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Abstract. We study multidimensional difference equations with a
continual variable in the Sobolev–Slobodetskii spaces. Using ideas and
methods of the theory of boundary value problems for elliptic pseudo
differential equations we suggest to consider certain boundary value
problems for such difference equations. Special boundary conditions
permit to prove unique solvability for these boundary value problems
in appropriate Sobolev–Slobodetskii spaces.
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1. Introduction

We consider a general difference equation of the type

\( \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} a_k(x)u(x + \alpha_k) = v(x), \quad x \in D, \)

where \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^m \) is a canonical domain like \( \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m_+ = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m : x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m), \ell x_m > 0 \} \), \( C_+^a = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m : x_m > a|x'|, x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}), a > 0 \} \), \( k \) is a multi-index, \( |k| = k_1 + \cdots + k_m \), \( \{ \alpha_k \} \subset D \).

Equations of a such type have a long history \cite{1, 2, 3} and in general there
is no an algorithm for solving the equation \( \text{(1)} \). If so then any assertion
on a solvability of such equations is very important and required.

One can add that equations \( \text{(1)} \) appear in very distinct branches of
a science like mathematical biology, technical problems, etc. Also such
equations have arisen in studies of the second author \cite{10, 11} related to
boundary value problems in a plane corner. One-dimensional case for
such equations was considered in \cite{12}.

Here we’ll start from the equation

\( \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} a_k u(x + \alpha_k) = v(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m_+, \)

with constant coefficients because further we’ll try to use a local
principle \cite{4} to obtain some results on Fredholm properties of the
general equation (1). We use methods of the theory of boundary value problems for elliptic pseudo differential equations [8, 9]. For our case of a half-space these methods are based on the theory of one-dimensional singular integral equations and classical Riemann boundary value problem [5, 6, 7].

2. SPACES, OPERATORS AND SYMBOLS

2.1. Spaces. Let $S(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be the Schwartz class of infinitely differentiable rapidly decreasing at infinity functions, $S'(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be the space of distributions over the space $S(\mathbb{R}^m)$. If $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^m)$ then its Fourier transform is defined by the formula

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx.$$ 

Definition 1. A Sobolev–Slobodetskii space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^m), s \in \mathbb{R}$, consists of functions (distributions) with a finite norm

$$||u||_s = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \hat{u}(\xi)(1 + |\xi|^2)^s d\xi \right)^{1/2}. $$

Let’s note $H^0(\mathbb{R}^m) = L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

The space $S(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is a dense subspace in the $H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)$ [8]. The space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^+_m)$ consists of functions from the space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)$ which support belongs to $\mathbb{R}^+_m$ with induced norm. Also we need the space $H^s_0(\mathbb{R}^+_m)$ which consists of distributions from $S'(\mathbb{R}^+_m)$ admitting a continuation in the whole space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)$. A norm in the space $H^s_0(\mathbb{R}^+_m)$ is defined by the formula

$$||u||_s^* = \inf ||lu||_s,$$

where infimum is taken from all continuations $l$.

2.2. Operators. Here we consider difference operators with constant coefficients only of the type

$$D : u(x) \mapsto \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} a_k u(x + \alpha_k),$$

where $\{a_k\}, \{\alpha_k\}$ are given sequences in $\mathbb{R}^m$, and

$$\sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} |a_k| < +\infty.$$
Definition 2. An operator $D$ of the type (3) with coefficients $a_k$ satisfying (4) is called difference operator with constant coefficients.

Lemma 1. Every operator $D : H^s(\mathbb{R}^m) \to H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with constant coefficients is a linear bounded operator $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.3. Symbols. Definition 3. The function

$$\sigma_D(\xi) = \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} a_k e^{-i\alpha_k \cdot \xi}$$

is called a symbol of the operator $D$. The symbol $\sigma_D(\xi)$ is called an elliptic symbol if $\sigma_D(\xi) \neq 0, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Evidently under condition (4) $\sigma_D \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$, but everywhere below we suppose that $\sigma_D \in C(\hat{\mathbb{R}}^m)$ taking into account that $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^m$ is a compactification of $\mathbb{R}^m$.

3. Equations and factorization

3.1. Equations. We are interested in studying solvability of the equation (2). It can be written in the operator form

$$(Du)(x) = v(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^m,$$

assuming that $v$ is a given function in $\mathbb{R}_+^m$, $v \in H_0^s(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$, the unknown function $u$ is defined in $\mathbb{R}_+^m$, $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^m)$, and $\{\alpha_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^m$.

By notation, $u_+(x) = u(x)$, $lv$ is an arbitrary continuation of $v$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^m$. Then we put

$$u_-(x) = (lv)(x) - (Du_+)(x),$$

and see that $u_-(x) = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, to explain this notation. Further we rewrite the last equation

$$(Du_+)(x) + u_-(x) = (lv)(x)$$

and apply the Fourier transform

$$\sigma_D(\xi) \tilde{u}_+(\xi) + \tilde{u}_-(\xi) = \tilde{lv}(\xi).$$

To solve the equation (7) with an elliptic symbol $\sigma_D(\xi)$ we need to introduce a concept of a factorization. Everywhere below we write $\sigma(\xi)$ instead of $\sigma_D(\xi)$ for a brevity.
3.2. Factorization. Let’s denote \( \xi = (\xi', \xi_m), \xi' = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{m-1}) \).

**Definition 4.** Let \( \sigma(\xi) \) be an elliptic symbol. Factorization of elliptic symbol \( \sigma(\xi) \) is called its representation in the form

\[
\sigma(\xi) = \sigma_+(\xi)\sigma_-(\xi),
\]

where factors \( \sigma_\pm(\xi) \) admit an analytical continuation in upper and lower complex planes \( \mathbb{C}_\pm \) on the last variable \( \xi_m \) for almost all \( \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \) and \( \sigma_\pm(\xi) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \).

**Definition 5.** Index of factorization for the elliptic symbol \( \sigma(\xi) \) is called an integer

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \arg \sigma(\cdot, \xi_m) \, d\xi_m.
\]

**Remark 1.** The index \( \alpha \) is not really depended on \( \xi' \) because it is homotopic invariant.

**Remark 2.** It is principal fact the index of factorization does not correlate with an order of operator. For our case the order of the operator \( D \) is zero in a sense of G. Eskin’s book \([8]\) but the index may be an arbitrary integer. It is essential the index is a topological barrier for a solvability.

**Proposition 1.** If \( \alpha = 0 \) then for any elliptic symbol \( \sigma(\xi) \) a factorization

\[
\sigma(\xi) = \sigma_+(\xi)\sigma_-(\xi)
\]

exists, and it is unique up to a constant.

This is classical result, see details in \([5, 6, 7, 8]\).

4. Solvability and boundary value problems

4.1. Solvability. Everywhere below we’ll denote \( \tilde{H}(D) \) the Fourier image of the space \( H(D) \).

**Theorem 1.** If \( |s| < 1/2 \), \( \alpha = 0 \) then the equation \( [6] \) has a unique solution \( u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^m) \) for arbitrary right hand side \( v \in H_0^s(\mathbb{R}_+^m) \).

**Proof** is a very simple. It is based on properties of the Hilbert transform

\[
(H_\xi u)(\xi', \xi_m) = \frac{1}{\pi i} v.p. \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(\xi', \eta_m) \, d\eta_m
\]

which is a linear bounded operator \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}^m) \) for \( |s| < 1/2 \) \([8]\). This operator generates two projectors on some spaces consisting of boundary values of analytical functions in \( \mathbb{C}_\pm \) on the last variable \( \xi_m \) \([5, 6, 7, 8]\)

\[
\Pi_\pm = 1/2(I \pm H_\xi),
\]
so that the representation
\[ f = f_+ + f_- \equiv \Pi_+ f + \Pi_- f \]
is unique for arbitrary \( f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^m), |s| < 1/2 \). Further after factorization we write the equality (7) in the form
\[ \sigma_+(\xi)\tilde{u}_+(\xi) + \sigma_-^{-1}(\xi)\tilde{u}_-(\xi) = \sigma_-^{-1}(\xi)\tilde{v}(\xi), \]
and else
\[ \sigma_+(\xi)\tilde{u}_+(\xi) - (\Pi_+(\sigma_-^{-1} \cdot \tilde{v}))(\xi) = \\
(\Pi_- (\sigma_-^{-1} \cdot \tilde{v}))(\xi) - \sigma_-^{-1}(\xi)\tilde{u}_-(\xi). \]

So the left hand side belongs to the space \( \tilde{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_m^m) \) and the left hand side belongs to the space \( \tilde{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_m^-) \), and these should be zero. Hence
\[ \tilde{u}_+(\xi) = \sigma^{-1}_+(\xi)(\Pi_+(\sigma^{-1}_- \cdot \tilde{v}))(\xi). \]

It completes the proof. \( \triangle \)

4.2. **General solution.** Let \( \omega \in \mathbb{Z} \). First we introduce a function
\[ \omega(\xi', \xi_m) = \left( \frac{\xi_m - i|\xi'| - i}{\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i} \right)^\omega, \]
which belongs to \( C(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^m) \).

Evidently the functions \( z \pm i|\xi'| \) for fixed \( \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \) are analytical functions in complex half-planes \( \mathbb{C}_\pm \). Moreover
\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d}{d\arg} \frac{\xi_m - i|\xi'| - i}{\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i} = 1. \]

According to the index property [3] [4] [7] [8] a function
\[ \omega^{-1}(\xi', \xi_m)\sigma(\xi', \xi_m) \]
has a vanishing index, and it can be factorized
\[ \omega^{-1}(\xi', \xi_m)\sigma(\xi', \xi_m) = \sigma_+(\xi', \xi_m)\sigma_-(\xi', \xi_m), \]
so we have
\[ \sigma(\xi', \xi_m) = \omega(\xi', \xi_m)\sigma_+(\xi', \xi_m)\sigma_-(\xi', \xi_m), \]
where
\[ \sigma_\pm(\xi', \xi_m) = \exp(\Psi_\pm(\xi', \xi_m)), \quad \Psi_\pm(\xi', \xi_m) = \]
\[ \lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln(\omega^{-1}\sigma)(\xi, \eta_m)d\eta_m}{\xi_m \pm i\tau - \eta_m}. \]
Now the equation (7) we rewrite in the form

\[(\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{-\alpha} \sigma_+ (\xi) \tilde{u}_+(\xi) + (\xi_m - i|\xi'| - i)^{-\alpha} \sigma_-^{-1} (\xi) \tilde{u}_-(\xi) = 0\]

(8) \[(\xi_m - i|\xi'| - i)^{-\alpha} \sigma_-^{-1} (\xi) \tilde{v}(\xi) = 0\]

Let’s note the right hand side of the equation (8) belongs to the space \(\tilde{H}^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m)\).

If \(|\alpha + s| < 1/2\) we go to the sec. 4.1.

4.2.1. Positive case. If \(s + \alpha > 1/2\) we choose a minimal \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) so that \(0 < s + \alpha - n < 1/2\). Further we use a decomposition formula for operators \(\Pi_{\pm} [8]\) for \(\tilde{f} \in \tilde{H}^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m)\)

\[
\Pi_{\pm} \tilde{f} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\Pi' \Lambda_{\pm}^{k-1} \tilde{f}}{\Lambda_{\pm}^k} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\pm}^n} \Pi_{\pm} \Lambda_{\pm}^n \tilde{f},
\]

where

\[
\Lambda_{\pm}(\xi', \xi_m) = \xi_m \pm |\xi'| \pm i, \quad (\Pi' \tilde{f})(\xi') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{f}(\xi', \xi_m) d\xi_m.
\]

We rewrite the equation (8)

\[
\sigma_+(\xi) \tilde{w}_+(\xi) + \sigma_-^{-1}(\xi) \tilde{w}_-(\xi) = \tilde{h}(\xi),
\]

where \(\tilde{w}_\pm(\xi) = (\xi_m \pm i|\xi'| \pm i)^{-\alpha} \tilde{u}_\pm(\xi), \tilde{h}(\xi) = (\xi_m - i|\xi'| - i)^{-\alpha} \sigma_-^{-1}(\xi) \tilde{v}(\xi)\).

Obviously \(\tilde{w}_\pm \in \tilde{H}^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m), \tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m)\). We set \(s + \alpha - n = \alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1/2\). Since \(s + \alpha = n + \alpha > \alpha\) then \(\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m) \implies h \in \tilde{H}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m)\).

According to the theorem 4.1 we have a solution of the last equation \(\tilde{w}_+ \in \tilde{H}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m)\) in the form

\[
\tilde{w}_+(\xi) = \sigma_+^{-1}(\xi)(\Pi_{\pm} \tilde{h})(\xi).
\]

Thus

\[
\tilde{u}_+(\xi) = (\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{-\alpha} \sigma_+^{-1}(\xi)(\Pi_{\pm} \tilde{h})(\xi),
\]

so that \(\tilde{u}_+ \in \tilde{H}^{\alpha-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^m)\). Now we apply the formula (9) to the expression \(\Pi_{\pm} \tilde{h}\) and obtain the following representation

\[
\tilde{u}_+(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\tilde{c}_k(\xi', \xi_m)}{\sigma_+(\xi) \Lambda_{\pm}^{k-\alpha}(\xi', \xi_m)} + \frac{1}{\sigma_+(\xi) \Lambda_{\pm}^{-\alpha}(\xi', \xi_m)} (\Pi_{\pm} \Lambda_{\pm}^{n-\alpha} \tilde{h})(\xi', \xi_m),
\]

where \(\tilde{c}_k = (\Pi' \Lambda_{\pm}^{k-1}) \tilde{h}\). It is not hard concluding \(\tilde{c}_k \in \tilde{H}^{s_k}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}), s_k = s + \alpha - k + 1/2\). So we have the following
Proposition 2. If \( s + \alpha > 1/2 \) then for the solution of the equation (6) the representation (11) is valid.

Note. One can prove that the functions \( \tilde{c}_k \in \tilde{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}) \), \( s_k = s + \alpha - k + 1/2 \), are defined uniquely.

4.2.2. Negative case. If \( s + \alpha < -1/2 \) we choose a polynomial \( Q_n(\xi) \) without real zeroes so that \(-1/2 < s + \alpha + n < 0\), and use the equality

\[
\sigma^+(\xi)\tilde{w}^+(\xi) + \sigma^{-1}(\xi)\tilde{w}^-(\xi) = \tilde{h}(\xi)
\]

from sec. 4.2.1 once again. Since \( \tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+^m) \) we represent

\[
\tilde{h} = Q\Pi_+(Q^{-1}\tilde{h}) + Q\Pi_-(Q^{-1}\tilde{h})
\]

because \( Q^{-1}\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}^{s+\alpha+n}(\mathbb{R}^m) \). Further we work with the equality

\[
\sigma^+(\xi)\tilde{w}^+(\xi) + \sigma^{-1}(\xi)\tilde{w}^-(\xi) = Q\Pi_+(Q^{-1}\tilde{h}) + Q\Pi_-(Q^{-1}\tilde{h})
\]

or in other words

\[
\sigma^+(\xi)\tilde{w}^+(\xi) - Q\Pi_+(Q^{-1}\tilde{h}) = Q\Pi_-(Q^{-1}\tilde{h}) - \sigma^{-1}(\xi)\tilde{w}^-(\xi)
\]

Thus we have the formula \( \tilde{g} = \Pi_+(Q^{-1}\tilde{h}) \)

\[
(\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{-\alpha}\sigma^+(\xi)\tilde{u}^+(\xi) - Q_n(\xi)g_+(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{c}_j(\xi')\xi_m^{j-1}.
\]

and a lot of solutions

\[
\tilde{u}^+(\xi) = (\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{\alpha}\sigma^{-1}_+(\xi)Q_n(\xi)g_+(\xi) +
\]

\[
(\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{\alpha}\sigma^{-1}_+(\xi)\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{c}_j(\xi')\xi_m^{j-1}.
\]

It is left to verify that functions \( \tilde{C}_j(\xi) = (\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{\alpha}\sigma^{-1}_+(\xi)\tilde{c}_j(\xi')\xi_m^j \) belong to \( \tilde{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_m) \). We have

\[
||C_j||_s^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\tilde{c}_j(\xi')|^2|\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i|^{2\alpha}\sigma^{-2}_+(\xi)||\xi_m||^2j(1 + |\xi|)^{2j}d\xi,
\]
and passing to repeated integral we first calculate
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i|2\alpha|\xi_m|^{2j}(1 + |\xi|)^{2\alpha}d\xi_m,
\]
which exists only if \(\alpha + j + s < -1/2\). Hence we obtain after integration
\[
\tilde{C}_j \in H^{\alpha+j+s+1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^m).
\]

Thus we have proved the following

**Theorem 2.** If \(s + \alpha < -1/2\), then the equation (6) has many solutions in the space \(H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^m)\), and formula for a general solution in Fourier image

\[
\tilde{u}_+(\xi', \xi_m) = (\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{\alpha}\sigma_+^{-1}(\xi)Q_n(\xi)\tilde{g}_+(\xi', \xi_m) + \\
(\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{\alpha}\sigma_+^{-1}(\xi', \xi_m) \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} c_k(\xi')\xi_m^k
\]

holds, where \(c_k \in H^{sk}(\mathbb{R}_+^{m-1})\), \(s_k = -\alpha + k + 1/2, k = 0, \ldots, \alpha - 1\), are arbitrary functions.

**Corollary.** If under assumptions of the theorem 4.3 \(v \equiv 0\) then a general solution of the equation

\[
(Du)(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^m
\]

has the form

\[
\tilde{u}_+(\xi) = (\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^{\alpha}\sigma_+^{-1}(\xi', \xi_m) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{c}_k(\xi')\xi_m^{k-1}.
\]

**4.3. Boundary conditions.** For a brevity we consider a homogeneous equation using the corollary 4.4. We need some additional conditions to uniquely determine the functions \(\tilde{c}_k, k = 1, \ldots, n\). It is interesting fact that we can’t use the same conditions for positive and negative \(\alpha\). Moreover the boundary operators in a certain sense are determined by the formula for a general solution. We consider below very simple boundary operators. Usually such operators are traces of some pseudo differential operators on the hyper-plane \(x_m = 0\). But it is possible not for all cases.

**4.3.1. Positive case.** Let us assume we know the values of \(\tilde{u}_+\) in \(n\) distinct hyper-planes from \(\mathbb{R}^m\) of type \(\xi_m = p_j\). We denote \(\tilde{u}_+(\xi', p_j) \equiv \)
\( \tilde{r}_j(\xi') \) and obtain from the formula (12) the following system of linear algebraic equations
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{c}_k(\xi')(p_j - 1) = \tilde{r}_j(\xi')(p_j + i|\xi'| + i)^x \sigma_+^{-1}(\xi', p_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Obviously the system is uniquely solvable because its matrix has the Vandermonde determinant. To formulate a corresponding boundary value problem we need some preliminaries.

We take the following boundary conditions
\[
(13) \quad \left. \frac{1}{i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u_+(x', x_m) e^{-ip_jx_m} dx_m \right|_{x_m=0} = r_j(x'), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

It will mean \( \tilde{u}_+(\xi', p_j) = \tilde{r}_j(\xi') \). If \( u_+ \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^m_+) \) then \( r_j \in H^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^m_+) \). So we have the following

**Theorem 3.** Let \( r_j \in H^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}), j = 1, \ldots, n. \) Then the boundary value problem (11), (13) has a unique solution in the space \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^m_+) \).

**Note.** One can consider a linear combination of the conditions (13) and require non-vanishing the associated determinant.

4.3.2. **Negative case.** This case admits integration for the right hand side of the formula (12) thus we take boundary conditions in the standard form
\[
(14) \quad (A_j u_+)(x)_{|x_m=0} = r_j(x'), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,
\]
where \( A_j \) are pseudo differential operators with symbols \( A_j(\xi', \xi_m) \) satisfying the condition
\[
|A_j(\xi', \xi_m)| \sim (1 + |\xi'| + |\xi_m|)^{\gamma_j}.
\]

Let’s denote
\[
a_{jk}(\xi') = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A_j(\xi', \xi_m)(\xi_m + i|\xi'| + i)^x \sigma_+^{-1}(\xi', \xi_m) \xi_m^{k-1} d\xi_m.
\]

**Theorem 4.** Let \( \gamma_j + x + k < -1, r_j \in H^{s_j}(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}), s_j = s - \gamma_j - 1/2, \forall j, k = 1, \ldots, n, \) and the
\[
\inf_{\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}} |\det(a_{jk}(\xi'))_{j,k=1}^n| > 0.
\]

Then the boundary value problem (11), (14) has a unique solution in the space \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^m_+) \).


Conclusion

There are a lot of possibilities to state distinct problems for the equation (6) adding some additional conditions. Also it seems to be interesting to transfer this approach and results to a discrete case, i.e. for spaces of a discrete variable. This will be discussed elsewhere.
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