REGULATORS OF $K_2$ OF HYPERGEOMETRIC FIBRATIONS

MASANORI ASAKURA

1. Introduction

In the paper [AO2], Otsubo and the author introduced a certain class of fibrations of algebraic varieties which we named hypergeometric fibrations (abbreviated HG fibrations, see §2.1 for the definition). In a series of our joint papers [AO1], . . . [AO4], we studied $K_1$ of HG fibrations and the Beilinson regulators. Our main results are to describe the regulators via the generalized hypergeometric functions

$$pF_{p-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}a_1, \ldots, a_p \\ b_1, \ldots, b_{p-1}\end{array}; x\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_{p-1})_n} \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad (\alpha)_n := \Gamma(\alpha + n)/\Gamma(\alpha)$$

(we refer [B], [E] or [Sl] to the reader for the fundamental theory on hypergeometric functions).

In this paper we study $K_2$ of HG fibrations. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a HG fibration defined in §2.1, and $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ a smooth fiber, then we discuss the Beilinson regulator map

$$\text{reg} : K_2(X_t) \to H^2_D(X_t, \mathbb{Z}(2))$$

to the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology group (e.g. [Sch]). We shall discuss the following cases.

- $f$ is of Fermat type given in §2.2
- $f$ is of Gauss type given in §2.3
- $f$ is an elliptic fibration (e.g. the Legendre family).

In the above cases, there are nontrivial elements in $K_2(X_t)$. The main theorems are to give explicit descriptions of the regulators by linear combinations of the hypergeometric functions of the following types

$$3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}a, a, a \\ b, a + 1\end{array}; x\right), \quad 4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}a, a, 1, 1 \\ 2, 2, 2\end{array}; x\right).$$

The precise formulas are given in Theorems 3.2, 3.4, 3.5 in §3.2 for the Fermat type, and in Theorem 4.6 in §4.2 for the Gauss type.

In §5, we give similar formulas for some elliptic fibrations, such as the Legendre family. With the aid of MAGMA, we give a number of numerical verifications of Beilinson’s conjecture on $L(E, 2)$, the $L$-function of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. In [RZ], Rogers and Zudilin proved certain formulas which describes $L(E, 2)$ by special values of hypergeometric functions. Applying their result, we can obtain a “theorem” on Beilinson’s conjecture for an elliptic curve of conductor 24. This seems a new approach toward the Beilinson conjecture for elliptic curves.
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author hopes that, the study of the Beilinson conjecture by the hypergeometric functions will be developed more and bring a new progress.

Finally we note that there are previous works [O1], [O2] by Otsubo on hypergeometric functions and regulators on $K_2$ of Fermat curves. Our results and method are totally different though this paper is inspired by his papers.

The author expresses sincere gratitude to Professor Noriyuki Otsubo for the stimulating discussion, especially on special values of $L$-functions of elliptic curves. He also thanks Professor Wadim Zudilin for reading the first draft and giving lots of comments.

2. Hypergeometric Fibrations

Throughout this paper, we denote the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ by $\{x\}$:

$$\{x\} := x - \lfloor x \rfloor.$$

The Gaussian hypergeometric function

$$\, _2F_1 \left( a, b; c; x \right)$$

is simply written by $F(a, b, c; x)$.

2.1. Definition. Let $R$ be a finite-dimensional semisimple commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. Let $e : R \to E$ be a projection onto a number field $E$. For a $R$-module $H$, we write

$$H(e) := E \otimes_{e, R} H,$$

and call it the $e$-part of $H$.

Let $X$ be a projective smooth variety over a field $k$. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a surjective morphism over $k$ which is smooth over $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $A = \text{Pic}_f^0 \to U$ be the Picard scheme over $U$. We say $f$ is a hypergeometric fibration with multiplication by $(R, e)$ (abbreviated HG fibration) if it is endowed with a ring homomorphism (called a multiplication by $R$)

$$R \to \text{End}_U(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

and the following conditions hold. We fix an inhomogeneous coordinate $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

- $f$ is smooth outside $t = 0, 1, \infty$, hence we may take $U = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$.
- Denote by $A(e) \to U$ the $e$-part of the abelian fibration which corresponds to the $e$-part $(R^1 f_* \mathcal{Q}_l)(e)$ of a $l$-adic sheaf,

$$T_l A(e) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong R^1 f_* \mathcal{Q}_l(e).$$

Then $\dim(A(e)/U) = [E : \mathbb{Q}]$ or equivalently $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{Q}_l)(e) = 2[E : \mathbb{Q}]$.
- The abelian fibration $A(e) \to U$ has a totally degenerate semistable reduction at $t = 1$.

The last condition is equivalent to say that the local monodromy $T$ on $(R^1 f_* \mathcal{Q}_l)(e)$ at $t = 1$ is unipotent and the rank of log monodromy $N := \log(T)$ is maximal, namely $\text{rank}(N) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{Q}_l)(e) = [E : \mathbb{Q}]$ by the second condition.

Example 2.1 (Elliptic fibrations). The simplest example of hypergeometric fibrations is an elliptic fibration $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ which satisfies that $f$ is smooth over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and has a multiplicative reduction at $t = 1$. In this case we take
$R = E = \mathbb{Q}$, $e = id$. We refer the reader to [H] for the classification of elliptic fibrations over $\mathbb{P}^1$ which has singular fibers at most over 3 points. In particular, there are 14 cases of such fibrations which have at least one multiplicative reduction.

### 2.2. HG fibration of Fermat type
Suppose that the characteristic of $k$ is 0. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the fibration such that the general fiber $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ is a smooth projective curve defined by an equation

$$(x^n - 1)(y^m - 1) = 1 - t, \quad n, m \geq 2.$$  

We call $f$ a fibration of Fermat type.\footnote{The reason why we call “Fermat type” is that the fiber over $t = 0$ is $(x^n - 1)(y^m - 1) = 1 \iff x^{-n} + y^{-m} = 1,$ hence the Fermat curve appears in the degenerating fiber.} One can show $g(X_t) = (n - 1)(m - 1)$ (e.g. by the Hurwitz formula). Moreover $f$ is smooth outside $t = 0, 1, \infty$, and $f$ has a totally degenerate semistable reduction at $t = 1$. We denote by $\mu_k \subset \mathbb{R}^\times$ the group of $k$-th roots of unity. Suppose $\mu_n, \mu_m \subset k^\times$. The action $(x, y, t) \mapsto (\zeta_n x, \zeta_m y, t)$ for $(\zeta_n, \zeta_m) \in \mu_n \times \mu_m$ gives a multiplication by the group ring $R = \mathbb{Q}[\mu_n \times \mu_m]$. If $e : R \to E$ factors through projections $\mu_n \times \mu_m \to \mu_n$ or $\mu_n \times \mu_m \to \mu_m$, then $H^1(X_t)(e) = 0$. Therefore

$$H^1(X_t) = \bigoplus_e H^1(X_t)(e)$$

where $e$ does not factor through projections $\mu_n \times \mu_m \to \mu_n$ or $\mu_n \times \mu_m \to \mu_m$.

#### Lemma 2.2
Put

$$\omega_{i,j} := x^{i-1}y^{j-1}\frac{m^{-1}dx}{y^{m-1}(x^n - 1)} = -x^{i-1}y^{j-1}\frac{n^{-1}dy}{x^{n-1}(y^m - 1)}$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\Gamma(X_t, \Omega^1_{X_t})$ is $(n - 1)(m - 1)$-dimensional with basis $\{\omega_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, 1 \leq j \leq m - 1\}$. Hence

$$\dim_E H^1(X_t)(e) = \begin{cases} 0 & e \text{ factoring through } \mu_n \times \mu_m \to \mu_n \text{ or } \mu_n \times \mu_m \to \mu_m \\ 1 & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

$f$ is a HG fibration with multiplication by $(R, e)$ if and only if $\dim_E H^1(X_t)(e) = 1$, and then

$$\Gamma(X_t, \Omega^1_{X_t})(e) = \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in I_e} k \cdot \omega_{i,j}$$

$$I_e := \{([s]_{i0}, [s]_{j0}) \mid s \in (\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z})^\times \} \quad (2.1)$$

where $(i_0, j_0)$ is a fixed index such that a homomorphism $R \to k$, $(\zeta_n, \zeta_m) \mapsto \zeta_{i0}^n \zeta_{j0}^m$ factors through $e$, and $[a]_n$ denotes the unique integer such that $[a]_n \equiv a \mod n$ and $0 \leq [a]_n < n$.

Proof. Exercise.

Suppose that the base field is $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\varepsilon_1 \in \mu_n$ and $\varepsilon_2 \in \mu_m$, and let $P(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ denotes the singular point $(x, y) = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ of $f^{-1}(1)$. Let $d(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in H^1(X_t, \mathbb{Z})$ be the vanishing cycle at $t = 1$ which “converges to $P(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$”, namely it is a homology cycle characterized by

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^2} \oint_{t=1} \int_{d(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega = \text{Res}_P(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in H^2_{\text{fr}}(\mathcal{X}^*)$$

### 1
where $\mathcal{Y}^*$ is the tubular neighborhood of $f^{-1}(1)$ and $\text{Res}_P : H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Y}^*) \to \mathbb{C}$ is the Poincare residue map at $P = P(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$.

For the later use, we here give a down-to-earth description of a path $\delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$. For $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mu_n \times \mu_m$, we denote by $\sigma(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ the automorphisms of $X_t$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_1 x, \zeta_2 y)$. Suppose $|t - 1| \ll 1$ and fix $\sqrt{t}$. Let $Q_1(x, y) = (1, \infty)$ and $Q_t(x, y) = (\sqrt{t}, 0)$ be points of $X_t$. Define a (unique) path $u$ from $Q_t$ to $Q_1$ such that the projection onto the $y$-plane is a line $\arg(y) = -\pi/m$ from $y = 0$ to $y = \infty$.

Put $\delta(1, 1) := (1 - \sigma(1, e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/m}))u$, $\delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) := \sigma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)\delta(1, 1)$. (2.2)

$$\int_{\delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j} = -\frac{\varepsilon_1^j \varepsilon_2^i}{mn} : 2\pi \sqrt{-1}F \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}, 1 - \frac{j}{m}, 1; 1 - t \right).$$

**Lemma 2.3.**

**Proof.** Since

$$\int_{\delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j} = \int_{\delta(1, 1)} \sigma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)\omega_{i,j} = \varepsilon_1^i \varepsilon_2^j \int_{\delta(1, 1)} \omega_{i,j}$$

we only need to show the case $\delta(1, 1)$. Write $\zeta_m := e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/m}$ and $\zeta_{2m} := e^{\pi \sqrt{-1}/m}$

$$\int_{\delta(1, 1)} \omega_{i,j} = (1 - \zeta_m^j) \int_u \omega_{i,j}$$

$$= -(1 - \zeta_m^j) \int_u x^{i-1}y^{j-1} \frac{n^{-1}dy}{x^{n-1}(y^m - 1)}$$

$$= (1 - \zeta_m^j) \int_u y^{j-1} \left(\frac{t - y^m}{1 - y^m}\right)^{-1} \frac{n^{-1}dy}{1 - y^m}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \zeta_m^j}{n} \int_u y^{j-1}(1 - y^m)^{-1} n^{-1} dy$$

$$= \frac{\zeta_{2m}^j - \zeta_{2m}^j}{n} \int_0^\infty y^{j-1}(1 + y^m)^{-1} n^{-1} dy$$

Figure of $\delta(1, 1)$
Lemma 2.4. Let $T_1$ be the local monodromy at $t = 1$. There is a unique homology cycle $\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in H_1(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $(T_1 - 1)\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = \delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ and

$$\int_{\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{nm} B\left(1 - \frac{i}{n}, 1 - \frac{j}{m}\right) F\left(1 - \frac{i}{n}, 1 - \frac{j}{m}, 2 - \frac{i - j}{m}; t\right).$$

Proof. The uniqueness follows from the fact that the monodromy invariant part of $H_1(X_t)$ is trivial. We show the existence. Write $\text{Ev} \ := \langle \delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \mid (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in \mu_n \times \mu_m \rangle \subset H_1(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$. Then it follows from the last condition of HG fibration in Definition 2.1 that one has

$$N_1 := T_1 - 1 : H_1(X_t, \mathbb{Q})/\text{Ev} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ev}.$$

Therefore there is a unique homology cycle $\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in H_1(X_t, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $(T_1 - 1)\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = \delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ up to Ev. Let $T_0$ be the local monodromy at $t = 0$. Since $T_0 - 1 : \text{Ev} \to \text{Ev}$ is bijective, we can choose $\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ such that $(T_0 - 1)\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = 0$ by replacing $\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ with $\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) + \delta_0$. Then we show that this gives the desired cycle. The monodromy of Gauss hypergeometric functions is well-known, in particular,

$$(T_1 - 1)B(a, b)F(a, b, a + b; t) = -2\pi \sqrt{-1}F(a, b, 1; 1 - t).$$

Therefore letting

$$f_1 := -2\pi \sqrt{-1}F\left(1 - \frac{i}{n}, 1 - \frac{j}{m}; 1, 1 - t\right),$$

$$f_2 := B\left(1 - \frac{i}{n}, 1 - \frac{j}{m}\right) F\left(1 - \frac{i}{n}, 1 - \frac{j}{m}, 2 - \frac{i - j}{m}; t\right),$$

we have

$$(T_1 - 1) \int_{\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j} = \int_{\delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{nm} f_1 = (T_1 - 1) \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{nm} f_2.$$
On the other hand since \((T_0 - 1)\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = 0\), we have

\[(T_0 - 1) \int_{\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j} = 0 = (T_0 - 1) \frac{\varepsilon_1^i \varepsilon_2^j}{nm} f_2.\]

Thus

\[F := \int_{\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j} - \frac{\varepsilon_1^i \varepsilon_2^j}{nm} f_2\]

is invariant under the both local monodromy, and this means \(F = 0\). \(\square\)

2.3. **HG fibration of Gauss type, cf. [Ar].** Suppose that the characteristic of \(k\) is 0. Let \(f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1\) be the fibration whose general fiber is the smooth completion of an affine curve

\[y^N = x^a(1 - x)^b(1 - tx)^{N-b}, \quad 0 < a, b < N, \gcd(N, a, b) = 1.\]

\(f\) is smooth over \(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}\). Suppose that \(k^\times\) contains all \(N\)-th roots of unity, and denote by \(\mu_N \subset k^\times\) the group of all \(N\)-th roots. The action \((x, y, t) \mapsto (x, \zeta_N y, t)\) for \(\zeta_N \in \mu_N\) gives a multiplication by the group ring \(R = \mathbb{Q}[\mu_N]\). Then \(f\) is a HG fibration with multiplication by \((R, e)\) if and only if a projection \(e : \mathbb{Q}[\mu_N] \to E\) satisfies \(ad/N \not\in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(bd/N \not\in \mathbb{Z}\) where \(d := 2 \ker[e : \mu_N \to E^\times]\) ([AO4] Lemma 3.2).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \(X_t = f^{-1}(t)\) denotes the general fiber. Put a 1-form

\[\omega_n := \frac{x^{n}(1 - x)^{q_n}(1 - tx)^{n-1-q_n}}{y^n} dx, \quad p_n := \left\lfloor \frac{an}{N} \right\rfloor, \quad q_n := \left\lfloor \frac{bn}{N} \right\rfloor\]

for \(n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N - 1\}\). Put \(d := 2 \ker[e : \mu_N \to E^\times]\) and

\[I_e := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq n \leq N - 1, d|n, \gcd(n/d, N/d) = 1\}.\]

Then \(\{\omega_n \mid n \in I_e\}\) forms a basis of the \(e\)-part \(\Gamma(X_t, \Omega^1_{X_t})(e)\).

**Proof.** [Ar] (13), p.917. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.6.** Suppose \(k = \mathbb{C}\). Write \(a_n := \{an/N\}\) and \(b_n := \{bn/N\}\). There are points \(P_0, P_1 \in X_t\) such that \(x = 0, 1\) and a homology cycle

\[u_0 \in H^B_1(X_t, \{P_0, P_1\}; \mathbb{Z})\]

such that

\[\int_{u_0} \omega_n = B(a_n, b_n) F(a_n, b_n, a_n + b_n; t) \quad \text{for } |t| \ll 1.\]

Moreover letting \(T_1\) be the local monodromy at \(t = 1\) and \(u_1 := (1 - T_1)u_0\), we have

\[\int_{u_1} \omega_n = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} F(a_n, b_n, 1; 1 - t).\]

The \(e\)-part \(H^B_1(X_t, \mathbb{Q})(e)\) is spanned by

\[\gamma_0 := (1 - \sigma)u_0, \quad \gamma_1 := (1 - \sigma)u_1\]

as \(E\)-module where \(\sigma\) is an automorphism of \(X_t\) given by \((x, y) \mapsto (x, e^{2\pi N^{-1}} y)\).
Proof. Define a path \( u_0 \) as
\[
(x, y) = (s, s^{\frac{1}{n}}(1 - s)^{\frac{1}{n}}(1 - ts)^{\frac{1}{n}}) , \quad s \in [0, 1]
\]
in which \( s^{\frac{1}{n}}(1 - s)^{\frac{1}{n}} \) takes values in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) and \( (1 - ts)^{\frac{1}{n}} \) takes values such that \(|(1 - ts)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1| \ll 1 \). Then
\[
\int_{u_0} \omega_n = \int_0^1 x^{a_n-1}(1 - x)^{b_n-1}(1 - tx)^{-b_n} dx = B(a_n, b_n)F(a_n, b_n, a_n + b_n; t).
\]
The assertion for \( u_1 \) follows from the fact
\[
(T_1 - 1)B(a, b)F(a, b, a + b; t) = -2\pi \sqrt{-1}F(a, b, 1; 1 - t).
\]
The last assertion follows from the fact that \( \dim_E H^1_{dR}(X_1, \mathbb{Q})(e) \geq 2 \) and that \( \gamma_0 \) and \( \gamma_1 \) are \( E \)-linearly independent because their images by the map
\[
H^1_{dR}(X_1, \mathbb{Q})(e) \to \Omega^\gamma_n = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}\omega_n, \mathcal{O}), \quad \gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega_n
\]
are \( \mathbb{C} \)-linearly independent.

\[\square\]

Lemma 2.7. Let the notation be as in Lemma 2.6. Then
\[
\Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y))(e) = \bigoplus_{n \in I_e} k \cdot \frac{dt}{t - 1} \omega_n.
\]

Proof. Let \( \chi : R \to k \) be a homomorphism of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra factoring through \( e \). Write
\[
\Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y))(\chi) := k \otimes_{\chi, k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R} \Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y)).
\]
Then the assertion is equivalent to that for any \( \chi \)
\[
\Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y))(\chi) = k \cdot \frac{dt}{t - 1} \omega_n, \tag{2.3}
\]
where \( n \in \{1, \ldots, N - 1\} \) such that \( \chi(\zeta) = \zeta^{-n} \) for all \( \zeta \in \mu_N \).

We may suppose \( k = \mathbb{C} \). Put \( Y_0 = f^{-1}(0), Y_\infty = f^{-1}(\infty), S = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \) and \( U := X \setminus (Y \cup Y_0 \cup Y_\infty) = f^{-1}(S) \). Let \( \mathcal{H} = H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{U}/S) \) be a connection. Then
\[
\Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y + Y_0 + Y_\infty)) = F^2H^2_{dR}(U)
= F^2H^1_{dR}(S, \mathcal{H})
= \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log(0 + 1 + \infty)) \otimes \mathcal{H})
\]
where \( \mathcal{H} \subset j_* \mathcal{H}, j : S \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) is Deligne’s canonical extension. Hence
\[
\Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y + Y_0 + Y_\infty))(\chi) = \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega^2_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log(0 + 1 + \infty)) \otimes \mathcal{H}(\chi)).
\]
Note that \( X \) is a nonsingular rational surface (Lemma 1.2 below). The localization sequence induces an isomorphism
\[
\text{Res} : F^2H^2_{dR}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} F^1H^4_{dR}(Y) \oplus F^1H^4_{dR}(Y_0) \oplus F^1H^4_{dR}(Y_\infty)
\]
by the Poincare residue map. Since \( a_n, b_n \not\in \mathbb{Z} \), the local monodromy at \( t = \infty \) on \( H^1(X_1, \mathbb{Q}) \) has no eigenvalue 1 by Lemma 2.6. This implies the composition \( H^2_{dR}(U)(e) \to H^1_{dR}(Y_\infty) \) is zero. Hence \( H^1_{dR}(Y_\infty)(e) = 0 \) and
\[
\Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y + Y_0 + Y_\infty))(\chi) = \Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y + Y_0))(\chi).
\]
Summing up the above we have

$$0 \to \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^2(\log Y)(\chi)) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log(0 + 1 + \infty)) \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\chi)) \to H^1_{\text{dR}}(Y_0) \to 0.$$  

By a computation of the periods in Lemma 2.6, one can get an explicit description of $\mathcal{H}_c$ and then

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log(0 + 1 + \infty)) \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\chi)) = \left\{ \frac{dt}{t}, \frac{dt}{t+1}, \frac{dt}{t+\infty} \right\}$$

(after the details are left to the reader because it is a tedious computation, but see the proof of [AO4] Lemma 3.7). Now (2.3) is immediate. □

3. Regulators of $K_2$ of HG fibration of Fermat type

In this section the base field is $\mathbb{C}$.

3.1. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a HG fibration. We then discuss the Beilinson regulator map

$$\text{reg} : H^2_{\text{dR}}(X_t, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H^1_{\text{dR}}(X_t, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$$

(3.1)
on the motivic cohomology group of a general fiber $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ (cf. [Sch]). For $\xi_t \in H^2_{\text{dR}}(X_t, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ and $\gamma_t \in H^1_{\text{dR}}(X_t)$, we write the pairing by

$$\left\langle \text{reg}(\xi_t) | \gamma_t \right\rangle \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2).$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $\xi \in H^2_{\text{dR}}(X \setminus f^{-1}(\{0, 1, \infty\}), \mathbb{Z}(2))$. We think of

$$F = \langle \text{reg}(\xi) | \gamma_t \rangle = \langle \text{reg}(\xi|_{X_t}) | \gamma_t \rangle$$

being a multi-valued function of variable $t$ which is locally holomorphic on $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let

$$d\log(\xi) = dt \wedge \omega \in \Gamma(X \setminus f^{-1}(\{0, 1, \infty\}), \Omega_X^2).$$

Then

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \int_{\gamma_t} \omega.$$  

Proof. Put $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and $U = f^{-1}(S)$. Then the regulator map (3.1) sits into a commutative diagram

$$H^2_{\text{dR}}(U, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{S}(\mathbb{Q}, R^1f_*\mathbb{Q}(2))$$

$$H^2_{\text{dR}}(X_t, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}, H^1(X_t, \mathbb{Q}(2)))$$

where $\text{Ext}_{S}$ (resp. $\text{Ext}$) denotes the group of 1-extensions of admissible variations of MHS’s (resp. MHS’s), and the vertical arrows are the restriction maps. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow R^1f_*\mathbb{Q}(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0$$

be the corresponding 1-extension to $\text{reg}_{S}(\xi)$. Let $e_{\text{dR}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{dR}} \cap F^0$ and $e_B \in \mathcal{V}_B$ be local liftings of $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $e_{\text{dR}} - e_B \in R^1f_*\mathbb{Q}(2)$, and

$$F = \langle e_{\text{dR}} - e_B, \gamma_t \rangle.$$
where \( \langle - , - \rangle : H^1(X_t, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^B(X_t, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C} \) is the natural pairing. Fix a lifting \( \tilde{\gamma}_t \in \mathcal{V}_B^\gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}(2) \) via the surjective map \( \mathcal{V}_B^\gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}(2) \to H^B(X_t, \mathbb{C}) \). Then one has

\[
F = \langle e_{\text{dR}}, \tilde{\gamma}_t \rangle - \langle e_B, \tilde{\gamma}_t \rangle
\]

and hence

\[
\frac{dF}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \langle e_{\text{dR}}, \tilde{\gamma}_t \rangle = \langle \nabla(e_{\text{dR}}), \gamma_t \rangle
\]

where the last pairing is the natural pairing on \( \Omega^1_X \otimes H^1_{\text{dR}}(U/S) \) and \( H^B_1(X_t, \mathbb{C}) \).

Note that \( \nabla(e_{\text{dR}}) \) is the extension data of

\[
0 \to H^1_{\text{dR}}(U/S) \to \mathcal{V}_{\text{dR}} \to \mathcal{O}_S \to 0.
\]

and this corresponds to the de Rham realization of \( \xi \). Hence \( \nabla(e_{\text{dR}}) = \text{dlog}(\xi) \), and the assertion follows.

\[ \square \]

3.2. Main Theorems. Let \( f \) be a HG fibration of Fermat type,

\[ X_t = f^{-1}(t) : (x^n - 1)(y^m - 1) = 1 - t, \quad n, m \geq 2 \]

on which the group \( \mu_n \times \mu_m \) acts where \( \mu_n \subset \mathbb{C}^\times \) denotes the group of \( n \)-th roots of unity. For \( (\nu_1, \nu_2) \in \mu_n \times \mu_m \) such that \( \nu_1, \nu_2 \neq 1 \), we consider a \( K_2 \)-symbol

\[
\xi = \left\{ \frac{x - 1}{x - \nu_1}, \frac{y - 1}{y - \nu_2} \right\} \in K_2(X \setminus f^{-1}(1)). \tag{3.2}
\]

One immediately has

\[
\text{dlog}(\xi) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (1 - \nu_1^{-i})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \frac{dt}{t-1} \omega_{i,j}. \tag{3.3}
\]

The main theorems are formulas describing

\[
\langle \text{reg}(\xi) | \gamma \rangle = \langle \text{reg}(\xi|_{X_t}) | \gamma \rangle, \quad \gamma \in H^B_1(X_t, \mathbb{Q})
\]

via the generalized hypergeometric functions.

**Theorem 3.2.** Write \( a_i := 1 - i/n \) and \( b_j := 1 - j/m \). Then for \( |t - 1| < 1 \)

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \langle \text{reg}(\xi) | \delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \rangle = C_0 \log(1 - t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (1 - \nu_1^{-i})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \varepsilon_1^{i} \varepsilon_2^{j} \frac{a_i b_j (1 - t)}{nm} \quad 4F3 \left( \frac{a_i + 1, b_j + 1, 1, 1}{2, 2, 2} ; 1 - t \right)
\]

modulo \( \mathbb{Q}(1) = 2\pi \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{Q} \) where

\[
C_0 = \begin{cases} 
- \log(nm(1 - \nu_1)(1 - \nu_2)) & (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = (1, 1), (\nu_1, \nu_2) \\
\log(nm(1 - \nu_1)(1 - \nu_2)) & (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = (1, \nu_2), (\nu_1, 1) \\
\log \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 - \nu_2} \right) & \varepsilon_1 = 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_2 \neq 1, \nu \\
\log \left( \frac{\varepsilon_1 - 1}{\varepsilon_1 - \nu_1} \right) & \varepsilon_1 \neq 1, \nu_1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_2 = 1 \\
- \log \left( \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2 - \nu_2} \right) & \varepsilon_1 = \nu_1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_2 \neq 1, \nu \\
- \log \left( \frac{\varepsilon_2 - 1}{\varepsilon_2 - \nu_1} \right) & \varepsilon_1 \neq 1, \nu_1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_2 = \nu_2 \\
0 & \text{others}
\end{cases}
\]
Remark 3.3. It is worth noting

By Proposition 3.1 and (3.3)

Put

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 2.3

where $\psi$ is the digamma function. Hence we can rewrite

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \langle \text{reg}(\xi) \mid \delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (1 - \nu_1^{-i})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \frac{\varepsilon_i^j \varepsilon_2^j}{nm} \times \left( -2\psi(1) + \psi(a_i) + \psi(b_j) + \log(1-t) + a_i b_j (1-t) \right)_4 F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_i + 1, b_j + 1, 1, 1, 1 \\ 2, 2, 2, 1-t \end{array} \right)
\]

modulo $\mathbb{Q}(1)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Put

\[
F := \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \langle \text{reg}(\xi) \mid \delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \rangle.
\]

By Proposition 3.1 and (3.3)

\[
(t-1) \frac{dF}{dt} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (1 - \nu_1^{-i})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j}.
\]

By Lemma 2.3

\[
(t-1) \frac{dF}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (1 - \nu_1^{-i})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \frac{\varepsilon_i^j \varepsilon_2^j}{nm} F(a_i, b_j, 1; 1-t). \tag{3.4}
\]

This immediately implies the desired formula except the constant term “$C_0$”. Therefore it is enough to show

\[
F = C_0 + C_1 \log(1-t) + o(t-1) \mod \mathbb{Q}(1) \tag{3.5}
\]

for $|t-1| \ll 1$. Here “$o(t-1)$” denotes a continuous function which converges to 0 as $t \to 1$. To do this we use Beilinson’s formula (e.g. [Ha] Proposition 6.3)

\[
\langle \text{reg}(f, g) \mid \gamma \rangle = \int_{\gamma} \log f \frac{dg}{g} - \log g(O) \frac{df}{f}
\]

where $O$ is the origin of a loop $\gamma \in \pi_1(X_t, O)$ (it is important to fix the origin in the above formula). We show (3.5) only in case $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = (1, 1)$ (the others are proven in a similar way):

\[
F = - \log(nm(1-\nu_1)(1-\nu_2)) + \log(1-t) + o(1-t). \tag{3.6}
\]
Recall the loop \( \delta := \delta(1, 1) \) with the origin \( y = 0 \) from \cite{[22]}. Beilinson’s formula yields
\[
F = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\delta} \left( \log \frac{x - 1}{x - \nu_1} \frac{d\log y - 1}{y - \nu_2} - \log(\nu_2^{-1})d\log \frac{x - 1}{x - \nu_1} \right).
\]
When \( |t - 1| \ll 1 \), \( \delta \) is a circle in a neighborhood of \( (x, y) = (1, 1) \) and
\[
x - 1 = \frac{1 - t}{y^{m-1}}(1 + x + \cdots + x^{n-1})^{-1} = \frac{1 - t}{nm(y - 1)} + o(t - 1)
\]
on \( \delta \). Therefore

1st term of \( F = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\delta} \log \left( \frac{(1 - t)(1 - \nu_1)^{-1}}{nm(y - 1)} \right) d\log \frac{y - 1}{y - \nu_2} + o(t - 1)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{y=1} \log \left( \frac{(1 - t)(1 - \nu_1)^{-1}}{nm(y - 1)} \right) \frac{dy}{y - 1} - \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{y=1} \log \left( \frac{(1 - t)(1 - \nu_1)^{-1}}{nm(y - 1)} \right) \frac{dy}{y - \nu_2} + o(t - 1)
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{y=1} \log(y - 1) \frac{dy}{y - 1} + \log \frac{(1 - t)}{nm(1 - \nu_1)} + \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{y=1} \log(y - 1) \frac{dy}{y - \nu_2} + o(t - 1) + \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{y=1} \log(y - 1) \frac{dy}{y - \nu_2} + o(t - 1) \mod \mathbb{Q}(1)
\]
where “\( \int_{y=1} \)” denotes the integral along a path with the origin \( y = 0 \) which goes around \( y = 1 \) in the counter-clockwise direction and comes back to the origin (see figure).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hspace{2cm} y = 0 \\
\hspace{3cm} \hspace{2cm} y = 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

Since
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\delta} \log(y - 1) \frac{dy}{y - \nu_2} = \log(-\nu_2) - \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{y=1} \log(y - \nu_2) \frac{dy}{y - 1}
\]
\[
= \log(-\nu_2) - \log(1 - \nu_2) - \log(\nu_2) - \log(1 - \nu_2) \mod \mathbb{Q}(1)
\]
we have

1st term of \( F = \log \left( \frac{1 - t}{nm(1 - \nu_1)(1 - \nu_2)} \right) + \log(\nu_2) + o(t - 1) \mod \mathbb{Q}(1).
\]

On the other hand

2nd term of \( F = \frac{-1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{\delta} \log(\nu_2^{-1})d\log \left( \frac{1 - t}{nm(y - 1)} \right) + o(t - 1)
\]
\[
= \log(\nu_2^{-1}) + o(t - 1).
\]

We thus have
\[
F = \log \left( \frac{1 - t}{nm(1 - \nu_1)(1 - \nu_2)} \right) + o(t - 1).
\]
the desired assertion \(3.6\). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.4. Let \(e : \mathbb{Q}[\mu_n \times \mu_m] \to E\) be a projection onto a number field \(E\) which does not factor through projections \(\mu_n \times \mu_m \to \mu_n\) or \(\mu_n \times \mu_m \to \mu_m\). We denote by \(\text{reg}(\xi)(e) \in \text{Hom}(H^1_\text{B}(X_t, \mathbb{Q})(e), \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2))\) the e-part, and \(\gamma(e) \in H^1_\text{B}(X_t)(e)\) as well. Let \(I_e\) be the set of indices as in \((2.1)\). Put \(z := (1 - t)^{-1}\) and

\[
B_{a,b} := B(a, b - a) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b - a)}{\Gamma(b)}, \quad F_{a,b}(z) := 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} a, a, a \\ 1 + a - b, a + 1 \end{array}; z \right).
\]

Write \(a_i := 1 - i/n\) and \(b_j := 1 - j/m\). Assume

\[
a_i \neq b_j (\Leftrightarrow i/n \neq j/m), \quad \forall (i, j) \in I_e
\]

or equivalently the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hat{Z}(1) = \lim_{\xi \to 1} \mu_1 \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mu_n \cong \mu_n \times \{1\} \\
\xrightarrow{\text{can}} \quad \mu_n \cong \{1\} \times \mu_m \xrightarrow{e} E^x
\end{array}
\]

does not commute. Then for \(|t| < 1\)

\[
(\text{reg}(\xi)(e) \mid \gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)(e)) = - \sum_{(i,j) \in I_e} (1 - \nu_1^{-1})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \frac{\varepsilon_1^i \varepsilon_2^j}{nm} \times (a_i^{-1}B_{a_i,b_j}z^{a_i}F_{a_i,b_j}(z) + b_j^{-1}B_{b_j,a_i}z^{b_j}F_{b_j,a_i}(z))
\]

modulo \(\mathbb{Q}(2)\).

Proof. Put

\[F := (\text{reg}(\xi)(e) \mid \gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)(e)).\]

By Proposition 3.1 and 3.3

\[(t - 1) \frac{dF}{dt} = - \sum_{(i,j) \in I_e} (1 - \nu_1^{-1})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \int_{\gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)} \omega_{i,j}.
\]

By Lemma 2.4

\[(t - 1) \frac{dF}{dt} = - \sum_{(i,j) \in I_e} (1 - \nu_1^{-1})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \frac{\varepsilon_1^i \varepsilon_2^j}{nm} B(a_i, b_j)F(a_i, b_j, a_i + b_j; t). \quad (3.8)
\]

In particular \(F\) is holomorphic at \(|t| < 1\). For \(a \neq b\) there is a formula \((\text{NIST} \quad 15.8.3)\)

\[B(a, b)F(a, b, a + b; t) = B_{a,b}z^aF(a, a, 1 + a - b; z) + B_{b,a}z^bF(b, b, 1 - a + b; z). \quad (3.9)
\]

Here we take the branches such that \(F(a, b, a + b; t), F(a, a, 1 + a - b; z)\) and \(F(b, b, 1 - a + b; z)\) are holomorphic on the region \(|t| < 1 < |1 - t| (\Leftrightarrow |z| < 1\) and \(\text{Re}(z) > 1/2)\) and \(z^a\) and \(z^b\) take the principal values on \(|\arg(z)| < \pi\). Then \((3.8)\) and \((3.9)\)

immediately imply the desired formula except the constant term:

\[F = C + \sum_{(i,j) \in I_e} (1 - \nu_1^{-1})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \frac{\varepsilon_1^i \varepsilon_2^j}{nm} (a_i^{-1}B_{a_i,b_j}z^{a_i}F_{a_i,b_j}(z) + b_j^{-1}B_{b_j,a_i}z^{b_j}F_{b_j,a_i}(z)).
\]
To conclude $C \in \mathbb{Q}(2)$, we see the local monodromy $T_\infty$ at $t = \infty$. We fix a loop $T_\infty$ such that the origin is a point in the interval $-1 < t < 0$ and it goes along the negative real axis and turns around $t = \infty$.

The eigenvalues of $T_\infty$ on $H^B_1(X_t)(e)$ are those of the hypergeometric function $F(a_i, b_j, a_i + b_j; t)$, and hence they are

$$p_i := \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} a_i), \quad q_j := \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} b_j), \quad (i, j) \in I_e.$$

Put a monodromy operator

$$Q := \prod_{(i, j) \in I_e} (T_\infty - p_i)(T_\infty - q_j) \in \mathbb{Z}[T_\infty].$$

We use the notation in the proof of Proposition 3.1

$$F = \langle e_{dR} - e_B, \gamma_t \rangle = \langle e_{dR}, \tilde{\gamma}_t \rangle - \langle e_B, \tilde{\gamma}_t \rangle, \quad \gamma_t := \gamma(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2).$$

Note that $e_B = e_{B, t}$ may have nontrivial monodromy. Apply $Q$ to the above, we then have

$$QF = QC = \left( \prod_{(i, j) \in I_e} (1 - p_i)(1 - q_j) \right) C \in \mathbb{Q}(2).$$

Since $p_i, q_j \neq 1$ by definition, one concludes $C \in \mathbb{Q}(2)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let the notation and assumption be as in Theorem 3.4. Put $z := (1 - t)^{-1}$ and

$$C_{a, b} := \frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi} B_{a, b} = \frac{\Gamma(b - a)}{\Gamma(1 - a)\Gamma(b)}.$$

Then we have an alternative description of the regulators in Theorem 3.4.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}}(\text{reg}(\xi)(e) | \delta(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)(e)) = -\sum_{(i, j) \in I_e} (1 - \nu_1^{-i})(1 - \nu_2^{-j}) \frac{\varepsilon_1^1 \varepsilon_2^2}{nm}$$

$$\times (a_i^{-1} C_{a_i, b_j}(-z)^a_i F_{a_i, b_j}(z) + b_j^{-1} C_{b_j, a_i}(-z)^b_j F_{b_j, a_i}(z))$$

modulo $\mathbb{Q}(1) = 2\pi \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{Q}$. 
Remark 3.3 imply fibrations (see § T).

Here for large \( z \), the right hand side is computed by the following formula (NIST 15.8.1)

\[
F(a, b, c; z) = (1 - z)^{-a} \left( a, c - b, c; \frac{z}{z - 1} \right).
\]

Now the desired formula can be proven by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.6.** If one can prove the following directly, then Theorem 3.5 is immediate from Theorem 3.2.

\[
2\psi(1) - \psi(a) - \psi(b) - \log(1 - t) - ab(1 - t) \cdot 4F_3 \left( a + 1, b + 1, 1, 1; 1 - t \right)
\]

\[
= a^{-1}C_{a,b}(-z)^a 3F_2 \left( \frac{a, a, a}{1 + a - b, a + 1}; z \right) + b^{-1}C_{b,a}(-z)^b 3F_2 \left( \frac{b, b, b}{1 - a + b, b + 1}; z \right)
\]

modulo \( \mathbb{Q}(1) \). This is likely true. Apply \( \frac{z^2}{(2z)} \) on the both side. Then it turns out to be (3.10). This means that the difference is at most a constant, say \( A_{a,b} \). So the above is equivalent to say \( A_{a,b} \in \mathbb{Q}(1) \). Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 together with Remark 3.3 imply

\[
\sum_{(i,j) \in I_c} (1 - \nu_1^{-1})(1 - \nu_2^{-1}) \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{nm} A_{a,b} \in \mathbb{Q}(1)
\]

for \( e \) satisfying the assumption (3.7). This holds for any \( \nu_i \in \mu_n \) and \( \varepsilon_i \in \mu_m \). However this is not sufficient to conclude “\( A_{a,b} \in \mathbb{Q}(1) \)”.

**4. Regulators of \( K_2 \) of HG fibration of Gauss type**

In this section the base field is \( \mathbb{C} \).

**4.1. Construction of elements of \( H^3_{\dd} (X_t, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \).** Let \( f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) be a HG fibration defined with multiplication by \( (R, c) \). Let \( Y = f^{-1}(1)_{\text{red}} \) be the reduced fiber over \( t = 1 \). We assume that \( Y \) is a normal crossing divisor in \( X \). Let \( \partial : H^3_{\dd}(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \to H^3_{\dd, Y}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \) be the boundary map arising from the localization sequence of motivic cohomology groups. Let \( c_B : H^3_{\dd, Y}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \to H^3_Y(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \cap H^{0,0} \) be the Betti realization map. Let

\[
\partial := c_B \circ \partial : H^3_{\dd}(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \to H^3_Y(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \cap H^{0,0}
\]

be the composition, which we call the boundary map. There is a natural injection

\[
T := \text{Coker}[T_1 - 1 : R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}(2) \to R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}(1)] \hookrightarrow H^3_Y(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))
\]

where \( T_1 \) denotes the local monodromy at \( t = 1 \). One has \( T \cap H^{0,0} = H^3_Y(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \cap H^{0,0} \). The ring \( R \) acts on \( T \) and hence on \( T \cap H^{0,0} \). By the last condition of HG fibrations (see [2.1]), \( T(e) \cong E \) as \( E \)-module and the Hodge type is \( (0, 0) \). Therefore \( T(e) \cap H^{0,0} = T(e) \cong E \). We write by

\[
\partial(e) : H^2_{\dd}(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \to (T \cap H^{0,0})(e) = T(e) \cap H^{0,0} \cong E
\]

the composition of \( \partial \) with the projection onto the \( e \)-part.
Theorem 4.1. Let \( f \) be a HG fibration of Gauss type
\[
X_t = f^{-1}(t) : y^N = x^a(1 - x)^b(1 - tx)^{N-b}, \quad 1 \leq a, b < N, \gcd(N, a, b) = 1
\]
with multiplication by \((\mathbb{Q}[\mu_N], e)\) such that the projection \( e : \mathbb{Q}[\mu_N] \to E \) satisfies \( ad/N, bd/N \not\in \mathbb{Z}, d := \#\ker(e : \mu_N \to E^\times) \). Suppose
\[
gcd(N, a) = gcd(N, b) = 1. \quad (*)
\]
Then the map \( \partial(e) \) in (4.1) is surjective.

I don’t know whether one can remove the assumption (\( *\)) in the above.

Before the proof of Theorem 4.1 we first show the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. \( X \) is a rational surface (without assumption (\( *\))).

Proof. Put \( z := 1 - tx \). Then
\[
y^N = x^a(1 - x)^b(1 - tx)^{N-b} \iff (y/z)^N = x^a(1 - x)^bz^{-b}.
\]
Let \( y_1 := y/z \) and \( z_1 := (1 - x)/z \). Then \( y_1^N = x^a z_1^b \). Let \( z_2 := z_1/y \) then \( y_1^{N-b} = x^a z_2^b \). If \( N - b > b \), let \( z_3 := z_2/y \) and then \( y_1^{N-2b} = x^a z_3^b \). If \( N - b < b \), let \( y_2 := y/z_2 \) and then \( y_2^{N-b} = x^a z_2^{2b-N} \). Continuing this argument, we finally have a surface \( y_0^d = x^a z_0^d, d := \gcd(N, b) \) which is birational to \( X \). Note \( \gcd(N, a, b) = \gcd(d, a) = 1 \). Apply the same argument for the variables \( y_0 \) and \( x \), we then have a surface \( y_0' = x_0 z_0^d \) which is birational to \( X \). Therefore \( X \) is a rational surface. \( \square \)

Lemma 4.3. Let \( NS(X) \) be the Neron-Severi group. The \( e \)-part \( NS(X)(e) \) is generated fibral divisors and a section of \( f \) (without assumption (\( *\))). Here we say a divisor \( D \) is fibral if \( f(D) \) is a point.

Proof. Let \( S := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \) and \( U := f^{-1}(S) \). Then
\[
H^2(X)/(\text{fibral divisors}) \cong W_2H^2(U)
\]
and there is an exact sequence
\[
0 \to H^1(S, R^2f_*\mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(X_t, \mathbb{Q}) \to 0.
\]
Since the last term is spanned by the image of the cycle class of a section, it is enough to show \( W_2H^1(S, R^1f_*\mathbb{Q}) = 0 \). Let \( j : S \to \mathbb{P}^1 \). Since there is an exact sequence
\[
0 \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, j_*R^1f_*\mathbb{Q}) \to H^1(S, R^1f_*\mathbb{Q}) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1j_*R^1f_*\mathbb{Q}) \to 0
\]
\[
W_2H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})
\]
it is enough to show that \( H^1(S, R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1j_*R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e) \) is injective, or equivalently
\[
\dim H^1(S, R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e) = \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1j_*R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e).
\]
Since \( H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e) = 0 \), one has
\[
\dim H^1(S, R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e) = -\chi(S, (R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e)) = -\chi(S, \mathbb{Q})\dim(H^1(X_t)(e)) = 2[E : \mathbb{Q}]
\]
(4.2)
by the second condition of HG fibration in §2.1. On the other hand, letting $T_P$ denotes the local monodromy at $P$,

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1j_*R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e) \cong \bigoplus_{P=0,1,\infty} \text{Coker}[T_P - 1 : H^1(X_i)(e) \to H^1(X_i)(e)].$$

By Lemma 2.6 the eigenvalues of each $T_P$ are known. In particular both of $T_0$ and $T_1$ have eigenvalue 1. This implies $\dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1j_*R^1f_*\mathbb{Q})(e) \geq 2[E : \mathbb{Q}]$. Thus (4.2) follows.

We prove Theorem 4.1. There are the localization sequences of the motivic cohomology groups and the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology groups which sit in a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^2_{\text{reg}}(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}(2)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^3_{\text{reg}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \\
\xrightarrow{\text{reg}_X} & & \xrightarrow{i} \xrightarrow{\text{reg}_Y} H^3_{\text{reg}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \\
& & \xrightarrow{\text{reg}_Y} H^3_{\text{reg}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \\
H^3_{\text{reg}}(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}(2)) & \xrightarrow{c_{\varphi}} & H^3_{\text{reg}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))
\end{array}
$$

where $c_\varphi$ is the canonical surjective map, and the bijectivity of $\text{reg}_X$ follows from the fact that $X$ is a smooth projective rational surface (Lemma 4.2). Note, $c_B = c_\varphi \circ \text{reg}_X$ is the Betti realization map, and hence $\partial = c_\varphi \circ \text{reg}_X \circ \partial_{\text{reg}}$ is the boundary map as above. Our goal is to show that there is a subspace $W \subset H^3_{\text{reg}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ such that $i(W) = 0$ and $W$ is onto $T(e)$ by $c_\varphi \circ \text{reg}_X$. Let $Y = \sum Y_i$ be the irreducible decomposition, and $T \subset Y$ the singular locus. Then there is the canonical isomorphism

$$H^3_{\text{reg}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \cong \text{Ker} \left( \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}(Y_i)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \bigoplus_{P \in T} \mathbb{Q} \cdot P \right),$$

where div is the map of divisor. For $f \in \mathbb{C}(Y_i)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we denote by

$$[f, Y_i] \in \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}(Y_i)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

an element of placed in the component of $Y_i$.

To show Theorem 4.1 we first describe $Y$ in detail. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t - 1]]$ and

$$\tilde{g} : X^* := \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}[x, y]/(y^N - x^a(1 - x)^b)(1 - tx)^{N-b} \to \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}.$$  

The surface $X^*$ has two isolated singularities $(x, y, t) = (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1)$. Let $X_0 \to X^*$ be the blow-up at $(x, y, t) = (1, 0, 1)$, and $U \subset X_0$ an affine open set such that

$$U = \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}[x, y_0, t_0]/(y_0^N - x^a(1 + t_0x)^{N-b}) \subset X_0$$

where the morphism given by $y_0 = y/(1 - x)$ and $t_0 = (1 - t)/(1 - x)$. Let $D \subset X_0$ be the proper transform of the central fiber of $\tilde{f}_0$ over $t = 1$, and $E$ the exceptional curve of the blow-up:

$$D \cap U = \{ t_0 = 0, y_0^N = x^a \} \cong \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}[x, y_1]/(y_1^N - x^a),$$

$$E \cap U = \{ x = 1, y_0^N = (1 + t_0)^{N-b} \} \cong \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}[y_0, t_0]/(y_0^N - (1 + t_0)^{N-b}).$$
By the assumption, the curves $D$ and $E$ are irreducible.

$$\begin{align*}
(x, y_0, t_0) &= (0, 0, 0) \\
(x, y_0, t_0) &= (1, 0, -1)
\end{align*}$$

Let $X \to X_0$ be a desingularization, then the fiber over $t = 1$ is $Y$. Hence there is an embedding of the normalization of $D \cup E$ into $Y$.

- $\sigma D = D$, $\sigma E = E$ for any automorphism $\sigma \in \mu_N$.
- $D \cap U$ has a singular point $(x, y_0, t_0) = (0, 0, 0)$ unless $a = 1$. Let $i : D' \to D$ be the normalization, then $D' \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $i^{-1}(D \cap U) \cong \mathbb{A}^1$.
- $E \cap U$ has a singular point $(x, y_0, t_0) = (1, 0, -1)$ unless $b = N - 1$. Let $i : E' \to E$ be the normalization, then $E' \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $i^{-1}(E \cap U) \cong \mathbb{A}^1$.
- $D$ and $E$ intersect transversally and $D \cap E \subset U$. Moreover $U$ is regular at each point of $D \cap E$.

We denote by $u$ and $v$ the affine coordinates of $i^{-1}(D \cap U)$ and $i^{-1}(E \cap U)$ respectively such that

$$(y_0, x)|_D = (u^a, u^N), \quad (y_0, 1 + t_0)|_E = (v^{N-b}, v^N)$$

The intersection points of $D \cap E$ consist of $\{u = \zeta \mid \zeta \in \mu_N\}$ or $\{v = \zeta \mid \zeta \in \mu_N\}$. A point $u = \zeta$ corresponds to $v = \zeta'$ if $\zeta^a = (\zeta')^{N-b} = (\zeta')^{-b}$. Thinking of $D'$ and $E'$ being components of $Y = f^{-1}(1)$, we consider elements

$$\Xi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) := \left[ \frac{u - \zeta_1}{u - \zeta_2}, D' \right] - \left[ \frac{v - \zeta_1^{-a/b}}{v - \zeta_2^{-a/b}}, E' \right] \in H^3_{\#(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))}$$

for $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mu_N$ in the motivic cohomology supported on $Y$. Define $W \subset H^3_{\#(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))}$ to be the subspace generated by $\Xi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$’s.

We first show $i(W) = 0$. Note $H^3_{\#(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))} \cong (\mathbb{C}^* \otimes \text{NS}(X)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ since $X$ is a rational surface (Lemma[1]). Giving generators $F_n$’s of $\text{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ which intersect with $D' \cup E'$ properly outside the points $u = \zeta_i$ or $v = \zeta_i^{-a/b}$, one has

$$i(\Xi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) = \sum_n c_n \otimes F_n,$$

$$c_n := \prod_{P \in F_n \cap D'} \left(\frac{u - \zeta_1}{u - \zeta_2}_P\right)^{m_P} \times \prod_{Q \in F_n \cap E'} \left(\frac{v - \zeta_1^{-a/b}}{v - \zeta_2^{-a/b}}_Q\right)^{-m_Q} \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

where $m_P, m_Q$ denote the intersection numbers. By Lemma[2,3] the $e$-part $\text{NS}(X)(e)$ is generated by fibral divisors and a section. If $F_n$ is a section of $x = \infty$, then $P$ and $Q$ are the points defined by $u = \infty$ and $v = \infty$ respectively. Therefore $c_n$ is torsion. Suppose that $F_n$ is an irreducible fibral divisor which is not $D'$ or $E'$.
Then the intersection points of \( D' \) and \( F_n \) are at most \( u = 0 \) or \( u = \infty \). Therefore the first term of \( c_n \) is torsion. In the same way, the second term is also torsion, and hence so is \( c_n \). Let \( F_n = E' \). Replace \( E' \) with \( E'' = E' - \text{div}(x - 1) \). Let \( E''_0 \) be the image of \( E'' \) in \( X_0 \). Then any component of \( E''_0 \) is neither \( D \) or \( E \). Moreover it intersects with \( D \cap U \) (resp. \( E \cap U \)) at most at the singular point \((y_0, x) = (0, 0)\) (resp. \((t_0, y_0) = (-1, 0))\). Therefore the intersection points of \( E'' \cap D' \) or \( E'' \cap E' \) are at most \( u = 0, \infty \) or \( v = 0, \infty \). Hence \( c_n \) is torsion. Finally let \( F_n = D_k' \). Then replace \( D_k' \) with \( D_k' - \text{div}(t - 1) \), a fibral divisor without component \( D_k' \). Hence this is reduced to the above. This completes the proof of \( i(\Xi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) = 0 \), and hence \( i(W) = 0 \).

There remains to show that \( W \) is onto \( T(e) \). Let \( D^* := D' \setminus \{u^N = 1\} \), and let

\[
T \cong H^3_D + E'(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \cap H^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(D^*, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mu_N} \mathbb{Q} \cdot (u = \zeta)
\]

be the composition of the Poincare residue maps. An automorphism \( \sigma \in \mu_N \) such that \( \sigma(y) = \zeta_N y \) acts on the last term by \( \sigma(u) = \zeta_N^{1/a} u \). The above map induces an isomorphism \( T(e) \cong H^1(D^*, \mathbb{Q}(1))(e) \) on the e-part. Under this identification, one directly has

\[
\partial(\Xi(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) = (u = \zeta_1) - (u = \zeta_2).
\]

This means that \( W \) is onto \( H^1(D^*, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \) and hence onto \( T(e) \cong H^1(D^*, \mathbb{Q}(1))(e) \). This completes the proof.

**Problem 4.4.** Find explicit descriptions of the \( K_2 \)-symbols in \( K_2(X \setminus Y) \) constructed in Theorem 4.1.

If \( f \) is a HG fibration defined by

\[
y^N = x(1 - x)^{N-1}(1 - tx),
\]

then one finds \( K_2 \)-symbols

\[
\left\{ \frac{y - \zeta_1(1 - x)}{y - \zeta_2(1 - x)}, \frac{(1 - x)^2}{x^2(1 - t)} \right\} \in K_2(X \setminus Y), \quad \zeta_i \in \mu_N,
\]

and shows that their boundary span \( T(e) \) (hence we don’t need Theorem 4.1 in this case). I don’t know how to find such symbols for general \( y^N = x^a(1-x)^b(1-tx)^{N-b} \).

**Corollary 4.5.** Let \( f \) be a HG fibration of Gauss type as in Theorem 4.1. Let \( \text{Res} : \Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y)) \to H^1_B(Y) \cong H^1_B(Y, \mathbb{C}) \) be the Poincare residue map at \( t = 1 \). Let

\[
d\log : H^2_{\text{dR}}(X \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega^2_X(\log Y))(e) = \bigoplus_{n \in I_e} \mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{dt}{t-1} \omega_n
\]

be the dlog map (see Lemma 2.7 for the right hand side). Then the dlog map is onto a set of 2-forms

\[
V := \left\{ \sum_{n \in I_e} \lambda_n \left( \frac{dt}{t-1} \omega_n \right) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{n \in I_e} \lambda_n \text{Res} \left( \frac{dt}{t-1} \omega_n \right) \in H^1_B(Y, \mathbb{Q}(e)) \right\}
\]

where \( \omega_n \) and \( I_e \) are as in Lemma 2.6.

We note

\[
\sum_{n \in I_e} \lambda_n \text{Res} \left( \frac{dt}{t-1} \omega_n \right) \in H^1_B(Y, \mathbb{Q}(e)) \iff \sum_{n \in I_e} \lambda_n \zeta^n \in \mathbb{Q}, \forall \zeta \in \mu_N.
\]
Proof. Obviously $\text{Im}(d\log) \subset V$. Since $\text{dim}_Q \text{Im}(d\log) = [E : Q]$ by Theorem 4.1, it is enough to show $\text{dim}_Q V \leq [E : Q]$. However this is immediate from 4.4. □

4.2. Main Theorem. Let $f$ be a HG fibration of Gauss type

$$X_t = f^{-1}(t) : y^N = x^a(1 - x)^b(1 - tx)^{N-b}, \quad 1 \leq a, b < N, \gcd(N, a, b) = 1$$

with multiplication by $(\mathbb{Q}[\mu_N], e)$ such that the projection $e : \mathbb{Q}[\mu_N] \to E$ satisfies $ad/N, bd/N \not\in \mathbb{Z}$, $d := \sharp \text{Ker}(e : \mu_N \to E^\times)$. Let $\xi \in H^2_{\#}(X \setminus V, \mathbb{Q}(2))(e)$ be an element of the $e$-part, and let

$$d\log(\xi) = \sum_{n \in I_e} \lambda_n \left( \frac{dt}{t - 1} \omega_n \right). \quad (4.5)$$

Note $\lambda_n$’s satisfy the condition 4.4. Conversely if $\gcd(N, a) = \gcd(N, b) = 1$, then it follows from Theorem 4.1 that, for any $\lambda_n$’s satisfying 4.4 there exists $\xi$ such that 4.3 holds.

Theorem 4.6. Suppose $a \neq b$. Let $\gamma_0 = (1 - \sigma)u_0$ and $\gamma_1 = (1 - \sigma)u_1$ be the homology cycles as in Lemma 2.6. Write $a_n := \{an/N\}$, $b_n := \{bn/N\}$ and $z := (1 - t)^{-1}$. Then

$$\langle \text{reg}(\xi) | \gamma_0 \rangle = \sum_{n \in I_e} (1 - \zeta_N^n)\lambda_n[an^{-1}B_{a_n,b_n}z^{an}F_{a_n,b_n}(z) + b_n^{-1}B_{b_n,a_n}z^{bn}F_{b_n,a_n}(z)],$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\langle \text{reg}(\xi) | \gamma_1 \rangle = \sum_{n \in I_e} (1 - \zeta_N^n)\lambda_n[an^{-1}C_{a_n,b_n}(-z)^{an}F_{a_n,b_n}(z) + b_n^{-1}C_{b_n,a_n}(-z)^{bn}F_{b_n,a_n}(z)]$$

where $B_{a,b}, C_{a,b}$ and $F_{a,b}(z)$ are as in Theorems 3.4 and 3.5.

Proof. The same proof as Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 □

It is worth noting that Theorem 4.6 is proven without knowledge of explicit description of the $K_2$-symbol $\xi$ (cf. Problem 4.4).

Conjecture 4.7. Let the notation be as above (but possibly $a = b$). Write

$$4F_3^{(n)}(t) := 4F_3\left(\frac{an + 1, bn + 1, 1, 1}{2, 2, 2}; t \right).$$

Then we have an alternative description

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\langle \text{reg}(\xi) | \gamma_1 \rangle = \sum_{n \in I_e} (1 - \zeta_N^n)\lambda_n$$

$$\times [2\psi(1) - \psi(an) - \psi(bn) - \log(1 - t) - anbn(1 - t)4F_3^{(n)}(1 - t)].$$

If we admit the equality in Remark 3.6 then Conjecture 4.7 follows from Theorem 4.6 at least when $a \neq b$. 

5. Real regulators of $K_2$ of Elliptic fibrations and the Beilinson conjecture

For an elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{R}$ we discuss the real regulator map
\[
\text{reg}_R : H^2_{\text{ét}}(E, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \to \mathbb{R}
\]
which is defined in the following way. Let $F_{\infty} : E(\mathbb{C}) \to E(\mathbb{C})$ be the infinite Frobenius map of real manifold. We denote by “$F_{\infty} = 1$” (resp. “$F_{\infty} = -1$”) the fixed part (resp. anti-fixed part). Then $\text{reg}_R$ is defined as the composition
\[
H^2_{\text{ét}}(E, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\text{reg}} \text{Hom}(H^1_{\text{B}}(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})_{F_{\infty} = -1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cdot \pi} \mathbb{R},
\]
where the 2nd arrow is given by the projection $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(2) \to \mathbb{R}(1) = \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$, the 3rd given by a fixed base of $H^1_{\text{B}}(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})_{F_{\infty} = -1} \cong \mathbb{Q}$, and the 4th arrow given by multiplication by $(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^{-1}$.

**Conjecture 5.1** (Beilinson conjecture for an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, cf. [Sch], [DW]).

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, and $L(E, s)$ the motivic $L$-function of $E$. Then there is an integral element $\xi \in H^2_{\text{ét}}(E, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ in the sense of Scholl $[S]$ such that
\[
\text{reg}_R(\xi) \sim Q \times \pi^{-2}L(E, 2)
\]
where $x \sim_Q y$ means $xy \neq 0$ and $xy^{-1} \in Q$. Beilinson further conjectures that the space $H^2_{\text{ét}}(E, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of integral elements is 1-dimensional, spanned by $\xi$ in the above, though we will not discuss this issue.

5.1. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the Legendre family of elliptic curves given by an affine equation
\[
X_t = f^{-1}(t) : y^2 = x(1-x)(1-tx).
\]
Consider a $K_2$-symbol
\[
\xi := \left\{ \frac{y - x + 1}{y + x - 1}, \frac{(x-1)^2}{x^2(t-1)} \right\} \in K_2(X \setminus Y), \quad Y := f^{-1}(1).
\]

One immediately has
\[
d\log \xi = \frac{dx}{y} \frac{dt}{t-1}.
\]

**Theorem 5.2.** Write $\xi_t := \xi|_{X_t} \in K_2(X_t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

1. If $t > 0$, then
\[
\text{reg}_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi_t) = \text{Re} \left[ -\log 16 + \log(1-t) + \frac{1-t}{4} F_3 \left( \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 1, 1 ; 1-t \right) \right].
\]

2. If $t < 0$, then
\[
\text{reg}_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi_t) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} F_2 \left( \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ; 1, z \right), \quad z := (1-t)^{-1}.
\]

We can prove Theorem 5.2 in a similar way to [33] on noting the following.
**Case** \( t > 0 \): \( H^0_B(X_t(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})^{F_{\infty} = -1} \) is spanned by a homology cycle \( \delta_t \) going around the interval from \( x = 1 \) to \( x = t^{-1} \), and

\[
\int_{\delta_t} \frac{dx}{y} = 2\pi \sqrt{-1} F \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1 - t \right),
\]

**Case** \( t < 0 \): \( \gamma_t \) from \( x = 0 \) to \( x = t^{-1} \), and

\[
\int_{\gamma_t} \frac{dx}{y} = 2\pi z \frac{1}{2} F \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; z \right), \quad z = (1 - t)^{-1}.
\]

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \( t \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\} \) such that \( \xi_t \) is integral. Then we have an equivalence

Beilinson’s Conjecture 5.1 for \( X_t \) \( \iff \) \( (5.3) \)

\[
\pi^{-2} L(X_t, 2) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}} \begin{cases}
\text{Re} \left[ -\log 16 + \log (1 - t) + \frac{1 - t}{4} F_3 \left( \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 1; 1 - t \right) \right] & t > 0 \\
\frac{256}{3} F_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{1}{4} \right) & t < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

**Corollary 5.4.** Beilinson’s Conjecture 5.1 is true for \( X_{-3} \).

**Proof.** Indeed Rogers and Zudilin shows

\[
\frac{\pi^2}{12} F_2 \left( \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4} \right) = L(E_{24}, 2)
\]

where \( E_{24} \) is an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of conductor 24 (RZ Theorem 2, p.399 and (6), p.386). There is only one elliptic curve of conductor 24 up to isogeny, and \( X_{-3} \) is the one. Hence (5.3) holds.

**Numerical Examples**

By definition of the symbol \( \xi \) in (5.1), \( \xi_t \) is integral if \( X_t \) does not have a multiplicative reduction at any prime \( p \) such that \( \text{ord}_p(1 - t) \neq 0 \). In more practical way, \( \xi_t \) is integral if

\[
\text{ord}_p(j(X_t)) = \text{ord}_p \left( \frac{256(t^2 - t + 1)^3}{t^2(1 - t)^2} \right) \geq 0 \text{ for any } p \text{ s.t. } \text{ord}_p(1 - t) \neq 0 \]

\( \iff \) \( t = -1, -3, -7, -15, 2, 3, 5, 9, 17, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{15}{2} \).

Put

\[
R_t := \text{reg}_{\mathbb{S}}(\xi_t)/(\pi^{-2} L(X_t, 2)).
\]

Here is the list of numerical verification of Beilinson’s Conjecture 5.1 for above \( t \)’s with the aid of MAGMA (digits of precision is at least 20).

| \( t \) | \( R_t \) |
|---|---|
| \(-1\) | \(8\) |
| \(-3\) | \(6\) |
| \(-7\) | \(\frac{2}{5}\) |
| \(-15\) | \(\frac{11}{2}\) |
| \(2\) | \(-32\) |
| \(3\) | \(-24\) |
| \(5\) | \(-18\) |
| \(9\) | \(-17\) |
| \(17\) | \(\frac{15}{16}\) |

| \( t \) | \(\frac{1}{2}\) |
|---|---|
| \(\frac{3}{2}\) | \(-32\) |
| \(\frac{7}{2}\) | \(-48\) |
| \(\frac{9}{2}\) | \(-48\) |
| \(\frac{3}{2}\) | \(-40\) |
| \(\frac{5}{2}\) | \(-102\) |
| \(\frac{15}{16}\) | \(-68\) |
5.2. Let \( f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) be an elliptic fibration defined by \( 3y^2 = 2x^3 - 3x^2 + t \). Put
\[
\xi := \left\{ \frac{y - x + 1}{y + x - 1}, \frac{1 - t}{2(x - 1)^3} \right\} \in K_2(X \setminus Y), \quad Y := f^{-1}(1).
\]
(5.4)

In a similar way to Theorem 5.7 we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\} \). If \( |t - 1| < 1 \), then
\[
\log \text{reg} \ (\xi_t) = \log 432 - \log(1 - t) - \frac{5}{36} (1 - t) {4 \choose 3} \left( \frac{7}{10}, \frac{11}{10}, 1, 1 ; 1 - t \right).
\]
If \( |t - 1| > 1 \), then
\[
\log \text{reg} \ (\xi_t) = \pi^{-1} \left[ \frac{3}{2} B \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6} \right) z^{-1} 3F_2 \left( \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} ; z \right) + \frac{3}{10} B \left( \frac{5}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \right) z^{-1} 3F_2 \left( \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{10}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{3} ; z \right) \right]
\]
where \( z := (1 - t)^{-1} \).

**Numerical Examples**

Let \( n \geq 2 \) be an integer and let \( t = 1 - 1/n \). Then \( j(X_t) = 432n^2/(n - 1) \) and
\[
\xi_t = \left\{ \frac{y - x + 1}{y + x - 1}, \frac{1}{2n(x - 1)^3} \right\}.
\]
Therefore if the denominator of \( 432n^2/(n - 1) \) is prime to \( 6n \), then \( \xi_t \) is integral.

There exist infinitely many such \( n \)'s (e.g. \( n \geq 2 \) such that \( n \equiv 0, 2 \mod 6 \)).

| \( n \) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| \( R_t \) | 28 | 52 | 64 | 80 | 101 | 137 | 176 | 224 | 291 | 368 |

5.3. Let \( f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) be an elliptic fibration defined by \( y^2 = x^3 + (3x + 4t)^2 \). Put
\[
\xi := \left\{ \frac{y - 3x - 4t}{y + 3x + 4t}, \frac{y + 3x + 4t}{-8t} \right\} \in K_2(X \setminus Y), \quad Y := f^{-1}(1).
\]
(5.5)

**Theorem 5.6.** Let \( t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\} \). If \( 0 < |t| < 1 \), then
\[
\log \text{reg} \ (\xi_t) = \log 27 - \log t - \frac{2t}{9} {4 \choose 3} F_3 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 1, 1 ; 2, 2, 2 ; t \right).
\]
If \( |t| > 1 \), then
\[
\log \text{reg} \ (\xi_t) = \sqrt{3} \pi^{-1} \left[ B \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{3}} 3F_2 \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} ; t^{-1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} B \left( \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right) t^{-\frac{2}{3}} 3F_2 \left( \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} ; t^{-1} \right) \right].
\]

**Numerical Examples**

Let \( n \geq 1 \) be an integer, and let \( t = \frac{1}{6n} \). Then \( j(X_t) = 1296(4 - 27n)^3n/(6n - 1) \) and
\[
\xi_t = \left\{ -\frac{3n}{4} \left( y - 3x - \frac{2}{3n} \right), \frac{3n}{4} \left( y + 3x + \frac{2}{3n} \right) \right\}.
\]
Since the denominator of \( j(X_t) \) is prime to \( 6n \), \( \xi_t \) is integral for all \( n \geq 1 \).

| \( n \) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| \( R_t \) | 405 | 810 | 1350 | 1890 | 2430 | 3210 | 4050 | 504 | 1350 | 1692 |
| \( R_t \) | 1016 | 672 | 1262 | 1952 | 2642 | 3332 | 4022 | 4712 | 5402 | 6092 |
5.4. Remark on the Elliptic dilogarithms. Recall the Bloch-Wigner function

\[ D(x) := \text{Im}(\ln_2(x)) + \log |x| \arg(1 - x). \]

For \( q \neq 0 \), the elliptic dilogarithms is defined to be

\[ D_q(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D(x^nq^n), \]

satisfies \( D_q(qx) = D_q(x) \) and \( D_q(x^{-1}) = -D_q(x) \) \([\text{B}], \text{[GL]}\).

Recall Bloch’s formula which describes the real regulator via the elliptic dilogarithm (cf. \([\text{GL}] \) p.416–417). Noting that the \( K_2 \)-symbols \([\text{5.1}]\) and \([\text{5.5}]\) are defined by rational functions supported on torsion points, Bloch’s formula implies the following.

**Theorem 5.7.** If \(-1 < t < 0\) then

\[ \frac{\pi}{4} (1 - t)^{-\frac{5}{6}} F_3 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; (1 - t)^{-1} \right) = D_q(i) + D_q(iq^{\frac{3}{2}}) = D_q(i^{\frac{3}{2}}) \]

If \( 0 < t < 1 \) then

\[ -\frac{\pi}{8} \left( \log \frac{1 - t}{16} + \frac{1 - t}{4} F_3 \left( \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 1, 1; 1 - t \right) \right) = D_q(i) + D_q(iq^{\frac{3}{2}}). \]

where \( i = \sqrt{-1} \) and we put

\[ q := \exp \left( -2\pi F(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; 1 - t) \right). \]

**Theorem 5.8.** If \( 1 < t < 2 \) then

\[ B \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right) t^{-\frac{4}{3}} F_3 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; t^{-1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} B \left( \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right) t^{-\frac{4}{3}} F_3 \left( \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; t^{-1} \right) \]

\[ = 6\sqrt{3} D_q(e^{2\pi i/3}) \]

where

\[ q := \exp \left( -2\pi \sqrt{3} F(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1; 1) \right). \]
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