the energetics of folding substantially, we have identified a strongly interacting C-terminal segment, with over 90% bound at short linker lengths. The length of the sequestered segment (22 amino acids) is longer than the C-terminal truncation that can be tolerated by isolated FLN5 before it unfolds (12 amino acids)\(^3\), supporting the crucial role of this segment to enable native structure formation. Importantly, our analysis establishes quantitative agreement between the strength of the observed interactions and the energetics of co-translational folding itself, providing a residue-specific demonstration of the ability of the ribosome surface to directly modulate co-translational folding, effectively acting as a holdase.

A notable consequence of our analysis is that strong interactions are required to appreciably perturb the co-translational folding landscape, for example, a destabilization of 1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) requires over 80% binding (equation (2)). Such interactions were indeed observed for the C-terminal segment at short linker lengths, but the bound population decreases sharply with increasing linker length, to below 50% at linker lengths beyond 47 amino acids (Fig. 4c). This rapid, short-range effect may provide a mechanism by which the engagement of molecular chaperones with the emerging NC is regulated.

We observe that the molecular determinants of ribosome interactions, that is, positively charged and aromatic residues, are similar to those recognized by other molecule chaperones and processing complexes\(^4\). These include signal recognition particle\(^5\), the ribosome-associated chaperone trigger factor\(^6\)–\(^8\), and SecB\(^9\), both of which function as holdases for nascent polypeptide chains, and other downstream chaperones such as DnaK\(^10\). Indeed, over the past few years NMR studies have been instrumental in revealing with exquisite detail the mechanisms underlying substrate recognition and chaperone action by these molecules\(^11\)–\(^13\).

During biosynthesis, substrates emerge from the ribosome in their high-energy unfolded states, and so in contrast to chaperones that act post-translationally, interactions with holdases carry no energetic cost. This is reflected in the ATP independence of both trigger factor and SecB holdases—as well as in interactions with the ribosome surface itself. We speculate that this short-range holdase activity could have a number of functional roles: sequestration of hydrophobic segments until later residues have been synthesized; to reduce the risk of misfolding, particularly in tandem repeat proteins such as FLN\(^14\)–\(^16\); or to delay folding ahead of co-translational assembly\(^17\)–\(^19\) or the engagement of downstream chaperones such as TF and SecB, which may be involved in secretory pathways\(^20\)–\(^22\). This suggests that the ribosome is more than an inert hub that orchestrates interactions of auxiliary factors and chaperones\(^11\), and in fact is itself an active participant in the co-translational folding process. In conclusion, we demonstrate the holdase effect of the ribosome as a bespoke form of regulation over co-translational folding.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Interaction of free amino acid with empty 70S ribosomes. (a) Hα region of 1D ¹H NMR spectra of isolated amino acids (700 MHz, 283 K), showing atom assignments. (b) Representative ¹H R₂ relaxation measurements for the indicated amino acid resonances in the presence (solid lines) and absence (dashed lines) of 1µM 70S ribosomes.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Biochemical and NMR analysis of isolated aromatic variants. (a) Aromatic residues that have been mutated in this study mapped onto the PDB structure of FLN5 (PDB 1QF5). (b) POPMUSIC stability predictions of the FLN5 aromatic mutations65. (c) Western blot (anti-His tag) of an expression text of FLN5, FLN5 Y719E, FLN5 A3Y3, FLN5 F3A3 and FLN5 A3A3 proteins (L: total lysate, S: soluble lysate) and Coomassie stained SDS PAGE gel of purified FLN5 Y719E, FLN5 A3Y3, FLN5 F3A3 and FLN5 A3A3 proteins. (d–f) 1H,15N correlation spectrum (283 K) of FLN5 Y719E overlaid with that of (d) FLN5 A3Y3, (e) FLN5 F3A3 and (f) FLN5 A3A3, with assignments of the shifted resonances indicated. Combined amide chemical shift perturbations, Δδ ̅ = √(ΔδH ̅ 2 + (ΔδN/5) ̅ 2), are plotted below. The mutation sites relative to FLN5 Y719E have been indicated with star symbols on the CSP plot.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Biochemical and NMR analysis of aromatic RNC variants. (a) Anti-His western blot of FLN5+31 wt, A3Y3, F3A3 and A3A3 RNCs (10 pmol each) purified for NMR studies, in their tRNA-bound and released forms. The migration patterns on SDS page reflect those of the isolated mutant proteins (cf. Extended Data Fig. 2). (b–e) 1H,15N SOFAST-HMQC spectra (283K, 950 MHz) of (b) FLN5+31, (c) FLN5+31 A3Y3, (d) FLN5+31 F3A3 and (e) FLN5+31 A3A3 RNCs against the corresponding isolated, unfolded FLN5 variants, with assignments. (f–i) Assessment of the integrity of 15N-labelled RNCs: Anti-His western blots and translational diffusion coefficients measured using 15N SORDID experiments are shown. The timeframes during which nascent chains have been assessed as being intact and used for analysis are indicated (dashed boxes). Vertical error bars represent standard errors derived from the spectral noise; horizontal bars (where applicable) indicate the acquisition periods of diffusion measurements.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | The BEST-TROSY-CCR experiment and application to isolated FLN5 variants. (a) Pulse sequence and processing scheme for the BEST-TROSY-CCR experiment. Solid coloring indicates 90° pulses; empty shapes indicate 180° pulses. 1H pulse lengths are calculated for application at an offset of 8.2 ppm (950 MHz). Gray 1H 90° pulses are Pc9_4_90 pulses (1958 µs), solid 1H 90° shapes indicate Eburp2 pulses (1251 µs, * indicates time reversed pulse), and 1H 180° shapes indicate Reburp pulses (1432 µs). 15N pulses are applied as high-power rectangular pulses. 16 subspectra are acquired, corresponding to the possible combinations of the phase programs B1–B4 and the phase programs 1a,1b,2a and 2b as indicated. All pulses are applied with a phase of x (0°) unless otherwise indicated. 15N phases are shown as spin dynamical phases and must be modified for application on Bruker spectrometers. Gradients are applied as SMSQ shapes: g1 (31.4%, 1 ms), g2 (23%, 300 µs), g3 (21%, 1 ms), g4 (31%, 300 µs), g5 (11%, 1 ms), g6 (16.7%, 300 µs), g7 (45%, 300 µs). (b) Comparison of CCR rates measured for ubiquitin and FLN5 Y719E using our pulse sequence (111 ms relaxation delay, 283 K) against a reference measurement using symmetric recombination. (c) Sensitivity enhancement on the CCR measurements of FLN5 Y719E (283 K, 950 MHz) obtained using the PLRE agent NiDO2A, an inert NiII chelate. (d) CCR rates of FLN5 Y719E and FLN5 ∆12. Blue stars indicate Gly residues, which on average have lower CCR rates. (e) CCR rates of FLN5 Y719E, FLN5 F3A3 and FLN5 A3A3. (f–i) Comparison of CCR rates of FLN5 variants at 10 µM, in presence and absence of an equimolar concentration of 70 S ribosomes: (f) FLN5 ∆12, (g) FLN5 Y719E, (h) FLN5 F3A3 and (i) FLN5 A3A3. All errors were derived from the spectral noise.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | CSPs of FLN5 RNCs against isolated FLN5 variants, and comparison of chemical shift perturbations in the C-terminal region of FLN5 RNCs between aromatic cluster variants. (a) Relative intensities (LH axis) of FLN5+31, FLN5+31 A3Y3, FLN5+31 F3A3 and FLN5+31 A3A3 RNCs (283K) and chemical shift perturbations (RH axis, $\Delta \delta = \sqrt{\Delta \delta_H^2 + (\Delta \delta_N/5)^2}$), relative to their corresponding isolated, unfolded FLN5 variants. (b) Chemical shift perturbations of FLN5+31 A3A3 RNC relative to isolated FLN5 A3A3, at fields and temperatures as indicated. (c) Relative intensities of FLN5+26, 28, 31, 42, 67, 110 A3A3 RNCs relative to isolated FLN5 A3A3 (283K, 950 MHz). Inset: Intensities and combined amide chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta \delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \Delta \delta_H^2 + (\Delta \delta_N/5)^2 \right]^{1/2}$) of the E724 amide resonance observed relative to isolated FLN5 A3A3 in $^1$H,${^15}$N SOFAST-HMQC spectra of FLN5 A3A3 RNCs, with varying linker lengths as indicated. (d) Anti-His tag western blot of FLN5+26, 28, 31, 42, 67, 110 A3A3 RNCs purified for NMR studies, in their tRNA-bound form. (e) Comparison of C-terminal chemical shift perturbations between A3A3 and F3A3 variants. The difference in perturbations between A3A3 and F3A3 variants was $0.009 \pm 0.050$ ppm ($^1$H) and $0.002 \pm 0.006$ ppm ($^1$H). (f) Comparison of C-terminal chemical shift perturbations between A3A3 and A3Y3 variants. Due to chemical shift perturbations between the A3A3 and A3Y3 variants, and the reduced intensity of C-terminal residues in the A3Y3 variant (Fig. 2b), E724 cannot be resolved in the A3Y3 spectrum and residue V717 is analyzed instead. The difference in perturbations between A3A3 and A3Y3 variants was $0.060 \pm 0.044$ ppm ($^1$H) and $0.001 \pm 0.006$ ppm ($^1$H). Based on these negligible differences, mutations in the F3 and Y3 clusters do not seem to affect the CSP which report on the ribosome interaction of the C-terminal segment (residues N728 to C747).
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Analysis of FLN5-poly(GS) RNCs. (a) Design of GS linker RNCs. (b) $^1$H,${^15}$N SOFAST-HMQC resonances intensities for FLN5+31 A$_3$A$_3$, +31 A$_3$A$_3$ GS and +42 A$_3$A$_3$ GS RNCs relative to isolated FLN5 A$_3$A$_3$. (c) Overlay of a $^1$H,${^15}$N spectrum of FLN5+31 A$_3$A$_3$ GS RNC and a $^1$H,${^15}$N spectrum of the same RNC after treatment with 20 mM EDTA to induce nascent chain release. The residues only observable in EDTA-treated FLN5+31 A$_3$A$_3$ GS RNC are assigned, including resonances marked ‘G’ and ‘S’ which we attribute to the poly(GS) linker.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | Characterization of FLN5 E6 variants. (a) $^{1}H,^{15}N$ SOFAST-HMQC resonance intensities of FLN5+31 A3A3 RNC relative to isolated FLN5 A3A3, and of FLN5+31 A3A3E6 RNC relative to isolated FLN5 A3A3E6 (283 K, 950 MHz). The region between residues 730–746 is highlighted in light grey. (b) Same as in (a), except for FLN5+110 A3A3 and A3A3E6 RNCs. (c) $^{1}H,^{15}N$ SOFAST-HMQC resonance intensities of FLN5+26, 28, 31, 42, 110 A3A3 E6 RNCs relative to isolated FLN5 A3A3E6 (283 K, 950 MHz). All errors were derived from the spectral noise. (d) Relative intensities of FLN5+31 and FLN5+31 E6 RNCs (283 K) relative to their corresponding isolated, unfolded FLN5 variants. (e) Chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta \delta = \sqrt{\Delta \delta_H^2 + (\Delta \delta_N/5)^2}$) of FLN5+31 and FLN5+31 E6 RNCs (283 K) relative to their corresponding isolated, unfolded FLN5 variants.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Global analysis of FLN5 A3A3 and A3A3E6 chemical shift perturbations. (a) Chemical shift perturbations of FLN5 A3A3 vs FLN5 A3A3E6 (Δδ = (ΔδH)2 + (ΔδN/5)2). E6 mutation sides are indicated using red bar charts. (b) T2 relaxation measurements of isolated FLN5 A3A3 and FLN5 A3A3E6. (c) Correlation plots of 1H and 15N chemical shift perturbations in +26, +28, +31, +42 and +110 A3A3/A3A3E6 RNCs for residues as indicated (283 K, 950 MHz). A global fit of ΔΔG^++[A3A3−A3A3E6]_{Ubound−Ufree} is shown (red line) and red markers indicate the fitted values of Δδ_max (χ^2 = 70.17, dof = 66, χ^2/ν = 1.06).
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Molecular modelling of interactions between the FLN5 C-terminal region and the ribosome surface. (a–d) Contact probabilities between ribosome protein and RNA residues and the C-terminal region of FLN5 nascent chains, determined through coarse grained molecular dynamics simulations, for linker lengths (a) +31, (b) +42, (c) +67, and (d) +110. (e) Coarse-grained ribosome structure highlighting the location of RNA residues A1336, C490 and A1535, and (f) correlation plots between simulated and experimentally determined nascent chain–ribosome interactions for these residues. Data are shown for linker lengths +31, +42, +67 and +110, and best fit lines through the origin are plotted with correlation coefficients as indicated.
Extended Data Fig. 10 | Folding of FLN5 and FLN5 E6 on and off the ribosome. (a) Coomassie-stained SDS PAGE gel of purified FLN5 protein variants. The net charge of FLN5 varies from -8.7 to -16.7 at pH 7.5 through the introduction of Glu residues, likely explaining the altered migration pattern on PAGE. (b) \(^1H,^{15}N\) correlation spectra of \(^{15}N\)-labelled proteins (backbone), and \(^1H,^{13}C\) correlation spectra of \([^{2H,^{13}CH_3-ilv}]\)-labelled proteins (sidechains). (c) \(^1H,^{13}C\) HMQC spectrum of \([^{2H,^{13}CH_3-ilv}]\)-labelled FLN5+110 RNC. (d) Same as c, but for FLN5+110 E6 RNC. (e) Representative quality control measurements for nascent chain attachment by analysis of \(^1H\) transverse relaxation. Dispersed methyl resonances (indicated by arrows) detected after a 100 ms relaxation delay were taken as an indication of nascent chain release. (f) Representative quality control measurement by measurement of translational diffusion of dispersed nascent chain resonances (indicated by arrows) using \(^1H\)STE measurements with relative gradient strengths as indicated. (g) As a representative example, isolated FLN5 C721 V747 is shown on a Coomassie-stained 12% Bis-tris SDS-PAGE, after PEGylation measurements in urea. (h) As a representative example, FLN5+110 C721 V747 RNC is shown on a 12% Bis-tris SDS-PAGE, detected via anti-His tag western blot, after PEGylation measurements in urea. Intermediate concentrations of urea cause the tRNA-bound form of the nascent chain to migrate faster on Bis-Tris gels, as shown by the uniform migration pattern when the tRNA is degraded using RNase A, however this does not interfere with quantitation. (i) Western blot of 1-12 pmol of a FLN5+47 RNC. (j) Correlation plot of the western blot signal vs. concentration, from the blot shown in i. (k) Tabulated results from the fits of the data shown in Fig. 5b. The analysis was restricted to datapoints up to 3 M urea, and 3.75 M urea for FLN5+110 RNC \(^1\) (cf. solid markers in Fig. 5b).