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Abstract

This report describes the starting point for a simple rule based hypothesis testing exercise on identifying hyperpartisan news items carried out by the Harry Friberg team from Gavagai. We used manually crafted metatopics, topics which often appear in hyperpartisan texts as rant conduits, together with tonality analysis to identify general characteristics of hyperpartisan news items. While the precision of the resulting effort is less than stellar—our contribution ranked 37th of the 42 successfully submitted experiments with overly high recall (95%) and low precision (54%)—we believe we have a model which allows us to continue exploring the underlying features of what the subgenre of hyperpartisan news items is characterised by.

1 Hyperpartisanism

Hyperpartisan news are news items that are strongly argumentative and one-sided. However, being biased is not enough to be characterised as being hyperpartisan, neither is it enough for a news item to use strong language. Confounders for this task includes items that use strong language without being partisan, items that are subjective but not "hyper", and items that report dispassionately on typically hyperpartisan topics.

We hypothesise that authors of hyperpartisan texts are in the process of performing a sub-genre of their own, intended not as much to convey the reader information about some state of the world but to mobilise sentiment and affect in the readership, and establishing a shared attitudinal space. Taking this point of departure, we assume that the linguistic items employed by the authors of hyperpartisan text are not only related to the topics under discussions, nor to argumentation, but also include some genre-specific features to explicitly signal hyperpartisanness. This report describes an experiment based on these starting points, performed on data from the 2019 SemEval task on Hyperpartisan News Detection. (Kiesel et al., 2019)

2 Gavagai Explorer

The Gavagai Explorer is a commercially available tool which provides an end-to-end solution for the analysis of unstructured text data (Espinoza et al., 2018). We have in these experiments made use of its components for topic clustering, sentiment analysis, and concept modelling.

2.1 Trigger Topics

The topic clustering is based on lexical cues, and can be used to detect what themes and topics are prevalent in some set of e.g. customer feedback messages. Here, we used the topic clustering to establish what sort of themes were frequent in the hyperpartisan training set. We postulate that many metatopics turn out to become lightning rods for hyperpartisan argumentation, somewhat (but not entirely) unpredictably. A characteristic of some of the more extreme sample items was that a hyperpartisan rant will bring in additional only marginally related topics into an argumentation. We identified a small set of potential rant metatopics using the topic clustering mechanism in the Gavagai Explorer. A breakdown of these topics with some example terms can be found in Table 1.

2.2 Trigger Attitudes

The concept modeling tool allows an analyst to define measures based on lexical items. Sentiments are a special case, applied to the palette of human emotion. On entering some seed words, the user is presented with semantically similar terms acquired from a distributional model (Sahlgren et al., 2016). The user accepts terms which are relevant,
Table 1: Trigger topics and some of terms that indicate them. The full list with all terms is available at https://www.gavagai.io/blog/2019/06/06/gavagai-identifying-hyperpartisan-news/.

| Topic                      | Example terms                                                   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| American                   | across america, america first, god bless america, ...            |
| Elites                     | elite, establishment, oligarchy, ...                            |
| Freedom of Speech          | first amendment rights, freedom of speech, press freedom, ...   |
| Islam                      | islamist, islamism, muslim, ...                                 |
| People                     | people, these people, the people, ...                           |
| Media                      | cable news, mainstream media, twitter, ...                     |
| Political Movements        | alt-right, bolshevik, marxist                                   |
| Politicians                | party leaders, political party, politician, ...                |
| Populism                   | populism, populist, ...                                        |
| Public Safety              | felon, incriminating, predator, ...                            |
| Race                       | black lives matter, black people, white people, ...            |
| Sexual Rights              | reproductive rights, same sex marriage, transgender, ...       |
| Support                    | support, supportive, supported, ...                             |
| Woman                      | woman, women, ...                                               |

Table 2: Trigger attitudes and some of terms that indicate them. The full list with all terms is available at https://www.gavagai.io/blog/2019/06/06/gavagai-identifying-hyperpartisan-news/.

| Concept          | Example terms                                                   |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Certainty        | blatantly, undeniably, hands-down, ...                          |
| Cynical          | bizarre, far-fetched, ludicrous, ...                            |
| Exasperation     | and once again, for some reason, yet again, ...                |
| Failure          | catastrophe, complete failure, disaster, ...                   |
| Nonsense         | arrogant, babble, claptrap, ...                                |
| Puffery          | landmark, pioneering, visionary, ...                            |
| Weasel Words     | recent study, widely acknowledged, been claimed, ...           |
| Win and Lose     | bruised, humiliated, vanquished, ...                            |

which are in turn used to provide more suggestions in the following iteration. Here, we used the concept modelling tool to define trigger attitudes such as those shown in Table 2.

We find that strongly expressed attitudes not necessarily mean that an article is hyperpartisan, but that the combination of a trigger topic together with negative sentiment appears to be indicative of hyperpartisanship. The sentiment analysis component identifies several types of polar language, and measures the intensity of expression in each item using both presence of polar terms and of amplifier terms such as "extremely" and "very". In addition to standard polar sentiments we used the concept modeling tool to build a set of concepts tailored to observable presence in hyperpartisan texts (Karlgren et al., 2012).

2.3 Trigger Styles

Besides topical specificity we expect hyperpartisan texts to be couched in specific styles, as already established in previous studies (Potthast et al., 2018). We compared some stylistic features known to us to have discriminative power in other contexts, such as counts of exclamation marks, question marks, digits, capital letters, capitalised words, type token ratio, word length, sentence length etc. We found that the strongest single stylistic feature was the presence of many exclamation marks, in conjunction with trigger topics, while most other features on their own were less indicative. This is an indication that the authors of hyperpartisan texts appear to adhere to most stylistic conventions of the news genre.

3 Rule Based Fusion

We combined the above evidence in a rule based model, to achieve reasonably high explanatory power of results for downstream application. Through analysis of the training data, we distilled the results into the following pieces of reasoning, applied in the order given here:

1. Presence of many trigger topics (> 3) in an article, indicates it is hyperpartisan.
2. Presence of at least one trigger topic and a negative sentiment score for an article indicates it is hyperpartisan.
3. A positive sentiment score combined with lack of trigger topics indicates a non-hyperpartisan article.

4. Presence of at least one trigger topic together with a high type token ratio or high ratio of questions in an article indicates it is hyperpartisan.

5. A high trigger attitude score (given in Table 2) indicates an article is hyperpartisan.

4 Results

The end results of our experiment on the by-article test set were decidedly underwhelming, with our contribution ranked 37th of 42 experiments. Our combined experimental pipeline yielded high recall (95%) and low precision (54%), meaning that it turned out to be overly sensitive to the features it was trained on. The rule set given above triggered for too many non-hyperpartisan items, with the last rule being the most permissive. We still believe that informed and hypothesis-driven analysis of content, rather than an end-to-end learning models, will result in a model of greater generality and greater explanatory power, but that the rule based combination should have been done using some learning scheme. While the precision of the resulting effort is less than stellar, we believe we have a model which allows us to continue exploring the underlying features of what the sub-genre of hyperpartisan news items is characterised by, and we also believe that the explicit representation of what features are in play will afford end users greater trust in the system’s classification results.

5 Namesake

Harry Friberg was a fictional photojournalist and the protagonist of a series of crime novels by Stieg Trenter (1914-1967). The character first appeared in the novel *Farlig fästinga*, 1944, and continued in a series of novels which have since become popular classics for their depiction of Stockholm in the 1950s. Harry Friberg was modeled on the internationally recognised photojournalist K W Gullers (1916—1998), a friend of the author.
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