Predicting the artificial immunity induced by RUTI® vaccine against tuberculosis using universal immune system simulator (UISS)
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Abstract

Background: Tuberculosis (TB) represents a worldwide cause of mortality (it infects one third of the world’s population) affecting mostly developing countries, including India, and recently also developed ones due to the increased mobility of the world population and the evolution of different new bacterial strains capable to provoke multi-drug resistance phenomena. Currently, antitubercular drugs are unable to eradicate subpopulations of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) bacilli and therapeutic vaccinations have been postulated to overcome some of the critical issues related to the increase of drug-resistant forms and the difficult clinical and public health management of tuberculosis patients. The Horizon 2020 EC funded project “In Silico Trial for Tuberculosis Vaccine Development” (STriTuVaD) to support the identification of new therapeutic interventions against tuberculosis through novel in silico modelling of human immune responses to disease and vaccines, thereby drastically reduce the cost of clinical trials in this critical sector of public healthcare.

Results: We present the application of the Universal Immune System Simulator (UISS) computational modeling infrastructure as a disease model for TB. The model is capable to simulate the main features and dynamics of the immune system activities i.e., the artificial immunity induced by RUTI® vaccine, a polyantigenic liposomal therapeutic vaccine made of fragments of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (FCMtb). Based on the available data coming from phase II Clinical Trial in subjects with latent tuberculosis infection treated with RUTI® and isoniazid, we generated simulation scenarios through validated data in order to tune UISS accordingly to STriTuVaD objectives. The first case simulates the establishment of MTB latent chronic infection with some typical granuloma formation; the second scenario deals with a reactivation phase during latent chronic infection; the third represents the latent chronic disease infection scenario during RUTI® vaccine administration.

(Continued on next page)
Background

Tuberculosis (TB) is a transmissible airborne disease triggered by the Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) acid-fast bacillus that most often affect the lungs [1, 2]. Even though TB has existed for millennia, it still represents a worldwide health problem and one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality in developing and developed countries. In 2016, 10.4 million of new cases and 1.6 million of TB-causing deaths were globally estimated; of these, 2.79 million were new cases in India and 435,000 cases dead for TB [3]. For these reasons, India is the country with the highest burden of TB and leads the world in deaths from tuberculosis in terms of absolute number of cases [4]. TB is spread through the air from person to person, in particular when people with lung TB cough, sneeze or spit. The lifetime risk of falling ill with TB for people previously infected with TB bacilli is about 5–15%. However, compromised immune systems subjects, such as people living with diabetes, HIV or malnutrition conditions have a much higher risk of falling ill with TB [5–9].

For an organism encountering M. tuberculosis bacilli there are different possible outcomes: firstly, the bacillus can be instantly killed by the host’s innate immune response [10, 11]. Secondly, of every 10 people infected with M. tuberculosis, one may develop an active infection in their lifetime within a finite time frame, from 1 to 3 years. This category probably lacks the capability to both control the early infection and develop a protective response in time in order to prevent the disease. Cough with sputum and blood at times, fever, weakness, chest pains, weight loss and night sweats are the major common symptoms of active pulmonary TB [12]. Finally, we observe latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) when a persistent immune response to stimulation by Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigens occurs without evidence of clinically manifested active tuberculosis. One-quarter of the global population is infected with LTBI and individuals with LTBI represent a reservoir for active TB cases [13, 14].

In order to manage the disease, antibiotic treatment reduces the bacterial load in the lungs and can be helpful to reduce the probability of transmission, along with other public health measures [15]. The anti-tuberculosis drugs can be classified as drugs with bactericidal and sterilizing effect. The first one is fundamental in the early phase giving a significant reduction of the bacterial load; the second one is more efficient in the continuation phase, because it is directed to kill latent mycobacteria. The secondary consequence of this activity is the decrease of the probability of selecting drug-resistant strains [16].

The mainstay of TB antibiotics consists on a first-line therapy for active tuberculosis. The standard treatment for people at low risk for drug-resistance is isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RMP), pyrazinamide (PZA) and ethambutol (EMB) or streptomycin (SM) for the initial two-month phase followed by isoniazid and rifampin for 4 months to 7. The main properties of these anti-tuberculosis drugs are the bactericidal and sterilizing activity along with a reduction in the development of drug resistance [17, 18].

Second line drugs are used for the treatment of drug resistant TB patients (i.e., for single or multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) as well as extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis (XDR-TB)) or and the World Health Organization (WHO) has divided them in 2, 3 and 4 group [19]. Aminoglycosides and polypeptides belong to WHO group 2 (i.e., amikacin (AMK) and capreomycins); fluoroquinolones are attributed to WHO group 3 such as ciprofloxacin (CIP), levofloxacin (LVX), moxifloxacin (MXF) while thioamides and cycloserine are representative drugs of WHO group 4 for example with ethionamide [20, 21]. Currently treatment regimens vary in duration between 6 months in drug susceptible TB to 24 months in drug resistant TB [22].

Rifamycin-based regimens for LTBI have been successful in preventing progression to TB disease [23]. However, treatment of LTBI needs a long period of chemotherapy, approximately 9 months, leading to an extremely difficulty in terms of management and treatment-compliance therapy [24].
The lengthy TB chemotherapy regimen likely reflects the inability of current chemotherapy drugs to eradicate subpopulations of Mycobacterium bacilli, allowing these population bacteria to persist in infected individuals, surviving in granulomas with a central necrotic core and an external layer of foamy macrophages (FM) as the main immunosuppressive barrier [25].

Moreover, the dramatic changes from an epidemiological point of view during the last two decades and the increase of drug-resistant forms of tuberculosis considerably complicate the clinical and public health management of the patients and of their relative contacts [26].

Therapeutic vaccinations have been suggested to overcome these critical issues and several candidates are already in clinical phases of development [27]. In this regard, efforts to shorten treatment duration have been successful, as shown in recent clinical trials, evaluating the role of several immunotherapeutic vaccines, such as RUTI® [28, 29]. The safety and immunogenicity of RUTI® vaccine have been already tested in Phase I and II studies in healthy volunteers and LTBI infected patients and it was observed its capacity to stimulate host immune effectors against bacilli improving outcomes under chemotherapy treatment [30].

Inside the “In Silico Trial for Tuberculosis Vaccine Development” (STriTuVaD) project, the anti-TB vaccine RUTI® is under evaluation in under evaluation in studies reducing the time to negativity of Sputum Culture Conversion (SCC) [31] in TB drug sensitive patients and TB multidrug resistance patients, favorably responding to standard TB treatment, with the aim to shorten treatment and reduce the frequency of recurrence. In this context, the application of in silico computational modeling strategies in the pipeline of standard clinical trials can helpfully increase the high likelihood chance of success of promising vaccine through the simulation of each individual of the reference population and the in silico predictions in terms of vaccine efficacy, recurrence and reactivation of the disease [32–34].

Within the STriTuVaD consortium, the Universal Immune System Simulator (UISS) platform (previously successfully applied to a large number of disease modeling scenarios [35–42]) simulates the MTB infection dynamics and its interactions with the host immune system. Hence, the in silico trial platform will be delivered to the STriTuVaD multidisciplinary consortium in order to simulate the relevant individual human physiology and physiopathology in patients affected by Mycobacterium tuberculosis and to predict how specific vaccinations strategies will be effective or not.

Here, we present the current advances of UISS development and its extension in the context of tuberculosis [43], focusing on specific scenarios that show the capability of UISS in simulating the intrinsic immune system behavior against MTB infection (eliciting or not eliciting the complete clearance of the infection or, eventually, allowing the chronic establishment of MTB reservoir inside the host due to both MTB characteristics and genetic features of the host).

Based on the available data coming from phase II Clinical Trial in subjects with latent tuberculosis infection treated with RUTI® [29] and then with 1 month of isoniazid treatment, we analyzed three simulation scenarios in order to tune UISS through previous validated data and accordingly to STriTuVaD objectives.

The first scenario simulates the establishment of MTB latent chronic infection with some typical granuloma formation as a reservoir of MTB infection; the second scenario deals with a reactivation phase during latent chronic infection; the third represents the latent chronic disease infection scenario during RUTI® vaccine administration in order to enhance antibiotic therapy.

Implementation

The simulation framework

UISS has a long history of development. It branches from C-IMMSIM [44] to specialize the simulation framework to deal with tumor immunology. Then it held different stages of immune system features development and was applied to a wide disease modeling scenarios. Nowadays, a lot of computational efforts are leading to an incredible boost in immunology research [45, 46]. Technically speaking, UISS is an agent based model (ABM) [47]. It can be thought as a two-component software. The first one is represented by a core that implements all the immune system features that is continuously updated to take into account all the state-of-the-art advancements in immunology. It owns anatomical compartments, cells and molecules, immune system repertoire, molecular affinity, haematopoiesis with generation of cells, cell maturation and thymus selection, Hayflick limit in the number of duplications [48], aging and memory of past infections, hyper-mutation of antibodies [49], bystander effect [50], cell activation and anergy, cell interaction and cooperation, antigen digestion and presentation. From the point of the view of physical representation, UISS maps biological tissues, lymphatic system and peripheral blood into a mathematical structure called cartesian lattice (two or three dimensional). Thymus and bone marrow are taken into account in an implicit way. This means that positive and negative selection (that are the main features of thymus) are implemented to educate T cells. A procedure that generates mature B cells, instead, models the bone marrow cells production. UISS playground is then only populated by immunocompetent entities. An important working assumption that allows UISS to deal with the incredible and fascinating variability of the immune system repertoire (including its capacity to adapt and consequently mount immune response) is the stochastic bitstring polyclonality. This means that epitopes and paratopes are modeled using binary
calculus. Each of them is represented by a set of binary strings. So, having \( N \) bits allow a potential immune system repertoire diversity of \( 2^N \). Stochasticity is implemented as probabilistic rules that produces a logical causal/effect sequence of events culminating in the immune response and development of immunological memory.

The second part consists on the disease model. It encaptures all the characteristics that are needed to reproduce the biological scenario one would like to simulate. In this specific case, the disease model takes into account the entities, the interactions and the dynamics of MTB against its natural target and with the immune system of the host.

To simulate the effects of RUTI\(^*\) vaccination strategy, we put into the model the liposome dynamics and how it interacts with the immune system. In particular we extended UISS framework to include: activation of PRRs that triggers the initiation of the innate immune response; activated CTLs that recognize peptides bound to the major histocompatibility complex class I and II molecules (MHC-I, MHC-II), which express antigenic peptides on APCs and bind to T cells via the T-cell receptor. TH cells provide help to antigen-specific B cells, resulting in antibody production.

The disease conceptual model

To model the entire dynamics of MTB and interactions with the immune system machinery, we selected all the players that have a role in TB in order to include the disease model into the simulation framework. The starting point was the implementation of all the biological entities involved into the dynamics of MTB, both at cellular and molecular scale. To analyze the behavior of a possible therapeutic intervention, we selected one of the vaccines we are going to test inside the STriTuVaD project i.e., RUTI\(^*\) vaccine. To this end, we implemented inside UISS the main mechanism of action (MoA) of this immunotherapeutic vaccine.

Our model takes into account both innate and adaptive immunity (both cellular and humoral) and immune memory. Figure 1 depicts all the entities implemented within the simulation framework, especially immune cells, cytokines and specific biological processes along with their peculiar properties in TB dynamics. We used Unified Modeling Language (UML) to design the conceptual model. UML is a general-purpose modeling language that is extensively used in the field of software engineering to provide a standard way to visualize the design of a system. All the entities of the TB disease model interact each other, and are appropriately located in two specific compartments: the lung (pulmonary alveoli) and the peripheral lymph nodes.

The starting point of our TB disease model consists on the aerosol droplets of MTB that reach lung alveolar macrophages (AMs) on one side and neutrophils (N) on the other one. The initial challenge of MTB is implemented simulating a virtual injection of MTB bacilli into a lattice point in the lung compartment. Then the bacilli are free to move and disseminate randomly. When an AM becomes infected, it secretes IL-1, TNF-\( \alpha \), IL-12, IL-6 and chemokines. Depending on MTB strains and their virulence, infected AM can play a different role in determining the downstream pathways leading to the induction of either apoptosis or necrosis and to the final outcome of the infection. In this context, lipoxin A\(_4\) (LXA\(_4\)) promotes necrosis, while prostaglandin E\(_2\) (PGE\(_2\)) is a proapoptotic factor. When necrosis process is favored, the AM becomes necrotic and contributes to the MTB spread. Otherwise, when the AM becomes apoptotic, simultaneously three specific scenarios can occur. Firstly, AM apoptotic can interact with a lung resting macrophage (M) and lead to efferocytosis of macrophages, in other words an engulfment of AM apoptotic by M, essential for tissue homeostasis and immunity. This means switches from “resting” to “active” status.

Secondly, AM apoptotic cells can encounter a lung dendritic cell (DC). AM apoptotic can be taken up by DC that capture antigens (Ag) through a process called nibbling; then, DC will process and present the resulting fragments to antigen-specific T lymphocytes in the context of molecules of the major histocompatibility complex of class I (MHC-I) or related proteins. From this point forward, MTB–antigen processing DCs, migrate to the local lung-draining lymph nodes (by 8–12 days post infection) driving naïve T cell polarization. This migration is influenced by IL-2 release and other chemokines, except when IL-10 is present and is able to block this moving. A third interaction dealt with the secretion of MTB debris from AM apoptotic: MTB debris will interact with DCs, in status resting, that will process and present the resulting fragments to antigen-specific T lymphocytes in the context of molecules of the major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC-II) or related proteins.

When MTB infects a lung N, N produces and secretes IL-1 and other chemokines. Just like AM, also for N the MTB strain can lead to a different role in the induction of either apoptosis or necrosis and to the final outcome of the infection.

Both AM and N effector functions, can be negatively modulated by IL-10 induction during MTB infection. Respectively, IL-10 can lead to the inhibition of macrophage and neutrophil effector functions, with reduction of bacterial killing and impairing of secretion of cytokines and chemokines.

As previously said, IL-10 can also block chemotactic factors that control DC moving to the lung-draining lymph nodes.

The scenario inside the lymph node depicts the DC cells in antigen presenting cell status secreting IL-12, Type 1 IFN, IL-6 and IL-23 and driving naïve T cell differentiation toward a Th1, Th2 or Th17 phenotype. Th cell population differentiation can be negatively modulated by IL-10 and regulatory T cells (TReg). Protective
antigen-specific Th1 cells migrate back to the lungs about 14–17 days after the initial exposure and infection to MTB and under a chemokine gradient (except when IL-10 blocks this process). In the lung, activated Th1 cell population, produce and secrete IFN-γ, causing macrophage activation, relative cytokine production (IL-12 and TNF-α) and bacterial control. It is worth to mention that in this context, IL-10 can block macrophage activation and consequent cytokine secretion. Also TReg negatively modulate Th1 population effector functions.

For the sake of completeness, the Th1 cell population interacts also with B cells leading to three specific processes at the same time: after a successful interaction, B cells duplicate, differentiate in memory B and secrete immunoglobulins type G (IgG).

Similarly, Th2 cell migration and interaction with B cells leads to B cells duplication, differentiation in memory B cells and secretion of immunoglobulins type A (IgA). For what concern Th17 cell population, their migration and interaction with B cells will finally lead to cell duplication and secretion of immunoglobulins type E (IgE). Inside the lymph node, B cells interact also with MTB; after that B cells become active and secrete immunoglobulins type M (IgM).

Results and discussion

With the aim to obtain accurate in silico predictions about the efficacy of therapeutical interventions directed against MTB, we run different simulations to evaluate the degree of precision of UISS when applied to a specific interventional vaccine scenario based on a promising vaccine i.e., RUTI® vaccine. We used publicly available data coming from phase II Clinical Trial in subjects with latent tuberculosis infection treated with RUTI® [29], to evaluate the immunogenicity. We analyzed three simulation scenarios. The first one is represented by the establishment of MTB latent chronic infection with some typical granuloma formation as a reservoir of MTB infection. The second one deals with a reactivation phase during latent chronic infection where a possible breakdown of the granuloma may lead to the spread of the bacilli and to the reactivation of the disease, with an increased necrotic burden. The third consists on the latency chronic disease infection scenario during RUTI® vaccine
administration: here we show the evolution in time of M and CD4 T cell populations, in conjunction with the temporal evolution of IFN-γ that owns an important role as a biomarker of protection.

In Fig. 2 panel A, an initial infection of a virulent strain of MTB is supposed to happen at day 40. MTB rapidly infects both AM and M that become infected (blue lines). A similar behavior (not shown here) also occurs for N. Then, MTB grows inside them eventually leading to either apoptosis or necrosis of the infected cell, accordingly to the levels of LXA4 and PGE2 in the site of infection. In particular, the virulent strain will drive towards the production of the pro-necrotic LXA4 (panel D) and thus to higher percentages of necrotic cells that will become part of the granuloma mass (red lines). After the formation of the granuloma that represents a reservoir of MTB infection, the infection remains mostly latent, and also the CD4 T response that is recruited at the initial stages of infection (panel B) tends towards baseline levels. This is also present in the Interferon-gamma plots (panel C) where after an initial peak, its level drastically drops.

In Fig. 3 a breakdown of about the 50% of the total granulomatous burden is supposed to happen approximately after 400 days after primary infection. This translates in a rapid drop in the number of entities that are part of the granuloma (yellow lines) and in a reactivation of the disease with a final worsening of the total granuloma burden, even if an immune response is present.

---

**Fig. 2** In silico latent tuberculosis infection scenario. Panel A depicts AM population dynamics. In particular, it is simulated the time course of the MTB-alveolar macrophages infected levels, the apoptotic ones and those forming granuloma. One can appreciate the typical granuloma behavior in LTBI as a potential protective immune system instrument from one side and the MTB reservoir of infection from the other one. Panel B shows Helper T cells dynamics. Subtypes (TH-1, TH-2 and TH-17) are reported. LTBI induces a TH-2 switch instead of TH-1. This leads to a lesser immune system activation that could overcome the MTB spread. Panel C describes IFN-γ dynamics. After the first spike (representing the initial inflammatory response soon after the MTB infection), IFN-γ levels are kept almost at low level, indicating a latent typical scenario of immune system tolerance. In panel D LXA4 and PGE2 detailed dynamics are reported. The simulator correctly predicts a predominant LXA4 level indicating a pro-necrotic induction commonly observed in virulent strain of MTB causing a LTBI scenario. For all the simulated scenarios, time has been set to 720 days (2 years) and the virtual patient has been challenged with MTB at day 40.
(see panels B and C), that is however unable to deal with the spread of the bacteria.

Finally, in Fig. 4 we show the effects of the vaccination with the RUTI® vaccine in an individual with latent TB infection. Even if the vaccine seems to be mostly ineffective against the existing granuloma burden (panel A), a strong Th1 induced response is elicited with the induction of immunological memory (panel B). High levels of IFN-γ are present, in good agreement with the results presented in [29] for a 25μg dosage of the RUTI® vaccine in latent patients.

Overall, the simulations results are in very good agreement with available data coming from clinical trials. In particular, the in silico framework shows reliability in capturing the main landmarks of both induced immune response mirroring the IFN-γ, CD4 T cells, LXA4 and PGE2 dynamics. Moreover, form the spatial point of view, the granuloma dynamics with MTB spread were appropriately reproduced during the two most widespread scenarios characterizing pulmonary tuberculosis i.e., latent and latent with reactivation.

Conclusions
Computational models are important for the understanding of biological systems. Such models can be applied to enhance or predict therapeutic effects at the organism level. The pharmaceutical companies suggest that computational biology can play an excellent role in this field. In silico models can afford answers to the general behaviour of the immune system, the analysis of...
cellular and molecular interactions, the effects of treatments, and the course of diseases. The main goal of the STriTuVaD project is to create a computational infrastructure able to predict the general outcome of two different vaccination strategies against M. tuberculosis. In this work, we presented UISS framework implementation able to simulate the artificial immune response elicited by the vaccines. In particular we implemented the components of RUTI® vaccine.

Further steps deal with the creation of a set of virtual subjects with the aim to reproduce biological diversity of the subjects we will simulate. Through a “vector of features” that combines both biological and pathophysiological parameters we will obtain a personalization of the virtual patient to reproduce the physiology and the pathophysiology of the subject. This UISS simulation platform will be able, when compared to the experimental results of the clinical trial phase II, to predict with the necessary accuracy the bacterial load in the sputum smear.

**Availability and requirements**

Project name: UISS for TB.

Project home page: [https://www.combine-group.org/software](https://www.combine-group.org/software)

Operating system(s): Platform independent.

Programming language: C and Python.

Other requirements: none.

Any restrictions to use by non-academics: not applicable.

---

**Fig. 4** In silico latent tuberculosis infection with RUTI vaccine administration. Panel A depicts AM population dynamics. In particular, it is simulated the time course of the MTB-alveolar macrophages infected levels, the apoptotic ones and those forming granuloma. Panel B shows Helper T cells dynamics. Subtypes (TH-1, TH-2 and TH-17) are reported. The RUTI vaccine has been administered at day 450 and at day 478 (two inoculations, 28 days interval). CD4 T cells (TH-1 subtype) are the predominant one, indicating a strong immune system response induced by the therapeutical intervention. Panel C describes IFN-γ dynamics. Here one can appreciate the presence of two peaks of IFN-γ indicating the vaccine activity in stimulating pro-inflammatory and TH-1 mediated immune system response. Moreover, the level of IFN-γ dynamics prediction mirrors the one observed in the RUTI phase II clinical trial. In panel D LXA4 and PGE2 detailed dynamics are reported. The simulator correctly predicts a predominant peak of LXA4 indicating a pro-necrotic induction commonly observed in virulent strain of MTB. For all the simulated scenarios, time has been set to 720 days (2 years) and the virtual patient has been challenged with MTB at day 40.
Abbreviations

ABM: Agent Based Modeling; Ag: Antigens; AM: Alveolar Macrophage; AMK: Amikacin; APC: Antigen Processing Cell; CIP: Ciprofloxacin; DC: Dendritic Cell; EMF: Ethambutol; FCM: Fragmentts of Mycobacterium tuberculosis cells; FM: Foamy macrophages; HLA: Human Leukocyte Antigen; IgG: Immunoglobulin class G; IgG: Immunoglobulins type G; IgM: Immunoglobulin type M; INH: Isoniazid; LTBI: Latent Tuberculosis Infection; LXV: Levoxifloxacin; LXAA: Lipoxin A4; M: Macrophage; MDR-TB: Multidrug-resistant Tuberculosis; MHC-I: Major Histocompatibility Complex class I; MHC-II: Major Histocompatibility Complex class II; MoA: Mechanism of Action; MTB: Mycobacterium tuberculosis; MXF: Mosifloxacin; N: Neutrophils; PGE2: prostaglandin E2; PZA: Pyrazinamide; RMP: Rifampicin; SCC: Sputum Culture Conversion; SM: Streptomycin; STriTuVaD: In Silico Trial for Tuberculosis Vaccine Development; TB: Tuberculosis; TReg: Regulatory T cells; UISS: Universal Immunome Simulator; WHO: World Health Organization; XDR-TB: Extensively Drug-resistant Tuberculosis

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

About this supplement

This article has been published as part of BMC Bioinformatics Volume 20 Supplement 6: 2019: Towards computational modeling on immune system function. The full contents of the supplement are available online at https://bmcbiomedcentral.biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-20-supplement-6.

Authors’ contributions

MP: implemented the model, performed numerical simulations, analyzed data, wrote the manuscript. GR: developed the conceptual model, checked the biological adherence and meaning, analyzed data, wrote the manuscript. GS: performed numerical simulations, developed the python scripts for results generations. AB: gave biomedical knowledge, wrote the manuscript. GAPP: performed numerical simulations, developed the python scripts for results generations. EP: supervised, conceived and developed the model, gave results generations. AB: gave biomedical knowledge, wrote the manuscript. GR: developed the conceptual model, checked the biological adherence and meaning. The full contents of the supplement are available online at https://bmcbiomedcentral.biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-20-supplement-6.

Authors’ contributions

MP: implemented the model, performed numerical simulations, analyzed data, wrote the manuscript. GR: developed the conceptual model, checked the biological adherence and meaning, analyzed data, wrote the manuscript. GS: performed numerical simulations, developed the python scripts for results generations. AB: gave biomedical knowledge, wrote the manuscript. GAPP: performed numerical simulations, developed the python scripts for results generations. EP: supervised, conceived and developed the model, gave results generations. AB: gave biomedical knowledge, wrote the manuscript. GR: developed the conceptual model, checked the biological adherence and meaning. The full contents of the supplement are available online at https://bmcbiomedcentral.biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-20-supplement-6.

Funding

Authors of this paper acknowledge support from the STriTuVaD project. The STriTuVaD project has been funded by the European Commission, under the contract H2020-SC1-2017- CNECT-2, No. 777123. The information and views set out in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein. Publication costs are funded by the STriTuVaD project.

Availability of data and materials

The main computational framework is fully described in the paper.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

1Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Catania, 95125 Catania, Italy. 2Department of Drug Sciences, University of Catania, Italy. 3Etsa Biotech S.r.l., 95121 Catania, Italy. 4Department of Transplant Immunology and Immunogenetics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110029, India. 5Tuberculosis Vaccine Initiative (TBVI), Lelystad 8219, The Netherlands. 6Archivel Farma, SL, 08916 Badalona, Spain. 7Experimental Tuberculosis Unit (UTE), Fundacíon Institut Germans Trias i Pujol (IGTP), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Badalona, Spain. 8Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red (CIBER) de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Madrid, Spain. 9Department of Industrial Engineering, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, 40136 Bologna, Italy.

Received: 12 August 2019 Accepted: 21 August 2019

Published: 12 December 2019

References

1. Furin J, Cox H, Pai M. Tuberculosis. Lancet. 2019;393(10181):1642–56.
2. Koch A, Mizahi V. Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Trends Microbiol. 2018;26(6):555–6.
3. WHO. WHO Global Tuberculosis Report. 2018. 2018.
4. Central TB Division. Annual Status report of Tb India 2016, Revised National TB Control Programme. J Chem Inf Model. 2013;53:1689–99.
5. Fogel N. Tuberculosis: a disease without boundaries. Tuberculosis. 2015; 95(5):527–31.
6. Donald PR, Marais BJ, Barry CE. Age and the epidemiology and pathogenesis of tuberculosis. Lancet. 2010;375(9729):1852–54.
7. Cadena AM, Fortune SM, Flynn JL. Heterogeneity in tuberculosis. Nat Rev Immunol. 2017;17(11):691–702.
8. Narasimhan P, Wood J, Macintyre CR, Mathai D. Risk factors for tuberculosis. Pulmonary Medicine. 2013;2013:828939. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/828939.
9. Lönnroth K, Jaramillo E, Williams BG, Dye C, Ravlione M. Drivers of tuberculosis epidemics: the role of risk factors and social determinants. Soc Sci Med. 2009; 69(2):2240–46.
10. Dheda K, Schwander SK, Zhu B, van RN ZS, Zhang Y. The immunology of tuberculosis: from bench to bedside. Respiriolo. 2010;15:433–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1843.2010.01739.x.
11. O’Gara A, Redford PS, McNab FW, Bloom CI, Wilkinson RJ, Berry MP. The immune response in tuberculosis. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;31:475–527. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-095939.
12. Calleja-Ecezar M, De D, Xavier GM, CLG DS, FCQ DM, Ruffino-Netto A, et al. Tuberculosis incidence among contacts of active pulmonary tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009;13(2):190–95.
13. Lin PL, Flynn JL. Understanding Latent Tuberculosis: A Moving Target. J Immunol. 2010;185(1):15–22.
14. Tufariello JM, Chan J, Flynn JL. Latent tuberculosis: mechanisms of host and bacillus that contribute to persistent infection. Lancet Infect Dis. 2003; 3(9):578–90.
15. Rockwood N, du Bruyn E, Morris T, Wilkinson RJ. Assessment of treatment response in tuberculosis: Expert Review of Respiratory Medicine. 2016;10(6):643–54.
16. Sotgiu G, Centis R, D’Ambrosio L, Battista Migliori G. Tuberculosis treatment and drug regimens. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2015;5(5):a017822.
17. Del R, Hitte NL, Schaberg T. Cost effectiveness of treating multi-drug resistant tuberculosis by adding Deltyaba™ to background regimens in Germany. Respiratory Medicine. 2015;109(5):632–41.
18. Kerantzas CA, Jacobs WR. Origins of combination therapy for tuberculosis: Lessons for future antimicrobial development and application. mBio. 2017; 8(2):e00586–16.
19. Tiberi S, Scardigli A, Centis R, D’Ambrosio L, Muñoz-Torrico M, Salazar-Lezama MA, et al. Classifying new anti-tuberculosis drugs: rationale and future perspectives. Int J Infect Dis. 2017;56:181–84.
20. Tiberi S, Muñoz-Torrico M, Duarte R, Dalcolmo M, D’Ambrosio L, Migliori GB. New drugs and perspectives for new anti-tuberculosis regimens. Rev Port Pneumol (English Edition). 2018.
21. Nath H, Ryoo S. First- and second-line drugs and drug resistance. In: Tuberculosis - Current Issues in Diagnosis and Management; 2013.
22. Zumla A, Chakaya J, Centis R, D’Ambrosio L, Mwaba P, Bates M, et al. Tuberculosis treatment and management—an update on treatment regimens, trials, new drugs, and adjunct therapies. Lancet Respir Med. 2015; 3(3):220–34.
