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ABSTRACT

Tobacco companies are marketing new ‘heated tobacco products’ (HTPs) composed of battery-powered holders, chargers and tobacco plugs or sticks. The non-tobacco HTP components have escaped effective regulation under many countries’ tobacco control laws because they are packaged and sold separately from the tobacco-containing components. In the USA, HTPs cannot be marketed unless the Food and Drug Administration determines that allowing their sale would be ‘appropriate for the protection of the public health’. Philip Morris International (PMI) is seeking permission to market its IQOS HTP in the USA with ‘modified risk tobacco product’ (MRTP) claims that it reduces exposure to harmful substances and is less harmful than other tobacco products. However, PMI has not submitted adequate scientific evidence required by US law to demonstrate that the product is significantly less harmful to users than other tobacco products, that its labelling would not mislead consumers, or that its marketing—without or with MRTP claims—would benefit the health of the population as a whole. Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) must take measures to reduce tobacco use and nicotine addiction, and prevent false or misleading tobacco product labelling, advertising and promotions; the introduction of new HTPs must be assessed according to these goals. All components of HTPs should be regulated at least as stringently as existing tobacco products, including restrictions on labelling, advertising, promotion and sponsorship, sales to minors, price and taxation policies and smokefree measures. There is nothing in US law or the FCTC that prevents authorities from prohibiting HTPs.

‘Heated tobacco products’, also known as ‘heat-not-burn’ products,1 use battery-powered systems to heat sticks of compressed tobacco, flavours and other chemicals to produce a nicotine aerosol to create a ‘nicotine hit’ that imitates cigarette smoking.2 A commercial failure in previous decades,4 major tobacco companies now promote HTPs in many countries as less harmful alternatives to conventional cigarettes, including Philip Morris International’s (PMI) ‘IQOS’, Japan Tobacco International’s ‘Ploom TECH’ and British American Tobacco’s (BAT) ‘glo’.3

The US Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act5 (TCA) assigned the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authority to regulate tobacco products, including modified (reduced) risk tobacco products (MRTP). The TCA requires premarket authorisation of all tobacco products (Section 910)6 and does not permit manufacturers to market tobacco products with claims that they are ‘modified risk tobacco products’ (ie, the product is sold to reduce harm or the risk of tobacco-related disease, or to reduce consumers’ exposure to harmful substances) without first demonstrating to FDA that these claims are supported by scientific evidence. (Section 911)4 FDA must refer all MRTP applications (MRTPA) to its Tobacco Products Scientific Advisory Committee (TPSAC) which must report its recommendations (which are advisory only) to FDA. (Section 911(b))

Outside the USA, the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control6 (FCTC, the USA is not a party), and its implementing guidelines7 provide frameworks for parties to enact implementing national legislation, including prohibitions on misleading advertising (Article 11(1)(a))5 (Article 11 Guidelines for Implementation)6 and misleading packaging and labelling (Article 13(4)(a))5 (Article 13 Guidelines for Implementation)7 which serve similar purposes as MRTP review. Further, parties are ‘encouraged to implement measures beyond those required’ by the FCTC. (Article 2)6

When the TCA and FCTC were enacted, the tobacco companies were not marketing their current HTPs. This paper uses the specific case of IQOS to analyse how these regulatory frameworks do or should apply to HTPs and related reduced harm claims.

THE IQOS HTP IS AN INTEGRATED TOBACCO PRODUCT DESIGNED TO MAINTAIN NICOTINE ADDICTION

IQOS consists of three integrated components essential for its proper functioning; a holder (which heats the tobacco material via an electronically controlled heating blade), a charger (which recharges the holder after each use) and a tobacco stick (‘HeatSticks’ or ‘HEETS’) (figure 1). As PMI acknowledges, these three components collectively comprise IQOS3; the holder and charger have no independent function without the tobacco sticks, and the tobacco sticks cannot create a nicotine aerosol without the holder and charger. In its MRTP application, PMI describes the HeatStick as ‘specifically designed to function with the holder to produce an aerosol [emphasis added].’8

PMI is taking advantage of this three-component design to package and sell the tobacco sticks separately from IQOS HEETS, thereby evading existing tobacco control labelling, marketing and tax laws in some countries. For example, in Canada many chain convenience stores sell IQOS HEETS, but not the device itself, and store clerks inform customers to contact a non-tobacco representative to arrange for the
Figure 1  Schematic drawing of the IQOS showing its components (A), a schematic exploded view drawing of the holder (B), and a schematic cross-sectional view of the tobacco stick (C) (Executive Summary).7

device purchase.9 In Korea,10 PMI markets its IQOS holder, charger and related accessories in packages that do not contain the HEETS labelled only as ‘IQOS’ without any reference to tobacco or health warnings required on tobacco product packaging. PMI sells the HEETS in separate packages branded with Marlboro or other cigarette brands that include health warnings and comply with tobacco product packaging and labelling laws.

The product description of IQOS in PMI’s MRTPA is heavily redacted,7 but states that the IQOS holder contains an electronic chip (firmware) used to ‘control the temperature’, ‘detect puffs’ and conduct other functions that control the user’s nicotine intake. (Module 3.1, Product Description)7 PMI testified at the January 2018 TPSAC meeting that the IQOS device is able to capture data such as the number of puffs taken, but said this information is used for diagnostic purposes if the device is returned.11 Additionally, PMI testified to TPSAC that IQOS’s Bluetooth functionality is used to deliver messages to consumers such as ‘you haven’t used your IQOS device today’ and to remind them to reorder tobacco HeatSticks.11 The fact that IQOS measures a user’s puff-by-puff heating profile,11 12 integrates IQOS’s Bluetooth capability with mobile phones and computers,13 and automatically reminds consumers to continuously use the device and to reorder tobacco sticks11 suggests that it calibrates the delivery of nicotine to ensure not only ‘satisfaction’, but also the potential for PMI to customise the dose, speed of delivery...
and continuous use of nicotine to maximise addictive potential for individual users.12 14 15

REGULATION OF HTPS IN THE USA

How tobacco products are defined impacts how they are regulated.16 The TCA defines ‘tobacco products’ as ‘any product made or derived from tobacco that is intended for human consumption, including any component, part, or accessory of a tobacco product...’ [emphasis added]. (Section 101(a)) IQOS (including the HeatSticks, holder and charger) falls squarely under the FDA’s definition of ‘tobacco product’; PMI does not dispute that its IQOS product (including all three components) is a ‘tobacco product’ under US law and subject to most regulations that pertain to tobacco products (eg, premarket review (Section 910)) and prohibition on distribution of free samples.17

The Deeming Rule, in which FDA took jurisdiction over tobacco products beyond cigarettes and smokeless tobacco, defines ‘component or part’ to include materials intended or expected to alter or affect the tobacco product’s performance, composition or characteristics18 and extends FDA’s regulatory authority to cover all tobacco products meeting the definition of ‘tobacco product’ including HTPs and their components and parts.19 Certain provisions of the Deeming Rule (eg, prohibition of sales to customers under age 18 and vending machine sales)20 apply only to ‘covered tobacco products’ which ‘excludes any component or part that is not made or derived from tobacco’.18 20

The loophole FDA created in the Deeming Rule contradicts the clear definition of ‘tobacco product’ in the TCA which includes ‘any component, part, or accessory’. The loophole creates opportunities for companies to sell IQOS devices to youth in violation of the law’s intent.

Additionally, the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act21 (FCALA) defines ‘cigarettes’ as ‘any roll of tobacco wrapped in paper or in any substance not containing tobacco’ and the regulations implemented under the TCA incorporated this definition.18 Because the HeatSticks also meet the definition of ‘cigarettes’, additional restrictions that apply to cigarettes but not other tobacco products (eg, required cigarette warnings)22 and prohibition of advertising on electronic communication media23 should apply to the HeatSticks.

Before being permitted to market a new tobacco product in the USA, manufacturers must first receive premarket authorisation from FDA through a premarket tobacco product application (PMTA), a ‘substantial equivalence’ (SE) order or an exemption from SE. (Section 910(a)(2)) The less rigorous SE pathway is not available to the current generation of HTPs because no HTPs with similar characteristics were marketed in the USA before 15 February 2007, (Section 910(a)(3)) and HTPs are not ‘minor modifications’ of any product that was marketed in the USA before 15 February 2007. (Section 905(j)(3)) A PMTA applicant has the burden of showing that the product ‘would be appropriate for the protection of the public health’, determined with respect to ‘the risks and benefits to the population as a whole, including users and nonusers’, taking into account the increased or decreased likelihood that existing users will stop using tobacco products, and non-users will start using them. (Section 910(c)(4)) This stringent standard essentially requires the applicant to demonstrate that, on balance, ‘allowing the sale of the new product would likely reduce tobacco-related harms’.23 Additionally, FDA is required to deny a PMTA for any product whose proposed labelling is ‘false or misleading in any particular’. (Section 910(c)(2)(O))

Furthe...
If PMI cannot revise its application to demonstrate that marketing IQOS would actually be ‘appropriate for the protection of the public health’ and its proposed labelling would not be misleading, FDA would be required by statute to deny PMI’s applications, and PMI would not be permitted to market IQOS with or without any related modified-risk claims.

FDA’s decisions regarding PMI’s IQOS applications will influence how other governments regulate HTPs and related reduced-risk claims throughout the world. Indeed, PMI stated in its 2018 annual report, ‘We remain focused on our aspiration to see IQOS launched in the United States’ and ‘Future FDA actions may influence the regulatory approach of other governments’.53

REGULATION OF HTPS IN FCTC PARTY COUNTRIES

The objective of the FCTC is ‘to protect present and future generations from the devastating health, social, environmental and economic consequences of tobacco consumption and exposure to tobacco smoke’ and to reduce ‘continually and substantially the prevalence of tobacco use’. (Article 3) The 181 parties to the FCTC commit to implementing legislative and other measures ‘for preventing and reducing tobacco consumption, nicotine addiction and exposure to tobacco smoke’, (Article 5) to inform every person of ‘the health consequences, addictive nature and mortal threat posed by tobacco consumption’, to prevent initiation and decreasing the consumption of tobacco products ‘in any form’, (Article 4) and to prevent and reduce ‘nicotine addiction’ in addition to tobacco consumption. (Article 5)

The FCTC provides strong and broad support for parties to adopt measures protecting the public from the dangers of HTPs. Although the FCTC (negotiated between 1999 and 2003) does not specifically discuss HTPs, it was not intended to be limited to conventional cigarettes, smokeless tobacco and other tobacco products being marketed at the time. The objective of the FCTC is unequivocally to protect ‘future generations’ as well as present generations, (Article 3) and it anticipates the introduction of new products that would be introduced after treaty negotiations concluded in 2003. FCTC Article 2 encourages parties to implement measures that go beyond and are stricter than those required by the FCTC. (Article 2) Countries can choose various approaches to regulating HTPs consistent with FCTC’s goals.

Regulating HTPs as tobacco products

FCTC Article 1 defines ‘tobacco products’ as ‘products entirely or partly made of the leaf tobacco as raw material which are manufactured to be used for smoking, sucking, chewing or sniffing’. (Article 1) Unlike the definition under US law, the FCTC’s definition of ‘tobacco product’ does not explicitly include ‘any component, part, or accessory of a tobacco product’. However, because HTPs are integrated products that are partly made of tobacco and are used for smoking or sucking, some countries may interpret their laws to consider HTPs as tobacco products. Significantly, in its own documents and marketing claims, PMI refers to its ‘IQOS system’ as one integrated tobacco product. PMI states that IQOS’s tobacco sticks are ‘specifically designed to function with the holder’, and refers to IQOS interchangeably as ‘IQOS’, ‘the IQOS system, consisting of the tobacco sticks, holder, and charger’ and as ‘the Tobacco Heating System’. On its website, PMI markets the three main IQOS components as a singular tobacco product, the IQOS ‘tobacco heating system’.54

The fact that HTPs are considered ‘tobacco products’ under the FCTC is confirmed in several statements issued by the Convention Secretariat.55 In 2016, the FCTC Conference of the Parties...
Prohibiting HTPs

The simplest and most effective way to deal with HTPs under the FCTC would be to prohibit the introduction of HTPs which is supported by the FCTC’s goals, including protecting future generations from the devastating health consequences of tobacco consumption, (Article 3) preventing the initiation of tobacco products ‘in any form’ (Article 4) and preventing and reducing ‘nicotine addiction’. (Article 5) Historical arguments against banning cigarettes (eg, that it would lead addicted smokers to seek nicotine elsewhere and create a black market) do not apply to a ban on products like HTPs that have yet to be introduced or are as yet a minor segment of the nicotine market.

By introducing IQOS or other HTPs, tobacco companies are likely to increase tobacco consumption, increase nicotine addiction, increase initiation among youth and non-smokers, undermine efforts to denormalise and significantly reduce tobacco use, and create a new market of tobacco products that once established will be difficult to control. PMI has introduced IQOS in some markets where it is treated differently from cigarettes under existing regulatory frameworks, allowing it to advertise IQOS and engage in intensive one-to-one marketing to switch current smokers to IQOS which would not be permitted under those countries’ FCTC-aligned tobacco control legislation. The fact that IQOS could be programmed to maximise addictive potential (discussed above) is of particular concern.

Recent experience with e-cigarettes suggests that introducing new, highly addictive tobacco products where cigarettes are available may increase initiation and encourage dual use, especially among youth, and sustain nicotine addiction in violation of the FCTC’s principles.

Some FCTC parties have already effectively banned HTPs. For example, in 2013 Singapore banned emerging tobacco products including e-cigarettes and devices that are smoked or mimic smoking. In Australia, nicotine is a scheduled poison, so products containing nicotine for human consumption are prohibited unless for ‘human therapeutic use’ (cigarettes are grandfathered).

Definitions in national implementing legislation

The statutory systems of each of the 181 parties to FCTC differ, and the legal mechanisms for drafting, amending or interpreting laws will be specific to each country. Because many parties enacted national legislation to implement the FCTC before the current generation of HTPs were being marketed, some countries’ laws use definitions of ‘tobacco products’ that are ambiguous with regard to HTPs. Removing any ambiguity or potential for misunderstanding will make it more difficult for tobacco companies to claim there are loopholes that exempt HTPs or any HTP components from tobacco control laws.

As discussed above, tobacco companies are seeking to take advantage of this ambiguity by disassembling their integrated tobacco products and selling the components that do not contain tobacco in separate packages and even separate stores to evade labelling and advertising laws. Parties to the FCTC should ensure that all of the tobacco control measures contained in the FCTC apply to all components of the HTP system, whether sold as a single system or as separate components.

Countries should interpret their definitions to include HTPs (and other new tobacco products), and, if necessary to avoid ambiguity, amend their definitions, for example, ‘tobacco products’ means products entirely or partly made of tobacco which are manufactured to be used for inhaling, smoking, chewing, snuffing or by any other means (see, eg, definitions of ‘tobacco products’ found in Thailand, the Philippines, Cambodia, Oman and Uganda). Alternatively, countries could add language to clarify that their existing definitions of tobacco products include components like the US definition, and ensuring that all regulations that apply to tobacco products also apply to their components. COP should issue an opinion recommending that parties subject HTPs to all FCTC regulatory measures applied to tobacco products and prohibit tobacco industry attempts to evade these measures.

Countries could also clarify and broaden the definition of ‘smoking’ in their laws. The FCTC Article 8 Guidelines recommended defining ‘smoking’ as ‘being in possession or control of a lit tobacco product regardless of whether the smoke is being actively inhaled or exhaled’. Countries that adopted this language should change the definition so that it would clearly include HTPs. This could be accomplished by explicitly adding HTPs to the definition of smoking. COP should issue a decision recommending all parties to ensure their definition of ‘smoking’ includes HTPs and other new tobacco products that may emerge.

Packaging, advertising and marketing

FCTC Article 11 requires parties to adopt measures that prohibit packaging and labelling that promotes a tobacco product ‘by any means that are false, misleading, deceptive or likely to create an erroneous impression about its characteristics, health effects,
hazards or emissions”, including any figurative or other sign ‘that directly or indirectly creates the false impression that a particular tobacco product is less harmful than other tobacco products’.72 FCTC defines ‘tobacco advertising and promotion’ to mean ‘any form of commercial communication, recommendation or action with the aim, effect or likely effect of promoting a tobacco product or tobacco use directly or indirectly’. (Article 1(c))73

FCTC’s Article 13 advertising, promotion and sponsorship provisions (Article 13(2)) urge parties to adopt comprehensive bans of all tobacco product advertising, promotion and sponsorship to reduce consumption of tobacco products. The Article 13 Guidelines for Implementation (Article 13)74 underscore that such a ‘comprehensive ban’ applies to all kinds of tobacco promotion without exception, including indirect advertising, acts that are likely to have a promotional effect and commercial communications. For example, in addition to traditional media and internet advertisements, Article 13 ‘advertising, promotion and sponsorship’ restrictions include display of tobacco products at points of sale, packaging and product features (including colours, logos, pictures and materials), brand stretching and corporate social responsibility campaigns. (Article 13)75

The Article 13 Guidelines are particularly relevant to current IQOS promotions, including its ‘smoke-free future’ campaign76 and its product features and marketing that appeal to youth and adolescents, including using packaging that uses colours, logos and materials that mimic iPhones, and selling IQOS in stores that imitate Apple computer stores.77 81 82 83 84 85 It is important to recognise that any advertising or promotion of IQOS, including in particular promotions of the holder alone or any other IQOS component that has been separated for marketing purposes, is promoting tobacco within the meaning of the FCTC because it is not only likely to promote a tobacco product or use, but its specific aim is to promote use of the IQOS tobacco sticks (HeatSticks or HEETS). Neither the IQOS holder nor the IQOS tobacco sticks have any utility other than when used together. Following this reasoning, in April 2018, Lithuania’s tobacco regulator fined a PMI subsidiary for advertising the IQOS device, determining the device is subject to the same advertising restrictions as other tobacco products74 based on its view that ‘this device can only be used to smoke tobacco products’.75

As of May 2018, PMI was promoting IQOS as a less harmful alternative to cigarettes.1 3 9 50 60 76 Because these claims have not been substantiated with scientific evidence, they violate FCTC’s Article 11 and Article 13 prohibitions. PMI’s ‘smoke-free future’ campaign73 appears designed to renormalise tobacco use, rather than to treat tobacco dependence or promote the free future’ campaign73 appears designed to renormalise tobacco use, rather than to treat tobacco dependence or promote the end of tobacco.63 In February 2018, WHO issued a statement condemning BAT’s promotional statements for its glo HTP implying that WHO endorsed glo as a less harmful alternative to conventional cigarettes and said, ‘There is no evidence to demonstrate that HTPs are less harmful than conventional tobacco products’.77

In countries that cannot enact comprehensive bans, Article 13(4) states that at a minimum, parties shall prohibit 'all forms of tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship that promote a tobacco product by any means that are false, misleading or deceptive or likely to create an erroneous impression about its characteristics, health effects, hazards, or emissions'. (Article 13(4))1 Under this provision, countries should prohibit PMI from all forms of advertising or promoting IQOS. In the absence of such a prohibition, at a minimum, countries should not permit PMI to market IQOS with unsubstantiated explicit or implicit claims that it is safer than conventional cigarettes, including through using deceptive packaging or colours to alter consumers’ perceptions of the product’s harmfulness.78-83

Since scientific evidence does not support PMI’s reduced risk claims about IQOS, they are false, misleading and/or deceptive and likely to create misperception about IQOS’s health impacts, just as ‘light’ and ‘mild’ claims were found to mislead consumers.26 27 Therefore, they are prohibited under Articles 11 and 13.

CONCLUSION

Tobacco manufacturers are using unsubstantiated claims of reduced health risks associated with their new HTPs and aggressive marketing campaigns that are especially effective among youth and adolescents to introduce and market their latest versions of supposedly ‘safer cigarettes’. The companies have not provided evidence to demonstrate that these new products are actually less harmful, and there is evidence that the companies’ marketing claims mislead consumers. Companies have tried to evade existing laws intended to regulate tobacco products, including HTPs, by breaking apart the products and selling the components separately.

Because the tobacco sticks can only be used when attached to the HTP heating devices, sales restrictions, youth access and advertising and labelling laws (including FCLAA restrictions that apply to cigarettes in the USA) should apply to the complete HTP system, including all components. Loopholes in laws and regulations (including the FDA’s Deeming Rule) that would allow HTPs to evade tobacco control restrictions if the holder is sold separately from the tobacco stick should be eliminated. PMI’s aggressive marketing techniques for IQOS using targeted
customer interventions and sophisticated technologies to capture data, monitor use and convert customers should concern privacy and public health advocates. Policy-makers in places that do not prohibit the sale of HTPs should amend or enact comprehensive tobacco control laws that ensure HTPs are captured for the purposes of all tobacco product restrictions under the TCA and FCTC measures, including smokefree laws, advertising, promotion and sponsorship, packaging and labelling, taxation, and content regulation as appropriate. Under no circumstances should HTPs be treated less strictly than combustible tobacco products.
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