Impact of pre-sarcopenia in sorafenib treatment for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract

Background
The present study aimed to investigate the impact of pre-sarcopenia on the prognosis of patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) treated with sorafenib.

Methods
We enrolled 214 patients (71 ± 10 years old; 166 men and 48 women; 90% Child–Pugh grade A and 10% Child–Pugh grade B) treated with sorafenib in our hospital from July 2009 to August 2016. The muscle volume was measured from CT images just before sorafenib administration using software (SliceOmatic). Skeletal muscle mass index was calculated, and the presence of pre-sarcopenia was judged according to the standard (42 cm²/m² for men and 38 cm²/m² for women) proposed by the Japan Society of Hepatology.

Results
Pre-sarcopenia was found in 123 patients (57%). The overall survival (OS) in patients with pre-sarcopenia tended to be worse than in patients without pre-sarcopenia (median 252 vs. 284 days, respectively; p = 0.16). Multivariate Cox hazard analysis revealed a baseline serum albumin level of ≤3.5 g/dl [hazard ratio (HR) 1.9; p = 0.0006], a baseline alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level of ≥100 ng/ml (HR 2.1; p = 0.002), presence of lesions in bilateral hepatic lobes (HR 1.7; p = 0.03), and presence of major portal vein invasion (HR 1.8; p = 0.01) to be independent prognostic factors. In the 68 patients who had three or more negative prognostic factors, the presence of pre-sarcopenia did not correlate with prognosis. Of the 146 patients who had two or less prognostic factors, OS was significantly worse in 84 patients (58%) with pre-sarcopenia than in 62 patients without pre-sarcopenia (median 417 vs. 562 days, respectively; p = 0.047), and Cox hazard analysis revealed pre-sarcopenia to be an important prognostic factor (HR 1.6; p = 0.047).
Conclusion
In sorafenib treatment for advanced HCC, pre-sarcopenia is a significant prognostic factor in patients with two or less negative prognostic factors, and could be the target of intervention to improve prognosis.

Introduction
Sarcopenia is defined as loss of both muscle volume and function (loss of muscle strength or physical function), and loss of muscle volume alone has specifically been defined as pre-sarcopenia. Sarcopenia is classified into primary sarcopenia (associated with aging) and secondary sarcopenia (associated with chronic disease or malignant tumors) [1]. Among the various causes of secondary sarcopenia, chronic liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) are regarded as high-risk diseases. In fact, it has been reported that sarcopenia is present even in non-elderly patients with chronic liver disease. The prevalence of sarcopenia is reported to be 15% in patients with chronic hepatitis [2], up to 40% in patients with compensated cirrhosis [3], and 11%–45% in patients with HCC [4, 5]. Notably, sarcopenia has attracted attention as a factor predicting poor prognosis, and there is increasing evidence supporting the importance of sarcopenia and pre-sarcopenia in patients with chronic liver disease and HCC [6–9]. The impact of sarcopenia on the prognosis of patients with HCC has been reported in several studies. The prevalence of sarcopenia correlated with poor prognosis in patients after surgical resection of HCC [10, 11], liver transplantation [12], or intra-arterial therapy [13].

Treatment of advanced HCC that is not amenable to resection, liver transplantation, or locoregional therapy, such as radiofrequency ablation or transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE), remains a challenge in clinical practice. Sorafenib is a drug that has been confirmed to be effective in improving survival of patients with advanced stage HCC [14] and is now recommended as the first-line treatment option for advanced HCC that is refractory to TACE or does not meet the criteria for resection, transplantation, or locoregional therapy. Accordingly, there is a wide range of HCC conditions among patients treated with sorafenib, such as presence or absence of metastasis, major vascular invasion, tumor volume, and serum biomarkers. However, information is limited on the prevalence and significance of sarcopenia in patients with advanced HCC treated with sorafenib [15–17], and no previous study has analyzed the impact of sarcopenia in subgroups of patients stratified by HCC conditions. In the present study, we investigated the impact of pre-sarcopenia as a prognostic factor in patients with advanced HCC treated with sorafenib after stratification by standard prognostic factors.

Methods
Patients
This is a retrospective cohort study that enrolled 214 consecutive patients treated with sorafenib in our hospital from July 2009 to August 2016. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (Ethics committee for clinical studies of Musashino Red Cross Hospital: Rinshoukenkyu-Rinrishinsa-Linkai (in Japanese), approved number 185) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The diagnosis of HCC was based on histology or radiological findings, such as arterial enhancement, followed by a washout in the portal venous phase, as viewed on dynamic computed tomography (CT) imaging or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), in accordance with the criteria of practice guidelines [18]. The definition of major portal vein...
invasion is invading the main trunk/the first-order branch of the portal vein (Vp4/3). Inclusion criteria for sorafenib treatment were having metastatic or locally advanced HCC that was unresectable or refractory to TACE, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer stage B or C [19], and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status 0 to 1 [20]. Patients with active infections, those with poorly controlled thromboembolic disease, and those with interstitial pneumonia were excluded.

**Sorafenib treatment**

All study participants provided informed consent for treatment and for the participation in the clinical study, and then treatment was commenced. The initial dose of sorafenib was 800 mg/day in general but was reduced to 400 mg/day for patients with advanced age (≥80 years), Child–Pugh grade B, low body weight (<50 kg), or having pleural effusion/ascites or gastrointestinal varices with a risk of bleeding [21–25]. The initial dose of sorafenib was reduced by the judgement of physicians in order to avoid early discontinuation due to severe adverse events. We have previously reported that prognosis was comparable between patients treated with standard 800mg of sorafenib and those with reduced dose [24]. There are some reports that the duration of sorafenib administration appears to influence prognosis more than initial dose [22–25]. These were the rationales for the dose reduction. CT imaging was performed before and one month after starting sorafenib and every three months thereafter. Radiological responses to therapy were evaluated according to modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (modified RECIST) [26, 27]. Serial measurements of blood samples were performed before and monthly after sorafenib treatment. Sorafenib was discontinued and palliative treatments or best supportive care was provided in cases of radiological progressive disease or intolerable adverse events. Patients with combination therapy were not included, and all the patients received sorafenib monotherapy. In this study, patients who had experience of other systemic treatments were excluded.

**Muscle volume measurement**

The muscle volume was measured from CT images just before sorafenib administration using software (SliceOmatic) [28]. Skeletal muscle mass index, which is the sum of muscle area at the level of third lumbar vertebra (L3) divided by the square of the height, was calculated and the presence of pre-sarcopenia was judged according to the standard (42 cm²/m² for men and 38 cm²/m² for women) proposed by the Japan Society of Hepatology [29]. Both diagnosis and evaluation were performed blindly by two trained hepatologists with knowledge about radiological anatomy and experience in skeletal muscle area measurements.

**Survival analysis**

The endpoint was set at overall survival (OS). OS was calculated from the initial date of sorafenib treatment until death due to any cause or until the last follow-up. Baseline factors associated with OS were analyzed. The factors analyzed for prognostic significance included age, gender, serum data (albumin, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP (AFP L3 fraction) [30, 31], and prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence II (PIVKA-II) levels) before sorafenib administration, HCC conditions (maximum diameter of intrahepatic HCC, tumor number, tumor location, major vessel invasion, and distant metastasis), and presence of pre-sarcopenia. Furthermore, we also analyzed the correlation between the presence or absence of pre-sarcopenia and OS after stratification by standard prognostic factors.
Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test, and continuous variables were compared using the unpaired Student’s t-test. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. OS was evaluated by Kaplan–Meier curves and differences between groups were assessed using the log-rank test. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to determine the factors associated with OS. All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical analysis software R (http://www.r-project.org) [32].

Results

Patient background

Patient backgrounds are shown in Table 1. Values are mean ± standard deviation. Baseline liver function was Child–Pugh grade A in 192 patients (90%) and grade B in 22 patients (10%). Serum albumin level was ≤ 3.5 g/dl in 95 patients (44%). Overall, 38 patients (19%) had received sorafenib as initial therapy for HCC, 76 patients (35%) showed evidence of extrhepatic metastasis, 47 patients (22%) had major portal vein invasion, 152 patients (70%) had lesions in bilateral hepatic lobes, and the maximum size of tumor in the liver was ≥ 60 mm in 71 patients (35%). Regarding serum biomarkers, elevated AFP levels (≥ 100 ng/ml), AFP L3 fraction (≥ 10%), and PIVKA-II levels (≥ 100 mAU/ml) were found in 120 (56%), 125 (58%), and 136 (65%) patients, respectively.

Prevalence of pre-sarcopenia

Pre-sarcopenia was found in 123 patients (57%). Patients with pre-sarcopenia were older (74 ± 8 vs. 68 ± 11 years, respectively; p < 0.001) and the prevalence in females was higher (32% vs. 12%, respectively; p = 0.001), compared with those without pre-sarcopenia. Other baseline factors including results of blood tests, status of HCC, and serum biomarkers were not different between groups (Table 2).

Table 1. Backgrounds of patients treated with sorafenib.

| Age (years) | 71 ± 10 |
| Males | 166 (77%) |
| Albumin (g/dl) | 3.5 ± 0.5 |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dl) | 0.81 ± 0.42 |
| Prothrombin time (%) | 95 ± 18 |
| Child–Pugh Class A/B | 192 (90%) / 22 (10%) |
| Sorafenib as initial treatment for HCC | 38 (19%) |
| AFP (ng/ml) | 5648 ± 14962 |
| AFP L3 fraction (%) | 30 ± 27 |
| PIVKA-II (mAU/ml) | 21653 ± 158674 |
| Maximum size of tumor in the liver (mm) | 50 ± 42 |
| Lesions in bilateral hepatic lobes | 152 (70%) |
| Major portal vein invasion | 47 (22%) |
| Extrahepatic metastasis | 76 (35%) |
| Pre-sarcopenia | 123 (57%) |

Continuous values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; AFP L3 fraction, Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of AFP; PIVKA-II, prothrombin induced by vitamin K absence II.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198812.t001
Overall survival

The median survival time (MST) after sorafenib administration was 14.4 months (95% confidence interval (CI) 10.1–17.1 months). OS in patients with pre-sarcopenia tended to be worse than in patients without pre-sarcopenia; MST was 13.7(8.8–16) vs. 18.5(9.1–26) months and 6-, 12-, and 18-month survival rates were 72%, 53%, and 37% vs. 72%, 55, and 50%, respectively (p = 0.16) (Fig 1).

Sorafenib treatment and dose reduction

Median duration of sorafenib administration was 3.3 months (0.17–79 months). There was a correlation between sorafenib treatment duration and survival time. Patients taking sorafenib for more than 3 months (n = 124) had longer survival time than cases of 3 months or less (n = 90) (19(15–23) vs. 6.5(4.9–8.1) months; p < 0.0001). One-hundred fifty six patients experienced reduction of sorafenib dose. In patients with (n = 156) or without (n = 58) dose reduction, there was no difference in survival time (14(9.3–18) vs. 14 (8.9–20) months; p = 0.54).

There was no association between pre-sarcopenia and sorafenib treatment duration or dose reduction. Treatment duration of patients with pre-sarcopenia (n = 123) did not differ from the duration of patients without pre-sarcopenia (n = 91) (4.2(2.9–4.8) vs. 3.2(2.7–4.3); p = 0.76), and there was no difference in dose reduction frequency of pre-sarcopenia (75%) compared to patients without pre-sarcopenia (71%) (p = 0.64).

Prognostic factors

The prognostic factors associated with OS were assessed in univariate and multivariate analyses. Univariate analysis revealed the following variables as significant prognostic factors: a baseline serum albumin level of ≤3.5 g/dl, a baseline AFP level of ≥100 ng/ml, a baseline AFP L3 fraction of ≥10%, a baseline PIVKA-II level of ≥100 mAU/ml, size of intrahepatic lesion ≥60 mm, presence of lesions in bilateral hepatic lobes, and presence of major portal vein invasion. We analyzed prognosis with the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage and ECOG.
performance status, but these factors were not prognostic factors: BCLC stage B (n = 109) vs. stage C (n = 105) (p = 0.09), and ECOG performance status 0 (n = 116) vs. 1 (n = 108) (p = 0.46). Multivariate analysis revealed a baseline serum albumin level of $\leq 3.5$ g/dl [hazard ratio (HR) 1.9], a baseline AFP level of $\geq 100$ ng/ml [HR 2.1], presence of lesions in bilateral hepatic lobes [HR 1.7], and presence of major portal vein invasion [HR 1.8] to be independent prognostic factors (Table 3). The albumin cut-off value of 3.5 g/dl was used based on the cut-off value in Child-Pugh classification. AFP level of $\geq 100$ ng/ml is a standard cut-off value for the survival prediction of HCC patients, and we used this common cut-off value in this study.

Table 3. Multivariable analysis of prognostic factors in patients treated with sorafenib.

|                           | Hazard Ratio | 95% CI     | P value |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| Albumin $\leq 3.5$ (g/dl) | 1.9          | 1.9–2.8    | 0.0006  |
| AFP $\geq 100$ (ng/ml)    | 2.1          | 1.3–3.4    | 0.002   |
| Lesions in bilateral hepatic lobes | 1.7          | 1.1–2.7    | 0.03    |
| Major portal vein invasion | 1.8          | 1.2–2.9    | 0.01    |

CI, confidence interval; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; AFP L3 fraction.
MST stratified by each of these four prognostic factors were as follows: 18.8(14.4–24) vs. 8.1 (6.3–11) months for Alb > 3.5 g/dl; 24(16–33) vs. 9.1(6.3–12.2) months for AFP < 100 vs. ≥ 100 ng/ml; 21(17–33) vs. 10(8.1–14) months for the absence vs. presence of lesions in bilateral hepatic lobes; and 16(13–20) vs. 5.2(3.8–6.5) months for the absence vs. presence of major portal vein invasion, respectively (Fig 2). The numbers of negative prognostic factors in each case were 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 in 23, 54, 69, 54, and 14 patients, respectively. In patients having three or four prognostic factors, OS was significantly worse than those having two or less prognostic factors: 5.2(4.1–6.9) vs. 20(15–24) months [HR 3.3; 95% confidence interval (CI), 2.4–4.8; p < 0.0001] (Fig 3). The cut-off value for number of negative prognostic factors was calculated from the area under the curve analysis of progression-free survival and the number of negative factors.

**Impact of pre-sarcopenia on overall survival after stratification by prognostic factors**

In the 68 patients who had three or more negative prognostic factors, the presence of pre-sarcopenia did not correlate with prognosis (Fig 4A). However, of the 146 patients who had
two or less prognostic factors, OS was significantly worse in 84 patients (58%) with pre-sarcopenia than in 62 patients without pre-sarcopenia. MST was 417 vs. 562 days and 6-, 12-, and 18-month survival rates were 79%, 58%, and 36% vs. 82%, 60%, and 52%, respectively ($p = 0.047$) (Fig 4B). According to Cox proportional hazard analysis, HR of pre-sarcopenia was 1.6 (95% CI, 1.0–2.4; $p = 0.047$).

Fig 3. Overall survival in patients treated with sorafenib; patients with 0–2 prognostic factors versus patients with 3–4 prognostic factors.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198812.g003

Fig 4. A. Presarcopenia and overall survival in patients with 3 or more prognostic factors. B. Presarcopenia and overall survival in patients with 0–2 prognostic factors.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198812.g004
Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the prevalence and significance of pre-sarcopenia in patients with advanced stage HCC treated with sorafenib, because sarcopenia or pre-sarcopenia has attracted attention as factors predicting poor prognosis in patients with chronic liver disease and HCC. The incidence of pre-sarcopenia in our study cohort was 57%, which is high compared with the reported prevalence of 15% in patients with chronic hepatitis and 24% in patients with compensated cirrhosis [2]. OS in patients with pre-sarcopenia tended to be slightly worse than in patients without pre-sarcopenia. Furthermore, when patients were stratified according to the number of traditional prognostic factors, the presence of pre-sarcopenia was significantly associated with poor prognosis in patients having no or few negative prognostic factors. This is the first report to define a subgroup of patients where pre-sarcopenia impacts prognosis.

There are several reports on the correlation between pre-sarcopenia and prognosis in patients with HCC who underwent resection [10, 33–35] or liver transplantation [12, 36, 37]. However, the evidence in patients with advanced HCC treated with sorafenib remains scarce. Previously, Hiraoka et al. reported that pre-sarcopenia was a negative prognostic factor independent of tumor factors and hepatic reserve in patients who received sorafenib treatment [15]. Similar to our present study, the authors examined the correlation between pre-sarcopenia, determined using CT and psoas muscle area index (PSI), and survival in 93 patients who received sorafenib treatment. The cut off value of PSI used was 4.24 cm$^2$/m$^2$ for men and 2.50 cm$^2$/m$^2$ for women, which was previously shown to be a prognostic factor in patients with chronic hepatic diseases in that institution. Pre-sarcopenia was found in 21.5% of patients and was an independent prognostic factor in addition to PIVKA-II level of $\geq 100$ mAU/ml. In our present study, the incidence of pre-sarcopenia was as high as 57%, possibly because the study subjects included a larger number of elderly patients or those with decreased hepatic function than the previous study.

The difference between our present study and the previous study [15] was that survival, as determined by the presence of pre-sarcopenia, did not reach a statistically significant difference when analyzed in the whole cohort in our study, whereas pre-sarcopenia was a significant factor in the previous study. A possible reason for this difference may be differences in HCC conditions. Specifically, the incidence of extrahepatic metastasis and major vessel invasion was similar but the size and number of intrahepatic lesions were larger, and there were more advanced cases based on the TNM stage classification in our present study. Accordingly, we further investigated the significance of pre-sarcopenia by dividing the patients into two groups according to four negative prognostic factors, including baseline serum albumin levels, AFP levels, presence of lesions in bilateral hepatic lobes, and presence of major portal vein invasion. In patients having three or more negative prognostic factors, there was no significant correlation between pre-sarcopenia and prognosis. In contrast, in patients with better conditions having two or less prognostic factors, the prognosis was significantly worse in patients with pre-sarcopenia than in patients without pre-sarcopenia. Our present study suggested that although hepatic reserve and tumor conditions are the most important factors for predicting prognosis in patients undergoing sorafenib treatment for advanced HCC, pre-sarcopenia is also an important prognostic factor. In patients with 3 or more negative factors, the survival curve declined rapidly within the initial several months and MST was only 5.2 months. On the other hand, in patients with 2 or less negative factors, the decline in the survival curve was mild within the initial several month, which became further gradual after 10 weeks in patients without pre-sarcopenia. Therefore, the early decline in survival is primarily dependent on the number of negative factors whereas survival on the long term may be affected by the presence or
absence of pre-sarcopenia. From these observations, we believe that pre-sarcopenia do not impact the survival in patients with 3 or more negative factors whose survival is very short. These findings suggest that evaluation of muscle volume could be one of the predictors and that intervention to improve pre-sarcopenia, such as nutritional therapy or exercise may help to improve prognosis, which need to be evaluated in the future studies.

Molecular mechanisms for the development of sarcopenia and its association with sorafenib treatment are largely unknown. Previous reports have suggested an involvement of reduced activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-Akt-mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway, which promotes protein synthesis, in the development of sarcopenia. For this pathway, it is reported that sorafenib treatment decreases protein synthesis by directly inhibiting the mTOR pathway by inhibiting vascular endothelial growth factor receptor. It may be possible that in patients who receive sorafenib treatment, these pathways lead to the development of sarcopenia leading to progression of cachexia, thereby worsening prognosis [21]. For the treatment of sarcopenia, nutrition, exercise, and medication including BCAA supplementation are recommended [38–41]. Therefore, these interventions may improve the prognosis in patients with pre-sarcopenia treated with sorafenib. It may be necessary to prospectively evaluate this in the future.

In some reports, it has been suggested that fat inside the muscle instead of muscle volume and decreased grip strength or physical function may contribute to the development of sarcopenia [7, 42]. Based on limitations of our present study, including that this was a retrospective study, measurement of grip strength or walking speed was not performed, and a relationship between sarcopenia and prognosis could not be analyzed. The fat inside the muscle was not evaluated. Further studies are required in the future. Another limitation is that the muscle volume measured by sliceOmatic and by different software programs were not compared because other software programs were not available.

In conclusion, in sorafenib treatment for advanced HCC, pre-sarcopenia is a prognostic factor in patients having no or few negative prognostic factors. Therefore, pre-sarcopenia could be the target of intervention to improve prognosis.
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