Abstract

Enzymes encoded by the AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 genes are responsible for the metabolism of progesterone and 5α-dihydrotestosterone (DHT), respectively. The effect of amino acid substitutions, resulting from single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the AKR1C2 gene, on the enzyme kinetics of the AKR1C2 gene product were determined experimentally by Takashi et al. In this paper, we used homology modeling to predict and analyze the structure of AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 genetic variants. The experimental reduction in enzyme activity in the AKR1C2 variants F46Y and L172Q, as determined by Takahashi et al., is predicted to be due to increased instability in cofactor binding, caused by disruptions to the hydrogen bonds between NADP and AKR1C2, resulting from the insertion of polar residues into largely non-polar environments near the site of cofactor binding. Other AKR1C2 variants were shown to involve either conservative substitutions or changes taking place on the surface of the molecule and distant from the active site, confirming the experimental finding of Takahashi et al. that these variants do not result in any statistically significant reduction in enzyme activity. The AKR1C1 R258C variant is predicted to have no effect on enzyme activity for similar reasons. Thus, we provide further insight into the molecular mechanism of the enzyme kinetics of these proteins. Our data also highlight previously reported difficulties with online databases.

Introduction

The aldo-keto reductase superfamily includes a variety of oxidoreductases with a common dependence on NADPH for their enzyme activity[1]. In particular, the AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 genes encode two 5α-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase isoforms of the aldo-keto reductase superfamily responsible for the inactivation and formation of male and female sex hormones[2]. The enzyme encoded by the AKR1C1 gene catalyzes the transformation of progesterone into 20β-hydroxyprogesterone and thus plays a critical role in controlling plasma progesterone levels during pregnancy[3] (Figure 1). The AKR1C2 gene product stereospecifically metabolizes 5α-dihydrotestosterone (DHT) to 5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol and thus plays a critical role in regulating androgen receptor signaling in the prostate[4] (Figure 1). DHT is a key molecule in prostate cancer development and alterations in the expression of AKR1C2 have been linked to benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer[5,6].

Takahashi et al.[4] reported the effect of eleven amino acid substitutions encoded by the AKR1C2 gene on the activity of the gene product in vitro. Using the list of non-synonymous single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) provided by the AKR website (www.med.upenn.edu/akr), in turn obtained from the dbSNP database[7] at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), they created expression constructs for the wild-type (normal) and eleven variants. Subsequently, they performed a kinetic analysis to measure both enzyme activity (measured as $V_{max}$) and substrate binding (measured as $K_m$) in the reduction of DHT. A significant reduction in enzyme activity was seen in the F46Y and L172Q variants and a significant increase in substrate binding was seen in V38A, L172Q, K185E, and R258C[4].

However, not all of the constructs tested correspond to natural sequence variants (known as single nucleotide polymorphisms or SNPs). We have previously shown that as many as 8.3% of the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) recorded in the dbSNP are actually single nucleotide differences (SNDs)[8,9]. That is, they are not SNPs but are artefacts arising from the presence of a parologue (i.e., highly similar duplicated) sequence in the genome. In fact, six variants examined by Takashi et al. appear to be such artefactual SNDs.

In this paper, we used homology modeling to predict the structure of the various AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 isoforms derived from either the actual non-synonymous SNPs in the dbSNP databases or the AKR1C2 SNDs. By analyzing the local structural environment around the substituted amino acids, we were able to develop physicochemical hypotheses as to the effect of the
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substitution on enzyme activity and co-factor binding. Furthermore, we suggest further experiments that could be undertaken to confirm these findings and thus improve our understanding of the molecular basis of AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 functionality. Lastly, this paper highlights again the perils associated with some online databases.

Methods

The list of eleven non-synonymous AKR1C2 SNPs studied by Takahashi et al.[4] was compared to list provided on the AKR website (www.med.upenn.edu/akr) as well as the list of AKR1C2 SNPs and the database of SNDs prepared by Musumeci et al.[8]. Finally, all SNPs were reviewed in the current version of the dbSNP database (October 2010) to check for recent updates. All but one of the eleven (rs2518043, leading to K185E) were listed on the AKR website. All eleven were still current compared to the latest version of dbSNP, although three (rs10618, rs11474, and rs28943580) were listed as SNPs in both AKR1C2 and AKR1C1. After comparison with the SND database, only five were confirmed as legitimate SNPs (rs2854482, rs2854486, rs2518042, rs2518043, and rs28943580) while another five (rs3207898, rs3207901, rs3207905, rs13953, and rs10618) were identified as SNDs. rs11474 was not included in the SNDs tested in Musumeci et al.[8] but was confirmed to be a SND by using an analogous procedure.

All five SNPs and the six SNDs were subjected to homology modeling for theoretical comparison with the experimental data. Table 1 shows the AKR1C2 SNPs and SNDs modeled, the corresponding amino acid change, and a summary of our findings along with those of Takahashi et al.

Each variant of the AKR1C2 protein was modeled using MolIDE[10] and the following procedure. The FASTA format sequence of AKR1C2 was taken from UniProt[11] (accession number: P52895) and edited to reflect the amino acid change in the variant. The edited sequence was compared to the UniRef100 database using PSI-BLAST[12] to construct a sequence profile. The sequence profile was then used to compare the variant sequence with the Protein Data Bank (PDB)[13] to identify proteins of known structure with sequence homology to the variant. Five crystal structures of human AKR1C2 were identified with zero E-value and sequence identity of 99%. 1J96[14] was chosen as a template for homology modeling due to the presence of the testosterone substrate in the crystal structure; this being the most similar substrate in any of the potential template structures to DHT. The homology model was constructed with the position of the backbone atoms and conserved side-chains assigned according to the alignment with 1J96. The side chain for the mutated residue was built using SCWRL4[15].

The list of AKR1C1 SNPs in Musumeci et al.[8] were reviewed in the current version of the dbSNP database (October 2010) to check for updates. The three non-synonymous variants were still current and consistent with the information on the AKR website. All three were confirmed as SNDs using the SND database[8]. As noted above, the review of the AKR1C2 SNPs identified three SNPs also in AKR1C1. Of these, only one was a legitimate SNP: rs20943500 resulting in a R258C variant. The homology model for this variant was produced using the same method as described above. The UniProt sequence for AKR1C1 (accession number: Q04828) was edited to create the R258C variant. Two homologous AKR1C1 crystal structures were identified and 1MRQ[5] was chosen as a template due to the presence of the progesterone substrate in the crystal structure.

Homology models were visualized and molecular graphics images produced using the UCSF Chimera package from the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco (supported by NIH P41 RR-01081)[16]. In order to quantify the structural changes resulting from the SNPs, the wild type and the SNP variant structures were evaluated using a range of structure assessment software. The ProSA-web[17,18] z-score of the variants and the wild types structures was used to determine any change in the quality of the structure as a result of the mutation. Verify3D[19,20] was used to check for improperly built segments. The range of scores over the whole protein and individual scores for the mutated residues were compared with the wild type to identify any structural problems arising from the mutation. MolProbity[21,22] clash scores for both the whole protein and individual residues were also compared to identify structural clashes resulting from the mutations. Finally, naccess [http://www.bioinf.manchester.ac.uk/naccess/naccess.html], using the method of Lee and Richards[23] was used to quantify any changes in the absolute accessible surface area of the side chain as a result of the mutations.

Results

The list of non-synonymous AKR1C2 SNPs, i.e., those resulting in an amino acid change in the expressed protein, studied by Takahashi et al.[4] was compared to the database of SNDs prepared by Musumeci et al.[8] and the legitimate SNPs (rs2854482, rs2854486, rs2518042, rs2518043, and rs28943580) were identified. All SNPs and SNDs were subjected to homology modeling (Table 1). Each variant of the AKR1C2 protein was modeled using MolIDE[10], as per the procedures detailed under Materials and Methods, in order to obtain a three-dimensional structure for each of the variants. Lastly, these homology models were visualized and molecular graphics images produced using the UCSF Chimera package from the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco (supported by NIH P41 RR-01081)[16]. By visualizing the position of the substituted amino acid, the other amino acids in the immediate environment, and the proximity of the substituted amino acid to the substrate and the cofactor, it is possible to suggest a physiochemical rationale for the effect, or the lack of any effect, of the substitution on enzyme kinetics as determined by the experiments of Takahashi et al.[4]. Figure 2 shows the structure of AKR1C2, indicating the position of the substrate, cofactor, active site, and variant residues. The results for each SNP or SND examined are reported individually in detail below.
Table 1. A list of the non-synonymous AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 SNPs modeled showing the amino acid change and the predicted and actual effects on enzyme activity, substrate binding, and cofactor binding.

| SNP/SND  | Substitution | Effect on enzyme activity (Vmax) | Effect on substrate binding (Km) | Effect on cofactor binding (Km) |
|----------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SNPs     |              | Predicted                        | Actual                          | Predicted                     | Actual                        | Predicted                     |
| rs2854482| F46Y         | Decrease                         | Decrease                        | No change                     | No change*                    | Increase                      |
| rs2854486| V111A        | No change                        | No change*                      | No change                     | No change*                    | Increase                      |
| rs2518042| K179E        | No change                        | No change*                      | No change                     | No change*                    | No change                     |
| rs2518043| K185E        | No change                        | No change*                      | No change                     | No change*                    | No change                     |
| rs28943580| R258C (AKR1C2)| No change                       | No change*                      | Decrease                      | No change                     |
| SNVs     |              |                                  |                                 |                               |                               |                               |
| rs3207898| V38I         | No change                        | No change*                      | No change                     | No change*                    | No change                     |
| rs3207901| V38A         | No change                        | No change*                      | No change                     | Decrease                      | No change                     |
| rs3207905| H47R         | No change                        | No change*                      | No change                     | No change*                    | No change                     |
| rs13933  | S87C         | No change                        | No change*                      | No change                     | No change*                    | No change                     |
| rs10618  | H170R        | No change                        | No change*                      | No change                     | No change*                    | No change                     |
| rs11474  | L172Q        | Decrease                         | Decrease                        | No change                     | Decrease                      | Increase                      |

The first five SNPs are from AKR1C2 and the last SNP is from AKR1C1. The SNVs are all from AKR1C2. Actual effects on enzyme activity and substrate binding in AKR1C2 are determined by comparing the experimental values of $V_{max}$ and $K_m$ for the variant with those of the WT (normal) using data from Table 3 in Takahashi et al.[4]. An asterisk indicates the difference measured by Takahashi et al. did not reach statistical significance and is thus reported here as “no change”. An increase in $K_m$ corresponds to the substrate or cofactor being more weakly bound. No experimental data is provided for AKR1C1 or cofactor binding in AKR1C2 as these were not studied. The “predicted” data summarizes our findings in this paper. Cells highlighted in bold-italic indicate where the predictions derived from our modeling agree with the experimental data. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015604.t001

Figure 2. The structure of AKR1C2. The structure shows chain A from the PDB record 1J96. The substrate is shown in pink and the cofactor is shown in purple. Water molecules are shown in blue. The residues varied as a result of a SNP (F46, V111, K179, K185, and R258) are shown in red and residues varied as a result of a SNV (V38, H47, S87, H170, and L172Q) are shown in cyan. Residues around the active site are shown in light blue. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015604.g002
AKR1C2 SNP variants

**F46Y variant.** The rs2854482 SNP results in the substitution of tyrosine (single letter amino acid code: Y) for phenylalanine (F) at position 46 in the protein sequence (in short F46Y). This exchange of a large hydrophobic residue for a large polar residue.

**Figure 3** shows the environment around residue 46 in the predicted AKR1C2 protein. Both the F and Y residues adopt the same rotamer. The normal or wild type (WT) F46 (shown in orange; **Figure 3**) exists in a generally hydrophobic environment comprising L19, A269, and V281 (shown in cyan). The exception is N280 (shown in red), which forms hydrogen bonds with the adenine ring nitrogen atoms in the NADP cofactor[24] (shown in purple) and a water molecule (shown in blue).

Substitution of Y for F results in a slight improvement in ProSA-web z-score, from −11.63 to −11.65, while the Verify3D score is slightly worse: up to 0.67 from 0.61. The MolProbity clash score rises from 6.96 to 16.64 and identifies a potential clash between Y46 and N280 (0.489 Å): a likely hydrogen bond as noted below. There is almost no change in the accessible surface area (2.35 to 2.56).

The F46Y substitution (shown in magenta; **Figure 3**) introduces a polar residue into this largely hydrophobic environment. The oxygen of Y46 can also form a hydrogen bond with the water molecule lying between it and N280. This suggests the F46Y substitution may change the local environment in such a way as to disrupt the hydrogen bonds of N280 and thus destabilize the binding of the NADP cofactor.

This finding concurs with, and potentially explains, the previous experimental findings of Takahashi et al.[4], who showed a significant reduction in enzyme activity ($V_{\text{max}}$) as a result of the F46Y mutation, as activity would be reduced by poorer cofactor binding. In addition, they did not find a statistically significant change in DHT binding. This also concurs with our results as the F46Y mutation is located at some distance from the testosterone substrate of the model, suggesting the reduction in enzyme activity is mediated through the changes to the interaction between the enzyme and the cofactor rather than the interaction of the former with the substrate (**Table 1**).

**V111A variant.** The V111A variant is a result of the rs2854406 SNP. It involves the conservative exchange of a small hydrophobic residue (valine) for a slightly smaller hydrophobic residue (alanine). **Figure S1** shows the environment around residue 111. Residue 111 is located away from the active site of AKR1C2 and on the periphery of the molecule. The environment around residue 111 is generally hydrophobic, comprising L99, L103, L106, and A160 (shown in cyan).

The V111A substitution results in a slight worsening in ProSA-web z-score, from −11.63 to −11.51, while the Verify3D score is slightly better: down to 0.64 from 0.66. The MolProbity clash score rises from 6.96 to 16.27 but no new clash arises from the substitution. There is almost no change in the accessible surface area (0.00 to 0.37).

The conservative nature of the substitution, its distance from the active site, and its location on the periphery, suggest V111A would have no effect on enzyme activity or substrate binding. These data concur with the findings of Takahashi et al.[4] who showed no significant difference in either $V_{\text{max}}$ or $K_m$ for the V111A variant (**Table 1**).

**K179E variant.** The K179E variant is a result of the rs2518042 SNP. It involves the exchange of a large positively charged residue, lysine (K), for a smaller negatively charged residue glutamate (E). **Figure S2** shows the environment around residue 179. Both K (shown in orange) and E (shown in magenta) adopt the same rotamer. The normal (WT) K179 potentially forms a salt bridge with the neighbouring E149 and E152 (shown in cyan). In contrast, the K179E variant breaks this salt bridge and, due to the smaller size of the glutamate residue, draws this negative charge further into the non-polar core of the protein, bringing it into proximity with W148, I176, and L182 (shown in cyan).

Substitution of E for K at position 179 results in a slight improvement in ProSA-web z-score, from −11.63 to −11.66 and the Verify3D score: down to 0.28 from 0.37. The MolProbity clash score rises from 6.96 to 16.08 and identifies a potential clash between Y46 and N280 (0.489 Å); a likely hydrogen bond as noted below. There is almost no change in the accessible surface area (2.35 to 2.56).

Despite these changes, residue 179 is located in a loop region, on the surface of the protein, and distant from the active site. As such, it suggests the change is unlikely to affect either enzyme activity or substrate binding. This agrees with the findings of Takahashi et al.[4] who showed no significant difference in either $V_{\text{max}}$ or $K_m$ for the K179E variant (**Table 1**).

**K185E variant.** As for the previous variant, the rs2518043 SNP, results in the exchange of a large positively charged residue, lysine, for a smaller negatively charged residue glutamate. **Figure S3** shows the environment around residue 185. Both K and E adopt the same rotamer. The normal (WT) K185E variant (shown in orange) exists in a polar environment formed by N11, K209 (shown in cyan), and several water molecules (shown in blue). Once again, the K185E substitution (shown in magenta) disrupts these polar interactions and draws the negative charge further into the non-polar core of the protein, bringing it into proximity with L177 and L182 (shown in cyan).

Substitution of E for K at position 179 results in a slight improvement in ProSA-web z-score, from −11.63 to −11.66 and the Verify3D score: down to 0.28 from 0.37. The MolProbity clash score rises from 6.96 to 16.08 and identifies a potential clash between E179 and the P180 backbone (0.464 Å). There is a slight increase in the accessible surface area (18.93 to 19.94).

**Table 1** lists the results of the functional analyses of the AKR1C2 variants. The $K_m$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ values for each variant are compared to the wild type. The K179E variant shows a significant decrease in both $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$, indicating a decrease in enzyme activity. The K185E variant also shows a decrease in $V_{\text{max}}$ and an increase in $K_m$, suggesting a decrease in substrate affinity. The V111A variant shows no significant change in either $V_{\text{max}}$ or $K_m$.
suggests the change is unlikely to affect either enzyme activity or substrate binding. This only partially agrees with the findings of Takahashi et al. [4] who showed no significant difference in $V_{\text{max}}$ but did show a reduction in $K_m$ for the K189E variant, suggesting this substitution results in stronger binding of the DHT substrate (Table 1).

**R258C variant.** The rs28943580 SNP results in the exchange of a large positively charged residue, arginine (R), for a smaller polar residue, cysteine (C) with a thiol group. Figure S4 shows the environment around residue 258. Both R (shown in orange) and C (shown in magenta) adopt the same rotamer. The normal (WT) R258 residue exists in a heterogeneous environment comprising polar Q262 and Q287 residues and non-polar L261 and F284 residues (shown in cyan). The interaction between the R258 and the polar residues, along with water molecules (shown in blue) around the surface of the protein stabilizes this environment.

The R258C substitution (shown in magenta) breaks these stabilizing interactions and draws the residue deeper into more hydrophobic core of the protein in proximity to V283, F286, and L288 (shown in cyan), although presumably the polar Q262 residue, also being present in the local environment, maintains some stability.

Substitution of C for R results in a slight worsening in ProSA-web z-score, from $-11.63$ to $-11.56$ and also in the Verify3D score: up to 0.55 from 0.44. The MolProbity clash score rises from 6.96 to 16.29 but no new clash arises due to the substitution. The accessible surface area drops dramatically from 27.42 to 3.85. This supports the observation above that the substitution results in the stronger binding of the DHT substrate (Table 1).

**AKR1C2 SND variants.** The other variants examined by Takahashi et al. [4] were determined to be SNDs. As such, the protein variants do not occur naturally. Nonetheless, homology modeling can still be used to examine the biochemical changes resulting from these engineered mutations.

**V38I variant.** The V38I variant corresponds to the rs3207989 SNP. It involves the conservative exchange of one hydrophilic residue (valine) for a slightly larger hydrophilic residue (isoleucine). Figure S5 shows the environment around residue 38. Both V (valine, shown in orange) and I (isoleucine, shown in magenta) adopt the same rotamer. Residue 38 is located away from the active site of AKR1C2 in a pre-dominantly hydrophilic environment comprising F21, T23, I42, I49, V61, and I65 (shown in cyan). The conservative nature of the substitution and its distance from the active site suggest V38I would have no effect on enzyme activity or substrate binding. This only partially agrees with the findings of Takahashi et al. [4] who showed no significant difference in $V_{\text{max}}$ but did show a reduction in $K_m$ for the V38I variant, suggesting this substitution results in stronger binding of the DHT substrate (Table 1).

**H47R variant.** The H47R variant corresponds to the rs3207905 SNP. It involves a conservative exchange of one large, positively charged residue (histidine) for another (arginine). Figure S7 shows the environment around residue 47. Both H (histidine, shown in orange) and R (arginine, shown in magenta) adopt the same rotamer. H47R exists in a hydrophilic environment comprising D2, Y5, and D78 (shown in cyan), along with a number of water molecules (shown in blue). H47 is hydrogen-bonded (shown in green) to one of the water molecules while R47 forms a hydrogen bond with D2. Thus, while there is some re-arrangement of interactions, the nature of environment remains similar as a result of the exchange. The conservative nature of the substitution and its distance from the active site suggest H47R would have no effect on enzyme activity or substrate binding. These data concur with the findings of Takahashi et al. [4] who showed no significant difference in either $V_{\text{max}}$ or $K_m$ for the H47R variant (Table 1).

**S87C variant.** The SND rs13933 corresponds to the S87C variant. This variant involves a conservative exchange of one small polar residue (serine) for another (cysteine). The environment around residue 87 is shown in Figure S8. Interestingly, S (serine, shown in orange) and C (cysteine, shown in magenta) adopt different rotamers. S87C exists in a heterogeneous environment surrounded by a mixture of the hydrophobic residues W86, F118, V120, V122, and F311, along with the positively charged H90 (shown in cyan) and two water molecules (shown in blue). The position of residue 87 is also close to the active site, with W86 also in close proximity to the substrate. Upon exchange, the pattern of hydrogen bonding (shown in green) with the adjacent water molecules changes. Nonetheless, the conservative nature of the change does not strongly alter the local environment, suggesting S87C would have no effect on enzyme activity or substrate binding. These data concur with the findings of Takahashi et al. [4] who showed no significant difference in either $V_{\text{max}}$ or $K_m$ for the S87C variant (Table 1).

**H170R variant.** The H170R variant corresponds to the rs10618 SNP. It involves a conservative exchange of one large, positively charged residue (histidine) for another (arginine). Figure S9 shows the environment around residue 170. Both H (histidine, shown in orange) and R (arginine, shown in magenta) adopt the same rotamer. H170R exists in a heterogeneous environment, on the surface of the molecule and distant from the active site. While close to the hydrophobic F205 and the polar Y323, it is also near the negatively charged E174 (shown in cyan) and a number of water molecules (shown in blue). Both H and R form hydrogen bonds (shown in green) with the water molecules, although the arrangement is different. The conservative nature of the substitution, its location on the surface of the molecule, and its distance from the active site suggest H170R would have no effect on enzyme activity or substrate binding. These data concur with the findings of Takahashi et al. [4] who showed no significant difference in either $V_{\text{max}}$ or $K_m$ for the H170R variant (Table 1).

**L172Q variant.** The SND rs11474 corresponds to the L172Q variant. This variant involves exchanging a small hydrophobic residue (leucine) for a relatively small hydrophilic residue, glutamine.
The gene products of the AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 genes catalyze important reactions in the formation and inactivation of male and female sex hormones (Figure 1). In their study of the enzyme kinetics of AKR1C2, Takahashi et al. [4] showed two non-synonymous single nucleotide changes, corresponding to the F46Y and L172Q variants, resulted in reduced enzyme activity. In this study, our modeling confirms this result, showing F46Y (the result of a SNP) and L172Q (the result of a SND) both potentially disrupt hydrogen bonding between the enzyme and the NADP cofactor (Figure 3 and Figure 4). Thus, we hypothesize that the reduced enzyme activity reported by Takahashi et al. [4] resulting from either of these two substitutions results from a more weakly bound cofactor. This could be confirmed by measuring the $K_m$ of the cofactor in an experiment analogous to those already undertaken by Takahashi et al. [4] in measuring the $K_m$ of the DHT substrate. Furthermore, this hypothesis is also supported by the reduced $K_m$ of the DHT substrate in L172Q, indicating the

**Figure 4** shows the environment of residue 172. Again, both L (leucine) and Q (glutamine) adopt the same rotamer. The normal (WT) L172 (shown in orange) is surrounded by two groups of residues (shown in cyan; **Figure 4**). Those closer to the surface are charged (R171) or polar (N169 and N316), with N316 and R171 sharing a hydrogen bond. In contrast, those in the interior of the molecule are non-polar (P119, L144, F168, and P318). N167 (shown in red; **Figure 4**) is also located on the very edge of the local environment of residue 172 and closer still to the non-polar environment created by P119, F168, and P318. N167 forms a hydrogen bond (shown in green) with carboxy-amide group of the nicotinamide ring of the NADP cofactor [14] (shown in purple).

The introduction of the polar L172Q variant (shown in magenta; **Figure 4**) into the non-polar environment at the core of the protein is likely to disrupt this environment as it seeks to accommodate the new polar residue. The proximity of this non-polar environment to N167 leads to the hypothesis that it too will be affected by this adjustment, disrupting the hydrogen bond with the cofactor and hence contributing to instability in the binding of the cofactor. This disruption would be predicted to result in a drop in enzyme activity ($V_{max}$) and this is confirmed by the original experimental findings of Takahashi et al. [4].

However, in contrast, Takahashi et al. [4] also show a statistically significant decrease in the $K_m$ of binding of the DHT substrate. This implies the DHT substrate is *more strongly bound* as a result of the L172Q substitution. The site of the L172Q substitution is at a distance from the binding site of the testosterone molecule in our model and thus does not provide any strong rationale for the improved binding of DHT (*Table 1*).

**The R258C AKR1C1 variant**

Most of the reported SNPs in AKR1C1 are actually SNDs [8], leaving only one non-synonymous substitution, rs28943580 which results in the R258C variant which substitutes the normal arginine with cysteine, analogous to the R258C variant in AKR1C2. **Figure 5** displays the local environment of residue 258. Both the R and C residues adopt the same rotamer. While there are some slight differences in the environment of R258C in AKR1C1 and AKR1C2, the major features are similar. R258 residue exists in a heterogeneous environment comprising polar Q262 and Q287 residues and non-polar L261 and F284 residues (shown in cyan). The interaction between the R258 and the polar residues, particularly Q262, along with the water molecule (shown in blue) around the surface of the protein stabilizes this environment. The R258C substitution (shown in magenta) breaks these stabilizing interactions and draws the residue deeper into more hydrophobic core of the protein in proximity to V283, F286, and L288 (shown in cyan), although presumably the polar Q262 residue, also being present in the local environment, maintains some stability.

As for the AKR1C2 R358C substitution, in AKR1C1 the substitution results in a slight worsening in ProSa-web z-score, from $-11.49$ to $-11.42$ and also in the Verify3D score: up to 0.62 from 0.60. The MolProbity clash score rises from 7.31 to 13.55 but no new clash arises due to the substitution. The accessible surface area drops from 11.24 to 3.60, in line with the observation above that the substitution results in the sidechain being drawn further into the core of the protein.

Once again, this amino acid substitution is located at some distance from the active site and on the surface of the molecule. Thus, despite the changes noted above, the substitution does not suggest any effect on the enzyme activity of AKR1C1 or the binding of the substrate or cofactor (*Table 1*).

**Discussion**

The gene products of the AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 genes catalyze important reactions in the formation and inactivation of male and female sex hormones (**Figure 1**). In their study of the enzyme kinetics of AKR1C2, Takahashi et al. [4] showed two non-synonymous single nucleotide changes, corresponding to the F46Y and L172Q variants, resulted in reduced enzyme activity. In this study, our modeling confirms this result, showing F46Y (the result of a SNP) and L172Q (the result of a SND) both potentially disrupt hydrogen bonding between the enzyme and the NADP cofactor (**Figure 3** and **Figure 4**). Thus, we hypothesize that the reduced enzyme activity reported by Takahashi et al. [4] resulting from either of these two substitutions results from a more weakly bound cofactor. This could be confirmed by measuring the $K_m$ of the cofactor in an experiment analogous to those already undertaken by Takahashi et al. [4] in measuring the $K_m$ of the DHT substrate. Furthermore, this hypothesis is also supported by the reduced $K_m$ of the DHT substrate in L172Q, indicating the

**Figure 4.** The L172Q substitution in the AKR1C2 predicted protein disrupts the non-polar environment near N167. The environment of residue 172 shows both the L and Q variants adopt the same rotamer. The variant introduces a polar residue into a largely non-polar environment close by N167, which forms a hydrogen bond with the nicotinamide ring of the cofactor.

**Figure 5.** The R258C moves a polar residue into the non-polar core. The environment of residue 258 in AKR1C1 shows both the R (orange) and C (magenta) variants adopt the same rotamer. Residue 258 is located on the surface of the protein and distant from the active site. The introduction of the C residues brings the polar residue further into the non-polar core of the protein including V283, F286, and L288 (cyan).
DHT is more strongly bound, and thus not likely to be the source of the reduced enzyme activity.

The other four SNPs (V111A, K179E, K185E, and R258C) and other five SNDs (V38I, V38A, H47R, S87C, H170R) did not show reduced enzyme activity in the experimental analysis of Takahashi et al.[4]. Our modeling supports this finding. We have shown these substitutions to be either conservative (V111A, V38I, V38A, H47R, S87C, H170R), or to exist on the surface of the molecule and distant from the active site (K179E, K185E, and R258C), and thus they are unlikely to directly affect enzyme activity, substrate binding, or cofactor binding. We hypothesize that measurement of the $K_m$ of cofactor binding in these variants would show no significant difference to the normal (WT) enzyme.

Similarly, in modeling the R258C amino acid substitution in the predicted AKR1C1 gene product, we find the substitution occurs on the surface of the molecule, distant from the active site. We thus hypothesize that similar enzyme kinetic experiments on AKR1C1 would show that R258C does not reduce enzyme activity or significantly change either progesterone or NADP binding compared to the wild type.

One finding by Takahashi et al.[4] not explained by our modeling is the increased DHT binding (measured as reduced $K_m$) by V111A as compared to the wild type. Similarly, in modeling the R258C amino acid substitution in the predicted AKR1C1 gene product, we find the substitution occurs on the surface of the molecule, distant from the active site. We thus hypothesize that similar enzyme kinetic experiments on AKR1C1 would show that R258C does not reduce enzyme activity or significantly change either progesterone or NADP binding compared to the wild type.

One finding by Takahashi et al.[4] not explained by our modeling is the increased DHT binding (measured as reduced $K_m$) by V111A as compared to the wild type. Similarly, in modeling the R258C amino acid substitution in the predicted AKR1C1 gene product, we find the substitution occurs on the surface of the molecule, distant from the active site. We thus hypothesize that similar enzyme kinetic experiments on AKR1C1 would show that R258C does not reduce enzyme activity or significantly change either progesterone or NADP binding compared to the wild type.

One finding by Takahashi et al.[4] not explained by our modeling is the increased DHT binding (measured as reduced $K_m$) by V111A as compared to the wild type. Similarly, in modeling the R258C amino acid substitution in the predicted AKR1C1 gene product, we find the substitution occurs on the surface of the molecule, distant from the active site. We thus hypothesize that similar enzyme kinetic experiments on AKR1C1 would show that R258C does not reduce enzyme activity or significantly change either progesterone or NADP binding compared to the wild type.

One finding by Takahashi et al.[4] not explained by our modeling is the increased DHT binding (measured as reduced $K_m$) by V111A as compared to the wild type. Similarly, in modeling the R258C amino acid substitution in the predicted AKR1C1 gene product, we find the substitution occurs on the surface of the molecule, distant from the active site. We thus hypothesize that similar enzyme kinetic experiments on AKR1C1 would show that R258C does not reduce enzyme activity or significantly change either progesterone or NADP binding compared to the wild type.
**Figure S2** K179E breaks a surface salt-bridge. The environment of residue 179 shows both the K (orange) and E (magenta) variants adopt the same rotamer. Residue 179 is located on the surface of the protein and distant from the active site. The introduction of a salt-bridge with nearby E149 and E152 (cyan) and brings the charge further into the non-polar core of the protein including W148, I176, and L182 (cyan).

**Figure S3** K185E moves a charged residue into the non-polar core. The environment of residue 185 shows both the K (orange) and E (magenta) variants adopt the same rotamer. Residue 185 is located on the surface of the protein and distant from the active site. The introduction of the E residue brings the charge further into the non-polar core of the protein including L177 and L182 (cyan).

**Figure S4** R258C moves a polar residue into the non-polar core. The environment of residue 258 shows both the R (orange) and C (cyan) variants adopt the same rotamer. The introduction of the C residue brings the polar residue further into the non-polar core of the protein including V283, F286, and L288 (cyan).

**Figure S5** V38I is a conservative mutation. The environment of residue 38 shows both the V (orange) and I (magenta) variants adopt the same rotamer. The introduction of the I residue is a conservative mutation distant from the active site.

**Figure S6** V38A disrupts the local hydrophobic environment. The environment of residue 38 shows both the V (orange) and A (magenta) residues. The introduction of the A residue, while conservative, does remove many proximal interactions with other hydrophobic residues.

**Figure S7** H47R is a conservative mutation. The environment of residue 47 shows both the H (orange) and R (magenta) variants adopt the same rotamer. The introduction of the R residue is a conservative mutation distant from the active site.

**Figure S8** S87C is a conservative mutation. The environment of residue 87 shows S (orange) and C (magenta) adopt a different rotamer. Despite this change, and close proximity to the active site, the introduction of the C residue is a conservative mutation with little effect on the local environment.

**Figure S9** H170R is a conservative mutation. The environment of residue 170 shows both the H (orange) and R (magenta) variants adopt the same rotamer. The introduction of the R residue is a conservative mutation distant from the active site and located on the surface of the protein.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: JWA JKVR. Performed the experiments: JWA. Analyzed the data: JWA JKVR. Wrote the paper: JWA JKVR.

**References**

1. Bohren KM, Bullock B, Wermuth B, Gabbay KH (1989) The Aldo-Keto Reductase Superfamily. Journal of Biological Chemistry 264: 9547–9551.
2. Penning TM, Burczyński ME, Jerz JM, Hung GF, Lin HK, et al. (2000) Human 3alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase isoenzymes (AKR1C1-AKR1C4) of the aldo-keto reductase superfamily: functional plasticity and tissue distribution reveals roles in the inactivation and formation of male and female sex hormones. Biochemical Journal 351: 67–77.
3. Couture J-F, Legrand P, Cantin L, Labrie VL-TF, Breton R (2003) Human 20alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase: Crystallographic and site-directed mutagenesis studies lead to the identification of an alternative binding site for C21-steroids. Journal of Molecular Biology 331: 593–604.
4. Takashii RH, Grigliatti TA, Reid RE, Riggs KW (2009) The effect of allicic variation in aldo-keto reductase 1C2 on the in vitro metabolism of dihydrotestosterone. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 329: 1032–1039.
5. Bauman DR, Steckelbroeck S, Peel DM, Penning TM (2006) Transcript profiling of the androgen signal in normal prostate, benign prostatic hyperplasia, and prostate cancer. Endocrinology 147: 5806–5816.
6. Stanford B, Bubleby GJ, Ross K, Gohib TR, Rubin MA, et al. (2006) Increased expression of genes encoding adrenal androgens to testosterone in androgen-independent prostate cancer: Cancer Research 66: 2015–2025.
7. Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, Baker J, Phan L, et al. (2001) dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variants. Nucleic Acids Research 29: 308–311.
8. Musunuru K, Arthur JW, Cheung FSG, Hoque A, Lippman S, et al. (2010) MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. Nucleic Acids Research 38: W407–W410.
9. Bowie JU, Luthy R, Eisenberg D (1991) A method to identify protein sequences that fold into a known three-dimensional structure. Science 253: 164–170.
10. Luthy R, Bowie JU, Eisenberg D (1992) Assessment of protein models with three-dimensional profiles. Nature 356: 83–85.
11. Chen VB, Arendall III BA, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, et al. (2010) MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallographica D66: 12–21.
12. Davis JW, Leaver-Fay A, Chen VB, Blok JN, Kapral GJ, et al. (2007) MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. Nucleic Acids Research 35: W373.
13. Lee B, Richards FM (1971) The interpretation of protein structures: implications for structural proteomics. Journal of Molecular Biology 55: 379–380.
14. Jin Y, Stayrook RH, Albert NH, Palackal N, Penning TM, et al. (2001) Crystal structure of human type 3alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/Bile acid binding protein complexed with NADP+ and ursodeoxycholate. Biochemistry 40: 10161–10168.
15. Faucher F, Pereira de Jesus-Tran K, Cantin L, Lus-The V, Labrie F, et al. (2006) Crystal structures of mouse 17alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (apoenzyme and enzyme-NADP(H) binary complex): Identification of molecular determinants responsible for the unique 17alpha-reductive activity of this enzyme. Journal of Molecular Biology 364: 747–763.
16. Couture J-F, Roy A-M, Cantin L, Legrand P, Lus-The V, et al. (2005) Comparison of crystal structures of human type 3 alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase.

**PloS ONE** | www.plosone.org

December 2010 | Volume 5 | Issue 12 | e15604

8
dehydrogenase reveals an “induced-fit” mechanism and a conserved basic motif involved in the binding of androgen. Protein Science 14: 1485–1497.

27. Faucher F, Cantin L, Pereira de Jesus-Tran K, Lemieux M, Luu-The V, et al. (2007) Mouse 17alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (AKR1C21) binds steroids differently from other aldo-keto reductases: Identification and characterization of amino acid residues critical for substrate binding. Journal of Molecular Biology 369: 525–540.

28. Xu Q, Dunbrack Jr. RL. (2011) The protein common interface database (ProtCID) - a comprehensive database of interactions of homologous proteins in multiple crystal forms. Nucleic Acids Research in press.

29. Jin Y, Penning TM (2006) Multiple steps determine the overall rate of the reduction of 5α-dihydrotestosterone catalysed by human type 3 5α-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase: Implications for the elimination of androgens. Biochemistry 45: 13054–13063.