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Intensive field phenotyping of maize (Zea mays L.) root crowns identifies phenes and phene integration associated with plant growth and nitrogen acquisition
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Abstract

Root architecture is an important regulator of nitrogen (N) acquisition. Existing methods to phenotype the root architecture of cereal crops are generally limited to seedlings or to the outer roots of mature root crowns. The functional integration of root phenes is poorly understood. In this study, intensive phenotyping of mature root crowns of maize was conducted to discover phenes and phene modules related to N acquisition. Twelve maize genotypes were grown under replete and deficient N regimes in the field in South Africa and eight in the USA. An image was captured for every whorl of nodal roots in each crown. Custom software was used to measure root phenes including nodal occupancy, angle, diameter, distance to branching, lateral branching, and lateral length. Variation existed for all root phenes within maize root crowns. Size-related phenes such as diameter and number were substantially influenced by nodal position, while angle, lateral density, and distance to branching were not. Greater distance to branching, the length from the shoot to the emergence of laterals, is proposed to be a novel phene state that minimizes placing roots in already explored soil. Root phenes from both older and younger whorls of nodal roots contributed to variation in shoot mass and N uptake. The additive integration of root phenes accounted for 70% of the variation observed in shoot mass in low N soil. These results demonstrate the utility of intensive phenotyping of mature root systems, as well as the importance of phene integration in soil resource acquisition.

Key words: Capture, corn, interaction, root system architecture, RSA, soil, synergism, trait, uptake

Introduction

Global food security is a pre-eminent challenge of the 21st century (Funk and Brown, 2009), and food production must increase by at least 100% to meet the requirements of the 9.5 billion people predicted by 2050 (Royal Society of London, 2009; World Bank, 2014). The fact that ~1 billion people of the 7 billion now living are experiencing hunger (Godfray et al., 2010) accentuates this pressing need. Farming more land is not a viable solution for this problem (Pretty, 2008), so land use efficiency must increase dramatically. Optimizing plant nutrient use efficiencies is one way to use land more productively (Lynch, 1998), especially because in much of the developing world, use of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) fertilizers is negligible (FAO, 2012). In developed nations, much of the applied fertilizers are not taken up by plants and eventually pollute water and the atmosphere (Jenkinson, 2001). Maize is grown on 177 Mha, with aggregate yield exceeding all other grains (FAO, 2012), and is a mainstay of both subsistence and commercial agriculture. Reducing the fertilizer requirement of maize by developing genotypes with improved nutrient acquisition...
is an important goal for both subsistence and commercial agriculture (Lynch, 2007).

Root system architecture (RSA) has important effects on soil resource acquisition, plant interactions, and nutrient cycling in agricultural systems (Lynch, 1995, 2007; Hirel et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2014) and natural systems (Mahall and Callaway, 1992; Comas and Eissenstat, 2009). The identification of root phenes (i.e., elemental units of the phenotype, sensu Serebrovsky, 1925; Lynch and Brown, 2012; see York et al., 2013 for discussion), and understanding their utility for soil resource acquisition is an important step in phenotype-based or ideotype breeding critical for crop improvement (Kell, 2011; Lynch, 2014). The maize root system is comprised of an embryonic root system consisting of the primary root (radicle) and seminal roots emerging from the scutellar node, and successive whorls of nodal roots that emerge from the shoot (Hochholdinger, 2009). In the root system architectural taxonomy adopted by the International Society for Root Research, the primary root, seminal roots, and nodal roots of maize would be classified as the tap root, basal roots, and shoot-borne roots, respectively (Zobel and Waisel, 2010).

Many root architectural, anatomical, and morphological phenes and phene aggregates influence water and nutrient uptake, and root distribution in maize, including crown (below-ground nodal) root number (York et al., 2013; Saengwilai et al., 2014b), topsoil foraging (Zhu et al., 2005), crown root angle (Trachsel et al., 2013), lateral branching (Postma et al., 2014; Zhan and Lynch, 2015), root cortical aerenchyma (Zhu et al., 2010; Saengwilai et al., 2014a), living cortical area (Jaramillo et al., 2013), and cortical cell size and file number (Chimungu et al., 2014a, b). These same phenes may influence competition and facilitation among plants (Rubio et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2014). Understanding how these root phenes interact with one another to give rise to a functionally integrated phenotype in different environments is a complex challenge; however, analysis based on root phene integration may also reveal phene modules consisting of several positively interacting phenes that may be co-selected (York et al., 2013). Even though many of these root architectural phenes have been associated with functional utility in the field, little is known about variation of root phenes among the nodes of the maize root system and whether this variation could have functional importance for soil resource acquisition.

Variation of root phenes within the maize root system is difficult to study because the outer whorls of the maize root system are the youngest roots and occlude the older roots in the interior. When root phene differences among nodes are studied, only one line may be reported (e.g., Picard et al., 1985), or else an incomplete measurement of only a few nodes is conducted for many lines (e.g., Guingo et al., 1998). One approach to increase throughput of field phenotyping is the use of digital images of excavated root crowns combined with automatic image analysis (Grift et al., 2011; Bucksch et al., 2014); however, the use of mature root systems prevents measurements of the occluded part of the root system, and image analysis platforms are currently unable to count individual nodal roots. The use of mesocosms containing clear gels allows detailed architectural analysis over time; however, growth is limited to young plants, and such media may introduce artefacts due to their dissimilarity to field soil (Iyer-Pascuzzi et al., 2010). X-ray computed tomography is another promising approach to visualize roots over time in soil; however, pot size is currently a limitation (Mooney et al., 2011). Measurements of nodal root growth angles in the field have generally been limited to the outer brace (above-ground nodal) and crown roots (Trachsel et al., 2011). Screening of maize seminal root systems has been conducted extensively on germination paper in the lab (Zhu et al., 2006; Hund et al., 2009), but has not been confirmed by field experiments and does not provide insight about the nodal root system. Phenotyping methods exist along a continuum between intensive, with more measurements, and extensive, being conducted on many varieties (Fiorani and Schurr, 2013), with trade-offs in speed and costs due to labour and instruments. The trajectory of the field of plant phenotyping tends towards maximizing the extent and intensity of phenotyping.

While progress is being made in relating root phenes to soil resource acquisition in maize, these phenes are commonly measured on only a single whorl or else aggregated across all whorls. In order to test the hypotheses that root architectural phenes are influenced by the node on which they manifest, that variation in root architectural phenes within the root system can be as great or greater than variation observed among genotypes, and that phenes of all whorls affect N uptake and plant growth, the root crowns of several maize lines were intensively phenotyped under two N levels in the field.

Materials and methods

Similar field experiments were conducted in Pennsylvania in the USA in 2012 and in Limpopo province in South Africa in 2014 (details below). Because the overall results were similar between the two sites and to provide more detail in the main text, all results from South Africa and the most pertinent data from the USA are presented in the main text. Comprehensive data from the USA are provided as supplementary data available at JXB online.

Plant material

Twelve recombinant inbred lines (RILs) were used from the intermated B73×Mo17 (IBM) population (Sharopova et al., 2002) including line numbers: 5, 13, 15, 63, 85, 121, 167, 172, 195, 222, 317, and 351. These 12 RILs were originally selected to have contrasting nodal root growth angles.

Experimental site

The field site was near Alma, Limpopo province, South Africa (24°33′00.12S, 28°07′25.84E, 1235 m asl) with the soil being a Clovelly loamy sand (Typic Ustipsamment). The average temperature between planting and sampling was 22 °C, total rainfall was 340 mm, and average relative humidity was 70%.

Treatment installation

The experiment included four blocks within a 20 ha centre pivot irrigated field. Each field was split in half randomly to create split-plots in which to create a high nitrogen (HN) and a low nitrogen (LN) soil gated field. Each field was split in half randomly to create split-plots
by soil tests. A planter passed through all fields leaving behind non-planted rows in which to plant manually. Within the HN and LN split-plots, IBM lines were randomly assigned to plots. Each plot contained five rows that were 4 m long with a row distance of 76 cm. Seeds were hand-planted on 23 November 2013 into rows marked by the planter using stakes and ropes marked to accommodate a density of 80 000 plants ha\(^{-1}\). At planting, 23 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) was applied to the entire field through centre pivot fertigation, and an additional 23 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) was supplied as granular urea in the HN split-plots. HN split-plots received 46 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) as granular urea approximately every 3 weeks to reach a total of 184 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Additional water was supplied as needed with centre pivot irrigation. Micronutrients were included as a scale in every image, along with a printed sample label.

Camera zoom and focus were kept locked for the duration of the imaging. An image was taken of every whorl of nodal roots (Fig. 1) by removing all roots in a node sequentially. A representative nodal root (average diameter, not diseased) was excised from the side of the root crown not facing the camera for each whorl and placed to the side of the root crown such that both root crown and representative nodal root were in frame of the image. Imaging all whorls of a maize root crown required ~10 min on average, depending on the number of whorls and the number of roots in each whorl.

Image analysis was conducted in R SAFEJ which is a project for the ObjectJ plugin (Vischer and Nastase, 2014) for ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). RSAJ and its manual are available at http://plantscience.psu.edu/roots/methods/computer/SAFEJ. RSAJ prompts the user to take sequential measurements from the images (Supplementary Fig. S1 at JXB online), which are briefly described (see the RSAJ manual for elaboration). Nodal root growth angle (NRGA) from the horizontal was derived trigonometrically from the stem width (SW), maximum root crown width, and the height between stem width and root crown width (see manual). The number of nodal roots (nodal occupancy, NO) counted for a whorl was multiplied by 2 in order to account for the occluded half of the root system, based on previous observations of symmetry in maize root crowns. The diameter of the representative nodal root (nodal root diameter, NRD) was measured, along with the distance from the basal cut to where lateral roots emerge (distance to branching, DTB). In order to calculate lateral root branching density (LRBD), the number of lateral roots was counted along a measured length on the representative nodal root. Finally, the lengths of three representative lateral roots (lateral root length, LRL) were measured and averaged for analysis. However, because lateral roots may break during excavation and washing, the apparent lateral root length measured here may underestimate the total length. Distances in pixels were converted to centimetres or millimetres by using the pixel width of the circular 3 cm scale. See Table 1 for a list and of all measured root phenes and their abbreviations. Images were renamed prior to analysis with all experimental information and node position. Node position was labelled in the order of development, with position 1 being the oldest (or coleoptilar) node (Hund et al., 2011). Image analysis required ~1 min for each image.

**Experimental sampling and harvest**

Shoots and root crowns were sampled from two blocks each day between 4 and 5 February 2014 (72–73 days after planting). Three plants were chosen from each plot based on their average size and being surrounded by other plants. The entire plants were excavated and processed in the field from each plot using the shovelomics method (Trachsel et al., 2011), with the shovel inserted 30 cm from the base of the plants. The three root crowns were soaked in water then rinsed with a water hose and nozzle until most soil was removed. One root crown was selected for subsequent architectural measurements based on its apparent average size and uniformity (i.e. least diseased, least nematode damage, not containing a large stone, etc.).

 Shoots from the three plants were combined and dried at 60 °C for 5 d before being weighed. The entire leaf from above the ear was dried and ground in a Wiley mill with a 40-mesh sieve (Thomas Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and a subsample analysed for N content with an elemental analyser (PerkinElmer 2400 Series II, Swedesboro, NJ, USA).

**Root crown imaging and architectural measurement**

The original shovelomics method (Trachsel et al., 2011) was modified to accelerate field processing while permitting more intensive measurements. Root crowns were kept in large plastic bins submerged in water inside a 5 °C cold room until they were imaged within 1 week. Root crowns were imaged using digital cameras attached to frames with camera mounts such that the camera was facing down from a height of 50 cm. Three identical cameras (PowerShot A1200, Canon, Melville, NY, USA) operated by three researchers were used to process samples quickly. Root crowns were placed under the camera on a matte black background. A 3 cm white plastic disk was included as a scale in every image, along with a printed sample label.

**Fig. 1.** A mature root crown of maize is dissected by excising whorls of nodal roots from the outside to expose the next layer and imaging with a digital camera. In this series of images, whorls are excised from outer to inner, from left to right, and top to bottom. Top left depicts the outermost brace roots at node position 7, while crown roots at node position 1 are on the bottom, second from right, and the seminal root system, not measured, is at bottom right. Root phenes were measured for every nodal whorl.
Table 1. Phenes measured within maize root crowns at each node position are listed with their abbreviations, the source of the measurement (from the root crown or the representative nodal root), and a brief description

| Phene                                | Abbreviation | Source   | Description                                      |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Stem width                            | SW           | Crown    | Stem width at a node                             |
| Nodal occupancy                       | NO           | Crown    | The number of nodal roots in a node              |
| Noda root growth angle                | NRGA         | Crown    | The angle from horizontal in a node              |
| Noda root diameter                    | NRD          | Root     | The thickness at the base of a nodal root from a node |
| Distance to branching                 | DTB          | Root     | The length from the base of a nodal root to first lateral |
| Lateral root branching density        | LRBD         | Root     | The number of lateral roots from a nodal root in 1 cm |
| Lateral root length                   | LRL          |          | The average of three lateral roots from a nodal root |

Table 2. ANOVA table of maize root phenes giving the F-value and significance for all factors and factor interactions

|                     | SW    | NRGA  | NO    | NRD   | DTB   | LRBD  | LRL   |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Node                |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Nlevel              | 77.87**| 0.32NS| 12.54*| 26.05*| 16.44*| 1.6NS | 7.2NS |
| Geno                | 13.4** | 5.32**| 3.16**| 3.73**| 8.21**| 12.23**| 6.72**|
| Node                | 3263.4**| 156.6**| 822.33**| 3349.2**| 113.01**| 107.45**| 228.6**|
| Node:Node           | 4.27** | 1.83**| 0.63NS| 2.15* | 1.52NS| 0.91NS| 2.63**|
| Geno:Geno           | 67.45**| 3.23NS| 13.45**| 16.04**| 26.13**| 1.78NS| 2.52NS|
| Geno:Node           | 10.85**| 3.94**| 1.81* | 5.64**| 2.99**| 2.78**| 3.3** |
| Node:Geno:Node      | 3.74** | 0.97NS| 0.29NS| 1.17NS| 1.24NS| 1.51NS| 0.68NS|

Phene abbreviations are as in Table 1.
**P ≤0.01; *0.01<P≤0.05; NS, P>0.05, not significant.

Table 3. Effect size as $\eta^2$ demonstrates the amount of variation (%) explained by each factor, interaction, and the residuals in the ANOVA

|                     | SW    | NRGA  | NO    | NRD   | DTB   | LRBD  | LRL   |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Node                |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Nlevel              | 3.25  | 0.15  | 1.90  | 1.01  | 2.54  | 0.21  | 1.89  |
| Geno                | 3.14  | 6.53  | 2.28  | 0.96  | 10.08 | 14.85 | 7.34  |
| Node                | 71.26 | 17.31 | 53.60 | 80.01 | 12.54 | 11.70 | 22.62 |
| Node:Geno           | 1.16  | 2.43  | 0.47  | 0.58  | 1.88  | 1.20  | 3.00  |
| Node:Geno:Node      | 1.45  | 0.36  | 0.87  | 0.37  | 2.88  | 0.22  | 0.25  |
| Geno:Node           | 2.66  | 4.79  | 1.34  | 1.54  | 3.67  | 3.47  | 3.60  |
| Geno:Geno:Node:Node | 0.92  | 1.15  | 0.20  | 0.31  | 1.52  | 1.98  | 0.79  |
| Residuals           | 16.16 | 67.28 | 39.34 | 15.24 | 64.89 | 66.37 | 60.51 |

Phene abbreviations are as in Table 1.

Results

Influence of node position, genotype, and N level on phene values

Stem width, averaged across N level and genotype, generally increased from 0.41 cm in the first node to ~1.5 cm in the last nodes (Table 2; Supplementary Fig. S2 at JXB online; P<0.01). Among genotypes, stem width, averaged across node positions and N levels, ranged between 0.83 cm and 1.15 cm (P<0.01). Stem width decreased from 1.06 cm under HN to 0.89 cm under LN (P<0.01). All interactions were significant. The node position explained 71.3% of the variation in stem width, while genotype explained 3.1% (Table 3).

Nodal occupancy (NO) increased with the node position, from an average of four roots in the first whorl to an average of 11 roots in the last whorl (Table 2; Fig. 2A; Supplementary Fig. S3 at JXB online; P<0.01). Average nodal occupancy among genotypes ranged between 5.3 and 6.8 roots (P<0.01). Average nodal occupancy decreased from 6.2 in HN to 5.5 in LN (P<0.038). The rate of change and total number of roots differed among genotypes, where some produced four roots on the first 3–4 whorls before increasing, while others began producing more roots earlier (node×genotype significant interaction). The node position explained 53.6% of the variation in NO, while genotype explained 2.2% (Table 3).

Nodal root growth angle (NRGA) from the horizontal was affected by node position and ranged between 51.7 ° and 54.8 ° in the first three whorls and between 60.2 ° and 65.4 ° in the last six whorls, averaged across genotype and N level (Table 2; Fig. 2B; Supplementary Fig. S4 at JXB online; P<0.01). The angle from horizontal increased from 0.41 N level (P<0.01). Stem width decreased from 1.06 cm under LN (P<0.01). All interactions were significant. The node position explained 71.3% of the variation in stem width, while genotype explained 3.1% (Table 3).

Nodal root growth angle (NRGA) from the horizontal was affected by node position and ranged between 51.7 ° and 54.8 ° in the first three whorls and between 60.2 ° and 65.4 ° in the last six whorls, averaged across genotype and N level (Table 2; Fig. 2B; Supplementary Fig. S4 at JXB online; P<0.01).
Integration of root phenes for nitrogen capture in maize

Among genotypes, averaged across node position and N level, NRGA ranged between 54.2° and 62.0° (\(P<0.01\)). The effect of N level, overall, was not significant. Generally, the angle increased (became steeper) from the first to the fourth node position, and from the fourth position some genotypes showed an increased angle, some remained the same, and others showed a decreased angle (significant interactions). The node position explained 17.3% of the variation in NRGA, while genotype explained 6.5% (Table 3).

Nodal root diameter (NRD) increased with node position from 1.0 mm in the first whorl to ~4.5 mm in the last whorls (Table 2; Fig. 2C; Supplementary Fig. S5 at JXB online; \(P<0.01\)). Among genotypes, averaged across node position and N level, NRD ranged from 2.7 mm to 3.2 mm (\(P<0.01\)). NRD decreased from 3.0 mm in HN to 2.7 mm in LN (\(P=0.015\)). Generally, the increase with node position was linear, though in some cases diameter levelled off with the transition from crown to brace roots around the sixth node position. The node position explained 80% of the variation in NRD, while genotype explained 6.5% (Table 3).

The distance to branching (DTB), on average, increased from 0.56 cm in the first node to 2.0 cm in the last node (Table 2; Fig. 2D; Supplementary Fig. S6 at JXB online; \(P<0.01\)). However, not all genotypes exhibited this increase with node position (significant genotype×node interaction). DTB among genotypes, averaged across node positions and N levels, ranged from 0.40 cm to 1.2 cm (\(P<0.01\)). DTB decreased from 0.95 cm in HN to 0.70 cm in LN (\(P=0.027\)). The node position explained 12.5% of the variation in DTB, while genotype explained 10% (Table 3).

Lateral root branching density (LRBD), on average, increased with node position from 7.3 lateral roots cm\(^{-1}\) in the first node to 13.0 lateral roots cm\(^{-1}\) in the last nodes (Table 2; Fig. 2E; Supplementary Fig. S7 at JXB online; \(P<0.01\)), although this increase does not occur for all genotypes (significant node×genotype interaction). Among genotypes, averaged across node position and N level, LRBD ranged from 6.9 to 10.9 lateral roots cm\(^{-1}\) (\(P<0.01\)). N level had no significant effect on LRBD. The node position explained 11.7% of the variation in LRBD, while genotype explained 14.9% (Table 3).

Fig. 2. Six root phenes were measured for every whorl in high and low nitrogen soil, and data for three contrasting genotypes are shown here. Node position 1 is the oldest whorl. (A–F) Plots of nodal occupancy, nodal root growth angle, nodal root diameter, distance to branching, lateral root density, and lateral root length, respectively. Points are the average of the four replicates. Within each panel, data from high nitrogen (HN) are depicted with filled circles and in low nitrogen (LN) with filled triangles, and different genotypes are represented by line types. Data for all phenes and all genotypes with standard errors are included in the Supplementary Figs S2–S8 at JXB online.
Lateral root length (LRL), on average, increased with the node position from 0.64 cm in the first node to 3 cm in the last node (Table 2; Fig. 2F; Supplementary Fig. S8 at JXB online; \(P<0.01\)). Among genotypes, averaged across node position, LRL ranged between 1.25 cm and 2.44 cm \((P<0.01)\). N level had no significant effect on LRL. The node position explained 22.6% of the variation in LRL, while genotype explained 7.3% (Table 3).

Results regarding the relationship of node position to measured root phenes from the USA are presented as Supplementary Figs S12–S16 at JXB online.

### Influence of nitrogen on root crown phene aggregates, nodal root number, and number of nodes

Nodal root number (NRN), the combined number of nodal roots in an entire root crown, ranged between 31 and 53.75 among genotypes and N levels in South Africa (Fig. 3A). Nodal root number decreased 16% from 44 under HN to 36 under LN \((P<0.01)\) in South Africa. The total number of nodes within a root crown varied between 5.7 and 8.5 among genotypes and N levels in South Africa (Fig. 3A). The number of nodes averaged across genotypes decreased 6% from seven nodes under HN to 6.6 nodes under LN \((P=0.022)\). The linear models predicting nodal root number from the number of nodes in both HN \((y=6.09x+0.92; P<0.01)\) and LN \((y=4.82x+4.49; P<0.01)\) were significant in South Africa. In the USA, the linear models predicting nodal root number from the number of nodes in both HN \((y=8.44x–19.83; P=0.0177)\) and LN \((y=3.07x+11.26; P=0.0082)\) were also significant (Fig. 3B). Correlations between total number of nodal roots and the occupancy of each whorl generally showed relationships between adjacent whorls in South Africa. In LN, the occupancies of whorls 2 and 6 were most correlated with NRN (Supplementary Fig. S9 at JXB online). In HN, the occupancies of whorls 3, 5, and 7 were most correlated with NRN (Supplementary Fig. S10 at JXB online).

### Principal component analysis

PCA of the average phene values for N level, genotype, and node position combinations in South Africa revealed two principal components, PC1 and PC2, that explained 60% and 16% of the total variation, respectively (Fig. 4A). In the USA, PC1 and PC2 explained 49% and 21% of the total variation (Fig. 4B). In both experimental sites, PC1 was greatly influenced by nodal root diameter and nodal occupancy, but in South Africa lateral root length and stem width also contributed. In South Africa, PC2 was primarily influenced by distance to branching, lateral root branching density, and nodal root growth angle. PC2 was dependent on nodal root growth angle only in the USA. At both sites, the scores of PC1 were heavily dependent on node position, where younger whorls had greater PC1 scores. Correlational analysis supports the structure of these components, where nodal root diameter, lateral root length, stem width, and nodal occupancy were strongly correlated with each other and with the node position in South Africa (Supplementary Fig. S11 at JXB online).

### Relationships among root phenes, shoot mass, and N content

In LN, linear regression of all root phenes from all whorls against shoot mass identified 24 phenes with significant relationships. Stepwise regression of the most significant root phenes of different whorls in LN, and not including stem widths, revealed a model containing +LRBD.1, +NRGA.3, +NRGA.4, +DTB.4, +NRGA.5, and +NO.5 (numerical suffix denotes the node position, + and – indicating positive and negative relationships, respectively) as the most parsimonious model which accounted for 69% of the variation in shoot mass (Fig. 5). In HN, linear regression of all root phenes from all whorls against shoot mass identified 22 phenes with regression \(P\)-values <0.1. Stepwise regression of the most significant root phenes of different whorls in HN, and not including stem widths, revealed a model containing +LBD.1, −NRG.2, +LRL.4, and +LRL.5 as the most parsimonious model which accounted for 49% of the variation in shoot mass (Fig. 6). In LN, a multiple regression model including the nodal occupancies of all whorls explained 34% of shoot mass variation, while a regression model with total nodal root number explained 22%. In HN, neither the multiple regression model of all whorl occupancies nor the regression model with NRN were significant. Percentage reduction in shoot mass was calculated for every genotype and block combination, then all root phenes were regressed, which identified 12 root phenes with regression \(P\)-values <0.1. Stepwise regression of these root phenes identified −NRG.4, −NRD.5, and −NRN as the most parsimonious model, explaining 33% of the variation in percentage reduction in shoot mass \((P<0.01)\).
Linear regression of percentage N in leaves from plants grown in LN soil as a response to all root phenes in all whorls identified 14 phenes with regression P-values <0.1. Stepwise regression of the most significant root phenes of different whorls, not including stem widths, revealed a model containing –LRL.2, +NRD.3, and –LRBD.6 as the most parsimonious model, which accounted for 36% of the variation in leaf percentage N (P<0.01). In HN, no root phene had a significant effect on percentage leaf N. The results of regressions of total leaf N content were largely driven by the effect of leaf mass and are similar to the shoot mass results above, so are not included. Log transformations of the data did not substantially influence the results and most relationships appear linear.

Discussion

This study presents a novel analysis of the variation of several root architectural phenes both within and among maize root crowns for 12 genotypes and how integration of root architectural phenes affects performance in low- and high-N soils. The most sensitive phenes to node position were size-related phenes such as stem width, nodal occupancy, and nodal root diameter, and these phenes tended to have greater variation within root crowns than among genotypes. However, some phenes had considerable variation both within root crowns and among genotypes, especially distance to branching and lateral root length. Nodal root growth angle had the least variation, both within root crowns and among genotypes. Nodal root number and the number of nodes varied greatly among genotypes and N levels, and both were decreased by N level. There was a significant positive correlation between the number of nodes and the nodal root number. PCA demonstrated that most variation is explained by size-related phenes and the first principal component could discriminate node position. In general, relationships among root phenes of different whorls and among root phenes and shoot properties such as mass and percentage N demonstrate the importance of measuring the root phenes of all whorls. More phenes correlated with shoot mass of plants grown in LN soil than in HN soil, especially NRGA of several whorls, and these phenes were different from the phenes that correlated with shoot mass of plants grown in HN soil. The differing relationships of phenes with biomass in LN and HN suggest that the relationships are not allometric (i.e. are not inherently related to plant size; Niklas, 2004). Stepwise multiple linear regression suggests that the additive integration of several phenes, each with small effects, can explain a large amount of the variation observed in shoot mass, in this case almost 70%.

Most studies measuring maize root architecture in the field focus on the outer whorls of brace and crown roots (Trachsel et al., 2011, 2013; Grift et al., 2011; Bucksch et al., 2014), though these whorls arguably contribute the least to soil resource acquisition because they emerge late and into zones of soil already explored by other roots. This study demonstrates that a more detailed analysis of the root system benefits physiological studies quantifying the functional utility of specific root phenes. Phenes measured at the node level may be more elemental and useful for genetic studies as well, supported by the lack of constant relationships among phenes among nodes in different genotypes. Recently, intensive phenotyping of lateral root phenes of several orders was accomplished using semi-automated methods in one maize hybrid (Wu and Guo, 2014). Dissection of the root crown also allows the counting of nodes, which could be an important phene because of its relationship to total nodal root number. However, this method only allows basic understanding of the temporal dynamics of node emergence which was shown to be important in simulations (York, 2014). Weekly sampling conducting similar RSA measurements may be important for determining variation in the emergence times of nodes. Earlier work has documented the increase in nodal occupancy with node position (Picard et al., 1985; Hoppe et al., 1986; Stamp and Kiel, 1992), but differences among genotypes were not reported nor was the number of nodes described as an independent root phene of maize previously.
Maize root phenotypes with fewer crown roots were recently demonstrated to increase N capture, shoot mass, and yield in low N soils (Saengwilai et al., 2014b), confirming earlier simulation results (York et al., 2013). In the current study, a positive relationship between NRN and shoot mass existed for plants grown in LN soil, but not for plants grown in HN soil, which suggests the relationship in LN was not necessarily allometric because variation existed for NRN and shoot mass in HN but they were not correlated. In this case, more nodal roots may be associated with greater vigour. Bayuelo-Jiménez et al. (2011) also found a positive correlation between nodal root number and shoot mass in 50 maize accessions in high and low P soils. In LN soil, NRN was dependent mostly on the occupancies of the second and sixth whorls, so intensive phenotyping of this phene aggregate by decomposition into more elemental phenes such as nodal occupancies for every whorl could benefit breeding programmes. A multiple regression model of shoot mass including the linear combinations of the nodal occupancies of every whorl was more explanatory than NRN alone, which again demonstrates the importance of more intensive phenotyping. Screening for fewer root nodes in a maize breeding programme could be a simple and fast method to initiate a programme selecting for fewer nodal roots, providing an example of traditional selection followed by precision selection discussed by Cobb et al. 2013.

Fig. 5. Multiple panels show the effect of the most significant and explanatory phenes from all whorls on total shoot mass in low nitrogen plots after stepwise multiple linear regression. (A–F) The relationship of the following phenes to total shoot mass: LRBD.1, NRGA.3, NRGA.4, DTB.4, NRGA.5, and NO.5. Abbreviations are as given in Table 1, and the appended number identifies the whorl in which the phene was measured. (G) Fitted values are calculated from the linear combinations of the above phenes using the coefficients determined by multiple linear regression. (This figure is available in colour at JXB online.)
In some genotypes used in this study, nodal root growth angles were steeper in younger whorls, which agrees with earlier reports (Feldman, 1994). However, in other genotypes, NRGA was almost the same in all whorls or else was more shallow in younger whorls. Studies of a single maize genotype are often extrapolated to the species level, yet here and elsewhere substantial variation for almost any measured root phene was demonstrated so genotype to species level extrapolations are generally not warranted. Measuring NRGA on only outer whorls is not a reliable way to predict the overall pattern of growth angles among whorls. In LN soil, steeper angles of older and younger whorls were associated with greater shoot mass, while in HN shallow roots in whorl 2 were slightly associated with greater shoot mass. In this experiment, N was only applied in LN plots once then leached deeper, while N was applied several times in HN plots so constituted a generally shallow resource. The ‘steep, cheap, and deep’ (SCD) ideotype for optimal water and N foraging by maize root systems hypothesized that steeper angles would allow greater acquisition of leaching N (Lynch, 2013); however, consistent NRGA plasticity was not observed in the current study. In common bean, plasticity of the basal root growth angle was determined to be under independent genetic control, with some genotypes becoming more steep and some more shallow in response to low P (Bonser et al., 1996). Steep-angled nodal roots were hypothesized to benefit maize plants grown with deficits of deep resources such as leaching nitrate or water during terminal drought (Lynch, 2013), while shallow roots of maize are known to increase P uptake (Zhu et al., 2005) and possibly uptake of other shallow resources.

Increased lateral root branching density of the first whorl was associated with increased shoot biomass in both the LN and HN soils of this study, and ranged between ~4 and 10 lateral roots cm$^{-1}$. Recently, simulation studies concluded that between 6 and 10 lateral roots cm$^{-1}$ optimize nitrate acquisition depending on the nitrate levels, with substantial declines in plant dry weight beyond 10 lateral roots cm$^{-1}$ (Postma et al., 2014). The same simulation study concluded that at least 10 lateral roots cm$^{-1}$ optimize phosphate acquisition, with no decline in plant dry weight if lateral root branching density is increased further. The maximum of ~10 lateral roots cm$^{-1}$ in the first whorl found in this study could suggest co-optimization of both N and P uptake.
P acquisition during early growth. Early plant vigour is an important characteristic of maize ideotypes (Mock and Pearce, 1975), and in this study the stem width at the first node (a proxy for early growth) was positively correlated with total shoot mass (LN, $y=146x-3.5$, $r^2=0.18$, $P=0.004$; HN, $y=441x-39.9$, $r^2=0.24$, $P<0.001$).

In the HN soils, but not in LN soils, increased lateral root length of the fourth and fifth whorls correlated strongly with plant shoot mass. Long laterals may increase the volume of soil exploited for nitrate without creating substantially more competition among roots of the same plant, and long, sparse laterals are a component of the SCD ideotype (Lynch, 2013). This hypothesis was supported by a simulation study in maize (Postma et al., 2014) which showed that the optimal lateral root branching density was greater for P acquisition than for N acquisition, and reduced branching density led to longer laterals which benefitted nitrate capture. These simulation results were supported by a study showing that maize genotypes with fewer but longer lateral roots had greater N acquisition from low N soil in the field and in greenhouse mesocosms (Zhan and Lynch, 2015). Longer laterals deserve further consideration as a possible breeding target.

Distance to branching generally increases along with the length of laterals on nodal roots, which agrees with recent work demonstrating a 50% increase in the distance to branching over the past 100 years in commercially successful maize (York et al., 2015). Possibly, greater distance to branching frees metabolic resources to invest in longer laterals and minimizes the placement of lateral roots in soil already explored by nodal roots from older nodes. Greater distance to branching is a novel phene state that complements the existing ‘steep, cheap, and deep’ ideotype (Lynch, 2013).

Root phene integration

The integration of root phenes will determine how multiple phenes interact through their influence on soil resource foraging and plant metabolic status (York et al., 2013). Studying the integration of root phenes in the maize root crown required the intensive phenotyping used in this study in order to understand the root crown as a whole constructed of more elemental constituents. Applying a phene-based paradigm necessitates a continual re-evaluation of what properties are elemental and developmentally unique (Lynch and Brown, 2012), such as by measuring the properties of individual whorls rather than crown-level aggregates. Ambiguity may exist as to what extent a phene is elemental, but the attempt to clarify the study of the plant phenome will be aided by a more precise conceptual definition of a phene and, correspondingly, more precise terminology.

The additive multiple linear regression models used in this study show that considering multiple phenes together offers predictive power for understanding plant growth. Phene interactions are rarely considered in root biology, or are purposefully minimized by comparing isophenic lines in order to evaluate the function of individual phenes (Zhu et al., 2005; Chimungu et al., 2014a; Saengwilai et al., 2014b; Zhan and Lynch, 2015). However, notable exceptions include integration of root hair phenes in Arabidopsis thaliana simulations (Ma et al., 2001), integration of root cortical aerenchyma and lateral root branching in maize simulations (Postma and Lynch, 2011), and a field experiment demonstrating synergism between shallow basal root growth angle and many, long root hairs in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) (Miguel et al., 2015). Interestingly, an experiment of a single maize variety grown in aeroponics found a plastic response to low N where the number of crown roots was reduced, while the length of laterals increased (Gaudin et al., 2011), which may demonstrate physiological integration of maize that generates a more N-efficient root system phenotype through developmental modifications that balance carbon costs of different root classes.

Intensive phenotyping platforms

Most root phenotyping platforms either screen seedlings on germination paper in the lab, or screen mature root crowns in the field without measuring the occluded, older nodal roots. Though time consuming, if intensive phenotyping was performed on hundreds of maize lines, genome-wide association studies (GWAS; reviewed by Cobb et al., 2013) could be conducted that might identify the genetic basis for phene states that either vary independently or vary dependently among whorls. Studying the development and genetics of roots among whorls could contribute as much insight into the regulation of root growth as studying the differences among genotypes considering the greater variation of root phenes observed among whorls than among genotypes. Intensive phenotyping may complement extensive phenotyping by targeting the most relevant diversity panels or subsets of lines based upon the results of extensive phenotyping.

Wu and Guo (2014) presented novel semi-automated methods to excavate maize root crowns, wash the crowns, measure root phenes, and create visualizations. The extension and improvement of these methods could provide a means to sample large populations. Many imaging methods from gels, to X-rays, to field-based imaging use aggregate measurements or mathematical descriptors of the entire root crown and obscure the underlying variation within maize root crowns (Iyer-Pascuzzi et al., 2010; Grift et al., 2011; Mooney et al., 2011; Bucksch et al., 2014). However, these same methods could provide the basis of allowing intensive phenotyping to be used extensively on large diversity panels as long as the phenes of different nodes can be measured independently. Recently, a 6 df (degrees of freedom) digitizer was used to reconstruct a three-dimensional model of two maize hybrids grown in the field and to extract information about the curvature of several whorls of nodal roots in maize, which might be another promising method for field phenotyping (Wu et al., 2014). Another recent automated image analysis method used maize crowns that were split in half in order to reveal the interior structure and provided novel insights, yet all whorls were aggregated for measurements (Colombi et al., 2015). Despite the proliferation of research on phenotyping maize root phenes and studying root phene utility for soil resource acquisition in recent years, the field is still developing and requires
advances in both the intensity and extent of phenotyping. Because of the inherent temporal dynamics of spatial availability of different soil resources, variation within the maize root crown as revealed by intensive phenotyping may reflect adaptations to allow maize genotypes to have different spatiotemporal foraging properties. The SCD ideotype hypothesized that earlier forming axial roots should have many laterals while later forming axial roots should have fewer but longer lateral roots (Lynch, 2013). This study suggests that the decoupling of relationships of phene states among whorls may be possible, but to what extent and benefit is not known.

Near-isophenic plants have similar phenotypic backgrounds and allow the opportunity to compare specific contrasts of phenes without the confounding influences of variation in many phenes (Chimungu et al., 2014a, b; Saengwilai et al., 2014a, b; Zhan and Lynch, 2015). Biparental RIL populations are useful for these phenotypic contrasts, but also have limited phenotypic diversity which limits their usefulness for studying the utility of root phenes. Structured diversity panels with greater phenotypic variation provide another opportunity for studying root phenes, though more advanced statistics may be needed to account for the influence of variation in several phenes (Mezmouk et al., 2011).

Functional–structural plant modelling will continue to advance the study of root phenes (Dunbabin et al., 2013). Simulations allow more detailed studies of root system functioning than possible in greenhouse and field studies. Simulation models permit the study of phenotypes that do not exist in nature, and many different climates, soils, and soil resource levels can be simulated. Field experiments are costly in terms of land use, labour, and supplies, so simulation modelling allows much larger experimental designs. Simulation modelling informs empirical work, and at the same time empirical work provides the data and insights for new simulations, so modelling and empirical research are synergistic (Wullschleger et al., 1994).

Conclusion

Intensive phenotyping of all whorls within the maize root crown revealed that while many size-related root phenes generally increased with younger node positions, other phenes, such as NRGA, DTB, LRBD, and LRL, can have different patterns depending on the genotype. Statistical models of the additive integration of several root phenes accounted for a large proportion of the variance in shoot mass, up to 70% in LN soil. Intensive phenotyping of both root architectural and anatomical phenes is rarely attempted, but architectural and anatomical variation in root phenes was described in many lines from different Zea species (Burton et al., 2013), and a recent report studied a single maize cultivar and reported several architectural and anatomical phenes for several classes of roots (Gao et al., 2015). Recently, phenotyping architectural and anatomical phenes in maize hybrids representing varieties grown over the past 100 years in the USA demonstrated evolution of the maize root system towards phene states efficient for N acquisition (York et al., 2015). Phenotyping that is both intensive and extensive, coupled to GWAS, could be a powerful technique to accelerate the understanding of how the integration of root phenes among whorls affects soil resource acquisition in maize and other crops with potential impacts for global food insecurity.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online. Figure S1. Screenshot of root measurements using RSAJ. Figures S2–S8. Detailed plots of variation among nodes, N levels, and genotypes for root phenes in South Africa. Figures S9–S11. Correlations among phenes in high and low N in South Africa. Figures S12–S16. Detailed plots of variation among nodes, N levels, and genotypes for root phenes in the USA. RSAJ Manual
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