Schizophrenia is a severe disorder characterized by positive, negative and cognitive symptoms, which are still not fully understood. The development of efficient antipsychotics requires animal models of a strong validity, therefore the aims of the article were to summarize the construct, face and predictive validity of schizophrenia models based on rodents and zebrafish, to compare the advantages and disadvantages of these models, and to propose future directions in schizophrenia modeling and indicate when it is reasonable to combine these models. The advantages of rodent models stem primarily from the high homology between rodent and human physiology, neurochemistry, brain morphology and circuitry. The advantages of zebrafish models stem in the high fecundity, fast development and transparency of the embryo. Disadvantages of both models originate in behavioral repertoires not allowing specific symptoms to be modeled, even when the models are combined. Especially modeling the verbal component of certain positive, negative and cognitive symptoms is currently impossible.
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INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a severe mental disorder affecting approximately 1% of the worldwide adult population and often ending fatally (1–3). The pathophysiology stems from an imbalance in excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission with consequent dysregulation of neuromodulation resulting in a set of positive, negative and cognitive symptoms (4). Positive symptoms include hallucinations, delusions and disorganized speech. Negative symptoms originate from emotional flattening related to abulia, alogia and anhedonia, leading to social withdrawal. Cognitive deficits consist of disrupted sensorimotor gating, learning and executive functions (5). The exact neurobiological mechanisms underlying schizophrenia symptoms are still not known and the knowledge gaps substantially limit the development of effective treatment, especially the cure of negative and cognitive symptoms (6). Animal models of a high construct validity evaluating the ability of the model to reconstruct the etiopathogenesis of the disease, a high face validity reflecting the similarity of modeled symptoms with the disorder expression, and a high predictive validity evaluating the possibility to normalize elicited symptoms by antipsychotic drugs, are required (7, 8).

A plethora of animal models using pharmacological, neurodevelopmental and other strategies has been created. The most commonly used models are based on laboratory rodents, although fish,
mostly zebrafish (*Danio rerio, D. rerio*) species (8), have recently become popular. Rodents and zebrafish possess undisputed qualities, although their use in schizophrenia modeling often does not allow a clear interpretation of results in behavioral and other analyses. The models can be often combined to bridge at least some of these gaps, though some crucial questions remain unanswered. Being mammals, rats and mice display similarities to human physiology, brain morphology and behavior. However, the lack of speech (or its equivalent) substantially limits modeling the positive symptoms only to non-verbal markers such as hyperlocomotion or stereotypy, and negative symptoms such as thigmotaxis (keeping contact with objects such as walls), decreased exploratory behavior or reduced social interaction, when modeling some specific symptoms is completely restricted (9). The zebrafish model represents a highly significant tool in pharmacological and toxicological as well as behavioral research (10). The limitations of using zebrafish arise from differences between human’s and fish’s physiology, which are more pronounced that between human’s and rodent’s, and as well as in rodents, from a lack of possibility to directly observe communicational disabilities. However, zebrafish is a social species and even though exact mechanisms and motivations of the social behavior are not fully explored, several tasks investigating the social behavior have been developed, offering utilization of zebrafish in neuropsychopharmacological research. These include social preference test (SPT), used as well in rodent’s experiments, mirror biting test (MBT) or analyses of a group behavior known as shoaling (11–15).

The aims of the present article are as follows. Firstly, to summarize the construct, face and predictive validity of schizophrenia models based on rodents and zebrafish. To this end, we also discuss recent advances in modeling techniques. Secondly, to compare the advantages and disadvantages of these models, and thirdly, to propose future directions in schizophrenia modeling and determine when it is reasonable to combine both models.

CONSTRUCT VALIDITY OF RODENT AND ZEBRAFISH MODELS: GENETIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL CAUSES OF SCHIZOPHRENIA AND THEIR NEURODEVELOPMENTAL AND NEUROCHEMICAL CONSEQUENCES

Schizophrenia originates in a combination of neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative factors and is often genetically predetermined. Despite the early cause, schizophrenia usually does not appear until the adulthood (4, 7, 16). Distinct risk factors converge at a disruption in neuromodulation and the balance of excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission, when an especially vulnerable target is the corticostratal and corticollimbic circuitry including the prefrontal, cingulate and temporal cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, basal ganglia, thalamus, as well as the ventral tegmental area (VTA), and are expressed in altered emotional reaction seen in schizophrenia or bipolar disorder (17–21).

Modeling Genetic Factors

Given the very high heritability index (more than 70%) it is not surprising that more than a hundred altered genetic loci have been identified to be associated with psychosis and the number of revealed genetic factors still increases (22, 23). These include genes of proteins affecting neurotransmission (e.g. neurotransmitter and neuromodulator receptors, t-SNARE domain containing 1); development, maturation and migration of neurons and glial cells (disrupted-in-schizophrenia 1 (disc1), neuregulin 1); synaptic development, plasticity and transport (voltage-sensitive chloride channel 3, neuregulin 1); or immunity (the major histocompatibility complex region on chromosome 6) (24–27).

Techniques of Genetic Engineering in Zebrafish and Rodents

The increasing popularity in the use of zebrafish in behavioral genetics is mostly based on their high breeding potential, suited for large-scale forward as well as reverse genetic studies (including genetic screens), the transparency of an embryo, allowing a direct observation of development, and a short life cycle. These and more zebrafish traits alone and in combination among numerous technical advantages offer also an opportunity to investigate some complex schizophrenia-related issues including identifying individual mutations and their relation to developmental disturbances and in general to schizophrenia markers, impact of genetic and environmental factors related to schizophrenia on offsprings and next generations, including the heritability of epigenetic abnormalities; differences between healthy and schizophrenia-like individuals throughout the development and aging or relation of early-life imbalance in neurochemistry (elicited by either genetic or environmental factors) and schizophrenia-like symptoms in adulthood.

From the technical aspect, zebrafish numerous populations allow effective investigation of genome, transcriptome or proteome and its manipulations. Regularly spawning up to two hundred viable eggs per week all year round makes zebrafish one of the most popular model organisms in genetics in general (11, 28, 29). In comparison, laboratory mice give birth to 2–8 litters containing on average 6–8 pups (30) and laboratory rats to 7–9 litters, each containing 6–12 pups depending on the strain. Identifying genotype can be performed by various sequencing techniques including next generation sequencing, such as RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) (31). Example of a technique combining recombination and genomic analysis is targeting induced local lesions in genomes (TILLING) (11, 28, 29, 32, 33). Besides that, targeted mutations in zebrafish often generated by Gal4-upstream activating sequence (UAS) system (34). In both, zebrafish and rodent models, can be targeted mutations obtained by Cre/lox recombination (35–37), clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and associated systems, zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) (38, 39). To analyse contribution of individual genes or combination of two or more
genes to a particular phenotype can be compared heterozygous and dominant and recessive homozygous animals. Moreover, studying combination of several mutations not only gives information about a particular disease, but in final also contributes to the general knowledge about the cooperation between the individual genes. Examples of genetic models related to schizophrenia include mouse models lacking genes encoding proteins involved in neurotransmitter’s metabolism (catechol-O-methyl transferase) and proteins involved in synaptic transport and plasticity (dysbindin-1, activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein), which also provides an insight to participation of individual proteins in neurotransmission and mutual influence on their expression or may serve to reveal common traits shared with at the first sight different diseases, such as Parkinson’s disease (40–46).

Complementary to rodent models were developed zebrafish genetic models of schizophrenia, including models studying contribution of genes encoding proteins involved in metabolism and function of individual neurotransmitters (tyrosine hydroxylase, D4 receptor) or proteins controlling development (Disc1) (47–51).

Regulation of gene expression at the translational level is in both model organisms performed by micro-RNA molecules, currently popular are morpholino antisense oligonucleotides (MO). Example of using MO in relation to schizophrenia is the interaction of MO with microRNA molecules regulating neurodevelopment and neurotransmission (52–55).

Despite the fact, that most of the recombinant and subsequent visualisation techniques are available and suitable for both, zebrafish and rodent, models, zebrafish genetic models are more easily accessible. The external development of zebrafish allows genetic modifications to be easily performed on fertilized eggs or the early stage of embryos (34) and the non-invasive observation of the neurodevelopment and neuronal firing in real time using variety of brain imaging techniques including Ca²⁺ imaging or visualization of fluorescent proteins (56, 57). In comparison, generation of recombinant rodents is much more complicated and requires surgery including anesthesia and manipulation with the mother and a transplantation of genetically modified embryonic stem cells into the early stage of the embryo or by direct transfer of the gene into a specific embryonic tissue (37, 58).

On the other hand, the external development of zebrafish may limit studying the interaction between genetic and certain environmental effects on the development of a psychiatric illness. Such environmental effects impacting prenatal development in mammals arise from changes in maternal physiology during a pregnancy, and therefore can’t be modeled in species developing externally, especially when lacking a parental care. Examples of these parameters are changes in level of maternal glucocorticoids or quality of the nutrition during a pregnancy. On the other hand, similarities between rodent and human physiology, including the pregnancy and parental care, allow to overcome these obstacles. Moreover, rodent models are still irreplaceable in modeling effects of genetics on brain morphology or more complex behavior, such as social withdrawal, and the underlying neurobiological substrate, often highly similar to humans. For a better insight into the relationship between human’s genetics and health, a primate genetic model based on the mouse lemur (Microcebus murinus) has been currently investigated (59).

### Modeling Environmental Factors
Compared to the above mentioned high heritability, the contribution of environmental factors up to 30% is relatively low, though still significant (60). However, the impact of environmental factors can be higher, especially in risk environments, and enhanced or reduced by interactions with particular genetic factors (3, 61–63).

Humans are especially sensitive to environmental factors contributing to schizophrenia during the late second and the third trimester of prenatal development, which represent a period of massive neurogenesis and creation of neural connections and circuits or during. Other developmental windows occur during a puberty and early adolescence (corresponding to the typical onset of schizophrenia) (7, 64–67), when the central nervous system matures on a morphological as well as functional level, including strengthening of the circuity by the formation of new connections and myelination of individual cells, but also axonal and synaptic pruning (18, 68, 69).

### Techniques of Modeling Environmental Factors in Zebrafish and Rodents
Modeling environmental factors of psychiatric disorders is based on ethological observations of the species in its natural habitat determining e.g. the character of the stressor or timing of foraging, as well as performance and physiological features of the species, determining e.g. the timing of humoral treatment, immune challenge or changes in diet composition.

### Stress Modeled in Mammals and Zebrafish
Excessive stress reactivity is a common trait for many mental illnesses including schizophrenia (70). One of possible theories explaining mechanisms of increased vulnerability to stress and other common traits of mental disorders offers the early life stress theory, assuming that altered activity of the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis in early development results in malformations or at least mental alterations, some of which are related to schizophrenia (71). The stress reaction is an evolutionary conservative mechanism and despite some differences highly homologous between mammals and teleost fish. The analogy to mammalian adrenals in zebrafish is the head kidney (72).

The main glucocorticoid in humans and zebrafish is cortisol, and in the case of rats and mice corticosterone. The homological pathway to mammalian HPA axis in zebrafish is the hypothalamus–pituitary–interrenal (HPI) axis (73).

In mammals, the placenta divides the foetal and maternal environment and contains 11β-hydroxylase 2 (HSD11B2), which defends the foetus against excessive levels of maternal glucocorticoids. However, in case of very severe acute stress or chronic stress, excessive amounts of glucocorticoids are not
effectively deactivated and affect overall foetal development, including neurodevelopment.

Commonly used paradigms testing prenatal stress are repeated restraint of a pregnant mother in a tight container as predictable stress or unpredictable variable stress including several distinctive and successive stress paradigms (8). Alternatively, animals can be exposed to synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone under laboratory conditions; however, the effect on an adult schizophrenia-like phenotype is less expressed compared to exposure to excessive corticosterone release during actual behavioral stress (74). Postnatal paradigms in rodent models include psychosocial stressors such as maternal separation (21, 75) or a resident-intruder paradigm for older animals (76).

Zebrafish embryos develop externally, though a successful development is also dependent on the maternal level of cortisol (77), as in mammals. Particularly, developing zebrafish embryos completely rely on maternal depositions of cortisol and its receptor (GR) distributed throughout the ooplasm. The embryo’s HPI axis and associated regulatory systems (including expression of HSD11B) develop during hatching (2–3 days post fertilization, dpf), after which the HPI axis can be triggered (73, 78). Disturbances in the maternal storage of glucocorticoids and their receptors lead to morphological (including neural system) and behavioral alterations. Under laboratory conditions, these disturbances can be simulated by knocking down selected genes or by injecting external glucocorticoids (77, 78). An exemplary stressor of post-hatched larvae and older individuals is a swirl in an experimental tank or chasing with an aquatic net or psychosocial stressors analogical to those used for rodents (79–81).

The stress response in rodents and zebrafish is determined physiologically by measuring glucocorticoid levels or behaviorally by testing the intensity of the startle response or assessing thigmotaxis and boldness in an open field arena or a cylindrical aquarium (80).

The stress reaction in rodents is very similar to that of humans after prenatal development and throughout the whole life (except for the main glucocorticoid). The range of stress paradigms is wide, offering the possibility to model acute or chronic stress, both of a physiological and psychosocial origin. In contrast, stress reaction in prenataly developing zebrafish is determined by completely different mechanisms. Surprisingly, even with the absence of maternal care, the early embryo is still affected by chronic maternal stress. The development of zebrafish is rapid and after three days of life it is possible to observe a response to various stress factors. However, although plenty of distinct stress paradigms have been developed for both models, the behavioral response may require further examination, to not be mistaken for other pathological behavior such as abulia or impairment in social behavior (82).

**Malnutrition Modeled in Mammals and Zebrafish**

The effect of malnutrition on the development of schizophrenia was confirmed after devastating famines in Dutch and Chinese populations during the 20th century, when the risk of developing schizophrenia increased twofold for those whose mothers experienced the famine during pregnancy (83). Today’s knowledge indicates, that some relevant risk factors of schizophrenia can originate in protein deficiencies and imbalances in intake of vitamins or trace elements (84).

To simulate prenatal and perinatal malnutrition, rat dams are usually fed with a diet including approximately 6% of casein, and postnatal protein malnutrition of pups is modeled by approximately 8% of protein in their diet (84). In comparison, the diet of well-nourished developing rats contains between 18 and 25% of proteins (85–87).

Prenatal malnutrition in rodents can result in abnormal brain morphology with lowered volumes of hippocampus, caused by a decreased number of cells and poorer arborisation, and medial prefrontal cortex (PFC), caused by decreased cell size (87). The neurotransmission in proteinally malnourished rodents is abnormal. The basal level of dopamine is increased in PFC (and nucleus accumbens and VTA) and is accompanied by analogies of positive (hyperlocomotion) and negative (lowered preference to sucrose over water) symptoms of schizophrenia in adult animals malnourished during prenatal and early postnatal development. In only prenatally malnourished rats, the basal dopaminergic level is decreased, which can be associated with cognitive impairments similar to these often seen in schizophrenic patients (85, 86).

Another potent risk factor is a low intake of vitamin D, mediating a higher risk of developing schizophrenia in the offspring of mothers living in or moving to high latitude and urban areas. The intake of vitamin D is the lowest at the turn of winter and spring, with the most severe impact on the foetus during the third trimester (3, 8, 67, 88). In rodent models of prenatal vitamin D deficiency, pregnant dams are temporarily fed with a diet lacking vitamin D, but preserving calcium and phosphorus. Even though the diet is restored after delivery, the offspring in adulthood display schizophrenia-like neurotransmission, brain morphology and behavior (3, 8, 67, 89).

Contrary to rodents, there is a relatively low amount of information available on the effect of malnutrition on the development of zebrafish, even though it is obviously significant. Though the external development of zebrafish, maternal nutrition affects embryos via the quality of egg yolks. The effect was observed e.g. in relation to excessive maternal intake of selen, resulting in malformations of the whole central nervous system of offspring, a reduced number of successfully hatching offspring, and altered dopaminergic and serotoninergic systems and adult behavior and learning (88).

The risk of developing schizophrenia also increases with an imbalanced intake of other nutrients, such as folate or homocysteine (90).

**Maternal Immune Activation Modeled in Mammals and Zebrafish**

Mammalian foetal neurodevelopment can be threatened by the maternal immune activation of a viral, bacterial, parasitic or even autoimmune origin (27) depending on the genetic susceptibility or previous experience with the antigen of both parents (91, 92). Clinical studies have documented an increased risk of the development of schizophrenia after maternal infection of an influenza virus (93, 94), bacterial infections of the upper respiratory and reproductive tracts (95) or Toxoplasma gondii (96, 97).
Poly (I:C) is commonly used as a viral antigen and LPS as a bacterial antigen, both activating microglial and astrocytal production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, TNF-α), which are probably responsible for disruptions in myelination resulting in the reduction of white matter (98). We also documented that early immune activation by LPS in rodents induces persistent alterations in levels of neurotransmitters including their metabolites, activation of the kynurenine pathway of the tryptophan metabolism, and astroglisis and hippocampal volume reduction (99). In addition, higher blood levels of IL-1β, IL-8, IFN-γ, TNF-α and especially IL-6 were observed following maternal immune activation, suggesting a role of maternal pro-inflammatory cytokines in the neurodevelopment of the fetus and subsequent schizophrenia in adulthood (8, 27).

In contrast, only little is known about the effect of immune activation on neurodevelopment in zebrafish. Although schizophrenia-associated behavior has been induced by immune activation in adult individuals. Increased level of pro-inflammatory cytokines in zebrafish is associated with a set of symptoms altogether known as sickness behavior, suggesting a similar effect of immune activation on behavior as in rodent models. These symptoms include reduced motivation to explore novel object and to spent time near conspecifics in comparison control animals (100).

**Modeling Aberrant Neurodevelopment Using Brain Lesions in Mammals and Zebrafish**

Differences in brain architecture connected to schizophrenia most commonly include enlarged lateral ventricles and reductions in grey and white matter in cortical and subcortical regions, as observed in post-mortem and brain imaging studies. Exact impact of particular aberrantly developed brain structure on development of schizophrenia can be investigated by perinatal brain lesions in neurodevelopmental animal models (18, 101–103). The rough brain ontogenesis and morphology is relatively conserved in vertebrates enabling the involvement of particular brain areas in psychiatric disorders to be studied (104–107). In neonatal rodents, lesions made in the PFC, ventral hippocampus (homological to anterior hippocampus in humans), amygdala or nucleus accumbens resulted in e.g. altered connectivity or neurochemistry of the limbic circuit or altered cytoarchitecture of the PFC, accompanied by schizophrenia-like symptoms, appearing during the adolescence (5, 18, 21, 108–110).

The reasonable utilization of the zebrafish model requires a comparison of actinopergyan and mammalian neural systems (111). Similarly to mammals, most advanced cognitive tasks in fish are processed in the telencephalon and diencephalon (112, 113). However, differences in the ontogenesis of the telencephalon predetermine the distinct morphology and arrangement of individual areas (111, 114). The most probable theory explaining the development of fish telencephalon is the eversion theory (115). A mature zebrafish telencephalon is formed by two hemispheres, similarly to mammalian, although the zebrafish hemispheres are centrally divided and dorsally covered by a large T-shaped ventricles (Figure 1). In comparison, as a result of evagination, mammalian hemispheres surround three central ventricles (116, 117).

The zebrafish telencephalon can be functionally divided into the dorsal part (pallium) and ventral part (subpallium). The pallium is further divided into the central, lateral, medial, posterior and ventral parts, probably functionally corresponding to the isocortex, hippocampus, amygdala and piriform cortex of mammals, the subpallium to mammalian sub-cortical regions (111, 112, 116). This arrangement corresponds to that based on expression of genetic markers (111); however, discrepancies between studies can exist based on the sorting criteria (111, 118).

Lesions in both rodent and zebrafish are commonly made by injecting excitotox agents, such as ibotenic, kainic or quinolinic acid into the region of interest (108–120).

Even though there are differences between rodents and humans, the general morphology is highly conserved, suggesting a strong construct validity of the models. In contrast, a great deal of effort has been put into determining the homology between the brains of zebrafish and humans; nevertheless, discrepancies still exist and require further analysis (104–107).

**Neurochemical Consequences of Schizophrenia: Pharmacological Models**

Pharmacological models are inspired by three sources: neurochemicals and molecular findings in schizophrenia patients, the pharmacodynamic properties of antipsychotics and the observation of psychotomimetic drugs inducing psychotic states in healthy individuals as well as worsening the already existing symptoms in schizophrenic patients (17, 21, 121). The use of psychotomimetic agents in pharmacological modeling has been typically based on glutamatergic and dopaminergic neurochemistry and other (serotonergic) neurotransmitter systems (122). The imbalance of the equilibrium in excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission often persists for a long time or even permanently (123). Consequences of acute, chronic and early life exposure to psychotomimetic drugs have been intensively tested in animal models (124).

Exposure to psychotomimetic drugs is often combined with other strategies such as neonatal lesions e.g. to test the increased sensitivity to psychotomimetic drugs in adolescence or to strengthen the overall construct validity of the model (125).

The utilization of all mammalian neurotransmitter and neuromodulator systems enables the use of zebrafish pharmacological models, although based on distinct brain morphology some differences between zebrafish and mammalian neurotransmitter systems exist (126). The administration of drugs in rodents, but also in zebrafish is performed by intraperitoneal, intramuscular and subcutaneous injections. In addition, zebrafish can be exposed to drugs non-invasively, via inhalation of dissolved drugs in the experimental tank (127).

**Glutamatergic System in Mammals and Zebrafish**

The main vertebrate excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate is highly distributed throughout the brain of mammals as well as zebrafish (128, 129). Glutamate interacts with three families
of ionotropic receptors (N-methyl-D-aspartate-type glutamate (NMDA), α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid receptor (AMPA) and kainate receptors) and three families of metabotropic receptors (mGlu), altogether containing eight subtypes. In relation to schizophrenia, NMDA and AMPA receptors have been studied predominantly. Other candidate targets are metabotropic mGlu1 receptors located on a postsynaptic membrane, which modulates the activity of NMDA receptors, and presynaptic mGlu2/3 receptors, which inhibits the release of glutamate in a negative feedback loop (130).

Systemic administration of non-competitive NMDAR antagonists (phencyclidine (PCP), dizocilpine, ketamine) affects glutamatergic and GABAergic neurotransmission as well as its monoaminergic neuromodulation of corticolimbic, corticostriatal and other cortical and subcortical circuits (123). The behavioral changes after acute administration correspond to an acute psychotic state, while changes during chronic administration more likely correspond to chronic schizophrenia and elicit analogs of all, positive, negative and cognitive, symptoms (7, 17, 131). The changes in cortical neurotransmission were confirmed by an in vivo magnetic
resonance study of the rat brain, after repeated exposure to ketamine (17, 123, 132); non-competitive NMDAR antagonists probably target the parvalbumin GABAergic interneuron, in basal conditions inhibiting excessive firing of pyramidal neurons of the prefrontal cortex in a negative feedback loop (123). Dysfunction of the interneurons results in excitotoxicity and subsequent neurodegeneration in cortical and subcortical areas. This assumption is supported by findings of a lower cortical parvalbumin level in schizophrenia patients pointing to a probable dysfunction of these cells in schizophrenia (17).

Rat models have been recently used to study the connection to other neurotransmitter and neuromodulatory systems complementary to the detailed analysis of the glutamatergic system alone (133, 134). The theory of impaired PFC glutamatergic excitatory and inhibitory control of VTA, which in turn incorrectly regulates striatal dopaminergic activity via the mesolimbic pathway resulting in an inadequate regulation of the feedback loop from striatal dopaminergic cells to PFC glutamatergic cells, seems to be especially reliable (133, 135).

Dopaminergic System in Mammals and Zebrafish
Dopaminergic theory has long been the major postulated neurochemical theory of schizophrenia and to date still occupies an important position in schizophrenia research (136). Recently, however, it has been discussed more in combination with other theories (glutamatergic theory) than alone, to explain the complex mechanism underlying not only positive, but also negative and cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia (134, 137). Disturbances specifically in dopaminergic neurotranschemistry and their consequences are modeled using agonists of dopaminergic receptors apomorphine or amphetamine.

Alterations mainly in three of four main dopaminergic pathways of the mammalian brain are related to presence of specific schizophrenia symptoms (4, 138). Overstimulation of mesolimbic pathway has been traditionally assumed to be responsible for positive symptoms, lowered dopaminergic stimulation of the prefrontal cortex for negative and cognitive symptoms and hyperactivity of nigrostriatal pathway for changes in motor activity (139, 140). However, only the positive symptoms have been successfully treated using typical antipsychotics interacting selectively with dopaminergic D2 receptors (134).

The dopaminergic system is distinct between zebrafish and mammals. In mammals, the mesocortical pathway projects from the VTA to the PFC, the mesolimbic pathway projects from the VTA to nucleus accumbens and the nigrostriatal pathway projects from the substantia nigra pars compacta to the dorsal striatum (141). In zebrafish, dopaminergic cells have been localized in the diencephalon, hindbrain and subpallium. On the other hand, only catecholaminergic fibers have been detected in the pallium. Interestingly, no dopaminergic cells have been observed in the mesencephalon where three out of four mammalian dopaminergic brain pathways originate (141, 142). Also, midbrain dopaminergic neurons are present in relatively more primitive and evolutionary older cartilaginous fish, suggesting a secondary loss during evolution (143). The source of pallial catecholamines may be the diencephalic posterior tuberal nucleus, which has been proposed to be equivalent to the mammalian mesocortical pathway (141).

The zebrafish ventral pallium is assumed to be homologous to the mammalian basal ganglia (striatum) and its innervations and projections are suggested to be analogous to the nigrostriatal and mesolimbic pathways (141, 144).

Two families of dopaminergic receptors have been identified in both mammals and zebrafish, a D1-like family coupled to G_s proteins and a D2-like family coupled to G_proteins (Table 1) (145).

Elucidation of the homology between mammalian and zebrafish dopaminergic systems by comparing zebrafish and rodent brains is essential for understanding the effects of genetics on neurodevelopmental distributions and strengthening the translational validity of the models (149–151).

Serotoninergic System in Mammals and Zebrafish
Serotonin plays a pivotal role in the neuromodulation of glutamatergic, GABAergic, dopaminergic and other systems, modulating, inter alia, the activity of the mesocortical, mesolimbic and nigrostriatal pathways (4), regulating mechanisms of emotions or cognitive functions such as sensorimotor gating or executive functions (152). Suspicion of its role in schizophrenia came primarily from the fact that serotonergic hallucinogens (LSD, mescaline, psilocybine and others) mimic the positive symptoms of an acute psychotic state, and particularly visual hallucinations, but not acoustic hallucinations, which in schizophrenia usually dominate over visual ones (153). On the other hand, eliciting only particular symptoms can be taken as an advantage applicable in studying fundamental neurochemical substrates and mechanisms of schizophrenia, and its specific subtypes or combinations with other psychiatric illnesses (152). Post-mortem studies later revealed alterations in expression of serotonergic receptors and molecules involved in the transport and metabolism of serotonin in cortical and subcortical brain regions, which was confirmed in animal models (136, 154).

To date, a total of seven types of serotoninergic receptors have been identified divided into at least 14 subtypes in humans. Most of the receptors are metabotropic (140). From these is probably mostly alternated expression of 5-HT_1A, 5-HT_2A, and 5-HT_2B subtypes (136). All three receptors are coupled to G-proteins. 5-HT_2A is coupled to G_s proteins, and 5-HT_2B and 5-HT_2C interact with G_q proteins (4, 140, 155).

| isoforms of dopamine receptors |
|-----------------------------|
| Rodents | D_1-like | D_2-like |
| Zebras | D_1, D_5 | D_2S, D_2B |
|          | D_3L, D_3S | D_3L, D_3S |
|          | D_4L, D_4S | D_4S, D_4S |

In rodents the D_1-like family contains D_1 and D_5 receptors and the D_2-like family contains D_2S and D_2B receptors. Each of the receptors is encoded by a single gene. In addition, the mRNA of D_2S and D_2B is alternatively spliced into two, short (S) and long (L), isoforms (108, 145–147). Rodents express the same number of isoforms as humans, but the number of isoforms differs in zebrafish (148). In zebrafish, only one isoform of D_3 and no D_4 receptors has been identified. In contrast, three isoforms of D_2S and D_2B receptors have been identified, at least some of which are encoded by distinct genes (D_2a, D_2b) (47, 148, 149).
Accordingly to the UniProt protein database, in mice, rats and humans, the expression of only one isof orm of 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{3} has been identified, while in zebrafish the expression of two isof orms of each of these receptors has been observed\(^1\) (156), which indicates the necessity to compare the involvement of individual zebrafish isof orms in relation to schizophrenia.

The sources of serotonin in the mammalian brain are dorsal and two medial raphe nuclei located in the brain stem (and a minor population of serotonergic cells in hypothalamus) (157). The raphe nuclei releases serotonin in the hypothalamus, amygdala, septum, hippocampus, nucleus accumbens and the frontal cortex (140). In comparison, the organization of a zebrafish’s serotonergic system is more complicated. Neurons are located into nine nuclei organized into four complexes, namely the pretectal, paraventricular, rostral raphe and caudal raphe complexes (158). The zebrafish raphe neurons project abundantly into the dorsal telencephalon and more poorly into the ventral telencephalon (151, 158). Both mammalian and zebrafish serotonergic neurons also project into other brain areas including the brain stem and its network, which is responsible for the startle response (157, 158).

Altogether, a detail analysis is required to identify and describe all genetic, morphological and functional differences between zebrafish and mammalian serotonergic system for translational research (158).

Other Systems Hypothetically Involved in Schizophrenia

Imbalances in the acetylcholinergic, histaminergic, noradrenergic and endocannabinoid neurotransmitter systems have recently been revealed in relation to schizophrenia. Moreover, schizophrenia symptoms may appear also in reaction to elevated levels of kynurenic acid, a neuroactive metabolite of L-tryptophan interacting with glutamategic and acetylcholinergic receptors. All of these neurotransmitter systems have been identified in zebrafish (145, 159–161). Similarly to the mammalian brain, nicotinic and muscarinic, H_{1}, H_{2} and H_{3} histaminergic, \(\alpha_{2A}, \alpha_{2B}, \beta_{1}\) and \(\beta_{2A}\) adrenergic and CB_{1} and CB_{2} cannabinoid receptors have been identified in the zebrafish brain (145, 162, 163).

FACE VALIDITY OF RODENT AND ZEBRAFISH MODELS

Analogously to the majority of other mental disorders, face validity of all animal models represents a challenging issue due to the lack of verbal reporting of mental conditions. Hence, face validity evaluations are limited to analyses of behavioral patterns and instrumental neurophysiological approaches such as electrophysiology. Completely restricted is modeling of some positive (verbal hallucinations, disorganized speech), negative (poverty of speech, alogia) and higher cognitive symptoms with speech as a common denominator (Table 2).

\(^{1}\)www.uniprot.org/uniprot/?query=5-h&sort=score
TABLE 2 | Analogs of schizophrenia symptoms in rodent and zebrafish models.

| Schizophrenia symptoms | Rodent | D. rerio |
|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Positive               | Hallucinations, delusions, disorganized thinking | Hyperlocomotion, erratic movement | † number of tail bouts and velocity of swimming, erratic movement |
| Stereotypic behaviors  | Circling, repetitive sniffing | † amplitude of startle response, thigmotaxis | Circling |
| Vulnerability to stress| † prepulse inhibition of a startle response (PPI) | Prolonged exploration of a familiar object, impaired spatial learning | † PPI |
| Cognitive              | Deficits in memory and learning | Impairments in latent inhibition and acquisition, performance and capacity of working memory | Impairments in acquisition, performance and capacity of working memory |
| Negative               | Anhedonia | † preference of appealing place or object (e.g., palatable food) | † preference of appealing place or object (e.g., palatable food) |
| Abulia                 | Deficits in motivation to gain reward (palatable food, explored area, interaction with a familiar conspecific) | Deficits in motivation to gain reward (palatable food, explored area, interaction with a familiar conspecific) |
| Social withdrawal      | † social interaction, † time spent close to a conspecific in SPT | † interindividual distance in a shoal, † cohesion of the shoal, † time spent close to a conspecific in SPT, † social interactions in MBT |

PPI, prepulse inhibition; SPT, social preference test; MBT, mirror biting test. In each test needs to be considered the age of the animal (164). The PPI of startle response is often performed on larval zebrafish and therefore do not correspond to schizophrenia symptoms of adult humans but is rather a neoredevelopmental model studying mechanism of PPI. Another thing to be considered is the particular strain of rodent as well as fish models, whereas these may differ in behavioral traits, such as boldness, sociability or aggressivity. Therefore also the suitability of particular strains for individual tasks differs (165, 166). Data were taken and modified from 7, 14, 70, 108, 127, 187.

naturally, accompanying mating and agonistic activities (179). Circling behavior was assessed to be a consequence of an imbalance in dopaminergic neurochemistry between cerebral hemispheres and subcortical regions (particularly the striatum), with preferred direction towards the less dopaminergically active side of the brain. Nevertheless, NMDA receptor antagonists MK-801 and ketamine elicited or amplified circling in zebrafish and rodents with no preferred direction (167, 181, 190–193).

Stress Associated Behavioral Response

Schizophrenia patients typically respond vulnerably to stressful situations, expressed as higher reactivity to sudden intensive stimuli, repeated sensory stimuli and higher activity of the HPA axis (194, 195). In animal models, the vulnerability to stress can be examined, testing reactivity to a sudden sensory stimulus or habituation to novelty. Each novel situation can carry a potential danger and animals in a novel environment act with an increased anxiety (expressed as a thigmotaxis) to protect themselves against the anticipated threat. Successively, the anxiety individually decreases during habituation to be replaced by the natural tendency to explore followed by a repertoire of comfort behavior expressed as self-grooming. The relationship between anxiety, exploratory behavior and comfort behavior in rodents is usually examined in an open field arena (184–187).

In comparison, zebrafish individuals in a novel tank at first swim to the bottom, where they move erratically with frequent freezing combined with a higher velocity and often changes in the direction of swimming accompanied by intensive reactivity to stressors, expressed as a C-bend shaped startle response followed by quick avoiding swimming. After the initial anxious phase, individuals start to explore the aquarium at first horizontally and afterwards vertically. Finally, in a resting state, zebrafish individuals swim slowly and forward, occasionally and moderately changing direction. An alternative to a simple tank is a cylindrical tank enabling to more clearly distinguish between vertical parts of the tank (149, 178, 196–199).

In some cases, observing only the spontaneous activity can be misleading, the decreased anxiety can be mistaken for hyperactivity or impaired sociability and the correct interpretation of behavior requires additional tasks. The exploratory arena can be enriched by a novel object to observe behavioral patterns associated with exploration or by conspecifics to test the sociability (82, 184–187), as demonstrated after exposure to ketamine affecting inter-individual distances in shoals, but not any other parameters of social behavior, pointing to reduced anxiety rather than impaired social behavior (192).

Alternatively, in both, rodent and zebrafish models can be tested a reactivity to repeated sudden and intensive acoustic, luminous or tactile stimuli (172, 200). The reactivity to repeated sensory stimuli in schizophrenia patients and pharmacological animal models has been found to be higher, which is associated with impairments in habituation (136).

Negative Symptoms Modeled in Mammals and Zebrafish

Impaired Social Behavior

Probable origins of social impairments occurring in schizophrenia arise from an affective flattening and impairment or inability to distinguish and describe others’ as well as one’s own emotions (5). However, even though probable mechanisms are recently being uncovered, current treatment is insufficient in their normalization. Social dysfunctions still bother a high percentage of people suffering from schizophrenia and often lead to a complete social isolation. Therefore, to model social relationships and the impairments related to schizophrenia are required highly social animal species.

Zebrafish individuals tend to form dynamically changing groups known as shoals varying in the size from few to several hundreds of individuals (201). Properties of each shoal are...
affected by external as well as internal factors and these needs to be considered in every analyze. External factors affecting shoaling include latitude, water flow, vegetation and presence of predators (201, 202). Internal factors include strain, number, age, size or sex of individual members (12, 13, 165).

Individuals are able to coordinate their movement and polarise the whole shoal into one direction, in behavior known as schooling. Schooling increases the movement of the whole group and probably confuses predators; however, it is disadvantageous during foraging; therefore, groups tend to switch between organized schooling and disorganized shoaling (15). Shoals can be described by average neighbor and farthest neighbor distance, duration of excursions and polarization and tightness of the group (14).

In comparison to zebrafish’ shoals, which seem to be rather socially uniform, rats tend to form socially diverse groups, where each individual has its role. In a natural environment, small hierarchical groups are dominated by a single male and host few females and juveniles, accompanied by similar groups. The dominant male defends the group against intruders by threatening and fighting until the unfamiliar male exhibits submissive behavior or leaves. In urban areas, colonies of hundreds of individuals have been observed, staying nearby to places offering easy foraging. Interestingly, under laboratory conditions, even individual males prefer to form hierarchical groups rather than live alone, which indicates the importance of sociability in the rat’s life (163, 203).

In relation to schizophrenia, fish exposed to MK-801 were significantly less attracted to their conspecifics and spent significantly less time trying to reach a group than control fish, suggesting MK-801 mimics social withdrawal in zebrafish (127). Similarly, rats were less willing to spent time close to their conspecifics after exposure to MK-801 and, on the contrary, the dopaminergic agonist amphetamine failed to affect social behavior (and thus elicit analogies of negative symptoms) in accordance with the general glutamatergic and dopaminergic theory of schizophrenia (204).

Social behavior has been studied also in other rodent as well as fish species. As an alternative rodent model of psychiatric diseases has been recently suggested the prairie vole (Microtus ochrogaster), displaying highly complex social behavior including social bonding and prenatal behavior (205).

In fish species, such as three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) have been observed variances in personal traits including boldness and aggressivity, affecting social bonding and other interindividual relationships (206). As we and others observed, the personal traits of social fish individuals origin in genetics, interindividual relationships and interspecies relationships or environmental factors during the early life as well as during the adulthood (206–210).

Anhedonia
Anhedonia is defined as a reduced ability or complete disability to experience pleasure, ranked by several standardized psychometric scales in humans (Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS), the Schedule for the Deficit Syndrome (SDS), the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS), and the Scale for Emotional Blunting (SEB)) and is traditionally marked as one of the negative symptoms of schizophrenia (211). Several recent studies did not confirm any lack of experiencing pleasure in schizophrenia in real time, proposing the loss of interest in pleasurable activities to originate from the altered retrieval of memories of hedonic emotions, related to dysfunctions in working memory essential for anticipation of pleasure and interest in pleasurable activities in the future, instead of a disability to experience pleasure itself (212, 213).

In rodents, anhedonia is tested by paradigms challenging sensitivity to rewards related to motivation to gain a reward. The most frequently used paradigm to test anhedonia in rodents is the sucrose preference test examining preference towards a sweet liquid (containing sucrose or saccharin) over clear water, as healthy animals do. Another paradigm is the conditioned place preference test combining anhedonia and associative learning, whereby an attractive object (palatable food, drug, conspecific) is placed in a chosen place to test the preference of such a place. Sensitivity to a reward can be directly tested invasively, by implanting a stimulating electrode to a brain area activating the reward circuit. The animal is simultaneously trained to activate an electrode to stimulate the reward circuit by itself with mild electric pulses. The frequency and willingness of the self-stimulation are evaluated (173, 214).

In zebrafish, anhedonia is determined analogically to rodents by decreased motivation to gain a reward based on the activity in a conditioned place preference test and hypophagy (215).

Deficits in Motivation to Gain a Reward
In animal models tested by a reaction to successively increasing a reward, e.g. sucrose in a diet, healthy animals react with increased licking. In contrast, mice with genetically increased dopaminergic activity in the striatum (accordingly to schizophrenia patients) are less active in gaining an increasing reward (216). An alternative reward is a conspecific, e.g. in a social conditioned place preference test, in which a healthy individual is attracted to exploring places previously hosting a familiar conspecific and the attractiveness. In contrast, animals exposed to PCP were less attracted to places previously hosting a conspecific and were generally hypoactive (125, 217). Sociability as a reward has also been used in zebrafish models relevant for schizophrenia (218). Alternatively, in rodents the latency of immobility in a water pool can be tested in a forced swim test, a non-specific behavioral task often also used in modeling depression (219).

Comparing behavior of wild fish and fish reared in hatchery, we have shown that the motivation and ability to gain a reward in fish is highly affected by the early-life environment of the individual, indicating some options in fish neurodevelopmental modeling and pointing out the importance of the source of laboratory animals (208).

Cognitive Deficit and Aberrant Information Processing Modeled in Mammals and Zebrafish
Cognitive deficits of schizophrenic patients affect the functional capacity reflected in social life and independence of residential
functioning and working (220). The deficits are uncovered in tasks challenging sensorimotor gating (221), working memory (222), attention (223), as well as non-associative (224) and associative learning (225).

**Aberrant Information Processing: Decreased Prepulse Inhibition of the Startle Response**

Sensorimotor gating together with habituation is considered to filter out unnecessary information and disruption of these phenomena is tightly bound to attentional deficits often seen in schizophrenia. Abnormalities in sensorimotor gating are studied by the startle reaction to sensory stimulus and its inhibition (PPI). The principle of PPI consists in an inhibition of a startle reaction by previously applied milder stimulus of the same modality. Disruptions in the PPI have been observed in schizophrenia and other disorders, when the previous mild stimulus does not effectively inhibit subsequent stronger stimulus and the patient reacts with the same (or even higher) intensity than a healthy individual (224, 226, 227).

The neural coding of a startle response and its PPI is remarkably more complex in rodents than in zebrafish, suggesting that the rodent neurobiological substrate corresponds more to humans. Nevertheless, as in mammals (174), PPI in zebrafish can be disrupted in genetic, pharmacological and other models relevant for schizophrenia, indicating that PPI represents an evolutionary conserved mechanism involved in sensorimotor gating. Therefore, zebrafish model is still applicable in studying basic mechanisms of sensorimotor gating and its association with psychiatric disorders (228). Moreover, in zebrafish it is possible to study PPI and its regulation by psychotomimetic drugs from early developmental stage (the 5–6 dpf). Therefore, larval zebrafish offer an insight into effect of early life disruptions and abnormalities of neurochemistry on the developing sensorimotor gating and development of sensorimotor gating itself (172, 228, 229).

In mammals, the whole process is encoded on three levels, mediating the startle reaction, mediating the PPI and modulating the PPI. The startle response and its PPI are mediated by brain stem nuclei including the caudal pontine reticular, cochlear, pedunculopontine, laterodorsal tegmental nucleus, colliculi superior and inferior, and substantia nigra. Additionally, PPI is modulated by cortico-striato-pallido-pontine and cortico-striato-pallido-thalamic circuitry (230, 231). Rat neonatal and adolescent lesion models document the involvement of cortical and subcortical areas in sensorimotor gating. Adult rats after neonatal as well as adolescent lesions of the amygdala react more intensively to sudden sensory stimuli than control individuals. However, in the relevant study, PPI was disrupted only in neonatally lesioned individuals, indicating no direct encoding of the PPI by amygdala but associated structures, such as the basal ganglia or PFC (109).

In comparison, the missing corticospinal connection in zebrafish probably restricts the whole encoding of PPI in zebrafish to the brain stem reticulospinal network (228, 229, 232). A weaker prestimulus affects the probability of PPI and a startle response inhibited by a previous weaker stimulus consists in a slower reaction, but the C-bend magnitude, angular velocity and duration remain unaltered. In comparison, in mammals, a prestimulus also affects the magnitude of a startle response, which is probably given by more complex neural circuitry encoding the startle response in mammals than in zebrafish (228).

In accordance with the hypothesis of a highly conserved evolutionary mechanism, impairments in PPI or the startle response can be normalized by antipsychotic drugs in rodents as well as in zebrafish (200, 228).

**Learning Deficits: Non-Associative and Associative Learning**

Non-associative learning consists in habituation, dishabituation and sensitisation to a sensory stimulus, object or environment (224, 226). Disruptions in habituation in schizophrenia patients were revealed by cognitive tasks studying human habituation based on the startle reaction to a repeated sensory stimulus and comparison of reaction to novelty and familiarity and confirmed by neuroimaging studies (224).

Zebrafish and rodents display rapid (up to 15 min) short- (up to 1 h) and long-term habituation (up to 18–24 h) to repeated sensory stimuli (140, 233–235), after which the responsiveness normalizes. All forms of habituation can be disrupted by NMDA antagonists, depending on the dosage (172, 235, 236).

The classical conditioning consists in association of a sensory reaction elicited by the unconditional stimulus without any need of learning with another unrelated conditional sensory stimulus. Stimuli eliciting strong attraction (reward) or aversion (punishment) are usually used as unconditional stimuli. Impairment of associative learning in schizophrenic patients has been studied e.g. in tasks pairing familiar objects with places in a space. Distributions of the glutamatergic system resulting in decreased NMDA activity in hippocampal areas may be responsible for impairments of associative learning in schizophrenia (237).

Zebrafish and rodents are teachable in both classical and operant conditioning learning tasks. The classical conditioning of rodents can be performed in various mazes including a radial maze or a Barnes maze (236, 238), and in the case of zebrafish in a Y or plus maze (199, 239, 240).

In zebrafish and rodent models, conspecifics can be used as a reward. Social behavior in zebrafish is predominantly based on the fear of predators and foraging (11, 15). In spatial learning tasks, a tested individual is highly attracted to the arm of a maze containing a conspecific or group of conspecifics, and the individual tends to stay in the arm even after removing the conspecifics (199, 239). Palatable food can also be used as a reward for both zebrafish and rodents and, on the contrary, a mild electric shock can be used as an aversive stimulus (175). The operant conditioning of zebrafish was demonstrated by pairing a light impulse with an electric shock in a shuttle box. After learning, individuals moved to another room after exposure to a light signal to actively avoid the anticipated electric shock, similarly to rodents (199, 241).
**Deficits in Executive Functions**

Executive functions comprise a set of cognitive abilities essential for an individual’s voluntary or adaptive action including attention and working memory, both frequently impaired in schizophrenia (223, 242, 243). Attentional deficits are determined in latent inhibition (LI), continuous performance test (CPT) or 5-choice serial reaction time task (5-CSRTT), intradimensional–extradimensional (ID-ED) paradigms (244–247). Working memory can be tested in spatial tasks, in humans in the Visual Memory Span test (242). Animals are tested for acquisition, performance and capacity impairments, challenged in rodents in a delayed non-matched to place T-maze, Y-maze or radial-arm maze (248, 249). Studies on rodents shown, that impairments in executive functions has developed in pharmacological models, by directly affecting the neurotransmission (176, 247) as well as in genetic models (250) or in neurodevelopmental models, including immune activation in early developmental stage (251, 252). In zebrafish can be executive functions studied also in behavioral studies, observing decision making in Y- or T-maze or in the one-trial inhibitory avoidance test (240, 253) or in brain-imaging studies, in which can be utilized the transparency of zebrafish larvae to track the involvement of neuronal circuits in implementing individual executive functions (254).

**PREDICTIVE VALIDITY OF RODENT AND ZEBRAFISH MODELS**

Since the mid-20th century, two generations of antipsychotic drugs have been developed (4, 255). The first generation of antipsychotic drugs (typical antipsychotics, e.g. haloperidol) was designed as antagonists of dopaminergic D₂ receptors. However, a simple blockade of D₂ elicits severe side effects, including parkinsonism or hyperprolactinemia and effectively reverses only positive symptoms. The second generation of antipsychotics (atypical antipsychotics) was designated to more effectively treat negative and cognitive symptoms and the efficiency consists in a lower affinity to dopaminergic receptors and also moderate affinity to serotonergic, histaminergic, muscarinic and α-adrenergic ones, requiring of these receptors to be expressed in model organisms (4). Second generation antipsychotics are divided into four groups based on their affinity to particular receptors. Parcial dopamine D₂/D₃ agonists include aripiprazole and cariprazine, selective antagonists of D₂ and D₃ receptors include sulpiride or remoxipride, serotonein–dopamine antagonists (SDA) interact prominently with 5-HT₂A and D₂ receptors and include risperidone or sertindole, multi-acting receptor-targeted antipsychotics (MARTA) interact with a high affinity to several dopaminergic (D₂, D₃), serotonergic (5-HT₁A, 5-HT₂A, 5-HT₃A) as well as histaminergic, cholinergic and other receptors and include clozapine or olanzapine (4, 256). However, second generation antipsychotics can cause extrapyramidal side effects, agranulocytosis or hyperprolactinemia, which is currently leading to the development of the new generation of antipsychotics (4, 255).

The predictive validity of animal models of schizophrenia is based on the potential to normalize positive, negative and cognitive symptoms mirroring the profile of clinical effects in patients. In general, comparing to rodent models, the normalizing effect of antipsychotic drugs in zebrafish models is less intensively studied (Table 3). Congruently with the clinical experience in patients, the normalizing effect of antipsychotic treatment is often dose dependent and may be distinct between individual animal models.

Some of the symptoms are treatable by typical as well as atypical antipsychotic drugs with a relatively high efficiency. The typical example are positive psychotic symptoms in humans and hyperlocomotion in animal models while the efficiency of antipsychotics in other symptoms varies, such as in the impaired PPI (127, 200, 228, 249, 257–260, 278, 279). The efficiency to ameliorate the modeled symptoms also differs within the groups of partial agonists of D₂/D₃ receptors, selective D₂ and D₃ receptor antagonists, SDA and MARTA (247). Moreover, one antipsychotic drug can elicit distinct or even contradictory reactions. Examples are reactions of rodent pharmacological models to clozapine. PCP induced social withdrawal was in one case successfully reduced (261) when in other increased (262) by clozapine. Possible reasons for contradictory reactions to the same antipsychotic drug can be the dose of the drug, the length of a threatening period or the genetic background of the model organism (rats vs. mice). Distinctive traits of individual models leading to contradictory results may be usable in examining pharmacological functions of antipsychotics, but also other drugs used in psychiatry. Known impact on neurochemistry therefore lead to more specific treatment suitable for individual patient’s needs. In such way was observed a normalizing effect of originally anxiolytic drug buspirone on analogs of positive, negative and most importantly cognitive symptoms in mouse genetic model lacking dopamine D₃ receptors and afterwards in schizophrenia patients using atypical antipsychotic drugs. These observations indicate, that the buspirone works as a 5-HT₁A receptor agonist and D₃ receptor antagonist and therefore may be suitable for treating some cases of schizophrenia (289, 290).

Moreover, contradictory results in individual models contributing to the knowledge of pharmacological function of drugs show a mutually affecting relationship between development of antipsychotic drugs and animal modeling, when animal models are necessary to test pharmacological functions of newly developed antipsychotic drugs and simultaneously antipsychotics are usable to test overall validity of existing animal models and their improvement or to search and develop new animal models.

Hallucinations in schizophrenia patients are often treatable by typical antipsychotic drugs, although atypical antipsychotics are more effective. Occasionally, hallucinations persist treatment even by some MARTA antipsychotics (e.g. olanzapine, quetiapine) and, therefore, these need to be replaced by the most effective of the same group, clozapine (291, 292). In comparison, overall hyperlocomotion and erratic movements have been successfully treated by all of the mentioned antipsychotic drugs in rodents as well as in zebrafish, however not in all cases. Locomotor activity can be affected by agonists of dopamine receptors and can be induced by
agonists of both \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) receptors or more specifically by drugs binding to only one type. A non-selective antagonist apomorphine binds to both types of receptors, although with a higher affinity to \(D_2\) and selective activation of \(D_2\) can have a stronger impact on locomotor activity in some strains than selective activation of \(D_1\), which may suggest a higher impact of \(D_2\) on locomotion. However in some mutant animals selective agonists of \(D_2\) do not have an effect on locomotion (166) and interestingly, activation of \(D_1\) receptors can induce hyperlocomotion without other set of common symptoms, stereotypy, suggesting a specific tool in research as well as therapy (293). Both dopamine receptors are highly involved in schizophrenia, however, hyperlocomotion in animal models can be also triggered directly via NMDA antagonists, which was confirmed on dopamine-deficient mice lacking tyrosine hydroxylase. In this model also haloperidol did not treat PCP or MK-801 induced hyperlocomotion (263). Hence, we assume that confirmation of the analogy between psychosis and hyperlocomotion requires further investigation, including comparison of distinct strains of model organisms (166).

The stereotypical behavior has been shown to be successfully normalized in almost all rodent models by both typical and atypical antipsychotics (264, 265, 280) except for a case of non-significant finding in the rat pharmacological model treated by clozapine (266). We have not found any similar work in zebrafish model, although the stereotypical swimming has been elicited e.g. by MK-801 or by mutations in the dopaminergic system (294, 295). However, an investigation of the normalizing effects of antipsychotic drugs on the stereotypical behavior of zebrafish can deepen the insight into the neurobiological substrate of stereotypical behavior (179). A possible mechanism of stereotypies occurring in schizophrenia suggests a rat genetic model of deleted dopamine transporter, as these animals display stereotypical behavior during eating (43).

The hyperactivity of the HPA axis of schizophrenia patients is normalized by long-term regular usage of both typical and atypical antipsychotics (195). The efficiency of antipsychotics on the increased vulnerability to stress in animal models is documented more sporadically in comparison to the previously mentioned positive symptoms (200). In stressed zebrafish, risperidone reduced bottom dwelling and the blood level of cortisol, whereas in rats, risperidone, clozapine and olanzapine reduced spontaneous startle reactivity, all indicating a reduction in a stress reactivity (81, 200). Though, the reduction in stress reactivity in rats is independent on the exposure to the psychotomimetic drug MK-801 (200), therefore it does not indicate a normalization of a schizophrenia-like symptom. We have not found any study investigating the effect of antipsychotics on stressed zebrafish pretreated by psychotomimetic drugs such as MK-801.

The impaired PPI in schizophrenia patients has been effectively normalized by atypical but not typical antipsychotics (226). In comparison, in animal models, impairments in PPI have been successfullyameliorated by typical antipsychotics as well. An example is chronic treatment or high doses of haloperidol normalizing impaired PPI, as seen in rats as well.
as zebrafish models (228, 281). It indicates that impaired PPI may be at least partially linked to neurobiological mechanisms underlying positive symptoms and the relation between positive symptoms and impaired PPI has been previously discussed (296, 297). The interconnection between positive symptoms and impairments in PPI are supported by the fact, that both can be modulated via dopaminergic receptors (40, 166).

Importantly, atypical antipsychotic drugs do not always reverse all cognitive and negative symptoms in schizophrenia patients (298), as well as in some animal models (282). In example, sulpiride (as a selective antagonist of D2 and D3 receptors) did not reverse MK-801 disrupted PPI in rats (278) and risperidone (as SDA) did not normalize PCP induced impairments in learning and executive functions by subchronic administration of PCP in rats (244), when in contrary the cognitive deficits are often ameliorated by clozapine and olanzapine (MARTA antipsychotics) (200, 259, 267–272, 283–285). On the other hand, risperidone normalized some cognitive symptoms induced by MK-801 and by hippocampal lesions (249, 273), whereas sulpiride normalized cognitive symptoms induced by metamphetamine (274). Partial dopamine receptor agonist aripiprazole interacting as agonist with D2 and 5-HT1A and as antagonist with 5-HT2A receptors has been shown to normalize cognitive symptoms (275, 276) and act neuroprotectively via reduction of apoptosis in PFC, even when administered repeatedly. In contrary to that, even though haloperidol may normalize some cognitive symptoms, its repeated administration supports apoptosis in PFC (299). Some cognitive as well as negative symptoms have been also successfully treated by administration of an alkaloid dehydrocorybulbine binding with a high affinity to σ1 and 2 receptors and 5-HT, receptor (300).

Treatment of negative symptoms in schizophrenia patients with antipsychotics of both generations is generally under discussion, when even MARTA antipsychotics do not always reverse negative symptoms effectively (301). We have not found any work investigating the effect of antipsychotics on anhedonia or abulia in zebrafish models of schizophrenia, but the analysis of the efficiency to normalize impairments in social interactions by typical and atypical antipsychotics in the pharmacological model indicates zebrafish to be a promising model of negative symptoms (127).

Anhedonia and avolition in pharmacological and stress-based rodent models were normalized by atypical, but not by typical antipsychotic drugs (277, 286, 287). Haloperidol has a mildly protective effect against anhedonia when administered before exposure to a stressful situation, although as a treatment it was shown to be ineffective (288). In comparison, olanzapine not only prevents, but also reduces anhedonia in a stress-based rodent model (288). In a rat chronic stress model aripiprazole restored the motivation to gain a reward, however it did not normalize a motivation to escape from a harmful stimulus. On the molecular level aripiprazole affect intracellular dopaminergic signaling in nucleus accumbens (302).

Progressive abulia and anhedonia together result in social withdrawal, the most severe negative symptom crucially worsening the quality of the life of a schizophrenia patient (301). In both rodents and zebrafish models, haloperidol fails to normalize social withdrawal evaluated as decreased social interactions and decreased tendency to stay close to conspecifics, whereas treating with atypical antipsychotic drugs is more often successful (261, 283). Modeling the impairments in social behavior is currently restricted to observation of alterations in behavioral patterns, as modeling the verbal component, which simultaneously interconnects all, positive, negative and cognitive symptoms, is currently impossible.

In summary, the normalizing effect of antipsychotic drugs on various dimensions of schizophrenia-like symptoms has been mapped more systematically in rodents than in zebrafish. Zebrafish models are more likely used to deepen the knowledge of schizophrenia pathogenesis within the context of neurodevelopmental mechanisms and the impact on less complex behavioral markers. Moreover, zebrafish models are often used to study the toxicity of psychotomimetic as well as antipsychotic drugs (10, 303). The promising predictive validity of zebrafish models has been demonstrated in studies focusing on the normalizing effect of antipsychotic drugs on hyperlocomotion, acoustic startle response and its PPI and especially social behavior. Investigating the normalizing effect on other symptoms in zebrafish such as stereotypical behavior can bring relevant information useful in e.g. studying the mechanisms underlying evolutionary conserved behavior affected in schizophrenia, strengthening the translational validity of individual models and subsequently in developing more effective treatments.

**CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Both rodents and zebrafish play an eminent role in schizophrenia research. Similarities between rodents and human physiology and brain morphology determine the high construct validity of rodent models of schizophrenia. In comparison, differences in zebrafish neurodevelopment result in distinct arrangement of the brain requiring deeper insight to clearly postulate the homology between individual human and zebrafish areas and to determine the construct validity of zebrafish models. Currently, zebrafish are usable primarily to study the effects of genetic and early life factors on the general mechanisms underlying basic neurodevelopmental principles in the field of research drugs and development, and the effects on simple and highly evolutionary conserved behavior.

Despite the widely-known rodent and zebrafish limited behavioral repertoires giving rise to various behavioral tasks, the results of behavioral tasks are often not sufficiently specific for schizophrenia. Concretely, modeling of certain specific language-based symptoms such as verbal hallucinations, disorganized speech and delusions is completely restricted and further novel approaches are required.

To date, the predictive validity has been more systematically studied in rodent than in zebrafish models. Nevertheless, the normalizing effect of antipsychotic drugs on positive, negative and cognitive symptoms indicates a promising predictive validity of the zebrafish model, as well.
To some extent, the limitations of both models could be remediated by their combination and in combination with other research methodologies. Concretely, the complex rodent behavior can be complemented of studying the impact of genetic effects on evolutionary conserved neurobiological substrates in zebrafish and vice versa. The genetic screen of zebrafish can uncover mutations altering their development, which can be subsequently studied in rodents in more detail. Subsequently, the known rodent brain morphology and its neurochemistry and the fact that the fundamental morphology and neurochemistry is conserved in vertebrates can be used to identify homologies between zebrafish and mammalian brains. This could strengthen the construct, face and predictive validity of both model organisms.

Therefore, given the fact that the expression of schizophrenia is typically verbal (e.g. verbal acoustic hallucinations and disorganized speech in positive symptoms, or alogia in negative ones), the absence of the possibility to evaluate communication aberrancies represents the major pitfall of the current approaches to schizophrenia modeling and a search for more suitable model organisms possessing highly developed communication abilities (as seen in birds or social insects) is required.

Additionally, the research on the rodent models and the zebrafish model suggests that although the clinical manifestation of schizophrenia can be diagnosed in humans only, the neurobiological substrate for the development of schizophrenia symptoms is apparently present in lower vertebrates. Considering this fully, the fascinating possibility of studying the neurobiological substrate of schizophrenia in evolutionary and developmental contexts opens up.
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