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Abstract

We present a multiwavelength study of galaxies around D4UD01, a spectroscopically confirmed protocluster at $z = 3.24$, to investigate environmental trends. 450 galaxies are selected based on $K_S$ band detection with photometric redshifts (photo-$z$) at $3.0 < z < 3.4$, among which ~12% are classified as quiescent galaxies. The quiescent galaxies are among the most massive and reddest ones in the entire sample. We identify a large photo-$z$ galaxy overdensity in the field, which lies close to the previously spectroscopically confirmed sources of the protocluster. We find that the quiescent galaxies are largely concentrated in the overdense protocluster region with a higher quiescent fraction, showing a sign of environmental quenching. Galaxies in the protocluster are forming faster than their field counterparts as seen in the stellar mass function, suggesting early and accelerated mass assembly in the overdense regions. Although weak evidence of suppressed star formation is found in the protocluster, the statistics are not significant enough to draw a definite conclusion. Our work sheds light on how the formation of massive galaxies is affected in the dense region of a protocluster when the universe was only 2 Gyr old.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Protoclusters (1297)

1. Introduction

It is well known that local environments have profound impacts on the formation and evolution of galaxies. At low redshift (e.g., $z \lesssim 1$), clusters contain a higher fraction of red massive ellipticals, while young late-type galaxies are mostly found in the low-density field. This “morphology–density” relation (Dressler 1980; Dressler et al. 1997; Goto et al. 2003; Kauffmann et al. 2004; Postman et al. 2005) observed out to $z \sim 1$ also implies that cluster galaxies must have experienced an early growth at higher redshift. Indeed, stellar population studies of cluster galaxies suggest that they generally experienced a short and intense star formation and quenched very quickly afterwards (e.g., Stanford et al. 1998; Thomas et al. 2005; Snyder et al. 2012; Martin-Navarro et al. 2018).

In order to further investigate the environmental impacts on galaxy formation and understand the detailed quenching mechanism of cluster galaxies, we need to directly observe the progenitors of clusters (“protoclusters”) and study their galaxy constituents at high redshift. Many studies have shown that star formation activities in dense environments are enhanced relative to the field at high redshift (e.g., Elbaz et al. 2007; Cooper et al. 2008; Tran et al. 2010; Koyama et al. 2013; Alberts et al. 2014; Cai et al. 2017; Shimakawa et al. 2018; Lemaux et al. 2020), suggesting protocluster galaxies may have undergone more accelerated mass assembly than their field counterparts. However, there are also some protoclusters where no such differences are seen as compared to the field (e.g., Overzier et al. 2008; Toshikawa et al. 2014; Cucciati et al. 2014; Shi et al. 2019a). This discrepancy between different studies may arise from different galaxy populations used or the lack of statistics due to a small sample size (Cucciati et al. 2014), or because of different evolutionary stages in which these protoclusters are observed (Overzier et al. 2008; Toshikawa et al. 2014).

Despite the above challenges in identifying the reversal of the “star formation–density” relation in protoclusters, a growing number of studies suggest that protoclusters often host a larger fraction of massive red galaxies that have already quenched their star formation (e.g., Steidel et al. 2005; Kubo et al. 2013; Lemaux et al. 2014, 2018; Ji et al. 2018; Shi et al. 2019a; Zavala et al. 2019; Ando et al. 2020; Chartab et al. 2020). These studies suggest that the cluster red sequence observed in the local universe (Visvanathan & Sandage 1977; Bower et al. 1992; Stott et al. 2009) may have already been formed in protoclusters at earlier epochs. This is further supported by the numerical simulation of Chiang et al. (2017) who proposed an “inside-out” galaxy growth in protoclusters from $z > 10$ to $z \sim 5$ when most of the star formation and mass assembly happen in the central regions. This growth of cores is followed by an extended star formation in the entire protocluster region at $1.5 < z < 5$ when the cores begin to quench and massive quiescent galaxies can be seen.

A systematic investigation of environmental impacts requires more observations of distant protoclusters. However, protoclusters are not virialized yet, and they typically extend up to 10’–30’ in the sky (Chiang et al. 2013; Muldrew et al. 2015), making it difficult and observationally expensive to conduct a systematic search. While several tens of protoclusters have been spectroscopically confirmed to date (see e.g., Overzier 2016; Harikane et al. 2019, for a summary), many were identified by preselecting overdense regions traced by star-forming galaxies, such as Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) or $Ly_{\alpha}$ emitters, followed up by spectroscopic confirmation. Toshikawa et al. (2016) discovered a protocluster in the D4 field of the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS) at $z = 3.24$. This protocluster, dubbed “D4UD01,”
hereafter, was initially identified using $u$-dropout selected LBGs at $z \sim 3$. A significant surface overdensity (4.4σ) of LBGs was found in the field, implying the presence of a large structure. Follow-up spectroscopy has confirmed five galaxies at $z = 3.24$ within 2 Mpc (physical) of one another. Further comparison with simulation also suggested that it will become a virialized cluster at $z = 0$ (Toshikawa et al. 2016). However, LBGs are star-forming galaxies that severely suffered from projection effects due to large redshift uncertainties; therefore, it is difficult to conduct a systematic study of environmental impacts in this protocluster using only LBGs. To better characterize the role of the environments, a detailed census of its galaxy constituents is needed. In this work we perform a multiwavelength study of galaxies in and around this protocluster with the help of photometric redshift, aiming to further unveil the environmental trends in this protocluster.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the data and methods used to select the protocluster galaxy candidates. Massive quiescent galaxies are selected in Section 3. In Section 4, we investigate the spatial distributions of galaxies in the field and identify an overdensity, which is defined as the protocluster region. The sky distribution of quiescent galaxies is also studied to seek possible environmental trends. We further compare the GSMFs and star formation rates (SFRs) of protocluster galaxies with those of their field counterparts in Section 5. We summarize our results in Section 6. Throughout this paper we use the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe 9 cosmology ($\Omega_M = 0.29$, $\Omega_L = 0.71$, $\sigma_8 = 0.83$, $h = 0.69$) from Hinshaw et al. (2013). All magnitudes are given in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983). Distance scales are given in comoving units unless noted otherwise.

## 2. Data and Analysis

### 2.1. Data and Photometry

In this study, we make use of publicly available multiwavelength data, including the deep optical $ugriz$ images from the CFHTLS Deep Survey (Gwyn 2012) and the near-IR $JHK_S$ bands from WIRCam Deep Survey (WIRDS) (Bielby et al. 2012). We also use the Spitzer-Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) 3.6 and 4.5 μm data from the NEWFIRM (NOAO Extremely Wide-Field Infrared Imager) Medium-Band Survey (NMBS-II) IRAC survey (Annunziatella et al. 2018). The pixel scale of CFHTLS and WIRDS images is 0.186″, while for IRAC data it is 0.558″. The photometric depths of CFHTLS and WIRDS data are measured from the sky fluctuations by placing 2″ diameter apertures in random image positions, while the depths of IRAC data are measured within a 3″ aperture. Table 1 summarizes the data sensitivity and image quality in this paper. It is noted that the IRAC data is fairly shallow (maximum exposure time of only ~1 hr for each channel) with large photometric uncertainties, and thus they have weak constraints in our analysis.

We created a multiwavelength photometric catalog as follows. First, we smoothed the WIRDS images and other CFHTLS bands data to match the largest point-spread function (PSF) of the CFHTLS $u$ band data. To do so, the radial profile of the PSF in each image was approximated by a Moffat function with the measured seeing FWHM. A noiseless convolution kernel between the low- and high-resolution images is then derived using the Richardson–Lucy deconvolution algorithm (Richardson 1972). Each image is convolved with its respective kernel to match the PSF of the $u$ band data.

The WIRDS survey does not cover the entire $1° \times 1°$ D4 field (60% of the field has no data, see Bielby et al. 2012), but fortunately D4UD01 is located within its coverage. For source detection in this work, we use the $K_S$ band, which samples the rest-frame optical emission at $z = 3.24$, enabling the measurement of stellar masses of the galaxies. We trim the $K_S$ image to contain the region receiving >50% of the maximum exposure time, which results in a final area of 497 arcmin². All the other bands are also trimmed to match the $K_S$ image.

Source detection and photometric measurements in the $ugrizJHK_S$ bands are carried out by running the SExtractor software (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) in dual mode on the PSF matched images with the $K_S$ image as the detection band. The SExtractor parameter MAG_AUTO is used to estimate the total magnitude, while colors are computed from fluxes within a fixed isophotal area (i.e., FLUX_ISO). As the images are PSF matched, aperture correction in all bands is assumed to be the difference between MAG_AUTO and MAG_ISO measured in the detection band. For sources not detected in certain bands, we use the $2\sigma$ limiting magnitude to give the upper limits.

As for the IRAC images, since the PSFs of these images are much broader (∼1.8″), source blending on these images is a severe problem. In order to obtain accurate and unbiased measurement of fluxes and colors on the IRAC images, we utilize the T-PHOT software (Merlin et al. 2015, 2016). T-PHOT performs “template-fitting” photometry on the low-resolution image using the information of high-resolution image and catalog. In our case, the $K_S$ band image and catalog are used as the input priors of T-PHOT, while the low-resolution IRAC images are analyzed to obtain the corresponding photometry. It is noted that although T-PHOT is prior based, the derived photometry of the low-resolution image does not strongly depend on which high-resolution image we use as the input. For example, if we use the $i$ band as the input high-resolution prior to derive the 3.6 μm photometry, the resultant 3.6 μm magnitude differences as compared to the $K_S$ based have only an average value of ~0.04. This confirms that our T-PHOT derived photometry is not significantly biased by the prior.

Finally, all photometric catalogs are combined together to make a multiwavelength catalog. In this work, we focus on the

| Table 1: Data Set |
|-------------------|
| **Band** | **Instrument** | **Limiting Magnitude**<sup>a</sup> (5σ, AB) | **FWHM** (″) |
| $u$ | MegaCam/CFHT | 27.02 | 0.90 |
| $g$ | MegaCam/CFHT | 27.48 | 0.80 |
| $r$ | MegaCam/CFHT | 27.11 | 0.70 |
| $i$ | MegaCam/CFHT | 26.72 | 0.70 |
| $z$ | MegaCam/CFHT | 25.84 | 0.70 |
| $J$ | WIRCam/CFHT | 24.83 | 0.60 |
| $H$ | WIRCam/CFHT | 24.33 | 0.60 |
| $K_S$ | WIRCam/CFHT | 24.29 | 0.60 |
| 3.6 μm | IRAC/Spitzer | 22.27 | 1.86 |
| 4.5 μm | IRAC/Spitzer | 22.29 | 1.75 |

Note:<sup>a</sup> 5σ limiting magnitude measured in a 2″ diameter aperture for the CFHT data, while for the Spitzer data the depths are measured in a 3″ aperture.

---
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sources with $K_s$ magnitudes smaller than 24.29 (i.e., $>5\sigma$ detection limit). In the end, 20,801 sources are selected in the final catalog.

2.2. Photometric Redshift and Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) Fitting

The photometric redshift and the physical properties of each source in the catalog are derived via the SED-fitting technique using the Code Investigating GALaxy Emission (CIGALE) software (Noll et al. 2009; Boquien et al. 2019). Based on an energy balance principle (the energy emitted by dust in the mid- and far-IR exactly corresponds to the energy absorbed by dust in the UV-optical range), CIGALE builds composite stellar population models from various single stellar population models, star formation histories, dust attenuation laws, etc. The model templates are then fitted to the observed fluxes of galaxies from the far-ultraviolet to the radio domain, and photometric redshift as well as physical properties are estimated using a Bayesian analysis.

For the SED templates, we use the stellar population synthesis models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003), the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening law with $E(B-V)$ values ranging from 0–2 in steps of 0.1 mag, the solar metallicity, and the Chabrier (2003) initial mass function. We use the delayed star formation history (SFR $\propto t \times \exp[-(t/\tau)]$ with the star-forming timescale $\tau$ ranging from 0.1–10 Gyr. Nebular emission is also included and dust emission is modeled by Dale et al. (2014). The input redshifts are set to be between 0.1 and 5.0 in steps of 0.1.

To calibrate the photometric redshift (photo-$z$), we use a spectroscopic redshift (spec-$z$) sample obtained from the AAOmega instrument on the Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT) targeting X-ray point sources in the D4 field (Stalin et al. 2010). The sample has 1809 spec-$z$ sources with the majority of them lying at $z<1$. We also use the 16 spectroscopic LBGs in Toshikawa et al. (2016) in D4UD01. We crossmatch these spec-$z$ sources with our photo-$z$ catalog and find 191 counterparts. The precision of the photo-$z$ is measured using the normalized median absolute deviation (Hoaglin et al. 1983) defined as $\sigma_z = 1.48 \times \text{median}(\Delta_z/(1+z_{\text{spec}}))$, where $\Delta_z = z_{\text{spec}} - z_{\text{phot}}$. This scatter measurement corresponds to the rms of a Gaussian distribution and is not affected by catastrophic outliers (i.e., objects with $|\Delta_z/(1+z_{\text{spec}})| > 0.15$ (Ilbert et al. 2006; Laigle et al. 2016). For these sources, we obtain $\sigma_z = 0.08$. The number of catastrophic failures accounts for up to 10% of all the sources.

The mean photo-$z$ error derived by CIGALE is $\Delta z \sim 0.2$ in our sample; therefore, we select 783 galaxies with photo-$z$ measurements of $3.0 < z_{\text{phot}} < 3.4$ as potential protocluster galaxy candidates so that the protocluster redshift ($z \sim 3.24$) lies within the coverage of the photo-$z$ error. Only three objects among these candidates have spec-$z$ information. One ($z_{\text{phot}} = 3.1$) is in the AAOmega sample that indicates it is a QSO at $z_{\text{spec}} = 3.03$, and we remove this object from our candidate list. The other two ($z_{\text{phot}} = 3.3$ and 3.0) are the spectroscopically confirmed LBGs at $z_{\text{spec}} = 3.24$ and 3.04 in Toshikawa et al. (2016). The remaining 14 spec-$z$ sources in Toshikawa et al. (2016) are not detected in the $K_s$ band and therefore are not in our photo-$z$ sample. For the 782 sources, we remove the ones that have SExtractor parameter “CLASS_STAR” greater than 0.9 to reduce the contamination of stars. We then visually inspect the remaining sources and remove those with potential contamination in the photometry, including those severely blended with nearby bright sources. We also discard sources that are detected in less than four bands. In the end, 450 galaxies are selected as our photo-$z$ galaxies.

We fix the best-fit photo-$z$ of the 450 galaxies and refit their SEDs using CIGALE with the same configuration to determine their physical properties such as stellar mass, SFR, and color excess of stellar continuum $E(B-V)$, etc. The typical (median) error of the stellar mass is $\sim$0.1 dex, while for the SFR it is $\sim$0.3 dex.

For all the photo-$z$ galaxies, we also estimate their stellar mass completeness following an empirical approach (Pozzetti et al. 2010; Ilbert et al. 2013; Laigle et al. 2016). For each galaxy, we compute the lowest stellar mass $M_{\text{lim}}$ it would need to be detected at the given $K_s$ magnitude limit $K_{s\text{lim}} = 24.29$:

$$\log(M_{\text{lim}}) = \log(M) - 0.4(K_{s\text{lim}} - K_s),$$

and the stellar mass completeness limit corresponds to the mass under which 90% of the galaxies lie. The calculated mass completeness limit is $\log(M_{\text{lim}}) = 10.8$ in our photo-$z$ sample. We also notice that only 193 (43%) of the photo-$z$ galaxies satisfy the LBG criteria defined in Toshikawa et al. (2016). LBGs are believed to be young star-forming galaxies with typical stellar masses of $10^{10} M_\odot$ (e.g., Giavalisco 2002). Therefore, our sample includes a large fraction of massive galaxies that are commonly missed from the UV-selected LBGs, which is helpful in studying the high-mass end of the stellar mass function.

We also consider possible contamination in our sample. The photo-$z$ galaxies lie around $z \approx 3.2$ where the $K_s$ band photometry could be potentially contaminated by the [O III]$\lambda$4959,5007 nebular emission lines, which would lead to an overestimate of the stellar mass derived from SEDs. For example, Schenker et al. (2013) measured the rest-frame [O III] equivalent widths (EWs) for a sample of $3.0 < z < 3.8$ LBGs and determined an average value of 250 Å. At $z \approx 3.2$, this leads to an overestimate of $K_s$ band continuum flux density by 0.5 magnitude. However, Malkan et al. (2017) noted there is an anticorrelation between the stellar mass and [O III] EW for LBGs at $z \sim 3$: galaxies with higher stellar masses usually have smaller EWs. According to their relation, 98% galaxies in our sample with masses $> 10^{10} M_\odot$ have typical EWs less than 100 Å, corresponding to a flux contamination smaller than 0.1 magnitude. More recently, Yuan et al. (2019) further investigated the impact of including [O III] nebular emission data in the SED-fitting analysis using a sample of LBGs at $z \sim 3.5$. They found an average discrepancy of only $\sim 0.1$ dex in the derived stellar mass when nebular emission data is included in the fitting. In comparison, the average stellar mass uncertainty of our photo-$z$ sample is also $\sim 0.1$ dex. Thus, we conclude that the influence of nebular emission on the derived stellar mass is minimal and does not significantly affect the main results of this paper.

3. Selection of Quiescent Galaxy Candidates

The presence of quiescent galaxies at high redshift can give us valuable insight into how current-day massive ellipticals obtain their masses. One of the main focuses of this paper is to identify and study evolved galaxy populations in the protocluster field. To do so, a reliable method to separate quiescent galaxies from star-forming galaxies is required.
Various methods have been developed to classify quiescent galaxy populations in the literature. Perhaps the most well-known method is using the rest-frame $U - V$ versus $V - J$ color–color diagram (UVJ diagram), where the galaxy distributions are bimodal and a color cut can be applied to separate the two populations (e.g., Labbé et al. 2005; Williams et al. 2009; Brammer et al. 2011; Muzzin et al. 2013). Other color criteria have also been proposed, such as the NUV – $r$ versus $r$ – $J$ (Ilbert et al. 2013), which can alleviate the confusion between red dusty star-forming galaxies and passive galaxies, and $J – K_S$ versus [3.6]–[4.5] color in the observed frame that select galaxies with a strong Balmer/4000 Å break at $2 < z < 4$ (Girillli et al. 2019; Shi et al. 2020).

In this work, as the IRAC bands are too shallow to give strong constraints in the rest-frame NIR (see Section 2.1), the above color–color criteria may not be appropriate in separating quiescent galaxies. Therefore, we will take a different approach, using the 4000 Å break index (D4000 hereafter). The spectral break at 4000 Å is the strongest discontinuity in the optical spectrum of a galaxy, which is mainly caused by ionized metal lines (e.g., Ca II H and K lines) in older stellar populations. A break index D4000 is defined as the ratio of the average flux density at the wavelengths of 4000–4100 Å and 3850–3950 Å (Balogh et al. 1999). This definition of using narrow bands has its advantage of being less sensitive to dust attenuation. D4000 can be regarded as a stellar population age indicator: a larger value usually suggests an older age of the galaxy and thus can be used as a criterion to separate young star-forming galaxies and old quiescent galaxies (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2003; Gallazzi et al. 2005; Hath et al. 2009; Johnston et al. 2015; Haines et al. 2017). Furthermore, it has been shown that D4000 is also closely correlated with a specific star formation rate (sSFR, defined as SFR/$M_{\text{star}}$), where a larger value typically corresponds to a lower sSFR (Brinchmann et al. 2004).

In this work, the D4000 index is inferred from the best-fit template of CIGALE. The typical error of D4000 value is $\sim 0.04$. In the left panel of Figure 1, we show the D4000 distribution as a function of stellar mass. As can be seen in the figure, the distribution appears to be bimodal: most of the galaxies are located in the lower left corner, whereas a fraction is concentrated in the upper right corner. This bimodality has been seen both at low redshift ($z < 1$) (Haines et al. 2017) and at high redshift (up to $z \sim 3$) (Johnston et al. 2015). Based on this diagram, we apply a cut at D4000 = 1.2, which roughly segregates the two populations, defining galaxies above this limit to be quiescent galaxy candidates. In total, 52 galaxies fall into the quiescent galaxy catalog.

The right panel of Figure 1 shows the galaxies on the SFR–$M_{\text{star}}$ plane where the galaxies are color coded by their D4000 values. There is a clear trend in which galaxies with larger D4000 tend to lie at the lower part of the plane. Indeed, all of our quiescent galaxies are located well below the star-forming main-sequence relations at $z \sim 3$ (only two are within 1 dex scatter of the relations, while all the others are well beyond), further highlighting the effectiveness and purity of using D4000 to select quiescent galaxies. Also seen from the figure is the lack of passive galaxies below $\sim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$, which is most likely due to a selection effect. The quiescent galaxy candidates are 90% complete above $10^{10.9} M_{\odot}$, while the remaining star-forming galaxies have a completeness limit of $10^{10.7} M_{\odot}$, as calculated using the method in Section 2.2. This incompleteness issue also affects our results in Section 5. A sample of images of the quiescent galaxy candidates can be found in Figure 2.

As a final check, in the left panel of Figure 3 we show our quiescent galaxy candidates in the UVJ diagram. The rest-frame colors of the galaxies are derived from the best-fit templates from CIGALE. For secure determination of the rest-frame J band magnitude, we plot only the IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 μm detected sources (i.e., $>2\sigma$ magnitude limits). Among the IRAC detected 29 candidates, 16 (55%) are within the quiescent region defined by Muzzin et al. (2013), while the remainder are also close to the quiescent parameter space. This further justifies our usage of the D4000 index to select quiescent galaxies.

Figure 4 shows the SED-fitting results for a subsample of the star-forming and quiescent galaxies defined using the above criterion. It can be seen that star-forming galaxies are featured by their prominent emission lines, and they are less massive ($<10^{11} M_{\odot}$) and younger than the quiescent galaxies, which lack nebular emissions. On the other hand, 80% (42/52) of the quiescent galaxies have masses greater than $10^{11} M_{\odot}$. Among the galaxies of masses $>10^{11} M_{\odot}$, 38% (42/112) are quiescent,
which is similar to the quiescent fraction observed in Kubo et al. (2013) and Ando et al. (2020) in high-redshift protoclusters. It is noteworthy that these quiescent galaxies are very red with a Balmer/4000 Å break between the $J$ and $K_s$ bands, with a median of $J − K_s = 2.0$. In fact, 94% (49/52) of the quiescent galaxies have $J − K_s > 1.4$, which satisfy the selection criterion of the distant red galaxies (DRGs; Franx et al. 2003; van Dokkum et al. 2003). DRGs are believed to be either dust-obscured star-forming galaxies or old passive galaxies at $2 < z < 4$ (e.g., Labbé et al. 2005; Kriek et al. 2006). The right panel of Figure 3 shows the color–mass relation for the photo-$z$ galaxies. The quiescent galaxy candidates are concentrated in the top-right corner of the plane, suggesting they are among the most massive and reddest objects in the entire photo-$z$ sample. However, we caution that whether all of these galaxies are truly “red and dead” remains uncertain. With a lack of far-IR observations, especially in the 24 μm data, we are unable to quantify the possible emission features from polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Draine & Li 2007) at a rest frame of $\sim 5.7$ μm at $z = 3.24$, which are heated by either dust-obscured star formation or active galactic nuclei. What is more, if some of these galaxies are dust-enshrouded star-forming galaxies, they could be detected at submillimeter wavelength by the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA)/Submillimetre Common-User Bolometer Array 2 (e.g.,

Figure 2. Example postage-stamp images of quiescent galaxies. All images are 10″ on each side. North is up and east is to the left.

Figure 3. Left panel: rest-frame UVJ diagram for the IRAC detected sources. The red circles denote the quiescent galaxies defined by the D4000 index, while the blue circles are the star-forming galaxies. The solid lines are the cut used to define the quiescent galaxies in Muzzin et al. (2013). Note that some galaxies have the same colors, as their best-fit galaxy templates are the same (only at different redshifts). Right panel: mass–color diagram for all the photo-$z$ galaxies. The red circles denote the quiescent galaxies. The horizontal line represents the DRG criterion while the vertical-dashed line is the stellar mass completeness limit of the sample.
Wang et al. 2019). At the current stage, without submillimeter observations it is difficult to further investigate this possibility. Nevertheless, we note that Santini et al. (2019) recently analyzed in detail 26 candidate quiescent galaxies observed by ALMA at $3 < z < 5$ in the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey-South field. These galaxies were also selected using the SED-fitting technique from the UV to mid-IR. They found none of these galaxies have secure detection ($>3\sigma$) in the submillimeter wavelength. Given the upper limits of the detection and with a stacking analysis, they found the dust-obscured star formation activity is lower than that inferred from UV-optical. Meanwhile, using the ALMA-derived SFRs, $\sim50\%$ of these galaxies are located at least $1\sigma$ below the star-forming main sequence. They concluded that their sample is indeed quiescent in a statistical sense. Therefore, we argue that although we cannot completely rule out the contaminants of possible dusty star-forming galaxies, it is very unlikely that the red colors of all these candidates are caused by dust.

4. Sky Distribution of Galaxies

4.1. Sky Distribution of the Photo-z Galaxies

Protoclusters are usually discovered as overdensities of galaxies. In order to identify galaxy overdensities, many studies smoothed the spatial distributions of galaxies with a fixed or adaptive kernel to obtain the density maps (e.g., Hayashino et al. 2004; Matsuda et al. 2005; Yang et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2014; Harikane et al. 2019; Shi et al. 2019a, 2019b). Alternatively, some studies have utilized a scale-independent method referred to as Voronoi tessellation (Ramella et al. 2001; Kim et al. 2002; Cooper et al. 2005; Soares-Santos et al. 2011; Dey et al. 2016) to measure the galaxy overdensity, which proved to be a good estimator of an underlying density field (Darvish et al. 2015). In this study, we use Voronoi tessellation to estimate the 2D surface density of the galaxies, which is described in the following.

A Voronoi tessellation is a unique way of dividing a two-dimensional distribution of points into convex cells, with each cell containing only one point and a set of vertices that are closer to that point than to any other in the plane. It has the property that the local density ($f$) of each cell is the inverse of the cell area ($a$). Therefore, to estimate the overdensity of each cell, one first needs to calculate the average density of the cells in the entire plane ($\langle f \rangle = \langle 1/a \rangle$), then the density contrast of each cell is $f = f/\langle f \rangle$.

The Voronoi tessellation of our photo-z galaxies is shown in the top-left panel of Figure 5. We can see that there is a large overdensity of galaxies in the western end of the field near the five spectroscopically confirmed LBGs at $z = 3.24$ (Toshikawa et al. 2016). As our sample is mass limited and we may miss a
lot of low-to-medium ($\sim 10^9 - 10^{10} \, M_\odot$) mass galaxies in the field that belong to the protocluster, therefore, we define the protocluster region in a conservative way, with a circle enclosing all the spec-$z$ sources and most of the high-density ($f > 1$) sources nearby. The average density of all the cells in the circle is $\bar{f} = 1.3$. The circle has a surface area of 81 arcmin$^2$ containing 96 galaxies, and its radius is $\sim 10$ Mpc, consistent with the typical protocluster size at $z \sim 3$ in Chiang et al. (2013).

There are also several other overdensities in the field (the one in the southeast for example), but none of them is as significant as the large overdensity near the spec-$z$ sources within the circle. To verify this, we randomly put 100 circles with the same radius into the field and calculate the average density within. There are only four realizations in which the average density is comparable to the original one. The centers of these four circles are all very close to the one we used ($<4'$), and the average densities of the remaining realizations are all below 1.3. This confirms our visual impression and indicates the one near the spec-$z$ sources is indeed the largest overdensity in the field. The other small overdensities could be coincidental alignment of galaxies along the line of sight that have no physical associations, or they could belong to smaller structures at $3.0 < z < 3.4$. At the current stage, without spectroscopic observations, we cannot determine which case is true; therefore, we leave it to future studies. In the remainder of this paper, we only regard the one we defined in Figure 5 as the protocluster region at $z = 3.24$ and define the area outside the protocluster as the general field.

For comparison and completeness, in the bottom panel of Figure 5 we show the smoothed surface density map of the $u$-dropout LBGs as in Toshikawa et al. (2016). We do not use Voronoi tessellation for the LBGs since there are nearly 6000 sources, which would make it difficult to identify overdense structures. We see there are two significant overdensities in the field. The one in the north is roughly co-spatial with the five spectroscopically confirmed LBGs at $z = 3.24$, and the other one in the southeast is largely outside of the photo-$z$ overdensity. The discrepancy between the photo-$z$ distribution and LBG distribution is not surprising, as they both have large redshift uncertainties ($\Delta z \sim 0.2$ and $\Delta z \sim 1$), which could dilute the genuine overdense structure and/or create fake density spikes along the line of sight. Alternatively, since they are selected in different ways
(rest-frame optical versus UV), and many of the UV-selected LBGs are not detected in the $K_s$ band (Section 2.2), photo-$z$ galaxies may represent a more massive galaxy population that traces different underlying large-scale structures than the LBGs. Only future spectroscopic observations can verify these different scenarios.

The descendant mass of D4UD01 was calculated by Toshikawa et al. (2016) using a set of lightcone models (Henriques et al. 2012) based on Millennium Simulation (Springel et al. 2005). They matched the observed surface density maps with those in the mock catalogs using the same selection method, finding a correlation between overdensity of LBGs and its descendant halo mass. The overdensity value of D4UD01 is 4.4, which results in a descendant halo mass of $1.6 \sim 5 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ (see their Figure 7). According to Chiang et al. (2013), this structure will evolve into a Fornax-like $(1 \sim 3 \times 10^{14} M_\odot)$ or Virgo-like $(3 \sim 10 \times 10^{14} M_\odot)$ cluster at $z = 0$.

4.2. Sky Distribution of the Quiescent Galaxies

Above we have shown that the survey field contains a large photo-$z$ galaxy overdensity, which is most likely a protocluster. In this section, we investigate whether there is also presence of quiescent galaxies in this protocluster, which may shed light on how quenching of star formation depends on environment.

The top-right panel of Figure 5 shows the Voronoi tessellation of the quiescent galaxies selected in Section 3. It is clear that the quiescent galaxies tend to be concentrated in the protocluster region: the galaxies within the circle have an average density of $\bar{f} = 1.4$, which is the largest in the entire field. There are 15 quiescent galaxies inside the protocluster region, resulting in a surface number density of $0.19$ arcmin$^{-2}$. In comparison, the surface density of all the quiescent galaxies in the entire field is $0.10$ arcmin$^{-2}$ (52/497). Thus, the number density of quiescent galaxy candidates in the protocluster nearly doubles that in the average field. On the other hand, the surface density of all galaxies in the protocluster is $1.2$ arcmin$^{-2}$, only slightly higher than the surface density of all galaxies in the entire field, which is $0.9$ arcmin$^{-2}$. Therefore, the enhanced number of quiescent galaxies in the protocluster cannot be simply explained by the overall increased number of galaxies therein. In addition, the quiescent fraction in the protocluster is $\sim 16\%$, which is also higher than that in the entire field of $\sim 12\%$. These results clearly show that this protocluster contains a higher fraction of quiescent galaxies than the field, which cannot be explained by the larger number of galaxies in the overdense region.\footnote{Although we use D4000 to select quiescent galaxies in this work, this conclusion does not depend on specific selection criteria. For example, if instead we use sSFR $< 10^{-11}$ yr$^{-1}$ as in Fontanot et al. (2009) to define quiescent galaxies, we would have 53 quiescent galaxies among which 14 are inside the protocluster region. Therefore, our results remain nearly the same.}

A large fraction of massive quiescent galaxies in this protocluster strongly suggests that cluster galaxies formed earlier than those in the field and that we may be witnessing the environmental quenching that takes place in the early stage of cluster formation long before virialization. These quiescent galaxies may have experienced an accelerated mass assembly in the high-density protocluster environment. We will discuss the environmental impacts on the galaxy stellar mass functions and star formation activities in the next section to further reveal the possible differences of galaxies’ physical properties caused by the environments.

5. Discussion

5.1. Environmental Dependence on the Galaxy Stellar Mass Function

We have shown that this protocluster appears to host a higher fraction of massive quiescent galaxies than in the field. To further investigate the possible environmental trends in detail, we calculate the galaxy stellar mass function (GSMF) of the photo-$z$ galaxies in this section.

As our survey volume is not large enough, cosmic variance (CV) might be a severe issue that affects the uncertainty of the number count. We use a CV calculator (Trenti & Stiavelli 2008) to account for the CV, and the final error of each mass bin includes both Poisson noise and the corresponding CV. To calculate the volume, we use the comoving volume at redshift $z = 3.0 \sim 3.4$ with the corresponding survey area. We do not account for the mass incompleteness in determining the GSMF, and it will not affect our major conclusion since our purpose is to compare the protocluster galaxies with the field galaxies in the same survey.

Figure 6 shows the GSMF of all the photo-$z$ galaxies, including the protocluster and field galaxies. For comparison, we also plot the GSMFs from Caputi et al. (2011) and Davidzon et al. (2017) at the similar redshift range $3.0 < z < 3.5$ as our photo-$z$ galaxies.

Above the mass completeness limit, our total GSMF agrees relatively well with Caputi et al. (2011). While the GSMF of Davidzon et al. (2017) has a faster decline at the high-mass end, which was also noted in Davidzon et al. (2017) and was attributed to CV or the difference in the photo-$z$ calculation. If we compare galaxies within our own sample, the selection effects could largely be ignored and our analysis would be more robust. From Figure 6 we can see that protocluster galaxies appear to have a higher number density than the field both at the low-mass end ($\lesssim 10^{10.6} M_\odot$) and at the high-mass end ($\gtrsim 10^{11} M_\odot$). While at stellar mass between $10^{10.6}$ and $10^{11} M_\odot$, there is a sudden drop in the number of
protocluster galaxies, making them almost indistinguishable from the field. This decline in the number density of protocluster galaxies at the medium mass range might be attributed to the incompleteness of the quiescent galaxy population. In Section 4.2, we see that the protocluster region hosts a higher fraction of quiescent galaxies than the average field. Under this circumstance, we suspect that if quiescent galaxies are preferably located in the protocluster, many would not be detected in our study below the mass completeness limit of $10^{10.9}$ $M_\odot$ (Section 3), resulting in a “dip” in the medium mass range. If this is the case, it will imply an overall accelerated growth of the galaxy in the protocluster.

The above results suggest that we are witnessing an accelerated mass assembly in D4UD01. The fact that the protocluster hosts a higher fraction of quiescent galaxies also indicates a sign of environmental quenching. In addition, if the lack of medium mass galaxies in D4UD01 is due to the incompleteness of quiescent galaxies, the quiescent fraction will be even higher than that calculated in Section 4.2, making the quenching more efficient in D4UD01 than the field.

Similar trends have also been found in many other protocluster studies. For example, Lemaux et al. (2014, 2018) discovered two protoclusters at $z = 3.29$ and $z = 4.57$ in the VIsible Multi-Object Spectrograph (VIMOS) Ultra-Deep Survey using spectroscopic observations. They found that these protoclusters tend to have an excess of more red and massive galaxies relative to the coeval field. Recently, Ando et al. (2020) searched for protocluster cores using pairs of massive galaxies at $z \sim 2$ in the Cosmological Evolution Survey (COSMOS) field, finding that the core galaxies have a more top-heavy GSMF and a higher quiescent fraction than the field. In addition, Muldrew et al. (2018) investigated galaxy evolution in protoclusters using a semi-analytic model from the Millennium Simulation and found the star formation histories of protocluster and field galaxies are very different. They argued this is because protoclusters have a high abundance of massive dark matter halos with top-heavy halo mass functions, which result in an early formation of massive galaxies and rapid merging of low-mass satellite galaxies followed by swift quenching. These independent studies reinforce the notion that protocluster galaxies experience accelerated growth at high redshift and the cluster red sequence may already have been formed long before the final coalescence of the structure.

5.2. Environmental Impacts on Physical Properties of Galaxies

In this section, we compare the physical properties of galaxies in and out of the protocluster to further discern possible environmental dependence on galaxy properties.

In Figure 7, we show the photo-$z$ galaxies on the SFR–$M_{\text{star}}$ plane grouped by different environments. First, using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K-S) test, no significant differences ($p$-values $>0.1$) between the two groups in either the SFR or $M_{\text{star}}$ are found. It is possible that the large photo-$z$ uncertainty dilutes the signal of potential differences in galaxy properties. The lack of medium mass galaxies in the protocluster is likely due to the combination of selection effect and environmental quenching as discussed earlier, which results in a lower median mass than the field galaxies. On the other hand, we notice that the SFRs of protocluster galaxies appear to be skewed toward lower values than those of their field counterparts, as can be seen in the histogram, suggesting possible suppression of star formation activities. This is also consistent with our previous findings of a higher abundance of quiescent galaxies in this protocluster. Nevertheless, overall this trend is too weak to be recognized in the K-S test, and we tend to not give a definite conclusion here but leave it to future study when precise spectroscopic observations on this protocluster are available.

Many studies showed that the star formation activities are enhanced in dense protocluster environments (e.g., Koyama et al. 2013; Hayashi et al. 2016; Shimakawa et al. 2018; Ito et al. 2020; Shi et al. 2020). Although these findings shed light on the possible reversal of the “star formation–density” relation in some protoclusters, there are many other protoclusters where no such differences are seen. For example, Cucciati et al. (2014) studied a protocluster at $z = 2.9$ in the COSMOS field and analyzed a spectroscopic sample of galaxies within the protocluster. When comparing with a control sample in the field, they could not identify any significant physical differences between the two samples. Similarly, no enhancement of star formation has been found in two protoclusters at $z = 3.29$ and $z = 4.57$ in the VIMOS Ultra-Deep Survey (Lemaux et al. 2014, 2018). In addition, Shi et al. (2019a) analyzed a protocluster at $z = 3.78$ using the similar photo-$z$ technique as in this work and found no significant environmental impacts on star formation activities.

Although these different results sometimes appear to be contradictory, we argue this could be likely due to the different evolutionary stages and dynamical states these protoclusters are undergoing. For those protoclusters where enhancement of star formation activities have been found, they could be experiencing an early mass assembly and accelerated structure formation (Steidel et al. 2005), resulting in the enhancement of star formation we observed. While for those protoclusters where no such trends are spotted, they may have already passed...
the peak era of their star formation or still in the early phase of formation before the emergence of any environmental effects (Toshikawa et al. 2014). In this context, the differences observed in different protoclusters could originate from the so-called “halo assembly bias,” in the sense that the properties of galaxies depend not only on the mass of the halo they reside in, but also on the halo formation time (e.g., Gao et al. 2005; Wechsler et al. 2006; Li et al. 2008; Zentner et al. 2014). It is noteworthy that Shi et al. (2019b) and Shi et al. (2020) conducted a detailed study of a massive protocluster at z = 3.13, finding the protocluster consists of two disjoint structures where one contains mostly low-mass star-forming galaxies, while the other hosts a large fraction of massive quiescent and/or dusty galaxies. They also found that the former has a more enhanced star formation activity than the latter, while the latter is more similar to the field. Overall, these studies suggest that D4UD01 may be a more evolved structure that has already passed the peak of its star formation era and therefore we do not find any enhancement of star formation but an excess of massive quiescent galaxies within.

So far, we have not considered how galaxies’ dust content may change with the environment. Many studies have suggested that distant protoclusters often host extremely dusty star-forming galaxies such as submillimeter galaxies (SMGs) (e.g., Casey 2016; Miller et al. 2018; Umehata et al. 2018; Cheng et al. 2019). These SMGs usually have extremely high SFRs (>1000 M☉ yr⁻¹) and are generally invisible in rest-frame UV–NIR wavelengths due to heavy dust obscuration. If these dusty star-forming galaxies exist in our protocluster, they would be totally missed in our selection. Future submillimeter observations of D4UD01 may give us further insight into how massive galaxies have formed in this protocluster.

6. Summary and Conclusion

In this paper, with the help of multiwavelength data, we study a protocluster D4UD01 at z = 3.24 by identifying its member galaxies using SED fitting and photometric redshift. In the 497 arcmin² field, which hosts the protocluster, 450 Ks band detected candidate galaxies at 3.0 < zphot < 3.4 are selected as the photo-z sample, reaching a mass completeness of 10¹⁰.⁸ M☉. We investigate their distributions in the field and probe possible environmental trends in the protocluster. Our main conclusions are summarized below.

1. Using the D4000 index, 52 members are classified as quiescent galaxies in the photo-z sample. Among these galaxies, 80% have a mass greater than 10¹¹ M☉ and 94% have colors consistent with those of DRGs. Therefore, these galaxies are among the most massive and reddest ones in the entire sample.

2. A large galaxy overdensity is found in the field via Voronoi tessellation, which contains 96 sources. Being the largest overdensity in the entire field, we define this overdensity as the protocluster region. Interestingly, we find that the quiescent galaxies are mostly concentrated in the protocluster region with a higher quiescent fraction, suggesting a potential environmental quenching effect is taking place in this protocluster.

3. The mass function of protocluster galaxies shows an enhancement in comparison to the field, suggesting an accelerated mass assembly in the protocluster. When further studying the environmental impacts on galaxy properties, a weak signal of suppressed star formation activities is found in the protocluster compared with the field, but the differences are not significant enough to be conclusive. It is argued that D4UD01 is a more evolved structure that already passed its peak star formation era, than those younger protoclusters where enhanced star formation activities were found.
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