Ankle joint pressure change before and after subtalar joint arthrodesis in varus and valgus malalignment of the tibia
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Abstract
Purpose: The compensation mechanism of subtalar joint in ankle with varus or valgus deformity is controversial and not well established. This biomechanical study aims to investigate how subtalar joint arthrodesis will affect the ankle joint pressure in varus and valgus malalignment of the tibia. Methods: Eight fresh-frozen human cadaver legs were tested in this study. A custom-made fixture was utilized and a total of 600N was applied to simulate weight-bearing. Intra-articular sensors (TecScan) were inserted in the ankle joint to demonstrate the ankle joint pressure. Conditions include: Neutral, 5°, 10°, 15° and 20° varus, 5°, 10°, 15° and 20° valgus. Results: After the fusion of the subtalar joint, when the tibia is gradually inverted, the inside pressure of the ankle joint gradually increases, and the pressure on the outside of the ankle joint gradually decreases. When the tibia is gradually eversion, the pressure on the outside of the ankle joint gradually increases, and the inside of the ankle joint gradually decreases. Conclusions: After the subtalar joint is fused, the compensatory activity of the subtalar joint disappears, and the regulation of the pressure in the ankle joint will be lost. We hypothesized that the inversion compensation of the subtalar joint is more likely to occur than the eversion compensation.
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Introduction
Ankle arthritis is a common disease affecting approximately 1% adult population worldwide.¹,² The most common cause of ankle OA is trauma.³,⁴ Ankle arthritis is usually accompanied by varus or valgus type deformity. The varus or valgus usually involve the ankle or subtalar joint.⁵ The biomechanical relationship between ankle joint and subtalar joint is complex. Whether the subtalar joint will compensate the deformity of ankle joint is controversial.⁶,⁷

A previous study found a lateral shift of the COF and lateral stress concentration for slight varus tibia. However, for more severe varus tibia, the COF shifted medially and decreased the lateral stress concentration.⁸ This may explain the compensation relationship between ankle and subtalar joints.

The subtalar joint is an important joint of the hindfoot, which plays a vital role in the ankle varus deformity and the adaptation of the foot to uneven roads during walking. It is hard for ankle arthritis with varus or valgus deformity to manage the balance between supramalleolar and hindfoot using either supramalleolar osteotomy or total ankle
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replacement. The same issue exists in foot surgeries affecting ankle joints. Ankle fusion, subtalar fusion or triple arthrodesis are common procedures for ankle or subtalar deformities or arthritis. However, after subtalar joint fusion, will it have a corresponding impact on the biomechanics of the ankle joint? This study uses a biomechanical approach to study the influence of subtalar joint fusion on the pressure distribution in the ankle joint. A previous study reported that, for varus ankle, the pressure on the lateral aspect of the ankle joint would increase as the result of subtalar valgus inclination. When the varus deformity progressed, the lateral pressure decreased. We hypothesized that this phenomenon would not happen when the subtalar joint is fused.

**Materials and Methods**

Our research was approved by the ethics committee of School of Medicine, XXX University. We also followed the Declaration of Helsinki and relevant policies in China. The cadaveric specimens used in this study were provided by the Collage of Medicine, XXX University. Written informed consent to participate in this study was obtained from the legal representative of each patient.

A total of eight fresh-frozen human cadaver legs were subject to biomechanical testing, the specimens were thawed to room temperature (24°C). The mean age of the cadavers was 71.4 (7.4) years old. X-rays were obtained for each specimen and none of them had malalignment of the tibia, hindfoot, nor preexisting subtalar joint osteoarthritis. All specimens had a normal motion of both ankle and subtalar joints. The specimens were tested under two circumstances, the subtalar joint was intact and fused. We tested the change in ankle pressure with tibia varus and valgus at different angles.

The anterior soft tissue (including skin, subcutaneous tissue, anterior joint capsule, tendons and neurovascular bundles) of the ankle joint were dissected to access the ankle joint. Both the medial and lateral ankle ligaments were well preserved. The tibia and fibula were cut at 20 cm above the ankle joint. For each specimen, the proximal tibia and fibula were potted securely into a custom-made shell, and then mounted on a custom-made fixture. The tibia and fibula were embedded and securely fixed into the shell using dental gypsum. The load was applied to the tibia and fibula via the custom-made shell. Each specimen must be potted in a neutral position, no plantarflexion or dorsiflexion of the ankle joint in the sagittal plane, and no varus or valgus malalignment of the hindfoot in the coronal and no internal or external rotation of the foot in a horizontal plane.

The custom-designed fixture was subjected to testing. Spirit levels were utilized to make sure both the working table and the top plate were horizontal throughout the testing process. The malalignment of tibia (0°, 5°, 10°, 15°, 20° of tibial varus and valgus) was simulated using a custom-made apparatus. Each hole on the apparatus represented a specific angle. A bolt was used to fix the specimen at a desired angle.

The four threaded polyethylene pillars were used to connect the top plate, the compressive forces were exerted via the four pillars. Sensor cells were placed in each pillar, a monitor was connected to each sensor cell, displaying the real-time force. Springs were placed right above each force sensor, then followed by nuts, compressive forces could be generated by twisting the nut on the spring.

The sensor pads (Model 6900, TekScan, Inc., South Boston, MA), with each pad measuring 14*14 mm, each pad had 121 sensels (11*11 sensels), the column and row spacing were 1.3 mm, resulting in a spatial resolution of 0.62 mm² per sensel. Two pads were put side by side within the ankle joint to measure the ankle joint pressure. The sensor pads were inserted into the ankle joint from anterior and secured by thumbtacks to the distal tibial metaphysis and the foot to avoid sensor motion during testing. We use the anterior part of distal tibia as a bony mark. The sensor was put inside ankle joint and the anterior part of distal tibia could just cover the sensor. The sensor pads were connected to the handle that could be further connected to a personal computer, data including pressure, the force exerted to each pillar was collected using I-Scan software (Figure 1 and 2).

**Situation A: when the subtalar joint was intact**

The baseline ankle joint pressure distribution was initially collected for each specimen. The specimen was fixed at 0° of tibial varus by inserting a bolt, and the foot was then placed onto the floor freely. A compressive force was generated through the four pillars by twisting the nuts. Make sure that the top plate is maintained horizontally throughout the testing. A 600 N compressive force was applied to simulate the normal load within the ankle joint during ambulation. Both the medial and lateral ankle joint pressure data were collected. Then, the tibia was fixed to 5°, 10°, 15°, 20° valgus and varus, and the corresponding pressure within the ankle joint was measure and recorded.

**Situation B: When the subtalar joint was fused**

The foot and ankle specimens are naturally placed in the loading device, and 10N force is applied to correctly fix the foot and ankle. Two metal screws are used to fix the subtalar joint from the anterior talus neck to the calcaneus. Two parallel screws were strong enough to fix the subtalar joint. There was no difference of the talar tilt angle before and after subtalar fusion. The all eight specimens were
fixed using this method and the fixed subtalar joints were rigid and wouldn’t move. After fixation, CT scans were performed to confirm the screws position to ensure the subtalar joints were stable and fixed. Then, the tests were carried out the same as when the subtalar joint was intact, and the corresponding medial and lateral pressures of the ankle joint under different working conditions were recorded.

Statistical analysis
SPSS V.23 software (IBM Inc., New York) was used for the data analysis. The data in this study for analysis satisfied the normal distribution. Paired student t-test was employed to determine the significant differences for pressure differences. The level of significance was set to a p-value < 0.05 (Figure 3 to 5).

Results

When the tibia was varus

(1) The subtalar joint was intact

From 0° to 20° varus, the pressure on the medial side of the ankle joint gradually decreases from 2340.4 ± 646.7 kPa to 1618.7 ± 451.5 kPa (p < 0.05). The pressure on the lateral

Figure 1. The specimen was fixed into the shell using dental gypsum.

Figure 2. The specimen was connected to force sensor and monitor.

Figure 3. The subtalar joint was fused using two metal screws.

Figure 4. The anterior view of specimen, fused by two metal screws.
side of the ankle joint decreases from 2083.8 ± 487.2 kPa (0°), gradually increased to 2280.7 ± 376.1 kPa (10°), (p < 0.05), and then gradually decreased to 1862.9 ± 342.6 kPa (20°). There was a turning point when the tibia was in 10° varus on the lateral side of ankle.

When the tibia was valgus

(1) The subtalar joint was intact

When tibial valgus angle gradually increased from 0° to 20°, the pressure on the inside of the ankle joint gradually increased from 2021.9 ± 427.3 kPa to 2517.7 ± 457.2 kPa (p < 0.05). The pressure on the outside of the ankle joint gradually decreases from 2116.3 ± 364.8 kPa at 0° to 1893.9 ± 321.5 kPa (p < 0.05).

(2) The subtalar joint was fused

The pressure on the medial ankle joint gradually decreased from 2457.4 ± 427.5 kPa to 2209.8 ± 382.1 kPa (p < 0.05). The pressure on the lateral side of the ankle joint gradually decreased from 2148.6 ± 426.3 kPa at 0° to 1853.7 ± 331.5 kPa at 20° (p < 0.05) (Figure 6).

Discussion

Ankle arthritis is a debilitating disease usually with varus or valgus deformity. The alignment of ankle and hindfoot is crucial for realizing the success of surgeries. An important goal of operation of ankle arthritis is to achieve normal alignment. The subtalar joint plays a vital role in maintaining the normal position of talus to the tibia.13,14 We must master the balancing mechanism of ankle and subtalar joint for a promising operative result.

To date, the etiology of primary ankle osteoarthritis is not well established. The compensatory mechanism is still controversial. Takakura thought that the ankle joint varus was compensated by subtalar valgus. If the ankle varus deformity exceeded the possible subtalar compensation, there was stress concentration on the medial part of ankle joint.15 However, it was just a hypothesis and surgeon’s experience. Hayashi et al.16 reviewed 133 ankles and found that the varus inclination of tibial articular surface progressed by stages, while the subtalar valgus inclination progressed on mild and intermediate stages. For more severe stages, the subtalar surface converted to varus inclination. Wang et al.17 documented subtalar compensation for...
maligned ankle, especially varus ankle, by evaluating weight-bearing radiographs of 233 ankles. Burssens et al. reported that the subtalar joint’s orientation was not associated with an opposite angulation relative to the hindfoot deformity. This suggested that not every hindfoot deformity was compensated by the subtalar joint. Numerous studies investigated the subtalar compensation mechanism with clinical researches or radiographic studies. However, few studies demonstrated using basic or biomechanical research.

In this study, we firstly stimulated the varus and valgus tibia without subtalar fusion. Secondly, we fused the subtalar joint to evaluate the changes of ankle pressure with the varus and valgus tibia. We noticed different ankle pressure change comparing the intact and fused subtalar joint. When the subtalar joint is intact and the tibial varus angle gradually increases from 0° to 20°, the pressure on the lateral side of the ankle joint decreases from 2083.8 ± 487.2 kPa (0°) gradually increased to 2280.7 ± 376.1 kPa (10°), and then gradually decreases to 1862.9 ± 342.6 kPa (20°). However, when the subtalar joint was fused, this phenomenon did not happen. We hypothesized that the subtalar joint has a compensatory mechanism. When the supramalleolar part turns finite varus deformity, the subtalar joint will turn eversion. With the supramalleolar varus progressing, the compensatory mechanism of subtalar will not work. However, when the tibia turned valgus, the pressure change of whether a medial or lateral aspect of ankle joint was opposite between the intact subtalar and fused subtalar groups. In the tibial valgus group, we did not observed the increasing and then decreasing process. We hypothesized that the inversion compensation of the subtalar joint is more likely to occur than the eversion compensation. Thus, for the

![Figure 7.](image1) **Figure 7.** The pressure of ankle joint changed as tibial valgus progressed. (A) The pressure of medial aspect of ankle joint changed as tibial valgus progressed; (B) The pressure of lateral aspect of ankle joint changed as the tibial varus progressed.

![Figure 8.](image2) **Figure 8.** In case of different subtalar joint, stress concentration was observed on the lateral side of the ankle joint was different. (A) The subtalar joint was intact and the tibial varus angle was 10°, noting the stress concentration on the lateral side of the ankle joint; (B) The subtalar joint was fused and the tibial varus angle was 10°, no stress concentration was observed on the lateral side of the ankle joint.
correction of varus or valgus ankle arthritis, we should not only focus on the supramalleolar deformity, but also considered the subtalar joint.

There are some limitations of this study. First, the compensatory of ankle joint and hindfoot is complex. It relates to many factors, including ankle joint, subtalar joint, even talonavicular joint and ligaments surrounding talus. Does the ankle joint itself have its own compensatory mechanism? It is unknown. Secondly, it is a static biomechanical study, the tendons, muscles of ankle balancing in vivo. Further studies are needed to explore these questions.

**Conclusion**

For the varus ankle, the pressure on the lateral aspect of the ankle joint will increase due to the subtalar valgus inclination. When the varus deformity progressed, the lateral pressure decreased. When the subtalar joint is fused, this compensatory phenomenon does not happen. However, when the tibia turned valgus, the change of pressure of whether a medial or lateral aspect of ankle joint was opposite between the intact subtalar group and fused subtalar group. In the tibial valgus group, we did not observe the increasing and then decreasing process. Therefore, we hypothesized that the inversion compensation of the subtalar joint is more likely to occur than the eversion compensation.
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