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**ABSTRACT**

The abundance and properties of planets orbiting binary stars – circumbinary planets – are largely unknown because they are difficult to detect with currently available techniques. Results from the Kepler satellite and other studies indicate a minimum occurrence rate of circumbinary giant planets of \( \sim 10 \) per cent, yet only a handful are presently known. Here, we study the potential of ESA’s Gaia mission to discover and characterize extrasolar planets orbiting nearby binary stars by detecting the binary’s periodic astrometric motion caused by the orbiting planet. We expect that Gaia will discover hundreds of giant planets around binaries with FGK-dwarf primaries within 200 pc of the Sun, if we assume that the giant planet mass distribution and abundance are similar around binaries and single stars. If on the other hand all circumbinary gas giants have masses lower than two Jupiter masses, we expect only four detections. Gaia is critically sensitive to the properties of giant circumbinary planets and will therefore make the detailed study of their population possible. Gaia’s precision is such that the distribution in mutual inclination between the binary and planetary orbital planes will be obtained. It also possesses the capacity to establish the frequency of planets across the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram, both as a function of mass and of stellar evolutionary state from pre-main sequence to stellar remnants. Gaia’s discoveries can reveal whether a second epoch of planetary formation occurs after the red giant phase.
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1 INTRODUCTION

A number of systems composed of planets orbiting both components of a binary – circumbinary planets – have been detected in recent years. This includes systems detected from radial-velocity data such as HD 202206 (Correia et al. 2005), NN Serpentis using eclipse-timing variations (ETV; e.g. Beuermann et al. 2010; Marsh et al. 2013), Kepler-16 detected from transits by the Kepler spacecraft (e.g. Doyle et al. 2011), Ross 458, a planetary-mass object directly imaged around an M-dwarf binary (Burgasser et al. 2010), and PSR B1620−26, a pulsar+white-dwarf binary that hosts an \( \sim 2 \) Jupiter-mass planet (Thorsett et al. 1999; Sigurdsson et al. 2008).

While the reality of circumbinary planets was for a time questioned, the small harvest of systems identified by Kepler indicated that these systems are fairly common. The occurrence rate of gas giants in the circumbinary configuration has been estimated to be of the order of 10 per cent (Armstrong et al. 2014; Martin & Triaud 2014), similar to the abundance of gas giants orbiting single stars (Cumming et al. 2008; Mayor et al. 2011). This came a bit as a surprise since planet formation mechanisms were thought to be stifled by mixing caused by the binary into the protoplanetary disc (e.g. Meschiari 2012), or by the very formation mechanism of close binaries (Mazeh & Shaham 1979; Fabrycky & Tremaine 2007).

Gaia (Perryman et al. 2001) was launched in 2013 December and began its survey in 2014 July. Over the next five years, it will survey the entire sky and measure the positions and motions of approximately one billion stars. Individual measurements will be collected with a precision of \( \sim 30 \) microarcseconds (\( \mu \)as) for sources with apparent optical magnitudes brighter than 12. This is sufficient to allow for the detection of thousands of planets in nearby systems. Casertano et al. (2008), Sozzetti et al. (2014) and Perryman et al. (2014) investigated the potential for Gaia to detect gas giants using the astrometric method, and Neveu et al. (2012) studied the interest of combining astrometric and radial-velocity data, very much like what was achieved for brown dwarfs using Hipparcos (Sahlmann et al. 2011a). However, this body of work only considered planets orbiting single stars.
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It is reasonable to think that a large fraction of the systems where Gaia has the sensitivity to detect gas giants will be binary star systems, a number of which will have fairly short separations. In this article, we look into whether gas giants in circumbinary orbits can be discovered using Gaia’s measurements. First, we describe the importance of Gaia’s contribution to the study of circumbinary planets. Then, in Section 3, we present the type, precision, and number of measurements we can hope to obtain by the end of Gaia’s nominal mission, as well as the orbital elements required to be calculated to make a detection. We study some benchmark examples, before expanding our study to the nearby population of binaries in Section 4. Finally, we discuss our results.

2 SCIENCE CASE

Astrometric planet detection has limitations that are very different from the other four observing techniques currently employed in the discovery of circumbinary planets: transit photometry, eclipse-timing or transit-timing variations, radial velocimetry, and direct imaging. The most important distinction is the wide range of binary star properties that is accessible for planet detection. Transit photometry, ETV, and radial velocimetry are mostly confined to the shortest period binaries, whereas direct imaging can mostly access common proper motion companions on extremely wide orbits.

Direct imaging observations are most sensitive to self-luminous planets that can be resolved next to the binary host and so far revealed planetary-mass objects at orbital separations of 80–1000 au around two young and low-mass M-dwarf binaries (Burgasser et al. 2010; Goldman et al. 2010; Scholz 2010; Delorme et al. 2013).

Transit and eclipse probabilities have strongly decreasing functions with orbital period. Consequently, transiting planet discoveries have been limited to sub-au separations around binaries with periods of a few tens of days at most. In addition, the region immediately outside a binary’s orbit is unstable, which further restricts the available parameter space. Stable orbits start appearing for circumbinary periods greater than ∼4.5 times the binary period (Dvorak 1986; Dvorak, Froeschle & Froeschle 1989; Holman & Wiegert 1999; Pilat-Lohinger, Funk & Dvorak 2003).

Astrometry, therefore, is a complementary technique: its sensitivity improves with lengthening periods making it less affected by the instability region. This implies that a wide range of binary periods can be included in the search. Furthermore, unlike the other techniques, an astrometric survey can reach any binary (eclipsing or not), and allows the calculation of all binary and planetary orbital elements. Particularly, it is not impaired by a particular orbital plane orientation on the sky: true planetary masses can be measured and no transits are required for detection. Its limitations principally come from the distance to the system, the precision on individual measurements, and the timespan of the survey itself.

Results from Gaia will help us understand better the systems discovered by the Kepler satellite, which has provided the largest and best-understood sample of circumbinary planets. A degeneracy exists between the abundance of circumbinary gas giants and the distribution in mutual inclination between the planetary and binary orbital planes. Only a minimum frequency of ∼10 per cent could be inferred from the Kepler systems (Armstrong et al. 2014; Martin & Triaud 2014), corresponding to a coplanar distribution of planets. If circumbinary planets instead exist on a range of mutual inclinations, this abundance increases rapidly, e.g. a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation of 5° corresponds to an abundance of 20 per cent.

The astrometric method can measure the distribution of mutual inclinations, and hence produce a true occurrence rate. Observational evidence points out that circumbinary discs can be partially inclined (Winn et al. 2006; Plavchan et al. 2008, 2013), and that gas giants in circumstellar orbits are regularly found on inclined orbits (Schlaufman 2010; Triaud et al. 2010; Winn et al. 2010; Albrecht et al. 2012). The prevalence of inclined systems would be an important marker of the formation and dynamical evolution of circumbinary planets.

Several massive and long-period planets have been claimed from ETV orbiting post-common envelope binaries (see Beuermann et al. 2012 for a list). It is unclear whether these planets existed during the main sequence or whether they formed as a second generation using matter ejected from their hosts (Schleicher & Dreizler 2014).

The prevalence of inclined systems would be an important marker of the formation and dynamical evolution of circumbinary planets.

Several massive and long-period planets have been claimed from ETV orbiting post-common envelope binaries (see Beuermann et al. 2012 for a list). It is unclear whether these planets existed during the main sequence or whether they formed as a second generation using matter ejected from their hosts (Schleicher & Dreizler 2014).

MNRAS 447, 287–297 (2015)
The relative semimajor axis is related to the component masses and the orbital period through Kepler’s law:

\[ G(M_1 + M_2) = 4\pi^2 \frac{\bar{a}_{rel}^3}{P^2}, \]

where \( G \) is the gravitational constant, \( \bar{a}_{rel} \) is measured in metres, and \( P \) is in seconds. The relation between \( \bar{a}_{rel} \) and \( a_{rel} \) is given by the parallax. These equations define the astrometric signal for the central binary, where \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are the binary masses and \( P \) is the binary period \( P_{bin} \), and of the binary motion caused by the planet, where the central mass is \( M_1 + M_2 \) and the period is the planet period \( P_p \).

We use the astrometric signal-to-noise ratio defined as

\[ S/N = \alpha \sqrt{N_m}/\sigma_m \]

to define the threshold for detecting an orbit with a semimajor axis \( \alpha \) of the photocentre’s orbit. The relationships between orbital parameters, distance, component masses, and signal amplitude can be found, e.g., in Hilditch (2001) and Sahlmann (2012). It was shown that \( S/N \gtrsim 20 \) allows for the robust detection of an astrometric orbit, which includes the determination of all orbital parameters with reasonable uncertainties (Catanzarite et al. 2006; Neveu et al. 2012). More detail on the choice of this threshold is given in Appendix A. Finally, Gaia’s detection capability will be slightly influenced by the actual sampling of the orbital motion and by the orientation distribution of scan angles along which the essentially one-dimensional measurement will be made. Due to the way Gaia scans the sky, typically two to four observations will be grouped within 6–12 h equivalent to one to two revolutions of the spinning satellite. We neglected the influence of sampling and scan orientation for the sake of simplicity and computation speed.

An additional complication concerning detectability of circumbinary planets stems from the presence of three signatures: (a) the parallax and proper motion of the binary, (b) the orbital motion of the binary with amplitude \( \alpha \), and (c) the orbital motion of the binary caused by the planet with amplitude \( a_{rel} \), where \( a_{rel} \) is the barycentric orbit size, because in the vast majority of cases the light contribution by the planet is negligible. These three signals have a total of 19 free parameters and will have to be disentangled.

As we will show, this should not be problematic in the majority of cases, because there are 70 data points on average and the parallax and binary orbital motion are detected at much higher \( S/N \) than the planet signature.

In addition, circumbinary planets are not expected to exist at periods shorter than the stability limit \( P_{bin} \sim 4.5 P_{crit} \) (see Holman & Wiegert 1999 for a more thorough criterion), which results in a natural period separation of binary and planet signals. A simulated example of the orbital photocentre motion of a planet-hosting binary is shown in Fig. B1.

### 3.2 Example 1: Kepler-16

To showcase the principles of circumbinary planet detection with astrometry, we discuss the Kepler-16 system (Doyle et al. 2011). It consists of a 41.1-d binary with component masses of \( M_1 = 0.6897 M_\odot \) and \( M_2 = 0.2026 M_\odot \), orbited by a planet with mass \( M_p = 0.333 M_\oplus \) in a 228.8-d orbit. The Kepler magnitude of the system is 11.7 and its distance was estimated at \( \sim 61 \) pc.

Figure 1. Simplified estimate of the Gaia single-measurement precision as a function of magnitude, following de Bruijne (2014).

---

1 http://gaia.esac.esa.int/tomcat_gost/gost/index.jsp

2 See also http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/science-performance.
Figure 2. Estimated detection limits for planets around Kepler-16 using Gaia astrometry. The system is set to distances of 61, 25, 10, and 4 pc and planets above the respective curves would be detected. The vertical dashed line is located at the stability limit $P_{\text{crit}} \sim 4.5 P_{\text{bin}}$, i.e. planets are thought to be restricted to the right of this line. The circle marks the location of the planet Kepler-16b, whose orbital signature would be detectable only if Kepler-16 were located at a distance of 4 pc.

3.2.1 Astrometric signature of the binary

The astrometric semimajor axis of the primary is $a_{\text{bin},1} = 834 \mu$as and the relative semimajor axis is $a_{\text{bin}} = 3.7 \mu$as. Thus, the components are not resolved by Gaia. Using the binary flux ratio of 0.0155 measured by Kepler, we find that the photocentric semimajor axis is $a = 778 \mu$as. Over five years, Gaia will observe Kepler-16 about 89 times, where we included a $-10$ per cent margin to account for dead time that the observation time predictor does not incorporate, with an uncertainty of $\sim 30 \mu$as. The binary’s astrometric motion in Kepler-16 will therefore be detected by Gaia astrometry with S/N $\simeq 240$.

3.2.2 Astrometric signature of the planet

The planet’s gravitational pull will displace the system’s barycentre with a semimajor axis of $a_{\text{p},1} = 4.1 \mu$as. As shown in Fig. 2, this is too small to be detected by Gaia at a distance of 61 pc. Yet, Fig. 2 illustrates the discovery potential of Gaia for circumbinary planets. If a Kepler-16-like binary were located at 10 pc, Gaia would detect all orbiting planets more massive than 1 $M_j$ in orbits longer than $P_{\text{crit}} \sim 188$ d.

At the actual distance, Gaia will be able to place a constraint on the existence of a second planet in the Kepler-16 system with a mass larger than two to five times the mass of Jupiter depending on its period.

3.3 Example 2: nearby spectroscopic binaries

We examined the sample of 89 spectroscopic binaries studied by Halbwachs et al. (2003). An analysis of the Kepler results shows a tendency for circumbinary planets to exist in orbits near the inner stability limit, with an overdensity at $\sim 6 P_{\text{bin}}$ (Orosz et al. 2012b; Martin & Triaud 2014). We selected a subsample only including the 38 binaries that have periods in the range 10 d $< P_{\text{bin}} < 304$ d ($\sim 5/6$ yr), to ensure that a putative planet would complete a revolution around its binary host within the nominal five-year Gaia mission.

Distances to these systems were retrieved from the Hipparcos catalogue (Lindgren et al. 1997; Perryman et al. 1997) or from van Altena, Lee & Hoffleit (1995, GJ 92.1, GJ 423 A, GJ 433.2 B, GJ 615.2 A) and Crissman (1957, GJ 1064 B). The distances to objects in the Pleiades and Praesepe were assumed constant at 120 pc.

Figure 3. Panel (a): estimated detection limits for planets around 38 spectroscopic binaries having 10 d $< P_{\text{bin}} < 304$ d. The display is similar to Fig. 2. Red and blue curves correspond to binaries in Praesepe (nine objects) and the Pleiades (six objects), respectively. The black curves correspond to the 23 field binaries, which are at distances $< 52$ pc. All curves terminate at the binary period, and appear as dotted lines for $P_P < 4.5 P_{\text{bin}}$. Dots mark the location of hypothetical planets with $P_P = 6 P_{\text{bin}}$. Panels (b) and (c) show cumulative histograms of these planets.

(e.g. Palmer et al. 2014) and 182 pc (e.g. Boudreault et al. 2012), respectively. Orbital parameters, primary masses, and mass ratios were taken from Halbwachs et al. (2003). When only the minimum mass ratio $q_{\text{min}}$ was known, we used an estimate of $q = 1.15 q_{\text{min}}$, which corresponds to the median correction in a sample of randomly oriented orbits (see, e.g. Sahlmann 2012). When only the maximum mass ratio $q_{\text{max}}$ was known, we assumed $q = q_{\text{max}}$.

Fig. 3 shows the planet detection limits for these systems. For about half of the field binaries, Gaia could detect Jupiter-mass planets (and lighter) with orbital periods close to the nominal mission lifetime. For five binaries, Gaia could detect sub-Jupiter-mass planets with $P_P = 6 P_{\text{bin}}$, which corresponds to the currently observed pile-up of Kepler circumbinary planets.

We performed these calculations with the average number of $N_t = 70$ measurements (grey curve in Fig. 3c) and with a more accurate estimate obtained from the observation time predictor (black curve in Fig. 3c), again accounting for a 10 per cent margin. Both cases lead essentially to the same conclusion.

The 23 field binaries are located closer than 52 pc, meaning that their parallaxes will be detected with S/N $\gtrsim 5500$. 18 of those have relative separations smaller than 30 mas, i.e. will hardly be resolved by Gaia and their binary photocentric motions will be detected with S/N $\approx 70$–1900. Importantly, if a planet is detected orbiting any of these binaries, the 3-d mutual inclination between the binary and planetary orbital planes can be calculated with an accuracy of $\sim 10^\circ$, similar to what is achieved for the spin–orbit obliquity by observing the Rossiter–McLaughlin effect of single stars (e.g. Lendl et al. 2014).

For the binaries in clusters, which are at larger distances, Gaia will be able to detect the most massive circumbinary planets in the
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3 The short side of one of Gaia’s rectangular pixels measures $\sim 59$ mas on the sky and corresponds to approximately 1 FWHM of the short-wavelength point spread function.

4 Here, obliquity denotes the projected spin–orbit angle.
range of $5-30M_J$ and with orbital periods shorter than the mission lifetime.

This shows that Gaia will set constraints on the presence of planets around many nearby known binaries. Since Gaia is an all-sky survey, many nearby binaries are expected to be newly discovered. This will result in a large sample of binaries and renders the detection of circumbinary planets with Gaia astrometry very likely.

4 ESTIMATION OF GAIA'S CIRCUMBINARY PLANET DISCOVERY YIELD WITHIN 200 PC

To estimate the number of circumbinary planets Gaia may detect, we simulated the population of binary stars with FGK-dwarf primaries in the solar neighbourhood. We set the horizon at 200 pc distance to be able to extrapolate the 'locally' measured properties with high confidence. The principal uncertainty originates in the true occurrence and distribution of circumbinary planets, which are unknown. We extrapolated the planet properties from their better known population around single FGK dwarfs. This approach is analogous to the work of Martin & Triaud (2014), yet adapted to the specificities of astrometric planet detection.

4.1 Binary sample

Our synthetic binary sample is constructed from a combination of the results from Kepler and from radial-velocity surveys, using a methodology similar to Martin & Triaud (2014) that we remind briefly here. We first select stars in the Kepler target catalogue with Data Availability Flag $= 2$, meaning that the star has been logged and observed, and with measured effective temperatures, surface gravities, and metallicities. We then use a relation from Torres, Andersen & Giménez (2010) to estimate masses for each star. The list is cut to only include stars with masses between 0.6 and 1.3 $M_{\odot}$, so that it coincides with the Halbwachs survey.

These stars are made the primary stars in binaries constructed using the results from radial-velocity surveys. The secondary masses come from the mass ratio distribution in Halbwachs et al. (2003). The binary periods are drawn from the lognormal distribution calculated in Duquennoy & Mayor (1991), and restricted to be within 1 d and 10 yr, to correspond to the Halbwachs survey. The inclination of the binary on the sky $i_{\text{bin}}$ was randomized according to a uniform distribution in $\cos i_{\text{bin}}$. We compute absolute $V$ magnitude from stellar masses with the relationships of Henry & McCarthy (1993) and obtain $V-I$ colours on the main sequence from Cox (2000). The absolute $G$ magnitude is obtained as in Jordi et al. (2010) and de Bruijne (2014). Given a distance, we can obtain all relevant parameters of a binary system, in particular the systems apparent magnitude, the relative orbit size, and the semimajor axis $a$ of the photocentric binary orbit.

4.2 Simulated binaries in the solar neighbourhood

We assumed a constant space density of FGK dwarfs within a 200 pc sphere around the Sun on the basis of the RECONS number count (Henry et al. 2006; Lindegren et al. 1997; Perryman et al. 1997). There are 70 FGK dwarfs within 10 pc of the Sun, which corresponds to a density of $0.0167$ pc$^{-3}$. The fraction of main-sequence stars in multiple systems with periods $<10$ yr was determined to $13.5^{+1.8}_{-1.5}$ (Halbwachs et al. 2003), which is the rate we used.

4.3 Simulated giant circumbinary planets

We assumed a constant rate of giant planet occurrence around binary stars of 10 per cent and we supposed that every planet is located at the stability limit pile-up of $6M_J$ with one planet per binary star. To draw planet masses, we synthesized a mass-dependent relative abundance of giant planets in the range of $0.31-30M_J$ from the results of radial-velocity surveys (Segransan et al. 2010; Mayor et al. 2011; Sahlmann et al. 2011b), see Fig. 4. Our simulations are thus strictly limited to giant planets more massive than Saturn. For every simulated binary hosting a planet, the planet mass is determined via the probability density function $f_{p,\text{mass}}$ defined by the normalized version of this distribution. We discuss the effects of altering these assumptions in Section 4.5.

4.4 The population of detectable planets

We set up our simulation to process a sequence of spherical shells. For every shell, we obtain a set of synthesized binary systems and we discard binaries with periods longer than 304 d, because we impose that planets can only be detected if one complete orbital period is observed. The remaining binaries are conservatively set to the distance of the outer shell limit and are assigned planets according to the 10 per cent occurrence rate.

For every binary with a planet, we compute the astrometric detection mass-limit $M_{p,\text{det}}$ for a planet orbiting at the pile-up period $P_p = 6P_{\text{bin}}$. The mass detection-limit takes into account the total mass of the binary, its combined $G$ magnitude and the corresponding single-measurement precision, and the requirement of $S/N > 20$, where we used $N_{\text{bin}} = 70$. The probability $r_{\text{det}}$ of detecting this planet corresponds to the integral of $f_{p,\text{mass}}$ over masses larger than the detection limit $M_{p,\text{det}}$.

6 Placing all planets at the pile-up period results in a lower limit on the detectability, because planets further out induce a larger astrometric signal, thus are easier to detected as long as their period is covered by the measurement timespan.
we obtain the mean probability $f_{\text{det}}$ of a planet detection in every shell. The number of detected circumbinary planets is obtained from $N_{\text{CBP}} = N_p f_{\text{det}}$, where the meaning of $N_p$ and other variables is summarized in Table 1.

The simulation results are summarized in Table 2. Figs 5 and 6 show the histograms of masses and periods of detected planets and Figs B2 and B3 show their cumulative versions.

In this simulation, we find that Gaia will discover 516 planets orbiting binary stars within 200 pc. Within spheres of $\leq 50$ pc, $\leq 100$ pc, and $\leq 150$ pc around the Sun, we predict 25, 124, and 297 planet detections, respectively. Before discussing these absolute numbers, we can observe the characteristics of the planet population. The probability $f_{\text{det}}$ of detecting a planet decreases with the distance of the shell. This is because the sky-projected astrometric orbit size becomes smaller, hence the minimum detectable planet mass increases. Yet, the number of detected planets increases with distance, because the number of binaries increases as distance to the third power. Most planets are found at long periods, because the astrometric signal increases with orbital period. Finally, we see that the large majority of detected planets have masses $\gtrsim 5 M_J$. Although these are less frequent around binaries (Fig. 4), they can be detected out to large distances and thus around many more binary stars.

Table 2 also lists two parameters related to the binaries themselves. Complications in the photocentre measurement process can arise when binaries are visually resolved in the Gaia focal plane. We assume that this can be the case if the projected separation of the binary components is larger than 30 mas and their magnitude difference $\Delta G$ is smaller than two magnitudes. The rate of such binaries is indicated by the $r_{\text{res}}$ parameter and is smaller than 10 and 1 per cent for binaries beyond 20 and 40 pc, respectively. Therefore, resolved binaries will have to be considered only for very few, very nearby circumbinary discoveries.

The other binary parameter $r_{S/N}$ represents the rate of binaries whose photocentric motions are detected with $S/N > 100$. This rate reaches unity for the most nearby systems and decreases slowly to 0.5 at 200 pc. This means that most of the binary motions will be detected with $S/N > 100$. In addition, the measurement timespan covers at least six orbital periods, thus the accurate determination of the binary parameters is almost guaranteed. The parallax of most FGK-dwarf binaries within 200 pc will be measured with $S/N \gtrsim 1400$. This validates what we claimed in Section 3.1: since the two dominant signals, the parallax and the binary orbit, will be detected with very high $S/N$, it will be generally possible to disentangle the planetary orbit at $S/N > 20$, even with uneven time sampling and 19 free parameters constrained by 70 independent measurements.

![Figure 5. Mass histogram of detectable circumbinary planets. The four curves correspond to planets detected within spheres of $\leq 50$ pc (25 planets), $\leq 100$ pc (124 planets), $\leq 150$ pc (297 planets), and $\leq 200$ pc (516 planets).](image-url)
4.5 Revisiting our assumptions

We quantify the effect of altering our initial assumptions by repeating the simulation and changing the main parameters one by one.

(i) The density of FGK dwarfs, the binary rate, and the circumbinary planet rate are proportional factors in the sense that changing them will influence the number of detected planets directly. If the planet rate is only 5 per cent, the number of detected planets is reduced to 258, i.e. half of the initial count.

(ii) Period of the planet: it is unlikely that all planets orbit with periods of 6 \( P_{\text{bin}} \) and we therefore repeated simulations with values of 8 \( P_{\text{bin}} \) and 10 \( P_{\text{bin}} \), yielding 479 (93 per cent) and 454 (88 per cent) detections, respectively. The decrease is attributable to the smaller number of accessible binaries when restricting their period range due to the requirement of covering one planet period with \( \text{Gaia} \) data.

(iii) Single-measurement precision and number of measurements: both parameters influence the detection criterion in S/N. We found that degrading the precision by 20 per cent yields 422 (82 per cent) planets and assuming a smaller number of 60 measurements over five years results in 474 (92 per cent) planet detections.

(iv) S/N threshold: as shown in Fig. A1, some orbital signals can be detected with S/N = 15. Lowering our detection threshold to this value results in 689 planets (134 per cent), whereas increasing it to S/N > 30 yields 317 planets (62 per cent). This parameter will determine the false positive rate of the planet discovery.

(v) The planet mass distribution is the most sensitive parameter in our simulation. We have initially assumed that the mass distribution is the same for giant planets around single stars and around binaries. If circumbinary giant planets do not exceed masses of 2\( M_J \), we predict that \( \text{Gaia} \) will discover only four of them (0.807 per cent). Such planets can be discovered only around the most nearby binaries, which are few in number.

This sensitivity to planet mass means that \( \text{Gaia} \) will disclose the mass distribution of giant planets around binaries, thus making new insights into planet formation processes possible.

(vi) \( \text{Gaia} \) mission duration: our simulations assumed the nominal mission duration of five years. In the hypothetical case that the mission could be extended to a lifetime of 10 years, the number of circumbinary planets increases by a factor of \( \sim 2.5 \)–1249. The higher than proportional gain is caused by three factors: (1) the higher number of measurements leads to improved detection limits; (2) a longer mission allows us to detect planets of longer orbital period, i.e. the number of accessible binary stars is also larger; and (3) a longer mission allows us to detect planets of lower mass, because the astrometric signal increases with orbital period.

(vii) Incomplete orbit coverage: our detection criterion required full coverage of the planet period during the mission. The number of detections is thus conservative, because \( \text{Gaia} \) will also detect some planets with incomplete orbit coverage (\( \geq 50 \) per cent) from curvature in the binary fit residuals.

(viii) Multiplanet systems: we assumed one single planet per binary, which neglected multiplanet systems like Kepler-47 (Orosz et al. 2012a). The presence of additional bodies in a system may complicate the data analysis if their signatures are comparable to the measurement precision. Like around single stars, we expect that circumbinary giant planets are rarely found in tightly packed systems. Because the period of a second planet will then be much longer than the simulated inner planet period \( 6 P_{\text{bin}} \), we expect that such systems may reveal themselves through an additional long-term drift.

In summary, we find that the \( \text{Gaia} \) circumbinary planet yield in terms of the order of magnitude of discoveries is relatively insensitive to the assumed parameters, except for the high-mass tail of the planet mass distribution. Assuming that very massive giant planets (2–30\( M_J \)) exist around binaries as they do around single stars, the number of \( \text{Gaia} \) discoveries will be in the range of 200–600. This is one order of magnitude higher than the number of currently known circumbinary planets.

5 DISCUSSION

It is clear that the sheer number of predicted planets around FGK-dwarf binaries discovered by the \( \text{Gaia} \) survey is the main result of this study. Yet, there are some considerations that further emphasize the importance of this work.

5.1 Mutual inclinations

Since most of the binaries studied here will have their orbital inclinations measured to better than \( \sim 1^\circ \)–5\(^\circ\), the uncertainty on the mutual inclination will be dominated by the planetary orbit determination (cf. the discussion in Appendix A and Fig. A2). On average, we expect the latter to be uncertain at the \( 10^\circ \) level.

We will then have a sample of potentially hundreds of circumbinary planets with measured mutual orbit inclinations, leading to insights into their formation history and dynamical evolution.

5.2 Combination with auxiliary data

At distances larger than \( \sim 100 \) pc, the determination of binary orbital parameters can be enhanced by complementing the \( \text{Gaia} \) astrometry with radial-velocity data, either from the onboard radial-velocity spectrometer or from independent observations. Radial-velocity measurements with km s\(^{-1}\) precision that are quasi-independent of distance within 200 pc help detect the astrometric binary orbit and leave more degrees of freedom for the detection of the circumbinary planet orbit.
For specific systems, ground-based high-resolution spectrographs, built for the detection of exoplanets, will complement unclosed orbits and may reveal the precession of planetary orbits inclined with respect to the binary plane through follow-up observations. Those will refine measurements on the mutual inclination.

5.3 Direct detection of circumbinary planets

Our detection criterion imposed that the planet’s orbital period is fully covered by the Gaia measurement timespan. Consequently, the projected relative separation between a binary and its planet is very small, typically <25 mas. In our simulation, there is only one planet with a relative separation >100 mas (assuming a 10-year mission, we predict six planets with separation >100 mas). Therefore, most circumbinary planets whose orbits are characterized by Gaia will lie beyond the capabilities of present and upcoming instruments.

However, Gaia will also detect nearby binary stars that exhibit non-linear deviations from proper motion, which can be indicative of an orbiting planet. These are excellent targets for follow-up studies in particular those aiming at direct detection. Because the planets discovered by non-linear motion are at larger separation from their host star, they can be directly detected with coronagraphic or interferometric instruments on the ground, e.g. GPI (Macintosh et al. 2014) and SPHERE (Beuzit et al. 2006), or in space, e.g. JWST/NIRISS (Artigau et al. 2014).

5.4 Planets around non-FGK binaries

We considered FGK binaries in our study because the planet population around FGK dwarfs is the best studied so far. Yet, we expect that Gaia will discover planets around other types of binaries on and off the main sequence. Although the binary fraction drops as primary mass decreases (Raghavan et al. 2010), since M dwarfs are 3.5 times more abundant than FGK dwarfs in the solar neighbourhood, there will be plenty of M dwarf binaries amenable for planet search with Gaia. On the hotter side, A star binaries could be searched for planets too, with the particular interest of detecting them at younger ages, which is important for an eventual detection using direct imaging.

A large sample of circumbinary planets will open a meaningful study of the effect of stellar mass on the presence of planets. Gaia is not as sensitive to adverse effects associated with a star’s spectral type, e.g. photometric and spectroscopic variability or the availability of spectral lines, as the other detection methods. This means it will explore a wider mass range than the radial-velocity and transit techniques. In addition, the binary nature of the host can become a big help as well: protoplanetary disc masses scale with the central mass, be it a single or binary star (Andrews et al. 2013), and heavier discs are expected to produce more gas giants (Mordasini et al. 2012). A G dwarf + G dwarf binary, for instance, has a disc mass equivalent to a single A star.

Furthermore, Gaia will contribute to the study of planets affected by the natural evolution of binaries across the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram, from pre-main sequence to stellar remnants. There are several claims of circumbinary planet detection around eclipsing binaries and post-common envelope binaries using measurements of ETV. We list the systems with available distance estimation in Table B1 and computed approximate astrometric parameters for the proposed planetary solutions and the Gaia observations. All planet periods are longer than the five-year nominal Gaia mission with fractional orbit coverages of $r_{\text{orb}} = 0.09–0.66$. The probability of detecting the planet signal depends on $S/N$ and $r_{\text{orb}}$. The best candidate for validation with Gaia is QS Vir (Qian et al. 2010a) that has a large predicted signal amplitude of 443 μas ($S/N = 44$) and an orbital period that is almost covered by Gaia measurements ($r_{\text{orb}} = 0.63$).

Finally, there is no expected limitation of Gaia’s planet detection capability caused by stellar activity (Eriksson & Lindegren 2007). For a similar total mass, Gaia’s main constraint is the distance and apparent magnitude of a system. Thanks to this, it will be possible to detect planets from the pre-main sequence to stellar remnants and through the red giant phase, thereby quantifying the influence of stellar evolution on the abundance, architecture, and mass distribution of planetary systems. This way, Gaia will confront theories about the consequences of mass-loss and about the existence and efficiency of an eventual second epoch of planet formation.

5.5 Circumbinary brown dwarfs

Gaia’s sensitivity to circumbinary companions increases rapidly with the companion mass. Brown dwarfs in orbits with periods not much longer than the mission lifetime around nearby binaries will therefore be efficiently discovered and characterized by Gaia. Again, this will provide a critical test for the comparison with brown dwarf companions within 10 au of single stars (Sahlmann et al. 2011a), in particular the distribution of masses and orbital parameters.

6 CONCLUSION

Starting from empirical assumptions about the population of binary stars and of gas giants, we built a model of the circumbinary planet population in a volume of 200 pc. The precision of individual astrometric measurements by Gaia and the length of the survey suggest that of the order of 500 circumbinary gas giants could be detected. The vast majority, however, will have masses in excess of five times that of Jupiter. If the lack of planets more massive than Jupiter in the Kepler results reflects an intrinsic property of the circumbinary planet population, Gaia will produce a stringent null result that can enter planet formation models. In reverse, if those planets do exist, by the sheer number that will be produced, we will obtain the opportunity to study circumbinary planet properties and abundances in detail, not least to study how those are influenced by the evolution of their hosts.

The aptitude to deliver mutual inclinations between the binary and planetary orbital planes will further our understanding of dynamical processes happening post-planet-formation, completing the information collected on hot Jupiters thanks to the Rossiter–McLaughlin effect, to long-period planets, and a wide range of stellar properties. According to the mission schedule, the first circumbinary planet discoveries of Gaia can be expected in the fourth data release, i.e. not before 2018.

As a final remark, we note that the 10 per cent rate of circumbinary planets that we used is a conservative number. About 20–30 per cent of the Kepler binaries are in fact fairly tight triple systems (Gies et al. 2012; Rappaport et al. 2013; Conroy et al. 2014), which is compatible with what is found in the 100 pc solar neighbourhood.

---

7 A precessing planet is not following a Keplerian orbit, and hence fitting Kepleras to a radial-velocity curve will fail if data with sufficient precision and timespan are available.

8 These arguments are applicable to single stars as well, although they have not been explored in the literature.
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APPENDIX A: ON THE CHOICE OF DETECTION THRESHOLD

The three principal parameters that define the probability of astrometric orbit detection are the photocentric orbit size $\alpha$, the single-measurement uncertainty $\sigma$, and the number of measurements $N$. Several studies define a detection criterion on the basis of a $\chi^2$ test in a simulation that includes the generation of synthetic observation (Casertano et al. 2008; Sozzetti et al. 2014), which in practice translates into $\alpha/\sigma > 3$ for detected systems.

We instead apply a detection criterion on the basis of $S/N = \alpha/\sqrt{N/\sigma^2} > 20$ for orbits with periods shorter than the measurement timespan. In all these considerations, we neglect the potential effects of extreme eccentricities, which can reduce the astrometric signature by a factor $1 - e^2$ in the worst case, and we assume a sufficient amount of degrees of freedom to solve the problem, i.e. about twice as many data points as free model parameters.

Setting a detection threshold always involves a trade-off between detectability, false-alarm probability, and resulting parameter uncertainties. We tried to quantify the implications of our detection criterion $S/N > 20$ by inspecting the binary detections with Hipparcos data, which are analogous to exoplanet and binary detections with Gaia. We used three catalogues, the original Hipparcos double and multiple catalogue (Lindgren et al. 1997; Perryman et al. 1997) and the two binary catalogues of Goldin & Makarov (2006) and Goldin & Makarov (2007). For every binary with period $<1500$ d, we computed the $S/N$ and the result is shown in Fig. A1.

Only 11 per cent of the Lindgren et al. (1997) and Perryman et al. (1997) binaries were found with $S/N < 20$. Using more sophisticated methods, Goldin & Makarov (2006) and Goldin & Makarov (2007) could increase this fraction to 18 and 44 per cent for their respective samples of Hipparcos stars with 'stochastic' astrometric solutions.

A figure of merit of particular interest for circumbinary planets is the uncertainty with which the orbital inclination can be determined. Fig. A2 shows the inclination uncertainty $\sigma_i$ for the binary solutions in the literature. We see that for $S/N > 20$, the inclination is typically determined to better than $10^\circ$. For $S/N$ larger than 100, the inclination uncertainty drops to a few degrees.

We conclude that a threshold of $S/N > 20$ leaves a safe margin in terms of false-alarm probability and provides us with an inclination uncertainty of $\lesssim 10^\circ$. It also has the advantage of being easy to implement and fast to compute.

APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure A1. Cumulative histogram of $S/N$ for Hipparcos binary solutions published by Lindgren et al. (1997), Perryman et al. (1997), Goldin & Makarov (2006) and Goldin & Makarov (2007). The number of binaries is given in the legend.

Figure A2. Uncertainty in the orbit’s inclination as a function of $S/N$.

Figure B1. Example of the photocentre motion for a circumbinary planet detected with $S/N = 20$ taken from our simulation. For simplicity, we show a face-on and coplanar configuration, where parallax and proper motion have been removed. The $0.96 + 0.94 M_\odot$ binary with an orbital period of $40$ d at $50$ pc distance has a photocentric amplitude of $\alpha = 145 \mu$as (dashed line). The planet with a mass of $7.9 M_J$ induces orbital motion with $73 \mu$as amplitude and $240$ d period (dotted line). The combined photocentre motion about the centre of mass (‘x’) is shown as a solid curve. The bar in the lower-left corner indicates the single-measurement uncertainty of $31 \mu$as.
**Table B1.** Circumbinary planet candidates discovered by eclipse timing that have a distance measurement or estimate. The reference codes are (1) Deeg et al. (2008); (2) Beuermann et al. (2010); (3) Qian et al. (2010b); (4) Beuermann et al. (2012); (5) Qian et al. (2010a); (6) Qian et al. (2012).

| Name     | $M_{\text{Bin}}$ ($M_\odot$) | $V$ (mag) | $d$ (pc) | $P_p$ (d) | $M_p$ ($M_\text{J}$) | $\sigma_t$ (µas) | $a_{1,p}$ (µas) | $S/N$ | $r_{\text{orb}}$ | Ref. |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------|------------------|------|
| CM Dra   | 0.44                          | 12.9      | 16       | 6757      | 1.5                 | 43               | 1085            | 212.0 | 0.27             | 1    |
| NN Ser   | 0.65                          | 16.6      | 500      | 2776      | 1.7                 | 231              | 17              | 0.6   | 0.66             | 2    |
| NN Ser   | 0.65                          | 16.6      | 500      | 5661      | 7.0                 | 231              | 110             | 4.0   | 0.32             | 2    |
| DP Leo   | 0.69                          | 17.5      | 400      | 8693      | 6.3                 | 356              | 158             | 3.7   | 0.21             | 3    |
| HW Vir   | 0.63                          | 10.9      | 180      | 4639      | 14.3                | 30               | 554             | 153.3 | 0.39             | 4    |
| HW Vir   | 0.63                          | 10.9      | 180      | 20 089    | 65.0                | 30               | 6372            | 17.63 | 0.09             | 4    |
| QS Vir   | 1.21                          | 14.4      | 48       | 2885      | 6.4                 | 84               | 443             | 44.3  | 0.63             | 5    |
| NY Vir   | 0.6                           | 13.3      | 710      | 2885      | 2.3                 | 51               | 17              | 2.8   | 0.63             | 6    |
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