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Abstract Research in animals and humans has associated Alzheimer’s disease (AD) with decreased cerebrospinal fluid levels of insulin in combination with decreased insulin sensitivity (insulin resistance) in the brain. This phenomenon is accompanied by attenuated receptor expression of insulin and insulin-like growth factor, enhanced serine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1, and impaired transport of insulin across the blood-brain barrier. Moreover, clinical trials have demonstrated that intranasal insulin improves both memory performance and metabolic integrity of the brain in patients suffering from AD or its prodrome, mild cognitive impairment. These results, in conjunction with the finding that insulin mitigates hippocampal synapse vulnerability to beta amyloid, a peptide thought to be causative in the development of AD, provide a strong rationale for hypothesizing that pharmacological strategies bolstering brain insulin signaling, such as intranasal administration of insulin, could have significant potential in the treatment and prevention of AD.

With this view in mind, the review at hand will present molecular mechanisms potentially underlying the memory-enhancing and neuroprotective effects of intranasal insulin. Then, we will discuss the results of intranasal insulin studies that have demonstrated that enhancing brain insulin signaling improves memory and learning processes in both cognitively healthy and impaired humans. Finally, we will provide an overview of neuroimaging studies indicating that disturbances in insulin metabolism—such as insulin resistance in obesity, type 2 diabetes and AD—and altered brain responses to insulin are linked to decreased cerebral volume and especially to hippocampal atrophy.

1 Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a pathophysiological process leading to, but starting long before dementia emerges [1]. AD affected 35.6 million people worldwide in the year
2012 [2]. Due to aging of the human population in developed and developing societies, it is expected that this number will double by the year 2030 and more than triple by 2050 [3]. In the US alone, the cost of caring for individuals with AD is expected to rise from 200 billion dollars in 2012 to 1.1 trillion in 2050 [4]. Thus, AD and related forms of dementia have become one of the most severe socioeconomic and medical burdens impacting modern society [5]. Even small advances in therapeutic strategies could lead to a delay in the onset and progression of AD and would significantly reduce this burden.

Alterations in brain insulin metabolism have been suggested as one pathophysiological factor underlying this neurodegenerative disorder [6–8]. In line with this hypothesis, AD patients show reduced brain insulin receptor sensitivity [9, 10], hypophosphorylation of the insulin receptor and downstream second messengers such as the insulin receptor substrate-1 [10, 11] and attenuated insulin and insulin-like growth factor receptor expression [11]. Furthermore, reduced cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) insulin levels have been observed in moderate and severe cases of AD [12]; however, this research is not conclusive. Other studies have demonstrated either normal [13] or increased CSF insulin levels in AD patients [14]. Moreover, in the only existing study measuring CSF insulin levels in AD brains that explicitly used age-matched healthy control brains, normal levels of the hormone were detected [15]. Similar findings have been reported for insulin and insulin-like growth factor receptor expression—evidence for attenuated levels [11], but also for normal or increased levels exists [10, 15]. Figure 1 provides an overview on the putative role of insulin in AD pathology.

As enhanced brain insulin signaling improves memory processes in cognitively healthy humans [16–18] and possesses neuroprotective properties [19, 20], increasing brain insulin concentrations in AD patients could be a promising approach to prevent or slow the progression of this devastating disease. Thus, it is not surprising that intranasal administration of insulin in AD patients enabling insulin to directly access the brain [21, 22] was recently selected by the National Institute of Health (NIH) as one of two therapeutic strategies receiving substantial funding as part of the National Alzheimer’s Plan in the US. This plan is a federal initiative to find an effective way to prevent or treat AD by 2025 [23].

In this review we will explore the underlying neural network and possible molecular mechanisms that mediate the protective effects of insulin in the human brain. Also, we aim to provide an overview of the concept and current findings regarding the use of intranasal insulin to improve memory function in healthy and cognitively impaired humans.

2 Role of Insulin in Normal Brain Function

In healthy participants, intranasal insulin administration over the course of 8 weeks improved performance on a declarative memory task (delayed recall) based on a word list that had to be remembered acutely and 1 week later [16]. This enhancing effect on declarative memory, a hippocampus-dependent function [24], was amplified when insulin aspart, a fast-acting insulin analog, was used [25]. More recent studies have provided evidence that even a single acute dose of insulin (160 IU) enhances hippocampus-dependent memory processes, as measured by both spatial and working memory tasks [18, 26]. Furthermore, verbal working memory, a capacity that relies on activation of the frontal cortex [27], is improved after a single intranasal application of insulin [18].

3 Intranasal Insulin Improves Memory Function in Cognitively Impaired Humans

A single dose of intranasal insulin acutely improved memory in memory-impaired older adults with AD or mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and also improved memory and cognitive function with multiple treatments of patients with AD or MCI [28]. Insulin was effective in improving performance on a verbal memory test in a group of AD and MCI patients; however, within this group subjects carrying the APOEε4 allele, a strong genetic predictor for AD, showed poorer recall following insulin administration compared to patients that do not possess this allele and healthy controls [28]. Further work from the same group suggests a differential dose–response curve to intranasally applied insulin, such that word and story recall was enhanced by a relatively low dose of insulin in patients that do not possess the APOEε4 allele while APOE4 patients demonstrated a relative decline in memory [29]. Additional research indicated that attention and functional status (e.g., orientation, judgment, social interactions, home activities, personal care, speech/language) of this patient group was enhanced by insulin [30].

In the first pilot clinical trial on the use of intranasal insulin therapy in 64 MCI and 40 AD patients, participants received either placebo, 20 IU of insulin, or 40 IU of insulin administered with a nasal spray over the course of 4 months. The main outcome measures were delayed story recall, Dementia Severity Rating Scale, Alzheimer Disease’s Assessment Scale—cognitive subscale and Alzheimer’s Disease Cooperative Study-activities of daily living scale. Subsets of participants further underwent lumbar puncture and a positron emission tomography (PET) study before and after treatment. The between-subject comparison showed that intranasal insulin improved delayed
memory and cognitive function, and, importantly, also preserved the functional ability of the patients as estimated by caregiver ratings, suggesting that the improvements were clinically relevant. Based on PET findings, the authors also provided direct evidence for a higher $^{18}$F fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in the parietotemporal, frontal, precuneus and cuneus regions of the CNS following intranasal insulin compared to placebo administration, linking the enhancement in functioning to processes in those brain areas [31]. As indicated by Schöth et al. [32], this clinical trial employed a relatively low sample size, the treatment period was comparatively short, and, in absolute terms, the improvements were moderate. Nevertheless, the improvements in episodic memory were still present 2 months after cessation of treatment.

A meta-analysis investigating structural brain changes in patients with MCI revealed convergent gray matter atrophy in the bilateral amygdala and hippocampus, extending to the left medial temporal pole, thalamus and bilateral precuneus [33]. Significant reduction of gray matter volume in perirhinal and hippocampal regions and a functional decline in inferior parietal lobules and precuneus has also been established in patients during the conversion from amnestic MCI to AD, as well as patients with early AD [34, 35].
Taken together, these imaging studies reveal brain atrophy in the hippocampal region, which seems to be the most consistent structural marker of the associated cognitive deterioration and a strong predictor of AD.

In conclusion, the present research is promising because small but consistent improvements of cognitive memory processes induced by insulin have been discovered [36]; however, further clinical trials are needed to assess the clinical relevance of intranasal insulin in the treatment of cognitive disorders.

4 Disturbances in Insulin Signaling and Glucose Metabolism are Linked to Altered Brain Responses to Insulin

Impaired insulin sensitivity of the brain and in the periphery of the human body, so-called insulin resistance, is associated with cognitive decline and smaller total brain size [37]. Further, in the insulin-resistant state reduced spontaneous (task-independent) cortical activity has been observed as reflected by decreased theta band activity, a low-frequency EEG component of which is positively related to memory performance [38]. Supporting this view, biochemical analysis of brains of AD patients revealed central nervous system (CNS) insulin desensitization, which was correlated with the magnitude of cognitive impairments [10, 39]. Disturbances in glucose and energy metabolism and brain insulin signaling are therefore considered a pathological hallmark of AD [7, 40].

In a recent 18F PET study, insulin resistance was also associated with a pattern of reduced cerebral glucose metabolism in frontal, temporo-parietal and cingulate regions in cognitively intact adults with prediabetes or type 2 diabetes [41]. Bearing in mind that such patterns of hypometabolism have likewise been observed in patients with MCI and AD [42, 43] and that diabetes is a risk factor for AD [44], screening for insulin resistance may provide a relatively low-cost, non-invasive means for identifying adults at risk to develop the disease.

In a voxel-based morphometric (VBM) study in a large population of healthy elderly subjects (at the age of 75 years), Benedict and colleagues [37] were able to show that the HOMA-IR score, a measure of peripheral insulin resistance, was negatively correlated with verbal fluency performance, total brain size and regional gray matter volume in bilateral areas of the middle and superior temporal gyri, i.e., typical speech-processing areas. Willette et al. [45] confirmed this finding for middle-aged healthy subjects (at the age of 58 years). Further results from a structural imaging study in healthy participants point toward an inverse correlation of peripheral insulin resistance with total cerebral volume, but also with executive functions, verbal and visuospatial memory [46]. The HOMA-IR score of patients at risk for AD was negatively associated with right and total hippocampal volume as well as overall cognitive performance, and verbal and non-verbal memory tests [47]; however, unlike in the previously mentioned study involving MCI patients, this correlation was not influenced by the presence of the APOEε4 allele. In conclusion, these studies shed light on anatomical correlates of healthy and cognitively impaired individuals and provide evidence for diminished total brain and hippocampal volume with higher insulin resistance rates.

Using resting-state imaging methods the activity of the brain in the absence of an external stimulus or task is assessed. Here, spontaneous fluctuations that are useful to explore the brain’s functional organization are of interest. The most important resting-state network is the default-mode network (DMN), which is activated when we are awake and at rest and is deactivated during goal-oriented behavior. The DMN is an interconnected system of medial prefrontal, medial temporal, posterior cingulate, medial, lateral and inferior parietal cortical areas as well as the ventral precuneus. The resting-state activity and also the degree of structural and functional connectivity of the resting-state network is a biomarker for cognitive function and aging. In women at risk for AD, elevated plasma insulin levels have been associated with a decrease of connectivity between the DMN areas prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, pointing toward an involvement of the connectivity of these brain areas in AD pathology [48].

It has further been revealed in magnetoencephalography (MEG) studies in cognitively healthy subjects that intranasal insulin modifies the brain network during the resting state by changing the characteristic path length in the theta band [49]. The theta band or theta rhythm is a frequency component that tends to appear during rest. The authors considered this phenomenon an increase in communication efficiency, particularly between brain areas involved in satiation and homeostatic control of eating behavior caused by the rise in CSF insulin level. In addition, insulin modulated intrinsic cortical activity in hypothalamic and orbitofrontal cortex areas [50], two brain areas involved in the modification of reward during food consumption. Whereas intranasally applied insulin had no effects on basal cerebral blood flow (CBF) or task-induced CBF after visual stimulation [51], a decrease of the blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) response after visual stimulation with food pictures was found in several areas of the fronto-temporal network [52]. The authors of the latter studies primarily attribute their findings to the importance of insulin signaling during processes related to food consumption and the location of food sources. However, these findings do not allow conclusions regarding the effect of intranasal insulin on the connectivity strength of brain
components affected in the early stages of AD, such as the hippocampus.

Since the homeostatic system heavily depends upon the sense of smell and, interestingly, the brain regions first affected by AD are those involved in the sense of smell (e.g., olfactory bulb, entorhinal cortex, hippocampus) [53], it seems reasonable that distortions in olfactory performance are experienced very early in the progression of the pathology [54]. This hypothesis is further supported by research in the rat model proving that ablation of the olfactory bulbs leads to a deficiency in spatial memory and higher levels of beta amyloid in the hippocampal areas [55]. Olfactory performance scores are hence considered behavioral markers for an early diagnosis of AD [56] or other neurodegenerative disorders. As olfactory information enters the human cortical areas without a thalamic relay, they have direct access to brain areas responsible for emotional processing (amygdala) and memory formation (hippocampus). Consequently, odors are potent cues for memory consolidation. Since the brain regions involved in olfactory and memory processes both contain high amounts of insulin receptors [57, 58], future studies should elaborate whether the memory-enhancing effects of insulin could be potentiated by presenting associated odor cues.

5 Molecular Mechanisms of the Protective Actions of Insulin in the Brain

Although defective brain insulin signaling is increasingly considered an important feature of AD pathology, the biological mechanisms of brain insulin resistance in AD have just recently started to be unraveled. Initial molecular clues came from studies demonstrating that amyloid beta oligomers (AβOs), toxins that accumulate in brains of AD patients and instigate synapse damage [59], bind to hippocampal neurons and trigger the removal of insulin receptors from the plasma membrane [20, 60, 61]. Butressing the clinical relevance of these findings, Moloney and co-workers [62] verified this phenomenon. AβOs also alter neuronal insulin receptor function, and this effect seems to be an important aspect of the overall synaptic/neuronal pathology induced by AβOs [39, 60, 61].

More recently, evidence linking pathogenic mechanisms triggered by AβOs in the AD brain to mechanisms present in metabolic diseases has emerged [39, 63, 64]. In type 2 diabetes and obesity-related insulin resistance, activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) leads to IRS-1 serine phosphorylation (IRS-1pSer), resulting in peripheral insulin resistance [65, 66]. Similarly, AβOs induce activation of the JNK pathway and IRS-1 inhibition in cultured hippocampal neurons [39, 63] as well as within the brain of mice and monkeys [39]. Likewise, post-mortem brains of AD patients show abnormal distribution of IRs [62], increased serine phosphorylation of IRS-1 [10, 61] and reduced cytosolic and/or membrane levels of PI3K and PI3K-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1) [62, 67]. AD brains further display elevated levels of phosphorylated JNK [39], which is known to counteract peripheral insulin signaling [39, 68]. In conjunction, these studies suggest that the relationship between CNS insulin impairment and AβO accumulation in the brain is rather complex and should be evaluated in future studies.

The discovery of a molecular parallel between defective brain insulin signaling in AD and peripheral insulin signaling dysfunction in diabetes [10, 39] provides a rationale for using anti-diabetes agents as novel therapeutics in AD. Supporting this view, a treatment with the glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analog liraglutide (commonly used in the treatment of diabetes) leads to an amelioration of insulin resistances in the brains of AD patients and a mouse model of AD [69] and positive effects on neuropathology and cognition in the mouse model [70]. The next important step is to understand if and how stimulation of insulin signaling in the brain might facilitate neuroprotection, thereby preserving normal brain functioning. As touched on previously, insulin’s actions seem to be important for proper hippocampal function, a region equipped with insulin receptors [71] that is profoundly involved in the acquisition, consolidation and recollection of memories.

In cell culture experiments using highly differentiated cultures of rat hippocampal neurons, the dendritic distribution of insulin receptors shows a punctate pattern, consistent with localization to synapses [20, 60]. Insulin receptor signaling further regulates synaptic plasticity by controlling synapse density [72]. In rodents, insulin receptor signaling contributes to long-term memory consolidation and improves spatial learning [73–75]. Insulin has also been proposed to regulate neuronal survival and to act as a growth factor [76], possibly by activating insulin-like growth factor (IGF) receptors [77]. An additional possible mechanism of the protective action of insulin could be the decrease in stress-induced hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activity responsiveness [78].

Interestingly, insulin also provides protection against AβOs. Insulin has been found to block both AβO-induced reductions in insulin receptors on dendritic surfaces [20] and IRS-1pSer induced by AβOs [39]. Remarkably, insulin protects synapses against AβOs [20], and the mechanism of protection entails a decrease of AβO binding sites [20]. As a consequence, insulin prevents the overall negative impact of AβOs on neurons [20, 79]. Insulin further favors anti-amyloidogenic pathways of amyloid precursor protein processing in vitro [80]. Collectively, these studies provide a rational basis for the use of insulin as an effective therapeutic agent in AD.
6 Intranasal Administration of Insulin: Mechanisms and Clinical Safety Issues

Insulin has been revealed not only to control whole-body energy and glucose homeostasis in the periphery of the human body [81–84], but also to exert specific effects in the CNS. Insulin receptors have been identified in a variety of brain areas, especially in the olfactory bulb, hippocampus and hypothalamus [57, 58]. One possible method for studying the cerebral actions of insulin is via intravenous (iv) administration. In humans, it has been shown that iv infusions of insulin are followed by increases in CSF levels of the hormone, indicating that plasma insulin accesses the brain [85]. Although iv insulin has been linked to improved memory functions in humans [86], this route of administration possesses little therapeutic potential for the treatment of CNS disorders. Intravenous application of insulin is a highly invasive technique that leads to hypoglycemia, which itself has detrimental effects on brain function and thus has to be accompanied by iv glucose infusion in order to maintain stable blood glucose levels constant in the experimental setting. Thus, this approach is not suitable for the treatment of CNS disorders. The approach of intranasal delivery of neuropeptides, however, has provided us with an alternative for the effective and rapid delivery of insulin exclusively to the brain and thereby provides the means of therapeutic use [22, 87].

Applying this method, insulin is sprayed into the nose of the subject. Following intranasal application, insulin enters the nasal mucosa and is transported extracellularly along the axon bundles of the olfactory receptor cells in the roof of the nasal cavity leading through the foramina of the lamina cribrosa and the pons to the CNS [21, 89]. Another proposed transport mechanisms is via the rostral migratory stream [90].

Hence, using this intranasal delivery method, insulin directly enters the brain, bypassing the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [91], and can be detected in biologically relevant concentrations in the CSF 30–40 min following intranasal application [38]. Furthermore, these effects can be achieved with doses that do not produce changes in peripheral blood levels of insulin and glucose [22]. Thus, this method selectively increases CNS insulin levels and is therefore suitable for the investigation of both the short- and long-term effects of insulin on the human brain without the potential confound of peripheral side effects [92]. However, at this point it is important to state that an intranasal dose of 40 IU insulin—as applied in many previous studies—results in short-term elevations of CSF insulin to 25 pM levels, which is 4–10 times lower than the insulin levels (0.1–1 nM) found to trigger brain insulin signaling in postmortem brains [10]. Thus, future studies are needed to show that after intranasal insulin uptake, brain insulin levels are sufficiently raised to enhance brain insulin signaling under in vivo conditions. Nevertheless, many intranasal insulin studies have yielded effects on CNS functions in humans that resembled those found in animals after intracerebroventricular insulin administration (e.g., reduced food intake, improved spatial memory functions) [16, 18]. That said, we believe that the current evidence is strong enough to support the view that intranasal insulin represents a promising pharmacological strategy to support insulin-sensitive CNS functions in humans.

According to the existing literature, intranasal insulin administration generally neither causes nasal irritation nor destroys the olfactory epithelium or glomerular projections [93, 94], and it therefore constitutes a clinically safe application method [95] for the dissociation of central and peripheral insulin effects.

7 Conclusion

Collectively, the presented studies present evidence for the hypothesis that improving central nervous insulin signaling via intranasal insulin or insulin sensitizers, which cross the blood-brain barrier, could represent an effective way to prevent or treat AD. However, chronic insulin treatment can cause desensitization of insulin signaling pathways in peripheral tissues [95], raising concerns about the long-term efficacy of this approach. Another aspect that requires critical consideration is that earlier studies have not shown a beneficial effect of acute intranasal insulin administration in AD and MCI patients carrying at least one copy of the APOEε4 allele [28, 96]. In the most recent 4-month clinical trial, however, positive effects of intranasally applied insulin on AD and MCI patients carrying one or two copies of the APOEε4 allele have been shown, whereas the optimal insulin dose seems to vary between both groups [96]. Considering that the presence of one ε4 allele increases the risk of developing AD two- to threefold, while having two ε4 alleles increases the risk by about 15-fold, larger studies of longer duration are needed to fully evaluate intranasal insulin’s therapeutic potential in the treatment of AD.

An additional important next step for this research path is determining the mechanism of insulin’s effects on cognition. This entails answering some basic questions in rodents and primates, e.g., which levels of extracellular brain insulin (as opposed to CSF insulin) are actually achieved by intranasal insulin doses that exert optimal effects on cognition? Is an increase above baseline of
extracellular brain insulin levels sufficient to enhance brain insulin signaling or to normalize central nervous insulin signaling in the case of brain insulin resistance? Does intranasal insulin act equally with regard to neuroprotection and synaptic function? How fundamental is brain insulin resistance to the development of both familial and sporadic forms of AD?

While there are many open questions regarding longitudinal efficacy and the mechanisms underlying its effect on cognition, recent clinical trials support the hypothesis that intranasal insulin may be a promising option to slow the progress of AD [31]. With this in mind, it is not surprising that intranasal administration of insulin in AD patients was recently selected by the NIH to receive substantial funding as part of the National Alzheimer’s Plan in the US. In this multicenter study (recruiting has not started yet), the effects of intranasally administered insulin on cognition, entorhinal cortex, hippocampal atrophy and CSF biomarkers in amnestic MCI or mild AD will be examined in a sample of 240 people (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01767909). It is hypothesized that after 12 months of treatment with intranasal insulin subjects will demonstrate improved performance on a global measure of cognition, on a memory composite and in daily functioning. In addition to the examination of CSF biomarkers and hippocampal and entorhinal atrophy, the study aims to examine whether the baseline AD biomarker profile, gender or APOE-e4 allele carriage predicts treatment response.

Taken together, the findings reviewed in this article provide a strong rationale for hypothesizing that intranasal delivery of insulin or insulin sensitizers (e.g., lixivatide) represents a promising pharmacological strategy to support insulin-sensitive CNS functions in humans, including hippocampus-dependent memory formation and neuroprotection against AD and MCI.
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