Outcome of Colorectal Surgery in Elderly Populations
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Purpose: The aim of this study is to investigate the impact of age on short-term outcomes after colorectal surgery in terms of the 30-day postoperative morbidity and mortality rates.

Methods: The subjects for the study were patients who had undergone colorectal surgery. Patients were divided into 2 groups according to age; groups A and B patients were ≥80 and <80 years old of age, respectively. Both groups were manually matched for body mass index, American Society of Anesthesiologists score, Charlson Comorbidity Index and procedure performed.

Results: A total of 200 patients, 91 men (45.5%) and 109 women (54.5%), were included in this retrospective study. These patients were equally divided into 2 groups. The mean ages were 85 years in group A (range, 80 to 104 years) and 55.3 years in group B (range, 13 to 79 years). The overall 30-day postoperative mortality rate was 1% of total 200 patients; both of these 2 patients were in group A. However, this observation had no statistical significance. No intraoperative complications were encountered in either group. The overall 30-day postoperative morbidity rate was 27% (54 of 200) for both groups. The 30-day postoperative morbidity rates in groups A and B were 28% (28 of 100) and 26% (26 of 100), respectively. However, these differences between the groups had no statistical significance importance.

Conclusion: Age alone should not be considered to be more of a contraindication or a worse predictor than other factors for the outcome after colorectal surgery on elderly patients.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), elderly populations around the world are rapidly growing, with the number of people over 60 years of age expected to reach 2 billion by the year 2050 [1]. Because of the aging of the population, combined with the fact that colorectal surgery is the most commonly performed major surgery on elderly patients [2, 3], colorectal surgeons should expect to operate more frequently on elderly patients [4]. Elderly patients are often categorized as high-risk patients due to their having significant comorbidity with increasing postoperative morbidity and mortality [5]. The 30-day morbidity and mortality rates are 20% and 6%, respectively, for patients aged ≥70 years of age [4, 5].

Advancing age is considered an important and independent risk factor for postoperative morbidity and mortality; this was confirmed by analysis of the national database in the United States of 975,825 patients aged ≥65 who had undergone a colorectal resection and by a systematic review of 28 independent studies [4, 6]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of age on short-term outcomes after colorectal surgery at a single institution.

METHODS

The subjects for the study were patients who had undergone colorectal surgery for both benign and malignant diseases either as elective or urgent surgery with open or laparoscopic approaches at our department of general surgery. Data from our prospectively collected computer database for dates from June 2003 to September 2010 were extracted, reviewed, and analyzed; further clinical
were equally divided into two groups: groups A and B patients were ≥80 and <80 years old of age, respectively. The mean ages were 85 years in group A (range, 80 to 104 years), and 55.3 years in group B (range, 13 to 79 years). The basic demographic parameters of both groups, including BMI, ASA scores, and mean CCI, are listed in Table 1. No statistically significant differences were noted between the 2 groups regarding BMI, ASA, CCI, type of intervention (elective vs. urgent), and surgical approaches (open vs. laparoscopic) (Table 2). These results were to be expected as the 2 groups were manually matched for these items. The causes of admission to the hospital (Table 2) were malignant diseases in 56% and 58% of the patients in groups A and B, respectively, with comparable TNM stages for both groups.

The mean total operative times were 136 minutes (range, 40 to 255 minutes) in group A and 155 minutes (range, 30 to 355 minutes) in group B (Table 3). In particular, the mean operative times for the laparoscopic procedures were 122.5 minutes (range, 45 to 230 minutes) in group A and 146.8 minutes (range, 40 to 315 minutes) in group B while those for the open procedures were 138 minutes (range, 35 to 255 minutes) in group A and 158.9 minutes (range, 30 to 355 minutes) in group B. The mean lengths of hospital stay for group A (range, 5 to 41 days) and group B (range, 4 to 31 days) were statistically the same (13 days, Table 3).

The overall 30-day postoperative mortality rate was 1% (2 of 200) for the total of both groups, with group A having both fatalities and group A having none; however, this difference was not statistically significant.
statistically significant (Table 3). One of those 2 patients was 81 years old, ASA grade 2, who had undergone an anterior resection for rectal cancer, followed by a Hartmann procedure for peritonitis due to anastomotic leakage; that patient died 14 days after the initial procedure. The second was 86 years old, ASA grade 3, who had undergone a Miles procedure for rectal cancer and then developed acute coronary artery syndrome with acute heart failure; that patient died 7 days after the initial procedure.

No intraoperative complications were noted in either group. The overall 30-day postoperative morbidity rate was 27% (54 of 200) for the total of both groups. The 30-day postoperative morbidity rate in group A was 28% (28 of 100). Of these 28 patients, 18% (5 of 28) had CCI ≥3, and 36% (10 of 28) were classified ASA ≥ III. The 30-day postoperative morbidity rate was 26% (26 of 100) for group B. Of these 26 patients, 7.6% (2 of 26) had CCI ≥3, and 23% (6 of 26) were classified ASA ≥3. However, these differences between the 2 groups showed no statistical significance (Table 3). Of the patients developing complication (54 total, 28 in group A and 26 in group B), those requiring additional surgical interventions were 9 total (9 of 54, 17%), 4 (4 of 28, 14%) in group A, and 5 (5 of 26, 19%) in group B (Table 4). The additional operative interventions were a Hartmann procedure for anastomotic leakage (n = 1, group A) and protective ileostomies for anastomotic leakage (n = 2, 1 in each group).

DISCUSSION

One of the challenges in evaluating the outcomes of colorectal surgery in elderly patients is that no consistent definition of an elderly patient exists, with ages varying from 65 to 80 years in different publications [5]. Other challenges are the varying definitions of morbidity in different institutions and the fact that several complications, like postoperative delirium, may go unrecognized and be unreported [3]. Elderly is a very subjective term based mainly on the environmental culture of the patient. Elderly has been defined as a chronological age of ≥65 years of age, which has been further subdivided into early and late elderly for those who are 65 through 74 and over 75 years of age, respectively [8]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study about the outcome of colorectal surgery in elderly patients with comparison to a cohort group of nonelderly patients manually matched for BMI, ASA score, CCI and procedure performed (open vs. laparoscopic, elective vs. urgent).

In this study, we set the cut off age defining elderly at 80 years of age as it is widely used [9]. Comorbidities was weighted using the CCI, in addition to the ASA score. The ASA score is a known prognostic factor for increased morbidity and mortality; elderly patients often have a ASA score ≥2 [10]. The use of the CCI could ensure more reliable and objective decision-making as it represents a good, easily calculated, and feasible tool for evaluating co-morbid conditions of patients and for predicting postoperative morbidity and 10-year survivals. The surgical risk is directly correlated to the number of comorbidities of a patient, with a patient having a CCI >3 having a 1-year mortality double that of a patient having a CCI <3 [9]. However, the assigned weights have limitations: “Any malignancy” was “any tumor” in the original table without differentiation between benign or malignant tumors, and good or poor prognosis malignancies. In addition, the survival of patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome has improved since 1987 [9]. Another limitation is that the CCI is somewhat still a geriatrician’s tool [11].

No statistically significant differences were noted between the 2 groups regarding BMI, ASA score, CCI, type of intervention (elective vs. urgent), and surgical approach (open vs. laparoscopic) (Tables 1, 2). These results were expected as both groups were manually matched for these items. No statistically significant differences were observed in term of operative time between the 2 groups for patients with postoperative complications. In particular, the 54% and the 30% of the patients with postoperative complications in groups A and B, respectively, required surgical procedures with durations ≥ 180 minutes. The mean lengths of hospital stay for group A (range, 5 to 41 days) and group B (range, 4 to 31 days) were statistically the same (13 days) (Table 3). This result concurs with a published result [8].

No intraoperative complications were encountered in either group. The overall 30-day postoperative mortality rate was 1% (2 of 200) for the total number of patients, with group A accounting for both fatalities, and group B for none. The overall 30-day postoperative morbidity rate was 27% (54 of 200) for the total number of patients, 28% (28 of 100) for group A, and 26% (26 of 100) for group B. However, these differences between the 2 groups were not statistically significant (Table 3).

Statistically significant results in postoperative morbidity were observed between the 2 groups for the duration of the procedures and the type of the procedure elective (P = 0.0005) or urgent (P = 0.0003). In group A, 28 patients developed postoperative complications; 8 (28.6%) were subjected to urgent procedures, and 20 (71.4%) were subjected to elective procedures. On the other hand, in group B, the numbers of elective and urgent procedures were similar. Of the 26 patients who developed postoperative complications in group B, 12 (46%) were subjected to urgent procedures.

Table 4. Patients requiring additional surgery

|                          | Group A | Group B |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| No of patients (%)       | 4 (14)  | 5 (19)  |
| Anastomotic leakage      | 2       | 1       |
| Anastomotic bleeding     | 1       | -       |
| Intra-abdominal bleeding | -       | -       |
| Small bowel obstruction  | 1       | 1       |
| Intra-abdominal abscess  | -       | 1       |
| Ileostomy necrosis       | -       | 1       |

Group A, ≥80 years old of age; group B, <80 years old of age.
and 14 (54%) were subjected to elective procedures. These results are similar to those published elsewhere [11-14]. This explain that emergency surgery patients usually have compromised general conditions and there no more time to correct [14]. Surgeons and physicians should encourage elderly patients to undergo elective surgery to manage the complication of their diseases rather than wait and ultimately being required to undergo emergent surgery. The reported mortality rate for emergency procedures varies between 6.1%–38% for patients older than 70 years of age and between 11.9%–38% for those older than 80 years of age, respectively, compared to 0.9%–18% and 7.4%–11.4% for the same age groups for elective procedures [15]. In a trial to address the morbidity and the mortality in elderly patients who underwent emergency colorectal surgery, McGillicuddy et al. [14] studied 292 patients with a mean age of 78.1 years. They found an increase in the mean hospital stay of 20.9 days, an in-hospital mortality of 15%, and morbidities of 67%.

Our results did not report statistically significant differences between elderly and nonelderly patients regarding postoperative morbidity and mortality, concur with recent studies published. A review article by Tan et al. [3] stated that elderly patients had a higher complications rate, but still had a low mortality, especially for emergent procedures. A study by Schwandner et al. [2] included 298 patients who had undergone laparoscopic or laparoscopic-assisted procedures for colorectal surgery. The morbidity in patients above 70 years of age and that in patients below 70 years of age showed no statistically significant difference. Also, 2 patients above 70 years of age died versus 1 patient below that age. Tan et al. [11] studied 121 patients with a mean age of 83.5 years and a mean CCI of 3.1 who had undergone major colorectal resections; the 30-day mortality was 1.6%, and the early complications rate was 30.6%.

Ong et al. [12] included 90 patients 80 years of age or older who had undergone colorectal cancer surgery; they reported a morbidity of 21% and a 30-day mortality of 1.1%. Basili et al. [13] reported their experience with 248 patients who had undergone colorectal cancer surgery. Patient were divided into 4 age groups: <65, 66 to 74, 75 to 84, and >85 years of age. The 30-day mortalities were 0% for under 75 years of age, and 6%, and 7%, respectively, for patients with ages from 75 to 84 years and those older than 85 years. However, none of these results was significant.

In a large multicenter prospective observational study in Germany on 16,142 patients who were younger than 80 years of age and 2,932 who were 80 years of age or older, Marusch et al. [15] reported an overall morbidity of 35.4% with a significant difference ($P < 0.001$) between patients <80 years of age (33.9%) and those ≥80 years of age (43.5%). Significant differences were also found between the morbidity for emergency surgery ($P < 0.001$) and that for elective surgery ($P < 0.001$). The 30-day postoperative mortality rate also differed significantly ($P < 0.001$); 2.1% and 7.2% for those <80 years of age and those ≥80 years of age, respectively. Despite these significant results, they concluded that age alone should not be a limitation for surgery.

Despite these efforts, our study still has certain limitations that must be discussed. First, this study was retrospective. Second, the number of patients in the current study was not very high. Third, the CCI as a method for evaluating comorbidity and for predicting postoperative complications has the previously mentioned limitations. In the future, multicenter, randomized, controlled trials of a prospective nature comparing the outcomes of colorectal surgery in elderly patients to those in nonelderly patients should be conducted. Advanced age alone should not be considered to be more of a contraindication or a worse predictor for the outcome after colorectal surgery. Pre-existing comorbidity and the urgent nature of the procedure are important predictors for the outcome after colorectal surgery in elderly patients.
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