Malignancies after mitoxantrone for multiple sclerosis
A retrospective cohort study

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the therapy-related risk of malignancies in mitoxantrone-treated patients with multiple sclerosis.

Methods: This retrospective observational cohort study included all mitoxantrone-treated patients with multiple sclerosis seen at our department between 1994 and 2007. We collected follow-up information on medically confirmed malignancies, life status, and cause of death, as of 2010. Malignancy rates were compared to the German national cancer registry matched for sex, age, and year of occurrence.

Results: Follow-up was completed in 676 of 677 identified patients. Median follow-up time was 8.7 years (interquartile range 6.8–11.2), corresponding to 6,220 person-years. Median cumulative mitoxantrone dose was 79.0 mg/m² (interquartile range 50.8–102.4). Thirty-seven patients (5.5%) were diagnosed with a malignancy after mitoxantrone initiation, revealing a standardized incidence ratio of 1.50 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.05–2.08). Entities included breast cancer (n = 9), colorectal cancer (n = 7), acute myeloid leukemia (n = 4, 0.6%), and others (each entity n = 1 or 2). The standardized incidence ratio of colorectal cancer was 2.98 (95% CI 1.20–6.14) and of acute myeloid leukemia 10.44 (95% CI 3.39–24.36). It was not increased for other entities including breast cancer. Multivariate Cox regression identified higher age at treatment initiation but neither cumulative mitoxantrone dose (>75 vs ≤75 mg/m²) nor treatment with other immunosuppressive drugs or sex as a risk factor. Fifty-five patients had died, among them 12 of a malignancy and 43 reportedly of other causes.

Conclusions: While the overall incidence of malignancies was only mildly increased, the risk of leukemia and colorectal cancer was heightened. If confirmed, posttherapy colonoscopy could become advisable.
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GLOSSARY

AML = acute myeloid leukemia; CI = confidence interval; MS = multiple sclerosis; SIR = standardized incidence ratio.

The cytotoxic anthrancenedione mitoxantrone has shown efficacy as a disease-modifying therapy in patients with worsening relapsing-remitting, progressive relapsing, and secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (MS). It is the only approved disease-modifying agent for patients with secondary progressive MS without superimposed relapses. Generic mitoxantrone is still being used for highly active relapsing MS in countries facing economic limits for the newer immunomodulatory drugs. Its application is limited mainly by the occurrence of systolic cardiac dysfunction in about 12% and of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in approximately 0.8% of treated patients. The mitoxantrone-associated incidence of AML has been investigated in several cohorts, but the incidence of other types of malignancies was analyzed in only a few studies, in which no increased risk was observed.

We retrospectively evaluated the occurrence of all types of malignancies in a large cohort of mitoxantrone-treated patients with MS seen at our department between 1994 and 2007 and
calculated standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) based on a comparison to the German general population.

METHODS Study design. Using an electronic search of our medical reports for "multiple sclerosis" AND "mitoxantrone" followed by manual chart review, we identified all patients with MS seen at our large German hospital-based MS center between January 1994 and December 2007 who had received mitoxantrone according to our medical records. Patient charts, questionnaires sent to patients and treating physicians, letters to registration offices, and phone calls to patients, relatives, and physicians were used to locate patients and to define patient demographics, immunosuppressive treatment history (azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, cyclosporine, methotrexate or treosulfan; not interferon β, glatiramer acetate, or glucocorticosteroids) before or after mitoxantrone, diagnoses of medically confirmed malignancies, life status, and cause of death. As prospectively defined, follow-up was considered complete in all patients for whom information on medically confirmed malignancies, present life status, and cause of death was available as of January 2011, allowing a minimum follow-up of 3 years after therapy initiation. Figure 1 shows our algorithm for patient ascertainment and characterization.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. The Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Würzburg approved the study. All patients who could be personally contacted gave written informed consent. Because of the nature of the study and based on German law, the Ethics Committee waived the requirement for informed consent and allowed collection and analysis of information from registration offices, physicians, and relatives on all patients who could not be contacted, e.g., because they were deceased. All persons disclosing information including relatives and doctors were informed about the purpose of this study and the Ethics Committee approval, and gave consent. Collected information was anonymized by code numbers and solely used for this study.

Statistical analyses. The SIR was used to describe the incidence of malignancies in our cohort as compared to the German general population (data from Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany). The SIR was defined as the ratio of observed to expected cases, taking into account age, sex, and year of occurrence in the mitoxantrone-treated patients with MS. Multivariate Cox regression was used to analyze malignancy risk factors using R software (version 2.15.2, package "survival"). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS We identified 677 mitoxantrone-treated patients with MS, of whom 676 received a complete follow-up as defined above. Among them, 17 had started mitoxantrone treatment before 1994. The table describes patient demographics, immunosuppressive treatment history, and follow-up. In most patients, mitoxantrone was administered at 12 mg/m² every 3 months while a minority (n = 152) received induction therapy with 3 monthly cycles of 12 mg/m² followed by maintenance infusions every 3 months. Mitoxantrone standard doses were adjusted according to the leukocyte nadir 10 to 14 days after infusion (target range: 2–3 × 10⁹/L). Upon clinical stabilization, mitoxantrone was reduced to 5 mg/m² per infusion as maintenance dose.

Malignancies. We identified 37 patients (5.5%) in whom a malignancy was confirmed after mitoxantrone initiation according to medical reports. Entities included breast cancer (n = 9, all female), colorectal cancer (n = 7), AML (n = 4, 0.6%), glioblastoma multiforme, lung, pancreatic, or prostate cancer (each n = 2), and 9 other types of malignancies (each n = 1).

Acute myeloid leukemia. Three patients with AML were diagnosed with the French-American-British M3 and one with the M4Eo subtype, all previously unreported. Median time from mitoxantrone initiation to AML diagnosis was 35 months (24,
30, 40, 265) at a median age of 38 years (22, 28, 47, 60). The patient with a latency of more than 20 years had also received azathioprine for 1 year, 2 years before starting mitoxantrone, while the other patients had not received other immunosuppressive drugs. Median cumulative mitoxantrone dose was 98 mg/m² (108, 87, 112, 51). All 4 patients with AML experienced full remission upon treatment and were alive at the end of follow-up.

Colorectal cancer. The 7 patients with colorectal cancer had a median age at cancer diagnosis of 58 years (range 53–73). Median cumulative mitoxantrone dose was 61 mg/m² (range 36–115). The median interval between mitoxantrone initiation and cancer diagnosis was 74 months (range 12–135). At diagnosis, the tumor stage was pT3 in 6 cases and pT4 in one case; 6 had local lymph node and 4 distant metastases. Three of these patients died at age 53, 61, and 67, all from their cancer. One of 7 patients had received immunosuppressive drugs other than mitoxantrone (azathioprine for 11 years, and methotrexate or cyclophosphamide for shorter periods).

Mortality. In the whole cohort, 55 patients (8.1%) had died, 12 of a malignancy and 43, as reported by treating physicians, of other causes. Among these, 17 patients had died of a bacterial infection, 11 of respiratory failure ascribed to MS, 4 of suicide, 3 of acute heart failure, 2 of status epilepticus, 1 of ileus, 1 of cachexia, and the remaining 4 patients of other reported reasons. No patient had a postmortem examination.

SIRs and malignancy risk factors. The SIR for any type of malignancy was mildly increased compared to the German general population. The SIRs for AML and for colorectal cancer were increased but not for any other entity including breast cancer (figure 2A). Finally, we performed multivariate Cox regression analyses to identify potential malignancy risk factors. Higher age at mitoxantrone initiation was identified as a risk factor while neither cumulative mitoxantrone dose (>75 vs ≤75 mg/m²) nor treatment with other immunosuppressive drugs nor sex showed an influence (figure 2B).

DISCUSSION In our cohort of mitoxantrone-treated patients with MS, we observed a previously undescribed 3-fold increased incidence of colorectal cancer and a 10-fold increased incidence of AML, while the overall rate of all malignancies was only mildly increased as compared to the general population. Unfortunately, all 7 patients with colorectal cancer were diagnosed at an advanced stage—with a type of cancer that usually takes long to develop and can be treated more effectively when diagnosed earlier. Our incidence of AML was within the reported range. All 4 patients with AML were successfully treated, while 3 of 7 patients with colorectal cancer had died of their tumor, making colorectal cancer appear to be a more life-threatening adverse effect than AML in our cohort.

Our study is limited by its relatively small cohort size. The risk of an underreporting bias appears low as the diagnosis of a malignancy usually represents a life-changing event for the patient that is made known to all treating physicians and the family. Bias was further reduced by collecting redundant information from various sources. The high rate of a complete follow-up in a prespecified cohort helped in overcoming some inherent weaknesses of the retrospective design. We cannot exclude that additional malignancies may have escaped proper diagnosis, because a thorough checkup was not routinely done and none of the deceased patients had an autopsy. Moreover, additional mitoxantrone-related malignancies might have developed if longer follow-up periods had been available. Both factors could result in underestimating the mitoxantrone-related risk of malignancies. Because of inconsistent data on the incidence of malignancies in patients with MS as compared to the general population, it remains unclear whether and how the chosen control group influenced our results. No data on the incidence of malignancies in a sizable control cohort of

| Table | Patient demographics, treatment history, and follow-up (n = 677) |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Female, n (%) | 455 (67.2) |
| Median age at mitoxantrone initiation, y (IQR, range) | 41 (33–47, 15–75) |
| Patients with a purely relapsing disease course at mitoxantrone initiation, n (%) | 235 (34.7) |
| Median EDSS score at mitoxantrone initiation (IQR, range) | 5.5 (4.0–6.0, 1.0–8.5) |
| Median cumulative mitoxantrone dose, mg/m² (IQR, range) | 79.0 (50.8–102.4, 9.0–186.0) |
| Patients with other immunosuppressive therapy* before or after mitoxantrone, n (%) | 239 (35.3) |
| Median time of follow-up or time to diagnosis of a malignancy, y (IQR, range) | 8.7 (6.8–11.2, 0.9–22.1) |

Abbreviations: EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; IQR = interquartile range.

*See the Methods section for definition.
German patients with MS not having received mitoxantrone were available for our study. Treatment of about one-third of our patients with other immunosuppressive drugs might have led us to overestimate the mitoxantrone-related risk. This may only weakly apply to colorectal cancer, as only one of 7 patients had received other immunosuppressive drugs.

Despite an increased risk of AML and colorectal cancer, the overall incidence of all malignancies in our cohort appears acceptably low to justify mitoxantrone treatment in severely affected patients with MS if no better therapeutic alternative is available. Mitoxantrone is currently the only approved treatment for patients with secondary progressive MS without superimposed relapses and should be considered in patients with rapidly evolving disabling disease; moreover, many of the new and highly effective immunotherapies are not available to the majority of patients in a number of countries for economic reasons and therefore mitoxantrone is still being used for patients with very active relapsing forms of the disease. In practical terms, posttreatment colonoscopy might improve the risk–benefit ratio of this highly active immunosuppressive drug.
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