Construction and Immunogenicity of a Recombinant Pseudorabies Virus Variant With TK/gl/gE/11k/28k Deletion
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In China, the re-emerging pseudorabies virus (PRV) variant has caused large-scale outbreaks of pseudorabies in swine herds with classical PRV vaccine immunization since late 2011. Here, a recombinant PRV with TK/gl/gE/11k/28k deletion was constructed based on variant HN1201 strain isolated in 2012, by the bacterial artificial chromosome infectious clones. Compared with the parental virus, the recombinant PRV rHN1201TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− showed a similar virus grown curve and exhibited smaller plaques. The vaccination of rHN1201TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− could elicit an earlier and higher level of gB antibody, and the neutralizing antibodies elicited by rHN1201TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− were effective against both PRV classical and variant strains. Clinically, the body temperature of the pigs immunized with rHN1201TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− was significantly lower than that of the classical PRV vaccine immunized pigs, and the recombinant PRV could provide effective protection against the challenge with the PRV variant. These results imply that the rHN1201TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− could be a promising vaccine candidate for the prevention of the current epidemic of pseudorabies in China.
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INTRODUCTION

Pseudorabies (PR), also called Aujeszky’s disease, is caused by the infection of an alpha-herpesvirus Pseudorabies virus (PRV) (1). The double-stranded DNA genomic sequence of PRV is ~145 kb in size, containing almost 70 open reading frames (ORFs) that encode 70–100 viral proteins (2).

The herpesvirus PRV has a broad host range, which is known to cause acute fatal disease in a variety of mammals (3–5). The PRV infection may lead to acute symptoms and even death in piglets, and the clinical signs of coughing, sneezing, lethargy, nervousness, uncoordinated movements, and abortion in sows (1), resulting in heavy economic losses in the pig industry. Like other alpha-herpesviruses, PRV is characterized by a lifelong latent infection in the host peripheral nervous system. Stress-induced reactivation of latent PRV is a difficulty for PR prevention (6).

Highly efficacious gene-deleted modified-live vaccines, such as the strain PRV Bartha-K61 that attenuated from wild-type strain Bartha via multiple passages on pig kidney cells and chicken embryos, and their companion differential serological tests have been widely used to control PR during the past several decades (7, 8). Compared with the wild-type strain, the attenuated Bartha
carries a large deletion in the unique short region of the genome, including the complete genes of gE and US9 (11k), and part of the US2 (28k) and gl (9). However, the emerging virulent PRV strains have caused severe PR in the vaccinated pigs in China since late 2011 (10–13), while the widely used PRV vaccines of classical strains only provide limited protection to the new-emerging PR (12). After the new-emerging PR outbreaks, a virulent PRV variant HN1201 in China was isolated, which induced high fever, anorexia, coughing, dyspnea, and systemic neurological symptoms in the infected pigs (12, 14, 15). Multiple studies have shown that the highly virulent PRV was the causal agent of this PR epidemic (12, 14–16). Therefore, it is urgent to develop more effective PRV vaccines based on the emerging PRV strains for the disease control.

Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) infectious clone is widely used for the studies of viral genome manipulation, and then be used for evaluating the efficacy of vaccine candidates (17–20). In herpesvirus, the BAC system was a powerful tool for generating recombinant viruses, which promotes the understanding of viral pathogenesis, vaccine development, and gene therapy (21). The gl, gE, and TK genes were critical for PRV virulence, but with no obvious effect on viral immunogenicity (22, 23). The gene of 11k is required for the efficient spread of PRV in the nervous system (24, 25). The deletion of 28k gene in the attenuated PRV vaccine strain strongly suggested an important role of 28k in virulence determination (26), and more recently, the 28k gene deletion showed an enhancement of PRV titers in vitro (27).

Here, a TK/gE/gl/11k/28k deleted PRV strain was generated based on a modified RPV, HN1201TK− (15), using BAC infectious clone, and then the immunogenicity of the 5-gene-deleted vaccine candidate was evaluated in pigs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Pigs (28-day-old) used in this study were tested free of PRV, porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV), classical swine fever virus (CSFV), and porcine circovirus 2 (PCV2). All the animal samples were collected according to the protocol approved by the Animal Care and Ethics Committee of National Research Center for Veterinary Medicine (Permit 20170625005).

Virus and Cells

The PRV variant HN1201 (GenBank accession no. KP722022.1) isolated in 2012 has been described previously (15). Pig kidney cells (PK-15 cells, ATCC® CCL-10) and African green monkey kidney (Vero) cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Gibco, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), and then inoculated in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2, while the cell culture medium used during viral infection was the DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS.

Generation of rHN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k−

The Escherichia coli (E. coli) competent cells DY380 that harbor the plasmid pBAC-HN1201TK− were obtained as described previously (15). The DY380 cells were electroporated with a PCR product, a positive selection marker of ampicillin (Amp) gene containing the short extensions (SE) that are homologous to the gl and 28k genes in both ends, to produce intermediate plasmid pBAC-HN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k−/Amp+. The positive clones were selected on agar plates containing 100 µg/ml Amp and 30 µg/ml chloramphenicol, and further confirmed by digestion of BamHI. After digestion using I-SceI, the linear plasmid was used to transfect HN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− to remove the Amp gene and get the plasmid pBAC-HN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k−.

To remove the BAC gene cassette and the Loxp residual sequence, a PCR product [a cassette of kanamycin (Kan) gene with homologous arm sequence of Cat-OriS from pBeloBAC11 plus the inverted repeat fragment of the homologous arm of PRV TK gene] was transformed into the DY380 cells containing the plasmid pBAC-HN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− to produce pBAC-HN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k−/Kan+. After digestion using I-SceI, the linear plasmid was transformed into DY380 cells to remove the Kan gene, and finally to produce pBAC-HN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k−. After that, the positive clone of pBAC-HN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− was transfected into Vero cells to produce markerless 5-gene deleted virus rHN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k−, which was obtained after 3 rounds of purification by plaque assay.

In vitro Growth Properties and Plaque Morphology

One-step growth curve of the rescued rHN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− was assessed, and then was compared with that of the parental virus HN1201. After infection by the parental and rescued virus (MOI of 1.0), the supernatants of Vero cells were harvested at 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32, and 36 h post-infection (hpi) and stored at −80°C. The virus titers were determined by the 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50). Growth kinetics for each virus were tested in triplets and the resulting titers were averaged.

Plaque sizes were determined at 48 hpi in Vero cells. Briefly, in the 6-well plates with monolayer cells, the culture medium (DMEM supplied with 2% FBS) containing 1.0 × 103 TCID50 of the virus was aspirated at 1 h after incubation, and then the cells were overlaid with 1% low-melting-point agarose in DMEM supplied with 2% FBS for plaque formation. For each virus, 100 plaques were randomly selected, and the plaque size was determined by ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

Animal Experiment

A total of 15 pigs (28-day-old), free of PRV, PRRSV, CSFV, and PCV2, were randomly divided into 3 groups (n = 5). The piglets in groups 1 and 2 were vaccinated intramuscularly with 1.0 × 105.0 TCID50 rHN1201 TK−/gE−/gl−/11k−/28k− and one dose of Bartha-K61, respectively. DMEM medium was used as the placebo in group 3 (unvaccinated). After vaccination, rectal temperature and clinical signs were recorded daily. The pig serum samples in days post-vaccination (dpv) of 0, 8, 10,
12, 14, and 21 were collected to monitor gB and neutralizing antibodies (NAbs). All pigs were challenged with HN1201 (1.0 × 10^6 TCID50 per pig) intranasally at 21 dpv. At 14 days post-challenge (dpc), all pigs were euthanized and necropsied, and organ samples were collected for immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay.

**Antibody Testing**

For antibody testing, gB antibodies of the serum samples were evaluated by the Aujeszky gB (Pseudorabies Virus) Antibody Test Kit (BioChek, The Netherlands) according to the instructions. The PRV-specific NAb titers were tested by serum-neutralization test (SNT). Briefly, serum samples were inactivated at 56°C for 30 min prior to the SNT. Two-fold serially diluted serum (50 μl) was mixed with an equal volume of the HN1201 or Bartha-K61 (1.0 × 10^2 TCID50) in 96-well plates and incubated at 37°C for 1 h in an atmosphere with 5% CO₂. After incubation, 100 μl of PK-15 cell suspension containing 2.0 × 10^6 cells was added to each well. The inoculated cells then were incubated at 37°C in an atmosphere with 5% CO₂ for 5 days, and the titers of PRV-specific NAbs were determined based on cytopathic effect (CPE), and the titers were expressed as the reciprocal of the highest dilution at which infection of the PK-15 cells was inhibited in 50% of the culture wells.

**qPCR**

The viral loads in the tissue samples of brain and lung from pigs were tested by qPCR, using the primers specific for gB gene, gB-f (5′-ACAAATCTAGGCAGGCCACATCTAC-3′), gB-r (5′-GTCGTTAGGCTGTCGTGAT-3′), and Probe-gB (FAM-ACGTCACTGTCAGGACCC-TAR), on the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). The copy number of samples.

**Immunohistochemistry Assay**

Brain, cerebellum, tonsils, and lung samples were collected from the pigs from 3 groups for IHC assay. The samples were fixed with 10% formaldehyde, processed into paraffin blocks, and cut into sections. The sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. PRV antigen in the infected pigs’ tissues was detected using an IHC with a PRV monoclonal antibody 3B5 (a gB-specific IgG antibody), as described previously (28, 29), and the HRP goat anti-mouse IgG (BTI, USA) served as the secondary antibody.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were presented as mean ± SD. The survival rates were analyzed by the Kaplan–Meier test. The differences in plaque areas of viruses, body temperature, and antibody titers of piglets between groups were determined by using Student’s t-test. Differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Rescue of rHN1201TK−/gE−/11k−/28k− and Growth Properties**

The PCR product of SE(gI)I-SceI/Amp/SE(28k) was applied to replace the fragment SE(gI)/gE/TTC/11k/28k/SE(28k) in pBAC-HN1201TK− by homologous recombination in E. coli DY380 (Figure 1). The 5-gene deleted plasmid pBAC-HN1201TK−/gE−/11k−/28k−/Amp− was selected on an agar plate containing chloramphenicol and ampicillin, and further confirmed by sequencing. After digestion using I-SceI, the linear plasmid was transformed into E. coli DY380 to remove the Amp gene, and then the plasmid pBAC-HN1201TK−/gE−/11k−/28k− was generated. The CPE could be observed at 72 hpi (Figure 2A). Plaques of rescued PRV were isolated and subjected to three rounds of purification. The virus was named rHN1201TK−/gE−/11k−/28k−, which lacked TK, gE, gl. 11k, and part of the 28k genes compared with the parental virus HN1201.

As shown in Figure 2B, the growth features of rescued virus rHN1201TK−/gE−/11k−/28k− were virtually identical to that of parental virus HN1201 in PK-15 cells. However, the plaque areas of the rescued virus were smaller than those formed by HN1201 in PK-15 cells (Figure 2C).

**Protection of Vaccinated Pigs After Challenge**

To determine the immunogenicity of HN1201 in PK-15 cells (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 2B, the growth features of rescued virus rHN1201TK−/gE−/11k−/28k− were virtually identical to that of parental virus HN1201 in PK-15 cells. However, the plaque areas of the rescued virus were smaller than those formed by HN1201 in PK-15 cells (Figure 2C).

**Antibody Response After Vaccination and Challenge**

After vaccination, the levels of gB antibody increased in all the vaccinated groups. The results showed that no clinical symptoms were observed in all pigs after vaccination. After challenge with HN1201 intranasally, all pigs in group 3 (unvaccinated) exhibited high fever (40.5–41.7°C), depression, anorexia, cough, and systemic neurological signs like convulsions and ataxia. All the unvaccinated pigs died at 6–7 dpc, and no pigs died after being vaccinated with rHN1201TK−/gE−/11k−/28k− or Bartha-K61 (Figure 3B). As with the clinical signs of group 3 at 2–6 dpc, the pigs in group 2 (Bartha-K61) showed a transient period of high fever after challenge (three out of five pigs showed the temperature higher than 40.5°C for 4 days), and all pigs recovered from 7 dpi. In contrast, pigs in group 1 showed no clinical signs throughout the whole experiment. The result indicates that the vaccination with rHN1201TK−/gE−/11k−/28k− could protect pigs against challenges with the new virulent PRV strain.
FIGURE 1 | Overview of strategies for the TK/gl/gI/11k/28k deletion. Boxes of the same color represent identical sequences.

FIGURE 2 | Rescue and the characterization of recombinant PRV. (A) Cytopathic effect in Vero cells after transfection with recombinant PRV pBAC plasmids. The white arrow indicated the CPE. Bar = 100 µm. (B) Multiple growth curves of the chimeric viruses. The culture supernatants were collected at the indicated time points for the viral titer determination. (C) Plaque size of the recombinant viruses. The plaques were measured at 48 hpi. The plaque size induced by the parental virus was set at 100%. Asterisk denotes a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$).
indicating an enhanced protective effect of the recombinant PRV strain. The anti-PRV NAbs of pig serum elicited by rHN1201TK−/gE−/gI−/11k−/28k− vaccination showed a high level against both the HN1201 and Bartha-K61 strains at 14 and 21 dpv, while anti-PRV NAbs of pig serum from the Bartha-K61 vaccination group showed a significantly lower NA titer against HN1201 (Figure 4B), which indicated an insufficient effect of Bartha-K61 for protecting animals from infection of epidemic strains. Of note, no gB-specific antibodies and NAbs were detected in the unvaccinated group.

**Viral Load Assay After Challenge**

After the challenge, the viral loads of the tissue samples were detected in the DNA levels by qPCR. The results showed a significantly higher viral load in the lungs and nasal swab of the piglets in the rHN1201TK−/gE−/gI−/11k−/28k− group than those in the Bartha-K61 group (p < 0.05) (Figure 4C), but not in the tissues of the brain and tonsil, which might be caused by the easier exposure of the respiratory tract to PRV.

**The Result of IHC Assay After Challenge**

In the IHC assay of tissue samples, as shown in Figure 5, the pigs in the unvaccinated group showed strong positive reaction in the tonsil, lung, brain, and trigeminal ganglion. While no positive reactions was detected in the tissues of unchallenged and vaccinated pigs recovered after the challenge, which indicated a sufficient protection effect of vaccination of rHN1201TK−/gE−/gI−/11k−/28k−.

**DISCUSSION**

The widely used PRV vaccines of classical strain, such as the strain Bartha-K61, were effective in PR control during the past several decades in China (7, 8). However, the re-emergent outbreaks of PR in the Bartha-K61 vaccinated pig farms since late 2011 indicated the insufficient protection of classical PRV vaccines (10–12, 16). In this study, a TK/gE/gI/11k/28k deleted PRV strain, rHN1201TK−/gE−/gI−/11k−/28k−, was generated based on the RPV variant strain HN1201. The full protection in pigs immunized with rHN1201TK−/gE−/gI−/11k−/28k− indicated that it is a safe and protective vaccine candidate to control the PR caused by new epidemic PRV variants.

The 3-kb deletion in the unique short (US) region of Bartha genome, partial loss of 28k, most of US7 (gI), and complete deletion of US8 (gE) and 11k (9) indicated an important role of these genes in the virulence determinant during infection. So, the genes of gI, gE, 11k, and 28k were selected for the construction of the 5-gene deleted PRV. The absence of the glycoprotein gE/gI complex in the Bartha genome partly explains the increased type I interferon response by plasmacytoid dendritic cells, and the potential of PRV Bartha vaccine strain to induce a strong type I interferon may contribute to the efficacy of the highly successful vaccine (9). In the future, the immunogenicity of the PRV 5-gene deleted vaccine candidate will be evaluated at the level of cellular immunity.

As reported, PRV gE and gI are required for efficient cell-to-cell spreading, gE/gI participates in the envelopment of nucleocapsids into cytoplasmic membrane vesicles (30), and delivery of virus particles to cell junctions would enhance virus spread (31). The viral protein of 11k interacts with a microtubule motor Kif1a to mediate virus transport (32); this mechanism could be strengthened by other viral proteins such as gE and gI (33). The interaction among these viral proteins reveals the transmission mechanism of PRV and provides a perspective to understand PRV virulence.

In herpes simplex virus, gI and gE null mutants lead to the formation of small plaques (34), and in PRV, the deletion of gI/gE/TK/UL13 also resulted in the formation of small plaques.
which was consistent with the findings in our study. An attenuated PRV strain with the deletion of US8/11k/28k genes results in a higher titer and larger plaque size than that of WT treatment in Vero cells (36), while the larger size of the plaque formed by JS-2012 was considered induced by the cell adaption in Vero cells (120 passages).

The rapid improvement of biotechnology promoted the research of genetically modified PRV in recent years, such as the application of BAC system in gene deletion (16, 37–39), and the identification of foreign gene insertion sites in a PRV vector (40) and non-coding regions (UL11-10, UL35-36, UL46-27, or US2-1). Notably, the method that allowed PRV genome
manipulation by using the CRISPR/Cas9 system in PK15 cells was developed (23, 41) and showed a high positive rate without constructing homology arms, offering a simple and efficient method to manipulate the viral genome in the future, especially in the identification of potential new virulence genes for the highly safe vaccine development to control PR.

In summary, here, a recombinant PRV rHN1201TK−/gE−/gI−/11k−/28k− was constructed by the BAC system, which elicited an earlier and higher level of gB antibody, and the NAbs elicited by rHN1201TK−/gE−/gI−/11k−/28k− were effective against both PRV classical and variant strains. The rHN1201TK−/gE−/gI−/11k−/28k− vaccination could provide effective protection against the challenge with the PRV variant. Therefore, it is a promising vaccine candidate for the prevention of the current epidemic of PR in China.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

REFERENCES

1. Pomeranz LE, Reynolds AE, Hengartner CJ. Molecular biology of pseudorabies virus: impact on neurovirology and veterinary medicine. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. (2005) 69:462–500. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.69.3.462-500.2005
2. Tan F, Li X, Tian K. Generating recombinant pseudorabies virus for use as a vaccine platform. Methods Mol Biol. (2017) 1581:79–96. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-6869-5_5
3. He W, Auclert LZ, Zhai X, Wong G, Zhang C, Zhu H, et al. Interspecies transmission, genetic diversity, and evolutionary dynamics of pseudorabies viruses. J Infect Dis. (2019) 219:1705–15. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiy731
4. Wang GS, Du Y, Wu QJ, Tian FL, Yu XJ, Wang JB. Vaccine resistant pseudorabies virus causes mink infection in China. BMC Vet Res. (2018) 14:20. doi: 10.1186/s12917-018-1334-2
5. Zhao Y, Wang LQ, Zheng HH, Yang YR, Liu F, Zheng LL, et al. Pseudorabies virus variant in Bartha-K61-vaccinated swine population in China. Acta Vet Hung (2017) 91:16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02276-16
6. Fan J, Zeng X, Zhang G, Wu Q, Niu J, Sun B, et al. Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis of pseudorabies viruses isolated from Guangdong province of southern China during 2013-2014. J Vet Sci. (2016) 17:369–75. doi: 10.4162/jvs.2016.17.3.369
7. Hu RM, Zhou Q, Song WB, Sun EC, Zhang MM, He QG, et al. Novel pseudorabies virus variant with defects in TK, gE and gI protects growing pigs against lethal challenge. Vaccine. (2015) 33:5733–40. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.09.066

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Animal Care and Ethics Committee of National Research Center for Veterinary Medicine.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FT, BH, and KT conceived and designed the research. SY, XB, YZ, LG, TW, and YW conducted the experiments. FT, YZ, and BH analyzed the data. SY, FT, BH, and KT conceived the study, carried out additional analyses, and finalized the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the project of R&D and industrialization of genetically engineered vaccines for swine pseudorabies, swine ring, and Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae (201200211200).
based on porcine pseudorabies virus variant. Mol Cell Probes. (2020) 53:101605. doi: 10.1016/j.mcp.2020.101605
24. Brideau AD, Card JP, Enquist LW. Role of pseudorabies virus US9, a type II membrane protein, in infection of tissue culture cells and the rat nervous system. J Virol. (2000) 74:834–45. doi: 10.1128/JVI.74.2.834-845.2000
25. Kratchmarov R, Taylor MP, Enquist LW. Role of US9 phosphorylation in axonal sorting and anterograde transport of pseudorabies virus. PLoS ONE. (2013) 8:e58776. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058776
26. Lomniczi B, Watanabe S, Ben-Porat T, Kaplan AS. Genetic basis of the neurovirulence of pseudorabies virus. J Virol. (1984) 52:198–205. doi: 10.1128/jvi.52.1.198-205.1984
27. Lyu C, Wang S, Sun M, Tang Y, Peng J, Tian Z, et al. Deletion of pseudorabies virus US2 gene enhances viral titers in a porcine cerebral cortex primary culture system. Virus Genes. (2018) 54:406–13. doi: 10.1007/s11262-018-1552-5
28. Yang QY, Sun Z, Tan FF, Guo LH, Wang YZ, Wang J, et al. Pathogenicity of a currently circulating Chinese variant pseudorabies virus in pigs. World J Virol. (2016) 5:23–30. doi: 10.5501/wjv.v5.i1.23
29. Zhang C, Guo L, Jia X, Wang T, Wang J, Sun Z, et al. Construction of a triple gene-deleted Chinese Pseudorabies virus variant and its efficacy study as a vaccine candidate on suckling piglets. Vaccine. (2015) 33:2432–7. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.03.094
30. Brack AR, Klupp BG, Granzow H, Tiramassi R, Enquist LW, Mettenleiter TC. Role of the cytoplasmic tail of pseudorabies virus glycoprotein E in virion formation. J Virol. (2000) 74:4004–16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.74.9.4004-401.62000
31. Johnson DC, Webb M, Wisner TW, Brunetti C. Herpes simplex virus gE/gI sorts nascent virions to epithelial cell junctions, promoting virus spread. J Virol. (2001) 75:821–33. doi: 10.1128/JVI.75.2.821-833.2001
32. Kramer T, Greco TM, Taylor MP, Ambrosini AE, Cristea IM, Enquist LW. Kinesin-3 mediates axonal sorting and directional transport of alphaherpesvirus particles in neurons. Cell Host Microbe. (2012) 12:806–14. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2012.10.013
33. Kratchmarov R, Kramer T, Greco TM, Taylor MP, Ch’ng TH, Cristea IM, et al. Glycoproteins gE and gI are required for efficient KIF1A-dependent anterograde axonal transport of alphaherpesvirus particles in neurons. J Virol. (2013) 87:9431–40. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01317-13
34. Farnsworth A, Johnson DC. Herpes simplex virus gE/gI must accumulate in the trans-Golgi network at early times and then redistribute to cell junctions to promote cell-cell spread. J Virol. (2006) 80:3167–79. doi: 10.1128/JVI.80.7.3167-3179.2006
35. Lv L, Liu X, Jiang C, Wang X, Cao M, Bai J, et al. Pathogenicity and immunogenicity of a gI/gE/TK/UL13-gene-deleted variant pseudorabies virus strain in swine. Vet Microbiol. (2021) 258:109104. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2021.109104
36. Liang C, Tong W, Zheng H, Liu F, Wu J, Li G, et al. A high-temperature passaging attenuated Pseudorabies vaccine protects piglets completely against emerging PRV variant. Res Vet Sci. (2017) 112:109–15. doi: 10.1016/j.rsvc.2017.02.008
37. Hubner A, Keil GM, Kahuuka T, Mettenleiter TC, Fuchs W. Efficient transgene insertion in a pseudorabies virus vector by CRISPR/Cas9 and marker rescue-enforced recombination. J Virol Methods. (2018) 262:38–47. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2018.09.009
38. Wang T, Tong W, Ye C, Yu Z, Chen J, Gao F, et al. Construction of an infectious bacterial artificial chromosome clone of a pseudorabies virus variant: reconstituted virus exhibited wild-type properties in vitro and in vivo. J Virol Methods. (2018) 259:106–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2018.06.004
39. Zhang C, Liu Y, Chen S, Qiao Y, Guo M, Zheng Y, et al. A gD&gC-substituted pseudorabies virus vaccine strain provides complete clinical protection and is helpful to prevent virus shedding against challenge by a Chinese pseudorabies variant. BMC Vet Res. (2019) 15:2. doi: 10.1186/s12917-018-1766-8
40. Zhang C, Guo S, Guo R, Chen S, Zheng Y, Xu M, et al. Identification of four insertion sites for foreign genes in a pseudorabies virus vector. BMC Vet Res. (2021) 17:190. doi: 10.1186/s12917-021-02887-w
41. Xu A, Qin C, Lang Y, Wang M, Lin M, Li C, et al. A simple and rapid approach to manipulate pseudorabies virus genome by CRISPR/Cas9 system. Biotechnol Lett. (2013) 37:1265–72. doi: 10.1007/s10529-015-1796-2

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Yan, Huang, Bai, Zhou, Guo, Wang, Shan, Wang, Tan and Tian. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.