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Discoloration of methylene blue at neutral pH by heterogeneous photo-Fenton-like reactions using crystalline and amorphous iron oxides

Abstract: Different iron oxides were evaluated for the discoloration of methylene blue (MB) at neutral pH by heterogeneous photo-Fenton-like reactions with a UV-LED lamp. Fe$_2$O$_4$, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, and α-FeOOH catalysts were synthesized and characterized by X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Raman spectroscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, and adsorption isotherms of N$_2$. The results show high crystallinity and relatively low surface areas for Fe$_2$O$_4$ and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, and amorphous structure with high surface area for the case of α-FeOOH. The discoloration of MB by iron oxides as catalysts was studied using UV-Vis spectroscopy. Despite the relative high adsorption of MB for magnetite (12%) compared to the other oxides, it shows a slow discoloration kinetics. Besides, amorphous oxide (named α-FeOOH) shows a higher discoloration kinetics with negligible adsorption capacity. The pseudo first-order kinetic constant values for Fe$_2$O$_4$, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, and α-FeOOH are 5.31 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$, 6.89 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$, and 13.01 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ min$^{-1}$; and the discoloration efficiencies at 120 min were 56, 60, and 82%, respectively. It was testified that low crystallinity iron oxide can be used in the efficient discoloration of MB by photo-Fenton process with a hand UV-A lamp.
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1 Introduction

Many organic compounds are resistant to different conventional degradation treatments (physicochemical, biological, chlorination, and others) because they have high stability due to their non-biodegradable nature [1,2]. This has caused an increase in interest in the Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs), since these processes can convert organic compounds into carbon dioxide and water, or transform them into intermediates that can be further treated [3]. AOPs focus their operation in the generation of the hydroxyl radical (•OH), which has a high standard oxidation-reduction potential ($E^\circ = 2.80$ V) close to molecular fluorine ($E^\circ = 3.03$ V) [4], which gives it high reactivity.

There are different types of AOPs (Fenton and Fenton-like oxidation, photocatalysis, plasma oxidation, ozonization, and others) that allow to obtain feasible and efficient processes for the treatment of organic pollutants in water and soil [5,6]. •OH radical generated by homogeneous Fenton reactions involving iron(II) salts and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) are developed according to the Haber–Weiss mechanism [7]. Homogeneous systems show high degradation efficiencies for organic compounds, such as phenol, magenta methylene blue (MB), bisphenol A, napthol blue black, sulfamethoxazole, among others, in an acid medium; and also for glyphosate, bright blue, medium yellow 10, alizarin green, phenol red, and rhodamine B in a neutral medium [8–13]. In parallel, Fenton-like reactions, using iron(III) salts and H$_2$O$_2$, can extend the use of iron salts or minerals.

There are comparative studies for both systems, Fenton and Fenton-like. Generally, the degradation efficiencies coincide for Fenton systems at short times. However, the degree of degradation achieved at long times is similar for both the processes [14–17]. In addition, it has been shown that the Fenton and Fenton-like processes can occur simultaneously, since some intermediate compounds of oxidation promote the regeneration of Fe(III) to Fe(II) [16,18].
Despite the vast amount of work on homogeneous Fenton and Fenton-like reaction, these reactions present some important drawbacks, such as working under acidic conditions (around pH 3), the lack of stability of the catalysts, and the presence of sludge, mainly based on iron oxohydroxides [8-9]. An alternative to solve these problems is the use of heterogeneous catalysts based on Fe(II) and Fe(III) with H2O2, since these systems can work at neutral pH.

Many structures of iron oxides and hydroxides have been studied for heterogeneous Fenton and Fenton-like reactions, such as magnetite (Fe3O4), hematite (α-Fe2O3), goethite (α-FeOOH), maghemite (γ-Fe2O3), lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH), akaganite (β-FeOOH), and feroxyhyte (δ-FeOOH) [10-12]. Despite the importance of the crystallinity structure of these oxides in catalysis, there are many studies where a drastic increase in degradation kinetics was observed using low crystallinity or amorphous materials. For example, metallic crystals or amorphous Fe-X alloys (Si, B, Mo, B, Cu, Nb, etc.) have been studied to optimize their application in the adsorption, discoloration, and degradation of colorants such as acid orange II, blue 6, methyl orange, brilliant red 3B, among others [22-26].

In the same way, different iron oxides obtained from industrial waste or natural minerals have been investigated [27]. For example, we can list the use of: fly ash for the development of amorphous FeOOH catalysts and their application in the degradation of methyl orange [28]; natural hematite and siderite in the degradation of 4-chlorophenol [29]; different types of natural pyrite in the degradation of AO7 [30]; use of diatomites earth for the oxidation of orange II [31]; low-cost, high-purity goethite obtained from acid mine drainage to treat simulated petrochemical waste [32]; amorphous FeSiO3 compared to crystalline goethite and hematite for efficient degradation of Reactive Blue 19 by Fenton and photo-Fenton [33], among others. These oxides can usually have partially crystalline phases; however, contrary to usual, their degradation capacity in AOPs are often better compared to crystalline materials.

In addition to the Fenton and Fenton-like methods listed above, the efficiency of photocatalytic degradation methods using UV light and iron oxides is known. For example, the photo-degradation of reagent blue is 95.08% in 56 min with Fe2O3 synthesized by a green pathway [34], the percent of degradation of crystal violet or MB dye (20 mg L−1) using 0.1 g of Fe2O3 in the presence of H2O2 was 100% after 30 or 40 min, [35], glyphosate photodegradation with magnetite (k_{app} = 1.2 h^{-1}) was significantly higher than that of goethite (k_{app} = 0.4 h^{-1}) at pH = 7 [36], and the photodegradation of MB was close to 100% in 60 min with α-Fe2O3 [37]. The main aspect in photocatalytic processes is the separation of charges, electrons, and holes, which can dramatically improve the Fenton-like reaction. However, use of traditional UV sources such as high-pressure mercury vapor lamps presents several difficulties such as energy instability due to overheating, low photon efficiency, requirement of high voltages, mercury toxicity, and short lifetime of 10^3 h [38,39]. To solve this, UV emitting diodes (UV-LED) having several advantages, such as low toxicity of their compounds (Ga, As, P, In, and N), thermal stability, directed light which generates better energy optimization, and long life of up to 10^5 h [39] have been suggested.

In this work, three iron oxides will be studied: magnetite, hematite, and amorphous iron oxo-hyroxide for the degradation of MB by heterogeneous photo-Fenton-like reactions using UV-LED lamp. MB is a cationic dye used as a comparative model due to its non-biodegradable properties and its wide usage in the textile industry. The aim of this work is the comparison of three iron oxides, namely, hematite (α-Fe2O3), magnetite (Fe3O4), and amorphous iron(III) oxo-hyroxide hydrate (α-FeOOH) with different degrees of crystallinity and surface properties for the discoloration of MB for photo-Fenton process with a hand UV-A lamp. The results obtained in this work have great importance to encourage the efficient use of catalysts of structures with low crystallinity, since this give rise to the use of natural minerals in Fenton-like processes at neutral pH.

2 Methods

2.1 Chemicals

Iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl3·6H2O), iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl2·4H2O), iron(II) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl2·6H2O), hydrazine sulfate (NH2NH2·H2SO4), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and ethanol (C2H5OH) were supplied from Sigma-Aldrich. Iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO3)3·9H2O), ammonium hydroxide (NH4OH), and hydrogen peroxide (30% v/v) were supplied by Merck. Ultrapure water was supplied by Purelab Classic Elga. For the synthesis of iron oxides and oxo-hyroxides, all reagents were of analytical grade unless otherwise specified.

2.2 Synthesis of iron oxides and hydroxides

Magnetite nanoparticles were synthesized by a co-precipitation method [40], starting from iron precursors prepared separately in an inert atmosphere of nitrogen. The
molar ratio of the precursor (Fe\(^{2+}/\)Fe\(^{3+}\)) solutions was 1:2 and NaOH solution was added rapidly until pH = 11 was reached. For nucleation and growth, it was stirred for 40 min under nitrogen atmosphere. Nanoparticles were washed with ultrapure water, followed by a magnetic separation, and dried for 12 h at 50°C.

The hematite nanoparticles were synthesized by a chemical precipitation method [41]. It was started with 0.05 mol L\(^{-1}\) of FeCl\(_3\).6H\(_2\)O solution at 80°C for 30 min under constant magnetic stirring and 2.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) of NH\(_4\)OH solution was added dropwise until pH = 11 (orange precipitate) was reached. After this, the system was heated to 80°C for an interval of 3 h under constant magnetic stirring. The final precipitate was washed with ultrapure water and separated from the aqueous phase by centrifugation. Finally, it was calcined at 700°C for 4 h in a muffle.

Iron oxo-hydroxide hydrate, named a-FeOOH, was synthesized from the dissolution of 7.5 g of hydrazine sulfate in a 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) of ferric nitrate solution, under magnetic stirring and heating at 90°C for 1 h. The pH was adjusted to 3 by dropwise addition of a 1.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) of NaOH solution. The precipitate formed was washed with ultrapure water and separated from the aqueous phase by centrifugation. Finally, the product obtained was dried at 100°C for 12 h.

2.3 Characterizations

2.3.1 Physicochemical characterizations

Diffraction patterns of the samples were obtained using the PANalytical X-ray diffractometer with a CuKa source of 1.5418 Å. The data were obtained in the range of 20–80° at a scan speed of 0.001° s\(^{-1}\). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were analyzed through a KYKY-EM3200 25 kV microscope. The surface properties of the oxides were determined with Micromeritics Gemini VII 2390 t by physical nitrogen adsorption at 77 K. Raman spectra were obtained using Horiba Scientific equipment, with a 532 nm laser and a power of 10%. Fourier transform infrared spectra (FTIR) were obtained with the Digilab Excalibur equipment, FTS 3000 series, with a transmission spectral range of 40,000–400 cm\(^{-1}\) and a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\).

2.4 Adsorption and degradation of MB by heterogeneous Fenton-like process

In this work, MB was used as a pollutant model since its discoloration can be easily monitored by UV-Vis spectroscopy. To determine the amount of MB that is adsorbed in 20 mg of catalyst, 15 mL of 25 mg L\(^{-1}\) of MB solution was placed under constant stirring for 120 min prior to the experiments. To quantify MB concentration, a Genesys UV-Vis, 1DS, ThermoScientific spectrophotometer was used and the wavelength of maximum absorbance at 664 nm was selected. The discoloration analysis by UV-Vis spectroscopy was started from the addition of H\(_2\)O\(_2\). Moreover, to evaluate the effect of hydrogen peroxide on discoloration with a-FeOOH, different volumes of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) at 30% by weight (1.5, 2.0, and 2.5 mL) were used for 15 min and 20 mL of 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) of MB solution, these experiments were performed without and with time of adsorption of 120 min (Figure S1 in the supplementary information).

2.5 Photo-Fenton-like discoloration of MB

Photocatalytic degradation of MB was investigated by taking 15 mL of 25 mg L\(^{-1}\) of MB solution, pH = 6.5, with 1.0 mL of hydrogen peroxide (30% v/v) in the presence of 20 mg of iron oxides, and 120 min of interaction time. The suspension was stirred to ensure that all the active sites of the catalysts are in contact with the MB. Then, the sample was illuminated with a UV-LED hand lamp (365 nm) positioned at a perpendicular distance of ~4 cm on the surface of the reaction solution (Figure S2 in the supplementary information). The irradiation was interrupted in regular intervals to take electronic spectra on the UV-Vis spectrophotometer until no more changes in the characteristic band of MB was observed.

Ethical approval: The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Characterizations of iron oxides and hydroxides

Figure 1 shows the SEM of the synthesized iron oxides. Magnetite and hematite nanoparticles have a spherical and ovoid shape, respectively (Figure 1a and b). A small number of spherical particles in the medium and large agglomerates are evident for a-FeOOH (Figure 1c). Histograms obtained for magnetite show a size of 30 nm in
diameter and hematite show an average size of 85 nm (Figure S3 in the supplementary information), while that of amorphous iron oxo-hydroxide show a mixture of large agglomerated particles and small particles of about 20–50 nm (Figure 1c).

The X-ray diffraction patterns of three synthesized oxides are shown in Figure 2. The standard magnetite pattern (JCPDS card no. 19-0629, blue asterisks in Figure 2) shows coincidence of six characteristic peaks at 2θ angles of 30.1°, 35.5°, 43.2°, 53.5°, 57.0°, and 62.8° which are attributed to the planes of (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and (440) [42]. The standard hematite pattern (JCPDS card no. 33-664, red asterisks in Figure 2) matches with peaks at angles 24.16°, 33.12°, 35.63°, 40.64°, 49.57°, 54.08°, 57.43°, 62.1°, 64.13°, 72.08°, and 75.29°, which are attributed to planes (012), (104), (110), (113), (024), (116), (018), (214), (300), (119), and (220). All the detectable peaks in this pattern can be assigned to the hexagonal structure of α-Fe₂O₃ and the high intensity of the spectral peaks shows a high crystallinity of hematite [43]. On the other hand, in the case of iron hydroxide, its amorphous structure is evident, due only the spectral lines around 35.5° are slightly visible presenting a height value at the middle of the FWHM peak quite high compared to the standard of goethite diffraction pattern (JCPDS card no. 29-07131) [44].

Furthermore, iron oxides Raman shifts are shown in Figure S4 and Table S1, it demonstrated the reverse spinel structure for magnetite. Besides, α-Fe₂O₃ structure for hematite is confirmed and the amorphous a-FeOOH material coincide with structures of the goethite (α-FeOOH) and lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH).

FTIR spectra of magnetite, hematite, and a-FeOOH are shown in Figure 3, and it is observed that magnetite and a-FeOOH have common bands near 3,400 and 1,632 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the vibration bands of adsorbed water [45]. Also, the appearance of two bands at 515 and 430 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum of hematite, can be attributed to the bending and stretching vibrations of the 
Fe–O bond [41]. Similarly, in the spectra of magnetite and a-FeOOH, the peaks of 540 and 589 cm$^{-1}$ are observed, respectively, which are the characteristics of Fe–O vibrations for a goethite-type structure ($\alpha$-FeOOH). And, peaks at 787 and 878 cm$^{-1}$ are associated with out-of-plane deformational ($\delta$) modes of hydroxyls in goethite [46]. Finally, additional peaks of 1,185 and 1,007 cm$^{-1}$ can be associated with the vibrations of the Fe–OH bonds, which could be attributed to a structure of the type lepidocrocite ($\gamma$-FeOOH) [45,47]. Therefore, different peaks in a-FeOOH are related to vibrations associated with structures of the $\alpha$-FeOOH, $\gamma$-FeOOH, and ferrihydrite.

Study of iron oxide surfaces were performed by adsorption and desorption isotherm shown in the Figure 4a. Table 1 tabulates the parameters such as surface area (m$^2$·g$^{-1}$), pore volume (cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$), and pore size (nm) obtained according to the BET model. Magnetite and a-FeOOH present relatively high surface area values of 91.7 and 119.4 m$^2$·g$^{-1}$, respectively, and hematite has a low surface area of 10.7 m$^2$·g$^{-1}$. These values obtained for magnetite and a-FeOOH will influence the adsorption and degradation processes by Fenton processes [48].

$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ and a-FeOOH exhibited a N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherm of type IV with the hysteresis loops H3 and H4, respectively, typical of catalytic mesoporous solids, while hematite showed a pure type III isotherm according to IUPAC classes (Figure 4a) [49]. In the Figure 4b, the BJH graph obtained from magnetite leads to explain a large amount of mesoporous that favor the adsorption of MB. On the other hand, the results obtained from hematite shows an insignificant presence of porous associated with its high crystallinity shown in Figure 2. In the case of amorphous oxide, a-FeOOH, the low adsorption of MB is associated with the small amount and small size of the pores.

![Figure 3: FTIR spectra of hematite, magnetite, and amorphous oxide, a-FeOOH.](image_url)

![Figure 4: (a) Adsorption and desorption isotherms for the synthesized iron oxides and (b) pore diameter distribution according to the BJH model for synthesized iron oxides.](image_url)
3.2 Photo-Fenton catalytic discoloration of MB

Figure 5a shows the discoloration curves of MB ($C_f/C_0$) as a function of time, initially considering a Fenton process and then a photo-Fenton process with UV-A light irradiation. In the initial 120 min during the adsorption-desorption equilibrium, MB adsorption is approximately 5% ($C_f/C_0 = 0.95$) and 12% ($C_f/C_0 = 0.88$) for hematite and magnetite, respectively. While for α-FeOOH, it does not present significant adsorption, despite that it has the highest surface area of 119.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Table 1). The discrepancy between the surface area of the oxides and their adsorption shows that point of zero charge (PZC), porosity, and particle size of the oxides are the key parameters for MB adsorption [50,51]. To explain these adsorption values, we must consider the PZC of oxides, since oxide surface exhibits a positive charge at pH lower than the PZC and a negative charge at a pH higher than the PZC. PZC of magnetite reported previously ranged from pH 6.5 to 7.3 [52], and for hematite, goethite, and lepidocrocite theoretical PZC varies between 7.1 and 8.5. Other works show highest PZC values obtained for goethite from 7.3 to 10. In contrast, magnetite and hematite show low values of 3.2 and 4.2, respectively [53]. Further, these theoretical PZC values depend on the synthesis method, purity, crystallinity, degree of hydration, and the measurement method [54]. In this sense, we can infer a positive surface charge on α-FeOOH, and this explains the non-absorption of MB because this is a cationic dye and would repel in the presence of α-FeOOH. On the other hand, magnetite can have a negative surface charge that favors the adsorption of MB during photo-discoloration experiments carried out in a system at a natural pH of 6.5. Hematite is a particular case because according to the theoretical data its surface charge can be negative and positive, therefore a low adsorption of MB is justified.

Also, according to the shape of adsorption isotherms (Figure S5, supplementary information), only for Fe$_3$O$_4$

| Iron oxide | BET Superficial area (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) | BET Pore volume (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) | BET Pore size (nm) |
|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Fe$_3$O$_4$ | 91.7                                | 0.319                            | 12.16             |
| α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ | 10.7                                | 0.031                            | 10.92             |
| α-FeOOH    | 119.4                               | 0.109                            | 6.180             |

Table 1: Summary of results of surface area (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), pore volume (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$), and pore size (nm) obtained according to the BET model.
oxide, the adsorption fit to Langmuir model \( R^2 = 0.9995 \) obtaining a \( Q_{\text{max}} \) of 9.08 mg g\(^{-1}\). For \( \alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \alpha\text{-FeOOH} \) oxides, the adsorption isotherm did not follow a regular Langmuir model. The adsorption amount of MB in these oxides decreased with the increase in the initial concentration, this could be due to the different solubilities of these oxides affect the adsorption process. Besides, previous works show a low adsorption capacity of MB on iron oxides (between 5 and 30 mg g\(^{-1}\), Table S2) [55–57]. These results indicated that the discoloration of MB on iron oxides is highly related to Fenton and Photo-Fenton processes.

Figure 5 shows that Fenton processes (from 0 to 60 min) are kinetically slow for \( \alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) (linear regression in Figure 5b) which shows a discoloration of 4.4 and 6.4%, respectively. In contrast to this, it can be seen that \( \alpha\text{-FeOOH} \) presents a degradation of 28.8% in the 60 min of Fenton reaction. To understand Fenton processes on the surface of oxides, Lin and Guroi raised the reactions (1–5) that explain the interaction of iron oxides and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) [58]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\equiv\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} - \text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow (\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)_n, \quad \text{(R1)} \\
\text{(H}_2\text{O}_2)_n & \rightarrow \equiv\text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}^\bullet_2, \quad \text{(R2)} \\
\equiv\text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \equiv\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} - \text{OH} + \text{HO}^\bullet, \quad \text{(R3)} \\
\text{HO}^\bullet_2 & \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2^{\bullet^-} \quad \text{(pK_a = 4.8)}, \quad \text{(R4)} \\
\equiv\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} - \text{OH} + \text{HO}^\bullet_2 & \rightarrow \equiv\text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{OH}^- + \text{O}_2. \quad \text{(R5)}
\end{align*}
\]

In the case of \( \alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \), only \( \text{Fe}^{\text{III}} \) is present in its structure. Therefore, the kinetics is slow because only reactions (1–3) generated the formation of the reactive species \( \bullet\text{OH} \). In addition, the surface area of the hematite is 10.7 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) which implies a smaller surface for the interaction between \( \equiv\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). In the case of \( \alpha\text{-FeOOH} \), the high discoloration is possibly due to the presence of species that include \( \text{FeOOH}, \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} \), and \( \text{Fe}^{\text{III}} \) during the addition of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) since it has a high surface area of 119.4 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). \( \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} \) could be formed from dissolution of the iron oxo-hydroxo (FeOOH) structure that is present in goethite, lepidocrocite, and/or akaganeite-like [28], according to the following reaction:

\[
\text{FeOOH} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{OH}^-. \quad \text{(R6)}
\]

The surface coordination model explains the dissolution of oxides. The main reactions for how \( \text{Fe} \) can be released from solid \( \text{Fe} \) oxides are: protonation, reduction, and complexation (reactions 7–9) [53]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{FeOOH} + \text{H}^+ & \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_2^+, \quad \text{(R7)} \\
\text{FeOOH} + \text{e}^- + 3\text{H}^+ & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}, \quad \text{(R8)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{FeOOH} + n\text{e}^- + 3\text{H}^+ \rightarrow [\text{Fe}_n\text{L}]^{3-n} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(R9)}
\]

\( \text{L} = \text{ligand} \).

Thus, we propose two pathways to generate \( \text{OH} \) radicals. The first pathway is related to heterogeneous reactions (1–5), and the second pathway is related to homogeneous reactions of \( \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} \) or \( \text{Fe}^{\text{III}} \) with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) shown in reactions (6) and (10–12) (analogous to reactions 1–5), and which may be due to the dissolution of \( \equiv\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} \) from the oxide structure [59,60].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{HO}^- + \text{H}^+, \quad \text{(R10)} \\
\text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{HO}^- + \text{HO}, \quad \text{(R11)} \\
\text{Fe}^{\text{III}} + \text{HO}^- & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} + \text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2. \quad \text{(R12)}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, the lack of crystallinity, the high surface area, and the presence of species of \( \text{FeOOH}, \text{Fe}^{\text{II}}, \), and \( \text{Fe}^{\text{III}} \) during the addition of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), can be associated with the high degradation efficiency of \( \alpha\text{-FeOOH} \) compared to the other iron oxides. In addition, previous works describe that the homogeneous reaction between \( \text{Fe}^{\text{II}} \) or \( \text{Fe}^{\text{III}} \) (from the salts \( \text{FeSO}_4, \text{FeCl}_3, \text{Fe}_2\text{(SO}_4)_3, \) and \( \text{FeCl}_2 \)) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) generate nanoparticles of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 – \text{Fe(OH)}_3 \) behaving as a Lewis acid in the Fenton process. This can verify that the structures of \( \text{Fe(OH)}_2 \) and \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) are the main species for the Fenton reaction [61], this is congruent with results obtained for the Fenton process in the darkness. Also, it should be noted that \( \text{pH} \) in this work was neutral, so the degradations are slow at short times of Fenton process.

Also, in Figure 5a, the UV lamp was turned on after 60 min of Fenton reaction. It can be seen that even in the presence of only \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), the increase in discoloration is considerable (Table S3, Figure 5b and c). This is due to the fact that the generation of \( \bullet\text{OH} \) radicals occurring for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) photocytosis help in MB discoloration, which mainly occurs with UV light at wavelengths less than 365 nm [62], following reaction (13):

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow 2\text{HO}^-. \quad \text{(R13)}
\]

Also, a significant increase in MB discoloration is observed for \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) and \( \alpha\text{-FeOOH} \); however, for \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \), there is no substantial change in degradation. This may be related to the irradiation with UV light generating electrons \((e^-_{cb})\) and holes \((h^+_{cb})\) on the surface of iron oxides and according to the band gap values shown in the literature for hematite, goethite, akaganeite, lepidocrocite, and magnetite that are 2.20, 2.10, 2.12, 2.06, and 0.1 eV, respectively [63,64]. In this sense, oxo-hydroxotype structures and hematite present a generation of the electron-hole pair with theoretical band gaps greater than 2.0 eV, whereas magnetite due to the low value of
its band gap will present an electron-hole pair easy to recombine. The generation of the pair $e^{-}(cb); h^{+}(vb)$ and successive reactive species, such as OH and O$_2^-$, can help the discoloration of MB, as shown in reactions (14–19) [35,65,66]. Furthermore, in reaction (16), it is evidenced that the electrons generated in the conduction band can reduce $\equiv$Fe$^+$ to $\equiv$Fe$^0$, helping both hematite and α-FeOOH to generate 'OH, as shown in the reaction (3).

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(e^{-} + h^{+}), \quad (R14)$$

$$\alpha\text{-FeOOH} + h\nu \rightarrow \alpha\text{-FeOOH}(e^{-} + h^{+}), \quad (R15)$$

$$e_{(cb)} + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^{2-}, \quad (R16)$$

$$h_{(vb)}^{+} + \text{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \text{OH}^{'}, \quad (R17)$$

$$e_{(cb)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH}^{-} + \text{OH}^{'}, \quad (R18)$$

$$e_{(cb)} + \equiv\text{Fe}^+ \rightarrow \equiv\text{Fe}^0. \quad (R19)$$

To carry out the kinetic study of MB discoloration, the data obtained in Figure 6a were taken. In these experiments, adsorption-desorption was performed for an equilibrium time of 120 min, and after this time the iron oxide catalyst was irradiated with UV light for 120 min observing efficient discoloration of MB for α-FeOOH and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 6c). Besides, although the Fe$_3$O$_4$ has a relatively high MB adsorption, it shows the same percentage of discoloration as that obtained for H$_2$O$_2$ after 120 min. The latter is explained since at neutral pH, the magnetite can present complexation of Fe$^{3+}$ or inhibition of the 'OH radical [67–69]. In addition to that, there is an immediate recombination of the electron-hollow pair in the magnetite. Finally, to better understand the behavior of α-FeOOH, an experiment was carried out with 20 mg of Fe$_3$SO$_4$ (0.12 mmol of Fe$^{3+}$) in the same conditions and observed an immediate discoloration of MB. However, when the volume of H$_2$O$_2$ is reduced to 0.5 mL, the percent of discoloration was only 45 and 60% after 5 min without and with UV light, respectively. Besides, α-FeOOH showed a highest discoloration of 90% after 40 min with UV light (Figure S6). This is evidence of the synergic effect of dissolution of $\equiv$Fe$^+$ from the α-FeOOH structure and the generation of the pair $e_{(cb)}; h_{(vb)}^{+}$ with successive reactive species in this amorphous oxide.

The kinetic discoloration of MB by photo-Fenton-like reaction is studied through a kinetic model of pseudo first order, according to equation (1):

$$\ln \frac{C_t}{C_0} = -k_{f}t, \quad (1)$$

![Figure 6: (a) MB photo-Fenton-like discoloration on iron oxide catalysts with 120 min of adsorption for a system containing 20 mg of catalyst, 15 mL of 25 mg L$^{-1}$ of MB and 1 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ 30 wt%, (b) linear regression of the MB photo-Fenton-like discoloration on different iron oxides from (a), and (c) photographs of MB discoloration at the end of the experiment.]
where \( C_0 \) and \( C_t \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) are the initial concentration and concentration at time \( t \) (min\(^{-1}\)), respectively and the pseudo first-order constant is represented by \( k_1 \) (min\(^{-1}\)). In Figure 6b it is shown that the pseudo first-order model fits satisfactorily for MB discoloration for all three iron oxides. Therefore, the rate constant for discoloration on Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), \( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), a-FeOOH and \( \alpha\)-FeOOH are \( 5.31 \times 10^{-3} \), \( 6.89 \times 10^{-3} \), \( 13.01 \times 10^{-3} \), and \( 6.11 \times 10^{-3} \) min\(^{-1}\), respectively. All these data are summarized in Table 2.

To delve into the discoloration of MB by a-FeOOH, reuse experiments of a-FeOOH were carried out for three cycles, as shown in Figure 7a. The discoloration efficiencies indicate reuse stability for the first two cycles, while for the third cycle around 20% decrease in the discoloration efficiency is observed, which can be associated to a loss of mass of catalyst in cycles one and two due to a-FeOOH dissolution in the photo-Fenton process [70]. Furthermore, after the third cycle, a change is observed in the FTIR spectra of the a-FeOOH catalyst (Figure 7b). Before the photo-Fenton-like reaction, it was possible to identify the characteristic goethite bands (marked in red in Figure 7b) and the pronounced bands in 1,185 and 1,007 cm\(^{-1}\) related to the presence of lepidocrocite. These last two bands decreased after the third reuse cycle of MB discoloration. Therefore, a transformation between groups associated with goethite and lepidocrocite can be evidenced, which influences the discoloration efficiency.

### 4 Conclusion

Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), \( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), and a-FeOOH catalysts were synthesized and used as heterogeneous photo-Fenton catalysts for the discoloration of MB in neutral medium (pH 6.5). Adsorption of MB on a-FeOOH was insignificant, and for \( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) was 5 and 12%, respectively. The oxide a-FeOOH showed the highest value of the kinetic constant of \( 13.01 \times 10^{-3} \) min\(^{-1}\) due to the presence of OH groups on the surface of Fe(III), charge separation by
irradiation with UV-A light, and high surface area compared to magnetite and hematite. At the same time, the magnetite and hematite presented a value of the kinetic constant of $5.31 \times 10^{-3}$ and $6.89 \times 10^{-3}$ min$^{-1}$, respectively. Finally, discoloration efficiencies of MB in a FeOOH were around 80 and 60% for the second and third reuse cycles, respectively.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful for the financial support from FONDECYT through Project 078-2018 FONDECYT/BM.

**Funding information:** This study was funded by FONDECYT through Project 078-2018 FONDECYT/BM.

**Author contributions:** A.L.R.T.G. – conceptualization; A.L.R.T. and J.G.R.M. – methodology; A.C. and J.G.R.M. – formal analysis and investigation; J.G.R.M. and A.C. – writing – original draft preparation; J.G.R.M. and A.C. – writing – review and editing; A.L.R.T.G. – funding acquisition; A.L.R.T.G. – resources; A.L.R.T.G. and J.G.R.M. – supervision.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**References**

[1] Rodríguez E, Fernández G, Ledesma B, Álvarez P, Beltrán FJ. Photocatalytic degradation of organics in water in the presence of iron oxides: influence of carboxylic acids. Appl Catal B Environ. 2009;92:240–9. doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2009.07.013.

[2] Ji F, Li C, Zhang J, Deng L. Heterogeneous photo-Fenton decolorization of methylene blue over LiFe(WO4)2 catalyst. J Hazard Mater. 2011;186:1979–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.12.089.

[3] Milenković DA, Dimić DS, Avdović EH, Amić AD, Dimitrić Marković JM, Marković ZS. Advanced oxidation process of coumarins by hydroxyl radical: Towards the new mechanism leading to less toxic products. Chem Eng J. 2020;395:124971. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2020.124971.

[4] Dewi R, Mantzavinos D, Poulos I, Rodrigo MA. New perspectives for Advanced Oxidation Processes. J Environ Manage. 2017;195:93–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.04.010.

[5] Borah P, Kumar M, Devi P. Recent trends in the detection and degradation of organic pollutants. Abatement of environmental pollutants: trends and strategies. Elsevier Inc; 2019. p. 67–79. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-818095-2.00003-5.

[6] Cheng M, Zeng G, Huang D, Lai C, Xu P, Zhang C, et al. Hydroxyl radicals based advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) for remediation of soils contaminated with organic compounds: a review. Chem Eng J. 2016;284:582–98. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2015.09.001.

[7] Pignatello JJ, Oliveros E, MacKay A. Advanced oxidation processes for organic contaminant destruction based on the fenton reaction and related chemistry. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol. 2006;36:1–84. doi: 10.1080/10643380500326564.

[8] Brillas E, García-Segura S. Benchmarking recent advances and innovative technology approaches of Fenton, photo-Fenton, electro-Fenton, and related processes: a review on the relevance of phenol as model molecule. Sep Purif Technol. 2020;237:116337. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2019.116337.

[9] Xavier S, Gandhiirthi R, Nidheesh PV, Ramesh ST. Comparison of homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton processes for the removal of reactive dye Magenta MB from aqueous solution. Desalin Water Treat. 2015;53:109–18. doi: 10.1080/19443994.2013.844083.

[10] Zhong P, Yu Q, Zhao J, Xu S, Qiu X, Chen J. Degradation of bisphenol A by Fe-Al layered double hydroxides: a new synergy of homo- and heterogeneous Fenton systems. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2019;552:122–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2019.05.040.

[11] Dai Z, Flatberg G, Preisig HA, Deng L. Kinetic studies of Fenton oxidation reaction by UV-VIS spectroscopy. J Laborat Chem Edu. 2018;6:141–7. doi: 10.5923/j.jlce.2018.06.003.

[12] Cruz A, Couto L, Esplugas S, Sans C. Study of the contribution of homogeneous catalysis on heterogeneous Fe(m)/alginate mediated photo-Fenton process. Chem Eng J. 2017;318:227–80. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2016.09.014.

[13] Li X, Xiao B, Wu M, Wang L, Chen R, Wei Y, et al. In-situ generation of multi-homogeneous/heterogeneous Fe-based Fenton catalysts toward rapid degradation of organic pollutants at near neutral pH. Chemosphere. 2020;245:125663. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125663.

[14] Wang S. A comparative study of Fenton and Fenton-like reaction kinetics in decolourisation of wastewater. Dye Pigment. 2008;76:714–20. doi: 10.1016/j.dyepig.2007.01.012.

[15] Fernandes NC, Brito LB, Costa GG, Taveira SF, Cunha-Filho MSS, Oliveira GAR, et al. Removal of azo dye using Fenton and Fenton-like processes: evaluation of process factors by Box–Behnken design and ecotoxicity tests. Chem Biol Interact. 2018;291:47–54. doi: 10.1016/j.cbi.2018.06.003.

[16] Göde JN, Hoeffling Souza D, Trevisan V, Skoronski E. Application of the Fenton and Fenton-like processes in the landfill leachate tertiary treatment. J Environ Chem Eng. 2019;7:103352. doi: 10.1016/j.jece.2019.103352.

[17] Rodríguez S, Lorenzo D, Santos A, Romero A. Comparison of real wastewater oxidation with Fenton/Fenton-like processes: evaluation of process factors by Box–Behnken design and ecotoxicity tests. J Environ Manage. 2020;255:109926. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109926.

[18] Jiang C, Pang S, Ouyang F, Ma J, Jiang J. A new insight into Fenton and Fenton-like processes for water treatment. J Hazard Mater. 2010;174:813–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.09.125.

[19] Pereira MC, Oliveira LCA, Murad E. Iron oxide catalysts: Fenton and Fentonlike reactions – a review. Clay Min. 2012;47:285–302. doi: 10.1180/claymin.2012.047.3.01.

[20] Jain B, Singh AK, Kim H, Lichtfouse E, Sharma VK. Treatment of organic pollutants by homogeneous and heterogeneous Fenton reaction processes. Environ Chem Lett. 2018;16:947–67. doi: 10.1007/s10311-018-0738-3.

[21] Tanaka S, Kanie Y, Septiani NLW, Dou SX, Bando Y, Hossain MS, et al. A review on iron oxide-based nanoarchitectures for biomedical, energy storage, and environmental
applications. Small Methods. 2019;3:1800512. doi: 10.1002/smtd.201800512.

[22] Zhang LC, Jia Z, Lyu F, Liang SX, Lu J. A review of catalytic performance of metallic glasses in wastewater treatment: recent progress and prospects. Prog Mater Sci. 2019;105:100576. doi: 10.1016/j.pmatsci.2019.100576.

[23] Lv Z, Yan Y, Yuan C, Huang B, Yang C, Ma J, et al. Making Fe-Si-B amorphous powders as an effective catalyst for dye degradation by high-energy ultrasonic vibration. Mater Des. 2020;194:108876. doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2020.108876.

[24] Jia Z, Liang SX, Zhang WC, Wang WM, Yang C, Zhang LC. Heterogeneous photo fenton-like degradation of cibacron brilliant red 3B-A dye using amorphous Fe2O3Si2B3S and Fe73.5Si13.5B5CuNb3 alloys: The influence of adsorption. J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng. 2017;71:128–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jtice.2016.11.021.

[25] Sun H, Xie G, He D, Zhang L. Ascorbic acid promoted magnetite Fenton degradation of alachlor: mechanismic insights and kinetic modeling. Appl Catal B Environ. 2020;267:118333. doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118333.

[26] Xie S, Huang P, Kruzic J, Zeng X, Qian H. A highly efficient degradation mechanism of methyl orange using Fe-based metallic glass powders. Sci Rep. 2016;6:21947.

[27] Wang N, Zheng T, Zhang G, Wang P. A review on Fenton-like processes for organic wastewater treatment. J Environ Chem Eng. 2016;4:762–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jece.2015.12.016.

[28] Li Y, Zhang FS. Catalytic oxidation of methyl orange by an amorphous FeOOH catalyst developed from a high iron-containing fly ash. Chem Eng J. 2010;158:148–53. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2009.12.021.

[29] Bel Hadjltaief H, Sdiri A, Gálvez M, Zidi H, Da Costa P, Ben Bibi I, Nazar N, Ata S, Sultan M, Ali A, Abbas A, et al. Investigations on iron leaching from oxides and its relevance for sustainable processes for organic wastewater treatment. J Environ Chem Eng. 2016;4:762–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jece.2015.12.016.

[30] Scaratti G, Rauen TG, Baldissarelli VZ, José HJ, Moreira RDFPM. Residue-based iron oxide catalyst for the degradation of simulated petrochemical wastewater via heterogeneous photo-Fenton process. Environ Technol (U Kingd). 2018;39:2559–67. doi: 10.1080/09593330.2017.1361474.

[31] Scheres Firak D, Rocha Ribeiro R, de Liz MV, Peralta-Zamora P. Investigations on iron leaching from oxides and its relevance for radical generation during Fenton-like catalysis. Environ Earth Sci. 2018;77:1–9. doi: 10.1007/s12665-018-7274-0.

[32] Bibi I, Nazar N, Ata S, Sultan M, Ali A, Abbas A, et al. Green synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles using pomegranate seeds extract and photocatalytic activity evaluation for the degradation of textile dye. J Mater Res Technol. 2019;8:6115–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2019.10.006.

[33] Abdelrahman EA, Hegazy RM, Kotp YH, Alharbi A. Facile synthesis of Fe3O4 nanoparticles from Egyptian insecticide cans for efficient photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue and crystal violet dyes. Spectrochem Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc. 2019;222:117195. doi: 10.1016/j.saa.2019.117195.

[34] Yang Y, Deng Q, Yan W, Jing C, Zhang Y. Comparative study of glyphosate removal on goethite and magnetite: adsorption and photo-degradation. Chem Eng J. 2018;352:581–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2018.07.058.

[35] Liu Y, Jin W, Zhao Y, Zhang G, Zhang W. Enhanced catalytic degradation of methylene blue by A-Fe3O4/graphene oxide via heterogeneous photo-Fenton reactions. Appl Catal B Environ. 2017;206:642–52. doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.01.075.

[36] Tayade RJ, Natarajan TS, Bajaj HC. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue dye using ultraviolet light emitting diodes. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2009;48:10262–7. doi: 10.1021/ie9012437.

[37] Martin-Sómer M, Pablos C, van Grieven R, Muragón J. Influence of light distribution on the performance of photocatalytic reactors: LED vs mercury lamps. Appl Catal B Environ. 2017;215:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.05.048.

[38] Majidi S, Sehrig FZ, Farkhani SM, Goloujhej MS, Akbarzadeh A. Current methods for synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles. Artif Cell Nanomed Biotechnol. 2016;44:722–34. doi: 10.3109/216919401.2014.982802.

[39] Lassoued A, Dkhil B, Gadri A, Ammar S. Control of the shape and size of iron oxide (α-Fe2O3) nanoparticles synthesized through the chemical precipitation method. Results Phys. 2017;7:3007–15. doi: 10.1016/j.rinp.2017.07.066.

[40] Rahman OU, Mohapatra SC, Ahmad S. Fe3O4 inverse spiral super paramagnetic nanoparticles. Mater Chem Phys. 2012;132:196–202. doi: 10.1016/j.matchemphys.2011.11.032.

[41] Song H, Chen T, Zhang X, Jia X. One-step template-free synthesis of hollow core-shell α-Fe2O3 microspheres with improved lithium storage and gas-sensing properties. CrystEngComm. 2015;17:1173–81. doi: 10.1039/c4ce01996b.

[42] Chittrakar R, Tezuka S, Sonoda A, Sakane K, Ooi K, Hirotsu T. Phosphate adsorption on synthetic goethite and akaganeite. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2006;298:602–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2005.12.054.

[43] Cui H, Ren W, Lin P, Liu Y. Structure control synthesis of iron oxide polymorph nanoparticles through an epoxide precipitation route. J Exp Nanosci. 2013;8:869–75. doi: 10.1080/17458580.2011.616541.

[44] Ruan HD, Frost RL, Kloprogge JT. The behavior of hydroxyl units of synthetic goethite and its dehydroxylated product hematite. Spectrochem Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc. 2001;57:2575–86. doi: 10.1016/S1386-1425(01)00445-0.

[45] Zhou X, She C, Liu H. Loading and light degradation characteristics of bt toxin on nanogoethite: a potential material for controlling the environmental risk of bt toxin. J Nanomater. 2015;2015:1–9. doi: 10.1155/2015/849693.

[46] Wang X, Yang W, Ji Y, Yin X, Liu Y, Liu X, et al. Heterogeneous Fenton-like degradation of methyl blue using MCM-41-Fe/Al supported Mn oxides. RSC Adv. 2016;6:26155–62. doi: 10.1039/c6ra04709b.

[47] Habran M, Pontón PI, Mancic L, Pandoli O, Krambrock K, da Costa MEHM, et al. Visible light sensitive mesoporous nanohybrids of lepidocrocite-like ferritinane coupled to a charge transfer complex: Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic degradation of NO. J Photochem Photobiol A Chem. 2018;365:133–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotochem.2018.07.038.
[50] Cottet L, Almeida CAP, Naïdek N, Viante MF, Lopes MC, Debacher NA. Adsorption characteristics of montmorillonite clay modified with iron oxide with respect to methyl blue in aqueous media. Appl Clay Sci. 2014;95:25–31. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2014.03.023.

[51] Li Y, Du Q, Liu T, Peng X, Wang J, Sun J, et al. Comparative study of methyl blue dye adsorption onto activated carbon, graphene oxide, and carbon nanotubes. Chem Eng Res Des. 2013;91:361–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cherd.2012.07.007.

[52] Alfredo Reyes Víllegas V, Isaías De León Ramírez J, Hernandez Guevara E, Perez Scairoa S, Angelica Hurtado Ayala L, Landeros Sanchez B. J Saudi Chem Soc. 2020;24:223–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jscs.2019.12.004.

[53] Mei H, Tan X, Chen C. Interactions between radionuclides and the oxide-water interfaces in the environment. Interface science and technology, Vol. 29, 1st edn. Elsevier Ltd; 2019.

[54] Martínez R, Cornejo J, De Arambarri P. Estudio electroforético del punto isoeléctrico de diversos óxidos de hierro. Anales de Edafología y Agrobiología. 1982;XLI:661–7.

[55] Nassar NN, Ringsræd A. Rapid adsorption of methyl blue from aqueous solutions by goethite nanoadsorbents. Environ Eng Sci. 2012;29:790–7. doi: 10.1089/ees.2011.0263.

[56] Păcurariu C, Paşca O, Ianoș R, Muntean SG. Effective removal of methyl blue from aqueous solution using a new magnetic iron oxide nanosorbent prepared by combustion synthesis. Clean Technol Environ Policy. 2016;18:705–15. doi: 10.1007/s10098-015-1041-7.

[57] Girí SK, Das NN, Pradhan GC. Magnetite powder and kaolinite derived from waste iron ore tailings for environmental applications. Powder Technol. 2011;214:513–8. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2011.09.017.

[58] Lin SS, Guro MD. Catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide on iron oxide: Kinetics, mechanism, and implications. Environ Sci Technol. 1998;32:1417–23. doi: 10.1021/es970648k.

[59] Lu MC. Oxidation of chlorophenols with hydrogen peroxide in the presence of goethite. Chemosphere. 2000;40:125–30. doi: 10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00213-3.

[60] Wu H, Dou X, Deng D, Guan Y, Zhang L, He G. Decolorization of the azo dye Orange G in aqueous solution via a heterogeneous Fenton-like reaction catalysed by goethite. Environ Technol (U Kingd). 2012;33:1545–52. doi: 10.1080/09593330.2011.635709.

[61] Ocampo-Gaspar M, Cano-Guzmán CF, Payan-Martínez LF, González-Reyes L, Hernández-Pérez I, Garibay-Febles V, et al. Sizing the Fenton’s catalyst. J Photochem Photobiol A Chem. 2018;353:527–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotochem.2017.12.022.

[62] Cataldo F. Hydrogen peroxide photolysis with different UV light sources including a new UV-LED light source. N Front Chem. 2014;23:99.

[63] Leland JK, Bard AJ. Photochemistry of colloidal semiconducting iron oxide polymorphs. J Phys Chem. 1987;91:5076–83. doi: 10.1021/j100303a039.

[64] Liu H, Di Valentin C. Band gap in magnetite above Verwey temperature induced by symmetry breaking. J Phys Chem C. 2017;121:25736–42. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b09387.

[65] Chen J, Zhu L. UV-Fenton discoloration and mineralization of Orange II over hydroxyl-Fe-pillared bentonite. J Photochem Photobiol A Chem. 2007;188:56–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jphotochem.2006.11.018.

[66] Baba Y, Yatagai T, Harada T, Kawase Y. Hydroxyl radical generation in the photo-Fenton process: effects of carboxylic acids on iron redox cycling. Chem Eng J. 2015;277:229–41. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2015.04.103.

[67] Ozbey Unal B, Bilici Z, Ugur N, Isik Z, Harputlu E, Dizge N, et al. Adsorption and Fenton oxidation of azo dyes by magnetite nanoparticles deposited on a glass substrate. J Water Process Eng. 2019;32:100897. doi: 10.1016/j.watpro.2019.100897.

[68] Kavitha V, Palanivelu K. Destruction of cresols by Fenton oxidation process. Water Res. 2005;39:3062–72. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2005.05.011.

[69] Reza KM, Kurny A, Gulsan F. Photocatalytic degradation of methyl blue by magnetite + H2O2 + UV Process. Int J Environ Sci Dev. 2016;7:325.

[70] Biswakarma J, Kang K, Schenkeveld WDC, Kraemer SM, Hering JG, Hug SJ. Catalytic effects of photogenerated Fe(III) on the ligand-controlled dissolution of iron(III)oxides by EDTA and DFOB. Chemosphere. 2021;263:128188. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128188.