Planktonic Tintinnid Community Structure Variations in Different Water Masses of the Arctic Basin
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Information on tintinnid community structure variations in different water masses in the Arctic Basin is scarce. During the summer of 2020, tintinnid diversity and vertical distribution were investigated in the Arctic Ocean. A total of 21 tintinnid species were found in five water masses and each water mass had a unique tintinnid community structure. In the Pacific Summer Water (PSW), Salpingella sp.1 occupied the top abundance proportion (61.8%) and originated from the North Pacific. In the Remnant Winter Water (RWW), Acanthostomella norvegica occupied the top abundance proportion (85.9%) and decreased northward. In the Mixed Layer Water, Pacific Winter Water, and Atlantic-origin Water, Ptychocylis urnula had the highest abundance proportion (67.1, 54.9, and 52.2%, respectively). The high abundance distribution area of Salpingella sp.1 and A. norvegica were separated by the boundary of the Beaufort Gyre and Transpolar Drift. The above species could be indicator species of each water masses. The highest abundance proportion of Salpingella sp.1 contributes 81.9% to the dominance of 12–16 µm loricar oral diameter in the PSW, which indicated that the preferred food items of tintinnid were also getting smaller. The occurrence of North Pacific tintinnid in the PSW might be due to the increasing Pacific Inflow Water. Further studies are needed to explore the lasting period of this species and whether it can establish a local population under rapid Arctic warming progress.
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INTRODUCTION

The Arctic Ocean is one of the most sensitive regions to global warming (Trenberth et al., 2007) and contains a complex of water masses (Gerdes and Schauer, 1997). Between the Mendeleev Ridge and Canada Basin, different currents from the Atlantic Ocean, Arctic shelves, and the Pacific Ocean converge during summer (McLaughlin et al., 2004; Aksenov et al., 2011; Bluhm et al., 2015). Each water mass has unique hydrographic features and zooplankton communities, e.g., tintinnids can...
act as indicator species of different water masses in the North Pacific (Kato and Taniguchi, 1993); gelatinous zooplankton can act as an indicator of atlantification in the North Atlantic (Maíko et al., 2020); and the tintinnid community structures vary in different water masses in the Southern Ocean (Liang et al., 2018, 2019). As for tintinnids, an important component of microzooplankton, they are widely distributed in the Arctic Ocean (e.g., Dolan et al., 2014, 2017; Wang et al., 2019).

Tintinnids (Ciliophora: Spirotrichea: Choreotrichia) are planktonic ciliates with loricae around their body (Lynn, 2008). They are primary consumers of pico-(0.2–2 µm) and nano-(2–20 µm) sized plankton, as well as important food sources for metazoans and fish larvae (Stoecker et al., 1987; Dolan et al., 1999; Gómez, 2007). Tintinnid play an important role in material circulation and energy flow from the microbial food web into the traditional food chain (Azam et al., 1983; Pierce and Turner, 1992; Calbet and Saiz, 2005). Due to their high frequencies, identifiable morphology, and outer lorica protection, tintinnid species have been suggested as favorable bioindicators of various oceanographic conditions (Kato and Taniguchi, 1993; Rakshit et al., 2017). Previous studies have exhibited the species list of the Arctic Ocean (Dolan et al., 2017). However, to date, no data exist relating to tintinnid community structure variations in the different water masses of the Arctic Basin.

The Arctic Ocean is experiencing an increase in Pacific Inflow Water because of global warming (Woodgate, 2018), which has changed local hydrographic features (Moller and Nielsen, 2020; Polyakov et al., 2020). Pacific plankton species are transported further north into the Arctic Ocean with increasing inflows (Grebmeier and Harvey, 2005; Hopcroft et al., 2010). Studies on the northward transportation of Arctic Ocean plankton have mainly focused on phytoplankton and mesozooplankton communities (Ershova et al., 2015; Wassmann et al., 2015; Hunt et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018; Lewis et al., 2020; Wang Y. et al., 2020; Zhuang et al., 2021). Ershova et al. (2015) found that Pacific copepod (Eucalanus bungii, Metridia pacifica, and Neocalanus spp.) distributions had extended about five degrees further north than in 1946 in the Chukchi Sea. However, there was no similar report for tintinnids.

In the Pacific Gateway, the Pacific Inflow Water transports Pacific tintinnids into the Chukchi Sea, mixing them with the Arctic tintinnid community (Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). Pacific water would descend in subsurface layers of the Canada Basin, forming the Pacific Summer Water (PSW) (Steele et al., 2004). Pacific tintinnids were not found in the PSW in previous investigations (Dolan et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). However, with the increase of Pacific Inflow Water (Woodgate, 2018) the Pacific tintinnids might be transported in the PSW of the Canada Basin.

This paper studied the tintinnid community in the Arctic Basin. We hypothesized that the tintinnid community in different water masses of the Arctic Ocean is different. Another aim of this study was to examine whether Pacific tintinnids were transported into the PSW of the Canada Basin, which serves as baseline data for monitoring changes in the planktonic zooplankton community due to increasing Pacific Inflow and global warming in the Arctic Ocean.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples were collected between 29 July and 30 August 2020, during the 11th Chinese National Arctic Research Expedition aboard R.V. “Xuelong 2.” Ice cover data were sourced from Sea Ice Remote Sensing at the University of Bremen1. Water samples were collected at 43 stations (Supplementary Table 1) along five transects (Tr.): Tr. R (Sts. 1–9), P1 (Sts. 1–5, R2, 6–8), P2 (Sts. 1–3, R4, 4–10), and P3 (Sts. 1–6, R6, 7–13), E (Sts. 1, 2, P1–2, P2–1, 3, P3–4) (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). All stations (except R1, 196 m) were deeper than 200 m (Supplementary Table 1).

At each station, vertical profiles of temperature and salinity were obtained from the surface (3 m) to 200 m (except St. R1, where the bottom sample was from 189 m) using an SBE911-conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) unit. Water masses were identified by referring to Morison et al. (1998); Steele et al. (2004), Timmermans et al. (2014), and Gong and Pickart (2016).

Water samples were taken from 3 (surface), 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, and 200 m at most stations using 12 L Niskin bottles attached to a CTD rosette wheel. Each sampling depth was regarded as one sampling point. The sampling depths were adapted to DCM (deep Chl a maximum) layer if it was within 10 m of any nearby sampling depth. Chlorophyll a (Chl a) concentration was determined by filtering 500 mL of seawater through a Whatman GF/F glass fiber filter. Plankton retained on the filter was extracted in 90% (v/v) acetone. Fluorescence was measured according to the JGOFS protocol (Knap et al., 1996) using a Turner Trilogy fluorometer Model 10.

A total of 301 water samples (1 L) were collected for tintinnid analysis. Samples were fixed with acid Lugol’s (1% final concentration) and stored in darkness at 4°C during the cruise. In the laboratory, water samples were concentrated to about 200 mL by siphoning off the supernatant after settling the sample for 60 h. This settling and siphoning process was repeated until a final concentrated volume of 50 mL was achieved, which was then settled in two Utermöhl counting chambers (25 mL per chamber) (Utermöhl, 1958) for at least 24 h. Tintinnids were counted using an Olympus IX 73 inverted microscope (100× or 400×) according to the process of Lund et al. (1958) and Utermöhl (1958).

During the counting process, the sizes of at least 10 loricas of each species were measured. Tintinnid taxa were identified according to the size and shape of the loricae following Taniguchi (1976), Davis (1977, 1981), Zhang et al. (2012), Dolan et al. (2014, 2017), Li et al. (2016), and Wang et al. (2019). Because mechanical and chemical disturbance during collection and fixation can detach the tintinnid protoplasm from the loricae (Paranjape and Gold, 1982; Alder, 1999), we included empty tintinnid loricae in cell counts. Empty loricae and loricae with plasma for species with comparatively high abundance were counted separately.

Biogeographically, tintinnid genera were classified as oceanic and neritic (Pierce and Turner, 1993; Dolan and Pierce, 2013). Lorica oral diameter (LOD) was divided into size classes in 4 µm increments (12–16 µm, 16–20 µm, etc.) following Dolan et al. (2016). Occurrence frequency (OF, %) was calculated by dividing

---

1https://seaise.uni-bremen.de/sea-ice-concentration/
all sampling points in one water mass by the number of sampling points where one species occurred. Abundance proportion (%) was calculated by dividing the total average abundance of all tintinnids with the average abundance of one species in one water mass. We used the Shannon index ($H'$) (Shannon, 1948) and Simpson index ($\lambda$) (Simpson, 1949) to test tintinnid diversity indices in different water masses.

**RESULTS**

**Hydrographic Features**
Most stations were covered by sea ice on 1 August (Figure 1). Two high temperature ($> -0.5^\circ$C) areas were present: between 25–100 and 150–200 m (Figure 2). The high temperature area at 25–100 m depths appeared only in the eastern part of transects P1, P2, and P3. Salinity was low in the surface layers (27.7 ± 0.8), then increased to 200 m depth (34.2 ± 0.6) (Figure 2). The deep Chl $a$ maximum (DCM) layers occurred between 25 and 75 m, and the highest Chl $a$ concentration (2.68 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$) occurred on the Chukchi Sea shelf (38 m of St. R2) (Figure 2).

Vertically, five water masses were identified according to hydrographic features: Mixed Layer Water (MLW), Remnant Winter Water (RWW), Pacific Summer Water (PSW), Pacific Winter Water (PWW), and Atlantic-origin Water (AtW) (Figure 2 and Table 1). The MLW was characterized by low temperature ($< -0.5^\circ$C) and salinity (26.5–29.0) and occurred mainly in the upper 20 m of most stations (except St. R4 and P3-7, where occurred from surface to 25 m). Transect R divided the three P transects into two parts according to the position of the rest of the four water masses. The RWW and AtW occurred in western parts of transects P1, P2, and P3, and the PSW and PWW occurred mainly in the eastern parts of these transects (Figure 2).

**Tintinnid Species Composition**
A total of 21 tintinnid species belonging to 7 genera were identified, and there were 7, 7, 8, 9, and 17 species in the PSW, RWW, MLW, PWW, and AtW, respectively (Table 2). According to their average abundance (AA) and occurrence frequency (OF), all tintinnid species were classified into abundant (AA $\geq$ 3.0 ind. L$^{-1}$ and OF $\geq$ 20%) and rare species (rest) (Table 2). Among the nine species in oceanic genera, *Salpingella* sp. 1, *Acanthostomella norvegica*, and *Ptychocylis urnula* (Supplementary Figure 1) were abundant species (Table 2). Empty loricae occupied 1.3, 29.3, and 41.0% in all loricae for the three abundant species, respectively.
Twelve species of neritic genera (*Leprotintinnus*, *Stenosemella*, and *Tintinnopsis*) occurred with low abundance only at 4 stations near the Chukchi shelf (Figure 1). Their total abundance reached 28 ind. L$^{-1}$ at 28 m depth in the shallowest station St. R1. In other stations (Sts. R2, P1-6, P1-8), their total abundance was lower than 2 ind. L$^{-1}$. These species occurred only in the MLW, PWW, and AtW (Table 2).

### Total Tintinnid Abundance in Each Water Mass

Total tintinnid abundance ranged from 0 to 454 ind. L$^{-1}$ and high abundance ($\geq$30 ind. L$^{-1}$) distributed in upper 100 m layers (Figure 3). As for different water masses, the RWW ($80.5 \pm 102.3$ ind. L$^{-1}$) had the highest average total tintinnid abundance, followed by the PSW ($36.0 \pm 58.8$ ind. L$^{-1}$). The other three water masses had a low average abundance: 2.1 $\pm$ 2.3, 5.7 $\pm$ 7.3, and 3.5 $\pm$ 5.7 ind. L$^{-1}$ in the PWW, MLW, and AtW, respectively (Figure 4).

Discrete distribution of high tintinnid abundance characterized the eastern and western parts of the study area (Figure 3). The transect R represents a boundary for these two high abundance parts. In transects P1, P2, and P3, eastern and western high abundance parts overlapped with the PSW and RWW, respectively (Figures 2, 3). Along with the transect R, tintinnid abundance first decreased northward to St. R5, then...
### TABLE 2 | Tintinnid species average abundance (AA, ind. L$^{-1}$) and occurrence frequency (OF, %) in different water masses of the Arctic Ocean.

| Species              | LOD (n = 10) | LL (n = 10) | PSW | PW | RWW | MW | AtW | Total waters |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|--------------|
|                      | AA | OF | AA | OF | AA | OF | AA | OF | AA | OF | AA | OF |
| Acanthostomella norvegica | 26.9 ± 1.0 | 39.8 ± 3.6 |2.8 ± 4.6 | 50.0 | 0.1 ± 0.3 | 13.6 |69.2 ± 99.9 | 66.7 | 1.4 ± 3.4 | 32.7 | 0.8 ± 1.5 | 36.3 | 8.6 ± 39.1 | 37.9 |
| Cystella ampla* | 58.5 ± 1.3 | 74.9 ± 2.7 |0.0 ± 0.3 | 2.0 | -- | -- |0.0 ± 0.2 | 3.0 | -- | 0.0 ± 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 ± 0.1 | 1.0 |
| C. cyamocicoides* | 70.7 | 154.2 | -- | -- | -- | -- |0.0 ± 0.1 | 2.0 | -- | -- | 0.0 ± 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Ptychosyllis acuta | 67.7 ± 3.6 | 117.4 ± 14.8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.2 ± 1.0 | 6.1 |0.4 ± 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.2 ± 2.1 | 3.3 |
| P. urnula | 55.4 ± 2.0 | 75.3 ± 5.4 |7.2 ± 12.6 | 82.0 | 1.1 ± 1.6 | 56.8 |6.2 ± 9.6 | 81.8 | 3.8 ± 5.9 | 73.5 | 1.8 ± 2.7 | 51.6 | 3.4 ± 7.1 | 64.1 |
| Salpingella acuminata | 40.9 ± 2.7 | 268.7 ± 28.3 |0.6 ± 1.3 | 26.0 | 0.2 ± 0.4 | 13.6 |0.4 ± 0.8 | 24.2 | -- | 0.0 ± 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.2 ± 0.6 | 9.6 |
| S. faurei | 13.8 ± 1.1 | 108.2 ± 6.1 |2.8 ± 5.3 | 48.0 | 0.4 ± 0.8 | 22.7 |0.6 ± 1.3 | 30.3 | 0.0 ± 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.1 ± 0.4 | 9.7 | 0.7 ± 2.4 | 18.9 |
| Salpingella sp.1 | 12.1 ± 1.3 | 58.3 ± 7.7 |22.3 ± 51.9 | 72.0 | 0.1 ± 0.3 | 9.1 |4.1 ± 8.8 | 54.5 | 0.1 ± 0.4 | 10.2 | 0.0 ± 0.2 | 2.4 | 4.2 ± 22.7 | 21.9 |
| Salpingella sp.2 | 14.1 ± 1.6 | 96.1 ± 10.8 |0.2 ± 0.7 | 10.0 | 0.0 ± 0.2 | 2.2 |0.0 ± 0.2 | 3.0 | -- | 0.0 ± 0.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 ± 0.3 | 3.3 |

Species in red were regarded as abundant species with AA ≥ 3.0 ind. L$^{-1}$ and OF ≥ 20%.

Abbreviations: LOD, lorica oral diameter (μm); LL, lorica length (μm); PSW, Pacific Summer Water; PW, Pacific Winter Water; MW, Mixed Layer Water; AtW, Atlantic-origin Water.

*species with counting number (n) < 10.

increased to St. R7. As well as transect E, tintinnid abundance was highest in St. P1-2, then decreased northward through 20–50 m depths. High abundance areas along transects R and E occurred in the PSW and RWW, respectively (Figures 2, 3).

### Distribution of Abundant Tintinnid
Vertical distribution of different abundant species showed significant variations in different water masses. Salpingella sp.1 mainly occurred in layers between 25 and 75 m in the eastern part of transects P1, P2, and P3 (Figure 3). This species was not found in layers deeper than 100 m (Figure 3). High abundance (≥30 ind. L$^{-1}$) distribution area of Salpingella sp.1 was overlapped with the PSW (Figures 2, 3).

High abundance (≥30 ind. L$^{-1}$) of A. norvegica occurred in ten stations at the western part of transects P1, P2, and P3 located at 25-50 m layers in most stations except St. P1-2, where abundance at surface layer reaches 174 ind. L$^{-1}$ (Figure 3). The high abundance distribution area of A. norvegica overlapped with the RWW (Figures 2, 3). We tracked stations with an abundance of A. norvegica higher than 100 ind. L$^{-1}$, and found that abundance decreased northward (Figure 5), which was the same for the direction of the Transpolar Drift (Figures 1, 5).

Ptychosyllis urnula occurred at all depths but mainly appeared in surface and DCM layers (Figures 3). In transects P1, P2, and P3, high abundance areas occurred in different depths in the western and eastern parts (Figure 3). In the eastern part, this species had a high abundance in surface waters, while in the western, its high abundance appears in the DCM layer (Figure 3).

### Average Abundance, Occurrence Frequency, and Abundance Proportion of Abundant Tintinnids in Different Water Masses

The total abundance proportion of three abundant species (Salpingella sp. 1, A. norvegica, and P. urnula) was dominant (≥65.9%) in each water mass. In the PSW, RWW, and MLW, they occupied more than 89.7% (Figure 4). Tintinnid communities in different water masses had various diversity indices, with AtW ($H' = 1.75$, $\alpha = 0.26$) and RWW ($H' = 0.54$,...
**FIGURE 3** Vertical distribution of total and abundant tintinnid abundance (ind. L$^{-1}$) from surface to 200 m. Black dots, sampling points. White dashed line, the boundary of western and eastern high abundance ($\geq$30 ind. L$^{-1}$) part in transects P1, P2, and P3.

**FIGURE 4** Average abundance and abundance proportion (AP) of abundant tintinnids in different water masses. PSW, Pacific Summer Water; PWW, Pacific Winter Water; RWW, Remnant Winter Water; MLW, Mixed Layer Water; ArW, Atlantic-origin Water.
λ = 0.75) had highest and lowest tintinnid diversity, respectively (Supplementary Table 2).

In the PSW, Salpingella sp.1 and P. urnula were abundant species (Table 2). Salpingella sp.1 (AA = 22.3 ± 51.9 ind. L⁻¹, OF = 72.0%) had the highest average abundance, which was 3.1 and 8.0-folds of P. urnula (AA = 7.2 ± 12.6 ind. L⁻¹) and A. norvegica (AA = 2.8 ± 4.6 ind. L⁻¹), respectively (Figure 4). In addition, Salpingella sp.1 had highest abundance proportion (61.8%) among all the species. P. urnula (20.1%) and A. norvegica (7.8%) followed in sequence (Figure 4). The abundance proportion of other species was 10.3% (Figure 4).

In the RWW, A. norvegica, P. urnula, and Salpingella sp.1 were abundant species (Table 2). Among them, A. norvegica (AA = 69.2 ± 99.9 ind. L⁻¹, OF = 66.7%) had the highest average abundance, which was 11.2 and 16.9-folds of P. urnula (AA = 6.2 ± 9.4 ind. L⁻¹) and Salpingella sp.1 (4.1 ± 8.8 ind. L⁻¹), respectively (Figure 4). In addition, A. norvegica had the highest abundance proportion (85.9%) among all species. P. urnula (20.1%) and A. norvegica (7.8%) followed in sequence (Figure 4). The abundance proportion of other species was 10.3% (Figure 4). P. urnula (OF = 82.0%) had the highest occurrence frequency (Table 2).

In the MLW, PWW, and AtW, P. urnula had the highest average abundance (3.8 ± 5.9, 1.1 ± 1.6, and 1.8 ± 2.7 ind. L⁻¹, respectively) and occurrence frequency (73.5, 56.8, and 51.6%, respectively) (Table 2). In the MLW, this species was the sole abundant species. There were no abundant species in the PWW and AtW (Table 2). A. norvegica had second highest average abundance and occurrence frequency in the MLW (1.4 ± 3.4 ind. L⁻¹, OF = 32.7%) and AtW (0.8 ± 1.5 ind. L⁻¹, OF = 36.3%). The average abundance of Salpingella sp.1 was less than 0.1 ind. L⁻¹ in these three water masses (Figure 4 and Table 2). In the MLW, PWW, and AtW, P. urnula had highest abundance proportion (67.1, 54.9, and 52.2%, respectively) (Figure 4). A. norvegica had the second highest abundance proportion (24.5 and 22.2%) in the MLW and AtW. The abundance proportion of Salpingella sp.1 was less than 5.0% in these three water masses (Figure 4).

Abundance Proportion and Species Richness in Tintinnid Lorica Oral Diameter Size-Class

The abundance proportion of tintinnid LOD size classes in each water mass showed three distinctive tintinnid groups (Figure 6). The 12–16, 24–28, and 52–56 µm LOD size classes had the topmost value in the PSW (70.3%), RWW (85.9%), and other three water masses, respectively (Figure 6). Among them,
Salpingella sp.1 contributed most (81.9%) to the 12–16 µm LOD size-class in the PSW, and A. norvegica contributed most (100%) to the 24–28 µm LOD size-class in the RWW. P. urnula was the sole species in 52–56 µm LOD size-class. Its abundance proportion were highest in the MLW (67.1%), PWW (54.9%), and AtW (52.2%) (Figure 6). High abundance proportion and the number of species richness in tintinnid LOD (lorica oral diameter) size classes were not consistent in the PWW and AtW. Although the number of species richness in 12–16 and 24–28 µm LOD size-classes were highest in the PWW and AtW, the highest abundance proportion were both 52–56 µm LOD size-classes (Figure 6).

### Relationship Between Abundant Tintinnids and Environmental Factors

Temperature-salinity-plankton diagrams showed that the three abundant species had different temperature and salinity ranges. High abundance (≥30 ind. L⁻¹) of Salpingella sp.1 mainly distributed in relatively higher temperature (−1.0–0.9°C) but narrower salinity range (31.0–32.0) than A. norvegica (−1.7– −1.0°C, 28.5–32.0) (Figure 7). P. urnula had the widest temperature (−1.7–0.9°C) and salinity (26.6–34.7) range (Figure 7).

Each abundant tintinnid had a different correlation with environmental factors (depth, temperature, salinity, and Chl a) (Table 3). Three abundant tintinnids had a significant negative correlation with depth. A. norvegica and Salpingella sp.1 had a positive correlation with temperature. P. urnula and Salpingella sp.1 had a significant negative correlation with salinity. All abundant tintinnid abundance had less correlation with Chl a (Table 3). The significant positive correlation between Salpingella

### Table 3

| Species                  | Depth    | Temperature | Salinity | Chl a  |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------|----------|--------|
| Acanthostomella norvegica | −0.199** | 0.138*      | −0.108   | 0.004  |
| Ptychocylis urnula       | −0.270** | 0.038       | −0.163** | −0.061 |
| Salpingella sp.1         | −0.346** | 0.285**     | −0.335** | 0.098  |

**: p < 0.01, *: p < 0.05, t-test.
sp.1 and temperature determined that this species is mainly distributed in the PSW of the Canada Basin (Figure 8).

**DISCUSSION**

**Tintinnid Community Structure Variations in Different Water Masses**

Tintinnid community structure variations in different water masses are scarcely studied in the Arctic basin. Our result showed that *Salpingella* sp.1 had a high abundance in the PSW of the Canada Basin. The entire vertical structure shape of the PSW in the Canada Basin was like a bowl that was distributed between the Arctic MLW and PWW (Steele et al., 2004; Bluhm et al., 2015; Manucharyan and Spall, 2016). Although our sampling transects only occupied part of the PSW. By examining the combined high abundance of *Salpingella* sp.1 and bowl-like structure of the PSW connectivity, we speculate that species *Salpingella* sp.1 could be distributed across the whole PSW of the Canada Basin. The obvious high abundance of *Salpingella* sp.1 in the PSW was the reason for lower tintinnid diversity in this water mass than in PWW.

*Acanthostomella norvegica* was a dominant species in the Bering Sea (Taniguchi, 1984; Dolan et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). Previous studies have reported *A. norvegica* with low abundance in the Arctic Ocean, with an average abundance ≤0.8 ind. L$^{-1}$ in Dolan et al. (2014) and $A_{\text{max}} = 5$ ind. L$^{-1}$ in Wang et al. (2019). However, there was no information about its origin. Our results showed an extremely low abundance of *A. norvegica* in stations Sts. E1, E2, P1-4, R1, P3-8 (Figure 3), which are located in pathways of Pacific Inflow Water (Steele et al., 2004; Aksenov et al., 2016; Hunt et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019; Zhong et al., 2019). Therefore, we concluded that the high abundance of *A. norvegica* in the RWW did not originate from the Bering Sea. On the other hand, *A. norvegica* had the highest abundance among all tintinnid species in the Barents Sea (Boltovskoy et al., 1991; Monti and Minocci, 2013), where the main stream of the Atlantic Inflow Water flows over (Aksenov et al., 2016). Comparing the trajectories of the Atlantic Inflow Water along the slope and the *A. norvegica* abundance northward decrease trend in the RWW (Figure 4), we speculated that this species might originate from the North Atlantic and merge into the main stream of the Transpolar Drift (Steele et al., 2004; Johannessen et al., 2012). In addition, transect R was the boundary separating the high abundance stations of *Salpingella*
sp. 1 and *A. norvegica* in this study (Figure 1). The spatial division of *Salpingella* sp. 1 and *A. norvegica* might manifest the interaction between the Beaufort Gyre and Transpolar Drift.

The LOD of a tintinnid is related to its preferred food item size [about 25% of the LOD (Dolan, 2010)]. Previous studies have shown that larger LOD (LOD > 40 μm) comprised >60% in the Arctic Ocean in 2011 (63.3%, Dolan et al., 2014) and 2016 (89.1%, Wang et al., 2019). Our results showed that abundance proportions of 12–16 μm LOD size-class were dominant, which was different from the previous study that indicated that a larger (60–64 μm) LOD size-class was dominant in the PSW of the Canada Basin (Wang C. F. et al., 2020). Thus, the tintinnid community size is smaller in the Canada Basin in the 2020 cruise. This phenomenon revealed that the preferred food item size for tintinnid had changed from 15–16 to 3–4 μm, which was consistent with the decreasing trend of phytoplankton size classes (Li et al., 2009; Zhuang et al., 2021).

**Transport of Pacific Species Into the Canada Basin**

*Ptychocylis urnula* was the dominant species (Dolan et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019) and there was no *Salpingella* sp.1 in the western Arctic Ocean in August 2016 (Wang et al., 2019). Our results revealed that dominant species in the PSW of the Canada Basin changed to *Salpingella* sp.1 with a much higher abundance than *P. urnula*. *Salpingella* sp.1 was first recorded in surface waters of the northwest Pacific in the summer of 2014 (Li et al., 2016). Its abundance decreased from northwest Pacific (2014 (Li et al., 2016). Its abundance decreased from northwest Pacific in the summer of 2020 (Wang et al., 2019). Therefore, our result revealed that dominant species in the PSW of the Canada Basin in the summer of 2020 originated from the PSW in the year 2020.

The position of our stations in eastern parts of transects P2 (Sts. 5–10) and P3 (Sts. 7–13) were similar to stations in transects P2 (Sts. 22–27) and P1 (Sts. 11–17) of 2016, respectively (Wang et al., 2019). The survey time in Wang et al. (2019) (from 28 July to 3 August 2016) was also similar to our sampling time (from 6 to 11 August 2020). In the Beaufort Gyre of the Canada Basin, the PSW was characterized by a temperature higher than −1°C (Steele et al., 2004). After comparing the thickness of the PSW between 2016 (Wang et al., 2019) and our data the surface to a 200 m depth (every 1 m had one temperature value), we found that the transects P2 (average 85.3 ± 13.5 m) and P3 (average 75.9 ± 25.3 m) in the eastern parts of 2020 were 12.8 and 4.1 m thicker than transects P2 (average 72.5 ± 19.3 m) and P1 (average 71.7 ± 18.7 m) of 2016 (Wang et al., 2019). The maximum temperature of the PSW in 2020 (1.1°C) was similar to 2016 (1.0°C), but the average temperature of 2020 in eastern parts of transects P2 (average −0.2 ± 0.5°C) and P3 (average −0.2 ± 0.6°C) were 0.5 and 0.1°C higher than P2 (average −0.7 ± 0.3°C) and P1 (average −0.3 ± 0.6°C) of 2016, respectively. Because *Salpingella* sp.1 had a significant positive correlation with temperature, we speculated that the increase in PSW thickness and average temperature might account for the high abundance of *Salpingella* sp.1 in the PSW in the year 2020.

The percentage of empty lorica of *Salpingella* sp.1 was 1.3%. Therefore, we speculated that most of *Salpingella* sp.1 were alive during sampling time. In this study, a high abundance of *Salpingella* sp.1 occurred in PSW with a water temperature range from −0.3 to 0.9°C. This species might have a strong adaptation and reproduce to establish a local population in the PSW, or might be in functionally sterile expatriate status (Wassmann et al., 2015) in low temperature conditions. Our results only present a “snapshot” phenomenon in summer 2020. We do not know whether this phenomenon occurred before and how long this species will persist throughout the year. Further investigations in the North Pacific and Canada Basin are needed to answer these questions.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study reported on tintinnid species richness, vertical distribution, and relationship with environmental factors in different water masses in the Arctic Ocean in summer 2020. Five water masses were identified and each of them had a distinct tintinnid community structure, which confirms our hypothesis. In the PSW, *Salpingella* sp.1 was an abundant species and had the highest abundance proportion. In the RWB, *A. norvegica* was an abundant species and had the highest abundance proportion. *Salpingella* sp.1, which originated from the north Pacific, occupied a much higher abundance proportion than previous Arctic dominant species, *Ptychocylis urnula* in the PSW.
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