Cervical plexus as anatomical target for the treatment of postoperative cervical neuropathic pain
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Objective: The incidence of chronic neuropathic pain following neck dissections is approximately 40%. Standard drug therapy in these patients includes pharmacologic treatments due to the neuropathic pain (gabapentinoids, tricyclic antidepressants...). In this case, standard options were limited. The addition of ultrasound guidance to invasive pain management techniques has enabled us to successfully treat pathologies in which previous treatments options had been limited. Pulsed radiofrequency (PRF) ablation permits treatment over nerve structures that, due to either their morphological or functional characteristics, could not be approached using the conventional variant.

Case report: A 45-year-old man with severe postoperative pain after undergoing partial glossectomy and functional neck dissection for squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue. The patient had been treated pharmacologically for several years with minimal results, baseline VAS of 90. After a successful superficial cervical plexus block under ultrasound guidance, he underwent PRF for a possible long-lasting effect. VAS post PRF improved in subsequent visits: VAS at 1 month was 0; at 3 months was 10 and at 6 months was 60.

Conclusion: Postoperative changes to include alterations in nerve structures are a frequent source of chronic pain. The incidence of this type of pain in the cervical region is quite variable. Noninvasive treatment options are limited and oftentimes ineffective. Due to its location, superficial cervical plexus is an anatomical site with the potential risk of undergoing structural alterations (fibrosis, radiotherapy-associated retraction phenomena or neuroma formation). Interventional treatments performed under ultrasound guidance allow the dynamic application of therapies such as radiofrequency ablation. PRF could potentially cause an additive effect between neuromodulation and the hydrodissection caused by the infiltration of substances within a fibrotic area.
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Introduction
Chronic pain in postoperative head and neck cancer patients is generally associated with tumor growth, or anatomical changes that may occur during the perioperative period or after radiation therapy. Tumor growth can cause mucous ulcers, inflammation, edema, infection, and also the entrapment of neural structures eliciting intractable chronic pain.1

Surgical resection of a tumor is associated with intractable pain of the shoulder, neck, and craniofacial areas. Correct identification of structures such as the cervical plexus and the spinal accessory nerve reduces the manifestation of chronic pain
This type of pain has been well documented in the literature. Its prevalence reaches up to 40% following the above-mentioned interventions. Chaplin et al showed the significance of the spinal accessory nerve as the generator of chronic shoulder pain following surgical resection for oropharyngeal cancer. The importance of the spinal accessory nerve as a generator of shoulder pain and on the restriction of the joint function has long been recognized.

However, the appearance of functional alterations and pain states after other types of surgical approaches in which the spinal accessory nerve is preserved is not infrequent.

Parwis Agha-Mir-Salim et al showed the essential role played by the cervical plexus in the development of chronic pain with neuropathic characteristics after several types of radical neck dissections. The cervical plexus is essential for sensory innervation of the cervical region and the skin of the shoulder. It is formed by the anterior division of the first four cervical nerves and it is a sensory anatomical substrate for this region.

The literature displays several studies on the use of pulsed radiofrequency (PRF) for many chronic pain conditions. This radiofrequency variant has been shown to exert a biological effect unrelated to any thermal damage, but potentially focusing on both small-diameter and C- and A-delta nociceptive fibers. Vallejo et al showed that application of PRF could impact the expression of regulatory genes all along the nociceptive painful pathways. Subsequently, Magistroni et al studied the application of PRF on the brachial plexus in patients with plexus avulsion and found they obtained significant pain relief.

**Case description**

This is a 45-year-old male patient with the diagnosis of squamous carcinoma of the tongue originally manifested as oral leukoplakia in the right lingual area, treated with laser vaporization for several years. A head and neck MRI revealed tumor-related lymphadenopathies. Due to the extension of his disease, the patient underwent a partial right glossectomy and functional neck dissection.

During the postoperative period, the patient experienced severe (VAS 80–90) and persistent pain in the anterior neck region. The pain was treated for several years in other pain centers with neuromodulators to include gabapentinoids, tricyclic antidepressants and opioid analgesics with minimal results. The patient continued to report severe pain during his initial visits (VAS 90), localized in the retroauricular and occipital areas, as well as the upper region of the chest and shoulder. The pain was described as severe, neuropathic in nature associated with dysesthesia, allodynia, hyperalgesia, but no hypoesthesia. He also mentioned increased pain with ipsilateral rotation of the head to the affected side.

Physical examination showed dysesthesia in the territory of the following nerves: great auricular, transverse cervical and supraclavicular nerves. The patient experienced severe allodynia over the territory of the superficial cervical and supraclavicular nerves. The examination did not disclose any areas of hypoesthesia. The patient did not present any signs of motor deficits in the upper extremities, and the reflexes were normal.

With these symptoms and physical examination findings, the patient was diagnosed with chronic postoperative pain associated with the alteration of his cervical plexus. Pharmacological therapy with amitriptyline 50 mg each 24 hrs, pregabalin 150 mg each 12 hrs and tapentadol 100 mg each 12 hrs was kept during the interventional procedure.

In view of the patient’s refractoriness to the pharmacological treatment, he was offered an ultrasound-guided cervical plexus block using local anesthesia with the purpose of confirming the diagnosis. We have informed the patient about the risks and benefits secondary to the treatment with cervical plexus block and even with a possible treatment with PRF. Institutional approval (CEA EOXI from Clinic Hospital of Santiago de Compostela) was required to publish the case details and we got the patient written informed consent for publication of the case details and any accompanying images.

For the cervical plexus block, we have applied a total amount of 5 mL 0.25% levobupivacaine applied between the superficial and the deep (prevertebral) cervical fascia over C4 and C6 levels using ultrasound guidance (the protocol to identify those levels with ultrasound guidance was identifying the C7’s transverse process and since that level we have counted the different levels until arriving at C4). The goal of using such a limited volume of medication was to evaluate the ability of nerve blocking to obtain pain control and after that apply some type of radio frequency with consistent options.

At the 4-week follow-up visit, the patient reported complete resolution of his symptoms during the first 2
weeks (VAS 0). After the first 2 weeks, the pain level started to increase, reaching VAS of 20 (during the last 2 weeks). The presumed diagnosis was confirmed in view of this improvement, and a third visit was scheduled 2 weeks after. During Visit 3 (6 weeks postdiagnostic block), the patient reported recurrence of his pain to the preprocedure baseline status. Having confirmed the diagnosis using the blockade, and in view of the short duration of the patient’s improvement, he was offered the application of PRF jointly with a 5-mL injection of 0.25% levobupivacaine.

The procedure was performed in a surgical room under ultrasound guidance and local anesthesia. PRF of the cervical plexus was carried out in the interfascial compartment at C4 level (Figure 1). PRF protocol was the following: 8 mins of PRF on each branch, applying 45 V, with temperature control at 42°C, and with a pulse width of 20 ms. After the PRF application, a total volume of 5 ml of local anesthetic (levobupivcaine 0.2%) was deposited at treated levels.

The patient was given a follow-up appointment on weeks 4, 12 and 24 post radiofrequency application (Visits 4, 5 and 6). The test results can be seen in Table 1. With this result, we have reduced pharmacological therapy. We have discontinued tapentadol treatment, amitriptyline was reduced until 10 mg each 24 hrs and pregabalin was reduced until 75 each 12 hrs.

**Discussion**

Surgical alteration of nerve structures is a frequent source of chronic pain. The prevalence of this type of pain after diverse surgeries in the cervical area is quite variable and depends upon the surgery performed. The potential damage of some branches (ie, spinal accessory nerve) is associated with intractable pain in the shoulder and neck area. Correct identification and avoidance of those branches during the surgery allows for better outcomes. In addition, radiotherapy treatment may cause some known conditions such as dysphagia, mucositis, radionecrosis, xerostomia and fibrosis that are associated with severe pain and discomfort. These conditions are usually difficult to diagnose and are frequently undertreated, being that noninvasive treatment options usually insufficient.

Parwis Agha-Mir-Salim et al described the essential role played by the cervical plexus in this neuropathic condition. This plexus is essential for the sensory innervation of the cervical region which makes it an important target in interventional pain treatments. Ultrasound guidance makes it possible to obtain direct and dynamic visualization of the neck-innerving structures. It also allows to visualize postsurgical changes such as neuromas, adhesions phenomena by fibrosis, and direct visualization of different therapies such as adhesion release, anti-inflammatory drugs deposit, or application of different types of radiofrequency ablation.

Due to its location, the cervical plexus is an anatomical structure with many risks for procedural complications. For example, fibrosis may result due to sutures applied directly into the nerve for surgical wound closure, and/or secondary to the use of radiation therapy. Hydrodissection therapy can be an option in patients with this condition; nevertheless, the short improvement showed with the diagnostic block makes us select the application of radiofrequency ablation as a more effective therapy.

**Table 1**: Patient data

| Visit                                | VAS | DN4 | LANNS |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Baseline                             | 90  | 8   | 24    |
| First postdiagnostic blockade visit  | 0   | 2   | 2     |
| Second postdiagnostic blockade visit | 90  | 8   | 24    |
| 1 month post-radiofrequency          | 0   | 2   | 0     |
| 3 months post-radiofrequency         | 10  | 2   | 0     |
| 6th month                            | 60  | 3   | 10    |

*Notes:* VAS is the visual analogic scale used for graduate pain level between 1 and 100. The DN4 scales (which stands for Douleur Neuropathique 4) is one of the questionnaires that can be useful in diagnosing neuropathic pain. LANNS pain scale (means the Leeds assessment of neuropathic symptoms and signs) is based on analysis of sensory description and bedside examination of sensory dysfunction and provides neuropathic pain.
PRF has proven to be a neuromodulating therapy by several studies.\textsuperscript{23,24} Its application onto a damaged nervous site could revert the hypersensitivity effects developed because of the injection of corticosteroids and local anesthetics in a fibrotic area. Its security in this superficial location allows to treat a damaged structure. Conventional radiofrequency is also a possible treatment option in this location; however, it still has yet to be demonstrated its ability to improve outcome in this dangerous location. This case report has demonstrated that the cervical plexus is a possible target for interventional techniques for chronic postoperative pain.
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