A LARGE GROUP OF ASYMPTOTIC GIANT BRANCH STARS IN THE DISK OF M31: A MISSING PIECE OF THE PUZZLE?
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ABSTRACT

We examine the properties of a stellar grouping that is $\sim 3.5$ kpc to the northeast of the center of M31. This structure has (1) a surface brightness that is lower than the surrounding disk, (2) a more-or-less round appearance, (3) a size of $\sim 300$ arcsec ($\sim 1$ kpc), and (4) an integrated brightness $K = 6.5$. It is populated by stars with ages $> 10^3$ Myr and $J - K$ colors that tend to be bluer than those of stars in the surrounding disk. Comparisons with model luminosity functions suggest that the star formation rate in this object has changed twice in the past few hundred Myr. Fitting a Sérsic function to the light profile reveals a power-law index and effective surface brightness that are similar to those of dwarf galaxies with the same integrated brightness. Two possible origins for this object are considered: (1) it is a heretofore undiscovered satellite of M31 that is seen against/in/through the M31 disk or (2) it is a fossil star-forming region in the M31 disk.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The tidal streams that lace the extraplanar regions of M31 (Ibata et al. 2007; Tanaka et al. 2010) are testament to past interactions with neighboring systems. The tidal debris and companion galaxies that surround M31 are pieces of a puzzle that—when all the bits of information are assembled—will form a coherent picture of the evolution of the galaxy. A key goal is to determine if the evolution of M31 has been driven by a single major merger, as suggested by Hammer et al. (2010), or by the repeated pummeling by smaller satellites.

The disk of M31 contains additional pieces of the M31 evolution puzzle. The kinematic properties (Unwin 1983) and spatial distribution (Yin et al. 2009; Nieten et al. 2006) of star-forming material in M31 are indicative of a disturbed gas disk, and star formation at the present day occurs mainly in arcs and ring-shaped structures (Gordon et al. 2006; Barmby et al. 2006), rather than large-scale spiral arms. The age distribution of globular clusters is suggestive of at least two significant star-forming events in the disk since the initial assembly of the galaxy (Puzia et al. 2005). The M31 disk also has a significantly larger spatial extent than the Galactic disk (Hammer et al. 2007), as expected if the M31 disk has accreted material and been “spun up.”

An investigation of the central few kpc of the M31 disk is of interest as it might be an area of past and/or present star-forming activity given that large-scale interactions can channel gas into the inner regions of galaxies. Dynamical friction might also drag satellites into the central regions of galaxies; indeed, Saglia et al. (2010) find tantalizing hints that a satellite was accreted by M31 $\sim 100$ Myr ago. In this Letter, we report on the detection of a prominent structure in the inner disk of M31.

2. DATA

2.1. 2MASS Images

$J$ and $Ks$ images of M31 from the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) Large Galaxy Atlas (LGA; Jarrett et al. 2003) are used to search for localized structures that depart from the large-scale isophotal properties of the disk. The goal is not to characterize features such as bars or asymmetries in the disk that have spatial scales approaching a disk scale length; rather, the search focuses on structures with characteristic sizes $< 1–2$ kpc.

The 2MASS images trace the light profile of M31 out to 30 arcmin ($R_G \sim 6$ kpc), and so the coverage is restricted to the inner disk of the galaxy.

2.2. WIRCam Images

The photometric properties of stars in a single $20 \times 20$ arcmin$^2$ Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) WIRCam (Puget et al. 2004) field, which samples the major axis to the northeast (NE) of the galaxy center, were examined to characterize an object that was discovered in the 2MASS images. The data consist of forty 20 s $J$ and $Ks$ images recorded for joint programs 2009BC29 and 2009BH52. Individual background-subtracted images processed with the I’IWI pipeline were downloaded from the Canadian Astronomical Data Center CFHT archive, and these were spatially registered to correct for exposure-to-exposure jitter prior to stacking. Stars in the final combined images have FWHM $= 0.7$ arcsec.

3. RESULTS

3.1. The Identification of a Large Structure

The isophote-fitting program ellipse (Jedrzejewski 1987) was run on the 2MASS images after they had been smoothed with a running boxcar median filter to suppress bright stars. A model constructed from the fitted isophotes was subtracted from the images, and stellar concentrations with sizes $< 0.5$ arcsec will appear as excess light in the result. The left-hand panel of Figure 1 shows the isophote-subtracted LGA $J$ image. A number of faint residual structures are evident throughout the $85 \times 85$ arcmin$^2$ field, including the central cross-shaped pattern that is a signature of the boxy nature of the M31 bulge (Beaton et al. 2007). Aside from NGC 205 and M32, by far the most pronounced diffuse structure in the residual image is $\sim 17$ arcmin ($\sim 3.5$ kpc) to the NE of the galaxy center. This object is centered at R.A. $= 00:43:43$ and decl. $= 41:28:15$.
Figure 1. Left panel: the residual image produced by subtracting an isophotal model from the 2MASS J LGA image of M31. North is at the top and east is to the left. An $85 \times 85$ arcmin$^2$ area is shown, with the nucleus of M31 at the center. The central cross-shaped pattern is an artifact of the boxy structure of the M31 bulge (Beaton et al. 2007). The NE Clump is the concentration of light $\sim 17$ arcmin ($\sim 3.5$ kpc) to the NE of the galaxy center. The location of the WIRCam field that is used to probe the stellar content of this structure is indicated with the green box. Lower right panel: the $10 \times 10$ arcmin$^2$ portion of the isophote-subtracted LGA J image centered on the NE Clump. The outermost contour is $\mu_J = 20.9$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$, and the contour interval is 0.3 mag arcsec$^{-2}$. Upper right panel: the final K WIRCam image, which covers $20 \times 20$ arcmin$^2$ and samples the southern-half of the NE Clump. The areas used in the photometric analysis to probe the NE Clump and the surrounding disk are indicated.

(E2000). It is outside the region where light from the bulge dominates at visible wavelengths (e.g., Tempel et al. 2011), and is near the end of the bar identified by Beaton et al. (2007). A $10 \times 10$ arcmin$^2$ section of the isophote-subtracted LGA J image centered on this object is shown in the lower right panel, and it can be seen that the central regions have a more-or-less round morphology. In subsequent discussion we refer to this feature as the NE Clump.

While the surface brightness of the NE Clump is $\sim 1.3$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ fainter than the surrounding disk, it is still a significant structure, with integrated brightnesses $J = 7.3$ and $K = 6.5$. For comparison, the integrated apparent brightness of M32 is $K = 5$, while for NGC 205 $K = 5.5$ (Jarrett et al. 2003); the NE Clump is thus a large—heretofore unidentified—structure in the M31 system. Adopting a distance modulus of 24.36 (Vilardell et al. 2010), then $M_K \sim -17.9$.

The overall appearance of the NE Clump is suggestive of a low surface brightness galaxy. The surface brightness and color profiles of the NE Clump are shown in Figure 2. As demonstrated in the upper panel of Figure 2, the $J$ light profile of the NE Clump can be well matched by a Sérsic profile with an exponent $n = 0.48 \pm 0.03$, $R_e = 169 \pm 4$ arcsec (i.e., $593 \pm 14$ pc), and $\mu_e = 20.37 \pm 0.02$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. The $J-K$ color in the central 200 arcsec is $\sim 0.4$ mag bluer than that of the surrounding disk, and is similar to that of NGC 185, which has a similar $K$-band brightness (Jarrett et al. 2003).

Figure 2. Surface brightness and color profiles of the NE Clump, measured from the isophote-subtracted 2MASS LGA images. Surface brightnesses are in mag arcsec$^{-2}$. The dashed line in the top panel shows a Sérsic profile with $n = 0.48$, $r_e = 169$ arcsec, and $\mu_e = 20.366$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. 

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
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Figure 3. The Stellar Content of the NE Clump
Not all of the structural properties of the NE Clump are similar
to those of nearby dwarf galaxies. We have compared the S´ersic parameters of the NE Clump with those compiled by Jerjen et al. (2000) for nearby dwarf galaxies. To make these comparisons we note that the $J − K$ color of the NE Clump is suggestive
of $B − K \sim 3–4$, and so $M_B \sim −14$ to $−15$. Comparing the S´ersic parameters of the NE Clump with the relations shown in Figures 5 and 6 of Jerjen et al. (2000): (1) $n$ is near the low end of dwarf galaxy values in this $M_B$ range, but still within the scatter envelope, (2) the central surface brightness is well within the envelope defined by nearby dwarfs, but (3) $R_e$ is $\sim 0.3$ dex larger than for other dwarfs.

3.2. The Stellar Content of the NE Clump

The WIRCam data sample the southern-half of the NE Clump. Stellar brightnesses in the WIRCam image were measured with the point spread function (PSF)-fitting routine ALLSTAR (Stetson & Harris 1988), using PSFs and source catalogs constructed with routines in the DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987) package. The ($K, J − K$) color–magnitude diagram (CMD) of stars in the NE Clump area indicated in Figure 1 is shown in the lower panel of Figure 3. The peak brightnesses of the red supergiant (RSG) and asymptotic giant branch (AGB) sequences in the $Z = 0.019$ Marigo et al. (2008) isochrones are indicated at the top of the figure. The brightest AGB stars in these models have $K \sim 14.2$. There is a smattering of stars brighter than this, and these may be long-period variables near the peak of their light curves and/or RSGs with ages $\log(t) < 7.3$.

Contamination from the M31 disk complicates efforts to probe the stellar content of the NE Clump. Here, statistical corrections for disk contamination are based on number counts in control fields that are near the NE Clump, and the locations of these fields are indicated in the upper right-hand panel of Figure 1. The $K$ luminosity functions (LFs) of objects with $J − K$ colors between 0.6 and 1.8 were constructed for the NE Clump and the control fields. The control field LFs were combined and scaled to match the disk surface brightness near the NE Clump using the isophotal measurements described in Section 3.1. These scaled counts were then subtracted from the observed NE Clump LF to produce the LF shown in the middle panel of Figure 3; the observed (i.e., NE Clump + disk component) LF is shown in the top panel of Figure 3.

Stars remain in the NE Clump area after disk subtraction, indicating that the NE Clump stands out as a distinct feature in star counts. Given that (1) the mean surface brightness of the NE Clump is over a mag arcsec$^{-2}$ lower than the surrounding disk (Section 3.1) and (2) disk subtraction removes roughly one-half of the stars, then the NE Clump contains a higher fraction of bright AGB stars per unit near-infrared surface brightness than the surrounding disk. This could indicate a higher specific star formation rate (SFR) in the NE Clump when compared with the disk during the past few hundred Myr, in agreement with the difference in the color distributions of the NE Clump and the disk (see below).

Model K LFs for simple stellar populations with $Z = 0.019$ were constructed from the Marigo et al. (2008) isochrones using the tools provided on the Padova database of evolutionary tracks and isochrones Web site, and these were combined to construct a $K$ LF for a system with a constant SFR in the time interval

---

1 http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/cmd
of the galaxy. In addition, structures of this nature are difficult to detect at visible wavelengths given their low surface brightness and substructure introduced by blue stars and dust. However, at near-infrared wavelengths it is possible to probe the stellar content to comparatively small radii in M31 due to (1) the reduced levels of dust extinction and (2) the diminished effects of line blanketing at these wavelengths, which results in greater contrast between luminous red giant branch (RGB) stars and the underlying body of bluer stars.

The NE Clump is dominated by stars with ages $\gtrsim 100$ Myr, and is not a simple stellar system. Indeed, comparisons with a model LF suggest that the SFR changed 140 Myr and 220 Myr in the past. The $J-K$ color distribution of AGB stars in the NE Clump is skewed to blue colors when compared with the distribution of the surrounding disk, suggesting that stars in the NE Clump have a lower metallicity and/or a younger mean age than those in the surrounding M31 disk.

We consider two possible origins for the NE Clump.

1. The NE Clump is a heretofore unidentified satellite of M31. The Sérsic index and central surface brightness of the NE Clump fall within the range defined by nearby dwarf galaxies. In contrast, the effective radius of the NE Clump is larger than expected for a dwarf galaxy. Depending on the distance above/below the M31 disk plane, the size and structure of the NE Clump may be affected by tidal forces. If the NE Clump is a dwarf galaxy that contains stars with a wide range of ages then $M/L_{J-K} \sim 1$, and its stellar mass will be $\sim 3 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. Given that the mass of M31 within 5 kpc of the nucleus is $\sim 6.7 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (e.g., Carignan et al. 2006), then the tidal radius of the NE Clump is $\sim 95$ arcsec if it is in the M31 disk plane. The presence of dark matter in the NE Clump would push the tidal radius to higher values. Finally, while the colors of AGB stars in the NE Clump and its comparatively blue integrated $J-K$ color are consistent with a stellar mixture that differs from that of the M31 disk, it is not clear if this is due to differences in metallicity, age, or both. A difference in metallicity would be expected if the NE Clump is a dwarf galaxy.

2. The NE Clump is a fossil star-forming region in the M31 disk. The NE Clump has experienced star formation for an extended period of time, and there is evidence of changes in the SFR during the past $\sim 200$ Myr. There are other areas of recent star formation in the disk of M31 that have been active for a similar extended period of time (e.g., Davidge et al. 2012), and Williams (2003) and Davidge et al. (2012) conclude that the area $5-10$ kpc to the NE of the center of M31 had elevated levels of star formation during the past few hundred Myr. One possible trigger for star formation over kpc spatial scales is an interaction with a companion. In fact, the change in SFR in the NE Clump 220 Myr ago coincides with the timing of the passage of a satellite through the inner disk of M31 proposed by Block et al. (2006), as well as with the formation of the giant stellar stream (GSS), which models suggest may have formed between 0.25 Gyr (Font et al. 2006) and 0.65 Gyr (Fardal et al. 2007) in the past. In contrast, Hammer et al. (2010) suggest that the GSS formed much earlier, due to an interaction between M31 and another large galaxy.

The NE Clump is near the end of the bar identified by Beaton et al. (2007), and the compression of gas as the bar passes through the disk may induce star formation. However, the more-or-less round morphology of the NE Clump may

Figure 4. Top panel: the distribution of the $J - K$ colors of stars with $K$ between 14.5 and 15.0 in the NE Clump, including disk contamination. Lower panel: the color distribution after correcting for disk contamination. The color distribution in the lower panel is skewed to bluer values than that of the control fields, indicating that the stellar mixture in the NE Clump differs from that in the surrounding M31 disk.

The color distribution of AGB stars provides additional information about the stellar content of the NE Clump. The $J - K$ distribution of stars with $K$ between 14.5 and 15.0 in the NE Clump is examined in Figure 4. The raw color distribution (i.e., with no correction for disk contamination) is shown in the top panel, while the distribution after subtracting the contribution from the control fields is shown in the lower panel. Monte Carlo simulations, in which the number counts in each color bin of the NE Clump and control fields were perturbed by the uncertainties predicted from counting statistics, were run to determine the significance of the differences between the color distribution of the NE Clump and the control fields. These simulations indicate that the peak of the $J-K$ distribution in the NE Clump is bluer than that in the control fields at more than the 2$\sigma$ significance level. The color distribution of AGB stars in the NE Clump is thus skewed to bluer colors than the disk contribution, indicating that the stellar mixture in the NE Clump differs from that in the surrounding disk. The differences in stellar content that could produce such a color difference can be estimated from the Marigo et al. (2008) models, which indicate that a change of 0.05 mag in the peak of the $J-K$ color distribution corresponds to $\Delta$M/H $\sim 0.3$ dex or a difference in effective age $\Delta \log(t_{yr}) = 0.5$ dex.

4. DISCUSSION

A concentration of AGB stars has been identified on the major axis of M31 3.5 kpc to the northeast of the galaxy center. The “NE Clump” is evident in both integrated near-infrared and star counts. The NE Clump has probably eluded detection to date because much of the previous work on M31 has focused on either the central areas of the bulge or the outermost regions.
not be consistent with such a trigger. Dobbs & Pringle (2010) investigate the distribution of stars in a barred spiral galaxy, and stars in their models that formed in the bar \( \sim 50–100 \) Myr in the past are smeared azimuthally, with additional radial blurring.

There are two observational predictions that could be tested to probe the nature of the NE Clump. If it is a dwarf galaxy then (1) spectroscopic studies should find that a substantial fraction of stars in this field are chemically distinct from those of the disk, and (2) deep high angular resolution imaging should reveal an RGB sequence that is skewed to bluer colors than in the surrounding disk. Spectroscopic studies may also find that any stars that are chemically distinct from those in the disk also have non-disk kinematics, although this depends on the orbit of the NE Clump.

If it is found to be a dwarf galaxy then the NE Clump is a new consideration when interpreting structures in the M31 system. When its location and properties with respect to the M31 disk are established, it may prove to be the source of at least some of the tidal features that are seen today. Indeed, some structures, such as the GSS and the kinematically distinct nuclear gas ring (Saglia et al. 2010), do not have an identified progenitor.

Thanks are extended to the anonymous referee, who provided comments that greatly improved the Letter.
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