Quantum pseudodots under the influence of external vector and scalar fields
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We study the spherical quantum pseudodots in the Schrödinger equation by using the pseudo-harmonic plus harmonic oscillator potentials considering the effect of the external electric and magnetic fields. The finite energy levels and the wave functions are calculated. Furthermore, the behavior of the essential thermodynamic quantities such as, the free energy, the mean energy, the entropy, the specific heat, the magnetization, the magnetic susceptibility, and the persistent currents are also studied by using the characteristic function. Our analytical results are found to be in good agreement with the other works. The numerical results on the energy levels as well as the thermodynamic quantities have also been given.

Keywords: Schrödinger equation, harmonic oscillator, pseudo-harmonic potential, thermodynamic quantities, quantum pseudo-dots, characteristic function

PACS: 03.65.Ge, 65.80.–g

DOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/27/8/080303

1. Introduction

Calculation of the physical quantities in many physical sciences is the essential work we need to perform. As a consequence, the exact solutions of the Schrödinger and Dirac wave equations have become the essential part from the beginning of quantum mechanics¹ and such solutions have also become useful in the fields of atomic and nuclear physics.²⁻⁹

Currently, recent researches on the nanometer scale have opened new fields in fundamental sciences of physics, chemistry, and engineering such as optoelectronic devices, high performance laser and detectors,¹⁰,¹¹ which are termed nanoscience.¹² One of the areas in nanoscience is the class of spherical quantum pseudodots (QPDs). In fact, the spherical QPDs confinement is one of the most appealing explored applications of semiconductor structures when it is doped with shallow donor impurities. Namely, the impurities are used in both transport and optical properties of physics. However, some researchers have extensively studied topics like confined donors or acceptors in nanostructures.¹³⁻¹⁶ The structure of the QPDs is mainly confined by the quantum potentials and it is also as a result of the recent advances made in semiconductors, where both electrons and valence holes can be confined in all three dimensions.¹⁷

One of these interaction potentials used is the pseudo-harmonic potential.¹⁸,¹⁹ The confined pseudo-harmonic potential is generally applied to explain the ro-vibrational states of diatomic molecules and nuclear rotation and vibration. Further, an electron placed in such a potential field is being affected by an external electric field, which is equivalent to a pseudo-harmonic oscillator in an external dipole field or a charged pseudo-harmonic oscillator in the presence of a uniform electric field. Such a system has an essential role in quantum chemical applications.²⁰

On the other hand, confined harmonic oscillator potential can be used to obtain exactly the Schrödinger equation with the pseudo-harmonic and harmonic oscillator potentials, and also to find any l-state solutions in the view of molecular physics phenomenon. However, in quantum physics, in obtaining the exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the molecular potentials can be considered as being one of the main problems.¹¹ It is well known that the topic of rotational and molecular vibrational spectroscopy is one of the essential areas of molecular physics and it can be counted as one of the main implements for other scientific areas such as environmental sciences.¹¹ and biology.¹¹ However, the harmonic oscillator could be served as a background to describe the molecular vibrations.²² However, to improve the theory of molecular vibrations the anharmonic oscillators can be applied to solve exactly the Schrödinger equation and provide a more reliable model for diatomic molecules.²³

Here in the present work, one of these interaction potentials used is the pseudo-harmonic oscillator potential plus a harmonic oscillator potential taking the form:

$$V(r, z) = V_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0} - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2 + Kz^2,$$

where the two parameters $V_0$ and $r_0$ stand for the height potential and the zero point, respectively, with $K > 0$ being an oscillator constant.
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These confinements lead to the formation of discrete energy levels, drastic change of optical absorption spectra, and so forth.\cite{24–30}

On the other hand, the study of the thermodynamic properties of low-dimensional semiconductor structure is of a great importance, in particular, determining the behavior of the thermodynamic quantities such as the specific heat, the entropy, the free energy, the mean energy, the magnetization, and the persistent current.

Over the past few years, several researchers have studied the thermodynamic properties of various models, see for example, Refs. [31]–[34].

In this work, we solve the Schrödinger equation with the pseudo-harmonic plus harmonic oscillator interaction potential to deal with spherical QPDs being exposed to external electric and magnetic fields. We obtain the finite state energy spectra and their corresponding wave functions. Further, we calculate the essential thermodynamic functions and the thermodynamic quantities by using the finite energy spectrum. Finally, we compare our analytical results with those obtained by other authors, and give a few remarks on the present results.

The organization of the present paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present the solution of the Schrödinger equation with the pseudo-harmonic plus harmonic oscillator potentials exposed to the external electric and magnetic fields for the sake of obtaining energy levels and their wave functions. Section 3 is devoted for our results and discussions. Finally, we end with our concluding remarks in Section 4.

2. Theory and calculations

Here we solve the Schrödinger equation with the pseudo-harmonic plus harmonic oscillator interaction potentials so that to calculate the finite bound state energy levels and their corresponding wave functions of the electrons (holes) of spherical QPDs in the presence of external electric and magnetic fields as

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{2\mu} \left( p - \frac{e A}{c} \right)^2 + V(r) + e e_z \right] \psi(r) = E \psi(r),
\]

where \( e = e e_z \) is the applied electrostatic field along the \( z \) axis, \( \mu \) is the effective electronic mass, \( A \) is the vector potential which can be found by means of the magnetic field and the energy \( E = E_r + E_z \). Let us assume that the vector potential has the simple form: \( A = (0, Br/2 + \Phi_{AB}/2\pi, 0) \) where this potential has recently been used in quantum dots and quantum pseudodots.\cite{35,36} By substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (2), the Schrödinger equation reduces into the following forms:

\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\omega^2}{r^2} - \alpha^2 \gamma^2 + \eta \right] f(r) = 0,
\]  

\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} E_z = \frac{2\mu e \cdot e}{\hbar^2} z + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \xi^2 \right] g(z) = 0,
\]

where the eigenvalues, \( E_z \), can be found as

\[
E_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{K}{\mu}} (n_z + 1) - \frac{\hbar^2 e^2 e^2}{4K}, \quad n_z = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Furthermore, we used the following identifications:

\[
\alpha^2 = 2\mu V_0 / \hbar^2 r_0^2 + e^2 B^2 / 4\hbar^2 c^2,
\]

\[
\eta = 2\mu (E_z + 2V_0) / \hbar^2 - eB (m + \xi) / \hbar c,
\]

\[
\tau^2 = 2\mu V_0 r_0^2 / \hbar^2 + (m + \xi)^2,
\]

\[
\xi = \Phi_{AB} / \Phi_0, \quad \Phi_0 = \hbar c / e.
\]

Now, making the change of variables as \( \zeta = \omega r \), and hence equation (3) can be simply rewritten as

\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{d}{d\zeta} - \frac{\tau^2}{4\zeta^2} + \frac{\eta}{4\omega \zeta} - \frac{1}{4} \right] f(\zeta) = 0.
\]

The asymptotic behavior in the solution of Eq. (3) when \( r \to 0 \) can be determined via the centrifugal term whereas the asymptotic behavior of our solution at \( r \to \infty \) can be determined by the oscillating terms. That is, the radial wave function \( f(r) \) needs to be finite, using the boundary conditions \( f(0) \to 0 \) and \( f(\infty) \to 0 \). Therefore, to make the solution satisfying the above conditions, we are supposed to cast the solution of the wave function \( f(\zeta) \) to be \( f(\zeta) = \exp(-\zeta/2)\zeta^{\gamma/2} F(\zeta) \).

Upon substituting this wave function into Eq. (6), we obtain the confluent hypergeometric differential equation,

\[
\zeta \frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + (\gamma + 1 - \zeta) \frac{d}{d\zeta} - \left[ \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\eta}{4\omega} \right] F(\zeta) = 0.
\]

The above equation has the solution: \( F(\zeta) = (\gamma/2 + 1/2 - \eta/4\omega, |\gamma + 1; \zeta) \). When \( \zeta \to \infty \), then \( F(\zeta) \) is required to become zero. We further need the confluent hypergeometric series with \( F(\zeta) \) to be finite. Notice that when the independent term of Eq. (7) is zero or negative, this requirement is verified. Therefore, the quantum condition of the polynomial confluent function requires that \( -n = |\gamma/2 + 1/2 - \eta/4\omega \). Plugging in the values of parameters \( \gamma, \omega, \) and \( \eta \), we can finally obtain the energy spectrum as follows:

\[
E_r = \frac{\hbar c}{2} (m + \xi) - 2V_0 + \sqrt{\hbar^2 \omega^2 + \frac{8V_0 \hbar^2}{r_0^2 \mu}} \left[ n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(m + \xi)^2 + \frac{2\mu V_0 r_0^2}{\hbar^2}} \right], \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]
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where \( \omega_c = eB/\mu_c \) is the cyclotron frequency and \( m \) is the projection of the angular momentum on the \( z \) axis. If we replace \( \gamma \to \beta \) in Eq. (5b), namely, \( \gamma^2 = 2\mu V_0 r_0^2/\hbar^2 + (m + \xi)^2, \xi \to \alpha \) in Eq. (5b), namely, \( \xi = \Phi_{AB}/\Phi_0 \) with \( \Phi_0 = \hbar c/e \), and \( m \to m^* \), we have

\[
E_r = \hbar \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{r_0 ^2} + \frac{8 V_0}{r_0 ^2 m^*} \right)^{1/2} \left( n + \frac{\beta + 1}{2} \right) + \hbar \omega_c m^* + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 2V_0. \tag{8b}
\]

Therefore, equation (8a) is the same as Eq. (7) of Ref. [19] by Çetin who has calculated the energy states and wave function for an electron confined by a pseudo-harmonic potential both including harmonic dot and antidot potentials under the magnetic and \( AB \) flux fields.

Here, we only apply the electrostatic field along the \( z \) axis and investigate the thermal properties of spherical QPDs as a complementary study to Çetin work.

Now, under the following two special cases of interest, at first if we put \( \Phi_{AB} = 0 \) into Eqs. (8a) and (8b), then it turns out to become Eq. (11) of Refs. [37] and [38]. In these works, the authors have calculated the light interband absorption coefficient and the threshold frequency in a quantum pseudodot system under the influence of an external magnetic field as when \( B(r) = Br/2 \). Secondly, when we put both fields \( \Phi_{AB} = 0 \) and \( B = 0 \), then equation (8) turns into Eq. (18) of Ref. [17].

It is worth noting that in the absence an applied electrostatic field along the \( z \) axis, the finite energy levels for equation (8) in our solution are identical to the ones already found in Eq. (25) of Ref. [35]. It is worthwhile remarking that Ikhdair and Hamzavi have also calculated the interband light absorption coefficient in a quantum pseudodot system with a magnetic field by employing the Nikoforov–Uvarov method.\[35\]

After making use of Eqs. (5a) and (8a), the total energy levels can be obtained as

\[
E_{nm} = nh + a, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{9a}
\]

with the quantum number

\[
n = \sqrt{\left( \frac{eB}{\mu c} \right)^2 + \frac{8 V_0}{r_0 ^2} n_r + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{K}{\mu}}} n_z
\]

and

\[
a = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{eB}{\mu c} \right)^2 + \frac{8 V_0}{r_0 ^2} \frac{\hbar^2}{\mu}} \times \left[ 1 + \sqrt{\left( \frac{m + e \Phi_{AB}}{\hbar c} \right)^2 + \frac{2 \mu V_0 r_0 ^2}{\hbar^2}} \right] \]
\[
+ \hbar \frac{eB}{2 \mu c} \left( \frac{m + e \Phi_{AB}}{\hbar c} \right) - 2V_0 - \frac{\hbar^2 e^2}{4K} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{K}{\mu}}. \tag{9b}
\]

Here formula (9b) is showing a relationship between parameters \( r_0, V_0 \), and \( K \) in the present potential model. Therefore, the solutions of Eq. (9b) are valid for the potential parameters satisfying the restriction given in Eq. (9a). However, the relation between the potential parameters (9b) depends on the azimuthal quantum number \( m \) which means that the potential has to be different for various quantum numbers.

Further, the pseudo-harmonic potential plus oscillator potential has a major influence on the energy levels. In the absence of such interaction, the energy levels are obtained as follows:

\[
E_{nm} = \frac{eB}{2\mu c} \left[ 2n + 1 + \left| m + e \Phi_{AB} / \hbar c \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left( m + e \Phi_{AB} / \hbar c \right) \right], \tag{9c}
\]

\( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).

In Figs. 1–4, we show the variation of the bound state energies for different parameters. We use selected parameter values \( c = e = r_0 = \hbar = K = \mu = n_r = n_z = 1 \), \( V_0 = 5 \) in plotting these figures.

![Fig. 1.](image_url) (color online) The variation of the bound states energies versus the magnetic field for various Aharonov–Bohm flux fields.

For example, in Fig. 1, we plot the pseudodot energy states versus the magnetic field taking \( \Phi_{AB} = 5, 10, 15, 20 \) with \( e = 5 \) and \( m = 1 \). In this figure, it is seen that the energy is changing with the magnetic field. Notice that for a fixed value of the magnetic field, the energy increases with the increasing flux field strength.

In Fig. 2, we draw the pseudodot energy spectrum versus the magnetic field when choosing the radial quantum number values taking \( \Phi_{AB} = 5, e = 5 \), and \( m = 1 \). In this figure, we see that the pseudodot energy spectrum is increasing with the increasing of the magnetic field. Also, for a specific value of the
magnetic field, a linear variation of the energy with the radial quantum numbers is shown.

In Fig. 3, we draw the pseudodot energy spectrum versus the electric field when choosing the different azimuthal quantum number values with $\Phi_{AB} = 5$ and $B = 2$. It is noticed that for a fixed value of the electric field, the energy increases with the increasing values of the azimuthal quantum number.

Figure 4 shows the pseudodot energy states versus the magnetic field, which increases linearly with the increasing values of the azimuthal quantum number.

Now, in working out the thermal properties of spherical QPDs, let us start by defining the fundamental object in statistical physics, that is, the canonical partition function $Z$. Using the energy spectrum of the electrons (holes) of spherical QPDs, Eq. (9), we have

$$\omega_n = \frac{\Omega}{2}(2n + \Xi),$$

(10)

where $\omega_n = E_{nm}/\hbar$, $\Omega = 1$ and $\Xi = 2a/\hbar$.

Using the characteristic function ($X = \ln Z$) as follows:

$$X = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln[1 - \exp(-\beta \omega_n)]$$

$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln[1 - \exp(-2\pi \delta (2n + \Xi))],$$

(11)

where $\delta = \Omega \beta / 4\pi$ and $\beta = 1/T$ with supposing $k_B = 1$.

In fact, in the canonical ensemble the thermodynamics of a system such as an ideal gas of the electrons (holes) in a pseudodot at temperature, $T$, is found from its partition function, $Z = \sum_{E} \exp(-\beta E)$, with $\beta = 1/k_B T$ where $k_B$ and $E$ denote the Boltzmann constant and the energy eigenvalues of the system, respectively. Now, an energy value $E$ can be expressed in terms of the single-particle energies, $\varepsilon_i$; for instance, $E = \sum_{k} n_k \varepsilon_k$, where $n_k$ is the number of particles in the single-particle energy state $k$. Therefore, we can write the partition function of a gas system as

$$Z = 1/\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\beta \varepsilon_n}).$$

Now, the logarithm of $Z$ is known as the characteristic function and denoted by $G = \ln Z$. In fact, a characteristic function is simply the Fourier transform, in probabilistic language. Defining the characteristic function of a random variable $X$ as follows:

$$\tilde{X} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \omega x} dx,$$

(12)

Also, the characteristic function mentions a particular relation between the partition function of an ensemble in statistical physics. Now, we suppose that $Z$ is the partition function, then it satisfies $Z = \exp(\pm \beta Q)$ where $Q$ is a thermodynamic quantity. Here, $Q$ is called the characteristic function of the ensemble corresponding to $P$. In the micro-canonical ensemble, the partition, $\Omega(U,V,N)$ is as $\Omega(U,V,N) = \exp(\beta TS)$, the canonical ensemble, the partition function is written as $Z(T,V,N) = \exp(-\beta A)$, the partition function is written for
the grand canonical ensemble as $\Xi(T,V,\mu) = \exp(-\beta \Phi)$ and the isothermal–isobaric ensemble, the partition function is written as $\Delta(N,T,P) = \exp(-\beta \mathcal{G})$, with its characteristic function as $TS$, $A$, $\Phi$, and $\mathcal{G}$, respectively. In this area, a list of some common distributions functions and the corresponding characteristic have been given in Ref. [42]. Also, the work statistics for a single non-relativistic particle have been determined by Yi and Talkner, [43] and $N$-particles by evaluating the characteristic function with the help of the relation into Refs. [44] and [45].

At this stage, we can obtain the following relation after expanding the logarithm in Eq. (11) as follows:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial s} = -2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi s(2n+\Xi)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+\Xi),$$

and further using the formula $\exp(-x) = (1/2\pi i) \int_{C} dsx^{-1} \Gamma(s)$ with $s = 2\pi k\delta(2n+\Xi)$ in Refs. [46] and [47], the derivative of $X$ is obtained as follows:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial s} = -\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{C} (2\pi \delta)^{-1} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n} (2n+\Xi)^{1-s}. \tag{14}$$

Now, equation (14) can be written in terms of the Euler, Riemann, and Riemann’s generalized functions as follows:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial s} = -\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{C} (2\pi \delta)^{-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) \sum_{n} (2n+\Xi)^{1-s}. \tag{15}$$

In eq. (15), we expand $\zeta(s)$ up to the third order term in $(\Xi - 1)$, then, by substituting expanding into Eq. (15), we have

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial s} = \frac{\pi}{12} [\Xi(\Xi + 2) + 2] + \frac{\Xi + 1}{2}\delta, \tag{16}$$

It is worth mentioning that we have used the residues for the poles $s = 0, 1, 2$ of Eq. (16). At the end, in the first-order approximation in $1/2 - a/\hbar$, we can write the new characteristic function of Eq. (16) as follows:

$$X = \left(1 - \frac{a}{\hbar}\right) \left[\ln\left(\frac{4\pi}{B}\right) - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} - 2\right) \pi^{2}T^{2}\right] + \frac{11\beta}{48} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6\delta}. \tag{17}$$

Upon using the new characteristic function, the mean energy is calculated as:

$$U = T^{2} \frac{\partial X}{\partial T} = \left(1 - \frac{a}{\hbar}\right) \left[\left(T - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} - 2\right) \pi^{2}T\right] - T + \frac{11\beta}{48} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6\delta}. \tag{18}$$

The specific heat can be obtained as $(C_{V} = -\partial U/\partial T)$

$$C_{V} = \left(1 - \frac{a}{\hbar}\right) \left[-3 + \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3} \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} - 2\right) T\right] + 1 + \frac{5\beta}{12T} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} T. \tag{19}$$

Further, we can calculate the free energy $(F = -\ln(Z) / \beta)$ as follows:

$$F = \left(1 - \frac{a}{\hbar}\right) \left[-\frac{2\pi^{2}}{3} + \frac{2\pi^{2}}{T} \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} - 2\right) T\right] - \frac{5\beta}{12T} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}. \tag{20}$$

The entropy is defined as $(S = -\partial F / \partial T)$ and yields

$$S = 4 \left(1 - \frac{a}{\hbar}\right) \frac{1}{T} = \frac{5}{6T}. \tag{21}$$

As a reminder, this physical quantity has been applied in a wide variety of fields and plays a vital role in thermodynamics. Moreover, it is central to the second law of thermodynamics and helps measure the amount of order and disorder and/or chaos as well. It can be defined and measured in many other fields than thermodynamics.

Now, the persistent current $(I = -\partial F / \partial \Phi)$ [48] can be obtained as follows:

$$I = \left[2 \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \left(2 - \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\right)\right] \left[\frac{\hbar^{2} e^{2} B^{2}}{2 \mu^{2} c^{2}} + \frac{8\mu_{0} h^{2}}{\pi^{2} \mu} \left(\frac{m + e\Phi_{AB} \hbar}{\hbar^{2}}\right) \frac{\pi e^{5}}{2} + \frac{e^{3} B^{2}}{h^{2}}\right]. \tag{22}$$

The magnetization $(M = -\partial F / \partial B)$ [39,48] of the present system can be obtained as follows:

$$M = \left[2 \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \left(2 - \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\right)\right] \left[\frac{\hbar e^{2} B}{2 \mu^{2} c^{2}} + \frac{\left(m + e\Phi_{AB} \hbar\right)}{\hbar^{2}} + \frac{e^{3} B^{2}}{2\hbar^{2}}\right]. \tag{23}$$
Finally, the magnetic susceptibility\textsuperscript{[49–51]} is calculated as

\[ \chi = \left[ \frac{2}{T^2} \right. \left( 2 - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right) \]

\[ \times \left[ \frac{3h^3e^4B}{2\mu^4c^4} \left( 1 + \sqrt{\left( \frac{m + e\Phi_{AB}}{hc} \right)^2 + \frac{2\mu V_0 r_0^2}{h^2}} \right)^{3/2} \right. \]

\[ \left. \left( \frac{h^2e^2B^2}{\mu^2c^2} + \frac{8V_0h^2}{r_0^2\mu} \right)^{3/2} \right] + \frac{3h^3e^6B^3}{2\mu^6c^6} \left( 1 + \sqrt{\left( \frac{m + e\Phi_{AB}}{hc} \right)^2 + \frac{2\mu V_0 r_0^2}{h^2}} \right)^{3/2} \]

\[ \left. \left( \frac{h^2e^2B^2}{\mu^2c^2} + \frac{8V_0h^2}{r_0^2\mu} \right)^{5/2} \right] \]. (24)

3. Results and discussion

Here, we present the results of our study. We take the parameters values as \( c = e = r_0 = h = K = \mu = 1, V_0 = 5 \) while plotting the figures. Therefore, we plot Figs. 5–8 using Eqs. (18)–(21), respectively. In these figures, the thermodynamic quantities such as mean energy, specific heat, free energy, and entropy quantities are changing with increasing values of temperature \( T \). For example, in Fig. 5, this changing is as deceasing, but in Figs. 6–8, these changes are as increasing for different azimuthal quantum numbers \( m \).

On the other hand, figures 9–14 show that the mean energy, specific heat, persistent current, magnetization, magnetic susceptibility, and entropy quantities are changing with increasing the pseudodot size for several values of the magnetic field.

It is interesting that this variation decreases in the case of Figs. 10, 11, and 14, but it increases in the case of Figs. 9, 12, and 13. Further, in Fig. 9, it reaches a maximum slowly at around some \( B \) for \( B \leq 8 \), and in Fig. 12, it increases exponentially. In Fig. 13, it reaches a maximum as a Gaussian form.
at around some $B$ for $1.5 < B \leq 4$, then, it decreases exponentially. Also, in Fig. 10, it reaches a minimum at around some $B$ for $B \geq 2$ and in Fig. 11, it decreases exponentially.

In Figs. 5–14, it is seen that the large influence of both the azimuthal quantum number and magnetic field are more apparent, respectively.

We have noticed from Fig. 9 that as $B$ increases further, the mean energy begins to decrease linearly as well, but in Fig. 10, the specific heat begins to increase linearly as well.

Figure 15 shows that the free energy decreases with increasing the value of the two fields for several of the pseudodot sizes, respectively.

Figure 12. (color online) The variation of the magnetization function versus the pseudodot size for various $B$.

Figure 13. (color online) The variation of the magnetic susceptibility function versus the pseudodot size for various $B$.

Figure 14. (color online) The variation of entropy function versus the pseudodot size with the various magnetic fields.

We have noticed from Fig. 9 that as $B$ increases further, the mean energy begins to decrease linearly as well, but in Fig. 10, the specific heat begins to increase linearly as well.

Figure 15 shows that the free energy decreases with increasing the value of the two fields for several of the pseudodot sizes, respectively.
Notice that for a fixed value of the magnetic and AB flux fields, the free energy decreases when the pseudodot size is increasing. Namely, it is obvious to state that the influence of the pseudodot size is more apparent.

\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 15. (color online) The variation of free energy function versus Aharonov-Bohm flux field with the various pseudodot size.}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 16. (color online) The variation of the persistent current function versus the AB flux field for various } m \text{ with } r_0 = 5.
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 17. (color online) The variation of magnetization function versus the AB flux field for various } m \text{ with } r_0 = 5.
\end{align*}

Figures 16–18 show that the persistent current, magnetization, and magnetic susceptibility increase with increasing value of the AB flux field for several different azimuthal quantum numbers. It is seen that the large influence of the azimuthal quantum number is more apparent for magnetic susceptibility.

\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 18. (color online) The variation of magnetic susceptibility function versus the AB flux field for various } m \text{ with } r_0 = 20.
\end{align*}

4. Concluding remarks

We solved the non-relativistic equation with the pseudo-harmonic plus harmonic oscillator potentials under the influence of the magnetic and AB flux fields to study the spherical QPDs. Our results in Eq. (8a), are found to be the same as Eq. (7) of Ref. [19].

We calculated the bound states energies and the corresponding wave functions. The finite bound state energies are used to obtain the partition function and then to obtain the main thermodynamic quantities for pseudodot systems. Our results are compared with the results obtained by other authors and found to be in good agreement.

It is worth remarking that the magnetic susceptibility function reaches its maximum value at the pseudodot size of $r_0 \simeq 4 \text{ nm}$ when the magnetic field $B = 2 T$. However, when the magnetic field strength increases this maximum value will decrease as shown in Fig. 13. On the other hand, the maximum of the entropy function curve decreases with the increasing of the pseudodot size $r_0$ for different magnetic field values as shown in Fig. 14.

Finally, our results of the energy states are plotted versus the various parameters of this model in Figs. 1–18.
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