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Abstract

The use of photovoltaic (PV) module for push type solar operated sprayer is one of the most promising techniques in solar energy application. The push type solar operated sprayer consists of a PV module of 20W and two diaphragm type pumps of 0.03hp each. The system was tested for its performance in terms of variation in nozzle discharge for different operating pressure and height. It was observed during normal climatic condition the PV module produced power in the range of 7.1W to 17.29W from 10:00am to 4:00pm in the month of December 2015. It was also observed that the nozzle discharge initially was found to be lesser during morning 10:00am later on gradually increased up to 12 noon and again found to be decreasing till evening 4:00 pm due to low solar intensity. We also observed that the discharge obtained from two types of hollow cone nozzles which are two slits and four slits for different operating pressure of 2, 3 and 4 kg/cm² at different spray height 49, 51 and 53 cm respectively, but the required discharge rate was obtained from two slit hollow cone nozzle at an operating pressure of 2 kg/cm² rather than four slits hollow cone nozzle. The actual and theoretical field capacity of push type solar sprayer were 0.29 ha/h and 0.32 ha/h for field bean crop with forward speed of 0.53m/s respectively. It was observed that, the cost of operation of solar operated push type low clearance sprayer was found to be Rs.38.5/h. The push type solar sprayer is working efficiently in row crops and vegetable crops by adjusting swath width as well as height of boom depending upon requirement.
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Introduction

Agriculture plays a vital role in Indian economy, as about 50% of total India’s population depends on agriculture as their primary source of livelihood. In this agriculture sector there is a lot of field work, such as tillage operation, weeding, sowing, harvesting, etc. Energy-demand is one of the major threats for our country because of increased population. Finding solutions, to meet the energy-demand is the great challenge for social scientist, engineers, entrepreneurs and industrialist of our country. Applications of nonconventional energy are the only alternate solution for meeting out energy demand. Apart from these operations, spraying is also an important operation to be performed by the farmer to protect the cultivated crops from insects, pests, funguses and diseases for which various insecticides, pesticides, fungicides and nutrients are
sprayed on crops for protection. Manually operated sprayers are operated by the person but drawback is it causes fatigue to operating person and hence cannot be used for longer time and fuel operated sprayers are operated using fossil fuels but drawback is its cost of operation is high due to fuel used and also emits pollutant gases which leads to environmental issues and Electrical sprayers are operated on electricity for charging the battery which drives the pump but drawback is it can’t be used in some of rural areas due to insufficient supply of electricity. To overcome these drawbacks we could think on nonconventional energy sources like wind energy, solar energy, tidal energy, etc. considering above mentioned problems we have developed a solar operated push type sprayed and also done its performance evaluation.

Materials and Methods

In this chapter we are explaining about the power train of push type solar sprayer and its constructional details, calibration in laboratory and also in filed. The laboratory Calibration of the developed machines involves Nozzle discharge, Spray uniformity coefficient, Spray droplet size and Analytical calculation of solar panel current and discharging time of battery. The field calibration of this developed machine involves Application rate, field capacity and field efficiency.

Power transmission system

Calibration of push type solar sprayer in laboratory

The sprayer is calibrated to achieve recommended application rate before taking it to the field. Two hollow cone nozzles of different slits are been tested in the lab by considering three different operating pressures. For calibration the following tests were conducted in laboratory:

- Nozzle discharge
- Spray uniformity coefficient
- Spray droplet size
- Analytical calculation of solar panel current and discharging time of battery

Nozzle discharge

The discharge from the hollow cone nozzle was measured at three different operating pressures and spray height using a spray patternator. The spray patternator is an instrumental setup used to quantify the amount of spray emitted from a spray nozzle and visualize its pattern by operating at different spray height and operating pressures. The patternator consists of number of slots and the discharge obtained at each slot was measured and tabulated.

Spray uniformity coefficient

Along with discharge rate, spray uniformity coefficient is considered as an essential factor in determining the effectiveness of the spraying. Therefore uniformity of the sprayer should be assessed in order to provide a good deposition of spray liquid uniformly over the whole height of the crop canopy. So the uniformity coefficient of hollow cone nozzle is calculated using the discharge obtained from patternator.

The coefficient uniformity is calculated by the following equation

\[ C_u = \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta \bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \right) \times 100 \]

Where,

- \( C_u \) = coefficient of uniformity (%)
- \( \bar{x} \) =average discharge rate (Lt/min)
- \( \Delta \bar{x} \) =Standard deviation (Lt/min)
Spray droplet size

To measure the droplet size of hollow cone nozzle 3-magnesium coated slides were made as replications. Slides were made by allowing magnesium oxide smoke from a piece of burning magnesium ribbon of about 20 cm to condense on the underside of a glass microscope slide. Water mixed with suitable coloring agent was allowed to spray on each slide at three different operating pressures of 2, 3, and 4 kg/cm$^2$ respectively. After exposure to spray, slides were retained for several hours without deterioration. The slides were handled by the ends that were not coated with magnesium oxide to avoid the disturbances to droplets craters. The size of the craters on each slide was measured using “Trinocular Microscope” in laboratory.

Analytical calculation of panel current and discharging time of battery

Current (I). The current produced by the solar panel (I) was calculated by knowing the maximum power (P) of the solar panel and the voltage rating (V) of the battery that is given by

\[
I = \frac{P}{V}
\]

Charging time (T). The charging time was computed by taking the ratio rating of the battery in ampere hour (Ah) to the total current consumed by the solar panel.

\[
T = \frac{\text{Battery rating in ampere hour}}{\text{Total current consumed by the solar panel}}
\]

Field evaluation of push type solar sprayer

Application rate

The sprayer application rate depends on discharge rate, swath width, and speed of operation. The application rate is calculated by the following equation

\[
A = \left(\frac{Q}{SXW}\right)
\]

Where,

\[A = \text{Application rate, Lt/ha}\]
\[Q = \text{Discharge rate, Lt/min}\]
\[W = \text{Swath width, m}\]
\[S = \text{Speed of operation, m/s}\]

Field capacity

The sprayer field capacity depends on swath width and speed of operation. The field capacity is calculated by

\[
A_{fc} = \frac{(SXW)}{10}
\]

Where,

\[A_{fc} = \text{Actual field capacity, ha/h}\]
\[T_{fc} = \text{Actual field capacity, ha/h}\]

The application rate is calculated (A)

\[
A = \left(\frac{Q}{SXW}\right)
\]

Where,

\[A = \text{Application rate, Lt/ha}\]
\[Q = \text{Discharge rate, Lt/min}\]
\[W = \text{Swath width, m}\]
\[S = \text{Speed of operation, m/s}\]

Results and Discussion

The laboratory test was carried out to study the uniformity of spray of given nozzle and field evaluation conducted to evaluate the performance of push type solar sprayer is presented and discussed in this chapter. During the laboratory test parameters such as operating pressure, spray height and nozzle discharge are tabulated, whereas during the field experiment observations such as time,
solar intensity, temperature, voltage, current, power discharge are recorded and explained below (Fig. 1–4).

**N₁-Hollow cone nozzle (4-Slit Type)**

**Variation of discharge with respect to varying height at constant pressure**

Variation of nozzle discharge with respect to increase in spray height at constant operating pressure is presented in the figure 5. A graph is plotted between nozzle discharge v/s spray height keeping pressure as constant at 4kg/cm². So from graph we can infer that as the height of spray increases the discharge from spray nozzle decreases covering a swath width of 90cm and Uniformity coefficient is about 99.85%.

**Variation of discharge with respect to varying pressure at constant spray height**

Variation of nozzle discharge with respect to increase in operating pressure at constant spray height is presented in the figure 6.

A graph is plotted between discharge v/s pressures keeping spray height as constant at 39cm. So from Variation of nozzle discharge with respect to increase in spray height at constant operating pressure is presented in the table 1. From the graph we can infer that as the pressure increases the discharge from spray nozzle also increases covering a swath width of 90cm and Uniformity coefficient is about 66.06%.

![Fig.1 Block diagram of power transmission system in solar operated push type sprayer](image-url)
Table 1: Construction details of solar operated push type sprayer

| SL.NO | PARAMETERS                  | DETAILS               |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1.    | **Panel description**      |                       |
|       | (a) maximum power ($P_{max}$) | 20W                   |
|       | (b) maximum power voltage ($V_{mp}$) | 16V                   |
|       | (c) maximum power current ($I_{mp}$) | 1.25A                 |
|       | (d) no: of panels          | 1                     |
| 2.    | **Description of DC motor**|                       |
|       | (a) power (P)              | 25.2A                 |
|       | (b) voltage (V)            | 12V                   |
|       | (c) current (I)            | 2.1A                  |
|       | (d) no: of motors          | 2                     |
| 3.    | **Description of DC pump** |                       |
|       | (a) type of pump           | Diaphragm pump        |
|       | (b) discharge (Q)          | 2.5Lt/min             |
|       | (c) pressure ($P_r$)       | 80psi (5.624kg/cm$^2$) |
| 4.    | **Battery description**    |                       |
|       | (a) type of battery        | Sealed Lead Acid Dry Type |
|       | (b) voltage (V)            | 12V                   |
|       | (c) current (I)            | 7.2A                  |
|       | (d) power (P)              | 86.4WP                |
| 5.    | **Nozzle description**     |                       |
|       | (a) nozzle type            | Hollow cone (2 slit and 4-slit) |
|       | (b) orifice diameter ($d_o$) | 2mm                   |
| 6.    | **Tank and hose pipe description** |               |
|       | (a) tank capacity (C)      | 32Lt                  |
|       | (b) diameter of hose pipe ($d_p$) | 16mm                 |
Fig. 2 A view of solar operated push type sprayer

Fig. 3 Measurement of spray droplet size by magnesium oxide coated glass slide

Fig. 4 A view of trinocular microscope

Fig. 5 Variation of discharge with respect to varying height at constant pressure
The summary and conclusion are as follows:

The push type solar sprayer was developed to utilize the available solar energy. The sprayer is mainly used for spraying row crops due to the low clearance. The laboratory test carried out to study the uniformity of spray of hollow cone nozzle using spray patternator by operating at different operating pressure and spray height and evaluated the performance of solar operated push type sprayer in field at an operating pressure of 2 kg/cm² having hollow cone nozzle with a pump capacity of 2.5 l/min. The effects of operational parameters were analyzed by plotting graphs between one parameter with respect to another.

Based on the laboratory test and performance evaluation in the field, the following conclusions are drawn out of the study.

It was observed that the discharge rate increased by increasing the operating pressure.

The spray uniformity was increased with increase in operating pressure.

It was observed that the droplet size is depends on the operating pressure. As the operating pressure increases the size of the droplets were decreased.

The discharge rate of the sprayer was high during the period from 12 noon to 1 pm. This is because of the solar intensity was high i.e. 991 and 980 W/m², respectively. The minimum was 580 W/m² in the evening 5 pm. The current produced by the panel was 1.25 A. so to discharge the 7.2 Ah battery the time required was 4.31 h.

The capacity of fully charged battery covers an area of 1.9 ha.

The actual and theoretical field capacity has been worked out as 0.29 ha/h and 0.32 ha/h for field bean crop with forward speed of 0.528m/s and 0.583m/s respectively. Thus the overall field efficiency of push type solar sprayer is 90.62%.

The diameter of droplet size of hollow cone nozzle was found to be 2.8µm during lab experiment with the help of “Trinocular Microscope”.

It was observed that, the cost of operation of solar operated push type low clearance
sprayer was found to be Rs.38.5/h.
Total cost for one unit of push type solar sprayer is about Rs12685/-
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