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Invasive dermatophytosis mimicking vasculitis
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**Abstract**

We report a case of invasive dermatophytosis mimicking vasculitis. A patient consulted the Department of Dermatology, Zealand University Hospital, Roskilde, Denmark for the assessment of violaceous/erythematous lesions thought to be vasculitis. She had prior to this been treated with the immunosuppressive drug teriflunomid. Due to the lesion's erythematous scaling border invasive dermatophytosis was suspected. By using direct microscopy a mycological diagnosis was confirmed. We underline the utility of direct microscopy in the diagnosis.

1. Introduction

The clinical presentation of vasculitis can range from cutaneous vasculitis to life threatening internal organ involvement [1]. Several conditions can mimic the presentation of vasculitis and the range of differential diagnosis is therefore broad [1]. One differential diagnosis is invasive dermatophytosis, which is associated with immunodeficiency. A tool that can be used in making the diagnosis is direct microscopy. We report a case of invasive dermatophytosis mimicking vasculitis in an immunocompromised patient and underline the practical utility of direct microscopy in the diagnosis.

2. Case

A 55-year old woman known with multiple sclerosis and onychomycosis consulted the Department of Dermatology, Zealand University Hospital, Roskilde, Denmark, for the assessment of lesions thought to represent vasculitis (day 0). She had a one year history of scaly, itching sores on the lower right leg. The lesions were exacerbated during treatment with clobetasolpropionate under zinkoxide bandage. The patient had been treated with the immunosuppressive drug teriflunomid for multiple sclerosis for two years.

A physical examination revealed scaly violaceous/erythematous skin with sharply demarcated lesions primarily on the right foot and anterior aspect on the lower right leg (Fig. 1). Deep < 1 cm diameter ulcers were also observed.

Due to the ulcers the patient was referred for vasculitis, but because of erythematous scaling border of the lesions, dermatophytosis was suspected as a differential diagnosis. Direct microscopy was performed using blanchophor and fluorescence microscopy and showed numerous hyphae (day 0) (Fig. 2). A skin scraping was performed for further mycological examination. The patient was started on a combination of oral terbinafine 250 mg daily combined with topically terbinafine (day 0) for the treatment of tinea incognito due to the usage of teriflunomid and clobetasolpropionate.

Laboratory investigations including PCR confirmed a mycological diagnosis and revealed a growth of *Trichophyton (T.) rubrum* (day + 2).

PCR diagnostics were performed by Statens Serum Institut (SSI) using an in house PCR [6] Treatment assessment (day + 30) revealed improvement and no growth of *T. rubrum*.

3. Discussion

Dermatophytes are fungal pathogens that infect the keratinized layers of the skin, hair and nails [3]. *T. rubrum* is one of the most prevalent species [4]. Invasive dermatophytosis is a rare condition in which dermatophytes invade the deep dermis or internal organs [2,5]. It can present in two clinical forms: Majocchi's granuloma and deep dermatophytosis. A biopsy for histopathology is often required to differentiate between Majocchis granuloma/deep dermatophytosis.

One clue to the diagnosis of invasive dermatophytosis is the presence of associated typical superficial dermatophytosis lesions. Our patient had clinical signs of tinea pedis and onychomycosis. Furthermore, invasive dermatophytosis is typically unilateral whereas vasculitis is often bilateral.

In a review by Boral et al. [5] of 33 published cases of Majocchis...
granuloma 6/33 (17%) of the cases received immunosuppressive therapy, 6/33 (17%) systemically prednisolone and 18/33 (55%) topical corticosteroid treatment, respectively.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of invasive dermatophytosis mimicking vasculitis. In our case direct microscopy was used to confirm a mycological diagnosis, thus confirming that it is still a highly useful bedside tool that should be used as a first step to help guide the use of other often more time-consuming diagnostic procedures.
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