Three-dimensional metal-intercalated covalent organic frameworks for near-ambient energy storage
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A new form of nanoporous material, metal intercalated covalent organic framework (MCOF) is proposed and its energy storage property revealed. Employing density functional and thermodynamical analysis, we find that stable, chemically active, porous materials could form by stacking covalent organic framework (COF) layers with metals as a gluing agent. Metal acts as active sites, while its aggregation is suppressed by a binding energy significantly larger than the corresponding cohesive energy of bulk metals. Two important parameters, metal binding and metal-metal separation, are tuned by selecting suitable building blocks and linkers when constructing COF layers. Systematic searches among a variety of elements and organic molecules identify Ca-intercalated COF with diphenylethyne units as optimal material for H₂ storage, reaching a striking gravimetric density ~ 5 wt% at near-ambient conditions (300 K, 20 bar), in comparison to ~ 0.1 wt% for bare COF-1 under the same condition.

Finding low cost, safe, and efficient energy storage materials has been a major challenge to develop renewable-energy based economy. Hydrogen is considered as an appealing energy carrier alternative to fossil fuels in many applications, because it is abundant, energy intensive, and pollution-free. U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) set a target for practical H storage materials to have a gravimetric capacity of 5.5 wt% by 2017. The challenge is to dramatically increase storage capacity and reversibility at ambient conditions. Carbon-based nanostructures and porous materials including fullerene, nanotube, and carbyne networks, have been proposed as potential H₂ storage media, thanks to their high surface-to-weight ratios, good reversibility and fast kinetics. However, most of them failed at room temperature and ambient pressure due to improper binding to H₂.

To reach high and retrievable H storage at ambient conditions, a light three-dimensional (3D) material with H₂ binding energies in the range of 0.2–0.6 eV/H₂ is required. This implies porous materials must have dense active sites for H₂ binding, to which two approaches have been adopted. One is to substitute carbon by other elements such as boron/nitrogen; however, it shows little effect and is difficult to apply. The other is to dope light metals including alkali, alkali earth or transition metals, into porous materials. Improving metal binding by using nanostructure curvature or incorporation of sp-carbons has been also explored. It was found alkali metals have too weak interaction with the substrate and H₂; whereas transition metals tend to cluster on material surface, reducing substantially H₂ storage capacity. Upon compromise, calcium is recognized as a superior coating element thanks to its delicate balance of binding strengths to base materials and H₂. Ca-coated fullerene, Ca₃₂C₆₀, yields strong local electric fields on C₆₀ and reaches a H₂ uptake up to ~ 8.4 wt%. Ca-doped carbyne networks maintain a small distance ~ 10 Å between metal adsorbates and a high H₂ density of ~ 8 wt%. However, both materials have their own flaws: their 3D assembly without affecting H₂ density is either not achievable or not experimentally demonstrated; and it is difficult to control the position and stability of dopant Ca atoms. Similar problems emerge in Ca-doped nanotube, graphite and graphene.

Covalent organic framework (COF) has been recently synthesized as an important extension of carbon porous materials. A variety of experimental studies show that small planar organic molecules form two-dimensional (2D) or 3D supramolecular architectures including COFs, through self-assembly of end linker groups, as schematically shown in Figure 1. Due to their adjustable porous structure and unparalleled strength, COFs are very promising for H₂ storage. At low temperature 77 K and high pressure 100 bar, 2D or 3D COFs can currently store 3–7 wt% H₂. Stunning H₂ uptake of ~ 20 wt% at 77 K and 100 bar has been predicted in theoretical simulations for COF-108 and COF-102-3; but it deteriorates quickly to a value below 1–2 wt% at near ambient condition, for instance, at 300 K and 20 bar. Similar trends were observed for COF-1, a simple layered
COF with the phenyl group as organic unit and boronic acid as the linker (see Fig. 1), shows a H₂ density of 1.28 wt% at 77 K but it decreases to ~ 0.08 wt% at 298 K and 20 bar in experiment. Suitable metal doping also improves H₂ storage in COFs. Grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations reveal that H storage capacity in Li-coated COF-202 can reach 4.39 wt% at 298 K and 100 bar, which is three times higher than in undoped COF-202. However, with the increase of Li concentration, Li atoms form clusters and H storage density reduces. Using density functional theory (DFT) calculations, Wu et al. found that both Li and Ca forms clusters on COF-10, which are 0.17 and 0.20 eV/atom more stable than scattered atoms, respectively. This reduces storage density from 3 to 1.5 H₂ per metal atom. Ca dopants provide a stronger bonding to H₂ (energy ~ 0.21 eV) compared with Li dopants (0.12 eV), due to more charge transfer from Ca to the substrate. As a remedy, two-step doping, namely, metal decoration on B-substituted COF fragments, was also proposed. On all these successful 3D reference materials, H₂ density is below ~2 wt% at near ambient conditions. New methods and concepts for further improvement without metal aggregation are key to develop next generation H storage media.

Here we present a radically different approach to improve H storage in COFs. We explore the feasibility of using a new class of 3D network—metal-intercalated COF (MCOF), for near ambient H₂ storage. Metal binding in such new class of 3D materials (see the inset of Fig. 2a) has a binding energy significantly larger than that in bulk metals, thus intrinsically blocking metal segregation. Quantum mechanical calculations and thermodynamical analysis reveal that optimized MCOF material stores 4.87 wt% of H₂ at 300 K and 20 bar, which is three times higher than in undoped COF-202. In the meantime, various theoretical efforts towards the development of MCOF materials are being made, and the potential benefits of MCOF in terms of H₂ storage are being explored.

Results

It seems natural to combine light metals and extended COF layers for near ambient H₂ storage. The two are both light and stable, and can potentially form new framework with dense active sites. In early experiments the newly synthesized COF materials are found to interact weakly with H₂ molecules, leading to low storage density at ambient conditions. In the meantime, various theoretical efforts towards the development of MCOF materials are being made, and the potential benefits of MCOF in terms of H₂ storage are being explored.
demonstrated that metal doping cannot effectively improve \( \text{H}_2 \) storage due to metal clustering problem on single COF layers. We start a new approach to explore whether metal atoms could be intercalated between COF layers to form stable 3D stacks without metal segregation. The choice of workable metal elements and COF materials should be tested to satisfy the requirements of being stable, light, and active for \( \text{H}_2 \) storage.

**Constructing metal intercalated COF for \( \text{H}_2 \) storage.** We first take one of the simplest COF materials, COF-1, whose organic unit is a benzene ring and linker boronic acid, as our representative model substrate and investigate its interaction with various metal elements. A range of light metals including Li, Na, Mg, K, Ca, and Ti are considered, since they are most commonly adopted to decorate porous nanomaterials serving as active sites for \( \text{H}_2 \) binding. We first test the adsorption site of metal atoms on a single layer COF-1. We find metal atoms prefer to adsorb onto the center of the organic unit, a phenyl ring, rather than on top of B, O, or \( \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \) rings. For instance, the binding energy of Ca (\( E_{\text{M}} \)) on all sites falls in the range of 0.001–0.008 eV, except on the center of phenyl ring, which is 0.77 eV. This site preference is a result of Ca interacting with the \( \pi \) orbital of phenyl ring, which is absent from the electron rich boroxine ring. As shown later, the energy for Ca binding to the phenyl group in COF is an order of magnitude larger than that on isolated benzene (0.07 eV), because the \( \pi \) orbitals are extended onto neighboring B atoms connected to phenyl rings in COF and Ca binding is thus enhanced by delocalized \( \pi \) electrons, as clearly displayed in Fig. 2b inset.

Most importantly, the Ca binding energy increases to 2.83 eV when Ca and COF-1 layers assemble into multilayered metal intercalation structure, the MCOF. The interlayer distance increases from 3.35 Å to 4.40 Å upon metal intercalation and the stacking manner might also be changed. Ca binding on boroxine rings is \( \sim \) 1 eV less stable than on phenyl rings in intercalated 3D COF layers. We expect metal intercalation takes place simultaneously or with a small barrier through the pores of a diameter of \( \sim 10 \text{ Å} \) present in COF-1. The Ca binding energy is 3.37 eV if Van der Waals density functional (VDW-DF)\(^{39,40}\) is used to incorporate van der Waals (VDW) interactions; the additional 0.54 eV is attributed to the VDW interactions between adjacent COF layers. For comparison, the cohesive energy in bulk Ca is 1.88 eV/Ca in our calculation and the experimental value is 1.86 eV/Ca\(^{46}\), much smaller than Ca binding energy in COFs. The energy for a second Ca binding around the Ca on the phenyl ring center is 1.81 eV with a Ca-Ca distance of 3.28 Å; the energy is smaller than the binding energy of first Ca and the cohesive energy of Ca bulk, showing straightforwardly that Ca clustering is not favored. Vibrational analysis also confirms that no major negative frequencies occur in the vibrational spectrum of CaCOF-1. Therefore, Ca and COF-1 forms stable 3D materials (referred to as CaCOF-1) via metal intercalation.

Some other metals also work in the same way as Ca to form MCOFs. In Table 1, we list the calculated parameters including the interlayer distance (\( L \)) and metal binding energy (\( E_{\text{M}} \)) for metal intercalation COF-1. Metal insertion into the COF layers significantly expands the equilibrium interlayer distances, from \( L = 3.4 \text{ Å} \) for bare COFs\(^{23} \) to \( L = 5.4 \text{ Å} \) for KCOF-1. The binding energies of metals to COFs vary from metal to metal, with \( E_{\text{M}} \) for Mg being smallest (\( \leq 0.2 \text{ eV} \)) and \( E_{\text{M}} \) for Ti being largest (\( \geq 5.0 \text{ eV} \)) in our calculations. This is in accord with the rather inert (active) nature of Mg (Ti) metals. However, both cases have the metal binding energy, \( E_{\text{M}} \), smaller than the cohesive energy in bulk metals, \( E_{\text{C}} \), as is the case for most metal doped nanostructures previously studied\(^{22} \). Surprisingly, on the other hand, Li, Na, K and Ca metals have \( E_{\text{M}} \) significantly larger than the corresponding \( E_{\text{C}} \) (see Table 1). This behavior renders metal segregation in such MCOFs thermodynamically unstable. Consequently, 3D network formed in this way is a new class of stable materials without any metal clustering problems.

---

**Table 1** | Metal binding energies (\( E_{\text{M}} \)) and interlayer distances (\( L \)) for 3D stacked MCOFs. The cohesive energies (\( E_{\text{C}} \)) for bulk metals are also listed for comparison.

| MCOF-1 | MCOF-d | Bulk metal |
|--------|--------|-----------|
| \( L (\text{Å}) \) | \( E_{\text{M}} (\text{eV}) \) | \( E_{\text{C}} (\text{eV}) \) | \( L (\text{Å}) \) | \( E_{\text{M}} (\text{eV}) \) | \( E_{\text{C}} (\text{eV}) \) | \( M (\text{eV}) \) | \( C (\text{eV}) \) |
| Li | 3.7 | 2.289 | 3.9 | 2.231 | 1.605 | 4.6 | 1.408 | 4.7 | 1.490 | 1.048 |
| Na | 4.6 | 1.408 | 4.7 | 1.490 | 1.048 | 4.3 | 0.057 | 3.7 | 0.202 | 1.296 |
| Mg | 5.4 | 1.596 | 5.4 | 1.708 | 0.798 | 5.4 | 1.596 | 5.4 | 1.708 | 0.798 |
| Ca | 4.4 | 2.830 | 4.4 | 2.786 | 1.877 | 4.4 | 2.830 | 4.4 | 2.786 | 1.877 |
| Ti | 3.6 | 5.058 | 3.6 | 4.993 | 5.207 | 3.6 | 5.058 | 3.6 | 4.993 | 5.207 |

The new MCOF material can be approximately viewed as reduced intercalation compounds with metal dopants in cation states. Our Bader charge analysis shows that there are about 1.42 electrons transferred from Ca to COF-1 during CaCOF-1 formation, and 0.84 electrons transferred from Li to COF-1. This new class of materials contains porous structures with active, metal sites, which provide extraordinary advantages for molecular adsorption and storage, in particular, for \( \text{H}_2 \) storage. In this aspect, MCOF as the new class of 3D material is significantly different from earlier proposals including those employing \( \text{Ca}_2\text{C}_60 \) and other metal-doped materials, in that:

i) It is an extended three-dimensional framework that can be readily exploited in practical applications; while \( \text{Ca}_2\text{C}_60 \) is finite whose 3D assembly is not feasible without significantly reducing \( \text{H}_2 \) density.

ii) MCOF is thermodynamically stable with metal binding energies greater than that in bulk metals; while on \( \text{C}_60 \), Ca has a binding energy of 1.3 eV, significantly smaller than that in bulk Ca (1.88 eV)\(^{19,22} \), therefore metal segregation is a severe problem during 3D assembly.

iii) MCOFs have intrinsic well-ordered pores freely available for \( \text{H}_2 \) diffusion during charge/discharge processes; while \( \text{Ca}_2\text{C}_60 \) material does not have this advantage.

The porous MCOF-1 structures with stable, active metal sites are ideal candidates for \( \text{H}_2 \) storage. We employ first-principles DFT to study the interaction between MCOF and \( \text{H}_2 \) molecules, to eliminate uncertainties in \( \text{H}_2 \) binding configuration and energies in empirical calculations. Structure optimization with a full coverage of four intact \( \text{H}_2 \) per metal atom was performed for CaCOF-1 and LiCOF-1. We found that LiCOF-1 fails to bind \( \text{H}_2 \) strongly. The \( \text{H}_2 \) binding energy (\( E_{\text{B}} \)) varies from \( \sim 0.05 \text{ eV/} \text{H}_2 \) to 0.02 eV/\( \text{H}_2 \) for a single \( \text{H}_2 \) and for 4 \( \text{H}_2 \) adsorption per Li, due to the fact that Li is strongly bound to the upper and lower COF-1 layers and the interlayer spacing is rather limited (3.7 Å). On the other hand, CaCOF-1 can serve as an excellent \( \text{H}_2 \) storage material with the \( \text{H}_2 \) binding energy of 0.13 eV/\( \text{H}_2 \) with generalized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange-correlation functional and 0.42 eV/\( \text{H}_2 \) with local density approximation (LDA), corresponding to a gravimetric storage capacity of 4.54 wt% and volumetric density of 35.2 g/L at 0 K, very close to the 2017 DOE target. Using VDW-DF the \( \text{H}_2 \) binding energy to CaCOF-1 is 0.18 eV/\( \text{H}_2 \), a reasonable value lying between GGA and LDA numbers, which are known to underestimate and overestimate \( E_{\text{B}} \), respectively\(^{45,46} \). Simpler Grimme’s DFT+dispersion approach also gives reasonable values for VDW interaction energies between \( \text{H}_2 \) and metal sites in metal organic frameworks\(^{47} \). In high-level MP2 and quantum Monte Carlo calculations a binding energy of \( \sim 0.2 \text{ eV} \) was obtained for \( \text{H}_2 \) binding to partially charged Ca, with basis-sets extrapolated to complete basis limit\(^{48,49} \). This number is close to our results. Based on the calculated \( \text{H}_2 \) binding energy, it is shown later the high \( \text{H} \) density persists at near-ambient conditions. For comparison, \( \text{H}_2 \) storage capacity of the COF-1 without metal intercalation was found only 1.28 wt% at 77 K and ambient pressure, and 0.08 wt% at 298 K and 20 bar in experiment\(^{50} \).
The nearly sixty times increase in storage density under near ambient conditions in COF-1 upon Ca intercalation is attributed to the presence of dense, active metal sites and the ideal binding energy to H$_2$. In CaCOF-1 framework, the empty Ca 3d orbitals interact strongly with the $p$ orbitals of COF-1, presenting large density of states (DOS) around the Fermi level, see Fig. 2. These states are saturated upon H$_2$ binding. The energy distribution of H$_2$ orbitals is highly overlapped with Ca 3d orbitals, suggesting H$_2$ binding to CaCOF-1 via a Kubas mechanism, namely, hybridization between the antibonding $\sigma^*$ orbital of H$_2$ and the $d$-orbital of Ca$^{32}$.

**Optimizing MCOFs: the organic building blocks.** Although CaCOF-1 has shown outstanding property and great promise for H$_2$ storage, it is unclear whether this approach can be extended to other systems, or can be further improved to produce new materials satisfying DOE target of a 5.5 wt% density. We then explore a range of possible alternatives of organic building units and illuminate the systematic trends in H$_2$ binding behaviors, in the hope to optimize MCOF building blocks for enhanced H$_2$ storage capacity and to identify important parameters for MCOF design.

**Benzene as the reference organic unit.** To seek an optimal organic building block, the organic unit of COF-1, a benzene ring, was first studied as a standard reference. Ca binding to C$_6$H$_6$ produces a small energy, 0.07 eV/Ca, less than the binding energy of Ca to the single layer COF-1, due to limited electron conjugation in isolated benzene molecules. The first H$_2$ adsorbs onto CaC$_6$H$_6$ in intact form, with H-H bond length ($d_{HH}$) of 0.804 Å at $n = 3$. The maximum is not for $n = 1$ because of the competition between electron polarization and Pauli repulsion. We note that there is a strong correlation between average $E_b$ and $d_{HH}$, which might be used to steer optimal design of H$_2$ storage material. PDOS analysis for 1-5 H$_2$ adsorption onto CaC$_6$H$_6$ clearly indicates there is a largest mixing between H$_2$ states and Ca $d$-orbitals and a high occupation of H$_2$ antibonding states and Ca $d$-orbitals for $n = 3$ and 4. The sixth H$_2$, initially placed close to Ca, is repelled to a distance larger than 6 Å, suggesting the system with five H$_2$ to be saturated. The maximum of five H$_2$ molecules offer 10 electrons, together with 2 electrons from Ca and 6 $\pi$-electrons from benzene, the 18-electron rule is nicely satisfied as proposed for H$_2$ storage on transition metal complexes$^{22}$. The average binding energy is $\sim$ 0.29 eV/H$_2$. For comparison, on Ca-decorated terephthalic acid, H$_2$ binding energy was calculated to be 0.20 eV in GGA and 0.24 eV in MP2 with completed basis set$^{42}$. Among the adsorbed H$_2$ molecules, we observe that H$_2$ prefers to adsorb in the neighborhood of Ca-C$_6$H$_6$, than on the top site of Ca. This is also indicated by the difference in binding energies (0.11 vs 0.06 eV) and H-H lengths (0.785 vs 0.752 Å) on the two sites, respectively. It is because the neighboring four molecules interact with Ca via the Kubas mechanism, as shown in Fig. 4; while the top H$_2$ is bound via the long-ranged electrostatic attraction since no overlap between H$_2$ bound state and Ca $d$-orbital was observed in PDOS$^{32}$. Notice that in the case of Ca coated fullerene$^{22}$, the maximal number of adsorbed H$_2$ molecules per Ca is five with the same trend for $E_b$. The Ca binding energy increases to 0.73 eV/Ca with two Ca bound on both sides of benzene, perhaps due to the more polarized $\pi$ orbitals of benzene than the case of single Ca adsorption. Detailed analysis shows that upon two Ca adsorption, there are +0.99 electrons transferred from each Ca to benzene, larger than that for a single Ca adsorption (+0.88 electrons). The H$_2$ can be adsorbed in total, leading to H storage capacity of 11.2 wt% (0 K), with $d_{HH} = 0.785$ Å; the $E_b$ of 0.23 eV/H$_2$ falls in the ideal range of 0.2–0.6 eV/H$_2$.

**Comparison to other small molecules.** Then a series of Ca-decorated small organic molecules including C$_m$H$_{2m}$ ($m = 2,4,6$) and ring structures are investigated. Although some molecules are not yet directly used as COF building blocks, exploring their potential performance yields valuable information for comparison to currently available materials and provides new insights for future applications. Compared with CaC$_6$H$_6$, we find Ca binding to the first three molecules is very weak, with $E_{Ca} \leq 0.01$ eV, making them unstable for hosting H$_2$. The binding energy of Ca to neutral C$_2$H$_2$ is 3.24 eV, showing a strong interaction between them. This is a result of electron deficiency in C$_2$H$_2$ to form a stable aromatic system$^{44}$, 6 $\pi$ electrons are required; where C$_2$H$_2$ supplies only 5, with another electron from Ca. Therefore the CaC$_6$H$_6$ complex formed is a
product of reduction-oxidation chemical reaction, namely, C₅H₅ is reduced by an electron from Ca to form a stable compound. Very surprisingly, the Ca-C₅H₅ seems to be saturated and does not bind any H₂. No matter where the H₂ molecule is initially placed, it is repelled to a distance > 4 Å from Ca with negligible binding energies (≤ 0.005 eV/H₂), shown in Fig. 4(f). It implies the electrostatic force is dominant. This behavior is in contrast to that for Ca-C₆H₆, suggesting that strong interaction between Ca and the organic component does not necessarily introduce a strong binding to H₂; instead, the metal-organic complexes get saturated and become inert to H₂ binding. Therefore organic systems with too strong or too weak Ca binding fail to bind H₂ well. A good balance between stability (Ca-binding) and reactivity (Ca-H₂ interaction) is required for optimal H₂ storage.

Next, we substitute carbon atoms in benzene by boron or nitrogen, to check whether H storage properties are affected. Typical structures considered and results are shown in Fig. 5 (first row) and Table 2. We found substitutional structures with more than three B deform and are unstable, thus are not listed. Ca-doped C₄H₆B₂ and C₆H₄N₂ show much enhancement for the first H₂ adsorption, so we study multiple H₂ adsorption on them. The two can both host up to five H₂. The corresponding $E_b = 0.22$ eV and $d_{HH} = 0.771$ Å for H₂ on CaC₄H₆B₂, and $E_b = 0.14$ eV and $d_{HH} = 0.784$ Å for CaC₆H₄N₂. While they retain similar H₂ binding properties as that for Ca-C₆H₆, the Ca-binding is significantly improved, leading to more stabilized material and benign thermodynamical properties without metal clustering. This is due to the fact that the Ca-C₄H₆B₂ complex is formed by chemical redox reaction, where Ca electrons are transferred to two-electron deficient C₄H₆B₂ molecule. If we dope two Ca to CaC₄H₆B₂ on both sides, ten H₂ are adsorbed, with $E_{Ca} = 2.56$ eV/Ca, $d_{HH} = 0.771$ Å, and $E_b = 0.17$ eV/H₂, corresponding to H₂ storage density of 11.5 wt% (0 K). The experimental route for B-substitution...
might be complicated and their stability requires further investigation. Similar results were observed for Ca doping in B-substituted metal-organic framework MOF-5 and COF.

We also consider substitution of C in the five membered rings. Three existing heterocycles, furan (C₄H₄O), tetrahydrothiophene substituted metal-organic framework MOF-5 and COF.

Table 2 | Ca binding energy (E_Ca), adsorbed H-H bond length (d_HH) and energy (E_b) for H₂ on six- and five-membered rings

| C₄H₆ | C₆H₅N₂ | C₅H₄B | C₅H₇B₂ | C₄H₈B₃ | C₄H₈O | C₄H₈S | C₄H₈N |
|------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| E_Ca (eV) | 0.07 | 0.73 | 3.02 | 3.13 | 4.80 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.25 |
| E_b (eV) | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.35 |
| d_HH (Å) | 0.785 | 0.774 | 0.753 | 0.805 | 0.755 | 3.778 | 3.846 | 3.680 |

Large polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are formed when two or more benzene rings are connected in the same aromatic plane. The interaction energy between Ca and the isolated compounds increases to around 1.1 eV, shown in Table 3. In this case, the distance between adjacent Ca atoms, d_Ca-Ca, is an important parameter. If the distance is too small, it could lead to metal clustering or H₂ bound to two Ca atoms, both reducing H storage capacity. We found on p-terphenyl (C₁₄H₁₀) d_Ca-Ca = 4.105 Å, and four H₂ molecules between adjacent Ca dissociate by the strong reaction to Ca. With the distance extends to 6.847 Å on diphenylethylene, dissociation of H₂ molecules disappears and all H₂ are kept intact with d_HH ≥ 3.680 Å (Table 2). Less than two more H₂ molecules can be adsorbed further, with the binding energy of 0.1–0.2 eV/H₂. They are not suitable for H₂ storage.

Optimizing MCOFs: effects of the linker groups. Besides the organic units, linker groups play an essential role in forming COFs via connecting organic units studied above. Two common covalent interactions. We note the eclipsed stacking is chosen for all COFs hereafter, as most promising for H₂ storage. Similar to COF-1, COF-d also forms 3D MCOF structures upon intercalation with metal elements such as Ca and Li, which preserves the ideal H₂ storage property in low-dimension materials. We then calculate the equilibrium structure and energy storage properties of the 3D intercalated CaCOF-d material in a rhombic unit cell with in-plane dimensions of 27.24 Å × 27.24 Å and vary its interlayer distance. The unit cell contains three branches of diphenylethylene connected to the center boroxine ring, namely, it consists of 42 carbon atoms, 24 hydrogen atoms, 6 oxygen atoms, 6 boron atoms and 6 calcium atoms before H₂ adsorption (see Fig. 7). The optimized interlayer spacing is found to be 4.4 Å for the minimum energy configuration, adopting an eclipsed stacking manner to maximize interlayer interactions. We note the eclipsed stacking is chosen for all COFs with relatively large organic building blocks, with or without metal intercalation. The Ca binding energy in CaCOF-d, with respect to isolated COF-d layer and free Ca atoms as a reference, is calculated to be 2.79 eV/Ca (see Table 1), largely exceeding the cohesive energy of 1.88 eV for bulk Ca. This indicates Ca atoms in this assembly cannot form metal clusters, similar to the case of CaCOF-1. Compared to CaCOF-1, the Ca binding energy is only slightly decreased by

Table 3 | Number of adsorbed Ca atoms (N_Ca), average binding energies per Ca (E_Ca) and distances between adjacent Ca (d_Ca-Ca) on representative PAHs. n is the number of adsorbed intact H₂ molecules

| N_Ca | C₅H₆ | C₆H₅N₂ | C₅H₄B | C₅H₇B₂ | C₄H₈B₃ | C₄H₈O | C₄H₈S | C₄H₈N |
|------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| C₅H₆ | C₆H₅N₂ | C₅H₄B | C₅H₇B₂ | C₄H₈B₃ | C₄H₈O | C₄H₈S | C₄H₈N |
| E_Ca (eV) | 1.14 | 1.06 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 1.03 | 0.56 | 0.80 | 6.847 |
| d_Ca-Ca (Å) | 3.326 | 3.859 | 3.658 | 4.105 | 12.18 | 20.0 |
| n | 8 | 11 | 12 | 18 | 20 |
0.04 eV/Ca. Interestingly, Li binding energy in LiCOF-d is also 0.06 eV/Li smaller than that in LiCOF-1, indicating the diphenyllethyne unit is slightly less reactive than single phenyl groups during MCOF formation. Both CaCOF-d and LiCOF-d intercalation are stable 3D materials.

Three-dimensional stacking in CaCOF-d does not reduce much H₂ storage capacity in low-dimensioned clusters and overlayers. In CaCOF-d, a single Ca can adsorb four intact H₂, all parallel to the plane of the COF-d layer, as shown in Fig. 7b, with the average binding energy ~ 0.15 eV/H₂ in GGA form of exchange-correlation energy and 0.42 eV/H₂ in LDA. We believe the exact binding energy

|   | C₁₂H₁₀ | C₁₂H₆(COOH)₄ | C₁₂H₈(B(OH)₂)₂ |
|---|--------|---------------|-----------------|
| E_{Ca} (eV) | 1.16   | 1.46          | 1.12            |
| d_{Ca–Ca} (Å) | 3.658  | 3.695         | 4.155           |
| n  | 12     | 12            | 16              |
| d_{HH} (Å)  | 0.765  | 0.765         | 0.776           |

Figure 7 | (a) H₂ storage on two-dimensional Ca-decorated COF-d layer. There are five H₂ molecules per Ca adsorbed in 2D CaCOF-d. The hexagonal unit is blown up on the right panel for clarity. (b) Three-dimensional CaCOF-d structure in two views. There are four H₂ molecules per Ca adsorbed in 3D CaCOF-d. For clarity H₂ molecules are omitted in the left panel.

Figure 8 | (a) H₂ storage density of 3D CaCOF-d as a function of pressure at 77 K, 298 K, and 400 K. H₂ binding energy around 0.29 eV is used. (b) Comparison of storage density in CaCOF-d (excluding free-volume storage) and bare COF-1 (data from experiments in Ref.27) at 77 K.
lies between the two values, close to the averaged value at 0.29 eV/H₂, based on an empirical guideline that the binding energy averaged from LDA and GGA values is close to the precise Quantum Monte Carlo result for H₂ on B-doped fullerene. A storage capacity of 4.94 wt% at 0 K is calculated. The distance between two adjacent COF-d layers is 5.0 Å upon H₂ binding, enlarged by 0.6 Å compared to H₂ free materials. The estimation of H₂ density excludes the free volume storage of H₂ in the pores of CaCOF-d, which has a diameter of ~20 Å. If all possible adsorption sites are considered, this porous 3D network satisfies the 2017 DOE target for H₂ storage.

To unveil its practical advantage as a new class of ideal H storage material, Figure 8 shows the storage capacity of CaCOF-d as a function of pressure and temperature at near ambient conditions. The H₂ capacity at a given temperature T and pressure P is evaluated via the relation $P = kT/\mu$, where k is Boltzmann constant, $\mu$ is the grand partition function, and $\mu$ is the H₂ chemical potential. The grand partition function follows,

$$Z = \sum_p \prod_l \exp\left\{-\frac{g_l (\mu_0 - \mu) kT}{P}\right\},$$

where $g_l$ is the adsorption energy per H₂ molecule when the number of adsorbed molecules is l, and $g_l$ is the multiplicity (degeneracy) of the configuration for a given l. The chemical potential of H₂, $\mu$, is given by

$$\mu(P,T) = \mu^0(T) - kT \ln \frac{P}{P_0},$$

where $\mu^0(T)$ is standard chemical potential and $P_0$ is standard state pressure. Here, $\mu$ and $g_l$ are negative values. The gravimetric density $\rho$ of hydrogen storage is then obtained as

$$\rho(P,T) = \frac{\rho_{max} - f(P,T)}{\rho_{max}} \times \rho_{max}.$$

We adopt ambient pressure $\mu$ values in the literature. At 298 K and 20 bar, we obtain 4.87 wt% storage capacity (excluding H₂ storage in the free volume), which is substantially large than experimental values for un-doped COF-1 (0.1 wt%). The storage capacity is higher if H₂ adsorption onto B₃O₃ rings and in free volume is considered. Most of the stored H₂ (3.2 wt%) will be released at 298 K and 1 bar (by solely decreasing the pressure), or at 400 K and 20 bar (by solely increasing the temperature), where about 1.7 wt% H₂ retains. This favors near-ambient condition operation for H₂ storage and release, with impressive accessible H₂ uptake. Without Ca, the storage capacity is very low (see Fig. 8b), and near-ambient condition operation is not possible due to too small H₂ binding energies (~40 meV/H₂). The bulk MCOFs formed by Ca intercalation have advantages of being intrinsically three-dimensional, thermodynamically stable, and with well-ordered and adjustable pores, in contrast to previously proposed Ca₃C₆₀ and other Ca-doped nanomaterials.

**Discussion**

The 3D network based on Ca-decorated carbyne was recently proposed as stable H₂ storage media, where Ca binding energy ranges from 1.4 to 2.0 eV/Ca depending on Ca-Ca separation. The Ca’s are close to bulk Ca cohesive energy. However, the base material, the 3D carbyne network has yet to be synthesized in experiment. It existence and room temperature stability (in particular chemical reactivity) remain questionable. On the other hand, crystalline 3D networks formed by stacking COFs via van der Waals forces have been explicitly demonstrated; we expect Ca-decorated COF-1 to be readily fabricated in experiment, by Ca intercalation between weakly bound COF-1 layers at high temperatures (600 K) in Ar gases. Based on existing materials (graphite and metals), a similar approach has resulted the discovery of CaC₆₀, a superconducting material with highest transition temperature of 11.5 K among metal-intercalated carbon materials. Hydrogen storage on metal intercalated graphite was also explored recently. Once formed, the new Ca-intercalated 3D COF material is thermodynamically stable (characterized by large Ca binding energy), and would be quite inert, except for Ca active sites. The metal sites in MCOF might be sensitive to water vapor contamination, as is the case for all other H₂ storage materials with active sites; in real applications water concentration must be carefully controlled during H₂ charging and discharging processes. Overall, these novel properties would favor MCOF synthesis and H₂ storage applications.

In conclusion, we have designed a new class of 3D networks based on first-principles: metal-intercalated COFs, which have well-defined crystal structures and are chemically active for molecular adsorption. Metal atoms prefer to bind to aromatic organic units rather than B₃O₃ connecting rings in MCOFs. Li, Na, K and Ca could be intercalated into COF-1 and COF-d layers and form thermodynamically stable materials, with metal binding stronger in MCOF than in bulk metals. For H₂ storage, Ca is the most suitable metal to be intercalated in the stacking structures. Important parameters, such as Ca-binding and separation, and trends on 5,6-membered rings and larger PAHs are identified. The covalent linker –B(OH)₂ preserves nicely H₂ storage properties, while –COOH linkers adjust Ca separation and in turn H₂ store capacity. Systematic optimization of organic building units and linker groups identifies CaCOF-d as most promising for near-ambient H₂ storage. The porous 3D material, CaCOF-d, reaches ~5 wt% H₂ storage capacity under near-ambient condition with minimal clustering problem. Routes for experimental synthesis are discussed. We envisage that this new class of MCOF porous materials, with a high density of active metal sites, would prove useful in a variety of applications including gas adsorption, sensors, and air cleaning.

**Methods**

Our first-principles calculations were performed within the framework of density functional theory (DFT) using Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). The ultrasoft pseudopotentials and general gradient approximation (GGA) in Perdew-Wang form for exchange-correlation energy are used. For the cases of hydrogen adsorption in MCOFs, we use local density approximation (LDA) for comparison. Although GGA tends to underestimate H₂ binding energies and LDA overestimates them, the trends for comparing relative energy differences are reliable. Recently developed van der Waals density functional (VDW-DF) by Langreth et al. and parameterized by Klimes et al. is also employed to check the energies in some critical cases. The binding energies and lengths reported throughout the manuscript are based on GGA exchange-correlation functional unless otherwise specified explicitly. Spin polarization is invoked whenever necessary. We found local magnetic moments are zero for CaCOF systems and very small (<0.3μ₀) for Li-COFs and variations in total energy are negligible. The supercells usually contain a vacuum layer of >10 Å and have cell dimensions of 15 Å × 15 Å × 1 Å and 30 Å × 15 Å × 20 Å for small and large finite systems, respectively. A plane wave cutoff of 400 eV and single Gamma for k-point sampling are employed for isolated systems. For periodic systems, a hombic 15.20 Å × 15.20 Å unit cell for COF-1 and 27.24 Å × 27.24 Å for COF-d is used within the COF plane, and the interlayer distance is carefully optimized. K-point mesh of 1 × 1 × 5 is used for calculating metal and H₂ binding properties of MCOFs. All atoms are allowed to relax until the forces on each atom have magnitudes less than 0.01 eV/Å.
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