INTRODUCTION

The incidence rates of uterine cervical adenocarcinoma (ADC) have been dramatically increasing worldwide, especially in young women, even though the incidence rates of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) have been decreasing. It has been shown that adenocarcinoma has a worse prognosis than SCC at the same stage and with the same tumor size. The principal reasons for the worse outcome are the high metastatic rate and resistance to chemoradiotherapy. Consequently, a novel therapeutic strategy and an understanding of the malignancy are needed to improve the prognosis of cervical ADC.

Tight junctions (TJs) are important cell adhesion structures that are located at the most apical components of intercellular junctional...
complexes in epithelial and endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{18–20} Tight junctions are composed of intracellular scaffold proteins and transmembrane proteins including proteins in the claudin (CLDN) family, junctional adhesion molecule (JAM) family, MAL and related proteins for vesicle trafficking and membrane link (MARVEL) family, and lipolysis-stimulated lipoprotein receptor (LSR). Claudin family proteins form TJ strands that play a central role in physiological functions of TJs.\textsuperscript{19} However, CLDN family proteins have also been reported to be ectopically highly expressed in various cancers and to be involved in cancer malignancy.\textsuperscript{14,15,21–25}

Claudin-6, a member of the CLDN family, is expressed in several types of embryonic epithelial cells and germ cell tumors derived from embryonic epithelial cells, such as seminomas, embryonal carcinomas, and yolk sac tumors.\textsuperscript{26–30} Claudin-6 is not expressed in normal adult cells but is aberrantly expressed in some cases of gastric adenocarcinomas, lung adenocarcinomas, ovarian adenocarcinomas, and endometrial carcinomas and is involved in malignant potentials of those cancers.\textsuperscript{31–35} However, the relationship between expression of CLDN6 and malignant potentials of cervical ADC remains unclear.

In this study, we examined the expression of CLDN6 in cervical ADC surgical specimens by immunohistochemistry and investigated the functional relationships between CLDN6 expression and clinicopathologic features. We also investigated how CLDN6 expression contributed to malignant potentials of cervical ADC cells. Our results showed that aberrant expression of CLDN6 enhances not only malignant potentials but also chemoresistance along with overexpression of aldo-keto reductase (AKR) family proteins.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Antibodies

Primary Abs used in this study are listed in Table S1.

2.2 | Immunohistochemical analysis of surgical specimens

Specimens of 68 cases of cervical ADC including adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS) obtained by surgical resection during the period from 2004 to 2014 were retrieved from the pathology file of Sapporo Medical University Hospital. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Sapporo Medical University (IRB study number 302–197). Written informed consent was obtained from each patient who participated in the investigation. As controls, adjacent nonneoplastic regions were examined as normal tissues (n = 62). Clinicopathologic features of the patients were described previously.\textsuperscript{36} Immunohistochemistry was carried out with anti-CLDN6 Ab (1:100; IBL) as described previously.\textsuperscript{37} Surgical specimen staining patterns were scored as follows: score 0, no reactivity or cytosolic reactivity in less than 10% of tumor cells; score 1+, faint/almost no cytosolic reactivity in 10% or more of tumor cells; score 2+, weak to moderate cytosolic reactivity in 10% or more tumor cells; and score 3+, moderate to strong cytosolic reactivity in 10% or more of tumor cells. For statistical purposes, samples with scores 0 and 1+ were considered negative, and those with scores 2+ and 3+ were considered positive. When evaluating the slides, the observers (YI, MM, and AT) were blinded to the clinical data. Discordant cases were discussed, and a consensus was reached.

2.3 | Cell culture and treatment

The human cervical ADC cell lines Hela229 and OMC4 were purchased from RIKEN Bio-Resource Center and HCA1 was from JCRB Cell Bank. The human ADC cell line CAC-1 and TMCC-1 were provided by our colleague Dr Hayakawa.\textsuperscript{38,39} Cells were maintained as described previously.\textsuperscript{15} Claudin-6 cell lines were established by lentiviral transfection as described previously.\textsuperscript{40} In brief, CLDN6 lentiviral particles were generated by transfecting HEK293T cells with 10 μg CSII plasmids containing the CLDN6 gene, 5 μg packaging plasmids psPAX2 (#12260; Addgene), and pCMV-VSV-G (#8454; Addgene) using PEI Max. Culture media containing recombinant lentiviruses were collected 72 hours after transfection. The lentiviral vectors were added to the cell culture medium of cervical ADC cell lines after filtration. The CLDN6-overexpressing cells (CLDN6 cells) were screened by western blot analysis. More than 48 hours after transfection, the cells were used for further analysis.

2.4 | Cell proliferation, invasion, and migration assay

The colony-forming assay and the WST-8 assay (CCK-8; Dojindo Laboratories) were carried out as described previously.\textsuperscript{41} The migration assay and invasion assay were carried out using Transwell (8-μm pore polycarbonate membrane insert; Corning) and BioCoat Matrigel invasion chamber (#354480; Corning) in 24-well dishes, respectively, as described previously.\textsuperscript{23} Each experiment was independently repeated three times.

2.5 | Proteome analysis

Whole cell protein was prepared by using a phase transfer surfactant method and then trypsinized and desalted.\textsuperscript{42} Samples were dissolved in 0.1% formic acid and loaded into a nano-flow UHPLC (Easy-nLC 1000 system; Thermo Fisher Scientific) online-coupled to an Orbitrap mass spectrometer equipped with a nanospray ion source (Q-Exactive Plus; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were separated by using a 75 μm × 20 cm capillary column with a particle size of 3 μm (NTCC-360; Nikkyo Technos) by applying a linear gradient ranging from 5% to 35% buffer B (100% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 300 nL/min for 120 minutes. In mass
spectrometry (MS) analysis, survey scan spectra were acquired at a resolution of 70,000 at 200 m/z with a target value of 3e6 ions, ranging from 350 to 2000 m/z with charge states between 1+ and 4+. We applied a data-dependent top 10 method that generates high-energy collision dissociation fragments for the 10 most intense precursor ions per survey scan. The tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) resolution was 17,500 at 200 m/z with a target value of 1e5 ions.

For MS/MS data analysis, we used the Sequest HT (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Mascot version 2.5 (Matrix Science) algorithms embedded in the Proteome Discoverer 2.2 platform (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the peak lists were searched against the UniProt human databases. The tolerance of precursor ions and fragment ions were set to 10 ppm and 0.02 Da, respectively. Gene Ontology (GO) terms enrichment analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis were carried out on WEB-based GEne SeT AnaLysis Toolkit (WebGestalt; http://www.webgestalt.org).

### 2.6 | Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was obtained as previously described.\(^43\) In brief, 2.5% glutaraldehyde- and then 1% OsO\(_4\)-fixed cell specimens were sputter-coated with platinum and examined under a scanning electron microscope (S4300; Hitachi) operating at 5 kV.

### 2.7 | Transepithelial electrical resistance and paracellular flux

Transepithelial electrical resistance and paracellular flux were measured as previously described.\(^43,44\)

### 2.8 | Cell adhesion ability assay

Cells were seeded on a collagen-coated coverslip (5 x 10\(^4\) cells/coverslip) and incubated in a CO\(_2\) incubator for 1 hour. The coverslip was rinsed with PBS three times to remove nonadhered cells and then stained with 0.04% crystal violet to count the number of residual cells.

### 2.9 | Statistical analysis

The measured values are presented as mean ± SD. Data were analyzed and compared using the unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test, Fisher’s exact test, and Kruskal-Wallis test. Survival rates were calculated by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by the log-rank test. Multivariate regression analysis Cox proportional hazard model was used to estimate hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for each category. Statistical significance was accepted when \(P < .05\) (**P < .05 and **P < .01). All statistical analyses were undertaken with EZR software version 1.27.\(^45\)

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Expression profiles of CLDN6 in surgical specimens of cervical ADC

Immunohistochemistry was performed on surgical specimens of uterine cervical ADC using anti-CLDN6 Abs. No expression of CLDN6 was observed in nonneoplastic cervical glands, being in agreement with the general recognition of negative expression of CLDN6 in most normal adult tissues.\(^31\) In contrast, strong immunostaining of CLDN6 was observed in the whole-cell membrane and the cytoplasm in ADC cases (Figure 1A). Based on the immunoreactive-activity, the cases were divided into two groups: a CLDN6 positive group (intensity of 2+ or 3+) and a CLDN6-negative group (intensity of 1+ or 0). Nineteen (33.3%) of 57 ADC cases had CLDN6 positive expression. Those cases included 10 cases (17.5%) and 9 cases (15.8%) with intensities of 3+ and 2+, respectively. Three cases (27.3%) of 11 AIS cases had CLDN6 positive expression. Those cases included 1 case (9.1%) and 2 cases (18.2%) with intensities of 3+ and 2+, respectively. Thus, CLDN6 expression was positive in 22 (32.4%) of the 68 AIS/ADC cases, including 11 cases (16.2%) with an intensity of 3+ and 11 cases (16.2%) with an intensity of 2+ (Figure 1B).

#### 3.2 | Correlations between expression of CLDN6 and clinicopathologic features of cervical ADC

We next examined the possible relationships between the expression status of CLDN6 positivity and clinicopathologic features of cervical ADC. As shown in Table 1, CLDN6 positivity was significantly correlated with lymph node metastasis (\(P = .0101\)) and lymphovascular space invasion (LVSI; \(P = .021\)); however, there was no significant correlation between CLDN6 positivity and age, histological type, diameter, tumor factor, or UICC stage (Table 1). The relationships between CLDN6 expression with relapse-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) of patients with cervical ADC were assessed by using the Kaplan-Meier method. Relapse-free survival and OS were significantly shorter in the CLDN6 positive group (Figure 1C,D). The Cox proportional hazards model revealed that LVSI was an independent predictor for RFS (Figure 1C) and that CLDN6 positivity and tumor factors (pT2 or pT3) were independent predictors for OS (Figure 1D).

#### 3.3 | Overexpression of CLDN6 promotes malignant transformation of cervical ADC cells

To analyze the significance of CLDN6 expression in cervical ADC, we established cervical ADC cells overexpressing CLDN6. Claudin-6...
was not detectable in any of the parental cervical ADC cell lines examined (Figure 2A), but it was confirmed that CLDN6 was highly expressed in stable clones (Figures 2B and S1A,B). Immunofluorescence microscopy revealed that immunoreactivity of CLDN6 was localized on the plasma membrane of CLDN6-overexpressing cells (Figure 2C). Among the cell lines, HCA1, a well-differentiated cervical ADC cell line, was mainly used for subsequent experiments because the cell line retains some epithelial properties, including morphologically intact adherence junctions and TJ, and it does not harbor human herpes viruses.15

In a WST-8 cell proliferation assay, CLDN6 overexpression significantly promoted the proliferation of cells (Figures 2D and S1C,D). In immunocytochemical staining for Ki-67 in cell block specimens, CLDN6-overexpressing cells included a significantly larger number of Ki-67 positive cells than that in control cells (Figure 2E). Expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen was markedly increased by overexpression of CLDN6 (Figures 2B and S1A,B). In a colony formation assay, the number of colonies was significantly larger for CLDN6-overexpressing cells than for control cells (Figures 2F and S1E,F). In a migration assay using Transwell membranes, the number of CLDN6-overexpressing cells migrating through the membrane was significantly larger than that of control cells (Figure 2G). These results indicate that the expression of CLDN6 contributes to the malignant transformation of cervical ADC cells.

3.4 | Shotgun proteome analysis of CLDN6-overexpressing cervical ADC cells

To elucidate the role of CLDN6 expression in the malignant potentials described above, we undertook a comparative MS-based proteomic analysis. Protein samples from CLDN6-overexpressing HCA1 (CLDN6-1 and -2) cells and control HCA1 cells were digested by trypsin, and the digested peptides were subjected to MS. A label-free semiquantitation method was used to compare the protein expression patterns between CLDN6-overexpressing cells and control cells. To ensure the quality and precision of data, each sample measurement was independently repeated four times. A total of 2862 unique proteins were identified (Data S1); of these, 84 proteins were upregulated (ratio > 1.5, P < .05) and 82 proteins were downregulated in CLDN6-1 cells (ratio < 0.67, P < .05; Figure 3A,B).

To identify the molecular networks affected by CLDN6 overexpression, the upregulated and downregulated proteins were examined by GO analysis and KEGG pathway analysis (Figure 3C,D). As shown in Figure 3C, the enriched GO and KEGG pathway terms for upregulated proteins were predominantly associated with adhesion terms including cell-cell adhesion (GO:0098609), cell-cell adherens junction (GO:0005913), focal adhesion (GO:0044597), cadherin binding involved in cell-cell adhesion (GO:0098641), focal adhesion (hsa04510), and ECM-receptor interaction (hsa04512) in CLDN6-1 cells. Gene Ontology terms involved in metabolism of anticancer drugs, doxorubicin metabolic process (GO:0044598) and daunorubicin metabolic process (GO:0044597), were also enriched for...
3.5 | Overexpression of CLDN6 contributes to morphological changes and cell adhesion

As the proteome analysis showed an increase in several adhesion-associated GO terms, we analyzed the changes in cell morphology and cell adhesion, such as cell-cell adhesion and cell-substratum adhesion. Morphological changes were observed by phase-contrast microscopy and SEM. Phase-contrast microscopy showed that cell-cell boundaries were indistinct and edges of the cell sheets were smoother in CLDN6-overexpressing cells than that in control cells (Figures 4A, S3, and S4A). Scanning electron microscopy showed an increase in the number of microvilli due to the high expression level of CLDN6 (Figures 4A and S3A).

In addition to the morphological changes, western blot analysis confirmed that the expression levels of cell adhesion-associated proteins, including CLDN-1 (a TJ-associated protein), E-cadherin (a major adherens junction resident protein), integrin β4 (a focal adhesion-associated protein), and CD44, were increased in CLDN6-overexpressing cells (Figure 4B-D).

We next examined the effect of CLDN6 expression on TJ barrier function and cell-substratum adhesion. As expected, trans-epithelial electric resistance values, an indicator of paracellular movement of ions, were increased in CLDN6-overexpressing cells and paracellular permeability of fluorescein-labeled dextran was decreased in CLDN6-overexpressing cells compared to those in control cells (Figures 4E,F and S3B), indicating that TJ barrier function was strengthened by overexpression of CLDN6. Furthermore, in an adhesion assay for assessing adhesion capacity between the cell and substratum, the number of adhesive cells was significantly increased by a high expression level of CLDN6 (Figure 4G). Taken together, the results indicate that CLDN6 overexpression promotes the cell adhesive property, possibly through induction of cell adhesion-associated proteins.

3.6 | Overexpression of CLDN6 induces AKRs and enhances drug resistance of cervical ADC cells

Interestingly, GO analysis showed that four genes involved in daunorubicin and doxorubicin metabolic processes were commonly up-regulated in both CLDN6-overexpressing clones (Figures 3C and S2). The four genes were AKR1C1, AKR1C2, AKR1C3, and AKR1B1 in the AKR superfamily (Figure 5A). The increase in these proteins was also confirmed in CLDN6-overexpressing clones by western blot analysis (Figures 5B, S3C, and S4B) and immunofluorescence (Figure 5C). High expression levels of certain AKR superfamily proteins are thought to be involved in resistance to cisplatin as well as daunorubicin and doxorubicin, as a result of increased metabolism of the anticancer drug.46 Therefore, we assessed whether CLDN6 overexpression affects drug resistance of HCA1 cells. As expected, doxorubicin- or daunorubicin-induced reduction in cell viability was significantly attenuated by CLDN6 overexpression (Figure 5D,E). In the case of exposure to cisplatin, the first-line drug for treatment of cervical ADC patients, reduction in cell viability was also attenuated by CLDN6 overexpression (Figure 5F). These observations indicate that the high expression level of CLDN6 enhances multidrug resistance, such as resistance to doxorubicin, daunorubicin, and cisplatin. Finally, we examined surgical specimens from uterine cervical ADC patients by immunohistochemistry using anti-dihydrodiol dehydrogenase Ab, which recognizes AKR1C isoforms of AKR1C1, AKR1C2, AKR1C3, and AKR1C4. We found that ADC lesions were strongly stained with this Ab (Figure 5G). The percentage of AKR1C positive cases (immunoreactive score of 2+ upregulated proteins in CLDN6-1 cells (Figure 3C). Claudin-6-2 cells had almost the same trend (Figure S2). These results suggest that CLDN6 affected the expression of proteins involved in cell adhesion-related molecular processes (Data S2), and those CLDN6-dependent alterations could explain the tumor-promoting roles of CLDN6 in cervical ADC cells as previously reported for other cancer cells.35

Table 1: Clinicopathologic parameters and immunoreactive intensity of claudin-6 (CLDN6) in uterine cervical adenocarcinoma (ADC)

| Parameter          | N   | CLDN6 intensity | P value |
|--------------------|-----|-----------------|---------|
|                    |     | Negative | Positive |         |
| Age (y)            |     |          |          |         |
| <44                | 33  | 23       | 10       | .7990   |
| ≥44                | 35  | 23       | 12       |         |
| Histology          |     |          |          |         |
| AIS                | 11  | 8        | 3        | 1.000   |
| ADC                | 57  | 38       | 19       |         |
| Diameter           |     |          |          |         |
| AIS, ≤40 mm        | 51  | 37       | 14       | .1480   |
| >40 mm             | 17  | 9        | 8        |         |
| Tumor factor       |     |          |          |         |
| pT0                | 10  | 6        | 4        | .5790   |
| pT1                | 46  | 33       | 13       |         |
| pT2                | 11  | 6        | 5        |         |
| pT3                | 1   | 1        | 0        |         |
| Lymph node metastasis |       |          |          |         |
| Negative           | 58  | 43       | 15       | .0101   |
| Positive           | 10  | 3        | 7        |         |
| UICC stage         |     |          |          |         |
| 0                  | 10  | 6        | 4        | .2120   |
| I                  | 45  | 32       | 13       |         |
| II                 | 7   | 6        | 1        |         |
| III                | 6   | 2        | 4        |         |
| LVSI               |     |          |          |         |
| Negative           | 48  | 37       | 11       | .0210   |
| Positive           | 20  | 9        | 11       |         |

Abbreviations: AIS, adenocarcinoma in situ; LVSI, lymphovascular space invasion.
or 3+) tended to be higher in the CLDN6 positive group (10/11, 91%) than in the CLDN6 negative group (6/12, 50%, Figure 5G; \( P = .0686 \)), suggesting a positive relationship between CLDN6 expression and AKR1C expression in cervical ADC.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we clearly showed that aberrant expression of CLDN6, one of the transmembrane proteins of TJs, was correlated with poor outcome of uterine cervical ADC. By using CLDN6-overexpressing model cell lines, we showed that overexpression of CLDN6 strengthened cell adhesion ability and promoted malignant potentials and drug resistance.

In CLDN6-overexpressing cells, malignant potentials including cell proliferation, colony formation, and migration potentials were significantly increased (Figure 2). In immunohistochemical analysis of surgical specimens, aberrant CLDN6 expression was significantly associated with clinicopathologic parameters including lymph node metastasis and LVSI and was an independent prognostic factor (Figure 1 and Table 1). These results indicate that aberrant expression of CLDN6 contributes to malignancy of cervical ADC, as previously reported for several types of cancers including gastric ADC, lung ADC, ovarian ADC, and endometrial cancer. As CLDN6 is exclusively expressed during the early developmental stage and contributes to fetal epithelial differentiation, cancerous aberrant expression of CLDN6 might also be involved in enhancement of epithelial characteristics that mimic fetal epithelial
differentiation. Indeed, our proteomics approach revealed that cell adhesion-associated GO terms were significantly enriched in CLDN6-overexpressing cells (Figure 3). Moreover, we found that cell adhesion-associated properties were enhanced by CLDN6 overexpression (Figure 4). As reported by Kojima et al., 35 CLDN6 expression contributes to malignant potentials possibly through increased cell adhesion properties in uterine cervical ADC cells. Numerous recent studies have revealed that cell adhesion is required for a type of migration called “collective migration,” a relatively new concept of tumor cell migration in which tumor cells maintain adhesion with each other during the migration process. 35,40,47,48 In this study, we found that integrin α6 and integrin β4 were significantly upregulated in CLDN6-overexpressing cells (Figure 4B and Data S1 and S2). Integrin α6β4, a heterodimer of subunits α6 and β4, has been implicated in the regulation of collective migration, 49–51 suggesting that these subunits play some roles in enhanced migration of CLDN6-overexpressing cells.

Cervical ADCs are known to frequently develop resistance to anticancer drugs, including the first-line therapeutic drug cisplatin.52 Interestingly, we found that CLDN6 overexpression increased viability of cervical ADC cells under the condition of exposure to anticancer drugs including cisplatin (Figure 5), suggesting that aberrant CLDN6 expression plays a role in the development of multidrug resistance of cervical ADC cells. In general, chemoresistance development is due mainly to “resistance to cell death” and/or “resistance to the drug itself” (e.g., decreased drug uptake, increased drug efflux, and detoxification of the drug).53,54 We provided evidence that CLDN6 overexpression enhanced some cellular properties evoking “resistance to the drug itself”.

First, CLDN6 overexpression suppressed paracellular flux of small molecules (Figure 4), indicating that CLDN6 overexpression
FIGURE 4 Overexpression of claudin-6 (CLDN6) enhances cell adhesion-associated properties of cervical adenocarcinoma cells. (A) Phase-contrast microscopy (upper panels) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, lower panels). SEM images showed that microvilli formation was enhanced by overexpression of CLDN6. (B-D) CLDN6 overexpression increased the expression of cell adhesion-associated proteins. Western blotting (B) and immunofluorescence (C,D). (E) Transepithelial electric resistance (TER) was increased in CLDN6-overexpressing cells. (F) Paracellular permeability of FITC-dextran was suppressed in CLDN6-overexpressing cells. (G) Adhesion ability was enhanced by CLDN6 overexpression. Bar = 100 μm (upper panels in A), 10 μm (lower panels in A), or 20 μm (C,D). Graphs represent means ± SD. CLDN1, claudin-1; E-Cad, E-cadherin; ITGβ4, integrin beta-4. **P < .01 vs control (CTRL) cells

FIGURE 5 Overexpression of claudin-6 (CLDN6) enhances chemoresistance through overexpression of the aldo-keto reductase (AKR) superfamily. (A) Comparative proteome analysis revealed that AKR family members were upregulated in CLDN6-overexpressing cells. (B,C) Expression of AKR family members was increased in CLDN6-overexpressing cells. Western blotting (B) and immunofluorescence (C). Bar = 20 μm. (D-F) CLDN6-overexpressing cells were less susceptible to anticancer drugs. WST-8 assay. **P < .01 vs control (CTRL) cells. (G) Immunohistochemistry of dihydrodiol dehydrogenase (DD, an alternative name of AKR1C) in surgical specimens of cervical adenocarcinoma. AKR1C was strongly expressed in cancer nests and the percentage of high AKR1C expression cases tended to be higher in the high CLDN6 expression group than in the low CLDN6 expression group
leads to enhanced TJ barrier function in cervical ADC cells. We speculate that aberrantly expressed CLDN6 acts as a suppressor of drug delivery into cancer nests through paracellular routes in some CLDN6 positive cervical ADC cases. Second, CLDN6 overexpression upregulated drug-metabolizing enzymes, AKR family proteins (Figures 3 and 5). The AKR family of proteins promote the hydroxylation of anthracline molecules such as daunorubicin and doxorubicin to reduce their activity as anticancer drugs and they have also been reported to be involved in resistance to cisplatin. Together with CLDN6-dependent suppression of paracellular permeability described above, cases with a high expression level of CLDN6 could acquire resistance to chemotherapy.

Our findings provide an insight into a new therapeutic strategy, that is, a CLDN6-targeting therapy, against cervical ADC. The strategy would improve the efficacy of anticancer drugs by attenuating CLDN6-induced enhancement of barrier function and/or activity of drug-metabolizing enzymes.

Claudin-6 is an ideal therapeutic target because it is not expressed in adult human normal tissues, suggesting a minimal adverse effect on normal adult tissues. Furthermore, CLDN6 is an attractive therapeutic target because its extracellular domain could be easily accessed by Abs, affinity peptides, and small-molecule inhibitors. Claudin-affinity peptides and anti-CLDN Abs have been proposed for CLDN-targeting therapy against multiple solid tumors. For example, PMTPV was evaluated as a CLDN1-affinity peptide for CLDN1-overexpressing lung ADC cells, and VPDSM and D5MKF were evaluated for CLDN2, and DFYNP was evaluated for CLDN3 and CLDN4, all of which were derived from amino acid sequences of the second extracellular loop (ECL2) of each CLDN molecule. Another CLDN-targeting therapy, using an anti-CLDN Ab, is also designed against ECL2. Among the anti-CLDN Abs, anti-CLDN18.2 Ab (zolbetuximab) is the most well-known and is being used in a phase III clinical trial for gastric and gastroesophageal junction cancer (NCT03504397 and NCT03653507). As in the case of other CLDNs, CLDN6-affinity peptides and/or an anti-CLDN6 Ab could enhance the therapeutic efficacy of anticancer drugs in cervical ADC.

More recently, another CLDN6-targeting therapeutic strategy, CLDN6-targeting chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell therapy, has emerged. In conjunction with our finding that a high expression level of CLDN6 is associated with poor prognosis of cervical ADC, CLDN6-targeting CAR-T therapy seems to be a promising therapy for improving prognosis of cervical ADC in the future.

In conclusion, a high CLDN6 expression level is significantly correlated with clinicopathologic features and is an independent prognostic factor of uterine cervical ADC. Aberrant expression of CLDN6 enhances malignant potentials and drug resistance of cervical ADC, possibly due to increased cell-cell adhesion properties and drug metabolism. Our findings provide an insight into a new therapeutic strategy, a CLDN6-targeting therapy, against cervical ADC, including a novel CLDN6-targeting CAR-T therapy. Treatment options other than platinum and anthracyclines could be appropriate for cases with aberrant CLDN6 expression.
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