Is the Complement Protein C1q a Pro- or Anti-tumorigenic Factor? Bioinformatics Analysis Involving Human Carcinomas
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C1q is the first subcomponent of the classical pathway of the complement system and belongs to the C1q/Tumor Necrosis Factor superfamily. C1q can perform a diverse range of immune and non-immune functions in a complement-dependent as well as -independent manner. Being a pattern recognition molecule of the innate immunity, C1q can recognize a number of self, non-self and altered-self ligands and bring about effector mechanisms designed to clear pathogens via opsonisation and inflammatory response. C1q is locally synthesized by macrophages and dendritic cells, and thus, can get involved in a range of biological processes, such as angiogenesis and tissue remodeling, immune modulation, and immunologic tolerance. The notion of C1q involvement in the pathogenesis of cancer is still evolving. C1q appears to have a dual role in cancer: tumor promoting as well as tumor-protective, depending on the context of the disease. In the current study, we performed a bioinformatics analysis to investigate whether C1q can serve as a potential prognostic marker for human carcinoma. We used the Oncomine database and the survival analysis platforms Kaplan-Meier plotter. Our results showed that high levels of C1q have a favorable prognostic index in basal-like breast cancer for disease-free survival, and in HER2-positive breast cancer for overall survival, while it showed a pro-tumorigenic role of C1q in lung adenocarcinoma, and in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. This in silico study, if validated via a retrospective study, can be a step forward in establishing C1q as a new tool as a prognostic biomarker for various carcinoma.
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INTRODUCTION

C1q is the first recognition subcomponent of the complement classical pathway, which when associated with C1r and C1s, forms a C1 complex, allowing the activation of the complement cascade (1). By virtue of its ability to bind to IgG and IgM containing immune complexes and activating the classical pathway, C1q acts as prototypical link between innate and adaptive immune wings of the immune system (2). C1q can bind to a range of non-self-target ligands (pathogens), altered self (β-amyloid peptide, prion protein, apoptotic and necrotic cells via phosphatidylserine and DNA, respectively), and cell surface receptors (such as calreticulin and gC1qR) (3). Several features of the C1q render it a versatile molecular sensor of damage-modified self or non-self antigens (4). C1q, unlike most of the complement proteins which are exclusively produced by hepatocytes, can also be synthesized in a local environment by a wide range of cell types including macrophages and dendritic cells (5). Local synthesis, therefore, offers an additional avenue to C1q in order to exert specific functions in situ that are strictly connected to its site of production without involving complement activation (6).

C1q is an hexametric glycoprotein of about 460 kDa, resembling a “bouquet of tulips” being composed by three polypeptide chains: A (28 kDa), B (25 kDa), C (24 kDa), which are the product of three distinct genes clustered in the same orientation, and in the order A–C–B, on a 24 kb stretch of DNA on chromosome 1p (7). Each chain consists of a C-terminal globular head (gC1q) domain and an N-terminal triple-helical collagen-like (cC1q) domain (8). C1q associates with the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent C1r$^{2}$-C1s$^{2}$ tetramer, of about 360 kDa, to form the soluble pentameric C1 complex (9). The C-terminal ends of A, B and C chains assemble together to form a heterotrimeric gC1q domain, which by virtue of its modular organization, can work independently and engage with a diverse range of target ligands (3). While the gC1q domain latches on to the charge patterns on the ligands, the cC1q domain can interact with effector mechanism inducers, such as C1r, C1s, cell surface receptors, etc. Thus, a combination of a highly versatile and modular gC1q domain and a cell surface interacting cC1q domain, together with its local synthesis, makes C1q a potent orchestrator of molecular pathways. C1q is involved not only in innate and adaptive immune mechanisms, but also in a wide range of physiological and pathological processes, such as placental development (10, 11), pre-eclampsia (12, 13), wound healing (14) and cancer (15–18).

Markiewski et al. provided evidence that C1q is present in syngeneic mouse tumors. Indeed, they found that the activation of the classical pathway is the major contributor to complement-mediated tumor progression (19). Subsequently, we showed that locally expressed C1q had important effects in the tumor microenvironment (TME) (17). C1q expressed in the stroma and vascular endothelium of several human malignant tumors acted as a tumor-promoting factor by favoring adhesion, migration and proliferation of cancer cells as well as angiogenesis and metastasis. C1q-deficient (C1qa$^{-/-}$) mice, bearing a syngeneic B16 melanoma, exhibited slower tumor growth and prolonged survival, compared to C3 or C5 deficient mice although it has been shown that C3/C5 deficiency may also create microenvironment suboptimal for tumor growth (20, 21). Recently, we demonstrated that C1q is abundantly present in malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM), where it can combine with hyaluronic acid (HA), which is a principal component of the TME, and enhance the tumor growth by promoting cell adhesion and proliferation (18). However, other have shown a pro-apoptotic effect of C1q on prostate (15) and ovarian cancer cells in vitro (16). These rather two set of contradicting studies warranted a systematic analysis of the context of the disease and TME that can render C1q protective or pathogenic in cancer.

In the current study, we performed a bioinformatics analysis, using Oncomine database and the survival analysis platforms
Kaplan-Meier plotter, in order to investigate whether C1q can serve as a potential prognostic marker for human carcinoma, i.e., tumors of epithelial origin. Our results showed that high levels of C1q have a favorable prognostic index in basal-like breast cancer (BLBC) and in HER-2 positive breast cancer. However, we found a pro-tumorigenic role of C1q in lung adenocarcinoma, and in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (CCRC). This study is an important step forward in highlighting C1q as a new prognostic candidate biomarker for a range of carcinomas.

METHODS

Oncomine Database Analysis
The expression levels of C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC genes in various carcinomas were analyzed using Oncomine (www.oncomine.org), a cancer microarray database and web-based data mining platform from genome-wide expression analyses (22, 23). We compared the differences in mRNA level between normal tissue and carcinoma. The mRNA expression levels in neoplastic tissues compared to the healthy tissues were obtained as the parameters of \( p \)-value < 0.05, fold change >2, and gene ranking in the top 10%. Information about the dataset used in this study is summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Kaplan-Meier Plotter Database Analysis
A Kaplan-Meier plotter database can be used to assess the effect of 54,675 genes on survival using 10,461 carcinoma samples (5,143 breast, 1,816 ovarian, 2,437 lung, and 1,065 gastric cancer patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients with a mean follow-up of 69/40/49/33 months) using probe sets on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). For other human carcinoma, a total of 3,439 patients.

Immunohistochemical Analysis
Normal and neoplastic human tissues, including breast, kidney and lung, were selected from the archives of the Department of Pathology, University of Trieste. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed using a polymer detection method. Briefly, tissue samples were fixed in 10% v/v buffered formalin and then paraffin embedded. Four \( \mu \)m-thick tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated. The antigen unmasking technique was carried out using Novocastra Epitope Retrieval Solutions, pH 9 (Leica Biosystems) in a PT Link pre-treatment module (Dako) at 98°C for 30 min. Sections were then brought to RT and washed in PBS. After neutralization of the endogenous peroxidase with 3% v/v H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and Fc blocking by a specific protein block (Novocastra, Leica Biosystems), samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit polyclonal anti-human C1q (dilution 1:200) antibodies (Dako). Staining was carried out via polymer detection kit (Novocastra, Leica Biosystems) and DAB (3,3′-Diaminobenzidine; Dako, Denmark) substrate-chromogen. Slides were counterstained with Harris Haematoxylin (Novocastra, Leica Biosystems). Sections were analyzed under the Axio Scope A1 optical microscope (Zeiss) and microphotographs were collected through the Axiocam 503 color digital camera (Zeiss) using the Zen2 software.

Statistical Analysis
Survival curves were generated by the Kaplan-Meier plotter. All results are displayed with \( p \)-values from a log-rank test. \( p \)-values < 0.05 were considered significant. Similarly, with Oncomine, the statistical significance of data (\( p \)-values) was provided by the program.

RESULTS

Bioinformatic Analysis of the Three Genes Encoding Human C1q A, B, and C Chains in Normal Epithelial Tissues and Carcinomas
The expression of C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC genes was analyzed between different carcinoma and normal tissue counterparts using the Oncomine database. The threshold was determined as the following values: \( p \)-value < 0.05, fold change >2, and gene ranking in the top 10%. Carcinomas included in this analysis were: bladder carcinoma, breast cancer, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal carcinoma, head-neck squamous cell carcinoma, clear cell renal cell carcinoma (CCRC), papillary renal cell carcinoma (PRCC), liver hepatocellular carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, ovarian cancer, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, rectum adenocarcinoma, gastric carcinoma, and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma. We only investigated carcinomas in which all the three C1q chains showed a significant prognostic effect by Kaplan-Meier plotter analysis. The C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC genes were either over-expressed, or downregulated depending on the type of carcinoma investigated, as compared to their normal tissue counterparts. All the three C1q chains showed a differential prognostic significance. These data appear to suggest that C1q can have pro- or anti-tumorigenic implications, depending on the carcinoma types (Table 1). Thus, detailed analyses of the expression profiles of all three C1q chains were performed.

Significance of C1q Expression in Breast Carcinoma
Bioinformatics analysis of C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC mRNA expression was performed in the context of the breast cancer using Karnoub's, Finak's, Curtis's, and Perou's datasets. A higher expression level of the three chains of C1q was detected as compared to normal breast tissue (Figure 1A, \( p < 0.05 \)). When breast cancer was stratified into different histological subtypes, C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC mRNA expression achieved a statistical significance only in medullary carcinoma (Figure 1B, \( p < 0.05 \)). To evaluate the prognostic significance of C1q in all breast cancers, we considered their molecular classification, such as...
TABLE 1 | Prognostic significance of C1q in patients with carcinomas.

| CANCER            | Cancer subtype            | Gene symbol | DFS/PFS     | OS         |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Breast            | Triple-negative           | C1QA        | HR = 0.47 (0.34–0.66) | HR = 0.52 (0.32–0.85) |
|                   | n = 618 for DFS           |             | p-value (4.7e-6) | p-value (0.0079) |
|                   | n = 241 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | Triple-negative           | C1QB        | HR = 0.56 (0.43–0.72) | HR = 0.46 (0.28–0.75) |
|                   | n = 618 for DFS           |             | p-value (5.6e-6) | p-value (0.0014) |
|                   | n = 241 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | Triple-negative           | C1QC        | HR = 0.58 (0.42–0.8)  | HR = 0.38 (0.2–0.71)  |
|                   | n = 360 for DFS           |             | p-value (0.0009)    | p-value (0.0019)    |
|                   | n = 153 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | Luminal A                 | C1QA        | HR = 1.31 (1.1–1.55) | ns          |
|                   | n = 1,933 for DFS         |             | p-value (0.0021)    |             |
|                   | n = 611 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | Luminal A                 | C1QB        | HR = 1.54 (1.29–1.83) | HR = 2.09 (1.47–2.97) |
|                   | n = 1,933 for DFS         |             | p-value (1.0e-6)   | p-value (2.6e-6)   |
|                   | n = 611 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | Luminal A                 | C1QC        | HR = 1.36 (1.07–1.74) | ns          |
|                   | n = 841 for DFS           |             | p-value (0.0132)    |             |
|                   | n = 271 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | Luminal B                 | C1QA        | ns           | ns         |
|                   | n = 1,149 for DFS         |             |             |            |
|                   | n = 433 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | Luminal B                 | C1QB        | ns           | ns         |
|                   | n = 1,149 for DFS         |             |             |            |
|                   | n = 433 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | Luminal B                 | C1QC        | ns           | ns         |
|                   | n = 407 for DFS           |             |             |            |
|                   | n = 129 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | HER2+                     | C1QA        | HR = 0.49 (0.33–0.72) | HR = 0.17 (0.08–0.39) |
|                   | n = 251 for DFS           |             | p-value (0.0002)   | p-value (2.1e-6)   |
|                   | n = 117 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | HER2+                     | C1QB        | HR = 0.61 (0.37–0.99) | HR = 0.26 (0.12–0.55) |
|                   | n = 251 for DFS           |             | p-value (0.0434)   | p-value (0.0001)   |
|                   | n = 117 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Breast            | HER2+                     | C1QC        | ns           | HR = 0.28 (0.13–0.63) |
|                   | n = 156 for DFS           |             |             | p-value (0.001)   |
|                   | n = 73 for OS             |             |             |            |
| Kidney            | Clear cell renal cell carcinoma | C1QA    | HR = 1.76 (1.3–2.38) | ns         |
|                   | n = 530 for OS            |             | p-value (0.0002)   |             |
| Kidney            | Clear cell renal cell carcinoma | C1QB    | HR = 1.55 (1.15–2.1) | ns         |
|                   | n = 530 for OS            |             | p-value (0.0005)   |             |
| Kidney            | Clear cell renal cell carcinoma | C1QC    | H = 1.65 (1.21–2.24) | ns         |
|                   | n = 530 for OS            |             | p-value (0.0012)   |             |
| Kidney            | Papillary renal cell carcinoma | C1QA    | ns           | ns         |
|                   | n = 287 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Kidney            | Papillary renal cell carcinoma | C1QB    | ns           | ns         |
|                   | n = 287 for OS            |             |             |            |
| Kidney            | Papillary renal cell carcinoma | C1QC    | ns           | ns         |
|                   | n = 287 for OS            |             |             |            |
TABLE 1 | Continued

| CANCER | Cancer subtype | Gene symbol | DFS/PFS | OS |
|--------|---------------|-------------|---------|----|
| Lung\textsuperscript{a} | Adenocarcinoma | C1QA | HR = 2.11 (1.68–2.68) | n = 720 for OS |
| Lung\textsuperscript{a} | Adenocarcinoma | C1QB | HR = 1.83 (1.45–2.31) | n = 720 for OS |
| Lung\textsuperscript{a} | Adenocarcinoma | C1QC | HR = 3.29 (2.39–4.52) | n = 673 for OS |
| Lung\textsuperscript{a} | Squamous cell carcinoma | C1QA | ns | n = 524 for OS |
| Lung\textsuperscript{a} | Squamous cell carcinoma | C1QB | ns | n = 524 for OS |
| Lung\textsuperscript{a} | Squamous cell carcinoma | C1QC | HR = 0.64 (0.46–0.89) | n = 271 for OS |

\textsuperscript{a}Using 5,143 cancer samples on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from Gene Expression Omnibus, GEO.
\textsuperscript{b}Using 817 cancer samples on the RNA HSeq data from The Cancer Genome Atlas, TCGA.
\textsuperscript{c}Using 2,437 cancer samples on the HGU133 Plus 2.0 array from GEO.

Lower Level of C1q Expression in Lung Carcinoma

While examining C1QA and C1QB mRNA expression in lung cancer, using Selamat's, Wachi's and Bhattacharjee's datasets, we found a lower expression level in adenocarcinoma (Figure 3C, \(p < 0.05\)) and in squamous cell carcinoma (data not shown, \(p < 0.05\)) than in normal lung tissue; C1QC mRNA expression was significant only in lung adenocarcinoma. As shown in Figure 3D, C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC mRNA expression levels negatively correlated with an OS rate of the patients with lung adenocarcinoma (\(p < 0.05\)); no correlation with OS was observed in squamous cell carcinoma (Table 1).

IHC in lung adenocarcinoma revealed C1q staining in the stroma and some macrophage-like positive cells into the tumor mass (Figure 2C).

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we performed bioinformatics analysis to explore if C1q level could act as a possible prognostic marker in various carcinomas, in view of its reported dichotomous effects on cancer cells (pro- and anti-tumorigenic). C1q is present in colon, lung, breast, pancreatic carcinoma, and melanoma. C1q can promote adhesion, proliferation and migration of melanoma cells (17). We found C1q in abundance in all histological variants (epithelioid, sarcomatoid, and biphasic) of asbestos-induced malignant pleural mesothelioma. C1q bound high and low molecular weight HA and acted as a tumor-promoting factor (18). In addition, C1q exerted a protective effect against apoptosis, suggesting an overall pro-tumorigenic activity (17). However, Hong et al. recently observed that C1q, expressed in normal prostate, was downregulated in benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer (15). C1q was able to induce apoptosis and growth suppression of human prostate DU145.
cells, through direct activation of the tumor suppressor WW-domain containing oxidoreductase (WWOX). C1q also have a pro-apoptotic effect on an ovarian cell line, SKOV3, acting via a TNF-α induced apoptosis pathway that involves upregulation of Bax and Fas (16).

In a syngeneic murine model of melanoma in C57BL/6 strain, C1q-deficient mice showed prolonged survival and slower tumor growth, as compared to wild-type mice (17). However, Bandini et al. found that neuT mice, a genetically engineered mouse model for mammary carcinoma that was made deficient for the C1qA chain (neuT-C1KO mice), manifested an accelerated tumor growth associated with an increased number of intratumoral vessels, compared to wild-type neuT mice. These differences in tumor progression were attributed to a reduced activation of WW domain containing oxidoreductase (WWOX) in C1q-deficient mice (25).

In view of these rather contradicting roles of C1q in tumor progression, we performed a systematic bioinformatics analysis of the expression of C1q, and its correlation with the survival rate in different carcinoma histotypes, using Oncomine and Kaplan-Meier plotter tools. We selected the carcinomas that showed all the three chains of human C1q statistically significant for the prognosis; in several cases, the prognosis was differentially linked to the C1q chains, or limited to one or two C1q chains. We often noticed the mRNA encoding for only one or two C1q chains, something that would impede synthesis of a functional C1q molecule. Indeed, we have provided evidence in the past that the expression of C1qC chain is essential for the production of functional C1q by the endothelial cells of the decidua (26). Moreover, mesothelioma cells are impaired in C1q A chain synthesis (18).

Our bioinformatics analysis highlighted that high levels of C1q have a favorable prognostic index in BLBCs for DFS and HER2+ breast cancer for OS, (Graphical Abstract) consistent with the in vivo studies by Bandini et al. using C1q-deficient mice (25). Inflammation is a major characteristic of these types of tumors. One possible explanation for the observed positive association between C1q expression and favorable

FIGURE 1 | C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC expression in invasive breast carcinoma. Curtis’s datasets were used for bioinformatics analysis to explore C1QA and C1QB mRNAs expression in the breast cancer, whereas Perou’s datasets was used for bioinformatics analysis to evaluate C1QC mRNA. A higher C1q mRNAs expression was detectable in invasive breast carcinoma compared to normal breast tissue (A). The analysis of the different breast carcinoma histotypes by Finak’s dataset revealed how the medullary breast cancer presented the major intensity of C1q mRNA expression (B). According to the data Kaplan-Meir plotter, C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC mRNA expressions was positively linked to a disease-free survival (DFS) rate in patients with basal-like cancers (C) (p<0.05) and to an overall survival (OS) rate with HER-2 positive cancers (Supplementary Table 2). HR, hazard ratio.
prognostic index could be due to the correlation between the presence of C1q and dendritic cells (CD11c positive cells) in TME. High CD11c expression in BLBCs is associated with a significantly higher OS ($p = 0.047$) as compared to low CD11c expression (27). Dendritic cells themselves can be a potential source of C1q within the TME (28, 29). C1q, although present, is not able to bind BLBC cells (MDA-MB-231), and hence, not able to promote tumor progression (unpublished data), probably due to downregulation of putative C1q receptor(s). It is thus crucial to understand the differences in good prognosis survival between BLBCs and HER2$^+$ breast cancer, the role of inflammation, and that of C1q in determining such differences.

Wilson et al. (30) found that C1q chain genes were enriched in the stroma compartment of triple-negative breast cancers. The analysis of publicly available data sets revealed that the genes encoding for the C1q chains were associated with a poor prognosis in BLBC using the TCGA dataset (504 patients). In our analysis, using the GEO dataset that include 5,143 patients, we observed a positive prognostic effect for BLBCs in DFS and HER2-positive breast cancers in OS. The opposite results were obtained for CCRCCs and lung adenocarcinomas in OS.

A negative prognostic effect arose from the analysis of kidney and lung carcinomas (Graphical Abstract). The most frequent histological subtypes include CCRCC and PRCC (CCRCC $\sim$75%; PRCC $\sim$10%) (31). The expression of C1q in kidney
cancer is increased as compared to normal kidney tissue (Figure 3A) and C1q has a negative prognostic effect in the case of CCRCC (Figure 3B); no association was evident for PRCC. CCRCC tumor is characterized by an increased response to HIF that promotes blood vessel growth. Targeted therapies directed against VEGF, VEGF receptor, and mTOR play a crucial role in the management of metastatic CCRCC (32). We can hypothesize that C1q can also participate in promoting angiogenic processes in this particular tumor (14).

C1q has a negative prognostic value in lung tumors limited to adenocarcinomas, the most common form of lung cancer (Figure 3D). According to the WHO classification of lung...
tumors, there are four major histological types: adenocarcinomas, squamous cell carcinomas, large cell carcinomas, and small cell carcinomas (33). It is worth noting that C1q expression is reduced in lung cancer compared to the normal lung as we observed for surfactant protein D (SP-D) (34). Although C1q expression in lung cancer is lower than in normal tissue, lung cancer cells bind C1q present in the tumor microenvironment and activate the classical complement pathway (35). Tumor transformation is also concomitant with the loss of key defense molecules entrusted with early recognition and removal of the altered self (36).

A number of factors can modulate the role of C1q in the TME. C1q interaction with the ECM components can adversely interrupt its putative functions, as is the case with HA. It is also possible that certain tumors downregulate the putative receptor for C1q in order to escape possible apoptosis induction. Proliferative and apoptotic responses to C1q can be dictated by distinct receptors that are yet to be discovered. Last but not the least, the orientation of the C1q molecule, while engaging with the tumor cells, can also define the C1q-mediated implications. Our study encompasses all the above-mentioned possibilities, including tumor heterogeneity.
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