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Image annotation is typically performed manually since automatic image annotation approaches have not matured yet to be used in practice. Consequently, image annotation is a labour intensive and time consuming task. In this paper, we show how an image browsing system can be employed to support efficient and effective (manual) annotation of image databases. In contrast to other approaches, which typically present images in a linear fashion, we employ a visualisation where images are arranged by mutual visual similarity. Since in this arrangement similar images are close to each other, they can easily be selected and annotated together. Organisation on a grid layout prevents image overlap and thus contributes to a clear presentation. Large image databases are handled through a hierarchical data structure where each image in the visualisation can correspond to a cluster of images that can be expanded by the user. Experimental results indicate that annotation can be performed faster on our proposed system.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Information is becoming more and more visual. Consequently, methods to effectively deal with this information, in particular with images, are highly sought after. Unfortunately, textual annotations are not available for most images, while annotating images is a challenging task. Manual annotation is work intensive and difficult, while automatic annotation techniques [1] are not sufficiently mature yet to be used in practice. In this paper, we show how an image database visualisation and browsing tool [2] can be used for efficient and effective annotation of image collections. In contrast to other approaches, which typically present images in a linear fashion, we employ a visualisation where images are arranged so that visually similar images are located close to each other. Organisation on a grid layout prevents image overlap and hence contributes to a clear presentation. Large image databases are handled through a hierarchical storage structure where each image in the visualisation can correspond to a cluster of images that can be expanded by the user. Experimental results show that annotation can be performed faster on our proposed system.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Concepts of image database browsing are discussed in Section 2. Section 3 details the Hue Sphere Image Browser that we employ. In Section 4 we show how our image browser can be effectively utilised for image annotation, while this is backed up in Section 5 by experimental results. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper.

2 IMAGE DATABASE BROWSING

Browsing systems provide an overview of an image database to allow for intuitive navigation through the image collection [3–8]. This is particularly the case when
images are arranged according to mutual similarity [9] so that visually similar images are located close to each other in the browsing interface. One of the main challenges of such image database navigation systems is the limited screen size. Consequently, images are typically displayed as thumbnails arranged in such a way that the user can intuitively understand what kind of images are contained in the dataset.

Visualisation methods for image repositories can be grouped into three main categories: mapping-based, clustering-based, and graph-based approaches [5]. Mapping-based techniques employ dimensionality reduction techniques to map high-dimensional image feature vectors to a low-dimensional space for visualisation. Typical examples use principal component analysis (PCA) [10, 11], multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) [12], or non-linear embedding techniques [13] to define a visualisation space onto which to place images. Clustering-based visualisations group visually similar images together, often in a hierarchical manner. Among the systems that employ such an approach are [14–19]. In graph-based navigation systems, images are the nodes of a graph structure, while the edges of the graph show relationships between images (e.g., joint keywords or visual similarity). Graph-based systems include [20–23].

Once an image set is visualised, effective tools are needed for the user to be able to interact with the dataset in an efficient and intuitive way [6]. We can distinguish between horizontal browsing which works on images of the same visualisation plane, and includes operations such as panning, zooming, magnification and scaling, and vertical browsing which allows navigation to a different level of a hierarchically organised (often clustering-based) visualisation.

3 HUE SPHERE IMAGE BROWSER

The visualisation approach we employ in this paper is based on the Hue Sphere Image Browser presented in [19, 24, 25] and further developed into an on-line application [26] to provide an accessible, efficient and effective approach to image database browsing. The reason for adopting a spherical visualisation space is that users will be familiar with the concept of a globe and how to navigate on one.

Each image is described by its median colour. However, rather than employing the standard RGB colour space, the HSV space is used [27] which humans find more intuitive. Of this, only the hue $H$ and value (brightness) $V$ attributes are taken, since the saturation descriptor is deemed less important for characterising image content. $H$ and $V$ are then transformed to longitude and latitude co-ordinates which describe the location of the image thumbnail on the sphere. The sphere can be rotated to focus on images with different colours or tilted to look at brighter or darker images.

Since the co-ordinates are extracted directly from the images, this approach is very fast and much more efficient than methods such as multi-dimensional scaling which rely on a comparably slow and computationally expensive iterative convergence procedure. At the same time, it does not compromise the achieved image database visualisation, since, as has been shown [28], the average image colour is at least as effective a descriptor for image browsing as high-dimensional feature vectors (e.g., based on colour distributions). In addition, the features employed are intrinsically suitable for query-based image retrieval as well [29], which allows for a combination of browsing and querying.

As overlapping and occlusion of image thumbnails will have a negative impact on the browsing experience [9], images are placed on a regular grid structure so that they do not overlap each other. Clearly, and in particular for larger image sets, this will mean that more than one image can be mapped to the same cell. This is handled through a hierarchical approach of storing and accessing the image collection and is performed based directly on the grid mapping, leading to a hierarchical tree based on clustering images. However, in contrast to clustering-based visualisation methods such as [15] there is no need for computationally expensive clustering algorithms. Rather, the resolution of the grid layout represents the maximal number of clusters present at a given level (which will only be met if all cells are filled). The grid cells then directly determine which images fall into which clusters, and assigning images to clusters/grid cells can hence be performed with simple comparison operations only. A representative image for each cluster is chosen by identifying the image closest to the centre of the cell.

This procedure is adopted at the each level of the tree hierarchy, i.e. first at the root node (the initial global display) and then for each non-empty cell again in a recursive manner, where the images of each child node are again mapped...
Fig. 2. Extract of the XML data structure.

to a grid structure, until the complete tree is derived. The resulting interface provides an intuitive way of browsing so that the user can, starting from the initial display, successively select a representative image to refine the search. That image cluster is then expanded in the next level of the display where the user can again select an image group to navigate further into the image collection.

The grid-tree structure also provides another advantage. As the structure is fixed it can be pre-computed in completeness off-line, together will all possible grid view configurations the user can encounter. This in turn provides the user with the possibility of real-time browsing large image collections.

In order to provide an effective tool for today’s networked society, we have implemented an on-line version in Adobe Flash® CS 4 Professional [26] that can be used on any machine with an Internet browser and Adobe Flash Player.

ActionScript 3\(^1\), the development language for Flash applications, features a core event driven architecture, an extensive API for XML and the ability to import/export all data types to/from the local file system. Object-oriented principles were followed in the design and implementation of the application with a high-level class diagram shown in Fig. 1. Image data is stored in XML\(^2\) format, making it easily readable using appropriate tools and also providing the possibility of generating database structures using external software of choice. Figure 2 shows a sample extract of the XML data structure.

\(^1\)http://livedocs.adobe.com/flash/9.0/
\(^2\)http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-20060816/

Crucially, Flash CS4 and Flash Player 10 support, alongside several other key changes, a built-in API for 2.5D. Whilst unable to manage true 3D geometry, 2D objects can be transformed to be embedded within a 3D space (hence 2.5D). The Flash 10 architecture involves each display object within the scene being distorted through matrix transformations dependent on its position and rotation in relation to the (adjustable) perspective point. This is significantly different from other approaches such as PaperVision 3D\(^3\), and combined with the fact that it is taking place natively thus taking full advantage of hardware acceleration, results in far more responsive handling of large display lists.

In addition to the original hue sphere which provides an interaction scenario similar to a globe [19, 24, 25], we also implemented a second visualisation space whereby

\(^3\)http://www.papervision3d.org/
Table 1. Experimental results of annotation task.

| Images          | Windows Explorer |         | Browsing application |         |
|-----------------|------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
|                 | Images           | Time taken | Interactions | Time taken | Interactions |
| US flag         | 3                | 2 : 12   | 93          | 0 : 12    | 6          |
| stained glass window | 18            | 5 : 13   | 258         | 3 : 18    | 41         |
| telephone box   | 4                | 2 : 42   | 121         | 1 : 01    | 25         |
| Average         | 8                | 3 : 22   | 157         | 1 : 30    | 24         |

the user is placed at the centre of the sphere looking outwards thus being immersed in the visualisation itself. Furthermore, a flat visualisation space is also provided where images are projected onto a plane. Examples of these three paradigms, applied to the MPEG-7 common colour dataset [30], are given in Fig. 6.

Navigation on the sphere includes the ability to rotate and tilt the sphere at the same time. Rotation around the y-axis is applied to the holder object, however tilting around the x-axis is applied to the sphere object therefore maintaining the direction of the applied tilt whilst rotating. Both rotation and tilting operations are smoothed via the introduction of an easing formula, recalculating and decelerating the rotational values every frame until they equal the newly assigned value. A limitation is applied to the tilting action to prevent the user viewing the sphere upside down.

The hierarchical data structure coupled with the corresponding organisation of images on a grid at various levels, allows for efficient and effective access to large databases. By selecting one image, the user can expand its image cluster, and in return the application will display the corresponding part of the next level of the hierarchy. While browsing through lower levels of the grid structure, the user can also immediately move to neighbouring image clusters as is illustrated in Fig. 3 for the case at the bottom level of the hierarchy (i.e., where single images are displayed). Apart from the image itself, four neighbouring images are shown corresponding to the immediate neighbours in the tree structure. Where no immediate neighbour exists (i.e., when there are no images in the corresponding grid cell), the application will search adjacent cells and display an appropriate image for navigation.

4 IMAGE BROWSING FOR ANNOTATION

Since automatic annotation systems [1] still have a long way to go before becoming sufficiently accurate and hence useful in practice, we see image browsing tools as an attractive means for efficiently annotating image datasets. The reason for this is that, as mentioned, similar images are clustered together, or at least close to each other in the visualisation, so that annotating these images simultaneously will take fewer interactions compared to going through an image list one-by-one. Consequently, we provide annotation facilities in our application that support exactly this idea. Users can select multiple images and then attach keyword information to the selected set. Fully utilising the hierarchical data structure, by selecting an image representing an image cluster, all images in that cluster are selected at the same time, allowing for an efficient mechanism of tagging whole image sets. Figure 4 shows an example of multiple images and image clusters selected for annotation, while Fig. 5 gives an example of the actual annotation dialog.

5 EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

Although the usefulness of the employed image browsing tools is evident, objective evaluation of such systems has been shown to be difficult [31]. Nevertheless, we performed some user-based tests where we compared the effectiveness of our image database navigation system with a common browsing tool, namely MS Windows Explorer®. The task given to the users was to annotate a set of images. In particular, users had to find and annotate all images of a certain category (with each category consisting of between 3 and 18 images). The results of this task are listed in Table 1. From there it is clear that our similarity-based browsing application is proven to provide a faster interface for image annotation. The flags category was annotated very quickly as all 3 images are very similar and therefore very close together. However the stained glass windows took somewhat longer using the browsing application due to larger fluctuations within hue and value, spreading them over the visualisation space. It should however be noted, that the relatively fast times for Windows Explorer here are
Fig. 6. Visualisation of MPEG-7 CCD database [30] projected onto hue sphere (top), with user immersed at the centre of the sphere (middle), and displayed on a flat plane (bottom).
based on the fact that the relevant images had similar filenames (or rather image numbers) and hence the reported results probably represent a best case scenario for linear image displays.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have shown that image database browsing systems can be used to support effective and efficient annotation of image repositories. Images are projected onto either a spherical or a planar visualisation space in a similarity-based fashion where visually similar images are located close to each other in the browsing interface and hence can be easily selected together for joint annotation. Large datasets are handled through a hierarchical data structure, while images are arranged on a grid to avoid overlapping and hence user confusion. User-based experiments have shown that the browsing application is more efficient for annotation than a system based on a conventional linear display of images.
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