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Background: Although Musashi mediates target mRNA polyadenylation, the underlying molecular mechanism has not been elucidated.

Results: Germ line development defective-2, a poly(A) polymerase, associates with Musashi and is necessary and sufficient for Musashi-directed polyadenylation.

Conclusion: Germ line development defective-2 mediates Musashi-dependent mRNA translation.

Significance: Germ line development defective-2 couples Musashi to polyadenylation and translational activation of target mRNAs.

The mRNA-binding protein, Musashi, has been shown to regulate translation of select mRNAs and to control cellular identity in both stem cells and cancer cells. Within the mammalian cells, Musashi has traditionally been characterized as a repressor of translation. However, we have demonstrated that Musashi is an activator of translation in progesterone-stimulated oocytes of the frog *Xenopus laevis*, and recent evidence has revealed Musashi’s capability to function as an activator of translation in mammalian systems. The molecular mechanism by which Musashi directs activation of target mRNAs has not been elucidated. Here, we report a specific association of Musashi with the noncanonical poly(A) polymerase germ line development defective-2 (GLD2) and map the association domain to 31 amino acids within the C-terminal domain of Musashi. We show that loss of GLD2 interaction through deletion of the binding domain or treatment with antisense oligonucleotides compromises Musashi function. Additionally, we demonstrate that overexpression of both Musashi and GLD2 significantly enhances Musashi function. Finally, we report a similar coassociation also occurs between murine Musashi and GLD2 orthologs, suggesting that coupling of Musashi to the polyadenylation apparatus is a conserved mechanism to promote target mRNA translation.

Growing evidence has revealed the cell’s ability to control translation of specific subpopulations of mRNAs both spatially and temporally. A common theme of targeted translational control is regulation of 3’ poly(A) tail length, wherein a short poly(A) tail results in translational inactivation, and long poly(A) tail promotes translational activation (1–3). This form of translation control has been primarily studied in oocytes of the frog *Xenopus laevis*. Immature (Dumont stage VI) oocytes are arrested in late G2 and, in response to progesterone stimulation, resume the cell cycle and proceed into meiosis. Once stimulated, the oocyte nucleus (germinal vesicle) breaks down (GVBD), which is marked by the appearance of a white spot on the cell’s animal pole, and the oocyte continues to metaphase of meiosis II, at which point it is mature and competent to be fertilized (4).

The oocyte maturation process (resumption of the cell cycle and progression through meiosis) involves a highly regulated signaling cascade, which is dependent upon synthesis of new proteins such as the Mos proto-oncogene, B-type cyclins, and Musashi (5–11). Because transcription is suppressed during maturation, the oocyte controls synthesis of critical proteins through selective translation of maternally derived mRNAs, in a strict temporal order (9, 11–18). This dependence on mRNA translation and lack of interference from transcriptional pathways make oocyte maturation an excellent model system to study the mechanisms of translational control (19).

Central to control of the signaling cascade that leads to GVBD are the translational regulators Pumilio, Musashi, and the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein 1 (CPEB1). Activating these factors occurs through a sequential hierarchy and results in the translational activation of target mRNAs controlled by each respective factor in a temporally orchestrated manner (20). Pumilio mediates repression of Ringo until progesterone stimulation leads to dissociation of Pumilio from the Ringo mRNA, resulting in Ringo translation...
Coupling Musashi to the Polyadenylation Complex

(21, 22). Ringo then activates free cyclin-dependent kinase subunits, triggering phosphorylation of several targets, including Musashi (23). Musashi phosphorylation triggers the cytoplasmic polyadenylation and translation of several target mRNAs, including those encoding Mos, cyclin B5, and Nrp1a/B (Musashi-1) prior to GVBD (10, 23–25). Subsequently, Mos-dependent activation of MAPK signaling primes CPEB1 for activation (26), leading to de-repression and translational activation of CPE-dependent mRNAs, including those encoding cyclin B1, Wee1, and CPEB4 (27–30). At GVBD, activation of the maturation-promoting factor (cyclin B/cyclin-dependent kinase) results in partial degradation of CPEB1 and functional substitution of CPEB1 with CPEB4 (30). CPEB1 activation appears to be mediated by several mechanisms depending upon the repression complex assembled on the mRNA (31–33). In immature stage VI oocytes, the atypical poly(A) polymerase GLD2 is found in a complex with CPEB1 and the deadenylase PARN. Progesterone-stimulated maturation triggers phosphorylation of CPEB1 and expulsion of PARN, resulting in the “unopposed” polyadenylation of CPE-containing mRNAs by GLD2 (34, 35).

Although a fairly detailed understanding of Pumilio and CPEB1 function has emerged, the mechanism(s) by which Musashi directs translational activation of target mRNAs in response to progesterone is unknown. Musashi does not appear to mediate repression of the Musashi-binding element (MBE-containing mRNAs in immature oocytes) but does mediate both cytoplasmic polyadenylation and translational activation in response to progesterone stimulation (24, 25, 36). In this study, we identify GLD2 as a Musashi-interacting factor that contributes to cytoplasmic polyadenylation of MBE target mRNAs prior to GVBD. GLD2 associates with Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 in both immature and progesterone-stimulated oocytes. The interaction of GLD2 with Musashi appears to be functional as assessed by several criteria. First, deletion of the region containing the GLD2 interaction domain compromises the ability of Musashi to mediate cell cycle progression. Second, inhibition of GLD2 synthesis with antisense oligonucleotides ablates cell cycle progression and attenuates Musashi-directed mRNA cytoplasmic polyadenylation. Finally, overexpression of GLD2 and Musashi exerts a synergistic acceleration of Mos mRNA polyadenylation and oocyte maturation. We also demonstrate interaction between the mammalian orthologs of GLD2 and Musashi-1, suggesting that GLD2 interaction with Musashi is a conserved mechanism to direct cytoplasmic polyadenylation and activation of Musashi target mRNA translation.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Oocyte Culture and Microinjections**—Dumont Stage VI immature Xenopus laevis oocytes were isolated and cultured as described previously (37). Oocytes were micro-injected using a Nanoject II Auto-Nanoliter Injector (Drummond Scientific). mRNA for oocyte injection was made by linearization of the plasmid and in vitro transcription using SP6 (Promega) or T7 (Invitrogen) RNA polymerase as appropriate. Oocytes were stimulated to mature with 2 mg/ml progesterone. The appearance of a white spot on the animal pole was used to score the rate of GVBD. Where indicated, progesterone-stimulated oocytes were segregated when 50% of the oocytes completed GVBD (GVBD50) into those that had not (−) or had (+) completed GVBD. In the event of ambiguous morphology, oocytes were fixed for 10 min in ice cold 10% trichloroacetic acid and dissected for the presence or absence of a germinal vesicle. Animal protocols were approved by the UAMS Institutional Animal Care and Use committee, in accordance with Federal regulations.

**Oocyte Lysis and Sample Preparation**—Oocytes were lysed in 10 µl/oocyte of ice cold Nonidet P-40 lysis buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM PMSF, 1× protease inhibitor (Thermo Scientific)). Yolk and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min in a refrigerated tabletop centrifuge. Where required, a portion of the lysate was transferred immediately following lysis to STAT-60 (Tel-Test, Inc) for RNA extraction using the manufactures protocol followed by a subsequent purification by precipitation in 4 M LiCl at −80 °C for 30 min and centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min in a refrigerated tabletop centrifuge.

**Pulldown and RNase Treatment**—Oocytes were injected with 57.5 ng of each *in vitro* transcribed mRNA and incubated for 16 h at 18 °C. Lysates were prepared as described above. 300 µl of oocyte lysate was added to 450 µl ice cold Nonidet P-40 lysis buffer and incubated with 50 µl of 50% glutathione-Sepharose conjugated bead slurry (GE) at 4 °C for 6 h with gentle rotation. Beads were then gently pelleted by centrifugation at 500 rpm for 5 min; the supernatant was removed and replaced with 500 µl fresh Nonidet P-40 lysis buffer. This process was repeated 3 times. On the third wash, 200U of RNase1 (Ambion) was added and incubated for 15 min at 37 °C. Following final centrifugation, all Nonidet P-40 was removed and 50 µl of LDS sample loading buffer (Invitrogen) was added. Beads were incubated for 10 min at 70 °C, then crushed by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min. Finally, 45 µl of the sample was loaded per each lane of a 10% NuPAGE gel (Invitrogen) and electrophoresed.

**Western Blotting**—For each lane, half-oocyte equivalents of lysate were prepared in NuPAGE LDS sample loading buffer and electrophoresed through a 10% NuPAGE gel then transferred to a 0.2 µm pore-size nitrocellulose membrane (Protran; Midwest Scientific). The membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat dried milk in TBST (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) for 60 min at room temperature, or overnight at 4 °C. Following incubation with primary antibody, filters were washed three times for 10 min in TBST, incubated with horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody then washed 3×10 min in TBST. Blot were developed using enhanced chemiluminescence in a Fluorchem 8000 Advanced Imager (Alpha Innotech Corp.). Western blots were quantified using Fluorchem FC2 software (Alpha Innotech Corp.).

**Antibodies**—The HA antibody (Cell Signaling) was used at 1:1000. The GST (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and GFP (Invitrogen) antibodies were used at 1:5000. The Tubulin antibody (Sigma) was used at 1:10,000. The Xenopus GLD2 antibody was a generous gift from Dr. Marvin Wickens and used at 1:1000. All working antibody preparations were made in TBST + 0.5% nonfat milk.
**TABLE 1**

| Plasmid Construction |
|-----------------------|
| **Construct** | **Methodology** |
| HA-XGld2 | A generous gift from Dr. Marvin Wickens (39) |
| pXen-GFP (vector) | (+) 5’/5’ Phos/ATGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAAGTGC-3’  
(-) 5’-GGTACC ATCGATACCTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCC-3’  
Technique: PCR fragment generation  
Template: pEGFP-N1 (Clonetech)  
Vector: pXen1 (40)  
Restriction enzymes: 5’ NcoI (blunt), 3’ ClaI |
| GFP-mGLD2 | (+) 5’-GGCGCATCGATGTCCCAAACCTCAATTTTG-3’  
(-) 5’-GACCCCGGTATTTTCAGGCTGAGCAG-3’  
Technique: PCR fragment generation  
Template: mGLD2 clone (clone ID: MmCD00382513, DNASHU plasmid repository)  
Vector: pXen-GFP  
Restriction enzymes: 5’ ClaI, 3’ XmaI |
| GST-XMsi1 | Vector: pXen1 (25) |
| GFP-XMsi1 | (+) 5’/5’ Phos/ATGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAAGTGC-3’  
(-) 5’-GGTACC ATCGATACCTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCC-3’  
Technique: Removal of GST tag and replacement with GFP tag  
Template: pEGNP-N1 (for GFP tag)  
Vector: pXen1-XMsi1  
Restriction enzymes: 5’ NheI(blunt), 3’ ClaI |
| GST-XMsi2 | (+) 5’-CGCATCGATATGGAGGCAGATGGGAGC-3’  
(-) 5’-CGCCCTCGAGTCAATGGATTCCATTGTG-3’  
Technique: PCR fragment generation  
Template: pXRP, A generous gift from Dr. Martha Rebert (41)  
Vector: pXen2  
Restriction enzymes: 5’ ClaI, 3’ XhoI |
| GST-mMs1 | Technique: Cut from template, standard ligation  
Template: pMSPN-mMsI1  
Vector: pXen2  
Restriction enzymes: 5’ XhoI, 3’ BamHI |
| GST-mMs2 | (+) 5’-GGCATCGATATGGAGGCAGATGGGAGC-3’  
(-) 5’-GGTGGATCCTCAGTGGATCCATTTGTAAG-3’  
Technique: PCR fragment generation  
Template: clone 40045350 (Open Biosystems)  
Vector: pXen2  
Restriction enzymes: 5’ ClaI, 3’ BamHI |
| GST-XPARN | Technique: Cut from template, blunt ligation  
Template: pCDNA3.1-Myc-PARN (35)  
Vector: pXen2 |
| CPEB1         | Vector: pXen2 | (28) |
|--------------|---------------|-----|
| GST-CPEB     | Technique: PCR fragment generation | |
| ΔC137        | Template: pXen2 CPEB1 | |
|              | Vector: pXen2 | |
|              | Restriction enzymes: 5'Clal, 3'XmaI | |
| GST-CPEB     | Technique: PCR fragment generation | |
| ΔC298        | Template: pXen2 CPEB1 | |
|              | Vector: pXen2 | |
|              | Restriction enzymes: 5'Clal, 3'XmaI | |
| GST-XMsi1    | Vector: pXen1 | (25) |
| N-terminal   |               |     |
| GST-XMsi1    | (+)5'-GCGCGATCGATGTCTCGGGGTGTCATGCCATAATGGA -3' | |
| C-terminal   | (-)5' -CGCGCGTCTAGATCAGTGGTGAGCCGTTGCTGATAAAAGC-3' | |
|              | Technique: PCR fragment generation | |
|              | Template: pXen1-XMsi1 | |
|              | Vector: pXen1 | |
|              | Restriction enzyme: 5' Clal, 3'XbaI | |
| GST-XMsi1    | (+)5'-GAAAGCCACAGCCCAAGGAAAGTGGTAGCAGCAGCTGCTGACAGCAG-3' | |
| Δ190-272     | (-)5' -CTGCTGACAGCAGCTGCTGATGACCATATGAGTC-3' | |
|              | Technique: PCR-directed mutagenic deletion | |
|              | Template: pXen1 XMsi1 | |
|              | Vector: pXen1 | |
| GST-XMsi1    | (+)5'-GACTGCATATGTCCTACATAGCAGCAGCTGCTGACAG-3' | |
| Δ273-340     | (-)5' -CTGCAACGAGCTGTCGAGAATGCGACAGTC-3' | |
|              | Technique: PCR directed stop codon insertion | |
|              | Template: pXen1 XMsi1 | |
|              | Vector: pXen1 | |
| GST-mMsi1    | (+)5'-GAAAGCCACAGCCCAAGGAAAGTGGTAGCAGCAGCTGCTGACAGCAG-3' | |
| Δ190-234     | (-)5' -ATGTTGACCATACGACCGACATACCTAC-3' | |
|              | Technique: PCR-directed mutagenic deletion | |
|              | Template: pXen2 mMsi1 | |
|              | Vector: pXen2 | |
| GST-XMsi1    | (+)5' -CGCGCATCAGATTCAACAACAGGCTGCTTGAGA-3' | |
| 190-298      | (-)5' -CGCGGCTCGACTATGGGCTTGTGTTCCAAAGAAA-3' | |
|              | Technique: PCR fragment generation | |
|              | Template: pXen1 XMsi1 | |
|              | Vector: pXen2 | |
|              | Restriction enzymes: 5'Clal, 3' XhoI | |
| GST-XMsi1    | (+)5'-CGCGCATCAGATTCAACAACAGGCTGCTTGAGA-3' | |
| 190-240      | (-)5' -CGCGGCTCGAGTATATCCAGGTGCAATGCC-3' | |
|              | Technique: PCR fragment generation | |
|              | Template: pXen1 XMsi1 | |
|              | Vector: pXen2 | |
|              | Restriction enzymes: 5'Clal, 3' XhoI | |
| GST-XMsi1    | (+)5'-CGCGCATCAGATTCAACAACAGGCTGCTTGAGA-3' | |
| 222-298      | (-)5' -CGCGGCTCGAGTATATCCAGGTGCAATGCC-3' | |
|              | Technique: PCR fragment generation | |
|              | Template: pXen1 XMsi1 | |
Polyadenylation Assays—cDNAs for polyadenylation assays were synthesized using RNA ligation-coupled PCR as described previously (38). The increase in PCR product length is specifically due to extension of the poly(A) tail (36, 38). The same reverse primer was used for all reactions and has the sequence: 5’-H11032-GCTTCAGATCAAGGTGACCTTTTT-3’.

Mos forward primer has the sequence: 5’-H11032-GCAAGGATATGAAAAA-AAGATTTC-3’.

Cyclin B1 primer has the sequence: 5’-H11032-GTGGCATTCCAATTGTGTATTGTT-3’. The Mos forward primer has the sequence: 5’-GCTTCAGATCAAGGTGACCTTTTT-3’. The Mos forward primer has the sequence: 5’-GTTCTGAGGAGGCTTCTTCT-3’. The Mos forward primer has the sequence: 5’-CTAGACGCTGACTCAGGCTCAG-3’. The Mos forward primer has the sequence: 5’-GCAAGGATATGAAAAA-AAGATTTC-3’. The Mos forward primer has the sequence: 5’-GTGGCATTCCAATTGTGTATTGTT-3’.

Antisense Oligodeoxynucleotide Injections and Rescue—Antisense oligodeoxynucleotide 5’-TCCCTCGTGCTCT-3’ was designed to target endogenous XGLD2-a and XGLD2-b mRNAs. Antisense oligodeoxynucleotides targeting Xenopus Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 were previously described (24). Control oocytes were injected with randomized oligonucleotide with the sequence 5’-TAGAGAGAGAGATCTCTTATA-3’ (12). A total of 100 ng of antisense oligonucleotides was injected for each condition and oocytes were incubated at 18 °C for 16 h. For Musashi rescue assays, antisense injected oocytes were subsequently injected with 23 ng RNA encoding wild-type Musashi-1 or a deletion mutant Musashi-1, as indicated. The oocytes were then incubated for 1 h at room temperature to allow expression of the protein, then stimulated to mature with progesterone.

Statistical Analysis—All quantitated data are presented as the mean ± S.E. Statistical significance was assessed by one way Analysis of Variance followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test or by Student’s t test when only two groups were compared. A probability of p < 0.05 was adopted for statistical significance.

Plasmid Construction—See Table 1.
Musashi Specifically Associates with the Poly(A) Polymerase, GLD2—Musashi has been previously demonstrated to regulate the polyadenylation status of target mRNAs (10, 24, 25). However, Musashi itself is not a poly(A) polymerase. We therefore hypothesized that Musashi must recruit a poly(A) polymerase to the 3’UTR of mRNAs containing a MBE. The noncanonical poly(A) polymerase GLD2, which does not bind target mRNAs directly (42), has been previously suggested to mediate CPE-directed cytoplasmic polyadenylation (34). Further, GLD2 has been reported to associate with the Mos mRNA (39), which we have previously shown to be under Musashi-directed control (25). We therefore hypothesized that GLD2 may mediate Musashi-directed polyadenylation. To test this idea, we used a co-association assay to determine whether Musashi-1 and GLD2 associate in oocytes. Briefly, immature Stage VI oocytes were co-injected with mRNA encoding GST-Musashi-1 and HA-GLD2. Oocytes were then allowed to express the proteins, lysed, subjected to GST pulldown in the presence of RNase I (to eliminate proteins that simply co-associate by virtue of interacting with the same mRNA) and specific interacting proteins detected by Western blotting. A GLD2-specific band was identified as a co-associating protein in GST-Musashi-1-injected oocytes, but not in oocytes injected with the GST moiety alone (Fig. 1A, *arrowhead*). A representative experiment is shown, and the composite results of three independent experiments are shown graphically (right panel). A positive control, GLD2 association was similarly determined using GST-CPEB1 (Fig. 1A). We also injected the mRNA encoding GST-Musashi-1 or GST, and endogenous GLD2 interaction was detected using a GLD2 specific antibody (Fig. 1B, *arrowhead*). No GLD2 association was detected with the GST moiety alone.

To determine whether GLD2 and Musashi-1 remain associated during maturation, when Musashi is known to actively direct polyadenylation of target mRNAs, we co-injected oocytes with mRNA encoding GST-Musashi-1 and HA-GLD2 as before. Following incubation, the oocytes were either left untreated or stimulated with progesterone before lysis. When 50% of the oocyte population reached GVBD (GVBD), they were sorted into those oocytes that had completed GVBD and those which had not. This sorting of oocytes was critical because Musashi has been shown to direct progesterone-stimulated polyadenylation prior to GVBD (24, 25). Following GST pulldown and visualization by Western blotting, GLD2 was

**FIGURE 1.** *Musashi-1 associates specifically with the noncanonical poly(A) polymerase, GLD2.* A, oocytes were co-injected with mRNA encoding HA-GLD2 and either GST-XMsi1, GST-CPEB1, or GST. The injected oocytes were incubated overnight to express the introduced proteins and then lysed. Lysates were then subjected to GST pulldown and treatment with RNase I. Associations were visualized by Western blotting. GST-XMsi1 and GST-CPEB1 associate with HA-GLD2 in an RNase I-independent manner, although the GST tag alone does not (arrowhead). UI, un.injected oocytes. B, oocytes were injected with GST-XMsi1 or GST and allowed to express the protein before lysis and pulldown. An antibody targeting endogenous GLD2 detects GLD2 associating with GST-XMsi1 but not GST (arrowhead). UI, uninterested oocytes. C, oocytes were co-injected with mRNA encoding HA-GLD2 and either GST-XMsi1 or GST. Following incubation, oocytes were stimulated to mature with progesterone. When 50% of oocytes reached GVBD, lysate was made using immature (I) and progesterone-stimulated oocytes pre-GVBD and post-GVBD. HA-GLD2 associates with GST-XMsi1 in immature and progesterone-stimulated oocytes (arrowhead). UI, un injected oocytes. A representative experiment is shown, and the composite results of three independent experiments are shown graphically (right panel). D, oocytes were injected with mRNA encoding GFP-XMsi1 and GST-XPARN or GST. Oocytes were then treated as in C. XMsi1 associates with PARN in immature and progesterone-stimulated oocytes (arrowhead). A representative experiment is shown, and the composite results of three independent experiments are shown graphically (right panel). E, oocytes were injected with mRNA encoding GFP-CPEB1 and GST-XPARN or GST. Oocytes were then treated as in C and D. As described previously, cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein dissociates from PARN after progesterone addition. A representative experiment is shown.
found to associate with GST-Musashi-1 in progesterone-stimulated oocytes prior to GVBD (Fig. 1C). When normalized for the amount of GST-Musashi-1 recovered in each experiment, no significant difference in GLD2 association with Musashi was observed between immature and progesterone-stimulated oocytes (Fig. 1C, Graph). No GLD2 association was detected with the GST moiety alone. We conclude that GLD2 specifically interacts with Musashi-1 in both immature and progesterone-stimulated oocytes.

Previous analyses of GLD2 interaction with CPEB1 have suggested that GLD2 function is opposed in immature oocytes by the presence of the deadenylase PARN within the CPEB1 complex. Progesterone stimulation resulted in phosphorylation of CPEB1 and expulsion of PARN, leading to the unopposed action of GLD2 on CPE-containing mRNAs (35). We therefore sought to determine whether PARN associated with Musashi-1 in immature oocytes and was similarly expelled in response to progesterone. We found that PARN can associate in an RNase-insensitive manner with Musashi-1 in immature oocytes and we do not observe dissociation of PARN from Musashi-1 complexes in response to progesterone stimulation (Fig. 1D). By contrast, significant dissociation of PARN from CPEB1 was observed after progesterone stimulation (Fig. 1E), consistent with an earlier study (35). These results indicate that PARN interaction with CPEB1 and Musashi-1 is differentially regulated in progesterone-stimulated oocytes.

**Deletion Mapping of the GLD2 Binding Domain within the Musashi Protein**—As an initial step toward testing the functional significance of the Musashi/GLD2 association, we sought to map domain(s) of Musashi-1 required for association with *Xenopus* GLD2 protein. A series of deletion mutants of the Musashi-1 (Fig. 2A) and CPEB-1 (Fig. 2B) proteins were generated and tested for GLD2 co-association in the GST-pulldown assay (Fig. 2C). The GLD2 minimal interaction domain appears to reside within amino acids 190–220, which lie C-terminal to the two RNA recognition motif domains. This 31-amino acid domain was sufficient for GLD2 to interact with Musashi-1 when fused to GST (Fig. 2, A and C, XMsi1 190–220). The *Xenopus* Musashi-2 protein was also able to co-associate with GLD2, as were the mammalian Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 proteins (Fig. 2, A and C). We conclude that GLD2 interacts within the C-terminal region of Musashi and that this interaction appears to be evolutionarily conserved for both the Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 isoforms.

Because the GLD2 interaction domain on CPEB1 has not been previously determined, deletions of the CPEB1 protein were tested for association with GLD2. We found that the GLD2 protein associates with the N-terminal half of the CPEB1 proteins (Fig. 2, A and C). The GLD2 minimal interaction domain appears to reside within amino acids 190–220, which lie C-terminal to the two RNA recognition motif domains. This 31-amino acid domain was sufficient for GLD2 to interact with Musashi-1 when fused to GST (Fig. 2, A and C). The *Xenopus* Musashi-2 protein was also able to co-associate with GLD2, as were the mammalian Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 proteins (Fig. 2, A and C). We conclude that GLD2 interacts within the C-terminal region of Musashi and that this interaction appears to be evolutionarily conserved for both the Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 isoforms.

**Interaction of GLD2 with Musashi Promotes Target mRNA Polyadenylation and Cell Cycle Progression**—To test the functional significance of the Musashi/GLD2 interaction, we compared the ability of wild-type and GLD2 interaction-defective mutant Musashi proteins to mediate cell cycle progression in response to progesterone stimulation. In this assay, endogenous Musashi function is specifically abrogated by injection of anti-
positive control for inhibition of GVBD. These findings complement an earlier report where depletion of GLD2 using neutralizing antisera also blocked cytoplasmic polyadenylation (34). As expected, the GLD2 antisense oligonucleotides, but not control scrambled oligonucleotides, led to the selective cleavage of the endogenous GLD2 mRNA (Fig. 4B). Next, the polyadenylation status of the Musashi target mRNA, Mos, was examined. Treatment with Musashi-1/2 antisense caused a deadenylation of 20 nucleotides in immature oocytes, which was restored (but not subsequently extended) after progesterone addition (Fig. 4, C and D). GLD2 antisense-treated oocytes did not show any deadenylation but did show significantly attenuated polyadenylation (by ~30 adenylate residues) compared with control oocytes after progesterone treatment (Fig. 4, C and D). Indeed, even in the few oocytes that were able to complete GVBD, GLD2 antisense-treated oocytes showed a dramatic attenuation of the poly(A) tail extension. We conclude that inhibition of endogenous GLD2 synthesis dramatically attenuates oocyte maturation and Musashi-dependent cytoplasmic polyadenylation of target mRNAs.

Further support for a functional interaction between Musashi-1 and GLD2 was obtained from overexpression studies. Injection of RNA encoding GLD2 or GST-Musashi-1 alone did not affect the rate of progesterone-stimulated oocyte maturation (Fig. 5A). However, co-injection of GLD2 with GST-Musashi-1 resulted in a 1.83 ± 0.25-fold increase in the rate of maturation (Fig. 5A). Protein expression from the injected RNAs was verified by Western blotting (Fig. 5B). When the polyadenylation status of the endogenous Mos mRNA was assessed, co-expression of GLD2 and GST-Musashi-1 resulted in an acceleration of Mos mRNA polyadenylation by ~1 h (Fig. 5, C and E), compared with water-injected controls. Importantly, the polyadenylation of the late class cyclin B1 mRNA still occurred after completion of GVBD in oocytes co-expressing Musashi-1 and GLD2, so the relative temporal order of maternal mRNA translational activation was maintained. Additionally, the absolute length of the Mos mRNA poly(A) tail was not significantly altered, rather the time to initiation of polyadenylation was advanced. The acceleration in onset of polyadenylation of the Mos mRNA was not seen when Musashi-1 or GLD2 were overexpressed separately (Fig. 5D). We conclude that co-expression of GLD2 and Musashi-1 accelerates the time of initiation of polyadenylation of Musashi target mRNAs.

**Mammalian Orthology of Musashi and GLD2 Co-associate—**

We finally asked if the murine Musashi and murine GLD2 proteins interact. We co-injected oocytes with GFP-tagged mouse GLD2 and GST-tagged mouse Musashi-1 or Musashi-2 or the GST moiety alone. Although GLD2 association with Musashi-1 (Fig. 6A) was detected, we did not see significant association between Musashi-2 and GLD2 (Fig. 6A). This finding indicates that mammalian Musashi-1 and GLD2 are capable of associating and that coupling of Musashi-1 with polyadenylation machinery may be an evolutionarily conserved mechanism of targeted polyadenylation and translational activation.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we provide the first evidence that Musashi can interact with the GLD2 poly(A) polymerase. The GLD2 enzyme

---

**FIGURE 3. Deletion of the GLD2 binding domain in Musashi-1 compromises Musashi-mediated oocyte maturation.** A. Oocytes were injected with antisense oligonucleotides targeting endogenous Musashi-1 and Musashi-2. Following incubation, the oocytes were left untreated or re-injected with mRNA encoding wild-type (WT) or deletion mutant Δ190–272 of Xenopus Musashi-1 and allowed to express the protein prior to being stimulated to mature with progesterone. The Δ190–272 Musashi mutant protein is significantly compromised for rescue of GVBD. The data represent three experiments. The Western panel inset demonstrates expression of the introduced Musashi rescue proteins. UI, un injected, no rescue protein. * indicates p < 0.05. B. Oocytes were injected with antisense oligonucleotides as described in A and subsequently re-injected with WT or Δ190–234 mutant mouse Musashi-1. The deletion mutant protein is significantly compromised for rescue of GVBD. * indicates p < 0.05.
is an atypical poly(A) polymerase in that it lacks inherent RNA binding function and is recruited to target mRNAs by association with sequence-specific RNA-binding proteins (34, 39, 42, 43). Interaction of GLD2 with Musashi serves to selectively recruit GLD2 to a subset of cellular mRNAs that possess Musashi-binding elements. GLD2 interaction with Musashi is RNase-insensitive, indicating that the interaction does not occur by simple co-occupancy of GLD2 and Musashi proteins on the same mRNA. Rather, the interaction occurs via protein-protein association in an mRNA-independent manner. As such, our findings link Musashi directly with the 3' end processing machinery that controls modification of the poly(A) tail in the cytoplasm to stimulate translational activation of select mRNA species in response to extracellular stimulation.

Our findings complement prior reports linking GLD2 to CPE-dependent mRNA polyadenylation via recruitment through interaction with CPEB1 (34, 35). Both GLD2 and PARN are present in CPEB1 complexes in immature oocytes. In this context, PARN is thought to exert a dominant effect and thereby override GLD2 activity to maintain short poly(A) tails and translational dormancy. Upon progesterone stimulation, CPEB1 undergoes phosphorylation of Ser-174, which triggers expulsion of PARN from the complex and leads to the unopposed action of GLD2 and consequent extension of poly(A) tails of CPE-regulated mRNAs (23). We see a similar co-association of Musashi with both GLD2 and PARN in immature oocytes. However, upon progesterone stimulation and phosphorylation of Musashi on Ser-297 and Ser-322, which are critical for translational activation of Musashi target mRNAs (23), we do not observe expulsion of PARN from the Musashi complex (Fig. 1D). We do observe PARN dissociation from CPEB1 (Fig. 1E) implying that the mechanism of MBE-dependent mRNA polyadenylation and translational activation differs from that proposed for CPEB1 and does not appear to require PARN expulsion. At this juncture, it is not clear how the complex is remodeled to allow Musashi-directed mRNA cytoplasmic polyadenylation in response to progesterone stimulation. Presumably, PARN function is in some way attenuated within the Musashi complex and/or GLD2 activity is promoted, resulting in a net gain in poly(A) tail length. Interestingly, the continued presence of PARN in Musashi complexes in progesterone-stimulated oocytes may explain the deadenylation of certain Musashi target mRNAs that lack CPE sequences after GVBD (25, 36). Future studies will be required to directly assess the regulation of GLD2 and PARN within Musashi complexes assembled upon MBE-controlled mRNAs.

Our data suggest a functional requirement for Musashi interaction with GLD2. Expression of wild-type Musashi-1 can rescue cell cycle progression in Musashi antisense-injected oocytes (24), whereas expression of Musashi mutant proteins...
encoding a deletion spanning the GLD2 interaction domain were significantly attenuated in their functional capability to rescue cell cycle progression. Furthermore, injection of antisense oligonucleotides targeting GLD2 specifically attenuated both oocyte maturation and polyadenylation of early class mRNAs in response to progesterone.

Although a role for GLD2 in Musashi function is clearly indicated, Musashi activity is not eliminated in the GLD2 interaction mutant. The residual Musashi-1 function may explained by several nonexclusive possibilities that include a second weak GLD2 interaction domain elsewhere on Musashi-1 that is not detected in our pulldown experiments, recruitment of an alternative poly(A) polymerase during maturation, or involvement of a potential polyadenylation-independent mechanism of Musashi-mediated translational activation (25).

Although our results are consistent with a requirement for Musashi to interact with GLD2 to mediate maternal mRNA translational activation, we cannot exclude the possibility that additional proteins may also interact with the same domain on the Musashi protein and thereby contribute to translational activation. For example, we note that a 44-amino acid domain of the mammalian Musashi-1 protein, including the GLD2 interaction region identified here (Fig. 2), has been reported to be necessary for repression of target mRNAs and association with the poly(A)-binding protein in rabbit reticulocyte lysate and HEK293T cells (44). However, the embryonic poly(A)-binding protein is the predominant poly(A)-binding protein in oocytes (45–47), and the function of the embryonic poly(A)-binding protein, if any, in Musashi-directed translational control is unclear. At this juncture, our data do not distinguish between indirect or direct interaction between Musashi and GLD2. Nonetheless, co-injection of both Musashi-1 and GLD2 acts synergistically to promote target mRNA translation and acceleration of progesterone-stimulated maturation, suggesting that GLD2 is a critical component of the Musashi translation promoting complex.

Deletion mapping localized the interaction domain to a 31-amino region within the C-terminal half of the protein (amino acids 190–220). This region lies outside of the two N-terminal RNA recognition motifs. Expression of the minimal region identified by deletion mapping fused to GST was sufficient to mediate interaction with GLD2 when expressed in oocytes (Fig. 2). The GLD2 interaction was also detected with the related Xenopus Musashi-2 isoform. In addition, both mammalian Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 demonstrated interaction with Xenopus GLD2 when ectopically expressed in the Xenopus oocyte. The 31-amino acid region is >73% identical between Xenopus Musashi-1 and

FIGURE 5. GLD2 is sufficient of promote Musashi-mediated polyadenylation and oocyte maturation. A, oocytes were injected with nuclease-free water (control) or mRNA mixtures containing GST-Musashi-1, HA-GLD2a, or GST-Musashi-1 and HA-GLD2a. The oocytes were allowed to express the injected RNA and were then stimulated to mature with progesterone. The maturation rate relative to control in five independent experiments is shown graphically. *, indicates p < 0.05; **, indicates p < 0.01. ns, not significant. B, representative Western blot showing protein expression from an experiment in A. C, representative poly(A) assay comparing polyadenylation of two endogenous mRNAs from Musashi/GLD2 co-injected oocytes relative to water-injected (control) oocytes. The Mos mRNA is under Musashi control and therefore polyadenylated early (prior to GVBD), whereas the cyclin B1 mRNA is under cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein control and polyadenylated late (at or after GVBD). The earliest signs of polyadenylation (*) appear at 3 h for all 3 conditions. – indicates pre-GVBD; + indicates post-GVBD samples. D, poly(A) length assay comparing polyadenylation of Mos mRNA from Musashi, GLD2, or water-injected (control) oocytes. The earliest signs of polyadenylation appear at 3 h for all three conditions. This indicates that the acceleration in onset of polyadenylation seen in C requires overexpression of both Musashi-1 and GLD2 together. – indicates pre-GVBD; + indicates post-GVBD. E, graphic representation of the poly(A) assay (C) for the Mos mRNA at the 3rd h. The dashed line is immature (Imm) oocytes (0 h), and the solid line is at the 3-h time point. Gray lines represent water (control) injection, and the black lines are Musashi/GLD2 co-injected oocytes. At the 3rd h, Musashi/GLD2 co-injection has promoted a polyadenylation of ~30 adenylate residues, whereas control injected oocytes have only extended their poly(A) tails by ~10 adenylates.
Musashi-2 isoforms. CPEB1 interaction with GLD2 occurs via the N-terminal CPEB1 domain (Fig. 2, B and C); however, alignment between the conserved 31-amino acid region of Xenopus Musashi-1 and Musashi-2 with CPEB1 failed to identify an obvious region of homology. We suspect that the GLD2 interaction domain on target proteins may involve structural folding rather than a simple dependence upon a linear amino acid sequence. The region within GLD2 necessary for interaction with Caenorhabditis elegans GLD3, an RNA-binding protein, has been determined (42), but it is unclear if this domain mediates interaction with RNA-binding proteins in vertebrate cells. Further studies will be required to definitively map the GLD2 interaction domains within CPEB1 and Musashi to better define a consensus GLD2 target interaction motif.

Consistent with a conserved interaction of Musashi proteins and GLD2, we observe co-association of the mouse orthologs of GLD2 and Musashi-1. This finding suggests that mammalian Musashi-1 may employ a similar strategy as seen in oocytes to promote target mRNA translation. We did not, however, observe any significant interaction between mouse Musashi-2 and GLD2 (Fig. 6A), suggesting a Musashi isoform-specific interaction. Indeed, precedent for Musashi-1- and Musashi-2-specific protein associations has been suggested previously (48, 49). It is possible that mammalian Musashi-2 may not mediate polyadenylation. However, our recent work indicates that both mouse and human Musashi-2, like the Xenopus Musashi-2, do promote polyadenylation in Musashi antisense-treated Xenopus oocytes.3 Thus, an alternative possibility is that Musashi-2-directed polyadenylation of target mRNAs in mammalian cells may involve a different poly(A) polymerase.

Although Musashi proteins have been primarily implicated in promoting mammalian stem and progenitor cell self-renewal and proliferation via repression of target mRNAs (e.g. m-Numb and p21) (50–53), recent findings have emerged demonstrating that Musashi switches from a repressor to an activator of target mRNA translation in response to mammalian neural stem cell differentiation cues (54). A role for Musashi-mediated activation has also been proposed for Robo3/Rig-1 mRNA translation during midline crossing of precerebellar neurons (55) and activation of m-Numb mRNA translation in the gastric mucosa of mice (56), although the underlying mechanisms have not been elucidated. Our findings of Musashi association with GLD2 and target mRNA polyadenylation during Xenopus oocyte maturation may serve as a paradigm to explain Musashi-mediated activation of target mRNA translation in mammalian systems. Given the role of Musashi repression in promoting physiological and pathological stem cell self-renewal, our mechanistic insights into the bipartite function of Musashi may provide a foundation for future therapeutic control of stem cell function.
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