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ABSTRACT

Centella asiatica, a medicinal herb consumed as a food supplement and used in traditional and folkloric medicine is being overexploited for its therapeutic properties. Lack of adequate cultivation and replacement practices could engender the depletion of the wild variety. Application of plant tissue culture has helped in the regeneration and preservation of several plants in vitro. The present study reports media standardization for micropropagation and callus induction for the conservation of Centella asiatica using field-grown plants. In media standardization for callus induction, appreciable results were obtained where full strength MS (Murashige and Skoog) media was supplemented with 2 mg.L⁻¹ IAA (Indole acetic acid) and a combination of 0.5 mg.L⁻¹ Kn (Kinetin) with 1 mg.L⁻¹ IAA while MS media supplemented with a combination of 0.5 mg.L⁻¹ Ks and 1.5 mg.L⁻¹ IAA was used for callus proliferation. Combination of BAP (6-Benzyl amino purine) and IAA from preliminary experimentation on micropropagation showed high shooting frequency and were further optimized with parameters such as sucrose and incubation period using Response surface methodology (RSM) to study their individual and interactive effects. A maximum shoot height of 3.8 cm was obtained from the optimized values after 13 days with both BAP and IAA at 0.5 mg.L⁻¹ and sucrose at 6% with the R² value at 0.73.
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INTRODUCTION

Centella asiatica is a small herbaceous annual plant of the family Apiaceae and has been in use as a medicinal herb for thousands of years in folklore medicine and Ayurveda, the Indian system of medicine. It serves as an important component in mixed formulations that helps in gastrointestinal and skin abnormalities along with managing the central nervous system. They have also been considered to be involved in intellect and memory enhancement (Arora et al., 2002; Golhi et al., 2010). The extensive demand of C. asiatica is met by the naturally growing population. This herb is considered to be one of the primary plants in the International market for the medicinal plant trade. Its over-exploitation and unrestricted use may cause a serious decline in the availability of the wild stock (Singh et al., 2010). A futile attempt on its replacement would be a matter of concern for its conservation. Hence, there is an immediate need in identifying techniques that could help in the preservation and regeneration of this herb. Plant tissue culture is one such technique that has been widely used for preservation of such species. Some amount of work on micropropagation (Rao et al., 1999; Das et al., 2008; Naidu et al., 2010) and callus induction, somatic embryogenesis for regeneration of C. asiatica have been reported (Patra et al., 1998; Shashikala et al., 2009).

In practice, trying different combinations of growth regulators and other factors to arrive at optimal levels for the best response, often generates large number of experiments. This is usually carried out by varying a limited number of variables and fixing others arbitrarily at certain levels. Mostly, only one variable is varied at a time and all other variables are held constant. It becomes tedious when many factors are taken into consideration. This method is extremely laborious, time consuming and does not consider the level of interaction among factors, impeding the overall effect on the response. In order to overcome this issue, multivariate statistical techniques for optimization of analytical experiments have been introduced and one such technique is Response surface methodology (Bezerra et al., 2008; Sagwan et al., 2011).

Response surface methodology (RSM) is a collection of mathematical and statistical techniques useful for the modelling and analysis of a process, in which a desired response is affected by several independent factors and the main objective is to optimize this response by optimizing the factors. It helps in determining the effect of independent factors alone or in combination in order to achieve the required outcome (Baş and Boyacı, 2007). In the case of micropropagation, it gives an insight into the interactions of many factors that influence growth and morphogenesis in vitro. Some studies on optimization for shoot and plant regeneration of some important plants have been performed using RSM (George et al., 2000; Chakraborty et al., 2010; Naveenendra et al., 2011). A standard RSM design called central composite design (CCD) is the most popular technique utilized to design the experiments. These optimization techniques are usually employed once the important factors and their concentration ranges have been identified from preliminary analysis and further optimization is required within the defined factors and concentration range for the desired response (Sagwan et al., 2011).

In this present study, growth parameters and regulators were selected after preliminary studies and optimized for shoot initiation of C. asiatica using Central composite design. Four important factors; BAP, IAA, sucrose, number of days and their range were selected from preliminary experiments which were further optimized in order to obtain a desired response of maximum shoot height. A final experiment on the obtained optimized value was performed and compared with the predicted value. Media standardization was also performed for callus induction, maintenance and proliferation of C. asiatica which could be used for plant regeneration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection and sterilization of the explants

Healthy nodal segments and leaves were selected from field-grown C. asiatica plants collected from a village in Karkala (Udupi district) in Karnataka, India. These explants were washed under running tap water for 20 mins followed by soaking in tween solution (1-2 drops in 100 mL water) for 10 mins. This was followed by gentle washing with distilled water twice for 10 mins. The explants were then transferred to the laminar hood where they were sterilized with 0.1% mercuric chloride for 2-3 mins and rinsed thoroughly with sterile distilled water thrice for 5 mins each.
Media composition for shoot induction

Full strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium was used for micropropogation in the presence of growth hormones in varying concentrations and combinations. The hormones used included BAP (6- Benzyl amino purine), IAA (Indole acetic acid), Kinetin (Kn) and 2, 4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2, 4-D). MS media at a pH of 5.8 (set using either 0.1 N NaOH or HCl) with required amounts of sucrose and agar was used. Different growth regulators either single or in combination used mainly for shoot induction included; 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP, 1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP, 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP, 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP, 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA, 2.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn, 1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA, 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA with 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP, 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA with 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP, 1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA and 2.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn. The explants were inoculated into the media aseptically and incubated in a culture room maintained at 24±2°C with 50-60% relative humidity with 16 hours light.

Media standardization for callus induction

The surface sterilized explants were inoculated in MS media (pH 5.8) supplemented with 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA individually and a combination of 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn with 1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA. The inoculated explants were incubated in a culture room maintained at 24±2°C with 50-60% relative humidity under 16 hours of photoperiodism. The starter callus cultures obtained were subcultured on MS media supplemented with different combinations of growth regulators; 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn with 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA, 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn with 1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA, 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn, 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA with 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP, 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA with 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn, 2.4 – D to check the best combination for callus proliferation and maintenance.

Optimization studies using RSM

CCD is most suitable for fitting a quadratic surface and helps in optimization of effective parameters and their interactions with a series of experiments. A CCD consists of 2\(^6\) factorial runs with 2\(^n\) axial runs and n centre runs. The independent factors selected are studied at five levels (-\(\alpha\), -1, 0, +1, +\(\alpha\)) (Bezerra et al., 2008). A four factorial five level CCD was implemented in this study in order to obtain a maximum response (y). The four independent variables selected from preliminary experiments for optimization included; A–Incubation time (5 to 15 days), B– Sucrose (3 to 6%), C– BAP (0.5 to 3 mg.L\(^{-1}\)), D – IAA (0.5 to 3 mg.L\(^{-1}\)). Table 1 provides details of the variables and levels along with their ranges.

As per the experimental design the various combinations of BAP with IAA and sucrose along with the days were analyzed and experiments were conducted in randomized order. The response of the explants was determined by recording the height of the shoot on the set day. The statistical analysis was conducted using Minitab 17, wherein a response surface design was created which provided the coded values and the experiments were conducted based on these coded values. Once the response was obtained, it was analyzed for the significant terms using the ANOVA chart. Table 2 gives the details of the experiments with different parameter combinations performed and the response i.e. height of the plant was recorded which was compared with the predicted value obtained from the software.

The experimental data thus obtained were modeled to second order polynomial equation (Ahmad et al., 2013)

\[ y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i + \sum \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum \beta_{ii} x_i^2 \]  
Eq. (1)

where,  
y = response i.e. height of the explants (cm)  
x, \(x_i\) uncoded independent variables  
\(\beta_0, \beta_i, \beta_{ij}\) and \(\beta_{ii}\) are the linear, quadratic constant and interaction constant coefficient respectively.

Table 1 Independent variables and levels used for experimental design

| Variables | Notations | Levels |
|-----------|-----------|--------|
| Number of days, \(N\) | A | -\(\alpha\) 1 -1 0 +1 +\(\alpha\) |
| Sucrose concentration, \(S\) (g/100ml) | B | 3 3.75 4.5 5.25 6 |
| BAP (mg.L\(^{-1}\)) | C | 0.5 1.125 1.75 2.375 3 |
| IAA (mg.L\(^{-1}\)) | D | 0.5 1.125 1.75 2.375 3 |

Table 2 Order of experiments with coded and uncoded variables

| Std order | Coded variables (Uncoded variables) | A | B | C | D |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 1         | -1(7.5)                             | 1(5.25) | -1(1.125) | -1(1.125) |
| 2         | -1(7.5)                             | -1(3.75) | 1(2.375) | -1(1.125) |
| 3         | 1(12.5)                             | -1(3.75) | 1(2.375) | -1(1.125) |
| 4         | 1(12.5)                             | 1(5.25) | -1(1.125) | 1(2.375) |
| 5         | -1(7.5)                             | 1(5.25) | -1(1.125) | 1(2.375) |
| 6         | 1(12.5)                             | 1(5.25) | -1(1.125) | 1(2.375) |
| 7         | 1(12.5)                             | -1(3.75) | 1(2.375) | 1(2.375) |
| 8         | 1(12.5)                             | 1(5.25) | -1(1.125) | 1(2.375) |
| 9         | -1(7.5)                             | -1(3.75) | 1(2.375) | 1(2.375) |
| 10        | -1(12.5)                            | 1(5.25) | 1(2.375) | 1(2.375) |
| 11        | 1(12.5)                             | -1(3.75) | -1(1.125) | -1(1.125) |
| 12        | -1(7.5)                             | 1(5.25) | 1(2.375) | 1(2.375) |
| 13        | 1(12.5)                             | -1(3.75) | 1(2.375) | -1(1.125) |
| 14        | 1(12.5)                             | 1(5.25) | 1(2.375) | -1(1.125) |
| 15        | -1(7.5)                             | -1(3.75) | -1(1.125) | -1(1.125) |
| 16        | 1(12.5)                             | -1(3.75) | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) |
| 17        | -1(7.5)                             | -1(3.75) | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) |
| 18        | 1(12.5)                             | -1(3.75) | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) |
| 19        | -1(7.5)                             | 1(1.75) | -1(1.125) | -1(1.125) |
| 20        | 1(12.5)                             | 1(1.75) | -1(1.125) | 1(1.75) |
| 21        | 1(12.5)                             | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) |
| 22        | 2(0.5)                              | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) |
| 23        | 2(0.5)                              | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) |
| 24        | 1(1.125)                            | 2(0.5) | 1(1.75) | 1(1.75) |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Media standardization for callus induction, maintenance and proliferation

In the present study, visible calli began to form on medium that was supplemented with 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA as well as a combination of 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn with 1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA (Fig 1). White, compact, nodular callus with embryonic structures developed on the callus periphery were classified as embryogenic callus which continued to develop further. During subsequent sub-culturing and regeneration, some calli turned brown and some turned black due to the accumulation of phenolic components.

When the embryogenic callus was sub-cultured on MS media containing a combination of 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn, it led to the formation of green callus (Fig 2). Sub-culturing on MS media containing hormones for callusing resulted in proliferation of callus which was sub-cultured every three weeks. Sub-culturing on media containing 2, 4-D in combination with other hormones showed very poor growth and certain degree of contamination.
MS medium augmented with 4 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 0.1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) NAA followed by 70% on medium consisting of 3 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 0.1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) NAA (Das et al., 2008). In the present study, different concentration range of BAP (0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) to 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\)) showed an effective shoot initiation of 15-50%. In addition, 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and 2.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn also showed considerable frequency in shoot development with Kn encouraging multiple shoot generation. Although MS medium amended with individual growth regulators showed appreciable results, best results for effective shoot initiation were obtained for combination of BAP and IAA. The combination of 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA showed best results with 66.67% frequency followed by a 60% frequency using a combination of 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP and 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA. Similar studies on shoot induction using growth regulator combination of BAP and IAA have been successfully reported in other plants (Kumar and Singh, 2009; Iqbal et al., 2013; Rajeshbabu et al., 2014).

**Response surface methodology for optimization**

RSM (Central Composite Design) could be an effective tool when more than 2 factors are considered for optimization. CCD has been valuable in optimizing parameters involved in range of functions like media optimization, recovery of valuable proteomes from waste and degradation of contaminants (Raja and Murthy, 2013; Speck et al., 2016; Shet et al., 2019). Furthermore, optimization of media components is essential in order to elicit a desired response (Das et al., 2019). From the preliminary experiments, parameters influencing shoot initiation were chosen for further optimization. In addition to growth regulators BAP and IAA, sucrose and incubation period (days) were also considered as suitable factors. Sucrose and glucose are two major carbon sources used for micropropagation where-in sucrose breaks down to glucose and fructose. 90% of shoot induction was observed in medium containing sucrose and glucose with maximum results seen with sucrose as a carbon source (Hossain et al., 2005). Sucrose was also used as one of the optimizing factors for multiple shoot initiation using RSM by George et al. (2000). A central composite design was used to develop a second order polynomial equation to best represent the response model. In the present investigation, CCD was preferred over BBD for optimization due to its robust nature and inclusion of factors at extreme settings, thus generating best fit model (Aanchal et al., 2016; Alrwelli et al., 2019). Multiple shoot initiation was observed when the explants were inoculated in certain media composition as per the experimental design. The experiments were conducted in a randomized order and the shoot height was noted after each experiment. A comparison of experimental height and predicted height is given in table 3.

**Table 3 Experimental and predicted value of response**

| Std order | Experimental | Predicted |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| 1         | 1.67         | 1.847     |
| 2         | 0.95         | 0.955     |
| 3         | 1.567        | 1.458     |
| 4         | 1.213        | 1.456     |
| 5         | 1.2          | 1.324     |
| 6         | 1.6          | 1.892     |
| 7         | 1.875        | 2.056     |
| 8         | 2.8          | 2.35      |
| 9         | 1.567        | 1.924     |
| 10        | 1.6          | 1.677     |
| 11        | 1.55         | 1.916     |
| 12        | 1.49         | 1.546     |
| 13        | 1.4          | 1.389     |
| 14        | 1.45         | 1.413     |
| 15        | 1.9          | 1.887     |
| 16        | 1.3          | 1.252     |
| 17        | 1.9          | 1.701     |
| 18        | 1.595        | 1.569     |
| 19        | 1.97         | 1.607     |
| 20        | 1.738        | 1.756     |
| 21        | 1.966        | 1.861     |
| 22        | 1.795        | 1.569     |
| 23        | 1.4          | 1.532     |
| 24        | 1.85         | 1.699     |

**Regression and statistical analysis**

The experimental data thus obtained were modelled to second order polynomial equation (Eq.1) using least square technique and the statistical analysis were performed in Minitab 17. The response was to maximize the height of the shoot. The standard ANOVA table helps in determining the relevancy and the significance of the factors governing the quadratic model. It provides the coefficients of each linear term, its quadratic term and the interaction between the factors (Tab 4).

---

**Figure 4 Response of Explants to various hormones in different concentrations and combinations**

Effectiveness of BAP on induction of shoot development and multiplication was observed by Karthikyan et al. (2009) where 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) produced 15 shoots after 4 weeks along with 2.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn. 76.67% of shoot induction was observed on MS medium supplemented with 4 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 0.1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) NAA followed by 70% on medium consisting of 3 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 0.1 mg.L\(^{-1}\) NAA (Das et al., 2008). In the present study, different concentration range of BAP (0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) to 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\)) showed an effective shoot initiation of 15-50%. In addition, 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) and 2.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) Kn also showed considerable frequency in shoot development with Kn encouraging multiple shoot generation. Although MS medium amended with individual growth regulators showed appreciable results, best results for effective shoot initiation were obtained for combination of BAP and IAA. The combination of 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP with 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA showed best results with 66.67% frequency followed by a 60% frequency using a combination of 0.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) BAP and 1.5 mg.L\(^{-1}\) IAA. Similar studies on shoot induction using growth regulator combination of BAP and IAA have been successfully reported in other plants (Kumar and Singh, 2009; Iqbal et al., 2013; Rajeshbabu et al., 2014).

**Response surface methodology for optimization**

RSM (Central Composite Design) could be an effective tool when more than 2 factors are considered for optimization. CCD has been valuable in optimizing parameters involved in range of functions like media optimization, recovery of valuable proteomes from waste and degradation of contaminants (Raja and Murthy, 2013; Speck et al., 2016; Shet et al., 2019). Furthermore, optimization of media components is essential in order to elicit a desired response (Das et al., 2019). From the preliminary experiments, parameters influencing shoot initiation were chosen for further optimization. In addition to growth regulators BAP and IAA, sucrose and incubation period (days) were also considered as suitable factors. Sucrose and glucose are two major carbon sources used for micropropagation where-in sucrose breaks down to glucose and fructose. 90% of shoot induction was observed in medium containing sucrose and glucose with maximum results seen with sucrose as a carbon source (Hossain et al., 2005). Sucrose was also used as one of the optimizing factors for multiple shoot initiation using RSM by George et al. (2000). A central composite design was used to develop a second order polynomial equation to best represent the response model. In the present investigation, CCD was preferred over BBD for optimization due to its robust nature and inclusion of factors at extreme settings, thus generating best fit model (Aanchal et al., 2016; Alrwelli et al., 2019). Multiple shoot initiation was observed when the explants were inoculated in certain media composition as per the experimental design. The experiments were conducted in a randomized order and the shoot height was noted after each experiment. A comparison of experimental height and predicted height is given in table 3.

**Table 3 Experimental and predicted value of response**

| Std order | Experimental | Predicted |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| 1         | 1.67         | 1.847     |
| 2         | 0.95         | 0.955     |
| 3         | 1.567        | 1.458     |
| 4         | 1.213        | 1.456     |
| 5         | 1.2          | 1.324     |
| 6         | 1.6          | 1.892     |
| 7         | 1.875        | 2.056     |
| 8         | 2.8          | 2.35      |
| 9         | 1.567        | 1.924     |
| 10        | 1.6          | 1.677     |
| 11        | 1.55         | 1.916     |
| 12        | 1.49         | 1.546     |
| 13        | 1.4          | 1.389     |
| 14        | 1.45         | 1.413     |
| 15        | 1.9          | 1.887     |
| 16        | 1.3          | 1.252     |
| 17        | 1.9          | 1.701     |
| 18        | 1.595        | 1.569     |
| 19        | 1.97         | 1.607     |
| 20        | 1.738        | 1.756     |
| 21        | 1.966        | 1.861     |
| 22        | 1.795        | 1.569     |
| 23        | 1.4          | 1.532     |
| 24        | 1.85         | 1.699     |

**Regression and statistical analysis**

The experimental data thus obtained were modelled to second order polynomial equation (Eq.1) using least square technique and the statistical analysis were performed in Minitab 17. The response was to maximize the height of the shoot. The standard ANOVA table helps in determining the relevancy and the significance of the factors governing the quadratic model. It provides the coefficients of each linear term, its quadratic term and the interaction between the factors (Tab 4).
From the ANOVA chart, the F value of the model was 3.69 with its p-value below 0.05 indicating the significance of the model term. ‘p’ value (p<0.05) is another tool that helps to determine the significance of each term and aids in eliminating the insignificant ones to obtain a statistically significant reduced fit model (Ghate et al., 2019). If the value is significant (p<0.05) then it can be made statistically insignificant by removing the possible outliers (Raja and Murthy, 2013). In this study, the F value of the lack of fit was 3.74 and its p-value higher than 0.05 indicating the lack-of-fit was insignificant. The linear term of number of days along with interaction terms of Sucrose*I AA and BAP*I AA were found to be statistically significant at 95% confidence interval. The model showed an R² of 0.7392 which may be due to the lack of reproducibility in the said experimental module which also could be attributed by a lot of other system behavior changes thus representing contaminants as well as errors (Rahman and Amri, 2011; Gomez-Montes et al., 2015). Dakshayani et al. (2020) has reported similar results on shoot generation of C. intybus where the linear and quadratic coefficients of number of days and kinetin as well as the interaction of hormones BAP and Kinetin were significant. The R² value obtained here was significantly higher than the R² value of 0.44 obtained for shoot regeneration of Lettuce by Gomez-Montes et al. (2015).

The central composite design provides an empirical relationship between the response (height) and the concluding factors. The parity plot (Fig 5) shows the distribution of the experimental vs predicted value along the y=x line. If more points are clustered along the line, the better is the model designed. The effect of incubation time (A), sucrose concentration (B) with growth regulators BAP (C) and IAA (D) was obtained from the CCD results. The model in terms of its coefficients was best represented by Eq.2.

Response = 1.5694 + 0.1587A + 0.0139B - 0.0592C + 0.0189D + 0.0398B*C + 0.0620C*D - 0.0928A*D - 0.2030 B*D + 0.1698 C*D ............... (Eq.2)

By observing the experimental and predicted values, it can be indicated that there was a marginal variation in the response value. Hence this proves that the generated model fits significantly well in the present experimentation. The contour plots and the response surface plots help determine the relation and hence the interaction between any two parameters with respect to the response (Fig 6). From the surface and contour plots, when considering the involvement of all the factors, high sucrose concentration and low BAP-IAA levels would be optimum to generate a maximum response. The plot of the significant interactions (B*D, C*D) have been considered in this study (p<0.05). From the plots of D-IAA and B-sucrose, higher concentration of sucrose and lower concentration of IAA would significantly influence a maximum response and vice-versa. From the plots of IAA and BAP, lower concentration of these growth regulators would help in maximum response.

Table 4 ANOVA table with linear, quadratic and interaction terms after step wise elimination

| Source     | DF | Adj SS  | Adj MS  | F-Value | P-Value |
|------------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Model      | 10 | 2.21579 | 0.221579| 3.69    | 0.015   |
| Blocks     | 4  | 0.63123 | 0.157807| 2.63    | 0.083   |
| Linear     | 4  | 0.54705 | 0.136763| 9.12    | 0.010   |
| A          | 1  | 0.00380 | 0.003798| 0.06    | 0.805   |
| B          | 1  | 0.06886 | 0.068859| 1.15    | 0.303   |
| C          | 1  | 0.00700 | 0.007003| 0.12    | 0.738   |
| D          | 1  | 0.10731 | 0.056565| 0.89    | 0.433   |
| Square     | 2  | 0.03792 | 0.037922| 0.63    | 0.441   |
| C*D        | 1  | 0.09226 | 0.092256| 1.54    | 0.237   |
| 2-Way      | 3  | 1.23618 | 0.412061| 6.87    | 0.005   |
| A*D        | 1  | 0.11897 | 0.118973| 1.98    | 0.183   |
| B*D        | 1  | 0.49458 | 0.494576| 8.24    | 0.013   |
| C*D        | 1  | 0.34598 | 0.345979| 5.77    | 0.032   |
| Error      | 13 | 0.77987 | 0.059990|         |         |
| Lack-of-Fit| 12 | 0.75987 | 0.063322| 3.17    | 0.416   |
| Pure Error | 1  | 0.02000 | 0.020000|         |         |
| Total      | 23 | 2.99566 |          |         |         |

(* p< 0.05 indicates significance)

Figure 5 Parity plot showing the distribution of predicted values versus observed values of height in cm

By observing the experimental and predicted values, it can be indicated that there was a marginal variation in the response value. Hence this proves that the generated model fits significantly well in the present experimentation. The contour plots and the response surface plots help determine the relation and hence the interaction between any two parameters with respect to the response (Fig 6). From the surface and contour plots, when considering the involvement of all the factors, high sucrose concentration and low BAP-IAA levels would be optimum to generate a maximum response. The plot of the significant interactions (B*D, C*D) have been considered in this study (p<0.05). From the plots of D-IAA and B-sucrose, higher concentration of sucrose and lower concentration of IAA would significantly influence a maximum response and vice-versa. From the plots of IAA and BAP, lower concentration of these growth regulators would help in maximum response.
The concentration of BAP and IAA yielded optimum results. The optimization helped generate healthy shoots from the explant. In addition to obtaining a good shoot height, it also helped in the initiation and development of multiple shoots (Fig. 7). This experiment yielded a height of 3.8 cm against the predicted height of 4.0. Studies by George et al. (2000) indicated that higher sucrose concentration with low NAA and higher BAP resulted in maximum shoot formation. Similar studies have also been done where RSM-CCD was used for the development of cell culture medium of Centella asiatica where the effects of sucrose, IAA and BAP were studied where maximum dry cell weight was obtained at 6% sucrose and 1 mg L⁻¹ of BAP and IAA (Omar et al., 2004).

Naveenchandra et al. (2011) also observed that maximum sucrose concentration was one of the contributing factors for high shoot initiation. The central composite design (CCD) could be used as a tool to optimize the influential factors and arrive at a desired response. The present study in optimization of parameters affecting micropropagation using response surface methodology yielded healthy in-vitro plants with better shoot height compared to the conventional method together with development of multiple shoots. To the best of our knowledge there is only a little work observed for media optimization for shoot induction in Centella asiatica using RSM. Moreover the RSM method helps to study parameters in concentration ranges otherwise not possible along with studying the interactive effect of two factors. RSM could thus prove to be very helpful in plant tissue culture which otherwise is very tedious with utilization of large quantities of resources. It makes the optimization process less time consuming and cost effective with minimal usage of resources.

CONCLUSION

Media standardization for callus induction was successfully achieved. MS medium with growth regulators 2 mg L⁻¹ IAA; 0.5 mg L⁻¹ Kn with 1 mg L⁻¹ IAA provided the starter callus cultures which were established and proliferated in 0.5 mg L⁻¹ Kn with 1.5 mg L⁻¹ IAA and subcultured every three weeks. Combination of 2 mg L⁻¹ BAP with 0.5 mg L⁻¹ Kn generated green callus. Further experimentation on plant regeneration can be performed by using suitable shooting and rooting medium. Best shooting response was observed using combinations of 1.5 mg L⁻¹ BAP with 0.5 mg L⁻¹ Kn. 1.5 mg L⁻¹ IAA followed by 0.5 mg L⁻¹ BAP with 1.5 mg L⁻¹ IAA. BAP and IAA along with sucrose concentration and incubation period were selected for optimization using RSM to obtain maximum height. The significant factors were determined form the ANOVA chart and the interactive effects of the factors were studied from the contour and response surface plots. An optimized value of BAP and IAA each at 0.5 mg L⁻¹, sucrose at 6% and incubation period of 13 days gave a height of 3.8 cm against the predicted 4.0. In addition to a good shoot height, multiple shoot initiation was also observed. This combination of growth regulators could be used as an alternative for Centella asiatica regeneration thereby helping in its conservation.
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