Association between traffic-related air pollution and asthma in preschool children in a national Japanese nested case–control study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: There has been little study on the effect of traffic-related air pollution on the incidence and persistence of asthma in preschool children. We evaluated the association of exposure to traffic-related air pollution with the incidence/persistence of asthma during the first 3 years of life using a population-based study.

Methods: A baseline survey was conducted in 1½-year-old children (n=63 266). A follow-up survey at 3 years of age (n=43 343) identified new-onset asthma cases (n=853) and persistence of asthma (n=214). In the prevalence/persistence study, the outdoor concentrations of nitrogen oxides (NOx) and elemental carbon (EC) at home during the first 1½ years of life were estimated by a dispersion model. In the nested case–control study, which regarded incidence of asthma as cases, the personal exposure levels were estimated by dispersion model including time-activity pattern.

Results: There was no statistically significant association between the incidence of asthma between age 1½ and 3 years and personal exposure levels to NOx nor EC. However, the persistence of asthmatic symptoms (between 1½ and 3 years) was significantly associated with outdoor concentrations of NOx. ORs for the persistence of asthmatic symptoms were 6.02 (95% CI 1.51 to 23.92) for the comparison between the upper 5th and lower 25th centiles of NOx.

Conclusions: While no statistically significant association was observed for the incidence of asthma, the persistence of asthmatic symptoms in preschool children was significantly associated with traffic-related air pollution. This supports its importance as a risk factor in childhood airway disease.

INTRODUCTION

Epidemiological studies have suggested associations between traffic-related air pollution and incidence of asthma. However, despite the fact that the incidence of asthma is also high in preschool children, there have been only a limited number of studies investigating the association between traffic-related air pollution and the incidence of asthma during the preschool period.

In addition, previous epidemiological studies shared two common issues. The first issue was the evaluation of asthma during the preschool period. The lack of standardised diagnostic criteria for infantile asthma makes it inherently difficult to evaluate asthma in preschool children. The second issue was the accuracy of exposure assessment. Many of the relevant studies have introduced measures to adequately estimate outdoor concentrations of traffic-related pollution such as land-use regression (LUR) or dispersion models. In order to provide a more adequate assessment, however, it is applicable to evaluate the validity of estimated concentrations in comparison with measured concentrations.

In the past few years, the results of epidemiological studies conducted in Germany, British Columbia, Sweden, the Netherlands and Italy that also overcame these two issues have been published. Some studies
(British Columbia, GINI and LISA) have claimed that the association between air pollution and the incident of infantile asthma is found to be statistically significant. Other studies (Italy), however, have found the relation somewhat weak, while studies in Sweden even asserted that no relationship between them was observed. Thus, the results have been contradictory and demand that further epidemiological research is needed.

In Japan, the Study on Respiratory Disease and Automobile Exhaust (SORA project) was established by the Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan to overcome these three problems. In the SORA project, we developed an exposure estimation model whose performance was confirmed by field measurement, and a questionnaire which confirmed the validity of the evaluation of infantile asthma. This paper therefore shows the results of a nested case–control study conducted in 2006–2010 to determine whether exposure to traffic-related air pollution was associated with the incidence/persistence of asthma during the first 3 years of life.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This study of preschool children consisted of a baseline survey performed at the age of 1½ years, a follow-up survey at 3 years of age and a nested case–control study (figure 1). In the baseline survey at age 1½ years, the outcome was the prevalence of asthma. In the follow-up survey at age 3 years, the incidence of asthma was identified as well as the persistence of asthmatic symptoms during the follow-up period. In the nested case–control study, participants with new-onset asthma between the ages of 1½ and 3 years were regarded as cases, and participants randomly selected from those who did not develop asthma during this period were regarded as controls. An interview, blood examination and mite allergen test were conducted. The written informed consent was obtained from all parents of the participants.

Study area

Heavy-traffic roads with diesel particulate matter emission ≥8000 g/km/day were selected using road traffic census data from 1999 (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Japan) and regional mesh statistics of the census in fiscal year 2000 (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, Japan). Areas where the percentage of 1-year-old children living within 50 m of heavy-traffic roads was estimated to be high were selected from all municipalities in Japan.

As a result, nine cities and wards (Chiba City, Itabashi Ward, Suginami Ward, Setagaya Ward, Kawasaki City, Yokohama City, Nagoya City, Osaka City and Kobe City) were selected as survey areas. The percentage of 1-year-old children living within 50 m of heavy-traffic roads was estimated to be about 4%. The survey areas are shown in online supplementary figure S1.

Baseline survey

As a baseline survey, a questionnaire survey of all 1½-year-old children living in the survey areas was performed. The participants were identified every month in 2006–2008, based on the resident registration of the local governments. A questionnaire was mailed to each home of the participants together with a notice of a health check-up examination for 1½-year-old children (n=102 631) by the local governments. Their parents completed the questionnaire and brought it with them when their children underwent the health check-up examination. Replies were obtained from the parents of 63 266 participants (61.6%).

The questionnaire items were gender, familial smoking habits, the house structure, years of residence, presence/absence of pets, feeding method during the first 3 months of life, history of respiratory diseases, and parental history of allergic diseases. Concerning respiratory symptoms, ‘asthma’ and ‘wheezing’ were defined as follows on the basis of the American Thoracic Society–Division of Lung Disease (ATS-DLD) questionnaire and a preliminary survey by Shima et al. The preliminary survey showed that the sensitivity and specificity of the questionnaire used in the present study, for the paediatrician’s diagnosis of infantile asthma based on the guidelines by the Japanese Society of Pediatric Allergy and Clinical Immunology, were 17.9% and 97.2%, respectively.

Participants with ‘asthma’: A history of two or more attacks of dyspnoea accompanied by wheezing.

Participants with ‘wheezing’: A history of two or more episodes of wheezing or whistling in the chest without a history of asthma.

Follow-up survey

For the follow-up of all 3-year-old children living in the survey areas, a questionnaire survey was performed. The participants were identified every month in 2008–2010 on the basis of the resident registration of the local governments. A questionnaire was mailed to each home of the participants (n=104 477) using a method similar to that in the baseline survey. The parents brought the questionnaire with them when their children underwent the health check-up examination for 3-year-old children. Replies were obtained from the parents of 62 514 participants (59.8%). For participants who moved, questionnaires were mailed to the new addresses.

The questionnaire items were similar to those in the baseline survey for 1½-year-old children. The definition of ‘asthma’ was the same as that in the baseline survey. From 1½ to 3 years of age, 43 343 participants could be followed up (follow-up rate, 69.1%). Participants who did not have ‘asthma’ at the age of 1½ years and had...
‘asthma’ at the age of 3 years were regarded as cases for a nested case–control study. Participants who had ‘asthma’ at the ages of both 1½ and 3 years were defined as patients with persistent asthmatic symptoms.

Two-stage nested case–control study

A flow chart of the study design is shown in figure 1. In total, 853 case subjects who developed asthma between 1½ and 3 years of age were identified. The incidence of asthma during this period was 2.0%. Controls (4 controls per case) who matched the cases in terms of gender, age (months) and area were extracted from 41,322 children without asthma at the age of 1½ years. Since three controls were unavailable, 3,409 controls were finally selected for the control group.

Subsequently, 853 cases and 3,409 controls were classified into four groups according to the estimated outdoor elemental carbon (EC) concentration at home: high-exposure case and control groups (corresponding to the top 4% EC concentrations) and low-exposure case and control groups (corresponding to the other concentrations). The high-exposure groups included only 87 cases and 395 controls. Since both disease and exposure were rare in our study, we adopted a two-stage case–control design. All 87 cases in the high-exposure group were included as participants while participants in the other three groups are selected by random sampling. This method is reported to be efficient, similar to that of a complete survey.

All 87 cases in the high-exposure group were included as participants. From 395 controls in the high-exposure group, 766 cases in the low-exposure group, and 3,014 controls in the low-exposure group, 311, 328 and 328, respectively, were randomly selected. Finally, the parents of 1054 participants were asked to consent to an interview, blood examination and mite allergen test (figure 1).

The questions asked in an interview were family, histories of residence, attendance in nursery school/kindergarten, and childhood diseases, period of attacks of asthma, living environment, familial smoking habits, feeding methods, weaning time, vaccinations, house structure, height and weight.

For blood examination, participants visited cooperating medical institutions, and 4 mL of blood was
collected and examined for specific IgE concentrations for eight common allergens (Japanese cedar, mite, cat, dog, cockroach, egg white, milk and wheat) using ImmunoCAP (Phadia, Sweden). For children who had undergone these tests during the previous 1-year period, their test data were provided. Children with a specific IgE concentration of 0.70 kU/L or above for one or more allergens were considered positive.

For the bedding mite allergen test, the parents were asked to rub the upper side of a sheet (20×30 cm) for 1 min, and researchers classified the allergen level using a Dani Scan (Asahi Food & Health Care, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) into 4 grades: 4, ‘extreme contamination’; 3, ‘progressed contamination’; 2, ‘slight contamination’; and 1, ‘no contamination’. Grades 4 and 3 were regarded as positive for the allergen test, and grades 2 and 1 were regarded as negative.

**Exposure assessment**

Nitrogen oxides (NOx) and EC were chosen as indicator air pollutants. In roadside areas, both originate predominantly from automobiles. In the prevalence/persistence study, the estimated outdoor concentrations of NOx and EC at home during the first ½ years of life were used as parameters for the evaluation of exposure. Changes in residential address were taken into account when estimating exposure, whereas in the case–control study for incidence of asthma the personal exposure levels, estimated by the dispersion model including time-activity pattern at home and nursery school during the first ½ years of life and, in addition, taking into account changes in address were used.

To calculate long-term exposure both at a reasonably high spatial resolution and at a feasible computational cost, we developed an outdoor exposure estimation model, which estimates annual average outdoor concentrations of NOx and EC. The model is composed of two nested plume dispersion-type submodels: super-wide area (1 km grid) and wide area (arbitrary resolution) models. The super-wide area model estimates area-averaged concentration in the grid cells by using a Gaussian plume dispersion model based on Japanese guidelines. The wide area model estimates the concentration at subject points by using a Gaussian plume dispersion model based on the same guidelines. Only road vehicles on heavy-traffic roads that pass through the areas where the study participants reside were considered as emission sources. Model performance was confirmed by field measurements. The square Pearson’s correlation coefficients ($R^2$) between estimated and measured concentrations in the SORA study were 0.76–0.88 in NOx and 0.59–0.67 in EC. Further details on the models are described elsewhere.

Personal exposure levels of NOx and EC for each participant were calculated as a time-weighted average of the prediction by the developed dispersion model, and the indoor concentration was calculated assuming an infiltration rate from outdoor concentration. The detailed method is provided in online supplementary appendix A. (See the differences between personal exposure levels and outdoor concentrations in online supplementary figure S2 and table S1.)

**Statistical analyses**

In the baseline survey, a logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate the associations between the prevalence of asthma and outdoor concentration of NOx or EC adjusted for potential confounding variables, which are known or suspected to be associated with paediatric asthma. The following variables were taken into consideration for analysis as potential confounders: gender, districts, birth season, years of residence, feeding method during the first 3 months of life, familial smoking habits, house structure, heating system, history of pneumonia/bronchitis, empyema and allergic diseases, and parental history of asthma, atopic dermatitis and pollinosis. The ORs and their associated 95% CIs were calculated. The NOx or EC concentrations were classified as levels 5–1 (top 5%, 6–25%, 26–50%, 51–75% and 76–100%, respectively). Since the dose–response relationship may not be linear, we used categorised variables.

In the nested case–control study, a weighted logistic regression model was used to investigate the associations between the incidence of asthma and personal NOx or EC exposure level adjusted for matching factors and potential confounding variables. The ORs are estimated using the inverse probability of sampling weights. As potential confounding variables, the results of the interview survey, blood examination and mite allergen test were also added to the analysis. Robust variances were used to test the null hypotheses and to calculate CIs.

The persistence of asthmatic symptoms (between ½ and 3 years) was also investigated in the follow-up survey. A logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate the associations between the persistence of asthmatic symptoms and outdoor concentration of NOx or EC adjusted for potential confounding variables. We also adjusted for background concentrations of suspended particulate matter (SPM) and photochemical oxidants (Ox) for NOx model, nitrogen dioxide ($NO_2$) and Ox for EC model in the prevalence, incidence and persistence study. We used individual mean concentrations of SPM, Ox and $NO_2$, collected at the nearest air pollution monitoring stations during the first ½ years of life. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS V9.2 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

**Sensitivity analyses**

We conducted several sensitivity analyses to examine the robustness of our findings on the incidence and persistence of asthma. In the incidence study, we included crude analysis, with adjusting specific IgE test and mite allergen test, with adjusting background air pollution, use of continuous variables for personal exposure levels instead of categorisations, use of distance from heavily
trafficked roads (<50 m, 50 m to <100 m, 100 m or more), and with adjusting birth, the Kaup index, history of attendance in nursery school/kindergarten, birth order, parent with asthma, house structure, heating system, keeping pets (fowl, mammals), familial smoking habits at the age of 1½ years, feeding method during the first 3 months of life, and vaccines. In the persistence study, we included crude analysis, with adjusting background air pollution, and with adjusting gender, districts, birth season, years of residence, feeding method during the first 3 months of life, familial smoking habits, structure of house, heating system, keeping pets (cats, birds, dogs, hamsters, others).

RESULTS
Baseline survey
Descriptive data for the study population are given in table 1. The baseline survey showed a prevalence of asthma of 1.0% and that of wheezing to be 21.8%. The means ± SD of NOx and EC concentrations were 37.7 ±7.8 ppb and 2.8±0.45 μg/m^3, respectively. Their five concentration categories (levels 1–5) are shown in table 1. The top 5% (level 5) was 50.9–136.8 ppb for NOx and 3.6–7.5 μg/m^3 for EC. Correlation coefficients between air pollutants (NOx, EC, NO2, SPM and Ox) were provided in online supplementary table S2.

The results of logistic regression analyses with the prevalence of asthma and wheezing as the outcome variables are shown in table 2. As for the prevalence of asthma, the OR of level 5 NOx compared with level 1 was 1.20 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.84), and that of level 5 EC compared with level 1 was 1.04 (95% CI 0.67 to 1.61). Both ratios were not statistically significant.

Concerning the prevalence of wheezing, the ORs of levels 2–5 of EC compared with level 1 were 1.08–1.10. The ORs of levels 2–4 were significant. The ORs for levels 2–5 of NOx compared with level 1 were 1.05–1.08, which were not significant.

The analyses based on continuous variables for NOx and EC concentrations also showed no significant associations with the prevalence of either asthma or wheezing.

Two-stage nested case–control study
An interview survey was performed in 428 participants (40.6%), a bedding mite allergen test in 296 participants (28.1%), and blood examination in 181 participants (17.2%). Descriptive data for a two-stage nested case–control study population are provided in online supplementary table S3.

The results of crude, primary and sensitivity analyses of associations between the incidence of asthma from 1½ to 3 years and personal NOx/EC exposure levels or distance from heavily trafficked roads using a logistic model are shown in table 3. In the crude analysis, the ORs for level 5 of the estimated personal NOx and EC exposure levels compared with level 1 were 0.75 (95% CI 0.27 to 2.07) and 1.27 (95% CI 0.55 to 2.93), respectively. With adjusting specific IgE test and mite allergen test, the ORs for level 5 of the estimated personal NOx and EC exposures compared with level 1 were 0.89 (95% CI 0.14 to 5.80) and 0.34 (95% CI 0.06 to 1.91), respectively. ORs were not stable, and no statistically positive relationship was observed in any analyses. The factors associated with the incidence of asthma between 1½ and 3 years of age were a history of bronchiolitis, allergic rhinitis and fever episodes ≥5 times, familial smoking habits and a positive specific IgE test.

Persistence of asthmatic symptoms
Of the participants ‘with asthmatic symptoms’ in the baseline survey, 398 also participated in the follow-up survey. In these participants, logistic analysis was performed with the persistence of asthmatic symptoms (from 1½ to 3 years) as the outcome variable, and the results of crude, primary and sensitivity analyses are shown in table 4. The OR for level 5 of the estimated outdoor NOx concentration compared with that for level 1 was 6.02 (95% CI 1.51 to 23.92) in the primary analysis. The OR for level 5 of the estimated outdoor EC concentration was 2.09 (95% CI 0.61 to 7.14). Through the sensitivity analyses, the positive association between outdoor NOx concentration and persistence of asthmatic symptoms remained positive.

The analyses based on continuous variables for NOx and EC concentrations showed no significant associations with the persistence of asthma, although the ORs were larger than 1.

DISCUSSION
In this study, there was no significant association between exposure to traffic-related air pollution and the development of asthma from 1½ to 3 years of age. On the other hand, exposure to traffic-related air pollution was significantly associated with the prevalence of wheezing at the age of 1½ years as well as the persistence of asthmatic symptoms (between 1½ and 3 years of age).

Cohort studies in recent years in which exposure to car exhaust was evaluated using personal exposure levels have shown interesting results. Clark et al. assessed children born in British Columbia for the incidence of asthma diagnosis up to 3–4 years of age using outpatient and hospitalisation records. They observed a significantly increased risk of asthma diagnosis with increased utero and first-year exposures. In the BAMSE, a birth cohort survey in Sweden, Gruzieva et al. followed 4089 children with repeated questionnaires and blood samples at 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 years of age. They evaluated personal exposure in terms of particulate matter less than 10 μm in aerodynamic diameter (PM_{10}) and NOx from traffic using residential, daycare, and school addresses, time-activity patterns, emission databases, and dispersion models. There was an association between exposure to air pollution during the first year of life and the
|                          | Baseline study | Control study | Case study | Persistence study |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|
|                          | N   | Per cent | N   | Per cent | N   | Per cent | N   | Per cent |
| Participants             | 63 266 | 100.0 | 3409 | 100.0 | 853 | 100.0 | 398 | 100.0 |
| Gender                   |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Boys                     | 32 627 | 51.6 | 2132 | 62.5 | 533 | 62.5 | 272 | 68.3 |
| Girls                    | 30 554 | 48.3 | 1277 | 37.5 | 320 | 37.5 | 126 | 31.7 |
| Wheezing at 1½ years     |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| No                       | 49 475 | 78.2 | 2659 | 78.0 | 367 | 43.0 |      |        |
| Yes                      | 13 791 | 21.8 | 750  | 22.0 | 486 | 57.0 |      |        |
| Asthma at 1½ years       |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| No                       | 62 648 | 99.0 | 3409 | 100.0 | 853 | 100.0 |      |        |
| Yes                      | 618   | 1.0   |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Feeding method during the first 3 months of life |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Bottle feeding           | 5716  | 9.0   | 326  | 9.6   | 72   | 8.4   | 44   | 11.1  |
| Mixture                  | 21 411 | 33.8 | 1143 | 33.5 | 292 | 34.2 | 128 | 32.2 |
| Breast milk              | 35 875 | 56.7 | 1935 | 56.8 | 485 | 56.9 | 225 | 56.7 |
| Pets at 1½ years         |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Pets (presently)         | 9305 | 14.7 | 465  | 13.6 | 154  | 18.1 | 64   | 16.1  |
| Pets (previously)        | 2512 | 4.0   | 112  | 3.3   | 43   | 5.0   | 23   | 5.8   |
| Never                    | 50 787 | 80.3 | 2817 | 82.6 | 651  | 76.3 | 310  | 78.1  |
| Familial smoking habits at 1½ years |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Mother smokes            | 5591 | 8.8   | 258  | 7.6   | 96   | 11.3  | 51   | 12.9  |
| Others smoke             | 13 637 | 21.6 | 701  | 20.6 | 193  | 22.6  | 82   | 20.8  |
| No smokes                | 43 469 | 68.7 | 2430 | 71.3 | 554  | 64.9  | 262  | 66.3  |
| History of allergic diseases* |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Yes                      | 10 975 | 17.3 | 573  | 16.8 | 268  | 31.4  | 135  | 34.5  |
| No                       | 48 095 | 76.0 | 2640 | 77.4 | 547  | 64.1  | 256  | 65.5  |
| Parental history of allergic diseases |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Yes                      | 37 583 | 59.4 | 2074 | 60.8 | 653  | 76.6  | 319  | 81.8  |
| No                       | 23 096 | 36.5 | 1215 | 35.6 | 175  | 20.5  | 71   | 18.2  |
| Outdoor concentration of NOx at 1½ years (ppb)† |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| 50.9–136.8 (level 5)     | 3186 | 5.0   | 205  | 6.0   | 41   | 4.8   | 29   | 7.3   |
| 42.1–50.8 (level 4)      | 12 658 | 20.0 | 647  | 19.0 | 181  | 21.2  | 108  | 27.1  |
| 37.2–42.0 (level 3)      | 15 856 | 25.1 | 874  | 25.6 | 214  | 25.1  | 101  | 25.4  |
| 32.6–37.1 (level 2)      | 15 801 | 25.0 | 820  | 24.1 | 197  | 23.1  | 89   | 22.4  |
| 13.9–32.5 (level 1)      | 15 765 | 25.0 | 863  | 25.3 | 220  | 25.8  | 71   | 17.8  |
| Outdoor concentration of EC at 1½ years (μg/m³)‡ |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| 3.6–7.5 (level 5)        | 3958 | 6.3   | 222  | 6.5   | 48   | 5.6   | 26   | 6.5   |
| 3.1–3.5 (level 4)        | 16 389 | 25.9 | 839  | 24.6 | 232  | 27.2  | 90   | 22.6  |
| 2.8–3.0 (level 3)        | 15 430 | 24.4 | 839  | 24.6 | 204  | 23.9  | 82   | 20.6  |
| 2.5–2.7 (level 2)        | 15 787 | 25.0 | 893  | 26.2 | 217  | 25.4  | 107  | 26.9  |
| 1.3–2.4 (level 1)        | 11 702 | 18.5 | 616  | 18.1 | 152  | 17.8  | 93   | 23.4  |
| Distance from traffic, main road at 1½ years |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| <50 m                    | 2394 | 3.8   | 138  | 4.0   | 29   | 3.4   | 17   | 4.3   |
| 50 m to <100 m           | 2700 | 4.3   | 144  | 4.2   | 37   | 4.3   | 21   | 5.3   |
| 100 m or more            | 58 172 | 91.9 | 3127 | 91.7 | 787  | 92.3  | 360  | 90.5  |
| Birth season             |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| December–February        | 15 488 | 24.5 | 976  | 28.6 | 244  | 28.6  | 88   | 22.1  |
| March–May                | 18 338 | 29.0 | 920  | 27.0 | 230  | 27.0  | 129  | 32.4  |
| June–August              | 18 616 | 29.4 | 929  | 27.3 | 233  | 27.3  | 116  | 29.1  |
| September–November       | 10 824 | 17.1 | 584  | 17.1 | 146  | 17.1  | 65   | 16.3  |
| Years of residence       |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Below 1 year             | 9178 | 14.5 | 484  | 14.2 | 111  | 13.0  | 49   | 12.3  |
| Over 1 year              | 7161 | 11.3 | 383  | 11.2 | 77   | 9.0   | 30   | 7.5   |
| The same since birth     | 46 672 | 73.8 | 2539 | 74.5 | 663  | 77.7  | 317  | 79.6  |
| Structure of house       |      |        |      |        |      |        |      |        |
| Wooden house             | 16 381 | 25.9 | 987  | 29.0 | 250  | 29.3  | 125  | 31.4  |

Continued
### Table 1

Continued

|                          | Baseline study | Control | Case | Persistence study |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------|------|-------------------|
|                          | N   | Per cent | N   | Per cent | N   | Per cent | N   | Per cent |
| Steel frame building    |     |          |     |          |     |          |     |          |
| History of pneumonia    |     |          |     |          |     |          |     |          |
| Yes                     | 2833 | 4.5     | 137 | 4.0      | 100 | 11.7     | 87  | 21.9     |
| No                      | 6043 | 95.5    | 3272 | 96.0     | 753 | 88.3     | 311 | 78.1     |
| History of empyema      |     |          |     |          |     |          |     |          |
| Yes                     | 599  | 0.9     | 32  | 0.9      | 16  | 1.9      | 7   | 1.8      |
| No                      | 62667 | 99.1    | 3377 | 99.1     | 837 | 98.1     | 391 | 98.2     |
| Heating system          |     |          |     |          |     |          |     |          |
| Without ventilation     | 19533 | 30.9    | 1081 | 31.7     | 292 | 34.2     | 133 | 33.4     |
| With ventilation        | 2512  | 4.0     | 119  | 3.5      | 34  | 4.0      | 25  | 6.3      |
| No heating system       | 40588  | 64.2    | 2184 | 64.1     | 522 | 61.2     | 239 | 60.1     |
| Districts               |     |          |     |          |     |          |     |          |
| Chiba                   | 3213  | 5.1     | 216  | 6.3      | 54  | 6.3      | 23  | 5.8      |
| Itabashi                | 4303  | 6.8     | 248  | 7.3      | 62  | 7.3      | 36  | 9.0      |
| Suginami                | 3476  | 5.5     | 260  | 7.6      | 65  | 7.6      | 34  | 8.5      |
| Setagaya                | 5402  | 8.5     | 248  | 7.3      | 62  | 7.3      | 26  | 6.5      |
| Kawasaki                | 9930  | 15.7    | 688  | 20.2     | 172 | 20.2     | 62  | 15.6     |
| Yokohama                | 13250 | 20.9    | 772  | 22.6     | 193 | 22.6     | 97  | 24.4     |
| Nagoya                  | 9493  | 15.0    | 388  | 11.4     | 97  | 11.4     | 52  | 13.1     |
| Osaka                   | 9260  | 14.6    | 397  | 11.6     | 100 | 11.7     | 48  | 12.1     |
| Kobe                    | 4939  | 7.8     | 192  | 5.6      | 48  | 5.6      | 20  | 5.0      |
| Chiba                   | 3213  | 5.1     | 216  | 6.3      | 54  | 6.3      | 23  | 5.8      |

*History of allergic diseases before the age of 1½ years.
†The NOx concentrations were classified as levels 5–1 (top 5, 6–25%, 26–50%, 51–75% and 76–100%, respectively).
‡The EC concentrations were classified as levels 5–1 (top 6, 7–32%, 33–56%, 57–81% and 82–100%, respectively).
EC, elemental carbon; NOx, nitrogen oxides.

### Table 2

Association between prevalence of asthma and wheezing at the age of 1½ years and outdoor NOx or EC concentrations in the baseline survey using a logistic model, adjusted for potential confounding variables (n=57 682)*†

| Outcomes               | Prevalence of asthma | Prevalence of wheezing |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| **Outdoor NOx concentration** |                      |                        |
| Level 5 (50.9–136.8 ppb) | 1.20 (0.79 to 1.84)  | 1.08 (0.97 to 1.21)  |
| Level 4 (42.1–50.8 ppb)  | 1.08 (0.80 to 1.45)  | 1.05 (0.98 to 1.14)  |
| Level 3 (37.2–42.0 ppb)  | 0.92 (0.70 to 1.22)  | 1.05 (0.98 to 1.12)  |
| Level 2 (32.6–37.1 ppb)  | 1.18 (0.91 to 1.52)  | 1.06 (0.99 to 1.13)  |
| Level 1 (13.9–32.5 ppb)  | 1.00                 | 1.00                   |
| **Outdoor EC concentration** |                      |                        |
| Level 5 (3.6–7.5 μg/m³)  | 1.04 (0.79 to 1.84)  | 1.08 (0.97 to 1.21)  |
| Level 4 (3.1–3.5 μg/m³)  | 1.02 (0.80 to 1.45)  | 1.10 (1.01 to 1.19)  |
| Level 3 (2.8–3.0 μg/m³)  | 1.01 (0.70 to 1.22)  | 1.10 (1.02 to 1.18)  |
| Level 2 (2.5–2.7 μg/m³)  | 0.93 (0.91 to 1.52)  | 1.10 (1.03 to 1.18)  |
| Level 1 (1.3–2.4 μg/m³)  | 1.00                 | 1.00                   |
| **Continuous variables for outdoor concentration** |                      |                        |
| NOx (per 1 ppb)          | 1.00 (0.99 to 1.01)  | 1.00 (1.00 to 1.01)  |
| EC (per 0.1 μg/m³)       | 1.01 (0.98 to 1.03)  | 1.01 (1.00 to 1.01)  |

*Data are presented as OR (95% CIs).
†Potential confounding variables include gender, districts, birth season, years of residence, feeding method during the first 3 months of life, familial smoking habits, structure of house, heating system, keeping pets (cats, birds, dogs, hamsters, others), background concentration of air pollutants, history of disease before the age of 1½ years, such as bronchitis/pneumonia, empyema and allergic disease; and parental history of allergic diseases, such as asthma, atopic dermatitis and pollinosis.
EC, elemental carbon; NOx, nitrogen oxides.
incidence of asthma from 8 to 12 years of age, but not from 1 to 8 years.

Gehring et al performed analysis in 3863 participants in the PIAMA study as a birth cohort survey in the Netherlands. They estimated personal exposure to NO₂, PM₂.₅, and soot at the birth address using LUR models, and performed an annual questionnaire until the age of 8 years. PM₂.₅, NO₂, and soot at the birth address were significantly associated with an increased risk of incidence of asthma past 12 months at the age of 8 years, but not from 1 to 7 years. Ranzi et al conducted direct interviews of 672 mothers at birth and at 6 months, 15 months, 4 years and 7 years in a birth cohort (Rome, Italy). They did not find a clear link between exposure

| Table 3 | Crude, primary and sensitivity analyses of associations between incidence of asthma from 1½ to 3 years and personal NOx/EC exposure levels* or distance from heavily trafficked roads in the two-stage nested case–control study, adjusted for potential confounding variables† |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NOx    | OR (95% CI)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | EC | OR (95% CI)                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Crude analysis (n=428) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |    |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 5 | 0.75 (0.27 to 2.07)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 4 | 0.39 (0.19 to 0.83)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 2 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 3 | 0.78 (0.43 to 1.41)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 2 | 0.54 (0.31 to 0.95)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Sensitivity—with adjusting background air pollution (n=428) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |    |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 5 | 0.73 (0.26 to 2.05)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 4 | 0.39 (0.18 to 0.82)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 2 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 3 | 0.76 (0.76 to 0.52)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 2 | 0.52 (0.29 to 0.93)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Sensitivity—with adjusting specific IgE test and mite allergen test (n=155) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |    |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 5 | 0.89 (0.14 to 5.80)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 4 | 0.86 (0.26 to 2.82)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 2 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 3 | 0.80 (0.25 to 2.55)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 2 | 1.38 (0.45 to 4.30)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Primary analysis—with adjusting potential confounding variables*† (n=150) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |    |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 5 | 4.21 (0.39 to 45.73)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 4 | 0.91 (0.14 to 5.86)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 2 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 3 | 1.88 (0.31 to 11.24)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 2 | 3.30 (0.57 to 18.92)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Level 1 | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Continuous variables for personal exposure levels (n=416) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |    |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| NOx (per 1 ppb) | 0.97 (0.95 to 1.00)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | EC (per 0.1 μg/m³) | 0.93 (0.85 to 1.01) |
| Distance from heavily trafficked roads (n=415) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |    |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <50 m | 1.30 (0.42 to 3.99)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 50 m to <100 m | 1.63 (0.57 to 4.69) |
| 100 m or more | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 100 m or more | 1.00                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

*Personal NOx exposure levels of levels 1–5 are 31.6–48.0, 48.1–54.0, 54.1–61.3, 61.4–72.8, and 72.9–96.4 ppb, respectively. Personal EC exposure levels of levels 1–5 are 1.2–1.8, 1.9–2.0, 2.1–2.2, 2.3–2.6, and 2.7–3.4 μg/m³, respectively.
†Potential confounding variables include gender, year of birth, the Kaup index, history of attendance in nursery school/Kindergarten, birth order, parent with asthma, house structure, heating system, keeping pets (fowl, mammals), familial smoking habits at the age of 1½ years, feeding method during the first 3 months of life, vaccines (influenza vaccine for 2-year-old children), background concentration of air pollutants, blood test, bedding mite allergen test, and history of disease before the age of 1½ years, such as wheezing, pneumonia/bronchitis, atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis, food allergy, and number of fever episodes.
EC, elemental carbon; NOx, nitrogen oxides.
to traffic-related air pollution and respiratory disorders in children during their first 7 years of life, including the incidence of asthma. Only weak associations emerged that seem to increase with age. Lindgren et al.\(^6\) investigated a birth cohort in Sweden, consisting of 7898 children. They reported that traffic-related exposure was not associated with the incidence of asthma medication or diagnoses of asthma in children aged 0–6 years. Authors said this might be related to relative (low levels of) traffic pollution in the area. In a cohort study in Japan, which was a part of the SORA project, Yamazaki et al.\(^5\) performed an annual questionnaire survey for 4 years to follow 10 069 first-year to third-year elementary school students (aged 6–9 years). Personal exposures to NOx and EC were estimated using a method similar to that in our study with consideration being given to the exposure levels at school and home. An association between exposure to EC and the incidence of asthma was observed. A cohort study in Norway had a finding similar to that in our SORA project. A statistically negative association between NO\(_2\) and early onset asthma (children <4 years of age) was observed. However, the associations for late asthma onset (>4 years age) were positive but not statistically significant.\(^24\)

The results of the aforementioned seven studies,\(^5\) 7–10 23 24 together with those of our study, provide evidence for an association between exposure to traffic-related air pollution and asthma development from about 3 to 12 years of age, but only limited evidence for such an association during the preschool period. This may have been because the development of asthma during the preschool period is markedly affected by factors such as own and parental history of allergic diseases in addition to environmental factors.\(^7\)–\(^27\)

Concerning wheezing, the results of our study as well as those of the study by Gehring et al.\(^8\) and Ranzi et al.\(^9\) showed a significant association between wheezing

---

**Table 4** Crude, primary and sensitivity analyses of associations between persistence of asthmatic symptoms from 1½ to 3 years and outdoor NO\(_x\) or EC concentrations* or distance from heavily trafficked roads using a logistic model, adjusted for potential confounding variables†

|                | NO\(_x\) OR (95% CI) | EC OR (95% CI) |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Crude analysis (n=398) |                     |                |
| Level 5         | 3.32 (1.12 to 9.84) | 1.40 (0.54 to 3.61) |
| Level 4         | 1.08 (0.60 to 1.94) | 0.91 (0.51 to 1.61) |
| Level 3         | 1.44 (0.82 to 2.52) | 0.72 (0.42 to 1.24) |
| Level 2         | 1.44 (0.82 to 2.52) | 0.79 (0.42 to 1.50) |
| Level 1         | 1.00                | 1.00           |
| Sensitivity—with adjusting for background air pollution (n=398) |                     |                |
| Level 5         | 3.12 (1.04 to 9.37) | 1.26 (0.46 to 3.42) |
| Level 4         | 1.06 (0.58 to 1.93) | 0.82 (0.43 to 1.56) |
| Level 3         | 1.42 (0.80 to 2.52) | 0.66 (0.37 to 1.20) |
| Level 2         | 1.49 (0.84 to 2.66) | 0.75 (0.39 to 1.44) |
| Level 1         | 1.00                | 1.00           |
| Sensitivity—with adjusting gender, districts, birth season, years of residence, feeding method during the first 3 months of life, familial smoking habits, structure of house, heating system, keeping pets (cats, birds, dogs, hamsters, others) (n=392) |                     |                |
| Level 5         | 6.14 (1.66 to 22.77) | 1.88 (0.63 to 5.61) |
| Level 4         | 1.06 (0.49 to 2.31) | 0.96 (0.48 to 1.94) |
| Level 3         | 1.71 (0.84 to 3.50) | 0.73 (0.38 to 1.38) |
| Level 2         | 1.61 (0.82 to 3.17) | 0.75 (0.36 to 1.52) |
| Level 1         | 1.00                | 1.00           |
| Primary analysis—with adjusting potential confounding variables† (n=385) |                     |                |
| Level 5         | 6.02 (1.51 to 23.92) | 2.09 (0.61 to 7.14) |
| Level 4         | 0.91 (0.40 to 2.09) | 0.89 (0.38 to 2.11) |
| Level 3         | 1.56 (0.72 to 3.38) | 0.67 (0.32 to 1.39) |
| Level 2         | 1.44 (0.71 to 2.93) | 0.72 (0.33 to 1.56) |
| Level 1         | 1.00                | 1.00           |
| Continuous variables for outdoor concentrations (n=385) |                     |                |
| NO\(_x\) (per 1 ppb) | 1.02 (0.99 to 1.06) | –              |
| EC (per 0.1 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\)) | –                  | 1.06 (0.99 to 1.14) |

*Outdoor NO\(_x\) concentrations of levels 1–5 are 13.9–32.5, 32.6–37.1, 37.2–42.0, 42.1–50.8 and 50.9–136.8 ppb, respectively. Outdoor EC concentrations of levels 1–5 are 1.3–2.4, 2.5–2.7, 2.8–3.0, 3.1–3.5 and 3.6–7.5 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\), respectively.
†Potential confounding variables include gender, districts, birth season, years of residence, feeding method during the first 3 months of life, familial smoking habits, structure of house, heating system, keeping pets (cats, birds, dogs, hamsters, others), background concentration of air pollutants, history of disease before the age of the 1½ years, such as bronchitis/pneumonia, empyema and allergic disease; and parental history of allergic diseases, such as asthma, atopic dermatitis and pollinosis.

EC, elemental carbon; NO\(_x\), nitrogen oxides.
during the preschool period and the traffic-related air pollutant concentrations. In addition, the statistically significant association between the persistence of asthmatic symptoms and exposure to NOx in our study suggested that exposed children may be at a higher risk for the persistence of asthmatic symptoms. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate the association between air pollution and the persistence of childhood asthma.

There are two strengths in this study. First, as a large-scale population-based cohort study, the prevalence and incidence of asthma during the preschool period could be accurately evaluated. In this study, the prevalence of asthma was 1.0% at the age of 1½ years and 2.6% at the age of 3 years, and the incidence of asthma between 1½ and 3 years of age was 2.0%. A survey by the Ministry of the Environment Government of Japan using the simplified ATS-DLD questionnaire showed a prevalence of asthma of 2.85% in 3-year-old children in fiscal year 2009. This prevalence was similar to that in this study. Second, exposure to traffic-related air pollution was estimated with NOx and EC as parameters using an outdoor concentration estimation model. NOx and EC are components to which automobile exhaust contributes greatly, and are even more specific to automobile exhaust than PM2.5. The evaluation of the validity of estimated values using the outdoor concentration model by comparing them with measured values in the cohort survey in schoolchildren in the SORA project showed good correlation of the measured values compared with the previous studies. The square Pearson’s correlation coefficients (R²) between estimated and measured concentrations in this study were 0.76–0.88 in NOx and 0.59–0.67 in EC. The R² of NO₂ in the studies conducted in Sweden and Norway were 0.6 and 0.58, respectively. In this study, we did not get information about the activity time for the participants in the baseline and follow-up surveys, and the estimated outdoor concentrations of NOx and EC were used for analysis. In the case-control study, the personal exposure level was estimated using a time-weighted model based on the activity time obtained by an interview.

On the other hand, our study has some limitations. First, the evaluation of the incidence of asthma was defined using a questionnaire. However, this questionnaire was based on the ATS-DLD questionnaire and adequately validated. In the nested case-control study, we also asked about the treatment for asthma. In addition, to support the evaluation of the incidence of asthma using a questionnaire, participants’ predisposition to allergy was evaluated by measuring the specific IgE concentrations for common allergens using blood samples.

Second, there was an inherent difficulty of evaluating asthma at the age of 1½ years of age. In a preliminary study, the validity of our assessment of asthmatic symptoms in 1½-year-old children using a questionnaire was evaluated. The specificity of the diagnosis of infantile asthma made by specialists based on the guidelines by the Japanese Society of Pediatric Allergy and Clinical Immunology was very high (97.2%). On the other hand, the fact that the sensitivity was 17.9% implied that our study observed more distinct asthma than previous epidemiological studies. It is considered that low sensitivity might have caused difficulties in exploring the air pollution and asthma association. In addition, recent exposure levels in Japan may have been too low to reveal positive associations with the incidence of such distinct asthma.

Third, there was the possibility of selection bias since the consent rate was about 60% in both the baseline and the follow-up surveys, and the percentages of the participants who underwent the interview survey and blood examination were also low (40.6 and 17.2%, respectively) in the nested case-control study. Given the fact that those who participated in the interview survey are more concerned about the cause of asthma, the selection of the group might have biased against an association between air pollution and new onset asthma in the nested case-control study.

Fourth, the unstable result of the incidence study reflects lack of power. To make up for the shortcomings of power, we conducted the additional analyses of associations between the incidence of asthma from 1½ to 3 years and outdoor NOx or EC concentrations using a follow-up study sample. The results are shown in online supplementary table S4 (n=39 539). The associations were also negative.

In conclusion, no statistically significant association was observed between traffic-related air pollution and the incidence of asthma during the first 3 years of life, after adjustment for factors such as allergic predisposition and a history of respiratory diseases, whereas, the persistence of asthmatic symptoms (between 1½ and 3 years) was significantly associated with outdoor concentration of NOx. Traffic-related air pollution may be an important factor of asthma persistence.
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