Photoluminescence and afterglow behavior of Ce\textsuperscript{3+} activated Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4} phosphor

Yingjun Xiao, Dongyun Zhang and Chengkang Chang

A blue emitting phosphor Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4}:Ce\textsuperscript{3+}, with long persistence, was synthesized via a high-temperature solid phase method. According to the X-ray diffraction analysis result, the introduction of Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Ce\textsuperscript{3+} ions has no influence on the structure of the host material. Typical 5d\textsuperscript{2}F\textsubscript{5/2} and 5d\textsuperscript{2}F\textsubscript{7/2} transitions of Ce\textsuperscript{3+} ions were detected by PL spectra, which corresponded to the CIE chromaticity coordinates of $x = 0.1584$, $y = 0.0338$. An optimal doping concentration of Ce\textsuperscript{3+} was determined as of 0.4 at%. Furthermore, the Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4}:Ce\textsuperscript{3+} phosphor showed a typical triple-exponential afterglow behavior when the UV source was switched off. The highest lifetime of the electrons within the material reached a value of 73.9 s. Thermal stimulated luminescence study indicated that the afterglow of Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4}:Ce\textsuperscript{3+} was due to the recombination of the electrons with holes released from the traps generated by the doping of Ce\textsuperscript{3+} ions in the Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4} host. The afterglow mechanism of Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4}:Ce\textsuperscript{3+} is illustrated and discussed in detail on the basis of the experimental results.

1. Introduction

Long-lasting phosphors (LLPs) are a kind of energy storage materials with the decay time extended to seconds, minutes, or even hours after the removal of ultraviolet (UV) and visible light at room temperature.\textsuperscript{1–4} These materials have been widely studied by many researchers because of their excellent properties, such as long persistence time, stable crystal structure, high physical and chemical stabilities.\textsuperscript{5–8} The most commonly used long lasting phosphors (LLPs) are CaAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}:Eu\textsuperscript{2+},Nd\textsuperscript{3+} (blue), SrAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}:Eu\textsuperscript{2+}, Dy\textsuperscript{3+} (green) and Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}:Eu\textsuperscript{3+}, Ti\textsuperscript{3+}, Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (red).\textsuperscript{9–20} It is generally agreed that long luminescence lifetimes can be achieved by adjusting the host crystal bandgap and the energy level by introducing rare-earth ions. However, it is difficult to generate an appropriate trap depth in these host materials; hence, the progress in this field is quite slow. Therefore, extensive studies still need to be carried out in order to achieve the best performance of the long-lasting phosphorescent materials.

Recently, a lithium silicate system has been regarded as a suitable host with high chemical stability, and it can produce a suitable crystal environment imposing on the emission centers. Thus, such system has been widely investigated and silicate phosphors with various colors have been well recorded in documents.\textsuperscript{11–17} We also reported some LLPs in the silicate system, where Li\textsubscript{2}SrSiO\textsubscript{4} and Li\textsubscript{2}Ca\textsubscript{0.6}Sr\textsubscript{0.4}SiO\textsubscript{4} were suggested as excellent hosts for LLPs.\textsuperscript{18,19}

It is also recommended that the phosphorescence of Ce\textsuperscript{3+} in most of the hosts is caused by the 5d\textsuperscript{2}F\textsubscript{5/2} and 5d\textsuperscript{2}F\textsubscript{7/2} energy-level transitions.\textsuperscript{20–23} However, there has been no detailed report on the long lasting phosphorescence phenomenon of Ce\textsuperscript{3+} in lithium silicate phosphors. To understand the afterglow behavior of the Ce\textsuperscript{3+} doped lithium silicate phosphors, it is important to find a suitable host crystal with a certain bandgap that matches with the energy level of the Ce\textsuperscript{3+} ions. In this study, a series of blue emitting LLPs Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4}:x\% Ce\textsuperscript{3+} ($x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$, and $0.5$), was investigated to carry out the survey. The results demonstrated that it was the Ce\textsuperscript{3+} ions incorporated into the substrate that caused the afterglow. Thermal stimulated luminescence study indicated that the afterglow of Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4}:Ce\textsuperscript{3+} was due to the recombination of the electrons with holes released from the traps generated by the doping of Ce\textsuperscript{3+} ions in the Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4} host. We discussed the long-lasting phosphorescent phenomenon in detail by means of a completely trapping model.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1 Sample synthesis

The Li\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{0.9}Mg\textsubscript{0.1}SiO\textsubscript{4}:Ce\textsuperscript{3+} phosphor was prepared by the traditional high-temperature solid phase reaction, using the raw materials, SrCO\textsubscript{3}, Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}, MgO, SiO\textsubscript{2}, and CeO\textsubscript{2}, each with a purity of 99.99%. The Ce\textsuperscript{3+} doping level ranged from 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3%, 0.4% to 0.5%. The raw materials were mixed in stoichiometric ratios, ground for 1 h and finally sintered at 850 °C under a weak reductive atmosphere (5% H\textsubscript{2} + 95% N\textsubscript{2}). The samples were kept at this temperature for 10 h and then cooled to room temperature while maintaining the flow of the reducing gas till the furnace reached room temperature.
2.2 Characterization

X-ray diffraction was performed using a TD-3500 X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα irradiation to collect the crystal information of the as-prepared phosphors. A Hitachi F7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer was used to detect the excitation and emission spectra of the samples. The afterglow behavior and the trap energy level determinations were measured using an FJ427-A1 thermally stimulated spectrometer.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Phase identification

The phase and structure of the samples were determined using X-ray powder diffraction. Fig. 1 indicates the composition of Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4 phosphors doped with different concentrations of Ce3+ (0.1%, 0.3% and 0.5%), which are compared to the standard file from the JCPDS card no. 047-120. It is possible to suggest that the peaks of the samples correspond to single hexagonal phase.34 Despite the increase in the Ce3+ dopant concentration (up to 0.5%), no other product was observed. The hexagonal phase.

3.2 Photoluminescence properties

Fig. 2 portrays the photoluminescence behavior of the Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4:0.004Ce3+ phosphor. A typical photoluminescence excitation and emission spectra of 0.4 at% Ce3+ activated Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4 phosphor is shown in Fig. 2a. From the PLE spectrum, a broad band is observed in the wavelength region of 250–350 nm, centering at about 277 nm and located at 253 nm, 276 nm and 314 nm respectively, which originates from the 5d → 4f transition of the Ce3+ ions.24,25 As we can see, the emission spectra of the phosphor consist of two bands, peaking at 391 and 416 nm in the wavelength region of 350–550 nm under the excitation of 277 nm. Such emissions are typical for that of Ce3+ ascribed to the 5d2F5/2 and 5d2F7/2 transitions. Fig. 2b shows the corresponding CIE 1931 chromaticity diagram of the Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4:0.004Ce3+ phosphor. Point with chromaticity coordination (x = 0.1584, y = 0.0338) is located in the region of the blue color, indicating that the color of LLL in Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4:0.004Ce3+ is blue. In our study, the high quantum efficiency of the PL emission yields of up to 60% at 300 K were obtained. The value of the quantum efficiency in this case is higher than the Eu2+ ions as activator in the Li2SrSiO4 matrix in ref. 26, which means that the Ce3+ as an activator in the Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4 matrix is superior and valuable.

The effects of doping concentration on the PL properties were further investigated. When being excited by 277 nm, the emission spectra of Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4:Ce3+ phosphors with different concentrations of Ce3+ are depicted in Fig. 3. It can be observed that the emission intensity of Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4:Ce3+ increases initially with an increase in the Ce3+ concentration, and then decreases with a maximum intensity reaching at a doping concentration of 0.4 at%. This phenomenon results from the concentration quenching, which was studied by Chen.27 These results demonstrate that the optimal doping concentration of Ce3+ in Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4 experimentally determined to be 0.4 at%.

3.3 Long afterglow properties

As mentioned above, the Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4:0.004Ce3+ phosphors show a blue emission when excited under an ultraviolet source of 277 nm and exhibit long-lasting phosphorescence. The room-temperature decay curves of the long afterglow phosphorescence of Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4:0.004Ce3+ are depicted in Fig. 4. The decay characteristics of the Li2Sr0.9Mg0.1SiO4:0.004Ce3+ phosphor can be roughly divided into two processes, the rapid-decay process and the slow-decay process. The decay curves of the afterglow of the phosphors can be evaluated by the curve fitting technique. In this study, a triple-exponential equation, which has been used widely, can fit the experimental decay curves very well:28

\[ I = I_0 + A_1 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_1}\right) + A_2 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_2}\right) + A_3 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_3}\right) \]  

(1)

where \(I_0\) is the persistent luminescence intensity at time 0; \(A_1, A_2\) and \(A_3\) are the constants; \(t\) is the time, and \(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\) are the decay times for the rapid-decay and slow-decay components. Fig. 4 reveals the results of the fitting curve, with the maximum value (\(\tau_3\)) reaching 73.9 s. It is known that the bigger the value of the decay time is, the slower the decay rate and the better the afterglow properties are.29,30 The results imply that the Ce3+ ion-doped phosphor possesses a good persistence although the phosphor showed a rapid decay at the initial process.
3.4 Thermoluminescence performance

In order to further investigate the nature of the trap in Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:0.004Ce$^{3+}$, thermoluminescence studies were carried out. The thermoluminescence curves indicating the integrated emission intensity is depicted in Fig. 5. As we all know, a proper energy level of the traps is essential for good afterglow properties and the depth of traps and the trap density in the phosphors can be dealt with the equation:\cite{31,32}

$$ I(T) = s n_0 \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) \frac{(l - 1) \beta}{\beta} \times \int_{T_0}^{T} \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} dT + 1 \right)^{l/(l-1)} $$

(2)

where $I(T)$ is the TL intensity, $s$ the frequency factor, $n_0$ is the trap concentration of trap charges $t = 0$, $E_t$ is the trap depth, $k$ is the Boltzmann’s constant, $l$ is the kinetics order and $\beta$ is the heating rate ($1 \text{ °C s}^{-1}$ in the experiment). In our study, the parameters $s$, $n_0$, $E_t$ and $l$ were obtained by a computer fitting technique.\cite{30} The thermoluminescence behavior can be analyzed by curve fitting as referred by Chen, relying on the following exponential equation:\cite{31,34}

$$ \int_{T_0}^{T} \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) dT = T \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right)^j \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} $$

(3)

$j$ is conceded to be 3 in this case and we got another eqn (4):

$$ \int_{T_0}^{T} \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) dT = T \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) \left( \frac{kT}{E_i} - 2 \left( \frac{kT}{E_i} \right)^2 + 6 \left( \frac{kT}{E_i} \right)^3 \right) $$

(4)

The calculated trap depth and density of Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:0.004Ce$^{3+}$ phosphors are shown in Fig. 5. The trap level and trap concentrations are two useful indicators to evaluate the long duration phosphorescence of different phosphors. Having an electron trap of suitable energy level is necessary to create the afterglow behavior. The asymptotes can be found practically in all experiments, where the values of $I$, $S$ and $E$ are between 0.7 and 2.5, between 10$^5$ s$^{-1}$ and 10$^{13}$ s$^{-1}$, and between 0.1 and 1.6 eV, respectively. In this case, the corresponding
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**Fig. 2** PLE and PL ($\lambda_{ex} = 277$ nm) spectra (a), and CIE 1931 chromaticity coordinates of the Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:0.004Ce$^{3+}$ phosphor (b).

**Fig. 3** PL ($\lambda_{ex} = 290$ nm) spectra of Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:Ce$^{3+}$ phosphors.

**Fig. 4** Afterglow curve of the Li$_2$SrSiO$_4$:0.004Ce$^{3+}$ phosphor.

---

### 3.4 Thermoluminescence performance

In order to further investigate the nature of the trap in Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:0.004Ce$^{3+}$, thermoluminescence studies were carried out. The thermoluminescence curves indicating the integrated emission intensity is depicted in Fig. 5. As we all know, a proper energy level of the traps is essential for good afterglow properties and the depth of traps and the trap density in the phosphors can be dealt with the equation:\cite{31,32}

$$ I(T) = s n_0 \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) \frac{(l - 1) \beta}{\beta} \times \int_{T_0}^{T} \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} dT + 1 \right)^{l/(l-1)} $$

(2)

where $I(T)$ is the TL intensity, $s$ the frequency factor, $n_0$ is the trap concentration of trap charges $t = 0$, $E_t$ is the trap depth, $k$ is the Boltzmann’s constant, $l$ is the kinetics order and $\beta$ is the heating rate ($1 \text{ °C s}^{-1}$ in the experiment). In our study, the parameters $s$, $n_0$, $E_t$ and $l$ were obtained by a computer fitting technique.\cite{30} The thermoluminescence behavior can be analyzed by curve fitting as referred by Chen, relying on the following exponential equation:\cite{31,34}

$$ \int_{T_0}^{T} \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) dT = T \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right)^j \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} $$

(3)

$j$ is conceded to be 3 in this case and we got another eqn (4):

$$ \int_{T_0}^{T} \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) dT = T \exp \left( - \frac{E_t}{kT} \right) \left( \frac{kT}{E_i} - 2 \left( \frac{kT}{E_i} \right)^2 + 6 \left( \frac{kT}{E_i} \right)^3 \right) $$

(4)

The calculated trap depth and density of Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:0.004Ce$^{3+}$ phosphors are shown in Fig. 5. The trap level and trap concentrations are two useful indicators to evaluate the long duration phosphorescence of different phosphors. Having an electron trap of suitable energy level is necessary to create the afterglow behavior. The asymptotes can be found practically in all experiments, where the values of $I$, $S$ and $E$ are between 0.7 and 2.5, between 10$^5$ s$^{-1}$ and 10$^{13}$ s$^{-1}$, and between 0.1 and 1.6 eV, respectively. In this case, the corresponding
values were in these ranges. It is well known that the trap created by the lattice defects play a very important role on the afterglow properties of the phosphors. According to Kuang’s report, the trap depths should lie between 0.4 and 0.6 eV if the materials are to show excellent persistent phosphorescence performance. The calculated trap concentration was $1.14 \times 10^{10}$ mol$^{-1}$ and the depth of the trap energy level of Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:0.004Ce$^{3+}$ was 0.46 eV, which were very close to the values reported. We observed that the trap depth of 0.46 eV for 0.4 at% Ce$^{3+}$ doped Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$ is suitable for excellent afterglow at room temperature.

3.5 Possible mechanism of persistence

Based on the above description and analyses, we are trying to elucidate the phosphorescence mechanism of Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:Ce$^{3+}$. In Ce$^{3+}$-doped phosphor, it seems reasonable that the Ce$^{3+}$ (r = 1.196 Å) ions are expected to occupy the incorporated Sr$^{2+}$ (r = 1.31 Å) sites of the Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$ host due to their close ionic radius. The replacement can be explained by the mechanism: $2\text{Ce}^{3+} + 3\text{Sr}^{2+} \rightarrow 2\text{Ce}^{5+} \ + \ 3\text{Sr}^{4+}$. In order to maintain the charge balance, it is the only replacement way possible, as three Sr$^{2+}$ ions can be replaced by two Ce$^{3+}$ ions, which induce two positive defects Ce$^{5+}$ and one negative defect V$_{\text{Sr}}$ in the host. In our case, on the basis of our PL spectra and TL analysis results, Ce$^{3+}$ not only acts as the luminescent center in the host lattice, but also serves as the trap center.

The detailed mechanism of the long-lasting phosphorescence is yet to be known. The afterglow of Ce$^{3+}$ doped in the Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$ phosphor and the cause of such phenomenon was assumed to be due to the thermo-stimulated recombination of the holes at the traps induced by irradiation, which leave the holes or the electrons in a meta-stable excited state at room temperature. Based on the above results, a simple mechanism for the LLP of Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:Ce$^{3+}$ is proposed, which is presented in Fig. 6. Upon UV-irradiation (step 1), the electrons in the valence band are excited to the conduction band, resulting in the formation of free electrons and holes at the same time in the phosphor. Then, the energy associated with the excited electrons is transferred to the 5d level of Ce$^{3+}$. The subsequent jumping of electron traps to the ground level $^2\text{F}_{5/2}$ and $^2\text{F}_{7/2}$ states of Ce$^{3+}$ and the recombination of holes give rise to the characteristic emission of Ce$^{3+}$ ions (step 2 and step 5). In this process, a number of free holes and excited electrons can be captured by the Ce$^{5+}$ and V$_{\text{Sr}}$ trapping centers (step 3). After the UV irradiation is removed, these electrons trapped by Ce$^{3+}$ will be released from the traps and transferred via the host to the luminescence center (step 4), followed by the recombination of free electrons and holes, leading to the characteristic emission of Ce$^{3+}$ ions (step 5). When the decay ratio of the carries released from the electron traps to the 5d state of the Ce$^{3+}$ ions is proper, the blue-emitting afterglow of Ce$^{3+}$ can be obtained.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a kind of blue-emitting long afterglow phosphor Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:Ce$^{3+}$ was successfully synthesized by the traditional high-temperature solid phase reaction. The doping of Ce$^{3+}$ had no influence on the Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$ lattice. Upon UV illumination, typical 5d-$^2\text{F}_{5/2}$ and 5d-$^2\text{F}_{7/2}$ transitions from Ce$^{3+}$ ions were observed by PL spectra, and the optimal doping concentration for Ce$^{3+}$ of 0.4 at% was determined. After the UV irradiation was removed, an afterglow was observed from the Li$_2$Sr$_{0.9}$Mg$_{0.1}$SiO$_4$:Ce$^{3+}$ phosphor, which was later confirmed in terms of the triple-exponential model with a maximum lifetime value ($\tau_3$) of 73.9 s. The LLP phenomenon of the matrix was supposed to be caused by the thermo-stimulated recombination of holes and free electrons, which were released from the trapped metastable state at room temperature.
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