Comparative Studies on Different Methods of Estimation of Electrical Conductivity in Groundnut
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ABSTRACT

Groundnut kernels are highly sensitive to seed deterioration mainly due to their chemical composition and moisture content. During the seed deterioration membrane degradation precedes loss of seed vigour and germination. Membrane degradation is measured by the electrical conductivity of seed leachate. The test is a simple, fast, inexpensive and non-subjective method to assess seed physiological potential and can be used to predict the field emergence. The electrical conductivity of seeds which is an indicative of seed vigour level is normally conducted by soaking 25 number of seeds in 50 ml of water in groundnut. However, all the 25 seeds may not have the same size and weight which may not give concurrent value when replicated. Hence, to get constant value, different methods viz., weight/volume, volume/volume and number/volume of seeds and water were followed in the estimation of electrical conductivity in groundnut var. TMV 13. The results revealed that soaking the seeds by weight/volume method at 1:4 (10 g seeds soaked in 40 ml distilled water) soaked at 25°C for 12 hours gave constant electrical conductivity value than the other two methods.
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Introduction

Availability of quality seed is one of the major constraints for increasing the productivity of groundnut. Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an important legume oilseed crop in about 100 countries in the sub-tropical and tropical regions. The high oil content in groundnut seeds (45 - 55 %) makes it more perishable and prone to rapid quality deterioration and loss in viability (Perez and Arguello, 1995).

Membrane integrity degradation is one of the primary causes for seed viability deterioration. The electrical conductivity test evaluates the degree of structure of the cell membranes due to the deterioration of the seeds, by determining the amount of leached ions in imbibition solution.

Seeds with lower physiological potential release higher amounts of leachate, as a consequence of the lower structuring and selectivity of the membranes (Vieira and Krzyzanowski, 1999). But, high vigour seeds re-establish the membranes at the faster rate with subsequent less leakage.
The first vigour test included in the International Rules for Seed Testing of ISTA was the electrical conductivity test for garden peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) in 2001. Currently, ISTA Rules (ISTA, 2014) recommend this test for garden peas, field beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and soybeans [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. The electrical conductivity vigour test is a simple, fast, inexpensive and non-subjective method to assess seed physiological potential and can be used to predict the field emergence. The conductivity test provides a measurement of electrolyte leakage from plant tissues and was first recognized by Hibbard and Miller (1928). It was later developed into a routine vigour test to predict field emergence of garden pea (Matthews and Bradnock 1967).

Farmers and seedsmen require the information to quickly determine the expected rapidity and uniformity of seedling emergence when purchasing seeds. Because they are aware that loss in vigour precedes loss in viability. Electrical conductivity test is a promising vigor test since, it produces fast results (<24 h). These tests are not affected by seed dormancy, do not require sophisticated equipment or highly skilled personnel and they could be used to shorten the decision period in the seed industry management (Silva *et al.*, 2013). More factors are affecting electrical conductivity test *viz.*, seed size (Tao, 1978; Deswal and Sheoran, 1993), soaking temperature (Murphy and Noland, 1982), soaking period (Loeffler *et al.*, 1988; Schmidt and Tracy, 1989), initial water content (Tao, 1978; Loeffler *et al.*, 1988; Vieira *et al.*, 2002), and the presence of physical damaged seeds (Tao, 1978; Duke and Kakefuda, 1981). However, all these factors can be controlled to minimize their effects. Besides, there is another group of factors which cannot be easily controlled, such as the effect of genotype (Short and Lacy, 1976; Panobianco and Vieira, 1996), seed developmental stage at harvesting (Styer and Cantliffe, 1983; Powell, 1986) and storage conditions (Ferguson, 1988; Vieira *et al.*, 2001).

With this background, the present investigations were carried out to determine the accurate method for the estimation of electrical conductivity in groundnut seeds.

**Materials and Methods**

The laboratory experiment was conducted at Department of Seed Science and Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2016 – 2017. The freshly harvested pods of groundnut var. TMV 13 were collected from Villupuram. The collected samples were hand sorted and cleaned thoroughly.

Before conducting the experiment, the seed moisture content was determined by low constant temperature method (ISTA, 2010) on three replicates of five gram seeds each held at 103 ± 2°C temperature for 16 ±1 h and it had been uniform around 10 %. The kernels had low moisture content and hence for increasing the seed moisture content, the kernels were added with specified quantity of water using the formula by Gwinner *et al.*, 1996.

The electrical conductivity of distilled water had been less than 5 μS cm⁻¹ and was used for the experiment. The water should be stored 24 hours at 25°C before conducting the test. In this study, different soaking methods with different seed to water ratio *viz.*, weight/volume method (1:4, 1:5 and 1:6), volume/volume method (1:2, 1:3 and 1:4) and number/volume method (25:50, 25:75 and 25:100) along with control (water without seed sample) at the constant temperature of 25°C were conducted. To standardize the soaking duration, the electrical conductivity at
one hour interval upto approximate constant electrical conductivity value was obtained from the leakage.

The electrical conductivity of kernel leachate was measured using Hanna EC 215 multi-range bench top conductivity meter. The conductivity of the control was measured and the mean value is subtracted from the readings for the kernel samples. The electrical conductivity of the kernel leachate was expressed as µS cm⁻¹ g⁻¹.

The experiment was conducted in factorial completely randomized block design and replicated thrice. The data obtained from each of the experiments were subjected to an analysis of variance and treatment differences tested for significance (P= 0.05) as per the methodology described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

**Results and Discussion**

Studies with peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) (Vanzolini and Nakagawa, 1999b), soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merril) (Vieira et al., 2002), corn (*Zea mays* L.) (Fessel et al., 2006) and cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) Dutra et al., 2006) seeds have shown that the electrical conductivity test is suitable for evaluating a seed lot’s vigour. Membrane disruption is one of the primary reasons attributed to seed deterioration. Electrical conductivity test measures the amount of electrolytes released by the seeds during soaking, which is related to the integrity of cell membranes (Mathews and Powell, 1981). The seeds with lower viability leave a greater amount of electrolytes as a consequence of lower cellular membrane stability (Vieira et al., 1999). The factors affecting the electrical conductivity are genotype, seed integrity, size and moisture content as well as soaking period and temperature (Carvalho et al., 2009).

The uniformity of the water content of the seeds is essential for the standardization of the electrical conductivity test (Marcos Filho et al., 1987). In the present study, before conducting the test the seed moisture content should be uniform around 10 % in order to reduce the effect of moisture content for electrical conductivity test. Barbosa et al., 2012 revealed that the groundnut seeds moisture content between 10% and 14% before conducting the electrical conductivity test is suitable for electrical conductivity test.

The temperature during soaking may influence the electrical conductivity test. To obtain reproducible results, the temperature should be kept constant during the whole test, and from test to test, as conductivity increases with increasing temperature. However, the temperature of 25 ºC is fairly promising for conduction of this test, since it is closest to the environmental conditions found in majority of the seed testing laboratories.

![Fig.1 Standardization of soaking duration and seed to water ratio for electrical conductivity (µS cm⁻¹ g⁻¹) test in Groundnut var. TMV 13 by weight/volume method](image-url)
Fig. 2 Standardization of soaking duration and seed to water ratio for electrical conductivity (µS cm\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)) test in Groundnut var. TMV 13 by volume/volume method

![Graph showing soaking duration and seed to water ratio](image1)

Fig. 3 Standardization of soaking duration and seed to water ratio for electrical conductivity (µS cm\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)) test in Groundnut var. TMV 13 by number/volume method

![Graph showing soaking duration and seed to water ratio](image2)

In the present study, weight/volume method gave constant electrical conductivity value compared to other two methods. The electrical conductivity of seeds which is an indicative of seed vigour level is normally conducted by soaking 25 numbers of seeds in 50 ml of water in groundnut. However, all the 25 seeds may not have the same size and weight which may not give concurrent value when replicated. Prete (1992) obtained high electrical conductivity values for seeds from the smallest sieve compared to large sieve due to either a more advanced deterioration stage or a greater degree of immaturity in this size class. Tao (1978) and Loeffler et al., 1988 reported that the electrical conductivity results be expressed on a weight basis (µS cm\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)), in order to reduce the effect of seed size for electrical conductivity test in soybean seeds. In the present study, the number/volume method did not obtain approximate constant electrical conductivity value upto 14 hours after soaking due to different seed weight.

In the present study, weight/volume method with 1:4 seed to water ratio and volume/volume method with 1:2 seed to water ratio showed approximate constant electrical conductivity value after 12 hours of soaking. In pea and soybean seeds, the electrical conductivity test has been conducted with seed soaking in water for 24 hours, as it is a suitable period for the routine of seed analysis laboratories (Dias and Marcos Filho, 1996).
(Figs. 1–3). However, several studies have indicated the possibility of using shorter periods for bean and pod seeds (Dias et al., 1998), peanut (Vanzolini and Nakagawa, 2005) and zucchini seeds (Dutra and Vieira, 2006). The possibility of reducing this period is advantageous for the seed industry, since it facilitates faster decision making regarding the management of lots. In addition to the soaking period, Vieira and Krzyzanowski (1999) stated that the volume of water may affect the test results. Dutra and Vieira (2006) observed a reduction of the electrical conductivity values obtained with 75ml of water compared to those obtained with 50ml, which is related to the leaching effect.

It could be concluded that among the different seed to water ratio methods, weight/volume method at 1:4 (10 g seeds soaked in 40 ml distilled water) soaked at 25°C for 12 hours is an accurate method for the estimation of electrical conductivity in groundnut var. TMV 13.
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