Primary cilia and the exocyst are linked to urinary extracellular vesicle production and content

Xiaofeng Zuo1, Sang-Ho Kwon2, Michael G. Janech3, Yujing Dang1, Steven D. Lauzon4, Ben Fogelgren5, Noemi Polgar5, and Joshua H. Lipschutz1,6*

1Department of Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, 29425, USA.
2Department of Cellular Biology and Anatomy, Augusta University, Augusta, GA, 30912, USA.
3Department of Biology, College of Charleston, Charleston, 29424, SC, USA.
4 Department of Public Health Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, 29425, USA.
5Department of Anatomy, Biochemistry, and Physiology, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI 96813, USA.
6Department of Medicine, Ralph H. Johnson Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Charleston SC, 29425, USA.

Running title: Cilia and exocyst in extracellular vesicles

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Joshua H. Lipschutz M.D., Professor of Medicine, Renal Division Director, and Arthur V. Williams Chair, Medical University of South Carolina, 96 Jonathan Lucas Street, CSB 829, Charleston, SC 29425, phone: 843-792-7659 fax: 843-792-8399, e-mail: Lipschut@musc.edu

Keywords: extracellular vesicle, exocyst, GTPase, protein trafficking, exocyst complex, component 5 (EXOC5), ADP ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6), EPS8 like 2 (EPS8L2), primary cilia, ciliopathy.

ABSTRACT
The recently proposed idea of “urocrine signaling” hypothesizes that small secreted extracellular vesicles (EVs) contain proteins that transmit signals to distant cells. However, the role of renal primary cilia in EV production and content is unclear. We previously showed that the exocyst, a highly conserved trafficking complex, is necessary for ciliogenesis; that it is present in human urinary EVs; that knockdown (KD) of exocyst complex component 5 (EXOC5), a central exocyst component, results in very short or absent cilia; and that human EXOC5 overexpression results in longer cilia. Here, we show that compared with control Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, EXOC5 overexpression increases and KD decreases EV numbers. Proteomic analyses of isolated EVs from EXOC5 control, KD, and EXOC5-overexpressing MDCK cells revealed significant alterations in protein composition. Using immunoblotting to specifically examine the expression levels of ARF6 and EPS8L2 in EVs, we found that EXOC5 KD increases ARF6 levels and decreases EPS8L2 levels, and that EXOC5 overexpression increases EPS8L2. Knockout of intraflagellar transport 88 (IFT88) confirmed that the changes in EV number/content were due to cilia loss: similar to EXOC5, the IFT88 loss resulted in very short or absent cilia, decreased EV numbers, increased EV ARF6.
levels and decreased Eps8L2 levels compared with IFT88-rescued EVs. Compared with control animals, urine from proximal tubule-specific EXOC5-KO mice contained fewer EVs and had increased ARF6 levels. These results indicate that perturbations in exocyst and primary cilia affect EV number and protein content.

INTRODUCTION

Primary cilia, found at the surface of many cell types, are sensory organelles known to perceive chemical (e.g. Hedgehog) and mechanical (e.g. fluid flow) signals. Defects in primary cilia lead to a number of human diseases termed ciliopathies. Ciliopathies can affect the kidney, where mutations that lead to disruption of ciliary structure and/or function result in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD), autosomal recessive PKD (ARPKD), and nephronophthisis, which are caused by mutations in the ciliary proteins polycystin-1 (1-3), polycystin-2 (3,4), fibrocystin (5-7), and nephrocystins (8), respectively. Cystic overgrowth in PKD leads to destruction of the kidney architecture and renal failure (9). Although PKD is the fourth leading cause of end-stage kidney disease (ESKD), accounting for ~5% of all ESKD cases in the U.S. (10), the molecular mechanisms linking ciliary mutations to the cystic phenotype remain unclear.

Small membrane-bound EVs released via multivesicular bodies into the extracellular environment (called exosomes) (11) mediate cell-cell communication and affect signal transduction in recipient cells in both normal and pathological conditions. For example, platelet-derived exosomes regulate coating events (12); exosomes from intestinal epithelia activate the mucosal system (13); while tumor-derived exosomes transfer oncogenic receptors to receiving cells (14). In the kidney, and other organs, exosomes have also been suggested to carry disease-specific biomarkers (e.g. for acute kidney injury, chronic kidney disease, podocyte injury, cancers, and PKD (15-18)).

Over the past several years, EVs have been shown to be released from flagella and cilia (termed ectosomes). The unicellular alga *Chlamydomonas* achieves timely degradation of its mother cell wall, a type of extracellular matrix, through the budding of EVs containing a proteolytic enzyme directly from the membranes of its flagella (19). Another study showed that *C. elegans* ciliated sensory neurons shed and release EVs containing polyeystins LOV-1, the PKD-1 *C. elegans* ortholog (20), and PKD-2, and that these EVs were abundant in the lumen surrounding the cilium (21). Furthermore, electron microscopy (EM) and genetic analysis indicated that EV biogenesis occurred via budding from the plasma membrane at the ciliary base, and not via fusion of multivesicular bodies, and that intraflagellar transport and the ciliary protein KLP-6 were required for release of PKD-2-containing EVs. The EVs isolated from wild-type animals induced male tail-chasing behavior, while EVs isolated from klp-6 mutant animals lacking PKD-2 did not, indicating that environmentally released EVs play a role in communication and mating-related behaviors (21). Finally, it was recently shown, in murine inner medullary collecting duct (IMCD3) kidney cells, that, when activated, G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) fail to undergo retrieval from cilia back into the cell. These GPCRs concentrate into membranous buds at the tips of cilia before release into ectosomes, and hedgehog-dependent ectocytosis regulates ciliary signaling (22). Given the growing evidence of the existence and biological importance of ectosomes, the question of how they are regulated within the cell arises. We hypothesize that the exocyst complex and primary cilia play a critical role in their regulation.
The exocyst is a ~750 kDa octameric protein complex initially identified in *S. cerevisiae*, and is highly-conserved from yeast to mammals (23,24). The mammalian exocyst is comprised of Exoc1-8 (previously known as Sec3, Sec5, Sec6, Sec8, Sec10, Sec15, Exo70, and Exo84) (23) and is best known for its role in targeting and docking vesicles carrying membrane proteins from the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (25). Importantly, we showed in renal tubule cells that exocyst components are localized to primary cilia (26), that the exocyst is required for ciliogenesis (27), that Exoc5-containing vesicles are seen by EM gold microscopy at the tip and sides of primary cilia (27) (Supplementary Fig. 1), that the exocyst genetically interacts with polycystin-2 in zebrafish (28,29), and that kidney-specific knockout of Exoc5 leads to renal cystogenesis (30,31). We and others have shown that the exocyst regulates the MAPK pathway via EGFR (29,32,33). Most recently, by mutating the VxPx ciliary targeting sequence in Exoc5, we confirmed that the ciliary function of the exocyst is responsible for the phenotypic changes following Exoc5 KD/KO (34). Mutations in an exocyst protein were shown to cause Joubert Syndrome, a nephronophthisis form of PKD, in a human family (35). EVs carry many cilia-specific membrane proteins, including the exocyst, regulators of the exocyst (e.g. CDC42, polycystin-2, the protein product of *PKD2*, and as well as ciliary membrane proteins such as Smoothened (36). As noted, we have also shown, using EM (27,36), that cilia interact with EVs. Thus, a link between the exocyst, primary cilia, and cystic kidney disease has been established.

Given that understanding the mechanisms that mediate cilia/EV interactions could be critical to elucidating the biology linking cilia to renal disease, especially PKD, we explored the link between renal primary cilia, urinary EVs, and the exocyst. Here, we show that inhibiting ciliogenesis by Exoc5 knockdown and intraflagellar transport protein 88 (Ift88) knockout leads to a significant decrease in EV number and a change in protein content. EXOC5 overexpression, on the other hand, leads to an increase in EV number, as well as a change in EV protein content. Rescue of the cilia phenotype in Ift88 KO cells with exogenous Ift88 reverses these changes.

**RESULTS**

**EV number is changed following Exoc5 perturbation.** To determine how loss of cilia changes the number and/or composition of EVs, 1.0 x 10^5 cells of Exoc5 OE, Exoc5 KD, and control Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were seeded in 12-well Transwell dishes and grown for 10 days with exosome-free medium changed daily. The conditioned media was collected 24 hours after the final media change. Following harvesting of the medium, purification of the EVs was achieved by a series of ultracentrifugation steps as described in the Methods. The nanoparticle tracking analysis was performed with the ZetaView Nanoparticle Tracking Analyzer using the settings described in the Methods. The ZetaView analysis showed that media from all the cellular conditions (EXOC5 OE, Exoc5 KD, and control MDCK cells) yielded 50-150 nm EVs (Fig. 1A). The number of EVs per mL produced by the Exoc5 KD cells was significantly less, while the number of EVs per mL was significantly greater for the EXOC5 OE cells, compared to control MDCK cells (Fig. 1B). Using electron microscopy, we confirmed the 50-150 nm size of the EVs (Fig. 1C).

**Proteomic analysis of EV proteins following Exoc5 perturbation.** To study how Exoc5 changes the composition of EVs, we grew large amounts (nine 15 cm plastic dishes for each replicate) of EXOC5 OE, Exoc5 KD,
and control MDCK cells in MEM media supplemented with 5% exosome-free FBS, and collected protein lysate for mass spectrometry, which was performed as described in the Methods.

**Determination of the protein composition of extracellular vesicles following Exoc5 perturbation.** Mass spectrometry was performed as described in the Methods. We found that Exoc5 perturbation significantly affected the protein composition of EVs as can be seen by the complete segregation of samples in the dendrogram (Fig. 2A), principal components analysis (Fig. 2B), and heat map of differentially expressed proteins (Fig. 2C). The proteomic data were deposited in the ProteomeXchange Database. The accession number is PXD013549.

**Confirmation of the proteomic results using Western blot.** To confirm the mass spectrometry results, we performed Western blot analysis on two representative proteins that were interesting candidates based on the literature. We chose to investigate ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (Arf6), a small GTPase that has been shown to regulate Exoc5 (37). We also focused on the epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 (Eps8L2), and Erk, as we, and others, have shown that the exocyst regulates the MAPK pathway via EGFR (29,32,33). Importantly, Arf6 and Eps8 (though not Eps8L2) have previously been found in human urinary EVs (38), and in primary cilia (39). We grew Exoc5 KD, EXOC5 OE, and control MDCK cells, and isolated EVs using ultracentrifugation as described in the Methods. Western blot of lysate from the EVs, showed that Exoc5 was virtually absent in Exoc5 KD cell EVs, and significantly increased in EXOC5 OE cell EVs, compared to EVs from control MDCK cells (Figs. 3A,B). As would be expected from our previous results showing loss of Exoc4 with KD of Exoc5 (27), we found significantly less Exoc4 in EVs from Exoc5 KD cells (all Western blot results were measured in normalized arbitrary units), compared to EVs from control MDCK cells. Similar to the mass spectrometry results (Exoc5 KD: 8 +/- 1; EXOC5 OE: 6 +/- 0; MDCK WT: 4 +/- 3.5) there was significantly more Arf6 in EVs from Exoc5 KD cells, compared to EVs from control MDCK and EXOC5 OE cells, and no significant difference in Arf6 between EVs from EXOC5 OE and MDCK WT cells. Also similar to the mass spectrometry results (Exoc5 KD: 0 +/- 0; EXOC5 OE: 33 +/- 11.5; MDCK WT: 9.3 +/- 3.5), there was significantly less Eps8L2 in EVs from Exoc5 KD cells, compared to EVs from control MDCK and EXOC5 OE cells, and more Eps8L2 in EVs from EXOC5 OE compared to MDCK WT cells (Figs. 3A,B). Finally, similar to our previous results showing that Exoc5 inhibition increases phosphorylated (active) Erk (pErk) (29), we found that Exoc5 KD increased pErk, while EXOC5 OE decreased pErk, compared to MDCK control cell EVs (Figs. 3A,B).

We also used a second EV isolation method, the Total Exosome Isolation Kit (Invitrogen), and found similar results: 1) Significantly increased levels of Exoc5 in EVs from EXOC5 OE, and decreased levels of Exoc5 in EVs from Exoc5 KD, compared to EVs from control MDCK cells, 2) Significantly less Eps8L2 in EVs from Exoc5 KD cells, compared to EVs from control MDCK and EXOC5 OE cells, and more Eps8L2 in EVs from EXOC5 OE compared to MDCK WT cells, and 3) Significantly more Arf6 in EVs from Exoc5 KD cells, compared to EVs from control MDCK and EXOC5 OE cells, and no significant difference in Arf6 between EVs from EXOC5 OE and MDCK WT cells (Supplementary Fig. 2). Importantly, there were no differences in Arf6 and Eps8L2 levels in
whole cell lysate from Exoc5 OE, KD, and control MDCK cells (Supplementary Fig. 3). The Arf6 and Eps8L2 levels in EVs seen by Western blot using both isolation methods were similar to what we found using mass spectrometry, thereby supporting the validity of the proteomics results.

Investigation of a second cell line lacking primary cilia. Given that the exocyst has been shown by us and others to perform multiple cellular functions (40-43), to confirm that our EV results were a cilia-related effect, we grew stable Ift88 KO and rescue cells (44) as described in the Methods. We first confirmed that the Ift88 cells lacked cilia, while Ift88 rescue cells have primary cilia (Fig. 4A). We then confirmed by Western blot that the Ift88 KO cells contained no Ift88 protein, and that the Ift88 rescue cells had Ift88 (Fig. 4B). The Ift88 KO and rescue cells were then grown to 100% confluency, and the media collected five days later, with the media changed every two days. The conditioned media was collected 24 hours after the final media change, and EVs purified using ultracentrifugation as described in the Methods. Similar to Exoc5 KD MDCK cells, there were significantly less EVs per cell produced by the Ift88 KO cells, as compared to the Ift88 rescue cells (Fig. 4C). Analogous to what we found following perturbation of Exoc5, we found significantly more Arf6 in EVs from Ift88 KO cells, compared to EVs from Ift88 rescue cells, and less Eps8L2 in Ift88 KO cells, compared to Ift88 rescue cells. We also found increased pErk in EVs from Ift88 KO cells compared to EVs from Ift88 rescue cells (Fig. 4D).

Overexpression of EXOC5 in the Ift88 KO cells did not change the number of EVs produced (Supplementary Fig. 4), indicating that the decrease in EV production in Ift88 KO and Exoc5 KD cells was related to loss of primary cilia, and not parallel processes.

Determination of Arf6 levels in EVs from the urine of proximal tubule-specific Exoc5 knockout mice. To determine if similar events occurred in vivo following loss of Exoc5, we generated proximal tubule-specific knockout mice by crossing our tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl mice (30) with SLC34A-CreERT2 mice, which express Cre in the S1, S2, and part of the S3 segments of the proximal tubule when induced with tamoxifen (45). We first generated a male SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/+ mice, and backcrossed this mouse against the tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl female mouse. In the first litter we obtained a target SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl mouse and a tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl control mouse, which was determined by genotyping at 21 days after birth using PCR. At age 7 weeks, we injected tamoxifen intraperitoneally for three days in a row into the Exoc5fl/fl control and SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl target mice, and collected urine using metabolic cages. Following urine collection, the mice were sacrificed. tdTomato has a lox-stop-lox cassette surrounding the Tomato reporter, allowing us to confirm Exoc5 knockout in the SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl mouse by tdTomato expression (red color, Fig. 5A) (46). Identical settings on the fluorescence microscope were used and no tdTomato expression was seen in the kidneys of the tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl control and SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl target mice, and collected urine using metabolic cages. Following urine collection, the mice were sacrificed. tdTomato has a lox-stop-lox cassette surrounding the Tomato reporter, allowing us to confirm Exoc5 knockout in the SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl mouse by tdTomato expression (red color, Fig. 5A) (46). Identical settings on the fluorescence microscope were used and no tdTomato expression was seen in the kidneys of the tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl mouse (Fig. 5A). Proximal tubule-specific KO was confirmed by co-expression of the tdTomato reporter and proximal tubule-specific *Lotus tetragonolobus* agglutinin marker (Fig. 5B). EVs were isolated from the urine by ultracentrifugation, and Western blot was performed. Similar to the cell culture results, significantly more Arf6 was seen in EVs from the target SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl, compared to the control tdTom-
Exoc5fl/fl, mice (Fig. 5C). Also, similar to the cell culture results, pErk was significantly increased in EVs from the SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl target, compared to the control tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl, mouse. Unlike the cell culture results, we did not see a difference in the level of Eps8L2 in EVs from the target SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl, compared to the control tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl, mouse. EVs in the urine likely come from all tubular segments, not just the proximal tubules where Exoc5 was deleted, which could explain the differences in the in vivo and in vitro results.

DISCUSSION

We report here five principal findings, all of which are important for our understanding of urinary EV production. First, we show that primary cilia are necessary for the production/release of a significant subset of 50-150 nm EVs in renal tubular cells. Primary cilia are absent in Exoc5 KD cells, and longer cilia are found in EXOC5 OE cells (27). Primary cilia are also absent in Ift88 KO cells, and present in Ift88 rescue cells (44). In both Exoc5 KD and Ift88 KO cells, there were ~60% less EVs produced than in their respective controls. This is remarkable in that the primary ciliary membrane accounts for only ~0.2% of the total cell membrane (47). Furthermore, the number of EVs produced correlated with the length of the primary cilia with EXOC5 OE cells producing more EVs, and Exoc5 KD cells producing less EVs, compared to control MDCK cells.

Second, depending on the length of primary cilia, the content of the EVs was also different, as determined by mass spectrometry and Western blot analyses. This is seen on the dendrogram as complete segregation of protein content in EVs from EXOC5 OE (longer cilia), Exoc5 KD (short or absent cilia), and control MDCK cells. Western blot confirmation of the mass spectrometry results was performed for two proteins, Arf6 and Eps8L2. Similar to Exoc5 KD cells, there was more Arf6, and less Eps8L2, found in the EVs from Ift88 KO cells, compared to Ift88 rescue cells. Finally, there was more Arf6 in EVs from the urine of a homozygous proximal tubule-specific Exoc5 KO compared to a littermate control mouse. A possible explanation is that Arf6 is packaged in exosomes that are secreted via multivesicular bodies, and this is independent of the ectosomes secreted from primary cilia. With loss of cilia, exosomes become a higher percentage of the EV population and, therefore, there are greater levels of Arf6 seen.

Third, we show that the exocyst is likely to be specifically involved in ciliary EV generation. There is a question of how the exocyst can be involved in so many different cellular processes. We, and others, have shown that the exocyst is found in most cell types and is involved in a wide variety of cellular processes, including: vesicular transport to the basolateral membrane (40,41), primary ciliogenesis (27,29,46), protein synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum (42,43), and post-endocytic recycling (37). We and others have also shown that small GTPases from the Rab (48), Arf (37,49), Rho (28,50,51), and Ral (52-55) families regulate the exocyst. We hypothesize that the many small GTPases, found at different locations in the cell, give the exocyst specificity of function. We have shown using cell culture, zebrafish, and kidney-specific knockout in mice that Cdc42 is found at the primary cilium and regulates the exocyst (28). Likewise, Tuba, a ciliary Cdc42 guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), regulates the exocyst and is also necessary for ciliogenesis, cystogenesis, and tubulogenesis (56-58). We have similarly shown that Arl13b, a ciliary Arf family GTPase, regulates the exocyst (49). The fact that multiple small GTPases regulate the exocyst at the primary cilium, suggests that the
exocyst, in addition to trafficking vesicles to the primary cilium, may have other function(s) in the primary cilium. One of these functions may be the secretion and/or retrieval of EVs. If the exocyst were only involved in trafficking vesicles carrying proteins necessary for ciliogenesis, one would expect to find it only at the base of the primary cilium; instead, we have shown that Exoc4 and 5 localize not only to the base of primary cilia, but all along the primary cilium and, indeed, in cilia-interacting EVs (27, 36). Additionally, we found that all eight members of the exocyst complex, as well as many regulatory GTPases, including Arf6, are present in human urinary EVs (36).

Fourth, we link the exocyst and EVs to Arf6, which has been shown to regulate the exocyst through Exoc5 to control post-endocytic recycling (37), a process that may also be involved in EV generation/retrieval. Arf6 and the exocyst have also been found in urinary EVs (38, 59). Indeed, we have previously shown that: 1) Arl13b, another Arf family member, in its GTP form, regulates the exocyst; 2) arl13b and cdc42 genetically interact in zebrafish, and 3) knockout of Arl13b in mice leads to renal cystogenesis (49). Renal cystogenesis is also seen in kidney-specific Exoc5 knockout mice surviving for 30 days (30, 31).

Finally, we show that loss of Exoc5 results in phosphorylated (active) Erk being found in EVs. This is concordant with our previous studies in which we found that loss of exoc5 in zebrafish leads to increased pErk (29). We and others have also shown that the exocyst regulates the MAPK pathway via EGFR (29, 32, 33). Eps8L2 may be involved in this regulation, though it would likely be an inhibitor of the pathway, as Exoc5 KD, and Ift88 KO, lead to increased pERK and decreased Eps8L2.

In summary, we show here that the primary cilia and the exocyst are involved in EV generation in mammalian renal cells, that the exocyst, Arf6, and Eps8L2 may interact in EV generation (and possibly retrieval), and that the MAPK pathway is likely to be centrally involved in EV generation. These data, combined with the growing experimental evidence suggesting a biologically relevant role for cilia/EV interactions, supports a model whereby the exocyst is centrally involved in the regulation of cilia/EV interactions via Arf6 (Fig. 6). The fact that the exocyst complex is required for normal ciliogenesis (27), and that an exocyst mutation results in the Joubert nephronophthisis form of PKD in a human family (35), demonstrates the central role of the exocyst in regulating normal and pathogenic ciliogenesis, which now may also include cilia/EV interactions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal study approval—All animal studies were conducted per the protocols approved by the Medical University of South Carolina and/or Ralph H. Johnson VAMC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, and NIH guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Treatment of mice, including housing, injections, and surgery was in accordance with the institutional guidelines.

Cell Culture—Type II Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were used between passages 3 and 10. These cells were originally cloned by Dr. D. Louvard (European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany) and came to us via Dr. K. Mostov who obtained them from Dr. K. Matlin (University of Chicago, Chicago, IL). We previously generated myc-tagged-human EXOC5 OE (41) and Exoc5 KD cells (27) from parent MDCK cells. All of these cell lines were cultured in modified Eagle’s minimal essential medium containing Earl’s balanced salt solution and glutamine supplemented with 5% exosome-free FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml
streptomycin. Mouse Ift88 KO and rescue cells were obtained from the NIH P30 University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) Hepatorenal Fibrocystic Diseases Core Center (HRFDCC). These cells were cultured in CD media (DMEM/F-12, 10% exosome-free FBS, 1.3 μg/l sodium selenite, 1.3 μg/l 3,3',5-triiodo-thyronine, 5 mg/l insulin, 5 mg/l transferrin, 2.5 mM glutamine, 5 μM dexamethasone, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin, 10 U/ml interferon-γ) at 33°C and 5% CO₂.

**EV isolation**—For nanoparticle tracking analysis, 1.0x10⁵ cells of Exoc5 OE, Exoc5 KD, and control MDCK cells were seeded in 12-well Transwell dishes and grown for 10 days with the medium changed daily. For proteomic analysis, we grew large amounts (nine 15 cm plastic dishes for each replicate) of EXOC5 OE, Exoc5 KD, and control MDCK cells. Cells were grown to 100% confluency, and conditioned media was harvested five days later, with the media changed every two days.

The conditioned media was collected 24 hours after the final media change. Purification of the EVs was achieved by first centrifuging the conditioned media at 3,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C to remove large debris, and then collecting the supernatant. The supernatant was centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 40 minutes at 4°C, and the remaining supernatant was again collected. The final supernatant was centrifuged at 143,000 x g for 70 minutes at 4°C to obtain the EV pellet, which was washed with PBS to eliminate contaminating proteins, and centrifuged one last time at 143,000 x g for 70 minutes at 4°C. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 150 μL PBS.

For the second EV isolation method EXOC5 OE, Exoc5 KD, and control MDCK cells were grown to confluence on plastic culture dishes in exosome-free medium, which was changed daily, for five days. The conditioned medium was collected and used to isolate EVs with the Total Exosome Isolation Kit (Invitrogen, cat# 4478359) per the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Proteomic analysis of EV proteins by mass spectrometry**—To determine how Exoc5 changes the composition of EVs, samples (20 μg protein) for each condition (EXOC5 OE, Exoc5 KD, and control MDCK cells—all in triplicate) were sequentially digested with LysC (1:100) and Trypsin (1:20) in sodium deoxycholate (60). Peptides were desalted with solid phase chromatography cartridges (Strata-x, Phenomenex). Peptides were resuspended in 0.1% formic acid and equal amounts (2μg) were separated with a linear gradient of 5–50% buffer B (95% ACN and 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 200 nL/min on a C18-reversed phase column packed in-house with ReproSil-Pur, 120 C18-AQ, 1.9μm resin. A Dionex U3000 nano-LC chromatography system (Thermo Scientific) was on-line coupled to the Orbitrap Elite instrument (Thermo Scientific). Mass spectrometry data were acquired using a data-dependent strategy in the survey scan (400–1700 Th). The resolution of the survey scan was ~60,000 at m/z at 400 Th with a target value of 1e6 ions. Low resolution CID MS/MS spectra were acquired in the linear ion trap in normal CID scan mode. The maximum injection time for MS/MS was 100 ms. Dynamic exclusion was 120 seconds and early expiration was enabled. The isolation window for MS/MS fragmentation was set to 2 Th.

**Determination of the protein composition of extracellular vesicles following Exoc5 perturbation**—The raw mass spectrometry data were converted to .mgf files within Proteome Discoverer 1.4. Peptide data were searched using MASCOT (v2.4) against the NCBI Canine proteome (NCBI annotation release 104), and a common repository of adventitious proteins, which also included green fluorescent protein (GFP).
Carbamidomethyl modification was fixed for cysteine. Oxidation of methionine and peptide N-terminal pyroglutamate were selected as variable modifications. Parent ion mass tolerance was set to 30 ppm and fragment ion mass tolerance was set to 0.25 Da. Search data was imported to Scaffold Q+S and false discovery rate criteria (61) were applied at a level of 1% for both peptide and protein level assignments. Count data was analyzed using DEseq2 (62,63). Fold-change (FC) estimation and hypothesis testing for differential expression of proteins/peptides was performed using the DESeq2 Bioconductor library (62-64). The false discovery rate (FDR) was controlled at 10%.

**Immunofluorescence staining**—For immunofluorescence staining of MDCK cells grown on Transwell filters, the cells were directly fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes at room temperature (RT). The fixed cells were permeabilized for 15 minutes at 37°C with 0.025% saponin in 1X PBS. After blocking with PFS buffer (0.025% saponin and 0.7% fish skin gelatin in 1X PBS), the cells were incubated with primary antibodies in the PFS buffer overnight at 4°C, and secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. After nuclear staining with DAPI, the cells were post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and mounted with a mounting medium (71-00-16, KPL).

**Cell lysate**—EXOC5 OE, Exoc5 KD, and control MDCK cells were grown to confluence on plastic culture dishes for five days. Cells were washed twice with cold PBS, lysed with RIPA buffer for 30 minutes on ice, then centrifugated for 15 minutes at 13,500 rpm at 4°C, to obtain cell lysate for Western blot analysis.

**Transfection**—Ift88 KO cells were plated in 6-well dishes, 3 x 10^5 cells per well. The following day, when cell confluency reached 70-90%, 5 µg DNA of pcDNA3 containing human EXOC5, or empty pcDNA3, plasmid was used with Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen, cat# 15338030) to transfect the Ift88 KO cells. Transfected cells were washed twice and fed with 1.5 mL fresh medium 24 hours following the transfection. The conditioned media was collected 24 hours after the media change and EVs were isolated by ultracentrifugation as described above. The cells in the 6-well dishes were then trypsinized, collected, and counted, and the cell number was used to normalize the EV concentration. Finally, the cells were lysed with RIPA buffer for Western blot as described below.

**Western blot analysis**—The protein samples were separated on Bolt 4-12% Bis-Tris gels (NW04125, Novex) and then transferred to a Nitrocellulose membrane (LC2000, Novex). The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in 1X PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. After washing with 1X PBS containing Tween 20, the membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour at RT. Finally, the membranes were exposed to a Western blot chemiluminescence reagent (34095, Thermo), and imaged in Odyssey Fc Imaging System (LI-COR).

**Antibodies**—The primary antibodies used in this study were mouse monoclonal anti-acetylated α-tubulin (T6793, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-EXOC5, which we previously generated (27), anti-Arf6 (sc-7971, Santa Cruz), anti-Eps8L2 (GTX112158, GeneTex), anti-Erk (4696, Cell Signaling) anti-pErk (9101, Cell Signaling), anti-ZO1 (a gift from Dr. Keith Mostov), anti-GAPDH (G8795, Sigma), anti-beta Actin (GTX629630, GeneTex) and anti-Exoc4 (ADI-VAM-SV016, Enzo). The secondary antibodies and stains we used were: Alexa Fluor 555, phalloidin (A34055, Invitrogen), goat anti-mouse/anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (115-035-003 for mouse and 111-035-003 for
rabbit, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), Fluorescein labeled lotus tetragonolobus lectin (LTL) (FL-1321, Vector) and DAPI.

**Histological analysis**—Frozen sections of mouse kidney tissue were cut at 10 µm thickness from frozen blocks so as not to compromise the tdTomato signal. The sections were stained with LTA-Fluorescein, DAPI, and hematoxylin and eosin.

**Imaging**—All images were captured in tiff format and processed in Adobe Photoshop CS5.1. For immunofluorescence, Transwell-cultured MDCK cells were imaged on a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope with an HCX PL APO 63X/1.4-0.6 OIL objective.

**Nanoparticle tracking analysis**—The nanoparticle tracking analysis was performed with the ZetaView Nanoparticle Tracking Analyzer, and the tracking parameters used were: camera sensitivity (85), shutter (250), frame rate (30f/s), Min brightness(20), Max size (1000), Min size (8), traces (12).

**Electron microscopy (EM)**—EM was used to observe EV morphology. The EV samples were prepared as described above. For EM, briefly, 4 µL drops of EVs in PBS were adsorbed on 300 mesh copper grids coated with Formvar/carbon (Cat.#: FCF300-Cu, Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 1-5 minutes at room temperature, then the grid was rinsed gently with 5 drops of 5 mM Tris buffer, followed by 5 drops of distilled water. Samples were stained with 0.8% uranyl acetate (Cat.#:22400, Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 30 seconds, followed by air drying for 30 minutes. EVs were examined at 80 kV with a Morgagni 268D transmission electron microscope (FEI, Brno, CZ) equipped with a MegaViewIII digital camera (Soft Imaging System).

**Statistical analysis**—Data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel for Mac (version 16.16.2), SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and R software (version 3.6.0, R Core team, Vienna, Austria). Results were expressed as the means and standard deviations (sd), unless otherwise specified. The Student’s t-Test was applied in order to determine the significance of differences between treatment groups when observations were independent. Otherwise, linear mixed models were used to account for clustering within samples. All statistical tests were two-sided and unpaired. P values<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

For the mass spectrometry data, the intensity values from the Mass Spectrometry analysis were converted to integers within Excel and read as raw (not normalized) values into the R package DESeq2 (64). DESeq2 is designed to account for biological dispersion among replicates in an experimental design and performs normalization of the data and computes a Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate correction on all p-values for every protein in the analysis set (65). The Identity (Fig. 2A) and PCA (Fig. 2B) are standard plots from the DESeq2 package and offer a visual representation of the data groups. The Heatmap (Fig. 2C) was constructed with the unsupervised hierarchical clustering method of the gplots version 3.0.1 and default parameters. The protein expression data was clustered as shown by the y-axis dendrograms while the x-axis is ordered by experimental treatment.
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Figure 1. EV number is increased in EXOC5 OE, and decreased in Exoc5 KD, compared to control MDCK cells. A) The size and number of EVs isolated from stable EXOC5 overexpressing (OE), Exoc5 knockdown (KD), and control MDCK cells, using a standard ultracentrifugation procedure as described in the Methods, were measured with a ZetaView scanner. The uniform size of the EVs demonstrated the purity and consistency of the preparation, and the size (50-150 nm) suggested these were exosomes or ectosomes, which is the EV population we were targeting. B) Quantification demonstrated that there were significantly more EVs from EXOC5 OE, and significantly less EVs from Exoc5 KD, compared to EVs from control MDCK cells. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. C) Electron microscopy confirmed the 50-150 nm size of the EVs.
Figure 2. EVs from EXOC5 OE, Exoc5 KD, and control MDCK cells had significantly different protein content as determined by proteomic analysis. A) The dendrogram reveals that the experimental samples fall into three distinct groups: EXOC5-overexpressing (OE), Exoc5 knockdown (KD), and control MDCK cells. B) This panel shows a principal component analysis (PCA plot) of the same nine samples shown in the 2D plane spanned by their first two principal components. This plot allows visualization of the overall effect of experimental covariates and reveals the absence of batch effects. C) The top 250 differentially expressed (DE) proteins were plotted with the blue color denoting down regulation, and the red color upregulation. The heatmap shows that all three samples in each condition are similar, and each of the three conditions are quite distinct.
Figure 3. Confirmation of the proteomic results by Western blot. A) Equal amounts of EV proteins (2 ug per lane) from EXOC5 overexpressing (OE), Exoc5 knockdown (KD), and control MDCK cells were obtained using a series of ultracentrifugation steps as described in the Methods, and were run on a gel for Western blot analysis. B) Quantification of the Western blot data shows a significant decrease in Exoc5 protein in EVs from Exoc5 KD cells, and a significant increase in Exoc5 in EVs from EXOC5 OE cells, compared with EVs from control MDCK cells. There was also significantly less Exoc4 protein in EVs from Exoc5 KD, and increased Exoc4 in EVs from EXOC5 OE, compared to EVs from control cells. Importantly, there was a large increase in Arf6 in EVs from Exoc5 KD, compared to EVs from control and EXOC5 OE MDCK cells. There was also significantly less Eps8L2 in EVs from Exoc5 KD cells, compared to EVs from control MDCK cells, and more Eps8L2 in EVs from EXOC5 OE compared to control MDCK cells. Finally, there was significantly more phosphorylated (active) Erk (pErk) in EVs from Exoc5 KD cells, compared to EVs from control cells, and less pErk in EVs from EXOC5 OE compared to control MDCK cells. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results.
Figure 4. EV production is decreased in ciliary deficient Ift88 KO cells and increased in the rescue cells. A) Stable Ift88 knockout (KO) and Ift88 rescue mouse cells were grown on Transwell filters. As previously reported, Ift88 KO cells do not form cilia, while Ift88 rescue cells form cilia. Bar=25 µm. B) Western blot from the lysate of Ift88 KO and rescue cells, shows that Ift88 is absent in the KO cells, and present in the rescue cells. C) Similar to what we found with the Exoc5-perturbed cells, the Ift88 KO cells produced significantly fewer EVs per cell than did the Ift88 rescue cells. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. D) Similar to the Exoc5 KD cells, there was significantly more Exoc4, Exoc5, Ift88, and Eps8L2 in EVs from Ift88 rescue compared to Ift88 KO cells. There was also significantly less Arf6 and phosphorylated (active) Erk (pErk) in EVs from Ift88 rescue compared to Ift88 KO cells.
Figure 5. EVs from the urine of a homozygous proximal tubule-specific Exoc5 KO mouse contain more Arf6 and pErk, compared to urinary EVs from control mice. A) Kidney sections from an SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl mouse exposed to tamoxifen show tdTomato expression (red color) in the tubules of SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl, but not in control, mice. This indicates activation of Cre and KO of Exoc5 in the SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl mice. B) Co-staining with LTA-fluorescein demonstrates that the knockout of Exoc5 is occurring in the proximal tubules. C,D) Quantification of the Western blot data shows that there was significantly more Arf6 and phosphorylated (active) Erk (pErk) in EVs from SLC34A-CreERT2;tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl (homozygous proximal tubule-specific Exoc5 KO) compared with tdTom-Exoc5fl/fl (control) mice.
Figure 6. Model for how the exocyst is involved in EV secretion and/or retrieval via the primary cilium. Genes are transcribed into mRNA in the nucleus, and mRNA is translated into proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum. Proteins destined for the primary cilium are packaged in vesicles in the trans-Golgi network, and trafficked to the primary cilium by the exocyst complex. Exoc5 is a central exocyst member as it links Exoc6 (bound to the vesicle via the small GTPase Rab8) and the rest of the exocyst complex. The exocyst itself is targeted to the primary cilium by another small GTPase, Cdc42. Finally, the exocyst is involved in EV secretion and/or retrieval under the control of the ciliary and EV small GTPase Arf6.
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