Study of Greenhouse Use of Biohazard Wastewater and Manure Compost
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The sewage sludge biochar has lower nitrogen content, sewage sludge is rich in nutrients, organic matter and trace elements that are beneficial for plant growth and soil fertility, but also, the sludge contains chemical pollutants, heavy metals, pesticides, pathogens and other dangerous organic compounds which can be released into the environment [2-6]. Sludge resulting from wastewater treatment can create significant environmental problems related to air emissions, soil and water resources contamination, so this waste requires proper treatment and efficient management [7]. To attenuate the negative effects of direct application of sewage sludge on agricultural land, its transformation into biochar by the pyrolysis process constitutes an efficient method of recycling this waste [8]. The pyrolysis process significantly reduces the weight and volume of the sludge, the heavy metals are immobilized and their bioavailability in soil is reduced, pathogens and unpleasant smell are removed, resulting a solid product that has a positive impact on the soil properties and also on plant growth [8-10]. The manure from cattle is considered an organic waste that is found in large quantities and is widely used on agricultural fields [11]. Cattle manure was extensively used as an organic fertilizer because it has a high content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium [12]. Composting is considered an efficient method to transform manure into a stabilized, disinfected and useful product for agriculture, and studies on this topic have increased in recent years [13]. Utilisation of compost for soil fertilization is associated with minimizing the risk of spreading pathogens, weeds and improving the quality and soil fertility, and also increase production and quality of the crops [14, 15].

Sewage sludge and manure are two of the most abundant wastes and can represent an important source of nutrients for soil and plants growth, and from this reason, this wastes can be used as fertilizer in agriculture [1]. The sewage sludge is rich in nutrients, organic matter and trace elements that are beneficial for plant growth and soil fertility, but also, the sludge contains chemical pollutants, heavy metals, pesticides, pathogens and other dangerous organic compounds which can be released into the environment [2-6]. Sludge resulting from wastewater treatment can create significant environmental problems related to air emissions, soil and water resources contamination, so this waste requires proper treatment and efficient management [7]. To attenuate the negative effects of direct application of sewage sludge on agricultural land, its transformation into biochar by the pyrolysis process constitutes an efficient method of recycling this waste [8]. The pyrolysis process significantly reduces the weight and volume of the sludge, the heavy metals are immobilized and their bioavailability in soil is reduced, pathogens and unpleasant smell are removed, resulting a solid product that has a positive impact on the soil properties and also on plant growth [8-10]. The manure from cattle is considered an organic waste that is found in large quantities and is widely used on agricultural fields [11]. Cattle manure was extensively used as an organic fertilizer because it has a high content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium [12]. Composting is considered an efficient method to transform manure into a stabilized, disinfected and useful product for agriculture, and studies on this topic have increased in recent years [13]. Utilisation of compost for soil fertilization is associated with minimizing the risk of spreading pathogens, weeds and improving the quality and soil fertility, and also increase production and quality of the crops [14, 15].

The sewage sludge biochar has lower nitrogen content and because of this is recommended to be used in mixture with other types of organic fertilizers to improves soil properties and to provides essential nutrients for plants [16]. The sewage sludge biochar has lower nitrogen content through a variety of mechanisms, including increasing the amount of nutrients, soil, pH improvement, improved nutrient efficiency and therefore nutrient uptake by plants and improved water retention capacity in the soil [17]. The use of biochar in mixtures with compost obtained from various organic wastes was proposed as a method of improving, physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil and crops productivity and can be considered a solution for remediation of degraded soils [18].

The aim of the study is to determine the influence of sewage sludge biochar, cattle manure compost, and mixtures made from this organic wastes in different concentrations, on plants growth and soil properties.

**Experimental part**

**Soil and feedstock**

The soil used in the study was collected from an uncultivated area by at least 5 years from Bacău county, Romania in July 2016. The soil was air-dried, passed through a sieve with holes of 4 mm and homogenized before to start the greenhouse experiment.

The sewage sludge biochar was provided by the NovoCarbo Company from Germany and was obtained by slow pyrolysis at 500°C and an retention time by 15 minute. Cattle manure compost was obtained after a traditional method used in Romania to produce compost. The manure was placed in a pile on the soil surface and covered with a layer of soil by approximately 15-20 cm thick and left in natural conditions for approximate 2 years. After this period, the soil layer was removed and was collected the traditional Romanian compost, named mrania which was, air-dried, then passed through a sieve with 4 mm holes and was again air-dried until it has reached constant weight.

**Greenhouse experiment**

The greenhouse experiment was carried out between august-november 2016, in Bacau, Romania, for a period of 90 days, having as a study plant the autumn barley.
The sewage sludge biochar and cattle manure compost was used in mixture with soil at two application rates by 5 t/ha and 30 t/ha. For each application rate, were used one control variant and 11 different concentrations.

The cattle manure compost (M) was used in concentrations by: 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 100% in mixture with sewage sludge biochar (B) in concentrations by: 100%, 90%, 80%, 70%, 60%, 50%, 40%, 30%, 20%, 10%, 0%. For each treatment, 6 replicate was used, each having a weight of 1.5 kg.

The treatments were named according to the rate of application and the concentrations used: C (control), M0-B100, M10-B90, M20-B80, M30-B70, M40-B60, M50-B50, M60-B40, M70-B30, M80-B20, M90-B10, M100-B0.

**Plant growth and dry biomass**

A number of 25 seeds of autumn barley were sown in each pot, and the number of germinated plants was counted and recorded daily. When plants had at least 2 leaf formats, the number of barley plants, was reduced at 2 plants in each plastic container. Determination of barley plant height and number of tillers was performed in the middle (44 days) and end of greenhouse experiment (88 days). After 90 days, barley plants were cut from the soil surface and dried at 70 °C for 12 hours, and was determined the dry biomass of the plants [19, 20].

**Analysis of soil samples**

The soil samples were taken with a metal cylinder of 15 cm height and 4 cm diameter. The samples were air-dried and stored.

To measure the pH and electrical conductivity of the soil, aqueous suspensions were made in a ratio of 1:5 soil:distilled water, which was homogenized for 2 hours at 120 rpm and filtered before the measurements began. This method for measuring pH and soil conductivity has been used similarly in other studies [21, 22].

The Hydrochloric acid titration method was used to measure soil respiration. Inside of the glass bottle was put wetted soil and another container with sodium hydroxide solution, the glass bottle was sealed hermetically and left for 24 hours at 20 °C. After incubation, the container with sodium hydroxide solution was taken and was added barium chloride solution and few drops of phenolphthalein and the colour became pink. The solution was titrated with hydrochloric acid solution until the colour becomes whitish again [23, 24].

**Results and discussions**

**Plant germination**

The barley, (Hordeum vulgare L.) is a plant that is resistant to stress conditions and is the fourth most important crop in the world, after wheat, maize and rice, mainly used in the food industry and for animal food [25-28].

From figures 1 and 2, it can be noticed that at 5 t/ha and 30 t/ha, application rate of the sewage sludge biochar, cattle manure compost and mixtures sewage sludge biochar-cattle manure compost, many plants germinated in the first 2 days, after the number of germinated plants has decreased until in day 7, when was recorded the lowest values of germinated plants.

In comparision with soil control can be observed from figure 1. that the sewage sludge biochar and cattle manure compost, didn't influenced germination of the barley plants. When the germination period ended, the germinated barley plants were removed, leaving only two barley plants in each plastic container.

**Barley height**

The positive effect of mixtures sewage sludge biochar-cattle manure compost on barley plant growth, is very noticeable at the application rate of 30 t/ha, where the barley plant height has reached the highest values especially in treatments with a high concentration of cattle manure compost.

The height of the barley plants measured at 5 t/ha application rate of mixtures sewage sludge biochar-cattle manure compost, did not vary greatly compared to the barley plants height measured in the control variant, only in treatments with 100% cattle manure compost - 0% sewage sludge biochar (M100-B0) and 90% cattle manure compost - 10% sewage sludge biochar (M100-B0), a significant increase in barley plant height is observed both after 44 days and 88 days after sowing (figs. 3 and 4).
From figure 3, can be observed that treatments with a high concentration of sewage sludge biochar in mixture with a low concentration of cattle manure compost, had a lower effect on the growth of plants at 5 t/ha and also at 30 t/ha application rate in comparison with plants height obtained in treatments with a small concentration of sewage sludge biochar in mixture with a high concentration of cattle manure compost.

Results obtained after utilisation of manure compost and sewage sludge biochar on plant growth have been reported in other studies. For example, the growth of spinach plants has been improved by the application of compost from cattle manure due to the high content of organic matter and nutrients [29].

In another study, it has been observed that after application of the sewage sludge biochar, increased the height of the tomato plants, the dry biomass and the number of fruits obtained [20]. Compared to the results obtained in the control variant, maize growing and biomass production was improved in treatments where sewage sludge biochar was used [16].

Tillers number

The number of tillers determined in the middle and at the end of the greenhouse experiment increased in all mixtures sewage sludge biochar- cattle manure compost, used in different concentrations at the two application rates.

Figure 5 shows that the number of barley tillers at 5 t/ha and at 30 t/ha application rate, increased in all the experimental treatments, especially at the application rate of 30 t/ha of sewage sludge biochar-cattle manure compost, where the number of barley tillers was significantly higher.

At the end of the greenhouse experiment, it appears from figure 6, that the number of tillers almost doubled compared to their number determined in the middle period of the experiment. The effects of the cattle manure compost in comparison with sewage sludge biochar on barley growth, was more significant because the growth parameters of the plants measured in this experiment, recorded high value in treatments with cattle manure compost used in big concentration.

In a study, where cattle manure compost was used, the results showed that onion plant height, the number of leaf and the diameter of the bulb onion, generally showed increasing values depending on the increase of application rates [30].

The height of the rice plants, the number of tillers, the amount of rice grains increased especially when the application rate of the sewage sludge biochar increased [31]. Growth parameters of French mallows showed significant differences due to the application of sewage sludge biochar compared to the control variant [32].

Dry biomass of autumn barley plants

At the end of the greenhouse experiment, it was observed that the use of cattle manure compost, the sewage sludge biochar and mixtures of these organic wastes in different concentrations, had a positive effect on the amount of dry biomass obtained.

Figure 7 shows the results from the barley plant dry weight, and it is noted that at the 5 t/ha application rate, was a slight increase of the dry weight of the barley plants compared to control variant, the most significant values were determined in mixtures with a high concentration of cattle manure compost in mixture with a low concentration of sewage sludge biochar.

![Fig. 6. Tillers number at 88 days after sowing](image)

![Fig. 7. The dry biomass of barley plants](image)
Soil pH

At 5 t/ha application rate of sewage sludge biochar-cattle manure compost, the soil pH did not increase significantly in treatments with a high concentration of sewage sludge biochar, but a slight increase in pH was observed, in mixtures with 100% cattle manure compost-0% sewage sludge biochar (M100-B0) and 90% cattle manure compost-10% sewage sludge biochar (M90-B10), as shown in figure 8.

The sewage sludge biochar produced at 500°C, compared to the control variants, increased the pH of the soil for both application rates, but had a lower effect than cattle manure compost. An increase of the pH of the soil after application of the sewage sludge biochar is due to the alkaline pH of the biochar, which varies according to the production temperature and the type of raw material [41]. And another authors [31], have found that has been an increase in soil pH depending on the increase in the application rates of sewage sludge biochar.

Following the use of cattle manure compost, was an increase in soil pH and electric conductivity in the study conducted by [32]. Also, was a significant increase in soil pH due to the application of cattle manure compared to the control variant [33].

Electrical conductivity of the soil

Compared with the control variant, mixtures sewage sludge biochar-cattle manure compost increased the electrical conductivity of the soil. It can be observed from figure 9, the difference between results obtained at application rates of 5 t/ha and 30 t/ha, the maximum value of the soil conductivity were registered at 30 t/ha application rate.

In the article [42], in which several types of biochar were used, including sewage sludge biochar, it was observed that the pH and electrical conductivity of the soil increased with increasing application rates. Also other studies reported an increase in pH and soil electrical conductivity after utilisation of sewage sludge biochar [43, 44].

As a result of measurements of soil samples, it has been observed that application of cattle manure compost improved pH and electric conductivity of the soil [45]. From the results presented in another study, where was used compost from cattle manure in mixture with inorganic fertilizer, and inorganic fertilizer, it appears that the pH and the electrical conductivity of the soil increased significantly in the treatments with cattle manure compost [40]. The increase of the electrical conductivity of the soil can be attributed to a large amount of soluble cations in the compost and their release into the soil [34].

Soil respiration

Measurement of soil respiration is important for the assessment of the decomposition of organic matter, biomass and the microorganisms activity in soil [46]. Are several factors that influence soil respiration, such as: nature and composition of organic fertilizer, amount added in soil and nutrient content [47].

The effect of mixtures sewage sludge biochar-cattle manure compost at 5 t/ha application rate on soil respiration was almost insignificant for all experimental treatments. Only at 30 t/ha application rate, was observed an increase in soil respiration due to the application of this two organic wastes.

From figure 10, it is observed that mixtures with a high concentration of sewage sludge biochar, had a lower effect on soil respiration compared to mixtures with a high concentration of cattle manure compost.

Conclusions

The growth of barley plants was positively influenced by the sewage sludge biochar, cattle manure compost and by the mixtures sewage sludge biochar - cattle manure compost, made in different concentration at 5 t/ha and 30 t/ha application rate. Barley plants recorded the most significant values at 30 t/ha application rate, especially in
treatments with a high concentration of cattle manure compost.

After application of sewage sludge biochar-cattle manure compost mixtures at 30 t/ha application rate, a significant increase in soil pH, conductivity and soil respiration was observed, especially in treatments with high concentration of cattle manure compost in mixture with a low concentration of sewage sludge biochar. A lower effect on soil pH, conductivity and soil respiration was recorded at application rate of 5 t/ha, but compared with the control variant it was observed that the treatments with sewage sludge biochar in mixture with cattle manure compost improved soil properties also at this application rate.
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