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Abstract

Stem rust is one of the most potentially harmful wheat diseases, but has been effectively controlled in China since 1970s. However, the interest in breeding wheat with durable resistance to stem rust has been renewed with the emergence of Ug99 (TTKSK) virulent to the widely used resistance gene Sr31, and by which the wheat stem rust was controlled for 40 years in wheat production area worldwide. Yunnan Province, located on the Southwest border of China, is one of the main wheat growing regions, playing a pivotal role in the wheat stem rust epidemic in China. This study investigated the levels of resistance in key wheat cultivars (lines) of Yunnan Province. In addition, the existence of Sr25, Sr26, Sr28, Sr31, Sr32, and Sr38 genes in 119 wheat cultivars was assessed using specific DNA markers. The results indicated that 77 (64.7%) tested wheat varieties showed different levels of resistance to all the tested races of Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici. Using molecular markers, we identified the resistance gene Sr31 in 43 samples; Sr38 in 10 samples; Sr28 in 12 samples, and one sample which was resistant against Ug99 (avirulent to Sr32). No Sr25 or Sr26 (effective against Ug99) was identified in any cultivars tested. Furthermore, 5 out of 119 cultivars tested carried both Sr31 and Sr38 and eight contained both Sr31 and Sr28. The results enable the development of appropriate strategies to breed varieties resistant to stem rust.

Introduction

Stem rust (caused by Puccinia graminis Pers. f. sp. tritici Eriks. & E. Henn.) is one of the most serious diseases of wheat, worldwide [1, 2]. In China, it has been effectively controlled through the development of resistant cultivars and deployment of effective resistance genes, especially 1B/1R translocation gene Sr31 in different epidemiological regions since 1970s [3, 4]. However, in 1998, a new race of wheat stem rust pathogen designated as Ug99 (TTKSK), expressing virulence to Sr31, was first identified in Uganda [5, 6]. It has spread throughout the major wheat growing regions of Africa such as Ethiopia, Zimbabwe, Mozambique, Kenya, Sudan, Yemen, Egypt, and Tanzania [7, 8]. The variants exhibited stronger virulence and could rapidly spread...
worldwide. For example, variants with virulence against common stem rust-resistance genes Sr24, Sr38, and Sr36 have also been detected [9]. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) forecasts, this disease may spread eastward from Iran into countries of Central Asia [10]. TTKSK has been detected in Iran [11] and may soon threaten wheat production in the Indian sub-continent [2, 12]. The spread of Ug99 and its variants to India is a threat to the security of wheat production in China.

Yunnan Province, located on the Southwest border of China, is close to India, increasing the risk of Ug99 contamination [3]. The annual urediospores life cycle of Pgt is completed in the region, playing a key role in the large-scale epidemic of wheat stem rust [13]. Therefore, the resistance level of the cultivars in Yunnan province has a direct impact on epidemiology. In addition, because of the rarity of the wheat stem rust since the 1970s, the resistance of wheat cultivars has not been taken seriously. Therefore, due to the imminent risk in Chinese wheat production posed by Ug99, analysis of resistance against stem rust and delineation of the resistance genes in the cultivars (lines) locally are of great significance in evaluation of the risk, because 60 percent of wheat varieties contain Sr31 in China. It also raises the possibility of crop rotation as well as development of new rust-resistant sources. Wheat protection and breeding of resistant cultivars using conventional methods are time-consuming, intricate, and slow, and are influenced by the environment eventually. Currently, plant breeding is updated using molecular markers. Development of molecular markers has led to efficient methods of plant breeding [14]. Various studies have been conducted to confirm the presence of Sr genes in wheat cultivars. A collection of 54 wheat cultivars and 11 breeding lines from South Africa was screened to identify Sr2, Sr24 and Sr31 genes using DNA markers [15]. PCR-based DNA markers were used to check rust resistance genes among the 20 wheat genotypes and 22 markers were amplified [16]. Kokhmetova and Atishova [17] studied the presence of Sr genes (Sr2, Sr22, Sr24, Sr36, and Sr46), which are effective against Ug99 in 88 cultivars of spring soft wheat in Kazakhstan. Haile et al. [18] screened out 30 Sr genes using SSR and STS markers in 58 tetraploid wheat accessions of Ethiopia. In China, molecular markers closely linked with Sr22, Sr25, and Sr33 are used for the detection of wheat cultivars in Heilongjiang Province, and four cultivars may contain Sr22 and three probably carry Sr33 [19–21].

In this study, on the basis of resistance levels to Chinese stem rust in wheat cultivars of Yunnan province, the reported molecular markers closely linked to three major resistance genes Sr31, Sr32, Sr38 and another three resistance genes effective against Ug99 races (Sr25, Sr26, and Sr28) were used to assess the prevalence of stem rust resistance in Yunnan wheat cultivars. Breeders may use this information to genetically engineer new and potentially durable combinations of stem rust resistance cultivars.

Materials and Methods

Wheat cultivars and near-isogenic lines

A total of 119 tested wheat cultivars including the primary cultivars and reserve lines in Yunnan province were provided by Dr. Mingju Li at Yunnan Provincial Institute of Agricultural Environment and Resources.

Six Sr genes were tested: Sr25, Sr26, Sr28, Sr31, Sr32, and Sr38. The near-isogenic lines carrying these resistance genes were provided by Dr. Yue Jin from USDA-ARS, Cereal Disease Laboratory, University of Minnesota.

P. graminis f. sp. tritici races

The tested Pgt races include the dominant 21C3CTHTM and 34MKGSM, and 34C3RTGQM. The differentials of races are composed of three parts: four Stakman’s (Little club, Reliance,
Einkorn, and Vernal), five Chinese supplemental differentials (Mianzi 52, Huadong 6, Mini 2761, Orofen, and Rulofen), and five sets of 20 single Sr-gene lines (Sr5, Sr21, Sr9e, Sr7b, Sr11, Sr6, Sr8a, Sr9g, Sr36, Sr9b, Sr30, Sr17, Sr9a, Sr9d, Sr10, SrTmp, Sr24, Sr31, Sr38, and McN). At present, the races were identified and designated using three parts of differentials by Wheat Disease Laboratory, Shenyang Agricultural University, namely: part 1 uses four of Stakman’s hosts and is given Arabic numerals, such as 21 or 34 etc.; the second part uses 5 Chinese supplemental lines, and was given a ‘C’ plus Arabic numerals, C1 or C2 or C3 etc.; and the third part uses 20 single Sr-gene lines and given five-letter-code as currently used in the United States and many other countries, for examples: HTTTM or RKGQM. The full names of the races and their virulence/avirulence patterns are shown in Table 1 [3]. They were isolated and identified by Wheat Disease Laboratory, Shenyang Agricultural University, China.

DNA extraction and fragment analysis

DNA was extracted from young leaves of seedlings according to the method described by Lagudah et al. [22]. The DNA quality was determined using 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel. DNA quantification was performed using NanoDrop-1000 version 3.3.1 spectrophotometer. PCR primers were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (http://www.sangon.com/, China). PCR assays were performed according to the published protocols (Table 2). PCR amplifications were carried out in 25 μL volume, including 2.5 μL 10×buffer (Mg²⁺), 0.5 μL 10 μmol-L⁻¹ dNTPs, 1 μL 10 μmol-L⁻¹ of each primer, 0.2 μL 5 U·μL⁻¹ Taq-polymerase, and 2 μL 30 ng·μL⁻¹ DNA. De-ionized water was used to obtain 25 μL. 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel was used to detect the fragments of target gene. The agarose gels were analyzed by Uvitec cambridge. The incubation time and voltage used for analysis of fragments were 200V and 30 min. All above reagents were provided by Sangon Biotech (http://www.sangon.com/, China). Further, the ddH₂O and reaction system lacking the template DNA were used as controls. The specific reaction conditions were based on published studies.

Resistance determination

The whole cultivars (lines) were planted in 12 cm diameter porcelain pots. The Little Club (LC) was used as the control to ascertain the viability of spores or successful inoculation of races to cultivars. Leaves of 7-days-old seedlings were moistened by water with 0.1% Tween 20 using an atomizer, then sprayed 1 g of fresh urediospores and dried talc in a ratio of 1:20 (V:V). The inoculated seedlings were kept in a dew chamber for 16~20 h dark at 18~22°C and RH of 95% before transferring to a greenhouse adjusted at 18~22±1°C. Infection types (ITs) were assessed 14 days after inoculation using 0–4 IT scale described by Stakman et al. [23]. ITs were then grouped into two categories. ITs ‘0’, ‘;’, ‘1’, ‘1+’, ‘2’, ‘2+’ and X were considered as low infection types (resistance) while ITs ‘3–’, ‘3’, ‘3+’ and ‘4’ as high infection types (susceptible) [23].

Table 1. Virulence/avirulence patterns of 3 races of Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici.

| Race            | Ineffective Sr genes | Effective Sr genes |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 21C3CTHTM       | 6, 7b, 8a, 9a, 9b, 9d, 9f, 9g, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 28, 29, 34, 35, Tmp, McN | 5, 9e, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, 36, 37, 38, 47 |
| 34MKGS M        | 5, 6, 7b, 8a, 9a, 9b, 9d, 9f, 9g, 10, 12, 15, 16, 20, 24, 27, 28, 29, McN | 9e, 11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 47, Tmp |
| 34C3RKGQM       | 5, 6, 7b, 8a, 9a, 9b, 9d, 9f, 9g, 12, 16, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, McN | 9e, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 47, Tmp |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165640.t001
Results

Wheat seedling resistance

The reaction of 119 main wheat cultivars in Yunnan to the Pgt races are shown in Table 3. Seventy-seven (64.7%) of the tested wheat varieties showed varying levels of resistance to races 21C3CTHTM, 34MKGSM and 34C3RKGQM. The remaining 42 wheat cultivars (35.3%) showed varying levels of susceptibility.

A total of 97 cultivars showed different levels of resistance to race 21C3CTHTM, accounting for 81.5% of all the tested cultivars. Ninety-five cultivars were resistant to race 34MKGSM, and 24 showed susceptibility. A total of 83 cultivars showed resistance to 34C3RKGQM, accounting for 69.7% of all the tested cultivars, which was relatively low compared to resistance to 34MKGSM and 21C3CTHTM.

Sr25 screening

LC was used as the negative control and the monogenic Sr25 served as the positive control. A 190 bp specific band was amplified in the positive control using the primer Xwmc221. This result showed that no amplification of the 190 bp band in the 119 wheat cultivars of Yunnan Province (Fig 1).

Sr26 screening

Mago et al. [24] developed a pair of RFLP markers for detection of wheat stem rust resistance gene Sr26, and the effectiveness of the specific marker Sr26#43 was evaluated. The results showed that the primer Sr26#43 amplified a 207 bp fragment, and was used to detect Sr26 in the cultivars (Fig 2). LC was used as a negative control and monogenic Sr26 was used as the positive control. Primer Sr26#43 amplified a 207 bp band in the positive control Sr26, while no

| Tagged Sr genes | Marker | Size of markers (bp) | Primer sequence | References |
|-----------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Sr25            | Xwmc221| 190                  | F=5’ACGATAATGCAAGCGGGAAT<br>R=5’GCTGGGATCAAGGGAAT<br> | 21         |
| Sr26            | Sr26#43| 207                  | F=5’AACTCGTACATTGCTCT<br>R=5’CAGCAATAATCATCATGCTA<br> | 24         |
| Sr28            | Wpt-7004| 194                  | F=5’CTCCCCACCAAAACACGCTAC<br>R=5’AGATGCAGATTGGCGAGTTAG<br> | 25         |
| Sr31            | SCSS30.2576| 576         | F=5’TGCGGACAATACGAGGATT<br>R=5’CCGACAATACGAGGCCCTG<br> | 26         |
| Sr32            | csSr32#1 | 184                  | F=5’GGTTTTGGGCGACCTCAGGT<br>R=5’CATAAGGC4AAAGGGCACC<br> | 27         |
| Sr38            | Xcmwg682| 259                  | F=5’AGGGGCTACTGACCAAGGCT<br>R=5’TGCAGCTACAGCAATATC<br> | 29         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165640.t002

Table 3. Resistant proportion of 119 wheat cultivars to wheat stem rust.

| Race           | Susceptible | Resistance |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
|                | Number of cultivars | Percentage/% | Number of cultivars | Percentage/% |
| 34MKGSM        | 24          | 20.2       | 95                  | 79.8         |
| 21C3CTHTM      | 22          | 18.5       | 97                  | 81.5         |
| 34C3RKGQM      | 36          | 30.3       | 83                  | 69.7         |
| All tested races | 77          | 64.7       | 42                  | 35.3         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165640.t003
bands were amplified in the remaining materials, indicating that the tested cultivars do not contain the resistance gene \( \text{Sr26} \).

**Sr28 screening**

Rouse et al. [25] showed that the marker wPt-7004 amplified 194 bp and 166 bp fragments in the cultivars containing Sr28 resistance genes. Further analysis confirmed that the amplification of the 194 bp fragment represented the specific band for \( \text{Sr28} \). Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was used for detection of \( \text{Sr28} \). As shown in Fig 3, the primer wPt-7004 amplified a band measuring 194 bp to 200 bp in the negative control, but an extra 194 bp band was amplified in the positive control \( \text{Sr28} \). In this study, a similar 194 bp band was detected in Nanyuan 1, Feng 0103, Jing 07–2, Jingmai 10, Jing 05–1, 088–16, E33, Linmai 15, Jingmai 10, Yunmai 54, Yunmai 47, and Feng 615, indicating that the 12 tested cultivars carried \( \text{Sr28} \) (Table 4).

**Sr31 screening**

The SCSS30.2\( _{576} \) maker is linked to stem rust resistance gene \( \text{Sr31} \). The \( \text{Sr31} \) genes transferred from rye into wheat (\( \text{Triticum aestivum} \) L.) contributes to resistance in all the virulent
pathotypes of stem rust (*P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici*) found in China. The SCSS30.2576 marker amplified an expected PCR product of approximately 576 bp [26] in the positive control *Sr31* (Fig 4). It acted as a specific marker with no product generated in lines that do not carry *Sr31*. Among the tested cultivars, 43 cultivars tested positive for the *Sr31* marker (Table 4).

**Sr32 screening**

*Sr32* is located on the short arm of chromosome in Sears’ wheat *Aegilops speltoides* 2D-2S\#1 translocation line C82.2 [27]. A dominant marker, cs*Sr32*\#1, derived from an AFLP fragment [27] amplified 184bp PCR product in wheat lines carrying *Sr32*. In this study, the marker cs*Sr32*\#1 was used to determine the presence of *Sr32* in 119 wheat materials. The band was amplified only in the positive control *Sr32* and one wheat cultivar 91E001, but none was detected in the remaining cultivars (Fig 5).

**Sr38 screening**

Rust resistance genes *Lr37*, *Sr38*, and *Yr17* are located within a segment of *T. ventricosum* (Tausch) Cess. chromosome 2NS translocated to the short arm of bread wheat chromosome 2AS [28]. Helguera et al. [29] developed polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays based on RFLP marker cMWG682 to facilitate the transfer of this cluster of rust resistance genes into commercial wheat (*T. aestivum* L.) cultivars. The marker played a major role in molecular assisted breeding (MAS) [30]. Li et al. [31] validated the marker cMWG682 using 126 wheat lines and indicated that cMWG682 amplified a 259 bp fragment in the positive control *Yr17* /6\ Avocet S and 12 cultivars. In this study, monogenic *Sr38* was used as a positive control and LC as a negative control. A 259 bp fragment was amplified in the positive control *Sr38* and 10 of the tested wheat cultivars, using primer cMWG682 (Fig 6), suggesting that the 10 cultivars (lines) carried *Lr37* - *Sr38* - *Yr17* (Table 4).
Table 4. Results for wheat seedling resistance and molecular detection.

| Cultivars/lines     | 21C3CTHTM | 34MKGSM | 34C3RKGQM | Sr25 | Sr26 | Sr28 | Sr31 | Sr32 | Sr38 |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Yunmai 39          | 1         | :       | 1+        | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 42          | 4         | 3       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 43          | 3         | 4       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 47          | 0         | 1       | :         | -    | -    | +    | +    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 48          | 1+        | 4       | 3-        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 51          | 3+        | 3       | 3+        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 52          | 4         | 3       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 53          | 3+        | ;       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 54          | ;         | 0       | 1+        | -    | -    | +    | +    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 56          | 3         | 1+      | 1         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunxuan 2          | 1         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunxuan 3          | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunxuan 11–12      | 0         | 1       | 1+        | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Yunz 5             | 4         | 3       | 3+        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunz 6             | 3         | 3       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunz 7             | 3-        | 3-      | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Kun 022-222-1      | 0         | ;1      | 1         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Kunmai 4           | 0         | ;       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Kunmai 5           | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Jingmai 8          | 0         | 0       | ;         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Jingmai 9          | 0         | 0       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Jingmai 10         | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | +    | -    | -    |
| Jingmai 11         | 0         | 1       | ;         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Jingmai 12         | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Jingmai 14         | 0         | 0       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Jingmai 2002       | ;         | 1       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| Jing 04–6          | 0         | 1       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Demai 2            | 2         | 0       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Demai 7            | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| De 05–81           | 0         | 0       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    |
| Linmai 6           | 1         | 3-      | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Linmai 15          | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | +    | -    | -    |
| Fengyin 03–2       | 0         | 1       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Feng 05–394        | ;1-       | 0       | ;         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 101         | 0         | ;1-     | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Feng 1124          | ;         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    |
| Yumai 1            | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Yumai 2            | ;1        | ;       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Yumai 3            | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 31         | 1         | ;       | 3-        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 32         | ;         | 0       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 33         | ;1-       | ;1      | ;1        | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Wenmai 12          | ;         | 0       | 1+        | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Chumai 12          | ;1        | 1       | ;         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Liangmai 4         | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Mian 1971–98       | 3         | 1       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| De 0716            | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |

(Continued)
Table 4. (Continued)

| Cultivars/lines   | 21C3CTHTM | 34MKGSM | 34C3RKQGM | Sr25 | Sr26 | Sr28 | Sr31 | Sr32 | Sr38 |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Dianmai 34        | 0         | 0       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Chu 2008 jian-4   | 0         | 0       | ;         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| 91E001            | 0         | 1+      | 2         | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    |
| R101              | ;         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| R57               | 0         | 1       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | +    |
| E33               | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| 06D2–6            | 1         | 0       | 1+        | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yu 09–5           | 0         | 0       | 2         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Feng 615          | ;         | ;1+     | 0         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yimai 1           | 3         | 4       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yixuan A03-2      | ;         | 1+      | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    |
| Yixi 96–6         | 0         | 4       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yixi 2003–64      | 0         | 3       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Jing 05–1         | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| Jing 07–2         | 1         | 0       | 1         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| Linmai 17         | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| Chu 06–9          | 1         | ;       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Feng 1128         | 0         | 1       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | +    |
| SH 710            | 2         | 0       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| De 07–19          | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| De 07–20          | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| De 07–21          | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| De 08–3           | ;         | 0       | 1         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | +    |
| De 08–4           | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| De 08–11          | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Wen 05–1          | 0         | 0       | 1+        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Wen 06–3          | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 084–12            | 3–        | 2       | 3+        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Feng 0483         | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Feng 0103         | 1+        | 3       | 4         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| Feng 0230         | 0         | ;       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Mosha             | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Jingxuan 9        | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Guoji 13          | ;         | 0       | ;         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yunmai 29         | 0         | 1       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Mianyang 19       | 0         | 4       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| I1                | 3+        | 4       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| I18               | 0         | 0       | 1+        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 13        | 3         | ;1      | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 24        | 1         | 1+      | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Jingmai 7         | 0         | 4       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Demai 4           | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| Nanyuan 1         | 1         | 4       | 3         | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    | -    |
| Mianyang 20       | 3         | 4       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Kunming chunmai   | 0         | 1+      | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Nanda 2419        | 3         | 4       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 34        | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | (Continued)
| Cultivars/lines | 21C3CTHTM | 34MKGSM | 34C3RKGQM | Sr25 | Sr26 | Sr28 | Sr31 | Sr32 | Sr38 |
|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Fengmai 35     | 4         | 0       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 36     | 0         | 0       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 37     | 0         | 0       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 38     | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Fengmai 39     | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yimai line 2003–27 | 0   | 4       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| Yimai 10       | 0         | 4       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| K07-295        | 0         | ;       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | +    |
| K042-39        | 1+        | 0       | 1         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| 4–12           | 3+        | 1       | 2         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 4–8            | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 05–1           | ;1-       | 0       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 088–16         | 0         | 0       | ;         | -    | -    | +    | +    | -    | -    |
| 09D4–1         | 0         | 1       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| 09D4–6         | 4         | 4       | 1+        | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 08 yu F-5      | 0         | 3–      | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 066–3          | 0         | 0       | 1+        | -    | -    | -    | +    | -    | -    |
| 017–10         | 0         | 1       | 2         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| HX-06-1        | 0         | 0       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 098–2          | 3         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 098–4          | 0         | 0       | 0         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 098–6          | 1         | 0       | 2         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 098–10         | 3         | 3       | 4         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 098–12         | 1         | ;       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |
| 098–13         | 0         | 1       | 3         | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    |

*Infection types (ITs) are based on a 0-to-4 scale, ITs of 0, 1, 2 are indicative of a resistant (low) response and ITs of 3 or 4 are a susceptible (high) response.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165640.t004

---

**Fig 4.** Amplification result for parts of wheat varieties with SCSS30.2576. Lane 1–11, Monogenic Sr31, Little Club, Water control, Fenyn 03–2, Feng 05–394, Yunmai 101, Feng 1124, Yunmai 1, Yunmai 2, Yunmai 3, and Fengmai 31. 'M' indicates 2000 bp DNA ladder and white arrow indicates the position of the specific band.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165640.g004
Discussion

Gene Sr25 transfer to wheat *Thinopyrum ponticum*, occurs on the long arm of chromosome 7DL. It is usually closely linked to leaf rust resistance gene *Lr19*. Another *Th. ponticum*-derived gene resulted in undesirable yellow flour [32], and improved the yield [21]. The Sr25 resistance is affected by growth periods and temperature. The resistance in seedling stage is higher than in adult stage and is more easily susceptible under high temperature conditions [33]. Due to its resistance to Ug99, the specific primer Xwmc221 was used for detection of 150 cultivars in China, but no Sr25 was identified [21]. In this study, the same primer pair was used for detection of Sr25 in 119 wheat cultivars (lines) from Yunnan Province. The results also indicated that none of the tested wheat cultivars contained the gene. Therefore, the gene was targeted in the future breeding programs to improve the resistance level of wheat cultivars to Ug99 in China.

The wheat stem rust resistance gene Sr26 (derived from *Agropyron elongatum*) is derived from foreign chromosome translocated to wheat chromosomes [24]. This gene located on...
chromosome 6AL, confers resistance to all races of wheat stem rust worldwide [9] and is widely used in Australia [24]. It is reported that the Chinese wheat varieties may contain Sr26. Two out of 448 wheat cultivars contain Sr26 that was identified by researchers [34–36]. In this study, the Yunnan varieties were tested for presence of Sr26. However, the gene was not found in any of the materials tested, which indicated that Yunnan wheat varieties lack the Sr26.

Sr28 originates in T. aestivum L. Kota. Kota has been used as a differential host by Stakman since 1962. However, due to ineffectiveness to most races of Pgt, its application was limited in resistance breeding [25]. With the emergence of Ug99, researchers focused on the discovery of genes or gene combinations resistant to Ug99, to strengthen the resistant cultivars in the international wheat stem rust nursery of Kenya. SD1691 resistant to Ug99 (TTKSK) was studied by Rouse et al. [37], and found to harbor the Sr28 resistance gene [25]. In addition, Sr28 was ineffective to several popular American races of Pgt. However, it was combined with other resistance genes to yield effective resistance gene combinations, and has been used in breeding disease resistance [25]. Therefore, the diversity arrays technology (DArT) [38] marker closely linked to Sr28 was developed for more convenient screening and identification of the effective gene. Similarly, Sr28 was also ineffective to many Pgt races in China [39, 40]. Chen et al. [35] reported that Chinese wheat cultivars carry Sr28. In this study, the DArTmarker wPt-7004 screened by Rouse was used to detect the gene in Yunnan wheat cultivars (lines). The results showed that 12 wheat varieties (lines) carried this gene. These were Nanyuan 1, Feng 0103, Jing07–2, Jing 05–1,088–16, E33, Linmai 15, Jing 0202, Jingmai 10, Yunmai 54, Yunmai 47, and Feng 615.

The gene Sr31, which confers a high degree of effectiveness to stem rust was introgressed into bread wheat from 'Petkus' rye as a 1BL/1RS translocation [26]. Sr31 has been widely used in Chinese and global wheat stem rust breeding programs [26, 41, 42]. However, wheat stem rust has re-emerged as a major threat to global wheat production following the emergence of the new race Ug99 virulent to Sr31 in 1999 [5]. To date, no virulent race against Sr31 has been reported in China [41]. In our study, 43 cultivars (lines) probably carried Sr31. Because Sr31 has been widely used in Chinese wheat breeding since 1970s, and identified that many cultivars carry this gene [41, 42]. Our results were consistent with previous reports. For example, Wang et al. [43] and Zhang et al. [44] identified a 1BL/1RS translocation in 211 and 75 wheat cultivars (lines) using the co-dominant PCR marker. Their results showed that 81 and 25 wheat varieties carried this gene, respectively. Conversely, pedigree tracking indicated that resistant materials carrying Sr31 such as ‘Kavkaz’ and ‘Luofu’ lines were widely used in wheat breeding in Yunnan Province [45], suggesting the origin of resistance genes in these wheat varieties. In addition, a specific band was amplified in Yunmai 51 (91B-831/92B-84) and Fengmai 31 (918M40-1) using SCSS30.2766 marker. However, the two cultivars were highly susceptible to Pgt in China and no race virulent to Sr31 was found, suggesting that the two cultivars do not contain the gene Sr31. This finding may be attributed to false-positive results, which are common challenges associated with MAS. For example, Yu et al. reported that the variety Thatcher does not carry Sr2 but tested positive [46].

Sr32 present on the short arm of chromosome 2S#1 was transferred to wheat from Aegilops speltoides Tausch [47]. Sr32 exhibited strong resistance against the predominant race group 21C3 in China [13, 39]. In this study, we found that 91E001 carried Sr32. The wheat cultivar 91E001 used in breeding programs was introduced from Mexico to China, with strong resistance against wheat stripe rust [48]. Additionally, Sr32 was shown to be effective against seven race variants of Ug99 lineage [9], and was used in future resistance breeding. The durability of resistance genes is enhanced by deploying pyramids in cultivars.

The translocation line VPM1 of Triticum aestivum L. containing 2NS chromosome segments (25~38cM) from Ae. ventricosa was cultivated by Maia in 1967 [32]. The fragment carried three effective genes against rust: Lr37 against leaf rust, Sr38 against stem rust and Yr17
against stripe rust. These three genes have been widely used by breeders worldwide [32, 41]. Sr38 is no longer resistant to new races related to Ug99. No virulent Pgt race to Sr38 has been found in China. PCR analysis was used for effective screening of resistance genes Lr37-Yr17-Sr38 established by Helguera [29], which played an important role in MAS [30], and was used in this study for identification of genes in wheat cultivars of Yunnan Province. The results showed 10 wheat cultivars harboring the gene. The resistance of these cultivars against the Chinese races 34MKGSM and 21C3CTHTM may be attributed to the Lr37-Yr17-Sr38 genes.

In this study, the molecular genetic markers of Sr25, Sr26, Sr28, Sr31, Sr32 and Sr38 in wheat cultivars against Pgt races were used to identify the 119 wheat cultivars (lines) in Yunnan province. Forty three and 10 wheat cultivars (lines) are likely to carry Sr31 and Sr38, respectively. Sr31 and Sr38 have been widely used in Chinese stem rust resistance breeding [41]. Although Sr31 and Sr38 are no longer resistant to new races related to Ug99, they are still useful when combined or used as pyramids with other genes effective against Ug99. Overall, wheat cultivars in Yunnan province lack the genes Sr25 and Sr26, which are effective against Ug99. Sr28 alone was detected in 12 wheat cultivars including Nanyuan 1, Feng 0103, Jing 07–2, Jing 05–1, 088–16, E33, Linmai 15, Jing 0202, Jingmai 10, Yunmai 54, Yunmai 47, and Feng 615. Sr32 was detected in one cultivar 91E001. Spread of Ug99 into China will most likely lead to colonization of the southwest area such as Yunnan and Sichuan provinces, where Pgt is already prevalent [41]. Therefore, the widespread cultivation of these wheat cultivars susceptible to Ug99 in Yunnan greatly increases the risk of its epidemic. Fortunately, the two genes Sr28 and Sr32 might play a role in the prevention of colonization and invasion of Ug99. The 12 cultivars containing Sr28 and the 91E001 carrying Sr32 identified in this study will be useful for resistance breeding and propagation of cultivars.
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