Cu\textsuperscript{2+}-based distance measurements by pulsed EPR provide distance constraints for DNA backbone conformations in solution
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ABSTRACT

Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) has become an important tool to probe conformational changes in nucleic acids. An array of EPR labels for nucleic acids are available, but they often come at the cost of long tethers, are dependent on the presence of a particular nucleotide or can be placed only at the termini. Site directed incorporation of Cu\textsuperscript{2+}-chelated to a ligand, 2,2’-dipicolylamine (DPA) is potentially an attractive strategy for site-specific, nucleotide independent Cu\textsuperscript{2+}-labelling in DNA. To fully understand the potential of this label, we undertook a systematic and detailed analysis of the Cu\textsuperscript{2+}-DPA motif using EPR and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. We used continuous wave EPR experiments to characterize Cu\textsuperscript{2+} binding to DPA as well as optimize Cu\textsuperscript{2+} loading conditions. We performed double electron-electron resonance (DEER) experiments at two frequencies to elucidate orientational selectivity effects. Furthermore, comparison of DEER and MD simulated distance distributions reveal a remarkable agreement in the most probable distances. The results illustrate the efficacy of the Cu\textsuperscript{2+}-DPA in reporting on DNA backbone conformations for sufficiently long base pair separations. This labelling strategy can serve as an important tool for probing conformational changes in DNA upon interaction with other macromolecules.

INTRODUCTION

DNA dynamics is an important factor that affects numerous cellular processes mediated by protein–DNA interactions (1–5). Often, upon interaction with a protein at specific sites, structural changes in the DNA such as bending, or twisting are induced within the DNA. The flexibility of the DNA duplex and its ability to adapt its shape are crucial for triggering countless cellular activities such as transcription (6), replication (7) and gene regulation (8). Often due to the large size of protein–DNA complexes, low solubility and timescale of conformational changes, these processes are inaccessible to NMR and crystallographic techniques. On the other hand, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) techniques have become an invaluable method to probe conformational changes in such cases. Particularly, when two or more spins are present, pulsed EPR techniques can be employed to obtain point-to-point distances within a macromolecule. Such distance constraints in conjunction with the available structures of the macromolecule can be used to model the conformations of the macromolecule in the different functional states (9–17).

To implement pulsed EPR techniques for distance measurements, one needs to incorporate two or more spin labels at specific sites in the DNA. To this end, a wide variety of spin labels have been developed for nucleic acids (18,19). These methods include modification of the nucleobase (20–28), backbone (29–32) or terminal capping (33,34). Nitroxide based labels, particularly the cytidine analogue, C\textsubscript{yNx} (35–37) provide extremely rigid distance distributions as well as information on label orientation (38–40). These two pieces of information together have proven to be capable of reporting on inherent DNA motions in even small systems such as the cocaine aptamer (41). Radicals, such as the triaryl methyl (TAM) spin label, often attached at the oligonucleotide termini, have been used to demonstrate distance measurements in nucleic acids at physiological temperatures (42,43). Sterically shielded nitroxide labels, introduced post-synthetically, (32) and non-covalently bonded nitroxide labels, attached to an abasic site (44), that position the label closer to or within the helix have also been developed. Chelation of paramagnetic metal ions such as Gd\textsuperscript{3+}, Mn\textsuperscript{2+} or Cu\textsuperscript{2+} (45–48) has been introduced as an alternative labelling methodology. Despite the success of such labelling strategies, there is a need for labelling schemes that
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are nucleotide independent, can be positioned anywhere within the DNA, and are small enough to reside within the helix.

Recently, we reported a Cu²⁺ based labelling method as a promising strategy to measure DNA backbone distances (49). The method involves the incorporation of a Cu²⁺-chelating ligand, a 2,2'-dipicolylamine (DPA) phosphoramidite, at two specific sites in the DNA duplex. This strategy introduces an abasic site (dSpacer) opposing the DPA in the complementary strand. While other methods may require specific secondary structures (45) or use labels with elongated tethers that place the reporter on the exterior of the DNA (50), the DPA-DNA method is structure-independent and positions the probe in close proximity to the DNA backbone. Furthermore, the label is also nucleotide independent and can be positioned anywhere within the DNA molecule. In the initial work, a most probable distance of 2.7 nm was measured with the Cu²⁺-DPA within the DNA molecule. In the initial work, a most probable distance of 2.7 nm was measured with the Cu²⁺-DPA motifs separated by 8 bp. This distance was in good agreement with both the distance calculated using the known values of base-pair separation for a B-DNA and with corresponding molecular dynamic simulations. This reported distance was resolved using a single measurement without the need of any post-experiment analysis.

In this work, we provide a comprehensive analysis of the Cu²⁺-DPA motif. First, we performed continuous-wave EPR measurements to analyse the specificity of Cu²⁺ towards the DPA motif and to rule out any possibility of non-specific binding elsewhere on the DNA molecule. Second, we obtained systematic distance measurements using double electron-electron resonance (DEER) technique to probe the flexibility of the Cu²⁺-DPA motif. These measurements were performed at both Q-band and X-band frequencies over several magnetic fields to probe any orientation effects. Third, we performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on an unmodified DNA sequence to provide insight on how the distance measured using the Cu²⁺-DPA motif compares to the native DNA backbone. Finally, we used a physical model that depicts the DNA motion, to estimate the flexibility of the Cu²⁺-DPA label. Together, these efforts show that the Cu²⁺-DPA motif is a highly promising labelling technique that can be used to probe DNA conformations without the need of any extensive modelling.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Oligonucleotides**

Single-strand oligonucleotides containing 2,2'-dipicolylamine (DPA) were obtained from either Trilink Biotechnologies (duplex with eight base pair separation between DPA sites) or ATDBio Ltd. (duplexes with 9–12 bp separations). The suppliers purified the strands using high performance liquid chromatography and characterized using mass spectrometry (Supplementary Figure S1). The control unmodified DNA duplex was purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT).

Equal amounts of complementary DNA strands were mixed and CuCl₂ were added such that there were slightly less than stoichiometric equivalents of Cu²⁺ per DPA binding site (~0.94 equivalents of Cu²⁺ per DPA). Duplexes were annealed in the presence of CuCl₂ to ensure proper formation and efficient Cu²⁺ chelation, using a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 with the following protocol: 90°C for 1 min, 60°C for 3 min, 50°C for 4 min, 45°C for 4 min, 30°C for 5 min and then progressively cooled to 4°C. All duplexes were subjected to this annealing procedure. After annealing, the concentration of each duplex was again determined spectrophotometrically using absorptivity coefficients calculated by nearest neighbour methods (51–53). Additional details are provided in the Supplementary Information.

**EPR measurements**

All EPR experiments were performed on either a Bruker ElexSys E580 or ElexSys E680 CW/FT spectrometer equipped with a Bruker ER4118X-MD5 or Bruker ER4118X-MD4 resonator for X-band frequencies, respectively and Bruker ER5106-QT2 resonator for Q-band frequencies. The E680 spectrometer is also equipped with a 300 W amplifier. Experiments were performed at either X-band (~9.68 GHz) or Q-band (~35 GHz) frequencies. Experiments were performed at either 20 or 80 K.

X-band samples had a DNA duplex concentration ranging between 150 and 200 μM, with a total sample volume of 120 μl. Q-band sample had a DNA duplex concentration of 80 μM, with a total sample volume of 50 μl. All samples were prepared in 50 mM NEM buffer. The pH of the sample was 7.4 and 20% (v/v) glycerol was included as a cryoprotectant.

All continuous wave (CW) EPR data were acquired at 80 K. Experiments were performed at a center field of 3100 G with a sweep width of 2000 G for a total of 1024 data points. The data was collected using a modulation amplitude of 4 G, a modulation frequency of 100 kHz and a conversion time of 20.48 ms. The spectra were simulated using the EasySpin software (54).

Double electron-electron resonance (DEER) spectroscopy experiments were performed using the four pulse sequence (π/2)ν1 − τ1 − (π)ν1 − τ1+T − (π/2)ν2 − τ2−T − (π)ν1 − τ2 − echo (55). A 16-step phase cycling was used. For X-band DEER experiments, the observer pulse lengths, (π/2)ν1 and (π)ν1, were 16 and 32 ns respectively while the pump pulse length, (π)ν2, was 16 ns. The delay, T, was incremented using step sizes that varied from 8 up to 28 ns, depending on the DPA base pair separation. The pump frequency was placed at the maximum of the echo detected field swept spectrum, and the observer frequency was offset 100 MHz downfield. To probe orientational selectivity effects at X-band, we also performed DEER experiments with the pump frequency placed at the field corresponding to the g₁ region and the observer frequency was offset by 100 MHz upfield. For Q-band DEER experiments, the observer pulse lengths, (π/2)ν1 and (π)ν1 were 14 and 28 ns respectively and the pump pulse, (π)ν2 was 32 ns. The observer frequency was set 100 MHz higher in frequency than the pump. The delay, T, was incremented with a step size of 24 ns. DEER spectra were acquired from 11220 G to 11820 G at eight different magnetic field values. All DEER data were collected at 20 K. All DEER data were analysed using DeerAnalysis2018 (56).
Molecular dynamics simulations

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on the DNA duplex were performed using the AMBER parmbsc1 (bsc1) (57) force field. The Nucleic Acid Builder (NAB) module in the AMBER suite (58) was used to construct the B-DNA helix. The DNA duplex was then solvated in an explicit 12 Å TIP3P water box (59) and neutralized with Na+ and Cl− ions. All simulations were performed using the pmemd program in the AMBER16 software package. The system was optimized, thermalized and pre-equilibrated for 2 ns before being set up for the unrestrained production MD run of 100 ns at 298.15 K. Periodic boundary conditions along with particle mesh ewald (PME) (60) were applied to account for long-range electrostatic interactions under NPT (P = 1 atm) conditions. SHAKE (61) on bonds involving hydrogens was used in conjunction with an integration step of 2 fs. A non-bonded cut-off of 10 Å was applied. All visualizations for simulations were done on VMD (62). From the MD trajectories, distances were measured between the two C4′ as well as the two C3′ carbon atoms of the nucleotides that were replaced by DPA in the experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we examined five DPA-DNA duplexes. The structures of Cu2+-DPA and dSpacer and the sequences of the DNA duplexes are shown in Figure 1. Each DNA duplex has two DPA phosphoramidites incorporated within the helix, one on each strand. A dSpacer (tetrahydrofuranyl residue mimicking the sugar phosphate backbone without a base) opposes the DPA on the complementary strand. The separation of the DPA motifs is monotonically increased in each DNA duplex by increasing the base pair separation (n) between them. All DNA constructs are heteroduplexes formed from single strands with non-palindromic sequences to prevent the chance of base-pairing within the same DNA strand.

Results have been previously reported for a 15-nucleotide DNA duplex with a base pair separation, n of 8 (49), between the DPA sites. In the n = 8 duplex, as shown in Figure 1B, the Cu2+-binding site was flanked by only three bases. Because of the occurrence of slight unwinding at the ends of DNA duplexes, such unwinding proximal to the DPA-motif can increase the distribution of distances reported by the DPA-motif. In this paper, the DPA motifs are flanked by at least 6 bp, thus reducing influence from terminal unwinding. Circular dichroism measurements of DPA–DNA and melting temperatures have previously shown that incorporation of the DPA motif inside the DNA duplex does not perturb the native helical structure of the DNA, nor does it influence the helical stability (49). Thus, the Cu2+–DPA motif is suitable for probing intra-DNA distances.

Characterization of Cu2+ bound to DPA-DNA

To promote full solvent accessibility of the Cu2+ ion to the DPA chelating site, we annealed the individual strands of the DNA in the presence of Cu2+. The temperature of the samples was raised to 95°C and incrementally brought down to 4°C as described in the Experimental section. Cu2+ shows high binding affinity to a DPA ligand with an apparent association constant, $K_a$ of $(5.0 \pm 2.0) \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$ in NEM buffer at pH of 7.4 (63). On the other hand, Cu2+ also shows affinity towards native DNA with a $K_a$ of $2.4 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ (64) and particularly to the purine bases adenine and guanine, with a $K_a$ of $1.7 \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$ (49,65). We, therefore, added a slightly sub-stoichiometric equivalent of Cu2+ (~0.94 equivalents of Cu2+ per DPA) to maximize loading of the DNA sites without causing non-specific binding elsewhere.

To verify that Cu2+ binds specifically to DPA, we first performed CW EPR experiments on a Cu2+-DPA-DNA du-
in Figure 2A, with g⊥ values of 2.240 and 170 G, respectively is overlaid (black dashed). (B) Comparison of CW-EPR spectra between Cu2+ bound to control DNA (grey solid) and Cu2+ bound to DPA–DNA (red solid). The Cu2+-control DNA spectrum has g∥ and A∥ values of 2.280 and 163 G, respectively and is distinctly different from Cu2+-DPA–DNA spectrum. This confirms that there is no non-specific binding of Cu2+ in the DPA–DNA duplex.

Figure 2. (A) CW-EPR spectrum of Cu2+ bound DPA–DNA duplex (solid line). The single component fit, with g∥ and A∥ values of 2.240 and 170 G, respectively is overlaid (black dashed). (B) Comparison of CW-EPR spectra between Cu2+ bound to control DNA (grey solid) and Cu2+ bound to DPA–DNA (red solid). The Cu2+-control DNA spectrum has g∥ and A∥ values of 2.280 and 163 G, respectively and is distinctly different from Cu2+-DPA–DNA spectrum. This confirms that there is no non-specific binding of Cu2+ in the DPA–DNA duplex.

DEER measurements show no observable orientational selectivity at both X and Q-band frequencies

To probe orientational selectivity effects on the Cu2+-DPA motif, we first performed the DEER experiment on the DPA–DNA duplex with n = 11. The data were acquired at eight magnetic field values over a range of 600 G (11220 G to 11820 G) between g∥ and g⊥ regions at Q-band frequency. All primary DEER times traces were background subtracted and analysed via Tikhonov regularization using DeerAnalysis2018 (56). Figure 3A shows the field swept spectrum of Cu2+-DPA–DNA duplex and the magnetic field values at which DEER was performed. The corresponding background subtracted data is shown in Figure 3B. The primary time domain data is provided in the SI (Supplementary Figure S3).

In DEER, selective excitation of the total spectrum can lead to excitation of only a small subset of all possible molecular orientations, leading to orientational selectivity effects (47,69–75). This effect can be mitigated by several factors and techniques. At X-band frequencies (9.5 GHz), most common systems such as nitroxides and Cu2+ labels have large hyperfine anisotropies relative to their g-anisotropy, which allows the mixing of orientations across the spectrum (76,77). Additionally, flexible spin labels can reduce orientation selectivity effects as the inherent flexibility effectively randomizes the selected molecular orientations. A similar effect is also observed in more rigid Cu2+ binding motifs, in which the slight flexibility of the side-chain rotamers produces a distribution in g-tensor orientations (78–80). Because orientational selectivity effects are due in part to the selective excitation, ultra-wide band pump pulses that effectively excite the entire spectrum have also shown dilution of orientational selectivity (81). As can be seen from Figure 3B, the DEER time traces display dipolar modulations with similar dipolar frequency at all magnetic fields. This consistency suggests that orientational effects are negligible for this system at different magnetic fields. Furthermore, we compare the background subtracted time domain DEER, performed at g∥ with the g⊥ regions (Figure 3C)—the modulation depth was adjusted for comparison. These regions should represent a maximal difference in the orientations excited. Again, we found minimal change in the modulation frequency of the DEER trace (Figure 3C). Consequently, the distance distribution obtained using Tikhonov regularization at the above two fields agree well within signal to noise, as shown in Figure 3D. Based on these data, we conclude that the inherent DNA flexibility along with that of the label suppresses any orientational selectivity effects in non-complexed DNA.

Since DEER performed at Q-band frequency did not show any orientation effects for Cu2+-DPA, we do not expect to see any such effects at X-band frequency. Nevertheless, we performed DEER on the same DPA–DNA duplex of n = 11 at X-band frequency at both g∥ and g⊥ regions (cf. Figure 4A). The background subtracted time domain DEER of the two regions, shown in Figure 4A, confirms that orientational effects are minimal—again the modulation depths are adjusted for comparison. Interestingly, the distance distribution analysed from the g∥ region at X-band and that of the summed DEER signal over eight different magnetic fields at Q-band showed good agreement for the DPA-DNA duplex of n = 11 (cf. Figure 4B). Nevertheless, we also probed for orientation selectivity effects at X-band frequency for other duplexes as well. In all cases, we observed minimal change in dipolar frequency in the time domain DEER data between g∥ and g⊥ regions (Supplementary Figures S4 and S5).
Figure 3. (A) Field-swept electron spin echo spectrum of Cu²⁺-DPA–DNA (n = 11) at Q-band frequency. The lines show the different magnetic fields at which DEER was performed. (B) Background subtracted time domain DEER signals at the different magnetic fields. The y-axis has been offset for ease of visualization. The data show minimal difference in dipolar frequency at the different fields. (C) Background subtracted time domain data at g∥ (dashed, 11220 G) and g⊥ (solid, 11820 G) regions. These two regions also do not show any distinct difference in modulation frequency, suggesting Cu²⁺–DPA shows minimal orientational effects. (D) Distance distribution obtained via Tikhonov regularization, for both g∥ (dashed, 11220 G) and g⊥ (solid, 11820 G) regions. The similarity in the distributions further confirms that Cu²⁺–DPA is not orientation selective for non-complexed DNA.

Figure 4. (A) Background subtracted time domain DEER signal of Cu²⁺–DPA–DNA (n = 11) at X-band frequency at g∥ (grey) and g⊥ (black) regions. The field corresponding to the g∥ and g⊥ regions are shown in the field-swept electron spin echo spectrum in the inset. There is no distinguishable difference in the dipolar frequency between the two data. (B) Distance distributions obtained as a sum of DEER time traces collected at different fields, ranging from g∥ and g⊥ regions at Q-band frequency (dashed red) and at g⊥ region at X-band frequency (solid black). Both distributions, analysed via Tikhonov regularization, show similar most probable distance and width.

DEER measurements using Cu²⁺-DPA motif can probe the DNA backbone

Finally, to determine if the labelling strategy can accurately report on changes in distance upon incrementing base-pair separation, we performed DEER measurements at X-band frequency. Figure 5 shows the DEER data acquired at g⊥ on the duplexes with n ranging from 9 to 12. The data at g∥ is shown in SI for all duplexes (Supplementary Figures S4 and S5). Importantly, the absence of orientation effects was observed for all the five different spin-label positions. DEER measurement performed on duplex n = 8 has been previously reported (49) and are also used in the following discussion. The corresponding Pake patterns, clearly show that as the distance increases with n, the dipolar frequency of the modulation gradually decreases as is expected (cf. Figure 5C).

We analysed the time domain DEER data with Tikhonov regularization to obtain distance distributions (Figure 6A, Supplementary Figure S6). We observed an increase in the most probable distance as the base pair separation increases from 8 to 12. Furthermore, we plotted the most probable distance against the base pair separation and observed a linear trend for a y-intercept of 0 (Figure 6B). The slope of the fit equals 0.35 nm which is in good agreement with the ≈0.34 nm separation between adjacent bases for an idealized B-DNA (82). The data (Figure 6B) show that our experimental technique reports accurately on B-DNA double helix length over the range of 8–12 bp and discerns differences in length as small as one base pair.

MD simulations show good agreement with the most probable distance from DEER

Next, we performed MD simulations on the control unmodified DNA (cf. Figure 1B) in order to compare the measured distances to native backbone conformations. We created a DNA duplex with the same sequence as the control DNA, where the DPA and dSpacer positions are replaced by adenine and thymine, respectively. For running MD simulations, we chose the newer AMBER parmbsc1 force field as it has previously shown good agreement to EPR experimental results obtained on doubly spin labelled DNA (40,44). We generated 100 ns of unrestrained MD trajectories of the DNA duplex (Supplementary Figure S7). From the MD simulation we extracted backbone distance distributions to compare with our experimental results.
Figure 5. (A) Background subtracted time domain DEER data of the DPA-DNA duplexes ranging from $n = 8$ to 12 base pairs. The modulation frequency increases as the base pair separation increases. The y-axis has been offset for ease of visualization. (B) Duplexes showing the Cu$^{2+}$-DPA and dSpacer positions for varying $n$. (C) Pake pattern for duplexes $n = 8$ to 12. The characteristic peak, corresponding to the perpendicular orientation, shows a gradual shift to a lower frequency as $n$ increases.

Figure 6. (A) Area-normalized distance distributions obtained for duplexes $n = 8$ to 12 via Tikhonov regularization. The distributions show an increase in the most probable distance with increasing $n$. (B) Plot of most probable distance versus base pair separation, $n$. On fitting to linear trend with a y-intercept of 0, we obtained a slope of 0.35 nm with an error of 0.003 nm. The slope is in reasonable agreement with the reported base pair separation for a B-DNA.

First, we determined which backbone atoms of the unmodified DNA most nearly correspond to the distance reported by the Cu$^{2+}$-DPA label. We compared the structure of unmodified DNA to that of Cu$^{2+}$–DPA–DNA with an 11-bp separation (Figure 7A). The backbone carbon atom of the DPA motif is represented inside the grey circle. This particular atom forms the pivotal point in the phosphoramidite to which the DPA is attached. When comparing with a normal nucleotide, we considered two possibilities: (a) when viewed from the 3′ end, the DPA backbone carbon atom resembles a C3′ atom in a normal nucleotide (Figure 7A); (b) when viewed from the 5′ end, the same backbone atom of DPA resembles a C4′ atom of a normal nucleotide (Figure 7B). Therefore, when evaluating the distance distributions from the MD trajectories, we considered both C3′–C3′ and C4′–C4′ distances between the suitable bases.

Figure 8 shows the MD and experimental distance distributions. The most probable distance between the experiment and the simulations showed a reasonable agreement within 1–2 Å, for all the duplexes. These results are further illustrated in Figure 9. The remarkable agreement in the most probable distances strongly supports the fact that the Cu$^{2+}$–DPA motif is present within the DNA helix. The agreement also confirms that the incorporation of DPA into the DNA does not perturb the native DNA structure. It follows that the Cu$^{2+}$–DPA motif is an accurate reporter of point-to-point distances in the DNA backbone without requiring any additional modelling.

Despite the coincidence of most probable distance values, a discrepancy exists between the widths of the experimental and MD simulated distance distributions (cf. Figure 8). Clearly, the experimental distance distributions are broader. There are three possible explanations, which are not mutually exclusive. First, the DPA moiety itself has some inherent conformational flexibility, which adds to the distribution width. Second, the MD simulations used unmodified DNA. It is possible that the absence of interstrand hydrogen bonding at the DPA sites of the modified DNA allows access to additional conformational fluctuations that are not accessible in the unmodified DNA. Third, the DNA in solution may experience conformational fluctuations on a timescale much slower than the 100 ns of the MD simulation; these cannot be captured in short simulations.

DNA breathing model to estimate label flexibility

In order to estimate the flexibility of the Cu$^{2+}$–DPA label, we modelled the twist-stretch motion of the DNA duplex, taking into account the label length and flexibility. Previous work has shown that the twist-stretch model is in agreement with DEER data on DNA obtained by using the rigid cytidine analogue nitroxide spin label (39). Here, we adopted a similar approach but specific to our Cu$^{2+}$-DPA label (details are provided in Supplementary Information). One of the parameters that we need to account for is the length of the probe from the DNA backbone. Accordingly, we created
Figure 7. Backbone carbon atoms of an unmodified nucleotide compared to the DPA phosphoramidite. The backbone carbon atom in DPA (grey circle) can resemble either (A) a C3' atom when viewed from the 3' end or (B) a C4' atom when viewed from the 5' end. Accordingly, the distance distributions were analyzed between C3'-C3' and C4'-C4' backbone atoms of the residues that are replaced by DPA in the DPA–DNA duplexes.

Figure 8. The first panel shows the DNA duplex inside a water box, used in our simulations. C3'–C3' (blue dashed) and C4'–C4' (red dotted) distance distributions obtained from MD simulations and experimental distance distributions (black solid). MD simulated distributions show a good agreement with the experimental most probable distance, within 1–2 Å.

Figure 9. Comparison of the most probable distance from the experimental results with (A) C3'–C3' distances and (B) C4'–C4' distances from MD simulations. The data show good agreement for different base pair separations, n.
an in-silico model of the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA incorporated DNA duplex and estimated the label length to be 3.3 Å (Supplementary Figure S8). The DNA twist-stretch motion is best represented by two individual components: an axial distance, along the axis of the DNA helix and a transverse distance, in the plane perpendicular to the helical axis of the DNA (39,83) (Supplementary Figures S9 and S10). Furthermore, along with the reported standard deviation for a B-DNA (39), we added an additional flexibility corresponding to the spin label to best fit the experimental results, as has been previously done (39) (details are provided in SI).

Figure 10A shows the comparison of the most probable distance of the modelled data with the experimental results (orange). The DNA duplex with \( n = 8 \) was not included in the comparison since the absence of sufficient flanking sequences on either side of the label leads to additional flexibility of the label. The results agree well within the error of the experiment. Such analysis allows for a conceptual understanding of the observations. The Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA spin label is relatively small in size compared to other traditional labels. In addition, the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA labels point inwards into the helix in both strands and therefore the offset due to linker length is much reduced. Additionally, the contribution of the distance along the DNA helical axis is much more dominant than the radial offset of the Cu\(^{2+}\). Thus, overall, the effect of the offset of Cu\(^{2+}\) becomes small for basepair separations greater than 4. Quantitative comparisons and analysis of the most probable distances obtained from the twist-stretch model and in-silico modelling for both the native DNA and Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA-DNA are shown in Supplementary Figures S11 and S12.

Finally, we calculated the standard deviations of our experimental results (Supplementary Figures S13 and S14) and compared to that model for an additional spin label flexibility of \(~1.6\) Å. Interestingly, the most rigid nitroxide labels, such as the cytidine analogue, has been reported to have a flexibility of 1 angstrom (39). Note, that these comparisons are a rough estimation of the label flexibility since they consider only the twist-stretch motion (39). However, there may be an additional influence of DNA bending (40) which has not been taken into account. Nevertheless, these results indicate that the flexibility of the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA is only slightly higher than the most rigid nitroxide labels. Overall, this additional flexibility of the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA also explains the broader distance distributions obtained in our experiments versus MD (cf. Figures 8 and 10).

More precise information on the label flexibility can also be obtained by elucidating the rotameric preferences of the label (84–88). Such analysis would require the knowledge of force field parameters of the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA label which is an important future direction.

Finally, in order to examine how the DNA backbone and Cu\(^{2+}\)-Cu\(^{2+}\) distances compare for a bent or kinked DNA, we performed in-silico modelling on several bent DNA structures. We incorporated the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA labels with base-pair separations of 9–12 as described in Supplementary Figure S15. Interestingly, the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA distances is within 2–3 Å of the backbone distances. Such agreement highlights the usefulness of the short linker and the orientation of the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA label.

**CONCLUSION**

Herein, we provide a comprehensive analysis of the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA motif as a promising spin label for DNA. We have shown that Cu\(^{2+}\) specifically binds to the DPA motif in the DNA duplex, eliminating any non-specific binding. Distance distributions of several DPA–DNA duplexes and MD simulations illustrate that the motif is capable of reporting on DNA backbone conformations in solution, without the need for extensive modelling. Moreover, distance measurements on the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA motif in the non-complexed DNA does not show orientation effects for any base-pair separation between the motifs. The methodology has the resolution to detect differences in DNA length as small as one base pair. These results also support the fact that the motif is small, is present inside the helix and does not perturb the native structure of DNA. Comparison of experimental distance distributions with those calculated from the geometric model of DNA shows that the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA is only slightly more flexible than the most rigid nitroxide labels available for DNA. Notably, the spin labelling technique is nucleotide independent, which allows the incorporation of the label at any position. Such Cu\(^{2+}\)-based labelling strategy can be combined with nitroxide labelling of proteins in order to measure multiple structural constraints in a protein–DNA complex. The ability of the Cu\(^{2+}\)-DPA motif to accurately report on DNA backbone conformation will serve as an essential tool for probing backbone fluctuations and shape adaptations (e.g. bending or unwinding) as the DNA
interacts with various proteins to execute biological functions.
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