**Abstract:**

**Background:** Menstrual irregularities may predict over adverse consequences in polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). **Objective:** To observe the relation of variants of menstrual cycles with clinical and biochemical features of PCOS. **Methods:** This cross-sectional study encompassed 200 PCOS women diagnosed by Rotterdam criteria and 120 age-matched healthy controls. Subgroups were classified according to menstrual cycle length as: polymenorrhea (<21 days), eumenorrhea (21-35 days), oligomenorrhea (36 days-3 months) and amenorrhea (>3 months). Glucose was measured by glucose oxidase, lipid by glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase-peroxidase and all hormones by chemiluminescent immuno-assay method. **Results:** Around 86% of PCOS patients had menstrual irregularity, among which 75% had oligomenorrhea followed by amenorrhea (9%) and polymenorrhea (2%). All the subgroups of PCOS patients (polymenorrhea excluded from further analyses) had significantly poor metabolic manifestations than the control namely insulin resistance (IR), impaired glycaemic status, general and central obesity, metabolic syndrome and dyslipidaemia. Acanthosis nigricans (AN), hyperandrogenemia (HA) and IR had significant predictive association with PCOS patients with both irregular [OR (95% CI)- AN: 21.994 (6.427, 75.267), p<0.001; HA: 27.735 (8.672, 88.704), p<0.001; IR: 7.268 (2.647, 19.954), p<0.001] and regular cycle [AN: 16.449 (3.830, 70.643), p<0.001; HA: 24.635 (6.349, 95.590), p<0.001; IR: 6.071 (1.658, 22.234), p=0.006] in reference to control group. None of the variables had significant predictive associations with irregular cycle in reference to regular cycle in patients with PCOS. **Conclusion:** Oligomenorrhea was the most common variant of menstrual irregularity in PCOS patients. All menstrual variants including eumenorrhea had similar manifestations in PCOS women, but poorer than controls. **Keywords:** PCOS, menstrual disturbance, hyperandrogenism, insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome.

**Introduction**

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is an endocrine-metabolic disorder that implies various severe consequences to female health, including infertility, cutaneous complications as well as several metabolic complications of insulin resistance. PCOS manifests with a range of menstrual irregularities that frequently occur throughout adolescence or later in the reproductive years.1
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Regularity of menstrual cycle is regarded as a sign of women’ reproductive health. Alterations in the menstrual cycle can occur for a variety of reasons and are frequently linked to ovaries-thyroid and pituitary axis dysfunctions. Menstrual irregularities constitute a prerequisite for the diagnosis of PCOS according to National Institute of Health and Androgen Excess - PCOS Society criteria, and one of the components of Rotterdam criteria. Thus, regular cycle PCOS and other cyclical abnormalities like polymenorrhea remained underdiagnosed for a long period. In a large series of patients diagnosed with PCOS, approximately 80% had clinically evident menstrual dysfunction appearing as abnormal uterine bleeding like oligomenorrhea. Polymenorrhea was relatively rare, present in only 3.2% of untreated patient, whereas, prevalence of regular cycle was about 16%. Previous research works have also found a link between severe cycle abnormalities and insulin resistance. When compared to controls, hyperinsulinemia was found to be substantially associated with amenorrhea in a retrospective, observational research. In another study, Legro et al. found that hyperandrogenic sisters of PCOS patients with regular menses and sisters with PCOS and oligo/amenorrhea had similar levels of insulin resistance. Thus this finding establishes the relation between IR and menstrual irregularities. If a relationship does exist between the degree of cycle irregularity and metabolic parameters in patients with PCOS, then menstrual dysfunction could be used as a simple clinical marker to identify patients at the greatest risk for metabolic abnormalities. The present study is designed to see the various pattern of menstrual disturbance and to clarify the significance of menstrual irregularities as predictor for adverse manifestations in PCOS.

Methods

This study was conducted in the Department of Endocrinology of BSMMU, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Sample size was estimated according to a previous study. Two hundred Bangladeshi women of age 16-35 years with PCOS diagnosed on the basis of Revised Rotterdam Consensus 2003 criteria were enrolled. Control group included 120 age-matched healthy women having regular menstrual cycle without clinical and biochemical hyperandrogenism and any known endocrine disease. Patients were not included if they had: primary amenorrhea, hyperprolactinemia (serum prolactin >25 ng/ml), hypothyroidism (serum thyroid stimulating hormone, TSH >10 μIU/ml) and non-classical congenital adrenal hyperplasia diagnosed in case of basal or adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) stimulated 17-hydroxyprogesterone greater than 10 ng/ml. Women on medication for <6 months prior to the study (including oral contraceptives, glucocorticoids, metformin, ovulation induction agents, and estrogenic or anti-androgenic drugs or any medication for dyslipidemia or anti-obesity drugs) or suffering from other systemic diseases (e.g. chronic kidney disease, liver diseases etc.) were also excluded from the study. The study was conducted according to the ethical standards of Helsinki’s declaration. Prior to commencement, the research protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the university. Informed written consent was taken from all participants.

Anthropometric measurements were done by the same investigator and hirsutism was assessed using a modified Ferriman-Gallwey (mFG) score. Blood sample for total testosterone (TT), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinising hormone (LH), TSH and prolactin were collected on any day between 2nd-5th of a spontaneous bleeding episode or randomly in the case of amenorrhea. Trans-abdominal (in unmarried) or trans-vaginal ultrasonography was performed in early follicular phase. Samples for glucose (failing and 2 hours after 75gm glucose load) and lipid profile were taken to assay on the same day of collection whereas for fasting insulin (FI) blood was centrifuged, serum separated and preserved at -20°C until assay. Plasma glucose was assayed by glucose oxidase method, insulin by chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMIA, Architect Plus ci4100) and lipid profile by glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase-peroxidase method with automated analyzer (Architect Plus ci8200). Assay were verified by use of internal quality control (QC) method of the respective laboratory. Any missing or unusual data were verified immediately and there were no missing data.

Women with PCOS were grouped according to the interval between menstrual cycles and classified as polymenorrhoeic (<21 days), eumenorrhoeic (21 – 35 days), oligomenorrhoeic (36 days – 3 months) and amenorrhoeic (>3 months). Clinical hyperandrogenism (mFG score of ≥8) or biochemical hyperandrogenism (TT >46 ng/dl), polycystic ovarian morphology on USG (any...
ovarian volume ≥10 cm³) were taken from a previous study. Surrogate markers were used to define IR; fasting plasma insulin (FI) and HOMA-IR. IR was diagnosed by homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, HOMA-IR ≥2.6; HOMA-IR was calculated using the formula= (fasting plasma glucose, FPG (mmol/L) × FI (µU/ml))÷22.5. Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was defined by the harmonizing criteria for metabolic syndrome. Prediabetes was defined as follows: impaired fasting glucose (IFG) when fasting plasma glucose (FPG) was between 5.6–6.9 mmol/L and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) when the 2-h plasma glucose (2-h PG) value during a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was between 7.8–11.0 mmol/L. Diabetes mellitus was confirmed by FPG ≥7.0 mmol/L and/or a 2-h PG value during a 75 g OGTT of ≥11.1 mmol/L.

Data were analyzed by SPSS software version 22.0. Qualitative values were expressed in frequency (percentages, %) and quantitative values were expressed in mean(±SD) or median (inter-quartile range, IQR) [waist hip ratio (WHR), mFG, FPG, 2H-OGTT glucose, TT, FI, HOMA-IR, HDL-cholesterol, triglyceride (TG)]. Comparison between groups was done by Chi-square/ Fisher’s exact test with post-hoc analysis from adjusted residuals for qualitative variables. Quantitative variables with normal distribution were analyzed by independent-samples t-test or one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey test and skewed variables were analyzed by Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with pairwise comparison by Dunn’s test. Considering eumenorrhea as reference category, multinomial multivariate logistic regression analysis was done to see the predictive association of irregular and regular menstrual cycle of PCOS with different manifestations. P values <0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

This cross-sectional study included 200 newly diagnosed patients with PCOS and 120 age-matched healthy control [age (years): 22.50±4.89 vs. 23.39±4.12, p=0.093; BMI (kg/m²): 27.44±5.54 vs. 22.18±4.04, p<0.001]. Figure-1 shows the pattern of menstrual cycle in patients with PCOS. Most of the patients had menstrual irregularity (86%). Among them 75% had oligomenorrhea followed by amenorrhea (9%) and only 2% had polymenorrhea.

The baseline characteristics of the study population with menstrual pattern are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Polymenorrhea group was excluded from the analysis due to small number of patients. Age and age of menarche were statistically similar across the menstrual pattern [NS for all]. BMI [control vs. all groups of PCOS, p<0.001], WC [control vs. amenorrhea and eumenorrhea: p=0.001; control vs. oligomenorrhea: p<0.001], mFG score [control vs. all groups of PCOS, p<0.001] along with fasting insulin [control vs. amenorrhea and oligomenorrhea: p<0.001; control vs. eumenorrhea: p=0.002], HOMA-IR [control vs. amenorrhea and oligomenorrhea: p<0.001; control vs. eumenorrhea: p=0.001] and TT [control vs. all groups of PCOS, p<0.001] were significantly higher in all groups of PCOS than control. Patients with oligomenorrhea had significantly higher WHR [p=0.012], systolic [p=0.010] & diastolic BP [p=0.020] as well as 2h-OGTT glucose [p=0.003], TC [p=0.002] and LDL-cholesterol [p <0.001] than control. Triglyceride was significantly higher in patients with amenorrhea [p=0.023] and oligomenorrhea than control [p<0.001], whereas HDL-cholesterol was significantly lower in patients with oligomenorrhea [p < 0.001] and eumenorrhea [p=0.005] than control. Patients with oligomenorrhea [p<0.001] and eumenorrhea [p=0.018] also had significantly higher FBG than control.
Table 1. Characteristics of the study population (N= 315)

| Variables                  | PCOS Amenorrhea (a) | PCOS Oligomenorrhea (b) | PCOS Eumenorrhea (c) | Control Eumenorrhea (d) | P       | Post hoc significant |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Age, years                 | 21.33±4.94          | 22.53±4.94              | 22.93±4.73           | 23.39±4.12              | <0.001  | (a,b,c)>d           |
| Age of menarche, year      | 12.39±0.98          | 12.45±1.37              | 12.67±1.30           | 12.40±1.06              | 0.778   | –                   |
| BMI, kg/m²                 | 28.0±6.04           | 27.54±5.76              | 26.50±3.91           | 22.18±4.04              | <0.001  | (a,b,c)>d           |
| Waist circumference, cm    | 85.94±14.49         | 86.28±12.69             | 83.89±8.68           | 74.80±8.92              | <0.001  | (a,b,c)>d           |
| Waist/hip ratio            | 0.84 (0.80, 0.88)   | 0.85 (0.81, 0.90)       | 0.85 (0.82, 0.88)    | 0.82 (0.79, 0.86)       | 0.012   | b>d                 |
| Systolic BP, mm-Hg         | 108.89±12.31        | 111.62±14.28            | 107.41±12.59         | 106.58±11.88            | 0.017   | b>d                 |
| Diastolic BP, mm-Hg        | 70.0±9.07           | 72.15±9.39              | 71.03±9.27           | 68.92±8.63              | 0.037   | b>d                 |
| Modified F-G score         | 8.00 (3.75, 11.25)  | 8.0 (3.0, 12.0)         | 8.0 (5.0, 10.0)      | 1.0 (0.0, 2.0)          | <0.001  | (a,b,c)>d           |
| Fasting blood glucose, mmol/L | 4.95 (4.35, 5.40) | 4.90 (4.50, 5.43)       | 4.90 (4.60, 5.30)    | 4.30 (3.70, 5.00)       | <0.001  | (b,c)>d             |
| 2H-OGTT glucose, mmol/L    | 6.55 (5.93, 8.78)   | 6.80 (5.90, 7.89)       | 6.50 (5.92, 7.60)    | 6.40 (5.40, 7.00)       | 0.005   | b>d                 |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dl   | 179.11±34.59        | 176.26±32.84            | 161.99±31.37         | 161.91±30.21            | 0.001   | b>d                 |
| LDL-cholesterol, mg/dl     | 108.56±30.33        | 111.55±28.46            | 102.91±23.68         | 97.87±23.35             | <0.001  | b>d                 |
| HDL-cholesterol, mg/dl     | 40.50 (34.75, 45.75)| 39.0 (33.0, 46.0)       | 38.0 (34.0, 44.0)    | 45.0 (39.0, 51.0)       | <0.001  | (b,c)>d             |
| Triglyceride, mg/dl        | 126.0 (95.75, 178.0)| 115.0 (90.75, 158.75)   | 101.0 (69.0, 152.0)  | 91.0 (69.75, 117.75)    | <0.001  | (a,b,d)             |
| Fasting insulin, µIU/ml    | 19.90 (12.33, 38.43)| 17.20 (12.08, 24.18)    | 14.0 (7.80, 18.70)   | 8.40 (6.64, 10.88)      | <0.001  | (a,b,c)>d           |
| HOMA-IR                    | 4.38 (2.85, 8.31)   | 3.70 (2.49, 5.69)       | 3.05 (1.43, 4.31)    | 1.64 (1.17, 2.14)       | <0.001  | (a,b,c)>d           |
| Total testosterone, ng/dl  | 74.13 (37.24, 99.17)| 45.55 (32.16, 71.61)    | 46.97 (34.50, 66.93) | 26.51 (21.18, 35.42)    | <0.001  | (a,b,c)>d           |

Data were expressed in mean±SD or median (IQR) as appropriate.

One-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey or Kruskal Wallis one-way ANOVA with pairwise comparison by Dunn’s test were done as appropriate.

PCOS patients with amenorrhea had significantly higher percentages of history of subfertility [33.0% vs. 0.0%, p<0.001] and family history of PCOS [38.9% vs. 0.0%, p<0.001] than control. On the other hand, patients with oligomenorrhea had significantly higher percentages of family history of irregular menstruation [28.0% vs. 5.0%, p<0.001] and subfertility [23.3% vs. 2.5%, p<0.001] than control. Family history of hirsutism was significantly higher in patients with both oligomenorrhea [22.0% vs. 1.7%, p<0.001] and eumenorrhea [33.3% vs. 1.7%, p<0.001] than control. General obesity was significantly higher in patients with both oligomenorrhea [62.7% vs. 23.3%, p<0.001] and central obesity [70.7% vs. 21.7%, p<0.001], significant hirsutism [56.7% vs. 0.0%, p<0.001], acanthosis nigricans [59.3% vs. 4.2%, p<0.001] all were present significantly with higher percentages in patients with oligomenorrhea than control. While percentages of prediabetes was significantly higher in patients with oligomenorrhea [26.7% vs. 9.2%, p<0.001], DM was significantly higher in patients with amenorrhea [16.7% vs. 0.0%, p<0.001] than control. Patients with oligomenorrhea had significantly higher percentages of hypertriglyceridemia [29.3% vs. 10.8%, p=0.001], elevated insulin [76.0% vs. 15.0%, p<0.001], insulin resistance [72.0% vs. 12.5%, p<0.001] as well as metabolic syndrome [40.7% vs. 11.7%, p<0.001] than control. Hyperandrogenemia was present at a higher percentages in patients with amenorrhea [66.7% vs. 4.2%, p<0.001] and oligomenorrhea [49.3% vs. 4.2%, p<0.001] than control (Table 2).
Table 2. Characteristics of the study population

| Variables                  | PCOS                        | Control                     | P      | Post hoc significant |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------|
|                            | Amenorrhea (a)  | Oligomenorrhea (b) | Eumenorrhea (c) | Eumenorrhea (d) |
| Personal history            |                             |                             |        |                      |
| Subfertility                | 6 (33.3)                    | 18 (12.0)                   | 4 (14.8)| 0 (0.0)              | <0.001 | a>d                   |
| MR/abortion                 | 0 (0.0)                     | 10 (6.7)                    | 3 (11.1)| 9 (7.5)              | 0.598  | –                     |
| Irregular menstruation      | 7 (38.9)                    | 42 (28.0)                   | 7 (25.9)| 6 (5.0)              | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Hirsutism                   | 4 (22.2)                    | 33 (22.0)                   | 9 (33.3)| 2 (1.7)              | <0.001 | (b, c)>d              |
| Subfertility                | 3 (16.7)                    | 35 (23.3)                   | 2 (7.4) | 3 (2.5)              | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| PCOS                        | 7 (38.9)                    | 22 (14.7)                   | 4 (14.8)| 0 (0.0)              | <0.001 | a>d                   |
| Obesity                     | 10 (55.6)                   | 56 (37.3)                   | 7 (25.9)| 31 (25.8)            | 0.031  | NS                    |
| DM                          | 10 (55.6)                   | 85 (56.7)                   | 11 (40.7)| 56 (46.7)            | 0.256  | –                     |
| Hypertension                | 10 (55.6)                   | 86 (57.3)                   | 11 (40.7)| 61 (50.8)            | 0.397  | –                     |
| Obese (BMI ≥25 kg/m²)       | 12 (66.7)                   | 94 (62.7)                   | 18 (66.7)| 28 (23.3)            | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Centrally obese (WC ≥80 cm) | 11 (61.1)                   | 106 (70.7)                  | 19 (70.4)| 26 (21.7)            | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Hypertensive (BP ≥140 or 90 mm-Hg) | 0 (0.0)       | 3 (2.0)                    | 1 (3.7) | 2 (1.7)              | 0.768  | –                     |
| Significant hirsutism (mFG score ≥8) | 11 (61.1)   | 85 (56.7)                   | 14 (51.9)| 0 (0.0)              | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Acanthosis nigricans        | 12 (66.7)                   | 89 (59.3)                   | 14 (51.9)| 5 (4.2)              | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Glycemic status             |                             |                             |        |                      |
| NGT                         | 12 (66.7)                   | 103 (68.7)                  | 22 (81.5)| 109 (90.8)           |        |                       |
| Prediabetes                 | 3 (16.7)                    | 40 (26.7)                   | 5 (18.5)| 11 (9.2)             |        |                       |
| DM                          | 3 (16.7)                    | 7 (4.7)                     | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0)              | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Lipid profile               |                             |                             |        |                      |
| High TC (≥200 mg/dl)        | 4 (22.2)                    | 30 (20.0)                   | 4 (14.8)| 11 (9.2)             | 0.066  | –                     |
| High LDL-C (≥100 mg/dl)     | 10 (55.6)                   | 92 (61.3)                   | 12 (44.4)| 54 (45.0)            | 0.043  | NS                    |
| Low HDL-C (<50 mg/dl)       | 15 (83.3)                   | 131 (87.3)                  | 26 (96.3)| 86 (71.7)            | 0.001  | NS                    |
| High TG (≥150 mg/dl)        | 5 (27.8)                    | 44 (29.3)                   | 8 (29.6)| 13 (10.8)            | 0.001  | b>d                   |
| Metabolic syndrome          | 6 (33.3)                    | 61 (40.7)                   | 8 (29.6)| 14 (11.7)            | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Elevated insulin (≥12 µIU/ml) | 14 (77.8)         | 114 (76.0)                  | 18 (66.7)| 18 (15.0)            | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Insulin resistance (≥22.6)  | 14 (77.8)                   | 108 (72.0)                  | 17 (63.0)| 15 (12.5)            | <0.001 | b>d                   |
| Hyperandrogenemia (TT >46 ng/dl) | 12 (66.7)   | 74 (49.3)                   | 14 (51.9)| 5 (4.2)              | <0.001 | (a, b)>d              |
| Altered LH/FSH ratio (>1.0) | 14 (77.8)                   | 125 (83.3)                  | 22 (81.5)| Not done             | 0.893  | –                     |
| Polycystic ovarian morphology | 14 (77.8)         | 124 (82.7)                  | 22 (81.5)| Not done             | 0.851  | –                     |

Data were expressed in frequency (%), within parentheses are percentages over column total
Pearson’s chi-square/Fisher’s exact test with post hoc analysis were done

Age group 21 – 30 years in comparison to age group 16 – 20 years, had significant predictive association with irregular cycle in PCOS patients [OR (95% CI): 0.398 (0.168, 0.940), p=0.036]. Acanthosis nigricans [irregular menstrual cycle: 21.994 (6.427, 75.267), p<0.001; PCOS with eumenorrhea: 16.449 (3.830, 70.643), p<0.001], hyperandrogenemia [irregular menstrual cycle: 27.735 (8.672, 88.704), p<0.001; PCOS with eumenorrhea: 24.635 (6.349, 95.590), p<0.001] and insulin resistance [irregular menstrual cycle: 7.268 (2.647, 19.954), p<0.001; PCOS with eumenorrhea: 6.071 (1.658, 22.234), p=0.006] had significant predictive association with PCOS patients with both irregular and regular cycle in reference to control (Table 3). None of the variables had significant predictive associations with irregular cycle in reference to regular cycle in patients with PCOS.
Table 3. Multinomial multivariate logistic regression analysis of menstrual disturbance as dependent variable with control as reference group

| Independent variables | Categories | Irregular cycle PCOS | Regular cycle PCOS |
|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|                       |            | OR (95% CI)          | p                   |
|                       |            | OR (95% CI)          | p                   |
| Age group             | 16 – 20 years | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | 21 – 30 years | 0.398 (0.168, 0.940) | **0.036**           |
|                       | 31 – 40 years | 0.711 (0.110, 4.588) | 0.720               |
| FH of menstrual       | Absent     | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Present    | 3.426 (0.987, 11.897) | 0.052               |
|                       |            | 4.030 (0.890, 18.257) | 0.071               |
| FH of obesity         | Absent     | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Present    | 0.938 (0.363, 2.428) | 0.896               |
|                       |            | 0.607 (0.167 2.199)  | 0.447               |
| FH of DM              | Absent     | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Present    | 0.431 (0.163, 1.140) | 0.090               |
|                       |            | 0.297 (0.08, 1.097)  | 0.069               |
| FH of hypertension    | Absent     | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Present    | 1.516 (0.650, 3.533) | 0.335               |
|                       |            | 1.075 (0.331, 3.496) | 0.904               |
| Body mass index       | Non-obese  | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Obese      | 0.947 (0.355, 2.529) | 0.913               |
|                       |            | 2.156 (0.598, 7.769) | 0.240               |
| Blood pressure        | Normotensive | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Hypertensive | 0.198 (0.010, 3.879) | 0.286               |
|                       |            | 0.724 (0.020, 25.669) | 0.859               |
| Acanthosis nigricans  | Absent     | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Present    | 21.994 (6.427, 75.267) | <**0.001**       |
|                       |            | 16.449 (3.830, 70.643) | <**0.001**       |
| Androgenemia          | Normoandrogenemia | 1         | 1                   |
|                       | Hyperandrogenemia | 27.735 (8.672, 88.704) | <**0.001**      |
|                       |            | 24.635 (6.349, 95.590) | <**0.001**      |
| Glycemic status       | Normal GT  | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Abnormal GT | 1.756 (0.577, 5.346) | 0.322               |
|                       |            | 1.257 (0.291, 5.426) | 0.759               |
| HOMA-IR               | Insulin sensitive | 1        | 1                   |
|                       | Insulin resistant | 7.268 (2.647, 19.954) | <**0.001**   |
|                       |            | 6.071 (1.658, 22.234) | **0.006**         |
| Metabolic syndrome    | Absent     | 1                    | 1                   |
|                       | Present    | 1.825 (0.598, 5.569) | 0.290               |
|                       |            | 1.082 (0.265, 4.416) | 0.912               |
| Constant              |            | B= -1.356            | <**0.001**       |
|                       |            | B= -2.720            | <**0.001**       |

Discussion

Menstrual irregularity is one of the key factors in diagnosing PCOS. Pattern of menstrual abnormalities in PCOS and its relationship with various manifestations of PCOS was evaluated in present study. It was revealed that all menstrual cycle variants had significantly adverse metabolic indices such as BMI, WC, fasting insulin, and HOMA-IR than control group.

Majority of patients (86%) had menstrual irregularity with a predominance of oligomenorrhea (75%), followed by amenorrhea (9%) and only 2% had polymenorrhea. On the other hand, 14% PCOS women had regular cycle. These findings were almost similar with the study done by others. All the subgroups of PCOS patients had poor metabolic profile than the control namely IR, impaired glycemic status, general and central obesity, Mets, clinical and biochemical hyperandrogenemia and dyslipidemia, supported by similar findings found in a study done in Bangladeshi by our PCOS Study group.16

Normocyclic women with PCOS as defined by the Rotterdam criteria have a decreased risk of insulin resistance than women with various degrees of cycle abnormalities and PCOS.17 In the present
study, age group 21–30 years in comparison to age group 16–20 years had significant predictive association with irregular cycle in PCOS patients. This may indicate some effects of advancing age or the fact that pathophysiologic aberration of PCOS started in early age which was noticed and identified at some time after advancement of age thereby permitting elapse of time for menstrual abnormality be manifested. Hyperandrogenemia and IR had predictive association with PCOS patients with both irregular and regular cycle in reference to control. However, none of the variables had predictive associations with irregular cycle in reference to regular cycle in PCOS patients. Therefore it is pertinent from this study to assume that despite menstrual abnormality is a key manifestation of PCOS, it cannot apprehend over hyperandrogenemia and IR. Among metabolic and clinical manifestations in PCOS subgroups, general and central obesity, significant hirsutism, acanthosis nigricans, prediabetes, hypertriglyceridemia, IR as well as MetS were all present significantly with higher percentages in patients with oligomenorrhea than control. This would virtually indicate that PCOS is associated with menstrual irregularity which is considered as a key element in diagnosis is applicable in distinguishing between PCOS and control; but cannot be extrapolated for the discrimination among subtypes of PCOS. Percentages of T2DM was significantly higher in patients with amenorrhea than control along with history of subfertility and family history of PCOS. Likewise, hyperandrogenemia was present at a higher percentages in patients with amenorrhea and oligomenorrhea than control. Several studies have found the overall prevalence of IR ranges from 44% to 70%; our study revealed around 78% in eumenorrheic, 72% in oligomenorrheic and 63% in amenorrheic group were insulin resistant. In the present study, the prevalence of MetS ranged from 30-40% in PCOS subgroups. This frequency is similar to that reported in the United States (43%-46%) but higher than that reported in Italy (8%-16%) and China (2.3%-12.2%). The variations in these metabolic findings could possibly be due to the influence of ethnic origin, genetic and environment, and dietary habits on the prevalence of PCOS and its comorbidities. The study questionnaire did not use standard criteria to define regular menstruation. According to recommendations from International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO), normal menstrual cycle should be defined according to the: [i] frequency of menses (24–38 days), [ii] regularity of menses (variation±2–20 days), [iii] duration of menstrual flow (4.5–8 days) and [iv] volume of monthly blood loss (5–80 ml). Thus, subjects might have experienced confusion regarding their menstrual pattern which may have led to inaccurate data. In the current study, after adjusting for BMI, age and race, women with PCOS were grouped according to the interval between menstrual cycles and classified as polymenorrheic, eumenorrheic, oligomenorrheic and amenorrheic respectively. Besides, the number of study participants in amenorrhoea group was small, so the associations might not significant with other menstrual variants in PCOS group.

Conclusion
Our data suggest that one out of ten PCOS patients might present with regular menstrual cycle and oligomenorrhea was the predominant variant of irregular menstrual cycle in PCOS. Among different manifestations, acanthosis nigricans, insulin resistance and hyperandrogenemia were significantly associated with both irregular and regular menstrual cycle of PCOS patients in comparison to control. However, the manifestations were not different across different menstrual patterns in patients with PCOS.

Acknowledgement: We are grateful to our study participants for their spontaneous participation in this study. Technical support from the Department of Endocrinology, Microbiology & Immunology and Biochemistry & Molecular Biology are also duly acknowledged.

Conflict of interest: None of the authors has any conflict of interest to declare.

Funding statement: The study was partially supported by the grant from the University research and development of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Ethical approval issue: The study was approved by the IRB of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Authors’ contributions: Concept, research question, study design: BMZ, HB, MF, AAS, MAH; data collection or processing: BMZ, MSM, SS, TS, AB, NA; statistical analysis: BMZ, MSM; manuscript writing: BMZ, HB, MSM; Editing: MF, AAS, MAH; approval of final manuscript: BMZ, HB, MSM, SS, TS, AB, NA, MF, AAS, MAH.
References

1. Fritz MA, Speroff L. Chronic anovulation and the polycystic ovary syndrome. In: Clinical Gynecologic Endocrinology and Infertility. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2011: p.495-532.

2. Zawadski JK, Dunai A. Diagnostic Criteria for Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: Towards a Rational Approach. In: Dunai A, Givens JR, Haseltine F, eds. Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Boston: Blackwell Scientific; 1992: p.377-384.

3. Azziz R, Carmina E, Dewailly D, Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Escobar-Morreale HF, Futterweit W, et al. Criteria for defining polycystic ovary syndrome as a predominantly hyperandrogenic syndrome: an androgen excess society guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006;91(11):4237-45.

4. RotterdamESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Hum Reprod 2004;19(1):41-7.

5. Brower M, Brennan K, Pall M, Azziz R. The severity of menstrual dysfunction as a predictor of insulin resistance in PCOS. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013;98(12):E1967-71.

6. González Centeno A, Nahum Hernández P, Mendoza R, Ayala AR. Correlación de trastornos menstruales y resistencia a la insulina [Correlación entre menstruación disorder y insulin resistance]. [Article in Spanish]. Ginecol Obstet Mex 2003;71:312-7.

7. Legro RS, Bentley-Lewis R, Driscoll D, Wang SC, Dunai A. Insulin resistance in the sisters of women with polycystic ovary syndrome: association with hyperandrogenemia rather than menstrual irregularity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2002;87(5):2128-33.

8. Jamil AS, Alalaf SK, Al-Tawiil NG, Al-Shawaf T. A case-control observational study of insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome among the four phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome based on Rotterdam criteria. Repro Health 2015;12:7.

9. Moran C, Azziz R, Weintrob N, Witchell SF, Rohmer V, Dewailly D, et al. Reproductive outcome of women with 21-hydroxylase-deficient nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006;91(9):3451-6.

10. Ferriman D, Gallwey JD. Clinical assessment of body hair growth in women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1961;21(11):1440-7.

11. Teede HJ, Misso ML, Costello MF, Dokras A, Laven J, Moran L, et al. Recommendations from the international evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod 2018;33(9):1602-18.

12. Hurjahan-Banu, Shahed-Morshed M, Nazma-Akhtar, Tania-Sultana, Afroza-Begum, Moriom-Zamilla, et al. Total testosterone significantly correlates with insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome. Gynecol Reprod Endocrinol Metab 2021;2(2):106-11.

13. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28(7):412-9.

14. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation 2009;120(16):1640-5.

15. American Diabetes Association. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes- standards of medical care in diabetes – 2017. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(S1):11-24.

16. Sultana T, Banu H, Akhtar N, Shah S, Moriom Zamila B, Begum A, et al. Metabolic disorders among phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome. Int J Endocrinol Metab Disord. 2018;4(2):1-6.

17. Strowitzki T, Capp E, von Eye Corleta H. The degree of cycle irregularity correlates with the grade of endocrine and metabolic disorders in PCOS patients. Eur J Obset Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2010;149(2):178-81.

18. Solomon CG, Hu FB, Dunai A, Rich-Edwards JE, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, et al. Menstrual cycle irregularity and risk for future cardiovascular disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2002;87(5):2013-7.

19. Weerakiet S, Srisombut C, Bunnag P, Sangtong S, Chuangsoongnoen N, Rojanasakul A. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in Asian women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2001;75(2):177-84.

20. Glueck CJ, Papanna R, Wang P, Goldenberg N, Sieve-Smith L. Incidence and treatment of metabolic syndrome in newly referred women with confirmed polycystic ovarian syndrome. Metabolism. 2003;52(7):908-15.

21. Carmina E, Lobo RA. Use of fasting blood to assess the prevalence of insulin resistance in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril 2004;82(3):661-5.

22. Munro MG, Critchley HO, Broder MS, Fraser IS. FIGO Working Group on Menstrual Disorders. FIGO classification system (PALM-COEIN) for causes of abnormal uterine bleeding in nongravid women of reproductive age. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2011;113(1):3-13.