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Abstract
In this paper, mathematical models of biofilm mixtures of n-butanol biofilters were discussed. The model is based on the mass transfer in the biofilm interface and chemical oxidation in the biofilm phase and gas phase. An approximate analytical expression of concentration profiles of n-butanol in the biofilm phase and gas phase has been derived using the homotopy perturbation method and hyperbolic function method for all possible values of parameters. Furthermore, in this work, the numerical simulation of the problem is also reported using the Matlab program. Good agreement between the analytical and numerical results is noted. Graphical results are presented and discussed quantitatively to illustrate the solution. The analytical results will be useful in finding the yields of biomass and oxygen consumption, the specific biomass surface area, activation energy and saturation constant for the Michaelis-Menten kinetics.
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1. Introduction
Several volatile organic compounds such as n-butanol, acetone, styrene, toluene, and DMDS are emanated from industrial sources that are unhealthy to humanistic well-being and may motive vomiting, irritability and upset the nervous and respiratory systems [1]. VOCs imperil air aspect and inartificial environs by giving greenhouse consequences and cooperate in the generation of the stratospheric ozone layer [1]. Also, Ketones Lee et al. [2] successfully applied the biofiltration technology for the deterioration of some hydrophilic compounds such as
liquors and, oxygen must also disband in the damp layer and spread to the biofilm [3]. In a macro kinetic approach, it is assumed that mass relocates restraint can be ignored [4] [5]. A few results have shown that macro kinetics models fit well with the experimental elimination capacities (EC’s) [6] [7] [8] [9], though there are several models and expressions available in the literature that corresponds to various phenomena and processes at biofilter. Eshraghi et al. [10] studied the effect of operating temperature on the removal of n-butanol vapor in a biofilter.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no rigorous analytical expression available to date for the steady-state concentration. As a result, in this work, we focus on obtaining a feeling for the steady-state concentration of n-butanol in the biofilm phase and gas phase. Further, the expression helps us to analyze the physical response related to the parameters in the biofilter model.

2. Mathematical Modeling of the Boundary Value Problem

The modeling was developed by using the following assumption [10]:

- The biofilm is formed on the outside surface of the packing materials, and there is no reaction in the pores and the biofilm completely covers the surface of the packaging materials.
- Compared to the size of solid particles, the biofilm is very thin; hence planar geometry is used.
- n-butanol is the sole reactant that influences the biodegradation rate, and oxygen does not limit the reaction.
- Arrhenius equation is used for the temperature dependence of the biodegradation rate constant.
- The plug flow model is applied to the gas phase.
- The air/biofilm interface concentration of n-butanol meets Henry’s rule by assuming the same air/water partition coefficients.
- There is no boundary layer at the air/biofilm interface. Thus, gas-phase resistance is assumed negligible.
- The biofilm properties (δ, A, and density) are constant all over the bed.
- The temperature gradient inside the biofilm is negligible.

1) Mass Balance in the Biofilm Phase

The steady-state mass balance equation for Michaelis-Menten kinetics in the biofilm may be written as follows [11]:

\[
D \frac{d^2 S}{dx^2} = \frac{2E}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T} \right) \frac{EC_{\text{max}} S}{K_m + S}
\]

where \(S\) is the concentration of n-butanol, \(EC_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum of elimination capacity \(K_m\) is the Michaelis-Menten constant, \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient, \(E\) is the activation energy, \(R\) is the ideal gas constant and \(T\) is the kelvin temperature. The boundary conditions Eshraghi et al. [10] for the above equation at the air/biofilm interface are as follows:
At $x = 0$, $S = \frac{C}{H}$

At $x = \delta$, \( \frac{dS}{dx} = 0 \)

2) Mass Balance in Gas Phase

The concentration profile of n-butanol in the gas phase may be written as follows:

$$u \frac{dC}{dx} = A_s D \left[ \frac{dS}{dx} \right]_{x=0}$$

where $u$ is the superficial velocity of gas flow, $A_s$ is the biofilm specific area, $C$ is the n-butanol concentration in the gas phase and $D$ is diffusion coefficient.

The corresponding boundary condition is

At $z = 0$, $C = C_i$

3) Dimensionless Mass Balance Equation in the Biofilm Phase

The non-linear differential Equation (1) is made dimensionless form by defining the following dimensionless parameters:

$$S^* = \frac{S}{S_i}, \quad x^* = \frac{x}{\delta}, \quad \phi = \frac{\delta^2 e^{\frac{E(1+1)}{RT(T-1)T_{ref}}}}{DK_w EC_{max}}, \quad \beta = \frac{S_i}{K_w}$$

Using the above dimensionless variables, Equation (1) reduces to the following dimensionless form:

$$\frac{d^2S^*}{dx'^2} = \phi \left( \frac{S^*}{1 + \beta S^*} \right)$$

The corresponding boundary conditions for the above Equation (7) can be expressed as

$S^* = 1$ at $x^* = 0$

$$\frac{dS^*}{dx^*} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x^* = 1$$

4) Dimensionless Mass Balance in the Gas Phase

By defining the following dimensionless parameters, the differential Equation (4) is made dimensionless form:

$$C^* = \frac{C}{C_i}, \quad z^* = \frac{z}{H}, \quad x^* = \frac{x}{\delta}, \quad A = \frac{HA_s DS}{u^2 \delta C_i}$$

Using the variables, Equation (4) can be expressed in the dimensionless form as follows:

$$\left( \frac{dC^*}{dz^*} \right) = A \left( \frac{dS^*}{dx^*} \right)_{x^*=0}$$

The respective boundary condition for the above mentioned Equation (11) can be described as
3. Analytical Expression for the Concentrations for Values of Parameter Using HPM

HPM couples the homotopy technology and perturbation. The primary deficiencies in applying perturbation methods are that a small parameter is needed in the equations. The HPM was further developed and improved and applied to nonlinear oscillators [11], nonlinear wave equations [12], boundary value problem [13], bifurcation problems [14], etc. Abukhaled and Khuri [15] obtained a semi-analytical solution of nonlinear equations in amperometric enzymatic reactions. This method was based on constructing a Green’s function and employing a fixed point iterative scheme [16] [17]. In recent years, the application of the homotopy perturbation method in nonlinear problems has been developed by scientists and engineers [18] [19]. Most perturbation methods assume a small parameter exists, but most nonlinear problems have no small parameter at all. Unlike analytical perturbation methods, the HPM and HAM do not depend on a small parameter, which is difficult to find [20] [21]. Using the homotopy perturbation method (Appendix A), the concentration of n-butanol in the biofilm phase is obtained as follows:

\[
C^* = 1 \text{ at } z^* = 0
\]  

(12)

\[
S^*(x^*) = \frac{\cosh\sqrt{\phi}(x^* - 1)}{\cosh\sqrt{\phi}} - \frac{\beta \cosh 2\sqrt{\phi}(x^* - 1)}{6 \cosh^2 \sqrt{\phi}} + \frac{\beta}{2 \cosh \sqrt{\phi}} \\
+ \left[ \frac{\beta \cosh 2\sqrt{\phi}}{6 \cosh^2 \sqrt{\phi}} - \frac{\beta}{2 \cosh \sqrt{\phi}} \right] \left[ \frac{\cosh\sqrt{\phi}(x^* - 1)}{\cosh\sqrt{\phi}} \right]
\]

(13)

Solving Equation (11) using boundary condition (12) the concentrations of n-butanol in gas phase can be obtained as follows:

\[
C^*(z^*) = 1 + z^* A \tanh\sqrt{\phi} \left[ \frac{2 \beta \sqrt{\phi}}{3} - 1 - \sqrt{\phi} \left( \frac{\beta \cosh 2\sqrt{\phi}}{6 \cosh^2 \sqrt{\phi}} - \frac{\beta}{2 \cosh^2 \sqrt{\phi}} \right) \right]
\]

(14)

4. Analytical S Expression for the Concentrations Using Hyperbolic Function Method

In order to use the new analytical method, the trail solution for Equation (7) is given below:

\[
S^*(x^*) = A \cosh(mx^*) + B \sinh(mx^*)
\]

(15)

where \(A, B, m\) are constants. Using the boundary conditions (8) and (9), we get the constant

\[
A = 1, \quad B = -\frac{\sinh(m)}{\cosh(m)}
\]

(16)

Now Equation (15) reduces to

\[
S^*(x^*) = \cosh(mx^*) - \frac{\sinh(m)}{\cosh(m)} \sinh(mx^*)
\]

(17)
where \( m \) is constant. This constant can be obtained as follows:

Equation (7) can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{d^2S^*(x^*)}{dx^2} = m^2 \cosh(mx^*) - \left( \frac{\sinh(m)}{\cosh(m)} \right) m^2 \sinh(mx^*).
\] (18)

Substituting Equation (18) in Equation (7), we get the following result.

\[
m^2 \cosh(mx^*) - \left( \frac{\sinh(m)}{\cosh(m)} \right) m^2 \sinh(mx^*)
\frac{\phi \left( \cosh(mx^*) - \left( \frac{\sinh(m)}{\cosh(m)} \right) \sinh(mx^*) \right)}{1 + \beta \left( \cosh(mx^*) - \left( \frac{\sinh(m)}{\cosh(m)} \right) \sinh(mx^*) \right)}
\] (19)

When \( x = 0 \), the above results becomes

\[
m^2 = \frac{\phi}{1 + \beta}.
\] (20)

Using Equation (20), the value of \( m \) becomes as follows:

\[
m = \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{1 + \beta}}
\] (21)

The concentration of \( n \)-butanol can be obtained in the biofilm process by inserting Equation (21) in Equation (17), as follows:

\[
S^*(x^*) = \cosh \left( \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{1 + \beta}} x \right) - \frac{\sinh \left( \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{1 + \beta}} x \right)}{\cosh \left( \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{1 + \beta}} x \right)}
\]

\[
\frac{\cosh \left( \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{1 + \beta}} (x - 1) \right)}{\cosh \left( \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{1 + \beta}} \right)}.
\] (22)

Hyperbolic function method is a special case of exponential function method [22].

5. Results and Discussion

Equations (13) & (14) represent the simple and new analytical expressions of the concentration of \( n \)-butanol in biofilm-phase (\( S^* \)) and in the gas-phase (\( C^* \)) respectively. The concentration of \( n \)-butanol in the biofilm-phase and the gas-phase depends upon the parameters \( \phi \) and \( \beta \). The variation in the dimensionless variable \( \phi \) can be achieved by varying either the thickness (\( \delta \)) or diffusivity of the biofilm (\( D \)). The parameter \( \beta \) depends upon the initial concentration (\( S_i \)) and half-saturation constant (\( K_u \)).

The experimental setup for the biofiltration of this organic compound is given
in Figure 1. Figure 2 represents the concentration of n-butanol $S^*$ in the biofilm phase versus dimensionless height $x^*$ for different values of $\beta$ and $\phi$. From Figure 2(a), it is inferred that the concentration of n-butanol increases when $\phi$ decreases for the fixed value of $\beta$. Figure 2(b), represents the concentration of n-butanol in the biofilm-phase increases when $\beta$ increases for some fixed values of $\phi$.

Figure 3 exhibits the concentration of n-butanol $C^*$ in the gas phase for different values of $A, \phi$ and $\beta$. From Figure 3(a), it is inferred that the concentration of n-butanol in the gas phase increases when $\phi, A$ decreases From Figure 3(b), it is observed the concentration of n-butanol in the gas phase increases when $\beta$ increases. From Figure 3(c), it is inferred that the concentration of n-butanol in the gas phase increases when $A$ decreases for the fixed value of $\phi$ and $\beta$. Figure 4

![Figure 1. Schematic of the laboratory BF set up [10].](image-url)
Figure 2. (a) Effect of parameter $\phi$ on the concentration of n-butanol $S'$ in the biofilm phase using Equation (13); (b) Effect of parameter $\beta$ on the concentration of n-butanol $S'$ in the biofilm phase using Equation (13).

Figure 3. Comparison of concentration n-butanol $C'$ in the gas phase with simulation results, when (a) $\beta = 0.1$ for various values of the parameter $\phi$; (b) $\phi = 1$ for various values of the parameter $\beta$; and (c) $\phi = 1, \beta = 0.01$ for various values of the parameter $A$. The key to the graph: Solid lines represented the numerical simulation and dotted lines represent Equation (14).
Figure 4. Comparison of concentration of n-butanol Equation (14) with experimental result [10] for the parameters $\phi = 10$ & $\beta = 0.0001$.

represents n-butanol concentration for different values of $z^*$ and compared with analytical method numerical simulation and experimental results (Eshraghi et al. 2016).

6. Differential Sensitivity Analysis of Parameters

The sensitivity analysis of the parameter is given in Figure 5 & Figure 6. From the analysis it is inferred that the reaction and diffusion parameter $\phi, \beta$ have more impact in the concentration $S^*$ in the biofilm-phase. In contrast, the parameter $A$ has more impact in the concentration $C^*$ in gas-phase.

7. Numerical Simulation

In order to investigate the accuracy of the HPM solution with a finite number of terms, the nonlinear differential equation is solved numerically. To show the efficiency of the present method, the analytical expressions of the concentration of n-butanol in biofilm-phase and gas-phase are compared with simulation results in Tables 1-3 for the experimental values of parameters. A satisfactory agreement is
Figure 5. Sensitivity analysis of parameters on concentration of n-butanol in the biofilm-phase.

Figure 6. Sensitivity analysis of parameters on concentration of n-butanol in gas-phase.

Table 1. Comparison of normalized non-steady-state concentration \( S' \) with simulation results when \( \beta = 1 \).

| \( \phi \) | Simulation (HPM Equation (13)) | Hyperbolic function Equation (22) | % of Error deviation (HPM Equation (13)) | % of Error deviation hyperbolic function Equation (22) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0       | 1.0000                        | 1.0000                        | 0.00               | 0.00               |
| 0.2     | 0.8998                        | 0.9425                        | 0.9236               | 4.53               |
| 0.4     | 0.8486                        | 0.8924                        | 0.8657               | 4.90               |
| 0.6     | 0.8082                        | 0.8543                        | 0.8252               | 5.39               |
| 0.8     | 0.7898                        | 0.8360                        | 0.8012               | 5.15               |
| 1       | 0.7768                        | 0.8226                        | 0.7932               | 5.56               |

Average error %: 4.25, 1.69, 0.85

Table 2. Comparison of normalized non-steady-state concentration \( C' \) with simulation results when \( \beta = 0.1 \) and \( A = 1 \).

| \( \phi \) | Simulation (Equation (14)) | % of Error deviation (Equation (14)) | Simulation (Equation (14)) | % of Error deviation (Equation (14)) |
|---------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0       | 1.0000                      | 0.00               | 1.0000                      | 0.00               |
| 0.2     | 0.9801                      | 0.05               | 0.9806                      | 0.05               |
| 0.4     | 0.9601                      | 0.11               | 0.9612                      | 0.11               |
| 0.6     | 0.9402                      | 0.16               | 0.9418                      | 0.16               |
| 0.8     | 0.9203                      | 0.22               | 0.9224                      | 0.22               |

Average error %: 0.00, 0.11, 0.26, 0.52, 0.93
Table 3. Comparison of normalized non-steady-state concentration C with simulation results when \( \phi = 1 \) and \( A = 1 \).

| \( z' \) | Equation (14) Simulation % of error deviation | Equation (14) Simulation % of error deviation | Equation (14) Simulation % of error deviation |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0.5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.00 |
| 0.9 | 0.8933 | 0.8929 | 0.04 |
| 1.2 | 0.7866 | 0.7859 | 0.08 |
| 1.5 | 0.6800 | 0.6788 | 0.17 |
| 1.8 | 0.5733 | 0.5717 | 0.27 |
| 2.0 | 0.4720 | 0.4700 | 0.42 |
| Average error % 0.163 | Average error % 0.118 | Average error % 0.005 |

noted. The detailed Matlab program for numerical simulation is provided in Appendix B and Appendix C.

8. Conclusion

In this paper, the non-linear differential equations in the biofiltration have been solved analytically. Using the homotopy perturbation method and hyperbolic function method, an approximate and closed-form of analytical representation of the concentrations of n-butanol in the biofilm phase is provided. This solution of the concentrations of n-butanol in the biofilm phase and the gas phase is compared with the numerical simulation results. These new analytical results provide a good understanding of the system and the optimization of the parameters in the biofiltration model.
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# Nomenclature

| Symbols | Description | Units |
|---------|-------------|-------|
| $A_s$   | Biofilm specific area per unit volume of the biofilters | m$^{-1}$ |
| $E$     | Activation energy | J·mol$^{-1}$ |
| $k_m$   | Michaelis-Menten constant | g·m$^{-3}$ |
| $u$     | Superficial velocity of gas flow | m·s$^{-1}$ |
| $z$     | Dimension along the height of the biofilters | m |
| $D$     | Effective diffusivity of n-butanol in the biofilm phase and gas phase. | m$^2$·s$^{-1}$ |
| $S = \frac{C}{H} = S_i$ | Initial concentration of butanol in the biofilm | g·m$^{-2}$ |
| $R$     | Ideal gas constant | J/Kelvin mole |
| $T$     | Kelvin temperature (initial) | °C |
| $T_{ref}$ | Kelvin temperature (final) | °C |
| $EC_{max}$ | Maximum of elimination capacity | g·m$^{-3}$·h$^{-1}$ |
| $\delta$ | Biofilm thickness | m |
| $C$     | Concentration of n-butanol in the gas phase | g·m$^{-3}$ |
| $C_i$   | Concentration of n-butanol in the inlet gas phase | g·m$^{-3}$ |
| $H$     | Total height of the biofilters | m |
| $S' = \frac{S}{S_i}$ | Dimensionless concentration of n-butanol in the biofilm | none |
| $x' = \frac{x}{\delta}$ | Dimensionless height of the biofilm | none |
| $\phi = \delta' e^{-\frac{EC_{max}}{DRk}}$ | Thiele modulus | none |
| $\beta = \frac{S}{k_m}$ | Characteristic length | none |
| $C' = \frac{C}{C_i}$ | Dimensionless concentration of n-butanol in the gas phase | none |
| $z' = \frac{z}{H}$ | Dimensionless height of the biofilters | none |
| $A = \frac{HA DS}{u\delta C_i}$ | Dimensionless parameter | none |
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Appendix A: Analytical Solution of Equation (1) in Gas Phase Using HPM

The homotopy perturbation method is used to give the approximate solutions of the non-linear Equation (6). We construct the homotopy for Equation (1) as follows:

\[
(1 - p) \left( \frac{d^2 S^*}{dx^2} - \phi S^* \right) + p \left( \frac{d^3 S^*}{dx^3} + \beta S^* \frac{d^2 S^*}{dx^2} - \phi S^* \right) = 0
\]  
(A1)

The analytical solution of Equation (1) is

\[
S^* = S^*_{0} + S^*_{1}p + S^*_{2}p^2 + S^*_{3}p^3 + \ldots
\]  
(A2)

Substituting Equation (A2) into Equation (A1) we get

\[
(1 - p) \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \left( S^*_{0} + S^*_{1}p + S^*_{2}p^2 + \ldots \right) - \phi \left( S^*_{0} + S^*_{1}p + S^*_{2}p^2 + \ldots \right) \right] + p \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \left( S^*_{0} + S^*_{1}p + S^*_{2}p^2 + \ldots \right) + \beta \left( S^*_{0} + S^*_{1}p + S^*_{2}p^2 + \ldots \right) \right] 
\times \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left( S^*_{0} + S^*_{1}p + S^*_{2}p^2 + \ldots \right) - \phi \left( S^*_{0} + S^*_{1}p + S^*_{2}p^2 + \ldots \right) = 0
\]  
(A3)

Comparing the coefficients of like powers of \( p \) in Equation (A3) we get

\[
p^0 : \left( \frac{d^2 S^*_{0}}{dx^2} - \phi S^*_{0} \right) = 0
\]  
(A4)

\[
p^1 : \left( \frac{d^2 S^*_{1}}{dx^2} - \phi S^*_{1} + \beta S^*_{0} \frac{d^2 S^*_{0}}{dx^2} \right) = 0
\]  
(A5)

The boundary conditions for Equation (A1) are as follows

\[
S^*_{0} = 1 \text{ at } x^* = 0
\]  
(A6)

\[
\frac{dS^*_{0}}{dx^*} = 0 \text{ at } x^* = 1
\]  
(A7)

Solving Equation (A4) and using the boundary conditions Equation (A6) and (A7), we obtain the following results:

\[
S^*_{0} = C_1 \cosh \sqrt{\phi} x^* + C_2 \sinh \sqrt{\phi} x^*
\]  
(A8)

By applying the boundary conditions, we get \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \)

\[
C_1 = 1, \quad C_2 = -\frac{\sinh \sqrt{\phi}}{\cosh \sqrt{\phi}}
\]  
(A9)

Substitute \( C_1 \) & \( C_2 \) value in (A8) we get,

\[
S^*_{0} \left( x^* \right) = \frac{\cosh \sqrt{\phi} \left( x^* - 1 \right)}{\cosh \sqrt{\phi}}
\]  
(A10)

Now Equation (A5) becomes
\[
\frac{d^2S^*}{dx^2} - \beta S^* + \beta S^* \frac{d^2S^*}{dx^2} = 0 \quad (A11)
\]

The boundary conditions for the above equation are as follows:

\[
S^*_0 = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x^* = 0 \quad (A12)
\]

\[
\frac{dS^*_1}{dx^*} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x^* = 1 \quad (A13)
\]

Solving Equation (A11) and using the boundary conditions Equations (A12) and (A13), we can get the following result:

\[
S^*_1(x^*) = \frac{\beta}{2 \cosh^2 \sqrt{\phi}} - \frac{\beta}{6 \cosh^2 \sqrt{\phi}} \left[ \cosh 2 \sqrt{\phi} (x^* - 1) \right]
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{\beta \cosh 2 \sqrt{\phi}}{6 \cosh^2 \sqrt{\phi}} - \frac{\beta}{2 \cosh^2 \sqrt{\phi}} \right] \left[ \cosh \sqrt{\phi} (x^* - 1) \right] \quad (A14)
\]

Considering the two terms, we get

\[
s^*(x^*) = S^*_0(x^*) + S^*_1(x^*) \quad (A15)
\]

which is Equation (15) in text.

**Appendix B: Matlab Program for the Numerical Solution of Equation (1)**

```matlab
function pdex4
m = 0;
x = linspace(0,1);
t=linspace(0,1000000);
sol = pdepe(m,@pdex4pde,@pdex4ic,@pdex4bc,x,t);
u1 = sol(:,:,1);
figure
plot(x,u1(end,:))
title('u1(x,t)')
xlabel('Distance x')
ylabel('u1(x,1)')

function [c,f,s] = pdex4pde(x,t,u,DuDx)
c = 1;
f = 1.*DuDx;
k=5; B=0.005;
F1=-(k*u(1))/(1+(B*u(1))));
s=F1;

function u0 = pdex4ic(x)
u0 = [0];
```
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function [pl,ql,pr,qr]=pdex4bc(xl,ul,xr,ur,t)
pl = [ul(1)-1];
ql = [0];
pr = [ur(1)-0];
qr = [1];

Appendix C: Matlab Program for the Numerical Solution of Equation (10)

function mat1
options=odeset('RelTol',1e-6,'stats','on');
%initial conditions
Xo=1;
tspan=[0 1];
tic
[t,X]=ode45(@TestFunction,tspan,Xo,options);
toc
figure
holdon
plot(t,X(:,1),'-');
t=0;
%plot(t,(100-100*X(:,1)),'-');
legend('x1')
ylabel('x')
xlabel('t')
return
function [dx_dt]=Test Function(t,x)
A=0.8;m=1;B=0.01;
dx_dt(1)=A*((-tanh(sqrt(m)))+((B*sech(sqrt(m))*sech(sqrt(m))*sqrt(m)*(sinh(2*(sqrt(m))))/3))+((B*sech(sqrt(m))*sech(sqrt(m)))*cosh(2*(sqrt(m))))/6)-((B*sech(sqrt(m))*sech(sqrt(m)))/2)*(-tanh(sqrt(m)));
return