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ABSTRACT
This paper proposes a minimal switching multiple input multilevel output (MS-MIMLO) DC-DC converter. Minimizing the cost of operation is an utmost priority of any electric circuit design. Thus, reduction number of switches that control and manage the operation of feeding power into the MIMLO DC-DC convertors is presented. The proposed MS-MIMLO DC-DC converter exerts many advantages, include high voltage transfer ratio with a small size inductor, reduced power losses and low voltage stress across the semiconductor devices. Beside the highly conversion ratio and efficiency, the characteristics of the proposed converter have a simple configuration with low number of components as well. The MATLAB/Simulink software was implemented to simulate the proposed topology in order to verify the performance of the MS-MIMLO DC-DC converter. The result of simulations demonstrated the benefits of reducing the number of switches without affecting the operation and performance of the MIMLO DC-DC converter circuit.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The attraction of renewable energy resources has led to novel methods to utilize multiple clean resources, despite their low output voltage and power, production of an efficient and clean energy, such as photovoltaic, fuel cell and wind energy, can be utilized for simultaneous delivery of continuous power to loads [1]-[3]. Researchers and scientists, during the last few decades, exert enthusiasm to acquire as much energy as possible from various resources of renewable energy to meet the enormous growth of energy demand for daily consumption. This effort lead to minimize a great deal of fossil energy reliance, which causes a lot of pollution, greenhouse gas emissions and other environmental damages[4]-[9].

The type of application plays an essential role in selecting the most appropriate topology, taking into account reliability, cost and flexibility. These features can be met by utilizing MIMLO system as it has several advantages, such as light weight, high efficiency, power density and small size. The rapid growth of demand on MIMLO with high voltage gain reflects the fact that it is quite obvious its advantages in many areas of power electronic applications [10]-[13]. The main idea behind employing a multiple input DC-DC convertor is to provide a certain voltage range from different levels of input voltages. This versatility of such system explains the high demand for DC-DC convertors in the field of power electronic; especially in the application field of renewable energy resources as it has low levels of voltage generation. In general, the simple construction of DC-DC convertor consists of inductor, capacitor and semiconductor switches. These
components could be arranged in different topologies to get a wide variety of specification depend on kind of applications and type of sources’ availability [14]-[15]. Due to that, many researchers have shown high interest and done great deal of researches on MIMLO DC-DC converters [16]-[19]. The parasitic parameters of DC-DC converters’ components have an effect on the limitation of duty ratio. The duty ratio is almost around unity for high output voltage as it is seen in the step-up voltage of the non-isolated DC-DC boost converter topology [20]-[24].

The proposed MS-MIMLO DC-DC converter topology has a reduced number of switches of three inputs multilevel output (TIMLO) to facilitate the connection of available source among multiple sources of input [25]. The simulation results of the proposed topology have been carried out with comparison to existing of theoretical results of this study to validate the acquired results. The organization of this is as follow: Section 2 is dedicated for the analysis of the proposed topology and its operational modes. Section 3 presented the results of simulation and discussion of the merit of proposed model. Finally, Section 4 concluded summarization and conclusion.

2. PROPOSED DC-DC CONVERTER TOPOLOGY

The proposed MS-MIMLO DC-DC boost converter is shown in Figure 1. It consists of five switches, five diodes, an inductor, and two capacitors. In this study, it assumed that the semiconductor transistor and the diodes form ideal switches. The inductance of the proposed converter is high enough to provide low ripple input current. The value of capacitor $C_1$ is equal to the value of capacitor $C_2$. The schematic of the proposed topology has two parts. The first part is the generation of DC input voltage. It is utilized to generate a positive polarity voltage and provide the desired level of output voltage at a range of input DC sources. The proposed converter has three-unit cells, where each cell consists of a DC voltage source, a transistor as switch and a diode. The connected switch in series with the DC voltage source is considered as a voltage adder. The connected diode in parallel with the DC voltage source is considered as subtractor. Thus, the switches and diodes prevent the occurring of short circuit. Since the unit cell in the proposed topology is connected to each other in series and symmetric, the number of cells in the converter determine the output voltage level.

![Figure 1. Proposed MSMIMLO DC–DC boost converter.](image)

The second part of the proposed converter is a multilevel boost DC-DC converter. It comprises of an inductor $L$, two switches ($S_4$, $S_5$), two diodes ($D_4$, $D_5$) and two capacitors ($C_1$, $C_2$). Since the converter operates under a resistive load, the resistor $R_L$ is utilized in the proposed circuit. It is desired that the converter operates at high switching frequency to provide the required output DC voltage. In this work, TIMLO DC-DC converter is analyzed as shown in Figure 2. As compare with the conventional boost DC-DC converter, the multi-level output boost converter (MLOBC) can achieve a wide range of step-up voltage in applications that require high voltage gain. The input voltage levels of the first part TIMLO of the converter operates in seven different states as shown in Table 1. In order to fully characterize the converter, the behavior of circuit is analyzed in each switching sub-interval to establish the equations of design and present the principle of operation. In general, the operation of the MLOBC converter is identical with the conventional boost converter in regard to the capacity of high power. A capacitor voltage balancing method is introduced to control the semiconductor devices of the converter. The output voltage $v_o$ is equal to the added voltage of the capacitors ($C_1$, $C_2$).
Based on the module of the converter, there are four states for the switches $S_4$ and $S_5$ in their periodic switching. The state of switching can be described as $S_4 \& S_5 = \{11, 10, 01, \text{ and } 00\}$, where logic 1 represents as “ON” state, while logic 0 represents as “OFF” state. Thus, the topology has four operation modes as shown in Table 2.

The voltage stress on the diodes D4, D5, S4, S5, C1 and C2 can be obtained according to the formula

$$V = \frac{1}{1 - d}V_{\text{in}}$$

where $d$ is the duty cycle. The state of switching can be described as $ON\text{-}OFF$. The average of output current is $I_o$. In steady-state, the capacitors current average value is zero. The principle of balanced ampere-second is applied to the capacitors $C_1$ and $C_2$, respectively. It is assumed that the value of inductance is high enough and the current changes linearly.

### Table 1. Switches and diodes states

| State | $S_1$ | $D_1$ | $S_2$ | $D_2$ | $S_3$ | $D_3$ | Input voltage |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
| 1     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 1     | $V_{\text{in}}$ |
| 2     | 2     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 1     | $V_{\text{in}}$ |
| 3     | 3     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 1     | 1     | $V_{\text{in}}$ |
| 4     | 4     | 1     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 1     | $V_{\text{in}} + V_{o2}$ |
| 5     | 5     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 1     | 1     | $V_{\text{in}} + V_{o3}$ |
| 6     | 6     | 0     | 1     | 1     | 0     | 1     | $V_{o3} + V_{o3}$ |
| 7     | 7     | 1     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 1     | $V_{o3} + V_{o3}$ |

### Table 2. Operation modes

| Mode operation | Switches Conditions | Diodes states | $L$ | $C_1$ | $C_2$ |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------|-----|-------|-------|
| Mode 1         | $S_4, S_5$ (on)     | $D_1, D_2$ (revers-biased) | Charging | Discharging | Discharging |
| Mode 2         | $S_4$ (on), $S_5$ (off) | $D_1$ (forward-biased) $D_2$ (off) | Discharging | Discharging | Charging |
| Mode 3         | $S_4$ (off), $S_5$ (on) | $D_1$ (off) $D_2$ (forward-biased) | Discharging | Charging | Discharging |
| Mode 4         | $S_4, S_5$ (off)    | $D_1, D_2$ (forward-biased) | Discharging | Discharging | Discharging |

### Table 3. Voltage and current stress parameters

| Stress | Component | Formula |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| Voltage Stress | S4 and S5 | $V_{S4} = V_{S5} = \frac{1}{1 - d}V_{\text{in}}$ |
|          | C1 and C2 | $V_{C1} = V_{C2} = \frac{1}{1 - d}V_{\text{in}}$ |
| Current Stress | S4 and D4 | $I_{S4} = I_{D4} = \frac{2}{1 - d}I_o$ |
|          | S5 and D4 | $I_{S5} = I_{D4} = \frac{2}{1 - d}I_o$ |

### 3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The effectiveness and validity of MS-MIMLO DC-DC module have been investigated in comparison with the non-isolated MIMLO DC-DC converter [25]. The comparison includes overshoot, peak time, slow rate, rise time, peak to peak voltage and efficiency. The complete MS-MIMLO DC-DC module consist of five power switches, five diodes, the capacitors $C_1 = C_2 = 60 \, \mu F$, the resistive load $R_o$ is 550 $\Omega$ and the inductor value is $L = 1.13$ mH. The MS-MIMLO DC-DC converter operates in continuous conduction.
mode and its switching frequency is 5 kHz. The simulated results at phase shift 0° and 180° between the control switches S2 and S3 were discussed. For 30 V of input voltage and 90% of duty cycle, the waveforms of voltage stress across the capacitors have an intermediate value near 262 V as illustrated in Figure 3 (a). On the other hand, when the input voltage is 100 V and the duty cycle is 81.3%, the voltage stress waveforms across the capacitors have an intermediate value near 264 V as illustrated in Figure 3 (b). The voltage stress waveforms across the capacitors of the suggested module at \( (V_{in} = 30 \text{ V}, \ d = 90\%) \) and \( (V_{in} = 100 \text{ V}, \ d = 81.3\%) \) are 234 V and 261 V respectively as observed from the simulation which is shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 3](image1.png)

Figure 3. (a) capacitors voltage stress of MIMLO circuit when \( V_{in} = 30 \text{ V}, \ d = 90\% \); (b) capacitors voltage stress of MIMLO circuit when \( V_{in} = 100 \text{ V}, \ d = 81.3\% \)

![Figure 4](image2.png)

Figure 4. (a) capacitors voltage stress of the proposed MS-MIMLO circuit when \( V_{in} = 30 \text{ V}, \ d = 90\% \); (b) capacitors voltage stress of the proposed MSMIMLO circuit when \( V_{in} = 100 \text{ V}, \ d = 81.3\% \)

The shape of the voltage stress waveforms across \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) of both TIMLO and the proposed MS-MIMLO DC-DC converter are matching each other and the switching frequency is out numbered the ripple frequency by twofold. The peak-to-peak voltage value on the capacitors of MS-MIMLO DC-DC converter is smaller than the peak-to-peak voltage value on the capacitors of TIMLO DC-DC converter due to biasing voltage of the diodes. The performance of the proposed MS-MIMLO DC-DC module is also verified in the simulation environment of the converter operation for a vast range of operation with variable output powers (550 W, 1100 W) and variable input-voltage (30 V, 40 V, 60 V, 70 V, & 100 V). The results shown that the output voltage VO is the sum of both capacitor voltage \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \). As can be seen in Table 4, the output voltage tracks the duty cycle, which proves decrease in the percentage of the output voltage amplitude with the increase of input voltage.

The dynamic behavior of the MS-MIMLO DC-DC converter has been explored through a transient analysis with constant output voltage (525 V). The output voltage response due to the change input voltage is shown in Figure 5.

Table 4. Input and output voltages with different duty cycles \( d_T \) and \( d_M \) of TIMLO and MS-MIMLO modules

| \( V_{in} \) (V) | \( d_T = 0.6 \) | \( \Delta d_{0.6} \% \) | \( d_T = 0.7 \) | \( \Delta d_{0.7} \% \) | \( d_T = 0.8 \) | \( \Delta d_{0.8} \% \) | \( d_T = 0.9 \) | \( \Delta d_{0.9} \% \) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \( V_{O} \) (V) | \( d_M = 0.6 \) | \( \Delta d_{0.6} \% \) | \( d_M = 0.7 \) | \( \Delta d_{0.7} \% \) | \( d_M = 0.8 \) | \( \Delta d_{0.8} \% \) | \( d_M = 0.9 \) | \( \Delta d_{0.9} \% \) |
Table 5. Performance of the MS-MIMLO converters

| V_in (V) | V_out (V) | t_r (msec) | Slew Rate | P-P Voltage (V) | t_r (msec) | Overshot | d |
|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------|---|
| 30      | 525       | 33.105     | 12.703    | 669.7          | 73         | 17.059%  | 0.917 |
| 40      | 525.3     | 18.709     | 22.112    | 692.6          | 46         | 32.667%  | 0.87  |
| 60      | 526.2     | 10.408     | 40.176    | 791.9          | 28         | 50.758%  | 0.785 |
| 70      | 525.3     | 8.586      | 48.414    | 821.4          | 24         | 57.937%  | 0.764 |
| 100     | 525.7     | 5.603      | 74.476    | 919.1          | 16         | 68.64%   | 0.629 |

Enough caution has been taken during simulation to ensure that the same simulation conditions are considered for comparing both modules as shown in Table 6. The analysis of the performance of the proposed MS-MIMLO converter in terms of efficiency has been verified.

Table 6. Comparison performance of the MS-MIMLO and TIMLO converters

| V_in (V) | ∆t_r % | ∆Slew Rate % | ∆P-P Voltage % | ∆t_r (msec) | ∆Overshot % | ∆d % |
|----------|--------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| 30       | 25.68  | -33.31       | -12.38         | 17.81       | -81.37      | 1.85 |
| 40       | 13.38  | -15.84       | -9.05          | 8.70        | -35.31      | 1.38 |
| 60       | 5.83   | -5.98        | -6.24          | 3.57        | -19.16      | 1.91 |
| 70       | 5.52   | -5.70        | -5.25          | 4.17        | -13.63      | 0.52 |
| 100      | 1.82   | -2.31        | 0.00           | 0.00        | -8.63       | 0.16 |

The equivalent participation of switches with no extra switching losses satisfies the aim of the proposal design. Figure 6 represents the efficiency of the MS-MIMLO module with different duty cycles and input voltages. It can be observed from Figure 7 that the difference of percentage of efficiency is less than 0.8% between MS-MIMLO and TIMLO modules. Furthermore, the MS-MIMLO module provides favor of no overlap switch that may cause short circuit on the power sources.
4. CONCLUSION

This paper presented a detailed analysis on the operation and performance of MS-MIMLO DC-DC converter. The analysis was performed to confirm the concept and the principal operation of the converter with different modes of operation using MatLab/Simulink. The MS-MIMOL converter has been introduced the following advantages: (a) high static gain in terms of the input and output voltages, (b) low voltage stress across the switches $S_4$ and $S_5$, and (c) reduction of the reverse-biased voltage on the diodes $D_4$ and $D_5$. The results of simulation confirmed that the feature of voltage rating in the power switches was improved. It was shown that the converter has achieved high efficiency DC/DC convention with different input voltages suited for renewable energy applications. Thus, the proposed converter can easily operate on a variety of power conversion with minimal number of switching which showed significant benefits in terms of cost and fault reduction of circuit operation. It is expected that the suggested converter is widely suited for many applications, such as photovoltaic systems (PV), full cell (FC), and battery charging systems.
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