Phonon mediated tunneling into graphene
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Recent scanning tunneling spectroscopy experiments[1, 2] on graphene reported an unexpected gap of about ±60 meV around the Fermi level. Here, we give a theoretical investigation explaining the experimentally observed spectra and confirming the phonon mediated tunneling as the reason for the gap: We study the real space properties of the wave functions involved in the tunneling process by means of ab-initio theory and present a model for the electron-phonon interaction, which couples the graphene’s Dirac electrons with quasi free electron states at the Brillouin zone center. The self-energy associated with this electron-phonon interaction is calculated and its effects on tunneling into graphene are discussed. In particular, good agreement of the tunneling density of states within our model and the experimental[1, 2] dI/dU spectra is found.

Graphene, the two dimensional allotrope of carbon [3], is famous for its electrons having vanishing effective mass [4, 5]. At two non-equivalent points at the corner of the Brillouin zone, K and K’, the linearly dispersing valence bands intersecting the Fermi level at the K point with linear dispersion in the vicinity. At the zone center Γ, a quasi free electron bands, here, are not limited by other graphene layers on top and extend far into the vacuum above the sheet.

To quantify the decay of the wave functions of different bands in the vacuum, we calculated the laterally...
averaged charge density $|\Psi(z)|^2$ of these wave functions as a function of distance $z$ from the sheet. As shown in Fig. 1, lower panel, the wave functions at the Dirac point of flat graphene have their maximum at $z = 0.4$ Å above the sheet. For $z \gtrsim 1.5$ Å, their probability density starts decaying into the vacuum dominated by an exponential with decay constant $\lambda_2^{-1} = 3.9$ Å$^{-1}$, which has been obtained from a linear fit of $\log|\Psi_K(z)|^2$ in this region. This decay constant follows from the single particle Schrödinger equation in presence of a constant potential given by the graphene work function [16]:

$$\lambda_2^{-1} = 2 \sqrt{k_{||}} + 2m_{t}\phi/\hbar^2,$$

where the in-plane crystal momentum is $|k_{||}| = 1.7$ Å$^{-1}$ for the electrons at K/K' and we obtained $\phi = 4.5$ eV for the work function from LDA.

States at the Γ point of the Brillouin zone, $k_{||} = 0$, decay much slower into the vacuum: The lowest nearly free electron state is extremely long ranged (see Fig 1., lower panel) and spreads out into the vacuum with decay constant $\lambda_1^{-1} = 1.0$ Å$^{-1}$. Near the Fermi level $E_f$, slowly decaying electronic states are generated by out-of-plane K- and K'-phonons, which mix the nearly free electron bands at Γ with the Dirac-like $\pi$ bands at K. To illustrate this point, consider a frozen phonon with amplitude $\Delta z = 0.1$ Å and a wave vector connecting K/K'. In presence of this phonon the Dirac bands formerly at K and K' are folded back to the Γ point of the (\(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}\)) R30° super cell inhibiting the frozen phonon. One out of the Dirac bands touching the Fermi level at Γ hybridizes with the long range quasi free electron states upon formation of the frozen phonon. This results in the long range decay of the corresponding charge density, $|\Psi_{Γ}(z)|^2 \sim e^{-\lambda_F^{-1}z}$ with $\lambda_F^{-1} = 2.2$ Å$^{-1}$, being approximately twice slower than for the unperturbed Dirac bands.

Experimentally, the decay of the wave functions involved in the tunneling processes has been obtained from the dependence of the tunneling current on the tip-sample distance[2]. Different decay constants $\lambda_{in}^{-1} \approx 4$ Å$^{-1}$ and $\lambda_{out}^{-1} \approx 2$ Å$^{-1}$ were measured inside and outside the gap [2], respectively, which nicely coincide with the theoretical values $\lambda_K^{-1}$ and $\lambda_F^{-1}$.

According to Tersoff-Hamann theory, tunneling currents are determined by the tunneling density of states, which is the LDOS at the location of the tip - usually on the order 5 Å above the sample. Thus, for each band, the DOS has to be weighted with squared amplitude of the corresponding wave function about 5 Å above the sample - which can be approximately written as $dI/dU \sim |\Psi_{Γ}(E)|^2 N_F(E) + |\Psi_{K}(E)|^2 N_K(E)$. With the decay constants from above for the Dirac bands of flat graphene and in presence of the phonon, the ratio of the exponential prefactors entering the tunneling matrix elements is $|\Psi_{Γ}/\Psi_{K}|^2 \approx e^{1.7}$ Å$^{-1}$. At $z = 5$ Å this ratio is on the order of 10$^4$. Thus, the effect of mixing of the free electron bands and the π-bands by electron-phonon coupling on STS spectra will be greatly enhanced by this factor of 10$^4$.

In the following, we present a simple model to understand how electron-phonon coupling due to the K/K' out of plane phonons affects STS: As the previous discussion showed, most important for these experiment will be the mixing of the quasi free electron bands at Γ with the Dirac fermion bands at K/K'. The former bands have their minimum $E_{\sigma} = 3.3$ eV above the Fermi level and may be approximated by a flat band $H_{\sigma} = \sum q d_q E_{\sigma} d_q$ in the vicinity of Γ. Here, $d_q$ is the annihilation operator of an electron with crystal momentum $q$ in the nearly free electron band. The two π bands give rise to graphene’s linear density of states close to the Dirac point and their Hamiltonian $H_{π}$ may be written as $H_{π} = \sum_{q=\pm k} \nu q c_{q,\sigma}^\dagger c_{q,\sigma}$, where the index $\nu = (+ (-)$ denotes the conduction (valence) band and $c_{q,\sigma}$ is the annihilator of an electron in this π-band with momentum $q$. Close to the Dirac points K and K’, i.e. $q = \pm K + k$ with $|k| \ll |K|$, the dispersion is linear $\epsilon(k) \approx \hbar v_{||}|k|$. Out-of-plane phonons scatter electrons between the π and quasi free electron bands with the electron-phonon-
interaction reading as

$$V = \lambda \sum_{n,k} \left( d_{n,k}^\dagger c_{n,k} + c_{n,k}^\dagger d_{n,k}^\dagger \right) (a_k + a_k^\dagger),$$

where $a_k$ annihilates an out-of-plane phonon carrying crystal momentum $k$. As stated above, the phonon modes at $K$ and $K'$ will be the most important contributors to inelastic tunneling signals. Around these points, their Hamiltonian can be approximated by $H_{ph} = \hbar \omega_0 \sum_k a_k^\dagger a_k$ with $\hbar \omega_0 = 67$ meV being the energy of the out-of-plane phonons at $K$ and $K'$. [17]

In this model dealing with three electronic bands, the non-interacting electron Green function is a diagonal $3 \times 3$ matrix and reads as

$$G^0(q, i\omega_n) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{i\omega_n - E_\sigma} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \epsilon(q)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{i\omega_n + \epsilon(q)}
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\omega_n$ are fermionic Matsubara frequencies. With

$$\Sigma'_{1,1}(\omega + i\delta) = \frac{2\lambda^2}{W^2} \left\{ (\omega + \mu - \omega_a) \log \frac{\omega + \mu - \omega_a}{\omega + \mu + \omega_a} + (\omega + \mu + \omega_a) \log \frac{(\omega + \mu + \omega_a)^2}{W^2} \right\}$$

if $\mu \geq 0$

$$\Sigma''_{1,1}(\omega + i\delta) = -\frac{2\pi \lambda^2}{W^2} \Theta(|\omega| - \omega_a) |\omega + \mu - \text{sign}(\omega)\omega_a|.$$ (5)

The $\pi$ block is

$$\Sigma_{i,1}(\omega + i\delta) = \lambda^2 \frac{1}{\omega + \mu - E_\sigma - \omega_a} \approx -\frac{\lambda^2}{E_\sigma},$$ (6)

with $i = 1, 2$ and causes a rigid shift of the band energies in regime important for STS.

With the interacting Green function being $G^{-1}(p, \omega) = G^0 G^{-1}(p, \omega) = G^0 \sum(\omega) - \Sigma(\omega)$ momentum space integration yields the total density of states $N(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr} \text{Im} G(r = 0, \omega + i\delta)$. For fixed chemical potential $\mu = -0.4eV$, the upper panel in Fig. 2 shows how the total DOS is modified to the electron-phonon interaction as a function of the coupling strength $\lambda$. The total DOS and the DOS of the $\pi$-bands (not shown here) are virtually indistinguishable and exhibit the "V"-shape characteristic for Dirac fermions. The main modification of total DOS is a shift to slightly lower energies with increasing coupling strength due to the $\pi$-block of $\Sigma(\omega + i\delta)$ — a consequence of level repulsion of the $\pi$ and the nearly free electron bands, well known to occur in second order perturbation theory.

However, the spectral properties of the nearly free electron channel are strongly altered at low energies $|\omega| \ll W$. The density of states in this channel reads as

$$N_{\Gamma}(\omega) = \frac{\text{sign}(\omega) }{\pi |\omega - E_\sigma - \Sigma_{1,1}(\omega + i\delta)|^2} \Theta(|\omega| - \omega_a) |\omega + \mu - \text{sign}(\omega)\omega_a|.$$ (7)

Without electron-phonon interaction, the DOS of the nearly free electron states vanishes for $\omega < E_\sigma$. But, as soon as this interaction becomes effective and the electron’s energy $\omega > \omega_a$ exceeds the phonon mode energy, the nearly free electron states start mixing with the $\pi$ bands. This leads to a gap of $\pm \omega_a$ around the Fermi level in the nearly free electron channel. Outside this gap, the nearly free electron DOS recovers the usual "V"-shape of graphene’s DOS. (See Fig. 2, lower panel.) Indeed, the shape of the DOS in this channel is very similar to the gapped spectra found in STS on graphene. [2]

Comparing the scales in the upper and lower part of Fig. 2 one sees, that the DOS in the nearly free electron channel is for all coupling constants considered, here, smaller than the total DOS by a factor of less than 1/50. In STS, however, this factor of 1/50 is by far overcompensated by the factor of order $10^5$ coming from the different

the non-interacting phonon Green function $D^0(k, i\Omega_m) = D^0(i\Omega_m) = \frac{-2i\omega_m}{\pi m^2 \omega_a}$ and the electron-phonon interaction from Eqn. (1) transformed to the matrix form of

Eqn. (2),

$$\mathbf{M}_- = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

the electronic self-energy is

$$\Sigma(i\omega_n) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\Omega_{m,n}} D(i\Omega_m) \mathbf{M}_\nu G^0(r = 0, i\omega_n - i\Omega_m) \mathbf{M}_\nu,$$

where $G^0(r = 0, i\omega_n)$ is the non-interacting local Green’s function and the independence of $D^0$ and $\mathbf{M}_\nu$ of $k$ has been exploited. This self-energy is diagonal and, for energies $\omega \ll W$ small as compared to the Dirac electron bandwidth $W \approx 6$ eV, its components read as

$$\Sigma_{1,1}(\omega + i\delta) = \Sigma'_{1,1}(\omega + i\delta) + i\Sigma''_{1,1}(\omega + i\delta),$$ where
states coupled with $\pi$-states at the Dirac point shifts with the chemical potential. Experimentally, the chemical potential is shifted with the gate voltage [2, 3]. So, the spectra calculated, here, and the experimental STS from Ref. [2] exhibit the same characteristic behavior.

The good agreement of the calculated tunneling DOS and the experimental STM spectra firstly proves the correctness of the phonon mediated tunneling mechanism proposed in Ref. [2]. Moreover, it becomes clear that the phenomenon of strongly enhanced tunneling currents may occur in various contexts in graphene. No inelastic effects are required: The DFT calculations presented in the first part of this article have a static lattice and show the enhancement of the local DOS in vacuum in presence of frozen $K/K'$ phonons. The only effect important for such an enhancement is the mixing of the Dirac electron states at the Brillouin zone corners $K/K'$ with the nearly free electron states at $\Gamma$. Therefore, any out-of-plane corrugations on scale of the graphene lattice constant will increase the tunneling density of states significantly. This has important consequences for STM experiments: The "visibility" of impurity states at low energies[18] will be strongly enhanced as soon as the impurity causes out of plane distortions of the graphene lattice STM images below the phonon threshold[19] can be expected to look much more inhomogeneous than those taken at higher bias voltages.
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