Phenolic compounds from *Eurycorymbus cavaleriei*  
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Three new phenolic compounds, eurycorymboside A (1), eurycorymboside B (6), and eurycorymic acid (8), were isolated from the stem part of *Eurycorymbus cavaleriei* (Sapindaceae) along with five known phenolic compounds, glucosyringic acid (2), vanillic acid 4-0-β-D-glucoside (3), koaburaside (4), tachioside (5), and 4-hydroxy-3,5-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl)benzaldehyde (7). The structures were established on the basis of spectral analysis. The antioxidant activities of compounds 1–6 were evaluated by the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl-free radical scavenging assay. Compound 4 exhibited antioxidant activity with an IC\(_{50}\) value of 9.0 μM. Compound 4 also showed weak inhibitory activity against influenza A neuraminidase.
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1. Introduction

*Eurycorymbus cavaleriei* (Levl.) Rehd. et Hand.-Mazz. is the only species of the genus *Eurycorymbus* (Sapindaceae). The plant is endemic in the southern regions of China including Hunan, Yunnan, Sichuan, Guangxi, and Guangdong Provinces [1]. Previous phytochemical investigations on this plant have resulted in the isolation of lignan, coumarin, coumarinolignoid, meroterpen, benzenacetic acid derivative, and flavone compounds [2–6]. Our continuing phytochemical and pharmacological investigation of this plant led to the isolation of eight phenolic compounds, including three new compounds. In this paper, we describe the isolation and structure elucidation of three new phenolic compounds, 1, 6, and 8 (Figure 1), and the evaluation of antioxidant and neuraminidase inhibitory activities of compounds 1–6.

2. Results and discussion

Compound 1 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. Its atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) mass spectrum displayed a quasi-molecular ion at \(m/z\) 344 [M + NH\(_4\)]\(^+\) in positive mode, consistent with the formula C\(_{15}\)H\(_{18}\)O\(_8\), which was supported by HR-electrospray ionization (ESI)-MS (\(m/z\) 349.0900 [M + Na]\(^+\); calculated for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{18}\)O\(_8\)Na, 349.0894). The \(^1\)H NMR signals at δ 7.32 (2H, d, \(J = 9.0\) Hz, H-2 and H-6) and 7.02 (2H, d, \(J = 9.0\) Hz, H-3 and H-5) suggested the presence of a para-substituted phenyl moiety, whereas the \(^{13}\)C NMR signal at δ 170.3 (C-8) is attributed to a carbonyl group. The \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra also revealed the presence of a β-glucose moiety. The HMOC spectrum showed the correlations from two protons at δ 6.19 and 5.81 to a carbon at δ 125.8 (C-9), indicating

*Corresponding author. Email: chect@cuhk.edu.hk*
that this carbon was an unsaturated secondary carbon. In the HMBC spectrum (Figure 2), two methylene proton signals at \( \delta 6.19 \) and 5.81 (H-9a, 9b) displayed correlations with a quaternary carbon at \( \delta 142.7 \) (C-7), the carboxylic carbon at \( \delta 170.3 \) (C-8), and an aromatic carbon at \( \delta 132.4 \) (C-1), suggesting the presence of an acrylic acid moiety on the phenolic ring. On the other hand, the anomeric proton of glucose (\( \delta 4.87 \)) exhibited long-range correlation with C-4 (\( \delta 159.0 \)), suggesting that C-4 was glucosylated. On the basis of the above findings, the structure of \( \mathbf{1} \) was established as depicted in Figure 1 and given a trivial name of eurycorymboside A.

Compound \( \mathbf{6} \) was obtained as an amorphous powder. The APCI-MS (\( m/z \) 464 [M + NH\(_4\)]\(^+\), 447 [M + H]\(^+\)) was consistent with the formula C\(_{19}\)H\(_{26}\)O\(_{12}\), which was supported by HR-ESI-MS (\( m/z \) 469.1319 [M + Na]\(^+\); calculated for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{26}\)O\(_{12}\)Na, 469.1316). The \(^1\)H NMR signals at \( \delta 6.68 \) (1H, d, \( J = 3.0 \) Hz, H-2), 6.65 (1H, d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, H-5), and 6.51 (1H, dd, \( J = 3.0, 8.4 \) Hz, H-6) suggested the presence of a 1,3,4-trisubstituted phenyl moiety. Its \(^1\)H NMR spectrum was similar to that of tachioside (\( \mathbf{5} \)) except for the signals due to the sugar moiety, two methylenes, and a methyl group. The \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra showed 19 carbon signals with seven aglycone carbon signals similar to that of \( \mathbf{5} \), six sugar carbon signals (\( \delta_C \) 64.6, 71.5, 74.9, 75.3, 77.8, and 103.7), two carbonyl carbons (\( \delta_C \) 172.4 and 174.8), two methylene carbons (\( \delta_C \) 45.8 and 46.3), one methyl carbon (\( \delta_C \) 27.7), and one saturated quaternary carbon (\( \delta_C \) 70.7); these data suggested that compound \( \mathbf{6} \) is composed of \( \mathbf{5} \) and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaric acid (HMGA). In the HMBC spectrum (Figure 2), the proton signals at \( \delta 4.43 \) and 4.15 (H-6’a, 6’b) displayed correlations with the carboxylic carbon at \( \delta 172.4 \) (C-1’’), suggesting that HMGA is...
substituted at the C-6′ position by an ester bond. Thus, the structure of compound 6 was determined as depicted in Figure 1 and given a trivial name eurycorymboside B.

Compound 8 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. The molecular formula was determined to be C_{20}H_{28}O_{4} by the negative HR-ESI-MS (m/z 331.1994 [M – H]̄; calculated for C_{20}H_{27}O_{4}, 331.1988). The characteristic fragment ion peaks at m/z 287 [M – CH_{3}CH_{2}OH + H]̄ of positive APCI-MS suggested the existence of an ethoxy group, which was also supported by the negative HR-ESI-MS (m/z 285.1503 [M – CH_{3}CH_{2}OH – H]̄; calculated for C_{18}H_{21}O_{3}, 285.1491).

The 1H NMR spectrum showed proton signals of a 1,3,4,5-tetrasubstituted benzene ring [δ 7.02 (2H, s, H-2,6)], one oxygenated methenyl [δ 4.76 (1H, s, H-7)], one ethoxy [δ 3.55 (2H, m, H-9) and 1.27 (3H, t, J = 6.4 Hz, H-10)], two olefins [δ 5.30 (2H, m, H-2′,2′′)], and four methyls [δ 1.18 (12H, s, H-4′,5′,4′′,5′′)]), which revealed the symmetrical structure of 8. The 13C NMR spectrum showed 13 carbon resonances including one carbonyl resonance at δC 173.6 (C-8), two olefinic carbon resonances at δC 121.7 (C-2′,2′′) and 134.6 (C-3′,3′′), and two methyl carbon resonances at δC 17.9 (C-4′,4′′) and 25.8 (C-5′,5′′).

The HMBC correlations (Figure 2) were observed between 1H signals at δ 3.33 (H-1′,1′′) and 13C signals at δ 126.9 (C-2′,6), 153.4 (C-4), 121.7 (C-2′,2′′), and 134.6 (C-3′,3′′), indicating two isopentenyl groups symmetrically substituted to the benzene ring at the positions of C-3 and C-5. Furthermore, the 1H signals at δ 4.76 (H-7) displayed correlations with the 13C signal at δ 126.9 (C-2′,6), suggesting that this carbon is attached to C-1 of the benzene ring. The long-range correlations between the 1H signals at δ 3.55 (H-9) and 13C signals at δ 80.1 (C-7) indicated that the ethoxy group was attached to C-7. Therefore, the structure of 8 was proposed as depicted in Figure 1 and given a trivial name of eurycorymbic acid.

The five known compounds 2–5 and 7 were identified as glucosyringic acid (2) [7], vanillic acid 4-Oβ-D-glucoside (3) [8], koaburaside (4) [9], tachioside (5) [10], and 4-hydroxy-3,5-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl)benzaldehyde (7) [11] when comparing their spectral data with literature values. Compounds 2, 3, and 5 were reported for the first time from the family Sapindaceae, and compounds 4 and 7 were reported for the first time from the genus Eurycoma.

The antioxidant activities of compounds 1–6 were evaluated by 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)-free radical scavenging assay. Koaburaside (4) displayed significant scavenging activity with an IC_{50} of 9.0 μM; however, tachioside (5) showed weak scavenging activity with 21.51% of scavenging at a concentration of 16.6 μM.

Compounds 1–6 were also evaluated for their anti-influenza virus activity by the neuraminidase inhibition assay. Compound 4 showed weak inhibitory activity against influenza A neuraminidase with 46.24% of inhibition at a concentration of 12.0 μM, but no obvious inhibitory activity was observed for other compounds.

3. Experimental

3.1 General experimental procedures

1H, 13C, and 2D NMR spectra were measured on INOVA-501 spectrometer (1H at 500 MHz and 13C at 125 MHz) and INOVA-600 spectrometer (1H at 600 MHz and 13C at 150 MHz). UV spectra were recorded on the Agilent 8453 UV–vis spectrophotometer. Optical rotations were measured using a Perkin-Elmer 343 digital polarimeter (Norwalk, CT, USA). MS were recorded on the Agilent 1100 Series LC/MSD Trap spectrometer (APCI-MS), Agilent 6520 Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC/MS spectrometer (HR-ESI-MS), and Finnigan MAT 95XL mass spectrometer (HR-ESI-MS). Column chromatography (CC) was carried out using D101...
macroporous resin (Chemical Plant of Nankai University, Tianjin, China), Diaion HP-20 (Mitsubishi Chemical, Tokyo, Japan), and MCI Gel CHP20P (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). Semi-preparative HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1100 Series apparatus, equipped with quaternary pump, photodiode array detector, and ChemStation software, using a Grace Alltima C18 column (10.0 mm x 250 mm, 5 μM, Deerfield, IL, USA).

3.2 Plant material
The stem part of E. cavaleriei was collected from the Nanyue Arboretum (Hunan, China) in May 2008 and was identified by Prof. Ji Zhang, National Institutes for Food and Drug Control. A voucher specimen (No. 2008-016) has been deposited at the School of Chinese Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

3.3 Extraction and isolation
Air-dried stems of E. cavaleriei (5 kg) were extracted with 90% ethanol. After removing excessive solvent under reduced pressure, the syrup obtained was suspended in water, followed by successive partition with petroleum ether (BP 60–80°C, 21.7 g yield), dichloromethane (36.1 g), ethyl acetate (37.3 g), and n-butanol (47.4 g). The n-butanol fraction was separated by CC on D101 macroporous resin (eluted with water, 50% ethanol, and 95% ethanol successively) to afford three fractions (A–C). Fraction A was further subjected to CC on Diaion HP-20 and eluted with stepwise gradients of water–methanol (100:0, 85:15, 70:30, 50:50, and 5:95) to give five sub-fractions (B1–B5). Fraction B2 was applied to CC on MCI Gel CHP20P and eluted with gradients of water–methanol (100:0, 95:5, 90:10, 85:15, 80:20, 75:25, and 70:30). The seventh fraction (water–methanol 70:30) was purified by semi-preparative HPLC using MeCN-0.1%TFA (30:70) as mobile phase to yield compounds 5 (10 mg) and 6 (4 mg).

The petroleum ether soluble part of the ethanol extract (21.7 g) was subjected to CC on silica gel and eluted with stepwise gradients of petroleum ether (BP 60–80°C)-ethyl acetate to give 20 sub-fractions (P1–P20). Fraction P2 was subjected to CC on MCI Gel CHP20P and eluted with gradients of water–methanol to yield compound 7 (20 mg). Fraction P15 was subjected to CC on MCI Gel CHP20P and eluted with gradients of water–methanol to provide 10 sub-fractions. The fourth subfraction was purified by semi-preparative HPLC with MeCN-0.1% TFA (75:25) as mobile phase to yield compound 8 (10 mg).

3.3.1 Eurycorymboside A (1)
White amorphous powder; [α]D20 20 –62.1 (c = 0.17, MeOH); UV λmax nm: 220 (sh), 260; 1H NMR (600 MHz, CD3OD) and 13C NMR (150 MHz, CD3OD) spectral data (see Table 1); APCI-MS: m/z 344 [M + NH4]+; and HR-ESI-MS: m/z 349.0900 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C15H18O8Na, 349.0894).

3.3.2 Eurycorymboside B (6)
Pale amorphous powder; [α]D20 20 –41.3 (c = 0.31, MeOH); UV λmax nm: 228 (sh), 284; 1H NMR (600 MHz, CD3OD) and 13C NMR (150 MHz, CD3OD) spectral data (see Table 1); APCI-MS: m/z 464 [M + NH4]+, 447[M + H]+; and HR-ESI-MS: m/z 469.1319 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C19H26O12Na, 469.1316).
Table 1. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectral data of compounds 1, 6, and 8 ($\delta$ in ppm, $J$ in Hz).

|     | $^1$H | $^1$C | $^6$H | $^6$C | $^8$H | $^8$C |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1   |       | 132.4 |       | 152.5 |       | 127.2 |
| 2   | 7.32 (d, $J = 9.0$) | 130.4 | 6.68 (d, $J = 3.0$) | 104.2 | 7.02 (s) | 126.9 |
| 3   | 7.02 (d, $J = 9.0$) | 117.2 |       | 149.2 |       | 127.5 |
| 4   |       | 159.0 |       | 143.2 |       | 153.4 |
| 5   | 7.02 (d, $J = 9.0$) | 117.2 | 6.65 (d, $J = 8.4$) | 116.0 |       | 127.5 |
| 6   | 7.32 (d, $J = 9.0$) | 130.4 | 6.51 (dd, $J = 3.0, 8.4$) | 110.2 | 7.02 (s) | 126.9 |
| 7   |       | 142.7 | 3.78 (s) | 56.5 | 4.76 (s) | 80.1 |
| 8   |       | 170.3 |       |       |       | 173.6 |
| 9   | 6.19 (d, $J = 1.2$) | 125.8 |       |       | 3.55 (m) | 65.0 |
| 10  | 5.81 (d, $J = 1.2$) |       |       |       |       |       |
| 1'  | 4.87 (d, $J = 7.8$) | 102.2 | 4.68 (d, $J = 7.2$) | 103.7 | 3.33 (d, $J = 7.0$) | 29.7 |
| 2'  | 3.40–3.43 (m) | 74.9 | 3.30–3.40 (m) | 74.9 | 5.30 (m) | 121.7 |
| 3'  | 3.40–3.43 (m) | 78.0 | 3.30–3.40 (m) | 77.8 |       | 134.6 |
| 4'  | 3.32–3.35 (m) | 71.4 | 3.30–3.40 (m) | 71.5 | 1.18 (s) | 17.9 |
| 5'  | 3.38–3.40 (m) | 78.2 | 3.51–3.54 (m) | 75.3 | 1.18 (s) | 25.8 |
| 6'  | 3.83 (dd, $J = 2.4, 12.0$) | 62.5 | 4.43 (dd, $J = 1.8, 12.0$) | 64.6 | 3.33 (d, $J = 7.0$) | 29.7 |
| 7'  | 3.64 (dd, $J = 5.4, 12.0$) |       | 4.15 (dd, $J = 6.0, 12.0$) |       |       |       |
| 1'' |       |       |       | 172.4 | 3.33 (d, $J = 7.0$) | 29.7 |
| 2'' |       | 2.60–2.70 (m) |       | 46.3 | 5.30 (m) | 121.7 |
| 3'' |       |       |       | 70.7 |       | 134.6 |
| 4'' |       | 2.50–2.60 (m) |       | 45.8 | 1.18 (s) | 17.9 |
| 5'' |       |       |       | 174.8 | 1.18 (s) | 25.8 |
| 6'' |       | 1.30 (s) |       | 27.7 |       |       |

Notes: *Measured in CD$_3$OD.  
** Measured in CDCl$_3$. 

3.3.3 Eurycorymibic acid (8)
White amorphous powder; C_{20}H_{28}O_{4}; [a]_{D}^{20} = -58.5 (c = 0.40, MeOH); UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm: 237 (sh), 280; \(^{1}\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) and \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) spectral data (see Table 1); APCI-MS m/z: 287 [M – CH\(_{3}\)CH\(_{2}\)OH + H]\(^{+}\); and HR-ESI-MS (negative): m/z 331.1994 [M – 2H]\(^{2-}\) (calcd for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{27}\)O\(_{4}\), 331.1988), 285.1503 [M – CH\(_{3}\)CH\(_{2}\)OH – H]\(^{+}\) (calcd for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{21}\)O\(_{3}\), 285.1491).

3.4 Antioxidant activity
The DPPH assay was carried out according to the modified method of Aquino et al. [12]: the test sample (10 \(\mu\)l) at different concentrations of DMSO was added to 190 \(\mu\)l of freshly prepared DPPH solution (6.5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-5}\) M, in MeOH). The absorbance of DPPH at 517 nm was measured on a Zenyth 200 UV–vis spectrophotometer after 30 min. The percentage of scavenging was calculated, and IC\(_{50}\) values were expressed as the concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% DPPH-free radicals. Vitamin C was used as positive control, showing an IC\(_{50}\) of 0.72 \(\mu\)M.

3.5 Neuraminidase inhibition assay
The in vitro assay is based on the method reported previously [13,14]. The influenza viruses A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) were used as source of neuraminidase. The enzyme reaction system consists of 30 \(\mu\)l of the enzyme in 33 mM MES buffer (pH 3.5), 10 \(\mu\)l of 4 mM CaCl\(_{2}\), 20 \(\mu\)l of 20 \(\mu\)M 2'-(4-methylumbelliferyl)-α-D-acetylneuraminic acid, 30 \(\mu\)l water, and 10 \(\mu\)l test solvent in a 96-well microplate. The final volume was 100 \(\mu\)l. After 10 min of incubation at 37°C, 150 \(\mu\)l of 14 mM NaOH in 83% ethanol was added to the reaction mixture to terminate the reaction. The intensity of fluorescence was quantitated in Fluostar Galaxy (excitation, 360 nm and emission, 450 nm), and substrate blanks were subtracted from the sample readings. The IC\(_{50}\) was calculated by plotting percent inhibition versus the inhibitor concentration, and determination of each data point was performed in duplicate. Zanamivir was used as positive control, showing an IC\(_{50}\) of 0.72 nm.
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