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Abstract

The Merkle-Hellman (MH) cryptosystem is one of the earliest public key cryptosystems, which is introduced by Ralph Merkle and Martin Hellman in 1978 based on an NP-hard problem, known as the subset-sum problem. Furthermore, ant colony optimization (ACO) is one of the most nature-inspired meta-heuristic optimization, which simulates the social behaviour of ant colonies. ACO has demonstrated excellent performance in solving a wide variety of complex problems. In this paper, we present a novel ant colony optimization (ACO) based attack for cryptanalysis of MH cipher algorithm, where two different search techniques are used. Moreover, experimental study is included, showing the effectiveness of the proposed attacking scheme. The results show that ACO based attack is more suitable than many other algorithms like genetic algorithm (GA) and particle swarm optimization (PSO).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Security and privacy protection of data is a great challenge in communication networks and computer systems. Cryptology is one of the most significant techniques for achieving information security covering two mutually unified subfields; cryptography and cryptanalysis. Cryptography is the study of building new powerful and efficient encryption and decryption algorithms using some mathematical problems as the theoretical basis. Cryptanalysis is the art of deciphering communications that are secured by cryptography; that is, finding, exploiting, and correcting weaknesses in cryptographic systems. The main challenge in cryptanalysis is to recover the plaintext or the key used for encryption.

It is become a common practice to use metaheuristics in cryptanalysis field. More recently, nature inspired metaheuristic algorithms have been used in cryptanalysis of many cipher. Especially, Ant colony optimization which is a promising approach that usually achieves considerably high performance in wide variety of problems.

In our previous work [1], we have proposed a new evolutionary way to attack Merkel-Hellman (MH) cryptosystem using ant colony optimization. We have modelled the cryptanalysis problem to a combinatorial problem in order to apply ACO metaheuristic, and the whole algorithm called MH-ACO was presented. In this paper, we intend to extend this algorithm by proposing two others approach which differ in the solution construction step, pheromone management rules and heuristic value. For the convenience of
description, we name them MH-BACO and MH-MACO. We will investigate their relative strengths and weaknesses by experimentation, concluding that MH-MACO approach is robust and efficient when compared to others attacks.

Many researchers have tried to attack Merkle-Hellman cipher using metaheuristics. Spillman [2] was the first to apply genetic algorithm for breaking the knapsack cipher. This attack is enhanced and re-implemented by Garg et al. [3], concluding that a high population size, high crossover probability and a low mutation probability increases the efficiency of GA attack. Abdul-Halim et al. [4] and Jain and Chaudhari [5] use a binary particle swarm optimization to attack Knapsack cryptosystem, they found that binary PSO is more efficient than the GA used by Spillman [2], and Garg et al. [3]. Furthermore, Sinha [6] proves that differential evolution is a much efficient technique than genetic algorithm for attacking the knapsack cipher, a cryptanalytic attack on the knapsack cryptosystem using binary variant of firefly algorithm is done by Palit [7] showing that the performance of firefly algorithm is much better than GA in this purpose.

Recently, Abdel-Basset [8] suggested a whole optimization-based attack using a sigmoid function for discretizing the search space, and the results show that the proposed algorithm is an efficient and robust for cryptanalysis of the Merkle-Hellman knapsack cryptosystem (MHKC) more than other algorithms. Kantour [9] proposed a parallel genetic algorithm for breaking the MHKC, their proposed scheme is enhanced with a deliberate cooperation among the search entities (GAs) via the migration operator. We mention also many other attacks in [10]-[20].

2. MERKLE-HELLMAN CRYPTOSYSTEM

In 1978 the famous Merkle and Hellman [21] public key cryptosystem was presented, which described an asymmetric cryptosystem based on a concrete case of the knapsack problem, which utilized a NP-complete subset sum problem (SSP) for its security. We can describe it is being as given a knapsack of volume $S$ units and $n$ items each of volume $a_1$, $a_2$ ... $a_n$ units. We would like to find the number $S$ by summing a subset of numbers from the set $A = \{a_1, a_2 ... a_n\}$ so:

$$S = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + ... + a_nx_n$$

An $a_i$ item is fitted in the knapsack if the binary variable decision $x_i$ is equal to 1, the $a_i$ item will not in the knapsack $x_i=0$.

The Merkle-Hellman cipher encrypts a message as a knapsack problem, the plain-text is divided into $n$-bit block. An example of Merkle-Hellman encryption is illustrated in Table 1, using 6 elements sequence: 1,4,7,11,19 and 27.

| Plaintext | Knapsack sequence | Ciphertext |
|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| 1 0 1 0 1 1 | 1,4,7,11,19,27 | 1+7+19+27= 54 |
| 1 1 0 1 1 0 | 1,4,7,11,19,27 | 1+4+11+19 = 35 |
| 0 0 1 0 0 1 | 1,4,7,11,19,27 | 11+27 = 38 |

However, if the A set is a super-increasing sequence, meaning that: Each $a_i$ element of the sequence fulfills the condition: $a_i \geq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j$ And $i \in \{2... n\}$.

In this concrete case, the knapsack is called an easy knapsack that can be solved is being as:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S \geq a_n \\ 0 & \text{if } S < a_n \end{cases}$$

And For each $j \in [0,1,...,n-1] : x_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S - \sum_{k=j+1}^{n}a_k \geq a_j \\ 0 & \text{if } S - \sum_{k=j+1}^{n}a_k < a_j \end{cases}$

Using this feature, Merkle and Hellman [10] developed their public key cryptosystem, the private/public key are a sequence of number for a super-increasing/normal knapsack problem with the same solution. Merkle and Hellman suggested that such an easy knapsack be converted into a more complex trapdoor knapsack. This transformation involves the following steps:

1. Select a simple knapsack super-increasing sequence elements $A' = (a_1', a_2',..., a_n')$
2. Select an integer value $m$ greater than sum of all elements of super-increasing sequence $m > 2 a' n$.
3. Select another integer $w$ that the gcd $(m, w) =1$, that is number $m$ and $w$ are reciprocally prime.
4. Find $w^{-1}$ the inverse of the $w$ mod $m$.
5. Construct the hard knapsack sequence.
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ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION

The research concept that has been underlined in this paper is applying of meta-heuristic approach ant colony optimization to break MH cryptosystem. Ant colony optimization [22] represents a class of population-based metaheuristics inspired by the behavior of real ant colonies. These ants are capable to find shortest paths between food sources and their nest, using an indirect communication mediated by the pheromone trail.

In a real ant colony, ants explore their environment for the search of food sources; each ant deposes a chemical substance called pheromone on his path. Thus, the others ants can smell this pheromone and they tend to choose, probabilistically, paths with strong pheromone concentrations. Old paths are less likely to be used because of the pheromone evaporation mechanism, allowing forgetting suboptimal path. This simple idea is implemented by the ACO methods to resolve and address hard combinatorial problems such as traveling salesman problems (TSP), quadratic assignment problems, vehicle routing problems, or constraint satisfaction problems.

The first ACO algorithm, called ant system (AS) introduced by Dorigo et al. [23] was applied to travelling salesmen problem (TSP). Other ACO variants where introduced which differ in the solution construction procedure and pheromone trails update, including ant colony system (ACS) presented by Dorigo and Gambardella [24], and min max ant system (MMAS) given by Stutzle and Hoos [25]. In ant colony system (ACS), the algorithm improves over ant system (AS) by an excessive exploitation of the search experience accumulated by the ants, using a more aggressive action choice rule explained is being as:

At each construction step, an ant chooses a random variable uniformly distributed in [0, 1]. If $q$ is less than a fixed parameter $q_0$ such as $0 \leq q_0 \leq 1$, the ant makes the best possible choice as indicated by the pheromone trails and the heuristic information, exploiting the past experiences. While with probability 1-$q_0$ it performs a biased exploration like an ordinary AS. Tuning the parameter $q_0$ allows modulation of these two choices (exploiting the current results or exploring new solutions).

PROPOSED APPROACH

As mentioned earlier, the problem can be stated is being as: Given an n-elements public key $A=[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ and $S$ the target sum representing the ciphertext, find a particular subset of number from the set A such as: $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i$ with $x_i \in \{0,1\}$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

where $x_i$ is a binary variable indicating whether or not item $i$ was selected.

The problem so far is equivalent to a subset sum problem, which is well-known NP-complete. To overcome this problem, we propose an ant colony based algorithm, in order to tackle the problem as a combinatorial problem. The main procedure of our proposed method is described is being as: All ants constructs a solution in each particular round, and then pheromone trails are updated. The algorithm stops iterating when the maximum number of rounds is attained or a so good solution is achieved. Furthermore, a constructive approach is used to build solutions, each ant start building a feasible solution by iteratively adding appropriate components from all the allowed ones in a probabilistic manner until a complete solution is obtained. In the following, we will put forward three space search design based on problem feature, called MH-ACO proposed in [1], MH-BACO and MH-MACO, in each method we will describe the details about the solution construction procedure, then we will define an appropriate heuristic information and strategy to update pheromone trails. Next, we will present the used fitness function. Finally, the outline of the whole algorithm is presented.

4.1. MH-ACO procedure

4.1.1. Solution construction

At each round, each ant chooses an initial object randomly and then iteratively adds object from a set of candidates objects $N_i$ that can be selected without violating resource constraints. Once $N_i$ is empty, a solution is constructed. The search space is illustrated in Figure 1.

ACO algorithms are stochastic algorithms that make probabilistic decision in terms of the artificial pheromone trails and the local heuristic information. These two factors are combined to form the so-called probabilistic transition rule defined is being as:
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4.1.2. Pheromone update

Once each ant has built a solution in a particular round. The best solution found $S_{best}$ is retained, which will be used to update the pheromone trails. The pheromones over the edges constituting the tour of the best ant is updated using (2), so larger the fitness value, the greater is the amount pheromone deposited.

$$\tau_{ij} = \sigma \times \tau_{ij} + \Delta \tau_{ij}(S_{best})$$

and: $$\Delta \tau_{ij}(S_{best}) = \begin{cases} Q \times F(S_{best}) & \text{if arc}(i,j) \text{ belongs to } S_{best} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma \in [0-1]$ is the evaporation rate. However, pheromone evaporation is a natural phenomenon that ensures that old pheromone should not have too strong influence on the future.

$Q$ is some constant, and $F$ is the fitness function used to evaluate each constructed solution (defined in the section 4.4). To update pheromone trail based on the best solution $S_{best}$, we have to lay pheromone on all pairs $(a_i, a_j)$ of each different objects of $S_{best}$. So, the strategy is to increase the desirability of choosing together two objects of $S_{best}$.

4.1.3. Heuristic value

The possibility of using heuristic information $\rho$ is important because it improve exploitation of the search space. In our ACO algorithm, we have used a dynamic heuristic information that depends on the partial solution constructed and therefore has to be computed at each time when the ant need to make a choice. The transition probability in (1) needs a heuristic value calculation method from the problem domain as an efficient search methodology. In our approach, the heuristic value is defined is being as:

Let $S_i$ be the set of the selected objects at the $i$-th construction step, the heuristic value $\rho(j)$ for a candidate object $j$ is given is being as (3):

$$\rho(j) = \frac{a_j}{S_e} \text{ where } S_e = S - \sum_{k \in S_i} a_k$$

$$P(i,j) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau(i,j)^{\alpha} \rho(j)^{\beta}}{\sum_{k \in N_i} (\tau(i,k)^{\alpha} \rho(k)^{\beta})} & \text{if } j \in N_i \\ 0,0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In ACS, the transition rule is defined is being as

$$T(i,j)=\begin{cases} \max_{l \in N}(\tau(i,l)^{\alpha} \rho(l)^{\beta}), \text{if } q \leq q_0 \\ P(i,j) \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $P(i,j)$ is the probability to select the next object $a_j$ within the set of available objects $N_i$ in the the $i$-th construction step. And $q$ is a random number in range $[0,1]$, $q_0$ is a an exploitation parameter ( $0 \leq q_0 \leq 1$ ).

The probability $P(i,j)$ is based on two factors. First, the amount of pheromone trail $\tau(i,j)$ in the edge $(i,j)$. Second the heuristic value $\rho(j)$ representing the attractiveness of the object $a_j$. The parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are used respectively to control the pheromone effect and the heuristic value.

![Figure 1. Search space for MH-ACO](image-url)
4.2. MH-BACO procedure

4.2.1. Solution construction

In this variant (named binary ant colony optimization), we have modelled the search space to a \( n+1 \) nodes graph. Every node \( a_i \) is linked to the next node \( a_{i+1} \) by two different edges, the first edge is equal to 0 and the second edge is equal to 1 as explained in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Search space for MH-BACO](image)

In a particular tour, each ant constructs a feasible solution considered as a candidate key, it will consist of a path from the first node \( a_0 \) to the last node \( a_n \), through the edge 0 or 1 between each 2 nodes \( a_i \) and \( a_{i+1} \). The probabilistic transition rule in this case is defined is being as (4):

\[
P(i, j) = \frac{\tau(i,j)^\alpha \rho(j)^\beta}{\tau(i,0)^{\alpha} \rho(0)^{\beta} + \tau(i,1)^{\alpha} \rho(1)^{\beta}} \text{ with } j \in \{0,1\}
\]

(4)

In this approach, ants have a limited view towards objects. In fact, in each iteration ants can only move to the next object in the search space as shown in the Figure 2. However, they can decide to select or not this object.

4.2.2. Pheromone update

The pheromone strategy used in this method is the same used in MH-ACO. More formally, the pheromone values are updated is being as (5):

\[
\tau_{i,j} = \sigma \times \tau_{i,j} + \Delta \tau_{i,j}(S_{\text{best}})
\]

and : \( \Delta \tau_{i,j}(S_{\text{best}}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
Q \times F(S_{\text{best}}) & \text{if edge } (i,j) \text{ belongs to } S_{\text{best}} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right. \)

(5)

where \( \Delta \tau_{i,j} \) is the amount of pheromone deposited on the edges between each two objects contained in the best solution \( S_{\text{best}} \), the value of \( \Delta \tau_{i,j} \) is proportional to the best solution quality.

4.2.3. Heuristic value

The heuristic value used in MH-BACO is defined is being as: Let \( S_i \) be the set of the selected objects at the \( i \)-th construction step, the heuristic value \( \rho(0) \) (which means that the object is not selected) and \( \rho(1) \) (which means that the object is not selected) is given is being as (6):

\[
\rho(0) = \frac{a_j}{S_c}, \rho(1) = \frac{S_c}{a_j}
\]

(6)

With \( S_c = S - \sum_{k \in S_j} a_k \) called current knapsack capacity.

4.3. MH-MACO procedure

4.3.1. Solution construction

Named modified MH-MACO, it combine the advantages of the two previous strategies. First, by giving to ants a wide view of objects. Thus, each ant can make a choice to move to any object (according to 7). Second, ants decide whether to select (it will be fitted in the partial solution under construction) or not the visited object. The search space is defined as a fully connected graph like MH-ACO, where nodes...
represent objects of the Knapsack. Similar to MH-BACO algorithm, there are two sub-edges between each 2 objects in the graph, one for selecting and the other for deselecting the corresponding object, as illustrated in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Search space for MH-MACO](image)

In each round, each ant can decide whether to select an object or not. If an ant chooses sub-edge 1 (or 0) of object $O_i$, it means the object is selected (or deselected) by that ant. Note that, ants are only allowed to select one of the sub-edge (0 or 1) of each node. Based on the (7), an ant choose its next path and the process continues until its visits all objects. At the end of each cycle. Each ant built a solution in the form of n-bit vector, where 1 means selecting and 0 means deselecting the corresponding object.

The probabilistic function of transition, denoting the probability of an ant at node $a_i$ to choose the path $p$ (0 or 1) to reach the node $a_j$, is defined as being as (7):

$$P(i, j, p) = \frac{\tau(i,j,p)^{\alpha} \rho(j,p)^{\beta}}{\sum_{k \in N_i} \sum_{l \in [0,1]} \tau(k,l)^{\alpha} \rho(k,l)^{\beta}} \text{ if } j \in N_i$$

$$0 \text{ Otherwise}$$

(7)

where $N_i$ is the set of objects not yet visited. Two parameters are used to calculate the probability of moving from a state $i$ to another state $j$; first, the amount of pheromone trail $\tau(i,j,p)$ which reflects the potential tend for ants to select ($p=1$) or deselect ($p=0$) the object $a_j$. And second, the heuristic value $\rho(j, p)$ representing the attractiveness of the (de)selection of the object $a_j$.

4.3.2. Pheromone update

The pheromone update is intended to make solution components belonging to good solutions more desirable for the following iterations. It consists to increases the level of the pheromone of solution components that are associated with the best solution obtained in each cycle. More explicitly, the pheromone values are updated being as (8):

$$\tau_{i,j,p} = \sigma \times \tau_{i,j,p} + \Delta \tau_{i,j,p} (S_{best})$$

(8)

where:

$$\Delta \tau_{i,j,p}(S_{best}) = \begin{cases} Q \times F(S_{best}) & \text{if arc}(i,j,p) \text{ belongs to } S_{best} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\Delta \tau_{i,j}$ is the amount of pheromone deposited on the edges between each two objects contained in the best solution $S_{best}$. the value of $\Delta \tau_{i,j,p}$ is proportional to the best solution quality.

4.3.3. Heuristic value

Like $MH$-$BACO$ procedure, the heuristic value used in this model is defined as:

$$\rho(j, 0) = \frac{a_j}{s_c}, \rho(j, 1) = \frac{s_c}{a_j}$$

(9)
with \( S_c = S - \sum_{k \in S_i} a_k \)

4.4. Fitness function

The fitness function a key component in the success a research algorithm, allowing a relevant qualification of the candidates solutions. An efficient fitness function helps the search algorithm in exploring the search space more efficiently towards promising solutions.

Let \( M = \{m_1, m_2, ..., m_l\} \) and \( m_i \in \{0,1\} \) be an arbitrary solution, the fitness function used in this paper is that proposed by Spillman [2] is being as (10):

\[
F = \begin{cases} 
1 - \left( \frac{|Target - Sum|}{Target} \right)^2 & \text{if } Sum \leq Target \\
1 - \left( \frac{|Target - Sum|}{MaxDiff} \right)^{0.6} & \text{if } Sum > Target 
\end{cases}
\]

With \( \text{Sum} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j m_j \), \( \text{Full Sum} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \) and \( \text{Max Diff} = \max \{ \text{Target, Full Sum} - \text{Target} \} \). The Target is the Ciphertext value. A fitness value of 1 means that the correct solution has been found.

4.5. Proposed algorithm

Figure 4 shows the Merkle-Hellman cryptosystem and its cryptanalysis by our based-ACO algorithm. The input to the algorithm is the ciphertext and the public key. The task of MH-ACO algorithm is to recover the plaintext.

![Figure 4. Layout of MH cipher and our attack algorithm](image)

We will explain the main steps of our proposed algorithm in the following subsections:

Outline of ACO Algorithm:

Input: Public Key and Cipher text.
Perform initialization of all parameters of ACO
repeat
  for each ants N do
    Construct candidate key using the specific probabilistic transition rule
    Evaluate the generated candidate key using the FF according to (3)
  End for
Update best key information and pheromone values following the specific rules.
until: The algorithm reach the termination condition
Output: The best Key.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, a series of experiments have been conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed methods. We have implemented our algorithm with C++ language. All experiments were performed on an Intel Core i7-4712MQ CPU processor (2.30 GHz and 4 GB RAM). The purpose of this section is threefold: (1) to investigate the performance of different schemes designed for MH cryptanalysis,
(2) to study the settings of related parameters, and (3) to evaluate the performance of MH-MACO by comparing it with some existing algorithms.

5.1. Performances study

In order to evaluate the performance of the three proposed strategies (MH-ACO, MH-BACO and MH-MACO), we apply the same parameters value being as: We have set α to 1.2, β to 1 and σ to 0.95 (where α, β and σ are weight of pheromone, heuristic value and evaporation rate respectively). The number of ants N where set to 15 and qo to 0.8. To make an overall comparison, the ‘MACRO’ word is used. Table 2 shows the result of encoding the ‘MACRO’ word using Merkle-Hellman algorithm with 15 elements sequence is being as:

- Private Key: (1, 3, 7, 13, 26, 65, 119, 267, 504, 1007, 2013, 4027, 8053, 16107, 32213).
- Public Key: (21031, 63093, 16371, 11711, 23422, 58555, 16615, 54322, 1098, 46588, 6722, 34475, 47919, 51146, 16438).
- \( m=65423, w=21031, w^1=5363 \).

Table 2. MH encryption of the word ‘MACRO’

| Character | ASCII Code | Target sum (ciphertext) |
|-----------|------------|-------------------------|
| M         | 10110010   | 65728                   |
| A         | 10000010   | 37646                   |
| C         | 11000010   | 100739                  |
| R         | 01001010   | 103130                  |
| O         | 11110010   | 128821                  |

Table 3 summarizes the results of 100 runs for the cryptanalysis of the message ‘MACRO’ using MH-ACO, MH-BACO and MH-MACO algorithms, the number of key searched before locating the reel one is recorded in the Table. It can be seen from the results that MH-MACO performs better than MH-ACO, MH-BACO, it can break the message after reaching only 437 keys, while MH-ACO, MH-BACO requires 563 and 645 key respectively. In Table 4, we illustrates the average search space (ASS) and the success rate (SR) for each model, a maximal success rate (SR) is obtained for the 3 algorithms.

Table 3. Number of keys searched to break MH cryptosystem

| Character | MH-ACO | MH-BACO | MH-MACO |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| M         | 555    | 640     | 435     |
| A         | 580    | 613     | 385     |
| C         | 620    | 750     | 566     |
| R         | 510    | 575     | 322     |
| O         | 550    | 692     | 478     |
| Average   | 563    | 634     | 437     |

Table 4. Comparison of cryptanalytic results of different approaches

| Character | MH-ACO | MH-BACO | MH-MACO |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
|            | ASS    | SR (%)  | ASS    | SR (%)  | ASS    | SR (%)  |
| M         | 1.5%   | 1.9     | 100    | 1.3     | 100    | 1.3     |
| A         | 1.8    | 1.8     | 100    | 1.1     | 100    | 1.1     |
| C         | 3.1    | 2.2     | 100    | 1.7     | 100    | 1.7     |
| R         | 1.3    | 1.7     | 100    | 0.9     | 100    | 0.9     |
| O         | 1.6    | 2.1     | 100    | 1.4     | 100    | 1.4     |
| Average   | 1.8    | 2.0     | 100    | 1.3     | 100    | 1.3     |

The second part of the performances analysis is to compare our models with different value of \( n \) (number of element in the knapsack). Comparison results are illustrated in Table 5. As we can observe, MH-MACO algorithm achieves a higher success rate when the capacity of the knapsack is increasing, reaching 85% and 57% for \( n \) equal to 25 and 40 respectively. And exploring a lower average search space. The most characteristics of MH-MACO search technique are explained in:

The first one is that MH-MACO allows ants to explore all objects, while in MH-ACO algorithm, exploration is no longer possible when a stopping criterion is met. Therefore, ants do not have the ability to observe all objects to make a choice. In MH-MACO algorithm ants have the possibility to select or not visited
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elements. Unlike MH-ACO algorithm, where every visited object by the ant is immediately selected. Finally, the main advantage of MH_MACO over MH_BACO is that each ant has a broad view to objects to be visited, at the same time with the opportunity of selection or not. However, in MH_BACO ants moves with a limited view, ants could only choose whether or not to select the next object.

Table 5. Comparison results with different values of \( n \)

| \( n \) | MH-ACO | MH-BACO | MH-MACO |
|------|--------|---------|---------|
| 10   | 35     | 42      | 19      |
| 15   | 1.8    | 2.0     | 1.3     |
| 25   | 1.2    | 2.7     | 1.8     |
| 40   | 0.006  | 0.005   | 0.003   |

5.2. Parametric sensitivity analysis

The following experiments consist of studying the impact of \( q_0 \) parameter (which determines the relative importance of exploitation versus exploration) in MH-MACO model. We ran our algorithm for the word ‘MACRO’ with different values of \( q_0 \). For the others parameters, we have set \( \alpha \) to 1.2, \( \beta \) to 1 and \( \sigma \) to 0.95. The number of ants \( N \) where set 15.

In Figure 5 we report the Fitness value evolution through the number of cycle, as we can observe, when \( q_0 \) is equal to 1, an early stagnation of the search, the system has ceased to explore new possibilities and no better solution is likely to be found anymore. This undesirable behavior is due to an excessive exploitation. Whereas, with \( q_0 \) equal to 0, the transition rule is reduced to a pure ant system without any exploitation, in this case the convergence into the best solution is more delayed (reached after 50 cycle). The best performance results are obtained when alternating both exploration and exploitation (\( q_0=0.8 \)), the correct key is located after only 29 cycles.

![Figure 5. Fitness value evolution for different values of \( q_0 \)](image)

5.3. Comparison with others attacks

The performance of the MH-MACO Algorithm is measured and compared with the GA that is used by Spillman [2] and PSO used by Jain and Chaudhari [5]. The number of ants used for this purpose is \( N=15 \) allowing the best search space rate. For the word ‘MACRO’ the comparison results are illustrated in Table 6.

Table 6. Comparison of cryptanalytic results obtained BPSO, GA and MH-MACO

| Character | PSO | GACO | MH-MACO |
|-----------|-----|------|---------|
|           | ASS | SR (%) | ASS | SR (%) | ASS | SR (%) |
| M         | 20.94 | 100  | 2   | 100  | 1.3 | 100  |
| A         | 19.62 | 100  | 0.2 | 100  | 1.1 | 100  |
| C         | 50.45 | 100  | 6   | 100  | 1.7 | 100  |
| R         | 37.13 | 100  | 1.0 | 100  | 0.9 | 100  |
| O         | 57.39 | 100  | 0.1 | 100  | 1.4 | 100  |
| Average   | 53.10 | 100  | 1.9 | 100  | 1.3 | 100  |
As we can see the average search space (ASS) obtained with MH-MACO algorithm is mush better than PSO for all letters ‘MACRO’. Comparing with GA results, as we can see the performances of our algorithm is so close to GA results with an ASS equal to 1.3 for MH-MACO and 1.9 for GA. Also, the percentage of success rate (SR) obtained is maximal.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced a novel search procedure based on the ant colony optimization metaheuristic, for cryptanalysis of Merkle-Hellman public key cryptosystem. We have defined the essential components of our algorithm such as solution construction, heuristic value, fitness function and the strategy to update pheromone trails. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of our algorithm, a set of experiments were performed that confirmed the robustness of our model. The best results are those obtained with the MH-MACO procedure, which is characterised by a broad view to objects to be visited, with the opportunity of selection or deselection of objects. The fitness function used in this paper is that proposed by Spillman, which still needs improvement in future work to perform cryptanalysis more efficiently, especially the possibility of using specifics elements extracted from the problem being treated. We hope also to hybridize our algorithm with a local search technique, in order to improve the convergence speed and success probability of our algorithm; especially for high dimension cryptanalysis problem.
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