Linkages among Soil Fertilization Regimes, Chemical Properties and Maize Grains Yield in Humid Tropic

Relações entre Regimes de Fertilização do Solo, Propriedades Químicas e Produtividade de Grãos de Milho no Trópico Úmido

Relaciones entre los Regímenes de Fertilización del Suelo, las Propiedades Químicas y el Rendimiento de Granos de Maíz en el Trópico Húmedo

Abstract

We hypothesize that there is a linkage among different soil fertilization regimes, soil chemical attributes and maize grains yield. We aimed to evaluate the relationships between different soil fertilization regimes, soil chemical properties and maize grains yield. The experiment was performed in northeastern Brazil, in a randomized block design, at an area divided into 24 plots with six treatments and four replicates (Nitrogen (N); Leucaena (L); Nitrogen+Leucaena (N+L); Humic Acid+Leucaena (HA+L); Humic Acid+Nitrogen (HA+N) and Humic Acid+Nitrogen+Leucaena (HA+N+L)). Each plot was cropped with maize (Zea mays L.) and the grains yield was estimated. Soil samples were collected at depths of 0–5 cm, 5–10 cm and 10–20 cm. Potential acidity, pH, soil organic carbon, available phosphorus, exchangeable potassium, calcium and magnesium, cation exchange capacity (CEC), sum of basic cations (SBC) and base saturation (BS) were determined. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to correlate soil chemical attributes with maize yield. Calcium, magnesium, available P and SBC were related to the maize grains yield in upper soil layer, especially at nitrogen fertilization. This research confirms the hypothesis that there is a linkage between different soil fertilization regimes, soil properties and the maize grains yield.
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Resumo

Nosso hipótese é de que existe uma relação entre diferentes regimes de fertilização do solo, atributos químicos do solo e rendimento de grãos de milho. Nosso objetivo foi avaliar as relações entre diferentes regimes de fertilização do solo, propriedades químicas do solo e rendimento de grãos de milho. O experimento foi realizado no Nordeste do Brasil, utilizando o delineamento em blocos casualizados, em uma área dividida em 24 parcelas com seis tratamentos e quatro repetições (Nitrogênio (N); Leucaena (L); Nitrogênio+Leucaena (N+L); Ácido Húmico+Leucaena (HA+L); Ácido Húmico+Nitrogênio (HA+N) e Ácido Húmico+Nitrogênio+Leucaena (HA+N+L)). Cada parcela foi cultivada com milho (Zea mays L.) e estimou-se a produtividade de grãos. Amostras de solo foram coletadas em profundidades de 0–5 cm, 5–10 cm e 10–20 cm. Foram determinados a acidez potencial, pH, carbono orgânico do solo (SOC), fósforo disponível, potássio trocável, cálcio e magnésio, capacidade de troca catiônica (CEC), soma de cátions básicos (SBC) e saturação por bases (BS). A análise de componentes principais (PCA) foi utilizada para correlacionar os atributos
In general, the soil is characterized by low concentrations of inorganic macronutrients, being that this availability can change depending on place (Maathuis, 2009). According to Morgan and Connolly (2013), agriculture may be greatly impacted by nutrients deficiency, decreasing plant quality and crop yield. In this sense, Ehrenfeld et al. (2005) recognize that probably associations between chemical and biogeochemical features of soil and plants are very difficult to evaluate. A study carried out in northeast Vietnã by Anh et al. (2014) suggests that macronutrient contents can be changed by alterations in land and in ground cover. In this context, there are already some studies about which fertilizer (mineral or organic) is most efficient to increase soil macronutrients contents and crop yield, though the conclusion also depends on many other factors.

Here, we hypothesize that there is a linkage among different soil fertilization regimes, soil chemical attributes and maize grains yield. Since the knowledge about fertilization procedures and macronutrients content is important to enhance crop yield, these interactions need further investigation. Then the aim of this study was to evaluate the relationships between different soil fertilization regimes, soil chemical properties and maize grains yield.
2. Methodology

2.1 Study Site

The experiment was performed at Brejo city, located in Maranhão state, northeastern Brazil (3º38' S, 42°58' W). The climate is humid tropical with 1200–1400 mm of average annual precipitation and average annual temperature is above 27° C. The soil is classified as Arenic Hapludult (Soil Survey Staff, 2010), presenting a flat topography (slope < 1%) with the following characteristics: pH 4.4 (0.01 M CaCl₂); organic C 15.5 g kg⁻¹; potential acidity 4.7, and CEC 7.9 mmol(c) dm⁻³; Ca 2.6, Mg 0.5, and K 0.1 mmol(c) dm⁻³; P 3.7 g dm⁻³ (resin); base saturation 40.2%; and a sandy textural class.

The experimental area was established in 2012 and consists of an alley cultivation system with Leucaena (*Leucaena leucocephala*), planted with an inter-row spacing of 4 m and an inter-plant spacing of 0.5 m.

In January 2015, the area between the rows of the Leucaena was divided into 24 plots of 4x10 m with six treatments and four replicates (R) in a randomized block design. The treatments were: 133 kg ha⁻¹ of urea, as a source of Nitrogen (N); 15 t ha⁻¹ of *Leucaena leucocephala* – Leucaena (L); 133 kg ha⁻¹ of urea+15 t ha⁻¹ of Leucaena (N+L); 500 l ha⁻¹ of humic acid+15 t ha⁻¹ of Leucaena (HA+L); 500 l ha⁻¹ of humic acid+133 kg ha⁻¹ of urea (HA+N) and 500 l ha⁻¹ of humic acid+133 kg ha⁻¹ of urea+15 t ha⁻¹ of Leucaena (HA+N+L). All treatments received 120 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅, 60 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O and 25 kg ha⁻¹ of ZnSO₄. These doses were defined according to the result of the soil analysis.

2.2 Maize Grains Yield

Each plot was cropped with maize (*Zea mays* L.), variety QPM BR 473, in March 2015 in a total area of 1,280 m². At physiological maturity, ten cobs were collected from each plot, and their grains were extracted. The grains yield was estimated in Mg ha⁻¹ from the total grain mass in each plot and the number of plants per hectare.

2.3 Soil Chemical Attributes

Field sample collections and laboratory analyzes were carried out according to Moura et al. (2008).

Soil samples were collected with a duty auger, at depths of 0–5 cm, 5–10 cm and 10–20 cm from each plot in July 2015. In the laboratory, each sample was analyzed to determine the pH (0.01 M CaCl₂ suspension, 1:2.5 soil/solution, v/v), the soil organic carbon (SOC) (Walkley-Black), the exchangeable K, Ca, Mg (resin) and potential acidity (*H*⁺ + *Al*⁺⁺) (SMP method) according Raij et al. (2001). For K determination, UV–Vis spectrophotometry was used. Available P was determined by the Mehlich 1. We determined the cation exchange capacity (CEC = *K*⁺ + *Ca*²⁺ + *Mg*²⁺ + *H*⁺ + *Al*³⁺) and the sum of basic cations (SBC = *K*⁺ + *Ca*²⁺ + *Mg*²⁺), and these were used to calculate base saturation (BS = [SBC / CEC] · 100).

2.4 Statistical analyses

For statistical analysis, the one-way ANOVA was conducted to determine the significance of the difference in means of soil chemical attributes and maize grains yield. Distributions of all variables were assessed using the Shapiro-wilk test, and they were transformed before analysis where necessary to achieve normal distributions. Duncan test was used to determine which differences are significant. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used, after standardization of data, with the main objective to identify the principal soil chemical attributes associated with maize yield. Statistica version 7 (Statsoft Inc., 2004) was used in all analyses.
3. Results

3.1 Maize grains yield

Plots that received nitrogen fertilizer produced significantly higher maize grains yield than that did not receive it (p < 0.05). The maize grains yield at N+L was significantly higher than in the other treatments (Table 1).

Table 1. Maize grains yield under different soil fertilization regimes.

| Treatment     | Grains Yield (kg ha⁻¹) |
|---------------|------------------------|
| HA+N          | 4,025b                 |
| HA+N+L        | 4,617b                 |
| L             | 1,472c                 |
| HA+L          | 1,600c                 |
| N             | 3,935b                 |
| N+L           | 5,385a                 |

Distinct letters indicate significant differences (ANOVA with Duncan test, p < 0.05). HA+N (humic acid+nitrogen), HA+N+L (humic acid+nitrogen+leucaena), L (leucaena), HA+L (humic acid+leucaena), N (nitrogen), N + L (nitrogen+leucaena).

3.2 Effect of different soil fertilization regimes on soil chemical properties

The potential acidity concentrations were the lowest in the upper soil layer (0–5 cm) and showed a tendency to increase with increasing soil depth, except at HA + L and N, where the depth of 5–10 cm showed the highest concentration and the lowest concentration, respectively. The different treatments did not change the potential acidity levels within the soil profile (0–20 cm) (p > 0.05) (Figure 1).

Within the 0–20 cm soil layer, pH concentrations were the highest at 0–5 cm layer and showed a tendency to decrease at 10–20 cm soil depth, except at HA+N+L treatment. The HA+N treatment had significantly greater concentration (p < 0.05) in pH than treatments of L and HA+L at 0–5 cm depth, with increases of 0.6 in both treatments. There was no significant difference between treatments at the other soil depths (p > 0.05) (Figure 1).

The available P concentrations showed a tendency to decrease with increasing soil depth, except at N. However, within the 0–20 cm soil layer no significant differences in available P concentrations were observed between the treatments (p > 0.05) (Figure 2).
Figure 2. Effects of different soil fertilization regimes on available P and SOC at different soil depths. Horizontal bars indicate standard deviation (p < 0.05).

The SOC concentrations were the largest in the upper soil layer (0–5 cm) and showed a tendency to decrease with increasing soil depth, except at HA+N+L, where the depth of 5–10 cm showed the lowest content. The treatments did not change the SOC levels within the soil profile (0–20 cm) (p > 0.05) (Figure 2).

Compared with N alone, HA+L and N+L showed a significant increase of 0.3 mmol c dm⁻³ in K⁺ at 0–5 cm soil depth (p < 0.05). Compared with N alone, HA+N, HA+N+L and N+L resulted in significant increments of 12.8, 13.5 and 12.8 mmol c dm⁻³ in Ca²⁺ at 0–5 cm soil depth, respectively (p < 0.05). No significant difference in the K⁺ and Ca²⁺ concentrations was recorded in the 5–20 cm soil layers and no significant difference in Mg²⁺ concentration was recorded in the 0–20 cm soil layer between all the treatments (Table 2).

The use of HA+N+L resulted in significantly highest concentration of SBC, leading to increases of 6.82, 9.73 and 2.53 mmol c dm⁻³ compared with HA+L, N and N+L at 0–5 cm soil depth, respectively (p < 0.05). The use of HA+L recorded a significantly highest concentration of CEC compared with all other treatments, with decreases of 14.88, 20.93, 14.93, 15.54 and 14.30 mmol c dm⁻³ at HA+N, HA+N+L, L, N and N+L, respectively (p < 0.05). Compared with HA+L and N, the BS concentrations resulted in significant increments of about 14%–15% in both HA+N and HA+N+L at 0–5 cm soil depth (p < 0.05). No significant difference in SBC, CEC and BS concentrations was recorded at 5–20 cm soil depth (p > 0.05) (Table 2).
show Nitrogen alone or mixed showed positive association with maize grains yield in 0–20 cm depth, while HAA+N+L did not. HAA+N (humic acid+nitrogen), HAA+N+L (humic acid+nitrogen+leucaena), L (leucaena), HA+L (humic acid+leucaena), N (nitrogen), N+L (nitrogen+leucaena).

Distinct letters by column in each soil depth indicate significant differences (ANOVA with Duncan test, p < 0.05).

3.3 Relations between different soil fertilization regimes, soil chemical attributes and maize grains yield

Available P, Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\) and SBC only showed positive correlations with maize grains yield in the 0–5 cm soil depth, especially at N. CEC, BS, SOC and the acidity parameters (pH and potential acidity) did not show any correlation with maize grains yield in the soil profile (0–20 cm) (Figures 3a, 3b and 3c).

Potential acidity always showed negative correlation with all attributes, except with CEC in all soil depths. The pH was positively associated with both SBC and BS, while Mg\(^{2+}\) was always positively related to SBC in the 0–20 cm soil depth. Nitrogen alone or mixed showed positive association with maize grains yield in 0–10 cm soil depth, while HAA+L and L did not show any association in soil profile (0–20 cm) (Figures 3a, 3b and 3c).

| Soil depth | Treatment  | K\(^{+}\)  | Ca\(^{2+}\)  | Mg\(^{2+}\)  | SBC   | CEC  | BS  |
|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------|------|-----|
| 0 – 5      | HAA+N      | 1.8±0.2a  | 35.8±2.2a   | 10.8±4.9a  | 48.27±11.60ab | 84.13±13.03b | 61.27±13.01a |
|            | HAA+N+L    | 1.8±0.2ab | 36.5±2.7a   | 9.8±5.1a   | 47.97±1.09a  | 78.08±8.86b  | 61.46±3.94a  |
|            | L          | 2.1±0.1ab | 35.0±4.5ab  | 12.5±4.0a  | 49.65±16.95ab| 84.08±10.04b | 58.97±20.16ab|
|            | HA+L       | 2.2±0.1a  | 28.8±3.5ab  | 10.3±4.6a  | 41.15±2.03b  | 99.01±10.95a | 46.40±6.45b  |
|            | N          | 1.9±0.1b  | 23.0±9.9b   | 13.4±4.3a  | 38.24±5.56b  | 83.47±13.5b  | 46.95±5.43b  |
|            | N+L        | 2.2±0.1a  | 35.8±2.4a   | 7.5±0.6a   | 45.44±0.18b  | 84.71±13.97b | 54.14±18.82ab|
| 5 – 10     | HAA+N      | 1.4±0.2a  | 28.8±15.6a  | 8.8±1.5a   | 38.89±14.76a | 77.29±21.77a | 52.85±21.43a |
|            | HAA+N+L    | 1.4±0.3a  | 26.5±3.9a   | 7.9±3.8a   | 35.80±4.50a  | 72.40±9.34a  | 49.68±5.50a  |
|            | L          | 1.5±0.7a  | 26.5±10.1a  | 7.5±6.6a   | 35.47±15.31a | 78.27±11.10a | 44.21±14.38a |
|            | HA+L       | 1.6±0.5a  | 18.3±4.6a   | 8.0±1.4a   | 27.86±6.06a  | 103.78±38.55a| 29.94±13.53a |
|            | N          | 1.7±1.0a  | 31.3±13.7a  | 6.3±1.5a   | 39.21±15.30a | 74.08±6.92a  | 53.33±22.06a |
|            | N+L        | 1.4±0.2a  | 24.8±7.5a   | 7.5±2.6a   | 33.66±8.30a  | 87.40±26.92a | 41.14±13.91a |
| 10 – 20    | HAA+N      | 1.6±0.6a  | 26.0±16.6a  | 6.8±2.5a   | 34.39±16.66a | 79.63±19.48a | 44.28±23.98a |
|            | HAA+N+L    | 1.6±1.1a  | 30.3±21.0a  | 7.6±4.0a   | 39.51±26.05a | 78.84±11.92a | 48.97±28.04a |
|            | L          | 1.3±0.6a  | 20.0±9.9a   | 7.0±2.9a   | 28.28±12.92a | 104.49±34.08a| 27.34±11.65a |
|            | HA+L       | 1.5±0.3a  | 15.0±4.1a   | 4.8±3.0a   | 21.21±6.82a  | 93.07±39.81a | 25.46±13.57a |
|            | N          | 1.1±0.3a  | 24.0±13.5a  | 6.3±2.9a   | 31.32±14.71a | 79.18±11.16a | 41.97±25.43a |
|            | N+L        | 1.2±0.3a  | 19.8±6.9a   | 4.5±3.0a   | 25.45±9.35a  | 82.35±20.20a | 32.30±14.03a |

Distinct letters by column in each soil depth indicate significant differences (ANOVA with Duncan test, p < 0.05).

Fonte: Autores

Table 2. Effects of different soil fertilization regimes on exchangeable K\(^{+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) and SBC, CEC and BS.
4. Discussion

4.1 Maize grains yield

Nitrogen fertilizer showed a significant increase in maize grains yield (p < 0.05), as predicted by Li et al. (2019) and Yang et al. (2017), who point out that crops generally respond very well to the application of nitrogen fertilizer. Wang et al. (2008) reported that the main nutrient to increase maize yield is N, which also interferes positively in the grain proteins concentration. For Qiang et al. (2019), beyond N availability, the maize genotype also is an essential factor for growth and grains yield. According to Martins et al. (2018), nitrogen fertilization influences soil fauna, which can increase maize grains yield, since it can enhance the soil structure and nutrients composition, due to importance that it occupies as soil engineers and decomposers of organisms remains.

The higher maize grains yield at N+L (p < 0.05) is according Gai et al. (2016), for which this combined application enhances soil fertility, crops yield and sustainability, being better than application of chemical or organic fertilizer alone. According Afolayan and Oyetunji (2018), besides improving soil structure, organic materials increase the soil organic carbon content, then enhancing crop yield. In this sense, Zhong et al. (2018) emphasize the importance of green manure using legumes, which alter soil bacterial community structure, enhancing soil fertility. Inclusion of leucaena pruning provides additional nutrients and benefits of organic matter to soils, leading to increase in maize grains yield (Mugendi et al., 1999), as well as to increased removal and efficiency of inorganic N use in tropical cohesive soils in the same region (Aguiar et al., 2018).

4.2 Effect of different soil fertilization regimes on soil chemical properties

In general, while the potential acidity increased with increasing soil depth, the pH decreased. According to Shukla et al. (2013), this can be caused by the formation of acids due to the percolation of the water in the deeper layers of the soil. In fact, the lower the pH, the higher the acidity. In this sense, it is important to clarify that while pH considers only hydrogen ions, the potential acidity considers hydrogen ions of various chemical combinations and adsorbed on the solid particles’ surfaces (Allaway, 1957). The pH only showed difference between treatments in the upper soil layer (p < 0.05), but no significant difference as a function of depth, as Davenport et al. (2003) also noted in their research. The humic acid may have led to increasing in acidification of the soil at HA+L treatment in upper layer (Dobbs et al., 2009), maximizing its effect by the organic matter from leucaena.
With increasing soil depth, the concentrations of available P tended to decrease, as Franzluebbers and Hons (1996) detected. This decreasing was also recorded for Milić et al. (2019), who affirm that this occurs because the soil upper layer serves as a nutrient deposition site. According Ehrenfeld et al. (2005), cations redistribution through the soil is influenced by plants, and phosphorus is highly concentrated in upper soil, because it is a cation with high concentrations in vegetable tissues. Since agricultural systems do not function as a natural environment, both the dynamics and the quantity and nutrients availability, such as phosphorus, receive great human influence (Milić et al., 2019). In this context, Maharjan et al. (2018) showed that land alterations have a great influence on the phosphorus concentration in soil. However, there were no significant differences in available P concentrations between treatments in the present study (p > 0.05).

We found that SOC concentrations showed a tendency to decrease with increasing soil depth, as well as in the study done by Li et al. (2019), who related this decreasing to the vertical distribution of the crop root system. They also suggested that the SOC distribution in the soil profile depends on both the soil type and source material. However, Yang et al. (2018) recorded that soil depth was positively related to the SOC. In this context, Lorenz and Lal (2005) affirm that soil organic matter (SOM) increases with depth due to its chemical recalcitrance and turnover time, and this favors the increase in SOC in deeper layers. For Arif et al. (2016), when organic materials are added in the soil, the SOC tend to increase. Nevertheless, we did not find these results where organic fertilizers were used.

N+L treatment showed a significant increase in K⁺ and Ca²⁺ concentrations, compared with N alone, at 0–5 cm soil depth (p < 0.05). Leucena is a source not only of N, but also of other nutrients such as potassium and calcium, although influences less the latter (Lupwayi and Haque, 1998). According to Ordóñez-Fernández et al. (2015), residues as leucaena, besides providing protection, also enrich the soil with nutrients, depending on both the residue amount and composition, and on its decomposition process. These researchers found that K⁺ content was influenced by pruning residues, as in the present study. In this sense, they pointed out that potassium is easily released from the residues during decomposition when rainfall is favorable, probably due to the presence of this element in mobile cations released when the cell membrane ruptures.

The use of HA+N+L resulted in highest concentrations of SBC and BS at 0–5 cm soil depth (p < 0.05). Legumes used as green manures increase soil organic matter and, consequently, SBC and BS, especially in tropical soils (Delarmelinda et al., 2010). Since humic acid is derived from soil organic matter (Mindari et al., 2014), when added to the legume and nitrogen fertilizer, may have potentiated its effects on SBC and BS.

HA+L recorded highest concentration of CEC in the soil upper layer (p < 0.05). According to Carvalho et al. (2014), organic matter accumulated in the topsoil can lead to the increase in the CEC, due to the increase in soil negative charges. Delarmelinda et al. (2010) explain that the largest percentage of total CEC in tropical soils originates from organic matter (70-90%).

4.3 Relations between different soil fertilization regimes, soil chemical attributes and maize grains yield

Available P, exchangeable Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ were positively associated with maize grains yield in soil superficial layer, especially at nitrogen fertilization. Salvagiotti et al. (2017) point out that crop growth during grains number formation is positively impacted by nutrients availability, which can consequently to increase maize yield. Some macronutrients as calcium and magnesium collaborate to crop development and metabolism (Cakmak, 2001), through essential constituents’ fabrication (Cronk and Fennessy, 2001) and strengthening of plant structure, contributing to agricultural yield. Phosphorus is a very important nutrient to increase maize yield (Adepetu, 1993) and its deficiency in soil decreases until the plant photosynthetic rate (Zhang et al., 2018). Furthermore, phosphorus is involved with enzymatic reactions, cell division, carbohydrate synthesis and degradation, crop maturation, fortification of the plant skeletal structure and grains quality (Onasanya et al., 2009).
present study showed that SBC also is related to maize grains yield, but Brunn et al. (2006) did not find this relation in their research using cereal. High SBC may indicate increased soil fertility, which may also lead to increased yield.

Nitrogen alone or mixed and maize grains yield were associated by PCA in 0–10 cm soil depth, confirming ANOVA results. One of the main techniques to enhance crop yield is to increase application of N fertilizers (Guo et al., 2010), and according to Moser et al. (2006), this nutrient is one of most limiting factors for maize grains yield.

Acidity-related parameters showed some associations. Potential acidity only was positively related to CEC, since it is used to estimate the concentration of this variable. The pH was positively associated to both SBC and BS in all layers, as Abreu Jr. et al. (2003) also recorded at Brazilian soils. Moreira and Fageria (2009), also researching Brazilian dystrophic soils, showed that pH and BS were positively correlated and presented low contents due to the high degree of intemperization that occurs in this type of soil.

5. Conclusion

The main results of this research indicate that combination between an organic and an inorganic source of nitrogen at N+L treatment led to the increase in maize grains yield and, in this sense, the legume presence was essential to enhance fertility due to alteration of soil bacterial community. The presence of leucaena at N+L led to increase in potassium and calcium concentrations, because leucaena enriches the soil with these nutrients. Legume and humic acid presence together probably increased organic matter content at HA+N+L and HA+L, increasing soil negative charges, leading to the highest SBC and BS concentrations and CEC concentration, respectively. Calcium, magnesium, and phosphorus were associated with maize grains yield in topsoil especially in nitrogen fertilization, since these nutrients cooper with crop metabolism, producing essential constituents and enhancing the plant structure. This study confirms the hypothesis that there is a linkage between different soil fertilization regimes, soil properties and the maize grains yield.

Despite the results obtained here, it is suggested that other studies consider a larger sample so that more robust conclusions can be reached.
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