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Abstract

The aim of this study is to determine the effect of conformity on adolescent impulsive buying behavior on fashion products in the marketplace moderated by self-control. The research method used in this research is quantitative. The data collection used in this research is by sharing the questionnaire in the form of Google Form starts from July to September. The researchers use non-probability sampling method with voluntary response sampling. Respondents in this study are 150 teenagers. The data analysis technique used in this research is multiple linear regression analysis. Based on the results of data analysis carried out, it is found that there is a significant relationship between conformity to adolescent impulsive buying behavior. Furthermore, the self-control variable as a moderating variable also has a significant effect on the independent and dependent variables in this study. The results of the study found that the conformity variable (X) simultaneously affected the impulsive buying variable (Y) by 73.1%, while 26.9% is influenced by other variables outside the regression equation or variables that are not examined. Other than that, the self-control as the moderation variable can moderate the effect between conformity and impulse buying in adolescents. For further research, there needs other variables that can be conducted to know what affects impulse buying with conducting to other types of society other than an adolescent.
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Abstract

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menguji pengaruh konformitas terhadap perilaku pembelian impulsif remaja pada produk fashion di marketplace yang dimoderatori oleh pengendalian diri. Metode yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah kuantitatif. Pengumpulan data yang digunakan adalah dengan menyebarkan kuesioner dalam bentuk google form pada bulan Juli sampai September. Peneliti menggunakan metode non-probability sampling dengan voluntary response sampling. Responden dalam penelitian ini adalah 150 remaja. Teknik analisis data yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah analisis regresi linier berganda. Berdasarkan hasil analisis data yang dilakukan, ditemukan adanya hubungan yang signifikan antara konformitas dengan perilaku pembelian impulsif remaja. Selanjutnya variabel pengendalian diri sebagai variabel moderasi juga berpengaruh signifikan terhadap variabel bebas dan terikat dalam penelitian ini. Hasil penelitian menemukan bahwa variabel konformitas (X) secara simultan berpengaruh terhadap variabel pembelian impulsif (Y) sebesar 73,1%, sedangkan 26,9% dipengaruhi oleh variabel lain di luar persamaan regresi atau variabel yang tidak diteliti. Selain itu, kontrol diri sebagai variabel moderasi dapat memoderasi pengaruh antara konformitas dan pembelian impulsif pada remaja. Untuk penelitian lebih lanjut, perlu adanya variabel lain yang dapat dilakukan untuk mengetahui apa yang mempengaruhi pembelian impulsif dengan melakukan untuk jenis masyarakat lain selain remaja.

Kata Kunci: konformitas, pembelian impulsif, produk fesyen, pasar, pengendalian diri.
Introduction

Globalization is a mass integration of humans from various aspects, both in the form of products, thoughts, and culture. This is marked by the increasingly sophisticated transportation and telecommunications, as well as the emergence of the internet which makes humans experience economic and cultural dependence on each other (Idayanti et al., 2019). Advances in sophisticated smartphone technology with various applications, such as social applications, buying and selling online, and the education sector are also used as modern industrialization (Liu et al., 2017). The internet as a form of communication media has experienced rapid development currently (Elvinawanty et al., 2020). Based on the Geographic Regions in 2018, the most internet users in the world are in Asia, which is 2,062,197,366 users. From several countries in Asia that use the internet, Indonesia ranks third out of the number of users in Asia which is 132.7 million users (Arifianti, Ria & Gunawan, 2020).

According to the facts and data regarding the rapid development of digital media having an impact on changes in the media landscape, it encourages changes in views, concepts, and orientations in other fields including business and marketing fields (Sarrascalao, 2019). In a journal on internet marketing written by Ayuni et al., (2019), it is stated that, in the marketing field, a new view and concept of modern market/consumer-oriented marketing emerges in the form of an electronic marketplace. In the past, there was a traditional face-to-face business interaction model, but currently, the interaction model has developed towards a modern electronic-based interaction or e-commerce (Irma et al., 2016). One form of business and marketing activity that applies the concepts of the electronic marketplace, digital marketing, and e-commerce currently rampant is an online shop business or online shopping site (Mardhiah & Lutfi, 2019). The fact is that not all consumers act rationally and logically when making purchases online; this phenomenon is called impulse buying (Ittaqullah et al., 2020).

Impulse buying generally occurs without any prior preparation or planning spontaneously (Bellini et al., 2017). According to Thürmer et al. (2020), impulse buying is generally a buying process that is not based on a purchase plan and usually occurs when the impulse or stimulus for wanting to own something is seen at that time. After making a purchase, consumers usually experience an emotional or cognitive reaction. According to Aldhmour and Sarayrah, (2016), a person's buying behavior will be influenced by various groups consisting of all groups that have a direct or indirect influence on a person's attitude or behavior. A group usually has an opinion leader that influences its members to make a purchase.

This happens mostly for teenagers or adolescents that sometimes are easy to use their money to buy something for their lifestyle. Li et al., (2018) mention that the characteristics of adolescent consumers, especially young women, are easy to ask friends for opinions and easily persuaded by their friends. Hjerm et al., (2020) stated that, in the social development of adolescents, an individual begins to separate themselves from their parents and towards their peers. Individuals want to be appreciated and want to show their existence causing teenagers to make impulse purchases where teenagers buy goods that are not in accordance with their needs but only to satisfy their desires.

The results of previous research conducted by Solikhah and Dhania, (2017) show that there is a positive relationship between conformity to peer groups and impulse buying. In line with this, Indah Haryani & Jhon Herwanto (2014) state that there is a positive relationship between conformity to impulse buying. In addition, research from Solikhah and Dhania, (2017) shows that there is a significant positive effect between peer conformity and impulsive buying. Furthermore, research conducted by Rozaini & Ginting (2019) states that self-control has a negative and significant relationship with impulse buying. Research conducted by Fauziyah & Fatmawati (2018) shows that situational factors (shopping with family or friends) influence impulse buying decisions.

From the previous researches conducted before, there has not been any research that discusses the role of self-control as the moderation variable between conformity and impulse buying. It is important to know the role the self-control moderation variable whether it can avoid or not the conformity toward impulse buying, especially adolescent because it can be the parameter used to prevent excessive impulse buying. Based on the explanation above and the results of previous studies, the researchers are interested
in examining the relationship between conformity and impulsive buying behavior of adolescents on fashion products in the marketplace with self-control as a moderating variable.

**Method**

The research method used in this study is a quantitative method. The quantitative research method is designed to test existing hypotheses (Apuke, 2017). Quantitative methods are expressed as numbers obtained from measurements using a variable scale in research. This quantitative research is used to understand and find the research result of the relationship between conformity and impulsive buying behavior of adolescents on fashion products in the marketplace with self-control as a moderating variable.

The data collection used in this research is by sharing the questionnaire in the form of google form starts from July to September. The researchers use a non-probability sampling method with voluntary response sampling. The voluntary response sample is mainly based on ease of access. Instead of the researcher choosing participants and directly contacting them, people volunteer themselves by responding to a public online survey. Therefore, the respondents in this study are 150 teenagers in Indonesia aged 13 to 17 who volunteered themselves to be the participants of this research.

The data analysis used a structural approach to the Structural Equation Model (SEM) assisted by the smart PLS application. The stages of data analysis in this study are defined as follows.

1. The measurement model stage. This stage is carried out to test the validity and reliability of each indicator. The validity test in this study uses convergent validity by correlating the item score (component score) with the construct score; then, it produces the loading factor value. The instrument is declared valid if it has a loading factor value of > 0.6. After conducting the validity test, a reliability test is carried out to determine the reliability of the instrument. Measurement of the level of reliability in this study uses alpha coefficient or Cronbach alpha and composite reliability; the item is declared reliable if it has a coefficient value of > 0.6.

2. Structural Model Test Stage. This stage is a hypothesis testing stage that aims to determine whether there is an influence between variables or a correlation between constructs measured using SmartPLS. Structural or inner model is measured by looking at the r-square which shows how much influence between variables in the model, then proceed with the estimation of the path coefficient obtained by the bootstrapping procedure with a value that is considered significant if the t-statistics is greater than 1.96, with a score of p-value is <0.05, while to see the direction of the effect, the Beta value coefficient is used.

The definition of the key terms and indicators of each variable is described in the following table.

**Table 1**

| No | Variable | Definition | Indicator |
|----|----------|------------|----------|
| 1  | Impulse Buying (Averil, 1973) | Impulse buying is defined as an unplanned, sudden, and spontaneous impulse to buy, which is not careful in evaluating the product and the consequences of subsequent purchases after (Aragoncillo and Orús, 2018). Impulse buying if defined in general is the purchase of any product immediately (Yudiatantri & Nora, 2019). Meanwhile, Chan et al. (2017) state that impulse | 1. Not thinking and considering the use of goods 2. Not planning before buying goods 3. Not comparing the desired product with other products 4. There is a feeling of pleasure and satisfaction only for a moment when you see or see after making a purchase 5. There is a strong inner urge to shop immediately |
buying is a buying decision made by consumers on the spot after seeing the goods.

2 Conformity (Reisch et al., 2021)

Conformity is a type of social influence in which individuals change their attitudes and behavior to conform existing social norms (Sartika & Yandri, 2019). Meanwhile, according to Golman et al., (2016), conformity is a change in behavior or beliefs as a result of real group pressure or only based on imagination to be in harmony with others.

1. Feelings of wanting to be close to group members because they want to get recognition from the group
2. Recognition of the group because they want to get close to the group
3. Adjustment with group members
4. Attention shown to the group
5. Trust in group opinion
6. Equality of opinion among group members because there is dependence on the group
7. Individual compliance to take action because of threats or rewards from the group
8. The willingness of students to take action in accordance with group expectations because of threats or rewards from the group

3 Self-Control (Averil, 1973)

Self-control is a psychological variable that includes the ability to modify behavior, the individual's ability to manage unwanted information, and the individual's ability to choose an action based on what is believed. Valikhani et al., (2019) divide the types of self-control into three, namely behavioral control, cognitive control, and decision control.

1. Ability to control the situation
2. Ability to regulate stimulus
3. Ability to consider circumstances
4. Ability to assess the situation
5. Ability to assess the situation
6. Ability to choose actions

Before conducting a research, the hypothesis should be constructed. The hypothesis that exists in this research is stated in the following.

H1: There is an effect of conformity on impulsive buying behavior in adolescents.

H2: There is an effect of conformity on impulsive buying behavior in adolescents moderated by self-control.

Results and Discussion

Validity Test

Validity test serves to measure the validity of the questionnaire. In this study, if the value of r-count > r-table, the questionnaire item is declared valid. Meanwhile, if the value of r count < r table, the question item is declared invalid.
Table 2
Validity Test Result

| Indicator              | r-count | r-table | Result |
|------------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Conformity _1          | 0.658   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Conformity _2          | 0.517   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Conformity _3          | 0.647   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Conformity _4          | 0.636   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Conformity _5          | 0.597   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Conformity _6          | 0.417   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Conformity _7          | 0.688   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Conformity _8          | 0.549   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Behavior_Consumer 1   | 0.411   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Behavior_Consumer 2   | 0.527   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Behavior_Consumer 3   | 0.604   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Behavior_Consumer 4   | 0.534   | 0.1966  | Valid  |
| Behavior_Consumer 5   | 0.626   | 0.1966  | Valid  |

Reliability Test
In this study, researchers use two types of reliability tests, namely the Cronbach Alpha test and the Composite Reliability test. Cronbach Alpha measures the lowest value (lower-bound) reliability; the data is declared good if the data has a Cronbach alpha value of > 0.6. Meanwhile, composite reliability measures the actual reliability value of a variable. The data is declared to have high reliability if it has a composite reliability score of >0.7

Table 3
Reliability Test Result

| Variable                | Cronbach Alpha | Result |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Conformity (X)          | 0.736          | Reliable |
| Consumer Behavior (Y)  | 0.601          | Reliable |
| Self-Control (Z)        | 0.816          | Reliable |

Based on the calculations carried out, it is found that all items of the instrument are reliable with all variables having a Cronbach Alpha score of > 0.6 and Composite Reliability of > 0.7.

Classic Assumption Test

Normality Test
In this study, if Sig. (Significance) or probability value of > 0.05, the data is normally distributed. Meanwhile, if Sig. (Significance) or probability value of < 0.05, the data is not normally distributed.

Table 4
Normality Test Result for 1 X-Y Model

| One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test | Unstandardized Residual |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| N                                  | 100                     |
| Normal Parameters$^a,b$             |                         |
| Mean                               | 0E-7                    |
| Std. Deviation                     | .30328560               |
| Absolute                           | .061                    |
Most Extreme Differences

|                | Positive | Negative |
|----------------|----------|----------|
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z |          | .612     |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |          | .848     |

a. Test distribution is Normal.
b. Calculated from data.

In the calculation results above, it is known that the data is normally distributed.

Table 5
Normality Test Result for 2 X*Z-Y Model

| One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test | Unstandardized Residual |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| N                                 | 100                     |
| Normal Parameters a, b             |                         |
| Mean                              | 0E-7                    |
| Std. Deviation                    | .29647541               |
| Most Extreme Differences          |                         |
| Absolute                          | .065                    |
| Positive                          | .055                    |
| Negative                          | -.065                   |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z              | .652                    |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)             | .788                    |

a. Test distribution is Normal.
b. Calculated from data.

In the calculation results above, it is known that the data is normally distributed.

Heteroscedasticity Test
In this study, if the t-arithmetic value is > t-table and the significance value <0.05, heteroscedasticity occurs. Meanwhile, if the t-arithmetic value is < t-table and the significance value is > 0.05, there is no heteroscedasticity.

Table 6
Heteroscedasticity Test Result

| Model       | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t  | Sig. |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----|------|
|             | B              | Std. Error | Beta |     |     |
| (Constant)  | .217           | .163       | .163 | 1.328 | .187 |
| Conformity  | .022           | .051       | .065 | .441  | .661 |
| Self-Control| -.019          | .059       | -.047| -.323 | .747 |

a. Dependent Variable: abs_RES

Multicollinearity Test
In this study, if the tolerance value is > 0.10 and the VIF value is < 0.10, it means that there is multicollinearity. Meanwhile, if the tolerance value is < 0.10 and the VIF value is > 0.10, it means that there is no multicollinearity.
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Based on the research above, it can be seen that there is multicollinearity.

Hypothesis Testing

X-Y test

In this study, if the significance is > 0.05, it means that the independent variable (X) has no effect on the dependent variable (Y). Meanwhile, if the significance is <0.05, it means that the independent variable (X) affects the dependent variable (Y).

Table 8

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|-------|---|----------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 1     | .848a | .719 | .716 | .16393 |

Table 7

| Coefficientsa |
|----------------|
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----|-------------------------|
|       | B | Std. Error | Beta |       | Tolera nce | VIF |
| 1     | (Constant) | 3.258 | .259 | 12.58 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Conformity | -.026 | .081 | -.045 | -.321 | .749 | .478 | 2.094 |
| Self-Control | .200 | .094 | .300 | 2.123 | .036 | .478 | 2.094 |

a. Dependent Variable: Buying_Behavior

From the results of the research above, it is known that the significance is 0.000. Therefore, it can be said that the independent variable (X) affects the dependent variable (Y).
**X*Z-Y Regression Test**

In this study, if the significance is > 0.05, it means that the independent variable (X) has no effect on the dependent variable (Y). Meanwhile, if the significance is <0.05, it means that the independent variable (X) affects the dependent variable (Y).

### Table 8
**X*Z-Y Regression Test**

| Model | R     | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|-------|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 1     | .855<sup>a</sup> | .731     | .726              | .16128                    |

a. Predictors: (Constant), INTERACTION, Conformity

| Coefficients<sup>a</sup> |
|---------------------------|
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t     | Sig.  |
|      | B       | Std. Error | Beta |       |       |
| 1    | (Constant) | 1.169   | .180 | 6.491 | .000  |
| Conformity | .631   | .062   | .743 | 10.110 | .000  |
| Conformity*Self-Control | .018 | .009 | .151 | 2.062 | .042  |

a. Dependent Variable: Selling_Behavior

From the results of the research above, it is known that the significance is 0.042. Therefore, it can be said that the moderating variable (Z) has an effect on the independent variable (X) to the dependent variable (Y).

**Discussion**

According to the test results that have been conducted, it is found that there is an effect between conformity on impulsive buying behavior in adolescents. It can be considered that this result accepts H1. It supports the research conducted by Bandyopadhyay, (2016) where there is a positive relationship between conformity to impulse buying. In addition, research from Cengiz, (2017) shows that there is a significant positive effect between peer conformity and impulse buying. The higher the conformity of peers, the higher the impulsive purchases made. Impulse buying behavior is becoming a trend among teenagers who are increasingly diverse to appear attractive and acceptable to their friends. The more positive the conformity to the peer group, the higher the impulsive buying in adolescents, conversely the more negative the conformity to the peer group, the lower the impulsive buying in adolescents.

Kornienko et al., (2016) state that there are four aspects of peer conformity. The first aspect is trust in the group, namely the individual's belief that all information provided by the group is true. The second aspect is weak trust in personal thoughts, namely individuals do not trust their abilities, resulting in individuals depending on groups. The third aspect is the fear of being a deviant, namely the individual's desire to be accepted and liked by the environment so that they do not look different from their social group. The fourth aspect is the fear of social reproach, namely the feeling of fear that an individual has when they are different from their group.

Self-control is one of the factors that can influence consumptive behavior. Togawa et al., (2020) state that good self-control individuals will refrain from making purchases that are spontaneous, only involve elements of excitement, and think less about the consequences after the purchase. Individuals who are...
not able to control themselves in making purchases can become impulsive buyers. The results of this study reject the results of research conducted by Iyer et al., (2020) which states that self-control has a negative and significant relationship with impulse buying.

Other than that, the research result shows that the self-control can moderate the effect between conformity and impulse buying. It can be concluded that this result accepts H2. It indicates that with self-control, the intention of adolescents to do impulse buying when there is conformity can be decreased. Averill (in Braak et al., 2018) states that there are five aspects of self-control. The first aspect is behavioral control, namely the ability of individuals to control themselves and their behavior in certain situations so that they do not cause harmful behavior to themselves or others. The second aspect is cognitive control, namely the individual's ability to assess, interpret, and relate information to minimize the occurrence of deviant behavior or pressure. The third aspect is information control, namely the ability of individuals to obtain information or knowledge about unexpected events, the basis of events taking place, estimates of events occurring, and the consequences of these events that will be received by individuals. The fourth aspect is the control of past experiences (beliefs), namely the ability of individuals to process unexpected information by interpreting, assigning values, or integrating events with cognitive framework designs to reduce strong urges or pressure. The fifth aspect is decision control, namely the ability of individuals to choose an action based on what is believed or agreed upon.

Conclusion

According to the results of the research and discussion described, it can be concluded that there is a significant relationship between conformity to adolescent impulse buying on fashion products in the marketplace. Furthermore, the moderating variable in this study, the self-control variable, also has an effect on conformity to impulsive buying in adolescents. The results of the study found that the conformity variable (X) simultaneously affected the impulsive buying variable (Y) by 73.1% while 26.9% is influenced by other variables outside the regression equation or variables that are not examined in this research.

The limitation of this study is that the research is only conducted on adolescents and has only two variables with one moderation. For further research, there need other variables that can be conducted on know what affects impulse buying. In addition, the research conducted to other types of society other than adolescents is needed.
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