Characterization of plasma circulating small extracellular vesicles in patients with metastatic solid tumors and newly diagnosed brain metastasis
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ABSTRACT
Nearly 40% of the advanced cancer patients will present brain metastases during the course of their disease, with a 2-year life expectancy of less than 10%. Immune system impairment, including the modulation of both STAT3 and PD-L1, is one of the hallmarks of brain metastases. Liquid biopsy could offer several advantages in brain metastases management, such as the possibility of noninvasive dynamic monitoring. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) have been recently proposed as novel biomarkers especially useful in liquid biopsy due to their secretion in biofluids and their role in cell communication during tumor progression. The main aim of this work was to characterize the size and protein cargo of plasma circulating EVs in patients with solid tumors and their correlation with newly diagnosed brain metastases, in addition to their association with other relevant clinical variables. We analyzed circulating EVs in the plasma of 123 patients: 42 patients with brain metastases, 50 without brain metastases and 31 healthy controls. Patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases had a lower number of circulating EVs in the plasma and a higher protein concentration in small EVs (sEVs) compared to patients without brain metastases and healthy controls. Interestingly, melanoma patients with brain metastases presented decreased STAT3 activation and increased PD-L1 levels in circulating sEVs compared to patients without central nervous system metastases. Decreased STAT3 activation and increased PD-L1 in plasma circulating sEVs identify melanoma patients with brain metastasis.

INTRODUCTION
One out of 10 patients with solid tumors will develop brain metastases1, 40% of patients with metastatic tumors,2,3 during the course of their disease. The main tumor types with higher incidence of brain metastasis are lung cancer (40–50% of cases), breast cancer (15–25%) and melanoma (5–20%).4

Despite the current multimodal strategies, central nervous system (CNS) metastases are associated with poor outcomes (less than 10% of the patients are alive after 2 years from the diagnosis) and detrimental quality of life of the patients.3

Differential factors involved in brain metastases dissemination could justify the failure of systemic therapies such as the presence of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) or evolutionary divergences found in cell clones localized in the CNS compared to extracranial metastases.4,5

The induction of an immunosuppressive state is another factor involved in CNS metastatic spread.6 Out of many factors involved, the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) is particularly relevant.7 Its activity has been shown to increase in brain metastases compared to primary tumors in melanoma, lung or breast cancer7–10 Moreover, STAT3-activated reactive astrocytes within brain metastases have been shown to inhibit CD8+ T lymphocyte activation through the expression of programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) and the secretion of other immunosuppressive molecules, favoring tumor growth.11 Indeed, STAT3 is involved in immune cell evasion aiding tumor progression and metastasis.12 Similarly, PD-L1 expression has also been reported in different brain stromal cells with immunosuppressive consequences, such as reactive astrocytes,13 microglial cells13 or infiltrating peripheral neutrophils.14

Small extracellular vesicles (sEVs) represent a subtype of extracellular vesicles (EVs).15,16 In malignant diseases, EVs play an important role as intercellular communicators in the establishment of the pre-metastatic niche,17–18 and brain metastasis.17,19–21

EVs represent one of the last elements to be included in liquid biopsy studies;22,23 plasma samples are the main source analyzed so far. In recent years, secretion of PD-L1 in EVs has been pointed out as a potential mechanism of resistance to the treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors.24,25 For example, exogenous
administration of tumor cell-derived EVs, expressing PD-L1 on their surface, increased the ability of metastatic dissemination and primary tumor growth.26 Plasma circulating PD-L1 from plasma decreased the activation of CD8+ lymphocytes T.27 On the other hand, the secretion of STAT3 in plasma-circulating sEVs has not been reported. Considering the role of both PD-L1 and phospho-STAT3 (pSTAT3) in the biology of brain metastases, we wanted to characterize the presence of these molecules in plasma circulating sEVs in patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases to identify their relevance as minimally invasive biomarkers for the CNS metastatic dissemination.

Materials and methods

Study design, population and sample collection

Observational, single academic center study. Plasma samples were collected prospectively from February 2017 to December 2020.

Two different sets were considered:

a) Patients diagnosed with metastatic solid tumors (lung, breast, kidney cancer or melanoma, the most frequent sources known to spread to the CNS). They were prospectively included with either confirmed progressive disease state or a recent diagnosis of their metastatic tumor. Patients were not receiving any potential systemic therapy interfering with the analyses.

The assessment of the brain metastatic status was performed according to usual practice, using standard imaging tests such as brain computed tomography (CT) or brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Those patients with brain metastases had to present a recent (de novo) diagnosis of their CNS involvement at the time of sample collection; patients with previous history of brain dissemination were excluded. Patients considered to have no brain metastases were also assessed by radiological tests in order to confirm their status.

b) Healthy controls. Healthy volunteers and patients with a previous diagnosis of any solid tumor radically treated and without evidence of local or distant relapse during at least 5 years of follow-up (cured controls) were included.

The clinical/pathological variables collected are detailed in Supplementary Table 1.

The protocol of this study was reviewed and approved by the Committees for Ethical Research (identification number 17/052), as indicated by the Spanish legislation. All samples were obtained after signing informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

Once the inclusion criteria mentioned above were confirmed, blood samples (approximately 10 ml) were collected in EDTA tubes and centrifuged for 10 min at 500 x g at room temperature using a swing out bucket rotor. The plasma fractions (supernatant) were poured into collection tubes and immediately frozen at −80°C.

sEV isolation

Purification of sEVs from plasma was performed after thawing the samples at 37°C for 3–5 min. First, samples were centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 20 min, followed by further centrifugation of the supernatants at 12,000 × g for 20 min. The sEVs were subsequently harvested by centrifugation of the supernatant fraction at 100,000 × g for 70 min. The sEV pellet was resuspended in 3.5 ml of PBS and collected by a second ultracentrifugation at 100,000 × g for 70 min. All centrifugations were performed at 10°C using a Beckman OptimaX100 centrifuge with a Beckman 70.1TI rotor.

sEVs were resuspended in 100 µl PBS and the protein content was measured by bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Pierce). Particle number was measured from an aliquot of 1 µl of plasma sEVs diluted in 1 ml of PBS using NTA (NanoSight; Malvern) equipped with a violet laser (405 nm).

Sample preparation for proteomic analysis

Plasma samples were diluted 1:10 with 50 mM Tris-HCl and the protein content of plasma-derived exosomes was resuspended in Laemmli buffer. Proteins (10 µg) were reduced and alkylated (15 mM TCEP, 30 mM CAA) 20 min in the dark at room temperature. Then, samples were digested following the single-pot solid-phase enhanced sample preparation (SP3) protocol28 with some modifications. Briefly, ethanol was added to the samples to a final concentration of 70% and proteins were incubated for 15 minutes with the beads at a bead:protein ratio 10:1 (wt/wt). Beads were washed using 70% EtOH (twice) and 100% ACN. Proteins were digested with trypsin in 50 mM TEAB (proteinenzyme ratio 1:50, overnight at 37°C; Promega). Resulting peptides were acidified, vacuum-dried and resuspended in 0.1%FA.

Mass spectrometry

For the proteomic analysis, LC-MS/MS was carried out by coupling an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano System (Dionex) with a Q-Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (ThermoScientific). Peptides were loaded into a trap column (Acclaim PepMap™ 100, 100 µm × 2 cm, ThermoScientific) over 3 min at a flow rate of 10 µl/min in 0.1% FA. Then peptides were transferred to an analytical column (PepMap™ RSLC C18, 2 µm, 75 µm × 50 cm, ThermoScientific) and separated using a 60 min effective linear gradient (buffer A: 0.1% FA; buffer B: 100% ACN, 0.1% FA) at a flow rate of 250 nL/min. The gradient used was: 0–3 min 2% B, 3–5 min 6% B, 5–36 min 17.5% B, 36–60 min 25% B, 60–63 min 33% B, 63–65 min 45% B, 65–70 min 98% B, 70–80 min 2% B. The peptides were electro-sprayed (1.5 kV) into the mass spectrometer through a heated capillary at 320°C and a S-Lens RF level of 50%. The mass spectrometer was operated in a data-dependent mode, with an automatic switch between the MS and MS/MS scans using a top 15 method (minimum AGC target 3E3) and a dynamic exclusion time of 25 sec. MS (350–1400 m/z) and MS/MS spectra were acquired with a resolution of 70,000 and 17,500 FWHM (200 m/z), respectively. Peptides were isolated using a 2 Th window and fragmented using higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) at 27% normalized collision energy. The ion target values were 3E6 for MS (25 ms maximum injection time) and 1E5 for MS/MS (45 ms maximum injection time). Samples were analyzed twice.
Proteomic data analysis

Raw files were processed with MaxQuant (v 1.6.10.43) using the standard settings against a human protein database (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot, January 2022, 20,387 sequences) supplemented with contaminants. Label-free quantification was done with match between runs (match window of 0.7 min and alignment window of 20 min). Carbamidomethylation of cysteines was set as a fixed modification whereas methionine oxidation and N-term acetylation were variable protein modifications. The minimal peptide length was set to 7 amino acids and a maximum of two tryptic missed-cleavages were allowed. The results were filtered at 0.01 FDR (peptide and protein level).

The human Exocarta database (http://exocarta.org) was considered the reference set list to evaluate the protein content in exosome markers.

Data availability

Public proteomic data set on human plasma and plasma-derived sEVs used was deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE²⁵ partner repository with the dataset identifiers PXD032767. Source data have been provided as Source Data files. All other data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Immunoblotting

sEVs were lysed in Laemmli buffer at 95°C for 5 min, and 10 μg of the protein extracts were resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed using antibodies against STAT3 (1:1,000, #9139S; Cell Signaling), Phospho-STAT3 (1:2,000, #9145S; Cell Signaling), PD-L1 (1:1,000, #13684S; Cell Signaling), β-actin (1:10,000, #A5441; Sigma- Aldrich), CD81 clone 5A6 (Santa Cruz), CD9 clone VII/20 (kindly provided by Dr. María Yáñez-Mo), TSG101 (BD #612697). Peroxidase-conjugated AffinityPure donkey anti-rabbit or anti-mouse (1:5,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch) were used as secondary antibodies. Signal was detected using ECL Western Blotting Substrate kit (GE Healthcare). The intensity of the immunoreactive bands was quantified by densitometry using Image) software (National Institutes of Health).

Endpoints

We aim to characterize plasma-circulating sEVs from patients with recently diagnosed brain metastases and compare them to those from metastatic patients without CNS involvement and healthy controls. Specifically, particle number in plasma, protein concentration and expression of pSTAT3, STAT3 and PD-L1 by Western blot in plasma-circulating sEVs were quantified and correlated with clinically relevant characteristics, especially the recent diagnosis of brain metastases. The type of response achieved with the immediate treatment received after plasma sample collection and overall survival of patients were also assessed and correlated with the parameters analyzed in plasma-circulating sEVs.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism (version 8.1.1) was used for all statistical analyses. Baseline patient characteristics were compared between the study groups (concretely, patients with vs without brain metastases) using t tests, Mann-Whitney test (quantitative variables) or two-tailed Fisher’s exact test and Chi-square test (qualitative variables). The differences for the parameters analyzed were assessed by parametric tests (one-way ANOVA, unpaired Student’s test) and nonparametric tests (Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney test). Overall survival (OS) analyses were performed using the Kaplan-Meier method; differences were evaluated using the Log-Rank test. Controlled subgroup analyses were performed to assess the influence of potential confounding factors. Statistical significance was established for a p value < .05.

Results

Analysis of circulating EVs in plasma

A total of 123 patients who met the pre-specified inclusion criteria were finally included: 42 metastatic patients with brain metastases, 50 patients without brain metastases and 31 healthy controls (Table 1). Specifically for metastatic patients with or without brain metastasis, no statistically significant differences were found in relation to the number or type of previous systemic therapies received between these groups. See Supplementary Tables 2 and 3 for further details. Metastatic patients with CNS involvement had a shorter OS (time from diagnosis of metastatic disease to death due to any cause) (median OS 25.20 months) compared to those without brain metastases (median OS 55.80 months) (Hazard ratio 1.99; 95% confidence interval 1.11–3.57) (Figure 1(a)). A consistent trend was observed in all histological types considered, with a worse outcome for those patients with CNS dissemination (Supplementary Figures 1A–1D).

In order to characterize plasma circulating EVs, we measured their number using nanoparticle track analysis (NTA) (Figure 1(b)). sEV integrity was confirmed by electron microscopy (Figure 1(c)). We verified the enrichment of typical sEV markers such as CD9, CD81 and TSG-101, in our preparations (Figure 1(d)). In order to analyze the relative abundance of sEV markers in our sEV preparation, we performed mass spectrometry in matched samples of plasma and sEVs from melanoma patients. We found that 86 out of TOP 100 proteins defined in exocarta were present in our sEV samples (24.5%) as compared with only 26 in plasma samples (4.9%), demonstrating an enrichment of 5-fold sEV markers in our samples. Moreover, we found a reduction of potential contaminants in our sEV samples since albumin was reduced by 3.5 fold and apolipoproteins were reduced by 2.8 fold in sEV preparations compared to plasma (Figure 1(e)).

The analysis of particle number in plasma samples showed that lung, breast and kidney cancer patients with CNS metastases presented a decreased number of
particles compared to those patients without brain metastasis (Figures 2(a-c)). In melanoma patients we did not find significant differences among groups (Figure 2(d)). On the other hand, CNS metastasis was associated with an increased protein concentration in plasma-circulating sEVs from lung cancer and breast cancer patients compared to those without brain metastases (Figures 2(e-f)). Although not statistically significant, there was also a trend for an increased protein concentration in the circulating sEVs of kidney cancer patients with CNS metastases (Figure 2(g)). Regarding melanoma patients, no differences were found in relation to sEV protein concentration according to the CNS status (Figure 2h).

See Supplementary Tables 4 and 5 for further details.

Analysis of correlation of protein concentration with patient survival showed that a high protein concentration in plasma-circulating sEVs is associated with a more aggressive clinical course and a reduced overall survival in lung cancer and melanoma patients (Figures 3(a, b)). No differences were found according to the immunohistochemical subtypes for breast cancer and kidney cancer patients or according to absence/presence of previous oncological history in the healthy controls group (data not shown). Specifically in breast cancer, a higher protein concentration was found in patients with a more aggressive clinical course of their disease (tumor progression at multiple levels, including CNS and other systemic locations) compared to patients with CNS progression only (Figure 3(c)).

**Characterization of PD-L1 and pSTAT3 expression by Western blot in plasma-circulating sEVs**

Due to the relevance of STAT3 and PD-L1 in brain metastasis progression,7–11,13,14 we wondered if the analysis of these molecules in circulating sEVs could be modulated along metastatic progression. The comparative analysis of PD-L1 expression in plasma-circulating sEVs from patients with lung, breast or kidney cancer showed no differences regarding the CNS metastatic status (Figure 4(a)). Regarding the analysis of STAT3, a double band at the expected weight of STAT3 was observed in most of the patients analyzed, fact that could be explained by the simultaneous presence of two isoforms (STAT3a and STAT3b as a consequence of alternative splicing)31 (Supplementary Figures 2A, 2B, 3A, 4A, 4B and 4C). However, unlike in melanoma patients (see below), a double band was not clearly observed in the pSTAT3 analysis for lung, breast and kidney cancer patients (Supplementary Figures 2A, 2B and 3A); thus, the quantification of pSTAT3 expression in these cases was performed considering exclusively the band observed at the expected weight for this protein.31 Patients with metastatic breast cancer and brain metastases presented an increased STAT3 activation in their plasma-circulating sEVs compared to patients without CNS involvement; in this regard, no differences were found for lung or kidney cancer patients (Figure 4(b)).

Interestingly, the analysis of PD-L1 levels in melanoma patients showed an increased expression of this protein in circulating sEVs from the patients with a recent diagnosis of brain metastases compared to those without known CNS dissemination (Figures 5(a, b)). In contrast to the rest of the patients considered in this series, melanoma cases without known brain metastasis presented a double band in the pSTAT3 analysis; both pSTAT3a (higher molecular weight) and pSTAT3b (lower molecular weight) expressions were retained (Figure 5(a)). In this tumor subtype, we unexpectedly observed that pSTAT3a showed a strong dephosphorylation in patients with brain metastasis (Figures 5(a, c)).

Strikingly, some patients with low pSTAT3/STAT3 sEVs expression, regardless of their tumor subtype or CNS status, were repeatedly associated with an increased PD-L1 level (Supplementary Figures 2A, 2B and 3A). Of note, sEVs purified from the plasma of healthy patients showed very low levels of pSTAT3 (Supplementary Figure 3B) and a complete absence of PD-L1 expression (Supplementary Figure 3C).

In the global analysis of PD-L1 expression (Figure 5(d)), a statistically significant absence of this protein was found in the healthy controls group; this quantification also showed that there is a significant increase of PD-L1 in circulating sEVs regardless of the patients group analyzed except in melanoma patients with no dissemination to the CNS and kidney cancer patients with dissemination to the CNS. These data suggest that patients with no active cancer have undetectable levels of PD-L1 in plasma circulating sEVs.
Tests using biological fluids for detecting tumor material are a noninvasive approach complementary or even alternative to tissue biopsies. Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) and circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) are considered the cornerstones of liquid biopsy-based diagnosis. However, in addition to CTCs and ctDNA, the analysis of tumor-secreted EVs has been of increasing interest in recent years. Although there remains debate about the benefits of circulating EVs compared to other circulating biomarkers, there have been several studies demonstrating their utility and benefits in diagnostics using specific biomarkers including DNA, RNA and protein biomarkers.

Several studies support an association between an increased number of plasma particles and a worse outcome or aggressive features in oncological patients with colon or head and neck cancer. Other studies have found no differences in the number of circulating EVs analyzed in plasma or other biological fluids from melanoma patients according to the tumor stage or the extent of loco-regional lymph node involvement, similar to the results here presented for this specific subtype.

Discussion

Observed that the number of circulating particles was reduced in breast, lung and kidney cancer patients with brain metastases. Although the reasons behind these changes are hard to interpret, we observed that, concomitantly with these changes, breast and lung cancer patients with brain metastases showed increased amount of protein associated to circulating sEVs. Although scarce, data from different studies regarding the value of total protein concentration in circulating sEVs point to an association between a higher protein concentration in circulating sEVs and a worse clinical course. In our series, the analysis of the correlation between the total protein content in sEVs and patient survival suggests a potential prognostic value. Measurement of protein concentration in sEVs could be useful for the assessment of tumor dissemination to the CNS; nevertheless, since we did not reach statistical significance in these cohorts, analyses in larger cohorts are needed. Similarly, since total protein in sEVs may indicate systemic changes (e.g. EVs derived from circulating platelets, immune cells, etc ...) the source of this material as well as the biological relevance needs to be solved.

Tumor-secreted EVs have the intrinsic ability to breach biological barriers such as the BBB. Brain metastases, result from the dissemination of tumor cells to the brain, most commonly from lung cancer, melanoma, and breast cancer. EVs contribute to different stages of brain metastasis increasing BBB permeability, reprogramming of brain metastatic niches and increasing brain metastatic organotropism of
tumor cells.\textsuperscript{18,19} Overall, these studies demonstrate that EVs can drive bidirectional cross talk between tumor cells and their microenvironment promoting metastasis formation in the brain. However, the analysis of EVs in clinical samples is unreported, to the best of our knowledge, this study constitutes the first approach to characterize the potential clinical value of a noninvasive technique, liquid biopsy using plasma-circulating sEVs, in patients with different solid tumors and recently diagnosed brain metastases.

To perform these analyses the selection of sample plasma was carried out following well-established criteria to ensure the homogeneity of the selected group and to limit possible confounding factors common in clinical practice. It is noteworthy that none of the oncological patients were under active systemic treatment potentially influencing the results at the time of sample collection; in addition, the group of patients with CNS involvement consisted of patients with no previously known brain disease (\textit{de novo} diagnosis). This series of patients consisted of three distinct groups of subjects. The healthy controls, when compared with the other two oncological groups, allowed us to characterize features inherent to any oncological disease. Specifically, the presence of two defined groups of metastatic patients, which differed only in the presence of CNS involvement, allowed to establish associations between the results obtained and the metastatic brain dissemination process. As previously described in the literature, and showed in our series, CNS metastatic disease constitutes a negative prognostic factor for OS.\textsuperscript{3,43} In the studies considering each histological subtype separately, small sample sizes limited the power of statistical analyses and no statistically significant difference was reached.

Although no specific studies have been performed in patients with brain metastatic dissemination, there are data reporting an association between the EV expression of PD-L1 and pSTAT3 and a more advanced oncological disease, more aggressive clinical course and, even, mechanisms of treatment resistance.\textsuperscript{24,25,44}

STAT3\textalpha, the predominant STAT3 splice form in several cell types, typically shows rapid phosphorylation and nuclear translocation following cytokine stimulation, but their differential role is still a matter of discussion.\textsuperscript{65} We have observed that plasma sEVs from melanoma patients with brain metastases showed reduced pSTAT3\textalpha levels in addition to an increased PD-L1 expression compared to those from melanoma patients without CNS dissemination, characterized by higher levels of pSTAT3 together with the absence of PD-L1. The fact that pSTAT3\textalpha disappears from circulating sEVs suggest that it
could be executing its actions intra-nuclearly in immune and/or tumor cells preventing its shedding in plasma-circulating sEVs. Indeed, activated STAT3 in immune cells results in inhibition of immune mediators and promotion of immunosuppressive factors as well as melanoma metastatic behavior. Moreover, STAT3 inhibition reduced melanoma metastasis to brain and has recently been hypothesized to be considered a potential target for immunotherapy. Interestingly, a comparative study between lung cancer patients with and without CNS metastases also showed a higher concentration of PD-L1+ myeloid cells, immunosuppressive myeloid cells and regulatory T lymphocytes in the peripheral blood of patients with brain involvement, all of them considered as systemic immunosuppression markers. In summary, we observed

---

**Figure 3.** Complementary studies for total protein concentration in patients plasma-circulating sEVs showing a correlation between a high protein amount and a worse prognosis. **a.** Survival analysis showing the cumulative survival probability in patients with previously untreated metastatic lung cancer according to the protein concentration in plasma-circulating sEVs (taking the median value of the group as reference). **b.** Survival analysis showing the cumulative survival probability in patients with previously untreated metastatic melanoma according to the protein concentration in plasma-circulating sEVs (taking the median value of the group as reference). Differences were assessed using the Log-Rank test. **c.** Analysis of protein concentration in circulating sEVs regarding the type of progression experienced in patients with metastatic breast cancer and known central nervous system (CNS) involvement: at CNS only or at CNS and other locations. * p value 0.02. ns: not significant.

**Figure 4.** Analysis of PD-L1 and pSTAT3/STAT3 expression by Western blot in plasma-circulating sEVs from patients with lung, breast or kidney cancer. **a.** Quantification of PD-L1 expression levels and statistical analysis of samples obtained. The data obtained for PD-L1 in densitometry were normalized to Ponceau values (see Supplementary Figure 4). **b.** Quantification of pSTAT3/STAT3 expression levels and statistical analysis of samples obtained. * p value 0.03. ns: not significant.
a decrease of pSTAT3α levels and increased PD-L1 expression in patients with CNS dissemination suggesting that these changes are a consequence of the systemic immunosuppression observed in melanoma brain metastatic patients. These data suggest that the analysis of these molecules in plasma-circulating sEVs in melanoma patients with brain metastasis undergoing immunotherapy or in the combination with STAT3 inhibitors could be useful to monitor treatment response. Nevertheless, we have to be careful in the interpretation of these results due to the limited samples analyzed in this study.

On the other hand, no significant differences were found for the expression of PD-L1 and pSTAT3 in plasma-circulating sEVs from lung, breast or kidney cancer patients depending on the presence or absence of newly diagnosed brain metastases, suggesting that these diseases depend on other molecular pathways. However, complementary analyses in some of these tumor types suggested an association between a pattern consisting of a reduced pSTAT3 or an increased PD-L1 expression (similar to that of melanoma patients with brain metastases) and a more aggressive clinical course.

Noteworthy, we observed an inverse correlation between the pSTAT3 and PD-L1 expressions in plasma-circulating sEVs in most of the tumor types considered. Further mechanistic studies are warranted to explain these findings highlighting the relationship between EV expression and cellular activation of these proteins. Our findings also support the potential value of sEV-derived PD-L1 as a diagnostic marker of active oncological disease in several types independently of brain metastasis (e.g. breast cancer and lung cancer). It was previously reported that plasma-derived sEVs from the healthy controls group were characterized by the absence of PD-L1 expression and that PD-L1 secreted in plasma sEVs from melanoma patients is useful to identify patients responding to immunotherapies. Importantly, we found that increase of PD-L1 levels was specific in melanoma patients with brain metastasis suggesting a strong immunosuppressive systemic change. It would be interesting to monitor PD-L1 in the plasma of melanoma patients with brain metastasis as a potential biomarker of response to therapy in this specific subtype. However, the study of plasma EVs is limited by the uncertainty
of the cellular origin of these vesicles. Previous studies have suggested that most of the material found in blood would have an immunological origin.\textsuperscript{52,53} Unfortunately, in our study we could not identify the origin of STAT3 and PD-L1 in circulation, the identification of the source of these molecules would be important in future studies to determine whether they reflect systemic or tumor changes along metastatic progression.

Considering the role of EVs in the pre-metastatic niche conformation, the analysis of these vesicles using blood, the main route of neoplastic dissemination to the brain, could inform molecular features with potential to predict the risk of brain metastases. However, this study has several limitations. Despite the total number of the series exceeds 120 patients, the analyses according to the tumor subtypes are limited by a low sample size, which only allows the establishment of hypotheses for future studies. Nevertheless, the inclusion of different types of solid tumors favors the generalization of these results.

The samples were only collected at the time of the recent diagnosis of CNS dissemination; this fact makes it difficult the interpretation of the findings between true molecular risk factors predisposing to brain metastases or the systemic expression of already established brain lesions. Dynamic follow-up studies, including sample collections at different points during the clinical course (before and after the diagnosis of brain involvement) will be useful for the interpretation of the results here presented. Moreover, the intrinsic limitations of the imaging techniques currently used for the diagnosis could lead to a potential selection bias difficult to assess for in this series.

Finally, the complexity of cancer biology makes it difficult to explain oncological events, such as tumor dissemination to the brain, by means of a single approach. There are genetic, environmental or immunological factors, among others, not considered in this work, which could also influence the results presented. In addition to clinical studies, in vitro and in vivo analyses are also needed to explain these results and to adequately assess their clinical significance.

Overall, this study suggests that plasma-circulating EVs present different quantitative and qualitative characteristics depending on the presence or absence of neoplastic brain dissemination, especially in melanoma patients. This information highlights the potential usefulness of EVs for the development of new biomarkers that could improve the care of oncological patients; however, functional studies defining the role of these vesicles in CNS metastases should be performed for an adequate interpretation of the data.
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**Statement of translational relevance**

Brain metastases are critical for outcomes and quality of life in almost 50% of oncological patients, generally associated with a poor short-term prognosis. Early or preventive diagnosis of this complication represents an unmet need. There is a necessity of discovering new biomarkers that could aid to predict disease outcome.

In this study, we analyzed plasma circulating extracellular vesicles (EVs) from a cohort of 92 patients with different solid tumors (lung, breast, kidney cancer and melanoma) and found that newly diagnosed patients with brain metastases presented lower number of circulating particles and a higher protein concentration in small extracellular vesicles (sEVs) compared to patients without brain metastases and healthy controls. Out of all groups analyzed, melanoma patients with brain metastases presented decreased STAT3 activation and increased PD-L1 levels in circulating sEVs compared to patients without central nervous system metastases.

The data presented in this work suggest that circulating sEVs may represent the immunosuppressive status of newly diagnosed brain metastases characterized by the reduced phospho-STAT3 (pSTAT3) and increased PD-L1, although the origin of these molecules found in circulating sEVs remains to be uncovered.
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