In situ characterization of an optically thick atom-filled cavity
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A means for precise experimental characterization of the dielectric susceptibility of an atomic gas inside an optical cavity is important for the design and operation of quantum light-matter interfaces, particularly in the context of quantum information processing. Here we present a numerically optimized theoretical model to predict the spectral response of an atom-filled cavity, accounting for both homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening at high optical densities. We investigate the regime where the two broadening mechanisms are of similar magnitude, which makes the use of common approximations invalid. Our model agrees with an experimental implementation with warm caesium vapor in a ring cavity. From the cavity response, we are able to extract important experimental parameters, for instance the ground-state populations, total number density, and the magnitudes of both homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Reversible coupling between light and matter is an important element of optically based or mediated quantum information processing networks [1]. Strong interactions are possible in a variety of media, utilizing the field enhancements provided by cavities or the collective enhancement provided by interaction with large atomic ensembles, or both. Examples include isolated atoms in high-$Q$ cavities [2], and atomic ensembles either in the solid state [3], cold atomic gases [4], or warm vapors [5]. A critical parameter determining the performance of such configurations, both in atomic ensembles and in the solid state, is the spectral profile of the optical transitions. In the present work, we present a method to fully characterize both the absorptive and dispersive parts of the spectral lines of an absorber inside an optical cavity, including both homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening effects. We consider the case when these broadening mechanisms are of similar magnitude.

The effects of introducing dispersive media inside optical cavities are well studied in the context of engineering cavity spectra, for instance cavity linewidth narrowing to give enhanced cavity lifetimes [6], having potential applications in laser stabilization or high-resolution spectroscopy [7]. Modifications of the spectrum are achieved using dispersion arising from electromagnetically induced transparency [8], coherent population trapping [9], or spectral hole burning [10]. Likewise, the modeling of the susceptibility of warm vapors has been much studied [11], considering the limiting cases and approximations that may be used. However, in characterizing the susceptibility of atomic or atomiclike systems, it is not uncommon to consider approximations such as when the absorptive component of the line shape is dominated by the homogeneous component due to the natural linewidth, for instance in cold atoms [12], or inhomogeneous broadening due to Doppler broadening, where the absorptive component of the line shape reduces to a Gaussian [11]. It is noted that using these common approximations helps facilitate the manipulation of the susceptibility, $\chi$, and enables more straightforward computation of the resulting functions. Such approximations are sufficient when considering either the far-from-resonance or near-resonance limits, respectively, as compared to the inhomogeneous linewidth [11]. However, once the atoms are placed in a cavity, it is critical to understand both the dispersion and absorption over a wide frequency range, in order to simultaneously capture the on-resonance absorption of the atoms (and hence access the optical depth and population distribution between the atomic levels), and the resonance conditions of the cavity detuned from the atomic resonances.

Measurements sensitive to both the absorption and dispersion are therefore a useful tool for characterizing an atom-filled cavity. In this case, we gain sensitivity to both of these via the modification of the cavity modes, mapping the dispersion of the intracavity medium into a modulation of the transmitted probe intensity. Furthermore, the fringe visibility is determined by the intracavity loss, which is also determined by the optical depth of the ensemble. Once set up, this provides a single measurement which simultaneously yields information about the number density, population distribution, and broadening mechanisms present in the intracavity atomic medium.

This work describes a full numerical model capturing the absorption and dispersion valid over a wide detuning range, in the presence of comparable homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening. This work was motivated by the emerging requirement to design and calibrate the control systems as part of a wider project based on a warm alkali-vapor-filled optical cavity with very specific resonance conditions discussed in [13]. For such applications, high optical depths and coherence ...
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times are necessary, where in alkali vapors, this often requires both an elevated operating temperature to achieve a high number density and the addition of a buffer gas in order to maximize the diffusion time of the atoms [14]. Together, high temperatures and high buffer gas pressures can lead to a regime with comparable Doppler and pressure broadening. With all of these considerations, it was critical to develop a framework with which to fully understand the electric susceptibility. Nonetheless, the methods and analyses presented here are readily generalized to atoms or atomlike ensembles embedded in cavities.

II. MODEL

We begin our analysis by considering a simple Fabry-Perot-type cavity containing some atomic ensemble, depicted schematically in Fig. 1, the transmission through which can be expressed in the familiar form,

\[ E_{\text{trans}} = \frac{1}{1 - \zeta} E_{\text{in}}, \]

where \( \zeta = \tau r_m \tau r_{out} \) is the round-trip complex transmission between the outcoupling and incoming mirror, with \( \tau \) accounting for the single pass loss and phase accumulated passing through the cavity.

To include the contribution to the atomic ensemble on the cavity response, we begin with the analysis of Siddons et al. [11] to model the electric susceptibility of the vapor and have based our simulation on the ElecSus computer program [15] published by the same group. This is then incorporated into our own extended framework to describe the entire atom-plus-cavity system.

In the expression for the cavity transfer function in Eq. (1), we can account for the frequency-dependent dispersion and absorption of the atomic ensemble in the round-trip transmission term \( \zeta = |\zeta(\omega)| e^{i \phi(\omega)} \) at laser frequency \( \omega \). For the evaluation of the phase accumulation across the cavity, it is necessary to consider the refractive index integrated over the length \( L \) of the ensemble, where the refractive index \( n = n' + i n'' \) is related to the susceptibility \( \chi \) by

\[ n = (1 + \chi)^{1/2}. \]

Separating real (') and imaginary ('') parts, we have

\[ n' \approx 1 + \frac{\chi'}{2}, \]

\[ n'' \approx \frac{\chi''}{2}, \]

for small \( \chi \), as is typically the case in our operating regime.

The intracavity transmission factor \( \tau \) in Eq. (1) can then be written as

\[ \tau(\omega) = \exp \left( \int_{\omega = 0}^{L} dz \frac{\omega z}{c} \left\{ -\frac{\chi''(\omega, z)}{2} + i \left[ 1 + \frac{\chi'(\omega, z)}{2} \right] \right\} \right), \]

and can be substituted into \( \zeta \).

The susceptibility can be expressed in terms of the contributions of the dipole moments for each of the atomic transitions. For a given dipole transition between states \( |i \rangle \) and \( |f \rangle \), the contribution to the susceptibility is of the form [16]

\[ \chi_{if} = \frac{c_{if}^2 N_i D_{if}^2}{\hbar \epsilon_0} s_s(\Delta_{if}), \]

where \( c_{if} \) is the transition strength factor for the given atomic transition; for instance, as tabulated in [17] for caesium and considered later in the experimental part of this work, \( D_{if} = \langle i | e r | f \rangle \) is the dipole matrix element, given approximately by \( D_{if} \approx \langle i | e r | f \rangle / \sqrt{3} \), for linear polarizations [17] assumed in the following analysis, and is the same for all dipole transitions of the \( D_2 \) line. \( N_i \) is the number density of the atoms in state \( |i \rangle \) interacting with the light field, and \( s_s(\Delta_{if}) \) is a shape factor depending on the detuning, \( \Delta_{if} = \omega - \omega_{if} \), from the transition \( i \rightarrow f \), and some set of physical parameters, \( \lambda \), as elaborated below.

With the total susceptibility \( \chi = \sum_{i,f} \chi_{if} \), we obtain the complex refractive index of the vapor, giving us the dispersion (real part) and absorption (imaginary part) of the vapor.

For the bare atoms, we label the line shape \( f_s(\Delta) \), which is determined solely by \( \Delta \) and the natural linewidth of the transition, \( \gamma \) (half width at half maximum). For a given transition \( i \rightarrow f \), the shape factor can be derived [18] as

\[ f_s(\Delta) = \frac{1}{-\Delta + i \gamma} + \frac{1}{(\Delta + 2i \omega_{if}) + i \gamma} \approx \frac{-\Delta + i \gamma}{\Delta^2 + \gamma^2}. \]

In the second line, we have taken that \( \Delta, \gamma \ll \omega_{if} \) and make the rotating-wave approximation. In practice, \( s_s(\Delta) \) must then incorporate the Lorentzian natural linewidth together with contributions from homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening mechanisms. In atomic vapor systems, these may include collisional broadening and Doppler broadening; in solid-state ensembles, similar broadening results from phonons and local charge fluctuations or random strain distributions.

First, let us consider homogeneous broadening, which in general contributes an additional Lorentzian component to the natural linewidth by adding an additional decay rate to the natural decay rate of the excited state, identically to all emitters. Here, we consider the specific case of collisional broadening in caesium vapor, where the contribution from pressure broadening and the shift of caesium with various buffer gasses is well studied in the literature; see, for instance, [19,20]. Nonetheless, analogous broadening occurs in solid-state systems. Collisional broadening can therefore
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be described by including an additional component to the Lorentzian natural linewidth \[ \gamma \] \[ f, \]
\[ \gamma_p = \gamma + \gamma_{coll}, \] (8)
where \( \gamma \) is the natural linewidth and \( \gamma_{coll} \propto n \bar{v}_h \) is the contribution from collision-induced broadening, where \( n \) is the number density, \( \bar{v}_h \) is the thermal velocity of the atoms, and the constant of proportionality relates to their collisional cross section. For experiments with relatively low atomic densities of caesium, the pressure broadening is dominated by the buffer gas. Similarly, the buffer gas induces a shift in the energy of the transitions, where the shift is also linear in the pressure.

Inhomogeneous broadening due to the varying local environment of each emitter has the effect of convolving the refractive index over the probability distribution of shifted resonance frequencies. In the case of Doppler broadening, this means convolving over the thermal velocity distribution of the atoms, due to the Doppler shifted frequency \( \omega = \omega (1 - v_z/c) = \omega - \delta_v \), seen by an atom with a velocity component \( v_z \) parallel to the direction of beam propagation. The resulting shape, as a function of detuning, is then given by the convolution (denoted \( \ast \))

\[
s_{\gamma,\sigma}(\Delta) = [f_p \ast g_{\sigma}](\Delta)
\]
(9)

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_p(\Delta - \delta_v) g_{\sigma}(\delta_v) d\delta_v,
\] (10)

where \( g_{\sigma}(\delta_v) \) is the Gaussian distribution of frequency shift due to velocity in one dimension,

\[
g_{\sigma}(\delta_v) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta_v}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right],
\] (11)

and

\[
\delta_v = \frac{\omega v_z}{c},
\] (12)

\[
\sigma = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{m}},
\] (13)
The resulting shape function is related to the Faddeeva function [if \( f_p \) is taken before making the rotating-wave approximation in Eq. (7)] \[ [11] \] and arises in a number of physical systems; nevertheless, it is difficult to handle numerically and has therefore attracted research over the years into finding either analytic approximations (e.g., \[ [21] \]) or, more recently, developing efficient algorithms (e.g., \[ [22, 23] \]). The absorptive component results in the well-known Voigt function. On the other hand, atomic dispersion is, in general, more difficult to measure directly, with experiments requiring actively stabilized interferometry; for instance, \[ [24] \]. Our approach enables access to the dispersive part of the line-shape function through the phase accumulated by the probe light on each round trip of the cavity.

Assuming that the natural linewidth \( \gamma \) (and likewise the pressure width \( \gamma_p \) and Doppler width \( \sigma \)) are identical for each transition, as in \[ [15] \], this shape function can then be inserted into Eq. (6) to obtain the total refractive index of the medium, and thus find the resulting cavity transmission function.

Having obtained the full expression for the cavity response including the contribution from the vapor, we note that the strength of light-matter interaction is often discussed in terms of the optical depth \( d \) of the matter ensemble, where \( d \) is defined by the on-resonance absorption of the medium: \( I_{out} = I_{in} \exp(-2d) \).

Then, for the bare atoms (i.e., neglecting any Doppler or pressure effects) perfectly initialized into the ground state \( i \), from Eq. (7) on resonance we have that \( s(0) = i/\sqrt{\gamma} \), so \( d \) can be expressed as \[ [25] \]

\[
d = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0 L}{c} N D_{ij}^2 \frac{1}{\hbar \epsilon_0} \sqrt{\gamma},
\] (14)

where \( N \) is the number density of caesium atoms interacting with the light field, in some length \( L \). With this, we can rewrite the susceptibility as

\[
\chi = \frac{2d\gamma}{\omega_0 L/c} \delta_v(\Delta).
\] (15)

Noting that far away from resonance, the Lorentzian character of the shape profile, \( s(\Delta) \), is dominant \[ [11] \], in the limit of large detuning the absorption and dispersion have the functional dependence

\[
\lim_{\Delta \gg \gamma, \sigma} \left( \frac{\omega L}{c} n' \right) = \frac{\omega L}{c} - \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \frac{d\gamma}{\Delta},
\] (16)

\[
\lim_{\Delta \gg \gamma, \sigma} \left( \frac{\omega L}{c} n'' \right) = \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \frac{d\gamma^2}{\Delta^2}.
\] (17)

Noting that the dispersive part \( n' \) of the complex refractive index decays slower \( (1/\Delta^2) \) away from resonance, this means that measurements sensitive to the dispersion yield information about the atomic population over a wider frequency range than measuring only the absorption (which scales as \( 1/\Delta \)), as exploited in Ref. \[ [26] \]. This affects the frequencies of the cavity resonances and therefore provides both a means to measure the atomic populations and linewidths as well as to control the position of the full cavity transmission frequencies.

With this description, then, the intracavity field and transmission can be obtained from (1) [via Eq. (5)], as a function of physical parameters: the temperature of the vapor (broadening), the caesium reservoir temperature (number density), the population distribution between the ground states (optical pumping efficiency), and the cavity reflectivities.

### III. EXPERIMENT

We now apply the general model developed in the previous section to our particular experimental arrangement. The system analyzed in the present work is comprised of a ring cavity, passing through the caesium-filled vapor cell twice each round trip, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). The vapor cell (Precision Glassblowing) of length 12 mm and diameter 10 mm also contained 10 Torr of neon buffer gas. To control the number density of caesium in the vapor phase, the cell was heated by a coil of quad-twisted wire (Lakeshore QT-32) wrapped around the cell, with thermistors between the wire and the cell surface connected to a temperature controller, controlling the current through the coil. The central upper region of the cell was cooled by a cold finger driven by a Peltier element in order to prevent caesium deposition on the cell windows.
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A. Experimental details

The stability of the cavity is critical for these measurements: in particular, the length and temperature of the caesium cell were actively stabilized. The cavity length was locked using a variant of the Hänisch-Couillaud method [28], where the polarization rotation of a HeNe laser generates a length-dependent error signal which is monitored by a microcontroller (Arduino Due) that provides both fast (kHz) and slow (Hz) feedback to piezoelectric stack on which the concave cavity mirror is mounted. The cavity lock remains stable to ±50 MHz for a duration of hours. Similarly, the cavity response is strongly dependent on the optical depth of the caesium, and hence temperature stability is also critical. The temperature-control infrastructure remained stable to ±0.1 K over the same time scale.

The frequency scans were conducted with the cavity locked to maximize transmission at \( \Delta = +6 \text{ GHz} \) from the \( F = 3 \) transition and a CW diode laser (Toptica DL Pro, <1 MHz linewidth) locked to the \([|6^2 S_{1/2}; F = 3\rangle \rightarrow |6^2 P_{3/2}; F = 3\rangle, |6^2 S_{1/2}; F = 3\rangle \rightarrow |6^2 P_{3/2}; F = 4\rangle\) crossover transition to optically pump the ensemble into the \( F = 4 \) hyperfine ground state. The mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (Spectra Physics Tsunami, \( \sim 1 \text{ GHz} \) linewidth) was then scanned across both of the \( D_2 \) resonances, and the cavity transmission recorded (Fig. 4), where the mode-hop free tuning range limited the scans to a range of \( \sim 30 \text{ GHz} \). See [13] for further details.

Note that to fully model the cavity response observed with a given probe laser, the cavity-response model must also be convolved with the frequency profile of the probe: which, in this case, we take to be a Gaussian \( g_{\eta}(\omega - \omega_0) \), with FWHM (in intensity) of 0.5 GHz.

B. Numerics

The resulting function to which we fit the data is given in full by Eqs. (22) and (23) below. Together, these define the cost function optimized when fitting the data.

\[
\frac{I_{\text{out}}(\omega, \{T_k\})}{I_{\text{in}}} \approx A + B g_{\eta}(\omega) \circ \exp \left[ \frac{i \omega L_{\text{out}}}{c} + i \phi \right] \left[ 1 + |\xi_0| \right]
\times \exp \left[ \frac{i \omega L_{\text{coll}}}{c} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \chi_{ij}(\omega, \{T_k\}) \right) + \frac{i \omega L_{\text{coll}}}{c} + i \phi \right]^{-1/2},
\]

where

\[
\chi_{ij}(\omega, \{T_k\}) = \sum_{p} \frac{p_i n_s(T_{\text{res}}) D_{ij}^2}{\hbar \epsilon_0} s[\omega - \omega_{ij} - \delta_{\text{coll}}(T_P), \{T_k\}],
\]

\[
s(\Delta, \{T_k\}) = \left[ f_\pi + \gamma_{\text{coll}}(T_P) \circ g_{\sigma_0}(T_P) \right](\Delta).
\]

The coefficients \( A \) and \( B \) are experimental parameters determined by the background and overall scaling, but the remaining constants can be used to extract the temperature via the Doppler width \( \sigma_D(T_P) \), the pressure broadening \( \gamma_{\text{coll}}(T_P) \) and shift \( \delta_{\text{coll}}(T_P) \), the number \( n_s(T_{\text{res}}) \) of atoms, and the number of population \( p_i \) of the hyperfine ground-state levels \( i \).

In the preceding expressions, we have distinguished three effective temperatures \( \{T_k\} \), where the indices \( k \in \{\text{res}, \text{P}, \text{D}\} \) refer to the temperatures corresponding to the caesium reservoir, which determines the number density, pressure broadening and shift, and Doppler broadening. We permit these to vary independently, as they are not necessarily equal [15] due to the nonuniform temperature within the cell, and to account for the limited accuracy of the formulas for number density and pressure width. Additionally, we include a correction phase \( \phi \in [-\pi, \pi] \) to the external cavity length \( L_{\text{out}} \), where \( \phi \) is determined by the set point of the cavity lock.

The functions \( g_{\sigma_0}(\omega) \) and \( f_\pi(\omega) \) are defined as in Eqs. (11) and (7). The convolution in Eq. (23) combines the homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening terms, and in (22) accounts for the bandwidth of the probe laser. We note that as an alternative to performing the convolution of \( f \) and \( g \) explicitly, there exist algorithms for evaluating the Faddeeva function; see [23]. This method was also implemented and compared with the output from performing the convolution; however, both methods performed comparably, with the
numerics for the convolution being slightly faster in our implementation.

Due to the number of parameters describing the system in Eqs. (22) and (23), this problem is a difficult one to search numerically as the natural periodicity of the function results in many local minima, and each evaluation of the profile is itself intensive due to the two nested convolutions or the calls to the Faddeeva package. In order to make the problem more tractable, two “one-off” calibration measurements were performed aiming either to constrain variables or to provide favorable starting estimates for the fitting routine.

First, in order to relate the measured surface temperature of the cell to the effective temperatures of the vapor, the reservoir temperature and buffer gas effects were estimated at a range of temperatures by measuring the absorption spectrum of the caesium in the absence of the cavity. Fitting the absorption spectrum is less numerically intensive than for the whole cavity spectrum, and so we readily obtain values for the reservoir temperature and effective temperature of the motional broadening at a given heater wire current. As indicated previously, treating these independently allows for differential temperature across the cell and uncertainties in the expressions used to define the widths and number density. We note that for the temperature range investigated, it was observed that allowing the effective temperatures \( T_{\text{res}}, T_P, T_D \) to vary independently yields a better fit than defining a single temperature parameter. At lower temperatures, it was observed that the obtained effective motional temperature (Doppler and pressure) is consistently greater than the reservoir temperature \( T_{\text{res}} < (T_P, T_D) \), while at higher temperatures, both approaches gave comparable results \( T_{\text{res}} \approx T_P \approx T_D \). The crossover between these regimes occurs at \( \sim 60^\circ \text{C} \).

Second, with the cavity constructed around the cell, the round-trip distance was constrained by measuring the cavity response far from the atomic resonance where dispersion is negligible. This constrains the cavity length \( (L_{\text{out}} + L_{\text{Cs}}) \) to within a wavelength and fixes the intracavity loss \( \zeta_0 \). In the subsequent measurements, the total cavity length was then fixed by the correction phase \( \phi \) such that the cavity is locked to maximize transmission at a detuning of \( +6 \text{ GHz} \).

Based on the above, one approach for extracting parameters was to constrain the pressure and Doppler temperatures to equal that of the reservoir so as to reduce the size of the parameter space to be searched. The pumping efficiency and reservoir temperature, which together determine the optical depth, extracted with this method are plotted in Fig. 3. As observed in the measurement of the absorption spectrum, at higher temperatures \( \gtrsim 60^\circ \text{C} \), the assumption of a single temperature seems to reliably yield good fits, whereas at lower temperatures the fitting method became less robust. A

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{Example of (a) manual search result at 40 \degree C and (b) automatic fit at 85 \degree C. The dashed lines indicate the frequencies corresponding to the center of the two hyperfine resonances, \( F = 4 \) at -9.2 GHz and \( F = 3 \) at 0 detuning. Circles indicate experimental data, and the lines indicate the theoretical response for manually fitted parameters. (c) Comparison of modeled cavity response from automatic fit at 85 \degree C [as in (b), red line] with cavity response with no atoms present (black dashed line).}
\end{figure}
TABLE I. Parameters extracted from the two fitting routines for data obtained at a measured temperature of 85°C at the cell surface.

| Parameter | Manual | Automated |
|-----------|--------|-----------|
| $T_{\text{res}}$ (°C) | 80.8 | 80.6 |
| $\gamma p$ (MHz) | 41 | 65 |
| $\sigma_D$ (MHz) | 160 | 175 |
| $\eta$ | 0.80 | 0.81 |

typical fit obtained using this method is shown in Fig. 4(b) for measurements taken at the maximum operating temperature. The Peltier element was driven at a constant power and therefore the temperature differential across the cell varies with the current driving the heater wire temperature which was used to set the reservoir temperature. This may explain why the equal-temperature approximation, required for the numerics to converge, breaks down at lower temperatures, and in this regime we rely upon manual searches.

Manual searches were performed by iterating over the Doppler and pressure widths and the reservoir temperature perturbed over some physically reasonable range from those obtained in fitting the absorption spectra at the same heater wire current, allowing for variation in environmental conditions. The cost function was then optimized over the remaining unconstrained parameters, namely, the pumping efficiency ($\eta$), background intensity on the detector ($A$), and the overall scaling of the photodiode measurements ($B$). For this approach, we generate the modeled cavity response, drawing parameters from a six-dimensional array containing the perturbed parameters ($T_{\text{res}}$, $\sigma_D$ and $\gamma p$), and a range of estimates for $A$, $B$, and $w$. From this, we then identify the set of parameters within the array yielding a minimum in residuals. Typical fits obtained using this method are shown in Fig. 4(a) for measurements taken at the minimum operating temperature. We note that at a measured temperature of 85°C at the surface of the cell, we obtain the approximate values given in Table I, which are comparable to those obtained using the automated fits with a single temperature parameter.

To benchmark the performance of the fitting routine, we investigate the pumping efficiency and number density as functions of temperature, both of which are well studied [17,29]. The pumping efficiency is seen to roll off at $\sim 70$ °C, at which point increasing the temperature, and hence density of Cs, leads to light trapping, impeding the ability to optically pump efficiently [29]. The extracted reservoir temperature is in good agreement with the recorded temperature at the surface of the cell. Observation of this known behavior confirms our numerical modeling. Error bars are generated for the automated fitting routine using the Monte Carlo method over 100 trials.

For the purposes of [13], as in other experiments of atomlike systems in cavities, control of the optical depth, pumping efficiency, and cavity resonance frequencies is critical. In the present work, this was demonstrated and the variation of these key parameters was investigated as a function of temperature with caesium vapor in a cavity. These scans were recorded at 5 K intervals, and are shown in Fig. 5. The response of the cavity, falling pumping efficiency, and increasing number density of caesium with temperature seen in the data are captured well by the model.

IV. CONCLUSION

This framework provides a basis for characterization of the susceptibility of atomlike systems in a cavity, where the frequency stability of the cavity is used as a reference to obtain information about dispersion. Access to both the absorption and dispersion over a wide range of frequencies (near and far detuned) provides a diagnostic tool to confirm useful operation parameters (in this case, pumping efficiency, optical depth, and cavity resonance conditions) in situ via a simple measurement of the cavity transmission. This is additionally useful since, while measurements of the pumping efficiency outside the cavity via absorption are straightforward, the cavity will modify the ability to optically pump the ensemble. If properly handled, measurement of the cavity transmission can provide a single-shot measurement yielding a number of critical operating parameters.

In addition, this tool can therefore be used to aid in the design of systems incorporating atomic vapor in a cavity. The present work constitutes part of a wider project in which the atom-plus-cavity system is needed to simultaneously enhance and/or suppress the density of states of the light field at certain frequencies: the modification of the cavity modes due to the contribution of the atoms to the cavity quickly become significant, or indeed surprising, as the number density of atoms is varied. Furthermore, since the dispersion falls as $1/\Lambda$, the cavity FSR is sensitive to the number and population distribution of the atomic ensemble. This work highlights the challenges to reaching designed resonance conditions in optically thick optical cavities. For example, in our case, changing the temperature by $\sim 1$ °C can shift the cavity response significantly through the variation of the number density, pumping efficiency, and thermal contributions to the width and shape of the response.

In this operational regime (elevated temperatures, reasonable buffer gas pressures), careful consideration of the broadening mechanisms is needed; in fact, having access to
both the dispersion and absorption over a wide frequency range is also a useful diagnostic tool in characterizing the key figures of merit for light-matter interactions of an atomic-like system in a cavity, through a single-shot measurement.
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