Alpha-hydroxytropolones are noncompetitive inhibitors of human RNase H1 that bind to the active site and modulate substrate binding
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Inhibition of RNase H1 by α-hydroxytropolones
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**Figure 1. RNase H1 characterization.** A, RNase H1 active site (PDB: 2QKK) (10) with Mg\(^{2+}\) ions A and B as blue spheres, water as red spheres, DEDD residues are in yellow, and the RNA backbone is in orange and red. B, recombinant gene structures. C, SDS-PAGE gel of purified proteins. About 1 μg of protein from two preparations of each protein was electrophoresed in a 4 to 16% gradient gel. Lane 1 is the BenchMark molecular weight marker. D, SEC chromatogram of 0.4 ml of 20 μM RNase H1. E, CD spectrum of 666 nM RNase H1. F, FRET heteroduplex cleavage assay. The dark star is quenched fluorescein (F), and the cylinder is the quencher (Q). Upon cleavage near the 3’ RNA terminus, the fluorescein-labeled fragment is released from proximity to the quencher and fluorescence increases (yellow star). G, example of RNase H1 (1 nM) reaction progress curves showing concentration of fluorescein (FAM) liberated from quencher over time. Substrate concentrations are indicated. The signal of catalytically inactive mutant RNase H1\(^{D210N}\) (50 nM) is at the bottom in red. H, representative Michaelis–Menten plot for RNase H1 and RD12 fit with the Michaelis-Menten equation \( V_0 = V_{max} [S]/(K_M + [S]) \) (15, 16). Parameters are reported as the mean ± SD of at least three independent measurements. PDB, Protein Data Bank; RNase H1, ribonuclease H1.

reminiscent of noncompetitive inhibition, suggesting that binding of substrate and compounds is not mutually exclusive. Since the compounds bind to the active site, we propose a model in which, by binding to the active site, αHTIs stabilize an inactive enzyme–substrate–inhibitor (ESI) complex.

**Results**

**Purification and characterization of human RNase H1**

Human RNase H1 (UniProtKB: O60930, amino acids 27–286), a catalytically inactive mutant RNase H1\(^{D210N}\), and human RNase HC (amino acids 136–286) containing only the catalytic domain were cloned into a pET-15b vector and expressed in *E. coli* (Fig. 1B) (11). All proteins carry an N-terminal histidine tag and thrombin cleavage site. Cells carrying this plasmid exhibited slowed growth, leaky protein expression, and proteolysis of the poorly expressed RNase H1. Addition of 1% glucose to all media resolved these problems without interfering with IPTG-induced expression. Ni\(^{2+}\)-affinity purification from cells grown in this manner resulted in protein of >95% purity as determined by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1C) that was free of nucleic acid contamination (absorbance at 260 nm/280 nm = 0.55–0.60). The high purity from one-step purification is due to the proteins’ atypically strong binding to the Ni\(^{2+}\)–nitrilotriacetic acid resin, which allowed us to add 125 mM imidazole to the wash buffer, greatly reducing nonspecific binding. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) revealed a single elution peak corresponding to monomeric RNase H1 with an apparent molecular weight (MW) of 34.6 kDa ± 1.9 kDa (Fig. 1D; calculated MW = 31.4 kDa). The yield was ~2.5 mg per liter of cell culture.

To determine if recombinant RNase H1 is properly folded, we next performed CD experiments in the far–UV range (Fig. 1E). The strong negative ellipticity obtained for the human enzyme was similar, yet not identical, to that of the homologous and well-characterized *E. coli* RNase H (12–14). This is consistent with RNase H1 being properly folded and containing ordered secondary structural elements. The difference between *E. coli* and human RNase H1 spectra is likely because the *E. coli* enzyme lacks the unstructured linker and HBD.

Finally, we performed a fluorogenic assay to evaluate the catalytic activity of the recombinant enzyme (Fig. 1F). Briefly, we incubated an RNA–DNA hybrid of 12 bp (RD12) labeled with fluorescein at the 3’ RNA terminus and a quencher at the 5’ DNA terminus with the enzyme before adding 5 mM Mg\(^{2+}\). Addition of Mg\(^{2+}\) to a solution of 1 nM enzyme and substrate, but not substrate alone, resulted in a significant increase in fluorescence, consistent with separation of the two strands mediated by the enzyme (Fig. 1G). Importantly, enzyme activity toward the substrate obeyed Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Fig. 1H) (15, 16), implying saturation of enzyme at high substrate concentrations. While the presence of the N-terminal histidine tag did not affect catalytic activity of the enzyme, activity was specific to the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) and residue D210,
since Ca\(^{2+}\) did not support catalysis and mutation of D210 to N abrogated its catalytic activity (Fig. 1, G and H). These data indicate that the recombinant human RNase H1 expressed in E. coli and is suitable for biochemical studies.

**Inhibition profile of compounds 110 and 404 against human RNase H1**

αHTs are RNase H inhibitors that bind to the active site via coordination of the divalent metal cofactors. This was determined by cocrystallization of HIV RNase H and the αHT β-thujaplicinol (Fig. 2A) (10), which inhibits both HIV and HBV RNase H (17). We focused on two compounds, 110 and 404 (Fig. 2A) that are structurally similar to β-thujaplicinol, yet diverse enough to assess the generality of the inhibition mechanism.

To confirm that 110 and 404 bind to metal ions in solution, we performed binding experiments using absorption spectroscopy to monitor complex formation between Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) with the compounds (Fig. S1, A–D). Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) altered the absorption spectra of both compounds substantially, and we determined the compounds’ stability constants, \(K\), which are related to the dissociation constant (\(K_D\)) by the relationship \(\log K = -\log K_D\) (Table S1) (18). Two distinct equilibria were observed corresponding to low-affinity and high-affinity binding events, consistent with the compounds’ dual metal-chelation motifs (Fig. S1, E and F). The high-affinity stability constant of Mg\(^{2+}\) for 110 (\(\log K_1\)) was 3.55 (\(K_D = 0.2\) mM), whereas the low-affinity stability constant (\(\log K_2\)) was 1.61 (\(K_D = 30\) mM). The high-affinity constant is similar to that of the one divalent cation-coordinating dihydroxytropylium ion (\(\log K = 3.82, K_D = 0.15\) mM) (19). Stability constants for 404 were similar to those of 110 (\(\log K_1 = 3.9\) and \(\log K_2 = 1.7\)).

Next, to characterize the inhibitory profiles of the compounds against RNase H1, we titrated the compound and substrate against the enzyme in steady-state kinetics experiments. Kinetic and inhibition parameters are shown in
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Figure 2. Inhibition kinetics of RNase H1 cleavage of RD12 substrate by compounds 110 and 404. A, structures of β-thujaplicinol and compounds 110 and 404. B and C, representative Michaelis–Menten plots of RNase H1 (B) and RNase HC (C) in the presence of compound 110 (top panels) or compound 404 (bottom panels). Data were fit with Equations 1–3 (20). Numbers to the right of the regression lines indicate inhibitor concentrations in micromolar. Parameters are shown in Table 1. Plots are representative of three or more independent experiments with additional data in Figs. S2–S5. RD12, RNA–DNA hybrid of 12 bp; RNase H1, ribonuclease H1.
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Table 1

| Parameter | RNase H1-wt | RNase HC |
|-----------|-------------|----------|
| kcat (s⁻¹) | 0.16 ± 0.07 | 0.22 ± 0.04 |
| kcat/Ki (nM) | 89 ± 30 | >500 |
| Kc/Ki (M⁻¹ s⁻¹) | 1.800,000 | 440,000 |
| 110 Kα (µM) | 17.9 ± 11 | 38 ± 12 |
| 110 α | 0.61 ± 0.29 | Unstable |
| 110 KαEs (µM) | 8.5 ± 0.0003 | Unstable |
| 110 IEs (%) | 95 ± 2 | ~100 |
| 404 Kα (µM) | 9.3 ± 8 | ~15 |
| 404 α | 0.39 ± 0.17 | Unstable |
| 404 KαEs (µM) | 2.6 ± 0.5 | Unstable |
| 404 IEs (%) | 94 ± 2 | ~100 |

*Because of inability to saturate the RNase HC reaction, kcat is highly extrapolated and Kα, KαEs, and Kαi cannot be reliably determined. Data for alternate substrates in Fig. S5; Kα is the K of the inhibitor for the free enzyme and KαEs is the K for the enzyme–substrate complex. α is the proportionality constant for the two inhibition constants. α = KαEs/Kα (21).*

Table 1. Data were fit with Equations 1–3 (20). Both compounds showed no evidence of competitive inhibition (Figs. 2B and S2, J and K and Table S2). They instead reduced the turnover (Vmax) while minimally enhancing the apparent affinity for the substrate (Km) (Figs. 2B and S2 and Table S2). Importantly, saturating concentrations of 110 and 404 could not fully inhibit RNase H1 activity (Figs. 2B and S3, A and B and Table S2), both with maximum fractional inhibition (Imax) values of ~95% (Table 1). Inhibition was reversible, as documented by recovery of enzymatic activity after dilution of the compound (Fig. S3, E and F) (21). These results argue for a reversible and noncompetitive mechanism of inhibition whereby binding of the substrate and compounds are not mutually exclusive.

Determining the impact of the HBD on inhibition

Human RNase H1 contains an HBD (Fig. 1B) that imparts high-affinity substrate binding (6, 22). In addition, binding of the substrate to the HBD could allosterically modulate active-site accessibility. To determine the impact of the HBD on inhibition, we assessed the compounds’ inhibition profiles against a mutant enzyme that contains only the catalytic domain, referred to as RNase HC (11). Compounds 110 and 404 inhibited RNase HC in a dose-dependent manner (Figs. 2C, S3, C and D and S4). This confirms that the binding site is in the catalytic domain. Because of the much lower affinity of RNase HC for RD12, we could not saturate the reaction to accurately determine Km and kcat; however, high 110 and 404 concentrations reduced the Km to within the measurable range, allowing us to fit the data to a global inhibition model (Equations 1–3) (Figs. 2C and S4). Like full-length RNase H1, RNase HC was inhibited in a noncompetitive manner. To further validate this observation, we repeated the same assays with 14-mer and 18-mer hybrid substrates for which RNase HC has a lower Km. We observed clear noncompetitive inhibition with these substrates (Fig. S5). Assays with 404 using 14-mer (Fig. S5, A, F, and G) and 18-mer (Fig. S5, B, C, F, H, and I) heteroduplex substrates showed reductions of Km, whereas the effect of compound 110 on Km was unclear (Fig. S5, D, F, and J). Thus, both compounds inhibited turnover of RNase HC. This indicates that binding of substrate and compound to the catalytic domain is not mutually exclusive.

Two molecules of RNase H1 bind to one molecule of RD12 heteroduplex substrate

Next, we wanted to determine the effect of the compounds on substrate binding. First, we characterized the binding of RNase H1 to RD12 substrate in the absence of inhibitor. To determine the stoichiometry of human RNase H1, we performed SEC of 20 µM RNase H1 preincubated with 5, 10, and 15 µM of RD12 (Fig. 3A) in the presence of Ca²⁺ instead of Mg²⁺ to prevent degradation of the substrate (Fig. 1H). In all treatments, we observed a major peak with an apparent MW of 68 kDa, which corresponds to a 2:1 enzyme–substrate complex (E₂S; calculated MW = 71 kDa) (Figs. 3A and S6). In the 5 µM RD12/20 µM RNase H1 sample, there were two prominent peaks corresponding to the free enzyme and the E₂S complex.

Figure 3. Characterization of the RNase H1–RD12 ES complex. A, size-exclusion chromatograms of RD12 substrate titrated with 20 µM RNase H1 in a Superdex 200 increase column with 500 mM NaCl. Superdex 75 chromatograms with 100 mM NaCl and MW standards are shown in Fig. S6A. Vertical lines indicate retention volumes of the E₂S complex (solid; 14.3 ml), the free enzyme (dotted; 15.7 ml), and the free substrate (dashed; 18.2 ml). Chromatograms are representative of at least two independent experiments. B, fluorescence polarization assay of 12.5 nM fluorescent-labeled 12-bp heteroduplex substrate (RD12) titrated with RNase H1. Gray-filled, black-filled, and white-filled circles represent data from three independent experiments. Data were fit with Equation 4 (23). ES, enzyme–substrate; MW, molecular weight; RD12, RNA–DNA hybrid of 12 bp; RNase H1, ribonuclease H1.
Addition of 10 μM RD12 (putatively 20 μM of binding sites) increased the absorption of the E₂S peak and eliminated the free enzyme peak. Finally, addition of 15 μM RD12 did not increase absorption in the E₂S peak, but the 5 μM excess eluted as a shoulder off the side of the ES peak rather than as free substrate, implying that binding is dynamic on the timescale of the chromatographic run.

To determine substrate-binding affinity, complex formation between RNase H1 and RD12 substrate lacking a quencher on the DNA strand was monitored by fluorescence polarization (FP). Ca²⁺ was again used instead of Mg²⁺. We titrated RNase H1 against 12.5 nM RD12 and fit the anisotropy data with the quadratic binding equation (Equation 4) (23), which accounts for ligand depletion, in this case RNase H1, when the receptor (RD12) concentration (R_{tot}) is near or above the K_D. R_{tot} was constrained to 25 nM because of the 2:1 stoichiometry (Fig. 3A) (6). This yielded a K_D of 16.6 ± 1.9 nM (Figs. 3B and S7, A and B). An approximately fivefold lower K_D relative to the K_M (Fig. 1H) is likely because of differences in assay conditions between the kinetics and binding experiments (e.g., Mg²⁺ versus Ca²⁺).

Finally, to independently confirm the stoichiometry of the complex determined by SEC, titrations were performed at 375 nM of RD12 substrate, which is saturating for the enzyme based on K_D = 16.6 nM. After fixing the K_D to 16.6 nM, the calculated concentration of binding sites was 724 nM, which, consistent with a 2:1 stoichiometry, is twice the concentration of the substrate (Fig. S7, C and D). Together, these results indicate that two molecules of RNase H1 bind one molecule of RD12 with high affinity.

Compounds 110 and 404 modulate substrate binding

Even though most of the inhibitory effects of the αHTs was on Vₘₐₓ, compounds 110 and 404 reduced K_M in steady-state kinetics (Figs. 2 and S2, S4 and S5). This is consistent with the observation that β-thujaplicinol binds to the HIV-1 reverse transcriptase (RT)-substrate complex with higher affinity than to the free enzyme (10). However, if the assumption that k_{cat} << substrate release (k_{off}) is not true for the RNase H1 mechanism, then the modest reductions in K_M observed here could be due to inhibition of chemical cleavage, product release, or any other zero-order step in the mechanism. To directly assess the compounds’ effects on substrate binding, we measured the binding of RNase H1 to substrate with FP as described previously (Fig. 3B) in the presence of saturating concentrations of 110 (200 μM), 404 (200 μM), or 2% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as a control. Compound 110 reduced the K_D approximately threefold, from 12.2 to 4.1 nM (Fig. 4), indicating enhanced affinity. By contrast, the presence of saturating concentrations of compound 404 (200 μM) increased the K_D value sevenfold from 12.2 to 84 nM, indicating loss of affinity (Fig. 4). This result is surprising as it is inconsistent with the K_M reduction observed with the treatment of compound 404 in the kinetics experiments (Figs. 2 and S2, S4 and S5). We suspect this is an artifact from the use of Ca²⁺ instead of Mg²⁺. Hence, in the presence of Ca²⁺, the two structurally similar compounds had opposite effects on substrate binding.

To further explore this unexpected observation, we evaluated the effect of saturating compounds 110 and 404 (500 μM) on the distribution of free RNase H1 and RNase H1 complexed with RD12 using SEC. Ca²⁺ was used to prevent catalysis as in FP assays. We adjusted conditions such that the complex partially dissociated (~50%) during elution. This involved reducing the enzyme and substrate concentrations to 2.5 and 1.25 μM, respectively. In 100 mM NaCl, the complex did not dissociate during elution, so 500 mM NaCl was added to the sample and elution buffers, which resulted in ~50% dissociation of enzyme and substrate. Unexpectedly, when scouting conditions to cause partial ES dissociation during SEC, the peak of the complex shifted to an apparent MW of 43 kDa (Figs. 5 and S6, B–D) upon reduction of enzyme and substrate concentrations to or below 5 and 2.5 μM, respectively, corresponding closely to the calculated MW of a 1:1 RNase H1–RD12 complex (calculated MW = 39.5 kDa). This could be due to preference of a 1:1 complex at subsaturating concentrations.

To monitor the substrate’s elution profile, we measured fluorescein’s absorption (absorbance at 485 nm) from the labeled RD12 substrate simultaneously with absorbance at 280 nm, which detects both enzyme and substrate. The enzyme and substrate were preincubated with or without 500 μM of compound 110 or compound 404, and then the complexes were resolved by SEC. We observed a peak in both absorbances at 280 and 485 nm corresponding to the ES complex and two additional peaks and shoulders of 485 and 280 nm, respectively. One of the additional peaks aligns just ahead of the free RD12 retention volume and one just after (Fig. 5A). The middle peak of the 485 nm absorbance trace likely comes from free substrate released from the ES complex as it was diluted in the column, and the last peak may arise from single-stranded oligonucleotides, which dissociated as the 12 bp heteroduplex was diluted in the column. Addition of 500 μM of compound 110 to the sample buffer shifted the distribution of free and bound substrate almost entirely to the bound form.
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(Fig. 5B). The same experiment performed with 500 μM of compound 404 resulted in enhanced dissociation of the ES complex, indicated by reduced absorbance at 280 nm at the ES retention volume with the absorbance at 485 nm mostly shifted to the free-substrate position (Fig. 5C). Thus, results from SEC are consistent with the enhanced binding observed in the presence of compound 110 and inhibited binding in the presence of compound 404 (Fig. 4). These results support the finding that compound 110 enhances substrate binding in kinetics assays, and competition of compound 404 with substrate in binding assays is likely an artifact of using Ca2+ instead of Mg2+. (Fig. 5B).

Modeling of ES(i) ternary complexes with compounds 110 and 404

To understand how the compounds could produce the inhibition patterns and effects on substrate binding we observed, we employed induced-fit docking of the compounds to RNase H1 (Protein Data Bank [PDB] ID: 2QKK) using the Schrödinger software suite. We constrained our analyses to poses in which the compounds chelate both divalent ions via their oxygen trident because the metal-chelating binding mode is well established for the αHTs and RNase H (10). We observed multiple binding poses for compound 110 in the active site (Fig. S8A). The hydroxylated tropolone ring of compound 404 bound the Mg2+ ions in the active site in a single pose (Fig. S8B), but its large appendage docked along the substrate-binding groove outside the active site in different poses. The average predicted binding energy of all poses for compound 110 is −8.2 ± 0.6 kcal/mol and that of compound 404 is −9.2 ± 1 kcal/mol (Fig. S8). Superposition of the 14-mer RNA:DNA heteroduplex substrate from the original RNase H1-substrate cocrystal structure showed that the compounds and the RNA strand cannot simultaneously occupy the active site (Fig. 6), and that compound 404 much more substantially overlapped with the total substrate-binding interface than compound 110. This suggests that the substrate and/or enzyme change their conformation to accommodate the compounds in the active site while remaining bound via ES contacts that remain accessible. This seems to be the case with β-thujaplicinol and HIV RNase H (10), where the substrate is predicted to stay just above the active site, interacting with the compound. Compound 404 would require a larger accommodation on the part of the substrate. We suspect the binding poses could be substantially different in the presence of Ca2+, with changes in the binding pose of compound 404 likely having greater effects than changes in the pose of compound 110, by virtue of its larger size.

Discussion

RNase H1 is increasingly being recognized as a central player in nuclear and mitochondrial genome maintenance and replication (1, 24). The consequences of RNase H1 knockout or loss-of-function mutations are severe (2, 25), and therefore, it is important that highly selective antiviral RNase H inhibitors be developed to avoid off-target human RNase H1 inhibition. In addition, αHTs and other metal-chelating compounds can inhibit other DEDD motif-containing viral nucleases, including pUL30/pUL42 of herpes simplex virus 1 and herpes simplex virus 2 (26), HIV integrase (27), the influenza and bunyavirus cap-snatching enzymes (28), and others. Therefore, understanding inhibition of RNase H1 by divalent metal-chelating compounds, including αHTs, will aid development of selective inhibitors of enzymes that share structural or enzymatic similarity with it.

Binding of RNA–DNA hybrid substrate by RNase H1

We showed that human RNase H1 binds to an RD12 with high affinity (KD = 16.6; Fig. 3B) and 2:1 stoichiometry with SEC (Fig. 3A) and stoichiometric titration (Fig. S7, C and D). This is consistent with the 2:1 stoichiometry of the homologous murine RNase H1 (6), though the human enzyme may form 1:1 complexes in subsaturating enzyme and substrate concentrations (Figs. 5A and S6, B–D). Previous studies (6) show that the catalytic domain on its own binds substrate with lower affinity than the full-length protein, which is consistent with the elevated KM of RNase HC observed here (Figs. 2C, S4).
and S5 and Table 1). RNase H1 contacts its substrate via the large binding interfaces of the RNase H domain and HBD (11, 22). Though no structures of the full eukaryotic RNase H1 exist, models have been proposed, most envisioning the enzyme as two balls connected by a string, corresponding to the globular RNase H domain and HBD and the putatively unstructured linker (Fig. 7) (22, 29). The HBD is thought to tightly anchor the enzyme to the substrate while the RNase H domain makes processive cleavages, repeatedly engaging and disengaging the substrate. Furthermore, the single-domain E. coli RNase H was recently shown to exhibit processivity (30), from which it follows that the RNase H active site must be empty for some time between processive cleavages while the rest of the enzyme remains bound. Thus, even in the context of the ES complex, there should be opportunities for the compounds to bind.

Compounds 110 and 404 are nontraditional noncompetitive inhibitors

Steady-state kinetics data for compounds 110 and 404 were inconsistent with competitive inhibition, showing strong reductions in \( V_{\text{max}} \) (Fig. 2). Both compounds also caused modest but significant reductions in \( K_{M} \). Thus, a rigorous description of the kinetics data requires the general (mixed) model of inhibition (Equations 1–3) (20). In this model, \( \alpha \) is the ratio of \( K_i \) for the ES complex \( (K_{i,\text{ES}}) \) to \( K_i \) for the free enzyme \( (K_{i,\text{F}}) \) (21). A minimum \( V_{\text{max}} \) term was added in the modeling to account for incomplete inhibition in saturating compound and substrate concentrations (Equation 2). This model fits the data of both compounds significantly better than the simple competitive, uncompetitive, and noncompetitive models (Table S2 and Fig. S2, J and K), most often with \( p < 0.01 \). Data from RNase HC, which lacks the linker and HBD, also displayed noncompetitive inhibition, with both compounds reducing \( V_{\text{max}} \) (Figs. 2C, S4 and S5) and compound 404 also reducing \( K_{M} \) (Fig. S5, A–C). Finally, we ruled out irreversible inhibition (Fig. S3, E and F).

In noncompetitive inhibition, the compound may bind to the free enzyme and the ES complex. Noncompetitive kinetics have traditionally been seen as an evidence that the compound binds somewhere other than the active site, causing a conformational change in the enzyme that reduces the turnover rate and may also modulate substrate binding (21). However, active-site binding via metal chelation is well established for \( \alpha \)HTs with HIV RNase H (10), and an intact two metal-chelating oxygen trident on the inhibitors is essential for their function against all RNases H (31–33). We demonstrated that compounds 110 and 404 bind Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) in solution using UV–visible absorption spectroscopy (Fig. S1 and Table S1). Finally, molecular modeling studies support the two-metal ion–bound active sites as the compound-binding site (Fig. S8). From these data, we conclude that compounds 110 and 404 do not work by the mechanism typically inferred from noncompetitive inhibition kinetics.

Instead, experimental data and docking results for both compounds are consistent with compounds binding in the active site as expected but forming stable and inactive ESI complexes rather than competing with the substrate (Fig. 7). Docking studies indicate that substrate and compound cannot bind in the active site at the same time (Fig. 6, (10)). With compound bound, the substrate may bind in an altered conformation, exchanging bonds with RNase H1 active-site residues and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions for bonds with the compound. This would account for the noncompetitive kinetics and the enhanced substrate binding. Compound 110 enhanced substrate binding in both kinetics and binding assays, whereas compound 404 was competitive in binding assays while reducing \( K_{M} \) in kinetics assays (Figs. 2, 4 and 5). The reason for this apparent discordant result is uncertain, though Occam’s razor suggests it is related to the use of Mg\(^{2+}\) in kinetics assays and Ca\(^{2+}\) in binding assays. Ca\(^{2+}\) has a larger atomic radius.
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than Mg$^{2+}$ (34), and because of this, the A-site Ca$^{2+}$ ion is displaced from the position of the A-site Mg$^{2+}$ ion, and the two Ca$^{2+}$ ions are substantially further apart than are the two Mg$^{2+}$ ions (35). Ca$^{2+}$ is also more flexible in its number of coordination partners than Mg$^{2+}$. Finally, the stability constants of Ca$^{2+}$ for compound 110 ($\log K_1 = 2.56$, $\log K_2 = 0.35$) were much lower than those of Mg$^{2+}$ ($\log K_1 = 3.46$, $\log K_2 = 1.61$) (Table S1 and Fig. S1). This indicates differences in how the compounds interact with the two cations that may be relevant to their effects on substrate binding.

It is not clear why saturating concentrations of compound 110 or compound 404 did not cause 100% inhibition of catalysis (Figs. 2, S2 and S3 and Tables 1 and S2). One possibility is that there may be one or more step(s) that occur in assembly of the ESI complexes that have some probability of substrate cleavage. Mg$^{2+}$ stimulates binding of αHTs to the RNase H type 1 active site (10), but the A-site Mg$^{2+}$ ion (MgA$^{2+}$) does not bind efficiently in the absence of bound substrate (5). Thus, it may be necessary for the substrate to enter the active site to recruit MgA$^{2+}$ and then partially dissociate to create the two Mg$^{2+}$-ion-bound active site to which the compounds bind most efficiently. After MgA$^{2+}$ recruitment, the substrate may be cleaved and released from the active site or released uncleaved. We speculate that the compounds may bind immediately after the substrate is released from the active site and form an ESI complex. However, if recruitment of MgA$^{2+}$ by substrate precedes compound binding to the ES complex, then there would be residual activity related directly to the chance of cleavage when MgA$^{2+}$ is recruited and inversely to the stability of the ESI complex.

Comparison of human RNase H1 inhibition to viral RNase H inhibition

The αHT β-thujaplicinol (Fig. 2A) inhibits HIV-1 RT–associated RNase H in a reversible noncompetitive manner (10), and the compound also exhibited improved binding in the presence of substrate. This is consistent with our finding that inhibition of αHTs 110 and 404 against RNase H1 is greatest in saturating substrate concentrations (i.e., $K_{ES} < K_{IE}$) (Fig. S3, A–D and Table 1). The RNases H of both HIV and HBV are domains of larger polymerase proteins with much of their substrate-binding capacity located in their adjacent RT domains (36, 37), recapitulating through a different structural mechanism the overall situation observed with RNase H1. Thus, the mostly noncompetitive behavior of β-thujaplicinol against HIV RT–associated RNase H is because the small β-thujaplicinol molecule does not block a substantial fraction of the total ES contacts. While the mechanism of inhibition of HBV RNase H by αHTs has not been determined because of difficulties in producing suitable recombinant enzyme, the HBV enzyme may also be inhibited in a noncompetitive manner.

Experimental procedures

**Protein expression and purification**

Full-length RNase H1 (UniProtKB: O60930, amino acids 136–286) and a catalytic domain–only mutant (RNase HC; UniProtKB: O60930, amino acids 136–286) were purified by Ni$^{2+}$-affinity chromatography as before with modifications (11). Transformed E. coli (LOBSTR) were grown and induced in LB media with 1% glucose. 2-Mercaptoethanol was replaced with 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) in purification buffers. After Ni$^{2+}$–nitroliotriacetic acid purification, the buffer was exchanged via centrifugal desalting columns into storage buffer (50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM TCEP) and stored at −80 °C. Proteins were quantified by UV spectroscopy with absorbance at 280 nm molar extinction coefficients of 47,440 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for full-length RNase H1 and 31,970 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for the catalytic domain–only mutant (RNase HC). These values were determined by ExPASy ProtParam using the amino acid sequences of RNase H1 and RNase HC.

**CD**

Three milliliters of 666 nM RNase H1 in CD buffer (6.25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaF, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM TCEP) was read in a 10 mm × 10 mm quartz cuvette with an Applied Photophysics CD spectrophotometer at 20 °C. Four sets of 10 reads were taken and averaged. The buffer signal was read and subtracted from the protein sample’s signal.

**SEC of RNase H1 and ligands**

RNase H1, substrate, and compound were run alone and in different combinations. All SEC experiments were conducted in a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column at room temperature, except in Fig. S6A, in which a Superdex 75 10/300 GL was used. The final composition of the elution buffer was 50 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM TCEP. The pH was adjusted with NaOH to 7.5. NaCl was reduced to 100 mM in Fig. S6A. Buffer stocks were prepared and stored at room temperature without TCEP. A freshly thawed aliquot of HEPES-buffered TCEP (pH 7.5) was added to fresh buffer stock every 2 to 3 h. Each day, ≥40 ml fresh buffer was used to equilibrate the column. Runs were conducted at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Samples were prepared and incubated at room temperature for 15 min prior to starting the run. The sample (0.4 ml) was loaded into the sample loop for each run. Concentrations of enzyme and substrate employed are indicated in the figures. In all experiments using inhibitors, 500 μM of the compound was added to the sample buffer and 2% of DMSO to vehicle controls. Apparent MWs were determined by resolving a set of Bio-Rad gel filtration standards in the same conditions. Average apparent MWs of elution peaks were determined from three or more independent experiments.

**Heteroduplex substrate preparation**

Fluorescein-labeled RNA oligonucleotides and complementary Iowa Black–labeled DNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies with HPLC purification. RNAs and DNAs were dissolved in nuclelease-free water and combined in a RNA:DNA ratio of 1:1.1 in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, and 2 mM TCEP. The
substrate solution was heated to 90 °C for 10 min and slowly cooled to 4 °C to promote annealing. For RD12, the RNA sequence is GACACCUGAGUC/36-FAM and the DNA sequence is 5IABkFQ/GACTCAGGTGTC. Additional sequences are shown in Fig. S5E.

Compound sourcing
RNase H inhibitors were synthesized by Dr Ryan Murelli (Brooklyn College, City University of New York) (17, 38). Compounds were >95% pure, dissolved at 25 mM in 100% DMSO, and stored in small aliquots at −25 °C in opaque tubes.

RNase H heteroduplex cleavage assay
RNase H reactions were assembled by combining enzyme and substrate in a buffer of 50 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM TCEP, and bringing the mixture to 90% final volume with nuclease-free water. Reactions were initiated by adding 2.5 μl of 50 mM MgCl₂ to 22.5 μl of the 90% reaction mixture for a final MgCl₂ concentration of 5 mM in 25 μl. Assays were assembled at room temperature and conducted at 28 °C in 384-well black plates, and fluorescence was detected at 10 to 60 s intervals in a Biotek Synergy HTX plate reader using 485/20 nm and 528/20 nm filters. Fluorescence of substrate concentration–matched non-catalysis controls lacking either RNase H1 or Mg²⁺ was subtracted from each reaction progress curve at each time point. Maximum rates of fluorescence increase in relative fluorescence units were determined from five or more data points by the Biotek Gen5 3.10 or 3.11 software (BioTek). A linear standard curve was used to convert fluorescence units to nanomolar of released fluorescein by plotting the plateaus of reaction progress curves against RD12 concentration.

Steady-state kinetics inhibition assays
A binary titration was conducted using 12 substrate concentrations spanning the enzyme’s KM and eight inhibitor concentrations spanning the compounds’ IC₅₀S, along with a DMSO-matched control and a no-enzyme control for background subtraction. These assays employed RNase H1 at 0.1 to 1 nM or 20 to 40 nM RNase HC. Rates were plotted as a function of substrate concentration for each inhibitor concentration. The compounds do not absorb in the range of fluorescein’s excitation or emission; so, no corrections for inner-filter effect were necessary. Data were fit to global models of noncompetitive, uncompetitive, and mixed inhibition in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc). The fits were statistically compared with the extra sum-of-squares F test in GraphPad Prism to determine the best-fitting model (Supplementary information and Tables S2–S4). Models were also compared when a minimum Vmax term (Vmax mê) was introduced to account for incomplete inhibition in saturating compound concentrations. We tested whether this term significantly improved the fit by the extra sum-of-squares F test. Final data analysis was carried out with the mixed inhibition model (Equations 1–3) (20). All parameters were left free but shared between all datasets within an experiment.

\[
v_0 = \frac{V_{\text{max app}} [S]}{K_{\text{M app}} + [S]} \tag{1}\]

\[
V_{\text{max app}} = V_{\text{max mê}} + \left[\frac{V_{\text{max max}} - V_{\text{max mê}}}{\left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i}\right)\left(1 + \frac{[S]}{\alpha * K_M}\right)}\right] \tag{2}\]

\[
K_{\text{M app}} = K_M * \left[\frac{\left(1 + [I] / K_i\right)}{\left(1 + [S] / \alpha * K_M\right)}\right] \tag{3}\]

Where Vmax mê is the Vmax in the presence of a particular inhibitor concentration, Vmax mê is the Vmax in the absence of inhibitor, Vmax mê is the Vmax when inhibition reaches saturation, KM is the Michaelis constant, Kapp is the K KM in a particular inhibitor concentration, Ki is the inhibition constant, α is the proportionality constant between the inhibition constant for the free enzyme relative to the ES complex (α = KIRM/KIR) (21), vo is the initial velocity, and [I] and [S] are inhibitor and substrate concentrations, respectively.

Maximum rates of fluorescein release are reported as kcat (kcat = Vmax/[E]). Equations are from Ref. (20) as in GraphPad Prism.

FP substrate-binding assays
RNase H1 was titrated against a fixed concentration of RD12 substrate consisting of fluorescein-labeled RNA and unlabeled DNA in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM TCEP, and 5 mM CaCl₂. The RD12 concentration was 12.5 nM unless indicated otherwise. After setup, reactions were incubated for 15 min at 28 °C before reading. Polarized fluorescence was read in black 384-well plates with nonbinding surface treatment with a BioTek Synergy H1. Anisotropy was computed in BioTek Gen5 3.11 software. Raw or background-subtracted anisotropy values (r) were fit with Equation 4 (23). R0 was constrained to twice the RD12 concentration because the stoichiometry of RNase H1 for RD12 is 2:1 (Fig. 3A, (6)). For Kd determination with inhibitors, 200 μM of compound 110 or compound 404 or 2% DMSO as a vehicle control were added to the binding assay, and data were analyzed as described previously.

\[
\Delta r = r_0 + \Delta r_{\text{max}} \left[\frac{[R_{\text{tot}} + [L]_{\text{tot}} + K_D}{\left([R_{\text{tot}} + [L]_{\text{tot}} + K_D\right)^2 - (4 \cdot [R_{\text{tot}}] \cdot [L_{\text{tot}}])} \right) \right]
\tag{4}\]
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where $\Delta r$ is the anisotropy change at a particular enzyme concentration, $\Delta r_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum change at saturation, $r_0$ is the anisotropy of free RD12, $K_D$ is the dissociation constant, $[R]_{\text{tot}}$ is the total receptor binding-site concentration (i.e., $[RD12] \times 2$), and $[L]_{\text{tot}}$ is the total ligand concentration (RNase H1) (Equation 6 in Ref. [23]).

**Compound-docking studies**

The induced-fit docking protocol of Schrödinger suite (Schrödinger 2021-4 LLC) was used to predict binding conformations of compounds 110 and 404 within the active site of RNase H1. Ligands were prepared with LigPrep (Schrödinger LLC) by the following steps: (1) energy minimization of RNase H1. Ligands were prepared with LigPrep (Schrödinger 2021-4 LLC) was used to predict binding conformations of compounds 110 and 404 within the active site of RNase HC (PDB ID: 2QKK) onto the inhibitor-bound enzyme. Twenty poses were retained in the initial docking, residues close to the active-site residues were retained while the remaining molecules were removed, the protein was protonated at pH 7.5 ± 2, hydrogen bonds were assigned with PROPKA (Schrödinger LLC) at pH 7.5, and energy minimization was done with OPLS4 force field and different deprotonation states of the ligands were generated using Epik; (2) metal-binding sites were defined; and (3) compounds were desalted and tautomized while retaining chirality. We removed the 14 bp RNA–RNA heteroduplex from the crystal structure of RNase HC (PDB ID: 2QKK) and replaced Ca2+ ions present in metal coordination site of RNase HC with Mg2+ ions by superposition of the HIV RNase H domain (PDB ID: 1RTD). The RNase H1 structure containing Mg2+ ions in the metal-binding sites was prepared with protein preparation wizard in Maestro (Schrödinger LLC). Water molecules that were close to the active-site residues were retained while the remaining molecules were removed, the protein was protonated at pH 7.5 ± 2, hydrogen bonds were assigned with PROPKA (Schrödinger LLC) at pH 7.5, and energy minimization was done with OPLS4 force field. β-Thujaplicinol was placed into the active site of RNase H1 by superposition of the DEDD motif of the HIV RNase H–β-thujaplicinol cocrystal structure (PDB ID: 3K2P) onto that of RNase H1. A receptor grid of 10 Å was generated around the centroid of the bound ligand, which was then used for docking of compounds. Protein refinement was carried out at a Van der Waals radius scaling factor of 0.7 for the protein and 0.5 for the ligand. Twenty poses were retained in the initial docking, residues were refined within 5.0 Å of the ligand poses, and redocking was performed with the best structures within 30.0 kcal/mol and the top 20 overall structures. We then superposed the 14-mer RNA–DNA substrate from the original structure (PDB ID: 2QKK) onto the inhibitor-bound enzyme.

**Data analysis and statistics**

All nonlinear curve fitting, statistical analyses, and graph creation were performed in GraphPad Prism 9.1.1. Outlying values were omitted based on a 1% Q ROUT test (39).

**Data availability**

All data are either in the main document or in the supporting information.
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