Gregarious behavior of two species of Cassidinae (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in Mexico
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Aggregation refers to a spatial distribution pattern, where individuals are grouped (Patil and Stitteler 1974). In many cases, this behavior is maintained by the interaction with pheromones. However, insects also use visual cues and signals to regulate aggregation (Bengtsson 2008). For insects, this conduct can have a direct relation with fitness; it can reduce the probability of predation and decrease body water loss (Flowers 1991, Bengtsson 2008, Boulay et al. 2019). In a mixed-species group, the advantages could be attributed to the direct increase in group size (Boulay et al. 2019). When different species gather even more individuals can be aggregated and more benefits can be obtained when compared with monospecific groups, for example a better food assimilation or temperature regulation (larvae aggregations) (Boulay et al. 2019, Rivers et al. 2011). Also, this behavior can provide protection against environmental constraints, or foraging advantages (Weed 2010).

Aggregation has been registered for several families of beetles, including Chrysomelidae (Hodek, 2012), where some species of the subfamily Cassidinae (tortoise beetles) have been observed in aggregations of mixed-species during the dry season (Flowers 1991). Herein, we report for the first time the aggregation behavior for the tortoise beetles Physonota humilis Bohemian, 1856 and Ogdoecosta epilachnoides (Champion, 1893). Both species were found intermixed with other beetle species. Small aggregations of Physonota humilis (Fig. 1a, b) have been observed during the rainy season of 2014 and the dry season of 2018 in an oak forest in the locality of Mesa del Ocote, Sierra del Travesaño, in Chapala, Jalisco (2 450 m) (Fig. 1c). These aggregations were found beneath the bark of dead oak (Quercus sp.), mixed with two species of Epilachna Chevrolet (Coccinellidae) (Fig. 1d).

A dense aggregation of Ogdoecosta epilachnoides (Fig. 2a) was observed in a dry forest of Ajijic, El Tapalo in Chapala, Jalisco (1,650 m), where more than 200 specimens of O. epilachnoides were observed beneath the bark of a tree trunk (Fig. 2b). In the same locality a second aggregation of more than 50 specimens of O. epilachnoides was observed in the epiphyte, Tillandsia recurvata (L.) (Fig. 2c). Also, some individuals of O. epilachnoides were found in adjacent vegetation. These aggregations were observed close to a stream during visits in the dry and rain season of years 2014 and 2018.

Another aggregation of O. epilachnoides was found in October of 2011 in an oak forest, in the locality of Zacoalpan, Mexico (2,500 m) (Fig. 2d). This aggregation was found in a different species of Bromeliaceae. It was formed by more than 200 individuals mixed with two specimens of Ogdoecosta biannularis (Bohemian, 1854). Also, many elytra of O. epilachnoides were found in the same Bromeliaceae.

Although there are reports for Cassidinae aggregations, little is known about the factors that influence this behavior. According to Flowers (1991), the flat and expanded elytra and the shape of pronotal margins could be an advantage in exploiting transpired moisture during dry season. In the subfamily Cassidinae, the humidity and temperature are important factors that regulate their activity (Sandoval-Becerra et al. 2016). However, the aggregations reported herein are different, because P. humilis and O. epilachnoides have been observed beneath the bark of a tree during all the year, not only in dry season. Thus, the aggregation of these species could be interpreted as a defense mechanism. Also, the microclimatic conditions that these refuges offer to tortoise beetles may allow them conserve energy and water.

Additionally, bromeliads are an occasional place of concealment, but also its particular structure allows water storage. Terrestrial animals and insects use this water reservoir, since these epiphytes offer an apparently favorable microhabitat. This is especially notable during dry seasons, when bromeliads provide refuge of apparently
higher humidity (Frank and Lounibos 2009). However, the aggregation of *O. epilachnoides* in *Tillandsia recurvata* was observed during all year. It seems that, in this particular case, the aggregations of this species are not for a moist refuge. Sometimes, aggregations might originate from an intrinsic tendency to join conspecifics and clump together, but also aggregations may decrease the risk of predation and parasitism (Honek et al. 2007).
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