Tailoring superhydrophobic ZnO nanorods on Si pyramids with enhanced visible range antireflection property
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Abstract
Simultaneous superhydrophobic and visible range antireflection properties are demonstrated in hydrothermally grown ZnO nanorods (NRs) on chemically textured Si surfaces. A drastic transformation of Si micro-pyramids from hydrophobic to superhydrophobic is observed by securing the formation of polycrystalline ZnO NRs at surfaces, showing an increment of apparent contact angle from $\sim 102^\circ$ to $\sim 157^\circ$ and further explained in the light of a decrease in solid fractional surface area, according to the Cassie-Baxter model. Moreover, wurtzite phase of ZnO NRs is confirmed by the x-ray diffraction and Raman analysis. Such hierarchical structures further exhibit a large visible range antireflection ($\sim 5\%$), and correlated it to the variation in aspect ratio of Si pyramids in conjunction with the formation of graded refractive index. The combined superhydrophobic and large antireflection phenomena in the present dual-scale structures is believed to be useful for Si-based photovoltaic applications.

1. Introduction

Inspired form nature [1], artificially designed superhydrophobic surfaces (contact angle (CA) $\geq 150^\circ$ and roll-off angle $\leq 10^\circ$) have attracted an immense interest in scientific community due to their potential to be used in wide range of applications such as self-cleaning [2], controllable oil/water separation [3], antibacterial activity [4], light harvesting [5] and so on. Beside chemical treatment, superhydrophobic property strongly depends on surface roughness, and this can be maximized by making porous or solid-air composite surfaces via development of micro- and nano-structures [6]. In particular, creating dual-scale hierarchical configuration with both micro/nano-structures (i.e. lotus leaf structure) shows high apparent CA [7]. The wettability of water on solid surfaces generally discuss in the light of simple Wenzel and/or Cassie-Baxter model [8], but they are unable to explain the phenomenon independently on complex structures. However, for developing artificial hierarchical structures, various approaches has recently been employed such as lithographic patterning [9], vapor-liquid-solid method [10], chemical route on self-assembled textures [11] as well as an cost effective chemical etching process [5, 12] and so on. Among them, chemical etching is more advantageous especially for Si which is a backbone of semiconductor industries because of their compatibility and cost effectiveness with respect to other competitors. In fact, the combination of nano- and micro-structures of Si can give better reliability by preventing the surface from being contaminated, together with repelling water under hostile environments [5, 13]. In other words, shading is a noteworthy practical issue due to dust accumulation which hinders the surface from full illumination of light and in turn reduces the efficiency of the Si based solar devices. To get rid of this problem, surface functionalization of the hierarchical structure by incorporation of dual-scale structure is proposed [14]. Such dual-scale structures also enhance the photovoltaic conversion efficiency by reducing reflection loss due to multiple scattering of incident light [5, 13]. Here development of subwavelength scale chemically textured Si pyramids allow the additional trapping/absorption of solar spectrum by diffuse
scattering [15] and/or mode coupling [16]. However, in order to achieve dual-scale surface roughness with enhance self-cleaning property, Si pyramids are required to tune further by modifying the textured surfaces with suitable nanostructures such as nanorods (NRs) and/or nanowires etc [5, 11, 17, 18]. Among various parameters to integrate such nanostructures on micro-structured Si surfaces [12, 19], the proper material selection along with cost effective fabrication process for developing nanostructures is the key to improve super-hydrophobicity and antireflection properties without compromising device performance. In this respect, chemically synthesized ZnO NRs with suitable height on textured Si (SiTex) surfaces not only offer the self-cleaning properties, but also act as an anti-reflective layer (ARC), a prerequisite for enhancing the performance of a solar absorber by reducing the reflection loss [20]. In fact, nano-structured ZnO with high surface to volume ratio such as nano fibers, NRs, thin films have attracted a tremendous interest in scientific society owing to their potential to be used in various applications including ultraviolet (UV) photodetectors, photocatalysis, antibacterial activity and gas sensing etc [18, 21–28].

In this letter, we investigate the multifunctional properties of hierarchical structure consisting of hydrothermally grown ZnO NRs on submicron facets of Si pyramids. In particular, we have shown that chemically textured Si pyramids can suppress the average surface reflectance below ∼15%, particularly in the wavelength range of 500–1000 nm, though it is reduced further (∼5%) by incorporation of ZnO NRs. It has also been revealed that such dual-scale hierarchical surface enables excellent superhydrophobic property with apparent CA of ∼157°, a suitable step for removing dust particles from solar cells.

2. Experimental

Ultrasonically cleaned pieces of 500 μm thick p-type Si (100) wafers (area 1 × 1 cm²) were initially chemically textured for developing Si pyramids that act as templates for growing ZnO NRs. The texturing process was executed at 70 °C for 40 min in a 3 wt% NaOH solution diluted with 10% isopropyl-alcohol (IPA); details can be found in [20]. Further ZnO NRs have been synthesized on these Si pyramids by using two step processes. Firstly about ∼10 nm thick ZnO seed layer was deposited at room temperature by Radio Frequency (RF) magnetron sputtering with a 50 W power supply. The deposition pressure was maintained ∼5 mtorr whereas substrate-to-target distance was kept at ∼10 cm. Highly pure (99.999%) oxygen and Ar gas were injected into the chamber with a flow rate of 12 sccm and 30 sccm, respectively.

Following this, ZnO NRs were fabricated on seed layer coated textured Si surfaces by hydrothermal process where seeded wafers were placed into autoclave containing the aqueous solution of deionized (DI) water (75 ml), zinc nitrate (25 mM) and hexamethylene tetramine (25 mM). The autoclave was then kept in furnace at ∼110 °C for 5 h. Afterwards, samples were removed from the chemical solution, rinsed properly with DI water followed by ethanol. The as-grown ZnO NRs on textured Si surfaces were then annealed in air at ∼325 °C for 45 min for improving crystallinity.

The phase formation and crystalline structure of ZnO NRs on Si(111) facets were identified by X-ray diffraction, XRD (Bruker, D8-Discover) using a Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.154 nm) followed by Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw Invia), excited by using argon laser at 514 nm with 10 mW power. Moreover, the surface morphology was examined by scanning electron microscopy, SEM (Carl Zeiss) whereas reflectance spectra of the samples were recorded by using an integrating sphere attached in a UV–VIS–NIR spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Solid-Spec-3700) in the wavelength range of 300–1200 nm. The wetting behavior of Si pyramids before and after NRs formation was investigated by CA measurement system (Krüss GmbH, DSA-25). Here, data was recorded by using software-controlled pendant drop mode whereas the repeatability of recorded data across the surface was also confirmed on each sample.

3. Results and discussion

Typical cross-sectional SEM (XSEM) image of SiTex are displayed in figure 1(a), showing the random distribution of Si micro-pyramids throughout the surface. Since the hydrophobicity and particularly anti-reflective properties depend strongly on the shape, distribution, and most importantly on the aspect ratio [ratio of average height (d) to the distance between two consecutive pyramids (a)] of such microstructures, the optimization of these parameters are the key to enhance the overall device performance [29]. Such kind of microstructural morphology generally endorses surface recombination among charge carriers. Therefore for optimization of device performance, minimum reflection with least surface recombination is essential [20]. Usually generation of micro-pyramid like structure not only increases the overall surface area above Si surface but also makes the surface rough which subsequently helps in light trapping, i.e. maximum of the incident light gets absorbed by the rough surface after multiple internal reflections. Note that IPA plays a crucial role in the evolution of chemically etched pyramidal structure [30]. This acts as a surfactant for minimizing stickiness of
hydrogen bubbles that are produced as a byproduct during chemical reaction owing to the increase in hydrophilic nature of newly etched Si surface. In fact, hydrogen bubbles behave like a virtual-mask between chemical solution and Si surface, and in turn control the reaction rate. Close inspection of figure 1(a) further revealed that protrusions jutted out from the (111) facets of the sidewalls (indicated by downward arrow) in conjunction with clusters of small pyramids (marked by dashed rectangle). On the other hand, magnified view of one of pyramid as depicted in figure 1(b) also revealed the formation of very sharp apex with smooth and even facets. Previous theoretical works by Sai et al [29] reported that the surface reflection loss can be effectively reduced by using submicron SiTex surface with aspect ratio of d/a approximately around unity. Therefore, here, special attention was given to maintain the corresponding values of d and a of the order of ~2.5 and 2 μm by controlling the reaction time and temperature so that trapping of light can be maximized [29]. In fact, apart from some bigger structures, pyramids with height around 4–6 μm have generally been found in conventional c-Si solar cells [31], while in present case, both d and a are on the order of ~2.5–3 and 2–2.5 μm, respectively [see figures 1(a) and (b)].

Further, plan view SEM image of ZnO NRs on SiTex is displayed in figure 1(c), showing the formation of highly dense NRs on top of Si(111) micropyramids. The morphology and distribution of such hierarchically grown NRs were found to be strongly depending on initial nucleation sites which can be controlled by optimizing the seed layer thickness and growth parameters like temperature, reaction time, concentration, etc [14]. During the chemical reaction, Zn²⁺ is produced from zinc nitrate solution whereas hexamethylene tetramine initially decompose in to the ammonia followed by the formation of NH₃ and OH⁻ radicals. The chemical reaction between OH⁻ and Zn²⁺ eventually leads to the formation of ZnO NRs. Moreover, OH⁻ also serve as a pH buffer to tune the pH value of the chemical solution. Here, we should not that ZnO nanocrystals exist in the seed layer acts as nucleation centres where the growth of ZnO NRs would occur. High resolution SEM of rectangular dashed region in figure 1(c) further revealed that most of NRs were aligned vertically on the Si facets, indicating the anisotropic growth of NRs along the c-axis [figure 1(d)]. The average diameter and length [extracted form XSEM (not shown)] of these NRs were measured to be 35 ± 3 nm and 1.5 ± 0.3 μm, respectively.

The structure of ZnO NRs on SiTex was systematically investigated by XRD using coupled θ–2θ mode in the 2θ range of 20°–75° [see figure 2(a)], where θ is the Bragg’s angle. As discerned from the spectra, the dominant peaks appearing at 2θ ~ 31.7°, 34.4°, and 36.2° can be assigned to the reflections from the (100), (002), and (101) planes whereas relatively small intense peaks observed at 2θ ~47.5°, 56.5°, and 62.8° are associated with the reflections from the (102), (110), and (103) planes of ZnO NRs, respectively [17, 32]. All the ZnO peaks can be indexed to polycrystalline wurztite structure (Zincite, JCPDS 5-0664) [32]. Moreover, the dominant peak located at ~69.15° can be attributed to reflection from the (400) plane of underneath SiTex substrate [33]. No peaks from impurity were detected in the recorded spectra, confirming the formation of high-quality ZnO NRs.

Figure 1. (a) Cross-sectional SEM image of SiTex, where magnified view of one such pyramid is shown in (b). Whereas plane-view SEM image of ZnO NRs on SiTex are shown in (c) and (d), respectively.
In fact, post annealing treatment in air not only help to enhance the crystallinity of the NRs as clearly evident in XRD spectra but also help to reduces the defect related sub-band gap states that acts as a charge trapping centers in ZnO [34].

To understand the nature of crystalline quality of such ZnO NRs on SiTex, Raman measurement was also carried out, where the recorded spectrum is shown in figure 2(b). Since c-Si surface is etched for growth of micro-pyramids, it is always a significant possibility for asymmetric broadening in phonon modes where \( q = 0 \) selection rule relaxes and phonons which are away from the zone center start to contribute in the scattering process [35]. Hexagonal wurtzite ZnO structure usually associate to the \( C_{6v} \) space group and according to group theory, it has eight sets of optical phonon modes at \( \Gamma \) point, referred as four Raman active \( (A_1 + E_1 + 2E_2) \) modes, two Raman silent \( 2B_2 \) modes and two infrared active \( (A_1 + E_1) \) modes. In addition, \( A_1 \) and \( E_1 \) modes further separated to two components [i.e. longitudinal optical (LO) and transversal optical (TO) modes] [36]. As evident from the spectrum, a prominent phonon peak at \( \sim 438 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and relatively weak peak intensity at \( \sim 378 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) were detected, while these peaks can be attributed to the \( E_2 \) (high) and \( A_1 \) (TO) modes of wurtzite ZnO structure, respectively [18, 37]. Moreover, no signature of \( E_1 \) (LO) mode at \( \sim 583 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) is observed in the spectrum, possibly associated with the formation of high crystal quality of ZnO NRs with negligible lattice defects like oxygen vacancy and Zn interstitial, consistent with XRD results [37, 38]. Beside this, the Raman peaks at \( \sim 619 \text{ and } \sim 669 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) have been assigned to be originated from underneath SiTex surface and related to the combined effect of acoustic and optical phonons at different symmetry points of the Brillouin zone of Si lattice [39].

To comprehend the importance of hierarchical structures, especially the role of ZnO NRs on SiTex in improving the overall superhydrophobicity, CA measurements were carried out systematically for 2 \( \mu \)l water.
droplet. For comparison, apparent CA was also measured on flat Si (SiFlat), as depicted in figure 3(a), giving an average value of $41^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ (right panel). It is worth mentioning here that the hydrogen-passivated Si surfaces are generally hydrophobic in nature, whereas the formation of native oxide at surfaces convert them to hydrophilic [40]. In contrast, the anisotropic chemical texturing was found to enhance the surface roughness via formation of highly dense Si micropyramids [see figure 3(b)] and in turn it increased the apparent CA up to $102^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ (right panel), indicating the hydrophobic nature ($150^\circ \geq \text{CA} \geq 90^\circ$). In fact, such hydrophobic nature of bare Si pyramids can be explained by Wenzel’s law [41], where the water droplet penetrates the grooves between the rough surfaces. This is expressed by $\cos \theta^* = r \cos \theta$, where $\theta^*$ is the measured CA on a rough surface, $\theta$ is the average CA on the corresponding flat surface, and $r$ is the fractional surface area representing the ratio between the actual area and projected area. However, existence of large CA hysteresis of about $\sim 25^\circ$ suggests the presence of adhesive force between the surface of SiTex and impregnated water droplet [42].

Interestingly, the combination of ZnO NRs with Si micropyramids, as illustrated in figure 3(c), leads to a drastic increase in apparent CA up to $157^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ (right panel) along with lower CA hysteresis ($\leq 10^\circ$). This is due to the development of a dual-scale hierarchical structures [14], and in turn transform the surface to superhydrophobic. In fact, it has been reported earlier that contact angle hysteresis is more important than maximum achievable CA in order to optimized superhydrophobic property of the surface [43]. The present radical increase in CA can be discussed in the framework of Cassie-Baxter law [41] and calculated by $\cos \theta^* = f(1 + \cos \theta) - 1$ where $f$ is solid fraction, defined area fraction of the liquid-solid interface [6]. According to this relation, it is clear that reduction in the value of solid fraction $f$ results the increase in observed apparent CA ($\theta^*$). Therefore, unlike bare-Si micropyramids, formation of hierarchical structures here lead to considerable reduction in the effective solid surface area and in turn influence the water droplet to sit only between solid-air composite asperities without wetting the surface [12].
Being good light scattering centers, focus is now to understand the role of ZnO NRs in tuning the total reflectance \( R \) when grown on SiTex. In order to understand the underlying mechanism, UV–vis experiments have been carried out in a systematic way in the range of 300–1200 nm (figure 4). As discerned from the spectra, \( R \) on the order of \( \sim 40 \) – 50% for polished SiFlat surface (black curve) is reduced dramatically to \( \sim 15\% \) after surface texturing (highlighted in red), indicating the enhancement in light trapping by multiplying the internal reflections. It is important to note that \( R \) plays a substantial role in photovoltaic devices as the internal quantum efficiency, \( \text{IQE} = \text{EQE}/(1-R) \), where EQE is the external quantum efficiency [44]. The observed reduction in \( R \) can be explained in the light of aspect ratio \( d/a \) of submicron pyramids. According to the recent theoretical prediction [29], \( d/a \) value should be within the range of 1–1.5 in order to achieve a minimum \( R \) with lower surface recombination velocity [20], in good agreement with our \( d/a \) ratio (1–1.3). As depicted in figure 4, such microscale pyramids although exhibit excellent visible range anti-reflective property, but it still shows a relatively high \( R \) around 380–450 nm owing to the limitation of geometric optics effect [45]. Decoration of ZnO NRs on SiTex help to overcome this issue because of their strong absorption edge in UV region though it is not contributed towards the enhancement in light absorption in the underneath Si material. On the other hand, observed lower reflectance in visible region (\( \sim 500 \) to 1000 nm) of spectra mainly associated to the absorption enhancement in active Si layer which in turn leads to large electron-hole pair generation and so the higher efficiency of the solar devices. Besides that, incorporation of ZnO NRs on SiTex also supports to form the graded reflective index. It is worth to note that \( R \) of any material is strongly dependent on its refractive index \( n \) by following relation [46]:

\[
n = \left( \frac{1 + R}{1 - R} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{4R}{(1-R)^2} - k^2}
\]

where, \( k = \alpha \lambda /4\pi \) is extinction coefficient.

Therefore, the refractive index of ZnO NRs \( n(\lambda=300–800 \text{ nm}) \sim 2.2–1.8 \) here is laying between air \( n = 1 \) and c-Si \( n \sim 3.4 \), and thus leads to engineer a graded reflective index for suppressing the Fresnel reflection over a broad range of spectrum [25].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we report the efficacy of hydrothermally grown ZnO NRs on chemically textured Si facets towards the application for superhydrophobic and antireflective coating. Unlike hydrophilic SiFlat surface, bare-Si micropyramids initially exhibit hydrophobicity with an apparent CA of \( \sim 102^\circ \), however the decoration of vertically aligned ZnO NRs on such faceted surfaces leads to a significant increment in CA upto \( \sim 157^\circ \). This increase in CA was found to be correlated with the reduction of solid fractional surface area due to formation of dual-scale hierarchical structures, and discussed in the framework of Cassie-Baxter model. Moreover, such ZnO NRs on SiTex were also found to suppress the visible-range reflectance below \( \sim 5\% \), and explained in the light of size and aspect ratio of Si pyramids, in conjunction with the formation of graded refractive indices at the ZnO NRs/SiTex interfaces. Such superhydrophobic hierarchical structures along with reduced visible range reflectance will be a benchmark for Si-based photovoltaic applications.
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