Duodenal gastrointestinal stromal tumors: clinicopathological characteristics, surgery, and long-term outcome
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Abstract

Background: Duodenal gastrointestinal stromal tumors (DGIST) are rare, and data on their management is limited. We here report the clinicopathological characteristics, different surgical treatments, and long-term prognosis of DGIST.

Methods: Data of 74 consecutive patients with DGIST in a single institution from June 2000 to June 2014 were retrospectively analyzed. The overall survival (OS) and recurrence/metastasis-free survival rates of 74 cases were calculated using Kaplan–Meier method.

Results: Out of 74 cases, 42 cases were female (56.76 %) and 32 cases (43.24 %) were male. Approximately 22.97, 47.30, 16.22, and 13.51 % of the tumors originated in the first to fourth portion of the duodenum, respectively, with a tumor size of 5.08 ± 2.90 cm. Patients presented with gastrointestinal bleeding (n = 37, 50.00 %), abdominal pain (n = 25, 33.78 %), mass (n = 5, 6.76 %), and others (n = 7, 9.76 %). A total of 18 patients (24.3 %) underwent wedge resection (WR); 39 patients (52.7 %) underwent segmental resection (SR); and 17 cases (23 %) underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD). The median follow-up was 56 months (1–159 months); 19 patients (25.68 %) experienced tumor recurrence or metastasis, and 14 cases (18.92 %) died. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year recurrence/metastasis-free survival rates were 93.9, 73.7, and 69 %, respectively. The 1-, 3- and 5-year OS were 100, 92.5, and 86 %, respectively. The recurrence/metastasis-free survival rate in the PD group within 5 years was lower than that in the WR group (P = 0.047), but was not different from that in the SR group (P = 0.060). No statistically significant difference was found among the three operation types (P = 0.294).

Conclusions: DGIST patients have favorable prognosis after complete tumor removal, and surgical procedures should be determined by the DGIST tumor location and size.
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this difference is also one of the independent risk factors for tumor recurrence [9, 10]. To date, surgery with histologically negative margins is mainstream treatment for primary resectable GIST. However, surgical operations for DGIST are often difficult because of anatomical and physiological specificities (the proximity of the head of pancreas, common bile duct, ampullary part, kidney, and mesenteric vessels). There is no consensus on the optimal operation procedures for DGIST at present [11, 12]. Operations vary from a mini-invasive approach to a pancreaticoduodenectomy, which are mainly determined by tumor location and size. Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) is considered a safe operation with low mortality; however, PD is highly complicated, and serious immediate and long-term complications occur to some patients [13]. Theoretically, wedge resection (WR) and segmental resection (SR) are simple and feasible. And the main concern on WR or SR is increased risk of tumor recurrence because of incomplete resection [7].

Currently, numerous studies involving DGIST have been published, but most of these studies have small samples or are retrospective case series [6, 14–18]. In the present study, we aimed to evaluate clinical and pathological characteristics, operation curative effects, and long-term prognosis of DGIST patients from a single medical institution.

Methods
Patient selection
Medical records of DGIST patients admitted in the Department of General Surgery of West China Hospital of Sichuan University from June 2000 to June 2014 were retrospectively analyzed. Inclusion criteria are as follows: (1) Patients who underwent laparotomy; (2) Patients with DGIST, as proven by pathological, immunohistochemical, and gene mutation detection examinations (spindle cells are observed under microscope; and CD117+ was analyzed through immunohistochemistry or detected through KIT/ PDGFRA gene mutation, confirmed by senior pathologists); (3) The tumor was located in the duodenum, as confirmed by preoperative abdominal CT scan, ultrasound endoscopy, upper gastrointestinal barium swallow radioscopy, and operation; (4) Patients with GIST synchronous with other malignancies were excluded in this study. A total of 74 DGIST patients were included and examined in this study. The Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of the West China Hospital of Sichuan University informed that an ethical review was not needed for this retrospective study.

Surgery and medication treatment
All patients underwent laparotomy with general anesthesia, and surgical procedures were performed according to intraoperative exploratory results. Surgical procedures were considered to achieve R0 resection as much as possible. Frozen slices of incisal margin and surgical specimen were routinely collected during the surgery. Surgeries included WR (without duodenal transection or anastomosis, local resection with pure closure), SR (duodenal transaction with reconstruction by Roux-en-Y duodenojejunostomy, end-to-end duodenoduodenostomy, or gastrojejunostomy), and PD (operation with pancreaticogastrostomy or pancreaticojejunostomy). WR with primary closure was mainly performed for small or abaluminal lesions. Tumor risk categories were evaluated according to the modified National Institutes of Health (NIH) risk classification [19]. The patients with intermediate- and high-risk were recommended to take imatinib mesylate (IM) as adjuvant therapy. The recommended IM dosage was 400 mg/d. One patient who received preoperative IM therapy underwent endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration to confirm the diagnosis, according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline [20]. All patients signed informed consents and voluntarily accepted the treatment.

Data collection and follow-up
Data on clinical symptoms, gender, age, hospital stay, surgical procedures (WR, SR, and PD), operation complications (including postoperative abdominal or wound infection, anastomotic fistula, and gastric emptying, etc.), emergency admission, tumor size (maximal tumor diameter, cm), tumor location (first, second, third, and fourth duodenum portion), NIH risk classification, mitotic count per 50 high power fields (HPF) of the microscope, medication before and after surgery (dosage and duration), tumor recurrence/metastasis time, and postoperative follow-up information were collected. All patients were followed up by office visit, telephone call, or outpatient clinic visit after being discharged from the hospital (once every 2–3 months in the first half of the year and then once every 6–12 months a year later). The censor date of the follow-up was July 2014.

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables were described in terms of frequency and percentages. The measurement data were expressed as mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the clinicopathological characteristics of the three surgical groups. Chi-square test was used to compare the clinicopathological characteristics of the three surgical groups. Wilcoxon test was used to test rank the data. The recurrence/metastasis-free survival rate was measured from operation to tumor recurrence or metastasis (based on radiological findings or proven by biopsy). Overall survival (OS) time includes the period from surgery to death or until the last follow-up. Survival curves were performed using Kaplan–Meier method and compared using log-rank test. Statistical significance was defined as a two-tailed P < 0.05. All data analyses were performed using
SPSS version 18.0 statistical software package for Microsoft Windows.

Results
Patient characteristics
Table 1 shows the clinicopathological characteristics of the patients. One patient was diagnosed as DGIST preoperatively and had undergone complete tumor resection. However, liver metastasis had occurred at 44 months postoperatively (Fig. 1a–c). Two patients had distant metastasis at the time of diagnosis (a patient with left hepatic metastasis underwent complete resection; no recurrence or metastasis occurred after 25 months of follow-up; another patient had extensive abdominal metastasis and died at 26 months postoperatively). A total of 16 patients received tyrosine kinase inhibitor as adjuvant therapy and were treated for a median time of 28 months (1–52 months). Among them, 1 patient took SUTENT (sunitinib malate, because of intolerance of IM therapy) at 50 mg/d, and 15 patients took imatinib mesylate at 400 mg/day; 6 patients had mild eyelid edema, and 1 patient had mild abnormal liver function. However, these patients did not stop the medication. One patient underwent surgery with complete tumor resection after treatment with IM. However, liver metastasis occurred at 44 months postoperatively. The remaining cases refused to undergo adjuvant therapy mainly due to economic reasons.

Surgery and postoperative complications
Table 2 summarizes the clinicopathological characteristics of the three types of surgical procedures. Out of the 74 DGIST patients, 18 (24.3 %), 39 (52.7 %), and 17 underwent WR, SR, and PD, respectively. A total of 73 patients obtained R0 resection, and 1 patient in the SR group underwent palliative operation due to extensive abdominal metastasis. Of note, the tumor size in the PD group patients was larger than those in the WR (P = 0.005) and SR groups (P = 0.011). PDs were mainly chosen for patients with ampullary involvement or close proximity of the tumor to the second segment of the duodenum, whereas those with lesions in the other portion of the duodenum were treated with WR or SR. The hospital stay of patients in the PD group was longer than those in the WR group (P = 0.011), but was not significantly different from those in the SR group (P = 0.194). No statistically significant difference was noted regarding to gender, age, clinical manifestation, mitotic count (50 HPF), and NIH risk classification among the three groups (P > 0.05). In addition, 5 patients in the SR and PD groups underwent multivisceral resection respectively; 8 patients underwent cholecystectomy; 1 patient underwent hepatic left lateral lobectomy; and 1 patient had combined right kidney and colon resection. Four patients (23.5 %) experienced postoperative complications in the PD group, such as wound infection (n = 2), delayed gastric emptying (n = 1), and anastomotic fistula (n = 1). In addition, 1 patient from the SR group had intraperitoneal infection, whereas 1 patient in the WR group had intestinal obstruction. The serious complications of reoperation and surgery-related death were not observed among the 3 groups. A total of 3 (16.7 %), 8 (20.5 %), and 4 patients

| Variables                                      | No. of patients | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Gender                                        |                 |                |
| Male                                          | 32              | 43.24          |
| Female                                        | 42              | 56.76          |
| Age (years)                                   | 54.36 ± 13.13   | -              |
| Hospital stay (days)                          | 19.47 ± 10.19   | -              |
| Clinical presentation                         |                 |                |
| Gastrointestinal hemorrhage                   | 37              | 50.00          |
| Abdominal pain                                | 25              | 33.78          |
| Palpable mass                                 | 5               | 6.76           |
| others*                                       | 7               | 9.46           |
| Tumor location (portion)                      |                 |                |
| First                                         | 17              | 22.97          |
| Second                                        | 35              | 47.30          |
| Third                                         | 12              | 16.22          |
| Forth                                         | 10              | 13.51          |
| Tumor size (cm)                               | 5.08 ± 2.90     | -              |
| No. of mitosis                                |                 |                |
| ≤5/50 HPF                                     | 41              | 55.41          |
| 6–10/50 HPF                                   | 27              | 36.49          |
| >10/50 HPF                                    | 6               | 8.10           |
| Modified NIH risk classification              |                 |                |
| Low                                           | 32              | 43.24          |
| Intermediate                                  | 8               | 10.81          |
| High                                          | 34              | 45.96          |
| Mutational analysis                           |                 |                |
| KIT exon 11                                   | 9               | 12.16          |
| KIT exon 9                                    | 3               | 4.05           |
| NA                                            | 62              | 83.78          |
| Preoperative imatinib therapy                 | 1               | 1.35           |
| Postoperative adjuvant therapy                | 16              | 21.62          |
| Patients with emergency visit                 | 5               | 6.76           |
| Patients with metastases at diagnosis         | 2               | 2.70           |
| Tumor recurrence or metastasis                | 19              | 25.68          |
| Death case                                    | 14              | 18.92          |

GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumors; *others include jaundice, incidentally found, abdominal distension, et al.; HPF, High power field; NIH, National Institutes of Health; NA, not available
(23.5%) in the WR, SR, and PD groups, respectively, underwent perioperative blood transfusions.

**Overall and recurrence/metastasis-free survival**

With a median follow-up of 56 months (1–159 months), 7 cases were lost to follow-up. A total of 19 patients (25.68%) had tumor recurrence or metastasis and 1 patient experienced liver and multiple bone metastases (Fig. 1d–f). Fourteen patients (18.92%) died. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year recurrence/metastasis-free survival rates were 93.9, 73.7, and 69%, respectively. The 1-, 3- and 5-year OS were 100, 92.5, and 86%, respectively. The recurrence/metastasis-free survival of the PD group within 5 years was lower than that of the WR group \((P = 0.047)\) but was not significantly different compared with that of the SR group \((P = 0.060, \text{as shown in Fig. 2})\). Moreover, the median recurrence/metastasis-free survival in the PD group (22 months) was shorter than that in the SR group (35 months). However, this difference was not statistically significant \((P = 0.064)\). Notably, the OS among patients with tumor size of <5 cm was higher than those with tumor size of \(\geq 5\) cm \((P < 0.001)\). The 5-year recurrence/metastasis-free survival rate of patients with mitosis count of \(\leq 5\), 6–10, and >10 were 88.5%, 56.7% \((P = 0.012)\), and 33.3% \((P = 0.002\) for mitotic count \(\leq 5\), \(P = 0.346\) for mitotic count 6–10), respectively. Patients with low-, intermediate-, and high-risk, the 5-year recurrence/metastasis-free survival rates were 94.7%, 57.1% \((P = 0.025)\), and 53.1% \((P = 0.001\) for low risk and \(P = 0.364\) for intermediate risk), respectively. Patients with intermediate- or high-risk who took IM adjuvant therapy revealed a trend of higher recurrence/metastasis-free survival than that of patients without taking IM \((P = 0.326)\).

**Discussion**

GIST has been misdiagnosed as smooth muscle or neurogenic tumor for decades because of the insufficient understanding of the GIST concept. However, GIST has been confirmed as an independently oriented differentiated mesenchymal tumor of the digestive tract along with the rapid development of immunohistochemical and molecular biological technologies. To date, most preliminary studies on DGIST are case reports or small sample studies, and only a limited number of studies have reported the operation and long-term prognosis of DGIST [14, 21, 22]. In the present study, the clinicopathological features and long-term prognosis of 74 DGIST patients from a single institution were retrospectively analyzed. This research is important because aside from summarizing the disease's clinical presentation, the long-term prognosis of the different surgical procedures, and OS and recurrence/metastasis-free survival rate were explored as well.

The clinical presentations of DGIST were non-specific, which were related with the tumor size, growth location, and ulcer in the mucous layer. Alimentary tract hemorrhage is the main clinical manifestation of DGIST, as previously reported in literature [23]. DGIST is mainly located in the duodenum muscle layer and may move inward to the submucosa and lamina propria, leading to mucosal ulceration and hemorrhage [24]. Similar to two recent reports [25, 26], the tumors were mainly located in the second portion of the duodenum (47.30%), followed by the first portion (22.97%). Furthermore, we have found that the PD ratio in the second portion of the duodenum was higher than that in other portions (76.5%). The
tumor around this site was often located in the inner or posteromedial side of the second portion of DGIST, and the ampulla of Vater and pancreatic head were involved. Our data showed that the low mitotic count proportion (≤ 5/50 HPF) in DGIST patients was higher (55.41 %) than patients with GIST in the stomach and small intestine; this result is similar with previous reports [12, 23, 26]. The tumor (4–5 cm) of DGIST patients at diagnosis was smaller than tumors in the stomach or other sites [23, 27]. The tumor size was 5.08 ± 2.90 cm in this cohort, which was agreement with their reports.

Currently, surgery is the only potential curative treatment of GIST if R0 is performed [7]. But surgical resection is difficult, and digestive tract reconstruction is not easy for DGIST. Generally, the choice of surgical approach depends on the tumor site, size, and invasion degree into adjacent organs. In this cohort, a total of 10 patients underwent combined organ resection, and all of them obtained R0 resection. Patients who had PD were more likely to experience a higher risk of postoperative complications. The present study also confirmed this finding (PD, SR, WR: 23.5, 2.6, 5.6 %). Patients with larger tumors or lesions located in the second portion of the duodenum, the probability of undergoing PD increased. Notably, no surgery-related death was noted in the three surgical procedures. Thus, we assumed that these three procedures for DGIST were safe and reliable. However, there were still disagreements over the optimal surgical procedures for DGIST [12, 23, 24]. Furthermore, WR or SR can also be safely performed by means of laparoscopic and robotic approaches for DGIST [28, 29].

GIST seldom occurs in lymph node metastasis or peritoneal metastasis; therefore, extensive lymphadenectomy was unnecessary. In this study, only one patient had distant multiple bone metastases.

In the current series, the 5-year OS and recurrence/metastasis-free survival rates were 86 and 69 %, respectively, which were similar to the results of other reports [23, 25]. By contrast, the 5-year disease-free survival rate of small intestine GIST in other parts was lower (about 40 %) compared with DGIST patients [30]. A favorable prognosis of DGIST patients may be related with the early clinical symptoms and small diameter tumor. We found

| Table 2 Main clinical characteristics and surgical information in three surgical procedures for duodenal GIST |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables                                                      |
|                                                               |
| WR (n = 18)                                                   |
| SR (n = 39)                                                   |
| PD (n = 17)                                                   |
| Gender                                                        |
| Male (%)                                                      |
| Female (%)                                                    |
| Age (years)                                                   |
| Hospital stay (days)                                          |
| Clinical presentation                                         |
| Gastrointestinal hemorrhage (%)                               |
| Abdominal pain (%)                                            |
| Mass (%)                                                      |
| Others (%):                                                   |
| Tumor location (portion)                                      |
| First (%)                                                     |
| Second (%)                                                    |
| Third (%)                                                     |
| Forth (%)                                                     |
| Tumor size (cm)                                               |
| No. of mitosis                                                |
| ≤5/50 HPF (%)                                                 |
| 6–10/50 HPF (%)                                               |
| >10/50 HPF (%)                                                |
| Modified NIH risk classification                              |
| Low (%)                                                       |
| Intermediate (%)                                              |
| High (%)                                                      |
| Mutational analysis                                           |
| KIT exon 11 (%)                                               |
| KIT exon 9 (%)                                                |
| NA (%)                                                       |
| Margins status                                                |
| R0 (%)                                                       |
| R1 (%)                                                       |
| Postoperative complication                                   |
| Wound infection (%)                                           |
| Intra-abdominal infection (%)                                 |
| Delayed gastric emptying (%)                                  |
| Intestinal obstruction (%)                                    |
| Death related surgery (%)                                     |
| Anastomotic fistula (%)                                       |
| Multivisceral resection (%)                                   |
| Perioperative blood transfusion (%)                           |
| Tumor recurrence or metastasis (%)                           |
| GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumors; WR, wedge resection; SR, segmental resection (SR); PD, pancreaticoduodenectomy; others include jaundice, incidentally found, abdominal distension, et al; HPF, High power field; NIH, National Institutes of Health; NA, not available |

Shen et al. BMC Surgery (2015) 15:98 Page 5 of 7
that the type of surgical procedure can affect outcomes of DGIST patients to a certain extent, and this finding is consistent with the results of Colombo et al. [25]. However, Johnston et al. showed that the DGIST recurrence depends on tumor biology, rather than the operation type or microscopic margins [26]. This phenomenon could be attributed to the fact that the tumor size in the PD group was often larger than that of the other two groups in this study. It is well known that tumor size was one of most important prognostic indicator for GIST.

Nowadays, IM played a key role in the management of GIST when used as adjuvant therapy. The intermediate-risk subgroup of GIST Patients should take IM at least 1 year, while patients with high risk should last for at least 3 years postoperatively [31, 32]. In this study, a total of 16 patients with intermediate- or high-risk underwent adjuvant therapy by using tyrosine kinase inhibitors with a median time of 28 months (1–52 months). We have observed that the recurrence/metastasis-free survival rate of patients with intermediate- or high-risk who underwent postoperative adjuvant therapy was higher than that of patients who did not undergo adjuvant therapy. But no significant difference was noted, thus preventing us from drawing any conclusions. This finding could be attributed to the fact that small number of patients underwent adjuvant therapy and short medication duration. The possibility of complete resection can be evaluated by auxiliary examination preoperatively. Preoperative IM treatment could reduce the proportion of multi-organ resection, downstage giant tumors and increase the opportunity of R0 resection [33, 34]. In this study, one patient underwent preoperative IM treatment and obtained complete resection, thus avoiding combined organ resection and PD.

**Conclusion**

In sum, patients with DGIST have a favorable prognosis after complete resection. The 5-year recurrence/metastasis-free survival and OS rates of DGIST were 69 and 86%, respectively. The treatment of choice for DGIST should be selected according to the DGIST tumor site and size. This study showed that the PD group has a higher complication rate than the WD group, and patients of the former group

---

**Figure 2** Recurrence/metastasis-free survival of 74 duodenal GIST patients. a: stratified by surgical procedures (WR, SR vs. PD). b: stratified by tumor size (<5 cm vs. ≥5 cm). c: stratified by mitotic count (≤5, 6–10 vs. >10). d: stratified by NIH risk classification (low, intermediate vs. high).
experienced prolonged hospitalization. In addition, the recurrence/metastasis-free survival rate within 5 years was lower than that of the WR group, but had no significant difference with the SR group.
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