IMAGING AND SPECTROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS OF MAGNETIC RECONNECTION AND CHROMOSPHERIC EVAPORATION IN A SOLAR FLARE
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ABSTRACT

Magnetic reconnection is believed to be the dominant energy release mechanism in solar flares. The standard flare model predicts both downward and upward outflow plasmas with speeds close to the coronal Alfvén speed. Yet, spectroscopic observations of such outflows, especially the downflows, are extremely rare. With observations of the newly launched Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS), we report the detection of a greatly redshifted (~125 km s\(^{-1}\)) along the line of sight) Fe\(\text{XXI} \) 1354.08 Å emission line with a ~100 km s\(^{-1}\) nonthermal width at the reconnection site of a flare. The redshifted Fe\(\text{XXI} \) feature coincides spatially with the loop-top X-ray source observed by RHESSI. We interpret this large redshift as the signature of downward-moving reconnection outflow/hot retracting loops. Imaging observations from both IRIS and the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory also reveal the eruption and reconnection processes. Fast downward-propagating blobs along these loops are also found from cool emission lines (e.g., Si\(\text{IV} \), O\(\text{IV} \), C\(\text{II} \), Mg\(\text{II} \)) and images of AIA and IRIS. Furthermore, the entire Fe\(\text{XXI} \) line is blueshifted by ~260 km s\(^{-1}\) at the loop footpoints, where the cool lines mentioned above all exhibit obvious redshift, a result that is consistent with the scenario of chromospheric evaporation induced by downflow-propagating nonthermal electrons from the reconnection site.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic reconnection is believed to be the dominant energy release mechanism during solar flares. Early observations of flares have led to the development of the standard flare model, in which the frequently observed Hα ribbons, post-flare loops, and hard X-ray sources at the loop footpoints and the loop top can be explained as consequences of magnetic reconnection (e.g., Magara et al. 1996). After the mid-1990s, high-resolution observations at multiple wavelengths have greatly enhanced our understanding of the reconnecting current sheets (e.g., Liu et al. 2010) and chromospheric evaporation (e.g., Milligan & Dennis 2009), which are also part of the standard flare model. For recent reviews of theories and observations of magnetic reconnection in solar flares, we refer to Benz (2008) and Fletcher et al. (2011).

There have been observations of plasma inflows in flare reconnections (e.g., Yokoyama et al. 2001). Upward outflows are often identified as impulsive ejection of plasmoids. High-speed downflows immediately above the post-eruption flare arcades (supra arcade downflows) were first presented by McKenzie & Hudson (1999), but their nature is subject to debate (Savage et al. 2012b; Cassak et al. 2013; Liu 2013; Guo et al. 2014). Shrinking loops have been seen in several imaging observations (Reeves et al. 2008; Savage et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2013). Spectroscopic observations of fast reconnection outflows (Wang et al. 2007; Hara et al. 2011), especially the downflows, are extremely rare.

We report the first detection of a large redshift in Fe\(\text{XXI} \) 1354.08 Å (formation temperature ~10 MK) with the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS; De Pontieu et al. 2014) in a C.6 flare that peaked at 17:19 UT on 2014 April 19. Based on the observations of IRIS, the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO), and the RHESSI (Lin et al. 2002), we interpret this large redshift as the reconnection downflow. Greatly blueshifted Fe\(\text{XXI} \) features have also been detected in the evaporation flow. Furthermore, inflows, upward-moving outflows, and fast downward-moving blobs have all been detected. Thus, in contrast to the fragmented pieces of evidence of reconnection that have been identified in many previous flare observations, our observations provide a more complete picture of flare reconnection.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The IRIS observation was taken from 15:09 UT to 22:20 UT on 2014 April 19. There were several large coarse 64 step rasters in this observation and the flare of interest (SOL2014-04-19T17:19 UT) was observed in the fourth raster. The pointing coordinate was (695′′, −221′′). The data was summed by two on board both spectrally and spatially, leading to a spatial pixel size of 0.33 and a spectral dispersion of ~0.026 Å pixel\(^{-1}\) in the far ultraviolet wavelength bands. The cadence of the spectral observation was 31 s, with an exposure time of 30 s. Slit-jaw images (SJIs) in the 1400 Å filter were taken at a cadence of 31 s. Dark current subtraction, flat field, and geometrical corrections have been applied in the level 2 data used here. The fiducial lines were used to achieve a co-alignment between the SJI and different spectral windows.

Figure 1 shows some context images taken by IRIS and AIA. Emission in the IRIS/SJI 1400 Å filter is dominated by the strong Si\(\text{IV} \) 1393.76 Å/1402.77 Å lines (formed around 10\(^{4.9}\) K) and UV continuum (formed slightly above the temperature...
minimum; Vernazza et al. 1981). The AIA 1600 Å images and SJI 1400 Å images are used for the coalignemnt of the images taken by the two instruments. The emission pattern and plasma motions are similar in the AIA 171 Å, 193 Å, 211 Å, 335 Å, 304 Å, and 1600 Å passbands. We thus only present the 211 Å images here. This passband is dominated by emission from ions formed at both the coronal (Fe XVIII) and transition region (e.g., O IV, O V) temperatures (Del Zanna et al. 2011). The two hot AIA passbands, 131 Å and 94 Å, sample emission mainly from the 10 MK and 6 MK plasma, respectively. Figures 2–4 present the IRIS/SJI 1400 Å images, corresponding AIA 131 Å images, and the IRIS spectra in the Fe XXI 1354.08 Å and Si IV 1402.77 Å windows at three different times. We present the time sequence of IRIS observation (including SJI 1400 Å images and several spectral windows) and the corresponding AIA images in an online movie. The full-cadence (12 s) animation of AIA 211 Å is also available online.

From the online animations, we can see that the flare loops brightened at ~17:13:20, ~17:15:55, and ~17:17:30 before the flare peak (~17:19:00), suggesting a multiple-episode energy release behavior of this flare. In this Letter, we mainly focus on various types of flows observed in the third episode starting from ~17:17:30, which were clearly observed from both imaging and spectroscopic observations.

3. FLOWS AROUND THE RECONNECTION SITE

3.1. Imaging Observations

Observations of IRIS/SJI 1400 Å and AIA 211 Å (Figure 1) reveal a process consistent with the flare reconnection scenario. The sides of the loops moved toward each other from 17:18:11 to 17:19:35. A bright structure (the ejecta marked in Figure 1(A), presumably a flux rope or plasmoid) can be identified near the coordinates (755′′, −185′′) around 17:18:32. Its disappearance at 17:19:03 is likely related to magnetic reconnection, which permits the field reconfiguration that allows the structure to be expelled. At 17:19:35 a linear bright structure (Figure 1(D)) above the post-reconnection loops in the 1400 Å and 211 Å passbands can be identified, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction of magnetic reconnection. The full-cadence (12 s) animation of AIA 211 Å is also available online.
images can be seen. This resembles the tip of newly formed loops (cusp). It could also be the lower end of the current sheet.

By plotting the space–time map of AIA 211 Å (Figure 1(G)) along cut 1 (Figure 1(E)), we have inferred the speed of reconnection inflow from the movement of the southern part of the reconnecting loops and found a value of $\sim 40$ km s$^{-1}$. Inflow at the northern part is not obvious, probably due to a projection effect or asymmetric reconnection inflows. Propagation of the ejecta is barely visible after the eruption, but we do find a bright outward-moving feature from AIA 211 Å (Figure 1(E), cut 2). This feature seems to be located at the southern boundary of the ejected structure and its speed is estimated to be $\sim 90$ km s$^{-1}$ (Figure 1(H)), likely the lower end of the speed of the upward-moving reconnection outflow.

### 3.2. Redshift of Fe xxi 1354.08 Å

There are several neutral and singly ionized lines in the spectral windows shown in Figures 2–4 (e.g., Mason et al. 1986). However, most of these lines are only visible at the loop footpoints (e.g., location 2 in Figure 2). The major blend of the Fe xxi 1354.08 Å line is C i 1354.288 Å, which is usually strong even outside the flare regions (e.g., location 1 in Figure 2).

Significant enhancement at the red side of the Fe xxi 1354.08 Å rest position can be identified at the bright linear structure around 17:19:35 (Figure 3, location 1). Clearly, this emission feature cannot be explained by the two narrow Fe ii lines marked in Figure 2(E). This enhancement is due to the greatly redshifted Fe xxi 1354.08 Å emission. The AIA 131 Å passband also mainly samples emission from the Fe xxi ion and we clearly see a very bright emission feature at location 1, supporting our argument that this enhancement is caused by the Fe xxi emission. The enhanced emission extends to the nearby O i line, suggesting a redshift of Fe xxi by up to $\sim 300$ km s$^{-1}$. Images of SJI 1400 Å and AIA 131 Å suggest that location 1 is probably at the lower end of a current sheet or close to the cusp of the newly reconnecting hot loops. Thus, the large redshift of Fe xxi is most likely a signature of the downward-moving reconnection outflow or hot retracting loops. The main blend of the O iv 1399.774 Å line is Fe ii 1399.962 Å. The main blend of the O iv 1401.156 Å line is Si ii 1401.514 Å. We take the ratio of the intensities of the two O iv lines derived from multiple Gaussian fits and obtain a density around $\log(N_{\text{e}}/\text{cm}^{-3}) = 11.0$ at location 1 based on CHIANTI v7.1 (Landi et al. 2013).

At 17:20:06, the slit moved to the west and similar spectral features persisted (Figure 4). The greatly redshifted Fe xxi emission at location 1 became much stronger at this time. Applying a double Gaussian fit to this broad Fe xxi feature and the embedded C i emission feature yields a centroid redshift of $\sim 125$ km s$^{-1}$ and a nonthermal width (1/e width) of $\sim 96$ km s$^{-1}$. The nonthermal width is calculated based on an assumed kinetic temperature of 10 MK ($\sim 54$ km s$^{-1}$ thermal broadening).

After 17:20:06, the Fe xxi downflow became weaker and the velocity decreased. Presumably, the reconnection site moved to a higher height and the reconnection also weakened over time. At about 17:21:39, the centroid of the Fe xxi line profile still had a redshift of $\sim 50$ km s$^{-1}$ and the location of this hot downflow seems to coincide with the loop-top X-ray source observed with RHESSI (Figures 5(A) and (B)). Unfortunately, RHESSI was in orbit night before 17:21:30.

The large redshift of Fe xxi is probably the first unambiguous detection of a hotter-than 10 MK reconnection downflow/hot retracting loops in flares using combined imaging and
spectroscopic observations. Wang et al. (2007) detected significant enhancement in both wings of an Fe\textsc{xix} line and interpreted them as reconnection outflows in a flare. The Fe\textsc{xix} line is mainly sensitive to $\sim 8$ MK plasma. Hara et al. (2011) observed a loop-top hot source in the Fe\textsc{xxiii} and Fe\textsc{xxiv} lines formed at $\sim 12$ MK. However, these lines exhibited a redshift of only $\sim 30$ km s\textsuperscript{-1}, making it difficult to properly interpret. Innes et al. (2003) detected enhanced blue wing emission of Fe\textsc{xxi} indicative of plasma flows up to $\sim 1000$ km s\textsuperscript{-1} in a limb flare, and provided the sunward reconnection outflow as one of the three possible explanations.

The standard flare model also includes a termination shock (TS) resulting from the interaction between the reconnection downflow and the flare loops. The TS may play a crucial role in electron acceleration and the hardening of the flare spectra above $\sim 300$ keV (Li et al. 2013; Kong et al. 2013). Warmuth et al. (2009) and Gao et al. (2014) interpreted type II radio bursts without frequency drift as a signature of the TS. Direct evidences of the TS should include a velocity discontinuity, which could potentially be observed by IRIS. In our event, no clues about the TS can be obtained since we have only observed one redshift. Note that the Fe\textsc{xxi} spectral window used in our observation extends only to $\sim 360$ km s\textsuperscript{-1} on the red side of Fe\textsc{xxi}, so downflows with speeds higher than $\sim 360$ km s\textsuperscript{-1} could not be detected. Future IRIS observations with a more appropriate choice of the spectral window will be helpful to detect TS.

The co-spatial redshifted Fe\textsc{xxi} feature and the RHESSI soft X-ray source suggest electron heating in the reconnection outflow/hot retracting loops (e.g., Liu et al. 2013) or at the TS (Tsuneta & Naito 1998; Guo & Giacalone 2012; Li et al. 2013). The large nonthermal width of Fe\textsc{xxi} could be caused by the divergence of flows along the line of sight above the loop tops, or post-reconnection turbulence (e.g., Ciaravella & Raymond 2008; Guo & Giacalone 2012; Doschek et al. 2014). Observations by the Gamma-ray Burst Monitor on board the Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope reveal an enhancement of hard X-rays up to 30–50 keV around 17:17:45 (Figure 5(C)),

Figure 3. (A)–(C) SJII 1400 Å image and detector images of the Fe\textsc{xxi} 1534.08 Å and Si\textsc{iv} 1402.77 Å spectral windows at 17:19:35 UT. (D) SDO/AIA 131 Å image at 17:19:32 UT. (E) and (F) Spectral line profiles at the three locations marked by the horizontal lines with different colors. (G) SDO/AIA 211 Å image at 17:19:35 UT. A cut shown as the dashed line is used to construct the space–time map in panel (H).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
indicating electron acceleration mostly at the beginning of the third episode of this complex flare and before the plasmoid ejection.

Some efforts have been made to search for simultaneous observations of reconnection inflows and outflows. Reconnection rates have been estimated based on imaging observations of several flares (Li & Zhang 2009; Cheng et al. 2010; Takasao et al. 2012; Su et al. 2013) and they are mostly in the range of 0.05–0.5. A spectroscopic investigation by Hara et al. (2011) yielded a reconnection rate of 0.05–1 in a flare. Without knowing the three-dimensional geometry of the flare, it is difficult to estimate the impact of the projection effect on the measured flow velocities. As a rough estimate, using 20 km s\(^{-1}\) (40/2) as the inflow speed and 125 km s\(^{-1}\) as the outflow speed leads to a reconnection rate of 0.16.

4. FLOWS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LOOP LEGS

4.1. Downward-propagating Blobs

From the AIA 211 Å animation, we find fast downward-moving blobs along post-reconnection loops around 17:19:35. The plane of sky component of the velocity of one blob is estimated to be \(\sim 135\) km s\(^{-1}\) from the space–time map of AIA 211 Å (Figure 3(H)). This moving blob was captured by the IRIS slit, and we find a greatly enhanced redshifted component of the Si\(\text{iv}\) line profile, indicating a velocity of \(\sim 60\) km s\(^{-1}\) in the line of sight (location 3 in Figure 3). The full speed can then be estimated as \(\sim 150\) km s\(^{-1}\), which is comparable to the observed reconnection outflow speed in the line of sight.

While outward-moving blobs have been frequently reported (Ko et al. 2003; Lin et al. 2005; Savage et al. 2010; Song et al. 2012), inward-moving blobs are rarely observed in flares.

Savage et al. (2012a) found that similar density enhancements along loop legs move even faster than the loop shrinks. These moving blobs could be accelerated plasma from the reconnection site.

4.2. Downward-moving Loops

The downward motion of loop legs was observed below the ejecta and the linear bright structure from 17:17:35 to 17:18:11 in the 1400 Å and 211 Å images. These relatively small loops (north–south orientation) may also be identified in AIA 131 Å images, in which they seem to be partly obscured by longer loops (east–west orientation) which were only present in the hotter AIA 131 Å and 94 Å passbands. One likely scenario is as follows. While one ribbon of the flare was concentrated around the coordinate of \((740" , -207")\), the other ribbon was well separated at locations of \((740", -185")\) and \((695", -190")\), respectively.

The plane of sky component of the motion of these loops has been found to be \(\sim 55\) km s\(^{-1}\) from the AIA 211 Å observation (Figure 1(I)). The downward motion of these loops may be related to the reconnection inflow, or shrinking loops resulting from a reconnection process in the early stage of this flare. Another possibility is magnetic implosion, and the contraction motion is caused by the reduction of the magnetic pressure due to energy release (Hudson 2000) at the beginning of the flare.

The IRIS slit moved to the location of these loops at 17:19:03 and 17:19:35 (Figures 2 and 3). Interestingly, O\(\text{iv}\), Si\(\text{iv}\), C\(\text{ii}\), and Mg\(\text{ii}\) lines formed well below 1 MK, and all exhibited clear splitting at these locations. We clearly see a broad redshifted (by \(\sim 55\) km s\(^{-1}\)) component besides a narrow background component. At first sight, this redshifted component seems...
to be associated with the loop motion. However, from the high-cadence AIA 211 Å animation there appeared to be no obvious loop contraction at these times. Instead, the redshifted component might be associated with falling plasma in these loops and the downward-propagating blobs are perhaps the denser part of the falling plasma.

5. FLOWS AT THE LOOP FOOTPOINTS

Location 2 in Figure 3 corresponds to one footpoint of the flare loops. There we find significant enhancement at the blue side of the Fe xxi 1354.08 Å rest position. Several neutral and singly ionized lines marked in Figure 2(E) contributed to this emission. These lines, however, are narrow and cannot explain the bulk enhancement. This emission feature is likely due to the highly blueshifted (order of $\sim 260 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) Fe xxi line. At the same time, cool lines formed in the transition region and upper chromosphere (e.g., O iv, Si iv, C ii, and Mg ii) all exhibited significant redshifts at the same location. For example, the Si iv line is redshifted by $\sim 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This is consistent with the scenario of explosive chromospheric evaporation (Fisher et al. 1985). Using the O iv line pair, the electron density is found to be on the order of log ($N_e / \text{cm}^{-3}$) = 11.0 at location 2.

We notice that strong evaporation flows were also present at 17:18:32.

At 17:20:06, the large blueshift at location 2 can still be seen (Figure 4). A single Gaussian fit to this emission feature gives a blueshift of $\sim 260 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a nonthermal width of $\sim 44 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. All the neutral and singly ionized lines marked in Figure 2(E) now disappeared, perhaps because there was no chromospheric material in the higher part of the loop.

The Fe xxi line profile in the evaporation flow seems to be entirely blueshifted by $\sim 260 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, in agreement with hydrodynamic simulations of Liu et al. (2009). Entirely shifted Fe xxi line profiles have also been found by Young et al. (2014) and Li et al. (2014). This contrasts with previous observations of emission lines with formation temperatures larger than 10 MK by the EUV Imaging Spectrometer (EIS; Culhane et al. 2007) on board Hinode, where a stationary emission component and a blueshifted component (or blue wing enhancement) usually co-exist during chromospheric evaporation (e.g., Milligan & Dennis 2009; Li & Ding 2011; Young et al. 2013). This difference possibly suggests that the resolution of EIS is not high enough to separate the evaporation flow from the ambient stationary hot plasma.
6. SUMMARY

The different types of flows observed from combined imaging and spectroscopic observations are summarized in Figure 5(D). To our knowledge, this is one of the most complete observations of a variety of flows in one flare. The most interesting results are as follows. (1) Large redshift of Fe xxi has been observed with IRIS for the first time and interpreted as a signature of reconnection downflows. (2) Fast blob-like structures have been observed to propagate from the reconnection site downward to the loop footpoints. (3) The Fe xxi line profile in the evaporation flow has been observed to be entirely blueshifted by $\sim 260$ km s$^{-1}$.
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