Management of Barrett's oesophagus
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Abstract
Barrett's oesophagus, which is thought to be a consequence of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD), is a well-recognized precursor of oesophageal adenocarcinoma. Medical therapies and anti-reflux surgeries for GORD have shown conflicting results regarding the progression of Barrett's metaplasia to neoplasia. After high-grade dysplasia or intramucosal cancer is identified, it has been standard practice to conduct an oesophagectomy, despite this having an associated risk of morbidity and mortality. In recent years however, endoscopic therapy has become a viable alternative to oesophagectomy in treating early neoplasia.

Introduction and context
Barrett's oesophagus (BO) is characterized by replacing the distal oesophageal squamous mucosa with columnar epithelium containing specialized intestinal metaplasia (IM). The metaplastic epithelium accumulates genetic changes over a period of time and can progress to dysplasia and cancer. These patients carry a cancer risk that is 30 to 125 times more than that of an age-matched population [1]. Screening for BO remains controversial, but regular surveillance is a standard practice once IM is identified. Guidelines set out by The American College of Gastroenterology, as well as those of several other national gastrointestinal societies, suggest that quadrant biopsies should be conducted every 2 cm of BO during surveillance. However, with recent advanced imaging techniques such as autofluoroscopy and narrow band imaging, biopsies can be targeted with good yield to detect early neoplasia [2].

Medical management
The main aim of treatment in BO is to control reflux symptoms and prevent the development of dysplasia and cancer. Medical therapies are mainly directed toward controlling gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. H2-receptor antagonists have shown benefits in controlling symptoms of BO but have not shown regression in the length of metaplastic epithelium [3]. Similarly, proton-pump inhibitors (PPIs) are useful in treating oesophagitis associated with BO, but there is no consistent evidence to suggest significant regression of disease or benefits in preventing the development of neoplasia. Control of reflux symptoms by PPIs does not necessarily equate with complete inhibition of acid reflux and some patients can have bile reflux, which is known to influence the development and persistence of metaplastic epithelium. An increase in squamous islands is noted after PPI use, but studies show that IM is often present underneath those islands [4].

Chemoprevention measures using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and aspirin in BO have shown some benefits in preventing the development of neoplasia [5]. However, results from the recent Chemoprevention for Barrett's Esophagus Trial (CBET) were disappointing with regard to the use of celecoxib [6]. Ongoing trials like the Aspirin Esomeprazole Chemoprevention Trial (AspECT) will throw light on to the role of aspirin in preventing Barrett’s associated cancer of the oesophagus.

Surgical management
Laparoscopic anti-reflux surgeries like fundoplication have the benefit of controlling acid and bile reflux better...
than medical therapy, however, the ability to prevent the development of cancer in BO is conflicting. Some studies have shown a progression to cancer in spite of surgery and there is no consistent evidence to suggest the regression of Barrett’s mucosa following surgery [7]. Once high-grade dysplasia is identified, oesophagectomy has been the standard practice, however newer endotherapeutic techniques are now capable of treating dysplasia and early cancer, thereby avoiding major surgery.

**Endoscopic ablation techniques**

Argon plasma coagulation (APC) uses an ionized electrically conducting argon beam that delivers a high local temperature of 130°C leading to desiccation of tissue to a maximum depth of 3 to 4 mm, which is ideal to destroy the metaplastic epithelium [8]. Many studies involving APC have shown benefits of eradication of Barrett’s mucosa and early neoplasia with restoration of squamous epithelium [8,9]. Multipolar electrocoagulation using a gold probe has been shown to be equally effective, with up to 70% of patients showing a complete reversal of Barrett’s epithelium [10]. Unlike these techniques, photodynamic therapy (PDT) involves a non-thermal chemical process using a photosensitizing drug that can be given intravenously, orally or topically. The drug concentrates in the metaplastic and dysplastic epithelium and is sensitized by a bright light of appropriate wavelength to facilitate tissue necrosis. The main advantage of this technique is that larger areas can be uniformly treated with a single treatment session. PDT was found to be equally effective as APC in ablating Barrett’s mucosa but more effective in eradicating dysplasia [8,11]. Oesophageal stricture and photosensitization are reported following the above techniques. Currently, there is insufficient evidence to support the use of these techniques in non-dysplastic IM.

**Endoscopic resection techniques**

Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) is an alternative technique to ablation in which the neoplastic epithelium is resected rather than ablated and hence gives a definitive histological diagnosis and potential cure. It can also be effectively used in the treatment of intramucosal cancer [12,13]. The EMR cap technique uses a transparent cap attached to the endoscope, which allows suction of the abnormal mucosa into the cap after submucosal injection of adrenaline. This can be resected using a snare and electrocoagulation [14]. Alternatively, oesophageal variceal ligation bands can be applied to the area of interest, followed by resection with a snare after removing the banding device. This is known as the ‘suck-band-and-cut’ technique [12]. No significant difference in the diameter of resected specimens, or in complications, was observed between these two techniques. Flat Barrett’s neoplasia can also be removed using a monofilament polypectomy snare without the use of any submucosal lifting or additional devices [15]. Minor bleeding can occur in up to 10% of cases after EMR, but major complications such as perforation and strictures are rare.

**Recent advances**

*En bloc* dissection of large neoplastic lesions using a specially designed needle knife has been attempted by Japanese endoscopists, but this necessitates expertise. A multiband mucosectomy device (Duette; Cook Endoscopy, Winston-Salem, NC, USA, formerly Wilson-Cook Medical Inc), which allows resection of multiple areas using a technique like variceal banding ligation, is now commercially available. Unlike the suck-band-and-cut technique, it does not need a withdrawal of the scope between resections.

A newer technique of radiofrequency ablation (RFA) using a specially designed balloon known as the BARRX HALO system is available to ablate the neoplastic epithelium. Any visible abnormalities in the neoplastic mucosa are removed by EMR prior to RFA (Figure 1). HALO<sup>360</sup> contains multiple electrodes encircling the outer surface of the balloon and can be used for circumferential ablation. Any residual Barrett’s epithelium can be

---

**Figure 1.**

(a) High-resolution white-light image of Barrett’s oesophagus with subtle irregular mucosa at the 5 o’clock position, (b) autofluorescence imaging revealing an abnormal signal between the 2 and the 7 o’clock positions, (c) multiband mucosectomy (Duette) endoscopic mucosal resection, (d) pathology showing intramucosal adenocarcinoma without submucosal invasion, (e) HALO<sup>360</sup> radiofrequency ablation of remaining Barrett’s epithelium, and (f) post-ablation squamous regeneration.
removed by focal ablation with the HALO\textsuperscript{90} system. Initial reports suggest complete remission in dysplasia and Barrett's mucosa using this technique [16,17]. The incidence of residual dysplasia, oesophageal stenosis and buried Barrett's mucosa was reported to be less with RFA circumferential ablation compared with other ablative techniques.

**Implications for clinical practice**

Regular systematic surveillance of BO is warranted in the absence of any definitive measures available to prevent progression of disease. Endoscopic therapy for early neoplasia in BO is promising, but the ideal method is yet to be decided. EMR followed by RFA seems most promising. Patients with Barrett's neoplasia should ideally be treated in a dedicated centre with the help of a committed multidisciplinary team.
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