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Abstract

Chinese couplet generation aims to generate a pair of clauses (usually generating a subsequent clause given an antecedent one) with certain rules (e.g., morphological and syntactical symmetry) adhered and has long been a challenging task with cultural background. To generate high-quality couplet (antecedent) clauses, it normally requires a model to learn the correspondences between antecedent and subsequent clauses under aforementioned rules and constraint of few characters with their concise usage. To tackle this task, previous studies normally directly adopt deep neural networks without explicitly taking into account fine-grained analysis of the clauses, in this paper, we propose to enhance Chinese couplet generation by leveraging syntactic information, i.e., part-of-speech (POS) tags and word dependencies. In doing so, we identify word boundaries in the antecedent clause and then use a special attention module to encode the syntactic information over the words for better generating the subsequent clause. Experimental results on a dataset for Chinese couplet generation illustrate the validity and effectiveness of our approach, which outperforms strong baselines with respect to automatic and manual evaluation metrics.\(^1\)

1 Introduction

A Chinese antithetical couplet is composed of a pair of two sentences (i.e., an antecedent and a subsequent clause) with particular meaning that are usually applied to festivals or certain circumstances, which can be seen as a special type of poetry with a history in China of more than one thousand years.\(^2\) Couplets are normally concise yet have profound and expressive ability to convey a variety of meanings, thoughts and emotions with limited number of characters. Among all interesting characteristics, the most unique one of couplets is their dueling pattern that the antecedent and subsequent clauses should have an one-to-one correspondence adhere to strict rules covering multiple aspects including tone, length, word usage and even syntax.

For automatic Chinese couplet generation, recent studies follow the conventional encoder-decoder paradigm (Sutskever et al., 2014), with an general attention mechanism to capture the correspondences between the antecedent and subsequent clauses (Zhang et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2021). Although satisfactory results are obtained via their approaches, reasonable granularity matching and explicit structural correspondence are still worthy of improvement with special treatment. One important reason is that conventional approaches apply character-level encoding and decoding, larger granular text units are omitted in their models and thus led to the incapability of further syntactic analysis. Note that not all words in ancient Chinese are in the form of single-character, it is also applied to couplets that identifying boundaries of longer text spans is useful for their better interpretation, as shown in Figure 1 that the antecedent and subsequent clauses contains two-

\(^1\)Related code and resources are available at https://github.com/synlp/ChiCoupletGen.

\(^2\)People started to write paired sentences in Pre-Qin period over two thousand years ago, such as those ones widely used in 诗经 (Classic of Poetry) around 500 B.C. The first “specialized” couplet emerged as recorded in 蜀祷杌 (Shu Tao Wu), which was composed in the Song Dynasty around 964 A.D.
character words and their boundaries exactly match between clauses. Similarly, for the structural correspondence, the syntactic rule over the antecedent clause should be identical to the subsequent one, where to the best of our knowledge there is no previous study that focus on modeling couplet generation from this aspect. The example in Figure 1 also illustrates the syntactic structures of the clauses, with same word dependency patterns applied to them. For example, in the antecedent clause, the third word “⑪雪” (snow in winter) is the nominal object (nsubj) of the predicate “⑪催” (urge), and in the subsequent clause, the word “⑪雪” (snow in winter) at the same position is also the nominal object of the predicate “⑪催” (highlight) (marked by red arcs). As a result, enhancing Chinese couplet generation is expected to be done by identifying appropriate word boundaries and then building explicit syntactic correspondences over them\(^3\) between the antecedent and subsequent clauses.

In this paper, we propose an approach to Chinese couplet generation with syntactic information over the identified words in the clauses, where the designed model not only provides a better understanding for couplets, but also enhances the generation process with more structural constraints. Specifically, we firstly learn word boundaries and then propose a special attention module to encode part-of-speech (POS) tags and word dependencies over the identified words in the antecedent clause and integrate such syntactic information into subsequent clause generation. Experimental results on a dataset for Chinese couplet generation demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach, which outperforms strong baselines on both automatic and manual evaluation metrics. Further analyses confirm the ability of our approach in generating couplets with neatness and artistic conception.

2 Preliminaries

Conventionally, Chinese couplet generation is regarded as a sequence-to-sequence text generation task (Fan et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021), whose objective is to generate character \(\hat{y}_t\) at the time step \(t\) with the given antecedent clause \(X = x_1, \ldots, x_t, \ldots, x_n\) (there are \(n\) characters in \(X\) and the \(t\)-th character is denoted as \(x_t\)) and the generated subsequent clause \(\hat{Y}_{t−1} = \hat{y}_1, \ldots, \hat{y}_{t−1}\). The entire generation process is formalized as

\[
\hat{y}_t = \arg \max_{y_t \in \mathcal{V}} p(y_t|\hat{Y}_{t−1}, X) \tag{1}
\]

where \(p\) denotes the probability of a character \(y_t\) in the vocabulary \(\mathcal{V}\) given \(\hat{Y}_{t−1}\) and \(X\).

In general, to compute \(p\), an encoder \(f_e\) is firstly used to encode the antecedent clause \(X\) through

\[
h_1, \ldots, h_t, \ldots, h_n = f_e(X) \tag{2}
\]

where \(h_t\) is the encoded hidden vector for the character \(x_t\). Then, a decoder \(f_d\) takes all generated characters (i.e., \(\hat{Y}_{t−1}\)) at time step \(t\), as well as all hidden vectors obtained from the encoder, and obtain the output vector \(o_t\) via

\[
o_t = f_d(\hat{Y}_{t−1}, h_1, \ldots, h_n) \tag{3}
\]

Afterwards, \(o_t\) is mapped to the output space through a fully connected layer, where a softmax function is further applied to the resulting vector to obtain the probability distribution over the vocabulary (i.e., \(p(y_t|\hat{Y}_{t−1}, X)\) in Eq. (1)).

3 The Proposed Approach

In order to generate high-quality clauses that satisfies the the structure constrains of Chinese couplets, we propose an approach of neural text generation model enhanced by leveraging syntactic information. Figure 2 illustrates the overall architecture of our approach for Chinese couplet generation following the convention sequence-to-sequence paradigm, where the word boundary learning process and a special attention module to leverage syntactic information are presented on the top-left and top-right parts, respectively. In the following text, we firstly illustrate the word boundary learning process and then elaborate the proposed attention mechanism for syntactic information encoding.

3.1 Word Boundary Learning

Most existing approaches (Zhang et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2021) for Chinese couplet generation employ character-based encoding and decoding. However, consider that text in larger granularities (e.g., words) tend to deliver intact meanings in many cases, as well as the fact that not all characters in Chinese couplets should be treated as single-character words, character-level encoding has the limitation in losing particular semantic information and the risk of leading to inferior results for Chinese couplet generation.

To address this limitation, we propose to enhance
Chinese couplet generation with a pre-processing of learning couplet clauses in different granularities, i.e., both characters and words, which is performed by identifying word boundaries in the antecedent. Specifically, we firstly segment $X$ into several words, where each character $x_t$ is assigned a word boundary label $y_{bt}$ based on the position of the character in the word. Then, for each character $x_t$, we take its hidden vector $h_t$ obtained from the encoder and feed it into a fully connected layer with softmax activation function through

$$p_t^b = \text{softmax}(W_b \cdot h_t + b_b)$$

where $W_b$ and $b_b$ are trainable matrix and bias vector in the fully connected layer and $p_t^b$ is the probability distribution vector over the word boundary label set with the value at each dimension illustrating the probability of character $x_t$ having the corresponding word boundary label. Afterwards, the model predicts the word boundary label $\hat{y}_{bt}$ of $x_t$ with the highest probability, computes the negative log-likelihood loss by comparing $\hat{y}_{bt}$ with $y_{bt}$, and updates model parameters accordingly.

Through this process, the model learns the word boundary information from the antecedent clause (so as the subsequent clause when training) and preserve it in an implicit manner for later processes.

### 3.2 Attentive Syntactic Information Encoding

Consider that the effectiveness of using syntactic information to improve neural models on many natural language understanding (NLU) tasks has been demonstrated by previous studies (Strubell et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2020a; Chen et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020), it is straightforward to consider its usefulness for couplet generation in modeling the syntactic correspondence between antecedent and subsequent clauses. Therefore in this work, we propose a special attention module to leverage it in doing so.

Specifically, in our approach, we focus on two types of syntactic information, namely, POS tags and word dependencies, which (silver standard annotations) can be obtained from off-the-shelf natural language processing (NLP) toolkits for the input antecedent clause $X$ (where the preserved word boundaries are input to the toolkit in obtaining the syntactic information). For each character

---

In practice, one can either use an existing Chinese word segmenter or use human annotations for this step. The advantage of using human annotation allows one to train a model learning high-quality word boundary information and thus could lead to better understanding of the couplets.

For example, one can use the conventional BIES schema for the word boundary label, where the label of a character is “B”, “I”, “E” if the character is at the initial, inside, final position of a word, respectively, and the label “S” stands for the case if the character is a single-character word.

---

In practice, when use the model for inference, we do not predict the word boundary label in subsequent clauses.
AD

(cented as $w$ (parameter setting used in many previous studies to leverage dependency instances associated with $v$, as their corresponding syntactic features. For $w$ ard all characters in dent (outbound connection to other words), we re-

cated as follows. First, we find the word (denote it as $x$) that contains $c_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$ and the syntactic feature $s_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$ to their embeddings, i.e., $c_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$ and $s_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$, respectively (we use boldface to represent the embedding of the features). Next, we add $c_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$ and $s_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$ to obtain the instance representation $e_{t,i}^{\text{POS}} = c_{t,i}^{\text{POS}} + s_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$. Then, we compute the attention $a_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$ assigned to the instance by

$$
a_{t,i}^{\text{POS}} = \frac{\exp(h_t \cdot e_{t,i}^{\text{POS}})}{\sum_{i=1}^{u_t} \exp(h_t \cdot e_{i}^{\text{POS}})}
$$

Afterwards, we apply $a_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$ to $e_{t,i}^{\text{POS}}$ and computes the weighted sum of different POS instances, where the hidden vector $h_t$ of $x_t$ is further added to the resulting vector to obtain the encoded output vector $o_t^{\text{POS}}$ with POS information through

$$
o_t^{\text{POS}} = \sum_{i=1}^{u_t} a_{t,i}^{\text{POS}} \cdot e_{t,i}^{\text{POS}} + h_t
$$

Similarly, we obtain the output vector $o_t^{\text{dep}}$ for dependency information following the same procedure. At last, we concatenate $o_t^{\text{POS}}$, $o_t^{\text{dep}}$, and $o_t$ (obtained from the decoder in Eq. (3)) and feed the resulting vector $o_t = o_t^{\text{POS}} \oplus o_t^{\text{dep}} \oplus o_t$ to the last fully connected layer for final prediction.

4 Experiments

4.1 Dataset

We follow Zhang et al. (2018) and use their experimental dataset with 785K pairs of couplets crawled from Internet. Since there is no official train/dev/test split for this dataset, we make our own for each part and report the statistics of them in Table 1. In addition, we randomly sampled 1,000 couplets from the training set and manually annotated word segmentation for them, where the re-
Table 1: The statistics of the Chinese couplet dataset with respect to the number of couplet pairs and characters in the training, development, and test sets.

| Dataset | Couplet # | Char # |
|---------|-----------|--------|
| Train   | 755K      | 7,071K |
| Dev     | 10K       | 94K    |
| Test    | 20K       | 186K   |

The resulted dataset is used for our alternative training process with labeled word boundary information.

4.2 Implementation Details

Since our approach requires syntactic information as extra input features, for each input antecedent, we obtain the POS tags via TwASP\(^1\) (Tian et al., 2020b) and the word dependencies via DMPar\(^2\) (Tian et al., 2022).\(^3\) For the encoder, considering that pre-trained language models have demonstrated their effectiveness in obtaining high-quality text representations for many NLP tasks (Yang et al., 2019; Diao et al., 2020; Raffel et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021), in the experiments, we use the pre-trained Chinese BERT-base (Devlin et al., 2019) following the default settings (i.e., 12 layers of self-attention with 768 dimensional hidden vectors). For the decoder, we use the standard Transformer setting with 6 layers of self-attention and 768 dimensional hidden vectors.

In training, we design an alternatively training strategy, where we firstly train the model with both losses on word boundary information and couplet generation on the 1,000 human annotated instances, and then train the model on the rest entire training set without considering word boundary loss since word segmentation for such data is provided by automatic tools. So that in each iteration the model can be enhanced by gold-standard word boundary information from few training instances. For other hyper-parameters, we try the combinations illustrated in Table 2 and use the ones (which are highlighted by boldface) that achieve the best performance on the development set. Following previous studies, we use BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) and format accuracy for model evaluation.

Table 2: The hyper-parameters tested in tuning our models. Bold values illustrate the best hyper-parameter configuration that is applied in our experiments.

| Hyper-parameters | Values          |
|------------------|-----------------|
| Learning Rate    | \(1 \times 10^{-5}, 5 \times 10^{-5}, 1 \times 10^{-4}, 1 \times 10^{-3}\) |
| Dropout Rate     | 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 |
| Batch Size       | 8, 16, 32       |

4.3 Overall Performance

Table 3 reports the experimental results from several BERT-based baselines and our proposed model enhanced by word boundaries and syntactic information (i.e., POS tags and word dependencies), respectively. Specifically, “BERT” denotes the vanilla BERT baseline model, “+WB”, “+POS”, and “+Dep” refer to the enhancement of the baseline with word boundaries, POS information, and dependency information, respectively, with “+Full” denoting the full model combines all the aforementioned information. There are several observations that are explained in the following paragraphs.

First, overall, although the baselines with different settings have already achieved outstanding performance, it is promising to observe that our model with all enhancements (i.e., “+Full”) is able to outperform them with respect to all evaluation metrics, which confirms the usefulness of leveraging different information for couplet generation.

Second, comparing “+WB” and “BERT”, we observe that “+WB” presents higher performance than “BERT” on all evaluation metrics (especially on BLEU-3 and BLEU-4 scores), which demonstrates the effectiveness of our word boundary learning process to leverage word boundary information in improving couplet generation. The interpretation is as follows. Although word boundary information is leveraged in an implicit way, it is encoded and the loss back-propagated to the encoder helps the model understand the semantic units in different granularities in the antecedent clause, then the generation process is enhanced accordingly with such information.

Third, models with a single type of syntactic information (i.e., “+POS” and “+Dep”) outperform the baseline model with respect to all evaluation metrics, showing their effectiveness in guiding the model to generate better couplets. Furthermore, it is observed that the model with word dependencies (“+Dep”) outperforms the one with POS tags (“+POS”) on BLEU-2, BLEU-3, and BLEU-4. This observation indicates that word de-

\(^1\)https://github.com/SVAIGBA/TwASP
\(^2\)https://github.com/synlp/DMPar
\(^3\)TwASP is a joint model for Chinese word segmentation and POS tagging. So we use it to obtain the word segmentation result for each couplet and feed it to dependency parsing.

it is enough to help our model in better generating couplets.
| Model  | BLEU-1 | BLEU-2 | BLEU-3 | BLEU-4 | FA   | Para. # | Speed |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|---------|-------|
| BERT   | 20.53  | 13.09  | 9.88   | 7.62   | 98.64| 156M    | 49.6  |
| + WB   | 20.99  | 13.21  | 10.01  | 7.87   | 98.70| 156M    | 48.7  |
| + POS  | 21.34  | 13.63  | 10.23  | 8.50   | 98.98| 189M    | 45.9  |
| + Dep  | 21.39  | 13.82  | 10.36  | 8.77   | 99.01| 189M    | 46.4  |
| + Full | 21.62  | 13.95  | 10.68  | 8.94   | 99.10| 221M    | 42.1  |

Table 3: The BLEU scores and format accuracy (FA) of different models on the test set. “BERT” denotes the baseline model with BERT encoder; “+WB”, “+POS”, and “+Dep” refer to the baseline model with enhancement of word boundaries, POS tags, and dependency information, respectively; “+Full” is our proposed model with enhancement of all aforementioned information. “Para. #” presents the number of parameters in different models; “Speed” refers to the number of generated couplets per second in inference.

| Model  | BL-1 | BL-2 | BL-3 | BL-4 | FA   |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| BERT   | 20.53| 13.09| 9.88 | 7.62 | 98.64|
| + WB   | 20.99| 13.21| 10.01| 7.87 | 98.70|
| + Word | 17.98| 9.63 | 6.05 | 4.21 | 90.01|

Table 4: Comparison between two different BERT-based approach to leverage word boundary information without using syntactic information. “+WB” denotes the approach with the proposed word boundary learning process; “+Word” refer to the approach that encodes and decodes the couplets on word level. The results of the BERT baseline is also reported for reference. “BL” is the abbreviation of “BLEU”.

5 Analysis

5.1 The Effect of Word Boundaries

To analyze the effect of our model design by leveraging word boundary information in an implicit way, we run experiments with another straightforward approach (which is denoted as “+Word”), where the couplets are segmented into words and the couplet generation process is performed on the word-level prediction. Table 4 reports the performance of “+Word” model, as well as our “+WB” model and the BERT baseline. It is observed that “+Word” shows inferior results compared with our “+WB” model and the BERT baseline, which confirms the superiority of the designed learning process. This observation can be explained by that the “+Word” approach generates word-by-word

5.2 The Effect of Syntactic Information

Similar to the analysis for word boundaries, there are also alternatives to integrate syntactic heuristics into our model, where our approach also use an implicit way by adding the hidden vector $h_t$ obtained from the encoder to the weighted sum of syntactic instances (see Eq. (6)), whereas “Altern.” refers to the method where $h_t$ is not used in the integration. This comparison illustrates how syntactic information affects model performance, where the results are presented in Table 5. Clearly, the alternative method shows inferior results compared to our approach with respect to all metrics. The reason for this observation complies with our hypothesis that although directly

We still use character-based BLEU in this experiment to evaluate the generated clause for all models.
using the syntactic information shows its advantage over the BERT baseline (see Table 3), the decoding process still relies mainly on the contextual information from the encoder. Therefore, only using the syntactic information from the attention module in a standalone way may not be enough to drive a better couplet generation process.

### 5.3 Human Evaluation

Following the convention in previous studies (Jiang and Zhou, 2008; He et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021), we perform human evaluation for different models in addition to BLEU scores and format accuracy. Particularly, we use “syntactic” and “semantic” satisfaction to assess how antecedent and subsequent clauses are matched in terms of their patterns and meanings, respectively. In doing so, human annotators are given an antecedent clause and a subsequent clause generated by a model and they are asked to assign 0-1 score to the generated subsequent clause for both criteria (“0” for not satisfying, “1” for satisfying).

We randomly select 50 couplets from the test set for human evaluation and employ five human experts who are familiar with Chinese couplets to conduct human evaluation with a blind review manner. In detail, they are provided with the subsequent clauses generated by all baselines and our proposed model (i.e., “BERT+Full”), as well as the original subsequent clause composed by humans for reference, where all clauses are segmented (in dashed boxes) in order to explicitly show word boundaries. It is clearly presented that, the BERT baseline (i.e., “BERT”) fails to produce a subsequent clause with correct word mappings to the antecedent one, e.g., the word boundary of “藏/全” (bury the gold) does not match that generation with more satisfying results from humans. Particularly, compared with automatic evaluations (i.e., BLEU scores and format accuracy), human judgements for different models shows a more significant trend that the proposed components are useful especially when they are integrated together in our proposed approach.

### 5.4 Case Study

To further investigate the effect of our approach, we conduct a case study to qualitatively illustrate the performance of different models with their generated subsequent clauses for a given input. Figure 4 illustrates the results. For the given antecedent, there are five generated clauses from all baselines and our proposed model (i.e., “BERT+Full”), as well as the original subsequent clause composed by human for reference, where all clauses are segmented (in dashed boxes) in order to explicitly show word boundaries. It is clearly presented that, the BERT baseline (i.e., “BERT”) fails to produce a subsequent clause with correct word mappings to the antecedent one, e.g., the word boundary of “藏/全” (bury the gold) does not match that:

| Model     | Syn.  | Sem.  | All   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| BERT      | 0.784 | 0.636 | 1.420 |
| +WB       | 0.805 | 0.659 | 1.464 |
| +POS      | 0.818 | 0.679 | 1.497 |
| +Dep      | 0.809 | 0.665 | 1.474 |
| +Full     | 0.830 | 0.728 | 1.558 |

Table 6: Human evaluation for different models. “Syn.” and “Sem.” are syntactic and semantic scores, respectively, and “All” refers to the sum of them.

There is a special assessment about the ending tone of the two clauses, where the tones of the last character in antecedent and subsequent clauses should be opposed to each other.

Ideally, the meaning of the subsequent clause should be relevant to the antecedent clause but not the same.
Figure 5: Six example pairs of Chinese couplets with their subsequent clauses generated by our proposed model (i.e., “BERT+Full”) corresponding to the input antecedent clauses. The English translations are also provided for each clause for all couplets based on the semantics of each entire sentence instead of the verbatim manner.

of “定价” (a fixed price). All rest clauses generated from the models enhanced by word boundaries or syntactic information do not suffer from such mis-matching problem, where the one from “BERT+Full” is indisputably better than the others and the reason can be explained in three aspects.

First, the pattern in the subsequent clause is identical to that of the antecedent clause, including that the tones of the last character in both clauses satisfy the rule mentioned in the previous section (see footnote 15), such as “风” (flat tone) v.s. “价” (oblique tone). Second, each unit in the subsequent clause shows a direct correspondence with the unit at the same position in the antecedent clause, e.g., “字句” (word and sentence) v.s. “文章” (article), “无” (not) v.s. “有” (has), “高风” (noble spirit) v.s. “定价” (fixed price), etc. Third, the meaning of the entire subsequent clause makes a counterpoint to the antecedent one with semantic relatedness, i.e., the subsequent clause refers to that the words and sentences always have noble spirit while the antecedent clause states that the articles are priceless since ancient times. As comparisons, although other generated clauses have their advantages in delivering either more interesting meaning or better artistic conceptions, they are not well performed on pattern matching or semantic relatedness.

To have more intuitive understanding about the performance of our proposed model, we also show in Figure 5 six randomly selected pairs of couplets with generated subsequent clauses. It is clearly to observe from all couplet pairs that the generated clauses match the antecedent inputs well on their patterns (on all aspects) and also keep the balance of expressing good artistic conception while being strictly corresponded to the meaning of the antecedent clauses, such as “温馨” (warmth) v.s. “寒苦” (cold and bitterness), “明月” (the bright moon) v.s. “青山” (the green mountains), which are all neat correspondences and promote the overall effect (including both meaning and artistic conception) of the entire couplet pairs to a higher level.

6 Related Work

Chinese couplet generation is an intriguing natural language generation task, which is relevant to poem generation (Greene et al., 2010; Zhang and Lapata, 2014; Wang et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018b; Zhang et al., 2017; Yi et al., 2017; Ghazvininejad et al., 2017; Yi et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018a; Li et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018, 2019; Liao et al., 2019; Bena and Kalita, 2020). Yet, couplet generation differs from that for poem in the way that it requires the generation process sticking to more strict patterns and semantic requirements, while they are similar that they all conventionally performed as a sequence-to-sequence text generation task, including statistic-based approaches (Jiang and Zhou, 2008; Zhang and Sun, 2009; He et al., 2012) and neural approaches following the encoding-decoding paradigm (Zhang et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2021). To improve model performance, previous neural approaches try to model the character-character correspondence between
antecedent and subsequent clauses, where some advanced approaches such as attention mechanism (Zhang et al., 2018) and character embedding pre-training (Gao et al., 2021) are applied. Compared with existing studies, our approach offers an alternative and explicit way to model the correspondence between couplet clauses through syntactic information, which provides useful knowledge to control patternized generation and is integrated into our approach via a carefully designed module.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a neural approach following the encoder-decoder paradigm for Chinese couplet generation enhanced by of syntactic information (i.e., POS tags and word dependencies). Specifically, our approach models word boundaries to facilitate the learning of syntactic information, where POS tags and word dependencies are leveraged to provide pattern guidance for couplet generation through a special attention module. Experimental results on a previously used dataset for Chinese couplet generation illustrate the effectiveness of our approach, which outperforms strong baselines on both automatic and human evaluations. Further analyses also confirm the ability of our approach to generating high quality couplets.
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