A Rehabilitation-Based Multidisciplinary Care Model Reduces Hip Fracture Mortality in Older Adults
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Purpose: In older adults, the one-year mortality rate after experiencing a hip fracture ranges between 8% and 36%. The purpose of this study was to look at the efficacy of rehabilitation-based multidisciplinary care for older individuals who had hip fractures.

Patients and Methods: The study included 185 people (aged 65 and over) with a history of hip fracture surgery between February 2014 and March 2017. A survey was conducted one month and six months following the operation to assess the recovery of 93 individuals who were part of a rehabilitation-based multidisciplinary care program and 92 patients who were getting standard therapy with surgery and unsupervised physical therapy.

Results: Physical activity, gait, balance evaluation, and depression scale ratings all had statistical significance (P < 0.05) after participants received rehabilitation-based care services from multidisciplinary medical professionals. Furthermore, the refracture and one-year mortality rates in this rehabilitation-based multidisciplinary care model were lower than in the groups getting standard therapy.

Conclusion: The research indicates the efficacy of a multidisciplinary rehabilitation strategy provided by a collaborative medical team to older individuals with hip fractures.
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Introduction

Every three seconds, one osteoporotic fracture occurs worldwide. The spine, hip, wrist, and humerus are the most prevalent sites for osteoporotic fractures.1 The risk of fracture rises with age due to bone mass loss and an increased risk of falls. After the age of 50, one-half of women and one-fifth of men experience a fracture.2 Refractures occur in nearly half of all patients with osteoporotic fractures.3,4 Hip fracture is one of the most serious complications of aging, and it is the seventh leading cause of death in older adults.5,6 As a result, patients suffering from hip fractures must take extra care to avoid complications including refractures.

Taiwan has been one of the world’s fastest aging regions.4 Aging causes decreased muscular strength, and a significant decline in balance and gait function; when these factors are combined with bone mass loss due to osteoporosis, the risk of hip fracture from low energy trauma increases even more.7 As a result, the number of individuals in Taiwan with fractures increased by 10% in five years, and more than 675,000 people seek medical attention each year as a result of a fracture.8
Hip fractures in older individuals have become a major public health concern, with the government incurring significant expenses to address the issue.

In Taiwan, four types of fracture treatment approaches for older persons are now used. The first and most prevalent approach is based on traditional care, in which patients with fractures are admitted to the hospital and treated by an orthopedist or orthopedic team, followed by unsupervised physical therapy. The second model is an enhanced conventional paradigm in which geriatric experts and orthopedists collaborate to create therapeutic approaches. Orthopedists offer pre-surgery treatment in the third model, while geriatric specialists provide post-surgery care. Patients with fractures are allocated to a specific fracture ward for older individuals in the fourth model, and care is given by orthopedists who specialize in working with older persons.

Even though different programs, such as the fracture liaison service, have been shown to minimize postoperative mortality and complications in hip fracture patients, a cohesive treatment approach tailored to Asian older hip fracture patients has yet to be developed. As a result, we created the Integrated Hip Fracture and Osteoporosis Rehabilitation Program for the Elderly (i-HOPE), a multidisciplinary care paradigm for older patients with hip fractures that emphasizes rehabilitation, osteoporosis therapy, and self-care techniques for improving home settings. The purpose of this study was to assess the risk of falling, the likelihood of refracture, general physical function, and mortality rate in older individuals with hip fractures who received the i-HOPE intervention.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants in the Study**

This retrospective chart study looked at patients who had hip fracture surgery. For eligibility, all patients have been screened. From February 2014 to March 2017, a total of 185 patient records were reviewed. This research comprised individuals over the age of 65 who had no traumatic event and consented to surgery. Figure 1 depicts the enrollment criteria.

**Protocol on Intervention**

Patients were separated into two groups based on whether they were engaged in the i-HOPE program or were receiving traditional care that included surgery and unsupervised physical therapy. During the hospitalization of i-HOPE program participants, multidisciplinary specialists were engaged to assess the patient and provide a comprehensive proposal immediately after the operation. The physical therapist began a tailored limb strengthening exercise program and walker-assisted ambulation training at the patient’s bedside. The occupational therapist advised each patient and their family on walking aid equipment and home environment changes. The fall prevention technique was emphasized once again during the one-month post-surgery home visit and out-patient department visit six months later, when the geriatric depression scale (GDS), activities of daily living (ADL), instrumental ADL, Elderly Mobility Scale (EMS), and Tinetti Performance Oriented Mobility Assessment (POMA) were used by geriatric specialists to assess each patient’s emotional state, physical activity, gait, and balance abilities. Furthermore, the medication adherence rate was calculated by summing the number of days the osteoporosis medicine was administered and dividing by the duration of a year.

**Ethics**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the National Yang-Ming University Hospital (YMUH2016A027) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study involves no prospectively collected data so there is no access to patients or opportunity to seek informed consent. A waiver of consent was approved by IRB as re-contacting this number of patients to obtain informed consent would be impracticable. The study is no greater than minimal risk and will have no direct impact on patient’s rights, confidentiality, or clinical care.

**Statistical Analysis**

To describe the fundamental features, descriptive statistics were utilized. Formal statistical analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA). The difference in response variable change between subjects before and after the i-HOPE program was compared. A generic linear model with adjustments for age, gender, body mass index (BMI), and baseline value for medical conditions was used to evaluate differences between patients who received multidisciplinary care plans and those who did not. The minimum total sample size in this study is 128, with at least 64 subjects for each independent variable, for an effect size of $d=0.5$, power=0.8, and $\alpha=0.05$. 
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When the patients’ baseline characteristics were examined, there was no difference in sex, age, BMI, or medical issues between the individuals receiving multidisciplinary care (n=93) and the control groups receiving traditional care (n=92) (Table 1).

The average age and standard deviation (SD) of patients were 72.9 (6.1) years. Individuals in the i-HOPE program began one month of rehabilitation after the surgery and then continued to actively adjust for a total of six months of rehabilitation over the next five months. When compared to 1-month after surgery, patients who received the following 5-month integrative care services from a multidisciplinary medical team improved significantly in GDS (0.7±1.0 versus 0.9±1.3), ADL (66.6±27.9 versus 42.3±27.8), instrumental ADL (2.2±2.4 versus 1.2±1.6), physical activity (EMS, 12.8

Figure 1 Enrollment in the i-HOPE program flowchart.
±6.0 versus 8.1±4.7), gait, and balance abilities (POMA, 19.1±9.0 versus 10.6±7.2) (Table 2). The incidence of repeated falls, recurrent fractures, and one-year mortality rate were 8%, 3%, and 4%, respectively, substantially lower than the control group receiving traditional treatment (Table 1).

Discussion

Taiwan has one of the highest rates of hip fractures in the Asia-Pacific region and worldwide.14–16 In Taiwan, the mortality rate for patients with a hip fracture one year after surgery is 14.6% (18% for men and 11.2% for women).17 Patients with osteoporosis who have already had hip fractures are two to four times more likely to fracture than those who have not.18 However, prior research has revealed that only around one-third of individuals with fragility fractures receive a thorough examination.19–21 In Taiwan, only around one-quarter of women with hip fractures have their bone mass evaluated, and fewer than one-third are treated for osteoporosis. Individual management and a multidisciplinary integrated care plan involving an orthopedist, gerontologist, endocrinologist, physical therapist, occupational therapist, nutritionist, respiratory therapist, physician assistant, and nurse case manager improved adherence to osteoporosis therapy, reduced the risk of falls and subsequent fractures, and reduced postoperative mortality (Table 3).

Although educational activities aided in the understanding of patients undergoing hip fracture surgery, the link between education and increased treatment rates was not always clear. Comprehensive treatments, on the other hand, such as these rehabilitation-centered multidisciplinary programs with several experts, were more effective in keeping patients on bone-protecting therapy. As a result, the rates of refracture, falls, and mortality were reduced. Previous research has revealed that 50% of individuals who have hip fracture surgery do not fully restore their pre-operation function.18 In addition to in-hospital acute rehabilitation, many patients require a post-acute care plan that includes continuous active therapy, not only to improve their mobility rapidly but also to successfully

### Table 1 Characteristics and Outcomes of Individuals Receiving Multidisciplinary Care (I-HOPE) versus the Control Group

| Characteristic                          | i-HOPE Group (n = 93) | Control Group (n = 92) | P value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Sex - no. (%)                          |                       |                        |         |
| Male                                   | 34 (37)               | 35 (38)                | 0.38    |
| Female                                 | 59 (63)               | 57 (62)                |         |
| Age - yr                               | 72.7±5.1              | 73.2±6.6               | 0.24    |
| Body-mass index - kg/m²                | 25.2±3.1              | 24.6±3.7               | 0.27    |
| Medical problems - no. (%)             |                       |                        |         |
| Hypertension                           | 38 (41)               | 33 (36)                | 0.15    |
| Diabetes mellitus                      | 29 (31)               | 19 (21)                | 0.11    |
| Cerebrovascular accident               | 13 (14)               | 11 (12)                | 0.23    |
| Osteoporosis                           | 38 (41)               | 36 (39)                | 0.13    |
| Osteoarthritis                         | 56 (60)               | 67 (73)                | 0.09    |
| Frequency of falls in the preceding year - no. (%) | 0.21 |
| 0                                      | 16                    | 13                     |         |
| 1–3                                    | 69                    | 72                     |         |
| ≥ 4                                    | 15                    | 15                     |         |
| Hospitalization (days)                 | 13.5                  | 12.6                   | 0.07    |
| One-year medication adherence rate for osteoporosis no. (%) | 0.02 |
| 35 (92)                                | 27 (75)               |                        |         |
| One-year postoperative falls - no. (%) |                       |                        |         |
| 7 (8)                                  | 15 (16)               |                        | 0.02    |
| Refracture one year after surgery - no. (%) | 0.03 |
| 3 (3)                                  | 9 (10)                |                        |         |
| 1-year all-cause mortality following surgery - no. (%) | 0.01 |
| 4 (4)                                  | 14 (15)               |                        |         |

**Note:** Data are presented as mean±SD or number (percentage).

**Abbreviation:** i-HOPE, the Integrated Hip Fracture and Osteoporosis Rehabilitation Program for the Elderly.

### Table 2 Changes in Evaluation Scales for Patients Receiving Multidisciplinary Care Following Hip Surgery

| Evaluation Scale                  | After-Surgery Period | Mean ±SD | P value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------|
| GDS                               | 1 month              | 0.9±1.3  | 0.021   |
|                                   | 6 months             | 0.7±1.0  |         |
| ADL                               | 1 month              | 42.3±27.8| 0.018   |
|                                   | 6 months             | 66.6±27.9|         |
| IADL                              | 1 month              | 1.2±1.6  | 0.013   |
|                                   | 6 months             | 2.2±2.4  |         |
| EMS                               | 1 month              | 8.1±4.7  | 0.015   |
|                                   | 6 months             | 12.8±6.0 |         |
| POMA                              | 1 month              | 10.6±7.2 | 0.011   |
|                                   | 6 months             | 19.1±9.0 |         |

**Abbreviations:** ADL, activities of daily living; EMS, Elderly Mobility Scale; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; POMA, Tinetti Performance Oriented Mobility Assessment.
### Table 3: The I-HOPE Program’s Multidisciplinary Care Profile

| Timepoint (Place)                        | Intervention                                                                 | Staff                        |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Admission (to a ward or an operating room) | Survey conducted prior to the operation                                     | Physician assistant/Orthopedist |
| 3–5 days following surgery (inpatient department) | Evaluation of the patient’s home care requirements. Pain scale evaluation. | Nurse case manager          |
|                                          | Exercise instruction (ankle pumping, quadriceps, gluteal clamping, straight leg lifting, and hip adduction/abduction) at the bedside. Training at the bedside (turning over in bed, sitting, sit to stand, standing posture, and balance training). Using a Walker (10–20 meters). Remind patients who have had hemiarthroplasty not to flex the hip more than 90 degrees, adduct, or externally rotate. Patient education to improve home safety and avoid falling again. | Physical therapist          |
|                                          | Make loans for assistive equipment and teach people how to utilize them. Conduct a home environment survey and provide recommendations for changes. | Occupational therapist       |
|                                          | Educate patients on proper diet and calcium and vitamin D sources.          | Nutritionist                 |
|                                          | If clinically necessary, physical treatment for the chest and breathing exercises. | Respiratory therapist        |
| 7 days after surgery (outpatient department) | Physical activity, balance, and gait analysis. Improve training for fragile individuals (CFS>5). Stress the significance of fall prevention. | Physical therapist/ Gerontologist |
|                                          | ADL and IADL evaluation.                                                    | Nurse case manager          |
|                                          | Determine the extent of wound healing and mobility range. DXA scans are used to evaluate bone mineral density. Begin pharmacologic treatment if osteoporosis is indicated. | Orthopedist/Endocrinologist |
| 1 month after surgery (individual patient’s home) | Make the house a safer place to live to reduce the chance of falls. Instruct the patients to engage in fall prevention activities. Functional scores are used to evaluate physical activity, balance, and gait (EMS and POMA). Adjust the active rehabilitation strategy and ensure adherence. | Physical therapist/ Gerontologist |
|                                          | GDS, ADL, and IADL evaluation. Counsel and educate patients on drug usage and therapy adherence. | Nurse case manager/Gerontologist |
|                                          | Provide dietary evaluation and counseling.                                  | Nutritionist                 |
| 6 months after surgery (outpatient department) | Physical activity, balance, and gait analysis (EMS and POMA). Instruct the patients to maintain their fall prevention measures. GDS, ADL, and IADL evaluation. | Physical therapist/ Gerontologist |
|                                          | GDS, ADL, and IADL evaluation.                                              | Nurse case manager           |
|                                          | Confirm current therapy adherence and reaction.                            | Orthopedist/Endocrinologist |

**Abbreviations:** ADL, activities of daily living; CFS, Clinical Frailty Scale; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; EMS, Elderly Mobility Scale; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; i-HOPE, the Integrated Hip Fracture and Osteoporosis Rehabilitation Program of the Elderly; POMA, Tinetti Performance Oriented Mobility Assessment.
regain their functionality.\textsuperscript{22,23} This is the primary reason why a rehabilitation-based strategy was chosen as the foundation of a multidisciplinary care program to enhance patients’ functional activities following surgery. This study relied heavily on physical and occupational therapists. Physical therapists were in charge of patients’ daily exercise training, while occupational therapists assessed home settings to ensure patients could move safely. During their hospitalization, patients received physical and occupational therapy, which included gait retraining, quadriceps strength training, weight loading exercises, and electrical stimulation of the quadriceps nerves and muscles. The post-surgery rehabilitation regimen includes aerobic and progressive resistance training, muscular strength development, and home rehabilitation.

Furthermore, this rehabilitation-centered cohesive approach would aid in the reduction of care fragmentation. We believe that having a specialized coordinator (nurse case manager) is critical to improving patient identification and supervision (Table 3). A nurse case manager coordinated the home visit intervention following surgery in this supervised program, bringing in additional specialists such as a physical therapist and a nutritionist to provide a complete assessment at home. As predicted, the home visit can assist participants in avoiding additional falls and achieving daily life independence. A handle and a non-slip floor, for example, would be advised to prevent falls in a restroom. A nutritionist might also develop a customized strategy for the elderly to enhance their nutritional condition and avoid postoperative muscle loss. Previous studies discovered that patients with a hip fracture who got structured holistic treatment rather than merely traditional care had a significant reduction in in-hospital mortality, severe comorbidities, and inpatient stay.\textsuperscript{24–26} Similarly, other studies discovered that the fast-track treatment program, which included preoperative health education, early postoperative feeding, and pain relief methods, as well as oxygen therapy, resulted in a significant reduction in postoperative complications (such as pneumonia, delirium, and urinary tract infections) and mortality rate.\textsuperscript{27–30}

A previous study discovered that the 1-year death rate of patients treated in an elderly hip fracture program is about 21.2%.\textsuperscript{28} Even while traditional fracture liaison services have the potential to minimize disease burden and associated expenses, there are still logistical problems connected with acquiring sufficient people and material resources. Non-compliance with the care program, for example, or non-adherence to osteoporosis medication, is challenging. Instead, this study’s multidisciplinary care program has been shown to effectively increase medication and rehabilitation adherence in patients with fragility hip fractures. Remarkably, the rehabilitation-based multidisciplinary care model’s considerable improvement in fall rates may have contributed to the significant reduction in refracture rate and mortality rate of participants in the i-HOPE group. To our knowledge, the i-HOPE multidisciplinary care plan is the most successful strategy in Taiwan for reducing refractures and postoperative mortality in patients with fragility hip fractures.

**Conclusion**

We demonstrated that a multidisciplinary approach with supervised osteoporosis therapy and rehabilitation-based services can improve daily function, lower the risk of recurrent falls and fractures, and significantly reduce postoperative mortality in older patients with a fragility hip fracture.
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