Transient patulous eustachian tube in severe anorexia nervosa: A prospective observational study
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Abstract

Objectives: To understand the presence of transient autophony symptoms in patients being treated for severe anorexia nervosa (AN), and whether those symptoms were due to patulous eustachian tube (PET).

Methods: A prospective observational study was performed in patients requiring admission for treatment of severe AN. All enrolled patients completed The Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Questionnaire (ETDQ-7) and were screened for symptoms of autophony. If patients reported autophony and had a score of ≥14.5 on the ETDQ-7 they were asked to undergo comprehensive audiological testing and an evaluation with an otolaryngologist.

Results: Of the 73 patients enrolled in the study, 35 patients (44%) reported autophony and 36 (49%) scored 14.5 or higher on the ETDQ-7. Of the 16 (22%) patients who had both autophony and an ETDQ-7 score of 14.5 or higher, 7 patients (representing 11 symptomatic ears) underwent evaluations by audiology and otolaryngology. Every evaluation of a symptomatic ear revealed objective evidence of PET. Nine of 11 (81.8%) symptomatic ears had subjectively resolved within 12 days of admission after nutritional rehabilitation and weight gain.

Conclusion: Transient autophony in severe AN patients is due to PET, and was present in at least 8% of patients within our cohort. Further study is warranted to understand the quality of life impact and pathophysiology of transient PET in this patient population.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Patulous eustachian tube (PET) is a type of eustachian tube dysfunction characterized by an abnormally patent tube and prolonged communication between the middle ear and nasopharynx. It can be a bothersome chronic condition and has no known consistently reliable treatment. Symptoms of PET include aural fullness, subjective hearing loss, and autophony.¹ Autophony is the hyperperception of one's own voice or breath sounds. Though autophony can be caused by several conditions, it is often considered the hallmark symptom of PET and
has been included as an essential feature in diagnostic frameworks for PET.\textsuperscript{2,3}

Weight loss is a frequently reported risk factor for PET. Several studies show an increased incidence of PET following rapid weight loss after bariatric surgery,\textsuperscript{4,5} and PET occurring following weight loss from other causes such as chronic diseases or cancer therapy is also described.\textsuperscript{6,7} It has been postulated that loss of adipose tissue surrounding the cartilaginous portion of the ET plays a causal role in the development of PET.\textsuperscript{8} However, there are instances when PET occurs during periods of weight gain such as pregnancy.\textsuperscript{9} Despite weight loss being identified as an important risk factor in the development of PET, there are no studies that demonstrate reversal of PET with weight gain.

Anorexia Nervosa (AN) has also been reported as a risk factor to development of PET.\textsuperscript{9} AN is an eating disorder characterized by abnormally low-body weight, distorted perception of body weight, and a fear of gaining weight. Patients with AN may undergo periods of rapid weight loss due to their disordered eating. Though there have been case reports of PET symptoms developing after rapid weight loss due to AN, this has not been studied systematically.\textsuperscript{9,10} Godbole and Key reported a series of three cases of autophony in AN, and noted that the symptoms had tremendous psychological impact, impairing their ability to participate in treatment and worsening a preoccupation with somatic symptoms.\textsuperscript{11} Karwautz et al. reported a single case of severe AN with autophony that was presumed to be from PET. In this case the patient was similarly distressed, but autophony resolved within 2 days of admission.\textsuperscript{10} Despite limited reports in the literature, autophony in severe AN patients is common. In a cross-sectional survey study of otologic symptoms in 101 patients admitted for treatment of a severe eating disorder, 43% of patients reported autophony within the prior 24 h.\textsuperscript{12}

Anecdotally, it is common for patients admitted for treatment of severe AN to report an intrusive autophony that then resolves within days of an admission to medically stabilize and begin weight restoration. This is intriguing given that PET is often a chronic condition and would not be expected to resolve until after significant restoration of body weight. The questions arise whether the transient autophony, as also reported by Karwautz et al. is really due to PET, and if it is, whether some factor other than weight gain is responsible for the rapid improvement of symptoms. Importantly, none of the previously reported cases included objective evaluations for PET. Diagnosis of PET requires objective evidence such as respiratory induced tympanic membrane (TM) oscillations during binocular microscopy or reflex decay testing.\textsuperscript{6,13}

We hypothesize that the transient autophony reported by patients diagnosed with severe AN is, in fact, caused by PET, and that detailed investigation of the acute nature and rapid resolution may provide new insights into the pathogenesis of PET. We conducted a prospective observational study of patients with severe AN including objective testing of eustachian tube function in patients with autophony. The primary aim was to determine which patients experienced autophony and whether this symptom was due to PET.

### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective observational study was conducted to investigate autophony symptoms in patients receiving in-patient treatment for severe eating disorders. Newly admitted patients at the ACUTE center for Eating Disorders at Denver Health Medical Center, from February 2019 to March 2020, were invited to participate. Patients were eligible for inclusion in the study if their admission % ideal body weight (%IBW) was $<80\%$, if they were available to participate within the first 4 days of admission, and if they were diagnosed with AN restricting subtype (AN-R), AN binge-purge subtype (AN-BP) or avoidant restrictive intake disorder (ARFID) per the DSM V criteria on admission.\textsuperscript{14} Prior to enrollment, potential participants were given comprehensive information about the study purpose, design, and hypotheses. Participation in each portion of the study was voluntary and the study was reviewed and approved by the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board.

#### 2.1 | Screening for autophony

Enrolled patients were asked to complete the 7-Item Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Patient Questionnaire (ETDQ-7), a validated clinical tool for assessment of eustachian tube dysfunction.\textsuperscript{15} The ETDQ-7 measures the severity of seven different symptoms of ETD, during the past month, on a scale from 1–7, with 1–2 being “No Problem” to 6–7 being “Severe Problem”. A score of 14.5 or greater has been shown to be correlated with clinically significant eustachian tube disorder.\textsuperscript{15} The ETDQ-7 identifies patients with either dilatory or patulous ET dysfunction but does not differentiate between the two.\textsuperscript{13,16,17} Because the ETDQ-7 does not assess autophony, patients were also asked three screening questions about autophony symptoms. These questions were taken from a screening questionnaire developed for a prior study of otologic symptoms in eating disorder patients.\textsuperscript{12}

In the past 24 h have you experienced any of the following symptoms?

- An echoing sound in your head
- Abnormally loud sound of your own voice
- Abnormally loud sound of your own breathing, chewing, or swallowing

#### 2.2 | Otologic evaluation

If patient answered “Yes” to any of the three autophony questions, and if responses to the ETDQ-7 resulted in a score of 14.5 or greater, they were determined to have clinically significant ear symptoms with autophony, suggesting PET. These patients were asked to undergo further objective testing including audiological evaluations and a consultation with an otolaryngologist. Only patients who volunteered to undergo additional testing, were medically stable enough for
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The size of the study was determined by the time period of data
collection. Patients were enrolled starting in February 2019 and the
study was ended when COVID-19 related restrictions suspended clin-
cal research activities in March 2020.

2.3 | Statistical analysis

Univariate statistics were used to describe the cohort. Shapiro-Wilks
test was used to determine the distribution of continuous variables.
Based on the distribution, patient characteristics, used to describe the
severity of ETD, were ascertained with independent sample t-tests.
When appropriate, degrees of freedom are shown in parentheses
after the test statistic. p values of <.05 were considered statistically
significant, and all analyses were completed using SAS Enterprise
Guide software version 7.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

3 | RESULTS

Three hundred and two new admissions were screened and for inclu-
sion in the study. Of these, 182 did not meet all inclusion criteria,

30 declined to participate, and 17 were repeat admissions that had
previously participated. In total, 73 patients consented to participate
and were included in the study cohort. Characteristics of these
patients are found in Table 1. The majority of the patients were
female (93%), mean age was 32.1 years (SD: 12.4; range: 18–64), and the mean admission BMI and %IBW was 12.9 kg/m² (SD: 1.6) and
61.6% (SD: 7.3), respectively. Subtypes of AN included 39 (53%) patients
diagnosed with AN-R, 28 (38%) patients diagnosed with AN-BP, and 6 (8%) patients diagnosed with ARFID. Upon admission, patients received standard of care disease-specific treatment. Nutri-
tional support, guided by an registered dietitian was designed to start at

In total, 10 objective evaluations were performed (20 ears). In
every evaluation the objective findings of PET were present if the
patient was having active symptoms in that ear at that time of the
testing. In every asymptomatic ear, the findings were normal.

Every patient undergoing testing was found to have an otherwise
normal head and neck exam (including ear exam) except cachexia. All
patients had normal hearing on pure tone audiometry and word discrimination, normal tympanometry, and normal acoustic reflex screening. Only one patient had a history of prior ear conditions (pressure equalization tubes as a child). Three of the seven had a history of allergic rhinitis, but none were taking nasal steroid sprays or oral decongestants. Of the seven patients undergoing objective testing voice autophony, breath autophony and aural pressure were reported in 7, 6, and 6 respectively. Pulsatile tinnitus, hyperacusis, and popping or cracking sounds were reported in 6, 5, and 5 respectively. Three patients noted exacerbation of symptoms with exercise, and five reported improvement with dependent head position. Improvement in symptoms with sniffing was reported in three. Six of the seven patients reported previous episodes of similar symptoms associated with weight loss. Two reported they have used autophony as an indication they should seek treatment for their weight loss. Three of the seven patients reported their symptoms were worst in the mornings- often resolving temporarily in the afternoon or evenings.

Patients reported the autophony had been present from 2 weeks to 6 months in duration prior to admission (mean 10.4 weeks). After admission symptoms in all but one patient resolved within 12 days (the patient was still symptomatic when transferred to another facility.) Every patient gained weight prior to resolution of autophony (Figure 3.)

### Table 1 Patient characteristics

| Cohort N = 73 | ETDQ-7 score < 14.5 (N = 37) | ETDQ-7 score ≥ 14.5 (N = 36) | Autophony symptoms and ETDQ-7 score ≥ 14.5 (N = 16) |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Female       | 68 (93%)        | 35              | 33                              | 13                              |
| Male         | 5 (7%)          | 2               | 3                               | 3                               |
| AN subtype   |                 |                 |                                 |                                 |
| AN-R         | 39 (53%)        | 19              | 20                              | 9                               |
| AN-BP        | 28 (38%)        | 14              | 14                              | 7                               |
| ARFID        | 6 (8%)          | 4               | 2                               | 0                               |

### Table 2 Comparison of symptomatic and asymptomatic patients

| Cohort N = 73 | Asymptomatic ETDQ-7 < 14.5 (N = 37) | Symptomatic ETDQ-7 ≥ 14.5 and reported autophony (N = 16) | t-test (DF) |
|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Admit BMI (kg/m²) | 12.9 (1.6) | 12.9 (1.5) | 0.15 (51) | .88 |
| Admit %IBW | 61.6 (7.3) | 61.1 (6.2) | 0.88 (51) | .38 |
| Age (years) | 32.0 (12.4) | 32.1 (11.4) | -0.4 (51) | .69 |
| Kg/week gain | 1.8 (0.7) | 1.9 (0.5) | -0.34 (47.9) | .73 |

**DXA/lipodystrophy studies**

| | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | t-test (DF) |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| %Fat minus the head | 14.5 (3.8) | 13.6 (6.4) | 0.35 (31) | .73 |
| %Fat total | 15.3 (3.3) | 14.5 (3.1) | 0.34 (31) | .74 |
| Total fat mass (g) | 5843.6 (1870.2) | 5762.3 (1740.3) | -0.2 (31) | .84 |
| Total fat minus head (g) | 4966.3 (1827.8) | 4864.2 (1723.7) | -0.11 (31) | .91 |
| Trunk limb fat ratio | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | -1.4 (31) | .17 |

**Laboratory values**

| | Mean (SD) | t-test (DF) |
| Prealbumin (mg/dl) | 19.5 (7.1) | 17.9 (6.1) | 0.72 (50) | .47 |

**Abbreviations:** AN, anorexia nervosa; AN-BP, anorexia nervosa binge-purge subtype; AN-R, anorexia nervosa restricting subtype; ARFID, avoidant restrictive intake disorder; BMI, body mass index; ETDQ-7, Eustachian Tube Dysfunction Patient Questionnaire; IBW, ideal body weight.
4 | DISCUSSION

In this observational study of patients with severe eating disorders, PET was suspected based on questionnaires in 16/73 (22%) of patients and confirmed by PET testing in 6/7 patients that received the testing. The prevalence of PET in our cohort is likely greater given that 44% of patients reported autophony symptoms. However patients in other settings sometimes report aural symptoms when there are no objective findings of disease- and the rate of this reporting is higher in those with psychiatric diagnoses. We sought to identify clinically significant autophony with the addition of a validated tool to assess for eustachian tube dysfunction (ETDQ-7). Although the ETDQ-7 identifies individuals with either dilatory or patulous ET dysfunction, it is limited by being unable to discriminate between the two types. Moreover it does not include questions that address autophony. For this study we used an abnormal score on the ETDQ-7 questionnaire (≥14.5), as well as positive responses to any of the three autophony-specific screening questions to identify which patients had clinically significant symptoms.

Applying both of these criteria revealed that 22% of the cohort had significant autophony. We suspect nearly all of these patients have PET. Of the patients in this group who volunteered for objective testing, all but one was demonstrated to have PET. That patient had resolution of symptoms just prior to testing, after a nasogastric tube was placed on the same side as their aural symptoms. Overall 20 ear evaluations were performed revealing a 100% concordance between active autophony and objective evidence of PET. In every asymptomatic ear that was evaluated, the testing results were normal.

Clearly the significant autophony symptoms in our cohort are due to PET. This is the first study to confirm PET in patients admitted for treatment of severe AN, and the first study to demonstrate a specific subgroup of PET patients that experienced rapid resolution of symptoms with treatment. Patients reported the autophony had been
The rapid resolution along with the absence of symptoms in similarly malnourished patients suggest there are mechanisms involved beyond simply loss of body weight and fat distribution. Poe and Pyykko’s study of eustachian tube openings was suggestive that hypertension of the tensor veli palatini muscle may be an important factor.\(^5\) Perhaps the rapid resolution observed in this study represented a change in muscle tone. A deeper understanding of the pathogenesis in this unique patient population may produce insights into the mechanisms and possible treatment in other populations as well.

One major limitation to this study is the small number of objective evaluations that were completed. This was due to the limited patient population, volunteer nature of the evaluations, and the need for patient’s to be medically stable to participate in the objective testing. Another challenge within our cohort was the report by several patients that symptoms followed a daily pattern of being most severe in the morning, and improving slowly throughout the day. This became a logistical barrier during our study as several patients had clinically significant autophony on screening but did not receive full objective evaluations because their symptoms were resolved when we discussed enrollment into the testing group later in the day. Another limitation of our analysis is the lack of a validated PET-specific screening tool. There may have been patients with PET but who scored normally on the ETDQ-7. Also there was no available data on the rate of weight loss in each cohort member prior to admission.

Despite these limitations, this study demonstrates that transient autophony in severe AN patients is due to PET, and that the underlying mechanisms are likely more complex than loss of body fat alone. Mucosal inflammation or edema may play a part in why some patients experience autophony while others do not, as was suggested by the participant who had symptom resolution shortly after a nasogastric tube placement. However many of the study patients reported symptoms were worst in the morning, when edema would be expected to mitigate symptoms in those spending the night supine. Further research will be needed to better understand the pathogenesis of PET in this patient population, why they achieve rapid symptom resolution, and how they differ from other populations with PET.

5 | CONCLUSION

Our examination of 73 patients admitted for treatment of severe eating disorders demonstrated that PET was strongly suspected in 22% of the patients and a 100% concordance between active autophony and objective evidence of PET. Most of these patients experienced transient symptoms, resolving within days of disease-specific treatment. Further study is warranted to understand the impact of PET in patients diagnosed with severe AN, and to gain insights into the pathophysiology of PET in all populations.
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