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Abstract
Medical simulation, especially role-playing, allows students to learn how to practice medicine and help patients without the risk of harming them. A subject, which behaviorally helps to prepare medical students for the role of the doctor is Medical Psychology. Psychology deals with behavior—human functioning in different situations and under an influence of various psychological factors. The aim of the study was to describe how first and second year medical students learn and perceive their role-playing in simulated methods used to improve communication skills. This study applied a retrospective qualitative analysis of interview performance featuring feedback from first and second year medical students and judges. In each scenario, medical students were required to provide clinical consultation to patient-actors in accordance with their knowledge of Behavioral Sciences and Applied Medical Psychology. After the exercise, all participants were instructed to write down their opinions upon their experiences. Particularly important were the self-evaluation reports regarding the emotional state and body language. The reports were contrasted with the impressions of two observing judges who are psychologists. The results reflect conclusions drawn from the thematic analyses as well as from the written remarks of self-reflection regarding the students’ performance from two independent evaluators. All of the students who took part in the scenarios that were assuming the role of a doctor reported feeling a lack of psychological competence used in communication. Most of the participants noted difficulty in delivering bad news to aggressive or demanding patients, along with cancer patients. Students over the age of 30 were more likely to handle these situations appropriately. This study offers an insight into how students studying in Poland experience challenges while acquiring proper communication skills. Notably, older medical students dealt with simulated patients better. However, these skills can be taught especially to younger medical students through dedicated training. All results and discussions allow to recommend an unambiguous simulation method suitable to the needs of future doctors in training.
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Introduction
During the first and second year of studying, medical school students focus their attention mainly on exploring anatomy and physiology, along with other subjects regarding the detailed structure and biochemistry of human functioning. An additional subject, which helps to prepare medical students for the role of the doctor, is Medical Psychology. Especially important for medical students is the psychology of those who are sick, suffering, or mentally disturbed. Psychology deals with behavior—human functioning in different situations and under an influence of various psychological factors [1]. These factors also affect the patient’s motivation to cooperate with their doctor. Various studies have shown the attitude of the doctor and the skills of empathetic listening and communication to influence the success of treatment [2]. Therefore, psychological skills have an important role in the education of medical students. The primary communication skills include making contact with the patient, conducting an interview in conditions of limited privacy, and transferring information. In
addition to different techniques of verbal and nonverbal communication, it is important for students to understand the meaning of empathy and to know how to listen actively [3–5]. Psychology classes, which are conducted in most medical schools, enable students to assume a role of the messenger while being monitored and supported by the teacher. The main goal of study and activities presented within was to assess and improve the students’ skill development while at the same time allowing them to plan and think in safe conditions how best to manage the patients’ care using their psychological resources. Additionally, the aim was to investigate the judges’ and the students’ perception of simulation-based methods related to primary psychological counseling and prevention. The simulation methods, although common in teaching Medical Aids or Basic Clinical Skills at the Medical University of Lublin, are being introduced for the first time in regard to teaching psychological skills to students of Medical Psychology. Every student was asked to role-play as a doctor and a patient in a particular arranged scenario, concerned with psychological consultations and prevention. Situations presented in the scenarios required from them to provide help to people in various health emergencies, critically ill in relation to mental and physical health along with those who were posing a threat to the physician, such as those who are aggressive, drug addicted, or mentally impaired patients. In every scenario, despite the established framework, the process happened spontaneously, according to the state of mind and actions of an individual student (actor). After each scenario, performance of the doctor-student and the patient-student was analyzed, and students were asked for feedback based on the assigned role and their own feelings.

Materials and Methods

The study applied a retrospective qualitative analysis of performance with which first and second year students were able to conduct an interview. Participants (students) were asked to take part in simulated clinical scenarios, instructed to assume the role of the physician or the patient, or a family member. The students were required to provide clinical consultation in accordance with knowledge of behavioral sciences and applied medical psychology. Students who played the roles of patients and family members were abided by the same rules as physicians, but their role was to use their understanding of medicine to rationalize why they should not receive treatment and to question the doctor.

The main content of each simulated session was not known to the students. Their performance lasted for maximally 15 min, and the sessions were video-recorded upon permission for qualitative analysis. After each scenario, each team was briefed on their performance, thoughts, and feelings related to their assigned case. Remarks featuring feedback were recorded for all participants. Qualitative analysis included understanding of student performance in the dynamically simulated scenarios. Each team was culturally diverse and scenarios included a variety of different sociocultural situations. After the exercise, students were prompted to write down brief notes about their experiences and feelings regarding their performance. Particularly important were the notes based on the self-evaluation of expressed emotions and the body language used. The notes were later juxtaposed with the impressions reported by two observing judges (two trained psychologists). Their observations allowed us to evaluate student’s performance in a given dynamic scenario and to contrast their self-perceived evaluation with expert judges. The analyses allowed us to consider how such factors as pride and leadership skills influenced decision making and self-perception from the perspective of an outside psychological observation. Thanks to the recorded scenarios, we were able to understand the students’ learning process along with their perception of themselves and their personal self-evaluations.

For the analysis, the documentation of 7 simulation acts, involving 15 first year students of medicine was used. Among the participants there were 10 men and 5 women. The students ranged in age from 21 to 28 years old and came from the USA, Poland, and Taiwan. Ethnically, three participants were Black (from the USA), one Asian (from Taiwan), and the rest were Caucasians (a mix of students coming from the USA and Europe). Simulation scenes were a part of a practical subject, “Medical Psychology.” Every participant was instructed separately regarding their role and the setting in which they had to play in the scenario. The further course of the exercise was spontaneous. After the end of the simulation, the students were asked to perform self-assessment while judges provided their own observations. Collected data were used in further analysis.

Data analysis was performed using a method of a qualitative analysis, along with the principles of grounded theory. Firstly, all written reports and remarks were collected into a single database and assessed using MaxQDA, version 12. After the database was created, two researchers independently coded text lines with unique codes, which represented a contextual representation of the main theme of the discussion line. Subsequently, the codes were combined thematically to yield main topic points. Reported results reflect conclusions drawn from the thematic analyses as well as from the remarks on self-reflection of the students’ performance and evaluation from two independent judges.

Results

The results suggested that all students which took part in the scenarios, from the role of the doctor, reported feeling that they were lacking practical communication skills in terms of psychological competence. Most of the students demonstrated conversational difficulties when it came to appropriate word
use, content of speech, or the appropriate form on information used in trauma scenarios.

At that point, an interesting question was posed, mainly: what was responsible for the inability to communicate effectively, a lack of language skills, shyness, over-appreciation of clinical skill over communication ones, or other factors? As evaluated self-reported data shows, students often exhibited narcissistic traits which might have prompted them to falsely believe that basic medical knowledge should be prioritized in the context of medical care. Many described their own performance as highly proficient and informative, which was in disagreement when compared to the reports of the psychologist evaluators. This case appears to be similar to those described by the existing literature that consistently show that medical professionals prioritize medical knowledge over communication skills. The results have further ramifications for the ongoing debate about how medical students should learn to deliver medical knowledge to people.

In addition, the most noted difficulty was caused by an inability to transfer bad news to simulated patients (cases 1, 2, 3). While students noted that they had difficulty dealing with patients, who became frantically frustrated with their diagnosis, not a single participant offered a clue as to why they failed at delivering the bad news. Psychologist evaluators have found that students started performing poorly in transferring bad information to their stimulated patients as soon as the patient raised their voice, often inviting family members to join the conversation. With more people present in the room, the participants typically became confused as to who they were talking to regarding the diagnosis.

Students reported fear and anxiety associated with scenarios that required them to perform consultations at the patient’s home. The main reason stated by the participants was an inability to feel comfortable while being in an unfamiliar place, e.g., previously mentioned house in which the patient lives.

In case of contact with an aggressive or demanding patient, or providing information about the irreversible damage to the body, students struggled tremendously. All of the participants did not know how to handle the situation as soon as two or more students acting as the patient and family members expressed resentment and disapproval for their advice. Questioning the doctor’s training almost always instantly constrained the doctor’s ability to continue the conversation with the patient. Questions about the quality of medical education were particularly hurtful. Students reported in their remarks that as soon as the patient questioned their authority and knowledge, they felt violated and unjustifiably attacked. There was no evidence of students reflecting on the principle of not taking patients, who were questioning their level of competence serious. Therefore, basic clinical preparation through behavioral science training may be insufficient to prepare students that are going to face disrespectful patients.

Difficulties in understanding the needs of a given patient accompanied the students especially in situations of confrontation with patients from different races or cultures, who may express emotions differently (cases 2, 5, 6). Also, it is important to note that there was difficulty involved in terms of conducting a full medical history review and providing a prognosis for treatment of children and the mentally ill patients (cases 4 and 5).

Maturity mattered as well—the older the student was, the more conscientious he or she was about acting the role of the physician. What was worth noting, they also listened attentively along with collecting notes throughout the interviews whereas their junior counterparts appeared to be less prone apt at these skills.

Interestingly, Black students reported feeling the obligation to play an assertive doctor. They claimed that the cultural perceptions towards Black people influenced their tendency towards louder verbalizations and more unrestricted body postures during the scenarios. Another interesting finding was that the students from Asian countries actually reported a difficulty with expressing their emotions. These students noted that they never thought that the doctor should be good with expressing feelings since body language does not affect the efficiency of a good doctor; however, they did acknowledge that good clinical judgment does.

Feedback from all of the participants indicates that cultural background and educational background largely influenced their ability to show openness, good communication skills, and signify respect for traditional expressions of emotions. Without a doubt, mastery of the language matters too; some students did better with choosing appropriate words than others, which reflected their educational background and influenced execution of the task.

Contact with the patients from different ethnicities was perceived as difficult, even though the students recognized that the simulated patients were their classmates playing the roles. Intrinsic racial beliefs inevitably influence perceptions about others, not only in real-life situations, but also in simulated scenarios. Discouragement expressed by patients during conversations generally resulted in negative emotions and aroused a misunderstanding between the physician and the patient.

Most of the students reported feeling tired after partaking in two scenarios. Furthermore, all participants expressed frustration over their colleagues which were difficult to cooperate with. Not always, however, students were able to learn from their experiences gained during the scenarios, which was confirmed by the self-reflection which was confirmed by the self-reflection provided by the participants written remarks (Table 1).

Discussion

Specialists of medical education agree that health professionals require a high level of empathy and communication
Table 1  Description of scenes involving students in the role of doctor and patient

| Case  | Age  | Gender | Ethnicity | Type of scenario | Goal                                                  | Task                                                                 | Course                                                                 | Feedback from the student | Feedback from the teacher |
|------|------|--------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1/Doctor | 25  | M      | AM/White  | Doctor's office, consultation | To provide information about terminal illness/show understanding and support | Initiating the conversation, use verbal and non-verbal communication techniques, giving support, answering patient's tough questions, closing the session | The doctor has been previously instructed, but the course of the session is developing spontaneously. Student/doctor tries to present the result of a routine examination (the neoplastic tumor) to the unaware patient. | Huge difficulties in imagining and empathizing the situation of the patient, selecting proper words. What should one do when the patient gets aggressive or emotional? Should I tell him the truth, that he deserved cancer by smoking? | Empathy and silent listening to the patient gives more than arguing. The sick person has the right to be claiming and criticizing. Doctor must be aware that he is not the actual aim of the patient's attack. |
| Patient | 25  | M      | AM/Black  | Doctor's office, consultation | The role of a young patient finding out about him being terminally ill | Visualizing the patient's situation attempting to play the role of the one surprised with information about the illness. | The patient is surprised, speaks with the raised voice, starts crying, cannot believe it, attacks the doctor verbally. | “I felt aggrieved and disappointed. I had the impression that the doctor did not understand me.” | An exercise for every student: please consider in what way would you like to receive the message/news about your terminal illness? |
| 2/Doctor | 24  | M      | AM/White  | Doctor's office, the doctor's conversation with a patient—woman in her first pregnancy, whose fetal screening examination results reveals a baby having Down syndrome | To provide the mother and the father with information about the irreversible damage of their first child | Beginning the conversation, use of verbal and non-verbal communication techniques, giving support, answering tough questions, closing the session | The student/doctor has been informed in advance, but the course of the meeting is developing spontaneously. Student/doctor is trying to inform the future parents about screening examination results showing the fetus with Down Syndrome. The doctor has to face the obscene behavior of the husband, and at the same time answer the future mother's questions. | “I am feeling attacked for no reason at all. I have no idea, how to pass such news. Is that a good idea to inform the parents about their right to abortion?” | In this case, we need to show great empathy with the woman. She needs time to acknowledge the message. One ought not to use words like: There is nothing we can do, etc. Remember that she is expecting the child, not a fetus and she probably already love it. Try to explain to her what kind of medical, educational, and developmental chances the child may have in the future. If you mention the right to abortion, the psychological aspects of that decision have to be explained to the mother. Reactions of the mother may vary from emotional shock to loud, hysterical crying. Doctor should give her time, wait, reserve enough time for the meeting. The most important is to never take away the hope from the parents. |
| Patient mother | 23  | F      | Asian     | Doctor's office, the doctor's conversation with a patient—woman in her first pregnancy, whose fetal screening examination results reveals a baby having Down syndrome | The role of a young woman, which gets to know about the genetic defects of her first, unborn child. | Encompassing the situation of the surprised and horrified mother. | The woman shows surprise, she covers her face with her hands, does not believe, asks the doctor many questions: "What now? Are you sure?" | “It's really difficult to show the true feelings at such moment. What can she feel? Apathy, shock, no further chances for the happy motherhood?” | This can also be a reaction of the father. Doctor should explain the... |
| Father | 25  | M      | AM/Black  | The role of the father surprised with the diagnosis | Facing emotions of the father. Conducting the conversation on Father's reactions are aggressive. He stands up, sits down, | "How is it possible? Is there something is wrong with my..." | | | |
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| Case | Age | Gender | Ethnicity | Type of scenario | Goal | Task | Course | Feedback from the student | Feedback from the teacher |
|------|-----|--------|-----------|------------------|------|------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 3/Doctor 21 M | PL/White | An Intensive Care Unit, a patient wakes up after several hours of coma, after an accident in which he lost both legs. | Establish contact with the patient, who is unaware of what happened. He does not remember the accident and has no idea where he is now. He should be informed about the situation and his disability. | The doctor gently approaches the patient and introduces himself. Firstly, he explains what have happened and then explains the problem of the patient. | waves hands, speaks to the doctor with his voice raised, asks: “Who are you? What kind of a doctor are you? What kind of nonsense are you saying?” | Feedback from the student: | “I’m sorry, everyone has healthy children, but I fathered a Down?” |
| Patient 22 M | PL/White | The Intensive Care Unit, a patient wakes up after several hours of coma, after an accident in which he lost both legs. | The role of a shocked patient, who is in pain and has no idea where he is or what happened. He is a young athlete. | An attempt to step into the mental world of someone who turned from the young sprinter into a disabled man in 1 s and is informed by the doctor immediately after waking up. | Examining the terrified kid. | “I don’t know how to initiate the talk and what is going to happen next. When actually should I say the worst news, that he lost both legs above the knees?” | Not everything should be said in the beginning. Check how the patient responds to every part of the following story: “it was an accident, you has been injured, how are you now? Do you remember your name? Do you recall anything from the accident?” |
| 4/Doctor 22 M | AM/White | The pediatric emergency room. A 5-year-old child suffering from a strong stomach ache and vomiting. The kid is really afraid of being examined. The child is crying and screaming. | Establish contact with the child, who is a difficult patient. The child is feeling both fear and pain. | The doctor tries to initiate a contact with the child who is crying. The kid hides his face in his hands, does not respond to doctor’s questions. Doctor tries to approach him from different sides and initiate the dialog. After some time, the child allows to | “I am really tired, I give up. The kid is stubborn and doesn’t understand anything, what should I do?” | Cooperation with a child is based on fun. One should adjust the language and distract the child from the pain and discomfort it feels. Toys and gadgets can be useful. The doctor should be calm, due to the fact that children are sensitive to the nervous behavior, getting irritated if the | |
Table 1 (continued)

| Case | Age | Gender | Ethnicity | Type of scenario | Goal | Task | Course | Feedback from the student | Feedback from the teacher |
|------|-----|--------|-----------|------------------|------|------|--------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Patient 5/Doctor | 24 | F | AM/White | Doctor's office during the night shift in a psychiatric hospital. The doctor on duty is being called to a patient suffering from a strong stomach pain. | To interview the patient suffering from psychosis with the sudden, severe stomach pain. | Initiate the dialog, interview, and examine the hyperactive patient. | The doctor tries to convince an aggressive patient to be allowed to talk to him. The patient does not maintain eye contact, briefly answers the questions, and rejects a request to be touched. After a while, the doctor gives up and calls another doctor from another department. | “I'm annoyed. They woke me up in the middle of the night to help someone, who does not even bother with answering to my questions. I am afraid of such patients, what should I do? I give up.” | In cases of psychiatric patients with unpredictable behavior, it is good to be assisted by male nurses. Giving up in such cases is not a professional failure; instead, it might be a strategy. Calling a male doctor, and even black, increases the chance of a patient feeling safe. |
| Patient 6/Doctor | 25 | F | AM/UKR/Jew | Family doctor's office. A patient, who abuses alcohol comes to receive the medical tests results, performed because of his stomach ache. | To interview the patient who is probably addicted to alcohol. Convince him not to be ashamed of the disorder. | Initiate the session, proceed with the interview, and convince the patient to the treatment at the addiction specialist. | Doctor tries to determine how often the patient drinks alcohol. She realizes how serious the situation of the patient is and tries to explain him the “I understand it's difficult for the patient, but if he won't give up drinking, his liver will break into pieces... it is definitely his fault.” | In a case of addictions, it is worth to study the cultural context of the patient's place of origin. In Eastern Europe, alcohol drinking is a part of important social behaviors. |
Addicted people constantly try to protect their personality from the fact of being dependent from any substance. Accepting it as a fact is the first step to abstinence and health. Doctor should also inform the patient about the outcomes of further alcohol intake.

The yellowish hue of the skin and eyeballs suggests liver problems.

Doctor suspects alcohol addiction. The tests show the severe liver disease.

The psychotherapy, and quit drinking. Doctor should also inform the patient about the outcomes of further alcohol intake.

medical tests results. The conversation is difficult. The doctor has an impression that the patient is not aware of how severe the liver damage is. He does not seem to notice the relation between the damage and alcohol.

Patient 26 M AM/PL/White

Family doctor’s office. A patient, who abuses alcohol, comes to receive the medical test results, performed because of his stomach ache. The yellowish hue of the skin and eyeballs suggests liver problems. Doctor suspects alcohol addiction. The tests show the severe liver disease.

Role of a patient. The doctor does not have full influence on patient’s decisions, even in such situations. People drink during family and social occasions, but admitting to be addicted is connected with a feeling of shame, perceived as a sign of degeneration. That is why breaking such news must be always done gently and sensitively. One should not inform the patient about his addiction being the main source of problems with his liver. In such case, the patient might go on an alcohol bender.

Addicted people constantly try to protect their personality from the fact of being dependent from any substance. Accepting it as a fact is the first step to abstinence and health. Doctor should emphasize the fact, that there are many advantages of not drinking more than others. It must be the reason for that ache. I am not addicted. It would be shame! I am not going to do anything with this! What would my friends say if I went to a psychologist for help! Besides, if I stop drinking with my friends, I’ll be alone...

Patient was expecting a short visit during which he would be given a receipt for the medicine for stomach ache. If he did not feel pain, he would never come. He is surprised and impatient.

“I am here because of pain, but she is accusing me of being an alcoholic. I don’t drink more than others, it must be the reason for that ache. I am not addicted. It would be shame! I am not going to do anything with this! What would my friends say if I went to a psychologist for help! Besides, if I stop drinking with my friends, I’ll be alone...

7/Doctor 28 M AM/UKR

Doctor’s office. The doctor speaks with a patient, who is a famous top model, about the diagnosis of breast cancer. Due to late recognition, the disease is in a high stage of development.

Introduce information about the test results and convince her to start the surgical treatment and chemotherapy immediately.

Start a conversation with the patient about the oncological treatment; try to convince her to pause her professional life.

Doctor starts the conversation by informing the patient about her health state. He indicates the problem to be very severe and demanding immediate surgical and oncological intervention.

“It is obvious that health is more important than career. Thinking differently is irrational. I am shocked by the fact that in such circumstances she says she has enough time.”

Doctor does not have full influence on patient’s decisions, even in such situations. Sometimes, despite the great effort put in persuading the patient, there is no chance of convincing the patient to start the treatment. The awareness of doctor’s limitations helps to avoid the feeling of helplessness and quick burn out syndrome. Not everyone sets their health as a top priority. People are not always able to imagine the possible outcomes of health neglect. If people

Patient 25 F AM/PL

Doctor’s office. The doctor speaks with a patient, who is a famous top model, about the

The patient feels good and she is at the top of her career. The information about the test results and the oncological treatment is a big shock for her.

To assume a role of the patient in displacement of the information about her health state.

Patient does not believe the doctor. She thinks there is enough time for medical intervention. At that

“I’ve been working for my success for a long time. I’m not going to stop while being so close to the...
skills in order to be efficient in relation to future patients [6–9]. How can we improve the communication skills training program? The simulation methods can be as effective as traditional contact with a live patient, at the beginning of the medical course in particular [10]. Medical students must be aware of their limitations, especially when it comes to communication and psychological coping. Scenarios which students participated in and reflected on in the form of written remarks indicated that their biggest fear is the inability to distance themselves from their professional duties. Role-playing verifies the sense of self and humility of being a future doctor [11, 12]. Not every patient needs a doctor that has an authoritative demeanor. Instead, however, they may prefer cooperative style, which helps in treating difficult cases.

If doctors conducting clinical classes are not able to prepare young physicians as competent practitioners, who will know how to maturely use their emotions and communication skills to understand the patient? For students having problems with communication, it may be necessary to perform an assessment with psychological tests on communication and personality to individually tailor the simulation-based practice scenarios in order to improve their performance. In addition, one should strongly emphasize the necessity of classroom simulated “doctor-patient” communication being conducted by a psychologist earlier than any clinical classes with a live patient [13].

Following the comments of judges, we see that students should be also taught more about verbal and nonverbal communication. The conclusion is that less important is the content of what you say, but instead how you say it [14]. Medical students should be trained on how to make verbal and non-verbal communication more consistent. Six main emotions that are indicated through mimic expressions in a similar manner are anger, sadness, surprise, happiness, disgust, and fear. The most reliable are the eyes, for their expressions are physiologically dependent and are not subject to conscious control of man. Subconsciously, we realize that the eyes usually reveal our true intentions. Therefore, one of the main symptoms of lying is avoiding eye contact. Another facial element, which is often manipulated, is the mouth. Facial expressions are ambiguous, e.g., a smile can mean joy, embarrassment, ridicule, or contempt. Patients are attentively watching the doctor’s face during an interview and waiting for facial expressions even more than for verbal communication, especially during announcing bad news [15, 16]. A good doctor should also know how to interpret human emotions. Among the most serious mistakes made by the untrained in the study of body language is to interpret individual gestures in isolation from other gestures or circumstances. Listening about the patient’s illness (and remembering about the fact that their history is very subjective), doctors should follow their narration entirely—including verbal and non-verbal communication [15, 16]. There is a variety of communication skills that

| Case | Age | Gender | Ethnicity | Type of scenario | Goal | Task | Feedback from the student | Feedback from the teacher |
|------|-----|--------|-----------|------------------|------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. A twenty-year-old woman was able to predict her own death. She was not able to predict her own death. She needed a live patient. She needed a live patient. |
| 2. A forty-two-year-old man was able to predict his own death. He needed a live patient. He needed a live patient. |
| Case no. | Verbal communication | Nonverbal communication | Tone of voice | Body position | Distance | Conclusion |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|------------|
| 1/Terminal disease | Too many words, a little bit too fast | Reaching the point of conclusion too quickly, a little bit too much sophisticated language | Eye contact and mimciry correct/ matched | Gesticulation toned | Adjusted | Correct/appropriate | Appropriate | Correct, without crossing the line of intimacy | Pay attention to the speaking speed and word selection. You should speak slowly and choose language adequate to the situation |
| 2/Pregnancy with Down Syndrome | Appropriate choice of words | Message clear and simple | Reduction of doctor’s emotional tension | Body language too strong | At some moments, too high, inappropriate | Emotional detectable tension | Many involuntary movements | Even when patient stands up, the doctor should stay seated | Too much body movement | Too variable |
| 3/Patient after accident | Appropriate | Correctly | Calm and empathic | Consistent voice message | Matching context | Appropriate | Appropriate position to the patient’s trauma | Correct | Appropriate | Correct |
| 4/A child in the emergency room | “Too mature language” | A little bit too formal and serious | Restlessness, impatience | Discouragement, no smile | Causes anxiety | The pitch inappropriate at some moments | Does not look down upon the child | Better to squat in front of the child | Too variable, nervous | Doctor changes body position and shortens distance too quickly | Talking to the child, only using children’s language, creating a calm and safe atmosphere |
| 5/Psychiatric patient | Too gently, the message should be more direct and simple | Viewable fear of the patient | Gentleness and fast discouragement | Voice too quiet and withdrawn | Not adjusted to the aggressive patient | Too much body | Too variable, because of anxiety of the patient and the nurse | Too quick attempt to touch the patient | Too close, the touch without the warning is like attack | Doctor should be experienced in the contact with persons of different cultural backgrounds and races |
| 6/Addicted patient | Too formally, vague | Should express the appropriate meaning of words | Eye contact correct, body language not enough emphatic | A little bit more emphatic, patient could feel misunderstood | Correct | Appropriate | Body language and position correct | Body position more towards the patient | Too far from the patient | Too big distance is not good while speaking about difficult matters |
| 7/Top model with cancer | Correct, but too quickly came to | Language appropriate, Doctor speaks like he is | Too confident, an eye contact | A little bit too loud | Looks irritated sometimes | Too close to the patient | Looks persistent | Distance correct | Too close, can be uncomfortable for the patient | Future doctors should be aware that in |
students of medicine should be taught before practicing on live patients.

Feedback allows to take a closer look into the personal resources and limitations of a student in the role of the doctor. This opens up a possibility of deep reflection and letting the student ask themselves questions such as: “Am I strong enough mentally to perform the role of a doctor?”, “Am I able to handle the stress accompanying the profession?”, and “What are the psychological characteristics I should cultivate?”. Another advantage of this method is that it gives the possibility of cooperation between students from different countries as well as belonging to different religions. Thanks to the multicultural student community, they have the possibility of direct contact with students from completely different culture. This allows future doctors to learn how to understand religious and cultural differences and overcome their own prejudices and stereotypes, which should be eradicated from the medical profession. In addition, reacting to various social phenomena, such as violence against women and addiction among people from different cultural backgrounds, opens up opportunities to discuss these issues internationally and compare the prevalence of pathology in various parts of the world.

In the study, seven cases of students playing the role of physicians in difficult situations were psycho-medically analyzed and assessed in detail. The remarks and discussions allow for an unambiguous recommendation of a simulation method in the psychological training of future doctors-practitioners. The medical simulation and role-playing method offers a lot of possibilities to be used within medical school (Table 2).

Strengths and Limitations

The strength of the study is that it contains a comparison of self-reported student opinions about their performances during specific scenarios, while at the same time being compared to the opinions of two experienced psychologists. This analytical approach has allowed me to show how students often overestimate their own competence, although the expert’s assessment clearly shows the shortcomings in their preparation to work with patients, and above all, communication problems.

Grounded theory was used in the analysis of the psychological components allowing for the examination of the external aspects that affect the quality of communication between the medical student and the patient. Most of the research in the field of medical communication uses questionnaires to study how doctors and medical students communicate with their patients along with how to convey information. Unfortunately, this method of data collection did not present a complete picture of what factors affect the quality of communication. This study revealed certain elements such as that of, the type of patient (aggressive vs. silent), the setting

| Table 2 (continued) |
|----------------------|
| **Verbal communication** | **Nonverbal communication** | **Tone of voice** | **Body position** | **Distance** | **Judge 1** | **Judge 2** | **Judge 1** | **Judge 2** | **Judge 1** | **Judge 2** | **Judge 1** | **Judge 2** | **Judge 1** | **Judge 2** | **Conclusion** |
| Case no. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 
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In the study, seven cases of students playing the role of physicians in difficult situations were psycho-medically analyzed and assessed in detail. The remarks and discussions allow for an unambiguous recommendation of a simulation method in the psychological training of future doctors-practitioners. The medical simulation and role-playing method offers a lot of possibilities to be used within medical school (Table 2).

**Strengths and Limitations**

The strength of the study is that it contains a comparison of self-reported student opinions about their performances during specific scenarios, while at the same time being compared to the opinions of two experienced psychologists. This analytical approach has allowed me to show how students often overestimate their own competence, although the expert’s assessment clearly shows the shortcomings in their preparation to work with patients, and above all, communication problems.

Grounded theory was used in the analysis of the psychological components allowing for the examination of the external aspects that affect the quality of communication between the medical student and the patient. Most of the research in the field of medical communication uses questionnaires to study how doctors and medical students communicate with their patients along with how to convey information. Unfortunately, this method of data collection did not present a complete picture of what factors affect the quality of communication. This study revealed certain elements such as that of, the type of patient (aggressive vs. silent), the setting
situations (ordinary consultation vs. breaking bad news about cancer diagnosis), as well as other factors such as body language all affect the quality of the conducted consultation. In addition, this study is grounded in the understanding that the student after completing courses in psychology is actually able to reliably reflect what is learned in theory on their medical practice in the hospital.

The main limitation of this study is that it includes only a description of the experiences of one institution of learning; therefore, extrapolation of the results to the global trends is limited. Nevertheless, these experiences may help other institutions that are planning to teach applied psychology through the role-playing method.

The group of respondents is relatively small. Qualitative study, however, generally uses a group of people not counting more than 15 people. The research was based on a group of foreign students studying in Poland; therefore, we cannot create a generalization applicable to students internationally—the research group can be perceived only as a representative of students which visit our university. In order to be able to extrapolate the results, it might be required to conduct an evaluation of a bigger group of participants. Another problem may be that the research has been done on a group of students in a simulated situation where some students played the role of patients and other ones the role of doctors—its results may not fully reflect their behavior in a situation when a similar case occurs in real life. In future studies, an interesting solution would be to watch the students at work with the actual, hospitalized patients, although aspects such as ensuring patient privacy may prevent this type of testing in a clinical setting.

Conclusions

The possibility to practice psychological competencies in safe, simulated conditions enables students to better prepare themselves to enter the medical profession as practicing physicians. Diversity between ethnic groups gives students an opportunity to widen their knowledge and understanding of how diverse their patients can be in the future. Exercises in psychological skills through simulated scenarios allow future doctors to gain a better understanding of their own emotional reactions, resources, and psychological limitations when confronted with a difficult patient. What is more, the impact of our teachers and judges is very significant. The analysis of verbal, non-verbal communication, body position, and distance was very detailed and significantly contributed to the research. Thanks to the research performed, especially students’ feedback, it is now clear that students need more practical exercises in their curriculum to enhance their empathy and psychological skills for their future profession. They also require more psychological training within the medical school to help them better understand their psychological resources and limitations in cases of difficult or aggressive patients.

The manuscript presents the study and the reflections about the way of teaching medical psychology from the sides of both participants and judges. New methods are going to be developed and used to create the standards used to evaluate the communication skills of medical students, to include OSCE, that would further be used to assess the students’ level of communication and psychological skills. The Medical University of Lublin is working on introducing OSCE as a permanent way of assessing the students’ capabilities of conducting a proper doctor-patient interview.
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