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Phthalic acid esters are presently being used in amounts and products that can easily, although inadvertently, contribute to environmental pollution. As plasticizers, phthalic acid esters are added to synthetic plastic resins to impart flexibility to the finished product, improve workability during fabrication, and extend or modify properties not present in the original resins (1). Plastic formulations may contain up to 60% of plasticizers (2). Phthalic acid esters are the most widely used plasticizers, particularly in poly(vinyl chloride) plastics. More than 800 million pounds of these plasticizers were produced in 1969 (1). Di-n-butyl and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalates are used as plasticizers and also as an insect repellant and orchard acaricide, respectively (3).

Phthalic acid esters have been identified as environmental contaminants because of their discovery in soil (4), a deep sea jellyfish (5), aquatic organisms and water (6), and bovine tissues (7,8). The acute toxicities of various phthalic acid esters have been determined in mammals and birds and the compounds were found to have a very low order of toxicity (3,9–12). Teratogenic effects of phthalic acid esters have been demonstrated in rats (13). Problems of phthalic acid esters leaching from plastics used in human medical practices have also been reported (14–17).

The biological significance of phthalic acid ester residues in aquatic organisms is unknown at present, and this report describes the toxicology of di-n-butyl and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalates in some fish and aquatic invertebrates.

Toxicity

Standard static and flow-through bioassay procedures (18) were followed to evaluate the toxicity of di-n-butyl and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalates. Acute 96-hr bioassays indicate that the toxicity of di-n-butyl phthalate to fish is relatively low (Table 1) as compared with DDT (7–19 μg/l.). The 96-hr LC₅₀ to scuds (Gammarus pseudolimnaeus) and cray-fish (Orconectes nais) was 2.1 mg/l. and >10.0 mg/l., respectively. Di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate toxicity was difficult to determine

| Species          | LC₅₀ value, mg/l. | 24 hr | 48 hr | 96 hr |
|------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Fathead minnow   |                   | 1.49  | 1.30  |       |
| (Pimephales promelas) |               |       |       |       |
| Bluegill         |                   | 1.23  | 0.73  | 0.73  |
| (Lepomis macrochirus) |             |       |       |       |
| Channel catfish  |                   | 3.72  | 2.91  | 2.91  |
| (Ictalurus punctatus) |            |       |       |       |
| Rainbow trout    |                   |       | 6.47  |       |
| (Salmo gairdneri) |                   |       |       |       |
| Scud             |                   | 7.00  |       | 2.10  |
| (Gammarus pseudolimnaeus) |        |       |       |       |
| Crayfish         |                   |       | >10.0 |       |
| (Orconectes nais) |                   |       |       |       |

*Fish-Pesticide Research Laboratory, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, United States Department of the Interior, Columbia, Missouri 65201.
due to its insolubility. The 96-hr LC₅₀ value of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate was greater than 10 mg/l. for both fish and invertebrates. However, fulvic acid, which occurs widely in soils and waters, has been shown to solubilize di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (4) and could possibly produce a greater availability of this compound to aquatic organisms in their natural habitat.

In vitro studies with channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) liver indicate that di-n-butyl phthalate is degraded sixteen times more rapidly than di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (19). The large difference in toxicity between the two phthalic acid esters may be due to the rate of degradation of the parent compound to a more toxic substance such as phthalic acid.

Table 2. Accumulation of ¹⁴C-di-n-butyl phthalate by aquatic invertebrates.

| Organism                        | Water concentration, µg/l. | Accumulation factor after |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                 |                             | 1 day | 3 days | 7 days | 14 days |
| Waterflea (Daphnia magna)       | 0.08                         | 170   | 280    | 400    | 400     |
| Scud (Gammarus pseudolimnaeus)  | 0.10                         | 360   | 780    | 1,350  | 1,400   |
| Midge (Chironomus plumosus)     | 0.18                         | 380   | 420    | 720    | –       |
| Mayfly (Hexagenia bilineata)    | 0.08                         | 130   | 230    | 430    | –       |

Table 3. Accumulation of ¹⁴C-di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate by aquatic organisms.

| Organism                        | Water concentration, µg/l. | Accumulation factor after |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                 |                             | 1 day | 3 days | 7 days | 14 days |
| Waterflea (Daphnia magna)       | 0.3                         | 93    | 250    | 420    | –       |
| Scud (Gammarus pseudolimnaeus)  | 0.1                         | 720   | 1,380  | 3,900  | 3,600   |
| Midge (Chironomus plumosus)     | 0.3                         | 270   | 330    | 350    | –       |
| Mayfly (Hexagenia bilineata)    | 0.1                         | 210   | 250    | 575    | –       |
| Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) | 1.9                       | 135   | 245    | 369    | 458     |
times the phthalate concentrations in water. Phthalic acid esters were accumulated in invertebrates to a similar degree as that found with the same species of invertebrates exposed to organochlorine insecticides (20).

Waterfleas (Daphnia magna) were exposed to 0.1 μg/l of di-n-butyl phthalate for 7 days and then transferred to fresh flowing water to determine the time required for elimination of phthalate residues. After 3 days, 50% of the total radioactivity remained; 25% of the activity was still present after 7 days in fresh water. In similar experiments, scuds were exposed to 0.1 μg/l of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate for 7 days and transferred to fresh water. Residual radioactivity decreased rapidly during 4 days in fresh water to 20% of the beginning activity. After 10 days, only 6% of the total activity remained. Invertebrate metabolism of phthalic acid esters was not determined, and the loss in radioactive residues may have been due to metabolism and/or excretion of the parent compound.

Fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas) were continuously exposed to 1.9 μg/l 14C-di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate for 56 days and then transferred to fresh water for 28 days. The accumulation of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate by fathead minnows was 458 times that of the water after 14 days exposure (Table 3). An equilibrium was reached after 28 days exposure with an accumulation factor of 1380. Once this equilibrium was reached within the fish, no further residue accumulation was observed after an additional 28 days of exposure. After placing the fish in fresh water, the time required for 50% elimination of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate and its degradation products was 7 days. The amount of phthalate accumulated was comparable to or exceeded that of the organochlorine insecticides DDT, heptachlor, and methoxychlor.

The radioactive residues consisted mainly of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate and the monoester (Table 4). The other degradation products isolated were free phthalic acid, the conjugated monoester, and conjugated phthalic acid. Phthalic acid and the two conjugates represented from 5 to 26% of the total radioactivity.

**Reproduction**

Waterfleas were continuously exposed to 3, 10, and 30 μg/l of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate for a complete life cycle (21 days). All three treatment levels significantly (P < 0.01) reduced water flea reproduction (Table 5), and total production of offspring was inhibited 60, 70, and 83% in the respective treatment levels. The degree of reproductive impairment was relatively constant during the 21-day period. The observed toxicity values (LC50) for aquatic invertebrates are 700 to 3300 times that which inhibited reproduction in waterfleas. For comparison, a 50% inhibition of water flea reproduction occurs at 0.13 and 2.5 μg/l for DDT and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate, respectively. The 96-hr LC50 of DDT to waterfleas is 1.0 μg/l, which is only eight times the amount necessary for reproductive impairment.

| Exposure, days | Composition |
|----------------|-------------|
|                | Di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate, % | Monoester, % | Phthalic acid, % | Conjugated monoester, % | Conjugated phthalic acid, % |
| 28             | 49.6        | 37.1        | 5.2             | 0.7            | 3.0                           |
| 56 a           | 60.3        | 28.7        | 4.9             | 1.4            | 3.7                           |
| 63             | 71.0        | 23.8        | 2.9             | 1.0            | 1.2                           |
| 70             | 73.0        | 12.8        | 3.1             | 7.0            | 4.7                           |
| 84             | 63.7        | 9.6         | 9.8             | 7.2            | 9.1                           |

*Exposure terminated.*
Table 5. Waterflea (*Daphnia magna*) reproduction as affected by continuous exposure to di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate for 21 days.

| Concentration, µg/l. | 2-week sample | 3-week sample |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                     | X ± S. D. a    | Inhibition, % | X ± S. D. a    | Inhibition, % |
| Control             | 71.5 ± 13.4   | —             | 114.0 ± 8.5    | —             |
| 3                   | 26.5 ± 7.8    | 62.9          | 45.5 ± 14.8    | 60.1          |
| 10                  | 30.0 ± 8.5    | 58.1          | 34.0 ± 8.5     | 70.2          |
| 30                  | 12.5 ± 3.5    | 82.5          | 19.5 ± 3.5     | 82.9          |

*Mean ± standard deviation.*

The effects of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate on reproduction of zebra fish (*Brachydanio rerio*) and guppies (*Poecilia reticulatus*) were determined with 90-day dietary exposures of the phthalate. Zebra fish were fed diets containing 50 and 100 µg di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate per gram of food, and guppies were fed 100 µg/g. Although the number of spawns were greater in the treated zebra fish, the control fish produced more eggs per spawn than those fish exposed to di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (Table 6). Fry survival was significantly reduced (*P* < 0.05) by phthalate exposure. The last number of guppy fry were born to parents fed di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate, and an 8% incidence of abortions was noted.

All of the dying fry exposed to di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate died in tetany; however, tetany did not occur in dying controls. Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) injected intraperitoneally with 3 µg di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate/kg of fish demonstrated increased serum calcium (21). The tetany observed in zebra fish and the increased serum calcium found in coho salmon suggest that di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate may alter normal calcium metabolism in fish.

**Summary**

The low degree of toxicity and the high excretion rate of di-*n*-butyl and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalates might suggest that these compounds would be relatively safe as far as aquatic organisms are concerned. However, the present data indicate that these compounds can be detrimental to the reproduction of aquatic organisms at low chronic concentrations. The concentrations of phthalic acid esters presently found in waters of the United States are, in some cases, detrimental to aquatic invertebrates in view of laboratory results. Phthalic acid esters are produced in large amounts, they are in wide use as plasticizers, and they are entering aquatic ecosystems. Thus, these compounds should be considered as environmental pollutants, and a more detailed evaluation of toxicological effects of phthalic acid esters is needed to elucidate their impact on aquatic ecosystems. Also, more research is

Table 6. Reproduction in zebra fish (*Brachydanio rerio*) and guppies (*Poecilia reticulatus*) fed di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate.

| Species       | Reproductive variable | Dietary di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate concentration, µg/g |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|               |                       | 0         | 50        | 100       |
| Zebra fish    | Number of spawns      | 6         | 8         | 14        |
|               | Eggs per spawn        | 20:3      | 15.2      | 10.1      |
|               | Percent fry survival  | 51.1      | 31.7      | 11.5      |
| Guppies       | Fry per female        | 33        | —         | 29        |
|               | Percent abortions     | 0         | —         | 8         |
warranted in developing and/or reducing the potential for these compounds to enter aquatic environments.

Research in Progress

In response to the results of preliminary investigations, we are presently evaluating the effect of dietary di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (0.3 to 10.0 μg/g of food) on calcium, amino acid, and steroid metabolism in rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri). Continuous exposure of di-n-butyl and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalates in water at concentrations ranging from 0.8 to 50 μg/l. are being tested for reproductive effects in fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas).
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