High and Dry: Billion-Year Trends in the Aridity of River-Forming Climates on Mars
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Abstract Mars’ wet-to-dry transition is a major environmental catastrophe, yet the spatial pattern, tempo, and cause of drying are poorly constrained. We built a globally distributed database of constraints on Mars late-stage paleolake size relative to catchment area (aridity index (AI)), and found evidence for climate zonation as Mars was drying out. Aridity increased over time in southern midlatitude highlands, where lakes became proportionally as small as in modern Nevada. Meanwhile, intermittently wetter climates persisted in equatorial and northern-midlatitude lowlands. This is consistent with a change in Mars’ greenhouse effect that left highlands too cold for liquid water except during a brief melt season, or alternatively with a fall in Mars’ groundwater table. The data are consistent with a switch of unknown cause in the dependence of AI on elevation, from high-and-wet early on, to high-and-dry later. These results sharpen our view of Mars’ climate as surface conditions became increasingly stressing for life.

Plain Language Summary Mars’ surface was habitable in the past but is sterile today. Mars had multiple lake-forming eras as the planet dried out, but so far, there has been no globally distributed survey of the size of late-stage lakes, and the evaporation/precipitation ratio (aridity index (AI)) of the climates that formed them. This is key input/test data for models of Mars’ past climate and climate evolution. We built a globally distributed database of AI constraints for late-stage river-forming climates on Mars. On average, late-stage lake-forming climates had a higher aridity than early-stage river-forming climates. Drying-out was spatially heterogeneous, with a “high-and-dry” pattern. This apparently contrasts with a “high-and-wet” pattern seen for early-stage river-forming climates. The reasons for this apparent switch are unknown.

1. Introduction

Today Mars is a cold desert, but billions of years ago Mars had rivers and lakes. Early on, during the Late Noachian/Early Hesperian (∼3.6 Ga), water supply to crater lakes was large enough relative to evaporation that—at least intermittently—liquid water overspilled to carve canyons (Fassett & Head, 2008). Later, runoff continued intermittently for ≥1 Gyr (e.g., Grant & Wilson, 2011; Hol et al., 2021; Kite, 2019), forming deltas and alluvial fans (e.g., Grant & Wilson, 2011; Salese et al., 2019) that were probably precipitation-fed (Kite, 2019), but these features were patchy (Wilson et al., 2021), with relatively few aqueous minerals visible from orbit (Pan et al., 2021), and lake overspills were less frequent (Goude et al., 2016). At least some of the delta materials to be returned to Earth from Jezero crater likely date from the later era (e.g., Mangold et al., 2020; Salese et al., 2020). The data suggest a shift over time to wet events that were more short-lived, and/or to more arid climates. During this period, Mars was losing both CO₂ and H₂O, and the rate of asteroid impacts had declined to near-modern levels, yet volcanism and chaotic large-amplitude obliquity change continued (Haberle et al., 2017). Understanding the cause of changing lake levels is key to understanding the habitable-to-uninhabitable transition of Mars’ surface environment, but the change itself is, as yet, poorly quantified.

To understand Mars’ wet-to-dry transition, we need to know trends over time in mean aridity, and the spatial distribution of aridity. Past aridity (specifically, aridity index (AI), the ratio of potential evaporation to precipitation) can be constrained using paleolake size. The topographic catchment area feeding into the lake divided by paleolake size (the hydrologic X-ratio, XH) is, in hydrological steady state, approximately equal to the climatic AI. This is because water from the topographic catchment is routed into a small area (the lake), and evaporation from the basin is reduced in proportion to the smallness of the lake (Matsubara & Howard, 2009). Following (e.g.) Stucky de Quay et al. (2020), we assume that all meltwater/rainwater is routed to the lake, infiltration is minor, the lake level is in hydrologic steady state, and runoff production on the lake itself is small (so that...
In this study, we surveyed the interiors of all large, young craters (n = 212 areas) at latitudes between 40°N and 40°S mapped as Late Hesperian or Amazonian impacts (from Tanaka et al., 2014). The purpose of the latitude cut was to minimize overprinting by ice-associated processes. We also surveyed seven additional craters that have relatively well-preserved rims, denoting relative youth, and defining closed basins. Well-preserved rims correspond to a closed catchment in most cases. The water-worn landforms within these craters formed during the Late Hesperian and Amazonian—extending > 1 Gyr after the valley networks (e.g., Grant & Wilson, 2011). Many workers have argued that the wet events were probably intermittent (e.g., Kite, 2019, and references therein), with no rivers flowing for most of the time. Our results constrain conditions shortly before the last drying-out of low-latitude rivers on Mars. We then compared to work on early-stage rivers to find aridity trends over time.

2. Materials and Methods

To search for paleohydrologic proxies, we used Context Camera (CTX) data (Dickson et al., 2018; Malin et al., 2007), supplemented in a few places by High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE; McEwen et al., 2007) images. For topography, we used Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA) Precision Experimental Data Records (PEDRs; Smith et al., 2001), and in some places we used CTX/HiRISE DTM (Mayer & Kite, 2016).

To constrain \( X_H \), we need estimates of paleolake area, \( A \), and drainage area, \( D \) (\( X_H = (D - A)/A = D/A - 1 \); Matsubara et al., 2011; Figure 1). Here, the “−1” corresponds to the assumption that no runoff is produced on the lake itself. To get paleolake area, thanks to the high quality of Mars topographic data (Smith et al., 2001) together with only minor postlacustrine modification, it is usually enough to know paleolake water level.

However, water level changed over time and most geologic proxies for past water level on Mars are indirect, so these estimates are not precise and may correspond to only the maximum (highest) lake levels. From the water level, the contour-enclosed area corresponds to past lake area. Water level constraints include flat crater-bottom deposits (FCBDs) interpreted as playa/lake deposits, intrabasin spillways, and delta break-in-slope elevations. Upper-bound constraints come from the lowest (terminal) elevations of subaerial fans and channels, as these cannot form below lake level. For alluvial fan toes/channel termini, we used low-point elevations to draw an enclosing contour. The areas enclosed by these contours are upper limits on \( A \). Many fans on Mars formed over long time scales (Kite et al., 2017), but channels can be carved rapidly (e.g., Whipple et al., 2000). Therefore, the \( X_H \) obtained from setting lake elevation equal to a channel-stop elevation (requiring that the lake level did not exceed the channel-stop elevation for at least as long as it took to carve the channel) constrains lake level over a shorter-time scale than the constraint obtained from a fan terminus elevation. (In figures, we mark the shorter-time scale channel-stop lower limits on \( X_H \) with red open triangles, and the longer-time scale fan toe lower limits on \( X_H \) with red filled triangles.) Wind erosion reduces lake deposit extent relative to original extent; we use lake deposit area as a lower limit on \( A \). Internal spillways also provide lower limits on \( A \). The slope-break elevation of deltas provides a best estimate of past lake level. For the deltas we analyzed, layer-orientation data was not available, so our assessment was based only on geomorphic expression (modern topography), which is less definitive than stratigraphic methods (e.g., Teboli & Goudge, 2022). Our approach treats the present-day topographic relationships between lake deposit outcrops and alluvial fan deposit outcrops as being representative of the topographic relationships between deposits when the rivers were flowing. Infrequently, we observe FCBDs topographically above fan toes (e.g., at Luba crater), presumably due to differential wind erosion. At Peridier, channels extend topographically below the FCBDs that we interpret as lake deposits, perhaps corresponding to a later wet episode. At all sites, small channels were neglected. We recorded only the constraints that (within a given drainage area) were the most hydrologically constraining.

Drainage areas were taken to be the entire area of the host crater, except when internal drainage relations (ridges, spillways) showed a smaller contributing area. Some crater interiors contained multiple drainage areas, due to internal drainage divides, which were accounted for separately. We assume that all topographic drainage area (and...
not just the area upstream of observed channels) contributes water to the lake. If water was sourced by patchy snowmelt, then more runoff production per unit area would be needed.

Each proxy type has been described previously in detailed studies (e.g., Moore & Howard, 2005; Palucis et al., 2016). For example, flat-lying sediments interpreted as lake deposits are described by Grant et al. (2008).
and Morgan et al. (2014). As far as we know, this is the first global survey for FCBDs that we interpret as lake deposits, so we provide more information on this proxy type below. Figures S1–S4 in Supporting Information S1 (and Figures 1a and 1b) show many-km-wide crater-bottom deposits that have an elevation range of only a few meters. FCBDs frequently have slopes of 1/1,000, 10–20× flatter than nearby alluvial fans. FCBDs lack channels, show pits and grooves due to wind erosion, are usually found downslope from alluvial fans, and are typically bounded by outward-facing scarps. Internal layering and susceptibility to wind erosion suggest that these are indurated sedimentary deposits. Extreme flatness and location at the bottom of a crater indicate that aggradation was controlled by an equipotential, most likely liquid water. In some cases (Figure S2a in Supporting Information S1), sinuous ridges that we interpret as capped by fluvial deposits connect to FCBDs (Davis et al., 2019), strengthening confidence in the lake-deposit interpretation of those FCBDs. HiRISE DTM S7 in Supporting Information S1 confirm these impressions and add detail on the gentle tilts of internal layers (typically ≤1°, consistent with flat after tracing and DTM errors are taken into account). These dips are significantly lower than those typical for Mars sediments interpreted as topography-draping air fall deposits (Annex & Lewis, 2020). Sediments may have been transported into the lakes by either wind or water. Internal-layer conformity with deposit tops proves that flatness of deposit tops is not a chance of erosion but rather a trace of depositional process. Layers are expressed due to contrasts in erosional resistance, which in turn might relate to changes in grainsize or composition. Although no spectral confirmation of aqueous minerals is available in most cases, and therefore it remains conceivable that some of these FCBDs might be impact melt, we interpret these as lake deposits. (Unlike impact melts, cataloged FCBDs are flatter, and lack flow texture, arcuate ridges, and crumple ridges). When topographic data were lacking, we marked the deposit as a “candidate” FCBD. It is likely that some lake deposits are not interpretable from orbiter data (false negatives). The Murray mudstones at Gale, interpreted as lake deposits by Grotzinger et al. (2015), would not be counted this way.

3. Results of Survey: Latitude and Elevation Trends

Most craters show evidence for past liquid water (n = 118 basins; Figure 1). Presumably some craters lack evidence for liquid water because they postdate Mars’ drying-out (Ho1 et al., 2021). Past lake size is constrained by the extent of FCBDs interpreted as lake/playa deposits (e.g., Morgan et al., 2014; n = 87 including 30 candidates; Figures S1–S4 in Supporting Information S1), as well as the elevations of features such as alluvial fan termini, channel termini, internal spillways, candidate shorelines, and the break-in-slope elevation of scarp-fronted deposits interpreted as deltas (n = 135; Figure 1).

Within craters, fans were built by flows from crater sidewalls, suggesting precipitation runoff was responsible for fluvial sediment transport (Lamb et al., 2006). Lake levels could have been maintained by water conveyed from crater sidewalls (by surface runoff or shallow groundwater flow) or alternatively, by deep-upwelling groundwater sourced from (e.g.) rain/melt recharge at ~10^2–10^3-km scales (Horvath & Andrews-Hanna, 2017; Salese et al., 2019). We do not think that the lakes were flooded by catastrophic release of groundwater (Wang et al., 2005), because channels run up to ridgelines and because only some places saw water. Figure 2a shows the nonuniform distribution of craters with evidence for liquid water. Because we only survey craters that
formed relatively recently (Tanaka et al., 2014), our survey provides strong evidence that relatively recent rivers/lakes were more frequent at off-equatorial latitudes (Figure 2b; Wilson et al., 2021). Lower-lying craters more frequently show evidence for past rivers/lakes (Figure S6b in Supporting Information S1; Kite et al., 2022).

Figures 3 and 4 sum up survey results. Typical $X_H$ was 7–38 (median fan terminus constraint to median lake deposit constraint), corresponding to arid-to-hyperarid conditions, with deltas and overspill channels recording semiarid conditions (median $X_H = 4$). This is more arid than that reported for early lakes (by, e.g., Matsubara et al. (2011)), $X_{H,ancient} = 5 \pm 2$, and is similar to that of modern Western Nevada ($X_H = 19.7$ according to Matsubara et al. (2011)). In the southern midlatitudes (high ground), late-stage $X_H < 10$ is less common south of 10°S (Figure 4)—in other words, there is very little evidence for conditions moister than modern Nevada. By contrast, in the northern midlatitudes and at the equator (lower ground), the break-in-slope elevations of scarp-fronted deposits interpreted as deltas (filled blue diamonds in Figure 4) indicate relatively big lakes. The difference between hemispheres gives a $p$-value of 0.002, and $X_H < 10$ is found mostly at $<-1,500$ m elevation ($p = 0.01$). In summary, at high elevations, the central aridity estimate is more arid than modern Nevada. At lower elevations, some locations were less arid than modern Nevada (at least intermittently), similar to aridity estimates for the earlier-stage river era.

4. Aridity Change With Time: High and Dry

Late-stage rivers were apparently more spatially patchy than early-stage rivers. Where runoff did occur, we find (on average) a record of more arid climates. For the early-stage lakes (∼3.6 Ga, Matsubara et al. (2011) report $X_{H,ancient} = 5 \pm 2$. This is comparable to the $X_H$ for the U.S. Great Basin wet period ∼20 Kya ($X_H \approx 3.5$ according to Matsubara et al. (2011)). These data indicate a climate trend, to more arid climate (our preferred explanation), or alternatively toward briefer wet events (disfavored by our data, Supporting Information S1), on global average. Southern midlatitudes show a shift over time toward smaller lakes, but intermittent wet climates (semiarid to arid) persisted near the equator and in the northern midlatitudes. Considering only sites with evidence for late-stage aqueous activity, aridity increased greatly at high elevations but only slightly at low elevations (Figure 5). Similar $X_H$ at low elevations during the Noachian through Amazonian is consistent with the paucity of late-stage low-latitude lake overspills (Goudge et al., 2016). The high-relief rims of the young craters surveyed in this study make overspill more difficult for a given $X_H$ than for the more muted rims of ancient craters.

Intriguingly, Stucky de Quay et al. (2020)'s analysis of early-stage lake overspills shows (their Figure 4) the strongest requirements for humid conditions at high elevation (Figure S9 in Supporting Information S1). Stucky de Quay et al. (2020)'s 96-paleolake data set consists of “hydrological systems in which the morphologies indicated precipitation as a main water source, either as rain or snow […]” open-basin and closed-basin lakes fed by dendritic valley networks with a main trunk having a Strahler order of $\geq 3”$ (the less selective data set of Fassett...
Figure 4. Late-stage aridity constraints. Blue triangles are upper limits on aridity (e.g., lake deposit extent), red triangles are lower limits on aridity (e.g., from alluvial fan termini), and blue diamonds are best-estimates of lake elevation (e.g., from a delta top). (a) $X_H$ versus elevation. Blue triangles = FCBDs (interpreted as lake deposits; unfilled = candidate), blue diamonds = deltas/shorelines, blue circles = internal spillways, red filled triangles = alluvial fan toes, and red unfilled triangles = channel-stops. Numbers correspond to the number of constraints lying entirely inside a rectangular region. Gray lines connect lowest and highest constraints for a single basin. (One −7,500 m data point is cropped). (b) $X_H$ versus latitude. (c) $X_H$ versus crater diameter. Black dashed line highlights where small lake deposits might be missed by survey.
and Head (2008) shows only a weak trend toward more-humid conditions at high elevation). Stucky de Quay et al. (2020)'s result is the opposite of our late-stage result, suggesting a reversal of the elevation dependence of X-ratio as Mars evolved.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

The late-stage trend to higher $X_H$ at high elevation (Figure 3) can be explained by a change in the strength of greenhouse warming over time, such that highlands became almost always too cold for liquid water (Kite et al., 2022). In this scenario, higher-elevation lakes would have less meltwater runoff. Alternatively, in a very-warm-climate scenario (too warm for ground ice), if infiltration became an important water sink for lakes, then high lakes would lose water while water would upwell at low elevations. Thus, a decline in Mars’ groundwater table (e.g., Andrews-Hanna & Lewis, 2011; Jakosky, 2021; Salese et al., 2019) might also explain Figure 3 trends. A third possibility is that water vapor was sourced from evaporation at very low elevations, and that highlands were most horizontally distant from the water source and therefore water-starved (Turbet & Forget, 2019). We favor the snow/ice-melt explanation because snow/ice-melt can be patchy and the late-stage erosion is patchy. Snow/ice-melt is consistent with evidence for equatorial thermokarst (Warner et al., 2010).

In the future, measurements of the grain size of clasts moved by late-stage rivers might be decisive in distinguishing between the drying-while-warm versus drying-while-cool scenarios for Mars. At Gale crater, the rover Curiosity has encountered proxies for aridity such as mudcracks (Stein et al., 2018). Our data include late-stage Gale lakes (Palucis et al., 2016), conceivably preserved at Gediz Vallis ridge. Thus Curiosity’s traverse may allow ground-truthing of the scenario in Figure 5.

Our results reinforce the interpretation (e.g., Irwin et al., 2015) that a hydrologic cycle fueled late-stage rivers. River/lake sediments found within large “host” impact craters often encapsulate smaller impact craters, which today appear partially exhumed (Table S1 in Supporting Information S1). In order for these smaller craters to accumulate, a time interval of $\geq 0.2$ Gyr between the formation of the “host” craters and the end of river activity is required. This disproves the hypothesis (Mangold et al., 2012) that these rivers were triggered by the energy of the impact that formed the “host” crater. This is because $\geq 0.2$ Gyr is too long for the energy of the
“host”-crater-forming impact to contribute to fan formation (Kite et al., 2017; Table S2 in Supporting Information S1). The distribution of $X_H$ with crater size suggests wet events lasting at least decades (Supporting Information S1), consistent with the steady state assumption made here.

The northern hemisphere $X_H$ permits a late-stage ocean, consistent with some models (e.g., Di Achille & Hynek, 2010; Schmidt et al., 2022). However, the case for a Mars ocean remains equivocal: delta locations suggest deltas drained into large lakes, not an ocean (e.g., Rivera-Hernández & Palucis, 2019).

Mars has many relatively young exit-breach craters or “pollywogs” (Wilson et al., 2016). Almost all are omitted from of our study, because of their small size and location poleward of 40°. Pollywog overspill (Warren et al., 2021) would suggest $X_H < 1$, very different from the aridity of the low/midlatitudes, or, alternatively, groundwater release. It also remains to be determined whether late-stage lake overspills in Valles Marineris (e.g., Warner et al., 2013) match the within-crater $X_H$ pattern (Figure 4).

A limitation of our study is that we do not distinguish between playas and perennial lakes. However, if smaller lakes dried up seasonally, then that would make the annual-average climate even more arid than reported here, and accentuate the “high-and-dry” pattern, so this limitation is not severe.

In summary, a globally distributed survey of paleohydrologic proxies for late-stage river-forming climates (Figures 1 and 2), when compared to previous work on early-stage river-forming climates (Figure 3), indicates a climate trend, to more arid climate (our preferred explanation), or, alternatively, toward briefer wet events (disfavored by data; Supporting Information S1). Southern midlatitudes show a shift toward smaller lakes over time, but intermittent wetter climates persisted near the equator and in the northern midlatitudes. These results sharpen our view of Mars’ wet-to-dry transition, but overall, it is surprising that this major environmental catastrophe remains so poorly understood. The challenge to models of Mars’ climate (e.g., Guzewich et al., 2021; Kamada et al., 2021; Kite et al., 2021; Turbet & Forget, 2021) and climate evolution (e.g., Ramirez & Craddock, 2018; Wordsworth et al., 2021) is now to explain these data.
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