Chiral Mott insulator with staggered loop currents in the fully frustrated Bose Hubbard model
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Motivated by experiments on Josephson junction arrays in a magnetic field and ultracold interacting atoms in an optical lattice in the presence of a ‘synthetic’ orbital magnetic fields, we study the “fully frustrated” Bose-Hubbard model and quantum XY model with half a flux quantum per lattice plaquette. Using Monte Carlo simulations and the density matrix renormalization group method, we show that these kinetically frustrated boson models admit three phases at integer filling: a weakly interacting chiral superfluid phase with staggered loop currents which spontaneously break time-reversal symmetry, a conventional Mott insulator at strong coupling, and a remarkable “chiral Mott insulator” (CMI) with staggered loop currents sandwiched between them at intermediate correlation. We discuss how the CMI state may be viewed as an exciton condensate or a vortex supersolid, study a Jastrow variational wavefunction which captures its correlations, present results for the boson momentum distribution across the phase diagram, and consider various experimental implications of our phase diagram. Finally, we consider generalizations to a staggered flux Bose-Hubbard model and a two-dimensional (2D) version of the CMI in weakly coupled ladders.

I. INTRODUCTION

The effect of frustration in generating unusual states of matter such as fractional quantum Hall fluids or quantum spin liquids is an important and recurring theme in the physics of condensed matter systems. Recently, research in the field of ultracold atomic gases has begun to explore this area, spurred on by the creation of artificial gauge fields using Raman transitions in systems of cold atoms. These gauge fields can be used to thread fluxes through the plaquettes of optical lattices giving rise to “kinetic frustration” by producing multiple minima in the band dispersion and frustrating simple Bose condensation into a single non-degenerate minimum. Similarly, time-dependent shaking of the optical lattice or populating higher bands of an optical lattice can be used to control the sign of the hopping amplitude in an optical lattice, again leading to such “kinetic frustration”. For bosonic atoms with weak repulsion, such kinetic frustration gets resolved in a manner such that the resulting superfluid state can have a broken symmetry corresponding to picking out a particular linear combination of the different minima. Increasing the strength of the interactions at commensurate filling can be expected to eventually yield a Mott insulator (MI) with the motion of the bosons quenched, which thus renders the kinetic frustration ineffective. In a synthetic flux and at strong coupling, the fully gapped MI is identical to the one expected for the same lattice without a frustrating flux per plaquette: this simply means that at strong coupling, we can adiabatically remove the flux without encountering a quantum phase transition. However, there could exist a state intermediate to the superfluid and the MI described above for which charge motion has been suppressed enough to open up a gap but not to restore the broken symmetry associated with frustration. Such a ‘weak’ Mott insulating state, while it is globally incompressible, supports significant local boson number fluctuations, so bosons can ‘sense’ the magnetic flux on a plaquette. In a recent paper, we have found numerical evidence for the existence of such a remarkable intermediate state in frustrated two-leg ladders of bosons for the so-called Fully Frustrated Bose Hubbard (FFBH) model which has half-a-flux-quantum per plaquette. We call this state a “chiral Mott insulator” (CMI) since it is fully gapped due to boson-boson interactions, exactly like an ordinary Mott insulator, and in addition possesses chiral order associated with the broken time-reversal symmetry arising from resolving the kinetic frustration. The superfluid state of this system also possesses this chiral order and we thus dub it a chiral superfluid (CSF). Other recent studies have also focussed on a variety of such exotic states driven by “ring-exchange” interactions, which again arise in a similar fashion driven by virtual charge fluctuations in a Mott insulator.

In this paper, we discuss further details of our work on this FFBH ladder model, and its close cousin, the fully frustrated quantum XY model to which it reduces at high filling factors. We also discuss how one might stabilize such a Mott insulator in higher dimensions by considering weakly coupled ladders. Such a CMI may also be viewed as a bosonic Mott insulating version of the staggered loop current state studied in the context of pseudogap physics in the high temperature cuprate superconductors.
Classical analogues of the CMI and CSF states have been studied in the past. The simplest classical model displaying analogous phases is the fully frustrated XY model in two dimensions. At small but finite temperature, this model has a phase with algebraic \( U(1) \) order for the spins along with a staggered pattern of vorticity associated with each plaquette corresponding to broken \( Z_2 \) symmetry. This is the analogue of the CSF phase. As the temperature is increased, the \( U(1) \) symmetry is restored while the \( Z_2 \) symmetry continues to be broken in a state that is the analogue of the CMI. Upon further increasing the temperature, the \( Z_2 \) order is restored yielding a completely disordered state, which is the analogue of the featureless CMI. Analogos of these phases have also been found in another classical models which will be discussed later.

The CSF phase has been studied in a variety of frustrated quantum models of bosons but without the strong correlations required to obtain the CMI phase. The effect of correlations in conjunction with frustration has been investigated for models of fermions and quantum spins. In both cases, analogs of the CSF state are obtained as staggered current (for fermions) or gapless chiral (for spins) states. An analog of the CMI state has been found for fermions in a ladder model. For spins, the analog of the CMI would be a spin gapped state with vector chiral order, which has been proposed for easy-plane frustrated magnets. Studies on microscopic spin models for a CMI-like state suggest that it coexists with either dimer order (for half integer spin) or topological order (for integer spin).

In this paper, we study the Bose-Hubbard ladder of ref. using a variety of different techniques to elucidate the nature and properties of the CSF and CMI. We employ mean field theory, mapping onto an effective classical model and a variational Monte-Carlo method for this purpose. We also provide physical pictures for the CMI phase as a supersolid of vortices and a condensate of neutral excitons. The outline of the paper is as follows: In section II, we introduce the microscopic model with a summary of results obtained from numerics in our previous work. In section III, we perform a mean-field calculation which provides a good description of CSF phase but is unable to describe the CMI phase. Then in section IV, we write down a rotor model for our Hamiltonian which allows us to map it onto a model, which can be studied using classical Monte-Carlo simulations. Numerics on this model have been performed in ref. and show the existence of the CMI. This resulting model can be used for comparison to the classical frustrated models mentioned earlier. In section V, we provide details of our density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) studies on the Bose-Hubbard model, which also reveals a CMI phase. In section VI, we provide physical pictures of the CMI as a supersolid of vortices or a condensate of neutral excitons while in section VII, we perform a variational Monte-Carlo study of a candidate Jastrow wavefunction for the CMI state, showing that it correctly captures the essential correlations of the CMI state. Section VIII provides a short description of experiments that can be performed to detect the CMI state in Josephson junction arrays or cold atom systems. Section IX discusses generalizations to a staggered flux model and to higher dimensions, and we conclude in Section X with a summary.

II. MODEL AND PHASE DIAGRAM

The Hamiltonian for the frustrated ladder as shown in Fig. can be written as

\[
H = -t \sum_x (a_x^+ a_{x+1} + a_{x+1}^+ a_x) + t \sum_x (b_x^+ b_{x+1} + b_{x+1}^+ b_x) - t_\perp \sum_x (a_x b_x + b_x^+ a_x) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_x (n_{a,x}^2 + n_{b,x}^2),
\]

where \( a_x \) and \( b_x \) are bosonic operators on each of the two legs of the ladder whose sites are labelled by \( x \). \( n_{a,x} \) and \( n_{b,x} \) are the corresponding occupation numbers. \( t_\perp \) is the hopping amplitude between the legs and \( U \) is the onsite two-body interaction strength.

In our previous work we studied the ground state of the Egn. at integer filling using two independent numerical methods such as classical Monte Carlo techniques and density matrix renormalization group (DMRG). The complete phase diagram of this model is shown in Fig. and described in detail in the corresponding sections. The important results obtained by the above two analysis are qualitatively similar. As a result of the competition between the onsite interaction (\( U \)), intrachain hopping (\( t \)) and interchain hopping (\( t_\perp \)), we obtain three different quantum phases: the CSF, the CMI and a regular MI. When the Hubbard repulsion (\( U \)) is small the system exhibits a gapless SF phase with a finite loop current order in each plaquette. For intermediate values of \( U \) the system undergoes a transition from

![FIG. 1. Sketch of the fully frustrated Bose Hubbard two-leg ladder. The opposite signs of the hopping on the \( a \) and \( b \) legs correspond to a \( \pi \)-flux threading each square plaquette and frustrating the boson kinetic energy. Also depicted at the spontaneously generated staggered loop currents generated in the superfluid and chiral Mott insulator phases of this ladder model.](image-url)
CSF to CMI phase which possesses finite charge gap and also exhibits staggered loop current and spontaneously breaks time reversal symmetry. A further increase of the value of $U$ breaks the loop current order in the system and the system undergoes a transition to the regular MI phase. Using different scaling properties of the observables obtained by the numerical simulations we found that the SF to CMI phase transition is of Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) universality class and the transition from CMI to MI is of Ising universality class. Apart from the numerical analysis of this model we analyse the system using several analytical methods. We explain the numerical and analytical methods used to understand the ground state properties of the Hamiltonian given in Eqn. 1 and describe the properties of the different phases, in the following sections.

III. APPROXIMATE ANALYSES OF THE FFBH LADDER MODEL

A. Single particle condensate wavefunction

We begin by motivating the form of the single particle condensate wavefunction for our system in the weakly interacting limit. To do this we first consider the single particle dispersion obtained from Eqn. 1. If we set $U = 0$. If we also set $t_\perp = 0$, the dispersion is as shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2 with two bands inverted with respect to each other and intersecting at $k = \pm \pi$. The band with a minimum at $k = 0$ corresponds to the $a$ leg while the one with a maximum at $k = 0$ corresponds to the $b$ leg. With $t_\perp \neq 0$, the degeneracies at the points of intersection are lifted resulting in two bands with a gap as shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2. The two minima at $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$ originate from the bands corresponding to each of the two legs with the $k = 0$ minimum corresponding to bosons localized in the $a$ leg and $k = \pi$ minimum to bosons in the $b$ leg. A single particle condensate wavefunction for $U = 0$ can thus be any linear superposition of the states at the two minima.

For $U > 0$ at a filling of one boson per site, the wavefunction has the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\theta} \left( |k = 0\rangle + e^{i\phi} |k = \pi\rangle \right),$$

where $|k = 0\rangle$ and $|k = \pi\rangle$ correspond to the states at the minima $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$ respectively and $\theta$ and $\phi$ are phases. This form of $|\Psi\rangle$ comes about because the onsite repulsion discourages double occupancy so that with one boson per site, the system favors distributing the bosons equally over both legs. Thus, the single particle condensate wavefunction has equal amplitude in the states $|k = 0\rangle$ and $|k = \pi\rangle$ with relative phase $\phi$ and global phase $\theta$. Minimizing the energy of states of the form given in Eqn. 2 yields $\phi = \pm \pi/2$. Thus, the form of the single particle wavefunction is

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\theta} \left( |k = 0\rangle + e^{\pm i\pi/2} |k = \pi\rangle \right),$$

which has a global $U(1)$ symmetry corresponding to $\theta$, which acts as the superfluid parameter and a $Z_2$ symmetry due to the $\pm$ sign in the relative phase corresponding to a particular pattern of staggered current loops (chirality). In $1+1D$, like for our system, there is no long range $U(1)$ order but at best algebraically decaying correlations corresponding to quasi-long range order of the superfluid. However, there can exist true long range Ising order. The state with coexisting algebraic superfluid order and long range chiral order is the chiral superfluid. The chiral Mott insulator corresponds to short range superfluid correlations (accompanied by a charge gap) existing along with long range chiral order whereas in the Mott insulator both superfluid and chiral order are completely absent.

B. Mean field theory of the chiral superfluid

In this section we refine the above discussion and present the mean-field theory description of the chiral superfluid phase in Eqn. 1. Ignoring the interaction term $U$, we obtain the single particle dispersion $\pm E_k$ with $E_k = \sqrt{t_\perp^2 + (2t \cos k)^2}$ and introducing a chemical potential $\mu$, the two bands have dispersion $\pm E_k - \mu$. Of these, the lower band (with dispersion $-E_k - \mu$) has
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two minima at \( k = 0, \pi \). The eigenvector for this band \((u_k, v_k)^T\) is

\[
\begin{align*}
u_k &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + g_k}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{2t \cos k}{E_k} \right)} \\
v_k &= \frac{g_k}{\sqrt{1 + g_k}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2t \cos k}{E_k} \right)} \\
u_k v_k &= \frac{t_1}{2E_k}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( g_k = (E_k - 2t) / t_\perp \). Note that we can set \( u_k + v_k = v_k \) and \( u_k - v_k = u_k \) which means, in particular, that \( u_\pi = v_0 \) and \( v_\pi = u_0 \).

We can rotate to a new basis, where \( \alpha_k^1, \beta_k^1 \) creates quasiparticles in the lower (higher) band at momentum \( k \), via

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a_k \\ b_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_k - v_k \\ v_k u_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix}
\]

in which the single particle Hamiltonian is diagonal and given by

\[
H_{\text{kin}} = \sum_k \left[ (-E_k - \mu) \alpha_k^1 \alpha_k + (E_k - \mu) \beta_k^1 \beta_k \right].
\]

Reintroducing the local repulsive interaction \( H_{\text{int}} = \frac{U}{2} (n_i^a n_i^a + n_i^b n_i^b) \) at each site, which is responsible for eventually driving the 2-leg ladder into a Mott insulator state, we get back the Hamiltonian in Eqn. \[1\]. We focus on the low energy physics and thus ignore any effects of the upper band. Further, at the mean-field level we can focus only on the lowest energy \( k = 0, \pi \) modes in the low energy band, and write the Hamiltonian in terms of these modes. This leads to

\[
H_{\text{low}}^{\text{proj}} = (-E_0 - \mu) \sum_{i=0,\pi} \alpha_i^1 \alpha_i^1 + U (u_0^4 + v_0^4) \sum_{i=0,\pi} \alpha_i^1 \alpha_i^1 \alpha_i \alpha_i + 8U u_0^2 v_0^2 \alpha_0^1 \alpha_0^1 \alpha_\pi \alpha_\pi + 2U u_0^2 v_0^2 \alpha_\pi \alpha_\pi \alpha_0 \alpha_0
\]

If we were to condense the \( \alpha_i \) bosons with \( \langle \alpha_i \rangle = \varphi_i \) (where \( i = 0, \pi \)), we get the mean field energy

\[
E_{\text{low}}^{\text{mf}} = (-E_0 - \mu) \sum_{i=0,\pi} |\varphi_i|^2 + U (u_0^4 + v_0^4) \sum_{i=0,\pi} |\varphi_i|^4 + 8U u_0^2 v_0^2 |\varphi_\pi|^2 |\varphi_\pi|^2 + 2U u_0^2 v_0^2 (|\varphi_\pi|^2 |\varphi_\pi|^2 + |\varphi_\pi|^2 |\varphi_\pi|^2)
\]

where the final term describes “Umklapp effects” which transfers a pair of bosons from one minimum to the other. Minimizing the interaction energy with respect to the phase difference between \( \varphi_0 \) and \( \varphi_\pi \) gives a value of \( \pm \pi / 2 \) phase for this quantity. Minimizing with respect to the amplitude of the two condensates we find it to be the same for both, which we call \( \psi \). This gives us up to a global phase rotation,

\[
\langle a_x \rangle = \psi \left[ u_0 \pm i v_0 (\pi - 1)^2 \right]
\]

This leads to a spatially uniform boson density and bond energy, but to a spatially varying bond current density which forms a staggered pattern, much like a vortex-antivortex crystal. Specifically, the bond currents are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
j^a_{x,x+1} &= -it (a_x^+ a_{x+1} - a_{x+1}^+ a_x) \\
&= \mp 4t u_0^2 v_0 (\pi - 1)^2 \\
j^b_{x,x+1} &= +it (b_x^+ b_{x+1} - b_{x+1}^+ b_x) \\
&= \mp 4t u_0^2 v_0 (\pi - 1)^2 \\
j^{ab}_{x} &= -it (a_x^+ b_x - b_x^+ a_x) \\
&= \mp 2t_1 \psi^2 (u_0^2 - v_0^2) (\pi - 1)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that current conservation at each lattice point works out if we use the fact, coming from the single particle dispersion analysis, that \( 4t u_0 v_0 = t_\perp (v_0^2 - u_0^2) \). The two possible choices for the sign of each bond current correspond to two distinct current order patterns which are related to one another by time-reversal or by a unit translation.

**C. Mean field theory of the Mott transition**

We next turn to the Mott insulating state induced by strong repulsion in the CSF state. We describe here the mean field theory of this Mott transition, which we then argue to be inadequate to describe the physics. To obtain the mean field CSF to insulator phase boundary, we consider the single site Hamiltonian (motivated by our previous discussion of the CSF),

\[
H_{\text{mf}}^{\text{single}}(a) = -2t \psi ((u_0 - i v_0) a^+ + (u_0 + i v_0) a) \\
- t_\perp \psi ((v_0 + i u_0) a^+ + (v_0 - i u_0) a) \\
+ \frac{U}{2} a^1 a^1 a - \mu a^1 a
\]

Setting \( t \) and \( t_\perp \) to 0 we obtain a single site Hamiltonian, whose ground state is a true number state \( |n\rangle \) given by \( U/(n+1) < \mu < U n \). Turning on a small nonzero \( \psi \) leads to the first order corrected wavefunction

\[
|\tilde{n}\rangle^{(1)} = |n\rangle + \frac{\psi (r - is) \sqrt{n + 1}}{U n - \mu} |n + 1\rangle + \frac{\psi (r + is) \sqrt{n}}{\mu - U (n - 1)} |n - 1\rangle,
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
r &\equiv (2tu_0 + t_\perp v_0), \\
s &\equiv (2tv_0 - t_\perp u_0).
\end{align*}
\]

The resulting linearized self-consistent equation for \( \psi \) leads to the phase boundary

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi^2 + r^2_\perp}} = \frac{n}{\mu - U (n - 1)} + \frac{n + 1}{U n - \mu}
\]
with $U(n-1) < \mu < Un$.

This result for the SF-MI phase boundary is exactly the same as in the usual mean field SF-MI phase diagram provided we replace $zt \rightarrow \sqrt{4t^2 + t_L^2}$ in the conventional result (where $z$ is the coordination number), so that the Mott transition happens when

$$U_{c, \text{ladder}}^{\pi-\text{flux}} = g_*$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where $g_* \approx 5.83$ at a filling of one boson per site.

We end by noting that this mean field theory does not have explicit current density wave and superfluidity as two independent order parameters, since the current is simply a product of the Bose condensate at two different sites. Thus the superfluidity and any time-reversal breaking orders vanish together at a single SF-MI transition. However, such a vanishing of two completely different orders at a single continuous Mott transition is not expected to be generic and is Landau-forbidden. This encourages us to pursue careful numerical studies of this model which enable us to go beyond this simple mean field theory.

IV. CLASSICAL XY MODEL

As noted above, mean field theory fails to give us the complete picture of the FFBH model. In order to go beyond this approach, we map the quantum FFXY model (which is a good description of the FFBH model at large integer filling) to an effective classical model on a space-time lattice by stacking of ladders atop each other in the imaginary space time. To do this we construct a rotor model from the fully frustrated Bose Hubbard model in Eqn. (1), such a rotor model ignores amplitude fluctuations and is expected to be a valid effective description at large fillings. Setting $a_x \sim \exp(-i\varphi_x^a)$ and $b_x \sim \exp(-i\varphi_x^b)$ and replacing the number operators $a^{a,b}$ by angular momentum operators $L^{a,b}$ which cause fluctuations in the phases (angles) $\varphi^{a,b}$ we obtain the partition function in terms of the classical action in one higher dimension as

$$Z = \sum_{\{\varphi_{x,\tau}\}} e^{-S_{\text{cl}}^{1+1}[\varphi]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where

$$S_{\text{cl}}^{1+1} = -\sum_{x,\tau} \left[ J_{\parallel} \cos(\varphi^{a}_{x+1,\tau} - \varphi^{a}_{x,\tau}) - J_{\parallel} \cos(\varphi^{b}_{x+1,\tau} - \varphi^{b}_{x,\tau}) + J_{\perp} \cos(\varphi^{a}_{x,\tau} - \varphi^{b}_{x,\tau}) \right]$$

$$- J_{\perp} \sum_{x,\tau} \left[ \cos(\varphi^{a}_{x,\tau+1} - \varphi^{a}_{x,\tau}) + \cos(\varphi^{b}_{x,\tau+1} - \varphi^{b}_{x,\tau}) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

with $2\tilde{t} = J_{\parallel}$, $2\tilde{t}_\perp = J_{\perp}$, and $1/\epsilon U = J_\tau$ (see Appendix A for a detailed derivation). We see that this has the form of a $XY$ model.

$$H_{XY} = -\sum_{i,\delta} J_\delta \cos(\varphi_i - \varphi_{i+\delta}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Here the classical variable $\varphi_i$ corresponds to the boson phases and $(i, i+\delta)$ are the nearest neighbours along the space-time direction $\delta$. The couplings $J_\delta$ take the values $\pm J_{\parallel}$ on the two legs, $J_{\perp}$ on the rungs linking the two layers, and $J_\tau$ in the imaginary time direction. In order to get the properties of the quantum model at a fixed inverse temperature $\beta\tilde{t}$, we must take the ‘time’-continuum limit of $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, sending $J_{\parallel} \rightarrow 0$, $J_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$, and $J_\tau \rightarrow \infty$, while keeping fixed $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} = \tilde{t}_\perp/\tilde{t}$ and $J_\tau J_{\parallel} = 2\tilde{t}/U$. The inverse temperature $\beta\tilde{t}$ is then given by $\epsilon\tilde{t}L_\tau$ and thus depends on the chosen value of $\epsilon\tilde{t}$ (which must be taken to be very small) and the size of the simulation cell in the ‘time’-direction. We set $\epsilon = 1/\sqrt{2U}$ which leads to $J_{\parallel} = J_\tau = \sqrt{2\tilde{t}/U}$.

This effective square lattice bilayer XY Hamiltonian for the FFBH model can be simulated using a classical Monte Carlo algorithm. The Monte Carlo simulation was performed using the Metropolis algorithm with selective energy conserving moves to increase the acceptance rates at large coupling. About $10^6 - 10^7$ steps were used to calculate the equilibrium values of the various quantities at the largest system sizes.

The ordinary Mott insulator of the FFBH model corresponds to a fully disordered paramagnetic state of the effective bilayer classical $XY$ model. Starting from the superfluid phase at small $1/J_\tau$, we detect the vanishing of superfluidity in this model by calculating the helicity modulus $\Gamma$, which corresponds to a response to an infinitesimal phase twist in the spatial direction. Explicitly,

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \Phi^2} \bigg|_{\Phi \rightarrow 0},$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where the free energy

$$F = -\log \sum_{\{\varphi_{x,\tau}\}} e^{-S_{\text{cl}}^{1+1}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

and $\Phi$ is the flux twist along the $\parallel$ direction.
As seen from Fig. 4, $\Gamma$ appears to drop precipitously in the range $1/J_\tau \sim 0.8 - 1.1$, with the size dependence of $\Gamma$ indicative of a jump in the thermodynamic limit, as expected at a BKT transition. However, for finite-sized systems, this jump is rounded off and one has to use finite-size scaling to precisely locate the transition. The relevant equation which governs the behavior of the helicity modulus at the transition point is obtained from integrating the Kosterlitz-Thouless renormalization group equations, and yields \cite{21,41}:

$$\Gamma(L) = A \left(1 + \frac{1}{2 \log L} + \frac{1}{C} \right),$$

(27)

where the constant $A$ has the universal value of $2/\pi$ for the BKT transition while $C$ is a non-universal constant and the classical system is of size $L \times L \times 2$ (with the factor of 2 for our bilayer). $\Gamma(L)$ is calculated numerically for different values of the parameter being varied to effect the transition and fit to Eqn. 27. The value of the parameter which gives the best fit is where the transition takes place. In Fig. 4 we show that the BKT transition point from CSF to CMI is at $1/J_\tau = 0.887(1)$ for $J_\perp = 1$. This method has been used to successfully locate the thermal BKT transition for a single layer XY model, with or without frustration\cite{21}, and has also been used to detect non-BKT thermal transitions driven by half-vortices (which yield $A = 8/\pi$) in spinor condensates\cite{22}. Using this technique for several values of $J_\perp$ we obtain the boundary for the CSF-CMI transition as shown in Fig. 3.

The Ising transition requires a different method for its detection. Since the chiral order is truly long ranged, we can use the method of Binder cumulants to accurately locate the transition. For an order parameter $m$, the Binder cumulant is defined as

$$B_L = \left(1 - \frac{\langle m^4 \rangle_L}{3 \langle m^2 \rangle_L^2} \right).$$

(28)

For our system, the order parameter is given by

$$m = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i\tau} (-1)^i J_{i\tau},$$

(29)

where $J_{i\tau}$ is the current around a plaquette normal to the time direction. $i$ and $\tau$ are respectively the coordinates in the $\parallel$ and time directions. If $a(i, \tau)$, $a(i + 1, \tau)$, $b(i + 1, \tau)$ and $b(i, \tau)$ are the vertices of the plaquette going around clockwise,

$$J_{i\tau} = J_\parallel \left[ \sin (\varphi_{a+1,\tau}^a - \varphi_{b+1,\tau}^b) + \sin (\varphi_{a,\tau}^b - \varphi_{b,\tau}^a) \right] + J_\perp \left[ \sin (\varphi_{a+1,\tau}^a - \varphi_{b+1,\tau}^b) + \sin (\varphi_{a,\tau}^b - \varphi_{b,\tau}^a) \right].$$

(30)

The order parameter given by Eqs. 29 and 30 is like a staggered magnetization generated by the loop currents. Since the current in a given loop can be clockwise or counterclockwise, this order parameter is of the Ising type. The values of $B_L$ calculated for different $L$ are equal only at the fixed points of the system. In addition to the low and high temperature fixed point, they will be equal at the location of a continuous transition separating an ordered phase from a disordered phase. Thus, plotting $B_L$ as a function of the tuning parameters for different values of $L$ reveals the location of the transition at the point where the curves intersect as shown in Fig. 5. where we plot $B_L$ as a function of $1/J_\tau$ for $J_\perp = 1$.

Curves for different $L$ intersect at $1/J_\tau = 0.981(4)$ which is the transition point from CMI to MI phase.

It is important to note that the method of Binder cumulants does not assume any particular universality class for the transition. To verify that the transition in question is indeed of the Ising universality class, as expected
on the grounds that the CMI breaks a two-fold discrete translational symmetry (or time reversal symmetry, we have calculated the susceptibility $\chi$ for the onset of chiral order. At the transition, $\chi$ is expected to diverge with system size as $\chi \sim L^{\gamma/\nu}$, where $\gamma$ and $\nu$ are respectively, the conventional susceptibility and correlation length critical exponents. For the classical 2D Ising universality class, $\gamma/\nu = 7/4$, while we find $\gamma/\nu = 1.72$ (inset of Fig.5), which demonstrates that our transition is indeed in the Ising universality class. By performing this procedure for several values of $J_\perp = 1$ we obtain the Ising transition line as shown in the Fig.3.

In the previous studies of $H_{XY}$ it has been shown that there exists only one transition when $J_\perp = J_\parallel$ and for large anisotropy the system exhibits two separate transitions $^{43,44}$ In our study, we find the CMI phase at the fully symmetric point $J_\perp = J_\parallel = J_\perp$ indicating two transitions. The absence of the second phase transition in the previous study could be due to the small system sizes considered.

V. DENSITY MATRIX RENORMALIZATION GROUP STUDY

We have performed a finite size density matrix renormalization group (FS-DMRG) study on the model described by Eqn. 1 to understand different quantum phase transitions and compute an accurate phase diagram $^{45,46}$

In our FS-DMRG calculation we have mapped the 2-leg Bose-ladder into a single chain with appropriate hopping elements. Calculations were performed up to a system length of 200 sites (which is equivalent to 100 rungs of the ladder system) at a filling one boson per site. We keep six states per site and retain 200 density matrix states in our calculation. The error due to the weight of the discarded states is expected to be less than $10^{-5}$.

By calculating different relevant physical quantities we obtain an accurate ground state phase diagram of Eqn. 1 as explained below.

We analyze the CSF-CMI and CMI-MI transitions using a finite-size analysis of the momentum distribution function and the rung current structure factor respectively. These are defined as

$$n(k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{x,x'} e^{ik(x-x')} \left[ \langle a^\dagger_x a_{x'} \rangle + \langle b^\dagger_x b_{x'} \rangle \right]$$

and

$$S_j(k) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{x,x'} e^{ik(x-x')} \langle j_x j_{x'} \rangle,$$

where $j_x = i (a^\dagger_x b_x - b^\dagger_x a_x)$. At a BKT transition,

$$\langle a^\dagger_x a_{x'} \rangle = \langle b^\dagger_x b_{x'} \rangle \sim 1/|x-x'|^{1/4},$$

so $n(0)L^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 3/4$ is independent of the system length $L$. Thus curves of $n(0)L^{-3/4}$ as functions of the tuning parameter for different $L$ will intersect at a point which we have used to locate the transition as shown in Fig. V. In the top panel of Fig. V we plot $n(k = 0)L^{-3/4}$ versus $U/t$ with $t_1 = t$. The curves for different $L$ intersect at $U_{c1}/t \approx 3.98(1)$ showing the CSF-CMI transition. The inset shows that the charge gap in the insulator also becomes nonzero at this point, thus providing a nontrivial consistency check that we have correctly located the superfluid to insulator transition.

In order to see that such a intersection is not obtained for $\alpha < 3/4$ (corresponding to real space correlations decaying with a power law faster than $1/|x-x'|^{1/4}$), we plot, in the bottom left panel of Fig. V $n(0)L^{-\alpha}$ with

![Binder cumulants for the staggered current versus 1/Jt (for different L for J_\perp = 1) intersecting at a continuous transition at 1/Jt = 0.981(4) as also obtained in ref. 13. (Inset) Critical susceptibility versus L gives the ratio of critical exponents $\gamma/\nu \approx 1.72$, very close to 2D Ising value $\gamma/\nu = 7/4$.](image1)

![Phase diagram of the FFBH model in Eqn. 1 obtained using DMRG in ref. 13. Both the classical and quantum models exhibit a chiral Mott insulator (CMI) at intermediate correlations, intervening between a chiral superfluid (CSF) and an ordinary Mott insulator (MI).](image2)
$\alpha = 0.6$ and find that the crossing point of these curves for different system sizes drifts significantly instead of coinciding. For example, the crossing point between $L = 70$ and $L = 90$ is at $U/t = 4.040$, whereas it shifts to $U/t = 4.048$ between $L = 60$ and $L = 70$. Within the CSF state, however, we expect real space correlations to decay slower than $1/|x-x'|^{1/4}$, so that curves of $n(0)L^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 3/4$ are simply expected to cross at smaller $U$ (i.e., deeper into the CSF and away from the CSF-CMI transition). This is also shown in the bottom panels of Fig. [V] where the crossing point is much better defined for $\alpha = 0.75$ (bottom middle panel, critical point) and $\alpha = 0.8$ (bottom right panel, CSF state).

To summarize, the study of the momentum distribution shows that the ground state of the FFBH model has critical superfluid correlations, with $\alpha > 3/4$, but that there is no such critical superfluid for $\alpha < 3/4$, suggesting that $\alpha = 3/4$ is the endpoint of a line of critical points. Finally, the CSF-CMI transition located in this manner from the momentum distribution completely agrees with the superfluid to insulator transition inferred from the onset of a charge gap shown in the inset of Fig. [V]. Such a careful analysis thus unambiguously shows that CSF-CMI transition is of the usual BKT type.

Having located the CSF-CMI transition, we next turn to the CMI-MI transition. Based on the classical model study, the CMI-MI transition is expected to be an Ising transition. In order to locate this transition for the FFBH model using DMRG, we use the scaling form of the rung current structure factor $S_j(\pi)$. Since the chiral order is a staggered pattern of current loops, the ordering vector is at $k = \pi$. To obtain the critical point we use the full scaling form

$$S_j(\pi)L^{2\beta/\nu} = F\left((U - U_{c2}) L^{1/\nu}\right)$$

(34)

where $\beta$ and $\nu$ are respectively the order parameter and correlation length critical exponents, and $U$ is the tuning parameter with the transition located at $U_{c2}$. For the classical 2D Ising universality class, $\beta = 1/8$ and $\nu = 1$. As a result of the above scaling, curves of $S_j(\pi)L^{2\beta/\nu}$ for different system sizes intersect at the transition point $U_{c2} \approx 4.08(1)t$ as shown in Fig. [V]. Further, with this choice of exponents to rescale the structure factor and distance to the transition, we obtain a complete data collapse as shown in the inset of Fig. [V]. These are unambiguous indicators that we have correctly located the CMI-MI transition. The phase diagram obtained using this technique is shown in Fig. [V].

Finally, in order to show that the CMI is a charge gapped state of bosonic matter, we have computed the charge gap for $t = t_\perp = 1$. The thermodynamic extrapolation of this charge gap is shown in the inset of the top panel in Fig. [V]. The error on the gap is $\approx 0.01$. Again, this unambiguously points to a gapless CSF state for $U < U_{c1}$, while the states identified as CMI and MI have a nonzero charge gap.

Using DMRG, we have mapped out the momentum distribution in the various phases - CSF, CMI, and MI.

FIG. 7. Top panel: $n(0)L^{-3/4}$ as a function of $U/t$ for different system sizes, showing a crossing point at $U_{c1}/t = 3.98(2)$ which we identify as the CSF-CMI transition point which is in the BKT universality class. The inset shows the charge gap opening up at this transition into the insulator. Bottom panel: $n(0)L^{-\alpha}$ as a function of $U/t$ for three different values of $\alpha = 0.6$ (left), 0.75 (middle) and 0.8 (right) and different system sizes. The curves can be seen to cross sharply for $\alpha = 0.75$ and 0.8 whereas they do not for $\alpha = 0.6$.

An analytical discussion of this momentum distribution in the CSF state is given in Appendix B. In all the phases, we find two peaks at $k = 0, \pi$, as seen from the DMRG results shown in Fig. [V]. While the two peaks in the CSF phase are sharp and grow rapidly with system size, a careful scaling analysis shows that the peaks in the momentum distribution do not grow with system size in the CMI and MI. While this is hard to detect by eye in the CMI, which shows a sharp peak even for $L = 100$, the two peaks are clearly extremely broad in the MI.

VI. PHYSICAL PICTURES FOR THE CMI

Having identified the remarkable intermediate CMI state using careful numerical studies, we next turn to simple physical pictures for this state. We first describe the CMI as an exciton condensate approaching it from the Mott insulator. Next, we argue, from the superfluid
side, that the CMI may be alternatively viewed as a vortex supersolid.

A. Exciton Condensate

Consider the regular Mott insulator state with an integer \( n_0 \geq 1 \) number of bosons at each site at strong repulsion \( U \gg t, t_\perp \). The low energy excitations about this state correspond to ‘doublons’, obtained by having an extra particle at a particular site leading to an occupancy \( n_0+1 \), and ‘holes’, obtained by removing a particle leading to an occupancy \( n_0-1 \). The energy cost of creating a doublon is \( U(n_0-\mu) \), while the energy cost to create a hole is \( U(n_0-1) \). Once we have a single doublon or hole, this can move around by boson hopping - the relevant hopping matrix element for these particles can be shown to be just the bare boson hopping amplitude \( U \), or \( U_{\parallel} \) enhanced by a factor of \((n_0 + 1)\) for doublons and \( n_0 \) for holes. The resulting low energy bands of doublons and holes thus have energies

\[
\varepsilon_d(k) = U n_0 - \mu - (n_0 + 1)E_k, \quad (35)
\]

\[
\varepsilon_h(k) = \mu - U(n_0-1) - n_0E_k, \quad (36)
\]

where \(-E_k\) is the lowest band dispersion of a free single particle on the ladder, given by \(-E_k \equiv -\sqrt{(2t\cos k)^2 + t_\perp^2}\), which has degenerate minima at \( k = 0, \pi \). We can pick \( \mu \) such that we have particle-hole symmetry in the sense that the energy required to create the lowest energy hole (at \( k = 0, \pi \)) is the same as that required to create the lowest energy doublon (at \( k = 0, \pi \)). This leads to \( \mu = U(n_0 - 1/2) - E_0/2 \), and

\[
\varepsilon_d(0/\pi) = \varepsilon_h(0/\pi) = \frac{U}{2} - (n_0 + \frac{1}{2})E_0. \quad (37)
\]

At large \( U \gg t, t_\perp \), this energy is positive, so that doublons and holes are suppressed in the Mott insulating ground state. A crude estimate of the transition from the Mott insulator to a superfluid phase is given by demanding that \( \varepsilon_{d,h}(0/\pi) = 0 \), which yields \( U_{\perp}(n_0) = (2n_0 + 1)\sqrt{4t^2 + t_\perp^2} \), which for \( t_\perp/t = 1 \) and \( n_0 = 1 \) yields \( U_{\perp} = 6.7t \), within a factor-of-two of the numerical DMRG result. More importantly, this calculation shows that the excitations of the regular Mott insulator are similar to gapped particles and holes in a semiconductor, and the Mott insulator to superfluid transition is similar to metallizing a semiconductor by reducing its gap. This suggests the possibility of forming doublon-hole bound states, akin to excitons, in the vicinity of the insulator to superfluid transition where the gap is small. Since our “Mott semiconductor” has multiple minima in its band dispersion, both ‘direct excitons’ and ‘indirect excitons’ are possible depending on whether the doublon and the hole are at the same momentum (both at \( k = 0 \) or both at \( k = \pi \)), or are at different momenta (one at \( k = 0 \) and the other at \( k = \pi \)).

Insight into the nature of the CMI is obtained by noting that the rung current operator \( j_{ab}(x) = -it_\perp(b^\dagger_x a_y - b^\dagger_y a_x) \) can be re-expressed in the Mott regime as

\[
j_{ab}(x)|\text{Mott}\rangle = -it_\perp \sqrt{n_0(n_0+1)}(\hat{d}_{a}^\dagger(x)\hat{h}^\dagger_{b}(x) - \hat{d}_{b}^\dagger(x)\hat{h}^\dagger_{a}(x))|\text{Mott}\rangle
\]

(38)
where the operators $d_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x)$ and $h_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x)$ create doublons or holes on leg a/b on the rung labelled by x, and (Mott) denotes a caricature of a Mott state with precisely $n_0$ bosons at each site. Focusing on the low energy doublon and hole modes amounts to projecting these creation operators to the lowest dispersing band, and to the vicinity of $k = 0, \pi$. This yields

$$h_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) = u_0 \tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) + v_0 (-1)^{\pi} \tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) \quad (39)$$
$$h_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) = v_0 \tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) + u_0 (-1)^{\pi} \tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) \quad (40)$$
$$d_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) = u_0 \tilde{d}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) + v_0 (-1)^{\pi} \tilde{d}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) \quad (41)$$
$$d_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) = v_0 \tilde{d}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) + u_0 (-1)^{\pi} \tilde{d}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) \quad (42)$$

where $\tilde{h}^{\dagger}, \tilde{d}^{\dagger}$ are the low energy creation operators in the vicinity of the indicated momenta. In terms of these, the rung current operator acting on the Mott state becomes

$$j_{ab}(x)|\text{Mott}\rangle = -it_{\perp}(u_0^2 - v_0^2)(-1)^{\pi} \langle \tilde{d}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} - \tilde{h}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \tilde{d}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} |\text{Mott}\rangle. \quad (43)$$

This shows that the current operator behaves as a composite operator that creates an ‘indirect exciton’. It suggests that the CMI state, in which the current operator acts as a composite operator that creates an ‘indirect exciton’. It gives rise to a CSF with a Gutzwiller factor (squares), and (iii) the CMI state with a long-range Jastrow (triangles) which leads to an exponential decay of $G^{(2)}(x-x')$. The oscillation stem from low energy boson modes at momenta $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$. (Inset) Nonzero staggered current order $(-1)^{\pi} \langle J_{\pi}(x) \rangle$ in the mean field CSF and in the CMI.

### B. Vortex Supersolid

The CSF which possesses staggered loop currents can be understood as a vortex-antivortex crystal. In such a situation, the vortices and antivortices are generated due to the effect of frustration, and due to the repulsion between them, they arrange themselves in and antiferromagnetic order. When $U$ is large, the vortices delocalize to form a vortex superfluid. The interesting thing happens when there exists a small number delocalized and coherent defects (vacancies or interstitials) in the vortex crystal. These can destroy the superfluidity but while preserving the underlying vortex crystal structure. The resulting state can be regarded as a vortex supersolid, and it is equivalent to the CMI in our model.

![FIG. 10. Boson correlator $G^{(2)}(x-x') = \langle a^\dagger_{\alpha} a_{\alpha'} \rangle$ computed using variational Monte Carlo method for: (i) the mean field CSF state (circles) with off-diagonal long range order, (ii) a correlated CSF with a Gutzwiller factor (squares), and (iii) the CMI state with a long-range Jastrow (triangles) which leads to an exponential decay of $G^{(2)}(x-x')$. The oscillations stem from low energy boson modes at momenta $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$. (Inset) Nonzero staggered current order $(-1)^{\pi} \langle J_{\pi}(x) \rangle$ in the mean field CSF and in the CMI.](image_url)

### VII. VARIATIONAL WAVEFUNCTION FOR THE CMI

In order to go beyond mean field theory within a wavefunction approach, one can incorporate Jastrow factors which build in interparticle correlations. Such Jastrow wavefunctions for an N-boson system take the form

$$\Psi(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N) = e^{-\sum_{i<j} \bar{v}(r_i-r_j)} \Psi_{MF}(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N) \quad (44)$$

The simplest example of such a state is the Gutzwiller wavefunction for which $\bar{v}(r_i-r_j)$ is nonzero and positive for $r_i = r_j$ and is zero if $r_i \neq r_j$. Such a Gutzwiller factor builds in correlation effects by suppressing those configurations in which multiple bosons occupy the same site. Such a Gutzwiller wavefunction is however inadequate to describe superfluid and insulating states of bosons. The reason is that such short range Jastrow factors do not correctly encode the small momentum behavior of the equal time density structure factor. For a superfluid which supports a linearly dispersing sound mode at low momentum, we need to have the Fourier transformed Jastrow factor $\bar{v}(q \to 0) \sim 1/q$, while a gapped insulator in 1D should have $\bar{v}(q \to 0) \sim 1/q^2$, as discussed in Ref. 26.

In order to describe the chiral Mott insulator, we therefore fix $\Psi_{MF}$ to be the mean field chiral superfluid, and choose the Jastrow factor to have two pieces, a short range (on-site) Gutzwiller piece $v_{SR}$ and a long range part $v_{LR}$. We parametrize the on-site Gutzwiller factor to have strength $g_S$, and choose the long range Jastrow factor to have a Fourier transform, $v_{LR}(q) = 1/(1-\cos q)$, and with a strength $g_L$. Using this parametrization, we
have computed the properties of the resulting variational wavefunction using standard Monte Carlo sampling, averaging over $10^6$-$10^7$ boson configurations on the two-leg ladder for system sizes upto $L = 500$.

Fig. 10 shows the computed 2-point correlation function $G^{(2)}(x - x') = \langle a_x^\dagger a_{x'} \rangle$ for different choices of $g_S$ and $g_L$. For $g_S = g_L = 0$, we find the mean field result where $G^{(2)}(r)$ saturates to a nonzero value, while exhibiting oscillations which correspond to the fact that there are boson modes at both momenta $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$ making up the condensate wavefunction. For $g_S = 1$ and $g_L = 0$, the local Gutzwiller factor suppresses multiple occupancy - this weakens but does not destroy the off-diagonal long range order present in the mean field state. For $g_S = 1$ and $g_L = 0.1$, we find a rapid exponential decay of $G^{(2)}(x - x')$ (shown in Fig. 8), with a correlation length $\xi \sim 25$ lattice spacings obtained from a fit to the numerical data. This state thus has exponentially decaying boson correlations indicating a gapped ground state.

This is in agreement with the behavior of the boson density structure factor $S(q)$. For $g_S = 1$ and $g_L = 0.1$, we find, as shown in Fig. 11, that the structure factor exhibits a $\sim q^2$ behavior at small momentum which suggests, within the Feynman single-mode approximation, a gapped ground state. From the inset of Fig. 11 we find that this state nevertheless has an average nonzero staggered current in each plaquette which is inherited from the mean field state, although it is slightly suppressed due to the on-site Gutzwiller correlations. This Jastrow correlated state thus provides a simple variational ansatz for the Chiral Mott insulator ground state.

VIII. EXPERIMENTAL DETECTION

How might the CMI be realized in experiments and detected? One possibility would be to try to utilise it in a Josephson junction ladder with a flux of $hc/4e$ per plaquette. The detection of this phase would then involve a measurement of the resistivity to verify that it is an insulator along with a measurement of local magnetic moments to detect the pattern of staggered moments generated by the circulating currents. An estimate shows that junctions with a coupling of about 1 Kelvin and lattice parameter of 10 $\mu$m could produce staggered fields of about 1 nT resulting from the staggered moments, which can be measured by high precision Superconducting Quantum Interference Device (SQUID) microscopy.

Another possibility is to use synthetic magnetic fields to generate the $\pi$ flux in a system of cold atoms in an optical lattice. The $\pi$ flux will then produce twin peaks in the single particle momentum distribution, which will be sharp in the CSF and get broadened by the interaction in the CMI and MI. There are two possible ways to distinguish between the CMI and the MI experimentally. 1) Intermode coherence can be tested for by Bragg interference of the $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$ peaks. The CMI will display coherence between the two modes while the MI will not. 2) The presence of staggered current patterns can be detected directly using a quench experiment. The staggered currents exist on bonds of a lattice circulating in a particular way (clockwise or anticlockwise) around the plaquettes. If the hopping on certain bonds (say those between the legs of the ladder) were to be suddenly turned off by a quench, the current loops would be broken resulting in charge accumulation and depletion at lattice sites at later times, resulting in a time-dependent staggered modulation of the local charge density. This density modulation can then be used to back out the original pattern of currents flowing on the bonds of the lattice. Such modulations would also be present in the CSF state, where the density modulations and oscillations would be likely to persist for longer, whereas they would be short lived in the CMI due to heating effects from the quench-induced nonequilibrium currents. The observation of such quench induced density dynamics (albeit short-lived) together with a charge gap would be an unambiguous signature of an intermediate CMI state.

IX. GENERALIZATIONS

We next discuss potential generalizations of our work along various directions - although we do not have, at this stage, detailed numerical studies on these examples, they are sufficiently well motivated physically and worth examining in more detail in the future. We begin by noting the generalization to the case of staggered flux on the ladder, where we have alternating fluxes $\Phi - \Phi$ with $0 < \Phi < \pi$. We then discuss a possible generalization of the FFBH model to 2D.
A. Staggered flux on a 2-leg ladder

Instead of a uniform $\pi$-flux per plaquette, let us imagine having staggered fluxes $\Phi, -\Phi$ on the two-leg ladder, with $0 < \Phi < \pi$. The resulting band dispersion of noninteracting particles exhibits a single non-degenerate minimum at $k = 0$. Condensing into this minimum leads to a superfluid with a unique current pattern, which alternates from plaquette to plaquette but whose sense is entirely locked to the underlying flux pattern since the Hamiltonian itself breaks time-reversal symmetry. In this sense, moving away from the fully frustrated limit towards a staggered flux state is like turning on a “magnetic field” which couples to the underlying Ising order associated with loop currents. Thus, the staggered loop currents survive to arbitrarily large $U/t$, in much the same way as the paramagnetic phase of the usual Ising ferromagnet acquires a nonzero magnetization in a uniform magnetic field at any finite temperature. Turning to the quantum phase diagram of the staggered flux Bose Hubbard or quantum XY models, we lose the distinction between the CMI and the MI, so the Ising transition associated with this distinction will be replaced by a crossover. We thus expect to have a single BKT transition from a superfluid to an insulator, with non-vanishing staggered loop currents at all values of $U/t$. Numerical studies confirming this prediction would be valuable.

B. 2D analogue of the bosonic CMI state

The 2-leg ladder FFBH model we have studied here provides a nice example of an unusual weak Mott insulator state which supports loop currents since the virtual boson number fluctuations permit the bosons to ‘sense’ the flux on each plaquette even though they are insulating at longer length scales. Imagine weakly coupling many such ladders lying next to each other to form an anisotropic version of the 2D FFBH model, where each square plaquette still has $\pi$-flux, but where the boson hopping takes on intra-chain values $\pm t$ along alternate chains, with a modulated interchain hopping which takes on a value $t_\perp$ within a ladder (intra-ladder rung hopping) and $t'_\perp$ between adjacent ladders (inter-ladder hopping). The sketch of this model is shown in Fig. 12 (left panel). When $t'_\perp = 0$, we get a set of decoupled FFBH ladders which support a fully gapped CMI state. We thus expect that this phase will be stable so long as the gap in the CMI state is much larger than the inter-ladder hopping $t'_\perp$. The charge gap in the CMI would render this stable against Bose condensation into a 2D superfluid. Similarly, the Ising gap associated with the broken $Z_2$ time-reversal symmetry would render this 2D CMI stable against disordering of the current loop order for small values of $t'_\perp$. Based on the fact that vortices repel each other, and would prefer a global $(\pi, \pi)$ antiferromagnetic ordering pattern in 2D, we expect the current loops on the different ladders to phase lock in-step producing a fully gapped 2D CMI state with staggered loop currents as shown in the left panel of Fig. 12. Such a CMI state would lead to a chiral edge current along any of the $[11]$ edges of the lattice as shown in the right panel of Fig. 12. Numerical studies of weakly coupled ladders within a classical XY model calculation would be valuable to explore the full phase diagram as a function of $U/t, t_\perp/t$, and $t'_\perp/t$.

X. SUMMARY

We have computed the accurate phase diagram of the FFBH model using two different numerical techniques: Monte Carlo simulations and the FS-DMRG method. We have consistently obtained qualitatively similar phase diagrams depicting three different quantum phases: CSF, CMI and MI. The CMI phase is sandwiched between the CSF and the MI phase, and is a remarkable state of bosonic matter, being an insulator but with staggered loop currents which spontaneously break time-reversal symmetry. We have confirmed that the transition from CSF-CMI is BKT type and the transition from CMI to MI is of Ising type. We have also shown that the boson momentum distribution has different properties in these phases. We present the two different physical pictures for the CMI as an exciton condensate and the vortex super-solid. A variational wavefunction which can explain the CMI phase is discussed. Finally, we have proposed possible experimental signature of different quantum phases which can be realizable in the experiments involving cold atoms in optical lattices and Josephson junction arrays, and discussed higher dimensional realizations of the CMI in a FFBH model.
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Appendix A: Derivation of classical XY model

We define the new rotor variables to satisfy the following commutators:

\[
[e^{-i\varphi^x}, L^y_x] = e^{-i\varphi^x} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{x',x} \\
[e^{-i\varphi^y}, e^{-i\varphi^x}] = 0 \\
[L^\alpha_x, L^\beta_{x'}] = 0
\]
where \( \alpha/\beta = a, b \). In terms of these, the Hamiltonian takes the form

\[
H_{\text{rotor}} = -2\tilde{t}\sum_x \cos(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_x^{a+1}) + 2\tilde{t}\sum_x \cos(\varphi_x^b - \varphi_x^{b+1}) - 2\tilde{t}_\perp \sum_x \cos(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_x^b)
+ \frac{U}{2} \sum_x \left[(L_x^a)^2 + (L_x^b)^2\right] - \mu \sum_x (L_x^a + L_x^b),
\]

where we have allowed the rotor hopping to be proportional to the original boson hopping, with \( \tilde{t}_\perp / \tilde{t} = t_\perp / t \).

We expect the proportionality constant to be such that \( \tilde{t} = t \psi^2 \approx t \rho \) where \( \rho \) is the boson density per site.

At \( \mu = 0 \), which corresponds to an average of one boson per site, we can easily go to the path integral representation. We start with the partition function

\[
Z = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H_{\text{rotor}}})
\]

and use Trotter discretization to set

\[
\exp(-\beta H_{\text{rotor}}) = \left[\exp(-\epsilon H_{\text{rotor}})\right]^{L_x}, \quad \text{with} \quad \epsilon = \beta / L_x.
\]

The partition function then takes the form

\[
Z = \sum_{\{\varphi_x\}} \langle\{\varphi_x, \tau\}|e^{-\epsilon H_{\text{rotor}}}|\{\varphi_x, L_x-1\}\rangle \ldots
\]

\[
\ldots \langle\{\varphi_x\}|e^{-\epsilon H_{\text{rotor}}}|\{\varphi_x, 0\}\rangle
\]

where we have chosen to compute the trace in the angle basis and intermediate states are also in this basis. Here, single curly brackets refer to an angle-configuration at a fixed ‘time’-slice, while double curly brackets denote angle-configuration over all of space-‘time’. Let us consider the matrix element

\[
\langle\{\varphi_x, \tau\}|e^{-\epsilon H_{\text{rotor}}}|\{\varphi_x, \tau-1\}\rangle.
\]

Since \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we can set

\[
\langle\{\varphi_x, \tau\}|e^{-\epsilon H_{\text{rotor}}}|\{\varphi_x, \tau-1\}\rangle
\approx \langle\{\varphi_x, \tau\}|e^{-\epsilon V_{\text{rotor}}} e^{-\epsilon K_{\text{rotor}}}|\{\varphi_x, \tau-1\}\rangle
\approx e^{-\epsilon V_{\text{rotor}}} \langle\{\varphi_x, \tau\}|e^{-\epsilon K_{\text{rotor}}}|\{\varphi_x, \tau-1\}\rangle
\]

where \( V \) and \( K \) are the potential \((\varphi, \varphi')\) and kinetic \((\varphi, \varphi')\) terms in the rotor Hamiltonian. Explicitly,

\[
V_{\text{rotor}}(|\varphi_x, \tau\rangle = -2\tilde{t}\sum_x \cos(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_x^{a+1})
+ 2\tilde{t}\sum_x \cos(\varphi_x^b - \varphi_x^{b+1})
- 2\tilde{t}_\perp \sum_x \cos(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_x^b).
\]

and

\[
K_{\text{rotor}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 U} \sum_{x, \tau} \cos(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_x^{a+1}) + \cos(\varphi_x^b - \varphi_x^{b+1})
\]

This gives us the partition function in terms of a classical action in one higher dimension

\[
Z = \sum_{\{\varphi_x\}} e^{-S_{\text{cl}}^{1+1}[\varphi]}
\]

where

\[
S_{\text{cl}}^{1+1} = -\sum_{x, \tau} [J_\parallel \cos(\varphi_x^a+1, \tau - \varphi_x^a, \tau) - J_\parallel \cos(\varphi_x^b+1, \tau - \varphi_x^b, \tau) + J_\perp \cos(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_x^b, \tau)]
- J_\tau \sum_{x, \tau} [\cos(\varphi_x^a+1 - \varphi_x^a, \tau) + \cos(\varphi_x^b+1 - \varphi_x^b, \tau)],
\]

with \( 2\tilde{t} = J_\parallel, 2\tilde{t}_\perp = J_\perp, \) and \( 1/\epsilon U = J_\tau \). We see that this has the form of an anisotropic XY model. In order to get the properties of the quantum model at a fixed inverse temperature \( \beta \tilde{t} \), we must take the ‘time’-continuum limit of \( \epsilon \to 0 \), sending \( J_\parallel \to 0 \), \( J_\perp \to 0 \), and \( J_\tau \to \infty \), while keeping fixed \( J_\parallel/J_\parallel = \tilde{t}_\perp/\tilde{t} \) and \( J_\tau/J_\parallel = 2\tilde{t}/U \). The inverse temperature \( \beta \tilde{t} \) is then given by \( \epsilon L_\tau \) and thus depends on the chosen value of \( \epsilon t \) (which must be taken to be very small) and the size of the simulation cell in the ‘time’-direction. We set \( \epsilon = 1/\sqrt{2U \tilde{t}} \) which leads to \( J_\parallel = J_\tau = \sqrt{2U \tilde{t}} \).

**Appendix B: Momentum Distribution**

The main result of this appendix is to show that the peaks in the CSF phase of the ladder model have a non-universal power law divergences, with equal exponents, at \( k = 0, \pi \). This is consistent with our DMRG simulation results of the FFBH model which show two such equal height and power law divergent peaks in momentum distribution in the CSF state (shown in Fig. 4 and discussed in Sec. V).

To analytically calculate the momentum distribution in the CSF on the ladder, we begin by carrying out a classical minimization of the rotor potential energy in Eq. (A3) which leads to the equations

\[
\langle\{\varphi_x, \tau\}|e^{-\epsilon V_{\text{rotor}}} e^{-\epsilon K_{\text{rotor}}}|\{\varphi_x, \tau-1\}\rangle
\approx e^{-\epsilon V_{\text{rotor}}} \langle\{\varphi_x, \tau\}|e^{-\epsilon K_{\text{rotor}}}|\{\varphi_x, \tau-1\}\rangle
\]

where \( V \) and \( K \) are the potential \((\varphi, \varphi')\) and kinetic \((\varphi, \varphi')\) terms in the rotor Hamiltonian. Explicitly,
\[ \tilde{t} [\sin(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_{x+1}^a) + \sin(\varphi_x^b - \varphi_{x+1}^b)] + \tilde{t}_\perp \sin(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_x^b) = 0. \] (B1)

\[ \tilde{t} [\sin(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_{x+1}^b) + \sin(\varphi_x^b - \varphi_{x-1}^a)] + \tilde{t}_\perp \sin(\varphi_x^a - \varphi_x^b) = 0. \] (B2)

It is straightforward to check that we satisfy these equations by substituting \( \varphi_x^a = u_0, \cos \varphi_x^b = v_0, \sin \varphi_x^b = \pm v_0 - 1 \), and \( \sin \varphi_x^a = \pm u_0 - 1 \), where \( u_0, v_0 \) are defined via Eqs. (11). For now, let us stick with the '+' solution. We can write this solution as \( \varphi_x^a = (-1)^x \Psi, \varphi_x^b = (-1)^x (\pi/2 - \Psi) \) where \( \Psi = u_0 \) and \( \sin \Psi = v_0 \). To take small fluctuations into account, we must set

\[ \varphi_x^a = (-1)^x \Psi + \tilde{\phi}_x^a, \] (B3)
\[ \varphi_x^b = (-1)^x (\pi/2 - \Psi) + \tilde{\phi}_x^b. \] (B4)

Further, for small fluctuations, we must set \( \exp(-i \tilde{\phi}) \approx 1 - i \tilde{\phi} \), so that the full commutation relations are replaced by

\[
\begin{align*}
[\tilde{\phi}_x^a, L_x^\alpha] &= i \delta^\alpha_\beta \delta_{x,x'}, \quad \text{(B6)} \\
[\tilde{\phi}_x^a, \tilde{\phi}_x^\beta] &= 0, \quad \text{(B7)} \\
[L_x^\alpha, L_x^\beta] &= 0 \quad \text{(B8)}
\end{align*}
\]

so that \( \tilde{\phi} \) and \( L \) have exactly the same commutation relations as position/momentum variables. Let us now define

\[
H_{\text{rotor}}^{\text{small}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q,\alpha=a,b} \left[ 2 \tilde{t} \cos(2\Psi)(2 - 2 \cos q) + 2 \tilde{t}_\perp \sin(2\Psi) \right] \tilde{\phi}_q^\alpha \tilde{\phi}_q^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q} 2 \tilde{t}_\perp \sin(2\Psi) (\tilde{\phi}_q^a \tilde{\phi}_q^b + \tilde{\phi}_q^b \tilde{\phi}_q^a) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{q,\alpha} \tilde{L}_q^\alpha \tilde{L}_q^\alpha. \] (B10)

This is like a problem of two coupled oscillators for each \( q \), with each oscillator having a 'mass' = 1/\( U \), but with a \( 2 \times 2 \) spring constant matrix having eigenvalues

\[
K_+ (q) = 2 \tilde{t} \cos(2\Psi)(2 - 2 \cos q) \] (B11)
\[
K_- (q) = 2 \tilde{t} \cos(2\Psi)(2 - 2 \cos q) + 4 \tilde{t}_\perp \sin(2\Psi). \] (B12)

This leads to a collective mode spectrum with two branches, \( \omega_q = \sqrt{UK_\pm (q)} \), where \( \omega_+ (q) = cq \) for small \( q \) behaves like an 'acoustic phonon' mode, while \( \omega_- (q) \) is like a gapped 'optical phonon' mode. Using the fact that \( \sin(2\Psi) = \tilde{t}_\perp / \sqrt{\tilde{t}_\perp^2 + 4 \tilde{t}^2} \) and \( \cos(2\Psi) = 2 \tilde{t} / \sqrt{\tilde{t}_\perp^2 + 4 \tilde{t}^2} \), we find that the 'speed of sound' is

\[
c = \sqrt{\frac{4U \tilde{t}^2}{\sqrt{4 \tilde{t}^2 + \tilde{t}_\perp^2}}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{4U \rho t^2}{\sqrt{4t^2 + \tilde{t}_\perp^2}}}, \] (B13)

while the minimum gap to the 'optical phonon' mode is
at \( q = 0 \) and given by
\[
\omega_-(q = 0) = \sqrt{\frac{4U^2+q^2}{4t^2+q^2}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{4U^2+q^2}}{\sqrt{4t^2+q^2}} \quad (B14)
\]
These should be viewed as analogs of a Bogoliubov theory result for a 1D system with no Bose condensate (i.e., no ODLRO). The off-diagonal one-body density matrix may be computed by noting that
\[
\langle a_x^\dagger a_y \rangle \sim \rho e^{\imath \Psi \Delta_-(x,y)} \langle e^{\imath \phi_x a_x - \imath \phi_y a_y} \rangle \quad (B15)
\]
where \( \Delta_\pm (x,y) = (-1)^x \pm (-1)^y \). Within the Gaussian theory, we get
\[
\langle a_x^\dagger a_y \rangle \sim \rho e^{\imath \Psi \Delta_-(x,y)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle (\phi_x a_x - \phi_y a_y)^2 \rangle} \quad (B16)
\]
The expectation value in the exponential can be evaluated as
\[
\langle (\phi_x - \phi_y)^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} dq \langle \phi_q^a \phi_q^a \rangle [2 - 2\cos(q(x-y))] \quad (B17)
\]
We find
\[
\langle \phi_q^a \phi_{-q} \rangle = \langle \phi_q^b \phi_{-q} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{U}{K_+(q)}} + \sqrt{\frac{U}{K_-(q)}} \right) \quad (B18)
\]
\[
\langle \phi_q^a \phi_{-q} \rangle = \langle \phi_q^b \phi_{-q} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{U}{K_+(q)}} - \sqrt{\frac{U}{K_-(q)}} \right) \quad (B19)
\]
Since \( K_+(q \rightarrow 0) \sim q^2 \), while \( K_-(q \rightarrow 0) \) remains nonzero, the long wavelength (small \( q \)) limit of all such correlations are given by
\[
\langle \phi_q^\alpha \phi_{-q}^\beta \rangle_{q \rightarrow 0} \approx \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{U}{K_+(q)}} \quad (B20)
\]
Using this, and defining
\[
F(x-y) = \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{4t^2}{2t \cos 2\Psi}} , \quad (B21)
\]
we find the long distance behavior of the one-body off-diagonal density matrix elements are given by
\[
\langle a_x^\dagger a_y \rangle \sim \rho e^{\imath \Psi \Delta_-(x,y)} F(x-y) \quad (B22)
\]
\[
\langle b_x^\dagger b_y \rangle \sim \rho e^{-\imath \Psi \Delta_-(x,y) + \imath \frac{\Phi}{2} \Delta_-(x,y)} F(x-y) \quad (B23)
\]
\[
\langle a_x^\dagger b_y \rangle \sim \rho e^{\imath \Psi \Delta_+(x,y) - \imath \frac{\Phi}{2} (1-y) F(x-y) \quad (B24)
\]
\[
\langle b_x^\dagger a_y \rangle \sim \rho e^{-\imath \Psi \Delta_+(x,y) + \imath \frac{\Phi}{2} (1-y) F(x-y) \quad (B25)
\]
Let us expand
\[
\langle a_x^\dagger a_y \rangle \sim \rho (\cos^2 \Psi + \sin^2 \Psi (-1)^x-y + \imath \Delta_-(x,y) \sin 2\Psi) F(x-y) \quad (B26)
\]
using which,
\[
<n_{aa}(k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{x,y} \langle a_x^\dagger a_y \rangle e^{ik(x-y)} \sim \rho \cos^2 \Psi \sum_r F(r)e^{ikr} + \rho \sin^2 \Psi \sum_r F(r)e^{-i\pi r - e^{ikr}}, \quad (B27)
\]
where \( L \) is the linear system size. We find \( n_{aa}(k \rightarrow 0) \sim (\rho \cos^2 \Psi) |k|^{-\alpha} \) and \( n_{aa}(k \rightarrow \pi) \sim (\rho \sin^2 \Psi) |k-\pi|^{-\alpha} \), where
\[
\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{U}{2t \cos 2\Psi}} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{U \sqrt{4t^2+q^2}}{4t^2+q^2}} \quad (B28)
\]
Similarly, \( n_{bb}(k \rightarrow 0) \sim (\rho \sin^2 \Psi) |k|^{-\alpha} \) and \( n_{bb}(k \rightarrow \pi) \sim (\rho \cos^2 \Psi) |k-\pi|^{-\alpha} \), so that \( n_{aa}(k) + n_{bb}(k) \sim \rho (|k|^{-\alpha} + |k-\pi|^{-\alpha}) \). We can also calculate cross correlators such as \( n_{ab}(k) = \langle a_x^\dagger b_y \rangle \) and \( n_{ba}(k) = \langle b_x^\dagger a_y \rangle \). We find that \( n_{ab}(k) = n_{ba}(k) \), and that \( n_{ab}(k \rightarrow 0) \sim (\rho \sin \Psi \cos \Psi) |k|^{-\alpha} \), while \( n_{ab}(k \rightarrow \pi) \sim (\rho \sin \Psi \cos \Psi) |k-\pi|^{-\alpha} \).

We have thus shown that the peaks in the CSF phase of the ladder model have a non-universal power law divergences, with equal exponents, at \( k = 0, \pi \). Similarly, two (broad) equivalent peaks in the momentum distribution also appear in the MI, but they need a strong coupling expansion to compute as has been done, together with a collective mode analysis, for the 2D FFBH model\(^\text{11}\). At the BKT transition from the CSF to CMI, an analysis of which requires going beyond our harmonic theory, we expect the exponent to take on a universal value \( \alpha = 3/4 \). Such a bosonization analysis will be described elsewhere.