Assessment of the Drug/Drug Interaction in the Prescriptions of the Diabetes Mellitus at Tertiary Care Hospital of Larkana Sindh, Pakistan

Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to assess the possible drug/drug interaction in the prescriptions of the diabetic patients.

Methodology and study design: A descriptive based study was carried out. The 400 prescriptions were taken into the account using the sample purposive technique. The prescriptions of the diabetic patients were taken into the account and the study was made with the reference of the DDI software and the Lexicomp books. Those patients who had disease other than diabetes mellitus were excluded from the study. Only the interested patients were included in the study.

Study site: The study was carried out at the tertiary care Hospital of Larkana, Sindh, Pakistan.

Results: From the total 61.25% male patients were taken and the female % age was about 38.57%. Furthermore, the area wise distribution of the sample was also made and in this regard 52.75% were belonging to the urban area and the remaining 47.26% were from the rural areas of Sindh, Pakistan.

Conclusion: From the study it was concluded that the numerous drug/drug interaction were observed in the prescriptions of the diabetic patients.
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Introduction

The Definition of “D/D interactions” can be said that alter in kinetics of the drug which is caused by another drug [1,2]. There could be different effects of the drug/drug interaction especially the toxicity and the decreased pharmacological effects are the essential, such as the interaction between an antihypertensive drug and a diuretic. Grave outcomes due to drug-drug interactions were observed when the drugs were administered in the form of a combination but not all interactions were held to be serious at a similar rate when were compared to others [3,4]. How much severe was the outcome of the adverse event on this basis the DDIs are being assessed. Diabetes is derived from Greek word “Siphon” means sweet as honey [5]. And it was believed that taste of urine was the major part to diagnose the diabetes [6]. If the person had frequent urination with sweet taste to be have diabetes, and this type of habit of checking diabetes continued until Insulin was not discovered. There are so many signs for diagnosis of diabetes that may include; polydipsia, polyphagia, polyuria, fatigue, blurred vision, weight loss, frequent and slow healing infection including vaginal, skin and bladder infection, dry and itchy skin, loosing feeling in your feet, feeling numbness and pins in your feet [7,8]. The patients diagnosed with the diabetes mellitus may fall prey of the polypharmacy and. these include medications for dyslipidemia, hypertension, antiplatelet therapy, and glycemic control [9]. So many medications can be overwhelming, hence it is very significant to educate the patients regarding drug regimen and mostly the people remain very worried about the multiple drug therapy so in the prescription aid for the diabetic patients contained. There are different sorts of the diabetes mellitus
like type 1 it is also called insulin dependent diabetes, it only develops in childhood at the age of 4 to 6 years, and the main reason behind this type of diabetes is totally diminishing of beta cells; which are responsible for the production of insulin. And the diabetic people are managed by external usage of insulin for their entire life. Besides this there is type II diabetes mellitus [10-12]. It is also called insulin independent diabetes and it mostly happens after the age of 30-35 years and there are so many reasons for its development [13]. It may occur due to insulin resistance or insulin action or may be both. Many people in the world are diagnosed with Type II diabetes and still many are unknown and the gestational diabetes mellitus [14,15]. It is the only type of Diabetes that occurs only in females during the periods of gestation (Pregnancy) [16]. If Gestational diabetes occurs body cannot use sugar and starch (carbohydrates) for the production of energy. In the result body gain extra sugar in their systemic circulation (blood) [17-19], this is very harmful for mother as well as for the fetus. According to research it is estimated that 1 out of 50 pregnant women having Gestational Diabetes, the actual cause of gestational diabetes is not known but women with GDM gain weight before pregnant. In case of the D/D interaction the pharmacological effects of the drug are either decreased or the toxicity develops. The objective of the current study is to evaluate drug/drug reciprocity in treatment of diabetes mellitus [20,21].

**Methodology**

The descriptive based stud made at a Tertiary Care Hospital of Larkana, Sindh, Pakistan. A total of 500 prescriptions were taken using the sample purposeful technique. The study was carried out for the period of 6 months. Before starting the research, the verbal consent was taken from the patients by defining all the details of the study furthermore, all the acquired prescriptions were analyzed and assessed by help of standard references as Software for DDIs, Lexicomp, Drug knowledge website etc. Furthermore, the founded interactions were categorized as minor, moderate and major respectively.

**Table 1** Gender wise distribution of sample.

| Gender  | Number of Prescription | Percentage |
|---------|------------------------|------------|
| Male    | 245                    | 61.25%     |
| Female  | 155                    | 38.75%     |

*From the total of 400 medication orders, 245 belonged to males and 155 to the female.

**Table 2** Area wise distribution of prescriptions.

| Area based distribution | No of Patients | Percentage |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Urban                   | 211            | 52.75%     |
| Rural                   | 189            | 47.25%     |
| Total                   | 400            | 100%       |

*The area wise distribution of the sample. In this table it is shown that 211 patients belong to the urban areas whereas 189 belongs to the rural areas.

**Table 3** Drug/Drug interaction in the diabetes mellitus.

| Drugs                        | Prescription No | Percentage | Consequences                  |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Chlorpropamide/Alcohol       | 86              | 21.5%      | Facial flushing               |
| Acetohexamide/Tolazamide     | 110             | 27.5%      | Increased hypoglycemia        |
| Repaglinide/Gemfibrozil      | 169             | 42.25%     | Prolonged hypoglycemia        |
| Repaglinide/Erythromycin derivative | 35              | 8.75%      | Reduced efficacy of Repaglinide |

*The number of prescriptions containing different percentages of the drug/drug interactions and the exercised consequences.
Statistical analysis

Every solution was assessed, and information was broken down by utilizing SPSS programming adaptation 20.

Discussion

The area of interest in this study is to evaluate the drug/drug interaction used simultaneously in a single prescription (poly-pharmacy) at tertiary care hospital of Larkana. Moreover, different studies have been done regarding the significance of the DDIs in this study main object of current study is to lay the emphasis on the prevalence of medication interactions among the poly-pharmacy practiced medication-orders. Subsequently poly-pharmacy practice is described as the multiple prescribed medications in a single physician’s order. Moreover, as the poly-pharmacy prescription is prescribed there are more risks for the drug/drug interaction. Diabetes is chronic disorder in which body cannot produce sufficient amount of energy due to lack of insulin activity or insulin secretion within the body. High level of glucose within systemic circulation may leads towards complication of diabetes which may be fatal. In the current study near 400 prescriptions were taken into the account. From the total 61.25% male patients were taken and the female % age was about 38.75% (Table 1). Furthermore, the area wise distribution of the sample was also made and in this regard 52.75% were belonging to the urban area and the remaining 47.26% were from the rural areas of Sindh Pakistan (Table 2). Prescriptions containing the therapies of diabetes mellitus were taken into the study and the drug/drug interaction in the case files of the diabetic patients was assessed. From this the Chlorpropamide/Alcohol interaction was found to be the 21.5 which resulted in the facial flushing, Acetohexamide/ Tolazamide these types of the interactions were noted to be the 27.5% and they caused the increased hypoglycemia. Repaglinide/ Gemfibrozil interactions were found to be the 42.25 and they resulted in the prolonged hypoglycemia. Apart from this the Repaglinide/Erythromycin derivative were found to be the 8.75% and they caused the reduced efficacy of Repaglinide (Table 3).

Conclusion

From the study it was concluded that the numerous drug/drug interaction were observed in the prescriptions of the diabetic patients and the Subsequently, it was also found during the survey that most of the drug delivery sites and various wards had an ample shortage of Pharmacist which is again of a serious concern that no any person was available to judge the prescriptions and analyze the D/D interactions among them.
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