Gibb’s free energy of the spinning black holes

Abstract

The present gives a model for the change in Gibb’s free energy of spinning black holes with corresponding change in the event horizon using the first law of black hole mechanics, mass-energy equivalence relation applied to the Gibb’s free energy of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole and concludes that the magnitude of change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon is approximately similar to the magnitude of change in temperature of spinning black holes with corresponding change in the mass in XRBs.
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Introduction

David Hochberg\(^1\) computed the \(O(h)\) corrections to the mass, thermal energy, entropy and free energy of the black hole due to the presence of hot conformal scalars, massless spinors and \(U(1)\) gauge quantum fields in the vicinity of the black hole using the recent solutions of the semi-classical back-reaction probe.\(^1\) You Gen Shen and Chang-Jun Gao calculated free energy and entropy of diatonic black hole due to arbitrary spin fields using the membrane model based on the brick-wall model and showed that the energy of scalar field and the entropy of Fermionic field have similar formulas containing only a numerical coefficient between them.\(^2\) David Kastor et al.\(^3\) analysed the free energy and also specific heat in the small and large black hole limits and comment upon the Hawking-Page phase transition for generic Ads-Lovelock black holes.\(^3\) Hugues Beauchesne and Ariel Edery have shown that the negative of the total Lagrangian to the expected analytical value of the black hole horizon and solitonic residue. It is always greater than the spatial infinity, using the Hamiltonian formalism, for an asymptotically flat spacetime models.\(^4\) In the present work, we have proposed a model for the change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon using the first law of black hole mechanics, mass-energy equivalence relation applied to the Gibb’s free energy of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole.

Theoretical discussion

Black holes are boost machines processing the high frequency input and deliver it as low frequency output, owing to the gravitational shift and also provide a glimpse of the world at very short distance scales. This world consists of nothing, but vacuum fluctuations.\(^5\) Black hole is one of new physical phenomena predicted by General relativity\(^6\) and defined as the solution of Einstein’s gravitational field equations in the absence of matter that describes the space-time around a gravitationally collapsed star.\(^7\) The gravity of a black hole is so abnormal that nothing can escape from it. The generalised form for entropy of Reissner-Nordstrom black holes in commutative space is given by the following equation\(^8\)

\[
S = \frac{A_s}{4} - \pi Q^2 \ln \frac{A_s}{4} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \left( \frac{4}{A_s} \right) + C
\]

Where \(C=\)constant

The Gibb’s free energy of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole is

\[
S = \frac{A_s}{4} - \pi Q^2 \ln \frac{A_s}{4} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \left( \frac{4}{A_s} \right) + C
\]

\(c_n=\)quantum gravity model dependent coefficients, \(A_s=\)surface area of the black holes & \(Q=\)charge on the black holes.

In the case of black holes having charge \((Q=0)\), then the equation (1) takes its form

\[
S = \frac{A_s}{4}
\]

The equation (2) is known as standard Bekenstein entropy of black hole. For the spherically symmetric and stationary or Schwarzschild black hole, its surface area is naturally given by the following equation\(^9,10\)

\[
A = 4\pi R_{bh}^2
\]

Where the radius of event horizon for non-spinning and spinning black holes are given by equations (4) and (5) respectively.

\[
R_{bh} = \frac{2GM}{c^2}
\]

And

\[
R_{bh} = \frac{GM}{c^2}
\]

The entropy of black holes (\(S\)) can be obtained by putting (3) into eqn (2) as:

\[
S = \pi R_{bh}^2
\]

The above equation is differentiated, we have

\[
dS = 2\pi R_{bh} dR_{bh}
\]

The Gibb’s free energy of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole is given by the following equation\(^1\)

\[
G = E - TS - \Phi_H Q
\]

Where \(E=\)ADM mass of Reissner-Nordström black hole which gives the total energy of a space-time as defined by an observer at spatial infinity, using the Hamiltonian formalism, for an asymptotically flat space-time and The ADM mass consists of two contributions: black hole horizon and solitonic residue. It is always greater than the Schwarzschild black holes.
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\[ G = E - TS \]  

(9)

The product of temperature \( T \) and entropy \( S \) for the Reissnner-Nordstrom black hole is given by:\(^3\)

\[ TS = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2} \]  

(10)

For \( Q = 0 \),

\[ TS = \frac{M}{2} \]  

(11)

According to Einstein well-known mass-energy equivalence relation, we know that

\[ E = Mc^2 \]  

(12)

Putting (11) and (12) into equation (9), we have

\[ G = Mc^2 - \frac{M}{2} \]  

(13)

Putting \( c = 1 \) throughout our research work, the equation becomes

\[ G = M - \frac{M}{2} \]

(14)

The change in free energy of the Reissnner-Nordstrom black hole due to change in the mass of black hole can be obtained by differentiating the above equation

\[ dG = \frac{1}{2} dM \]

(15)

The change in Gibb’s free energy with corresponding change in the temperature and entropy of the black hole can be obtained by differentiating the above equation

\[ \delta M = \frac{k}{8\pi} (\delta A + \Omega \delta J - \nu \delta Q) \]  

(16)

Where \( \Omega \) =Angular velocity of the horizon. \( \nu \) =difference in the electrostatic potential between infinity and horizon.

For \( Q = 0 \), \( dQ = 0 \) and using the relation (2), the equation (16) becomes

\[ \delta M = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \delta S + \Omega \delta J \]  

(17)

Putting (7) in the above equation, we have

\[ \delta M = \kappa R_{\text{bh}} \delta R_{\text{bh}} + \Omega \delta J \]  

(18)

For maximum spin of black hole \( a^* = 1 \), the angular momentum of the black hole is given by:\(^11\)

\[ J = M^2 \]  

(19)

This condition corresponds to spinning black holes

Or \( \delta J = 2M \delta M \)  

(20)

Putting the above value in eqn (18), we have

\[ \delta M = \kappa R_{\text{bh}} \delta R_{\text{bh}} + 2O \delta M \]  

(21)

\[ \left(1 - 2OM\right) \delta M = \kappa R_{\text{bh}} \delta R_{\text{bh}} \]  

(22)

Putting the above value in equation (15), we have

\[ \delta G = \frac{\kappa}{2 \left(1 - 2OM\right)} R_{\text{bh}} \delta R_{\text{bh}} \]  

(23)

The above equation gives the change in Gibb’s free energy with corresponding change in the temperature horizon in terms of surface gravity, mass, angular velocity and event horizon of spinning black holes. In the case of spinning black holes, the surface gravity of a black hole is given by the Kerr solution.\(^12,13\)

\[ \kappa = \frac{(M^4 - J_H^2)^{1/2}}{2M(M^2 + (M^2 - J_H^2)^{1/2})} \]  

(24)

Each black hole is characterised by just three numbers: mass \( M \), spin parameter \( a^* \) defined such that the angular momentum of the black hole is \( a^* GM^2/c \).\(^11,12\)

Hence we have

\[ J_H = a^* GM^2 / c \]  

(25)

Using \( G = c = h = 1 \), we have

\[ J_H = a^* M^2 \]  

(26)

The radius is smaller in the case of spinning black holes, tending to \( GM/c^2 \) as \( a^* \) tends to 1\(^11\) and in the case of spinning black holes having spin parameter \( a^* = 1 \), then we have,

where \( J_H = M^2 \)  

(27)

using the relation (27) into (24), we have

\[ k = 0 \]  

(28)

The equation (23) becomes

\[ dG = 0 \]  

(29)

This equation shows that the change in free energy is zero for the spinning black holes spinning at max. Spin. The above equation can be written as:

\[ G = \text{constant} \]

(30)
The above equation shows that the total Gibb’s free energy of spinning black holes spinning at max. Rate has constant free energy.

Wang, D has shown that the angular velocity ($\Omega_\ell$) evolves in a non-monotonous way in the case of thin disk-pure-accretion attaining a maximum at $a^\# = 0.994$ and turns out to depend on the radial gradient of $\Omega$ near the BH horizon. One black hole at the heart of galaxy NGC1365 is turning at 84% the speed light. It has reached the cosmic speed limit and cannot spin any faster without revealing its singularity.

For convenience, let us assume $a^\# = 0.9$

$$J_\ell = a_{bh} M^2 \text{ or } J_\ell = \frac{9}{10} M^4 \text{ or } J_\ell = \frac{81}{100} M^4$$

and hence, we have

$$M^4 - J^2_\ell = M^4 - \frac{81M^4}{100} = \frac{19}{100} M^4$$

or

$$(M^4 - J^2_\ell)^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{19}}{10} M^2 = 0.4358 M^2$$

(31)

or

$$2M[M^2 + (M^4 - J^2_\ell)^{1/2}]$$

$$= 2M[M^2 + 0.4358M^2]$$

$$= 2M[1.4358M^2] = 2.8716 M^3$$

(32)

Putting (31) and (32) in equation (24), we have

$$\kappa = \frac{0.4358M^2}{2.8716M^3}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{1517}{M}$$

(33)

Using above equation, eqn (23) becomes

$$\frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} = \frac{0.1517R_{bh}}{2M(1 - 2\Omega M)}$$

(34)

The equation (34) gives the change in Gibb’s free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon in terms of mass, angular velocity and event horizon of spinning black holes.

Using $G=\hbar=c=1$ with equation (5), we have

$$R_{bh} = M$$

(35)

Using the above relation with equation (34) and solving, we have

$$\frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} = \frac{0.0788}{1 - 2\Omega M}$$

(36)

Some parameters of computed 2D models for progenitor stars with different masses and angular velocity of the Fe-core prior to collapse is given by. From the data in the Table 1, it is clear that the masses of the collapsed stars are within the limit of 20 of the solar mass. We also know that the mass of the astrophysical objects like the black holes existing in XRBs ranging from 5 $M_\odot$ - 20$M_\odot$.

From the data in the Table 1, it is also clear that the maximum of the collapsed stars have zero angular velocity except one having polar angle of lateral grid boundaries. Hence we can use zero angular velocity in equation (36) and solving, we have

$$\frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} = 0.7588$$

(37)

$$dG/dR_{bh}$$

(38)

Table 1: Some parameters of computed 2D models for progenitor stars with different masses and angular velocity of the Fe-core prior to collapse

| S. No | Model | Mass ($M_\odot$) | Angular velocity ($\Omega$ in sec^-1) | Polar angles [$\theta_0$, $\theta$] | Reference |
|-------|-------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| 1     | S11.2 | 11.2          | 0                                     | [46.8, 133.2]                     | 16        |
| 2     | S11.2 | 11.2          | 0                                     | [46.8, 133.2]                     | 16        |
| 3     | S15   | 11.2          | 0                                     | [46.8, 133.2]                     | 16        |
| 4     | S15p  | 15            | 0                                     | [46.8, 133.2]                     | 16        |
| 5     | S15r  | 15            | 0.5                                   | [0.90]                            | 16        |
| 6     | S20   | 20            | 0                                     | [46.8, 133.2]                     | 16        |

Table 2: Change in free energy of spinning black holes corresponding change with event horizon in XRBs

| S. No | Mass of BH, ($M$) | Radius of event horizon | $dG/dR_{bh}$ Joule |
|-------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | 5$M_\odot$       | 7375                    | 7.626x10^{33}       |
| 2     | 6$M_\odot$       | 8850                    | 6.355x10^{33}       |
**Table continue**

| S. No | Mass of BH (M) | Radius of event horizon | \( \frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} \) Joule |
|-------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 3     | 7 \( M_0 \)    | 1025                    | 5.447x10^{-33}                  |
| 4     | 8 \( M_0 \)    | 11100                   | 4.766x10^{-33}                  |
| 5     | 9 \( M_0 \)    | 13275                   | 4.236x10^{-33}                  |
| 6     | 10 \( M_0 \)   | 14750                   | 3.813x10^{-33}                  |
| 7     | 11 \( M_0 \)   | 16225                   | 3.666x10^{-33}                  |
| 8     | 12 \( M_0 \)   | 17700                   | 3.177x10^{-33}                  |
| 9     | 13 \( M_0 \)   | 19175                   | 2.933x10^{-33}                  |
| 10    | 14 \( M_0 \)   | 20650                   | 2.723x10^{-33}                  |
| 11    | 15 \( M_0 \)   | 22125                   | 2.542x10^{-33}                  |
| 12    | 16 \( M_0 \)   | 23600                   | 2.383x10^{-33}                  |
| 13    | 17 \( M_0 \)   | 25075                   | 2.242x10^{-33}                  |
| 14    | 18 \( M_0 \)   | 26550                   | 2.118x10^{-33}                  |
| 15    | 19 \( M_0 \)   | 28025                   | 2.006x10^{-33}                  |
| 16    | 20 \( M_0 \)   | 29500                   | 1.906x10^{-33}                  |

Eqn (38) shows that the change in free energy of spinning black holes is directly proportional to the corresponding change in the radius of event horizon. This shows that the change in the free energy of spinning black holes w.r.t. the event horizon existing in XRBs remains the same except for those spinning black holes having polar angle [0, 90] degree of lateral grid boundaries. This change in the free energy of spinning black holes w.r.t. the event horizon existing in XRBs can be shown by the following graph as:

But for \( \Omega = 0.5 \text{ s}^{-1} \), the equation (36) becomes

\[
\frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} = -\frac{0.07588}{M-1}
\]  

(39)

In compared to the mass of the spinning black holes, the term 1 is negligible and hence can be neglected.

\[
\frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} = -\frac{0.07588}{M}
\]  

(40)

\[
\left| \frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} \right| = \frac{0.07588}{M}
\]  

(41)

This shows the magnitude of change in free energy of spinning black hole of angular velocity 0.5 per second with about maximum spin is inversely proportional to the mass.

**Result and discussion**

In the present work, we have proposed a model for the change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon in terms of surface gravity, angular velocity, mass and radius of the event horizon of the spinning black holes given by the equation

\[
\delta G = \frac{\kappa}{2(1-2\Omega M)} R_{bh} \delta R_{bh}
\]  

using the first law of black hole mechanics, mass-energy equivalence relation applied to the Gibb’s free energy of the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. From the equation, it is clear that the surface gravity has vital role for change in free energy because its values vary for different types of black holes existing either in XRBs. In the present work, we have applied the above formula for zero surface gravity to get the change in free energy of all black holes are zero. We also have applied this work to the spinning black holes of maximum spin and obtained the change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon is given by equation

\[
\frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} = -\frac{0.07588 R_{bh}}{M(1-2\Omega M)}
\]  

After this, the work is specialised for zero angular velocity and angular velocity (0.5 per second) and also obtained that the change in free energy of spinning black holes is directly proportional to the corresponding change in the radius of event horizon. This shows that the change in the free energy of spinning black holes w.r.t. the event horizon existing in XRBs remains the same except for those spinning black holes having polar angle [0,90] degree of lateral grid boundaries.

For the angular velocity (\( \Omega = 0.5 \text{ sec}^{-1} \)), we have finally obtained the magnitude of change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon as:

\[
\left| \frac{dG}{dR_{bh}} \right| = \frac{0.07588}{M}
\]

To get the nature of variation of free energy, we have plotted the graphs between the magnitude of change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon in XRBs as shown in the Figure 1.
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The figure shows the graph plotted between the mass of spinning black holes and their corresponding value of free energy.

From the graph plotted between the magnitude of change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon in XRBs, it is obvious that the magnitude of change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon decreases gradually with increasing the mass of the spinning black holes as the magnitude of change in temperature with corresponding change in the mass in XRBs (Ref last). It should be noted that the mass and radius of the event horizon are the same thing in the case of spinning black holes using $G=h=c=1$ as clear from equation (39). Hence it can be concluded that the magnitude of change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon is approximately similar to the magnitude of change in temperature of spinning black holes with corresponding change in the mass in XRBs.\textsuperscript{17-19}

Conclusion

In the present work, we can draw the following conclusions:

I. The change in Gibb’s free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon depends on the surface gravity, mass, angular velocity and event horizon of spinning black holes

II. The change in Gibb’s free energy of the spinning black holes of zero surface gravity is zero just like the change in entropy in the reversible process

III. The change in free energy of spinning black holes of zero angular velocity is directly proportional to the corresponding change in the radius of event horizon

IV. The Gibb’s free energy of the spinning black holes of zero surface gravity is constant just like the entropy in the reversible process

V. The change in the free energy of spinning black holes w.r.t. the event horizon existing in XRBs remains the same except for those spinning black holes having polar angle $[0, 90]$ degree of lateral grid boundaries

VI. The magnitude of change in free energy of spinning black hole of angular velocity 0.5 per second with about maximum spin is inversely proportional to the mass

VII. The magnitude of change in free energy with corresponding change in the event horizon is approximately similar to the magnitude of change in temperature of spinning black holes with corresponding change in the mass in XRBs.
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