Molybdenum Isotope Constraints on the Origin of Vanadium Hyper-Enrichments in Ediacaran–Phanerozoic Marine Mudrocks
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Abstract: Vanadium is an important redox-sensitive trace metal for paleoenvironmental reconstructions. Modern organic-rich sediments persistently contain sediment V enrichments <500 µg/g, but many ancient marine organic-rich mudrocks record enrichments >500 µg/g. Previous studies propose that ancient V enrichments of these magnitudes (“V hyper-enrichments”) were deposited from hyper-sulfidic bottom-waters with higher H₂S levels (≥10 mM) than observed in modern euxinic basins. To test the importance of hyper-sulfidic conditions for generating V hyper-enrichments, we compare V concentrations with Mo isotope (δ⁹⁸Mo) compositions from mudrock samples ranging in age from Ediacaran to Pleistocene. In the modern ocean, sediments deposited from strongly euxinic bottom waters ([H₂S]ₐq > 11 µM) closely record global seawater δ⁹⁸Mo because conversion of molybdate to tri- and tetra-thiomolybdate is quantitative. By contrast, large Mo isotope fractionations occur during Mo adsorption to Fe-Mn particulates or because of incomplete formation of the most sulfidic thiomolybdates in weakly euxinic settings ([H₂S]ₐq < 11 µM), which both favor removal of lighter-mass Mo isotopes to sediments. We find multiple examples when mudrocks with V hyper-enrichments are associated with a wide range of δ⁹⁸Mo for a single time interval, including values at or below oceanic input δ⁹⁸Mo (0.3–0.7‰). This observation suggests significant isotopic offset from reasonable seawater values (typically ≥1.0‰). Thus, we conclude that hyper-sulfidic conditions were not responsible for many V hyper-enrichments in Ediacaran–Phanerozoic mudrocks. Instead, sediment V hyper-enrichments can be explained by high Fe-Mn particulate fluxes to weakly euxinic sediments or by moderately restricted euxinic settings with strongly euxinic ([H₂S]ₐq > 11 µM but not necessarily > 10 mM) or weakly euxinic (with slow clastic sedimentation rates and high organic carbon fluxes) bottom waters where vigorous water exchange provides a continuous V supply from the open ocean.
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1. Introduction

Redox-sensitive trace metals in organic-rich mudrocks (ORM) are commonly used to infer ancient marine physicochemical conditions. Semi-quantitative trace metal proxies for oxygen (O₂) and hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) levels in ancient bottom waters are well-defined based on modern basin analogs. Such proxies include redox-sensitive metal concentrations (e.g., Mo, Re; [1,2]), sedimentary Fe speciation (e.g., Fe₅₆Fe₅₇, Fe₉₀Fe₅₇; [3,4]), elemental ratios (e.g., Fe/Al, Re/Mo, Mo/U; [2,3,5,6]) and stable isotope compositions (e.g., δ⁹⁸Mo, δ²³⁸U; [7,8]). Vanadium is a redox-sensitive trace metal, which has garnered utility as a paleoredox proxy for its potential to identify sulfidic anoxia.
(euxinia) in ancient ORMs. A recent study invoked the utility of vanadium as an indicator of hyper-sulfidic conditions (H₂S ≥ 10 mM) when “hyper-enriched” in ORM (V > 500 μg/g) based on the absence of V hyper-enrichments in the most strongly euxinic modern basin—the Framvaren Fjord [9]. Because V hyper-enrichments are not observed in any known modern marine sediments, constraints on environmental conditions during deposition of ancient organic-rich sediments with V hyper-enrichments cannot be made directly following uniformitarian assumptions. Hence, further evidence for the mechanism(s) of V hyper-enrichment is needed. An alternative method for determining V enrichment processes can be attempted by comparing V concentration data with well-constrained redox proxies having similar hypothesized depositional controls—such as the Mo-isotope system—on the same sample set.

2. Background Information

2.1. Vanadium

Vanadium is an abundant trace metal in the upper continental crust (V_UCC = 107 μg/g; [10]) and oxic post-Archean Australian shales (V_PAAS = 150 μg/g; [11]), and thus there is a large detrital contribution of V from rivers into the global oceans. The average V concentration in modern oxygenated seawater is ~35–40 nM [12,13], which is regulated by dissolved fluxes from rivers and oxic marine sediments (~62 × 10⁹ g/yr; [13]) into hydrothermal deposits and anoxic organic-rich sediments (~53 × 10⁹ g/yr; [13]). The modern residence time of V in seawater is an estimated 42 to 130 kyr [13–15], which is longer than the average ocean mixing time of 1050 years [16]. In seawater, V is present in one of three oxidation states: V⁵⁺ as soluble vanadate oxyanions HVO₄²⁻ or H₂VO₄⁻, V⁴⁺ as soluble vanadyl ions VO₂²⁺ or VO(OH)₃⁻, or insoluble VO(OH)$_2$ and V⁴⁺ as solid phase V₂O₅ or V(OH)$_3$ [12,17]. Oxic waters (O₂ > 2 mL/L; [18]) host the most oxidized vanadate phases, and moderate decreases in O₂ levels and pH result in increased vanadyl concentrations [17]. Complete reduction to V⁴⁺ is thought to occur in anoxic waters (O₂ = 0 mL/L; [18]) in the presence of H₂S (euxinia), however, the reaction pathway remains uncertain [17,19].

Removal of V from the water column occurs via two principal mechanisms: particulate adsorption and ionic substitution. Vanadate is favored in oxic bottom waters and sediments, and is associated with Fe(III)/Al/Mn(IV)-(hydr)oxide particulates (especially Fe-oxides) onto which it can strongly adsorb over a wide pH range (4 to 10) [17]. When adsorption occurs in fully oxygenated environments, accumulation of (hydr)oxide particulates at the sediment–water interface act as a sink for V⁵⁺ if sediments remain well-oxygenated during burial [20]. However, in less-oxygenated settings, dissolution of (hydr)oxide particulates occurs upon encountering reducing conditions, releasing the adsorbed vanadate to the surrounding bottom or pore waters where it can be reduced to vanadyl (acidic conditions) or V⁴⁺ (euxinic water columns or sulfidic pore waters). Like vanadate, the vanadyl oxocation VO₂²⁺ has a strong affinity for surface adsorption to solid particulates, including inorganic oxide phases (e.g., Al₂O₃ and TiO₂; [21]) and organic molecules (e.g., humic, fulvic and carboxylic acids; [17,22]). Scavenging of vanadyl onto particulate surfaces occurs under suboxidized to weakly anoxic conditions (O₂ < 2 mL/L; [18,23]) and at lower pH (<5) than vanadate [21]. If particulates are deposited at a sediment–water interface with these conditions, vanadyl is retained on the solid surfaces. Under strongly reducing conditions when V⁴⁺ is thermodynamically favored, the removal mechanism shifts from surface adsorption to ionic substitution. V⁴⁺ can form solid solution series with Fe(III) in oxides (e.g., goethite, hematite; [24,25]), or Al in clays [22]. Such processes are observed near hydrothermal vents, including mid-ocean ridges and submarine volcanoes, where rapid scavenging of V onto abundant hydrothermal Fe-oxides occurs [15,20]. Direct precipitation of solid V⁴⁺ (hydr)oxides from the water column is possible, but unlikely in natural waters [17]. Additionally, while many redox-sensitive trace metals are similarly reduced in euxinic environments (e.g., Mo, Ni), and can form metal-sulfide phases, V interaction with H₂S does not result in the production of V-sulfides or V-bearing Fe-sulfides [26].
Redox-stratified basins (oxygenated shallower waters and anoxic deeper waters) with active Fe- and/or Mn-(hydr)oxide cycling may play an exceptional role in producing sediments highly enriched with V \[27,28\]. Cycling begins with vanadate adsorption to Fe- or Mn-(hydr)oxides in oxic surface waters, followed by desorption as the particulates sink through the water column into anoxic or euxinic bottom waters where they dissolve. If the chemocline in the water column is sufficiently deep, then kinetic constraints allow the particulates to reach the anoxic or euxinic seafloor, and subsequent desorption and reduction of V(V) delivered by particulates facilitates the formation of organo-vanadyl complexes or authigenic V(III) phases [6]. By contrast, if the chemocline is high in the water column because of more strongly euxinic conditions, then the Fe-Mn (hydr)oxide particulates do not reach the seafloor, and hence, organo-vanadyl complexes and V(III) phases are derived directly from sulfidic waters and sinking organic matter.

2.2. Molybdenum

Molybdenum is a redox sensitive trace metal in modern seawater that is generally enriched in sediments under similar environmental conditions necessary for V enrichment. Molybdenum concentrations in the upper continental crust and post-Archean Australian shales are similar (1.5 µg/g and 1 µg/g, respectively; \[10,11\]) and the dissolved seawater Mo concentration is the highest of any redox-sensitive trace metal (105 nM; \[12\]). This enrichment of dissolved seawater Mo versus crustal content (seawater-to-crust ratio of ~7 on a weight-basis) means that detrital Mo is a minor proportion of the anoxic/euxinic marine sediment Mo budget. By contrast, V has a relatively low seawater-to-crust ratio of ~0.02 by weight and thus detrital V represents a larger proportion of the anoxic/euxinic sediment V budget.

The redox properties of Mo differ from those of V in that not all reducing environments produce reduced Mo species. In oxygenated and weakly anoxic (non-sulfidic) waters, molybdate (Mo(VI)O₄²⁻) is the most abundant species and readily adsorbs to Mn-particulates, however, the adsorption affinity of Mo is slightly weaker than for V [27]. In euxinic seawater, thiolation of molybdate to form mono-, di-, tri- and tetra-thiomolybdate species occurs via a four-step reaction, as shown by Equation (1) [29].

\[
\text{MoO}_x\text{S}^{2-}_{4-x} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \leftrightarrow \text{MoO}_{x-1}\text{S}^{2-}_{5-x} + \text{H}_2\text{O},
\]

where 1 ≤ x ≤ 4, and the reaction begins with x = 4. Once thiolated, Mo can be scavenged by clays, Fe-particulates and organic thiols [29]. When euxinia is weak (H₂S ≤ 11 µM) and/or seasonal, the reaction does not result in complete conversion of molybdate to tetrahthiomolybdate (Mo(VI)S₄²⁻) and intermediate mono-, di- and tri-thiomolybdate species likely predominate [29]. Under strong (H₂S ≥ 11 µM) and persistent euxinia, complete conversion of molybdate to tetrahthiomolybdate can occur. Thiolation is not a reductive process (all elements retain their initial oxidation state). It is possible that reduction of Mo(VI) in thiomolybdate species can occur in sulfidic water columns or sediment pore fluids by reactions with zero-valent sulfur to form particle-reactive Mo(IV)-polysulfides that fix Mo in pyrite or organic matter [30,31].

Measurement of molybdenum concentrations and isotope compositions (δ⁹⁸Mo) can help discern if sediments were deposited from oxic, reducing but non-euxinic, weak/intermittently euxinic or strong/persistent euxinic settings. Mass-dependent fractionation of Mo isotopes in the marine environment occurs via two processes: during adsorption to Fe-Mn particulates and organic matter, and during thiolation in the presence of H₂S [7,32]. Both processes lead to preferential solid phase removal of lighter Mo isotopes, leaving surrounding seawater or sediment pore fluids enriched in the heavier Mo isotopes. Thus, seawater δ⁹⁸Mo depends on the global magnitude of these fractionation processes and as such has varied through geological time.

Modern oxygenated seawater δ⁹⁸Moₜ añ is homogeneous in the global oceans and has a value of 2.34%o against international standard NIST-SRM-3134 = 0.25%o (all δ⁹⁸Mo values reported herein are relative to this scale; see Section 3 for details) because most of the seafloor is well-oxygenated [33].
In such settings, preferential adsorption of isotopically light Mo onto solid Mn-particulate surfaces ($\Delta^{98}\text{Mo}_{\text{Mn oxides-SW}} \approx -3.0\%$) drives seawater $\delta^{98}\text{Mo}$ to high values. If the Mn-particulates are permanently buried, then this isotopic signature is retained in oxic sediments. Similarly, adsorption of Mo to Fe oxyhydroxides or organic matter preferentially removes lighter Mo isotopes from solution, however, these processes result in smaller isotopic offsets from seawater of $\Delta^{98}\text{Mo}_{\text{Fe-oxhydroxides-SW}} = -1.0$ to $-2.6\%$ and $\Delta^{98}\text{Mo}_{\text{OM-SW}} \approx -1.4\%$.

When Fe-Mn particulates encounter anoxic waters, reductive dissolution of the particulates occurs, releasing Fe, Mn and adsorbed ions including Mo and V. The particulate shuttling process enhances anoxic bottom-water or sediment pore-fluid Mo content compared to average seawater. In anoxic/non-sulfidic waters, molybdate (desorbed from particulates or already present in seawater) largely remains in solution as it is not efficiently scavenged by solid phases present in anoxic waters (e.g., clays, carbonates) without first being thiolated [29]. If conditions are euxinic, the isotopically light Mo desorbed from particulates is thiolated by $\text{H}_2\text{S}^{(aq)}$ by Equation (1) [29] and can be removed to sediments.

Thiolation reactions result in further Mo isotope fractionation where isotopically light Mo is favored in the more sulfidized thiomolybdates, and isotopically heavy Mo remains in the reactant species (molybdate and less sulfurized thiomolybdates) [37]. Due to non-quantitative conversion of molybdate to tetrathiomolybdate in weakly or intermittently euxinic waters, intermediate thiomolybdates scavenged by clays, sulfide minerals, and organic matter and subsequently deposited in sediments retain the low $\delta^{98}\text{Mo}$ value produced during thiolation ($-0.6$ to $1.8\%$) [7]. A combination of both Fe-Mn particulate delivery and weakly/intermittently euxinic conditions can produce even lower $\delta^{98}\text{Mo}$ in sediments. When euxinia is strong and persistent, quantitative conversion of molybdate to tetrathiomolybdate occurs, so the $\delta^{98}\text{Mo}$ of the $\text{MoO}_4^{2-}$ is inherited by the $\text{MoS}_4^{2-}$. Thus, strongly euxinic sediments closely record the $\delta^{98}\text{Mo}$ value of source waters (i.e., seawater).

The Mo isotope system is a strong tool to help determine the possible environments and/or mechanisms, which may have played a role in sediment V hyper-enrichments. Thus, we consider a simple hypothesis test to evaluate the likelihood that V hyper-enrichments in ORM are associated with strongly euxinic conditions during sediment deposition. If samples from Ediacaran and Phanerozoic ORMs with V hyper-enrichments were primarily deposited under strongly euxinic conditions, then those samples will also record high Mo enrichments as well as high $\delta^{98}\text{Mo}$ values near contemporaneous seawater values. Alternatively, V hyper-enrichment may be explained by a high flux of Fe-Mn particulates to euxinic sediments if low $\delta^{98}\text{Mo}$ is observed along with high Mo enrichments and high Mo/U ratios (because U adsorption to Fe-Mn oxides is considerably less efficient compared to Mo adsorption; [6]).

3. Materials and Methods

To evaluate this hypothesis, we compiled available Ediacaran and Phanerozoic ORM datasets from the literature that contain, at a minimum, V concentrations, $\delta^{98}\text{Mo}$, and at least one independent redox proxy to confirm the use of euxinic samples only. Our filtering protocol for euxinia uses these independent proxies in the following order of importance:

1. Iron speciation: $\text{Fe}_{\text{PY}}/\text{Fe}_{\text{HR}} > 0.7$ (must also record $\text{Fe}_{\text{HR}}/\text{Fe}_T > 0.38$ for anoxia), where $\text{Fe}_{\text{HR}}$ (highly reactive Fe) is the sum of Fe pools, which can react with $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ in the water or sediment column during deposition or early diagenesis (i.e., $\text{Fe}_{\text{PY}} + \text{Fe}_{\text{ox}} + \text{Fe}_{\text{carb}} + \text{Fe}_{\text{mag}}$) [4].
2. Total organic carbon content: TOC $> 1$ weight percent (wt%).
3. Rhenium–molybdenum ratio: $\text{Re}/\text{Mo} < 4$ [2,5].
4. Molybdenum concentration: [Mo] $> 100$ $\mu$g/g [1].

Although TOC content of $>1$ wt% can also be found in anoxic sediments from non-euxinic settings, this threshold was used to reject low-TOC samples (rarely found in euxinic settings) and was always used in tandem with at least one other independent proxy for sample filtering. When two or more
of these independent proxies are available but do not support the same interpretation of redox state, Fe-speciation data is used rather than Re/Mo ratios and [Mo] and if Fe speciation data are not available, then Re/Mo ratios are used rather than [Mo]. The data-filtering step is required to eliminate samples, which were likely deposited under non-euxinic conditions because our hypothesis test is for euxinic settings only and δ⁹⁸Mo values for non-euxinic environments may overlap with those of weakly euxinic environments or euxinic settings affected by high Fe-Mn particulate fluxes.

Sample Mo isotope compositions were calculated as per mil deviations from the international standard solution NIST-SRM-3134 using Equation (2) [7,33].

\[
\delta^{98}\text{Mo} (\text{‰}) = \left[ \frac{(^{98}\text{Mo}^{65}\text{Mo})_{\text{sample}}}{(^{98}\text{Mo}^{65}\text{Mo})_{\text{NIST-SRM-3134}}} - 1 \right] \times 1000 + 0.25, \tag{2}
\]

This practice is currently followed by most laboratories reporting Mo isotope data. For older samples measured against in-house standards with known deviation from the NIST-SRM-3134 scale, a correction can be made to published Mo isotope data [38]. See Appendix A for a list of geological units corrected to NIST-SRM-3134 = 0.25‰. The correction constant of +0.25‰ is used so that familiar δ⁹⁸Mo values (e.g., for modern global seawater and Mn oxides in oxic marine sediments) originally measured against most in-house laboratory standards during the early years of Mo isotope research can be retained on the NIST-SRM-3134 scale [33].

We set δ⁹⁸Mo ≥ 1.0‰ as a minimum threshold to indicate deposition under strong euxinia. This value likely represents a lower limit for seawater δ⁹⁸Mo for most of the Ediacaran–Phanerozoic. This approach allows for a first-order comparison between data sets to determine the likelihood that samples were deposited from strongly euxinic bottom waters—because samples with δ⁹⁸Mo at or below the oceanic input δ⁹⁸Mo of ~0.3–0.7‰ (based on modern rivers and ground waters [39–41]) likely record large isotopic offsets between seawater and sediments and, thus, were probably not deposited in a strongly euxinic setting. Unless global seawater δ⁹⁸Mo is well-constrained (e.g., Pleistocene), we do not make efforts to evaluate our compilation for higher seawater δ⁹⁸Mo values because of the potential for significant variation in seawater δ⁹⁸Mo within individual geologic periods in the Ediacaran–Phanerozoic. We avoided older Precambrian ORM units because the lower atmosphere–oceanic O₂ levels on the early Earth likely caused a lower seawater V reservoir, thereby limiting opportunities for V hyper-enrichments in sediments [42].

4. Results

In the following subsections, the compiled datasets (see Supplemental Information) are reviewed to identify trends in V–δ⁹⁸Mo covariations and assess the statistical likelihood of V hyper-enrichments under strongly euxinic conditions (δ⁹⁸Mo ≥ 1.0‰). A summary of the compiled geochemical and geological data is presented in Table 1. Most geological periods since the beginning of the Ediacaran Period are covered, except for the Carboniferous and Triassic for which no known published V and δ⁹⁸Mo were available for the same samples. Much of the data is not normally distributed, thus value ranges are given to demonstrate variation. If data are normally distributed, variation is displayed as ± one standard deviation.
Table 1. Compilation of vanadium (V) and molybdenum isotope (δ<sup>98</sup>Mo) data for Ediacaran and Phanerozoic euxinic organic rich mudrocks.

| Geological Unit | Location | Section (n) | Characteristics (in Ref.) | Age (Ma) | V (range) µg/g | δ<sup>98</sup>Mo (range) ‰ | Ref. |
|-----------------|----------|-------------|---------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----|
| **Negative V–δ<sup>98</sup>Mo Trend** | Rongxi (7) | Mn Particulate Shuttle | 454 (107-1986) | 0.54 (0.02-1.23) | [27] |
| Doushantuo Formation | South China | Wuhe (46) | Mn Particulate Shuttle | 671 (44-15271) | 0.23 (1.30-2.24) | | |
| | Yunkai (7) | Mn Particulate Shuttle | 1725 (336-6417) | -0.45 (1.49-0.54) | | |
| Hetang Formation | South China | Lantian (8) | Particulate Shuttle | 461 (155-1726) | 1.42 (0.31-1.76) | [43] |
| | Yangsiaping (4) | | | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| Niutitang Formation | South China | Maluwe (2) | Weak Euxinia, Peripheral Particulate Activity | 364 (310-417) | 1.62 (0.55-1.65) | [45] |
| | Dazhuiliushui (1) | | | 632 | 1.06 | |
| **Kettle Point Formation** | South China | Lantian (8) | Particulate Shuttle, Brackish, Low Sea Level | 1044 (288-1912) | 0.88 (0.35-1.31) | [28] |
| | Wuhai (46) | Particulate Shuttle | 445 (155-1726) | 1.42 (0.11-1.76) | [43] |
| | Taoying (8) | Mn Particulate Shuttle | 572 (155-1726) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Yuanjia (7) | Mn Particulate Shuttle | 572 (155-1726) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Ketem Beijing (3) | Brackish | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| **Positive V–δ<sup>98</sup>Mo Trend** | Blovice Complex | Pilsen (Czechia) | Low T Hydrothermal | 926 (410-2020) | 0.82 (0.57-1.20) | [47] |
| | HRM-3 (14) | | | 759 (511-2227) | 0.48 (0.12-0.83) | | |
| | KA-5 (9) | | | 477 (330-489) | 1.01 (0.34-1.79) | | |
| Ara Group | Central Oman | MM NW-7 (5) | Salinity Stratification | 448 | 0.62 (0.42-0.76) | [50] |
| | Solberga #1 (6) | Persistent Euxinia | 457 (219-220) | 0.62 (0.42-0.76) | [50] |
| | Stumanas #1 (10) | Persistent Euxinia | 658 (347-1175) | 0.91 (0.58-1.28) | [50] |
| Dalong Formation | South China | Shangsi (5) | Well-ventilated | 252 | 1.69 (0.31-2.35) | [51] |
| | Dazhuiliushui (1) | | | 759 (331-1223) | 0.48 (0.12-0.83) | [51] |
| | Taoying (8) | Mn Particulate Shuttle | 364 (310-417) | 1.62 (0.55-1.65) | [45] |
| | Yuanjia (7) | Mn Particulate Shuttle | 632 | 1.06 | |
| | Ketem Beijing (3) | Brackish | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Yuanjia (7) | Mn Particulate Shuttle | 572 (155-1726) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| **No V Hyper-Enrichments** | OAE2 Black Shale | Cape Verde Basin | DSDP Site 367 (6) | Particulate Shuttle | 716 (466-1300) | 0.83 (0.15-1.15) | [46] |
| | Ontario (Canada) | Chatham Unit 4 (18) | | | | | |
| | OAE2 Black Shale | Moroccan Shelf | Tarfaya S57 (4) | Upwelling Zone | 94 | 0.00 (0.40-0.63) | [52] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Weak Particulate Shuttle | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Weak Particulate Shuttle | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | | | | | |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Salinity Stratification | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Salinity Stratification | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Salinity Stratification | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Salinity Stratification | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Salinity Stratification | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Salinity Stratification | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |
| | Arabian Shelf | MM NW-7 (5) | Salinity Stratification | 592 (226-1005) | 1.20 (0.87-1.49) | [44] |

1 V from [48], δ<sup>98</sup>Mo from [49]; 2 V from [55], δ<sup>98</sup>Mo from [56]; 3 V from [58], δ<sup>98</sup>Mo from [57].
4.1. Mo Isotope Composition of Sediments with and without Vanadium Hyper Enrichments

We first evaluated if there are statistically significant differences between δ^98Mo values of hyper-enriched versus non-hyper-enriched samples and if hyper-enriched samples lie within our defined Ediacaran–Phanerozoic seawater δ^98Mo range (≥1.0‰). An unpaired t-test shows that the mean δ^98Mo of hyper-enriched samples (0.52 ± 0.85‰) is significantly different from the non-hyper-enriched samples (1.00 ± 0.74‰) with p-value < 0.00001 (Figure 1A). A comparison of each group to a lower limit of 1.0‰ by a one-sample t-test shows that the mean δ^98Mo of the V hyper-enriched group is significantly less than typical seawater values (p-value < 0.00001), whereas the mean δ^98Mo of the non-hyper-enriched group does not vary significantly from the minimum seawater value (p-value = 0.48). However, these results may be skewed by the inclusion of Doushantuo Formation samples, which have unusually low δ^98Mo. With Doushantuo Formation samples removed, mean δ^98Mo of the V hyper-enriched and non-hyper-enriched groups increase to 0.82 ± 0.49‰ and 1.27 ± 0.60‰, respectively (Figure 1B). The means remain statistically different between the two groups (p-value < 0.00001) and the mean δ^98Mo of the V hyper-enriched group remains statistically lower than 1.0‰ (p-value = 0.001). However, with the Doushantuo Formation samples removed, the mean δ^98Mo of the non-hyper-enriched group is statistically greater than 1.0‰ (p-value < 0.00001).

![Figure 1. δ^98Mo values in euxinic sediments with and without V hyper-enrichments. (A) All compiled euxinic sample data. (B) Compiled data but with Doushantuo Formation samples removed due to unusually low δ^98Mo values. Highlighted areas encompass ±1 standard deviation around the mean for each group. Dashed line indicates the selected value for plausible minimum seawater δ^98Mo during the Ediacaran and Phanerozoic.](image-url)
4.2. Vanadium Concentration and Molybdenum Isotope Covariation

To further explore the relationship between V concentrations and Mo isotope compositions, each study section is grouped by the trends, which emerged for V versus $\delta^{98}$Mo covariations (Table 1). Listed in Table 1 with each sample set are environmental characteristics noted by the original authors such as persistent euxinia, Fe-Mn particulate shuttles, local hydrothermal activity, upwelling processes, and/or sedimentation rates.

4.2.1. Sediments with Negative V-$\delta^{98}$Mo Trends

When plotted on a V-$\delta^{98}$Mo diagram, several ORMs exhibit a negative correlation, including the Ediacaran Doushantuo Formation, Cambrian Hetang and Niutitang formations, Late Devonian Kettle Point Formation (Unit 4) and Cretaceous OAE2 Black Shales (Site 367). Vanadium concentrations span several orders of magnitude (44 to 15271 $\mu$g/g; mean = 861 $\mu$g/g) and $\delta^{98}$Mo values encompass the large range for marine sediments (−1.98 to 2.24‰; mean = 0.40‰). A weak negative exponential correlation exists between V and $\delta^{98}$Mo from all localities ($r^2 = 0.17; p$-value = 0.0001) and stronger negative correlations exist when the Doushantuo Formation is considered independently ($r^2 = 0.61; p$-value < 0.00001) from the Hetang, Niutitang, and Kettle Point formations, and OAE2 black shales ($r^2 = 0.64; p$-value < 0.00001). The $\delta^{98}$Mo values of Doushantuo Formation samples (0.09 ± 0.85‰) differ from the others (1.08 ± 0.43‰) and, by an unpaired $t$-test, the variation is highly significant ($p$-value < 0.00001). Doushantuo Formation samples record the highest V concentrations of any section ($V_{\text{max}} = 15271 \mu$g/g) but the Doushantuo mean V concentration (814 ± 2127 $\mu$g/g) is not significantly ($p$-value = 0.92) different from the other sections (787 ± 511 $\mu$g/g).

4.2.2. Sediments within a Positive V-$\delta^{98}$Mo Trend

A positive trend emerges from a subset of the compiled data, including the Late Ediacaran Blovice Complex black shales, Ediacaran–Cambrian Ara Group (Core MMNW-7), Ordovician Fjäcka Shale, Late Permian Dalong Formation, Cretaceous OAE2 black shales (Tarfaya and La Contessa), and Pleistocene sapropels (ODP Hole 969D). Vanadium concentrations are less variable than the sections with a negative V-$\delta^{98}$Mo trend (81 to 2310 $\mu$g/g; mean = 750 $\mu$g/g), and the $\delta^{98}$Mo values are higher and do not encompass all possible marine compositions (−0.40 to 2.35‰; mean = 0.74‰). A weak positive linear correlation exists when all samples in this group are plotted ($r^2 = 0.19; p$-value < 0.0001). Independently, many of the ORM units show no significant correlation between V and $\delta^{98}$Mo (Ara, Blovice, Dalong, La Contessa and Tarfaya; $p$-values > 0.08), which in some cases may be an effect of low sample count (Dalong, La Contessa and Tarfaya). Only the Fjäcka Shale and Pleistocene sapropels at Site 969D have moderate positive correlations between V and $\delta^{98}$Mo ($r^2 = 0.33$ and 0.55; $p$-values = 0.02 and 0.002, respectively). Thus, unlike the negative correlations in Section 4.2.1, the positive trend here is defined largely by the group, and strong positive correlations are not observed in individual ORMs.

4.2.3. Organic-Rich Mudrocks without V Hyper-Enrichments

The samples in this category are from the Ediacaran–Cambrian Ara Group (ALNR-1), Late Ordovician Wufeng Formation, Devonian Kettle Point Formation (Units 1 to 3), Jurassic Posidonia Shales (Early), Whitby Mudstone Formation (Early) and Kimmeridge Clay Formation (Late), and Pleistocene Sapropels at Site 967C. These sections record very low V concentrations (56 to 548 $\mu$g/g) which are normally distributed around a mean of 187 ± 85 $\mu$g/g, and generally have moderate to heavy $\delta^{98}$Mo values (−0.09 to 2.42‰; mean = 1.47‰). No correlation between V concentrations and $\delta^{98}$Mo exists for this group ($r^2 = 0; p$-value = 0.58).
5. Discussion

The following is a discussion of the proposed mechanisms for V hyper-enrichments in ORM from the perspective of Mo isotopes and provides insight on why V hyper-enrichments are not observed in modern marine sediments.

5.1. Universal Redox Interpretation from V Hyper Enrichments?

From the compiled data in this study, we find that (1) a universal cut-off for V enrichments in ORM (e.g., V hyper-enrichments) should not be used to indicate strongly euxinic depositional conditions and (2) interpretation of depositional conditions from V enrichments should be paired with other redox proxies to gain a complete understanding of the paleoenvironment. These findings are based on statistically lower $\delta^{98}$Mo values for the compiled V hyper-enriched sediments compared to non-hyper-enriched sediments and to a minimum seawater $\delta^{98}$Mo value of 1.0‰. These tests indicate that V hyper-enriched euxinic sediments are less likely to record the seawater $\delta^{98}$Mo values (>$1.0‰) expected from strongly euxinic sediments ([H$_2$S]$_{aq}$ > 11 µM). Instead, V hyper-enriched sediments record a range of $\delta^{98}$Mo values which encompass strongly euxinic, weakly/intermittently euxinic (H$_2$S < 11 µM) and/or particulate-rich redox stratified environments. Additionally, if one mechanism or condition was most often responsible for V hyper-enrichments, it is expected that a consistent trend would be apparent on a V–$\delta^{98}$Mo plot. This, however, is not the case as plotting all compiled V and $\delta^{98}$Mo data simultaneously (figure not shown) reveals only a weak negative correlation ($r^2 = 0.13$) and individual stratigraphic units display positive, negative, or negligible correlations.

5.2. Influence of Fe-Mn Particulate Shuttles on V Enrichments

Particulate shuttling in redox stratified basins is suggested as a mechanism for sediment V (and Mo) enrichment in several studies due their adsorption affinities with solid Fe-Mn (oxyhydr)oxide surfaces [1,12,27,28]. From the compiled data, the Doushantuo, Hetang, Niutitang and Kettle Point formations, and the OAE2 black shales at Site 367 host the strongest evidence for such activity within the water column during their deposition (e.g., high Mo/U ratios; [6,27,28,43,44,46]). The Kimmeridge Clay Formation also presents signs of possible weak particulate activity such as elevated Mo relative to U [59], but generally Mo–U follows a seawater trend [6] suggesting variations in Mo enrichment was primarily controlled by redox state rather than the strength of the particulate fluxes. Thus, the Doushantuo, Hetang, Niutitang, and Kettle Point formations best represent the direct effects of particulate activity on V enrichments and $\delta^{98}$Mo compositions and the following discussion centers on these formations (Figure 2). Due to the low sample count for OAE2 euxinic sediments at Site 367, this section will not be further discussed, nonetheless the V–$\delta^{98}$Mo exhibits a similar trend to the other ORM units in Figure 2.

The Ediacaran Doushantuo Formation contains discrete intervals with remarkably negative $\delta^{98}$Mo (minimum −1.98‰) that likely represent active Mn particulate shuttling and/or incomplete Mo thiolation [27]. These exceptionally low $\delta^{98}$Mo values correspond with the greatest V enrichments of any geological unit in the compilation and there is a negative correlation between V and $\delta^{98}$Mo. The highest $\delta^{98}$Mo value of 2.24‰ for euxinic sediments from the Doushantuo Formation is within error of modern seawater (2.34‰), thus a late Ediacaran seawater $\delta^{98}$Mo composition ≥ 2.24‰ is possible, at least episodically, and samples approaching this value may have been deposited in strongly euxinic waters. However, very few euxinic samples reach this $\delta^{98}$Mo value (when $\delta^{98}$Mo > 1, $n = 3$), and a cluster of samples around $\delta^{98}$Mo = 0.95 ± 0.39‰ (for all samples with $\delta^{98}$Mo > 0.7‰; $n = 15$) potentially suggest a lower baseline Ediacaran seawater composition [27]. Other Ediacaran units from the compilation—the Blovice Complex black shales deposited in the accretionary wedge of a back-arc basin—may also reflect lower seawater values ($\delta^{98}$Mo$_{max}$ = 1.2‰, samples cluster at 0.68 ± 0.26‰) [47]. Deviation from the seawater $\delta^{98}$Mo in the Blovice Complex is hypothesized to be a function of periodic
low temperature hydrothermal fluid mixing ($\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}_{\text{LHHT}} \approx 0.75\%$) or incomplete thiolation in an intermittently restricted, weakly euxinic basin [47].

Regardless of the true Ediacaran seawater value, no V hyper-enrichments are observed in Doushantuo samples when $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}} > 0.52\%$, so strong euxinia is unlikely to have played a role in these V hyper-enrichments because global seawater $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ should exceed oceanic input and crustal $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$. Instead, nearly all V hyper-enrichments occur in samples with $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ between −0.74\% and −1.98\% (12 of 16 hyper-enriched samples) equating to seawater offsets of −1.7\% to −3.0\% against the lower baseline seawater value of ~1.0\%. These offsets suggest delivery of Mn-rich particulates ($\Delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}_{\text{particulates-SW}} = −2.0$ to −3.3\%) to the euxinic sediments, or the sediments were deposited in weakly euxinic bottom waters ($\Delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}_{\text{weak euxinic sediments-SW}} = −0.5$ to −2.8\%) (reviewed in [7]). However, to obtain the greatest offset of approximately −3\%, adsorption to Mn oxides likely occurred and this is hypothesized to be the primary mechanism for Doushantuo V hyper-enrichments and is likely responsible for V hyper-enrichments even in non-euxinic sediments of the Doushantuo Formation [27]. If seawater $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ was >1.0\% and $\Delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}_{\text{sediment-SW}}$ was <−3.2\%, then a combination of a Mn-rich particulate flux, Mn redox cycling, and weakly/intermittently euxinic conditions likely occurred. Finally, we note that even some non-euxinic ORM from the Doushantuo Formation have been reported to contain V hyper-enrichments, thus highlighting the ability of a strong Fe-Mn particulate flux to drive such enrichments in sediments [27].

The Cambrian Hetang and Niutitang formations of the Nanhua Basin were similarly affected by particulate shuttling and record a negative correlation between V concentrations and $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$. Like the Doushantuo Formation, the Hetang and Niutitang ORM with the heaviest $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ are clustered around ~1.7\%, indicating strong euxinia and close capture of contemporaneous seawater $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ [43]. None of these samples have V hyper-enrichments (155 to 456 µg/g), suggesting strong euxinia in the Hetang and Niutitang formations was not responsible for V hyper-enrichments. Two sample groups have lower $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$: (1) a group with slightly lighter $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ ~1.0\% (seawater offset $\approx −0.7\%$) with both hyper-enriched (up to 1005 µg/g) and non-hyper-enriched samples, and (2) a sample with the lowest $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ value (0.11\%; seawater offset of −1.6\%) and V hyper-enrichment (1726 µg/g). Group 1 is likely

---

**Figure 2.** Ancient organic rich mudrocks with Fe-Mn particulate activity concurrent with euxinic deposition. Dashed lines are minimum contemporary seawater $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ (labeled with approximate age) and grey shading is the range of seawater $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ for the units depicted. Solid vertical line is modern seawater $\delta^{98}{\text{Mo}}$ (~2.34\%) and solid horizontal line is $V = 500 \mu g/g$ (hyper-enrichment threshold).
associated with weak euxinia, whereas Group 2 is consistent with delivery of Fe-rich particulates to the euxinic sediments ($\Delta^{98}$Mo$_{Fe-SW} = -1.0$ to $-2.6\%_o$ [7,36]), or sediments deposited in weakly euxinic bottom waters with lower H$_2$S.

To distinguish between the Fe-rich particulate and weakly euxinic signal, Mo concentrations and V/Mo ratios should provide clarification. Inspection of Mo concentrations in the Hetang and Niutitang formations reveals that for samples without V hyper-enrichments (Mo = 76 ± 48 µg/g), Mo content is not significantly lower ($p$-value = 0.30) from that of V hyper-enriched samples (Mo = 108 ± 49 µg/g). Thus, the mechanism producing the V hyper-enrichment did not equally affect the Mo enrichments. If redox conditions were the sole control on these V enrichments, changes in Mo content should mirror changes in V content, so a transition from strong to weak euxinia is unlikely to have produced lighter $\delta^{98}$Mo and V hyper-enrichments. It has been demonstrated that V has a greater affinity (at least 3- to 4-fold; [27]) for Fe-Mn particulate surfaces (especially Fe) than Mo, which may explain the increased V relative to Mo. Further evidence for particulate activity is demonstrated through comparison of the V/Mo ratios of the V hyper-enriched samples to other samples. The V/Mo ratio for hyper-enriched Hetang and Niutitang ORM (35 ± 51) is roughly 6 times greater than the V/Mo of the non-hyper-enriched samples (5.6 ± 3.6).

The studied sections of the Doushantuo, Hetang, and Niutitang formations were deposited within euxinic wedges on continental margins with strong connection to the global oceans [27,43–45]. Some intervals of the Devonian Kettle Point Formation contain similar geochemical characteristics suggesting particulate shuttle activity; however, deposition occurred within a small epeiric structural sub-basin situated between the Appalachian and Michigan basins [28]. Global Late Devonian seawater $\delta^{98}$Mo is estimated to have been ≥ 1.9%o [28,54,61], and several samples in the older Kettle Point Units 1 and 2 approach this value (1.60 to 2.02%o; n = 4). Most of the samples (8 of 9) in Units 1 to 3 have limited V enrichment above crustal values (113 to 255 µg/g) and are not greatly fractionated from the seawater value ($\Delta^{98}$Mo$_{max} = -0.8\%_o$). The Devonian Oatka Creek Formation (a “type euxinic” ORM) was coevally deposited on the seaward margin of the Appalachian orogeny and records near-seawater $\delta^{98}$Mo (1.68 ± 0.10%) and no V hyper-enrichments (334 ± 72 µg/g). Thus, Units 1 to 3 were probably only weakly affected by particulate activity and represent deposition under weak to strong euxinic conditions.

In contrast, it is hypothesized that there was a high Fe-Mn particulate flux to sediments during deposition of the younger Kettle Point Unit 4 due to a drop in sea level and concomitant deepening of the redoxcline towards the sediment–water interface [28]. Deepening of the redoxcline to a position within the water column that is close to the sediment–water interface permits Fe-Mn oxides to dissolve and release adsorbed Mo and V ions on the seafloor where these metals can be sequestered into the euxinic sediments. Unit 4 has significantly lower $\delta^{98}$Mo values (0.88 ± 0.21%o; $p$-value = 0.001) and significantly higher V enrichments (1044 ± 489 µg/g; $p$-value < 0.001) than Units 1 to 3. Unit 4 $\delta^{98}$Mo represents an approximate average offset from Devonian seawater of 1.0%o, indicating deposition in an Fe-rich or weakly euxinic environment. Like V, the Kettle Point Mo concentrations are significantly greater ($p$-value = 0.02) in Unit 4 (Mo = 199 ± 122 µg/g) compared to Units 1 through 3 (Mo = 121 ± 17 µg/g). The V/Mo ratio is also significantly higher ($p$-value < 0.0001) in Unit 4 (6.0 ± 3.0) than Units 1–3 (1.6 ± 0.9). Thus, while both V and Mo concentrations increase up-section, the increase is not equivalent and represents a roughly 3.6-fold increase in V/Mo for Unit 4 compared to Units 1–3, consistent with our observations for the Hetang and Niutitang formations, and modern particulate V/Mo.

In summary, large Mo isotopic offsets from seawater (between −1%o to −3%o) and increased V/Mo ratios similar to modern Fe-Mn particulates for Doushantuo, Hetang, Niutitang and Kettle Point samples with V hyper-enrichments suggest that Fe-Mn particulate cycling is the origin of the V hyper-enrichments.
5.3. V Hyper-Enrichments in Euxinic Semi-Restricted Basins

Vanadium hyper-enrichment of \( \geq 500 \, \mu g/g \) were noted as a possible indicator of strong euxinia or even hyper-sulfidic conditions in the Late Devonian–Early Mississippian Bakken Formation of the Williston Basin (USA) [9]. The Williston Basin was a relatively deep (>200 m) epeiric basin fed by upwelling currents at intermediate depths (~100 m) promoting stratification between quiet bottom-water and turbulent, nutrient-rich surface waters [9]. This configuration promoted primary productivity in the upper water column, which would lead to increasingly intense reducing conditions in bottom waters as \( O_2 \) was depleted during organic matter decomposition at the seafloor. Accumulation of extensive amounts of \( H_2S \) in bottom waters, coupled with continuous renewal of seawater in the upper water column (thus supplying nutrients, sulfate, and dissolved trace metals) [17,22] may have produced V hyper-enrichments in euxinic TOC-rich sediments. While the Bakken shales have not been analyzed for \( \delta^{98}Mo \), this enrichment model is commonly applied to black shale deposits [62].

Semi-isolated basins are produced when a physical barrier like a subaqueous sill—often a feature of continental margin ‘silled basins’ or intracratonic/epeiric seaways—inhibits deep-water exchange but allows upper water column interaction with global seawater circulation. Basins with continuous or frequent renewal of their water masses have the potential to closely capture seawater \( \delta^{98}Mo \) values if molybdate is quantitatively converted to tetrathiomolybdate in strongly euxinic bottom waters [7,29]. Under such conditions, the isotopic offset between the euxinic sediments and seawater is only \( \sim 0.3\% \) when Mo removal from the bottom waters is not quantitative [63]. Moderately restricted basins can have access to a continuous supply of trace metals (e.g., V and Mo), so even if bottom water euxinia is strong and V and Mo are efficiently removed to euxinic sediments, water column concentrations will remain elevated if significant water exchange with the open ocean is maintained. This scenario contrasts with basins like the Black Sea which suffer from severe basin restriction effects—V and Mo are quantitatively removed from strongly euxinic bottom waters whose renewal time is sufficiently long that a lack of replenishment from open-ocean seawater leads to depleted metal concentrations in the basin’s euxinic water column and, over time, also in sediments [14,64]. In such cases, sediments may record seawater (heavier) \( \delta^{98}Mo \) values due to quantitative Mo removal from bottom waters, but the Mo (and V) enrichments will be subdued and Mo–\( \delta^{98}Mo \) (and V–\( \delta^{98}Mo \)) will depict a negative trend. Because both Mo and V enrichments remain elevated at heavier \( \delta^{98}Mo \), and the V–\( \delta^{98}Mo \) trend in Figure 3 is not negative, it is unlikely that these ORMs were deposited in strongly restricted environments and therefore provide insight into enrichments in basins with seawater renewal from the open ocean and strongly euxinic bottom waters—a configuration that is not represented well on the modern Earth [65].

All ORM units in Figure 3 lack evidence of strong Fe-Mn particulate influence on \( \delta^{98}Mo \) (Mo is not greatly enriched relative to U; after [6]), thus lighter \( \delta^{98}Mo \) is likely a function of weakly euxinic conditions [46,48,50–53] and in one case may also reflect a possible local hydrothermal source [47]. Additionally, if particulate shuttling was active during the deposition of these ORM, it is expected that the V–\( \delta^{98}Mo \) trend would resemble that observed in Figure 2 (strong negative correlation), however, a weak (but not insignificant; \( p\)-value = 0.0001) positive trend is noted instead. Thus, the lack of particulate shuttling and positive Mo–\( \delta^{98}Mo \) (not shown) and V–\( \delta^{98}Mo \) trends eliminate both particulates and severe basin restriction as mechanisms for V hyper-enrichment.

Vanadium hyper-enrichments occur in many samples with \( \delta^{98}Mo \) offsets from seawater of up to \( \sim 1.9\% \) (e.g., Site 969D and Dalong sample offsets from Pleistocene and Late Permian seawater values) indicating conditions that were not strongly euxinic. For some groups like the Blovice Complex ORMs this can be reconciled as the lower \( \delta^{98}Mo \) is thought to be the result of local low temperature hydrothermal inputs (~0.75%) that overprinted seawater values, so it is possible that bottom waters were strongly euxinic and produced V hyper-enrichments. However, for the other groups with lower \( \delta^{98}Mo \) values, V hyper-enrichments of the same magnitude as those in strongly euxinic sediments are not expected if weak euxinia is the only reason for the offset (modern weakly euxinic sediments do not contain V hyper-enrichments). Nevertheless, hyper enrichments still occur and require an explanation.
Evidence for the Fe-Mn particulate mechanism is not clearly present in any of these sections (e.g., Mo/U ratios), so $\delta^{98}$Mo offsets from seawater due to oxide adsorption of isotopically light Mo is not likely.

Adsorption of Mo to organic matter has been shown to produce similar isotopic offsets of around $-1.4\%o$ [32] as those observed in weakly euxinic settings. Vanadium–TOC correlations are positive in these sections, and in some the correlation is strong and significant (Tarfaya, $r^2 = 0.97$, p-value = 0.02; Site 969D, $r^2 = 0.64$, p-value = 0.0006), indicating that V enrichments in these sections are associated with TOC. For samples with low $\delta^{98}$Mo indicating weak euxinia, and no evident enrichment via Fe-Mn particulate shuttles, an association with organic matter and slow clastic sedimentation rate may help to amplify V enrichments, producing hyper-enrichments even under weak euxinia.

The semi-restricted upwelling zone configuration therefore provides a simple explanation as to why these ORM feature V hyper-enrichments at higher $\delta^{98}$Mo values when conditions were strongly euxinic and recorded seawater $\delta^{98}$Mo, or at lower $\delta^{98}$Mo when euxinia was weak without excess V delivery from particulate shuttles, but instead V was enriched in organic matter at times of slow clastic sedimentation. These scenarios indicate that hyper-sulfidic conditions ($H_2S > 10$ mM; [9]) are not required to drive V hyper-enrichments, although we do not rule out the possibility that hyper-sulfidic conditions were occasionally achieved for those ORM deposited in semi-restricted upwelling zones and recording high $\delta^{98}$Mo values.

5.4. Ancient Localities without V Hyper-Enrichments

Several locations included in the compiled data set feature consistent, non-hyper-enriched V concentrations across a range of $\delta^{98}$Mo compositions (Figure 4). These localities include the ALNR-1 core in the Ediacaran Ara Group, the Late Ordovician Wufeng Formation, Devonian Oatka Creek Formation and Kettle Point Formation (Units 1 to 3), Jurassic Whitby Mudstone Formation, Posidonia Shale and Kimmeridge Clay Formation, and Pleistocene Sapropels at Site 967C. The Oatka Creek Formation is a “type euxinic” ORM deposit, meaning that deposition occurred under strongly euxinic conditions on a continental margin accessing global seawater [54]. Consistent $\delta^{98}$Mo around $1.7\%o$ is therefore likely close to the Late Devonian seawater composition, but V hyper-enrichments are not recorded even though conditions were strongly euxinic and the basin was not restricted. Hence, the driving mechanisms
identified for V hyper-enrichments—a vigorous Fe-Mn particulate shuttle, moderate restriction (promoting higher bottom-water $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ compared to more open-ocean conditions), and unusually low clastic sedimentation coupled with high organic matter enrichment—were apparently not operating at sufficient magnitude to drive V hyper-enrichments in the Oatka Creek Formation and other ORMs. Two possible scenarios that could explain how the remaining units maintained such low V enrichments despite euxinic bottom-water conditions are considered: (1) globally significant seawater changes during the Ediacaran, Ordovician, Devonian, Jurassic, and Pleistocene compared to other geological periods, or (2) local basin hydrography and redox effects.

Global seawater reservoirs of redox-sensitive trace metals like V and Mo are controlled by burial in anoxic and/or euxinic marine sediments, as demonstrated in numerous mass balance modelling studies (e.g., Mo, Cr, U, Re; [66–68]). Vanadium concentrations in seawater are controlled in the same way, i.e., during times of globally expanded seafloor anoxia/euxinia, V becomes depleted in seawater as it is buried into larger areas of anoxic/euxinic sediment [42]. Thus, if the lack of V hyper-enrichments in the terminal Ediacaran, Late Ordovician, Late Devonian, Early, and Late Jurassic, and Pleistocene units in our compilation were simply caused by a lower seawater V reservoir at a time of globally expanded ocean anoxia/euxinia, then a lack of V hyper-enrichments should be observed in other coeval sections. A comparison of coeval geological units suggests this scenario is probably not correct. The terminal Ediacaran Blovice Complex and other Ara Group locations [47–49], Late Ordovician Fjäcka Shale [50], Late Devonian Bakken Formation (not in compilation because no Mo isotope data is available; [9]), Early Jurassic Gordondale Member (not in compilation; [69]), and Pleistocene Sapropels at Site 969D [53] are approximately coeval with the units depicted in Figure 4 and feature V hyper-enrichments.

Notably, the units with V hyper-enrichments differ from those without hyper-enrichments by their depositional environment. All hyper-enriched units were deposited in moderately semi-restricted, stratified basins (Figure 3), whereas the non-hyper-enriched units were deposited on open-ocean continental margins (Oatka Creek Formation), or in more severely restricted sub-basins of epeiric seaways (all other sections in Figure 4). Epeiric basins occur during sea level transgression or when tectonic processes created continental configurations with easily flooded, low-lying land areas. Today,
sea level is relatively low and euxinic epeiric seaways lack a modern analog. Relative to open-ocean margins and less restricted basins, the more restricted epeiric seas likely had a much greater continental component of trace metal input relative to seawater [70]. Removal of trace metals into sediments in more restricted epeiric basins could produce depletions in local water column metal reservoirs over time. It is therefore possible that if seawater renewal rate into an epeiric sea was slow, continental sources (river and groundwater) were the major supplier of trace metals. Today, dissolved riverine V concentrations (~15 nM; [71]) are lower than the seawater concentration (~40 nM; [12,13]) whereas dissolved groundwater V concentrations are poorly constrained but may range from <15 nM to >900 nM, depending on local bedrock geology, redox, pH, seasonality, and microbial activity [72–74]. A lack of access to the large open-ocean seawater V reservoir may thus explain the near-constant, non-hyper-enriched V concentrations (165 ± 65 µg/g; only mildly higher than the crustal average V concentration of ~107 µg/g; [10]) in the ORM units from more restricted epeiric basins (Figure 4).

An example in the compiled data, which may demonstrate this scenario, is the Early Jurassic Whitby Mudstone Formation and Posidonia Shale, which were deposited in sub-basins of an epeiric sea covering western Europe at the time. It is postulated that the South German Basin (Posidonia Shale) was relatively well-connected to ocean circulation compared to the Cleveland Basin (Whitby Mudstone), and its redox state was not strongly euxinic. Rather, rapid renewal of seawater and weak euxinia led to consistently low δ98Mo of ~0.8‰ in the Posidonia shales, which was significantly lower than the seawater composition (~1.45‰) at the time [56]. Basin restriction and development of a strongly euxinic water column in the Cleveland Basin led to quantitative removal of Mo and during periodic marine influx captured seawater δ98Mo [56]. Using Al as a proxy for detrital input to each basin, it is observed that the Cleveland Basin (8.7 wt%) was receiving much more continental material than the South German Basin (5.3 wt%). Lower Al in the Posidonia Shale corresponds to higher authigenic V (V_auth = 136 ± 42 µg/g), and vice versa for the Whitby Mudstone in the equivalent interval (V_auth = 79 ± 32 µg/g). Drawdown of V in the Cleveland Basin due to strongly euxinic bottom waters with limited replenishment compared to the weakly euxinic, but frequently replenished South German Basin likely contributed to the discrepancy in V enrichment between the Early Jurassic units.

Pleistocene sapropels at Site 967C (Figure 4) and 969D (Figure 3), although not entirely temporally equivalent, represent a similar scenario to that of the Early Jurassic units. Site 967C is described as a restricted euxinic facies like the Black Sea [60], while Site 969D is thought to correspond to weakly restricted Cariaco Basin-style deposition, but lacking Cariaco-like particulate shuttling [53]. Redox states are correspondingly strongly (Site 967C) and weakly (Site 969D) euxinic based on maximum δ98Mo attained in each section. Seawater δ98Mo has been constant for the past ~60 million years at the modern composition (2.34‰) [7], which includes the Pleistocene sapropels. The strongly restricted and euxinic Site 967C sediments reach δ98Mo of 2.30‰ while the weakly restricted and euxinic Site 969D only reach 1.93‰. Variation in hydrography and redox state here correspond to notable V enrichments. Site 967C does not include any sediments with hyper-enrichments despite the strongly euxinic conditions, whereas Site 969D contains weakly euxinic sediments which frequently record V hyper-enrichments into the 1000s µg/g because of access to a larger seawater metal supply.

From the above discussion, it is hypothesized that sediments with low V concentrations (not hyper-enriched) arise from deposition in basins with poor water exchange with the open ocean (strong restriction). In these configurations, strong euxinia can develop as H2S accumulates and produces an initial drawdown of trace metals into sediments, depleting the non-replenished water column until an influx of seawater occurs. While some ORM units in the compilation were deposited during known periods of expanded anoxia (e.g., Early Jurassic), a global mechanism is unlikely to be the primary driver of low V enrichments because coeval sections deposited in semi- or non-restricted environments should exhibit similar non-hyper-enrichment of V, which is not the case.
5.5. Why Are V Hyper-Enrichments Absent in Modern Euxinic Sediments?

In the modern well-oxygenated ocean, euxinia is only found in a handful of locations, most of which are in upwelling zones (e.g., Peru, Mexico and California margins), moderately to strongly restricted basins (e.g., Cariaco Basin, Black Sea), or intermittently anoxic fjords and inlets (e.g., Framvaren Fjord, Saanich Inlet). No location studied to date has a record of modern V hyper-enrichments, including the Framvaren Fjord (whose water column H$_2$S approaches 10 mM). In the ORM record, comparison of V concentration and Mo isotope data (along with supporting evidence like Mo/U ratios and V–TOC correlations) indicate that high Fe-Mn particulate fluxes to weakly euxinic sediments as well as large stratified basins with strong bottom water euxinia (and continual seawater replenishment based on high trace metal enrichments) or weak bottom water euxinia with high organic matter enrichments but slow clastic sediment input are associated with V hyper-enrichments. However, such environments are not well-represented in the small number of anoxic environments of the modern oceans [65]. For example, epeiric seas are common in the ancient sedimentary archive but are poorly represented on the modern Earth. Modern anoxic basins do share some features with epicontinental seaways. Basin restriction plays a substantial role in the buildup of H$_2$S to produce strong euxinia and record seawater $^{98}$Mo in both epeiric seaways and modern anoxic basins. However, V hyper-enrichments in sediments will be inhibited if there is inadequate replenishment from the seawater source or high clastic sedimentation rates, forcing V enrichments to low levels.

6. Conclusions

A recent study suggested that V hyper-enriched sediments (V > 500 µg/g) could indicate ORM deposition in hyper-sulfidic bottom waters (≥10 mM), based on an evaluation of the Devonian Bakken Formation shales in the Williston Basin and the absence of V hyper-enrichments in modern sediments from euxinic basins whose water columns have H$_2$S < 10 mM [9]. The results of the present study suggest that this interpretation should be used only with thorough understanding of the depositional environment and cross-examination against other redox proxies. We do not rule out occurrence of V hyper-enrichment under hyper-sulfidic conditions, instead we argue that this is not the primary mechanism for V hyper-enrichment in ORM from most environments. Three groups of samples were observed in the compiled datasets with negative, positive and no V–$^{98}$Mo trends, and provide insight into mechanisms for V hyper-enrichments in ancient ORMs:

1. Fe-Mn particulate shuttling providing excess adsorbed V to euxinic bottom waters facilitating removal into sediments when redoxclines deepen towards the sediment–water interface;
2. Water column stratification in moderately semi-restricted, highly productive basins with rapid water renewal from the open ocean is hypothesized to produce V hyper-enrichments under strongly euxinic conditions;
3. In a similar basin configuration to that of mechanism (2), weakly euxinic sediments may also obtain V hyper-enrichments, however, an association with organic matter and slow clastic sedimentation may amplify relative V concentrations leading to hyper-enrichments.

It is noted that these mechanisms are not presently active in modern euxinic basins to the extent inferred for ancient environments, and thus do not have a true modern basin analog. Thus, this study reveals that V hyper-enrichments in ancient ORM may have been the result of local- or basin-scale processes and hydrographic configurations, which are not found on the modern Earth.
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**Appendix A**

The following geological units were measured against in-house standards listed with variation from NIST-SRM-3134 = +0.25%o [38], and are thus corrected to the NIST-SRM-3134 scale:

- Bern-Mo (−0.02): Ara Group [49], Niutitang Formation [44,45], Hetang Formation [43], Wufeng Formation [51], Dalong Formation [51]
- BIG-Mo (−0.01): Scaglia Bianca [46], OAE2 Black Shale (Cape Verde Basin) [46]
- Mary-Mo (+0.09): Sapropels 35–25 [53]
- OU-Mo (−0.12): Yorkshire Outcrops [57], Kimmeridge Clay [59]
- Roch-Mo1 (−0.12): Oatka Creek Formation [54]
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