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Abstract

We examine gauge theories defined in higher dimensions where the extra dimensions form a fuzzy (finite matrix) manifold. First we reinterpret these gauge theories as four-dimensional theories with Kaluza-Klein modes and then we perform a generalized à la Forgacs-Manton dimensional reduction. We emphasize
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some striking features emerging in the later case such as (i) the appearance of non-abelian gauge theories in four dimensions starting from an abelian gauge theory in higher dimensions, (ii) the fact that the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the theory takes place entirely in the extra dimensions and (iii) the renormalizability of the theory both in higher as well as in four dimensions. Then reversing the above approach we present a renormalizable four dimensional $SU(N)$ gauge theory with a suitable multiplet of scalar fields, which via spontaneous symmetry breaking dynamically develops extra dimensions in the form of a fuzzy sphere $S^2_N$. We explicitly find the tower of massive Kaluza-Klein modes consistent with an interpretation as gauge theory on $M^4 \times S^2$, the scalars being interpreted as gauge fields on $S^2$. Depending on the parameters of the model the low-energy gauge group can be $SU(n)$, or broken further to $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2) \times U(1)$. Therefore the second picture justifies the first one in a renormalizable framework but in addition has the potential to reveal new aspects of the theory.

1. Introduction

In the recent years a huge theoretical effort has been devoted aiming to establish a unified description of all interactions including gravity. Out of this sustained endeavor, along with the superstring theory framework \cite{1}, the non-commutative geometry one has emerged \cite{2,3}. An interesting development worth noting was the observation that a natural realization of non-commutativity of space appears in the string theory context of D-branes in the presence of a constant antisymmetric field \cite{4}, which brought together the two approaches. However these very interesting approaches do not address as yet the usual problem of the Standard Model of Elementary Particle Physics, i.e. the presence of a plethora of free parameters to the ad-hoc introduction of the Higgs and Yukawa sectors in the theory. These sectors might have their origin in a higher-dimensional theory according to various schemes among whose the first one was the Coset Space Dimensional Reduction (CSDR) \cite{5,6,7}. The CSDR scheme has been used, among others, to reduce in four dimensions a ten-dimensional, $N = 1, E_8$ gauge theory \cite{6,8,9} and might be an appropriate reduction scheme of strings over nearly Kaehler manifolds \cite{10}. The dimensional reduction of gauge theories defined in higher dimensions where the extra dimensions form a fuzzy coset (a finite matrix manifold) has been examined in \cite{11,12}.

In the CSDR one assumes that the form of space-time is $M^D = M^4 \times S/R$ with $S/R$ a homogeneous space (obtained as the quotient of the Lie group $S$ via the Lie subgroup $R$). Then a gauge theory with gauge group $G$ defined on $M^D$ can be dimensionally reduced to $M^4$ in an elegant way using the symmetries of $S/R$, in particular the resulting four-dimensional gauge group is a subgroup of $G$. Although the reduced theory in four dimensions is power counting renormalizable the full higher-dimensional theory is non-renormalizable with dimensionful coupling. The CSDR scheme reduces dimensionally a gauge theory with gauge group $G$ defined on $M_4 \times S/R$ to a gauge theory on $M_4$ imposing the principle that fields should be invariant under the $S$ action up to a $G$ gauge transformation. The CSDR scheme constitutes an elegant and consistent truncation of the full theory in four dimensions, keeping only the first terms of the field expansion in
higher harmonics of the compact coset spaces. When keeping all the higher harmonics, i.e. the Kaluza-Klein modes in four dimensions, then the theory in general becomes non-renormalizable as expected, since the theory was originally defined in higher than four dimensions. Still it is very interesting the fact that one can discuss the dependence of the couplings of the theory on the cutoff, or the beta-function of the couplings in the Wilson renormalization scheme [13, 14, 15]. In the fuzzy-CSDR we apply the CSDR principle in the case that the extra dimensions are a finite approximation of the homogeneous spaces $S/R$, i.e. a fuzzy coset. Fuzzy spaces are obtained by deforming the algebra of functions on their commutative parent spaces. The algebra of functions (from the fuzzy space to complex numbers) becomes finite dimensional and non-commutative, indeed it becomes a matrix algebra. Therefore, instead of considering the algebra of functions $Fun(M^D) \sim Fun(M^4) \otimes Fun(S/R)$ we consider the algebra $A = Fun(M^4) \otimes Fun((S/R)_F)$ where $Fun(M^4)$ is the usual commutative algebra of functions on Minkowski space $M^4$ and $Fun((S/R)_F) = M_N$ is the finite dimensional non-commutative algebra of $N \times N$ matrices that approximates the functions on the coset $S/R$. On this finite dimensional algebra we still have the action of the symmetry group $S$; this very property allows us to apply the CSDR scheme to fuzzy cosets. The reduction of a gauge theory defined on $M^4 \times (S/R)_F$ to a gauge theory on $M^4$ is a two step process. One first rewrites the higher-dimensional fields, that initially depends on the commutative coordinates $x$ and the noncommutative ones $X$, in terms of only the commutative coordinates $x$, with the fields now being also $N \times N$ matrix valued. One then imposes the fuzzy-CSDR constraints on this four-dimensional theory. We can say that the theory is a higher-dimensional theory because the fuzzy space $(S/R)_F$ is a noncommutative approximation of the coset space $S/R$; in particular the spatial symmetry group $S$ of the space $(S/R)_F$ is the same as that of the commutative space $S/R$. However the noncommutative theory has the advantage of being power counting renormalizable [23] because $Fun((S/R)_F)$ is a finite dimensional space; it follows that also after applying the fuzzy-CSDR scheme we obtain a power counting renormalizable theory. The specific example of the fuzzy sphere is presented.

Next we reverse the above approach [21] and examine how a four dimensional gauge theory dynamically develops higher dimensions. The very concept of dimension therefore gets an extra, richer dynamical perspective. We present a simple field-theoretical model which realizes the above ideas. It is defined as a renormalizable $SU(N)$ gauge theory on four dimensional Minkowski space $M^4$, containing 3 scalars in the adjoint of $SU(N)$ that transform as vectors under an additional global $SO(3)$ symmetry with the most general renormalizable potential. We then show that the model dynamically develops fuzzy extra dimensions, more precisely a fuzzy sphere $S^2_N$. The appropriate interpretation is therefore as gauge theory on $M^4 \times S^2_N$. The low-energy effective action is that of a four dimensional gauge theory on $M^4$, whose gauge group and field content is dynamically determined by compactification and dimensional reduction on the internal sphere $S^2_N$. An interesting and rich pattern of spontaneous symmetry
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breaking appears, breaking the original $SU(N)$ gauge symmetry down to either $SU(n)$ or $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2) \times U(1)$. The latter case is the generic one, and implies also a monopole flux induced on the fuzzy sphere. The values of $n_1$ and $n_2$ are determined dynamically.

We find moreover explicitly the tower of massive Kaluza-Klein modes corresponding to the effective geometry, which justifies the interpretation as a compactified higher-dimensional gauge theory. Nevertheless, the model is renormalizable.

A similar but different mechanism of dynamically generating extra dimensions has been proposed some years ago in [22], known under the name of “deconstruction”. In this context, renormalizable four dimensional asymptotically free gauge theories were considered, which develop a “lattice-like” fifth dimension. This idea attracted considerable interest. Our model is quite different, and very simple: The $SU(N)$ gauge theory is shown to develop fuzzy extra dimensions through a standard symmetry breaking mechanism.

2. The Fuzzy Sphere

2.1. Ordinary and Fuzzy spherical harmonics

Let us start by recalling how to describe fields on the 2-sphere. The 2-sphere is a two-dimensional manifold embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$, with a global $SO(3) \sim SU(2)$ isometry group, defined by the equation

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = R^2$$

for a coordinate basis $x_\hat{a}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We define the coordinates $x_\hat{a}$ in terms of the spherical coordinates $y_\alpha = (\theta, \phi)$ and radius $R$ by,

$$x_1 = R \sin \theta \cos \phi,$$

$$x_2 = R \sin \theta \sin \phi,$$

$$x_3 = R \cos \theta,$$

which dictates the metric of the 2-sphere,

$$ds^2 = R^2 d\theta^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2.$$  

The generators of $SU(2) \sim SO(3)$ are the angular momentum operators $L_i$,

$$L_\hat{a} = -i \varepsilon_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} x_\hat{b} \partial_\hat{c}$$

In terms of spherical coordinates the angular momentum operators are

$$L_1 = i \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \cos \phi \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

$$L_2 = -i \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \sin \phi \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

$$L_3 = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$
which we can summarize as
\[
L_{\hat{a}} = -ik_{\hat{a}}^a \partial_a
\]  
(10)

The metric tensor can also be expressed in terms of the Killing vectors \( k_{\hat{a}}^a \) (defined by the above equations) as
\[
g^{ab} = \frac{1}{R^2} k_{\hat{a}}^a k_{\hat{b}}^b.
\]  
(11)

We can expand any function on the 2-sphere in terms of the eigenfunctions of the 2-sphere,
\[
a(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} a_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi),
\]  
(12)

where \( a_{lm} \) is a complex coefficient and \( Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \) are the spherical harmonics, which satisfy the equation
\[
L^2 Y_{lm} = -R^2 \Delta S^2 Y_{lm} = l(l+1) Y_{lm},
\]  
(13)

where \( \Delta S^2 \) is the scalar Laplacian on the 2-sphere
\[
\Delta S^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_a (g^{ab} \sqrt{g} \partial_b).
\]  
(14)

The spherical harmonics have an eigenvalue \( \mu \sim l(l+1) \) for integer \( l = 0, 1, \ldots \), with degeneracy \( 2l + 1 \). The orthogonality condition of the spherical harmonics is
\[
\int d\Omega Y_{lm}^\dagger Y_{l'm'} = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'},
\]  
(15)

where \( d\Omega = \sin \theta \, d\theta d\phi \).

The spherical harmonics can be expressed in terms of the cartesian coordinates \( x_{\hat{a}} \) (with \( \hat{a} = 1, 2, 3 \)) of a unit vector in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \),
\[
Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{\hat{a}} f^{(lm)}_{\hat{a}_1 \ldots \hat{a}_l} x^{\hat{a}_1} \ldots x^{\hat{a}_l}
\]  
(16)

where \( f^{(lm)}_{\hat{a}_1 \ldots \hat{a}_l} \) is a traceless symmetric tensor of \( SO(3) \) with rank \( l \).

Similarly we can expand \( N \times N \) matrices on a sphere as,
\[
\hat{a} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} a_{lm} \hat{Y}_{lm}
\]  
(17)

\[
\hat{Y}_{lm} = R^{-l} \sum_{\hat{a}} f^{(lm)}_{\hat{a}_1 \ldots \hat{a}_l} \tilde{x}^{\hat{a}_1} \ldots \tilde{x}^{\hat{a}_l},
\]  
(18)
where  \[ \hat{x}_a = \frac{2R}{\sqrt{N^2-1}} X^{(N)}_a \] are the generators of SU(2) in the \( N \)-dimensional representation and \( f^{(l_m)}_{\hat{a}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{a}_l} \) is the same tensor as in (16). The matrices \( \hat{Y}_{lm} \) are known as fuzzy spherical harmonics for reasons explained in the next subsection. They obey the orthonormality condition

\[
\text{Tr}_N \left( \hat{Y}^{\dagger}_{lm} \hat{Y}_{lm'} \right) = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}.
\]

(19)

There is an obvious relation between equations (12) and (17), namely

\[
\hat{a} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} a_{lm} \hat{Y}_{lm} \rightarrow a(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} a_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi).
\]

(20)

Notice that the expansion in spherical harmonics is truncated at \( N - 1 \) reflecting the finite number of degrees of freedom in the matrix \( \hat{a} \). This allows the consistent definition of a matrix approximation of the sphere known as fuzzy sphere.

2.2. The Matrix Geometry of the fuzzy sphere

According to the above discussion the fuzzy sphere [16, 3] is a matrix approximation of the usual sphere \( S^2 \). The algebra of functions on \( S^2 \) (for example spanned by the spherical harmonics) as explained in the previous section is truncated at a given frequency and thus becomes finite dimensional. The truncation has to be consistent with the associativity of the algebra and this can be nicely achieved relaxing the commutativity property of the algebra. The fuzzy sphere is the “space” described by this non-commutative algebra. The algebra itself is that of \( N \times N \) matrices. More precisely, the algebra of functions on the ordinary sphere can be generated by the coordinates of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) modulo the relation \( \sum_{a=1}^{3} x_{a} x_{a} = r^2 \). The fuzzy sphere \( S^2_N \) at fuzziness level \( N - 1 \) is the non-commutative manifold whose coordinate functions \( iX_{a} \) are \( N \times N \) hermitian matrices proportional to the generators of the \( N \)-dimensional representation of SU(2). They satisfy the condition \( \sum_{a=1}^{3} X_{a} X_{a} = \alpha r^2 \) and the commutation relations

\[
[X_{a}, X_{b}] = C_{abc} X_{c},
\]

(21)

where \( C_{abc} = \varepsilon_{abc}/r \) while the proportionality factor \( \alpha \) goes as \( N^2 \) for \( N \) large. Indeed it can be proven that for \( N \to \infty \) one obtains the usual commutative sphere.

On the fuzzy sphere there is a natural SU(2) covariant differential calculus. This calculus is three-dimensional and the derivations \( \epsilon_{a} \) along \( X_{a} \) of a function \( f \) are given by \( \epsilon_{a}(f) = [X_{a}, f] \). Accordingly the action of the Lie derivatives on functions is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\hat{a}} f = [X_{\hat{a}}, f];
\]

(22)
these Lie derivatives satisfy the Leibniz rule and the $SU(2)$ Lie algebra relation
\[ [\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{L}_b] = C_{abc} \mathcal{L}_c. \] (23)
In the $N \to \infty$ limit the derivations $e_a$ become $e_a = C_{abc} x^b \theta^c$, and only in this commutative limit the tangent space becomes two-dimensional. The exterior derivative is given by
\[ df = [X_\hat{a}, f] \theta^\hat{a} \] (24)
with $\theta^\hat{a}$ the one-forms dual to the vector fields $e_\hat{a}$, $< e_\hat{a}, \theta^\hat{b} > = \delta^\hat{b}_\hat{a}$. The space of one-forms is generated by the $\theta^\hat{a}$'s in the sense that for any one-form $\omega = \sum f_i dh_i t_i$ we can always write $\omega = \sum_{\hat{a}=1}^3 \omega_\hat{a} \theta^\hat{a}$ with given functions $\omega_\hat{a}$ depending on the functions $f_i$, $h_i$ and $t_i$. The action of the Lie derivatives $\mathcal{L}_a$ on the one-forms $\theta^\hat{b}$ explicitly reads
\[ \mathcal{L}_a(\theta^\hat{b}) = C_{abc} \theta^\hat{c}. \] (25)
On a general one-form $\omega = \omega_\hat{a} \theta^\hat{a}$ we have $\mathcal{L}_\hat{b} \omega = \mathcal{L}_\hat{b}(\omega_\hat{a} \theta^\hat{a}) = [X_\hat{b}, \omega_\hat{a}] \theta^\hat{a} - \omega_\hat{a} C_{\hat{b} \hat{c} \hat{a}} \theta^\hat{c}$ and therefore
\[ (\mathcal{L}_\hat{b} \omega)_\hat{a} = [X_\hat{b}, \omega_\hat{a}] - \omega_\hat{c} C^{\hat{c}}_{\hat{b} \hat{a}}; \] (26)
this formula will be fundamental for formulating the CSDR principle on fuzzy cosets.

The differential geometry on the product space Minkowski times fuzzy sphere, $M^4 \times S^2_N$, is easily obtained from that on $M^4$ and on $S^2_N$. For example a one-form $A$ defined on $M^4 \times S^2_N$ is written as
\[ A = A_\mu dx^\mu + A_\hat{a} \theta^\hat{a} \] (27)
with $A_\mu = A_\mu(x^\mu, X_\hat{a})$ and $A_\hat{a} = A_\hat{a}(x^\mu, X_\hat{a})$.

One can also introduce spinors on the fuzzy sphere and study the Lie derivative on these spinors. Although here we have sketched the differential geometry on the fuzzy sphere, one can study other (higher-dimensional) fuzzy spaces (e.g. fuzzy $CP^M$) and with similar techniques their differential geometry.

3. Dimensional Reduction of Fuzzy Extra Dimensions

3.1. Actions in higher dimensions seen as four-dimensional actions (Expansion in Kaluza-Klein modes)

First we consider on $M^4 \times (S/R)_F$ a non-commutative gauge theory with gauge group $G = U(P)$ and examine its four-dimensional interpretation. $(S/R)_F$ is a fuzzy coset, for example the fuzzy sphere $S^2_N$. The action is
\[ \mathcal{A}_{YM} = \frac{1}{4g^2} \int d^4 x \, kTr \, tr_G \, F_{MN} F^{MN}, \] (28)
where $kTr$ denotes integration over the fuzzy coset $(S/R)_F$ described by $N \times N$ matrices; here the parameter $k$ is related to the size of the fuzzy coset space. For example for the fuzzy sphere we have $r^2 = \sqrt{N^2 - 1} \pi k$ \cite{3}. In the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit $kTr$ becomes the usual integral on the coset space. For finite $N$, $Tr$ is a good integral because it has the cyclic property $Tr(f_1 \ldots f_{p-1} f_p) = Tr(f_p f_1 \ldots f_{p-1})$. It is also invariant under the action of the group $S$, that is infinitesimally given by the Lie derivative. In the action \cite{28} $tr_G$ is the gauge group $G$ trace. The higher-dimensional field strength $F_{MN}$, decomposed in four-dimensional space-time and extra-dimensional components, reads as follows $(F_{\mu \nu}, F_{\mu \dot{a}}, F_{\dot{a} \dot{b}})$; explicitly the various components of the field strength are given by

\begin{align}
F_{\mu \nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu], \\
F_{\mu \dot{a}} &= \partial_\mu A_{\dot{a}} - [X_{\dot{a}}, A_\mu] + [A_\mu, A_{\dot{a}}], \\
F_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} &= [X_{\dot{a}}, A_{\dot{b}}] - [X_{\dot{b}}, A_{\dot{a}}] + [A_{\dot{a}}, A_{\dot{b}}] - C^c_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} A_c.
\end{align}

Under an infinitesimal $G$ gauge transformation $\lambda = \lambda(x^\mu, X^{\dot{a}})$ we have

$$\delta A_\dot{a} = -[X_{\dot{a}}, \lambda] + [\lambda, A_{\dot{a}}],$$

thus $F_{MN}$ is covariant under local $G$ gauge transformations: $F_{MN} \rightarrow F_{MN} + [\lambda, F_{MN}]$. This is an infinitesimal abelian $U(1)$ gauge transformation if $\lambda$ is just an antihermitian function of the coordinates $x^\mu, X^{\dot{a}}$ while it is an infinitesimal non-abelian $U(P)$ gauge transformation if $\lambda$ is valued in $\text{Lie}(U(P))$, the Lie algebra of hermitian $P \times P$ matrices. In the following we will always assume Lie($U(P)$) elements to commute with the coordinates $X^{\dot{a}}$. In fuzzy/non-commutative gauge theory and in Fuzzy-CSDR a fundamental role is played by the covariant coordinate,

$$\varphi^{\dot{a}} \equiv X^{\dot{a}} + A_{\dot{a}}.$$

This field transforms indeed covariantly under a gauge transformation, $\delta(\varphi^{\dot{a}}) = [\lambda, \varphi^{\dot{a}}]$. In terms of $\varphi$ the field strength in the non-commutative directions reads,

\begin{align}
F_{\mu \dot{a}} &= \partial_\mu \varphi^{\dot{a}} + [A_\mu, \varphi^{\dot{a}}] = D_\mu \varphi^{\dot{a}}, \\
F_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} &= [\varphi^{\dot{a}}, \varphi^{\dot{b}}] - C^c_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} \varphi^c;
\end{align}

and using these expressions the action reads

$$A_{YM} = \int d^4x Tr tr_G \left( \frac{k}{4g^2} F^2_{\mu \nu} + \frac{k}{2g^2} (D_\mu \varphi^{\dot{a}})^2 - V(\varphi) \right),$$

where the potential term $V(\varphi)$ is the $F_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}$ kinetic term (in our conventions $F_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}$ is antihermitian so that $V(\varphi)$ is hermitian and non-negative)

$$V(\varphi) = -\frac{k}{4g^2} Tr tr_G \sum_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} F_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} F_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}.$$
\[ \lambda(x^\mu, X^\hat{a}) = \lambda^\alpha(x^\mu, X^\hat{a})T^\alpha = \lambda^{h,\alpha}(x^\mu)T^h T^\alpha, \] (37)

where \( T^\alpha \) are hermitian generators of \( U(P) \), \( \lambda^\alpha(x^\mu, X^\hat{a}) \) are \( n \times n \) anti-hermitian matrices and thus are expressible as \( \lambda(x^\mu)_{\alpha,h}T^h \), where \( T^h \) are antihermitian generators of \( U(n) \). The fields \( \lambda(x^\mu)^{\alpha,h} \), with \( h = 1, \ldots, n^2 \), are the Kaluza-Klein modes of \( \lambda(x^\mu, X^\hat{a})^\alpha \). We now consider on equal footing the indices \( h \) and \( \alpha \) and interpret the fields on the r.h.s. of (37) as one field valued in the tensor product Lie algebra \( \text{Lie}(U(n)) \otimes \text{Lie}(U(P)) \). This Lie algebra is indeed \( \text{Lie}(U(nP)) \) (the \( (nP)^2 \) generators \( T^h T^\alpha \) being \( nP \times nP \) antihermitian matrices that are linear independent). Similarly we rewrite the gauge field \( A_\nu \) as

\[ A_\nu(x^\mu, X^\hat{a}) = A^\alpha_\nu(x^\mu, X^\hat{a})T^\alpha = A^{h,\alpha}_\nu(x^\mu)T^h T^\alpha, \] (38)

and interpret it as a \( \text{Lie}(U(nP)) \) valued gauge field on \( M^4 \), and similarly for \( \varphi^a \). Finally \( TrTRG \) is the trace over \( U(nP) \) matrices in the fundamental representation.

Up to now we have just performed a ordinary fuzzy dimensional reduction. Indeed in the commutative case the expression (35) corresponds to rewriting the initial lagrangian on \( M^4 \times S^2 \) using spherical harmonics on \( S^2 \). Here the space of functions is finite dimensional and therefore the infinite tower of modes reduces to the finite sum given by \( Tr \).

### 3.2. Non-trivial Dimensional reduction in the case of Fuzzy Extra Dimensions

Next we reduce the number of gauge fields and scalars in the action (35) by applying the Coset Space Dimensional Reduction (CSDR) scheme. Since \( SU(2) \) acts on the fuzzy sphere \( (SU(2)/U(1))_F \), and more in general the group \( S \) acts on the fuzzy coset \( (S/R)_F \), we can state the CSDR principle in the same way as in the continuum case, i.e. the fields in the theory must be invariant under the infinitesimal \( SU(2) \), respectively \( S \), action up to an infinitesimal gauge transformation

\[ L^a_\mu \phi = \delta W^a_\mu \phi = W^a_\mu \phi, \] (39)

\[ L^a_\mu A = \delta W^a_\mu A = -DW^a_\mu, \] (40)

where \( A \) is the one-form gauge potential \( A = A_\mu dx^\mu + A_\alpha \theta^\alpha \), and \( W^a_\mu \) depends only on the coset coordinates \( X^\hat{a} \) and (like \( A_\mu, A_\alpha \)) is antihermitian.
We thus write $W^\alpha_b T^\alpha = W^\alpha_b T^\alpha$, where $T^i$ are hermitian generators of $U(P)$ and $(W^\alpha_b)^\dagger = -W^\alpha_b$, here $\dagger$ is hermitian conjugation on the $X^\alpha_i$'s.

In terms of the covariant coordinate $\varphi^\alpha d = X^\alpha d + A^\alpha d$ and of $\omega^\alpha b \equiv X^\alpha b - W^\alpha b$,

\[ \omega^\alpha b \equiv X^\alpha b - W^\alpha b , \quad (41) \]

the CSDR constraints assume a particularly simple form, namely

\[ [\omega^\alpha b, A_\mu] = 0 , \quad (42) \]

\[ C^\alpha_{b d} \varphi^d = [\omega^\alpha b, \varphi^d] . \quad (43) \]

In addition we have a consistency condition following from the relation $[L^\alpha b, L^\alpha d] = C^\alpha_{a b} c \varphi^c$:

\[ [\omega^\alpha b, \omega^\alpha d] = C^\alpha_{a b} c \omega^c , \quad (44) \]

where $\omega^\alpha b$ transforms as $\omega^\alpha b \rightarrow \omega'^\alpha b = g \omega^\alpha b g^{-1}$. One proceeds in a similar way for the spinor fields [11, 12].

3.2.1. Solving the CSDR constraints for the fuzzy sphere

We consider $(S/R)_F = S^2_N$, i.e. the fuzzy sphere, and to be definite at fuzziness level $N - 1 (N \times N$ matrices). We study here the basic example where the gauge group is $G = U(1)$. In this case the $\omega^\alpha b = \omega^\alpha b(X^b)$ appearing in the consistency condition [14] are $N \times N$ antihermitian matrices and therefore can be interpreted as elements of Lie$(U(N))$. On the other hand the $\omega^\alpha b$ satisfy the commutation relations [14] of Lie$(SU(2))$. Therefore in order to satisfy the consistency condition [14] we have to embed Lie$(SU(2))$ in Lie$(U(N))$. Let $T^h$ with $h = 1, \ldots, (N)^2$ be the generators of Lie$(U(N))$ in the fundamental representation, we can always use the convention $h = (\tilde{a}, u)$ with $\tilde{a} = 1, 2, 3$ and $u = 4, 5, \ldots, N^2$ where the $T^\tilde{a}$ satisfy the $SU(2)$ Lie algebra,

\[ [T^\tilde{a}, T^\tilde{b}] = C^\tilde{a b c} T^\tilde{c} . \quad (45) \]

Then we define an embedding by identifying

\[ \omega^\alpha b = T^\tilde{a} . \quad (46) \]

The constraint [14], $[\omega^\alpha b, A_\mu] = 0$, then implies that the four-dimensional gauge group $K$ is the centralizer of the image of $SU(2)$ in $U(N)$, i.e.

\[ K = C_{U(N)}(SU((2))) = SU(N - 2) \times U(1) \times U(1) , \]

where the last $U(1)$ is the $U(1)$ of $U(N) \simeq SU(N) \times U(1)$. The functions $A_\mu(x, X)$ are arbitrary functions of $x$ but the $X$ dependence is such that $A_\mu(x, X)$ is Lie$(K)$ valued instead of Lie$(U(N))$, i.e. eventually we have a
four-dimensional gauge potential \( A_\mu(x) \) with values in \( \text{Lie}(K) \). Concerning the constraint (43), it is satisfied by choosing
\[
\varphi_\hat{a} = r \varphi(x) \omega_\hat{a},
\]
i.e. the unconstrained degrees of freedom correspond to the scalar field \( \varphi(x) \) which is a singlet under the four-dimensional gauge group \( K \).

The choice (46) defines one of the possible embedding of \( \text{Lie}(SU(2)) \) in \( \text{Lie}(U(N)) \). For example we could also embed \( \text{Lie}(SU(2)) \) in \( \text{Lie}(U(N)) \) using the irreducible \( N \)-dimensional rep. of \( SU(2) \), i.e. we could identify \( \omega_\hat{a} = X_\hat{a} \). The constraint (42) in this case implies that the four-dimensional gauge group is \( U(1) \) so that \( A_\mu(x) \) is \( U(1) \) valued. The constraint (43) leads again to the scalar singlet \( \varphi(x) \).

In general, we start with a \( U(1) \) gauge theory on \( M^4 \times S^2_N \). We solve the CSDR constraint (44) by embedding \( SU(2) \) in \( U(N) \). There exist \( p_N \) embeddings, where \( p_N \) is the number of ways one can partition the integer \( N \) into a set of non-increasing positive integers [16]. Then the constraint (42) gives the surviving four-dimensional gauge group. The constraint (43) gives the surviving four-dimensional scalars and eq. (47) is always a solution but in general not the only one. By setting \( \phi_\hat{a} = \omega_\hat{a} \) we obtain always a minimum of the potential. This minimum is given by the chosen embedding of \( SU(2) \) in \( U(N) \).

An important point that we would like to stress here is the question of the renormalizability of the gauge theory defined on \( M^4 \times (S/R)_F \). First we notice that the theory exhibits certain features so similar to a higher-dimensional gauge theory defined on \( M_4 \times S/R \) that naturally it could be considered as a higher-dimensional theory too. For instance the isometries of the spaces \( M_4 \times S/R \) and \( M_4 \times (S/R)_F \) are the same. It does not matter if the compact space is fuzzy or not. For example in the case of the fuzzy sphere, i.e. \( M_4 \times S^2_N \), the isometries are \( SO(3,1) \times SO(3) \) as in the case of the continuous space, \( M_4 \times S^2 \). Similarly the coupling of a gauge theory defined on \( M_4 \times S/R \) and on \( M_4 \times (S/R)_F \) are both dimensionful and have exactly the same dimensionality. On the other hand the first theory is clearly non-renormalizable, while the latter is renormalizable (in the sense that divergencies can be removed by a finite number of counterterms). So from this point of view one finds a partial justification of the old hopes for considering quantum field theories on non-commutative structures. If this observation can lead to finite theories too, it remains as an open question.

4. Dynamical Generation of Extra Dimensions

Let us now discuss a further development [21] of these ideas, which addresses in detail the questions of quantization and renormalization. This leads to a slightly modified model with an extra term in the potential, which dynamically selects a unique (nontrivial) vacuum out of the many possible CSDR solutions, and moreover generates a magnetic flux on the fuzzy sphere. It also allows to show that the full tower of Kaluza-Klein modes is generated on \( S^2_N \).
4.1. The four dimensional action

We start with a $SU(N)$ gauge theory on four dimensional Minkowski space $M^4$ with coordinates $y^\mu$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The action under consideration is

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4y \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{4g^2} F^\dagger_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu \phi_a)^\dagger D_\mu \phi_a \right) - V(\phi)$$

(48)

where $A_\mu$ are $su(N)$-valued gauge fields, $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + [A_\mu, \cdot]$, and

$$\phi_a = -\phi_a^\dagger, \quad a = 1, 2, 3$$

are 3 antihermitian scalars in the adjoint of $SU(N)$,

$$\phi_a \to U^\dagger \phi_a U$$

where $U = U(y) \in SU(N)$. Furthermore, the $\phi_a$ transform as vectors of an additional global $SO(3)$ symmetry. The potential $V(\phi)$ is taken to be the most general renormalizable action invariant under the above symmetries, which is

$$V(\phi) = \text{Tr} \left( g_1 \phi_a \phi_b \phi_b + g_2 \phi_a \phi_b \phi_b - g_3 \varepsilon_{abc} \phi_a \phi_b \phi_c + g_4 \phi_a \phi_a \right) + \frac{g_5}{N} \text{Tr}(\phi_a \phi_a) \text{Tr}(\phi_b \phi_b) + \frac{g_6}{N} \text{Tr}(\phi_a \phi_b)^2$$

(49)

This may not look very transparent at first sight, however it can be written in a very intuitive way. First, we make the scalars dimensionless by rescaling

$$\phi'_a = R \phi_a,$$

where $R$ has dimension of length; we will usually suppress $R$ since it can immediately be reinserted, and drop the prime from now on. Now observe that for a suitable choice of $R$,

$$R = \frac{2g_2}{g_3},$$

the potential can be rewritten as

$$V(\phi) = \text{Tr}(a^2 \phi_a \phi_a + \tilde{b})^2 + c + \frac{1}{g^2} F^\dagger_{ab} F_{ab} + \frac{h}{N} g_{ab} g_{ab}$$

(50)

for suitable constants $a, b, c, \tilde{g}, h$, where

$$F_{ab} = [\phi_a, \phi_b] - \varepsilon_{abc} \phi_c = \varepsilon_{abc} F_c,$$

$$\tilde{b} = b + \frac{d}{N} \text{Tr}(\phi_a \phi_a),$$

$$g_{ab} = \text{Tr}(\phi_a \phi_b).$$

(51)
We will omit $c$ from now. The potential is clearly positive definite provided

$$a^2 = g_1 + g_2 > 0, \quad \frac{2}{g^2} = -g_2 > 0, \quad h \geq 0,$$

which we assume from now on. Here $\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}(y)$ is a scalar, $g_{ab} = g_{ab}(y)$ is a symmetric tensor under the global $SO(3)$, and $F_{ab} = F_{ab}(y)$ is a $\text{su}(N)$-valued antisymmetric tensor field which will be interpreted as field strength in some dynamically generated extra dimensions below. In this form, $V(\phi)$ looks like the action of Yang-Mills gauge theory on a fuzzy sphere in the matrix formulation [24, 25, 39, 40]. It differs from the potential in (34) only by the presence of the first term $a^2(\phi_a \phi_a + \tilde{b})^2$, which is strongly suggested by renormalization. In fact it is necessary for the interpretation as pure YM action, and we will see that it is very welcome on physical grounds since it dynamically determines and stabilizes a vacuum, which can be interpreted as extra-dimensional fuzzy sphere. In particular, it removes unwanted flat directions.

4.2. Emergence of extra dimensions and the fuzzy sphere

The vacuum of the above model is given by the minimum of the potential (49). It turns out [21] that there are essentially only 2 types of vacua:

1. Type I vacuum $\phi_a = a X_a^{(N)} \otimes 1_n$ with low-energy gauge group $SU(n)$, and

2. Type I vacuum $\phi_a = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 X_a^{(N_1)} \otimes 1_{n_1} \\ 0 \\ \alpha_2 X_a^{(N_2)} \otimes 1_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$, with low-energy gauge group $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2) \times U(1)$.

Again, the $X_a^{(N)}$ are interpreted as coordinate functions of a fuzzy sphere $S_N^2$, and the “scalar” action

$$S_\phi = Tr V(\phi) = Tr \left( a^2(\phi_a \phi_a + \tilde{b})^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} F_{ab} F_{ab} \right)$$

for $N \times N$ matrices $\phi_a$ is precisely the action for a $U(n)$ Yang-Mills theory on $S_N^2$ with coupling $\tilde{g}$, as shown in [24]. In fact, the new term $(\phi_a \phi_a + \tilde{b})^2$ is essential for this interpretation, since it stabilizes the vacuum $\phi_a = X_a^{(N)}$ and gives a large mass to the extra “radial” scalar field which otherwise arises. The fluctuations of $\phi_a = X_a^{(N)} + A_a$ then provide the components $A_a$ of a higher-dimensional gauge field $A_M = (A_{\mu}, A_a)$, and the action can be interpreted as YM theory on the 6-dimensional space $M^4 \times S_N^2$, with gauge group depending on the particular vacuum. We therefore interpret the vacuum as describing dynamically generated extra dimensions in the form of a fuzzy sphere $S_N^2$. This geometrical interpretation can be fully justified by working out the spectrum of Kaluza-Klein modes. The effective low-energy theory is then given by the zero modes on $S_N^2$. This approach provides a
clear dynamical selection of the geometry due to the term $(\phi_a \phi_a + \tilde{b})^2$ in the action.

Perhaps the most remarkable aspect of this model is that the geometric interpretation and the corresponding low-energy degrees of freedom depend in a nontrivial way on the parameters of the model, which are running under the RG group. Therefore the massless degrees of freedom and their geometrical interpretation depend on the energy scale. In particular, the low-energy gauge group generically turns out to be $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2) \times U(1)$ or $SU(n)$, while gauge groups which are products of more than two simple components (apart from $U(1)$) do not seem to occur. The values of $n_1$ and $n_2$ are determined dynamically, and may well be small such as 3 and 2.

It is interesting to examine the running of the coupling constants under the RG. $R$ turns out to run only logarithmically, implies that the scale of the internal spheres is only mildly affected by the RG flow. However, $\tilde{b}$ is running essentially quadratically, hence is generically large. This is quite welcome here: starting with some large $N$, $\tilde{b} \approx C_2(N)$ must indeed be large in order to lead to the geometric interpretation discussed above. Hence the problems of naturalness or fine-tuning appear to be rather mild here.

A somewhat similar model has been studied in [26, 27], which realizes deconstruction and a “twisted” compactification of an extra fuzzy sphere based on a supersymmetric gauge theory. Our model is different and does not require supersymmetry, leading to a much richer pattern of symmetry breaking and effective geometry. For other relevant work see e.g. [28].

The dynamical formation of fuzzy spaces found here is also related to recent work studying the emergence of stable submanifolds in modified IIB matrix models. In particular, previous studies based on actions for fuzzy gauge theory different from ours generically only gave results corresponding to $U(1)$ or $U(\infty)$ gauge groups, see e.g. [29, 30, 31] and references therein. The dynamical generation of a nontrivial index on noncommutative spaces has also been observed in [32, 33] for different models.

Our mechanism may also be very interesting in the context of the recent observation [34] that extra dimensions are very desirable for the application of noncommutative field theory to particle physics. Other related recent work discussing the implications of the higher-dimensional point of view on symmetry breaking and Higgs masses can be found in [35, 36, 37, 38]. These issues could now be discussed within a renormalizable framework.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

Non-commutative Geometry has been regarded as a promising framework for obtaining finite quantum field theories and for regularizing quantum field theories. In general quantization of field theories on non-commutative spaces has turned out to be much more difficult and with less attractive ultraviolet features than expected see however ref. [17], and ref. [18]. Recall also that non-commutativity is not the only suggested tool for constructing finite field theories. Indeed four-dimensional finite gauge theories have been constructed in ordinary space-time and not only those which are $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric, and most probably phenomenologically uninteresting, but also chiral $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories [19] which already have been
successful in predicting the top quark mass and have rich phenomenology that could be tested in future colliders [19, 20]. In the present work we have not addressed the finiteness of non-commutative quantum field theories, rather we have used non-commutativity to produce, via Fuzzy-CSGR, new particle models from particle models on $M^4 \times (S/R)_F$.

A major difference between fuzzy and ordinary CSGR is that in the fuzzy case one always embeds $S$ in the gauge group $G$ instead of embedding just $R$ in $G$. This is due to the fact that the differential calculus on the fuzzy coset space is based on $\dim S$ derivations instead of the restricted $\dim S - \dim R$ used in the ordinary one. As a result the four-dimensional gauge group $H = C_G(R)$ appearing in the ordinary CSGR after the geometrical breaking and before the spontaneous symmetry breaking due to the four-dimensional Higgs fields does not appear in the Fuzzy-CSGR. In Fuzzy-CSGR the spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism takes already place by solving the Fuzzy-CSGR constraints. The four-dimensional potential has the typical “maxican hat” shape, but it appears already spontaneously broken. Therefore in four dimensions appears only the physical Higgs field that survives after a spontaneous symmetry breaking. Correspondingly in the Yukawa sector of the theory we have the results of the spontaneous symmetry breaking, i.e. massive fermions and Yukawa interactions among fermions and the physical Higgs field. Having massive fermions in the final theory is a generic feature of CSGR when $S$ is embedded in $G$ [6]. We see that if one would like to describe the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the SM in the present framework, then one would be naturally led to large extra dimensions.

A fundamental difference between the ordinary CSGR and its fuzzy version is the fact that a non-abelian gauge group $G$ is not really required in high dimensions. Indeed the presence of a $U(1)$ in the higher-dimensional theory is enough to obtain non-abelian gauge theories in four dimensions.

In a further development, we have presented a renormalizable four-dimensional $SU(N)$ gauge theory with a suitable multiplet of scalars, which dynamically develops fuzzy extra dimensions that form a fuzzy sphere. The model can then be interpreted as 6-dimensional gauge theory, with gauge group and geometry depending on the parameters in the original Lagrangian. We explicitly find the tower of massive Kaluza-Klein modes, consistent with an interpretation as compactified higher-dimensional gauge theory, and determine the effective compactified gauge theory. This model has a unique vacuum, with associated geometry and low-energy gauge group depending only on the parameters of the potential.

There are many remarkable aspects of this model. First, it provides an extremely simple and geometrical mechanism of dynamically generating extra dimensions, without relying on subtle dynamics such as fermion condensation and particular Moose- or Quiver-type arrays of gauge groups and couplings, such as in [22] and following work. Rather, our model is based on a basic lesson from noncommutative gauge theory, namely that noncommutative or fuzzy spaces can be obtained as solutions of matrix models. The mechanism is quite generic, and does not require fine-tuning or supersymmetry. This provides in particular a realization of the basic ideas of compactification and dimensional reduction within the framework
of renormalizable quantum field theory. Moreover, we are essentially considering a large $N$ gauge theory, which should allow to apply the analytical techniques developed in this context.

In particular, it turns out that the generic low-energy gauge group is given by $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2) \times U(1)$ or $SU(n)$, while gauge groups which are products of more than two simple components (apart from $U(1)$) do not seem to occur in this model. The values of $n_1$ and $n_2$ are determined dynamically. Moreover, a magnetic flux is induced in the vacua with non-simple gauge group, which is very interesting in the context of fermions, since internal fluxes naturally lead to chiral massless fermions. This will be studied in detail elsewhere.

There is also an intriguing analogy between our toy model and string theory, in the sense that as long as $a = 0$, there are a large number of possible vacua (given by all possible partitions) corresponding to compactifications, with no dynamical selection mechanism to choose one from the other. Remarkably this analog of the “string vacuum problem” is simply solved by adding a term to the action.
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