Temperature Programmed Desorption of Quench-condensed Krypton and Acetone in Air; Selective Concentration of Ultra-trace Gas Components
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Selective concentration of ultra-trace components in air-like gases has an important application in analyzing volatile organic compounds in the gas. In the present study, we examined quench-condensation of the sample gas on a ZnO substrate below 50 K followed by temperature programmed desorption (TPD) (low temperature TPD) as a selective gas concentration technique. We studied two specific gases in the normal air; krypton as an inert gas and acetone as a reactive gas. We evaluated the relationship between the operating condition of low temperature TPD and the lowest detection limit. In the case of krypton, we observed the selective concentration by exposing at 6 K followed by thermal desorption at about 60 K. On the other hand, no selectivity appeared for acetone although trace acetone was successfully concentrated. This is likely due to the solvent effect by a major component in the air, which is suggested to be water. We suggest that pre-condensation to remove the water component may improve the selectivity in the trace acetone analysis by low temperature TPD.
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typically performed above room temperature, but it is necessary to cool down the substrate temperature much lower than room temperature for quench condensation of VOCs. Furthermore, azeotrope may be formed during the desorption process of TPD, which likely causes problems in the separation of compounds by TPD. Although all these are obvious disadvantages of TPD for selective gas concentration, it may have still some attractive features compared with cryofocusing-GC-MS, such as small size, the economically low cost, and easy handling.

In the present paper, we provide basic data of gas concentration by quench condensation followed by TPD (low temperature TPD) for two specific traces in the air, those are krypton and acetone. These two components were chosen in light of their inert and reactive characteristics, respectively. The motivation for the krypton analysis comes from the fact that the Kr concentration in air is well established to be as small as 10⁻⁶ volume fraction (ppmv) level. Thus, it is convenient to examine the performance of low temperature TPD in ultra-trace inert gas analysis. On the other hand, the acetone analysis is for the future application of low temperature TPD to exhaled breath analysis, where acetone is well-known to be a disease marker. Our present study aims to discuss the possibility of selective concentration of trace level gases in the air-like gases. The possibility, limitation, and future direction of the development of the gas concentration by low temperature TPD will be discussed in the present paper.

**Experimental**

Experiments were performed in a ultra-high vacuum (UHV) chamber (base pressure 7 × 10⁻⁸ Pa) configured for TPD using QMS with an electron multiplier option (AMETEK, Dycor) (Fig. 1). The electron multiplier option was employed for krypton and acetone, whereas it was not used for other components in the air. Thus, the ion current of QMS by the components other than krypton and acetone were measured by a conventional faraday cup. The evacuations of the UHV chamber and the QMS part were separated by an aperture with the diameter of 6 mm. The whole set of the aperture, QMS, and the differentially pumping system was integrally retractable, and it was closely positioned to the substrate during the TPD measurement. This is to avoid the detection of the desorption species from places other than the substrate surface. The typical distance between the substrate surface and the aperture was less than 1 mm. The ramp rate was 5 K/min in TPD.

Our cryostat for gas condensation employed a UHV-compatible Gifford McMahon (GM) type closed-cycled He refrigerator (Iwatani, HE05) together with a homemade substrate stage and a radiation shield. The lowest temperatures around the substrate stage measured by a Si diode sensor (LakeShore, DT-670-SD-1.4H) with and without the additional radiation shield were 3.7 and 6.8 K, respectively. The actual temperature on the substrate surface was control within 1 K precision. This was confirmed from our separated experiments for observing superconducting transition by electrical resistance measurements on lead (Tc = 7.2 K) and niobium (Tc = 9.3 K) substrates (not shown).

The substrate used for the quench condensation of gases was a mirror-polished single crystalline ZnO(0001)-O face substrate with the size of 10 × 10 × 0.5 mm. The ZnO substrate was electrically floated so as to enable flash heating by electron bombardment. The electrical floating was made using a sapphire plate placed with a center hole of 10 × 10 mm size for the electron bombardment. The substrate was flash heated to 870 K for the surface cleaning before each quench-condensation. The gas condensation on the ZnO substrate was made by back-filling of the gas into the UHV chamber via a variable leak valve. The mixed gas of the normal air and Kr or acetone was prepared in the gas mixing chamber connected to the analysis chamber via the variable leak valve. The typical partial pressure of the back-filled gas was 1 × 10⁻² Pa. The gas pressure measured by an ionization vacuum gauge is corrected with a gas correction factor in the present paper.

**Results and Discussion**

**Krypton**

The concentration of krypton in the ambient air is accepted as 1.14 ppmv.⁴ There are 6 stable isotopes in natural krypton, and ⁸⁴Kr is the most prevalent among those isotopes, where the natural abundance of ⁸⁴Kr is 0.57.⁵ Due to the ultra-trace abundance of ⁸⁴Kr (0.65 ppmv) in the air and its inert nature, ⁸⁴Kr is an appropriate ultra-trace component for evaluating the analytical instrumentation of air-like gases.

Figure 2 shows the QMS spectrum of the ambient air. The dwell time was 0.5 s. The partial pressure of the air was 1 × 10⁻² Pa, and it is almost the upper limit of the acceptable QMS working pressure according to the product specification. Thus, the measurement condition is for evaluating the lowest detection limit. Judging from the peak-to-noise ratio around the peak at m/z of 84, the lowest detection limit of the present QMS system around m/z of 84 is estimated to be about 0.3 ppmv.

The quench condensation followed by TPD for the ambient air is shown in Fig. 3. The ZnO substrate was exposed to the ambient air with the pressure of 1 × 10⁻² Pa at 6 K for 1 min. The rapid intensity variations of ⁸⁴Kr at 25 and 34 K coincidently occur with the desorption of major components in the air; N₂ and O₂ drastically desorb at those temperatures as shown in
Thus, the 84Kr peaks at 25 and 34 K would be induced by the desorption of those air components. Other components, such as CO2, H2, and H2O, are also affected by the desorption of the major components of the air. On the other hand, 84Kr also exhibits the peak at 61 K, and it is independent of the intensity variation of the major component of the air. In our separated experiments, we observed that it is also independent of Ar and CH4 (not shown). Thus, the desorption at 61 K reflects the characteristics of 84Kr interaction with the substrate surface. From the viewpoint of the trace analysis, the 84Kr peak at 61 K may be useful for selective gas concentration by low temperature TPD.

Figure 4 shows the 84Kr peak intensity as a function of the concentration of 84Kr in the air. The inset shows TPD spectra for various concentrations of 84Kr from 0.65 to 14.9 ppmv. The sample was prepared by the exposure of the normal air of 1 × 10^{-2} Pa with controlled 84Kr concentration at 6 K for 1 min.

It is important to elucidate the selective concentration of the trace gas component in terms of the lowest detection limit. This is demonstrated for 84Kr as shown in Fig. 5. In this measurement, the ZnO substrate was exposed to air with the pressure of 1 × 10^{-2} Pa at 6 K. The duration of the exposure was varied from 20 s to 10 min. The lowest detection limit, which was estimated to be about 0.3 ppmv for krypton as previously mentioned, is substantially improved by the prolonged exposure. It seems that the lowest detection limit of about 0.03 ppmv for 84Kr is achieved with the exposure for 10 min.
Acetone

The acetone analysis is important for the purpose of the future application of the low temperature TPD technique to real breath analysis, where acetone is well-known to be a disease marker.16–21 We investigated low temperature TPD for acetone diluted in ambient air as shown in Fig. 6(a). The ZnO substrate was exposed to either the pure acetone atmosphere (1 × 10^{-5} Pa) or the mixture of air (1 × 10^{-5} Pa) and acetone (1 × 10^{-4} Pa) at 50 K. Thus, the acetone concentration in the latter case is 100 ppmv. The coincident TPD behavior between m/z of 43 and 58 verifies that the intensity variation in Fig. 6(a) originates from desorbed acetone and not from the fragmentation of other surface constituents.22 The desorption of the acetone occurs at different temperatures between the pure acetone and the mixture of the air and acetone. In the latter, it coincidentally occurs with the major components of the air as observed in Fig. 6(b). Because the TPD peak of water is much larger than those of other components in Fig. 6(b), it is likely that the desorption of acetone diluted in the air is induced by that of water in the air. Unlike the case of 84Kr in the air (Fig. 3), we observe no TPD peak of acetone which is independent of major components in the air. This is probably due to the stronger interaction of acetone with the surrounding environment (the solvent effect) compared with that of inert krypton. Thus, it is suggested that the removal of major components in the air, such as water, is critical for selective concentration of acetone in the air.

Figure 7 shows the acetone peak intensity (m/z of 43 and 58) as a function of the acetone concentration in the air. The ZnO substrate was exposed to the mixture of air (1 × 10^{-2} Pa) and acetone of various partial pressure at 50 K for 1 min. The acetone peak intensities correspond to the integrated ion current between 120 and 250 K. They are fitted with a linear function, indicating that low temperature TPD is effective for the trace acetone analysis in the breath like gas. The gradient of the linear function for m/z of 43 is about 7 times larger than that of 58. This factor resembles that in the mass spectrum database.22 It seems that the relationship between the ion current and the acetone concentration at m/z of 43 deviates from the linear function with the increase of the acetone concentration. This may be due to the characteristic of the multiplier of our QMS. The gain of the secondary electrons in the multiplier may decrease with the ion current. This point remains unclear in the present study.

From the data in Fig. 7, the detection limit of acetone is estimated to be 0.02 and 0.14 ppmv using the TPD peak at m/z of 43 and 58, respectively. These detection limits are estimated assuming the linearity between the ion current and the acetone concentration in the range below 3 ppmv. Moreover, it is also assumed that the resolution of the ion current in our QMS with the multiplier option is 1 × 10^{-12} A, which is suggested from the data in Fig. 2. These detection limits using TPD seem to be substantially improved from that of QMS (0.2 ppmv), which is determined by the signal-to-noise ratio in the QMS spectra (Fig. 2) and electron impact ionization probability.

From the viewpoint of selective condensation of disease markers, it is desirable to remove the major component in the gas as suggested from the result shown in Fig. 6. In the case of the acetone added-air, the desorption behavior of acetone is likely determined by water as previously mentioned. Thus, if water is successfully removed from the air, the intrinsic desorption behavior of the acetone may appear, which is observed in the exposure to the pure acetone (Fig. 6(a)). The water component has been removed by using adsorbents, such as fused silica in conventional GC.23 However, careful handling of the adsorbent is necessary to avoid the contamination of sample gases. Thus, we examined the removal of the water component by pre-condensation as described below. The water component has been successfully removed by pre-condensation also in cryofocusing-GC-MS. We studied here the performance of pre-condensation in the specific case of low temperature TPD.

Thermal desorption of water with the peaks at 149 K occurs at a substantially higher temperature than that of acetone with the peak at 125 K as seen in Fig. 6. Thus, it is expected that water is dominantly removed from the acetone added-air by quench condensation between these two temperatures. Actually, this is confirmed as shown in Fig. 8. The ZnO substrate was exposed to air (1 × 10^{-2} Pa) with 100 ppmv acetone at either 50 K (Fig. 8(a)) or 145 K (Fig. 8(b)) for 1 min. In the case of the exposure experiment at 145 K, the ZnO substrate was cooled to

Fig. 6 TPD spectra for m/z of (a) 43 and 58 and (b) 2, 18, 28, 32, and 44. The TPD measurements were made with and without the electron multiplier in (a) and (b), respectively. The sample was prepared by the exposure to the air of 1 × 10^{-2} Pa (broken curves) or by the exposure to the air of 1 × 10^{-2} Pa mixed with acetone of 100 ppmv (solid curves) at 50 K for 1 min.

Fig. 7 TPD peak intensity at m/z of 43 (black squares) and 58 (red circles) as a function of acetone concentration in the air. The sample was prepared by the exposure to the air of 1 × 10^{-2} Pa with various concentrations of acetone at 50 K for 1 min.
50 K after the exposure, and then TPD measurement was started. The desorption of acetone is remarkably suppressed with the exposure at 145 K compared with 50 K. This is judged from the ratio of integrated ion current at $m/z$ of 58 (acetone) to 18 (water). Thus, the result suggests that pre-condensation at higher temperatures followed by low temperature TPD improves the trace analytical ability. The two-step quench-condensations followed by TPD may be a future direction for the development of the low temperature TPD based gas concentration method.

Comparison with other gas analytical techniques

Finally, we would like to briefly compare the low temperature TPD technique and other gas analytical methods. The present study suggests that the low temperature TPD is useful in trace gas analysis with the detection limit of sub ppmv. Although even better detection limit is expected with longer exposure duration in low temperature TPD, much better detection limit (pptv) has already been established by other recent techniques. These are the case of, for example, trace gas analysis using quantum cascade lasers\(^3\) and cavity ringdown spectroscopy (CRDS).\(^4\) These are absorption spectroscopy-based techniques, and therefore, analytes are limited by the availability of probe laser light at the appropriate wavelength. Concerning the selectivity of low temperature TPD combined with QMS, more than several different components of gases with $m/z$ smaller than 200 - 300 can be simultaneously measured.

Some gas analytical methods have successfully demonstrated high data throughput measurement. For example, recent CRDS studies reported a real-time response without a sample pre-process.\(^5\) This is obviously superior to low temperature TPD, which needs at least a few minutes to complete one measurement.

The size of low temperature TPD is essentially determined by a refrigerator. The present study employed a GM refrigerator with the length of about 0.5 m and weight of about 20 kg. This may be smaller than some advanced cryofocus-GC-MS but larger than miniaturized GC with the detector based on metal oxide gas sensors.\(^6\)

The availability of recent advanced gas analytical techniques is often limited due to their economical cost. For example, CRDS is rather expensive because it requires the laser system and high reflectivity mirrors. The low temperature TPD combined with QMS is not so expensive as those techniques. The main factors of expense of our setup are a refrigerator, vacuum pumps, and QMS. The refrigerator was most expensive. This is because our refrigerator can generate cryogenic temperatures such as 4 K. The present study suggests that such a low temperature is not necessary for gas analysis by the low temperature TPD. Thus, we suppose that the expense of the low temperature TPD can be reduced in our future work.

Conclusions

We examined quench condensation of the air-like gas below 50 K on the ZnO substrate followed by TPD (low temperature TPD) as a concentration technique of trace components in gases. For the purpose of the examination with well-defined gases, we used either krypton or acetone added-ambient air. We found that low temperature TPD effectively improves the lowest detection limit of QMS for both krypton and acetone. Moreover, krypton has a TPD peak at 61 K that is not affected by major components in the air, and thus the selective concentration is possible for krypton by the simple low temperature TPD procedure. On the other hand, the desorption of acetone was induced by the major components of the air, which is likely water. Thus, the removal of the water component from the air is critical for the trace acetone analysis by low temperature TPD procedure. We found that the water is dominantly removed by exposing to the substrate at 145 K. Thus, it is suggested that pre-quench condensation improves the trace analytical performance of low temperature TPD. Finally, we comment briefly on the comparison between low temperature TPD and other gas analytical methods in terms of sensitivity, selectivity, data throughput, size, and expense.
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