Snap-to-it probes: chelate-constrained nucleobase oligomers with enhanced binding specificity
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ABSTRACT

We describe snap-to-it probes, a novel probe technology to enhance the hybridization specificity of natural and unnatural nucleic acid oligomers using a simple and readily introduced structural motif. Snap-to-it probes were prepared from peptide nucleic acid (PNA) oligomers by modifying each terminus with a coordinating ligand. The two coordinating ligands constrain the probe into a macrocyclic configuration through formation of an intramolecular chelate with a divalent transition metal ion. On hybridization with a DNA target, the intramolecular chelate in the snap-to-it probe dissociates, resulting in the probe ‘snapping-to’ and binding the target nucleic acid. Thermal transition analysis of snap-to-it probes with complementary and single-mismatch DNA targets revealed that the transition between free and target-bound probe conformations was a reversible equilibrium, and the intramolecular chelate provided a thermodynamic barrier to target binding that resulted in a significant increase in mismatch discrimination. A 4–6°C increase in specificity (ΔTm) was observed from snap-to-it probes bearing either terminal iminodiacetic acid ligands coordinated with Ni²⁺, or terminal dihistidine and nitrilotriacetic acid ligands coordinated with Cu²⁺. The difference in specificity of the PNA oligomer relative to DNA was more than doubled in snap-to-it probes. Snap-to-it probes labeled with a fluorophore-quencher pair exhibited target-dependent fluorescence enhancement upon binding with target DNA.

INTRODUCTION

Poor nucleic acid hybridization specificity can compromise many areas of research, including the detection of single nucleotide polymorphisms (1–3), the performance of nucleic acid microarrays (4–9) and off-target effects during siRNA silencing (10–13). This problem is particularly relevant when manipulating or detecting short nucleic acid oligomers such as shRNA, siRNA and miRNA, which show immense promise as a new generation of therapeutics. Likewise, synthetic oligonucleotide-based tools are invaluable in biology, chemistry and nanotechnology only if they bind their intended target. These applications highlight the importance of oligonucleotide hybridization specificity and account for the broad interest in developing ultra-specific nucleic acid probes.

Towards synthesizing nucleic acid probes with improved specificity, recent reports have described locked nucleic acids (LNA) (14), modified peptide nucleic acids (PNA) (15,16), molecular beacons (17–19), combinations of these methods (20,21) and other nonlinear oligonucleotide architectures (22,23). PNA probes exhibit increased DNA-binding specificity relative to DNA probes. The absence of electrostatic repulsion between the two backbones of a PNA–DNA heteroduplex increases its stability relative to a DNA–DNA duplex having an identical base sequence. PNA synthesis is analogous to solid-phase peptide synthesis, and the installation of PNA bases, fluorescent probe labels or additional modified monomers can be performed using standard peptide coupling methods (15,21,24–26).

Molecular beacons are stem-and-loop structured probes that undergo a conformational transition on target binding, resulting in increased hybridization specificity (17–19). Stem variations of the DNA duplex in molecular beacons have included other structural motifs such as a L-DNA (27), G-quadruplex (28), DNA/PNA triplex (29) and homo-DNA (30). PNA alone offers increased binding specificity by undergoing a ‘stemless’ conformational transition from a collapsed random coil to a heteroduplex on binding to a DNA target. In addition to enhancing binding specificity, novel structural motifs have been used to improve mismatch discrimination (31–34) and detection (35–38).

In this report, we describe chelate-constrained probes where the N and C termini of a PNA oligomer are
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modified with a pair of coordinating ligands that chelate a single metal ion and force the PNA oligomer into a macrocyclic configuration (Figure 1A). The coordinating ligands that were tested included iminodiacetic acid (IDA), nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) and polyhistidine sequences including dihistidine and hexahistidine. We refer to these probes as ‘snap-to-it’ probes, since on binding with a target, the intramolecular chelate must dissociate and the probe ‘snap-to’ the target (Figure 1B). A similar probe design has been successfully demonstrated by Göritz et al. (39) with terpyridine-modified DNA probes where Zn\(^{2+}\) was used to regulate hybridization with a complementary target. Snap-to-it probes were found to exhibit a marked increase in binding specificity relative to unconstrained oligomers of PNA or DNA having the same base sequence. The intramolecular chelate can be varied independently of Watson–Crick base-pairing of the nucleobase oligomer to control the magnitude of the energy barrier to target hybridization, is facile to introduce through standard synthetic methods, and produces a conformational change on target binding that is readily detected in real time using fluorescence quenching.

**Figure 1.** Diagram showing (A) the structural model of a snap-to-it probe at either a bis(iminodiacetate) nickel complex (IDA–IDA, left) or nitrilotriacetate-dihistidine nickel complex (NTA–His\(_5\), right), where each forms a hexadentate coordination of ligands about a single divalent transition metal (Ni\(^{2+}\)), and ‘R’ represents the PNA oligomer. The dihistidine sequence is shown attached to the N-terminus of the snap-to-it probe. (B) Schematic of snap-to-it probe hybridization with a DNA target in the absence (path 1) or presence (path 2) of divalent ion, where path 2 requires chelate dissociation in order to form the probe-DNA heteroduplex.

**Figure 2.** Chelating amino acid monomers FmocLys(IDA) (‘1A’) and FmocAsp(NTA) (‘1B’). Carboxyl ligands are protected with tert-butyl protecting groups.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

General reagents were purchased from VWR (West Chester, PA, USA) or Sigma–Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA) and were used without further purification. The coupling agents \(O\)-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT), and Fmoc-protected amino acid monomers including N-\(\alpha\)-Fmoc-N-\(\varepsilon\)-Dabecyl-L-lysine, and N-\(\alpha\)-Fmoc-L-glutamic acid γ-EDANS amide were obtained from Novabiochem (San Diego, CA, USA). The N-\(\alpha\)-Fmoc-N-imidazole-trityl-L-histidine monomer was from Bachem (King of Prussia, PA, USA). Fmoc-protected PNA monomers, PAL resin and the spacer 2-(2-(Fmoc-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)acetic acid (Fmoc-AEEA-OH) were obtained from Applied Biosystems (Framingham, MA, USA). The Fmoc-protected monomers of the IDA and NTA chelate moieties (Figure 2) were synthesized at a multigram scale in 4–5 steps, each from commercially available materials. The synthesis of IDA monomer ‘1A’ has been previously described (40,41). The Fmoc-protected NTA derivatized aspartic acid monomer ‘1B’ was synthesized by ring-opening condensation of Fmoc-aspartic anhydride with an NTA derivative of lysine (see below). Probe (‘2’) and target DNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA). \(^1\)H NMR and \(^{13}\)C NMR were acquired at 300 MHz and 75 MHz, respectively, on a Bruker AV300 instrument in CD\(_2\)OD or CD\(_3\)SOCD\(_3\).

**Synthesis of FmocAsp(NTA) (1B)**

Fmoc aspartic anhydride (42,43) (2.00 g, 5.93 mmol) was dissolved in 15 ml anhydrous DMF. The solution was added to \(N^2\)-\(N^2\)-bis[(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)methyl]-L-lysine tert-butyl ester (44) (2.56 g, 5.95 mmol). After stirring for 20 min the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the product was purified on silica gel (99:1 EtOAc:AcOH). The solvent was removed to yield the foamy white solid ‘1B’ (2.6 g, 57%). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_2\)OD) \(\delta 7.79 \ (d, 2H, J = 7.5\ Hz), 7.67 \ (d, 2H, J = 7.2\ Hz), 7.67 \ (d, 2H, J = 7.2\ Hz), 7.39 \ (t, 2H, J = 7.3\ Hz), 7.31 \ (t, 2H, J = 7.4\ Hz), 4.58 \ (dd, 1H, J = 7.4\ Hz, 5.3\ Hz), 4.27–4.40 \ (m, 2H), 4.23 \ (t, 1H, J = 7.0\ Hz), 3.49 \ (d, 2H, J = 17.3\ Hz), 3.41 \ (d, 2H, J = 17.3\ Hz), 3.30 \ (t, 1H, J = 7.3\ Hz), 3.19 \ (t, 2H, J = 6.4\ Hz), 2.80 \ (dd, 1H, J = 15.1\ Hz, 5.2\ Hz), 2.71 \ (dd, 1H, J = 15.1\ Hz, 7.6\ Hz), 1.56–1.70 \ (m, 2H), 1.49–1.56 \ (m, 2H), 1.45 \ (bs, 29H) p.p.m.; \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD) 171.9, 170.7, 170.1, 170.0, 168.8, 155.9, 142.2, 128.7, 124.3, 112.1, 103.7, 55.4, 41.8, 35.9, 28.7, 22.8, 16.2, 14.3.
Fluorophore-quencher series

E = Glu(EDANS), D = Lys(Dabcyl), CT = complementary target, MM = mismatched target, +Metal = Ni²⁺ in the C-terminus, corresponding to the 5'-3' direction for DNA. 1 = Lys(IDA), S = spacer = NH(CH₂CH₂O)₂CH₂C(O), H = His, N = Asp(NTA), E = Glu(EDANS), D = Lys(Dabcyl), CT = complementary target, MM = mismatched target, +Metal = Ni²⁺ in the Unlabeled series and Cu²⁺ in the Fluorophore-quencher series. *Control probe.

Prompted by the successful application of internal fluorescence detection motifs, such as molecular beacons (19) and light-up probes (45), we synthesized a series of snap-to-it probes with intramolecular fluorophore-quencher pairs designed for real-time fluorescence detection (11'-16'). Based on the presumed large conformational change between the unbound folded probe and the rigid helical target bound probe, target binding was expected to result in a detectable fluorescence enhancement as a result of the change in proximity between fluorophore and quencher. We prepared a series of snap-to-it probes with the EDANS-Dabcyl label-quencher pair and either the NTA–His₂ chelate pair in different linear permutations (11'-14'), or the IDA–IDA chelate pair (16'). The spectral overlap of the EDANS-Dabcyl pair supports fluorescence quenching by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET). A control probe '15' was prepared with the EDANS-Dabcyl label-quencher, but that lacked a chelate pair. The EDANS and Dabcyl moieties were introduced in this series using the commercially available N-α-Fmoc-L-glutamic acid γ-EDANS amide and N-α-Fmoc-N-ε-Dabcylyl-lysine monomers during solid-phase synthesis.

Cleavage and deprotection of probes '3'-16' was accomplished by mixing the resin with 9:1 TFA:cresol for 4 h. The probes were precipitated with diethyl ether then centrifuged, then washed twice with ether by vortexing and centrifugation. HPLC purification of the probes was performed with a Waters Delta-Pak C₁₈ column (15 μm, 300 Å, 7.8 × 300 mm) maintained at 50°C.

Table 1. Structures and thermal parameters of snap-to-it and control probes 2-16

| Probe Linear order of elements | CT | Tm | MM | Tm | ΔTm | CT | Tm | MM | Tm | ΔTm | ΔΔTm |
|-------------------------------|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|
| 2* DNA                        | 51 | 39 | 12 |    |     |     |    |    |    |     |      |
| Unlabeled series              |    |    |    |    |     |     |    |    |    |     |      |
| 3*                            |    |    |    |    |     |     |    |    |    |     |      |
| 4                             | P  | 65 | 50 | 15 |     | 65 | 50 | 15 |     | 0    |       |
| 5                             | I  | 66 | 48 | 17 |     | 56 | 35 | 21 |     | 4    |       |
| 6                             | S  | 66 | 50 | 16 |     | 58 | 38 | 20 |     | 4    |       |
| 7                             | P  | 64 | 48 | 16 |     | 62 | 44 | 18 |     | 2    |       |
| 8                             | H₂ | 65 | 50 | 15 |     | 62 | 44 | 18 |     | 3    |       |
| 9                             | P  | 65 | 48 | 17 |     | 60 | 38 | 22 |     | 5    |       |
| 10                            | N  | 64 | 48 | 16 |     | 64 | 48 | 16 |     | 0    |       |
| Fluorophore-quencher series   |    |    |    |    |     |     |    |    |    |     |      |
| 11                            | E  | 65 | 48 | 17 |     | 65 | 49 | 16 |     | 1    |       |
| 12                            | H₂ | 65 | 48 | 17 |     | 59 | 42 | 17 |     | 1    |       |
| 13                            | E  | 65 | 48 | 17 |     | 65 | 49 | 16 |     | 1    |       |
| 14                            | H₂ | 65 | 48 | 17 |     | 59 | 42 | 17 |     | 1    |       |
| 15                            | E  | 65 | 48 | 17 |     | 65 | 49 | 16 |     | 1    |       |
| 16                            | E  | 65 | 48 | 17 |     | 59 | 42 | 17 |     | 1    |       |

DNA or P = the DNA or PNA oligomer respectively, with the base sequence ATC CCA ACT GCA TGT (underlined forms a C-T mismatch with the single-mismatch 15-mer DNA target). The linear order of the sequence elements in probes 3-16 are drawn left to right from the N-terminus to the C-terminus, corresponding to the 5' to 3' direction for DNA. I = Lys(IDA), S = spacer = NH(CH₂CH₂O)₂CH₂C(O), H = His, N = Asp(NTA), E = Glu(EDANS), D = Lys(Dabcyl), CT = complementary target, MM = mismatched target, +Metal = Ni²⁺ in the Unlabeled series and Cu²⁺ in the Fluorophore-quencher series.
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and a linear gradient of 5% acetonitrile/0.1% TFA to 50% acetonitrile/0.1% TFA over 45 min. Compound identity and purity were confirmed by LC-MS using a Fisons VG Quattro II mass spectrometer fitted with a Z-spray ESI source (see Supplementary Table S1).

**Thermal denaturation**

Unlabeled probes were diluted to a final concentration of 1 μM with target DNA (1 μM) in 10 mM potassium phosphate-buffered saline [pH = 7.5, (NaCl) = 100 Mm]. Chelate-constrained samples included 2 μM NiSO₄ unless specified otherwise, and unconstrained samples included 5 μM EDTA in a total volume of 3 ml in quartz screw-cap cuvettes (10 mm, Starna Cells, Atascadero, CA, USA). The absorbance was measured at 260 nm on a Cary 3 spectrophotometer with a Peltier temperature controller from 20°C to 80°C with a heating rate of 0.15°C/min followed by a cooling from 80°C to 50°C at –0.06°C/min then 50°C to 20°C at –0.1°C/min. The melting temperature (Tₘ) was obtained from the first derivative of absorbance at 260 nm measured as a function of temperature, where the Tₘ is the temperature at which the first derivative is maximum. Probe specificity (∆Tₘ) was calculated as the difference in the Tₘ values between the perfectly complementary (5'-ACA TGC AGT GTT GAT-3') and single-base mismatch (5'-ACA TGC ATT GTT GAT-3') targets. Unless stated otherwise, the change in specificity (∆∆Tₘ) was the Tₘ with ion minus the Tₘ in the absence of ion. Heteroduplex destabilization (∆Tₘ±metal) was the Tₘ value of a heteroduplex in the absence of ion minus the Tₘ value of the same heteroduplex with ion.

3' Dabcyl labeled probes ‘11’–‘16’ were each dissolved to 300 nM in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 9). The solutions were prepared with either EDTA (5 μM) or CuSO₄ (300 nM) and either complementary target 5'-FAM-ACA TGC AGT GTT GAT-3' (45 nM) or mismatch target 5'-FAM-ACA TGC ATT GTT GAT-3' (45 nM). The fluorescence of 100 μl reaction solutions was measured as a function of temperature using an ABI 7700 Prism spectrofluorometer. The temperature was increased at 1°C per 5 min from 15°C to 80°C, with data collection lasting the final 30 s at each temperature.

**Fluorescence spectroscopy**

Probes ‘11’–‘15’ were dissolved to 500 nM in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.5) or 10 mM Tris (pH = 7.5). The solution was prepared either with EDTA (5 μM), NiSO₄ (1 μM), or NiSO₄ (1 μM) and target DNA (1 μM). The fluorescence emission spectra of each probe was recorded at λₘ₉₉ ± 30 nm using an SLM 8100 fluorometer under equilibrium conditions.

**RESULTS**

**Thermal denaturation analysis**

Snap-to-it and control probes were prepared as described in the ‘Materials and methods’ section in both unlabeled and fluorophore-quencher formats (Table 1). To test for chelate-mediated changes in probe binding specificity, snap-to-it probes and control probes ‘3’–‘16’ were hybridized in the presence and absence of metal ion with a perfectly complementary 15-mer target oligodeoxynucleotide, or a single-mismatch 15-mer oligodeoxynucleotide containing a G to T change at the central base position. This pair of test targets was selected because it results in the highly destabilizing C-T mismatch, thereby permitting the effect of constraint on specificity to be assessed in the setting of optimal mismatch discrimination of the unconstrained PNA oligomer (46–49).

The melting temperature (Tₘ), specificity (∆Tₘ) and change in specificity (∆∆Tₘ) due to metal for each of probes ‘3’–‘16’ are listed in Table 1 (see ‘Thermal denaturation’ subsection under ‘Material and methods’ section for definition of these and other thermal parameters). Relative to a conventional unconstrained DNA probe, we hypothesized that a metal-mediated increase in specificity of a snap-to-it probe would be due to additive effects from the PNA segment in the probe, and the energy barrier provided by the internal chelate.

**Specificity of the unlabeled series**

To quantitate the contribution to specificity provided by the PNA segment, we compared the thermal denaturation characteristics of control probe ‘2’ (DNA) and probe ‘3’ (PNA), which lack chelates but otherwise have identical base sequences to one another and the other probes in Table 1. The specificity of the PNA control was greater (∆Tₘ = 15°C) than the DNA control (∆Tₘ = 12°C) by 3°C. The Tₘ with a perfectly complementary target was also greater for the PNA control (Tₘ = 65°C) than the DNA control (Tₘ = 51°C) by 14°C. The difference in thermal parameters of the controls corresponded closely with previously reported values for DNA and PNA, where an average 15-mer PNA–DNA heteroduplex exhibited a 15°C stabilization in Tₘ and a 4°C increase in specificity (i.e. ∆∆Tₘ = 4°C) relative to a DNA duplex having the same base sequence (15,24).

To determine the potential for reversible internal chelation to further increase the specificity of a snap-to-probe beyond that contributed by the PNA segment, we examined the thermal denaturation of snap-to-it probe ‘4’ in the presence and absence of metal ion. Surprisingly, the data revealed a marked additional metal-dependent increase in specificity (∆∆Tₘ) of 4°C (Figure 3). In the absence of coordinating metal ion, the mismatch heteroduplex had a Tₘ that was 17°C less than the perfectly matched probe-target heteroduplex. When nickel sulfate was included to impose intramolecular constraint, the mismatched heteroduplex had a Tₘ that was 21°C lower than the perfectly matched probe-target heteroduplex, resulting in a metal-dependent increase in specificity of 4°C.

It was observed that the specificity imparted to snap-to-probe ‘4’ by nickel was due to preferential destabilization of the mismatched heteroduplex (∆Tₘ₉₉ = 13°C) relative to the complementary heteroduplex (∆Tₘ₉₉ = 9°C). Snap-to-it probe ‘5’ also exhibited a ∆∆Tₘ of 4°C due to preferential destabilization of the mismatched heteroduplex, indicating that increased
-spacing between the PNA termini and the chelate had no effect on the specificity of the probe in the presence of divalent metal. These increases in metal-mediated mismatch selectivity of snap-to-it probes ‘4’ and ‘5’ were on the same order of magnitude as that provided by the PNA backbone itself (relative to the DNA backbone), but without a concurrent increase in overall heteroduplex stability.

It is known that transition metal ions may exhibit stabilizing and/or destabilizing effects on a DNA duplex, whereas a PNA heteroduplex is known to be relatively insensitive to salt effects (50–52). To control for the possibility that the observed metal-dependent increase in snap-to-it probe specificity was due to potential ionic effects rather than reversible chelation, snap-to-it and control PNA probes were subjected to thermal denaturation studies both in the presence of a transition metal (to also impose constraint if the probes included partial metal chelators), or in the presence of EDTA to remove any trace metal ions. The thermal analysis of the nonchelating PNA control probe ‘3’ in the presence of EDTA or nickel sulfate indicated that each condition resulted in the same \( T_m \) and the same \( \Delta T_m \) (Table 1). Therefore \( \Delta T_m = 0 \) for the PNA control probe, confirming that nickel ions alone are ineffective at altering the binding specificity of the PNA–DNA heteroduplex.

**Metal ion selection and chelation control**

Thermal denaturation analyses were repeated using snap-to-it probe ‘4’ in the presence of EDTA or one of various transition metals (\( \text{Ni}^{2+}, \text{Cu}^{2+}, \text{Co}^{2+}, \text{Zn}^{2+}, \text{Mn}^{2+} \) or \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \)) to determine the optimum ion type and ion concentration to obtain maximum snap-to-it probe specificity. The effect of the transition metal cation on target hybridization is likely a combination of factors that include coordination stability with an IDA ligand (53), destabilizing or stabilizing effects on the DNA backbone and/or base-pairing (50,54–56), concentration dependence of chelation, anion effects (among other salt effects, which are generally less substantial when using PNA probes), and coordination geometry.

The heteroduplex \( T_m \) of snap-to-it probe ‘4’ bound with mismatched DNA target was determined as a function of nickel ion concentration. Of all ions tested, nickel ion from nickel sulfate produced the maximum heteroduplex destabilization (\( \Delta T_m \)) equal to 12–13°C at an ion concentration of 2 \( \mu \text{M} \) (Figure 4). As the concentration of transition metal ion was increased to a large excess, the \( T_m \) approached that measured for unconstrained probes, presumably a consequence of saturating the available chelates and precluding intramolecular probe constraint.

The affinity of polyhistidine segments for divalent cations is known to increase as a function of the number of histidines, and this property was exploited to tailor the strength of the chelate to examine the effect of such changes on the binding properties and ion requirements of the probe (57,58). Thermal denaturation studies of snap-to-it probes ‘6’ and ‘8’ (NTA paired with dihistidine and hexahistidine moieties, respectively) hybridized to mismatched DNA targets were performed as a function of \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) concentration to examine the effect of varying the metal binding affinity of the polyhistidine motif on the optimal metal concentration for mismatch destabilization (Figure 5).

A \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) concentration equimolar to ‘8’ produced the optimal destabilization of the mismatched hybrid (0.5 \( \mu \text{M} \)). Consistent with the greater metal-binding affinity of the hexahistidine motif, snap-to-it probe ‘8’ afforded a significantly greater magnitude of mismatch destabilization compared to the dihistidine motif in snap-to-it probe ‘6’ (i.e. \( \Delta T_m = 10 \text{^\circC} \) versus 4°C). Additionally, increases in the metal concentration only slightly above equimolar stoichiometry resulted in the loss

---

**Figure 3.** First derivative plots (lower) of thermal denaturation profiles (upper) of IDA–IDA snap-to-it probe ‘4’ hybridized with complementary (curve 1) or single-mismatch (curve 3) DNA in the absence of metal ion (EDTA); or with complementary (curve 2) or single-mismatch (curve 4) DNA in the presence of metal ion (NiSO\(_4\)). Absorbance was measured at \( \lambda = 260 \text{nm} \). The mismatch selectivity in the absence of metal ion (segment A; \( \Delta T_m = 17 \text{^\circC} \)) increased in the presence of metal ion (segment B; \( \Delta T_m = 21 \text{^\circC} \)) by 4°C.

**Figure 4.** Melting temperatures for snap-to-it probe ‘4’ hybridization with a single-mismatch DNA 15-mer target as a function of nickel ion concentration. Bars indicate standard error of the mean.
of mismatch destabilization of snap-to-it probe ‘8’, but not snap-to-it probe ‘6’. This is consistent with the greater affinity for nickel ion expected at the hexahistidine motif compared to the dihistidine motif. Concentrations of metal greater than those equimolar to snap-to-it probe ‘8’ presumably break the intramolecular chelate by allowing the NTA and hexahistidine chelators to independently bind excess nickel ions. Once the chelate is broken, the intra-molecular constraint that is essential to the enhanced specificity of the probe is lost.

The chelate directionality was found to be inconsequential in discrimination or duplex destabilization, as snap-to-it probes ‘6’ and ‘7’ resulted in indistinguishable ΔΔTm values. PNA probes bearing only a single chelating moiety (probes ‘9’ and ‘10’⁰) exhibited mismatch destabilization equal to the nonchelating control probe ‘3’, suggesting intramolecular, not intermolecular coordination is responsible for the enhanced specificity and mismatch discrimination observed in snap-to-it probes.

**Specificity of the fluorophore-quencher series**

We synthesized a series of fluorophore-quencher snap-to-it probes designed for possible real-time fluorescence detection of target binding in homogeneous assays or in microarrays (see probes ‘11’ to ‘16’ in Table 1). Based on the presumed large conformational change between the unbound chelated probe and the rigid helical target-bound probe, we hypothesized that fluorophore-quencher snap-to-it probes would offer ‘both’ target-mediated fluorescence enhancement and enhanced metal-mediated binding specificity. In analogous fashion to the specificity studies of the unlabeled series, we tested for chelate-mediated enhancement of binding specificity of the fluorophore-quencher snap-to-it and control probes ‘11’–‘16’ by characterizing their thermal denaturation profiles with perfectly complementary and single-match DNA targets in the presence and absence of metal ion (see Table 1). In contrast to the unlabeled series, copper ion (Cu²⁺) from copper sulfate proved to be optimal for increasing the specificity (ΔΔTm) of the fluorophore-quencher series conceivably due to differences in ligand-cation coordination.

Snap-to-it probes ‘11’–‘14’ present four possible linear permutations of the same fluorophore (EDANS), quencher (Dabcyl), and chelates (NTA and dihistidine). The thermal denaturation data revealed that these probes had substantially identical metal-dependent increases in specificity (ΔΔTm = 4–6°C), consistent with the linear order of probe elements having little to no effect on probe specificity.

As was observed for the unlabeled probe series, the enhancement in specificity of the fluorophore-quencher probes ‘11’–‘14’ was due to a preferential destabilization of the mismatch heteroduplex (ΔTm,mismatch = 10–11°C) relative to the complementary heteroduplex (ΔTm,m,homoduplex = 5–7°C). The earlier observation that copper provided an inferior destabilization of the mismatch heteroduplex relative to nickel in probes containing the IDA chelate provides a possible explanation for the observation that the fluorophore-quencher probe ‘16’ was found to exhibit little metal-dependent increase in specificity.

Consistent with there being no substantial affinity between EDANS and Dabcyl in the fluorophore-quencher snap-to-it probes, control probe ‘15’ bearing only fluorophore and quencher elements exhibited no metal-dependent increase in specificity and thermal parameters that were indistinguishable from the naked PNA control probe ‘3’.

**Fluorescence as a function of probe conformation**

It is known that PNA molecular beacons do not require a stem-structure in order to exhibit proximity-mediated fluorescence quenching (26). Stemless PNA molecular beacons adopt a folded random coil conformation (i.e. collapsed) in aqueous solution due to hydrophobic surface minimization locating the fluorophore and quencher in close proximity, resulting in considerable contact or FRET-type quenching in the unhybridized state (21).

The fluorescence measurements of unhybridized snap-to-it and control probes ‘11’–‘15’ in buffered aqueous solution with either EDTA (unconstrained) or nickel sulfate (chelate-constrained) are depicted in Figure 6. The absolute fluorescence of the probes differed according to the position of the fluorophore and the quencher relative to the chelates. Consistent with the PNA segment driving fluorescence quenching by the metal ion itself (59,60).

In contrast, the change in snap-to-it probe fluorescence in the presence of target was dramatic, where heteroduplex formation of snap-to-it probe ‘12’ led to a 40-fold increase in fluorescence (Figure 7).
Terminus exhibited enhanced target discrimination. PNA oligomer constrained by coordinating ligands at each terminus exhibited enhanced target discrimination. PNA oligomers conformationally constrained with either an intramolecular IDA-Ni$^{2+}$-IDA or NTA-Cu$^{2+}$-dihistidine chelate hybridized perfectly complementary DNA targets with only a small reduction in $T_m$ relative to unconstrained controls. However, the same snap-to-it probes hybridized to DNA targets containing a mismatch with a significantly reduced $T_m$ compared to unconstrained controls. The net effect was an increase in specificity for binding to the perfectly matched target.

The increase in specificity was additive with that provided by unconstrained PNA relative to unconstrained DNA, and thus from the perspective of unconstrained DNA as a baseline metric represents one of the more unusual properties because these attributes often anti-correlate. The enhanced binding specificity of PNA oligomer unfolding and chelate as an additional constraint imparted even greater binding specificity to the PNA oligomer. It is interesting to speculate that PNA oligomer unfolding and chelate dissociation are energy barriers that must be traversed sequentially prior to complete target binding. In this respect, snap-to-it probes may mimic the sequential conformational changes (energy barriers) traversed by nucleic acid-binding proteins which are pivotal to their exquisite binding specificity (66–68).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that PNA-based snap-to-it probes are synthetically accessible using a facile Fmoc-based solid-phase peptide synthesis strategy. We synthesized Fmoc-protected IDA- and NTA-functionalized amino acids for use in solid-phase coupling. Snap-to-it probes were synthesized with unmodified DNA. For example, molecular beacon specificity was first exemplified using closely related stem-and-loop analogues with the fluorophore moiety on the probe sequence, and the quencher moiety on the target sequence. Stem constraint increased the $\Delta T_m$ of the probe by 13°C relative to unmodified DNA (61). Other studies of molecular beacons containing a probe bound fluorophore and quencher more typically yield $\Delta \Delta T_m$ values in the range of 6°C relative to unmodified DNA (18,62).

Another commercially available nucleobase probe with enhanced specificity, ‘minor groove binders’ (MGB), increase the affinity and specificity of PCR probes through the interaction of an isohelical-conjugated tripeptide and an A/T-rich target minor groove. A mismatch in the target minor groove region can increase the probe $T_m$ by up to 9°C relative to unmodified DNA (63). A comprehensive study of the mismatch discrimination of LNA–DNA chimeras demonstrated up to a 7°C increase in $T_m$ compared with unmodified DNA of the same sequence (14). The enhanced specificity provided by snap-to-it probes thus also compares favorably with other common approaches to enhance probe specificity, and therefore may have utility in homogeneous assays (e.g. RT-PCR), or in microarrays where selectivity is critical.

Coordination of metal ion broadened the probe-target melting transition. Enthalpy changes associated with probe secondary structure may contribute to transition broadening (64,65). Tsourkas et al (62) reported an analogous result from the analysis of molecular beacons with long stems. The shallow transition may also be an indication of decreased binding cooperativity. The rate of target hybridization was slower for snap-to-it probes than for unconstrained analogues, a result consistent with other pre-organized probes.

Unconstrained PNA has been an important alternative probe chemistry to DNA probes based on its higher binding affinity and increased specificity (24,26). This is an unusual property because these attributes often anti-correlate (22). The enhanced binding specificity of PNA likely arises from intramolecular hydrophobic packing forces that serve to constrain the single-strand molecule without a chelate or stem (18,62). The energy required to unfold the PNA oligomer during target binding must then be overcome in order to form the rigid probe-target duplex. We found that inclusion of an intramolecular chelate as an additional constraint imparted even greater binding specificity to the PNA oligomer. It is interesting to speculate that PNA oligomer unfolding and chelate dissociation are energy barriers that must be traversed sequentially prior to complete target binding. In this respect, snap-to-it probes may mimic the sequential conformational changes (energy barriers) traversed by nucleic acid-binding proteins which are pivotal to their exquisite binding specificity (66–68).
terminal IDA functionalities for symmetrical hexadentate chelation with Ni\textsuperscript{2+}, and other divalent transition metal ions. Alternatively, probes were prepared with NTA and (poly)histidine motifs at the termini for unsymmetrical chelation with Cu\textsuperscript{2+} or Ni\textsuperscript{2+}, and control of chelate affinity through varying the number of consecutive histidine residues. From thermal transition analyses of snap-to-it probes with and without metals, and with either complementary DNA or mismatched DNA, we measured a significant increase in DNA-binding specificity. Finally, we demonstrated that this method of increasing probe specificity is compatible with fluorophore-quencher detection.
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