Decrease in expression of bone morphogenetic proteins 4 and 5 in synovial tissue of patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis
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Abstract

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) have been identified as important morphogens with pleiotropic functions in regulating the development, homeostasis and repair of various tissues. The aim of this study was to characterize the expression of BMPs in synovial tissues under normal and arthritic conditions. Synovial tissue from normal donors (ND) and from patients with osteoarthritis (OA) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) were analyzed for BMP expression by using microarray hybridization. Differential expression of BMP-4 and BMP-5 was validated by semiquantitative RT-PCR, in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry. Activity of arthritis was determined by routine parameters for systemic inflammation, by histological scoring of synovitis and by semiquantitative RT-PCR of IL-1β, TNF-α, stromelysin and collagenase I in synovial tissue. Expression of BMP-4 and BMP-5 mRNA was found to be significantly decreased in synovial tissue of patients with RA in comparison with ND by microarray analysis (p < 0.0083 and p < 0.0091). Validation by PCR confirmed these data in RA (p < 0.002) and also revealed a significant decrease in BMP-4 and BMP-5 expression in OA compared with ND (p < 0.015). Furthermore, histomorphological distribution of both morphogens as determined by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry showed a dominance in the lining layer of normal tissues, whereas chronically inflamed tissue from patients with RA revealed BMP expression mainly scattered across deeper layers. In OA, these changes were less pronounced with variable distribution of BMPs in the lining and sublining layer. BMP-4 and BMP-5 are expressed in normal synovial tissue and were found decreased in OA and RA. This may suggest a role of distinct BMPs in joint homeostasis that is disturbed in inflammatory and degenerative joint diseases. In comparison with previous reports, these data underline the complex impact of these factors on homeostasis and remodeling in joint physiology and pathology.

Introduction

In patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), joint pathology is mediated by typical changes in the synovial tissue. Hyperplasia of the synovial lining layer, infiltration of mononuclear cells into the sublining layer, activation of fibroblast-like synoviocytes and the production of catabolic mediators such as IL-1β, TNF-α and matrix metalloproteinases are involved in the joint destruction of patients with RA [1]. Although secondary, synovitis is also found in osteoarthritis (OA) as a response of cartilage degradation and irritation of the lining cells with cartilage matrix components. Eventually, this also induces thickening of the lining layer and aggravates the damage of articular carti-
Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are anabolic candidates with pleiotropic functions in the development, homeostasis and repair of various tissues. Current approaches focus mainly on their ability to regenerate bone and cartilage by the induction of differentiation, apoptosis and proliferation of undifferentiated cells as well as by the stimulation of extracellular matrix formation [5,6]. These stimulatory properties led to the clinical use of recombinant BMP-7 in the treatment of bone nonunions [7]. In contrast, BMP signaling has been shown to be involved in the onset and progression of ankylosing enthesitis in spondyloarthopathies and in the induction of osteophytes in OA [8,9]. Antagonism of BMP signaling was therefore suggested as an attractive therapeutic principle [8,10].

These and other findings with opposing functional implications [5,11,12] demonstrate that the exact role of individual BMPs in degenerative joint diseases is still insufficiently understood. In adult organisms, both BMP-4 and BMP-5, are sufficient to induce the heterotopic formation of bone and cartilage in vivo [16]. Moreover, diminished repair after bone fracture in BMP-5-null mutated short-ear mice suggests that BMP-5 might also be required for the growth and repair of skeletal structures after birth [15]. BMP-4 stimulates the synthesis of extracellular matrix in chondrocytes and supports the healing of bone fractures. Overexpression of BMP-4 leads to increased cartilage formation and chondrocyte differentiation without disturbing joint formation [17]. However, little is known about BMPs in synovial tissue. Lories and colleagues [18] demonstrated that BMP-2, BMP-4, BMP-6 and BMP-7 are expressed in the synovial membrane of patients with RA. BMP-2 and BMP-6, but not BMP-4 and BMP-7, are induced in fibroblast-like synoviocytes by stimulation with IL-1β and TNF-α. Moreover, intra-articular injection of BMP-2 induced fibrosis of the synovium [10], suggesting distinct effects of BMPs in synovial inflammation and joint pathology.

Here we have investigated the expression characteristics of BMP-4 and BMP-5, which were identified as differentially expressed BMPs in a comparative microarray study on synovial tissue from normal donors and patients with joint diseases. We confirmed the array data by semiquantitative PCR, in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry. Decreased expression of these morphogens in the inflamed tissues and changes in their histomorphological distribution suggest that distinct members of the BMP family are involved in joint homeostasis. They may be attractive candidates for readjustment of an unbalanced intra-articular milieu dominated by destruction and lack of repair.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients and tissue samples**

Synovial tissue samples were obtained from patients with RA (n = 23) and OA (n = 22) undergoing open synovectomy or total joint replacement and from normal joints post mortem (n = 17) (tissue bank). Normal samples were derived from macroscopically healthy joints post mortem. The cause of death was cerebral bleeding or cerebral infarction. Patient characteristics and age and gender for controls are given in Table 1. No further information about the controls was made available for ethical reasons. Tissue samples for mRNA analysis by microarrays or PCR were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen in the operating room and stored at -70°C until analyzed. Synovial tissue samples for in situ hybridization were embedded in OCT Tissue Tek (Miles, Elkhart, IN, USA) before being frozen. Synovial tissue samples for immunohistochemistry were embedded in paraffin. All patients with RA fulfilled the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for definite RA [19]. The study was approved by the local ethical committee of the Charité Hospital.

**Grading of chronic synovitis**

To characterize synovial disease activity and to confirm appropriate sampling before molecular analysis, the synovitis score as published by Krenn and colleagues [20,21] was applied. The histopathological inflammatory scoring system included the following three parameters: hyperplasia/enlargement of synovial lining layer (intima), activation of fibroblastic cells in the sublining stroma, and inflammatory cellular infiltration. All three parameters were graded semiquantitatively (0 = no, 1 = slight, 2 = moderate, 3 = strong) in a manner blinded to diagnosis. The values of all three parameters were added, resulting in a score between 0 and 9; 0 or 1 was interpreted as 'no synovitis', 2 or 3 as 'slight degree of synovitis', 4 to 6 as 'moderate degree of synovitis' and 7 to 9 as 'strong degree of synovitis'.

In adult organisms, both BMP-4 and BMP-5, are sufficient to induce the heterotopic formation of bone and cartilage in vivo [16]. Moreover, diminished repair after bone fracture in BMP-5-null mutated short-ear mice suggests that BMP-5 might also be required for the growth and repair of skeletal structures after birth [15]. BMP-4 stimulates the synthesis of extracellular matrix in chondrocytes and supports the healing of bone fractures. Overexpression of BMP-4 leads to increased cartilage formation and chondrocyte differentiation without disturbing joint formation [17].

However, little is known about BMPs in synovial tissue. Lories and colleagues [18] demonstrated that BMP-2, BMP-4, BMP-6 and BMP-7 are expressed in the synovial membrane of patients with RA. BMP-2 and BMP-6, but not BMP-4 and BMP-7, are induced in fibroblast-like synoviocytes by stimulation with IL-1β and TNF-α. Moreover, intra-articular injection of
Microarray analysis

Total RNA from synovial tissues was isolated with the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocols (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Total RNA was used for further microarray analysis with the oligonucleotide microarray HG-U133A (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations. In brief, 5 µg of total RNA was used to synthesize cDNA. Subsequently, in vitro transcription (ENZO Biochem, New York, NY, USA) was performed to generate biotin-labeled complementary RNA. Fragmented complementary RNA (15 µg) was hybridized to GeneChips for 16 hours at 45°C. The GeneChips were washed and stained under standardized conditions (fluidic station) and scanned on a Hewlett Packard Genearray Scanner (Affymetrix) controlled by Affymetrix MAS 5.0 software.

Raw gene expression data were processed with the Affymetrix GCOS 1.2 software module in accordance with the manufacturer’s default settings. Analysis was performed with Affymetrix GCOS 1.2 software to generate CEL files and the robust multiarray analysis (RMA) algorithm for signal calculation [22]. Arrays were adjusted to each other by quantile normalization in RMA.

We followed the hypothesis that BMPs might be involved in the regulation of joint homeostasis. All probe sets (n = 19) representing all different genes of the BMP family (n = 12) on the HG-U133A array were therefore selected for t test analysis.

Adjusted p values for the 12 genes with Bonferroni-Holm correction (α = 0.1) were applied as the threshold of significance.

Semiquantitative kinetic PCR

Tissues were homogenized, treated with phenol–chloroform [23] and total RNA was extracted with RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen). Single-strand cDNA was transcribed by Superscript II RT (Gibco BRL, Karlsruhe, Germany) from 5 µg of RNA in a total volume of 20 µl. The relative expression level of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase was used to normalize gene expression in each sample in different concentrations. Semiquantitative PCR was performed as described previously [1]. In brief, oligonucleotides (Gibco BRL) were selected with DNASTAR Primer Select Software (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI, USA). Sequences are given with GenBank accession numbers (Gibco BRL) in Table 2. All PCR reactions were performed with AmpliTaq Gold Mix (Perkin Elmer, Weiterstadt, Germany) in a reaction volume of 80 µl, amplifying at 93°C for 1 minute, 62°C for 1 minute, and 72°C for 2 minutes. For quantification of individual genes, 4 µl of each amplification reaction was removed every third cycle covering the linear detection range. Products were separated in a 1% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide and quantified densitometrically (Imager 1D&2D software; Appligene, Oncor, Illkirch, France) within the linear range comparable to the Ct value known from real-time PCR. The quality of amplification was controlled by the amplification efficiency as represented by the

### Table 1

| Clinical characteristics of patients | Microarray | PCR |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-----|
|                                      | RA (n = 10) | OA (n = 10) | ND (n = 10) | RA (n = 13) | OA (n = 12) | ND (n = 7) |
| Median age (range), years            | 60 (39–73) | 67 (58–78) | 57 (40–76) | 69 (29–74) | 67 (53–83) | 51 (34–61) |
| Male/female                         | 2/8        | 1/9        | 7/3        | 5/8        | 2/10        | 4/3        |
| Median disease duration (range), years | 7 (2–37) | 10 (1–19) | NA         | 10 (4–30) | 5 (1–38) | NA         |
| Median ESR (range), mm/h             | 33 (12–78) | 20 (10–60) | NA         | 32 (22–86) | 11 (2–29) | NA         |
| Median CRP (range), mg/l             | 22.1 (6.1–113.3) | 6.4 (2–19) | NA         | 19 (5.9–50.8) | 4.8 (0–9.3) | NA         |
| Rheumatoid factor positive, n (range, units) | 4 (47–400) | 1 (29) | - | 10 (15–2,450) | - | - |
| Patients receiving steroids, n       | 8          | 0          | NA         | 9          | 0          | 0          |
| All patients receiving DMARDs, n     | 9          | 0          | NA         | 9          | 0          | 0          |
| Patients receiving MTX               | 7          | 0          | NA         | 6          | 0          | 0          |
| Patients receiving NSAIDs, n         | 6          | 5          | NA         | 8          | 4          | 0          |
| Synovial tissue from knee            | 5          | 10         | 10         | 6          | 5          | 7          |
| Hip                                 | 0          | 0          | 0          | 3          | 7          | 0          |
| Hand synovectomy                     | 3          | 0          | 0          | 3          | 0          | 0          |
| Elbow synovectomy                    | 2          | 0          | 0          | 1          | 0          | 0          |

CRP, C-reactive protein; DMARDs, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; NA, not applicable; ND, normal donors; OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.
increase in product per cycle. Specificity of the PCR product was confirmed by sequencing. For graphical presentation, data are given as percentages of the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase product.

**In situ hybridization**

In situ hybridization was performed as described previously [24]. BMP-4 and BMP-5 cDNA fragments were derived from the respective PCR products, cloned into pBluescript II (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) and sequenced. Digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes were transcribed with the PCR-Script Amp-Cloning Kit (Stratagene) and T3 and T7 polymerases (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). For each patient group (RA, n = 5; OA, n = 5; ND, n = 4), frozen sections 6 µm thick were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde, washed in 2 × standard saline citrate (SSC) for 5 minutes, washed twice in 0.1 M triethanolamine hydrochloride, and acetylated with 0.25% acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanolamine hydrochloride for 30 minutes. After being washed with 1 M triethanolamine hydrochloride, sections were prehybridized for 1 hour with hybridization buffer (50% formamide, 80 µl of 50 × Denhardt’s solution, 1.6 ml of 20 × SSC, 200 µl of herring sperm, 100 µl of carrier RNA) without the riboprobe. Hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes was performed overnight in hybridization buffer at 50°C. After hybridization, sections were incubated with RNase A (40 µg/ml) for 1 hour at 37°C and subsequently washed for 15 minutes with increasing stringency (1 × SSC, 0.25 × SSC, 0.1 × SSC in 0.1% SDS) at 50°C. The staining procedure was performed with an anti-digoxigenin-alkaline phosphatase-conjugated Fab by using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyphosphate and Nitro Blue Tetrarolium (all chemicals from Roche). Blocking was performed with 2% horse serum. Sense probes used as negative controls gave no significant signal.

**Immunohistochemical staining**

BMP-4 and BMP-5 was stained in paraffin embedded tissue (RA, n = 4; OA, n = 6; ND, n = 4) with a modified sandwich technique as described previously [25]. Sections 4 µm thick were deparaffinized and endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched for 15 minutes with 0.3% H2O2 in methanol at room temperature. Specimens were microwave-heated for 14 minutes and incubated for 30 minutes with pooled, heat-inactivated human serum tested negative for both anti-nuclear antibodies and anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies. The primary antibodies (polyclonal goat-anti-human BMP-4 and BMP-5 antibodies; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) were applied for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were incubated for 30 minutes with a horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated secondary rabbit anti-goat antibody at a dilution of 1:50, and afterwards with Dako Envision anti-rabbit antibody. Slides were incubated with the chromogenic substrate 3-amino-9-ethyl-carbazole for 5 minutes at room temperature and counterstained with hematoxylin.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). For microarray analysis a t test was used with Bonferroni-Holm correction. For comparison between RA, OA and ND (PCR), the Mann–Whitney U test was applied. Correlations were calculated by Spearman’s rank correlation test.

**Results**

**Validation of systemic and local inflammation**

Patients were investigated for systemic as well as local inflammation and disease activity by the analysis of blood and synovial tissue samples. Systemic inflammation was characterized by erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive pro-

### Table 2

| mRNA     | GenBank accession number | Oligonucleotide (5’→3’) (up/down) | Product size (bp) | Annealing temperature (°C) |
|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| GAPDH    | M33197                   | ATG GGG AAG GTG AAG GTC GGA GTC GAC GCC TGG TTC ACC ACC TTC TG | 797          | 62                        |
| TNF-α    | M10988                   | CTC TGG CCC AGG CAG TCA GA GGC GTT TGG GAA GGT TGG AT | 519          | 62                        |
| IL-1β    | M15330                   | CAC CTG TAC GAT CAC TGA ACT GCAC GGC TGG GGA TTG GCC TGC AA | 674          | 60                        |
| MMP-1    | X05231                   | CTG CTG CTG TTC TGG GGT GTG GTG GTC CGA TGG GCT GGA CAG | 793          | 62                        |
| MMP-3    | J03209                   | TGG AGC TGC AAG GGG TGA GGA CAC CAG GCG GAA CCG AGT CAG GTC TGT | 691          | 62                        |
| BMP-4    | M22490                   | ACC CGG GAG AAG CAG CCA AAC TAT AGC GCC ACC CAC ATC CCT CTA CTA | 553          | 62                        |
| BMP-5    | M60314                   | GGC ATC CTG GCC AGA AGA GAC CA ACT GG GCC TCC ATC CCC TGT TTC TG | 535          | 62                        |

BMP, bone morphogenetic protein; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; MMP-1, collagenase I; MMP-3, stromelysin.
tein (CRP) (Table 1). Both markers were significantly elevated in RA in comparison with OA (CRP, $p \leq 0.0001$; ESR, $p = 0.0001$). Local inflammation and destructive activity in synovial tissue were quantified by both histological and molecular characteristics. Analysis of the tissues according to the 'synovitis score' described by Krenn and colleagues [20,21] revealed 2.1 (RA), 1.3 (OA) and 0.7 (ND) points for hyperplasia of the synovial lining layer, 1.9, 1.1 and 0.3 points for activation of the sublining stroma, and 2.1, 0.8 and 0.1 points for inflammatory infiltration in RA, OA and ND, respectively. Thus, the synovitis score – assessed in a blinded manner – was increased in all patients with RA (mean score 6.1, 'highly active synovitis') in comparison with those with ND (mean score 1.1, 'no synovitis') and patients with OA (mean score 3.2, 'mild synovitis'). For molecular characterization, expression levels of IL-1$\beta$ and TNF-\(\alpha\) as well as stromelysin and collagenase I were determined by semiquantitative PCR. These parameters were found to be highest in RA with a significantly lower expression in OA (except for TNF-\(\alpha\)) and ND. In OA these parameters were also significantly elevated in comparison with ND except for IL-1$\beta$ (Figure 1).

### Analysis of BMP-4 and BMP-5 gene expression in synovial tissue

Microarray analysis was performed by investigating 10 samples from each group of donors with RA, OA and normal joints. We exclusively investigated the factors of the BMP family as possible candidates involved in joint homeostasis and cartilage regeneration [5]. BMP-2 to BMP-11, BMP-14 and BMP-15 were represented on the array. In comparison with housekeeping genes, all BMPs revealed low signal levels in all samples investigated. Statistical analysis revealed significantly decreased expression of BMP-4 and BMP-5 in RA in comparison with ND. Moreover, BMP-4 was also lower in synovial tissue of patients with RA than in those with OA. There was no difference of BMP expression between OA and ND (Figure 2).

This differential expression of BMP-4 and BMP-5 as determined by microarray technique was verified by semiquantitative PCR (Figure 3). A significantly reduced expression of both BMPs was found in OA and RA tissue in comparison with normal synovial tissue ($p < 0.015$). Expression of BMP-4 in RA synovial tissue was also lower than in tissues from patients with OA ($p < 0.02$). For BMP-4, there was no overlap between the ranges of RA and ND expression values: all values of RA tissues were lower than the minimum level found in ND tissues. In OA, expression values of 5 of 12 synovial tissues were within the range of ND expression values. For BMP-5, expression in all patient samples except those from one RA donor were below the range of expression in ND tissues. Thus, PCR analysis confirmed the results for RA versus ND as determined by microarray hybridization.

Correlation analysis of BMP-4 and BMP-5 with each other and with markers of inflammation was performed by combining the data from RA and OA donor groups for the respective parameters. BMP-4 was found to decrease with rising systemic inflammation as represented by ESR ($r = -0.4184$, $p = 0.0298$) and C-reactive protein ($r = -0.5808$, $p = 0.0012$) as well as with disease duration ($r = -0.6343$, $p = 0.0005$). Furthermore, expression of BMP-5 was negatively correlated with an increase in TNF-\(\alpha\) expression ($r = -0.4739$, $p = 0.0167$).
In situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry

Synovial tissue of patients with RA, OA and ND was analyzed to localize the morphological site of BMP-4 and BMP-5 expression by mRNA in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry (Figures 4 and 5). Both techniques present only qualitative morphological results and do not reflect the quantity of transcripts.

In situ hybridization in normal synovial tissue (ND) revealed BMP-4 and BMP-5 expression predominantly on the surface of the synovial membrane. However, in RA and OA tissues BMP-4 and BMP-5 were less dominant in the superficial layer but were also found in cells of the sublining layer. Both morphogens were mostly localized to cells with large nuclei or spindle-like shape (Figure 6). Especially in OA samples with areas of fibrous tissue formation, cells were positively stained for morphogen transcripts (Figure 7a,b). Perivascular cell infiltrates also contained positive cells with large nuclei along with positive cells of spindle-like appearance, thus resembling macrophage and fibroblastoid morphology, respectively (Figure 7c,d).

To confirm the results of in situ hybridization, antibody staining for BMP-4 and BMP-5 protein was performed in independent samples. Synovial tissues of all three groups (RA, OA and ND) revealed positive results. The sites of expression of both morphogens were identical to those found by in situ hybridization. Both methods therefore documented independently that BMP-4 and BMP-5 expression is related to the synovial lining layer in ND and more to the sublining layer in RA and OA patients (Figures 4 and 5).
Discussion

Inflammation and destruction are leading pathomechanisms in chronic joint diseases. In recent years, however, aspects of regeneration and homeostasis have become more and more important. Members of the TGF-β family, especially BMPs, are pivotal factors in skeletal tissue development and may contribute to the repair of various other tissues. We investigated the expression of BMPs in the synovial tissue compartment under normal and pathologic conditions by using microarray technology. All BMPs from BMP-2 to BMP-11, BMP-14 and BMP-15 revealed low to very low signal levels. Of these experiments, BMP-4 and BMP-5 were significantly decreased in RA in comparison with ND. This difference was confirmed by semiquantitative PCR. In addition, PCR analysis revealed a reduced expression of BMP-4 and BMP-5 in OA tissue in comparison with normal tissue. This variance of BMP expression levels in OA tissue in comparison with normal or RA synovial tissue may be explained by technical differences in sensitivity and resolution between PCR and microarray hybridization. However, the groups analyzed by PCR and microarray were independent. BMP expression in OA may therefore be more variable than that in RA. Immunostaining in normal donors revealed the expression of both BMPs predominantly in the synovial lining layer, whereas in patients with RA the expression was more frequently found in the sublining layer. A decrease in BMP-4 and BMP-5 in RA and OA could be correlated with markers of systemic and in part with markers of local inflammation as well as with disease duration. A relation of BMP suppression to therapy with steroids and disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs administered only in RA was excluded because BMP expression in synovium of OA patients was affected similarly, although to a lesser extent.

Expression of BMPs in synovial tissues was investigated recently by Lories and colleagues [18]. They compared synovium from RA and spondyloarthropathies with synovium from traumatic joint diseases and found BMP-2 and BMP-6 to be expressed most consistently with a calculated relative expression in the range 0.002 to 0.2% compared with β-actin. This confirms our own observations of a low expression level in the synovial tissue compartment. Similarly to their results, we could not detect differential expression of BMP-2 and BMP-6 mRNA in RA compared with normal tissue. In vitro, however, Lories and colleagues found an increase in BMP-2 and BMP-
expression of BMPs investigated (BMP-4 and BMP-5) was decreased. In addition, BMPs were negatively correlated with local or systemic parameters of inflammation as well as the duration of the disease. This discrepancy might depend on differences in the biological function and regulation of individual members of the BMP family. In fact, Lories and colleagues [18] also reported that BMP-4, in contrast to BMP-2 and BMP-6, was not increased by stimulation with IL-1β or TNF-α. Furthermore, local differences between stimulatory and inhibitory mechanisms for BMP production could explain our observed differences in the histomorphological distribution of BMP-expressing cells in RA compared with controls. A similar distribution and predominant expression of different BMPs in fibroblastoid and macrophagocytic cells was also shown by Lories and colleagues [18] and van Lent and colleagues [26].

That BMPs might provide a beneficial effect on joint repair can be assumed from their role in joint development [27], their induction of chondrogenic differentiation in adult mesenchymal stem cells [28,29] and their effect on cartilage formation in tissue engineering with chondrocytes [5]. Similarly, the decrease in BMP-7 expression and the increase in BMP antagonists found in osteoarthritic cartilage suggests that a loss of BMP signal might reduce the regenerative capacity of cartilage [12,30]. However, the role of BMPs in the homeostasis of joints and the regeneration of cartilage is still unclear. BMP-2 was found to be increased in osteoarthritic cartilage and stimulated in culture with the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1 and TNF [31]. In contrast, other BMPs were unchanged [32]. Furthermore, the expression of BMP-6 and BMP-7 was also decreased in articular cartilage of TNF-transgenic mice, suggesting that loss of BMP expression could be also involved in chronic inflammatory and not only degenerative joint diseases [33]. The overall decrease in BMP-4 and BMP-5 in the

As well as a possibly beneficial effect of BMPs on arthritic joints, intra-articular TGF-β injection was shown to induce osteophyte formation, a typical morphological change in OA [34]. Moreover, recent studies suggested that other factors such as BMP-2 and BMP-4 might be involved as downstream mediators of the TGF-β effect and that these BMPs might be released by macrophages of the synovial lining layer [26]. However, these data are derived from a mouse model with papain-induced OA mouse model could prevent osteophyte formation and synovial fibrosis but at the same time increased the loss of proteoglycan from the cartilage matrix, thereby certainly promoting the damage of the joint surface [10].

Thus, regenerative triggers in the treatment of joint diseases will depend on a balanced action of stimulators and inhibitors of BMP signalling with precise modulation of specific BMPs. The histomorphological distribution may be also important. Expression in deeper layers as seen in the samples of our RA and OA patients may influence predominantly cells of the surrounding tissue, thereby contributing to synovial fibrosis. In contrast, expression in the synovial lining layer may be more relevant for stable or increased levels of BMP in the synovial fluid, where these morphogens may potentially influence artic-
ular cartilage. As BMP-4 and BMP-5 were found to be decreased in the synovium and their expression was attributed to the synovial lining layer in normal joints, they could be favorable candidates for therapeutic application. Nevertheless, it will be important to understand precisely the network of morphogen action and regulation in the joint, because injection of BMP-2 induced osteophyte formation in a murine model [9]. Thus, the interaction of BMPs and inhibitors not only in the synovium but also in cartilage has to be elucidated. Although studies in developmental biology have contributed considerably to the understanding of the BMP network [27], the role of these morphogens in adult tissues is still unclear.

Conclusion

BMP-4 and BMP-5 are expressed in normal synovial tissue and were found to be decreased in OA and RA. Furthermore, the histomorphological distribution of both morphogens showed a dominance in the lining layer in the normal tissue, whereas their expression in RA and OA tissue was also scattered across deeper layers. These results suggest that BMP-4 and BMP-5 may be important in joint homeostasis and are therefore potential candidates for joint regeneration.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

CPB and TH performed patient recruitment, PCR, immunohistochemistry and data interpretation and drafted the manuscript. UU was involved in in situ hybridization and PCR. VK was involved in patient recruitment and performed the 'synovitis score'. AP and CK conducted part of the patient recruitment and data evaluation. FS, GAM and GRB provided substantial input into data evaluation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Johanna Golla and Thomas Rudolph for excellent technical assistance, Martin Sparmann MD (Department of Orthopedics, Immanuel Krankenhaus, Berlin, Germany) for synovial tissue samples, and Carola Werner (Department of Medical Statistics, University of Göttingen) for statistical assistance. This work was supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG Ha2267/2-1 to Ha2267/2-4), the Novartis Foundation, The Federal Ministry of Education and Research of Germany (01GS0413) and the Verein für Tissue Engineering (VTE) e.V.

References

1. Keyszer G, Redlich A, Häupl T, Zacher J, Sparmann M, Engethum U, Gay S, Burmester GR: Differential expression of cathepsins B and L compared with matrix metalloproteinases and their respective inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis: a parallel investigation by semiquantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction and immunohistochemistry. Arthritis Rheum 1998, 41:1378-1387.

2. Ayral X, Pickering EH, Woodworth TG, Mackillop N, Dougdos M: Synovitis: a potential predictive factor of structural progression of medial tibiofemoral knee osteoarthritis – results of a 1 year longitudinal arthroscopic study in 422 patients. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2005, 13:361-367.

3. van den Berg WB: Joint inflammation and cartilage destruction may occur uncoupled. Springer Semin Immunopathol 1998, 20:149-164.

4. van der Kraan PM, van den Berg WB: Anabolic and destructive mediators in osteoarthritis. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2000, 3:205-211.

5. Kaps C, Bramlage C, Smolian H, Haisch A, Ungethum U, Burmester GR, Süttinger M, Gross G, Häupl T: Bone morphogenetic proteins promote cartilage differentiation and protect engineered artificial cartilage from fibroblast invasion and destruction. Arthritis Rheum 2002, 46:149-162.

6. Southwood LL, Frisbee DD, Kewcak CE, McIlwraith CW: Delivery of growth factors using gene therapy to enhance bone healing. Vet Surg 2004, 33:565-578.

7. Friedlaender GE, Perry CR, Cole JD, Cook SD, Cierny G, Muschler GF, Zych GA, Calhoun JH, LaForte AJ, Yin S: Osteogenic protein-1 (bone morphogenetic protein-7) in the treatment of healing nonunions. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2001, 83-A(Suppl 1):S151-S158.

8. Lories RJ, Derese I, Luyten FP: Modulation of bone morphogenetic protein signaling inhibits the onset and progression of collagenizing enthesis. J Clin Invest 2005, 115:1571-1579.

9. van Beuningen HM, Glansbeek HL, van der Kraan PM, van den Berg WB: Differential effects of local application of BMP-2 or TGF-beta 1 on both articular cartilage composition and osteophyte formation. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 1998, 6:306-317.

10. Schwarzhaupt A, Vitters EL, van den Berg WB: Reduction of osteophyte formation and synovial thickening by adenoviral overexpression of transforming growth factor beta/bone morphogenetic protein inhibitors during experimental osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2003, 48:3442-3451.

11. Chubinskaya S, Kuettering KE: Regulation of osteogenic proteins by chondrocytes. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2003, 35:1323-1340.

12. Tardif G, Hum D, Pelletier JP, Boileau C, Ranger P, Martel-Pelletier J: Differential gene expression and regulation of the bone morphogenetic protein antagonists follistatin and gremlin in normal and osteoarthritic human chondrocytes and synovial fibroblasts. Arthritis Rheum 2004, 50:2521-2530.

13. Ducy P, Karsenty G: The family of bone morphogenetic proteins. Kidney Int 2000, 57:2207-2214.

14. Sakou T: Bone morphogenic proteins: from basic studies to clinical approaches. Bone 1998, 22:591-603.

15. Kingsley DM: The TGF-beta superfamily: new members, new receptors, and new genetic tests of function in different organisms. Genes Dev 1994, 8:133-146.

16. Wozney JM: The bone morphogenetic protein family and osteogenesis. Mol Reprod Dev 1992, 32:160-167.

17. Tsumaki N, Nakase T, Miyaji T, Kakiuchi M, Kimura T, Ochi T, Yoshikawa H: Bone morphogenetic protein signals are required for cartilage formation and differently regulate joint development during skeletogenesis. J Bone Miner Res 2002, 17:898-906.

18. Lories RJ, Derese I, Ceuppens JL, Luyten FP: Bone morphogenetic proteins 2 and 6, expressed in arthritic synovium, are regulated by proinflammatory cytokines and differentially modulate fibroblast-like synoviocyte apoptosis. Arthritis Rheum 2003, 48:2807-2818.

19. Annett FC, Edworth MY, Bloch DA, McShane DJ, Fries JF, Cooper NS, Healey LA, Kaplan SR, Liang MH, Luthra HS, et al.: The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988, 31:315-324.

20. Krenn V, Morawietz L, Burmester GR, Häupl T: Synovitis score: histopathological grading system for chronic rheumatic and non-rheumatic synovitis. Z Rheumatol 2005, 64:334-342.

21. Synovitis-Score: http://www.charite.de/ch/patho/Webpage/pages/diagnostik/biopsie/synovitis/synovitis.html

22. Izzizary RA, Boilstad BM, Collin F, Cope LM, Hobbis B, Speed TP: Summaries of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:15.

23. Chomczynski P, Sacchi N: Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate–phenol–chloroform extraction. Anal Biochem 1987, 162:156-159.

24. Franz JK, Kolb SA, Hummel KM, Lahrtz F, Neidhart M, Aicher WK, Pap T, Gay RC, Fontana A, Gay S: Interleukin-16, produced by synovial fibroblasts, mediates chemotaxis for CD4+ T
lymphocytes in rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur J Immunol* 1998, 28:2661-2671.

25. Koziolek MJ, Riess R, Geiger H, Thevenod F, Hauser IA: Expression of multidrug resistance P-glycoprotein in kidney allografts from cyclosporine A-treated patients. *Kidney Int* 2001, 60:156-166.

26. van Lent PL, Blom AB, van der Kraan P, Holthuysen AE, Vitters E, van Rooijen N, Smeets RL, Nabbe KC, van den Berg WB: Crucial role of synovial lining macrophages in the promotion of transforming growth factor beta-mediated osteophyte formation. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004, 50:103-111.

27. Archer CW, Dowthwaite GP, Francis-West P: Development of synovial joints. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* 2003, 69:144-155.

28. Sekiya I, Larson BL, Vuoristo JT, Reger RL, Prockop DJ: Comparison of effect of BMP-2, -4, and -6 on *in vitro* cartilage formation of human adult stem cells from bone marrow stroma. *Cell Tissue Res* 2005, 320:269-276.

29. Schmitt B, Ringe J, Häupl T, Notter M, Manz R, Burmester GR, Süttinger M, Kaps C: BMP2 initiates chondrogenic lineage development of adult human mesenchymal stem cells in high-density culture. *Differentiation* 2003, 71:567-577.

30. Chubinskaya S, Kumar B, Merrinew C, Heretis K, Rueger DC, Kuehtner KE: Age-related changes in cartilage endogenous osteogenic protein-1 (OP-1). *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2002, 1588:126-134.

31. Fukui N, Zhu Y, Maloney WJ, Clohisy J, Sandeell LJ: Stimulation of BMP-2 expression by pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 and TNF-alpha in normal and osteoarthritic chondrocytes. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2003, 85-A(Suppl 3):59-66.

32. Bobacz K, Gruber R, Soleiman A, Erlacher L, Smolen JS, Graninger WB: Expression of bone morphogenetic protein 6 in healthy and osteoarthritic human articular chondrocytes and stimulation of matrix synthesis *in vitro*. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003, 48:2501-2508.

33. Bobacz K, Hayer S, Smolen JS, Schett G: Expression of distinct members of the bone morphogenetic protein family is decreased in cartilage from chronically inflamed joints (abstract). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004, 63:THK0178.

34. Blom AB, van Lent PL, Holthuysen AE, van der Kraan PM, Roth J, van Rooijen N, van den Berg WB: Synovial lining macrophages mediate osteophyte formation during experimental osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2004, 12:627-635.

35. Pagura SM, Thomas SG, Woodhouse LJ, Ezzat S, Marks P: Circulating and synovial levels of IGF-I, cytokines, physical function and anthropometry differ in women awaiting total knee arthroplasty when compared to men. *J Orthop Res* 2005, 23:397-405.