Investigation of Biocide Biodegradation in Wastewater under Laboratory Set-Up in Anaerobic, Aerobic and Aerobic with Substrate Conditions
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Abstract

In this study the biodegradation of five biocides such as Isoproturon (IP), Octyl-isothiazolinone (OIT), Terbutryn (TB), Cybutryne, Irgarol (IRG) and Tebuconazole (TBU) in raw wastewater have been investigated. The laboratory experiment was performed under three conditions as aerobic, anaerobic and aerobic with substrate (molasses). The biocides concentration was detected using High Pressure Liquid Chromatography coupled with Mass Spectrometer (HPLC-MS). This was conducted to quantify the biodegradation of biocides in wastewater. Under these three conditions investigated Isoproturon (IP) had no clear degradation. Octyl-isothiazolinone (OIT) is significantly biodegraded in all three conditions. Terbutryn (TB), Cybutryne, Irgarol (IRG) and Tebuconazole (TBU), investigated are slowly biodegradable. From the experiment, it is observed that aerobic with substrate condition is most suitable for biodegradation of the selected biocides.
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Introduction

We have right to enjoy healthy life, food without contamination from the poisons, clean domestic kitchens, bathrooms, toilets and pathogen free hospitals, restaurants, hotels, farms, shops, supermarkets and all surrounding around us. Worldwide biocides are being used for getting rid from different daily life problems including bacterial, fungal, allergic, fouling and other microbial activities. The continuous use of biocidal products increasing with number of applications and hundreds of products are used in low concentration in fabrics and cleans claiming for help in controlling infection. Biocides use in buildings as in-can preservative, façade coatings, preservative for leather or rubber and industrial working fluids. In time perspective, the chemical biocides have been used for centuries, initially for food and water preservation. It can be said that the biocides are useful for protecting the public health and environment from disease causing agents. Consequently, the biocidal products have various environmental impacts and create threats to the public health in several ways. Regarding the specificity, toxicity and composition biocides pose a real danger for the existence and health of human and animals.

More truthful facts about biocides are related with bacterial resistance to low concentration. In most cases low to intermediate resistance have been observed but sometime high concentration resistance has been found. The biocidal activity depends upon number of factors including the concentration, contact time, organic load, formulation, temperature, pH, presence of biofilm, type of micro-organisms and number of micro-organisms. In many cases the resistance or adaptation of the micro-organisms is because of the changing environment.

Water is dominant agent that carries the biocides used in agriculture, private households and discharge to the recipients or to waste water treatment plant where with conventional treatment processes they cannot be removed completely, thus finally they reach to the recipient area such as rivers and streams. At present, biocides pollutants from the building and construction materials getting increased and hence these sources are also gaining attention for pollution in watercourses for such organic pollutants.

The selected biocides Isoproturon (IP), Octyl-isothiazolinone (OIT), Terbutryn (TB), Cybutryne, Irgarol (IRG) and Tebuconazole (TBU) are used in paints, household cleaning products, personal care products, coatings, textiles, paper coating materials, leather, laundry, renders, wood preserver and agricultural areas. These all biocide could reach to waste water plants through sewer system. The waste water plants become the conveyor to the recipient such as rivers, lakes, estuaries and oceans. So it is necessary to collect the contaminated water and proceed for the treatment which can be accomplished by physical, chemical and biological processes. Scientists and the researcher need to focus on efficient reduction in the treatment system in order to decrease the harmful impact on environment particularly to the aqueous environment.

In present study the major objective is to evaluate degradation by micro-organisms (biodegradation) of biocides in wastewater. More specific aim of the project is to quantify the degradation of biocides in different conditional set ups in laboratory and also to find suitable condition for degradation in wastewater. For this purpose, batch experiment was set up in laboratory with three conditions; as anaerobic, aerobic with substrate (molasses) and aerobic without substrate.

Kinetics of biodegradation

In this report, the kinetics for biodegradation is described by:
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The rate of change of concentration (dC/dt) of the pollutant is proportional to the pollutant concentration at given time. The associated reaction can be mentioned as:

\[(dC/dt)=-k \cdot C\]  

Integrating the equation \(1.3\) with \(C=Co\) at \(t=0\), it gives;

\[\text{In } C=\text{In } C_o - \text{In } C\]  

And further it gives;

\[C=C_o \cdot e^{-kt}\]  

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling**

The raw waste water samples were taken from the Aalborg West Wastewater Treatment Plant (AWWTP). The sampling was performed after some days of raining, due to that it has been more diluted than usually. This was taken after the removal of grease compounds and mechanical processes. After the sample was collected, it has been stored in the fridge for 7 days before the experiment begun. The laboratory experiment lasted for 14 days.

**Analytical procedure**

Here, 500 ml of wastewater sample was spiked with 1.25 mg with a concentration of 0.25 mg/l, stock solution of biocide Isoproturon (IP), Octyl-isothiazolinone (OIT), Terbutryn (TB), Cybutryne, Irgarol (IRG) and Tebuconazole (TBU). To simulate the conditions from a wastewater facility, the experiment consists in 6 samples: 2 samples that simulate anaerobic conditions at the beginning of the experiment were flushed with N2 to remove the oxygen; 2 samples simulate aerobic condition; 2 samples simulate aerobic condition with substrate. The experiment was conducted for 14 days to see computable results and Discussion.

**Biodegradation in chosen conditions**

Selected biocides were spiked with the same starting concentration of 0.25 mg/l. They were present in all three conditions performed but with slightly different concentrations between them after one hour of the experimental set up. All the samples have a duplicate and named as 'A' and 'B'. The results from the experiment are presented in Table 1.

The graphical representation for Isoproturon (IP) presented in following Figure 1, is the concentrations during all three conditions. It can be seen that the concentration for this biocide is slowly increasing in the graphic, but when the starting concentration (0.25 mg/l) is compared with the last analysis performed there is a minor decreasing. Although, when the final values compared with the one hour recovery amount, the concentration for IP is slightly increased. For the anaerobic condition the final concentrations are 0.2317 mg/l for 'A' sample and 0.2198 mg/l for 'B' sample. In the aerobic case condition, the final concentration for sample 'A' is 0.2387 mg/l and for sample 'B' is 0.241 mg/l; for aerobic plus substrate the values are 0.2339 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.2297 mg/l for sample 'B'.

From the graphic illustration for Octyl-isothiazolinone (OIT), all three conditions show that during the experiment this compound is degraded before 7 days. After this time period this compound had none detectable values. The final concentration for the analysis has been taken for the 24 hours. For anaerobic condition, the final concentrations are 0.0593 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.0373 mg/l for sample 'B'. For aerobic, the final concentrations are 0.0371 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.0285 mg/l for sample 'B'. For aerobic plus substrate the values are 0.0049 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.0038 mg/l for sample 'B'.

Other three biocides, Terbutryn (TB), Cybutryne, Irgarol (IRG) and Tebuconazole (TBU), in all three conditions are slowly degradable. For Terbutryn (TB), in anaerobic condition the concentration after 14 days are 0.147 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.1206 mg/l for sample 'B', for aerobic condition are 0.1485 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.1298 mg/l for sample 'B'. In the last case, aerobic plus substrate, the values are 0.13 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.1275 mg/l for sample 'B'. For Cybutryne, Irgarol (IRG), the final concentrations values are, in anaerobic case, 0.1267 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.0939 mg/l for sample 'B'. In aerobic case, 0.1136 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.0968 mg/l for sample 'B'. In aerobic plus substrate condition, the values are 0.1027 mg/l for sample 'A' and 0.1048 mg/l for sample 'B'.

| Conditions | IP | OIT | TB | IRG | TBU |
|------------|----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| Anaerobic A | 0.2377 | 0.1783 | 0.1935 | 0.1973 | 0.1794 |
| Anaerobic B | 0.2073 | 0.1605 | 0.1769 | 0.1811 | 0.1667 |
| Aerobic A   | 0.2407 | 0.1685 | 0.1925 | 0.1979 | 0.1786 |
| Aerobic B   | 0.2166 | 0.1643 | 0.1845 | 0.1935 | 0.1742 |
| Aerobic + Substrate A | 0.2372 | 0.1548 | 0.1862 | 0.1917 | 0.1724 |
| Aerobic + Substrate B | 0.2252 | 0.1509 | 0.1882 | 0.1969 | 0.1768 |

| Table 1: Initial concentrations of selected biocides (mg/l). |

The raw waste water samples were taken from the Aalborg West Wastewater Treatment Plant (AWWTP). The sampling was performed after some days of raining, due to that it has been more diluted than usually. This was taken after the removal of grease compounds and mechanical processes. After the sample was collected, it has been stored in the fridge for 7 days before the experiment begun. The laboratory experiment lasted for 14 days.
sample 'B'. All three biocides have approximately the same tendency and close concentrations values.

Averaged concentration of these biocides throughout the experimental period has been shown in Figure 1.

As graphics shown in Figure 1, there is sudden degradation of OIT in all three conditions in 24 hours of experimental set up. It gives about 28.5%, 19.7% and 2.8% degradation at respective anaerobic, aerobic and aerobic with substrate condition in comparison to 1 hour of recovery period. Likewise, for the same biocide component during whole experiment period of 336 hours, there is no detectable amount found. Furthermore, in 24 hours TB shows slow degradation of 99.1%, 98.7% & 80.07% and at 336 hours it reaches to 72.23%, 73.81% and 68.78% for anaerobic, aerobic and aerobic with substrate conditions respectively. Again, in 24 hours of experimental time there is no decreasing of IRG in anaerobic and aerobic conditions, but it reaches 82.08% for aerobic with substrate condition. At 336 hours of total experimental time it reaches to 68.85%, 71.08% and 66.53% with these three conditions. TBU is also slowly decreased at 24 hours and found 98.22% for anaerobic and 82.32% for aerobic with substrate condition but found slightly higher in comparison to first hour in aerobic condition. At last hour of experiment it found 63.72%, 59.61% and 59.42% with respective anaerobic, aerobic and aerobic with substrate conditions.

**Biodegradation constant (k) values**

The calculated first order degradation constant values in laboratory for all selected biocides have been summarized in Table 2. Comparing all three conditions it is clearly noticed that biodegradation is large enough in aerobic with substrate condition. The substrate addition to the medium brings measurable changes in the metabolism of the bacteria [22]. The added substrate, molasses is a source of carbon for the involved bacteria in the degradation process. The presence of substrate allows the bacteria to grow which break down or partially convert to

---

**Figure 1:** Average concentration of the selected biocides throughout the experiment.
the trace pollutant [23]. With increase in bacterial population the degradation rate get increased.

In general, biological degradation (biodegradation) is usual practice in wastewater treatment plants both in activated sludge treatment and biological filtration [24]. But the long half-lives for biodegradation has limited its effect on biocide degradation. Co-metabolism activities of the micro-organisms are responsible for degradation of the organic substance and the degradation can occur in anoxic, anaerobic and aerobic condition. As mentioned earlier, most of the organic pollutants follow the first order degradation. From batch experiment it can be easily noticed that Isoproturon (IP) has no degradation. Instead it is increasing in concentration. It may be due to some error factors during the experimental set up or in detecting into chromatography. Also it has been found that Isoproturon (IP) has very low degradability due to its low solubility in water which limits its bioavailability [25] and also nitrogen-containing organic compounds may not be easily biodegraded in the system [26,27]. In both, aerobic and anaerobic condition the biodegradation of Isoproturon is not detected throughout the experiment [20].

Broad spectrum used, Octyl-isothiazolinone (OIT) is non-persistent and readily biodegradable [28] antifungal products. The higher degradation rate of OIT is also related with the water solubility (309 mg/L) which enhance the bioavailability of the product [25]. Detected biodegradation rate constant for OIT ranges in between 0.05455 h⁻¹ to 0.15525 h⁻¹ in anaerobic to aerobic with substrate conditions.

Other compounds including Terbutryn (TB), Cybutryne, Irgarol (IRG) and Tebuconazole (TBU) have similar trend of degradation. Terbutryn (TB) is mainly used in construction material and is susceptible for microbial degradation [29] and through rain water contact reach to surface waters which create adverse effect on aquatic susceptible for microbial degradation [29] and through rain water contact reach to surface waters which create adverse effect on aquatic organisms. From experiment, the obtained value for degradation constant ranges between 0.006906 h⁻¹ to 0.001171 h⁻¹ in aerobic and anaerobic with substrate condition.

Cybutryn, Irgarol (IRG) is reported stable under natural condition [30]. Its degradation in water column and also in sediment is slow [31]. The obtained degradation constant value varies in between 0.001019 h⁻¹ to 0.001216 h⁻¹ in aerobic and aerobic with substrate conditions. This compound is reported as hydrolytically stable in water with pH 5-9 and degraded 17% and 1% in 28 days at the concentration of 10 mg/l and 20 mg/l respectively [32].

The biodegradation rate constant of Tebuconazole (TBU) is found in between 0.001345 h⁻¹ to 0.001554 h⁻¹ in anaerobic and aerobic with substrate condition respectively. TBU is persistent fungicide [33] and widely used to control soil-borne and foliar diseases in the crops. The azole fungicides were observed slow degradation in water [34] and have long persistent effect on aqueous environment.

The Board for the Authorization of Plant Protection Products and Biocides, based on biodegradation screening tests, has classified biocides depending on the rate of biodegradation constant ‘k’ [35].

| Conditions          | Biocides | Aerobic | Anaerobic | Aerobic + Substrate |
|---------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------|
|                     | IP       | OIT     | TB        | IRG                 | TBU     |
| Anaerobic           | -0.000043| 0.054551| 0.000971  | 0.001114            | 0.001345|
| Aerobic             | -0.000143| 0.070601| 0.000906  | 0.001019            | 0.001544|
| Aerobic + Substrate | -0.000008| 0.155254| 0.001117  | 0.001216            | 0.001554|

*Negative biodegradation constant may be error factors in experimental procedure or instrumental detection error.

Table 2: Measured biodegradation rate (k) constant (in h⁻¹).

Test results/biodegradability Minimum constant k Half-life
Readily biodegradable 0.047 d⁻¹ (1.128 h⁻¹) 15 d (360 h) 10 days window
Readily biodegradable but failing 0.014 d⁻¹ (0.336 h⁻¹) 50 d (1200 h) 10 days window
Inherently biodegradable 0.0047 d⁻¹ (0.1128 h⁻¹) 150 d (3600 h) 10 days window
Not biodegradable 0 d⁻¹ (0 h⁻¹)

Table 3: Biodegradability based on reaction constant (Source: Board for the Authorization of plant protection products and biocides, Kortekaas, 2010).

Using the values presented in Table 3 investigated biocides are classified in Table 4.

From this classification we found all biocides are inherently biodegradable in all three conditions. The only exception is Octyl-isothiazolinone (OIT), which in aerobic with substrate condition, is found to be readily biodegradable.

Modeled concentration and Half-life for biodegradation of selected biocides

Octyl-isothiazolinone (OIT) has a significant biodegradation. The HPLC-MS cannot detect the biocide after 7 days (168 hours). However, analytical model provides values for lower concentration for this compound (Figure 2). The other three biocides, including Terbutryn (TB), Cybutryn, Irgarol (IRG) and Tebuconazole (TBU) have slower rate of biodegradation. Calculated value for biodegradation rate constant from experiment is used in the model by using the equation C=C₀ e⁻kt where C is concentration at time t and C₀ is initial concentration (in this case concentration after one hour of experimental set up).

Half-life for biodegradation of half concentration of the selected biocides are shown in Table 5. It is clear that the biodegradation is the common source of uncertainty. Though half - life for each condition for each selected biocide is complex but all have lower half-life in aerobic with substrate condition. It is again endorsed with larger number of microbial population with addition of substrate.

From the present laboratory experiment, the selected biocides degraded half of its amount from lesser than a week for OIT in aerobic with substrate condition to more than a month TB in aerobic. For almost all of these biocides aerobic with substrate condition has shorter half-life period. For OIT and TBU, aerobic condition has shorter half-life time than anaerobic but is opposed for TB and IRG having longer half-life with aerobic condition.

Isoproturon (IP) compound is mainly used to preserve facades and building materials [2]. It can be better degraded with hydrolysis and photodegradation in a slower rate process [24]. The half-life is more than a month [36]. But at present study we found the negative biodegradation constant values.

The Isothiazolinones groups are widely used biocides in cooling
water, pulp and paper water treatment, in cosmetic products, shampoos and paints [37]. These group compounds are readily degradable having the half-lives between 1 and 17 hours [38]. The half-life for OIT is found between 5 to 13 hours for aerobic with substrate and anaerobic conditions respectively. The half-life in water found 30 days [39]. Terbutryn (TB) used as weed controller [40], is not toxic to soil but toxic to aquatic animals and have tendency to bio-concentrate. The half-life in water is in between 180-240 days [41]. But in wastewater the biodegradation half-life has been found 618 to 768 hours in aerobic with substrate and aerobic conditions respectively. Cybutryne, Irgarol 1051 (IRG) using as antifouling agents and also to prevent algal growth and is persistent in water. The aquatic half-life is 100 days (2400 hours) [42]. The biodegradation of this compound is hard which however will be easily photodegraded. In this experiment we found the half-life in between 570 to 684 hours. The half-life for biodegradation of TBU compound in soil has found 49 days (1176 hours) with first order degradation [33] in laboratory test. It is quiet faster degraded in wastewater; half-life time is ranged in between 444 to 516 hours.

---

**Table 5:** Half-life of selected biocides from the modeling using first order biodegradation constant from laboratory experiment.

| Conditions          | OIT  | TB    | IRG   | TBU    |
|---------------------|------|-------|-------|--------|
| Anaerobic           | 13   | 714   | 624   | 516    |
| Aerobic             | 10   | 768   | 684   | 450    |
| Aerobic + Substrate | 5    | 618   | 570   | 444    |

**Table 6:** Adjusted biodegradation constant values ($k_{adj}$) from modeled and experimental computation.

| Biocides | Anaerobic $k_{adj}$ (h$^{-1}$) | Aerobic $k_{adj}$ (h$^{-1}$) | Aerobic + Substrate $k_{adj}$ (h$^{-1}$) |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| IP       | 0.0000009                      | -0.000107                    | 0.000061                                 |
| OIT      | 0.052279                       | 0.067659                     | 0.148789                                 |
| TB       | 0.001001                       | 0.000917                     | 0.001265                                 |
| IRG      | 0.001179                       | 0.001015                     | 0.001323                                 |
| TBU      | 0.001348                       | 0.001461                     | 0.001618                                 |

---

**Figure 2:** Modeled biocide biodegradation curve by using calculated $k$ (h$^{-1}$); S=substrate.
Figure 3: Measured and adjusted degradation constant ($k$) values for minimal SSD.
Adjusted biodegradation constant and best fit biodegradation curve

In order to get a better fit curve from analytical modeling for biodegradation, the measured (experimental) values and modeled values with respective time were adjusted. It has been done by minimizing the standard square deviation (SSD) in between these two parameters. The adjusted biodegradation rate constant \((k_{adj})\) for the best fit modelled curve with the computed values from the experiment are presented in Table 6. The difference in between measured and adjusted biodegradation constant values has been shown in Figure 3.

Again, the adjusted biodegradation constant \((k)\) values were used to get best fit modeled curve from first order biodegradation.

As shown in Figure 3, difference of standard square deviation (SSD) in between measured and adjusted degradation rate constant is 0.000052 h\(^{-1}\), 0.000036 h\(^{-1}\), 0.000069 h\(^{-1}\) respectively for anaerobic, aerobic and aerobic with substrate condition for degradation of IP. OIT has greatest degradation rate constant for aerobic with substrate condition. The difference between measured and adjusted constant rate is 0.0023 h\(^{-1}\), 0.003 h\(^{-1}\) and 0.0065 h\(^{-1}\) at anaerobic, aerobic and aerobic with substrate conditions. However, TB, IRG and TBU lesser variation in standard square deviation in between them and within three set up laboratory conditions. The difference in SSD for TB, is 0.00003 h\(^{-1}\), 0.000011 h\(^{-1}\), 0.000148 h\(^{-1}\); for IRG is 0.000065 h\(^{-1}\), 0.000004 h\(^{-1}\), 0.000107 h\(^{-1}\); for TBU is 0.000003 h\(^{-1}\), 0.000083 h\(^{-1}\), 0.000064 h\(^{-1}\) in anaerobic, aerobic and aerobic with substrate conditions respectively. Best fitted curve after
adjuring the degradation constant for selected biocides are presented hereunder in Figure 4.

Figure 4 shows the best fitting curve for selected biocides from modeled and measured concentration where we can observe IP has inclining graphics for aerobic condition, showing negative result but in other laboratory conditions it is slightly decreasing. However, the drastic changing curve for the OIT gives non detectable amount within 24 to 72 hours of the experiment run. For rest of the biocides TB, IRG and TBU are decreasing gradually with higher rate in aerobic with substrate condition.

Conclusion

Waste water treatment plant could be one of the major point source for chemical discharge including biocides into the recipients. On the other hand, it has consequential effect in reducing such pollutants in the case they are removed at the waste water treatment plant. For this purpose engineering set ups including both physical structures and bio-chemical processing are needed.

This study found that the rate of biodegradation for selected biocides is smaller in comparison to readily biodegradable waste in other wording, biocides require more time to degrade compared with simpler organic compounds. With addition of substrate a faster decrease of biocide concentration was noticed in all samples, suggesting the presence of co-metabolism processes where bacteria eating food decrease of biocide concentration was noticed in all samples, suggesting IP has inclination graphics for aerobic condition, showing negative result but in other laboratory conditions it is slightly decreasing. However, the drastic changing curve for the OIT gives non detectable amount within 24 to 72 hours of the experiment run. For rest of the biocides TB, IRG and TBU are decreasing gradually with higher rate in aerobic with substrate condition.

It has been noticed that there is sudden reduction in biocides concentration in the beginning stage which is supposed to be due to adsorption phenomena and also claim for the recovery of concentration. OIT has highest recovery and adsorption amount than the others.

The obtained biodegradation constant ‘k’ values from the laboratory experiment has been modeled using first order kinetics and later the biodegradation curve has been fitted by statistical method by lowering the standard square deviation (SSD) in between modeled and computed ‘k’ values.
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