Optimized syntheses of Fmoc azido amino acids for the preparation of azidopeptides
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The rise of CuI-catalyzed click chemistry has initiated an increased demand for azido and alkyne derivatives of amino acid as precursors for the synthesis of clicked peptides. However, the use of azido and alkyne amino acids in peptide chemistry is complicated by their high cost. For this reason, we investigated the possibility of the in-house preparation of a set of five Fmoc azido amino acids: β-azido L-alanine and D-alanine, γ-azido L-homoalanine, δ-azido L-ornithine and ω-azido L-lysine. We investigated several reaction pathways described in the literature, suggested several improvements and proposed several alternative routes for the synthesis of these compounds in high purity. Here, we demonstrate that multigram quantities of these Fmoc azido amino acids can be prepared within a week or two and at user-friendly costs. We also incorporated these azido amino acids into several model tripeptides, and we observed the formation of a new elimination product of the azido moiety upon conditions of prolonged couplings with 2-(1H-benzotriazol-1-yl)-1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate/DIPEA. We hope that our detailed synthetic protocols will inspire some peptide chemists to prepare these Fmoc azido acids in their laboratories and will assist them in avoiding the too extensive costs of azidopeptide syntheses.

Experimental procedures and/or analytical data for compounds 3–5, 20, 25, 26, 30 and 43–47 are provided in the supporting information. © 2017 The Authors Journal of Peptide Science published by European Peptide Society and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web site.
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Introduction

The discovery of the CuI-catalyzed Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of azides and alkynes, which leads to the formation of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles [1,2], has triggered a boom of these types of click reactions, which have subsequently found a plethora of applications in peptide and protein chemistry. It is because 1,2,3-triazoles present a motif with structural and electronic characteristics similar to those of the peptide bond [3,4]. 1,2,3-Triazoles have also found applications in cyclizations [5,6], induction of β-turns [7,8], β-hairpins [9], helical structures [10,11] or mimics of disulfide bonds [12] in peptides. The broad applications of 1,2,3-triazoles were reviewed in many excellent reviews (e.g. [13,14] or [15]). Moreover, azides have also found utility in a variant of Staudinger ligation for the synthesis of peptides and proteins [16]. However, the syntheses of peptides with azido or alkyne moieties are often hampered by the high cost of azido or alkyne precursors, mostly Fmoc-protected azido and alkyne amino acids, which are usually available for about €250–300 per 250 mg. This can make the preparation of larger series of azido/alkyne peptides very expensive, as we have recently experienced [17]. For this reason, we decided to investigate the accessibility of the in-house preparation of a series of five Fmoc-protected azido amino acids: (S)-2-amino-3-azidopropanoic and (R)-2-amino-3-azidopropanoic acids (β-azido L-alanine and D-alanine), (S)-2-amino-4-azidobutanoic acid (γ-azido L-homoalanine), (S)-2-amino-5-azidopentanoic acid (δ-azido L-ornithine) and (S)-2-amino-6-azidohexanoic acid (ω-azido L-lysine).

Serine is a convenient precursor for the synthesis of the azido derivative of alanine. Several different methods were previously developed for introducing the azido moiety to a serine derivative: (i) ring opening of cyclic N-(phenyl fluoride) serine sulfaamide [18–20], (ii) opening of (S)-3-amino-2-oxetanone [21], (iii) mild bromination of the hydroxyl group followed by azidation [22–25], (iv) Mitsunobu reaction treatment with triphenylphosphine (PPh3), hydrazoic acid and azodicarboxylate [26–35], and (v) activation of the hydroxyl group by mesyl chloride (MsCl) [35–38] or tosyl chloride [39], followed by substitution with sodium azide. In addition, the synthesis of azidolaistanine starting from N-protected asparagine represents a different but straightforward approach. The first step is Hoffman degradation by treatment with 1-bis(trifluoroacacetate) (CAS 2712-78-9) [40–44] or (diacetoxyiodo)benzene (PIDA) [45], followed by a diazotransfer reaction [41,46–51].
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In general, there are two main approaches for the synthesis of derivatives of Fmoc-L-azidohomoalanine. Mostly, the protected Fmoc-L-glutamine is converted under Hofmann rearrangement conditions [41, 52–54] to 2-Fmoc-4-aminobutanoic acid, followed by the azido transfer reaction [12, 41, 46]. The other approach involves protected L-aspartic acid, which is partially reduced via a mixed anhydride. The resulting alcohol is mesylated, and the corresponding mesyl derivative is replaced by the azido function [55].

The strategy of orthogonal functional group protection [56–59] of L-ornithine and L-lysine is usually used for the synthesis of their azido derivatives.

Here, we compared several of these reaction pathways and also investigated a few new routes for the preparation of the above-listed Fmoc-protected azido amino acids in a multigram scale, including their incorporation into short model peptides. The advantages and drawbacks of the approaches are discussed.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis of β-Azido L-Alanine and D-Alanine**

Firstly, L-serine 1 was chemoselectively protected by the benzyloxycarbonyl (Z) group, and Z-Ser 3 was then esterified with tert-butyl bromide in the presence of a benzyltriethylammonium chloride phase catalyst and excess of potassium carbonate [60, 61] (Scheme 1). These two transformations led to the intermediate 4. The removal of the Z group by a catalytic hydrogenation and a subsequent acylation with N-(9-fluorenylmethoxy carbonyloxy) succinimide (Fmoc-OSu) yielded Fmoc-protected compound 5, which, after the Abell reaction conditions, gave compound 6. It is well known that the direct azidation of bromo compounds in DMF (CAS 68-12-2) is unfeasible because of the high basicity of NaN₃. Therefore, for the introduction of N₃ moiety under mild...
conditions, we decided to apply trimethylsilyl azide (CAS 4648-54-8) in hexamethyldiphosphonamide (CAS 680-31-9) or DMF [62,63]. However, we surprisingly only isolated from the reaction mixture starting compound 6 instead of 7. The failure of this synthetic pathway indicated that the sequence of steps must be changed; the azido moiety should be introduced first, followed by the deprotection of the amino group and then by derivatization with Fmoc. Thus, the Z group was again subjected to catalytic hydrogenolysis, and then the free amine group was protected by Boc to afford derivative 8. We also found that the key intermediate 8 can be achieved by a shortcut (Scheme 1, pathway A) directly from l-serine 1. Thus, the Boc-Ser-OrBu 8 was prepared in a good yield (72%) by the reaction of l-serine with tert-BuBr in a similar manner as compound 4. Thereafter, activation of the hydroxyl group was performed with MsCl under basic conditions (TEA, CAS 121-44-8), ensuring the stability of acid-sensitive groups. The reaction mixture was tested with TLC, and except for the required mesyl intermediate 11, the dehydroalanine 10 was also observed as the elimination product [31,64]. We also tested pyridine as a weaker base instead of TEA, but the full conversion of 8 to mesyl intermediate 11 was achieved only after 24 h, but again accompanied by a significant formation of 10. To prevent this unwanted process, compound 8 was transformed to bromide derivative 13 and subsequently reacted with sodium azide in DMSO (CAS 67-68-5). In this case, 11 was obtained in a similar yield, but with a substantially higher amount of the elimination product 10. Probably, a more easily leaving Br group better promotes the elimination process. Hence, we can conclude that both mesylation and azidation reactions contribute to the formation of dehydroalanine 10. Finally, with the use of standard procedures, both acid-labile protecting groups of 11 were removed with TFA (CAS 76-05-1) and the amino group was acylated with Fmoc-OSu to furnish the required product 14.

Next, starting from d-serine 2 and through intermediates 9 and 12, we employed the synthetic pathway A and the following reactions for the preparation of Fmoc-β-azido-d-Ala 15.

Cumulative yields of the six-step synthetic pathway A (i.e., (i) acylation with Boc, (ii) alkylation with tert-But, (iii) activation by Ms, (iv) addition of azide, (v) elimination of acid-labile groups and (vi) acylation with Fmoc) were satisfactory: 29% for 14 and 28% for 15, both in multigram scales.

Johansson and Pedersen [65] and others [35,66] claimed that dehydroalanine 10 is a perfect Michael acceptor, which undergoes racemization. To verify this statement, we carried out a simple experiment; the isolated dehydroalanine 10 was heated in DMSO with an excess of NaN₃ at 70 °C overnight. However, no traces of the expected product 17 were found.

Next, we verified the optical purity of the compound 14 by NMR spectroscopy. For this, the dipeptide 18 was prepared by the reaction of 14 with L-Val-Obn-TsOH. The incorporation of valine added a new stereo center to the molecule. However, the presence of the sterically bulky Fmoc group resulted in the observation of geometrical isomers of the carbamate in a ratio of 60 : 40. Therefore, we had to prepare a fully unprotected molecule. Compound 14 was thus coupled with ester 20, which was prepared by esterification of l-valine 19 using tert-butyl acetate [67] as a source of (CH₃)₂CO⁺ cation. Acidic hydrolysis gave free acid, which was attached to 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin. This allowed the removal of the Fmoc protecting group by conveniently washing off poorly separable dibenzofluvane. A usual work-up and separation furnished dipeptide 22, which was manifested by only one set of signals in both ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. The same protocol with the chlorotrityl resin was used for the preparation of free amino acid 16. The optical purity of water-soluble acid 16 was checked by the method of Inamoto et al. [68]. No splitting of signals on α-carbons and α-protons was observed after the addition of sodium [(5-1,2-diaminopropane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetato)-samarate(III)] to a pH-adjusted solution of 16 in a 2 : 1 molar ratio. In conclusion, NMR analyses unequivocally proved the high optical purity of compound 14. We have not performed the same procedure with the optical isomer 15, which was prepared by the same reactions, but from the pure d-serine. However, the same high optical purity can be expected.

We also investigated two alternative reaction routes (Scheme 2) for the synthesis of Fmoc-β-azido-l-Ala 14 as outlined in Scheme 2. Firstly, l-asparagine 23 was protected by the Fmoc group; then carboxamide functionality of the resulting intermediate 25 was eliminated under Hoffmann rearrangement conditions. The last step of this reaction pathway B was a diazotransfer reaction, which allowed the conversion of the amino group to the corresponding azido acid 14. In parallel, we demonstrated that 14 can be obtained with a similar synthetic strategy, but using Boc protection and starting from l-asparagine 23 over intermediates 31 and 32 (reaction pathway C).

Compound 14, which was prepared using three different synthetic pathways (A, B or C), provided the same mass and NMR
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**Scheme 2.** Reagents, conditions and yields: (a) Na₂CO₃, Fmoc-OSu, dioxane and water, 0 °C for 1 h, then RT overnight (87% for 25, 93% for 26); (b) Ph(OAc)₂, CH₃CN, ethyl acetate and water at RT overnight (75% for 27, 56% for 28); (c) TFA, NaHCO₃, CuSO₄·5H₂O, water and methanol at RT overnight (80% for 14, 92% for 29); (d) Na₂CO₃, Boc₂O, dioxane and water 0 °C 1 h then RT overnight (73%); (e) Ph(OAc)₂, CH₃CN, ethyl acetate and water at RT overnight (75%); (f) TFA, TEA, CuSO₄·5H₂O, water and methanol at RT overnight; (g) TFA, DCM, 2 h at RT; (h) NaHCO₃, Fmoc-OSu, dioxane and water, 0 °C 1 h, then RT overnight (37% over three steps).
spectra and other physicochemical characteristics. Therefore, the number of synthetic steps, cumulative yields and costs are decisive for the choice of the optimal strategy. Pathway A includes six steps and gave 29% yield, pathway B was performed in three steps and with 52% yield and pathway C required five steps and gave 20% yield. Clearly, from this aspect, the preferred synthetic route is pathway B and the less convenient is pathway C. We also calculated the approximate costs of synthetic pathways A and B for the preparation of 14, using precursor and solvents purchased at standard prices from Fluka. Synthetic pathway A yielded 1 g of 14 for about €37 and pathway B for about €43. Pathway A can be completed within a week; pathway B is faster. Taking everything together, the method of choice for the preparation of 14 is pathway B, despite the fact that its diazotransfer reaction requires the use of an excess of rather costly trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (triflic anhydride).

Synthesis of β-Azido L-Homoalanine

Next, for the preparation of L-homoazidoalanine, we chose the straightforward pathway B (Scheme 2), starting from L-glutamine over intermediates 26 and 28. The product 29 was obtained in an excellent yield of 92%.

Synthesis of δ-Azido L-Ornithine and ω-Azido L-Lysine

For the synthesis of azido acids 41 and 42, derivatives of L-ornithine and L-lysine, we applied the strategy of orthogonal functional group protection [56–59] (Scheme 3). Synthesis started from commercially available L-ornithine-HCl 33 or L-lysine-HCl 34, and the reaction with copper acetate monohydrate under basic conditions afforded [Orn (Boc)]2Cu 35 or [Lys(Boc)]2Cu 36, respectively, which were isolated by a perfect filtering off of their insoluble copper complexes. Metal was quantitatively removed using 8-quinolinol to furnish selectively Boc-protected intermediates 37 and 38 in forms of zwitterions. The alpha amino group was acylated with Fmoc-OSu, and the resulting diamino acids 39 and 40 were treated with TFA to liberate δ-free amine and ω-free amine, respectively. The final step is represented by a diazotransfer reaction, which leads to the required Fmoc-azido-L-norvaline 41 or Fmoc-azido-L-lysine 42.

Synthesis of Model Azido Tripeptides

Finally, we synthesized a series of model tripeptides 43–47, using the standard manual Fmoc solid-phase synthesis protocol [70] (Scheme 4). When the methodology was precisely followed, all required peptides were obtained in good yields and with a high...
chemical purity. However, surprisingly, during the synthesis of 44 and only in the case of prolonged condensations (5 and 18 h) of Fmoc-azidoalanine 14 with the resin-bound Phe-Phe-NH₂, we observed the massive appearance of a new compound representing a major product of the synthesis (Figure 1). This product was isolated, and its chemical structure assigned using spectral methods and attributed to the compound 48. It appears that only α,β-disubstituted compounds. However, here, we can only speculate that the shorter side chain of 14 (i.e., the proximity of the azido moiety and the primary α-amino group) and/or possibly also the activating agent 2-(1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl)-1,3,5,7-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) in the presence of DIPEA (7087-68-5) and/or longer couplings or treatment with 95% TFA/5% water may play a role in this side reaction. Interestingly, in addition, it seems that this elimination is also sequence specific, as it occurred only in the case of the coupling of 14 to Phe-Phe dipeptide and not to other sequences. Hence, at this stage, we rather do not suggest any plausible reaction mechanism for this process. The susceptibility of 14 to the elimination of its azido moiety during the synthesis of a simple tripeptide suggests that the use of azido amino acids in the peptide synthesis is not without risks and that some precautions should be taken (e.g., shorter reaction times and alternative reagents).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we investigated several synthetic protocols for the preparation of L-Fmoc-β-azidoalanine and D-Fmoc-β-azidoalanine (14 and 15, respectively). We found that pathway B starting from asparagine is the most straightforward one and it can also be used for the preparation of γ-azido L-homoalanine when starting from glutamine. NMR analysis confirmed the high optical purity of 14 prepared with these protocols. We also synthesized L-Fmoc-γ-azidohomoalanine 29, L-Fmoc-δ-azidoornitine 41 and L-Fmoc-ε-azidolysine 42. Several synthetic steps previously described in literature were improved and optimized, and several new reactions investigated. All synthetic procedures were described in detail, and the complete physicochemical characterization of all intermediates and final compounds was provided. We found that multigram quantities of these Fmoc-protected azido amino acids can be prepared within a week, at average costs of about €40 per gram of final compounds (excluding work and energies). This makes them incomparably cheaper than standard commercial counterparts. We also observed a new type of elimination which occurred during prolonged couplings upon the solid-phase synthesis of Ac-β-azido-Ala-Phe-Phe-NH₂.

Experimental Part

Reagents and solvents (Sigma-Aldrich-Fluka, St. Louis, MO, USA) used in this study were of analytical grade. TLC analyses were performed on silica-gel-coated aluminum plates (Fluka). The compounds were visualized by exposure to UV light at 254 nm and by ninhydrin spraying (dark blue color) of Boc-protected amines or amines. Flash chromatography purifications were carried out on silica gel (40–63 μm, Fluka). Preparative RP-HPLC chromatography was carried out on a C18 Luna column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA, 250 × 21.2 mm, 10 μm) at a flow rate 9 ml/min (solvent A: 0.1% TFA; solvent B: 80% CH₃CN, 0.1% TFA). Eluted compounds were detected at 218 and 254 nm and lyophilized from water. Melting points were determined on a Boetius block and are uncorrected. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AVANCE-600 spectrometer (Billerica, MA, USA). ¹H at 600.13 MHz, ¹³C at 150.9 MHz in CDCl₃, DMSO-d₆, CD₃OD or D₂O solution at 300 K. The 2D-H,H-COSY, 2D-H,C-HSQC and 2D-H,C-HMBC spectra were recorded and used for the structural assignment of proton and carbon signals. IR spectra were recorded on Bruker IFS 55 Equinox apparatus. HRMS spectra were obtained on an FTMS mass spectrometer LTQ-orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher, Bremen, Germany) in electrospray ionization mode.

Experimental procedures and analytical data for compounds 3-5 are provided in the supporting information.

tert-Butyl 2-(S)-(9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonylamino)-3-bromopropanoate 6

Ester 5 (14.6 g; 38.1 mmol) and CBr₄ (15.2 g; 45.7 mmol) were dissolved in 100 ml of DCM (CAS 75-09-2). The flask with the reaction mixture was immersed in an ice cooling bath, and PPh₃ (12 g; 45.7 mmol) in 100 ml DCM was added dropwise under stirring. Stirring at 0 °C was continued for 1 h and then at room temperature (RT) overnight. DCM was removed under reduced pressure, and a brown residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, using a linear gradient of ethyl acetate in petroleum ether. The product was a colorless oil, which was triturated in petroleum ether at ~20 °C. Yield 14.2 g (84%). White solid. m.p. 75–76 °C. Rtl = 0.67 (toluene–ethyl acetate 90 : 10). [α]D²⁰ = +19.3 (c = 0.98; CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO): 1.41 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃), 3.65 (1H, dd, J = 10.5 and 7.8, −CH₂-Hb-Br), 3.75 (1H, dd, J = 10.5 and 4.5, −CH₂-Hb-B)= 4.24 (1H, t, J = 7.0, >CH₂), 4.33 (2H, m, −OC–CH₂–), 4.34 (1H, dd, J = 8.0 and 4.5, >CH-N), 7.89 (1H, d, J = 8.0, −NH–CO), 7.33 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.42 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.74 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.89 (2H, m, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 27.73 (1H, s, (CH₃)₃), 32.81 (−CH₂–Br), 46.77 (−CH₂–), 56.06 (−CH=N), 66.06 (−CH₂–O–CO), 81.99 (O–C), 120.30(2), 125.44(2), 127.25(2) and 127.84(2) (8× Ar=CH=). 1H and 13C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AVANCE-600 spectrometer (Billerica, MA, USA). ²H at 600.13 MHz, ¹³C at 150.9 MHz in CDCl₃, DMSO-d₆, CD₃OD or D₂O solution at 300 K. The 2D-H,H-COSY, 2D-H,C-HSQC and 2D-H,C-HMBC spectra were recorded and used for the structural assignment of proton and carbon signals. IR spectra were recorded on Bruker IFS 55 Equinox apparatus. HRMS spectra were obtained on an FTMS mass spectrometer LTQ-orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher, Bremen, Germany) in electrospray ionization mode.

Experimental procedures and analytical data for compounds 3-5 are provided in the supporting information.
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1370 m (CH₃). HRMS (ESI) calc for C₁₂H₂₄O₅NBrNa [M + Na]+ 468.07809, found: 468.07840.

tert-Butyl 2-(S)-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-3-hydroxypropanoate 8

Z-1-Ser-OrBu 4 (12.8 g; 43.3 mmol) was put into a glass pressure bottle and dissolved in 300 ml of methanol, and then 500 mg of 10% Pd/C was added. The mixture was vigorously stirred and allowed to react under the atmosphere of hydrogen (15 psi) at RT overnight. TLC analysis revealed (toluene-ethyl acetate 50 : 50) that the starting compound had completely disappeared. The catalyst was filtered off through celite, and the filter cake was washed in 300 ml of methanol. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to give 7.2 g of light brown residue, which was immediately dissolved in 100 ml saturated solution of NaHCO₃. The flask was placed into 7.2 g of light brown residue, which was immediately dissolved in brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The filtration of the drying agent, followed by evaporation of the filtrate, gave 7.2 g of a colorless oil, which was triturated in petroleum ether at −20 °C. Colorless solid, yield 9.7 g (86% over two steps). The spectra and physicochemical characteristics of the product are the same as those for 3 prepared by a direct alkylation of Boc-L-Ser.

Alternatively, L-serine 1 (10.5 g; 0.1 mol; [α]D = +13, c = 5, 5 m HCl) was placed into a 1 l, round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic spin bar. The compound 1 was dissolved in the solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate (16.8 g; 0.2 mol) in 150 ml of water. The flask was immersed into the ice cooling bath, and 11 eq. of Boc₂O (24 g; 0.11 mol) in 100 ml of dioxane was added dropwise under vigorous stirring for 30 min. When the addition of Boc anhydride was completed, the reaction mixture was allowed to react for 1 h at 0 °C and then overnight at RT. A saturated aqueous solution of citric acid was added carefully until acidic pH = 3 was reached. The aqueous–organic solution was saturated with sodium chloride, followed by four extractions with ethyl acetate (each with 200 ml). Combined organic phases were washed four times with 100 ml of brine and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The extraction of the filtrate under reduced pressure furnished a colorless oil, which was triturated in petroleum ether at −20 °C. Colorless solid, yield 23.8 g (93% over two steps).

Compound 8 was prepared in the same manner as 4 by the reaction of 25 g of Boc-L-Ser, 200 ml of tert-buty1 bromide, potassium carbonate (69.1 g; 0.5 mol) and benzyl triethylammonium chloride (CAS 56-37-1, 11.4 g; 0.05 mol) in 450 ml of dimethylethamide. The required product was a colorless oil, which solidified upon standing in a refrigerator at 5 °C. An analytical sample was prepared by trituration in petroleum ether at −20 °C. Yield 18.7 g (72% over two steps), mp 77–80 °C. Rf = 0.55 (toluene-ethyl acetate 50 : 50), [α]D = −22.2 (c = 1.928; EtOH).

With the method described for 8, the title enantiomer 9 was prepared from D-serine (10.5 g; 0.1 mol; [α]D = −14.75, c = 10; 2 N HCl). Yield 17.5 g (67% over two steps), m.p. 76–78 °C. Rf = 0.55 (toluene-ethyl acetate 50 : 50), [α]D = +21.6 (c = 0.283; EtOH). 1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO): 1.38 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃), 1.39 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃), 3.60 (2H, dd, J = 6.0, −OH), 3.89 (1H, dt, J = 8.2, 5.0 and 5.0, −CH=N), 4.78 (1H, t, J = 6.0, −NH-CO), 6.76 (1H, d, J = 8.2, −NH-CO). 13C NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 27.86 ((CH₃)₃), 28.33 ((CH₃)₃), 57.12 (−CH=N), 61.63 (−CH₂O), 78.31 (−O(CH₂)₂), 80.52 (−O(CH₂)₂), 155.48 (−N=CO−), 170.22 (−CO−). IR (KBr) νmax cm⁻¹ 3322 s, 3280 s (NH); 1741 vs (C=O) ester; 1684 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1498 amide III; 1155 vs (OC(CH₃)₂); 2976 s, 2933 s, 1395 s, 1366 s (CH₃); 1081 s, 1059 s, 1048 s (C−OH). HRMS (ESI) calc for C₁₂H₂₄O₅N [M + H]+ 262.16494, found: 262.16507.
filtered off, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford 8.6 g of dark yellow residue, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, using a linear gradient of diethyl ether in petroleum ether. Yield 10.2 g (40%), yield 11 3.5 g (41%).

Using the method described for 11, the title enantiomer 12 was prepared from 9 (10.5 g; 40.2 mmol), MsCl (5.1 g; 44.2 mmol), TEA (6.1 g; 60.3 mmol) and NaN₃ (5.3 g; 80.4 mmol). Yield 10 2.1 g (21%), yield 12 5.8 g (50%).

**Compound 10.** Colorless oil. R₁ = 0.77 (toluene–ethyl acetate 90 : 10). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.47 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃), 1.51 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃), 5.63 and 6.07 (2H, 2× d, J = 1.5, =CH₂), 7.03 (1H, br s, –NH–CO). ¹³C NMR (150.9 MHz, CDCl₃): 27.88 ([(CH₃)₂], 28.22 ([(CH₃)₃]), 30.89 (O–(CH₃)₂), 82.54 (O–(CH₃)₃), 103.95 (=CH₂), 132.43 (=C–), 152.60 (N–CO–O), 163.02 (O–CO–). IR (CCl₄) ν max (cm⁻¹) 3461 w, 3421 m (NH); 1736 vs (C=O) ester; 1707 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1498 s (amide II); 1157 s, 1067 s (C–O); 2980 s, 2934 s, 1395 s, 1369 s (CH₃). HRMS (ESI) calc for C₁₂H₂₂O₄N₄Na [M + Na]⁺ 346.06244, found: 346.06254.

---

Ester 8 (11.5 g; 44 mmol) and CBr₄ (17.5 g; 528 mmol) were dissolved in 100 ml of DCM. The flask with the reaction mixture was immersed in the ice cooling bath, and PPh₃ (13.8 g; 52.8 mmol) in 50 ml of DCM was added dropwise under stirring. Stirring was continued for 1 h at 0 °C and then at RT overnight. DCM was removed under reduced pressure, and the brown residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, using a linear gradient of ethyl acetate in petroleum ether. The product was a colorless oil, which was triturated in petroleum ether at −20 °C. Yield 9.7 g (68%). White solid, m.p. 64–65 °C. R₁ = 0.65 (toluene–ethyl acetate 90 : 10). [α]₂³⁰° = −7 (c = 0.284; CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO): 1.39 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃), 1.41 (9H, s, (CH₃)₃), 3.61 (1H, dd, J = 10.4 and 7.8, –CH₂–Br), 3.70 (1H, dd, J = 10.4 and 4.5, –CH₂–Br), 4.22 (1H, dd, J = 8.2, 7.8 and 4.5, –CH–N), 7.21 (1H, d, J = 8.2, –NH–CO). ¹³C NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 27.72 ([CH₃]₂), 28.27 ([CH₃]₃), 32.89 (~CH₂–Br), 55.75 (~CH–N); 78.77 (~O–(CH₃)₂), 81.75 (~O–(CH₃)₃), 155.29 (N–CO–O), 168.41 (O–CO–). IR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 3433 m (NH); 1736 vs (C–O) ester, 1714 vs (C–O) carbamate; 1498 s (amide II); 1157 s, 1067 s (C–O); 2980 s, 2934 s, 1395 s, 1369 s (CH₃). HRMS (ESI) calc for C₁₄H₂₃O₂N₄BrNa [M + Na]⁺ 364.06244, found: 364.06254.

---

**Compound 11.** (9.6 g; 33.5 mmol) was treated with the cleavage cocktail, consisting of 18.8 ml of DCM, 18.8 ml of TFA and 2.4 ml of water. The reaction started with severe liberation of CO₂ and isobutene and continued at RT overnight under stirring. Volatile materials were removed on the rotary evaporator. The yellow residue was dissolved in a solution of Na₂HCO₃ (11.3 g; 134 mmol) in 50 ml of water. The reaction mixture was cooled in the ice bath, and Fmoc-OSu (11.3 g; 33.5 mmol) in 50 ml dioxane was added dropwise under vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to react for 1 h at 0 °C and then overnight at RT. The flask was again ice cooled, and concentrated hydrochloric acid was carefully added until acidic pH = 1 was reached. The reaction mixture was extracted thrice with 100 ml of ethyl acetate. Thereafter, the combined organic layers were successively washed once with 100 ml of water and twice with 100 ml of brine, followed by drying on Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the filtrate gave a brown oil, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, using a linear gradient of 1% CH₃COOH/ethyl acetate in toluene. Evaporation of the product afforded a yellow semisolid, which was triturated in toluene at −20 °C. Yield 10.2 g (86%). Colorless solid, m.p. 119–120 °C. R₁ = 0.63 (ethyl acetate–acetone–methanol–water 6 : 1 : 1 : 0.5). [α]₂³⁰° = −4.8 (c = 0.271; DMF). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO): 3.53 (1H, dd, J = 12.1 and 6.4, –CH₂–N₃), 3.68 (1H, dd, J = 12.1 and 3.6, –CH₂–N₃), 3.98 (1H, d, d, J = 7.0, 6.4 and 3.6, –CH–N), 4.22 (1H, m, –CH₂–N₃), 4.22 and 4.33 (2H, 2× m, –O–CH₂–), 6.95 (1H, d, J = 7.0, –NH–CO), 7.31 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.40 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.69 (2H, m, Ar–H), 8.77 (2H, m, Ar–H). ¹³C NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 46.92 (~CH₂–), 52.89 (~CH₂–N₃), 56.08 (~CH–N), 65.86 (~O–(CH₃)–O), 120.30(2), 125.40, 125.46, 127.29(2) and 127.82 (2) (8× Ar=CH–), 139.40(2), 144.01 and 144.10 (4× Ar=C), 155.83 (N–CO–O), 172.29 (~COOH). IR (KBr) ν max (cm⁻¹) 3430 (OH); 2108 vs (N=O); 1719 vs (C=O) acid; 1693 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1539 s (amide II); 3065 w, 3041 w, 1603 vs, 1478 s, 1451 s, 757 m, 740 s (ring). HRMS (ESI) calc for C₁₅H₁₅O₄N₉[M + H]⁺ 351.10988, found: 351.10968.

Alternatively, compound 14 was prepared from 27. Triflic anhydride (13.3 g; 47.2 mmol) was added dropwise under ice cooling and vigorous stirring to the two-phase system of NaN₃ (15.3 g; 236 mmol) in 60 ml of water and 70 ml of DCM. The ice bath was removed and stirring continued for 2 h. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted twice with 50 ml of DCM. Thereafter, the combined organic phases were washed with 5% NaHCO₃. The resulting solution of triflic azide (TN₃) in DCM was immediately added dropwise to the suspension of 27 (7.7 g; 236 mmol), NaHCO₃ (19.8 g; 236 mmol) and CuSO₄·SH₂O (60 mg; 23.6 mmol) in 50 ml of water and 150 ml of methanol, and the mixture was stirred at RT overnight. Volatile material was evaporated, and the remaining slurry was carefully acidified with concentrated HCl until pH 1–2 was reached. The reaction mixture was extracted four times with 100 ml of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed twice with 100 ml of water and twice with 100 ml of brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Filtering off the drying agent and evaporation of the filtrate gave 9 g of brown residue, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, using a linear gradient of 1% CH₃COOH/ethyl acetate in toluene. The yellow oil was triturated
in toluene at −20 °C to give the pure product. Yield 6.6 g (80%). Physiochemical characteristics were consistent with the above-listed ones.

Alternatively, compound 14 was prepared from 32. Compound 32 (8.4 g; 36.5 mmol) was treated with a mixture of 18.8 ml of DCM, 18.8 ml of TFA and 2.4 ml of water. After 2 h of stirring, volatile materials were evaporated, and the yellow oil was dissolved in 50 ml of water with NaHCO₃ (9.2 g; 109.5 mmol). The flask was immersed in an ice-cooling bath, and Fmoc-OSu (12.3 g; 36.5 mol) in 100 ml of dioxane was added dropwise during a period of 15 min under vigorous stirring. When the addition of Fmoc-OSu was complete, the slurry was allowed to react for 1 h at 0 °C and then overnight at RT. The reaction mixture was again cooled in an ice bath, and concentrated HCl was added dropwise until pH ~ 0.1 was reached. Thereafter, 150 ml of water was added, and the reaction mixture was extracted thrice with 100 ml of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed once with 100 ml of water and twice with 100 ml of brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was evaporated, and the resulting brown oil was subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel, using a linear gradient of 1% CH₂COOH/ethyl acetate in toluene. The yellow oil was triturated in toluene at −20 °C to afford the pure product. Yield 8.2 g (64%). Physiochemical characteristics were consistent with the above-listed ones.

2-(R)-(9-Fluorenylmethyloxy carbonyl amino)-3-azidopropanoic Acid (Fmoc–β-aza-O-Ala 15)

With the method described for 14, the title enantiomer 15 was prepared from 12 (7.4 g; 25.9 mmol), 18.8 ml TFA, NaHCO₃ (8.7 g; 103.6 mmol) and Fmoc-OSu (8.7 g; 25.9 mmol). Yield 7.8 g (86%). Colorless solid. m.p. 117–119 °C. Rf = 0.63 (ethyl acetate–acetonemethanol–water 6 : 1 : 1 : 0.5). [α]D²⁰ = +5.1 (c = 0.235; DMF). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO): 3.61 (1H, dd, J = 13.0 and 5.2, −CH₃–N₃), 3.64 (1H, dd, J = 13.0 and 7.1, −CH₂–N₃), 4.24 (1H, dd, J = 8.3, 7.1 and 5.2, −CH₂–N), 4.24 (1H, m, −CH₂–N), 4.31 (1H, dd, J = 10.5 and 6.7, −CH₂–OH), 4.33 (1H, dd, J = 10.5 and 7.5, −CH₂–OH), 7.33 (2H, m, −Ar–H), 7.42 (2H, m, −Ar–H), 7.74 (2H, m, −Ar–H), 7.89 (2H, m, −Ar–H), 7.92 (1H, d, J = 8.3, −NH–CO). ¹³C NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 66.03 (−CH₂–OCO–), 126.20 (29), 125.45 (29), 127.26 (29) and 127.84 (29) (8x Ar–CH–), 140.90 (29), 143.92 and 143.96 (4x Ar–C–), 152.22 (N–CO–O), 171.23 (COOH). IR (KBr) v max (cm⁻¹) = 1340, 1733, 2264, 2367, 2926, 3425, 3549, 4616, 685, 1534, 1733, 2119, 2262 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI) calc for C₁₄H₁₄NO₃Na[M + Na⁺]: 292.0658, found: 292.0657.

2-(5-Amino-3-azidopropanoic Acid Trifluoroacetic Acid Salt 16

One gram of 2-Chlorotriazine resin (Merck Novabiochem, Darmstadt, Germany, capacity 1.5 mmol/g, 100–200 mesh) was placed in a 20 ml syringe with a fit and preswollen in 10 ml DMF for half an hour. The solvent was removed, and 14 (0.575 g; 1.5 mmol) in 4 ml of DMF and DIPEA (783 µl; 4.5 mmol) in 2 ml of DMF were added. The syringe was agitated by shaking for 1.5 h, followed by washing (3× 10 ml of DMF). The reaction was terminated by two subsequent additions of a mixture of 5.1 ml of DCM, 0.6 ml of CH₃OH and 0.3 ml of DIPEA, each for 5 min. The resin was washed thrice with 10 ml of DCM and thrice with 10 ml of DMF. The Fmoc group was cleaved with 20% (v/v) piperidine in DMF (10 ml for 5 and 30 min). The resin was washed thrice with 10 ml of DMF and thrice with 10 ml of DCM. Finally, the product was cleaved from the resin by three subsequent treatments with a mixture of 2 ml of AcOH, 2 ml of trifluoroethanol, CAS 75-89-8, and 6 ml of DCM, each for 15 min. Filtrates were evaporated to dryness, and the crude material was subjected to RP-HPLC. The following gradient was used: t = 0 min (2% B), t = 15 min (15% B), t = 31 min (100% B). Yield 270 mg (74%). White lyophilisate. [α]D²⁰ = +21.8 (c = 0.262; H₂O). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D₂O + NaOD): 3.51 (1H, dd, J = 5.6 and 4.3, −CH–N), 3.60 (1H, dd, J = 12.6 and 4.3, −CH₂–N₃), 3.65 (1H, dd, J = 12.6 and 5.6, −CH₂–N₃). IR (KBr) v max (cm⁻¹) = 2122 vs (N=N); 1733 s (C=O) χ 1640 s, 1443 m (C=O) CF₃COO⁻; 1619 m, 1535 m (NH); 1207 s, 1151 m (CF). HRMS (ESI) calc for C₁₀H₁₀N₃O₃[M + H⁺]: 201.06535, found: 201.0654.
CHaHb–O–CO), 4.31 (1H, dd, J = 10.4 and 7.5, –CHaHb–O–CO), 4.44 (1H, td, J = 8.8 and 4.2, –CH–N), 7.84 (1H, d, J = 8.8, –NH–CO), 8.20 (1H, d, J = 8.8, –NH–CO), 7.32 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.41 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.73 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.89 (2H, m, Ar–H). 13C NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 18.90 (CH3), 19.10 (CH3), 27.81 ((CH3)3), 30.13 (–CH–N), 46.79 (–CH3), 51.81 (–CH2–N2), 54.49 (–CH–N), 58.19 (–CH–N), 66.08 (–CH2–O–CO), 80.95 (O–C(CH3)3), 120.33 (2), 120.55 (2), 122.78 (2) and 128.67 (2) (8x Ar–C), 140.92 (2), 143.94 and 143.99 (4x Ar–C=O), 156.14 (N–CO–O), 169.45 (N–CO–O), 170.43 (–CO–O). IR (KBr) νmax (cm⁻¹): 3335 s, 3266 s (NH), 2964 s, 2873 w, 1479 m, 1386 s, 1370 s (CH3)2, 1143 s (–CH2(CH3)), 3069 w, 3045 w, 3007 w, 1479 m, 1451 s, 1312 s, 1110 m, 799 m, 761 s, 742 w (ring). HRMS (ESI) calc for C27H32O4N6Na[M + Na⁺] 530.23739, found: 530.23732.

β-Azido-Ala Val 22

Compound 22 (600 mg; 1.2 mmol) was treated with a mixture consisting of 2 ml of DCM, 2.5 ml of TFA and 40 µl of water. After 2 h, TLC analysis revealed completely deprotected tert-butyl moiety, and the volatile material was evaporated under reduced pressure. 2-Cl-Trt-trichloride resin (0.8 g, Merck Novabiochem, capacity 1.5 mmol/g, 100–200 mesh) was placed into a 20 ml syringe with a frit and preswollen in 10 ml of DMF for half an hour. DMF was removed, and the crude acid Fmoc/β-azido-Ala-Val in 4 ml DMF and DIPEA (627 µl; 3.6 mmol) in 2 ml DCM were added. The syrup was agitated by shaking for 1.5 h, followed by washing thrice with 10 ml of DMF. In the next step, the Fmoc group was cleaved with 20% (v/v) piperidine in DMSO (10 ml for 5 and 30 min). The resin was washed thrice with 10 ml of DMF and thrice with 10 ml of DCM. Finally, the product was cleaved from resin by three subsequent treatments with 10 ml of AcOH, 15 min each. Filtrates were combined and evaporated to dryness. The residue was sonicated for 10 min in 15 ml of diethyl ether. The white precipitate was decanted and dissolved in 2 ml of hot acetonitrile (60 °C). After cooling, the crystals were filtered off and washed with 5 ml of diethyl ether. Yield 181 mg (67%). White solid, m.p. 187–189 ºC. [α]D22 = +8.9 (c = 0.357; DMSO). 1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO): 0.856 (3H, d, J = 6.8, CH3), 0.865 (3H, d, J = 6.8, CH3), 2.07 (1H, m, –CH2–), 3.44 (1H, dd, J = 12.4 and 6.4, –CHaHb–N), 3.48 (1H, dd, J = 12.4 and 4.4, –CHaHb–N), 3.55 (1H, dd, J = 6.4 and 4.4, –CHaHb–N), 4.13 (1H, dd, J = 8.6 and 5.2, –CH–N), 8.17 (1H, d, J = 8.6, –NH–CO), 13C NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 18.01 (CH3), 19.43 (CH3), 30.59 (–CH2–N), 54.34 (–CH–N), 54.49 (–CH2–N2), 57.46 (–CH–N), 171.53 (N–CO–O), 173.16 (–COOH). IR (KBr) νmax (cm⁻¹): 3433 m + vbr (NH); 2967 s, 2875 m, 1389 s, 1376 m (CH3); 2938 m, 1443 m (CH3); 2110 vs (N3); 1671 vs (C=O) amide; 1506 vs (amide II); 1581 s; 1403 m (COO⁻); 1174 m (–CH2(CH3)3). HRMS (ESI) calc for C17H27O4N6Na [M + Na⁺] 363.13153, found: 363.13162.

2-(S)-[5-Fluoromethoxy-carbonylamino]-4-aminobutanoic Acid 28

With the protocol previously employed for 11, the reaction of 28 (4.5 g; 13.2 mmol), NaHCO3 (11.1 g; 132 mmol) and CuO2H2O (32 mg; 132 µmol) in a mixture of 75 ml of methanol and 25 ml of water with Tn3 in dichloromethane gave azide 29. Tn3 was prepared by the reaction of Na2H (8.6 g; 132 mmol) and trifluoromethane (7.5 g; 64 mmol) in 40 ml of water and 60 ml of DCM. Yield 4.4 g (92%). [α]D22 = 0.68 (ethyl acetate–acetonitrile–methanol–water 6: 1: 1: 0.5). [α]D22 = −17.3 (c = 0.294; CH3OH). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): 1.84 and 1.97 (2H, 2x m, –CH2–), 3.34 (1H, ddd, J = 12.5, 8.2 and 6.5, –CHaHb–N3), 3.44 (1H, dd, J = 12.5, 7.1 and 5.3, –CHaHb–N3), 4.04 (1H, ddd, J = 10.0, 8.2 and 4.4, –CH–N), 4.23 (1H, m, –CH2–O–CO), 7.33 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.41 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.70 (1H, d, J = 8.2, –NH–CO), 7.71 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.88 (2H, m, Ar–H). 13C NMR (150.7 MHz, DMSO): 30.20 (–CH2–), 46.94 (–CH3), 47.85 (–CH2–N2), 51.50 (–CH–N), 65.91 (–CH2–O–CO), 120.42, 120.44, 125.51, 125.53, 127.39(2) and 127.97(2) (8x Ar=CH–), 141.02(2), 144.04 and 144.08 (4x Ar=CH=), 156.47 (N–CO–O), 173.67 (–COOH). IR (KBr) νmax (cm⁻¹): 3333 m (NH); 2950 w, 1478 w (CH2), 2107 vs (N3); 1717 vs (C=O) acid; 1697 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1540 s (amide II); 3065 w, 3040 w, 3019 w, 1475 m, 759 m, 740 s (ring). HRMS (ESI) calc for C19H17O4N3Na [M + Na⁺] 349.11588, found: 349.11595.
Experimental procedures and analytical data for compound 30 are provided in the supporting information.

2-(S)-(tert-Butyloxycarbonylamo)-3-azidopropionic Acid 31

Intermediate 30 (18.9 g; 81.4 mmol) was suspended in a mixture of acetonitrile (90 ml), 90 ml ethyl acetate (90 ml) and water (45 ml), and PIDA (31.4 g; 97.7 mmol) was added in five portions during 15 min. Then, 10 min after the addition of all amount of PIDA, the slurry turned clear followed by a rapid precipitation of the crude product. The cake of the filtrate was washed with 200 ml of chilled ethyl acetate, and no additional purification was needed. Yield 12.7 g (77%). White solid, m.p. 209–211 °C. \( \beta = 0.40 \) (ethyl acetate–acetonitrile–methanol–water 4: 1: 1: 1). \( [\alpha]_D^{20} = -5.3 \) (c = 0.318; acetic acid). \( ^1H \) NMR (600 MHz, DMSO): 1.39 (9H, s, \((CH_3)3\)), 2.77 (1H, dd, J = 11.9 and 9.1, \(-CH_2HB-=\)), 3.01 (1H, dd, J = 11.9 and 5.4, \(-CH_2HB-=\)), 3.67 (1H, dd, J = 9.1, 6.0 and 5.4, >CH-N), 6.28 (1H, br d, J = 6.0, >CH-N), 6.86 (1H, br t, J = 5.4, >NH-CO). \( ^{13}C \) NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 28.33 ((CH3)2), 40.65 (>CH-N), 51.08 (>CH-N), 78.42 (>CH-N), 115.18 (>COOH). IR (KBr) \( \nu_{max} (cm^{-1}) \): 3348 m (NH); 1714 (C=O); 1686 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1520 s (amide II); 2979 m, 1392 s, 1367 s (CH3). HRMS (ESI) calc for C18H15O4N2 [M + H]+ 309.10987, found: 309.10988.

Syntheses of azido amino acids

Protected acid 37 was prepared by the reaction of 35 (10.2 g; 19.4 mmol) and 8-quinolinol (7.3 g; 50.4 mmol), using the method described previously [56–59]. Yield 8.1 g (90%). White solid, m.p. 219–222 °C. \( \beta = 0.63 \) (isopropyl alcohol–concentrated aqueous ammonia–water 7: 1: 2). \( [\alpha]_D^{20} = +1.67 \) (c = 0.222; glacial acetic acid). \( ^1H \) NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): 1.37 (9H, s, \((CH_3)3\)), 1.42 (2H, m, \(-CH_2-\)), 1.51 and 1.67 (2H, 2× m, \(-CH_2-\)), 2.88 (2H, m, \(-CH_2-N\)), 3.07 (1H, br t, J = 6.0, >CH-N), 6.86 (1H, br t, J = 5.4, >NH-CO). \( ^{13}C \) NMR (125.7 MHz, DMSO): 26.14 (>CH-N), 28.48 (>CH-N), 54.26 (>CH-N), 77.52 (O=C(CH3)2), 155.75 (N=O-CO), 169.75 (>COOH). IR (KBr) \( \nu_{max} (cm^{-1}) \): 3362 s (NH); 2978 vs, 1398 s, 1366 s (CH3); 1174 vs (C(CH3)3); 1688 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1527 s (amide II); \(-1588\) vs, 1407 (COO-); 1588 s (NH3+). HRMS (ESI) calc for C10H12O3N2 [M + H]+ 233.14958, found: 233.14959.

2-(S)-(tert-Butyloxycarbonylamo)-3-azidopropionic Acid 32

With the same method as for 37, protected acid 38 was prepared by the reaction of 36 (12.3 g; 22 mmol) and 8-quinolinol (8.3 g; 57.2 mmol). Yield 9.9 g (88%). White solid, m.p. 228–230 °C. \( \beta = 0.64 \) (isopropyl alcohol–concentrated aqueous ammonia–water 7: 1: 2). \( [\alpha]_D^{20} = +4.8 \) (c = 0.270; glacial acetic acid). \( ^1H \) NMR (600 MHz, DMSO): 1.28 (2H, m, \(-CH_2-\)), 1.34 (2H, m, \(-CH_2-\)), 1.37 (9H, s, \((CH_3)3\)), 1.53 and 1.68 (2H, 2× m, \(-CH_2-\)), 2.88 (2H, m, \(-CH_2-N\)), 3.06 (1H, dd, J = 7.3 and 5.0, >CH-N), 6.74 (1H, br t, J = 5.5, >NH-CO). \( ^{13}C \) NMR (150.9 MHz, DMSO): 22.72 (>CH2-N), 28.45 (>CH2-N), 29.41 (>CH2-N), 31.04 (>CH2-N), 39.95 (>CH2-N), 54.34 (>CH-N), 77.51 (O=C(CH3)2), 155.70 (N=O–CO), 169.78 (>COOH). IR (KBr) \( \nu_{max} (cm^{-1}) \): 3380 s (NH); 2978 vs, 1393 s, 1366 s (CH3); 1178 vs (C(CH3)3); 1689 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1520 s (amide II); 1624 s (NH2); 1585 vs, 1407 (COO-); 1588 s (NH3+). HRMS (ESI) calc for C11H22O4N2Na [M + Na]+ 269.14718, found: 269.14719.

2-(S)-(tert-Butyloxycarbonylamo)-3-azidopropionic Acid 32

The reaction of 31 (12.7 g; 62.2 mmol), TEA (18.9 g; 186.6 mmol) and CuSO4·5H2O (155 mg; 0.662 mmol) in a mixture of 100 ml of methanol and 50 ml of water with TN3 in dichloromethane gave 32. TN3 was prepared by the reaction of NaNO2 (40.4 g; 622 mmol) and trichlorsilylene (35.1 g; 124.4 mmol) in 100 ml of water and 100 ml of DCM. A bright yellow oil (9 g) was obtained after the isolation by flash chromatography on silica gel and was used as a crude product in the following step.

Copper(II) Complex of N3-tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-L-ornithine 35

Complex 35 was prepared according to the literature [58] by a method starting from L-ornithine. HCl 33 (8.4 g; 50 mmol), Cu(C2H5COO)2·H2O (5 g; 25 mmol), Boc2O (12 g; 55 mmol) and 50 ml of 2 M NaOH. Yield 11.8 g (90%). Dark violet solid, >220 °C (decay). Because of the diamagnetism of copper, NMR spectra were not recorded. IR (KBr) \( \nu_{max} (cm^{-1}) \): 1684 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1573 m, 1401 s (COO-); 1620 vs (NH2); 1522 s (amide II); 2979 m, 1392 s, 1367 (CH3); 1174 vs (C(CH3)2). HRMS (ESI) calc for C20H29O5N3Cu [M + H]+ 526.20584, found: 526.20605.

Copper(II) Complex of N3-tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-L-lysine 36

With the method employed for 35, the complex of 36 was prepared from L-lysine·HCl 26 (9.13 g; 50 mmol), Cu(C2H5COO)2·H2O (5 g; 25 mmol), Boc2O (12 g; 55 mmol) and 50 ml of 2 M NaOH. Yield 12.7 g (92%). Dark violet solid, >220 °C (decay). Because of the diamagnetism of copper, NMR spectra were not recorded. IR (KBr) \( \nu_{max} (cm^{-1}) \): 1686 vs (C=O) carbamate; 1573 m, 1401 s (COO-); 1623 vs (NH2); 1522 m (amide II); 2979 m, 1392 s, 1367 (CH3); 2934 m, 1456 m (CH2); 1173 vs (C(CH3)2). HRMS (ESI) calc for C23H39O6N3Cu [M + H]+ 554.23714, found: 554.23719.
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6.08 (1H, t, \(J = 5.6, \text{–NH–CO–O}\)), 7.52 (1H, br d, \(J = 8.2, \text{–NH–CO–O}\)), 7.33 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.41 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.72 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.89 (2H, m, Ar–H), 12.70 (1H, br s, COOH). \(^{13}C\) NMR (125.7 MHz, DMSO): 26.46 (–CH\(_3\)), 32.47 (CH\(_3\)), 28.62 (–CH\(_2\)), 40.00 (–CH–N), 54.13 (–CH–N), 65.78 (–CH\(_2\)-CO–O), 77.58 (O–C(CH\(_3\))), 120.30(2), 125.50, 125.27(2) and 127.83(2) (8× Ar=CH–N), 140.93, 140.94, 140.96 and 144.07 (4× Ar=CH–O); 155.79 (O–C=), 156.38 (N=O), 144.07 (4× Ar=CH–O); 140.92, 140.93, 140.94 and 144.08 (4× Ar=CO); 155.79 (N=O), 156.24 (N–CO–O), 174.30 (–COOH). IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) (cm\(^{-1}\)) 3348 s (NH); 2976 vs, 2956 s, 2872 s, 1698 vs (C=O) carbamates; 1525 s (amide II); 3065 m, 3043 m, 1451 s, 1102 m, 758 s, 739 s (ring). HRMS (ESI) calc for \(C_{25}H_{50}O_{6}N_{2}Na\) [M + Na\(^+\)] = 477.19961, found: 477.19964.

6-Azido-2-(S)-(9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl)amino)-hexanoic Acid

Acid 40 was prepared by the reaction of 38 (9.3 g; 35.2 mmol), NaHCO\(_3\) (5.9 g; 70.4 mmol) and Fmoc-OSu (11.1 g; 35.2 mmol), using the protocol described for 39. Yield 15.6 g (95%). White solid, m.p. 125–127 °C. \(R_f = 0.75\) (ethyl acetate–acetonitrile–water 6 : 1 : 1 : 0.5). \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -8.5 (c = 0.272);\) DMF. \(^1H\) NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): 1.30 (2H, m, –CH\(_2\)-), 1.36 (9H, s, CH\(_3\)), 1.36 (2H, m, –CH–N), 1.59 and 1.69 (2H, 2x m, –CH–N), 2.90 (2H, m, –CH–N), 3.89 (1H, ddd, \(J = 9.2, 8.2 \text{ and } 4.5\), –CH–N), 4.22 (1H, m, –CH=O), 4.27 (2H, m, CO–O–CH\(_2\)-), 6.79 (1H, br t, \(J = 5.6, \text{–NH–CO–O}\)), 7.54 (1H, br d, \(J = 8.2, \text{–NH–CO–O}\)), 7.33 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.41 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.73 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.89 (2H, m, Ar–H). \(^{13}C\) NMR (125.7 MHz, DMSO): 23.17 (–CH\(_3\)), 28.49 (CH\(_3\)), 29.34 (–CH\(_2\)-), 30.83 (–CH\(_2\)-), 39.82 (–CH\(_2\)-), 46.88 (–CH\(_2\)-), 54.21 (–CH–N), 65.78 (–CH\(_2\)-CO–O), 77.56 (O–C(CH\(_3\))), 120.32, 120.34, 125.50, 125.52, 127.29(2) and 127.85(2) (8× Ar=CH–N), 126.12 (1H, br s, COOH). \(^{13}C\) NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): 134.40, 134.41, 134.43, 134.44 (5× Ar–C=), 140.94, 140.95, 144.00 and 144.07 (4× Ar=CO); 155.40 (N–O–CO–O), 174.11 (–COOH). IR (KBr) \(v_{\text{max}}\) (cm\(^{-1}\)) 3391 s, 2978 vs, 1393 s, 1367 s (CH\(_3\)); 2936 m, 1478 m (CH\(_2\)); 3066 m, 3041 m, 1451 m, 1105 m, 759 m, 740 m (ring). HRMS (ESI) calc for \(C_{25}H_{30}O_{6}N_{2}Na\) [M + Na\(^+\)] = 417.15333, found: 417.15346.

General Protocol for the Manual Synthesis of Tripeptides 43–47

(i) Rink amide resin (400 μmol, loading 0.68 mmol/g) was placed in a 20 ml polypropylene syringe equipped with a polypropylene frit and swelled in 10 ml of DMF for 1 h.

(ii) Fmoc group was cleaved by treatment with 20% piperidine/DMF (5 ml for 5 and 20 min), followed by five washings with 5 ml of DMF.

(iii) Fmoc-Phe (619 mg; 1.6 mmol), HBTU (607 mg; 1.6 mmol) and DIPEA (418 μmol; 2.4 mmol) were added in 5 ml of DMF. The resin was stirred for 2 h and then washed five times with 5 ml of DMF. Step (iii) was repeated, and the resin was washed successively with DMF, MeOH, DCM and DMF (each solvent five times with 5 ml). Step (ii) was repeated. Step (iii) was repeated twice (Fmoc-Val was used in the case of tripeptide 43; 543 mg; 1.6 mmol). Step (ii) was repeated.

(iv) 14 (563 mg; 1.6 mmol) or 29 (586 mg; 1.6 mmol) or 41 (608 mg; 1.6 mmol) or 42 (630 mg; 1.6 mmol) with HBTU (607 mg; 1.6 mmol) and DIPEA (418 μmol; 2.4 mmol) in 5 ml of DMF was added, stirred for 2 h and then washed five times with 5 ml of DMF. Only in the case of the synthesis of 44 did couplings with 14 proceed for 5 and 18 h. Step (iv) was repeated and the resin successively washed with DMF, MeOH, DCM and DMF (each solvent five times with 5 ml). Step (ii) was repeated.

(v) Thereafter, 300 μl of Ac\(_2\)O and 300 μl of DIPEA, each in 1 ml of DMF, were added; the resin was stirred for 15 min and washed five times with 5 ml of DMF. Step (v) was repeated. Thereafter, the resin was transported to a small glass reactor equipped with a frit, rinsed with 50 ml of dichloromethane and dried overnight under deep vacuum. The resin was cleaved for 60 min with 5 ml of a cocktail of TFA/water/trisopropylsilane.
(CAS 6485-79-6) (95/2.5/2.5). The cleavage step was repeated under the same conditions, the resin was washed with 10 ml of glacial acetic acid and all cleavage solutions and acetic acid were combined and evaporated under reduced pressure. The brown residue was then sonicated for 10 min with 10 ml diethyl ether in an ice cooling bath. The slurry was centrifuged for 10 min at 10 000 g, diethyl ether was decanted and crude amorphous white tripeptides were dried in vacuo. The purity of the prepared tripeptides was checked by RP-HPLC; analytical samples were isolated using the following gradient: t = 0 min (20% B), t = 30 min (100% B).

Analytical data for compounds 43-47 are provided in the supporting information.

CH$_3$COCO-Phe-Phe-CONH$_2$ 48

Yield 49 mg (32%). Lyophilisate. [c$_D^{15}$]$_{D}$ = −14.3 (c = 0.119; DMSO). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): 2.25 (3H, s, CH$_3$), 3.73 (2H, t, CH$_2$), 7.26 (10H, m, 2× C$_6$H$_5$), 8.21 (1H, d, = 8.2), 8.37 (1H, d, = 9.3), 8.72 (1H, d, = 4.7), 11.68 (1H, br s, CONH). IR (KBr) $\nu_{max}$: 3408 vs, 3320 (NH); 1725 m (C=O) ketone, 1665 s, 1640 vs (C=O) amides; 1524 m (amide II); 3086 w, 3065 w, 3029 w, 1498 – 1585 cm$^{-1}$.
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