New and interesting lichen records from the Ural Mountains, Russia
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Abstract: Ten species of lichenized ascomycetes are reported from the Urals. Aspicilia spermatomanes, Fuscidea praeruptorum, Lepra exclusa, L. monogona, Metamelanea caesiella and Pertusaria amarescens are new to Russia while Bryobilimbia ablesii, Lecanora orosthea, L. rouxii and Tephromela grumosa are new for the Urals. Our records considerably extend the ranges or fill gaps in the formerly disjunctive distributions of these species. The morphology, secondary chemistry and ecology of the species are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

The lichen flora of the Ural mountains has been extensively studied during the last decades (Paukov, 1999; Frolov, 2008; Urbanavichus & Urbanavichene, 2011; Paukov & Teptina, 2012; Dudoreva et al., 2014; Vondráková & Vondrák, 2015); however, the lichen biodiversity of the region is far from being completely known.

The longitudinal range of the Ural Mountains together with high altitudes brings about a complicated climatic and vegetational zonation of the territory (Gorchakovskiy, 1966) producing, together with different rock types, a variety of habitats for lichens. Vegetation on the eastern slopes of the Middle Urals consists of coniferous forests with pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) gradually changing to birch (Betula pendula Roth) forests and finally to steppe vegetation in the Southern Urals. On the western slopes the predominant vegetation is fir (Picea obovata Ledeb.) forests with an admixture of spruce (Abies sibirica Ledeb.), linden (Tilia cordata Mill.) and other deciduous trees.

The high mountains in the Southern Urals above 900 m usually bear azonal vegetation such as patches of mountain tundra or vegetation with stunted larch and birch (Kucherov & Muldashev, 1988; Kulikov, 2010). Lichenological investigations of these territories were focused mainly on lichens as a source of food for animals (Selivanova-Gorodkova, 1965) or on studies of vegetation and phytomonitoring (Gorchakovskiy & Shiyatov, 1985); special research of the lichen diversity gave a number of interesting findings (Urbanavichene, 2011). Here we report new records of lichens mainly from the high altitudes in the Southern and Middle Urals which are new to Russia or known previously in its westernmost parts, and which substantially extend their ranges to the east.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Lichens were collected at 6 localities in Sverdlovsk and Chelyabinsk regions and in the Republic of Bashkortostan by the authors. The collections were made in 1993–2016. The visited localities and collectors are as follows:

1. Sverdlovsk region, Nizhne-Serginsk district, vicinity of Bazhukovo village, Nature Park “Olen’ji Ruchji”, 56°31’N, 59°15’E, A. Paukov.
2. Chelyabinsk region, Zlatoust district, Nature Park “Taganay”, 55°17’N, 59°48’E, A. Paukov.
3. Chelyabinsk region, Vicinity of Miass town, Ilmenskiy Nature reserve, 55°17’N, 60°28’E, A. Paukov.
4. Republic of Bashkortostan, Beloretsk district, Yuzhno-Uralskiy Nature reserve, 54°18’N, 57°62’E, A. Paukov.
5. Republic of Bashkortostan, Abzelilovo district, Kryktaytau mountain range, Khandyk mountain, 53°42’N, 58°36’E, A. Paukov.
6. Republic of Bashkortostan, Abzelilovo district, Baskirskiy state reserve, 5 km to the North from Koigana (Kulkannino) village, 53°23’N, 57°55’E, I. Frolov.

The species were identified using stereo- and compound microscopes. The determination of lichen substances was conducted using thin layer chromatography (TLC) in solvent systems A and C (Orange et al., 2001). The specimens are kept in UFU and some specimens were sent to LE.

**LIST OF SPECIES**

Symbols used: * – species new to Russia, ** – species new to Urals.

*Aspicilia spermatoromanes* (Nyl.) Maheu et Gillet – 3: granite outcrops under forest canopy near Bolshoye Miassovo Lake, 12.08.2013; 6: serpentinite outcrops along Karasu brook, 12.06.2004 (UFU L–1289).

Note. The species is described and known previously from France only (Roux et al., 2011). It differs from *Aspicilia cinerea* (L.) Körb. by the thick rimose-areolate thallus with finally large, flat, irregular “areoles” more than 2 mm wide, which have small tubercules on their surface (Fig. 1). These tubercules correspond to areoles in *Aspicilia cinerea*. Apothecia are formed mainly as primordia and have undeveloped spores in the asci. Pycnidia are abundant to rare in specimens with apothecial primordia, with pycnoconidia (10) 14–16 (18) μm long. In Nylander’s view *Aspicilia spermatoromanes* is a variation of *Lecanora (Aspicilia) cinerea* (Nylander, 1872a), but we follow the views of Maheu & Gillet (1926) and Roux et al. (2011). The two species were found growing closely together and can be easily separated from each other. We did not manage to produce ITS sequences from the specimens collected, but our preliminary data on mtSSU of *Aspicilia cinerea* and *A. spermatoromanes* found in the Urals suggest that they are separate species.

*Bryobilimbia ahlesii* (Körb.) Fryday, Printzen & S. Ekman – 4: shaded sandstone outcrops on the left bank of River Inzer between Revet’ and the former Kataskin settlement, 02.08.2015.

Note. The species is known from the Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Latvia, Sweden and USA (Arup, 2004; Coppins & Fryday, 2006; Halda et al., 2011; Fryday et al., 2014; Motiejūnaitė & Grochowski, 2014). In Russia it is reported from the Caucasian region and northern European part (Urbanavichus, 2010). Although not very prominent, this species can be recognised by the K+ violet greenish granules in the hymenium together with the thin thallus, simple spores and dark hypothecium.

*Fuscidea praeruptorum* (Du Rietz & H. Magn.) V. Wirth & Vězda – 2: shaded quartzite rocks on “Dvuglavaya Sopka” mountain, 01.07.2014.

Note. The species is widely distributed in Europe (Asta, 1972; Wirth & Vězda, 1972; Nimis & Tretiach, 1993; Fryday & Coppins, 1997; Vitikainen et al., 1997; Júriado et al., 2000; Nordin et al., 2011; Motiejūnaitė, 2015) and is also known from Turkey (Karabulut et al., 2004). *Fuscidea praeruptorum* contains alectorialic acid which reacts with P and C and imparts a yellowish tint to the soralia. The species grows on the sides of large boulders formed by frost weathering of quartzite.

**Lecanora orosthea** (Ach.) Ach. – 4: porphyrite rocks on the Yusha range, 05.08.2015.

Note. The species is known from Central and Northern Europe, Africa (Zduńczyk & Kukwa, 2014), Turkey (John & Breuss, 2004) and Hong Kong (Aptroot & Seaward, 1999). In Russia it is recorded from Karelia (Kopaczevskaja et al., 1971). *Lecanora orosthea* is a species growing on shaded vertical rocks. It can be recognised by the yellowish thallus with soredia that first develop on the margins of the areoles and later

---

**Fig. 1.** *Aspicilia spermatoromanes* (UFU L–1289), thallus with apothecial primordia. Scale bar = 2 mm.
fuse together to form a sorediate crust. Secondary substances in the specimens from the Urals are usnic acid and zeorin.

**Lecanora rouxii** S. Ekman & Tønsberg – 4: 3 km W of Berdagulovo settlement, left bank of Inzer River, on carbonised slate, 06.08.2015.

Note. The species is distributed in France, Germany, Norway (Tønsberg, 2002), Poland (Kukwa, 2005) and Romania (Vondrak & Šoun, 2007). In Russia it was previously known from the Kaliningrad region only (Urbanavichus, 2010). *Lecanora rouxii* is very similar to species of the genus *Lepraria*, in which it was originally placed, but it differs from any *Lepraria* species by containing sordidone, which gives a C+ orange reaction, and atranorin. In the Ural Mountains it is so far only known from the cited locality, where it grows on steep highly carbonised slate rocks together with other calciphilous species, such as *Caloplaca saxicola* (Hoffm.) Nordin, *Diplotomma alboatrum* (Hoffm.) Flot., *Leproplaca cirrochroa* (Ach.) Arup et al., *Peccania coralloides* (A. Massal.) A. Massal.; however, it is absent on limestone in the neighbouring localities.

*Leprae excludens* (Nyl.) Hafellner (syn. *Pertusaria excludens* Nyl.) – 4: porphyrite rocks on the Yusha range, 05.08.2015.

Note. The species is known from Antarctica, Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Norway, Portugal, Spain and USA (Thomson, 1997; Llimona & Hladun, 2001; Øvstedal & Lewis Smith, 2001; Nordin et al., 2011; Roux, 2012; Wirth et al., 2013; Hafellner & Türk, 2016). *Lepra excludens* is reported from several regions of Russia but these reports are regarded as doubtful (Urbanavichus, 2010). Given the unreliability of these records, the species is considered to be new to Russia. It has a relatively thick whitish-grey thallus with rounded soralia. The secondary metabolite is norstictic acid.

*Lepra monogona* (Nyl.) Hafellner (syn. *Pertusaria monogona* Nyl.) – 5: basaltic rocks on the Khandyk mountain, 19.08.1993; ibidem, 28.05.2010 (UFU L–1224), det. A. Archer.

Note. The species is distributed in Europe (Nylander, 1872b; Seaward, 1994; Coppins, 2002), Northern Africa (Egea et al., 1990) and China (Zhao et al., 2004). It is common at the locality but was for a long time misidentified as *Pertusaria stalactizoides* Savicz. The latter species was described from the Northern Urals and, apart from the yellowish thallus, agrees with the characters of *L. monogona*. This similarity brings about confusion at times (Zhao et al., 2004). The type of *P. stalactizoides* could not be traced at LE and therefore it is currently not possible to confirm the identity of these two species. *L. monogona* has a thick thallus, pruinose apothecia, single-spored asci, large spores, up to 300 μm long, and contains norstictic acid (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. *Lepra monogona* (UFU L–1224), thallus and apothecia. Scale bar = 2 mm.

*Metamelanea caesiella* (Th. Fr.) Henssen – 1: limestone outcrops on Serga river, on limestone, 20.08.2011.

Note. This crustose cyanobiont lichen is noticeable by the formation of bluish-pruinose patches in rock crevices on limestone (Schultz & Büdel, 2002). The areoles are tiny and vertically elongated, which imparts a dwarf-fruticulose appearance to the lichen. The apothecia are blackish and adnate, with prominent margins. *M. caesiella* has a scattered distribution and is known from Belgium, the Czech republic, France, Germany and Norway (Henssen & Jørgensen, 1990; Ertz et al., 2008; Roux, 2012; Wirth et al., 2013; Maliček et al., 2014). In the Urals it was found on wet calcareous rocks and is so far known from only one locality. The fact that this species is rarely fertile and is difficult to identify in the sterile condition (Ahti et al., 2007) may be a reason for its alleged rarity.

*Pertusaria amarescens* Nyl. – 4: 3 km to W from Berdagulovo settlement, left bank of Inzer River, on carbonised slate, 06.08.2015.
Note. The species is known from Western Europe, China, India and the French Antarctic Territories (Llimona & Hladun, 2001; Coppins, 2002; Upreti et al., 2004; Aptroot et al., 2011; Wirth et al., 2013). The thalli of *Pertusaria amarescens* found in the Urals appear similar to *Lepra (Pertusaria) albenscens* (Huds.) Hafellner but differ chemically by containing thiophaninic acid together with stictic acid and therefore have a yellowish tinge. *P. amarescens* was found on calcareous slate growing with *Lecanora rouxii*.

**Tephromela grumosa** (Pers.) Hafellner & Cl. Roux – 5: basaltic rocks on the Khandyk mountain, 11.06.2016.

Note. A conspicuous lichen which can be easily recognised by its *Lecanora*-like thallus with bluish- or greenish grey soralia. The thalli contain atranorin and protolichesterinic acid. *T. grumosa* is known from Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Norway and Sweden (Nordin et al., 2011; Roux, 2012; Wirth et al., 2013; Westberg et al., 2015; Hafellner & Türk, 2016). In Russia the species was previously reported from the Murmansk region, Karelia, the Far East and Kamchatka (Andreev et al., 2003). Prior to our findings, the distribution of the species was regarded as nordic and montane, with a preference for maritime regions. In the Urals it was found above 900 m at a locality with high precipitation.

**DISCUSSION**

Six of the species reported here – *Aspicilia spermatomanes*, *Fuscidea praeruptorum*, *Lepra exclusdens*, *L. monogona*, *Metamelanea caesiella* and *Pertusaria amarescens* – are new to Russia. Four species – *Bryobilimbia ahlesii*, *Lecanora orosthea*, *L. rouxii* and *Tephromela grumosa* – are new to the Urals. All species reported here are new records which noticeably stand out from their previously known distributions. *Aspicilia spermatomanes*, *Bryobilimbia ahlesii*, *Fuscidea praeruptorum*, *Lecanora rouxii*, *Lepra exclusdens*, *Metamelanea caesiella* and *Tephromela grumosa* have their easternmost localities in the Urals, while the others fill distributional gaps between localities in Europe and Asia.

With the addition of these species, the biodiversity of saxicolous lichens (including epigeic species permanently occurring in saxicolous environments, such as Cladoniaceae and Peltigeraceae) in the Middle and Southern Urals currently comprises above 550 species. This is twice higher than reported from the Middle Urals in our previous papers (Paukov & Trapeznikova, 2005; Paukov, 2009), but so far quite moderate compared to well-studied mountainous regions in Eurasia. The discovery of species with ranges extending to the Urals and distant from their previously known distributions will help to improve our knowledge of the lichen diversity of the region.
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