Linking root exudates to functional plant traits
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Abstract

Primary and secondary metabolites exuded by plant roots have mainly been studied under laboratory conditions, while knowledge of root exudate patterns of plants growing in natural communities is very limited. Focusing on ten common European grassland plant species, we asked to which degree exuded metabolite compositions are specific to species or growth forms (forbs and grasses), depend on environments and local neighbourhoods, and reflect traditional plant functional traits. Root exudates were collected under field conditions and analysed using a non-targeted gas chromatography coupled mass spectrometry (GC-MS) approach. In total, we annotated 153 compounds of which 36 were identified by structure and name as metabolites mainly derived from the primary metabolism. Here we show by using variance partitioning, that the composition of exuded polar metabolites was mostly explained by plot identity, followed by plant species identity while plant species composition of the local neighbourhood played no role. Total and root dry biomass explained the largest proportion of variance in exudate composition, with additional variance explained by traditional plant traits. Although the exudate composition was quite similar between the two growth forms, we found some metabolites that occurred only in one of the two growth forms. Our study demonstrated the feasibility of measuring polar exudates under non-sterile field conditions by mass spectrometry, which opens new avenues of research for functional plant ecology.

Introduction

Plant roots constantly exude compounds of the primary and secondary metabolism into the rhizosphere. Such exudates serve for nutrient acquisition and interaction of the plant with the root surrounding environment [1,2]. Their release is controlled and adjusted to the needs of a plant as well as to abiotic and biotic factors [3–5]. Until now, analyses of the composition of such metabolites were mostly done on model plants, such as Arabidopsis thaliana, for which up to 130 primary [6,3] and 103 secondary metabolites [7] have been described. These are sugars, sugar alcohols, phenolic compounds, organic acids, fatty acids as well as aliphatic and aromatic amino acids [6,3]. The functions described for those metabolites comprise (i)
mobilization of soil nutrients with low availability, such as phosphorus; (ii) stimulation of external detoxification of metals; and (iii) mediation of positive and mutualistic interactions with beneficial, plant-growth promoting microorganisms, such as endophytic and rhizobial bacteria or mycorrhizal fungi [8–11].

In addition, the metabolic composition of exudates can be expected to be also affected by different neighbour plant species [10] via direct or indirect interaction with other plant roots [4]. Another potential factor influencing root exudation patterns might be the functional traits of the exuding plant individual. Plant functional traits have been found to be closely linked to individual plant performance [12–14]. For example, a high specific leaf area is linked to high relative growth rate [15], and thus might result in larger amounts of exuded carbon, as carbon exudation has been found to positively correlate with plant biomass [5]. Under nutrient deficiency more biomass is allocated to roots [16,17] which might be reflected by more exuded substances for nutrient mobilization [10,18]. Establishing links between exudate composition and plant traits would be very useful in ecological research since the impact of exudates in natural grasslands was underestimated so far. Combining morphological or anatomical traits with exudate pattern would allow for mechanistic explanations in ecosystem functioning which have been described so far for few selected substances only, but not for the overall exudate composition [5]. Furthermore, such analysis of causal relationships in the belowground ecosystem compartment is still heavily understudied [4] due to another challenge in this kind of investigation: the extraction of root exudates from field-grown plants under non-sterile conditions [4]. An ubiquitous problem is the damage of roots which can never be fully eliminated and which will alter the exudation profile [19]. However, Oberger and Jones stated that extraction of root exudates from field grown plants can still be used as a crude screening tool [19]. Here, we present a successful procedure to obtain root exudates from plants planted into natural grassland communities. Grassland communities consist of two main growth forms: grass and forbs. As the ecological functions of grass and forb species are distinct and they differ in several functional traits [20,21], we hypothesized 1) that these two growth forms differ in exudation patterns. Furthermore, we tested the hypotheses that root exudate composition of a target plant is correlated 2) with the plant’s functional traits and 3) depends on local neighbour plant species as well as conditions varying at the plot level.

Methods

Raising of the phytometer plants

The plants used for this experiment were raised under greenhouse conditions at the Botanical Garden Halle (Germany). Most seeds were collected in summer 2011 and 2013 in the three regions of the German Biodiversity Exploratories [22]. Additionally, to ensure a sufficient amount of seedlings, 500 seeds of 4 species (Galium verum, Lolium perenne, Poa pratensis and Ranunculus acris) were ordered from a local supplier for wild flowers and grasses (Rieger-Hofmann GmbH). The provenances of the ordered seeds were located near the Exploratory regions.

Before sowing took place in December 2013, seeds were sterilized with 70% ethanol for 30 sec, afterwards with 1% sodium hypochlorite for 1 min and finally washed three times with distilled water. Seeds were then sown on sterilized 1:1 sand and silt mixture. Germination and growing of the seedlings took place in the greenhouse until end of March 2014 at 20˚C during daytime and 10˚C during the night with a 12 h/12 h light/dark rhythm. The plants were watered with sterilised water every second day and fertilized three times in total (25.02.2014, 11.03.2014 and 25.03.2014) with Wuxal Super (MANNA, Wilhelm Haug GmbH & Co. KG, 8% N, 8% P2O5, 6% K2O, 0.01% B, 0.004% Cu, 0.02% Fe, 0.012% Mn, 0.001% Mo, 0.004%
Zn). To allow for hardening, the plants were transferred to the outdoor area of the Botanical Garden Halle in April 2014. Seedlings were pooled and randomly selected for planting across the different seed origins.

**Experimental setup**

The experiment took place during spring and summer 2014 in the three regions of the German Biodiversity Exploratories [22]: Schorfheide-Chorin, Hainich-Dün and Schwäbische Alb. Eighteen out of the 50 experimental grassland plots in each of the three Exploratories were selected varying in land use intensity, resulting in a total of 54 experimental plots, see also Herz et al. [20]. Each plot comprised an area of 7 x 11 m and included five blocks. Each block contained one individual (= one phytometer) of each of the total 20 study species. Of these, the present study used ten species: *Alopecurus pratensis* L., *Arrhenatherum elatius* (L.) P.Beauv. ex J.Presl & C.Presl., *Dactylis glomerata* L., *Lolium perenne* L., *Poa pratensis* L. (all Poaceae) and *Achillea millefolium* L. (Asteraceae), *Galium mollugo* L., *Galium verum* L. (Rubiaceae), *Plantago lanceolata* L. (Plantaginaceae), *Ranunculus acris* L. (Ranunculaceae). These perennial species are among the most frequent and abundant species in all plots of the Exploratories’ grasslands.

Within blocks, the individuals of each species were planted in May and early June 2014 using random planting positions. The soil used for raising the plants was removed with tap water before planting to ensure a better adaptation of the plants to the local conditions. Each plant was marked with a plastic ring around the hypocotyl and a coloured bamboo stick to facilitate the recognition during the harvest. Three weeks after planting dead plants were replaced. Further replacements did not take place. An overview of the ten species and their sample sizes is given in S1 Table.

As access to some plots was restricted at the time of harvest and in some plots mortality was too high, we could not harvest the full set of planted phytometers. The phytometer species (five grasses and five forbs) were harvested in 46 of the 54 established plots (in total 304 individual plants) as follows in September 2014. We dug a hole of ca. 20 cm depth and 15 cm length and width to extract one individual, carefully removed the rhizosphere soil and roots of other plants and gently washed the roots with tap water. As the plants were only three months in the field by the time of harvest, the roots did not grow into other patches and could be easily extracted from the soil and surrounding plants. With this we are confident that it was possible to extract the phytometer plants completely without damaging their roots. However, we cannot fully exclude the possibility that some minor damage occurred when working under field conditions, which may be a potential source of error in our study. We measured several above- and belowground traits (S2 Table) as well as polar exuded metabolites (see below) on these phytometers.

Additionally, the composition of neighbour plant species at the location of each phytometer was obtained by recording the number of plant species and cover per species growing in a 15 cm radius (707 cm$^2$) around each phytometer plant. These vegetation records were used to calculate species richness and Shannon diversity of neighbour plant species, in addition to species composition as obtained from the first four axes of a detrended correspondence analysis (DCA).

**Extraction of exudates**

We successfully adapted a procedure developed by Aulakh et al. [5] to collect exudates directly form the roots in field. To reduce the content of ions from the tap water, we performed a second wash step of the roots with deionised water. Afterwards, the complete roots of the intact plant were placed in 250 ml brown plastic vessels (Nalgene) containing 200 ml of deionised
water of HPLC quality for 2 hours exudation. Water was found to be the most effective extracting solution for collecting exudates also by Vallenrinuzzi et al. [23], who compared different trap solutions. Water samples of 200 ml deionised water of HPLC quality in brown plastic vessels (Nalgene) without exudation were used for process control (“water blanks”) and treated exactly like the exudate samples. All samples were frozen and stored at -20°C until further processing. After thawing the exudate solution, it was filtered (Sartorius; 185mm, 80g/cm^3) and the water was successively evaporated under reduced pressure (30 mbar) at 40°C to dryness using a 100 ml round-bottom flask and a vacuum rotary evaporator. The extraction of metabolites was obtained by dissolving them two times in 3 ml 100% methanol (Sigma-Aldrich), sonicating them for 10 min at 20°C and then transferring the solution into 5 ml glass tubes (Agilent Technologies). The residuum was evaporated to dryness at 40°C using a vacuum centrifuge and reconstituted in 1.1 ml 80% MeOH containing 20 μg/mL 2- (2,4-dichlorophenoxy) acetic acid and 10 μM Ribitol as internal standards. An aliquot of 200 μl of each sample was centrifuged to precipitate remaining particles followed by the transfer of the supernatant to a new tube and drying in a vacuum concentrator. The metabolites in these samples were derivatized by methoxylamination with 50 μl methoxylamin-hydrochloride (20 mg/ml in pyridine, Sigma Aldrich) for 90 min at 37°C and subsequently silylated with 50 μl BSTFA (Macherey-Nagel) with added alkane retention time indices (C12, C15, C19, C22, C28 (each 0.1 mg/ml final concentration; Sigma Aldrich) and C32 (0.4 mg/ml final concentration; Sigma Aldrich)) for 30 min at 37°C [24].

**GC-MS analysis and data processing**

Derivatized exudates and water controls were analysed by non-targeted plant metabolite profiling with gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry.

The measurements were performed using a gas chromatograph (6890N GC; Agilent Technologies) equipped with a ZB-5 Zebron Guardian™ Capillary GC column (30 m + 10 m Zebron™, iD 0.25 mm, df 0.25 μm; Phenomenex) and coupled to mass spectrometer (5975 MSD; Agilent Technologies) with settings and method adapted to Gorzolka et al. [24]. Samples (2 μl) were injected automatically by multipurpose sampler (MPS 2XL; Gerstel) at 230°C injector temperature and separated chromatographically with 1ml/min flow and the following oven program: 1 min 70°C, ramp with 7°C per minute up to 300°C, 5 min 300°C. The transfer line temperature was set at 300°C and ion source at 230°C. Mass spectra were recorded with 20 Hz with MS calibration obtained by daily automated tuning of the MS on PSTFA. Single samples were derivatized and measured separately with intervals of at least one day. A “chemical blank” (derivatization agents without biological sample) was interspersed every five to six samples to check for a potential carryover of metabolites during measurement. Constant chromatographic performance and sensitivity was checked by tune evaluation with PSTFA. The raw data were converted to cdf-files by the Data Analysis software (Agilent Technologies) and uploaded to the MeltDB software [25]. In MeltDB, peak detection with SN = 5 and FWHM = 6 using the warped-algorithm and metabolite profiling with threshold = 0.75 for compound confirmation was done [25]. Identification of metabolites by mass spectra similarity was performed in MeltDB based on customized spectral and index libraries. Gaps in metabolite annotation were manually filled with the help of MeltDB, spectral and index libraries as well as Data Analysis (Agilent Technologies). Unidentified compounds were manually annotated by their mass to charge ratio (m/z) and retention time (RT). Metabolites and compounds occurring in 50% of water controls as well as in 50% of chemical blanks were regarded as artefacts and excluded from the metabolite list. Classification of metabolites was done according to their affiliation to natural substance classes.
Ex-situ experiment on potential root damage by the exudate sampling procedure

To reveal the potential damage caused by our harvest and exudation procedure in the field we assembled a phyto-cabinet experiment which fully mimicked the field harvest procedure. The forb species *Plantago lanceolata* and the grass species *Arrhenatherum elatius* were grown from seeds under long daytime growth conditions (light phase: 16 hours, 150 μmol/m²s, 22°C, 60% humidity; dark phase: 8 hours, 0 μmol/m²s, 18°C, 60% humidity) in pots filled with a mixture of steam sterilized sand and silt (50:50) in phyto-cabinets for 56 days. After root cleaning and exudate collection analogous to the field experiment, dead and damaged cells were stained with lactophenol-trypanblue according to Koch et al. [26]. Afterwards one half of the vertically separated roots was immediately transferred into Trypan blue colour solution (0.1% Trypan blue dye (Sigma, Darmstadt, Germany) in one part of Lactophenol (25% (v/v) Glycerine, 25% (v/v) Lactic acid, 25% (v/v) Phenol (all Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany), 25% (v/v) tape water) and 2 parts of Ethanol (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany)). To stain dead and damaged cells, roots were incubated for 3 hours under shaking at room temperature. Background staining of the root was removed by three to four washing steps with Chloral hydrate (2.5 g/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). Whereas the first washing step was used to remove remaining colour solution, following washing steps where performed over night by shaking at room temperature. Afterwards, roots were washed two times with deionized distilled water and stored in glycerine-water solution (1:1 v/v) at 4°C until microscopy. Samples from each root were selected randomly, cut from the root system with a razor blade, transferred onto object slides (Menzel) with glycerine-water solution (1:1 v/v), covered with a coverslip (IDL) and subjected immediately to microscopic analysis.

Microscopic analysis and image editing of the ex-situ experiment

Three independent bright-field microscopic images were recorded of three different regions (root tip and two different upper regions) of the selected stained root with an AZ 100 Multi-Purpose Zoom Microscope and the NIS Elements Imaging Software (both Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY, USA). Overview images were conducted with an AZ-Plan Apo 1x (NA: 0.1/ WD: 35 mm) objective with 5 x magnification. Furthermore, detailed images of root hairs were made using an AZ-Plan Apo 4x (NA: 0.4/WD: 20 mm) objective with 8 x magnification. Images were processed equally in contrast and brightness with the Gimp software (v. 2.8.14). The same program was used to adapt scale bar in color, font and position to scale bar automatically generated by the NIS Elements Imaging Software.

Trait analyses

Root nutrient concentrations were obtained using at least 50 mg root powder for a digestion with nitric acid and analysing the samples with photometric phosphate assay (for P) and atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS vario 6; Analytik Jena, Germany) to obtain K, Ca and Mg. Samples with less than 50 mg root powder could not be used for the digestion and values of these samples were predicted by using near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS; OPUS version 7.0, Bruker Optics) similar to the methods used by Mir-Marqués et al. [27]. As all samples were subjected to NIRS, the spectra of samples which were also digested were used for calibration, allowing the predictions for samples with insufficient sample amount for digestion. Root N and C content as well as C to N ratio were obtained from root powder using a C/N-analyser (vario EL cube; Elementar, Hanau, Germany). In total, the following above- and below-ground plant traits were measured on a total of the same 304 phytometers planted into...
46 grassland communities (plots): specific leaf area (SLA), leaf area ratio (LAR), leaf and root dry matter content (LDMC, RDMC), root to shoot ratio (RSR), root volume (RVol), root mass per volume (RMV) as well as the dry mass of roots (DM roots), leaves (DM leaves), all above-ground organs (DM above) and the whole plant (DM total), root nutrient contents (C, N, P, K, Ca and Mg) and root C to N ratio (RCNR) (S2 Table). By using redundancy analysis (RDA), variance partitioning, procrustes analysis and principal component analysis (PCA), we identified the factors that accounted for variation in plant exudate composition of polar metabolites. Procrustes is used to compare the correlation of two ordinations by rotating and stretching the second ordination to fit maximally to the first ordination. The match is then tested with a permutation test. A more detailed description of the trait analysis is given in Herz et al. [20].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with R (version 3.2.3 [28]). We carried out all analyses on exudates based on peak intensities and on presence/absence of metabolites. As the amount of single exudates varied strongly among samples, we standardized peak areas by an internal standard (Ribitol m/z = 217), log- or square-root-transformed them and scaled them by column (exudate compound) and/or row (sample). This still resulted in highly heterogeneous data that were driven by single exudates in single samples, when we were using the metabolite peak area as input value. Thus, we confined all analyses to the presence/absence of polar metabolites. In total, 35 samples of all traits were identified as outliers and excluded from the analyses. At first we excluded values of root P, K, Ca and Mg smaller than zero (wrong NIRS predictions) and values of RCC smaller than 1 as the latter were caused by an error in peak area estimation of the C/N-analysers. Then LDMC, SLA, LAR, RDMC, RSR, RVol, RMV, RNC, RKC, RCAc, DM roots, DM leaves, DM above and DM total were transformed by natural logarithm, RPC and RMgC by square root. To test for growth form-specificity of exudation patterns we conducted a redundancy analysis where the polar metabolites were tested against a presence/absence matrix of growth form (grass or forb). Furthermore, we used variance partitioning (varpart, package vegan [29]) to detect how much variance was explained by either target species identity, the plot identity, composition of neighbour plant species of each phytometer or the trait composition of the phytometer plant. To test for differences in variation between grasses and forbs we made the described variance partitioning analysis separately for the two growth forms. Finally, all exudates and traits (S2 Table) were subjected to a principal component analysis (PCA). The two PCAs of exudates and traits were then compared with a procrustes analysis (function protest, package vegan [29]) to assess the correlation between exudate and trait patterns. To check for spatial autocorrelation we calculated Moran’s I (Moran.I, package ape [30]) using the PCA of metabolites. We used the geographic distances between plots, as within plots the distance of plants was at minimum 0.5 m and at maximum 2.5 m, which we considered zero distance in Moran’s I calculation. We used the scores of the first and second axis of the PCA on metabolites as response variables and across all species.

Results and discussion

We measured the root exudate composition of polar metabolites mainly derived from the primary metabolism and related it to 18 plant traits. To evaluate root damage caused by the exudate collection and the consequent potential contamination of exudates by root metabolites we performed trypan blue staining and microscopic analysis of representative species (grown in the phyto cabinet) that underwent the same sampling procedure as in the field (S1 File). The roots were intact and damage to root hairs was insignificant or very minor, which indicated that the measured metabolites were not released from damaged cells but were exuded.
Although the applied method did not provide a quantitative value for root damage, it provides an indication of the root’s vitality. Thus, we are confident that our method of exudate collection has limited contamination from root damage to a minimum.

Out of the 153 compounds encountered by mass spectrometry, 36 could be identified and were classified into alcohols (4), amino acids (10), lipids (4), nucleic bases (1), nucleotides (1), organic acids (11) and sugars (5; S3 Table). To detect differences in exudate composition between grasses and forbs, a presence/absence matrix of these substances was subjected to a RDA with a presence/absence matrix of growth form as constraint.

Grasses and forbs showed a largely common exudate composition which states the considerable low variation explained by the first RDA-axis (1.67%, Fig 1A and 1B). Nevertheless, grasses and forbs also showed differences in exudate patterns (Fig 1B) caused by many metabolites released predominantly by one of the two growth forms (S3 and S4 Tables), and thus confirming our first hypothesis. While for example adenosine and homoserine were released in more grass than forb samples, the opposite was true for e.g. scyllo-inositol and some unknown compounds (S4 Table, S1 Fig).

To investigate which factors drive the variation in exudate composition in both growth forms, we used variance partitioning. In separate analyses for grasses and forbs, we used species identity, plot identity (which comprised all differences in ecological conditions between plots) and either species composition of the local plant neighbourhood or traits of the target plant as predictors for exudate composition. Similar to the results of Herz et al. [20] more variation was explained in forb species (28.8% to 32.9%, Fig 2B and 2D) than in grass species (26.8% to 28.9%, Fig 2A and 2C). Furthermore, interspecific variation of forbs was higher than that of grasses (Fig 2). This highlights the differences between these two growth forms and confirms the results of Herz et al. [20] for another important plant characteristic: exudate composition.

In addition, the variance partitioning showed that the exudation behaviour of both, grasses and forbs, is mainly driven by the plot into which they were planted (20.9% and 21.1%, respectively), which reflects the local environmental conditions. As the plots of the Exploratories are set up along a gradient of land use intensity (LUI), differences in exudation could also be linked to differences in LUI. However, in Herz et al. [20] we showed that edaphic and climatic conditions were more important for explaining variation in plant functional traits than was LUI, which also held true for variation in metabolites (analysis not shown). Species identity of the phytometer (2% in grasses and 6.7% in forbs) or species composition of the local neighbourhood in a 15 cm radius around the exuding plant (0.3% in grasses and 0% in forbs) contributed little to the total explained variation. The shared variation between plot and neighbouring plants of 4.7% in grasses and 2.5% in forbs points to a simultaneous account of effects of neighbour species and plot environment. This means that conditions varying at the plot level might be of greater importance for influencing root exudation of polar metabolites than the local plant neighbours or the species identity of the target plant. However, we cannot exclude that such species-specific adjustments to neighbour plants will not occur after a longer residence time of a plant like for example in the Jena experiment, where species richness effects on belowground organs were only visible four years after planting [31].

When we included phytometer traits instead of local plant neighbourhood composition the total amount of explained variation increased with traits explaining 2.4% in grasses and 3.9% in forbs (Fig 2). The identity of the plot still explained best the variation in plant exudation (20% in grasses and 19.4% in forbs), whereas species identity explained less than 4%.

We further compared the PCA of functional traits (S2 Fig) with the PCA of exudates (S3 Fig) in a procrustes analysis (Fig 3) to relate functional traits and exudates to each other. It revealed a high congruence between trait and exudate composition ($R^2 = 0.2995$, p = 0.001)
Fig 1. Redundancy analysis of polar metabolites and a presence/absence matrix of growth form. Symbols show compounds that could be attributed to the seven substance classes (see S3 Table) and unknown compounds (A). In part B points are grouped by growth form.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204128.g001
thus supporting our second hypothesis. By comparing the effects of each single trait on exudate composition using variance partitioning we revealed total and root dry mass as the most important traits (S2 and S3 Files) which pointed to shifts in exudate composition with plant biomass. This finding conforms to the reports that exudate composition of a plant changes with developmental stage and biomass [5]. For rice plants Aulakh et al. [5] reported an increase of exudation rates from the seedling stage till panicle initiation and a subsequent decrease at maturity. Therefore, young plants with low biomass can acquire more nutrients while adult plants with higher biomass invest more into storage. Furthermore, it was shown by Aulakh et al. that seedlings exuded a lower absolute amount of metabolites than adult plants [5]. However, the explained variation did not exceed 2.48% for any single trait included in our analysis and thus shows that exudate composition is not driven by one specific trait alone.

Overall we could show that exudates of plants growing in a natural community were much more determined by the environmental conditions of the plot rather than by species identity, by species-specific traits or neighbouring plants in this study. In contrast to our third hypothesis, root exudation patterns were almost unaffected by neighbour plant species composition. Thus the match between traits and exudates as shown in the procrustes analysis (Fig 3) was
probably mainly driven by the environmental conditions of a plot that affected both traits and exudates. Nevertheless, to unravel the role of such environmental conditions as climate, soil properties, land use intensity or inclination on root exudation [32,21,33,34] is still a challenge. This is particularly true for our approach of analysing root exudate pattern on individual plants in natural communities as compared to root exudates at the level of artificially assembled communities in microcosms (see [35]). The challenge of analysing the drivers of root exudation also increases with the number of analysed compounds. While Eisenhauer et al. [35] measured a total of 15 exudates with HPLC, we annotated 153 compounds with mass spectrometry and included them in the analysis.

With our results we could already account for up to 32.9% of the total variation in exudate composition by the factors included in our study, although our phytometers have been exposed to their environment only for one vegetation season. The remaining proportion indicates that further variation in exudate composition might be explained by other factors such as seasonal variation, but also stochastic events within plots such as grazers’ trampling or defecating on single target plants or plot- and species-specific effects of the microbial community composition, herbivory, pathogens or endophytes. It would be of great interest to examine

Fig 3. Procrustes correlation of S2 and S3 Figs. Arrows indicate in which direction the ordination is stretched to fit the ordination of traits to the ordination of the exudates. The two axes correspond to the principal components of the principal component analysis (PCA) of polar metabolites (S3 Fig). Correlation of the symmetric procrustes rotation = 0.2995, p = 0.001, number of permutations = 999.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204128.g003
their influence on plant exudation behaviour, also for short and long time exposure in a natural environment.

Finally, plant exudate composition comprises not only the presented polar metabolites but also semi-polar metabolites characteristic of the secondary metabolism [4]. The technique of planting phytometers, retrieving them and collecting their root exudates could also allow for analysing such secondary metabolites, which is work in progress. Secondary metabolites have been found to be of considerable importance for plant interactions as they for example inhibit the growth of competing plant species [36] but also stimulate the germination of parasite seeds [37]. As root exudation depends on as yet unpredictable interactions in the rhizosphere, further integration of such metabolic data on root exudates with information on rhizosphere microbial community composition may fundamentally change our understanding of belowground interactions.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Number of samples from each of the ten study species. (PDF)

S2 Table. List of used plant traits including abbreviations, category, unit and description. (PDF)

S3 Table. List of identified polar metabolites of the gas chromatography coupled mass spectrometry approach. (PDF)

S4 Table. List of all metabolites occurring in the two growth forms. (PDF)

S1 Fig. Frequency of selected metabolites occurring in the two growth forms. (PDF)

S2 Fig. Principal component analysis (PCA) of plant traits. (PDF)

S3 Fig. Principal component analysis (PCA) of polar metabolites. (PDF)

S1 File. Representative microscopic images of exuded plant roots stained by Trypan blue. (PDF)

S2 File. Explained variance of exudate composition using single traits. (PDF)

S3 File. Explained variance of exudate data in grasses and forbs using single traits. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We thank the managers of the three Exploratories, Kirsten Reichel-Jung, Katrin Lorenzen, Martin Gorke and all former managers for their work in maintaining the plot and project infrastructure; Christiane Fischer for giving support through the central office, Michael Owonibi for managing the central data base, and Markus Fischer, Eduard Linsenmair, Dominik Hessenmöller, Jens Nieschulze, Daniel Prati, Ingo Schöning, François Buscot, Ernst-Detlef Linking root exudates to functional plant traits
Schulze, Wolfgang W. Weisser and the late Elisabeth Kalko for their role in setting up the Bio-
diversity Exploratories project.

We also thank Eva Breitschwerdt for collecting the seeds and her advice in selecting the
plots. We thank all helpers who participated in the field work and preparation of the phyto-
ometers: Mathias Baudis, Eva Breitschwerdt, Lucie Gack, Anne-Sophie Gimpel, Maria Köhler,
Ines Lassowskat, Mathias Meyer, Sebastian Palm, Franziska Patzold, Isa Plath, Tobias Proß,
Ina Reichelt, Jana Schulze, Maria Sporbert, Selma Gomes Vieira and Anja Zeuner. We
acknowledge the financial support of the Open Access Publication Fund of the Martin Luther
University Halle-Wittenberg. The work has been funded by the DFG Priority Program 1374
"Infrastructure-Biodiversity-Exploratories" (Project BE LOW, BR 1698/11-3 and SCHE 235/
16-3). Field work permits were issued by the responsible state environmental offices of Baden-
Württemberg, Thüringen, and Brandenburg (according to § 72 BbgNatSchG).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Sylvia Haider, Ute Jandt, Dierk Scheel, Helge Bruelheide.

Data curation: Katharina Herz, Sophie Dietz.

Formal analysis: Katharina Herz, Sophie Dietz, Karin Gorzolka, Helge Bruelheide.

Funding acquisition: Ute Jandt, Dierk Scheel, Helge Bruelheide.

Investigation: Katharina Herz, Sophie Dietz.

Methodology: Sophie Dietz, Sylvia Haider, Ute Jandt, Dierk Scheel, Helge Bruelheide.

Project administration: Sylvia Haider, Ute Jandt, Dierk Scheel, Helge Bruelheide.

Supervision: Karin Gorzolka, Sylvia Haider, Ute Jandt, Dierk Scheel, Helge Bruelheide.

Visualization: Katharina Herz, Sophie Dietz.

Writing – original draft: Katharina Herz, Sophie Dietz.

Writing – review & editing: Katharina Herz, Sophie Dietz, Karin Gorzolka, Sylvia Haider,
Ute Jandt, Dierk Scheel, Helge Bruelheide.

References

1. De-la-Pena C, Lei Z, Watson BS, Sumner LW, Vivanco JM (2008) Root-microbe communication
   through protein secretion. The Journal of biological chemistry 283 (37): 25247–25255. https://doi.org/
   10.1074/jbc.M801967200 PMID: 18635546

2. De-la-Pena C, Badri DV, Lei Z, Watson BS, Brandao MM, Silva-Filho MC et al. (2010) Root secretion of
defense-related proteins is development-dependent and correlated with flowering time. The Journal of
biological chemistry 285 (40): 30654–30665. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.119040 PMID:
20682788

3. Chaparro JM, Badri DV, Bakker MG, Sugiyama A, Manter DK, Vivanco JM (2013) Root exudation of
phytochemicals in Arabidopsis follows specific patterns that are developmentally programmed and cor-
relate with soil microbial functions. PloS ONE 8 (2): e55731. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.
0055731 PMID: 23363346

4. van Dam NM, Bouwmeester HJ (2016) Metabolomics in the rhizosphere: tapping into belowground
chemical communication. Trends in plant science 21 (3): 256–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.
2016.01.008 PMID: 26832948

5. Aulakh MS, Wassmann R, Bueno C, Kreuzwieser J, Rennenberg H (2001) Characterization of root exu-
dates at different growth stages of ten rice (Oryza sativa L.) cultivars. Plant Biology 3 (2): 139–148.

6. Badri DV, Chaparro JM, Zhang R, Shen Q, Vivanco JM (2013) Application of natural blends of phyto-
chemicals derived from the root exudates of Arabidopsis to the soil reveal that phenolic-related com-
pounds predominantly modulate the soil microbiome. The Journal of biological chemistry 288 (7):
4502–4512. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.433390 PMID: 23293028
7. Strehmel N, Böttcher C, Schmidt S, Scheel D (2014) Profiling of secondary metabolites in root exudates of Arabidopsis thaliana. Phytochemistry 108: 35–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.10.003 PMID: 25457500
8. Jones DL, Hodge A, Kuzyakov Y (2004) Plant and mycorrhizal regulation of rhizodeposition. New Phytol 163 (3): 459–480.
9. Bouwmeester HJ, Roux C, Lopez-Raez JA, Bécard G (2007) Rhizosphere communication of plants, parasitic plants and AM fungi. Trends in plant science 12 (5): 224–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2007.03.009 PMID: 17416544
10. Badri DV, Vivanco JM (2009) Regulation and function of root exudates. Plant, cell & environment 32 (6): 666–681.
11. Faure D, Vereecke D, Leveau Johan H. J. (2009) Molecular communication in the rhizosphere. Plant Soil 321 (1–2): 279–303.
12. Freschet GT, Swart EM, Cornelissen Johannes H. C. (2015) Integrated plant phenotypic responses to contrasting above- and below-ground resources: key roles of specific leaf area and root mass fraction. New Phytol 206 (4): 1247–1260. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13352 PMID: 25783781
13. Gubesch M, Buchmann N, Schmid B, Schulze E-D, Lipowsky A, Roscher C (2011) Differential effects of plant diversity on functional trait variation of grass species. Annals of Botany 107 (1): 157–169. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq220 PMID: 21068024
14. Wilson PJ, Thompson KEN, Hodgson JG (1999) Specific leaf area and leaf dry matter content as alternative predictors of plant strategies. New Phytol 143 (1): 155–162.
15. Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Díaz S, Garnier E, Lavorel S, Poorter H, Jauregiberry P et al. (2013) New handbook for standardised measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Aust. J. Bot. 61 (3): 167.
16. Lambers H, Chapin FS, Pons TL (2008) Plant physiological ecology. New York: Springer New York.
17. Bloom AJ, Chapin FS, Mooney HA (1985) Resource limitation in plants—an economic analogy. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 16: 363–392.
18. Jones DL (1998) Organic acids in the rhizosphere—a critical review. Plant and Soil 205 (1): 25–44.
19. Oburger E, Jones DL (2018) Sampling root exudates—Mission impossible. Rhizosphere 6: 116–133.
20. Herz K, Dietz S, Haider S, Jandt U, Scheel D, Bruelheide H (2017) Drivers of intraspecific trait variation of grass and forb species in German meadows and pastures. J Veg Sci 28 (4): 705–716.
21. Siebenkäs A, Schumacher J, Roscher C (2015) Phenotypic plasticity to light and nutrient availability alters functional trait ranking across eight perennial grassland species. AoB PLANTS 7: plv029. https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plv029 PMID: 25818071
22. Fischer M, Bossdorf O, Gockel S, Hänsel F, Hemp A, Hessenmöller D et al. (2010) Implementing large-scale and long-term functional biodiversity research: The Biodiversity Exploratories. Basic and Applied Ecology 11 (6): 473–485.
23. Valentiniuzzi F, Casco S, Tomasi N, Mimmo T (2015) Influence of different trap solutions on the determination of root exudates in Lupinus albus L. Biol Fertil Soils 51 (6): 757–765.
24. Gorzolka K, Lissel M, Kessler N, Loch-Ahring S, Niehaus K (2012) Metabolite fingerprinting of barley whole seeds, endosperms, and embryos during industrial malting. Journal of biotechnology 159 (3): 177–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2012.03.012 PMID: 22465293
25. Neuweger H, Albaum SP, Dondrup M, Persicke M, Watt T, Niehaus K et al. (2018) MeltDB. A software platform for the analysis and integration of metabolomics experiment data. Bioinformatics 24 (23): 2726–2732. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn452 PMID: 18765459
26. Koch E, Slusarenko A (1990) Arabidopsis is susceptible to infection by a downy mildew fungus. The Plant cell 2 (5): 437–445. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.2.5.437 PMID: 2152169
27. Mir-Marqueá A, Martinez-García M, Garrigues S, Cervera ML, La Guardia M de (2016) Green direct determination of mineral elements in artichokes by infrared spectroscopy and X-ray fluorescence. Food chemistry 196: 1023–1030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.10.048 PMID: 26593585
28. R Core Team (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
29. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB et al. (2016) vegan: Community ecology package. R package version 2.3–3. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
30. Paradis E., Claude J., Strimmer K. (2004) APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. R package version 5.1. Bioinformatics 20: 289–290. PMID: 14734327
31. Ravenek JM, Bessler H, Engels C, Scherer-Lorenzen M, Gessler A, Gockele A et al. (2014) Long-term study of root biomass in a biodiversity experiment reveals shifts in diversity effects over time. Oikos 123 (12): 1528–1536.
32. Kuijken RCP, van Eeuwijk FA, Marcelis LFM, Bouwmeester HJ (2015) Root phenotyping: from component trait in the lab to breeding. Journal of experimental botany 66 (18): 5389–5401. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv239 PMID: 26071534

33. Moles AT, Perkins SE, Laffan SW, Flores-Moreno H, Awasthy M, Tindall ML et al. (2014) Which is a better predictor of plant traits: temperature or precipitation. J Veg Sci 25 (5): 1167–1180.

34. Ordoñez JC, van Bodegom Peter M., Witte J-PM, Wright IJ, Reich PB, Aerts R (2009) A global study of relationships between leaf traits, climate and soil measures of nutrient fertility. Global Ecology and Biogeography 18 (2): 137–149.

35. Eisenhauer N, Lanoue A, Strecker T, Scheu S, Steinauer K, Thakur MP et al. (2017) Root biomass and exudates link plant diversity with soil bacterial and fungal biomass. Scientific reports 7: 44641. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44641 PMID: 28374800

36. Biedrzycki ML, Jilany TA, Dudley SA, Bais HP (2010) Root exudates mediate kin recognition in plants. Communicative & Integrative Biology 3 (1): 28–35.

37. Auger B, Pouvreau J-B, Pouponneau K, Yoneyama K, Montiel G, Le Bizec B et al. (2012) Germination stimulants of Phelipanche ramosa in the rhizosphere of Brassica napus are derived from the glucosinolate pathway. Molecular plant-microbe interactions 25 (7): 993–1004. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-01-12-0006-R PMID: 22414435