Transducin β-Subunit Can Interact with Multiple G-Protein γ-Subunits to Enable Light Detection by Rod Photoreceptors
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Visual Overview

The heterotrimeric G-protein transducin mediates visual signaling in vertebrate photoreceptor cells. Many aspects of the function of transducin were learned from knock-out mice lacking its individual subunits. Of particular interest is the knockout of its rod-specific γ-subunit (Gγ1). Two studies using independently generated mice documented that this knockout results in a considerable >-60-fold reduction in the light sensitivity of affected rods, but provided different interpretations of how the remaining α-subunit (Gαt) mediates phototransduction without its cognate Gβ1γ1-subunit partner. One study found that the light sensitivity reduction matched a corresponding reduction in Gαt content in the light-sensing rod outer segments and proposed that Gαt activation is supported by remaining Gβ1 associated with other Gγ subunits naturally expressed in photoreceptors. In contrast, the second study reported the same light sensitivity loss but a much lower, only approximately sixfold, reduction of Gαt and proposed that the light responses of these rods do not require Gβγ at all. To resolve this controversy and elucidate the mechanism driving visual signaling in Gγ1 knock-out rods, we analyzed both mouse lines side by side. We first determined that the outer segments of both mice have identical Gαt content, which is reduced ~65-fold from the wild-type (WT) level. We further demonstrated that the remaining Gβ1 is present in a complex with endogenous Gγ2 and Gγ3 subunits and that these complexes exist in wild-type rods as well. Together, these results argue against the idea

Significance Statement

Phototransduction has been a valuable system for understanding the basic principles of G-protein signaling. One question that has remained unanswered is whether the G-protein α-subunit can support signaling without its cognate βγ partner complex. Previous studies investigating this question in photoreceptors of Gγ1 knock-out mice came to mutually exclusive conclusions. We now resolve this controversy by showing that phototransduction in this knockout is supported by alternative βγ complexes rather than the α-subunit alone. Most importantly, this study highlights the functional interchangeability of different γ-subunits in the context of an intact in vivo system.
that G\textsubscript{\alpha} alone supports light responses of G\textsubscript{\gamma}, knock-out rods and suggest that G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma}, is not unique in its ability to mediate vertebrate phototransduction.
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**Introduction**

The heterotrimeric G-protein transducin mediates visual signal transduction in the outer segments of vertebrate photoreceptor cells. The visual signal, or photoresponse, is initiated when photoexcited rhodopsin activates the transducin heterotrimer by catalyzing GDP–GTP exchange on its \(\alpha\)-subunit (G\textsubscript{\alpha}). G\textsubscript{\alpha} subsequently dissociates from the \(\beta\gamma\)-subunit complex (consisting of G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma} and G\textsubscript{\gamma}) to stimulate the downstream effector type 6 cGMP phosphodiesterase. The resulting reduction in cytosolic cGMP causes cGMP-gated ion channel closure, photoreceptor hyperpolarization, and decreased glutamate release from the synaptic terminal (for review, see Arshavsky and Burns, 2012). This signal is processed further by downstream retinal neurons before being transmitted to the brain.

Previous studies have demonstrated that knocking out individual transducin subunits produces quite different phenotypes. For example, the rods of G\textsubscript{\alpha} knock-out mice are completely insensitive to light (Calvert et al., 2000), while G\textsubscript{\gamma} knock-out rods retain distinct light sensitivity despite the absence of this critical component of the transducin heterotrimer (Lobanova et al., 2008; Kolesnikov et al., 2011). Next, the absence of G\textsubscript{\alpha} does not affect the expression levels of its cognate G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma} complex (Calvert et al., 2000; Lobanova et al., 2008), whereas the expression levels of both G\textsubscript{\alpha} and G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma} are drastically reduced in the G\textsubscript{\gamma} knockout (Lobanova et al., 2008; Kolesnikov et al., 2011). Finally, the knockout of G\textsubscript{\alpha} does not affect photoreceptor viability (Calvert et al., 2000), whereas G\textsubscript{\gamma} knock-out mice undergo progressive photoreceptor degeneration (Lobanova et al., 2008; Kolesnikov et al., 2011). The latter originates from proteostatic stress arising from the requirement to degrade vast amounts of G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma}, unable to fold without its G\textsubscript{\gamma} partner (Lobanova et al., 2013).

In a previous attempt to understand the nature of light responses in G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} rods, the reduction in transducin subunits in their outer segments was correlated with the reduction in their light sensitivity (Lobanova et al., 2008). G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} outer segments were shown to contain ~50-fold less G\textsubscript{\alpha} and G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma} than WT rods, a reduction very close to the ~67-fold loss in their light sensitivity also reported in that study. Because photoreceptor light sensitivity is directly proportional to the rate of transducin activation, which in turn is proportional to its concentration on outer segment discs (Pugh and Lamb, 1993; Heck and Höffmann, 2001; Sokolov et al., 2002; Arshavsky and Burns, 2014), it was suggested that G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} light responses are conveyed by transducin heterotrimer using an alternate G-protein \(\gamma\)-subunit to enable its efficient activation by rhodopsin. However, attempts to identify this replacement G\textsubscript{\gamma} were unsuccessful due to the low transducin content in these retinas.

More recently, an alternative G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse with a transgenic design different from that used by Lobanova et al. (2008) was characterized by Kolesnikov et al. (2011). Notably, rod photoresponses recorded from these mice had characteristics very similar to those described by the first study, including a 90-fold reduction in light sensitivity from the WT level. However, the outer segment content of G\textsubscript{\alpha} was estimated to be only sixfold lower than normal. Based on previously published evidence that rhodopsin can activate G\textsubscript{\alpha} in vitro without G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma}, although significantly slower than normally (Fung, 1983; Kisselev et al., 1999; Herrmann et al., 2006), the authors argued that G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} rod photoresponses are conveyed by G\textsubscript{\alpha} acting alone. They also described a slower progression of photoreceptor degeneration in G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} retinas than observed by Lobanova et al. (2008), which was attributed to differences in the genetic backgrounds of these strains.

To settle these discrepancies and elucidate the mechanism driving visual signaling in G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} rods, we performed a side-by-side analysis of both G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse strains. First, we compared the amounts of G\textsubscript{\alpha} and G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma} in retinal lysates of these strains and found that the decrease in expression levels of both subunits was identical and corresponded to the decrease initially reported by Lobanova et al. (2008). Most importantly, this decrease was comparable to the decrease in rod photoresponse sensitivity reported for both strains. We next demonstrated that the remaining G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma} is present in a complex with endogenous G\textsubscript{\gamma} and G\textsubscript{\gamma} subunits. These results indicate that G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma}, is not the sole G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma} complex able to facilitate phototransduction and that G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma}, associated with alternative, noncanonical G\textsubscript{\gamma} subunits supports transducin activation in G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−} rods at an efficiency comparable to that of the canonical G\textsubscript{\beta\gamma}, complex.

Given the significant difference reported in the progression of photoreceptor degeneration for the two G\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsuperscript{−/−}
strains, we also performed a systematic analysis of retinal degeneration in these animals. We have found that photoreceptors of these mice degenerate at nearly the same rate, and both strains display phenotypes consistent with abnormal proteostasis in their rods.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Mouse care and experiments were performed in accordance with procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Duke University. The Deltagen Gγ1 knockout was licensed from Deltagen Inc. (San Mateo, CA) (Target ID 408) and was previously characterized in the study by Lobanova et al. (2008, 2013). In this mouse, regions of the Gγ1 coding sequence (amino acids 17–44 and intron 2) were replaced with a 6.9 kb IRES-lacZ reporter and neomycin resistance cassette. The Stl Gγ1 knockout, previously characterized in the study by Kolesnikov et al. (2011), was provided by Dr. O.G. Kisselev (Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO). In this mouse, the targeting construct replaced all three exons with a Neo cassette to eliminate the coding region of Gγ1. Transgenic mice heterozygously expressing the UbG76V-GFP reporter are described in the study by Lindsten et al. (2003). WT mice used in this study were C57BL/6J from The Jackson Laboratory. None of the mouse lines contained the Rd8 mutation. Mice of either sex were used for all experiments.

**Antibodies**

Rabbit anti-Gαi (sc-389), anti-Gβ1 (sc-379), anti-Gγ2 (sc-374), and anti-Gγ3 (sc-375) antibodies, and mouse anti-Gγ6 (sc-134344) and anti-β-actin (sc-47778) antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Rabbit anti-PSMD1 (ab140681) antibody was from Abcam. Rabbit anti-Gβ1 (GTX114442) was from Genetex. The specificity of the anti-Gαi antibody in the context of retinal tissue was directly tested in Gαi knock-out animals. The specificity of other antibodies was assumed per manufacturer descriptions. Secondary goat or donkey antibodies for Western blotting conjugated with Alexa Fluor 680 and 800 were from Invitrogen. Protein bands were visualized and quantified using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences).

**Western blotting**

For quantitative Western blot analysis of Gαi and Gβ1 protein levels, two mouse retinas per sample were solubilized in 150 μl of 1% Triton X-100 in PBS. Total protein concentration was measured using the DC Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad), and samples were diluted with SDS-PAGE sample buffer to achieve a protein concentration of 1 μg/μl. Aliquots from Gγ1 knock-out mice containing 10 μg of total protein were separated by SDS-PAGE along with a serial dilution of WT retinal lysate.

**Histology and microscopy**

Agarose-embedded retinal cross sections were prepared as previously described (Lobanova et al., 2010), collected in 24-well plates, and incubated for 2 h with Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate of wheat germ agglutinin (Invitrogen) in PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100. Sections were washed three times in PBS, mounted with Fluoromount G (Electron Microscopy Sciences) under glass coverslips, and visualized using a Nikon Eclipse 90i Confocal Microscope. Plastic-embedded retinal cross sections (1 μm thick) were prepared as previously described (Sokolov et al., 2004) and stained with toluidine blue for light microscopy. Tiled images of whole retina cross sections were obtained using the Olympus IX-81 Inverted Fluorescence Microscope, and aligned and stitched using the Olympus cellSens Dimension software. The number of photoreceptor nuclei in representative segments of outer nuclear layer (ONL) was quantified as a quantitative measure of surviving photoreceptors. The number of nuclei in a 400 μm segment of the ONL, located at 1 mm from each side of the optic nerve, was counted by hand.

**Rod outer segment isolation**

Rod outer segments were isolated from WT and Gγ1−/− retinas as previously described (Tsang et al., 1998), with minor modifications. Briefly, retinas from 6–10 animals were removed from the eyecups and placed in 150 μl of 8% OptiPrep Density Gradient Medium (Sigma-Aldrich) in mouse Ringer’s buffer (130 mM NaCl, 3.6 mM KCl, 2.4 mM MgCl₂, 1.2 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, and 0.02 mM EDTA, pH 7.4). The tubes were vortexed at maximum speed for 60 s and centrifuged at 200 × g for 60 s, and the supernatant containing rod outer segments was gently collected. Two hundred microliters of fresh 8% OptiPrep solution was added to the retinal pellet, and vortexing/sedimentation was performed again. This sequence was repeated at least five times. The combined supernatant was loaded on a step gradient made with 10% and 18% OptiPrep in a 4 ml centrifuge tube and centrifuged in a swing-bucket rotor at 115,000 × g for 30 min. Rod outer segments were collected from the interface between 10% and 18% OptiPrep, diluted with 4 ml of Ringer’s solution, and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1 h. The pellet containing rod outer segments was rinsed once with 200 μl of Ringer’s solution, resuspended in 200 μl of PBS, snap frozen in liquid N₂, and stored at −80°C until use.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Samples were prepared for immunoprecipitation as previously described (Pearring et al., 2015). Briefly, purified rod outer segments were thawed and their protein content was determined using the DC Protein Assay Kit. Samples were diluted to 0.5 μg/μl protein in the immunoprecipitation buffer (0.1% n-dodecyl-β-maltoside in PBS with protease inhibitor cocktail, Sigma-Aldrich), vortexed, and centrifuged at 108,000 × g for 30 min. The supernatant was removed for use in immunoprecipitation. Protein A Mag Sepharose beads (catalog #28944006, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and Protein G Mag Sepharose Xtra beads (catalog #28967066, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) were used for immunoprecipitation with rabbit and mouse antibodies, respectively. In both cases, 5 μl beads were incubated with 5 μg of antibody for 2 h under rotation at room temperature. The beads were rinsed and incubated with 12.5 μg of rod outer segment lysate over
night under rotation at 4°C. After the beads were rinsed, bound proteins were eluted by boiling in the SDS-PAGE loading buffer (2% SDS) at 95°C for 10 min and analyzed by Western blotting.

### Mass spectrometry

Peptide mixes obtained from in-gel tryptic digests were analyzed using a nanoACQUITY UPLC System coupled to a Synapt G2 Mass Spectrometer (Waters). Peptides were separated on a 75 μm × 150 mm column with 1.7 μm C18 BEH (Ethylene Bridged Hybrid) particles (Waters) using a 90 min gradient of 6–32% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 0.3 μl/min at 35°C. For each sample, we conducted data-dependent analysis (DDA) using a 0.8 s mass spectrometry (MS) scan followed by tandem MS (MS/MS) acquisition on the top three ions. MS/MS scans for each ion used an isolation window of ~3 Da and a dynamic exclusion window of 90 s within 1.2 Da. DDA data were converted to searchable files using ProteinLynx Global Server 2.5.1 (Waters) and searched against the Uniprot mouse database using Mascot Server version 2.5. The Global Server 2.5.1 (Waters) and searched against the Uniprot mouse database using Mascot Server version 2.5. The Mascot data were imported into Scaffold 4.8 (Proteome Software) to merge all of the data for a sample. Results

For quantification of rod outer segment content of Gα1 and Gβ1, subunits and comparative analysis of retinal morphology, data are presented as the mean ± SD. All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 7.0.4. Morphologic data were analyzed using the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test, and results were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. For the MS identification of G-protein γ-subunits present in rod outer segments, Mascot data were imported into Scaffold 4.8 (Proteome Software) to merge all of the data for a sample represented by multiple gel bands, to estimate a confidence score for protein identification, and to perform a relative protein quantification based on the sum of intensities of the constituent peptides.

### Results

Hereafter, we will refer to the two Gγ1/−− mice as the mouse described in the study by Lobanova et al. (2008) was produced by and licensed from Deltagen and the mouse characterized in the study by Kolesnikov et al. (2011) was produced at Saint Louis University.

**Identification of transducin α- and β-subunit levels in Gγ1/−− retinal lysates**

We used quantitative Western blotting to directly compare the levels of Gα1 and Gβ1 in the two Gγ1 knock-out models. Retinas were harvested at 1 month of age and lysed, and proteins from the lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE alongside serial dilutions of retinal lysates from WT mice (Fig. 1A). Gα1 and Gβ1 were then detected by immunoblotting with specific antibodies against each protein. The standard curves relating band intensity to total protein amount were obtained from WT lysates and used to calculate relative contents of Gα1 and Gβ1 in each model (Fig. 1B).

This analysis revealed an identical reduction in the contents of transducin subunits in the retinas of both mouse strains (Fig. 1C). Gα1 was present at 3.3% and 3.0% of the WT amount in Deltagen and StL retinas, respectively, whereas Gβ1 was present at 6.9% and 7.1% of the WT amount. These numbers are very close to those previously reported for the Deltagen mouse (3.9% remaining Gα1 and 10.6% Gβ1; Lobanova et al., 2008), a conclusion reinforced by the fact that the standard curves in the study by Lobanova et al. (2008) were generated based on an alternative methodology using purified protein standards rather than serial dilutions of WT retinal extracts. It is worth noting that the difference between the conclusions of the two studies could not be attributed to different states of light-dependent transducin translocation, a phenomenon taking place in WT rods (Sokolov et al., 2002), because transducin does not translocate in Gγ1/−− rods (Lobanova et al., 2008). These data clearly demonstrate that the amount of transducin remaining in retinas of the StL mouse was underestimated and that this aspect of the Gγ1/− phenotype is strain independent.

We next calculated how much Gα1 and Gβ1 is present in rod outer segments of Gγ1/−− mice, taking into account that approximately one-half of each subunit is mislocalized from Gγ1/−− rod outer segments and a large (~82%) fraction of Gβ1 in this mouse is expressed in the inner retina (Lobanova et al., 2008; Table 1). Therefore, rod outer segments of Deltagen Gγ1/−− mice contain between ~1.5% (based on the lower value of 3.0% measured in
The range for the G\(_{\alpha_1}\) amount in G\(_{\alpha_1}\)/G\(_{\beta_1}\) outer segments is between 0.6% and 1% of its WT content (based on the total retinal amounts of 7% and 10.6%, respectively, obtained in the current and previous study). The corresponding amounts for the StL mice derived from the values reported in Fig. 1 are 1.5% and 0.7% of WT, respectively, for G\(_{\alpha_1}\) and G\(_{\beta_1}\) (Table 1).

**Figure 1.** Comparative analysis of G\(_{\alpha_1}\) and G\(_{\beta_1}\) expression levels in retinal lysates from 1-month-old G\(_{\gamma_1}^{-/-}\) mice. A. Retinal lysates from Deltagen and StL mouse strains containing 10 \(\mu\)g of total protein were separated by SDS-PAGE alongside serial dilutions of WT retinal lysates and were immunoblotted with antibodies against each transducin subunit. Data are taken from one of three similar experiments. B. The relative contents of G\(_{\alpha_1}\) and G\(_{\beta_1}\) in retinal lysates analyzed in A were determined from the calibration curves obtained from the WT lysate dilutions (G\(_{\alpha_1}\) regression equation: \(y = 18,600 \times x - 623\); G\(_{\beta_1}\) regression equation: \(y = 8130 \times x + 665\)). C. The amounts of transducin subunits in G\(_{\gamma_1}^{-/-}\) retinas averaged across three independent experiments are expressed as percentages of their amounts in WT retinas (mean ± SD). The amount of G\(_{\beta_1}\) was corrected to reflect that only 18% of G\(_{\beta_1}\) in G\(_{\gamma_1}^{-/-}\) retinas is expressed in rods, whereas the rest is expressed in the inner retina (Lobanova et al., 2008).

Fig. 1) and ~2% (based on the higher 3.9% value measured in the study by Lobanova et al., 2008) of WT G\(_{\alpha_1}\). The range for the G\(_{\beta_1}\) amount in G\(_{\gamma_1}^{-/-}\) outer segments is between 0.6% and 1% of its WT content (based on the total retinal amounts of 7% and 10.6%, respectively, obtained in the current and previous study). The corresponding amounts for the StL mice derived from the values reported in Fig. 1 are 1.5% and 0.7% of WT, respectively, for G\(_{\alpha_1}\) and G\(_{\beta_1}\) (Table 1).

**Consideration of transducin activation mechanism in G\(_{\gamma_1}^{-/-}\) rods**

Precise determination of the degree of transducin subunit loss in the outer segments of G\(_{\gamma_1}^{-/-}\) rods allows critical evaluation of the two hypotheses explaining light signaling in these cells: G\(_{\alpha_1}\) activated alone versus G\(_{\alpha_1}\) activation assisted by an alternative G\(_{\beta_\gamma}\). As mentioned above, the reduction in photoresponse sensitivity documented for these cells was consistent between both studies (~67-fold in Lobanova et al., 2008; ~90-fold in Kolesnikov et al., 2011). This represents 1.1–1.5% of WT.
sensitivity and is very close to the degree of Gαt and Gβ1 reduction determined in the previous section (Table 1). Because photoreceptor light sensitivity is directly proportional to the concentration of transducin heterotrimer on the membranes of outer segment discs (Pugh and Lamb, 1993; Heck and Hofmann, 2001; Sokolov et al., 2002; Arshavsky and Burns, 2014), the comparable reduction in Gαt and photoreceptor sensitivity indicates that the Gαt remaining in Gγ1−/− rod outer segments is activated by rhodopsin at nearly the same efficiency as in WT outer segments. On the other hand, the efficiency of Gαt activation by rhodopsin without Gβγ is at least an order of magnitude lower than with Gβγ (Fung, 1983; Kisselev et al., 1999; Herrmann et al., 2006). This argues that Gαt activation in Gγ1−/− rods is supported by a complex between Gβ1 and a G-protein γ-subunit replacing Gγ1 in these rods. We therefore set up a search for this alternative Gβ1γ complex in Gγ1−/− rods.

Identification of alternative Gβγ complexes in Gγ1−/− and WT rod outer segments

To elucidate the molecular composition of the putative Gβ1γ complexes supporting visual function in the absence of Gγ1, we first conducted MS identification of all G-protein γ-subunits present in rod outer segments of WT and Gγ1−/− mice. Outer segments were purified from each mouse type, and their proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. Gel fragments containing G-protein γ-subunits were excised, and proteins were subjected to in-gel tryptic digestion followed by liquid chromatography-MS/MS analysis of the resulting peptides. Of the 14 G-protein γ-subunits encoded in the mouse genome (Milligan and Kostenis, 2006), only 3 were found in WT and 2 were found in Gγ1−/− outer segments (Table 2). As expected, WT but not Gγ1−/− outer segments contained Gγ1. The other two subunits, Gγ2 and Gγ3, were found in both preparations, although the confidence score for Gγ3 in the WT preparation was low. A rough estimate, based on comparing the total ion intensity produced by all peptides representing each Gγ subunit type, suggested that for every 82 molecules of Gγ1 present in WT outer segments there are 3 molecules of Gγ2 and 1 molecule of Gγ3. A similar estimate performed for Gγ1−/− rod outer segments suggested a molar ratio of ~1.7 between Gγ2 and Gγ3. These results narrowed the list of potential Gβ1 binding partners in Gγ1−/− outer segments to these two γ-subunits.

Therefore, we sought to directly demonstrate that Gβ1 forms complexes with Gγ2 and/or Gγ3 in Gγ1−/− rod outer segments by coimmunoprecipitating these putative complexes using antibodies against Gγ2 and Gγ3. We have found that a significant fraction of Gβ1 in Gγ1−/− rod outer segments was precipitated with antibodies specifically recognizing Gγ2 or Gγ3 (Fig. 2A,B). These results confirm that Gβ1 remaining in the rod outer segments of Gγ1−/− mice indeed forms complexes with both of these γ-subunits. We also attempted to conduct a reciprocal coprecipitation experiment, but unfortunately were not able to identify a precipitating antibody against Gβ1.

We next sought to determine whether these alternative Gβγ complexes only form in the absence of Gγ1, or whether they are also present in WT rods. To test this, we repeated coimmunoprecipitation experiments using rod outer segments from WT mice (Fig. 2C,D). This analysis

| Peptides (n) | Protein score | Confidence score |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| WT          |               |                 |
| Gγ1         | 6             | 187             | 100             |
| Gγ2         | 1             | 26              | 99.2            |
| Gγ3         | 2             | 23              | 31              |
| Gγ1−/−      |               |                 |
| Gγ2         | 2             | 25              | 99.9            |
| Gγ3         | 1             | 55              | 99.4            |

Figure 2. Identification of alternative Gβ1γ complexes in rod outer segments of Gγ1−/− and WT mice. A–D, Coimmunoprecipitation experiments were performed by incubating Gγ1−/− (A, B) or WT (C, D) rod outer segment lysates with mouse anti-Gγ2 (A, C) or rabbit anti-Gγ2 (B, D) antibodies. Immunoprecipitation with species-matched anti-β-actin (sc-47778) and anti-PSMD1 (ab140662) antibodies were used as negative controls; these antibodies were chosen based on the lack of cross-reactivity with the proteins analyzed in this panel, as evaluated in independent experiments. The data represent one of four similar experiments performed with Gγ1−/− or two similar experiments performed with WT outer segment preparations.
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revealed that, even in the presence of abundant amounts of its cognate partner Gγ1, a small portion of Gγ2 binds Gγ3. This result suggests that Gβ1 normally forms complexes with these two alternative γ-subunits in addition to its canonical Gγ1 binding partner, although these complexes are not likely to be functionally significant due to their low abundance.

Together, our experiments demonstrate that Gγ2 and Gγ3 are naturally present in complexes with Gβ1 in the WT rod outer segment. When Gγ1 is knocked out, these alternative Gβ1γ2 and Gβ1γ3 complexes can support light signaling in mutant photoreceptors.

**Evaluation of photoreceptor degeneration in two strains of Gγ1/− mice**

Another discrepancy reported between the Deltagen and StL Gγ1/− mouse strains was that photoreceptor degeneration in the former progressed significantly faster than in the latter. We therefore performed a quantitative side-by-side comparison of the rate of retinal degeneration in these animals. This was accomplished by counting the number of photoreceptor nuclei in representative segments of the ONL at 1, 3, and 6 months of age. Although this method is more labor intensive than commonly used alternatives (e.g., measuring the ONL thickness or counting the number of nuclei per ONL stack), it provides the most reliable information on the actual number of photoreceptors remaining “alive” in a degenerating retina. This is because nuclear stacks in degenerating retinas could become distorted and hard to quantify, whereas the ONL thickness may change nonproportionally to the actual cell loss.

Retinas from both Gγ1/− mouse lines were embedded in plastic, cross-sectioned, and stained with toluidine blue (Fig. 3A). Photoreceptor nuclei were then counted in two 400 μm outer nuclear layer (ONL) segments located 1 mm from either side of the optic nerve. The number of nuclei in each segment was counted by hand. Data were averaged across nuclear counts obtained from each side of the optic nerve from three or four animals of each age and genotype, and are shown as the mean ± SD. Each dot represents a single data point. C, Accumulation of the UbG76V–GFP reporter of proteasomal activity (green) in rods of 3-month-old Deltagen and StL Gγ1/− mice. A UbG76V–GFP-expressing WT retina is shown as a control. Wheat germ agglutinin staining is shown in red. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Figure 3. Comparative analysis of retinal morphology in Deltagen and StL Gγ1/− mice. A, Representative images of toluidine blue-stained, plastic-embedded 1-μm-thick retinal cross sections from each mouse strain at the indicated ages. Scale bar, 25 μm. B, The number of photoreceptor nuclei counted in 400 μm outer nuclear layer (ONL) segments located 1 mm from either side of the optic nerve. The number of nuclei in each segment was counted by hand. Data were averaged across nuclear counts obtained from each side of the optic nerve from three or four animals of each age and genotype, and are shown as the mean ± SD. Each dot represents a single data point. C, Accumulation of the UbG76V–GFP reporter of proteasomal activity (green) in rods of 3-month-old Deltagen and StL Gγ1/− mice. A UbG76V–GFP-expressing WT retina is shown as a control. Wheat germ agglutinin staining is shown in red. Scale bar, 10 μm.
this parameter quantitatively and apparently provided more dramatic examples of cellular loss than average. On the other hand, the rate of photoreceptor degeneration in the study by Kolesnikov et al. (2011) may have been underestimated due to the use of the nuclear stack counting methodology, which may be more arbitrary than the total nuclear count.

**Photoreceptors from both strains of Gγ1−/− mice experience proteostatic stress**

Using the Deltagen strain, Lobanova et al. (2013) showed that photoreceptor cell death in Gγ1−/− mice is associated with proteostatic stress arising from the necessity to degrade large amounts of Gβ1, that is unable to fold without its constitutive Gγ1 partner. We now demonstrate that the same is true for the STL strain. STL mice were crossed with the mouse ubiquitously expressing the Ub\(^{G \text{76V}-}\)GFP proteasome activity reporter, which consists of GFP fused to an uncleavable ubiquitin (Lindsten et al., 2003). This reporter undergoes efficient polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation in healthy cells, including WT photoreceptors, but accumulates in cells suffering from impairment or insufficiency of the ubiquitin–proteasome system. Consistent with the phenotype of the Deltagen strain, photoreceptors of STL mice also displayed robust intracellular accumulation of Ub\(^{G \text{76V}-}\)GFP, as documented by detecting GFP fluorescence in retinal cross sections (Fig. 3C). This result indicates that both mouse strains suffer from abnormal proteostasis, contributing to their photoreceptor degeneration.

**Discussion**

The data presented in this study demonstrate that the two lines of Gγ1 knock-out mice currently available to the scientific community display essentially identical phenotypes. Not only do they produce similar responses to light, as reported earlier, but they also contain identical amounts of Gαγ and Gβ1 subunits in their rods, undergo retinal degeneration at a similar rate, and share the same underlying pathobiological mechanism. Therefore, these strains could be used interchangeably in future studies of this mouse model.

Most importantly, resolving the discrepancy between previous estimates of the contents of transducin subunits in these strains allowed us to explain the mechanism by which photoreceptors respond to light in the absence of the transducin canonical βγ-subunit Gβ1γ1. Here we demonstrate that relatively small amounts of Gγ2 and Gγ3 are endogenously expressed in the outer segments of these cells where they produce complexes with Gβ1. These complexes are able to support vision in the absence of the Gβ1 cognate γ-subunit Gγ1.

Our findings highlight the versatility of G-protein signaling by showing the exchangeability of individual G-protein subunits in performing an important physiologic function. Mouse and human genomes contain genes encoding 5 G-protein β-subunits and 14 γ-subunits (Milligan and Kostenis, 2006), and their expression patterns in different tissues vary widely (Largent et al., 1988; Gautam et al., 1990; Cali et al., 1992; Liang et al., 1998; Morishita et al., 1998). Given that many possible Gβγ combinations exist, a key question in G-protein signaling is whether heterotrimers composed of distinct subunits can fulfill the same physiologic role. Many examples documented in cell culture and *in vitro* show that Gβγ complexes using different γ-subunits are able to activate the same signaling cascades (Wickman et al., 1994; Ikeda, 1996; Ruiz-Velaso and Ikeda, 2000). This includes at least three cell culture studies specifically demonstrating the functional interchangeability of Gβ1γ1, Gβ1γ2, and Gβ1γ3 (Hawes et al., 1995; Dingus et al., 2005; Poon et al., 2009).

Examination of γ-subunit exchangeability in the context of the whole animal has thus far been limited, but results obtained in several mouse knock-out studies have suggested that there may be a more stringent requirement for γ-subunit specificity *in vivo* than in cell culture. For example, Gγ1 is indispensable for adenyl cyclase signaling through the A2A receptor in the striatum (Schwindinger et al., 2003, 2010), whereas Gγ13 is required for olfactory signal transduction (Li et al., 2013).

We now provide a compelling example of the functional interchangeability of G-protein γ-subunits *in vivo*. To our knowledge, Gγ1−/− represents the first case to directly demonstrate that multiple Gβγ complexes can perform the same function in a living animal. The only caveat is that the expression levels of alternative γ-subunits in photoreceptors are lower than those of the conventional Gγ1, and, therefore, the Gβ1γ1 complex drives the majority of phototransduction unless Gγ1 is absent.
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