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Abstract
Superhydrophobic surface coatings have enormous potential to protect wood from excessive water uptake. Unfortunately, such coatings often require toxic chemicals or complex fabrication methods, and their mechanical stability is very limited. As an alternative, highly water-repellent wood surfaces with mean water contact angles (WCAs) of 160° were prepared by straightforward spray-deposition of alkyl ketene dimer (AKD) wax microparticles. While water repellency was unaffected by static loading with a cast iron weight, prolonged rubbing resulted in a strong reduction in WCA to 125° since the spherical wax microstructure was largely destroyed by the acting shear forces. Thermal treatment of such a degraded surface at 80 °C led to an almost full recovery (WCA 155°) of water repellency. Microscopy and thermal analysis revealed that exposure to temperatures above the melting range and subsequent slow cooling enable self-assembly of AKD into leaf-shaped microstructures, which are responsible for the high WCA observed. It is proposed that the thermally induced self-recovery ability will greatly enhance the utility and service life of AKD-based superhydrophobic wood surfaces and make them suitable for multiple practical applications.

1 Introduction

Research on highly water-repellent surfaces, commonly defined by static water contact angles (WCAs) of 150° or higher (Feng et al. 2002; Yan et al. 2011), is motivated by early publications on artificial superhydrophobic surfaces (Onda et al. 1996; Shibuichi et al. 1996) as well as an increasing understanding of fundamental biological hydrophobisation strategies. Besides the famous example of the self-cleaning properties of lotus (Nelumbo nucifera) leaves, a high number of superhydrophobic natural surfaces has been investigated (Barthlott and Neinhuis 1997; Barthlott et al. 2010; Bhushan and Jung 2011; Carré and Mittal 2009; Koch and Ensikat 2008). All revealed similarities that are now commonly understood as the crucial requirements for the preparation of superhydrophobic surfaces, i.e. the use of low surface free energy materials in combination with a distinctive micro- or nanostructured surface provided by tubules, platelets, rodlets or hairs, grooves, fissures, and many more (Darmanin and Guittard 2015; Zhang et al. 2008). It is well known that surface roughness enhances the wetting properties of a given surface, as proposed by Wenzel (1936), who added a roughness factor \( r \) to Young’s principles on contact angles on ideal surfaces (Bormashenko 2009; Young 1805).

Moreover, wood protection by means of superhydrophobic surface coatings has attracted increasing attention for several years (Liu et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011a, c). Thin layers with sophisticated chemistry and architecture that hinder liquid water uptake by wood have many potential advantages compared to bulk wood modification, for example rapid application, reduced consumption of chemicals, negligibly small weight gain, and the preservation of mechanical and optical wood properties to a large extent. Wood as a renewable, load bearing material faces increasing demand. In many use cases it requires protection against excessive water uptake to prevent damage from dimensional instabilities or biological decay. Superhydrophobic surfaces dramatically reduce the wetting of hygroscopic wood substrates and hence have the ability to minimize the adsorption of liquid
water. Since the first superhydrophobic wood surfaces have been reported (Liu et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011a), a variety of interesting fabrication strategies were proposed, but the well-known issues for technological application—elaborate multi-step processes (Bai et al. 2019; Xia et al. 2020) or the use of harmful chemicals (Bao et al. 2019; Pandit et al. 2020)—mostly remained. To maintain the sustainable character of wood products, particular consideration should be given to the use of environmentally-friendly materials also in coatings. In this regard, waxes seem to be a good solution, as they are successfully applied by numerous plants and already find broad application in the hydrophobisation of many different substrates (Saji 2020). Similarly, natural oils may provide environmentally friendly superhydrophobic wood surface coatings (Janesch et al. 2020).

Recently, a water-based spraying process for the straightforward and economic deposition of particles produced from alkyl ketene dimer (AKD), a widely used paper sizing agent, onto wood surfaces was presented (Arminger et al. 2019). This method provides WCAs of 160° and higher and is fast-paced, economic, and environmentally-friendly. On the downside, surfaces produced using this approach suffer from very limited durability since the fine nano-platelet structures responsible for the required level of hydrophobicity are typically very fragile (Dalawai et al. 2020; Verho et al. 2011; Zhu et al. 2011). Even approaches involving more durable, robust superhydrophobic surfaces on wood substrates showed significant contact angle reductions after exposure to mechanical stresses (Jia et al. 2018; Shah et al. 2017). Since lack of mechanical strength is inherent to many nanostructured superhydrophobic surfaces, approaches to recovery and self-healing are of high interest. As with the concept of superhydrophobicity itself, self-healing of such surfaces is a biomimetic approach. For example, the observation of regenerating epicuticular wax crystals on damaged (superhydrophobic) leaf surfaces has inspired technological solutions (Huth et al. 2018; Koch et al. 2009; Neinhuis et al. 2001). Two main techniques to achieve self-healing are distinguished. One is to store hydrophobic components inside rough materials, which are released upon mechanical deterioration, thus restoring surface properties (Chen et al. 2015, 2016; Li et al. 2010; Sam et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2011b; Xue et al. 2014). The second approach is based on the regeneration of topographic structures themselves (Chen et al. 2016; Manna and Lynn 2013; Sam et al. 2019). Since even the most resilient superhydrophobic surfaces will wear over time, self-healing ability is greatly desired to fulfill the requirements of practical applications (Sam et al. 2019).

In the present study, AKD wax-based superhydrophobic wood surfaces were prepared as recently reported by Arminger et al. (2019). Their wetting behavior (WCAs and sliding angles) and surface structure (via scanning electron microscopy) were investigated before the surfaces were exposed to mechanical normal and shear stresses. Since it is known that AKD structures are capable of changing shape upon assuming thermodynamically stable or meta-stable conformations (Fang et al. 2008), different thermal treatments were applied to the surfaces to investigate whether the surface roughness and concomitant superhydrophobicity could be recovered. The resulting wetting behavior and topography are compared to the initial, intact surfaces and it is attempted to clarify the formation mechanism of the recovered structures by means of thermogravimetric investigations of the AKD wax.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

European beech (Fagus sylvatica) wood was sanded to grit size 320. Specimens of 60 × 60 × 5 mm³ in longitudinal × radial × tangential direction, respectively, were cut from one lamella to ensure comparable chemical and topographic wood surface properties. AKD (CAS No. 144245–85–2) Fennowax C-18EX with predominant chain lengths of C₁₆–C₁₈ attached to the oxetane ring was provided by Kemira Chemie GesmbH (Krems, Austria).

2.2 Methods

Preparation of the aqueous wax dispersion and its application to wood Lentoid AKD wax flakes were melted in boiling deionized water at a concentration of 10 g L⁻¹ and emulsified for 7 min using a KSB-200 (KeMar GmbH, Munich, Germany) blender at its maximum rotational speed. The liquid/liquid emulsion was subsequently cooled slowly to room temperature, causing particles to solidify. The resulting solid/liquid suspension was filtered through a nylon sieve with a retention threshold of 125 µm. The particle size distribution, the polydispersity index (PDI), and the ζ-potential of the AKD wax particles within the dispersion were investigated by means of dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Panalytical GmbH, Kassel, Germany) instrument. On the same day of the preparation, three measurements on duplicate samples of the dispersion (each consisting of 13 runs) were taken for the calculation of the particle size, and two measurements on duplicate samples (12 runs) for determining the ζ-potential.

For the preparation of superhydrophobic wood surfaces, the dispersion was sprayed on the substrates using an Evolution (Harder & Steenbeck GmbH & Co. KG, Norderstedt, Germany) airbrush tool equipped with a 0.15 mm nozzle and the corresponding needle. After spraying, the samples were oven-dried for 10 min at 45 °C. The spraying-drying cycle...
was repeated three more times and the samples were kept at 45 °C for 15 h after the final spraying repetition.

Characterization of hydrophobic wood surfaces Static sessile drop water contact angles of 10 µl drop volume were measured on the treated samples using a semiautomatic Drop Shape Analyzer—DSA 30S (Krüss GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). Measurement duration was 10 s, four frames per second were used for the contact angle calculation of the built-in image analysis software according to the Young–Laplace model. A number of eight water droplets were placed on all intact and mechanically loaded samples, four droplets were measured on the cut specimens directly after the different recovery treatments. The same number and volume of drops were used for sliding angle measurements, which were performed on a custom-built measurement device. Both the camera angle of contact angle measurements and the water drop roll-off direction were set perpendicular to the wood grain direction to avoid overestimation of contact angles and underestimation of sliding angles. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed on a Quanta 250 FEG microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, Oregon, USA). Samples were sputter-coated with gold and scanned in high vacuum with an acceleration voltage of 10 kV.

Mechanical loading Two superhydrophobic samples of 60×60 mm² surface area were exposed to the compression stress of a 10 kg cast iron weight which was placed on a 50×50 mm² aluminum sheet of 1 mm thickness on the center of the samples. The total duration of exposure was 30 min which was interrupted for contact angle and sliding angle measurements after 10 and 20 min, before the final wetting and SEM investigations were carried out. Shear stresses by means of thumb rubbing using disposable gloves were applied to six intact wood samples. Half of the samples were exposed for 30 s, the other half was loaded for 60 s per sample. With each of the recurring finger rubbing movements, a normal pressure of 0.5–1.5 kg was applied, which was observed on a scale positioned below the wood samples.

Recovery of the surface structures After the loaded specimens were examined for wetting properties, they were cut into quarters of approximately 30×30 mm². One of these quarters of each specimen was exposed to recovery condition R-I, i.e. storage at room temperature. Another quarter of each specimen was exposed to R-II, i.e. heating to 80 °C within 1 h in an oven and subsequent storage at room temperature. The remaining two quarters of each cut specimen were exposed to a temperature ramp referred to as R-III, which consisted of 1 h of heating to 80 °C (together with the specimens of R-II). Afterwards, the oven was turned off and allowed to slowly cool to room temperature. All treatments were performed overnight for 16 h before the next wetting examinations were carried out. The climate within the oven was recorded and the temperature profiles of the recovery conditions are summarized in Fig. 1.

Thermoanalysis of AKD The thermal analysis of AKD was performed on a simultaneous thermal analysis device (STA 409PC/PG, Erich Netzsch GmbH & Co. Holding KG, Selb, Germany). An exact amount of solid AKD (6 mg) was weighed in an aluminum crucible and sealed with a pierced lid. The analysis was conducted using a two-cycle heating and cooling ramp (10–100 °C, 100–10 °C) at a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹ under nitrogen atmosphere to investigate phase transformations of the material. The heat flow was recorded and analyzed using the Netsch Proteus 3.8.2 software package.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Properties of dispersed AKD particles

The size of wax particles considerably affects the wettability of treated wood surfaces (Arminger et al. 2019). Therefore, the aqueous AKD dispersion used for spraying was examined by means of dynamic light scattering. Particle size analysis revealed a bidisperse particle size distribution with a main peak at 845 ± 32 nm and a second peak at 135 ± 36 nm, which were responsible for 92% and 8% of the intensity, respectively (Fig. 2). The resulting Z-average mean particle size was 515 ± 6 nm at a mean polydispersity index of 0.336 ± 0.017. The measured
ζ-potential of $-25.9 \pm 0.3$ mV indicated good dispersion stability, which was confirmed by the fact that no apparent change of the dispersions was observed within the first weeks after the preparation.

### 3.2 Thermal analysis of AKD

The group of Tsujii and co-workers, who were the first to prepare superhydrophobic surfaces from AKD (Onda et al. 1996; Shibuichi et al. 1996), investigated the formation mechanism of flake-like, fractal AKD wax structures. They clarified that the spontaneous formation of particular structures from AKD and other potentially superhydrophobic waxes originated from phase transformations from a metastable to a stable crystalline form. In their experiments, wax crystals had been quenched during solidification by rapid cooling from a melt and therefore solidified to a (partially) metastable state in a first step. Consequently, wax platelets spontaneously grew to a more stable form during the crystal transition, which was discovered by observing differences in thermogravimetric behavior between stored and freshly solidified samples (Fang et al. 2007, 2008; Minami et al. 2008; Shibuichi et al. 1997). Corresponding to these thermoanalytical investigations, two successive thermograms of the same AKD sample are depicted in Fig. 3. The endothermic band around 67 °C in cycle 1 corresponds to the melting of the stable crystalline phase of AKD that was stored for approximately two years at room temperature. During a second heating cycle, which was started just after the sample was cooled down to 10 °C, a new small exothermic peak appeared around 52 °C and indicated a partially metastable crystalline state. According to the literature (Fang et al. 2008; Minami et al. 2008; Shibuichi et al. 1997), the presence of this state enabled the formation of structure from a smooth AKD surface. The exo-peak was again followed by a clear endothermal peak indicating melting of AKD.

### 3.3 Wetting behavior before and after mechanical loadings

Wood coatings and surface treatments aim to protect the material from excessive water uptake, which makes water contact angle (WCA) measurements an indispensable tool for wetting characterization. After the AKD dispersion was applied onto wood surfaces, all samples were clearly classified as superhydrophobic with an overall WCA mean of 160.4 ± 3.4° (Fig. 4/A4). Wide ranges of single drop sliding angles from 6° to 69° were observed on all samples, resulting in an overall mean value of 22.4° for drop volumes of 10 µl. Good protection against liquid water uptake can be expected from these surfaces, even though significantly lower sliding angles can be found in the literature (Jia et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016). Sliding angles strongly depend on the drop volume (Pierce et al. 2008) and if, in the case of wetting, small drops did not roll off a slightly declined surface, they would agglomerate to form a larger drop to which a lower sliding angle would apply. Detailed results of all sliding angle measurements are provided in the Supplementary Information section. As shown in SEM images (Fig. 4/A1–3), the treated wood surface was covered with AKD spheres with diameters ranging from below 1 to approximately 3 µm. It is proposed that the high specific surface area AKD coating observed with SEM is responsible for the high WCAs measured. The size of the spheres found on the surface is in good agreement with the particle size distribution found in the dispersion used for treatment (Fig. 2). In addition to the predominant spheres, a small number of flake-like platelet structures typical for AKD as reported by several authors before (Ejenstam et al. 2013; Onda et al. 1996; Quan et al. 2009) was also observed.
Loading by compression and shear stresses  Figure 5 shows the WCA of wood samples exposed to compression stress from a cast iron weight. Even though little mechanical resistance was expected from the small-scaled AKD structures, compression stress did not significantly affect the wettability of the surface. Presumably, the rough underlying wood structure of the specimens prevented full contact, leading only to localized damage in the AKD surface structure. However, using SEM, no noticeable damage was discernible (Fig. 4/B1–3). Since no damage was observed, no recovery experiments were carried out for this variant.

Fig. 4 SEM images of different magnifications (1–3) and representative images of water contact angle measurements (4) of an intact, superhydrophobic specimen (A), a specimen loaded with 10 kg on 50×50 mm² for 30 min (B), and specimens after 60 s of thumb rubbing and consequent recovery at condition R-I (C), R-II (D), and R-III (E), respectively. Scale bars correspond to 500 µm (1), 50 µm (2), 5 µm (3), and 1.000 µm (4)
As another practical test of the mechanical resistance, superhydrophobic samples were exposed to thumb rubbing for 30 or 60 s. In comparison to the static compression stress, two main differences occurred during this load case. Firstly, the dominant load type applied was repeated shear stress instead of static normal stress, and secondly, a human thumb and the disposable gloves used are soft and could adapt more to the rough structure of the wood samples. Therefore, the proposed protective effect of the wood’s roughness for the AKD structures did not apply. The WCAs diminished to approximately 127 ± 6° and 123 ± 5° after 30 s and 60 s of thumb rubbing, respectively (Figs. 6, 4/C4). Further, the sliding angle was significantly affected to a degree where no meaningful measurements could be performed, i.e., the drop remained attached to the surface at any inclination. SEM images showed clear damage and reduced roughness on major parts of the surface area. Most of the spherical and flake-like wax structures observed before the mechanical loading were smeared to rather flat surface areas (Fig. 4/C1–3). Remaining wax particles were found only in deep recesses of the wood structure. Obviously, their small proportion of the total surface area did not suffice to maintain the high initial level of hydrophobicity.

### 3.4 Recovery of wax surface structures

The simplicity of the preparation process and the use of only AKD wax and water as a transportation medium are major advantages of the described process but cannot provide the required mechanical resistance for many practical, long-term applications. However, the AKD’s known ability to self-assemble into specific surface structures (Arminger et al. 2019; Fang et al. 2008; Shibuichi et al. 1997, 1998) gives reason to expect that, under the right conditions, a recovery effect of the surface structure might be possible. Therefore, samples with clearly reduced hydrophobicity after exposure to thumb rubbing underwent three different potential thermal recovery treatments. Figure 6 shows a graphical summary of the WCA developments from the preparation of intact superhydrophobic surfaces to the wetting after the thumb loading and the effects of different recovery conditions. Exemplary
images of the WCA measurements are depicted below the given WCA values. Based on the results of the sliding angle tests, the adhesion of water drops to the samples was categorized in groups of “low” (all sliding angles measured < 90°), “high” (more than 50% of all sliding angles ≥ 90°), and “very high” (all sliding angles ≥ 90°, no droplets were rolling off the surface).

Recovery condition R-I (storage at room temperature for 16 h) resulted in slightly improved WCAs of 131 ± 7° for samples exposed to 30 s of thumb loading and 129 ± 7° for samples exposed to 60 s of loading. Hence, a tendency of increasing water-repellency over time was indicated but the comparatively small changes in WCAs in combination with large standard deviations did not allow reliable conclusions. Moreover, no noticeable topography changes were recognized during SEM observations (Fig. 4/C1–3), and the samples unambiguously remained in the same range of hydrophobicity.

A second group of samples (R-II) was heated up to 80 °C followed by abrupt cooling at room temperature. Since 80 °C is above the melting range of AKD (Fig. 3), a wax layer tightly covering the fiber structure of the wood formed (Fig. 4/D1–3). Furthermore, irregular, straight or curved flake-like structures with lengths in a range of 2–20 µm were formed. As a result, the WCA increased to 145° ± 5° for thumb loading durations of 30 s, and 145° ± 4° for thumb loading durations of 60 s, respectively. Even though the wetting behavior of samples exposed to recovery treatment R-II was close to the superhydrophobic region, no sliding angles but very high water drop adhesion were observed, i.e., no water drop rolled off these surfaces at inclinations up to 90°.

For a third group of specimens, recovery condition R-III started with heating up to 80 °C followed by slow cooling to room temperature, allowing for more time for possible structural re-arrangement of the AKD. As shown in Fig. 4/E1–3, comparatively large, dense leaf-like structures formed from AKD during solidification after melting. These thin, frayed structures had widths of 10–50 µm and micron to sub-micron thicknesses, with typical spacing of tens to hundreds of µm with respect to each other. Between these large structures, smaller-scale roughness caused by small platelets, micro-fissures or other irregularities caused a hierarchical surface of predominantly micron-scale size. The enlarged surface area resulted in mean WCAs of 156 ± 4° and 154 ± 5° for 30 s and 60 s of thumb loading, respectively, which means that the superhydrophobicity of the samples was recovered successfully. Same as for R-II, no spherical objects remained after thermal treatment.

Together with the mechanically loaded samples, intact superhydrophobic references were exposed to the same temperatures for comparison. Their WCA development is depicted as the black arrow pathway in Fig. 6. As for the loaded samples, recovery at condition R-I led to a slight increase in WCAs from 160 ± 3° to 163 ± 5° only due to storage at room temperature for 16 h. Notably, thermal treatments above the melting point resulted in surface properties very similar to samples with prior thumb rubbing. Exposure to temperature profiles led to the melting of AKD spheres and subsequent growth of irregular crystals. Almost identically to the loaded samples, resulting WCAs of 147 ± 8° and 156 ± 3° were measured after thermal treatments at R-II and R-III, respectively. After the thermal treatments, SEM investigation of previously intact specimens revealed similar topographies to the recovered ones after mechanical damage. Again, increasing density and size of the newly grown crystals from R-II to R-III were observed. SEM images of intact surfaces exposed to the thermal treatments are provided in the Supplementary Information.

Throughout the experiments carried out in the present study, AKD was melted twice after long time of storage: the first melting took place during the preparation of aqueous wax dispersions, the second as a part of the recovery process in R-II and R-III. In both cases, re-solidification lasted for several hours and the wax was never quenched rapidly as described by Fang et al. (2007, 2008). Still, the wax crystals appeared to be in (partly) metastable state and their consequent transformation to the stable state induced the increase in roughness. No active cooling was used in the current experiments, which showed that the formation and even the recovery of superhydrophobic AKD surfaces on wood are possible at streamlined conditions and reduced input of cooling energy.

4 Conclusion

The facile, water-driven deposition of small-scale AKD wax structures according to a recent report by Arminger et al. (2019) resulted in superhydrophobic solid wood surfaces with mean water contact angles of more than 160°. The surfaces were surprisingly resistant to static weight loadings. The rough, load bearing wood surfaces protected their hydrophobizing wax structures, resulting in synergistic protection for both materials. However, applied shear forces quickly reduced the roughness and thus the contact angles of the samples. It was discovered that simple thermal treatments can recover sufficient surface structures to regain superhydrophobic properties within hours. For the most efficient condition, i.e., the short heating above the AKD’s melting range followed by slow cooling, no quick quenching of the wax crystals or other special environmental conditions were necessary. The possibility of recovering superhydrophobic wax structures could allow the economic realization of water-repellent wood products for applications that are frequently exposed to limited mechanical stresses,
such as facades, wooden walls or fences, all kinds of packaging applications and many more.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-022-01793-8.
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