Calcium Hypothesis of Gulf War Illness: Role of Calcium Ions in Neurological Morbidities in a DFP-Based Rat Model for Gulf War Illness
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ABSTRACT: Gulf War Illness (GWI) refers to a multi-system disorder that afflicts approximately 30% of First Gulf War (GW) veterans. Amongst the symptoms exhibited, mood and memory impairment are commonly reported by GW veterans. Exposure to organophosphates (OP) compounds which target the cholinergic system is considered a leading cause for GWI symptoms. It is hypothesized that chronic OP-based war-time stimulation of cholinergic signaling led to recruitment of excitatory glutamatergic signaling and other downstream signaling cascades leading to neuronal injury, neuroinflammation, generation of reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial damage within the central nervous system. These findings have been observed in both experimental models and GWI veterans. In this context the role of calcium (Ca²⁺) signaling in GWI has come to the forefront. Here we present our Ca²⁺ hypothesis of GWI that suggests sustained neuronal Ca²⁺ elevations serve as a molecular trigger for pathological synaptic plasticity that has allowed for the persistence of GWI symptoms. Subsequently we discuss that therapeutic targeting of Ca²⁺ homeostatic mechanisms provides novel targets for effective treatment of GWI-related neurological signs in our rodent model.
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Gulf War Illness

In early 1991, the United States led an international coalition of forces against Iraqi occupation of Kuwait. Code-named Operation Desert Storm, the U.S. and Allied forces claimed victory with the liberation of Kuwait after only 4 days of ground and air combat. However, the troop buildup and subsequent withdrawal from the War theatre lasted over a 4-month period. Of the 700,000 troops deployed, there were less than 250 causalities. For all intents and purposes, the First Gulf War was considered a success. Yet, upon their return stateside, Gulf War (GW) veterans reported of a range of complaints. The constellation of symptoms included fatigue, headache, memory and attention problems, muscle and joint pain, and gastrointestinal distress. These chronic and debilitating symptoms could not be explained by other medical or psychiatric diagnoses. In 2014, the Institute of Medicine declared this chronic multi-symptom illness to be called Gulf War Illness (GWI).¹ Today, approximately one-third of GW veterans are estimated to suffer from GWI.²

The Institute of Medicine recommends 2 case definitions for diagnosing GWI.¹,³ The CDC definition is more commonly used clinically and for epidemiological research. To meet the criteria for this definition, a GW veteran must experience at least 1 symptom for more than 6 months in 2 or more of the following 3 categories: (1) Fatigue, (2) Mood and cognitive dysfunction, and (3) Musculoskeletal problems including pain. The Kansas definition, a more restrictive definition recommended for use in research, requires that a GW veteran experience symptom in 3 of the following 6 domains: (1) Pain, (2) Cognitive and mood symptoms, (3) Fatigue and sleep problems, (4) Gastrointestinal distress, (5) Respiratory symptoms, and (6) Skin symptoms. While GWI affects multiple physiological systems, the nervous system is predominantly impacted. Indeed, the Veterans Affairs (VA) Research Advisory Committee on Gulf War Veterans’ Illnesses (RAC) issued a report in 2008⁴ that states that GWI “most prominently affects the brain and nervous system.”

Causes for GWI: Role of Organophosphate Exposures

The etiology of GWI has been a matter of debate for some time. Service members in the GW theatre were exposed to an environment of toxic exposures unlike any other war-time theatre. Environmental exposures included widespread use of pesticides, low-level exposure to nerve agents, prophylactic use of pyridostigmine bromide (PB), oil-well fire smoke, sand, dust, and particulate matter, and depleted uranium. In addition, a large percentage of troops from US and UK also received anthrax vaccination before deployment. Combat stress experienced during deployment is also a possible factor. It is believed that a complex interplay between environmental, biological, and psychological factors could underlie the development of a constellation of symptoms experienced by GWI suffering veterans.²,⁵
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Among these, exposure to cholinergic agents has been strongly implicated as a chief cause of GWI. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors comprise a class of chemicals that can be classified as therapeutics, pesticides, and nerve agents. Troops serving in the GW had the potential to be exposed to all 3 categories. Pyridostigmine Bromide (PB), which has been used to treat myasthenia gravis since 1955, was administered to an estimated 250,000 personnel during the GW. PB is a reversible inhibitor of AChE used as a pretreatment against potential exposure to nerve agents. When administered prophylactically, it prevents nerve agents such as sarin and soman from irreversibly binding to and inhibiting AChE. More than 35 types of pesticides were used during the GW. Permethrin, DEET, and organophosphate (OP) pesticides chlorpyrifos and malathion were reported to be widely used by all GW personnel to combat mosquitoes, biting flies, and ticks. In addition, troops were also likely exposed to low levels of the nerve agent sarin and cyclosarin, resulting from destruction of Iraqi chemical weapons storage facilities.

Interestingly, there are several studies and case reports in civilian population for the development of symptoms that share features of GWI following accidental, occupational, or terrorism-related OP exposures. For example, survivors of the 1995 Tokyo Subway Sarin gas attack continue to show decline of psychomotor function and memory function. Further, agriculture workers and pesticide applicators exhibit neuropsychiatric symptoms, mood destabilization, and suicidal ideations that could be correlated to their history of exposure to OPs. Thus, providing a strong evidence in favor of a causal relationship between OP exposure and significant neuropsychiatric disorder.

**Rodent Model for GWI**

The Vol. 10 Committee on Gulf War and Health recognizes that given the complexities surrounding First Gulf War deployment, no one perfect animal model that accounts for all GWI-related exposures could exist. Yet, the Committee also concludes that an animal model is advantageous for identifying and evaluating mechanisms and treatment strategies for GWI. Investigators have developed elegant GWI animal models by mimicking exposure to various GWI causative factor and study its pathology. Common models use varying combinations of PB, permethrin, the sarin surrogate DFP, and stress. In 2016, our lab reported a rat model of GWI-like neurological signs that employed repeated, low-dose exposure to an OP compound- disopropyl fluorophosphate (DFP), a surrogate of sarin used in civilian laboratories.

In this model, adult, male rats were repeatedly exposed to a low DFP dose (0.5 mg/kg, s.c.) over a 5-day period to mimic GW-related nerve gas OP exposures. These doses were 1/5th the LD50 value in rodents and did not produce any symptoms of overt cholinergic stimulation. When tested 3-months following OP exposure, these rats exhibited significant psychiatric impairments including signs of chronic depression-like condition, anxiety, and cognitive deficits. Interestingly, these signs were observed in the absence of any other GWI-implicated stressor. Further, neurological impairments persisted 6-months following DFP exposures. At this point, rats were at a human equivalent age of 45 to 50 years, which aligns with the current age of GW veterans. This indicates that low-dose, sub-chronic exposure to OP agents can reproduce long-lasting neurological deficits that are associated with GWI. This OP-based DFP rat model has been helpful in identifying molecular mechanisms underlying the development of GWI and has been used to screen drugs for effective treatment of GWI-related neurological signs.

**Mechanism Underlying Neurological Dysfunction in DFP-Based GWI Rat Model**

Research advances made over the past 2 decades has greatly informed our understanding of the pathophysiology of GWI. Yet, this is still a work in progress and, new knowledge gained will aid in identifying biomarkers or therapeutic targets for GWI treatments. Inhibition of AChE, the mechanism by which nerve agents and OP compounds work, leads to a buildup of ACh in the synaptic cleft. ACh is a key neurotransmitter in the central and peripheral nervous system and has a wide range of physiological effects, which accounts for the variety of symptoms that result from OP intoxication. Unsprisingly, GWI research has focused on the consequences of disrupting normal cholinergic synaptic transmission. Yet, little is understood about the pathophysiology that results from low-dose OP exposure that does not produce visible symptoms such as observed in our animal model using DFP. This presented the question of what molecular changes occur upon transient interruptions of normal cholinergic signaling that may lead to the chronic neurological deficits that characterize GWI. AChE inhibition leads to tissue hyperexcitability as well as a reduction of oxidative phosphorylation. The concomitant high rate of ATP consumption and compromised ability to maintain energy levels results in mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress. AChE inhibition has also been proposed to trigger an inflammatory response. Several mechanistic hypotheses have been proposed that include mitochondrial dysfunction and chronic inflammation as potential contributors to the GWI pathophysiology.

**Neuronal Calcium Levels in DFP-Based GWI Rat Model**

Considering this evidence, we hypothesized that a factor upstream of mitochondrial dysfunction played a role in the pathophysiology of GWI neurological symptoms. Under normal circumstances, cholinergic activity recruits glutamatergic activation in brain areas including the amygdala and hippocampus. Glutamatergic activity involving N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor activation results in intracellular calcium (Ca2+) influx, which thereby activates a host of downstream effectors. Calcium serves as a charge carrier and unlike other bivalent cations is a ubiquitous signaling molecule. Transient elevations in intracellular Ca2+ are essential...
Dysfunction in DFP-Based GWI Rat Model

To estimate intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\)) in our repeated, low-dose DFP model, we isolated hippocampal CA1 neurons from rats expressing GWI-like neurological morbidities at 3- and 6-months following OP exposures. Ratiometric Fura-2 imaging exhibited significantly elevated [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) levels in these hippocampal neurons at both 3- and 6-months post DFP exposures. Not only were these [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) levels higher than age-matched controls, we also observed that a significantly greater proportion of hippocampal neurons manifested these [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) elevations compared to DFP-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations. Data adapted from References.22-24

Another important component of neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis revolves around regulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) release via intracellular stores. Calcium is stored within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and it can be released intracellularly upon activation of receptors embedded in the ER membrane. Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) release (CICR) is one such process that is mediated by ryano-dine receptors (RyRs) and inositol tris-phosphate receptors (IP\(_3\)Rs) that amplify the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal by releasing additional Ca\(^{2+}\) from the ER in response to Ca\(^{2+}\) influx via plasmalemmal channels.32 Like elevated neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, aberrant CICR mechanisms have also been implicated in many neurological conditions.33-36 CICR dysfunction may also be implicated in GWI. In the presence of either the RyR antagonist dantrolene or the RyR- and IP\(_3\)R-activated CICR inhibitor levetiracetam (LEV), intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in hippocampal neurons from DFP rats were reduced by almost 60%. This was further confirmed using in vivo administration of LEV which produced significant reductions in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) compared to DFP alone.24 While dantrolene and LEV did not restore [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) to baseline control levels, the substantial decrease in Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in the presence of dantrolene or LEV suggests that IP\(_3\)Rs and RyRs are significantly contributing to the maintenance of DFP-induced sustained Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations, and CICR is likely a dominant source of neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) dysregulation in DFP rats.24

Identifying the cause of aberrant CICR function could lead to new therapeutic targets. Of the 3 RyR isoforms in the brain, RyR2 is reported to be intrinsically involved in modulating behavior and has been implicated in stress-induced cognitive dysfunction.40 RyR2 is stabilized in a closed state by the regulatory subunit Calstabin2 (also known as FKBP12.6).41 It has been reported that under stress-induced conditions, posttranslational modification of RyR2 results in dissociation of Calstabin2 rendering RyR2 “leaky” and releasing Ca\(^{2+}\) from ER.40,41 Indeed, we observed significant reduction in Calstabin2
levels within the hippocampus of DFP rats. Thus, “leaky” neuronal RyR could also underlie GWI neuropathology and could possibly prove to be effective targets for therapeutic interventions.

As discussed above, neuronal Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis is regulated by a complex interplay between various entry and release mechanisms, efflux pathways, and buffering proteins. Our work thus far has identified dysfunction related to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx and release mechanisms, namely aberrant activity of NMDAR and RyR. However, alterations in efflux mechanisms and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering proteins may also play a critical role in the pathophysiology of GWI and needs further investigation. This is particularly relevant considering many neurological diseases that shares co-morbid symptoms with GWI are also known to exhibit Ca\textsuperscript{2+} handling defects. For example, dysregulations of Calbindin D-28K, a major Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering protein, are reported in stress-related psychiatric conditions\textsuperscript{42} and in patients with depression.\textsuperscript{43} Decreased calbindin expression has also been reported in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy with comorbid depression.\textsuperscript{44} Another important Ca\textsuperscript{2+} handling protein is the sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ATPase (SERCA), an ATP-dependent pump that facilitates transport of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} into the ER. Sequestration of free cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} into the ER is critical for the maintenance of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis. Alterations in SERCA activity have been reported in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder as well as neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer disease and Parkinson disease.\textsuperscript{45} Future studies that investigate the role of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding proteins will therefore yield important insight regarding Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dyshomeostasis in GWI.

**Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Signaling Based Therapeutics for GWI Neurological Symptoms**

It has been approximately 30 years since the U.S. declared victory in the First Gulf War. Unfortunately, GW veterans continue to suffer debilitating symptoms of GWI in the absence of effective therapeutic options. Mood alterations including depression along with memory impairment are among commonly reported neurological symptoms in GWI suffering veterans.\textsuperscript{2,46} Studies and meta-analyses have shown that GW veterans were more than twice as likely to experience depression compared with military personnel who were not deployed to the First GW.\textsuperscript{46} The recommended treatment for depression among GWI patients does not differ from the general population. The Institute of Medicine recommends antidepressant medications and psychotherapy.\textsuperscript{2,4} However, GWI patients suffering from depression experience the same challenges as much of the general population with depression, namely, a delay in therapeutic onset and inability to achieve sustained remission of depressive symptoms.\textsuperscript{47} Therefore, identifying novel antidepressant treatments that are fasting-acting and mechanistically different from standard antidepressants is of paramount importance. Considering the evidence supporting the role of elevated intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in GWI pathology in our DFP model, two promising pharmacological treatments have been under investigation in our laboratory.\textsuperscript{24,25}

**Levetiracetam in a DFP-Based Rat Model for GWI**

Based on the results that demonstrate impaired CICR in our GWI rat model, targeting mechanisms of CICR may improve neurological symptoms of GWI. LEV is an FDA-approved anti-epileptic drug (Keppra\textsuperscript{\textregistered}) that has been shown to inhibit both RyR- and IP\textsubscript{3}R-activated CICR in hippocampal neurons.\textsuperscript{48} It has been reported to improve neurological outcomes in Alzheimer’s\textsuperscript{49} and following TBI,\textsuperscript{50} 2 neurological conditions that are also associated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dysregulation.\textsuperscript{54,56} When DFP rats exhibiting symptoms of depression, anxiety, and memory impairment were administered a therapeutic dose of LEV (50 mg/kg, i.p.), signs of cognitive and mood deficits improved significantly without altering baseline behavior in naïve rats\textsuperscript{4} (Figure 2). Based on the human to rat dose translation equation,\textsuperscript{53} our dose of LEV in rats (50 mg/kg) is significantly lower than the 1000-3000 mg/day dose recommended in adult humans. Unfortunately, there are reports of increased irritability and aggression in some epileptic patients medicated with LEV.\textsuperscript{52} There is a wide range of clinical factors that may predispose a patient to developing these behavioral effects including the epileptic disorder itself.\textsuperscript{53} The beneficial effects of LEV observed in our GWI model occur at low doses. However, there remains a possibility that continual use of LEV
Ketamine in a DFP-Based Rat Model for GWI

Another promising therapeutic option that has emerged from our Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostatic studies in GWI rat model is ketamine, an NMDA receptor antagonist with widely reported fast-acting and sustained antidepressant effects in both human and animal studies.\(^{54}\) Ketamine is a schedule III drug that has been FDA-approved as an anesthetic since 1970. While anesthetic doses of ketamine produce dissociative effects, Berman and colleagues reported rapid and long-lasting antidepressant effects in patients with treatment-resistant depression using an IV infusion of a sub-anesthetic dose of ketamine.\(^{54}\) The recent FDA approval of esketamine (Spravato\(^{a}\)) saw the first new antidepressant therapy of LEV and ketamine, each targeting different compounds could be a viable therapeutic option for GWI-related depression and cognitive deficits.

Figure 3. Effect of ketamine on depressive symptoms in GWI. DFP-exposed rats were treated with ketamine (KET, 10 mg/kg). Rats were then subjected to behavioral assays for assessment of signs of depression. On the sucrose preference test (a), KET-treated rats displayed significantly greater consumption of sucrose over water. On the forced swim test (b), KET-treated rats displayed significant reductions in immobility time. These observations indicated that KET significantly improved signs of depression in DFP-treated GWI rats. Data adapted from Zhu et al.\(^{25}\)

may produce some of the adverse behavioral effects observed in some epileptic patients. While only a randomized clinical trial could confirm our pre-clinical observations regarding LEV and GWI, these studies indicate that targeting CICR via LEV-like compounds could be a viable therapeutic option for GWI-related depression and cognitive deficits.

**Calcium Hypothesis of GWI**

This evidence led us to propose the “Ca\(^{2+}\) hypothesis of GWI” that suggests a role for Ca\(^{2+}\) ions in the expression of GWI neurological morbidities (Figure 4). Our hypothesis states that low-dose, OP-induced sustained Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations could activate multiple signaling pathways that produce neuronal injury and neurological morbidities. The role of Ca\(^{2+}\) in the expression of GWI has been suggested by several studies, including Zhu et al.\(^{25}\) and Phillips and Deshpande.\(^{55}\) This human dose agrees with the rat dose (5-10 mg/kg) administered as an intraperitoneal injection. Both the human and rat doses are well below the anesthetic doses for ketamine and are well tolerated. Given the potential abuse liability associated with ketamine, it is recommended that ketamine be used in carefully controlled studies.\(^{56}\)

**Calcium Hypothesis of GWI**

in the context of GWI, we recently investigated molecular mechanisms for ketamine’s antidepressant action using our DFP-based rat model of GWI-like depressive signs. Our results indicated that ketamine exhibited dual modes of action. While the inhibition of the NMDAR-Ca\(^{2+}\) pathway contributed to the rapid onset antidepressant effects of ketamine while, the long-lasting antidepressant actions involved upregulation of BDNF signaling.\(^{23}\) Like the LEV studies, these pre-clinical studies provide impetus for further assessment of ketamine in clinical trials with GWI veterans for treatment of GWI-related depression.

Our data also raises an interesting possibility that a combination therapy of LEV and ketamine, each targeting different aspects of Ca\(^{2+}\) handling within the neuron, may more effectively lower elevated Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in GWI neurons. Ketamine blocks Ca\(^{2+}\) entry via NMDAR antagonism, while LEV blocks intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) release via CICR antagonism. Individually, these agents significantly lowered Ca\(^{2+}\) levels but could not restore them to baseline (see Figure 1). Thus, combining LEV and ketamine could offer greater suppression of Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in GWI. However, it is also possible that the combination may exacerbate the risk profile of individual drugs and produce greater adverse effects and unexpected interactions. These possibilities need to be tested in future studies.
trigger nuclear signaling that may result in long-term pathological plasticity changes which manifest as behavioral morbidities observed in this GWI model. Evidence thus far supports our hypothesis that a factor upstream of mitochondrial dysfunction is involved in the underlying pathology of GWI, and intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostatic mechanisms serves as a novel target. Based on this hypothesis, we targeted the molecular pathways underlying the chronic Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations and observed abnormal CICR mechanisms in GWI neurons. Together with LEV and ketamine data, our studies provide the first proof-of-concept data that targeting OP-induced neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) alterations could potentially offer an effective approach to identify novel drugs for the treatment of GWI neurological symptoms. It will be important to investigate whether Ca\(^{2+}\) dysfunction is present in other animal models of GWI and whether targeting intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) in these models continues to be an effective approach.

**Future Directions**

It has become clear that GWI is a multi-system disorder with many different signaling pathways that are disrupted simultaneously which could act individually or in concert to produce the multi-symptom pathology. Recent papers have reviewed mechanisms underlying brain dysfunction and therapeutic strategies in animal models of GWI and GWI veterans. The focus of this paper was to discuss findings related to alterations in neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) levels and subsequently review how restoration of protracted Ca\(^{2+}\) levels using FDA approved therapeutics alleviates neurological signs of GWI in our rat DFP model which, then led us to propose the Ca\(^{2+}\) hypothesis of GWI. Whether the protracted Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations reported in our repeated, low-dose DFP model are also observed in other GWI models would help validate and expand this Ca\(^{2+}\) hypothesis as a major mechanism for GWI neurological dysfunctions.

Our work has begun to explore an important aspect of cellular communication that could have major implications for the persistence of GWI. How OP-induced alterations in Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling interacts with other signaling mechanisms in the development, expression, and maintenance of GWI condition will be the focus of future studies.
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