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Abstract
We study type II universal metrics of the Lorentzian signature. These metrics simultaneously solve vacuum field equations of all theories of gravitation with the Lagrangian being a polynomial curvature invariant constructed from the metric, the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives of an arbitrary order. We provide examples of type II universal metrics for all composite number dimensions. On the other hand, we have no examples for prime number dimensions and we prove the non-existence of type II universal spacetimes in five dimensions. We also present type II vacuum solutions of selected classes of gravitational theories, such as Lovelock, quadratic and \( \mathcal{L} \) (Riemann) gravities.
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1. Introduction

Let us start with a formal definition of universal \([1]\) and \(k\)-universal metrics.

Definition 1.1. A metric is called \textit{k-universal} if all conserved symmetric rank-2 tensors constructed\(^3\) from the metric, the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives up to the \(k\)th order are multiples of the metric. If a metric is \(k\)-universal for all integers \(k\) then it is called \textit{universal}.

\(^3\) Throughout the paper, we consider only scalars and symmetric rank-2 tensors constructed as contractions of \textit{polynomials} from the metric, the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives of an arbitrary order.
Universal metrics are vacuum solutions (possibly with a non-vanishing cosmological constant) to all theories of the form

\[ L = L(g_{ab}, R_{abcd}, \nabla_{a}R_{bcde}, ..., \nabla_{a}g_{bcde}), \]  

where the Lagrangian \( L \) is a polynomial curvature invariant.

Particular explicit examples of universal metrics were first discussed in [2, 3] in the context of string theory (pp-waves) and in [1] as metrics with vanishing quantum corrections. In [4], we have systematically studied type N and III universal metrics (while all type N Einstein spacetimes are 0-universal, they are universal iff in addition they are Kundt). In the present paper, we focus on the type II (including the type D) in the classification of [5] (see also [6] for a recent review of the classification).

While the well known examples of type N universal pp-waves of [2, 3] admit a covariantly constant null vector (CCNV) obeying \( \ell_{ab} = 0 \), we show that in arbitrary dimension type II universal spacetimes admitting CCNV do not exist. On the other hand, we construct examples of type II universal spacetimes admitting a recurrent null vector (RNV) obeying \( \ell_{ab} \propto \ell_{d} \ell_{b} \) and also more general Kundt non-RNV type II universal spacetimes.

Interestingly, in contrast with the results of [4] for type III and N universal metrics, the type II cases critically depend on dimensionality of the spacetime. We show that one can employ \( n_0 \)-dimensional type N universal metrics of [4] (\( n_0 \geq 4 \)) to construct type II universal metrics in \( n = n_0 N \) dimensions (where \( N \geq 2 \) is an integer) using an appropriate direct product with \((N - 1)\) maximally symmetric spaces. Simple direct product metrics can be also used to construct type D universal metrics for all composite number dimensions \( n \geq 4 \).

On the other hand, these methods cannot be used for prime number dimensions and we prove that

**Theorem 1.2.** In five dimensions, genuine type II universal spacetimes do not exist.

In fact, in section 4, we prove a stronger statement that in five dimensions, genuine type II 0-universal spacetimes do not exist.

These results might indicate the non-existence of type II universal metrics for prime number dimensions. However, one should keep in mind that the five-dimensional case is special (see equation (24)).

Since the direct product metrics discussed above provide examples of universal metrics for dimensions \( n = N n_0 \), where \( n_0 \geq 4, N \geq 2 \), for composite number of dimensions, it remains to find examples for \( n = N n_0 \), where \( n_0 = 2, 3 \). We thus proceed with studying type II generalized Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metrics in \( n = n_0 N \) dimensions, where \( n_0 \geq 2 \). In the \( n_0 = 2, 3 \) cases, we find necessary and sufficient conditions under which these spacetimes are 0-universal and thus solve all vacuum gravitational field equations that do not contain derivatives of the Riemann tensor (Lovelock gravity). In fact, since 0-universal spacetimes are Einstein they also solve theories that may contain derivatives of the Ricci tensor, such as e.g. quadratic gravity.

For \( n_0 = 2 \), we also find a necessary and sufficient condition for 2-universality. These spacetimes solve all theories containing up to the second derivatives of the Riemann tensor (e.g. \( L(\text{Riemann}) \) theories). We also discuss further necessary and sufficient conditions for 4-universality and universality.

The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, we briefly summarize the notation and some useful definitions and results.
In section 3, we prove that two appropriate rank-2 tensors are conserved for universal spacetimes. These conserved tensors are then used in section 4 to prove the non-existence of type II 0-universal spacetimes in five dimensions.

In section 5, we prove that Ricci-flat recurrent type II 0-universal spacetimes do not exist in any number of dimension.

In section 6, we prove universality for various type II direct product metrics.

In section 7, we study generalizations of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric (representing gravitational radiation in the (anti-)Nariai spacetime) and necessary and sufficient conditions following from various gravitational theories and from universality.

Finally, some useful relations for the Weyl tensor of direct product metrics and spin coefficients and curvature of generalized Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metrics are given in the appendices.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we use the algebraic classification of tensors [5], recently also reviewed in [6]. By the type II of the Weyl tensor, we will mean the genuine type II, which includes the type D but does not include the types III and N (see [6] for the definitions).

We employ the higher-dimensional Newman–Penrose and Geroch–Held–Penrose formalisms [7]. For GHP quantities, we follow the notation of [7], for other NP quantities we use the notation summarized in [6].

A null frame in $n$ dimensions consists of null vectors $\ell$ and $n$ and $n - 2$ spacelike vectors $m^{(i)}$ obeying

$$\ell^a a = n^a n_a = 0, \quad \ell^a a = 1, \quad m^{(i)} a m^{(j)} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (2)$$

The coordinate indices $a, b, \ldots$ and frame indices $i, j, \ldots$ take values from 0 to $n - 1$ and 2 to $n - 1$, respectively.

The Lorentz transformations between null frames are generated by boosts

$$\hat{\ell} = \lambda \ell, \quad \hat{n} = \lambda^{-1} n, \quad \hat{m}^{(i)} = \lambda m^{(i)}, \quad (3)$$

null rotations and spins. We say that a quantity $q$ has a boost weight (b.w.) $b$ if it transforms under a boost (3) according to

$$\hat{q} = \lambda^b q. \quad (4)$$

Various components of a tensor in the null frame may have distinct integer b.w.s. The boost order of a tensor with respect to a null frame is the maximum b.w. of its (non-vanishing) frame components. The boost order of a tensor depends only on the null direction $\ell$ (see e.g. proposition 2.1 in [6]).

In general, the boost order of the Weyl (and the Riemann) tensor is 2. However, in this paper, we focus on type II (and D) spacetimes that by definition, admit at least one multiple Weyl aligned null direction (mWAND)\textsuperscript{4}. If we identify our frame vector $\ell$ with an mWAND b.w. +2 and +1 components of the Weyl tensor vanish. Furthermore, it has been shown in [8] that in a type II Einstein spacetime, at least one of the mWANDs is geodetic.

\textsuperscript{4} Spacetimes admitting more than one mWAND are of the type D.
The type II Weyl tensor admits a frame decomposition [5]

\[
C_{abcd} = \Phi n_i \ell_d n_c \ell_j + 8 \Phi_{ij} n_i \ell_d m^{(i)}_b m^{(j)}_d + 8 \Phi_{ij} n_i m^{(i)}_b \ell_d m^{(j)}_d + \Phi_{ijkl} m^{(i)}_a m^{(j)}_b m^{(k)}_c m^{(l)}_d
\]

\[
+ 8 \Psi^i \ell_i m^{(i)}_d + 4 \Psi^i j \ell_i m^{(i)}_b m^{(j)}_d + 4 \Omega^i j \ell_i m^{(i)}_b \ell_d m^{(j)}_d ,
\]

(5)

where for an arbitrary tensor \( T_{abcd} \) we define

\[
T_{(abcd)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (T_{[abcd]} + T_{[cdab]})
\]

and thus \( C_{abcd} = C_{(abcd)} \).

Independent b.w. 0 components of the Weyl tensor are \( \Phi^A_{ij} \) and \( \Phi_{ijkl} \) since

\[
\Phi^A_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{ijk}, \quad \Phi = \Phi_{ij},
\]

(7)

where \( \Phi^A_{ij} \) and \( \Phi^A_{ijkl} \) are the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of \( \Phi_{ij} \), respectively, and \( \Phi_{ijkl} \) has the ‘Riemann tensor’ symmetries

\[
\Phi_{ijkl} = \Phi_{[ijkl]} = \Phi_{[ijkl]}, \quad \Phi_{[ijkl]} = 0.
\]

(8)

For the type II, b.w. negative components of the Weyl tensor, \( \Psi^A_{ij} \) and \( \Omega^A_{ij} \), are also present in general.

When \( n > 4 \), \( \Phi_{ijkl} \) can be decomposed like the Riemann tensor in an auxiliary \((n-2)\)-dimensional Riemannian space as [9]

\[
\Phi_{ijkl} = \Phi_{ijkl} - \frac{4}{n-4} \left( \delta_{ik} \Phi^S_{lj} - \delta_{lj} \Phi^S_{ik} \right) + \frac{4}{(n-3)(n-4)} \Phi \delta_{ik} \delta_{lj},
\]

\[
\Phi_{ijkl} = 0 \quad (n > 4),
\]

(9)

where \( \Phi \) is the trace of \( \Phi_{ij} \). Note that in five dimensions, \( \Phi_{ijkl} \) vanishes identically.

Let us identify the frame vector \( \ell \) with a geodetic mWAND and without loss of generality, choose \( \ell \) to be affinely parameterized and the remaining frame vectors to be parallelly transported along \( \ell \). Then, the covariant derivatives of the frame vectors in terms of spin coefficients read

\[
\ell_{a,b} = L_{[a]b} \ell_b + L_{a} \ell_d m^{(i)}_b + \tau_i m^{(i)}_a \ell_b + \rho_i m^{(i)}_a m^{(j)}_b ,
\]

(10)

\[
n_{a,b} = -L_{[a]}n_b \ell_b - L_{a} n_d \ell_d m^{(i)}_b + \kappa_i m^{(i)}_a \ell_b + \rho'_{ij} m^{(i)}_a m^{(j)}_b ,
\]

(11)

\[
m^{(i)}_{c,b} = -\kappa_i \ell_c \ell_b - \tau_n \ell_n \ell_b - \rho'_{ij} \ell_{c d} m^{(i)}_b + M_{ij} m^{(i)}_a \ell_b - \rho_{ij} n_a m^{(i)}_b + M_{il} m^{(i)}_a m^{(j)}_b ,
\]

(12)

For Einstein spacetimes, \( R_{ab} = (R/n) g_{ab} \), with \( R = \text{const.} \)

\[
C_{abcd} = R_{abcd} = \frac{2R}{n(n - 1)} g_{a[c} g_{d]b}
\]

(13)

and thus

\[
C_{abcd,e} = R_{abcd,e}.
\]

(14)
Therefore, for universal spacetimes (that are necessarily Einstein), all conserved symmetric rank-2 tensors constructed from the Weyl tensor and its derivatives are also proportional to the metric.

Let us conclude this section with the theorem proven in [4]:

**Theorem 2.1.** A universal spacetime is necessarily a CSI spacetime.

Constant/vanishing scalar curvature invariants (CSI/VSI) spacetimes are spacetimes having all curvature invariants constructed from the Riemann tensor and its derivatives constant [10]/vanishing [11]. Note that being CSI (and even VSI) is not a sufficient condition for universality.

### 3. Conserved tensors

In the proof of theorem 1.2 in section 4, two rank-2 tensors, $S^{(2)}_{ab}$ and $S^{(3)}_{ab}$, are employed. In this section, we show that these two tensors are conserved for universal spacetimes. In fact, we prove the conservation of these two tensors for a more general class of CCCT spacetimes.

**Definition 3.1.** A spacetime is CCCT (a covariantly constant conserved tensor) if all conserved symmetric rank-2 tensors $T_{ab}$ constructed from the metric, the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives of arbitrary order obey

$$T^{a b c} = 0.$$ 

Obviously, for universal spacetimes, $U$, $U \subset$ CCCT. In [4] (see the end of section 3 there), we have proven a slightly more general version of theorem 2.1 stating that CCCT $\subset$ CSI.

From the conservation of the Einstein tensor, it follows that for CCCT spacetimes, the Ricci scalar is constant (this also obviously follows from the CCCT $\subset$ CSI result) and thus for curvature tensors,

$$R_{abcd,e} = C_{abcd,e}. \tag{15}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** For CCCT spacetimes, $S^{(2)}_{ab} \equiv C_{acde} C^{bde}$ is a conserved tensor.

**Proof.** Using the Bianchi identities and the tracelessness of the Weyl tensor

$$S^{(2)}_{a b} = C_{acde} C^{bde} + C_{acde} C^{bed} : b = C_{acde} C^{bde} = - C_{debc} C^{bed} - C_{decb} C^{bed} = - C_{debc} C^{bed} + C_{decb} C^{bed} \Rightarrow C_{acde} C^{bde} = \frac{1}{2} C_{bedca} C^{bed}. \tag{16}$$

Since CCCT $\subset$ CSI, it follows that the last term in (16) vanishes due to the constancy of $C_{abcd} C^{abcd}$. \hfill \square

**Lemma 3.3.** For CCCT spacetimes, $S^{(3)}_{a b} \equiv C_{de} A^{de} C_{f}^{f} C_{bc}^{f}$ is a conserved tensor.

**Proof.** $S^{(3)}_{a b}$ can be rewritten as

$$C_{A}^{ac} C_{b}^{A} C_{bc}^{B},$$
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where \( a, b, c, \ldots \) are spacetime indices, while \( A, B, C, \ldots \) are bivector indices (essentially, \( A = de \) etc) for simplicity.

First, let us express the covariant derivative of the invariant
\[
0 = \left( C^A_B C^B_C C^C_A \right)_{;a} = 3 C^A_B C^B_C C^C_{A,a},
\]
(17)
where the first equality follows from CCCT \( \subset \) CSI.

We proceed with expressing \( S^{(3a)}_{bc, a} \)
\[
S^{(3a)}_{bc, a} = \left( C^{ac}_A C^B_A C^B_{bc} \right)_{;a} = C^{ac}_{A,a} C^B_A C^B_{bc} + C^{ac}_A C^B_{A,a} C^B_{bc} + C^{ac}_A C^A_B C^B_{bc, a}.
\]
(18)
For CCCT spacetimes, the first term on the right-hand side vanishes due to the Bianchi identities and the tracelessness of the Weyl tensor.

The 3rd term on the right-hand side is equal to (after renaming indices and using the Weyl symmetries)
\[
C^{ac}_A C^A_B C^B_{bc, a} = -C^{ac}_A C^A_B C^B_{ah, c} - C^{ac}_A C^A_B C^B_{ca, b} = -C^{ac}_A C^A_B C^B_{bc, a} + C^{ac}_A C^A_B C^B_{ac, b},
\]
(19)
which implies (using also (17))
\[
C^{ac}_A C^A_B C^B_{bc, a} = \frac{1}{2} C^{ac}_A C^A_B C^B_{C, b} = 0.
\]
(20)

Next, in the 2nd term in equation (18) \( (B = ef) \)
\[
C^{ac}_A C^A_{ef, a} C^B_{de} = -C^{ac}_A C^A_{ef, a} C^B_{de} - C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac, e} C^B_{de} = 2 C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac, ef} C^B_{de},
\]
(21)
the term \( C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac, ef} \) can be written as
\[
C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac, ef} = \left( C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac, ef} \right)_{,f} = C^{ac}_A C^A_{af} - C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac}.
\]
(22)
By lemma 3.2, for CCCT spacetimes, the first term on the right-hand side in (22) is zero, hence this expression is antisymmetric in \( ce \) (after lowering \( c \))
\[
C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac, ef} = C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac, ef} = 0.
\]
(23)
In equation (21), (23) is multiplied by \( C^B_{de} \) (which is antisymmetric in \( ef \)) and so by defining
\[
T_{[ef]} = C^a_{[e}[A C^A_{a, f]},
\]
we have
\[
C^{ac}_A C^A_{ac, ef} C^B_{de} = T_{[ef]} C^B_{de}.
\]

Therefore, since \( C^{[ef]} = 0 \) this is zero and the right-hand side of equation (21) vanishes and thus the right-hand side of (18) vanishes as well.

4. Type II universal spacetimes in five dimensions do not exist

In this section, we prove theorem 1.2, i.e. we show that type II universal spacetimes in five-dimensions do not exist.

In five dimensions, all b.w. zero components of the Weyl tensor are determined by \( \Phi_{ij} \) since \( \Phi_{ijkl} \) can be expressed in terms of \( \Phi_{ij}^S \) as [12] (see also (9))
As has been already pointed out in section 2, for universal spacetimes, all conserved symmetric rank-2 tensors constructed from the Weyl tensor and its derivatives are also proportional to the metric. By lemma 3.2, the rank-2 tensor $C_{a;cd}C_{b}^{ cd}$ is conserved for universal spacetimes and thus for universal spacetimes $S^{(2)}_{ab} = C_{a;cd}C_{b}^{ cd} = Kg_{ab}$, \[ (25) \]
with $K$ being a constant. The contraction of this equation with the frame vectors $\ell_i^n m^j_m$ (employing (24)) gives

\[ 2\Phi \Phi^S_{ij} - 3\Phi^A_{ik} \Phi^A_{jk} - \Phi^S_{ik} \Phi^S_{jk} + \delta_{ij} \left( 2\Phi^S_{i\ell} \Phi^S_{\ell j} - \Phi^2 \right) = \frac{K}{4} \delta_{ij}, \] \[ (26) \]
where

\[ K = 2 \left( \Phi^2 - 3\Phi^A_{ij} \Phi^A_{ij} + \Phi^S_{ij} \Phi^S_{ij} \right). \] \[ (27) \]
Let us choose a frame with diagonal $\Phi^A_{ij}$ (not necessarily parallelly propagated). Clearly, equation (26) implies that the off-diagonal components of $\Phi^A_{ik} \Phi^A_{jk}$ vanish and thus two of the three independent components of $\Phi^S_{ij}$ vanish. Without loss of generality, we take $\Phi^S_{ij}$ and $\Phi^A_{ij}$ in the form

\[ \Phi^S_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi^A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 \\ -a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \] \[ (28) \]
Then, equation (26) reads

\[ p_1^2 - 3p_2p_3 - p_1p_2 - p_1p_3 = 0, \] \[ (29) \]
\[ p_2^2 - 3p_1p_3 - p_1p_2 - p_2p_3 = 0, \] \[ (30) \]
\[ p_3^2 - 3p_1p_2 - p_1p_3 - p_2p_3 + 3a^2 = 0. \] \[ (31) \]
Note that by subtracting the first two equations we get

\[ (p_1 - p_2)(p_1 + p_2 + 2p_3) = 0. \] \[ (32) \]
The only non-trivial solution of (29)–(31) is

\[ \Phi^S_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi^A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pm p & 0 \\ \mp p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \] \[ (33) \]
which satisfies

\[ \Phi^S_{ik} \Phi^S_{jk} = \frac{\Phi}{2} \Phi^S_{ik}, \quad \Phi^A_{ik} \Phi^A_{jk} = \frac{\Phi}{2} \Phi^A_{ik}, \quad \Phi^S_{ik} \Phi^A_{jk} = -\frac{\Phi}{2} \Phi^S_{ik}. \] \[ (34) \]
Now, let us use the necessary condition for universal spacetimes from lemma 3.3

\[ S^{(3)}_{ab} = C^{cde}C_{c;dp}C_{e}^{ ip} = K'g_{ab}, \quad K' = \text{const}. \] \[ (35) \]
Contracting this equation with $m^{(i)} m^{(j)}$, using (24) and (34), leads (after long but straightforward calculations) to
which holds only for $p = 0 = K'$. Together with (33), this implies that $\Phi_j$ (and thus also all b.w. zero components of the Weyl tensor) vanishes which concludes the proof of theorem 1.2. Since only conditions (25) and (35) were used, type II 0-universal spacetimes do not exist in five dimensions.

5. Recurrent type II universal spacetimes

In this section, we study recurrent spacetimes, i.e. spacetimes admitting a RNV

$$\ell_{a,b} = L_{11} \ell_a \ell_b.$$  

(37)

By comparing (37) with (10), it follows that $\rho_j$ vanishes and recurrent spacetimes thus belong to the Kundt class (see e.g. [6]). In fact, such spacetimes coincide with the $\tau = 0$ subclass of the Kundt metrics (see equation (45) in [4]). As pointed out in [19], for Einstein Kundt spacetimes, $\ell$ is an mWAND and thus the Weyl tensor is of the type II or more special.

For recurrent Einstein spacetimes, the Newman–Penrose equation (A5) of [7] reduces to

$$\Phi_j = - \frac{R}{n(n-1)} \delta_j = \frac{\Phi}{n-2} \delta_j,$$  

(38)

where

$$\Phi = - \frac{d-2}{d-1} \Lambda.$$  

(39)

Projections of the necessary condition for universal spacetimes (25) onto $(\ell, n)$ and $(m^i, m^i)$ planes give

$$K = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \Phi^2$$  

(40)

and

$$\Phi_{\tilde{k}l\tilde{m}} \Phi_{\tilde{j}k\tilde{l}} = \frac{2(n-3)}{(n-2)^2} \Phi^2 \delta_{ij},$$  

(41)

respectively.

In the $\Lambda = 0$ case, equation (39) implies $\Phi = 0$ and from (38) and the trace of (41), it then follows that all b.w. zero components of the Weyl tensor vanish and thus in arbitrary dimension

**Proposition 5.1.** There are no recurrent Ricci-flat genuine type II 0-universal spacetimes.

However, recurrent Ricci-flat type III and N universal spacetimes do exist [4]. Note that pp-waves, i.e. spacetimes admitting a CCNV, are obviously recurrent and since Einstein CCNV spacetimes are Ricci-flat, *type II 0-universal pp-waves do not exist*. Note also that proposition 5.1 cannot be generalized to proper Einstein spacetimes—in section 6, we provide examples of recurrent type II universal Einstein spacetimes with $\Lambda \neq 0$.

Using (9), we can express equation (41) in terms of $\Phi_{\tilde{k}l\tilde{m}}$ as

$$\Phi_{\tilde{k}l\tilde{m}} \Phi_{\tilde{j}k\tilde{l}} = \frac{2(n-1)^2(n-4)}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \Phi^2 \delta_{ij}.$$  

(42)
The left-hand side of the above equation identically vanishes in four and five dimensions. In four dimensions, vanishing of the right-hand side is guaranteed by the factor \((n - 4)\), while in five dimensions this implies
\[
\Phi = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 5. \tag{43}
\]
Consequently, for Einstein type II recurrent spacetimes obeying (25), all b.w. 0 components of the Weyl tensor vanish. For Einstein spacetimes, the field equations for quadratic gravity imply (25) \([21]\) and thus

**Proposition 5.2.** _In five dimensions, there are no genuine type II or D recurrent Einstein vacuum solutions of quadratic gravity._

Therefore, in the recurrent case, the condition (25) is sufficient to exclude the existence of five-dimensional 0-universal solutions.

### 6. Examples of type II universal spacetimes

In this section, we construct explicit examples of type II universal spacetimes.

#### 6.1. Universal type D product manifolds

Let us consider \(N\) manifolds \((M_0, g_{ab}^{(0)}), (M_1, g_{ab}^{(1)}), \ldots, (M_{N-1}, g_{ab}^{(N-1)})\) and construct an \(n\)-dimensional Lorentzian manifold \(M\) as a direct product of \(M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_{N-1}\). For definiteness, let us assume that \(M_0\) is Lorentzian and \(M_1, \ldots, M_{N-1}\) are Riemannian. Corresponding dimensions and the Ricci scalars will be denoted by \(n_\alpha\) and \(R_\alpha\), respectively (\(\alpha = 0, \ldots, N - 1\)).

All tensors \(T\) that can be split similarly as the product metric (i.e. all mixed components vanish and \(T_{ab}^{cd} = 0\) for all values of \(\alpha\)) are called decomposable. The Ricci and the Riemann tensors are decomposable while the Weyl tensor is not \([22]\) (see also section 4 of \([12]\)).

Since the Ricci tensor is decomposable it follows that \(M\) is Einstein if and only if each \(M_\alpha\) is Einstein and
\[
\frac{R_\alpha}{n_\alpha} = \frac{R_0}{n_0}, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, N - 1. \tag{44}
\]
From (25) and (13), it follows that for universal spacetimes
\[
R_{a^cd}R_b^{cde} = \tilde{K}g_{ab}, \tag{45}
\]
where \(\tilde{K}\) is constant.

Let us now assume that each block \((M_\alpha, g_{ab}^{(\alpha)})\) is universal and therefore
\[
R_{a^cd}^{(\alpha)}R_{b}^{(\alpha)cde} = \frac{K_\alpha}{n_\alpha}g_{b,bcde}, \tag{46}
\]
where \(K_\alpha = R_{a^cd}^{(\alpha)}R_{b}^{(\alpha)cde}\) is the (constant) Kretschmann scalar of \(M_\alpha\). As a consequence of the decomposability of the Riemann tensor, \(R_{a^cd}R_{b}^{cde}\) is also decomposable (in fact, all rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor without covariant derivatives are decomposable). It thus follows that for \(M\)

\(^5\) Note that for quadratic gravity, \(K\) is not constant in general, however, it is constant for Einstein recurrent spacetimes.
Now assume that each $M_\alpha$ is maximally symmetric (and therefore also Einstein). With this assumption, each $M_\alpha$ obeys (46) with

$K_\alpha = \frac{2R_\alpha}{n_\alpha(n_\alpha - 1)}$ (48)

(this is the value of the Kretschmann scalar for maximally symmetric spaces).

The product manifold $M$ is then Einstein and obeys (47) if and only if (see also (92))

$n_\alpha = n_0, \quad R_\alpha = R_0, \quad \alpha = 1 \ldots N - 1$. (49)

If each $M_\alpha$ is maximally symmetric, of the same dimension and with the same value of the Ricci scalar, it is clear that any polynomial curvature invariant constructed from the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives has the same constant value for all $M_\alpha$. Since each $M_\alpha$ is universal, for each $M_\alpha$, all rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor and its derivatives are proportional to the metric. As argued above, the constant of proportionality (being a curvature invariant) is (for a given rank-2 tensor) the same for all $M_\alpha$, $\alpha = 0 \ldots N - 1$, and thus also all rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor on $M$ are proportional to the metric on $M$. Note that the above statement also holds for the Weyl tensor (this can be shown using (13) and mathematical induction). Thus we arrive at

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \ldots \times M_{N-1}$ and let all $M_\alpha, \alpha = 0 \ldots N - 1$, be non-flat maximally symmetric spaces. $M$ is universal if and only if the dimensions and the Ricci scalars of each block $M_\alpha$ coincide (i.e. $n_\alpha = n_0, R_\alpha = R_0$ for all values of $\alpha$).\(^7\)

In contrast with rank-2 tensors constructed from the Weyl tensor, the Weyl tensor itself is not decomposable and although each $M_\alpha$ is conformally flat, $M$ is of the Weyl type D. Corresponding frame components of the Weyl tensor are given in appendix A. Note that for $n_0 = 2$, the mWAND $\ell$ is recurrent while for $n_0 > 2$, it is not.

According to the above proposition, one can construct a type D universal spacetime as a direct product of maximally symmetric spaces if and only if the dimension of $M$ is a composite number. For prime number dimensions, such universal spacetimes obviously do not exist.

6.2. Type II Kundt universal metrics

Now, let us construct more general universal metrics by replacing the maximally symmetric Lorentzian space $M_0$ from proposition 6.1 by an appropriate Kundt spacetime.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let $M = M_0 \times M_1 \times \ldots \times M_{N-1}$, where $M_0$ is a Lorentzian manifold and $M_1 \ldots M_{N-1}$ are non-flat Riemannian maximally symmetric spaces. Let all blocks $M_\alpha, \alpha = 0 \ldots N - 1$, be of the same dimension and with the same value of the Ricci scalar $R_\alpha$. If $M_0$ is a Kundt proper Einstein ($\Lambda \neq 0$) genuine type III or N universal spacetime then $M$ is a type II universal spacetime.

---

\(^6\) In this case, covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor obviously vanish.

\(^7\) Note that this proposition in fact holds for arbitrary signatures of $M_\alpha$. 
Proof. By propositions 4.1 and 5.1 of [4], covariant derivatives of the Weyl tensor for a type N and III Einstein Kundt spacetime have only negative b.w. components. Hence

Lemma 6.3. Curvature invariants of type N and III Einstein Kundt spacetimes are identical to those of (A)dS with the same value of $\Lambda$.

Let us take a conserved symmetric rank-2 tensor $T$ on $M$ constructed from the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives. From the decomposability of the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives, it follows that $T$ is in general either decomposable or a tensor product of two vectors. Note that all vectors constructed from the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives must contain at least one odd derivative of the Riemann tensor. Since in our case covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensors of $M_1,...,M_{N-1}$ vanish the tensor product $T$ is also decomposable.

It thus follows that $T = \text{diag}(T^{(0)}, T^{(1)},...,T^{(N-1)})$, where $T^{(\alpha)}$, $\alpha = 0,...N - 1$, is an analog of $T$ on $M_{(\alpha)}$. Note that by construction $T^{(1)},...,T^{(N-1)}$ are conserved and thus (due to the conservation of $T$) $T^{(0)}$ is also conserved.

By assumptions of proposition 6.2, all spaces $M_{(\alpha)}$, $\alpha = 0,...N - 1$, are universal and thus it follows that $T^{(\alpha)} = \lambda^{(\alpha)}g^{(\alpha)}$ for all values of $\alpha$. Furthermore, $\lambda^{(\alpha)}$ is a curvature invariant on $M_{(\alpha)}$ (proportional to the trace of $T^{(\alpha)}$ and not affected by negative b.w. components) and thus by lemma 6.3, $\lambda^{(0)} = \lambda^{(1)} = ... = \lambda^{(N-1)}$. Consequently $T$ is proportional to the metric $g = \text{diag}(g^{(0)}, g^{(1)},...,g^{(N-1)})$ on $M$ and since $T$ is an arbitrary conserved rank-2 tensor $M$ is a universal spacetime.

In section 6.2 of [4], various explicit examples of type N universal metrics are given that can be used to construct type II universal metrics using proposition 6.2. In contrast, all known type III universal spacetimes are Ricci-flat and thus at present, they cannot be used in this way.

Note that in proposition 6.2, the condition that $M_0$ is of the genuine type III or N implies that the dimension of $M_0$ (and thus also of all other blocks) is at least 4. The unique mWAND $\ell$ of $M_0$ corresponds to the double WAND of $M$. By comparing components of $\Theta_{ij}$ expressed in (38) and (89), it follows that $\ell$ is not recurrent ($\gamma \not= 0$).

7. Higher-dimensional generalizations of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric

In section 5.2 of [1], a higher-dimensional generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric [13–15], representing gravitational radiation in the (anti-)Nariai spacetime [16, 17], was studied consisting of two blocks of dimensions 2 and $n - 2$, where the $n - 2$-dimensional space was considered to be maximally symmetric and it was observed that while the four-dimensional case is universal, the higher-dimensional generalization is not.

Here, we present different higher-dimensional generalizations of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of $N$ 2-blocks\(^8\) (section 7.2) or 3-blocks (section 7.3) with all Riemannian blocks being maximally symmetric. We study conserved symmetric rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor and we find that for 2-blocks, they are proportional to the metric while for 3-blocks, an additional condition arises. For 2-blocks, we also show that all conserved symmetric rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor

\(^8\) The 2-block case is in fact a special vacuum subcase of metrics discussed in [18], see equations (2.1) and (6.25) there.
and its derivatives up to the second order are also proportional to the metric. Thus these metrics are 2-universal and solve vacuum equations of e.g. all \( L(\text{Riemann}) \) gravities. We also conjecture that a certain subclass of these spacetimes is universal.

### 7.1. Type II Kundt spacetimes with boost order \(-2\) covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor

In this section, we prove that under certain assumptions (that are satisfied for the metrics given in sections 7.2 and 7.3) all covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor of a type II Einstein Kundt spacetime are at most of boost order \(-2\).

**Proposition 7.1.** For a type II Einstein Kundt spacetime admitting a null frame parallelly propagated along an \( m\text{WAND} \ell \), for which the following assumptions are satisfied

1. \( \Psi_{jk} = 0 \),
2. \( D\Omega_{ij} = 0 \),
3. the boost order of \( \nabla^{(1)} C \) is at most \(-2\),
all covariant derivatives of the Weyl tensor \( \nabla^{(k)} C \), \( k \geq 1 \), are at most of boost order \(-2\).

**Proof.** Under the assumptions of the proposition, the Ricci and Bianchi equations for the quantities of b.w.\(^9\) imply

\[
\begin{align*}
 b &= -2 : \quad D^3 \eta = 0, \quad D\Omega_{ij} = 0, \\
 b &= -1 : \quad D^2 L_{11} = 0, \quad D^2 \rho_{ij} = 0, \quad D^2 M_{ij} = 0, \\
 b &= 0 : \quad D\Phi_i = 0, \quad D\Phi_{ijkl} = 0, \quad D\Phi_{ijkl} = 0, \quad D\tau_i = 0, \quad DL_{ij} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Following [4, 11], we define a 1-balanced scalar and a 1-balanced tensor: let us say that a scalar \( \eta \) with the b.w. \( b \) is 1-balanced if \( D^b - 1 \eta = 0 \) for \( b < -1 \) and \( \eta = 0 \) for \( b \geq -1 \) and that a tensor is 1-balanced if all its frame components are 1-balanced scalars. Obviously a 1-balanced tensor admits only non-vanishing components of b.w. \(-2\).

Since the conditions (50)–(52) are the same as equations (28)–(30) for type N spacetimes in [4] (except for the conditions for b.w. 0 components that are missing in type N), one can use the same proof to show that for a 1-balanced scalar \( \eta \), scalars \( L_{i\eta}, \delta \eta, \partial_i \eta \), scalars \( \eta, \rho_{ij} \), \( k_i^j \eta \), \( M_{i\eta} \) and \( D\Phi_i \), \( \delta \eta \), \( \partial_i \eta \) are 1-balanced scalars. Note that if we denote frame components of a tensor by \( \eta \), then frame components of its covariant derivative consist of terms \( L_{i\eta}, \delta \eta, \partial_i \eta \).

It thus follows that

**Lemma 7.2.** For type II Einstein Kundt spacetimes obeying (50)–(52) in a frame parallelly propagated along an \( m\text{WAND} \ell \), a covariant derivative of a 1-balanced tensor is a 1-balanced tensor.

The Weyl tensor for type II Einstein Kundt spacetimes is not 1-balanced, however, in particular cases (including the examples discussed in sections 7.2, 7.3), \( \nabla^{(1)} C \) is 1-balanced. Then, by lemma 7.2, proposition 7.1 follows.

\(^9\) In the balanced scalar approach, we are interested in the b.w. under boosts with constant \( \lambda \), in this sense e.g. \( L_{11} \) has b.w. \(-1\).
Let us conclude this section with the following lemma

**Lemma 7.3.** For spacetimes obeying the assumptions of proposition 7.1, all rank-2 tensors $T_{ab}$ constructed from the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives obey (for $k \geq 1$):

(i) If $T_{ab}$ is quadratic or of higher order in $\nabla^{(k)}C$ then it vanishes.

(ii) If $T_{ab}$ is linear in $\nabla^{(k)}C$ then it is conserved.

**Proof.**

(i) All components of an arbitrary rank-2 tensor $T_{ab}$ have b.w. $\leq -2$, however, for a tensor obeying the assumptions of proposition 7.1, terms quadratic or of higher order in $\nabla^{(k)}C$ have b.w. $\leq -4$.

(ii) By proposition 7.1, $T_{abc}$ only admits components of b.w. $\leq -2$ and therefore its trace vanishes since a rank-1 tensor has components of b.w. $\geq -1$. □

### 7.2. A generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of 2-blocks

Here, let us study necessary conditions for universality of a higher-dimensional generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of $N$ 2-blocks

$$ds^2 = 2dudv + \left(\lambda v^2 + H(u, x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\right)du^2 + \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda} + s^2(x_\alpha) \right) dy^2,$$

(53)

where $s(x_\alpha) = \sin(x_\alpha)$ for $\lambda > 0$, $s(x_\alpha) = \sinh(x_\alpha)$ for $\lambda < 0$. The metric (53) is Einstein iff $H$ obeys

$$\square H = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \square^{(\alpha)} H = 0,$$

$$\square^{(\alpha)} H = \lambda \left( H_{,x_\alpha} + \frac{1}{s^2(x_\alpha)} H_{,x_\alpha} + c(t,x_\alpha) H_{,x_\alpha} \right) \text{ for } \alpha = 1, ..., N - 1,$$

(54)

where $c(x_\alpha) = \cos(x_\alpha), \ c(t,x_\alpha) = \frac{c(x_\alpha)}{s(x_\alpha)}$ for $\lambda > 0, c(x_\alpha) = \cosh(x_\alpha)$ for $\lambda < 0$ and where $\square^{(\alpha)} H$ vanishes identically. Note that in contrast with the cases discussed in section 6, the Riemann and Ricci tensors are not decomposable, due to the dependence of $H$ on $x_\alpha$ and $y_\alpha$, and non-zero negative b.w. components of the Riemann and Ricci tensors appear (see appendix B).

These type II Einstein Kundt metrics admit a recurrent ($\tau = 0$) multiple WAND $\ell = du$. In a frame parallely propagated along $\ell$, in which $\Psi_{i\ell} = 0$ (this follows from $\rho_{ij} = 0$ and the Ricci equation (111)) in [19] and $\square\Omega_{ij} = 0$, the boost order of $\nabla^{(1)}C$ is at most $-2$ (see appendix B). Thus all the assumptions of proposition 7.1 are satisfied and therefore all covariant derivatives of the Weyl tensor admit only terms with b.w. $-2$ or less.
The b.w. zero part of the Riemann tensor is decomposable and can be written as

\[
R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = \Lambda \left[ \left( \delta^{(0)} \right)_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left( \delta^{(0)} \right)_{\gamma}^{\delta} - \left( \delta^{(0)} \right)_{\delta}^{\gamma} \left( \delta^{(0)} \right)_{\beta}^{\alpha} \right] + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \left[ \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)_{\gamma}^{\delta} - \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)_{\delta}^{\gamma} \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)_{\beta}^{\alpha} \right], \quad \Lambda = \frac{\lambda}{n_0 - 1}. \tag{55}
\]

Note that in an appropriately chosen frame (e.g. the frame (98) given in appendix B), the b.w. zero frame components of the Riemann tensor are also decomposable.

The operator \( \delta^{(\alpha)} : TM \rightarrow TM \) can be seen as a projection operator projecting onto the \( \alpha \)-th piece. They fulfill (as operators):

\[
\delta^{(\alpha)} \delta^{(\beta)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha \neq \beta \\ \delta^{(\alpha)}, & \alpha = \beta \end{cases}, \quad \text{Id}_{TM} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \delta^{(\alpha)}.
\]

We also note that the b.w. 0 component of the Riemann tensor possesses the discrete symmetries:

\[
\sigma(\alpha, \beta) : \delta^{(\alpha)} \leftrightarrow \delta^{(\beta)}.
\]

These \( \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \)'s generate the group of permutations of the \( N \) projection operators.

### 7.2.1. Rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor

In this section, we show that all symmetric rank-2 tensors \( T_{ab} \) constructed from the Riemann tensor are proportional to the metric, i.e. this metric is 0-universal.

As mentioned above, the b.w. 0 part of the Riemann tensor (55) is decomposable. Therefore, any symmetric rank-2 tensor \( T_{ab} \) constructed from \( R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} \) is also decomposable and due to (55) also proportional to the metric.

Let us proceed with terms containing b.w. \(-2\) components of the Weyl tensor \( C_{abcd} \) (note that for Einstein spacetimes, these are equal to b.w. \(-2\) components of the Riemann tensor). Obviously, a non-vanishing rank-2 tensor constructed from \( R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} \) and \( C_{abcd} \) can contain at most one term \( C_{abcd} \). Therefore, we are left with the cases

\[
R_{ab}^{(-2)} C_{\alpha\nu\gamma\beta}, \quad \text{(57)}
\]

\[
R_{abc}^{(-2)} C_{\alpha\nu\beta\gamma}, \quad \text{(58)}
\]

\[
R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(-2)} C_{abcd}, \quad \text{(59)}
\]

where \( R \) are rank-2, 4 and 6 tensors constructed from \( R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} \) and free indices are indicated by \( \ast \).

- \( R_{ab}^{(-2)} C_{\alpha\nu\gamma\beta} \): Since \( R_{ab} \) is proportional to the metric and \( C_{abcd} \) is traceless, the case (57) obviously vanishes.
- \( R_{abc}^{(-2)} C_{\alpha\nu\beta\gamma} \): Since \( R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} \) is decomposable it follows that \( R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(-2)} \) is either decomposable or a tensor product of two rank-2 decomposable tensors (which are both proportional to the metric).
– In the latter ‘2 ⊗ 2’ case, (58) obviously vanishes.
– Let us proceed with the rank-4 decomposable case:
  The tensor (58) has b.w. −2 and therefore it can be expressed as
\[ \mathcal{R}^{abc}_{\phantom{abc}1} C_{Δcabc}, \]
where indices a, b, c and 1 are now understood as frame indices.
\[ C_{abc} \]
can be non-vanishing only for c taking value i (i.e. belonging to the spacelike blocks). However, in such a case, (60) and (58) vanish due to the decomposability of the first term.

\[ \mathcal{R}^{abcd}_{\phantom{abcd}61} \]

Similarly as above, \( \mathcal{R}^{abcdef} \) appearing in (59) is decomposable or it is a 2 ⊗ 2 ⊗ 2 or 2 ⊗ 4 tensor product of decomposable tensors.
– If \( \mathcal{R}^{abcdef} \) is decomposable then (59) vanishes (one can use similar arguments as for the vanishing of (60)).
– For the case 2 ⊗ 2 ⊗ 2, (59) vanishes due to the tracelessness of \( C_{abcd} \).
– In the 2 ⊗ 4 case, \( \mathcal{R}^{bcdef}_{\phantom{bcdef}ab} = \mathcal{R}^{ab}_{\phantom{ab}bcdef} \). If \( \mathcal{R}^{ab} \) has no free index, then (59) vanishes due to the tracelessness of \( C_{abcd} \). If \( \mathcal{R}^{ab} \) has one free index then (59) vanishes thanks to the decomposability of \( \mathcal{R}^{bcdef} \), i.e. terms like \( \mathcal{R}^{abij} \) vanish. If \( \mathcal{R}^{ab} \) has two free indices, then (59) vanishes due to the vanishing of \( g_{11} \).

We thus arrive at

**Proposition 7.4.** The metric (53) and (54) is 0-universal.

Obviously, it follows that

**Corollary 7.5.** The metric (53) and (54) is a vacuum solution to all gravitational theories with field equations (derived from the Lagrangian (1)) that may contain derivatives of the Ricci tensor but do not contain derivatives of the Riemann tensor.

Examples of such theories are Lovelock gravity (no derivatives of the Ricci and the Riemann tensors) or quadratic gravity (the field equations of this theory contain derivatives of the Ricci tensor but do not contain derivatives of the Riemann tensor).

### 7.2.2. Rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor and its 2nd covariant derivatives

Recall that by proposition 7.1 for the metrics (53) and (54), all covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor are of the boost order \( ≤ -2 \) and thus a non-vanishing rank-2 tensor constructed from the Riemann tensor and its derivatives may contain at most one term with a derivative. Therefore, it is sufficient to study only terms which are linear in (obviously even) covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor that are conserved by lemma 7.3. Thus, let us proceed with studying rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor and its second covariant derivatives.

The requirement that conserved symmetric rank-2 tensors containing second covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor are proportional to the metric leads to a new necessary condition on the metric function \( H \). For instance, the following rank-2 tensor
\[ R^{cg}_{\phantom{cg}eh} R^{dh}_{\phantom{dh}fr} \nabla^e \nabla^f C_{abcd} \]

(61)
vanishes for the metric (53) and (54) iff
\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} (\Box^{(\alpha)})^2 H = 0.
\] (62)

In the following, we show that (62) is in fact a sufficient condition on \( H \) for 2-universality of the metrics (53) and (54).

Let us start with the following lemma:

**Lemma 7.6.** For the metrics (53) and (54), all rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor and its 2nd covariant derivatives that contain any trace of \( \Box \nabla \Box \nabla C_{\text{def}} \) vanish.

**Proof.** Since the Weyl tensor is traceless, we only have to consider terms (i) \( \nabla_i \nabla^i C_{\text{def}} \), (ii) \( \nabla^i \nabla_i C_{\text{def}} \) and (iii) \( \nabla^i \nabla_j C_{\text{def}} \). Due to the Bianchi identity, the case (i) vanishes identically. The case (ii) can be expressed in terms of the case (i) using the expression for the commutator of covariant derivatives for any tensor \( T \)
\[
\left[ \nabla_i, \nabla_j \right] T_{\cdots} = T_{d \cdots e} R_{\cdots ab}^d + \cdots + T_{a \cdots d} R_{\cdots ba}^d.
\] (63)
By proposition 7.1 for \( T \) being the Weyl tensor, the left-hand side of (63) is of the boost order \( \leq -2 \). Therefore, the right-hand side of (63) is also of the boost order \( \leq -2 \). The corresponding rank-2 contraction with further Riemann terms is of the boost order \( \leq -2 \), however, taking into account proposition 7.4, such contraction has to vanish. The case (iii) can be easily expressed in terms of case (ii) terms using the Bianchi identity. \( \square \)

Note that for the metrics (53) and (54), the contraction \( C_{abcd,ef} \Box \nabla^c \) vanishes. By further differentiation (and taking into account that \( \ell \) is recurrent), one arrives at the useful relation
\[
C_{abcd,ef} \Box \nabla^c = 0.
\] (64)

Now, let us proceed with possible rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor and its 2nd covariant derivatives. Cases to consider are

1. \( R_{abcd} \Box \nabla_{(a} C_{b\cdots d)} \),
2. \( R_{abcd} \Box \nabla_{(a} \nabla_{b)} C_{c\cdots d)} \),
3. \( R_{abcd} \Box \nabla_{(a} \nabla_{b)} \nabla_{c\cdots d)} \).

We can consider only the symmetric parts in the cases 1, 2, and 3 since from (63), the antisymmetric parts are proportional to the terms treated in section 7.2.1 that are proportional to the metric. Note that all cases with one or two free indices in the \( \Box \nabla C_{\text{def}} \) part can be reduced to the case 2 and 1 respectively. This can be shown using the Bianchi identities, a relation for the commutator of covariant derivatives (63) and the results of section 7.2.1.\(^{10}\)

- \( R_{abcd} \Box \nabla_{(a} \nabla_{b)} C_{c\cdots d)} \):
  - \( R_{abcd} \) is either 2 \( \otimes \) 2 or decomposable.
  - The 2 \( \otimes \) 2 case is trivial due to lemma 7.6.

\(^{10}\) (1A) Terms \( \Box \nabla C \) can be converted to terms belonging to the case 1 using the Bianchi identities; (1B) terms \( \nabla \Box C \) (using the commutator (63)) consist of terms (1A) plus terms not involving covariant derivatives, discussed in section 7.2.1, that are proportional to the metric; (1C) terms \( \nabla \nabla C \) can be expressed as terms (1B) using the Bianchi identities. Similarly, cases with only one free index in the \( \Box \nabla C_{\text{def}} \) part can be converted to the case 2.
The decomposable case: since the tensor product commutes with permutations \( \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \), \( R^{ab}_{\cd} \) has to preserve permutations as well. It is thus a symmetric polynomial in \( s^{(\alpha)} \)'s. \( R^{ab}_{\cd} \) is therefore a linear combination of \( \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(\delta^{(\alpha)})_i^a(\delta^{(\alpha)})_i^b \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(\delta^{(\alpha)})_i^a(\delta^{(\alpha)})_i^b \), however, due to the symmetries of the expression 1, we can consider just the first term that leads to (62) (see also (69)).

The case 1 is thus either trivial or it reduces to the condition (62) following from (61).

- \( R^{abcd}_{\ell n \ell n} C_{\cd} \):
  - \( R^{abcd}_{\ell n \ell n} C_{\cd} \) belongs to one of the following subcases:
    - (i) 2 \( \otimes \) 2 \( \otimes \) 2
    - (ii) 2 \( \otimes \) 4
    - (iii) decomposable.

- In the case (i), the expression 2 obviously vanishes due to lemma 7.6.
- In the case (ii), \( R^{abcd}_{\ell n \ell n} = R^{ab}_{\cd} R^{cd}_{\ell n} \). The free index now belongs either to \( R^{ab}_{\cd} \) or to \( R^{cd}_{\ell n} \). The first case reduces to the case 1, while the second case vanishes by lemma 7.6.
- The case (iii): Since the expression 2 has b.w. \(-2\), both free indices correspond to b.w. \(-1\) (i.e., the upper index is 0 or the lower one is 1). Since \( R^{abcd}_{\ell n \ell n} \) is decomposable, all indices in the expression 2 are either 1 or 0. Taking into account that the first term \( R^{abcd}_{\ell n \ell n} \) is of b.w. 0, while the second term \( \nabla_a \nabla_b C_{\cd} \) is of b.w. \(-2\), we find that the expression 2 reduces to \( R^{0101001} \) \( \nabla_1 \nabla_1 C_{\cd} \). The second term, \( \nabla_1 \nabla_1 C_{\cd} = \nabla_1 \nabla_1 C_{\cd} n^a n^b n^c n^d \), vanishes due to (64).

Case 2 terms thus do not lead to new conditions on the metric.

- \( R^{abcd}_{\ell n \ell n} \nabla_a \nabla_b C_{\cd} \):
  - \( R^{abcd}_{\ell n \ell n} \nabla_a \nabla_b C_{\cd} \) belongs to one of the following subcases:
    - (i) 2 \( \otimes \) 2 \( \otimes \) 2 \( \otimes \) 2
    - (ii) 2 \( \otimes \) 2 \( \otimes \) 4
    - (iii) 2 \( \otimes \) 6
    - (iv) decomposable.

- In the case (i), the expression 3 obviously vanishes due to lemma 7.6.
- In the case (ii), there is either a rank-2 tensor \( R^{ab}_{\cd} \) with both dummy indices, which vanishes by lemma 7.6, or \( R^{ab}_{\cd} \) has one free index, which reduces to the case 2 (ii).
- If in the case (iii), \( R^{ab}_{\cd} \) has no free index or one free index then again the expression 3 vanishes or reduces to the case 2 (iii), respectively. If \( R^{ab}_{\cd} \) has two free indices then the expression 3 is \( R^{*} \) times a full contraction of a b.w. 2 tensor, which is obviously zero. Note that \( R_{11} \) vanishes since \( S_{11} = 0 \).
- The case (iv) is similar to the case 2 (iii) and similarly we obtain a product of a b.w. zero component \( R^{abcd}_{\ell n \ell n} \) with indices either 1 or 0 and \( \nabla_1 \nabla_1 C_{\cd} \) that vanishes (see (64)).

Thus case 3 terms also do not lead to new conditions on the metric.

We can thus conclude with

**Proposition 7.7.** The metric (53) and (54), obeying (62) is 2-universal.

Thus in addition to the theories mentioned at the end of section 7.2.1, the metric (53) and (54) obeying (62) also solves the vacuum equations of e.g. all \( L(\text{Riemann}) \) gravities.

**7.2.3. Rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor and its 4th and higher covariant derivatives.** Now, let us proceed with studying rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives of the 4th and higher order. As in the section 7.2.2, it is
sufficient to study only terms which are linear in covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor that are conserved by lemma 7.3.

For higher-order derivatives, we will have more possibilities of $R^{ab\ldots cd}$ constructed from tensor products of the b.w. 0 components of the Riemann tensor, equation (55). Let us consider a general case where $N$ blocks are of a dimension $n_0$. Then, using equation (55) as well as the permutation symmetry generated by equation (56), the tensor $R^{ab\ldots cd}$ should be invariant under this permutation symmetry. A classical result from invariant theory states that invariant polynomials in $N$ variables are generated by the $N$ power sum symmetric polynomials $s_k(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (x_1)^k + \cdots + (x_N)^k$, $k = 1 \ldots N$. We can use this to construct tensors invariant under the permutation symmetry (56)

$$D_k = \left( \delta^{(0)} \right)^{a_1, \ldots, a_k}_{b_1, \ldots, b_k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \left( \delta^{(N-1)} \right)^{a_1, \ldots, a_k}_{b_1, \ldots, b_k},$$

(65)

or in the component form:

$$D_k^{a_1 \ldots a_k b_1 \ldots b_k} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)^{a_1 \ldots a_k}_{b_1 \ldots b_k} \cdots \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)^{a_1 \ldots a_k}_{b_1 \ldots b_k} + \cdots + \left( \delta^{(N-1)} \right)^{a_1 \ldots a_k}_{b_1 \ldots b_k} \cdots \left( \delta^{(N-1)} \right)^{a_1 \ldots a_k}_{b_1 \ldots b_k}.$$  

(66)

To see that these tensors are actually constructable from equation (55), we first note that the trace of equation (55) gives the Ricci tensor (there is no loss of generality to set $\Lambda = 1$)

$$R^a {}_b = D_1^a {}_b.$$  

(67)

Next, define $\Delta^{(\alpha)ab} {}_cd = 2(\delta^{(\alpha)})^a_d (\delta^{(\alpha)})^b_c$. Then

$$\Delta^{(\alpha)ab} {}_cd \Gamma^{\beta\gamma\delta} {}_fg = 0 \quad (\alpha \neq \beta),$$

while if $\alpha = \beta$ and doing the double contraction:

$$\Delta^{(\alpha)ab} {}_cd \Delta^{(\alpha)\gamma\delta} {}_eg = (n_0 - 1)(\delta^{(\alpha)})^b_d (\delta^{(\alpha)})^e_g - \Delta^{(\alpha)be}.$$  

Therefore

$$R^{(0)}_{} {}_d e R^{(0)}_{} {}_d e = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \Delta^{(\alpha)ab} {}_cd \sum_{\beta=0}^{N-1} \Delta^{(\beta\gamma\delta)\gamma\delta} {}_eg = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{N-1} \Delta^{(\alpha)ab} {}_d \Delta^{(\alpha)\gamma\delta} {}_eg = (n_0 - 1)D_2^{be} {}_d g - \Delta^{(0)be}.$$  

(68)

Thus

$$D_2^{be} {}_d g = \frac{1}{n_0 - 1} \left( R^{(0)}_{} {}_d e R^{(0)}_{} {}_d e + R^{(0)}_{} {}_d e - R^{(0)}_{} {}_d e \right).$$  

(69)

Once we have constructed $D_2$, we can construct the rest of the symmetric tensors $D_k$ iteratively by noting that

$$D_k^{a_1 \ldots a_k} {}_b 1 \ldots b_k D_2^{b_k \gamma} {}_d e = D_k^{a_1 \ldots a_k} {}_b 1 \ldots b_k D_2^{b_k \gamma} {}_d e.$$  

(70)

These tensors will give new necessary conditions for the space to be universal (via expressions like (71)).

Let us consider the case where $n_0 = 2$ and the 4th order derivatives. We proceed similarly as in section 7.2.2 and it turns out that everything reduces to the following cases:
The case 1. \( R_{abcdef} \nabla_a \nabla_b \nabla_c \nabla_d C_{e,f} \): The tensor \( D_3 \) defined above, gives an additional possibility where

\[
D_3^{abc} \nabla_b \nabla^d \nabla_c \nabla_d C_{e,f} \ldots
\]

giving the requirement

\[
\left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\Box^{(n)})^3 \right] H = 0.
\]

The case 2. \( R_{abcdefg} \nabla_a \nabla_b \nabla_c \nabla_d C_{e,f,g} \)

– which for \( R_{abcdef} \) decomposable, leads to a term proportional to \( C_{010;011} \)

– for a tensor product of 2 rank-4 decomposable tensors \( 4 \otimes 4 \), leads to terms like

\[
R_{0i0j} R_{0k0l} C_{1i1j;1k1l}.
\]

The case 3. \( R_{abcdefg} \nabla_a \nabla_b \nabla_c \nabla_d C_{e,f,g} \)–this case is either trivial or reduces to the case 1 or 2.

We note that for higher derivatives, we will get the additional tensors \( D_k \) giving additional conditions \( \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\Box^{(n)})^P \right] H = 0 \), for some integer \( P \). In our case, we notice that the symmetric polynomials give rise to symmetric polynomials in the (2nd order differential) operators \( \Box^{(n)} \). These operators commute: \( [\Box^{(\alpha)}, \Box^{(\beta)}] = 0 \) for the general Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson space. The b.w. 0 component of the Riemann tensor is invariant under the permutation symmetry and thus we expect a rank-2 tensor to be invariant under this permutation symmetry as well. Higher-order derivatives will then give us expressions which are ‘symmetric polynomials’ in the operators \( \Box^{(\alpha)} \). Since the operators commute any symmetric polynomial in the operators \( \Box^{(\alpha)} \) can be generated by the \( N \) power sum symmetric polynomials \( s_k (\Box^{(0)}, \ldots, \Box^{(N-1)}) \), \( k = 1, \ldots, N \). Consequently, we do not expect any additional conditions then those of the form \( \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\Box^{(n)})^P \right] H = 0 \). Indeed, since any symmetric polynomial in the operators \( \Box^{(\alpha)} \) is also finitely generated, we can assume \( P = 1, \ldots, N \). Therefore, we conjecture

Conjecture 7.8. Metrics (53) and (54) obeying the following set of \( N \) equations

\[
\left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\Box^{(n)})^P \right] H = 0,
\]

where \( P = 1 \ldots N \), are universal.

We note that all these conditions are necessary for all \( P = 1, \ldots, N \), which can be easily seen by assuming \( H \) to be a simultaneous eigenvector for all \( \Box^{(\alpha)} \): \( \Box^{(\alpha)} H = \lambda_{\alpha} H \).

7.3. A generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of 3-blocks

Let us study a higher-dimensional generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of \( N \) 3-blocks (see appendix C for the Ricci rotation coefficients and components of the Weyl tensor).
\[ ds^2 = 2du dv + H(u, z, x_\alpha, y_\alpha, z_\alpha)du^2 + \frac{2v}{z} du dz - \frac{2}{\lambda z^2} dz^2 \]

\[ - \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} \left[ dx_\alpha^2 + sh_{\alpha}^2 \left( dv_\alpha^2 + s_{\alpha}^2 dz_{\alpha}^2 \right) \right], \] \hspace{1cm} (74)

where, in this form, only negative \( \lambda \) is allowed and \( s_\alpha = \sin(y_\alpha), sh_{\alpha} = \sinh(x_\alpha) \).

The metric (74) is a Kundt metric of the type II, where now, in contrast with the 2-block case studied in section 7.2, the mWAND \( \ell \) is not recurrent. The metric (74) is Einstein iff \( H \) obeys

\[ \square H - 2\lambda z H_{,z} = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (75)

and then it satisfies all the assumptions of proposition 7.1 (see appendix C) and thus all covariant derivatives of the Riemann (and Weyl) tensor are of the boost order \( \leq -2 \).

Now, let us study conserved symmetric rank-2 tensors constructed from the Riemann tensor. The b.w. zero part of the Riemann tensor is decomposable as in the 2-block case (55).

In order to show that such rank-2 tensors are proportional to the metric, one can essentially repeat the proof of section 7.2.1 except for terms like

\[ R_{iabc}^{(-2)} C_{abcd}, \] \hspace{1cm} (76)

appearing e.g. in (60), that now do not vanish due to the decomposability of \( R_{abcd} \), since in principle terms like \( R_{212}^{(-2)} C_{2121} = R_{212}^{(-2)} \Omega_{22}' \) might appear. For the metric (74) and (75), \( \Omega_{22}' \) vanishes iff

\[ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} [\square]^{(\alpha)} H = 0. \] \hspace{1cm} (77)

Rescaling \( H \)

\[ H = \frac{\hat{H}}{z^2}, \] \hspace{1cm} (78)

the Einstein equation reads

\[ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} [\square]^{(\alpha)} \hat{H} = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (79)

and together with (77) gives

\[ \square^{(0)} \hat{H} = -\frac{\lambda z^2}{2} \left( \square \hat{H}_{,zz} - \hat{H}_{,zz} \right) = 0, \] \hspace{1cm} (80)

which is satisfied for

\[ \hat{H} = h_1(u, x_\alpha, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) + h_0(u, x_\alpha, y_\alpha, z_\alpha)z^2, \quad H = h_0 + \frac{h_1}{z^2}. \] \hspace{1cm} (81)

We thus arrive at

**Proposition 7.9.** The metric (74), obeying (77) and (81), is 0-universal.

Thus, similarly as in section 7.2, these metrics solve the vacuum equations of e.g. Lovelock gravity or quadratic gravity.

We expect that a modification of conjecture 7.8 is valid also for the metric (74).
**Conjecture 7.10.** The higher-dimensional generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric constructed from $N$ 3-dimensional blocks (74), satisfying $H_{,v} = 0$ and the following set of $N$ equations

$$
\left[ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} (\Box^{(\alpha)}) \right] H = 0, \tag{82}
$$

where $P = 1 \ldots N$, is universal.

Obviously, in a similar way, one could also study a generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric constructed from an appropriate Kundt form of a maximally symmetric $n_0$-dimensional Lorentzian space and $N-1$ $n_0$-dimensional maximally symmetric Riemannian spaces with $n_0 > 3$. Indeed, we expect a modification of conjecture 7.10 to be valid for Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metrics constructed from an arbitrary number of $n_0$-blocks, where $H$ does not depend on $v$ and spacelike coordinates of the Lorentzian block (then $\Box^{(\alpha)} H = 0$). One can find examples of such spaces e.g. by choosing $H = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} H^{(\alpha)}$, where each $H^{(\alpha)}$ is harmonic on their respective piece, $\Box^{(\alpha)} H^{(\alpha)} = 0$, and does not depend on the other coordinates.
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**Appendix A. Weyl tensor of the product manifolds**

Let us consider an $n$-dimensional manifold $M$ constructed as a direct product of $N$ $n_\alpha$-dimensional maximally symmetric manifolds $M_\alpha$, $\alpha = 0, \ldots, N-1$, $n = n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_{N-1}$. In order to derive frame components of the Weyl tensor, let us start with $N = 2$, i.e. first, let us consider an $n = n_0 + n_1$ dimensional Lorentzian manifold $M$, which is a direct product of two maximally symmetric manifolds $M_0$ and $M_1$. $M_0$ is $n_0$-dimensional and Lorentzian with the Ricci scalar $R_0$. $M_1$ is $n_1$-dimensional and Riemannian with the Ricci scalar $R_1$. As in [12], we adapt a frame to the natural product structure with

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{ab} &= 2 \xi_{(a} n_{b)} + \delta_{i_0 i_b} m_{(i_a)} m^{(j_b)} + \delta_{i_a i_j} m_{(i_b)} m^{(j_a)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $i_0, j_0 = 2 \ldots n_0 - 1$ and $i_1, j_1 = n_0 \ldots n - 1$.

First, let us observe that $M$ is Einstein iff (44) holds. Even though $M_0$ and $M_1$ are conformally flat, $M$ in general is not, since b.w. zero terms of the Weyl tensor are non-trivial. Using results of [12] (already assuming that (44) holds), we obtain the following non-vanishing components of the Weyl tensor
\[
\Phi = - \frac{n_1 R_0}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)},
\]
\[
\Phi_{iakb} = \frac{n_1 R_0}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} \delta_{iakb},
\]
\[
\Phi_{ikhi} = - \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} \delta_{ikhi},
\]
\[
\Phi_{iakb,kib} = \frac{n_1 R_0}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} 2\delta_{iakb},
\]
\[
\Phi_{ikhi,kib} = \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} 2\delta_{ikhi},
\]
\[
\Phi_{iakb,kib} = - \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} \delta_{iakb},
\]

Now, we demand that \( M \) is also a vacuum solutions to the quadratic gravity, i.e. \( S_{ab}^{(2)} \equiv C_{acde} C^{cd} = K g_{ab} \) (see (25)). Components of \( S_{ab}^{(2)} \) are

\[
S_{01}^{(2)} = \frac{2n_1 R_0^2}{n_0^2(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)},
\]
\[
S_{ik}^{(2)} = \frac{2n_1 R_0^2}{n_0^2(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} \delta_{ik},
\]
\[
S_{ih}^{(2)} = \frac{2R_0^2}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} \delta_{ih}.
\]

For (85) to be compatible with (25),

\[
n_1 = n_0, \quad R_1 = R_0.
\]

Thus, the Weyl tensor frame components read

\[
\Phi = - \frac{R_0}{(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)},
\]
\[
\Phi_{iakb} = \frac{R_0}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} \delta_{iakb},
\]
\[
\Phi_{ikhi} = - \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} \delta_{ikhi},
\]
\[
\Phi_{iakb,kib} = \frac{R_0}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} 2\delta_{iakb},
\]
\[
\Phi_{ikhi,kib} = \frac{R_0}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} 2\delta_{ikhi},
\]
\[
\Phi_{iakb,kib} = - \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} \delta_{iakb}.
\]

If for a direct product of \( p + 1 \) manifolds \( M_0 = [n_0, R_0], \ldots, M_p = [n_p, R_p], \)

\[
n_0 = \cdots = n_p \) and \( R_0 = \cdots = R_p \), then the product is Einstein, satisfies (25) and the Weyl frame components have the form
Let us prove this by the mathematical induction.

We assume that we have \( p \) manifolds \( M_0 = [n_0, R_0], ..., M_{p-1} = [n_{p-1}, R_{p-1}] \) with \( n_0 = \cdots = n_{p-1}, R_0 = \cdots = R_{p-1} \) and \( n = p n_0 \) with

\[
\Phi^{(p)} = \frac{R_0}{(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)},
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0} = \frac{R_0}{(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} \delta_{\nu_0 \lambda_0},
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0} = \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} \delta_{\nu_0 \lambda_0}, \quad \alpha \geq 0,
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0 \nu_1 \lambda_1} = \frac{R_0}{(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} 2 \delta_{\nu_0 [\nu_1} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_0]},
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0 \nu_1 \lambda_1 \nu_2 \lambda_2} = \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} \delta_{\nu_0 \lambda_0} \delta_{\nu_1 \lambda_1} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}, \quad \alpha \geq \beta.
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta = 0, ..., p - 1 \) (if not stated otherwise) and we add \( M_p = [n_p, R_p] \) with frame indices denoted by \( i_p \),

\[
\Phi^{(p)} = \frac{R_0 \left[ (p - 1)n_0 + n_p \right]}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)},
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0} = \frac{R_0 \left[ (p - 1)n_0 + n_p \right]}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} \delta_{\nu_0 \lambda_0},
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0} = \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} \delta_{\nu_0 \lambda_0}, \quad \alpha \geq 0
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0 \nu_1 \lambda_1} = \frac{R_0 \left[ (p - 1)n_0 + n_p \right]}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} 2 \delta_{\nu_0 [\nu_1} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_0]}, \quad \alpha \geq p
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0 \nu_1 \lambda_1 \nu_2 \lambda_2} = \frac{R_0}{n_0(n - 1)} \delta_{\nu_0 \lambda_0} \delta_{\nu_1 \lambda_1} \delta_{\lambda_2 \lambda_2}, \quad \alpha \geq \beta.
\]

\[
\Phi^{(p)}_{\nu_0 \lambda_0 \nu_1 \lambda_1} = \frac{R_0 \left[ (p - 1)n_0 + n_p \right]}{n_0(n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} 2 \delta_{\nu_0 [\nu_1} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_0]},
\]

where now \( n = p n_0 + n_p \).
Considering the second rank tensor \( S^{(2)}_{ab} = C_{abc} C^{cde} K_{sab} \) (see (25)), we get
\[
S^{(2)}_{01} = \frac{2}{n_0 (n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} \left( p - 1 \right) n_0 + n_p \right) R^2_0,
\]
\[
S^{(2)}_{ij} = \frac{2 p R^2_0}{n_0 (n_0 - 1)(n - 1)} \delta_{ij},
\]
which implies
\[
n_0 = \cdots = n_p, \quad R_0 = \cdots = R_p
\]
and (88) follows.

Appendix B. The generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of 2-blocks

In this section, we derive Christoffel symbols, Ricci coefficients and Weyl coordinate and frame components for the higher-dimensional generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of \( N \) 2-blocks (53), considered in section 7.2.

The non-trivial Christoffel symbols for the metric (53) read
\[
\Gamma^u_{av} = \lambda v, \quad \Gamma^v_{au} = \frac{1}{2} H_{uv} + \lambda \nu \left( \nu^2 + H \right),
\]
\[
\Gamma^v_{uv} = \lambda v, \quad \Gamma^u_{uv} = \frac{1}{2} H_{uv}, \quad \Gamma^v_{uv} = \frac{1}{2} H_{sv},
\]
\[
\Gamma^v_{ab} = - \frac{\left| \lambda \right|}{2} H_{ab}, \quad \Gamma^v_{ab} = - \frac{\left| \lambda \right|}{2 s^2(x)} H_{ab},
\]
\[
\Gamma^a_{x b y} = s(x) c(x) \delta_{a b y}, \quad \Gamma^v_{x b y} = c(x) \delta_{a b y},
\]
(93)
where \( \delta_{a b y} = 1 \) for \( a = \beta = y \), i.e. all indices correspond to the same block, and otherwise \( \delta_{a b y} \) vanishes. The independent non-trivial components of the Riemann and Ricci tensors read
\[
R_{uvuv} = - \lambda, \quad R_{av, uv} = - \frac{1}{2} H_{x v, x y}, \quad R_{av, uv} = - \frac{1}{2} H_{x v, x y} + \frac{1}{2} c(x) H_{x y} \delta_{a b y},
\]
\[
R_{ab, v y} = - \frac{1}{2} H_{y b, y y} - \frac{1}{2} s(x) c(x) H_{x y} \delta_{a b y} - \frac{1}{2} s^2(x) \delta_{a b y},
\]
(94)
\[
R_{uv} = \lambda \left( \lambda^2 + H \right) - \frac{1}{2} \Box H, \quad R_{uv} = \lambda, \quad R_{a v, x y} = \text{sgn}(\lambda) \delta_{a b y}, \quad R_{x b, y y} = \text{sgn}(\lambda) s^2(x) \delta_{a b y},
\]
(95)
where
\[
\Box H = \left| \lambda \right| \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \left( H_{x v, x y} + \frac{1}{s^2(x)} H_{x y} \delta_{a b y} \right).
\]
(96)
The Ricci scalar is constant \( R = n \lambda \). The metric (53) is Einstein, \( R_{uv} = \lambda g_{uv} \), iff \( H(u, x_v, y_v) \) is a harmonic function.
The non-trivial components of the Weyl tensor are
\[
C_{uvuv} = -\frac{n-2}{n-1} \lambda,
\]
\[
C_{uvxu} = -\frac{1}{2} H_{x,x,xy} - \text{sgn}(\lambda) \left( \frac{\lambda \nu^2 + H}{n-1} - \frac{\Box H}{2\lambda(n-2)} \right) \delta_{\alpha\beta},
\]
\[
C_{uxuy} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -H_{x,x,y} + \text{ct}(x_0)H_{y,y} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right),
\]
\[
C_{uxyv} = -\frac{1}{2} H_{x,y,v} - s^2(x_0) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \text{ct}(x_0)H_{x,x} + \text{sgn}(\lambda) \left( \frac{\lambda \nu^2 + H}{n-1} - \frac{\Box H}{2\lambda(n-2)} \right) \right] \delta_{\alpha\beta},
\]
\[
C_{uxyv'} = \frac{\text{ct}(x_0)}{n-1} \delta_{\alpha\beta},
\]
\[
C_{uvyv'} = -s^2(x_0) \frac{\text{sgn}(\lambda)}{n-1} \delta_{\alpha\beta},
\]
\[
C_{uxyvy} = \frac{2}{\lambda(n-1)} \delta_{\alpha[\delta]} \delta_{\gamma]v}.
\]
\[
C_{uxyvy'} = \frac{s^2(x_0)}{\lambda} \left( \delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{n-1} \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \delta_{\beta\delta} \right),
\]
\[
C_{uxyvyv'} = \frac{2s^2(x_0)s^2(x_0)}{\lambda(n-1)} \delta_{\alpha[\delta} \delta_{\gamma\beta]}.
\]
(97)

One may introduce a parallelly propagated frame
\[
\ell^\alpha = (0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0),
\]
\[
n^\alpha = \left( 1, -\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right),
\]
\[
m^\alpha_{(x_0)} = \begin{cases} 0, ..., 0, \sqrt{\lambda}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \end{cases} \text{ for } \text{\emph{\textit{noth block}}},
\]
\[
m^\alpha_{(y_0)} = \begin{cases} 0, ..., 0, 0, \sqrt{\lambda x_0}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \end{cases} \text{ for } \text{\emph{\textit{oth block}}},
\]
(98)
to show that only b.w. 0 and \(-2\) components of the Weyl tensor are non-vanishing. Moreover, the b.w. 0 components are constant.

One can use the relation between the Riemann and Weyl tensor (13) and (55) to obtain the b.w. 0 components of the Weyl tensor for arbitrary N \(n_0\)-blocks
\[
C^{ab}_{cd} = \Lambda \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)^a_c \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)^b_d - \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)^a_d \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)^b_c \right]
\]
\[
- \frac{\Lambda(n_0-1)}{n-1} \left[ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)^a_c \sum_{\beta=0}^{N-1} \left( \delta^{(\beta)} \right)^b_d - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \left( \delta^{(\alpha)} \right)^a_d \sum_{\beta=0}^{N-1} \left( \delta^{(\beta)} \right)^b_c \right].
\]
(99)
By contracting (99) with the frame vectors, one obtains the frame components

\[
\Phi = C_{\alpha}^{\beta}(n_{\alpha}^{\ell}n_{\beta}^m)n^d = -\Lambda \left( 1 - \frac{n_0 - 1}{n - 1} \right) = -\frac{n - n_0}{n - 1} \Lambda,
\]

\[
\Phi_{iw} = C_{\alpha}^{\beta}(n_{\alpha}^{i}n_{\beta}^w)n^d = \frac{n_0}{n - 1} \Lambda \delta_{iw} = -\Phi \delta_{iw},
\]

\[
\Phi_{iwh} = C_{\alpha}^{\beta}(n_{\alpha}^{i}n_{\beta}^{(w)}n_{\gamma}^{(h)})n^d = -\Lambda \frac{n_0 - 1}{n - 1} \delta_{iwh}, \quad \alpha, \beta \not\equiv 0,
\]

\[
\Phi_{iwh,j} = C_{\alpha}^{\beta}(m_{\alpha}^{(i)}m_{\beta}^{(wh)}n_{\gamma}^{(j)}n^d) = 2\Lambda \delta_{iwh} \left( \delta_{ij} \delta_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{n_0 - 1}{n - 1} \right).
\]  

(100)

Thus \( \Phi_{ij} \) is diagonal

\[
\Phi_{ij} = \frac{\Lambda}{n - 1} \mathrm{diag} \left[ n_0 \left( N - 1 \right) \delta_{iwh}, -(n_0 - 1) \delta_{iwh} \right], \quad \alpha, \beta \not\equiv 0.
\]

(101)

For \( n_0 = 2 \), \( \Lambda = \lambda/(n_0 - 1) = \lambda \), one gets non-vanishing b.w. \( 0 \) components

\[
\Phi_{iwh} = \Phi_{iwh} = \Phi_{iwh} = -\lambda \left( \delta_{iwh} \delta_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{n - 1} \delta_{iwh} \delta_{\beta\gamma} \right), \quad \Phi = -\frac{n - 2}{n - 1} \lambda.
\]

(102)

The b.w. \( -1 \) components, \( \psi_{ijk} \), vanish and the b.w. \( -2 \) components

\[
\Omega_{iwh} = -\frac{|\lambda|}{2} H_{iwh} + \frac{\Box H}{2(n - 2)} \delta_{iwh},
\]

\[
\Omega_{iwh} = -\frac{|\lambda|}{2s(\dot{x}_0)} H_{iwh} + \frac{|\lambda| \mathrm{ct}(x_0)}{2s(x_0)} H_{iwh} \delta_{iwh},
\]

\[
\Omega_{iwh} = -\frac{|\lambda|}{2s(x_0)s(\dot{x}_0)} H_{iwh} - \frac{1}{2} \left( |\lambda| \mathrm{ct}(x_0) H_{iwh} + \frac{\Box H}{(n - 2)} \right) \frac{\delta_{iwh}}{\delta_{iwh}}
\]

(103)

are independent of \( v \) and thus \( D\Omega_{ij} = 0 \).

The Ricci rotation coefficients read

\[
\kappa_i = L_{10} = \tau_i = i M_0 = 0, \quad \rho_i = L_{i1} = \tau_i = i M_1 = 0, \quad L_{11} = \lambda v,
\]

\[
\kappa_i' = -\frac{\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{2} H_{iwh}, \quad \kappa_{0} = -\frac{\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{2s(x_0)} H_{iwh}, \quad \chi_0 = \mathrm{ct}(x_0) \sqrt{|\lambda|} \delta_{iwh},
\]

(104)

the remaining spin coefficients \( M_{iwh} \) vanish. From (104), it follows that the frame (98) is parallelly propagated and that the metric (53) belongs to the RNV subclass of the Kundt spacetimes.

Now, let us study components of \( \nabla^{(1)} C \). In the b.w. \( 0 \) components of \( \nabla^{(1)} C \), terms like

\[
\Phi_{ij} M_{iwh} + \Phi_{iwh} M_{ij}.
\]

(105)
and

\[ \Phi_{ijkl} M_{ih} + \Phi_{hkl} M_{ij} + \Phi_{ijk} M_{ih} + \Phi_{jkl} M_{ih} \]  

(106)

appear and they all vanish due to the form of \( M_{ij} \) (104) and \( \Phi_{ij} \) and \( \Phi_{ijkl} \) (102). Since \( \tau_i = \rho_i^j = M_{ji} = D\Omega_{ij}^l = 0 \) and \( \Phi_{ijkl} \) are constant, the only terms contributing to b.w. \(-1\) components of \( \nabla \r^{(1)} C \) are

\[ \ell_{a,b} = L_{11} \ell_a \ell_b, \quad n_{a,b} = - L_{11} n_a \ell_b. \]  

(107)

However, in the expression of the b.w. 0 part of the Weyl tensor (5), there is same number of frame vectors \( \ell \)'s and \( n \)'s and thus such terms cancel out, e.g.

\[ C_{abcd,e} = \Phi L_{11} (1 + 1 + 1) \ell_a n_b \ell_c n_d \ell_e + \cdots. \]

Thus the metric (53) obeys the assumptions of proposition 7.1.

**Appendix C. The generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of 3-blocks**

In this section, we derive Christoffel symbols, Ricci coefficients and Weyl coordinate and frame components for another higher-dimensional generalization of the Khlebnikov–Ghanam–Thompson metric consisting of \( N \) 3-blocks (74), considered in section 7.3.

The non-trivial Christoffel symbols for the metric (74) are given by

\[ \Gamma^u_{ac} = - \frac{1}{z}, \quad \Gamma^v_{uu} = \frac{1}{2} (H_{uv} - \lambda v z h_{v}), \quad \Gamma^v_{uv} = \lambda v, \]

\[ \Gamma^v_{uc} = \frac{1}{2} H_{v}, \quad \Gamma^v_{ac} = \frac{1}{2} H_{a}, \quad \Gamma^v_{uc} = \frac{1}{2} H_{u}, \quad \Gamma^v_{ac} = \frac{1}{2} H_{a}, \]

\[ \Gamma^v_{uc} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Gamma^v_{uu} = \frac{\lambda z^2}{4} H_{v}, \quad \Gamma^v_{uv} = - \frac{\lambda z}{2}, \quad \Gamma^v_{ac} = - \lambda v, \quad \Gamma^v_{zc} = \frac{1}{z}, \]

\[ \Gamma^v_{uc} = \frac{\lambda}{4} H_{v}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = - sh_a c h_a \delta_{a,j}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = - s h_a c h_a \delta_{a,j}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = - s h_a c h_a \delta_{a,j}, \]

\[ \Gamma^v_{uc} = \frac{\lambda}{4} H_{v}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = c t h_a \delta_{a,j}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = c t h_a \delta_{a,j}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = c t h_a \delta_{a,j}, \]

\[ \Gamma^v_{uc} = \frac{\lambda}{4} H_{v}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = c t h_a \delta_{a,j}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = c t h_a \delta_{a,j}, \quad \Gamma^v_{jc} = c t h_a \delta_{a,j}. \]  

(108)

where \( c h_a = c o s x_a, c_a = c o s y_a, c t h_a = c h_a / s h_a \) and \( c t_a = c_a / s_t \). The non-zero components of the Riemann tensor read
The Ricci scalar is constant \( R = n \lambda \). The metric (74) is Einstein with \( R_{ab} = \lambda g_{ab} \) iff

\[
\Box H = 2 \lambda z H_{zz}.
\] (112)

Let us choose a parallelly propagated frame

\[
\ell^a = (0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0),
\]

\[
r^a = \left(1, -\frac{3 \lambda v^2 + 2H}{4z}, z, \frac{\lambda v}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0, \right),
\]

\[
m^{\alpha}_{(2)} = \left(0, -\sqrt{-\frac{\lambda}{2} z}, \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda}{2} z}, 0, \ldots, 0, \right),
\]

\[
m^{\alpha}_{(n\text{th block})} = \begin{cases} 0 \ldots 0, & \sqrt{-\frac{\lambda}{2} z}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, \end{cases}
\]
\[ m^\mu_{(1_0)} = \left( 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \frac{-\lambda}{\sqrt{2 \, s h_\alpha}}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right) \]
\[ m^\mu_{(1_0)} = \left( 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \frac{-\lambda}{\sqrt{2 \, s h_\alpha s_0}}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right) \]

and express the frame components of the Weyl tensor. As in the 2-block case, b.w. 0 components are constant and can be derived using (100) and (101)

\[ \Phi = - \frac{(n - 3) \lambda}{2(n - 1)} \mathcal{F} \]
\[ \Phi_{2_0} = \Phi_{2_0} \mathcal{F}_{2_0} = \Phi_{2_2} \mathcal{F}_{2_2} = - \frac{n - 1}{2} \delta_{\lambda \beta} \]

\[ \Phi_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_0} = \Phi_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_0} = \Phi_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_0} = - \frac{2 \lambda}{n - 1} \delta_{\lambda \gamma} \delta_{\beta \gamma} \]

\[ \Phi_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_0} = \Phi_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_0} = \Phi_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda}{n - 1} \delta_{\lambda \gamma} \delta_{\beta \gamma} \]

b.w. \(-1\) components \(\Psi'_{\gamma \delta} \) vanish and b.w. \(-2\) non-vanishing components are independent of \(v\)

\[ \Omega'_{2_2} = \frac{1}{2(n - 2)} (\square H - 2 \lambda z H_z, z) + \frac{\lambda}{4} (z H_z - 3 H_z) \]

\[ \Omega'_{2_3} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{2_3} + z H_{2_3}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{2_4} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{2_4} + z H_{2_4}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{x_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{x_0} + z H_{x_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{x_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{x_0} + z H_{x_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{y_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{y_0} + z H_{y_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{z_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{z_0} + z H_{z_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{x_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{x_0} + z H_{x_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{y_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{y_0} + z H_{y_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{z_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{z_0} + z H_{z_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{x_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{x_0} + z H_{x_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{y_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{y_0} + z H_{y_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{z_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{z_0} + z H_{z_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{x_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{x_0} + z H_{x_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{y_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{y_0} + z H_{y_0}) \]

\[ \Omega'_{z_0} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{z_0} + z H_{z_0}) \]
The Ricci rotation coefficients are

\[ \kappa_i = L_{10} = \tau_1' = M_{j0} = 0, \quad \rho_i = M_{ij} = 0, \]

\[ L_{11} = \lambda v, \quad \tau = L_1 = \left( \frac{-\lambda}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right), \quad \rho_i' = \text{diag} \left( \frac{-\lambda v}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right), \]

\[ M_{jk} = 0, \quad \chi_{\alpha \beta} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{ch}_{\alpha \beta}, \quad \delta_{\alpha \beta} = \frac{\chi_{\alpha \beta}}{\text{ch}_{\alpha \beta}}, \quad M_{ss} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{ch}_{ss}, \]

\[ \kappa_2' = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda}{2} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} v^2 - H - z \dot{H} \right)}, \]

\[ \kappa_{i s} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda}{2} H_{sx}}, \quad \kappa_{i s}' = -\frac{1}{2} v_{xx} \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda}{2} H_{xx}}, \quad \kappa_{s s}' = -\frac{1}{2} v_{xx} \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda}{2} H_{xx}}, \quad (116) \]

Note that the metric (74) is not RNV since \( \tau_i \) is non-vanishing. One can see that \( D\Omega_{ij}' \) vanishes also directly from the Bianchi equation (A.17) in [7]

\[ D\Omega_{ij}' = \Phi \rho_{ij}' + \Phi_i \rho_{ij}' = 0. \quad (117) \]

Now, let us study components of \( \nabla^{(1)} C \). Similarly as in the 2-block case, in the b.w. 0 components of \( \nabla^{(1)} C \), terms like (105) and (106) appear and they all again vanish due to the form of \( M_{jk} \) (116) and \( \Phi_{ij} \) and \( \Phi_{ijkl} \) (114). Moreover, there are b.w. 0 terms

\[ \Phi \tau_i + \Phi_i \tau_j \]

and

\[ \Phi_{ik} \tau_j - \Phi_j \tau_k - \Phi_{ijk} \tau_i \]

that vanish as well. In b.w. \(-1\) components of \( \nabla^{(1)} C \), there are also terms (107) that again cancel each other and terms

\[ \Phi \rho_{ij}' + \Phi_i \rho_{ij}' \]

and

\[ \Phi_{ik} \rho_{jl}' - \Phi_{jl} \rho_{ik}' - \Phi_{ijkl} \rho_{ik}' \]

that vanish as well.

Thus the metric (74) obeys the assumptions of proposition 7.1.
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