Comparison of the pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of daptomycin in healthy adult volunteers following intravenous administration by 30 min infusion or 2 min injection
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Objectives: Two randomized Phase I studies in separate populations of healthy adult volunteers investigated the pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of daptomycin (Cubicin®; Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) administered as a 2 min intravenous (iv) injection, relative to the currently licensed 30 min iv infusion.

Methods: Study 1 was an open-label, single-dose, two-period, crossover study in which each subject received 6 mg/kg daptomycin administered as a 30 min iv infusion (n=15) and as a 2 min iv injection (n=16). In Study 2, a single-blind, multiple-dose, parallel-group study, subjects received a once-daily 2 min iv injection of 6 mg/kg daptomycin (n=12), 4 mg/kg daptomycin (n=8) or placebo (n=4) for 7 days. Single-dose pharmacokinetics were assessed at various timepoints up to 36 and 24 h post-dose in Study 1 and Study 2, respectively, and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics were assessed in Study 2 at day 7 for 48 h post-dose.

Results: In Study 1, pharmacokinetic comparability between the two administration regimens was demonstrated by meeting the bioequivalence criteria for the exposure parameters, AUC 0–t , AUC 0–1 and Cmax. In Study 2, time-invariant pharmacokinetic properties as well as dose-proportional pharmacokinetics were demonstrated for the daptomycin 2 min iv injection regimen. In both studies, daptomycin was well tolerated and the majority of treatment-emergent adverse events were of mild intensity and considered to be unrelated to daptomycin.

Conclusions: The similar pharmacokinetic and safety profiles of the two administration regimens suggest that the 2 min iv injection may be a convenient treatment option for both patients and healthcare professionals.
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Introduction

Daptomycin (Cubicin®; Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) is an antibacterial agent indicated for the treatment of complicated skin and soft tissue infections (cSSTIs) caused by susceptible strains of Gram-positive microorganisms. Additionally, daptomycin is approved for the treatment of Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infections (bacteraemia), including those with right-sided infective endocarditis (RIE) in the USA, and in the European Union for RIE due to S. aureus and S. aureus bacteraemia when associated with RIE or with cSSTI.1,2

Daptomycin has rapid, concentration-dependent bactericidal activity against clinically relevant Gram-positive bacteria including methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA). The potency of daptomycin against S. aureus has remained consistent throughout 7 years of surveillance studies (2001–2007) in both North America and Europe.3–9

The currently approved method of administration for daptomycin is as a once-daily 30 min intravenous (iv) infusion, and the efficacy, safety and pharmacokinetics of this dosing regimen have been established in healthy subjects10,11 as well as in patients with Gram-positive infections.12 In Phase III clinical
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Pharmacokinetic analysis

Sample collection. Whole blood samples were collected into lithium heparin tubes. In Study 1, samples were collected before dosing, immediately after dosing and at 15 min, 30 min and 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24 and 36 h from the end of the dosing period. For subjects receiving the 30 min iv infusion, an additional sample was collected 15 min after the start of infusion.

In Study 2, blood samples were collected before dosing, immediately after dosing, at 15 and 30 min after the start of the 2 min iv injection and at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12 and 24 h after the start of the injection on days 1 and 7. Additional samples were obtained at 36 and 48 h after the 2 min iv injection on day 7, and a trough sample was obtained before dosing on days 4 and 6. Urine samples were pooled from collections obtained pre-dosing and at the following intervals from the start of administration of the 2 min iv injection: 0–12 and 12–24 h on day 1; and 0–12, 12–24 and 24–48 h on day 7.

Plasma and urine daptomycin concentrations. Total plasma and urine daptomycin concentrations were analysed according to a validated HPLC detection method. The lower limit of quantification was 3 mg/L and the upper limit was 500 mg/L. The precision and sensitivity of these assays were within previously determined ranges.

Non-compartmental analysis. Relevant pharmacokinetic parameters (e.g. AUC, CL, Cmax, Tmax, t1/2 and V) for daptomycin were calculated using non-compartmental methods implemented in SAS90 software (version 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The trapezoidal rule was used to calculate AUC from time zero to the last measurable concentration (AUC0–t). AUC0–∞ was estimated using the trapezoidal rule, with the terminal elimination rate constant (beta) being estimated using log-linear regression of the terminal segment of the concentration–time curve.

In Study 1, statistical analysis was carried out on log-transformed, dose-normalized pharmacokinetic parameters, using an ANOVA model suited to a two-period, two-treatment, two-sequence crossover design. Least square means were estimated and back transformed to provide point estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the ratio of the 2 min iv injection to the 30 min iv infusion for each parameter. Period and sequence effects were evaluated at the two-sided 5% level.

In Study 2, analysis of accumulation ratio, dose proportionality, assessment of steady state and comparison of day 1 and day 7 pharmacokinetic parameters were carried out.

Compartmental analysis. Pharmacokinetic modelling used to describe the serum daptomycin concentrations was based on a two-compartment, linear disposition model. The population pharmacokinetic model was fitted using the first-order conditional estimation method with interaction, as implemented in NONMEM software (version V level 1.1).19

The parameters fitted were CL, central compartment volume of distribution (Vc), peripheral compartment volume of distribution (Vp), distribution clearance (CLd) and duration of infusion (D). Individual pharmacokinetic parameters (e.g. CL) were assumed to be log-normally distributed (the subscript i denotes the ith individual). The parameter was modelled as follows:

$$CL = \theta_{CL} \cdot \exp(\eta_{CL}^i)$$

where $\theta_{CL}$ is the population mean clearance and $\eta_{CL}^i$ are random effects reflecting the deviation of the logarithm of individual CL from the logarithm of the population mean value. $\eta_{CL}^i$ was assumed to be normally distributed with mean zero and variance $\omega_{CL}$. Other pharmacokinetic parameters were similarly modelled. Inter-individual effects were assumed to be independent of each other.

Materials and methods

Study designs

Study 1 was a randomized, open-label, single-dose, two-period, crossover study. Each subject received 6 mg/kg daptomycin administered as a 30 min iv infusion and as a 2 min iv injection. The order of administration was randomized and each dose was separated by a washout period of 5 days.

Study 2 was a randomized, single-blind, multiple-dose, parallel-group, placebo-controlled study. Subjects were randomized in a 3:2:1 ratio to receive 6 mg/kg daptomycin, 4 mg/kg daptomycin or placebo administered as a 2 min iv injection once daily for 7 days.

For both studies, the 2 min iv injection was administered by peripheral iv administration using a Medex Medfusion 3010a (Medex Inc., Duluth, GA, USA) or equivalent syringe driver. The 30 min iv infusion was administered using a Graseby 500 Modular Infusion Pump (Smiths Medical International Ltd, Watford, UK).

Both studies were approved in the UK by the South Wales Research Ethics Committee and all study participants provided written informed consent. Studies were performed at Simbec Research Ltd, Merthyr Tydfil, South Wales, UK, and were conducted in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, good clinical practice and local regulatory requirements.

Study population

Healthy men and women, between 18 and 45 years of age, were eligible to participate in the study, provided they had an estimated $CL_{cr}$ rate $>70$ mL/min for Study 1 or $>80$ mL/min for Study 2 (based on the Cockcroft–Gault equation). The permitted body mass index was 20–30 kg/m² (inclusive), with a permissible body weight (based on the Cockcroft–Gault equation). The permitted body mass is reached by day 3, independent of dose. Daptomycin has a low volume of distribution ($V$; averaging $<0.1$ L/kg) and is 90–93% protein bound, independent of the daptomycin concentration. Renal excretion is the major elimination pathway; urinary recovery over a 24 h period ranges from 14% to 68% of the administered dose in healthy subjects.

Administration of antibiotics by rapid injection is attractive because of advantages over infusion such as cost-effectiveness, greater flexibility in the management of fluid balance and suitability for administration as outpatient parenteral antibiotic therapy. This paper presents the results from two clinical studies that evaluated the pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of daptomycin administered as a 2 min iv injection, compared with a 30 min iv infusion in healthy adult volunteers.
Safety analysis

Treatment-emergent AEs included all AEs occurring after the first dose of study medication. AEs were categorized by the investigator as not related, unlikely to be related, possibly related or probably related to the study drug. The severity of each AE was also determined by the investigator as mild, moderate or severe. The final post-study follow-up took place between 1 and 11 days after the last dose of study medication for Study 1 and between 9 and 11 days after last dosing for Study 2.

Results

Study populations

Sixteen subjects (eight males) were enrolled in the single-dose (6 mg/kg) crossover study (Study 1). The mean age (±SD) was 26.3±7.2 years, with a mean weight (±SD) of 71.98±10.90 kg. Fifteen subjects were Caucasian and one was of mixed race. Fifteen subjects received both the 2 min iv injection and the 30 min iv infusion and did not experience any significant AEs. One subject received only the 2 min iv injection and was excluded from the safety analyses for the infusion and from all pharmacokinetic analyses (details in the safety analysis).

For Study 2, 24 subjects (21 males) were randomized to receive 6 mg/kg daptomycin (n=12), 4 mg/kg daptomycin (n=8) or placebo (n=4) administered as a 2 min iv injection once daily for 7 days. All subjects were Caucasian. The mean age and weight (±SD) of subjects were: 29.3±8.6 years and 74.79±13.92 kg, respectively, for the 6 mg/kg daptomycin group; 27.8±6.3 years and 81.99±1.14 kg, respectively, for the 4 mg/kg daptomycin group; and 29.8±7.3 years and 78.13±6.60 kg, respectively, for the placebo group. The pharmacokinetic population consisted of the 20 subjects receiving daptomycin, and all subjects were included in the safety analyses.

Pharmacokinetic analysis

Comparison of the daptomycin 2 min iv injection and 30 min iv infusion (Study 1). The mean plasma concentration–time profiles following a single 6 mg/kg dose of daptomycin were similar, whether daptomycin was administered as a 2 min iv injection or as a 30 min iv infusion (Figure 1a).

Pharmacokinetic parameters following administration of daptomycin as a 2 min iv injection or a 30 min iv infusion are summarized in Table 1. There were no significant differences in AUC or Cmax between the 2 min iv injection and the 30 min iv infusion of daptomycin, and all 95% CIs fell within the commonly used 80%–125% equivalence range. All other pharmacokinetic parameters were similar for the two regimens, including small values for mean V.

Daptomycin pharmacokinetics following single and multiple doses of the 2 min iv injection (Study 2). The mean plasma concentration–time profiles following single and multiple doses of the daptomycin 2 min iv injection are shown in Figure 1(b and c); individual pharmacokinetic parameter estimates are summarized in Table 2. Peak plasma concentrations were observed at the first or second sampling time (2 or 15 min after the start of the 2 min iv injection). Mean plasma concentration–time profiles for the 6 mg/kg daptomycin and 4 mg/kg daptomycin treatment groups were clearly separable. For each dose of daptomycin, all pharmacokinetic parameters were similar on day 1 and on day 7, with the exception of a small increase in Tmax on day 7 compared with day 1. Steady-state concentrations of

Figure 1. Mean daptomycin concentration–time plots for daptomycin administered as a 2 min iv injection or as a 30 min iv infusion (Study 1; a), and following single and multiple doses of the daptomycin 2 min iv injection (Study 2; b and c). Data shown are means±SD.
daptomycin were achieved by the third daily dose for both treatment groups (data not shown).

Single-dose pharmacokinetics (measured on day 1) and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics (measured on day 7) showed dose proportionality between the 6 mg/kg daptomycin and 4 mg/kg daptomycin doses. All 95% CIs, for the ratio of means of the pharmacokinetic parameters, fell within the 80%–125% equivalence range with the exception of dose-normalized \( \text{Ae}_{0-\text{tau}} \) on days 1 and 7 and dose-normalized \( \text{C}_{\text{max}} \) on day 1 (Table 3). For both treatment groups, CL was unchanged between day 1 and day 7. A small accumulation of daptomycin with repeated doses was indicated by the ratio, for day 1 versus day 7, of \( \frac{\text{AUC}_{0-\text{tau}}}{\text{AUC}_{0-\text{tau}}} \) (Table S1, available as Supplementary data at JAC Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/)).

### Table 1. Comparison of pharmacokinetic parameters in healthy adult volunteers following a single 6 mg/kg dose of daptomycin administered as a 2 min iv injection relative to a 30 min iv infusion (Study 1)

| Parameter | 2 min iv injection | 30 min iv infusion |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| \( n = 15 \) | \( n = 15 \) | \( n = 15 \) | \( n = 15 \) |
| \( \text{CL} \) (L/h/kg) | 0.0089 (0.0012) | 0.0083 (0.0008) | NA | NA |
| \( \text{t}_{1/2} \) (h) | 8.7 (1.0) | 8.7 (0.9) | NA | NA |
| \( V \) (L/kg) | 0.113 (0.014) | 0.105 (0.012) | NA | NA |
| \( \text{C}_{\text{max}} \) (mg/L) | 94.7 (22.6) | 88.4 (12.4) | 0.9588–1.1719 |
| \( \text{AUC}_{0-\text{t}} \) (mg.h/L) | 626.5 (103.4) | 669.6 (69.1) | 0.8709–1.0055 |
| \( \text{AUC}_{0-\text{tau}} \) (mg.h/L) | 691.4 (96.0) | 725.2 (63.3) | 0.9007–1.0117 |

AUC\(_{0-\text{tau}}\), area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to the last measurable concentration; NA, not applicable; \( V \), volume of distribution based on the terminal phase.

The table shows the arithmetic means of non-transformed values, except for \( \text{t}_{1/2} \) where the harmonic mean is shown.

CIs are for the ratio of the geometric means derived from the ANOVA of log-transformed values.

Parameters were not dose-normalized.

### Table 2. Pharmacokinetic parameters following a single dose or multiple doses of the daptomycin 2 min iv injection in healthy adult volunteers (Study 2)

| Parameter | Day 1 | Day 7 |
|-----------|-------|-------|
| daptomycin | daptomycin | daptomycin | daptomycin |
| \( n = 12 \) | \( n = 8 \) | \( n = 12 \) | \( n = 8 \) |
| \( \text{AUC}_{0-\infty} \) (mg.h/L) | 690.37 (68.83) | 468.40 (98.90) | NA | NA |
| \( \text{AUC}_{0-\text{tau}} \) (mg.h/L) | NA | NA | 701.08 (81.96) | 475.24 (70.97) |
| \( \text{C}_{\text{max}} \) (mg/L) | 97.49 (17.30) | 56.80 (8.32) | 91.83 (17.88) | 62.83 (11.31) |
| \( \text{T}_{\text{max}} \) (h)\(^{a} \) | 0.03 (0.03–0.25) | 0.14 (0.03–0.25) | 0.25 (0.03–0.25) | 0.25 (0.03–0.25) |
| \( \text{CL} \) (L/h/kg) | 8.43 (0.63) | 9.08 (0.94) | 8.65 (0.63) | 8.93 (1.05) |
| \( V \) (L/kg) | 0.106 (0.011) | 0.116 (0.031) | 0.107 (0.011) | 0.109 (0.011) |
| \%Fe\(_{0-\text{tau}}\) | 56.74 (12.25) | 54.31 (12.30) | 65.06 (9.81) | 67.52 (12.66) |

\( \text{AUC}_{0-\text{tau}} \), area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to the last measurable concentration; \%Fe\(_{0-\text{tau}}\), percentage of daptomycin excreted unchanged in the urine over 24 h; NA, not applicable.

Means are arithmetic means of non-transformed values.

\(^{a}\)Median (range).

### Compartmental pharmacokinetics of daptomycin.

Pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated precisely and diagnostically from the daptomycin pharmacokinetic model showed a good fit of the final model to the observed daptomycin plasma concentrations [Figure S1, available as Supplementary data at JAC Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/)]; population parameter estimates are presented in Table S2 [available as Supplementary data at JAC Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/)]. Additional analyses were conducted to compare \( \text{C}_{\text{max}} \) predicted by the above model for the 2 min iv injection with that of the 30 min iv infusion of 6 mg/kg daptomycin. The predicted \( \text{C}_{\text{max}} \) after administration of a single 6 mg/kg daptomycin dose as 2 min iv injection and 30 min iv infusion were 86.6 mg/L and 76.4 mg/L, respectively.
In order to determine whether, in patients with varying degrees of renal impairment, renal function affected the differences in peak daptomycin exposure between the two regimens, \( C_{max} \) was predicted based on clearance from the current pharmacokinetic model re-parameterized using the previously described relationship between daptomycin CL with estimated CLCR:

\[
0.011 + 0.00514(\text{CLCR} - 91.2)/60
\]

with all other pharmacokinetic parameters unchanged. The predicted \( C_{max} \) values (estimated at 0 h post-dose) for subjects with CL\(_{\text{CR}}\) of 80, 50 and 30 mL/min were 86.57, 86.62 and 86.66 mg/L, respectively, for the 2 min iv injection and 76.58, 77.13 and 77.5 mg/L, respectively, for the 30 min iv infusion. These data suggest a small (<15%) increase in \( C_{max} \) for the 2 min iv injection compared with the 30 min iv infusion, irrespective of the renal function status of the patients.

Safety and tolerability

Safety of the 2 min injection versus 30 min infusion in a single-dose, crossover trial (Study 1). Treatment with daptomycin was well tolerated with no consistent differences between treatment groups in the type of AEs reported. A total of 21 AEs were reported by 9 of 16 subjects. Nineteen AEs were of mild severity and no serious or severe AEs or deaths were reported during the study. Six subjects reported AEs that were considered to be possibly related to the study medication, four subjects following administration of the daptomycin 2 min iv injection and two subjects following administration of the daptomycin 30 min iv infusion (Table 4). Local tolerability reactions were mild tenderness (one subject in the 2 min iv injection group and two subjects in the 30 min iv infusion group) and pain (one subject in the 30 min iv infusion group).

One subject discontinued prematurely due to an AE (anaemia) not related to study medication after completing the 2 min iv injection treatment period and before receiving the 30 min iv infusion. The anaemia was mild and resolved after 3 weeks. No other clinically significant haematological changes were reported for any other subject during the study.

Safety after single and multiple doses of 6 mg/kg daptomycin, 4 mg/kg daptomycin or placebo administered as a 2 min iv injection once daily for 7 days (Study 2). No clinically relevant differences in AEs and local tolerability were observed between daptomycin-treated and placebo-treated subjects. A total of 25 AEs were reported by 14 of 24 subjects enrolled on the multiple-dose study. The majority of AEs were of mild intensity, and there were no serious or other significant AEs. A total of five subjects treated with daptomycin and four subjects treated with placebo reported AEs that were considered to be possibly related to the study medication (Table 5). No AE led to withdrawal from the study. One subject in the 6 mg/kg daptomycin group experienced first-degree atrioventricular block, associated with a congenital wandering pacemaker, and not considered related to daptomycin treatment.

Table 3. Ratio of geometric mean pharmacokinetic parameters for the 6 mg/kg \((n=12)\) and 4 mg/kg \((n=8)\) doses of daptomycin administered as a 2 min iv injection to healthy adult volunteers (Study 2)

| Ratio of 6 mg/kg:4 mg/kg daptomycin (95% CI) | day 1 | day 7 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| AUC\(_{0–\tau}\) (dose-normalized) | 1.02 (0.87–1.2) | 0.99 (0.87–1.12) |
| CL | 1.00 (0.85–1.18) | 1.01 (0.9–1.15) |
| \(C_{max}\) (dose-normalized) | 1.14 (0.97–1.3) | 0.97 (0.82–1.16) |
| Ae\(_{0–\tau}\) (dose-normalized) | 0.95 (0.72–1.25) | 0.88 (0.69–1.11) |

Ae\(_{0–\tau}\), cumulative amount of unchanged daptomycin excreted in urine; AUC\(_{0–\tau}\), area under the plasma concentration–time curve over the dosing period.

Table 4. Adverse events possibly or probably related to study drug following a 6 mg/kg dose of daptomycin administered as a 2 min iv injection or as a 30 min iv infusion (Study 1)

| Adverse event (system organ class) | Subjects with at least one adverse event, \(n\) (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                  | 2 min iv injection | 30 min iv infusion |
|                                  | \(n=16\) | \(n=15\) |
| Any adverse event                 | 4 (25) | 2 (13) |
| Gastrointestinal disorders        | | |
| nausea                           | 1 (6) | 0 |
| General disorders and administration-site conditions | | |
| fatigue                          | 1 (6) | 0 |
| Infections and infestations       | | |
| urinary tract infection           | 1 (6) | 2 (13) |
| Nervous system disorders          | | |
| headache                         | 1 (6) | 0 |

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-abstract/64/1/151/757318 by guest on 27 July 2018
The incidence of local injection-site reactions was low and all reactions were generally mild and transient, resolving within 1 h. Nine subjects experienced erythema: two subjects in the 6 mg/kg daptomycin group; five subjects in the 4 mg/kg daptomycin group; and two subjects in the placebo group.

Discussion

These studies demonstrate that daptomycin exposure (including AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$) is similar, whether it is administered as a 2 min iv injection or the currently approved 30 min iv infusion, and is consistent with the results of previous studies with the 30 min iv infusion in healthy volunteers.\textsuperscript{10,14,17,20} In addition, the percentage of daptomycin excreted unchanged in the urine over 24 h (measured on day 7) was within the 34%–68% range of previously reported studies,\textsuperscript{10,17,20} confirming renal excretion as the major elimination pathway for daptomycin.

For additional rigor, and because it is often difficult to capture true $C_{\text{max}}$ from observed concentration–time data following rapid iv injection, pharmacokinetic modelling was also employed. This predicted a $C_{\text{max}}$ slightly (<15%) higher following the 2 min iv injection of daptomycin compared with the approved 30 min iv infusion regimen, irrespective of modelled differences in renal function. This potential increase in $C_{\text{max}}$ is not expected to change the safety profile of the currently approved doses of daptomycin. The pharmacokinetic, safety and tolerability profiles of daptomycin have been investigated in clinical trials, with single daily daptomycin doses of up to 12 mg/kg, exposing subjects to substantially higher $C_{\text{max}}$ than the levels observed from the 6 mg/kg daptomycin 2 min iv injection. High-dose daptomycin (8, 10 and 12 mg/kg) administered as a 30 min iv infusion,\textsuperscript{10} resulted in $C_{\text{max}}$ values equivalent to 112%, 137% and 174% of that observed with the 6 mg/kg 2 min iv injection in Study 1, respectively. Furthermore, no significant AEs were observed in subjects receiving these high doses once daily for up to 14 days.\textsuperscript{10} Indeed, the present studies suggest that daptomycin administered as a 2 min iv injection has a similar safety profile to that of the 30 min iv infusion, with no new or unexpected safety concerns. The majority of treatment-emergent AEs were mild and not considered to be related to daptomycin treatment, and local tolerability reactions were similar between daptomycin-treated and placebo-treated subjects.

As a concentration-dependent bactericidal antibiotic, the efficacy of daptomycin is pharmacodynamically best correlated with the AUC/MIC or $C_{\text{max}}$/MIC ratio.\textsuperscript{21} Given that AUCs were similar following the different modes of administration, and that $C_{\text{max}}$ was predicted to be slightly higher with the 2 min iv injection, the efficacy of the 2 min daptomycin iv injection is expected to be similar to that of the standard 30 min iv infusion.

The daptomycin 2 min iv injection has the potential to confer a number of benefits in the management of patients with serious Gram-positive infections. As well as simplifying the dosing schedule for patients receiving multiple medications via catheter, the reduced administration volume gives the physician more scope in managing the patient’s fluid balance, which may be a particular concern for patients in intensive care units.\textsuperscript{22} Additionally, the increased risk of catheter-related bloodstream infections associated with the use of multilumen catheters\textsuperscript{23} suggests that drugs that can be administered relatively rapidly have the potential to decrease the need for such catheters, thereby reducing the risk of infection.

Patients with serious Gram-positive infections are increasingly treated in the outpatient setting.\textsuperscript{15,16} Treating patients with serious infections, such as MRSA, as outpatients, reduces the risk of spreading infections to other hospital patients. Agents

### Table 5. Adverse events possibly or probably related to study drug following multiple doses of daptomycin 2 min iv injection or placebo (Study 2)

| Adverse event (system organ class)                                      | Subjects with at least one adverse event, n (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                                                       | daptomycin 6 mg/kg | daptomycin 4 mg/kg | placebo |
|                                                                       | n = 12            | n = 8             | n = 4  |
| Any adverse event                                                     | 3 (25)            | 2 (25)            | 4 (100) |
| Gastrointestinal disorders                                            | 0                 | 1 (13)            | 1 (25)  |
| flatulence                                                            | 0                 | 1 (13)            | 0       |
| nausea                                                                | 0                 | 0                 | 1 (25)  |
| Infections and infestations                                           | 0                 | 0                 | 1 (25)  |
| upper respiratory tract infection                                      | 0                 | 0                 | 1 (25)  |
| Musculoskeletal, connective tissue and bone disorders                 | 0                 | 1 (13)            | 0       |
| pain in extremity                                                     | 0                 | 1 (13)            | 0       |
| Nervous system disorders                                              | 3 (25)            | 1 (13)            | 2 (50)  |
| dizziness                                                             | 1 (8)             | 0                 | 0       |
| dizziness postural                                                    | 1 (8)             | 0                 | 0       |
| headache                                                              | 1 (8)             | 0                 | 1 (25)  |
| lethargy                                                              | 0                 | 1 (13)            | 0       |
| paraesthesia                                                          | 0                 | 0                 | 1 (25)  |
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that can be administered by slow iv injection may be advantageous in this context, because they obviate the need for infusion devices,\(^{18}\) which may incur additional costs. Indeed, short injections have been shown to be one of the most cost-effective methods of administering iv drugs in the outpatient setting,\(^{24}\) and are more convenient for patients in that they minimize the degree of disruption to everyday activities.

These data indicate that daptomycin, administered as a 2 min iv injection once daily over 7 days, has a similar safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetic profile to that of the 30 min iv infusion. The 2 min iv injection may, therefore, offer an alternative method of administration to the currently approved 30 min iv infusion. This could contribute towards more streamlined management of seriously ill patients in hospital and simplify treatment administration for patients and caregivers, thus facilitating the potential use of daptomycin in the outpatient setting. Additional studies in patients are warranted to confirm the promising results observed in healthy volunteers.
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