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Abstract

A generalised notion of connection on a fibre bundle $E$ over a manifold $M$ is presented. These connections are characterised by a smooth distribution on $E$ which projects onto a (not necessarily integrable) distribution on $M$ and which, in addition, is ‘parametrised’ in some specific way by a vector bundle map from a prescribed vector bundle over $M$ into $TM$. Some basic properties of these generalised connections are investigated. Special attention is paid to the class of linear connections over a vector bundle map. It is pointed out that not only the more familiar types of connections encountered in the literature, but also the recently studied Lie algebroid connections, can be recovered as special cases within this more general framework.
1 Introduction

The theory of connections undoubtedly constitutes one of the most beautiful and most important chapters of differential geometry, which has been widely explored in the literature (see e.g. [8, 10, 11, 17, 21, 28], and references therein). Besides its purely mathematical interest, connection theory has also become an indispensable tool in various branches of theoretical and mathematical physics, as well as in other scientific disciplines which admit a proper geometric formulation such as, for instance, control theory and even mathematical biology (for the latter, see [1] for some potential applications in the framework of Finsler geometry).

Consider an arbitrary fibre bundle \( \pi : E \to M \), with total space \( E \) and base space \( M \), and let \( VE \) denote the canonical vertical distribution, i.e. the subbundle of \( TE \) consisting of all vectors tangent to the fibres of \( \pi \). A connection on \( \pi \) (or \( E \)) is then given by a smooth distribution \( HE \) on \( E \), called a horizontal distribution, which is complementary to \( VE \) and projects onto \( TM \). This leads to a direct sum decomposition of \( TE \), i.e. \( TE = HE \oplus VE \). Note that there exist other, equivalent ways of characterising a connection. For instance, a connection on \( \pi \) is sometimes defined as a global section of the first jet bundle \( J_1 \pi \) over \( E \), or also as a splitting of the short exact sequence

\[
0 \to VE \xrightarrow{i} TE \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \pi^*TM \to 0,
\]

i.e. a smooth map \( h : \pi^*TM \to TE \) such that \( \tilde{\pi} \circ h \) is the identity map on the pull-back bundle \( \pi^*TM \), where \( i \) denotes the natural injection and \( \tilde{\pi} \) the projection of \( TE \) onto \( \pi^*TM \) (cf. [8, 17, 20]).

From the above notion of connection, sometimes also called Ehresmann connection, one can easily derive more specific types of connections by imposing additional conditions on \( E \) and/or \( HE \). For instance, if \( E \) is a vector bundle, it makes sense to distinguish between linear and nonlinear connections, depending on whether or not \( HE \) is invariant under the flow of the canonical dilation vector field on \( E \). Linear connections are often introduced in terms of its associated covariant derivative operator. If \( E = TM \), it is customary to talk about a (linear or nonlinear) connection on \( M \), instead of \( TM \). In case \( E \) is a principal bundle, with structure group \( G \), and if the horizontal distribution is assumed to be \( G \)-invariant, one recovers the important notion of a principal connection.

In the literature one can find several generalisations of the concept of (Ehresmann) connection introduced above, obtained by relaxing the conditions on \( HE \). First of all, we are thinking here of the so-called partial connections, where the horizontal distribution \( HE \) does not determine a full complement of \( VE \). More precisely, \( HE \) has zero intersection with \( VE \), but projects onto a subbundle of \( TM \), rather than onto the full tangent bundle (see e.g.
Of special interest are partial connections projecting onto an integrable subbundle of $TM$, which play an important role in the study of the geometry of regular foliations (see also [13]).

Secondly, there also exists a notion of pseudo-connection, introduced under the name of quasi-connection in a paper by Y.C. Wong [27]. A fundamental role in the definition of a linear pseudo-connection on a manifold $M$ is played by a type $(1,1)$-tensor field on $M$ which simply becomes the unit tensor field in case of an ordinary linear connection. Linear pseudo-connections, and generalisations of it, have been studied by many authors (see [2] for a coordinate free definition of a pseudo-connection on a fibre bundle, and for more references to the subject).

The inspiration for the present paper mainly stems from some recent work by R.L. Fernandes on a notion of ‘contravariant connection’ in the framework of Poisson geometry (cf. [4]). Given a Poisson manifold $(M, \Lambda)$, with Poisson tensor $\Lambda$ which does not have to be of constant rank, and a principal $G$-bundle $\pi : P \to M$, a contravariant connection on $\pi$ is defined as a $G$-invariant bundle map $h : \pi^*(T^*M) \to TP$ over the natural vector bundle morphism $\sharp_\Lambda : T^*M \to TM$ induced by the Poisson tensor. This concept of connection significantly deviates from the standard one, in that the ‘horizontal’ distribution $\text{Im} (h)$ may have nonzero intersection with the vertical subbundle $VP$ and, as for partial connections, projects onto a subbundle of $TM$, namely $\sharp_\Lambda(T^*M)$. It is demonstrated in [4] that this definition of connection leads to familiar concepts such as parallelism, holonomy, curvature, etc..., and, therefore, plays an important role in the study of global aspects of Poisson manifolds. In a subsequent paper [5], Fernandes has extended this theory by replacing the cotangent bundle of a Poisson manifold by a Lie algebroid over an arbitrary manifold, and the $\sharp_\Lambda$-map of the Poisson tensor by the anchor map of the Lie algebroid structure. This resulted into a notion of Lie algebroid connection which, in particular, turns out to be appropriate for studying the geometry of singular foliations. Fernandes’ construction also covers the one given by Mackenzie [10] for the case of a so-called transitive Lie algebroid, where the anchor map is surjective.

In the present paper we will propose a general notion of connection on a fibre bundle $E \to M$, defined over a linear bundle morphism from an arbitrary vector bundle $N$ over $M$ (not necessarily a Lie algebroid) into $TM$. The relevance of the proposed model, in our opinion, is twofold. First of all, as will be easily recognised, it covers all types of connections mentioned above and, hence, it may be interesting to revisit some aspects of known connection theories from this broader perspective. Secondly, and perhaps more importantly, it may bring within the reach of connection theory certain geometric structures which have not yet been considered from such a point of view.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In the next section we introduce the main definitions
and describe the general framework for connections over a vector bundle map. Section 3 is devoted to some general properties of these connections. In Section 4 we consider various settings where this type of connections may show up. In particular, we show how the standard Ehresmann connections, as well as the notions of pseudo-connection, partial connection and Lie algebroid connection, fit into the general scheme presented here. In Section 5, special attention is paid to the case of generalised linear connections with, among others, a discussion of the notion of parallel transport and the construction of a suitable derivative operator. Section 6 deals with the concepts of curvature and torsion. Generalised principal connections over a vector bundle map are treated rather briefly in Section 7 since, unlike for the case of linear connections, our approach here will be very similar to the one adopted by Fernandes in the Lie algebroid case [F]. We conclude in Section 8 with some final remarks.

**Notations and conventions.** The whole treatment is confined to the category of real, smooth (in the \( C^\infty \) sense) geometric structures. Given a fibre bundle \( \lambda : F \to M \), the set of all smooth sections defined on an open neighbourhood of a point \( m \in M \) will be denoted by \( \Gamma_m(\lambda) \), and we further put \( \Gamma(\lambda) = \cup_{m \in M} \Gamma_m(\lambda) \). Note, in particular, that any global section of \( \lambda \), if it exists, belongs to \( \Gamma_m(\lambda) \) for all \( m \). The fibre of \( \lambda \) over a point \( m \in M \) will be indicated by \( F_m \). The space of smooth vector fields on a manifold \( M \) will be denoted by \( \mathfrak{X}(M) \). Given a smooth map \( f : N_1 \to N_2 \) between two manifolds, we will denote the tangent map of \( f \) by \( f_* : TN_1 \to TN_2 \).

## 2 The general setting

Let \( M \) be a smooth \( n \)-dimensional manifold and \( \nu : N \to M \) a vector bundle over \( M \), with \( k \)-dimensional fibres. Local coordinates on \( M \) will be denoted by \((x^i)\) and the corresponding bundle coordinates on \( N \) by \((x^i, u^\alpha)\), with \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, k \). Assume we are given a vector bundle morphism \( \rho : N \to TM \) over the identity, such that we have the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
N & \xrightarrow{\rho} & TM \\
\downarrow{\nu} & & \downarrow{\tau_M} \\
M & & \\
\end{array}
\]
with \( \tau_M : TM \to M \) the canonical tangent bundle projection. For any (local) section \( s : M \to N \) of \( \nu \), \( \rho \circ s \) defines a (local) vector field on \( M \). In coordinates, \( \rho \) takes the form

\[
\rho(x^i, u^\alpha) = (x^i, \gamma^i_\alpha(x)u^\alpha),
\]

(1)

for some smooth functions \( \gamma^i_\alpha \). For notational convenience, we put \( \text{Im}(\rho) = D \). Since we do not require \( \rho \) to be of constant rank, \( D \) in general will not be a vector subbundle of \( TM \).

Instead, it follows by construction that \( D \) determines a generalised differentiable distribution on \( M \), i.e. a smooth distribution in the sense of Sussmann [23] (see also [25]).

Next, let \( \pi : E \to M \) be a fibre bundle over \( M \), with \( \ell \)-dimensional fibres and with local bundle coordinates denoted by \( (x^i, y^A) \), where \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( A = 1, \ldots, \ell \). We can then consider the pull-back bundle \( \pi^*N = \{(e, n) \in E \times N | \pi(e) = \nu(n)\} \) which can be regarded as being fibred over \( E \) as well as over \( N \), with natural projections given in coordinates by, respectively,

\[
\tilde{\pi}_1 : \pi^*N \to E, \quad (x^i, y^A, u^\alpha) \mapsto (x^i, y^A)
\]

and

\[
\tilde{\pi}_2 : \pi^*N \to N, \quad (x^i, y^A, u^\alpha) \mapsto (x^i, u^\alpha).
\]

Note that \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) is a vector bundle over \( E \). In particular, for each point \( e \in E \), the fibre \( (\tilde{\pi}_1)^{-1}(e) \) can be identified with the vector space \( N_{\pi(e)} = \nu^{-1}(\pi(e)) \). We now have all ingredients at hand to introduce the main concept of the present paper.

**Definition 2.1** A generalised connection on \( \pi \) defined over the vector bundle morphism \( \rho \), henceforth briefly called a \( \rho \)-connection on \( \pi \), is a smooth linear bundle map \( h : \pi^*N \to TE \) from \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) to \( \tau_E \) over the identity on \( E \), i.e.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi^*N & \xrightarrow{h} & TE \\
\downarrow \tilde{\pi}_1 & & \downarrow \tau_E \\
E & & 
\end{array}
\]

such that, in addition, the following diagram commutes:
For a any point \((e, n) \in \pi^*N\), we will call \(h(e, n) \in T_eE\) the \(h\)-lift of \(n\) to \(e\). Given any (local) section \(s\) of \(\nu\), we can define a mapping \(s^h : E \to TE\) by

\[
s^h(e) = h(e, s(\pi(e))).
\]

It is seen that, by construction, \(s^h\) is smooth and verifies \(\tau_E(s^h(e)) = e\), i.e. \(s^h\) is a (local) vector field on \(E\), called the \(h\)-lift of the section \(s\). The following properties are easily verified using the above definitions, and so we omit the proofs.

**Proposition 2.2** Given a \(\rho\)-connection \(h\) on \(\pi\), we have for any \(s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\nu)\) and \(f \in C^\infty(M)\), that:

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \quad & (s_1 + s_2)^h = s_1^h + s_2^h; \\
(ii) \quad & (fs)^h = (\pi^* f)s^h; \\
(iii) \quad & \pi^* \circ s^h = (\rho \circ s) \circ \pi, \text{ i.e. the vector fields } s^h \in \mathfrak{X}(E) \text{ and } \rho \circ s \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \text{ are } \pi\text{-related.}
\end{align*}
\]

From the definition of a \(\rho\)-connection \(h\) it follows that for each point \(e \in E\), the restriction of \(h\) to the fibre \((\tilde{\pi}_1)^{-1}(e)\) of the vector bundle \(\tilde{\pi}_1\), is a linear map

\[
h_e : (\tilde{\pi}_1)^{-1}(e) \cong N_{\pi(e)} \to T_eE, \ n \mapsto h(e, n).
\]

In terms of the bundle coordinates introduced above, and taking into account the local expression \([\mathbb{I}]\) for \(\rho\), we can write \(h\) as

\[
h(x^i, y^A, u^\alpha) = (x^i, y^A, \gamma^i_\alpha(x)u^\alpha, \Gamma^A_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)u^\alpha).
\]

The functions \(\Gamma^A_{\alpha}\) play the role of “connection coefficients” of the \(\rho\)-connection \(h\). In order to see how these functions behave under natural coordinate transformations, take any point \((e, n) \in \pi^*N\), with \(\pi(e) = \nu(n) = m\), and consider a change of coordinates \((x^i, y^A, u^\alpha) \to (\bar{x}^i, \bar{y}^A, \bar{u}^\alpha)\) in a neighbourhood of \((e, n)\), compatible with the underlying bundle structures:

\[
\bar{x}^i = \bar{x}^i(x), \quad \bar{y}^A = \bar{y}^A(x, y), \quad \bar{u}^\alpha = \Lambda^\alpha_\beta(x)u^\beta,
\]
where $\Lambda(x) = (\Lambda^\alpha_\beta(x))$ is a regular matrix. Note, first of all, that with respect to the bundle coordinates $(\bar{x}^i, \bar{u}^\alpha)$ on $N$, the map $\rho$ can be written as $(\bar{x}^i, \bar{u}^\alpha) \rightarrow (\bar{x}^i, \tilde{\gamma}_i^\alpha(\bar{x})\bar{u}^\alpha)$, with

$$\tilde{\gamma}_i^\alpha(\bar{x}) = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^j}(x)\gamma_j^\alpha(x)(\Lambda^{-1})^\alpha_\beta(x).$$

Next, representing $h(e, n)$ in both coordinate systems by $(x^i, y^A, \gamma^i_\beta(x)u^\beta, \Gamma^A_\alpha(x, y)u^\alpha)$ and $(\bar{x}^i, \bar{y}^A, \tilde{\gamma}^i_\beta(\bar{x})\bar{u}^\beta, \tilde{\Gamma}^A_\alpha(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\bar{u}^\alpha)$, respectively, and taking into account the natural coordinate transformation on $TE$, induced by the transformation $(x^i, y^A) \rightarrow (\bar{x}^i, \bar{y}^A)$ on $E$, one finds after a tedious, but straightforward computation, the following transformation law for the connection coefficients associated to a general $\rho$-connection:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^A_\alpha(\bar{x}(x), \bar{y}(x, y)) = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}^A}{\partial x^j}(x, y)\gamma_j^\beta(x) + \frac{\partial \bar{y}^A}{\partial y^B}(x, y)\Gamma^B_\beta(x, y)\right)(\Lambda^{-1})^\beta_\alpha(x). \quad (4)$$

Henceforth, given a $\rho$-connection $h$ we will put for brevity: $\text{Im}(h) = Q$. This determines a smooth generalised distribution on $E$ which projects onto $D (= \text{Im}(\rho))$. We refrain from calling $Q$ a horizontal distribution since for arbitrary $e \in E$ it may be that $Q_e$ has non-zero intersection with $V_eE$. Moreover, in general $Q_e + V_eE \neq T_eE$, i.e. $Q_e$ and $V_eE$ do not necessarily span the full tangent space $T_eE$.

In the above, $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ always represented an arbitrary fibre bundle over $M$. Some interesting types of $\rho$-connections are obtained when imposing additional conditions on $E$. First of all, if $\pi : E = P \rightarrow M$ is a principal $G$-bundle with a, say, right Lie group action $\Phi : P \times G \rightarrow P, \ (e, g) \mapsto (\Phi(e, g) = \Phi_g(e) = eg$, then a $\rho$-connection $h$ on $\pi$ is called a principal $\rho$-connection if

$$(\Phi_g)_*(h(e, n)) = h(eg, n)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $(e, n) \in \pi^*N$. In particular, this implies that the associated distribution $Q$ is $G$-invariant.

Next, assume $E$ is the total space of a vector bundle over $M$. Then, $\bar{\pi}_2 : \pi^*N \rightarrow N$ is also a vector bundle, the fibres of which can be identified with those of $\pi$. Let $\{\phi_t\}$ represent the flow of the canonical dilation vector field on $E$, i.e. in natural vector bundle coordinates $(x^i, y^A)$ on $E$ we have $\phi_t(x^i, y^A) = (x^i, e^ty^A)$. We will then say that $h$ is a linear $\rho$-connection if for all $(e, n) \in \pi^*N$

$$(\phi_t)_*(h(e, n)) = h(\phi_t(e), n).$$

It is not difficult to see that this implies that the connection coefficients, appearing in (3), are of the form $\Gamma^A_\alpha(x, y) = \Gamma^A_\alpha(x)y^B$.

Let us return to the general situation described by Definition 2.1 with $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ an arbitrary fibre bundle over $M$. Regarding $TE$ as a vector bundle over $TM$, with projection
we can define the pull-back bundle $\rho^*TE = \{(n, w) \in N \times TE \mid \rho(n) = \pi_*(w)\}$. Clearly, if $(n, w) \in \rho^*TE$, with $\tau_E(w) = e$, then $(e, n) \in \pi^*N$ and, given a $\rho$-connection $h$ on $\pi$, one easily verifies that

$$\pi_*(w - h(e, n)) = 0.$$ 

Hence, one can define a mapping $V : \rho^*TE \to VE$ by

$$V(n, w) = w - h(e, n) \quad \text{with} \quad e = \tau_E(w).$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

Note that $\rho^*TE$ admits the structure of a vector bundle over $E$, with fibre over $e \in E$ given by $N_m \times T_eE$, where $m = \pi(e)$. With respect to this structure, it is straightforward to check that $V$ is a vector bundle morphism over the identity on $E$. If, in the appropriate bundle coordinates, $(x^i, u^\alpha)$ are the coordinates of a point $n \in N$ and $(\bar{x}^i, y^A, v^i, w^A)$ those of $w \in TE$, then the condition that $(n, w)$ represents an element of $\rho^*TE$ boils down to the requirement that $x^i = \bar{x}^i$ and $v^i = \gamma^i_\alpha(x)u^\alpha$. Therefore, natural bundle coordinates on $\rho^*TE$, induced by those on $N$ and $TE$, are $(x^i, u^\alpha, y^A, w^A)$. In terms of the latter, the mapping $V$ can now be written as

$$V(x^i, u^\alpha, y^A, w^A) = (x^i, y^A, 0, w^A - \Gamma^A(x, y)u^\alpha),$$

where $\Gamma^A(x, y)$ are the connection coefficients associated to $h$.

In case $\pi : E \to M$ is a vector bundle, it is well-known that there exists a canonical isomorphism between $VE$ and the fibred product $E \times_M E (\cong \pi^*E)$. Denote by $p_2 : VE \cong E \times_M E \to E$ the projection onto the second factor, i.e. in coordinates: $p_2(x^i, y^A, 0, w^A) = (x^i, w^A)$. Given a (not necessarily linear) $\rho$-connection $h$ on $\pi$, we can define a mapping $K : \rho^*TE \to E$ by

$$K(n, w) = (p_2 \circ V)(n, w) \quad \text{for all} \quad (n, w) \in \rho^*TE.$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

In coordinates, taking into account the above expression for $V$, this reads

$$K(x^i, u^\alpha, y^A, w^A) = (x^i, w^A - \Gamma^A(x, y)u^\alpha).$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

The mapping $K$ will be called the connection map (associated to the given $\rho$-connection), in analogy with the connection map associated to an ordinary connection on a vector bundle (see e.g. [20]).

To close this section, we now introduce a special class of curves in $N$ which will play a central role, among others, when considering a notion of parallel transport in the framework of generalised connections over a vector bundle map. By a smooth curve in a manifold $Q$ we will always mean a $C^\infty$ map $c : I \to Q$, where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ may be either an open or a closed
(compact) interval. In the latter case, the denominations “path” or “arc” are also frequently used in the literature but, for simplicity, we will make no distinction in terminology between both cases. For a curve defined on a closed interval, say \([0, 1]\), it is tacitly assumed that it admits a smooth extension to an open interval containing \([0, 1]\).

For a given curve \(c\) in \(N\) we put \(\tilde{c} = \nu \circ c\), i.e. \(\tilde{c}\) is the projection of \(c\) onto \(M\).

**Definition 2.3** A smooth curve \(c : I \to N\), is called a \(\rho\)-admissible curve if

\[
(\rho \circ c)(t) = \dot{\tilde{c}}(t),
\]

for all \(t \in I\). The projection \(\tilde{c}\) of a \(\rho\)-admissible curve will be called a base curve.

For a detailed treatment of certain aspects of the geometry related to connections over a bundle map, it will be necessary to extend the notion of \(\rho\)-admissibility to allow for continuous, piecewise smooth curves, and even for curves admitting a finite number of discontinuities, which are such that the projections of these curves onto \(M\) are piecewise smooth. Such curves will then also be called \(\rho\)-admissible, provided each of its ‘smooth components’ is \(\rho\)-admissible. It should also be pointed out that, in principle, weaker types of smoothness (e.g. \(C^1\)) would have been sufficient for most considerations. For the purpose of the present paper, however, we will confine ourselves to the class of smooth \(C^\infty\)-curves.

Occasionally, if no confusion can arise, we will also simply refer to a \(\rho\)-admissible curve \(c\) as an “admissible curve”. In coordinates, if we put \(c(t) = (x^i(t), u^\alpha(t))\), the condition for \(\rho\)-admissibility reads

\[
\dot{x}^i(t) = \gamma^i_a(x(t))u^\alpha(t).
\]

From the definition it immediately follows that a base curve is everywhere tangent to the (generalised) distribution \(D\). In particular, for each section \(s\) of \(\nu\), the integral curves of the vector field \(\rho \circ s(= \rho(s))\) are base curves. If \(D\) is an integrable distribution, it is follows that a smooth base curve is contained in a leaf of the induced foliation of \(M\).

An important observation is that, due to the fact that \(\rho\) need not be injective, there may be different \(\rho\)-admissible curves passing through a given point \(n \in N\) which project onto the same base curve. Note also that a curve \(c\) in \(N\) whose image belongs to \(\ker(\rho)\), will be \(\rho\)-admissible iff \(c\) is contained in a fibre of \(N\), and \(\tilde{c}\) then reduces to a point. Finally, if a smooth base curve \(\tilde{c} : I \to M\) is an immersion, i.e. \(\dot{\tilde{c}}(t) \neq 0\) for all \(t \in I\), it follows that the image of any \(\rho\)-admissible curve which projects onto \(\tilde{c}\) must have empty intersection with \(\ker(\rho)\).
3 Some general properties

As observed above, the distribution $Q$ defined by a $\rho$-connection $h$ on a fibre bundle $\pi : E \to M$, in general may have nonzero intersection with the vertical subbundle $VE$ of $TE$. The extent by which $Q$ fails to be a (full) complement of $VE$ is characterised by the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1** For any $m \in M$ and $e \in E_m$ we have

$$Q_e \cap V_e E \cong \ker(\rho_m)/\ker(h_e),$$

(where $\rho_m$ and $h_e$ are the linear maps induced by the restrictions of $\rho$ and $h$, respectively, to the fibre $N_m$ of $N$), and

$$Q_e + V_e E = T_e E \iff \mathcal{D}_m = T_m M.$$  

**Proof.** For $w \in T_e E$, with $\pi(e) = m$, we immediately have that $w \in Q_e \cap V_e E$ iff $w = h(e, n) = h_e(n)$ for some $n \in N_m$, and $0 = \pi_*(w) = \pi_*(h(e, n)) = \rho(n) = \rho_m(n)$. Hence,

$$w \in Q_e \cap V_e E \iff w \in h_e(\ker(\rho_m)).$$

From the definition of $h$ one can deduce that $\ker(h_e) \subset \ker(\rho_m)$ and it then readily follows that $h_e(\ker(\rho_m)) \cong \ker(\rho_m)/\ker(h_e)$, which completes the proof of (8).

Next, assume that $Q_e + V_e E = T_e E$, for $e \in E_m$. For any $v \in T_m M$ one can always find a $w \in T_e E$ such that $\pi_*(w) = v$. The given assumption implies that $w$ can be written as $w = h_e(n) + \tilde{w}$, for some $n \in N_m$ and $\tilde{w} \in V_e E$, and this, in turn, gives

$$v = \pi_*(w) = \pi_*(h_e(n)) = \rho_m(n),$$

i.e. $v \in \text{Im}(\rho_m) = \mathcal{D}_m$. Since $v \in T_m M$ was chosen arbitrarily, this proves that $\mathcal{D}_m = T_m M$.

Conversely, assume $\mathcal{D}_m = T_m M$. For any $w \in T_e E$ we then have that $\pi_*(w) = \rho_m(n)$ for some $n \in N_m$, from which it follows that $\pi_*(w - h_e(n)) = \pi_*(w) - \rho_m(n) = 0$, and so $w - h_e(n) \in V_e E$. This completes the proof of the equivalence (9). 

QED

From this proposition one can readily deduce the following result.

**Corollary 3.2** The distribution $Q$ defines a genuine (Ehresmann) connection on $\pi$ iff $\rho(N) = TM$ and $\ker(\rho_m) = \ker(h_e)$ for all $m \in M$ and $e \in E_m$. 


Whereas a \( \rho \)-connection \( h \) determines a (generalised) distribution \( Q \) on \( E \) which projects onto \( \mathcal{D} \), the converse is certainly not true in general. Moreover, if a distribution \( Q \) can be associated to a \( \rho \)-connection, the latter need not be uniquely determined. A sufficient condition for a distribution on \( E \) to correspond to a unique \( \rho \)-connection is that it determines a (not necessarily full) complement of \( VE \).

**Proposition 3.3** Let \( Q \) be a smooth generalised distribution on \( E \) such that (i) \( \pi_* (Q) = \mathcal{D} \), and (ii) \( Q_e \cap V_e E = \{0\} \) for all \( e \in E \), then there exists a unique \( \rho \)-connection \( h \) such that \( Q = \text{Im}(h) \).

**Proof.** For each point \( e \in E \), we can construct a map \( h_e : N_m \to T_e E \), where \( m = \pi(e) \), by putting

\[
\{h_e(n)\} = Q_e \cap ((\pi_*)_{|T_e E})^{-1}(\rho_m(n)),
\]

for all \( n \in N_m \). From the given assumptions (i) and (ii), it follows that this prescription uniquely determines a point \( h_e(n) \). Furthermore, using some simple set-theoretic arguments, it is not difficult to verify that the resulting map \( h_e \) is linear. Next, we can ‘glue’ these linear maps together to a smooth bundle map \( h : \pi^* N \to TE \) with \( h(e, n) = h_e(n) \). It is then straightforward to see that, by construction, \( h \) verifies all properties of a \( \rho \)-connection.

Finally, uniqueness of \( h \) can be proved as follows. Let \( h' : \pi^* N \to TE \) be another \( \rho \)-connection for which \( \text{Im}(h') = Q \). Then, for each \( (e, n) \in \pi^* N \), with \( \pi(e) = \nu(n) = m \), there exists a \( n' \in N_m \) such that \( h(e, n) = h'(e, n') \). The definition of a \( \rho \)-connection then implies that \( \rho(n) = \rho(n') \). Now, from (3) and the assumption (ii) it follows that \( \ker(\rho_m) = \ker(h'_e) \) and, hence, \( h'(e, n) = h'(e, n') = h(e, n) \), which indeed proves uniqueness of the \( \rho \)-connection.

QED

Here with we can now prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.4** Given a vector bundle \( \nu : N \to M \), a vector bundle morphism \( \rho : N \to TM \) such that \( \nu = \tau_M \circ \rho \), and a fibre bundle \( \pi : E \to M \). Then, there always exists a \( \rho \)-connection on \( \pi \).

**Proof.** The proof immediately follows from the previous proposition and the well-known property that on each fibre bundle one can always construct an ordinary connection (see e.g. [7]). Indeed, take an arbitrary connection on \( \pi \) with horizontal distribution denoted by \( HE \), such that \( TE = HE \oplus VE \). Then, putting \( Q = (\pi_*)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) \cap HE \), it is easily verified that \( Q \) defines a (generalised) distribution on \( E \), satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.3.

QED
Note that the $\rho$-connections referred to in Proposition 3.3 and, consequently, also the one constructed in the previous theorem, are of a special type in the sense that the corresponding distribution $Q$ is ‘transverse’ to $VE$, i.e. $Q_e \cap V_e = \{0\}$ for all $e \in E$. With a slight abuse of terminology, we will call such a $\rho$-connection a partial connection on $\pi$. If the distribution $Q$ has constant rank it determines indeed a partial connection in the ordinary sense (see the Introduction).

**Remark 3.5** The notion of partial connection, as defined above, also corresponds to (and reduces to) what Fernandes has called $F$-connections in his treatment of contravariant connections on Poisson manifolds and connections on Lie algebroids [4, 5].

Assume now that $\rho$ has constant rank. Then, $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is a vector subbundle of $TM$, with canonical injection $i : \text{Im}(\rho) \hookrightarrow TM$.

**Proposition 3.6** If $\rho$ has constant rank, then for every $\rho$-connection $h$ on a fibre bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ there is a $i$-connection $\bar{h}$ on $\pi$ such that $\text{Im}(h) = \text{Im}(\bar{h})$ iff $h$ is a partial connection.

**Proof.** If $h$ is a partial connection, we know from the above that $\ker(\rho_m) = \ker(h_e)$ for all $m \in M$ and $e \in E_m$. We can then define a mapping $\bar{h} : \pi^*\text{Im}(\rho) \rightarrow TE$ as follows: for $n \in N_m$ and $e \in E_m$, put

$$\bar{h}(e, \rho(n)) = h(e, n).$$

From the fact that $h$ is a partial connection it follows that $\bar{h}$ is well defined, and it is straightforward to check that it is a generalised connection over $i$, determining the same distribution on $E$ as $h$.

Conversely, assume that there exists a $i$-connection $\bar{h}$ on $\pi$, having the same image as a given $\rho$-connection $h$. In particular, this implies that for all $(e, n) \in \pi^*N$, with $\nu(n) = \pi(e) = m$, there exists a $n' \in N_m$ such that $h(e, n) = \bar{h}(e, \rho(n'))$. Since, obviously, $\ker(i_{\rho(n')}) = 0$, we also have $\ker(\bar{h}_e) = 0$, from which one can readily deduce that $\ker(h_e) = \ker(\rho_m)$ and, hence, $h$ is a partial connection.

QED

Next, consider the case where $D(= \text{Im}(\rho))$ is a (generalised) integrable distribution, inducing a foliation of $M$, i.e.: through each point of $M$ passes a maximal integral manifold of $D$, called a leaf of the foliation. These leaves are immersed submanifolds of $M$ which need not all have the same dimension since $\rho$ (and, therefore, also $D$) is not assumed here to be of constant rank. Let $S$ be an arbitrary leaf of the foliation and let $i_S : S \hookrightarrow M$ denote the natural injection. In particular, $i_S$ is an injective immersion. The pull-back bundle $i_S^*N$ of $\nu : N \rightarrow M$ by $i_S$ is a vector bundle over $S$ (which can be identified with the restriction
N[S]. Since \( i_S^* \) is injective and since for each \( m \in S \), \( T_m S = \rho(N_m) \), we can define a vector bundle morphism \( \rho_S : i_S^* N \to TS \) in an implicit way by the following prescription: for each \( (m, n) \in i_S^* N \),

\[
i_S^*(\rho_S(m, n)) = \rho(n).
\]

One can then show that a \( \rho \)-connection always induces a \( \rho_S \)-connection. (For the analogous result in the case of connections on Lie algebroids, see [3].)

**Proposition 3.7** Let \( \text{Im}(\rho) \) be an integrable distribution and \( S \) a leaf of the corresponding foliation of \( M \). Then, every \( \rho \)-connection on a fibre bundle \( \pi : E \to M \) induces a \( \rho_S \)-connection on the pull-back bundle \( \pi^*_S : i^*_S E \to S \).

**Proof.** Let \( h \) be a \( \rho \)-connection on \( \pi \). Consider the pull-back bundle \( \pi^*_S(i^*_S N) \), admitting the double fibration \( (\pi^*_S)_1 : \pi^*_S(i^*_S N) \to i^*_S E \) and \( (\pi^*_S)_2 : \pi^*_S(i^*_S N) \to i^*_S N \). An element of \( \pi^*_S(i^*_S N) \) can be identified with a triple \( (m, e, n) \), with \( m \in S, e \in E_m, n \in N_m \). Now, define the mapping \( h_S : \pi^*_S(i^*_S N) \to Ti^*_S E \) by

\[
h_S(m, e, n) := (\rho_S(m, n), h(e, n)),
\]

where on the right-hand side we have used the canonical identification \( Ti^*_S E \cong (i^*_S)^* TE \). Note that, as such, \( h_S \) is well defined since \( i_{S*}(\rho_S(m, n)) = \rho(n) = \pi_*(h(e, n)) \). It is then easily verified that \( h_S \) is a linear bundle morphism from \( (\pi^*_S)_1 \) to \( \pi^*_S E \), satisfying \( \pi_{S*}(h_S(m, e, n)) = \rho_S(m, n) \).

Under the assumptions of the previous proposition, let us put \( \text{Im}(h_S) = Q_S \) and, as before, \( \text{Im}(h) = Q \). Put

\[
\varphi_S : i^*_S E \to E, \quad (m, e) \mapsto e,
\]

such that \( \pi \circ \varphi_S = i_S \circ \pi_S \). This is an immersion and we clearly have that \( \varphi_{S*}(Q_S(m, e)) = Q_e \) for all \((m, e) \in i^*_S E \). The following corollary shows that in case \( h \) is a partial connection, this property uniquely characterises the \( \rho_S \)-connection \( h_S \).

**Corollary 3.8** If \( h \) is a partial connection, then \( h_S \), defined by (10), is the unique \( \rho_S \)-connection satisfying \( \varphi_{S*}(\text{Im}(h_S))_{(m, e)} = Q_e \) for all \((m, e) \in i^*_S E \).

**Proof.** First, recall that \( h \) being a partial connection means that \( \ker(\rho_m) = \ker(h_e) \) for all \( m \in M, e \in E_m \). Let \( \hat{h} : \pi^*_S(i^*_S N) \to Ti^*_S E \) be any \( \rho_S \)-connection such that \( \varphi_{S*}(\text{Im}(\hat{h}))_{(m, e)} = Q_e \) for all \((m, e) \in i^*_S E \). This implies that for any \((m, e, n) \in \pi^*_S(i^*_S N) \) there exists a \( n' \in N_m \) such that \( \varphi_{S*}(\hat{h}(m, e, n)) = h(e, n') \). On the other hand, from (10) we derive that \( \varphi_{S*}(h_S(m, e, n')) = h(e, n') \). Hence, \( h_S(m, e, n') - \hat{h}(m, e, n) \in \ker \varphi_{S*} \).
But \( \varphi S \) is an immersion, hence \( h_S(m,e,n') = \hat{h}(m,e,n) \). From the definition of a \( \rho_S \)-connection it then follows that \( \rho_S(m,n) = \rho_S(m,n') \), which implies \( \rho(n) = \rho(n') \), i.e. \( n - n' \in \ker(\rho_m) \). From the assumption that \( h \) is a partial connection we then deduce that \( \varphi_{S*}(\hat{h}(m,e,n)) = h(e,n') = h(e,n) = \varphi_{S*}(h_S(m,e,n)) \) which, again in view of the injectivity of \( \varphi_{S*} \), finally shows that \( \hat{h}(m,e,n) = h_S(m,e,n) \) for all \( (m,e,n) \in \pi_S^* i_S^* N \).

QED

4 Special cases

(i) If we put \( N = TM, \nu = \tau_M \) and \( \rho = \text{Id}_{TM} \) (the identity map on \( TM \)), Definition 2.1 reduces to that of an ordinary connection (an Ehresmann connection) on \( \pi \), with \( h : \pi^*TM \to TE \) defining a splitting of the short exact sequence \( 0 \to \nu^*E \to TE \to \pi^*TM \to 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(h) = HE \) the horizontal distribution of the connection. In particular, for \( E = TM \) we recover the standard notion of (linear or nonlinear) connection on a manifold \( M \) (see also [26]).

(ii) Let \( N \) be a subbundle of \( TM, \nu = (\tau_M)|_N \), and \( \rho = i_N : N \hookrightarrow TM \) the canonical injection. In this case, each \( \rho \)-connection \( h \) on a fibre bundle \( \pi : E \to M \) is a partial connection. Indeed, since for all \( m \in M \) we have \( \ker((i_N)_m) = \{0\} \), it follows from (8) that \( Q_e \cap V_e E = \{0\} \) for all \( e \in E \). Moreover, \( h \) is now necessarily injective, implying that \( Q \) is a constant rank distribution and, therefore, we are dealing with a partial connection in the ordinary sense. Partial connections are considered in particular in those cases where \( N \) defines an a regular integrable distribution on \( M \) (see e.g. [9]). The horizontal subspaces \( Q_e \) then project onto the tangent spaces to the leaves of the induced foliation. But partial connections also make their appearance, for instance, in the framework of sub-Riemannian geometry, where \( N \) is a subbundle of \( TM \) equipped with a nondegenerate bundle metric (see e.g. [3]).

(iii) If \( \nu : N \to M \) is a Lie algebroid over \( M \), with anchor map \( \rho \), we recover the notion of \textit{Lie algebroid connection} studied by Fernandes [5]. By definition of a Lie algebroid, the anchor map induces a Lie algebra morphism from the Lie algebra of sections of \( \nu \) into the Lie algebra of vector fields on \( M \). Consequently, in this case \( \text{Im}(\rho) = D \) is an involutive generalised distribution, determining a (possibly singular) foliation \( F \) of \( M \). Given a \( \rho \)-connection \( h \) on a fibre bundle \( \pi : E \to M \), with associated distribution \( Q \), we have that for
Consider the case where \( A \) to \( A \) as a pseudo-connection \( N \). Using the fact that each \( e \) passing through \( \pi(e) \) to the leaf of \( \mathcal{F} \). Here, unlike the case of a partial connection, \( Q \) may have a nonzero intersection with the vertical distribution \( V \).

A particular instance of a Lie algebroid is obtained when \( M \) admits a Poisson structure, with Poisson tensor \( \Lambda \), and \( N = T^*M \). The anchor map \( \rho \) is then given by the natural vector bundle morphism induced by \( \Lambda \), i.e. \( \sharp_{\Lambda} : T^*M \to TM, \alpha_m \mapsto \Lambda_m(\alpha_m,) \). This case was also studied extensively by Fernandes [4]. Connections over \( \sharp_{\Lambda} \) were then called contravariant connections, following I. Vaisman who introduced a notion of contravariant derivative in the framework of the geometric quantisation of Poisson manifolds [24].

(iv) Let again \( N = TM, \nu = \tau_M \) and let \( \rho \) be the tangent bundle morphism induced by a type \( (1,1) \)-tensor field \( A \) on \( M \). A \( \rho \)-connection on then corresponds to what is also known as a pseudo-connection with fundamental tensor field \( A \) (cf. [2, 27]).

Consider the case where \( A \) has vanishing Nijenhuis torsion, i.e. \( \mathcal{N}_A = 0 \), with \( \mathcal{N}_A \) the type \( (1,2) \)-tensor field defined by \( 1/2\mathcal{N}_A(X,Y) = A^2([X,Y]) + [A(X),A(Y)] - A([A(X),Y]) - A([X,A(Y)]) \) for arbitrary \( X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \). The pair \((M,A)\) is sometimes called a Nijenhuis manifold, with Nijenhuis tensor \( A \). One may then define a new bracket on \( \mathfrak{X}(M) \) according to

\[
[X,Y]_A := [A(X),Y] + [X,A(Y)] - A([X,Y]).
\]

Using the fact that \( \mathcal{N}_A = 0 \), it follows after some tedious but straightforward computations that \( [\cdot,\cdot]_A \) is again a Lie bracket on \( \mathfrak{X}(M) \) and that, moreover, \( A([X,Y]) = [A(X),A(Y)] \) and \( [X,fY]_A = f[X,Y]_A + A(X)(f)Y \) for all \( X,Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \) and \( f \in C^\infty(M) \) (see e.g. [12]). Consequently, \( TM \) becomes a Lie algebroid over \( M \) with bracket \( [\cdot,\cdot]_A \) and anchor map \( A \) (regarded as a bundle map from \( TM \) into itself), and a pseudo-connection whose fundamental tensor field \( A \) is a Nijenhuis tensor, is a Lie algebroid connection.

(v) An immediate extension of the previous case is obtained when considering an arbitrary vector valued tensor field \( \mathcal{K} \in T^*_s(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(M) \) on \( M \), where \( T^*_s(M) \) denotes the \( C^\infty(M) \)-module of smooth type \( (r,s) \)-tensor fields, i.e. tensor fields of contravariant order \( r \) and covariant order \( s \). Putting \( N = T^*_s(TM) \), the vector bundle of type \( (s,r) \)-tensors on \( M \), and \( \rho : T^*_r(M) \to TM \) the natural bundle morphism over \( M \) induced by \( \mathcal{K} \), i.e.

\[
\rho(v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_s \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_r) = \mathcal{K}(v_1,\ldots,v_s;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r),
\]

for arbitrary \( x \in M, v_i \in T_xM \) and \( \alpha_j \in T^*_xM \), then one can consider \( \rho \)-connections on a fibre bundle \( E \) over \( M \) as connections which, in some sense, are “parametrised” by \( (s,r) \)-tensors. Clearly, the pseudo-connections mentioned above, as well as the contravariant (Poisson) connections, belong to this category.
Another example, which also fits into the previous category, is provided by sub-Riemannian geometry. A sub-Riemannian structure consists of a triple \((M, Q, g)\), where \(M\) is a smooth manifold, \(Q\) a distribution on \(M\) of constant rank (i.e. a vector subbundle of \(TM\)) and \(g\) a positive definite bundle metric on \(Q\) (see e.g. [3, 22]). Herewith one can associate a vector bundle morphism \(\sharp g : T^*M \to Q\) which is uniquely determined by
\[
g(v_m, \sharp g(\alpha_m)) = \langle v_m, \alpha_m \rangle,
\]
for all \(v_m \in Q_m\), and with \(\langle \ , \ \rangle\) denoting the natural pairing between \(T_m^*M\) and \(T_mM\). One can easily verify that \(\ker(\sharp g) = Q^0\), the annihilator of \(Q\) in \(T^*M\). Since \(\sharp g\) can also be regarded as a smooth bundle morphism over the identity from \(T^*M\) into \(TM\), we may thus look for connections over the vector bundle map \(\sharp g\) in the sense of Definition 2.1 (with \(N = T^*M\) and \(\rho = \sharp g\)). Such connections will be considered in a forthcoming paper ([14]).

5 Linear \(\rho\)-connections

In this section we assume that \(\pi : E \to M\) is a vector bundle and that \(h : \pi^*N \to TE\) defines a linear \(\rho\)-connection on \(\pi\) (cf. Section 2). Recall that, in terms of natural bundle coordinates \((x^i, u^\alpha)\) and \((x^i, y^A)\) on \(N\) and \(E\), respectively, and with \(\rho\) given by (1), the bundle map \(h\) is of the form
\[
h(x^i, y^A, u^\alpha) = (x^i, y^A, \gamma^i_\alpha(x)u^\alpha, \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(x)u^\alpha y^B).
\]
Considering an admissible coordinate transformation in a neighbourhood of some point \((e, n) \in \pi^*N\), of the form
\[
\bar{x}^i = \bar{x}^i(x), \quad \bar{y}^A = \Xi^A_B(x)y^B, \quad \bar{u}^\alpha = \Lambda^\alpha_\beta(x)u^\beta,
\]
where \(\Xi(x) = (\Xi^A_B(x))\) and \(\Lambda(x) = (\Lambda^\alpha_\beta(x))\) are regular matrices, it can be easily deduced from the general tranformation law (11) for the connection coefficients \(\Gamma^A_{\alpha B}\), that the \(\Gamma^A_{\alpha B}\) transform according to
\[
\bar{\Gamma}^A_{\alpha B}(\bar{x}(x)) = \left( \partial \Xi^A_B(x) \partial x^k(x) \gamma^k_\beta(x) + \Gamma^B_{\beta C}(x)\Xi^A_C(x) \right) (\Xi^{-1})_B^C(x)(\Lambda^{-1})^\beta_\alpha(x).
\]
In particular, if \(N = E = TM\) with \(\nu = \pi = \pi_M\), and \(\rho = \mathrm{Id}_{TM}\), we have that both \(\Lambda(x)\) and \(\Xi(x)\) reduce to the Jacobian matrix \((\partial \bar{x}^i / \partial x^j)\) of the coordinate transformation on the base manifold \(M\), and we recover the standard transformation law for the connection coefficients (“Christoffel symbols”) of a linear connection on a manifold.
5.1 Parallel transport

We now aim at defining a notion of parallel transport for linear ρ-connections. In the next proposition, we first show that a ρ-admissible curve on N (cf. Definition 2.3) can always be lifted to a curve on E which is everywhere tangent to the generalised distribution \( \text{Im}(h) = Q \) determined by the given linear ρ-connection.

**Proposition 5.1** Consider a smooth ρ-admissible curve \( c : [0, 1] \to N \), with \( c(0) = n_0 \). Then, for each \( e_0 \in E_{\nu(n_0)} \), there exists a uniquely defined curve \( c^h : [0, 1] \to E \) such that \( c^h(0) = e_0 \), \( (\pi \circ c^h)(t) = (\nu \circ c)(t) \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \), and

\[
\dot{c}^h(t) = h(c^h(t), c(t)).
\]

**Proof.** The proof proceeds along the same lines as for the construction of the horizontal lift of curves in standard connection theory. First, consider a coordinate neighbourhood \( U \subset M \) which is locally trivialising with respect to both vector bundle structures \( \nu \) and \( \pi \). Coordinates on \( \nu^{-1}(U) \) and on \( \pi^{-1}(U) \) are denoted by \( (x^i, u^\alpha) \) and \( (x^i, y^A) \), respectively. Assume now that the image of the given ρ-admissible curve \( c \) is contained in \( \nu^{-1}(U) \), with \( c(0) = n_0 = (x^i_0, u^\alpha_0) \). Then, putting \( c(t) = (x^i(t), u^\alpha(t)) \), the ρ-admissibility of \( c \) is expressed by the relation \( \dot{x}^i(t) = \gamma^i_\alpha(x(t))u^\alpha(t) \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) (see Section 2). Next, take any point \( e_0 = (x^i_0, y^A_0) \in E_{\nu(n_0)} \) and consider the following system of linear first-order ordinary differential equations with time-dependent coefficients:

\[
\dot{y}^A = \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(x(t))u^\alpha(t)y^B.
\]

It follows from the theory of linear differential equations that this system admits a unique solution \( y^A(t) \) with \( y^A(0) = y^A_0 \) and which, moreover, is defined for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). The curve \( c^h(t) = (x^i(t), y^A(t)) \) then clearly satisfies all the requirements of the proposition.

The proof for the more general case, with \( \text{Im}(c) \) not necessarily contained in a single bundle chart, follows by taking a partition \( 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = 1 \) of \([0, 1]\) in such a way that the previous construction can be applied to the restriction of \( c \) to each subinterval \([t_i, t_{i+1}]\), and then glueing the results together.

\[QED\]

We will call \( c^h \) the \( h \)-lift of the admissible curve \( c \), with initial point \( e_0 \). In coordinates it follows from the above that an \( h \)-lift of a ρ-admissible curve \( c(t) = (x^i(t), u^\alpha(t)) \) in \( N \) is a curve \( c^h(t) = (x^i(t), y^A(t)) \) in \( E \) for which

\[
\dot{x}^i(t) = \gamma^i_\alpha(x(t))u^\alpha(t), \quad \dot{y}^A(t) = \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(x(t))u^\alpha(t)y^B(t).
\]

It can be immediately inferred from these relations that, in general, \( c^h \) is not fully determined by the projection \( \tilde{c}(t) = (x^i(t)) \) of \( c \) alone. More precisely, different ρ-admissible curves
projecting onto the same base curve in $M$ may have different $h$-lifts in $E$ with the same initial point.

Proposition 5.1 allows us to associate a notion of parallel transport to a linear $\rho$-connection. Indeed, consider a smooth admissible curve $c : [0, 1] \to N$ with projection $\tilde{c} = \nu \circ c$ on $M$ and put $\tilde{c}(0) = m_0, \tilde{c}(1) = m_1$. One can then define a map

$$\tau_c : E_{m_0} \to E_{m_1}, \quad e_0 \mapsto c^h(1),$$

where $c^h$ is the $h$-lift of $c$ with initial point $c^h(0) = e_0$. From the construction of the $h$-lift it easily follows that this map is indeed well-defined and, moreover, determines a linear isomorphism between the fibres $E_{m_0}$ and $E_{m_1}$. We will call $\tau_c$ the operator of parallel transport (or parallel displacement) along the $\rho$-admissible curve $c$. It is important to emphasise again that, in general, parallel transport cannot be unambiguously associated to a base curve in $M$.

The construction of $\tau_c$ can obviously be extended to the case where $c$ is a piecewise smooth admissible curve. In order to introduce a suitable concept of holonomy in the framework of linear $\rho$-connections, it turns out that the class of admissible curves in $N$ should be further extended to curves admitting (a finite number of) discontinuities in the form of certain ‘jumps’ in the fibres of $N$, such that the corresponding base curve is piecewise smooth. A detailed discussion of this matter will be the topic of a separate paper. For a treatment of holonomy in the special case where $(N, \rho)$ defines a Lie-algebroid structure on $M$: see, for instance, the recent papers by Fernandes [4, 5].

In the next subsection, we describe the construction of an operator which for linear $\rho$-connections can be seen as the analogue of the covariant derivative operator in standard connection theory.

### 5.2 The associated derivative operator

Consider a linear $\rho$-connection $h$ on the vector bundle $\pi$, with associated connection map $K$ (13). Take $s \in \Gamma(\nu)$ and $\psi \in \Gamma(\pi)$. For any $m \in \text{Dom}(s) \cap \text{Dom}(\psi)$ one readily verifies that $(s(m), \psi_s(\rho(s(m))))$ determines an element of the bundle $\rho^*TE$. We then define $\nabla_s\psi \in \Gamma(\pi)$ by

$$\nabla_s\psi(m) = K(s(m), \psi_s(\rho(s(m)))).$$  \hfill (13)

Let $U \subset \text{Dom}(s) \cap \text{Dom}(\psi)$ be a trivialising coordinate neighbourhood for both $\nu$ and $\pi$, with coordinates $x^i$ on $U$ and corresponding local bundle coordinates $(x^i, u^\alpha)$ and $(x^i, y^A)$ on $N$ and $E$, respectively. Putting $s(x) = (x^i, s^\alpha(x)), \psi(x) = (x^i, \psi^A(x))$, we then find, using (7):

$$\nabla_s\psi(x) = \left( x^i, \frac{\partial \psi^A}{\partial x^j}(x) \gamma^j_\alpha(x) s^\alpha(x) - \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(x) s^\alpha(x) \psi^B(x) \right).$$  \hfill (14)
In terms of the vector field $X = \rho \circ s \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we can still rewrite the components of $\nabla_s \psi$ as

$$(\nabla_s \psi)^A(x) = \frac{\partial \psi^A}{\partial x^j}(x)X^j(x) - \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(x)s^\alpha(x)\psi^B(x).$$

The following theorem gives a full characterisation of the operator $\nabla$, whereby it is tacitly assumed that its action is restricted to those pairs $(s, \psi) \in \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\pi)$ for which $\text{Dom}(s)$ and $\text{Dom}(\psi)$ have nonempty intersection.

**Theorem 5.2** The operator $\nabla : \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$, defined by (13), satisfies the following properties:

(i) $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear;

(ii) for all $(s, \psi) \in \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\pi)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$ we have:

$$\nabla fs\psi = f \nabla s\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla s(f\psi) = f \nabla s\psi + (\rho \circ s)(f)\psi.$$

Moreover, $\nabla$ is uniquely determined by the given linear $\rho$-connection $h$.

**Proof.** The proofs of the properties (i) and (ii) follow by straightforward computation. The fact that $\nabla$ is uniquely determined by $h$ can be easily deduced from (13) and the definition of the connection map $K$. Indeed, different $\rho$-connections necessarily induce different maps $V$ (see (1)) and, hence, different connection maps $K$ (see (6)).

We will call the operator $\nabla$ the derivative operator associated to the linear $\rho$-connection $h$. In case $N = TM$ and $\rho$ is the identity map on $TM$, we recover the classical notion of covariant derivative operator of a linear connection on a vector bundle over $M$. In his treatment of Lie algebroid connections on a vector bundle, where $N = A$ is a Lie algebroid over $M$ with anchor map $\rho$, Fernandes refers to the $\nabla$-operator as the $A$-derivative: see [5].

From the fact that $\nabla_s \psi$ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear in $s$, it follows that for a given $\psi$, $(\nabla_s \psi)(m)$ only depends on the value of $s$ in $m$, and not on the behaviour of $s$ in a neighbourhood of $m$. This allows us to define for each $n \in N$, with $m = \nu(n)$, an operator

$$\nabla_n : \Gamma_m(\pi) \longrightarrow E_m, \psi \mapsto \nabla_n \psi := \nabla_s \psi(m),$$

where $s$ may be any (local) section of $\nu$ for which $s(m) = n$. Alternatively, we could have defined defined the operator $\nabla_n$ directly according to the prescription $\nabla_n \psi = K(n, \psi_*(\rho(n)))$. The properties of $\nabla_n$ immediately follow from Theorem 5.2, i.e. $\nabla_n$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\psi \in \Gamma_m(\pi)$, we have that

$$\nabla_n(f\psi) = f(m)\nabla_n \psi + \rho(n)(f)\psi(m).$$
Next, let \( c : I \to N \) be an admissible curve in \( N \), with corresponding base curve \( \tilde{c} = \nu \circ c \). Consider a map \( \tilde{\psi} : I \to E \), i.e. a curve in \( E \), satisfying \( \pi \circ \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{c} \). It is now readily seen that, for each \( t \in I \), \((c(t), \tilde{\psi}(t)) \in \rho^* TE \) and we may then define

\[
\nabla_{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\psi}(t) := K(c(t), \dot{\tilde{\psi}}(t)),
\]

which we will call the derivative of \( \tilde{\psi} \) along the admissible curve \( c \). In coordinates, putting \( c(t) = (\tilde{c}(t), c^\alpha(t)) \) and \( \tilde{\psi}(t) = (\tilde{c}(t), \tilde{\psi}^A(t)) \), we obtain

\[
(\nabla_{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\psi}(t))^A = \frac{d\tilde{\psi}^A}{dt}(t) - \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(\tilde{c}(t))c^\alpha(t)\tilde{\psi}^B(t).
\]

Assume one can find a (local) section \( \psi \in \Gamma(\pi) \) such that \( \psi(\tilde{c}(t)) = \tilde{\psi}(t) \) for all \( t \in I \). This will be the case, for instance, if the base curve \( \tilde{c} \) is an injective immersion. A straightforward computation then shows that

\[
(\nabla_{c(t)} \psi)^A = \frac{\partial \psi^A}{\partial x^j}(\tilde{c}(t)) - \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(\tilde{c}(t))c^\alpha(t)\psi^B(t)
= \frac{d\psi^A}{dt}(t) - \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(\tilde{c}(t))c^\alpha(t)\psi^B(t),
\]

where, for the second equality, we have used the fact that \( \psi^A(\tilde{c}(t)) \equiv \tilde{\psi}^A(t) \). We may therefore conclude that the derivative of \( \tilde{\psi} \) along \( c \) verifies

\[
\nabla_{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\psi}(t) = \nabla_{c(t)} \psi,
\]

for any \( \psi \in \Gamma(\pi) \) such that \( \psi(\tilde{c}(t)) \equiv \tilde{\psi}(t) \), if such a section \( \psi \) exists.

**Remark 5.3** A special situation occurs when \( \rho \) has a nontrivial kernel and the image of an admissible curve \( c \) is contained in it. In particular, we then know that \( c(t) \) necessarily belongs to a fixed fibre of \( \nu \) (cf. Section 2) and the base curve \( \tilde{c} \) reduces to a point in \( M \), say \( \tilde{c}(t) = \nu(c(t)) = m_0 \) for all \( t \). We then consider a map \( \tilde{\psi} : I \to E_{m_0} \). In coordinates, with \( m_0 = (x_0^i, \tilde{\psi}(t)) = (x_0^i, y^A(t)) \), we then find that

\[
\nabla_{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\psi}(t) = (x_0^i, \tilde{y}^A(t) - \Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(x_0)c^\alpha(t)y^B(t)) \in E_{m_0}.
\]

In particular, if we associate to each point \( e_0 = (x_0^i, y_0^A) \in E_{m_0} \) the constant map \( \tilde{\psi}(t) \equiv (x_0^i, y_0^A) \), we obtain a time-dependent linear map on the fibre \( E_{m_0} \), namely \( e_0 \mapsto \nabla_{\tilde{c}} e_0(t) = (x_0^i, -\Gamma^A_{\alpha B}(x_0)c^\alpha(t)y_0^B) \).

Next, it is easy to see how the action of the derivative operator of a linear \( \rho \)-connection on a vector bundle \( \pi : E \to M \), can be extended to sections of the dual vector bundle \( \pi^* : E^* \to M \). If, by convention, for \( s \in \Gamma(\nu) \) and \( f \in C^\infty(M) \) we put \( \nabla_s f = (\rho \circ s)(f) \), we
can immediately define an action of the operator $\nabla_s$ on $\Gamma(\pi^*)$ as follows: for any $f \in \Gamma(\pi^*)$, $\nabla_s f \in \Gamma(\pi^*)$ is uniquely determined by

$$\langle \psi, \nabla_s f \rangle = \nabla_s \langle \psi, f \rangle - \langle \nabla_s \psi, f \rangle,$$

for all $\psi \in \Gamma(\pi)$, where $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ denotes the canonical pairing between sections of $\pi$ and sections of $\pi^*$. Herewith, it is then standard to further extend the action of $\nabla_s$ to sections of any tensor bundle constructed out of $E$ and $E^*$. In what precedes we have shown that a linear $\rho$-connection on a vector bundle $\pi : E \to M$ gives rise to an operator $\nabla$ verifying the conditions of Theorem 5.2. We now demonstrate that the converse also holds.

**Theorem 5.4** Any operator $\nabla : \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\pi) \to \Gamma(\pi)$, verifying the properties (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5.2, is the derivative operator of a unique linear $\rho$-connection on $\pi$.

**Proof.** Take $n \in N$, with $\nu(n) = m$, and $\psi \in \Gamma_m(\pi)$. From the above discussion it follows that the given operator $\nabla$ induces an operator $\nabla_n$ on $\Gamma_m(\pi)$ such that $\nabla_n \psi \in E_m$. Putting $\psi(m) = e$, and denoting by $\iota_e : E_m \to V_e E$ the canonical isomorphism between the vector spaces $E_m$ and $V_e E$, we may consider the vector $\psi_*(\rho(n)) - \iota_e(\nabla_n \psi) \in T_e E$. It is now straightforward to check that the mapping $\Gamma_m(\pi) \to TE, \psi \mapsto \psi_*(\rho(n)) - \iota_e(\nabla_n \psi)$ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear in $\psi$ and, hence, only depends on the value of $\psi$ in $m$. From this we deduce that there exists a well-defined smooth mapping $h : \pi^* N \to TE$, given by

$$h(e, n) = \psi_*(\rho(n)) - \iota_e(\nabla_n \psi),$$

for any $\psi \in \Gamma(\pi)$ with $\psi(\nu(n)) = e$. Clearly, $\pi_*(h(e, n)) = \rho(n)$, which already shows that $\rho \circ \tilde{\pi}_2 = \pi_* \circ h$. The linearity of $h_e = h(e, \cdot) : N_{\pi(e)} \to T_e E$ is obvious. With $\{\phi_t\}$ denoting the flow of the dilation vector field on $E$, and observing that for any $\psi \in \Gamma(\pi)$ we also have $\phi_t \circ \psi \in \Gamma(\pi)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it is not difficult to verify that

$$(\phi_t)_*(\psi_*(\rho(n)) - \iota_e(\nabla_n \psi)) = (\phi_t \circ \psi)_*(\rho(n)) - \iota_{\phi_t(e)}(\nabla_n(\phi_t \circ \psi)) = h(\phi_t(e), n),$$

proving that $h$ is indeed a linear $\rho$-connection.

It now remains to be shown that the given $\nabla$ is the derivative operator of the constructed $\rho$-connection $h$. Let $K$ denote the connection map associated to $h$ (cf. Section 3). Using (8) and (9), together with the above definition of $h$, we find for any $n \in N$ and $\psi \in \Gamma_{\nu(n)}(\pi)$, putting $\psi(\nu(n)) = e:

$$K(n, \psi_*(\rho(n))) = p_2(\psi_*(\rho(n)) - h(e, n)) = p_2(\iota_e(\nabla_n \psi)) = \nabla_n \psi.$$
Since, in view of (13), the left-hand side precisely determines the derivative operator associated to $h$, this completes the proof of the theorem.

QED

Suppose $\nabla$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are the derivative operators corresponding to two (different) linear $\rho$-connections on the vector bundle $\pi$. It follows from Theorem 5.2 that the difference $\nabla - \bar{\nabla}$ is a $C^{\infty}(M)$-bilinear mapping $S : \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$, which locally reads

$$(S(s, \psi))^A = (\Gamma_{aB}^A - \bar{\Gamma}_{aB}^A)s^a \psi^B.$$ 

Conversely, given a derivative operator $\nabla$ and an arbitrary $C^{\infty}(M)$-bilinear mapping $S : \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\pi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\pi)$, the operator $\nabla + S$, mapping any pair of sections $(s, \psi)$ onto $\nabla s + S(s, \psi)$, also defines a derivative operator verifying the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 and, hence, determines a linear $\rho$-connection on $\pi$. We also note that $S$ uniquely determines a smooth section $S$ of the tensor product bundle $N^* \otimes E^* \otimes E \rightarrow M$, where $N^* \rightarrow M$ and $E^* \rightarrow M$ are the dual bundles of $N \rightarrow M$ and $E \rightarrow M$, respectively. The relation between $S$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{S}(m)(n, e, e^*) = \langle S(s, \psi)(m), e^* \rangle,$$

for all $m \in M, n \in N_m, e \in E_m, e^* \in E_m^*$, and where $s$ and $\psi$ are any sections of $\nu$ and $\pi$, respectively, such that $s(m) = n$ and $\psi(m) = e$.

6 Curvature and torsion

Clearly, in the case of arbitrary vector bundles $\nu : N \rightarrow M$ and $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ there is no way, in general, of assigning a notion of torsion or curvature to a linear $\rho$-connection. However, let us assume in what follows that the space of sections $\Gamma(\nu)$ is equipped with an algebra structure (over $\mathbb{R}$), with product denoted by $\ast$, such that the mapping $\Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(\nu), (s_1, s_2) \mapsto s_1 \ast s_2$ is $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear and skew-symmetric and, in addition, verifies a Leibniz-type rule

$$s_1 \ast (fs_2) = f(s_1 \ast s_2) + \rho(s_1)(f)s_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

for all $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\nu)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. Note that we do not require $\rho$ to induce an algebra morphism between $(\Gamma(\nu), \ast)$ and $(\mathfrak{X}(M), [\ , \ ])$.

Whenever the space of sections of the vector bundle $\nu : N \rightarrow M$ is equipped with a bilinear operation $\ast$ verifying the above assumptions, we will follow [3] in saying that $N$ admits the structure of a pre-Lie algebroid. When dropping the skew-symmetry assumption of the product $\ast$, we obtain a so-called pseudo-Lie algebroid (cf. [3]). For a treatment of the differential calculus on pseudo- and pre-Lie algebroids, we refer to [4], where both structures are
simply called “algebroids” and “skew algebroids”, respectively. The algebraic counterpart of pre-Lie algebroids, namely differential pre-Lie algebras, have also been studied in [12].

In analogy with the Poisson structure that exists on the dual bundle of any Lie algebroid, one can show that on the dual bundle $\mu : N^* \to M$ of any pre-Lie algebroid $\nu : N \to M$ there exists a distinguished bivector field $\Lambda$ which, in particular, induces an ‘almost-Poisson’ bracket $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ on $C^\infty(N^*)$, verifying all properties of a Poisson bracket except for the Jacobi identity. One can show that the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of the bivector field $\Lambda$ with itself vanishes (and, hence, $\Lambda$ becomes a Poisson tensor) iff the algebra $(\Gamma(\nu), \ast)$ is a Lie algebra, i.e. the Jacobi identity holds for the $\ast$-product. In that case one can also prove that $\rho$ induces a Lie algebra homomorphism between $(\Gamma(\nu), \ast)$ and $(\mathfrak{x}(M), [\cdot, \cdot])$, and $N$ then becomes a Lie algebroid over $M$.

6.1 Curvature

Assume $N$ admits a pre-Lie algebroid structure, with product $\ast$ on $\Gamma(\nu)$, and consider a linear $\rho$-connection on a vector bundle $\pi : E \to M$, with associated derivative operator $\nabla$. We may now define a mapping $R : \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\pi) \to \Gamma(\pi)$ given by

$$R(s_1, s_2; \psi) := \nabla_{s_1} \nabla_{s_2} \psi - \nabla_{s_2} \nabla_{s_1} \psi - \nabla_{s_1 \ast s_2} \psi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

It easily follows that $R$ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear and skew-symmetric in $s_1$ and $s_2$, but fails to be $C^\infty(M)$-linear in $\psi$. Indeed, a straightforward computation shows that for arbitrary $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\nu), \psi \in \Gamma(\pi)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$R(s_1, s_2; f \psi) = f R(s_1, s_2; \psi) + (\rho(s_1) \circ \rho(s_2) - \rho(s_2) \circ \rho(s_1) - \rho(s_1 \ast s_2))(f) \psi.$$  

From this expression it is seen that $R$ will be fully tensorial iff $\rho$ induces an algebra homomorphism from $(\Gamma(\nu), \ast)$ to $(\mathfrak{x}(M), [\cdot, \cdot])$, i.e.

$$\rho(s_1 \ast s_2) = [\rho(s_1), \rho(s_2)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

In particular, this implies that for all $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \Gamma(\nu)$ we have

$$s_1 \ast (s_2 \ast s_3) + s_2 \ast (s_3 \ast s_1) + s_3 \ast (s_1 \ast s_2) \in \Gamma(\nu |_{\ker(\rho)}),$$

i.e. the ‘Jacobiator’ of the $\ast$-product should take values in the kernel of the vector bundle morphism $\rho$. (The denomination ‘Jacobiator’ is sometimes used in the literature to indicate, in an algebra with a skew-symmetric product, the cyclic sum that vanishes in case the Jacobi identity holds). If (18) holds, we will call the mapping $R$, defined by (17), the curvature of the given $\rho$-connection.
Remark 6.1 Another important consequence of (18) is that the generalised distribution \( D(= \text{Im}(\rho)) \) on \( M \) is involutive. Note, however, that since \( \rho \) need not be of constant rank, involutivity does not necessarily imply integrability of \( D \). (For integrability conditions of a generalised distribution, see e.g. [23, 24].)

Consider a local coordinate neighbourhood \( U \) in \( M \), with coordinates \( x^i \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\), which is also a trivialising neighbourhood for both vector bundles \( \nu \) and \( \pi \). Let \( \sigma_\alpha \) \((\alpha = 1, \ldots, k)\), respectively \( p_A \) \((A = 1, \ldots, \ell)\), represent a local basis of sections of \( \nu \), respectively \( \pi \), defined on \( U \). We then have

\[
\sigma_\alpha \ast \sigma_\beta = c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_\lambda,
\]

for some functions \( c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \in C^\infty(U) \). Putting \( \rho(\sigma_\alpha) = \gamma^i_\alpha \partial/\partial x^i \), the condition (18) yields the following relation

\[
c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^i_\lambda = \gamma^i_\alpha \partial \gamma^j_\beta/\partial x^j - \gamma^j_\beta \partial \gamma^i_\alpha/\partial x^j,
\]

for all \( \alpha, \beta, i \). Given a linear \( \rho \)-connection on \( \pi \), let

\[
\nabla_{\sigma_\alpha} p_A = \Gamma^B_{\alpha A} p_B.
\]

Denoting the components of the curvature \( R \) with respect to the chosen local bases of sections by \( R^B_{\alpha\beta;A} \), i.e. \( R(\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta; p_A) = R^B_{\alpha\beta;A} p_B \), a straightforward computation reveals that

\[
R^B_{\alpha\beta;A} = \gamma^i_\alpha \partial \Gamma^B_{\beta A}/\partial x^i - \gamma^i_\beta \partial \Gamma^B_{\alpha A}/\partial x^i + \Gamma^B_{\alpha C} \Gamma^C_{\beta A} - \Gamma^B_{\beta C} \Gamma^C_{\alpha A} - c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^B_{\lambda A}.
\] (19)

Always under the assumption that (18) is satisfied, we will establish a link between the curvature of a linear \( \rho \)-connection \( h \) on \( \pi : E \to M \) and the (lack of) involutivity of the (generalised) distribution \( Q = \text{Im}(h) \). Recalling that for any \( s \in \Gamma(\nu) \), \( s^h \in \mathfrak{X}(E) \) denotes its \( h \)-lift (cf. Section 2), we have the following useful property.

**Lemma 6.2** For any \( s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\nu) \)

\[
[s^h_1, s^h_2](e) - (s_1 \ast s_2)^h(e) \in V_e E \quad \text{for all } e \in E.
\]

**Proof.** From the fact that for each \( s \in \Gamma(\nu) \), \( s^h \) and \( \rho \circ s \) are \( \pi \)-related vector fields (cf. Proposition 2.2 (iii)), it follows that \([s^h_1, s^h_2] \) and \([\rho(s_1), \rho(s_2)] \) are also \( \pi \)-related. Taking into account (18) we then easily find that

\[
\pi_* \left( [s^h_1, s^h_2] - (s_1 \ast s_2)^h \right) = \pi_* [s^h_1, s^h_2] - \rho(s_1 \ast s_2) \circ \pi = ([\rho(s_1), \rho(s_2)] - \rho(s_1 \ast s_2)) \circ \pi = 0,
\]

24
from which the result follows.

We now come to the following important result which tells us that the curvature $R$ can indeed be seen as a measure for the ‘non-involutivity’ of the (generalised) distribution $Q$ determined by a linear $\rho$-connection. (Recall that $\iota_e$ denotes the canonical identification between $E_{\pi(e)}$ and $V_e E$).

**Theorem 6.3** For any $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\nu)$ we have

$$\iota_e ([s_1^h, s_2^h](e) - (s_1 * s_2)^h(e)) = R(s_1, s_2; \psi)(m), \tag{20}$$

for each $e \in E$ for which the left-hand side is defined, and where $m = \pi(e)$ and $\psi$ is any section of $\pi$ such that $\psi(m) = e$.

**Proof.** First of all, note that the left-hand side of (20) makes sense in view of the previous lemma, and that the ‘tensorial character’ of $R$ implies that the right-hand side does not depend on the choice of the section $\psi$ for which $\psi(m) = e$. Secondly, using the properties of the $h$-lift of sections it is not difficult to check that $[s_1^h, s_2^h] - (s_1 * s_2)^h$ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear in both $s_1$ and $s_2$. Since we already know that the same is true for $R(s_1, s_2; \psi)$, it suffices to verify (20) on a local basis of sections $(\sigma^h)_{\alpha=1,\ldots,n}$ of $\Gamma(\nu)$, defined on a suitable coordinate neighbourhood $U$ of $m = \pi(e)$, for some chosen point $e \in E$. There is no loss of generality by assuming that $U$ is also a trivialising neighbourhood for $\pi$, and denote the corresponding bundle coordinates on $E$ by $(x^i, y^A)$. In particular, let the coordinates of the point $e$ be given by $(x^i_0, y^A_0)$.

Using the notations introduced above, we find after a rather tedious, but straightforward computation, that

$$[\sigma^h_\alpha, \sigma^h_\beta](e) - (\sigma_\alpha * \sigma_\beta)^h(e) = \left(\sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \gamma^A_{\alpha B}}{\partial x^i} - \sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial \gamma^A_{\alpha B}}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma^A_{BC} \Gamma^C_{\alpha B} - \Gamma^A_{\beta C} \Gamma^C_{\alpha B} - \epsilon^{\lambda}_{\alpha \beta} \Gamma^A_{\lambda B}\right)_{x_0} y^B_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} |_e.$$

The result now easily follows when comparing the right-hand side with the expression (19) for the local components of $R$, and bearing in mind that $\iota_e$ maps each $(x^i_0, y^A_0, 0, w^A) \in V_e E$ onto $(x^i_0, w^A) \in E_m$.

**Example.** If $(N, \nu)$ is a Lie algebroid over $M$ with anchor map $\rho$, we recover the notion of curvature defined, for instance, in [5].

### 6.2 Torsion

Assume again $\nu : N \to M$ is a pre-Lie algebroid, i.e. that $\Gamma(\nu)$ admits an algebra structure, with a skew-symmetric product $*$ satisfying (14). We do not require, however, that $\rho$
is an algebra homomorphism. Consider now a linear ρ-connection on ν, with associated derivative operator \( \nabla \) (i.e. we take \( E = N \) and \( \pi = \nu \)). We can then define a mapping \( T : \Gamma(\nu) \times \Gamma(\nu) \to \Gamma(\nu) \) given by

\[
T(s_1, s_2) = \nabla_s_1 s_2 - \nabla_s_2 s_1 - s_1 \ast s_2 .
\]

(21)

It is not difficult to check that \( T \), which may be called the torsion of the given \( \rho \)-connection, is a \( C^\infty(M) \)-bilinear and skew-symmetric mapping. Let \( (\sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\ldots,k} \) represent a local basis of sections of \( \nu \) such that

\[
\nabla_{\sigma_\alpha} \sigma_\beta = \Gamma^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\alpha \ast \sigma_\beta = c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_\lambda .
\]

It then readily follows that

\[
T(\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta) = (\Gamma^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\beta\alpha} - c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta}) \sigma_\lambda .
\]

**Example.** Let \( A \) be a type \((1,1)\)-tensor field on \( M \) and consider a linear pseudo-connection on \( \tau_M \) with fundamental tensor field \( A \) (cf. Section 4 (iv)). Here we have \( N = TM, \nu = \tau_M \) and for the product \( \ast \) we may take the bracket \( [\ , \ ]_A \) on \( \mathfrak{X}(M) \), defined by (11). This bracket satisfies (14), but in general will not be a Lie bracket (since \( A \) need not be a Nijenhuis tensor). The notion of torsion, defined by (21), corresponds to the one encountered in treatments of pseudo-connections (see e.g. [2, 27]).

### 7 Principal ρ-connections

As before, let \( \nu : N \to M \) be a vector bundle and \( \rho : N \to TM \) a vector bundle map, such that \( \tau_M \circ \rho = \nu \). Let \( \pi : P \to M \) be a principal \( G \)-bundle, with a free (say, right) group action \( \Phi : P \times G \to P \), such that \( P/G \cong M \). The Lie algebra of \( G \) will be denoted by \( \mathfrak{g} \).

For a standard treatment of the theory of principal bundles, we refer to [10]. Using again the notations \( \Phi(e,g) = \Phi_g(e) = eg \), recall from Section 2 that a principal ρ-connection on a principal \( G \)-bundle \( \pi : P \to M \) is a ρ-connection \( h \) on \( \pi \) satisfying the additional condition \( (\Phi_g)_*(h(e,n)) = h(eg,n) \), for all \( g \in G \) and \( (e,n) \in \pi^*N \), i.e. \( h \) is equivariant with respect to the induced actions of \( G \) on \( \pi^*N \) and \( TP \).

In this section we will briefly describe some aspects of the theory of principal ρ-connections. Much more on the subject can be found, for instance, in [2] for the case where \((N, \nu)\) is a Lie algebroid over \( M \) with anchor map \( \rho \). In fact, all properties described in that paper which do not effectively rely on the Lie algebra structure of \( \Gamma(\nu) \), also hold in the more general setting we are considering here.
First of all, given a principal $\rho$-connection $h$ on $\pi$, the $G$-equivariance of $h : \pi^* N \rightarrow TP$ implies that it induces a bundle mapping from $\pi^* N / G$ into $TP / G$. Taking into account that $\pi^* N / G \cong N$, and putting $\hat{\pi} : TP \rightarrow TP / G$ the natural projection onto the space of $G$-orbits, we obtain a well-defined mapping

$$\bar{\omega} : N \rightarrow TP / G, n \mapsto \hat{\pi}(h(e, n)), $$

for any $e$ such that $\pi(e) = \nu(n)$.

At this point it is important to recall that $TP / G$ admits a Lie algebroid structure over $M$ (for details, see Appendix A of [13]). In particular, we have a vector bundle structure

$$\hat{\tau} : TP / G \rightarrow M$$

such that the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
TP & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & TP / G \\
\downarrow{\tau_P} & & \downarrow{\hat{\tau}} \\
P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M
\end{array}
\]

and the $C^\infty(M)$-module of sections $\Gamma(\hat{\tau})$ is equipped with a Lie bracket which we shall denote here by $[ , ]^\wedge$. We also recall that, given a local trivialising neighbourhood $U \subset M$ of the principal bundle $\pi$, we have the identification $T_U P / G \cong TU \times g$. The anchor map $p : TP / G \rightarrow TM$ of the Lie algebroid structure on $TP / G$ precisely corresponds to the projection onto the first factor in this local splitting.

Following Fernandes [4], let $\Gamma^p(N^*, TP / G) := \Gamma(\nu^{*(p)}) \otimes \Gamma(\hat{\tau})$ denote the $C^\infty(M)$-module of $TP / G$-valued sections of the exterior bundle $\nu^{*(p)} : N^* \rightarrow M$, where $\nu^* : N^* \rightarrow M$ is the dual bundle of $N$. Clearly, the mapping $\bar{\omega}$, defined above, is a vector bundle mapping over the identity on $M$ and, hence, we can associate to it a unique element $\omega \in \Gamma^1(N^*, TP / G)$ according to $\omega(s) := \bar{\omega} \circ s$, for arbitrary $s \in \Gamma(\nu)$. We will call $\omega$ the connection 1-section of the given principal $\rho$-connection $h$. From the previous definitions it can be easily deduced that

$$p \circ \omega = \rho . \tag{22}$$

In addition, we have the following interesting property, the proof of which is also an immediate consequence of the definitions of the various objects involved.
Proposition 7.1 Given a principal $\rho$-connection $h$ on $\pi$, with associated connection 1-section $\omega$, then for any $s \in \Gamma(\nu)$

$$\omega(s) \circ \pi = \hat{\pi} \circ s^h.$$ 

Assume now that $\Gamma(\nu)$ is equipped with an algebra structure, with skew-symmetric bilinear product $\ast$ satisfying (16), such that $N$ becomes a pre-Lie algebroid. We may then put, for arbitrary $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\nu),$

$$\Omega(s_1, s_2) := [\omega(s_1), \omega(s_2)]^\wedge - \omega(s_1 \ast s_2).$$

Taking into account (22) one immediately verifies that $\Omega$ is $C^\infty(M)$-bilinear, and since it is obviously skew-symmetric, we have that $\Omega$ is an element of $\Gamma^2(N^*, TP/G)$, called the curvature 2-section of the principal $\rho$-connection.

Let $U \subset M$ be a local trivialising neighbourhood of the principal bundle $\pi$. Then, given a principal $\rho$-connection on $\pi$ with associated connection 1-section $\omega$, the isomorphism $T_U P/G \cong TU \times g$ allows one to write, for any local section $s \in \Gamma(\nu)$ defined on $U$,

$$\omega(s) = (\rho(s), \omega_U(s)).$$

This uniquely determines an element $\omega_U \in \Gamma(N^*_U, g)$, called a local connection 1-section. When considering an open covering $\{U_j\}$ of $M$ by trivialising neighbourhoods of $\pi$, one can associate in this way a local connection 1-section $\omega_j \equiv \omega_{U_j}$ to each $U_j$. Moreover, for any two overlapping neighbourhoods $U_j$ and $U_k$, with corresponding transition function $\psi_{jk} : U_j \cap U_k \to G$ (cf. [10], Vol.1), one can show that the relation

$$\omega_k = \text{Ad}(\psi_{jk}^{-1})\omega_j + \psi_{jk}^{-1}d_\rho(\psi_{jk})$$

holds on $U_j \cap U_k$, with $\psi_{jk}^{-1}(m) := (\psi_{jk}(m))^{-1}$. Here, $\text{Ad}$ denotes the adjoint representation of $G$ on $g$, whereas $d_\rho$ is an operator which associates to any smooth mapping $f : M \to G$, the mapping

$$d_\rho f : N \to TG, \; n \mapsto f_*(\rho(n)).$$

(The definition of $d_\rho$ can be extended to maps from $M$ into any smooth manifold: see e.g. [3]). Conversely, given an open covering $\{U_j\}$ of $M$ by trivialising neighbourhoods and any family of $g$-valued 1-sections $\omega_j$ (each defined on the corresponding $U_j$) for which (23) holds, one can demonstrate that there exists a unique principal $\rho$-connection $h$ on $\pi$ for which the $\omega_j$’s are local connection 1-sections. For a proof of these results, and for more details on local connection 1-sections as well as on the notion of local curvature 2-section, associated to a principal $\rho$-connection, we refer to [4, 4].
Finally, it is not difficult to see that also in the present framework, a principal $\rho$-connection on a principal $G$-bundle $\pi : P \to M$, induces a $\rho$-connection on any fibre bundle associated to $P$ (cf. Vol.1 of [10] for the construction in the standard case, and [4] for the Lie algebroid case).

8 Final remarks

In this paper we have described a general framework for connections on fibre bundles $\pi : E \to M$, defined over a vector bundle map $\rho : N \to TM$, with $\nu : N \to M$ a given vector bundle. Our main source of inspiration was provided by some recent work of R.L. Fernandes, who treated the case where $(N,\nu)$ is a Lie algebroid with anchor map $\rho$ [5]. By dropping the requirement that $N$ should be equipped with a Lie algebroid structure, we have extended the picture to the case where the distribution $\rho(N)$ on $M$ need not be integrable. In that respect, one of us (BL) has found some interesting applications of the theory in sub-Riemannian geometry [14], as well as in a new connection theoretic approach to nonholonomic mechanics [15]. Further work along these lines, also in the field of geometric control theory, is in progress. Also from a purely geometrical point of view, there are several aspects of the theory which still need further investigation. In particular, we intend to study in more detail the notion of parallel transport and the concept of holonomy in the general setting described above. Moreover, in analogy with Fernandes’ treatment of contravariant connections in Poisson geometry, it may also be of interest to investigate the role of connections over a bundle map induced by some other geometric structures on a manifold (cf. Section 4).

While finalising the present paper, we came across a preprint of a recent paper by M. Popescu and P. Popescu [18]. From this paper we learned that some of the ideas developed above are probably closely related to work done by these authors in the past decade. In particular, the idea of a generalised $\rho$-connection on a vector bundle seems to be contained in a paper from 1992, entitled “On the geometry of relative tangent spaces” [19]. A relative tangent space, called an ‘anchored vector bundle’ in [18], precisely refers to a structure consisting of a vector bundle $\nu : N \to M$ and an ‘anchor map’ $\rho : N \to TM$.
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