Exploiting the Potential of OLED-Based Photo-Organic Sensors for Biotechnological Applications
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Abstract

Micro- and milli-scale sensors have become increasingly important in modern biotechnology. Miniaturization and parallelization are also commonly employed in bio-analytical applications, environmental science and bioprocess engineering. This reduction of dimensions to the micro- and milliliter-scale stems from the demand for new sensor systems that enable non-invasive monitoring of bioprocesses in microfluidic or on-chip devices. Highly sensitive optical (bio-) sensors, with operating principles based on photoluminescence intensity or lifetime detection, hold significant promise for meeting the space-limited conditions within miniaturized biotechnological systems. In this context, the properties and applications of OLED-based organic sensors in biotechnology are discussed. The possible use of OLEDs as excitation sources in (analytical) biotechnological applications is also examined.
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Introduction

Miniaturized high-throughput operation systems including microwell plates [1-3], microfluidic devices [4], Lab-on-Chip (LOC) devices [5,6] and low-volume bioreactors [7] have become increasingly important in modern biotechnology. These systems enable rapid, highly parallelized and automated screening and analyses of large numbers of samples. Owing to the non-invasive nature, high sensitivity and easy implementation of optical monitoring systems such as light-emitting diodes (LEDs), lasers and photodiodes are widely used as monitoring devices in these small-scale systems. As discussed in other reviews, photo-organic electronics, in contrast to aforementioned conventional optical devices, allow the design of structurally integrated miniaturized sensor arrays that provide low-cost, portable and multi-analyte detection systems [8-10]. The Figure 1 shows examples of suitable orientations of integrated photo-organic sensor systems. The simplest form is associated with front-detection, where the analyte is sandwiched by the organic light emitting diode (OLED) excitation source and the photodetector [11]. However, the size of the overall device may be reduced by using a back-to-back orientation or by placing the OLED light source next to the photodetector.

Classification of optical sensors used in biotechnology

Optical sensors consisting of a light emitting device such as laser, LED, xenon or arc lamp and a photodetector (photomultiplier, photodiode) are widely used in biotechnological instruments (e.g., spectrophotometers, fluorescence microscopes or flow cytometers) and online process monitoring. Absorption, transmission and photoluminescence (PL) are the main optical effects used for analytical approaches.

Based on the measurement principle, optical sensors can be classified as PL-sensors, biosensors or absorption/transmission sensors (Figure 1). Biosensors are based on the direct coupling of a matrix-immobilized biological active receptor with a physico-chemical transducer [12]. The high affinity of the receptor for a given analyte enables detection of this analyte even within complex sample structures. The receptor materials of biosensors can be distinguished among bio-catalytically active structures in enzymes, bio-affinity-active compounds, such as antibodies or nucleic acids, and whole-cell bioactive materials. PL-sensors use fluorescent dyes which are also typically matrix-immobilized, but are biologically inactive. The PL-
properties of these dyes depend on the presence of either a specific analyte (e.g., oxygen) or the ambient conditions (e.g., pH).

Given their increasing importance, this review aims to highlight the properties and usage of OLEDs as light sources for (analytical) biotech applications. The paper consists of two main parts. In the first part, the properties of OLED-based devices are presented, with special emphasis on the state-of-the-art OLED brightness, efficiency, wavelengths and lifetimes. In the second part, recently (time frame of 10 years) developed OLED-based (bio-) sensors are reviewed and potential applications are discussed.

Properties of state of the art Organic Light Emitting Diodes (OLEDs)

OLED brightness and spectral properties: OLED technology has been valorized, i.e., progressed from research and development to commercial applications, in the last few years. OLED-based devices are used predominantly in displays for mobile applications. In fact, high-end smartphones consist mainly of active-matrix OLED displays which cost almost the same as LCD displays. His Technology estimates a production cost of $55 for the Samsung Galaxy S7 5.1-inch Quad HD Super AMOLED touchscreen display [13]. However, in addition to economic factors such as costs, several technological factors (e.g., color gamut, contrast, frame rates, device thickness, complexity and power consumption) have contributed to rapid growth of the OLED market. Although OLEDs suffered from low lifetime and brightness for many years, improved materials and encapsulation technologies as well as innovative device architectures have paved the way for ongoing commercialization and strong market growth. The Figure 2 shows the basic sequence of layers in two forms of single-unit OLED devices: a bottom-emitting OLED for transparent substrates and a top-emitting OLED for opaque substrates. The transport layers enable the balance of the charge carriers inside the OLED, thereby ensuring electron-hole recombination inside the emission layer generating the light emission. The emission layer of monochromatic OLEDs is composed of a host material and an emitter which determines the color of the OLED. In addition, optical and electrical simulations of the layer sequence are extremely useful since they allow: (i) prediction of optimal layer thicknesses, for maximum light out-coupling and (ii) adjustment of the light wavelength by utilizing the micro cavity effect [14] that occurs between the anode and cathode. It is especially important in top-emitting devices where the cathode is composed of a thin semi-transparent metal layer such as Ag. The device efficiency can be doubled [15] by applying additional light out-coupling measures.

In biotechnological applications, three key parameters for any OLED system used as an (excitation) light source are its brightness, spectral characteristics such as emissive light post-pulse spectrum and operational lifetime. Current commercial white-emitting OLED lighting panels [16] have a typical brightness of 3,000 cd/m² at 60 lm/W, color rendering index (CRI) of >86, and LT70 operational lifetimes of ~10,000 h. LT70 is defined as the lifetime corresponding to 70% of the initial brightness, thus after 10,000 h an OLED lighting device typically has a brightness of 2,100 cd/m². In fact, the OLED brightness is strongly correlated with the operational lifetime, i.e., the achievable operational lifetime decreases with increasing brightness. In the case of monochromatic OLED devices, maximum brightness values of 21,000, 125,000 and ~62,000 cd/m² have been reported for blue [17], green [18] and red [19] OLEDs, respectively. The Figure 3 shows the spectral EL-properties of monochromatic OLEDs and Table 1 lists the organic emitter materials for monochromatic devices which, to date, have exhibited the highest brightness.

The efficiency and brightness of an OLED depend on several factors, namely the:

- Efficiency of the emitter (internal quantum efficiency)
- Matching (of HOMO and LUMO energy levels) and properties of the matrix of the emission layer (charge transfer, charge transport)
- Matching (of HOMO and LUMO energy levels) and properties of the charge transport layer HTL and ETL (charge transfer, charge transport)
- Layer thickness (optical optimization for light out-coupling and charge carrier balance)
- Conductivity, optical properties and work function of the electrodes
- Optical properties of the substrate in relation to light out-coupling

Determination of OLED operational lifetimes

The lifetime of an OLED is defined as the time-dependent decrease in the brightness at a constant current density [20-23]. This decrease results from various, internal factors [24], including: time-dependent changes in morphology, temperature effects [25] (e.g., self-heating, mechanical stress,
Table 1: Overview of organic monochromatic emitter materials and the corresponding physical properties: emission wavelength ($\lambda_{em}$), quantum yield, and Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO)/Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) energy levels.

| Material | Abbreviation | Emitter type | $\lambda_{em}$ [nm] | Q.Y. $\eta$ (%) | HOMO | LUMO | $\Delta E$ | Source |
|----------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|
| 2',7'-di-tert-butyl-N,N-diphenyl-7-(4-(1-phenyl-1H-benzo[cd]imidazol-2-yl)-phenyl)-9,9'-spirob[fluorene]-2-amine | | - | blue | 457 | n.s.* | -5.21 | -2.21 | 3.00 | 17 |
| Bis(2,6-diphenylpyrimidinato) iridium (III) picolinate | Ir(ppm)2(pic) | green | 549 | 83 | -5.33 | -3.00 | 2.33 | 18 |
| Bis(2,6-diphenylpyrimidinato) iridium (III) 5-(Z-pyridyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-1,2,4-triazolate | Ir(ppm)2(laz) | green | 523 | 86 | -5.68 | -3.26 | 2.42 | 18 |
| 4-(dicyanomethyleneco-2-tetraetyl-6-(1,1,7,7-tetramethyljulolidyl)-vinyl-4H-pyran | DCJMC | orange/red | 606 | 87 | -5.43 | -3.14 | 2.29 | 20 |
| 4-(dicyanomethyleneco-2-tetraetyl-6-(1,1,7,7-tetramethyljulolidyl)-9-etyl)-4H-pyran | DCJTB | orange/red | 606 | 78 | -5.26 | -3.10 | 2.16 | 20 |
| (E,E)-4,7-Bis-(5-(2'-pyridyl)-4-trifluromethyl-1,2,4-triazolate)-(E,E)-4-(dicyanomethyleneco-2-(9-ethylcarbazol-3-yl)-vinyl)-4H-pyran | - | red | 688 | n.s. | -5.3 | -3.4 | 1.9 | 21 |
| Pt(II)-tetraphenylethylene|Pt(tpp)|NIR|765|n.s.|-4.9|2.5|2.4|22|

*not specified

Table 1: Overview of organic monochromatic emitter materials and the corresponding physical properties: emission wavelength ($\lambda_{em}$), quantum yield, and Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO)/Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) energy levels.

- Sudden degradation of luminescence: most likely due to particles or spikes/roughness of one of the electrodes, sometimes manifested as bright spots, resulting in shorting between the anode and cathode, also referred to as catastrophic failure [31].
- Shrinkage of active area and/or growth of "dark spots", non-emissive regions: This phenomenon stems mainly from water penetration of the device. OLEDs must be protected from moisture. In fact, the water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) should be lower than 10^{-4} g/m²/day, i.e., ~1,000,000-fold smaller than the acceptable WVTR (~1 g/m²/day) for packaged food such as potato chips. In addition to water penetration through the encapsulation, water released from the substrate may also damage the OLED. The stringent moisture-protection requirements of OLEDs may be fulfilled by using encapsulation methods such as atomic layer deposition (ALD), glass frit encapsulation or plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PE-CVD) [32].

OLEDs may be integrated on various surfaces, either directly, e.g., on foils or glass, or via lamination onto a specific surface. Nowadays, flexible OLEDs, which can be wrapped around, e.g., glass tubes, can be fabricated with relative ease. In 2014, Konica Minolta [33] developed white OLED lighting prototypes that exhibited a world-record efficiency of 139 lm/W. This value is significantly higher than that of the highest efficiency (80 lm/W) [16] of current commercial OLED lighting products and fluorescent tubes, respectively. Nevertheless, it is lower than that of inorganic LEDs with efficiencies higher than 200 lm/W. However, monochromatic OLEDs with wavelengths ranging from 500-750 nm may achieve efficiencies of >100 lm/W [34]. Improvements in the OLED efficiency are attributed to: stacked OLED architecture, where individual OLEDs are built on top of each other; improved materials such as phosphorescent emitters; and optimization of light out-coupling.

Present-day LEDs are at least two times more efficient than OLED devices, but suffer from the drawback of being point sources and the significant effort required to generate homogenous light. In addition, LEDs generate heat locally, and thus require extensive cooling, which is highly problematic in miniaturized biotechnological applications.

**OLED-based (bio-) sensors in biotechnological applications**

**OLED-based photoluminescence (PL) gas sensors:** Organic electronics were first used in biotechnological applications as OLED-
based devices that detect gaseous analytes. In fact, PL-sensing has been used extensively to measure amounts of gaseous or dissolved oxygen [35]. This method is based on the dynamic PL-quenching of oxygen-sensitive fluorophors such as Ru-complexes or porphyrins. The concentration of molecular oxygen directly influences the PL-intensity (I) and PL-lifetime (τ) and can be determined from the Stern-Volmer equation which is given as:

\[ \frac{I_0}{I} = \frac{\tau_0}{\tau} = 1 + K_{SV} [O_2] \]  

(4)

where \( I_0 \) and \( \tau_0 \) are the values obtained in an oxygen-free environment and \( K_{SV} \) is the Stern-Volmer constant.

Luminescent transition metal complexes (especially Ru, Os, Re, Rh and Ir complexes) have advantages for sensor applications that have been known for several decades [36]. The cited authors particularly highlighted the suitability of Ru (II) complexes, due to the robustness of their quenching constants. Since then, with various colleagues at Iowa State University, Ruth and Joseph Shinar extensively studied applications of OLEDs and/or organic photodetectors (OPD) for PL-based gas analysis. These studies yielded (inter alia) a structurally integrated OLED-based oxygen sensor, where excitation OLED light sources were positioned in a back-to-back orientation, with a Si-photodetector [11]. A polystyrene (PS): platinum octaethylporphyrin (PtOEP) sensor film was used as the sensing element. The back-to-back-setup was subsequently used to measure the concentration of dissolved oxygen in aqueous solutions of water, ethanol and toluene [35]. In addition, the PL-intensity was enhanced by Ti-particle-doping of the PS:PtOEP sensing film, an effect attributed to light-scattering effects induced by the fine particles in the film [37].

A key challenge of PL-sensors is the spectral separation of the excitation OLED-light source, which generally exhibits a broad spectral post-pulse EL-distribution, and the PL-response signal. The sensitivity of OLED-based gas sensors was recently increased by using microcavity OLEDs (μc-OLEDs) to narrow the EL-spectrum. This was achieved by replacing the indium thin oxide (ITO) anode in the μc-OLEDs with a semi-transparent Au or Ag thin film, leading to an increase in the EL-intensity and a decrease in the signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR). The same group subsequently replaced the PS:PtOEP sensing film with PS:polyethylene glycol (PEG) blends and combined the narrow-bandwidth μc-OLED thin-film technology with a thin-film OPD in a multicoled all-organic device. This replacement increased sensitivity by a factor of 1.9. The all-organic oxygen sensor has been further refined by using a near-UV μC-OLED equipped with an Al/Pd bi-layer anode and a 4,4'-bis(9-carbazolyl)-1,1'-biphenyl emissive layer (peak emission = 385 nm) [38]. In contrast to the green μc-OLED, the μc-near UV-OLED exhibited linear-SV dependence over the entire tested range of oxygen concentration.

**OLED-based PL-sensors**: In the last decade, Lab-on-chip (LOC) technologies have become increasingly important in biotechnology and environmental science. The development of integrated and miniaturized sensor technologies is essential for these on-chip approaches. Photo-organic electronic devices, i.e., OLEDs in combination with OPDs, show the highest potential for fulfilling the space-limited requirements of monitoring chip-based applications since they are non-invasive, multi-parametric and, generally, highly sensitive. A method for reducing the spectral crosstalk of OLED emission and the PL-signals of Rhodamine 6G (R6G) and fluorescein has been recently developed and applied in a 1-μl microfluidic device with two polarizers [39]. The first polarizer was placed in front of an NPB/Alq4, green-emission OLED and the other in an orthogonal orientation, thereby shielding the CuPC/C60 OPD. This cross-polarization reduced the limit of detection (LOD) of R6G and fluorescein to 100 nM and 10 μM, respectively. The LOD of both R6G and fluorescein in this type of microfluidic device was subsequently further reduced to 10 nM [40]. A ring-shaped OPD setup for filter-less distinction between excitation light and the PL-response signal has been subsequently developed in a system where OLED emission is used to excite integrated sensor spots that are sensitive to ammonia, carbon dioxide, oxygen, and temperature [41]. In an alternative, recently described strategy from our groups for controlling the light emission of OLEDs used in a R6G PL-sensor, dielectric layers, so-called distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs), are used in narrow-bandwidth top-emitting OLEDs [42]. These DBRs are placed in front of the semi-transparency cathode. Reported results indicate that narrowing of the OLED EL-emission is strongly dependent on the number of DBR pairs.

OLED-integrated dielectric mirrors may be incorporated into the architecture in several ways. The Figure 4 presents potential architectures of DBR-equipped top-emission OLEDs: a green OLED reference system fabricated on a silicon substrate (Figure 4A), an OLED device equipped with a single TiO2 layer (Figure 4B) and an OLED configurations with three DBR pairs of SiO2 and TiO2 (Figure 4C). Each system is encapsulated by a thin-film. The DBR mirror, placed on top of the OLED, functions as an optical filter, and provides maximum excitation, at a wavelength of 530 nm, to the R6G. Moreover, the DBR has a fixed cutoff at 550 nm, and thereby reduces the spectral overlap with the resulting R6G PL-signal. The Figure 5A exemplarily shows the OLED reference spectrum (solid green line) and its EL- (red dotted line) and PL- (blue dotted line) characteristics whereas Figure 5B shows the OLED emission spectra obtained by placing dielectric layers on the transparent cathode. The emission spectrum of the reference device (solid green line, Figure 5A) encompasses wavelengths ranging from 480 to 610 nm, with the peak emission at 515 nm. The Figure 5B reveals that the introduction of dielectric layers shifts the peak emission towards a wavelength of 530 nm, and hence decreases the full width at half maximum (FWHM) value. Emissima maxima of 515, 532 and 530 nm were obtained with Al2O3, a single TiO2 layer (Figure 4B) and an OLED containing integrated OLED displays with a peak emission of 515 nm. The Figure 5B shows a spectral overlap at 550 nm with R6G fluorescence and a non-optimal peak emission for R6G excitation. In contrast to the reference, the devices equipped with a dielectric filter stimulated the pigment and yielded distinct spectral separation of OLED EL and the R6G PL-signal. This method can be easily adapted for the design of narrow-bandwidth OLED devices operating in the ultraviolet, visible or near-infrared regions.

**Further potential of PL-based organic sensors**

Fluorescence dyes have been rapidly developed for various applications in biotechnology, e.g., fluorescence microscopy [43] or flow cytometry [44]. However, the use of available fluorescence dyes in organic PL-sensors remains relatively unexplored. Therefore, Table 2 provides an overview of potential biotechnological applications, based on the spectral properties of commonly used fluorescence dyes.

Therefore, the following spectral criteria, i.e., peak emission of monochromatic OLED devices, were assumed:

- UV-OLED: 385 nm
- Blue OLED: 430 nm
- Green OLED: 530 nm
To ensure sufficient excitation of the dye and a high-intensity PL-signal, the OLED’s peak EL must match sufficiently the absorption spectrum of the fluorescent dye (at least 50%). In addition, to prevent spectral crosstalk of the OLED-EL and the PL-signal, a minimum Stokes-shift of 75 nm between the EL-peak of the OLED device and the PL-peak is required (Figure 6). The research on fluorescence dye spectral properties based on the Thermo Fisher Fluorescence SpectraViewer.

**Transmission/Scattering measurements**

Only few applications of OLED/OPD configurations for exploiting absorption, transmission or scattering effects in biomedical applications have been reported as yet. The first example describes an OLED-integrated surface plasmon resonance device which was applied in a bio-affinity assay to quantify the interaction of a goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G and mouse IgG [45]. A second very noticeable application deals with the development of a pulse oximeter consisting of green (EL=532 nm) and red (EL=626 nm) OLEDs and OPDs fabricated on a flexible substrate [46]. When the oximeter is placed on a finger, the resulting green and red light transmission through the finger tissues provides data about the ratio of oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin in the blood. This oximeter, an organic-UV-OLED (Peak emission: 385 nm)

| Application         | Fluorescence dye | Excitation range [nm] | Emission range [nm] |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Imaging/Labeling    | Cascade Yellow   | 360-445                | 510-590             |
|                     | Marina Blue      | 330-390                | 435-495             |
|                     | Pacific Green    | 370-450                | 490-515             |
|                     | Pacific Orange   | 355-435                | 520-600             |
|                     | Qdot625          | 330-390                | 610-630             |
|                     | Qdot800          | 300-375                | 790-800             |
|                     | Dapoxyl          | 325-410                | 525-675             |
|                     | Dibromobimane    | 340-435                | 460-535             |
| Cell Proliferation  | Cell Trace Violet| 355-410                | 435-485             |
| Nucleus Stain       | DAPI             | 315-390                | 425-505             |
| pH Indicators       | LysoSensor Yellow| 345-420                | 505-585             |
| Cell Viability      | Live/Dead Fixable| 335-400                | 480-575             |

**Blue OLED (Peak emission: 430 nm)**

| Application         | Fluorescence dye | Excitation range [nm] | Emission range [nm] |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Imaging/Labeling    | PEPCP-Cy5.5      | 400-550                | 670-715             |
| Nucleus Stain       | RH 795           | 435-530                | 635-740             |
|                     | BCECF@pH 5.2     | 435-500                | 500-580             |
|                     | LysoSensor Green | 410-485                | 485-550             |
| Cell Viability      | FM1-43           | 430-510                | 535-710             |
|                     | SYPRO Protein Gel| 390-500                | 595-710             |
| pH Indicator        | LysoSensor Yellow| 345-420                | 505-585             |
| Viability           | Live/Dead Fixable| 335-400                | 480-575             |

**Green OLED (Peak emission: 530 nm)**

| Application         | Fluorescence dye | Excitation range [nm] | Emission range [nm] |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Imaging/Labeling    | AlexaFluor647-R-PE | 520-580                | 660-680             |
|                     | AlexaFluor680-R-PE | 520-580                | 690-715             |
|                     | Fluorospheres Nile Red (fluorescent microspheres) | 480-550 | 600-690 |
|                     | SNARF1@pH6 and pH9 | 480-580                | 570-645             |
| Nucleus Stain       | LDS 751           | 485-620                | 670-765             |
|                     | NeuroTrace 530/615 | 480-565                | 585-685             |
|                     | Red Fluorescent Nissl Stain | 490-530 | 690-700 |
|                     | RH 795            | 470-555                | 585-670             |
|                     | Syto 84           | 520-590                | 590-690             |
| pH Indicator        | SNARF1@pH6 and pH9 | 480-580                | 570-645             |
| Cell Viability      | 7-AAD             | 490-590                | 615-700             |
|                     | Ethidium Homodimer| 475-565                | 580-685             |
|                     | SYTOX AADvanced Dead Cell Staining | 480-585 | 610-700 |

Table 2: Overview of potential biotech applications and their associated fluorescence dyes for OLED-based photo-organic PL-sensors.
Figure 5: (A) EL and PL spectra of the OLED reference and (B) variation in OLED emission spectra with the number of dielectric layers.

Figure 6: Spectral selection criteria for fluorescence dyes used in biotechnological applications which could be efficiently excited by monochromatic OLED-light sources. Criteria: Peak EL of an OLED device must equal at least 50% of the absorption spectrum intensity of the dye, a Stokes-shift of at least 75 nm between the OLED peak wavelength and the fluorescence peak wavelength and at least 50% fluorescence emission intensity are required.

OLED-based biosensors - bio-affinity approach

The first reported application of an OLED light source in an LOC-bio-affinity sensor involved use of a 540 nm bottom-emitting OLED as an excitation source, in a glass/PDMS microfluidic device, to detect Albumin Blue 580-coupled human serum albumin [47]. Moreover, instead of optical filters, the cited authors used an orthogonal detection geometry to distinguish between PL-signals and the OLED light emission. In a parallel study, a green OLED was used as an excitation source (peak emission = 520 nm) in a glass/PDMS microchip device [48]. An 80% decrease in the spectral interference of the OLED light emission and the PL-response signal was achieved by placing a 300 µm thin-film excitation filter on top of the OLED light source. The OLED-induced fluorescence microchip device was used for electrophoresis and fluorescence detection of R6G and Alexa 532 dyes and their bovine serum albumin-conjugates. Using the protein human tissue transglutaminase (hTG2) as a model system, a portable OLED-based protein microarray that can be used for point-of-care diagnostic applications was recently introduced [49]. Immobilized hTG2 was printed on a cover glass and incubated first with a primary anti-hTG2 monoclonal antibody and subsequently with a secondary anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated with AlexaFluor 430. A deep-blue α-NPB-based OLED was used to excite the AlexaFluor fluorescent dye. This resulted in specific PL-detection owing to the large (>100 nm) Stokes shift of AlexaFluor430 (peak excitation=434 nm, peak emission=534 nm). Adopting the AlexaFluor430/deep-blue OLED approach for a food safety application, a miniaturized DNA-biochip was subsequently created by synthesizing a conjugate of the dye and a 55-base-long gene sequence of Campylobacter ssp [50]. Tests with real meat samples showed that the optical DNA-biochip offered 20-fold greater sensitivity than conventional plate and molecular techniques, with significant time savings.

A fluorometric flow immunoassay using an immobilized antibody for detecting alklyphenol polyethoxylates (APE) has also been recently introduced [51]. In this competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent approach, PL-signals are collected by using a terbium complex-based green narrow-emission OLED in combination with a Fullerene 70 (C70)-based OPD. These signals are collected in two steps. In the first step, an anti-APE is immobilized in the T-shaped PDMS microfluidic channel and a mixture of APE and horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled APE is subsequently injected. In the second step, AmpexRed dye and H₂O₂ are injected into the channel and subsequently converted to the fluorescent product resorfin via the catalytic activity of the HRP.

A further recently reported advance in the application of OLED light sources in point-of-care diagnostics involved integration of a green OLED as an excitation source in a lateral flow immunoassay system (LFIA) [52]. The OLED was fabricated on ITO-coated PET sheets with a thin plastic excitation and emission filter covering the lateral flow test line. A quantum dot fluorophore (donkey anti-mouse antibody conjugate) is bonded to the test lines on the analytical membrane. Sensitivity studies of the quantum-dot LFIA assays, with and without OLED excitation, and conventional Au-LFIA, showed that integration of an OLED light source significantly improved the S:N ratio, signal intensity and LOD.

OLED-based biosensors - bio-catalytic approach

OLED-based bio-catalytic biosensors are structurally derived from PL-sensors that consist of a co-embedded catalytic enzymatic...
unit (Figure 7). In most applications, the substrate is converted to a product via oxygen consumption and the resulting change in oxygen concentration is revealed by PL-signals, which thus indicate the substrate consumption. A thoroughly investigated application is the enzymatic detection of glucose by immobilized glucose oxidase (GOx). For example, a back-to-back oriented OLED-based structurally integrated glucose biosensor has been developed, with either of two tested oxygen-sensitive (Ru or Pt) dyes co-embedded with GOx in a sol-gel sensor film [53].

Excitation was achieved by using either a blue-emitting DPVBi- or green-emitting ALq3-OLED and the PL-intensity and lifetime were measured by a photomultiplier. The biosensor performance exhibited dependencies on both the fabrication of the sensor film and the amount of catalytically active GOx. Similar approaches for monitoring organic compounds have been developed using the catalytic activities of lactate oxidase (LOx) and alcohol oxidase (AOx) enzymes, respectively.

Potential of bio-catalytic organic sensors

There are several other potential biotechnological applications of bio-catalytic organic sensors. The Table 3 provides an overview of target molecules that could potentially be detected by bio-catalytic photo-organic sensors. Valuable targets for optical monitoring in microfluidic devices or LOC-applications include fluorescent co-substrates such as adenosine triphosphate (ATP) [54], nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) [55-59] and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) [60]. These substances can be used to either monitor activities of various enzymes, e.g., oxygenases or dehydrogenases, which are widely applied in biotechnology.

In addition to the optical analysis of enzymatic activities via oxygen or co-substrate consumption, these substances may also be used for the direct detection of fluorescent product compounds. These include optically active pigments produced by photosynthetically active microorganisms [61], biominerization products (such as quantum dots) [62] or water-polluting drugs (e.g., ibuprofen) [63], which could be detected by guest-host fluorescence amplification via the incorporation of cyclodextrin derivatives.

OLED-based biosensors - whole-cell approach

The first completely organic sensor device, based on cellular responses to OLED light excitation, was recently described [64]. This is a microfluidic PDMS toxicity detector, involving use of a blue OLED, PTB3/PC61BM OPD, excitation and emission filter dyes and the naturally occurring near-infrared fluorescence of the microalgal photosynthetic apparatus. In the cited study the unicellular microalga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii CC125 was exposed to varying concentrations of a herbicide (Diuron) prior to injection into the 16-channel microfluidic chip. In addition, the organic electronics, i.e., the pulsed blue OLED and OPD, and acid/base filter dyes were sandwich-oriented towards the 1-mm deep microchip channels. An EC50 of 11 nM Diuron, for the inhibition of microalgal photochemistry, was determined using this setup. In a similar OLED-based LOC toxicity approach a portable microfluidic device consisting of three electrochemical microcells, illuminated either by a halogen light source or a blue OLED, was used [65]. After treating algal cells with selected concentrations of Diuron, the effect on the photosynthetic metabolic activity was measured via oxygen evolution and subsequent electrochemical conversion. The authors found that the sensitivity and performance of the LOC device was improved by the spectral properties of the OLED light source.

An OLED pixel-based microarray setup, consisting of 2×10^7 p-i-n OLED pixels deposited on a CMOS backplane, has also been introduced and applied to investigate the light-controlled phototaxis of C. reinhardtii CC125 [66].

Recently, our group developed the first OLED-based bioreactor system [67]. This device, the so-called MicrOLED-PBR, is the first Flat-Panel-AirLift (FPA) photobioreactor, with a working volume of < 20 mL and was equipped with two white OLED modules that provide the photosynthetically active radiation for growing the microalgae strain C. reinhardtii 11-32b. OLED characteristics, such as the spectral photosynthetically active radiation efficiency, maximum photon flux density (PFD) and resulting PFD profiles across the layer thickness of the FPA-cultivation chamber, were characterized in this study.

Organic (bio-) sensor array setups

The small dimensions and potential to produce integrated photo-organic sensors can be further exploited in the fabrication of multi-analyte arrays, as illustrated by the following short overview. The first was an OLED-based sensor array developed for the simultaneous

| Table 3: Potential applications of OLED-based bio-catalytic sensors. |
| --- |
| Co-substrate | Potential application | Excitation [nm] | Emission [nm] | Source |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ATP | Monitoring of ATP-dependent (bio-) reactions | 450 | 535 | 56 |
| NADH | Cell death detection Fluorescence lifetime imaging Co-enzyme regeneration monitoring Monitoring of various enzyme activities (co-substrates) | 310-360 | 400-550 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| FAD | Monitoring of various enzyme activities (co-substrates) | 360-450 | 500-600 | 61 |
| Pigments | Astaxanthin Lutein ß-carotene Zeaxanthin | 400-500 | &gt;600 | 62 |
| Quantum dots | Product monitoring | 350-400 | 400-600 | 63 |
| Drugs | Guest-host applications (cyclodextrin-drug) | 270-360 | 280-609 | 64 |
biotechnological systems promises real added value since organic devices can be directly integrated. Hence, further effort is warranted to increase the flexibility of organic sensors by integrating several substrates, such as self-adhesive foils, into bioreactor systems (e.g., shake flasks, microwell systems or single-use bioreactors). The range of organo-optical sensor applications could be significantly increased by developing efficient UV-emitters since most fluorescent dyes exhibit high absorption efficiency in this spectral range. Moreover, NIR emitters should be tested in bioreactors, e.g., as monitoring devices that operate via light-scattering effects.
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