ABSTRACT

Gout is a the most common inflammatory arthritis in the United States. It is a significant cause of morbidity, disability, lost work days, and high healthcare utilization due to intermittent attacks, chronic inflammation, and joint damage. Despite our understanding of the prelude and pathophysiology of gout, hyperuricemia, it is still poorly misunderstood by patients and poorly managed by healthcare providers. Several parallel treatment paradigms have been developed by professional societies around the world based on the understanding of how hyperuricemia occurs, gout epidemiology, expert opinion, and clinical trials data in order to lower uric acid and eventually eliminate the patient’s crystal burden. This review focuses on both the treatment of acute attacks, and more importantly, the long-term management of gout and the lowering of serum uric acid levels to a goal of < 6 mg/dl (0.360 mmol/l) or treating to target. Treating to a target serum uric acid goal is an opportunity to decrease morbidity and improve the quality of care of every gout patient.
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INTRODUCTION

Gout is a severe, often debilitating inflammatory arthritis caused by deposition of monosodium urate crystals in the joint space, periarticular structures and soft tissues, producing a pronounced inflammatory response in the patient experienced as pain. Although the disease is well understood and effective treatment options are available, gout is often poorly managed by both primary care physicians and rheumatologists alike [1]. Large retrospective studies show poor prescription rates of urate lowering therapy (ULT) and high incidence of failure to reach appropriate serum uric acid
(SUA) levels, consequently resulting in poor disease control [1–4]. Inadequately treated gout leads to recurrent attacks, chronic inflammation, joint damage, subclinical crystal deposition, and tophi formation, which can result in limited activity, dependence on others, recurrent work absences, decreased work productivity, and high rates of healthcare utilization [5–7].

Given the negative impact of disease on the individual patient and society, and its increasing prevalence and world-wide reach, education regarding best practices in gout management is of paramount importance. In this paper, we define the problem of gout through epidemiologic review, discuss uric acid metabolism and the pathophysiology of gout, and present treatment recommendations for the acute flare and chronic disease, with a focus on the concept of treat-to-target withurate-lowering therapy.

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Incidence/Prevalence

Gout is a worldwide disease of increasing prevalence, currently in the range of 1–4%, and exceeding more than 10% in some Oceanic countries [8] [9]. In the United States alone, the estimated overall prevalence of gout among adults corresponds to 9.2 million people [10]. Along with prevalence, the burden of gout is increasing around the world as well, supported by an increase in gout disability-adjusted life years from 76,000 in 1990 to 114,000 in 2010 [11]. The incidence of gout increases with age and is more common in men, with the male-to-female ratio generally along the order of 3 to 4:1; however, this gap closes with aging [9].

Gout should not be viewed simply as a disease affecting the joints, but rather of multiple organ systems, as patients with gout frequently have comorbidities including hypertension, cardiovascular disease (CVD), renal impairment, obesity, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes and the metabolic syndrome [12]. According to the 2007–2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data (NHANES), 74% of participants with gout had hypertension, 71% had stage 2 or greater chronic kidney disease, 53% were obese, 26% had diabetes, 14% had a history of myocardial infarction, and 10% had a history of stroke [13]. Furthermore, large prospective studies have shown that gout is associated with an increased risk of death, primarily due to cardiovascular disease [8, 14].

Mechanism

Urate is the byproduct of purine degradation in the body, and therefore depends both on intrinsic production and dietary intake, with the greater part derived from endogenous liver synthesis, and the lesser being consumption of purine-rich foods [6]. Humans lack uricase, and therefore rely on other mechanisms of elimination to maintain a normal total body purine balance. Urate is eliminated primarily by the kidneys, which accounts for approximately two-thirds of urate excretion [8], and gastrointestinal elimination plays a smaller but important role.

The balance between hepatic production of urate and intestinal or renal urate excretion pathways determines an individual’s serum urate levels [15]. Hyperuricemia more commonly results from decreased renal urate excretion (underexcretors), but can also be ascribed to excessive urate production, or a combination of both processes [6]. Urate-associated loci have been identified through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) that contain genes encoding renal and gut uric acid transporters, and with this recognition, genetic contributions may be playing a larger role in hyperuricemia and gout than previously appreciated [15, 16].

Hyperuricemia, defined as a serum urate level of 6.8 mg/dl (0.360 mmol/l) or greater, is the central risk factor for developing gout [17]. Uric acid is a weak organic acid that exists predominantly as monosodium urate (MSU) monohydrate at physiologic pH (7.4). At concentrations greater than 6.8 mg/dl (0.360 mmol/l), MSU exceeds its limit of
solubility in serum under physiologic conditions, allowing precipitation and the formation of MSU crystals [6].

The presence of MSU crystals, mediated by interaction with resident macrophages, leads to an inflammatory cascade involving IL-1β production, activation of neutrophils and mast cells, activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome, and release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 [6]. Acute attacks of gout are often triggered by specific events such as trauma, surgery, intercurrent illness, excess alcohol intake or drugs that alter serum urate levels [18]. Such events may stimulate de novo formation of MSU crystals or may trigger release of microcrystals from existing crystal deposition within the joint [18, 19].

The progression of gout can be defined by four pathophysiological stages: hyperuricemia without evidence of monosodium urate crystal deposition or gout; crystal deposition without symptomatic gout; crystal deposition with acute gout flares; and advanced gout characterized by tophi, chronic gouty arthritis, and radiographic erosions [20]. The natural history of an acute gouty attack, without treatment, typically lasts 7–10 days. The first presentation is often defined by podagra (gouty inflammation of the big toe), but can also involve the feet, ankle, knees, hands, wrists, or elbows. An acute attack is followed by complete resolution in signs and symptoms of joint inflammation during the so-called intercritical period [8], which can last for a variable amount of time. The course of gout following the first attack is highly variable, with some patients having no recurrence, while in other individuals the flares become more frequent, leading to advanced gout with chronic pain, joint destruction, and tophus formation [1].

Treatment

The differential diagnosis of an acute gout flare includes infection, other forms of inflammatory arthritis (reactive arthritis or rheumatoid arthritis, for example), and alternative crystalline arthropathies such as calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease (CPPD).

Therefore, the diagnosis of gout should be made with crystal confirmation from analysis of synovial or bursal fluid or tophus aspiration whenever possible. If there is clinical concern for infection, aspiration with cell count, Gram stain, and culture should be performed.

A low or normal SUA in the setting of an acute flare cannot be used to rule out the diagnosis of gout, as SUA may be falsely lowered secondary to the uricosuric effect of ACTH and cortisol released in response to pain, in addition to the indirect uricosuric effect of IL-6 on the kidney [21–24]. Imaging modalities, including musculoskeletal ultrasound that reveals the double contour sign, and dual-phase CT are alternative ways of supporting the diagnosis when crystal evidence is not possible (Fig. 1).

Acute Flare

Management of acute gout flare is aimed at relieving pain through rapid control of inflammation and restoring function. Therapy should be initiated as soon as possible at the first sign of an attack [25–27], as this improves the likelihood of a positive response to therapy, and potentially minimizes the dose and duration of potentially harmful medications. Accordingly, the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) recommends providing patients with education and instructions to initiate treatment of an acute flare at home [25]. As a general rule, urate-lowering therapy should be continued as prescribed during an acute flare, unless an absolute contraindication exists. This promotes compliance with treatment, mitigates the risk of failing to resume therapy after symptom resolution, and minimizes changes in SUA, as this can lead to continued or recurrent flares.

The specific anti-inflammatory treatment utilized depends on the severity of presentation, number of joints involved, the presence of medical comorbidities, and concomitant pharmacologic agents being used. NSAIDs, colchicine, or prednisone are the most effective means to control the acute flare, and all can be considered first-line therapy. Combination therapy with any of the above may also be necessary for severe, polyarticular flares not responding to a
single agent, or historically fail to respond to monotherapy [25]. Corticosteroid injections are an appropriate treatment option for patients with involvement limited to only one or two joints and may be an ideal choice in patients with contraindications to systemic therapy, or with multiple comorbid conditions that limit systemic therapeutic options [28]. If the patient does not respond to initial therapy (and the diagnosis of gout is not in question), changing to an alternative agent (such as from colchicine to an NSAID, for example), or adding another agent in combination may be warranted [25, 26].

While there are only three FDA approved NSAIDs (indomethacin, naproxen, sulindac), there are many to select from in the treatment of acute gout. The specific NSAID chosen is less important than the dose and duration of treatment. NSAIDS should be prescribed at the full anti-inflammatory dose for the shortest period of time for the duration of the flare [8]. The authors typically use naproxen 500 mg BID, given its less frequent dosing regimen, or ibuprofen 800 mg TID, for example. Lower doses of NSAIDS have less of an anti-inflammatory effect, potentially prolonging the duration of flare, leading to persistent pain and disability.

Prior to prescribing NSAIDS, a review of comorbidities and medications should be performed to see if the patient is an appropriate candidate for therapy. Reasons to consider avoiding NSAIDS include the presence of chronic kidney disease, coronary artery disease, heart failure, peptic ulcer disease, hepatic disease, and history of gastrointestinal intolerance. The presence of these conditions should not automatically disqualify use, but should lead to a benefit-versus-risk assessment and discussion with the patient. A medication review should be performed to look for potential harmful interactions. The combination of an NSAID and an ACE inhibitor is of particular concern because of the combined effects on blood pressure and renal function [12]. Additionally, concurrent use of anticoagulation or other antiplatelet agents and the resulting bleeding risk need to be considered, and often times negate the use of NSAIDS.

Colchicine is an anti-inflammatory agent that serves as another primary treatment option in the management of acute gout. It works through multiple proposed mechanisms, including microtubule inhibition resulting in decreased NLRP3 inflammasome activation [29]. In the US, colchicine is typically given as a one-time dose of 1.2 mg, followed by 0.6 mg 1 h later, with daily dosing starting as soon as 12 h thereafter until flare resolution. Colchicine is felt to be more effective when initiated early in the setting of a flare. Consistent with this view, The European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) advocates for the ‘pill in the pocket’ approach to treat flares in fully informed patients [27], and recommends treatment with colchicine within 12 h of flare onset, although practically speaking, colchicine should be taken at the very first sign of a flare.

![Fig. 1 Double contour sign on musculoskeletal ultrasound of the knee. Notched arrow: uric acid crystal deposition; arrowhead: tibial plateau; diamond: meniscus; star: small effusion](image)
Colchicine has known side effects of diarrhea and myopathy. It also has the potential to cause agranulocytosis. The dose should be reduced in patients with kidney impairment and those receiving cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitors such as diltiazem, verapamil, or p-glycoprotein inhibitors such as cyclosporine, and avoided in patients taking clarithromycin. Colchicine should also be used with caution in those with liver disease or taking statins [8].

Steroids are a viable option in the treatment of acute gout, given their potent anti-inflammatory effects. They can be given orally, intravenously, or as an intramuscular depot. IV steroids are often utilized for inpatient treatment of a severe, polyarticular flare. A typical effective prednisone regimen is dosed at a minimum of 0.5 mg/kg/day for the duration of the flare. Sometimes, initial treatment with 0.5 mg/kg/day, followed by a prednisone taper for a total treatment duration approaching 14 days, is necessary due to recurrent flares with steroid discontinuation. If there are no contraindications, the authors typically start with 50 mg daily and taper by 10 mg every 2–3 days based on response, for a course lasting 10–14 days. Shorter courses increase the risk of a rebound flare.

Hyperglycemia resulting from steroid use is a valid concern in the management of gout as insulin resistance and hyperglycemia often accompany disease. Many patients with gout have advanced renal impairment, in which NSAID or colchicine use is contraindicated and steroid use is unavoidable. Increases in blood sugars can be managed in the short term while the acute episode is treated [12], and patients with diabetes should be educated on monitoring blood glucose levels and may require temporary adjustments to their hypoglycemic regimen.

Canakinumab and anakinra are IL-1 inhibitors used for cases of refractory gout or when alternative therapies cannot be used. It exerts its effect through inhibition of the NLRP3 inflammasome and attenuation of the accompanying inflammatory cascade. Canakinumab is a subcutaneous injection given once every 8 weeks. Anakinra is available in a subcutaneous form that is typically given for a duration of 3–5 days. It is highly effective, providing rapid improvement in pain and swelling, with the most common side effect being injection site pain. IL-1 inhibitors such as anakinra are often utilized in the inpatient setting mainly when NSAIDS, colchicine, and corticosteroids are contraindicated. There are several case series in the literature demonstrating the efficacy and safety of anakinra in such complicated hospitalized patients [30]. Anakinra is currently being evaluated for use in acute gout in Europe, but not in the U.S. Finally, adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) is also an effective treatment for acute gout, but is cost-prohibitive in the U.S.

Urate-Lowering Therapy

When to Treat

While the risk of developing gout increases with rising serum urate concentrations, not all individuals with elevated SUA will develop gout [17]. Accordingly, treatment of asymptomatic hyperuricemia is not recommended currently in the United States and Europe. Indications for ULT include the presence of tophus or tophi (verified by clinical examination or imaging study), two or more flares per year, or one flare in the setting of chronic kidney disease stage 2 or worse and history of urolithiasis per the ACR 2012 guidelines [32] (Fig. 2). The EULAR makes similar recommendations, and further suggests proceeding with treatment close to the time of the first diagnosis in young patients (< 40 years), or with a very high SUA level (> 8 mg/dl or > 0.475 mmol/l), and/or comorbidities such as renal impairment, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and heart failure [27]. The British Society for Rheumatology Guidelines share the same overarching principles as ACR and EULAR, and also recommends consideration of extending therapy to those with gout taking diuretics and those developing gout at a young age, as these patients are more likely to experience a higher cumulative burden of disease over the course of many years, and also to have a heritable cause that predisposes to a lifetime of active disease [26].
Fig. 2. Gout treatment algorithm utilizing T2T approach.

*Severe disease: tophaceous disease, chronic arthropathy, frequent attacks. Consider decreasing goal to 6 mg/dl (0.360 mmol/l) once UA at goal and disease stable. **Consider starting lower based on creatinine clearance.
There is some controversy regarding whether or not to start ULT during an acute gout flare, such as in a hospital setting. Traditionally, starting ULT during a flare was thought to potentially exacerbate or prolong an acute flare, and ULT should be delayed until resolution. Recently, there have been small studies that showed starting ULT during an acute flare while being treated did not necessarily worsen or prolong a flare, but rather was a window of opportunity to start ULT in a hospital setting [33]. Given there is not good evidence to support either starting ULT during a flare or waiting until resolution [34], it may need to be a clinical judgment. In our practice, we typically wait until resolution of the flare before starting ULT, although we may make exceptions in the hospital setting and start before full resolution occurs to ensure initiation of ULT and tolerance prior to discharge.

Non-pharmacologic Management
Non-pharmacologic management is beneficial in all patients with gout and should focus on education, diet, and lifestyle recommendations, as well as screening for comorbidities that occur with gout [26, 27, 32]. Knowledge gaps frequently exist in understanding basic gout principles, including the use of ULT, optimal goal SUA levels, appropriate duration of ULT, lifestyle modifications, and the use of prophylaxis for gout flares during the early phase of urate-lowering therapy [35]. It is important to ensure the patient possesses a solid understanding of the underlying pathogenesis of gout, in addition to the rationale for long-term ULT in order for treatment to be successful [8, 36].

In general, diet should be considered an adjunct to urate-lowering therapy, as diet alone often fails in adequately treating gout, and can lead to delayed treatment with urate-lowering pharmacologic therapy. The primary aims of diet and lifestyle modifications in gout are the promotion and maintenance of health and preventative care through optimal management of comorbidities that are commonly observed with gout, including coronary artery disease, obesity, metabolic syndrome, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension [32]. Diets that promote weight loss can reduce serum uric acid levels [36], and also have beneficial effects on related comorbidities (e.g., improvement in lipid profile, blood glucose levels, and lower blood pressure). Many foods are associated with increased serum uric acid levels including red and processed meats, shellfish, alcoholic beverages, sugar-containing beverages and foods (including high-fructose corn syrup), and refined grains [15, 36, 37]. These should be consumed in moderation or avoided altogether, in some cases.

Certain diets, including the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH diet) and the Mediterranean diet have been shown to reduce serum urate levels and the risk of gout [15], and are an appropriate preventative approach for those with hyperuricemia at increased risk of developing gout [37]. While diet is an important modifiable factor, it may have less of a direct role in modulating SUA levels than previously thought. A recent meta-analysis concluded that individual food items and estimates of dietary patterns explain very little variation in serum urate levels, with genetic variants explaining substantially more of the variation [15]. Nevertheless, we should still promote overall health through diet, and utilize it in conjunction with urate-lowering therapy in minimizing the burden of disease. It is important for both the provider and the patient to understand that diet alone typically does not resolve hyperuricemia, and should not delay ULT initiation.

Pharmacologic Management

Treat-to-Target
Crystal formation is reversible, which means that gout can be “cured” [1]. As such, the goal of therapy is rather simple: to lower SUA below the limit of solubility, and sometimes well below [1]. Given the fact that diet alone may not be effective in the management of gout, initiation of urate-lowering therapy is the primary means of treatment. Treat-to-target (T2T) is a concept developed for use in a number of rheumatologic diseases to guide treatment toward a predefined therapeutic level. This approach is recommended by the ACR, EULAR, and the British
Society of Rheumatology (BSR) in the management of gout. The American College of Physicians (ACP) is the only organization that does not recommend a T2T approach [38], although their reasoning is not clear given the known pathophysiology and published evidence that surround this approach.

While the optimal SUA level has not been precisely defined, data from phase III and open-label extension studies of ULT trials support an association between lower, sub-saturation serum urate concentrations (< 6.8 mg/dl or < 0.360 mmol/l) and less flares, reduced tophus size, and improved morbidity [39–41]. Furthermore, there is imaging support for a T2T approach in the management of gout. In one case series, achieving a SUA level below 6 mg/dl (0.360 mmol/l) resulted in resolution of the double contour sign over time, an ultrasound finding indicative of MSU crystal deposition in cartilage that is often present in gout, whereas failure to reach a target SUA resulted in persistence of this finding [42].

Some of the major, high-quality trials used in forming the ACP guidelines were based on 12 months of trial data. It would not be unexpected to see no difference using a T2T approach in this time frame, given: (1) Despite attaining a serum target urate level, gout can still remain active in the presence of a high crystal burden, and (2) initiation of urate-lowering therapy can lead to gout flares in the short term, which would unfavorably reflect upon the intervention group [40].

Some recent trials have investigated specifically a treat-to-target intervention, which have yielded positive results. A RCT in the UK compared a nurse-led gout care T2T model to general practitioners over a 2-year period, which resulted in a high percentage of the nurse-led group achieving the recommended SUA target (95% less than 6 mg/dl or 0.360 mmol/l compared to 30% in the usual care group), and a significant improvement in flare frequency, presence of tophi, and quality of life compared to those in the usual-care group [43]. Additionally, a pharmacist-led T2T intervention has also shown benefit, but to a lesser degree [44]. T2T is furthermore supported by the open-label extension (OLE) study [45], which provided evidence of the efficacy of sustained ULT in patients from two replicate 6-month randomized controlled pegloticase trials [46]. Serum uric acid levels remained < 6 mg/dl (0.360 mmol/l) in a large number of previously determined pegloticase responders throughout the study and were accompanied by sustained and progressive improvements in tophus resolution, flare incidence, and quality of life.

Urate-lowering Therapy

Although patient adherence to ULT is often blamed for poor gout management, it should not be just considered a ‘patient’ issue. There are a number of ways to improve medication compliance with patients through regular SUA monitoring [47], and helping the patient understand the mechanism by which gout occurs. Once ULT is started, serum urate levels should be monitored monthly to every other month while titrating therapy to the target goal (Fig. 2). Once the target has been achieved, this interval can be decreased to every 6 months [8, 32, 48]. Periodic testing serves the purpose of ensuring treatment adherence, given the natural tendency for patients to de-escalate or stop treatment due to clinical stability. When patients receive inappropriately low doses of ULT, ongoing urate deposition occurs leading to progression of tophaceous deposits, further joint damage, and functional disability. It is felt that the costs of measuring serum urate are minimal, especially when compared with the damage that can occur over time with active disease, requiring the use of more aggressive and expensive therapies [39].

The ACR and EULAR recommend a goal SUA of < 6 mg/dl (0.360 mmol/l), at the very least, based on high-quality evidence. There are circumstances where a goal of less than 5 mg/dl (0.300 mmol/l) is recommended, including in tophaceous gout (both ACR and EULAR), or severe disease as judged by chronic arthropathy, or frequent attacks (EULAR). In contrast, the BSR recommends a more stringent target of less than 5 mg/dl (0.300 mmol/l) for everyone, but does allow for a less strict target after years of stable disease. Both the BSR and EULAR
Table 1  Dosing and cautions of urate-lowering therapy

| Agent          | MOA                        | Dosing                        | Caution                                                                 | Other                                                                 |
|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Allopurinol   | Xanthine oxidase inhibitor | 100 mg by mouth daily, titrate by 100 mg every 2–4 weeks to max of 800 mg qd | Avoid use with azathioprine or mercaptopurine use                       | Check for HLA-B*5801 allele in patients of Han Chinese or Tai descent to mitigate risk of AHS |
|               |                           | 50 mg PO qd, titrate by 50 mg every 4 weeks for CKD stage IV or greater | Hepatic impairment                                                      | Monitor CBC, hepatic and renal function at baseline, then every 4–8 weeks |
| Febuxostat    | Xanthine oxidase inhibitor | 40–80 mg by mouth daily (start 20–40 mg) | Avoid use with azathioprine or mercaptopurine use                       | Not studied in Child–Pugh Class C hepatic impairment                  |
|               |                           |                               | Caution in severe hepatic impairment                                    | Check CBC and LFTs at baseline and every 8–12 weeks                   |
|               |                           |                               |                                                                          | Max dose 40 mg qd CrCl < 30; unknown dosing for hemodialysis            |
|               |                           |                               |                                                                          | 120 mg qd approved in EU                                              |
| Probenecid    | Uricosuric agent (inhibits proximal tubule urate reabsorption) | 500 mg by mouth twice daily (initiate 250 mg twice BID × 7 days) as monotherapy or combination therapy with XOI | NSAID use                                                             | Can cause increase in concentration of methotrexate, beta-lactam antibiotics, numerous other drugs that may require dose adjustments |
|               |                           |                               | G6PD deficiency                                                        |                                                                      |
|               |                           |                               | Renal insufficiency (avoid if CrCl < 50)                               |                                                                      |
|               |                           |                               | Nephrolithiasis (avoid if chronic urate stones)                       |                                                                      |
|               |                           |                               | PUD                                                                    |                                                                      |
|               |                           |                               | Blood dyscrasia                                                       |                                                                      |
| Lesinurad     | URAT1 and OAT4 inhibitor  | 200 mg by mouth daily in combination with allopurinol (doses > 300 mg/day) or febuxostat | Renal insufficiency (d/c if CrCl persistently < 45)                    | Check creatinine at baseline, then periodically                        |
|               |                           |                               |                                                                        | No hepatic dosing recommendations                                      |
|               |                           |                               |                                                                        | Acute renal failure has occurred when used as monotherapy              |

△ Adis
guidelines advise against maintaining serum urate at very low levels for a prolonged period of time based on the results of observational studies showing a negative association between serum urate and the risk of certain neurodegenerative diseases, although this association is still not entirely clear [40]. There are times, however, where it is appropriate to have SUA levels less than 3 mg/dl (0.180 mmol/l), including in cases of severe tophaceous disease to hasten crystal dissolution.

Given that fluctuations in uric acid can induce flares, pharmacologic prophylaxis should be provided during the urate-lowering process, which may lead to improved ULT adherence. At least 6 months of prophylactic therapy is recommended by the ACR, EULAR, and BSR. Daily to twice-daily colchicine, low-dose NSAID, or low-dose steroid (< 10 mg day prednisolone) should be used as prophylaxis [25]. Treatment should be continued if active disease remains, as judged by the presence of tophi, recent flare, chronic gouty arthritis, or serum urate targets that are not achieved. The ACR recommends continuing prophylaxis for at least 6 months after achieving target SUA in patients, or 3 months after the last attack, whichever is longer.

There are three potential pharmacologic mechanisms for achieving target SUA: (1) inhibition of urate production through the use of xanthine oxidase inhibitors (XOI), (2) increasing renal uric acid excretion through the use of uricosuric agents, and (3) metabolism of urate to the more water-soluble and readily excretable allantoin through use of recombinant uricase [5] (Table 1). In general, the approach to ULT is to start low and go slow, although there are some instances where more aggressive therapy is warranted, mainly in severe disease requiring rapid lowering of SUA through the use of pegloticase.

Xanthine oxidase inhibitors (XOI) are the main class of medications used in the treatment of gout. Xanthine oxidase is an enzyme that through the use of oxygen, converts hypoxanthine and xanthine to urate [6]. Allopurinol is a purine analogue XOI and febuxostat is a non-purine inhibitor of XOI; both are recommended as first-line ULT [32]. Allopurinol is inexpensive and generally well tolerated. Side effects can include elevated transaminases and myelosuppression. There is a significant interaction between xanthine oxidase inhibitors and azathioprine, which can result in profound myelosuppression [12]; this is something to consider in patients who have undergone organ transplantation or have a concurrent rheumatologic disease that requires the use of azathioprine.

Allopurinol can cause a mild form of hypersensitivity with maculopapular eruption to more severe cutaneous adverse reactions including Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis (SJS/TEN), drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS), and allopurinol hypersensitivity syndrome (AHS) [49]. AHS is a rare but potentially
A fatal condition that occurs in 0.1% of patients and is characterized by a rash combined with eosinophilia, leukocytosis, fever, hepatitis, and progressive renal failure. AHS is thought to be associated with renal impairment, diuretic use and higher starting doses of allopurinol, and occurs usually within weeks to months after starting treatment, with most cases occurring by 3 months and 90% by 6 months. The HLA-B*58:01 allele is associated with an increased risk of allopurinol-induced severe cutaneous adverse reactions, and should be checked in certain Asian groups.

In order to minimize adverse events, it is important to initiate therapy at a low dose and titrate gradually when lowering serum uric acid. The starting dose of allopurinol should be no greater than 100 mg/day in all patients, with the exception being those with stage 4 CKD and beyond; these patients should initiate allopurinol at no more than 50 mg/day, titrating up gradually. In our experience, it may be prudent to start allopurinol at a dose of 50 mg/day in patients with moderate chronic kidney disease, in addition to those patients who are more sensitive and prone to flares with even small fluctuations in SUA levels.

Given safety concerns, allopurinol is often prescribed based on calculated creatinine clearance recommended dosing, which often leads to failure to achieve target SUA. However, studies have shown that in patients already tolerating allopurinol, increasing doses above creatinine clearance recommended dosing is effective and safe in reaching UA goals. In these trials, there were numerically more SAEs with higher doses, though these were not deemed allopurinol-related, and there were no cases of AHS. The ACR recommends that the maintenance dosage of allopurinol can be raised above 300 mg per day, even in those with renal impairment, provided there is adequate patient education and regular monitoring for drug hypersensitivity with symptoms such as pruritus, rash, and lab findings of elevated transaminases and peripheral eosinophilia.

Febuxostat is a hepatically metabolized, non-purine xanthine oxidase inhibitor that is usually prescribed in cases where patients do not respond to or cannot tolerate allopurinol. Febuxostat does not offer the same titration potential as allopurinol, being available in 40 mg and 80 mg doses in the U.S., but is a more potent XOI when compared to allopurinol. Large, high-quality trials have demonstrated the efficacy of febuxostat as compared to allopurinol in reaching target SUA goals with a favorable safety profile, including those with mild-to-moderate renal impairment.

The cardiovascular safety of febuxostat has been called into question based on a number of trials showing a possible increased risk of adverse cardiac events. The CARES trial in 2018 was performed to further assess this risk. It found that among gout patients with coexisting cardiovascular disease, febuxostat was noninferior to allopurinol with respect to rates of composite endpoint major cardiovascular events, but did have higher all-cause mortality resulting from cardiovascular deaths (sudden cardiac death). There were a number of issues with this study, including high rates of treatment discontinuation and loss to follow-up, a paucity of information on the severity of gout, lack of a placebo (which may show that allopurinol confers a safety benefit, while febuxostat may confer normal baseline risk), and the fact that all-cause deaths occurred while patients were not taking drugs 85% of the time. For these reasons, in addition to the potential cardiovascular risks associated with gout and hyperuricemia, practice patterns have not changed in regards to febuxostat at this time.

Probenecid and lesinurad are agents that decrease uric acid reabsorption in the proximal tubule, thereby promoting uric acid excretion and lowering serum urate levels. Probenecid is a uricosuric agent that inhibits URAT1, OAT1, and OAT3 transporters and is considered an alternative first-line pharmacologic ULT. However, it is more commonly used in combination with a XOI for added urate-lowering effect. Probenecid should be avoided in patients with a CRCL < 50 and/or history of nephrolithiasis, as it may contribute to the formation of renal stones. Lesinurad is the newest uricosuric agent that exerts its effects...
predominately through URAT1 along with some OAT4 transporter inhibition. Lesinurad is only to be given as combination therapy due to an increased risk of renal toxicity when given as monotherapy, and should not be given if the eGFR is < 45 ml/min per FDA labeling [60]. Adequate hydration of at least 2 L/day is optimal when taking these agents.

Pegloticase is a recombinant mammalian urate oxidase produced from a genetically modified Escherichia coli conjugated to multiple strands of monomethoxypoly ethyl glycol (PEG) [50]. Pegloticase, given as an intravenous infusion every 2 weeks, is typically reserved for patients with severe gout in whom target serum urate concentrations are not achieved or who cannot tolerate oral ULT [50]. Patients with contraindications to other ULT or who have large crystal burden are also candidates. Resistance can develop due to the development of anti-PEG antibodies, which can also increase the risk for adverse reactions. Pegloticase is typically prescribed by rheumatologists.

Treatment should also consider other commonly prescribed medications that can affect UA levels, including loop diuretics, hydrochlorothiazide, and fenofibrates. Loop and thiazide diuretics are known to increase uric acid reabsorption in the proximal tubule. Losartan has been shown to lower uric acid levels through inhibition of the renal urate transporter URAT1, thereby increasing urinary uric acid excretion and lowering UA [12]. Losartan can be substituted as an antihypertensive in place of a thiazide or loop diuretic where clinically appropriate. These medications, however, should not be discontinued when medically indicated. Fenofibrates can be used as an adjunct ULT when dyslipidemia is present [26, 32].

CONCLUSIONS

Gout is a debilitating disease of increasing worldwide prevalence and is associated with multiple comorbidities that leads to significant pain, disability, impaired quality of life, and societal costs. The silver lining is that gout is entirely curable, and through the use of a treat-to-target approach, it is possible to decrease MSU crystal deposition burden, prevent further crystal deposition, and subsequently eliminate the acute and chronic inflammation caused by gout. There are a number of pharmacologic agents that can be used alone or in combination to treat acute flares, and also to lower the concentration of SUA below the level of solubility. Despite all these tools at our disposal, gout remains a poorly controlled disease, and patients continue to suffer. Gout care can be improved dramatically through ongoing research, patient and provider education focusing on optimal management, and treating to a target SUA level of < 6 mg/dl (0.360 mmol/l) or better.
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