Forest biomass estimation using multi-polarization SAR data coupled with optical data
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This study was carried out to estimate biomass extraction from multi-frequency and multi-polarization of Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data coupled with optical data. Further, the estimated biomass was validated with field-observed data. ALOS-2/PALSAR was utilized for retrieval of forest above-ground biomass (AGB) biophysical parameters. Subsequently, Sentinel-2 optical data and 90 m TanDEM were used to identify the bare ground area for calculating pseudo height. Ground-truth data were utilized for estimation and validation of the modelled biomass from radar data. In this study, five allometric models were used. Multivariate regression models were trained using backscatter from the same acquisition (date) on 10 randomly selected samples from 21 field plots. The validation was carried out on the remaining 11 field plots. Co-validation method was used to validate these models. Biomass was estimated from radar data using regression models. Since the objective of the study was to present generalized biomass estimation models using backscatter information and AGB, the AGB value range 100–400 tonne/ha was estimated/mapped. Combined backscatter and height inputs were better than backscatter models. In the estimation of AGB, polarimetric information content and backscatter information played a significant role.
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Forest is an essential resource of the Earth, catering to the needs of protecting our flora and fauna by regulating their environmental ecosystem. Such ecosystems become an integral part of the socio-economic set-up and have strong bearing on the economy of the country and nation. Fortunately, Jharkhand, India is rich in forest cover having a variety of flora and fauna, and is also known for forest landscapes¹. Utilization of remote sensing data and techniques for forest biomass estimation is considered significant for a variety of forest tracts. Proper management of forest and its resources has larger implications in carbon sequestration and climate change⁵. There have been significant developments in deriving forest biomass and newer innovations in providing more accurate and authentic estimations at various scales, from local to regional to global³–⁶.

In view of climate change, carbon storage assessment is important and forest biomass estimation has a direct link to it. Conventionally, tree height and diameter at breast height (DBH) are used in biomass estimation models⁷–¹³. Kumar and Krishna¹⁴ have studied InSAR-based canopy height estimation over hilly forested areas using multitemporal InSAR data pair of Radarsat-1 and Sentinel-1. The ground-measured and interferometric tree heights were compared using three statistical indices with strong correlation of >80%. In recent years, polarized Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data have been widely used for forest biomass estimation⁸,¹⁵–¹⁷.

The present study involves developing a better understanding for the estimation of above-ground biomass (AGB) using multi-frequency and multi-polarization ALOS-2/PALSAR data coupled with Sentinel-2 optical data. A biomass estimation model was developed using forest field data of a hilly terrain. SAR is suitable for forest biophysical parameter estimation over natural forests heterogeneous in nature due to its unique penetration potential. Considering a function of polarimetric parameters, nonlinear regression was used to model AGB. The result of regression depicts a significant and strong correlation between polarimetric parameters and referenced AGB¹⁸.

Field validation was done considering the topographic elevation variability, different tree heights and DBH. Allometric models were used for validation of AGB derived from SAR data. The objectives of the present study were to estimate AGB using polarized SAR satellite data for a hilly terrain reserved forest falling within the tropical deciduous broad-leaved forests using regression models and validating with AGB based on field data. AGB was calculated using allometric models and the measured AGB was suitably subjected to regression coefficient estimation. One of the modelling approaches was to retrieve biomass based on a linear function of backscatter in one polarization channel, whereas other allometric models were used with different observables leading to the estimation of pseudo heights and AGB¹⁹–²².
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Study area

Test site

The study area, viz. Tundi Reserved Forest is spread across 144 sq. km in Dhanbad district, Jharkhand, India. It extends from 23°52′57.37″ to 23°59′44.62″N lat. and 86°12′13.49″ to 86°30′58.28″E long. It is a typical deciduous forest with total forested area of 23,605 sq. km, constituting about 29.61% of the total land area of Jharkhand. Out of the total forest land of Jharkhand, 81.28% is under protected forest and 18.58% under reserved forest category. The state has two major types of forests, namely tropical zone dry forests and tropical zone wet forests. In the study area, Shorea robusta, commonly known as Sal is dominant and constitutes the top storey of the forest with average height up to 12 m. The test site is dominated by minor hillocks with undulating topography. Figure 1 shows the location map of the study area.

Methodology

In this study, ALOS-2/PALSAR data acquired on 2 April 2016 over Tundi Reserved Forest were utilized for processing the SAR data to retrieve AGB of the forest. The SAR data were pre-processed before estimating the biomass to correct for errors due to radiometric and geometric distortions, environmental factors and pixel size. The initial step involved radiometric calibration of the data to convert pixel value of the SAR image to backscatter coefficient. The calibrated image was then multi-looked to convert the pixels from slant range to ground range by generating 30 m pixels. This spatial averaging of the range and azimuth resolution cells created an image with reduced speckle noise. Terrain correction was then applied on the multilooked image. Sentinel-2 optical data and DEM generated from 90 m TanDEM were utilized to identify the ground areas without vegetation for calculating pseudo height at the same locations where field biomass plots were chosen. Biomass was estimated from the polarized radar data (HH/HV) using regression models. Regression modelling is a statistical approach based on correlating the backscatter measurements at different polarizations with forest biomass. It helps to fit an equation to relate backscatter and AGB. The results obtained from different regression models were compared. The linear regression coefficients were estimated for the models. In this study, five models, viz. M1, M2, M5, M6 and M7 were used.

Models M1 and M2 involve input of either HH or HV backscatter, or both HH and HV backscatter coefficients.

$$W_{M1} = a_0 + a_1[y_{HV}^0], \quad (1)$$

where $a_0$ and $a_1$ are the model parameters. The next two models add the co-polar channels to obtain a linear regression model, which utilizes the complete polarimetric information.

$$W_{M2} = a_0 + a_1[y_{HV}^0] + a_2[y_{HH}^0]. \quad (2)$$

Models M5–M7 were explored in this study. Model M5, relates forest height to AGB, model M6 relates forest...
height, backscatter and AGB, whereas model M7 relates forest height, both HH and HV backscatter, and AGB.

\[ W_{M5} = a_0 + a_1[H_X], \]

where \( H_X \) is the forest height and \( a_0 \) and \( a_1 \) are the model parameters. Forest height was estimated using DEM derived from 90 m TanDEM. Models M6 and M7 integrated both backscatter and height to verify for any improvement with the addition of multi-polarimetric information.

\[ W_{M6} = a_0 + a_1[H_X] + a_2[\gamma_{HV}], \]

\[ W_{M7} = a_0 + a_1[H_X] + a_2[\gamma_{HV}] + a_3[\gamma_{HH}], \]

For measuring AGB of forest in the study area, extensive field survey was carried out. The ground-truth data were utilized for estimation and validation of the modelled biomass from the SAR data. Forest parameters such as DBH, height and biomass plots of 0.1 ha were established during the field inventory for estimation of forest biomass. For each biomass plot, trees with DBH greater than 15 cm were considered for measurement. The DBH of a tree is measured at a height of 1.3 m above the ground (breast level). Allometric equations were used to estimate AGB biomass. The field campaign-estimated forest AGB was accurate, and used for training and validation.

Results and discussion

Sensitivity of radar backscatter measurements at the L-band to total AGB is discussed here. Multivariate regression models were trained on 10 randomly selected samples from 21 field plots. The validation was carried out on the remaining 11 field plots. Co-validation method was used to validate these models. The models were trained and validated using backscatter from the same acquisition date.

Training and validation plots of AGB values ranged from 100 to 400 mg/ha. To test the model validation two parameters, root mean square error (RMSE) and regression coefficients \( (R^2) \) were estimated for each model. The performance of parameters derived from modelling approach was verified using field-measured data. Accuracy of the modelled AGB was estimated using field-measured biomass. RMSE was calculated for the modelled AGB with respect to biomass measured in the field as

\[ \text{RMSE} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(\text{AGB}_\text{modelled}(i) - \text{AGB}_\text{measured}(i))^2}{N}, \]

where \( N \) is the number of sample plots, \( \text{AGB}_\text{modelled} \) the modelled AGB and \( \text{AGB}_\text{measured} \) is the field-measured biomass. Here the value of \( N \) is 21.

The regression coefficients \( (R^2) \) were obtained by fitting a linear regression model line to the backscatter data at each polarization. This represented the saturation of radar signal at higher biomass values. The coefficient of determination was estimated after fitting the goodness-of-fit line for no-intercept models. \( R^2 \) is a measure of how well a linear model fits the data in comparison with a simple average. It is estimated as

\[ \sum R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum (\text{AGB}_\text{measured}(i) - \text{AGB}_\text{modelled}(i))^2}{\sum (\text{AGB}_\text{measured}(i) - \text{AGB}_\text{mean field-measured}(i))^2}, \]

where \( \text{AGB}_\text{modelled} \) is the modelled AGB and \( \text{AGB}_\text{measured} \) is the field-measured biomass.

Subsequently, \( R^2 \) was estimated to evaluate the accuracy of modelled AGB versus estimated AGB for each model.

Figures 2 and 3 show the sensitivity of L-band SAR backscatter to forest biomass. The correlation coefficient for HH backscatter was 0.51 and that for HV backscatter was 0.50. The sensitivity of backscatter to biomass was great at lower values of biomass, from about 150 to 250 tonne ha\(^{-1}\). The sensitivity of radar backscatter decreased at high biomass density.

The first approach for a biomass retrieval model was based on a linear function of backscatter in one polarization channel. The values of \( R^2 \) for HH and HV
were observed to be 0.07 and 0.59 respectively. The RMSE values for HH and HV were 56.77 and 53.33 respectively. Model M2 made use of three observables, and thus four parameters had to be estimated. The addition of another backscatter parameter improved the $R^2$ and RMSE values. The $R^2$ value thus observed was 0.77 and RMSE was 55.23.

Figures 3 and 4 show the validation performance of the three models, viz. M5–M7 based on RMSE and $R^2$ values. For the pseudo heights estimated using TanDEM DEM height difference (Figure 4), the observed differences in the trends for each model is explained below.

Model M5 is based only on height. The value of $R^2$ is 0.59 and RMSE is 63.18. Hence the model performs poorly for TanDEM height as reflected in AGB modelling.

Figures 5 and 6 show the results of model 6. An increase in $R^2$ coefficient is observed. The addition of both HH and HV parameters has improved the RMSE compared to the existing models. HV backscatter is strongly related to the AGB and is not much affected by the ground conditions as HH and VV polarizations. $R^2$ is 0.61 and RMSE is 48.12 for HH backscatter, while $R^2$ 0.618 and RMSE = 46.15 for HV backscatter.

It is interesting to observe the performance of the models with subsequently higher polarimetric information content. From models M1 and M2, the polarimetric information content was found to increase. For models M6 and M7, backscatter and height were given as inputs. With the addition of polarimetric information in M6 and M7, there was an improvement in model performance. The improvement with addition of cross-pol backscatter
in model M6 was significant. With the addition of height, the models with combined backscatter and height input performed significantly better than the backscatter-only models. The model inversion improved with reducing RMSE for model M6. This model had input of only the cross-pol backscatter. Model M7 had additional HH-pol backscatter information. Among the five models chosen, M7 had the highest accuracy (Figure 7; RMSE = 44.20).

An AGB map was prepared using backscatter information presenting generalized biomass estimation models (Figure 8).

Conclusion

In this study we have estimated AGB using multi-frequency and multi-polarization SAR data (ALOS-2/PALSAR) coupled with optical data (Sentinel-2). This allowed inferences on backscatter and concurrent AGB values using optimal number of sample plots and a contiguous range of derived AGB values. Field sample plots served as training and validation plots within the AGB value range 100–400 tonne/ha. The model validations showed acceptable ranges of RMSE and $R^2$ values. One of the approaches in this study was a biomass retrieval model based on linear function of backscatter in one polarization channel. $R^2$ and RMSE values for HH and HV were useful in establishing modelled AGB model versus ground-measured AGB values.

It was further observed that the models should have higher polarimetric content, when comparing M2 and M1. Similarly, M6 and M7 showed that with the addition of polarimetric information, the model performance improved. Combined backscatter and height inputs were noted to be better than backscatter models. Ultimately depending on the performance of these models in the estimation of AGB, it was found that the polarimetric information content and backscatter information proved vital and significant. This study has thus brought out the efficacy of SAR data for biomass estimation exhibiting better potential for AGB mapping.
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