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Abstract

The degree of persistence in daily PM2.5 and O3 in the ten most populated US cities, namely New York, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, Phoenix, Philadelphia, San Antonio, San Diego, Dallas and San Jose is examined in this work. We employ a methodology based on fractional integration, using the order of integration as a measure of the degree of persistence. Using data for the time period from January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2020, our results indicate that fractional integration and long memory features are both present in all the examined cases, with the integration order of the series being constrained in the (0, 1) interval. Based on this, the estimation of the coefficients for the time trend produces results which are substantially different from those obtained under the I(0) assumption.

1. Introduction

Poor air quality can affect human health. We find suspended particles (PM2.5) and Ozone (O3) to be among the most harmful pollutants for health. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is increasingly concerned and is monitoring the increase of particulate matter or PM2.5 due to the confirmation of the risks they pose to health (EPA, 2002; Bell et al., 2007; Nowak et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2016; etc.). They can penetrate into the lungs, causing inflammation and a worsening of symptoms in patients with heart and lung disease. Moreover, they can carry carcinogenic compounds that could be adsorbed on the surface of the lungs. Likewise, Ozone, O3, irritates the airways of the lungs, increasing the symptoms of asthma and lung diseases. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, American Lung Association and other studies, Ozone is one of the most dangerous and least controlled pollutants in the U.S (Levy et al., 2005; Bell et al., 2015; Ito et al., 2005; Villeneuve et al., 2007; Mar and Koenig, 2009; EPA, 2013; etc.). The concern about the impact of both pollutants is confirmed by other recent studies in which they are analyzed together (Wang et al., 2019; Arter and Arunachalam, 2019). In this sense, the importance of investigating the dynamics of air pollution is clear in order to develop adequate models for prediction purposes and as a consequence to be able to design policies to manage air quality. 1

Based on the above, the present work investigates the properties of the time series corresponding to daily data of PM2.5 and O3 on the ten most populated cities in the US, investigating its evolution across time. However, instead of using traditional methods which are based on a “good” I(0) behavior of the error term, we consider the possibility of long range dependence (or logn memory), which is a feature very often observed in environmental and climatological data.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a short description of the atmospheric pollution and Section 3 features a literature review on the topic. The model and the methodology used in the paper are given in Section 4. Data and the empirical results are displayed in Section 5. Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. Atmospheric pollution

Suspended particles are a pollutant made up of liquid and solid material of very diverse composition and size, which are found in the air. Particles are a mixture of many kinds of pollutants, the product of a large number of natural and anthropogenic processes. The risk they constitute to health may be associated with their multiple physical and chemical characteristics, such as their number, size, shape, chemical composition and concentration. The origin of this pollutant includes combustion...
processes in vehicles, mainly those that use diesel, foundry industries, paints, ceramics and power plants. Erosion, dust pits, and forest fires are also a natural source of airborne particles (Mujica-Alvarez and Figueroa-Lar, 1996).

In recent years, greater attention has been paid to particles with a size smaller than one μm in diameter, which are known as the ultrafine fraction and to which a greater potential for damage seems to be attributed (EPA 2002; Lippmann, 1989; Rojas-Bracho and Garibay-Bravo, 2003).

Suspended particles have been associated with effects on the health of the population for more than fifty years, with the historical episodes of pollution that occurred in the Meuse Valley in Belgium, London and Donora in Pennsylvania, among others. These impacts have been corroborated with recent studies in more than 150 cities, with very diverse levels of pollution, even some below the air quality standards in force in different parts of the world. It is also known that there are population groups that can be especially sensitive to the adverse effects of particles, such as children, the elderly and people with chronic lung diseases and heart disease. The weight of scientific evidence from toxicological and epidemiological studies carried out in recent years suggests that the fine fraction, from high-temperature combustion processes, may be the most relevant in terms of adverse effects on health and possibly also ultrafine (which may contain sulfates, nitrates, strong acids, etc.) since by penetrating to the lower respiratory tract and reaching the alveoli, they can trigger inflammatory reactions.

It should be mentioned that there is also evidence on the possible effect on health of particles of geological origin and/or the coarse fraction, which could be of a similar magnitude to that reported for the fine fraction (Ostro et al., 1999; Castillejos et al., 2000). Recent studies carried out in cities in Europe, the United States and the rest of America report the possible health effects of suspended particles, such as increases in mortality rates and cytotoxic and genotoxic effects. At the same time, the World Health Organization (WHO) in its publication of the “Guide Values” for health protection, indicates the preference for the use of PM$_{2.5}$ as an indicator value of air quality in large cities compared to values based on the atmospheric concentrations of PM$_{10}$. The main argument in favor of the use of this new indicator is based on the fact that the current values of PM$_{10}$ can be greatly influenced because in some areas there is less precipitation and ventilation than in other parts, conditioning thus the results obtained.

Another major air pollutant is ozone (O$_3$). It is a gas molecule made up of three atoms of oxygen. Ozone pollution forms in the atmosphere when gases from exhaust pipes, smokestacks, gas, oil extraction and other sources react in the presence of sunlight. The gases that transform and form ozone are volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxides.

Ozone levels generally rise between May and October when temperatures are higher, sunlight is greater, and static atmospheric conditions transform air pollutants into ozone. It acts as a powerful irritant of the respiratory system. Independent scientists and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) concluded that pollution caused by ozone represents a serious threat to health.

Accordingly, recent studies that have analyzed the effect of the pandemic associated with SARS-CoV-2 and the confinement adopted worldwide, on the reduction of activity in cities, have revealed positive consequences on emissions of pollutant gases and particles, atmospheric pollution, air quality and, as a consequence, an improvement in the urban health situation and in the awareness of the population in this regard (Cole et al., 2020; Brimblecombe and Lai, 2020). These papers show that the confinement of the population influenced human behavior, in terms of movements of people and goods or the use of telephones and the internet, but they also show that it cannot be said that this change in behavior has had the same positive effect on the decrease in emissions for all pollutants. The fundamental decrease has occurred in those related to emissions associated with traffic and the use of fossil fuels. However, the effects on PM$_{10}$ particles were short-term and not statistically significant, as they were for SO$_2$, closely related, in this case, to the low temperatures in the area and the dependence on coal-fired power plants. From these studies it is deduced that the specific study of the evolution of the different pollutants with negative effects on health and their possible control is relevant.

### 3. Literature review

Numerous institutions from different countries and innumerable works have analyzed the connection between pollution and harmful effects on health (Schwarz and Marcus, 1990; Anderson et al., 1996; Atkinson et al., 1999; Gardner and Dorling, 1999; etc.). In this sense, the importance of investigating the dynamics of air pollution in order to develop adequate models for prediction purposes and design policies to manage air quality is clear. Therefore, it is extremely important to systematically monitor fine particles and to define as soon as possible an air quality standard for their concentration in the environment in order to protect the health of the population (Anderson et al., 1996; Cohen et al., 1997; Romieu and Borja-Aburto, 1997; Atkinson et al., 1999; Gardner and Dorling, 1999; Lacasana-Navaarro et al., 1999; Borja-Aburto, 2000; Arribas-Monzón et al., 2001; Dharshana et al., 2016; Arista et al., 2012; Burde and Tanimoto, 2015; Shaharayini and Sodoudi, 2016; Khulse, 2017; Nhung et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2020; Kaneyasu et al., 2020; etc.).

The present work contributes to another line of the research literature that focuses, for example Zamri et al. (2009), which used the ARIMA approach developed in Box and Jenkins (1976) to model various pollutants in Malaysia, finding a significant upward trend in the data. Li et al. (2017) analysed the quality of air in Beijing for the time period from 2014 to 2016 using various models such as the time-delay neural network (TDNN) model, the spatio-temporal deep learning (STDL) model, the ARMA model, the support vector regression (SVR) model and the extended long-term memory neural network (LSTME) model. After careful consideration of the different models, they came to the conclusion that the LSTME model was the most appropriate one for air pollutant series characterized by long memory with optimal time lags.

Naveen and Anu (2017) examined the quality of the air in India using ARIMA, seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) and other models. Pan and Chen (2008) is one of the few studies using ARFIMA models for air pollution data (in Taiwan). They conclude by explaining that these are more accurate than the classical ARIMA models.

Morel et al. (1999) derive an expression for the statistical distribution of the air pollutant concentrations in Santiago de Chile based on the inverse gamma distribution. According to them, it corresponds to the stationary distribution of a stochastic process, relating emission level to air pollutant concentrations. Nevertheless, Steinfeld and Pandis (1998) conclude that there is no a priori reason for the atmospheric distribution to adhere to a specific probability distribution.

We adopt in this paper a long-memory methodology that uses fractional integration. Long memory (or long range dependence) is a feature of the data that is characterized by a high level of dependence between values which are far apart in time. This property has been found to be present in many series in different disciplines such as finance and economics (Gil-Alana and Moreno, 2012; Abritti et al., 2017; Kalemkerian and Sosa, 2020; Murialdo et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2020); climatology and meteorology (Gil-Alana, 2005; 2008; 2017; Vyushin and Kushner, 2009; Efstratiou and Varotsos, 2010, 2012; Franke, 2012; Ludescher et al., 2016; Varotsos et al., 2016; Bunde, 2017; Yuan et al., 2019; Varotsos and Mazei, 2019; Bruneau et al., 2020; etc.), but also in environmental sciences (Barros et al., 2016; Tiwari et al., 2016; Gil-Alana and Solarin, 2018; Gil-Alana and Trani, 2019; Xayasouk et al., 2020). Recent studies using fractional integration in atmospheric pollution include among others the papers by Gil-Alana et al. (2020) and Caporale et al. (2021).
4. Persistence and fractional integration

Persistence is a common feature observed in time series data and there are many ways of modelling this behavior. A standard approach is to consider an AR (1) process and using its coefficient as a measure of persistence. In a more general context, the sum of the AR coefficients in a general AR(p) model is another approach. Nevertheless, both measures assume that the error term displays a short memory (also termed I (0)) behavior, a feature that is not always observed in environmental data. In fact, as mentioned in the above section, many series in environmental studies display a long memory pattern, which is characterized because the spectral density function explodes at the zero frequency. There are many models to explain such a feature, but one which is very popular among econometricians is that based on fractional integration or I(d) models where the parameter d indicates the order of differentiation and can be a fractional positive value.

We say that a time series follows an I(d) model if after taking its d-differences, the series becomes I (0) or short memory; that is, x_t is said to be I(d) if it can be expressed as:

\[ (1 − L)^d x_t = u_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{1} \]

where L is the lag-operator and u_t is integrated of order 0 or I (0). Then, if the differencing parameter d is positive, x_t displays the property of long memory in the sense that the observations are highly dependent in time even if they are far distant, and the higher the value of d is, the higher the level of association between the observations is.

On the other hand, we are interested in the evolution of the series across time and a classical approach here is to consider a linear time trend model of the form:

\[ y_t = \alpha + \beta t + x_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{2} \]

where y_t represents the observed data, and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the unknown coefficients referring respectively to an intercept and a (linear) time trend. Table 1 displays the estimates of these coefficients for the two contaminants, PM_{2.5} and O_3, under the assumption that u_t in (1) is I (0) in the ten most populated US cities. We observe that for O_3, (in the lower part of the table) all the slope coefficients are significantly negative, while for PM_{2.5} (upper part) the results are mixed: we obtain negative coefficients for three cities (New York, Chicago and San Antonio); insignificant coefficients in other two (Philadelphia and Dallas), and positive slopes for the remaining five cities.

According to these results, it seems that the O_3 emissions have been reducing across time, and the same has been happening with PM_{2.5} in at least three cities. The results displayed in Table 1, however, might be biased if the disturbances, x_t in (2) is not an I (0) process. Thus, what we do in the following section is to estimate a more general model, combining both Eqs. (1) and (2) in a single framework, That is,

\[ y_t = \alpha + \beta t + x_t, \quad (1 − L)^d x_t = u_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{3} \]

where u_t is now I (0) and will be specified in terms of both uncorrelated (white noise) and autocorrelated errors. The estimation here is based on the Whittle function (an approximation to the likelihood function) expressed in the frequency domain (Dahlhaus, 1989) and uses a simple version of a testing procedure described in Robinson (1994) that will be very appropriate in our series since it does not discriminate between stationary (d < 0.5) and nonstationary (d ≥ 0.5) data.

Alternative methods in the analysis of long range dependence (long memory) in various pollutants (including ozono and Particular Matters) have been examined for example in Varotsos, Ondov and Efstatiiou (2005) and Varotsos and Kirk-Davidoff (2006), in both cases using Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA). We differ from those papers in our modelization which is based on a parametric model using fractional integration.

HEMENDIK AURRERA

5. Data and empirical results

The series correspond to the air quality (daily average) obtained from the World Air Quality Index (WAQI) at https://aqicn.org/map/world/es/. All data have been converted using the US EPA standard (United States Environmental Protection Agency). We focus on data at a daily frequency for the past 2 years (2019–2020) at https://aqicn.org/data-platform/covid19/corresponding to the 10 most populous cities in the United States: New York, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, Phoenix, Philadelphia, San Antonio, San Diego, Dallas and San Jose. The series are based on the measurement of PM_{2.5} microparticles and ozone (O_3) measured in micrograms per cubic meter of air (ug/m^3). The WAQI data come from the following sources: New York - New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC); Chicago - Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; Phoenix - Bureau of Air Quality, Pennsylvania’s Department of Environmental Protection; Los Angeles - California Air Resources Board: www.arb.ca.gov/; Houston - Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ); www.tceq.texas.gov/; San Antonio - Texas Commission on Environmental Quality - Air Quality Division: www.sazedeq.gov/; San Antonio - Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ): www.tceq.texas.gov/; Dallas - Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ): www.tceq.texas.gov/; Phoenix - Arizona Department of Environmental Quality - Air Quality Division: www.azdeq.gov/; San Jose - California Air Resources Board: www.arb.ca.gov/; San Jose - California Air Resources Board: www.arb.ca.gov/.

Figures 1 and 2 display respectively the time series plots of PM_{2.5} and O_3 in the ten most populated US cities. We observe a similar pattern in all cases, with some outliers in some of the series.\(^2\)

Table 2 presents the estimated values of d (and their 95% confidence band) in a model given by Eq. (3) for the PM_{2.5} (upper part) and O_3 (lower part), under the assumption that the error term in equation (3), i.e., u_t is a white noise process. Thus, all the time dependence in the data

\(^2\) Removing these outliers did not modify the main conclusions reported in this work.
is described through the differentiation parameter \( d \). In Table 3, however, we allow for autocorrelated disturbances by means of using the approach developed in Bloomfield (1973) that approximates ARMA structures with very few parameters. In both cases, we consider three potential set-ups for the deterministic terms. Thus, we report in the second column of the tables the case where \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \) in (3), that is, assuming that there are no deterministic terms; the third column refers to the case of a model with a constant (i.e., imposing \( \beta = 0 \) in (3)), while the
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**Figure 1.** Time series plots: PM2.5.
Figure 2. Time series plots: O₃.
is not required in any single case, a constant being sufficient in all series to describe the deterministic part. Focusing on the estimated orders of integration, we observe that in all cases, the values of $d$ are constrained between 0 and 1, supporting the hypothesis of fractional integration. Thus, for the PM$_{2.5}$ data, the values of $d$ range between 0.43 (New York) and 0.73 (San Jose). For the $O_3$, the lowest $d$ corresponds to Los Angeles (0.38) and the highest to Phoenix and San Jose (with an estimated $d$-value equal to 0.53). These results, however, do not take into account any structure on the error term. Thus, in Table 3, we permit autocorrelation for $u_t$ in (3).

We notice in Table 3 that the time trend coefficient is found to be significant at the 5% level in a number of cases, in particular, for Los Angeles, Chicago, San Diego and San Jose with the PM$_{2.5}$ data, and also for San Jose with the $O_3$ data. In Table 4 we report the estimated coefficients for each series based on the results from Table 3. We see that the trend is positive for Los Angeles, San Diego and San Jose in the case of the PM$_{2.5}$, but negative for Chicago with PM$_{2.5}$, and for San Jose with $O_3$. Focusing on $d$, the values are generally lower for PM$_{2.5}$, ranging from 0.06 (in Chicago), 0.16 (Philadelphia and Houston) and 0.19 (New York) to 0.28 in San Jose and 0.30 in Los Angeles. For $O_3$, the lowest $d$ corresponds to Houston (0.25) and the highest one to Phoenix (0.47), and the estimates of $d$ are significantly positive in all cases.

As a conclusion, we first observe that long memory or long range dependence is present in all series, with positive orders of integration in all cases examined. Thus, the hypothesis of I (0) or short memory behavior is decisively rejected in all cases. An interesting exercise is to compare the time trend coefficients under the (statistically rejected) assumption of I (0) errors (in Table 1) with those based on long memory and autocorrelated errors (in Table 4). Starting with the results for PM$_{2.5}$, we observe that under I (0) errors, significant negative coefficients are found in San Antonio, New York and Chicago; insignificant coefficients for Philadelphia and Dallas, and positive ones for Houston, Phoenix, San

---

### Table 2. Estimates of differencing parameter ($d$): White noise disturbances.

|          | No regressors | A constant | A linear time trend |
|----------|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| PM$_{2.5}$ |               |            |                     |
| New York  | 0.49 (0.43, 0.57) | 0.43 (0.36, 0.52) | 0.43 (0.36, 0.52) |
| Los Angeles | 0.69 (0.61, 0.79) | 0.67 (0.59, 0.77) | 0.67 (0.59, 0.77) |
| Chicago    | 0.55 (0.48, 0.65) | 0.49 (0.40, 0.60) | 0.49 (0.40, 0.60) |
| Houston    | 0.46 (0.40, 0.55) | 0.44 (0.36, 0.53) | 0.44 (0.36, 0.53) |
| Phoenix    | 0.59 (0.52, 0.66) | 0.55 (0.48, 0.63) | 0.55 (0.48, 0.63) |
| Philadelphia | 0.51 (0.45, 0.59) | 0.45 (0.37, 0.54) | 0.45 (0.37, 0.54) |
| San Antonio | 0.47 (0.41, 0.55) | 0.45 (0.38, 0.53) | 0.45 (0.37, 0.53) |
| San Diego  | 0.62 (0.53, 0.72) | 0.59 (0.50, 0.70) | 0.59 (0.50, 0.70) |
| Dallas     | 0.50 (0.43, 0.57) | 0.47 (0.40, 0.55) | 0.47 (0.40, 0.55) |
| San Jose   | 0.74 (0.65, 0.85) | 0.73 (0.64, 0.84) | 0.73 (0.64, 0.84) |

In parenthesis, the 95% confidence bands of the non-rejection values of $d$. In bold, the selected model for each series.

### Table 3. Estimates of the differencing parameter ($d$): Autocorrelated disturbances.

|          | No regressors | A constant | A linear time trend |
|----------|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| PM$_{2.5}$ |               |            |                     |
| New York  | 0.43 (0.39, 0.48) | 0.39 (0.35, 0.44) | 0.39 (0.35, 0.44) |
| Los Angeles | 0.41 (0.37, 0.47) | 0.38 (0.34, 0.44) | 0.38 (0.34, 0.44) |
| Chicago    | 0.48 (0.44, 0.53) | 0.43 (0.38, 0.48) | 0.43 (0.38, 0.48) |
| Houston    | 0.54 (0.48, 0.62) | 0.50 (0.43, 0.59) | 0.50 (0.43, 0.59) |
| Phoenix    | 0.55 (0.51, 0.61) | 0.53 (0.49, 0.59) | 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) |
| Philadelphia | 0.49 (0.45, 0.54) | 0.44 (0.39, 0.49) | 0.44 (0.39, 0.49) |
| San Antonio | 0.56 (0.50, 0.64) | 0.53 (0.46, 0.61) | 0.53 (0.46, 0.61) |
| San Diego  | 0.47 (0.42, 0.53) | 0.43 (0.38, 0.49) | 0.43 (0.38, 0.49) |
| Dallas     | 0.51 (0.46, 0.58) | 0.46 (0.40, 0.53) | 0.46 (0.40, 0.53) |
| San Jose   | 0.48 (0.44, 0.54) | 0.40 (0.35, 0.47) | 0.40 (0.35, 0.47) |

In parenthesis, the 95% confidence bands of the non-rejection values of $d$. In bold, the selected model for each series.

---

### Table 4. Estimated coefficients from the results in Table 3.

|          | No regressors | A constant | A linear time trend |
|----------|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| PM$_{2.5}$ |               |            |                     |
| New York  | 0.19 (0.14, 0.26) | 0.19 (0.13, 0.26) | 0.19 (0.13, 0.26) |
| Los Angeles | 0.35 (0.26, 0.44) | 0.32 (0.26, 0.41) | 0.30 (0.23, 0.39) |
| Chicago    | 0.24 (0.15, 0.34) | 0.10 (0.03, 0.19) | 0.06 (-0.01, 0.17) |
| Houston    | 0.20 (0.08, 0.31) | 0.16 (0.10, 0.25) | 0.16 (0.09, 0.25) |
| Phoenix    | 0.41 (0.34, 0.49) | 0.35 (0.30, 0.43) | 0.35 (0.29, 0.41) |
| Philadelphia | 0.30 (0.22, 0.40) | 0.16 (0.09, 0.25) | 0.15 (0.09, 0.25) |
| San Antonio | 0.28 (0.20, 0.38) | 0.23 (0.15, 0.29) | 0.23 (0.15, 0.29) |
| San Diego  | 0.30 (0.18, 0.45) | 0.23 (0.14, 0.40) | 0.23 (0.12, 0.38) |
| Dallas     | 0.30 (0.20, 0.40) | 0.24 (0.16, 0.33) | 0.24 (0.16, 0.33) |
| San Jose   | 0.28 (0.22, 0.37) | 0.30 (0.23, 0.38) | 0.28 (0.20, 0.37) |

In parenthesis, the 95% confidence bands of the non-rejection values of $d$. In bold, the selected model for each series.

---

3 For the case of Chicago, the null hypothesis of short memory (i.e., I(0)) cannot be rejected at the 5% significance level.
Jose, Los Angeles and San Diego. Looking now at the results based on I(d) models, in Table 4, we observe that there is only one negative significant coefficient (Chicago); insignificant coefficients are found in six cities (New York, Houston, Phoenix, Philadelphia, San Antonio and Dallas) and three cities with positive time trends (San Jose, Los Angeles and San Diego).

The results for O3 are even more interesting. Under the (wrong) I(0) assumption, significant negative time trend coefficients are observed in all cases. However, estimating d rather than imposing it to be 0 produced insignificant coefficients in all except one city (San Jose). Thus, the conclusion that O3 emissions display a significant negative trend is seriously questioned when a long memory component is allowed in the data.

The fact that in all cases the estimates of the differencing parameter are in the interval (0, 1) implies that exogenous shocks in the series will have transitory effects, and will disappear by themselves in the long run though with long lasting effects, being longer the higher the value of d is. Thus, in the event of a positive shock, reducing the number of emissions, strong policy actions should be required to maintain the series at the new (lower) levels. On the contrary, if the shock is negative, though slowly, the series will recover by itself in the long run.

The persistence and long memory property in time series is usually associated with the aggregation of heterogeneous individual processes (see, e.g., Robinson, 1978; Granger, 1986; Parke, 1999; Souza and Smith, 2002; Souza, 2005, 2007; Hassler, 2011; Shi and Sun, 2016; etc.). However, in the case of air pollution there are additional factors such as sociological persistence (related to the COVID-19 lockdown, see, e.g., Cole et al., 2020 and Brimblecombe and Lai, 2020) and this may have an influence on the high degree of dependence observed in the data.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have examined the degree of persistence in the daily levels of PM2.5 and O3 in the ten most populated cities in the US. For this purpose, we have employed a model that allows for time trends and where the detrended series may display a long memory pattern. Our results using fractional integration decisively reject the hypothesis of I(0) or short memory behavior, and testing simultaneously the existence of time trends and long memory produces results which substantially differ from those based on a model that imposes a degree of integration equal to 0. Thus, for example, for the case of O3, the results based on the classical I(0) assumption indicate the existence of negative time trends, which may be spurious evidence of long memory. Our purpose, we have employed a model that allows for time trends and analysis tools or data; Wrote the paper.
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