Primary and Secondary Abscission in *Pisum sativum* and *Euphorbia pulcherrima*—How Do They Compare and How Do They Differ?
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Abscission is a highly regulated and coordinated developmental process in plants. It is important to understand the processes leading up to the event, in order to better control abscission in crop plants. This has the potential to reduce yield losses in the field and increase the ornamental value of flowers and potted plants. A reliable method of abscission induction in poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima*) flowers has been established to study the process in a comprehensive manner. By correctly decapitating buds of the third order, abscission can be induced in 1 week. AFLP differential display (DD) was used to search for genes regulating abscission. Through validation using qRT-PCR, more information of the genes involved during induced secondary abscission have been obtained. A study using two pea (*Pisum sativum*) mutants in the *def* (*Developmental funiculus*) gene, which was compared with wild type peas (tall and dwarf in both cases) was performed. The *def* mutant results in a deformed, abscission-less zone instead of normal primary abscission at the funiculus. RNA *in situ* hybridization studies using gene sequences from the poinsettia differential display, resulted in six genes differentially expressed for abscission specific genes in both poinsettia and pea. Two of these genes are associated with gene up- or down-regulation during the first 2 days after decapitation in poinsettia. Present and previous results in poinsettia (biochemically and gene expressions), enables a more detailed division of the secondary abscission phases in poinsettia than what has previously been described from primary abscission in *Arabidopsis*. This study compares the inducible secondary abscission in poinsettia and the non-absicising mutants/wild types in pea demonstrating primary abscission zones. The results may have wide implications on the understanding of abscission, since pea and poinsettia have been separated for 94–98 million years in evolution, hence any genes or processes in common are bound to be widespread in the plant kingdom.
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INTRODUCTION

Abscission is a beneficial process for plants themselves, since this is the mechanism for plants to discard unwanted or superfluous organs in a highly orchestrated manner. However, this developmental process cause seed shattering, fruit drop, flower abscission, and other loss of value for crops valuable to man. It is not surprising that prevention of seed shattering probably was one of the first characters selected for when man started to cultivate plants and selected for cereal plants where he could harvest more seeds (Harlan et al., 1973). Abscission is a complicated process, it is not clear the orchestrated manner by which abscission is controlled in plants. The process is important to understand, since agricultural and horticultural production is increasingly more sophisticated and facilitates precise control of the growth conditions, in greenhouses and increasingly also in the field.

Cells in an abscission zone (AZ) are typically small, square-shaped with dense cytoplasm (Sexton and Roberts, 1982) and clearly distinguishable from surrounding cells. The number of cell layers in an AZ is fixed for a species, but is highly variable between species, with tomato as an example of two discrete cell layers, which split between them (Valdovinos and Jensen, 1968; Tabuchi et al., 2001). The AZ of *Sambucus nigra* on the other hand, is composed of up to 50 cell layers (Taylor and Whitelaw, 2001). The term secondary abscission zones was first introduced by Lloyd (1913-14). He reported on injury-induced abscission in *Impatiens sultani*. Secondary abscission has also later been described as a zone which occurs in a position where a zone would not normally form in an intact plant (Webster, 1970; Pierik, 1973). Having termed these adventitious AZ as secondary, the predestined AZ occurring at particular sites of positional differentiated cells have since been given the term primary (Huang and Lloyd, 1999) to distinguish between the two.

Abscission can be affected by environmental factors and is a highly coordinated biological mechanism (Brown and Addicott, 1950; Osborne, 1955; Addicott, 1982; Patterson, 2001; Roberts et al., 2002). It has been reported that low light conditions might trigger cythia abscission in poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima*) (Bailey and Miller, 1991; Moe et al., 1992) but environmental regulations, as well as the biological background of abscission has not been fully investigated. Although the abscission process is a natural biological process to dispose of redundant organs, premature abscission results in the loss of yield and value in agriculture and horticulture.

Valdovinos and Jensen demonstrated the cell wall disintegration in the AZ allowing separation in tomato and tobacco (Valdovinos and Jensen, 1968). Reviews have followed with more insight into the process (Sexton and Roberts, 1982; Osborne and Morgan, 1989; Taylor and Whitelaw, 2001; Bosca et al., 2006). Our own results have clearly demonstrated and confirmed that abscission is controlled by inter-organ signaling events, yet it is still not clear how these signals co-ordinate the events. Cell wall modifications in the AZ of poinsettia, visualized using antibodies during the course of an induced abscission process, is one way we have chosen to elucidate upon the abscission process (Lee et al., 2008). Some of the other approached will become clear in the present article.

Poinsettia is not the obvious choice for fundamental studies since the molecular tools available for other model plants are not available. Secondly, the life span is much longer than for *Arabidopsis*. Thirdly, it is vegetatively propagated, does not readily set seed and segregation studies would be difficult to perform. Lastly, it has no available non-abscising mutants. However, poinsettia is an important ornamental plant worldwide during Christmas time. It is by far the most important potted plant crop in Norway with more than five million plants produced each year, for a population of about the same number. In addition, Norwegian poinsettia growers have pointed out that poinsettia suffers from premature flower abscission, which can result in severe losses in value. Therefore, there are economic reasons for being able to control this. A method for induction of abscission to investigate the abscission process has been developed using this plant species (Munster, 2006). This makes the study of abscission in poinsettia very precise and predictable. Poinsettia flower pedicels have no predestined AZ, and hence they are defined as having secondary abscission. This inducible abscission system in the poinsettia flower resembles systems in other plant species (Webster, 1970; Hashim et al., 1980; Oberholster et al., 1991; Kuang et al., 1992), especially the model plant tomato (pedicel abscission) and thus provides a reliable, synchronized system for studying the abscission process in general. Poinsettia (*E. pulcherrima*) belongs to the large family of *Euphorbiaceae*, with about 300 genera and 7500 species. A number of plants of this family are of considerable economic importance. Prominent plants include cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), physic nut or Barbados nut (*Jatropha curcas*), castor oil plant (*Ricinus communis*), and the Para rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*). Amongst several of these, genomic and molecular tools are becoming available, because of their economic importance for producing biofuels.

Previously, this inducible system has been used to study the turnover of carbohydrates in the abscission zone (Lee et al., 2008), and the effect of the cut position on hormones in the bud (Munster, 2006). This article reports on the genes differentially displayed during the 7 day period from induction to abscission from the thesis of Munster (2006). We have since further verified the gene expressions in poinsettia through quantitative RT-PCR and RNA in situ hybridizations, all of which is included in this article.

Poinsettia has no mutants for abscission, in order to study gene expression. However, there are other model systems with numerous mutants. There are two *def* (*Developmental funiculus*) mutant peas in the John Innes Pea Collection, one dwarf and one tall type (J1184 and J13020). These mutants were the tools to elucidate upon the process of abscission in peas (Ayeh, 2008). That study concluded that the *def* gene is a single locus gene (Ayeh et al., 2011) and the abscission zone between the funiculus and the pea was characterized (Ayeh et al., 2009) in both mutants (with abscission-less zones) and tall and dwarf wild types. Pea has primary abscission, with the AZ clearly defined from the onset in the wild types and only the distorted abscission-less zone in the mutants.
Pea and poinsettia are separated by 94–98 million years (Bennett et al., 2000). Hence, any genes they share during the abscission process will most likely be universal throughout the plant kingdom. This paper summarizes the interesting comparable results in pea and poinsettia with respect to cell wall alterations (Ayeh, 2008; Lee et al., 2008) as well as gene expression during the abscission process from induction to abscission. Our hypothesis is that the primary abscission in pea (Pisum sativum) and secondary abscission in poinsettia (E. pulcherrima) are more similar than different. Pea represents primary abscission where the abscission zones are clearly defined from the development of the organs. Poinsettia represents secondary abscission, where the abscission zones can develop upon induction. This paper presents results, which tests the hypothesis comparing the developmental stages in poinsettia abscission with the def mutants and wild types in pea, discussing the similarities and differences between these two systems as models for abscission.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material—Poinsettia

Poinsettia (Euphorbia pulcherrima) ‘Lilo’ were grown as previously described in Lee et al. (2008). Plants were grown under long day condition (20 h photoperiod at 150 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and the plants were kept under short day conditions (10/14 h photoperiod) at 20°C to induce flowering.

Induction of Abscession in the Flower Pedicel (Secondary Abscession)

Cyathia of the third order (all male flowers) were used for analyses to standardize abscission zone development, since this gives six flowers in the same inflorescence of the same developmental stage (3rd order; Figure 1). When third order flowers began to open, they were decapitated with a razor blade just below the floral organs, with the floral bottom still intact, cut position 2 (cp2) in Figure 2A (Munster, 2006). The flowers developed abscission zones (AZs) under short day conditions, with 7 ± 1 days to complete abscission. AZs were dissected from the decapitated pedicels every 24 h, and harvested on the same time to create the complete series from Day 0 (control) to Day 7 and obtain comparable gene expressions. Figures 2B–E shows the development of the AZ from Day 0 to Day 7 (day of abscission). Figure 3 shows micrographs of poinsettia comparing a pedicel with no AZ (A) with induced (B), and natural (C) abscission.

Plant Material—Pea

The four lines of pea (P. sativum L.) seeds (JI 116, JI 2822, JI 1184, and JI 3020) in this study were selected based on the presence of specific alleles at the Def locus, which control the detachment of the seed from the funiculus (Ayeh et al., 2009, 2011). Two wild types (WT) with the Def locus and two def mutant pea seeds were kindly supplied from the John Innes Pisum Collection Ayeh et al. (2009). Tall wild type (JI 116) and dwarf wild type (JI 2822) develop normal abscission events and therefore abscise the seed from the funiculus through the intervening hilum region. The tall def mutant (JI 1184) and the dwarf def mutant (JI 3020) both lack the abscission event and therefore fail to abscise the seed from the funiculus. These lines have a deficient abscission zone, which we have given the name abscission-zone-less (AZL; Ayeh, 2008). Seeds of each line were sown in pots with fertilized peat and grown under greenhouse conditions at 22°C and 16/8 h photoperiod with a photon flux of 110 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ [400–700 nm Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR)] and a daylength extending light provided from incandescent lamps (OSRAM, Germany).

Definition of Growth Stages in Pea

We used young and mature developmental stages in both the wild and the def mutant pea plants. For the tall wild type JI 116, developmental stage 10.1 indicates young seed. For the tall def mutant type JI 1184, developmental stage 8.1 indicates young seed for a comparable developmental stage. The developmental stage 2.1 indicates mature seed for both JI 116 and JI 1184. For the dwarf wild type JI 2822 and the dwarf def mutant JI 3020, developmental stages 4.1 and 3.1 indicate young seeds, respectively. Developmental stage 1.1 indicates mature seed for both dwarf wild type JI 2822 and dwarf def mutant JI 3020.

Differential Display (DD) in Poinsettia

AZs were dissected from the area of the pedicel from Day 0 until Day 7 as described in Munster (2006). As soon as the AZ could be defined visually, samples of the distal part were harvested as an internal control to eliminate senescence related genes from the bands picked from DD. The pedicel slices from AZ tissue were stored immediately in RNALater (0.1 g/ml) at −20°C until use.

RNA Extraction, Differential Display, and Sequencing

RNA was extracted from the AZ tissues by a time course according to instruction of the Qiagen RNaseasy Plant Kit. RNA was treated using RNase-Free DNase I Set (Qiagen). The quantity and quality of the RNA was measured by Nano Drop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nano Drop Technologies, USA).

Fluorescent DD was performed with the RNAspectra kit (GenHunter, Nashville, TN, USA) in duplicates as all reactions were performed with both red (rhodamin) and green (fluoricin) fluorescence in parallel. The mRNA in the total RNA samples was converted into DNA by reverse transcriptase with anchor primers (H-T11A, H-T11G, or H-T11C). The resulting cDNA spectra were separated on 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gels casted between low fluorescence glass plates (Amersham Bioscience). Parallel amplification products were performed with both red (rhodamin) and green (fluoricin) fluorescence in parallel. The mRNA in the total RNA samples was converted into DNA by reverse transcriptase with anchor primers (H-T11A, H-T11G, or H-T11C). The resulting cDNA were amplified by DNA Polymerase DyNAzymeII (Finnzyme, Espoo, Finland) using the three different anchor primers, respectively, for each arbitrary primer in separate reactions (for details see Supplementary Table 1). The RNA spectral kits used were red and green no. 1, 4, 5, and 8. Four primers were in addition designed on conserved areas of polygalacturonase and β-1,3-glucanase and used in the AFLP DD analyses. These primers were added since these two enzymes are associated with cell wall breakdowns during abscission. These primers were PG-A 5′-AACATTATGAGGC-3′, PG-T 5′-AAGCTTTATGATC-3′, PG-T 5′-AAGCTTTATGATC-3′, GlucC 5′-AAGCTTATTGATC-3′, GlucA 5′-AACATTATGAGGC-3′. The amplification products were separated on 6% denaturing polyacrylamide gels casted between low fluorescence glass plates (Amersham Bioscience). Parallel amplification products...
FIGURE 1 | Poinsettia inflorescence. (A) Photo of a fully developed poinsettia inflorescence in aerial view. (B) Schematic drawing of the inflorescence showing 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th order flowers in a profile view.

FIGURE 2 | Different cut positions (cp) (A) and development of the abscission zone (B–E). (A) The positions of the decapitating poinsettia cyathia (flowers) to induce controlled abscission. We have used cp2 in all experiments reported here. (B) Day 0 (control). (C) Day 5. (D) Day 6. (E) Day 7. Arrows indicate the AZs on the flower pedicels.

FIGURE 3 | Micrographs of poinsettia pedicels. (A) A control without abscission. (B) An AZ induced by decapitation of flower bud at the right cut position. (C) A naturally formed AZ. Scale bars are 400 µm. Arrows indicate the AZs on the flower pedicels.

(flouricin or rhodamin) were separated on different gels and scanned on Typhoon 8600 (Amersham Bioscience, UK) using the following laser settings: flouricin; excitation 495 nm, emission 520 nm, green laser (532 nm), emission filter 526 SP and rhodamin; excitation 570 nm, emission 590 nm, green laser (532 nm), emission filter 580 BP 30. Kapton tape (Amersham Bioscience, UK) was used for gel orientation. The digital gel image was printed on paper size 1:1 and used for gel orientation and band identification. The AFLP gels were scored visually in duplicates, and the differentially expressed bands excised from the gel. DNA from the fragments was eluted in distilled water, precipitated and reamplified by PCR as described by the fluorescent DD kit manufacturer (GenHunter, USA). The PCR product was purified on an agarose gel. Single bands larger than 200 bp were excised and subcloned into plasmid pCR 2.1-TOPO (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and chemically transferred into Top10 Escherichia coli cells as described by the manufacturer. Twelve positive E. coli colonies were selected,
re streaked, and analyzed by colony PCR. The inserts were confirmed by separating the PCR products on an agarose gel. Plasmids from eight *E. coli* clones were prepared using Montage plasmid miniprep 96 (MERK Millipore, Germany) and Jetquick Plasmid Purification Spin Kit (Genomed, Germany). The insert was sequenced with BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit v3.1 and ABIprism 3100 (MERK Millipore, USA). Sequences were visualized and processed in BioEdit sequence alignment editor (Hall, 1999).

**Bioinformatics and Putative Homology Identification of Sequences**

To identify homologous sequences of those differentially expressed during induced secondary pedicel abscission in poinsettia, different Blast (BlastN 2.2.30+, BlastX 2.2.32+) were performed with a 7700 Real-time PCR system (MERK Millipore) according to the manual (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The qRT-PCR reactions were done in triplicate using two different biological preparations. Most commonly used was Discontinuous MegaBlast (Morgulis et al., 2008), BlastN, and BlastX 2.2.32+.

**Real-Time qRT-PCR for Verification and Quantification**

Real-Time qRT-PCR primers were constructed using Primer Express (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA; Supplementary Table 1). The primers were tested on both cDNA and genomic DNA. Real time qRT-PCR analyses for the short sequences were performed with a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using SuperScript III Platinum Two-Step qRT-PCR Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Transcript levels were normalized using poinsettia 18S primer pair to make correlative gene expression measurements (Table 1). All reactions were done in triplicate using two different biological preparations.

qRT-PCR reactions for the whole gene sequences were performed with a 7700 Real-time PCR system (MERK Millipore) used Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMIX-UDG with ROX according to the manual (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The qRT-PCR was carried out in 25 µl reactions using 2.5 µl of diluted template, 0.5 µl of each primer (stock10 µM- final 0.2 µM) and 1x SYBR Green reaction mix. Template, cDNA, were diluted 10−1 and 10−4 for the reactions included RACE-primers and 18S primers, respectively. Triplicate repeats of each reaction and a template control of nuclease free water was carried out. Amplifications were performed with the following program: 95°C for 2 min followed by cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C, 30 s. After amplification a melting curve analysis was performed. An internal reference dye, ROX, was included in the Platinum SYBR Green buffer to normalize the fluorescent reporter signal in real-time quantitative RT-PCR.

**Whole Gene Sequencing by 5′Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (5′Race)**

The total RNA from the AZ-tissue in poinsettia was used as template to synthesize first strand cDNA in a reverse transcription reaction using modified oligo (dT) primer. Gene-specific primers (GSP) were constructed from seven of the DD-sequences, using Primer 3 Software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu). For the GSP to find the correct cDNA-sequences the RACE reaction was optimized to isolate the complete gene sequence. The seven sequences were picked on the basis of showing interesting DD differences, but too short for qRT-PCR and Blast searches initially. The primers used are shown in Table 2.

The 5′-RACE was performed according to BD SMART™ RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (BD Biosciences Clontech, USA). The RACE products were characterized by cloning and sequencing. The 5′RACE products were cloned into the pCR®-4-TOPO vector and transformed into competent TOP10 *E. coli* cells (Supplementary Table 2). The inserts were sequenced to verify that the amplified product had a segment of the same sequence as in the DD product and to obtain sequence information from the RACE product and its orientation in the 4-TOPO vector (Supplementary Table 3).

**RNA in situ Hybridization of Poinsettia and Pea**

Flower buds of poinsettia ‘Lilo’ induced for abscission and control plants were cut into small pieces (2–3 mm-thick) which were immediately fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde in sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, under vacuum for 1 h, and left overnight at 4°C. After fixation, samples were washed in saline, dehydrated through a graded ethanol series, and embedded in paraplast (Sakura, Japan) using Tissue-Tek VIP Jr automatic embedding machine (Sakura, Japan). The 10 µm-thick sections were collected on poly-L-lysine coated slides.

Similarly, the primary abscission zones of the wild type peas (Jl 116 and Jl 2822), as well as the two *def* mutant peas (Jl 1184 and Jl 3020) were harvested and given the same fixation and embedding as the poinsettias above. The *def* gene is a single locus gene (Ayeh et al., 2011) and the abscission zone between the funiculus and the pea was characterized (Ayeh et al., 2009) in both mutants (with abscission-less zones) and both tall and dwarf wild types.

The 20 selected sequences for hybridization were reamplified from the pCR2.1TOPO constructs using their respective AFLP DD primers (Supplementary Table 4) and inserted into the pCR4 TOPO plasmid using the TA overhang cloning technology (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). Single-stranded RNA probes were synthesized after linearization of plasmid DNA, using NotI and Spel restriction enzymes for sense and antisense probes, respectively. Sense and antisense probes labeled with digoxigenin (DIG). dUTP were prepared using T3 and T7 RNA polymerases (Roche, Germany), respectively.

The 10 µm-thick sections on poly-L-lysine coated slides were de waxed using Histoclear (Cell Path, UK). The sections were treated with Proteinase K (1 µg ml−1) and acetylated using 0.5% acetic anhydride (Sigma Aldrich, Switzerland) in 0.1 M triethanolamine and followed by washing in PBS solutions and dehydrations using a graded ethanol series. Per slide, 100-200 ng labeled antisense and sense riboprobes were applied in 40 µl hybridization solutions in a humid chamber for 16 h at 50°C. Hybridization was performed using hybridization solution
| DD# | Primers used | GenBank Acc. # | Size (bp) | Real time RT-PCR results | Discontinuous MegaBlast, BlastN, or BlastX |
|-----|--------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 025a | 29A          | EB647682       | 194      |                          | GQ856147 Unknown mtg, genome region      |
| 006a | 33G          | EB647681       | 269      |                          | XP_007012396 Photosystem II subunit X    |
| 220_ | 29C          | EB647701       | 515      |                          | AY340642 UMB-repressible protein         |
| 084_ | 40A          | EB647705       | 456      |                          | XM_002528833 Transcription factor        |
| 090a | 26A          | EB647690       | 255      |                          | AEU07931 Opie3 pol protein               |
| 038b | 62C          | EB647683       | 233      |                          | ZEA mays                                 |
| 304b | PGTC         | EB647707       | 217      |                          | ZEA mays                                 |
| 057b | 34 A         | EB647687       | 412      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 208_ | 27 A         | EB647706       | 443      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 136b | GicG         | EB647697       | 447      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 045c | 1C           | EB647684       | 347      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 140b | PG0          | EB647699       | 306      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 103_ | GiaG         | EB647693       | 434      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 003a | 33 A         | EB647680       | 279      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 301_ | PGA          | EB647702       | 448      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 060c | 34 A         | EB647688       | 304      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 320b | PGTC         | EB647704       | 218      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 082a | 40G          | EB647689       | 269      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 101_ | GiaG         | EB647692       | 426      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 140a | PG0          | EB647698       | 307      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 091b | 26 G         | EB647691       | 247      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 047b | 6C           | EB647685       | 236      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 130_ | GicG         | EB647696       | 297      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 304a | 8C           | EB647686       | 299      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |
| 304a | GiaG         | EB647694       | 343      |                          | Ricinus communis                        |

(Continued)
### TABLE 1 | Continued

| DD# | Primers used | GenBank Acc. # | Size (bp) | Real time RT-PCR results<sup>a</sup> | Discontinuous MegaBlast, BlastN, or BlastX |
|-----|--------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 304a | GicG         | EB647695       | 268       | Days after abscission induction | Accession no. | Description | Species | Id (of 100%) | E-value |
| 304a | GicG         | EB673117       | 249       | 0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 | XM_012216503 | Proteasome subunit alpha type-1-B-like | Jatropha curcas<sup>*</sup> | 72<sup>2</sup> | 1e-10 |
| 204c | 2T7G         | EB647700       | 214       | - - - - - - | - | No significant matches | - | - | - |
| 304a | PGA          | EB647703       | 463       | - - - - - - | - | No significant matches | - | - | - |

<sup>a</sup>Visualization of Real-time RT-PCR results relative to 18S expression of two individual experiments. The highest expression is black and the lowest expression is white, showing decreasing nuances of orange for descending steps of equal size (8 nuances/steps).

<sup>b</sup>Discontinuous MegaBlast (BlastN 2.2.32+, Database GenBank no (All GenBank + EMBL + DDBJ + PDB sequences) and standard settings 30 August 2015).

<sup>c</sup>BlastX Database no and standard settings 30 August 2015. Reading frame (RF) +1 of the Acc. # EB647681, +3 of the Acc. # EB647705 and EB647687.

<sup>d</sup>Internal control: Distal part of the abscising pedicel above the abscission zone at Day 0.

<sup>e</sup>From BlastX in Sept 2012, incl because they give an additional indication of function.

**Euphorbiaceae family member.

---

### TABLE 2 | AFLP DD and RACE primers, results and similarity searches for full-length genes in poinsettia secondary abscission.

| DD# | Primers used for DD | Primers used for 5′ RACE | AFLP DD results<sup>a</sup> | BlastX<sup>b</sup> |
|-----|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| 135 | 5′gaccttgcgcctcctaacgaatc 3′ | + - - - - - - | XP_002518733 | Polyadenylate-binding protein 2 | Ricinus communis<sup>*</sup> | 86 | 8e<sup>-155</sup> |
| 133a | 5′cagagtgcctgtgcctcctc 3′ | - + - - - - - | NP_176471 | Lys-specific histone demethylase 1-1 | A. thaliana | 73 | 8e<sup>-138</sup> |
| 108 | 5′cccagggaccaccaatatcagctc 3′ | + - - - - - - | XP_002530011 | V-SNARE protein | Ricinus communis<sup>*</sup> | 91 | 2e<sup>-126</sup> |
| 122 | 5′catctccctgtaatccctaagctc 3′ | - + - - - - - | NP_012085745 | Bidirectional sugar transporter N3 | Jatropha curcas<sup>*</sup> | 76 | 4e<sup>-136</sup> |
| 82b | 5′ggcctaaagccgcgtctgtatgtaac 3′ | + - - - - - - | NP_002522811 | Glycine-rich RNA-binding protein | Ricinus communis<sup>*</sup> | 74 | 1e<sup>-44</sup> |
| 105 | 5′ggccagtgaatcataaaccctacatc 3′ | + - - - - - - | NP_198917 | DNA-directed RNA Pol. II su. K | A. thaliana | 88 | 6e<sup>-24</sup> |
| 32a | 5′gctctagctcatcaacccctttacag 3′ | + + + + + + | NP_001077782 | DVL3 | A. thaliana | 58 | 1e<sup>-5</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Similarities found in DNA sequences from chromosomes.

<sup>b</sup>Reconstruction of visual screening of the result where + is upregulated and - is downregulated.

**Euphorbiaceae.
containing 50% formamide, dextran sulfate, Denhardt’s solution, tRNA, and 10× hybridization buffer (3 M NaCl, 0.5 M Na₂HPO₄, 10 mM EDTA). After hybridization, sections were washed in SSPE and NTE buffer. After RNase treatment, sections were blocked and probe was detected using anti-digoxigenin-alkaline phosphate-coupled antibody. The hybridized DIG-labeled probe and target was detected by anti-DIG antibodies conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (Butler et al., 2001). Sections were visualized by applying 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP) and nitroblue tetrazolium salt (NTB; Promega, USA) diluted in alkaline phosphate buffer. Sections were photographed using bright field optics (Leica, Germany).

RESULTS

Genes Differentially Expressed during Secondary Abscission in Poinsettia and their Putative Identity

To elucidate on secondary abscission related genes, AFLP DD analysis provided a database of poinsettia cDNA sequences involved in AZ development and the induced secondary abscission process. The total RNA samples used in the AFLP DD screening were prepared from AZ tissue at eight different stages: every 24 h from Day 0 (control) and until abscission on Day 7. After 3–4 days, the first visible signs of the forthcoming abscission event could be seen as a lighter green band at the place of the AZ and the onset of senescence related color changes of the distal end of the flowers (Figures 2B–E). On Day 7 the distal end normally abscise after a coordinated separation of the cell layers in the AZ. Generally, the induction of flower abscission in poinsettia by decapitation (Figure 2A) has an accuracy of ±1 day (data not shown).

Our screen gave us 126 gene transcripts, either up- or downregulated, an example gel from the DD is shown in Figure 4. The sequences which were >200 bp (74 sequences) were also run in a Real-Time quantitative assay (qRT-PCR), a selection of the most interesting are presented in Figure 5. Seven others, which were of particular interest due to their expression profiles in the DD, were then put through a 5’RACE and whole gene sequencing to include them in assay; making a total of 81 sequences tested. Almost half of the first 74 (35) were confirmed to be significantly differentially expressed relative to the ribosomal subunit 18 (18S) in the qRT-PCR assay. BLAST analysis gave a decent similarity hit for 29 sequences, where 18 matched beyond an expectation value of E⁻¹⁴ (Table 1). Eight of the sequences had no matches in databases, some of these were strongly up- or downregulated as early abscission events. They are putatively very interesting gene sequences to study further to find their specific functions, as potentially unknown AZ-genes. From the 5’-RACE-extended sequences we obtained matches beyond E⁻⁵ for all seven, some these also highly relevant (Table 2).

Blat “Top-hits” species distribution chart obtained by performing Blatx to NCBI, using Blast2go program, showed only two hits to Theobroma cacao and one hit to the following species: Aegolops tauschii, Nicotiana sylvestris, Zea mays, R. communis, Datura stramonium and Medicago truncatula. Of these species, R. communis belongs to the same family as poinsettia, namely Euphorbiaceae. Examining Table 1, Jatropha curcas also belongs to the same family. Although many exact hits in Tables 1, 3, Jatropha does not reach as high overall as the abovementioned species, and does not have more than one hit in Blatx.

Table 3 provides the percentage of up- and down-regulated genes belonging to each proposed phase in abscission of poinsettia. The majority of the significant differentially expressed genes were upregulated during the first or second day after the induction of abscission, in phase I. At this stage, it is not possible to see any changes in the anatomy of the pedicel or any color changes. Many of the genes can be functionally classified to energy metabolism, cell growth and division.

We have also identified two sequences similar to cell wall degrading enzymes (glucan endo-1,3-β-glucosidase and another putative β-glucosidase), some sequences, which can be associated with endoreduplication (fk506-binding protein and α-tubulin), as well as a putative signal transducer.

Our specific primers toward polygalacturonase and β-1,3-glucanase, showed differences in the AFLP screens, but could not be identified as such through the BLAST searches. We thus consider them as successful arbitrary primers that were all able to produce DD bands picked up for identification. Regarding the 32 arbitrary primer from GenHunter, large differences could be observed in their ability to give differentially displayed bands, only 10 out of 32 primers did. The 36 different arbitrary primers used would statistically give ~75% of all expressed cDNAs, based on calculations made by Yang and Liang (2004). In our hands, fluorescent probed primers were just as effective as radioactive labeled primers (data not shown), corresponding to that the two methods have comparable sensitivity (Ito et al., 1994). The rhodamin signal was generally slightly stronger than the fluorcin signal. Figure 4 shows eight examples of selected DD bands. From all DD bands, we obtained 126 sequences after cloning the reamplified DD bands into a pCR 2.1-TOPO vector.
FIGURE 5 | Temporal expression patterns of poinsettia DD clones monitored by Real-Time qRT-PCR. ΔΔCt on the y axis refers to the fold difference of a particular DD clone mRNA level relative to its lowest expression. Expressions were normalized to the 18S ribosomal RNA endogenous control during an induced abscission process of poinsettia pedicel, whereas distal is an internal control sample dissected from above the AZ.
TABLE 3 | Proposed division of abscission phases in poinsettia (0-IV), the corresponding # of days after decapitation and the percentage (%) of the 225 DD bands, which fall into each phase, either up- or downregulated.

| Corresponding days after decapitation | 0 (Control) | 0–2 | 2–4 | 4/5–7 | 7 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|-------|---|
| Phases in abscission                  |             | I   |     |       |   |
| % sequences                           |             |     |     |       |   |
| Upregulated                           | 6           | 3   | 8   | 16    | 2 |
|                                      |             |     |     |       |   |
| % sequences                           |             |     |     |       |   |
| Downregulated                         | 29          | 3   |     |       |   |

126 of these were successfully cloned and sequenced.

When sequenced, product lengths were between 180 and 520 bp (Munster, 2006). Some bands where reamplified as a shorter sequence than the one initially picked out from the AFLP DD gel, this can be explained by the fact that the primers used are arbitrary and the PCR conditions are changed from AFLP to reamplification.

An overview of all bands picked up in the AFLP DD (225 in total) shows that 29% (65/225) of the clones derived from DD bands are down-regulated after Day 0, in Phase 0.3% (7/225) are down-regulated after Day 2, after Phase I. Approximately 6% (13/225, Phase 0) and 3% (7/225) of the bands were upregulated at Day 1 and 2, respectively, taken together about 9% of the DD bands were upregulated in Phase I. During Phase II (Days 2–4), 8% (18/225) of the DD bands were upregulated. On Days 3–5 (Phase II–III), 4% (10/225) of the DD bands were upregulated. During Phase III (Days 4/5–7), 16% (35/225) of the DD bands were upregulated. Two percent (5/225) of the DD bands were upregulated at Day 7, in Phase IV. In addition, 19% (43/225) and 11% (24/225) of the bands were seen upregulated at Days 1–7 (after Phase 0) and Days 2–7 (after Phase I), respectively. Of these bands, 126 were successfully cloned and sequenced.

For all sequences, similarity to known protein and DNA sequences were found using different Blast methods (Discontinuous MegaBlast, BlastN and BlastX) and standard settings (Tables 1, 2). Twenty-two of 29 sequences generated putative similarities, out of which 13 have a very high similarity (E-value < e−21), five have high similarity (E-value e−10–e−4), and four have a less high similarity (E-value e−3–8.2). The latter ones are also reported due to the fact that short sequences can show relevant hits with high E-value (Information, T.N.C.F.B., 2012). This can be illustrated by the similarity hit on Glucan endo-1,3-β-D-glucosidase in Leptotrichia buccalis by sequence 91b (Acc.# EB647691) with an E-value of 8e−3 (Table 1). Two of the sequences did not have a significant similarity, while two were annotated as unknown mRNA and one as unknown mitochondrial region. In addition to the possibility of the two with no match, being a new and unknown sequence, a contributing factor might be the use of poly A selective primers, amplifying the non-translated UTR-3′ region.

The identified DD genes from Tables 1, 2 can be grouped according to the following biochemical functions: (1) Transcription [Transcription factor (XM_002528833) and Opie3 pol protein (RTV_2, AEJ07931) and DNA-directed RNA Pol. II su. K (NP_198917)]. (2) Signal transduction [Organ-specific protein/Atypical receptor-like kinase (XM_011094475/AY188755), DVL3 (NP_001777872) and (3) translation/protein synthesis (eIF3E (translation initiation (XM_002532624), Chloroplast tRNA-Leu (AY794600), RNA binding proteins (XM_002512412 and XP_002522811), Lys-specific histone demethylase 1-1 (NP_176471), and Polyadenylate-binding protein 2 (XP_002518733), Proteasome subunit alpha type-1-B-like (XM_012216503)]. (4) Energy (V-ATPase G subunit I (XM_010526012) and Bidirectional sugar transporter N3 (XP_012085745), energy metabolism (photosynthesis; Cytochrome f subunit (YP_00270125), respiration; NADH dehydrogenase SU 4L (AY792209), and unknown mitochondrial DNA regions (GQ856147 and HQ874649) and proteasome metabolism (Proteasome subunit β type-7-B-like (XM_002305663)]. (5) Cell growth and division [α-tubulin (FJ228477), V-SNARE (XP_002530001), and Histone deacetylase (XM_002511291)] and (6) Cell structure [cell wall degradation (Glucan endo-1,3-β-D-glucosidase (CP001685 and XM_0025253025]), (7) Defense/Disease [Photosystem II subunit X/UVB-repressible protein (XP_007012396/AY340642) and an uncharacterized membrane protein (CASP-like protein, XM_012213718)]. Sequence 136b with the best similarity on the Cytochrome f subunit (1e−47) also has an alternative and interesting similarity in immunophilin (NP196845 (4e−24)).

It is noteworthy that the length of the sequence did not seem to have an influence on the E-value (Table 1). Only a few sequences a little longer than 200 bp were not able to give any similarities to the GenBank databases using different available programs (August 2015).

RNA in situ Hybridization of Selected Sequences

Twenty of the DD sequences from Table 1 were also run through a RNA in situ hybridization to investigate further the spatial and temporal gene expression for these. The twenty were picked on the basis of which of the 29 gave good probes for RNA in situ. All the RNA in situ results verified them being expressed in the AZ and not elsewhere (data for six of them are shown in Figure 6). These six have been chosen based on the results from the other RNA in situ experiments, where these riboprobes were hybridized with sections of the four pea accessions from John Innes Centre, UK. These six riboprobes are on top of Table 1 and the DD # are in bold.

The six genes (6a, 25a, 38b, 84, 90a, and 220) are all differentially expressed both in poinsettia and in pea. The positive gene expression in antisense samples (Figures 6A, C, E, 7A, B, E, F) are seen as a dark blue coloring on the cells compared to no expression (light blue cells) in sense samples (Figures 6B, D, F, 7C, D, G, H). Ayeh (2008) showed that poinsettia riboprobes could be expressed in the AZ or the ALZ of the wild type and def-mutants (both the tall and the dwarf accessions). We found that five of these riboprobes were present only in the mutant peas (6a, 25a, 38b, 84 and 220), while only one was expressed in the wild type (90a).

Similarly, Rasolomanana (2008) was able to demonstrate through RNA in situ hybridization on sections of poinsettia pedicels that two of these six riboprobes (90a and 38b) are...
FIGURE 6 | RNA in situ hybridization six transcripts in Euphorbia pulcherrima (poinsettia) flower pedicels. Control Day 0 (A, B), Day 5 (C, D), and Day 7 (E, F) after abscission was induced by decapitation. Longitudinal sections were hybridized with antisense (A, C, and E) or sense (B, D, and F). The six DD riboprobes #6a, 25a, 38b, 84, 220, and 90a. Bars: 100 µm.
involved with the onset of abscission during the first 2 days. Another two (84 and 220) are associated with early-mid-term expression of the process. The last two (6a and 25a) were also expressed toward the end of the separation.

**DISCUSSION**

*E. pulcherrima* (poinsettia) can be used as a model system to elucidate on secondary abscission, induced by decapitation of the flower bud and studied through the 7-day period before abscission. When examining the natural abscission of a poinsettia bud, (Figure 3C) with that of a decapitated bud (Figure 3B), we can clearly see the similarities. The abscission process starts from the epidermis around the whole pedicel, and as the AZ develops toward the core of the pedicel, we see that the pedicel has continued to grow through cell division and cell elongations of the surrounding tissues, and the AZ is pushed upwards, forming a cone shaped stub as the bud falls off on Day 7. This cone shaped stub is very characteristic of poinsettia abscission. The control bud (Figure 3A) has not been decapitated and is the same age and from the same third order inflorescence as C. This bud has not reached the mature stage of the flower in the natural abscission (Figure 3B) yet, and shows no sign of developing AZ.

Although many plants have flowers and/or other plant parts that abscise, we know of few systems as accurate and foreseeable as this inducible poinsettia model system. Other model systems, as pea leaves (McManus et al., 1998), impatiens (Warren Wilson et al., 1986), and tomato (Kalaitzis et al., 1995), are all prepared explants in vitro and not in planta, as for this poinsettia model system. This study is also unique in the sense that we have never seen a comparison between so distantly related species showing consistent results with respect to elucidating upon the genes involved in abscission, and at the same time comparing a system for primary abscission using mutants with an inducible system for secondary abscission. There is a large potential for further elucidation into the significance of the genes in this study, since poinsettia and pea are so far apart evolutionarily (Bennett et al., 2000). We have revealed at least 18 putative genes involved in the poinsettia secondary abscission process, many of which are expressed differentially also for primary abscission in peas. Earlier studies have tried to unravel the primary abscission process on a broader level using *Arabidopsis* as model (Wang et al., 2006), recently also González-Carranza et al. (2012).

Abscission is a complex process to unravel and we have compared poinsettia abscission with abscission-less (AZL) mutants first in *Arabidopsis*, with the interesting gene *inflorescence deficient in abscission* (ida) T-DNA mutant, identified as a novel putative ligand in plants (Butenko et al.,...
2003). The 35S:IDA line overexpressing IDA results in earlier abscission of floral organs and additionally abscission of organs that are not normally shed, like the whole silique. There is evidence that IDA encodes for arabinogalactan (Stenvik et al., 2006), a protein also found in naturally abscission surfaces. In our hands, poinsettia does not have any ida or ida-like genes. Although similar abscission processes take place in both species, the organs that abscise are not the same, since Arabidopsis sheds its petals, while poinsettia absolves the whole floral organ by developing an AZ on the pedicel. In Arabidopsis the pedicels do not abscise and there is only a rudiment of an AZ left at the base of the pedicels in this species, showing that AZ in Arabidopsis petals are primary (pre-defined). When we failed to find ida in poinsettia, we turned to the AZL def mutants in pea (Ayeh et al., 2011). The pea system demonstrated expression of highly conserved poinsettia sequences involved in pea abscission. Although poinsettia and pea are distantly related and the sequence homology is not expected to be high, we used our poinsettia in situ probes on pea def mutant tissue and obtained positive hybridization results (Ayeh, 2008).

Gene Sequences in Common for Pea and Poinsettia during Abscission

We will briefly discuss the genes with sequences common to both poinsettia and pea abscission, i.e., common for primary and secondary abscission in our models. The potentially most important gene sequence could be 90a (XM_011094475/AY188755). This riboprobe is only expressed in the wild type pea, and is upregulated during the first 2 days after poinsettia decapitation. This is a strong indication that this gene is necessary for abscission to take place, both in pea and poinsettia. Blast search revealed that 90a is close to an accession encoding an organ-specific protein (XM_011094475). Additional information, from the Blastx we did in 2012, gave a high similarity also to an atypical receptor-like kinase (AY188755). Since this is such a prominent gene sequence in our results, with a possibility that this may be one of the genes controlling abscission in plants, it would be very interesting to follow up on this.

DD 38b is a riboprobe expressed from the start of the abscission process and then downregulated from Day 3 in poinsettia. It is also expressed in the non-abscising mutants of pea. This suggests that this gene could be prohibiting abscission from taking place and the early expression pattern suggests a putative equally important, but opposite role as 90a in starting the cascade of events leading to abscission.

DD 6a (description Photosystem II subunit X) is found in both poinsettia and pea. Liao and Burns (2012) have found that Photosystem II subunit Q, X, P, and K had a two-fold increase in huanglongbing (HLB)-infected Citrus sinensis trees, where this infection was highly associated with both leaf and fruit abscission. They speculate whether this could be due to a breakdown of the photosynthetic apparatus since phloem plugging leads to starch accumulation in the leaves. In poinsettia, this gene is upregulated in Day 1 (after decapitation), before any visible signs of the AZ. In an AZ there is certainly a stop in the transport of photosynthetic products as the detachment is starting from the outside of the pedicel and moves inwards as the abscission process progresses during the week from decapitation to detachment, as can be seen in Figure 2, however, this is not happening until Days 4–6. Our results suggest that it is the gene for Photosystem II subunit X which is shutting down photosynthesis as an early event, and then there is a breakdown of the transport of sugars, causing the pedicel to start to yellow and senesce after another 2–4 days.

DD # 084 is annotated to Opie3 pol protein found in Zea mays. It is a receptor kinase, belonging to a large gene family in plants with more than 600 members in Arabidopsis. This gene family is said to be involved in a broad range of developmental processes, including abscission (Yu et al., 2003).

DD # 220 has a high similarity (81% and 2e-26) to a putative transcription factor from R. communis, also belonging to the Euphorbiaceae family, making this similarity even more likely. It has a strong upregulation in Day 2 and may be another putative key regulator. Transcription factors are often the key to the whole cascade of events for such complicated processes as abscission. As yet, we do not know which transcription factor it could be.

DD # 6a is expressed all through the abscission event, but is at its strongest upregulated on Day 1 of all the riboprobes, except # 82a, which also has its peak on Day 1. This is very early on, only 24 h after decapitation. It resembles a UVB-repressible protein from Trifolium pretense. This may have implications for the handling of stress in plants, as it is well-known that UVB induces stress and DNA damage in organisms.

DD # 25a is barely expressed on the day of decapitation, but expression increases strongly the day after. It resembles a gene from an unknown mitochondrial region in Citrullus lanatus. Very hard to say anything more on what this may imply, but the sequence being expressed in both poinsettia and wild type pea, suggests a closer look at the function.

A Proposed New Phase Division for Secondary Abscission

The pioneers of using the model system in Arabidopsis for studying abscission (Bleecker and Patterson, 1997), proposed to divide the abscission process into phases. They described this very well for primary abscission in Arabidopsis. However, we find that the development and morphology during induced secondary abscission in poinsettia needs to be divided with a higher resolution. We describe in a previous study the sequential transformations in the detection of cell wall polysaccharides during this induced abscission event (Lee et al., 2008) in the poinsettia model system. Based upon these results, as well as the present results on gene expression and the sequence of events here, we propose six phases: from Phase 0 to Phase V (Table 3). The induction phase (phase 0) is the induction and localization of the secondary abscission zone position, the following phase I is the where the cells become receptive to the signaling of the onset of the abscission process and where we observe the first biochemical changes (Lee et al., 2008). Phase II is characterized by cell divisions and the onset of rounded cells and continued biochemical changes, as can be seen by color changes in the distal part of the pedicel. Major biochemical restructuring characterize Phase III, where the ring marking the AZ is clearly visible and the analysis show de-esterification of homogalacturonan and the breakdown of cellulose, arabinose and other pectins preparing
for the separation of the organ (Lee et al., 2008). Following the previously described breakdowns, the cell walls are enriched with xylan and lignin, probably to seal off the AZ once the distal part has been removed. We propose to call this Phase IV. Finally, Phase V represents the detachment of the organ. We have related the annotated gene sequences below to these proposed, more detailed phases of abscission.

**Annotated Gene Sequences Upregulated at the Onset (Phase I)**

The genes differentially regulated just after the time of decapitation are putatively the key regulators of abscission. Naturally, these are of utmost interest. Main indications from the gene expression analysis (DD + qRT-PCR + RNA in situ) gives the following **zone specific regulations:** The energy state is especially upregulated on Day 1 and 2, indicated by several hits on photosystem II (XP_007012396 and XM_002512633 (fk506-binding protein)) and mitochondrial genome (GQ856147 and HQ874649) related genes/proteins. Protein metabolism is specifically upregulated during Day 2 and 3, indicated by hits on a transcription factor (XM_002528833), transportRNA (AY794600), translation initiation (XM_002532624), and RNA binding protein (XM_002512412) related genes/proteins. There is initially (Days 0–2) an increase in cell divisions going on, but this is followed by downregulation as indicated by Histone deacetylase (XM_002511291) and α-tubulin (FJ228477). All these up-and downregulations are as expected from previous observations in the microscope on cells changing shape as the ordinary pedicel cells are initiated to form AZ cells, which are smaller, more dense and less vacuolated (Figure 3).

From **Tables 1, 2**, Day 2 is regulatory very important, where overall central proteins (strongly upregulated) can be the proteasome-like genes upregulated Day 2 (XM_012216503 and XM_002305663). We also find the V-ATPase G subunit 1 (XM_010526013) really strongly upregulated on Day 2. Burr et al. (2011) support that V-ATPase is associated with abscission in *Arabidopsis*.

Another strong upregulation is the Atypical receptor-like kinase from *Z. mays* (AY188755). Receptor-like kinases regulate a range of signaling pathways, many of which have been shown to be involved in abscission, as reviewed by Taylor and Whitelaw (2001). Since then, numerous groups have shown the importance of receptor-like kinases in abscission, examples can be: in *Arabidopsis* (Wagner and Kohorn, 2001; Butenko et al., 2003; Diévat and Clark, 2003; Cai and Lashbrook, 2008; Cho et al., 2008; Liljegren et al., 2009; González-Carranza et al., 2012) and in tomato (van der Hoorn et al., 2005).

We had another hit for an Organ-specific protein from *Sesamum indicum*. This up-regulation is extreme and it is bound to be of importance. This is one of the common genes for poinsettia and peas described earlier (90a) and hence extremely interesting.

A much better described hit is the Lysine-specific histone demethylase 1-1 (Table 2) from *Arabidopsis* (DD # 133a). This enzyme is closely associated with the gene Flowering Time Locus (FLC), which is in the core of events for *Arabidopsis* flowering in the complex pathway involving particularly temperature and day length. The enzyme is involved in H3K4 methylation of target genes, including FLC and FWA. We also had a predicted hit ($e = 0.0$) with *Prunus mume* with the same enzyme, confirming it.

**Annotated Gene Sequences Downregulated at the Onset (Phase I)**

The downregulated proteins can be equally important, such as Cytochrome f subunit (PetA; YP_002720125). Cytochrome F is a crucial component of the photosynthetic electron transport chain of higher plants. The subunit PetA is one of four major subunits. It seems like photosynthesis is restricted already after Day 0, the day of decapitation. This makes sense as the bud has started on its road to doom, and no longer needs to spend energy on photosynthesis. The yellowing of the pedicels become visible around Days 3–4 and could be due to the downregulation of this gene.

Another downregulated gene (DD #103) codes for CASP-like protein (XM_012213718). Roppolo et al. (2014) recently reported on AtCASPL1D2, a gene encoding a CASP-like protein expressed in the AZ of *Arabidopsis*. Another gene, AtCASPL2A2 is reported to be expressed in the floral organ abscission zone (González-Carranza et al., 2012). Roppolo et al. (2014) states that: “AtCASPL5C3 is expressed in the floral organ abscission zone as well, but its early expression in floral buds precedes the activation of the abscission zone and the expression of most of the genes known to be involved in floral organ shedding.” This supports our hit on XM_012213718 from *Jatropha curcas* (in the same family as poinsettia, *Euphorbiaceae*) as important for organ abscission and the sequence of events precedes the activation of the AZ. We deduce from this that CASP-like proteins may be important for the AZ to be able to be activated, a prerequisite for abscission, perhaps.

The combination of protein biosynthesis and degradation suggests a protein change context during the early steps of poinsettia pedicel leaf abscission. (Agusti et al., 2012) found expression in the lateral abscission zone for three putative E2 ligase proteins. Uridylate kinase (XM_002510884) is an enzyme in pyrimidin metabolism. The Unknown protein (CP011890) DD # 45c has a very strong downregulation.

The last sequence downregulated is a riboprobe with resemblance to the V-SNARE proteins (DD # 108, from Table 2). These are proteins involved in membrane assembly and hence important in growth and development. Table 2 shows that this gene is downregulated just after Day 0, which is a remarkable quick response to decapitation.

**Other Annotated Gene Sequences Involved during Later Phases II-V**

There is much evidence that glucanases are involved in the cell wall degradation necessary to break down the middle lamella and enable cell separation (Roberts et al., 2002). It is, therefore, hardly surprising to find Glucan endo-1,3-β-D-glucosidase and a Putative β-glucosidase (DD # 82a) popping up in the DD analysis. The putative Putative β-glucosidase (DD # 82a) is upregulated on Days 1–2, very early on, possibly to start the dehision of the cells. Then, there is a downregulation for the phases II-IV, before the
The hits for genes associated with endoreduplication (DD # 136b—fk506-protein and DD #3a—α-tubulin) are of particular interest. Endoreduplication is the process of preparation for cell division with the division of nuclei, but without the follow-up of a cell division. The results are cells with multiple nuclei, which is known to result in the secondary abscission event resulting in a temporal sequence of events in poinsettia indicate that the induction of a cell division with the division of nuclei, but without the follow-up of a cell division. The results are cells with multiple nuclei, which is known to result in the secondary abscission event. The apparent absence of (1→4)-β-D-galactan and (1→5)-α-L-arabinan epitopes in the AZ of mature Def wild type seeds may reveal the involvement of the action of hydroxyl ions (OH−) produced by peroxidases (Cosgrove, 2005) which is known to cleave wall polysaccharides. In def mutant pea seeds, the absence of an abscission event at the seed/funiculus junction may be due to a structural defect in forming the AZ rather than changes in the cell wall epitopes (Ayeh, 2008).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The majority of the significant differentially expressed genes were upregulated during the first or second day after the induction of abscission. At this stage, it is not possible to see any changes in the anatomy of the pedicel or any color changes in poinsettia. We have demonstrated that poinsettia and peas share at least six genes involved in abscission, two at the onset, two in phase II-III and the last two genes allocated to phase VI-V. It would be of great interest to use our two systems to find key regulatory gene(s) for abscission conserved throughout the plant kingdom. A universal abscission induction signal for AZ now seems more likely to find, since the six genes involved in abscission of both poinsettia and pea must be highly conserved during evolution. Further studies on our sequence data might reveal key regulatory genes, as well as more genes involved in the complicated abscission process for the plant kingdom.
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