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Abstract
The industrial training is a valuable component of a university's curriculum that enhances the soft skills of graduates. This is a part of the syllabus for all students who enroll under the Faculty of Plantation and Agrotechnology, Universiti Teknologi MARA. The goal of industrial training is to expose the students to the real working conditions. Thus, the research aim is to measure and compare the students' skill sets before and after they completing their industrial training. A quantitative research method used to achieve the research objectives and the data collection conducted among the students after they have completed 6-8 weeks of industrial training in the industry. The primary data collected using questionnaire from 196 samples from diploma and bachelor degree program in the faculty through simple random sampling method. The result found that the average performance of the students before the industrial training is 61.6% of the cognitive (thinking), 62.3% of the psychomotor (skill) and 70.8% of affective (ethic). After completing the industrial training, these three parameters increased to 78.9% of the cognitive, 83.9% of the psychomotor and 84% of affective. Referring to the T-test analysis in SPSS, the finding expected to reveal that there is significance different on the cognitive, psychomotor and affective domain before and after completing industrial training since the p-value is 0.00 less than 0.05. As a conclusion, during industrial training students showed high level of performance achieved, which helped improving their soft skill and competencies also help in reducing the unemployable rate among the graduate students.
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Introduction
The scenario of working environment nowadays is very competitive and challenging. Students have to prepare themselves with a good skill set to ensure they can be accepted in the workplace and help to reduce unemployment among them. One of the ways to improve the students' skill set by exposure them with real work environment through industrial training. The industrial training is a part of university requirement to expose the students with professional skills and experiences in plantation practices and preparing the students for the real working environment. The objectives of conducting industrial training are to enhance students’ knowledge in one particular area, increase self-confidence of students, helps in
finding their own proficiency and to cultivate the student’s leadership ability and responsibility to perform or execute the given task. Kamarulzaman et al, 2011 stated that 91% of respondents ‘agreed’ that the industrial training able to increase their career opportunities while 88% also believed this training provides the qualifications for several careers after graduation. 92% of the respondents agreed that the industrial training provides guidance for their future careers.

Besides, the aims of industrial training are to meet the tertiary level of education and to produce excellent graduates who not only had excelled in their field of study, but also equipped with the necessary of soft skill knowledge, leadership ability and critical thinking abilities (MOHE 2015). Higher learning institutions are now providing students with the opportunity to translate the knowledge gained into practice through industrial training, also known as practical training or internship. According to the Wodi and Dokubo (2009) opined that if industrial training is not adequately implemented, it becomes difficult for graduates to secure employment in the occupation or make a smooth transition from schools to work. While students are still at university, an internship can help them develop a core of global market skills that are now considered requirements, such as communication and time management skills, better self-confidence and better self-motivation (Gill and Lashine, 2003). Work experience through a co-operative program provides credible means for softening the reality shock of transitioning from the world of academics to the working world. (Garavan and Murphy, 2001; Collin and Tynjalla, 2003). In fact, internships increase job opportunities for students since it allows them to improve their job skills and work values, focus on their career choices, directly access job sources, even to impress potential employers.

The students conducted industrial training in the first and second year approximately around 6-8 weeks per semester during semester break. This training is compulsory for all students from Faculty of Plantation and Agrotechnology which they must completed two (2) times during 3 years full time study. The survey was conducted before and after the students completing their industrial training. The students have been undergoing for industrial attachment from various government and private agencies such as Sime Darby Plantation Berhad, IOI Plantation Berhad, FELDA, Felcra Plantation, Kulim Plantation, MARDI and etc. The aims of this study are to compare the learning domain in bloom’s taxonomy which are cognitive, psychomotor and affective before and after completing the industrial training. According to Oladele et al, 2011 comparison before and after practical training among students showed that, there are significant differences were found among 32 tasks with students having higher competence mean score after the FPT training than the score before the training in agricultural sector

Bloom’s Taxonomy of Learning Domains
The parameter used for the study are cognitive, psychomotor and affective is a great component to analyze the output after competing industrial training. This categorization is best explained by the Taxonomy of Learning Domains formulated by a group of researchers led by Benjamin Bloom along with in 1956. Studies by Benjamin Bloom (on cognitive domain), David Krathwohl (affective domain) and Anita Harrow (Psychomotor domain) have been encompassed into the three domains of learning (Sousa, 2016).
Cognitive Domain
The cognitive domain includes a demonstrable acquisition of specific knowledge and skill. The cognitive domain contains learning skills predominantly related to mental (thinking) processes. Learning processes in the cognitive domain include a hierarchy of skills involving processing information, constructing understanding, applying knowledge, solving problems, and conducting research. According to (Kamarulzaman et al, 2010) reported that the knowledge of the students was increased from 41% to 89% after they completed industrial training.

Psychomotor Domain
Psychomotor domain involves physical movement coordination, and use of the motor skill area. Development of this skill requires practice and is measured in term of speed, precision, distance, procedure and technique (Mohd, 2008). In recruiting new employees, the job market has ever emphasized on working experience in addition to the paper qualification, thus the requirement makes working experience or industrial training becomes fundamental in the learning institutions (Saat and Ahmad, 2009). Lim and Muszafarshah, 2013 stated that training is an effective ways in improving communication, creative and analytical, time and management skills.

Affective Domain
Affective domain is considerable interest how have their college experience impacted students’ value goals, attitude, self-concept, worldview and behavior. The affective domain involves our feelings, emotions, and attitudes. This domain includes the manner in which we deal with things emotionally, such as feelings, values, appreciation, enthusiasms, motivations, and attitudes (Hoque, 2016)

Research Methodology
Type of Respondents
This study was a quantitative research design through a descriptive survey that described the effectiveness of industrial training on FPA students, which conducted at Universiti Teknologi MARA, Melacca Branch, Jasin Campus. Structured questionnaires from the literature were used to collect primary data from the students who participated in the industrial training in August 2017. The questionnaire comprised of two main sections which the first section focused on students’ profile and the second intended to assess the effectiveness of industrial training. The parameter for the study are cognitive, psychomotor and affective as independent variables and students result is a dependent variable were collected before and after participating the industrial training.

Population and Sample Size
According to the Krejcie and Morgan, 1970 table, the students involved in this study were from 140 diploma students and 120 bachelor degree students with the sample size is 104 and 92 respectively. So total sample size is 196 students’ participation have been collected through simple random sampling. Assessment is carried out based on the Likert scale scores, namely 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 which represent ‘very weak’, ‘weak’, ‘fair’, ‘good’ and ‘very good’ respectively.
Data Analysis
Data from the questionnaire were evaluated using the software Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) version 20. Descriptive analysis used to summarize the characteristics of the data and T-test analysis used to determine the significant differences between the means of two groups in measuring competence levels of students after completing industrial training.

Theoretical Framework
The Theoretical framework is a logical structural representation of the concepts, variable of dependent and independent and relationship involved in this study. Their function is to identify what needs to be measured, examined, explored and described. The Theoretical framework is important to guide and ensure the study is managed well. So that the researcher will identify whether a dependent and independent variable is related or not at the end of the study. A dependent variable for this study is the students’ academic result while the independent variable are cognitive (thinking), psychomotor (skill) and affective (ethic) of learning domains.

Results and Discussions
Demographic characteristics of respondents

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of respondents

| Variables      | Frequency | Percentages |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| Gender         |           |             |
| Male           | 112       | 57.14       |
| Female         | 84        | 42.86       |
| Total          | 196       | 100         |
| Age            |           |             |
| 18-19          | 0         | 0           |
| 20-21          | 108       | 55.10       |
| 22-23          | 84        | 42.86       |
| 24-25          | 4         | 2.04        |
| Total          | 196       | 100         |
| Education level|           |             |
| Degree         | 92        | 46.94       |
| Diploma        | 104       | 53.06       |
| Total          | 196       | 100         |

Table 1 shows the demographic information about gender, age and educational level among the respondents was summarized. The respondents were 196 students from the Faculty of Plantation and Agrotechnology. Out of the 196 students, 57.14% were male while 42.86% were females. A large proportion of the respondents (55.10%) were between the ages of 20-21 years old. Also 104 of the respondents (53.06%) were from diploma while 92 from degree students (46.94%).
Descriptive Analysis on Learning Domains

Figure 1: Descriptive analysis on learning domains

The bar chart in the figure 1 showed in detail the comparison before and after attending industrial training for each learning domains. All learning domains showed the increments in term of the value after they completed industrial training. The minimum value after completed industrial training were 2.00 which is weak score and the maximum value were 5.00 represent very good. It showed that even though the time duration for industrial training is very short about six week the students still can improves their skill, knowledge and attitude.
Comparison of Mean before and after Completed Industrial Training

Figure 2: Mean of each learning domain before and after attending industrial training

Figure 2 presents the mean on the learning domain before and after attending industrial training. These mean differences used to do a comparison of industrial training and an overall improvement in the scores of 4. The result showed that the mean of cognitive is 3.9469, psychomotor is 4.0184 and affective is 4.1949 after completed the industrial training. It was found that ‘fair’ score for cognitive domain recorded an increase by 17.3% from 61.6% to 78.9%. While the ‘good’ score for psychomotor domain increased by 18.1% from 62.3% to 80.4%. For the aspects of affective domain, there was an increment from 70.8% to 83.9% for a total increase of 13.1%. This agrees with the finding of Ukwuoma and Akanwa (2008) who stated that effective training brings about an increase in knowledge required in the job, knowledge of the structure and business arms of the organization.

Paired T-Test for each Learning Domains
Table 2: Paired T-Test for each learning domains

| Variables                        | Mean Before | Mean After | t    | df  | Sig (2-tailed) |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------------|------|-----|----------------|
| Before and After Cognitive      | .86837      | .89099     | -13.645 | 195 | 0.00           |
| Before and After Psychomotor    | .88673      | .95907     | -12.994 | 195 | 0.00           |
| Before and After Affective       | .65510      | 1.01022    | -9.079 | 195 | 0.00           |

T-Test analysis is conducted to determine if there is a significant difference between before and after completing industrial training. Table 2 is the results of paired t-test showing the comparison before and after complete industrial training among students in terms of learning domains. The results showed that all of the variables in learning domains have significant differences since p value is 0.00 less than 0.05. This agrees with the findings of Mohd et al. (2009) who stated that, engineering students’ perception after Industrial Training Placement in Malaysia showed beneficial effect and has significantly improved their ‘personal attitude’, ‘communication’, ‘work attitude’. The experience gained has given them the opportunity to become better students and could, in the future, provide them with better employment
prospects. According to the Lim and Muszafar Shah 2013 also stated that after attending industrial training, the respondents reported that their generic skills are more satisfactory – ranging from 5.62 to 5.88.

**Conclusion and Recommendation**

Industrial training is an effective way to improve the students’ knowledge, skill and attitude. There are the significance differences between before and after completing industrial training even the time duration is limited. Relatively the most dominant learning is psychomotor which students learn and do hand on activities in their real working environment. They were able to use their learned knowledge in the actual work situation. Some weaknesses, particularly in terms of communication skills have been improved for which students will be more confident to communicate and thus adapt to their working environment. However, there are few areas need to be improved, particularly about the time duration of industrial training. Six to twelve months is very appropriate to the students to gain more benefits when attending industrial training. For the future studies is recommended to explore more learning domain received by the students during industrial training.
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