CSPP Is a Ciliary Protein Interacting with Nephrocystin 8 and Required for Cilia Formation
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We described previously the cell cycle- and microtubule-related functions of two splice isoforms of the centrosome spindle pole-associated protein (CSPP and CSPP-L). Here, we show that endogenous CSPP isoforms not only localize to centrosomes and the midbody in cycling cells but also extend to the cilia axoneme in postmitotic resting cells. They are required for ciliogenesis in hTERT-RPE1 cells in vitro and are expressed in ciliated renal, retinal, and respiratory cells in vivo. We report that CSPP isoforms require their common C-terminal domain to interact with Nephrocystin 8 (NPHP8/RPGRIP1L) and to form a ternary complex with NPHP8 and NPHP4. We find CSPP-L to be required for the efficient localization of NPHP8 but not NPHP4 to the basal body. The ciliogenesis defect in hTERT-RPE1 cells is, however, not mediated through loss of NPHP8. Similar to the effects of ectopical expression of CSPP-L, cilia length increased in NPHP8-depleted cells. Our results thus suggest that CSPP proteins may be involved in further cytoskeletal organization of the basal body and its primary cilium. To conclude, we have identified a novel, nonmitotic function of CSPP proteins placing them into a ciliary protein network crucial for normal renal and retinal tissue architecture and physiology.

INTRODUCTION

Centrosomes are well known for their role in the organization of the microtubule (MT) cytoskeleton in interphase and mitosis, thus impacting intracellular trafficking, intracellular signaling, cell motility, adhesion, and polarity. Structurally, the centrosome is a nonmembranous organelle that consists of a tethered unequal pair of centrioles embedded within an electron-dense matrix termed pericentriolar material. The older mother centriole is distinguished from the younger daughter centriole by the presence of distal and subdistal appendages. These appendages are important for multiple functions, including MT anchoring and docking of the mother centriole to the cell membrane upon cilia formation (for a recent review, see Bettencourt-Dias and Glover, 2007). The centrosome is duplicated once during the cell cycle to allow bipolar spindle assembly in mitosis. During duplication, a new daughter centriole arises at each centriole. The duplicated centrosome pair is untethered before spindle assembly where both centrosomes acquire MT nucleation activity. The maturation of the older centriole of the daughter centrosome that includes the acquisition of distal and subdistal appendages is not concluded until completion of mitosis and reentry into interphase (Azimzadeh and Bornens, 2007).

During the cell division cycle DNA and centrosome duplication have to be coordinated. The detailed examination of the structural organization and the dynamic protein composition of centrosomes revealed many molecular links to diverse cell biological processes (Doxsey et al., 2005a,b; Schatten, 2008). For example, several proteins involved in DNA replication and DNA damage response (DDR) localize to the centrosome (Zhang et al., 2007), and centrosomal proteins have been identified as target of the DDR (Smith et al., 2009). Furthermore, loss of centrosome integrity can cause cell cycle arrest (Srsten et al., 2006; Mikule et al., 2007). These examples emphasize the interrelationship of centrosomes and DNA in terms of duplication and integrity surveillance. In addition, several centrosomal proteins localize to the midbody and are involved in the regulation of cytokinesis (Doxsey, 2005; Barr and Gruneberg, 2007). Taken together, the centrosome is not only a follower of the cell division cycle but is actively monitored to ensure the balanced segregation of the duplicated genome in mitosis.

In cells that withdraw from proliferation the centrosome can be docked via the distal appendages of the mother centriole to the cell membrane. Undergoing a process of morphogenesis to form a basal body, the mother centriole can template the assembly of an MT axoneme at its distal end to form either a motile or nonmotile cilium (for reviews, see Dawe et al., 2007; Nigg and Raff, 2009). Almost all cells of the human body can display primary cilia (Wheatley, 1995; Wheatley et al., 1996). Primary cilia functions are cell type
specific and range from locomotion to acting as environmental sensors participating in cell signaling (Shah et al., 2009; Veland et al., 2009). It is now well known that genetic defects affecting cilia or basal bodies result in a myriad of pathologies, collectively termed ciliopathies (Cardenas-Rodriguez et al., 2009). They are either specific to one or do involve multiple organs. These pathologies include infertility, obesity, blindness, deafness, respiratory disease, cystic kidney disease, hydrocephalus, situs inversitus, skeletal malformations, and other developmental defects (Fliegauf et al., 2007).

Notably, several causative mutations have been identified in genes whose gene products have been localized to centrosomes/basal bodies (Marshall, 2008). Mutation of these proteins not only might affect cilia-associated functions but also might extend to abnormalities in cell polarity, motility, and adhesion, thereby disrupting normal tissue architecture and function. This is well studied in renal cystic diseases such as polycystic kidney disease (PKD) for which two major disease genes, PKD1 and PKD2, have been identified. Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is the most frequent life-threatening genetic disease, with an incident rate of ~1 in 500 (Harris and Torres, 2009). Although less frequent than ADPKD, the autosomal recessive cystic renal disorder Nephronophthisis (NPHP) is the most frequent genetic cause of end-stage renal failure in children and young adults. To date, causative mutations in 10 genes (NPHP1–9 and NPHP11) have been identified for this monogenic autosomal recessive cystic kidney disease (Otto et al., 2009; Hildebrandt et al., 2009a,b). In contrast to polycystic kidney disease, in NPHP the kidney size is not increased despite the development of predominantly corticomedullary tubular cysts. Individual NPHP proteins have been functionally associated with centrosomes, cilia, and, in some cases cell–cell junctions. It is thus thought that cyst formation in NPHP is a result of the deregulation of ciliary/centrosome associated signaling pathways that affect the planar cell polarity of the tubular epithelia cells. Furthermore, the loss of cilia associated functions of NPHP proteins is frequently associated with extrarenal involvement of other tissues that require cilia integrity, the most frequent pathologies being retinitis pigmentosa (Senior–Loken syndrome), liver fibrosis, and cerebellar vermis aplasia (Joubert syndrome; Hildebrandt and Zhou, 2007; Salomon et al., 2009).

We originally identified the centrosomal protein encoding centrosome spindle pole associated protein (CSPP) gene as overexpressed in a mRNA expression screen aimed at the identification of genes involved in progression of human B cell lymphoma (Patzke et al., 2005). Cloning of two splice isoforms, CSPP and CSPP-L, and their characterization by ectopic expression and small interfering RNA (siRNA) depletion linked CSPP isoforms functionally to centrosomes, microtubule organization, and cell cycle progression (Patzke et al., 2005, 2006). CSPP isoforms localized to centrosomes throughout the cell division cycle. The larger isoform decorated kinetochore MTs in metaphase, concentrated at the midspindle in anaphase and localized to the midbody during cytokinesis. Overexpression of either isoform promoted aneuploidy through the generation of abnormal spindles. This finding is supportive for an oncogenic role of CSPP overexpression. A recent study addressing the mitotic function of CSPP isoform showed that CSPP proteins were required for the recruitment of the myosin GTPase exchange factor MyoGEF to the midspindle, thus facilitating cytokinesis by aiding actomyosin ring formation and constriction (Asiedu et al., 2009).

We have now generated a monoclonal antibody (mAb) directed against the common C-terminal domain of CSPP and CSPP-L to further examine their expression and function in cells and tissues. The tissue-specific expression, subcellular localization, and protein interactions described in the presented study link CSPP to cilia related functions of renal and retinal cells. Thus we hypothesize that loss or malfunction of CSPP isoforms could contribute to ciliary disease.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Generation of a CSPP-specific Antibody**

Bacterial expression constructs of CSPP fragments were obtained by subcloning of polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-derived cDNA fragments into pGEX-6P-3 (glutathione transferase [GST]-tag; GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA) and pQE-32 (His-tag; QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Tagged proteins were overexpressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) and purified by affinity chromatography. Hybridomas expressing CSPP-specific antibodies were generated from mice immunized with GST-CSPP and identified by affinity for H5-CSPP (BioGenes, Berlin, Germany).

**Antibodies, Plasmids, and siRNA Duplexes**

The following primary antibodies were used in this study; mouse anti-α-catenin (610154; BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), rabbit anti-CSPP1 (1:931-1-AP; Proteintech Europe, Manchester, United Kingdom), mouse anti-c-myc, rabbit anti-FLAG, mouse anti-γ-tubulin, mouse anti-acetylated tubulin, (all Sigma Chemical, Poole, Dorset, United Kingdom), goat anti-NPHP4 clone N-17 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), rabbit anti-NPHP1 and rabbit anti-IFIT8 (kind gifts from Greg Pazour, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA), and mouse anti-glutamyalted tubulin (a kind gift from Canstein Janke, Institut Curie, Orsay, Cedex, France). Secondary antibodies for immunofluorescence and Western blot analysis were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) and Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories (West Grove, PA).

Plasmids encoding for hemagglutinin (HA)- and Myc-tagged CSPP/CSPP-L expression constructs were described previously (Patzke et al., 2005, 2006). The FLAG-NPHP4 expression construct was a kind gift from Ronald Roepman (Nijmegen Center for Molecular Life Sciences, Nijmegen, The Netherlands) and has been described previously (Arts et al., 2007). The FLAG-NPHP4 expression construct was kindly provided by Thomas Benzing (Universität Köln, Cologne, Germany).

The following siRNA duplexes were obtained from Dharmaco RNA Technologies (Lafayette, CO): ON-TARGET plus SMARTpool siRNAs targeting CSPP1 and a single siRNA targeting a shared sequence of CSPP and CSPP-L mRNAs: 5′-GAAGATTTCGCCAATGACC-3′ and a signal siRNA targeting a CSPP-L mRNA specific sequence: 5′-ACAUGAAUUAGAGGAAA-3′ (Patzke et al., 2005), siGenome SMARTpool siRNAs targeting RPGRIP1L/NPHP4, green fluorescent protein (GFP), and pericentrin (PCNT) targeting siRNAs described previously (Mikule et al., 2007).

**Immunoprecipitations and Immunoblotting**

For immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis, cells were washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.6, 20 mM Na3PO4, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, and 1% (vol/vol) NP-40] supplemented with inhibitor cocktails targeting phosphatases (Sigma Chemical) and proteases (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Crude cell lysates were clarified by centrifugation (20,000 g; 4°C; 15 min). Immunoprecipitations were carried out overnight at 4°C, and immunocomplexes were purified using protein A/G-Sepharose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and three consecutive washes in lysis buffer. Immunocomplexes or samples of total cell lysates were resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA) for Western blot analysis. For communoprecipitation (IP) from retinal extracts, bovine retinal extracts (~300 μg) were subjected to IP using CSPP-L or NPHP8 antibodies essentially as described previously (Khanna et al., 2005, 2009).

**Cell Culture and Transfection Procedures**

Human embryonic kidney (HEK)293T and HeLa cells were grown in DMEM. hTERT-RPE1 cells were grown in DMEM/F-12. Both media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum, penicillin, and streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2. HEK293T and HeLa cells were transfected with plasmids or siRNAs by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. hTERT-RPE1 cells were transfected with siRNA duplexes using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) as described in Mikule et al. (2007).
**Immunofluorescence Imaging**

For immunofluorescence microscopy, cells were grown on sterilized no. 1.5 glass coverslips (Glasswarenfabrik Karl Hecht KG, Sondheim, Germany) and fixed in ice-cold (−20°C) methanol. For immunostaining, cells were washed three times with PBS and blocked 15 min in PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.5% Triton X-100 (PB SAT) or ImageIT FX (Invitrogen) before incubation with primary antibodies in PB SAT. Cells were washed three times with PB SAT before incubation with fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies, counterstained for DNA using 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) or DRAQ5, washed, and mounted slides using Prolong Gold (Invitrogen). All confocal images were obtained using either an AxioVert 200 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany), a 100×/1.4 PlanApo differential interference contrast (DIC) lens, an Ultraview spinning disk (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA), and an ORCA-ER camera (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ) or with an AxioImager Z1 ApoTome microscope system (Carl Zeiss), a 100×/1.4 PlanApo DIC lens, and an AxioCam MRm camera. To display the entire cell volume, these images are presented as maximal projections of z-stacks using MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, MDS Analytical Technologies, Sunnyvale, CA) or ImageJ (public domain National Institutes of Health Image software, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Live cell imaging of transiently transfected, serum-starved hTERT-RPE1 cells grown in 35-mm ibiTreat μ-culture dishes (Ibidi, Munich, Germany) was performed using a CellObserver microscope system (Carl Zeiss) equipped with a 40×/1.3 PlanApo phase 3 lens and an AxioCam MRm camera.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Expression of CSPP proteins was studied by immunohistochemistry using the EnVision + peroxidase system (Dako Denmark, Glostrup, Denmark). CSPP antibodies were carefully titrated, and secondary antibodies and detection reagents were tested to exclude detection of false positives. Monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies were used at 1:50 and 1:250 dilution, respectively. Formalin-fixed 4-μm sections from paraaffin-embedded blocks were dewaxed using xylene and serial ethanol dilutions and subsequently pretreated by heat in a microwave oven for antigen retrieval (750 W; 4 × 5 min) in Tris-EDTA, pH 9.0. This was followed by sequential incubations with primary antibodies for 30 min, secondary antibodies for 30 min, and incubation with DAB for 5 min. Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. Stained sections were dehydrated by successive washes in 70, 95, and 100% ethanol; transferred to xylene; and mounted using glycerol vinyl alcohol aqueous mounting solution. Tissue sections were imaged using a Uplan 40×/0.75 phase 2 or Uplan FL 100×/1.30 lens on a motorized AX-70 microscope (Olympus Optical, Hamburg, Germany) equipped with a syncroCOOL 435 charge-coupled device camera (Syncroscopy, Cambridge, United Kingdom).

---

**Figure 1.** Generation of a CSPP/CSPP-L–specific antibody. (A) Schematic representation of CSPP and CSPP-L proteins and bacterially expressed constructs used for antibody generation. Bold bars indicate coiled-coil regions. (B) Western blot using the generated monoclonal CSPP/CSPP-L–specific antibody for detection of CSPP isoforms in total cell lysates of HEK293T cells transfected with indicated plasmids. (C) Immunofluorescence detection of CSPP-Legfp (green) in transiently transfected HeLa cells using the generated monoclonal CSPP/CSPP-L–specific antibody (red). (D) Immunofluorescence staining against CSPP/CSPP-L (red) and γ-tubulin (green) of HeLa cells 72 h posttransfection with either GFP or CSPP/CSPP-L mRNA targeting siRNA.
RESULTS

Generation of a CSPP-specific mAb

A mAb directed against the common C terminus of CSPP and CSPP-L was generated by immunization of mice with a bacterially expressed GST-fusion protein that made up the C-terminal 379 aa of CSPP and CSPP-L. Individual clones were screened for reactivity against a bacterially expressed His-tagged fusion protein making up the common C-terminal 291 aa and further tested for reactivity against both CSPP isoforms ectopically expressed in HEK293T cells (Figure 1A). A clone, k22-11, was identified whose antibodies specifically detected both ectopically expressed CSPP isoforms by means of Western blot analysis and immunofluorescence (Figure 1, B and C). The sensitivity of this antibody was too low to detect endogenous CSPP isoforms by Western blot of total cell lysates (Figure 1B) but sufficient to detect a centrosomal antigen by immunofluorescence analysis of HeLa cells (Figure 1C). The immunofluorescence staining consistently showed a two barrel like staining pattern embedded within γ-tubulin containing foci. Importantly, the mAb staining was lost in cells depleted for CSPP isoforms by transfection with an individual siRNA against a sequence common to CSPP and CSPP-L mRNAs as well as siRNA pool targeting both isoforms (Figure 1D and Supplemental Figure 1).

Cspp Isoforms Localize to Centrioles and the Midbody in Cycling Cells and to Centrioles and the Cilia Axoneme in Resting Cells

The observed centrosomal staining pattern suggested that CSPP isoforms localized to the centrosome. We therefore analyzed the localization of CSPP isoforms in hTERT-RPE1 cells that stably express GFP-centrin 2 (CENT2gfp) fusion protein, a structural component of the centrosome. CSPP isoforms colocalized with the centriolar marker. However, frequently extracentriolar staining, extending from one of the centrioles reminiscent of cilia was observed (Figure 2A). We therefore investigated the localization of CSPP isoforms in hTERT-RPE1 cells that had been serum starved for 48 h to induce primary cilia formation. CSPP isoforms not only localized to centrosomes but also extended into the lower cilia axoneme as shown by costaining for the intraflagellar transport protein IFT88, which decorates the cilia axoneme and the transition zone. In contrast to IFT88, CSPP proteins were only detected at the lower part of the cilia axoneme (Figure 2B).

In cycling cells, IFT88 localizes to the distal appendages of the mother centriole (Figure 2C). In Figure 2C, one interphase and one mitotic (telophase/cytokinesis) cell are depicted. The interphase cell displays duplicated and separated centriole pairs, which both are stained by the CSPP antibody although only one centriole stains at the distal end for IFT88. During telophase, CSPP isoforms are still localized to centrioles but also stained midbodies that were identified by phase-contrast imaging. The expression and localization of CSPP-L to centrosomes and midbodies also was observed in uncinulated cells such as human B lymphocytes (Supplemental Figure 2).

CSPP-L Localizes to Basal Bodies and the Cilia Axoneme

During the course of our study, a polyclonal antibody against the CSPP-L specific N terminus became available. This polyclonal antibody specifically detects Myc-tagged CSPP-L but not CSPP in immuno blots of total cell lysates of HEK293T transfectants, and it detects an endogenous protein of the size of CSPP-L in total cell lysates of untransfected HEK293T cells (Figure 3A). Furthermore, this polyclonal antibody immunoprecipitated an endogenous protein of approximately 150 kDa from total cell lysates of hTERT-RPE1 cells. The immunoprecipitated protein was stained by the mAb that is directed against the common C-terminal end of CSPP and CSPP-L (Figure 3B). We conclude that the polyclonal antibody is specific to CSPP-L. Immunofluorescence staining of serum-starved hTERT-RPE1 cells for CSPP-L and acetylated tubulin, a tubulin modification found on centrioles and the cilia axoneme, showed that CSPP-L localized to the centrosome and the cilia axoneme (Figure 3C). Notably, the cilia staining seemed not to be limited to the lower axoneme as observed with the mAb. CSPP-L decorated patches along the whole axoneme. Furthermore, costaining with the mAb against the common C-terminal domain of both isoforms and the CSPP-L specific antibody not only showed colocalization at centrioles and the lower axoneme...
but also additional staining of CSPP-L around the centrosome and along the upper cilia axoneme (Figure 3D).

We next examined the localization of ectopically expressed enhanced green fluorescent protein (egfp)-tagged CSPP isoforms in transiently transfected, serum-starved hTERT-RPE1 cells. Both isoforms specifically concentrated around the basal body and within the cilia axoneme (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 3). Notably, the axonemes of in particular CSPP-Legfp transfectants seemed elongated in live transfectants. This increase in cilia length was confirmed in fixed cells by costaining for the ciliary marker acetylated tubulin. The average length of the cilia axoneme in CSPP-Legfp transfectants (4.9 μm; SEM = 0.2 μm) was found to be significantly longer than in CSPP-egfp (3.4 μm; SEM = 0.2 μm) and EGFP (3.1 μm; SEM = 0.1 μm) control transfectants (Figure 3E). Expression of truncated CSPP-CSPP-L constructs identified the common central coiled-coil (amino acids 295–708 in CSPP-L, 1–503 in CSPP) as being required for ciliary localization, whereas the common C-terminal domain of both isoforms only enriched around centrosomes. The CSPP-L specific N-terminal domain (amino acids 1-295 in CSPP-L) neither showed ciliary localization but decorated stress fibers in live cells and colocalized with actin fibers in fixed cells (Supplemental Figure 3). Collectively, these data identify CSPP proteins as novel ciliary proteins and identify a CSPP-L–specific actin or stress fiber targeting protein domain.

**CSPP and CSPP-L Are Expressed in Ciliated Cells of Renal, Retinal, and Bronchial Tissues**

Supportive for a ciliary function, we observed that CSPP1 mRNA is predominantly expressed in ciliated tissues during mouse embryogenesis (Supplemental Figure 4). We therefore examined the expression of CSPP proteins in three independent biopsies of normal human kidney using the monoclonal CSPP/CSPP-L–specific antibody. CSPP protein expression was specifically detected in tubular epithelia cells of the nephron, whereas mesenchymal cells and cells of the blood filtrating glomeruli did not express CSPP proteins (Figure 4A). An identical staining pattern was obtained using the monoclonal CSPP-L–specific (green) and the CSPP/CSPP-L–specific mAb (red). Colocalization is observed at the basal body and in patches at the lower cilia axoneme (arrow). (E) Costaining for the ciliary marker acetylated tubulin in serum starved hTERT-RPE1 cells transiently expressing either EGFP, CSPP-egfp, or CSPP-L-egfp (green) show both EGFP-fusion proteins specifically enriched at basal bodies and along cilia axonomes, including the ciliary tip structure (see Supplemental Figure 3). CSPP-L-egfp transfectants show statistically significant longer cilia axonomes than CSPP-egfp and EGFP transfectants (error bars depict SE of the mean cilia length measured in three independent experiments).
of the pigmented epithelium, the sensory photoreceptor cells of the outer nuclear layer, whereas the mesenchyme of the choroid (underlying the pigmented epithelium) showed no CSPP-L expression. Interestingly, the strongest CSPP-L expression was detected in cells of the outer nuclear layer and showed a characteristic subcellular localization to the connecting cilium that composes the transition zone between the inner segment and the outer segment (Figure 4C). A second zone of concentrated CSPP-L expression is detected in the apical part of the outer plexiform layer adjacent to the outer nuclear layer where synapses between bipolar cells of the inner nuclear layer and the photoreceptor cells of the outer nuclear layer are formed. Notably, both neuronal cell types, the bipolar cells and the ganglia cells, also showed CSPP-L expression. This localization is very similar to what is reported for NPHP8 and NPHP4 (Arts et al., 2007). NPHP8 and NPHP4 belong to a group of eleven genes (NPHP1–11) identified by positional cloning to harbor causative mutations in patients suffering from NPHP. Individual NPHP
proteins have been functionally associated with centrosomes, cilia, and cell–cell junctions. It is thus thought that NPHP is a result of the deregulation of ciliary/centrosome–associated signaling pathways in tubular epithelia cells, leading to cyst formation. The loss of cilia associated functions of NPHP4 and NPHP8 proteins is frequently associated with an extra-renal phenotype, primarily retinal degeneration and neurological disorders.

Finally, gene expression in the mouse embryo (Supplemental Figure 4) and transcriptional profiling of mucociliary differentiation in human airway epithelial cells indicated CSPP expression in multiciliary epithelia cells, leading to cyst formation. The loss of cilia associated functions of NPHP4 and NPHP8 proteins is frequently associated with an extra-renal phenotype, primarily retinal degeneration and neurological disorders.

CSPP Isoforms Are Required for Ciliogenesis
To explore the putative ciliary function of CSPP proteins, we tested their requirement for cilia formation in hTERT-RPE1 cells in response to serum starvation. hTERT-RPE1 cells were consecutively transfected with siRNA targeting both CSPP isoforms or targeting the CSPP-L isoform alone (Figure 5 and Supplemental Figure 5). To achieve an efficient knockdown, cells were allowed to grow in serum containing medium for 48h after a first siRNA transfection before being subjected to a second transfection that was followed by serum starvation for 48 h to induce ciliogenesis. As controls, cells were transfected with siRNA targeting GFP or the centrosomal protein PCNT. PCNT has been shown previously to be required for ciliogenesis in hTERT-RPE1 cells (Mikule et al., 2007). The knockdown of CSPP isoforms inhibited ciliogenesis to a similar degree as the knockdown of pericentrin, whereas ciliogenesis was unimpaired in GFP siRNA transfectants (Figure 5, A and B). Fifty percent (SD = 5%) of the cells transfected with SMARTpool siRNAs targeting CSPP1 transcripts displayed either no cilia or cilia with severely shortened axonemes (Figure 5C). A similar loss of ciliogenesis was observed in hTERT-RPE1 cells transfected with the CSPP and CSPP-L targeting single siRNA used in previous studies (Patzke et al., 2005), thus excluding potential unspecificity of the siRNA mix. Interestingly, a single siRNA specific to the mRNA of the larger CSPP-L isoform also impaired ciliogenesis (Figure 5C and Supplemental Figure 5A), indicating that this isoform alone is a major contributor to ciliogenesis in hTERT-RPE1 cells.

It could be argued that the knockdown of CSPP/CSPP-L before the serum starvation resulted in an arrest in other phases of the cell cycle than G0/G1 similar to what was observed in p53-deficient HEK293T cells (Patzke et al., 2005) and that this could be the explanation for the reduced cilia formation. However, hTERT-RPE1 cells arrested in G0/G1 after depletion of other centrosomal proteins (Sršen et al., 2006; Mikule et al., 2007). It is suggested that the impaired cilia formation is a result of the lack of CSPP/CSPP-L, rather than a result of arrest in a cell cycle phase that is not permissive for cilia formation.
CSPP Proteins Colocalize and Interact with NPHP Proteins of the Transition Zone

The cell type-specific expression, the requirement for ciliogenesis and the intriguing localization to the lower cilia axoneme throughout the transition zone suggested a possible involvement of CSPP isoforms in human ciliopathies. We therefore tested known ciliopathy related proteins that localize to the basal body and the transition zone for colocalization and interaction with CSPP or CSPP-L. These include NPHP1 (Fliegauf et al., 2006), NPHP4 (interacts with NPHP1; Mollet et al., 2002, 2005; Otto et al., 2002), and the retinitis pigmentosa GTPase regulator-interacting protein 1-like protein NPHP8 (interacts with NPHP4; Delous et al., 2007; Arts et al., 2007). NPHP8 and NPHP1 localized specifically to the transition zone that is formed at the tip of the mother centriole/basal body, colocalizing with CSPP proteins (Figure 6, A and B). NPHP4 showed a less focused localization being detected around both centrioles and to a minor degree at the transition zone as shown by costaining with the CSPP-L–specific antibody (Figure 6C).

We screened for possible protein–protein interactions in hTERT-RPE1 cells and identified NPHP8 as a CSPP-L–interacting protein by means of coimmunoprecipitation with the CSPP-L–specific antibody (Figure 6C). This interaction was further confirmed in bovine retina extracts by reciprocal coimmunoprecipitation experiments by using NPHP8–specific and CSPP-L–specific antibodies, respectively (Figure 7B). Notably, bovine CSPP-L migrated at a slightly higher molecular weight, which may indicate posttranslational modification or the existence of further splice variants. Next, differentially tagged proteins were transiently overexpressed in HEK293T cells and tested for interaction by coimmunoprecipitation from total cell lysates to further characterize the nature of the interaction of NPHP8 and CSPP proteins. FLAG-NPHP8 was confirmed as a CSPP-myc– and CSPP-L-myc–interacting protein. Immunoprecipitation of either myc-tagged CSPP isoform coimmunoprecipitated a FLAG-tagged construct of the C-terminal part of NPHP8 (amino acid residues 411-1055; Arts et al., 2007) and vice versa (Figure 7C). Furthermore, this result indicated that CSPP and CSPP-L share a NPHP8 binding domain. To identify this domain, the FLAG-NPHP8 construct was transiently coexpressed with either full-length or truncated HA-tagged variants of CSPP lacking either the N- or the C-terminal domain (Patzke et al., 2005) and subjected to immunoprecipitation using a FLAG-tag–specific antibody. Immunoprecipitated FLAG-NPHP8 copurified both full-length HA-CSPP and the construct lacking the N-terminal domain, whereas the interaction was lost upon deletion of the C-terminal domain. This result supports the specificity of the interaction, because both HA-tagged and Myc-tagged CSPP can be coprecipitated, and identifies the common C-terminal 292 aa of CSPP and CSPP-L to be required for the interaction with NPHP8 (Figure 7D).

NPHP8 is a NPHP4-interacting protein (Delous et al., 2007; Arts et al., 2007). It is therefore possible that CSPP could complex with NPHP4 directly or could form a ternary complex with NPHP8. To test this hypothesis, individual Myc-tagged CSPP isoforms were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells together with either FLAG-tagged NPHP4 (FLAG-NPHP4) alone or with a combination of FLAG-NPHP4 and FLAG-NPHP8 (Figure 7E). CSPP isoforms were immunoprecipitated from total lysates with a Myc-tag–specific antibody and tested for coprecipitation of FLAG-tagged NPHP4 or NPHP8. CSPP and CSPP-L poorly copurified FLAG-NPHP4 in the absence of FLAG-NPHP8, whereas coexpression of FLAG-NPHP8 increased significantly the efficacy of FLAG-NPHP4 copurification. This result indicated that CSPP and CSPP-L can form a ternary complex with NPHP4 via its binding to NPHP8.
CSPP Proteins Stabilize NPHP8 at the Transition Zone but Loss of NPHP8 Is Not the Cause of Ciliogenesis Impairment

NPHP8 is a known regulator of cilia-mediated signaling, and the NPHP8 knockout mouse displays decreased cilia numbers in tissues undergoing morphogenic transitions, although primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts derived from this mouse are competent in ciliogenesis (Vierkotten et al., 2007). We therefore investigated whether loss of CSPP/CSPP-L would perturb the localization of NPHP8. Whereas control siRNA transfectants displayed normal cilia and normal localization of NPHP8 to the base of the primary cilium (the area between centrioles and the cilia axoneme that is devoid of staining for acetylated tubulin), NPHP8 staining is severely diminished in the cilia defective CSPP/CSPP-L SMARTpool siRNA or CSPP-L siRNA transfectants (Figure 8A). Thus, CSPP-L either recruits or maintains NPHP8 at the transition zone. Yet, interestingly, knockdown of NPHP8 did not impair ciliogenesis in serum-starved hTERT-RPE1, and cilia seemed longer than in control transfectants. We therefore measured cilia length in control and NPHP8 siRNA transfectants (Figure 8B). The average cilia length of presumably untransfected cells of the NPHP8 siRNA transfection, which displayed high mean NPHP8 signal intensity,
was measured to 3.7 μm (SEM = 0.5 μm). Although longer than cilia length of control cells, 2.4 μm (SEM = 0.1 μm), this difference was not statistically significant. In contrast, the average cilia length of cells that lost NPHP8 signal in the NPHP8 siRNA transfection was 6.3 μm (SEM = 0.3 μm) and showed a statistically significant difference to control transfectants and to cells displaying near normal NPHP8 signal intensities in the NPHP8 siRNA transfection. Notably, CSPP-L still decorated the prolonged cilia axonemes of NPHP8-depleted cells (Figure 8C).

Finally, recombinant CSPP proteins were able to form a ternary complex with NPHP4 in the presence of NPHP8 (Figure 7). We therefore also investigated whether the localization of NPHP4 to basal bodies would be affected in CSPP/CSPP-L–depleted cells (Figure 8D and Supplemental Figure 6). The localization of NPHP4 seemed unchanged in cilia-defective basal bodies of CSPP/CSPP-L siRNA transfectants displaying decreased NPHP8 levels (Figure 8D). Similarly, the localization of NPHP4 was found unchanged in NPHP8-depleted cells (Supplemental Figure 6). These results suggest that CSPP-L specifically recruits or maintains NPHP8 at the basal body.

**DISCUSSION**

All reported studies on CSPP isoforms and their centrosome- and MT-associated functions mainly examined their role in facilitating mitosis and cytokinesis (Patzke et al., 2005, 2006; Asiedu et al., 2009). Our present investigation is therefore the first to shed light on a postmitotic (cytoskeleton associated) function of CSPP proteins in both cells and tissues. Four different experimental strategies used to study CSPP proteins in vitro and in vivo link them functionally to the primary cilium: 1) the analysis of subcellular localization of endogenous and ectopic CSPP proteins in hTERT-RPE1 and primary trachea epithelia cells; 2) the analysis of cell type specific expression in human renal and murine renal, retinal, and bronchial tissue sections in conjunction with the tissue-specific mRNA expression reported during mouse embryogenesis; 3) the analysis of the effects of CSPP overexpression and depletion on cilia length and the efficiency of ciliogenesis of hTERT-RPE1 cells, respectively; and 4) the identification and characterization of the interaction and complex formation with known ciliary proteins NPHP8 and NPHP4.
The immunofluorescence staining suggests that CSPP proteins can occur in different protein pools localized to 1) centrosomes, 2) midspindel/midbodies, and 3) the cilia axoneme. Both antibodies used in this study detected endogenous CSPP isoforms at the centrosome, the midbody and along the cilia axoneme. However, not all CSPP proteins stained by the affinity-purified polyclonal CSPP-L–specific antibody also were stained by the mAb that is directed against the common C-terminal domain of both CSPP isoforms (Figure 3). This discrepancy may be explained by either the lower affinity of the mAb compared with the CSPP-L–specific polyclonal antibody or epitope masking of the C-terminal domain by the formation of protein complexes such as with NPHP8. Alternatively, because we have shown previously that CSPP proteins can be phosphorylated at serine residues (Patzke et al., 2005), posttranslational modification could mask the epitope detected by the mAb.

Our results show that CSPP proteins not only are expressed in NPHP protein-expressing cell types in renal, retinal, and respiratory tissue sections but also that they interact directly with NPHP8 and indirectly with NPHP4. Furthermore, the interaction of endogenous CSPP proteins with NPHP8 is not only detected in cell lines but also confirmed in bovine retina extracts. Our results suggest that CSPP proteins are required for correct localization of NPHP8 to the tip of the basal body that is forming the transition zone. In hTERT-RPE1 cells the targeted knockdown of CSPP-L alone is sufficient to impair ciliogenesis and to decrease the recruitment of NPHP8 to centrosomes, indicating its sole significance in this process in this cell line (Figures 5C and 8A). Our finding that ciliogenesis is not impaired by NPHP8 knockdown indicates that loss of NPHP8 from the transition zone alone is not sufficient to explain the CSPP-L siRNA-mediated ciliogenesis defect. This finding is consistent with the observation of primary cilia in primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts derived from the NPHP8 knockout mouse (Vierkotten et al., 2007). The observed increase in cilia length might reflect a homeostatic response in which hTERT-RPE1 cells try to compensate for lack of signaling through the cilium as NPHP8 has been shown to be required for Shh signaling. Alternatively, it could be the consequence of imbalanced sorting of cilia length determining proteins (e.g., Rab8; Nachury et al., 2007) over the transition zone, because the NPHP8 interactor NPHP4 and its interactor NPHP1 also are suggested to regulate transport through the transition zone (Winkelbauer et al., 2005; Jauregui et al., 2008). However, NPHP8 seems to be expendable for the localization of NPHP4 and CSPP-L to the primary cilium. Thus, NPHP8 might act antagonistically on CSPP-L, which we found not only to be required for ciliogenesis but also to positively regulate cilia length upon ectopic expression. Interestingly, NPHP8 has been found to interact with RPRG (Khanna et al., 2009), which in turn is associated with NPHP6 (CEP290; Chang et al., 2006) that has been shown to regulate ciliogenesis via recruitment of Rab8 (Kim et al., 2008; Tsang et al., 2008). Although our study did not unravel the mechanism of CSPP/CSPP-L dependence in ciliogenesis, we identified a second arm of the NPHP network feeding into the control of ciliogenesis or eventually cilia homeostasis.

Depletion of several different proteins localizing to distinct structural moieties of the centrosome have been shown to result in ciliogenesis defects similar to CSPP/CSPP-L depletion reported here. These studies highlight the importance of the general structural integrity of the centrosome (Mikule et al., 2007; Graser et al., 2005). However, based on the fact that we found CSPP-L to contain an actin- or stress fiber-targeting domain in its isoform specific N-terminal domain (Supplemental Figure 3), one may speculate that CSPP-L helps to position the centrosome during its maturation/morphogenesis to the basal body in the progress of ciliogenesis. Indeed, actin remodeling is required for ciliogenesis and cilia positioning. Contractile proteins such as myosin are constituents of basal bodies (Gordon et al., 1980; Gordon and Lane, 1984; Dawe et al., 2007, 2009) and a role of actin modulation in ciliogenesis, maintenance, and function is starting to emerge (Kim et al., 2010; Molla-Herman et al., 2010). Interestingly, CSPP-L has been shown to recruit a myosin GTPase exchange factor MyoGEF to facilitate actomyosin ring contraction during cell division (Asiedu et al., 2009). Hence, localized organization or activation of the actomyosin network might be the common functional denominator for CSPP-L at the centrosome during ciliogenesis and at the midspindle/midbody during cell division that is also found in nonciliated lymphocytes (Supplemental Figure 2). In this context, it is interesting to note that the C-terminal domain of CSPP and CSPP-L that is required for interaction with NPHP8 was found previously to be required to restrict the MT organizing activity of CSPP proteins to mitosis (Patzke et al., 2006). NPHP8 could be a modulator of this MT-organizing activity and could thereby contribute to cilia assembly/maintenance.

The interaction of NPHP8 with CSPP proteins still allowed ternary complex formation with NPHP4, which in turn is a known NPHP1 interacting protein (Mollet et al., 2005). The partial colocalization of CSPP-L and NPHP4 as well as NPHP1 at the basal body/transition zone (Figure 6E) may be supportive for the existence of CSPP-L–NPHP8–NPHP4 (~NPHP1) complex formation in cells. Furthermore, CSPP proteins are enriched at the connecting cilium and at synapses of rod and cone cells in the outer nuclear layer (Figure 4), thus closely resembling reported staining pattern of NPHP8 and NPHP4 (see supplemental figure 5 in Arts et al., 2007). Also, NPHP1 is a known constituent of the retinal connecting cilium as well as of the transition zone of the renal and respiratory cilia (Fliegauf et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2009). Notably, in addition to their cilary localization, these proteins also have been shown to localize to cell–cell and cell–matrix contacts where they complex with proteins involved in cytoskeleton organization to facilitate epithelial morphogenesis (Donaldson et al., 2000; Mollet et al., 2005; Delous et al., 2009); and importantly, NPHP associated mutations in NPHP8 weaken or abrogate their interaction with NPHP4 (Arts et al., 2007; Delous et al., 2007). Although little is known about the dynamic behavior of these proteins, in a unifying concept NPHP8 might modulate cilia growth and polarization on two levels: indirectly through modulation of transport across the transition zone thereby affecting planar-cell-polarity signaling pathways and directly through interactions with cytoskeleton affecting proteins such as NPHP4, NPHP6, and Rab8 via RPRG, and CSPP proteins identified here (Supplemental Figure 7). Our results may suggest that CSPP-L and NPHP8 can form a functional unit of which (in hTERT-RPE1 cells) CSPP-L is required for cilia formation and NPHP8 recruitment or maintenance. Once the cilium is formed, NPHP8 might antagonistically act on CSPP-L to regulate cilia length. This might involve further interactions with other proteins such as NPHP4. However, additional work is required to investigate whether, when, and where these proteins can occur as multiprotein complexes together with CSPP in vivo. Furthermore the identification of putative binding partners of CSPP-L within the ciliary axoneme and its tip region will be a prerequisite to understand its effect on axoneme length control.
Finally, like many NPHP proteins, CSPP is highly conserved in vertebrate evolution (Patzke et al., 2005). Although unlike NPHP1 and NPHP4, no CSPP homologues were identifiable in the organisms of flagellates Chlamydomonas rheinhardti or in genomes of nematodes, we identified a homologue in the choanoflagellate Monosiga brevicollis (Supplemental Figure 8), one of the closest unicellular relatives of animals. This phylogenetic profile is reminiscent of that of the here identified CSPP-interacting protein NPHP8 (Vierkotten et al., 2007). The vertebrate-specific coevolution may strengthen the hypothesis of a cell-type-specific functional relation of both proteins.

To conclude, our investigation of CSPP expression at cellular and tissue levels identify a novel, nonmitotic function for CSPP isoforms and place these proteins into a NPHP protein containing network at the primary cilium. The activity of this network is crucial for normal renal and retinal tissue architecture and function. Importantly, the larger isoform CSPP-L is found to be required for cilia formation and found to promote cilia proliferation. To date, 10 disease genes have been identified accounting collectively for only ~30% of the nephronophthisis patients (Hildebrandt et al., 2009a; Otto et al., 2009). Our results define CSPP1 as a novel candidate gene for multigenic phenotype ciliopathies. The putative role of CSPP proteins in human ciliopathies, in particular nephronophthisis-associated ciliopathies, should therefore be investigated.
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