Optimizing AAV2/6 microglial targeting identified enhanced efficiency in the photoreceptor degenerative environment
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Adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) are widely used to deliver genetic material in vivo to distinct cell types such as neurons or glial cells, allowing for targeted manipulation. Transduction of microglia is mostly excluded from this strategy, likely due to the cells’ heterogeneous state upon environmental changes, which makes AAV design challenging. Here, we established the retina as a model system for microglial AAV validation and optimization. First, we show that AAV2/6 transduced microglia in both synaptic layers, where layer preference corresponds to the intravitreal or subretinal delivery method. Surprisingly, we observed significantly enhanced microglial transduction during photoreceptor degeneration. Thus, we modified the AAV6 capsid to reduce heparin binding by introducing four point mutations (K531E, R576Q, K493S, and K459S), resulting in increased microglial transduction in the outer plexiform layer. Finally, to improve microglial-specific transduction, we validated a Cre-dependent transgene delivery cassette for use in combination with the Cx3cr1CreERT2 mouse line. Together, our results provide a foundation for future studies optimizing AAV-mediated microglia transduction and highlight that environmental conditions influence microglial transduction efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

Viral vector engineering has become an effective strategy for in vivo delivery of genetic material to distinct cell populations. Due to their ease of engineering and production,1 adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) are widely used to target various cell types of the central nervous system (CNS).2 However, microglia, the resident immune cells of the CNS, are excluded from this success. Microglial transduction in vivo is only occasionally reported,3–5 and its overall low efficiency limits microglial transfection in vivo. Yet, we need strategies to selectively alter microglia to obtain knowledge about their function within local environments and to identify their impact in disease onset and progression.6–7 Currently, the field is limited to generating gene-of-interest knockout mouse models8,9 or to a small number of microglia receptor-specific inhibitors (e.g., for activity alteration [purinergic receptor P2Y12] or depletion [colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor] strategies).10,11 Therefore, AAVs could provide a comparatively quick alternative to deliver the desired cargo necessary to investigate new research questions. So far, the lack of robust and systematic investiga-

tion of in vivo targeting strategies has hindered viral delivery optimization for microglia and is a knowledge gap that needs to be addressed.

Successful viral transduction strategies depend on maximizing cell-specific targeting and minimizing off-target gene expression. By combining known cellular tropism of AAV capsid serotypes with cell-type-selective promoters, this goal has been met for many neurons and glia.12,13 For microglia, neither in vitro screens of several capsids with a constitutive promoter14,15 nor an in vivo screen of three capsids and over 200 synthetic promoters16,17 led to sufficient or reportable microglia transduction.5 So far, AAV2/6TYY is the most encouraging AAV for targeting microglia because it contains capsid mutations that prevent proteasomal degradation upon target cell entry.17 This AAV2/6TYY was reported to target hippocampal microglia in vivo,14 although the in vitro transduction efficiency was much higher. This discrepancy could be explained by the difference between in vitro and in vivo microglial transcriptional signature.18–20 Therefore, optimization of AAV to transduce microglia should be performed in an in vivo setting, which requires an anatomically defined and well-controlled environment. The retina provides an ideal model, as its highly ordered structure demarcates two synaptic layers, each occupied by distinct microglial niches.21–22 Viral delivery is fast and minimally invasive, and several well-characterized degenerative disease models are available, along with known disease-associated microglial genes.6

Here, we first assessed the feasibility for in vivo AAV-mediated microglial transduction in the retina using scAAV2/6TYY−CD68−eGFP. We systematically investigated different viral delivery strategies and whether a degenerative environment affected microglia susceptibility to AAV transduction. Surprisingly, microglial transduction and viral spread improved across the plexiform layers and laterally through the retina when degeneration was initiated in the outer retinal layer.
Based on this finding, we engineered an AAV capsid to promote spread within the outer retina in a non-degenerative environment by introducing heparin-binding mutations to the AAV6 capsid. We confirmed that this new AAV2/6 capsid led to selective transduction in microglia of the outer plexiform layer (OPL). Finally, we optimized the AAV specificity to reduce off-target expression in other retinal cells by combining a double-inverted transgene cassette with the Cx3cr1CreERT2 (CX3C chemokine receptor 1) mouse line. Overall, this work established the retina as a model system to validate future AAV modification for microglial transduction, which will be relevant for application in other brain regions.

RESULTS

Viral delivery route corresponds with layer-specific microglial transduction and viral spread

To investigate whether retinal microglia can be successfully transduced with AAV, we took advantage of scAAV2/6TYY-CD68-eGFP, which consists of a modified AAV6TY capsid and a transgene encoding for enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) driven under the monocyte and tissue macrophage-selective cluster of differentiation 68 (CD68) promoter (Figure S1A). CD68 transcripts are reliably found in vivo in brain microglia, as well as in the adult retina. Furthermore, a 5’ mutated inverted terminal repeat (ITR) flanks the AAV2 genome for self-complementary (sc) assembly and faster transgene expression. After scAAV2/6TYY-CD68-eGFP production, we confirmed eGFP expression in microglia of primary mixed glial culture in vitro (Figure S1B).

Classically in rodent studies, the location of the retinal cell type to be targeted dictates which injection strategy will be used, where subretinal or intravitreal injection preferentially transduces cells in the outer or inner retinal layers, respectively (Figure 1A). Microglia in the adult retina are localized in both the outer and inner plexiform layer (OPL and IPL, respectively; Figure S1C). Thus, to determine which injection method robustly targets OPL or IPL microglia, we subretinally or intravitreally injected scAAV2/6TYY-CD68-eGFP into adult C57BL6/J mice (Figure 1A). After 2 weeks, we performed immunostaining for eGFP and ionized calcium-binding adaptor molecule 1 (Iba1) to label the microglial population.
eGFP+/Iba1+ cells to the total number of Iba1+ cells within region-of-interest 1 (ROI1), defined as the retinal quadrant closest to the injection site (Figure 1A). The median microglial transduction efficiency for both plexiform layers was 6.6% for subretinal and 13% for intravitreal injection, with eGFP frequently expressed in non-microglia cells (Figures S1D and S1E). We also assessed the overall transduction efficiency using flow cytometry (Figure S1F) and found that 1.5% of total cells were eGFP+ independent from the injection method, while less than 10% of the 1.5% eGFP+ population was microglia (Figures S1G and S1H). When we quantified the microglial transduction efficiency of only OPL or IPL, subretinal injection resulted in higher efficiency of OPLmicroglia compared to IPLmicroglia (Figures 1B and 1C), and vice versa for intravitreal injection (Figures 1D and 1E). This relationship held true when comparing OPLmicroglia or IPLmicroglia efficiencies across injection methods (Figure S1I). To estimate the viral spread throughout the retina, we analyzed the opposing quadrant from the injection site, region-of-interest 2 (ROI2; Figure 1A). Independent from the injection method, the transduction efficiency was significantly reduced between ROI1 and ROI2 (Figure 1F). However, intravitreal injection maintained a slightly higher transduction level for ROI2, suggesting enhanced viral spread. Although quantification at two ROIs allowed for assessment of layer-specific transduction and viral spread, with this method, we can only estimate whole-retina microglial transduction efficiency. Using flow cytometry, we assessed the percentage of eGFP+ CD11b+CD45+ cells and detected a mean transduction efficiency of 45% and 25% for intravitreal and subretinal injection (Figure S1J).

Since both viral delivery strategies caused minor injury, thereby initiating microglial proliferation,28,29 we compared the microglial density within ROI1 to naive, non-injected animals (Figure S2A). Only the subretinal method increased microglial density in both plexiform layers. When we assessed each ROI separately, the effect only occurred in ROI1, whereas ROI2 remained at the naive level (Figure S2B). Intravitreal injection did not affect microglial cell density (Figure S2C). We detected a similar relationship in whole-retina analysis by flow cytometry (Figure S2D). To confirm that increased efficiency at ROI1 is not due to increased cell density, we calculated the Pearson’s coefficient for both subretinal and intravitreal injections at ROI1 (Figures S2E and S2F). We found a negative correlation for subretinal injection, suggesting we may underestimate efficiency, while there was no effect for intravitreal injection.

Together, our data show that scAAV2/6TYT-CD68-eGFP successfully transduced retinal microglia, preferentially at the ROI closest to the injection site, and that the viral delivery route influences layer preference and viral diffusion across the microglial population.

Loss of inner retinal barriers did not improve microglial transduction after intravitreal delivery

Effective viral-mediated transgene delivery faces multiple challenges in vivo, like physical barriers.30 Intravitreally delivered viral particles must first bypass the inner limiting membrane, the dense extracellular matrix around the nerve fiber, and the ganglion cell layer to reach the IPL.31 Disrupting these barriers using the optic nerve crush (ONC) model has been shown to result in greater penetration into the retinal layers for AAV2.32–34 To determine whether ONC influenced microglial transduction, we performed ONC on adult C57BL6/J mice and intravitreally injected scAAV2/6TYT-CD68-eGFP 4 weeks post-injury (Figure 2A). At this time, microglia have cleared the apoptotic ganglion cells35 and returned to a non-reactive morphology, as confirmed by Sholl analysis (Figure S3A). Two weeks after the injection, we analyzed the retinas for eGFP+ microglia and compared to non-crushed, intravitreally injected retinas. Unexpectedly, neither the OPLmicroglia nor the IPLmicroglia transduction efficiency improved (Figures 2B–2E), even though ONC resulted in a 50% cell loss in the ganglion cell layer (Figure S2B). The viral spread was also unchanged in the IPL (Figure 2F). Thus, the ONC-mediated reduction of the physical barrier did not further improve microglial transduction.

Rod photoreceptor loss enhanced microglial transduction after subretinal delivery

Subretinally delivered viral particles must pass the densely packed outer nuclear layer (ONL) to target cells in the OPL. Photoreceptor degeneration models, such as retinal degeneration model 10 (rd10), reduce this physical barrier. rd10 harbors a missense point mutation in the Pde6b gene leading to progressive rod photoreceptor degeneration,36 which peaks at postnatal day 25 (P25)–P30. At P65, the ONL thickness was reduced (Figure S4A), and microglial density was comparable to naive (Figures S4B and S4C). Therefore, we subretinally injected scAAV2/6TYT-CD68-eGFP in Pde6brd10/d10 mice at P65 and age-matched C57BL6/J controls and analyzed the retina 2 weeks post-injection (Figure 3A). We found enhanced OPLmicroglia transduction in Pde6brd10/d10 compared to controls (Figures 3B and 3C). Also, the median viral spread significantly improved across ROIs by five-fold (Figure 3D). Although subretinal delivery is not the optimal route for targeting OPLmicroglia (Figure 1E), we unexpectedly found a significant increase at both ROIs (Figures 3E–3G).

Since ONL loss is apparent by P27 in Pde6brd10/d10 (Figure S4A), we investigated whether enhanced transduction is already evident. Therefore, we injected subretinally scAAV2/6TYT-CD68-eGFP at P27 and collected the retinas 2 weeks post-injection (Figure S5A). For OPLmicroglia, the transduction efficiency increased, but only for ROI1 (Figures S5B–S5D). For IPImicroglia, we no longer observed increased transduction efficiency (Figures S5E and S5F), and there was no change in spread across ROIs for either plexiform layer (Figure S5G), which is in contrast to Pde6brd10/d10 P65.

Taken together, the loss of the physical ONL barrier in Pde6brd10/d10 benefits OPLmicroglia transduction efficiency, while the P65 environment further supports transduction across plexiform layers and ROIs.

Mutation of AAV6TYT capsid heparin binding sites improved OPLmicroglia transduction

Extracellular matrix remodeling in the outer retinal layers could explain the increased transduction and spread in the Pde6brd10/d10 P65 environment. Heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs), a component of...
the extracellular matrix, are one of the primary binding receptors between AAVs and the cell surface.38,39 Boye et al.40 have shown improved outer retinal transduction through selective capsid mutations at binding sites of heparin, a highly sulfated form of heparan sulfate. A previously identified single mutation, K531E, reduced heparin binding capacity by AAV6.41 Therefore, we introduced this mutation to the AAV6TYY capsid (scAAVK531E-CD68-eGFP) and performed subretinal injection in adult C57BL6/J animals. When we analyzed the retinas 2 weeks later, microglial transduction efficiency did not improve in either plexiform layer (Figure S6A). Combined heparin-binding mutations increased viral spread;40 thus, we included three additional mutations (R576Q, K493S, and K459S; Figure 4A).42 After confirming that the mutated AAV6 capsid (AAV6D4) transduced microglia in primary mixed glial cells in vitro (Figure S6B), we subretinally injected scAAV2/6D4-CD68-eGFP into adult C57BL6/J mice (Figure 4B). OPLmicroglia showed a two-fold increase in transduction for ROI1 compared to scAAV2/6TYY (Figures 4C and 4D). The efficiency did not improve for OPLmicroglia in ROI2 (Figure 4E), or for IPLmicroglia in either ROI (Figures 4F–4H), suggesting that the AAV2/6D4 capsid may have limitations in lateral diffusion through the ONL and/or crossing the inner nuclear layer to reach the IPLmicroglia niche.

Heparin binding is required for AAV to pass the inner limiting membrane;43 therefore, to validate our mutant capsid scAAV2/6D4-CD68-eGFP, we also performed intravitreal injections. Indeed, the percentage of transduced IPLmicroglia was significantly reduced (Figure S6C). We observed few eGFP+ microglia close to the ROI1 and none in the ROI2 (Figure S6D), suggesting minimal access from the injection procedure. Overall, the mutated heparin binding sites in our scAAV2/6D4-CD68-eGFP improved OPLmicroglia transduction.

Microglial-specific transduction with Cre recombinase-dependent AAV2/6D4
Although we improved efficiency with scAAV2/6D4-CD68-eGFP, we still frequently encountered off-target transgene expression in cone arrestin+ photoreceptors in the ONL, glial fibrillary acidic protein+ (GFAP) Müller glia in the inner nuclear (INL), and RNA-binding protein with multiple splicing+ (RBPMS) ganglion cells and GFAP+ astrocytes in the ganglion cell layer (GCL) (Figures S7A–S7C and S1H). When we counted the number of retinas with at least one positive off-target cell, all retinas in INL and GCL exhibited off-target cells, and over half in the ONL (Figure S7D). Since this unspecific expression limits downstream applications and due to the lack of

Figure 2. Microglial transduction efficiency was unaltered after optic nerve crush (ONC)
(A) Experimental timeline. ONC surgery performed on the left eye of adult C57BL6/J mice. scAAV2/6TYY-CD68-eGFP intravitreally delivered 4 weeks later. Retinas collected 2 weeks after injection. (B and D) Retinal whole-mount images of OPLmicroglia or IPLmicroglia after ONC or naive non-crushed controls stained with Iba1 (magenta) and eGFP (green). White arrows indicate zoom-in region. Scale bar: 50 μm; zoom-in: 15 μm. (C and E) Percent microglial transduction efficiency (Iba1/eGFP-double-positive/total Iba1+ cell numbers) for OPLmicroglia (C, Wilcoxon rank-sum test: p = 0.633) and IPLmicroglia (E, Wilcoxon rank-sum test: p = 0.899) naive non-crushed control or ONC at ROI1. Each point represents ROI1 from one retina. Diamond: male; circle: female. Two experiments pooled (1/2/C2 10^{12} gc/mL or 1.37/2/10^{11} gc/mL). (F) Comparison of transduction efficiency across ROIs for individual retinas analyzed in IPLmicroglia in naive non-crushed control (Wilcoxon signed-rank test: p = 0.014) or ONC condition (Wilcoxon signed-rank test: p = 0.249). Gray lines connect ROIs from a single retina. Naive non-crushed control: n = 19 retinas, 12 mice. ONC: n = 6 retinas, 6 mice. *p < 0.05, n.s. > 0.05.
synthetic microglial-selective promoters, we decided to improve specificity by introducing an inducible double-floxed inverse orientation (DIO) sequence into the transfer vector (Figure 5A). In combination with the Cx3cr1CreERT2 mouse line, we can selectively induce transgene inversion in microglia upon tamoxifen exposure. This mouse model is advantageous over the constitutive Cx3cr1-Cre, since expression of this receptor is only microglia/macrophage specific after embryonic day 18. We subretinally injected the scAAV2/6Δ4-CD68-DIO-eGFP into Cx3cr1CreERT2/+ mice. To induce Cre-mediated inversion, we intraperitoneally injected tamoxifen for 3 consecutive days 1 week after viral delivery and analyzed transgene expression 2 weeks later (Figure 5B).

As expected, eGFP expression was selective for microglia and tamoxifen dependent (Figures 5C and 5D). Without tamoxifen, we occasionally observed microglial transduction (Figure 5D), which was expected
based on previously reported “Cre-leakiness” in the Cx3cr1CreERT2 model. Furthermore, the absence of the Cre recombinase in C57BL6/J animals prevented DIO-mediated inversion and eGFP expression (Figure S8A). We also assessed whether transduced microglia exhibited a different reactivity profile compared to neighboring non-transduced cells. We found no significant differences in OPLmicroglia between CD68 expression, which increases as a cell enters a more reactive state, or in cell morphology based on Sholl analysis (Figures S8B and S8C). IPLmicroglia showed small differences, which may be attributed to the small number of transduced cells in the IPL (Figure S8D).

Minimal off-target transgene expression remained with the combination of DIO-AAV and Cx3cr1CreERT2/+ mice. Within ROI1, only the ONL showed 1–2 off-target cells in 3 out of 13 retinas (Figure 5E). This could be attributed to spontaneous transgene inversion during AAV production. Indeed, we observed similar off-target expression in the ONL of both Cx3cr1CreERT2/+ mice without tamoxifen and C57BL6/J with tamoxifen (Figure 5D).

Together, the combined approach using scAAV2/6Δ4-CD68-DIO-eGFP and Cx3cr1CreERT2/+ mice confirms microglial-specific transgene expression with minimal off-target labeling.
Optimization of microglial transduction using Cre-dependent AAV2/6\textsuperscript{ΔA}

Using the retina as a model environment to establish and validate in vivo microglia-specific targeting allowed us to further refine technical aspects of our system.

One technical challenge could be cross-recombination when using the Cre-dependent AAV in tandem with a floxed reporter mouse line.\textsuperscript{50} To estimate the likelihood of cross-recombination, which can result in loss of the reporter transgene expression, we subretinally injected scAAV2/6\textsuperscript{ΔA}-CD68-DIO-eGFP with and without receiving tamoxifen treatment. White arrows indicate zoom-in. Scale bar: 50 μm; zoom-in: 15 μm. (D) Comparison of transduction efficiency (Iba1/eGFP-double-positive/total Iba1\textsuperscript{+} cell numbers) in the OPL with and without tamoxifen treatment (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, p = 0.038). (E) Ratio of analyzed retinas showing off-target eGFP expression in the indicated retinal layers. Dataset for comparison. Cx3cr1\textsuperscript{CreERT2/+} with tamoxifen: 14 retinas, 9 mice. Cx3cr1\textsuperscript{CreERT2/+} without tamoxifen: 4 retinas, 3 mice. *p < 0.05, loxP, locus of X-over P1; CreERT2, tamoxifen-inducible Cre recombinase; Cx3cr1, CX3C chemokine receptor 1; DIO, double-floxed inverse orientation; IP, intraperitoneal; TYY, scAAV2/6\textsuperscript{TYY}. Another technical consideration is viral titer, which influences both transduction efficiency and ocular toxicity in vivo.\textsuperscript{29,52} Thus, we compared how subretinal injection of different viral titers impacted OPL\textsubscript{microglia} transduction efficiency using the scAAV2/6\textsuperscript{TYY}-CD68-DIO-eGFP in adult Cx3cr1\textsuperscript{CreERT2/+} animals. A viral titer of 3 \times 10^{12} genome copies (gc)/mL resulted in 8% OPL\textsubscript{microglia} transduction (Figure 6C), while halving the titer to 1.5 \times 10^{11} gc/mL led to 14% microglial transduction. Further reduction of the injection volume to a titer of 9 \times 10^{10} gc/mL slightly enhanced OPL\textsubscript{microglia} transduction to 17%, suggesting that lower titer might be beneficial for subretinal injections targeting microglia.

One major limitation of self-complementary AAVs is the reduced packaging size, which enhances transgene expression\textsuperscript{53} but, on the other hand, halves the AAV packaging size. Thus, we generated a single-stranded AAV (ssAAV) vector and subretinally injected...
Figure 6. Optimization of microglia targeting using AAV2/6Δ4-CD68-DIO-eGFP

(A) Retinal whole mounts of the OPLmicroglia and IPLmicroglia of Cx3cr1CreERT2+/+/A9−/− mice after subretinal injection of scAAVΔ4-CD68-DIO-eGFP and tamoxifen treatment. Scale bar: 50 μm. (B) Quantification of co-expression of eGFP and TdTomato. (C) Comparison of OPLmicroglia transduction efficiency (Iba1/eGFP-double-positive/total Iba1+ cell numbers) using different viral titers (Kruskal-Wallis test: p = 0.127). (D) Experimental timeline. Cx3cr1CreERT2+/+ mice received subretinal injection of ssAAVΔ4-CD68-DIO-eGFP and tamoxifen injections for 3 consecutive days 1 week after viral injection. 4 weeks after the first tamoxifen injection the retinas were collected. (E) Retinal whole mounts (legend continued on next page).
ssAAV2/6Δ4, CD68-DIO-eGFP into the retina. The overall transduction efficiency was consistent with the scAAV2/6Δ4, CD68-DIO-eGFP (Figures 6D–6F), indicating that the self-complementary genome does not contribute to significant differences in transgene expression. The ssAAV can allow a size up to 3 kb for the transgene after exclusion of promoter, ITR, and polyA sequences. Finally, the retina allows for investigation of niche-selective microglial targeting. Our AAV2/6Δ4 capsid showed preference for OPLmicroglia while IPLmicroglia transduction remained low (Figure 4G; Figures S8B and S8C). Since we found a significant increase in IPLmicroglia transduction in the P65 Pde6brd10/d10 condition, we questioned whether a potential niche-selective expression of the scAAV2/6Δ4 capsid remained (Figure 3C). Thus, we crossed the Cx3cr1CreERT2/+ onto the Pde6brd10/d10 background, subretinally injected scAAV2/6Δ4-CD68-DIO-eGFP, and compared the results to scAAV2/6TTY-CD68-eGFP from Figure 3C. ScAAV2/6Δ4 transduced IPLmicroglia at a similar level to scAAV2/6TTY (Figure 6G), suggesting that the AAV2/6Δ4 capsid is capable of transducing both retinal microglial niches. Taken together, we demonstrated the efficacy of the Cre-dependent AAV2/6Δ4 and validated the viral tool for microglial transduction in the retina.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we show that retinal microglia can be successfully targeted using AAV and are influenced by delivery route. We identified that during photoreceptor degeneration, microglial transduction improved and used this result to inform generation of a modified AAV2/6Δ4 that enhanced OPLmicroglia in adult animals. Finally, we optimized several parameters to improve AAV2/6Δ4 for future microglial transduction studies.

**Transduction of microglia in degenerative conditions**

In two retinal degenerative conditions, we were able to use known environmental changes to dissect parameters that may affect microglial transduction efficiency. ONC disrupts the inner limiting membrane and reduces the nerve fiber layer thickness.32 We expected that this would improve AAV2/6 access to reach the retinal layers and therefore microglial transduction; however, this was not the case. One explanation could be the difference in AAV2 and AAV6 capsid tropism and heparin-binding affinity. AAV2 capsid has a high affinity for heparin and accumulates at the inner limiting membrane, which requires HSPG binding to pass through.38,54 In contrast, AAV6 uses both HSPG and sialic acid for cellular attachment and entry and displays a weaker heparin-binding affinity than AAV2 capsid.51,55 This suggests that AAV2 is inferior to AAV6 at spreading evenly throughout the retina after intravitreal delivery,56,57 and therefore it may not gain an advantage to targeting cells after ONC. In contrast to ONC, subretinal injection in the Pde6brd10/d10 resulted in a robust microglial transduction (Figure 3). The virus is trapped in the subretinal space, where the weaker heparin-binding affinity of AAV2/6Δ4 may assist its propagation through the subretinal space before cell attachment. Furthermore, extracellular matrix remodeling, accompanied by the reduction of the densely packed ONL, could affect viral cell attachment and transduction in the subretinal space.58

The surprising finding was the significant increase in IPLmicroglia transduction in the P65 Pde6brd10/d10 environment (Figure 3). The reason for this could be two-fold: either the extracellular matrix has already been restructured in the INL, allowing easier access of AAV to the IPLmicroglia and/or a multifaceted glial response has adapted to the changing degenerative environment. Microglia are known to take on new and distinct transcriptional states in degenerative conditions.23,59 The increase in IPLmicroglia transduction from P27 to P65 Pde6brd10/d10 could suggest that microglia become more susceptible to transduction throughout disease progression, which is an interesting observation for follow-up studies.

**Nonspecific labeling**

Off-target transgene expression is an ongoing challenge in viral gene delivery and can only be circumvented by optimizing viral tropism and cell-type-specific promoters. Both aspects are ill-defined for microglia. Besides AAVs, lentiviral vectors have been used to target microglia, but they prevent off-target transgene expression upon employing a microRNA-9 sponge.60 This strategy is suboptimal, because microRNA-9 has known effects on neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity;72,62 thus, sequestering microRNA-9 in off-target cells could result in unknown effects that confound experimental results. Here, we have focused on AAV2/6 due to its suggested specificity in targeting microglia in vivo.14 However, we found that scAAV2/6TTY-CD68-eGFP resulted in strong off-target cell type labeling in the retina. Implementing a tamoxifen-inducible system combining Cx3cr1CreERT2 animals with scAAV2/6Δ4, DIO-CD68-eGFP led to microglia-specific labeling in the GCL and INL (Figure S1). Only in the ONL, we found non-specific labeling of 1–5 cells per ROI in only a few retinas analyzed. We suspect that this is due to spontaneous inversion of DIO transgenes,49 which we substantiated in our control experiments (Figure 5D). To eradicate off-target expression, incorporation of mutant recombinase recognition sites can prevent the spontaneous inversion.49

Nevertheless, the microglial community is in need of microglia-specific promoters. The rapid environmental adaptation of microglia is reflected by transcriptional changes, which makes it challenging to identify reliable promoters that encompass all potential microglial conditions. Promoters such as CD68 and Cx3cr1 also label blood-derived or brain-barrier-associated macrophages.63,64 Proposed new

---

of OPLmicroglia and IPLmicroglia of Cx3cr1CreERT2/+ mice after subretinal injection of ssAAV2/6Δ4-CD68-DIO-eGFP and tamoxifen treatment. White arrows indicate zoom-in. Scale bar: 50 μm; zoom-in: 15 μm. (F) Comparison of microglial transduction efficiency between ROIs for both OPL and IPL niche after subretinal delivery of ssAAV2/6Δ4, CD68-eGFP. (G) Comparison of transduction efficiency in the OPLmicroglia and IPLmicroglia of C57BL6/J, Pde6brd10/d10 (red10) (Figure 3 dataset) and Cx3cr1CreERT2/+ Pde6brd10/d10 mice after subretinal delivery. *p < 0.05. ssAAV, single-stranded adeno-associated virus.
markers from RNA sequencing studies such as transmembrane protein 119 (TMEM119) have been recently challenged on their specificity (e.g., in the retina). On the other hand, the retina provides a unique opportunity to explore novel viral transduction strategies and validating new promoters in future studies.

Variability of microglial transduction efficiency

Across CNS regions and viral types, microglial transduction remains at low efficiencies and variable within conditions. We observed variation within experimental groups throughout our work, which was independent from injection method, capsid variants, Cre-dependent genomes, or animal sex. Interestingly, in our cross-validation fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) experiments, we also saw significant variability among transduction efficiencies of microglia, even though the overall percentage of eGFP cells (microglia and non-microglia) did not vary (Figures S1G and S1J). These variations appear in other studies, yet without further discussion of the source of the variation.

Transcriptomic studies have made it clear that the microglial population is highly heterogeneous. On the one hand, microglia maintain a common gene signature, which overlaps with other immune cells; yet on the other hand, microglia adapt to their local CNS niche, which might manifest into more distinctly heterogeneous populations after damage. Since we know microglia respond to both the injection-induced insult and to the AAV particles themselves, we may create a new microglial niches, which contribute to an overall increase in heterogeneity across the microglial population. One way to mitigate these effects could be to deliver the virus alongside compounds known to reduce microglia reactivity, for example, translocator protein (TSPO) or minocycline; however, the effects on the experimental condition must also be considered.

Conclusion

Our work highlights the feasibility of microglial transduction in the retina with a modified AAV2/6. Using retinal degeneration models to assess the effect of altered environments on microglial transduction, we found enhanced microglia targeting in a photoreceptor degenerative model. We applied this finding to generate a modified AAV2/6 that increases OPLmicroglia transduction in healthy adult animals. Finally, we validated and optimized a Cre-dependent AAV strategy for specific microglial targeting in vivo that provides the foundation for future studies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning

The self-complementary transfer vector (pAAV2-CD68-MVMi-DIO-eGFP) was generated using the pAAV2-CD68-MVMi-hGFP plasmid kindly provided by Rosario et al. and an RV-CAG-DIO-eGFP plasmid, purchased from Addgene (87662). Both plasmids were digested with SacI and PstI (New England Biolabs) to obtain fragments containing the vector backbone CD68 promoter and the DIO-eGFP insert, which were ligated to yield the final product, pAAV2-CD68-MVMi-DIO-eGFP. The single-stranded (ss) pAAV2-CD68-MVMi-DIO-eGFP plasmid was generated by PCR amplification of the insert containing CD68 promoter and loxP sites flanking the eGFP using primers CD68-DIO-eGFP For and CD68-DIO-eGFP Rev (Table 1) from the pAAV2-CD68-MVMi-DIO-eGFP plasmid. The resulting product, along with ssAAV backbone (pAAV-ProA3(SynP137)-ChR2d-eGFP-WPRE), kindly provided by Botond Roska, was digested with MluI and SacI. The ligated product was transformed and purified, then confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Site-directed mutagenesis

Single-nucleotide substitutions were performed using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit from New England Biolabs (E05525). Exponential amplification of the viral plasmid capsid (pACGr2c6-T492V-Y705F-Y731F) provided by Rosario et al. was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each mutagenesis PCR reaction was performed subsequently on the resulting plasmid from the previous confirmed mutagenesis reaction using the primer pairs listed in Table 1. Mutant vectors were transformed in chemically competent DH5α cells (Invitrogen) and DNA isolated using the Monarch mini-prep DNA kits. Mutations were confirmed by sequencing, and alignment was performed with SnapGene 4.1.9.

AAV production

Transfection of HEK293T cells

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and maintained at 37°C in 5% (v/v) CO₂ in complete medium (DMEM high-glucose Glutamax supplemented by pyruvate [Thermo Fisher Scientific, 31966047], 10% [v/v] fetal bovine serum [FBS] [Gibco Fetal Bovine Serum, qualified, E.U.-approved, South America origin, Thermo Fisher Scientific 10270106], 1% [v/v] penicillin/streptomycin [stock: 10,000 U/mL, 100 μg/mL], and 0.25% [v/v] amphotericin B (Invitrogen). HEK293T cells (10⁵) were seeded in 10 cm dish and transfected with 10 μg of plasmid DNA using Lipofectamine® 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 11668-019) following the manufacturer’s instructions. After 36 h, supernatants were harvested and stored at −80°C until analysis.
Table 2. List of AAVs

| Virus name          | Transfer vector                      | Capsid                                      | Titer (gc/mL)     |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| scAAV2/eTV-C68-eGFP | pAAV2-CD68-MV-Mi-eGFP                | pACG r2c6-T492V+y705F+y731F                | 1.37 × 10^11      |
| scAAV2/e3501e-C68-eGFP | pAAV2-CD68-MV-Mi-eGFP                | pACG r2c6-T492V+y705F+y731F+K531E          | 1.94 × 10^11      |
| scAAV2/e644-C68-eGFP | pAAV2-CD68-MV-Mi-eGFP                | pACG r2c6-T492V+y705F+y731F+K531E+K459S+K576Q+K549S | 5.59 × 10^12      |
| scAAV2/e644-C68-DIO-eGFP | pAAV2-CD68-MV-Mi-DIO-eGFP            | pACG r2c6-T492V+y705F+y731F+K531E+K459S+K576Q+K549S | 1.17 × 10^13      |
| scAAV2/e644-C68-DIO-eGFP | pAAV2-CD68-MV-Mi-DIO-eGFP            | pACG r2c6-T492V+y705F+y731F+K531E+K459S+K576Q+K549S | 3 × 10^12        |
| scAAV2/e644-C68-DIO-eGFP | pAAV2-CD68-MV-Mi-DIO-eGFP            | pACG r2c6-T492V+y705F+y731F+K531E+K459S+K576Q+K549S | 3.13 × 10^13      |

Each AAV was produced with the corresponding transfer vector and capsid. Resulting titer(s) are noted in genome copies per milliliter (gc/mL). scAAV, self-complementary adenovirus; C68, cluster of differentiation 68; eGFP, enhanced green fluorescence protein; MV, minute virus of mice.

Thermo Fisher Scientific, 15140122], 1% [v/v] non-essential amino acids [stock: 100 ×, Sigma-Aldrich, M7145–100ML]. Ten T150 flasks were seeded to reach 80% confluency for the day of transfection. High yield of plasmid DNA was obtained using the NucleoBond Xtra Maxi Plus EF (Macherey-Nagel, 740426.50). 70 μg AAV packaging plasmid, 70 μg AAV vector plasmid, and 200 μg helper plasmid were added to 50 mL DMEM without serum, followed by 1,360 μL PEI (polyethylenimine, Polysciences, 24765–2). 5 mL of DNA-transfection mixture was added to each T150 flask after 15 min incubation.

AAV isolation

60 h after transfection, the cells were dislodged, pelleted, and stored at −80°C. For AAV isolation, cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], sterile filtered) and subject to three rounds of freeze/thaw cycles between dry ice/ethanol bath and 37°C water bath. MgCl2 was added (final concentration 1 mM), followed by TurboNuclease (final concentration 250 U/mL, BPS Bioscience, BPS 50310) to remove contaminating plasmid and genomic DNA. The cell suspension was spun down at 4,000 rpm at 4°C for 20 min, at which point the viral fraction was in the supernatant.

The virus was purified by discontinuous iodixanol gradient ultracentrifugation. Optireal tubes (Beckman Coulter, 361625) were filled with a density gradient of 60%, 40%, 25%, and 17% iodixanol solutions (Optiprep Iodixanol, Progen Biotechnik, 1114542).

The viral lysate supernatant was loaded on the top layer and the tubes were centrifuged at 242,000 × g at 16°C for 90 min in a Beckman Optima XPN–100 ultracentrifuge, using a 70Ti rotor. The AAV particles were harvested from the intersection of 60% and 40% gradients and purified and concentrated using Amicon filters (Millipore Amicon 100K, Merck, UFC910008). 20 μL aliquots were stored at −80°C, and a 5 μL aliquot was reserved for titration by qPCR. The DNase-I (New England Biolabs, M0303S) treated virus aliquot was serially diluted (1:10 to 1:100,000) and run alongside a linearized standard template DNA (1 × 10^10–1 × 10^13 gc). The Luna universal qPCR Master mix (New England Biolabs, M3003L) was prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions with a reaction volume of 10 μL and run on a BioRad C1000 cycler using the following primers to amplify a 100 bp product within the bovine growth hormone polyadenylation signal (BGHpA): forward: 5′-CCAGCCCATCTGTTGT TTGC-3′; reverse: 5′-ACAATGCGATGCAATTTCC-3′. The viral genome copy number per milliliter (gc/mL) was calculated as previously described by Pfaffl.73 All AAVs produced for this study are listed in Table 2. Viruses were diluted when required to use consistent titers for experimental and control groups for accurate comparisons.

Primary mixed glia culture

Mixed glia cultures were prepared as detailed by Bronstein et al. Briefly, cortices were dissected from 3–5 murine pups aged P0–P2 in ice-cold Hank’s buffered saline, then digested in 0.05% Trypsin + EDTA (1 ×) for 15 min at 37°C. The digestion was neutralized by adding (v/v) serum-containing medium (DMEM, 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% non-essential amino acids), and the cells were pelleted at 500 × g for 5 min. After one wash, the cell pellet was resuspended in 15 mL of medium and passed through a 40 μm cell strainer. This cell suspension was plated directly onto an ibidi 8-well chamber slide (200 μL/well). The culture medium was replaced after the third day, and at day 10 the mixed glia culture was mature for further experiments. For viral transduction, 1 × 10^8 viral genome copies were added per well of an 8-well ibidi chamber slide (growth area, 1 cm^2).

Animals

As indicated throughout the study, mice of both sexes and ages (4–17 weeks) were used. Founder animals were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory for the following strains: C57BL6/J (000664), Pde6bfl/fl;Pdcd4d10 (004297), C57/6008034AJR (020940), and C57/6008034AJR (004297). All mice were backcrossed to the C57BL6/J background for at least 10 generations. Animals were housed and maintained in the IST Austria Preclinical Facility, with 12 h light-dark cycle and food and water provided ad libitum. All animal procedures were approved by the Bundesministerium für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Wirtschaft (bmwfw) Tierversuchsgesetz 2012, BGBl. I Nr. 114/2012 (TVG 2012) under the number GZ BMWFUW-66.018/
per day for 3 consecutive days. Simultaneous injection of 100 U (Ursapharm Arzneimittel) were applied to numb the eyes, and subcutaneous injection of 10 mg/kg L Metacam (Meloxacam, Boehringer Ingelheim) per 25 g mouse (5 mg/kg) alleviated pain.

Table 3. Antibody dilutions

| Antibody                          | Dilution | Company                      |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| Anti-GFP chicken                  | 1:500    | Thermo-Fisher Scientific     |
| Anti-Iba1 goat                    | 1:250    | Abcam (ab 5076)              |
| Anti-Iba1 rabbit                  | 1:500    | GeneTex (GTX100042)          |
| Anti-RBPMS rabbit                 | 1:200    | Abcam (194213)               |
| Anti-Cone arrestin rabbit         | 1:350    | Sigma-Aldrich (AB15282)      |
| Anti-GFAP rabbit                  | 1:350    | Dako (Z 0334)                |
| Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-chicken IgY | 1:500 | Sigma-Aldrich (SAB 4600031-250 μL) |
| Alexa Fluor 568 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) | 1:2,000 | Thermo-Fisher Scientific (A10042) |
| Alexa Fluor 647 donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L) | 1:2,000 | Thermo-Fisher Scientific (A21447) |
| Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) | 1:2,000 | Thermo-Fisher Scientific (A31573) |
| Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-chicken IgG (H+L) | 1:2,000 | Thermo-Fisher Scientific (A11041) |
| Anti-CD11b mouse eFluor 660       | 6 μg/mL  | Thermo Fisher Scientific (50-0112-82) |
| Anti-CD45 mouse eFluor 450        | 15 μg/mL | Thermo Fisher Scientific (48-0451-82) |
| Anti-CD16/CD32 monoclonal Ab      | 1 μg/mL  | Thermo Fisher Scientific (14-0161-85) |

CD11b/Gr1, integrin alpha M; CD16/CD32, Fc fragment of IgG; CD45/PTPRC, protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, C, Iba1, ionized calcium-binding adaptor molecule 1; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; GFP, green fluorescent protein.

Anesthesia and surgical preparation

Mice were anesthetized with 5% (v/v) isoflurane (Zoetis) supplemented with oxygen at a flow rate of 0.6 L/min. The anesthetized mice were transferred to a heating pad placed under a Leica dissection microscope housed in a biosafety cabinet and subsequently maintained at 2.5% (v/v) isoflurane supplemented with oxygen via a nose cone during the procedure. Proparacaine (0.5% HCl) eye drops (Ursapharm Arzneimitel) were applied to numb the eyes, and subcutaneous injection of 100 μL Metacam (Meloxicam, Boehringer Ingelheim) per 25 g mouse (5 mg/kg) alleviated pain.

Ocular injections

A jeweler’s forceps was used to grasp the conjunctiva, then the sclera was carefully punctured with the bevel of a 27G (Henry Schein Medical) needle just below the limbus. A Nanofil syringe equipped with a 35G blunt-ended needle (World Precision Instruments) was inserted via the pre-punctured hole. For trans-scleral subretinal injections, the syringe needle was inserted with care to avoid the lens and continued until resistance could be detected indicating passage through the retinal tissue. A slight retraction of the needle allows the syringe content to be released into the subretinal space of the inferotemporal or superotemporal quadrant for the right or left eyes, respectively. For intravitreal injections, the needle was inserted 1–2 mm into the eye. Once inserted in either method, 1 μL virus was slowly released over 30 s into the subretinal space or into the vitreous body, and the syringe remained in position for an additional 45 s. Triple-antibiotic ointment was applied to the eye after the procedure. Injections were carried out on the same day for control and experimental groups (e.g., ONC versus non-crushed naive controls). Comparison groups are indicated in figure legends.

Optic nerve crush (ONC)

The lateral canthus of the left eye was pinched for 10 s using a hemostat, then a lateral canthotomy was performed to allow visualization of the posterior pole. A jeweler’s forceps was used to firmly secure the eye at the limbus of the conjunctiva. A micro-dissection scissors was used to cut the conjunctiva in both the superior and inferior direction. To expose the optic nerve, a window was created by carefully dissecting the surrounding muscle and fascia. The optic nerve was then pinched 1 mm from the posterior pole for 4 s using a curved N5 self-closing forceps (Dumont). Triple-antibiotic ointment was applied to the eye to prevent infection.

Retina preparation and immunostaining

Transduction efficiency was assessed at 2 weeks post injection, which should be sufficient to reach high expression with the self-complementary AAV. Following cervical dislocation and decapitation, eyes were enucleated with curved forceps. Retinas were rapidly dissected in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and transferred to 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, P6148-1KG) for 30 min fixation. After 3× wash in 1× PBS, retinas were placed overnight at 4°C in 30% (w/v) sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich, 84097-1KG)/PBS. After three freeze-thaw cycles on dry ice, retinas were washed three times with 1× PBS, and blocked for 1 h at room temperature (RT) in blocking solution (1% [w/v] bovine serum albumin [Sigma A9418], 5% [v/v] Triton X-100 [Sigma T8787], 0.5% [w/v] sodium azide [VWR 786-299], and 10% [v/v] serum [either goat, Millipore S26, or donkey, Millipore S30]).

For immunostaining, primary antibodies were diluted in antibody solution containing 1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, 5% [v/v] Triton X-100, 0.5% (v/v) sodium azide, 3% (v/v) goat or donkey serum for at least 3 days at 4°C on a shaker. The dilution factors of the antibodies are shown in Table 3. After washing, the retinas were incubated light-protected with secondary antibodies (Table 3) diluted in antibody solution for 2 h at room temperature on a shaker. The retinas were washed three times with 1× PBS for 30 min. The nuclei were labeled with Hoechst 33342 (1:5,000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, H3570) in 1× PBS for 10 min at room temperature and washed again three times with 1× PBS for 30 min. The retina was whole mounted on a glass cover slide with the ganglion cell layer facing up, and antifade solution containing 10% (v/v) Mowiol (Sigma, 81381), 26% (v/v) glycerol.
between 5% and 10%.

**Exclusion criteria**

We excluded retinas from the analysis when (1) the injected eye exhibited cataract formation due to damage of the lens during injection, (2) retinal hemorrhage during the injection procedure was visible after dissection, or (3) the immunostaining showed amoeboid-shaped Iba1+ cells located outside of the plexiform layers either in the nerve fiber layer or the outer nuclear layer indicating infiltrating macrophage due to injection damage. The overall exclusion incidence was between 5% and 10%.

**Retina dissociation and flow cytometry**

Animals were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane (Zoetis, 6089373), decapitated, and the retina was immediately explanted and dissected on ice in Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS, Thermo Fisher 14175053). After dissection, retinas were enzymatically dissociated in the pre-warmed digestion solution: 1:8 cysteine/EDTA solution (2.5 mM cysteine, 0.5 mM EDTA [ethylendiaminetetraacetic acid] in HBSS), 10 mM HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) in HBSS, and papain (10 mg/mL Roche 10108014001) for 10 min at 37°C. Samples were then centrifuged for 2.5 min at 1,600 rpm. The supernatant was discarded, and cells were washed twice with 1 mM EDTA in HBSS + 2% (v/v) FBS. The digested tissue was then mechanically dissociated through a pulled glass pipette.

Non-antigen-specific binding was blocked by incubating each sample with CD16/CD32 monoclonal antibody (Table 3) in 1 mM EDTA in HBSS + 2% (v/v) FBS solution on ice for 10 min. After washing once with 1 mM EDTA in HBSS + 2% (v/v) FBS, cells were incubated on ice for 30 min with fluorophore-conjugated antibodies against CD11b and CD45 (see Table 3). After the incubation, samples were washed once with ice-cold 1 mM EDTA in HBSS + 2% (v/v) FBS, filtered through a 70 μm strainer, and transferred to the flow cytometer (Sony SH800SFP).

Non-stained and single-stained samples were used to set auto-fluorescence thresholds and to compensate dyes, which was necessary due to overlapping emission wavelengths. Compensation was carried out with the Compensation Wizard from the SH800 flow cytometer software and then applied to each sample recording. Populations of interest were gated, and at least 100,000 events were recorded for each sample. All data analysis was performed using the FlowJo software (v.10.7.2; FlowJo, Ashland, OR, USA).

**Confocal microscopy and image analysis**

Flat-mounted retinas were imaged with an Axio Imager Z2 Zeiss LSM800 upright confocal microscope using a Nikon Plan-Apochromat 20× magnification air objective (NA 0.8). A 2 × 2 tile scan image with Nyquist z-steps was acquired in two ROIs of retina, each measuring 608 × 608 μm when stitched. All images were acquired using the same settings. Stitched tile scans were analyzed in Imaris v.9.3 using the spots function to facilitate cell counting. Transduction efficiency of microglia was analyzed in the outer and the inner plexiform layers of the retina. Transduction efficiency was calculated by dividing the number of transduced Iba1+ cells (Iba1/eGFP-double-positive cells) by the total number of Iba1+ cells within an ROI. Sholl analysis was determined by the number of filament Sholl intersections exported from a 3-dimensional microglial trace using the Filament tracing plug-in in Imaris v.9.3. CD68 volume was determined using the 3-dimensional surfaces function in Imaris v.9.3 based on CD68 immunostaining present within microglia. The total volume of CD68 was reported as a percentage of total microglial volume within an ROI.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistics were performed using the statistical functions in SciPy library (v.1.6.2) in python as indicated in the figure legends. Retinas were excluded from analysis if a cataract was present at the time of retinal dissection or if high macrophage infiltration in the tissue was observed, indicating significant tissue damage from the injection. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
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