The Role of Cannabis within an Emerging Perspective on Schizophrenia
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Abstract: Background: Approximately 0.5% of the population is diagnosed with some form of schizophrenia, under the prevailing view that the pathology is best treated using pharmaceutical medications that act on monoamine receptors. Methods: We briefly review evidence on the impact of environmental forces, particularly the effect of autoimmune activity, in the expression of schizophrenic profiles and the role of Cannabis therapy for regulating immunological functioning. Results: A review of the literature shows that phytocannabinoid consumption may be a safe and effective treatment option for schizophrenia as a primary or adjunctive therapy. Conclusions: Emerging research suggests that Cannabis can be used as a treatment for schizophrenia within a broader etiological perspective that focuses on environmental, autoimmune, and neuroinflammatory causes of the disorder, offering a fresh start and newfound hope for those suffering from this debilitating and poorly understood disease.
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Schizophrenia is arguably among the most severe, costly, and mechanistically complex mental illnesses, and yet is relatively common, affecting roughly 0.5% of the US population [1–4]. Historical theories of the etiology of schizophrenia have changed over time, and with them the types of interventions conventionally used for treating people with schizophrenic-like, i.e., positive and negative, symptoms. Currently, genetic and epigenetic vulnerability models remain the prevailing dogma, whereby schizophrenic symptoms are believed to manifest from an aberrant or sensitive underlying genotype, independent of or in coincidence with exposure to an environmental (biological or social) risk factor at some point in early development [5–7].

The genotypic-centered perspective has mostly been coupled with the assumption that the primary locations of health disturbances are pathophysiological perturbations in neurotransmission or the regulation of brain chemicals [8,9]. Antipsychotic medications frequently prescribed to treat schizophrenia are often designed around a monoamine neurotransmitter hypothesis, typically the dopamine hypothesis, which associates the disorder with a dysfunction in the dopaminergic pathways, contributing to positive, negative, and cognitive symptoms of the disease [10–12]. First-generation (typical) antipsychotics share the primary pharmacological property of D2 antagonism. The postulate is that a hyperactive mesolimbic pathway may cause positive psychotic symptoms. The desired efficacy of typical antipsychotics is achieved by blocking 60–65% of D2 receptors in the mesolimbic pathway. Unfortunately, the D2 receptors are simultaneously blocked throughout the brain in other pathways, such as the mesocortical, nigrostriatal, and tuberoinfundibular pathways. The mesocortical pathway is thought to be associated with negative symptoms. Therefore, blocking this pathway may induce secondary negative symptoms and cognitive effects. Occupying approximately 77% or more of the...
D2 receptors in the nigrostriatal pathway may increase the risk of extrapyramidal symptoms, such as dystonia (involuntary muscle contractions), akathisia (restlessness), bradykinesia (slow movements), and tardive dyskinesia. Chronic treatment with typical antipsychotics may result in 70–90% of D2 receptors being occupied [13]. It is estimated that about 5% of patients that maintain treatment with typical antipsychotics will develop tardive dyskinesia each year, making long-term therapy undesirable. A D2 blockade in the tuberoinfundibular pathway increases the risk for hyperprolactinemia, which may lead to more rapid demineralization of the bones, weight gain, and sexual dysfunction in both men and women. Several typical antipsychotics also block muscarinic M₁ receptors, which may worsen cognitive blunting. Blocking the M₁ receptor may also cause dry mouth, constipation, blurred vision, and urinary retention [14,15].

Second-generation (atypical) antipsychotics have a lower affinity for dopamine D2 receptors and greater affinities for other neuroreceptors, such as norepinephrine and serotonin receptors, especially at 5-HT₂A. The risk for neurologic symptoms may be reduced with atypical antipsychotics, but the risk for metabolic problems, including weight gain, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes, has been observed to be higher, especially in patients treated with Clozapine or Olanzapine [13,16,17]. Antipsychotic medications, whether typical or atypical, can be toxic, potentially inducing any number of a lengthy list of neurologic, metabolic, and cardiovascular side effects that can ultimately contribute to a significantly decreased quality of life and reduced life expectancies [12,18–22]. Overall, typical antipsychotics often reduce the severity of positive symptoms, but are generally less effective at addressing negative symptoms [23–25]. Atypical antipsychotics are often assumed to be more efficacious for treating negative symptoms. However, intolerable side effects still lead to discontinuation of treatment [26–28]. The Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) failed to demonstrate that atypical antipsychotics were any more efficacious at treating negative psychotic symptoms than typical antipsychotics [16]. The Cost Utility of the Latest Antipsychotic Drugs in Schizophrenia Study (CUtLASS 1) failed to show a significant difference in the rates of treatment discontinuation, quality of life, or improvement in psychotic symptoms in comparing typical and atypical antipsychotics [29]. Common side effects of antipsychotics (e.g., constipation, weight gain, tardive dyskinesia, cardiovascular disturbances, and glucose metabolic dysregulation) can also contribute to the need for additional prescription medications for treating those side effects, resulting in added polypharmaceutical risks to patients [30–32].

Perhaps more fundamental to the drawbacks of the antipsychotic medication model for treating schizophrenia is the misapplication of the concept of “reductionism,” or the belief that complex mental illnesses, often characterized by unique mental symptoms, including mental intentions (e.g., obsessive beliefs, hypersensitivity to threatening stimuli, and low self-worth) can be reduced to biophysiological mechanisms, i.e., monoamine receptor sites, that function in basic or fundamental ways [33]. In fact, there is still little evidence and certainly no consensus on the innate biological (physiological or mental) functions of serotonergic, glutamatergic, or dopaminergic activity (up- or down-regulation) in their absolute and isolated forms, irrespective of the seemingly infinite factors, including past experiences and environmental conditions, associated with normative and anomalous mental states. Reductionist proposals of schizophrenia involve hyperactive dopaminergic signal transduction and the use of antipdopaminergics as treatment, hyperactive glutamatergic signaling via NMDA receptors and the use of glutamatergics as treatment, and the role of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors and the use of positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) to indirectly regulate dopamine levels in areas of the brain involved in psychosis [34–37].

Another problem with antipsychotic pharmaceutical treatments is they are designed to act on particulate sites for treating the breadth of mental, behavioral, morphological and somatic symptoms associated with the diagnosis of schizophrenia [12,38]. The American Psychiatric Association’s criteria for a schizophrenic diagnosis includes only mental and behavioral symptoms: delusions, hallucinations, disorganized speech, grossly disorganized or catatonic behavior, and the presence of negative symptoms, which may include anhedonia, asociality, apathy, and alogia [39]. Two or
more of the presentations must have existed for at least one month along with a few other criteria typically considered in a diagnosis, such as a major impairment in functioning for a significant period of time, signs of the disorder lasting for a continuous period of at least six months, and ruling out schizoaffective, bipolar, or depressive disorder with psychotic features.

However, schizophrenic symptomology also can occur in association with microglia activation and neuroinflammation [40–43], which increase permeability of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [44]. This allows a wide range of both inorganic and organic toxins to disrupt neurological functioning, creating neuronal antibodies associated with schizophrenic symptomology [45–47]. Similar neuronal autoantibodies have been shown to arise as an immunological response to various cancers as well [48,49]. Non-paraneoplastic neural autoantibodies include those associated with hundreds of potential pathogens (e.g., rubella, influenza, Varicella zoster, Candida albicans, herpes, Lyme disease, Toxoplasma gondii, and several types of enteroviruses) that can lead to mental symptoms often described as “schizophrenic” (e.g., hallucinations, unusual involuntary movements) [50–52]. Additional sources of pathology leading to microglia activation and neuroinflammation may include food sensitivities, gastrointestinal inflammation, intestinal epithelial permeability, intestinal dysbiosis, nutrient deficiencies, environmental toxin exposure, and sleep deprivation, in addition to potential genetic sensitivities to express schizophrenic profiles [53–57].

Despite the association between schizophrenic symptomology and neuroinflammation, the DSM-5 focuses primarily on the mental and behavioral clusters of symptoms used in the diagnosis of schizophrenia without including physiological metrics and the many other types of schizophrenic symptoms not well treated with antipsychotics. Moreover, dozens of disorders have symptoms that overlap with schizophrenia, which are rarely evaluated prior to the diagnosis, likely due to the expense of more extensive biological and environmental tests, time, and the limited awareness of differential diagnoses that fall outside of a healthcare provider’s area of expertise. Patients diagnosed with schizophrenia often experience chronic immune system activation and high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and microglial activation [58,59]. Anti-inflammatory medications can alleviate symptoms associated with schizophrenia, and antipsychotic drugs are widely known to have anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects [60]. It may be that a substantial percentage of the population of individuals prescribed antipsychotic medications for schizophrenia experience symptomatic relief primarily for these reasons as opposed to their actions on dopaminergic or serotonergic signaling pathways. Many cases exist in which patients originally diagnosed with schizophrenia were later found to have autoantibodies targeting the brain [61]. One of the more well-known autoantibodies targets the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor. A recent study [62] among 121 patients with a schizophrenia diagnosis found evidence of NMDA-R antibodies in approximately 10% of the patients. While these autoantibodies may also be present in healthy populations, the prevalence was significantly higher in the population initially diagnosed with schizophrenia. Two of the patients from the study were later reclassified as having misdiagnosed NMDA-R encephalitis.

In light of the myriad psychophysiological characteristics that can accompany a schizophrenia diagnosis, perhaps greater emphasis should be directed toward interventions that operate on a systemic level rather than targeting isolated neurotransmitters. One treatment option that appears to have potential for regulating basic psychophysiological functioning is the Cannabis plant. Only relatively recently has the medical community begun to slowly warm to the idea that such historically high proportions of cannabis consumption among people with schizophrenia may reflect self-medication rather than recreational use of the plant [63,64]. Whereas cannabis was once often and sometimes still is described as a component cause of schizophrenia [65,66], several studies now suggest the use of medical cannabis as an effective therapy for schizophrenia [67,68]. According to the endocannabinoid deficiency theory, many mental and physical health disturbances result from a dysregulation of the body’s innate endocannabinoid system (ECS) [69–72], often described as a master network of chemical signals that promote somatic and psychological homeostasis or psychobiological state-efficiency [73–75]. The ECS consists of natural ligands (e.g., anandamide and 2-AG) and receptors (CB1 and CB2) that appear to
play a major role in efficient regulation of systems that include sleep, feeding (e.g., gut permeability and adipogenesis), libido and fertility, pain perception, motivation, happiness, anxiety, learning and memory, social functioning, and cancer pathophysiology [70,76–82].

Many symptoms either directly associated with schizophrenia or that exacerbate psychosis might be alleviated by focusing on clinical endocannabinoid deficiencies. Interestingly, an elevation of anandamide levels in cerebrospinal fluid inversely correlates with psychotic symptoms [83,84]. One possibility is that anandamide may be released by the body in response to psychotic symptoms [85]. As such, Cannabis may be an effective and more tolerable treatment option for schizophrenia than conventional antipsychotic therapies because of its ability to regulate homeostasis via the ECS [84]. Studies involving experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in mice have shown that during periods of CNS autoimmune inflammation, microglial cells become activated, proliferate, and localize to sites of inflammation [86,87]. CB2 receptors are mainly expressed in cells of the immune system [88] and become up-regulated on the microglial cells and other immune cells in the CNS during EAE [89,90]. Deletion of CB1 and CB2 receptors in animals has been shown to cause an exacerbated inflammatory phenotype in several models, due to an up-regulation of immune cell activity [91]. Notably, cannabidiol (CBD) treatment has been observed to act as an immunosuppressant and slow the progression of inflammation in animal and human studies [92–94]. Inflammation and oxidative stress are closely interconnected processes reinforcing each other [95]. In support of this notion, alterations in inflammatory, but also oxidative markers have been consistently detected in postmortem brain tissues, living patients, and translational animal studies [96–98]. Cellular redox homeostasis is modulated by the ECS [99], and cannabis administration in mice has been shown to modulate oxidative generation [100,101], a finding that led to the suggestion that CB2 receptors are potential target sites for Alzheimer’s disease [102,103].

In a recent placebo-controlled trial among schizophrenics [104], CBD treatment was shown to affect positive psychotic symptoms over and above the effect of a patient’s antipsychotic treatment. The researchers proposed several mechanisms of action within the ECS that may be responsible for the alleviation of positive psychotic symptoms: inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), inhibition of adenosine reuptake, TRPV1 and 5-HT1A receptor agonism, and D2 high partial agonism. Further, the study indicated a favorable tolerability profile in the CBD group [104]. This is very meaningful given the many serious adverse effects of antipsychotics, effects long known to contribute to poorer health and wellbeing and reduced patient adherence.

Several studies have attempted to associate cannabis use with an increase in psychotic symptoms. It has been proposed that cannabis may influence N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors and cause NMDAR hypofunction [105]. A study from the University of Melbourne in Australia has also shown that distinctions in the cannabinoid system of the brain may be involved in the pathology of schizophrenia, including changes in CB1 receptors in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex [106]. Another case study from the London Health Sciences Center concluded that a 38-year-old schizophrenic patient experienced a 20% decrease in striatal dopamine D2 receptor activity, suggesting that there was increased synaptic dopaminergic activity [107]. A major limitation in this study, and for other studies correlating cannabis consumption with schizophrenia, is that there was no mention of the cannabinoid profile within the strains of cannabis being used. These associations between cannabis use and the worsening of psychotic symptoms would appear to be primarily with tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THC), the main psychoactive component in cannabis, which acts as a partial agonist at the CB1 and CB2 receptors. Indeed, there are data to support that THC exerts effects on the dopamine system and that it causes region-specific increases in dopamine release and nerve activity [108]. One possibility is that schizophrenic patients may tend to self-medicate with cannabis to treat negative symptoms and possibly overcome the effects of D2 blockade associated with antipsychotics.

There are several studies indicating that CBD could block the temporary symptoms of psychosis exacerbated by THC. In one study, acute administration of THC modulated striatal and amygdala activation and its effects correlated with psychotic and anxiety symptoms, but CBD had an opposite
effect on neural activation in these regions, adding to an already robust body of evidence supporting
the hypothesis that combined administration of CBD and THC result in reduced paranoia [109].
In another study among 88 patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, patients were randomized to
receive either CBD or a placebo alongside their existing antipsychotic medication. After six weeks
of treatment, compared to the placebo group, the CBD group had lower levels of positive psychotic
symptoms and greater improvements in cognitive performance. The CBD was well tolerated,
and rates of adverse events were similar between the two groups, suggesting that CBD may be
a useful adjunctive therapy in schizophrenia, especially considering that its mechanism of action
does not depend upon dopamine receptor antagonism [104]. Because CBD has no significant affinity
at CB1 and CB2 receptors, it is generally believed that CBD may function as a non-competitive
negative allosteric modulator of the CB1 receptor [110] and as an indirect antagonist of CB1 and CB2
receptors more generally [93,111]. Proposed mechanisms for how CBD may reduce inflammation
associated with psychosis include: moderately blocking FAAH, thereby inhibiting breakdown of
anandamide; breaking down other ethanolamides that are a part of the endocannabinoid system, such
as palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) and docosatetraenylethanolamide (DEA), and blocking anandamide
transporters that compete with fatty acid-binding proteins (FABPs) [112–114]. Unfortunately, due
to cannabis’ continued Schedule I status and associated barriers to conducting medical cannabis
research [115], no practical, naturalistic investigations have been completed on how patient-managed
phytocannabinoid consumption immediately affects schizophrenic symptoms in real-time.

In conclusion, sustained states of inflammation in the gut and brain may be caused by
genetic, neurological, autoimmune, endocrine, oncological, pharmacological, nutritional, and
other environmental factors, including stress, sleep deprivation, heavy metal toxicity, phasic and
chronic infection(s), intestinal dysbiosis, and low-grade sensitivities to foods, pollutants, teratogens,
and chemicals [2,116]. Given the association between inflammation, microglial activation, and
schizophrenic symptomology, it may be that a significant number of cases of schizophrenia arise from
autoantibodies targeting the CNS [61,62]. While a variety of triggers likely contribute to psychosis,
inflammation and CNS immune system activation are almost always present. A review of the literature
suggests that CBD in particular may be a safe and effective treatment option for schizophrenia as a
primary or adjunctive therapy, supporting both inflammatory causes of schizophrenia and the potential
importance of targeting the ECS in treating this poorly understood disease rather than ill-tolerated
antipsychotics with debilitating side effects.
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