Prevalence and severity of burnout in Hong Kong doctors up to 20 years post-graduation: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objective To estimate the prevalence and severity of burnout and explore the factors associated with burnout among Hong Kong medical graduates up to 20 years post-graduation. Design Cross-sectional survey. Setting Hong Kong. Participants Doctors who graduated from the University of Hong Kong between 1995 and 2014. Primary and secondary outcome measures Burnout as measured by the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI), alcohol consumption as measured by the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Version C, lifestyle behaviours (hours of sleep and work, exercise, smoking, substance use), career satisfaction and sociodemographic characteristics were obtained using paper or online questionnaires. Results Response rate was 30.9% (496/1607). Prevalence of CBI burnout was 63.1% (personal), 55.9% (work-related) and 35.4% (patient-related). The mean CBI subscale scores were 57.4±21.4 (personal), 48.9±7.4 (work-related) and 41.5±21.8 (client-related). Factors associated with personal and patient-related burnout included age (coeff −0.437, 95% CI −0.779 to −0.095 and coeff −0.596, 95% CI −0.965 to −0.228, respectively), practice setting (coeff −5.759, 95% CI −10.665 to −0.853 and coeff −5.317, 95% CI −10.602 to −0.032, respectively) and regular exercise (coeff −6.855, 95% CI −11.102 to −2.608 and coeff −6.769, 95% CI −11.333 to −2.205, respectively). Gender (coeff 5.1, 95% CI 1.382 to 8.818), average hours of sleep per night (coeff −5.200, 95% CI −7.139 to −3.262) and work hours per week (coeff 0.226, 95% CI 0.099 to 0.353) were associated with personal burnout only. No factors were significantly associated with work-related burnout. Conclusion Burnout is highly prevalent among Hong Kong medical graduates. Younger doctors, women and those working in the public sector appear to be at higher risk for burnout and may benefit from targeted interventions. Policymakers and healthcare authorities should consider measures to help reduce burnout by enabling adequate sleep, reducing work hours and encouraging exercise.

INTRODUCTION

Medicine is a high-stake, high-pressure profession with little time for self-renewal. Burnout, a measurement of physical and psychological exhaustion, has been reported to be higher in physicians than in the general population.1 Physician burnout has a number of implications at both individual and institutional levels. At the individual level, burnout is a predictor of well-being,2 self-rated health status3 and mood disorders4 in physicians. At an institutional level, burnout affects the quality of healthcare. Studies show that doctors with burnout make more mistakes,5 have reduced professional work effort,6 have poorer patient–doctor communication7 and self-report suboptimal care to patients.8 Burnout has also been associated with increased days of work absenteeism,8 increased intention to leave their work,9 earlier retirement and reduced clinic hours.10 Recently, burnout has also been correlated to physician leadership behaviours.11

The definition of burnout has evolved over time. Maslach described burnout as a prolonged response to chronic emotional and interpersonal stressors on the job across three dimensions: emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation and reduced personal
behaviours and career satisfaction. Investigation into this area may provide important insights which can have significant implications for physician well-being, patient care, health services and residency programme curricula.

METHODS

The target population comprised of all doctors entering medical school at the University of Hong Kong between 1995 and 2014 and who had a valid email or postal address (N=1607). Questionnaire administration took place between 29 January 2016 and 15 April 2016.

The sample size calculation was based on the assumption that a minimum of 10 subjects were required for regression analysis. However, since another study showed that there is better power to detect a small effect size with 30 participants per variable, 390 participants were needed to examine the 13 predictors in this study.

Subjects were invited by email to complete an online survey via SoGO Survey, a third-party service often used for graduate surveys. To ensure respondents remained anonymous and to avoid duplicated responses, each participant was allocated a unique identifying number. Response rates were tracked electronically by SoGO Survey.

During the sampling period, an initial invitation email was sent followed by a reminder email every 14 days with a maximum of 3 reminders sent. As an incentive, respondents were offered a coffee coupon if they provided an email contact in the survey. Initially 384 responses were received using the online survey. In order to increase the sample size, paper questionnaires were subsequently mailed to graduates with available mailing addresses resulting in a further 112 responses. In total 496 graduates completed the survey. The subject recruitment flow chart is shown in figure 1.

Measurement instruments

The Copenhagen Burnout Inventory

The CBI was used to measure burnout. The CBI is a 19-item questionnaire that measures exhaustion. It encompasses three types of physical and psychological exhaustion: personal, work-related and patient-related. There are six personal burnout questions related to physical and emotional fatigue, seven work-related burnout questions addressing frustration and exhaustion associated with work, and six patient-related questions developed to address symptoms of frustration and emotional exhaustion associated with a doctor’s work involving patients. All items are assessed according to a 5-point Likert scale: always or to a very high degree; often or to a high degree; sometimes or somewhat; seldom or to a low degree; and never/almost never or to a very low degree with each of the answer assigned a certain number of points: 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100, respectively. The burnout level is calculated as a mean score; therefore, every scale has a value 0–100. A score of ≥50 indicates a high degree of burnout.

In this study, the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients were 0.92,
0.85 and 0.90 for personal, work-related and patient-related subscales, respectively providing evidence that the CBI was a reliable measure with good subscale internal consistency.

The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Version C
The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Version C (AUDIT-C) is a valid, effective and simple screening tool that has three questions related to at-risk drinking and is scored out of 12. At-risk drinking is defined as an AUDIT-C score ≥3 in accordance to the HK guidelines. The higher the AUDIT-C score the more likely the consumption pattern is consistent with alcohol dependence. The scores were derived from the original questionnaire and modified to fit the scoring system of AUDIT-C.

Items on job satisfaction and lifestyle behaviours were derived and adapted from existing doctor questionnaires and the local HK population health survey.

DATA ANALYSIS
Descriptive statistics were used to summarise the characteristics, lifestyle behaviour and career satisfaction of the respondents. The prevalence and scores of CBI personal, work-related and patient-related domains were calculated. Prevalence of burnout was calculated by determining the proportion of respondents with CBI scores ≥50. Univariate linear regression was used to evaluate the effect of sociodemographic factors, lifestyle behaviours and career satisfaction. Factors that were significantly associated with the CBI were included in the multivariable linear regression models using a backward stepwise selection. Multicollinearity and residual normality assumption were examined using a variance inflation factor and QQ-plot. The models satisfied these assumptions.

All significance tests were two tailed and findings with p value<0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata V.15.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

RESULTS
A total of 496 completed surveys were received from a sample of 1607 medical graduates giving a response rate was 30.9%. After excluding 49 participants with missing data, 447 subjects were included in the analysis. The subject recruitment flow chart is shown in figure 1.

As shown in table 1, the prevalence of burnout as measured by the CBI was 63.1% (personal burnout),

### Table 1

| CBI score                  | Doctors (N=447) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Burnout—personal           | 57.4±21.4       |
| Burnout—work-related       | 48.9±7.4        |
| Burnout—patient-related    | 41.5±21.8       |
| CBI prevalence (score ≥50) |                 |
| Burnout—personal           | 282 (63.1%)     |
| Burnout—work-related       | 250 (55.9%)     |
| Burnout—patient-related    | 158 (35.4%)     |

All data are represented in mean±SD or total (%), as appropriate. No data shown for missing value.
55.9% (work-related burnout) and 35.4% (patient-related burnout). The mean CBI subscale scores were 57.4±21.4 (personal), 48.9±7.4 (work-related) and 41.5±21.8 (patient-related).

Sociodemographics, lifestyle behaviours and professional satisfaction are displayed in table 2. Mean age of the respondents was 34.1±6.0 years (range 24–46 years), and 43.6% were women. A majority of respondents indicated they were satisfied with their current job position (80.7%) and with their career choice as a doctor (93.7%).

Tables 3–5 show the results of the regression analysis identifying the factors associated with personal, work-related and patient-related burnout. Although the age range of the participants was limited to 22 years, age was still included in the regression analysis to try to differentiate early and mid-career doctors. Being of younger age and female, working in the public sector, sleeping fewer hours per night, working longer hours per week and not having regular exercise were significantly associated with higher CBI personal scores. There were no factors shown to be related to CBI work-related scores. Being of younger age, working in the public sector and not having regular exercise were associated with higher patient-related CBI scores.

**DISCUSSION**

This study found that burnout was very prevalent among doctors who graduated from a local HK university. The prevalence of the CBI burnout subscales ranged between 35% and 63% with symptoms of personal burnout being the most prevalent and severe. The mean age of our respondents was 34.1 years, as we only surveyed doctors up to 20 years post-graduation. In our study, the prevalence of burnout as measured by CBI was higher than those reported in Canadian physicians in all subscales and, when compared with doctors in Taiwan, HK doctors had slightly lower prevalence of patient-related burnout, but higher prevalence of personal and work-related burnouts. In the Canadian and Taiwanese studies, however, the mean age of the participants was approximately 10 years older than our current study population. When comparing with studies conducted among younger doctors, our study respondents had a higher prevalence of CBI burnout in all subscales than Australian physicians and a higher prevalence of personal and work-related burnout, but similar patient-related burnout to Indian resident medical officers. A study on French emergency physicians using the MBI found that the prevalence of burnout was 50.7%. On the other hand, Siu et al showed that prevalence of burnout using the MBI was 31.9% in HK doctors which is lower than all three subscales of burnout found in this study.

Other studies have shown a similar trend of higher prevalence of personal burnout, followed by work-related then patient-related burnout in doctors. With respect to burnout severity, HK doctors’ scores were in line with the global ranges for all three subscales: personal burnout scores ranging from 39 to 62, work-related scores ranging from 33 to 53 and patient-related scores ranging from 22 to 62.

Compared with the lifestyle characteristics of the general HK population, HK doctors sleep less, work longer

Table 2 Descriptive statistics on sociodemographic, lifestyle behaviour and career satisfaction

| Sociodemographic | Lifestyle behaviour | Doctors (N=447) | Doctors (N=447) |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Age              | Average sleep per night | 34.1±6.0 | 6.6±1.0 |
| Gender (female)  | Hours of work per week | 195 (43.6%) | 54.7±15.1 |
| Marital status   | Regular exercise | 201 (45.0%) | 340 (76.1%) |
| Single, separated and divorced | At-risk drinker | 246 (55.0%) | 98 (24.8%) |
| Married           | Current smoker      | 177 (39.6%) | 4 (0.9%) |
| Having children  | Substance use       | 346 (77.4%) | 6 (1.3%) |
| Setting of practice | Satisfied with present job position | 359 (80.7%) | 418 (93.7%) |

Current smoker (current smoker vs non-smoker/ex-smoker); regular exercise (5 or more days per week for at least 10 min per day/any vigorous and moderate physical activities); private practice (private solo/private hospital/non-government organisation); public practice (university/government/hospital authority/not applicable); at-risk drinkers (>3 AUDIT-C score); AUDIT-C score was calculated by the sum of score based on the frequency of drinking alcohol, the average number of standard drinks per day and the frequency of taking five or more drinks on one occasion, ranging from 0–12. The frequency of drinking alcohol (less than once per month/once per month/two to three times per month or once per week/two to three times per week/four to six times per week or every day) was ranked 0–4, respectively; the average number of standard drinks per day (one to two drinks/three to four drinks/five to six drinks/seven to eight drinks/nine or more drinks) was ranked 0–4, respectively; the frequency of taking five or more drinks on one occasion (never/less than once per week/monthly/weekly/daily or almost daily) was ranked 0–4, respectively. All data are represented in mean±SD or total (%), as appropriate. No data shown for missing value.

AUDIT-C, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Version C.
A study of HK and Macau university students associated with higher burnout in all three subscales of study of Taiwanese nurses showed that lack of sleep was associated with higher personal burnout scores only. A to reduce stress and fatigue. In this study, less sleep was sleep duration and quality of sleep are important factors to reduce stress and fatigue. In this study, less sleep was associated with higher personal burnout scores only. A study of Taiwanese nurses showed that lack of sleep was associated with higher burnout in all three subscales of the CBI.27 A study of HK and Macau university students showed that quality and duration of sleep can affect physical and psychological health, such as mood.44 It can be postulated that lack of sleep can lead to poor mood which can then lead to physical and emotional exhaustion, or that lack of sleep can directly lead to physical and emotional exhaustion. Both can contribute to personal burnout. Consistent with this, doctors with longer working hours had higher CBI personal scores in this study. Longer work hours typically means there is less time to spend with family and enjoy one’s personal life leading to higher personal burnout.49 Studies have shown that longer work hours translate to more work-family conflicts and there is a positive dose–response relationship between work–family conflict and personal burnout.5 In a Taiwanese study of first year postgraduate doctors, all three dimensions of the CBI were related to work hours.45 However, measuring work hours is complicated. Siu et al's HK doctor burnout study did not show that working hours is associated with higher MBI burnout scores, but working in shifts was.20 Number of nights on call per week,21 quantitative workload,22 working overtime,17 working in shifts17 and inadequate time off work1 have also been shown to contribute to more burnout.

Similar to Siu et al's HK study, we found that smoking and substance abuse was low among the samples and did not show any correlation with burnout.20 Although 24.8% of the respondents in our sample fell into the ‘at-risk’ category for alcohol use, this level of drinking was not correlated with burnout which was also similar to Siu et al's HK study and a Taiwan study on doctors.46 In contrast, studies on US physicians have shown that alcohol abuse hours,41 smoke less,42 but do more exercise.43 Adequate sleep duration and quality of sleep are important factors to reduce stress and fatigue. In this study, less sleep was associated with higher personal burnout scores only. A study of Taiwanese nurses showed that lack of sleep was associated with higher burnout in all three subscales of the CBI.27 A study of HK and Macau university students showed that quality and duration of sleep can affect physical and psychological health, such as mood.44 It can be postulated that lack of sleep can lead to poor mood which can then lead to physical and emotional exhaustion, or that lack of sleep can directly lead to physical and emotional exhaustion. Both can contribute to personal burnout. Consistent with this, doctors with longer working hours had higher CBI personal scores in this study. Longer work hours typically means there is less time to spend with family and enjoy one’s personal life leading to higher personal burnout.49 Studies have shown that longer work hours translate to more work-family conflicts and there is a positive dose–response relationship between work–family conflict and personal burnout.5 In a Taiwanese study of first year postgraduate doctors, all three dimensions of the CBI were related to work hours.45 However, measuring work hours is complicated. Siu et al's HK doctor burnout study did not show that working hours is associated with higher MBI burnout scores, but working in shifts was.20 Number of nights on call per week,21 quantitative workload,22 working overtime,17 working in shifts17 and inadequate time off work1 have also been shown to contribute to more burnout.

Similar to Siu et al's HK study, we found that smoking and substance abuse was low among the samples and did not show any correlation with burnout.20 Although 24.8% of the respondents in our sample fell into the ‘at-risk’ category for alcohol use, this level of drinking was not correlated with burnout which was also similar to Siu et al's HK study and a Taiwan study on doctors.46 In contrast, studies on US physicians have shown that alcohol abuse

### Table 3  Sociodemographic, lifestyle behaviour and career satisfaction associated with personal CBI score by regression analysis

| Factor*                      | Personal CBI score (n=447) |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                              | Univariate                |                |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
|                              | Coeff. 95% CI P value     |                  |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Sociodemographic             |                           |                  |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Age                          | −0.835† (−1.159 to 0.511) | <0.001†         |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Female (vs male)             | 4.947† (0.962 to 8.932)   | 0.015†          |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Married (vs single, separated and divorced) | −5.734† (−9.697 to 1.771) | 0.005†          |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Having children              | 7.124† (3.111 to 11.137)  | 0.001†          |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Private setting of practice (vs public) | −12.327† (−16.943 to 7.711) | <0.001†       |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Health status                |                           |                  |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Average sleep per night      | −6.505† (−8.497 to 4.514) | <0.001†         |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Hours of work per week       | 0.361† (0.233 to 0.488)   | <0.001†         |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Regular exercise             | −9.882† (−14.452 to 5.312)| <0.001†         |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Current smoker               | 5.117 (−16.002 to 26.235) | 0.634           |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| At-risk smoker               | −1.611 (−6.454 to 3.232)  | 0.514           |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Substance use                | −16.402 (−33.621 to 0.817)| 0.062           |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Career satisfaction          |                           |                  |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Satisfied with present job position | −0.35 (−5.408 to 4.708) | 0.892 NA        |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |
| Satisfied with being a medical doctor | 2.197 (−6.015 to 10.409) | 0.599           |                  |                |                  |                  |                  |

Current smoker (current smoker vs non-smoker/ex-smoker); regular exercise (5 or more days per week for at least 10 min per day/any vigorous and moderate physical activities); private practice (private solo/private hospital/non-government organisation); public practice (university/government/hospital authority/not applicable); at-risk drinkers (≥3 AUDIT-C score), the AUDIT-C score was calculated by the sum of score based on the frequency of drinking alcohol, the average number of standard drinks per day and the frequency of taking five or more drinks on one occasion, ranging from 0–12. The frequency of drinking alcohol (less than once per month/once per month/two to three times per month or once per week/two to three times per month/four to six times per week/five to six times per week or every day) was ranked 0–4, respectively; the average number of standard drinks per day (one to two drinks/three to four drinks/five to six drinks/seven to eight drinks/nine or more drinks) was ranked 0–4, respectively; the frequency of taking five or more drinks on one occasion (never/less than once per week/monthly/weekly/daily or almost daily) was ranked 0–4, respectively.

*Variable in brackets is the reference category for independent variables. No data shown for missing value.
†Significant with p value < 0.05.
AUDIT-C, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Version C; CBI, Copenhagen Burnout Inventory; Coeff., coefficient; NA, not applicable.
and dependence can be related to burnout. These findings may suggest that HK physicians are less likely to turn to alcohol as a coping mechanism for stress. Nurses working in HK cope with stress by seeking support from friends and colleagues, using different cognitive strategies and through leisure activities, and the most common method of stress relief in the general HK population is exercise. This is likely similar in HK physicians. It is reassuring that most doctors perform regular exercise in HK, and exercise was found to be protective for personal and patient-related burnout. Siu et al’s study did not find that exercise was a stress-relieving factor against burnout. However, the positive effects of exercise on burnout have been seen in some studies in Taiwan, France and the USA, similar to the findings of this study.

The relationship between sociodemographic characteristics and burnout shows that age, sex and doctor’s practice setting is associated with burnout in HK physicians. Previous studies examining doctors’ age and burnout scores have been inconsistent. A study on young Australasian fellows did not show significant relationships of the three dimensions of CBI with age; but another study of medical professionals in Taiwan found that older doctors had significantly lower burnout scores than younger doctors on all three dimensions of the CBI. The mean age of the physicians in the Taiwanese study was 11 years older than the mean age of the present study. Our study found that only personal burnout and patient-related burnout were associated with age. Although the age range of the doctors in this study was small (ranging from 24 to 46 years), it is wide enough to contrast between the doctors in their early and mid-careers. This is supported by at least one study showing that residents tend to have higher burnout scores compared with attending physicians. The younger cohorts are at a life stage where they may be looking for a spouse, getting married and having children. However, at the same time, they also need to complete their postgraduate training and develop their career paths. This tension between personal and professional responsibilities has been termed ‘work–home
interference’ and studies have shown that this has an effect on personal burnout.50 Younger physicians who are just starting out may have a steep learning curve when it comes to patient interactions. Although they have exposure to patients during medical school, students have a supervising physician to fill in the deficient areas. When the student graduates and becomes a doctor, they take on more responsibility and are required to perform tasks they may not be confident in performing, which may contribute to increased patient-related burnout in their early career. A study of HK dentists found that patient-related stressors such as actually and possibly making mistakes, having a medical emergency, coping with difficult patients and dealing with high patient expectations were among their top ranked stressors.51 One can argue that these patient-related factors would also be relevant to younger, less experienced medical doctors contributing to higher patient-related burnout scores. The relationship between age and work-related burnout may depend on additional factors such as level of experience. Siu et al’s study of HK doctors found that young but moderately experienced doctors were most at risk for burnout.20 A possible reason for this is that new doctors may not have as much work responsibility at the beginning. However, as experience increases so does responsibility and the corresponding increase in stress.

Consistent with our findings, many studies using CBI have shown that female physicians are more at risk for personal burnout.2 3 9 18 52 A French study showed that work–family conflict was the greatest risk factor for high personal burnout in female doctors.9 Single female doctors have difficulty finding spouses which can be related to not having enough time to find a partner or other social barriers.53 Married female doctors have more domestic duties and child-rearing responsibilities than men.3 55 Furthermore, gender roles remain strictly

| Table 5 | Sociodemographic, lifestyle behaviour and career satisfaction associated with patient-related CBI score by regression analysis |
|---|---|
| **Patient-related CBI score (n=447)** | | **Multivariable with backward selection** |
| **Factor** | **Univariate** | | **Multivariable with backward selection** |
| | Coeff. | 95% CI | P value | Coeff. | 95% CI | P value |
| **Sociodemographic** | | | | | | |
| Age | −0.755† | (−1.088 to 0.423) | <0.001† | −0.596† | (−0.965 to 0.228) | 0.002† |
| Female (vs male) | 0.418 | (−3.673 to 4.509) | 0.841 | NA | | |
| Married (vs single, separated and divorced) | −3.807 | (−7.870 to 0.256) | 0.066 | NA | | |
| Having children | 6.271† | (2.164 to 10.378) | 0.003† | NA | | |
| Private setting of practice (vs public) | −8.999† | (−13.777 to 4.221) | <0.001† | −5.317† | (−10.602 to 0.032) | 0.049† |
| **Health status** | | | | | | |
| Average sleep per night | −2.540† | (−4.642 to 0.438) | 0.018† | NA | | |
| Hours of work per week | 0.119 | (−0.015 to 0.253) | 0.082 | NA | | |
| Regular exercise | −6.932† | (−11.643 to 2.222) | 0.004† | −6.769† | (−11.333 to 2.205) | 0.004† |
| Current smoker | −2.98 | (−24.521 to 18.561) | 0.786 | NA | | |
| At-risk drinker | −1.296 | (−6.224 to 3.633) | 0.606 | NA | | |
| Substance use | −5.101 | (−22.724 to 12.522) | 0.57 | NA | | |
| **Career satisfaction** | | | | | | |
| Satisfied with present job position | −0.022 | (−5.170 to 5.125) | 0.993 | NA | | |
| Satisfied with being a medical doctor | −1.125 | (−9.490 to 7.240) | 0.792 | NA | | |

Current smoker (current smoker vs non-smoker/ex-smoker); regular exercise (5 or more days per week for at least 10 min per day/any vigorous and moderate physical activities); private practice (private solo/private hospital/non-government organisation); public practice (university/government/hospital authority/not applicable); at-risk drinkers (≥3 AUDIT-C score), the AUDIT-C score was calculated by the sum of score based on the frequency of drinking alcohol, the average number of standard drinks per day and the frequency of taking five or more drinks on one occasion, ranging from 0–12. The frequency of drinking alcohol (less than once per month/once per month/two to three times per month or once per week/two to three times per week/four to six times per week or every day) was ranked 0–4, respectively; the average number of standard drinks per day (one to two drinks/three to four drinks/five to six drinks/seven to eight drinks/nine or more drinks) was ranked 0–4, respectively; the frequency of taking five or more drinks on one occasion (never/less than once per week/monthly/weekly/daily or almost daily) was ranked 0–4, respectively.

*Variable in brackets is the reference category for independent variables. No data shown for missing value. †Significant with p value<0.05.

AUDIT-C, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Version C; CBI, Copenhagen Burnout Inventory; Coeff., coefficient; NA, not applicable.
defined in China where the husband bears the breadwinner role and the wife assumes the home maker role which adds to the disconnect between work and home, contributing to higher personal burnout among women doctors.44

We found that public sector doctors in our study had significantly higher CBI personal and patient-related burnout scores. There were 46.9% of doctors working in the public sector and 48.9% of HK doctors working in the private sector in 2013,30 but there were almost 4.5 times more inpatient discharges in the public compared with the private sector.35 This describes a much larger patient load in the public sector, which can lead to longer work hours and increased workload. This invariably leads to more exhaustion during their non-working hours and while seeing patients. In addition, burnout has been shown to double the risk of intent to leave the profession.9 It is conceivable that the increasing attrition rate in the public sector may be a reflection of the high burnout, which grew from 4.4% to 5.9% per year during 2015–2018.38 Burnt out HK physicians may desire to leave the public sector and join the private sector or leave practice altogether. The results of a survey in 2014 of emergency physicians in HK found that 65% considered leaving the public accident and emergency department, with unhealthy working environment and enormous work load cited as being the main reasons.37

Although 55.9% of participants had work-related burnout, it was not correlated to any of the sociodemographic factors, lifestyle behaviours and career satisfaction items. HK is known for its hard-working culture where many professions in the city also experience long hours and stress. A survey in 2008 conducted on HK employees showed the average working week was 49.6 hours: 62.4% regularly worked unpaid overtime and 51.7% worked late into the evenings with 80% reporting that the reason that they work late was because they have too much work.31 It is possible that working professionals in HK have normalised a fast pace, high-pressure working environment and therefore, may not perceive work-related burnout like in other countries, hence cultural and contextual factors contributing to the perception of work-related burnout in HK doctors may differ.

Finally, it is important to note that despite the high prevalence and severity of burnout, burnout was not correlated with career satisfaction in the current study, with the majority of respondents reporting good satisfaction with their present job position and in their choice of being a doctor.

LIMITATIONS

Our study has several limitations. First, response rate was only 30.9%, which could introduce a substantial response bias: physicians who suffer burnout may be more likely to have interest in this topic and more likely to fill the survey; but, on the other hand, they may be more apathetic and less inclined to complete the survey. Second, the age range of the respondents was only 22 years and therefore does not represent HK doctors in all age groups especially those over 46 years. However, there was sufficient sampling from the spread of ages across the spectrum studied to make meaningful comparisons. Third, as there are two medical schools and foreign-trained doctors working in HK who account for 8.5% of the practising doctors in HK,36 this study cannot represent the entire HK doctor population. We chose to sample from one medical school as graduates from this medical school represented approximately half the local graduates,56 and for practical reasons as we had access to their contact details. Although 48.9% of doctors work in the private sector, we only sampled 23% of private doctors.30 This is likely a reflection that recent graduates are still in training which is done in the public sector in HK. The survey was cross-sectional and we are unable to determine if the associations are causally related. Lastly, many studies do not use the same burnout inventory therefore direct comparisons cannot be made between different studies.

FUTURE DIRECTION

The results from this study indicates there is a need to further understand burnout in HK doctors. Studies have shown that burnout can lead to reduced work effort6 and has direct effects on patient care.26 Across the world, medical communities are becoming more aware of the importance of physician well-being. At the core of the Charter on Physician Well-being is the notion that well physicians can take care of their patients better.28 As such, the goal is for governing bodies, policymakers, medical organisations and individual physicians to share the responsibility to improve physician well-being. Starting from medical school, medical students should be supported in learning self-awareness of their own emotional states and needs. Recent recommendations for US graduate medical education sought to improve policies to improve trainee support for those who have children,60 which is important as work–home interference is seen as an important predictor of burnout.57 Other interventions, such as introduction of a stress management course in hospitals has shown to reduce malpractice claims and medication errors compared with hospitals without such courses.62
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