Neural mechanisms supporting maladaptive food choices in anorexia nervosa
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People routinely make poor choices, despite knowledge of negative consequences. We found that individuals with anorexia nervosa, who make maladaptive food choices to the point of starvation, engaged the dorsal striatum more than healthy controls when making choices about what to eat, and that activity in fronto-striatal circuits was correlated with their actual food consumption in a meal the next day.

A fundamental challenge in the study of human behavior is to understand why people persistently make choices that are bad for them, even when they seem to know better. This paradox has intrigued philosophers and scientists for centuries and has led to many theories regarding the cognitive and psychological processes by which individual choices are made. Yet many open questions remain about the neurobiological mechanisms underlying persistent maladaptive choices and how such mechanisms relate to choices in everyday life.

In anorexia nervosa, repeated, maladaptive food choices result in starvation accompanied by substantial morbidity and mortality. Although anorexia nervosa is a complex illness with many features, one highly stereotyped phenomenon is the persistent selection of low-calorie and low-fat foods. Clinically, this pattern of behavior has often been understood as the manifestation of a remarkable, but misguided, ability to override primary drives; that is, as an expression of single-minded, goal-directed self-control. However, when goals change, as when individuals elect to enter treatment to gain weight, their ability to alter their pattern of food choice is exceedingly poor. Given the opportunity, they continue to choose low-fat and low-calorie foods. Thus, anorexia nervosa provides a unique and compelling model of persistent maladaptive behavior.

To examine the neurobiological mechanisms underlying persistent maladaptive food choices in anorexia nervosa, we used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to compare blood oxygen level–dependent (BOLD) activity among women newly hospitalized for treatment of anorexia nervosa with that of healthy female controls (Supplementary Table 1). Participants engaged in a food choice task that captures the salient eating behavior of anorexia nervosa, restrictive caloric intake. The task was adapted from ref. 9 to provide a range of foods that are part of a normal diet. In the first two of three phases, participants rated the healthiness and the tastiness of 76 food items (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 2). After completion of the ratings, a ‘reference’ item was randomly selected for each participant from items the participant had rated as ‘neutral’ in both taste and health. In the third phase, each participant made a series of choices between the reference item and each of the other foods.

This task allowed an individual’s ratings of food to determine her reference item, which was critical in comparing the behavior of healthy controls with that of individuals with anorexia nervosa, as the two groups were expected to rate the health and taste values of food differently (Supplementary Fig. 1). Choices were incentive compatible: one of the foods chosen in the task was randomly selected for the participant to consume as a snack after scanning. To determine whether choice responses in the task were related to actual eating behavior, we compared responses on the food choice task with caloric intake in a lunch-time meal the next day, using a validated procedure in which participants are presented with a buffet-style array of foods and asked to eat whatever they wish.

Food choices were quantified as the proportion of choices of the food item presented on each trial versus the reference item for high-fat (>30% of total calories from fat) and low-fat foods. As anticipated, individuals with anorexia nervosa were significantly less likely than healthy controls to choose high-fat foods over the reference item ($t(40) = 7.28, P = 7.6 \times 10^{-9}$). The groups did not differ in their tendency to select low-fat foods over the reference item ($t(40) = 1.47, P = 0.15$; Fig. 1b and Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2). This pattern of food choice replicated our previous findings using the same task and is consistent with observational studies of eating behavior in individuals with anorexia nervosa.

Next, we assessed whether food choices of individuals with anorexia nervosa were related to their eating behavior in the buffet lunch meal the following day. Indeed, the frequency with which individuals with anorexia nervosa chose high-fat foods in the task was significantly correlated with caloric intake at lunch the following day ($r(14) = 0.61, P = 0.01$; Fig. 1c). Two patients declined to participate in the meal. Three patients reported a subjective loss of control over their eating during the meal (binge eating). Individuals with anorexia nervosa who sometimes engage in such binge eating typically restrict their food intake outside of such episodes. As our focus was on restrictive eating behavior, consistent with prior studies, data from these three meals were excluded from analyses that included lunch meal measures; data from these individuals were included in all other behavioral and fMRI analyses. Robust regression including the participants who binge ate also yielded a significant association between task performance and eating behavior ($t(17) = 2.71, P = 0.015$). Thus, the food choice task both captured the hallmark maladaptive restrictive food choice behavior in anorexia nervosa and related to actual eating behavior.

To investigate the neural mechanisms underlying food choice in anorexia nervosa, we performed a parametric analysis of BOLD activity...
patterns exhibited by individuals with AN, whose eating behavior is expected to be more consistent from one day to the next.

In AN (left), a correlation with choice values was observed in ventral striatum, whereas no above-threshold correlations were observed in dorsal striatum, whereas no above-threshold correlation was observed in HC (right). In addition, no above-threshold correlations were observed in ventral striatum in either group, and no differences were found between HC and AN groups, even at a liberal threshold (P < 0.1 threshold-free cluster enhancement (tfce) in bilateral nucleus accumumbens). (b) Parametric analysis of choice strength showed significantly greater activity in the dorsal striatum in the AN than in the HC group (left, P < 0.05 tfce in bilateral caudate). Age was included as a covariate in this analysis; the same pattern of results was obtained when not including age as a covariate. (c) To illustrate the pattern across dorsal and ventral striatum, in an independent analysis, we extracted data from the right caudate, right nucleus accumbens and right putamen (all anatomically defined). Differences between the HC and AN groups were seen in the caudate (t(40) = −2.04, P = 0.01), possibly because healthy individuals do not manifest the stereotyped eating patterns exhibited by individuals with AN, whose eating behavior is expected to be more consistent from one day to the next.

Figure 2 Distinct neural systems engaged in food choice. (a) Regions correlated with choice values in AN (left, n = 21) and HC (right, n = 21) (family-wise error (FWE)-corrected P < 0.05 whole-brain, cluster-forming threshold Z > 2.3). In AN (left), a correlation with choice values was observed in dorsal striatum, whereas no above threshold correlation was observed in HC (right). In addition, no above-threshold correlations were observed in ventral striatum in either group, and no differences were found between HC and AN groups, even at a liberal threshold (P < 0.1 threshold-free cluster enhancement (tfce) in bilateral nucleus accumumbens). (b) Parametric analysis of choice strength showed significantly greater activity in the dorsal striatum in the AN than in the HC group (left, P < 0.05 tfce in bilateral caudate). Age was included as a covariate in this analysis; the same pattern of results was obtained when not including age as a covariate. (c) To illustrate the pattern across dorsal and ventral striatum, in an independent analysis, we extracted data from the right caudate, right nucleus accumbens and right putamen (all anatomically defined). Differences between the HC and AN groups were seen in the caudate (t(40) = −2.04, P = 0.048), but not in the nucleus accumumbens (t(40) = −1.02, P = 0.315) or putamen (t(40) = 0.11, P = 0.913). Data presented as mean ± s.e.m.; a.u., arbitrary units.

Figure 1 Food choice task and behavioral results. (a) The task consisted of three phases: health rating, taste rating and food choice. In the health and taste rating phases (order counterbalanced across participants), each of 76 food items was rated on a five-point Likert scale. On each trial, in the choice phase, participants indicated their preference for a food item (shown on the right) relative to a repeated reference item (previously rated neutral on health and taste; shown on the left). After completion of the fMRI scan, participants were provided with a snack-sized portion of the food they had chosen in one randomly selected trial. (b) Food choices (over the reference item) differed between individuals with anorexia nervosa (AN, n = 21) and healthy controls (HC, n = 21) for low- and high-fat foods (group × food type interaction: F(1,40) = 32.16, P = 0.000001, mixed 2 × 2 ANOVA). Individuals with AN selected a smaller proportion of high-fat foods (t(40) = 7.28, P = 7.6 × 10⁻⁹, two-tailed), but a similar proportion of low-fat foods (t(40) = 1.47, P = 0.15, two-tailed). Data are mean ± s.e.m. (c) During a lunch meal the following day, calorie intake in the AN group was correlated with the proportion of high-fat foods choices made in the experimental task (r(14) = 0.61, P = 0.01, two-tailed, n = 16). This association was not significant for the HC group (r(19) = 0.17, P = 0.47, two-tailed, n = 21), possibly because healthy individuals do not manifest the stereotyped eating patterns exhibited by individuals with AN, whose eating behavior is expected to be more consistent from one day to the next.
Food choice is related to functional connectivity between the striatum and the dlPFC. (a) Differential connectivity between dorsal striatum and prefrontal cortex in HC ($n = 21$) versus AN ($n = 21$) for high- versus low-fat foods (FWE-corrected $P < 0.05$ fceu in PFC). Age was included as a covariate in this analysis; the same pattern of results was obtained when not including age as a covariate. (b) In AN, connectivity was greater for low-fat foods, whereas connectivity was greater for high-fat foods in HC (data were extracted from a 6-mm radius sphere located at the peak voxel for the HC > AN contrast, MNI = [42 48 0], for illustration purposes). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. (c) In AN, the difference in connectivity between low- and high-fat foods was correlated with actual food intake (kcals) eaten in an experimental meal the following day ($r(14) = −0.52$, $P = 0.04$, two-tailed, $n = 16$).

low-fat foods than for high-fat foods, whereas healthy controls showed the opposite pattern (Fig. 3b). Next, we asked whether connectivity differences for low- versus high-fat foods were related to actual food intake in the individuals with anorexia nervosa. Greater connectivity differences for low-fat versus high-fat food stimuli (that is, the magnitude of the difference between connectivity in the low-fat condition and the high-fat condition) were associated with lower caloric intake the following day ($r(14) = −0.52$, $P = 0.04$; Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 6); robust regression results including all participants with anorexia nervosa: $t(17) = −2.11$, $P = 0.05$). This finding suggests that a circuit between dlPFC and dorsal striatum may be important in maladaptive food choices in anorexia nervosa.

This study examined the neural correlates of maladaptive food choices in individuals with anorexia nervosa. Prior neuroimaging studies of anorexia nervosa have examined the neural responses to passive viewing of food17,20 without examining the neurobiological mechanisms underlying active food choices. Our results suggest that a direct examination of food choice may be particularly useful for probing the neural basis of the persistent maladaptive behavior that is a salient characteristic of this disorder.

Given that restrictive food intake is a persistent and clinically challenging problem in anorexia nervosa, these findings provide new insight into the neural mechanisms underlying this enigmatic illness. Furthermore, as human and animal data have documented that the dorsal striatum has a critical role in the establishment and expression of action control and learned automatic behaviors, our results are consistent with the possibility that the persistent, maladaptive food choice in anorexia nervosa is subserved by fronto-striatal networks that are crucial for the development of habitual behavior19. More broadly, our findings add to the growing evidence that disturbances in fronto-striatal circuits have a central role in persistent maladaptive human behaviors.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

*Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.*
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**ONLINE METHODS**

**Participants.** Participants were 21 inpatients with anorexia nervosa (AN) at the New York State Psychiatric Institute/Columbia University Medical Center Eating Disorders Research Clinic, studied within 24 h of hospital admission, and 21 healthy controls (HC) (**Supplementary Table 1**). No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes; our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications. This study was approved by the New York State Psychiatric Institute Institutional Review Board, and all participants provided written informed consent. Eligible patients met DSM-5 criteria for AN, restricting (AN-R, n = 10) or binge-purge (AN-BP, n = 11) subtype, and were receiving inpatient treatment. Diagnosis was made by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) and the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE). HC called the clinic in response to advertisements. Individuals were excluded if they were taking psychotropic medications, had a known history of a neurological disorder or injury, reported drug or alcohol abuse in the last 6 months, were currently dieting, or had significant weight fluctuations in the past 6 months. HC were included if they had no current or past psychiatric illness, including any history of an eating disorder, and had a BMI between 18 kg m⁻² and 25 kg m⁻². Additional exclusion criteria for HC were substantial medical illness or dietary restrictions (such as vegetarianism, or religious restrictions that would affect food choice in the task). All participants were right-handed, female, aged 16–39 years. Inpatient treatment at NYSPI is provided at no cost for those interested in and eligible for participation in research. HC were compensated $125 for their time.

**Procedure.** Study procedures occurred over 2 d. Pre-procedure food intake was standardized on each day and participants were instructed to have nothing to eat or drink, except water, between the standardized meal and study participation. Day 1 began with a research lunch at 12 p.m. consisting of ~550 kcal (turkey sandwich, Nutrigrain bar, 8 ounces of water) followed by the food choice task with fMRI scanning at 2 p.m. At the end of the task, one of the trials was randomly selected, and the food item chosen on that trial was identified. Immediately after the scan session, at ~3 p.m., participants received a snack-sized portion of this food item to eat, observed by staff. Day 2 began with a research breakfast consisting of ~300 kcal (8 ounces of juice, English muffin, pat of butter) at 8 a.m., followed by a laboratory Multi Item Meal (see below) at 1 p.m., providing an objective measure of food choice.

Height and weight were measured on a beam balance scale (Detecto) in the morning of days 1 and 2. Prior to scanning on day 1, participants were administered a demographics questionnaire, the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) and the Three Factor Restrained Questionnaire (TFEQ). Other psychological measures at the time of scanning included Spielberger Anxiety Inventory, State version (STAI-S) and the Profile of Mood States (POMS).

**Experimental food task (scanned).** The food choice task was adapted from ref. 9 to include images with a greater range of caloric density and macronutrient content. The food items were chosen to be representative of a range of dietary choices that would be encountered in the environment (**Supplementary Table 2**), instead of emphasizing "junk foods" and "healthy snack foods," as in the original task.

The task consisted of three phases: health rating, taste rating and food choice (see Fig. 1a). 76 food items were presented in each phase (38 high-fat, 38 low-fat). We defined low-fat as items with < 30% of total calories from fat, as determined by our staff research nutritionist. The foods represented a range of dietary choices as well as a range from low to high fat content. In each phase, participants were presented with a series of images of food and asked to rate each one. Food images were high-resolution color photographs of food arranged on white plates on a black background and were prepared by a food photographer. Participants first rated the food items for tastiness and healthiness on a five-point Likert scale in two separate phases. The rating scale appeared at the bottom of the screen for each item and participants were instructed that they could rate it as "neutral" or along the scale. For the health phase, the anchors were identified as 'unhealthy' to 'healthy' (Fig. 1a). For the taste phase, the anchors were 'bad' to 'good' (Fig. 1a). For the taste phase, participants were also instructed to rate it only for tastiness. All task parameters (order of the rating phases, direction of rating scale, and trial orders) were counterbalanced and randomized across participants.

After completion of the rating scales, a reference item was selected for each participant that had been rated by that participant as neutral in both taste and health phases. The computer program randomly selected one item among items rated neutral in both health and taste phases. If an individual did not identify an item as neutral on both scales, an item neutral on health and positive on taste was selected, if possible. This method aimed to minimize biasing choices based on taste value. Most participants (20 HC and 19 AN) had reference items rated neutral on both scales. One HC and 1 individual with AN had a reference item neutral on health and rated 1 step toward 'good' on taste; 1 individual with AN had a reference item neutral on health and rated 1 step toward 'bad' on taste. The choice behavior of these three participants was similar to that of other members of their group.

Participants were then presented with the choice phase of the task in which they were instructed to identify on each trial whether they chose to eat the reference item or the other food item presented. The reference item remained the same throughout the choice phase and, on each trial, was presented on the left of the screen with a different food item on the right side of the screen. The images were presented side by side to ensure that participants were aware that they were making a choice relative to a reference food and to minimize the risk of individuals with AN answering according to a valuation of each individual food (that is, rejecting food rather than choosing food). On each trial, the participant indicated their preference via a Likert Scale on the bottom of the screen, anchored by strongly prefer on the left for the reference item and strongly prefer on the right for the other item (Fig. 1a).

Importantly, participants were instructed that they would be served a snack-sized portion of one of their choices, selected at random, as a snack following the task. Thus, participants' responses should reflect actual preferences. At 3 p.m., a snack portion of the selected food item was provided (control participants ate a mean of 88.2 ± 18.5% of their snack). After scanning was completed, participants were debriefed and asked about how difficult they found it to rate the healthiness and tastiness of the food images.

In all phases, the food stimulus was presented for 4 s on each trial, during which participants made their response. Each trial was followed by a fixation cross during the inter-trial interval (ITI). The duration of ITIs was jittered for optimization of event-related fMRI design. Stimulus presentation sequence and timing were optimized using the optseq2 algorithm (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/optseq/). Each learning run lasted 480 s. Mean ITI = 2.3 s, median = 2 s, and range = 1–10 s, across all three phases. All task phases were presented using Matlab and the Psychophysics toolbox.

**Multi Item Meal.** On day 2, participants were escorted to the eating behavior laboratory at 1 p.m., after 4.5 h of fasting. Participants entered the testing room, where a multi-item buffet was arranged on a table. Participants were given the neutral instruction: “This is your lunch for today. Eat as much as you like.” The multi-item buffet was similar to that employed previously and consisted of a range of foods including salad, salad dressing, grilled chicken, fried chicken, tuna, bread, fruit salad, Oreo cookies, ice cream, water and soda (details available on request). Participants signaled the end of the meal by ringing a bell. Food was weighed before and after (Acculab 7200 balance, readability 0.1 g) and amount consumed in grams, kcal, and macronutrient content (for example, % kcal from fat) were calculated. All participants were asked in post-meal debriefing whether the meal had felt like a binge.

**Data analysis.** Choices on the five-point scale were converted to binary responses (yes or no preference for the reference item versus the trial-unique food item) and neutral responses were omitted. The proportion of choices of the trial-unique food over the reference item was calculated for high-fat and low-fat foods separately and submitted to analysis. The mean ratings of healthiness and tastiness on the five-point scale were also averaged for high-fat versus low-fat foods.

Median response times were calculated for high- and low-fat trials for each participant. Individuals with AN are known to have general motor slowing secondary to malnutrition. To account for this and to facilitate comparison across task phases, each participant's response times were normalized by dividing their average response times across all task phases.

Summary data were analyzed using mixed ANOVA [2(HC/AN) × 2 (high-fat/low-fat)] within the IBM SPSS Statistics 22 analysis package.
To understand the influence of health and taste ratings on choices and the relationship between health and taste ratings, we used multilevel regression models (linear mixed effects package for R)\(^\text{29}\). Binomial choice data were modeled with multilevel logistic regression, in which participant choice (selection of the trial-unique food item over the reference food) was the dependent variable. Continuous outcome rating data were modeled using multilevel linear regression. When entered as independent variables, continuous rating data were z-scored. The significance of the partial correlation coefficients was assessed by \(\chi^2\) statistics (and accompanying P values) which were derived for the estimates from Type-III analysis of variance tables from the ANOVA function in the car package for R (ref. 29) or from the esticon function in the doBy package when contrasting regression parameters. All within-subject effects were entered as random by participant\(^\text{20}\).

Pearson correlation was used to assess the relationship between food task choices and real food intake (lunch meal data) in HC and individuals with AN separately. Two individuals with AN declined to participate in the laboratory analyses implemented using the rlm function in the MASS package with bisquare weighting. Functional images were collected using a gradient echo T2*-weighted echo-planar MRI system at Columbia University’s Program for Imaging and Cognitive fmRI data analysis.

Pre-processing. Imaging data were converted from DICOM to NIFTI format and preprocessed and analyzed using the FSL (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/) package version 5 (FMIRB’s Software Library; Oxford Centre for Functional Resonance Imaging of the Brain, FMIRB)\(^\text{30}\). Functional images were aligned using the MCFLIRT tool\(^\text{31}\). The skull was removed from functional and structural images using the brain extraction tool (BET)\(^\text{34}\). Spatial smoothing was applied with a Gaussian kernel of 5 mm (FWHM). Data and design matrix were high-pass filtered with a cutoff period of 100 s. After analysis at the individual level, the results were normalized to a standard template. Given potential brain atrophy in individuals with AN due to malnutrition, we performed the following steps to improve registration. Functional images were first aligned to the T1-weighted MPRA GE using a boundary-based registration method implemented in FSL (BBR) and then the MPRA GE to the standard MNI152 2-mm template using FLIRT (12 degrees of freedom) and FNIRT (10-mm warp resolution)\(^\text{33}\). Analyses. For all analyses, at the level of the individual participant, each event was convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF) and entered into a general linear model (GLM) (see below for specific models). To account for any residual effects of subject movement, we included the six scan-to-scan head motion parameters estimated during motion correction as well as their derivatives and the squares of the motion parameters and derivatives as confound regressors in our model. Confound regressors in addition to the motion parameters were included to remove the effects of the first volume of each fmRI scan from analyses, as quality controls indicated that discarding four dummy scans was insufficient for magnetic field equilibration. Finally, volumes affected by moderate scan-to-scan movement (~1 mm) were also modeled with confound regressors. This affected 5 HC and 1 individual with AN, with a total of 16 volumes affected across all scans in all participants (of 126 scans of 240 volumes each). One fmRI scan was excluded completely (a taste rating scan from 1 HC) due to excessive head motion (>4 mm). No remaining scans had movement exceeding 2mm. Linear contrasts were performed on specific comparisons of interest. These contrasts were used for mixed-effects group analyses using FSLs FLAME 1 (FMIRB’s local analysis of mixed effects) tool, using two-sample unpaired t tests. Higher level analyses were thresholded using clusters determined by Z > 2.3 and a whole-brain corrected, FWE cluster significance threshold of \(P = 0.05\). Between-group differences in a priori regions of interest (ROIs) were assessed using the threshold-free cluster-enhancement (TFCE) option in the Randomize permutation-testing\(^\text{36}\) tool (v2.9) in FSL (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/Randomise)\(^\text{37}\) with 5,000 permutations. The significance threshold was set at \(P < 0.05\), FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons. Additionally, age was included as a covariate in between-group analyses because the AN group was slightly older (Supplementary Table 1). For all analyses, the same pattern of results was obtained when age was not included as a covariate.

Anatomical ROIs for the dorsal striatum (caudate and putamen), ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens), and the prefrontal cortex (PFC) were obtained from the Harvard-Oxford probabilistic atlas included in FSL. All regions were thresholded at 25% probability. For the caudate and putamen, left and right structures were combined to create bilateral ROIs. The PFC ROI was created by combining the following regions bilaterally: Frontal pole, superior frontal gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus (triangularis and opercularis), frontal medial cortex, subcallosal cortex, paracingulate gyrus, cingulate gyrus anterior division, and frontal orbital cortex. VMPCG ROIs were identified from previous studies of value based choice\(^\text{38}\). Additional ROIs were obtained by creating spherical 6 mm radius masks centered on functionally defined regions from the present study or from previous studies. FSLS Fslctool was used to extract data from ROIs. The main analyses were conducted in a priori striatum and PFC ROIs. Whole-brain analyses were conducted to provide a fuller characterization of results for information purposes and are presented in Figure 2a, Supplementary Figure 4a and Supplementary Table 3.

Parametric choice and rating analyses. Because participants’ preferences differ, the conservative strategy to analyzing data under such circumstances is to conduct parametric analyses of each participant’s choices so as to eliminate this variation (for example, see refs. 39,40). The parametric analysis allows for identification of activity associated with the choice process despite individual variability in behavior. Each person’s choices are normalized to their own response range and therefore the analysis is not biased by overall differences in choice preferences.

The GLMs for the choice and rating phases included the following regressors: 1) onsets for each trial on which a response was made, 2) onsets for each trial on which a response was made parametrically modulated by the rating made on that trial (demeaned), 3) onsets for each trial on which a response was made parametrically modulated by the response time on that trial (demeaned), and 4) onsets for missed trials. Regressors 1–3 were modeled with a duration equal to the response time (RT) on each trial, and missed trials with duration equal to the trial length (4 s). The RT regressor was orthogonalized with respect to the parametric rating regressor. Motion and confound regressors were included as outlined above. In order to assess responses separately for low- and high-fat trials, we ran an additional model including separate onsets for low-fat trials, high-fat trials, onsets for low-fat trials parametrically modulated by the ratings made on low-fat trials (demeaned), and onsets for high-fat trials parametrically modulated by the ratings made on high-fat trials (demeaned). A regressor for missed trials and motion and confound regressors were included as well.

Response bin analysis (choice phase). To further assess the results from the parametric analysis described above, we performed an additional analysis including onsets for each of the response bins from 1–5. Additionally, a regressor for missed trials and motion and confound regressors were included.

PPI analyses. To assess functional connectivity we estimated three PPI models. Our approach followed that outlined for PPI implementation in FSLs Feat module (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/PPIHowToRun). The seed region for the PPI analyses was the caudate region identified in the parametric choice phase analysis including age as a covariate (Fig. 2b). A mask was created in standard space and transformed into each participant’s functional native space. The resulting individual masks were used to extract the time-course from the seed region for each participant.
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Figure 2a, Supplementary Figure 4a and Supplementary Table 3.

Response bin analysis (choice phase). To further assess the results from the parametric analysis described above, we performed an additional analysis including onsets for each of the response bins from 1–5. Additionally, a regressor for missed trials and motion and confound regressors were included.

PPI analyses. To assess functional connectivity we estimated three PPI models. Our approach followed that outlined for PPI implementation in FSLs Feat module (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/PPIHowToRun). The seed region for the PPI analyses was the caudate region identified in the parametric choice phase analysis including age as a covariate (Fig. 2b). A mask was created in standard space and transformed into each participant’s functional native space. The resulting individual masks were used to extract the time-course from the seed region for each participant.

Table 1. A, B and C. For each analysis, the same pattern of results was obtained when age was not included as a covariate.
The first model included a psychological regressor comparing high- versus low-fat foods (coded as 1 and −1, respectively) convolved with an HRF, a physiological regressor (the time course extracted from the seed region), and the interaction between the two. In addition, we included a regressor with onsets for high- plus low-fat trials and a regressor for missed trials. To follow up on the results from the model comparing connectivity for high- versus low-fat foods, we ran two additional models, assessing high-fat and low-fat trials separately. To assess connectivity on high-fat food trials, our model included a psychological regressor indicating onsets for high-fat trials, the seed region time-course, and their interaction. In addition, we included a regressor indicating the onsets of low-fat trials and a regressor for missed trials. To assess connectivity on low-fat food trials, our model included a psychological regressor indicating onsets for low-fat trials, the seed region time-course, and their interaction. In addition, we included a regressor indicating the onsets of high-fat trials and a regressor for missed trials. Motion and confound regressors in all PPI analyses were included as outlined above.

To assess the relationships between connectivity and other experimental measures we extracted data from an ROI centered on the peak voxel resulting from the PPI analysis and created a 6-mm radius sphere surrounding this voxel (MNI = [42 48 0]).

For all results, voxel locations (x-y-z values) are reported in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. Results are displayed on a study specific mean anatomical image resulting from averaging all participants’ normalized high-resolution structural images.

A Supplementary Methods Checklist is available.