CoRoT 101186644: A transiting low-mass dense M-dwarf on an eccentric 20.7-day period orbit around a late F-star*
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ABSTRACT

We present the study of the CoRoT transiting planet candidate 101186644, also named LRc01\_E1\_4780. Analysis of the CoRoT lightcurve and the HARPS spectroscopic follow-up observations of this faint (m\_V = 16) candidate revealed an eclipsing binary composed of a late F-type primary (T\_eff = 6090 \pm 200 K) and a low-mass, dense M-dwarf secondary on an eccentric (e = 0.4) orbit with a period of \sim 20.7 days. The M-dwarf has a mass of 0.096 \pm 0.011 M_\odot, and a radius of 0.104^{+0.026}_{-0.010} R_\odot, which possibly makes it the smallest and densest late M-dwarf reported so far. Unlike the claim that theoretical models predict radii that are 5\% – 15\% smaller than measured for low-mass stars, this one seems to have a radius that is consistent and might even be below the radius predicted by theoretical models.
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1. Introduction

CoRoT is the first space-mission to search for transiting extrasolar planets (Baglin et al. 2006; Auvergne et al. 2009; Deleuil et al. 2011). Since its launch in December 2006, 26 transiting planets have been discovered, and many other candidates await confirmation (Pätzold et al. 2012; Grziwa et al. 2012). The discovery process of a new transiting planet includes careful analysis of the lightcurve (Carpano et al. 2009), as well as photometric and spectroscopic follow-up observations (Deeg et al. 2009; Bouchy et al. 2009; Santerne et al. 2011).

Lightcurves with periodic transit-like signals caused by phenomena other than a transiting planet are usually referred to as ‘false positives’ or ‘false alarms’ (e.g., Brown 2003; Santerne et al. 2012). In the CoRoT sample, for instance, the main source of such alarms are eclipsing binary systems in various configurations (Almenara et al. 2009). Recently, however, such systems are becoming objects of interest in and of themselves (e.g., Pont et al. 2005b; Tal-Or et al. 2011).

\* Based on observations made with the 1-m telescope at the Wise Observatory, Israel, the Swiss 1.2-m Leonhard Euler telescope at La-Silla Observatory, Chile, the IAC-80 telescope at the Observatorio del Teide, Canarias, Spain, and the 3.6-m telescope at La-Silla Observatory (ESO), Chile (program 184.C-0639).

Eclipsing binaries (= EBs) with low mass ratios, like M dwarfs that eclipse A-K dwarfs, draw particular attention (e.g., Bentley et al. 2003; Triaud et al. 2013). The high-quality photometric and spectroscopic data produced for these systems by photometric surveys like HAT (Bakos et al. 2004), WASP (Pollacco et al. 2006), CoRoT, Kepler (Borucki et al. 2010), and their follow-up programs, allow for precise determination of physical properties, such as the masses and the radii of both components. This in turn enables addressing fundamental problems, such as the M-dwarf radius problem: the claim that theoretical models predict 5\% – 15\% smaller radii than measured for low-mass stars (e.g., Torres et al. 2010; Morales et al. 2010; Kraus et al. 2011; and references therein).

This paper presents the study of the CoRoT transiting-planet candidate CoRoT 101186644 (Cabrera et al. 2009), also named LRc01\_E1\_4780. The CoRoT lightcurve and the HARPS spectroscopic follow-up observations have led to the conclusion that LRc01\_E1\_4780 (= C4780) is an eclipsing binary composed of a late F-type primary and a low-mass dense M-dwarf secondary star on an eccentric (e = 0.4) orbit with a period of \sim 20.7-days.

Section 2 gives some details about the star and presents the CoRoT lightcurve. Section 3 describes the ground-based follow-up observations we performed. Section 4 presents our analysis.
was therefore identified as an interesting candidate for a Jovian

2. The CoRoT lightcurve

C4780 was observed by CoRoT during the LRc01 run from May 19, 2007 to Oct. 12, 2007. Table 1 lists some basic information on its stellar properties. Since it is a relatively faint star, only monochromatic photometric data were recorded. Eight transit-like events with a depth of \( \sim 0.86\% \) and a period \( P \) of 20.684 days were detected in the lightcurve (Cabrera et al. 2009). It was therefore identified as an interesting candidate for a Jovian planet residing in the so-called “period valley” (e.g., Jones et al. 2003; Udry et al. 2003).

Figure 1 shows the original lightcurve, both normalized by their median flux value. Figure 2 zooms on the eight transit-like events detected by CoRoT in the lightcurve, each shifted to its own timeframe. Stellar and systematic variability were removed from each transit by fitting a third-degree polynomial to the out-of-transit points.

3. Ground-based follow-up observations

As for other CoRoT transiting-planet candidates, we performed a sequence of follow-up observations to understand the true nature of C4780. Photometric observations were done to verify that the transits indeed occur on the main star inside the large-area photometric mask of CoRoT. Using spectroscopic observations we tried to distinguish it from other possible false-alarm scenarios. Together with the CoRoT lightcurve, these observations were used in determining the system’s nature as an eclipsing binary system and in deriving its main physical parameters.

3.1. Photometric observations

Figure 3 shows images of the sky around C4780, taken by CoRoT and by the 1-m Wise-Observatory telescope, Israel. It can be seen that, in addition to C4780, the CoRoT-photometric mask contains at least three fainter stars, but only two of them are bright enough and fully contained in the mask to be able to cause the \( \sim 1\% \) transit-like signals — the two stars \( \sim 6'' \) south-southeast of C4780. The brighter of the two (CoRoT ID 101186975) is fainter than C4780 by 2.9 \( \pm \) 0.3 mag in the \( r' \)-filter (see Table 1), while its close neighbor is fainter than C4780 by \( \sim 6\% \).

ON-OFF observations of C4780, in which short timeseries during a transit and outside of it are observed and compared pho-

---

**Table 1.** Coordinates and magnitudes of C4780 and of the main contaminator in its CoRoT-photometric mask.

| Filter | Magnitude | Source |
|--------|-----------|--------|
| B      | 17.09 ± 0.15 | ExoDat² |
| V      | 16.05 ± 0.07 | ExoDat |
| r'     | 15.67 ± 0.14 | ExoDat |
| i'     | 14.93 ± 0.03 | ExoDat |
| J      | 14.03 ± 0.03 | 2MASS⁸ |
| H      | 13.63 ± 0.02 | 2MASS |
| Ks     | 13.56 ± 0.03 | 2MASS |

---

**Fig. 1.** C4780 lightcurve normalized by its median flux value. Top: the original lightcurve. Bottom: the detrended lightcurve moved down by 0.05, for clarity.

**Fig. 2.** The 8 transit-like events detected by CoRoT in C4780, each moved to its own timeframe (i.e. \( n \cdot P + T_0 \)) days were subtracted from the time stamp of each point, where \( n \) is the transit number, \( T_0 = 2454240.3147 \) (BJD, and \( P = 20.6837 \)). Subsequent events were moved down by 0.02 for clarity.

---

[Tal-Or et al.: Transiting low-mass dense M-dwarf on an eccentric orbit around an F-star](#)
Table 2. HARPS spectra and RVs (in km s\(^{-1}\)) of C4780.

| HJD      | RV   | Error | \(T_{\text{exp}}\) (sec) | S/N |
|----------|------|-------|--------------------------|-----|
| 2454638.71570 | 16.4228 | 0.0896 | 3600 | 2.7 |
| 2454643.861050 | 14.0218 | 0.1367 | 3600 | 3.1 |
| 2455304.897570 | 14.3876 | 0.0921 | 3600 | 4.7 |
| 2455338.784230 | 18.9455 | 0.1095 | 3600 | 3.4 |
| 2455351.719660 | 27.1110 | 0.1004 | 3600 | 3.8 |
| 2455352.737020 | 28.0719 | 0.0737 | 3600 | 4.4 |
| 2455353.891020 | 26.5536 | 0.0510 | 3600 | 6.3 |
| 2455389.722540 | 15.6913 | 0.0853 | 2700 | 3.9 |
| 2455397.769130 | 22.2351 | 0.1971 | 2700 | 2.0 |

Spectroscopic observations of C4780 were done with the HARPS spectrograph (Mayor et al. 2003) mounted at the 3.6-m ESO telescope, Chile, as part of the ESO large program 184.C-0639. HARPS was used with the observing mode objAB, in which the background-sky spectra were recorded using the second fiber (fiber B). Overall nine spectra were recorded between June 21, 2008 and July 20, 2010 with exposure times of 45–60 min. The HARPS data were reduced with the standard pipeline (Baranne et al. 1996, Pepe et al. 2002). The signal-to-noise ratio (= S/N) per pixel at 550 nm is in the range of 2–6.3, since C4780 is on the faint end in magnitude for HARPS. Radial velocities were obtained by performing weighted cross-correlation with a numerical G2 mask. The derived radial velocities (= RVs) are given in Table 2.

Table 3. Parameters from ground-based observations.

| Parameter                  | Value                      |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Orbital period (P) [day]   | 20.683869 ± 0.00011        |
| Time of center of primary transit (T0) [BJD] | 2455708.867 ± 0.008       |
| Spectrum modeling          |                            |
| Effective temperature (T eff) [K] | 6090 ± 200               |
| Surface gravity (log g) [cgs] | 4.4 ± 0.2              |
| Metallicity ([Fe/H]) [dex]  | +0.2 ± 0.2               |
| Projected rotational velocity (v sin i) [km s\(^{-1}\)] | 3 ± 2               |
| Mass (\(M_1\), \(M_2\)) [M\(_\odot\)] | 1.2 ± 0.2               |
| Age [Gyr]                  | < 7                       |
| Broad-band photometry modeling |                       |
| Effective temperature (T eff) [K] | 5800 ± 400               |
| Surface gravity (log g) [cgs] | 4.45 ±0.18 +0.09          |
| Distance (d) [pc]          | 1100 ± 300               |
| Extinction (A\(_{V}\)) [mag] | 1.1 ±0.4 +0.2            |

The spectra were also analyzed with TODMOR (e.g., Zucker & Mazeh 1994; Zucker et al. 2003, 2004), a two-dimensional correlation algorithm customized for detecting faint-secondary companion in a spectrum. However, the signature of neither the secondary in C4780 (= C4780 B) nor any other stellar contaminant were detected.

To determine the fundamental atmospheric parameters of the primary in C4780 (= C4780 A), we used the method described by Bruntt et al. 2008, which has become the standard method for characterizing CoRoT targets since CoRoT-3b (e.g., Deleuil et al. 2008, Fridlund et al. 2010). In this method the observed spectra are first co-added to create a single master spectrum, and then a synthesized spectrum is fitted to this master spectrum using either the Spectroscopy Made Easy (SME, Valenti & Piskunov 1996; Valenti & Fischer 2005) or the VWA (Bruntt et al. 2008) spectral analysis packages.

The atmospheric parameters found this way are listed in Table 3. The relatively large uncertainties are a result of the low S/N of the spectra and the addition of possible systematic errors (see e.g., Bruntt et al. 2010a, 2012, Torres et al. 2012). Figure 4 shows three parts of the master spectrum of C4780, 32 Å long each, focused on the H\(\alpha\), H\(\beta\), and Mg I b lines, together with the
fitted model spectrum. The extended wings of Balmer lines can be marked by a star and their uncertainties are marked by an ellipse.

The extended wings of Balmer lines can be used to constrain $T_{\text{eff}}$, while log $g$ of late-type stars can be determined from pressure-sensitive lines like Mg 1b (Bruntt et al. 2010b).

4. System parameters

4.1. Isochrone fitting

The primary mass and the system’s age were estimated using the atmospheric parameters derived from the HARPS spectra and a grid of $Y^2$ stellar isochrones (Yi et al. 2001; Demarque et al. 2004). This was done by taking into account all age and mass values that fall into the ellipsoid in the $(T_{\text{eff}}, \log g, [\text{Fe/H}])$ space defined by the atmospheric parameters and their errors. To illustrate the process, Figure 5 shows two sets of $Y^2$ stellar isochrones of 0.2–10 Gyr, one for [Fe/H] = 0.05 and one for [Fe/H] = 0.39. The ellipse defined by the estimated $T_{\text{eff}}, \log g$, and their uncertainties is also shown. A lower limit of 0.2 Gyr on the age was set to ignore possible pre-main sequence solutions. This procedure yielded a mass estimate of $1.21 \pm 0.10 \, M_\odot$ and an upper limit of $\sim 7$ Gyr for the system’s age (at a 2 $\sigma$ confidence level). Following Basu et al. (2012) we have conservatively doubled the mass errors to take possible uncertainties in stellar model parameters into account.

The consistency between the atmospheric parameters derived from the HARPS spectra and the broad-band photometry (listed in Table 1) was also checked. This was done by fitting the distance and extinction to minimize the $\chi^2$ between each isochrone point, which also contains predictions for the true $M_V$ and color values, and the observed magnitudes. EsoDat and 2MASS magnitudes were translated to the systems used in the isochrones using the relations given by Delisle et al. (2009) and Carpenter (2001). The average extinction law ($R_V = 3.1$) of Savage & Mathis (1979) were assumed, together with the Cardelli, Clayton, & Mathis (1989) total-to-selective extinction ratios. The parameters were derived taking all model-points with $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{\text{min}} + 1$ into account. Only isochrones with metallicity in the range allowed by the spectrum modeling (+0.2 $\pm$ 0.2) were considered. The results, given at the bottom of Table 3, are consistent with the parameters derived from the HARPS spectra.

4.2. MCMC analysis

The rest of the system parameters were derived by modeling the CoRoT lightcurve and the HARPS RV data simultaneously, using MCMC analysis (e.g., tegmark et al. 2004 App. A). The MCMC run consisted of 10$^6$ accepted steps. The input data were the eight-transit data shown in Figure 2, seven one-day-long parts of the detrended lightcurve where the secondary eclipses might have occurred, and the nine HARPS RVs. Errors for the photometric data were derived from the scatter of the out-of-transit points, which was found to be $\sim 0.25\%$.

The model consisted of 12 free parameters — $P$, $T_0$, $J_0$, $r_1$, $L_3$, $x$, $u_p$, $e \cos \omega$, $e \sin \omega$, $K$, and $\gamma$, all detailed in Table 4. A lower bound of 0.07 was set on $L_3$ to fit the estimated contamination value (see Section 3.1), and $u_p$ was constrained to be between 0.56 and 0.66 according to the values given in Sing (2010) and the atmospheric parameters derived from the HARPS spectra. Besides these two limitations, flat prior distributions were used.

At each MCMC step, the lightcurve model was calculated using EBOP (Popper & Etzel 1981), an algorithm for analyzing eclipsing-binary lightcurves, and the RV model was calculated using our own code for solving Kepler’s equation. The sizes of the MCMC Gaussian perturbations were set by a relatively short MCMC run (of $\sim 10^5$ accepted steps). The $\chi^2$ for each trial point was the sum of the lightcurve $\chi^2$ and the $\chi^2$ of the RV data. Following the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm, trial points with lower $\chi^2$ were accepted, whereas trial points with higher $\chi^2$ were accepted only with probability of $\exp(-\Delta \chi^2/2)$, assuming the observational errors to be Gaussian (Ford 2005).

Table 4 lists the parameters estimated by the MCMC analysis. For model parameters that showed normal posterior probability distribution, the median value of the chain is mentioned as our estimation. However, for model parameters with skewed distribution (the ones with uneven confidence limits in Table 4) the most probable value is mentioned as our estimation. For most of the parameters, the confidence limits were estimated as the range of values that cover the central 68.3\% of the chain. The lower limits of $L_3$ and $u_p$, however, were determined by the chosen priors, which indicates that the ground-based observations helped in this case for placing stronger constraints on these two limits. The orbital period estimated by the MCMC analysis is consistent with the one derived from photometric follow-up observations (see Table 3).

Figure 6 presents the phase-folded RV curve of C4780 with our best orbital solution, and Figure 7 presents the phase-folded lightcurve and our best model. It can be seen that no secondary eclipse was detected. Given the typical noise ($\sim 0.25\%$) and the expected number of points inside the secondary eclipse ($\sim 100$), the maximum depth of the secondary eclipse is $\lesssim 0.05\%$ (1 $\sigma$ upper limit), which corresponds to a surface brightness ratio of $J_1 \lesssim 0.06$.

Figure 8 shows the histograms of the 12 free parameters of the model, and Figure 9 shows some correlation plots of parameter pairs that show non-zero correlation, both produced from the final MCMC chain. The most skewed histograms are those of $L_3$, $k$, and $r_1$. The most prominent (and obvious) correlation is between $L_3$ and $k$. These facts reflect the difficulty of setting an upper limit for $L_3$ directly from the photometric data, and as a
Table 4. The parameters of C4780 from the combined lightcurve and RV modeling.

| Symbol | Parameter name                  | Value                  | Units |
|--------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| $P$    | Orbital period                 | $20.6841 \pm 0.0006$   | day   |
| $e \cos \omega$ | Eccentricity × cosine longitude of periastron | $0.251 \pm 0.007$ | -- |
| $e \sin \omega$ | Eccentricity × sine longitude of periastron | $-0.314 \pm 0.006$ | -- |
| $K$    | RV semi-amplitude              | $6.816 \pm 0.039$ km s$^{-1}$ | -- |
| $\gamma$ | Center-of-mass RV            | $19.608 \pm 0.038$ km s$^{-1}$ | -- |
| $T_p$  | Time of periastron            | $2454234.31$ BJD       |       |
| $f$    | Mass function$^*$             | $0.000522 \pm 0.000010$ M$\odot$ | -- |

| Symbol | Parameter name                  | Value                  | Units |
|--------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| \(q\)  | Mass ratio \((M_2/M_1)\)     | $0.080 \pm 0.005$   | -- |
| \(\alpha\) | Semi-major axis$^*$     | $0.16 \pm 0.01$  | AU |
| \(M_2\) | Mass of secondary$^*$       | $0.096 \pm 0.011$ M$\odot$ | -- |
| \(R_1\) | Radius of primary$^*$      | $1.07 \pm 0.07$  R$\odot$ | -- |
| \(R_2\) | Radius of secondary$^*$    | $1.04 \pm 0.026$  R$\odot$ | -- |
| \(\log g_1\) | Surface gravity of primary$^*$ | $4.47 \pm 0.03$  cgs | -- |

$^*$ derived analytically from the fitted parameters

$^\ast$ see Tamuz et al. (2006) and Mazeh et al. (2006) for the reasoning behind this definition

$^{***}$ lower limit was determined by the chosen prior (see text)

Fig. 6. RVs of C4780 as measured by HARPS. The solid line is the Keplerian model produced from the orbital parameters of Table 4 and the dashed line is the center-of-mass velocity. The residuals are plotted in the lower panel. Note the different scale.

Fig. 7. The primary transit (left panel) and the part where the secondary eclipse should have occurred (right panel) in the phase-folded lightcurve of C4780. Our best model is overplotted with a solid red line. The residuals were moved up by 0.97 for clarity.

Consequence the difficulty of setting an upper limit for the secondary radius (even if the primary radius is known).

The secondary mass (\(M_2\)) and both radii (\(R_1\) and \(R_2\)) were estimated using the MCMC chain and the estimated mass of the primary (\(1.2 \pm 0.2 M_\odot\)) in the following manner:

- For each point of the MCMC chain the primary mass function \((f)\), inclination \((i)\), and semimajor axis of the primary \((a_1)\) were calculated analytically.
- A sample of 5000 \(M_1\) values, normally distributed according the \(M_1\) value found, was generated.
- A subsample of 5000 points was taken from the MCMC chain by taking every 200th point of the chain.
- For each \(M_1\) value of the selected sample and each of the selected MCMC points, the mass ratio \((q)\) was calculated analytically, using the relation

\[
(M_1 f^{-1} \sin^3 i) q^3 - q^2 - 2q - 1 = 0. \tag{1}
\]
The secondary mass ($M_2$) was then calculated for each pair of $M_1$ and $q$ values, and the semimajor axis ($a$) was calculated for each set of $M_1$, $M_2$, and $a_1$ values.

Using Kepler’s third law and the definitions of $r_i$ and $k$ (see Table 4), the primary radius ($R_1$) can be expressed as

$$R_1^3 = \frac{GP^2(M_1 + M_2)}{4\pi^2} \frac{r_i^3}{(1 + k)^3}, \quad (2)$$

where $G$ is the universal gravitational constant and $P$ the orbital period. Using this expression, the primary and secondary radii ($R_1$ and $R_2$) were calculated analytically for each $M_1$ value of the selected sample and each of the selected MCMC points. Given the mass and radius of the primary, its surface gravity ($\log g_1$) was also calculated.

The values and errors of all parameters calculated analytically ($f$, $i$, $a$, $q$, $M_2$, $R_1$, $\log g_1$, and $R_2$) were estimated the same way as the values and errors of the free parameters of the model. These parameters are shown at the bottom part of Table 4. The highly uneven confidence limits of $R_2$ reflect our choice of taking the most probable value as our estimate for model parameters with skewed distribution. The strong correlation between $k$ and $L_3$ (Figure 9) shows that the estimated $R_2$ value reflects our estimation of $L_3$ to be $\sim 10\%$. This contamination level agrees with the expected one, taking the contaminants inside the CoRoT-photometric mask (see Section 3.1) into account. It means that the adopted $L_3$ value matches the nonexistence of any other, unresolved, luminous object close to C4780. This is also consistent with the nondetection of a secondary companion in the spectra with TODMOR (see Section 3.2).

5. Discussion

Many studies of eclipsing binaries with low-mass ($0.2 - 0.8 M_\odot$) main-sequence stars indicated a disagreement between theoretical models and observational data. In particular, it has
Table 5. Main properties of the stars presented in Figure 10

| star name       | mass [M\(_{\odot}\)] | radius [R\(_{\odot}\)] | [Fe/H] | T\(_{\text{eff}}\) (K) | magnetic activity | P\(_{\text{orb}}\) [day] | v sin i [km s\(^{-1}\)] | ref.               |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| OGLE-TR 123 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.085 ± 0.011 | 0.133 ± 0.009 | —      | —                     | —                 | 1.8039 | —                | 1                  |
| SDSS/0857+03 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.090 ± 0.010 | 0.110 ± 0.004 | —      | —                     | —                 | 0.0652 | —                | 2                  |
| J1219-39 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.091 ± 0.002 | 0.1174 ± 0.0071 | —0.21 | —                     | —                 | 6.7600 | —                | 3                  |
| OGLE-TR 122 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.092 ± 0.009 | 0.1207 ± 0.0295 | +0.15  | —                     | —                 | 7.2687 | —                | 4                  |
| C4780 B\(_{\ast}\)   | 0.096 ± 0.011 | 0.104 ± 0.009 | +0.20  | —                     | —                 | 20.6841 | —                | this work           |
| NN Ser B\(_{\ast}\)  | 0.111 ± 0.004 | 0.149 ± 0.010 | —      | —                     | —                 | 0.1308 | —                | 5                  |
| GK Vir B\(_{\ast}\)  | 0.116 ± 0.003 | 0.155 ± 0.003 | —      | —                     | —                 | 0.3443 | —                | 6                  |
| OGLE-TR 106 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.116 ± 0.021 | 0.181 ± 0.013 | —      | —                     | —                 | 2.5359 | 3.59 ± 0.26 | 7                  |
| GJ 551\(_{b}\)      | 0.118 ± 0.012 | 0.141 ± 0.0077 | +0.19  | 3054 ± 2.73E−4 ± 6.5E−5 | n/a           | —      | —                | 8, 9, 10, 11        |
| HAT-TR-205-013 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.124 ± 0.010 | 0.1670 ± 0.0060 | −0.20 | —                     | —                 | 2.2307 | —                | 12                 |
| SDSS/0138-00 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.132 ± 0.003 | 0.165 ± 0.001 | —      | —                     | —                 | 0.0727 | —                | 13                 |
| KIC 1571511 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.141 ± 0.005 | 0.1783 ± 0.0017 | +0.37  | —                     | —                 | 14.0225 | —                | 14                 |
| GJ 699\(_{b}\)      | 0.146 ± 0.015 | 0.1869 ± 0.0012 | −0.39 | 3222 ± 2.88E−6 ± 3.3E−7 | n/a           | < 2.8  | 8, 11, 15, 16     |
| SDSS/1210+33 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.158 ± 0.006 | 0.200 ± 0.004 | −2.0   | —                     | —                 | 0.1249 | —                | 17                 |
| SDSS/1548+40 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.173 ± 0.027 | 0.181 ± 0.015 | —      | —                     | —                 | 0.185  | —                | 18                 |
| RR Cae B\(_{\ast}\)  | 0.1825 ± 0.0131 | 0.2090 ± 0.0143 | —      | 3100 ± 0.304 | —                | 0.6187 | —                | 19                 |
| 2MASS 0446+19 B\(_{\ast}\) | 0.190 ± 0.020 | 0.210 ± 0.010 | —      | 2900 ± 0.61879 | —                | —      | —                | 20                 |

References: (1) Pont et al. (2006); (2) Parsons et al. (2012b); (3) Triaud et al. (2013); (4) Pont et al. (2005a); (5) Parsons et al. (2011); (6) Parsons et al. (2012a); (7) Pont et al. (2005a); (8) Boyajian et al. (2012); (9) Demory et al. (2009c); (10) Edvardsson et al. (1993); (11) López-Morales (2007); (12) Beatty et al. (2007); (13) Parsons et al. (2012b); (14) Otrr et al. (2012); (15) Lane et al. (2001); (16) Rojas-Ayala et al. (2012); (17) Pyzas et al. (2012); (18) Pyzas et al. (2009); (19) Maxted et al. (2007); (20) Hebb et al. (2009). Notes: a Single star for which the mass was inferred from a mass-luminosity relation. b An sB1 EB for which the mass estimation is model dependent. c An eclipsing white dwarf + M-dwarf binary for which the radius listed in the table is the volume averaged one.

Fig. 10. Mass-radius diagram of VLMS (M ≤ 0.2 M\(_{\odot}\)). The symbols represent observed stars, while the lines correspond to theoretical mass-radius relations. Red rectangles are secondary stars of main-sequence EBs, green circles are secondary stars of white dwarf + M-dwarf EBs, and blue diamonds are single stars (all references are given in Table 5). The black triangle stands for C4780 B. Solid blue lines correspond to theoretical isochrones of solar metallicity and ages of 0.25, 1, and 5 Gyr (going from top to bottom along the 0.08 M\(_{\odot}\) line) from Baraffe et al. (1998). To illustrate the effect of metallicity on size dashed-red lines show the Dartmouth isochrones (Dotter et al. 2008) of 1 Gyr for [Fe/H] = 0.2 (upper line) and [Fe/H] = −0.5 (lower line).

been claimed that the stellar radii computed from models in this mass domain are 5% − 15% lower than observed (e.g., López-Morales & Ribas 2008; Morales et al. 2010; Kraus et al. 2011). This argument is sometimes called the M-dwarf radius problem (e.g., Triaud et al. 2013), and it dates back to the pre-
6. Conclusions

C4780B is a transiting very-low-mass dwarf, whose radius is consistent and might even be smaller than the predictions of theoretical models. Its discovery adds an important piece to the puzzle that any modern stellar-evolution theory of VLMSs, both in binaries and as single stars, would have to account for. Further investigation of the blending fraction (Lu), for instance for a multicolor high-precision photometric observations, might reduce the uncertainties on its radius, thereby placing even stronger constraints. Since M-stars represent an overwhelming fraction of the galactic stellar population (e.g., Chabrier 2003; Kroupa et al. 2011), understanding their properties is important not only for advancing stellar astrophysics but also for other fields of science, like terrestrial exoplanet searches (Scalo et al. 2007).

The size of VLMS is comparable to that of giant planets, making such objects in binary systems one of the main false-alarm sources in any transiting-planet survey (e.g., Pont et al. 2006; Santerne et al. 2012). As a result, many such systems were considered as good transiting-planet candidates and were followed-up spectroscopically to determine their nature. Complete and homogeneous analysis of the systems that were detected by CoRoT and Kepler, similar to what Triaud et al. (2013) are aiming at using the WASP data, might considerably enhance our understanding of the astrophysics close to the low end of the main sequence.
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