Prosthetic Impingement in Total Hip Arthroplasty—The Trigger for Adverse Wear
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Abstract

Development of total hip arthroplasty (THA) now spans more than 5 decades encompassing combinations of metal-on-metal (MOM), ceramic-on-metal (COM), metal-on-plastic (MOP), ceramic-on-plastic (COM), and ceramic-on-ceramic (COC). In every arena of extensive technical development, there exists a data set that when viewed in isolation seemed of little import, but when assembled in-toto may produce a generational shift in perception. Our review focused on two such THA events. Firstly, COC retrieval studies (1999-2001) noted habitual wear patterns on heads and peripheral wear stripes, along with femoral-neck impingement, and ceramic surfaces stained gray by metal debris. These COC data indicated THA risks included, 1) cup edge-loading (E/L) on heads producing “stripe wear”, 2) component impingement releasing metal particles resulting in 3) tissues contaminated by metal debris. A corresponding MOM impingement-debris mechanism was only perceived by Howie (2005) in a McKee-Farrar retrieval study. Our participation at LLUMC was that MOM retrievals would provide superior wear details to those seen on COC retrievals. We noted stripe wear in the polar zone of CoCr heads and basal stripes in the non-wear areas. The basal-polar stripe combinations were found in all MOM retrievals. Basal-polar stripe combinations followed cup-rim profiles in our LLUMC simulations of prosthetic impingement. LPUH videos demonstrated the formation of stripe wear in functional-standing and functional-sitting postures for both impingement and subluxation episodes using THA and RA designs. The stripes on CoCr heads revealed the large scratches we now term “microgrooves”. Microgroove width varied from 40 - 400 um with 100 um being typical. The longitudinal striations in microgrooves, the raised jagged lips, scratches with shallow entry and exit termini, were all indicative of a classic 3rd-body wear mechanism. The THA impingement simulations denoted four sites of edge-loading, i.e.
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1. Introduction

Considerable endeavor has gone into understanding wear-related risks in total hip arthroplasty (THA). Nevertheless, impingement of artificial hip components as a potential failure mechanism has received scant attention. The purpose of this paper is to assemble information that will demonstrate; 1) prosthetic hip impingement is commonplace in THA patients, 2) “stripe” wear is a hallmark indicator for impingement, 3) “prosthetic” impingement risks damage to metallic neck and head, 4) edge-loading during impingement releases metal particles, and 5) retrieval evidence will demonstrate that large metal particles contaminate all arthroplasty types particularly those incorporating metal-backed acetabular cups.

Hip joints may impinge at many locations in functional activities, depending on positioning of spine, pelvis and limbs. We shall assess hip-impingement risks by assembling information from COC, MOM, and MOP retrieval studies. This review begins with ceramic bearings used in THA. Ceramic heads are particularly suited to visualizing contamination by metal debris and also demonstrate a novel form of surface damage termed “stripe” wear [1] [2] [3] [4]. Compilation of evidence will show that stripe wear is a hallmark descriptor for THA im-

neck-E/L, inferior cup-E/L, superior cup-E/l and head-E/L, and ingress of Ti64 particles as a contaminating-roughness effect. Individual MOM cases referred to LLUMC demonstrated dramatic evidence of neck notching. At one end of the debris spectrum, a Ti64-notch model predicting a 6 mm³ annual wear-rate represented the release of 5700 particles of 126 um-size (approximating daily release of 16 particles). At the other end of the spectrum, if metal particles were crushed between MOM surfaces to the equivalent nanometer size found in tissues, our notch model represented approximately 22-trillion Ti64 particles annually deposited in tissues. The anatomical THA models represented in LPUH videos demonstrated that even 1-degree of head subluxation from a rigid cup created a cup “lift-off” scenario (CLO) that would open a gap of 250 - 400 microns between femoral head and cup. This would void all lubrication potential and focus the total hip-joint force along the beveled cup rim, i.e. stripe wear. It is therefore interesting that MOM impingement/debris predictions by Howie et al. have not been confirmed until now or discussed in contemporary literature. Therefore, this review of 50 years of THA data demonstrated that hip impingement was always the trigger for adverse wear and that metal-backed cups represent the potential for release of metal debris at extremes of functional standing and sitting postures.
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1. Stripes, Shield and Shielding

We shall prove that stripe wear can be found on MOM bearings made of cobalt-chromium alloy (CoCr). We shall also examine MOP retrievals to ascertain if there is support for our governing hypothesis, that “prosthetic” impingement (2-body abrasive wear) is the trigger for 1) releasing metal particles (2-body abrasive wear), with 2) hip motion driving metal particles into the joint space, and 3) thereby promoting adverse 3rd-body wear.

2. Pioneering Ceramic-on-Ceramic (THA 1970-1990)

Pioneering THA of the 1960-1970 era (Figure 1) are well represented by cemented MOM designs of McKee-Farrar [5] (monolithic CoCr), the non-cemented COC designs of Griss [6] [7] and Mittelmeier [8] and the cemented COC designs of Boutin [9]. Fixation of the cemented alumina cups proved problematic. In hindsight, it was component loosening that facilitated the earliest retrieval studies. Ceramic-head retrievals demonstrated linear surface damage termed “stripe” wear [3] [6]. Dorlot et al. studied wear on 20 COC retrieved CEM-cups, [2] noting that normal alumina wear patterns represented 0.2 um surface loss even after many years of use. In contrast, stripe damage was termed as “gross surface disruption”. Dorlot et al. [1] described “gross wear tracks” 180 um deep and some quite lengthy (70 - 110 mm arcs). Nevelos et al. [10] focused on stripe damage as produced in “Autophor” [11] cases (Figure 1(b), Figure 1(c)). Component loosening was again the main reason for revision. The authors confirmed that stripe wear represented severe surface disruption (up to 150 um deep) within sharply-defined, well-polished surfaces. The consensus was that the cup rims produced high contact-stresses on femoral heads (edge-loading) during hip-extension and flexion activities. Similar to Dorlot et al., the authors identified metallic staining due to CoCr particles transferred from Autophor stems (Figure 1(b)).

LLUMC [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] analyzed three Autophor retrievals with up to 24 years follow-up (Figure 2(a)). Three wear areas were mapped (Figure 2(b)),
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**Figure 1.** CEM-MOM and NC-COC (wear patterns colored red).
1) main wear zones (MWZ) were smoothly polished (grade IV: grain pull-out/pitting, 2) peripheral wear zones (PWZ) had rougher surfaces (grade V: severely disrupted surfaces), while 3) transition wear zones (TWZ) showed only slightly roughened surfaces (grade III: polished, pitting). Femoral components also demonstrated edge-loading (neck-E/L) due to anterosuperior and inferoposterior impingement (Figure 2(a), Figure 2(c)). Cup rims showed edge-loading anterosuperiorly and posteroinferiorly. Stripe lengths varied from 16 - 79 mm and it was noted that in 90˚ flexion the head stripes formed by combined retroversion of cup on head crossed the head’s polar region in the posterior direction. These ceramic studies confirmed 1) stripe wear on heads (head-E/L), 2) cup-E/L, 3) impingement damage on femoral necks (neck-E/L), and 4) metallic staining as confirmation of circulating metal debris. It was noted that wear stripes on retrievals of contemporary THA appeared identical to the early reports, indicating that stripes were a normal occurrence in COC [16] [17].

Stripe-wear patterns can be readily visualized with reference to THA models and video simulations from La Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital (LPUH) that accompany this report (Appendix). Hip range-of-motion (ROM) is blocked when the cup impinges against the femoral neck (Figure 2(a), Figure 2(c)). Our 36 mm COC impingement model (Figure 3) simulates cup-rim profiles across the head for various hip positions. The 10 mm neck provides a head:neck ratio of 3.6, with the ROM-algorithm predicting 148˚ of motion (Figure 2(d)). From
a lateral view of posterior-impingement (Figure 3(a), Figure 3(b)), the black stripe begins at the posterolateral margin and traversing anteriorly crosses the polar region (MWZ) in the anteromedial quadrant to meet tangentially with the polar-circle (Figure 3(c): PC). The stripe in this model has a 16.1° inclination (see Figure 2(c): CIA). Crossing the MWZ (Figure 3(d)), the black stripe re-enters the posterior quadrant and traverses in postero-inferior direction. For comparison, the red stripe (Figure 3(d)) represents cup profile with impingement in hip flexion. These stripes are mirror images due to lack of simulated anatomy and component positioning.

A realistic assessment of THA impingement is available in video downloads from LPUH (Appendix). The videos depict anatomical component positioning in “functional-standing” and “functional-sitting” postures. In video-1, the femoral-head and neck represent a THA (left hip-joint) with green and purple head quadrants signifying anterosuperior and anteroinferior facets, respectively. The femoral neck is a gray cylindrical representation that allows for both THA (15° anteversion, head-neck ratio 2.5) and RA designs. The 1st video segment depicts frontal and lateral views of cup on left and right sides of the screen, respectively. Hip abduction of 36° is depicted in a “functional-standing” posture. With hip-extension, the femoral neck impinges on the postero-inferior cup rim (lateral view), creating edge-loading on its posterior facet. Note the black stripe appearing at the contra-rim site indicating anterosuperior edge-loading on the head.

The 2nd segment of video-1 also in functional-standing posture depicts posterior impingement from the perspective of inferior and superior cup views (left and right sides of screen, respectively). As before, the inferior view shows neck impingement on the postero-inferior cup rim and the black head stripe traverses from postero-inferior to anterosuperior.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** 36 mm THA model, (a) posterior impingement (left hip), (b) "anteverted" black stripe, (c) centroidal-axis view, (d) medial-axis view with black "anteverted" and red "retroverted" stripes.
Video-2 depicts “functional-sitting” posture showing the same two segments and pelvic views as video-1. In hip flexion, the neck impinges on the anterosuperior cup rim creating edge-loading on its anterior facet. Note the red stripe representing cup-rim profile corresponding to posteroinferior edge-loading on the head. This stripe corresponds to the red retroverted stripe depicted in the 36mm THA impingement model (Figure 3(d)).

3. Contemporary Ceramic-on-Ceramic (1990-Present)

Historically, hip impingement was perceived as a risk with ceramic liners, in some cases leading to rim chipping and fracture [18] [19] [20]. As a result, metal-backed cups with elevated metal rims were introduced by some manufacturers to protect ceramic liners. One such case with dramatic evidence of impingement presented to our clinic at Loma Linda University Medical Center (LLUMC). Beginning at 6 months, this patient’s COC hip emitted distractingly loud noises while walking. Patient history revealed no dislocations or other mechanical problems. CT-scans showed her cup oriented with 55° lateral opening and 30° anteversion. Revision was planned when radiographs revealed her femoral-neck was notched [21]. Revision surgery at 3 years showed, 1) black staining of periarticular tissues, 2) femoral neck with twin notches, 3) black stained ceramic head, and 4) posteriorly notched Ti64 cup (Figure 4(a)). The neck notches could have been formed in two scenarios, i.e. combined liner and shell impingement creating a “double” notch (Figure 4(b)) or “twin” notches following 20° of head subluxation (Figure 4(c)). Most likely, the posterior rim acted as fulcrum for the neck, enabling the head’s anterior subluxation [21]. The revision surgeon trimmed the posterior Ti64 rim with a diamond burr and follow-up at 2-years showed hip noises had been eliminated. It was notable that our patient felt no discomfort or ROM limitation despite this 3-year experience with a squeaking THA. The learning experience was the degree of metallic damage created during this short follow-up.

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4. 28 mm COC revision showing, (a) black tissues, proximal/distal notches (Np, Nd), black stripes, and (b) “double notch” impingement concept, (c) “twin notch” subluxation concept [21].
Ball (2007) reported on 10 COC retrievals with black-stained surfaces [22]. The authors were concerned about intraoperative damage using a metal-backed cup with elevated metal rim (Encore, Austin TX). The authors noted that during head reduction, the elevated Ti64 rim transferred black stripes (0.5 - 1 mm wide) onto ceramic heads (4 surgeries). The other six cases with histories of dislocations showed black-stained ceramic that was related to damage of the metal-backed cups.

The Sydney orthopaedic group (SNHKS) [23] provided the first comprehensive review of stripe wear on contemporary COC designs. With up to 32 months follow-up, stripe damage on 11 heads was assessed by length, width, and depth of disruption, data recorded as 8 - 36 mm, 3 - 14 mm, and 1 - 13 um, respectively. The stripe inclinations (Figure 2(c): SIA) averaged 20˚ and all were termed “retroverted” (Figure 5(a)). This term described a stripe that traversed from anterolateral to postero-medial quadrant. This corresponded to the red stripe depicted in 36 mm COC model (see Figure 3(d)) and in LPWH “functional-sitting” video-2. SNHKS group [24] also reported on relationships between hip squeaking and component positioning in 17 COC retrievals. Fifteen of the 17 retrievals used the non-cemented ABG II system (Trident cups, Osteonics/Stryker, NJ) with elevated metal rims (similar to Figure 4(a)). Hip noises began a few months to a few years post-surgery. Eight patients showed squeaking during hip-flexion activities, 4 patients consistently squeaked while walking, and another 5 cases squeaked after prolonged activities such as golfing. Esposito et al. with SNHKS group [17] provided stripe details in the largest COC retrieval study to date with follow-ups to 10 years (Figure 5(b), Figure 5(c): 54 cases). Stripe wear was demonstrable in 83% of retrieved heads. Heads revealed anterosuperior-E/L in 7 cases, posterior-E/L in 32 cases, and both types in 6 cases. Stripe inclination angles (SIA) peaked at 63˚ - 66˚ (Figure 5(b), Figure 5(c)), which in our method would be CIA angles of 24˚ - 27˚ (see Figure 2(c)). It is noted that this is within the theoretical CIA-impingement range (22˚ - 30˚) for 28 and 32 mm diameter heads.

Figure 5. COC stripe inclinations (SIA) in SNHKS retrievals [17] [23].
4. Ceramic-on-Ceramic Summary

Despite frequent loosening problems in early COC designs, the consensus was that the rims of ceramic cups produced stripe wear on heads (head-E/L). Cups showed rim-E/L anterosuperiorly and posteroinferiorly and sometimes circumferentially (Figure 1). The large SNHKS study demonstrated stripe wear in 83% of cases, stripes formed in hip flexion being the most common. Overall, there was a consensus that damage commonly found in COC retrievals included head stripes, cup-rim wear, neck impingement, and metallic-stained surfaces [25]. Optimization continues in contemporary THA design but does not eliminate impingement risks. Metal transfer continues to be reported, an unequivocal sign of metallic impingement [26]-[35].

Our learning experience from the 36 mm COC model and LPUH videos was that stripe inclinations were related to femoral-neck widths (Figure 2, Figure 3). Large head:neck ratios resulted in greater ROM, producing steeper stripe inclinations at impingement. Steeper stripes were predicted following head subluxation, capable of crossing over the polar axis. Note that our 36 mm impingement model does not purport to represent anatomical functional positions. This model (Figure 3) makes no allowance for cup anteversion/lateral-inclination, femoral anteversion, or femoral-neck width. For this report, the LPUH videos offer the anatomical realism and comparisons of THA and RA designs in functional postures.

5. Pioneering Metal-on-Metal (1965-1975)

A variety of metal-on-metal (MOM) designs emerged during the 1960 era [36]. The pioneering McKee-Farrar THA (MKF) developed in England (1960-65) became widely used as a cemented design from 1965 onwards. The monolithic femoral component had a curved stem, large-diameter head and a short, wide neck (Figure 1(a)). Early results proved disappointing by today’s standards with loosening rates of 50% and higher. It was noted that loose cups typically migrated in the superomedial direction (0˚ - 30˚ from vertical axis), this corresponding to the typical inclination of the resultant hip force [37] [38] [39]. Nevertheless, the MKF retrievals had the significant benefit for retrieval studies in that the femoral head was not modular, thereby no ambiguity regarding head orientation in-vivo. Retrieval studies described both equatorial and polar wear patterns as well as peripheral wear stripes [40] [41] [42] [43] [44]. It was thought that “equatorial contact” denoted high frictional-torques able to promote cup loosening, i.e. “cup jamming”. Impingement damage was also visible on femoral necks and periarticular tissues were frequently stained gray by CoCr debris.

We analyzed a long-term MKF retrieval to validate LLUMC methodology and for literature comparisons. The MWZ was carefully delineated taking care to differentiate wear patterns from iridescent band of gelatinous protein layers that frequently clustered along wear boundaries. Approximately 1.8 mg of degraded protein could be removed from MOM heads by chemical-washing [45] [46] [47].
This particular MKF did not show any stripe wear. The typical wear-pattern (Figure 1(a): MWZ) was circular in shape and oriented such that the narrowest main-wear boundary was located at the superolateral head margin (Figure 6(a): dimension Z). It helped that the centroidal axis of the MWZ was centered adjacent to component midline and slightly superior to the polar axis (Figure 6(b)). Head MWZ was circular with its area representing 51% hemi-wear ratio (MWA). The cup MWZ area was larger, corresponding to MWA ratio of 79%. With cup positioned at 30° inclination, the MWZ centroidal axis (C) corresponded to presumed line-of-action of the resultant hip-joint force (Figure 6(a): 15° medial inclination). This reverse-engineering of head and cup MWZ appeared typical of MKF radiographic images [39].

6. Contemporary Metal-on-Metal (2000-Present)

Modular COC and MOM retrievals [1] [2] [10] represent additional complexities in mapping wear patterns. An antero-posterior THA x-ray serves here as an example (Figure 7(a)). The head and neck (left hip depicted) shows approximately 38° inclination to the horizontal and the acetabular cup approximately 48°. For the purpose of discussion, it will be assumed that this is a reasonable approximation for “functional-standing” posture in LPUH videos. Anatomical definitions for medial/lateral and inferior/superior are as depicted. However, unless the revision surgeon marks each component during surgery, all anatomical landmarks are lost. Typically, the polar axis (P) is the sole identifiable landmark in modular femoral heads (Figure 7(b), Figure 7(c)).

Retrieval studies at LLUMC necessitated a standard procedure for determining wear patterns on modular heads and cup-liners. Simulator studies provided us a foundation for retrieval analyses [12] [13] [16] [48]-[55]. Our first large-diameter MOM retrieval involved a patient troubled by multiple-dislocations. The hip
Figure 7. Anatomical landmarks in (a) radiograph of left hip, with 2 views of retrieval as (b) polar and (c) posterior.

Dislocated 7 times over the two years leading to revision [56]. CT-imaging revealed an acetabular cup with steep inclination (65°) and considerable retroversion (15°). This case demonstrated main-wear zones, stripe-wear, and large areas of Ti64 contamination. MWZ patterns on head and cup were well polished with average roughness Ra < 25 nm. The differences between this case and our MKF retrieval raised the question, how much bearing surface does the patient habitually use, and how does that vary with THA diameter? Review of the literature and LLUMC data provided six hypotheses:

1) Head wear patterns (MWZ) are circular to mildly elliptical in polar region
2) Head MWA-ratios range up to 55%
3) Narrowest MWZ margin indicates superolateral head position in-vivo
4) Centroidal-axis of head MWZ lies adjacent to stem centerline and superior to polar-axis
5) Inclination of MWZ centroidal axis corresponds to resultant hip-force (R) in-vivo
6) Polar head stripes represent edge-loading by the cup-rim

LLUMC received a contemporary THA design with still-fused 50 mm head. This provided the opportunity to validate MWZ methodology on large-diameter MOM. This female patient had a steeply-inclined cup [57]. Her painful left hip emitted creaking and crepitus sensations and was revised at 32 months. The narrow MWZ margin was identified (Figure 8(a)) and photographed to show the superolateral wear pattern. The prosthesis was then rotated in 90° increments to record three more views. Thus, the superior head margin (Z) and inferior margin (M) appeared in two views each. The MWZ centroid was located adjacent to the stem midline and approximately midway between polar (P) and superior (S) axes (Figure 8(a)). Reverse-engineering of MWZ onto patient radiographs illustrated the likely in-vivo position (Figure 8(b)). A satisfactory alignment of MWZ centroidal axis with 15° line-of-action of (hypothetical) resultant hip-force (R) [37] [38] was taken as appropriate validation.
LLUMC analyzed 60 MOM retrievals for patterns of normal and adverse wear \[58\]. Large-diameter THA were represented by three vendors (Biomet, Depuy, Smith and Nephew) while the MOM controls on loan from LPUH were 28 mm Metasul (Zimmer). Overall, head MWZ was noted to be a circular area with a slight elliptical tendency and MWZ areas increased with MOM diameter. Hemi-area ratios ranged 34% - 77% with average MWA of 53% (Figure 9). Cup MWZ areas were larger than heads and more varied (MWA = 65%). Inclinations for centroidal vectors ranged from 5˚ to 30˚ superiorly (average 16˚). These data were supportive of individual case studies at LLUMC. Overall, our original six hypotheses appeared relevant and in summary provided the following scope:

1) Head MWZ is circular in shape and centered near the pole
2) MWZ covers approximately 40% - 60% of head surface
3) MWZ centroidal axis confirms head position (functional-standing)
4) Cup MWZ covers approximately 65% of hemispherical area
5) Cup MWZ seldom circular, rarely contained within rim
6) Cup MWZ matched with head MWZ confirms functional-standing position.

7. Adverse Wear with Metal-on-Metal THA and RA

CoCr retrievals were studied visually under stereo-lens magnification for evidence of stripe wear but these were found difficult to photograph and analyze. Stripes had to be sketched by hand onto our MWZ-charts using colors to denote basal, equatorial, and polar sites. By definition, polar stripes occurred in the head’s main wear zone (MWZ) and basal stripes in the non-wear zone (NWZ). Basal and polar stripes were found in all MOM retrievals \[58\]. Basal-polar stripe combinations appeared at simulated prosthetic impingements (Figure 10). Multiple stripe combinations were observed in some retrievals (Figure 10, Figure 11(b)) \[59\] [60]. In contrast to basal-polar combinations, equatorial stripes varied considerably, occasionally following the cup-rim profile for considerable lengths (Figure 11(a): 40 mm arrows) but more typically appearing as irregular short stripes (Figure 10, Figure 11(b)).
Figure 9. Polar wear-patterns on 60 modular heads [58].

Figure 10. Stripes on 42 mm MOM. (a) cup-on-neck (N1), rim aligned on stripes polar 1a/basal 3a, and (b) cup on neck (N2), rim aligned on polar1b/basal3b, also showing protein contaminants (#4) [58].

Figure 11. Magnum head retrievals (a) head subluxed to show 40 mm stripe (arrows) and (b) multiplicity of stripes in dislocator case [60].
The “twin” neck-notches observed in some THA retrievals (Figure 4(a)) represented a “subluxation” wear mechanism that does not appear to have been discussed in the literature (Figure 4(c)). The 3D-video LPUH models provide the opportunity to evaluate this “subluxation” hypothesis. Video-3 in functional-standing depicts the femoral head subluxing from the cup during the posterior-impingement maneuver. The appearance of a 2nd black stripe at a steeper inclination depicts head-E/L during this maneuver. Video-4 in functional-sitting depicts head subluxation following the anterior impingement. The 2nd red stripe formed at a steeper inclination brings it closer to the head’s polar axis. Head stripes crossing within their polar circle (Figure 3(c), Figure 3(d)) were therefore witness to subluxation of the femoral head.

Approximately 15 years ago, Howie et al. [61] published a landmark study identifying 100 μm wide scratches in 20 MKF retrievals. LLUMC termed such scratches “microgrooves” [58] to differentiate them from prior descriptions of “fine CoCr scratches” (0.1 - 10 μm quoted range) [62] [63] [64] [65]. LLUMC utilized white-light interferometry (WLI) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to characterize microgrooves. The “stripe” damage could be represented by a large microgroove or by arrays of parallel microgrooves particularly when Ti64 contamination was present. Microgrooves varied in width from 40 to 400 μm with 100 μm being typical. Large pits and gouges were found in association with microgrooves, indicative of either impacting debris or sub-surface loss due to fracture [66]. Microgrooves were most conspicuous in the inferior head margins (NWZ). Basal microgrooves varied 3 - 20 μm deep with jagged lips of equal height to valleys (Figure 12). The longitudinal striations in larger microgrooves along with shallow entry and exit termini indicated that these were created by metal particles plowing across CoCr surfaces, i.e. classic 3rd-body wear mechanism. Basal microgrooves were frequently found contaminated with Ti64 alloy in the non-wear zone. Long smears of Ti64 transfer varied 40 - 160 μm in width and quite commonly presented as twin tracks up to 300 μm wide or more (Figure 12(a)). These revealed Ti64 “islands” with 1 to 5 μm peaks above the CoCr surface (Figure 12(c), Figure 12(d)). In contrast, polar microgrooves (MWZ) were not so well defined, sometimes resembling sawtooth patterns with 1 - 2 μm depth (Figure 13). With average roughness index 200 - 300 nm, these stripes were much rougher than adjacent polished MWZ surfaces (5 - 20 nm).

Clearly RSA hips with large femoral necks will impinge more readily than THA [67]. The main difference would be that, in the absence of a prosthetic femoral-neck, there would be no risk of “prosthetic” impingement. This raised the question, would RSA femoral components show similar pits, microgrooves, and stripe wear as THA? We analyzed 12 each THA and RSA retrievals that had adequate clinical information and could be matched by vendor and diameter [68]. RA bearings revealed surface pitting, sometimes singly, sometimes grouped, and frequently in linear formations. The pits were typically 150 - 160 μm wide, 5 - 15 μm deep, frequently found adjacent to microgrooves, and present in both femoral and acetabular components. In other words, RSA wear damage appeared
Figure 12. 36 mm CoCr head. (a) Ti64-smear profile (x-x) in WLO imaging; (b) Oblique view of Ti64 islands on smeared CoCr surface; (c) Cross-sectional profile (x-x) of Ti64 island.

Figure 13. WLO-imaging polar microgrooves (36 mm head) with “sawtooth” rough surface.

similar to THA. WLI-imaging of THA liners (Figure 14(a), Figure 14(b)) and RA liners (Figure 14(c), Figure 14(d)) revealed similar features, notably longitudinal striations (1: 3rd-body wear), raised scratch lips (2: cold flow), surface distortion on flanks (3: cold flow) that were raised above the articular surface (4). Some microgrooves had conspicuous lipping on one side only (Figure 14(b)) and some both sides (Figure 14(d)). Aspect ratios (AR) varied anywhere from...
Figure 14. Microgrooves in CoCr liners [68]; (a) (b) THA (46 mm Magnum, Biomet) and (c) (d) 47 mm RA (ASR, Depuy).

30:1 to 70:1, indicating these were wide, shallow scratches. The large pits and scratches in RA could have been caused by, 1) metal debris released from bone-ingrowth surfaces, or 2) 2-body wear mechanisms (head-E/L, cup-E/L). Either way the conclusion was the same, 3rd-body wear in RA bearings was very similar to THA [68]. Comparing basal and polar stripe inclinations was particularly revealing. RA basal stripes averaged 29° inclination (low head:neck ratio) to THA averaging 16° (high head:neck ratio). However, polar stripes in RA and THA were virtually identical, averaging 14° - 17° inclination. These data indicated that RA hips first impinged and then subluxed (12° on average) to achieve same ROM as THA. As a result, RA and THA polar stripes appeared similarly inclined [67].

The video clips from La Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital (LPUH) depict resurfacing (RA) impingements in “functional-standing” and “functional-sitting” postures. In video-5, the femoral-head and neck represent a resurfacing arthroplasty (left hip). The 1st segment depicts frontal and lateral views of cup on left and right sides of screen, respectively. With hip-extension, the large neck impinges on the posteroinferior cup rim (lateral view) at a more inferior site than with THA. Note the black stripe appearing on contra-rim site with shallow inclination i.e. less steep than THA. The 2nd segment depicts posterior impingement from a perspective of inferior view of cup. Video-6 depicts the RA model in functional-sitting. The black head stripe formed in extension is retained for comparison. With hip-flexion, the neck impinges on the anterosuperior cup rim creating edge-loading on its anterior facet. Note the red stripe indicating cup profile at contra-rim site, this representing posteroinferior edge-loading of head and cup.

Video-7 depicts subluxation of the femoral head following posterior impingement in functional standing. The 2nd black stripe formed with RA subluxation shows a steeper inclination, bringing it quite close to the polar axis. Video-8 depicts subluxation of the femoral head following anterior impingement in functional sitting. Video-8 starts showing two stripes from impingement (vid-
eo-6) and the black stripe from subluxation in extension (video-7). The 2nd red stripe formed with subluxation has a steeper inclination, bringing it quite close to the polar axis.

8. Summary of Metal-on-Metal Wear Patterns

The definition of functional wear zones (MWZ) in modular heads represented a critical first step in our analysis. The half-angle subtending the typical MWZ by definition was 60° (MWA-ratio = 50%). This was sketched on retrieval photographs to separate main-wear from non-wear regions (Figure 15). With cups mounted on surrogate femoral stems, details of polar (MWZ) and basal (NWZ) combinations were examined at prosthetic impingement sites. This simulation denoted four sites for potential edge-loading, i.e. neck-E/L(1), inferior cup-E/L(2), superior cup-E/l(3) and head-E/L(4), along with the ingress of Ti64 contamination in basal head region (8). Bearing surfaces on all MOM studied at LLUMC revealed large pits, microgrooves, stripe formations, and Ti64 transfer in many cases. Basal and polar stripes were observed on all MOM retrievals regardless of diameter or brand. CoCr heads featured the typical 100 um pits, microgrooves, side-wall striations, and Ti64 transfer that made us favor a 3rd-body wear mechanism. In contrast, McHugh et al. [69] anticipated plastic deformation on femoral heads that would denote forceful collisions by the cup rim. This was certainly possible in polar regions, some stripes revealing multiples of microgrooves, a sawtooth pattern that could have denoted a repetitive mechanical impingement (Figure 13). The counterpoint would be that the longitudinal striations in microgroove valleys indicated abrasion by travelling metal particles. In addition, similar pits and microgrooves formed inside CoCr liners could only have been due to 3rd body wear. Our agreement may lie in the fact that metal particles could be released by a combination of abrasive-wear and fatigue-wear mechanisms.
Hip impingement as a wear mechanism was inferred in the pioneering era of COC studies [1] [2] but apparently not considered in MOM analyses. This was likely a misconception given that CoCr was believed to offer beneficial “fluid-film” lubrication and “self-healing” wear mechanisms [70]-[78]. As originally described by Howie in MKF retrievals [61], the LLUMC retrievals confirmed large metal fragments had been circulating in both THA and RA retrievals. In THA cases, the metal particles could have originated from three sites (head-E/L, cup-E/L, neck-E/L), or by metal beads released from coated components. In RA cases, the metal particles could only have originated from a 2-body wear mechanism (head-E/L, neck-E/L) or by release of metal beads. Impingement differences between RA and THA wear mechanisms may be reflected in clinical outcomes, the RA designs generally proving superior to THA [79] [80] [81] [82].

9. Impingement Evidence in Metal-on-Metal THA

Basal-polar stripe combinations represented indirect evidence of prosthetic impingement (Figure 10, Figure 11, Figure 15). Femoral-neck proof was lacking because only 2 femoral stems were received in our study of 45 large-diameter MOM retrievals [58]. We note anecdotally that anodized Ti64 femoral necks frequently show loss of color, an indication of very mild wear by the cup rim (Figure 16(a), Figure 16(e)). While such rings represent unequivocal evidence of cup impingement, these were too shallow to be called notches so we termed these “circumferential blemishes”. LPUH loaned LLUMC a set of ten Metasul THA (Zimmer, Warsaw, IN) complete with femoral stems. Circumferential damage was apparent as well-defined notches on 5 necks (Figures 16(b)-(d)) and as “blemishes” on 5 others [83]. One Metasul stem had three notches, one superior and two posterior. The latter were the “twin” notches similar to our COC retrieval case (Figure 4(a)). The Metasul model demonstrated cup-inclination CIA-angle of 32° on the proximal notch (Figure 17(a)). The head needed to sublux a further 20°, enabling the cup rim to impinge more distally creating the 2nd notch (CIA = −8°) (Figure 17(b)). It was also apparent in these models that only the cup rim would remain in contact with the femoral neck and head (Figure 17(b)). We modelled this concept of cup “lift-off” (CLO) using our prior retrieval experience (Figure 4). For simplicity, the beveled cup rim was positioned at the cup’s equator thereby providing a full 180° bearing in the hypothetical model (28 mm diameter, 13.8 mm neck). We were interested in how quickly cup lift-off would disengage the bearing surfaces and eliminate MOM lubrication. The CLO algorithms (Figure 17(c), Figure 17(d)) revealed that even 1° of subluxation in 28 mm and 44 mm MOM designs would result in gaps of 250 um and 400 um, respectively. This gapping was approximately proportional to head geometry (Figure 17(c), Figure 17(d)). Therefore, the larger the MOM diameter, the larger the gap during subluxation. It is to be noted that even 1° of subluxation would transfer all hip-joint force across the cup’s narrow beveled rim in contact with the head.
Figure 16. COC and MOM necks, (a) circumferential blemishes, anodized Ti64 neck (28 mm Ceraver: arrows), (b) CoCr notch, (c) Ti64 notch, (d) Ti64 trunnion notch, (e) Ti64 blemishes [83].

Figure 17. Modelling impingement and head subluxation with cup rim-contour positioned to replicate a hemispherical surface (28 mm 180°-cup, 13.8 mm neck), (a) at impingement CIA 32°, cup profile on head indicated above, (b) at 20° subluxation cup profile crossed polar axis and CIA –8°, (c) 28 mm cup with 5° subluxation, and (d) 44 mm cup with 5° subluxation.
Individual THA cases referred to LLUMC also demonstrated dramatic evidence of neck notching. A bilateral THA patient was referred to LLUMC with persistent pain in the MOM hip. The female patient complained her right hip would freeze while walking and popped when rising from a chair. Imaging revealed a femoral stem anteverted 43° and cup anteverted 40°. Revision at 3.5 years showed perarticular tissues stained black [84]. The revised CoCr head showed Ti64 smears 5 µm thick. The femoral neck had two well-defined notches typical of prosthetic impingement (Figure 18(a)). The notches were not the “twins” that denoted head subluxation (Figure 4(a), Figure 4(c)). In this case, the contours of the Ultamet liner and Pinnacle shell exactly matched the double-notched Ti64 neck. This retrieval became our model for predicting a wear spectrum in neck-notches. Interestingly, except for the shallow rim indent, the Ultima cup showed little damage.

It was notable that polished surfaces in Ti64 notches (Figure 16(d), Figure 18(a)) resembled “precision machining”. There was seldom a suggestion of plastic deformation denoting metal components colliding forcefully as anticipated by McHugh et al. [69]. Notch wear is characterized here (Figure 18(b)) by a 1 mm-thick “slice” containing twelve 1 mm cubes. Given that the two notches also spanned 6.25 mm neck width, it would be reasonable to assume notch volume could be represented by several such slices, perhaps approaching 21-cube volume, i.e. 21 mm³ total. This represented a 6 mm³/year wear rate in the Ti64 notch. It is impossible to predict numbers and size-distributions of metal particles that would be released during presumed “millions” of wear cycles. At one end of the particle-size spectrum, 6 mm³ would represent annual release of 5700 particles of 126 um-size as quoted in MOP retrievals [85], approximating a daily release of 16 Ti64 particles. At the other end of the spectrum, if we hypothesize...
that all metal particles were crushed between MOM surfaces [86] to the equivalent nanometer size found in tissues [87], this notch model represented approximately 22-trillion Ti64 particles annually deposited in the joint. Some may consider this case an “extreme” example of THA impingement? Nevertheless, it does illustrate a potential wear spectrum that has been not explored in contemporary MOM literature [79] [88]-[93].

10. Summary of MOM Wear Patterns in THA and RA

Our learning experience from the RA and THA retrievals was that evidence of pitting, microgrooves and stripe-wear was essentially similar. In RA cases, the CoCr particles could have come from 1) loose beads, 2) cup-E/L and head-E/L mechanisms, or 3) both. Given the weight of retrieval evidence, our focus remains on edge-loading being most likely [1] [40] [61] [94] [95].

Theories of “boundary” and “fluid-film” lubrication have been advanced to predict optimal wear mechanisms for MOM, particularly in designing head: cup pairings with small clearances. However, it is to be noted that this concept is based on theoretical treatments predicting fluid-film thickness of the order 30 nm [58] [61] [63] [78] [85]. Retrieval evidence shows hip debris can be 3 to 4 orders of magnitude greater than that. This was not considered in lubrication theory. Additionally, THA and RA retrieval evidence shows that hip subluxation may actually be anticipated. Even 1˚ of hip subluxation would create severe edge-loading when a rigid cup off-loads approximately 250 - 400 um from the head (Figure 17). The actual incidence cannot be predicted but impingement/subluxation may happen regularly, for example while doing yoga, tennis, dancing, golfing, riding horses, power walking, etc.

The COC consensus was that head “stripes” were created by ceramic cup-E/L. [1] [2] [12] [13] [16] [17] [23] [96]. This was apparently not anticipated in MOM studies. LLUMC data appears to be the first recognition of the MOM impingement concept advanced in the Australian study (Table 1). A key sentence in this work stated, “Scanning electron microscopy demonstrated deep wear tracks oriented in a common direction (Figure 2, [61])”. This paper requires our study because their key micrograph showed a 100 um-wide scratch crossing a CoCr femoral-head (Figure 5, [61]). We coined the term “microgroove” to dif-
differentiate such large scratches that to our knowledge were not discussed either before or after this Australian report [58]. The importance of the microgroove was the insight that this provided to abrasive wear mechanisms in MOM bearings. Note that neck-E/L is typically referred to as a “notch” and head-E/L as a “stripe”. From our point of view, “stripes” and “notches” represent a 2-body wear mechanism that edge-loading by a rigid cup produced over millions of wear cycles.

We therefore proceed with the following observations and hypotheses,
1) Head basal-polar stripe combinations indicate impingement
2) Head-E/L and cup-E/L release CoCr particles in MOM bearings
3) Cup edge-loading is the counterpoint to head-E/L
4) Pits and microgrooves in THA and RA indicate similar 3rd-body wear
5) Head stripes crossing near polar axis indicate head subluxation
6) Circumferential neck “blemishes” indicate “mild” edge loading
7) Neck notches indicate severe edge-loading by cups
8) Twin neck notches indicate head subluxation
9) Notch-wear model in Ti64 case predicts 6 mm$^3$/year wear rate
10) Simulator models revealed metal particles crushed to sub-micron size within seconds

11. Impingement Evidence in Metal-on-Plastic Retrievals

Our wear hypothesis stated above is that MOM and COC bearings readily crush large metal particles in vivo [86]. Therefore, metal-on-plastic (MOP) retrievals should have retained some evidence showing such metal particles. This we shall demonstrate using an assemblage of MOP reports (Table 1).

MOP designs in 1970 and 1980 era included femoral-head materials such as ceramic, CoCr, stainless steel, and titanium alloy [97] [98] [99] [100]. Following the cemented PE-cups [101], there was a move to non-cemented cups that used metal backings. For brevity, the term CEM-cups will refer to PE liners used with cement (no metal shell) and NC-cups to those using PE-liners with metal-backings. Ti64 femoral heads were also popular in the 1980 era, initially used successfully with CEM-cups. However, when replaced by NC-cups, THA revision rates increased resulting in the Ti64 femoral-heads being abandoned [97] [102]. In a MOP retrieval study of CoCr heads, 3rd-body wear was visible in 89% of MOP retrievals [103]. SEM imaging described 0.1 - 5 µm scratches with jagged lips as typical. The authors concluded that this was 3rd-body wear by metal particles and was more frequent in cases with NC-cups (Table 1).

MOP impingement denoted by deformed PE-liner rims has an incidence approaching 75% of retrievals [85] [104]. In Ohio State University (MOSU) study sampling of 194 retrievals, 93% of the particles embedded in the polyethylene were found to be metallic (Table 1). MOP retrievals representing impingement and dislocation cases have also shown Ti64 contamination. One described a case with Ti64 layers up to 4 µm thick on the CoCr head [35]. Wear analyses from MOP cases revised at MOSU documented large areas of Ti64 contamination (Figure 19(a)).
Table 1. Assemblage of information regarding COC, MOM and MOP.

| ID | Study | Year  | Type | Details of impingement damage |
|----|-------|-------|------|-------------------------------|
| 1  | Walker [40] [110] | 1971, 74 | MOM  | McKee wear patterns (equatorial), neck-E/L, cup-E/L, gray-stained tissue |
| 2  | Dorlot [1] [2] | 1989, 91 | COC  | Wear stripes, head & cup E/L, gray-stained ceramic |
| 3  | Jasty [103] | 1994 | MOP  | CoCr wear damage more common with NC-cups |
| 4  | McKellop [111] | 1996 | MOM  | McKee wear patterns (non-equatorial), neck-E/L |
| 5  | Iida [112] | 1999 | MOM  | 28 mm Metasul notched neck, neck-E/L, |
| 6  | Nevelos [10] | 1999 | COC  | Stripes, head and cup E/L, gray-stained ceramic |
| 7  | Oparaugo [106] | 2001 | MOP  | CEM-cup outcomes superior to NC-cups |
| 8  | Eickmann [21] | 2003 | COC  | Squeaking, elevated cup rims, notched neck & cup rim, black-stained tissues |
| 9  | TMU [12] [13] [16] [54] [113] | 2003-09 | COC  | Polar MWZ, stripes, cup-E/L, gray-stained linear tracks, |
| 10 | Walter [23] | 2004 | COC  | Stripes (retroverted), head-E/L |
| 11 | Howie [61] | 2005 | MOM  | McKee-Farrar, microgrooves, neck-E/L |
| 12 | Walter [24] | 2007 | COC  | Squeaking noises using cups with elevated metal rims |
| 13 | Bal [22] | 2007 | COC  | Squeaking noises, elevated cup rims, black-stained ceramic, Ti64 contamination |
| 14 | Kligman [104] | 2007 | MOP  | Polyethylene rim impingement in 75% of retrievals |
| 15 | Lundberg [85] | 2007 | MOP  | Rim impingement in 68% retrievals, metal debris embedded in liners, 126 um avg. size |
| 16 | Bengs [67] | 2008 | MOM  | RA impingement more likely than THA |
| 17 | Bowsheer [56] | 2008 | MOM  | Multiple dislocator, polar wear-pattern, stripes, Ti64 contamination |
| 18 | Patten [35] | 2010 | MOP  | Dislocator case, Ti64 transfer 1 - 4 um thick, particle 10 × 40 um, PE delamination |
| 19 | Kubo [114] | 2011 | MOM  | Metasul impingement, head and liner stripes, Ti metal ions, |
| 20 | Esposito [17] | 2012 | COC  | stripes antverted and retroverted, head-E/L |
| 21 | McPherson [59] | 2012 | MOM  | Multiple-dislocator case, clicking noises, multiplicity of stripes |
| 22 | Pelt [60] | 2013 | MOM  | Basal-polar stripes, head & cup-E/L, histopathology |
| 23 | McHugh [69] | 2013 | MOM  | Stripe wear modelled as regions of plastic deformation |
| 24 | Wong [65] | 2013 | MOM  | MWZ (MWA= 45% avg.), no pitting or microgrooves, CoCr roughness Ra = 11 um |
| 25 | Nguyen [115] | 2013 | MOM  | Large "Donga" pits with linear pattern of "skipping" pits common in NWZ |
| 26 | Clarke [58] | 2013 | MOM  | Polar MWZ (MWA = 50%) basal-polar stripes, head & cup-E/L, metal transfer |
| 27 | Clarke [83] | 2014 | MOM  | 28 mm Metasul, circumferential blemishes and notching, CoCr and Ti64 necks |
| 28 | Halim [86] | 2014 | MOM  | MOM simulator study crushed CoCr and Ti64 particles in 10-second test |
| 29 | Halim [116] | 2015 | MOM  | MOM simulator, wear with metal debris turned lubricants black over 5-million cycle test |
| 30 | Donaldson [84] | 2015 | MOM  | 28 mm Pinnacle cup with double-notched SROM femoral neck |
| 31 | Tikekar [105] | 2015 | MOP  | 5 dislocator cases, Ti64 transfer average roughness Ra = 0.3 um; peaks Rz = 10 - 36 um |
| 32 | Elsissy [68] | 2018 | MOM  | THA and RA, pits, microgrooves, stripes |
| 33 | Munemoto [43] | 2018 | MOM  | Histopathology in MKF retrievals over 2 to 41 years |
| 34 | Karachalios [80] | 2018 | MOP  | NJR revision data, NC-cups = x2 greater than CEM-PE |
Details of metal transfer can be compared with other studies (Figure 15) by superimposing MWZ-template showing likely wear zones (Figure 19(b)). In the depicted MOSU example (Figure 20), the metal transfer did not resemble basal stripes seen in MOM (Figures 10-12). Nevertheless, linear smears and Ti64-coated basal microgrooves have also been identified in MOM retrievals. The roughness on Ti64 “islands” ranged 1 - 5 um high (Figure 12(d)). MOP data suggested that during daily activities, circulating Ti64 particles were compressed between the PE-liners and CoCr heads (Figure 4 in MOSU report) [105] and thus (a) coated CoCr heads and (b) likely embedded in PE surfaces [85]. The resulting average surface roughness index (Ra) in the MOSU study was 300 nanometers with peaks (Rz) ranging to a high of 36 microns. For comparison, MOP cases (N = 6) revised at LLUMC with more than 10-year follow-up demonstrated CoCr average surface roughness in normal low range of 8 - 13 nm [65]. The effect of Ti64 roughness coating CoCr heads is unknown with respect to MOP wear.

Interest in prosthetic impingement at LLUMC led to a study ranking MOP literature by head diameter, CEM versus NC-cups, and PE wear-rates (Table 1). The conclusions offered [106] suggested that 1) best clinical outcomes were with 22 mm/28 mm heads in CEM-cups, while NC-cups showed poorer outcomes for all categories (22 - 32 mm). A literature review from the University General Hospital of Larissa [80] offered similar conclusions (circa 2018), primarily taken from the National Joint Registry (England, Wales, and N. Ireland). The authors presented conclusions as follows, 1) compared with NC and hybrid-fixation, CEM-THA had the lowest revision-rates over all time periods, and 2) revision rate with NC-THA was approximately double that of CEM-THA. Given the MOP impingement record (Table 1), the most likely cause would be NC-cups impinging on metal femoral-necks with releasing metal particles, thereby Ti64 being the greatest risk. It is to be noted that THA with multiple bearings also share impingement risks (Figure 20).

**Figure 20.** Notched neck in multiple-bearing MOP (young female, revised after 1 year).
12. Assemblage of Impingement Evidence (COC MOM, MOP)

The initial focus of MOM studies (1965-1975) was on polar versus equatorial wear-patterns. Peripheral stripe-wear and neck impingement also received some mention. COC clinical studies had their debut circa 1970-1973 in France and Germany. The consensus in these early studies was that “stripe” wear on ceramic heads represented edge-loading by cup rims. However, these data frequently represented loose components and this somewhat clouded interpretation. Gray-stained alumina surfaces demonstrated that metal particles had been circulating. Nevertheless, studies of contemporary THA designs later confirmed that stripe wear represented a typical COC wear mechanism.

In hindsight, two landmark McKee-Farrar (MKF) studies predicted the future for 2nd generation MOM results. An early MKF report described metallosis and pseudotumors in seven retrievals [107] and this was confirmed recently in long-term studies [43]. A report on 24 MKF retrievals attributed 3rd-body CoCr wear to large CoCr particles being released at impingement [61] (Table 2). This result was also confirmed recently [58]. Key wear patterns represented polar head wear combined with basal-polar head stripes and pertinent evidence of single, “twin”, and “double” notches on femoral necks. Without these key observations, surface pitting, scratching and Ti64 transfer could simply have been written off as 1) surgical damage, 2) dislocation damage, and/or 3) loose beads. We now add that head “stripes” and femoral neck “notches” represent precisely-sited wear mechanisms that could only be replicated by “prosthetic” impingement. The 3D anatomical simulations of impingement in LPUH videos brought awareness of stripe formations and the cup “lift-off” mechanism in functional-standing and sitting postures. This new CLO-concept implies that as the femoral head subluxes from the cup, there will be two dramatic changes, 1) sudden loss of lubrication, and 2) cup rim transmits total hip-joint force onto a narrow strip of head surface. Even one degree of head subluxation from a rigid cup enables 200 microns surface gapping.

The well-polished surfaces of femoral notches represented a wear mechanism functioning over “millions” of load cycles. Estimated metal loss due to neck-notching (Ti64 neck, 3.5-year revision) presented a wear-rate approaching 6 mm³/year. Such an annual dose of Ti64 would represent 5700 particles of 126 um-size, a daily release of only 16 micron-size particles. The wear spectrum of neck-notching is unknown but this represents a clinically significant wear mechanism (Table 2) that has not been discussed in MOM literature (2-body wear). The counterpoint to femoral-neck notching was the formation of stripe wear on femoral heads. Descriptions of abrasive wear in hard CoCr alloy surfaces frequently ascribe such damage to release of surface carbides. As side by side comparisons indicate here, the scale of surface carbides (Figure 21(a): circled < 5 μm) is dwarfed by the typical microgroove of width 100 μm. The jagged lips and longitudinal striations illustrate the power in such 3rd body wear by metal particles (Figure 21(b)). It can be appreciated that the metal particles traversing this surface had to be at least 100 - 200 μm wide.
Table 2. Release of metal particles (particularly Ti64) implicated in MOP outcomes.

| Date | Study         | Parameter                                  | Details                                                   |
|------|---------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1981 | McKellop [117]| Pin-on-flat wear tests (MOP)               | Adverse wear: PMMA debris on Ti64                        |
| 1988 | Agins [102]   | Ti64 femoral heads (MOP)                   | Abandoned                                                 |
| 1991 | Jasty [103]   | CoCr roughened by metal debris (MOP)       | Rougher with NC-cups                                       |
| 1999 | Iida [112]    | Neck notch, metallosis (MOM)               | Ti64 femoral neck                                         |
| 2003 | Eickmann [21] | Impinged Ti64 femoral neck (COC)           | “Twin” neck notches, elevated Ti64 rim                    |
| 2005 | Howie [61]    | McKee-Farrar retrievals                    | Impingement CoCr debris, 100 µm scratches                |
| 2005 | Kim [27]      | “Severe smears” on ceramic heads (COP)     | PE-wear increased, metal smeared on ceramic               |
| 2007 | Bal [22]      | Black Ti64 transfer (COC)                  | Ti64 femoral necks, elevated cup rims                     |
| 2007 | Kligman [104] | Impinged polyethylene cups (MOP)           | 75% of cup retrievals                                    |
| 2010 | Lee [31]      | COC neck notches, black metal transfer     | “Twin” neck notches in Figure 2(a)                        |
| 2013 | Clarke [83]   | Impinged neck with “twin” notches (MOM)    | Ti64 notches ⇒ CoCr                                      |
| 2013 | Clarke [58]   | Impingement in 60 MOM retrievals           | Impingement, CoCr debris, microgrooves                   |
| 2014 | Halim [86]    | CoCr and Ti64 debris, MOM-simulator        | Metal particles crushed in 10-second test                 |
| 2015 | Halim [116]   | 3rd body wear, MOM-simulator               | Higher wear with Ti64 particles, black lubricants         |
| 2015 | Donaldson [84]| MOM “double-notch” in Ti64 neck            | Ti64 neck-notch model (wear 6 mm²/yr)                    |
| 2015 | Tikekar [105] | CoCr heads, Ti64 transfer (MOP)            | Ti64 roughness 1 - 36 µm on CoCr heads                   |
| 2018 | Elsissy [68]  | 3rd-body wear similar in RA and THA        | CoCr debris (hip subluxation)                            |
| 2018 | Karachalios [80]| MOP outcomes, UK Joint Registry         | NC-cup revisions = 2x CEM-cups                            |

The obvious circuit-breaker in our foundational impingement hypothesis was that prior revision and simulator studies described CoCr debris as minute, approaching 30 - 80 nanometers [63] [87]. There are three pieces of evidence that can explain this enigma. Firstly, most THA patients remain completely unaware of hip impingement and subluxation. This has been termed “repetitive sub-clinical subluxation” (RSS) [59]. We also found quite remarkable that considerable implant damage could materialize with quite short-term follow-ups (Figure 4, Figure 18, Figure 19). The second confirmation was found in MOP retrieval studies (Table 2) showing 1) debris embedded in PE liners was mostly metallic of average size 126 µm size [85], and 2) Ti64 transfer onto CoCr heads could be 1 - 36 µm thick [35] [105]. The 3rd piece of evidence was provided by LLUMC simulator studies crushing large CoCr and Ti64 particles in 10-second MOM tests [86]. As follow-up, our second hypothesis introduces the wear mechanism of cup lift-off (Figure 17: CLO). Just 1° of lift-off will create 250 to 400 µm surface gapping. Not only does this void all lubrication but it also will trigger adverse stripe wear, i.e. total hip-force is now transferred into the segment of cup-rim in contact with the head.
In conclusion, the consensus in COC studies was that stripe-wear damage on heads was produced by a cup edge-loading mechanism (cup-E/L). Metal particles were released as a result of impingement and as a result COC retrievals frequently showed black-stained surfaces. Regardless, alumina bearings are extremely resistant to 3rd-body abrasion. In contrast, MOM bearings proved very reactive to ingress of metal particles and now probably are relegated to use only by expert surgeons [79] [80] [81] [108] [109]. The MOP and COP THA remain the most forgiving designs in terms of impingement, i.e. no stripe wear and compliance in polyethylene liners likely negates concerns of cup “lift-off”. Nevertheless, metal-backed polyethylene cups still risk “prosthetic” impingement (Figure 20). It is therefore relevant that MOP Registry data indicates outcomes with NC-cups were inferior to CEM-cups. It is notable that MOP retrievals demonstrated that 1) metal particles roughen CoCr heads, 2) metal particles embedded in PE liners average size 126 um and 3) Ti64 transfer onto CoCr heads ranged up to 36 um thick. It is also noted that the wear performance of Ti64 surfaces and Ti64 debris has always been negative (Table 2). Ti64 necks typically show the most notch damage. Nevertheless, market preference remains with NC-cup designs, in particular with Ti64 metal-backings used to support bone ingrowth. These data indicate a need for MOP and COP wear studies regarding 1) prosthetic impingement, 2) lift-off by rigid cups (CLO), 3) Ti64-transfer onto CoCr femoral heads, and 4) 3rd-body wear by large metal particles (126 um).
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Definition of Terms

2D: Two dimensional
3D: Three dimensional
ASR: Articular Surface Replacement (DePuy/J&J)
BHUK: Bristol Hospital, UK
BHR: Birmingham Hip Replacement (Smith and Nephew)
BTP: Black tissue plane
CEM-cup: Cemented cup
CEM-THA: Cemented total hip arthroplasty
CIA: Cup inclination angle
CLO: Cup lift-off angle at hip subluxation
COC: Ceramic-on-ceramic
CoCr: Cobalt chromium alloy
ECD: Equivalent circle diameter for assessment of debris
E/L: Edge loading
F/F: Fluid film lubrication
HS: Hip-subluxation angle
LLUMC: Loma Linda University Medical Center, Dept. Orthopaedics, Loma Linda
MOSU: Materials-Science and Orthopaedic Depts, Ohio State University
MOM: Metal-on-metal
MOP: Metal-on-plastic
MWA: MWZ area normalized to femoral-head area
MWZ: Main-wear zone (on head and cup)
N: Width of femoral neck at impingement
Nd: Notched neck, distal
Np: Notched neck, proximal
NC-cup: Non-cemented cup
NC-THA: Non-cemented total hip arthroplasty
NJR: National Joint Registry
NWZ: Non-wear zone
PC: Polar circle (polar-stripes crossing tangentially)
PE: Polyethylene
LPUH: La Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital, Dept. Orthopaedics, Paris
PWZ: Peripheral wear zone
R: Resultant hip-joint force
ROM: Range of motion
RA: Resurfacing arthroplasty
RSS: Repetitive Sub-clinical Subluxation
SEM: Scanning electron microscopy
SIA: Stripe inclination angle
SNHKS: Sydney Northside Hip & Knee Surgeons, Australia
SWZ: Stripe wear zone
THA: Total hip arthroplasty
Ti64: Titanium alloy (Ti6Al4V)
TMU: Tokyo Medical University, Dept. Orthopaedics, Tokyo
UK: United Kingdom
WLI: White-light interferometry (surface roughness data)
Z: Narrow MWZ width on superolateral femoral head

Appendix

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/5l38thupnwxrg9v/AADjE79nbQD3cjqLXf9KaoOua?dl=0
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/03azshdkyksze3c/AAB2gv0XBvr5l_6RyR14wigFa?dl=0