Introduction

Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome (RCVS) is a distinct clinical and radiological syndrome characterized by segmental vasoconstriction of cerebral arteries that resolves within 1 to 3 months. It has been known to be a benign uniphasic reversible illness but cases of fulminant RCVS with mortality have also been reported. The symptoms can be varied with presentations like transient ischemic attack (TIA), ischemic stroke (IS), intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), and posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES). We report a postpartum female with RCVS with radiological features of ICH, SAH, atypical PRES, and atypical clinical presentation as well. RCVS can be frequently missed unless there is a high index of suspicion. Hence clinicians should be aware of the typical and the atypical presentation of RCVS along with the radiological findings with the potential complications. Early suspicion and detection in the emergency help in recovery and reducing morbidity.
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Abstract

Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome (RCVS) is a clinicoradiological syndrome characterized by segmental vasoconstriction of cerebral arteries with resolution in most patients within 1 to 3 months. It has been known to be a benign uniphasic reversible illness but cases of fulminant RCVS with mortality have also been reported. The symptoms can be varied with presentations like transient ischemic attack (TIA), ischemic stroke (IS), intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), and posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES). We report a postpartum female with RCVS with radiological features of ICH, SAH, atypical PRES, and atypical clinical presentation as well. RCVS can be frequently missed unless there is a high index of suspicion. Hence clinicians should be aware of the typical and the atypical presentation of RCVS along with the radiological findings with the potential complications. Early suspicion and detection in the emergency help in recovery and reducing morbidity.
We present a case of postpartum RCVS (PPRCVS), also known as postpartum angiopathy, leading to ICH, SAH, and atypical PRES with benign course. We present this case to highlight the different clinical presentation and the rare finding of extensive radiological features in a single patient.

**Case History**

A 25-year-old pregnant normotensive female, primigravida, with a 9-month period of gestation, visited local hospital for mild labor pains. There was no history of headaches or any medication use during pregnancy. She was conscious, oriented, and was noted to have blood pressure of 180/100 mm Hg. On routine blood investigations, there was leukocytosis (total leukocyte count 25,000/mm³), thrombocytopenia (absolute platelet count 44,000/mm³), impaired liver enzymes (serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase [SGPT]: 126 mg%), hyperbilirubinemia (total bilirubin: 1.8 mg%), and urine albumin + suggestive of preeclampsia complicated by HELLP syndrome (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets). Emergency caesarian section was done. Patient remained asymptomatic for next 24 hours.

On postoperative day 2, she had one episode of generalized tonic-clonic seizure associated with tongue bite. Patient was managed with antiepileptics, antihypertensives including magnesium sulfate, and intravenous antibiotics. On postoperative day 3, she developed bilateral symmetrical partial ptosis with no fatiguability or diurnal variation. She was further referred to the neurological emergency services of our hospital for further management.

On arrival to emergency, she was conscious and oriented with Glasgow coma scale of 15/15. Blood pressure was 170/100 mm Hg. There was bilateral pitting type pedal edema. She had bilateral symmetrical partial ptosis with no fatiguability. There was right horizontal gaze restriction. Pupils were mid constricted reacting to light. Fundus examination was normal. There was no motor deficit. Patient denied any history of headache. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) brain + MR angiogram (MRA) brain + MR venogram brain was done (Fig. 1) which showed asymmetrical hyperintense signal on T2/fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) in bilateral thalamocapsuloganglionic region and brainstem (pons and midbrain) with no typical diffusion restriction suggestive of vasogenic edema. There were small areas of acute hemorrhage

![Fig. 1](image-url)  MRI brain axial FLAIR images (A–D) show asymmetrical (right > left) hyperintense signal in bilateral thalamocapsuloganglionic region and brainstem (pons and midbrain) with no typical diffusion restriction (E–H) suggestive of vasogenic edema. GRE sequences (I–K) show small areas of acute hemorrhage in the posterior pons (I; arrow) and right caudate nucleus (J; arrow). Linear areas of GRE blooming present in left frontal sulci (K; arrow head) suggestive of SAH. MRA brain (L) shows segmental multifocal decreased flow related signal in bilateral middle cerebral arteries (MCAs) and bilateral posterior cerebral arteries (PCAs). FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; MRA, magnetic resonance angiogram; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage.
seen in the posterior pons and right caudate nucleus. Linear areas of GRE (gradient recalled echo) blooming in left frontal sulci suggestive of SAH was present. MRA brain was showing segmental multifocal decreased flow-related signal in bilateral middle cerebral arteries (MCA) and bilateral posterior cerebral arteries (PCA) in both proximal and distal parts. MR Venogram brain was normal. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis showed protein, 40 mg/dL; sugar, 55 mg/dL; and 5 cells/mm². Based on the radiological findings a diagnosis of postpartum RCVS was made. Our patient fulfilled 4/6 of the proposed diagnostic criteria for RCVS.

Patient was managed with antiepileptic drugs, antihypertensives (injectable magnesium sulfate and oral nifedipine), intravenous steroids (dexamethasone), intravenous antibiotics, and supportive medications with adequate hydration. No seizures recurred during hospital stay. Ptosis and gaze abnormality completely recovered over a period of 1 week. Repeat MRI brain done at 1-week interval was suggestive of partial resolution of vasogenic edema and MRA brain was normal study showing no flow-related signal in bilateral MCAs and PCAs. Patient was discharged at 1 week. On follow-up as outpatient visit at 1 month, patient was asymptomatic with MRI brain showing near total resolution of PRES changes and normal angiogram (Fig. 2).

Discussion
Puerperium has been reported as a risk factor in 7 to 9% of all RCVS cases. Incidence of PPRCVS is rare as cases often go misdiagnosed. Association of PPRCVS with pregnancy and the puerperium has been proposed to be contributed by female reproductive hormones and pregnancy-related physiological changes in blood volume, blood pressure, and blood vessels leading to alterations in cerebral arterial tone. Frequent use of vasoactive drugs to reduce postpartum hemorrhage and suppress lactation may be a risk factor for RCVS. Out of 98 PPRCVS cases studied by Skeik et al, all cases were observed within 0 to 30 days postpartum, with a median occurrence of 5 days. In our patient, symptoms appeared on second postpartum day.

Recurrent thunderclap headaches have been reported in 94% of RCVS cases which occurred over a mean period of 1 week. Our patient did not have headache during the entire course of the illness. Various studies concluded that RCVS can also manifest with unspecific headache, such as a single severe headache episode, a mild, or a progressive headache. Also, 15% of cases may present without any headache. Patients without history of headache usually present with seizures, focal neurological deficits, confusion, or coma in the
setting of stroke or PRES. Headache as an initial manifestation that could be possibly missed in aphasic and comatose patients as well. In such cases, diagnosis relies on radiological demonstration of reversible multifocal intracranial arterial stenosis and the exclusion of other causes. In our case, absence of headache remained unexplained in absence of aphasia and coma.

Complications in RCVS have been categorized as early and late. Convexity nonaneurysmal SAH (22%), PRES (9%), ICH (6%), and seizures (3%) occur early within a week as in our case. TIA (16%) and IS (4%) occur as late events during the second week. Convexity SAH are commonly associated with RCVS as in present case. These are nonaneurysmal, usually mild, unilateral, or bilateral, and found in a few sulcal spaces near the convexity. Parenchymal hemorrhages are of variable volume, more frequently single than multiple, and lobar than deep in contrary to present case, where ICH presents at two sites and moreover at deeper areas in caudate and posterior pons. Infarctions occur mainly in arterial watershed regions of the cerebral hemispheres, often between the posterior circulation and the carotid territories.

There is a significant clinical and radiological overlap between RCVS and PRES. Reversible vasogenic edema has been demonstrated in 9 to 38% of patients with RCVS usually diagnosed within a few days of clinical onset. There is complete reversal of edema usually within 1 month of clinical onset, much earlier than the vasoconstriction. Our patient had atypical radiological presentation with extensive asymmetrical vasogenic edema in brainstem and basal ganglia versus typical symmetrical subcortical parieto-occipital involvement. Atypical presentation has been described earlier. Isolated brainstem or basal ganglia involvement without involvement of the cerebral hemispheres may occur in PRES. In a series of 76 PRES patients by McKinney et al, involvement included the thalamus (30.3%), cerebellum (34.2%), brainstem (18.4%), and basal ganglia (11.8%) with unilateral involvement seen in 2.6%.

Diagnostic workup is very important in RCVS for demonstrating vasoconstriction to monitor potential complications and to rule out the closest differentials like aneurysmal SAH and PACNS. Clinically, RCVS has female preponderance and acute onset thunderclap headache is its characteristic, but PACNS has no sex predilection and onset is subacute to chronic with dull aching headache. Abnormal CSF rules out RCVS which is seen in >95% cases of PACNS. Radiologically in PACNS, multiple vascular territory infarcts of various ages are present in contrary to watershed infarcts in RCVS along with SAH, ICH, and reversible brain edema. On neurovascular imaging, in RCVS, there are diffuse areas of multiple stenosis and dilatation in intracranial cerebral arteries which is reversible within days to weeks whereas in PACNS, angiogram may be normal or indistinguishable from RCVS but irreversible. So acute onset of symptoms, normal CSF analysis, presence of SAH, ICH, and reversible edema on MRI; and reversible angiographic findings favored diagnosis of RCVS and ruled out PACNS.

### Conclusion

The plethora of radiological findings in our patient of ICH, SAH, and atypical PRES would have been difficult to diagnose without the angiographic features of vasoconstriction. Understanding of the radiological features will help us to take appropriate sequences which will help in diagnosis. Early suspicion, detection, and treatment helped in timely clinicoradiological recovery.
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