LED Fluorescence Microscopy for the Diagnosis of Pulmonary Tuberculosis: A Multi-Country Cross-Sectional Evaluation
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Abstract

**Background:** The diagnosis of tuberculosis (TB) in resource-limited settings relies on Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) smear microscopy. LED fluorescence microscopy (LED-FM) has many potential advantages over ZN smear microscopy, but requires evaluation in the field. The aim of this study was to assess the sensitivity/specificity of LED-FM for the diagnosis of pulmonary TB and whether its performance varies with the timing of specimen collection.

**Methods and Findings:** Adults with cough ≥2 wk were enrolled consecutively in Ethiopia, Nepal, Nigeria, and Yemen. Sputum specimens were examined by ZN smear microscopy and LED-FM and compared with culture as the reference standard. Specimens were collected using a spot-morning-spot (SMS) or spot-spot-morning (SSM) scheme to explore whether the collection of the first two smears at the health care facility (i.e., “on the spot”) the first day of consultation followed by a morning sample the next day (SSM) would identify similar numbers of smear-positive patients as smears collected via the SMS scheme (i.e., one on-the-spot-smear the first day, followed by a morning specimen collected at home and a second on-the-spot sample the second day). In total, 529 (21.6%) culture-positive and 1,826 (74.6%) culture-negative patients were enrolled, of which 1,156 (49%) submitted ZN smear specimens and 1,199 (51%) submitted SMS specimens. Single LED-FM smears had higher sensitivity but lower specificity than single ZN smears. Using two LED-FM or two ZN smears per patient was 72.8% (385/529, 95% CI 68.8%–76.5%) and 65.8% (348/529, 95% CI 61.6%–69.8%) sensitive (p<0.001) and 90.9% (1,660/1,826, 95% CI 89.5%–92.2%) and 98% (1,790/1,826, 95% CI 97.3%–98.6%) specific (p<0.001). Using three LED-FM or three ZN smears per patient was 77% (408/529, 95% CI 73.3%–80.6%) and 70.5% (373/529, 95% CI 66.4%–74.4%, p<0.001) sensitive and 88.1% (95% CI 86.5%–89.6%) and 96.5% (95% CI 96.8%–98.2%, p<0.001) specific. The sensitivity/specificity of ZN smear microscopy and LED-FM did not vary between SMS and SSM.

**Conclusions:** LED-FM had higher sensitivity but, in this study, lower specificity than ZN smear microscopy for diagnosis of pulmonary TB. Performance was independent of the scheme used for collecting specimens. The introduction of LED-FM needs to be accompanied by appropriate training, quality management, and monitoring of performance in the field.

**Trial Registration:** Current Controlled Trials ISRCTN53339491
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Methods

The protocol for the trial was registered (ISRCTN53339491), and ethical approval was obtained from the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine and WHO ethics review committees. Translated consent forms and patient information sheets were used for each site. Informed written or oral consent in front of a witness were obtained from all patients. Patients unable to provide informed consent and those who had received anti-TB treatment in the last month were excluded.

This was a prospective cross-sectional study carried out in Ethiopia, Nepal, Nigeria, and Yemen over a 13-mo period from 3 January 2008 to 30 March 2009 (see Text S2). The study was nested within a non-inferiority clinical trial assessing whether the sensitivity and specificity of ZN smear microscopy of sputum specimens collected under a SMS scheme were non-inferior to those of ZN smear microscopy following the standard SMS collection scheme for the diagnosis of PTB, which is also published in this issue [7]. The study sites were selected to obtain a wide geographical representation of LMIC settings and took advantage of a larger clinical trial on smear microscopy being undertaken at the same time. This facilitated training, as well as the use of protocols and standard operating procedures across locations.

All consecutive patients ≥18 y old with cough ≥2 wk duration presenting at participating health facilities were screened and invited to participate in the clinical trial. Of these, only the first 7–10 patients attending the health care centres each day were invited to participate in the LED-FM evaluation to avoid overloading the laboratories (see Figure 1). It is recognised that selecting the first patients may have introduced systematic biases (e.g., residents of nearby households with mild illnesses or those from remote locations with advanced disease stages). Random selection, however, was logistically difficult. The data obtained for smear microscopy (ZN and LED-FM) were paired and therefore relatively independent of the actual representativeness of the participants in relation to the reference population.

Patients enrolled in Ethiopia were attending Bushullo Major and Awassa Health Centres. These are the main health service providers for Awassa District in the Southern Region. Smear microscopy was conducted in the health centres’ laboratories, and sputum specimens were cultured at the Armauer Hansen Institute, Addis Ababa. Patients in Abuja, Nigeria, were enrolled in Wuse District Hospital, and sputum specimens were processed in the Zankli Medical Centre laboratory, a private laboratory acting as a diagnostic centre for the National Tuberculosis Programme. In Nepal, patients were enrolled from the TB DOTS centre of Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital and the Dirgh Jeevan Health Care and Research Centre, both in Kathmandu. Sputum specimens collected in both centres were processed in Tribhuvan University Health Research Laboratory. In Yemen, patients were enrolled at the Tuberculosis Institute, which is the reference centre and headquarters of the National Tuberculosis Programme and which provides diagnostic services to the surrounding population and referred patients.

All patients were requested to submit three sputum specimens following one of the two schemes. One scheme required patients to provide a sputum sample on first attendance at the health facility, a second sample (which was produced at home) the following morning, and a third sample collected on the spot when the patient brought the morning specimen to the laboratory (SMS). The second scheme required patients to provide a specimen on the spot upon first attendance at the health care facility, a second specimen one hour after the first specimen, and a third specimen that was produced at home the following morning (SSM). The schemes were allocated by week using a randomization list that assigned the scheme to be used in a given week. The scheme was disclosed at the start of the week by opening a sealed envelope. Patients were provided with pre-labelled sputum collection pots and instructed on how to produce a good quality sputum specimen following a standard operating procedure [10].

Specimens were assessed macroscopically, and smears were prepared in duplicate for staining by the hot ZN technique or with
Auramine O, counterstained with potassium permanganate, for FM [11]. Slides were labelled with study numbers. These labels were then covered with wrap-around stickers before mixing and reading to ensure laboratory technicians were unaware of the grades assigned to previous smears. Grading was conducted by laboratory technicians, and the stickers were removed when another technician entered the results into the study logbooks. LED-FM was conducted on standard microscopes fitted with adaptors consisting of a specialised objective with an attached LED light source (LUMIN and the QBC Paralens Fluorescence

Figure 1. Depiction of flow of participants.
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001057.g001
Microscopy System). ZN smears were examined at 1,000× magnification, and LED-FM smears (in accordance with advice from the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease Working Group on Smear Microscopy) at 200×, with confirmation of positive smears at 400× magnification. Smears were classified as positive when ≥1 AFB was detected per 100 fields, and patients were considered smear-positive if they had ≥1 positive smear [6]. Patients with missing results were classified according to the results of available smears (e.g., patients with two negative smears but missing the third specimen were classified as negative). The morning specimen, or, if not available, a spot specimen, was concentrated (Petroff's method) and cultured on solid medium. Identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex was confirmed by standard biochemical tests [11].

The study was implemented at each site after training and a pilot phase, and was conducted in compliance with good clinical practice/good clinical laboratory practice. A lot quality assurance sampling scheme was used to determine the sample size for ZN smear microscopy external quality assessment (EQA) to assure a sensitivity of 90% relative to the controller, with a maximum of two errors [12]. Sampling for ZN smear microscopy EQA was performed before, during, and at the end of the study and was conducted by WHO/International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease Supranational Reference Laboratories. A random selection of 20% LED-FM smears from all sites was also selected for EQA. In addition, all LED-FM smears graded as scanty (<10 AFB per 100 fields) were sent to Supranational Reference Laboratories and the German-Nepal Tuberculosis Project in Nepal at the end of the study, where smears were re-stained and re-checked using conventional FM. The main outcomes for comparison were the sensitivity and specificity of LED-FM compared with the sensitivity and specificity of ZN smear microscopy. Culture was used as the reference standard, and the analysis was stratified by scheme. As LED-FM smears with scanty AFB (<10 AFB) were often reported negative by conventional FM, both results are presented, stratified by culture to assign true-/false-positive results. It is however acknowledged that this partial verification could result in an inaccurate estimate of test performance and that this latter sub-analysis needs to be interpreted with caution.

Data are presented using summary statistics with 95% CI. Comparisons of the characteristics of the patients studied in the four participating countries were by one-way analyses of variance for continuous measures and Fisher exact tests for categorical measures. Comparisons of sensitivity and specificity values where both methods were applied to the same samples (i.e., the observations were paired) were done using the McNemar test; comparisons where samples were taken from two different (independent) cohorts were done using the Fisher exact test. The sample size calculations for the study assumed that ZN smear microscopy would identify 50% of culture-positive patients and that LED-FM would be 10% more sensitive than ZN smear microscopy [2]. The target sample size of 1,605 was assumed to be required than studies using independent samples, the overestimation of the number required because the calculations presumed that specimens were not paired. Although studies evaluating paired samples have lower minimum sample sizes required than studies using independent samples, the overestimation was unavoidable without prior knowledge of the expected test discordance.

**Results**

A total of 2,445 patients (37% of 6,628 patients enrolled for the larger trial [7]) were enrolled for the LED-FM evaluation. Of these, 468 (19%) were enrolled in Ethiopia, 526 (22%) in Nepal, 685 (20%) in Nigeria, and 766 (31%) in Yemen. The characteristics of the participants are summarised in Table 1. More participants (1,294, 53%) were male, and the majority were married (1,679, 69%). Participants in Nepal and Yemen were older (mean [standard deviation] of 43.6 [17.7] and 41 [17.9] y) than participants in Ethiopia and Nigeria (34.9 [14.3] and 34.3 [11.1] y, respectively, p<0.001). Patients in Ethiopia and Yemen were more likely to come from rural areas (58% and 31%) than patients in Nepal and Nigeria (7% and 13%, p<0.001), and patients in Nepal presented with longer cough duration than patients in the other three countries. The most frequent complaints were cough, chest pain, weight loss, anorexia, fever, and night sweating. Of the 2,445 patients enrolled, 529 (21.6%) were culture-positive, 1,826 (74.6%) were culture-negative, and 90 (3.7%) had invalid culture results (57 [2.3%] contaminated and 33 [1.4%] missing). Among patients with positive or negative cultures, 1,156 (48.9%) were screened using the SSM scheme and 1,199 (51.1%) the SMS scheme.

Individual ZN and LED-FM smear results by type of specimen are described in Text S3. Smears prepared from the morning specimens were more likely to be positive than smears prepared from spot specimens. Among culture-positive patients, ZN smears were less likely to be positive than LED-FM smears (937/1,568 [59.8%, 95% CI 57.3%–62.2%] and 1,051/1,531 [68.7%, 95% CI 66.3%–71.0%], respectively, p<0.001). Among culture-negative patients, ZN smears were more likely to be negative than the LED-FM smears (5,263/5,349 [98.4%, 95% CI 98%–98.7%] and 4,816/5,118 [94.1%, 95% CI 86.4%–98.1%), respectively, p<0.001). Single LED-FM smears therefore had higher sensitivity but lower specificity than single ZN smears. Out of 283 LED-FM smears with scanty grades that had paired conventional FM readings, 136 (48.1%) were culture-positive and 147 (51.9%) culture-negative according to conventional FM. Ninety-six (70.6%) of the culture-positive specimens were graded positive and 77 (52.3%) of the 147 culture-negative specimens were graded negative by conventional FM. LED-FM smears therefore were more sensitive but less specific than conventional FM smears for classifying smears with low AFB numbers. The sensitivity/specificity obtained after incorporating the revised scanty smears in the analysis is shown in Table 2 for illustration.

Using two or three LED-FM smears per patient resulted in sensitivities (95% CI) of 72.8% (68.8%–76.5%) and 77.1% (73.3%–80.6%), compared to 65.8% (61.6%–69.8%) and 70.5% (66.4%–74.4%) when using two or three ZN smears (p<0.001 for both). Using two or three LED-FM smears per patient produced specificities (95% CI) of 90.9% (89.5%–92.2%) and 88.1% (86.5%–89.6%), respectively, compared to 98% (97.3%–98.6%) and 96.5% (96.8%–98.2%) when using two or three ZN smears (p<0.001 for both). The accuracy of using three LED-FM smear examinations per patient was 85% (2,017 of 2,355 patients correctly classified, 95% CI 84.2%–87%), which was lower than the 91.8% accuracy obtained when using three ZN smear examinations (2,136 of 2,355 patients, 95% CI 89.5%–91.8%, p<0.001). An illustration of the proportion of true- and false-positive and -negative results obtained with ZN smear microscopy and LED-FM using two and three smears is included in Table 3 for illustration. The use of two ZN smears would correctly classify the highest number of patients (91%), but would also miss the highest number of cases with TB, and, conversely, using three LED-FM smears would have the lowest number of cases classified correctly (85%), but would miss the lowest number of cases with TB, thus the increased sensitivity is at the expense of lower specificity. The sensitivity and specificity of the SSM and SMS

---

**Note:** The rest of the text is not visible in the provided image. The provided text covers the methods, results, and discussion of the study, focusing on the comparison of ZN and LED-FM smears for the diagnosis of tuberculosis.
The number of cases of PTB continues to increase worldwide despite major international control initiatives [1], and at least 50 million individuals with chronic cough are screened for TB each year. Although it is widely accepted that the early identification of cases through inexpensive point-of-care diagnostics is pivotal for effective control of TB, the diagnosis of PTB often relies on sputum smear microscopy, especially in resource-limited settings. Sputum smear microscopy services are the most decentralized of the TB diagnostic services, allowing patients to be screened for tuberculosis at relatively basic health care facilities. In most LMICs, however, these centres are less numerous than treatment centres, and many patients still need to travel to submit sputum specimens for diagnosis, incurring considerable personal costs, and this often leads patients to abandon the process. The diagnosis of TB therefore is particularly difficult for poor and marginalised patients, who face multiple challenges to accessing a TB diagnosis [13], and the improvement of smear microscopy services remains necessary to increase patients' access to treatment [14]. Furthermore, smear microscopy is known to be less sensitive in HIV-associated tuberculosis, and interventions which could increase this sensitivity are needed.

LED-FM holds multiple advantages over both the conventional mercury vapour lamp FM and standard light microscopy. LEDs are relatively inexpensive, can be powered by mains or batteries, and have an effective lifespan of thousands of hours [5]. The longer lifespan of the LED systems, as well as facilitating their implementation, may result in better quality FM than conventional systems since mercury vapour and halogen lamps are often used after their effective lifespan, with diminished power, to cause fluorescence. The lower capital and maintenance costs of LED-based systems, the faster grading of smears than with ZN smear microscopy, and FM's reputed higher sensitivity makes LED-FM devices potentially more suitable for LMIC laboratories. Despite this potential, there have been very few well-designed field evaluations using culture as the reference standard [2,15] to assess whether LED-FM performance varies in locations with no or limited experience with FM.

LED-FM in this study had higher sensitivity but lower specificity than ZN smear microscopy, which resulted in a significantly
higher number of patients with PTB being treated, but lower overall diagnostic accuracy, as illustrated in Text S3. Although most previous studies are small [2,15,16], they have reported increased sensitivity and similar specificity of LED-FM compared with ZN smear microscopy. Recently, however, Cattamanchi et al. suggested that LED-FM had lower specificity than conventional ZN smear microscopy in patients co-infected with HIV in Uganda [17], suggesting that the loss of specificity was more evident in patients who had scanty AFB in sputum. The investigators, however, had processed different specimens for ZN smear microscopy and FM; thus, the interpretation of this study is difficult [18], and further studies are needed. If these findings are corroborated by further studies, however, it is still important to consider that if a trade-off has to be made between sensitivity and specificity, it is preferable to err on the side of increased sensitivity. As corroborated by further studies, however, it is still important to consider that if a trade-off has to be made between sensitivity and specificity, it is preferable to err on the side of increased sensitivity and to treat a small number of patients who do not have TB. There are real consequences to a false-positive result: patients incorrectly diagnosed with TB have the cost and inconvenience of taking a six-month course of treatment, and troublesome side effects are common, but life-threatening treatment-related events (hepatitis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome) are infrequent (<5% incidence). It is also necessary to discuss whether solid culture, or liquid culture, can function as an adequate comparator, as neither is a perfect reference standard, and the underlying assumption that either culture system has higher specificity than smear microscopy might be debatable. The WHO manual for laboratory services in TB control states that the probability of obtaining a positive culture is related to the number of AFB in the specimen, with only about 50% of cultures of specimens with 1–2 AFB per 100 fields being identified as positive, increasing to 80% and 96.7% for specimens with “scanty” (1–9 AFB per 100 fields) and “+” AFB grades, respectively. The analysis of our smear-positive, culture-negative results indicated that more than 98% of these were scanty smears with a median of one AFB per smear, and 23.7% had a second positive smear by either ZN smear microscopy or LED-FM. It is thus highly likely that these patients either had paucibacillary tuberculosis in which M. tuberculosis failed to grow in culture, or were infected/colonised by non-tuberculous mycobacteria. This is unfortunately an inherent weakness of solid culture (and, to a lesser extent, liquid culture) as a diagnostic reference standard. Judgements on the performance of new diagnostic tests must be done with considerations of these limitations. The “false positive” LED-FM results are thus most likely due to technician error, but we cannot discount the possibility that these were cases with TB or non-tuberculous mycobacteria with a false-negative solid medium result that would have been detected using liquid medium. There might also be cases of TB that would have been missed by both culture technologies (because of delayed processing/destruction of marginally viable bacilli during decontamination or bacterial overgrowth).

Unfortunately, HIV testing was not done systematically as part of this study. Overall, the vast majority of patients across the sites did not know their HIV status. The exception was the Nigerian site, where around half of patients reported knowing their HIV status. Only patients who volunteered this information were categorised as HIV positive or negative. Any sub-analysis of the results by HIV status would be underpowered, subject to self-

**Table 2.** Sensitivity and specificity of using two or three ZN and LED-FM smears per patient.

| Number of Smears | Smear Result | Culture-Positive (n=529) | Culture-Negative (n=1,826) |
|------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|                  | ZN Smear Microscopy | LED-FM | After Review of Scanty FM Smears | ZN Smear Microscopy | LED-FM | After Review of Scanty FM Smears |
| 2                | Positive * | 348 (65.8) | 385 (72.8) | 369 (70.0) | 36 (2.0) | 166 (9.1) | 103 (5.7) |
|                  | Negative   | 181 (34.2) | 144 (27.2) | 158 (30.0) | 1,790 (98.0) | 1,660 (90.9) | 1,718 (94.3) |
| 3                | Positive   | 373 (70.5) | 408 (77.1) | 394 (74.5) | 63 (3.5) | 217 (11.9) | 137 (7.5) |
|                  | Negative   | 156 (29.5) | 121 (22.9) | 135 (25.5) | 1,763 (96.5) | 1,609 (88.1) | 1,689 (92.5) |

*“Positive” defined as a patient with ≥1 smear with ≥1 AFB. Patients with incomplete sets were classified according to the smears available.

**Table 3.** Absolute difference the use of two- or three-smear ZN smear microscopy and LED-FM schemes would make per 1,000 persons tested in situations with similar TB prevalence.

| Test | Absolute Difference per 1,000 Persons |
|------|--------------------------------------|
|      | True positive | True negative | False positive | False negative | Number with correct diagnosis |
| Two-smear ZN smear microscopy | 142 | 768 | 16 | 74 | 910 |
| Two-smear LED-FM | 157 | 713 | 71 | 59 | 870 |
| Two-smear LED-FM after EQA | 151 | 739 | 45 | 65 | 890 |
| Three-smear ZN smear microscopy | 152 | 757 | 27 | 64 | 909 |
| Three-smear LED-FM | 167 | 691 | 93 | 49 | 858 |
| Three-smear LED-FM after EQA | 161 | 725 | 59 | 55 | 886 |

*Proportion of patients with culture-positive TB results observed in this study.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001057.t002

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001057.t003
selection bias, and confounded by the duration since last test and the methods used for testing.

Clinical laboratories in our study had considerable experience with ZN smear microscopy but limited experience with mercury vapour lamp FM, and their conventional FM microscopes were neither functioning nor in recent use at the time of the study. Although technicians received 2-d refresher training at the bench, they were supervised for a further day to monitor performance, and had photographic bench-aids available, ZN smear microscopy had consistently higher specificity.

Interestingly, the rechecking of scanty smears and comparison against culture suggests that LED-FM also had higher sensitivity but lower specificity than conventional FM. These differences could have been due to the devices’ performance characteristics, as reference laboratories are equipped with high-quality microscopes with greatly improved optics, and to the novelty of LED-FM, with laboratory technicians conducting more careful examinations and spending more time reading the smears to identify paucibacillary smears, while lacking experience to distinguish fluorescent artefacts from scanty AFB. Furthermore, technicians in the reference laboratories routinely use dark rooms, which facilitate visualizing the AFB in conventional FM but are not required with LED-FM, and may have been more cautious to declare smears with one or two bacilli as positive. Also, the smears may have faded, and AFB could have been washed off during re-staining.

Although the comparison of LED-FM and conventional FM was based on the verification of a partial selection of smears and needs to be replicated, national TB control programmes considering introducing LED-FM devices may wish to bear in mind that their performance could vary with the service setting, staff experience, and the type of devices used. In contrast to the findings of this study, other studies conducted at established research centres have found similar specificity in conventional FM and LED-FM. Our lower specificity may thus be the upside of the trade-off implicit in the larger amount of operational data collected in this study, at the cost of a less-than-ideal reference standard. The LED-FM technology, however, is likely to be widely implemented, and the study still gives valuable insights into operational issues. The performance of LED-FM, and indeed any diagnostic test, depends critically on proficiency of test operators. Adequate and appropriate training is thus required. Current expert opinion is that only moderate training (3 d) is required to make laboratory technicians already proficient in ZN smear microscopy proficient in LED-FM. This training was provided to study staff, involved instruction in specimen processing and staining techniques, and included 2 d of supervised routine microscopy during which an expert helped resolve any doubtful results in order to instil confidence in workers. All staff reported familiarity and confidence in their ability to perform the technique. We believe that this kind of training is most likely sufficient for the induction of staff in laboratories where the technique is well established and there are experienced staff who can provide continued mentoring. However, as stand-alone training, after which laboratory staff are expected to maintain performance without access to a second opinion, it is most likely inadequate, and the development of structured and standardised training packages and supervisory schemes is needed to support scale up. The introduction of LED-FM therefore needs to be accompanied by careful training, appropriate quality control, and monitoring of the performance of LED-FM in the field. Implementers may need to consider whether trade offs between higher sensitivity and lower specificity are acceptable.

The study also indicates that the sensitivity and specificity of LED-FM is independent of whether the sputum specimens are collected as SSM or SMS. There is hardly any evidence that a particular sequence of sputum collection increases the probability of finding TB bacilli, and we have recently demonstrated that this is not so [7]. Although morning specimens have a higher yield (and single-smear sensitivity), examining two spot specimens identifies equivalent numbers of smear-positive patients as examining one spot specimen followed by one morning specimen. SMS requires that all patients return to provide the morning sample, while the SSM would identify most smear-positive cases in one day. Thus, what is crucial is the potential shortening of the process. Although many clinicians are reluctant to rely on spot specimens due to the higher sensitivity of the morning specimens, the 10% higher sensitivity of a single morning specimen becomes redundant once multiple specimens are used. The WHO has recently recommended using spot specimens (indeed spot-spot) to allow the screening of patients in one day. However, the change in policy was based on the evaluation of the method using ZN smear microscopy. We now provide evidence that a front-loaded LED-FM approach that uses two spot specimens results in the same yield as approaches using a conventional SMS LED-FM scheme. This seemingly small change may hold the key to improving current microscopy services. If two smears are collected and examined on the first day and one of them is positive, as was the case in the majority of smear-positive cases in this study, patients would not have to return the next day to complete the sputum submission process. If health systems are modified to provide results on the same day, patients could save the costs of one more visit in the diagnostic process, the majority of smear-positive patients could be referred for treatment on the day of consultation, and dropout rates may be reduced.

Moreover, it is important to consider the role that LED-FM can play in the recent WHO endorsement of the new rapid, automated nucleic acid amplification test, Xpert. It is unlikely, in the short term, that Xpert can be scaled up and decentralized sufficiently to replace smear microscopy as the initial diagnostic test worldwide, even in areas with high rates of multi-drug-resistant TB or HIV-associated TB, where Xpert is recommended as the initial screening test. Furthermore, the WHO recommends continuing to use smear microscopy for treatment monitoring, even in areas served by new technologies such as TB culture, line probe assays, and the Xpert assay. In areas without high rates of multi-drug-resistant TB or HIV-associated TB, cost-effectiveness considerations favour the use of smear microscopy as the initial diagnostic tool, and thus the improved performance of direct smear microscopy (and indeed a technique that facilitates faster examination of smears) is an important step for improving diagnostic services in LMICs, one that would reduce laboratory workloads and facilitate the faster identification of patients with negative smear microscopy who could undergo further tests.

Despite the reduced specificity of LED-FM, this approach still has operational advantages that make it an attractive tool for TB laboratory diagnosis. National control programmes introducing new LED-FM services should monitor the performance of the method under operational conditions, as training needs of staff may be greater than anticipated. This study has shown that LED-FM can play a key role in reaching WHO targets for TB detection, reducing laboratory workloads, and ensuring poor patients’ access to TB diagnosis and prompt treatment.
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Editors’ Summary

Background. Tuberculosis is a global public health problem. Every year, about 1.7 million people die from this contagious bacterial infection, and about 9 million new cases occur, mainly in low- and middle-income countries. Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which causes tuberculosis, is spread in airborne droplets when people with the disease cough or sneeze, and usually infects the lungs (pulmonary tuberculosis). Symptoms of tuberculosis include a persistent cough, weight loss, and night sweats. Because tuberculosis is easily transmitted and potentially deadly, it is important that it is diagnosed quickly and accurately and immediately treated. The “gold standard” diagnostic test for tuberculosis is mycobacterial culture (in liquid or solid medium), in which laboratory technicians try to grow M. tuberculosis from sputum (mucus brought up from the lungs by coughing). However, this test is expensive, so most patients suspected of having pulmonary tuberculosis in resource-limited countries are investigated using sputum smear microscopy. In this cheaper but less sensitive test, sputum samples are “smeread” onto microscope slides, stained with Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) dye, and then examined with a microscope for the presence of M. tuberculosis.

Why Was This Study Done? With smear microscopy, multiple samples have to be examined to increase the test’s sensitivity (the proportion of patients with culture-positive tuberculosis that the test detects). Because each smear examination takes up to 10 minutes, tuberculosis diagnosis with ZN smear microscopy creates a large laboratory workload. A variant form of smear microscopy—light-emitting-diode fluorescence microscopy (LED-FM)—could reduce this workload. With LED-FM, smears stained with a fluorescent dye can be examined in a quarter of the time it takes to examine ZN smears. In this study, the researchers evaluate the sensitivity and specificity (the proportion of people with a negative smear among people without tuberculosis; a high specificity indicates a low false-positive rate) of LED-FM using samples collected in a trial undertaken in four resource-limited countries (Ethiopia, Nepal, Nigeria, and Yemen) to investigate two schemes for sputum sample collection. In the spot-morning-spot (SMS) scheme, patients provide one on-the-spot specimen at their initial consultation, a specimen collected at home the next morning, and a second on-the-spot sample when they deliver their morning specimen. In the spot-spot-morning (SSM) scheme, patients provide two on-the-spot samples during their first clinic visit and a sample collected at home the next morning.

What Did the Researchers Do and Find? In the main trial, the researchers collected sputum samples using the SMS or SSM scheme from 6,627 patients with a cough lasting more than two weeks. For their investigation of LED-FM, they examined nearly 2,400 samples (half SSM and half SMS specimens, about a quarter of which were tuberculosis culture-positive) with both ZN smear microscopy and LED-FM and determined the sensitivity and specificity of both tests—with one, two, or three sputum samples per patient—relative to mycobacterial solid culture. Single LED-FM smears had higher sensitivity but lower specificity than single ZN smears. The sensitivities of two LED-FM and two ZN smears were 72.8% and 65.8%, respectively; the specificities of these tests were 90.9% and 98.0%. The sensitivities of three LED-FM and three ZN smears were 77% and 70.5%, respectively; the specificities of these tests were 88.1% and 96.5%. The sensitivity and specificity of both tests was similar for samples collected using the SMS and the SSM schemes.

What Do These Findings Mean? These findings show that in the resource-limited countries included in this trial, LED-FM has a higher sensitivity but lower specificity than ZN smear microscopy. The researchers calculate that in this study the accuracy of three LED-FM examinations was 85% (2,017 out of 2,355 patients were correctly classified as infected or uninfected), whereas the accuracy of three ZN smears was 91.8%. Thus, although LED-FM should identify more people with tuberculosis than ZN smear microscopy, because of its lower specificity, its use might also lead to more people without tuberculosis being needlessly treated for the disease. Nevertheless, provided that the introduction of LED-FM is accompanied by appropriate training and performance monitoring, LED-FM is an attractive potential tool for the laboratory diagnosis of tuberculosis that, together with a move towards the collection of two on-the-spot smears in a single clinic visit, could ensure that poor patients have access to timely tuberculosis diagnosis and prompt treatment.

Additional Information. Please access these Web sites via the online version of this summary at http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001057.

- Details of the parent trial in which the samples used in this study were collected are available in a PLoS Medicine Research Article by Cuevas et al.
- The World Health Organization provides information on all aspects of tuberculosis, including information on tuberculosis diagnostics; recent WHO policy statements on diagnosis of tuberculosis are available; the Stop TB Partnership provides information on global tuberculosis control (some information in several languages)
- The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has information about tuberculosis, including information on the diagnosis of tuberculosis disease
- The US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases also has detailed information on all aspects of tuberculosis
- MedlinePlus has links to further information about tuberculosis (in English and Spanish)
- A new Web site dedicated to the discussion and optimization of smear microscopy has recently been launched