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Using the color-singlet free energy $F_1$ and total internal energy $U_1$ obtained by Kaczmarek et al. for a static quark $Q$ and an antiquark $Q$ in quenched QCD, we study the binding energies and wave functions of heavy quarkonia in a quark-gluon plasma. By minimizing the grand potential in a simplified schematic model, we find that the proper color-singlet $Q$-$Q$ potential can be obtained from the total internal energy $U_1$ by subtracting the gluon internal energy contributions. We carry out this subtraction in the local energy-density approximation in which the gluon energy density can be related to the local gluon pressure by the quark-gluon plasma equation of state. We find in this approximation that the proper color-singlet $Q$-$Q$ potential is approximately $F_1$ for $T \sim T_c$ and it changes to $\frac{1}{4} F_1 + \frac{1}{4} U_1$ at high temperatures. In this potential model, the $J/\psi$ is weakly bound above the phase transition temperature $T_c$, and it dissociates spontaneously above $1.62 T_c$, while $\chi_c$ and $\psi'$ are unbound in the quark-gluon plasma. The bottomonium states $Y$, $\chi_b$ and $Y'$ are bound in the quark-gluon plasma and they dissociate at $4.10 T_c$, $1.18 T_c$, and $1.38 T_c$ respectively. For comparison, we evaluate the heavy quarkonium binding energies also in other models using the free energy $F_1$ or the total internal energy $U_1$ as the $Q$-$Q$ potential. The comparison shows that the model with the new $Q$-$Q$ potential proposed in this manuscript gives dissociation temperatures that agree best with those from spectral function analyses. We evaluate the cross section for $c \bar{c}$ production by recombining $c$ and $\bar{c}$ in the quark-gluon plasma.

I. INTRODUCTION

The stability of heavy quarkonia in the quark-gluon plasma is an interesting subject of current research in high-energy heavy-ion collisions as Matsui and Satz suggested that the suppression of $J/\psi$ production can be used as a signature of the quark-gluon plasma [1]. DeTar [2, 3], Hansson, Lee, and Zahed [4], and Simonov [5, 6, 7] argued however that because the range of strong interaction is not likely to change drastically across the phase transition, low-lying mesons including $J/\psi$ may remain relatively narrow states and the suppression of $J/\psi$ is not a signature of the deconfinement phase transition [3]. Whether or not $J/\psi$ production will be suppressed depends on the screening between the heavy quark $Q$ and the heavy antiquark $Q$ when the quarkonium is placed in the quark-gluon plasma. The degree of screening is highly nonperturbative at temperatures near the phase transition temperature $T_c$. The related question of the quarkonium stability must be examined in nonperturbative QCD using, for example, the lattice gauge theory.

Recent investigations of masses and widths of heavy quarkonia in quenched lattice QCD calculations were carried out by Asakawa et al. [8, 10] and Petreczky et al. [11, 12, 13] using the spectral function analysis and the maximum entropy method. They found that the width of $J/\psi$ remains relatively narrow up to 1.6 times the critical phase transition temperature $T_c$. Reconsidering the properties of the quark-gluon plasma also led Zahed and Shuryak to suggest that quark-gluon plasma at temperatures up to a few $T_c$ supports weakly bound meson states [14, 15, 16]. They have also estimated the binding energy of $J/\psi$ and found it to be stable up to 2.7 $T_c$. The possibility of weakly bound meson states in the quark-gluon plasma was suggested earlier by DeTar [2, 3], Hatsuda, and Kunihiro [8]. Phenomenological discussions on medium modifications of charmonium in high-energy heavy-ion collision have been presented recently by Grandchamp, Rapp, and Brown [16]. Summaries of recent development in heavy quarkonium suppression and deconfinement have also been reported by Petreczky [20] and Karsch [21].

As the knowledge of the stability of $J/\psi$ has important implications on the fate of $J/\psi$ in the quark-gluon plasma, it is important to obtain an independent assessment on the binding of heavy quarkonia, in addition to those from previous analyses. The spectral function analyses of heavy quarkonia using gauge-invariant current-current correlators have been carried out in the quenched approximation. Within the quenched approximation, independent lattice gauge calculations have also been carried out using the correlation of Polyakov lines from which the free energy $F_1$ and the total internal energy $U_1$ can be calculated [12]. The two-body potential obtained from the lattice gauge theory can be used to study the dissociation of heavy quarkonia. It is of interest to ask whether, within the same quenched approximation, the spectral function analysis and the potential model analysis will lead to consistent results concerning the stability of quarkonia in the quark-gluon plasma. As we shall deal with lattice results from quenched QCD only,
the quark-gluon plasma we shall consider consists of gluons. For convenience, we shall continue to refer to such a

Besides checking the consistency of independent quenched lattice gauge calculations, we would like to use the

potential model to examine many physical quantities of interest. If quarkonia are indeed stable in the plasma, it is

useful to find out how strongly bound they are. Furthermore, $J/\psi$ can dissociate by collision with constituents of

the plasma. In such a collisional dissociation, the rate of dissociation depends on the cross section for the reaction

$g + J/\psi \rightarrow c + \bar{c}$. We would like to evaluate this cross section as a function of temperature $T$, which can be obtained by

using the bound state wave functions in the potential model. The knowledge of the dissociation cross section allows a
determination of the collisional dissociation width.

In energetic heavy-ion collisions, many pairs of charm quarks and antiquarks may be produced in a single central collision. These charm quarks and antiquarks can recombine to form $J/\psi$ in the quark-gluon plasma. We also wish to find out here the rate of producing $J/\psi$ through such a reaction. The production rate depends on the cross section for the reaction $c + \bar{c} \rightarrow J/\psi + g$. The latter quantity can be obtained from the cross section for the inverse reaction $g + J/\psi \rightarrow c + \bar{c}$, which we already intend to calculate.

Previously, the effects of temperature on the stability of heavy quarkonium was studied by Digal et al. and Wong using the free energy and assuming that the effects of entropy are small. It was, however, pointed out by Zantow, Kaczmarek, Karsch and Petreczky that the effects of entropy depend on the separation distance between $c$ and $\bar{c}$. They suggested that the total internal energy $U_1$, instead of the free energy $F_1$, may be used as the $Q\bar{Q}$ potential for the calculation of heavy quarkonium bound states. As the theoretical basis for this suggestion has not been fully explained in the literature, we shall go into details to examine the theoretical questions on the proper potential for $Q\bar{Q}$ states. We find that the proper $Q\bar{Q}$ potential involves the $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ internal energy $U^{(1)}_{Q\bar{Q}}$. We shall show that in the local energy-density approximation, $U^{(1)}_{Q\bar{Q}} = 3F_1/(3 + a) + aU_1/(3 + a)$ where $a = 3p/\epsilon$ is given by the quark-gluon plasma equation of state.

When a heavy quarkonium is placed in a quark-gluon plasma, conventional description assumes that the medium
effect is dominated by the effect of Debye screening, which leads to a decrease in the attractive interaction between the heavy quark and antiquark. We would like to study the effects of antiscreening due to the deconfined gluons and the relationship between antiscreening and the area law of spatial Polyakov loops. We would like to show that because the Gauss law of QCD contains a non-linear term involving the gluon field, the gluon field induces color charges at the field points. These induced color charges act to antiscreen the interaction between the heavy quark and the antiquark. We shall show that the strength of the antiscreening effect increases with an increase in the gluon correlation length and is proportional quadratically to the magnitude of the gluon fields. The antiscreening effects due to deconfined gluons bring an additional degree of freedom to mediate the interaction between the quark and the antiquark.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we review the heavy quarkonium production mechanism and the thermalization of the quark-gluon medium in high-energy heavy-ion collisions. We examine the evidence for rapid thermalization as revealed by the elliptic flow and hydrodynamics. In Section III, we review the lattice gauge calculations for the interaction between a heavy quark and a heavy antiquark and the gauge dependence of the interaction in bound state problems. In Section IV, we show that the total internal energy $U_1$ contains contributions from the internal energy of the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair and the internal energy of the gluons. In Section V, we use an appropriate variational principle to obtain the equation of motion for the quarkonium single-particle states and find that the proper $Q\bar{Q}$ potential involves only the $Q\bar{Q}$ internal energy. In order to obtain the internal energy of the heavy quark pair, it is necessary to subtract the gluon internal energy from the total internal energy $U_1$. In Section VI we show how such a subtraction can be carried out in the local energy-density approximation, using the quark-gluon plasma equation of state and the First Law of Thermodynamics. In Section VII, we show how the color-singlet $F_1$ and $U_1$ obtained by Kaczmarek et al. in quenched QCD can be parametrized and the proper $Q\bar{Q}$ potential can be obtained as a linear combination of $F_1$ and $U_1$ from the lattice gauge results. Using this heavy quark-antiquark potential, we calculate the eigenenergies and eigenfunctions for charmonia in the quark-gluon plasma as a function of temperature in Section VIII. The locations of the dissociation temperatures at which heavy quarkonia begin to be unbound are then determined. The heavy quarkonium dissociation temperatures are compared with those determined from spectral function analyses. In Section IX, we calculate the eigenenergies and eigenfunctions for $b\bar{b}$ bound states in the quark-gluon plasma as a function of temperature. We discuss the effects of antiscreening due to deconfined gluons in the quark-gluon plasma in Section X. In Section XI, we discuss how the $J/\psi$ bound state wave function can be used to calculate the cross section for $g + J/\psi \rightarrow c + \bar{c}$ after the $J/\psi$ absorbs an $E_1$ gluon, using the formulation of gluon dissociation cross section presented previously. The dissociation cross sections and collisional dissociation widths of $J/\psi$ in the quark-gluon plasma are then determined as a function of temperature in Section XII. In Section XIII we evaluate the cross section for the inverse process of $c + \bar{c} \rightarrow J/\psi + g$ using the cross section of $g + J/\psi \rightarrow c + \bar{c}$ obtained in Section XII. The rate of $J/\psi$ production by recombining $c$ and $\bar{c}$ in a quark-gluon plasma is estimated.
We conclude our discussions in Section XIV. In Appendix A, we show that the integral of the gauge fields along a space-like Polyakov loop obeys an area law if the gauge fields are correlated. This result is used in Section X to explain the antiscreening effect.

II. HEAVY QUARKONIA PRODUCTION AND THE THERMALIZATION OF THE MEDIUM

We are interested in using a heavy quarkonium to probe the properties of the matter produced in central high-energy heavy-ion collisions. In the collider frame, the colliding nuclei have the shape of Lorentz-contracted disks. The collisions are known to be highly inelastic in which a large fraction of the incident collision energy is released after the collision. What is the rate of the relaxation of the initial configuration to thermal equilibrium?

From the experimental viewpoint, recent RHIC experiments by the STAR [32], PHENIX [36], and PHOBOS [37] Collaborations reveal the presence of an elliptic collective flow in non-central Au-Au collisions at RHIC energies. The occurrence of such a flow indicates that the initial azimuthally symmetric momentum distribution of particles is deformed into an azimuthally asymmetric momentum distribution. The magnitude of the azimuthal asymmetry is sensitive to the time at which the free streaming of particles terminates and the dynamics of a thermally equilibrated system begins [38, 39, 40]. Too late a thermalization time will lead to a spatially more extended system with a lower pressure gradient and a smaller azimuthal asymmetry. The azimuthal asymmetry is also sensitive to the numbers of degrees of freedom in the equation of state. The magnitude of the asymmetry can be well explained in terms of a hydrodynamical model of the quark-gluon plasma by assuming thermalization at an initial time about 0.6 fm/c [38]. We infer from the experimental elliptic flow data and its hydrodynamical description that the thermalization in the central region of a RHIC nucleus-nucleus collision is very rapid, as short as 0.6 fm/c after the collision.

From theoretical viewpoints, it was first pointed out by Landau [11] that the initial configuration after a high-energy nuclear collision consists of matter at an extremely high energy density in a very thin disk. The small mean-free-path must be valid also for a significant part of the process of expansion and this part of the expansion process must have hydrodynamical character [11]. Landau hydrodynamics provides a reasonable description of the widths of the rapidity distribution for high-energy hadron-hadron and nucleus-nucleus collisions from \( \sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ GeV} \) to RHIC collisions at 200 GeV [12, 48]. Hydrodynamical description with a rapid thermal relaxation also provides a good description of the elliptic flow of matter after a Au-Au collision at RHIC, as indicated above.

It is also useful to point out that a quanta in the non-equilibrium QCD matter interacts not only with other quanta (gluons and quarks) in two-body processes in terms of two-body collisions, but the quanta also interact with the fields generated by all other quanta. Because of the non-Abelian nature of the QCD interaction, the fields generated by other quanta are also sources of color fields and the quanta must in addition interact with the color fields generated by the fields of all other particles, in a highly non-linear manner (see Section X for another manifestation of the non-linear nature of the gauge field). Thus, a quanta interacts with other quanta not only by direct short-range two-body collisions, but also by highly non-local action-at-a-distance long-range interactions, through the fields generated by the fields of other quanta. There is thus an additional non-linear and long-range mechanism of thermalization in non-Abelian interactions which provides an extra push for rapid relaxation to thermal equilibrium.

The rate of thermalization of a quark-gluon system after an ultra-relativistic heavy-ion collision is the subject of current theoretical research and has been discussed by Wong [41], Molnar, and Gyulassy [42]. The small mean-free-path has also been discussed by Shuryak [46], Gyulassy and McLerran [47], (see also Ref. [48]). The focus of the research is on trying to understand the phenomenologically fast rate of thermalization as indicated by the experimental elliptic flow evidence. For example, in the work of Molnar and Gyulassy [42], it was necessary to shorten the parton mean-free-path by an order of magnitude in order to reproduce the magnitude of the elliptic flow. Similarly, in the work of Lin, Ko, and Pal [49], in parton cascade, it was necessary to increase the parton-parton cross section by a large factor to describe the dynamics in a nucleus-nucleus collisions at RHIC.

To use heavy quarkonia as a probe of the quark-gluon plasma, we need a knowledge of the heavy-quarkonium production mechanism. In a nucleus-nucleus collision at high energies, the partons of one nucleon and the partons of another nucleon can collide to produce occasionally a pair of heavy quark and antiquark. The time scale for the production is of the order of \( h/2m_Q \) where \( m_Q \) is the mass of the heavy quark. It is of order 0.06 fm/c for a \( c \bar{c} \) pair and of order 0.02 fm/c for a \( b \bar{b} \) pair. As the initial partons carry varying fractions of the initial momenta of the colliding nucleons, the heavy quark pair will come out at different energies. Depending on the Feynman diagram of the production process, the produced \( Q \bar{Q} \) pair after the hard scattering process may be in a purely color-singlet quarkonia state or a coherent admixture of color singlet- and color-octet states [50, 51]. The projection of different final states from a coherent admixture gives the probability amplitude for the occurrence of the final states. A color-
octet state will need to emit a soft gluon of energy $E_{\text{gluon}}$ to become subsequently a color-singlet state in an emission time of order $\hbar/E_{\text{gluon}}$. For the emission of soft gluon of a few hundred MeV, the time for the emission is of order 0.5-1.0 fm/c.

From the above considerations on the rapid thermalization of the quark-gluon plasma and the time for the production of heavy quarkonia, one envisages that by the time when the colliding matter is thermalized at about 0.6 fm/c, a large fraction of the quarkonia have already been formed, although in various energy states. The quark-gluon plasma is expected to have a life time of a few fm/c which is longer than the heavy quarkonium orbital period of order $\hbar/0.5 \text{ GeV}$). It is therefore meaningful to study the fate of a produced heavy quarkonia in a thermalized quark-gluon plasma at a finite temperature. The behavior of the heavy quarkonium system before the thermal equilibrium of the quark-gluon plasma and the interaction of a coherent $Q\bar{Q}$ color admixture in the thermalized quark-gluon plasma are other topics which are beyond the scope of the investigation of the present manuscript.

It should be pointed out that for a heavy quarkonium system in a quark-gluon plasma the thermalization of the quark-gluon medium does not necessarily imply the thermalization of the heavy quarkonium system. The former arises from the interaction among the light quarks and gluons, while the latter depends on the interaction between the heavy quarkonium and the constituents the quark-gluon plasma. Our evidence concerning the the rapid thermalization given above refers to the thermalization of the quark-gluon plasma and not necessarily the thermalization of the heavy quarkonium system in the quark-gluon plasma. If an isolated heavy quarkonium is placed in the thermalized quark-gluon plasma, the heavy quarkonium system is not in thermal equilibrium with the medium. It will interact with the medium as its density matrix will evolve with time. Given a sufficient time that is longer than the heavy quarkonium thermalization time, the heavy quarkonium will also reach thermal equilibrium with its thermalized quark-gluon plasma. The thermalization status of a heavy quarkonium system can be inferred from the occupation number distribution of heavy quarkonium single-particle states. The occupation numbers in a thermalized heavy-quarkonium system will obey a Bose-Einstein distribution characterized by the temperature. In our present work, we shall study both a thermalized heavy quarkonium system and an isolated Q$\bar{Q}$ bound state in the quark-gluon plasma.

### III. LATTICE GAUGE CALCULATIONS

In a quark-gluon plasma, a quarkonium is actually a heavy quark and a heavy-antiquark each surrounded by a cloud of gluons and quarks. In the quenched approximation in which there are no dynamical quarks, the cloud surrounding the heavy quark and antiquark is approximated to consist of gluons only. As gluons are involved, the quark-antiquark system will be in different color states at different instances. We shall be interested in those systems in which the heavy quark and antiquark exist in the color-singlet state. Only in the color-singlet state will be the effective interaction between a quark (plus its cloud) and an antiquark (plus its cloud) be attractive. Such a color-singlet system can further absorb a gluon and become a color-octet system and we shall also study the cross section for such a process.

The interaction between a heavy quark and a heavy antiquark in the color-singlet state was studied by Kaczmarek, Karsch, Petreczky, and Zantow [22]. They calculated $\langle tr L(\mathbf{r}/2)L^\dagger(-\mathbf{r}/2) \rangle$ in the quenched approximation and they obtained the color-singlet free energy $F_1(r,T)$ from

$$
\langle tr L(\mathbf{r}/2)L^\dagger(-\mathbf{r}/2) \rangle = e^{-F_1(r,T)/kT}.
$$

Here $\langle tr L(\mathbf{r}/2)L^\dagger(-\mathbf{r}/2) \rangle$ is the trace of the product of two Polyakov lines at $\mathbf{r}/2$ and $-\mathbf{r}/2$. The quark and the antiquark lines do not, in general, form a close loop. As a gauge transformation introduces phase factors at the beginning and the end of an open Polyakov line, $\langle tr L(\mathbf{r}/2)L^\dagger(-\mathbf{r}/2) \rangle$ is not gauge invariant under a gauge transformation. Calculations have been carried out in the Coulomb gauge which is the proper gauge to study bound states.

It should be noted that while the interaction between the quark and the antiquark is gauge dependent, the bound state energies are physical quantities and they do not depend on the gauge. As we explain below, a judicial choice of the Coulomb gauge in the bound state calculation will help in avoiding spurious next-to-leading contributions and singularities, which can be removed in other gauges only by additional laborious effort [52, 53, 54].

To study the bound states of a heavy quarkonium, we need a bound-state equation, such as the Bethe-Salpeter equation, and the interaction kernel in the equation. The non-relativistic approximation of the Bethe-Salpeter equation leads to the usual Schrödinger equation with the gauge-boson-exchange interaction [52, 54]. It is necessary to choose a gauge to specify the gauge-boson-exchange interaction. We can consider the case of QED from which we can get a good insight on the gauge dependence. For the static non-relativistic problem, the natural choice in the gauge-boson-exchange potential is the Coulomb gauge, in which the $1/q^2$ behavior is found in single Coulomb photon exchange. The binding energy, which is of order $\alpha^2$, has corrections only in the $\alpha^4$ order. It gives the correct Breit equation with the proper spin properties when we expand the interaction to the next order. Graphs with the cross two-Coulomb-exchange diagrams vanish in the static limit, and uncrossed multiple Coulomb exchanges are strictly valid.
iterations of the potential \[52\]. In any other gauge, the zero-zero component of the photon propagator has some residual non-instantaneous contributions. A large number of Bethe-Salpeter kernels need to be included to eliminate the spurious contributions in the next-order of \(a^3\) and \(a^3/\ln a\) corrections \[52\]. Therefore, in their work on the static potential in QCD, Appelquist, Dine, and Muzinich suggested that the gauge freedom can be used to eliminate spurious long-range forces at the outset. They found that the Coulomb gauge continues to be useful in the static potential in QCD. The dynamics is now considerably complicated but spurious contributions are still eliminated \[52\].

Based on the above, it is therefore important to recognize, as in QED, that there is a gauge-dependence in the two-body bound-state potential in the Bethe-Salpeter equation but it is most appropriate to solve the bound state problem using two-body potentials obtained in the Coulomb gauge, as was obtained by Kaczmarek et al \[22\].

IV. HEAVY QUARKONIUM STATES IN A THERMALIZED QUARK-GLUON PLASMA

The state of a heavy quarkonium in a quark-gluon plasma can be described by a density matrix. The set of single-particle states for this density matrix can be chosen such that they can be represented well by quarkonium states in a \(Q\bar{Q}\) potential, and the residual interaction between the gluons with the quarkonium can be treated as a perturbation. In this single-particle basis, the heavy quarkonium density matrix can be approximated to contain only diagonal matrix elements representing the probabilities for the occupation of different single-particle states. What is the \(Q\bar{Q}\) potential that enters into the Schrödinger equation for these quarkonium single-particle states?

The \(Q\bar{Q}\) potential in perturbative QCD has been studied by Petreczky \[21\]. We would like to examine here the \(Q\bar{Q}\) potential in non-perturbative lattice QCD calculations. In previous analysis, the \(Q\bar{Q}\) potential was taken to be the free energy \(F_1\) for a pair of correlated Polyakov lines, assuming that the effects of entropy are small \[25, 26, 27, 28\]. It was, however, pointed out by Zantow, Kaczmarek, Karsch and Petreczky \[8, 29, 30\] that the effects of entropy are large and the total internal energy \(U_1\), may be used as the \(Q\bar{Q}\) potential. As the theoretical basis for these suggestions has not been fully discussed in the literature, we shall go into details on the proper description of the \(Q\bar{Q}\) potential and single-particle states.

We start first by studying the auxiliary problem of a static color-singlet \(Q\bar{Q}\) pair at a separation \(r\) in a thermalized quark-gluon plasma. In the quenched approximation, the color-singlet free energy \(F_1(r, T)\) for such a static pair, can be written from Eq. (1) explicitly in the Euclidean time \(\tau = \text{it}\) as \[31\]

\[
e^{-\beta F_1(r, T)} = Z(r, T)/Z_0(T),
\]

\[
Z(r, T) = \int [dA] W_{Q\bar{Q}}(A, T, r),
\]

\[
Z_0(T) = \int [dA] W_0(A, T),
\]

\[
W_{Q\bar{Q}}(A, T, r) = tr \left\{ \hat{P} \exp \left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0(\frac{r}{2}, \tau) \right\} \exp \left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0(-\frac{r}{2}, \tau) \right\} \right\} W_0(A, T),
\]

\[
W_0(A, T) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^4xF_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \right\},
\]

where \(\beta = 1/\kappa T\) is the inverse temperature, \(Z(r, T)\) is the partition function when a color-singlet \(Q\) and \(\bar{Q}\) separated by a distance \(r\) is placed in the gluon medium, \(Z_0(T)\) is the partition function in the absence of \(Q\) and \(\bar{Q}\). The operator \(\hat{P}\) is the path-order operator, which is the time-order operator \(\hat{T}\) for \(\exp \left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0(\frac{r}{2}, \tau) \right\} \) and is the reverse time-order operator for \(\exp \left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0(-\frac{r}{2}, \tau) \right\} \). The free energy \(F_1(r, T)\) with the \(Q\bar{Q}\) pair is measured relative to the free energy \(F_0(T)\) without the \(Q\bar{Q}\) pair. We rewrite Eq. (2) as

\[
Z_0(T) = \int [dA] e^{\beta F_1(r, T)} tr \left\{ \hat{P} \exp \left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0(\frac{r}{2}, \tau) \right\} \exp \left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0(-\frac{r}{2}, \tau) \right\} \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^4xF_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \right\} \].
\]
Taking the derivative of this equation with respect to \( \beta \), we obtain for the derivative of the left-hand side

\[
\frac{\partial \{ \text{LHS} \}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial Z_0(T)}{\partial \beta} = \int [dA] \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \int d^3 x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right\} W_0(A, T),
\]

and for the derivative of the right-hand side, we get

\[
\frac{\partial \{ \text{RHS} \}}{\partial \beta} = \int [dA] e^{\beta F_1(r, T)} \left\{ \left\{ F_1(r, T) + \beta \frac{\partial F_1(r, T)}{\partial \beta} - \frac{1}{4} \int d^3 x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right\} W_{Q\bar{Q}}(A, T, r)
\]

\[
+ \text{tr} \left\{ g(T)(A_0\left(\frac{r}{2}, T\right) - A_0(-\frac{r}{2}, T))\hat{P} \exp\left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0\left(\frac{r}{2}, \tau\right) \right\} \exp\left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0\left(-\frac{r}{2}, \tau\right) \right\} \right\} W_0(A, T) \right\}.
\]

We equate the above Eq. (8) to Eq. (9). Using \( e^{\beta F_1(r, T)} = Z_0(T)/Z(r, T) \) and dividing the resultant equation by \( Z_0(T) \), we obtain then the proper thermodynamic equality relating \( F_1, S_1, \) and \( U_1 \), for a system with a color-singlet \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) separated \( r \) at temperature \( T \),

\[
F_1(r, T) + TS_1(r, T) = U_1(r, T),
\]

where \( S_1(r, T) = -\partial F_1(r, T)/\partial T \) is the color-singlet entropy with the \( Q\bar{Q} \) pair and is measured relative to the entropy \( S_0(T) = -\partial F_0(T)/\partial T \) without the \( Q\bar{Q} \) pair, and \( U_1(r, T) \) is the total color-singlet internal energy given explicitly by

\[
U_1(r, T) = U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r, T) + U_g^{(1)}(r, T) - U_{g0}(T),
\]

\[
U_{g0}(T) = \int [dA] \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \int d^3 x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right\} W_0(A, T) + \int [dA] W_0(A, T),
\]

\[
U_g^{(1)}(r, T) = \int [dA] \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \int d^3 x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right\} W_{Q\bar{Q}}(A, T, r) + \int [dA] W_{Q\bar{Q}}(A, T, r),
\]

and

\[
U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r, T) = \int [dA] \text{tr} \left\{ g(T)[A_0\left(\frac{r}{2}, T\right) - A_0(-\frac{r}{2}, T)]\hat{P} \exp\left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0\left(\frac{r}{2}, \tau\right) \right\} \exp\left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0\left(-\frac{r}{2}, \tau\right) \right\} \right\} W_0(A, T)
\]

\[
\div \int [dA] \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{P} \exp\left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0\left(\frac{r}{2}, \tau\right) \right\} \exp\left\{ \int_0^\beta g(\tau) d\tau A_0\left(-\frac{r}{2}, \tau\right) \right\} \right\} W_0(A, T),
\]

We may attempt to give names to various mathematical expressions. In Euclidean time, the quantity \( F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}/4 \) is equal to \( (E^2 + B^2)/2 \), the gluon energy density. The quantity \( U_{g0}(T) \) is the expectation value of \( \int d^3 x (E^2 + B^2)/2 \) with the weight function \( W_0(A, T) \), and it corresponds to the gluon internal energy in the absence of the heavy quark pair. It is independent of the separation \( r \) between \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \). In contrast, \( U_g^{(1)}(r, T) \) is the expectation value of \( \int d^3 x (E^2 + B^2)/2 \) with the weight function \( W_{Q\bar{Q}}(A, T, r) \), and it corresponds to the gluon internal energy in the presence of the heavy quark pair. Consequently, \( U_g^{(1)}(r, T) \) depends on the separation \( r \) between \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \). The difference between the total internal energy \( U_1 \) and gluon internal energy difference \( U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r, T) - U_{g0}(T) \) is the quantity \( U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r, T) \), the internal energy of the heavy-quark pair, including the interaction between \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) as well as the interaction between \( Q \) with gluons and \( \bar{Q} \) with gluons.

Eqs. (10)-(14) show that the total internal energy \( U^{(1)}(r, T) \) contains the gluon internal energy difference \( U_g^{(1)}(r, T) - U_{g0}(T) \). In order to obtain the \( r \)-dependence of the internal energy of the heavy quark pair \( U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r, T) \), it is necessary to subtract the gluon internal energy difference \( U_g^{(1)}(r, T) - U_{g0}(T) \) from the total internal energy \( U_1(r, T) \). In Section VI we shall show a method to carry out such a subtraction in the local energy-density approximation.
V. EQUATION OF MOTION FOR Q-\bar{Q} SINGLE PARTICLE STATES

Lattice gauge calculations provide information on the free energy \( F_1 \) and the total internal energy \( U_1 \) for a static color-singlet \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) separated by a distance \( \mathbf{r} \). Quantities for \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) in the color-octet state can be similarly obtained. For simplicity, we shall limit our consideration to a system of color-singlet \( Q\bar{Q} \) states. The generalization to a system color-octet states can be easily carried out.

We would like to use an appropriate variational principle to obtain the equation of motion for the color-singlet quarkonium states in a quark-gluon plasma. For such a purpose, we consider a schematic toy model that retains the relevant features of the system. In the quenched approximation without dynamical light quarks, the quark-gluon plasma consists of gluons only. The quark \( Q \) and antiquark \( \bar{Q} \) are in dynamical motion in different single-particle states. For simplicity, we presume that the color degree of freedom has been integrated out. The dynamical degrees of freedom in our schematic model are then the \( Q\bar{Q} \) and gluon states, \( \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \), which can be bound or unbound, the corresponding \( Q\bar{Q} \) and gluon state occupation numbers, \( n_i(Q\bar{Q}) \) and \( n_j(g) \), and the total number of gluons \( N_g \). In the schematic toy model, we represent the \( Q\bar{Q} \)-, \( Q-g \), \( \bar{Q}-g \), and \( g-g \) interactions when \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) belong to a color-singlet state by \( V_{Q\bar{Q}}, V_{Qg}, V_{\bar{Q}g}, \) and \( V_{gg} \) respectively.

The equilibrium of the system at a constant temperature \( T \) is characterized by minimizing the grand potential \( A \) appropriate for the color-singlet \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) in dynamical motion in the quark-gluon plasma given by

\[
A = F_1 - \mu(Q\bar{Q})N_{Q\bar{Q}} - \mu(g)N_g = U_1 - TS_1 - \mu(Q\bar{Q})N_{Q\bar{Q}} - \mu(g)N_g,
\]

where \( F_1 \) is free energy, \( U_1 \) the total internal energy, and \( S_1 \) the total entropy for \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) in dynamical motion in the quark-gluon plasma. Only in their static limits when the heavy quark and antiquark are held spatially fixed can \( F_1, U_1 \) and \( S_1 \) be equal to their corresponding static thermodynamical quantities \( F, U, \) and \( S \). The quantities \( \mu(Q\bar{Q}) \) and \( \mu(g) \) are the chemical potentials of \( Q\bar{Q} \) and gluons respectively, and \( N_{Q\bar{Q}} \) and \( N_g \) are the numbers of \( Q\bar{Q} \) and gluons respectively. Strictly speaking the number of gluons at thermal equilibrium depends on the length scale (the \( \Lambda^2 \) value of the measuring probe) under consideration. In our schematic model, we can fix the length scale appropriate for \( Q\bar{Q} \) bound states in the quark-gluon plasma, and in that length scale the number of gluons, for the fixed spatial volume under consideration at thermal equilibrium at \( T \), can be determined. We shall also ignore the annihilation of \( Q\bar{Q} \) into light quarks or photons and the corresponding inverse production so that the number of \( Q\bar{Q} \) pair can be considered fixed also. In the above equation, we need to add the Lagrange multipliers \( \lambda_i(Q\bar{Q}) \) and \( \lambda_j(g) \) to the grand potential in order to constrain the normalization of the wave functions.

To carry out the minimization of the grand potential to obtain the equation of motion of the single-particle states, we can follow Bonche, Levit, and Vautherin \cite{51, 52, 53, 54, 55} and write down the grand potential \( A \) explicitly. The internal energy \( U_{1g} \) in Eq. \ref{eq:15} is \( U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} + U_g^{(1)} - U_{gg} \), the sum of the internal energy of the heavy quark pair and the gluons, relative to the gluon internal energy when the heavy quark pair is not present. In terms of the wave function and occupation number degrees of freedom, the grand potential \( A \) can be written explicitly as

\[
A = \sum_i n_i(Q\bar{Q}) \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_i^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[ \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\mu_{\text{red}}} + V_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{i,j} n_i(Q\bar{Q}) n_j(g) \langle \psi_i \phi_j | V_{Qg} + V_{\bar{Q}g} | \psi_i \phi_j \rangle \\
+ \sum_j n_j(g) \int d\mathbf{r}' \phi_j^\dagger(\mathbf{r}') \sqrt{p_j^2 + m_{\text{eff}}^2} \phi_j(\mathbf{r}') + \sum_{j,k} n_j(g) n_k(g) \langle \phi_j \phi_k | V_{gg} | \phi_j \phi_k + \phi_k \phi_j \rangle / 2 - U_{gg} \\
+ T \sum_i [n_i(Q\bar{Q}) \ln n_i(Q\bar{Q}) - \{1 + n_i(Q\bar{Q})\} \ln \{1 + n_i(Q\bar{Q})\}] \\
+ T \sum_j [n_j(g) \ln n_j(g) - \{1 + n_j(g)\} \ln \{1 + n_j(g)\}] \\
- \mu(Q\bar{Q}) \sum_i n_i(Q\bar{Q}) - \mu(g) \sum_j n_j(g) - \sum_i \lambda_i(Q\bar{Q}) \langle \psi_i | \psi_i \rangle - \sum_j \lambda_j(g) \langle \phi_j | \phi_j \rangle.
\]

(16)

Here \( \mathbf{p} \) and \( \mu_{\text{red}} \) are the relative momentum and the reduced mass of \( Q\bar{Q} \), \( p_g \) and \( m_{\text{eff}} \) are the momentum and the effective mass of the gluon, and the dependence of various quantities on the temperature is made implicit. In this expression for the grand potential, the first two terms give \( U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} \), the third and fourth terms give \( U_g^{(1)} \), and the sixth and seventh terms give the entropy \( TS_1 \). The matrix element \( \langle \psi_i \phi_j | V_{Qg} + V_{\bar{Q}g} | \psi_i \phi_j \rangle \) is

\[
\langle \psi_i \phi_j | V_{Qg} + V_{\bar{Q}g} | \psi_i \phi_j \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \psi_i^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j^\dagger(\mathbf{r}') \left[ V_{Qg}(\mathbf{r}' + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}) + V_{\bar{Q}g}(\mathbf{r}' - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}) \right] \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}').
\]

(17)
and the matrix element $\langle \phi_j \phi_k | V_{gg} | \phi_j \phi_k + \phi_k \phi_j \rangle$ is

$$\langle \phi_j \phi_k | V_{gg} | \phi_j \phi_k + \phi_k \phi_j \rangle = \int \! dr' dr'' \phi_j^\dagger (r') \phi_k^\dagger (r'') V_{gg} (r' - r'')[\phi_j (r') \phi_k (r'') + \phi_k (r') \phi_j (r'')] \tag{18}$$

When we carry out the minimization of the grand potential with respect to the dynamical degrees of freedom, we obtain five equations (Eqs. (24)-(28) below). By minimizing with respect to $\psi_i^\dagger (r)$, we obtain the equation of motion for $\psi_i (r)$ of the $Q\bar{Q}$ system as

$$\left\{ \frac{\hbar^2 p_r^2}{2 \mu_{\text{red}}} + V_1 (r, T) - \epsilon_i (Q\bar{Q}) \right\} \psi_i (r) = 0, \tag{19}$$

where the color-singlet single-particle potential $V_1 (r, T)$ is

$$V_1 (r, T) = V_{Q\bar{Q}} (r) + \sum_j n_j (g) \int \! dr' \phi_j^\dagger (r') | V_{Qg} (r' + \frac{r}{2}) + V_{\bar{Q}g} (r' - \frac{r}{2}) |, \tag{20}$$

and $\epsilon_i (Q\bar{Q}) = \lambda_i (Q\bar{Q}) / n_i (Q\bar{Q})$. We note that in the above equation, the quantity

$$\sum_j n_j (g) \phi_j^\dagger (r') \phi_j (r') = \rho_g (r') \tag{21}$$

is the gluon density $\rho_g (r')$. The color-singlet potential $V_1 (r, T)$ is

$$V_1 (r, T) = V_{Q\bar{Q}} (r, T) + \int \! dr' \rho_g (r', T) | V_{Qg} (r' + \frac{r}{2}) + V_{\bar{Q}g} (r' - \frac{r}{2}) |, \tag{22}$$

which represents the internal energy of a static color-singlet $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ at a separation $r$ in the quark-gluon plasma. It has the same physical meaning as internal energy $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r, T)$ of a static color-singlet $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ at a separation $r$ in the lattice gauge calculations. It is therefore reasonable to identify the $Q\bar{Q}$ internal energy $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r, T)$ of the lattice gauge calculations as the single-particle potential $V_1 (r, T)$ in the Schrödinger equation (18), and write it as

$$\left\{ \frac{\hbar^2 p_r^2}{2 \mu_{\text{red}}} + U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r, T) - \epsilon_i (Q\bar{Q}) \right\} \psi_i (r) = 0. \tag{23}$$

It is simplest to re-calibrate the energy (and similarly, the chemical potential) by $\epsilon_i (Q\bar{Q}) = \epsilon_i (Q\bar{Q}) - U_{Q\bar{Q}} (r, T)_{r \to \infty}$ and re-write the above equation as

$$\left\{ \frac{\hbar^2 p_r^2}{2 \mu_{\text{red}}} + U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r, T) - U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r \to \infty, T) - \epsilon_i (Q\bar{Q}) \right\} \psi_i (r) = 0. \tag{24}$$

The above Schrödinger equation involving $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r, T)$ is the proper equation of motion for quarkonium single-particle states.

From the above considerations, the $Q\bar{Q}$ potential in the equation of motion of quarkonium single-particle states is $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r, T)$. Lattice calculations so far provide information only on the free energy $F_1 (r, T)$ and the total internal energy $U_1 (r, T)$. It will be of great interest in future lattice work to evaluate $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r, T)$ so that it can be used as the proper $Q\bar{Q}$ potential in quarkonium studies. In the next section, we shall present a method by which $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} (r, T)$ can be approximately evaluated by using the quark-gluon plasma equation of state.

The minimization of the grand potential with respect to the gluon wave function $\phi_j^\dagger (r)$ gives the equation of motion for the gluon states,

$$\left\{ \sqrt{p_r^2 + m_{\text{eff}}^2} + \sum_i n_i (Q\bar{Q}) \int \! dr \psi_i^\dagger (r) | V_{Qg} (r' + r/2) + V_{\bar{Q}g} (r' - r/2) | \psi_i (r) - \epsilon_i (g) \right\} \phi_j (r') + \sum_k n_k (g) \int \! dr'' \phi_k^\dagger (r'') V_{gg} (r'' - r') | \phi_k (r'') \phi_j (r') + \phi_k (r') \phi_j (r'') | + \sum_{\lambda, k} n_{\lambda} (g) n_k (g) \int \! dr'' \phi_{\lambda}^\dagger (r'') \phi_k^\dagger (r'') \psi_i (r'') | V_{gg} (r'' - r') | \frac{\partial V_{gg} (r'' - r')}{\partial \rho_g} | \phi_k (r'') \phi_{\lambda} (r') + \phi_k (r') \phi_{\lambda} (r'') | n_j (g) \phi_j (r') = 0, \tag{25}$$
where we have taken the density-dependent interaction as a delta-function in $r'' - r'$ as in the Skyrme interaction $63, 64$. The minimization of the grand potential with respect to $n_i(Q \bar{Q})$ and $n_j(g)$ yields

$$n_i(Q \bar{Q}) = \frac{1}{e^{[\epsilon_i(Q \bar{Q}) - \mu(Q \bar{Q})]/T} - 1},$$

(26)

and

$$n_j(g) = \frac{1}{e^{[\epsilon_j(g) - \mu(g)]/T} - 1},$$

(27)

which are the well-known Bose-Einstein distributions.

The variation of the grand potential $A$ of Eq. (15) with respect to $N_g$ gives

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial N_g} = \mu(g).$$

The requirement that thermal equilibrium is reached when the variation of $A$ with respect to $N_g$ is a minimum leads to

$$\mu(g) = 0.\quad (28)$$

The number of gluons at level $j$, $n_j(g)$, can then be obtained from Eq. (27) with $\mu(g) = 0$, and the total number of gluons $N_g$ is given by

$$N_g = \sum_j n_j(g).\quad (29)$$

The above considerations give the set of equations $24, 25, 26, 27$, and (28) for a system in which both the quark-gluon plasma and the $Q \bar{Q}$ are in thermal equilibrium. If a quarkonium is placed in a thermalized quark-gluon plasma for a period longer than the time needed for it to thermalize, the heavy quarkonium will reach thermal equilibrium and the $Q \bar{Q}$ system will be described by the above set of single-particle states with the Bose-Einstein distribution of occupation numbers.

Other cases of our interest are those in which the quark-gluon plasma has reached thermal equilibrium while the $Q \bar{Q}$ system, which arises from the independent mechanism of nucleon-nucleon hard scattering, may not have. For such a case, the equations of motion for the gluons states and gluon occupation numbers, Eqs. (24) and (25), remain valid, except that in Eq. (25) the $Q \bar{Q}$ occupation numbers $n_i(Q \bar{Q})$ will no longer obey the Bose-Einstein distribution $27$. The equation of motion for the $Q \bar{Q}$ single-particle states, Eq. (24), remains valid, as it depends on the gluon density. Because the heavy-quark pair is a rare occurrence and gluons are much greater in number, we expect that the gluon density and wave functions obtained in Eq. (25) is insensitive to the status of $Q \bar{Q}$ thermalization, and they depend mainly on the thermalization of the gluons themselves. Therefore, single-particle states of the $Q \bar{Q}$ system, $\psi_i(r)$, which depend on the gluon density as given by Eq. (24), are relatively insensitive to the thermalization status of $Q \bar{Q}$, and they depend mainly on the thermalization status of the gluons.

In view of the above, the set of $Q \bar{Q}$ single-particles states $\psi_i(r)$ of Eq. (24) can be used to examine the states of a $Q \bar{Q}$ system, whether the $Q \bar{Q}$ has reached thermal equilibrium or not. For example, one can introduce a bound $Q \bar{Q}$ state $\psi_\lambda$ into a thermalized quark-gluon plasma of temperature $T$. Such a system is described by a density matrix with an initial occupation number $n_i(Q \bar{Q}) = \delta_{i\lambda}$ at $t = 0$. The collision of the $Q \bar{Q}$ with gluons in the medium will lead to the evolution of the occupation numbers of the $Q \bar{Q}$ states as a function of time, leading eventually to the Bose-Einstein distribution characterized by the temperature of the medium.

The $Q \bar{Q}$ system can exist in color-singlet and color-octet states. The consideration we have given can be generalized to color-octet states. The Schrödinger equation for the different single-particle color states $a$ will depend on $U^{(a)}_{Q \bar{Q}}(r, T)$. For the same reason that the $Q \bar{Q}$ single-particle states depend on the thermalization status of the gluons and are insensitive to the thermalization status of $Q \bar{Q}$, these single-particle states can be used to examine a $Q \bar{Q}$ system in thermalized gluon matter. When the $Q \bar{Q}$ also reaches thermal equilibrium, the set of states with occupation numbers given by equations (25) will include both color-singlet and color-octet states.

**VI. COLOR-SINGLET $Q-\bar{Q}$ POTENTIAL**

From the variational principle of minimizing the grand potential, we find that the Schrödinger equation $24$ contains the $Q-\bar{Q}$ internal energy $U^{(1)}_{Q \bar{Q}}$ as the quarkonium $Q-\bar{Q}$ potential. Lattice calculations so far provide information only
on the free energy $F_1(r, T)$ and the total internal energy $U_1(r)$ but not $U_{QQ}^{(1)}$. While we await accurate lattice gauge results for $U_{QQ}^{(1)}$, we can obtain an approximate $U_{QQ}^{(1)}$ from $F_1$ and $U_1$ by using the equation of state of the quark-gluon plasma and the First Law of Thermodynamics.

In the quenched approximation, the quark-gluon plasma consists of gluons only. We shall use the following strategy to obtain $U_{QQ}^{(1)}$. From lattice gauge calculations, we can calculate $F_1 - U_1$ which is equal to the entropy content $T S_1$ of the whole system. As the heavy quark $Q$ and antiquark $\bar{Q}$ are held fixed in the lattice calculation, the entropy $T S_1$ comes entirely from the deconfined gluons, and it contains no contribution from the heavy quark $QQ$ pair. From the entropy content of the gluon medium $T S_1$, we can deduce approximately the gluon internal energy $U_g^{(1)}$ by using the gluon medium equation of state and the First Law of Thermodynamics. Then, the knowledge of the approximate gluon internal energy $U_g^{(1)}$ and the total internal energy $U_1$ gives a color-singlet potential $U_{QQ}^{(1)}$ in terms of $F_1$ and $U_1$.

Following such a strategy, we consider a deconfined gluon medium at temperature $T$ with a static color-singlet $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ separated by a distance $r$. We focus our attention on a volume element $dV$ of gluons at spatial position $x$ in which the gluon internal energy element is $dU_g^{(1)}$ and the gluon entropy element is $dS_1$. At this spatial location, a gluon experiences an external potential $V(x)$ exerted by the color-singlet $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$, other constituents, and induced charges. Hydrostatic equilibrium is reached when the local gluon pressure $p^{(1)}(x)$ at the volume element counterbalances the external forces. We can begin in the non-relativistic description in which the total external force acting on a unit volume at $x$ is $\rho_g(x) \nabla_x V(x)$ and hydrostatic equilibrium is reached when

$$\nabla_x p^{(1)}(x) + \rho_g(x) \nabla_x V(x) = 0. \quad (30)$$

In the relativistic case, one can describe the external interaction $V(x)$ as part of the $g^{00}$ metric, $g^{00} = 1 + 2\rho_g(x) V(x)/\epsilon(x)$, and hydrostatic equilibrium is reached when

$$\nabla_x [p^{(1)}(x) + \{p^{(1)}(x) + \epsilon_g^{(1)}(x)\} \nabla_x \sqrt{1 + 2\rho_g(x) V(x)/\epsilon_g^{(1)}(x)}] = 0. \quad (31)$$

Thus, the external forces determine the gluon pressure $p^{(1)}(x)$ that is required to maintain hydrostatic equilibrium. At thermal equilibrium, the local gluon pressure is related to the local gluon entropy and the local gluon energy density. The external force therefore leads to a change of the gluon local entropy and the local gluon energy density.

According to this picture, the change in entropy is zero when the heavy quark sits on top of the heavy antiquark at $r = 0$, as the color charges will neutralize for a color-singlet $Q\bar{Q}$. As $Q$ separates from $\bar{Q}$, the local gluon pressure, energy density, and entropy will increase in the vicinity of $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ to counterbalance the forces due to $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$. The increase in entropy will reach a constant value at large separations when the $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ each independently causes a modification of the gluon distribution in its vicinity.

Under the temperature $T$ and pressure $p^{(1)}(x)$, the gluon internal energy element $dU_g^{(1)}$ and the gluon entropy element $dS_1$ at $x$ are related by the First Law of Thermodynamics,

$$dU_g^{(1)} = T dS_1 - p^{(1)}(x) dV, \quad (32)$$

where the superscript (1) denotes that the heavy quark $Q\bar{Q}$ pair is in a color-singlet state. The local gluon internal energy density $\epsilon_g^{(1)}(x)$ is therefore related to the local gluon entropy density $dS_1/dV$ and local gluon pressure $p^{(1)}(x)$ by

$$\epsilon_g^{(1)}(x) = \frac{dU_g^{(1)}}{dV}(x) = T \frac{dS_1}{dV}(x) - p^{(1)}(x). \quad (33)$$

The equation of state of a homogeneous quark-gluon plasma (gluon medium only in the case of the quenched approximation) has been obtained in previous lattice calculations. It can be represented by expressing the ratio $p/(\epsilon/3)$ as a function $a(T)$ of temperature,

$$a(T) = \frac{p(T)}{\epsilon_g(T)/3}. \quad (34)$$

We shall make the local energy-density approximation in which the local gluon energy density $\epsilon_g^{(1)}(x)$ and the local gluon pressure $p^{(1)}(x)$ under the temperature $T$ obey the equation of state for the (homogeneous) bulk quark-gluon plasma so that

$$\frac{p^{(1)}(x)}{\epsilon_g^{(1)}(x)/3} = a(T), \quad (35)$$
as in the usual hydrodynamical description of the quark-gluon plasma. We then have

$$\frac{dU_{g(1)}^{(1)}}{dV}(x) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)} \frac{T dS_{1}(x)}{dV}(x). \quad (36)$$

When we integrate the local gluon energy density over the whole volume of $x$ under consideration, we obtain

$$U_{g(1)}(r, T) = \int dx \frac{dU_{g(1)}^{(1)}}{dV}(x) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)} \int dx \frac{T dS_{1}}{dV}(x) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)} T S_{1}(r, T). \quad (37)$$

In a lattice gauge calculation, the large degrees of freedom of the gauge field and the maintenance of a thermal bath of constant temperature $T$ make it reasonable to assume local thermal equilibrium and use the equation of state of homogeneous bulk matter to relate the local energy density to the local pressure in the local energy-density approximation. It will be of great interest to check the above equation in future lattice gauge calculations in order to test the validity of the local energy-density approximation.

For the case in the absence of the $Q$-$\bar{Q}$ pair, the gluon internal energy $U_{g0}(T)$ and the gluon entropy $S_{0}(T)$ are similarly related by

$$U_{g0}(T) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)} T S_{0}(T). \quad (38)$$

We therefore have

$$U_{g(1)}(r, T) - U_{g0}(T) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)} T \{S_{1}(r, T) - S_{0}(T)\}. \quad (39)$$

In the above discussions from Eq. (32) to Eq. (39), we have followed the standard practice to use the convention of “absolute” units in which the entropy $S_{1}(r)$ (absolute) and $S_{0}(r)$ (absolute) are measured relative to zero entropy. On the other hand, in lattice calculations and in Section IV, we have used the “lattice gauge calculation” convention in which the entropy $S_{1}(r)$ (lattice) and the free energy $F_{1}(r)$ (lattice) with the $Q$-$\bar{Q}$ pair are measured relative respectively to the entropy $S_{0}(r)$ (absolute) and free energy $F_{0}(r)$ (absolute) without the $Q$-$\bar{Q}$ pair (i.e. $S_{1}(r)$ (lattice) = $S_{1}(r)$ (absolute) - $S_{0}(r)$ (absolute) and $F_{1}(r)$ (lattice) = $F_{1}(r)$ (absolute) - $F_{0}(r)$ (absolute)). Henceforth, we shall switch back to the “lattice gauge calculation” convention in which the entropy $S_{1}(r, T)$ and the free energy $F_{1}(r, T)$ with the the $Q$-$\bar{Q}$ pair are measured relative to those in the absence of the $Q$-$\bar{Q}$ pair. In this lattice gauge convention, the above equation can be re-written as

$$U_{g(1)}(r, T) - U_{g0}(T) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)} T S_{1}(r, T). \quad (40)$$

Using the relation $TS_{1} = U_{1} - F_{1}$ of Eq. (10) in lattice gauge theory, we can express the above $U_{g(1)}(r, T) - U_{g0}(T)$ in terms of $F_{1}(r, T)$ and $U_{1}(r, T),$

$$U_{g(1)}(r, T) - U_{g0}(T) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)} (U_{1}(r, T) - F_{1}(r, T)). \quad (41)$$

Substituting this equation in Eq. (40), we obtain

$$U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r, T) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)} F_{1}(r, T) + \frac{a(T)}{3 + a(T)} U_{1}(r, T). \quad (42)$$

The $Q$-$\bar{Q}$ potential which appears in the Schrödinger equation for quarkonium bound states, Eq. (24), is then

$$U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r, T) - U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r \to \infty, T) = f_{F}(T) \{F_{1}(r, T) - F_{1}(r \to \infty, T)\} + f_{U}(T) \{U_{1}(r, T) - U_{1}(r \to \infty, T)\}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$f_{F}(T) = \frac{3}{3 + a(T)}, \quad (44)$$

$$f_{U}(T) = \frac{a(T)}{3 + a(T)}, \quad (45)$$
and \( f_F(T) + f_U(T) = 1 \). We shall use such a relation to obtain an approximate \( Q\bar{Q} \) potential from \( F_1 \) and \( U_1 \).

The above Eq. (43) has been obtained for a color-singlet \( Q\bar{Q} \) pair in the quenched approximation. It can be easily generalized to the case of the unquenched full QCD with dynamical light quarks. In that case, the function \( a(T) \) corresponds to \( p/(\epsilon/3) \) appropriate for the equation of state of the quark-gluon plasma under consideration, and \( U_{gg}(T) \) of Eq. (42) becomes \( U_{qgp}(T) \), the internal energy of the quark-gluon plasma in the absence of the \( Q\bar{Q} \) pair, and Eq. (43) remains unchanged.

We show \( \epsilon/T^4 \) and \( p/T^4 \) obtained in quenched QCD by Boyd et al. [68] as a function of \( T/T_c \) in Fig. 1(a). In Fig. 1(b) the function \( a(T) \), defined as the ratio \( 3p/\epsilon \), is plotted as a function of \( T/T_c \). The free energy fraction \( f_F(T) \) and the internal energy fraction \( f_U(T) \) calculated using this ratio of \( a(T) \) are shown in Fig. 1(c). One finds that at temperatures close to \( T_c \), \( f_F \) is close to unity, and the \( Q\bar{Q} \) potential is close to the free energy \( F_1(r) \). As temperature increases, the \( F_1 \) fraction decreases but approaching \( f_F \sim 0.75 \) at very high temperatures. The \( U_1 \) fraction is nearly zero at temperatures near \( T_c \) and it increases monotonically as a function of temperature, reaching a value of 0.25 at very high temperatures.

It is of interest to discuss the conditions under which the application of the static potential \( U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T) \) and its representation in terms of \( F_1 \) and \( U_1 \) as given in Eq. (43) can be reasonable concepts. For the static potential and the quark-gluon plasma equation of state to be applicable, it is necessary that the time for the quark-gluon plasma to reach thermal and hydrostatic equilibrium is short compared with the time for the periodic motion of the \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \). The time for the quark-gluon plasma to reach thermal equilibrium is of the order 0.6 fm/c, as one may infer from discussions in Section II. The orbiting time for a heavy quarkonium is of order \( 2r_{rms}/v \) where \( r_{rms} \) is the root-mean-square radius of the heavy quarkonium system and \( v \) is the relative velocity which is at most of order 0.5 for heavy quarks. The spatial scale of the heavy quarkonia in the quark gluon plasma is quite large. As we shall see in Section VIII and IX \( r_{rms} = 0.88 \) fm at \( T/T_c = 1.13 \) for \( J/\psi \) and it increases to \( r_{rms} = 5.3 \) fm at \( T/T_c = 1.65 \). For \( \Upsilon \), \( r_{rms} = 0.25 \) fm at \( T/T_c = 1.13 \) and it increases to \( r_{rms} = 0.59 \) fm at \( T/T_c = 2.6 \). The large spatial scales arises because these heavy quarkonium states are basically only weakly bound. The orbiting time for heavy quarkonia in the quark-gluon plasma is much greater than the quark-gluon plasma thermalization time. It is therefore reasonable to use a static \( Q\bar{Q} \) potential and the equation of state of the quark-gluon plasma to study heavy quarkonium in the quark-gluon plasma.

FIG. 1: (a) The energy density and pressure of a SU(3) gauge theory as a function of the temperature obtained by Boyd et al. [68]. (b) The ratio of \( 3p/\epsilon \) as a function of \( T/T_c \). (c) The weight \( f_F \) of \( F_1 \) and the weight \( f_U \) of \( U_1 \) that comprise the \( Q\bar{Q} \) potential according to Eq. (43).
VII. SIMPLE PARAMETERIZATIONS OF $U_1$ AND $F_1$

Kaczmarek et al. \cite{22} obtained the color-singlet free energy $F_1(r,T)$ and internal potential $U_1(r,T)$ in quenched QCD as a function of $r = |r|$ and $T$. The radial dependences of $F_1(r,T)$ and $U_1(r,T)$ in quenched QCD can be adequately represented by a screened Coulomb potential with a screening mass $\mu_i$, a coupling constant $\alpha_i$, and an asymptotic potential horizon $C_i(T)$ at $|r| \to \infty$, where the subscript $i = F$ and $U$ stands for the free energy or the internal energy respectively,

$$F_1(r,T) = C_F(T) - \frac{4\alpha_F(T)}{3} e^{-\mu_F r} r,$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)$$

and

$$U_1(r,T) = C_U(T) - \frac{4\alpha_U(T)}{3} e^{-\mu_U r} r.$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)$$

The parameters $C_i$, $\alpha_i$, and $\mu_i$ for the quenched lattice QCD results of $F_1(r,T)$ and $U_1(r,T)$ of Kaczmarek et al. \cite{22} are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, and the corresponding fits to the lattice $F_1$ and $U_1$ results are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. The coupling constant $\alpha_F(T)$ is 0.44 at $T = 1.05 T_c$. As the temperature increases, $\alpha_F$ decreases and saturates at $\alpha_F \sim 0.3$ at $T \sim 3T_c$. The screening mass $\mu_F$ is about 0.25 GeV at temperatures just above $T_c$ and it increases to 0.8 GeV at $3T_c$. The coupling constant $\alpha_U(T)$ is quite large at temperatures slightly above the phase transition temperature. At $T = 1.13 T_c$, $\alpha_U = 1.26$. As the temperature increases, $\alpha_U$ decreases and saturates at $\alpha_U(T) \sim 0.4$ at $T \sim 4T_c$. The screening mass $\mu_U$ is small at temperatures just above $T_c$. As the temperature increases, the screening mass $\mu_U$ increases to about 0.8 GeV at $T \sim 4.5T_c$.

The comparison in Fig. 4 and 5 shows that the free energy $F_1$ and the internal energy $U_1$ with the set of parameters in Figs. 2 and 3, adequately describe the lattice-gauge data and can be used to calculate the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of heavy quarkonia. In the local energy-density approximation, the $Q$-$\bar{Q}$ potential is given by

$$U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T) - U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r \to \infty, T) = \frac{4}{3} f_F(T) \alpha_F(T) e^{-\mu_F r} r + f_U(T) \alpha_U(T) e^{-\mu_U r}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)$$
FIG. 3: The color-singlet parameters $C_U$, $\alpha_U$, and $\mu_U$ for the total internal energy $U_1(r, T)$ as given in Eq. (47).

FIG. 4: The symbols represent the quenched lattice QCD free energy, $F_1(r, T)/\sigma^{1/2}$, of Kaczmarek et al. [22] at selected distances and the curves are the fits using the screened Coulomb potential, Eq. (46), with parameters given in Fig. 2. Here $\sigma^{1/2} = 425$ MeV.

VIII. CHARMONIUM IN THE QUARK-GLUON PLASMA

In the quenched approximation, the transition temperature is $T_c = 269$ MeV [8]. We use this value of $T_c$ to express the potential in GeV units, in order to evaluate the energy levels of different heavy quarkonia.

For a given temperature, we use the $U^{(1)}_{Q\bar{Q}}(r, T)$ potential given in Eq. (46) to calculate the charmonium energy levels and wave functions. In these calculations, we employ a charm quark mass $m_c = 1.3$ and 1.5 GeV [69] to provide an indication of the uncertainties of the eigenenergies.

Energy levels of charmonium calculated with the $U^{(1)}_{Q\bar{Q}}(r, T)$ potential are shown in Fig. 6 as a function of temperature. The $J/\psi$ and $\eta_c$ states are weakly bound at temperatures slightly greater than $T_c$. The eigenenergies of $J/\psi$ and $\eta_c$ are -0.045 GeV at $T = 1.13 T_c$ for $m_c = 1.5$ GeV and their energies increase as the temperature increases. The $J/\psi$ and $\eta_c$ state eigenenergies are -0.0004 GeV at $T = 1.65 T_c$ for $m_c = 1.5$ GeV. If one extrapolates the eigenenergy from lower temperature points, one infers that the $J/\psi$ and $\eta_c$ spontaneous dissociation temperature is $1.52 T_c$ for $m_c = 1.3$ GeV, and is $1.72 T_c$ for $m_c = 1.5$ GeV, with a mean value of $1.62 T_c$. There are no bound $\chi_c$, $\psi'$, and $\eta'_c$...
FIG. 5: The symbols represent the quenched lattice QCD total internal energy, \( U_1(r, T)/T_c \), of Kaczmarek et al. [22] at selected distances and the curves are the fits using the screened Coulomb potential, Eq. (38), with parameters given in Fig. 3. Here \( T_c = 269 \) MeV and \( \sigma^{1/2} = 425 \) MeV.

FIG. 6: Energy levels of charmonium in the quark-gluon plasma as a function of temperature calculated with (a) the \( F_1(r, T) \) and \( U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r, T) \) potentials for \( J/\psi \) and \( \eta_c \), and (b) the \( U_1(r, T) \) potential for \( J/\psi, \eta_c, \chi_c, \psi', \) and \( \eta_c' \). The dashed curves are obtained with \( m_c = 1.3 \) GeV and the solid curves with \( m_c = 1.5 \) GeV. Note the difference in the energy scales in (a) and (b).

states for temperatures above \( T_c \).

In the spectral function analyses of Asakawa et al. [8, 10] and Petreczky et al. [11, 12, 13], the widths of \( J/\psi \) begin to be broadened at \( \sim 1.6T_c \) and the \( \chi_c \) states are found to be dissolved already at \( 1.13T_c \). The width of \( J/\psi \) can be broadened by gluon dissociation \( g + J/\psi \rightarrow c + \bar{c} \) which is presumably a possible process in the lattice gauge calculations in the spectral function analysis. The gluon dissociation width is however of the order of 0.05 to 0.1 GeV as one can infer later from Section XII. In the numerical results of the spectral function analysis [8, 10], the width appears to be broadened by an amount significantly greater than this amount for gluon dissociation. It is therefore reasonable to associate the broadening of the width of a heavy quarkonium in the spectral function analysis with the occurrence of spontaneous dissociation, when the heavy quarkonium becomes unbound. The temperature at which the width of a quarkonium begins to broaden significantly can be taken as the dissociation temperature for spontaneous dissociation of the quarkonium in the spectral function analysis.
In Table I we list the dissociation temperatures of different quarkonia obtained in quenched QCD. A comparison of the dissociation temperatures from the spectral function analysis and from the $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T)$ potential model indicates the agreement that $J/\psi$ is bound up to about $1.6T_c$ and is unstable at very high temperatures. There is also the agreement that the $\chi_c$ and $\psi'$ states are unbound in the quark-gluon plasma.

It is instructive to compare the eigenenergies obtained by using other potential models. We calculate the heavy quarkonium eigenenergies with the $F_1(r,T)$ potential as in [25, 26, 27, 28] by replacing $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}$ in Eq. (24) with $F_1(r,T)$. In Fig. 7(a), we show the charmonium energies calculated with the $F_1(r,T)$ potential. One finds that $J/\psi$ is weakly bound, but the dissociation temperature lies in the range $1.33-1.46T_c$ for $m_c = 1.3-1.5$ GeV, with a mean value of $1.40T_c$. This dissociation temperature is lower than that inferred from the spectral function analysis. The $\chi_c$ and $\psi'$ states are unbound in this potential.

We also calculate the heavy quarkonium eigenenergies with the total internal energy $U_1(r,T)$ as the $Q-\bar{Q}$ potential as in [25, 26, 27, 28]. The eigenenergies for charmonium states are shown in Fig. 7(b). As the total internal energy $U_1$ contains a deeper potential well, the charmonium states are deeply bound. The binding energy is about 0.8 GeV at $1.13T_c$, and the state becomes unbound at $2.50-2.71T_c$, with a mean value of $2.60T_c$. This dissociation temperature is much higher than dissociation temperature of about $1.6T_c$ obtained from the spectral function analysis. There are uncertainties in the spontaneous dissociation temperatures due to the differences in the degrees of freedom assumed in lattice QCD calculations. For example, using the total internal energy $U_1$ extracted from the full QCD with two flavors obtained by Kaczmarek et al. [71], Shuryak found that the dissociation temperature of $J/\psi$ is about $2.7T_c$. In this model, the $\chi_c$, $\psi'$ and $\eta'_c$ states are bound at temperature slightly above $T_c$ and they become unbound at $1.2T_c$. The binding of $\chi_c$ states is in disagreement with the results of the dissolution of $\chi_c$ states in the spectral function analysis.

Our comparison of these results indicate that the model that compares best with the spectral function analysis is the $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T)$ potential [Eq. (23)] obtained from a variational principle and the quark-gluon plasma equation of state. However, as the results from the spectral function analysis are still scanty, more results from the spectral function analysis are needed to test further the potential model.

Table I. Dissociation temperatures obtained from different analyses in quenched QCD.
The solution of the Schrödinger equation (19) gives both eigenenergies and eigenfunctions. We show in Fig. 8 the wave functions of $J/\psi$ calculated with the $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T)$ potential and $m_c = 1.5$ GeV. They are normalized according to

$$\int_0^\infty |u_{1S}(r)|^2 dr = \int_0^\infty |r\psi_{1S}(r)|^2 dr = 1,$$

as in Eq. (4.18) of Blatt and Weisskopf [71]. As the temperature increases, the binding of the state becomes weaker and the wave function extends to greater distances. The rms $r$ of the $J/\psi$ wave function is 0.88 fm at $1.13 T_c$. At $T = 1.65 T_c$, which is near the temperature for spontaneous dissociation, the binding energy is 0.0004 GeV. The rms $r$ of the $J/\psi$ wave function is 5.30 fm, which is much greater than the theoretical rms $r$ of 0.404 fm for $J/\psi$ at zero temperature [72].

### IX. Bottomium Bound States in the Quark-Gluon Plasma

![Energy levels of $b\bar{b}$ bound states as a function of temperature, calculated with the $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T)$ potential.](image)

**FIG. 8**: Energy levels of $b\bar{b}$ bound states as a function of temperature, calculated with the $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T)$ potential.

One can carry out similar calculations for the energy levels and wave functions of the $b\bar{b}$ system. We take the mass of the bottom quark to be 4.3 GeV, which falls within the range of 4.1 to 4.5 GeV in the PDG listing [69]. The energy levels of the lowest $b\bar{b}$ bound states calculated with the $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T)$ potential, are shown in Fig. 9 as a function of temperature. We find that at $T = 1.13 T_c$, the $\Upsilon$ state lies at about -0.3 GeV and the state energy increases as the temperature increases. The $\Upsilon$ state remains to be bound by 0.028 GeV at $2.5 T_c$. If one extrapolates from lower temperatures, the dissociation temperature of $\Upsilon$ and $\eta_b$ is $4.10 T_c$. For this potential, the $\chi_b$, $\Upsilon'$, and $\eta_b'$ states are weakly bound at temperatures slightly greater than $T_c$. The $\chi_b$ states become unbound at $1.18 T_c$ and the $\Upsilon'$ and $\eta_b'$ become unbound at $1.38 T_c$. 

| Heavy Quarkonium | $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}(r,T)$ Potential | $F_1(r,T)$ Potential | $U_1(r,T)$ Potential | Spectral Analysis |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| $J/\psi, \eta_c$ | $1.62 T_c$                          | $1.40 T_c$           | $2.60 T_c$           | $\sim 1.6 T_c$   |
| $\chi_c$         | unbound in QGP                      | unbound in QGP       | $1.19 T_c$           | dissolved below $1.1 T_c$ |
| $\psi', \eta_c'$ | unbound in QGP                      | unbound in QGP       | $1.20 T_c$           | $\sim 5.0 T_c$   |
| $\Upsilon, \eta_b$ | $4.10 T_c$                         | $3.50 T_c$           | $1.73 T_c$           |                  |
| $\chi_b$         | $1.18 T_c$                          | $1.10 T_c$           | $2.28 T_c$           |                  |
| $\Upsilon', \eta_b'$ | $1.38 T_c$                       | $1.19 T_c$           | $1.73 T_c$           |                  |
As a comparison, we calculate the bottomium eigenenergies using other potential models. If we assume that the Q-\bar{Q} potential is the free energy, $F_1(r, T)$, we find that $\Upsilon$ is bound by about 0.2 GeV at 1.13 $T_c$ and it becomes unbound at 3.50$T_c$ as shown in Fig. 10(a). The $\chi_b$ states are unbound at 1.10$T_c$, and the $\Upsilon'$ and $\eta_b'$ states are bound by .003 GeV at 1.13$T_c$, which should be close to its dissociation temperature.

If we assume that the $Q-\bar{Q}$ potential is the total internal energy, $U_1(r, T)$, we find that the bottomium states become deeply bound as shown in Fig. 10(b). At 1.13 $T_c$, the $\Upsilon$ and $\eta_b$ states are bound by about 3 GeV. The binding energy decreases slowly as a function of temperature. The small binding energy at $T = 4.5 T_c$ indicates that the $\Upsilon$ dissociation temperature is close to and slightly greater than $T \sim 5.0 T_c$. Because of the small screening mass near $T_c$, the potential for temperatures near $T_c$ is approximately a Coulomb potential but with a large coupling constant. Hence, $\chi_b$ and $\Upsilon', \eta_b'$ states are nearly degenerate. They lie at -0.5 GeV for $T = 1.13 T_c$, and begin to be unbound at 1.73$T_c$ and 2.28$T_c$ respectively.

The $b\bar{b}$ bound state wave functions can be obtained by solving the Schrödinger equation. We show in Fig. 11 the $\Upsilon$ radial wave functions as a function of temperature. The wave function extends to greater distances as the temperature increases. The root-mean-square radius $r_{\text{rms}}$ is 0.25 fm at $T/T_c = 1.13$ and it increases as the temperature increases. At $T = 2.6 T_c$, which lies very close to the temperature for spontaneous dissociation, the rms $r$ value is 0.59 fm, which is substantially greater than the rms $r$ of 0.25 fm at 1.13$T_c$.

Our comparisons of the charmonium eigenenergies with those from the spectral function analysis indicate that the results obtained by using the $U^{(1)}_Q$ potential give results which agree best with the spectral function analysis. As different models give different predications on the bottomium dissociation temperatures, further tests of the models can be carried out by calculating the dissociation temperature of bottomium states using the spectral function analysis.

### X. Antiscreening by Deconfined Gluons

As one crosses the phase transition temperature $T_c$ from below, quarks and gluons becomes deconfined. The Debye screening due to the interaction between the heavy quark and antiquark with medium particles is considered to be the dominant effect when a heavy quarkonium is placed in a quark-gluon plasma. It is argued that Debye screening leads to a decrease in the attractive interaction between the heavy quark and antiquark and results in the spontaneous dissociation of the heavy quarkonium in the quark-gluon plasma. The suppression of $J/\psi$ production was suggested...
In perturbative QCD, there is a relation between the screening mass $\mu$, coupling constant $g = \sqrt{4\pi \alpha_s}$, and temperature $T$ in a quark-gluon plasma given by [73, 74, 75, 76, 77]

$$\mu^2 = \frac{g^2 T^2 (N_c + N_f/2)}{3}.$$ (50)

For the quenched approximation with $N_f = 0$, perturbative QCD gives $\mu = gT$. A comparison of the effective coupling constants $\alpha_F$ and $\alpha_U$ of either $F_1$ or $U_1$ with the screening mass $\mu_F$ and $\mu_U$ at temperatures near $T_c$ indicates that the screening mass is much smaller than the estimates in perturbative QCD limit, indicating that the large-distance behavior cannot be qualitatively described by perturbative QCD. The perturbative QCD limit for the large-distance behavior can be reached only at temperatures above $6T_c$ [78, 79]. In fact, Kaczmarek et al. [79] pointed out that for temperatures close to $T_c$ the QCD phase should be more appropriately described in terms of remnants of the confinement part of QCD rather than a strongly coupled Coloumbic force [14, 15]. To understand this “remnant of QCD confinement above $T_c$”, it is of interest to examine effects of antiscreening above $T_c$ by deconfined gluons.

In a related topic, Svetitsky, Yaffe, DeTar and DeGrand previously found that large space-like Wilson loops in the quark-gluon plasma have an area law behavior [2, 3, 31, 33, 34]. As the area law of the spatial Polyakov loop does not change drastically across the phase transition, DeTar [2, 3], Hansson, Lee, and Zahed [4], and Simonov [5, 6, 7] argued that low-lying mesons including $J/\psi$ may remain relatively narrow states due to the attractive interaction between the quark and the antiquark and the suppression of $J/\psi$ is not a signature of the deconfinement phase transition [3]. It is of interest to examine the consequence of the spatial area law to see whether it will lead to antiscreening between a heavy quark and antiquark.

The mechanism of antiscreening by virtual gluons at $T = 0$ is well known (see for example Peskin, Schroeder, Gottfried, and Weisskopf [80, 81]). We would like to follow similar arguments to study the mechanism of antiscreening by deconfined gluons above the phase transition temperature $T_c$. We consider the color electric field generated by a static color source $\rho^{(0)}_{\alpha} (r) = \delta(r)\delta_{\alpha \lambda}$ with a unit color charge of index $\lambda$ placed at the origin, in the presence of an external gauge field (Fig. 12a). We fix the gauge to be the Coulomb gauge and represent the deconfined gluons in terms of an external transverse gluon field $A^{bi}(r)$ where the first index $b = 1, \ldots, 8$ is the color index and the second index $i = 1, 2, 3$ is the spatial coordinate index.

The color electric field $E_{\alpha i}(r)$ generated by the source is determined by the Gauss law

$$\partial_i E_{\alpha i}(r) = g\delta(r)\delta^{\alpha \lambda} + g f^{abc} A^{bi}(r) E_{ci}(r)$$ (51)

(Eq. (16.139) of Peskin and Schroeder [81]). Here repeated indices are summed over and the first index of $E^{ai}(r)$ is the color index and the second index is the spatial coordinate index. Because of the non-linear nature of the second term which arises from the non-Abelian nature of QCD, the external color source $\delta(r)\delta^{\alpha \lambda}$ and the external gauge field $A^{ai}(r)$ induce a color source $\rho_{\alpha \lambda}^{(1)}(r)$, which in turn induces an additional color source $\rho_{\alpha \lambda}^{(2)}(r)$. How do these induced color charges depend on the external gauge field $A^{bi}(r)$?

We consider an expansion of the source in terms of the external source and the induced sources, in powers of the
the direction of $r$

An induced color-charge element $\rho^{\alpha(1)}(r)$, as arising only from the external static source $\delta(r)\delta^{\alpha\lambda}$, is

$$E^{\alpha(1)}(r) = g\delta^{\alpha\lambda} \frac{r'}{r}. \quad (53)$$

From the non-linear term in Eq. (51), the color charge density induced at $r$ by the external gauge field $A^{\beta}(r)$ and the electric field $E^{\alpha(1)}(r)$ of the external color source is

$$\rho^{\alpha(1)}(r) = f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A^{\beta}(r) E^{\gamma(1)}(r) = g f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A^{\beta}(r) \frac{\delta^{\gamma\lambda} r'}{r}. \quad (54)$$

An induced color-charge element $\rho^{\alpha(1)}(r)\Delta r$ at $r$ will generate a field $E^{\alpha(2)}(r',r)$, and this field is pointing along the direction of $r' - r$,

$$E^{\alpha(2)}(r', r) = g[\rho^{\alpha(1)}(r)\Delta r] \frac{(r'^i - r^i)}{|r' - r|^3}. \quad (55)$$

From the non-linear term in Eq. (51), the color charge density element $\rho^{\alpha(2)}(r', r)\Delta r$, that is induced at $r'$ by the external gauge field $A^{\beta}(r')$ and the electric field $E^{\alpha(2)}(r', r)$, at $r'$, is therefore

$$\rho^{\alpha(2)}(r', r)\Delta r = f^{abc} A^{bi}(r') E^{\gamma(2)}(r', r) = g^2 f^{abc} A^{bi}(r') \left[ f^{c\beta\gamma} A^{\beta}(r) \frac{\delta^{\gamma\lambda} r'}{r'^3} \Delta r \right] \frac{(r'^i - r^i)}{|r' - r|^3}. \quad (56)$$

As the external source has the color index $\lambda$, we would like to study the induced color charge of index $\lambda$ to see whether the induced color charges lead to screening or antiscreening. From Eq. (51), we have

$$\rho^{\lambda(1)}(r) = 0, \quad (57)$$

as $f^{\lambda\beta\gamma} = 0$ on account of the antisymmetric property of $f$. For the next-order induced color charge density element $\rho^{\alpha(2)}(r', r)\Delta r$, we can write out explicitly the summations of color and spatial indices of Eq. (56),

$$\rho^{\lambda(2)}(r', r)\Delta r = g^2 \sum_{b, c, \beta = 1}^8 f^{\lambda bc} f^{\beta\gamma\lambda} \sum_{i, j = 1}^3 A^{bi}(r') (r'^i - r^i) A^{\beta j}(r) \frac{\delta^{\gamma\lambda} r'}{|r'^3| |r' - r|^3} \Delta r. \quad (58)$$

We note that

$$\sum_{c=1}^8 f^{\lambda bc} f^{c\beta\lambda} = - F(\lambda, b) \delta^{\beta\lambda}, \quad (59)$$

where $F(\lambda, b)$ is a non-negative quantity defined by

$$F(\lambda, b) = \sum_{c=1}^8 (f^{\lambda bc})^2, \quad (60)$$

which can be easily evaluated. For example, $F(1, b)$ is $\{0, 1, 1, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 0\}$ for $b = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$. In terms of $F(\lambda, b)$, the induced charge density is

$$\rho^{\lambda(2)}(r', r)\Delta r = -g^2 \sum_{b=1}^8 F(\lambda, b) \Delta r \sum_{i, j = 1}^3 A^{bi}(r') A^{\beta j}(r) \frac{(r'^i - r^i) r'}{|r'^3| |r' - r|^3}. \quad (61)$$

Note that $F(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$. The contribution of the external gauge field to the sum over $b$ comes only from those color components of $A^{bi}$ that are transverse to the color axis $\lambda$ of the external point source.

The product $A^{bi}(r') A^{\beta j}(r)$ in Eq. (61) involves spatial gauge fields at different spatial locations. Previously, Svetitsky, Yaffe, DeTar and DeGrand found that large space-like Wilson loops in the quark-gluon plasma have an area
law behavior. Such a behavior indicates that the spatial gauge fields $A^b(r)$ at different spatial locations are correlated \[2, 3, 31, 33, 34, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86\]. As shown in Appendix A, if the gauge fields $A^b$ at different field points $r_>$ and $r_<$ with $|A^b(r_>)| > |A^b(r_<)|$ are correlated as

$$A^b(r_<) = A^b(r_>) e^{-|r_>-r_<|/\xi},$$

then the integral of $A^b(r)$ along a space-like loop $\oint A^b dx^i$ obeys an area law, when the linear dimensions of the loop are small compared with the correlation length. Consequently, within the vicinity of the the correlation length $\xi$, we can express the thermal average of the $A^b(r') A^b(r)$ in terms of the relative coordinate and the correlation length $\xi$.

$$\langle A^b(r') A^b(r) \rangle \sim A^b(r) A^b(r) e^{-|r'-r|/\xi}. \quad (63)$$

where we have considered the case when $|A^b(r')| < |A^b(r)|$. (The case of $|A^b(r')| > |A^b(r)|$ can be treated in an analogous way). The quenched QCD calculations in $SU(3)$ is in the universal class of three-dimensional $Z(3)$ symmetry. It has a first order phase transition and and it possesses a large but finite correlation length at $T_c$ \[2, 3, 31, 33, 34, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86\].

To study the sign of the induced color charges, we choose a spatial coordinate system with the z-axis along r as shown in Fig. (4a). In this coordinate system, we label the angular coordinates of $r' - r$ and $A^b(r)$ by $(\theta', \phi')$ and $(\theta, \phi_0)$, respectively. We shall study the induced charge at $r'$ within the vicinity of the correlation length $\xi$ of r. Then, after taking the thermal average, the induced color charge density is then

$$\rho^{\rm ind}_\lambda(r', r) \Delta r = -g^2 \sum_{b=1}^{8} F(\lambda, b) \Delta r \frac{|A^b(r)|^2 e^{-|r'-r|/\xi}}{r^2|r'-r|^2} \times \cos \theta_0 \cos \theta' \sin \theta_0 \sin \theta' \cos(\phi_0 - \phi'). \quad (64)$$

If we average over the azimuthal angle $\phi'$, the second term in the square bracket drops out and we have

$$\rho^{\rm ind}_\lambda(r', r) \Delta r = -g^2 \sum_{b=1}^{8} F(\lambda, b) \Delta r \frac{|A^b(r)|^2 \cos^2 \theta_0 e^{-|r'-r|/\xi} \cos \theta'}{r^2(r^2 + r^2 + 2r|r' - r| \cos \theta')}. \quad (65)$$

One readily observes that the induced color charge density $\rho^{\rm ind}_\lambda(r', r)$ at $r'$ is negative in the forward hemisphere in the direction forward of r (with $\pi/2 \geq \theta' \geq 0$). It changes to positive in the backward hemisphere, in the direction backward of r ($\pi \geq \theta' \geq \pi/2$). In the region of $r'$ within the vicinity of the correlation length from r, the induced charge surrounding r is a color-dipole type density distribution with the color charge of the same sign at distances closer to the color source and of the opposite sign at distances farther to the color source (Fig. 4b). This is the antiscreening behavior due to the presence of external gauge field $A^b(r)$ at r. The magnitude of the induced color charges will increase with an increases in the correlation length $\xi$ and the magnitude of the gluon field. The antiscreening effects...
will enhance the attractive interaction between the heavy quark and antiquark and will reduce the screening mass from the Debye screening predictions.

The antiscreening effect arises due to the non-linear properties of the non-Abelian gauge field while the effects of Debye screening arises from the interaction between the quark and antiquark with gluons. Both effects are present and the antiscreening effects due to deconfined gluons will act to counterbalance the effects of Debye screening. At the onset of the phase transition, the correlation length is large and deconfined gluons are present, there can be “remnants of the confinement part of QCD” at temperatures slightly above \( T_c \), as pointed out by Kaczmarek et al. \[22\]. At a much higher temperature, a greater thermal fluctuation leads to a smaller correlation length, reduces the effects of antiscreening, and Debye screening dominates.

XI. DISSOCIATION OF \( J/\psi \) IN COLLISION WITH GLUONS

It is not necessary to reach the spontaneous dissociation temperature with zero binding energy for a quarkonium to dissociate. In a quark-gluon plasma, gluons and quarks can collide with a color-singlet heavy quarkonium to lead to the dissociate of the heavy quarkonium. Dissociation by the absorption of a single gluon is allowed as the color-octet final state of a free quark and a free antiquark can propagate in the color medium, in contrast to the situation below \( T_c \) in which the quark and the antiquark are confined. We shall consider dissociation of heavy quarkonium by gluons in the present work. The collision of a heavy quarkonium with light quarks can also lead to the dissociation of the heavy quarkonium, but through higher-order processes. They can be considered in future refined treatment of the dissociation process.

Previous treatment of the dissociation of heavy quarkonium by the absorption of a gluon was carried out by Peskin and Bhanot \[89, 90\]. They use the operator product expansion and the large \( \Lambda \) limit. They sum over a large set of diagrams and show that to obtain gauge invariant results, they need to sum over diagrams in which the external gluon is coupled to the gluon that is exchanged between the heavy quark and the heavy antiquark. They use hydrogen wave function and hydrogen states to evaluate the transition matrix elements. Their expression for the dissociation cross section of \( \sigma(g + (QQ)_{1S} \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q}) \) is

\[
\sigma(g + (QQ)_{1S} \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q}) = \frac{2}{3} \pi \left( \frac{32}{3} \right)^2 \left( \frac{4}{3 \alpha_s^2} \right) \frac{1}{m_Q^2} \frac{(E/B)^{3/2}}{(E/B + 1)^2},
\]

where \( E \) is the non-relativistic kinetic energy of the dissociated \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) in the center-of-mass system. In this short-distance approach of Peskin and Bhanot, the quark and the antiquark form a color-dipole pair and the gluons couple to the Wilson loop (the quarkonium) via simple dipole interactions. The dissociation cross section of Eq. (66) is, in fact, the dissociation of the quarkonium through the absorption of an E1 gluon radiation.

Peskin and Bhanot’s analytical result for the dissociation cross section has been applied to many calculations \[23, 24, 91\]. In heavy quarkonia of interest, the radial dependence of the quark-antiquark potential often differ from the Coulomb potential. The calculation of the dissociation cross section requires a new formulation which can be best described by the potential model introduced previously \[28, 51\], following the results of Blatt and Weisskopf \[71\] obtained for the photo-disintegration of a deuteron. The dissociation process is schematically illustrated in Fig. 12. An initial bound \((QQ)_{1S}\) state with a binding energy \( B \) in the color-singlet potential \( V_1(r) \) absorbs a gluon of energy \( h \omega_g \), and is excited to the color-octet final state \((Q + \bar{Q})_{1P}\) with a kinetic energy \( E \) above the rest mass of \( m_Q + m_{\bar{Q}} \). The interaction \( V_6(r) \) between \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) in the color-octet state will be different from the interaction \( V_1(r) \) in the color-singlet state, as shown in Fig. 12. At low energies, the dominant dissociation cross section is the E1 color-electric dipole transition for which the final state of \( Q + \bar{Q} \) will be in the 1P state in the continuum. The dissociation cross section \( \sigma(g + J/\psi \rightarrow c + \bar{c}) \) for such a color E1 transition can be obtained from the analogous result in QED \[28, 71\], and the result is \[28\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{dis}}(E_{\text{gluon}}) = 4 \times \frac{\pi}{3} \alpha_s Q (k^2 + \gamma^2)k^{-1}I^2,
\]

where

\[
E_{\text{gluon}} = B + E, \quad \gamma^2 = 2\mu B, \quad k^2 = 2\mu E,
\]

\[
I = \int_0^\infty u_{1P}(r) \, u_{1S}(r)dr,
\]

\[
\alpha_s Q = \alpha_s \left[ \sqrt{\frac{e}{2}} |1^c| \right]^2 = \alpha_s \times \frac{1}{6},
\]
and $\alpha_s$ is the gluon-(heavy quark) coupling leading to the dissociation of the heavy quarkonium. Here, we use the same notation and normalization as in Blatt and Weisskopf. The bound state wave function $u_{1S}$ has been normalized according to Eq. (49) as in Eq. (XII.4.18) of Blatt and Weisskopf \[71\], and the continuum wave function $u_{1P}$ is normalized according to

$$u_{1P}(r)|_{r \to \infty} \to krj_1(kr) = \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr} - \cos(kr),$$

(71)

as in Eq. (XII.4.32) of Blatt and Weisskopf \[71\]. The result from the potential model agrees with the analytical results of Bhanot and Peskin for the case they considered (hydrogen wave function, large $N_c$ limit,...) \[28\]. Such an agreement was further confirmed by numerical calculations according to Eq. (67) using hydrogen wave function for $u_{1S}$ and plane wave continuum wave function for $u_{1P}$, as assumed by Peskin and Bhanot \[89, 90\]. The potential model has the practical advantage that it can be used for a $Q$-$\bar{Q}$ system with a general potential.

### XII. $J/\psi$ Collisional Dissociation Rate and Dissociation Width

We have represented the color-singlet potential between a heavy quark and antiquark by a screened Coulomb potential and have obtained the $J/\psi$ wave function. To study the gluon dissociation of $J/\psi$, we need the color-octet potential $V_8(r, T) = U_8^{(Q \bar{Q})}(r, T)$ experienced by the $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ in the final state. We shall assume the generalization that the color-dependence of the potential Eq. (46) is simply obtained by modifying the color factor from $-4/3$ for the color-singlet state to $1/6$ for the color-octet state:

$$U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(i)}(r, T) - U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(i)}(r \to \infty, T) = C_f \frac{f_F \alpha_F e^{-\mu_F r} + f_U \alpha_U e^{-\mu_U r}}{r},$$

(72)

$$C_f = \begin{cases} -4/3 & (i = 1, \text{ color - singlet}) \\ 1/6 & (i = 2, \text{ color - octet}) \end{cases}$$

(73)

We also need the gluon-quark coupling constant $\alpha_s$ in Eq. (70) to evaluate the dissociation cross section. We shall consider the screened Coulomb potential \[\text{[77]}\] obtained in the lattice gauge theory as arising from the exchange of a virtual nonperturbative gluon and assume that the coupling of gluon to the heavy quark leading to quarkonium bound states, $f_F \alpha_F + f_U \alpha_U$, is the same coupling leading to the dissociation of the quarkonium. The $J/\psi$ dissociation cross section can then be calculated using Eq. \[\text{[67]}\]. The results of the $J/\psi$ dissociation cross section for different temperatures are shown in Fig. 13. The cross section increases up to a maximum value and decreases as the gluon...
energy increases. As the temperature decreases the maximum height of the dissociation cross section increases, but the width of the cross section decreases. We shall limit our attention to the dissociation of \( J/\psi \) by the absorption of an \( E1 \) radiation in the present analysis. When the dissociation threshold decreases, higher multipole dissociation may become important. It will be of interest to study dissociation arising from gluon radiation of higher multipolarity in future work.

If we place a \( J/\psi \) in a quark-gluon plasma at a temperature \( T \), the average \( E1 \) dissociation cross section is

\[
\langle \sigma_{E1 \text{dis}} \rangle = \frac{g_g}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \sigma_{E1 \text{dis}}(p) \frac{p^2 dp}{ep/T - 1} n_g,
\]

(74)

where \( g_g = 16 \) is the gluon degeneracy and \( n_g \) is the gluon density,

\[
n_g = \frac{g_g}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{ep/T - 1}.
\]

(75)

Using the energy dependence of the dissociation cross section as given in Fig. 13, we can calculate the average dissociation cross section \( \langle \sigma_{E1 \text{dis}} \rangle \). From \( \langle \sigma_{E1 \text{dis}} \rangle \), we obtain the rate of \( J/\psi \) dissociation (by \( E1 \) transition) given by

\[
\frac{dn_{J/\psi}}{dt} = -n_g \langle \sigma_{E1 \text{dis}} \rangle.
\]

(76)

This dissociation rate leads to the collisional dissociation width \( \Gamma_{E1} \) due to the absorption of \( E1 \) gluon radiation,

\[
\Gamma_{E1} = n_g \langle \sigma_{E1 \text{dis}} \rangle.
\]

(77)

We show in Fig. 14 the temperature dependence of \( \langle \sigma_{E1 \text{dis}} \rangle \) and \( \Gamma_{E1} \). One observes that the average cross section is in the range of 0.2-0.8 mb. The collisional dissociation width due to \( E1 \) gluon absorption is of the order of 0.05-0.11 GeV, and the mean life of \( J/\psi \) in the quark-gluon plasma due to the absorption of gluons to the 1P state is therefore of order 2-4 fm/c.

### XIII. \( J/\psi \) production by the collision of \( c \) and \( \bar{c} \) in QGP

In high-energy nuclear collisions, elementary nucleon-nucleon collisions lead to the production of open heavy quark mesons. Although the probability for such a production is small for a single nucleon-nucleon collision, there are many
nucleon-nucleon collisions in a central nucleus-nucleus collision. The large number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions can produce many pairs of open charm mesons, and these open charm mesons can recombine to produce J/ψ. It is of interest to estimate the elementary reaction cross section for c + c → J/ψ + g and obtain the rate of J/ψ production in a nucleus-nucleus collision.

The reaction c + c → J/ψ + g is just the inverse of g + J/ψ → c + c. Their cross sections are therefore related by

\[ \sigma_{E1}(c + c → J/ψ + g) = \left( \frac{|p_1|^2}{|p_3|^2} \right) \sigma_{E1}(J/ψ + g → c + c), \]

where \( p_1 \) is the momentum of one of the particles in the \( J/ψ + g \) system, and \( p_3 \) is the momentum of one of the particles in the \( c + c \) system, both measured in the center-of-mass frame. With Eq. (78) and the results of \( \sigma_{E1}(J/ψ + g → c + c) \) in Fig. 13, the production cross section \( \sigma_{E1}(c + c → J/ψ + g) \) can be calculated. The cross section as a function of the kinetic energy of \( c \) and \( c \) in the center-of-mass system is shown in Fig. 15. One observes that the cross section peaks at low kinetic energies near the threshold, and the magnitude of the cross section decreases with temperature. The maximum cross section at \( T/T_c = 1.13 \) is of order 0.7 mb.

The rate of J/ψ production can be obtained when the momentum distribution \( f(y, p_c) \) of the produced c and \( c \) is known. For simplicity, we consider charm quarks and antiquarks to be contained in a spatial volume \( V \) with a uniform distribution. The probability of producing a \( J/ψ \) in the volume \( V \) per unit time by the collision of charm quark and antiquark is \( \sigma(c + c → J/ψ + g) v_{12}/V \), where \( v_{12} \) is the relative velocity between \( c \) and \( c \). The number of \( J/ψ \) produced per unit time from collision of \( c \) and \( c \) is therefore

\[ \frac{dN_{J/ψ}}{dt} = \int dy_1 dp_{11} dy_2 dp_{21} f(y_1, p_{11}) f(y_2, p_{21}) \sigma(c + c → J/ψ + g) v_{12}/V. \]

When we neglect initial- and final-state interactions, the momentum distribution of charm is given by

\[ f(y, p_c) = N_{bin} \frac{EdN_{pp}^{cc}}{dp}. \]

Here \( f(y, p_c) \) is normalized to the total number of charm quarks \( N_c \) and antiquarks \( N_{c} \) produced in the nucleus-nucleus collision, \( N_c = N_{c} = \int (dp/E) f(y, p_c) = N_{bin} N_{pp}^{cc} \), \( N_{bin} \) is the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions, and \( N_{pp}^{cc} \) is the number of \( cc \) pair produced in a single nucleon-nucleon collision. From the charm production data in \( d-Au \) collisions and PYTHIA calculations, Tai et al. [93], Adams et al. [94], and the STAR Collaboration inferred that at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 \) GeV the charm production cross section per nucleon-nucleon collision is \( \sigma_{cc}^{pp}|_{\text{STAR}} = 1.18 \pm 0.21 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.39 \text{(sys)} \)
FIG. 15: Cross section for the production of $J/\psi$ by the collision of $c$ and $\bar{c}$

mb [93] and $\sigma_{pp}^{c\bar{c}}|_{\text{STAR}} = 1.40 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.4$ mb [94]. If one uses the transverse momentum distribution measured and parametrized by Tai et al. [93] and assumes a Gaussian rapidity distribution, the charm momentum distribution of Adams et al. [94] per nucleon-nucleon collision can be represented by

$$
\frac{EdN_{c\bar{c}}^{pp}(\text{PYTHIA(STAR)})}{dp} = \frac{Ed\sigma_{c\bar{c}}^{pp}|_{\text{STAR}}}{\sigma_{in} dp} = A e^{-y^2/2\sigma_y^2} \left(1 + p_t/p_{t0}\right)^n,
$$

(81)

where $\sigma_{in} = 42$ mb is the nucleon-nucleon inelastic cross section, $A = 4.4 \times 10^{-3}$ (GeV$^{-2}$), $\sigma_y = 1.84$, $p_{t0} = 3.5$ GeV, and $n = 8.3$. The number of $c\bar{c}$ produced per nucleon-nucleon collision is $N_{c\bar{c}}|_{\text{STAR}} = 1.4$ mb/42 mb = 0.033 $\pm$ 0.0107.

The PHENIX Collaboration obtained $\sigma_{pp}^{c\bar{c}}|_{\text{PHENIX}} = 622 \pm 57\text{ (stat)} \pm 160\text{ (sys)} \mu$b [95] for the open charm production cross section per nucleon-nucleon collision at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV, and $N_{c\bar{c}}|_{\text{PHENIX}} = 0.0148 \pm 0.004$. With this total charm production cross section, the theoretical results from the PYTHIA calculations of the PHENIX Collaboration can be parametrized as [95, 96]

$$
\frac{EdN_{c\bar{c}}^{pp}(\text{PYTHIA(PHENIX)})}{dp} = A' e^{-y^2/2\sigma_y'^2} \left(1 + p_t/p_{t0}'\right)^{n'},
$$

(82)

where $A' = 6.48 \times 10^{-4}$ (GeV$^{-2}$), $\sigma_y' = 1.85$, $p_{t0}' = 5.06$ GeV, and $n' = 7.0$.

We shall focus attention to central Au-Au collisions within the most inelastic 10% of the reaction cross section. The average number of binary collision $N_{\text{bin}}$ for these central Au-Au collisions at RHIC is $N_{\text{bin}} = 833$. For these central nucleus-nucleus collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV, the average number of $c$ and $\bar{c}$ produced is $N_c = N_{\bar{c}} = 27.8 \pm 8.9$ if we use the cross section of the STAR Collaboration [94], and $N_c = N_{\bar{c}} = 12.34 \pm 3.4$ if we use the cross section of the PHENIX Collaboration [95]. The rate of $J/\psi$ production can then be obtained from Eqs. (81) and (82) by carrying out the six-fold integration.

In Fig. 16 we show the quantity $VdN_{J/\psi}/dt$ as a function of temperature for the most inelastic (10%) central Au-Au collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. The estimate of the rate of $J/\psi$ production, using the momentum distribution of Eq. (81) from the PYTHIA calculations of the STAR Collaboration [94], is greater than the corresponding estimate, using the momentum distribution of Eq. (82) from the PYTHIA calculations of the PHENIX Collaboration [95, 96], by a factor of about 10. This factor is larger than the factor of 2.25 of the nucleon-nucleon $c\bar{c}$ production cross section of the STAR Collaboration, relative to the corresponding cross section of the PHENIX Collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. The large difference of these two factors arises because the charm momentum distribution from the PYTHIA calculations of the STAR Collaboration has a greater magnitude at low $p_T$, and $c$ and $\bar{c}$ recombine more readily at low relative energies, as indicated in Fig. 16.
FIG. 16: The rate of $J/\psi$ production as a function of temperature, for the most inelastic (10%) central Au-Au collision at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. Curve A is based on the charm momentum distribution of Eq. (81) using the PYTHIA calculations of the STAR Collaboration [94], and Curve B is based on the charm momentum distribution of Eq. (82) using the PYTHIA calculations of the PHENIX Collaboration [94, 96].

We can illustrate the magnitude of the rate of $J/\psi$ production by considering a Au-Au central collision with a transverse area of $\pi (7 \, \text{fm})^2$ and a longitudinal initial time (and longitudinal length) of 1 fm. The initial volume containing the charm quarks and antiquarks is about $150 \, \text{fm}^3$. If the initial temperature is $1.4 \, T_c$, the initial rate of $J/\psi$ production will be about $3 \times 10^{-4}$ to $3 \times 10^{-3} \, \text{fm}/\text{c}$. As the volume expands, the temperature decreases. The results of Fig. 17 can be used to provide an estimate of the rate of $J/\psi$ production.

XIV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

We use the color-singlet free energy $F_1$ and internal energy $U_1$ obtained by Kaczmarek et al. [22] in quenched QCD to study the energy levels of charmonium and bottomium above the phase transition temperature. From a variational principle in a schematic model, we find that the $Q\bar{Q}$ potential involves only the $Q\bar{Q}$ internal energy $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}$, which can be obtained from the total $U_1$ by subtracting the gluon internal energy contributions. We carry out this subtraction using the local energy-density approximation in which the gluon energy density can be related to the local gluon pressure by the quark-gluon plasma equation of state. We find that the $Q\bar{Q}$ potential is $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)} = 3F_1/(3 + a) + aU_1/(3 + a)$, where $a = 3p/\epsilon$ is given by the quark-gluon plasma equation of state. Such a $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}$ potential leads to weakly bound $J/\psi$ and $\eta_b$ at temperatures above the phase transition temperature and they become unbound at $1.62T_c$. The $\chi_c$, $\eta'_c$ and $\psi'$ states are found to be unbound in the quark-gluon plasma. In this potential model, $\Upsilon$, $\eta_b$, $\Upsilon'$, $\eta'_b$ and $\chi_b$ are bound at temperatures above $T_c$ and $\Upsilon$ and $\eta_b$ dissociate spontaneously at $4.1T_c$, $\chi_b$ at $1.18T_c$ and $\Upsilon'$, and $\eta'_b$ at $1.38T_c$.

The results from the $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}$ potential need to be tested against results from spectral function analysis. For completeness, we have also calculated heavy quarkonium binding energies using the free energy $F_1$ [25, 26, 27, 28] and the internal energy $U_1$ as the potential [8, 29, 30].

The comparison shows that different models give very different heavy quarkonium binding energies. The potential that agrees best with results obtained from spectral function analysis is the $U_{Q\bar{Q}}^{(1)}$ potential deduced in the present analysis. The agreement with spectral function analysis and the theoretical foundations presented here provide support
for the use of $U_{QQ}^{(1)}$ as the proper $Q$-$\bar Q$ potential in heavy quarkonium studies. Conversely, the agreement also lends support to the quantitative features concerning the stability of heavy quarkonia in the spectral function analyses of Asakawa et al. [310] and Petreczky et al. [11,12,13].

The spectral function analysis for the bottomonium states has not yet been carried out. As the predications for the dissociation temperatures for bottomonium states are quite different from different potential models, it will be of great interest to calculate the bottomium dissociation temperatures in lattice gauge spectral function analysis so as to test the potential models further.

In a nucleus-nucleus collision, charm quarks and antiquarks are produced in hard-scattering processes in nucleon-nucleon collisions. During the time of a central nuclear reaction, these heavy quarks and antiquarks will be present in the quark-gluon plasma and can interact to form $J/\psi$. We have calculated the cross section for $J/\psi$ production by the collision of charm quark and antiquark. The cross section is energy dependent, and the maximum cross section increases as the temperature decreases. The production cross section can be used to study the rate of $J/\psi$ production in nucleus-nucleus collisions.

We have carried out the investigation using the quenched QCD. It will be of interest to carry out similar investigations using unquenched QCD. Results of the full QCD in two flavors [70] and in three flavors [97] have been obtained recently, and an investigation on $J/\psi$ dissociation temperatures in QCD with two flavors has been initiated [11]. A thorough study of how the dynamical quarks will affect the stability, the dissociation, and the inverse production of heavy quarkonium will be of great interest.

It is necessary to emphasize that the present $Q$-$\bar Q$ potential $U_{QQ}^{(1)}$ of Eq. (43) extracted from $F_1$ and $U_1$ has been obtained in the local energy-density approximation. It would be of great interest in future lattice gauge calculations to evaluate the $U_{QQ}^{(1)}(r)$ directly to check the validity of the local energy-density approximation.

The color-singlet correlator of the Polyakov lines in Eq. (1) is not gauge invariant. It has been suggested that one can dress the Polyakov lines to make a gauge invariant definition of the color singlet potential. The dressing of the source may be viewed as a gauge transformation and is equivalent to the choice of a certain gauge [98] with the requirement that the gauge-fixed Polyakov loop correlation function in the singlet channel falls off with gauge-invariant eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian. This requirement may be satisfied for the Coulomb gauge and other time-local gauges. Recent calculations by Belavin et al. [99] show however that the color singlet potential depends on the choice of the gauges even among these time-local gauges. It has been found that at finite temperatures all channels receive contributions only from the color-singlet channel. The extraction of the color-singlet potential from the "color-singlet" Polyakov correlator of Eq. (1) may include additional $r$ and/or $T$ dependence which is not shared by the physical states [100]. Clearly, much work remains to be carried out to clarify the proper color-singlet potential in lattice gauge calculations.
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APPENDIX A: SPACE-LIKE AREA LAW AND THE CORRELATION OF GAUGE FIELDS

We focus our attention on the $x-y$ plane so that the $z$ coordinate can be omitted and consider a loop integral $\oint_L A_i dx^i$ along the loop $L$ defined by $(0,0) \rightarrow (L_x,0) \rightarrow (L_x,L_y) \rightarrow (0,L_y) \rightarrow (0,0)$. The integral around this loop of area $L_x L_y$ is given approximately by

$$\oint_L A_i dx^i = A_x(L_x/2,0)L_x + A_y(L_x,L_y)L_y - A_x(L_x/2,L_y)L_y - A_y(0,L_y/2)L_y.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

We can write the right hand side in the form

$$\oint_L A_i dx^i = c L_x L_y,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

where

$$c = \frac{A_x(L_x/2,0) - A_x(L_x/2,L_y)}{L_y} - \frac{A_y(L_x,L_y/2) - A_y(0,L_y/2)}{L_x}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)
If the gauge fields $A$ at different field points are correlated by a correlation length $\xi$ such that for two points $r_>$ and $r_<$ where $|A_i(r_>)| > |A_i(r_<)|$ and

$$A_i(r_<) = A_i(r_>)e^{-|r_> - r_<|/\xi}, \quad (A4)$$

then we have

$$A_x(L_x/2,0) - A_x(L_x/2, L_y) = \begin{cases} 
(1 - e^{-L_y/\xi})A_x(L_x/2,0)/L_y & \text{if } A_x(L_x/2,0) > A_x(L_x/2, L_y), \\
(e^{-L_y/\xi} - 1)A_x(L_x/2, L_y)/L_y & \text{if } A_x(L_x/2,0) < A_x(L_x/2, L_y). 
\end{cases} \quad (A5)$$

The second term in Eq. $A3$ can be similarly evaluated. In the case of a correlation length $\xi$ that is large compared with the loop lengths $L_x$ and $L_y$, the quantity $c$ in Eq. $A2$ can be evaluated and we obtain the area law

$$\oint_L A_i dx^i = \frac{1}{\xi}(A_x^> - A_y^>)L_xL_y, \quad (A6)$$

where

$$A_x^> = \begin{cases} 
A_x(L_x/2,0) & \text{if } A_x(L_x/2,0) > A_x(L_x/2, L_y), \\
-A_x(L_x/2, L_y) & \text{if } A_x(L_x/2,0) < A_x(L_x/2, L_y),
\end{cases} \quad (A7)$$

and

$$A_y^> = \begin{cases} 
A_y(0, L_y/2) & \text{if } A_y(0, L_y/2) > A_y(L_x, L_y/2), \\
-A_y(0, L_y) & \text{if } A_y(0, L_y/2) < A_y(L_x, L_y/2). 
\end{cases} \quad (A8)$$

Eq. $A6$ shows that if space-like gauge fields at different points are correlated by Eq. $A4$ with a large correlation length, the integral of the gauge fields along a space-like Polyakov loop will satisfy an area law.
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