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Abstract

In this study, the effect of loam and sandy loam textures on phosphorus (P) release was investigated in greenhouse intensive cultivation soils of Kurdistan, Western Iran. Results showed that the kinetic of P release was higher in soils with sandy loam texture than loam texture soils. It probably was due to low positive charge points and adsorption capacity of sandy loam soils in compare with loam soils. So, more attentions must be conducted in sandy loam textures in cultivation systems because of environmental problems. As well as, according to our results other soil properties like organic matter (OM) and cation exchange capacity (CEC) showed high correlation in respect to P release.
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Introduction

The importance of phosphorus (P) level in soil resources in order to achieve adequate yield has long been recognized. On the other hand, application of P fertilizers to cultivation systems has become a routine part of agriculture [1]. High rate and long-term application of P in to the soils can lead to P accumulation more than that required for optimum plant growth, so increasing the potential for P loss to groundwater resource and change water quality [2]. Application of P fertilizers and P accumulation in intensive greenhouse cultivation systems are more than non-intensive systems [3], indicated that P accumulation was 5 times more in greenhouse soils than agricultural soils due to long-term repeated application of fertilizers. Residual P can be an important pool in soils that has an important role to plant P supply [4-7].

For optimum nutrition of crop, the replenishment of a P-depleted soil solution is affected by the release of P from clay minerals, OM, and Fe and Al hydroxides. In Iran there are problems about P accumulation in excessive levels in greenhouse soils because of intensity of greenhouse cultivation and high annual rates of fertilizer application [4]. In Kurdistan, application of P fertilizer various with crop type. The large amount and inadequate management of P fertilization in some cultivation systems are mainly responsible for P accumulation in surface soils [5]. While more research has been done on kinetics of P release by different researchers [8], limited information on the influence of soil texture on the kinetics of P release in greenhouse soils is available. So, the aim of this study was determine the P-release kinetics from greenhouse soils by different textures.

Material and Methods

Soil sampling and analysis

Studied area was a greenhouse town in Kurdistan province (latitude 36°00’ N and longitude 47°00’E) Western Iran, with an area less than the 700 m². The management approach in greenhouses of studied area is based on the use of manure and chemical fertilizers in different amounts. The usual style of irrigation

In greenhouses is drip irrigation. The main vegetables in studied greenhouses are cucumber (Cucumis sativus) and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum). Soil samples were collected in August 2016. Eight soil samples were collected from different greenhouses. All samples were collected from surface soils (0-20 cm). Sampling in each site was done using stainless-steel auger. Each soil sample was mixture of five sub-samples, was collected in polyethylene bags and was pre-treatment by being air-dried at room temperature (25 ± 1°C), ground and sieved through 2 mm for physiochemical analysis.

Soil properties were determined according to standard methods [6]. Soil pH was measured using 1:5 soil to water ratio suspension with a glass electrode pH meter (model inolab pH 7110). Soil electrical conductivity (EC) was measured using a glass electrode (model 712 conductometer) after mixing the soil with water (1:5,v/v). Organic matter was determined according to the Walkley-Black method, which is based on the oxidation of organic matter with K2Cr2O7 and H2SO4, and titration with FeSO4. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was measured by saturation the soil with 1 mol l-1 sodium acetate solution (pH 8.2), washing soil soluble sodium (Na+) with 96% ethanol and extracting exchangeable Na+ with 1 mol l-1 of neutral ammonium acetate. Calcium carbonate (CaCO3) was determined after boiling of 2.5 g soil with 25 ml of 0.5 N HCl and titration with 0.25 N NaOH. Soil available P (Olsen-P) is the official factor for assessing soil plant available. Briefly, 1 g of aired-dried soil sample and 20 ml of NaHCO3 (0.5 mol l-1, pH 8.5) were placed into a 50 ml extraction bottle; and the bottles were shaken mechanically for 30 min at room temperature. The suspension was filtered through a free filter paper. The P concentrations in the filtrates were measured by the colorimetric method using ascorbic acid (murphy and riley, 1994) at 820 nm by spectrophotometer (model Cary 100). In order to determined soil total P, 1 g soil was heated in 550°C in Furnace for 1 h and Boiling with 25 ml 1 N HCl in bathroom for 1 h. After cooling, solutions were brought to the mark in 100 cc flasks. Phosphorus content was measured by spectrophotometer. Particle-size distribution was determined by the hydrometer method.

Kinetics of phosphorus release

Duplicate samples of 1 g of soil were suspended in 20 mL of 0.01 mol l-1 NH4OAc (pH 7.0) for 24 h. The suspension was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 30 min. and filtered. The P concentrations in the filtrates were measured by the colorimetric method using ascorbic acid (murphy and riley, 1994) at 820 nm by spectrophotometer (model Cary 100). The kinetic of P release was calculated by the first order reaction as shown below:

\[ \frac{dP}{dt} = kP^n \]

Where dP/dt is the P release rate at time t, k is rate constant, and n is order of reaction. The rate constant (k) was calculated by the following equation:

\[ k = \frac{\ln(P_{t}) - \ln(P_{0})}{t} \]

Where \( P_{t} \) is the P concentration at time t, \( P_{0} \) is the initial P concentration, and t is the time of incubation. The order of reaction (n) was determined by the slope of the linear plot of \( \ln(P_{t}) \) against time. The half-life (T1/2) of P release was calculated by the following equation:

\[ T_{1/2} = \frac{\ln(2)}{k} \]
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M CaCl₂ media and equilibrated at 25°C from 0.5 to 88 h (0.5, 1, 4, and between 4 and 88 h every 12 h). The suspensions were shaken for 1 h and left for the equilibrating time and then shaken for another 1 h before the suspensions were centrifuged for 10 min and measurements made on the solution. The soil shaking was repeated, and each time fresh solution was added and contact process repeated. Phosphorus in the extract was determined. In addition to the measurement of P concentration in the extract, pH, EC and Ca were measured. The release of P with time was fitted using the following equations:

Parabolic diffusion model: \( q = A + RT^2; \) (1)

Power function equation: \( q = qa + b \ln t; \) (2)

Elovich equation: \( qa + b \ln t; \) (3)

First order equation: \( \ln (q_e - q) = B - k t; \) (4)

Where \( q_e \) is the cumulative P released at time \( t, \) \( a, b, \) and \( B \) are initial P released; \( R, b, \) and \( k \) are P-release rate constants; \( q_e \) is the maximum P released; and \( k \) the first-order rate constant. These mathematical models were tested by coefficient of determination (r²).

The equivalent Ca carbonate contents varied from 10.50% to 18.40% in sandy loam soils and 10.95% to 17.52% in soils with loam texture. There was not significant difference between different soil textures. Loam soil samples had more CaCl₂-P than sandy loam soil samples. The average of CaCl₂-P was 74.49 mg kg⁻¹ in sandy loam and 2085.28 mg kg⁻¹ in sand loam samples respectively. Olsen-P in different soil samples are different (Table 1).

Great average Olsen-P in soils occurred in sandy loam texture (228.97 mg kg⁻¹). Olsen and total P in this studied soil samples are higher than other studies in different countries (Table 2).

It shows the Olsen and total P concentrations in some another countries. Compare with their results, high application of phosphorus fertilizers in agricultural lands especially in intensive crop systems in Iran, results to P accumulation in soils. The amount of manure and chemical fertilizers applied in greenhouses is unknown and change as a function of crop type [7]. Therefore, it indicates that intensive agricultural practices may cause enrichment of P in surface soils and fertilizer-use efficiency is low, although the application rates of P fertilizer were made according to the soil and water research institute recommendations [7].

## Kinetics of P release

Phosphorus release by 0.01 M CaCl₂ is shown in Figure 1. The release was initially rapid followed by a slower reaction. The two phases of P release are characteristic of a diffusion-controlled process that has been observed for other ions such as K⁺ [9]. The observed pattern of initial rapid release followed by slower release has also been reported by the two phases of P release can be due to the heterogeneity of the adsorption sites with different adsorption affinities [10-13]. The initial fast release has been mainly attributed to rapid dissolution of poorly crystalline or amorphous phosphates in the soils, which were metastable and ultimately converted to crystalline forms such as octa-Ca-phosphate and Ca-hydroxyl-apatite [11]. The fast phase and the slower second phase may be related to the desorption of surface labile P and slow dissolution of the crystalline phosphate compounds in the soils [10].

### Results and Discussion

Selected chemical properties of the soil studied are given in Table 1. The soils were neutral generally in both soil textures and high in EC and OM. Higher OM values were observed in soils with loam texture. The equivalent Ca carbonate contents varied from 10.50% to 18.40% in sandy loam soils and 10.95% to 17.52% in soils with loam texture. There was not significant difference between Ca carbonate contents in soils with different textures. For CEC, significant difference was observed between different soil textures. Loam soil samples had more CEC than sandy loam soil samples. The average of CaCl₂-P was 74.49 and 62.34 mg kg⁻¹ in sandy loam and loam soil samples respectively. Olsen-P in different soil samples are different (Table 1).

Olsen and total P in this studied soil samples are higher than other studies in different countries (Table 2).

**Table 1:** Some chemical properties of studied soils with different textures.

**Table 2:** Comparison of Olsen and total P in greenhouse soils of some countries.
related to the soil texture. The maximum amount of P was released in soil with sandy loam texture (Figure 1). The minimum P was released in the soils with loam textures. In all soil types, the amount of P released was lower than the Olsen P, and on average Olsen-P removed 3 times more P than cumulative P released by 0.01 M CaCl₂. Different kinetic models were used to describe P release from the soils. The ability of kinetic models to describe P release has been studied by several researchers [2-9]. A linear fit to the parabolic diffusion model (r²=0.95-0.98) indicates that release of P is a diffusion-controlled process [3]. The parameter R ranges from 4.97 to 7.69 mg kg⁻¹ h⁻¹/2 (Table 3). Successful presentations of a parabolic diffusion [14].

Equation for P release from soils was earlier reported by also, the cumulative P was fitted to the first order (r²=0.97-0.98) and Elovich models (r²=0.97-0.98). Successful description of P release by the first-order and Elovich models was previously reported. According to our data, Elovich and first order equations show more r² than another fitted equations. The Pearson correlation matrix was computed (Table 4).

Criterion value of probabilities (p<0.05) was used to test correlation significance [15]. A strong positive correlation between CaCl₂-P released and Olsen-P (r²=0.82) was observed. Previous research has shown that P-release kinetics varies with the composition of the soil showed that P-release rate in acid soils is controlled by Al, Fe, and clay contents, whereas in calcareous soils by CaCO₃ and clay contents [16,17].

**Conclusion**

The effect of soil texture on P release was investigated. The release

![Figure 1: Cumulative amount of P released in 0.01 M CaCl₂ with time in soils with two different textures.](image)

### Table 3: Parameters of kinetics equation used to describe release kinetics of P in 0.01 M CaCl₂ in studied soils.

| Soil texture | Number of soil samples | Parabolic equation | Power function equation | Elovich equation | First order equation |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Sandy        |                        | A mg/kg.h R mg/kg  | r² a mg/kg.h b mg/kg r² | a mg/kg.h b mg/kg r² | B mg/kg.h k mg/kg r² |
| 1            | 16.1 7.01 0.95         | 18.35 0.33 0.93    | 15.64 12.85 0.97        | 3.99 0.04 0.97     |
| 2            | 16.82 7.69 0.95        | 19.29 0.33 0.93    | 16.21 14.13 0.98        | 4.08 0.4 0.98      |
| 3            | 13.72 7.03 0.96        | 16.6 0.34 0.94     | 13.45 12.81 0.97        | 4.01 0.03 0.97     |
| 4            | 15.2 7.01 0.96         | 17.63 0.33 0.93    | 14.83 12.82 0.97        | 4 0.3 0.97        |
| Loam         |                        | 15.64 0.33 0.94    | 13.3 10.92 0.98         | 3.82 0.04 0.98    |
| 1            | 13.76 5.94 0.95        | 15.64 0.33 0.94    | 13.3 10.92 0.98         | 3.82 0.04 0.98    |
| 2            | 12.68 4.97 0.98        | 13.73 0.32 0.92    | 12.06 9.21 0.98         | 3.83 0.04 0.98    |
| 3            | 12.87 5.61 0.96        | 15.02 0.32 0.94    | 12.65 10.23 0.97        | 3.78 0.03 0.97    |
| 4            | 14.82 6.95 0.95        | 17.11 0.34 0.93    | 14.37 12.64 0.97        | 3.99 0.04 0.97    |

**Table 4: Pearson correlation coefficients for the soil different parameters.**

| Parameters | pH  | EC | OM | CEC | CaCO₃ | Olsen-P | CaCl₂-P | Silt | Sand | Clay | a   | b   | A   |
|------------|-----|----|----|-----|-------|---------|---------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| p<0.05     | -   | -  | -  | -   | -     | -       | -       | -    |      |      | -   | -   | -   |
| EC         | 0.54*| 1  | -  | -   | -     | -       | -       | -    |      |      | -   | -   | -   |
| OM         | -0.13| 0.15| 1  | -   | -     | -       | -       | -    |      |      | -   | -   | -   |
| CEC        | 2.52| 0.17| 0.25*| 1  | -     | -       | -       | -    |      |      | -   | -   | -   |
| CaCO₃      | 0.52*| 0.36*| 0.23| 0.26*| 1     | -       | -       | -    |      |      | -   | -   | -   |
| Olsen-P    | 0.05| 0.41*| -0.11| 0.16| -0.23| 1       | -       | -    |      |      | -   | -   | -   |
| CaCl₂-P    | 0.12| 0.26| -0.08| 0.12| -0.14| 0.82* | 1       | -    |      |      | -   | -   | -   |
| Silt       | 0.43*| -0.23| 0.13| 0.23*| -0.42*| -0.1 | -0.36| 1    |      |      | -   | -   | -   |
| Sand       | -0.06| -0.05| -0.08| -0.05| -0.42| 0.2   | 0.26* | -0.14| 1    |      | -   | -   | -   |
| Clay       | 0.25| 0.33*| -0.23| 0.52| 0.65*| -0.12| -0.11| -0.05| -0.12| 1    | -   | -   | -   |
| a          | 0.08| 0.26| -0.01| -0.11| -0.26| 0.23 | 0.45  | -0.11| 0.23* | -0.12| 1    | -   | -   |
| b          | 0.15| -0.12| 0.23| 0.1  | 0.22  | -0.54| -0.11| 0.26*| -0.15| 0.36*| -0.03| 1   | -   |
| A          | 0.2 | 0.42| -0.14| 0.18| -0.23| 0.52*| 0.39  | -0.25| 0.19  | -0.05| -0.22| -0.1| 1   |
| R          | 0.11| 0.11| -0.11| 0.28*| -0.14| 0.58*| -0.06| -0.36| -0.11| -0.13| 0.62| -0.02| 0.22*|

*Significant at 5% level of significance. EC: Electrical Conductivity; OM: Organic Matter; CEC: Cation Exchange Capacity; a, A: Initial P Released; b, R: Release Rate Constants.
of P among soils differed between soil textures. Continuation of conventional crop management practices will likely further increase soil P, leading to greater potential release of P. In general, parabolic first order and Elovich equations were found to be appropriate for modeling cumulative P release. Between soil parameters, OM, CEC were most correlated with soil texture. These parameters are known to influence P release from soils and can be used in modeling the release kinetics of P from these calcareous soils.
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