The Effect of Distribution Channels Toward Sales Level at Ud Martabe Tarutung
Rosalinda S. Sitompul, Ester Mawar Siagian
Lecturer of Sisingamangaraja University of Tapanuli, Indonesia

Abstract— The Research dealt with the Effect of Distribution Channels toward Sales Level at UD Martabe Tarutung. The formulation of the problem is: How the distribution channels affect the sales level at UD Martabe Tarutung. The research was conducted by using quantitative research design. The research method used is: descriptive method, with technique Trend Analysis. The population of this study is all part UD Martabe Tarutung and taken from sales data and distribution channels costs for 5 years in 2012-2016, and the subject of the study is marketing manager and staff who are willing to provide the required data. The result of this study showed that Distribution channels have positive effect (direction) Toward Sales Level at UD Martabe Tarutung.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Marketing is an important activity in business. The quality of a business depends to the company's marketing. Through marketing will create the economic value of a product. And the economic value will determine the price of goods and services for individuals or consumers. A Factors that affect economic value are: production, marketing and consumption. Marketing is the relationship between production and consumption. Without marketing the consumers is difficulty to achieve satisfactory consumption goals. The quality of a company depends to the manager's expertise in marketing and the ability to combine functions in marketing, finance, and other related fields. A factor that important in facilitating the distribute of goods and services from producers to consumers is the marketing distribution. The marketing distribution is the path through which the goods distribute from producer to consumer. UD Martabe Tarutung is a peanut company in Tarutung city. Its products distribute to Medan and Jakarta.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW
Marketing
The American Marketing Association offers this managerial definition (Kotler, 2002): stated Marketing (management) is the process of planning and executing the conception, pricing, promotion, and distribution of ideas, goods, and services to create exchanges that satisfy individual and organizational goals. Coping with exchange processes part of this definition calls for a considerable amount of work and skill. We see marketing management as the art and science of applying core marketing concepts to choose target markets and get, keep, and grow customers through creating, delivering, and communicating superior customer value. We can distinguish between a social and a managerial definition for marketing. According to a social definition, marketing is a societal process by which individuals and groups obtain what they need and want through creating, offering, and exchanging products and services of value freely with others. As a managerial definition, marketing has often been described as “the art of selling products. a leading management theorist, says that “the aim of marketing is to make selling superfluous. The aim of marketing is to know and understand the customer so well that the product or service fits him and sells itself. Ideally, marketing should result in a customer who is ready to buy.

Marketing Mix
Marketers use numerous tools to elicit the desired responses from their target markets. These tools constitute a marketing mix: Marketing mix is the set of marketing tools that the firm uses to pursue its marketing objectives in the target market. As shown in McCarthy classified these tools into four broad groups that he called the four Ps of marketing: product, price, place, and promotion. Marketing mix decisions must be made to influence the trade channels as well as the final consumers. Typically, the firm can change its price, sales-force size, and advertising expenditures in the short run. However, it can develop new products and modify its distribution channels only in the long run. Now the marketing mix is defined as set of controllable marketing tools that a company uses to create a desired response in the targeted market. (Kotler P., Armstrong, Wong, & Saunders, 2008). Set of these tools is generally referred to as 4P’s of Marketing, being Product, Price, Promotion and Place.

Distribution Channels
Kotler and Susanto (2001: 683) stated that a distribution channel of a series of independent organizations involved in the process of making products or services available for
use or consumption. Tjiptono (2002: 187) states that a
distribution channel is a route or series of intermediaries,
whether managed by marketers or independent in
delivering goods from producer to consumer. It can be
concluded that the distribution channel is a series of
activities to channel products from company to consumer
by organization or marketer.
The Institutions of participating in distribution channels are:
a) Intermediary trader
b) Intermediary agent
c) Wholesalers
d) Retailer

Sales Volume
Basu Swastha (2004: 403) states that sales are interactions
between face to face individuals who aim to create,
improve, control, or maintain a useful exchange
relationship for others. According Anoraga (2004: 523) states that sales volume
is the amount of sales achieved or will be achieved by a
company within a certain period. Radiosunu (2000: 23)
sales volume is some amount of goods produced or goods
sold from a particular product within a certain time. From
the above definition can be concluded that the sale is an
effort made by humans to deliver goods for those who
need the money reward in accordance with the price
determined by mutual agreement. Sales volume is
addition / reduction of the amount of goods produced by
the company within a certain period of time.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
The collected data analyzed through A trend analysis
method. A trend analysis is an aspect of technical analysis
that tries to predict the future movement of a stock based
on past data. Trend analysis is based on the idea that what
has happened in the past gives traders an idea of what will
happen in the future.
In general linear equations of time series analysis are:
\[ Y = a + bX \]
Where:
\[ Y \]: Trend Variabe (Sales Volume)
\[ X \]: variable time / year (Distribution Channels in
this case data taken from Channel Cost of Distribution).

Whereas to find the value of constants (a) and parameter
(b) are:
\[ a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} \]
\[ b = \frac{\sum XY}{x^2} \]
The population of this study is all part UD. Martabe
Tarutung taken from sales data and distribution of channel
costs for 5 years in 2012-2016, and subjects are marketing
managers and staff who are willing to provide the
required data.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Tarutung Located in North Tapanuli Utara has been
known as a producer of fried nuts. One of the businesses
in the city that sold in the package of UD. Martabe
Tarutung and it is located at Jl. Balige, KM 12
Silangkitang, Tarutung was established in 1990. The
business is managed by S. Panjaitan. These fried nuts are
packed in plastic and canned form. UD. Martabe Tarutung
distributed peanuts to Medan and Jakarta. Delivery to
Medan area is done once a month with cost RP. 500,000.
And the amount of goods sent as many as 30 packages.
Delivery to Jakarta area done once a month with postage
Rp. 800,000. The amount of goods sent as many as 30
Packages.

Analysis Channel Costs Distribution and Sales UD
Martabe in Tarutung
The following is data taken from UD. Martabe Tarutung ,
the distribution and sales channel cost data as follows:

| Years | Biaya Distribusi | Sales     |
|-------|------------------|-----------|
| 2012  | 67.800.000       | 1.000.000.000 |
| 2013  | 83.400.000       | 1.200.000.000 |
| 2014  | 67.800.000       | 1.100.000.000 |
| 2015  | 72.600.000       | 1.000.000.000 |
| 2016  | 72.600.000       | 1.000.000.000 |
| Total | 364.200.000      | 5.300.000.000 |

From the above data the graph trend analysis of are the
distribution and sales costs:
a. In 2012
The graph above shows that the trend between distribution and sales costs in 2012 tends to increase. The sales trend shows a significant improvement. This shows that UD. Martabe Tarutung has used the distribution channel well.

b. In 2013

The chart above shows that the trend between distribution and sales costs in 2013 tends to increase. The sales trend shows a significant improvement. It shows that UD. Martabe Tarutung has used the distribution channel well.

c. In 2014
The graph above shows that the trend between distribution and sales costs in 2014 tends to increase. Although in 2014 decreased in 2013. Seen from the trend between distribution and sales costs still tend to increase. This shows that UD. Martabe Tarutung has used the distribution channel well.

d. In 2015

The graph above shows that the trend between distribution and sales costs in 2015 tends to increase. The sales trend shows a significant improvement. It shows that UD. Martabe Tarutung has used the distribution channel well.
In 2016, Graph 4.5: Distribution trends analysis and sales cost in 2015

The chart above shows that the trend between distribution and cost of sales in 2016 tends to increase. Although the number of figures in 2016 is decrease compared to 2015. However, from the trend between the distribution and cost of sales is still likely to increase. This shows that UD. Martabe Tarutung has used the distribution channel well.

To see the trend between the cost of distribution and sales together in 2012-2016, and then we can see in the following graph Graph 4.6: Distribution trends analysis and sales cost in 2012-2016

V. CONCLUSION

From the graph above shows the trend between the cost of distribution and sales is unidirectional. Can be seen sales trends follow the trend of distribution costs. This shows that distribution costs are in line with sales at UD. Martabe Tarutung.

Trends and Prediction of Distribution Costs

Based on Table 4.1 The UD distribution channels and sales cost data the authors do the calculations to determine the trend and sales prediction as described below:

| Years | Sales (Y)  | X  | X^2 | XY      |
|-------|------------|----|-----|---------|
| 2012  | 1,000,000,000 | 0  | 0   | 0       |
| 2013  | 1,200,000,000 | 1  | 1   | 1,200,000,000 |
| 2014  | 1,100,000,000 | 2  | 4   | 2,200,000,000   |
| 2015  | 1,000,000,000 | 3  | 9   | 3,000,000,000   |
| 2016  | 1,000,000,000 | 4  | 16  | 4,000,000,000   |
VI. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study described in Chapter IV, the following conclusions are drawn:

1. Trends distribution costs and joint sales in 2012-2016. Shows the trend distribution and sales costs are not unidirectional. Can be seen that trend of distribution costs follow the sales trend. This shows that distribution costs are in the same direction (positive) with sales at UD. Martabe in Tarutung.

2. The Estimated sales at UD Martabe peanuts in 2017 (X = 5) is: Rp. 2,793,333,330
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