Construction of Core–Shell CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH Nanosheets for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction
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Abstract: The oxygen evolution reaction (OER) occurs at the anode in numerous electrochemical reactions and plays an important role due to the nature of proton-coupled electron transfer. However, the high voltage requirement and low stability of the OER dramatically limits the total energy converting efficiency. Recently, electrocatalysts based on multi-metal oxyhydroxides have been reported as excellent substitutes for commercial noble metal catalysts due to their outstanding OER activities. However, normal synthesis routes lead to either the encapsulation of excessively active sites or aggregation during the electrolysis. To this end, we design a novel core–shell structure integrating CoMoO$_4$ as support frameworks covered with two-dimensional $\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheets on the surface. By involving CoMoO$_4$, the electrochemically active surface area is significantly enhanced. Additionally, Co atoms immerse into the $\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheet, tuning its electronic structure and providing additional active sites. More importantly, the catalysts exhibit excellent OER catalytic performance, reducing overpotentials to merely 243.1 mV a versus 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. The current strategy contributes to advancing the frontiers of new types of OER electrocatalysts by applying a proper support as a multi-functional platform.
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1. Introduction

Developing renewable energy technologies is of great significance for lowering the growing rate of energy consumption and mitigating the deterioration of the living environment [1–6]. However, the sustainable energy sources, primarily solar and wind, have so far impeded the large-scale practical application of renewable energy. To solve this issue, electrocatalytic water splitting, consisting of an oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and a hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), is a promising approach to generating sustainable H$_2$ from water without using additional reactants. However, the sluggish kinetics of the OER at the anode involves a complex four-step proton-coupled electron transfer process and is regarded as a thermodynamic- and kinetic rate-limiting reaction. Therefore, it is essential to explore efficient electrocatalysts that can effectively facilitate an OER. Even though Ir-/Ru-based oxides show outstanding electrocatalytic behaviors for OER, the high cost and scarcity of these noble catalysts significantly impedes their large-scale applications. To this end, the development of efficient OER catalysts that are inexpensive, easily accessible, and demonstrate excellent electrocatalytic performance (exhibiting a lower overpotential, a high Faradaic efficiency, and adequate catalytic stability) as substitutes for noble metal-based catalysts is both highly important and urgent.
Newly developed OER catalysts can be classified into two types. Metal-free nanomaterials such as carbon nanotube (CNT), graphene, and boron nitride are reported as efficient OER electrocatalysts; however, these catalysts always require multi-step functionalization and are sensitive to pH in the system [7,8]. On the other hand, first-row transition metal (Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, etc.) based OER catalysts (such as layered double hydroxides (LDHs) [9,10], metal oxides [11], phosphides [12], selenides [13], sulfides [14], and nitrides [15]) have attracted enormous attention as next-generation OER catalysts due to their abundance. These transition metal catalysts have proven to exhibit outstanding OER performances; however, recent works suggest that most of these OER catalysts will undergo structural self-reconstruction during the electrolysis process [16]. More specifically, oxyhydroxides are inevitably formed through the electro-oxidization of these pre-catalysts, which are identified as the real active sites for OER. Nevertheless, most self-reconstructions of pre-catalysts generally occur exclusively on the surface of pre-catalysts, leading to the formation of the typical core–shell (pre-catalysts@oxyhydroxides) structures, in which large amounts of inactive reaction sites are buried in the core [17]. In addition, a sophisticated process and additional amounts of energy consumption are usually required for the re-construction of pre-catalysts. Thus, exploring the direct wet-chemical synthesis of oxyhydroxides with fantastic performance for OERs is of great importance. The layered γ-type iron oxyhydroxide (γ-FeOOH) has been reported to exhibit superior OER performance; however, its layered crystal structure and sheet-shaped morphology tend to make γ-FeOOH nanosheets aggregate because of the high surface energy, which severely diminishes the number of exposed active sites [18]. An effective strategy to overcome this drawback involves constructing heterostructures in which the arrayed γ-FeOOH nanostructures are decorated on other nanomaterials as a substrate with a robust structural stability. Moreover, the desired interfaces between the nanostructures could regulate the electron configuration of the active site as well as the activity owing to the coupling interfaces and the synergistic effect of the heterostructures. For example, the trimetallic phosphate (Fe–Co–Ni–P-1) has been reported to exhibit faster kinetics and a better long-term stability in the catalytic process, which is mainly attributed to monodisperse core–shell structure-induced low resistance, the large electrochemically active area, and the synergistic effect among metals [19]. Wang’s group has recently reported that the core–shell structure of Au@NiCoS4 core–shell NPs could result in a higher proportion of high-valance Ni/Co cations and improve its electronic conductivity, which collectively enhances its OER catalytic performance [20]. In addition, a great number of the heterostructures have also been fabricated for an improved electronic performance, such as CoP/FeP4 [21], Co9S8/CoO/NC [22], CoFeS2@CoS2 [23], Ni-P@FeOOH/CC [24], Ni3P4/Ni2P–FeNi [25], and MnO-OVs/NCNTs [26].

Considering the above discussion, we develop herein a facial strategy to construct core–shell CoMoO4@γ-FeOOH nanosheets grown on nickel foam by the interface engineering strategy. The obtained CoMoO4@γ-FeOOH core–shell nanosheet structures are composed of arrayed γ-FeOOH nanosheets anchored on CoMoO4 nanosheets. The synergistic effect provides the CoMoO4@γ-FeOOH core–shell nanosheets with a highly electrochemically active surface area due to the core CoMoO4’s support as well as highly and intrinsically active sites with a Co atom-modified γ-FeOOH shell layer. The designed CoMoO4@γ-FeOOH nanosheets exhibit a remarkable OER catalytic performance, requiring overpotentials of only 243.1 and 278.5 mV to obtain 10 and 100 mA cm−2, respectively, with a negligible degradation after 36 h of OER electrolysis at multi current densities.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents and Chemicals

Cobalt nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO3)2·6H2O), sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na2MoO4·2H2O), potassium ferrate(K2FeO4), sodium hydroxide NaOH, and acetone were analysis reagents (AR) and purchased from Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Ethanol and hydrogen chloride (HCl) were of AR grade and obtained
from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Ruthenium oxide (RuO$_2$) was provided by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2. Methods

For the synthesis of Ni foam supported CoMoO$_4$, 0.175 g Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (0.6 mmol) and 0.145 g Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O (0.6 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL deionized water (DI water) under sonication. These two transparent solutions were mixed under continuous stirring for 0.5 h and then transferred into a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave. A piece of Ni foam (1.0 × 3.0 cm$^2$) was thoroughly rinsed with 1.0 M HCl, acetone, and ethanol in sequence under sonication in a 20 mL glass vial. The pretreated Ni foam was put into the autoclave mentioned above, and then the mixed system was kept at 150 ℃ for 4 h. The Ni foam samples were taken out, washed, and vacuum dried, and CoMoO$_4$ arrays were obtained.

For the synthesis of Ni foam supported CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, 7.5 mM K$_2$FeO$_4$ solution containing 6 M NaOH was firstly prepared by dissolving K$_2$FeO$_4$ into aqueous NaOH solution under sonication and then centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 1 min. Subsequently, the as-prepared CoMoO$_4$ was soaked into 20 mL of the above solution. After reacting for 24 h at ambient conditions without any disruption, the obtained CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH was cleaned with deionized water and then dried naturally. The Ni foam supported γ-FeOOH was also synthesized via a similar procedure, except for the fact that the CoMoO$_4$ was replaced with pretreated Ni foam.

2.3. Materials Characterizations

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were collected with a field-emission scanning electron microscope ZEISS-G500 (ZEISS, Jena, Germany) at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV. The as-synthesized samples were scraped from the Ni foam and analyzed with a Titan Themis Z (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to record high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images, elemental mapping, and SAED patterns. The Raman spectra of all synthesized samples were obtained using a HORIBA Jobin–Yvon Lab-Ram ARAMIS (Horiba, Kyoto, Japan) Raman spectrometer equipped with a CCD detector. X-ray diffraction, D8Advance (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) was used for the crystalline phase analysis with Cu Kα radiation. The surface properties of all samples were characterized using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) ESCALAB 250, (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). All binding energies were calibrated with the C 1 s peak at 284.8 eV corresponding to adventitious carbon found on the surface.

2.4. Electrochemical Measurements

All the electrochemical tests were conducted in fresh 1.0 M KOH on a CHI 760E electrochemical station using a standard three-electrode system. The as-prepared catalysts that were grown on the Ni foam (0.5 × 1.0 cm$^2$) directly served as the working electrode. The standard Hg/HgO electrode was applied as the reference electrode, while a platinum wire was used as the counter electrode. All the measured potentials were calibrated to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE): RHEs: $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Hg/HgO}} + 0.059 \times \text{pH} + 0.098$ (V). Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) with 95% iR compensation was carried out at a scan rate of 0.5 mV s$^{-1}$. Multicurrent densities were applied for measuring long-term electrochemical stability. The double-layer capacitance ($C_{\text{dl}}$) was estimated based on the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) recorded at various scan rates over a non-faradaic potential range of 1.024–1.124 V ($E_{\text{RHE}}$).

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 illustrates the procedure for constructing Ni foam-supported hierarchical 2D core–shell CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH nanosheets. In brief, the CoMoO$_4$ nanosheets were uniformly grown on Ni foam through a reported hydrothermal method with some modifications, followed by an immersion treatment with a mixed solution containing K$_2$FeO$_4$ and NaOH at room temperature for 24 h to prepare the core–shell structured CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH nanosheets. This core–shell structure could effectively prevent the high surface
energy of $\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheet-induced aggregation, which could increase the number of exposed active sites and the electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) of $\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheets. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images showed the morphological and structural evolution process of the as-prepared catalysts. As shown in Figure 2a–c, the as-prepared CoMoO$_4$ presents micrometer-length nanosheets similar to the reported results [27]. In addition, the as-prepared CoMoO$_4$ nanosheets on the Ni foam surface displayed a uniform coverage, and the thickness of a single nanosheet was about ~30 nm. After reacting in the solution containing $\text{K}_2\text{FeO}_4$ and NaOH, the SEM images (Figure 2d–f) show that the surface of the CoMoO$_4$ nanosheets turned rough and was decorated with some worm-like $\gamma$-FeOOH nanomaterials. In addition, the thickness of the CoMoO$_4$ increased to 120 nm. A high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) image (Figure 2g) shows that these worm-like $\gamma$-FeOOH nanomaterials are actually tiny nanosheets. The corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping images of a vertical CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheet clearly show that the Mo and Fe elements are mainly distributed in the core and shell of the CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheets, respectively, while the Co and O elements are homogeneously distributed over the entire hierarchical 2D core–shell CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheets. To further elucidate the element distribution of the shell (tiny $\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheets) of the CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH hierarchical nanosheets, the EDS mapping of horizontal CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH hierarchical nanosheets and a line scan were performed. As shown in Figure S1, Fe has the largest dispersion area and exhibits more content than Co on the edge of the tiny $\gamma$-FeOOH, and there is almost no Mo signal on the tiny $\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheet. However, the content of Co is higher than Fe in the interior of the tiny $\gamma$-FeOOH, suggesting the probable ion exchange of Co and Fe during the formation of $\gamma$-FeOOH. Such ion exchange reactions could promote the nucleation of $\gamma$-FeOOH on the surface of CoMoO$_4$ and benefit the formation of a core–shell structure of CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH. The pure $\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheets supported on the Ni foam were also prepared as the monophasic counterpart. The SEM images (Figure S2a–c) show that the tiny $\gamma$-FeOOH nanosheets were vertically and uniformly grown on the Ni foam, exhibiting a similar morphology to the shell of the CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH hierarchical nanosheets. Nevertheless, the pure $\gamma$-FeOOH powder synthesized without the Ni foam substrate exhibited a highly stacked morphology (Figure S2d).

Figure 1. Schematic illustration for the construction of CoMoO$_4@\gamma$-FeOOH OER catalyst.
A powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) was carried out to investigate the structural information. As shown in Figure S3a, the main diffraction peaks of the CoMoO$_4$ nanosheets could be assigned to CoMoO$_4$ (PDF no. 25-1434). Following the reaction in the mixed solution containing K$_2$FeO$_4$ and NaOH, no apparent new diffraction peaks were observed in the CoMoO$_4$@Fe based nanosheets compared with that of CoMoO$_4$, suggesting that γ-FeOOH possessed a lower crystallinity and that the core retained the structure of CoMoO$_4$. The pure γ-FeOOH was also characterized (Figure S3b) and several weak diffraction peaks that adequately matched the γ-FeOOH could be found [28]. To furtherly confirm the formation of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, Raman tests were conducted. As shown in Figure S4, the bands located at 326, 846, and 935 cm$^{-1}$ for the Co–O–Mo, O–Mo–O, and Mo–O vibrations, respectively, could be found in both the CoMoO$_4$ and CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH nanosheets [29], while the Raman band located at 230, which could likely be ascribed to Fe–O vibrations, could be found in both the γ-FeOOH and CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH nanosheets [18]. This spectra information indicates the co-existence of CoMoO$_4$ and γ-FeOOH in the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH nanosheets. The HRTEM imaging allowed for the atomic-scale structural identification of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH nanosheets. Figure 3a shows the low magnification TEM image of the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH nanosheets, from which we can tell that its morphology comprises a bunch of intersecting layers. The yellow rectangular area in the inset is the CoMoO$_4$ component and the red one represents the γ-FeOOH nanosheets growing upon the much larger CoMoO$_4$ sheets as the matrix. The HRTEM image (Figure 3b) and its corresponding diffractogram (Figure 3d) after the implementation of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of the γ-FeOOH nanosheets reveal the (200) planes where the lattice spacing is 1.5 Å and the (002) planes where the lattice spacing is 3.5 Å; the nanosheets' identification was confirmed from crystallography since it adequately matches the crystalline model of γ-FeOOH observed along the [010] axis (Figure 3c) and the corresponding simulated FFT pattern (Figure 3e). Similar to what was mentioned previously, the HRTEM image and corresponding Fast Fourier transform pattern (FFT) in Figure 3f,h indicate the [112] planes where the lattice spacing is 3.5 Å and the (200) planes where the lattice spacing is 4.4 Å for CoMoO$_4$ observed along the [021] axis, as can be indexed from the combination of Figure 3g and its simulated corresponding FFT (Figure 3i).
Figure 3. (a) Low magnification TEM image of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH’s heterostructure, insets show the γ-FeOOH region (red rectangle), and CoMoO$_4$ region (yellow rectangle). (b) Magnified HRTEM view of the crystalline region of γ-FeOOH. (c) The crystal structure model of γ-FeOOH observed along the [010] axis. (d) FFT of the (b,e) simulated FFT of the crystal structure model of γ-FeOOH observed along the [010] axis. (f) Magnified HRTEM view of the crystalline region of CoMoO$_4$. (g) The crystal structure model of CoMoO$_4$ observed along the [021] axis. (h) FFT of the (f,i) simulated FFT of the crystal structure. (j) High-resolution Fe 2p XPS spectra of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH and CoMoO$_4$. (k) High-resolution Mo 3d XPS spectra of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH and CoMoO$_4$. (l) High-resolution Co 2p XPS spectra of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH.

Previous reports have demonstrated that the electronic structure of an active site is highly related to the catalytic activity and could be adequately modulated by constructing heterostructures [30,31]. Hence, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was employed to study detailed atomic and electronic structural information. As shown in Figure S5a, the survey spectrum of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH shows the coexistence of Co, Fe, Mo, O, and C, indicating the successful growth of γ-FeOOH on the CoMoO$_4$ nanosheets. In the high-resolution XPS spectra of Fe 2p (γ-FeOOH and CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH), the two peaks located at about 711.2 and 724.7 eV can be attributed to the Fe$^{2+}$ 2p$_{3/2}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ 2p$_{1/2}$ of Fe-O species [32], respectively (Figure 3j). In addition, similar XPS fine spectra of Mo in the CoMoO$_4$ and CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH collectively suggests the similar coordination environments of Fe ions and Mo ions in the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH and the corresponding single components (Figure 3k). The peaks at about 780.7 eV (2p 3/2) and 796.8 eV (2p 1/2) of Fe-O species [32], respectively (Figure 3j). In addition, similar XPS fine spectra of Mo in the CoMoO$_4$ and CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH collectively suggests the similar coordination environments of Fe ions and Mo ions in the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH and the corresponding single components (Figure 3k). The peaks at about 780.7 eV (2p 3/2) and 796.8 eV (2p 1/2) in the spectra of Co are consistent with the Co–O species [33] (Figure 3l). However, the fitted results indicate that the peak ratio of Co$^{2+}$/Co$^{3+}$ decreases after decorating γ-FeOOH on the surface of the CoMoO$_4$ nanosheets, indicating that the ion exchange of the Co and Fe ions in the γ-FeOOH resulted in the formation of Co-doped γ-FeOOH with partial Co atoms possessing a higher valence. It has been widely reported that active sites with a higher valence could enhance the chemisorption of OH$^{-}$, complement the formation of
MOOH (M represents metal, such as Co or Ni) through nucleophilic attack during OER, and are generally regarded as the active sites for OER [34]. Therefore, the as-synthesized biphasic CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH nanosheets offer a great opportunity to evoke synergistic electrocatalysis towards OER.

The electrochemical behaviors of the as-prepared and commercial catalysts were evaluated with a three-electrode system in O$_2$-saturated 1 M KOH electrolytes. To evaluate the OER activity of these materials, RuO$_2$ was deposited on the Ni foam as a reference with the same loading. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves (Figure 4a) with iR-compensation show that the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH catalyst exhibits the most optimal performance, with an outstanding OER current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ with a low overpotential of 243.1 mV, which is 34.1, 75.3, 48.9, and 146.9 mV lower than that of γ-FeOOH, CoMoO$_4$, RuO$_2$, and Ni foam (Figure 4a,b). Furthermore, very small overpotentials of 270.3 and 278.5 mV are enough to reach a high current density of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ for the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH electrode, which is highly competitive when compared to other previously reported OER electrocatalysts (Supplementary Table S1) [35–47]. Figure 4c shows the Tafel plots of the sample catalysts, where the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH presented the lowest Tafel slope of 46.58 mV dec$^{-1}$ in contrast to those of γ-FeOOH (60.71 mV dec$^{-1}$), RuO$_2$ (51.20 mV dec$^{-1}$), and CoMoO$_4$ (83.85 mV dec$^{-1}$), indicating the rapid kinetics of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH. The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) was evaluated by the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (C$_{dl}$) (Figure S6). As seen in Figure 4d, the CoMoO$_4$ exhibits a larger double-layer capacitance (C$_{dl}$) value (3.52 mF cm$^{-2}$) than those of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH (1.75 mF cm$^{-2}$) and γ-FeOOH (0.62 mF cm$^{-2}$), indicating that CoMoO$_4$ could complement the exposure of the active site and largely enhance the ECSA of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH. Comparing the results, the γ-FeOOH exhibits a much higher activity relative to CoMoO$_4$ towards OER, suggesting that the Co and Fe sites in the Co-doped γ-FeOOH are both performing as real OER active sites, and that the presence of CoMoO$_4$ modifies the environmental conditions of the active sites. Therefore, the core–shell structure of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH not only improves the ECSA of active components by moderating the dispersion of γ-FeOOH, but also enhances the intrinsic activity of γ-FeOOH through the doping of Co atoms, which collectively leads to the higher electronic activity and lower overpotential of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH compared to the single components. The stability was also evaluated via chronopotentiometry experiments without iR compensation for three constant cycles that comprised a test duration of 36 h at multicurrent densities (Figure 4e). The overpotential remained stable for all the cycles; about 283.1, 325.7, and 355.0 mV were required to reach the current densities of 10, 50, and 100 mA cm$^{-2}$, respectively, indicating that the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH heterostructure possesses a superb durability. Faradaic efficiency measurements were also tested to assess the difference between the actual efficiency and the theoretical efficiency of the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH electrode (Figure S7). The detailed test and the calculated method could be referenced with the reported literature [48]. The results exhibited a Faradaic efficiency of about 93.9% after applying a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 5 h, suggesting that all the applied electrons were almost completely used for water oxidation under the consideration of an experimental error.

It has been widely demonstrated that most of the transition metal-based electrocatalysts will undergo a structural transformation during the OER process. Therefore, detailed structure tests were carried out for the catalysts after the LSV test to explore the intrinsic origins of the high OER activity. As shown in Figures S8 and S9, the morphology of CoMoO$_4$ and γ-FeOOH showed limited changes after the LSV test, while the morphology of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH exhibited obvious changes, and the γ-FeOOH nanosheets on the CoMoO$_4$ turned into some nanoparticles that closely embedded into the surface of CoMoO$_4$. The Raman and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) methods were used to investigate the structural evolution of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH after the LSV test. As shown in Figure S10, the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH after the LSV test showed typical peaks for Fe-O, Co-O-Mo, and Mo-O vibrations that were similar to the initial Raman spectrum of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH. The HAADF STEM mapping (Figure 5a) results revealed that the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH
after the LSV test retained its initial element composition and exhibited a homogeneous dispersion of the Mo, Co, and Fe atoms. Detailed phase information was collected through SAED. The SAED pattern (Figure 3b) exhibits a typical diffraction pattern characteristic of polycrystalline materials, of which the diffraction pattern and the radially-averaged diffraction rings (Figure 3c) can be adequately indexed to both the CoMoO$_4$ (marked with yellow wires) and γ-FeOOH phases (marked with red wires) of the heterostructure. The XPS spectra of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH after the LSV test showed a similar elemental composition and valence (Figure S11). These limited changes in the chemical environment and phase composition indicate that the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH adequately preserved its initial structure under OER conditions, which may largely contribute to its superb stability in OER reactions.

**Figure 4.** (a) LSV curves of CoMoO$_4$, CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, γ-FeOOH, RuO$_2$, and Ni foam in 1.0 M KOH. (b) Comparison of the overpotentials at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, 50 mA cm$^{-2}$, and 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ for CoMoO$_4$, CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, and γ-FeOOH. (c) Tafel plots of CoMoO$_4$, CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, γ-FeOOH, and RuO$_2$. (d) CV current density versus scan rate of CoMoO$_4$, CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, and γ-FeOOH. (e) Durability test of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH in 1.0 M KOH at constant 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, 50 mA cm$^{-2}$, and 100 mA cm$^{-2}$. 
4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a general strategy for constructing highly efficient OER catalysts through the post-synthesis of multi-metal oxyhydroxides on stable support frameworks. An HRTEM was employed to successfully confirm the synthesis of a sheet-like CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH core–shell structure, and EDS mapping proved that the Co atoms immersed into the γ-FeOOH to form dual-metal entities. The as-prepared catalysts show a distinguished OER performance with a low overpotential of 243.1 mV under a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. Moreover, the CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH presented a very low Tafel slope of 46.58 mV dec$^{-1}$, as well as a very highly electrochemically active surface area. We further investigated the catalyst structure and composition after 36 h of electrolysis, which demonstrated a very high stability in accordance with our design purpose.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nano12132215/s1, Figure S1: (a) HAADF STEM and EDS mapping images of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH. (b) EDS Line scan spectrum of the area marked with blue arrow in (a). Figure S2: (a) Low-and (b,c) high-magnification SEM images of γ-FeOOH, (d) TEM image of γ-FeOOH powder synthesized without Ni foam substrate. Figure S3: (a) XRD patterns of CoMoO$_4$ and CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, (b) XRD pattern of γ-FeOOH. Figure S4: Raman spectra of CoMoO$_4$, CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, and γ-FeOOH. Figure S5: XPS survey spectra of (a) CoMoO$_4$, (b) CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH, and (c) γ-FeOOH acquired at various scan rates. Figure S6: Faradaic efficiency of CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH for the theoretically calculated and experimentally measured O$_2$ at a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. Figure S7: SEM images of catalysts after LSV test, (a–c) CoMoO$_4$, (d–f) γ-FeOOH. Figure S8: Comparison of OER performances between CoMoO$_4$@γ-FeOOH electrode and recently reported electrocatalysts in alkaline solution. References [35–47] have been cited in the Supplementary Materials.
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.S., J.L. (Jingjing Li) and G.S.; methodology, G.S. and A.A.M.; software, A.A.M.; validation, Y.Z., Z.Z. and J.L. (Juan Luo); formal analysis, Y.Z.; investigation, Z.Z.; resources, J.L. (Jian Luo); data curation, H.S. and J.L. (Jingjing Li); writing—original draft preparation, H.S., (Jingjing Li) and G.S.; writing—review and editing, W.S.; visualization, Z.Z.; supervision, W.S.; project administration, W.S.; funding acquisition, W.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22075249, 51802281), and the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (LY21B010006).

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: There are no conflict of interest to declare.

References

1. Liu, P.; Liu, W.; Huang, Y.; Li, P.; Yan, J.; Liu, K. Mesoporous Hollow Carbon Spheres Boosted, Integrated High Performance Aqueous Zn-Ion Energy Storage. *Energy Storage Mater.* 2020, 25, 858–865. [CrossRef]

2. Liu, P.; Zhang, Z.; Hao, R.; Huang, Y.; Li, W.; Tan, Y.; Li, P.; Yan, J.; Liu, K. Ultra-Highly Stable Zinc Metal Anode via 3D-Printed g-C3N4 Modulating Interface for Long Life Energy Storage Systems. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, 403, 126425. [CrossRef]

3. Sui, X.; Zhang, L.; Li, J.; Doyle-Davis, K.; Li, R.; Wang, Z.; Sun, X. Enhancing Metal–Support Interaction by in Situ Ion-Exchanging Strategy for High Performance Pt Catalysts in Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2020, 8, 16582–16589. [CrossRef]

4. Sui, X.; Zhang, L.; Li, J.; Doyle-Davis, K.; Li, R.; Wang, Z.; Sun, X. Advanced Support Materials and Interactions for Atomically Dispersed Noble-Metal Catalysts: From Support Effects to Design Strategies. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2022, 12, 2102556. [CrossRef]

5. Guan, J.; Shao, L.; Yu, L.; Wang, S.; Shi, X.; Cai, J.; Sun, Z. Two-Dimensional Mg0.2V2O5·nH2O Nanobelts Derived from V4C3MXenes for Highly Stable Aqueous Zinc Ion Batteries. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, 443, 136502. [CrossRef]

6. Yuk, S.F.; Cooper, V.R. Tuning Oxygen Electrocatalysis via Strain on LaNiO3(001). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 21, 4738–4745. [CrossRef]

7. Zhang, X.; Zhang, W.; Dai, J.; Sun, M.; Zhao, J.; Ji, L.; Chen, L.; Zeng, F.; Yang, F.; Huang, B.; et al. Carboxylated Carbon Nanotubes with High Electrocatalytic Activity for Oxygen Evolution in Acidic Conditions. *InfoMat* 2022, 4, e12273. [CrossRef]

8. Jin, H.; Guo, C.; Liu, X.; Liu, J.; Vasileff, A.; Jiao, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Qiao, S.-Z. Emerging Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials for Electrocatalysis. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, 118, 6337–6408. [CrossRef]

9. Zhang, Z.; Wang, C.; Ma, X.; Liu, F.; Xiao, H.; Zhang, J.; Lin, Z.; Hao, Z. Engineering Ultrafine NiFe-LDH into Self-Supporting Nanosheets: Separation-and-Reunion Strategy to Expose Additional Edge Sites for Oxygen Evolution. *Small* 2021, 17, 2103785. [CrossRef]

10. Sayler, R.I.; Hunter, B.M.; Fu, W.; Gray, H.B.; Britt, R.D. EPR Spectroscopy of Iron- and Nickel-Doped [ZnAl]-Layered Double Hydroxides: Modeling Active Sites in Heterogeneous Water Oxidation Catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, 142, 1838–1845. [CrossRef]

11. Haber, J.A.; Anzenburg, E.; Yano, J.; Kisielowski, C.; Gregoire, J.M. Multiphase Nanostructure of a Quinary Metal Oxide Electrocatalyst Reveals a New Direction for OER Electrocatalyst Design. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, 5, 1402307. [CrossRef]

12. Li, L.; Gao, W.; Tang, K.; Lei, M.; Yao, B.; Qi, W.; Wen, D. Structure Engineering of Ni3P by Mo Doping for Robust Electrocatalytic Water and Methanol Oxidation Reactions. *Electrochimica Acta* 2021, 369, 136792. [CrossRef]

13. Zhou, J.; Yuan, L.; Wang, J.; Song, L.; You, Y.; Zhou, R.; Zhang, J.; Xu, J. Combinational Modulations of NiSe2 Nanodendrites by Phase Engineering and Iron-Doping towards an Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2020, 8, 8113–8120. [CrossRef]

14. Liu, H.; He, Q.; Jiang, H.; Lin, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Habib, M.; Chen, S.; Song, L. Electronic Structure Reconfiguration toward Pyrite NiS2 via Engineered Heteroatom Defect Boosting Overall Water Splitting. *ACS Nano* 2017, 11, 11574–11583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Yuan, Y.; Adimi, S.; Guo, X.; Thomas, T.; Zhu, Y.; Guo, H.; Priyanga, G.S.; Yoo, P.; Wang, J.; Chen, J.; et al. A Surface-Oxide-Rich Activation Layer (SOAL) on Ni3Mo5N for a Rapid and Durable Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, 59, 18036–18041. [CrossRef]

16. Liu, X.; Meng, J.; Zhu, J.; Huang, M.; Wen, B.; Guo, R.; Mai, L. Comprehensive Understandings into Complete Reconstruction of Precatalysts: Synthesis, Applications, and Characterizations. *Adv. Mater.* 2021, 33, 2007344. [CrossRef]

17. Wang, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Zhao, S.; She, S.; Zhang, F.; Chen, Y.; Williams, T.; Gengenbach, T.; Zu, L.; Mao, H.; et al. Anion Etching for Accessing Rapid and Deep Self-Reconstruction of Precatalysts for Water Oxidation. *Matter* 2020, 3, 2124–2137. [CrossRef]

18. Wang, K.; Du, H.; He, S.; Liu, L.; Yang, K.; Sun, J.; Liu, Y.; Du, Z.; Xie, L.; Ai, W.; et al. Kinetically Controlled, Scalable Synthesis of γ-FeOOH Nanosheet Arrays on Nickel Foam toward Efficient Oxygen Evolution: The Key Role of In-Situ-Generated γ-NiOOH. *Adv. Mater.* 2021, 33, 2005587. [CrossRef]

19. Zhou, X.; Zi, Y.; Xu, L.; Li, T.; Yang, J.; Tang, J. Core–Shell-Structured Prussian Blue Analogues ternary Metal Phosphides as Efficient Bifunctional Electrocatalysts for OER and HER. *Inorg. Chem.* 2021, 60, 11661–11671. [CrossRef]
20. Lv, Y.; Duan, S.; Zhu, Y.; Yin, P.; Wang, R. Enhanced OER Performances of Au@NiCoS$_4$ Core-Shell Heterostructure. *Nanomaterials* **2020**, *10*, 611. [CrossRef]

21. Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Wu, Q.; Su, Z.; Wang, B.; Chen, Y.; Wang, S. Hollow CoP/FeP$_4$ Heterostructural Nanorods Intervenred by CNT as a Highly Efficient Electrocatalyst for Oxygen Evolution Reactions. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11*, 1450. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Rehman, K.U.; Airam, S.; Lin, X.; Gao, J.; Guo, Q.; Zhang, Z. In Situ Formation of Surface-Induced Oxygen Vacancies in Co$_3$S$_2$/CoO/NC as a Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Improved Oxygen and Hydrogen Evolution Reactions. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11*, 2237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Jeon, J.; Park, K.R.; Kim, K.M.; Ko, D.; Han, H.; Oh, N.; Yeo, S.; Ahn, C.; Mhin, S. CoFeS$_2$ Nanocubes Entangled with CNT for Efficient Bifunctional Performance for Oxygen Evolution and Oxygen Reduction Reactions. *Nanomaterials* **2022**, *12*, 983. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Hu, Z.; Xu, Y.; Xiang, W.; Guo, X.; Tong, Y.; Xu, J.; Yang, C. Amorphous Ni-P-S@FeOOH/CC Catalyst for High Oxygen Evolution Activity: Preparation, Characterization and Modeling. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2022**, *258*, 117761. [CrossRef]

25. Tian, X.; Yi, P.; Sun, J.; Li, C.; Liu, R.; Sun, J.-K. The Scalable Solid-State Synthesis of a Ni$_3$P$_4$/Ni$_2$P–FeNi Alloy Encapsulated into a Hierarchical Porous Carbon Framework for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reactions. *Nanomaterials* **2022**, *12*, 1848. [CrossRef]

26. Yu, X.; Chen, W.; Cai, J.; Lu, X.; Sun, Z. Oxygen Vacancy-Rich MnO Nanoflakes/N-Doped Carbon Nanotubes Modified Separator Enabling Chemisorption and Catalytic Conversion of Polysulfides for Li-S Batteries. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2022**, *610*, 407–417. [CrossRef]

27. Barmi, M.J.; Minakshi, M. Tuning the Redox Properties of the Nanostructured CoMoO$_3$ Electrode: Effects of Surfactant Content and Synthesis Temperature. *ChemPlusChem* **2016**, *81*, 964–977. [CrossRef]

28. Minakshi, M. Lithium Intercalation into Amorphous FePO$_4$ Cathode in Aqueous Solutions. *Electrochimica Acta* **2010**, *55*, 9174–9178. [CrossRef]

29. Xie, W.; Huang, J.; Huang, L.; Geng, S.; Song, S.; Tsiakaras, P.; Wang, Y. Novel Fluorine-Doped Cobalt Molybdate Nanosheets with Enriched Oxygen-Vacancies for Improved Oxygen Evolution Reaction Activity. *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *30*, 120871. [CrossRef]

30. Wu, T.; Song, E.; Zhang, S.; Luo, M.; Zhao, C.; Zhao, W.; Liu, J.; Huang, F. Engineering Metallic Heterostructure Based on Ni$_3$S$_2$ and 2M-MoS$_2$ for Alkaline Water Electrolysis with Industry-Compatible Current Density and Stability. *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 2108505. [CrossRef]

31. Li, T.; Yin, J.; Sun, D.; Zhang, M.; Pang, H.; Xu, L.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, J.; Tang, Y.; Xue, J. Manipulation of Mott–Schottky Ni/Co$_2$O$_4$ Heterojunctions into N-Doped Carbon Nanofibers for High-Efficiency Electrochemical Water Splitting. *Small* **2022**, *18*, 2106592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Li, L.; Bi, H.; Gai, S.; He, F.; Gao, P.; Dai, Y.; Zhang, X.; Yang, D.; Zhang, M.; Yang, P. Uniformly Dispersed ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ Nanoparticles on Nitrogen-Modified Graphene for High-Performance Supercapacitor as Electrode. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 43116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Gong, C.; Li, W.; Lei, Y.; He, X.; Chen, H.; Du, X.; Fang, W.; Wang, D.; Zhao, L. Interfacial Engineering of ZIF-67 Derived CoSe/Co(OH)$_2$ Catalysts for Efficient Overall Water Splitting. *Compos. Part B Eng.* **2022**, *236*, 109823. [CrossRef]

34. Wan, K.; Luo, J.; Zhou, C.; Zhang, T.; Arbiol, J.; Lu, X.; Mao, B.W.; Zhang, X.; Fransaer, J. Hierarchical Porous Ni$_3$S$_2$ with Enriched High-Valence Ni Sites as a Robust Electrocatalyst for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1900315. [CrossRef]

35. Choi, J.; Kim, D.; Zheng, W.; Yan, B.; Li, Y.; Lee, L.Y.S.; Piao, Y. Interface Engineered NiFe$_2$O$_4$-x/NiMoO$_4$ Nanowire Arrays for Electrochemical Oxygen Evolution. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2021**, *286*, 119857. [CrossRef]

36. Zheng, M.; Guo, K.; Jiang, W.-J.; Tang, T.; Wang, X.; Zhou, P.; Du, J.; Zhao, Y.; Xu, C.; Hu, J.-S. When MoS$_2$ Meets FeOOH: A “One-Stone-Two-Birds” Heterostructure as a Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Efficient Alkaline Water Splitting. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2019**, *244*, 1004–1012. [CrossRef]

37. Liu, P.F.; Yang, S.; Zhang, B.; Yang, H.G. Defect-Rich Ultrathin Cobalt-Iron Layered Double Hydroxide for Electrochemical Overall Water Splitting. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 34474–34481. [CrossRef]

38. Qiu, Z.; Ma, Y.; Edvinsson, T. In Operando Raman Investigation of Fe Doping Influence on Catalytic NiO Intermediates for Enhanced Overall Water Splitting. *Nano Energy* **2019**, *66*, 104118. [CrossRef]

39. Xiong, Y.; Xu, L.; Jin, C.; Sun, Q. Interface-Engineered Atomically Thin Ni$_3$S$_2$/MnO$_2$ Heterogeneous Nanoarrays for Efficient Overall Water Splitting in Alkaline Media. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2019**, *254*, 329–338. [CrossRef]

40. Che, Q.; Li, Q.; Chen, X.; Tan, Y.; Xu, X. Assembling Amorphous (Fe-Ni)Co$_x$-$\mu$-OH/Ni$_3$S$_2$ Nanohybrids with S-Vacancy and Interfacial Effects as an Ultra-Highly Efficient Electrocatalyst: Inner Investigation of Mechanism for Alkaline Water-to-Hydrogen/Oxygen Conversion. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2020**, *263*, 118338. [CrossRef]

41. Li, L.; Niu, W.; Zhou, L.; Yang, Y. Phosphorus and Aluminum Codoped Porous NiO Nanosheets as Highly Efficient Electrocatalysts for Overall Water Splitting. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 892–898. [CrossRef]

42. Jin, Y.; Huang, S.; Yue, X.; Shu, C.; Shen, P.K. Highly Stable and Efficient Non-Precious Metal Electrocatalysts of Mo-Doped NiOOH Nanosheets for Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2018**, *43*, 12140–12145. [CrossRef]

43. Hao, S.; Chen, L.; Yu, C.; Yang, B.; Li, Z.; Hou, Y.; Lei, L.; Zhang, X. NiCoMo Hydroxide Nanosheet Arrays Synthesized via Chloride Corrosion for Overall Water Splitting. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 952–959. [CrossRef]

44. Guan, C.; Xiao, W.; Wu, H.; Liu, X.; Zang, W.; Zhang, H.; Ding, J.; Feng, Y.P.; Pennycook, S.J.; Wang, J. Hollow Mo-Doped CoP Nanorods for Efficient Overall Water Splitting. *Nano Energy* **2018**, *48*, 73–80. [CrossRef]
45. Wang, D.; Li, Q.; Han, C.; Xing, Z.; Yang, X. When NiO@Ni Meets WS\(_2\) Nanosheet Array: A Highly Efficient and Ultrastable Electrocatalyst for Overall Water Splitting. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2018**, *4*, 112–119. [CrossRef]

46. Luo, X.; Shao, Q.; Pi, Y.; Huang, X. Trimetallic Molybdate Nanobelts as Active and Stable Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 1013–1018. [CrossRef]

47. Xu, H.; Shang, H.; Wang, C.; Jin, L.; Chen, C.; Wang, C.; Du, Y. Three-Dimensional Open CoMoO\(_x\)/CoMoS\(_x\)/CoS\(_x\) Nanobox Electrocatalysts for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2020**, *265*, 118605. [CrossRef]

48. Park, H.; Park, B.H.; Choi, J.; Kim, S.; Kim, T.; Youn, Y.-S.; Son, N.; Kim, J.H.; Kang, M. Enhanced Electrochemical Properties and OER Performances by Cu Substitution in NiCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) Spinel Structure. *Nanomaterials* **2020**, *10*, 1727. [CrossRef]