Frequent reduction or loss of **DCC** gene expression in human osteosarcoma
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**Summary** The ‘deleted in colon carcinoma’ (**DCC**) gene has been considered a candidate tumour-suppressor gene that encodes for a transmembrane protein with strong structural similarity to members of the superfamily of neural cell adhesion molecules. It has been mapped to the chromosomal region 18q21.1 and it is implicated in cellular differentiation and developmental processes. In human osteosarcoma allelic loss frequently occurs on the long arm of chromosome 18, suggesting a possible involvement of the **DCC** gene in the pathogenesis of this tumour entity. In the present study the mRNA and protein expression and rearrangements at the DNA level of the **DCC** gene were addressed in 25 osteosarcomas and several tumour cell lines, including osteosarcoma- and colon carcinoma-derived cell lines. Using an reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reach in (RT-PCR)-based approach **DCC** expression was found to be lost or substantially reduced in 14 of 19 high-grade osteosarcomas, in three of six lower grade osteosarcomas and most of the tumour cell lines, in contrast to normally differentiated osteoblasts. Immunohistochemical studies on **DCC** protein expression of 14 selected tumours correlated well with the RT-PCR-based results. In view of the putative tumour-suppressor characteristics of the **DCC** gene its loss or reduction of expression could be a specific event in the development or progression of many high-grade osteosarcomas.
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Consistent chromosomal losses in human malignancies often imply that the affected region may contain a tumour-suppressor gene. In osteosarcomas frequent allelic deletions have been detected at chromosome arms 3q, 13q, 17p and 18q, the latter of which has been found to be affected in 7 of 11 investigated tumours (Yamaguchi et al, 1992). In 1990, a putative tumour-suppressor gene was identified and has been mapped to the chromosomal region 18q21.1. Based on studies on allelic deletions in colorectal cancer it has been termed ‘deleted in colon carcinoma (**DCC**’ gene) (Fearon et al, 1990).

Its open reading frame comprises 29 exons that encode for a transmembrane neural cell adhesion molecule consisting of four immunoglobulin-like and six fibronectin type III-like extracellular domains and a still poorly characterized intracytoplasmic domain (Cho et al, 1994). In colorectal cancer a homozygous deletion, point mutations and DNA insertions have been observed within the **DCC** gene. Moreover, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) and reduction or loss of expression of the **DCC** transcript are common in prostate, breast, oesophageal, endometrial, glial and germ-cell cancer, as well as some haematological malignancies (Cropp et al, 1990; Hohne et al, 1992; Uchino et al, 1992; Gao et al, 1993; Miyake et al, 1993; Porfiri et al, 1993; Scheckr Coons, 1993; Thompson et al, 1993).

Results of functional in vitro studies such as cytomegalovirus expression vector-mediated **DCC** cDNA transfection experiments or antisense RNA strategies to **DCC** endorse its role as a tumour-suppressor gene (Narayanan et al, 1992; Klingelhoutz et al, 1995). The **DCC** gene has been implicated in terminal cellular differentiation and developmental processes, perhaps through control of cell–cell or cell–extracellular matrix interactions. Like other cell adhesion molecules **DCC** is expressed at the cell surface but at relatively low levels, thus suggesting that its role may rather be that of a signal-transducing receptor than an anchorage protein mechanically preserving cellular texture (Hedrick et al, 1994). Its loss may confer a growth advantage on evolving cells.

In human osteosarcoma the frequently observed loss of heterozygosity at chromosome arms 13q and 17p may involve the retinoblastoma and p53 tumour-suppressor genes (Friend et al, 1986; Toguchida et al, 1989; Miller et al, 1990; Mulligan et al, 1990) whereas the candidate genes within the **LOH** loci at chromosome arms 3q and 18q are still undefined. The localization of the **DCC** gene at the chromosomal region 18q21.1 may suggest its involvement in the pathogenesis of this tumour entity. To prove this hypothesis we assessed 25 specimens of human osteosarcoma from 22 patients and various osteosarcoma- and other childhood sarcoma-derived cell lines with regard to mRNA and protein expression and DNA rearrangement of the **DCC** gene. Various normally differentiated mesenchymal tissues, including osseous specimens and several colon carcinoma cell lines with known expression patterns of the **DCC** gene (Fearon et al, 1990) were included in the study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Tissue specimens**

Twenty-five human osteosarcomas were obtained from 22 patients who underwent surgery at the University Clinic Hamburg- Eppendorf or associated treatment centres of the Cooperative Osteosarcoma Study Group (Winkler et al, 1988). The selection of
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cases was based on the availability of frozen tissue material, which was stored at -80°C, as well as paraffin-embedded tissue specimens. Each tumour specimen was thoroughly evaluated by microscopic examination, particularly with regard to vitality of tumour cells and contamination with non-malignant cells. Twenty-one out of 25 tumours consisted almost exclusively of vital tumour cells except for an insignificant admixture of stroma cells. As shown in Table 1, 12 tumours were obtained after preoperative chemotherapy. Nine of these were non-responsive and contained no significant normal cell populations. Two tumours (OS10, 14) contained minor amounts of granulation tissue, whereas two others (OS 2, 13) revealed considerable necrosis. Tumours revealing more than 90% chemotherapy-induced necrosis or more than 20% non-malignant vital tissue were excluded from the study. Clinical and pathological data are summarized in Table 1. In cases OS11 and OS22, a retinoblastoma and a rhabdomyosarcoma respectively had preceded the development of osteosarcoma. In three cases two different tumour specimens were collected from one patient each as indicated by patient numbers in Table 1. Specimens OS5 and OS19 (patient no. 5) were metachronous lung metastases of a high-grade osteosarcoma that occurred 7 and 8 years after primary disease respectively. Patient no. 19 initially presented with an intermediate-grade periosteal osteosarcoma (OS20), which relapsed 4 months after limb-salvaging surgery (OS21). OS24 and OS25 represent a second local relapse of a low-grade osteosarcoma and a concomitant lung metastasis, both of which occurred nearly 2 years after the initial diagnosis.

As a control normal bone tissue devoid of adherent bone marrow cells was obtained from 11 patients undergoing joint replacement procedures because of non-inflammatory and non-malignant joint disease. One case of myositis ossificans was included to demonstrate differential DCC expression in undifferentiated mesenchymal cells and mature osteoblasts/osteocytes. Additionally, paraffin-embedded specimens of granulation tissue from chemotherapy-responsive osteosarcomas were included as controls. For RNA studies matching of osteosarcoma and normal bone tissue in each patient was not feasible because normal bone tissue was not collected prospectively.

**Cell lines**

Table 2 gives an overview of the origin of cell lines used in this study. All cell lines were of human origin and were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA) except for one osteogenic sarcoma derived-cell line referred to as Os-OS, which was established in our institution. Osteosarcoma cell lines Saos-2, U2-OS, Wo-OS, KHOS-24OS and the rhabdomyosarcoma

### Table 1 Clinicopathological data

| OS no. | Patient No. | Age at diagnosis (years) | Primary tumour | Specimen | Histological subtype (grading) | Chemotherapy* before sampling | Admixture of non-malignant cells |
|--------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1      | 1           | 22                       | Femur          | p        | High-grade chondroblastic      | +                             | (-)                              |
| 2      | 2           | 14                       | Femur          | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | (-)                              |
| 3      | 3           | 17                       | Femur          | m (lung) | High-grade chondroblastic      | -                             | (-)                              |
| 4      | 4           | 52                       | Femur          | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | -                             | (-)                              |
| 5      | 5           | 15                       | Femur          | m (lung) | High-grade osteoblastic        | -                             | (-)                              |
| 6      | 6           | 33                       | NE             | m (spine)| High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | (-)                              |
| 7      | 7           | 16                       | Tibia          | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | (-)                              |
| 8      | 8           | 18                       | Femur          | m (lung) | High-grade osteoblastic        | -                             | (+)                              |
| 9      | 9           | 14                       | Humerus        | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | (+)                              |
| 10     | 10          | 8                        | Humerus        | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | 10-15%                           |
| 11     | 11          | 9                        | Secondary OS after RB | p   | High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | (-)                              |
| 12     | 12          | NE                       | Femur          | m (lung) | High-grade osteoblastic        | -                             | (-)                              |
| 13     | 13          | 20                       | Femur          | p        | High-grade chondroblastic      | +                             | (-)<p>                          |
| 14     | 14          | 15                       | Femur          | p        | High-grade chondroblastic      | +                             | (<10%)                           |
| 15     | 15          | 85                       | NE             | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | -                             | (-)                              |
| 16     | 16          | 12                       | Fibula         | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | (-)                              |
| 17     | 17          | 13                       | Tibia          | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | (-)                              |
| 18     | 18          | 13                       | Fibula         | p        | High-grade osteoblastic        | +                             | (-)                              |
| 19     | 19          | 15                       | Femur          | m (lung) | High-grade osteoblastic        | -                             | (-)                              |
| 20     | 20          | 11                       | Tibia          | p        | Intermediate periosteal       | -                             | (-)                              |
| 21     | 21          | 11                       | Tibia          | r        | Intermediate periosteal       | -                             | (-)                              |
| 22     | 22          | 7                        | Femur, secondary OS after RMS | p   | Intermediate periosteal       | -                             | (-)                              |
| 23     | 23          | 16                       | Os ileum       | p        | Low-grade                     | -                             | (-)                              |
| 24     | 24          | 17                       | Humerus        | r        | Low-grade                     | -                             | (-)                              |
| 25     | 25          | 17                       | Humerus        | m (lung) | Low-grade                     | -                             | (-)                              |

*pAccording to the guidelines of the Cooperative Osteosarcoma Study (COSS 86) (Winkler et al, 1988). p, primary tumour m, metastasis; r, relapse; RB, retinoblastoma; RMS, rhabdomyosarcoma; NE, not evaluable; (-) absence of significant amounts of non-malignant cells except for stroma cells. *Approximately 20% vital tumour cells and 80% necrosis. *Metachronous lung metastases occurring 7 and 8 years after primary disease respectively.

### Table 2 Cell lines

| Osteogenic sarcoma | TE85, Saos-2, U-2 OS, KHOS-24OS, Wo-OS |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Colorectal adenocarcinoma | SW48, SW403, SW948, SW1116, SW1463, HCT116 |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma     | A-204                                  |
| Ewing's sarcoma      | RD-ES                                  |
| Acute T-lymphoblastic leukaemia | CCRF-CEM                              |
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cell line A-204 were grown in McCoy’s 5a medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). The osteosarcoma cell line TE85 and the Ewing’s sarcoma cell line RD-ES, as well as the T-ALL cell line CCRF-CEM, were grown in RPMI-1640 with 10–15% FCS. Colon carcinoma cell lines HCT 116, SW 1463, SW 403, SW 948, SW 48 and SW 1116 were cultured in MEM/RPMI-1640 (ratio 3:1) supplemented with 10% FCS.

**Total RNA isolation and DNA extraction**

Osteosarcoma tissue was submerged in liquid nitrogen and subsequently ground to powder by a microdismembrator (Braun Biotech Int.). Normal cortical bone specimens were smashed to small fragments and subsequently washed twice in Hanks’ solution for 30 s to remove adherent non-ossceous cells. Cells were lysed in guanidine–thiocyanate buffer. DNA and total RNA were isolated after ultracentrifugation on a caesium chloride cushion (Chirgwin et al., 1979). In cases of insufficient yield of total RNA we used a monophasic solution of phenol and guanidine–thiocyanate (Chomczynski and Sacchi, 1987).

**DNA blot analysis**

An aliquot of 10 μg of EcoRI-digested genomic DNA was electrophoresed on a 0.8% agarose gel and transferred to a Gene Screen Plus nylon membrane (DuPont). Nylon filters were hybridized with a ['32P]-CTP-labelled DCC 1.65kb cDNA probe comprising nucleotides 591–2250 (exons 3–15) of the DCC cDNA sequence for 18 h at 65°C. Washes and autoradiography were performed as described previously (Maniatis et al., 1989).

**Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)**

An aliquot of 1 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed. The synthesis of the first strand cDNA was primed with random hexamers performed at 42°C for 1 h using 200 units M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). After RNAase H treatment of the RNA/DNA hybrid, one half of the cDNA was used for PCR amplification in a 100-μl reaction mix containing 50 pmol of each primer, 2.5 U of Taq polymerase (Boehringer, Mannheim), 200 μmol l−1 dNTPs, 10 mmol l−1 Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 50 mmol l−1 potassium chloride, 1.5 mmol l−1 Magnesium chloride. DCC complementary DNA was amplified under the following conditions: 94°C for 30 s; 58°C for 75 s; 72°C for 30 s for 35 cycles. The initial denaturation was performed at 95°C for 1 min. For the final extension, temperature was held at 72°C for 5 min. DCC-specific primers were designed that span exons 5–6 of the DCC cDNA sequence (nt 986–1218) coding for the extracellular immunoglobulin-like domains no. 3 and 4 of the DCC protein. Owing to this exon connection strategy, RNAase I treatment of the initial template had no effect on the resulting PCR products. To confirm the integrity of the RNA used to generate the cDNAs and to check for equivalent efficiency of amplification all RT-PCR experiments were performed using sets of primers specific for the β-actin as well as the glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) housekeeping genes (Persico et al., 1981; Nakajima-ljima et al., 1985; Adams et al., 1992), which are located on chromosomes X and 7 respectively. Both genes code for highly conserved proteins. β-Actin is found in abundance in eukaryotic cells, whereas G6PD is normally expressed at a very low level accounting for less than 0.1% of total RNA. RT-PCR experiments were done as a biplex PCR, i.e. PCR reactions were run containing the DCC-specific primers combined with β-actin or G6PD specific sets of primers. To exclude an interference of target and control primers resulting in a reduced rate of amplification of either gene product the volume of the RT reaction was split and the cDNAs were amplified separately with the DCC and control primers respectively. PCR products were sampled during the exponential phase of amplification, as could be demonstrated for DCC-specific primers as well as controls (data not shown). The RT-PCR experiments were finally carried out twice as a biplex PCR for each sample to check for reproducibility results. Concentrations of control primers were 25 pmol l−1 each; experiments were performed under the above-mentioned conditions. Primers used were

5'-TTCCGCCATGTGTATTTAATCA-3' (DCC sense),
5'-AGCCCTCATTTTCAGCCACACA-3' (DCC antisense)

(Fearon et al., 1990),
5'-ATTCATCATCATGAGTGCGCATG-3' (G6PD sense),
5'-TGTTTGGCGATTGTCAGCGCTG-3' (G6PD antisense),
5'-TGCTATCCAGGCTGTGCTAT-3' (actin sense),
5'-GATGGAGTTGAGTATT-3' (actin antisense).

In order to examine the specificity and the relative amount of the generated PCR product one-fifth (20 μl) of each PCR reaction was electrophoresed and blotted onto a nylon membrane by capillary salt transfer using a 1×SSC solution (1×SSC=0.15 M sodium chloride/0.015 M sodium citrate). Blots were hybridized with 1×106 c.p.m. of the ['32P]-CTP-labelled 1.65 DCC cDNA probe per ml at 65°C for 16 h. After hybridization blots were washed twice with 0.1×SSC/0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) at 60°C for 30 min and autoradiographed at 4°C for 12 h. DCC RT-PCR products from normal bone tissue were included in each set of probes as a positive control. Furthermore, after stripping off the DCC cDNA probe the co-amplified β-actin-specific PCR products were hybridized with 0.5×106 c.p.m. per ml of a ['32P]-labelled actin probe.

An aliquot of μg of total RNA from each normal bone specimen was reverse transcribed and the prepared cDNAs were serially diluted from 1:1 to 1:1000, and subsequently amplified under conditions identical to those described above.

**RT-PCR data analysis**

The intensity of individual autoradiographic signals of blotted PCR products was measured by densitometry scanning using a Shimadzu densitometer. The area under the curve of absorption (AUC of A) was calculated with computer assistance. In order to estimate the relative abundance of DCC transcripts, values were compared with the mean AUCs resulting from the serially diluted cDNAs from normal bone specimens (Figure 1).

**Preparation of cell line and tissue protein lysates**

Cell homogenates were solubilized in Tris-buffered saline [25 mM Tris (hydroxyureaethyaminomethane), pH 8] with detergents (1% deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet p-40, and 0.1% SDS) and protease inhibitors (50 μg ml−1 antipain, 5 μg ml−1 leupeptin, 100 μg ml−1 phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1 mM EDTA). Protein concentrations were determined photometrically after adjustment with known albumin concentrations.
**Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis of DCC expression**

Unprocessed cell line and tissue lysates were precleared by incubation with purified mouse immunoglobulin and protein G/Sepharose (Pharmacia, Germany). The supernatant was incubated with protein G-Sepharose and DCC-specific monoclonal antibodies (15 μg ml⁻¹, mouse, PharMingen, Hamburg) directed against extra- and intracytoplasmic domains (DCC antibodies and protein G/Sepharose were incubated for 4–6 h before). DCC-specific immunoprecipitates were recovered, washed, resuspended in Laemmli’s sample buffer, and then subjected to SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). The protein was transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel) by a semidry transblot system (Biometra, Göttingen). The DCC protein in the immunoprecipitates was detected by the ECL immunoblot assay (Amersham, Braunschweig) and subsequent exposure to Hyperfilm-βMax (Amersham).

**Immunohistochemical analysis of DCC expression**

For immunohistochemistry, paraffin-embedded specimens of osteosarcoma were available from 13 patients. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using the alkaline-antialkaline phosphatase (AAPAP) system as described previously (Cordell et al., 1984). Briefly, undecalified 4-μm sections were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated in descending concentrations of ethanol. For antigen retrieval of the DCC epitope, samples were boiled in a microwave oven (750 W) for 10 min in citrate buffer (0.1 M; pH 6.0). After extensive washing in Tris-buffered saline (145 mM sodium chloride, 20 mM Tris; pH 7.5) the sections were exposed to the primary antibody (mouse, monoclonal, 8 μg ml⁻¹, PharMingen, no. 15041 A). A secondary rabbit anti-mouse antibody (Dako, Hamburg) followed by the APAAP complex (Dako) was used for detection. Naphthol-AS-biphosphate (Sigma-Aldrich, Deisenhofen) and Neufuchsin (Merck, Darmstadt) were used for light microscopic visualization of the signal. Normal colon mucosa served as positive control, whereas for negative controls the primary antibody was omitted.

**Flow-cytometric analysis of DCC expression**

An indirect immunofluorescent technique was performed using a Becton Dickinson FACS scan as described previously (Pollice et al., 1992). The specific antibody (mouse, monoclonal, PharMingen) was again directed against the intracytoplasmic domain of the DCC protein.
Table 3 DCC expression analysis

| Specimen      | Relative abundance of DCC transcripts | RT-PCR AUC (A) | Immunoblot | IHC/flowcytometry |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Normal osteoblast | +++                                   | 8.3 (±0.78 s.d.) | Negative    | +++               |
| OS1           | +++                                  | 10.0           | Negative    | +++               |
| OS2           | +++                                  | 9.5            | Negative    | +++               |
| OS3           | +++                                  | 8.3            | Negative    | +++               |
| OS4           | +++                                  | 8.9            | Negative    | +++               |
| OS5           | +++                                  | 7.8            | ND          | ND                |
| OS6           | +                                    | 5.2            | ND          | ND                |
| OS7           | +                                    | 4.6            | ND          | ND                |
| OS8           | +                                    | 5.2            | Negative    | ND                |
| OS9           | +                                    | 2.3            | ND          | (+)               |
| OS10          | +                                    | 3.8            | ND          | *                 |
| OS11          | +                                    | 3.5            | ND          | ND                |
| OS12          | (-)                                  | 0.9            | ND          | -                 |
| OS13          | -                                    | 0              | ND          | -                 |
| OS14          | (-)                                  | 0.9            | ND          | ND                |
| OS15          | (-)                                  | 0.3            | ND          | -                 |
| OS16          | (-)                                  | 0.3            | ND          | -                 |
| OS17          | (-)                                  | 0.4            | Negative    | -                 |
| OS18          | (-)                                  | 1.1            | ND          | (+)               |
| OS19          | (-)                                  | 0.8            | ND          | ND                |
| OS20          | +++                                  | 7.2            | ND          | ND                |
| OS21          | (-)                                  | 0.7            | ND          | ND                |
| OS22          | +                                    | 2.6            | ND          | ND                |
| OS23          | +++                                  | 7.5            | ND          | ND                |
| OS24          | +                                    | 4.7            | Negative    | ++                |
| OS25          | ++                                   | 6.9            | Negative    | ++                |
| Cell lines    |                                       |                |             |                   |
| TE85          | -                                    | 0              | Negative    | -                 |
| Saos-2        | -                                    | 0              | Negative    | -                 |
| KHOS-240S     | -                                    | 0              | Negative    | -                 |
| U-2 OS        | (+)                                  | 2.1            | Negative    | -                 |
| Wc-OS         | -                                    | 0              | ND          | ND                |
| RD-ES         | ++                                   | 5.8            | Positive    | +++               |
| A-204         | -                                    | 0              | Negative    | ND                |
| CCRF-CEM      | -                                    | 0              | Negative    | -                 |
| SW948         | +                                    | 4.6            | ND          | ND                |
| SW403         | -                                    | 0              | ND          | ND                |
| SW948         | -                                    | 0.8            | ND          | ND                |
| SW1116        | (+)                                  | 2.2            | ND          | ND                |
| HCT116        | (+)                                  | 1.6            | ND          | ND                |
| SW1463        | ++                                   | 6.1            | ND          | ND                |

Normal osteoblast: normal bone tissue devoid of bone marrow cells was used for RT–PCR and immunoblot analysis. DCC expression of normally differentiated osteoblasts was confirmed by immunohistochemistry. OS, osteogenic sarcoma. Origins of cell lines are listed in Table 2 The relative abundance of DCC-specific transcripts was determined by a semiquantitative RT–PCR-based assay. After Southern transfer, DCC PCR products were hybridized with a 32P-CTP-labelled DCC cDNA. The intensity of the autoradiographic signals, which reflect the relative amounts of DCC transcripts, was measured densitometrically as the area under the curve of absorption AUC (A). AUCs were compared with AUC (A) values based on DCC PCR data from serially diluted cDNAs of normal osseous tissue specimens (Figure 1). Semiquantitation of AUCs (A): ++++, DCC expression > normal osseous tissue; +++, 1:1–1:10 dilution of normal osteoblast cDNA; ++, > 1:10 ≤ 1:20 dilution; +, > 1:20 ≤1:50; (+), > 1:50≤1:100; (-), >1:100 dilution; −, DCC expression detectable. IHC, immunohistochemistry: −, negative; (+), very faint, +, weak, ++, moderate; ++++, strong IHC staining intensity for monoclonal anti-DCC antibody directed against the intracytoplasmic domain. * Negative staining of transformed osteoblasts, faint DCC positivity of granulation tissue cells. ** FACS scan flowcytometric analysis only; s.d., standard deviation; ND, not determined.

RESULTS

DCC mRNA expression

Northern analysis was largely unsuccessful in demonstrating any DCC transcript in normal as well as malignant osseous tissue. Therefore, a sensitive RT-PCR-based approach was chosen to amplify DCC transcripts from cDNA to evaluate the level of DCC gene expression. In normal osseous tissue, DCC transcription was consistently detected with a low variability between different individuals. Autoradiographic signal intensities of PCR products from serially diluted cDNAs from normal osseous specimens served as semiquantitative reference values for the degree of DCC transcription (Figure 1).

The analysis of DCC specific RT-PCR products by gel electrophoresis is demonstrated in Figure 2A and B), which presents a selection of osteosarcomas and tumour cell lines. As expected, the
Figure 3 (A) DCC protein expression in various tumour cell lines, DCC transcribing osteosarcomas and normal osseous tissue. Lysates (approximately 100 μg total protein or *high molecular weight fractionated protein (> 100 kDa with the use of Centrikon filters)) were studied by SDS-PAGE on 8% gels and an ECL immunoblot analysis. The DCC protein is expected to migrate in a rather broad region with a molecular weight of approximately 180 000–210 000. Lanes 1, 9, 10: Ewing’s sarcoma cell line RD-ES; lanes 2–5: T-ALL cell line CCFR-CEM, osteosarcoma cell lines U2-OS, SaOS-2, TE85; lanes 6, 7: osteosarcoma specimens OS25, OS1; lane 8: normal osseous tissue. The faint signals in lanes 6–8, which co-migrate with the DCC protein, are considered non-specific. (B) FACS scan flowcytometric analysis of DCC protein expression in Ewing’s sarcoma cell line RD-ES, T-ALL cell line CEM, and osteosarcoma cell lines TE85, SAOS, U2OS, KHOS. With the use of a monoclonal antibody directed against the cytoplasmic domain of the DCC protein the Ewing’s sarcoma derived cell line RD-ES exhibited a positive signal indicated by a non-confluent peak.
Southern blot analyses of DCC PCR products (Figure 2C) that were used for semiquantitation proved more sensitive than the in-gel measurement of PCR fragments (Figure 2B). Table 3 gives an overview of DCC RT-PCR data from normal osseous tissue, tumour specimens and cell lines. Eight out of 19 high-grade osteosarcomas (six primary tumours, two lung metastases; OS12–19) had a nearly total extinction of DCC expression with a relative abundance of DCC transcripts of less than 1% of normal osseous tissue cells as estimated from the cDNA dilution graph delineated in Figure 1. In another six high-grade osteosarcomas (four primary tumours, one metastasis each in lung and spine; OS6–11) the DCC transcription was found to be reduced to less than 5% of normal. A normal or even increased DCC expression was found in the remaining five high-grade osteosarcomas comprising three primary tumours and two lung metastases (OS1-5). Among the three periosteal intermediate-grade osteosarcomas, two tumours were found to have decreased or almost undetectable DCC expression (OS21, 22). Two out of three low-grade osteosarcoma specimens (OS24, 25) revealed reduced levels of DCC to varying degrees, whereas OS23 was found to express DCC transcripts within the range of normal bone tissue.

In three cases, two different tumour specimens from one patient each were analysed by RT-PCR. The lung metastases of patient no. 5 almost completely lost the DCC expression within 1 year of progressive disease (OS5, 19). In patient no. 19 the primary intermediate-grade osteosarcoma initially exhibited a strong DCC expression, which was lost when the tumour recurred 4 months later (OS20, 21; Figure 2A). The low-grade osteosarcoma OS24 represents a second local relapse with a rather low level of DCC expression which was found to be somewhat higher in its concomitant lung metastasis specimen (OS25).

Most of the cell lines investigated in this study demonstrated very low or even absent DCC expression, that is TE 85, Wo-OS, Saos-2, U2-OS, A-204, SW 403, SW 948, SW 1116, HCT 116 and CCRF-CEM. The colon carcinoma cell lines SW1463 and SW48, as well as the Ewing’s sarcoma cell line RD-ES, showed easily detectable DCC transcripts but at a lower level than that found in normal osteoblasts.

**DCC protein expression**

The initial studies of endogenous DCC protein expression were performed on a variety of cell lines (TE 85, Saos-2, U2-OS,
KHOS-24OS, RD-ES, CCRF-CEM) using a combined immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting assay as well as flow-cytometric technique. A series of various monoclonal antibodies were tested that were directed against extra- or intracytoplasmic domains of the DCC protein. The most reliable results were obtained with use of a monoclonal antibody vs the intracytoplasmic domain (PharminGen). Only the human Ewing’s sarcoma cell line RD-ES demonstrated an approximately Mr 180 000 protein that was detected both by the immunoblot assay and the flow cytometric technique (Figure 3A and B). Using the combined immunoprecipitation and immunoblot assay, DCC protein expression was not detectable in a variety of normal osseous tissues or osteosarcomas revealing high levels of DCC transcription (Figure 3A). Immunohistochemical analysis, however, proved to be more sensitive than the immunoblot approach. The DCC protein could be clearly demonstrated in normal osteoblasts/osteocytes (Figure 4A). Moreover, in a subset of 14 available osteosarcomas (see Table 3) DCC protein expression correlated well with the level of DCC transcription based on an RT-PCR approach (Figure 4B–D). In normal tissues, the most pronounced staining for DCC was found in normal osteocytes/osteoblasts. A less intensive DCC immunoreactivity was observed in immature mesenchymal cells presumably differentiating to osteoblastic cells (Figure 4A). Additionally, a faint to moderately intense staining was found in macrophages, fibroblasts and sometimes in endothelial cells as cellular components of granulation tissues (data not shown).

**DCC rearrangement analysis**

To determine whether the abnormalities of DCC expression could be related to any gross structural rearrangements we performed Southern blot analyses of EcoRI-restricted genomic DNA using the 1.65 DCC cDNA as described above. Originally, this probe was the longest clone that was isolated during the construction of a DCC cDNA library from RNA of a colon carcinoma cell line (Fearon et al, 1990). Gross alterations within the DCC gene were detected in only two colon carcinoma cell lines (SW 1116, HCT 116) expressing reduced amounts of DCC transcripts, but not in osteosarcomas and the remaining colon carcinoma cell lines, which revealed a constitutional hybridization pattern of EcoRI-restricted genomic DNA (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

In summary, the present study demonstrates a reduction or even a loss of DCC gene expression in the majority of human high-grade osteosarcomas and osteosarcoma cell line derivatives. This conclusion could be drawn from DCC RNA as well as protein expression analyses. In view of the putative tumour-suppressor characteristics of the DCC gene it is tempting to assume that its reduction or loss of expression in many high-grade osteosarcomas may be important in the pathogenesis of this tumour entity. As mentioned before a loss of heterozygosity within the DCC locus has been frequently observed in other malignancies, and expression studies revealed a remarkable relationship between DCC expression, cellular differentiation and tumorigenesis. A further line of evidence that the DCC gene may be a tumour suppressor resulted from functional DCC transfection studies. The introduction of a full-length DCC cDNA into nitrosomethylurea-transformed human epithelial cells resulted in a suppression of tumorigenicity (Klingelhutz et al, 1994).

In colon carcinoma, a loss of DCC expression can be considered a late event in tumour progression (Kikuchi-Yanoshita et al, 1992). Moreover, it has been suggested that DCC may act as a metastatic suppressor in colon carcinoma (Ookawa et al, 1993). We found a frequent loss or decrease of DCC expression not only in metastatic but also in primary disease, possibly indicating an early metastatic potential of high-grade osteosarcoma. In fact, 10–20% of patients have detectable metastases at primary diagnosis, and it has been assumed that up to 80% of osteosarcomas may have spread occult micrometastases at diagnosis (Link and Elsber, 1993). In some cases, however, full transcription of DCC has been found in metastatic osteosarcoma cells, indicating that its loss of function is not a necessary premise for a distant spread postulating alternative pathways of the metastatic process. The analysis of DCC expression in follow-up specimens of two cases of osteosarcoma (OS5, 19 and OS20, 21) suggests, at least in these tumours, an inverse relationship between the magnitude of DCC transcription and progressive disease. It is noteworthy that DCC expression of transformed osteoblasts does not depend on the production of any specific type of extracellular matrix, i.e. DCC status correlates rather with the grading of a given tumour than with its histological subtype.

In osseous tissue the immunoblot approach to DCC expression was largely unsuccessful, confirming earlier studies on DCC protein expression in various other tissues (Hedrick et al, 1994; Reale et al, 1994). Only the Ewing’s sarcoma cell line RD-ES produced a clear signal at about 180 000 kDa in the immunoblot analysis. The transmembrane localization of the DCC protein may have rendered immunohistochemistry more suitable for demonstrating its expression than techniques relying on whole-tissue homogenates. There is an obvious discrepancy between RD-ES culture cells and cells from native osseous tissues with regard to DCC expression in the RT–PCR assay compared with the immunoblot technique. Using the RT–PCR assay both cell types demonstrated easily detectable abundances of DCC transcripts. By contrast, immunoblotting combined with immunoprecipitation revealed DCC expression only in the RD-ES cells. The lack of detectable DCC protein in osseous tissue cells might result from degradation of the protein product during sample preparation and processing that appears to be more relevant to protease-containing tissue homogenates than to culture cells.

Of the human tumour cell lines studied, only one colon carcinoma and the Ewing’s sarcoma cell line RD-ES revealed easily detectable amounts of DCC transcripts, whereas most others were found to have a substantial decrease in DCC expression including the osteosarcoma-derived cell lines. Results from three colon carcinoma cell lines differ from data obtained in earlier studies with regard to the extent of DCC expression (Fearon et al, 1990). These differences may be attributable to an inconsistent mutational inactivation of the DCC gene or aberrant alternative DCC mRNA splicing processes, which were described previously (Reale et al, 1994). A mere tissue culture artefact from the in vitro growth of cells seems to be unlikely, as we identified several cell lines with a consistent DCC transcription. Intriguingly, we found comparably moderate to high abundances of DCC transcripts in each of eight investigated Ewing’s sarcomas (data not shown) and the derivative cell line RD-ES, suggesting that DCC is not likely to undergo gene silencing under in vitro conditions.

In most human malignancies, suppressor genes appear to be inactivated at the DNA level. Numerous molecular mechanisms of gene inactivation have been described, including point mutations, chromosomal deletions, rearrangements and insertions. Using a
1.65-kb DCC cDNA probe we were not able to demonstrate any rearrangement or deletion in EcoRI-restricted genomic DNA from osteosarcoma. This probe, however, does not fully constitute the open reading frame of 4341 bp of the DCC gene, and thus neither rearrangements outside this region nor subtle alterations within would be detected. More comprehensive studies using a set of polymorphic 18q probes and mapping strategies in addition to an exhaustive mutational analysis of the 1.4 Mb DCC gene may be necessary to search for specific DNA alterations leading to a decreased DCC transcription in human osteosarcoma. In view of the enormous size of the DCC gene, mutational analysis is probably the most laborious way to look for alterations that may specifically inactivate DCC as a tumour-suppressor gene at DNA level. The hitherto evaluated portions of the DCC sequence compose less than 1% of the gene (Cho et al, 1994; E Fearon, 1996, personal communication). Furthermore, studies on DCC RNA processing should complement our approach to the DCC gene in osteosarcoma, as aberrant alternative splicing of DCC mRNA appears to play a role in other malignancies (Reale et al, 1994; Ekstrand et al, 1995). Finally, the functional restoration of the DCC gene in DCC-deficient osteosarcoma cells will be of great interest in order to provide further evidence that DCC serves as a tumour-suppressor gene in human osteosarcoma and that the loss or decrease of its expression is more than an epigenetic phenomenon.
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