Introduction

I Use of Ionizing Irradiation

Ionizing irradiation for the purpose of disinfecting food is most commonly applied to susceptible foodstuffs like eggs, poultry or meat, to kill bacteria [1]. For this application, high intensities of irradiation like 5 to 10 kGy are needed, because bacteria are not very sensitive to irradiation. Lower intensities of irradiation are required (around 0.1 kGy) to control pest populations like insects in fruits, or to inhibit sprouting in e.g., potatoes or onions. Higher doses may cause product alteration, enzyme activity may be influenced by ionizing irradiation [1, 2]. Low doses (below 0.5 Gy) are used in measuring and inspection devices, e.g., to identify foreign bodies in the final check of readily packed products [3].

II Labeling and Verification

Irradiated foodstuff has to be labeled according to legislation. To comply with formalities, methods are needed to verify the use or absence of irradiation [4]. Several methods were developed since 1980, like the electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy to detect radiation-induced radicals, thermo-luminescence or photoluminescence [5]. Especially for fat-containing products, the detection of radiation-induced alkanes and 2-alkylcyclobutanone has become a commonly used procedure [1, 6, 7]. Because 2-ACB was up to date only found in radiated products, it seems to be the most specific and best-suited procedure to proof irradiation [8]. The concentration of 2-ACB is known to be linear to irradiation intensity; per millimole of fatty acids (bound in triglycerides), 1-1.6 nanomole 2-ACB is built [9, 10]. For non-irradiated foods containing a low quantity of irradiated ingredients, it may be of importance to detect also low concentrations of 2-ACB [11].

III Procedures to Determine 2-ACB

i General Procedure

Determination of 2-ACB follows several steps of processing:

i. Sample preparation, including crushing and homogenization.
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According to the official procedure, Soxhlet-extraction has to be used, clean-up has to be done with a Florisil column, followed by concentration of the eluate [7, 12]. GC separation was followed by mass-spectrometric detection in the single ion monitoring (SIM) modus at m/z 98 and m/z 112.

ii Improvements of the Procedure

Several improvements of this procedure were carried out, like the reduction of solvents by using supercritical fluid extraction (SCF) or enhancement of time efficiency by accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) or direct solvent extraction (DSE) [13-19]. To improve clean-up, Ndiaye et al. used additionally to the Florisil column, an ion exchange column, impregnated with silver ions, so that disruptive signals in the GC-MS chromatograms were reduced [20]. In this case, the used amount of fat (the equivalent of the sample) may be enlarged. Another way to enlarge sample equivalent by the factor of 10 was used by Horvatovich et al. by using a column with 60 g of silica gel for clean-up instead of the Florisil column [11]. Detection was realized by mass spectroscopy after chemical ionization with butanone so that improved selectivity was reached, and chromatograms showed less disruptive elements. The sensitivity of this procedure was proven by using rice, irradiated with 100 Gy.

Further investigations were done by Sin et al., who tried to reduce disruptions in the ion chromatograms without expensive sample preparations, by derivatization of 2-ACB with pentafluorophenylhydrazine, or by Soncin et al., who tried to avoid a sample preparation, by evaporating the water diluted sample and detecting 2-ACB by gas-chromatography and mass-spectrometry (GC/MS) coupled with solid-phase microextraction (SPME) technique (HS-SPME-GC/MS) [21, 22]. But sensitivity was not improved by this. For Ye et al., high-performance liquid chromatography, coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS), seemed to be suitable to analyze 2-ACB [23]. They realized that 2-ACB shows as ketone only a very low sensitivity to electrospray ionization; thus a derivatization was done with hydroxylamine. The resulting oxime (2-dodecyclobutanone, 2-DCB) showed the desired detection sensitivity, so irradiation could be detected even at very low doses (10 Gy) in chicken meat. Recent investigations used irradiation intensities of 100 Gy in cashew nuts or 400 Gy in nutmeg, lower in concentration level. To reach this low detection limit, the EN 1785 was adapted and varied by optimizing the extraction of 2-DCB from the lipid fraction and optimizing the clean-up process, and highly sensitive triple-quad mass-spectroscopic techniques were used for detection [12].

Materials and Methods

I Chemicals and Reagents

Cyclododecanone was purchased from Acros Organics, Geel, Belgium, Diisobutyl phthalate, Tetradecyclobutanone-d29 (2-TCB-D29), Hexahydrofarnesyl acetone and 2-Dodecyclobutanone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Fluka), Saint Louis, MO, USA.

II Preparation of Irradiated Samples

As a homogenous and pure fat food matrix, goose fat was chosen and purchased at the local supermarket. Three kg of goose fat was homogenized, and filled in 300 clean PS-petri dishes of 6 cm of diameter, each containing around 10 g of goose dripping, corresponding with a filling level of around 0.5 cm. Irradiation took place at the TU Darmstadt, Germany, by using an X-ray tube (Goliath Titan Isovolt 160E; GE Measurement & Control, Ahrensburg, Germany). Different irradiation intensities were realized by changing amperage and time of irradiation (Table 1). Per irradiation intensity, 50 petri dishes were irradiated. Irradiation intensities were 20, 5, 2, 0.5 and 0 Gy (control).

| Irradiation dose (Gy) | Voltage (kV) | Amperage (mA) | Time (s) | Filtration |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| 20.0                  | 90           | 33.7          | 434 s    | aluminium sheet, 1mm thick |
| 5.0                   | 90           | 33.7          | 109 s    |           |
| 2.0                   | 90           | 33.7          | 43 s     |           |
| 0.5                   | 90           | 19.0          | 19 s     |           |

III Extraction and Clean-Up Process

The extraction of 2-DCB from the lipid fraction was done according to Hijaz et al. with acetonitrile [19]. The extraction was repeated consecutively for six times, using 20 ml acetonitrile per extraction step. Column clean-up was done as described by Horvatovich et al., but only 2.4 g silica gel was used [11]. To reduce analyte losses during evaporation, 1 µg cyclododecanone was added as keeper during the extraction of the 2-DCB from the lipid fraction, and 0.5 µg diisobutyl phthalate (DIBP) was added as keeper after clean-up with silica gel, before further evaporation.
Using this clean-up process, from 10 g fat, a total of 0.5 ml suitably cleaned extract was obtained. The keeper concentration in the resulting 0.5 ml solution was 2000 ng/ml cyclododecane and 1000 ng/ml DIBP; thus a surplus of 400-fold and 200-fold were obtained in relation to the 2-DCB content (5 ng/ml solvent) in the sample irradiated with 2 Gy. Sample preparation, including extraction of 2-DCB from the lipid fraction and clean-up, was carried out four times per sample for validation measurements.

IV GC-MS/MS-Analysis

A volume of 1.5 µL was vaporized, the initial temperature of the injector (PTV; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) of 75 °C increased to 280 °C with a rate of 10 °C sec⁻¹. A 15 m GC column (TG-5HT, 0.25 mm i.d. x 0.25 µm film, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used for gas chromatographic separation, installed in a Trace GC-Ultra (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Oven temperatures were 100 °C (for 1.1 minutes), 135 °C, 183 °C, 215 °C and 290 °C (for 5 minutes). Argon was used as collision gas at a pressure of 1.2 mTorr.

Detection was realized by using a triple-quadrupole tandem-mass spectrometer of high sensitivity with two hyperbolic quadrupole rods, each of 25 cm length (TSQ Quantum XLS Ultra, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), in the selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode with electron impact ionization (EI) at standard energy (70 eV). A timed-SRM modus with a low cycle time of 0.3 s for a high chromatographic resolution and dwell times of 30 ms per transition were applied. To follow possible retention time variation, specific SRM-tracks of the matrix-own marker hexahydrofarnesyl acetone were used, according to Horvatovich et al., as well as those of DIBP [14]. For quantification, deuterated 2-tetradecylcyclobutanone (2-TCB-D29) was used as an internal standard, which was added at the beginning of the sample preparation.

V Method Development and Performance

i Extraction Process

To proof the extraction efficiency of acetonitrile for 2-DCB from the lipid fraction, several consecutive extracts were prepared from one goose fat sample, irradiated with 20 Gy. 10 g of this sample was added by 20 ml of acetonitrile, and mixing was done for 10 min in a shaker (MultiReax, Heidolph Instruments GmbH&Co. KG, Schwabach, Germany). The solvent was removed, and extraction was repeated eight times; thus, nine separate extracts from one sample were obtained. The first three extracts were pooled, and also the 4th to 6th and the 7th to 9th; thus three pooled extracts were obtained to check, how often times extraction has to be repeated to reach complete extraction.

ii Specificity of Detection

The specificity of the procedure was ensured by using the SRM-mode. Three transitions (m/z 112>98, 98>97 and 98>83) were used to ensure specificity. Matrix related variation of retention time was monitored by using markers like the matrix inherent hexahydrofarnesyl acetone and the keeper DIBP.

iii Performance of the Method

The recovery rate was determined by adding 2.5 ng 2-DCB to 10 g control sample (non-treated goose fat) either before or after the extraction and clean-up process; thus losses during sample preparation could be estimated. Repeatability was calculated as a coefficient of variation (relative standard deviation, RSD) of four separately prepared samples per irradiation intensity. Intermediate precision was determined for the irradiation intensities 2 Gy and 5 Gy by comparing the results as the day-to-day variation of 4 replicates, at two different days independently prepared and measured.

Results and Discussion

I Optimizing the Extraction and Clean-up

To improve the extraction of 2-DCB from the lipid fraction, direct solvent extraction with acetonitrile was used, and the extraction was repeated nine times. In the pooled extracts No. 1-3 and No. 4-6, 2-DCB was found, in extract No. 7-9, no 2-DCB was detectable. We concluded that the first six consecutive extractions per sample were sufficient, containing the extractable amount of 2-DCB of the sample. In consequence, further extract preparations were done for 6 consecutive times per sample, and measuring was done with pooled extracts. These extracts were cleaned up by using silica gel, according to Horvatovich et al. [11]. To avoid losses during the evaporation steps keepers (cyclododecane and DIBP) were used. These optimizations resulted in a concentration of 20:1, which means 10 g fat resulted in 0.5 ml solution.

II Optimizing the Detection of 2-DCB

To improve the selectivity of the detection of 2-DCB SRM transitions were used instead of monitoring single ions (SIM). Because of the high fragmentation rate of the 2-DCB at the applied electron impact ionization, masses of 112 and 98 were used as precursors. The transitions 112>98, 98>97 and 98>83 were chosen because they showed the best selectivity and signal to noise ratio. Even with these transitions, the chromatograms showed several peaks. Therefore, it was necessary to determine which peak showed the 2-DCB. Retention time markers were used to check retention time variations of the 2-DCB due to the influence of the matrix. With the use of the matrix inherent hexahydro farnesyl acetone and the keeper DIBP as markers, a sure location of the 2-DCB-signal was possible even in low dose samples.

III Performance of the Analytical Method

i Linearity and Calibration

For testing the linearity of the method, two steps were performed. In the first step, spiked samples were obtained by adding 2-DCB in different concentrations to cleaned extracts of non-irradiated samples. These solutions were measured, and the peak areas of the signal were corrected to the internal standard. The corrected peak areas of the signal showed a linear relation to the concentration of 2-DCB with $R^2 = 0.9989$ over the concentration range of 0.5 – 50 ng/mL (Figure 1) and were used as calibration for the determination of the 2-DCB content in the sample.
In the second step, irradiated samples with different radiation intensities were extracted. After cleanup, the extracts were measured, and the content of 2-DCB was determined from the calibration. The determined concentration of 2-DCB in relation to the irradiation intensity is shown in Figure 2. A linear relation between applied radiation and 2-DCB content was found, with $R^2 = 0.9993$. The amount of 2-DCB, which was formed in the fat due to the irradiation, can be derived from the slope in Figure 2. Our result of 114.1 ng 2-DCB per gram fat per kGy of X-ray irradiation is in agreement with the results of Ye et al. and Tewfik et al., which reported 104.7 and 110 ng 2-DCB per gram fat per kGy, respectively [23, 26].

### ii Sensitivity

In all irradiated samples, for mass transition 98$>$97, a clear signal could be found at the retention time of the 2-DCB (Figure 3). For samples with $>$0.5 ng/mL 2-DCB in the goose fat extracts after clean-up, the other qualifying transitions (112$>$97 and 98$>$83) were found, too; thus, a reliable proof of 2-DCB in samples irradiated with 2 Gy or more was possible for three mass transitions, containing approximately 0.25 ng of 2-DCB per g fat or more. In low-dose irradiated samples (0.5 Gy), 2-DCB was detectable only for one transition (98$>$97), disturbances of the chromatogram occurred for the other transitions; thus, a determination of concentrations lower than 0.25 ng/g fat was possible but lacked specificity in detection.

### iii Precision and Trueness

Repeatability of results was tested per irradiation intensity, each with four separately prepared extracts (replicates). Coefficient of variation per irradiation intensity was 2.8%, 3.9%, 9.7% and 19.9% for 20 Gy, 5 Gy, 2 Gy and 0.5 Gy, respectively, and intermediate precision for irradiation intensities 5 Gy and 2 Gy were 18.5% and 20.9%, respectively, determined as the day-to-day variation of four replicates each. The recovery rate of the improved extraction procedure was 57.8% at a level of 5 ng 2-DCB per ml of the readily prepared solution, which corresponds to the level of the 2-DCB content in the sample irradiated with 2 Gy.

Figure 1: Linear correlation between 2-DCB-content of spiked samples and their corrected peak area. Whiskers show standard deviation of three replicates.

Figure 2: Relation of irradiation intensity to measured 2-DCB content in goose fat. Whiskers show standard deviation of four replicates.

### Conclusion

Optimization of the extraction procedure was possible by using acetonitrile and keeper, and optimization of the detection was possible by highly sensitive triple-quadrupole tandem-mass spectrometry and the use of markers for retention time variation. The optimized procedure showed a recovery rate for 2-DCB of 57.8%, and a repeatability of 9.7% RSD (20.9% intermediate precision) at a level of 5 ng/ml, which was the level found in samples irradiated with 2 Gy, containing approximately 0.25 ng of 2-DCB per g fat. Even in low-dose irradiated samples at the level of 0.5 Gy, 2-DCB was detectable in goose fat with transition 98$>$97, disturbances of the chromatogram occurred for the other transitions.

A linear relation could be shown between the irradiation intensity and content of 2-DCB. The level of formed 2-DCB in relation to the irradiation intensity was the same as reported by Ye et al. and Tewfik et al. [23, 26]. It was shown that this relation could also be found in samples irradiated at very low doses like 2 Gy and below.
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