Probiotic supplementation improves reproductive performance of unvaccinated farmed sows infected with porcine epidemic diarrhea virus
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Abstract
We investigated if probiotic supplementation could improve the health and reproductive performance of unvaccinated lactating sows infected with porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED) virus. Twenty unvaccinated pregnant sows were equally allocated to probiotic-supplemented (P) and control (C) groups. For the experiment, 15 g/day of probiotic compound BIO-THREE PZ was given to P sows. Reproductive performance was checked daily. The number of neonates fostered by each sow was maintained at eight throughout the experiment. Individual milk production post-parturition was measured twice. Milk protein and fat ratios were determined by a milk analyzer. Total immunoglobulin (Ig) A and G concentrations were measured by ELISA. At day 7 post-parturition, the body weight of P sows was 10 kg higher than that of C sows, and at day 3 post-parturition, P sows produced more milk (+2 kg) and had a higher IgA concentration in whey than did C sows (p < .05). Finally, unlike C sows, P sows tended to return to estrus faster, and had larger piglets at birth with a lower mortality percentage during early days of suckling. In conclusion, probiotic compound BIO-THREE PZ helped strengthen the immune system of unvaccinated, PED-infected sows and improved their reproductive performance.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED) severely affects pigs reared in commercial farms worldwide, causing serious economic losses (Sasaki et al., 2016). Like in many other Asian countries, farms in Japan have experienced PED epidemics, including one that caused more than 1,000 outbreaks in the period 2013–2014 (Sasaki, Toyomaki, et al., 2017; Suzuki et al., 2015). PED infection can occur not only in farms with unvaccinated animals, but also in those where they have been vaccinated, the former being at a higher risk of causing epidemics. In the case of PED infection in Japan, the reason it spread so rapidly may be due to the fact (Toyomaki, Sekiguchi, Sasaki, Sueyoshi, & Makita, 2018) that suppliers did not distribute the vaccine quickly enough.

Although PED is caused by the same single-stranded RNA virus of group 1 of the genus Coronavirus, the strain identified in Asia is considered more pernicious than others and affects pigs of all ages (Wentao et al., 2012). Typical PED clinical signs in pigs include watery diarrhea, vomiting, and consequently, loss of bodily fluids, appetite and weight. Reproductive performance of sows is also seriously reduced by PED infection (Sasaki, Kawabata, & Noguchi, 2017). For example, sows in their first month of pregnancy deliver
fewer piglets and have higher abortion rates (Olanratmanee, Kunavongkrit, & Tummaruk, 2010). Similarly, the number of stillbirth piglets is high in litters of PED-infected sows going into their third or fourth month of pregnancy (Olanratmanee et al., 2010). Indeed, mortality can be very high among young pigs, as it can reach nearly 100% in newborns (Jung & Saif, 2015; Sueyoshi et al., 1995) and as much as 80% in suckling pigs (Shibata et al., 2000). Although piglets can survive a PED infection, they experience abnormality and malfunction of the small intestine (Curry, Gibbon, et al., 2017), and reduction of fat, lean, protein, and bone mineral gains (Curry, Schwartz, Yoon, Gabler, & Burrough, 2017); hence, subsequent growth performance is greatly affected. In addition, reduced milk production was previously found in PED-infected sows (Sueyoshi et al., 1995), but it remains unclear to what extent the nutritional level of sow milk is affected by PED infection (Huang et al., 2018).

In recent years, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) supplementation has been used to treat certain viral infections. For example, Zhang, Azevedo, Gonzalez, et al. (2008) demonstrated that lactobacilli induced immune responses in the intestine of neonatal gnotobiotic pigs, which conferred protection against human rotavirus (HRV). Likewise, after Lactobacillus rhamnosus strain GG and Bifidobacterium animalis lactis Bb12 supplementation, Kandasamy, Chattha, Vlasova, Rajashekar, and Salif (2014) found that neonatal gnotobiotic pigs had lower fecal scores and shedding concentrations of HRV, and higher intestinal immunoglobulin A (IgA) antibody-releasing cell numbers and IgA antibody concentration against the virus. Based on this, giving weaning piglets a cell preparation of Enterococcus faecalis, a LAB species, protected them from rotavirus infection (Tsukahara, Nanashiki, Matsubara, Itoh, & Ushida, 2006). Clearly, LAB supplementation seems to enhance the lactogenic immunity and the efficacy of vaccines administered to piglets. Previously, we reported that a probiotic compound with peptide-zinc complexes named BIO-THREE PZ, containing live bacteria Bacillus mesentericus, Clostridium butyricum and Enterococcus faecalis, improved the reproductive performance of sows and prevented post-weaning diarrhea in piglets (Hayakawa, Masuda, Kurosawa, & Tsukahara, 2016). More recently, we also showed that the above probiotic compound improved the reproductive performance of sows vaccinated against PED and reduced mortality in suckling piglets (Inatomi, Amatatsu, Romero-Pérez, Inoue, & Tsukahara, 2017).

In the present study, we supplemented BIO-THREE PZ to unvaccinated, PED-infected sows and compared them with control sows to assess the improvement of their health and reproductive performance during the lactation period.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Probiotics

BIO-THREE PZ (TOA Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), a probiotic compound containing Bacillus mesentericus TO-A (1 × 10⁹ colony-forming units [cfu/g]), Clostridium butyricum TO-A (1 × 10³ cfu/g) and Enterococcus faecalis T-110 (1 × 10⁸ cfu/g) in a peptide-zinc compound (10 mg/g) was used in this study. This compound was the same as the one used in a previous study (Inatomi et al., 2017).

2.2 Farm

The present work was conducted at the same site as the previous study (Inatomi et al., 2017). The site is a commercial swine farm in Kyushu region, Japan, that operates a farrow-to-finish business and has a stock of approximately 900 sows (Landrace × Large White). Duroc boars were used to impregnate the sows. Evidence of PED infection resulting from PED outbreaks was found in the feces of sows. Antimicrobial and probiotics-free commercial feed was given to sows during the gestation and lactation periods, using automatic mixing feeds.

Apart from porcine reproductive and respiratory virus (PRRSV) and porcine circo virus type2 (PCV2) (which were positive but not active), a preliminary survey of the farm was negative for the following pathogens in sows and suckling piglets: rotavirus, transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), Clostridium perfringens, enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC), Salmonella spp., Brachyspira hyodysenteriae, Lawsonia intracellularis and classical swine fever virus (CSF). All pathogens except for the CSF virus were tested for by PCR methods as described elsewhere (PRRSV, Inoue, Tsukahara, Sunaba, Itoh, & Ushida, 2007; PCV2, Sasaki et al., 2010; rotavirus, Ushida et al., 2009; TGEV, Kim, Song, & Park, 2001; C. perfringens, Takahashi, Yoshida, Nakanishi, Tsukahara, & Ushida, 2008; ETEC and Salmonella spp., Fukushima, Tsunomori, & Seki, 2003; B. hyodysenteriae, Piao et al., 2007; L. intracellularis, Suto et al., 2004). CSF virus has been already eradicated in Japan. As expected, diagnosis by typical clinical signs (Le Potier, Mesplede, & Vannier, 2006) confirmed as negative the presence of CSF virus.

2.3 Experimental design

Twenty unvaccinated pregnant sows with similar mean parity (3.3) were equally divided and allocated to probiotic-supplemented (P) and control (C) groups. Commercial feed was given twice a day at 9:00 and 17:00 hours. Individual intake was measured by subtracting the weight of leftovers from the initial feed ration weight. Sows had water ad libitum throughout this study. During gestation, all sows were individually housed in stalls and fed a gestation period diet (Shuton-B: Minami Nihon Kumiai Siryo, Kagoshima, Japan). Approximately 1 week before parturition, sows were transferred to farrowing pens. During their stay in the farrowing pens, sows were given a lactation stage diet (Shuton-Lactation; Minami Nihon Kumiai Siryo, Kagoshima).

Four weeks prior to parturition to 1 week post-parturition, 15 g/day of the probiotic compound was orally administered to sows in group P, whereas 15 g/day of a standard, probiotic-free diet was given to sows in group C via top-dressing. Individual body weight was measured 28 days pre-parturition and 0 and 7 days post-parturition. Reproductive performance was checked daily. Due to sows...
used in this study delivering at least eight neonates in their past farrowing, the number of neonates was also maintained at eight heads per sow throughout this experiment. If piglets of the experimental sows died during lactation, healthy, same-age foster piglets with a similar body weight were introduced from unrelated, spare sows either supplemented with probiotics or not. This experiment was approved by the ethics committee of Inatomi Animal Hospital.

Throughout this study, experimental sows sometimes showed typical symptoms of PED, such as constipation, diarrhea and vomiting. Moreover, some piglets died during the experiment. Clinical signs included watery yellowish diarrhea and dehydration. An autopsy showed that their small intestine was thin and flaccid. Our diagnosis confirmed that piglets died from PED infection (Pensaert & Yeo, 2006).

2.4 | Sample collection and analysis

Blood was collected from the jugular vein of sows 14 days prior to parturition and 0 and 7 days post-parturition.

Milk secretion was determined by a general method described in the Standard Methods of Evaluation of Reproductive Performance compiled by Japan’s Pork Producers Association (http://www.jppa.biz). This method is the same as “the weigh-suckle-weigh technique,” which has been previously reported (Speer & Cox, 1984). Milk production of each sow was measured at days 3 and 7 post-parturition. Portions of milk samples were collected at days 0, 3 and 7 post-parturition. In addition, the total body weight of neonates was repeatedly measured immediately prior to and after daily suckling. When piglets of the experimental sows died during lactation, they were substituted by healthy, similar-age foster piglets from other sows, so that all piglets ingested a similar amount of maternal milk.

2.5 | Analyses of milk composition

Protein and fat ratio in milk at days 0 and 7 post-parturition were determined by a milk analyzer (MilkoScan™ FT1; FOSS, Eden Prairie, MN, USA). Whey was collected from milk after centrifugation (13,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C). Serum was also collected from blood after centrifugation (1,200 × g, 20 min, room temperature). Total IgA and IgG concentrations were measured by a commercial ELISA kit (Porcine IgA or IgG ELISA Quantitation Set; Bethyl, Montgomery, TX, USA). The determination method was as previously described elsewhere (Ogawa et al., 2014). A portion of whey was sent to the Nansatsu Livestock Hygiene Center (Kagoshima, Japan), and neutralized antibody titer (NAT) against PED in whey and serum was determined by a general method as previously described elsewhere (Kusanagi et al., 1992; Shibata et al., 2000).

2.6 | Statistical analyses

Either the Student’s or Welch’s t test was used to analyze differences between means in all parameters. Values are shown as the means ± SE. Differences between means in all statistical analyses were considered significant at p < .05 and with tendency to be significant at p < .1. All calculations were made using Statcel3 (OMS, Tokyo, Japan) as an add-in application for Microsoft Excel® (Microsoft, Seattle, WA, USA).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Feed intake

The mean feed intake of sows is shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. Sows supplemented with dietary probiotic compound had a significantly higher feed intake compared with that of control sows, both pre- (2.62 ± 0.07 kg/day vs. 2.90 ± 0.03 kg/day) and post-parturition (2.92 ± 0.04 kg/day vs. 3.25 ± 0.03 kg/day).

3.2 | Body condition

At day 28 pre-parturition, the mean body weight of P sows tended to be greater than that recorded for C sows (Figure 2). As sows approached parturition, the mean body weight of C sows increased from 183 ± 1 kg to almost 186 ± 1 kg at parturition day, that is, a gain of only approximately 3 kg, whereas the mean body weight of P sows remarkably increased from 185 ± 1 kg to more than 193 ± 2 kg at parturition day, a gain of approximately 8 kg. This significant difference between the mean body weight of C and P sows at delivery was even greater after parturition, as the body weight of C sows at day 7 post-parturition drastically decreased to less than 180 ± 1 kg, whereas that of P sows decreased only to 190 ± 1 kg.

3.3 | Milk production and product quality

With respect to milk production, at day 3 post-parturition P sows produced more than 2.5 ± 0.1 kg of milk, which was significantly higher than the almost 1.9 ± 0.1 kg of milk produced by C sows (Figure 3). When milk production was measured at day 7 post-parturition, P sows still produced more than C sows did (4.0 ± 0.1 kg vs. 4.3 ± 0.1 kg), an observation that tended to be significant. However, although the protein ratio was significantly greater in milk of P sows than it was in milk of C sows at day 0 (10.0 ± 0.3% vs.

| TABLE 1 | Mean feed intake (kg/day) of sows with or without probiotic supplementation during the pre- (day 28 to day 1 pre-parturition) and post- (delivery to day 7 post-parturition) parturition periods |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Period (days) | C          | P          | t test p value |
| Pre-parturition (−28 to −1) | 2.62 ± 0.07 | 2.90 ± 0.03 | .003          |
| Post-parturition (0−7)  | 2.92 ± 0.04 | 3.25 ± 0.03 | <.001        |

The sows in group P were fed daily 15 g of probiotic compound consisting of 1.5 × 107 colony-forming units (cfu) of Bacillus mesentericus TO-A, 1.5 × 107 cfu of Clostridium butyricum TO-A, 1.5 × 105 cfu/g of Enterococcus faecalis T-110 and 150 mg of the peptide-zinc compound.
12.6 ± 0.5%), by day 7 post-parturition, no difference in protein percentage was detected between milk samples of P and C sows (4.5 ± 0.5% vs. 5.6 ± 0.6%) (Figure 4). Likewise, fat percentage in milk did not differ between groups at days 0 and 7 post-parturition (data not shown).

### 3.4 | Immunity parameters

Regarding the lactogenic immunity parameters, although total IgA concentration in whey remained unchanged between C and P sows immediately after parturition (1.8 ± 0.1 g/dl vs. 2.0 ± 0.1 g/dl), it was significantly higher at day 3 post-parturition in whey of P sows in comparison with that of C sows (1.8 ± 0.0 g/dl vs. 1.9 ± 0.0 g/dl), a trend that was still detected at day 7 post-parturition (1.1 ± 0.0 g/dl vs. 1.2 ± 0.0 g/dl) (Figure 5a). Nonetheless, total IgG concentration in whey of C and P sows differed very little, and it showed only a tendency to be significantly higher in whey of P sows immediately after parturition (6.1 ± 0.2 g/dl vs. 6.6 ± 0.2 g/dl) (Figure 5b). There were differences detected in the antibody titer against PED virus in the blood and milk of C and P sows. For example, from day 0 to day 7 post-parturition the antibody titer was significantly higher in serum of P sows in comparison with that of C sows (day 0, 14.9 ± 1.1 vs. 21.1 ± 1.1; day 7, 10.6 ± 1.1 vs. 16.0 ± 1.2 in geometric means) (Figure 5c), but differences were barely detectable in whey of both C and P sows during the same period (day 0, 42.2 ± 1.2 vs. 64.0 ± 1.2; day 7, 21.1 ± 1.2 vs. 29.9 ± 1.2 in geometric means) (Figure 5d).

### 3.5 | Reproductive performance

Supplementation of the probiotic compound improved the reproductive performance of sows. For example, P sows tended to have
fewer days between weaning and estrus than did C sows (9.4 ± 1.6 day vs. 15.7 ± 2.8 day) (Figure 6). In addition, piglets of P sows were larger at birth (7,804 ± 165 g vs. 8,320 ± 165 g) (Figure 7a) and with a lower mortality percentage during the first 21 days of suckling than those born to C sows (16.3 ± 3.8% vs. 28.8 ± 4.9%) (Figure 7b).

**DISCUSSION**

Porcine epidemic diarrhea is a very aggressive disease and in spite of continuous effort to eradicate it, including vaccination programs (Kadoi, Sugioka, Satoh, & Kadoi, 2002; Kweon, Kwon, Lee, Kwon, & Kang, 1999), outbreaks have continued in Japan (Suzuki et al., 2015). As probiotics have been touted as promising alternatives to...
antibiotics for treating viral infections (Canning et al., 2017; Kandasamy et al., 2014; Zhang, Azevedo, Wen, et al., 2008), in the present work we investigated whether supplementation of a dietary probiotic compound could help improve the reproductive performance of unvaccinated PED-infected sows.

In our previous study, we showed that the probiotic compound improved the reproductive performance of sows vaccinated against PED by stimulating PED-specific antibodies (Inatomi et al., 2017). In the present study, we supplemented this probiotic compound to unvaccinated sows. When compared with control sows, unvaccinated sows supplemented with the probiotic compound ate more feed before and after parturition (Table 1 and Figure 1) and gained more body weight from the beginning of the experiment to until parturition, but had only a minimal weight loss by day 7 post-parturition (Figure 2). Moreover, milk production of probiotic-supplemented sows was greater than that of control sows when analyzed at days 3 and 7 post-parturition (Figure 3).

Previously, a probiotic containing *B. licheniformis* and *B. subtilis* spores was supplemented to sows for two reproductive cycles (Kritas et al., 2015). Kritas et al. observed several benefits conferred by probiotic supplementation, including increased feed consumption and reduced weight loss during lactation in sows. Due to our previous study also partly proving that supplementation with the probiotic (BIO-THREE) improved the feed intake of sows during lactation (Hayakawa et al., 2016), we believe that similar benefits were conferred to sows in the present study. Although no phylogenetic screening of gut microbes was performed in the present work, we can cautiously but positively speculate that probiotics likely competed with pathogens in the gut and stimulated the immune system of sows, which equipped the animals with more resistance to infections (Kritas & Morrison, 2005). Our previous study also suggested that probiotics supplementation modified the gut microbiota by inducing an increase in lactobacilli and a decrease in *E. coli* (Hayakawa et al., 2016). Peptide-zinc complexes in the probiotic compound used in this study also likely contributed to enhance the immune system of sows, as addition of zinc-oxide to feed was previously shown to help reduce diarrhea incidence and assist in weight gain in pigs (Chai et al., 2014). Thus, a supply of beneficial bacterial strains and zinc to PED-infected sows likely enhanced their overall health, which permitted them to eat more, better utilizing nutrients from feed, and gaining more weight. Consequently, healthier sows produced more milk (Figure 3) and had higher protein concentrations in milk that improved its quality (Figure 4). Therefore, it can be considered that a greater weight gain and a higher milk production were indirect benefits of probiotic compound supplementation (Böhmer, Kramer, & Roth-Maier, 2006).

Vaccines generally cause an immunoprophylactic effect in pregnant sows known as lactogenic immunity (Song et al., 2007). In an elegant review, Song and Park (2012) described lactogenic immunity as protection against infection given by vaccinated sows to suckling piglets via colostrum and milk. Unexpectedly, in the present study probiotic supplementation to sows significantly increased the concentration of IgA and IgG in milk despite the fact that they were unvaccinated. Nonetheless, it has been previously reported that probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* given to pigs acted as adjuvant to vaccination which resulted in enhanced immune cells producing antibodies such as IgA, IgG and IgM (Zhang, Azevedo, Wen, et al., 2008). Thus, a possible scenario in the present study can be described as follows: probiotic bacteria used re-colonized the gut of sows, which likely stimulated early maturation of gut immunity and fended off infection (Chattha, Roth, & Saif, 2015), resulting in an increase in the concentration of PED-specific antibodies in whey. This plausible scenario is strongly supported by our results (Figure 5c,d).

PED infection causes impaired reproductive performance in sows which results in negative productive performance (e.g., mortality) during the development of suckling piglets. It is believed that the lower body weight of sows caused by a decrease in appetite and a lower efficiency of nutrient utilization during the course of a viral infection prevents sows from returning to estrus in a timely manner (Tantasuparuk, Dalin, Lundehelm, Kunavongkrit, & Einarsson, 2001). However, Kritas et al. (2015) showed that giving *B. subtilis* C-3102 to sows helped them return to estrus more rapidly. In the present study, probiotic supplementation to PED-infected sows significantly reduced the number of days to recurrence of estrus (Figure 6). As discussed above, when compared to control sows, better nutrition in probiotic-supplemented sows was likely the cause for a shorter period between weaning and estrus (Tantasuparuk et al., 2001). In contrast, decreased appetite likely caused reduction of milk production. Indeed, while producing milk for eight piglets, suckling healthy sows produced more than 6.6 kg milk/day for 4-7 days post-parturition (Toner, King, Dunshea, Dove, & Atwood, 1996); milk supply to neonates of suckling PED-infected sows was no more than 4.0 kg/day 7 days post-parturition in same conditions (Figure 3). This result is in agreement with a previous report (Sueyoshi et al., 1995).

PED infection was previously reported as the cause of reduction in the body weight of piglets at birth in a commercial swine farm, after a PED outbreak (Olanratmanee et al., 2010). Moreover, Kritas et al. (2015) reported that piglets of sows infected with pathogenic *E. coli* did not benefit from *B. subtilis* C-3102 supplementation, as the body weight at birth and weaning of piglets from probiotic-supplemented and control sows were similar. In contrast, in the present study piglets farrowed by probiotic-supplemented sows had a significantly lower mortality percentage and tended to have a greater weight at birth than those farrowed by control sows (Figure 7). A plausible explanation for this data discrepancy may be that the probiotic compound used in the present study was not a single strain probiotic compound but rather a combination of *B. mesentericus*, *C. butyricum* and *E. faecalis*. Indeed, multi-strain probiotic compounds have been found to be more effective at fending off pathogens (Chapman, Gibson, & Rowland, 2011, 2012) than single strain probiotics such as the one used by Kritas et al. (2015).

To summarize, in the present study it was proven that a probiotic compound strengthened the immune system of PED-infected sows and improved their reproductive performance. As the effect of
this probiotic compound may exert on PED-infected piglets is of great interest, work to evaluate it is currently in progress.
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