Microbial Fuel Cells: An Alternate Approach for Bioelectricity Generation and Waste Management

Chennappa Gurikar*, H.B. Vandana, B.P. Netravati, B.P. Chaitra Kumari, N.A. Nanje Gowda, K.N. Hanumantharaju and Lokesh AC

Department of Food Technology, Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, Bangalore - 560 054, Karnataka, India.

Abstract

Microbial Fuel Cells (MFCs) are the device that involves bacteria and organic matter, to generate electrical current via bacterial metabolism from a wide range of organic and inorganic substrates. MFCs are novel bioreactors, that convert chemical energy into electrochemical energy through bio-catalysis of various wastes (agriculture, food, households, food processing industries) using microorganisms. MFC is a promising approach that offers direct, clean, green energy generation, ease of waste recyclability, and by-product utilization of different sources. In recent, MFCs research advances related to electrode development and utilization of suitable different rural and urban wastes is a significant interest in the MFC application. Hence in a large-scale application, the MFC concept is one of the effective technologies for the management of different wastes and is simultaneously used for electricity generation to cater to the energy demand in rural or remote areas that are not linked to the electric grid. MFCs help reduce the global energy crisis and reduce the pressure on non-renewable energy resources.
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INTRODUCTION

Globally the human population is increasing enormously and parallely industries are being established to maintain the growth and developments. Due to human and industrial activities, the energy is alarmingly strong, putting extreme strain on non-renewable energy supplies. Thermal power plants have been constructed and coal is the major source for energy production. As a result of this, the non-renewable fossil fuel sources are rapidly depleted causing an environmental imbalance. Additionally, the combustion of fossil fuels releases a huge amount of CO₂, which is a primary greenhouse gas and has created alarming consequences on the environment.\(^1\) Many energy production concepts that have been studied and applied on large scale are solar energy, wind energy, hydraulic energy, etc., but all are limited to some extent application-wise.

Globally, a million tonnes of waste (agriculture, industry, and domestic) is being burnt, and sometimes it is buried in the lands to avoid a negative impact on the ecosystem. The majority of the waste is burnt in the land itself and leads to environmental pollution. There is a necessity for an alternate approach of nonrenewable energy sources and waste management side by side, which is an environmentally sustainable approach. One such promising approach is the MFCs, which offer direct, clean, and green energy generation by using a combination of different types of wastes and microorganisms.\(^2\) In the MFC system, microorganisms utilize the nutrients from the waste and release a part of the energy in the form of electricity. The MFC concept, production of electricity using microbes was first proposed in 1911. This concept laid the foundation for establishing research on the development of MFC’s in the 20th century.\(^3\) Lately, renewable energy is necessary for domestic waste management and triggered research interests in emerging MFC technology to cater to human needs for the sustainable development energy sector.\(^4\)

The MFC mechanism involves the catalytic reaction of microorganisms with the nutrient source within a closed system to transform chemical energy to electrical energy.\(^5\) Microbes are responsible for metabolizing the organic compounds from the wastes, metabolism of these organic compounds generates electrons and protons.\(^1,6\) The adaptability of broad-range bacterial genera with different kinds of wastes (organic matter) makes the MFC system a commendable technology to produce electricity.\(^7\) A different group of microflora, most of them are bacteria that have been claimed to generate electricity. Different classes of bacterial genera viz., acidobacteria, proteobacteria, and firmicutes are known to produce electric current, and yeast, microalgae, and fungi have also been used to facilitate the electrode in MFCs.\(^8,7\)

The technical terms used for the microbes applied for MFCs are Exoelectrogens, electrogenic microorganisms, electrochemically active bacteria, anodophiles, anode-respiring bacteria, and electrogens, which are responsible for transferring electrons to the anode.\(^7\) These microbes can transfer electrons to an anode chamber without any mediator or compounds. All microbial sources cannot break down mediators or compounds viz., neutral red, thionine, meldola’s blue, methylene blue, Fe (III) EDTA due to their toxicity on microbe metabolism.\(^9\)

Different organic substrates can be employed as a probable energy source for microbes and may help in the development of an effective and efficient MFC system. An initial step of hydrolysis and fermentation is essential to break complex macromolecule substances into a simpler form and then transform them into freely decomposable substrates, later which is biodegraded another anode respiring bacteria.\(^10\) MFC-based technologies have been developed for wastewater treatment, microbial electrolysis cells, sediment MFC and bioremediation processes\(^11\) and among them, wastewater treatment is the most used strategy.\(^11,12,6,13\)

MFC design and development

MFCs are evolving as a versatile renewable energy source is because this eco-friendly technology has a wide range of applications. Any biodegradable organic matter (simple and complex molecules) of different agro and food industry wastes and wastes produced from it etc., can be used in MFC to produce electricity. It is an ideal technology for sustainable bioelectricity generation from different biomass because of the flexibility of microorganisms to use a range of wastes as fuels for activities.\(^7,6,14\) The MFCs are the bio-electrochemical devices where the
structural constructions vary significantly, from single and double-chamber configurations and in the presence or the absence of proton exchange membrane (PEM).

PEMs are selectively permeable to protons and have other favorable properties such as high cation conductivity, low internal resistance, and the potential to remain active for long periods without disturbing the MFC. So, PEM is considered the most frequently used membrane separator in double-chambered MFCs. These biochemical devices mainly consist of a chamber(s), electrodes, and substrates depending upon various aspects of construction required for a range of utilities. The MFC containing cathodic and anodic chambers that are separated by a PEM or a salt bridge, in which anodic chamber is kept in anaerobic condition and the cathodic chamber can hold either aerobic or anaerobic is termed as dual-chamber MFC (Fig 1.). On the other hand, single-chambered MFCs hold both cathode and anode in a single chamber.

This technology associates microbial metabolism with electrochemical reactions and helps simultaneously to generate electric power and for the management of waste accumulation. Electro-active bacteria have molecular machinery that allows them to transmit electrons to an electron acceptor without any additional intervention and are popularly known as exoelectrogens. They are used as biocatalysts in the anode chamber to oxidize the organic matter (waste substrate) and convert chemical energy provided by oxidation of organic/inorganic compounds into ATP by sequential reactions. The microorganisms which are involved in the electricity generation using organic wastes are called as powerhouse of the MFCs.

The microbial metabolism of organic compounds develops bio-potential that results in the generation of electrons and protons. In the MFC system, electrons are transferred to the anodic surface, electrons and protons react in the cathode chamber with a simultaneous decrease of oxygen to water levels. Exoelectrogenic bacteria oxidize organic matter in the anode chamber, due to oxidation reaction, which results in a reduction of an electron acceptor and increases redox potential in the cathode chamber. The disparity in redox potentials causes electrons to flow from anode to cathode, which results in electricity generation. Single chamber MFC

A single chamber MFC system offers modest configuration and eliminates the requirement of a cathode chamber and the PEM. Park and Zeikus developed first a single chamber MFC as a demo working model. This device consisted of a window-mounted cathode

![Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of working principle of microbial fuel cells.](https://www.microbiologyjournal.org)
with an internal proton-permeable porcelain sheet, a rubber-stopped container with an anode at the center, and sewage sludge as biocatalyst that produced the maximum power density. The effect of temperature on single chamber MFC was studied by Cheng et al and observed that for repeatable power cycles and improved performance, a temperature range of 4 -30°C was essential in terms of set-up time. It is concluded that, for MFC operation, temperature plays a major role in the generation of electricity and metabolism of organic substrates. Attempted to improve the voltage output of single-chambered MFC using microfiltration membranes (MFM). It was observed that there was a 29% higher voltage obtained in the MFCs with MFM compared to the MFC containing PEM as a separator. The comparative results between the single-chambered MFC with PEM and MFM proved that the MFM offers a substantial increase in power output, coulombic efficiency, and internal resistance.

**Double chamber MFC**

The double-chambered MFCs are characteristically utilized with a given medium such as acetate or glucose-rich substrates. The structural designs of double-chambered MFC vary in shapes ranging from typical rectangular to cylindrical, and flat plate MFCs. The double-chambered MFC build up in a classic “H” shape consists of an anode and the cathode chamber coupled by a cylindrical tube with a PEM at the center. PEM facilitates proton transition from anode to cathode simultaneously blocking the diffusion of oxygen into the anode. An anode (anaerobic) chamber consists of an electrode (carbon felt, carbon brush) and is fed with the substrate, while water or phosphate buffer is used in the cathodic chamber as an electrolyte.

**Electrodes**

Designing and application of electrodes is a great task in the development of scalable MFC technology. The electron transfer from an anode to a cathode is brought about by the conducting material known as an electrode. An ideal electrode used in the construction of MFCs should possess the qualities such as good electrical conductivity, have more surface area, less external resistance, non-corrosive, biocompatible, chemical, and mechanical stability. The anode materials such as carbon cloth, rods, glassy carbon, carbon felt, granules, carbon paper, carbon foam, graphite plates, platinum, polypyrrole nanotube, and, platinum black is used in the construction of MFCs. Cathode materials are made of Pt black, graphite, carbon felt, glassy carbon, carbon paper, carbon form, Pt, carbon cloth, and reticulated vitreous carbon. The key advancements in anode electrodes are the enhancement of biofilm formation of electro-genic bacteria on the electrode surface.

The electrode material is the major and important component for designing of MFC that affects both the performance and the cost. The electrodes are the conducting material that transfers electrons from anode to cathode causing the useful flow of electrons. The efficient electrode material must have several important properties as discussed below.

**Surface area and porosity**

In MFCs, the surface area of the electrodes has a significant effect on power production and its output. Since electrode resistance is directly proportional to ohmic losses, a larger electrode surface area provides more reactions sites by increasing the electrode kinetics in the MFC system. For biofilm formation, more electrode surface is suitable for designing of MFC, and more the surface area more will be the bacterial accumulation.

**Electrical conductivity**

Electrons emitted by microbes by the formation of biofilm would proceed through an external circuit after passing through the anode. The electrode material with higher electrical conductivity has less resistance against the flow of electrons.

**Stability and durability**

The surface roughness of the electrode material might increase the durability and as well as cause fouling which decreases the efficiency of MFC. Electrodes material used for MFC should be stable and durable in alkaline and acidic conditions. An ideal electrode material should be cost-effective and must have favorable electrochemical properties (electron transfer), mechanical stability in conjunction with a large surface area, and offers a high current density.

The anode material in MFCs not only serves as a conductor but also works as a support...
for bacterial biofilm formation, so it must be well-matched with the bacteria. The electrode materials area and roughness play an important role in the generation of electricity. A larger surface area with the lowest rough surface supports the bacterial biofilm formation on the electrode surfaces. Among many electrodes, nanomaterials-based carbon materials possess many advantageous properties (large surface area, enhanced electron transfer, and adsorption of molecules) make them perfect electrode materials for MFCs. Electrode modifications with nanomaterials such as gold nanoparticles and iron oxide nanoparticles or pretreatment methods such as sonication and autoclave sterilization have shown promising results in enhancing MFC performance for electricity generation and wastewater treatment.

### Carbon-based electrodes
Carbon is one of the most preferred and effective electrode materials since it is available in various forms of structure, shapes, and surface area which is a major requirement for designing of MFCs. Because of their high electrical conductivity, specific surface area, biocompatibility, chemical stability, and low cost, numerous studies have been performed to scale up power output using various carbon-based materials such as carbon fiber, carbon paper, carbon felt, and carbon nanotube-based composites.

#### Carbon cloth
Carbon cloth has been one of the scientifically preferred electrode materials due to its useful properties like commercial availability, conductivity, stability, and relatively cheaper cost compared to other carbon-based electrode materials. Carbon cloth is made up of long carbon fibers that are formed by the thermal decomposition of acrylic. Individual fibers are then bound together to form a bundle, which is then woven together to make carbon cloth.

#### Carbon felt
Carbon felt is a mat-like structure utilized as an electrode material along with electrodeposition with different materials to increase the efficiency of carbon felt. Various research has been performed on the cathodic electrodeposition to decorate the carbon felt surface with the RuO2 films composed of nanoparticles. It was observed that the RuO2-coated carbon felt anode exhibited substantially better power efficiency than the bare anode.

#### Graphite
An exceptional electrochemical property along with bio-compatibility of graphite has been determined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). It is also observed that an abundance of a monoculture biofilm (E. coli) adheres to a graphite

![Fig. 2. Applications of Microbial Fuel Cells.](image-url)
electrode surface. Graphite felt electrodes compared to graphite rods alone have a threefold higher current generation. Mediators

In general, mediators are the chemical constituents used to enhance the performance of MFCs. Microbial fuel cells are of two types one is with and another one is without a mediator. In certain studies, the addition of mediators such as potassium ferrocyanide, neutral red, anthraquinone, thionine, disulfonic acid, azure, and cobalt sepulchrate are used for enhancing the performance of the MFC system. In these cases, microorganisms partly oxidize organic substrates and transfer a portion of these electrons to electrodes. The selection of mediators should consider turnover rate in the relation to the electrode. The mediator which promotes a high turnover rate of electrons should be selected for the designing of MFCs. The mediators are non-toxic to the microorganisms and are stable in both reduced and oxidized form and also are not biologically degradable. The electrons from microorganisms are squeezed out during metabolism by the mediators and enhance the electron generation from microorganisms to the electrode in the anode chamber. The surface area of the electrodes used determines the efficiency of the MFC without a mediator. Mediator-less microbial fuel cells normally use special microorganisms that can release electrons to the anode depending on the quantity, characteristics of the substrate, and inoculum available in the anode chamber.

Substrates used in MFC

The substrate is a critical component required for any natural cycle as it fills in as a supplement or nutrient source and also a basic factor for the evolution of organisms. In MFCs, a substrate is viewed as one of the most significant organic variables, which influences power generation. A wide variety of substrates containing a high amount of carbohydrates are used, which could be either a simple compound or complex mixtures for electricity generation. The simple organic substrates containing acids such as malate, acetate, butyrate, succinate, propionate, alcohols (ethanol), and inorganic compounds (sulfate) are widely used for the development of MFCs. Similarly, complex substrates i.e., starch, cellulose, molasses, chitin starch and wastewaters coming from the meat packaging industry, swine farms, waste from food industries also used mainly as substrates as basic sources for MFCs (Fig. 2.). These organic sources have been shown to have a substantial impact on MFC’s power density and output.

Brewery wastewater

Among various substrates, brewery waste is a good primary choice, as a substrate for designing of MFCs. Brewery waste is an ideal substrate because of its low ammonium nitrogen concentration and excessive carbohydrate content. This wastewater obtained from breweries and it is a good source is mainly due to its low strength. The concentration of brewery wastewater varies at the range of 3000–5000 mg of COD/L which has a concentration of around ten times that of domestic wastewater. In addition, it is most suitable for electricity generation in MFCs due to its organic content and free from high concentrations of inhibitory substances such as ammonia developed a polarization curve-based MFC model and found that the loss of kinetic reaction and mass transport are the most significant factors influencing the MFC output with brewery wastewater (Table 1). Hence, the use of a rough electrode to create further reaction sites and by increasing the quantity of brewery wastewater and the reaction temperature can prevent electricity loss.

Meat industry waste as substrate

Meat processing wastewaters contain the highest amount of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD), making traditional aerobic treatment approaches prohibitively costly. As a result, treating these wastewaters with a technology that produces a valuable commodity, such as electricity, may have a significant economic advantage. The investigation of the protein-rich meat packaging wastewater was carried out by constructing the microbial fuel cells (single-chamber) using two electrodes placed on opposite sides which is 3 cm in diameter. The cathode was carbon paper with a 0.35 mg platinum/cm² (wet proof) on one side and the anode was carbon paper (non-wet proof). The wastewater was diluted to 1:4 with ultrapure water to limit the time required for the completion of the batch cycle (Table 1). At dilutions
| No. | Substrate type                          | Anode material          | Cathode material          | MFC type/Inoculum                                      | Microorganisms      | Current & Voltage Output |
|-----|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| 1.  | Beer brewery waste water               | Carbon fiber            | Air-cathode               | Single chamber                                       | Mixed culture       | 0.18 mA/m² * 0.2 V & 4mW/m² |
| 2.  | Municipal waste water                  | Carbon cloth            | Carbon cloth (loaded with 2 mg cm⁻² Fe Pc) air-cathode | Three-chamber Sludge                                    | Mixed culture       | 4 mW/m²                  |
| 3.  | Molasses mixed sewage waste water      | PBS treated anode       | Air-cathode               | MFC                                                   | Mixed culture       | 382.5 mW/m²              |
|     |                                        |                         |                           | MFC with acetate                                       |                     |                          |
| 4.  | Chocolate industrial waste             | Graphite rods           | Graphite rods             | Two-chamber Activated sludge                          | Mixed culture       | 0.302 mA/cm²              |
| 5.  | Domestic waste                         | Plain graphite          | Plain graphite            | Two-chamber Anaerobic sludge                          | Mixed culture       | 0.06 mA/cm²               |
| 6.  | Meat processing waste                  | Carbon paper            | Carbon paper              | Single chamber Domestic waste water                   | Mixed culture       | 0.115 mA/cm²              |
| 7.  | Wine Waste water                       | Graphite fiber brushes   | Carbon cloth + PTFE + coated with Pt catalyst | Single chamber MFC reactors                            | Mixed culture       | 278 mW/m²                 |
| 8.  | Anaerobic sludge with glucose          | Carbon paper            | Carbon paper              | Direct electron transfer                               | Mixed culture       | 182 mW / m²               |
| 9.  | Glucose                                | Coated graphite         | Uncoated platinum rod     | Single chamber Escherichia coli                       | Mixed culture       | 1624 mW/m²                |
| 10. | Cheese Whey waste                      | Carbon cloth sheets     | Carbon cloth sheet modified by addition of gas diffusion layer on air side | Anaerobic Mesophillic Sludge                           | Mixed culture       | 50 mV                     |
| 11. | Kitchen waste                          | Carbon cloth sheets     | Carbon cloth sheet modified by addition of gas diffusion layer on air side | Anaerobic Mesophillic Sludge                           | Mixed culture       | 40 mV                     |
| 12. | Wine waste water                       | Carbon felt             | Carbon felt               | Double chamber Activated sludge                       | Mixed culture       | 58 to 890 mW / m²         |
| 13. | BSA                                    | Non-wet proofed toray carbon paper | Wet proof toray carbon paper | Single chamber Domestic waste water + BSA (300 mg/L) | Mixed culture       | 354 ± 10 mW / m²          |
| 14. | Peptone                                | Non-wet proofed toray carbon paper | Wet proof toray carbon paper | Single chamber Domestic wastewater + 269 ± 14 mW / m² | Mixed culture       | 269 ± 14 mW / m²          |
| No. | Substrate type                  | Anode material                        | Cathode material                        | MFC type/ Inoculum                              | Microorganisms                      | Current & Voltage Output |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 15  | Synthetic waste waters         | Eight interconnected copper wires      | Eight interconnected old covered copper wires | Double chamber                                | Mixed culture                      | 2.9 mW/ m²               |
| 16  | Synthetic wastewater           | Activated carbon cloth                | Non-platinized, gas diffusion electrodes | Double chamber                                | Geobacter sulfurreducens            | 424.5 mW/ m²             |
| 17  | Glucose                        | Graphene oxide coated onto carbon cloth| Carbon cloth                            | Two chamber                                    | Mixed culture                       | 52.5 mW/ m²              |
| 18  | Galactitol                     | Carbon cloth                          | Carbon cloth                            | Single chamber                                  | Mixed culture                       | 2650 mW/ m²              |
| 19  | Arabinol                       | Carbon cloth                          | Carbon cloth                            | Single chamber                                  | Mixed culture                       | 2030 mW/ m²              |
| 20  | Biodiesel waste water          | Carbon brush                          | Carbon cloth                            | Mixed bacterial culture                         | Domestic waste water                | 2110 Mw/m²               |
| 21  | Biodiesel waste water          | Carbon brush                          | Carbon cloth                            | Single chamber                                  | Domestic waste water                | 2110 Mw/m²               |
| 22  | Wastewater with Acetate        | Carbon felt                           | Carbon paper with Teflon (10%)          | Double chamber                                  | Mixed culture                       | 2 W/m²                   |
| 23  | Wastewater with Lactate        | Carbon felt                           | Carbon paper with Teflon (10%)          | Double chamber                                  | Mixed culture                       | 0.117 W/m²               |
| 24  | Wastewater with Octonate       | Carbon felt                           | Carbon paper with Teflon (10%)          | Double chamber                                  | Mixed culture                       | 0.037 W/m²               |
| 25  | Cellulose                      | Graphite plates                       | Graphite plates                         | Double chamber                                  | Rumen microorganisms                | 55 mW/ m²                |
of 1:2 (218 ± 2.2 mV) and 1:4 (217.3 ± 1.5 mV), the overall voltage appeared to be similar, and power was increased by 33% by the addition of a mediator (300 mg/L NaCl) to increase the solution conductivity.

**Agro-industrial Wastes**

Agricultural practices may accumulate a large number of wastes, which can be used for biofuels and animal fodder as well. In general, agro-food processing waste contains a large number of organic materials containing a varied range of BOD, COD, and suspended solids. The wastes from processing streams require treatment to prevent water pollution due to the presence of pollutants in high concentrations. The power generation and efficacy of waste utilization by few agricultural by-products are used as a substrate for MFCs and a very important substrate to produce the energy (Table 1).

**Palm oil industry wastewater**

The palm oil agro-industry produces residual biomass is used in many emerging technologies such as synthesis of Palm oil mill effluent (POME) and palm oil mill bio-refineries, which seems to be most useful in the short term based on economic applications. A double chamber microbial fuel cell was designed using Nafion 117 (separate the chambers) membrane attached between the two electrodes chambers (anodic and cathodic). Polycrylonitrile carbon felt (PACF) is used as an electrode for a dual MFC chamber. The anode chamber was inoculated with controlled inoculum (CI) collected from anaerobic sludge and filled with 450 mL of effluent collected from the palm oil industry effluent outlet (Table 1). The cathode chamber was filled with 450 mL potassium permanganate solution, which was retained at a steady concentration during the entire process of the MFC system. It was observed that the power density was twice when MFC was operated with the combination of CI and PACF, as compared to MFC’s usual sludge under anaerobic conditions.

**Molasses Wastewater**

Molasses wastewater is a by-product of the sugar manufacturing process and absorbs high amounts of organic compounds such as protein, pectin, sugar, vitamins, amino acids and expends a large volume of dissolved oxygen (DO). The molasses wastewater is a dark brown hue with a COD value of 65,000–130,000 mg/L and a BOD of 30,000–96,000 mg/L. As a result, direct dumping of molasses wastewater can have negative consequences on aquatic living beings. Since the molasses wastewater serves as a source of glucose, this can rich energy source for microbial-based decomposition. The MFC was seen effective in treating actual molasses wastewater and also generating electricity.

A dual-chambered MFC was fabricated and designed with molasses wastewater as the electron acceptor and outcomes have documented that it also supports stable electricity generation (Table 1). The highest electricity output (voltage 514.5 mV) and power density (65.82 mW/m²) have been recorded in using an external resistance (1,000 Ω) and the chemical oxygen demand removal rate was 81.22%.

**Cassava wastewater**

Wastewater from cassava mill is usually rich in starch and carbohydrate with maximum levels of BOD, TS, COD, and limited levels of ammonium nitrogen concentrations with high cyanide content (3.5 mg/L) and acidity (pH 4.5 ± 5.5). These findings promote the use of cassava biomass in MFCs as a supplementary energy source, especially in cassava-producing countries facing severe energy crises. In another study, MFC was designed with plexiglass consisting of both chambers (anode and cathode) with an operational volume of 700 ml. Salt bridge (Solution of 1M KCl and 2 g agar in 100 ml distilled water) was prepared using polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe, which splits the two chambers (anode and cathode) to transfer protons from the anode chamber to the cathode chamber. Carbon rods (4x5cm; 10mm thickness) coated with non-conductive epoxy were used as electrodes for both anode and cathode (Table 1). Cassava mill effluent was used as a substrate, MFC set up generated a maximum voltage and current (275 mV and 2.75 mA), resulting in the highest power density (189 mW/m²). The data of this study proved that MFC technology could be used to produce bioelectricity directly from cassava mill effluent.

**Dairy industrial wastes**

In a developing country like India, rapid urbanization has instigated severe urban migration among the rural populace for better employment which increased the demand for milk processing.
The dairy industry wastewater is ambiguous as it contains sugars, proteins, and fats. About 2–2.5 liters of wastewater are generated for every liter of milk processed. As a result of this, a large amount of unused dairy wastewater is discharged which pollutes the atmosphere if not treated.

In one of the studies, MFC was developed without catalyst and mediator with two chambers divided by a proton exchange membrane, each containing two-electrode made up of Nafion 117 membranes. A graphite plate is being used as common electrodes viz., anode, and cathode (Table 1). Industrial wastewater majorly dairy waste effluents is used as substrate and in a cathode chamber filled with phosphate buffer as electron receiver and catalyst. At a 1 kΩ external resistance, the highest power density and electric current intensity generated on the anode surfaces was 3.74 mA and 621.13 mW/m², respectively and the highest voltage generated was 0.856V.

**Domestic Wastewater**

MFCs technology can be used to generate electricity from domestic wastewater. The total outcome could be hampered by the high levels of COD in municipal or domestic wastes and the average COD levels in domestic wastewater are about 410 mg/L. The MFCs developed with kitchen garbage as the substrate has also proved to be a good method to produce green electricity as well as to recycle the organic waste and to maintain a healthy and eco-friendly environment. A lab-scale two-chamber MFC was built using 1000 ml capacity plastic containers, and an operational volume of 800 ml. Graphite rods are used as electrodes with a higher surface area (31.1 cm²). The chambers are connected by a PVC coupled with a PEM for easy transfer of a proton from anode and cathode chambers by maintaining an anaerobic environment in the anode compartment (Table 1). The cathode chamber was kept open to air for maintaining aerobic conditions. MFC system was produced about 68µA of current and 889mV of voltage.

**Commonly used microbes**

Microorganisms play a significant role in the operation of MFCs, i.e., they have a unique capacity to transmission the electrons from the outer membrane of the cell towards the anode and catalyze reduced electron acceptors by accepting electrons from the cathode surface. Microbes associated with MFC forms a thin layer of bacterial cell growth on the electrodes is called biofilm. The area of the biofilm formation determines the ability of microorganisms to produce the electrons and directly relates to decomposing the complex organic substrates in MFC. The bacterial species such as *Shewanella, Propionibacterium freudenreichii, Lactococcus lactis, Geobacter, Cupriavidus basilensis, Pseudomonas sp, Rhodofex*, etc. have been reported as electrogenic bacteria or exoelectrogens. These organisms are used as catalysts for generating electricity and decomposition of organic wastes or carbon-based biomass degradation (Table 1).

Pure cultures are also useful for determining the broad variety of processes that occur mediating the electrons, as well as the diverse actions of individual species in a mixed microbial culture. *Klebsiella pneumonia, Desulfovibio desulfuricans, Escherichia coli*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are some of the pure cultures used in bioelectricity generation. Studies have demonstrated that pure microbial cultures have high electron transfer efficiency, due to low growth rates in a highly specific substrate, low energy transfer rates, and risk of unwanted contaminations limits its use, whereas mixed cultures are robust and more productive than pure strains. The symbiosis of microbes, compatibility in the use of substrates, and the reutilizing of electrons make mixed culture appropriate for bioelectricity production. A combination of cultures can acclimatize to a wide-ranging environmental condition and each species can perform a particular function that equally helps other species leading to better performance (Table 1).

Therefore, pure cultures and mixed are utilized in MFCs for the generation of electricity and domestic effluent treatment. The biofilms formed facilitate electron transfer directly from the outer membrane cytochromes (pili) act as a nanowire. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was used as electrogenic bacteria for the first time for power generation in MFC.

Usually the life time of MFCs is directly depends on the microbial activity and nutrient resources or substrates available in the set up. As
per the available data, the life time typical MFCs may works upto months to years but few reports are also depicts that, they showed very short life time of upto weeks also.  

The survivability of microbes in MFCs is major concern and especially in MFC most of the bacterial genera belongs anaerobic group. Maintenance of the anaerobic is difficult task for large scale application.

**Future Perspective of MFCs**

Microbial fuel cell technology is a potential, emerging ecofriendly technology that meets the energy demand and resolve energy crisis in the future generation. The present electricity generation technologies is totally depend on the other natural energy resources and are depleting rapidly due to excess power utilization. Application and use of MFCs is approaching to the forefront as a multiple system for energy recovery and waste management simultaneously.

**CONCLUSION**

MFCs are encouraging technology towards generating electricity using organic waste and other domestic or industrial wastes. It acts as a green technology to generate electricity from biodegradable wastes with less emission and pollution. MFC technology could be used as an effective waste disposal method and it would assist in reducing environmental issues and human health arising from waste accumulation. For higher energy production, the optimization method includes the kind of microbial community used, substrates present in the medium, electrodes, is a key for enhancing energy output using MFC technology. Therefore, this method is eco-sustainable and does not produce toxic substances. Hence, MFC technology has a huge potential to play an important role in solving the future energy crisis and effective waste management which distinguishes it from conventional energy sources.
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