Identification of heavy metal pollution source due to idol immersion activity across the Cauvery river basin, Tamil Nadu, South India
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Idol immersion activities alter the hydrological parameters of an aquatic body. However, relevant research in the Cauvery river basin in terms of idol immersion activity has been limited. In the present study, a total of 29 water and topsoil samples were collected from the Cauvery basin before and after idol immersion, and evaluated for the presence of metals. The experimental results showed elevated Cd and Pb levels in water and sediment samples of both Cauvery and Kollidam rivers. Strong statistical significance was observed for all the elements studied in the soil samples collected before and after idol immersion ($P < 0.01$). Industrial effluents, textile waste, untreated sewage, municipal waste and agricultural activities are the most common causes of elevated levels of heavy metals in the study area. Further, geo-accumulation index and pollution load index studies showed lesser impact of idol immersion on metal distribution compared to other sites reported from India. However, strict regulatory policies of the concerned authorities help maintain the quality of the Cauvery basin.
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WATER plays a vital role in the survival of life on Earth. Several sources fulfil the requirement of water like rainwater, rivers, lakes, ponds, etc. Among them, the river ecosystem is considered as essential as it serves many purposes like irrigation, increasing the groundwater table, etc.1. In India, about 37,000 km$^3$ of water and $13.5 \times 10^9$ tonnes of sediments are transported into the sea by rivers2–4. The Cauvery, Vaigai and Thamirabarani are the three major rivers of southern Tamil Nadu, India. The Vaigai flows through Theni, Madurai, Sivagangai and Ramanathapuram districts of the state. The inhabitants of Tirunelveli and Tuticorin depend on the Thamirabarani for water5,6. The Cauvery provides irrigation for five major districts of Tamil Nadu, viz. Karur, Namakkal, Tiruchirappalli, Thanjavur and Nagapattinam7,8.

The source of the heavy metals could be traced to the weathering of rocks, and these metals get accumulated in the aquatic ecosystems. Due to urbanization and anthropogenic activities, the concentration of heavy metals has increased9–11. These changes create unfavourable conditions for the survival of living organisms by altering the physicochemical parameters, nutrient levels, and water quality index. About 99% of heavy metals deposited in river sediments are from various routes12. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals and bio-magnification occur through the food-chain. Finally, when the river water reaches the sea, the marine ecosystem gets polluted with these toxic metals, ultimately causing health issues in human beings who rely upon the marine organisms for their diet13–15.

Not only industrial and agricultural pollutants, activities like idol immersion play an important role in river pollution. In India, every year during September–October, at the end of the festival season idols of Gods/Goddesses are immersed into the rivers, lakes, ponds, etc.16. Most of the idols are made of clay, plaster of Paris, cement and decorated by paint, clothes, bamboo and varnishes17,18 which contain metals like lead, cadmium, copper, iron, zinc, chromium as well as different organic and inorganic substances. After idol immersion, these chemicals are dissolved in water and settle down as sediments eventually transferred to the food-chain19–22. Idol immersion contaminates the water bodies which are the source of irrigation. Thus crops are affected by heavy metals and there is a major impact of ecotoxicology23.

Tamil Nadu is one of the diverse biospheres in India, consisting of both biologically and economically important zones. Currently, several anthropogenic activities are disturbing the life of aquatic organisms24,25. The present study was conducted in River Cauvery from Mettur dam to Poombuhar, and a branch of the river called Kollidam from Trichy, Mookumb to Pazhayar. We examined the impact of immersion of idols in South India, especially in the Cauvery river basin. The objectives of the study were as follows: (i) Assessment of concentration of both essential (Cu, Zn) and non-essential (Cd, Pb) elements in river samples before and after idol immersion. (ii) Comparison of metal concentrations before and after the immersion of
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The Cauvery is a predominant river system of South India that provides water to most areas of Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. In the subcontinent, the Cauvery is the eighth longest river. It influences the traditional life of the people in peninsular India, and also plays a major role in the flourishment of the region. The Cauvery is the eighth longest river. It influences the traditional life of the people in peninsular India, and also plays a major role in the flourishment of the region.

**Materials and methods**

**Description of the study area**

The Cauvery is a predominant river system of South India that provides water to most areas of Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. In the subcontinent, the Cauvery is the eighth longest river. It influences the traditional life of the people in peninsular India, and also plays a major role in the flourishment of the region. The Cauvery has 29 crucial creeks and branches. Water flow occurs during the southwest monsoon and northeast monsoons, except in Kodagu district, Karnataka. The temperature of the river basin is approximately 25°C and decreases at higher elevation. The study area is surrounded by several industrial estates like textile, steel and automobiles. Agricultural activity is also present, which contributes to the economy of the people. The Cauvery has 29 crucial creeks and branches. Water flow occurs during the southwest monsoon and northeast monsoons, except in Kodagu district, Karnataka. The temperature of the river basin is approximately 25°C and decreases at higher elevation.

**Sampling**

To understand the impact of idol immersion, two different samples were collected, i.e. before and after the immersion. Triplicate water and sediment samples in one-month intervals were collected before (August 2018) and after (October 2018) idol immersions (Figure 1). One litre of water sample from each station was collected in acid-washed polystyrene containers and 1 ml of concentrated nitric acid was added. A PVC pipe was used to extract the top 2-cm layer of the soil, and the samples were packed in sterilized zip-lock polythene covers. All the collected samples were stored at 4°C until further analysis.

**Sample preparation**

Water samples were filtered using the Millipore filtration unit to avoid debris-mediated contamination. In order to acidify the sample and to chelate the available elements, 1% freshly prepared APDC solution was added to the water sample. In addition, MIBK solvent was added to extract the metal–APDC complex. Finally, the samples containing organic layer were re-extracted using 50% HNO₃.
The extract was made up to 25 ml by adding distilled water\textsuperscript{30}. The soil samples were air-dried and ground into a fine powder to perform acid digestion\textsuperscript{31}. For this, 1 g of powdered soil sample was digested using 10 ml of acid reagent (HNO\textsubscript{3}, H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, and HClO\textsubscript{4} in the ratio 5 : 2 : 1) at 60°–100°C on a hot plate until the volume was reduced to 1 ml. Then 5 ml of 2N hydrochloric acid was added to perform complete digestion. The solution was filtered and made up to 25 ml by adding distilled water. Following the above procedure blank samples were prepared\textsuperscript{30}. 

Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA was performed using SPSS software to determine the concentration of heavy metals in the water and soil samples at 0.05% level. The source of heavy metals was identified by multivariate statistical analysis such as principal component analysis (PCA) using PAST software.

Assessment of health of the study area

To assess the pollution status of the study area, we used the geo-accumulation index ($I_{\text{geo}}$), pollution load index (PLI) and contamination factor (CF).

\[
I_{\text{geo}} = \log 2 \left( \frac{C_n}{1.5 \times B_n} \right),
\]

where $C_n$ is the heavy metal concentration in the sediment, and $B_n$ is the background value of element in the earth crust. A factor of 1.5 was introduced to compensate the background content due to lithogenic effects\textsuperscript{24}.

\[
CF_i = \frac{C_i}{B_i},
\]

where $C_i$ is the concentration of individual elements studied and $B_i$ is the background concentration of a particular element.

\[
\text{PLI} = (CF_1 \times CF_2 \times CF_3 \times \ldots \times CF_n)^{1/n}.
\]

The PLI values were interpreted in two levels as polluted (PLI > 1) and unpolluted (PLI < 1)\textsuperscript{25}.

Quality assessment and assurance of the instrument

All the analyses of Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn were performed using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AA7000-Shimadzu, Japan) based on the standardized laboratory method\textsuperscript{29,30}. The air–acetylene combination was maintained in all samples. According to the manufacture’s protocol, all the basic spectrometric corrections were
performed. Calibrations of each element were settled by standard solutions made by stepwise dilution of the stock solution. The absorption wavelength was 228.8 nm for Cu, 217.0 nm for Pb and 213.9 nm for Cd, 324.7 nm for Cu, 217.0 nm for Pb and 213.9 nm for Zn.

Results and discussion

Heavy metal concentration in Kollidam river samples

Table 2 gives the concentration level of metals before and after idol immersion. Concentration of metals in the Kollidam sediment samples ranged from 3.39 ± 0.04 to 6.38 ± 0.22 mg/g for Cu, 0.85 ± 0.13 to 5.69 ± 0.99 mg/g for Pb, no traces of Zn were detected in the water sample; Pb > Cd > Cu > no Zn (after idol immersion). In the soil samples, Cu > Zn > Cd > no Pb (before idol immersion); Cu > Zn > Pb > Cd (after idol immersion). In order to understand the significant impact of idol immersion in the both samples, metal concentration was evaluated using statistical analysis (non-parametric). The water samples showed significant variation in Cd and Pb concentration before and after idol immersion (P < 0.01). Similarly, strong statistical significance was observed for Cu, Cd, and Pb in the collected soil samples before and after idol immersion (P < 0.01).

In water samples before and after idol immersion, the mean concentration of Cu and Cd was higher than the BIS, IS-10500 (Bureau of Indian Standards); 2012, WHO (World Health Organization) and CPCB (Central Pollution Control Board) standards. Although no traces of Pb were recorded before idol immersion in both samples, after immersion Pb levels were elevated to several fold than the BIS, IS-10500 in water and less than the Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines (CEQG, 2001) in the sediments. During the entire study period, Zn concentration was recorded below the permissible limits given by BIS, IS-10500 and CEQG 2001 (Table 3).

Heavy metal concentration in Cauvery River samples

Tables 4 and 5 list the mean and range of metal concentration among different sites of River Cauvery before and

| Sample | Station | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
|--------|---------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| Water  | K1      | 0.3 ± 0.21 | 0.13 ± 0.04 | 0.27 ± 0.15 | 0.24 ± 0.08 | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     |
| K2     | ND      | ND     | ND     | 0.45 ± 0.12 | 0.25 ± 0.05 | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     |
| K3     | ND      | ND     | ND     | 0.62 ± 0.11 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     |
| K4     | ND      | ND     | ND     | 0.44 ± 0.1  | 0.24 ± 0.11 | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     |
| K5     | ND      | ND     | ND     | 0.36 ± 0.08 | 0.31 ± 0.10 | ND     | ND     | 2.48 ± 0.50 | ND     |
| K6     | ND      | ND     | ND     | 0.18 ± 0.04 | 0.06 ± 0.07 | ND     | ND     | 2.85 ± 1.63 | ND     |
| K7     | ND      | ND     | ND     | 0.32 ± 0.1  | 0.12 ± 0.11 | ND     | ND     | 0.65 ± 0.10 | ND     |
| K8     | ND      | ND     | ND     | 0.37 ± 0.06 | 0.11 ± 0.04 | ND     | 0.52 ± 0.09 | ND     | ND     |
| K9     | ND      | ND     | ND     | 0.27 ± 0.06 | 0.14 ± 0.07 | ND     | 0.52 ± 0.09 | ND     | ND     |
| Soil   | K1      | 5.06 ± 1.29 | 2.89 ± 0.8 | 5.69 ± 1   | 1.33 ± 0.58 | ND     | 4.79 ± 0.5 | 0.32 ± 0.1 | 6.89 ± 0.71 |
| K2     | 6.38 ± 1.16 | 2.73 ± 1.2 | 1.87 ± 0.65 | 2.12 ± 0.2 | ND     | 0.85 ± 0.1 | 5.01 ± 1.1 | 0.16 ± 0.1 |
| K3     | 4.68 ± 1.09 | 2.53 ± 0.71 | 2.52 ± 1.01 | 1.42 ± 0.42 | ND     | 0.74 ± 0.09 | 3.13 ± 0.96 | 0.11 ± 0.05 |
| K4     | 5.88 ± 0.95 | 2.77 ± 0.67 | 0.85 ± 0.13 | ND     | ND     | 1.12 ± 0.23 | 6.42 ± 0.8 | ND     |
| K5     | 4.57 ± 0.87 | 2.03 ± 0.44 | 1.57 ± 0.53 | ND     | ND     | 1.92 ± 0.2 | 2.65 ± 0.67 | 5.64 ± 0.78 |
| K6     | 3.39 ± 0.22 | 3.11 ± 1.03 | 1.74 ± 0.86 | ND     | ND     | 1.69 ± 0.45 | 4.89 ± 1.99 | 3.58 ± 1.26 |
| K7     | 4.54 ± 0.63 | 3.85 ± 0.8 | 5.14 ± 1.14 | ND     | ND     | 0.68 ± 0.11 | 0.33 ± 0.1 | 2.15 ± 0.2 |
| K8     | 5.39 ± 0.91 | 5.36 ± 1.04 | 1.86 ± 0.55 | ND     | ND     | 1.65 ± 0.37 | 7.21 ± 1.1 | 3.7 ± 0.56 |
| K9     | 5.11 ± 0.48 | 3.03 ± 0.87 | 5.76 ± 1.32 | 0.06 ± 0.08 | ND     | 7.62 ± 0.76 | 3.26 ± 0.95 | 4.2 ± 0.36 |

ND, Not detectable; values represent mean ± standard deviation.
after the idol immersion. The abundance of metals in the Cauvery samples varied in the following order: Cu > Cd > no traces of Pb and Zn (before idol immersion); Pb > Cd > Cu > no traces of Zn (after idol immersion) in water, and Zn > Cu > Cd > Pb (before idol immersion); Cu > Zn > Pb > Cd (after idol immersion) in the soil. Heavy metal concentration in water and sediment samples of different sites in the Cauvery before idol immersion ranged from 0.01 ± 0.01 to 1.73 ± 0.40 mg/l and 4.76 ± 0.85 to 16.39 ± 2.17 mg/kg for copper, 0.31 ± 0.17 to 2.02 ± 0.50 mg/l and 2.36 ± 1.09 to 7.21 ± 1.17 mg/kg for cadmium, no traces and 0.72 ± 0.79 to 2.92 ± 2.57 mg/kg for lead, and no traces and 2.62 ± 1.25 to 34.70 ± 1.48 mg/kg for zinc. The concentration of metals in water and sediment samples after idol immersion varied as follows: 0.20 ± 0.11 to 0.37 ± 0.15 mg/l and 1.53 ± 0.31 to 9.58 ± 0.86 mg/kg for copper, 0.09 ± 0.15 to 1.47 ± 1.50 mg/l and 0.09 ± 0.13 to 2.20 ± 0.40 mg/kg for cadmium, 0.16 ± 0.12 to 1.57 ± 0.63 mg/l and 0.17 ± 0.10 to 3.80 ± 0.67 mg/kg for lead, and no traces and 0.09 ± 0.07 to 14.57 ± 1.10 mg/kg for zinc.

The water samples showed significant alteration variation in Cd concentration before and after idol immersion ($P < 0.01$). Strong statistical significance was observed for all the elements studied in the soil samples collected before and after idol immersion ($P < 0.01$). In most of the stations, Cu and Cd levels in the water samples were high while Cu, Cd and Zn levels were high in sediment samples before idol immersion. In both water and sediment samples Pb concentration increased after idol immersion. Before and after immersion, the mean concentration of metals such as Cu, Cd and Pb in the water

---

**Table 3.** Permissible level of different metals in water and soil samples recommended by national and international agencies

| Sample | Metal | Present study | BIS, IS-10500; 2012 | WHO/CPCB |
|--------|-------|---------------|---------------------|----------|
| Water (mg/l) | Cu | 0.20–1.73 | 0.05 | 2 |
|        | Cd | 0.13–2.02 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
|        | Pb | 0.52–2.88 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|        | Zn | ND | 5 | No health-based guideline value has been proposed |

**Table 4.** Distribution of different elements in water samples (mg/l) of the Cauvery basin

| Station | Cu | Cd | Pb | Zn |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| C1      | 0.89 ± 0.08 | 0.21 ± 0.03 | ND | 0.18 ± 0.05 |
| C2      | 1.57 ± 0.27 | 0.25 ± 0.11 | ND | 0.85 ± 0.10 |
| C3      | 1.73 ± 0.40 | 0.37 ± 0.15 | ND | 1.57 ± 0.63 |
| C4      | 0.56 ± 0.12 | 0.31 ± 0.12 | ND | 0.86 ± 0.19 |
| C5      | 0.50 ± 0.09 | 0.23 ± 0.10 | ND | 0.65 ± 0.10 |
| C6      | 0.34 ± 0.06 | 0.18 ± 0.06 | ND | 0.16 ± 0.12 |
| C7      | 0.91 ± 0.01 | 0.2 ± 0.11 | ND | ND |
| C8      | 0.33 ± 0.20 | 0.24 ± 0.08 | ND | ND |
| C9      | 0.05 ± 0.06 | 0.25 ± 0.08 | ND | ND |
| C10     | 0.24 ± 0.06 | 0.23 ± 0.12 | ND | ND |
| C11     | 0.29 ± 0.13 | 0.17 ± 0.05 | ND | ND |
| C12     | 0.24 ± 0.09 | 0.25 ± 0.10 | ND | ND |
| C13     | 0.04 ± 0.05 | 0.14 ± 0.11 | ND | ND |
| C14     | 0.07 ± 0.10 | 0.15 ± 0.06 | ND | ND |
| C15     | 0.12 ± 0.05 | 0.09 ± 0.07 | ND | ND |
| C16     | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 0.31 ± 0.10 | ND | ND |
| C17     | 0.12 ± 0.17 | 0.17 ± 0.10 | ND | ND |
| C18     | 0.05 ± 0.08 | 0.09 ± 0.07 | ND | ND |
| C19     | 0.13 ± 0.18 | 0.12 ± 0.13 | ND | ND |
| C20     | 0.11 ± 0.08 | 0.14 ± 0.11 | ND | ND |

ND, Not detectable; values represent mean ± standard deviation.
Table 5. Distribution of different elements in soil samples (mg/kg) of the Cauvery basin

| Station | Cu  | Cd  | Pb  | Zn  |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Before  | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| C1      | 12.75 ± 2.62 | 9.58 ± 0.86 | 3.97 ± 1.54 | 0.12 ± 0.1 | ND | 0.17 ± 0.1 | 34.7 ± 1.48 | 14.57 ± 1.1 |
| C2      | 11.07 ± 2.21 | 5.79 ± 1.05 | 2.51 ± 0.89 | 0.45 ± 0.15 | ND | 0.23 ± 0.06 | 11.34 ± 1.1 | 7.14 ± 0.98 |
| C3      | 16.39 ± 2.17 | 5.06 ± 0.71 | 3.82 ± 1.31 | 0.12 ± 0.04 | ND | 0.33 ± 0.11 | 13.51 ± 0.66 | 2.24 ± 0.31 |
| C4      | 12.27 ± 2.19 | 6.78 ± 1.31 | 3.36 ± 1.12 | 1.79 ± 0.77 | ND | 0.65 ± 0.08 | 10.13 ± 0.98 | 9.53 ± 0.80 |
| C5      | 8.35 ± 1.34 | 4.61 ± 0.66 | 2.62 ± 1.09 | 0.09 ± 0.13 | ND | 0.52 ± 0.11 | 9.66 ± 0.87 | 1.63 ± 0.60 |
| C6      | 12.36 ± 2.79 | 7.22 ± 0.99 | 2.97 ± 1.11 | 0.27 ± 0.1 | ND | 1.01 ± 0.2 | 17.12 ± 1.65 | 3.96 ± 0.74 |
| C7      | 12.31 ± 2.6 | 5.03 ± 0.66 | 2.97 ± 1.05 | 0.93 ± 0.1 | ND | 0.62 ± 0.1 | 15.29 ± 1.21 | 10.6 ± 0.83 |
| C8      | 5.54 ± 0.82 | 4.31 ± 1.02 | 2.28 ± 0.97 | ND | ND | 3.24 ± 1.08 | 3.35 ± 1.02 | 1.67 ± 0.76 |
| C9      | 5.90 ± 1.35 | 5.37 ± 1.09 | 3.58 ± 1.05 | ND | ND | 2.42 ± 0.45 | 9.93 ± 1.64 | 7.52 ± 0.75 |
| C10     | 4.76 ± 0.85 | 3.66 ± 0.45 | 3.06 ± 0.82 | 0.24 ± 0.1 | ND | 0.68 ± 0.1 | 9.56 ± 0.86 | 1.45 ± 0.52 |
| C11     | 5.95 ± 2.03 | 1.53 ± 0.31 | 3.28 ± 1.10 | 1.7 ± 0.72 | 2.92 ± 2.57 | 0.44 ± 0.1 | 3.27 ± 1.03 | ND |
| C12     | 5.25 ± 1.14 | 4.2 ± 0.63 | 7.18 ± 1.01 | 0.52 ± 0.2 | 0.72 ± 0.79 | 0.44 ± 0.1 | 3.17 ± 0.68 | 1.77 ± 0.70 |
| C13     | 5.17 ± 1.59 | 3.09 ± 0.63 | 3.67 ± 0.8 | 0.23 ± 0.1 | 1.86 ± 0.46 | 1.25 ± 0.27 | 2.62 ± 1.25 | 0.36 ± 0.16 |
| C14     | 13.17 ± 2.09 | 3.68 ± 0.52 | 4.22 ± 1.37 | 0.73 ± 0.1 | ND | 3.8 ± 0.67 | 22.03 ± 1.36 | 0.64 ± 0.30 |
| C15     | 8.63 ± 1.20 | 2.44 ± 0.53 | 5.8 ± 1.57 | 0.26 ± 0.1 | ND | 0.62 ± 0.1 | 16.73 ± 0.85 | 0.09 ± 0.07 |
| C16     | 10.84 ± 2.07 | 3.95 ± 0.63 | 3.72 ± 1.09 | 0.31 ± 0.1 | ND | 0.66 ± 0.12 | 15.77 ± 1.38 | 3.58 ± 0.83 |
| C17     | 8.13 ± 1.48 | 3.55 ± 1.0 | 4.87 ± 1.36 | 0.25 ± 0.09 | ND | 1.59 ± 0.6 | 6.33 ± 1.01 | ND |
| C18     | 5.51 ± 0.57 | 2.31 ± 0.33 | 7.21 ± 1.17 | 0.15 ± 0.05 | ND | 1.9 ± 0.44 | 4.63 ± 0.84 | 2.74 ± 0.66 |
| C19     | 11.23 ± 1.31 | 8.36 ± 0.95 | 4.63 ± 1.11 | 0.54 ± 0.15 | ND | 0.26 ± 0.08 | 13.44 ± 1.21 | 9.40 ± 1.00 |
| C20     | 6.32 ± 1.15 | 2.63 ± 0.56 | 2.36 ± 1.09 | 2.20 ± 0.40 | ND | 0.63 ± 0.11 | 6.84 ± 1.05 | ND |

ND, Not detectable; Values represent mean ± standard deviation.

Figure 2. Pollution load index of different elements studied before and after idol immersion across the Cauvery river basin.

A unique pattern of Pb distribution was observed during the study. Among the 20 stations of the Cauvery, only a few stations (C1–C5) were reported with elevated Pb concentration in water. These stations are rich in industrial sites, where lead and its components are widely used for several purposes, e.g., lead acetate (dyeing of textiles, insecticides, chrome pigments), lead chromate (pigment in plastics), lead tetrafluoroborate (salt for electroplating), lead molybdenum chromate (pigments) and lead phosphate (stabilizing agent in plastics)35–37. According to the recent report by Shalini38, around 30,000 idols are immersed into the Hussain Sagar lake every year that contain 10 μg lead/kg of paint38. The drastic increase in the concentration of Pb in water and sediment samples is evidence that is due to idol immersion activities. This scenario is directly correlated with several studies in different parts of India38–40. Several studies have confirmed the elevated metal levels in specific aquatic bodies during the idol immersion activity throughout India.

Principal component analysis

In order to identify the potential sources of heavy metals in the present study, PCA method was employed to determine the concentration of heavy metals in the entire Cauvery river using eigen values and varimax rotation. Based on the concentration of all the elements analysed in the water samples, one principal component (PC) was extracted with 39.24% and 42.10% of total variance before and after immersion respectively. The coefficient of PC1 showed positive loading for Cu (0.707) and Cd (0.707) before immersion of the idols, also positive
loading was observed in Cu (0.695) and Pb (0.692) after immersion of the idols, thus indicating that the metals were from an anthropogenic origin. Followed by the pre- and post-idol immersions, sediment samples were extracted with two PCs showing 75.98% and 82.36% of the total variance. In PC1 for the period before and after immersion, Cu (0.613; 0.625) and Zn (0.614) showed strong positive correlation, while Cd and Pb were in negative loading; this shows the impact of lithogenic origin of metals. However, in PC2 both before and after immersion Cd had strong loading (0.953; 0.740), which confirms the source of anthropogenic origin.

In the Kollidam river water samples, only one PC was extracted with an eigen value greater than one, which accounted for 31.89% and 35.05% of the total variance before and after immersion respectively. Before immersion of the idols, there was a strong positive loading for Cd (0.707), while after the immersion of idols there was a positive loading observed for Cu (0.690). Thus we can confirm that elevated metal concentration before immersion is linked to anthropogenic origin\(^4\), while after immersion it is directly linked with lithogenic sources. In case of sediment samples, pre-immersion activity was extracted by one PC with a total variance of 46.82%, especially positive loading for Zn (0.684). Post-immersion, two PCs were extracted; however, PC1 alone could be contributed by an anthropogenic component having strong loading for both Pb (0.593) and Zn (0.660).

Moreover, the loading is evidence of alteration in the water bodies during idol immersion, especially the elevated Pb levels. The concentration of lead in unpolluted waters is less than 0.01 mg/l, but an excessive amount of 1.57 mg/l was reported with an average of 0.71 mg/l. This might be due to contaminants of untreated industrial effluents, improper handling of solid waste, battery manufacturing units, pigment industries, textile industries, and untreated effluents of sewage treatment plants. Elevated levels of some heavy metals and nutrients in the groundwater were reported from Mettur Dam; the possible sources are SIDCO Industrial Estate, thermal power plant, disposal of industrial effluents, municipal sewage and agrochemical leaching\(^3\). In the Cauvery river basin, monsoonal variation occurs that could be the possible source of pollutants, which might bring all the dumps and solid waste\(^4\). A significant change in metal concentration both before and after idol immersion was observed. However, the result lies within the BIS, IS-10500 limits.

Assessment of metal pollution in river soil samples

Figure 2 shows the calculated pollution load index (PLI) values of different metals during this study. The PLI values were relatively lower both before and after idol immersion in the Cauvery and Kollidam rivers. In all the sites PLI was less than 1, indicating least contamination of all the elements studied. The \(I_{\text{geo}}\) was calculated using the metal concentration present in the soil samples of the study area (Figure 3). The \(I_{\text{geo}}\) values for Cu, Pb, and Zn before and after idol immersion showed uncontaminated status of both river samples with reference to the background concentration of metals. Cd alone showed positive loading for all the sampling sites of both rivers (Cauvery – C1 to C20; Kollidam – K1 to K9) before idol immersion. However, the trend gradually altered after immersion and \(I_{\text{geo}}\) values were between strongly contaminated and moderately contaminated zones. CF of Cu,
Cd, Pb, and Zn. Considerable variation exists for Cd before immersion ranging from 23.27 to 73.57 in case of Cauvery river and 8.67 to 58.78 for Kollidam river samples (Figure 4). Such discrepancy might be influenced by water run-off during monsoon. Moreover, the obtained $I_{\text{geo}}$ values indicate that the rivers of Tamil Nadu are strongly influenced by Cd-medicated toxicity that may impact human and aquatic life.

Conclusion

In recent decades due to several anthropogenic activities, the Cauvery river basin is being polluted by metals and other pollutants. Among them, seasonal idol immersion activity was reported as one of the main sources of pollution. In the present study traces of elements were detected in water and soil samples of the Cauvery river basin, which might be influenced by several anthropogenic activities. The concentration factor and geo-accumulation index revealed that soil samples of the Cauvery river basin were highly contaminated by Cd and less contaminated by other elements respectively. The immersion of the seasonal idol may not create any strong impacts on the distribution of metal in the Cauvery river because of its water flow. However, when the river water ends up into the sea, these metal pollutants arising from the immersions of idols would have a negative impact in the waters and sediments of the Bay of Bengal.
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