Retrieval of nitrogen dioxide stratospheric profiles from ground-based zenith-sky UV-visible observations: validation of the technique through correlative comparisons
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Abstract

A retrieval algorithm based on the Optimal Estimation Method (OEM) has been developed in order to provide vertical distributions of NO$_2$ in the stratosphere from ground-based (GB) zenith-sky UV-visible observations. It has been applied to observational data sets from the NDSC (Network for Detection of Stratospheric Change) stations of Harestua ($60^\circ$N, $10^\circ$E) and Andøya ($69.3^\circ$N, $16.1^\circ$E) in Norway. The information content and retrieval errors have been analyzed following a formalism used for characterizing ozone profiles retrieved from solar infrared absorption spectra. In order to validate the technique, the retrieved NO$_2$ vertical profiles and columns have been compared to correlative balloon and satellite observations. Such extensive validation of the profile and column retrievals was not reported in previously published work on the profiling from GB UV-visible measurements. A good agreement – generally better than 25% – has been found with the SAOZ (Système d’Analyse par Observations Zénithales) and DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy) balloon data. A similar agreement has been reached with correlative satellite data from HALogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) and Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM) III instruments above 25 km of altitude. Below 25 km, a systematic overestimation of our retrieved profiles – by up to 50% in some cases – has been observed by both HALOE and POAM III, pointing out the limitation of the satellite solar occultation technique at these altitudes. We have concluded that our study strengthens our confidence in the reliability of the retrieval of vertical distribution information from GB UV-visible observations and offers new perspectives in the use of GB UV-visible network data for validation purposes.

1. Introduction

The vertical distribution of stratospheric nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) can be retrieved from ground-based (GB) measurements of the absorption of zenith-scattered sunlight. Ba-
sically, at visible wavelengths where NO$_2$ absorption is measured, the mean altitude at which Rayleigh scattering occurs increases with increasing solar zenith angle (SZA). During twilight, the mean scattering altitude scans the stratosphere rapidly, yielding height-resolved information on the absorption by stratospheric NO$_2$. Since the pioneering works of Brewer et al. (1973) and Noxon (1975), only a few attempts (McKenzie et al., 1991; Preston et al., 1997; Denis et al., submitted, 2003$^1$; Schofield et al., 2004) have been reported on the retrieval of vertical distributions of atmospheric trace gases from GB zenith-sky observations. The most comprehensive studies are those of Preston et al. (1997), Denis et al. (submitted, 2003$^1$), and Schofield et al. (2004). They have all benefited from the theoretical developments in the inversion techniques due to Rodgers (1976, 1990, 2000), especially those concerning the characterization of the retrieval. Preston et al. (1997) retrieved the NO$_2$ vertical distribution in the stratosphere from zenith-sky observations using the Optimal Estimation Method (OEM)(Rodgers, 1976, 1990, 2000). A similar study has been carried out by Denis et al. (submitted, 2003$^1$) but their focus was on the optimization of an inversion software package for operational and routine retrievals. In Schofield et al. (2004), the retrieval algorithm was also based on the OEM but it has been applied to combined GB zenith-sky and direct-sun measurements of bromine monoxide (BrO). Due to scattering geometry considerations, zenith-sky and direct-sun observations are sensitive to the stratosphere and the troposphere, respectively. Therefore, combining both viewing geometries in a formal retrieval provides information on both stratospheric and tropospheric absorbers. All the three studies stressed the impact of the photochemistry on the retrieved information. Trace gas species like NO$_2$ and BrO display a strong diurnal variation which complicates the retrieval: the observed variation of the measurements with SZA depends not only on the scattering geometry (as aforementioned, the mean scattering altitude increases with increasing SZA) but also on the photochemistry (the

$^1$Denis, L., Roscoe, H. K., Chipperfield, M. P., Van Roozendael, M., and Goutail, F.: A new software for NO$_2$ vertical profile retrieval from ground-based zenith-sky spectrometers, submitted to JQSRT, 2003.
concentrations of NO$_2$ and BrO increases and decreases with SZA, respectively). The photochemical effect was supplied as a priori information in Preston et al. (1997) and Denis et al. (submitted, 2003$^2$) while it was simultaneously retrieved with the altitude distribution of the trace gas in Schofield et al. (2004).

Here we report on the retrieval using the OEM of NO$_2$ stratospheric profiles from GB zenith-sky UV-visible observations performed at the NDSC (Network for the Detection of Stratospheric Change) stations of Harestua (60° N, 10° E) and Andøya (69.3° N, 16.1° E) in Norway. The paper is divided into four parts. In the first part, we describe the GB zenith-sky UV-visible observations on which the retrieval algorithm has been applied. The second and third parts of the paper are dedicated to the description of the inversion method and to the characterization of the retrievals, respectively. The information content and error analyses are presented in the formalism used by Barret et al. (2002) for characterizing the retrieval of ozone profiles from solar infrared absorption spectra and not applied until now to GB UV-visible data. Finally, in the fourth part, our retrieval algorithm is tested through comparisons of retrieved profiles and columns with correlative data. These are measurements from the balloon-borne Système d’Analyse par Observations Zénithales (SAOZ) and Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) instruments and the Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM) III and HALogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) satellite instruments. Such a correlative comparison exercise provides a thorough validation of the retrievals, which is an advancement over previously published studies.

2. **Ground-based UV-visible observations**

In the present study, NO$_2$ stratospheric profiles are retrieved using essentially the GB UV-visible zenith-sky observations continuously performed since 1998 at the NDSC.

---

$^2$Denis, L., Roscoe, H. K., Chipperfield, M. P., Van Roozendael, M., and Goutail, F.: A new software for NO$_2$ vertical profile retrieval from ground-based zenith-sky spectrometers, submitted to JQSRT, 2003.
station of Harestua. A few retrieval results obtained from measurements performed in March 2003 at Andøya during the NDSC intercomparison campaign of GB zenith-sky instruments (Vandaele et al., 2004) are also presented. A description of the Harestua instrument can be found in Van Roozendael et al. (1998), the Andøya instrument being of similar design. GB measurements of zenith radiance spectra have been analyzed by the DOAS technique (e.g. Noxon, 1991; Platt, 1994) using a coupled linear/non-linear least-squares fitting algorithm. NO\(_2\) differential slant column densities (DSCDs) with respect to a reference amount – which are the direct product of the DOAS analysis - have been retrieved in the 410–440 nm (Harestua) and 425–450 nm (Andøya) wavelength regions, taking into account the spectral signatures of O\(_3\), NO\(_2\), O\(_4\), H\(_2\)O, and Ring effect. DSCDs measured at sunrise or sunset in the 75–94° SZA range are directly used as input by the retrieval algorithm, the amount of NO\(_2\) in the reference spectrum being fitted by the algorithm. This avoids the determination of the reference amount prior to the profile inversion process using a method such as chemically modified Langley’s plots (Lee et al., 1994).

3. Description of the method

3.1. Retrieval algorithm and parameters

The problem of inverting vertical distributions of trace gas species from GB UV-visible observations has been extensively discussed in Preston et al. (1997) and Schofield et al. (2004). It consists of expressing the NO\(_2\) vertical profile at a given SZA (state vector \(x\)) in terms of a set of DSCDs measured as a function of the SZA (measurement vector \(y\)), the measurements being related to the vertical profile by a forward model

\( y = P \cdot x \)

where \(P\) is the forward model matrix. The retrieval problem is then formulated as a linear least-squares problem:

\( \min_{x} \left\| P \cdot x - y \right\| \)

The solution of this problem is given by

\( x = (P^T P)^{-1} P^T y \)

Vandaele, A. C., Fayt, C., Hendrick, F., et al.: An intercomparison campaign of ground-based UV-Visible measurements of NO\(_2\), BrO, and OCIO slant columns, I. NO\(_2\), in preparation, 2004.
describing the physics of the measurement process. As in previous studies, our retrieval algorithm is based on the OEM (Rodgers, 1976, 2000). In this method, a profile \( \hat{x} \) is retrieved given an a priori profile \( x_a \), the measurements \( y \), their respective uncertainty covariance matrices (\( S_a \) and \( S_\varepsilon \), respectively), and the matrix \( K \) of the weighting functions that indicate the sensitivity of the differential slant column abundances at each SZA to a change in the vertical profile:

\[
\hat{x} = x_a + S_a K^T (K S_a K^T + S_\varepsilon)^{-1} (y - K x_a) \quad \text{with} \quad K = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}
\]

and \( K^T \) is the transposed of \( K \) (1)

The weighting functions have been determined by consecutively perturbing each layer of the a priori profile and recalculating the set of measurements using the forward model. The OEM for linear case is considered here because the measurements of optically thin absorbers like NO\(_2\) depend linearly on the concentrations in each layer. Therefore the weighting function matrix \( K \) is independent of the state (Heskes and Boersma, 2003) and a single inversion step is sufficient. This is in contrast with optically thick constituents such as ozone whose weighting functions depend on small variations in the profile. In that case, an iterative inversion method is necessary with the weighting functions being recalculated with each iteration.

The a priori profile \( x_a \) and the covariance matrices of uncertainties in the a priori profile and in the measurements (\( S_a \) and \( S_\varepsilon \), respectively) are key parameters for the retrieval. Because the retrieval problem is ill-posed (there are more elements in the state vector \( x \) than independently measured elements in the vector \( y \) and therefore no unique solution to Eq. 1), a priori constraints are necessary to reject unrealistic solutions that might be consistent with the measurements. The NO\(_2\) a priori profiles are taken from the output of a stacked box photochemical model (see its description in Sect. 3.2) initialised at the location and day of the year of the GB UV-visible observations with the corresponding output for the year 1999 of the 3D CTM SLIMCAT (Chipperfield, 1999). Photochemical model calculations give profile data from \( \sim 10 \) to 2872
∼55 km. Below the lowest altitude level of the photochemical model, the following expression is applied to calculate profile values: \( x_a(\text{level i}) = 0.5 x_a(\text{level i+1}) \). Thus, the NO\(_2\) tropospheric content in the a priori profile is made negligible for all the retrievals. Above the highest altitude level of the photochemical model, the US76 standard atmosphere completes the profile.

Since the residuals from the DOAS fitting were found to be in most cases dominated by the random noise of the detector, the measurement covariance matrix \( S_\varepsilon \) has been chosen diagonal with values corresponding to the statistical errors on the NO\(_2\) DOAS fitting. The \( S_\varepsilon \) matrix being fixed, the a priori covariance matrix \( S_a \) can act like a tuning parameter (Schofield et al., 2004). The variance value to be placed on the diagonal of the \( S_a \) matrix has been empirically determined and 10% was found to be the threshold value above which undesired oscillations in the retrieved profiles can occur. \( S_a \) also contains extra-diagonal terms in order to account for correlations between NO\(_2\) values at different altitude levels. These terms were added as Gaussian functions as follows (Barret et al., 2002):

\[
S_{aij} = \sqrt{S_{a ii} S_{a jj}} \exp(-\ln(2)((z_i - z_j)/\gamma)^2).
\]  

where \( z_i \) and \( z_j \) are the altitudes of \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) levels, respectively and \( \gamma \) is the half width at half maximum (HWHM). The choice of a correlation length of 8 km (\( \gamma = 4 \) km) is discussed in Sect. 4.2.

Concerning the characterization of the retrieval, the averaging kernels matrix \( A \) plays the most important role. The averaging kernels – which are the rows of the \( A \) matrix – express the relationship between the retrieved profile \( \tilde{x} \) and the true atmospheric profile \( x \):

\[
\tilde{x} = x_a + A(x - x_a) + \text{Error terms}.
\]
The $A$ matrix is derived using the following expression (Rodgers, 1990, 2000):

$$A = \frac{\partial \hat{x}}{\partial x} = (K^T S^{-1}_e K + S^{-1}_a)\epsilon K^T S^{-1}_e K.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Following Eq. (3), the retrieval of any profile point is an average of the entire true profile weighted by the row of the $A$ matrix corresponding to the altitude of the retrieved profile point. For an ideal observing system, the $A$ matrix would be therefore equal to the identity matrix. In the reality, the retrieved profile is only a smoothed perception of the true profile. The vertical resolution of this smoothed information at a given altitude can be estimated by taking the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the main peak of the corresponding averaging kernel. Another important characterization parameter which can be derived from the $A$ matrix is the number of “degrees of freedom for signal” providing an estimate of the number of independent pieces of information that can be retrieved from the measurements. This parameter is given by the trace of $A$ (Rodgers, 2000).

4. The forward model

As mentioned in Sect. 3.1, the forward model describes the physics of the measurement process. It consists of the stacked box photochemical model PSCBOX (Errera and Fonteyn, 2000; Hendrick et al., 2000) coupled to the radiative transfer (RT) package UVspec/DISORT (Kylling and Mayer, 2003). A photochemical model is required to reproduce the effect of the rapid variation of NO$_2$ concentrations at twilight. It also provides a priori NO$_2$ profiles for the retrieval (see Sect. 3.1). The RT model is used to calculate slant column abundances from the NO$_2$ concentrations predicted by the photochemical model.

The PSCBOX model includes 48 variable species, 141 gas-phase photochemical reactions as well as heterogeneous reactions on liquid sulfuric acid aerosols and on solid
nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) and ice particles. It is initialised daily at 17 independent altitude levels (between \(\sim 10\) and \(\sim 55\) km of altitude) with 12:00 UT pressure, temperature, and chemical species profiles from the 3D CTM SLIMCAT (Chipperfield, 1999). The chemical timestep is 6 min; no family and photochemical equilibrium assumptions are made. Updated kinetic and photochemical data are taken from the JPL 2000 compilation (Sander et al., 2000). Photolysis rates are computed off-line by using the radiative transfer module of the two-dimensional model SOCRATES (Huang et al., 1998).

The UVspec/DISORT RT model uses the discrete ordinate method in a pseudospherical geometry approximation in order to solve the RT equation. All the calculations are performed in multiple scattering mode and include Rayleigh scattering, Mie scattering (background conditions), and molecular absorptions. The ground albedo has been fixed to 25\%, and the wavelengths used were 418 nm (Harestua) and 438 nm (Andøya). The variation of the concentration of the absorbing species along the light path has been taken into account since it has a large impact on the calculation of the slant column densities of rapidly photolysing species such as \(\text{NO}_2\) or \(\text{BrO}\) (Sinnhuber et al., 2001). Both RT and photochemical models have been validated through intercomparison exercises (Hendrick et al., 2000, 2003).

5. Characterization of the retrieval

5.1. Error budget

The total error of the retrieved profile is the sum of three errors (Rodgers, 2000): the error due to the smoothing of the true profile or smoothing error, the error due to random and systematic errors in the measurements, and the error due to systematic errors in the forward model.

The smoothing error covariance matrix \(S_s\) can be calculated using the following expression (Rodgers, 2000):

\[
S_s = (A-I)S_x(A-I)^T,
\]

(5)
where $S_x$ is a realistic covariance matrix of the true NO$_2$ profile, $A$ is the averaging kernels matrix and $I$ is the identity matrix. For the retrievals at Harestua, the variance of the true NO$_2$ profile placed on the diagonal of the $S_x$ matrix has been estimated from the HALOE NO$_2$ stratospheric profiles located in the 55° N–65° N latitude band over a period of five years (1998–2002). 1368 profiles were selected using these criteria, 437 at sunrise and 931 at sunset. They extend from end of February to mid-October, so that the seasonal variation of NO$_2$ is largely taken into account. The use of the HALOE data for the present purpose has been limited to the 17–50 km altitude range (see Sect. 5.4 for a description of the HALOE NO$_2$ observations). Due to the lack of large amounts of NO$_2$ observational data to make reasonable statistics below 17 km and above 50 km, the variance values calculated at 17 and 50 km from HALOE data have been extended to all the levels below 17 km and above 50 km, respectively. $S_x$ also contains extra-diagonal terms in order to account for correlations between NO$_2$ values at different altitude levels. These terms were added as Gaussian functions using the same expression and correlation length as for the $S_a$ matrix (see Eq. 2 in Sect. 3.1). Due to its latitude coverage, HALOE reaches the latitude region of Andøya only for a limited number of days during the year. Therefore, the $S_x$ matrix corresponding to this station cannot be constructed following a similar procedure as for Harestua (selection of HALOE profiles in the 65° N–75° N). The most reasonable solution we found in this case has been to use, in a first approximation, the $S_x$ matrix constructed for Harestua.

The retrieval noise $S_m$ which is the retrieval error covariance resulting from measurement error is defined as (Rodgers, 2000):

$$S_m = G S_\varepsilon G^T \quad \text{with} \quad G = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial y} = S_a K^T (K S_a K^T + S_\varepsilon)^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

where $S_\varepsilon$ is the measurement error covariance matrix, and $G$ is the contribution functions matrix expressing the sensitivity of the retrieved profile to changes in the measured NO$_2$ slant column abundances. As mentioned in Sect. 3.1, the $S_\varepsilon$ matrix was chosen diagonal with values corresponding to the statistical errors on the NO$_2$ DOAS
The forward model parameter error $S_f$ is the retrieval error due to errors in the forward model parameters (e.g. errors on the rate constants in the photochemical model). $S_f$ is given by the following expression (Rodgers, 2000):

$$S_f = G K_b S_b K_b^T G^T,$$

where $G$ is the contribution functions matrix (see Eq. 6), $K_b$ is the sensitivity of the forward model to perturbations of forward model parameters $b$, and $S_b$ is the covariance matrix of $b$. $S_f$ cannot be determined easily due to the large number of forward model parameters. In the present study, we have used the $S_f$ matrix derived by Preston et al. (1997) by calculating the sensitivity of the slant column measurements to large impact forward model (photochemical and/or RT models) parameters like O$_3$, HNO$_3$, N$_2$O$_5$, aerosol, temperature profiles and ground albedo.

The profiles of the smoothing, measurements, and forward model parameter errors (square roots of the variances) corresponding to the retrieval at Harestua, on 25 May 2001 at sunset as well as the NO$_2$ natural variability (square roots of the variances of $S_x$) are shown in Fig. 1. The main contribution to the total retrieval error is clearly due to the smoothing error, both measurements and forward model errors being only minor error sources. The total error is also significantly smaller than the NO$_2$ natural variability over the 17–37 km altitude range, which means that variations of the NO$_2$ profile smaller than the variations due to the natural variability can be detected from our GB UV-visible observations.

6. Information content analysis

As mentioned in Sect. 3.1, the averaging kernel matrix $A$ is the key parameter for the characterization of the retrievals. Typical NO$_2$ GB UV-visible averaging kernels are shown in Fig. 2. The averaging kernels between 13 km and 33 km are reasonably
sharply peaked at their nominal altitude. From the examination of the averaging kernels for several dozens of sunsets and sunrises, it has been found that 13–37 km is the altitude range where the measurements give significant information about the vertical distribution of NO$_2$. From Fig. 2, we also see that the vertical resolution is about 8 km at 13 km of altitude and reaches 20 km at an altitude of 33 km. Typical values for the trace of $A$ are in the 1.6–2.3 range, so there are about 2 independent pieces of information in the measurements. The $A$ matrix depends on the a priori covariance matrix $S_a$ (see Eq. 3), and therefore on the correlation length between altitude levels used for the calculation of the extra-diagonal terms of $S_a$. The impact of this correlation length on the trace of $A$ is large as it can be seen in Fig. 3 where the trace of $A$ is plotted as a function of the $\gamma$ parameter which is the half of the correlation length (see Eq. 2). The trace of $A$ is maximun (1.9) for a correlation length of 8 km ($\gamma=4$ km) and the averaging kernels calculated with it are those shown in Fig. 2. Since such a feature has been obtained for a dozen of retrievals performed at sunrise and sunset and corresponding to different seasonal conditions (early winter, spring, summer, and early fall), a correlation length of 8 km has been used in all our retrievals. The correlation length being fixed, the largest values of the trace of $A$ have been obtained when the SZA sampling corresponding to the measurements was such that SZAs in the 92.5°–93.5° range were reached.

In order to quantify the two independent pieces of information, an eigenvector expansion of the $A$ matrix can be performed as for the characterization of ozone profiles retrieved from solar infrared absorption spectra (Barret et al., 2002; see also Rodgers, 1990, 2000). From this eigenvector expansion of the $A$ matrix and Eq. (3), the following expression is derived:

$$\tilde{z} = \Lambda z + (I - \Lambda) z_a,$$

where $\Lambda$ is the diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues of $A$ on its diagonal, and $\tilde{z}$, $z$ and $z_a$ are the projections of the state vectors $\tilde{x}$, $x$, and $x_a$ on the right eigenvectors of $A$ ($\tilde{z} = R^{-1} \tilde{x}$; $z = R^{-1} x$, and $z_a = R^{-1} x_a$), where $R$ is the matrix of the right eigenvectors of A.
A). The $\Lambda$ matrix being diagonal, Eq. (8) shows that we can decompose the state vector into patterns. Those for which the corresponding eigenvalues are close to 1 will be well reproduced by the measurement system, while those for which the corresponding eigenvalues are close to 0 will come mainly from the a priori state. Such an eigenvectors expansion has been applied to the typical NO$_2$ GB UV-visible averaging kernels matrix shown in Fig. 2. The eigenvectors corresponding to the six largest eigenvalues are plotted in Fig. 4. The first eigenvalue is close to unity, implying a 100% contribution of the measurements in this pattern. This pattern also confirms that 13–37 km is the altitude range where significant information on the vertical distribution of NO$_2$ is present in the measurements. The next two patterns correspond to eigenvalues of 0.63 and 0.27, which means that both the measurements and the a priori contribute. For the last three patterns having eigenvalues close to 0, only the a priori state contributes.

7. Retrieval results – correlative comparisons

Our retrieval algorithm has been validated through comparison of the retrieved profiles with correlative data from the balloon-borne SAOZ and DOAS instruments and the POAM III and HALOE satellite instruments. These balloon and satellite techniques have been chosen because they cover complementary altitude ranges: the lower and middle stratosphere ($\sim$15–30 km) for the SAOZ and DOAS balloons and the middle and upper stratosphere ($\sim$25–45 km) for the HALOE and POAM III satellites. Based on the solar occultation technique, they all offer a good vertical resolution of about 1–2 km. Satellites also offer the advantage to operate year-round, allowing a larger number of coincident events with the GB observations than the balloons.

The significant difference in vertical resolution between the GB and correlative profiles brings the concept of smoothing error into the comparison method. The NO$_2$ density provided by a high-resolution correlative instrument contains information confined to only a few km around the retrieval altitude. For the same retrieval altitude, the information coming from a GB measurement spreads over a much larger altitude
range. This drastic difference in perception of the true NO\textsubscript{2} profile affects direct comparisons with large smoothing errors. One way to reduce these errors is to degrade the high-resolution of the satellite and balloon profiles to the lower resolution of GB profiles using the following adaptation of Eq. (3)(Connor et al., 1994):

\begin{equation}
    x_s = x_a + A(x_h - x_a) .
\end{equation}

where \( A \) is the GB UV-visible averaging kernels matrix, \( x_a \) is the a priori profile used in the retrieval, \( x_h \) is the correlative profile, and \( x_s \) is the smoothed or convolved profile, which is what the retrieval should produce assuming that \( x_h \) is the true profile and that the only source of error is the smoothing error (see Eq. 3).

Each retrieval has also been quality-checked by comparing the absolute slant column densities (SCDs) calculated using the retrieved profile and the measured ones. The SCDs calculated with the retrieved profile fit generally very well with the measurements as it can be seen in Fig. 5 where typical “fit” results are shown. This plot also illustrates the difference between the a priori and retrieved profiles in the “fit” results.

8. SAOZ balloon comparisons

The SAOZ balloon gondola is a UV-visible spectrometer able to provide vertical profiles of O\textsubscript{3}, NO\textsubscript{2}, OClO, BrO and H\textsubscript{2}O by solar occultation during ascent (or descent) of the balloon and from float at 30 km during sunset (or sunrise). The balloon version of the SAOZ instrument is very similar to the one used for GB measurements (Pommereau and Goutail, 1988). NO\textsubscript{2} is measured in the spectral region from 410 to 530 nm using the cross sections measured at 220 K by Vandaele et al. (1998). The vertical resolution of the SAOZ NO\textsubscript{2} profiles is 1 km. All the flights used for the GB-SAOZ balloon comparisons originated in Kiruna (68° N, 21° E) in Sweden and occurred at sunset. Since the SAOZ balloon NO\textsubscript{2} data have not been corrected for photochemical variations along the line of sight, only the ascent data are taken into account for the comparisons. Five
coincident events were found between the GB and balloon observations: three in summer conditions (Harestua GB data) and two in early spring conditions (Andøya GB data). GB UV-visible data are not always available for the days where SAOZ balloon flights occur. Therefore several days can separate GB and balloon-borne observations. Due to the rather large latitude difference (8°) between Harestua and Kiruna, comparisons are only relevant in summer conditions where stable air masses are present most of the time above this latitude region (60° N–70° N). This is in contrast with winter and early spring conditions where large dynamical effects occur, especially above Harestua that is often located close to the edge of the wintertime polar vortex. Most of the time air masses with different histories are therefore probed from both stations making the profile comparisons irrelevant.

The results of the comparisons with SAOZ balloon profiles are shown in Fig. 6. The agreement obtained between GB UV-visible and smoothed SAOZ balloon profiles is very good for the Harestua 13 and 28 August and Andøya 16 March cases, both profiles differing by less than 20% over the entire 13–29 km altitude range. For both other coincident days, the relative difference is smaller than 25% above 20 km but larger discrepancies are observed below this altitude level (relative difference larger than 100%). For the Andøya 27 March case, dynamical effects could be argued to explain the observed discrepancies since it is the end of the NH vortex season and three days elapsed between the GB and balloon-borne observations. However, a check of the potential vorticity at 475 K has shown that both balloon and GB measurements were performed outside the polar vortex.

The 13–29 km NO₂ partial column values calculated by integrating the GB UV-visible and smoothed SAOZ balloon profiles are presented in Table 1. The comparison gives very good agreement since partial column values differ by less than 15% for the 5 coincident events.
9. DOAS balloon comparisons

The DOAS balloon instrument is extensively described in Ferlemann et al. (2000). It is based on the solar occultation technique and it consists in two thermostated (273 K) grating spectrometers in which the UV (316–418 nm) and visible (399.9–653 nm) parts of the sunlight are analyzed separately. Light detection is performed with two cooled photodiode array detectors (1024 diodes, 263 K). As it is designed, the instrument can provide atmospheric column abundances or profiles of O₃, NO₂, NO₃, IO, OIO, OCIO, BrO, O₄, CH₂O, and H₂O. Among the ten flights of the DOAS instrument successfully conducted until now, two – originating from Kiruna – are appropriate for comparison with the Harestua GB UV-visible data. These are 19 August 1998 and 21 August 2001 at sunset. For the same reason as for SAOZ balloons, only ascent data are used here. Concerning the second flight, the only day around 21 August 2001 where GB UV-visible data are available at Harestua is 19 August 2001.

The results of the profiles comparison for both coincident days are shown in Fig. 7. A good agreement is observed between DOAS balloon and GB profile inversion, especially for 19 August 1998 where the relative difference between smoothed DOAS balloon and GB UV-visible profiles is smaller than 4% over the whole altitude range. Concerning the 19 August 2001 case, the smoothed DOAS balloon profile is clearly below the GB UV-visible one in the 15–39 km altitude range, with a maximum underestimation of 23% near 27 km.

The 13–37 km NO₂ partial column values calculated from the GB UV-visible and smoothed DOAS balloon profiles are presented in Table 2. A good agreement is observed since both instruments differ by +1% and −19% in the 19 August 1998 and 2001 cases, respectively.
10. POAM III comparisons

POAM III is a nine-channel (0.354–1.018 µm) solar occultation instrument launched onboard the Satellite Pour l’Observation de la Terre (SPOT) 4 in March 1998 (Randall et al., 2002). It has been designed to measure stratospheric profiles of O₃, NO₂ and water vapor densities, as well as aerosol extinction and temperature. NO₂ densities are retrieved from 20 to 45 km through differential measurements at 439.6 nm (NO₂-“on” channel) and 442.2 nm (NO₂-“off” channel). The vertical resolution is about 2 km at altitudes below 40 km and increases to more than 7 km at an altitude of 45 km. The POAM III retrievals do not include corrections for diurnal variations of NO₂ along a solar occultation measurement line of sight. The criterion used for spatial coincidence is location of the POAM III profiles at tangent point within 5° latitude and 5° longitude of Harestua. Concerning the temporal coincidence, days of POAM III and GB observations are the same. 76 coincident events were found during the period from mid-June 1998 to mid-September 2000, 39 and 37 sunsets in spring and summer conditions, respectively.

Examples of profiles comparisons are shown in Fig. 8. A very good agreement is obtained for 10 June 1998 and 5 June 1999 coincident events: the relative difference is smaller than 25% in the whole altitude range. For both cases, the largest relative difference values are observed below 25 km with smoothed POAM III larger than GB UV-visible. This overestimation of the GB UV-visible data by POAM III at low altitude levels is larger in the third case (25 July 1999) with difference values up to 50%. This feature clearly appears when profiles are separately averaged for spring and summer conditions, as illustrated in Fig. 9. Its possible origin is discussed in Sect. 5.4 since this feature is also observed in the comparisons with HALOE profiles.

The 20–37 km NO₂ partial columns are compared in Fig. 10. This altitude range has been chosen because it is the common range where both instruments give reliable information on the vertical distribution of the NO₂ concentration. Except for two coincident days in 2000, POAM III overestimates the GB UV-visible data. In their validation...
study of POAM III NO$_2$ measurements, Randall et al. (2002) compared the 20–45 km POAM III NO$_2$ partial columns to total columns measured at Kiruna using a GB UV-visible spectrometer. They found that POAM III underestimates the GB total columns, mainly because a low expected bias is present in the POAM III data since they do not include the tropospheric, lower stratospheric, and upper stratospheric NO$_2$ seen by the GB instruments. Such an underestimation (up to 30%) is also observed when the POAM III 20–37 km NO$_2$ partial columns are compared to the total columns calculated from our retrieved profiles, meaning that results similar to those of Randall et al. (2002) are obtained when the same way of comparison is used.

The relative difference between the smoothed POAM III and GB UV-visible 20–37 km NO$_2$ partial columns clearly shows a seasonal dependence with larger values in summer than in spring (see Fig. 10). For example in 2000, the mean overestimation is ~6% in spring and ~12% in summer. The relative difference also varies from year to year; the mean relative difference in spring is ~18% in 1999 and ~6% in 2000.

11. HALOE comparisons

HALOE was launched on board the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS) in September 1991 (Gordley et al., 1996). As for POAM III, the satellite instrument probes the atmosphere in solar occultation. Vertical profiles of temperature, O$_3$, HCl, HF, CH$_4$, NO, NO$_2$, and aerosol extinction are inferred from two infrared channels centered at 5.26 $\mu$m and 6.25 $\mu$m. The HALOE NO$_2$ measurements extend from the lower stratosphere (~10 km) to 50 km of altitude. However, large error bars are sometimes observed below 25 km, the error bars becoming larger as the altitude decreases. Due to this reduction of reliability in the lower stratosphere, only HALOE data corresponding to altitude levels higher than 20 km have been used for the comparison. In this altitude range (20–50 km), the vertical resolution of the HALOE observations of NO$_2$ is 2 km. In contrast to POAM III, the HALOE processing algorithm includes a basic correction for line of sight gradients of NO$_2$ concentration across the limb due to the marked diurnal
variation of this species. As for POAM III comparisons, the criterion used for spatial coincidence is location of the HALOE profiles at tangent point within 5° latitude and 5° longitude of Harestua. Concerning the temporal coincidence, days of HALOE and GB observations are the same. Using these criteria, 21 coincident events (3 at sunrise and 19 at sunset) were found for late winter-spring conditions and 8 (6 at sunrise and 2 at sunset) for the summer-early fall period.

Figure 11 shows the comparison in the 20–40 km altitude range between the GB UV-visible and smoothed HALOE NO$_2$ profiles averaged for late winter-early spring and summer-early fall conditions, sunrise and sunset being treated separately. Comparison results above 40 km are not shown since there is no information any more on the vertical distribution of NO$_2$ from ~37 km in the GB measurements and it is therefore essentially a comparison with the a priori profile. Both instruments agree reasonably well since the GB mean profile values are within the error bars representing the standard deviation of the HALOE data in all cases except below 30 km at sunrise in late winter-spring conditions. The HALOE mean NO$_2$ concentrations appear however to be significantly larger than those inferred from GB observations below 25–27 km of altitude. The overestimation by HALOE is also more marked at sunrise. The absence of a correction for the photochemical effect could not be argued to explain this feature since such a correction was applied to the HALOE NO$_2$ data. It is related to more subtle aspects of the limitation of the satellite solar occultation technique for measuring NO$_2$ at these low altitude levels (Gordley et al., 1996) and will require further investigations. The interpretation of these results is also complicated by the poor significance of the statistics (e.g. 3 coincident events at sunrise in late winter-spring conditions). This is obviously also the case when the 20–37 km NO$_2$ partial columns are compared (see Fig. 12). As for the comparison with POAM III, the 20–37 km altitude range has been chosen because it is the common range where data from both instruments are reliable. Due to the small number of coincident events, the sunrise and sunset partial columns for the 1998–2001 period have been gathered in the same plot and not plotted separately for sunrise and sunset and for each year as in the comparison with POAM III.
Except for 7 coincident events (1 at sunrise and 6 at sunset) over a total number of 29, HALOE overestimates the GB partial column values. At sunset, the absolute value of the relative difference between the smoothed HALOE and GB partial columns is most of the time (18 coincident events over a total number of 21) smaller than 20%, a maximum value of 43% being reached in March. During this late winter-early spring period where large dynamical effects occur above Harestua and the NO$_2$ concentration is low, a large scatter is also observed in the relative differences between the HALOE and GB UV-visible data. At sunrise, the absolute value of the relative difference is comprised between 20% and 32% and is below 20% for 4 and 5 coincident events, respectively. In the HALOE NO$_2$ validation study of Gordley et al. (1996), the HALOE column amounts above the 80 mb pressure level (~17 km) have been compared to the total column amounts measured by a GB spectrometer at Fritz Peak, Colorado (40° N, 106° W). Days with significant tropospheric contribution have been excluded from the comparison. The HALOE observations were found to be lower than the GB ones by 10–30%, mainly due to the fact that the HALOE column amounts above 80 mb do not include the lower stratospheric NO$_2$ seen by the GB instruments. We find similar differences (15–40%) when the HALOE 20–37 km partial columns are compared to the NO$_2$ total columns calculated from our retrieved GB UV-visible profiles. Using the same way of comparing columns, results similar to those of Gordley et al. (1996) are therefore obtained.

12. Conclusions

NO$_2$ stratospheric profiles have been retrieved from GB zenith-sky UV-visible observations using the OEM. The retrieval algorithm has been applied to observational data sets from the NDSC stations of Harestua and Andøya in Norway. The characterization of the retrievals has been performed as in the study of Barret et al. (2002) on the retrieval of ozone profiles from solar infrared absorption spectra. We have shown that about 2 independent pieces of information are contained in the measurements. Both
components have been quantified from an eigenvector expansion of the averaging kernels matrix $A$. We have also determined the impact of the extra-diagonal terms of the a priori covariance matrix on the trace of $A$ and therefore on the number of independent pieces of information. Concerning the error analysis, the smoothing, measurements, and forward model errors profiles have been compared to the NO$_2$ natural variability. The smoothing error clearly appears to be the main source of error. The total retrieval error is also well below the NO$_2$ natural variability, pointing out that variations of the NO$_2$ profile smaller than the natural variability can be detected from our GB UV-visible observations.

Retrieved NO$_2$ stratospheric profiles and partial columns have been validated through comparisons with correlative balloon and satellite observations. Although the number of coincident events was too small to constitute a statistically significant comparison, a very good agreement was generally found with SAOZ and DOAS balloons, especially in the 20–30 km altitude range. Concerning the partial columns between 13 and 29 km and 13 and 37 km for SAOZ and DOAS balloons, respectively, the relative difference reached a maximum value of 19%. The correlative comparisons with the POAM III and HALOE satellite data showed poorer agreement with our retrievals compared to those of the balloon data. When mean profiles were compared, the satellite instruments systematically overestimated the GB UV-visible data below 25–27 km where the relative differences were generally larger than 35% with a maximum value of 70%. Since (1) this feature was observed for POAM III and HALOE data, and (2) an excellent agreement was found in the 20–30 km range with balloon measurements, it could be due to a common limitation of both satellite solar occultation instruments at these low altitude levels. The comparison of partial columns between 20 and 37 km showed a better agreement with relative difference values smaller than 30% and 45% for POAM III and HALOE, respectively. We have also verified that using the same way of comparing columns, i.e. comparing the POAM III and HALOE partial columns to the total GB columns, the results were similar to those obtained in the POAM III and HALOE validation studies of Randall et al. (2002) and Gordley et al. (1996), respec-
The results of the present work – especially those concerning the characterization of the retrievals and the extensive comparison exercise with correlative data – strengthen our confidence in the reliability and the robustness of the retrieval of the vertical distribution of stratospheric trace gas species from GB zenith-sky UV-visible observations. This technique offers new perspectives in the use of GB UV-visible networks such as the NDSC for the purpose of validation of satellite and balloon experiments as well as modelling data. Moreover, its application to combined observations in zenith, direct-sun, and off-axis (pointing towards the horizon) geometries – made possible due to recent instrumental developments in DOAS spectroscopy (Hönninger et al., 2004; Heckel et al., 2004) – will allow to retrieve information on the vertical distribution in both the stratosphere and troposphere, which is particularly important for species like BrO and NO₂.
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Table 1. 13–29 km NO$_2$ partial columns values calculated from coincident ground-based UV-visible and smoothed SAOZ balloon profiles at Harestua and Andøya at sunset. In the 5 cases, the SAOZ balloons were launched from Kiruna. The relative differences in % appear in the third row.

|               | Harestua | Andøya |
|---------------|----------|--------|
|               | 13 Aug. 1998 | 28 Aug. 1998 | 24 Aug. 2001 | 16 Mar. 2003 | 27 Mar. 2003 |
| (a) GB UV-visible ($\times 10^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$) | 2.67 | 2.10 | 2.31 | 0.90 | 1.31 |
| (b) Smoothed SAOZ balloon ($\times 10^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$) | 2.72 | 2.32 | 2.19 | 0.78 | 1.31 |
| (b–a)/a×100 (%) | +2 | +10 | −5 | −13 | 0 |
Table 2. 13–37 km NO$_2$ partial columns values calculated from coincident ground-based UV-visible and smoothed DOAS balloon profiles at Harestua at sunset. In the 2 cases, the DOAS balloons were launched from Kiruna. The relative differences in % appear in the third row.

|                      | 19 Aug. 1998 | 19 Aug. 2001 |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| (a) GB UV-visible (×10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$) | 4.07         | 4.24         |
| (b) smoothed DOAS balloon (×10$^{15}$ molec/cm$^2$) | 4.12         | 3.45         |
| (b–a)/a×100 (%)      | +1           | −19          |
Fig. 1. Example profiles of the retrieval errors and NO$_2$ natural variability. The retrieval errors are calculated for Harestua 25 May 2001 at sunset.
Fig. 2. Typical example of ground-based NO$_2$ averaging kernels. They are calculated for the Harestua 25 May 2001 at sunset retrieval. Plain diamonds indicate the altitude at which each averaging kernel should peak in an ideal case.
Fig. 3. Trace of the averaging kernels matrix $A$ plotted as a function of the HWHM ($\gamma$). This curve has been calculated for the Harestua 25 May 2001 at sunset retrieval.
Fig. 4. Typical leading eigenvectors of the $A$ matrix and their corresponding eigenvalues. They are calculated for the Harestua 25 May 2001 at sunset retrieval.
Fig. 5. Typical example of “fit” results. It corresponds to the Harestua 25 May 2001 at sunset retrieval. The error bars on the measurements are contained within the symbols (Typical error bars amount to $5 \times 10^{14}$ molecules/cm$^2$).
Fig. 6. Comparison between ground-based UV-visible profiles at Harestua (sunset, summer conditions) and Andøya (sunset, late winter-early spring conditions) and SAOZ balloon profiles. In the 5 cases, SAOZ balloons were launched from Kiruna. For direct comparison, SAOZ balloon profiles have been smoothed by convolving them with the ground-based UV-visible averaging kernels. The relative differences appear in the right lower plot.
Fig. 7. Comparison between ground-based UV-visible profiles at Harestua and DOAS balloon profiles for two sunsets in summer conditions. DOAS balloons were launched from Kiruna. For direct comparison, DOAS balloon profiles have been smoothed by convolving them with the ground-based UV-visible averaging kernels. The relative differences appear in the right plot.
Fig. 8. Examples of comparison between ground-based UV-visible and POAM III profiles at Harestua (sunset conditions). For direct comparison, POAM III profiles have been smoothed by convolving them with the ground-based UV-visible averaging kernels. The relative differences appear in the right lower plot.
Fig. 9. Comparison of averaged ground-based UV-visible and smoothed POAM III profiles for sunset spring (left plot) and sunset summer (middle plot) conditions at Harestua for the period from mid-June 1998 to mid-September 2000. The relative differences appear in the right plot. The error bars represent the standard deviations of the GB, POAM III, and relative difference profiles. They are offset high by 0.1 km on the smoothed POAM III and summer relative difference profiles for clarity.
Fig. 10. Comparison of the sunset 20–37 km NO$_2$ partial columns calculated from the co-incident ground-based UV-visible and smoothed POAM III profiles at Harestua for the period 1998–2000. The relative differences appear in the lower plot.
Fig. 11. Comparison of coincident ground-based UV-visible and smoothed HALOE profiles separately averaged for sunrise and sunset for late winter-spring (upper plots) and summer-early fall (lower plots) conditions at Harestua. The coincident events cover the period from mid-June 1998 to mid-September 2001. The relative differences appear in the right plots. The error bars represent the standard deviations of the GB, HALOE, and relative difference profiles. They are offset high by 0.1 km on the smoothed HALOE and sunset relative difference profiles for clarity.
Fig. 12. Comparison of the 20–37 km NO$_2$ partial columns calculated from the coincident ground-based UV-visible and smoothed HALOE profiles at Harestua. Due to the small number of coincident events, the sunrise and sunset partial columns for the 1998–2001 period have been gathered in the same plot. The relative differences appear in the lower plot.