Shaft Diameter Measurement Using Structured Light Vision
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Abstract: A method for measuring shaft diameters is presented using structured light vision measurement. After calibrating a model of the structured light measurement, a virtual plane is established perpendicular to the measured shaft axis and the image of the light stripe on the shaft is projected to the virtual plane. On the virtual plane, the center of the measured shaft is determined by fitting the projected image under the geometrical constraints of the light stripe, and the shaft diameter is measured by the determined center and the projected image. Experiments evaluated the measuring accuracy of the method and the effects of some factors on the measurement are analyzed.
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1. Introduction

Shafts are one of most important machine elements and the accuracy of machined shafts has a significant effect on the properties of any mechanical device that employs one. The accuracy of a machined shaft can be ensured using a Computer Numerical Control lathe, but mechanical wear in a CNC lathe system, system deformation, and the radial wear of the machining tools will reduce the accuracy of the machined shaft. If the variation of the shaft diameter could be monitored during its machining, the dimensional accuracy of the product could be ensured by the automatic compensation system of the CNC lathe. Therefore, on-line measurement of shaft size is very important in machining.

A variety of noncontact machine vision methods for measuring the product diameters have been proposed [1–5]. These methods can be classified as active methods and passive methods. Passive
measurement methods use a camera or two cameras to obtain shaft diameters [6,7]. In 2008, Song et al. [8] proposed a method where both edges of a shaft were imaged onto two cameras through two parallel light paths as shown in Figure 1 [8]. Using this approach, the shaft diameter was obtained by measuring the length of the photosensitive units on the shadow field of the two CCDs (Charge-Coupled Device) and the distance between the two parallel light paths. This method can amplify the measurement range while ensuring the measuring accuracy; however, it is very difficult to calibrate the position of the two cameras.

In 2013, Sun [9] used a camera to obtain shaft diameters, employing a theory of plane geometry in the cross-section, which is perpendicular to the axis of the shaft, as shown in Figure 2 [9]. This method can achieve a high measuring accuracy, but it requires the calibration of the plane of the center line of the shaft before the measurement. In practice, the position of the center line changes each time the shaft is clamped by the jaw chucks of the lathe. This change of position will decrease the accuracy of measurement.

Figure 1. The optical principle of the parallel light projection method with double light paths (with permission from [8]).

Figure 2. The orientations of the shaft and the measurement plane in 3D space (with permission from [9]).
Active measurement methods generally use one or more cameras and lasers to achieve accurate measurements of shaft diameters. In 2001, Sun et al. [10] used a pair of line-structured lasers and cameras to measure shaft diameters, as shown in Figure 3 [10]. The lights was projected onto the pipe, then captured by two cameras, and the diameter of a steel pipe could be determined by fitting the ellipse with the two developed arcs. It is difficult to ensure that the two arcs are on the same plane, so the accuracy of the method is limited. In 2010, Liu et al. [11] reported a method for measuring shaft diameters using a line-structured laser and a camera, as shown in Figure 4 [11]. The coordinates of the light stripe projected on the shaft were obtained using a novel gray scale barycenter extraction algorithm along the radial direction. The shaft diameter was then obtained by circle fitting using the generated coordinates. If the line-structured light is perpendicular to the measured shaft, the shaft diameter can be obtained by fitting a circle. Thus, this method is limited by the measurement environment.

Figure 3. Sketch of the measurement system (with permission from [10]).

Figure 4. Mathematical model of the system (with permission from [11]).

In this study, a method for measuring shaft diameter is proposed using a line-structured laser and a camera. Based on the direction vector of the measured shaft axis, which is calibrated before the measurement, a virtual plane is established perpendicular to the axis and the image of a light stripe on the shaft is projected to the virtual plane. The shaft diameter is then determined from the projected image on the virtual plane. To improve the measuring precision, the center of the projected image was determined by fitting the projected image using a set of geometrical constraints.

This report is organized as follows: Section 2 calibrates the structured light system. Section 3 outlines the model of the proposed method. Section 4 reports the experimental results used to test the measuring accuracy and influences of several factors. Section 5 provides the study’s conclusions.
2. Calibration of the Structure Light Measuring System

2.1. Calibration of Camera Parameters

The calibration of the measurement system employed world coordinate systems \((O_i^w X_i^w Y_i^w Z_i^w)\), a camera coordinate system \((O_c X_c Y_c Z_c)\), an image coordinate system \((o x y)\), and a pixel coordinate system \((O_0 u v)\), which were established as shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 5. Calibration model of the line structured light.](image)

Based on pinhole projection and lens distortion models, the mapping from the world coordinates to the pixel coordinates can be expressed as reported by Zhang [12] and shown in Table 1, where \(R\) is the rotation matrix; \(T\) is translation vector; \(k_1, k_2, p_1, \) and \(p_2\) are the coefficients of radial and tangential distortions; \(A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma & u_0 \\ 0 & \beta & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\) is the interior camera parameters; \(Z_w = 0\) in the model. A nonlinear function can be established by minimizing the distance between the calculated pixel coordinates of the corner points in the calibration board and the actual pixel coordinates. The function was solved using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm [13].

| Transformation                  | Equations                                      |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| From world coordinates to camera coordinates | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_c \\
Y_c \\
Z_c
\end{bmatrix} =
R \begin{bmatrix}
X_w \\
Y_w \\
1
\end{bmatrix} + T
\] (1) |
| From camera coordinates to image coordinates | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_u \\
y_u
\end{bmatrix} =
\frac{1}{Z_c} \begin{bmatrix}
X_c \\
Y_c
\end{bmatrix}
\] (2) |
| Introduce the distortion model, where, \(r^2=x^2d+y^2d,\) | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_u \\
y_u
\end{bmatrix} =
(1+k_1r^2+k_2r^4)x_d
+ 2p_1x_dx_d' + p_2 \left(r^2 + 2x_d^2\right)
\] (3) |
| From image coordinates to pixel coordinates | \[
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
v
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha & \gamma & u_0 \\
0 & \beta & v_0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x_d \\
y_d
\end{bmatrix}
\] (4) |
2.2. Calibration of Structured Light Parameters

The calibration model of the structured light is shown in Figure 5. Here, \( AB \) is the intersection line between the light plane and the calibration board, \( P \) is a point on \( AB \). The pixel coordinate of \( P \) can be extracted as described by Steger [14], and camera coordinates of \( P \) are determined using Equations (1)–(4). Different intersection lines can be obtained by turning the board, so \( P_{ij} \) is the \( j \)th point on the intersection line when the board is in the \( i \)th position.

Setting the equation of the light plane under \( OcXcYcZc \):
\[
b_1X_c + b_2Y_c + b_3Z_c - 1000 = 0
\]

The parameters \( b_1, b_2, b_3 \) can be determined by the objective function:
\[
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left| b_1X_{c_i}^j + b_2Y_{c_i}^j + b_3Z_{c_i}^j - 1000 \right|
\]

where \( n \) is number of board turns, \( k \) is the number of sample points on the intersection line when the board is in the \( i \)th position, and \((X_{c_i}^j, Y_{c_i}^j, Z_{c_i}^j)\) are camera coordinates of \( P_{ij} \). According to the principle of least squares, the coefficients of Equation (5) can be solved as:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  b_1 \\
  b_2 \\
  b_3
\end{bmatrix}
= 1000 \times
\begin{bmatrix}
  \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{c_i}^{j^2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{c_i}^{j}Y_{c_i}^{j} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{c_i}^{j}Z_{c_i}^{j} \\
  \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Y_{c_i}^{j}X_{c_i}^{j} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Y_{c_i}^{j^2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Y_{c_i}^{j}Z_{c_i}^{j} \\
  \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Z_{c_i}^{j}X_{c_i}^{j} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Z_{c_i}^{j}Y_{c_i}^{j} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Z_{c_i}^{j^2}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}
  \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{c_i}^{j} \\
  \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Y_{c_i}^{j} \\
  \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} Z_{c_i}^{j}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Because the camera parameters and coordinates of the sample points have errors, the coefficients obtained by Equation (7) will be used as initial values for optimizing the light plane. The objective function of the optimized plane is established by the distances between the points and the plane:
\[
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{|b_1X_{c_i}^j + b_2Y_{c_i}^j + b_3Z_{c_i}^j - 1000|}{\sqrt{b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2}}
\]

The parameters of the plane can be obtained using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. In the experiment, when the constant term of Equation (5) is 1, the parameters of the plane are small and the denominator of Equation (8) is around 0. To improve the optimization results, the constant term of Equation (5) is set at 1000 in the paper.

3. The Principle and Model of the Measurement

The model for measuring the shaft diameters is shown in Figure 6. A shaft is clamped at two centers, images of the shaft are captured by a CCD camera, \( \pi_1 \) is the light plane, and \( MN \) is the axis of the shaft. The stripe \( ab \) is formed by projecting the light plane \( \pi_1 \) onto the measured shaft. The pixel coordinates \( P'(u_p, v_p)_{i=1,2,...,N} \) of \( ab \) stripe centers are extracted by Steger’s algorithm. The virtual plane \( \pi_2 \) perpendicular to the measured shaft is created and the normal vector of \( \pi_2 \) is the directional vector of the
axis MN. Although the position of the axis changes slightly every time a shaft is clamped, the direction of the axis does not change. Thus, the equation of the virtual plane \( \Pi_2 \) can be obtained in \( O_cX_cY_cZ_c \) (see Appendix A for the details):

\[
b_1X_c + b_2Y_c + b_3Z_c - 1000 = 0
\]

(9)

The arc \( cd \) is formed by the virtual plane \( \Pi_2 \) intersecting the measured shaft.

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_{y'} & \quad Z_{y'} \\
X_c & \quad Y_c
\end{align*}
\]

(a)

(b)

Figure 6. The measurement model of the shaft. (a) global view, (b) local view.

Since the light plane \( \Pi_1 \) is not perpendicular to the shaft, the cross-section is an ellipse and the shaft diameter can be obtained by fitting the ellipse with stripe centers \( P_i \). The elliptic equation is:

\[
x^2 + Axy + By^2 + Cx + Dy + E = 0
\]

(10)

The parameters of Equation (10) can be obtained by the least squares method, and the length of the minor axis \( d \) is the measured shaft diameter:

\[
d = 2 \times \sqrt{\frac{2(ACD - BC^2 - D^2 + 4BE - A^2E)}{(A^2 - 4B)(B + \sqrt{A^2 + (1 - B)^2} + 1)}}
\]

(11)

Since the virtual plane \( \Pi_2 \) is perpendicular to the shaft, the cross-section is a circle and the diameter can be obtained by fitting the circle with points \( Q_i \), which are \( P_i \) projecting on the virtual plane \( \Pi_2 \). The circle equation is

\[
x^2 + y^2 + ax + by + c = 0
\]

(12)

The parameters of Equation (12) can be obtained by the least squares method, and the circle diameter \( d'_1 \) is the measured shaft diameter:

\[
d'_1 = 2 \times \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{4} - c^2}
\]

(13)

Since the pixel coordinates of the extracted stripe centers have errors, from the numerical analysis, the measuring accuracy of the shaft diameter by circle fitting is better than by ellipse fitting (see Appendix B for the detail).
The image coordinates \( P^i_u = (x^i_u, y^i_u) \) of \( P^i \) can be achieved using Equations (3) and (4), so the equations of \( OCP^i \) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_p &= x^i_u \cdot Z_p \\
Y_p &= y^i_u \cdot Z_p 
\end{align*}
\]  

(14)

The camera coordinates \( P^i = (X_p^i, Y_p^i, Z_p^i) \) can be obtained using Equations (5) and (14):

\[
\begin{align*}
X_p^i &= 1000 \times x^i_u / (b_1 \cdot x^i_u + b_2 \cdot y^i_u + b_3) \\
Y_p^i &= 1000 \times y^i_u / (b_1 \cdot x^i_u + b_2 \cdot y^i_u + b_3) \\
Z_p^i &= 1000 \times 1 / (b_1 \cdot x^i_u + b_2 \cdot y^i_u + b_3) 
\end{align*}
\]  

(15)

A set of lines \( P^iQ^i \) are made by passing through the extracted centers \( P^i \) and parallel to the measured shaft axis, as shown in Figure 6b. Since the axis \( MN \) is perpendicular to the plane \( \Pi_2 \), the direction vectors of the lines are the normal vector of \( \Pi_2 \), \( (b_1', b_2', b_3') \). Thus, the equations of lines \( P^iQ^i \) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{x - X_p^i}{b_1'} &= \frac{y - Y_p^i}{b_2'} = \frac{z - Z_p^i}{b_3'} = t_1 \\
\frac{x - X_p^2}{b_1'} &= \frac{y - Y_p^2}{b_2'} = \frac{z - Z_p^2}{b_3'} = t_2 \\
\vdots & & \\
\frac{x - X_p^N}{b_1'} &= \frac{y - Y_p^N}{b_2'} = \frac{z - Z_p^N}{b_3'} = t_N 
\end{align*}
\]  

(16)

Each line \( P^iQ^i \) has an intersection point \( Q^i \) on the plane \( \Pi_2 \). The camera coordinates \( Q^i(x^i, y^i, z^i) \) of the intersection points are obtained using Equations (9) and (16), respectively.

![Diagram](image_url)

**Figure 7.** \( O_e—X_eY_eZ_e \) and \( O_c—X_cY_cZ_c \).

To facilitate the circle fitting, a coordinate system \( (O_e—X_eY_eZ_e) \) is established on the plane \( \Pi_2 \), as shown in Figure 7. In \( O_e—X_eY_eZ_e \), \( X_eY_e \) plane is the plane \( \Pi_2 \), \( O_eZ_e \) axis is perpendicular to the plane \( \Pi_2 \), and the origin of coordinate \( O_e \) is the intersection point of the plane \( \Pi_2 \) with \( O_cZ_c \) axis. Additionally, camera coordinates of the origin of \( O_e \) \((0,0,1000 / b_3')\) can be obtained by Equation (9). Thus,
translations of the camera coordinate system are \((0,0,-1000/b_x)\) relative to \(O_c-X_cY_cZ_c\) and the camera coordinate \(Q^i\) can be changed into \(O_c-X_cY_cZ_c\) by Equation (17):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x'_c \\
    y'_c \\
    z'_c
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
    0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos \psi & 0 & \sin \psi \\
    0 & 1 & 0 \\
    -\sin \psi & 0 & \cos \psi
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    x' \\
    y' \\
    z'
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
    0 \\
    0 \\
    -1000/b_x
\end{bmatrix}
\] (17)

where, \(\psi\) is the rotation angle round \(O_cY_c\), \(\theta\) is the rotation angle round \(O_cX_c\), and \(Q^i = (x'_c, y'_c, z'_c)\) are the coordinates of \(Q^i\) in \(O_c-X_cY_cZ_c\).

Normal vector \(\vec{n} = (b'_1, b'_2, b'_3)^T\) of the plane \(\pi_2\) is converted by the coordinate rotation into \((0,0,b'_3/a)^T\) in \(O_c-X_cY_cZ_c\), where, \(a = \sqrt{(b'_1)^2 + (b'_2)^2 + (b'_3)^2}\). Thus, \(\vec{n}\) values before and after the conversion are substituted into Equation (18):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    0 \\
    0 \\
    b'_3/a
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
    0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos \psi & 0 & \sin \psi \\
    0 & 1 & 0 \\
    -\sin \psi & 0 & \cos \psi
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    b'_1 \\
    b'_2 \\
    b'_3
\end{bmatrix}
\] (18)

\(\theta\) and \(\psi\) are obtained by Equation (18). In \(O_c-X_cY_cZ_c\), because points \(Q^i\) are on \(\pi_2\), the coordinates \(Q^i\) are \(Q^i = (x'_c, y'_c, 0)\).

Since arc \(cd\) is at a side of the shaft and the coordinates of points \(Q^i\) on \(cd\) have errors, the accuracy of the shaft diameter which is directly measured by fitting points on \(cd\) is poor, as shown in Figure 8. In Figure 8, the red circle is a cross-section formed by the virtual plane \(\pi_2\) intersecting with the shaft and \(O_w\) is the center of the red circle. The circle obtained by fitting the black points on \(cd\) is blue with its center at \(O\). To improve the measuring accuracy, the center is determined by fitting black points under the geometrical constraints of arc \(cd\), and the geometrical constraints for a circle center are shown in Figure 9.

In Figure 9a, A, B, C, D are the fitting points in the circle and \(O\) is the center. Point \(E\) is the intersection point of \(AC\) and \(BD\). From the geometrical feature of a circle, the following relation holds:

\[
AE \cdot CE = BE \cdot DE = r^2 - OE^2
\] (19)

where \(r\) is radius of the shaft. Setting the center coordinate as \((x_0, y_0)\), the coordinates of the points A, B, C, D are \((x_a, y_a), (x_b, y_b), (x_c, y_c), (x_d, y_d)\). The coordinate \((x_e, y_e)\) of point \(E\) can be obtained from points A, B, C, D. Thus, the first objective function can be presented as:

\[
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i + DD_i
\] (20)

\[
D_i = \sqrt{(x_{ai} - x_{ei})^2 + (y_{ai} - y_{ei})^2} \times \sqrt{(x_{ci} - x_{ei})^2 + (y_{ci} - y_{ei})^2} - r^2 + (x_0 - x_{ei})^2 + (y_0 - y_{ei})^2
\]

\[
DD_i = \sqrt{(x_{bi} - x_{ei})^2 + (y_{bi} - y_{ei})^2} \times \sqrt{(x_{di} - x_{ei})^2 + (y_{di} - y_{ei})^2} - r^2 + (x_0 - x_{ei})^2 + (y_0 - y_{ei})^2
\]

where the value of \(r\) is estimated when optimizing Equation (20); \(n\) is number of the points.
As shown in Figure 9b, F is the midpoint of the chord L. Thus, line OF is perpendicular to the chord L and can be presented as:

$$d_i = \left(x_0 - \frac{x_{ai} + x_{ci}}{2}\right)\left(x_{ai} - x_{ci}\right) + \left(y_0 - \frac{y_{ai} + y_{ci}}{2}\right)\left(y_{ai} - y_{ci}\right)$$

$$dd_i = \left(x_0 - \frac{x_{bi} + x_{di}}{2}\right)\left(x_{bi} - x_{di}\right) + \left(y_0 - \frac{y_{bi} + y_{di}}{2}\right)\left(y_{bi} - y_{di}\right)$$

Therefore, the center coordinate can be determined by Equations (20) and (21):

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i + dd_i$$

Minimizing Equation (22) is a nonlinear minimization problem, which can be solved by the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. The initial value of the center is obtained by fitting the circle with the points in cd.

Finally, the shaft diameter is obtained by the distance between the points in cd and the optimized center:
\[ d = 2 \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{(x_i - X_0)^2 + (y_i - Y_0)^2}}{n} \]  

where \( d \) is the diameter of shaft, \((x_i, y_i)\) are coordinates of the points in \( cd \), \((X_0, Y_0)\) is the coordinate of the optimized center, and \( n \) is the number of fitting points.

4. Experiments and Analysis

Experiments were conducted to assess the utility of the proposed method. The experimental equipment employed is shown in Figure 10 and the main parameters of the equipment are shown in Table 2. The interior parameters of the camera were calibrated by the method presented in Section 2. Two shafts were used in the experiments; shaft 1 was a four-segment shaft and the shaft surface’s reflection was reduced using a special process, as shown in Figure 10a. Shaft 2 was a seven-segment shaft, as shown in Figure 10b. The shafts’ diameters were measured using a micrometer with a resolution of 1 \( \mu \)m.

![Figure 10](image)

Figure 10. Experimental equipment for measuring shafts: (a) shaft 1; (b) shaft 2.

Table 2. Experimental equipment parameters.

| Equipment      | Mold NO.      | Main Parameters                     |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| CCD camera     | JAI CCD camera| Resolution: 1376 × 1024              |
| Lens           | M0814-MP      | Focal length: 25 mm                 |
| Line projector | LH650-80-3    | Wavelength: 650 nm                  |
| Mold plane CBC75mm-2.0 | Precision of the grid: 1 \( \mu \)m |

First, the images of the shafts were captured using the camera, as shown in Figure 11. The diameters of the shafts were measured using the method described in Section 3. The measurement data are listed in Tables 3 and 4, and the measurement error was less than 25 \( \mu \)m.
To compare the proposed method with the other methods, the diameters of the shafts were obtained by directly fitting the ellipse and circle. The data for these methods are shown in Tables 5 and 6. The measuring accuracy of the present method was found to be better than that of the other two methods.

Table 5. Comparison of the measured diameters in shaft 1 (mm).

| NO. | D     | Fitting Ellipse | Fitting Circle | Present Method |
|-----|-------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
|     |       | D1 Errors       | D2 Errors      | D3 Errors      |
| 1   | 47.062| 46.992 0.07     | 47.034 0.028   | 47.05 0.012    |
| 2   | 39.76 | 39.659 0.101    | 39.698 0.062   | 39.749 0.011   |
| 3   | 34.924| 34.773 0.151    | 34.827 0.097   | 34.915 0.009   |
| 4   | 29.8  | 29.741 0.059    | 29.73 0.07     | 29.796 0.004   |
| Mean error | 0.095 | 0.064 | 0.009 |

To test the influence of the angle between the light plane and cross-section of the shaft on the proposed method, the shaft diameters were measured by light planes with three different light angles, as shown in Figure 12. Measurement results are listed in Tables 7 and 8.
Table 6. Comparison of the measured diameters in shaft 2 (mm).

| NO. | D    | Fitting Ellipse | Fitting Circle | Present Method |
|-----|------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|     |      | D1 | Errors | D2 | Errors | D3 | Errors |
| 1   | 24.753 | 24.203 | 0.55 | 24.62 | 0.133 | 24.761 | 0.008 |
| 2   | 27.664 | 27.193 | 0.471 | 27.632 | 0.032 | 27.661 | 0.003 |
| 3   | 31.473 | 30.877 | 0.596 | 31.395 | 0.078 | 31.456 | 0.017 |
| 4   | 27.492 | 27.098 | 0.394 | 27.415 | 0.077 | 27.474 | 0.018 |
| 5   | 24.621 | 24.097 | 0.524 | 24.512 | 0.109 | 24.596 | 0.025 |
| 6   | 21.687 | 21.224 | 0.463 | 21.624 | 0.063 | 21.696 | 0.009 |
| 7   | 20.113 | 19.705 | 0.408 | 19.935 | 0.178 | 20.091 | 0.022 |

Mean error | 0.487 | 0.096 | 0.015 |

Figure 12. Images of stripes at three different angles.

From Tables 7 and 8, the light angle appears to have very little effect on the measuring accuracy of the proposed method.

Table 7. Errors for different angles in shaft 1 (mm).

| NO. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Mean Error |
|-----|---|---|---|---|------------|
| a/Errors | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.009 |
| b/Errors | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.013 |
| c/Errors | 0.01 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.012 |

Table 8. Errors for different angles in shaft 2 (mm).

| NO. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean Error |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| a/Errors | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.017 | 0.018 | 0.025 | 0.009 | 0.022 | 0.015 |
| b/Errors | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.016 | 0.026 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.013 |
| c/Errors | 0.008 | 0.013 | 0.025 | 0.017 | 0.028 | 0.009 | 0.022 | 0.017 |

In order to test the influence of noise on the proposed method, noise with a variance of 0.01 and a mean of zero was added to the stripe images of shaft 1 and shaft 2, as shown in Figures 13 and 14. The center coordinates of the stripe images with the added noise were extracted by Steger’s algorithm [14]. The shaft diameters can be determined respectively by the ellipse, circle, and the proposed method fitting the center coordinates. The results are listed in Tables 9 and 10.
Figure 13. Images of stripe on shaft 1. (a) normal; (b) added noise.

Figure 14. Images of stripe on shaft 2. (a) normal; (b) added noise.

Table 9. Measurement results for shaft 1 (mm).

| D        | Fitting Ellipse | Fitting Circle | Present Method |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|          | D1  | Errors | D2  | Errors | D3  | Errors |
| Normal   | 34.924 | 0.151  | 34.827 | 0.097  | 34.915 | 0.012  |
| Added noise | 34.924 | 0.028  | 34.837 | 0.087  | 34.918 | 0.006  |
| ΔD       | 0.123  | 0.010  | 0.01  | 0.003  |

Table 10. Measurement results for shaft 2 (mm).

| D        | Fitting Ellipse | Fitting Circle | Present Method |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|          | D1  | Errors | D2  | Errors | D3  | Errors |
| Normal   | 31.473 | 0.596  | 31.395 | 0.078  | 31.456 | 0.017  |
| Added noise | 31.473 | 0.711  | 31.421 | 0.052  | 31.47  | 0.003  |
| ΔD       | 0.115  | 0.026  | 0.026 | 0.014  |

Here, the shaft diameters D were obtained by a micrometer, and ΔD is change of the shaft diameter measurements before and after the added noise. As seen in Tables 9 and 10, the noise has little effect on the measuring accuracy of the proposed method.
5. Conclusions

A method for the measurement of shaft diameters is proposed which is based on a structured light vision measurement. A virtual plane is established perpendicular to the measured shaft axis and an equation for the virtual plane is determined using a calibrated model of structured light measurement. To improve the measurement accuracy, the center of the measured shaft can be obtained by fitting the projected light stripe image on the virtual plane under the geometrical constraints. Using specific experimental conditions, measurement errors of the method were less than 25 μm and the angle between the structured light plane and the measured shaft barely affected the measurement accuracy.
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Appendix A

Since the virtual plane π2 is perpendicular to the measured shaft, the direction vector of the axis is the normal vector of π2. As shown in Figure A1, the calibration board is fixed by fixtures, and they are clamped by the two centers and the connecting line of the two centers is the axis of the shaft MN. Turning the calibration board, the images of the board can be captured by a camera and MN is the intersecting line of the calibration board planes.

Figure A1. The model of the calibrating axis. (a) sketch map; (b) image of equipment.
Based on Equation (1), Equation (A1) can be obtained:

\[
R_j^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X_c - t_i' \\ Y_c - t_i' \\ Z_c - t_i' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{i'} \\ Y_{i'} \\ Z_{i'} \end{bmatrix}
\]

Set to \( R_j^{-1} = D_j = \begin{bmatrix} d_{i1} & d_{i2} & d_{i3} \\ d_{i1} & d_{i2} & d_{i3} \\ d_{i1} & d_{i2} & d_{i3} \end{bmatrix} \), where, \( R_j \) and \( t_j \) are the rotation matrix and translation vector of the calibration target at the \( j \)th position and can be obtained by the method provided in Section 2, \( j = 1, 2 \). Since world coordinate systems \( O_wX_wY_wZ_w \) are built on the calibration target plane, \( Z_{i'} = 0 \). The equation of the calibration target plane at the \( j \)th position is:

\[
d_{31}X_c + d_{32}Y_c + d_{33}Z_c = d_{31}t_i' + d_{32}t_i' + d_{33}t_i'
\]

(A2)

The normal vector of the plane is \( S_j = (d_{31}, d_{32}, d_{33}) \). \( MN \) is the intersecting line of the two planes, so the direction vector of \( MN \) can be represented as:

\[
S_{AV} = (d_x, d_y, d_z) = S_x \times S_z = (d_{32}d_{33} - d_{33}d_{32}, d_{33}d_{31} - d_{31}d_{33}, d_{31}d_{32} - d_{32}d_{31})
\]

(A3)

As shown in Figure 6, \( O_r \) is a point in \( n_2 \) and set \( O_r \) is the intersection point of \( MN \) and \( n_1 \), and the camera coordinate of \( O_r \) is \( O_r = (X_r, Y_r, Z_r) \).

The equation of \( n_2 \) in \( OCXCYCZC \) is

\[
d_x(X_c - X_r) + d_y(Y_c - Y_r) + d_z(Z_c - Z_r) = 0
\]

(A4)

Thus, equation parameters of the virtual plane can be obtained using Equations (9) and (A4):

\[
\begin{align*}
 b_1 &= 1000 \times d_x / (d_x \cdot X_c + d_y \cdot Y_c + d_z \cdot Z_c) \\
 b_2 &= 1000 \times d_y / (d_x \cdot X_c + d_y \cdot Y_c + d_z \cdot Z_c) \\
 b_3 &= 1000 \times d_z / (d_x \cdot X_c + d_y \cdot Y_c + d_z \cdot Z_c)
\end{align*}
\]

(A5)

Appendix B

\( Q_e \) are the points for circle fitting, and the coordinates \( Q_e = (x'_i, y'_i)_{i=1,2,3,...N} \) can be obtained by Equation (17). The coordinates \( Q_e \) are substituted into Equation (12). Then Equation (12) is handled by the principle of least squares as:

\[
A_4 \bar{x}_i = b_i
\]

(B1)

where, \( A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x'_i)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i y'_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i y'_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y'_i)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y'_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y'_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1 \end{bmatrix} \), \( b_i = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x'_i)^2 + x'_i (y'_i)^2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x'_i)^2 + (y'_i)^2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x'_i)^2 + (y'_i)^2 \end{bmatrix} \), \( \bar{x}_i = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} \).

Using \( \bar{x}_i \) obtained by Equation (B1), the measured shaft diameter can be obtained by Equation (13).
The coordinates of points for ellipse fitting are \( P_i = (x'_i, y'_i), i=1,2,3,...,N \). To facilitate the ellipse fitting, a new coordinate system is established on the structured light plane \( m_1 \), and the camera coordinates \( P^i \) can be converted into \( P_i = (x'_i, y'_i), i=1,2,3,...,N \) in the coordinate system. The coordinates \( P_i \) are substituted into Equation (10). Then Equation (10) is handled by the principle of least squares as:

\[
A_2 x_2 = b_2 \quad \text{(B2)}
\]

where,

\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x'_i)^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i y'_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y'_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} y'_i & \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
b_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x'_i)^3
\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y'_i)^3
\sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i (y'_i)^2
\sum_{i=1}^{N} y'_i^4
\sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i^2
\sum_{i=1}^{N} y'_i^2
\sum_{i=1}^{N} x'_i (y'_i)^2
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
A_2 x_2 = b_2
\]

Using \( x_2 \) obtained by Equation (B2), the measured shaft diameter can be obtained by Equation (11).

Since the matrixes \( (A_1, A_2) \) and the vectors \( (b_1, b_2) \) in Equations (B1) and (B2) consist of the coordinates of the light stripe centers, the matrixes and vectors are influenced by errors of the light stripe centers’ coordinates, which show that \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are inaccurate. From Numerical Analysis (Seventh Edition) by Burden and Faires [15], the influence is analyzed as shown below.

Considering the errors of the light stripe centers’ coordinates, matrix \( A_1 \) and vector \( b_1 \) in Equation (B1) are expressed as:

\[
A_1 = A_1 + \delta A_1; \quad b_1 = b_1 + \delta b_1
\]

where \( \delta A_1 \) and \( \delta b_1 \) are caused by errors of the light stripe centers’ coordinates. Thus, \( x_1 \) in Equation (B1) becomes \( \overline{x}_1 = x_1 + \delta x_1 \), and Equation (B1) can be expressed as:

\[
(A_1 + \delta A_1) (x_1 + \delta x_1) = (b_1 + \delta b_1)
\]

\[
(A_1 + \delta A_1) x_1 + (A_1 + \delta A_1) \delta x_1 = b_1 + \delta b_1
\]

\[
(A_1 + \delta A_1) \delta x_1 = \delta b_1 - \delta A_1 \cdot x_1 \quad \text{(B4)}
\]

Set \( (A_1 + \delta A_1) \) is an invertible matrix, and from Equation (B4) we get:

\[
\delta x_1 = (A_1 + \delta A_1)^{-1} (\delta b_1 - \delta A_1 \cdot x_1)
\]

In order to analyze the error of the fitting circle equation’s parameters, the norm of Equation (B5) is:

\[
\|\delta x_1\| \leq \|(A_1 + \delta A_1)^{-1}\| \|\delta b_1 - \delta A_1 \cdot x_1\| \quad \text{(B6)}
\]

where \( \|\delta x_1\| \) is error of the circle equation’s parameters \( x_1 \) obtained by the circle fitting.

In the same way, the error of the equation’s parameters \( x_2 \) for the ellipse fitting can be obtained by Equation (B2)

\[
\|\delta x_2\| \leq \|(A_2 + \delta A_2)^{-1}\| \|\delta b_2 - \delta A_2 \cdot x_2\| \quad \text{(B7)}
\]
From Equations (B1) and (B2), \((A_1 + \delta A_1)^{-1}\) and \((A_2 + \delta A_2)^{-1}\) can be calculated by the light stripe centers’ coordinates.

When the measured shaft diameter is 24.753 mm, \(\|(A_1 + \delta A_1)^{-1}\|_2 = 27.04\) and \(\|(A_2 + \delta A_2)^{-1}\|_2 = 2454.06\) can be calculated by the coordinates of the light stripe centers.

So

\[
\|\delta x_1\|_2 \leq 27.04\|\delta b_1 - \delta A_1 \cdot \bar{x}_1\|_2 \tag{B8}
\]

\[
\|\delta x_2\|_2 \leq 2454.06\|\delta b_2 - \delta A_2 \cdot \bar{x}_2\|_2 \tag{B9}
\]

From Equations (B8) and (B9), \(\|\delta b_2 - \delta A_2 \cdot \bar{x}_2\|_2\) must be very small if \(\|\delta x_2\|_2 \approx \|\delta x_1\|_2\). From Equation (B4), \(\delta b_2 - \delta A_2 \cdot \bar{x}_2\) cannot be a zero vector. So, a very small \(\|\delta b_2 - \delta A_2 \cdot \bar{x}_2\|_2\) requires that the absolute values of elements in the matrices \(\delta A_2\) and the vector \(\delta b_2\) must be very small. This shows that the errors of the light stripe centers’ coordinates for the ellipse fitting must be smaller than the ones for the circle fitting. On the other hand, if the coordinate errors of the light stripe centers for the circle fitting are the same as those for the ellipse fitting, the error of the circle equation parameters obtained by the circle fitting is smaller than the one of the ellipse equation parameters obtained by the ellipse fitting. From Equations (11) and (13), the measuring accuracy of the shaft diameter by circle fitting is better than by ellipse fitting. When the measured shaft diameter is 27.664 mm, \(\|(A_1 + \delta A_1)^{-1}\|_2 = 19.03\) and \(\|(A_2 + \delta A_2)^{-1}\|_2 \approx 1670.7\), the same results can be obtained.
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