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Abstract
Methane is the second most important greenhouse gas contributing to about 20% of global warming. Its mitigation is conducted by methane oxidizing bacteria that act as a biofilter using methane as their energy and carbon source. Since their first discovery in 1906, methanotrophs have been studied using a complementary array of methods. One of the most used molecular methods involves PCR amplification of the functional gene marker for the diagnostic of copper and iron containing particulate methane monooxygenase. To investigate the diversity of methanotrophs and to extend their possible molecular detection, we designed a new set of degenerate methane monooxygenase primers to target an 850 nucleotide long sequence stretch from pmoC to pmoA. The primers were based on all available full genomic pmoCAB operons. The newly designed primers were tested on various pure cultures, enrichment cultures and environmental samples using PCR. The results demonstrated that this primer set has the ability to correctly amplify the about 850 nucleotide long pmoCA product from Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia and the NC10 phyla methanotrophs. The new primer set will thus be a valuable tool to screen ecosystems and can be applied in conjunction with previously used pmoA primers to extend the diversity of currently known methane-oxidizing bacteria.
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Introduction
Methane is the second most important greenhouse gas contributing to about 20% of global warming (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2014). The global methane budget is estimated to be around 600 Tg a⁻¹ (Dubey 2001) which is dominated by biogenic sources, where natural wetlands (23%), and rice fields (21%) (Frenzel 2000) account for almost half of the total budget (Chen and Prinn 2005). Methanogenic archaea are assumed to be the sole producers of methane that reside in these environments (Cicerone and Oremland 1988; Conrad et al. 1999; Joulian et al. 1997). These archaea are also present in waste treatment systems, intestines of ruminants and termites and landfills acting as additional CH₄ sources. Therefore, microbial methanogenic activity is responsible for nearly 75% of the methane emitted to the atmosphere (Chen and Prinn 2005).

This process, is however, vastly mitigated by methanotrophic microorganisms that oxidize a large part of the produced CH₄ (Cappelletti et al. 2016; Crevecoeur et al. 2015; Dumont and Murrell 2005; Reeburgh et al. 1993; Oshkin et al. 2014). It has been estimated that of the primary productivity, roughly 1% ends up in CH₄; half of which is emitted into the atmosphere while the other half is consumed by methanotrophs (Reeburgh and Whijalen 2007; Aronson et al. 2013). While anaerobic methane-oxidizing archaea consume more than 75% of the CH₄ produced in certain marine sediments (Reeburgh and Whijalen 2007; Beal et al. 2009; Egger et al. 2014), aerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria (MOB) that live at the interface between anoxic and oxic zones in marine environments (Bender and Conrad 1992; Lüke et al. 2016; Padilla et al. 2016), freshwater wetlands and rice fields (Lüke et al. 2014) have been estimated to consume up to 90%
of the \( \text{CH}_4 \) produced in these environments (Hanson and Hanson 1996). Alpha- and gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs have further been shown to be dominant methane consumers in acidic peatlands (Esson et al. 2016; Deng et al. 2013; Putkinnen et al. 2014). Since their discovery over 100 years ago (Söhngen 1906), many aspects of methanotrophic bacteria have been studied (Whittenbury et al. 1970; Bédard and Knowles 1989; Hanson and Hanson 1996; Lidstrom 2006; Trotsenko and Murrell 2008). At the moment, several groups of aerobic bacteria are known that convert methane by means of a copper- and/or iron-containing enzyme called methane monooxygenase (MMO) (Murrell et al. 2000). Methanotrophic archaea play a prominent role in the anaerobic oxidation of methane and use methyl coenzyme-M reductase (MCR) (Knittel and Boetius 2009; Haroon et al. 2013; Welte et al. 2016).

Two different forms of MMO exist: a soluble MMO (sMMO) encoded by \( \text{mmoX}, \text{mmoY} \) and \( \text{mmoZ} \) and a particulate MMO encoded by \( \text{pmoCAB} \) (Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005). The membrane bound particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) catalyzes the hydroxylation of methane. It exists in virtually all methanotrophs while sMMO has only been shown in certain genera such as \textit{Methyllococcus}, \textit{Methylosinus}, \textit{Methylocystis}, \textit{Methylobomonas} and \textit{Methylocella} (Murrell et al. 2000). The more recent discovery of \textit{Methylocella silvestris} (Crombie and Murrell, 2014), \textit{Methyloferula stellata} (Dedysh et al. 2015), and \textit{Methylocella palustris} (Dedysh et al. 2000) has illustrated that some MOB do indeed possess only sMMO and would not be targeted in pMMO-focused molecular studies (Dunfield et al. 2003; Dedysh et al. 2000; Vorobev et al. 2011; Vekeman et al. 2016a). pMMO belongs to the ammonia monooxygenase superfamily and has been shown to be of high biogeochemical and chemical relevance (Bédard and Knowles 1989; Hakemian and Rosenzweig 2007). This is due to the tight correlation that exists between this family and the globally important methane and nitrous oxide fluxes (Conrad 1996). This makes copper containing (Cu) MMO genes extremely useful markers in biological feedback studies looking at global climate change (Singh et al. 2010). Moreover, PCR-based environmental surveys have identified the ecological distribution and relevance of CuMMO-containing organisms correlated to gas flux, land use and climatic conditions (Coleman and the references within 2012). It has also been postulated that this group of enzymes could be correlated to processes other than methanotrophy and ammonia oxidation such as butane-oxidation (Coleman et al. 2012; Crombie and Murrell 2014). Therefore molecular approaches, such as PCR with specific primer sets are a fast and convenient method to screen for the diversity of such enzymes in various environments (Murrell et al. 1998; Mitsumori et al. 2002; Siljanen et al. 2012).

The crystal structure of pMMO has been determined to a resolution of 2.8 Å from \textit{Methylloccus capsulatus} (Bath) and the enzyme has been found to be a trimer with an \( \alpha_3\beta_3\gamma_3 \) polypeptide arrangement (Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005). The PmoA subunit contains non-heme iron in its center and for long was proposed to be the site of substrate hydroxylation. The soluble PmoB subunit hosts two metal centers, modelled as mononuclear copper and dinuclear copper, while a third metal center occupied by zinc is located within the membrane (Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005).

Molecular surveys showed that MOB are present, amongst others, in natural wetlands (Costello et al. 2002; Samad and Bertilsson 2017), marine ecosystems (Veke-man et al. 2016b), permafrost thaw ponds (Crevecoeur et al. 2015), peatlands (Lau et al. 2015) and flooded rice-fields (Krüger et al. 2001; Lüke et al. 2009; Balasubramanian and Rosenzweig 2007; Zheng et al. 2008). Since pMMO was initially assumed to be present in all methane oxidizing bacteria, it has been used in molecular approaches to investigate methanotrophic diversity (Semrau et al. 1995; Holmes et al. 1999; Chi et al. 2012; Saidi-Mehrabad et al. 2013). More specifically \( \text{pmoA} \), coding for the beta subunit of pMMO, was found to be highly conserved and as a result used as a functional gene marker (Holmes et al. 1995a, b; Bourne et al. 2001; Ceccon and O’Sullivan 2002; Komáromy et al. 2007; Luesken et al. 2011b; Knief 2015). For all the PCR based methods, the used primers unfortunately do not encompass all different phyla of MOB to the same extent (Bergmann et al. 2011) nor do they cover new phyla such as \textit{Verrucomicrobia} (Sharp et al. 2014; Erikstad and Birkeland 2015) and NC10. In the latter cases, more phylum specific primers had to be designed to investigate the presence of ‘\textit{Candidatus Methyloirabilis oxyfera}’ in various ecosystems (Luesken et al. 2011b). Recently several genomes of different MOB have been sequenced by the Omega consortium (Khmelenina et al. 2013; Kits et al. 2013; Khadem et al. 2012; Stephenson et al. 2017) and thus a much larger gene dataset is now available to design new primers to potentially cover a larger methanotroph diversity. Here we introduce a new set of degenerate primers that can be used to examine the diversity of MOB in various environments with the potential ability to target all presently known methanotrophic phyla. The new primers
have the capability to target pmoC and pmoA and the intergenic region in between those genes. Application of the primers to various ecosystem resulted in the detection of pmoCA of Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia and NC10 within their respective habitats. Neither ammonia oxidizers, nor the recently discovered comammox (van Kessel et al. 2015; Pjevac et al. 2016) were detected with these primers. Furthermore, since the binding sites of the primers immediately flank the intergenic region between the genes pmoC and pmoA, they generate MOB lineage specific fragments. This unique property could be used in high throughput sequence analysis experiments for recovering diverse lineages in further environmental studies.

Materials and methods
Construction of pmoCAB operon database and primer design
A total of 83 different full genomic methane monooxygenase along with the isoenzyme PXM and ammonia monooxygenase gene sequences available on MaGe were downloaded (Vallenet et al. 2006; Sievers and Higgins 2014). This included Alpha-, Gamma-, and Betaproteobacteria (AOB), Verrucomicrobia, NC10, Mycobacterium, Nocardia, SAR cluster, divergent PXM operon and second operons from Methylosinus parvus OBBP, Methylocystis sp. RN69, Methylosinus sp. LW3, and Methylosinus sp. LW4 (Table 1). The genes were aligned in pmoCAB operon configuration. In cases where an organism's genome contained more than one copy of the operon, all copies were included in the pipeline. Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) and the alignment was imported into ARB (Ludwig et al. 2004). Nucleotide sequences were translated into protein sequences and phylogenetic trees were constructed based on the amino acid sequences. Furthermore, using the ‘Probe’ tool, primers that were capable of covering all (or as much as possible) phyla were designed within ARB. The parameters for the primer design were: 18 nucleotides in length, GC content of 50–70%, and minimum group coverage of at least 50%. Furthermore, the primers were made specific to MOB so that they had more than five mismatches with ammonium monooxygenase amo gene sequences of ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB).

A set of primers covering pmoC, the intergenic region, and pmoA were ultimately designed (Table 2) and ordered from Biologeo (Nijmegen, the Netherlands). The forward primer, called pmoC374, with the reverse primer, called pmoA344 resulted in product length of roughly 850 base pairs (bp) (Table 3). There are slight variations between different lineages. This is caused by variation in on average, 120 bp long intergenic region between pmoC and pmoA.

DNA extraction and PCR conditions
Total DNA was extracted from methanotrophic pure and enrichment cultures and from various environmental samples. Table 4 provides an overview on the cultures and samples used in this study. DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit from MO BIO Laboratories (Carlsbad CA, USA) following the protocol of the manufacturer. The primers were tested using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), gradient PCR, touchdown PCR and nested PCR on all of the samples. The optimized protocol consisted of initial denaturation step at 96 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles at 96 °C for 1 min, annealing at 55 °C for 1 min and elongation at 72 °C for 2 min. The final elongation step was done for 10 min at 72 °C.

Excision from gel after gel electrophoresis, purification, ligation and transformation of the amplified PCR products were done following the protocol described by Luesken et al. 2011a. At least 20 random clones were picked for each environmental sample in a blue-white screening and the plasmids were isolated for each PCR product with the GeneJet Miniprep Kit (Fermentas, Vilnus, Lithuania). The samples were sent to BaseClear (Leiden, the Netherlands) for sequencing of the cloned product using M13 forward primer (Luesken et al. 2011a).

Sequence analysis
The resulting sequences were checked for quality using Chromas Lite 2.1.1.0 (Technelysium Pty Ltd). Once erroneous sequences were removed, the results were blasted (BLASTx) using the publically available tools on National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Sequences matching with AMO superfamily were imported into ARB, translated into protein sequences and aligned to the previously mentioned pmoCAB operon dataset using ARB built-in aligner tools. Phylogenetic tree construction was performed on the amino acid alignment using maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood methods with bootstrapping of 100 times. Consensus sequences based on the fraction and frequency of residues at a specific alignment position within pmoC from all sequences were used to generate the tree.

Sequences are deposited in Genbank with Accession Numbers KY883458–KY883555 (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Results
The design of new primers was obtained by using all available pmoCAB operon sequences from MaGe. Interestingly, pmoB contained no conserved sequence stretch as a potential primer target site. Looking at the full operons, the only conserved regions resided within pmoC and pmoA. A new region at the nucleotide position 374 within
### Table 1  Alignment of the new pmoCA primers on all the available genomic sequences from different phyla. Wobble positions are shown in yellow

| Gammaproteobacteria | pmoC374 | pmoA344 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| *Methylobacter tundripaludum* SV96 operon 2 | ACAGAGCAAGATGGCATCA | TAAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylobacter* sp. AQV2v1 operon 1 | ACAGAGCAAGATGGCATCA | TGAATTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methyllovulum* miyakonense strain HT12 operon 1 | ACAGAGCAAGATGGCATCA | TGAATTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylobacter* luteus IMV-B-3098T operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TGAATTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylobacter marinus* A45 operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TGAATTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylomicrobium* alcaliphilium 202 operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylomicrobium* buryatense 5G operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylomonas* sp. M11Bv1_22234 operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylomonas* sp. MK1 operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylomicrobium* album BG8 operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylasarcina* lacus LW14 operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylasarcina* fibratia AML-C10 operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylologabulus* morosus operon 3 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methyllococcus* capsulatus Bath A2855 operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylalohalobius* crimeensis operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylalohalobius* crimeensis operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Nitrosococcus* watsonii | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Nitrosococcus* oceani ATCC 19707 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Nitrosococcus* halophilus | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |

| Alphaproteobacteria | pmoC374 | pmoA344 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| *Methylocystis* rosea SV97T operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylocystis* rosea SB2 operon 3 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylcystis* rosea SV97T operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylcystis* sp. BN69 operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylcystis* sp. BN69 operon 3 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylcystis* parvus OB88 operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylosinus* sp. ATCC operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylosinus* sp. LW3 operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylosinus* sp. LW3 operon 3 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylosinus* sp. LW4 operon 3 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylocystis* parvus OB88 operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylocystis* sp. BN69 operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylosinus* sp. LW3 operon 1 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylosinus* sp. LW4 operon 2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
| *Methylocapsa* acidiphila B2 | ACAGACAGAGGGCATCATGA | TCAACTTCTGGGGTGACATT |
the PmoC subunit of *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath), as a reference, was found to be highly conserved amongst all the phyla tested in this experiment. The forward primer binding site encodes for a glutamine residue at 126th base within the crystal structure of pmoC anchored to the membrane in *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath) whereas the reverse primer binding site encodes a phenylalanine residue at 107th base within pmoA. Our newly designed forward primer was compared to Holmes’ forward primer and the results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. As the tables illustrate, with zero mismatches, pmoC374 is able to target three out of the seven available sequences.
If a single mismatch is allowed, five out of the seven sequences from Verrucomicrobia are targeted by pmoC374 whereas pmoA189 (Holmes et al. 1995a, b) with one mismatch still does not target any verrucomicrobial pMMO gene. The details of the novel primer set with regards to number of mismatches are listed in Table 2.

Initially, pmoA189 target region was thought to be a good matching reverse primer, however, a secondary conserved region at the 334th position within the pmoA gene was found. The pmoC374 with pmoA344 combination yielded a PCR product of the correct size in the samples tested, while the same could not always be observed when it was used in combination with pmoA189. In Table 1 and 2, it can be observed that pmoA344 has the ability to target 17 out of the 19 sequences belonging to Gammaproteobacteria with zero mismatches. Based on sequence information, pmoA334 does not have the ability to target NC10 phylum and it needs two or more mismatches within each primer.

### Table 2 Comparison of targeting ability between two newly designed degenerate primers and pmoA189

| Phylum             | pmoC374 | pmoA344 | pmoA189 |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|                    | Mismatches | Mismatches | Mismatches |
|                    | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Gammaproteobacteria| 10/18 | 18/18 | 18/18 | 18/18 | 16/18 | 18/18 | 18/18 | 18/18 | 7/18 | 18/18 | 18/18 | 18/18 |
| Alphaproteobacteria| 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 14/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 |
| Verrucomicrobia    | 3/7 | 5/7 | 5/7 | 6/7 | 0/7 | 0/7 | 1/7 | 3/7 | 0/7 | 0/7 | 0/7 | 3/7 |
| NC10              | 1/1 | 1/1 | 1/1 | 1/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 | 1/1 | 1/1 | 1/1 | 1/1 |

Percent sequence coverage of all pmoCA8 available sequences within each phylum were calculated by looking at how many sequences each primer could target. Targeting ability is also shown for zero, one, two and three mismatches within each primer.

### Table 3 The new pMMO primers designed based on aligned pmoC, A, and B compared to pmoA189

| Primers     | Sequence                        | MT    | %GC |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-------|-----|
| PmoC374     | 5′-AGCARGACGGYACNTGGC-3′         | 42.9  | 56  |
| PmoA189     | 5′-GGNGACTGGGACTTCTGG-3′         | 40.3  | 56  |
| PmoA344     | 5′-ANGTCCAHCCCCAAGGT-3′         | 42.9  | 50  |

MT melting temperature, %GC GC content in percentage

from Verrucomicrobia. If a single mismatch is allowed, five out of the seven sequences from Verrucomicrobia are targeted by pmoC374 whereas pmoA189 (Holmes et al. 1995a, b) with one mismatch still does not target any verrucomicrobial pMMO gene. The details of the novel primer set with regards to number of mismatches are listed in Table 2.

### Table 4 Over view of the strains, enrichment culture and environmental samples tested in this study to detect pmoCA gene sequences

| Name/sample                           | Description            | Origin/location reference |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Methylocystis rosea                   | Pure culture           | DSMZ 17621                |
| Methylosinus sporium                  | Pure culture           | DSMZ 17706                |
| Methylobacter lenta                   | Pure culture Gammaproteobacteria | Hoefman et al. 2014 |
| Methyloacidimicrobium fagopyrum 3C    | Pure culture Verrucomicrobia | van Teeseling et al. 2014 |
| Methyloacidiphilum fumarolicum SolV   | Pure culture Verrucomicrobia | Pol et al. 2007           |
| Methylishoberis oxyfera (DAMO)        | Enrichment culture NC10 phylum | Ooijpolder, NL Ettwig et al. 2008 |
| Sludge from waste water treatment plant (WW) | Environmental sample | Lieshout, NL Luesken et al. (2011a, b) |
| Bulk soil form paddy field (BS)       | Environmental sample   | Vercelli, Italy Vaksmaa et al. (2016) |
| Rhizosphere of rice plants (ROOT)     | Environmental sample   | Vercelli, Italy Vaksmaa et al. (2016) |
| Enrichment culture with paddy field soil (RV) | Environmental sample | Campi Flegrei caldera, Italy Pol et al. (2014) |
| Volcanic mud (VM)                     | Environmental sample   | Campi Flegrei caldera, Italy Pol et al. (2014) |
mismatches to target species belonging to Verrucomicrobia. However, this primer improved the ability to target both Verrucomicrobia and the NC10 phyla in our study when pure isolates were used as positive control in the PCR reaction. The resulting sequences from the various enrichment cultures and environmental samples are depicted in Fig. 1.

The pmoCA sequences obtained from the paddy field sample were closely related to well-known genera including Methylosinus, Methylocystis, Methylvacoccus, Methylocaldum, Methylohalobius, Methylocaldum, Methylohalobius, Methylocaldum, Methylocaldum, and Methylocaldum. Furthermore, the pmoCA of pure cultures of Methylocystis rosea and Methylohalobius lenta (Hoefman et al. 2014) belonging to Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria could all be amplified with the new primer set. From previous studies, two isozymes of pMMO with various methane oxidation kinetics were found to be present in Methylocystis sp. strain SC2 (Baani and Liesack 2008), the new primers also amplified the second pmoCA

Fig. 1 Representing available pMMO sequences including the sequence obtained in this study. The tree was constructed using consensus sequence, based on the fraction and frequency of residues at an alignment position chosen within pmoC using both ARB’s PHYML (amino Acids) tool within the maximum likelihood method and Phylip PROTPARS within the maximum parsimony method. Since the two trees were highly similar, only maximum likelihood is shown here. Due to size limitation, the tree is partially collapsed for an easier illustration and pXMO is used as the out-group instead of AOB sequences that are omitted from this figure. The tree was built with 100 bootstraps and the ranges of values are shown with the respective colored circles at each node. Clone sequences with their respective accession numbers are highlighted in blue and the numbers in the brackets correspond to the number of sequences within a group. Gammaproteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, NC10 and Verrucomicrobia are clearly distinguished in the figure. Origin of clones: BS bulk soil, ROOT rhizosphere, VM volcanic mud, WW waste water sludge, RV bioreactor enrichment from vercelli, RS Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum SolV, DAMO Methylomirabilis oxyfera enrichment culture
in DNA extracted from the paddy soil. Methylocaldum- and Methylococcus-like species were also found in Waste Water samples. Furthermore, both alpha- and gammaproteobacterial pmoCA were found in the volcanic mud sample. Lastly, the pmoCA of the verrucomorphic methanotroph Methylacidiphilum fumarolicum SolV could be amplified as well from a pure culture (Fig. 1).

In our experiment, only the Verrucomicrobia pMMO sequence most closely related to the ones in Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria could be detected. The new primer set was also used on a pure mesophilic Verrucomicrobia strain Methylacidimicrobium fagopyrum 3C resulting in gene product of the correct size and gene sequence. The primers do not amplify sequences related to the pmoC3 group. In both anoxic enrichment cultures (DAMO and RV) tested, the pmoCA of NC10 phylum bacterium Methylomirabilis oxyfera could be amplified (Fig. 1). In the case of Methylomonas lenta that does contain the genes for pXMO, only pmoCA gene sequences were detected, while the pXMO was not amplified. Lastly, no AMO (ammonia monooxygenase), PXM (alternative methane monooxygenase) or the recently discovered commamox amo were targeted nor amplified with this primer set in any of the environmental samples or the negative controls used in this study.

**Discussion**

In the era of ‘omics,’ molecular approaches using either specific or degenerate primers are still of high importance, especially in ecological studies where many samples need to be investigated or screened. They allow for a quick and robust detection of uncultivated microbes and aid in hypothesizing the community structure and the key processes that occur in certain environments, at the molecular level. As our knowledge and understanding of these environments expands, the tools that are used to investigate also need to be updated. More specifically, identification of the diverse organisms responsible for the oxidation of methane within various environments will help to better understand the key players involved in the methane cycle and evaluate their potential effectiveness as a biological methane filter. The currently available pmoA based primers are over 10 years old and since known MOB diversity has since been extended, a novel primer set with broader amplification ability would be highly beneficial in molecular studies. It is also important to distinguish between copper monooxygenases belonging to the AMO superfamily to ensure the detection of MOB and not AOB or the more recently discovered commamox (van Kessel et al. 2015; Pjevac et al. 2016; Pinto et al. 2015). The use of all available pmoCAB operon sequences from MaGe allowed for the design of new primers (Table 1). Interestingly pmoB, which in previous studies has been suggested as the active site of the methane monooxygenase enzyme (Culpepper and Rosenzweig 2012; Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005) contained no conserved sequence stretch as a potential primer target site. The only conserved regions that could be observed resided within pmoC and pmoA, both of which encode for primarily membrane bound subunits (Lieberman and Rosenzweig 2005). Overall, PmoA is by far the most conserved subunit of this enzyme. Since for long it was thought to be the catalytic subunit as well, primers were designed based on this gene and have since become the academic standard in this line of research and used to date in many studies (Lüke and Frenzel 2011; Rastogi et al. 2009; Kip et al. 2011). However, due to the two mismatches that occur at the 10th position within pmoA target region, previously unknown phyla (i.e. Verrucomicrobia or NC10) remain undetected and demand the design of phylum specific primers (Luesken et al. 2011b). This variation in sequence identity is also one of the reasons why this study focused on the whole pmoCAB operon instead of the PmoA subunit alone (Table 2).

Previous studies have looked into analysis of MOB community in rice fields by targeting 16S rRNA, pMMO and methanol dehydrogenase (Henckel et al. 1999) and observed a large variety of MOB. The new primer set used in this study was also able to detect a wide array of pmoCA sequences from both the bulk soil as well as the rhizosphere of an Italian rice paddy field, a waste water treatment sample, and volcanic mud samples. Further in anoxic Methylomirabilis oxyfera enrichment cultures started with paddy field or Ooijpolder sediment, many different pmoCA sequences could be retrieved (Fig. 1).

Furthermore, the pmoCA of the verrucomorphic methanotroph Methylacidiphilum fumarolicum SolV could be amplified. This strain contains three complete pmoCAB operon structures that resemble the one observed in proteobacterial methanotrophs, plus a fourth pmoC copy. As expected, the primers do not amplify sequences related to the pmoC3 group as it is further downstream in the genome and the primers do not bind there.

Most sequences from the Waste Water Treatment Plant biomass used in this study were closely related to Methylococcus genus as was previously observed (Luesken et al. 2011a). Lastly, no AMO (ammonia monooxygenase), PXM (alternative methane monooxygenase) or the recently discovered commamox amo were targeted nor amplified with this primer set in any of the environmental samples which is an indication of the specificity. However, with some modification of the primer sequence, the same or similar sites can be used to only target AOB instead of MOB (Pjevac et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017).

This study illustrates that when primer pmoC374 was used in combination with pmoA344, PCR amplification
yielded the correct gene product from various environmental samples and MOB strains. Such observation could not be made when pmoA189 was used as the reverse primer. At times, there were multiple bands that occurred at the expected size within the gel. When each band was excised from the gel, all corresponded to the correct product. Since the pmoCA sequence covers the intergenic region, the slightly different nucleotide length observed in the PCR product is possibly due to the variation that exists in this region. This was more apparent when environmental samples were used as opposed to pure isolates, which further supports our hypothesis.

The obtained results expand our knowledge with regard to primer target ability based solely on in silico coverage as supposed to experimental results, since the new targeting sites would not be desirable due to occurring mismatches. Furthermore, the new pMMO primer set was able to amplify the correct product and sequence from all currently known methanotrophic phyla. If used in conjunction with Holmes’ forward primer, the resulting product could be used in future next generation sequencing studies for a more extensive look at the bacterial community structure. The concurrent use of this primer set along with ones based solely on pmoA would allow for a much lesser bias when it comes to studies that look at the general diversity of the methanotrophic community within various environments. It also permits for the simultaneous detection of Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia and NC10 phyla with broader sequence variation.
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