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**Abstract**

There is a growing recognition of the importance of the commensal intestinal microbiota in the development and later function of the central nervous system. Research using germ-free mice (mice raised without any exposure to microorganisms) has provided some of the most persuasive evidence for a role of these bacteria in gut-brain signalling. Key findings show that the microbiota is necessary for normal stress responsivity, anxiety-like behaviors, sociability, and cognition. Furthermore, the microbiota maintains central nervous system homeostasis by regulating immune function and blood brain barrier integrity. Studies have also found that the gut microbiota influences neurotransmitter, synaptic, and neurotrophic signalling systems and neurogenesis. The principle advantage of the germ-free mouse model is in proof-of-principle studies and that a complete microbiota or defined consortiums of bacteria can be introduced at various developmental time points. However, a germ-free upbringing can induce permanent neurodevelopmental deficits that may deem the model unsuitable for specific scientific queries that do not involve early-life microbial deficiency. As such, alternatives and complementary strategies to the germ-free model are warranted and include antibiotic treatment to create microbiota-deficient animals at distinct time points across the lifespan. Increasing our understanding of the impact of the gut microbiota on brain and behavior has the potential to inform novel management strategies for stress-related gastrointestinal and neuropsychiatric disorders.
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**Introduction**

"Boy in the Bubble" by Paul Simon, 1986

Humans and other animals share an inextricable and mutualistic relationship with a myriad of resident microorganisms, collectively known as the microbiota. These microbial species are present on all body surfaces, including the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, respiratory tract, skin, and urogenital tract (Costello et al., 2009). Research on the interactions between microorganisms and their host has largely and understandably been focused on those residing in the intestinal lumen, given that this is the most densely colonized and home to approximately 100 trillion bacteria (Frank and Pace, 2008). Over the last decade, numerous scientific discoveries have highlighted the role of the microbiota...
in critical processes, including digestion (Hooper and Gordon, 2001), immune responses (Bäckhed et al., 2005; Hooper and Macpherson, 2010), absorption of nutrients (Hooper and Gordon, 2001), growth (Nicholson et al., 2012), and metabolism (Tremaroli and Backhed, 2012). Until recently, little was known about the role of the microbiota in the regulation of the central nervous system (CNS); however, there is now a burgeoning body of evidence indicating that the gut microbiota also influences the brain and behavior (Rhee et al., 2009; Collins et al., 2012; Cryan and Dinan, 2012; Dinan and Cryan, 2012; Dinan et al., 2013; Foster and McVey Neufeld, 2013; Foster et al., 2015; Sampson and Mazmanian, 2015). These fascinating insights have largely been derived from the study of germ-free (GF) animals that effectively grow up in a sterile bubble and have provided conceptual impetus to the possibility of exploiting the gut microbiota as a therapeutic target.

The Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis

The concept of the gut-brain axis has been recognized for some time and has been used as a framework to assess mechanisms by which bidirectional communication between these 2 systems occurs. More recently, this axis has been extended to include the contents of the intestinal lumen, and we now refer to the existence of a ‘microbiota-gut-brain axis’ (Rhee et al., 2009; Bercik, 2011; Cryan and O'Mahony, 2011; Cryan and Dinan, 2012; Burokas et al., 2015; Mayer et al., 2015), highlighting the increasingly appreciated importance of bacteria in this communication highway. While the necessity of commensal intestinal microbiota for the maintenance of optimal gut-brain function is no longer disputed, the exact mechanisms by which microbiota-gut-brain communication occurs are still largely unknown. It is probable that a number of systems are simultaneously involved in the transmission of information between microbiota and brain, and research has focused primarily on immune, neural, endocrine, and metabolic pathways as likely mediators in this bidirectional communication (Cryan and Dinan, 2012; Foster and McVey Neufeld, 2013; El Aidy et al., 2015; Janssen and Kersten, 2015; Mayer et al., 2015).

The human gut is extensively innervated, with approximately 50,000 extrinsic and 100 million intrinsic sensory afferent neurons (Furness, 2006; Blackshaw et al., 2007), all of which function in proximity to the trillions of microorganisms housed in the intestinal lumen. It is thus unsurprising that neural pathways play a vital role in microbiota-gut-brain communication. The necessity of an intact vagal nerve for information regarding intestinal microbial status seems dependent upon the specific bacterial species in question, and while some studies have shown the vagus is vital for information transfer (Lyte et al., 2006; Bercik et al., 2011b; Bravo et al., 2011), others have found evidence of vagal independence (Bercik et al., 2010, 2011a). Intrinsic primary afferent neurons housed within the gut wall have also been shown to be responsive to changes in intestinal bacterial status (Kunze et al., 2009; McVey Neufeld et al., 2013).

Similarly, while rodent infection studies using subclinical doses of pathogenic bacteria have indicated that immune system activation is not always necessary to observe changes in brain neurochemistry and behavior (Lyte et al., 2006), there is clear evidence of the importance of an intact and functioning immune system in gut-brain communication (Macpherson and Harris, 2004; Bengmark, 2013; El Aidy et al., 2015).

The endocrine system too plays a role in the ability of bacteria to alter brain functioning (Lyte, 2013), and many studies have now demonstrated changes in endocrine signalling in models of intestinal dysbiosis (Sudo et al., 2004; Bercik and Collins, 2014) and also the ability to alter intestinal microbial contents following hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activation (O’Mahony et al., 2009; De Palma et al., 2015; Golubeva et al., 2015).

The Microbiota in Health and Disease

Compelling clinical evidence exists supporting the view that a stable and diverse gut microbial composition is important for the maintenance of optimal health. Indeed, altered microbial profiles have been associated with numerous human illnesses, including cardiovascular disease (Koren et al., 2011; Howitt and Garrett, 2012; Karlsson et al., 2012; Tuohy et al., 2014; Hansen et al., 2015), irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) (Salonen et al., 2010; Tana et al., 2010; Jeffery et al., 2012; Wu, 2012), inflammatory bowel disease (Ananthakrishnan, 2015; Marchesi et al., 2015; Sheehan et al., 2015), obesity (Ley et al., 2006; Turnbaugh et al., 2009a; Tremaroli and Backhed, 2012), and type 2 diabetes (Qin et al., 2012; Vrieze et al., 2012; Karlsson et al., 2013). However, there is presently little data detailing a clear link between the gut microbiota and the clinical manifestation of CNS diseases, although mounting preclinical evidence highlights the necessity for such studies.

The impact of the microbiota on the CNS can be investigated by assessing behavior following various deliberate interventions. Infection with pathogenic bacteria heightens anxiety-like behavior and reduces cognitive abilities (Lyte et al., 1998, 2006; Goehler et al., 2008; Gareau et al., 2011). Similarly, antibiotic administration alters the gut microbial composition, which is accompanied by reduced anxiety-like behavior (Bercik et al., 2011a). Probiotics, live organisms that provide health benefits when consumed, improve cognition and attenuate heightened stress responsivity in both humans and rodents (Bravo et al., 2011; Messaoudi et al., 2011; Savignac et al., 2015). As such, we have recently coined the term “psychobiotic” to describe bacterria with beneficial effects on mental health (Dinan et al., 2013).

Finally, behavioral traits such as anxiety can be transferred via fecal transplantation (Bercik et al., 2011a), although this field of research is still in its early stages.

Research using GF mice has arguably provided the most convincing evidence for a role of the microbiota in gut-brain signaling. GF studies have generated exciting data with the goal of directly answering the question: does the gut microbiota influence the nervous system? This review will summarize what the GF mouse model has revealed about the importance of the gut microbiota on the development and function of the CNS with a focus on neuropsychiatric disorders. Tables 1 and 2 detail what we currently know about how growing up in the absence of microorganisms alters behavior and brain function, respectively. In this review, we focus on the GF mouse, but it is worth noting that GF rats, pigs, flies, and fish have also been studied (Gordon and Wostmann, 1960; Gordon and Pesti, 1971; Luckey, 1972; Crumeyrolle-Arias et al., 2014; Wang and Donovan, 2015). GF mice are a powerful scientific tool allowing researchers to directly study the influence of the microbiota on CNS function and development. One of the main advantages to this model is that identified strains of bacteria, such as candidate psychobiotics, can be introduced into GF animals and their effects studied in isolation from other microbes. Furthermore, the gut microbiota of GF mice can be “humanized” by transplanting fecal microbiota from human patients or alternatively inoculated from animal models of disease (Turnbaugh et al., 2006, 2009b; Bercik et al., 2011a; Borody and Khoruts, 2012; Cryan and Dinan, 2012; Aroniadis and Brandt, 2013; Ridaa et al., 2013; Suez et al., 2014; Thaiss et al., 2014; Leone et al., 2015), thus enabling the study of the role of given combinations of bacteria on disease pathogenesis.
Anxiety-like behavior
Decreased anxiety-like behavior
Swiss Webster (m)
OF
Arentsen et al., 2015
No change in anxiety-like behavior
Swiss Webster (m)
BALB/c (m)
SD
Bercik et al., 2011a
Decreased anxiety-like behavior
Swiss Webster (m)
LDB
Yes
Clarke et al., 2013
Increased anxiety like behavior
F344 rat (m)
OF
Crumeyrolle-Arias et al., 2014
Altered anxiety-like behavior
CS7BL/6N (m & f)
LD: decreased anxiety
SD: increased anxiety
De Palma et al., 2015
No change in anxiety-like behavior
Swiss Webster (f)
LDB
Gareau et al., 2011
Decreased anxiety-like behavior
NMRI (m)
OF, LDB, EPM
Yes: EPM
No: LDB, OF
Heijtz et al., 2011
Decreased anxiety-like behavior
Swiss Webster (f)
EPM
Neufeld et al., 2011
Learning & memory
No change in olfactory memory
Swiss Webster (m)
STFP
Desbonnet et al., 2014
Impaired short-term recognition and working memory
Swiss Webster (f)
NOR, TM
Gareau et al., 2011
Locomotor behavior
Increased locomotor and rearing behaviors
NMRI (m)
OF
Yes
Heijtz et al., 2011
No change in locomotor activity
Swiss Webster (f)
–
Neufeld et al., 2011
Self-grooming
Increased self-grooming time
Swiss Webster (m)
–
Desbonnet et al., 2014
Social cognition
No preference for novel vs familiar mouse
Swiss Webster (m>f)
TCS
Yes
Desbonnet et al., 2014
Social preference
Increased social interaction with conspecific
Swiss Webster (m)
TCS
Arentsen et al., 2015
Social avoidance of conspecific
Swiss Webster (m>f)
TCS
No
Desbonnet et al., 2014

Abbreviations: EPM, elevated plus maze; f, female; LDB, light-dark box; m, male; m>f, phenotype expressed more strongly in males than females; NOR, novel object recognition test; OF, open field test; SD, step-down test; STFP, social transmission of food preference test; TM, T-maze; TCS, 3-chambered sociability test.

Summary of the behavioral profile of GF mice. Experiments which are reversible or irreversible with bacterial colonization are indicated with ‘Yes’ or ‘No,’ respectively.

Germ-Free Life: Past and Present

A common approach used to gauge the key functions of an organ or brain structure involves abolishing its contribution either surgically or by other means. For example, the function of a brain region can be determined by studying the deficits that follow lesioning the area in question. It was therefore not surprising for biologists studying the effects of bacteria on health and disease to ask how the host would be affected by the absence of microbiota. To help answer this question, GF animals were generated.

Historically, the concept of gnotobiosis, where all forms of life present within an organism are known, can be attributed to Louis Pasteur. In 1885, he speculated that animals lacking bacteria would not be able to survive because of the close synergistic evolution of microbes and their hosts (Pasteur, 1885; Gordon and Pesti, 1971). After the Second World War, and following the advent of antibiotics, a life without microbes became a popular topic of discussion (Kirk, 2012). By the 1960s, GF life was prominently featured in medical, scientific, and popular press, often represented as the futuristic mixture of fact and fiction. In the early years of space exploration, scientists recognized that extraterrestrial ventures could introduce terrestrial microorganisms to space and, conversely, extraterrestrial microorganisms to earth with potentially devastating outcomes (Wolfe, 2002; Kirk, 2012). It was thus suggested that GF men may be necessary to explore space. This idea entered public awareness with Michael Crichton’s The Andromeda Strain, which narrated a fictional battle against a deadly extraterrestrial pathogen introduced to earth as a result of man’s travels in space (Crichton, 1993). Life without microbes has continued to garner interest in popular culture, perhaps most notably with David Vetter, a patient with severe combined immunodeficiency who was raised isolated in sterile conditions and became known as the Bubble Boy (Lawrence, 1985; Kirk, 2012).

The first GF animals, guinea pigs, were generated by aseptic caesarean section at the end of the nineteenth century and kept GF for 2 weeks (Nuttall and Thierfelder, 1897). GF research programs developed independently at 3 different institutions, definitively proving that life is possible, albeit not desirable, without microbes. In the mid-twentieth century, a group headed by James Reyniers at the University of Notre Dame was the first to rear successive generations of GF rodents (Reyniers et al., 1946; Reyniers, 1959). Around the same time, Bengt Gustafsson at the University of Lund in Sweden also generated GF animals with a novel rearing isolator system (Gustafsson et al., 1957; Gustafsson, 1959a). A third GF program later began at the University of Nagoya led by Masasumi
| Category                  | Parameter                        | Phenotype                     | Strain and Sex | Tissue/Brain Region | Reversible? | Reference |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Neurological disease-related effects | Amyloid-β | Decreased amyloid-β pathology | APPS1 transgenic on C57BL/6 background (m & f) | Brain | Yes only if colonized with SPF APPS1 mouse microbiota | Harach et al., 2015 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | EAE | High neuroprotective effects against EAE | C57BL/6 (f) | CNS and immune tissue | Yes | Lee et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neuronal activity, plasticity & survival | Decreased c-Fos expression after 1 hour of stress | Swiss Webster (f) | CA1 of the hippocampus | No | Gareau et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neurogenesis | Increased cell and neuron survival | NMRI (m) | Subgranular zone of the hippocampus | Yes | Heijtz et al., 2015 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Synapse-related genes | Decreased synaptophysin and PSD-95 expression | NMRI (m) | Striatum | Yes | Heijtz et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Transcriptome | Differential expression | NMRI (m) | Cerebellum > hippocampus > striatum > cortex | Yes | Heijtz et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Transcriptome | Upregulation of genes associated with neuronal activity | Swiss Webster (m) | Amygdala | No | Stilling et al., 2015 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neuroprotection | Blood brain barrier permeability | NMRI (m) | – | – | Braniste et al., 2014 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Microglia | Impaired immune response and immature morphology | C57BL/6 (m, f) | Corpus callosum, cortex, hippocampus, olfactory bulb, cerebellum | Yes | Erny et al., 2015 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neurotransmission | Blood brain barrier permeability | NMRI (m) | Striatum | Yes | Heijtz et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neurotransmission | Increased turnover of noradrenaline, dopamine, and serotonin | NMRI (m) | Striatum | Yes | Heijtz et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Serotonin | Increased CNS serotonin levels | Swiss Webster (m) | Hippocampus | Yes | Clarke et al., 2013 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Serotonin | Decreased peripheral serotonin levels | C57BL/6 Colon | Yes | Yano et al., 2015 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Serotonin | Decreased Htr1a expression | Swiss Webster (f) | Dentate gyrus of the hippocampus | Yes | Neufeld et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Tryptophan | Increased levels of tryptophan | Swiss Webster (m) | Amygdala | Yes | Clarke et al., 2013 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neurotrophin expression | BDNF Reduced Bdnf expression | Swiss Webster (f) | Dentate gyrus of the hippocampus | Yes | Clarke et al., 2013 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neurotrophin expression | BDNF Reduced Bdnf expression | NMRI (m) | Basolateral amygdala, CA1 of the hippocampus, cingulate cortex | Yes | Heijtz et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neurotrophin expression | BDNF Reduced Bdnf expression | BALB/c (m) | Cortex, hippocampus | Yes | Sudo et al., 2004 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neurotrophin expression | BDNF Reduced Bdnf expression | Swiss Webster (f) | Central nucleus of the amygdala | Yes | Neufeld et al., 2011 |
| Neurological disease-related effects | Neurotrophin expression | BDNF Reduced Bdnf expression | NMRI (m) | Amygdala, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, striatum | Yes | Heijtz et al., 2011 |
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GF technology was applied to various other fields. For example, GF techniques were utilized in the maternity ward, the general hospital, and the operating theater to prevent cross-infection (Reyniers, 1942, 1943; Kirk, 2012). In industrialized farming, GF animals were reared to create herds free of pathogens that slow livestock growth and to aid in veterinary practices (Bettes and Trexler, 1969; Kirk, 2012). Perhaps most notoriously, GF technology was used to protect immunocompromised newborns, creating GF humans (Barnes et al., 1968, 1969a, 1969b; Lawrence, 1985; Kirk, 2012). However, despite GF technology’s numerous uses, it has failed to become widely implemented outside of the laboratory.

The current methodology underlying the generation of GF animals remains largely unchanged since it was first pioneered by Reyniers. To generate the initial GF colony, pups are carefully delivered via Caesarean section to avoid contamination with the microbes living on the mother’s vagina and skin (Gustafsson, 1959a; Reyniers, 1959; Macpherson and Harris, 2004; Smith et al., 2007; Faith et al., 2010; Bibiloni, 2012; Stilling et al., 2014b). Newly born animals are then hand-reared in an aseptic isolator. Subsequent generations of GF animals can be obtained through a much simpler process: GF mice are interbred and mothers can give birth naturally in the isolator without exposing the new litter to any microorganisms (Gustafsson, 1959a; Reyniers, 1959; Macpherson and Harris, 2004; Smith et al., 2007; Faith et al., 2010). Most commercially available GF animals generated in this way can be shipped in a sterile container for transfer to local GF facilities. An alternative method involves the transfer of an embryo at the 2-cell stage into a pseudo-pregnant GF mother (Smith et al., 2007; Faith et al., 2010; Bibiloni, 2012). Colonies are maintained in aseptic isolators in a GF unit where the food, water, and bedding are sterile. A technician swabs the cages and analyzes fecal samples using bacterial culture techniques weekly to confirm that the GF unit is indeed sterile (Bibiloni, 2012; Williams, 2014). These methods make certain that GF mice never come into contact with any bacteria, not only in their gut but also on any other body surface. It is worth noting the logistical difficulties that limit the study of GF mice: conduction of complex interventions and behavioral assessments must be performed in the isolator unit if these animals are to remain free of microbes. To avoid these limitations, most GF studies are often executed shortly after the animals are removed from isolation, before bacterial colonization can have an effect.

The Germ-Free Mouse and Health

The extent to which Pasteur was correct in his assertions of the importance of the microbiota for an organism’s health is now clear; GF animals are functionally and structurally abnormal across numerous body systems. GF research has revealed that the microbiota is necessary for normal aging and immune, metabolic, digestive, GI, and nervous system function (Grenham et al., 2011).

Aging

There is growing evidence indicating that the gut microbiota influences the aging process. Indeed, GF mice live longer than conventionally colonized control animals (Reyniers and Sacksteder, 1958; Gordon et al., 1966; Tazume et al., 1991). As GF mice are raised in sterile conditions, their longer life span is likely due to the absence of pathological infections. In humans, microbial diversity and stability decrease with age and are accompanied by cognitive decline (O’Toole and Claesson, 2010;
Borre et al., 2014). These findings have prompted the idea that restoring microbial diversity in the elderly could improve general and mental health.

Immune and Gastrointestinal Function

The gut microbiota is necessary for the normal function of the mucosal immune system. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns in the gut, differentiating pathogenic bacteria from harmless commensal microorganisms (Akira and Hemmi, 2003). GF animals have decreased or absent expression of certain TLRs, reduced IgA secretion, and fewer and smaller Peyer’s patches, which are the lymphoid folicles in the intestinal wall (Abrams et al., 1962; Wostmann et al., 1970; Shanahan, 2002; Grenham et al., 2011). The immune response of GF mice rescues mucosal immune system function (Umesaki et al., 2006). Together, these findings indicate that exposure to bacterial factors in response to TLR activation (Vaishnava et al., 2008) render them more susceptible to infection by pathogenic bacteria should they be exposed.

Metabolic and Digestive Function

The gut microbiota is involved in key metabolic processes. GF mice consume more food than control animals to maintain the same body weight (Wostmann et al., 1983). Moreover, GF mice remain resistant to diet-induced obesity even when they are fed a “Western” diet high in fat and sugar (Bäckhed et al., 2004, 2007; Ding et al., 2010; Rabot et al., 2010). This propensity for leanness in GF mice is accompanied by increased levels of fatty acid oxidation enzymes, indicating that the microbiota is involved in host metabolism and energy storage (Bäckhed et al., 2007). It is important to note, however, that not all studies support that GF mice are protected against diet-induced obesity. Using a different strain of mice, Fleissner and colleagues (2010) confirmed that GF mice were resistant to the obesigenic effects of a Western diet but not of a low-sucrose, lard-based, high-fat diet. These findings indicate that diet composition and possibly genetic strain could influence the protection against diet-induced obesity afforded by the GF state (Shen et al., 2013).

The gut microbiota releases nutrients from otherwise unavailable oligosaccharides, modulates absorption, and synthesizes essential nutrients (Sekirov et al., 2010; Grenham et al., 2011). Therefore, it is unsurprising that the absence of microbiota also affects digestion. GF rodents have reduced production of short chain fatty acids, which are beneficial to host metabolism and are produced when dietary fiber is fermented by bacteria (Høverstad and Midtvedt, 1986; den Besten et al., 2013). GF rats have deficient thiamine absorption: when these animals are fed radio-labeled thiamine, large quantities of the nutrient are found in the feces but little is found in the tissue (Wostmann et al., 1983). Moreover, enterochromaffin cells, the cells that produce serotonin in the GI tract (a key regulator of GI motility and secretion), are larger in GF animals (Shanahan, 2002) although not greater in abundance (Reigstad et al., 2015). Despite increases in cellular size, microbial metabolites dictate serotonin synthesis, and lower levels of this neurotransmitter have been noted in GF animals (Reigstad et al., 2015; Yano et al., 2015). GF mice have a decreased rate of epithelial cell turnover in the intestine (Rakoff-Nahoum et al., 2004). This likely results in reduced protection against GI insults, since epithelial cell turnover promotes healing (Grenham et al., 2011). The intestine secretes antimicrobial factors in response to TLR activation (Vaishnava et al., 2008); therefore, the reduction of certain TLRs in GF animals may also
nutritional deficiencies, the diet fed to GF mice is fortified with vitamins K and B (Grenham et al., 2011).

Enteric Nervous System Function

The changes that have been reported in CNS development and function in GF mice are reflected in the enteric nervous system (ENS), which is not surprising given that the cells found here are the first neural point of contact for commensal intestinal bacteria. Microbiota are necessary for the normal postnatal development of the enteric sensory and motor neurons, and at postnatal day 3, the structure, neurochemical composition, and function of enteric neurons in the jejunum and ileum of GF mice differ from controls (Collins et al., 2014). In the small intestine, GF mice have decreased overall nerve density, with fewer neuronal cell bodies in myenteric ganglia and less connective nerve fibers between ganglia (Collins et al., 2014). The ganglia of intrinsic sensory neurons of the ENS are embedded in the gut wall (Forsythe and Kunze, 2013), and it has been established that the enteric sensory neurons of the ENS are monocolonized with Bifidobacterium infantis in the neonate period (Sudo et al., 2004). However, monocolonization with the enteropathogenic bacteria Escherichia coli induces even higher stress hormone release in GF mice. These contrasting findings indicate that there are bacterial strain-specific effects on stress responsivity. Intriguingly, stress-induced corticosterone release is partially normalized by bacterial colonization occurring at 6 but not at 8 weeks (Sudo et al., 2004), demonstrating that there is a critical window prior to adolescence during which the brain is sensitive to signals from the gut required for the normal development of the HPA axis. Increased stress-induced corticosterone release has also been reported in GF rats (Crumeyrolle-Arias et al., 2014). There is conflicting evidence as to whether basal corticosterone levels are altered in GF mice: both increased (Neufeld et al., 2011) and normal (Sudo et al., 2004; Clarke et al., 2013) levels have been documented. These contrasting findings are likely due to fact that the strain, sex, mode of euthanasia, and acclimatization period differs between studies.

Stress Responsivity and Anxiety-Like Behavior

There is now a growing body of literature supporting the role of the gut microbiota in the regulation and development of the stress response system (Rhee et al., 2009; Collins et al., 2012; Dinan and Cryan, 2012; Foster and McVey Neufeld, 2013; Sampson and Mazmanian, 2015). Signals from the gut are well known to activate the HPA axis: both infection by pathogenic bacteria and stimulation of the vagus nerve elicit corticosterone release (Hosoi et al., 2000; Zimomra et al., 2011). Altered microbiota-brain-gut signalling is thought to play a role in the pathogenesis of GI disorders; patients with IBS have both an altered gut microbiota composition and irregular HPA axis activity (Jeffery et al., 2012; Kennedy et al., 2014a, 2014c). However, the evidence relating abnormal gut function to maladaptive responses to stressors is limited as of yet. Most of what is currently known about the regulation of stress responsivity by the gut microbiota has been discovered from preclinical rodent models.

Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis Responsivity

Studies in GF mice have revealed that the microbiota influences the development of the HPA axis. The landmark study by Sudo et al. (2004) was the first to demonstrate that acute restraint stress induces an exaggerated release of corticosterone in GF mice compared with specific pathogen-free controls (SPF; mice guaranteed to not harbor certain pathogens). This HPA axis hyperactivity is completely normalized when GF mice are monocolonized with Bifidobacterium infantis in the neonate period (Sudo et al., 2004). However, monocolonization with the enteropathogenic bacteria Escherichia coli induces even higher stress hormone release in GF mice. However, some studies report no change in anxiety-like behavior in GF mice (Bercik et al., 2011a; Gareau et al., 2011). The stress-related behavioral profile of GF mice appears to be amenable to microbial intervention: the colonization of GF mice normalizes anxiety-like behavior (Clarke et al., 2013). Curiously and perhaps more consistent with the exaggerated stress response, GF status in rats is anxiogenic, suggesting that growing up GF has a species-specific directional effect on anxiety levels (Crumeyrolle-Arias et al., 2014). Finally, the gut microbiota is required for the induction of the anxiogenic profile associated with early-life stress: unlike in conventionally colonized mice, anxiety-like behavior of GF mice is unaffected by maternal separation (De Palma et al., 2015).
One of the principle advantages of the GF model is that it allows the researcher to colonize mice with bacteria and study the effects on host physiology and behavior. The transfer of microbiota from the high anxiety-like Balb/C mouse strain to low anxiety-like GF NIH Swiss mice is anxiogenic in the recipient (Belzung and Griebel, 2001; O’Malony et al., 2010; Bercik et al., 2011a). The same is true of the reverse transplantation: NIH Swiss bacteria transferred into GF Balb/C attenuate the recipients’ anxious phenotype (Bercik et al., 2011a). These results suggest that the composition of the gut microbiota may contribute to the behavioral and physiological aspects of GI disorders. For example, patients with IBS display exaggerated HPA axis responses to an acute stressor, an altered composition of gut microbiota, and a high psychiatric comorbidity most commonly with mood disorders (Fond et al., 2014; Kennedy et al., 2014b, 2014c). Targeting the microbiota with interventions such as probiotics could therefore improve both the GI and psychiatric symptoms of IBS and related psychosomatic illnesses (Clarke 2014b, 2014c). Targeting the microbiota with interventions such as probiotics could therefore improve both the GI and psychiatric symptoms of IBS and related psychosomatic illnesses (Clarke 2014b, 2014c). Furthermore, given that microbial transfer can alter stress responsivity, fecal microbiota transplantation may be a viable therapy for disorders for the microbiota-brain-gut axis (Belzung and Griebel, 2001; O'Mahony et al., 2010; Bercik et al., 2011a). The exchange of microbes between conspecs is also advantageous for the host: symbiotic bacteria can protect against pathogenic infection and assist in digestion and nutrient absorption (Troyer, 1984; Lombardo, 2008; Ezenwa et al., 2012; Montiel-Castro et al., 2013; Tung et al., 2015). The exchange of microbes between conspecs is also advantageous for the host: symbiotic bacteria can protect against pathogenic infection and assist in digestion and nutrient absorption (Troyer, 1984; Lombardo, 2008; Ezenwa et al., 2012; Montiel-Castro et al., 2013; Tung et al., 2015). Thus is currently an active area of research, but it remains to be seen if the high success rates for those with recurrent Clostridium difficile infection will also be reflected in more complex and heterogeneous GI disorders.

Sociability, Self-Grooming, and Locomotion

Living among groups affords an evolutionary advantage, providing mutual protection, sharing of food, and more opportunities to mate (Hamilton, 1964; Stillings et al., 2014a). It is therefore logical that the brain has evolved to foster social interaction. Microbes are contagious; they can spread to new hosts and environments through active or passive interactions. This trait has led scientists to hypothesize that natural selection has favored bacterial species that can maximize their own transmission, including during host social interaction (Troyer, 1984; Lombardo, 2008; Ezenwa et al., 2012; Montiel-Castro et al., 2013; Tung et al., 2015). The exchange of microbes between conspecs is also advantageous for the host: symbiotic bacteria can protect against pathogenic infection and assist in digestion and nutrient absorption (Troyer, 1984; Lombardo, 2008; Ezenwa et al., 2012; Montiel-Castro et al., 2013; Tung et al., 2015). It can thus be hypothesized that both sociability and bacteria have profound and convergent influences on the evolution of brain and behavior.

Sociability

Our group has investigated the interaction between the microbiota and social behavior (Desbonnet et al., 2014). Under normal conditions, mice will seek out social situations that are novel and stable. However, in the 3-chambered sociability test, GF mice spend more time exploring an empty chamber than a chamber containing a mouse (social preference). In addition, GF mice do not display the expected partiality for a novel over a familiar mouse (social cognition). Both social deficits are more pronounced in male GF mice. The postweaning colonization of GF mice successfully reverses the impairment in social preference but not social cognition; colonized GF mice prefer the chamber containing a mouse but display no preference for a novel compared with a familiar mouse. Taken together, this study demonstrates that microbes are required for normal sociability. Moreover, it shows that social cognition is permanently developed by the postweaning period; however, the critical window during which social preference is susceptible to microbial-based interventions appears to be longer.

In contrast to the results described above, a recent study by Arentsen and colleagues (2015) found that GF mice display increased social preference. The reason for these conflicting findings is unclear: the mouse strain, sex, and 3-chambered sociability test protocol were the same between studies. The authors propose that differences in the age of the GF mice and in the strain of novel mouse may account for the contrasting results. Moreover, the control SPF mice used by Arentsen et al. (2015) were housed in isolators, whereas the control mice used by Desbonnet et al. (2014) were not. The marked hyperactivity responses seen in the Arentsen et al. (2015) study may also play a role. Regardless of the disparity between these results, the 2 studies are in agreement that a gut microbiota is required for normal social behavior in mice.

Self-Grooming and Locomotion

The Germ-Free Mouse: Parallels to Autism Spectrum Disorder

The observed alterations in sociability, locomotor activity, and repetitive, stereotyped behaviors in GF mice have particular significance for autism spectrum disorder (ASD). ASD is characterized by impaired sociability and communication and by frequent repetitive and stereotyped behaviors. Intriguingly, patients with ASD have an altered composition of the gut microbiota and commonly suffer from GI complications such as constipation and increased intestinal permeability (Howard et al., 2000; Kang et al., 2013; Mulle et al., 2013; Mayer et al., 2014; Rosenfield, 2015; Sampson and Mazmanian, 2015). Furthermore, there is a higher prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease and GI illnesses in individuals with ASD (Kohane et al., 2012). Taken together, these findings implicate the microbiota in the pathogenesis of this neurodevelopmental disorder.

Interestingly, the behavioral and physiological profile of rodent models of ASD is comparable with that of GF mice. Maternal immune activation in mice reduces sociability and heightens both anxiety-like and stereotyped behaviors of the offspring (Hsiao et al., 2013). These animals also have deficient intestinal integrity and an altered composition of gut microbiota (Hsiao et al., 2013). Importantly, many of these behavioral and GI impairments in mice exposed to maternal immune activation can be ameliorated by administering B. fragilis (Hsiao et al., 2013). These behavioral and GI deficits have also been noted in another mouse model of autism: mice exposed to valproate in utero show both impaired sociability and an altered gut microbiome (de Theije et al., 2014). If the hypothesis that ASD has a microbial etiology proves correct, interventions targeting the gut microbiota such as diet and pro- and pre-biotics could provide safe and effective strategies for targeting neurodevelopmental disorders and their associated comorbidities (Gilbert et al., 2013; Mayer et al., 2014; Sampson and Mazmanian, 2015). ASD...
is of course too complex to be completely recapitulated in any one animal model (Mayer et al., 2014). These provocative results need to be integrated with the current genetic and environmental factors associated with the development of autistic behavior (Fakhoury, 2015). Interestingly, the early-life microbial disruption induced by Caesarean section delivery is associated with an only modestly increased ASD risk in epidemiological studies (Curran et al., 2015b) that may in fact be due to familial confounding (Curran et al., 2015a). Nevertheless, the preclinical data do suggest the potential of using microbial-based interventions to improve many of the prominent abnormal behaviors in ASD.

Learning and Memory

Given that the gut microbiota has pronounced effects on sociability and stress responsivity, it is perhaps unsurprising that it also regulates cognitive ability. Treatment of mice with a potential Lactobacillus probiotic improves memory (Savignac et al., 2015). This bacteria-induced memory enhancement may be due to changes in hippocampal activity: probiotic treatment prevents age-associated impairments in long-term potentiation in the rat hippocampus (Distrutti et al., 2014). In contrast, exposure to the enteric pathogen Citrobacter rodentium induces memory deficits in stressed mice (Gareau et al., 2011). To further explore the idea that the gut microbiota can influence cognition, Gareau and colleagues (2011) tested the learning and memory abilities of GF mice. To assess dorsal hippocampus-mediated memory, mice underwent a one-trial version of the novel object test that compares the frequency of exploration of a familiar vs a novel object between groups (Ennaceur and Delacour, 1988). In addition, the ability of mice to remember a familiar environment was tested using the T-maze test. In this test, memory is assessed by comparing left vs right arm entries, with an equal amount indicating the mice remember which arm they have previously explored. This study revealed that a gut microbiota is required for normal cognition, as GF mice spend less time exploring novel objects and environments compared with controls. However, olfactory memory in GF mice appears to be normal (Desbonnet et al., 2014). GF mice have less c-Fos-positive CA1 hippocampal cells after undergoing memory testing, indicating that these cognitive impairments may be due to a reduction in hippocampal activity. Together, this study indicates the absence of a gut microbiota impacts spatial and object, but not olfactory, memory abilities, possibly through altered hippocampal signalling tone. This is consistent with the molecular and neurochemical changes that have been noted in this important brain region in GF animals (see below).

Molecular and Neurochemical Changes in the Germ-Free Brain

Although studies of GF mice clearly demonstrate that the gut microbiota influences stress responsivity, sociability, and cognition, the molecular and neurochemical correlates of these effects remain largely unknown. Here, we summarize what is presently known about the microbial regulation of neurotransmission, neurotrophic factors, and synapse-related gene expression.

Serotonin

The neurotransmitter serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine) regulates mood, appetite, sleep, and cognition (Wrase et al., 2006). Although it is principally known for its role in the CNS, approximately 95% of the body’s serotonin is found in the GI system, where it mediates pain perception and gut secretion and motility (Ridaura and Belkaid, 2015; Yano et al., 2015). Given the high comorbidity of GI and mood disorders, it makes sense that drugs targeting the serotonergic system effectively treat the symptoms of IBS and anxiety and depressive disorders (O’Mahony et al., 2015).

Tryptophan is an essential amino acid and a precursor for serotonin. Reduction in tryptophan levels results in deficient serotonin production and depressive-like symptoms (Myint et al., 2007). The gut microbiota is required for the normal breakdown and absorption of nutrients, which raises the possibility that both tryptophan levels and serotonergic signalling are altered in GF mice. Indeed, GF mice have elevated levels of plasma tryptophan compared with controls (Clarke et al., 2013). Increased hippocampal levels of serotonin are also found in male, but not female, GF mice. Importantly, colonization with bacteria postweaning normalizes tryptophan availability but not hippocampal serotonin levels (Clarke et al., 2013). Together, these findings indicate that the microbiota affects serotonergic signalling in the CNS, perhaps by altering peripheral tryptophan availability. Subsequent studies also suggest a degree of temporal specificity in this regulatory role (El Aidy et al., 2012). ENS serotoninergic levels are also affected by microbial metabolites such as short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (Reigstad et al., 2015; Yano et al., 2015). Thus, serotonergic signalling in the “second brain” is also contingent on an intact gut microbiome (Ridaura and Belkaid, 2015). Since circulating serotonin levels are largely drawn from GI sources, this tallies with studies showing lower serum levels of serotonin in GF animals (Ridaura and Belkaid, 2015) and has implications beyond the gut given the expansive biology of serotonin (Berger et al., 2009).

Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factor

Brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) promotes the growth and development of new neurons and the survival of existing neurons (Park and Poo, 2013). Altered levels of BDNF in the CNS are well known to be associated with affective disorders in humans (Autry and Monteggia, 2012). In GF mice, Bdnf expression is lower in the cortex and amygdala compared with controls (Heijtz et al., 2011). In the hippocampus, the changes in Bdnf levels documented are inconsistent: studies report both an increase (Neufeld et al., 2011) and a decrease in expression (Sudo et al., 2004; Gareau et al., 2011; Heijtz et al., 2011; Clarke et al., 2013). What is evident from these findings is that the microbiota influences BDNF expression in the CNS. How these microbiota-induced changes in neurotrophin factor expression affect the structure and function of the GF brain warrants further investigation.

Synapse-Related Transcriptome

Evidence that the microbiota acts on the brain at a synaptic level stems from differing expression of mRNA and proteins in GF vs control mice. In GF mice, the dopamine receptor subunit Drd1a is increased in the hippocampus (Heijtz et al., 2011). Moreover, the glutamate receptor subunit Nr1 is decreased in the cortex while Nr2 is reduced in the cortex, hippocampus, and central nucleus of the amygdala (Sudo et al., 2004; Neufeld et al., 2011). In the striatum, expression of the synaptic proteins synaptophysin and postsynaptic density protein-95 (PSD-95) is elevated (Heijtz et al., 2011). Our group has recently published data demonstrating that genes involved in neuronal activity are upregulated in GF mice, indicating potential amygdalar hyperactivity in these animals.
(Stilling et al., 2015). Although further experiments are required to fully understand how the microbiota regulates synaptic transmission and morphology, these results clearly indicate that brain regions important for sociability, stress responsivity, and cognition are altered on a synaptic level in GF mice.

**Neurogenesis**

Neurogenesis, the generation of new neurons, is a key process involved in memory formation, stress responsivity, and antidepressant treatment efficacy (Snyder et al., 2011; Marin-Burin and Schinder, 2012; Miller and Hen, 2015). That anxiety-like behavior, HPA axis signalling, neutrotrophic factor expression, and learning and memory differ in GF mice led us to hypothesize that adult hippocampal neurogenesis could also be influenced by the gut microbiota (Ogbonnaya et al., 2015). This study revealed that cell survival, but not proliferation, is increased in the subgranular zone of the hippocampus. Likewise, the survival of newly born neurons is increased in GF mice primarily in the dorsal hippocampus, a subregion preferentially involved in spatial memory (Moser et al., 1995; O’Leary and Cryan, 2014). This change in adult neurogenesis could therefore underlie the deficits in spatial learning and memory of GF mice. However, it is worth remarking that increased hippocampal neurogenesis is typically associated with improved cognitive ability (Deng et al., 2010). Postweaning colonization does not normalize any of the changes in cell and neuron survival, indicating that there may be a critical window prior to weaning during which neurogenesis is amenable to microbial intervention.

**Blood Brain Barrier**

The blood brain barrier (BBB) filters the passage of water-soluble molecules from the blood to the brain. The BBB is formed early in fetal life to protect the fetus against harmful toxins and bacteria during this sensitive period of brain development (Ballabh et al., 2004; Knudsen, 2004; Abbott et al., 2010). Disruption of the BBB can expose the CNS to damage and disease (Ballabh et al., 2004; Abbott et al., 2010). Understanding how the gut microbiota and its circulating metabolites affect the BBB may inform new strategies to prevent and treat BBB-associated neuropsychiatric disorders (Kelly et al., 2015).

To answer this question, Braniste et al. (2014) examined BBB development and function in GF mice. In fetal mice from SPF dams, the BBB prevents the penetration of labelled immunoglobulin; however, the BBB of fetal mice from GF dams allows the immunoglobulin to diffuse into the brain. As adults, the BBB of GF mice continues to be more permeable than that of their SPF counterparts. The authors propose that these changes in barrier function are due to tight junction abnormalities. Indeed, tight junction protein expression is reduced in both fetal and adult GF mice. Moreover, tight junctions in the brains of GF mice appear diffuse and disorganized. Colonization of adult GF mice with microbiota from SPF mice successfully reduces BBB permeability and increases tight junction protein expression. The effects of colonization on the BBB are likely mediated by SCFAs or metabolites produced by gut bacteria: monoclonization with a butyrate-producing bacterial strain or sodium butyrate administration alone decreases BBB permeability and increases tight junction expression. This study highlights the importance of the microbiota in BBB development: increased diffusion of molecules into the growing brain could affect neural connectivity and underlie the maladaptive behavioral and physiological profile of GF mice. More research is needed to determine if microbiota-directed interventions such as probiotics or dietary changes could effectively target BBB-related disorders and their associated comorbidities.

**Microglia**

Microglia are the resident macrophages of the CNS and act as the first line of immune protection for the brain and spinal cord. By scavenging infectious agents, damaged cells, and other potential toxins, these cells maintain CNS homeostasis (Ransohoff and Perry, 2009; Kettenmann et al., 2011; Prinz and Priller, 2014). Microglia are also involved in shaping neural circuits in the developing brain (Schafer and Stevens, 2013). A growing number of studies have associated microglia-related genes with neuropsychiatric disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and dementia (Prinz and Priller, 2014), illnesses now termed microgliopathies.

Although the gut microbiota has long been known to impact the peripheral immune system, little was known until recently regarding its modulation of immune development and function in the CNS (Cryan and Dinan, 2015). To investigate the relationship between the microbiota and CNS immune function, Erny and colleagues (2015) studied the homeostasis and maturation of microglia in GF mice. Initial experiments revealed marked differences in mRNA expression profiles of microglial genes in GF mice. Further analyses confirmed that GF mice have more microglia throughout the entire brain compared with controls. The microglia of GF mice also have an abnormal morphology: processes are longer, more complex, and frequently overlap with other cells. In response to bacterial or viral challenge, pro-inflammatory gene expression is attenuated and microglia do not display an activated morphology in GF mice, indicating that a microbiota is required to mount an appropriate response to pathogenic infection in both the periphery and the CNS.

The above findings raise the possibility that microglia could require constant input from the host microbiota to maintain CNS homeostasis. To answer this question, Erny et al. (2015) investigated the effect of antibiotic-induced microbiota depletion on microglia of adult mice. Antibiotic-treated SPF mice exhibit an immature microglial profile similar to that of GF mice. Unlike GF mice, however, antibiotic treatment does not affect the number of microglia in the brain. Furthermore, it appears that a full repertoire of commensal microorganisms is necessary for normal microglial homeostasis: colonization with a complex composition of bacteria, but not a 3-strain Schaedler flora (select strains found in the normal mouse microbiota), normalizes microglial function and morphology in GF mice. Importantly, administration of SCFAs to GF mice almost completely restores microglia density, morphology, and maturity. This study elegantly demonstrates that SCFA signalling from a complex bacterial community is required for the normal structure and homeostatic function of the CNS immune system.

**Neurological Disorders**

To date, GF research has largely focused on the psychiatric disorders; however, there is now preliminary evidence that GF mice can also be useful tools in the study of neurological disorders. Recent studies have demonstrated that a GF state imparts a resistance to traits associated with multiple sclerosis (MS) and AD.

**Multiple Sclerosis**

MS is an autoimmune disease affecting myelin, the protective sheath that surrounds neurons of the CNS (Goverman, 2009;
biota may be involved in the development of AD pathology, and mice can effectively increase CNS Aβ only the transfer of microbiota from APPPS1 and not wild-type from that of wild-type mice (Harach et al., 2015). Furthermore, the composition of conventionally colonized APPPS1 mice differs marker Iba-1 (Harach et al., 2015). Interestingly, the microbial composition accompanied by an increase in Aβ plaques in the CNS (Harach et al., 2015). This decrease in Aβ is accompanied by an increase in Aβ-degrading enzymes and a decrease in neuroinflammation, as measured by the microglial marker Iba-1 (Harach et al., 2015). Interestingly, the microbial composition of conventionally colonized APPPS1 mice differs from that of wild-type mice (Harach et al., 2015). Furthermore, only the transfer of microbiota from APPPS1 and not wild-type mice can effectively increase CNS Aβ levels in GF APPPS1 mice (Harach et al., 2015). These findings suggest that the gut microbiota may be involved in the development of AD pathology, and further experiments in this field are warranted.

Alzheimer's Disease

AD is a chronic neurodegenerative illness and the most common cause of dementia in the Western world (Burns and Iliffe, 2009). The amyloid hypothesis posits that extracellular deposition of amyloid beta (Aβ) peptides triggers a cascade of pathological events, eventually leading to dementia (Hardy and Higgins, 1992; Ittner and Götz, 2011; Musiek and Holtzman, 2015). Although it is known that the composition of the gut microbiota changes as we age, the role of these microbes in the pathogenesis of age-related disorders such as AD remains to be explored (O’Toole and Claesson, 2010). To investigate a possible link with the gut microbiota, Harach and colleagues (2015) studied the amyloid deposition in transgenic AD mice raised GF. When raised with commensal microbiota, APPPS1 transgenic mice exhibit an age-dependent accumulation of Aβ plaques in the brain (Radde et al., 2006). In contrast, GF APPPS1 mice accumulate far less Aβ plaques in the CNS (Harach et al., 2015). This decrease in Aβ is accompanied by an increase in Aβ-degrading enzymes and a reduction in neuroinflammation, as measured by the microglial marker Iba-1 (Harach et al., 2015). Interestingly, the microbial composition of conventionally colonized APPPS1 mice differs from that of wild-type mice (Harach et al., 2015). Furthermore, only the transfer of microbiota from APPPS1 and not wild-type mice can effectively increase CNS Aβ levels in GF APPPS1 mice (Harach et al., 2015). These findings suggest that the gut microbiota may be involved in the development of AD pathology, and further experiments in this field are warranted.

Strengths, Limitations, and Alternatives to the Germ-Free Mouse Model

As humans are never in a truly GF state—even prenatally as previously hypothesized (Funkhouser and Bordenstein, 2013)—criticisms of the GF model usually revolve around its apparent lack of clinical relevance. It should be clear, however, that the use of GF animals in preclinical work is not to directly mimic the human condition. Arguably, we should instead focus on the strengths of the GF animals as models for understanding the role of bacteria on host development and function. Its artificially controlled state allows us to study the dysfunction arising in the absence of any microbial input on behavior, anatomy, and physiology. It also provides a clean background onto which we can add either a single strain of bacteria, such as in monocolonization, or a predefined cocktail of commensal microbiota. Conventionalizing GF mice in this manner allows us to determine the impact of specific bacteria on any number of health-related issues. Vitaly, bacteria can also be introduced to GF mice at various time points of host development, permitting us to examine the existence of critical windows of development that may require bacterial input. Indeed, this is currently an area of keen interest and the focus of many ongoing colonization experiments.

Given that GF animals have no bacterial exposure from conception onwards, they are perhaps of limited usefulness for experimental questions regarding the impact of altered microbiota composition that first occurs later in life. For these reasons, alternatives to the GF model must be explored that allow for alterations in bacterial exposure occurring throughout the lifespan. Some alternatives do currently exist and are being used to complement GF findings, including those using antibiotic treatment, probiotic feeding, fecal transplantation, and mouse humanization, among others. Antibiotic treatment of mice is regarded as a practical and potentially more relevant alternative to the use of GF mice, and, interestingly, many of the phenotypic features associated with the GF state (eg, reduced anxiety-like behaviors) are also evident after a sustained antibiotic-induced disruption of the gut microbiota. A recent study examining the long-term effects of antibiotic treatment and the resulting altered intestinal microbial composition focused on the critical developmental window of adolescence (Desbonnet et al., 2015). Here, treating mice with a combination of antibiotics resulted in reduced anxiety, reduced cognitive abilities, and social deficits. In addition, antibiotic-treated mice also displayed reduced levels of BDNF, oxytocin, and vasopressin in adult brain tissue (Desbonnet et al., 2015). Alterations to tryptophan metabolic pathways were also observed (Desbonnet et al., 2015). Earlier work involving administration of an antibiotic cocktail of bacitracin and neomycin as well as the anti-fungal primaricin to mice has demonstrated reduced anxiety-like behavior and increased hippocampal BDNF mRNA expression (Bercik et al., 2011a) as well as increased visceral sensitivity to colorectal distension tests that can be ameliorated after probiotic administration (Verdu et al., 2006). Researchers have also investigated the effects of introducing bacteria to mouse models of intestinal dysbiosis. Humanization studies can involve human fecal transfer to GF mice but have recently been broadened to include inoculating non-GF antibiotic-treated mice with human feces (Hintze et al., 2014). Mice treated in this fashion are not only useful in examining microbiota-gut-brain communication but provide a more clinically relevant model in which to ask questions regarding the effects of differing bacterial communities on the host. GF animals have been vital in helping us elucidate mechanisms of gut-brain communication, as well as the role of commensal microbiota in development and function of the organism. However, moving forward, alternatives to GF animals such as those elucidated above will provide us with a complementary understanding in a more obviously clinically relevant manner.
Conclusion
From the inception of studies using GF animals to today, we have garnered a significant body of knowledge with respect to bacterial-host communication in wellness and disease. Through the examination of GF animals both before and after introduction of bacteria, whether single-strain or as a bacterial community, we now know that host-bacterial communication is necessary for the optimal function of virtually all physiological processes in the organism. Bacteria are necessary for the healthy functioning of the immune, respiratory, GI, metabolic, endocrine, and nervous systems of the organism, and this has led many researchers to now consider humans (and most other organisms) as holistic functioning units working together with their bacterial counterparts. Moving forward, experiments using a variety of approaches to manipulate intestinal bacteria will be used to complement those carried out in the GF model and will provide us with a better understanding of the communication that occurs between the host and its bacterial residents, as well as how this communication works to improve overall health.
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