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ABSTRACT

Recently, human papillomavirus (HPV) has gained considerable attention in cervical cancer research studies. It is one of the most important sexually transmitted diseases that can affect 160 to 289 out of 10000 persons every year. Due to the infectious nature of this virus, HPV can be considered a serious threat. The knowledge of viral structure, especially for viral oncoproteins like E6, E7, and their role in causing cancer is very important. This virus has different paths (PI3K/Akt, Wnt/β-catenin, ERK/MAPK, and JAK/STAT) that are involved in the transmission of signaling paths through active molecules like MEK (pMEK), ERK (pERK), and Akt (pAkt). It’s eventually through these paths that cancer is developed. Precise knowledge of these paths and their signals give us the prognosis to adopt appropriate goals for prevention and control of these series of cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the commonest occurring cancer in women. In underdeveloped countries, the incidence of cervical cancer is high (about 85 percent) (Manikandan et al., 2019). Cervical cancer ranks second as a cause of cancer-specific mortality in women (Aaronson, 2002; Jemal et al., 2011; Li et al.,...
The most prominent types of cancer among women are breast, colorectal, gastric, oral, lung, and cervix (Hoque and Hoque, 2009). Human papillomavirus (HPV) is the most well-known risk factor for the development of cervical cancer. Several types of viruses can cause cervix infection. Studies show that 97.7% of cervix cancer patients are HPV positive. At the present time, HPV of types 16, 18, and 45 have been identified as the most common causes of cancers of the cervix (Blodt et al., 2012; Pappa et al., 2017). This virus can cause cancer not only in the cervix, anus, penis, vulva, and vagina but also in some other types of head and neck cancers (Nour, 2009). In the first stages of cancer, the basal layer cells get infected, and if prolonged, the HPV genome survives as a nuclear extrachromosomal episome by suppressing the viral oncoproteins E6 and E7, and following gradual basal cellular differentiation. Besides, basal cellular migrates toward the higher epithelium layers. As a result, there is an increase in the expression of viral oncoproteins E6 and E7, which leads to inhibition of apoptosis and proliferation of the virus-derived genome (Kontostathi et al., 2016). The majority of HPV infections could display no signs of disease in patients for the first few years and might disappear with no treatment (Manikandan et al., 2019). In this review, we will focus on the effect of HPV and the types of proteins that facilitate the evolution of HPV infection into cancer HPV structure. Our goal in this paper is not to give a full description of the HPV structure that has been covered in all scientific sources. We are only discussing some general facts and then move to the details. Regarding structure related to HPV, it has been demonstrated that the HPV as a deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) virus has a non-enveloped structure which causes papillomatoses in a variety of vertebrates. Its genome has a circular shape of size of approximately 8 kb. This virus, depending on its potential for causing cancer, is called low-risk or high-risk HPV. Among these viruses, types 16, 18, 30, 33, and 45 are considered the most dangerous. Each virus has 8 principal proteins. In the early region of the HPV genome, there are 6 proteins while the late region encodes 2 proteins (Doorbar et al., 1997; de Sanjose et al., 2010; Graham, 2010). The proteins in E3, E8 have also been identified, that are involved in protein-coding of HPV-31 and BPV-1 (Zheng and Baker, 2006) (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: There is a strong association between human papilloma virus (HPV) infection and the development of human cervical cancer. E6 and E7 proteins of HPV have a pivotal role in the initiation and progression of HPV-associated cervical cancer.](image-url)
EARLY PROTEINS

These proteins are among functional proteins that are involved not only in the control of infected cells via apoptosis but also in the increase of virus genome replication; transcription, cell signaling, cell cycle, immune modulation, and structural modification (Doorbar et al., 1997).

E1

E1 is a viral DNA helicase (Bergvall et al., 2013). This protein, in tandem with E2, can regulate the immediate-early transcription and also contributes to viral DNA (Zheng and Baker, 2006). E1 is not only an enzyme but also the most protected protein that is coded by Papillomavirus (PVs). It appears that E1 is needed in the proliferation cycle of viral because of its significant role revealed in the viral cycle. Initially, keratinocyte infection causes an increase in the number of viral episomes copies and then the distinction begins with an increase in the constant level of the episodes. Finally, in the upper layers of the epithelial, strengthening the viral genome occurs during stages of life cycle production related to the virus (Kim and Lambert, 2002; Egawa et al., 2012; Bergvall et al., 2013).

E2

E2 has a second –N terminal that acts as a conduit for inter-protein reactions, a flexible hinge, as well as a second connection to C-terminal DNA (Stubenrauch et al., 2000; Ammermann et al., 2008). It seems that the expression of E1 and E2 proteins occur in small quantities in the prior stages of basal layer cells of infection (Ozbun, 2002). Studies have shown, that these proteins act as repressors for P97 transcription after TATA-binding protein (TBP), by connecting TFIIID (Hou et al., 2000). Also, transcriptional repression only occurs in the cells that have uniform DNA (Stanley, 2006). In high-risk HPV and mainly in HPV16 and HPV17, the original transcribed copy starts from a promoter named P97 (Bechtold et al., 2003; Stanley, 2006). This protein has an important role in controlling the transcription of oncogenes such as E6/E7, and viral DNA during the viral life cycle (Bergvall et al., 2013).

E4

E4 coding sequences are included in E2 (ORF). Although, E4 is in the early region its expression is realized in the late region. Furthermore, the properties of E4 are not completely identified, but some researchers conclude that E4 is involved in viral spread through keratin filaments. This protein is differentiated in keratinocytes and occurs in the collapse of cytokeratin filament in conjunction with generative infection (Smith et al., 2007).

E5

E5 Expression causes the process of cell proliferation to be enhanced. Besides, expression of E5 induces reinforcement of genome in the HPV-16 and HPV-31 life cycle production stage (Wechsler et al., 2018). Previous studies have shown that the E5 Expression could disappear following the merger of the HPV genome in the host chromosome, but many studies show that E5 transcripts can be positive in ovaries cancer biopsies (DiMaio and Mattoon, 2001). This oncoprotein in HPV-16 can directly connect to the vacuolar ATPase (V-ATPase) 16-kD subunit, and control the activity of V-ATPase and eventually change the EGF receptor (Schwarz et al., 1985; Um et al., 2014), whose overproduction can cause tumor formation (Kim et al., 2010).

E6

E6 is one of the most important HPV oncoproteins that can cause transformations in the host cells. This oncogene protein targets the p53 protein, and by suppressing it causes the development of cancer tumors and the inhibition of apoptosis (Ruttckay-Nedecky et al., 2013; Mesri et al., 2014). E6 has 160 amino acids, contributes to P53 inhibition through ubiquitination (Kruiswijk et al., 2015; Paek et al., 2016). P53 is the simulation agent of genes that not only repairs the DNA that has been damaged in the life cycle of a cell but also activates the path of apoptosis (Paek et
al., 2016). The mdm2 pathway is entirely inactivated in cancerous cells (Hengstermann et al., 2001). Furthermore, the regulation of p53 function is accomplished by E6. It also has a role in the transfer of the p53 gene (Pim et al., 1994). E6 can control the dependent gene of p53 by the reaction to p300/CBP (Patel, 1999; Zimmermann et al., 1999). The acetylation inhibition of core histones in nucleosome and p53 correlated with the suppression of the activity related to p53 which is mediated by E6. Accordingly, the p300 and p53 have been altered to chromatin (Thomas and Chiang, 2005; Tomaić, 2016). Moreover, this oncogene protein has the ability to target the proapoptotic proteins like Bak. Consequently, the apoptosis process is inhibited (Thomas and Banks, 1998, 1999; Jackson and Storey 2000). Also, survivin has been identified as the indirect target of E6 for inhibiting apoptosis (Borbély et al., 2006). This oncoprotein interacts directly with other components of apoptosis including TNF R1 (Necrosis factor receptor 1 tumor), Fas-associated protein with death domain, and procaspase-8 (Filippova et al., 2002, 2004, 2007).

**E7**

E7 is another important oncoprotein that has a complementary function to Retinoblastoma protein (pRb). Expression of E7 resulted in E2F is released and stimulation of DNA synthesis (Yim and Park, 2005; Ganguly and Parikh, 2009). Its length is about 100 amino acids (Tommasino, 2014). CD1, CD2, and CD3 are 3 domains related to E7 which are conserved with the most important functions in CD2 and CD3 (Patrick et al., 1994). Transformation of cellular and induction of S-phase progression is the result of E7 function and the presence of the first twenty amino acids sequence in the CD1 domain (Banks et al., 1990; Demers et al., 1996). The two binding partners, UBR4/p600, and p300/CBP-associated factor (P/CAF) interact with CD1. They are crucial for membrane morphogenesis and cellular survival (Huang and McCance, 2002; DeMasi et al., 2005; Huh et al., 2005; Nakatani et al., 2005; White et al., 2012). Members of the NF-kappaB (NF-κB) family are inactivated by E7 and P/CAF that happens during viral infection and causes the immune system to no response to the virus (Huang and McCance, 2002). The CD2 region of the E7 has been constituted of the amino acid residue of 20-38. This includes the LXCXE motif and site of CKII phosphorylation, which are incorporated in binding to proteins like the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (pRb). Thus, the site of the CKII phosphorylation acceptor is significant for the transforming-capacity of E7 (Barbosa et al., 1990; Firzlaff et al., 1991). E7 is interesting for its contribution to the adjustment of DNA methylation for controlling cell proliferation routes and can cause epigenetic modifications using Rb as a tumor suppressor protein (Dueñas-González et al., 2005). Cullin2 ubiquitin ligase in conjunction with E7 oncoprotein can degrade tumor suppressor pRb. Hence, the progression of the cell cycle is promoted (Huh et al., 2007). The transition of the phase from G1 to S as well as the cell cycle is regulated by pRb. In a normal situation, phosphorylation of pRb gradually takes place during S-phase, but not in the early G1-phase. Besides, the interaction of unphosphorylated form of the pRb with the factors involved in transcription such as E2F resulted in suppression of transcription of E2F sites in promoters (Dyson et al., 1989). The C-terminus, which refers to the region of CD3, consists of amino acid residues from 38 to 98 and 4 cysteine residues that have high conservation of cysteine and can interact with inhibitors of p27 CDK and p21. Therefore, the abrogation of E7 activity is an important step in two phenomena of (I) overcoming the cell cycle arrest that includes the damage of DNA and (II) inducing the progress of the cell cycle (Jones et al., 1997; Helt and Galloway, 2001).

**LATE PROTEINS**

This region with 850 bp size covers about 40% of the virus genome and contains 10 percent of the HPV genome. The late region, with several binding sites of the transcription factor, can regulate RNA polymerase II at the
beginning of the transcription also; replication of the HPV genome is controlled by this region. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that the late region can’t encode proteins (Zheng and Baker, 2006).

**L1**

L1 is the most fundamental capsid protein that is produced during the cellular life cycle in the cytoplasm and then moves to the core. In the top layer of epithelial, 360 L1 as a capsid protein can encapsulate the viral DNA to make novel particles of infective viral. Besides, the completion of the HPV life cycle is determined by the attendance of L1 capsid protein in the dysplastic cells (Griesser et al., 2009). It seems that the high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL) are not capable of producing L1 protein, and this can be used as a prognosis for detecting CIN damages (Stanley, 2006).

**L2**

L2 appears as a small part of the capsid and plays a role in viral DNA classification and montage (Buck et al., 2008).

**HPV ONCOPROTEINS AND SIGNALING PATHWAYS**

Extracellular stimuli are converted into cellular response through signal pathways, starting from a signal to a receptor, and changing the cellular function. Occasionally there is a cascade of amplifying signals, resulting in a large response (Campbell et al., 2000). Such a signal produces changes in the cell function and results in the changing expression of the genes in the nucleus or in the activity of the enzymes. Most of these signals create bonding between extracellular molecules to cell surface receptors (Campbell et al., 2000). Defects in signaling pathways are the leading cause of different diseases like diabetes, autoimmune diseases, heart disease, and cancer (Costa et al., 2010). HPV can use different mechanisms to evade the proper autoimmune response of the host, through its oncoproteins (Tindle, 2002). During infection two proteins (E6 and E7) are involved in the process of pathogenicity (Androphy et al., 1987; Mesri et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019) (Figure 2).

Apoptosis is a genetically controlled cell self-destruction process whose function is essential for cellular growth, cellular homeostasis, and the pathophysiology of various diseases (Rudin and Thompson, 1997; Shirjang et al., 2019). Apoptosis is controlled by two pathways (Lopez and Tait, 2015). Abnormal proliferation, as well as DNA damage, are some of the factors that cause activation of the apoptosis signaling pathway (Ruttkay-Nedecky et al., 2013). In the next subsections, we discuss in more detail the role of HPV oncoproteins and their signaling pathways in the development of HPV-associated cervical cancer (Table 1).

**HPV and PI3K/Akt pathway**

PI3K activity is physically and functionally associated with the activity of cellular processes such as protein synthesis and metabolism of glucose (Whitman et al., 1985). PI3K is divided into 3 separate classes based on their structure, configuration, and performance (Vanhaesebroeck et al., 2012). The IA class of heterodimer consists of 3 monitoring subunits, including p85a, p85b, or p55c, which is essentially confined to a p110a, p110b, or p110d subcatalyzed isoform. The conversion of phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PIP2) to phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5) triphosphate (PIP3) occurs when the interaction of regulatory subunit with the Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) or G-protein-coupled is activated by the catalyst subunit. Ras can also directly activate the catalyst subunit. Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) can negatively regulate the PIP3 and when activated, domain-containing proteins the Pleckstrin Homology (pH) is attracted to the cell membrane, one of which is a kinase B protein called Akt. AKT is phosphorylated on Ser473 and Thr308 via DNK-PK and PDK1,
Figure 2: The role of E6/E7 oncoproteins in HPV-associated cervical cancer development. E6 and E7 react with numerous intracellular signaling pathways, resulting in induced carcinogenesis.

respectively. Activation of Akt causes phosphorylation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), TSC2 (tuberous sclerosis complex 2), FOXO transcription factors (forkhead-box type O), GSK3b (glycogen synthase kinase-3b), MDM2 (murine double minute 2), and Bcl2 cell agonists. Furthermore, mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) is activated when tuberous sclerosis complex2 (TSC2) is phosphorylated via AKT (Kang et al., 2008; Burris, 2013). This metabolic pathway controls various cellular processes such as glucose metabolism, proliferation and protein synthesis. Inactivity of this signaling could result in apoptosis. In a viral infection, apoptosis is an effective way to destroy viruses. The virus for its replication needs the activity of the PI3K/Akt pathway to delay apoptosis or prevent apoptosis activation (Galluzzi et al., 2008). In response to this cellular action, this pathway acts as a strategic defense against viral attack (Chang et al., 2006; Kaur et al., 2008; Freudenburg et al., 2010). Both the E6 and E7 maintain the status of the phosphorylation through Akt phosphatase PP2A inhibition and also activate the signaling of Akt/mTOR. By this, not only 4E-BP is inactivated but also S6K is activated which supports the synthesis of viral cap-dependent protein (Spangle and Münger, 2010; Diehl and Schaal, 2013). AKT, as a significant factor in the hypoxia response, is considered not only a mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR)/AKT signaling pathway, but also a fundamental member of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) (Manning and Toker, 2017). Previous studies demonstrated that when cervical carcinoma cells are cultured under hypoxia, increased activity of PI3K/mTORC2/ AKT signaling pathway can mediate suppression of HPV oncoproteins like E6 and E7 (Hoppe-Seyler et al., 2017; Bossler et al., 2019). E6 and E7 activate these pathways and
eventually lead to carcinogenesis (Gupta et al., 2018). Expression of HPV16 oncoprotein E7 affects the activity of Akt and causes an increase in keratinocyte migration associated with PI3K/Akt (Charette and McCance, 2007; Anderson et al., 2013).

**Table 1**: Summary list of some important functions of E6 & E7 signaling pathway elements

| E6 & E7 signaling pathway elements | Function |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| **PI3K/AKT**                      | - Protein synthesis  
- Glucose metabolism  
- Cell proliferation  
- Cell survival  
- Inhibition of apoptosis |
| **WNT/β-catenin**                 | - PGC duplication and development in cancer cell secretion  
- Migration  
- Signaling initiation by binding ligand to Frizzled/LRP receptor |
| **ERK/MAPK**                      | - Protection of evolution pathway  
- Create connection between extracellular signals – Intracellular growth mechanism  
- Migration  
- Differentiation  
- Apoptosis  
- Survival, growth and response to extra cellular stimuli  
- Turn recruits AKT and PDK1 by activation of PIP3K (plasma membrane) through phosphorylation of PIP2 to PIP3 |
| **JAK/STAT**                      | - Pathways regulating the innate immune response  
- Cell differentiation, proliferation, migration & apoptosis  
- Epigenetic signals such as growth factors and cytokines (IFN5) induce transmission STAT proteins and pathway activation |
| **YY1**                           | - Diagnostic, regulation cell growth, stem cell development of differentiation  
- Responsible for regulating genes in signaling pathways and cancer progression  
- Physical contact with apoptosis and cell growth regulatory proteins  
- Several growth factors can stimulate YY1 gene transcriptions  
- Anti proliferation signals can prevent it from being expressed |
| **AP1**                           | - Growth, duplication, differentiation, survival, apoptosis & cell migration  
- Their transcription activities controlled by internal and external stimuli & viral infection |
| **NF-κB**                         | - Inflammatory immune response  
- Viral replication  
- Cancer prevention  
- DNA binding and induction of various genes  
Consists of transcript factors that play a complex and fundamental role by stimulating the expression of cytokines and histocompatibility genes |
| **CXCL12**                        | - Gene transcriptions  
- Cell migrations  
- Adhesion of cells by AKT phosphorylation and control of several components of adhesion  
- Cell proliferation through the WNT pathway and NF-κB activation for suppression of apoptosis |
| **CXCR4**                         | |
**HPV and Wnt/β-catenin pathway**

The presence of the Wnt family is very important in countless processes, including animal growth and tissue homeostasis in adult organisms. Its proteins are secreted from cells on target cells through a pathway that is very unusual and controlled compared to other signaling pathways. Wnt's defects cause different diseases such as cancer, and degenerative diseases (Willert et al., 2003; Nusse, 2005). The Wnt pathway is a well-known cascade that its function has been specified in the development of primordial germ cells (PGCs); including migration, proliferation, and specification (Kimura et al., 2006; Ohinata et al., 2009; Laird et al., 2011; Chawengsaksophak et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2016). In many developmental processes, the presence of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascade is essential. Besides, this signaling pathway is associated with the development of several types of cancer. The signaling starts through the binding of WNT ligands to the complex of the Frizzled/LRP receptor. Wnt secretion is entirely dependent on the acyltransferase Porcupine (Herr and Basler, 2012; Zimmerli et al., 2017). This binding initiates a sequence of effects, which leads to cytoplasmic stabilization and β-Catenin translocation (Nusse and Clevers, 2017; Zimmerli et al., 2018). Significantly, there are 2 pivotal roles of β-Catenin in cells. One of these roles is to act as the key effector in canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling which is considered the main reason for transducing signals to the nucleus. Consequently, target genes are expressed (Sato et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2011; Polakis, 2012; Valenta et al., 2012). Compared with E6, the role of the E7 oncoprotein in regulating Wnt signaling has not been investigated. Despite that, lately, E7 oncoprotein involvement in this pathway was suggested (Bello et al., 2015). Previous studies show the ability of HPV 16 E6 to cooperate with E6AP in Wnt/β-catenin signaling enhancement or stimulation. Also, transcription of Wnt/β-catenin is improved via the cooperation of E6 with the E6AP in the cells which are activated by Wnt. E6AP, on its own, can not only stabilize β-catenin but also stimulate signaling of Wnt relying on the activity of E3 ligase (Bzhalava et al., 2013; Sominsky et al., 2014, 2017; Kuslansky et al., 2016). Studies have shown similar functions of E7 and somatic mutation theory (smt); they both connect to the catalytic subunit of PP2A to impede its activity. This function of E7 might contribute to cytoplasm stabilization (Pim et al., 2005).

**HPV and ERK/MAPK pathway**

The MAPK/ERK pathway contains different groups of kinase proteins that transfer extracellular signals to the nucleus. When a tyrosine kinase receptor becomes activated, it stimulates the activity of a MAPKKK (Raf) through the G protein Ras. In turn, it activates the MAPKK (MEK) and eventually, MAPK (ERK) (Yousefi et al., 2012). Some proteins, such as chromatin remodeling, numerous transcription factors that regulate cytoskeletal proteins are phosphorylated by ERK 1/2 (Hilger et al., 2002; Roy, 2002). Cellular machinery and extracellular signal communications are confirmed by pathways mediated by MAPK. In this regard, cellular machinery controls apoptosis, migration, differentiation, proliferation, and growth (Mansoori et al., 2019). A three kinase cascade core, which activates other MAPKs, is needed for intracellular signaling. Subsequently, this activated MAPKs like p38, JNK1-3, and ERK1/2 regulates numbers of the proteins containing kinase and transcription factors (Fanger, 1999; Prowse and Lew, 2001; Sah et al., 2002; Branca et al., 2004; Hochmann et al., 2016).

The PI3K/AKT pathway is essential for mediating growth and survival in response to extracellular stimuli. PIP3 is generated by phosphorylation of PIP2 by activated PI3K at the plasma membrane. In turn, PDK1 (pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, isozyme 1) and AKT were recruited to the plasma by PIP3. In this regard, AKT is phosphorylated to its active form by PDK1 (Vara et al., 2004). AKT and MAPK cascade crosswise and in several layers, for decoding and processing extracellular signals, increase extracellular signal expression. The proliferation of various cancers
is the consequence of this unnatural action of these pathways.

The activity of the various effectors for pathways of MAPK is increased via the expression of E6 and E7. Accordingly, the infected cells are modulated across phases of carcinogenesis (e.g., invasion, migration, and Anchorage-independent growth) (Hochmann et al., 2016). Oncogenes derived from the cells are activated and overexpressed via ERK/MAPK in numerous cases of cervical cancers (Mishima et al., 1998; Lessard et al., 2001). The proliferation of HPV related cancers is linked to the regulation of 2 key myogenic signaling pathways including EGFR and VEGF, and by the oncoprotein E5. This protein causes the expression of VEGF through activation of ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase). It affects the regulation of the chemical phosphorylation of ERK, in addition to regulating E5, it stabilizes VEGF.

The cells contaminated by HPV are protective against autophagy and apoptosis via both E5 protein and the signaling cascades of MAPK-ERK (Kim et al., 2010; DuShane and Maginnis, 2019; DuShane et al., 2019).

**HPV and JAK/STAT pathway**

JAK (Janus kinase)–STAT is considered a basic pathway and can regulate the response of the innate immune system (Aaronson, 2002; Reich and Liu, 2006; van Boxel-Dezaire et al., 2006). STAT is characterized as latent cytoplasmic transcription factors that remain in the cytoplasm to become active and then enter the nucleus after activation. STAT family includes different proteins such as STAT1, -2, -3, -4, -5, and -6. Apoptosis, migration, differentiation as well as the proliferation of cells are the result of JAK activation (Igaz et al., 2001; O’Shea et al., 2002). This pathway is stimulated via several of the corresponding receptors and ligands. Ligand binding further modifies the receptor subunit and activates intracellular activation. The family related to JAK in mammals includes JAK1, JAK2, JAK 3, and Tyk2, which, once activated, targets additional phosphorylate including receptors and main substrates, STATs (Rawlings, 2004). It has been demonstrated that to the conservation of episomes, as well as amplification of genome, it is essential that expression of STAT-1 is suppressed via proteins of HPV (Hong et al., 2011).

In addition, STAT-5 was shown to have a central role in amplification of the HPV-related genome in differentiated cells via induction of the ATM DNA damage pathway. However, the effect of STAT-5 on the conservation of episomes has not been proven in undifferentiated cells (Hong and Laimins, 2013; Bordignon et al., 2017). HPVs need to prevent surveillance of innate and adaptive immune systems to create a persistent infection. The interaction of E6 protein with Tyk2 not only suppresses the phosphorylation of STAT-1/2 and Tyk2 but also hinders the interaction of Tyk2 with the IFN-alpha receptor 1 in the cytoplasmic domain. Consequently, the JAK-STAT pathway is inactivated (Kanodia et al., 2007).

**HPV and YY1 pathway**

YY1 (Yin and Yang1) belongs to the member of the polycomb group protein family and is recognized as a zinc finger transcription factor (ZFTF). YY1 has a role in epigenetic regulating of transcription, stem-cell identity, disease, and differentiation (Di Croce and Helin, 2013; Hays and Bonavida, 2019). Some are responsible for regulating genes that affect several signaling and progression pathways of cancer like c-fos, E1A, c-myc, ERBB2, and p53. They also physically interact with many proteins that have a regulatory role in apoptosis such as caspase, Mdm2, HDACs, Rb, p53, and Ezh2. Several growth factors can stimulate the expression of the YY1 gene, while antiproliferative signals inhibit its expression (Gordon et al., 2006; Sui, 2009). It acts as a bifunctional transcription factor depending on its bonding and has a stimulatory or inhibitory role in transcription. Besides, YY1 has a significant effect on the LCRs of HPV 16 and 18. Regions of the viral regulatory and multiple cellular functions are regulated by YY1. It contains distinguishable activator and repressor domains.
(Park, 1995; Lichy, 1996). UCRBP, δ, NF-E1, CF1, NMP-1 are the ubiquitous cellular factor of the YY-1 and have a pivotal function in regulating E6 and E7 oncogenes and HPV infection. YY-1 can function both positively and negatively in viral gene expression (Wang et al., 2006; He et al., 2011; Shishodia et al., 2018). Previous studies have proven that occurring apoptosis in HPV-positive HeLa cells and activation of p53 is a result of the YY1 suppression (He et al., 2011).

**HPV and AP-1 pathway**

AP-1 (activator protein-1) is a common phrase for a class of TFs (transcription factors) that was recognized in 1987 which has a function as a DNA binding protein (Schiefer et al., 2015). AP-1 is one of the factors whose transcription activities are controlled by intra and extracellular as well as viral infection (Gazon et al., 2018). Processes of cellular like apoptosis, migration, survival, differentiation, proliferation, and growth of cell are regulated via AP-1 (Schiefer et al., 2015). The type of cell, the stage of the tumor, the genetic history of the tumor, and the cell differentiation condition characterizes the oncogenic or anti-oncogenic AP-1 (Shen et al., 2005) via interaction with the promoter of HPV situated in the upstream regulatory region (URR), which intensifies tumorigenesis and E6 and E7 transcription. In cervical tumors, c-FOS and JUNB are considered as significant parts of dimers related to AP-1 activated during expression of the HPV oncogene (Divya and Pillai, 2006; Chakraborty et al., 2014). Therefore, transcription of HPV is induced. AP-1 is targeted via HPV oncoproteins. Accordingly, the activation of the transcription factor (TF) is induced. For example, expression of E7 which is mediated by c-JUN, and activation of c-JUN are increased via interaction of E7 with the c-JUN (Delcuratolo et al., 2016; Mirzaei et al., 2020).

**HPV and NF-κB pathway**

NF-κB (Nuclear Factor Kappa B) is a dimer, with the most common form of p50/p65 heterodimer (Hayden, 2004). In 1986, NF-κB was detected. It acts as a B stem-cell stimulant to bind kappa light-chain (Sen and Baltimore, 1986). NF-κB is considered as a transcription factor that has a pivotal function in immune response, progression/inflammation of cancer, and viral replication of (Hayden et al., 2006; Hoesel and Schmid, 2013). These proteins have several kinds that after phosphorylation and other translational modification organize several homo- or heterodimers that are crucial for translocation, expression, and activation in the nucleus. The binding of NF-κB to the target DNA increases various target genes (Wong et al., 2011; Tilborghs et al., 2017). Binding of the adapter to the cytoplasmic domain of the receptor is usually connected by attaching a ligand to the cell surface receptor, which often adsorbs an IKK complex onto the cytoplasmic adapter and activates the IKK complex. In order to NF-κB entrance into the nucleus to target the genes, IKB must be phosphorylated by activated IKK at the 2 residues of serine and then degradation and ubiquitination of K48 occur via the proteasome (Gilmore, 2006; Perkins, 2006). NF-κB has a negative feedback loop for regulating the increase of HPV that can control the number of virus copies, and control the expression of E6 and E7 proteins in HPV-16 (James et al., 2006; Wong et al., 2011). Immortalization of colonic and colony-forming via HPV-16 is increased by suppression of NF-κB through inhibitor of IκB alpha. Research shows that the control of NF-κB via HPV-16 E6/E7 can prevent cell formation in the cervical region (Vandermark et al., 2012).

**HPV and CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway**

CXCL12 (Chemokine ligand (family CXC) 12) is a very important α-chemokine that connects to the G-protein-coupled seven-transmembrane receptor CXCR4 (Chemokine receptor (family CXC) 4) (Bleul et al., 1996; Oberlin et al., 1996). As a result of this binding, a chemokine receptor is activated that relies on Gai protein-dependent signaling controlled by β-arrestins (Busillo and Benovic,
Furthermore, recent studies demonstrated that CXCL12 binds to another receptor CXCR7 sharing this receptor with another chemokine CXCL11 (Maksym et al., 2009). The CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway can activate cell proliferation, cellular migration, cell adhesion, by phosphorylating AKT and several focal adhesion components. It can also cause cellular increase by the Wnt pathway and activation of NF-κB for inhibiting apoptosis (Gu et al., 2014; Wang and Knaut, 2014; Pozzobon et al., 2016). The receptor of CXCL12 is regulated via E6 and E7 proteins, to induce cell and virus proliferation. This can happen in both cases of low or high-risk HPVs, which can eventually cause mutation in CXCR4 and progression of the disease (Busillo and Benovic, 2007; Tommasino, 2014; Okuyama et al., 2016). Expression of E6 and E7 proteins take place in suprabasal layers and then regulates the CXCL12 levels. And this, in turn, causes cell proliferation and an increase in viral DNA in low and high-risk HPVs, which can be amplified by a mutation in CXCR4 (Chapman et al., 2010; Chow et al., 2010; Lecavalier-Barsoum et al., 2018). Furthermore, the half-life and steady-state in E6/E7 proteins are increased as a result of the interaction of CXCR4 with the chaperone proteins (Kuang et al., 2012; Ajiro and Zheng, 2015; Meuris et al., 2016).

CONCLUSION

PI3K/Akt, Wnt/β-catenin, ERK/MAPK, NF-κB, YY1, AP-1, JAK/STAT and CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling pathways have a significant function in the progression of cervical cancer in HPV infected individuals (Figure 2). The development and guidance of each of these pathways toward cancerization is the result of the activity and function of oncoproteins. It appears that there is a meaningful connection between these oncoproteins and the aforementioned pathways that require appropriate tests. It’s expected that with an accurate knowledge of these pathways and the factors affecting them, we can achieve our goals in the prevention and efficient cure in this case.
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