Synchronous Analysis for Fuzzy Coupled Neural Networks with Column Pinning Controllers
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Abstract

The synchronous research for fuzzy coupled neural networks (FCNNs) is studied by a new strategy of column pinning controllers. In this paper, the Lyapunov Krasovskii functional (LKF) is taken as an important element for the pinning control laws. The networks are interconnected by coupling gains that define a physical interaction graph. Different from the preset technique in traditional intermittent control, a novel additional communication control graphs of pinning control law are introduced, which has not been investigated before. The proposed control laws can achieve the control objectives of being introduced as an array of vector with Kronecker produce operation. Under the proposed framework of intermittent control, numerical simulations via MATLAB are used to confirm the availability of the suggested control laws.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, the investigation on neural networks (NNS) has aroused ever-increasing interest of researchers due to their strong application in various fields [1–5]. The coupled neural networks (CNNs) are seen as a special type of complex networks, which consist of a large set of interconnected single NNs with each individual being called node. Usually, the CNNs exhibit more unpredictable and complicated behaviors than the single NNs. Synchronization of CNNs describes a typical collective behavior and has many applications. For example, the complex oscillatory patterns were stored and retrieved as the synchronization states by presenting an architecture of CNNs in [6, 7]. A secure communication system was introduced by utilizing the coupled cellular NNs in [8]. The research on synchronization of CNNs not only opens up new opportunities in the understanding of brain science but also makes an important step forward to the practical applications [9].

However, the aforementioned results are valid when for the structures and the parameters of coupled neural networks are exactly known. In many practical models of the real world, uncertainty or vagueness is unavoidable. Fuzzy theory [10–12] is considered an efficient tool to solve vagueness problems of the complex systems. Compared with the traditional NNS, the FCNNS have advantages for their capabilities in handling uncertain information and representing nonlinear dynamics [13–15].

In practice, many feasible control schemes can be adopted to study synchronous research of complex networks, such as the sampled-data control [16] and intermittent control [17]. Among them, the sampled-data control and impulsive control are two schemes with low control cost because their controllers are updated only at some discrete times. Besides, intermittent control is also an economic choice. In such scheme, the controller is only imposed on the systems at work time. Hence, the notion of intermittent control came into researchers’ vision and has stimulated many renewed results. In [18], the quasi-synchronization of delayed chaotic systems was investigated by periodically intermittent control. In [19], the synchronization issues of complex networks were visited by designing an intermittent controller equipped with two switched periods. There are two categories of synchronization: self-synchronization and forced synchronization. Without any external force, the self-synchronization can be achieved by the connection of local
nodes. However, the networks usually cannot be synchronized by themselves. Therefore, it is more desirable to force the networks to synchronize. Due to the high dimension and complex topology, it will be expensive and literally infeasible to add controllers to all nodes. Hinted by such consideration, the strategy so-called pinning control is proposed which only controls a small location of the nodes, such as [20–24].

As far as we know, there are no pinning control results for FCNNs. So, how to solve the pinning synchronization problems for FCNNs is still challenging. Motivated by the foregoing discussion, this brief explores the synchronization of FCNNs by proposing the concept of column pinning control law. In the developed control scheme, the work conditions are decided by the dynamic relationships among the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional (LKF) and some other conditions are decided by the dynamic relationships among the Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional (LKF) and some other column vectors. Namely, the pinning controller is imposed on the systems when the trajectory of LKF goes into the column regions. Our scheme changes the intrinsic characteristic of the existing control methods that the work conditions are predetermined in prior. From the events’ point of view, whether the controller is imposed or not is decided by the dynamic of LKF. Therefore, our scheme can be understood as a class of event-dependent column controllers. Under the framework of the proposed scheme, several simple criteria are developed to study the synchronization for the considered FCNNs.

Notations: $N$, $R_{com}$ and $R^n$ denote the sets of non-negative integers, $n \times m$ real matrices, and $n$-dimensional Euclidean space, respectively. For real symmetric matrix $Y$, $Y > 0 (Y \geq 0)$ indicates that $Y$ is positive definite (respectively, semidefinite). The superscript $T$ stands for the transpose of a matrix. $I_n$ denotes the $n$-dimensional identity matrix. $\text{diag}(\cdots)$ represents the block-diagonal matrix.

### 2. Problem Formulation

Without the loss of generality, this brief considers the following FCNNs with $N$ identical nodes:

\[
\dot{z}_i(t) = -Cz_i(t) + Af (z_i(t)) + Bf (z_i(t) - \tau(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{ij}^{(1)} D_1 z_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{ij}^{(2)} D_2 z_j(t - \tau(t)) + u_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, h,
\]

\[
\dot{z}_i(t) = -Cz_i(t) + Af (z_i(t)) + Bf (z_i(t) - \tau(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{ij}^{(1)} D_1 z_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} G_{ij}^{(2)} D_2 z_j(t - \tau(t)), \quad i = h + 1, h + 2, \ldots, N,
\]

in the formula, $z_i(t) = (z_{i1}(t), z_{i2}(t), \ldots, z_{in}(t))^T \in R^n$, $A \in R_{com}^{n \times n}$, $B \in R_{com}^{n \times n}$, $f (z_i(t)) = (f_1 (z_{i1}(t)), f_2 (z_{i2}(t)), \ldots, f_n (z_{in}(t)))^T$, $C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$ are diagonal positive matrix, $G_{ij}^{(1)} = (G_{ij}^{(1)})_{N \times N}$, $G_{ij}^{(2)} = (G_{ij}^{(2)})_{N \times N}$ are the outer coupled matrix, and $D_1 \in R_{com}$, $D_2 \in R_{com}$ are the inner coupled matrix.

\[
0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau,
\]

where $\mu$ and $\tau$ are known constants.

$u_i(t)$ are the pinning controllers. The controllers are designed as

\[
u_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} k_{ij}^{(1)} D_3 (z_j(t) - z_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} k_{ij}^{(2)} D_4 (z_j(t - \tau(t)) - z_i(t - \tau(t))),
\]

where $k_{ij}^{(1)} > 0, (q = 1, 2), i = 1, 2, \ldots, h$, and $k_{ij}^{(q)} = 0$, for $i = h + 1, h + 2, \ldots, N$, and $k_{ij}^{(q)}$ are the control weight matrices. $D_3, D_4 \in R_{com}$ represent control gain matrices. These gain matrices are the control parameters designed to guarantee synchronization of the coupled neural networks.

Remark 1. It is noted that the physical coupling graphs combined with the communication control graphs together form a cyber-physical system, where in the physical connection graph topology $G_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $G_{ij}^{(2)}$ and the communication connection graph topology $k_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $k_{ij}^{(2)}$ are fixed. The design freedom is in the selection of the control gain matrices $D_3$ and $D_4$.

System (3) can be rewritten as

\[
u_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{ij}^{(1)} D_3 z_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{ij}^{(2)} D_4 z_j(t - \tau(t)),
\]

where matrix $L^{(q)} = (L_{ij}^{(q)})_{N \times N}$, $q = 1, 2$, satisfies

\[
\begin{cases}
L_{ij}^{(q)} = k_{ij}^{(q)}, & i \neq j, \\
L_{ii}^{(q)} = -\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} k_{ij}^{(q)}, & i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
\end{cases}
\]

The initial variables are given as

\[
z_i(s) = \sum_{q=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} z_{ij}(s) \in \Theta([-\tau, 0], R^n), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
\]

Let

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{z}(t) &= (z_1^T(t), z_2^T(t), \ldots, z_{N}^T(t))^T, \\
F (z(t)) &= \left( f^T (z_1(t)), f^T (z_2(t)), \ldots, f^T (z_N(t)) \right)^T,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\bar{U}(t) = \left( u_1^T (t), u_2^T (t), \ldots, u_N^T (t) \right)^T.
\]

Combining with the sign $\otimes$ of Kronecker product, system (1) can be rewritten as
\[ \dot{z}(t) = -(I_N \otimes C)z(t) + (I_N \otimes A)F(z(t)) + (I_N \otimes B)F(z(t - \tau(t))) + (G^{(1)} \otimes D_1)z(t) + (G^{(2)} \otimes D_2)z(t - \tau(t)) + \bar{U}(t). \] (8)

From equation (15), we have
\[ \bar{U}(t) = (L^{(1)} \otimes D_1)z(t) + (L^{(2)} \otimes D_2)z(t - \tau(t)). \] (9)

Remark 2. It is the first introduction of the pinning control laws as an array of vector with Kronecker product operation.

Assumption 1 (see [25–27]). The outer-coupling matrix are assumed as
\[ \begin{cases} G^{(q)}_{ij} = G^{(q)}_{ji} \geq 0, & i \neq j, q = 1, 2, \\ G^{(q)}_{ii} = -\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} G^{(q)}_{ij}, & i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \end{cases} \] (10)

The controllers of the fuzzy systems are assumed in the form
\[ u_i(t) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} k^{(1)}_{ij} D_{3i}(z_i(t) - z_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} k^{(2)}_{ij} D_{4i}(z_i(t - \tau(t)) - z_j(t - \tau(t))). \] (14)

Controller (14) can be rewritten as
\[ u_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} l^{(1)}_{ij} D_{3i}z_j(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} l^{(2)}_{ij} D_{4i}z_j(t - \tau(t)), \] (15)

where matrix \( L^{(q)} = (l^{(q)}_{ij})_{N \times N}, (q = 1, 2) \) are defined as
\[ \begin{cases} l^{(q)}_{ij} = l^{(q)}_{ji}, & i \neq j, \\ l^{(q)}_{ii} = -\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} l^{(q)}_{ij}, & i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \end{cases} \] (16)

The sign of \( \otimes \) is used to replace the Kronecker product, and FCNNs system 13 can be expressed as

Assumption 2 (see [28–30]). For \( j \in 1, 2, \ldots, N, \forall s_1, s_2 < R, s_1 \neq s_2, \) the neural activation functions satisfy
\[ \sigma^+ \leq \frac{f_j(s_1) - f_j(s_2)}{s_1 - s_2} \leq \sigma^- . \] (11)

We define
\[ \Delta_1 = \text{diag}(\sigma^+_1, \sigma^-_1, \ldots, \sigma^+_n, \sigma^-_n), \]
\[ \Delta_2 = \text{diag}(\frac{\sigma^+_1 + \sigma^-_1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{\sigma^+_n + \sigma^-_n}{2}). \] (12)

From T-S fuzzy model concept, for the first time, a class of FNNS with pinning controllers is described here. Model 1 with T-S theory is described.

Rule 1: if \( \theta_1(t) \) is \( F_{i1} \), \( \theta_2(t) \) is \( F_{i2} \), \( \theta_g(t) \) is \( F_{ig} \), then
\[ \dot{z}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_i(\theta(t)) \left( -(I_N \otimes C_i)z(t) + (I_N \otimes A_i)F(z(t)) + (I_N \otimes B_i)F(z(t - \tau(t))) + (G^{(1)} \otimes D_{1i})z(t) + (G^{(2)} \otimes D_{2i})z(t - \tau(t)) + \bar{U}(t) \right), \] (17)

\[ \theta(t) = [\theta_1(t), \theta_2(t), \ldots, \theta_g(t)], \quad \mu_i(\theta(t)) = \frac{\omega_i(\theta(t))}{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \omega_i(\theta(t))}, \quad F_{ij}(\theta(t)) \text{ is the grade of membership of } \theta_j(t) \text{ in } F_{ij}; \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_i(\theta(t)) = 1. \] (18)

The controllers of a set of fuzzy rules are written as follows.

Rule 1: If \( \theta_1(t) \) is \( F_{i1} \), \( \theta_2(t) \) is \( F_{i2} \), \( \theta_g(t) \) is \( F_{ig} \), then
\[ \bar{U}(t) = (L^{(1)} \otimes D_{1i})z(t) + (L^{(2)} \otimes D_{2i})z(t - \tau(t)), \] (19)

The resulting FCNNs system can be rewritten as
Lemma 1. System (17) is synchronized if the following equation holds:

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \|z_i(t) - z_j(t)\| = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \tag{21}
\]

Lemma 2 (Jensen’s inequality). For any real matrix \( \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), \( \Theta^T = \Theta > 0 \), constant \( \mu > 0 \) and \( \omega: [0, \mu] \to \mathbb{R}^n \), then

\[
\mu \int_0^\mu \omega^T(s) \Theta \omega(s) ds \geq \left( \int_0^\mu \omega(s) ds \right) \Theta \left( \int_0^\mu \omega(s) ds \right). \tag{23}
\]

Lemma 3 (see [32]). For symmetric constant matrix

\[
\Theta = \begin{bmatrix}
\Theta_{11} & \Theta_{12} & \Theta_{13} \\
* & \Theta_{22} & \Theta_{23} \\
* & * & \Theta_{33}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \Theta_{ki} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, 1 \leq q \leq 3, 1 \leq k \leq 3,
\]

0 < \tau (t) \leq \tau, and vector function \( \dot{z}(t): [-\tau, 0) \to \mathbb{R}^{3N} \), then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
- \tau(t) \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t & \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\dot{z}(s) \\
F(z(s)) \\
\dot{z}(s)
\end{array} \right] ds, \\
& \leq \psi^T(t) \begin{bmatrix}
U \otimes \Theta_{11} & U \otimes \Theta_{12} & U \otimes \Theta_{13} \\
* & U \otimes \Theta_{22} & U \otimes \Theta_{23} \\
* & * & U \otimes \Theta_{33}
\end{bmatrix} \psi(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \psi^T(t) = \left( \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t z(s)ds \right)^T, \psi^T(t) = \left( \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t F(z(s))ds \right)^T, \zeta(t) = \left[ \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t (U \otimes \Theta_1)z(s)ds \right]^T, \zeta(t) = \left[ \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t (U \otimes \Theta_2)z(s)ds \right]^T, \zeta(t) = \left[ \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t (U \otimes \Theta_3)z(s)ds \right]^T. \]

3. Synchronization Results for Fuzzy System

First, we consider the synchronization results of FCNNs without control. Whereafter, we will establish some sufficient conditions which ensure synchronization of FCNNs.

3.1. Synchronization for FNNs without Control. In this section, we first study the synchronization criteria for TFNNSs with time-varying delay and hybrid coupling:

\[
\dot{z}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i(\theta(t))(-I_N \otimes C_i)z(t) + (I_N \otimes A_i)F(z(t)) \\
+ (I_N \otimes B_i)F(z(t - \tau(t))) \\
+ \left( G(1) \otimes D_{1i} \right)z(t) + \left( G(2) \otimes D_{2i} \right)z(t - \tau(t)) \\
+ \left( L(1) \otimes D_{3i} \right)z(t) + \left( L(2) \otimes D_{ab} \right)z(t - \tau(t)). \tag{25}
\]

Theorem 1. For \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, r \), system 25 is synchronized if \( P_{\mu} > 0 \) and \( J_1 > 0, J_2 > 0 \), then the following formulas are holding for all \( 1 \leq i < j \leq N \):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Pi_{11} & -\Delta_{ij} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdot & * & * & \cdots & * \\
\cdot & * & * & \cdots & * \\
\cdot & * & * & \cdots & * \\
\cdot & * & * & \cdots & * \\
\end{bmatrix} < 0, \tag{26}
\]

where

\[
\Pi_{11} = -P_1 C_i - C_i P_1 - J_1 \Delta_1 - N G_{ij}^{(1)} P_1 D_{1i} \\
- N G_{ij}^{(1)} D_{1i}^TP_1^T + P_2 + \tau P_3. \tag{27}
\]

Proof. Consider \( U \) as Lemma 1; for system (17), we have

\[
V_1(t) = z^T(t)(U \otimes P_1)z(t),
\]

\[
V_2(t) = \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t z^T(s)(U \otimes P_2)z(s)ds,
\]

\[
V_3(t) = \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t \int_0^\mu z^T(s)(U \otimes P_3)z(s)dsd\theta.
\]

Deriving time of system (17),
\[ V_1(t) = 2z^T(t)(U \otimes P_1)\dot{z}(t), \]
\[ = 2z^T(t)(U \otimes P_1) \sum_{l=1}^r \mu_l(\theta(t))[-(I_N \otimes C_l)z(t) + (I_N \otimes A_l)F(z(t)), \]
\[ + (I_N \otimes B_l)F(z(t - \tau(t))) + (G^{[1]} \otimes D_{l1})z(t) + (G^{[2]} \otimes D_{l2})z(t - \tau(t))], \]
\[ V_2(t) \leq z^T(t)(U \otimes P_2)z(t) - (1 - \mu)z^T(t)(U \otimes P_2)z(t - \tau(t)), \]
\[ V_3(t) \leq \tau z^T(t)(U \otimes P_3)z(t) - (1 - \mu)\int_{t-\tau(t)}^t \left( z^T(s) \right)(U \otimes P_3)z(s)ds, \]
\[ \leq \tau z^T(t)(U \otimes P_3)z(t) - \frac{1 - \mu}{\tau} \left( \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t z(s)ds \right)^T(U \otimes P_3) \left( \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t z(s)ds \right). \]

From reference [33] and Assumption 2, for any diagonal matrix \( J_1, J_2 \), we have

\[ 0 \leq \begin{bmatrix} z_i(t) - z_j(t) \\ f(z_i(t)) - f(z_j(t)) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} -J_1 \Delta_1 & J_1 \Delta_2 \\ * & -J_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_i(t) - z_j(t) \\ f(z_i(t)) - f(z_j(t)) \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ + \begin{bmatrix} z_i(t - \tau(t)) - z_j(t - \tau(t)) \\ f(z_i(t - \tau(t))) - f(z_j(t - \tau(t))) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} -J_2 \Delta_1 & J_2 \Delta_2 \\ * & -J_2 \end{bmatrix}, \]
\[ \times \begin{bmatrix} z_i(t - \tau(t)) - z_j(t - \tau(t)) \\ f(z_i(t - \tau(t))) - f(z_j(t - \tau(t))) \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ \text{in which } \xi_{ij}(t) = \left( (z_i(t) - z_j(t))^T, (z_i(t - \tau(t)) - z_j(t - \tau(t)))^T, (f(z_i(t)) - f(z_j(t)))^T, \right. \]
\[ \left. (f(z_i(t - \tau(t))) - f(z_j(t - \tau(t))))^T, \right( \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t z_i(s) - z_j(s)ds \right)^T, \text{ and } \Theta_{ij}^T \text{ is the same in Theorem 1. From Definition 1, system (25) is synchronized when } \Theta_{ij}^T < 0. \]

Note that, in Theorem 1, we did not introduce free-weighting matrix. Next, we will choose other Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional and introduce more free-weighting matrices, which can add more useful conditions.

**Theorem 2.** For \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, r \), system 25 is synchronized if there exist \( P_{q1} > 0, Q_{q2} > 0, W > 0, \ (q = 1, 2, 3) \), \( P_{\sigma q}, Q_{\sigma q}, T \), \( 1 \leq s < q \leq 3 \), and \( J_1 > 0, J_2 > 0 \); then, the following formulas are holding for all \( 1 \leq i \leq j \leq N \):
\[ P = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} \\ * & P_{22} & P_{23} \\ * & * & P_{33} \end{bmatrix} > 0, \]
\[ Q = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{13} \\ * & Q_{22} & Q_{23} \\ * & * & Q_{33} \end{bmatrix} > 0, \]
\begin{equation}
\Omega_{ij}^t = \begin{bmatrix}
\Xi_{11} & \Xi_{12} & \frac{1}{r} \mu Q_{13} & \frac{1-r}{r} Q_{13}^T & \Xi_{17} & 0 \\
* & \Xi_{22} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-r}{r} Q_{23}^T & \Xi_{27} & 0 \\
* & * & \Xi_{33} & \frac{1-r}{r} Q_{11} & 0 & -NG^{(2)}_{ij} D_{ij}^T T^T & -(1-r)P_{13} \\
* & * & * & \frac{1-r}{r} Q_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * & * & \frac{1-r}{r} Q_{22}^T & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * & * & * & \Xi_{77} & 0 \\
* & * & * & * & * & * & -(1-r)P_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{equation}

where
\[
\Xi_{11} = -WC_l - C_l^T W^T + P_{11} + rQ_{11} - \frac{1}{r} \mu Q_{33} - J_1 \Delta_1, \\
\Xi_{12} = P_{12} + \tau Q_{12} + WA_l - NG^{(1)}_{ij} W D_{ij} + J_1 \Delta_2, \\
\Xi_{14} = WB_l - NG^{(2)}_{ij} W D_{ij}, \\
\Xi_{17} = \tau Q_{13} - C_l T^T - NG^{(1)}_{ij} D_{ij}^T T^T, \\
\Xi_{22} = P_{22} + \tau Q_{22} - J_1, \\
\Xi_{27} = P_{23} + \tau Q_{23} + A_l T^T, \\
\Xi_{33} = -(1-r)P_{11} - \frac{1-r}{r} Q_{33} - J_2 \Delta_1, \\
\Xi_{34} = -(1-r)P_{12} + J_2 \Delta_2, \\
\Xi_{44} = -(1-r)P_{22} - J_2, \\
\Xi_{77} = P_{33} + \tau Q_{33} - T - T^T.
\]

Proof. From Assumptions 1 and 2, consider the following LKF for model (17):
\[ V(t) = V_1(t) + V_2(t) + V_3(t), \]
where
\[ V_1(t) = z^T(t) (U \otimes W) z(t), \]

\[ V_2(t) = \int_{t-t}^{t} F(z(s)) \begin{bmatrix} z(s) \\ \dot{z}(s) \end{bmatrix} ds, \]

\[ V_3(t) = \int_{t-t}^{t} \int_{0}^{\theta} F(z(s)) \begin{bmatrix} z(s) \\ \dot{z}(s) \end{bmatrix} ds d\theta. \]
Calculating the time derivative of system 29, then

\[ \dot{V}_1(t) = 2z^T(t)(U \otimes W) \dot{z}(t), \]

\[ = 2z^T(t)(U \otimes W) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_i(\theta(t))[-(I_N \otimes C_i)z(t) + (I_N \otimes A_i)F(z(t)), \]

\[ + (I_N \otimes B_i)F(z(t - \tau(t))) + (G^{(1)} \otimes D_{1i})F(z(t)), \]

\[ + (G^{(2)} \otimes D_{2i})F(z(t - \tau(t)))], \]

\[ \dot{V}_2(t) = \begin{bmatrix} z(t) \\ F(z(t)) \\ \dot{z}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \otimes P_{11} & U \otimes P_{12} & U \otimes P_{13} \\ * & U \otimes P_{22} & U \otimes P_{23} \\ * & * & U \otimes P_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z(t) \\ F(z(t)) \\ \dot{z}(t) \end{bmatrix} - (1 - \hat{\tau}(t)), \]

\[ \times \begin{bmatrix} z(t - \tau(t)) \\ F(z(t - \tau(t))) \\ \dot{z}(t - \tau(t)) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \otimes P_{11} & U \otimes P_{12} & U \otimes P_{13} \\ * & U \otimes P_{22} & U \otimes P_{23} \\ * & * & U \otimes P_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z(t - \tau(t)) \\ F(z(t - \tau(t))) \\ \dot{z}(t - \tau(t)) \end{bmatrix}. \]

\[ V_3(t) = \tau(t) \begin{bmatrix} z(t) \\ F(z(t)) \\ \dot{z}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \otimes Q_{11} & U \otimes Q_{12} & U \otimes Q_{13} \\ * & U \otimes Q_{22} & U \otimes Q_{23} \\ * & * & U \otimes Q_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z(t) \\ F(z(t)) \\ \dot{z}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ - (1 - \hat{\tau}(t)) \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} z(s) \\ F(z(s)) \\ \dot{z}(s) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \otimes Q_{11} & U \otimes Q_{12} & U \otimes Q_{13} \\ * & U \otimes Q_{22} & U \otimes Q_{23} \\ * & * & U \otimes Q_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z(s) \\ F(z(s)) \\ \dot{z}(s) \end{bmatrix} ds, \]

\[ \leq \tau \begin{bmatrix} z(t) \\ F(z(t)) \\ \dot{z}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \otimes Q_{11} & U \otimes Q_{12} & U \otimes Q_{13} \\ * & U \otimes Q_{22} & U \otimes Q_{23} \\ * & * & U \otimes Q_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z(t) \\ F(z(t)) \\ \dot{z}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ - \frac{1 - \mu}{\tau} \psi^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} U \otimes Q_{11} & U \otimes Q_{12} & U \otimes Q_{13} \\ * & U \otimes Q_{22} & U \otimes Q_{23} \\ * & * & U \otimes Q_{33} \end{bmatrix} \psi(t), \]

From Lemmas 2 and 3, we can acquire...
where \( \psi^T(t) = \left[ \left( \int_{t-	au(t)}^t z(s)ds \right)^T, \left( \int_{t-	au(t)}^t F(z(s))ds \right)^T \right] \).

Note that if \( X \) is a matrix with zero column sums, then \( UX = NX \); from Lemma 1, we have

\[
\dot{V}_1(t) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left( z_i(t) - z_j(t) \right)^T \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i(\theta(t)) \left[ (-WC_i) \left( z_i(t) - z_j(t) \right), \right.
\]

\[
+ \left( WA_i - NG_{ij}^{(1)} WD_{1i} \right) \left( f(z_i(t)) - f(z_j(t)) \right),
\]

\[
+ \left( WB_i - NG_{ij}^{(2)} WD_{2i} \right) \left( f(z_i(t-	au(t_1))) - f\left( z_j(t-	au(t_1)) \right) \right) \right],
\]

\[
\dot{V}_2(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left[ z_i(t) - z_j(t) \right]^T \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} \\ P_{22} & * & P_{23} \\ * & * & P_{33} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_i(t) - z_j(t) \\ f(z_i(t)) - f(z_j(t)) \\ f(z_i(t-	au(t))) - f\left( z_j(t-	au(t)) \right) \end{array} \right] 
\]

\[
- (1 - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left[ z_i(t-	au(t)) - z_j(t-	au(t)) \right]^T \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} \\ P_{22} & * & P_{23} \\ * & * & P_{33} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_i(t-	au(t)) - z_j(t-	au(t)) \\ f(z_i(t-	au(t))) - f\left( z_j(t-	au(t)) \right) \\ f(z_i(t-	au(t))) - f\left( z_j(t-	au(t)) \right) \end{array} \right],
\]

\[
\dot{V}_3(t) \leq \tau \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left[ z_i(t) - z_j(t) \right]^T \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{13} \\ Q_{22} & Q_{23} & Q_{23} \\ * & Q_{33} & Q_{33} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_i(t) - z_j(t) \\ f(z_i(t)) - f(z_j(t)) \\ f(z_i(t-	au(t))) - f\left( z_j(t-	au(t)) \right) \end{array} \right] 
\]

\[
- \frac{1 - \mu}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \int_{t-	au(t)}^t \left( z_i(s) - z_j(s) \right)ds \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{13} \\ Q_{22} & Q_{23} & Q_{23} \\ * & Q_{33} & Q_{33} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_i(t) - z_j(t) \\ f(z_i(s)) - f(z_j(s)) \\ f(z_i(t-	au(t))) - f\left( z_j(t-	au(t)) \right) \end{array} \right],
\]

\[
\times \left[ \int_{t-	au(t)}^t \left( z_i(s) - z_j(s) \right)ds \int_{t-	au(t)}^t \left( f(z_i(s)) - f(z_j(s)) \right)ds \right].
\]
For any matrix $T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, from system (17), we can easily obtain

$$0 = 2z^T(t)(U \otimes T) \sum_{l=1}^{r} \mu_l(\theta(t))(-\dot{z}(t) - (I_N \otimes C_i)z(t)) + (I_N \otimes A_l)F(z(t)),$$

$$+ (I_N \otimes B_l)F(z(t - \tau(t))) + (G^{(1)} \otimes D_{ij})z(t) + (G^{(2)} \otimes D_{ji})z(t - \tau(t)).$$

Let $\zeta_i^T(t) = ((z_i(t) - z_j(t))^T, f ((z_i(t)) - f (z_j(t)))^T, (z_i(t - \tau(t)) - z_j(t - \tau(t)))^T f ((z_i(t - \tau(t))) - f (z_i(t - \tau(t))))^T, (\int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} (z_i(s) - z_j(s))ds)^T (\int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} f (z_i(s)) - f (z_j(s)))ds)^T$, (\dot{z}_i(t) - \dot{z}_j(t))^T, (\dot{z}_i(t - \tau(t)) - \dot{z}_j(t - \tau(t)))^T, (\int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \dot{z}_i(s) - \dot{z}_j(s))ds)^T (\int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} f (\dot{z}_i(s)) - f (\dot{z}_j(s)))ds)^T$ from (32) and (41)-(44), we can obtain

$$\dot{V}(t) \leq \sum_{l=1}^{r} \mu_l(\theta(t)) \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} (\zeta_i^T(t) \Omega_{ij}^T \zeta_j(t)), \quad (45)$$

where $\Omega_{ij}^T$ is defined as (50). From Definition 1, it implies that system (17) is synchronized.

3.2. Synchronization for Fuzzy System with Pinning Control

This section deals with the pinning synchronization problem for the closed-loop T-S fuzzy neural networks:

$$\dot{z}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \mu_l(\theta(t)) \mu_k(\theta(t))(- (I_N \otimes C_i)z(t) + (I_N \otimes A_l)F(z(t)),$$

$$+ (I_N \otimes B_l)F(z(t - \tau(t))) + (G^{(1)} \otimes D_{ji})z(t) + (G^{(2)} \otimes D_{ij})z(t - \tau(t)),$$

$$+ (L^{(1)} \otimes D_{3k})z(t) + (L^{(2)} \otimes D_{4k})z(t - \tau(t))).$$

$$\Pi_{11} = -C_i X - X G_i - X J_i X A_1 - N G_{ij}^{(1)} D_{ii} X - N i_{ij}^{(1)} z_{ik}$$

$$- N G_{ij}^{(1)} X D_{ii}^T T - N L_{ij}^{(1)} z_{ik} + X P_2 X + \tau X P_3 X,$$

$$\Pi_{12} = -N G_{ij}^{(2)} D_{2k} X - N L_{ij}^{(2)} z_{ik},$$

$$\Pi_{22} = -(1 - \mu) X P_2 X - X J_i X A_1.$$

(48)

Proof. Based on Theorem 1, the feedback gains in the fuzzy coupled system are given by $D_{3k} = z_{3k} X^{-1}$ and $D_{4k} = z_{4k} X^{-1}$. Replace $N G_{ij}^{(1)} D_{ii}$ with $N G_{ij}^{(1)} D_{ii} + N L_{ij}^{(1)} D_{3k}$, $N G_{ij}^{(2)} D_{2k}$ with $N G_{ij}^{(2)} D_{4k} + N L_{ij}^{(2)} D_{3k}$. Pre-and postmultiply 13 with diag $[X; X; X; X; X]$, where $X^{-1} = P_i$; then, we can obtain the above criteria.

Theorem 4. For $l = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, system (46) is synchronized if there exists $P_{qq} > 0, Q_{qq} > 0$, $Q_{qq}, P_{qq}, (1 \leq s < q \leq 3)$, and $F_1 > 0, F_2 > 0$, $W > 0$; then, the following formulas are holding for all $1 \leq i < j \leq N$:

$$\begin{align*}
\Pi_{ii} &= -C_i X - X G_i - X J_i X A_1 - N G_{ij}^{(1)} D_{ii}^T X - N i_{ij}^{(1)} z_{ik} \\
&\quad - N G_{ij}^{(1)} X D_{ii}^T T - N L_{ij}^{(1)} z_{ik} + X P_2 X + \tau X P_3 X, \\
\Pi_{12} &= -N G_{ij}^{(2)} D_{2k} X - N L_{ij}^{(2)} z_{ik}, \\
\Pi_{22} &= -(1 - \mu) X P_2 X - X J_i X A_1.
\end{align*}$$

(49)
where

\[ X_{11} = -C_1 X - X C_i^T + X P_{11} X + \tau X Q_{11} X - \frac{1 - \mu}{\tau} X Q_{33} X - X J_1 X \Delta_1, \]

\[ X_{12} = X P_{12} X + \tau X Q_{12} X + A_{kl} X - N G_{ij}^{(l)} D_{ij} X - N l_{ij}^{(l)} z_k \]

\[ + X J_2 X \Delta_2, \]

\[ X_{14} = B_i X - N G_{ij}^{(2)} D_{ij} X - N l_{ij}^{(2)} z_k, \]

\[ X_{17} = X P_{13} X + \tau X Q_{13} X - X C_i - N G_{ij}^{(l)} D_{ij}^T X - N l_{ij}^{(l)} z_k^T, \]

\[ X_{22} = X P_{22} X + \tau X Q_{22} X - X J_1 X, \]

\[ X_{27} = X P_{23} X + \tau X Q_{23} X + X A_{kl}^T, \]

\[ X_{33} = -(1 - \mu) X P_{11} X - \frac{1 - \mu}{\tau} X Q_{33} X - X J_2 X \Delta_1, \]

\[ X_{34} = -(1 - \mu) X P_{12} X + X J_1 X \Delta_2, \]

\[ X_{37} = -N G_{ij}^{(l)} D_{ij}^T X - N l_{ij}^{(l)} z_k^T, \]

\[ X_{44} = -(1 - \mu) X P_{22} X - X J_2 X, \]

\[ X_{77} = X P_{33} X + \tau X Q_{33} X - X - X. \]

Proof. Based on Theorem 2, let \( T = W \), and the feedback gains in the fuzzy system are given by \( D_{3k} = z_{3k} X^{-1} \) and \( D_{4k} = z_{4k} X^{-1} \). Replace \( N G_{ij}^{(l)} D_{ij} \) with \( N G_{ij}^{(l)} D_{ij} + N l_{ij}^{(l)} D_{3k} \), \( N G_{ij}^{(2)} D_{ij} \) with \( N G_{ij}^{(2)} D_{ij} + N l_{ij}^{(2)} D_{3k} \). Pre- and postmultiply (35) with \( \text{diag}(X; X; X; X; X; X) \), where \( X^{-1} = W \); then, we can obtain the above criteria.

Remark 3. From these two pinning synchronized results, it is noted that the fuzzy pinning control gain matrices \( D_3 \) and \( D_4 \) can be computed, which can fix the communication connection graph topology \( k_{ij}^{(1)} \) and \( k_{ij}^{(2)} \). Such complex fuzzy pinning controllers are proposed for the first time.

4. Numerical Examples

This section provides a numerical example to illustrate the effectiveness of the obtained results. Assume the system without control first and then with pinning control.

4.1. Synchronization for Fuzzy Coupled Networks without Control. Consider the following TNNs model, the parameters in which are defined as
Figure 1: States of fuzzy neural networks (8) without pinning control \( (L^{(1)} = L^{(2)} = 0) \): \( z_i(t), i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \).

Figure 2: States of fuzzy neural networks (8) with pinning control: \( z_i(t), i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \).

Figure 3: Pinning synchronization errors for fuzzy neural networks: \( e_j(t), j = 1, 2 \).
\[
\dot{z}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{2} \mu_l(\theta(t))(-I_N \otimes C_l)z(t) + (I_N \otimes A_l)F(z(t))
\]
\[
+ (I_N \otimes B_l)F(z(t - \tau(t))),
\]
\[
+ (G^{(1)} \otimes D_{1l})z(t) + (G^{(2)} \otimes D_{2l})z(t - \tau(t))),
\]
\[
+ (L^{(1)} \otimes D_{3l})z(t) + (L^{(2)} \otimes D_{4l})z(t - \tau(t))),
\]
(52)

where

\[
C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix},
C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.8 & 10 \\ 0.1 & 1.8 \end{bmatrix},
B_1 = B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix},
D_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix},
D_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix},
D_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
D_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
\mu = 0, \tau = 0.97, \mu_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-2z_1(t))}, \mu_2 = 1 - \mu_1.
\]
(53)

The outer-coupling matrix \((G^{(q)}_{ij})_{N \times N}, (q = 1, 2)\), are defined as

\[
G^{(1)} = G^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix}
-5 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\
1 & -5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & -5 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -5 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 3 \\
3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & -15
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(54)

Then, we plot the states of network (52) without control in Figure 1. It is easy to see that the system cannot be synchronized by itself.

4.2. Pinning Synchronization for Fuzzy Neural Networks with Hybrid Coupling. Now, we consider the system with pinning control:

\[
\dot{z}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \mu_l(\theta(t))\mu_k(\theta(t))(-I_N \otimes C_l)z(t)
\]
\[
+ (I_N \otimes A_l)F(z(t)),
\]
\[
+ (I_N \otimes B_l)F(z(t - \tau(t))) + (G^{(1)} \otimes D_{1l})z(t),
\]
\[
+ (G^{(2)} \otimes D_{2l})z(t - \tau(t))),
\]
\[
+ (L^{(1)} \otimes D_{3l})z(t) + (L^{(2)} \otimes D_{4l})z(t - \tau(t))),
\]
(55)

The controllers’ parameters are as follows:

\[
L^{(1)} = L^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix}
-10 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\
2 & -10 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 0 \\
3 & 2 & -10 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 2 & -10 & 2 & 0 \\
3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & -10 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(56)

other parameters are the same in system (52).

According to Theorem 4, system (55) can achieve synchronization by pinning control. Solving the LMIs in Theorem 4, we can obtain the fuzzy pinning control gain matrices as follows:

\[
D_{31} = \begin{bmatrix}
6.7338 & 0 \\
0 & 9.7243
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
D_{32} = \begin{bmatrix}
6.7872 & 0 \\
0 & 9.6380
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
D_{41} = \begin{bmatrix}
4.2085 & 0 \\
0 & 5.8983
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
D_{42} = \begin{bmatrix}
4.1814 & 0 \\
0 & 5.9448
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(57)

From the examples, network (55) without control is shown in Figure 1, and the system with pinning control is shown in Figure 2. It is easy to see that the results are very good by our methods. We also show the synchronization errors in Figure 3, where \(e_j(t) = (z_{ij}(t) - z_{1j}(t))\), \(i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, j = 1, 2\).

5. Conclusion

This paper has investigated the synchronization of T-S FNNs by proposing a novel pinning control scheme. Instead of the presenting technique in prior, the proposed scheme regulates the column controllers by some events which are yielded by the relationships among the LKF and three nonnegative regions. Therefore, the traditional controllers have been improved as the event-dependent one in this paper. A concise criterion has been presented to guarantee the pinning synchronization of the considered CNNs. Simulations are finally provided to display the feasibility and improvements of the proposed pinning control scheme. Our results can only be studied as theoretical research now. We expect that the innovations of this paper can shed further light on the more problems (such as ([34–36]) under column controllers law. By the similar mechanism, our further directions include (1) design an intermittent output feedback controller and (2) design an intermittent adaptive controller.
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