Isolation of a novel *Carica papaya* α-amylase inhibitor with deleterious activity toward *Callosobruchus maculatus*
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Abstract

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) is a subsistence crop for small and poor farmers from Latin America and Africa. This culture is commonly damaged by cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus*), which burrow into stored seeds to feed on. Due to impact of larval predation, several plant defense studies have been developed, indicating that α-amylase inhibitors are able to impede and/or reduce bruchids digestive process. In this report, a novel α-amylase inhibitor from papaya seeds (*Carica papaya*) with activity against cowpea weevil enzymes was purified and biochemical characterized. Peeled seeds were macerated and extracted with a 0.6 M NaCl and 0.1% HCl solution. Crude extract was precipitated with ammonium sulphate (100%). After dialyses, this rich fraction was applied onto a CM-Cellulose column and retained peak was submitted to an analytic reversed-phase column HPLC (Vydac C-18TP) yielding several peaks. Only one fraction, with molecular mass of 4562 Da, showed significant inhibitory activity against *C. maculatus* α-amylases. Otherwise, no inhibitory activities against mammalian α-amylases were observed. Bioassays using artificial seeds containing *C. papaya* α-amylase inhibitor rich fraction (0.5% and 1.0%) were also conducted showing that α-amylase inhibitors were able to increase larval mortality (50%) and also decrease insect fecundity and adult longevity. These results showed the presence of an α-amylase inhibitor from *C. papaya* seeds with high specificity to insect enzymes, indicating that this inhibitor probably could be used, through genetic engineering, in the construction of transgenic plants with enhanced resistance toward cowpea weevil.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) crop is commonly cultivated by small and poor farmers from Latin America and Africa, being used as main sources of carbohydrate and proteins. This crop is severely attacked by several pests and pathogens as the cowpea weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus*). This bruchid fed on starchy cowpea cotyledons during storage, causing dramatic degradation to dry seeds, obstructing the commercialization and human consume. Since metabolic energy, extremely necessary for larval development, could be originated from starch hydrolyzes, which produces mono- and disaccharides [1], several studies have been realized in order to understand α-amylase mechanisms. Actually an unique insect α-amylase, from digestive tract of *Tenebrio molitor* (TMA), has their three-dimensional structure elucidated. A single polypeptide chain of 471 amino acid residues length, one calcium ion, one chloride ion and 261 water molecules composed TMA structure [2]. Calcium ion seems important for enzyme stability, binding to one histidine residue in the active site, forming a hinge between the catalytic-site and Ca²⁺-binding site [2]. These interactions lead to a clear adaptation to acidic physiological larvae midgut environment [3].
In response to hydrolytic enzymes, several compounds found in nature are able to inhibit \(\alpha\)-amylases, which include different molecules as carbohydrates [4] and proteins [5]. Among plant non-proteinaceous inhibitors, several examples are cited as acarbose, iso-acarbose, acarviosine-glucose, hibiscus acid and cyclodextrins [5–7]. Otherwise, proteinaceous \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitors are also found in plants as well in micro-organisms and animals [8–11]. In plants, these inhibitors could occur as part of defense mechanisms, been particularly abundant in leguminous [12–15] and cereals [8,10,16–18]. Several reports described this inhibitory activity in several families [5,4], where mechanisms of action are deeply studied but not completely understood. Due to great diversity of \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitors and their expressive specificity variability, these inhibitors could be discerned according their effectiveness against target enzymes. \(\alpha\)-AIs were reported to inhibit digestive enzymes from several sources, including mammalian, insects and fungi [19,20]. \(\alpha\)-Amylase inhibitors found in wheat [10], barley [21] and Indian finger millet [22] are able to efficiently inhibit \(\alpha\)-amylases from insect sources, showing inhibitory activity against \(\alpha\)-amylases from \textit{C. maculatus}, \textit{Acanthoscelides obtectus}, \textit{Zabrottes subfasciatus} [10], \textit{T. molitor} [17] and \textit{Bacillus subtilis} [23]. In spite of several \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitors have been described in plant seeds, just few studies indicated the presence of inhibitors in fruits. One single example was shown with a glycoprotein with inhibitory activity against pectin methylesterase isolated from kiwi fruit (\textit{Actinidia chinensis}) [24]. Furthermore, a Kunitz-type trypsin inhibitor, a 24.0 kDa glycoprotein, was also purified from \textit{Carica papaya} homogeneity. Nevertheless, this inhibitor was no challenged against \(\alpha\)-amylases [42]. According our knowledge, no \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitor was isolated from papaya seeds before. Here, in this report, we described the isolation and molecular characterization of a novel \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitor from \textit{C. papaya} seeds as an alternative control for the cowpea weevil \textit{C. maculatus}.

2. Material and methods

2.1. \(\alpha\)-Amylases

Commercially available \(\alpha\)-amylase from porcine pancreas (PPA) and from human saliva were purchased from Sigma Co. Furthermore, \textit{C. maculatus} larval guts of 17–20-day-old were dissected in iced-cold iso-osmotic solution containing 25 mM NaCl, homogenized, and centrifuged at 4000g for 20 min at 4°C to remove gut walls and cellular debris. CMA supernatant was utilized for enzyme and inhibitory enzyme assays.

2.2. Isolation of \textit{C. papaya} \(\alpha\)-AI

\textit{Carica papaya} seeds were extracted with a solution of 0.6 M NaCl and 0.1% HCl with continuous stirring for 5 h at 4°C. Crude extract was centrifuged at 10,000g and 4°C for 40 min. Supernatant was submitted to a fractionation with ammonium sulphate (100%). After dialysis against distilled water and lyophilization, this fraction was applied onto an ionic exchange CM-Cellulose column equilibrated with 50 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 6.5 containing 50 mM CaCl\(_2\) and 10 mM NaCl. Non-retained proteins were displaced with same buffer and retained fractions were eluted with a linear gradient of 0.0–1.0 M of NaCl dissolved at same buffer. Major chromatography retained peak was applied onto a reversed-phase column HPLC (Vydac 218TP 1022 C-18) using a flow rate of 1.0 ml min\(^{-1}\). Several peaks were collected separately, lyophilized and stored at \(-20°C\) for further analyses.

2.3. Molecular mass analyses

The protein fractions eluted from the reversed-phase chromatography were analyzed by 15% SDS-PAGE according to Laemmli [47] with minor modifications using bromophenol blue as tracking dye. Samples were run for 45 min at 200 V and gels were silver stained. These fractions were also submitted to mass spectrometry analyses. Freeze-dried sample was prepared for matrix-assisted laser desorption time-of-flight analysis (MALDI-TOF) on a Voyager-DE STR Bioworkstation (PerSeptive Biosystems, Framingham, MA, USA). Samples were dissolved in 1.0% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and sinapinic acid (a saturated solution dissolved in acetonitrile/0.1% TFA 1:1, v/v) was added. The solution was then vortex mixed and aliquots of 1.0 ml were applied onto the Voyager Bioworkstation sample plate. Samples were air-dried at room temperature. The spectrometer, equipped with a delayed-extraction system, was operated in linear mode. Sample ions were evaporated by irradiation with an N\(_2\) laser at a wavelength of 337 nm, and accelerated at 23 kV potential in the ion source with a delay of 150 ns. Samples were ionized with 100–200 shots of a 3 ns pulse width laser light. The signal was digitized at a rate of 500 MHz and averaged data was presented to a standard Voyager data system for manipulation.

2.4. Enzyme and inhibitory enzyme assays

\(\alpha\)-Amylases and \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitory activities were estimated according Bernfeld [25]. Enzyme and inhibitors, buffered with 50 mM acetate buffer, pH 6.5 containing 5.0 mM CaCl\(_2\) and 10.0 mM NaCl, were pre-incubated for 20 min at 37°C. \(\alpha\)-AI from \textit{C. papaya} (CpAI)\(^1\) seeds was assayed at standard concentration of 50 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\) against PPA and CMA. Both enzymes were assayed at concentration of 25 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\). 1.0% starch was utilized as substrate. After the

\(^1\) Abbreviations used: CpAI, \textit{C. papaya} \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitor; CMA, \textit{C. maculatus} \(\alpha\)-amylase; HSA, human salivary \(\alpha\)-amylase; PPA, porcine pancreatic \(\alpha\)-amylase; ZSA, \textit{Z. subfasciatus} \(\alpha\)-amylase; \(\alpha\)-AIs, \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitors; TMA, \textit{T. molitor} \(\alpha\)-amylase; HSA, human salivary \(\alpha\)-amylase; MALDI-TOF, matrix-assisted laser desorption time-of-flight analysis; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; SIz1, SIz2 and SIz3, \textit{Sorghum} \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitors; WRP24 or 0.19, 0.53, WRP25, WRP26, WRP27, wheat \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibitors.
addition of 3.5 dinitrosalicilic acid (DNS), reaction was stopped at 100 °C, being absorbance measured at 530 nm. One \( \alpha \)-amylase unit (1UI) was defined as the amount of the enzyme that increased the absorbance at 530 nm by 0.1 OD during 20 min of assay. Each assay was carried out in triplicate. Distilled water was utilized as negative control and wheat \( \alpha \)-amylase inhibitor at a standard concentration of 50 \( \mu \)g ml\(^{-1}\) was used as positive control.

2.5. Bioassays

*In vivo* bioassays were performed with artificial seeds constructed with cowpea flour. For this, after retired the epicarp of seeds cotyledons were grounded in a flourmill. Flour was compacted using hand compressor, in artificial seed (about 0.9 cm diameter \( \times \) 0.6 cm high, weight 300 mg). For feeding tests, papaya rich fraction was aggregated to the flour to obtain desired concentrations. Bioassays were done using seed containing three different concentrations of papaya seeds crude extracts (1.5%, 1.0% and 0.5% w:w). Seeds with pure cowpea flour were used as negative control.

Groups of five artificial seeds were added to plastic containers (10 ml) and 10 to 15 sexually mature females 48–72-h-old, previously coupled with males, were introduced for 24 h in the container for oviposition. After this period, all seeds were observed under stereoscopic microscope in order to confirm oviposition, leaving just two eggs per seed. This experimental design evaluated the efficiency of papaya crude extract on both immature stages (mortality after 15 days of developed in seed) and adults (longevity and fecundity of females). Mortality of immature stages was evaluated considering initial number of eggs and adults obtained in each plastic container (\( n = 5 \) for each treatment and control). Effects on adults were analyzed using insects obtained in each treatment; males and females were joint in couples and isolated in individual plastic containers with five cowpea seeds (\( n = 10 \) for each treatment and control). Containers were observed every 24 h to evaluated longevity of insects and number of eggs deposited by females for this, seeds of the containers were observed under stereoscopic microscope and total number of eggs counted, 10 replicates were used for each treatment. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and Dunnett test for median multiple comparisons (\( p < 0.05 \)). Kruskal–Wallis test were used when the data was not normally distributed.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Purification of CpAI and inhibitory enzyme assays

In order to isolate a novel defense factor toward *C. maculatus* larvae, a crude extract from *C. papaya* seeds was precipitated with ammonium sulphate (100%). Other fractions (0–50% and 60–80%) were unable to inhibit \( \alpha \)-amylolytic activity (data not shown). Rich-fraction (100%) was assayed against CMA and PPA causing 61.5% and 12% of enzymatic activity inhibition, respectively (Fig. 1). None inhibitory activity was observed against HSA (data not shown). This fraction was applied, after dialysis, onto an ionic-change chromatography CM-Cellulose column generating several fractions (Fig. 2A). CM-Cellulose non-retained fraction showed no inhibitory activity against \( \alpha \)-amylases tested (data not shown). Otherwise, retained peak III, displaced with 0.4 M NaCl, was able to reduce 30% of CMA activity, but was unable to inhibit PPA (Fig. 1). This peak was applied onto a reversed-phase column HPLC (Vydac C-18TP), yielding 18 peaks (Fig. 2B). Inhibition assays were also carried out and only peak VIII, after re-chromatography in order to reduce contaminants (Fig. 2C) inhibited specifically CMA (57.3%). Furthermore, PPA was not inhibited by this fraction (Fig. 1). Several compounds have been shown inhibitory activity against insect enzymes as proteins, carbohydrates and metals [43–45], with different specificities. *C. papaya* \( \alpha \)-amylase inhibitor (CpAI) probable shows selectivity toward insect enzymes, a desirable characteristic for bioinsecticides. Other inhibitors showed previously reported activity against digestive enzymes from *M. destructor* as the weath inhibitors named 0.19, 0.53 and WRP25 [10]. Additionally, wheat \( \alpha \)-amylase inhibitors inhibit digestive \( \alpha \)-amylases from rice weevil, red flour beetle and yellow mealworm, but were unable to inhibit human salivary enzyme (HSA) [17]. *S. bicolor* \( \alpha \)-AIs (SI\( \alpha \)1, SI\( \alpha \)2 and SI\( \alpha \)3) were assayed toward \( \alpha \)-amylases from several sources, being able to efficiently inhibit locust and cockroach enzymes. Otherwise, sorghum inhibitors were unable to inhibit porcine, barley and *Bacillus* spp. \( \alpha \)-amylases [26]. Furthermore, lectin-like \( \alpha \)-amylase inhibitors showed similar specificity pattern, while \( \alpha \)-A11 variant showed specificity toward PPA, CMA and *Callosobruchus chinensis* \( \alpha \)-amylases [19]; \( \alpha \)-AI2 variant showed activity against CSA but no inhibition toward \( \alpha \)-amylase affected by \( \alpha \)-AI1 and vice versa [15]. \( \alpha \)-Amylase inhibitors isolated from *Amaranthus hipocondriacus* seeds revealed a specific inhibitory activity against insect
3.2. Molecular mass analyses

CpAI SDS–PAGE and MALDI-TOF analyses showed a majority protein of 4286 Da (Fig. 3) and minor contaminants. These contaminants could be generated by glycosilated isoforms, as observed in α-AIs from common bean seeds and rye kernels [11,46]. This molecular mass probably indicates that CpAI could be included into α-purothionin-like α-amylase inhibitors family. α-Thionins are involved in plant defense processes through a wide variety of mechanisms: modifications of membrane permeability [28,29], blockage of protein synthesis [41] and digestive enzyme inhibition [30]. They are small and cationic proteins with 45–54 amino acid residues length, with high disulfide bonds content that could act against insect, bacteria and fungi [31]. Many γ-thionins are studied as monomers, but they can also occur as oligomers [32,33]. Analyses by X-ray crystallography and NMR showed that γ-thionins structures are typical two-layers αβ sandwich that create an amphipatic molecule [34–36]. Despite of these results suggested that CpAI pertains to γ-purothionin family, further studies must be carry in order to classify this proteinaceous compound.

3.3. Feeding tests

In order to evaluate in vivo effects of CpAI toward C. maculatus larvae, CpAI rich fraction was mixture to cowpea flour and three parameters were analyzed: adult longevity (Fig. 4A), adult fecundity (Fig. 4B) and larvae mortality (Fig. 4C). Results from bioassays showed that inhibitor concentrations of 0.5% and 1.0% (w:w) smoothly reduced adult longevity (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, seeds with an enhanced inhibitor concentration (1.5%) caused remarkable larval mortality (50%) and a clear decrease in adult fecundity (50%). These deleterious effects probably are caused by the presence of CpAI. However, we could not discard the possibility that other minor contaminants could reduce C. maculatus development. Franco et al. [37] reported the in vivo efficiency of wheat α-AIs (0.19 and 0.53) toward bean weevil A. obtectus. Artificial seeds containing several concentrations of wheat α-AIs (0.25%, 0.5% and 1.0% w/w) caused a remarkable decrease on larval growth and an enhanced in insect mortality [37]. According to Feng et al. [17] WRP24 α-amylase inhibitor caused growth inhibition of T. castaneum larvae and a substantial loss of weight in adult insects. Although wheat α-amylase inhibitors have showed efficiency on insect α-amylase dependent control, these inhibitors also are associated to baker’s...
Phaseolus vulgaris α-AI1 have enhanced resistance to certain species of Bruchidae, whose digestive α-amylases are affected by α-amylase inhibitors [39,40]. When α-AI2 was expressed in peas, a partial protection against bruchids was obtained. Therefore, the α-AI2 gene could also be used to extend the time before the weevil damage, reaching the break-even cost of spraying, and thus removing the necessity of chemical pesticides during storage seeds [40]. None study was done in order to produce transgenic plants expressing fruit α-amylase inhibitors until now. In summary, here was reported for the first time the isolation of an α-amylase inhibitor from fruit seeds. This inhibitor, purified from papaya seeds showed high specificity toward insect enzymes. These surprisingly findings suggests the implication of CpAI in the plant defense mechanism indicating that this inhibitor probably could be utilized, by using genetic engineering techniques, as an alternative strategy to enhance cowpea crop resistance.
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