Carroll versus Galilei from a brane perspective
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ABSTRACT: We show that our previous work on Galilei and Carroll gravity, apt for particles, can be generalized to Galilei and Carroll gravity theories adapted to p-branes (p = 0, 1, 2, ⋯). Within this wider brane perspective, we make use of a formal map, given in the literature, between the corresponding p-brane Carroll and Galilei algebras where the index describing the directions longitudinal (transverse) to the Galilei brane is interchanged with the index covering the directions transverse (longitudinal) to the Carroll brane with the understanding that the time coordinate is always among the longitudinal directions. This leads among other things in 3D to a map between Galilei particles and Carroll strings and in 4D to a similar map between Galilei strings and Carroll strings. We show that this formal map extends to the corresponding Lie algebra expansion of the Poincaré algebra and, therefore, to several extensions of the Carroll and Galilei algebras including central extensions. We use this formal map to construct several new examples of Carroll gravity actions. Furthermore, we discuss the symmetry between Carroll and Galilei at the level of the p-brane sigma model action and apply this formal symmetry to give several examples of 3D and 4D particles and strings in a curved Carroll background.
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1 Introduction

It is well known that the anti-de Sitter algebra allows several contractions to non-relativistic kinematical symmetry algebras [1]. For zero cosmological constant, among these algebras are the Galilei and Carroll algebras. Both algebras can be obtained as a contraction of the Poincaré algebra which by itself is a contraction of the anti-de Sitter algebra. Whereas the Galilei algebra is obtained as a non-relativistic, i.e. $c \to \infty$, limit of the Poincaré algebra, the Carroll algebra is obtained as the opposite ultra-relativistic, i.e. $c \to 0$, limit of the same Poincaré algebra.

For obvious reasons the Galilei algebra has received much more attention than the Carroll algebra. However, the Carroll algebra has regained interest over the past few years. Carroll symmetries occur in a variety of special situations such as in the strong coupling limit of General Relativity [2, 3] and near spacetime singularities [4]. They have also emerged in a recent study of the isometry group of plane gravitational waves [5] and in a study of warped AdS holography [6]. The same Carroll symmetries play a prominent role in flat space holography [7]. According to [8] a conformal extension of the Carroll symmetries is related to the BMS symmetries [9]. These BMS symmetries occur as a subgroup of the symmetries of a massless particle moving in a Carroll geometry [10]. Taking a special
limit of general relativity, an ultra-relativistic version of gravity, called Carroll gravity, has been constructed \[11\]. The corresponding action is related, and perhaps equal, to the one considered in \[2, 3\]. An alternative version of Carroll gravity has been constructed in \[12\]. For other recent papers on Carroll symmetries, see, e.g., \[13–17\].

The Galilei and Carroll algebra look rather different from a particle point of view where time plays a special role. On the one hand, the \(D\)-dimensional Galilei algebra is given by

The \(D\)-dimensional Galilei algebra

\[
\begin{align}
\{J_{ab}, J_{cd}\} &= 4\eta_{[a[c}J_{d]b]}, \quad (1.1a) \\
\{J_{ab}, P_d\} &= 2\eta_{d[b}P_{a]}, \quad (1.1d) \\
\{J_{ab}, G_d\} &= 2\eta_{d[b}G_{a]}, \quad (1.1b) \\
\{G_a, G_b\} &= 0, \quad (1.1c) \\
\{G_a, H\} &= P_a, \quad (1.1e) \\
\{G_a, P_b\} &= 0, \quad (1.1f)
\end{align}
\]

where \(\{H, P_a, J_{ab}, G_a\}\) are the generators corresponding to time translations, spatial translations, spatial rotations and Galilean boosts, respectively. On the other hand, the non-zero commutation relations of the \(D\)-dimensional Carroll algebra are given by

The \(D\)-dimensional Carroll algebra

\[
\begin{align}
\{J_{ab}, J_{cd}\} &= 4\eta_{[a[c}J_{d]b]}, \quad (1.2a) \\
\{J_{ab}, P_d\} &= 2\eta_{d[b}P_{a]}, \quad (1.2d) \\
\{J_{ab}, G_d\} &= 2\eta_{d[b}G_{a]}, \quad (1.2b) \\
\{G_a, G_b\} &= 0, \quad (1.2c) \\
\{G_a, H\} &= -P_a, \quad (1.2e) \\
\{G_a, P_b\} &= \delta_{ab}H \quad (1.2f)
\end{align}
\]

We see that the difference between the Galilei and Carroll algebra stems from the \(G_a, P_a, H\) commutators involving the boost generators \(G_a\), the time translation generator \(H\) and the spatial translation generators \(P_a\). Nevertheless, within this particle perspective, an interesting duality relation between Carroll and Galilei has been discovered using two dual non-Einsteinian concepts of time \[18\].

It has been pointed out that, although the Galilei and Carroll algebras look different, the two algebras are very similar when we give up the particle perspective and consider the two algebras from a wider brane perspective where we do not distinguish between a time direction and the other transverse directions \[19\]. Instead, we decompose a general flat spacetime index into the directions longitudinal to the brane, including the time direction, and into the directions transverse to the brane. We should stress that the resulting similarity between Carroll and Galilei that we will discuss in this work is a formal one and should be distinguished from the more physical duality advocated in \[18\]. In this work we will use this formal map between Galilei and Carroll to construct several new examples of Carroll gravity theories for branes. Note that we use here the word ‘branes’ in a kinematical way without actually writing down a sigma model action for such a brane. In the second part of this work, we will extend the discussion to the \(p\)-brane sigma model action and construct new examples of sigma models describing particles and strings in a curved Carroll background. For earlier work on Carroll particles, strings and branes, see \[10, 19–21\].
The organization of this work is as follows. In section 2, we will consider the general $p$-brane contraction of the Poincaré algebra where the longitudinal components of the generators scale differently with the contraction parameter than the transverse components. This automatically leads to contracted algebras with a manifest Lorentz (spatial rotational) symmetry in the longitudinal (transverse) directions. Next, we review the formal map between the Carroll and Galilei algebras from this wider brane perspective [19]. We will point out that this map extends to the Lie algebra expansion [22, 23] of the Poincaré algebra and therefore extends to the corresponding extended Galilei and Carroll algebras including central extensions. In section 3, we will use this formal map to construct several actions for (extended) Carroll gravity by using our earlier results for (extended) Galilei gravity obtained in [11, 25]. Next, in section 4, we will discuss in which sense this symmetry between Carroll and Galilei extends at the level of the $p$-brane sigma model actions. We will give several examples of 3D and 4D particles and strings in a curved Carroll background. Finally, in section 5 we will give our conclusions.

## 2 Carroll versus Galilei and the Lie algebra expansion

In this section we show the details of the formal map between the Carroll and Galilei algebras mentioned in the introduction and point out how this formal map extends to the corresponding Lie algebra expansion of the Poincaré algebra.

Our starting point is the $D$-dimensional Poincaré algebra with the following commutation relations:

\begin{align}
[P_{\tilde{A}}, P_{\tilde{B}}] &= 0, \quad (2.1a) \\
[J_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}, P_{\tilde{C}}] &= \eta_{\tilde{C}\tilde{D}} P_{\tilde{A}} - \eta_{\tilde{C}\tilde{A}} P_{\tilde{B}}, \quad (2.1b) \\
[J_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}, J_{\tilde{C}\tilde{D}}] &= 4\eta_{\tilde{C}[\tilde{D}]} P_{\tilde{A}} P_{\tilde{B}}. \quad (2.1c)
\end{align}

where $P_{\tilde{A}}$ and $J_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$ are the generators of spacetime translations and Lorentz transformations, respectively. The flat tilde indices run over $\tilde{A} = 0, 1, \ldots, D - 1$. In the case of a $p$-brane we decompose the index $\tilde{A}$ into indices $A, a$ describing the directions longitudinal and transverse to the $p$-brane as follows:

\[ \tilde{A} = \{ A, a \} \quad \text{with} \quad A = 0, 1, \ldots, p \quad \text{and} \quad a = p + 1, \ldots, D - 1. \quad (2.2) \]

This induces the following decomposition of the generators:

\[ J_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \rightarrow \{ J_{AB}, G_{Aa}, J_{ab} \}, \quad P_{\tilde{A}} \rightarrow \{ H_A, P_a \}. \quad (2.3) \]

\[ ^1 \text{In the case of AdS this relation between Galilean and Carroll expansions was studied in [24].} \]

\[ ^2 \text{Our nomenclature of the different algebras and gravity theories occurring in this work is explained in appendix A.} \]
In terms of the decomposed generators, the non-zero commutators of the Poincaré algebra are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
[J_{AB}, J_{CD}] &= 4\eta_{[A[C} J_{D]B]} , & (2.4a) \\
[J_{ab}, J_{cd}] &= 4\eta_{[a[c} J_{d]b]} , & (2.4b) \\
[J_{AB}, G_{Cd}] &= 2\eta_{[C[b} G_{A]d]} , & (2.4c) \\
[J_{ab}, G_{Cd}] &= 2\eta_{[d[b} G_{C]a]} , & (2.4d) \\
[G_{Aa}, G_{Bb}] &= -\eta_{AB} J_{ab} - \eta_{ab} J_{AB} . & (2.4e) \\
\end{align*}
\]

Both the Galilei $p$-brane algebra and Carroll $(D-p-2)$-brane algebras are obtained through a contraction of the Poincaré algebra induced by the decomposition

\[
g = V_0 \oplus V_1 ,
\]

where the subspaces $V_0$ and $V_1$ are spanned by the following generators:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{p-brane Galilei} & & \text{(D }-\text{ p }-\text{ 2)-brane Carroll} \\
V_0 &= \{ J_{AB}, J_{ab}, H_A \} , & V_0 &= \{ J_{AB}, J_{ab}, P_a \} , & (2.6a) \\
V_1 &= \{ G_{Ab}, P_a \} , & V_1 &= \{ G_{Ab}, H_A \} . & (2.6b) \\
\end{align*}
\]

In both cases $V_0$ is a subalgebra and the commutation relations define the following symmetric space structure:

\[
\begin{align*}
[V_0, V_0] &\subseteq V_0 , & [V_1, V_0] &\subseteq V_1 , & [V_1, V_1] &\subseteq V_0 . & (2.8) \\
\end{align*}
\]

The contraction is now defined by first re-scaling all generators corresponding to $V_1$ such that they are linear in a contraction parameter $\omega$ and next taking the limit $\omega \to \infty$. For $p = 0$ and $p = D - 2$, this contraction reproduces the particle or 0-brane Galilei and Carroll algebras given in eqs. (1.1) and (1.2), respectively.

From the above formulae it is clear that there is a formal map between the $p$-brane Galilei contraction and the $(D-p-2)$-brane Carroll contraction given by the exchange of the longitudinal and transverse indices:

\[
A \leftrightarrow a ,
\]

where it is understood that $H_A \leftrightarrow P_a$. This defines the following map between the $p$-brane Galilei and $(D-p-2)$-brane Carroll algebras [19]:

\[
p\text{-brane Galilei} \xleftarrow{A \leftrightarrow a} (D-p-2)\text{-brane Carroll}
\]

where it is understood that associated with the exchange of the indices there is also an exchange of the longitudinal and transverse metrics with the respective signatures.
### $D = 3$ Non-Zero Commutation Relations

|                | Galilei                      | Carroll                      |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| $p = 0$        | $[J, G_a] = -\epsilon^b_a G_b$ | $[J, G_a] = -\epsilon^b_a G_b$ | $[J, G_a] = -\epsilon^b_a G_b$ |
|                | $[J, P_a] = -\epsilon^b_a P_a$ | $[J, P_a] = -\epsilon^b_a P_a$ | $[J, P_a] = -\epsilon^b_a P_a$ |
|                | $[G_a, H] = P_a$              | $[G_a, H] = -\eta_{AB} P$     | $[G_a, H] = H_a$               |

Table 1. This table compares the 3D Carroll and Galilei algebras for particles and strings.

As a simple example of how this formal map explicitly works, we consider the 3D Carroll and Galilei algebras for particles and strings. The non-zero commutation relations are given in table 1.

From the table we conclude that there is a formal map between the 3D $p$-brane Galilei and $(1-p)$-brane Carroll algebra given by

$$ J \leftrightarrow -M, \quad P \leftrightarrow H, \quad H_A \leftrightarrow P_a, \quad G_A \leftrightarrow G_a. \quad (2.10a) $$

which simply corresponds to an interchange between the longitudinal index $A$ with the transverse index $a$.

Most importantly, since the Lie algebra expansion procedure is fully determined by the initial decomposition defined by eqs. (2.5)–(2.7), we conclude that this formal map between the Carroll and Galilei algebras will continue to hold for the expanded Poincaré algebra, order by order, as well. We will make use of this observation to construct several new examples of (extended) Carroll gravity actions in the next section by making use of our earlier results on (extended) Galilei gravity [11, 25].

### 3 Examples of Carroll gravity

Starting from the Einstein-Hilbert action and making use of the Lie algebra expansion of the Poincaré algebra, in our previous work we have constructed several examples of non-relativistic gravity actions in 3D and 4D based upon the extended particle ($p = 0$) and string ($p = 1$) Galilei algebra [25]. Below we will show how, by making use of the formal map between Carroll and Galilei, this automatically leads to similar results for ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity actions.

In the Lie algebra expansions that we perform below we associate the following gauge fields to the decomposed generators:

$$ J_{AB} \rightarrow \Omega^{AB}_p, \quad (3.1a) $$

$$ J_{ab} \rightarrow \Omega^{ab}_p, \quad (3.1b) $$

$$ G_{A b} \rightarrow \Omega^{A b}_p. \quad (3.1c) $$

$$ P_a \rightarrow E^a_p, \quad (3.1d) $$

$$ H_A \rightarrow \tau^A_p. \quad (3.1e) $$
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| \( p = 0 \) | \( \epsilon_{ab} \frac{(0)}{R^{ab}(J) \wedge (0)} \) | \( \epsilon_{ab} \frac{(0)}{R^{ab}(J) \wedge \tau} \) |
| \( p = 1 \) | \( \epsilon_{AB} \left[ \frac{(0)}{R^{AB}(J) \wedge E - 2R^A(G) \wedge (0)^B} \right] \) | \( \epsilon_{AB} \frac{(0)}{R^{AB}(J) \wedge E} \) |

Table 2. This table gives the Lagrangians resulting from the lowest order Lie algebra expansion of the 3D Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian (3.2) corresponding to the 3D Carroll and Galilei algebra for both particles \( (p = 0) \) and strings \( (p = 1) \).

### 3.1 3D Carroll gravity

Using form notation, the 3D Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{EH} = \epsilon_{\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C}} R^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(J) \wedge E^{\hat{C}},
\]

where \( R^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(J) \) is the 2-form Lorentz curvature of the Poincaré algebra (2.1) and \( E^{\hat{A}} \) is the 1-form Vielbein gauge field associated with the spacetime translation generators \( P_{\hat{A}} \).

After a particle \( (p = 0) \) and string \( (p = 1) \) decomposition we obtain, before expanding, the following Lagrangians:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{p=0} = \epsilon_{ab} \left[ R^{ab}(J) \wedge \tau - 2R^a(G) \wedge E^b \right],
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{p=1} = \epsilon_{AB} \left[ R^{AB}(J) \wedge E - 2R^A(G) \wedge \tau^B \right],
\]

where \( a = 1, 2 \) are the two directions transverse to the particle and \( A = 0, 1 \) are the two directions longitudinal to the string.

Using the notation of [25], we now expand both Lagrangians in eq. (3.3) to lowest order corresponding to the Carroll or Galilei algebra \( g(0, 1) \).

This leads to the Lagrangians given in table 2.

From table 2 we deduce that, would we have restricted ourselves to particles only, the results for the Galilei and Carroll gravity Lagrangians are a-symmetric [25]. From the first line in the above table, we read that the Galilei gravity Lagrangian contains only one term whereas the Carroll gravity Lagrangian contains two terms. However, incorporating also strings, we see that the symmetry is restored provided we connect the particle Galilei Lagrangian in the first line of the table to the string Carroll Lagrangian in the second line. Both for Carroll and Galilei we have one Lagrangian with one term and a second Lagrangian with two terms.

### 3.2 3D extended Carroll gravity

It is straightforward to go beyond the lowest order in the Lie algebra expansion of the 3D Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian (3.2).\(^4\) In particular, in order for these actions to be invariant

\(^3\)We use here the notation of [25], where the Lie algebra obtained by expanding the Maurer-Cartan one form components lying in the subspaces dual to \( V_0 \) (\( V_1 \)) subspace up to powers of \( \omega^p \) (\( \omega^q \)) (\( p \) even and \( q \) odd) has been denoted with \( g(p, q) \). Note that the resulting algebras involve new generators that correspond to the new Maurer-Cartan forms. The case \( p = 0, q = 1 \) corresponds to the usual Wigner-Inönü contraction.

\(^4\)This subsection has some overlap with the recent paper [24].
under the corresponding extended algebras we should consider the truncation to \( g(2, 1) \) for the extended \( p = 0 \) Galilei and \( p = 1 \) Carroll Lagrangians and to \( g(2, 3) \) for the extended \( p = 1 \) Galilei and extended \( p = 0 \) Carroll Lagrangians. The explicit expressions for these Lagrangians are given in table 3.

The particle \((p = 0)\) Lagrangian for extended Galilei gravity given in the first line of table 3 is, using our old nomenclature, the extended Bargmann Lagrangian constructed in [26–28]. Using our formal map, it immediately leads to the corresponding result for the extended string \((p = 1)\) Carroll gravity Lagrangian given in the second line of the table.

### 3.3 4D Carroll gravity

Our starting point is the 4D Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian

\[
\mathcal{L}_{EH} = \epsilon_{\tilde{A}B\tilde{C}D} R^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}(J) \wedge E^\tilde{C} \wedge E^D .
\] (3.5)

After decomposing the fields, before expanding, we obtain the following Lagrangians for particles \((p = 0)\), strings \((p = 1)\) and membranes \((p = 2)\):

\[
\mathcal{L}_{p=0} = \epsilon_{abc} \left[ -R^{ab}(J) \wedge E^c \wedge \tau + R^a(G) \wedge E^b \wedge E^c \right] ,
\] (3.6a)

\[
\mathcal{L}_{p=1} = \epsilon_{ABab} \left[ R^{ab}(J) \wedge \tau^A \wedge \tau^B + R^{AB}(J) \wedge E^a \wedge E^b - 4R^Aa(G) \wedge \tau^B \wedge E^b \right] ,
\] (3.6b)

\[
\mathcal{L}_{p=2} = - \epsilon_{ABC} \left[ R^{AB}(J) \wedge \tau^C \wedge E + R^Aa(G) \wedge \tau^B \wedge \tau^C \right] .
\] (3.6c)

After expanding we find, in lowest order, for the Carroll and Galilei algebras the results given in table 4.

Like in 3D, we find that, after including all branes and not only particles, the results for the Carroll and Galilei gravity Lagrangians are symmetric. Using our formal map, the particle (membrane) Galilei gravity Lagrangian given in the left column of table 4 is mapped to the membrane (particle) Carroll Lagrangian given in the right column of the table whereas the string Galilei and Carroll Lagrangians are mapped onto each other.

| \( \mathcal{L}_p \) | Galilei | Carroll |
|------------------|--------|--------|
| \( p = 0 \) | \( \epsilon_{ab} \left[ R^{ab}(J) \wedge E^c \wedge \tau + R^a(G) \wedge E^b \wedge E^c \right] \) | \( \epsilon_{ab} \left[ R^{ab}(J) \wedge \tau^A \wedge \tau^B + R^{AB}(J) \wedge E^a \wedge E^b - 4R^Aa(G) \wedge \tau^B \wedge E^b \right] \) |
| \( p = 1 \) | \( \epsilon_{ABab} \left[ R^{ab}(J) \wedge \tau^A \wedge \tau^B + R^{AB}(J) \wedge E^a \wedge E^b - 4R^Aa(G) \wedge \tau^B \wedge E^b \right] \) | \( \epsilon_{AB} \left[ R^{AB}(J) \wedge \tau^C \wedge E + R^Aa(G) \wedge \tau^B \wedge \tau^C \right] \) |

Table 3. This table gives the Lagrangians resulting from the next to lowest order Lie algebra expansion of the 3D Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian (3.2) corresponding to the 3D extended Carroll and Galilei algebra for both particles \((p = 0)\) and strings \((p = 1)\).
Table 4. This table gives the Lagrangians resulting from the lowest order Lie algebra expansion of the 4D Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian (3.5) corresponding to the 4D Carroll and Galilei algebra for both particles ($p = 0$), strings ($p = 1$) and membranes ($p = 2$).

Table 5. This table gives the Lagrangians resulting from the next to lowest order in the Lie algebra expansion of the 4D Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian (3.5) corresponding to the 4D extended Carroll and Galilei algebra for both particles ($p = 0$), strings ($p = 1$) and membranes ($p = 2$).

### 4D extended Carroll gravity

In order for the next to lowest order action to be invariant we should consider the $\mathfrak{g}(2,3)$ algebra for particles ($p = 0$) and membranes ($p = 2$) and the $\mathfrak{g}(2,1)$ algebra for strings ($p = 1$) both for Carroll and Galilei. The result for the different Lagrangians that follow from the Lie algebra expansion are given in table 5.

The particle ($p = 0$) extended Galilei gravity Lagrangian given in table 5 is the one constructed in [29]. We use here the first-order formulation given in [27]. The string ($p = 1$) extended Galilei gravity Lagrangian is, using the old nomenclature, the extended string NC gravity Lagrangian constructed in [30]. Table 5 shows how each extended Galilei gravity Lagrangian leads to a corresponding invariant extended Carroll gravity Lagrangian.
3.5 Higher order expansion and invariance conditions

The results that we have obtained in the previous sections, considering action terms at second or third order in the expansion parameter, could be easily generalized to study higher order terms. In particular, following the approach discussed in [25], it is possible to define a set of conditions between the action of order \( n \) and the truncation of order \( N_0, N_1 \), such that the order \( n \) action term is invariant under the algebra \( g(N_0, N_1) \). Since the derivation follows exactly the same steps discussed in [25] we refer to that work for details and we just list the results:

\[
\begin{align*}
    p &= D - 1 & n & \leq N_1 + D - 3 & (3.7a) \\
    p &= D - 2 & \begin{cases} 
        D = 3 & n \leq N_0 \\
        D \neq 3 & n \leq N_1 + D - 4
    \end{cases} & (3.7b) \\
    1 < p \leq D - 3 & n \leq N_1 + p - 2 & (3.7c) \\
    p &= 1 & n \leq N_0 & (3.7d) \\
    p &= 0 & n \leq N_1 . & (3.7e)
\end{align*}
\]

We remark that the conditions can be obtained just exchanging \( p \) with \( D - p - 2 \), with the exception of the \( p = D - 1 \) which has no Galilei dual.\(^5\) For the case \( p = D - 1 \) one could perform the analysis of [25]. When \( p = D - 1 \) the only possible term in the Lagrangian is of the type

\[
\varepsilon_{A_0 \ldots A_{D-1}} R^{A_0 A_1} \wedge \tau^{A_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tau^{A_{D-1}} . \tag{3.8}
\]

The minimum order in the expansion parameter for this term is \( D - 2 \). Thus if we consider the expansion at order \( n \) we are sure that there are no missing terms if the following two terms exist:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \varepsilon_{A_0 \ldots A_{D-1}} R^{A_0 A_1} \wedge \tau^{A_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tau^{A_{D-1}} \wedge (1)^2 \wedge \ldots \wedge (1)^{D-1} , & (3.9) \\
    \varepsilon_{A_0 \ldots A_{D-1}} R^{A_0 A_1} \wedge \tau^{A_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tau^{A_{D-1}} \wedge (0)^2 \wedge \ldots \wedge (0)^{D-1} . & (3.10)
\end{align*}
\]

The reason is that these are the terms at order \( n \) with fields appearing with the highest possible power in the expansion. After truncating the infinite expansion at \( N_0, N_1 \) an invariant action exists only if both of the following conditions are satisfied

\[
\begin{align*}
    n - D + 2 & \leq N_0 & (3.11a) \\
    n - D + 3 & \leq N_1 . & (3.11b)
\end{align*}
\]

Since the truncation imposes \( N_1 = N_0 \pm 1 \) these are equivalent to

\[
    n \leq N_1 + D - 3 . \tag{3.12}
\]

\(^5\)The case \( p = D - 1 \) is special since it is dual to a Galilei instanton, \( p = -1 \) corresponding to Euclidean signature for the flat space.
From the conditions eq. (3.7), we may also derive that, for given \((D,p)\), the smallest algebra consistent with the invariance of the Lagrangian density at order \(n\) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
p = D - 1 & \quad g(n - D + 2, n - D + 3) \\
p > 1 & \quad g(n - p + 1, n - p + 2) \\
p = 1 & \quad g(n, n - 1) \\
p = 0 & \quad g(n - 1, n).
\end{align*}
\]

In the case with \(n = 0\) it is understood that the algebra \(g(0, -1)\) corresponds to \(V_0\).

4 \(p\)-brane sigma models

We now consider the symmetry between Carroll and Galilei at the level of the \(p\)-brane sigma model. Our starting point is the relativistic \(p\)-brane Polyakov-type Lagrangian

\[
L_{Pol} = \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha \beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu E^A_\mu E^B_\nu \eta_{AB} - (p - 1)T \sqrt{h},
\]

where \(T\) is the \(p\)-brane tension, \(X^\mu(\sigma)\) are the embedding coordinates, \(E^A_\mu\) are the relativistic Vielbeine and \(h_{\alpha \beta}\) is the worldvolume metric with \(h = |\det h_{\alpha \beta}|\). Since this Lagrangian is only invariant under Lorentz rotations it is not clear how to perform a full Lie algebra expansion of this Lagrangian with respect to the Poincaré algebra. Another complicating factor is that, unlike in the case of the EH Lagrangian considered in the previous section, one cannot relate the \(P\)-translations of the Poincaré algebra to the general coordinate transformations via so-called trivial symmetries [25]. In fact, the sigma models considered in this section are strictly speaking not invariant under general coordinate transformations. They are so-called ‘sigma model symmetries’. For all these reasons we will avoid in this section using the Lie algebra expansion method.

In the next two subsections we will discuss \(p\)-brane sigma models first without and next with central charge symmetries using different methods. As we will see, the \(p\)-brane sigma models without central charge symmetries are based on the Galilei and Carroll algebras. On the other hand, the \(p\)-brane sigma models with central charge symmetries are based on what we will call the enhanced Galilei and Carroll algebra. These algebras are smaller than the extended Galilei and Carroll algebras discussed in the previous section and do not have an invariant action. Throughout this section we work with mostly plus \(\text{nat}\) metric with \(\eta_{00} = -1\).

4.1 Sigma models without central charge symmetry

To define a non-relativistic limit of the sigma model Lagrangian (4.1), we redefine the background fields using the velocity of light \(c\) in the same way that we defined the non-relativistic limit of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian leading to Galilei or Carroll gravity [11].

---

\[\text{We use here a unified notation including particles, i.e. } p = 0. \text{ In that case one should take } h_{00} = e^2 \text{ and } T = m^2 \text{ where } e \text{ is the worldline Einbein and } m \text{ is the mass of the particle.}\]

\[\text{See appendix A for our nomenclature. The enhanced Galilei algebra is the well-known Bargmann algebra.}\]
Substituting this lowest-order expansion into the sigma model Lagrangian (4.1) we obtain the following Lagrangian:

\[
L_{\text{Pol}}^{(\text{lowest})} = p_{hh} \left( c^2 r_\alpha^A r_\beta^B \eta_{AB} + E_\alpha^a E_\beta^b \delta_{ab} \right) - (p - 1) T \sqrt{h},
\]

(4.2)

where we have defined the pull-backs

\[
\tau_\alpha^A \equiv \partial_\alpha X^\mu \tau_\mu^A, \quad E_\alpha^a \equiv \partial_\alpha X^\mu E^a_\mu .
\]

(4.3)

Taking the non-relativistic limit \( c \to \infty \), we consider two cases. \(^8\) Either, we first eliminate the \( c^2 \) factor in the first leading term by a rescaling of \( h_{\alpha\beta} \) and \( T \) ending up with a \( c^{-2} \) factor in front of the second term and then take the limit or we effectively remove the leading term by using the following equivalent Lagrangian that contains an auxiliary field \( \lambda^{\alpha\alpha} \) (before taking the limit): \(^9\)

\[
- \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} \left[ E_\alpha^a E_\beta^b \delta_{ab} - \eta_{AB} \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \lambda^A_\alpha \lambda^B_\beta - 2 \lambda^A_\alpha \tau_\beta^B \right) \right] - (p - 1) T \sqrt{h} .
\]

(4.4)

We next take the limit. This leads to the two cases given in the left column of table 6. The first row corresponds to the NR ‘particle limit’ of branes that has been discussed in [32]. \(^10\)

The second row corresponds, for \( p = 0 \), to the Souriau (zero spin) Galilean particle [33, 34] which has been discussed in [31].

Similarly, when taking the ultra-relativistic limit \( c \to 0 \), we either take this limit straight-away in the Polyakov Lagrangian (4.2) such that we end up with the second term or we first redefine \( h_{\alpha\beta} \) and \( T \) such that there is a \( c^{-2} \) factor in front of the second term which becomes leading and constrain this leading term to be zero by introducing a Lagrange multiplier field \( \lambda^{\alpha\alpha} \) as follows:

\[
\sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} \left[ \tau_\alpha^A \tau_\beta^B \eta_{AB} - \delta_{ab} \left( c^2 \lambda^a_\alpha \lambda^b_\beta - 2 \lambda^a_\alpha \lambda^b_\beta \right) \right] - (p - 1) T \sqrt{h}.
\]

(4.5)

and then take the limit. This leads to the two cases given in the right column of table 6.

The first row corresponds to a Carroll system that, for \( p = 0 \), has been discussed in [35]. \(^11\)

We note that the four cases given in table 6 are consistent with the formal map between Carroll and Galilei discussed in section 2. Note also that to show that the Lagrangians in the second row of the table are invariant under Galilean or Carroll boosts one needs to use the given constraint equation.

---

\(^8\) We only consider NR limits where we preserve the relativistic symmetries of the world-sheet.

\(^9\) In the second case, we change the sign of the \( E^2 \) term in the Lagrangian before taking the limit in order to avoid, after taking the limit, getting negative signs under the square root in the Nambu-Goto formulation that follows from solving for the world-sheet metric \( h_{\alpha\beta} \). We follow here [31].

\(^10\) Note that for \( p = 0 \) and flat Newtonian spacetime the Lagrangian is a total derivative.

\(^11\) Private communication with S. Vandoren.
Table 6. This table summarizes the four different ways in which we can define the Galilei and Carroll limits of a $p$-brane at lowest order in an expansion of $c$ consistent with the Carroll and Galilei algebras. In all cases we have not given the $(p - 1)/T\sqrt{\hbar}$ term that is common to all Lagrangians.

4.2 Sigma models with a (non-)central charge symmetry

We now wish to consider examples of particle and string sigma models with an enhanced Galilei or Carroll algebra of symmetries involving a central extension. Although the enhanced Galilei algebra, i.e. the Bargmann algebra, does not occur in the Lie algebra expansion of the Poincaré algebra as discussed in this paper, it is clear, based on the symmetry $H_A \leftrightarrow P_a$, $J_{AB} \leftrightarrow J_{ab}$, $G_{aA} \leftrightarrow G_{aA}$ (4.6)
of the decomposed Poincaré algebra (2.4), that for every $p$-brane enhanced Galilei algebra there is a corresponding $(D - p - 2)$-brane enhanced Carroll algebra. In table 7 we have given the explicit commutation relations of the enhanced Galilei and enhanced Carroll algebras for 3D particles and strings. Note that these algebras have both central charge as well as non-central charge generators.\textsuperscript{12}

A new feature of Carroll and Galilei $p$-brane sigma models with (non-)central charge symmetries is that, compared to the previous subsection, they contain extra terms describing the coupling of the (non-)central charge gauge field to the $p$-brane via a Wess-Zumino term. Since this Wess-Zumino term looks different for different dimensions of the worldvolume, this complicates comparing Galilei with Carroll. The only cases where the symmetry between Galilei and Carroll is restored are those for which the number of longitudinal directions is equal to the number of transverse directions, i.e. particles in 2 dimensions, strings in 4 dimensions, membranes in 6 dimensions etc. For those branes the formal symmetry between Carroll and Galilei sigma models is very natural due to the fact that under the Galilei/Carroll map branes are mapped onto branes with the same spatial extension and therefore with a similar Wess-Zumino term.

As a warming up example, we first consider the Galilei and Carroll particle in 2 dimensions:

A. 2D enhanced Galilei particle. The Lagrangian of a 2D enhanced Galilei particle coupled to 2D enhanced Galilei gravity with field content $\{\tau_{\dot\mu}, E_{\dot\mu}, m_{\dot\mu}\}$ is given by\textsuperscript{13}

$$L = \frac{me^{-1}}{2} \dot{X}^\mu \ddot{X}_\mu \left[ E_{\dot\mu} E_{\dot\nu} - 2m (\dot{\tau}_{\dot\mu} \dot{\tau}_{\dot\nu}) \right], \quad (4.7a)$$
with $e = \dot{X}^\mu \dot{\tau}_{\dot\mu}$ and $\partial_\mu \dot{\tau}_{\dot\mu} = 0$. \quad (4.7b)

\textsuperscript{12}We call a generator non-central charge if it has non-zero commutation relations with the other generators due to its index structure.

\textsuperscript{13}The zero torsion condition is not required by the invariance of the theory under the given transformations, rather it comes from the request of this action to be the non-relativistic limit of the 2D Polyakov action. A similar argument applies to the 2D enhanced Carroll particle, eq. (4.11).
The generators $Z_{AB}$ of the enhanced $p=1$ Galilei algebra and $Z_{ab}$ of the $p=0$ enhanced Carroll algebra are traceless 2-tensors, i.e. $Z^A_A = Z^a_a = 0$.

Table 7. This table summarizes the non-zero commutation relations defining the $p=0$ and $p=1$ enhanced Galilei and enhanced Carroll algebras in three and four dimensions discussed in the text.
where we adopt the convention

\[ m_{(\mu \tau_\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} (m_{\mu \tau_\nu} + m_{\nu \tau_\mu}). \]  

(4.8)

This Lagrangian is invariant under the following Galilean boosts and central charge transformations:

\[ \delta E_\mu = \lambda \tau_\mu, \]  

(4.9)

\[ \delta m_\mu = \partial_\mu \sigma + \lambda E_\mu. \]  

(4.10)

We note that the proof of invariance works in any dimension provided we assign the transverse Vielbein an additional transverse index.

**B. 2D enhanced Carroll particle.** Under the formal Galilei/Carroll map the 2D enhanced Galilei particle (4.7) is mapped to the following 2D enhanced Carroll particle coupled to a 2D Carroll background with field content \( \{ \tau_\mu, E_\mu, n_\mu \} \):

\[ \mathcal{L} = me^{-1} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu \left[ \tau_\mu \tau_\nu - 2n_{(\mu} E_{\nu)} \right], \]  

with \( e = \dot{X}^\mu E_\mu \) and \( \partial_{[\mu} E_{\nu]} = 0. \)  

(4.11a)

(4.11b)

This Carroll Lagrangian is invariant under the following Carroll boosts and central charge transformations:

\[ \delta \tau_\mu = \lambda E_\mu, \]  

(4.12)

\[ \delta n_\mu = \partial_\mu \sigma + \lambda \tau_\mu. \]  

(4.13)

Unlike the enhanced Galilean particle, the proof of invariance of the enhanced Carroll particle only works in 2D. This is due to the fact that, when going to higher dimensions, the solution for the Einbein is inconsistent with the assignment of a transverse index to the transverse Vielbein. We will see below how an expression for the 3D Carroll particle can be derived by the double dimensional reduction of a 4D Carroll string.

This is the end of the story for Carroll particles in 2D whose Lagrangian (4.11) follows from those of the corresponding 2D Galilean particles (4.7). We now consider enhanced Galilean and Carroll strings in 4D. We will only consider those symmetries that are not realized manifestly at the level of the sigma model, see table 8.

**C. 4D enhanced Galilei string.** The Lagrangian of the 4D enhanced Galilei string in a 4D string \((p = 1)\) enhanced Galilei gravity background with field content \( \{ \tau_\mu, E_\mu, m_\mu \} \) is given by [36]

\[ \mathcal{L} = T \sqrt{-h} \alpha^\beta \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \left[ E_\mu \tilde{\alpha} E_\nu \tilde{\beta} \delta_{\tilde{a} \tilde{b}} + 2m_{(\mu} \tau_{\nu)} \eta_{\tilde{A} \tilde{B}} \right] \]  

with \( h_{\alpha \beta} = \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \tau_\mu \tau_\nu \eta_{\tilde{A} \tilde{B}} \)  

(4.14a)

(4.14b)

and \( D_{[\mu} \tau_{\nu]} \tilde{A} = 0 \) (zero torsion),  

(4.14c)

where we have integrated out the Lagrange multipliers. The curved hatted indices denote 4D indices: \( \tilde{\mu} = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) while the two flat indices \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{a})\) refer to the two longitudinal
Table 8. In this table we only give the parameters of the symmetries that are not realized manifestly in the sigma models given below. We do not give the longitudinal and transverse rotations. Neither do we give the general coordinate transformations (g.c.t.) which are realized in the sigma models as a so-called sigma model symmetry.

and two transverse directions, respectively. The action corresponding to the 4D enhanced Galilei string (4.14a) is invariant under the following Galilean boosts, first and second non-central charge transformations with parameters $\lambda^{\hat{A}\hat{a}}$, $\sigma^{\hat{A}}$ and $\sigma^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}$ (with $\sigma^{\hat{A}\hat{A}} = 0$), respectively:

\[
\delta E_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{a}} = \lambda^{\hat{A}} \hat{A}^{\hat{a}} \tau^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{\mu}},
\]

\[
\delta m^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{\mu}} = D_{\hat{\mu}} \lambda^{\hat{A}} + \lambda^{\hat{A}} \hat{A}^{\hat{a}} E_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{a}} + \sigma^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{B}} \tau^{\hat{B}}_{\hat{\mu}}.
\]

Note that the proof of invariance of the 4D enhanced Galilei string action under the above symmetries extends to any spacetime dimension. This is due to the fact that the range of the $\alpha$ and $\hat{A}$ indices do not change when going to higher dimensions and therefore the same identities as given in footnote 14 can be used.

D. 4D enhanced Carroll string. Using the formal map between the 4D string ($p=1$) enhanced Galilei and enhanced Carroll algebras the Lagrangian (4.14a) leads to the following corresponding Lagrangian for the 4D enhanced Carroll string in a 4D string ($p=1$) enhanced Carroll gravity background with field content $\{\tau^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{\mu}}, E_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{a}}, n_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{a}}\}$:

\[
\mathcal{L} = T \sqrt{h} \alpha^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \partial_{\hat{\alpha}} X^{\hat{\mu}} \partial_{\hat{\beta}} X^{\hat{\nu}} \left[ \tau^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{\mu}} \tau^{\hat{B}}_{\hat{\nu}} \eta^{\hat{A}\hat{B}} + 2 n_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{a}} E_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{b}} \delta^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \right] + (4.17a)
\]

with $h_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha} X^{\hat{\mu}} \partial_{\beta} X^{\hat{\nu}} E_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{a}} E_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{b}} \delta^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}$ (4.17b)

and $D_{\hat{\mu}} E_{\hat{\nu}}^{\hat{a}} \hat{\alpha} = 0$. (4.17c)

The action corresponding to this Lagrangian is invariant under the following Carroll boosts, first and second non-central charge transformations with parameters $\lambda^{\hat{A}\hat{a}}$, $\sigma^{\hat{a}}$ and

\[
\delta E_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{a}} = \epsilon^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \epsilon^{\hat{A}\hat{B}} h_{\alpha\beta} / h, \quad \det \sigma^{\hat{A}} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\hat{a}\hat{B}} \epsilon^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}.
\]

Additionally, to prove the invariance under the first non-central charge transformation, one needs to use the zero torsion constraint (4.14c).
\( \sigma_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \) (with \( \sigma_{\dot{a}\dot{a}} = 0 \)), respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \tau_{\dot{\mu}} & = \lambda_{\dot{a}} E_{\mu} \delta_{\dot{a}} , \\
\delta n_{\dot{\mu}} & = D_{\dot{\mu}} \sigma_{\dot{a}} + \lambda_{\dot{a}} \tau_{\dot{\mu}} + \sigma_{\dot{b}} E_{\mu} \delta_{\dot{b}} ,
\end{align*}
\]

where the boost parameter is antisymmetric in its indices, this will be our convention throughout the paper when it carries two indices. Unlike the enhanced Galilean string, the enhanced Carroll string is only invariant under the above symmetries in 4D. This is related to the fact that the range of the index \( \dot{a} \) is only 2 in 4D and, according to footnote 14, this is needed in the proof of invariance.

We now use the above string-string duality in 4D to see whether it leads to a corresponding string-particle duality between sigma models in 3D. For this purpose, we will perform below four different reductions leading to the following 3D particles and strings:

(1) enhanced Galilei particle
(2) enhanced Galilei string
(3) enhanced Carroll string
(4) enhanced Carroll particle

Each of these four reductions requires a reduction of the corresponding background fields that are described, independent of their couplings to sigma models, in appendix B.

(1) 3D enhanced Galilei particle. We first reduce the 4D enhanced Galilean string over a spatial longitudinal direction. For the background fields we use the double dimensional reduction Ansatz (B.1a)–(B.1j) to go from 4D enhanced Galilei gravity to 3D enhanced Galilei gravity with field content \( \{ \tau_{\mu}, E_{\mu}^{a}, m_{\mu} \} \) as given in appendix A.

In this so-called double dimensional reduction of the string we have imposed the gauge \( X^y = \sigma \) where \( (t, \sigma) \) are the worldsheet coordinates. We have furthermore assumed that all background fields are independent of \( X^y = \sigma \). After reduction we obtain the Lagrangian for a 3D enhanced Galilei particle:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} & = m e^{-1} \dot{X}^{\mu} \dot{X}^{\nu} \left[ E_{\mu}^{a} E_{\nu}^{b} \delta_{ab} - 2m_{\mu} \tau_{\nu} \right] , \\
e & = \dot{X}^{\mu} \tau_{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{[\mu} \tau_{\nu]} = 0 ,
\end{align*}
\]

where the string tension \( T \) becomes \( m \) under the reduction. The action corresponding to this Lagrangian is invariant under the following Galilean boosts and central charge transformations with parameters \( \lambda^{a} \) and \( \sigma \), respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta E_{\mu}^{a} & = \lambda^{a} \tau_{\mu} , \\
\delta m_{\mu} & = \partial_{\mu} \sigma + \lambda^{a} E_{\mu}^{b} \delta_{ab} .
\end{align*}
\]
(2) 3D enhanced Galilei string. Performing a direct dimensional reduction of 4D string ($p = 1$) enhanced Galilei gravity to 3D string ($p = 1$) enhanced Galilei gravity with field content $\{\tau^A, E^\mu, m^A\}$ as given in eqs. (B.2a)–(B.2h) of appendix A, we obtain the following Lagrangian for a 3D enhanced Galilei string in a 3D string ($p = 1$) enhanced Galilei background:

$$L = T \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \left[ E^\mu E^\nu + 2m_{(\mu}^A \tau^B_{\nu)} \eta_{AB} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.23a)

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \tau^A \tau^B \eta_{AB}$$ and $D_{[\mu} \tau_{\nu]}^A = 0$.  \hspace{1cm} (4.23b)

Note that we have also truncated away in a consistent way the transverse scalar $X^y$. The action corresponding to this Lagrangian is invariant under the following Galilean boosts, first and second central charge transformations with parameters $\lambda^A, \sigma^A$ and $\sigma^A B$ with $\sigma^A A = 0$, respectively:

$$\delta E^\mu = \lambda^A \tau^B \eta_{AB},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.24)

$$\delta m^A_{\mu} = D^A - \lambda^A X^\mu E^\nu \sigma^B \eta_{AB}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.25)

We now consider the two different reductions of the 4D Carroll string. Here things are more complicated due to the fact that a naive Ansatz leads to a degenerate world-sheet metric. As we will see below, to avoid such a singular metric, in the case of the Carroll string the number of transverse directions has to be larger or equal to two whereas in the case of the Carroll particle we should keep several matter fields when reducing the background Carroll fields.

(3) 3D enhanced Carroll string. At first sight, one would expect that the 3D enhanced Galilei particle discussed in (1) is formally dual to a 3D enhanced Carroll string. From the 4D point of view this means that, when we reduce the enhanced Galilei string over a longitudinal direction, we should instead reduce the corresponding 4D enhanced Carroll string over a transverse direction.

To obtain the enhanced Carroll string, we perform a direct dimensional reduction of 4D string ($p = 1$) enhanced Carroll gravity to 3D string ($p = 1$) enhanced Carroll gravity with field content $\{\tau^A, E^\mu, n^\mu\}$ as given in (B.3a)–(B.3j) of appendix A. After reduction we obtain the following Lagrangian for the 3D enhanced Carroll string:

$$L = T \sqrt{h} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \left[ \tau^A \tau^B \eta_{AB} + 2n_{(\mu} E_{\nu)} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.26a)

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu E^\mu E^\nu + \partial_\alpha X^\nu \partial_\beta X^\nu$$ and $D_{[\mu} n_{\nu]} = 0$.  \hspace{1cm} (4.26b)

Note that, unlike the Galilei string, we cannot truncate away in a consistent way the transverse scalar $X^y$ since this would lead to a degenerate world-sheet metric. This has the effect that our answer for the 3D enhanced Carroll string is not formally dual to that of the Galilei particle. The action corresponding to the Lagrangian (4.26a) is invariant under the following Carroll boosts and central charge transformations with parameters $\lambda^A$ and $\lambda$:

$$\delta \tau^A_{\mu} = \lambda^A E^\mu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.27)

$$\delta n^\mu = \partial^\mu \lambda - \lambda^A \tau^B \eta_{AB}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.28)
We first impose the gauge-fixing condition
\[ X^y = \sigma \]  
and assume that nothing depends on \( X^y \). Next, we observe that the reduction of the worldsheet metric gives
\[ h_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} E^a E_a & E^a E_y \\ E^a E_y & E^a E_{ya} \end{pmatrix}, \]
where we have defined
\[ E^a = \partial_t X^\mu E^a_{\mu} . \]
This implies that \( h_{\alpha\beta} \) is degenerate if we set the scalar fields \( E^a \) to zero. Therefore, we need to work with matter-coupled Carroll gravity. Keeping these scalars we write the Carroll string action as
\[ L = T e \frac{X^\mu}{2} \left[ \frac{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^a E^a_{\mu}}{2} + 2 \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^a E^a_{\mu} \right] \]
performing a double dimensional reduction of 4D string enhanced Carroll gravity to 3D particle enhanced Carroll gravity with field content \( \{ \tau, E^a, n^a \} \) coupled to matter with field content \( \{ \tau_y, E^a_y, n^a_y \} \) as given in eqs. (B.4a)-(B.4h) of appendix A, we obtain the following Lagrangian for a 3D enhanced Carroll particle in a 3D enhanced matter-coupled Carroll gravity background:
\[ L = -T e^{-1} (E^a_k \tau - E^a_k \tau_y)(E^a_k \tau - E^a_k \tau_y) + 2T \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} E^a_{\mu} n^b_{\alpha \beta} \]
where
\[ e = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^a E^a_{\mu} . \]

The action corresponding to this Lagrangian is invariant under the following transformation rules:
\[ \delta \tau = \lambda_a E^{a}_{\mu} , \]
\[ \delta \tau_y = \lambda_a E^{a}_{\mu} , \]
\[ \delta n^a_{\mu} = D^a_{\mu} + \lambda^a \tau_{\mu} + \sigma^a b E^{b}_{\mu} , \]
\[ \delta n^a_{\mu} = \lambda^a \tau_{\mu} + \sigma^a b E^{b}_{\mu} . \]

All background fields are needed to avoid a singular Carroll string in 4D and to keep the boost invariance.

This finishes our discussion of enhanced Carroll particles and strings in three dimensions.
5 Conclusions

In this work we exploited a formal relation between Galilei and Carroll symmetries, first observed in [19], to obtain new results on Carroll gravity theories and the couplings of particles and strings to a curved Carroll background by making use of known results in the Galilei case. A crucial ingredient was to consider these symmetries from a brane perspective instead of particles only. We pointed out that the formal relationship between Galilei and Carroll remained valid in the Lie algebra expansion of the Poincaré algebra. This enabled us to construct several new examples of Carroll gravity actions. Concerning the sigma model couplings, we found both example without (non-) central charge symmetries and including (non-)central charge symmetries. The first category corresponds to massless representations of the Galilei and Carroll algebras, which have been discussed before in the literature. The second category leads to several examples of massive particle and string actions in two, three and four dimensions. We made use here of a particle-particle duality in two dimensions and a string-string duality in four dimensions. Other examples of sigma models were derived from these cases by dimensional reduction but the results are not so symmetric anymore under the Galilei-Carroll symmetry discussed in this paper.

We related this to the fact that the naive dimensional reduction leads to a degenerate world-sheet metric that could be avoided by either keeping the extra higher-dimensional embedding scalar (3D Carroll strings) or by working with matter coupled Carroll gravity (3D Carroll particle).

Extending this method to higher dimensions one could consider membrane-membrane dualities in six spacetime dimensions. The latter should lead, after dimensional reduction, not only to string-membrane dualities in 5D but also to string-string and particle-membrane dualities in 4D. This should lead to many more examples of branes moving in a curved Galilei or Carroll background.

It would be interesting to extend the methods exploited in this paper to other algebras such as the AdS algebra including a cosmological constant or supersymmetric algebras involving fermionic fields. We hope to come back to these algebras in the nearby future.
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Table 9. This table summarizes the main properties of the enhanced/extended Galilei/Carroll gravity theories discussed in the work. By coupling in the second column we mean the coupling to particles and/or strings.

A Nomenclature

In order to avoid confusion with the many different gravity theories that circulate in this paper, we have introduced the following nomenclature thereby avoiding using the word Bargmann since that name is too specific:

1. The gravity theories corresponding to the smallest algebras that occur at lowest order in the Lie algebra expansion of the Poincaré algebra are called Galilei and Carroll gravity. The algebras can be obtained by a Inōni-Wigner contraction of the Poincaré algebra and the dynamics of the gravity theories can be described by an action.

2. The smallest extensions of the Galilei and Carroll gravity theories corresponding to an algebra that does not occur in the Lie algebra expansion of the Poincaré algebra are called the enhanced Galilei and the enhanced Carroll algebra. Using this nomenclature, we call the Bargmann algebra the enhanced Galilei algebra. These are the gravity theories that can be coupled to particles and/or strings but we cannot write down an action for them.

3. The smallest extensions of the Galilei and Carroll gravity theories corresponding to an algebra that occurs in the Lie algebra expansion of the Poincaré algebra and for which we can write down an action are called the extended Galilei algebra and the extended Carroll algebra. Using this nomenclature we call the 3D extended Bargmann algebra the 3D extended Galilei algebra. The dynamics of these gravity theories is described by an action but in general we do not know how to couple these gravity theories to particles and/or strings. A prime example is the extended Bargmann algebra leading to extended Bargmann gravity. An action for extended Bargmann gravity has been constructed [26–28] but a coupling to a particle including the second central charge is not known.

For each of the above algebras we can distinguish between the particle ($p = 0$) version, the string ($p = 1$) version, the membrane ($p = 2$) version etc., where the number $p$ refers to the number of longitudinal directions. For the convenience of the reader we have summarized the main properties of the above gravity theories in table 9.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Name & Coupling & Action \\
\hline
Galilei/Carroll & Yes & Yes \\
enhanced Galilei/Carroll & Yes & No \\
extended Galilei/Carroll & No & Yes \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{This table summarizes the main properties of the enhanced/extended Galilei/Carroll gravity theories discussed in the work. By coupling in the second column we mean the coupling to particles and/or strings.}
\end{table}

\footnote{In low dimensions there could be exceptions, due to the fact that some generators simply do not appear; an example of this is represented by the 3D enhanced string Galilei algebra of table 7 when $Z_{AB}$ is restricted to its antisymmetric part. This algebra could both occur in sigma models and in the Lie algebra expansion.}
B Dimensional reduction

In this appendix we discuss the dimensional reduction of the 4D enhanced string Galilei and Carroll gravity theories to three dimensions. Due to the foliated geometry one can either perform a so-called double dimensional reduction where the compactification direction coincides with one of the foliation directions, or one may perform a direct dimensional reduction where the compactification direction differs from the foliation directions. This leads to four possibilities for the dimensional reduction of the string (p = 1) enhanced Galilei and Carroll gravity fields which we shortly discuss one by one below.

To describe the different reductions, we will for simplicity omit the (0) upper index for the lowest-order fields in the Lie algebra expansion used in sections 2 and 3. Furthermore, we will always indicate the second-order vector field, with upper index (2), that occurs in the Galilei case with a \( m \) and the one that occurs in the Carroll case with a \( n \). Both 1-forms could have an additional other index in some cases. Finally, we will indicate all 4D indices with a hat and decompose them as \( \hat{\mu} = (\mu, y) \) and \( \hat{A}, \hat{a} = (A, a) = (0, 1, 2, 3) \).

(1) Double dimensional reduction of 4D enhanced string Galilei gravity.
The double dimensional reduction of 4D string (p = 1) enhanced Galilei gravity with field content \( \{\tau_{\hat{\mu}}^A, E_{\mu}^a, m_{\hat{\mu}}^A\} \) to 3D particle (p = 0) enhanced Galilei gravity with field content \( \{\tau_{\mu}^A, E_{\mu}^a, m_{\mu}\} \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{\mu}^\hat{\alpha} &= E_{\mu}^a \\
E_y^\hat{a} &= 0 \\
m_{\mu}^\hat{1} &= 0 \\
\tau_y^0 &= 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(2) Direct dimensional reduction of 4D enhanced string Galilei gravity.
The direct dimensional reduction of 4D string (p = 1) enhanced Galilei gravity with field content \( \{\tau_{\hat{\mu}}^A, E_{\mu}^a, m_{\hat{\mu}}^A\} \) to 3D string (p = 1) enhanced Galilei gravity with field content \( \{\tau_{\mu}^A, E_{\mu}^a, m_{\mu}^A\} \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
m_{\hat{\mu}} &= m_{\mu}^A \\
\tau_{\hat{\mu}} &= \tau_{\mu}^A \\
E_y &= E_y \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3) Direct dimensional reduction of 4D enhanced string Carroll gravity.
The direct dimensional reduction of 4D enhanced string Carroll gravity with field content \( \{\tau_{\hat{\mu}}^A, E_{\mu}^a, n_{\hat{\mu}}^\hat{a}\} \) to 3D enhanced string Carroll gravity with field content \( \{\tau_{\mu}^A, E_{\mu}, n_{\mu}\} \) is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_{\hat{\mu}} &= \tau_{\mu}^A \\
\tau_y &= 0 \\
n_{\mu}^\hat{2} &= n_{\mu} \\
E_{\mu} &= 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]
(4) Double dimensional reduction of 4D enhanced string Carroll gravity.

The double dimensional reduction of 4D enhanced string Carroll gravity with field content \( \{\tau^A_\mu, E^\mu_\alpha, n^a_\mu\} \) to 3D enhanced Carroll gravity with field content \( \{\tau_y, E^a_y, n^a_y\} \) coupled to matter with field content \( \{f^y, E^a_y, n^a_y\} \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  n^{\hat{a}}_\mu &= n^a_\mu & (B.4a) \\
  E^{\hat{a}}_\mu &= E^a_\mu & (B.4b) \\
  \tau^1_\mu &= 0 & (B.4d) \\
  E^{\hat{a}}_y &= E^a_y & (B.4g) \\
  \tau^0_\mu &= \tau_y & (B.4c) \\
  \tau^1_y &= 0 & (B.4f) \\
  n^{\hat{a}}_y &= n^a_y & (B.4h)
\end{align*}
\]

When coupling to a Carroll particle the matter cannot be truncated away in a consistent way and/or without giving up boost invariance, see the main text.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.
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