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INTRODUCTION

Shoulder arthroplasty is a well-established procedure for patients with degenerative glenohumeral arthritis or traumatic fractures⁴. In most cases, shoulder arthroplasty provides excellent pain relief and improved functional outcomes. Generally, shoulder arthroplasties can be divided into the anatomic (total or hemi-) arthroplasty or nonanatomic (reverse) arthroplasty. Anatomic shoulder arthroplasty in the presence of complete and irreparable rotator cuff tears frequently yields unsatisfying results. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (RTSA) has emerged as an alternative option for end-glenohumeral shoulder pathology, with increasing application in the developed countries like Australia, New Zealand, Finland and the United States⁴. Indications for RTSA include arthropathy, grossly deficient rotator cuff, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and post-traumatic arthritis of the shoulder⁴.⁶.

Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (RTSA) has demonstrated promising short and medium-term functional outcomes⁸⁻¹³. However, the long-term results of RTSA have rarely been reported. Although some studies have shown acceptable long-term prosthetic survivorship after RTSA, concerns regarding clinical and radiological complications, such as notching and loosening, have been raised, especially in high demand patients. Consequently, RTSA is frequently performed in patients who are elderly with low demand and have rotator cuff tear arthropathy⁹,¹⁰,¹⁴.

From a database search in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, there are no studies on the outcomes of RTSA published in Malaysia to our knowledge. With rapidly changing patient demographics, the number of complex glenohumeral shoulder cases is expected to rise. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the clinical and radiological outcomes of RTSA in a tertiary hospital in Malaysia.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty provides a surgical alternative to standard total shoulder arthroplasty for the treatment of cuff tear arthropathy, arthritis and fracture sequelae. This study aimed to assess the short-term outcomes following reverse total shoulder arthroplasty for patients in a large public hospital in Malaysia.

Materials and Methods: We identified and performed five primary reverse total shoulder arthroplasties between 1 May 2019 and 1 June 2020. All patients were contactable and available for analysis. Assessment of functional outcomes was performed using the Constant-Murley score, the patient satisfaction score (PSS), and imaging studies. The mean follow-up from operation to the time of reporting was 9.6 months (range, 3 to 14 months)

Results: The median age for our patients was 58 years (±11.91). The most common indication for surgery was post-traumatic arthritis, followed by rotator cuff arthropathy and osteoarthritis. The mean Constant score improved from 9.0 pre-operatively to 52.3 post-operatively at a mean of 9.6 months. The majority of the patients were satisfied with the surgery as the post-operative range of motion, especially anterior elevation and abduction, improved in four of our patients and there were no short-term complications, for example, of infection or revisions, reported at the last follow-up.

Conclusion: This study has shown that reverse total shoulder arthroplasty can yield good short-term outcomes for the treatment of complex shoulder problems in addition to cuff tear arthropathy. It should be considered a treatment for rotator cuff tears, severe arthritis and ≥ 3 parts proximal humeral fractures.
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MATERIAL AND METHOD

This retrospective study was conducted in Hospital Tuanku Jaafar (HTJ) Seremban, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. A total of five patients with rotator cuff arthropathy, osteoarthritis, and fracture sequelae was identified from 1 May 2019 to 1 June 2020. All five patients were contacted and analysed. All patients were followed up for a mean of 9.6 months. Our institutional ethics committee approved this study, and all patients provided written informed consent to share their data in the study. Before surgery, the options of non-operative management were discussed with all patients. The inclusion criteria were patients aged 18 years and older, patients with a grossly deficient rotator cuff tear, severe arthritis and ≥ 3 parts proximal humeral fractures. This study excluded all absolute contraindications for revision total shoulder replacement such as infections, sepsis and osteomyelitis. Other exclusion criteria included patients with evidence of tumours, uncooperative patients or patients with neurologic disorders who were incapable of following verbal instructions.

All surgeries were done by a single fellowship-trained surgeon. (GNS) All patients received the Comprehensive® Reverse Shoulder System [Zimmer Biomet, USA]. The deltopectoral approach was used in all five shoulders. Associated procedures were biceps tenotomy and subscapularis trans-osseous repair using two Ethibond suture at the time of closure. All humeral stems were of standard length (100mm); all were nine mm in diameter. An All-Glenoid base plate with an uncemented central canal was used. The glenoid base plate was fixed to the glenoid via three screws. Post-operative care was standardised. Passive range of motion was started one day post-operatively. Active flexion and abduction beyond 90° were allowed after six weeks.

Clinical assessment included assessment for a range of motion, strength of the shoulder and functional activity. These were quantified using the Constant-Murley scoring system. Radiological evaluation included anteroposterior views of the glenohumeral joint in the neutral position. Outcomes evaluated from post-operative radiographs were for the presence of fractures, loosening, dislocations and notching.

All patients were evaluated by the surgeon and sports physicians for a mean of six months following surgery. All five patients were asked to fill in overall subjective outcomes via a self-administered questionnaire after surgery with the assistance of a medical officer. The patient's response was collected and finalised through a telephone interview. Patients rated their overall subjective outcomes as “excellent,” “good,” “fair,” or “unsatisfied”.

RESULTS

Three of the five patients were male, and the median age of our patients was 58.0±11.19 years. All five patients were right-handed, and all had the dominant side involved. Four patients suffered from ≥ 3 parts proximal humeral fractures treated conservatively, while two had rotator cuff arthropathy, and one of them with osteoarthritis (Fig. 1). Their duration from injury to surgery ranged from six

---

**Table I: Pre-operative and post-operative functional shoulder assessment**

| Constant score | Patient number | Mean(range) |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|
|                | 1 2 3 4 5      |             |
| Pain           |               |             |
| Pre-op         | 0 3 1 3 0     | 1.4 (0 to 3) |
| Post-op        | 6 11 5 6 0    | 5.6 (0 to 11)|
| ADL            |               |             |
| Pre-op         | 7 4 0 3 0     | 2.8 (0 to 7) |
| Post-op        | 17 16 7 13 2  | 11.0 (0 to 17)* |
| ROM            |               |             |
| Pre-op         | 8 6 2 4 4     | 4.8 (2 to 8) |
| Post-op        | 24 28 4 28 12 | 19.2 (4 to 28)* |
| Strength       |               |             |
| Pre-op         | 0 0 0 0 0     | 0           |
| Post-op        | 25 24 0 25 14 | 17.6 (0 to 25) |
| Total score    | 15 13 3 10 4  | 9.0 (3 to 15) |
| Pre-op         | 72 78 16 72 28 | 53.2 (16 to 78)* |

*p<0.05 based on Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test.

Abbreviations: ADL: activity of daily living, ROM: range of motion, pre-op: pre-operative, post-op: post-operative.
The mean duration of follow-up was 9.6 months (range 3 to 14). There were no short-term surgical complications reported in this cohort. Four out of the five patients were satisfied with the outcomes of their shoulder surgery.

The Constant-Murley scores improved from nine (range 3 to 15) points pre-operatively to 53.2 (range 16 to 78) post-operatively, and this was statistically significant (Table I). Shoulder pain was significantly reduced in all patients, allowing undisturbed sleep post-operatively.

Range of motion, especially anterior elevation and abduction, also improved (Table I). Four of the five patients were able to perform everyday activities such as bathing, combing hair without pain after their operation. Isometric strength in these four increased compared with their pre-operative assessment. At 90° abduction and wrist pronation, the mean power was 17.6 points (8kg) (range 0 to 25) (Table I) at the last follow-up. However, one patient showed no improvement in isometric strength as she could not elevate the arm to 90°.

Although this was a short-term study, there were no early radiological complications such as implant migration, fractures or dislocations on post-operative radiographs at the last follow-up (Fig. 2).
From our small short-term study, both the Constant score and patient overall subjective outcomes showed significant improvement after undergoing RTSA, with similar results to other authors internationally. In our cohort, only one patient showed an unsatisfactory result. The reason for this poor outcome may be related to the cause of injury. She suffered post-traumatic osteoarthritis following a 4-part proximal humeral fracture six months before surgery. A study of RTSA outcomes according to aetiology by Wall et al suggested that patients with post-traumatic arthritis have a reduced functional outcome compared to other aetiologies, and our study supports this conclusion. Furthermore, this patient was poorly compliant with her post-operative follow-up and physiotherapy sessions which might explain her poor outcome.

This present study has several limitations that must be considered when interpreting the results. Our research is a single-centre report; and some complication and outcomes may not be possible to discern. As retrospective administrative data sets were utilised, we could not compare RTSA and other treatments for the same aetiology. The limited number of patients in our cohort could have been inadequate data to achieve statistical significance in certain aspects. However, since RTSA is still a new procedure in Malaysia, our series of five patients treated with RTSA represents the first outcomes report in this country.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study has shown that reverse total shoulder arthroplasty can yield good short term outcomes when used to treat complex shoulder problems following proximal humeral fractures and cuff tear arthropathy in the Malaysian public healthcare setting. It should be considered a treatment for rotator cuff tears, severe arthritis and ≥ 3 parts proximal humeral fractures.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors would like to thank the orthopaedic staff of Hospital Tuanku Ja’afar Seremban for their help and assistance in the writing of this article.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**REFERENCES**

1. Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry (AOANJRR). Hip, Knee & Shoulder Arthroplasty: 2019 Annual Report. https://aoanjrr.sahmri.com/documents/10180/668596/Hip%2C+Knee+%26+Shoulder+Arthroplasty/c287d2a3-22df-a3bb-37a2-91e600b6e0 (accessed on 9 May 2020)

2. The New Zealand Joint Registry: Twenty Year Report January 1999 to December 2018. https://nzoa.org.nz/sites/default/files/DH8328_NZJR_2019_Report_v4_7Nov19.pdf (accessed on 9 May 2020)

3. Harjula JNE, Palonena J, Haapakoski J, Kukkonen J, Aarimaa V. Increasing incidence of primary shoulder arthroplasty in Finland - a nationwide registry study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2018; 19(1): 245. doi: 10.1186/s12891-018-2150-3

4. Jain NB, Yamaguchi K. The contribution of reverse shoulder arthroplasty to utilisation of primary shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2014;23(12):1905-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2014.06.055

5. Rasmussen JV, Brorson S, Hallan G, Dale H, Aarimaa V, Mokka J, et al. Is it feasible to merge data from national shoulder registries? A new collaboration within the Nordic Arthroplasty Register Association. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2016; 25(12): 369-77. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2016.02.034

6. Schairer WW, Nwachukwu BU, Lyman S, Craig EV, Gulotta LV. National utilisation of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in the United States. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2015; 24(1): 91-7. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2014.08.026

7. Day JS, Paxton ES, Lau E, Gordon VA, Abboud JA, Williams GR. Use of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in the Medicare population. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2015; 24(5): 766-72. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2014.12.023

8. Sirveaux F, Favard L, Oudet D, Husquet D, Walch G, Mole D. Grammont inverted total shoulder arthroplasty in the treatment of glenohumeral osteoarthritis with massive rupture of the cuff: results of a multicentre study of 80 shoulders. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2004; 86(3): 388-95. doi: 10.1302/0301-620x.86b3.14024

9. Guery J, Favard L, Sirveaux F, Oudet D, Mole D, Walch G. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: survivorship analysis of eighty replacements followed for five to ten years. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006; 88(8): 1742-7. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.E.00851
Outcomes of RTSA in Malaysia

10. Rittmeister M, Kerschbaumer F. Grammont reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and nonreconstructible rotator cuff lesions. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2001; 10(1): 17-22. doi: 10.1067/mse.2001.110515

11. Boileau P, Watkinson D, Hatzidakis AM, Hovorka I. Neer Award 2005: The Grammont reverse shoulder prosthesis: results in cuff tear arthritis, fracture sequelae, and revision arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2006; 15(5): 527-40. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2006.01.003

12. Wall B, Nove-Josserand L, O'Connor DP, Edwards TB, Walch G. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: a review of results according to etiology. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2007; 89(7): 1476-85. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.F.00666

13. Young AA, Smith MM, Bacle G, Moraga C, Walch G. Early results of reverse shoulder arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2011; 93(20): 1915-23. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.J.00300

14. Ek ETH, Neukom L, Catanzaro S, Gerber C. Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty for massive irreparable rotator cuff tears in patients younger than 65 years old: results after five to fifteen years. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2013; 22(9): 1199-208. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2012.11.016