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ABSTRACT:

Population growth, urbanization and industrialization place more pressure on land use with the need for increased space. To extend
the use and functionality of the land, complex infrastructures are being built, both vertically and horizontally, layered and stacked.
These three-dimensional (3D) developments affect the interests (Rights, Restrictions, and Responsibilities (RRRs)) attached to the
underlying land. A 3D cadastre will assist in managing the effects of 3D development on a particular extent of land.

There are many elements that contribute to developing a 3D cadastre, such as existing of 3D property legislations, 3D DBMS, 3D
visualization. However, data modelling is one of the most important elements of a successful 3D cadastre. As architectural models of
houses and high rise buildings help their users visualize the final product, 3D cadastre data model supports 3D cadastre users to
understand the structure or behavior of the system and has a template that guides them to construct and implement the 3D cadastre.

Many jurisdictions, organizations and software developers have built their own cadastral data model. Land Administration Domain
Model (DIS-ISO 19152, The Netherlands) and ePlan (Intergovernmental Committee on Surveying and Mapping, Australia) are
examples of existing data models.

The variation between these data models is the result of different attitudes towards cadastres. However, there is a basic common
thread among them all. Current cadastral data models use a 2D land-parcel concept and extend it to support 3D requirements. These
data models cannot adequately manage and represent the spatial extent of 3D RRRs. Most of the current cadastral data models have
been influenced by a very broad understanding of 3D cadastral concepts because better clarity in what needs to be represented and
analysed in the cadastre needs to be established.

This paper presents the first version of a 3D Cadastral Data Model (3DCDM_Version 1.0). 3DCDM models both the legal and
physical extent of 3D properties and associated interests. The data model extends the traditional cadastral requirements to cover
other applications such as urban planning and land valuation and taxation. A demonstration of a test system on the proposed data
model is also presented. The test is based on a case study in Victoria, Australia to evaluate the effectiveness of the data model.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cadastres as the engine of land administration systems while integrated with spatial data infrastructures (SDI) are required to
help manage interests in land and its resources. Until the present, land administration systems have used 2D land parcels
as a base to determine, record and disseminate required information regarding land (Kalantari et al., 2008). Land
administration systems should be complete, comprehensive, and constant to enable sustainable development. However, they are
2D and cannot adequately manage and represent the real 3D world.

The cadastral map should be able to represent and also provide spatial information related to land rights, restrictions and
responsibilities (RRRs) not only in 2D space for land parcels, but also in 3D space for 3D properties, apartment units and
underground infrastructures. Such a system can be described as a 3D cadastre.

3D cadastres would assist management of 3D RRRs. A 3D cadastre should be capable of storing, manipulating, querying, analysis, updating, and supporting the visualisation of 3D land rights, restrictions and responsibilities. 3D cadastral aspects
should be considered in any modern system. The legal, institutional and technical aspects of a 3D cadastre provide the
framework for its successful development and implementation. However, data modelling is one of the most important elements
of a successful 3D cadastre. 3D cadastral data model supports 3D cadastre users to understand the structure or behaviour of the
system and has a template that guides them to construct and implement the 3D cadastre.

Many jurisdictions have developed their own cadastral data model. Examples of data modelling developments are the core
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cadastral data model (Henssen, 1995), FGDC Cadastral Data Content Standard for the National Spatial Data Infrastructure (FGDC, 1996), ArcGIS Parcel Data Model (Meyer, 2001), ePlan (ICSM, 2009), Legal Property Object (Kalantari et al., 2008), and ISO 19152- LADM (ISO/DIS, 2011). The variation between these data models is evidence of the varying expectations of a 3D cadastre. However, there is a basic common thread among them all. Existing cadastral data models have been developed based on the definition of a 2D land-parcel, while 2D land-parcel is no longer an efficient spatial component for cadastres.

This paper aims to represent the first version of a developed 3D Cadastral Data Model (3DCDM_Version 1.0). This data model includes 3D cadastral objects and (3D legal and physical objects) and provides a practical framework to model 3D cadastral requirements. Basic components of 2D cadastral data models and needs for developing a 3D cadastral data model are described in Section 2. Section 3 presents the 3D Cadastral Data Model (3DCDM_Version 1.0) and its components. The 3DCDM_Version 1.0 is tested based on a case study in Victoria, Australia in Section 4. The paper ends with conclusions in Section 5.

2. CADASTRAL DATA MODELS

2.1 2D Cadastral Data Models

The cadastral data models consist of three main components:

1. InterestHolder (Person);
2. Rights, Restrictions, and Responsibilities (RRRs);
3. Land

InterestHolder is a person, group, or an organization that has certain rights (RRRs) over a particular extent of land. Figure 1 shows the relationships between these components of the cadastral data models (Aien et al., 2011a).

![Figure 1. Cadastral Data Model (Oosterom et al., 2006)]

2.2 Problems of Current Cadastral Data Models

Naturally, the use of land is three dimensional. However, 2D land parcels are being used as a basic building block of the current land administration systems. There are a number of reasons why current cadastral data models still rely on the 2D land parcels for accommodating 3D data. They are:

- The lack of 3D data collection methods and equipment (lack of information in subdivision plans and architectural maps), and
- Limited 3D storage and representation technologies (3D DBMS, 3D topology, and 3D visualisation specifications).

3D developments changed the characteristics of land parcels. Adding to this complexity were an increasing number of different RRRs (e.g. ownership, easements, noise restriction area, mining lease, planning zone, heritage protection area) are associated with one 2D land parcel.

The 2D land parcel is no longer the appropriate basic spatial component of cadastral models for managing and modelling 3D information; 2D land parcels have been superseded by 3D spatial places. People now own and use volumes of space that can be determined in a 3D coordinate system (Aien et al., 2011b).

2.3 Needs for Developing a 3D Cadastral Data Model

The cadastral data models have been developed to support the 3D cadastre. However, most initiatives are based upon the 2D cadastral paradigm. In the most cases, a generic spatial unit has been defined to support 3D requirements. This object maintains, for example, 2D land parcels, buildings, and utility network objects (Figure 2). The 2D land parcel object is being used when the model deals with a land parcel, and the building object is being used when a building is being modelled.

![Figure 2. Spatial Package in current cadastral data models (ISO/DIS, 2011)]

While a few simple RRRs (such as an easement of way) may be represented on 2D (planar) cadastral paper maps, the diversity and complexity of 3D RRRs requires more than a 2D cadastral paper map (where 3D features are often incorporated by accompanying descriptive text). That is, 3D RRRs require more than merely grafting of the 3rd dimension information onto a 2D planar representation. 2D land parcels are not flexible enough to accommodate an increasing number of non-parcel based interests (Bennett et al., 2008; Kalantari et al., 2008). Even after recent advances in storage (database) and visualisation systems, current cadastral systems do not attempt to represent RRRs in digital cadastral databases (DCDB). Consequently, the DCDB does not contain all RRRs (Oosterom et al., 2011).

User expectations are for 3D RRRs to be visualised in a 3D cadastre. However, visualising 3D RRRs alone would not adequately assist management of 3D RRRs (Aien et al., 2011c). 3D objects which represent 3D RRRs are not suitable to manage 3D RRRs. The actual construction of the buildings should be visualised in different details for different land administration functions.

Based on these problems, an attempt has been done to develop a 3D cadastral data model.

3. 3D CADASTRAL DATA MODEL (3DCDM)

3.1 3D CADASTRAL DATA MODEL (3DCDM_Version 1.0)

The first version of 3D Cadastral Data Model (3DCDM_Version 1.0) is presented in this section. 3DCDM has seven packages to support the 3D cadastres. They are 3DCDM_InterestHolder, 3DCDM_Propertyobject (PO), 3DCDM_Geometry, 3DCDM_Survey, 3DCDM_SurveyPoints, 3DCDM_SurveyObservation, and 3DCDM_ExternalSources (Figure 3).

Each package is represented by different colour to better distinguish different packages and to easily identify related specifications of each package.
3.1.1 InterestHolder Package

The only class in this package is the 3DCDM_InterestHolder class. InterestHolder can be a person, group, or an organization who has certain rights (RRRs) over a particular 3D spatial space (Figure 4).

3.1.2 PO (Property Object) Package

The 3D Cadastre Data Model should support both legal and physical objects of a building. Therefore, a 3DCDM_PropertyObject (PO) class has been defined with its two specifications: PO_LegalPropertyObject and PO_PhysicalPropertyObject (Figure 5).

PO_LegalPropertyObject contains associations to support the legal objects of a building (Figure 6). The PO_LegalPropertyObject has an association with itself to enable the modelling of allocations between existing property objects and the new property objects. All associations are a kind of legal property object (LPO). Different LPOs can create the whole legal object.

LPO1 can be a Lot. Lot is the name given to a separate piece of land, airspace or building that come into existence when a plan of subdivision is registered in the Australian context (Figure 7). LPO2 can be a common property which is the area of a plan of subdivision which is not included in one of the Lots but is owned and used in common by those Lot owners who are members of the body corporate. It can consist of land, building, air space or an area below the ground (Libbis, 2006).

PO_PhysicalPropertyObject package consists of these objects to model the physical part of a building (Figure 8). Figure 9 describes attributes of PO_PhysicalPropertyObject class.

3.1.3 Geometry Package

This package utilises the geometry model of CityGML to shape the geometry of legal and physical objects. This package consists of primitives to form complexes, composite geometries or aggregates. Point is used for zero-dimensional object, _Curve for one-dimensional, _Surface for two-dimensional, and _Solid for three-dimensional objects (Figure 10). Combination of the primitives (aggregates, complexes or composites) forms more complex objects and provides topology among objects (Gröger et al., 2008).
3.1.4 Survey Package
This package contains 4 classes which deal with technical and administrative information of surveying, surveyor, and subdivision plan. They are 3DCDM_Survey, 3DCDM_SurveyMetadata, 3DCDM_CoordinateSystem, and 3DCDM_Surveyor (Figure 11).

3.1.5 SurveyPoints Package
This package has one 3DCDM_SurveyPoints class to contain the elements related to the survey permanent marks which are placed in the ground by monuments (e.g., pin, peg) for the purpose of being surveyed. Survey points can be 2D or 3D (Figure 12).

3.1.6 SurveyObservation Package
The 3DCDM_SurveyObservation package contains 3DCDM_SurveyObservedLine, 3DCDM_SurveyAngle, and 3DCDM_SurveyStation classes to maintain observed data which form a spatial component of the 3DCDM (Figure 13).

3.1.7 ExternalResource Package
Surveying data and subdivision plans are not detailed enough to guide reconstruction of both legal and physical objects of buildings. In some applications, more detailed information required, which external sources such as architectural and engineering plans can provide. The 3DCDM_ExternalResource package contains only one class to support all different external sources (Figure 14).

4. DATA MODEL TEST SUITE
4.1 Case study
In this section, a building subdivision plan has been used as a case study to test and analyse the deficits of 3DCDM_Version 1.0. The case study is a building in Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, which has been subdivided into three Lots. This building has a common property and a party wall as an easement opposed to Lot 1 (Figure 15).
describes the things of significance to the 3D cadastre (entity classes), about which it is inclined to collect information, and characteristics of (attributes) and associations between pairs of those things of significance (relationships).

There are different methods to test a data model. Also, the test can be implemented in different levels. A low level test is to examine basic and core packages and a high level test is to examine whole packages of the data model. Therefore, it is necessary to identify mandatory and optional packages of the data model.

In this section, case study’s Lot1 information only is mapped into 3DCDM packages. Each package is represented separately to highlight its information.

Lot1 owned by a natural person (Rob) who has 100 per cent right of use of unit1 of this property (Figure 16). 3DCDM_InterestHolser package consist of personal information about the owner which are important in land administration and land registry.
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Lot1 owned by a natural person (Rob) who has 100 per cent right of use of unit1 of this property (Figure 16). 3DCDM_InterestHolser package consist of personal information about the owner which are important in land administration and land registry.
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In this section, case study’s Lot1 information only is mapped into 3DCDM packages. Each package is represented separately to highlight its information.

Lot1 owned by a natural person (Rob) who has 100 per cent right of use of unit1 of this property (Figure 16). 3DCDM_InterestHolser package consist of personal information about the owner which are important in land administration and land registry.
3DCDM_SurveyPoints package indicates that survey points in this example are 2D and these points do not cover the third dimension (Figure 21).

![3DCDM_SurveyPoints](Image)

Figure 21. Instance of 3DCDM_SurveyPoints Package

3DCDM_SurveyObservation Package here contains surveying information only for one observation. This information is essential for surveyors to re-subdivide the property and they have to be registered in the land registry (Figure 22).

![3DCDM_SurveyObservation](Image)

Figure 22. Instance of 3DCDM_SurveyObservation Package

In this case study, no external resources were used to collect extra information. Therefore, 3DCDM_ExternalSource was not implemented in this stage.

3D physical and legal objects of the case study are modelled in 3DCDM. Surveying information and surveying observations are considered in the data model as well. Finally, 3DCDM provides a practical framework to model 3D information of survey plans.

5. CONCLUSION

High-rise buildings, underground basements and car parks are examples of increasing three-dimensional land development and land use. However, these systems use outdated two-dimensional cadastral systems. This paper advances the proposition that a comprehensive cadastre must be three-dimensional to properly manage, represent and visualise the spatial extent of 3D land rights, restrictions and responsibilities (3D RRRs).

The paper presents the first version of 3D Cadastral Data Models (3DCDM_Version 1.0). Most of the current cadastral data models have been influenced by a very broad understanding of 3D cadastral concepts while this data model deals with detail information of 3D cadastre.

The first version of 3D Cadastral Data Model (3DCDM_Version 1.0) has been developed to support the 3D cadastre and more city planning applications. This data model has seven packages which cover different parts of a 3D cadastral system.

A building subdivision plan was used as a case study to test the data model. This case study contains ordinary elements of a 3D property such as Lot, common property, and easement. Therefore, instance classes of the data model utilised to cover the information of the case study. This simple test shows that how different required information for 3D cadastre can be accommodated in the data model. The next phase of this work will involve piloting and testing the model for more complicated instances.

In the end, it is important to notice that the 3D cadastre will not be required in all areas (e.g. consider isolated rural areas in Australia). This idea may provide clues as to how 3D cadastres will be implemented in practice. 3D cadastral models will need to take this into account: the development of full 3D cadastre will most likely be a staged process. In conclusion, the model presented here can be seen as part of the processes of development.
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