Almost primes in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences
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Abstract: The Piatetski-Shapiro sequences are sequences of the form \([n^c]_{n=1}^{\infty}\) for \(c > 1\) and \(c \notin \mathbb{N}\). It is conjectured that there are infinitely many primes in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences for \(c \in (1, 2)\). For every \(R \geq 1\), we say that a natural number is an \(R\)-almost prime if it has at most \(R\) prime factors, counted with multiplicity. In this paper, we prove that there are infinitely many \(R\)-almost primes in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences if \(c \in (1, c_R)\) and \(c_R\) is an explicit constant depending on \(R\).
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1. Introduction

The Piatetski-Shapiro sequences are sequences of the form

\[ N^{(c)} := ([n^c]_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad c > 1, \ c \notin \mathbb{N}). \]

Such sequences have been named in honor of Piatetski-Shapiro [13], who published the first paper in this problem. He showed that the counting function

\[ \pi^{(c)}(x) := \# \{\text{prime } p \leq x : p \in N^{(c)}\} \]

satisfies the asymptotic relation

\[ \pi^{(c)}(x) \sim \frac{x^{1/c}}{\log x} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty, \]

if

\[ 1 < c < \frac{12}{11} = 1.0909 \ldots. \]
The range for $c$ of the asymptotic formula of $\pi^{(c)}(x)$ has been improved by several mathematicians over the years. Kolesnik [7] improved this result to

$$1 < c < \frac{10}{9} = 1.1111 \ldots.$$  

Graham and Leitmann [10] using the method of exponent pairs independently improved the range to

$$1 < c < \frac{69}{62} = 1.1129 \ldots.$$  

Graham did not publish his paper. Heath-Brown [4] applied the Weyl’s shift and the exponent pair method, together with his decomposition of the Von Mangoldt function, extended the range to

$$1 < c < \frac{755}{662} = 1.1404 \ldots.$$  

Kolesnik [8] using the method of multiple exponential sums improved the range to

$$1 < c < \frac{39}{34} = 1.1470 \ldots.$$  

Liu and Rivat [12] applied the double large sieve to Type I sums and extended the range to

$$1 < c < \frac{15}{13} = 1.1538 \ldots.$$  

Rivat [14] improved the range in his PhD thesis to

$$1 < c < \frac{6121}{5302} = 1.1544 \ldots.$$  

Rivat and Sargos [15] held the best record to be

$$1 < c < \frac{2817}{2426} = 1.1611 \ldots.$$  

We mention that Leitmann and Wolke [11] proved that the asymptotic formula holds for almost all $c \in (1, 2)$ in the sense of Lebesgue measure. Rivat also considered to prove that there are infinitely many Piatetski-Shapiro primes by giving a lower bound of $\pi^{(c)}(x)$. He used a sieve method and showed that

$$\pi^{(c)}(x) \gg x^{1/c} \frac{\log x}{\log x}$$  

if

$$1 < c < \frac{7}{6} = 1.1666 \ldots.$$  

Later, Baker, Harman and Rivat [1] and Jia [6] improved this range to

$$1 < c < \frac{20}{17} = 1.1764 \ldots.$$
Jia [5] extended the range again to

\[ 1 < c < \frac{13}{11} = 1.1818 \ldots \]

Kumchev [9] improved the range to

\[ 1 < c < \frac{45}{38} = 1.1842 \ldots \]

Eventually, Rivat and Wu [16] gave the best range up to now, which is

\[ 1 < c < \frac{243}{205} = 1.1853 \ldots \]

We remark that if \( c \in (0, 1) \) then \( \mathcal{N}^{(c)} \) contains all natural numbers, hence contains all primes. The estimation of Piatetski-Shapiro primes is an approximation of the well-known conjecture that there exists infinitely many primes of the form \( n^2 + 1 \).

It is conjectured that there are infinitely many Piatetski-Shapiro primes for \( c \in (1, 2) \). However, the best known bound for \( c \) is still far from 2 and the range for \( c \) has not been improved for almost 20 years. We approach this problem in a different direction. For every \( R \geq 1 \), we say that a natural number is an \( R \)-almost prime if it has at most \( R \) prime factors, counted with multiplicity. The study of almost primes is an intermediate step to the investigation of primes. In this paper, we prove there are infinitely many almost primes in Piatetski-Shapiro sequences.

**Theorem 1.1.** For any fixed \( c \in (1, c_R) \) we have

\[
\left| \{ n \leq x : \lfloor n^c \rfloor \text{ is an } R\text{-almost prime} \} \right| \gg \frac{x}{\log x}
\]

holds for all sufficiently large \( x \). In particular, we have

\[
c_3 := \frac{889}{741} = 1.1997 \ldots, \quad c_4 := \frac{25882}{16071} = 1.6104 \ldots,
\]

and

\[
c_R := 3 - \frac{128}{3(8R - 1)} \quad (R \geq 5).
\]

Recall that the best known range that there are infinitely many Piatetski-Shapiro primes is \((1, 1.1853)\) and \( c_3 = 1.1997 > 1.1853 \). Hence our theorem for 3-almost primes provides a bigger range of \( c \) than that of prime numbers. Moreover, when \( R = 6 \) we have that

\[
c_6 = \frac{295}{141} = 2.0921,
\]

which is greater than 2.
2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation

We denote by \( [t] \) and \( \{t\} \) the integer part and the fractional part of \( t \), respectively. As is customary, we put \( e(t) := e^{2\pi it} \). We make considerable use of the sawtooth function defined by

\[
\psi(t) := t - [t] - \frac{1}{2} = \{t\} - \frac{1}{2} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).
\]

The letter \( p \) always denotes a prime. For the Piatetski-Shapiro sequence \( (\lfloor n^c \rfloor)_{n=1}^{\infty} \), we denote \( \gamma := e^{-1} \).

Throughout the paper, implied constants in symbols \( O, \ll \) and \( \gg \) may depend (where obvious) on the parameters \( c, \varepsilon \) but are absolute otherwise. For given functions \( F \) and \( G \), the notations \( F \ll G \), \( G \gg F \) and \( F = O(G) \) are all equivalent to the statement that the inequality \( |F| \leq C|G| \) holds with some constant \( C > 0 \).

2.2. The weighted sieve

As we have mentioned the following notion plays a crucial role in our arguments. We specify it to the form that is suited to our applications; it is based on a result of Greaves [3] that relates level of distribution to \( R \)-almost primality. More precisely, we say that an \( N \)-element set of integers \( A \) has a level of distribution \( D \) if for a given multiplicative function \( f(d) \) we have

\[
\sum_{d \leq D} \max_{gcd(s,d) = 1} \left| \{ a \in A, a \equiv s \mod d \} - \frac{f(d)}{d} N \right| \leq \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.
\]

As in [3, pp. 174–175] we define the constants

\[
\delta_2 := 0.044560, \quad \delta_3 := 0.074267, \quad \delta_4 := 0.103974
\]

and

\[
\delta_R := 0.124820, \quad R \geq 5.
\]

We have the following result, which is [3, Chapter 5, Proposition 1].

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose \( A \) is an \( N \)-element set of positive integers with a level of distribution \( D \) and degree \( \rho \) in the sense that

\[
a < D^\rho \quad (a \in A)
\]

holds with some real number \( \rho < R - \delta_R \). Then

\[
\left| \{ a \in A : a \text{ is an } R\text{-almost prime} \} \right| \gg \rho \frac{N}{\log N}.
\]

2.3. Technical lemmas

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( M \geq 1 \) and \( \lambda \) be positive real numbers and let \( H \) be a positive integer. If \( f : [1, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a real valued function with three continuous derivatives, which satisfies

\[
\lambda \leq |f^{(3)}(x)| \ll \lambda \quad \text{for } 1 \leq x \leq M,
\]
then for the sum
\[ S = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=H+1}^{2H} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{M_h} e\left( \frac{h}{H} f(m) \right) \right|, \]
where the integer \( M_h \) satisfies \( 1 \leq M_h \leq M \) for each \( h \in [H + 1, 2H] \), we have
\[ S \ll M^\epsilon \left( M \lambda^{1/6} H^{-1/9} + M \lambda^{1/5} + M^{3/4} \right) + \lambda^{-1/3}. \]

**Proof.** See [17, Theorem 1]. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.3.** For any \( H \geq 1 \) there are numbers \( a_h, b_h \) such that
\[ \left| \psi(t) - \sum_{0<|h|<H} a_h \, e(th) \right| \leq \sum_{|h|<H} b_h \, e(th), \quad a_h \ll \frac{1}{|h|}, \quad b_h \ll \frac{1}{H}. \]

**Proof.** See [18]. \( \square \)

We also need the method of exponent pair. A detailed definition of exponent pair can be found in [2, Page 31]. For an exponent pair \((k, l)\), we denote
\[ A(k, l) := \left( \frac{k}{2k+2}, \frac{k+l+1}{2k+2} \right) \]
and
\[ B(k, l) := \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2} \right) \]
the A-process and B-process of the exponent pair, respectively.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

#### 3.1. Initial approach

The set we sieve is
\[ \mathcal{A} := \{ m \leq x^\epsilon : m = [n^\epsilon] \text{ for integer } n \}. \]

For any \( d \leq D \), where \( D \) is a fixed power of \( x \), we estimate
\[ \mathcal{A}_d := \{ m \in \mathcal{A} : d | m \}. \]

We know that \( rd \in \mathcal{A} \) if and only if
\[ rd \leq n^\epsilon < rd + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad rd \leq x. \]

Within \( O(1) \) the cardinality of \( \mathcal{A}_d \) is equal to the number of integers \( n \leq x \) for which the interval \( ((n^\epsilon - 1)d^{-1}, n^\epsilon d^{-1}] \) contains a natural number. Hence
\[ |\mathcal{A}_d| = \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \left\lfloor n^\epsilon d^{-1} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor (n^\epsilon - 1)d^{-1} \right\rfloor \right) + O(1) \]
\[ X d^{-1} + \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \psi((n^c - 1)d^{-1}) - \psi(n^c d^{-1}) \right) + O(1), \]

where

\[ X = \sum_{n \leq x} 1 = x. \]

By Lemma 2.1 we need to show that for any sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \)

\[ \sum_{d \leq D} |\mathcal{A}_d| - X d^{-1} | \ll X x^{-\varepsilon/3} = x^{1-\varepsilon/3} \]

for sufficiently large \( x \). Splitting the range of \( d \) into dyadic subintervals, it is sufficient to prove that

\[ \sum_{d \sim D_1} \left| \sum_{N < n < N_1} \left( \psi((n^c - 1)d^{-1}) - \psi(n^c d^{-1}) \right) \right| \ll x^{1-\varepsilon/2} \tag{3.1} \]

holds uniformly for \( D_1 \leq D, N \leq x, N_1 \sim N \). Our aim is to establish (3.1) with \( D \) as large as possible.

We define

\[ S := \sum_{N < n < N_1} \left( \psi((n^c - 1)d^{-1}) - \psi(n^c d^{-1}) \right). \tag{3.2} \]

### 3.2. Estimation of \( S \) by the method of exponent pair

By Lemma 2.3 and taking that \( H = D x^\varepsilon \), we have

\[ S = S_1 + O(S_2), \]

where

\[ S_1 := \sum_{N < n < N_1} \sum_{0 < |h| < H} a_h \left( e(h(n^c - 1)d^{-1}) - e(h n^c d^{-1}) \right) \]

and

\[ S_2 := \sum_{N < n < N_1} \sum_{|h| < H} b_h \left( e(h(n^c - 1)d^{-1}) + e(h n^c d^{-1}) \right). \]

We consider \( S_1 \). Writing that

\[ \phi_h := e(hd^{-1}) - 1 \ll 1, \]

we obtain that

\[ S_1 = \sum_{N < n < N_1} \sum_{0 < |h| < H} a_h \phi_h e(h n^c d^{-1}) \ll \sum_{0 < |h| < H} h^{-1} \sum_{N < n < N_1} e(h n^c d^{-1}). \tag{3.3} \]

Using the exponent pair \((k, l)\), we have

\[ \sum_{N < n < N_1} e(h n^c d^{-1}) \ll (hd^{-1} N^{c-1})^k N^l + (hd^{-1})^{-1} N^{1-c}. \tag{3.4} \]
Substituting (3.4) to $S_1$ and (3.1), it becomes that

$$
\sum_{d \sim D_1} |S_1| \ll \sum_{d \sim D_1} \left| \sum_{0 \leq h < H} h^{-1}(h^k d^{-k} N^{k+1-k} + h^{-1} d N^{1-c}) \right|
$$

$$
\ll \sum_{d \sim D_1} \left| H^k d^{-k} N^{k+1-k} + H^{-1} d N^{1-c} \right|
$$

$$
\ll H^k D_1^{1-k} N^{k+1-k} + H^{-1} D_1^{2} N^{1-c}
$$

$$
\ll D x^{k+1+k} + D^2 x^{1-c}.
$$

Now we consider $S_2$. The contribution of $S_2$ from $h = 0$ is

$$
\sum_{N < n \leq N_1} b_h \ll NH^{-1}.
$$

(3.5)

Substituting (3.5) to (3.1), we have

$$
\sum_{d \sim D_1} NH^{-1} \ll DH^{-1} \ll x^{1-e/2},
$$

The contribution of $S_2$ from $h \neq 0$ is

$$
= \sum_{N < n \leq N_1} \sum_{0 \leq |h| < H} b_h \left( e(h(m^c - 1)d^{-1}) + e(hm^c d^{-1}) \right)
$$

$$
\ll \sum_{N < n \leq N_1} \sum_{0 \leq |h| < H} b_h \phi_h e(hm^c d^{-1})
$$

$$
\ll \sum_{0 \leq |h| < H} H^{-1} \sum_{N < n \leq N_1} e(hm^c d^{-1}),
$$

(3.6)

which can be estimated by the same method of $S_1$. By (3.4), we write (3.6) to be

$$
\ll \sum_{d \sim D_1} \left| \sum_{0 \leq |h| < H} H^{-1}(h^k d^{-k} N^{k+1-k} + h^{-1} d N^{1-c}) \right|
$$

$$
\ll H^k D_1^{1-k} N^{k+1-k} + H^{-1} D_1^{2} N^{1-c} \log H
$$

$$
\ll D x^{k+1+k} + D^2 x^{1-c}.
$$

Therefore, to make (3.1) to be true, we need that

$$
D x^{k+1+k} \ll x^{1-e/2},
$$

(3.7)

and

$$
D^2 x^{1-c} \ll x^{1-e/2}.
$$

(3.8)

Combining (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain that

$$
D \ll \min \left( x^{e/4}, x^{1-kc-l-c} \right).
$$

(3.9)
3.3. Exponent pair estimation for $R = 3$

We apply the weighted sieve with the choice

$$R = 3, \quad \delta_3 = 0.074267$$

and choose

$$\Lambda_R = 3 - \frac{3}{40} = \frac{117}{40} < R - \delta_R.$$  

By (3.9) we require that

$$1 - kc + k - l > \frac{40}{117}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c}{2} > \frac{40}{117},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

then by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{A}$ contains $\gg x/\log x R$-almost primes. To achieve (3.10), we need that

$$c < \frac{70 - 117l}{117k} + 1.$$  

Taking the exponent pair

$$BAAAAB(0, 1) = \left(\frac{19}{42}, \frac{32}{63}\right),$$

we have that

$$c < \frac{889}{741} = 1.1997 \ldots.$$  

3.4. Exponent pair estimation for $R = 4$

We apply the weighted sieve with the choice

$$R = 4, \quad \delta_4 = 0.103974$$

and choose

$$\Lambda_R = 4 - \frac{13}{125} = \frac{487}{125} < R - \delta_R.$$  

Similarly to (3.10), we need that

$$1 - kc + k - l > \frac{125}{487}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c}{2} > \frac{125}{487},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)

which requires that

$$c < \frac{362 - 487l}{487k} + 1 = \frac{25882}{16071} = 1.6104 \ldots,$$

by taking the exponent pair

$$BABABAAB(0, 1) = \left(\frac{33}{128}, \frac{75}{128}\right).$$
3.5. A refinement for $R \geq 5$

For $R \geq 5$, we estimate (3.2) by Lemma 2.2. By (3.3) we have that

$$S_1 \ll \log H \max_{1 \leq T \leq H} S(T, N),$$

where

$$S(T, N) := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{hT \leq N} \sum_{n \sim D} e(hd^{-1}n^\epsilon).$$

By Lemma 2.2 with $f(n) = Td^{-1}(n+N)^\epsilon$ and

$$\lambda = c(c-1)(c-2)T^{-1}N^{c-3},$$

it follows that

$$S(T, N) \ll N^{1+\epsilon}(Td^{-1}N^{c-3})^{1/6}T^{-1/9} + N^{1+\epsilon}(Td^{-1}N^{c-3})^{1/5}$$

$$\ll T^{1/18}d^{-1/6}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon} + T^{1/5}d^{-1/5}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon}$$

$$+ N^{3/4+\epsilon} + T^{-1/3}d^{1/3}N^{1-c/3}.$$  

Hence

$$S_1 \ll H^{1/18}d^{-1/6}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon} + H^{1/5}d^{-1/5}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon}$$

$$+ N^{3/4+\epsilon} + d^{1/3}N^{1-c/3}.$$  

The contribution of $S_2$ from $h \neq 0$ can be estimated by the same method and achieve the same upper bound, which means that (3.6) is

$$\ll H^{1/18}d^{-1/6}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon} + H^{1/5}d^{-1/5}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon}$$

$$+ N^{3/4+\epsilon} + d^{1/3}N^{1-c/3}.$$  

Together with the contribution of $S_2$ from $h = 0$, by (3.5) we obtain that the left-hand side of (3.1) is

$$\sum_{d-D_1} |S| \ll \sum_{d-D_1} \left| H^{1/18}d^{-1/6}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon} + H^{1/5}d^{-1/5}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon} 

+ N^{3/4} \log H + d^{1/3}N^{1-c/3} \right|$$

$$\ll H^{1/18}D^{5/6}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon} + H^{1/5}D^{4/5}N^{c/5+2/5+\epsilon}$$

$$+ DN^{3/4} \log H + D^{4/3}N^{1-c/3}$$

$$\ll D^{8/9}x^{c/6+1/2+19\epsilon/18} + Dx^{c/5+2/5+6\epsilon/5} + Dx^{3/4+\epsilon} + D^{4/3}x^{1-c/3}.$$  

To ensure the left-hand side of (3.1) is $\ll x^{1-\epsilon/2}$, we require that

$$D \ll \min\left( x^{9/16-3c/16-\epsilon}, x^{3/5-c/5-\epsilon}, x^{1/4-\epsilon}, x^{c/4-\epsilon} \right).$$  

(3.12)
3.6. The bound of $c$ for $R \geq 5$

We apply the weighted sieve with the choice

$$\delta_R = 0.124820 \quad (R \geq 5)$$

and choose

$$\Lambda_R = R - \frac{1}{8} < R - \delta_R.$$ 

To apply Lemma 2.1, by (3.12) we need that

$$\min \left( \frac{9}{16} - \frac{3}{c}, \frac{3}{5} - \frac{c}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{c}{4} \right) > \frac{1}{R - \frac{1}{8}},$$

which gives that

$$c < 3 - \frac{128}{3(8R - 1)}.$$

Acknowledgments

The author is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11901447), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2019M653576) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (No. 2020JQ-009).

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest in this paper.

References

1. R. C. Baker, G. Harman, J. Rivat, Primes of the form $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$, *J. Number Theory*, 50 (1995), 261–277.
2. S. W. Graham, G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput’s method of exponential sums*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 126. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
3. G. Greaves, *Sieves in Number Theory*, Results in Mathematics and Related Areas, Vol. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
4. D. R. Heath-Brown, The Pjateckii-Šapiro prime number theorem, *J. Number Theory*, 16 (1983), 242–266.
5. C. H. Jia, On Pjateckii-Šapiro prime number theorem II, *Sci. China Ser. A*, 36 (1993), 913–926.
6. C. H. Jia, On Pjateckiǐ-Šapiro prime number theorem, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 15 (1994), 9–22.
7. G. A. Kolesnik, The distribution of primes in sequences of the form $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$, *Mat. Zametki*, 2 (1967), 117–128.
8. G. A. Kolesnik, Primes of the form $\lfloor n^c \rfloor$, *Pacific J. Math.*, 118 (1985), 437–447.
9. A. Kumchev, On the distribution of prime numbers of the form $\lfloor nc \rfloor$, *Glasg. Math. J.*, 41 (1999), 85–102.
10. D. Leitmann, Abschätzung trigonometrischer Summen (German), *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 317 (1980), 209–219.

11. D. Leitmann, D. Wolke, Primzahlen der Gestalt \([f(n)]\) (German), *Math. Z.*, 145 (1975), 81–92.

12. H. Q. Liu, J. Rivat, On the Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro prime number theorem, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 24 (1992), 143–147.

13. I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, On the distribution of prime numbers in the sequence of the form \([f(n)]\), *Mat. Sb.*, 33 (1953), 559–566.

14. J. Rivat, *Autour d’un theorem de Piatetski-Shapiro*, Thesis, Université de Paris Sud, 1992.

15. J. Rivat, S. Sargos, Nombres premiers de la forme \([n^r]\), *Canad. J. Math.*, 53 (2001), 414–433.

16. J. Rivat, J. Wu, Prime numbers of the form \([n^r]\), *Glasg. Math. J.*, 43 (2001), 237–254.

17. O. Robert, P. Sargos, A third derivative test for mean values of exponential sums with application to lattice point problems, *Acta Arith.*, 106 (2003), 27–39.

18. J. D. Vaaler, Some extremal problems in Fourier analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12 (1985), 183–216.