Early turbulence and pulsatile flows enhance diodicity of Tesla’s macrofluidic valve
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Microfluidics has enabled a revolution in the manipulation of small volumes of fluids. Controlling flows at larger scales and faster rates, or macrofluidics, has broad applications but involves the unique complexities of inertial flow physics. We show how such effects are exploited in a device proposed by Nikola Tesla that acts as a diode or valve whose asymmetric internal geometry leads to direction-dependent fluidic resistance. Systematic tests for steady forcing conditions reveal that diodicity turns on abruptly at Reynolds number Re \( \approx 200 \) and is accompanied by nonlinear pressure-flux scaling and flow instabilities, suggesting a laminar-to-turbulent transition that is triggered at unusually low Re. To assess performance for unsteady forcing, we devise a circuit that functions as an AC-to-DC converter, rectifier, or pump in which diodes transform imposed oscillations into directed flow. Our results confirm Tesla’s conjecture that diodic performance is boosted for pulsatile flows. The connections between diodicity, early turbulence and pulsatility uncovered here can inform applications in fluidic mixing and pumping.
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From circulatory and respiratory systems to chemical and plumbing networks, controlling flows is important in many natural settings and engineering applications\(^1\)\(^–\)\(^3\). Perhaps the simplest means for directing flows is through the geometry of vessels, pipes, channels, and networks of such conduits. How geometry maps to flow patterns and distribution, however, is a challenging problem that depends on flow regime, as characterized by dimensionless quantities involving length- and time-scales and fluid material properties\(^5\). The field of microfluidics focuses on low Reynolds numbers in which small volumes are conveyed at slow speeds, and recent progress stems from advances in microscale manufacturing and lab-on-a-chip applications\(^7\). The flow physics at these scales is dominated by pressures overcoming viscous impedance, and the linearity of the governing Stokes equation enables theoretical and computational approaches that greatly aid in the design of microfluidic devices\(^8\). At larger scales and faster rates, the applications are as numerous and important\(^3\)\(^–\)\(^9\) but the flow physics quite different. The underlying Navier–Stokes equation is nonlinear, theoretical results are fewer, simulations are challenging, and the mapping between geometry and desired (fluidic) objectives is all the more complex\(^6\). The phenomenology of high-Reynolds-number or inertia-dominated flows is well documented: Flows are slowed in boundary layers near surfaces and tend to separate in a manner sensitive to geometry to yield vortices, wakes, jets, and turbulence\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^9\). Such complexities are exemplified by the breakdown of reversibility: Running a given system in reverse, say by inverting pressures, does not in general cause the fluid to move in reverse but instead triggers altogether different flow patterns\(^9\).

Here we explore how the physics of inertial flows is exploited in an intriguing device proposed by the inventor Nikola Tesla\(^10\). Tesla intended this ‘valvular conduit’—an image of which is reproduced from his 1920 patent in Fig. 1a—to allow fluid to pass easily in one direction while preventing substantially higher resistance to flows in the reverse direction. Such a fluidic diode or valve can be used as a fundamental component for directing flows. While it is unclear if Tesla ever fabricated and tested a prototype, its unique geometry of linked and looped lanes has attracted many studies into its operation and potential applications\(^11\)\(^–\)\(^41\). Previous fluid mechanical tests have focused on steady conditions of constant flow rate, confirming the resistance asymmetry or diodicity at high Reynolds numbers (Re) for geometries modified from Tesla’s original design\(^11\)\(^–\)\(^15\). While it is clear that valving action is absent at low Re \( \ll 1 \) for which flows are reversible\(^39\) but permissible for sufficiently high Re \( \gg 1 \)\(^13\)\(^–\)\(^21\), there is lacking a characterization spanning flow regimes that would reveal how diodicity varies over a wide range of Re. Consequently, it is unclear if and how diodicity relates to internal flow phenomena such as the laminar-to-turbulent transition\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^9\). Further, Tesla’s patent emphasizes unsteady or pulsatile flow conditions\(^10\), which arise in pumping or rectification applications\(^11\)\(^–\)\(^13\),\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^17\),\(^24\),\(^33\) but for which the potential for enhanced diodic performance remains to be determined.

In this work, we aim to experimentally test the valvular conduit across a wide range of steady and unsteady conditions. On a conduit whose planform shape is faithful to Tesla’s original design, we first carry out systematic characterizations of flow resistance or friction for fixed pressure differences. Following measurement and analysis procedures established for flows through long, slender pipes and ducts, our experiments reveal abrupt changes in fluidic properties that are reminiscent of the laminar-to-turbulent transition, albeit triggered in anomalously low Re. We then seek to evaluate Tesla’s conjecture\(^10\) that diodic performance is strongest when flow ‘is supplied in pulses and, more especially, when the same is extremely sudden and of high frequency.’ We propose and implement a fluidic circuit or network subject to unsteady forcing via imposed oscillatory flows, which the diodic conduits transform or rectify into one-way flows, the whole system serving as an AC-to-DC converter or pump. A quasi-steady model links these two studies by using the steady-forcing characteristics to predict the response for unsteady forcing. Our results indicate that pulsatile flows significantly enhance pumping performance, thus bearing out Tesla’s conjecture and suggesting optimal operating conditions for rectifying conduits more generally.

**Results**

**Experimental tests under steady forcing.** We first characterize experimentally the fluidic resistance or flow-induced pressure losses for Tesla’s diode under conditions of fixed pressure differences, this quantity varied systematically to explore flow rates in both directions. We realize a conduit whose planform or overhead-view geometry is faithful to Tesla’s original design\(^10\), and we pursue Reynolds numbers ranging over orders of magnitude, the latter being important for our later comparison of steady versus unsteady (oscillatory) forcing. We digitize the planform of Fig. 1a and manufacture a macroscale version of the conduit via laser-cutting and bonding clear acrylic plastic, a 3D rendering of which is shown in Fig. 1b. We select a scale that,

Fig. 1 Experimental tests of Tesla’s conduit under steady pressures. a Schematic modified from Tesla’s patent\(^10\) showing a planform view of the ‘valvular conduit’. b Rendering of the conduit used in experiments. Upper and lower lids sandwich the internal geometry, which is digitized from Tesla’s design, laser-cut, and bonded. Relevant dimensions include total length \( L \), average wetted width \( w \), and depth \( d \). c Schematic of the pressure chamber. Overflow mechanisms ensure fixed water levels that drive flow through the conduit, whose actual orientation is upright as shown in b. The height differential \( \Delta h \) is varied and volumetric flow rate \( Q \) measured for both forward and reverse directions.
together with the use of water and water-glycerol mixtures as the working fluids, allow for characterization of the channel across low to high Re. The overall length is $L = 30$ cm, average wetted width $w = 0.9$ cm and depth $d = 1.9$ cm.

To impose and controllably vary the pressure difference across the channel, we design and construct the apparatus whose sectional view is shown in Fig. 1c. Two chambers of a tank are connected only via the conduit, and the liquid level in each can be set and stably maintained via overspill mechanisms. The level difference $\Delta h$ is set by two adjustable internal drains whose heights can be independently varied. The hydrostatic pressure difference across the channel is $\Delta p = \rho g \Delta h$, where $\rho$ is the density of the fluid and $g = 980$ cm/s$^2$ is gravitational acceleration. Liquid flows from the high side to the low side through the channel at a volumetric flow rate $Q$ and out to the reservoir at the same rate. A pump takes fluid from the reservoir, slightly overflowing the high side and thus maintaining its level. The system is closed and runs indefinitely. In this way, a pressure difference may be imposed and recorded by measuring the column heights with rulers, and the volumetric flow rate $Q$ is measured by intercepting the lower drain with a beaker of known volume and reading the fill-up time with a stopwatch. The flow direction is changed by simply changing which chamber has higher level.

The measured flow rate $Q$ versus $\Delta h$ for pure water is shown in Fig. 2a. As expected, increasing the height difference yields higher flow rates for both the forward and reverse directions, as defined in Fig. 1b. The flow rate $Q$ increases monotonically but nonlinearly with $\Delta h$. Importantly, for the same $\Delta h$, $Q$ is greater for the forward direction than reverse across all values of $\Delta h$. This anisotropy is more clearly seen in Fig. 2b, where the resistance $R = \Delta p/Q$ is plotted versus $Q$ for the forward and reverse directions. Across all $Q$, the resistance in reverse is greater, and this disparity increases with $Q$.

The errors for the data of Fig. 2a and b, as determined by multiple measurements at each condition, are smaller than the symbols and have been suppressed in these plots. Errors in $\Delta h$ are about a millimeter due to the height of the meniscus that obscures the reading of water level. Errors in $Q$ are set by the reaction time in triggering a stopwatch after collecting a specified volume. Large volumes and long collection times (> 60 s) ensure relative errors under 1%.

**Pressure drop, friction and diodicity across flow regimes.** Experiments carried out with pure water yield high Reynolds numbers $Re = \rho UD/\mu \sim 10^3$, where $\mu$ is the fluid viscosity, $U = Q/wd$ is the section-averaged flow speed through the channel, and
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**Fig. 2 Experimental characterization of Tesla’s conduit under steady pressures.** a Flow rate $Q$ across varying pressure heads $\Delta h$ and pressure differences $\Delta p = \rho g \Delta h$ for the case of pure water as the working fluid. The forward (red) and reverse (blue) directions exhibit different $Q$ for the same $\Delta p$. Here and elsewhere, error bars are suppressed when smaller than the symbol size (see text). b Hydrodynamic resistance $R = \Delta p/Q$ versus $Q$ for the forward and reverse directions. c Dimensionless forms of pressure difference (Hagen number $Hg$) versus flow rate (Reynolds number $Re$). The plot combines data on pure water and water-glycerol solutions to cover a wide range of $Re$. d Friction factors $f_D = (\Delta p/L)/(\rho U^2/2D)$, a dimensionless form of pressure drop appropriate for turbulent flow. Also shown are curves representing previous measurements for smooth and rough pipes. e Diodicity $Di$ or ratio of reverse to forward resistances versus $Re$. The band represents propagated standard errors determined from repeated measurements.
The association between the scaling of Hg and the geometry.

Due to the developing slender conduits, entrance effects are negligible for turbulent flows. For short pipes and channels, entrance effects significantly lower Re than those for turbulent flows. For higher Re, the data follow a stronger dependence of order-one friction values at higher Re are substantially higher than those for turbulent flows.

As expected, the disparity between the forward and reverse directions is significant only at sufficiently high Re. Also shown for comparison are reference lines indicating linear and quadratic scalings of pressure with flow rate. For low Re, it is seen that Hg ∼ Re, which is expected for well-developed and laminar flow. For higher Re, the data follow a stronger dependence of approximately Hg ∼ Re^3, which is characteristic of turbulent flow. Interestingly, the disparity in resistance occurs together with the nonlinearity of the Hg-Re scaling.

A conventional nondimensionalization of resistance used in studies of pipe and channel flow is the Darcy friction factor f_D = (Δp/L)/(ρU^2/2D), which normalizes pressure drop based on inertial scales. In Fig. 2d we plot our measurements of f_D(Re) for forward and reverse flow through Tesla’s conduit. For comparison, we include on this so-called Moody diagram previous results on circular pipes. The two curves shown correspond to smooth pipes and one of high roughness in which wall variations measure 10% of the mean diameter. The form f_D = 64/Re corresponds to the Hagen–Poiseuille law and applies well to both smooth and rough-walled pipes in the laminar flow regime of Re < 2000. Following a transitional region, well-developed turbulence tends to be triggered at higher Re > 4000, for which f_D is more constant with Re and increases with roughness. By comparison, Tesla’s conduit transitions away from the laminar-flow scaling at significantly lower Re ≈ 100. Further, the order-one friction values at higher Re are substantially higher than those for turbulent flow through smooth and rough pipes, which reflects the high impedance presented by the complex geometry.

In interpreting these results, it is important to note that the association between the scaling of Hg(Re) or f_D(Re) with flow state (laminar or turbulent) holds only for sufficiently long, slender conduits. For short pipes and channels, entrance effects due to the developing flow can lead to turbulent-like (Hg ∼ Re^2 and f_D ∼ Re^0) rather than laminar-like (Hg ∼ Re and f_D ∼ Re^{-1}) scaling even for laminar flow. To ensure entrance effects are negligible for turbulent flows, the length-to-diameter ratio is typically recommended to exceed about 40, which is nearly satisfied by the value L/D = 38 for Tesla’s conduit. For laminar flow, the aspect ratio should exceed the (dimensionless) entrance length of approximately Re/30, which is satisfied for Re < 1000 for the valvular conduit. These estimates suggest that the results reported here are representative of sufficiently slender geometries for which the pressure drop scaling can be linked to flow state, and the inclusion of our measurements on the standard Moody diagram of Fig. 2d is warranted.

The performance of the channel as an asymmetric resistor can be quantified by its diodicity or ratio of reverse to forward resistance values. Equivalently, we define this ratio using dimensionless forms of pressure drops at the same Re: Di(Re) = Hg_R(Re)/Hg_F(Re) = f_D_R(Re)/f_D_F(Re), where the subscripts indicate the reverse (R) and forward (F) directions. In Fig. 2e the curve indicates how Di varies with Re, with the band representing propagated errors based on repeated measurements. For low Re, Di is close to unity and remains so up until Re ≈ 100. Over a narrow transitional range Re = 100–300, the diodic function of the channel abruptly ‘turns on’ and is activated, and for Re = 300–1500 we find Di ≈ 2. Future work should investigate the behavior for Re > 2000.

Interestingly, the turn-on of diodicity apparent in Fig. 2e along with the nonlinear scaling of pressure drop with flow rate (Fig. 2c) and the departure from the laminar-flow friction law (Fig. 2d). These results suggest that diodic function is closely linked to a transition to turbulent flow that occurs significantly earlier (at lower Re) than that observed for smooth and rough pipes.

Flow visualization and early turbulence. Towards understanding the mechanisms behind these observations, we next visualize the internal flows in the conduit. We focus first on a transitional value of Re = 200, for which we inject neutrally buoyant dye upstream and record photographs and video using a camera positioned to view the planform. The conduit is clear and backlit, and the resulting images reveal flow streaklines. Two adjacent streaklines near the middle of the channel are color-coded using blue and green dyes. Figure 3a shows the case of flow in the forward direction. The streaklines remain in the central corridor along the entire length of the channel and are deflected only slightly as they pass the periodic structures. Details of the gently meandering path can be seen in the zoomed-in image of Fig. 3c. In contrast, the reverse direction involves amplified lateral deflections of the streams that eventually result in strong mixing, as shown in Fig. 3b. The incoming filaments ricochet off the internal structures, with the redirections being only slight in passing the first ‘islands’ or baffles but quickly growing downstream after repeated interactions. The flows are eventually rerouted into the recesses, and the fluid is well mixed by the end of the channel. Some of the steps that destabilize the initially-laminar flow can be seen in the zoomed-in view of Fig. 3d.

Fig. 3 Streakline flow visualization at Re = 200 using dye injected upstream. a, c Forward direction. Two adjacent filaments remain in the central corridor of the conduit with only small lateral deflections. b, d Reverse direction. The filaments ricochet off the periodic structures, deflecting increasingly sharply before being rerouted around the ‘islands’ and mixing.
We next aim to link the transition in resistance and turn on of diodicity to changes in flow state for different Reynolds numbers. In Fig. 4 we compare the reverse flows visualized at Re = 50, 200 and 400, corresponding to conditions just before, during and just after the turn-on, respectively. For Re = 50, dye filaments remain on their respective sides of the conduit, dispersed by interactions with the islands but not intermixing. The flows are observed to be laminar and steady throughout the entire channel. For Re = 400, unsteadiness of the streaklines is apparent beyond the first units, after which the filaments rapidly combine over a few units to yield well-mixed flows over most of the length. Comparatively, the transitional state of Re = 200 displays a hybrid of these features: The filaments are steady and laminar over the first 3 or 4 units, become unsteady and cross sides, and then reach near complete mixing by the end.

These results confirm the high-Re irreversibility reported in previous studies, which have emphasized the circuitous route taken by reverse flows. Our visualizations reveal the nature of the reverse flow instability and also the extent of mixing, which we associate with increased dissipation and resistance. Unsteady flows and increased resistance are hallmarks of turbulent flow, which is triggered for Re in the thousands for pipe and channel flow. Our visualizations of flow destabilization in Tesla’s conduit at considerably lower Re = 200 offer further evidence for an early transition to turbulence triggered by the complex geometry.

In interpreting this early turbulence phenomenon, a concern may be that the Reynolds number defined here based on the mean speed inadequately captures the local flow conditions at various positions in the conduit. However, close inspection of the reverse flows in the Supplementary Video indicates that speeds at different sites along the central and diverted lanes are comparable to one another, with differences measuring less than 50%. Hence, the onset of turbulence at unusually low Re ≈ 200 cannot be attributed to local surges in flow speed significant enough to reach the conventional transitional value of Re ≈ 2000 for pipe flow. An alternative interpretation for the early onset of turbulence is given in our concluding discussions.

Unsteady forcing of a fluidic AC-to-DC converter. Having characterized Tesla’s conduit for steady pressure differences, we next consider unsteady forcing in which the internal flows are driven to oscillate. To assess Tesla’s conjecture of enhanced performance for pulsatile flows, we draw on the analogy between electric and fluidic circuits and consider a full-wave rectifier that uses four diodes arranged in a bridge configuration in order to convert an imposed alternating current (AC) in one branch into directed current (DC) in a second branch. The electric circuit is shown schematically in Fig. 5a. An AC current source is on the left, and the directionality of each ideal diode is indicated by the arrowhead. These elements are linked by conducting wires, and current directions are shown in red and blue for the two half-cycles of the AC source. When current is driven upwards through the source, only the two diodes under favorable bias conduct, and the current follows the red path. In the next half-cycle, the other pair of diodes conducts, and the current follows the blue path. Thus, while the input branch is purely AC or oscillatory, the output branch on the bottom exhibits a DC component or non-zero mean.

Figure 5b shows the schematic of the fluidic analog that we design, construct and test. Laser-cut and bonded Tesla conduits serve as diodes, a reciprocating piston replaces the AC current source, and these elements are connected in bridge configuration through piping. The circuit is filled with water, and the position of the piston is driven sinusoidally in time with amplitude A and frequency f controllably varied via a high-torque stepper motor (Longs Motor) and Arduino controller. Because the piston completely seals the surrounding cylinder, the flow in the AC branch is purely oscillatory. The diodic behavior of the conduits then manifest as one-way or directed current (DC) in the lower branch. To assess this, we use Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) to measure the flow velocity field along a segment of the transparent DC branch pipe. The 5-cm-long interrogation region is encased in a rectangular water jacket to minimize optical distortion. Following procedures from earlier studies, we seed the water with particles (hollow glass microspheres of approximate diameter 50 μm, 3M) whose near neutral buoyancy is ensured by selection from a fractionation column in water. A laser sheet (1.25W CW green, CNI) of thickness 0.5 mm is shone across the mid-plane along the PIV section, and the resulting particle motions are recorded via high-speed camera (12 MP, 150 fps, Teledyne Dalsa Falcon2). Post-processing via an established PIV algorithm, these data provide the flow velocity profile across the pipe, resolved in time within each oscillation cycle and over a total duration of at least 10 cycles.

Representative data are shown in Fig. 5c for one set of A and f. The upper panel presents the flow speed averaged across the cross-section in the AC branch, where the sinusoidal oscillations $2\pi f \sin(2\pi f t)$ conform to the piston motions. The lower panel represents the measured section-averaged flow speed in the DC branch, and the inset shows the flow velocity profile furnished by PIV at two points in the cycle. At each time $t$, the two halves of the profile (sectioned by the tube’s axis) are averaged and axisymmetry is assumed to arrive at the section-averaged speed. Strikingly, the flow has a dominant DC component $U_{DC}$, and the flow profiles remain unidirectional throughout the oscillation cycle. Thus, the circuit achieves the goal of AC-to-DC conversion or pumping. The output flow also shows a weak AC component.

![Fig. 4 Transition in reverse flow state with increasing Reynolds number. a] (Image 118x619 to 478x732)
of amplitude $\Delta U$. These ripple oscillations occur at twice the driving frequency $f$, as both half-strokes of the AC input contribute to the DC output.

To assess the pumping performance of the circuit more generally, we systematically vary the AC input parameters $A$ and $f$ and measure the section-averaged DC flow speed $U_{DC}$, which is equivalent to volumetric flow (volume per unit cross-sectional area and time). Figure 6a shows how $U_{DC}$ varies with $A$ and $f$. Across all driving conditions, $U_{DC} > 0$ and the system achieves AC-to-DC conversion. As expected, the output $U_{DC}$ increases with the inputs $A$ and $f$. Less apparent in Fig. 6a is that the response is nonlinear. To clarify this, we define the effectiveness of the pump as $E = U_{DC}/4Af$. This normalization is chosen such that ideal or perfect diodes yield $E = 1$: a volume of fluid proportional to the piston displacement $2A$ is injected into the DC branch in each stroke of duration $1/2f$, with the two strokes in each cycle contributing equally. In Fig. 6b we plot effectiveness $E$ versus frequency $f$ and dimensionless amplitude $A/D$. The fact that $E < 1$ for all conditions reflects the non-ideal nature or 'leakiness' of the diode. Interestingly, it is seen that $E$ itself increases with both $A$ and $f$, quantifying the nonlinear response of $U_{DC}$. That is, doubling either $A$ or $f$ leads to disproportionately higher $U_{DC}$. For the conditions studied here, we achieve $E = 0.5$, and the trends suggest yet higher efficacy would be attained for stronger driving.

A fully dimensionless characterization is displayed in Fig. 6c, where $E$ is mapped across varying $A/D$ and the (squared) Womersley number $W_{o2} = \pi \rho D^2/2 \mu$, which assesses the unsteadiness of pulsatile flow by comparing frequency to the timescale for diffusion of momentum. The high values of $W_{o2} = 50$ to 500 explored here suggest plug-like flow profiles in the AC sections. The variations in the map again highlight the nonlinearity of the pump, which is most effective in the red region of high $W_{o2}/C1$. For reference, we include contours (dashed hyperbolic curves) of constant driving or oscillatory Reynolds number, defined as $Re = \rho A f D/\mu = 2/\pi \cdot W_{o2} \cdot A/D$. Significant pumping of $E > 0.1$ occurs for $Re$ in the hundreds, when diodicity is observed to turn on for steady flow (Fig. 2e).

A quasi-steady model of the AC-to-DC converter. The rectifying circuit provides a clean context for assessing Tesla’s conjecture of enhanced performance of the diode for pulsatile flows. Our strategy involves formulating a model that predicts the pump rate of the system based on its steady-flow characteristics, and then comparing this prediction to the actual performance measured experimentally. The quasi-steady model views the AC–DC rectifier as a network of nonlinear resistors whose resistance values vary with flow rate and direction as measured and characterized in Fig. 2. The network can then be analyzed by standard
methods for electronic circuits, i.e. by solving for unknown currents through all segments via equations for current/flow conservation at each node or junction and voltage/pressure drops around closed loops.

Complete model equations and calculations are available in the Methods section, and here we highlight the key assumptions and steps. We seek the instantaneous current or volumetric flux \( Q(t) \) through each segment of the circuit. The resistance-current curves for each diode are given by fitting splines to the data of Fig. 2, where the sign of \( Q \) in each diode dictates whether the forward or reverse resistance applies. The DC branch contains a constant resistance \( R_{DC} \) estimated via the Hagen–Poiseuille law for pipe flow. The AC source imposes \( Q_{AC} = 2nfAw\sin(2nf\tau) \) across the bridge. For any resistive element, pressure drops and currents are related via Ohm’s law \( \Delta p = QR \), with all quantities being time dependent. Kirchhoff’s laws demand that pressure drops sum to zero around each closed loops and currents sum to zero at every node. Symmetry arguments reduce the unknowns to the DC branch current \( Q_{DC} \) and two diode currents, for example those through the rightmost pair in Fig. 5b. One loop law and two node laws give three nonlinear algebraic equations for these unknown currents at each time \( t \). Discretizing in time and applying MATLAB’s `fzero` function yields numerical solutions for the instantaneous currents. The effectiveness predicted by the model is then \( E_M = \frac{Q_{DC}(t)}{\langle Q_{AC}(t) \rangle} \), where the brackets indicate averages over a period.

**Comparing steady and unsteady performance.** The model furnishes predictions across varying inputs \( A \) and \( f \), and these results serve as a quasi-steady baseline against which the measured performance under unsteady conditions can be compared. In the colormap of Fig. 7a, we plot the so-called boost or relative enhancement of the experimentally measured effectiveness over the model prediction: \( B = E/E_M \). The axes are again dimensionless forms of amplitude \( A/D \) and frequency \( W_2 \). Warmer colors with \( B > 1 \) indicate conditions for which the actual circuit outperforms quasi-steady expectations. It can be seen that the device performs better than expected for all but the lowest values of \( A \) and \( f \), providing validation of Tesla’s conjecture of enhanced d Dodio performance for pulsatile flows. Further, unsteady effects seem to be optimally exploited for low amplitude and high frequency oscillations (red region), for which we observe boosts as high as \( B = 2.5 \) and thus more than a doubling in pump rate over the quasi-steady baseline. Extrapolation of these data suggests yet greater enhancement for higher frequencies.

Another point of comparison between the model and experiment involves the oscillations or ripples apparent in the DC branch signal, an example from experiments shown in the lower panel of Fig. 5c. We define the pulsatility \( P = AU/U_{DC} \) as the ratio of the ripple amplitude to the mean pump rate, which can be assessed from the experimental measurements and from the model output. In both cases, we fit the form \( U_{DC} + \Delta U \sin(2nf\tau + \phi) \) to the section-averaged flow speed to extract the necessary quantities. Smooth output flows and thus low \( P \) are generally preferable in pumping applications. In the quasi-steady model, we observe uniformly high \( P_X \approx 1 \) for all driving conditions (data not shown). This behavior is similar to an electronic diode-bridge rectifier, whose output current reaches a minimum of zero whenever the source current crosses zero, leading to oscillations as large as the mean. As shown in the map of Fig. 7b, the actual fluidic circuit proves to be much smoother with \( P = 0.1 \) across the conditions explored here. Thus the fluctuations are an order of magnitude less than that predicted by the quasi-steady model. Surprisingly, the DC output in experiments is less pulsatile for stronger AC driving, and high \( A \) in particular yields low \( P \). This effect and the general mitigation of pulsatility as compared to quasi-steady expectations may be due to flow inertia, which tends to filter out fluctuations but which is absent from the quasi-steady framework. This hypothesis might be explored in models or simulations that include inertia.

**Discussion**

This work presents systematic experimental characterizations of Nikola Tesla’s fluidic valve or diode across a wide range of both steady and unsteady flow conditions. The case of steady pressure/flow-rate considered in previous studies on Tesla-like channels provides a point of comparison to our results. No earlier work reports on the abrupt rise in d Dodioity, which likely reflects the singular values or narrow ranges in Re explored. Future, previous experiments and simulations have reported weaker d Dodioity for corresponding conditions, e.g. \( Di = 1.0 \) – 1.3 at Re = 500 for which we measure Di = 2.0. This may be due to differences in geometry, both in that the diodes are variations on Tesla’s original design and the measurement systems may not isolate the channel, thereby introducing additional pressure drops that dilute d Dodioity. The more encouraging results reported here are in better alignment with values Di = 2 – 4 reported for various Tesla-inspired geometries and at select Re values between 10^3 and 10^6. This regime of Re > 2000 would benefit from a systematic characterization of d Dodioity as presented here for Re < 2000. Future research might also include shape optimization towards improving performance, though it seems that the d Dodioity value of 200 stated in Tesla’s patent seems well beyond reach, at least for the fluid dynamical regime studied here. Tesla may have envisioned air or other gases as the working fluid, in which case compressibility may affect performance but only at the extreme flow rates needed to achieve Mach numbers of order one.

Previous experimental and computational visualizations for Tesla-inspired channels have confirmed the reversible and irreversible flows expected at low Re and high Re, respectively. Studies of the latter validate Tesla’s picture of the reverse flows taking a circuitous path around the periodic internal structures. Our work bridges flow regimes by focusing on the transitional Re = 200 and thereby revealing the destabilization mechanism for the reverse mode: Repeated interactions of the central flow.
with these structures lead to amplified lateral deflections that reroute streamlines and eventually induce complete mixing. These flow observations together with our resistance characterizations point to the conclusion that Tesla’s conduit induces a turbulent-like flow state at unusually low Re. Namely, the signatures of pipe flow turbulence that first appear in smooth and rough-walled pipes at Re ≈ 2000 are triggered in Tesla’s conduit at Re ≈ 200. Signs of such early turbulence, though with less pronounced changes in the transitional Re, have been observed for textured channels and corrugated pipes\(^\text{46,57,58}\). Our measurements establish a link between early turbulence and the turn-on of diodicity, a connection that should be tested in other asymmetric conduits\(^\text{59–62}\) and might be exploited in the design of fluidic rectifiers and their applications.

Towards interpreting the apparently early onset of turbulence, we first note that the system might be viewed as having qualities of both internal and external flows. This duality stems from the fact that the conduit width and its internal structures that ‘invade’ the flow are of the same scale. Said another way, the relative roughness is of order one. For external or open flow around a bluff body, intrinsic unsteadiness in the form of vortex shedding first appears at Re ≈ 100 and is accompanied by a transition from linear to quadratic scaling of drag with flow speed\(^\text{6,42}\). Analogous events would seem to occur for the local flows around the intruding structures in Tesla’s device. Hence, the signatures of turbulence observed here may be viewed as arriving early from the standpoint of conventional internal or pipe flow but as expected for external flows.

The case of unsteady or pulsatile flow, while less studied, has been considered in previous experiments\(^\text{11–13,15–18,20,24–30,32–35,41,63}\) and simulations\(^\text{17,24}\) that demonstrate pumping using Tesla-inspired geometries. Our quantifications of performance offer concrete guidelines that might be generally useful for such applications: (1) Reynolds numbers should be kept in the hundreds or higher to fully activate diodic response; (2) The output pump rate can be expected to increase stronger than linearly in both the amplitude and frequency of driving pulsations; (3) Stronger AC driving and high frequencies in particular can be used to optimally exploit unsteady effects and boost pump rate; and (4) Stronger driving and high amplitudes in particular optimally exploit inertial effects for low-pulsatility output flow. The second and third properties seem to bear out Tesla’s vision that diodicity is amplified for highly unsteady conditions\(^\text{10}\). Future work might assess these findings in simulations, test whether they are general features of asymmetric conduits\(^\text{59–62}\), and optimize shape for pulsatile flow conditions.

While this work emphasizes fluid mechanical characterization rather than practicalities, many applications of Tesla-like valves have been proposed, investigated, and implemented\(^\text{11–13,15–18,20,24,26–30,32–35,41,63}\). Here we touch on two engineering contexts and their physiological analogs. First, the pumping applications discussed above speak to Tesla’s original motivation of a no-moving-parts valve that is resistant to wear or failure. Our findings indicate that its valving action is best at high frequencies. This motivates the specific application in which the vibrations intrinsic to all forms of machinery are harnessed to pump coolants, fuels, lubricants, or other fluids needed for proper operation\(^\text{59}\). Here the leakiness or lossiness of the diode is no major detriment as the kinetic energy of the vibrational source is ample, ever-present and otherwise unused. This use is reminiscent of the return flow of blood up leg veins and of transport in the lymphatic system, both of which are needed for proper operation\(^\text{59}\). Secondly, the device may be exploited for its direction-dependent flow states and especially the turbulent mixing available at unusually low Re. A fluid drawn in reversely can be readily mixed with other fluids, heated/coolied or otherwise conditioned or treated, after which it may be expelled for further processing or use. Biological analogs exist in respiratory systems, where inhaled air is heated and humidified by so-called turbinates in the nasal cavity\(^\text{1,66}\) and where structures resembling Tesla valves have recently been discovered in the turtle lung\(^\text{67}\).

In summary, our findings support two main conclusions: (1) For steady forcing, an abrupt turn-on of diodic behavior is linked to the transition to turbulent flow, which is triggered at anomalously low Re; and (2) For unsteady forcing, the diodic performance is enhanced several-fold and especially so for high-frequency pulsatile flow. In more detail, our steady pressure/flow-rate investigation reveals a transitional Reynolds number Re ≈ 200 marking the appearance of significant differences in the reverse versus forward resistances. This turn-on of diodicity is accompanied by the onset of nonlinear scaling of pressure drop with flow rate, departure from the laminar-flow friction law, and flow instabilities that induce unsteadiness and strong mixing. These steady-forcing results serve as a baseline for understanding unsteady performance, which we
Methods

Circuit model for the AC-to-DC converter: We model the AC-to-DC converter as the equivalent electronic circuit shown in Fig. 8a. Each Tesla conduit is represented by a combination of two ideal diodes and two resistances \( R_{\text{fl}}(Q) \) and \( R_{\text{Q}}(Q) \geq R_{\text{fl}}(Q) \) whose values depend nonlinearly on flow rate. For terminals I and O connected to an oscillating source \( Q_{\text{DC}}(t) \), we seek the time-averaged DC current \( <Q_{\text{DC}}(t)> \) going from node 2 to node 4 through a resistor of constant resistance \( R_{\text{DC}} \). We seek the solution by applying Kirchhoff’s laws for nodes and loops: Total incoming flows and total outgoing flows are equal at a node, and the sum of all pressure drops around the closed loop 1-2-4-1 is zero. Further, in order for the system to operate, terminals I and O must be connected to a DC source, as shown in Fig. 8b.

Hence the system is periodic in time, so it is sufficient to solve and average over a half-period \( t \in [0, T/2] \) yields

\[
Q_{\text{DC}}(t) = \frac{1}{T/2} \int_{0}^{T/2} R_{\text{fl}} - R_{\text{Q}}^{2} \text{sin}(2\pi f t) \, dt = Q_{\text{in}}(2nf) \text{ and averaging over a half-period } t \in [0, T/2] \text{ yields}
\]

\[
Q_{\text{DC}}(t) = \frac{1}{T/2} \int_{0}^{T/2} R_{\text{fl}} - R_{\text{Q}}^{2} \text{sin}(2\pi f t) \, dt = \frac{2Q_{\text{in}}}{\pi} \frac{R_{\text{fl}} - R_{\text{Q}}^{2}}{R_{\text{fl}} + R_{\text{Q}} + 2R_{\text{DC}}}. \tag{4}
\]

Following Eq. (2), the effectiveness \( E_{\text{M}} \) is then given by

\[
E_{\text{M}} = \frac{R_{\text{fl}} - R_{\text{Q}}^{2}}{R_{\text{fl}} + R_{\text{Q}} + 2R_{\text{DC}}} \tag{5}
\]

which is valid at all instances for time-dependent flow rates \( Q_{\text{DC}}(t) \) and \( Q_{\text{in}}(t) \). As in the full problem with rate-dependent resistance values, given the input \( Q_{\text{in}}(t) \) is Qsin(2πft) and averaging over a half-period \( t \in [0, T/2] \) yields

\[
E_{\text{M}} = \frac{R_{\text{fl}} - R_{\text{Q}}^{2}}{R_{\text{fl}} + R_{\text{Q}} + 2R_{\text{DC}}}. \tag{6}
\]
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output DC flow rate can be calculated analytically as

\[
Q_{\text{DC}} = \frac{R_{\text{fl}} - R_{\text{Q}}^{2}}{R_{\text{fl}} + R_{\text{Q}} + 2R_{\text{DC}}} \tag{3}
\]
