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Abstract

Fatty acid (FA) metabolism plays a central role in body homeostasis and related diseases. Thus, FA metabolic enzymes are attractive targets for drug therapy. Mouse studies on Acetyl-coenzymeA-carboxylase (ACC), the rate-limiting enzyme for FA synthesis, have highlighted its homeostatic role in liver and adipose tissue. We took advantage of the powerful genetics of *Drosophila melanogaster* to investigate the role of the unique *Drosophila* ACC homologue in the fat body and the oenocytes. The fat body accomplishes hepatic and storage functions, whereas the oenocytes are proposed to produce the cuticular lipids and to contribute to the hepatic function. RNA–interfering disruption of ACC in the fat body does not affect viability but does result in a dramatic reduction in triglyceride storage and a concurrent increase in glycogen accumulation. These metabolic perturbations further highlight the role of triglyceride and glycogen storage in controlling circulatory sugar levels, thereby validating *Drosophila* as a relevant model to explore the tissue-specific function of FA metabolic enzymes. In contrast, ACC disruption in the oenocytes through RNA–interference or tissue-targeted mutation induces lethality, as does oenocyte ablation. Surprisingly, this lethality is associated with a failure in the watertightness of the spiracles—the organs controlling the entry of air into the trachea. At the cellular level, we have observed that, in defective spiracles, lipids fail to transfer from the spiracular gland to the point of air entry. This phenotype is caused by disrupted synthesis of a putative very-long-chain-FA (VLCFA) within the oenocytes, which ultimately results in a lethal anoxic issue. Preventing liquid entry into respiratory systems is a universal issue for air-breathing animals. Here, we have shown that, in *Drosophila*, this process is controlled by a putative VLCFA produced within the oenocytes.

Introduction

Fatty acids (FAs) are the most abundant energy stores in animals and are essential precursors of membrane components and signaling molecules [1,2]. FA metabolism is also tightly linked to human diseases. Increased levels of circulatory FAs and their accumulation as triglycerides (TGs) in adipocytes constitute a critical step in the development of obesity and type 2 diabetes [3]. Hyperactive de novo FA synthesis is characteristic of most cancer cells and the underlying enzymes are therefore attractive targets for drug therapy [4,5]. It is crucial, then, to assess the role of FA metabolic enzymes at the level of an entire organism and to determine how the various tissue-specific activities cooperate to maintain body homeostasis.

In mammals, the liver is central to the coordination of FA synthesis and oxidation in response to dietary status [6,7]. Nutrients crossing the gut epithelium enter into blood circulation to reach the liver. Some glucose enters into the hepatocytes to be metabolized as glycogen or FAs. Most of these FAs are transported as TGs to be stored in adipocytes. Fasting induces the remobilization of TGs from adipose tissue to the liver [8], where they are oxidized to produce ketone bodies as an energy source for peripheral tissues. This remobilization provokes the accumulation of lipid droplets (LDs) in the hepatocytes, a phenomenon called fatty liver that is also a pathological symptom of metabolic syndrome [9].

In *Drosophila melanogaster*, the fat body (FB) performs adipose- and hepatic-like functions [10]. Most of the nutrients assimilated are transferred into the FB to be metabolized and stored as TGs [11]. Glucose is also stored as glycogen in the FB of adult flies [12] and in the body wall muscles of feeding larvae [13]. The oenocytes have also been shown to accomplish a hepatic-like function and to
Author Summary

Fatty acid homeostasis is deregulated in several human diseases, including obesity, diabetes, and most cancers. Therefore, the enzymes that catalyze the reactions of fatty acid metabolism constitute attractive targets for drug therapy. However, the development of novel inhibitors requires extensive analysis of the organ-specific functions of the targeted enzyme. Given the availability of genetic tools, the fruit fly *Drosophila* is an appropriate model system to investigate the physiological and developmental roles of metabolic enzymes. Here we studied a *Drosophila* homologue of a rate-limiting enzyme for fatty acid synthesis. We have shown that this enzyme is necessary to control the storage of lipids in the fat tissue, validating our system to study fatty acid metabolism. We further observed that this enzyme is essential in the oenocytes, a group of cells proposed to contribute to the hepatic function and to the formation of the cuticle. Furthermore, we have shown that a putative fatty acid produced in these cells is required to control, at a distance, the watertightness of the respiratory system. In summary, our study identifies a novel fatty acid-mediated signal necessary to prevent lipid accumulation in the respiratory system, a critical issue for all air-breathing animals.

regulate lipid metabolism [14]. Oenocytes are groups of cells located under the tegument on both sides of each abdominal segment. In several insect species, the oenocytes are tightly connected with the abdominal spiracles, the organs controlling the entry of air into the respiratory system [15]. In contrast to insects exhibiting metameric spiracles, *Drosophila* larvae develop only two pairs of spiracles, located at the posterior and anterior ends [16]. Upon fasting, the oenocytes accumulate large amounts of LDs as in fast-induced fatty liver [14]. Oenocytes are also proposed to regulate growth, since their genetic ablation induces a growth arrest and animal death during larval development. However, the deregulated processes that eventually result in animal death following oenocyte ablation remain uncharacterized.

The basic path of *de novo* FA synthesis requires two major enzymatic steps. First, Acetyl-CoA-carboxylase (ACC) catalyzes the carboxylation of acetyl-CoA to produce malonyl-CoA [17]. Next, fatty acid synthase (FAS) sequentially condensates several long chain FAs (LCFAs); the most abundant form of LCFA is palmitate (C16) [18]. Synthesis of very-long-chain-FAs (VLCFAs) is catalyzed by a multi-enzymatic complex that also utilizes malonyl-CoA [19]. Unlike FAS, which is found in the cytosol, this complex is bound to the endoplasmic reticulum and is made up of four enzyme subunits that further elongate a fatty-acyl-CoA substrate. Incorporation of malonyl-CoA is first catalyzed by a member of the elongase family, whose various gene products differ from one another in their tissue-specific expression and substrate specificity. The subsequent steps are successively catalyzed by a 3-Keto-acyl-CoA-reductase (KAR), a 3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA-dehydrogenase (HADC) and a Trans-enoyl-CoA-reductase (TER) [20].

In mice, ACC is encoded by two distinct genes ACC1 and ACC2. ACC1 is mainly expressed in lipogenic tissues to produce malonyl-CoA as a precursor to FA synthesis [21]. ACC2 is expressed in oxidative tissues to produce a pool of malonyl-CoA [22] that is assumed to control β-oxidation by inhibiting the activity of CPT1 (Carnitine palmitoyltransferase I) and COT (Carnitine octanoyltransferase); these two enzymes transfer the fatty acyl-CoA substrate into mitochondria and peroxysomes, respectively [23]. Only ACC1 is essential; knockout mice die at embryonic day E9.5 for a yet unknown reason [21]. ACC2 knockout mice are viable, but they continuously oxidize their FAs [22]. Conditional knockout of ACC1 does not affect viability when targeted to the liver [24] or the adipose tissue, although the latter results in a skeletal growth defect [25]. Knocking out the single mouse FAS gene leads to pre-implantation lethality [26]. Conditional FAS knockout targeted to the liver (FASKOL) does not affect viability [27]. FASKOL mice have lower glucose, insulin and cholesterol levels. Surprisingly, these mice have fatty liver when fed on a fat-free diet, suggesting that FAs may be synthesized in other tissues and subsequently remobilized to the liver. Together, these results suggest that de novo FA synthesis is essential in embryos but not in adults. In addition, the skeletal growth defect induced by ACC1 knockout targeted to the adipose tissue revealed a systemic role in coordinating bone development.

The *Drosophila* model is a suitable system for investigating tissues-specific functions, given the availability of inducible RNA-interfering (RNAi) lines that can target the large majority of fly genes [28] and of genetic drivers to induce these RNAi in various tissues. The *Drosophila* genome contains a single ACC gene and three distinct FAS homologues (hereafter called FASCG17374, FASCG3524, FASCG3525) [29]. In larvae, expression of the FASCG3525 gene has been detected in all tissues analyzed to date, whereas the FASCG3524 and FASCG17374 genes are essentially expressed in the carcass that include cuticle, epidermis, muscle and oenocytes [30]. For synthesis of VLCFA, the *Drosophila* genome encodes 20 Elongases, at least 2 TER homologues, and 2 potential HADCs, but only a single KAR gene product [29].

In order to assess the lipogenic function in *Drosophila*, we focused on the single ACC gene. As was the case with ACC1 knockout mice, ACC mutant flies died as embryos. Moreover, similar to the conditional ACC1 knockout targeted to the mouse liver or adipose tissue, FB disruption of the *Drosophila* ACC provokes metabolic perturbations but does not affect viability. In contrast, ACC disruption in the oenocytes induced a lethal phenotype similar to the one observed following genetic ablation of the oenocytes. We further provide evidence that this phenotype is due to failure of the synthesis of a putative VLCFA and results from a functional defect of lipid transfer within the spiracles. In summary, our findings show that, in the *Drosophila* larva, a putative VLCFA synthesized in the oenocytes induces a remote control necessary to maintain the watertightness of the respiratory system.

Results

ACC is a conserved lipogenic enzyme in *Drosophila*

Blust search using the mammalian ACC1 or ACC2 coding sequences as bait identified only a single homologous gene in the *Drosophila* genome (CG11198). The catalytic activity of ACC requires the activation of a carboxyl-donor group at the N-terminal domain and the transfer of this carboxyl group to the acetyl-CoA substrate at the C-terminal domain [17]. Amino acid alignment of the *Drosophila* and mammalian polypeptides indicates that these catalytic domains and their structural organization are tightly conserved (Figure S1). An available P-element (P{Bac/HPc}CG11198<371>, hereafter referred to as ACC<sup>371</sup>) inserted in the ACC gene likely results in a non-functional enzyme, since it interrupts the coding frame between the two main catalytic domains of ACC (Figure S2).

To analyze ACC expression in *Drosophila* tissues, a rabbit antiserum was produced using peptides that correspond to coding sequences downstream of the ACC<sup>371</sup> insertion site. The specificity of the immuno-labeling was analyzed on mosaic tissues...
in larvae that contain homozygous ACC^{R131} mitotic clones. Consistent with the ubiquitous expression of the ACC mRNA [30], a cytoplasmic fluorescent signal was observed in most tissues (Figure 1 and data not shown). In addition, nuclear labeling was visible, which is likely unspecific since it did not decrease in homozygous ACC^{R131} clones (Figure 1). The strongest staining was observed in the cytoplasm of the FB cells and of the oenocytes (Figure 1A–1D), which is consistent with the storage and hepatic-like functions of these organs. A lower staining was detected in most larval tissues, as shown for the gut and the imaginal discs (Figure 1E–1H). In embryos, co-localization of an oenocyte GFP-reporter with the ACC signal indicates that the highest ACC levels are detected in the oenocytes (Figure 1I–1J). These findings emphasize the role of the oenocytes and of the FB in regulating FA homeostasis and prompted us to further investigate the function of ACC in these tissues.

Homozygous ACC^{R131} mutants died at late embryogenesis (Table 1, line 1); the embryo failed to hatch and did not exhibit any apparent morphological defects (data not shown), indicating that ACC is an essential gene. Likewise, ubiquitous expression of an RNAi to ACC using the inducible UAS/Gal4 system led to late embryonic lethality (Table 1, line 2). This system was very efficient at suppressing ACC expression, since a severe drop in ACC protein levels was observed in flip-out clones that expressed RNAi to ACC (Figure S3). To further assess the function of ACC in relation to FA metabolism, the UAS-RNAi line to ACC (ACC-RNAi) was induced with the FB-specific Qg-Gal4 driver that is active throughout the larval and pupal stages (Qg-Gal4 driving UAS-ACC-RNAi; hereafter called Qg>ACC-RNAi). No visible effect was observed on the development of larvae (Table 1, line 3).

To evaluate the lipogenic role of ACC, we analyzed the lipid content of ACC^{R131} somatic mutant clones in the FB. During the third larval stage (L3), the FB accumulates large amounts of LDs that dramatically dropped in ACC^{R131} homozygous mutant clones (Figure 2A–2B), indicating that lipid stores depend, at least in part, on de novo synthesis inside the FB. The metabolic consequences of ACC disruption within the entire FB was then analyzed in 0–4 h prepupae; this is a convenient phase to tightly stage the animals (Figure 2A–2B), indicating that lipid stores depend, at least in part, on ACC. That ACC is a conserved enzyme required for FA synthesis.

### Fatty acid metabolism in the oenocytes

We next investigated the role of ACC in the oenocytes, which together with the FB exhibit the highest ACC expression levels (Figure 1B, 1D). Directing the ACC-RNAi with the oenocyte-specific BO-Gal4 driver (BO>ACC-RNAi) induced a lethal effect at the L2/L3 larval stage transition (Table 1, line 5), similar to the phenotype reported after oenocyte ablation done by directing the pro-apoptotic gene reaper (rpr) [14]. Induction of grim, another pro-apoptotic gene, using the same BO-Gal4 driver (BO>grim) also had a lethal effect at the L2/L3 larval stage transition (Table 1, line 4). Given that BO-Gal4 is also active in a subset of neurons, Gutierrez and colleagues produced a transgenic line that expresses the Gal4 inhibitor, Gal80, driven by one of the enhancers of the Sean-Sup promoter (sp-Gal80) that is characterized to be active only in the oenocytes [14]. Combining the sp-Gal80 transgene with BO-Gal4 retains ACC-RNAi expression in the neurons but not in the oenocytes. This results in a complete reversal of the lethal effect at the L2/L3 transition (Table 1, line 6), indicating that ACC plays an essential role in the oenocytes.

Considering that an RNAi generally represses but does not fully eliminate the mRNA target, we exploited the ACC^{R131} mutation. The embryonic lethality of homozygous ACC^{R131} animals can be rescued by ubiquitous expression of a transgenic UAS-ACC (Table 1, line 7), which definitely confirms that ACC is an essential embryonic gene. To formally demonstrate that the lethality of BO>ACC-RNAi animals was due to ACC disruption, we used the sp-Gal80 transgene to impede the ubiquitous rescue of ACC^{R131} homozygous mutant in the oenocytes. In this way, we generated tissue-specific mutants, hereafter referred to as ACC-oenocyte-Targeted-Deficiency (ACC^{OTD}). The ACC^{OTD} mutants exhibited a lethal phenotype at the L2/L3 larval stage transition (Table 1, line 8), which confirms that the BO>ACC-RNAi phenotype is due solely to the lack of ACC and further validates the use of RNAi lines to perform genetic tests.

Malonyl-coa is required for synthesis of both LCFAs and VLCFAs; a defect in LCFA or VLCFA synthesis may therefore be responsible for the lethal effect. LCFA synthesis is catalyzed by FAS that is encoded by three distinct genes in Drosophila (FAS^{CG3223}, FAS^{CG3234}, FAS^{CG17374}), whereas VLCFA synthesis is catalyzed by a multi-protein elongase complex, of which only the KAR subunit is encoded by a single gene (CG1444). Therefore, we induced an RNAi to each of the three FAS genes and to KAR in the oenocytes with the BO-Gal4 driver. In this setting, RNAi expression to FAS^{CG3223} or FAS^{CG3234} was fully viable (Table 1, line 9,10), whereas RNAi expression to FAS^{CG17374} induced lethality at the L2/L3 transition (Table 1, line 11). BO>KAR-RNAi larvae also exhibit lethality at the L2/L3 transition, although a lot of escapers were observed (semi-lethal phenotype; Table 1, line 12) possibly because of an incomplete RNAi effect. Considering that the elongase subunits normally exhibit substrate and final product specificities, we induced the RNAi to each of the twenty elongases (data not shown) identified in the Drosophila genome using the BO-Gal4 driver. In this genetic setting, only the RNAi to CG6660 induced a lethal phenotype at the L2/L3 transition (Table 1, line 13). The phenotypes induced by the ACC-, KAR- and CG6660-RNAi shared strong similarities, indicating that the lethal effect depends on the failure of VLCFA synthesis.

### Oenocyte ACC regulates larval development and feeding behavior

We then compared the phenotypes of BO>grim BO>ACC-RNAi and ACC^{OTD} animals, which all die at roughly the L2/L3 transition (Figure 3A). Larvae of either genotype grew normally until the end of the second stage but were unable to grow further. They survived a few more days but eventually contracted in size (Figure 3A) before dying between 4 to 5 days after egg deposit (Figure 3B). As previously reported for oenocyte ablation [14], the BO>grim and BO>ACC-RNAi larvae often exhibited duplicated mouth hooks of L2 and L3 identity, although some larvae completed the L2/L3 transition. ACC^{OTD} animals also die at the L2/L3 transition but were able to complete the molting process, whereas ACC^{R131} mutants rescued by UAS-ACC ubiquitous expression developed to adulthood (Figure 3A, 3B and data not shown).
Figure 1. Immunodetection of ACC. Direct GFP fluorescence (A,C,E,G) and staining to ACC (B,D,F,H,J) and nuclei (B,D). ACC expression was very high in the FB (B) and in the oenocytes (D) of L3 larvae, and thus detection was performed with very low laser intensity. The ACC signal was lower in the gut (F) and in the imaginal discs (H) of L3 larvae, and thus detection was performed with high laser intensity. Specificity of the ACC signal was monitored by generating homozygote \textit{FRT-ACC} clones (identified by the lack of GFP staining; arrowheads in A,C,E,G), which do not express the ACC protein in the corresponding pictures (arrowheads in B,D,F,H respectively). In the embryo, the strongest ACC staining was observed in the oenocytes (J) co-labeled to GFP driven by \textit{BG-Gal4} (I). Scale bars: 20 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002925.g001
Table 1. Genetic analysis of ACC by tissue-targeted knockdown.

| Line | Genotype | Specificity | Phenotype |
|------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| 1    | ACC<sup>112</sup> | mutant | † embryo |
| 2    | da-Gal4>ACC-Ri | ubiquitous | † embryo |
| 3    | Cg-Gal4>ACC-Ri | FB | viable |
| 4    | BO-Gal4>UAS-grim | oenocytes | † @4–5d; L2/L3 |
| 5    | BO-Gal4>ACC-Ri | oenocytes | † @4–5d; L2/L3 |
| 6    | BO-Gal4>ACC-Ri; svp-Gal80 | oenocytes | viable |
| 7    | ACC<sup>112</sup>; da-Gal4>UAS-ACC | mutant | viable |
| 8    | ACC<sup>112</sup>; da-Gal4>UAS-ACC; svp-Gal80 | mutant | † @4–5d; L2/L3 |
| 9    | BO-Gal4>FAS<sup>CG15122</sup>-Ri | oenocytes | viable |
| 10   | BO-Gal4>FAS<sup>CG15122</sup>-Ri | oenocytes | viable |
| 11   | BO-Gal4>FAS<sup>CG17324</sup>-Ri | oenocytes | † @4–5d; L2/L3 |
| 12   | BO-Gal4>KAR-Ri | oenocytes | † @4–5d; L2/L3 |
| 13   | BO-Gal4>CG6660-Ri | oenocytes | † @4–5d; L2/L3 |

Column 1 (Line) lists the tests referred to in the text. Column 2 (Genotype) summarizes the mutant (ACC<sup>112</sup>) or transgenic combinations used. Column 3 (Specificity) indicates the organ targeted by the Gal4-driver, or the use of the ACC<sup>112</sup> insertion mutant. Column 4 (Phenotype) summarizes the resulting viability (viable), lethality (†) or semi-lethality (½†). The developmental stage at which death occurs is indicated; L2/L3 refers to animals at the second/third larval stage transition. @4–5d indicates the day of lethality after egg deposition. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002925.t001

shown). These findings confirm that oenocyte ACC is required for the proper development past the L2/L3 transition.

As reported for oenocyte-ablated larvae [14], we also observed a peculiar feeding behavior in BO>ACC-RNAi and ACC<sup>WT</sup> larvae. At the end of the L2 stage, control larvae continued foraging inside the food, while more than 50 percent of BO>ACC-RNAi, ACC<sup>WT</sup> and BO>grim larval larvae stayed away from the food (Figure 3C). Intriguingly, all of these deficient larvae exhibited increased motility, although the larvae ultimately stopped moving several hours before death (data not shown). Taken together these findings indicate that the phenotype induced by oenocyte ablation can be recapitulated by ACC-disruption and is likely due to the subsequent lack of VLCFA synthesis in the oenocytes.

### ACC disruption-induced lethality is independent of LD uptake in oenocytes

To investigate the cell-specific roles of ACC, oenocytes of BO>ACC-RNAi and ACC<sup>WT</sup> larvae were observed at the late L2 larval stage. The oenocytes were clearly visible in both genetic settings but, surprisingly, accumulated high amounts of LDs (Figure 3D–F) similar to the phenotype previously reported after overnight fasting [14]. This phenotype depends on a cell-autonomous response since a dramatic increase of LD content was observed both in homozygous ACC<sup>112</sup> somatic clones (Figure 3G) and in flip-out clones expressing ACC-RNAi (Figure S4). The accumulation of LDs in ACC-deficient oenocytes likely results from lipid uptake rather than de novo synthesis. Expression of the lipophorin receptors LpR1 (CG31094) and LpR2 (and CG31092) has previously been reported in oenocytes [14]. Therefore, we generated deficient mutants for either of them (Figure S5) and, in agreement with another study [31], both were homozygous viable (unpublished results). Analysis of oenocytes after overnight starvation revealed that LD uptake proceeded normally in LpR1-deficient mutants, but failed both in LpR2-deficient mutants (data not shown) and in flip-out oenocytes-expressing LpR2-RNAi (Figure S4). Next, RNAis to several potential lipophorin receptors (LpR1, LpR2, CG6909, CG33007) were co-induced with ACC-RNAi in flip-out clones. In this way, clones that co-expressed RNAi to LpR2 and ACC failed to accumulate LDs (Figure S4), whereas clones that coexpressed RNAi to ACC and to any of the other receptors did accumulate LDs (data not shown). Finally, homozygous ACC<sup>112</sup> clones were generated in an LpR2 mutant background. When observed in the oenocytes, these ACC<sup>112</sup> clones failed to accumulate LDs (Figure S4). Taken together, these findings indicate that LpR2 is required for cell-autonomous LD uptake into the oenocytes induced by either starvation or ACC deficiency. Consequently, the lethality of BO>grim and BO>ACC-RNAi larvae is likely independent of the LD uptake, since the oenocytes of the former are ablated, while in contrast, those of the latter dramatically increase their LD content.

### ACC is required for integrity of the respiratory system

The peculiar behavior of BO>ACC-RNAi and ACC<sup>WT</sup> larvae, characterized by food repulsion and increased motility followed by an inactive phase, bears a striking resemblance to the phenotype reported for oxygen-deprived larvae [32]. We therefore examined the trachea of larvae of various genotypes. The tracheal system of wild-type larvae is readily visible, because air-filled respiratory tubules are highlighted by thick, dark lines (Figure 4A). The tracheal network of BO>grim, BO>ACC-RNAi, and ACC<sup>WT</sup> animals appeared normally filled with air at the L1 stage (data not shown), while at the L2/L3 transition the larvae found outside of the food exhibited a severe default in air-filling of their tracheal trunks (Figure 4B–4D). The tracheal tubes were still distinguishable, suggesting that they were present but filled with an aqueous solution (Figure 4B–4D). The air-filling failure was observed in the spiracles and in the main trunks, but only occasionally extended into the lateral branches and the tracheoles (Figure 4G). Conversely, the ACC<sup>112</sup> mutant rescued by ubiquitous expression of the UAS-ACC (Figure 4E), as well as the BO>ACC-RNAi animal expressing the svp-Gal80 transgene (Figure S6), exhibited a normally air-filled tracheal network. This tracheal phenotype relies on VLCFA synthesis, since air-filling failures were also visible in L2/L3 larvae expressing the RNAi to KAR, CG6660, and FAS<sup>CG17324</sup> in their oenocytes (Figure 4F and Figure S6). A closer analysis revealed that a few of the mutant larvae remained alive inside the food, and these animals had only one or two defective spiracles (data not shown). In contrast, mutant larvae that strayed away from food exhibited either an air-filling defect in all four of their spiracles or major liquid-filling of at least one of the main trunks. We further eliminate the possibility that this phenotype directly depends on an abnormal molting process at the L2/L3 transition, since a few of the mid/late L2 larvae with the BO>grim and BO>ACC-RNAi genotypes exhibited air-filling defects of their tracheal system (Figure S6).

Examination of GFP-induced expression revealed that the BO-Gal4 driver was also active in a few spiracle cells that face the tracheal lumen (data not shown). To exclude a potential role of these spiracle cells in the lethal phenotype, we made use of the recently published promE-Gal4 driver reported to be oenocyte-specific [33]. Systematic observations of GFP-induced expression revealed that the BO-Gal4 driver was active in embryonic but not in larval oenocytes, while in contrast, the promE-Gal4 driver was active in larval but not in embryonic oenocytes (data not shown). Nonetheless, the promE-Gal4 driver was never active in the spiracles (data not shown). As for BO>grim animals, directing the
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proapoptotic gene grim with the promE-Gal4 driver induced a similar lethal phenotype associated with tracheal defects at the L2/L3 transition (Figure S6). Moreover, animals directing RNAi to ACC, FASCG17374 or the CG6660 elongase with the promE-Gal4 driver were able to successfully complete the L2/L3 molting process. A few with a tracheal liquid-filling phenotype died at the early L3 stage (Figure S6), but most exhibited tracheal defects at the mid L3 stage, dying at either the late L3 stage or in their pupal case (data not shown). The higher phenotypic penetrance obtained with the BO-Gal4 driver might be a consequence of the earlier Gal4 activity, since BO-Gal4 is active during embryogenesis while promE-Gal4 become active at the L1 stage. Nevertheless, the similarity of the phenotypes induced by either driver definitely confirms that a specific VLCFA synthesized in the oenocytes is necessary to maintain the integrity of the tracheal system.

**Metabolic defects and oenocyte dysfunction**

The observed tracheal phenotype strongly supports the notion that the larvae died of anoxia as a consequence of respiratory failure. To investigate this issue, we used quantitative RT-PCR to analyze the expression of the Drosophila REDD1 homologues charybdis and scylla known to be transcriptionally induced by hypoxia [34]. In agreement with the wild-type air-filling phenotype observed in L1 larvae, charybdis and scylla expression was not
modified in mid L1 larvae with the BO>ACC-RNAi and BO>grim genotypes (Figure S7). In contrast, charybdis and scylla were dramatically induced in larvae at the L2/L3 transition (Figure 4H). Similarly, high expression levels of both genes were observed in ACCoeTD larvae but not in ACCB131 mutant larvae rescued by ubiquitous expression of UAS-ACC (Figure 4G). Consistent with the semi-lethal phenotype, charybdis and scylla were also induced in BO>KAR-RNAi larvae at the L2/L3 transition.

Figure 4. Oenocytes ACC signals to the tracheal system. (A) Dorsal view of a wild-type larva showing the two dorsal main trunks of the tracheal system, which extend from the anterior to the posterior spiracles (arrowheads). Air-filling phenotypes in the tracheal systems of BO>grim (B), BO>ACC-RNAi (C), ACCoeTD mutant (D), ACCB131 mutant rescued by ubiquitous UAS-ACC (E) and BO>KAR-RNAi (F) animals. The portions of the dorsal main trunks filled with an aqueous solution are difficult to distinguish (arrows). The larvae have been selected early after the L2/L3 molting transition (prior to death in B,C,D,F). Larvae oriented anterior to the top. (G) Higher magnification of tracheal branches in early L3 ACCoeTD larvae; a branch that originates from the air-filled part of the main trunk is entirely filled with air (arrow) whereas a branch that originates from the liquid-filled part of the main trunk is filled with liquid in its proximal end but not in the distal sub-branches (arrowhead). Scale bars: 100 μm. (H) Transcriptional expression of the charybdis and scylla hypoxic-responsive genes in control, cell-ablated, ACC or KAR deficient animals. Symbols used: (WT) control; (grim) BO>grim; (ACC-Ri) BO>ACC-RNAi; (oeTD) ACCoeTD; (Resc) ACCB131; da-Gal4>UAS-ACC; (KAR-Ri) BO-Gal4>KAR-RNAi. Genotypes behaved differently in their hypoxic response (ANOVA: genotype: F5,32 = 36.19 P<10^-3; gene F1,32 = 1.24 P = 0.27; genotype×gene: F5,32 = 0.47 P = 0.799). Dunnet T test: *: P<0.05; **: P<0.01; ***: P<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002925.g004
transition, though at intermediate levels (Figure 4H). Taken together, these findings indicate that disrupting the synthesis of a specific VLCFA in the oenocytes provokes an air-filling failure of the tracheal system, thereby inducing a lethal anoxic phenotype.

As previously reported, oenocyte-ablated larvae are unable to use their TG stores during fasting [14]. To determine whether this was also the case for BO>ACC-RNAi animals, mid/late L2 larvae (40–45 h after hatching) were starved for 14 h. These larvae, like the BO>grim larvae, were unable to use their TG stores (Figure S7). However, we observed that late L1 larvae (19–24 h after hatching) of either genotype were able to use part of their TG stores (Figure S7). Moreover, late L1 BO>ACC-RNAi and BO>grim larvae expressed charybdis and scylla at normoxic levels (Figure S7) and did not exhibit any tracheal defect (data not shown). In contrast, these larvae at the mid/late L2 stage expressed charybdis and scylla at hypoxic levels; a few of them exhibited minor tracheal defects before fasting and this phenotype increased dramatically over the 14 h of starvation (data not shown). Since oxidation of FAs is an oxygen-dependent process, it is questionable whether the lack of TG consumption in BO>ACC-RNAi and BO>grim larvae solely reflects the function of oenocytes in TG consumption or whether it also results from a subsequent effect of oxygen deprivation.

**Oenocytes control spiracle activity**

The tracheal defect observed in this study primarily affected the spiracles and the tracheal trunks; it has little effect on the tracheal branches and the tracheoles. To determine whether the liquid that accumulated in the tracheal system originated from the feeding medium, the food was stained with the brilliant blue FCF dye. Control larvae at the L2 or L3 stages maintained in the tinted food over 36 h exhibited no staining of their tracheal system or of their hemolymph (Figure 5A–5B, 5H), even when observed during the molting process (Figure 5G). Mutant larvae at the L2 or early L3 stages were transferred into the tinted food, prior to the onset of the spiracle defect, and analyzed as they strayed away from the medium. Larvae directing the RNAi to ACC, FASCG17374, the CG6660 elongase, or the grim transgene with the BO-Gal4 driver exhibited blue staining of their spiracles that sometimes extended into the main tracheal trunks (Figure 5C–5F). Liquid filling was sometimes visible in late L2 or early L3 larvae or at the L2/L3 transition. Directing the grim transgene or ACC-RNAi with the promE-Gal4 driver induced liquid filling of the spiracles and of the tracheal trunks, mostly at early L3 stage although it sometimes occurred at the L2/L3 transition (Figure 5I–5J). In this experimental setting, the spiracles and the tracheal trunks of ACCΔ22 animals were also filled with blue-tinted liquid, a phenotype that could not be observed in ACCΔ14 mutants rescued by ubiquitous expression of the UAS-ACC (Figure 5K–5L). Nonetheless, we never observed staining of the hemolymph, indicating that the tinted liquid could not cross the epithelia. Taken together, these findings indicate that the liquid comes from the semi-liquid feeding media and enters through dysfunctional spiracles.

**Oenocyte ACC controls lipid transport within the spiracles**

We carefully analyzed the spiracles to further investigate the tracheal defect induced by loss-of-ACC in the oenocytes. Previous studies have determined that lipids are produced in the spiracular glands and transferred through ducts to the cleft of the spiracles [35,36]. We therefore analyzed lipids in the spiracles by Oil-Red-O staining from the late L2 to late L3 stages. Variable LD levels were observed in the spiracular glands that were easier to distinguish at the anterior spiracles than at the posterior spiracles (data not shown). In late L2 larvae, these lipids form strings that run through fine ducts from the spiracular gland to the tip of the spiracle (Figure 6A). In L3 larvae, an anterior spiracle contains eight protruding branches [16]. For each of them, a cluster of LDs in the spiracular gland produces a duct that runs along the branch to reach the spiracular opening (Figure 6B–6D). Disrupting ACC in the oenocytes provoked a dramatic phenotype in the spiracles. In BO>ACC-RNAi and BO>grim L2 larvae that still exhibited fully air-filled trachea, the LDs in the spiracular gland and the lipid-containing ducts were barely visible (Figure 6E, 6G), indicating that a functional defect of the spiracles occurs before the tracheal air-filling phenotype. Consistently, the BO>ACC-RNAi and BO>grim larvae that successfully completed the L2/L3 molting transition shared a loss of LD clusters and lipid-containing ducts in their spiracles (Figure 6F, 6H, 6N). A similar phenotype was observed in early L3 larvae of promE>grim and promE>ACC-RNAi genotypes (Figure 6I, 6J). However, few ducts eventually formed but these hardly reached the tip of the spiracular branches (arrowheads in Figure 5F, 5J). ACCΔ22 larvae contained neither LD clusters nor lipid-containing ducts in their spiracles (Figure 6K, 6O), whereas ACCΔ14 mutant larvae rescued by ubiquitous expression of UAS-ACC exhibited a control-like phenotype (Figure 6L, 6P). Therefore, we concluded that a specific VLCFA must be synthesized in the oenocytes in order to remotely control the watertightness of the spiracles (Figure 7).

**Discussion**

We have identified a *Drosophila* ACC that is likely the genuine and unique fruitful member. First, blast search using the mammalian ACC1 or ACC2 as bait identified a single gene in the *Drosophila* genome. Second, the degree of identity and similarity between the *Drosophila* and mammalian ACC gene products is very high, as is the conservation of the various functional domains. Third, disruption of this single gene in the FB induces a dramatic drop in TG storage, indicating that CG11198 is necessary for FA synthesis. Fourth, mutation of this unique *Drosophila* ACC gene causes embryonic lethality, as in the ACC1 knockout mice that die at early embryogenesis [21]. Furthermore, we observed that disruption of oenocyte ACC phenocopies oenocyte ablation indicating that ACC fulfills an essential function of these cells. We also provide evidence that this phenotype depends on the synthesis of at least one unidentified VLCFA within the oenocytes. Importantly, we observed that the oenocytes control the watertightness of the trachea, and that this process is linked to the failure of lipid transfer to the apertures of air entry into the respiratory system. Based on these findings, we propose that the watertightness of the tracheal system depends on VLCFA synthesis within the oenocytes. Accumulation of LDs in the FB is assumed to be mediated by lipophorin receptor-dependent uptake from the hemolymph [37]. Nonetheless, our study in FB cells revealed that ACC is necessary for *de novo* synthesis of FAs and the subsequent TG storage, indicating that the FB is also a lipogenic organ. In mammals, conditional ACC1 knockouts to the mouse liver [24] or adipose tissue are viable [25]. Interestingly, ACC-RNAi disruption in the FB did not affect viability, but did result in a severe drop in TG accumulation and a dramatic increase in glycogen levels. This phenotype directly depends on LCFA synthesis, since expression of
an RNAi to the ubiquitous FAS gene CG3523 in the FB produced comparable metabolic changes (TR and JM, unpublished results). The metabolism of TGs and glycogen plays a central role in homeostasis and in related diseases [38,39]. Metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes are associated with increased levels of circulating NEFA, TGs, glucose, and with restricted postprandial

Figure 5. Water-tightness defect of the spiracles. (A–L) Tests for liquid entry into the tracheal system after transfer of larvae onto a semi-liquid media stained with Brilliant Blue FCF. Control larvae were maintained for 36 h inside the tinted feeding medium and analyzed at various times (A, B, G, H, K) Mutant larvae without any visible tracheal defect were transferred onto the tinted feeding medium and analyzed as they strayed away (C, D, E, F, I, J, L). Larvae of the following genotypes and stages: (A) BO control late L2, (B) BO control early L3, (C) BO->ACC-RNAi early L3, (D) BO->grim late L2, (E) BO->CG6660-RNAi early L3, (F) BO->FASCG17374-RNAi early L3, (G) promE control at the L2/L3 transition, (H) promE control early L3, (C) promE->ACC-RNAi early L3, (D) promE->grim at the L2/L3 transition, (K) ACCmutA mutant rescue and (L) ACCoeTD. Note that the staining of the gut due to the tinted food is not visible in the hemolymph, which confirms that Brilliant Blue FCF does not easily cross the epithelia. Entry of tinted liquid into the anterior and posterior spiracles (arrowheads in C) and extension into the main trunks (arrow in D) are indicated. Larvae oriented anterior to the top.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002925.g005
storage of glycogen [40]. Accordingly, recent studies have revealed that increasing synthesis of glycogen in diabetic animal models is beneficial for reducing high concentrations of circulatory glucose [41,42]. Most likely, the increased glycogen levels in Drosophila larvae that are unable to synthesize TGs in their FB represents a metabolic response aimed to counteract a potential diabetes-like threat. This extra glycogen potentially accumulates in the body wall muscles as it normally does in wild-type Drosophila larvae [13], suggesting a systemic control of the TG/glycogen storage balance. In mammals, accumulation of glycogen depends on the activity of two opposing enzymes: glycogen phosphorylase (GP) and glycogen synthase (GS), which catalyze glycogen breakdown and synthesis, respectively [39]. Insulin stimulation results in the repression of GSK3, thereby promoting synthesis of glycogen [43], as GS activity is inhibited by GSK3-induced phosphorylation [39]. The increased glycogen levels in ACC-deficient larvae likely results from a regulatory loop improving GS activity that may be mediated through insulin signaling. Alternatively, a recent study in mammals revealed that the Fibroblast-growth-factor 19 (FGF19) also induces GSK3 phosphorylation in an insulin-independent manner, thereby increasing GS activity [41]. Three FGF ligands (called Branchless, FGF8-like1 and FGF8-like2) have been

Figure 6. Lipid transfer within the anterior spiracles. (A–P) Oil-Red-O staining of anterior spiracles. (A–D) Control larvae at the late L2 (A), early L3 (B), mid L3 (C), late L3 (D) stages contain LD clusters in their spiracular glands that form lipid-containing ducts (arrowheads); note the accumulation of lipids at the spiracular opening of late L3 larvae (arrow in D). (F–L) Spiracles at the late L2 stage (E,G) and after the L2/L3 molt (F,H,I,J,K,L) of the following larvae: (E–F) BO>grim, (G–H) BO>ACC-RNAi, (I) promE>grim, (J) promE>ACC-RNAi, (K) ACC<sup>Neo<sup>TD</sup></sup> and (L) ACC<sup>B131</sup> mutant rescue. (M–P) Presence (M,P) or absence (N,O) of lipid-containing ducts in L3 spiracular terminal branch of the following larvae: (M) control, (N) BO>ACC-RNAi, (O) ACC<sup>Neo<sup>TD</sup></sup>, (P) ACC<sup>B131</sup> mutant rescue.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002925.g006
identified in the *Drosophila* genome [44,45]. Whether one of them also regulates glycogen metabolism, much like the mammalian FGF19, remains to be investigated.

Our findings emphasize the role of the oenocyte in FA metabolism. Earlier studies revealed that the oenocytes are closely associated with the FB cells and that both cell types undergo concurrent morphological changes [46]. These physiological relationships have recently been substantiated through the identification of a subset of gene products that act equally in either the FB or the oenocytes to control overall TG content [47]. Furthermore, as is the case in fast-induced fatty-liver, the oenocytes accumulate large amount of LDs following overnight starvation [14], a dynamic process that we also observed in ACC-deficient oenocytes. In mammals, the uptake of LDs in fasted liver is possibly controlled by low FA levels, since FASKOL mice that are fed a fat-free diet, accumulate more hepatic LDs than controls [27]. We observed that the uptake of LDs into the oenocytes, induced by either fasting or ACC deficiency, is mediated by the lipophorin receptor LpR2. Since both processes are expected to drop malonyl-CoA levels and FA synthesis, it is likely that this LpR2-mediated LD uptake is induced by a subsequent metabolic defect rather than by a direct effect of nutrients or ACC decrease. Furthermore, it has been shown that oenocyte-ablated larvae were unable to use their TG stores [14], suggesting that the oenocytes are the only tissues where TG remobilization takes place. However, we observed a striking correlation between TG consumption and hypoxia following oenocyte ablation, consistent with the fact that FA oxidation is an oxygen-dependent process [46]. These findings raise the question of whether the failure of TG consumption in oenocyte-ablated larvae is due to an autonomous function of the oenocytes or whether it results from a subsequent effect of hypoxia. In mammals, fasting induces remobilization of TGs from the adipose tissue to the lean tissues—namely the liver and the muscles [49]. Hence, in *Drosophila*, the idea that the muscles are unable to burn TG stores and that the oenocytes are the only cells able to do this might be reconsidered.

Here, we provide evidence that the essential function of the oenocytes does not depend on lipid remobilization. Instead, it relies directly on ACC activity to sustain the synthesis of a putative VLCFA that is required to preserve the watertightness of the spiracles (Figure 7). *De novo* synthesis of VLCFA is catalyzed by the elongase complex, whose elongase subunit is specific to the tissue, the substrate and the final product [20]. Using specific RNAi to each of the twenty *Drosophila* elongase subunits, we have found that only one of them could recapitulate the phenotype due to oenocyte disruption of ACC, arguing for a particular VLCFA in this essential function. Interestingly, a transcriptome analysis revealed that several genes encoding FA anabolic enzymes are upregulated in mosquito oenocytes, including FAS and two putative elongases [50]. Desat1, the *Drosophila* homologue of the Stearoyl CoA-desaturase-1—which catalyzes the formation of a double bound to generate the unsaturated palmitoleic and oleic acids—is one of the gene products reported to be highly expressed in oenocytes [31]. Strikingly, *desat1* mutation induces a lethal phenotype at the end of the L2 stage [52]. However, we have observed that larvae expressing a ubiquitous *desat1* RNAi die at the end of the L2 stage without any visible tracheal defect. In addition, larvae expressing this *desat1* RNAi in their oenocytes, survived to adulthood without any visible defective phenotype (data not shown), suggesting that the production of the putative VLCFA does not require Desat1. Nevertheless, identification of this VLCFA will be a substantial challenge that will require a combination of extensive *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches.

For many decades, it has been proposed that lipid metabolism is highly active in the oenocytes of most insect species, to sustain the renewal of the cuticular lipids and their hydrocarbon derivatives at the molting transitions (references in [53]). In addition, molting defects and impairment of cuticle formation have been observed in *Campanariellus elegans* following knock down of the *pod-2* and *fas-1* genes that encode ACC and FAS, respectively [54]. Nevertheless, in spite of a lethal effect that may happen at the L2/L3 transition, our findings do not formally demonstrate that VLCFA synthesis in the oenocyte is crucial for the larval molting processes. Actually, we
have observed that disrupting VLCFA synthesis in the oenocytes induces an entry of liquid into the tracheal system at various developmental phases—from mid-L2 to L3 stages, depending on the genotype. Although default in removal of the tracheal cuticle was observed in dying larvae blocked at the L2/L3 transition, no obvious defect was visible when the watertightness failure arose during the L2 or L3 stages (data not shown). Thus, the spiracle-defect is likely independent of the molting process failure.

Finally, we show that disruption of VLCFA synthesis in the oenocytes is associated with a lack of both LD clusters in the spiracular gland and lipid-filled ducts running to the spiracular opening. Formation of LDs in the spiracular gland and lipid transfer to the spiracular apertures was described several decades ago in various insect species [35]. The proposed explanation for lipid accumulation is that it functions to prevent liquid entry into the tracheal system of aquatic insects. In contrast, terrestrial insects must be resistant to water loss, a process that can occur through the cuticle and the spiracles [55]. Although the adult Drosophila is a terrestrial insect that needs a barrier to protect it from desiccation, the larva is a semi-aquatic animal [56]. Our findings provide the first genetic evidence that failure to transfer lipids to the air entry opening is linked to a loss of the hydrophobic properties of the larval spiracles. The accumulation of liquid in the tracheal system has also been reported following clearance failure of the tracheal lumen. Few gene products have been described for which mutations result in liquid accumulation in the trachea. These gene products comprise epithelial Na+ channels (ENaCs) [57], a J-domain transmembrane protein [58] and a putative transmembrane protein of unknown function [59]. Defective lung liquid clearance is responsible for several human diseases that manifest at different ages [60]. Interestingly, mice that are deficient in one of the ENaC family members (α-ENaC) die because of defective neonatal liquid clearance in the lungs [61]. To date, all the Drosophila mutants that produce the gas-filling defect induce an early phenotype visible in embryo and L1 larvae. Few corresponding gene products are expressed in the tracheal cells and apparently work in a tissue-autonomous manner. In contrast, we never observed the oenocyte ACC-dependent phenotype earlier than the late L2 stage, suggesting that it originates from a distinct physiological event. Given that the BO-Gal4 driver is active in embryonic but not in larval oenocytes, synthesis of this putative VLCFA is likely critical during early development, while the tracheal defects and lethality arise later. Therefore, this VLCFA-dependent signal could either send an early message to the embryonic trachea, or be necessary for proper oenocyte development during embryogenesis. However, the results obtained with the promE-Gal4 driver, which becomes active at the L1 stage, indicate that larval oenocytes still remotely control the tracheal watertightness. Interestingly, the anterior spiracles become functional at the L2 larval stage [62]. Thus, that the air-filling phenotype does not occur earlier than the late L2 larval stage might be a sole result of the activity of the anterior spiracles.

In summary, we have discovered a novel VLCFA-dependent remote signal, which emanates from the oenocytes to prevent liquid accumulation in the respiratory system (Figure 7). Although the spiracles of Drosophila larvae develop at the posterior and anterior ends [16], their watertightness might depend on an evolutionarily conserved function of the oenocytes, given that spiracles and oenocytes are tightly connected in several insects species that contain metameric abdominal spiracles [15]. Finally, the discovery of this unexpected VLCFA-dependent function suggests that FA metabolic pathways mediate other unknown regulatory processes that must be systematically investigated.

### Materials and Methods

#### Fly stocks and genetics

The following fly strains were used: sp-Gal80 and BO-Gal4 [14]; Cg-Gal4 [63]; daughterless daughtersda-Gal4; UAS-gem [64]; PBac [5HPs] (CG1198 [63], RT2-4D, P[Ubi GFP]; actin5C CD2 > Gem; IUAS-GFP; IUAS-De-2. [Bloomington Stock Center]; Inducible RNA-interfering (UAS-RNAi) lines to ACC, FAS [G35223, FAS G35224], KAR, CG6660, LPR1, LPR2 were obtained from either VDRC or NIG-Fly. The P-element insertions (Exelixis collection) PBac/WH/LpR20160, and PXP [63], flanking the LpR2 gene and the PBac/WH/LpR20100, PXP [63], flanking the Lpr1 gene were used to generate deficiency as described [65].

All the UAS/Gal4 tests were performed with and without a UAS-De-2 that strengthens the UAS-RNAi effect [66]. Using the UAS-De-2 provided similar results with Cg-Gal4 and BO-Gal4 drivers, except that the semi-lethal phenotype of BO > KAR-RNAi animals (Table 1, Line 12) was visible only after adding the UAS-De-2. In addition, the phenotype induced by the prom-Gal4 driver could be observed only after adding the UAS-De-2 and when performing the assay at 29°C to strengthen UAS/Gal4 activity.

The ACCODD (ACC813), ACC813 da-Gal4, sp-Gal80 UAS-ACC mutant was produced by crossing ACC813/+ UAS-ACC/+ females with ACC813/+, da-Gal4, sp-Gal80/+ males. Since both lines were balanced by a co-segregating Sb5-TM6B Tb- balancer, the ACCODD mutant animals were identified by their Tb+ phenotype. Since all the flies used contained P[w+]+mc] transposable elements, the experiments were done in a white mutant (w+) background.

#### Molecular biology

To generate the UAS-ACC transgenic line, the ACC (GH12002) cDNA was cloned into the pUAST vector using standard molecular biology techniques. The second exon of FAS [G35223] and the corresponding reverse fragments were cloned into the pWIZ vector by SpeI-AvrII and Nhel-XbaI respectively [67]. Plasmid constructs were injected by BestGene.

Quantitative PCR was performed as described [68] using RNA TRIzol (Invitrogen) to extract RNAs from 20 larvae for each sample. cDNAs were synthesized with SuperScript II and PCR was performed with a LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche Applied Science). Primers for Charybdis (5’- GCAGACATTGGAC-GaTG-3’ and 5’-CTCTGCAACTCTAAGAACG-3’) and Sylla (5’- GCAAGAGACAAAGCCC-3’ and 5’-ACAATCCGTACAGGT-3’) were used and the mRNA amounts were normalized to Rpl23 (5’- GACCGTTCAAGGGCAGTATCG-3’ and 5’-AAACGCGGTTCTCG-CATGAG-3’) mRNA values.

#### Tissue analyses

The antisemur to ACC was produced after rabbit immunization with the peptides 17460EFSKARPVDIRTPD 1760 and 2640bolAQMQLEETSNSNQ 2812 (residue numbered according to the ACC-PA) and affinity purified by Eurogentec. Larval tissues were dissected in PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature on a rocking tray, rinsed twice in PBS 0.1% and blocked for 30 min in PBS-0.2% BSA 0.1% triton x 100. For antibody staining, the ACC antiserum or a mouse GFP transgenic line, the ACC (GH12002) cDNA was cloned into the pUAST vector using standard molecular biology techniques. The second exon of FAS [G35223] and the corresponding reverse fragments were cloned into the pWIZ vector by SpeI-AvrII and Nhel-XbaI respectively [67]. Plasmid constructs were injected by BestGene.

Quantitative PCR was performed as described [68] using RNA TRIzol (Invitrogen) to extract RNAs from 20 larvae for each sample. cDNAs were synthesized with SuperScript II and PCR was performed with a LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche Applied Science). Primers for Charybdis (5’- GCAGACATTGGAC-GaTG-3’ and 5’-CTCTGCAACTCTAAGAACG-3’) and Sylla (5’- GCAAGAGACAAAGCCC-3’ and 5’-ACAATCCGTACAGGT-3’) were used and the mRNA amounts were normalized to Rpl23 (5’- GACCGTTCAAGGGCAGTATCG-3’ and 5’-AAACGCGGTTCTCG-CATGAG-3’) mRNA values.

#### Tissue analyses

The antisemur to ACC was produced after rabbit immunization with the peptides 17460EFSKARPVDIRTPD 1760 and 2640bolAQMQLEETSNSNQ 2812 (residue numbered according to the ACC-PA) and affinity purified by Eurogentec. Larval tissues were dissected in PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature on a rocking tray, rinsed twice in PBS 0.1% and blocked for 30 min in PBS-0.2% BSA 0.1% triton x 100. For antibody staining, the ACC antiserum or a mouse GFP transgenic line, the ACC (GH12002) cDNA was cloned into the pUAST vector using standard molecular biology techniques. The second exon of FAS [G35223] and the corresponding reverse fragments were cloned into the pWIZ vector by SpeI-AvrII and Nhel-XbaI respectively [67]. Plasmid constructs were injected by BestGene.

Quantitative PCR was performed as described [68] using RNA TRIzol (Invitrogen) to extract RNAs from 20 larvae for each sample. cDNAs were synthesized with SuperScript II and PCR was performed with a LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche Applied Science). Primers for Charybdis (5’- GCAGACATTGGAC-GaTG-3’ and 5’-CTCTGCAACTCTAAGAACG-3’) and Sylla (5’- GCAAGAGACAAAGCCC-3’ and 5’-ACAATCCGTACAGGT-3’) were used and the mRNA amounts were normalized to Rpl23 (5’- GACCGTTCAAGGGCAGTATCG-3’ and 5’-AAACGCGGTTCTCG-CATGAG-3’) mRNA values.
a filtrated 0.1% Oil-Red-O solution [14] or a Nile Red dilution [69]. Tissues were mounted separately and analyzed on a fluorescent Leica (Leitz DMRB) or a confocal Nikon (TE 2000-U) microscope.

Animal analyses
To determine larval lethality, flies were allowed to lay eggs on a grape-juice plate for 4 h and kept at 25°C for embryonic development. The next day, newly hatched larvae were collected over a 3 h period and groups of 50 larvae were transferred onto agar plates containing a small piece of food. The dead and living larvae were counted twice per day. A similar experimental protocol was used with 70-hour-old larvae to determine the percentage of animals that stray away from food; the larvae out of the food were counted 1 h after larval transfer. Tracheal air filling was observed with a Leica microscope (Leitz DMRB) on living larvae after cold-induced immobilization.

"Water-tightness" assay
Larvae were collected and transferred into tinted food containing 1% brilliant blue FCF (referred to as E133 in food industry) in the middle of agar plates. Wild-type larvae at either the L2 or L3 stages were analyzed at various times over a 36 h period. Mutant larvae without visible tracheal or behavioral phenotypes were transferred into the tinted food and analyzed once they strayed away from food.

Metabolic measurements
To evaluate metabolic changes in post-feeding larvae, animals were grown in controlled density on standard medium. 0–4 h prepupa were collected and analyzed as described [70] using a TRIGLYCERIDE LIQUICOLR kit (STANBIO Laboratory) for total TG levels, a Protein Assay reagent (Bio-Rad) for total protein, and a GLUCOSE (GOD-POD) kit (Thermo Electron) for glucose levels. Trehalase and glycogen were evaluated by measuring the glucose produced after overnight enzymatic digestion with either Trehalase [70] or amyloglucosidase [71]. A Denver APX-60 scale was used to weigh female prepupa; the polypeptide (colored boxes) contains, from right to left, a BC and a BCCP domain in the N-terminal moiety (black boxes) and an acetyl-CoA carboxyltransferase domain (dark grey boxes) in the C-terminal moiety. The insertion site of the ACC-Ri element is indicated (arrow).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Sequence comparison of ACC from Drosophila and mouse. Sequence alignment of the Drosophila ACC-PR (Dm) and of the mouse ACC1 (Mm) polypeptides. Comparison of the proteins reveals an overall identity of 62% and a similarity of 77%. The biotin carboxylase (BC, light grey), the biotin carboxyl carrier protein (BCCP, underlined), and the acetyl-CoA carboxyltransferase (CT, dark grey) domains are conserved. The lysine residue (bold) that covalently links the biotin is conserved. (PDF)

Figure S2 The ACC Locus. Introns (lines) and exons (boxes) of the Drosophila ACC gene. The ACC gene is oriented according to flybase; the polypeptide (colored boxes) contains, from right to left, a BC and a BCCP domain in the N-terminal moiety (black boxes) and an acetyl-CoA carboxyltransferase domain (dark grey boxes) in the C-terminal moiety. The insertion site of the ACC-Ri element is indicated (arrow). (TIF)

Figure S3 ACC expression in flip-out clones expressing ACC-Ri. Direct GFP fluorescence (A,D,G), staining to ACC (B,E,H) and nuclei (B,F), and merge pictures (C,F,I) in fat body (A–C), midgut (D–F) and imaginal disc (G–I). Note that the ACC signal is severely suppressed in GFP-positive cells that co-express the ACC-Ri. Scale bars: 20 µm. (TIF)

Figure S4 Oil-Red-O detection of LDs in oenocytes. (A) Accumulation of LDs in ACC-Ri-flip-out oenocyte (arrows). (B) Lack of fast-induced accumulation of LDs in LpR2-Ri flip-out oenocytes (arrows). (C) Lack of LD accumulation in ACC-Ri, LpR2-Ri flip-out oenocytes (arrows). (D) Lack of LD accumulation in an ACC-Ri homozygote oenocyte (arrow) generated in an LpR2 mutant background. (TIF)

Figure S5 Loci of LpR1 and LpR2. Introns (lines) and exons (boxes) of the Drosophila LpR2 and LpR1 genes located in tandem on the third chromosome. According to flybase, both genes are oriented from right to left. The coding sequences are indicated (grey boxes). The insertions points of the PBac[WH]LpR201602 and PBac[WH]LpR201603 are indicated (arrows). The FRT-recombination between two P-elements removed the genomic sequences located between their insertion sites (arrows) [65]. Recombination between The insertions point of the PBac[WH]LpR201602 and PXPm011715, and between PBac[WH]LpR201603 and PXPm011715 (Exelixis collection) produced deficiencies of LpR2 and LpR1, respectively. (TIF)

Figure S6 Tracheal phenotype of control and mutant larvae. Visualization of the tracheal system of larvae of the following genotypes, from top to bottom: BO>spgrim L2 larva, BO>spgrim L2 larva BO>ACC-Ri L2 larva, BO>spgrim L2 larva BO>ACC-Rm L3 larva, BO>FASCG1734>ACC-Rm L3 larva, BO>FG6660-RN L3 larva promE>ACC-Rm L3 larva, BO>FG6660-RN L3 larva promE>ACC-Ri L3 larva, BO>FG6660-RN L3 larva promE>FASCG1734-Ri L3 larva. (TIF)

Figure S7 Hypoxic response and TG consumption in L1 and L2 larvae. (A–B) Expression of the hypoxic-induced genes charybdis and scylla in late L1 (A) and mid/late L2 (B) larvae of the following genotypes: (BO) BO control; (ACC-Ri) BO>ACC-Rm; (spgrim) BO>spgrim. The hypoxic responsive genes are significantly induced in mid/late L2 larvae (B) but not in late L1 larvae (A). (A–B') TG consumption in late L1 (A') and mid/late L2 (B') larvae before (white bars) and after overnight starvation (black bars). T test: * P<0.05; ** P<0.01; *** P<0.001. (TIF)
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