ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to compare image quality, radiation dose, and the influence of the heart rate on image quality of high-pitch spiral coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) using 128-slice (second generation) dual-source CT (DSCT) and a 192-slice DSCT (third generation) scanner.

Materials and Methods: Two consecutive cohorts of fifty patients underwent CCTA by high-pitch spiral scan mode using 128 or 192-slice DSCT. The 192-slice DSCT system has a more powerful roentgen tube (2 × 120 kW) that allows CCTA acquisition at lower tube voltages, wider longitudinal coverage for faster table speed (732 m/s), and the use of iterative reconstruction. Objective image quality was measured as the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR). Subjective image quality was evaluated using a Likert scale. Results: While the effective dose was lower with 192-slice DSCT (1.2 ± 0.5 vs. 0.6 ± 0.3 mSv; \(P < 0.001\)), the SNR (18.9 ± 4.3 vs. 11.0 ± 2.9; \(P < 0.001\)) and CNR (23.5 ± 4.8 vs. 14.3 ± 4.1; \(P < 0.001\)) were superior to 128-slice DSCT. Although patients scanned with 192-slice DSCT had a faster heart rate (59 ± 7 vs. 56 ± 6; \(P = 0.045\)), subjective image quality was scored higher (4.2 ± 0.8 vs. 3.0 ± 0.7; \(P < 0.001\)) compared to 128-slice DSCT. Conclusions: High-pitch spiral CCTA by 192-slice DSCT provides better image quality, despite a higher average heart rate, at lower radiation doses compared to 128-slice DSCT.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to its high sensitivity and negative predictive value, coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) has emerged as a reliable noninvasive examination to rule out coronary artery disease (CAD).

However, exposure to radiation has remained an issue of concern. Over the past decade, technical innovations have decreased the radiation dose associated with CCTA, while maintaining good diagnostic performance.

For the second-generation 128-slice dual-source CT (DSCT) systems, a prospectively electrocardiogram (ECG)-triggered high-pitch spiral scan mode was introduced by which the entire heart could be scanned within the time of a single heart cycle. While the detector collimation does not completely cover the heart for a stationary table position, the entire heart can still be scanned by accelerating the spiral pitch (table advancement) and extending the exposure window during the single-beat acquisition. Previous studies have demonstrated that diagnostic image quality can be achieved at much lower radiation doses, particularly in patients with low heart rate.

The 192-slice (third generation) DSCT system offers several technical improvements such as faster gantry rotation speed (from 280 to 250 ms), increased longitudinal detector coverage from collimation coverage (from 38 mm for 128-slice DSCT to 58 mm for 192-slice DSCT), and a more powerful roentgen tube (2 × 100 kW for 128-slice DSCT vs. 2 × 120 kW for 192-slice DSCT) that allows CCTA acquisition at tube voltages down to 70 kV. These improvements may allow for improved image quality, even at higher heart rates, while reducing radiation dose, when performing high-pitch spiral CCTA by 192-slice DSCT. This has been shown in ex vivo studies by Morsbach et al.

In this study, we investigated the differences in image quality, radiation dose, and the influence of the heart rate on image quality in high-pitch spiral CCTA performed by 128 and 192-slice DSCT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

For both 128 and 192-slice DSCT, the first, consecutive fifty patients scanned using a high-pitch spiral mode with known or suspect CAD were identified from medical records. Exclusion criteria were age younger than 18 years old, previous coronary artery bypass graft, and the inability to follow instructions needed for the CCTA. In case of second-attempt scans because of nondiagnostic image quality, only the first scan was included.

The 128-slice DSCT scans were performed between 28 April 2009 and 2 September 2009. The 192-slice DSCT scans were acquired between 3 March 2014 and 17 December 2014. According to institutional standard protocols, a noncontrast calcium scan was performed before CCTA. The calcium score was evaluated using dedicated software (CA Scoring; Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany) and expressed as the Agatston score. The Institutional Review Board approved the study. Given the retrospective nature of the study, no informed consent was required.

Computed tomography acquisition

All patients received sublingual nitroglycerin before the CCTA examination. Intravenous beta-blockers were administered in patients with higher heart rates. Data acquisition was prospectively ECG-triggered to start at 65% of the R-R interval and completed within one cardiac cycle. All contrast-enhanced CCTA data were reconstructed with a slice thickness of 0.75 mm and slice increment of 0.3 mm.

The 128-slice DSCT system (Somatom Definition Flash, Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany) had a collimation of 2 × 128 × 0.6 mm, using a flying focal spot technique and a gantry rotation time of 280 ms. The high-pitch spiral acquisition was made with a fixed pitch of 3.4 corresponding to a table movement of 4.58 m/s. Tube voltage (100 or 120 kV) was selected manually, and automatic exposure control (AEC) was used for the tube current. Images were reconstructed using filtered back projection and a medium-smooth kernel (B26f).

The 192-slice DSCT system (Somatom Force, Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany) had a collimation of 2 × 192 × 0.6 mm, using a flying focal spot technique and a gantry rotation time of 250 ms. The high-pitch spiral acquisition was made with a fixed pitch of 3.2 corresponding to a table movement of 7.37 m/s. Tube voltage was selected semi-automatically by the automatic selection algorithm, and AEC was used for the tube current. With 192-slice DSCT, the CCTA acquisition was possible at tube voltage levels between 70 and 120 kV in steps of 10 kV. Slices were reconstructed using a medium sharp kernel (Bv40), using model-based iterative reconstruction strength level 3 (ADMIRE; Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany).

Contrast protocols

The 128-slice DSCT protocol applied a bolus-tracking protocol. CCTA acquisition began once a threshold of 74 HU was exceeded within the ascending aorta. Timing of the scan using the 192-slice DSCT system was determined using a test bolus, planning the acquisition 8 s after peak...
enhancement of the test bolus. Most patients (94) were examined using iopromide 370 mg/l (Ultravist 370; Bayer Healthcare, Berlin, Germany), whereas six patients were examined using iodoxanol 320 mg/l (Visipaque 320; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, USA). For simplicity, the latter six patients (all in the 192-slice DSCT group) were not included in the contrast delivery comparison. The contrast injection was followed by a 45 ml saline chaser.

**Objective image quality measurements**
Both objective and subjective image quality was assessed using a dedicated workstation (Syngo.via, Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany). The objective image quality was assessed using the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR). Segments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, and 15 were analyzed, in accordance with the coronary artery model of the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography.\(^{[13]}\)

Circular regions of interest (ROI), as large as possible, were placed in each coronary segment by one observer. The vessel wall, calcifications, plaques, or stents were carefully avoided. Coronary segments without interpretable lumen due to excessive plaque were excluded from the analysis. For the mean pericardial fat values, two samples near the right and left coronary artery were averaged. To calculate the SNR and CNR, the following formulas were used:\(^{[14]}\)

\[
\text{SNR} = \frac{\text{Mean coronary lumen attenuation}}{\text{SD coronary lumen attenuation}}
\]

\[
\text{CNR} = \frac{\text{Mean coronary lumen attenuation}}{\text{mean pericardial fat attenuation}} - \frac{\text{SD coronary lumen attenuation}}{\text{SD coronary lumen attenuation}}
\]

The standard deviation (SD) of each ROI represented the image noise.\(^{[15]}\) The CNR was calculated for the proximal segments 1, 5, 6, and 11, following the methodology by Achenbach et al.\(^{[16]}\)

**Subjective image quality**
The subjective image quality was assessed independently by two observers blinded to the type of scanner and any other technical or medical information. A five-point Likert scale was used to score the image quality. The following scores were possible: 1 - poor, impaired image quality limited by excessive noise or poor vessel wall definition; 2 - adequate, reduced image quality either poor vessel wall definition or excessive image noise, limitation in low contrast resolution remain evident; 3 - good, effect of image noise, limitations of low contrast resolution, and vessel margin definition are minimal; 4 - very good, good attenuation of vessel lumen and delineation of vessel walls, relative image noise is minimal, coronary wall definition and low contrast resolution well maintained; 5 - excellent, excellent attenuation of the vessel lumen and clear delineation of vessel walls, limited perceived image noise.\(^{[15]}\) For the final analysis of image quality in relation to acquisition properties, a consensus reading was performed for all segments with discordant scores beyond one point.

**Radiation dose**
The radiation dose was reported as volume CT dose index (CTDIvol), dose-length product (DLP), and effective dose (ED). For each patient, the CTDIvol was recorded from the automatically generated patient protocol. The estimated ED was calculated with the formula DLP x 0.014, using the 0.014 conversion factor for chest radiation (in mSv/Gy/cm) according to the European Guidelines for Multislice Computed Tomography and as adopted in large trials.\(^{[18,19]}\)

**Statistical analyses**
Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD or median (range) as appropriate, and categorical variables as frequencies or percentages. Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare the patient’s characteristics, the amount and infusion rate of contrast, SNR, CNR, and radiation dose. Risk factors were analyzed using Chi-square test. To compare the subjective image quality, Mann–Whitney U-test was used. The impact of mean heart rate on image quality was assessed by Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. A two-tailed \(P < 0.05\) was considered significant. The statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (version 21.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Study population**
The mean age in the 192-slice DSCT group was 57.1 ± 9.7 compared to 59.9 ± 10.9 in 128-slice DSCT group (\(P = 0.175\)). The mean heart rate during acquisition was higher for 192-slice DSCT (59 ± 7) compared with 128-slice DSCT (56 ± 6; \(P = 0.045\)) [Table 1]. In the 192-slice DSCT group, eight patients were rescanned due to movement/breathing artifacts (7) or an improperly set scanning coverage (1). Eleven patients were rescanned in the 128-slice DSCT group due to movement/breathing artifacts (9) or inadequate contrast timing (2) (\(P = 0.447\)). The second attempt scans were excluded from analysis.

**Objective image quality**
Mean coronary lumen attenuation was 572 HU for 192-slice DSCT and 401 HU for 128-slice DSCT. Mean subcutaneous
fat attenuation was 123 HU for 192-slice DSCT and 107 HU for 128-slice DSCT. As seen in Table 2, the mean SNR for scans made by 192-slice was higher (18.9 ± 4.3) than that for scans made by 128-slice DSCT (11.0 ± 2.9; \( P < 0.001 \)). Table 3 shows that the mean CNR was higher for 192-slice DSCT (23.5 ± 4.8) compared to 128-slice DSCT (14.3 ± 4.1; \( P < 0.001 \)).

### Subjective image quality

Out of 500 potentially available coronary segments, 463 were evaluable for the 192-slice DSCT group and 454 in the 128-slice DSCT group (\( P = 0.302 \)). The mean subjective image quality score for the 192-slice DSCT group was 4.2 ± 0.8 compared to 3.0 ± 0.7 in the 128-slice DSCT group (\( P < 0.001 \)). Individual coronary segment scores were better on scans performed by 192-slice DSCT compared to 128-slice DSCT [Table 4].

### Impact of heart rate on image quality

There was a borderline significant correlation between low heart rate and mean image quality score on a per-patient analysis 128-slice DSCT (\( r = -0.278; P = 0.051 \)) and less evident for 192-slice DSCT (\( r = -0.164; P = 0.265 \)) [Figure 1].

#### Table 1: Baseline patient characteristics

|                      | 128-slice DSCT \((n = 50)\) | 192-slice DSCT \((n = 50)\) | \( P \) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Age (years)          | 59.9 ± 10.9                 | 57.1 ± 9.7                  | 0.175  |
| Male (%)             | 38 (76)                     | 30 (60)                     | 0.024  |
| Weight (kg)          | 82.6 ± 14.9                 | 78.8 ± 16.1                 | 0.228  |
| Height (cm)          | 174.0 ± 10.1                | 171.0 ± 10.1                | 0.135  |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))     | 27.2 ± 4.3                  | 26.9 ± 4.7                  | 0.720  |
| Heart rate (/min)    | 56 ± 6                      | 59 ± 7                      | 0.045  |
| Agatston calcium score | 149.0 ± 257.4             | 283.3 ± 668.0               | 0.705  |

#### Table 2: Mean signal-to-noise ratio ratios

| Coronary segment          | 128-slice DSCT \((n = 50)\) | 192-slice DSCT \((n = 50)\) | \( P \) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Ascending aorta           | 16.1 ± 5.2                  | 19.2 ± 4.9                  | <0.001 |
| Proximal RCA (1)          | 11.8 ± 5.5                  | 20.5 ± 6.8                  | <0.001 |
| Mid RCA (2)               | 10.6 ± 4.1                  | 19.5 ± 6.6                  | <0.001 |
| Distal RCA (3)            | 10.9 ± 6.1                  | 18.0 ± 5.4                  | <0.001 |
| Left main (5)             | 11.9 ± 4.6                  | 11.1 ± 4.8                  | <0.001 |
| Proximal LAD (6)          | 11.1 ± 4.8                  | 20.2 ± 6.2                  | <0.001 |
| Mid LAD (7)               | 9.4 ± 4.8                   | 18.9 ± 5.8                  | <0.001 |
| Distal LAD (8)            | 8.3 ± 3.8                   | 15.3 ± 5.1                  | <0.001 |
| Proximal CX (11)          | 10.3 ± 3.6                  | 19.6 ± 4.9                  | <0.001 |
| Mid CX (13)               | 10.2 ± 4.0                  | 19.9 ± 6.6                  | <0.001 |
| Distal CX (15)            | 8.6 ± 3.6                   | 17.2 ± 5.9                  | <0.001 |
| Mean                      | 11.0 ± 2.9                  | 18.9 ± 4.3                  | <0.001 |

#### Table 3: Mean contrast-to-noise ratio ratios

| Coronary segment          | 128-slice DSCT \((n = 50)\) | 192-slice DSCT \((n = 50)\) | \( P \) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Proximal RCA (1)          | 14.6 ± 5.9                  | 24.2 ± 7.3                  | <0.001 |
| Left main (5)             | 14.9 ± 5.6                  | 23.6 ± 6.1                  | <0.001 |
| Proximal LAD (6)          | 14.1 ± 5.9                  | 23.0 ± 6.5                  | <0.001 |
| Proximal CX (11)          | 12.9 ± 4.2                  | 23.1 ± 4.8                  | <0.001 |
| Mean                      | 14.3 ± 4.1                  | 23.3 ± 4.8                  | <0.001 |

#### Table 4: Mean image quality score per segment following the Likert scale

| Coronary segment          | 128-slice DSCT \((n = 50)\) | 192-slice DSCT \((n = 50)\) | \( P \) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Ascending aorta           | 3.0 ± 1.0                   | 4.3 ± 1.0                   | <0.001 |
| Proximal                  | 2.5 ± 1.1                   | 3.8 ± 1.4                   | <0.001 |
| RCA (1)                   | 2.9 ± 1.2                   | 3.9 ± 1.3                   | <0.001 |
| Mid RCA (2)               | 3.6 ± 0.9                   | 4.7 ± 0.6                   | <0.001 |
| Distal RCA (3)            | 3.4 ± 0.9                   | 4.7 ± 0.7                   | <0.001 |
| Left main (5)             | 3.1 ± 0.7                   | 4.3 ± 0.9                   | <0.001 |
| Mid LAD (7)               | 2.9 ± 0.7                   | 4.1 ± 0.9                   | <0.001 |
| Distal LAD (8)            | 3.1 ± 0.9                   | 4.4 ± 0.9                   | <0.001 |
| Proximal CX (11)          | 2.8 ± 0.8                   | 4.1 ± 1.0                   | <0.001 |
| Mid CX (13)               | 2.7 ± 0.9                   | 3.7 ± 1.1                   | 0.003  |
| Mean                      | 3.0 ± 0.7                   | 4.2 ± 0.8                   | <0.001 |

The numbers between the parentheses in the left rank represent the number of the coronary segment out of the SCCT model. The numbers between the parentheses behind the SNR ratios represent the number of evaluable segments. RCA: Right coronary artery, LAD: Left anterior descending, CX: Circumflex artery, SNR: Signal-to-noise ratio, DSCT: Dual-source computed tomography, SCCT: Society of cardiovascular computed tomography.
Contrast
By including only patients scanned using iopromide 370 mg/l, the mean amount of contrast was lower for 192-slice DSCT (64.3 ± 4.1 ml compared to 75.6 ± 6.1; P < 0.001). The average infusion speed was 5.4 ± 0.2 ml/s for 192-slice DSCT and 5.9 ± 0.3 for 128-slice DSCT (P < 0.001).

Radiation dose
The radiation dose using 192-slice DSCT was lower than that at 128-slice DSCT (0.6 ± 0.3 mSv vs. 1.2 ± 0.5 mSv; P < 0.001). The tube voltage used was lower for the 192-slice DSCT, as 17 scans were made at 70 kV. The scan length was not significantly different [Table 5].

DISCUSSION
The main findings of this paper are that high-pitch spiral CCTA by 192-slice DSCT combined with iterative reconstruction is associated with better image quality and lower exposure to radiation and contrast medium, compared with 128-slice DSCT.

CCTA has developed as a reliable noninvasive diagnostic tool to assess CAD. In the recent years, there have been numerous technological improvements in CT technology to reduce radiation exposure while maintaining high image quality. As confirmed in our study, the 192-slice DSCT system allows for a further reduction in radiation dose. With the latest 192-slice DSCT system, it is possible to perform CCTA with a radiation exposure far below 1 mSv in most of the patients. An example of good diagnostic quality imaging at 192-slice DSCT can be found in Figure 2.

As seen in our study, radiation exposure was lowered by approximately 50% comparing 192- and 128-slice DSCT. This was partly because with 192-slice DSCT, a more powerful roentgen tube became available. This allowed CCTA at 70 kV rather than 100 kV at 128-slice DSCT.

The improvement in image quality with the 192-slice DSCT system is multifactorial. Faster rotation speed and wider coverage lower the sensitivity for motion artifacts. At lower tube voltage, the photoelectronic effect of iodine results in a higher signal and improved contrast with surrounding tissues. While on the other hand, the higher tube current avoids image noise, also iterative reconstruction algorithms contributed to improved SNR and CNR with 192-slice DSCT.

In our study, we used a mean of 64 ml iodine contrast while contrast medium volumes in similar studies ranged from 10 to around 60 ml using 80 kV as the lowest tube voltage.

Similar to the findings by Ghadri et al., we did not observe a significant correlation between heart rate and image quality while using the high-pitch spiral scan mode, particularly for the 192-slice DSCT system. There seems to be a negative tendency but, like the study by Ghadri et al., most likely this study is insufficiently powered to show a significant correlation.

Our results have to be evaluated in light of some limitations. The study is based on a historical comparison, and there is

| Table 5: Radiation dose |
|-------------------------|
|                         | 128-slice DSCT | 192-slice DSCT | P    |
| Tube voltage (kV)       | 112.0 ± 9.9    | 79.4 ± 9.6     | <0.001 |
| 70                      | 0              | 17 (34)        |      |
| 80                      | 0              | 23 (46)        |      |
| 90                      | 0              | 8 (16)         |      |
| 100                     | 20 (40)        | 1 (2)          |      |
| 120                     | 30 (60)        | 1 (2)          |      |
| Effective tube current (mAs) | 333.7 ± 74.9 | 528.2 ± 74.9 | <0.001 |
| Scan length in z-axis (mm) | 117.5 ± 12.3 | 121.4 ± 9.4 | 0.082  |
| CTDIvol (mGy)           | 4.7 ± 1.8      | 2.5 ± 1.2      | <0.001 |
| DLP (mGy x cm)          | 84.0 ± 33.4    | 41.3 ± 20.5    | <0.001 |
| ED (mSv)                | 1.2 ± 0.5      | 0.6 ± 0.3      | <0.001 |

Absolute numbers (%). kV: Kilovolt, mAs: Milliampere-second, CTDIvol: Volume computed tomography dose index, mGy: Milligray, DLP: Dose-length product, ED: Effective dose, DSCT: Dual-source computed tomography.
no guarantee that the populations are entirely comparable. In addition, experience with the performance of high-pitch spiral CCTA has increased over time. Improvement of image quality may be multifactorial, and not just the result of improved scanner hardware. Because the difference in attenuation between iodine and other tissues increases at lower kV tube setting, the attenuation values in the coronary lumen were higher for 192-slice DSCT despite the lower amounts of administrated contrast medium. The more robust capabilities for high-quality low tube voltage scanning in a less strictly selected population may bode well for the routine implementation of high-pitch spiral CCTA.

Furthermore, iterative reconstruction techniques were not yet available when 128-slice DSCT was introduced at our center. The use of iterative reconstruction for the 192-slice DSCT system further improved the SNR and CNR using algorithms which permit a decoupling of spatial resolution and noise.[24-26]

CONCLUSIONS

High-pitch spiral CCTA on the 192-slice DSCT system results in higher image quality while the radiation dose is further reduced compared to 128-slice DSCT. The 192-slice DSCT system also allows for the use of the high-pitch spiral scan mode in patients with higher heart rates while maintaining good diagnostic image quality.
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