Background

Tissue and functional complexity of the intestine

The small and large intestines have a staggering number of physiological functions, including but not limited to digestion, absorption, endocrine hormone-mediated release of digestive enzymes, peristalsis, satiety and insulin secretion, antigen presentation, control of microbial growth and excretion. To support these physiological functions, the small and large intestines have a diverse set of cell types and a unique tissue architecture (Figure 1a). The intestine is comprised of an inner lumen that is entirely enclosed by a complex epithelium folded into villi aimed at increasing the absorptive surface area. Next to the epithelium is a muscularis mucosa and submucosa containing a layer of enteric nerves termed the submucosal plexus, which runs the entire length of the small and large intestines. The outer layer of enteric nerves, the myenteric plexus, lies between a layer of circular and longitudinal smooth muscle. In addition, blood vessels are found throughout the layers of the intestine and each villus contains a capillary bed that is involved in uptake of absorbed nutrients and drugs.

There is significant cellular diversity in each layer of the gut. The epithelium is composed of four differentiated cell types (Figure 1b). Most epithelial cells are absorptive enterocytes that transport macromolecules, ions and water. The three remaining cell types are secretory in nature: goblet cells secrete mucins into the lumen that form a protective barrier; Paneth cells secrete antimicrobial peptides such as lysozyme; and enteroendocrine cells secrete hormones that regulate insulin secretion, satiety, motility, and release of digestive enzymes from the gall bladder and pancreas, among other things. The epithelium is highly regenerative and...
turns over approximately every 7 days. The renewal of the epithelium is driven by intestinal stem cells that reside in the crypts of Lieberkühn at the base of the villi. The submucosal layers contain smooth muscle myocytes, fibroblasts, subepithelial myofibroblasts, as well as enteric ganglia. There is a rudimentary understanding of how the complex architecture and cellular diversity of the intestine arise during embryonic development, which has helped efforts towards directing differentiation of human induced and embryonic pluripotent stem cells, collectively called pluripotent stem cells (PSCs), into the intestine. However, there is still much to be learned.

**Intestinal development**

Development of the intestine can be broadly subdivided into several steps including endoderm formation, midgut and hindgut specification, gut tube morphogenesis, assembly of mesenchyme, colonization by neural crest cells, crypt–villus morphogenesis and cytodifferentiation [1] (Figure 2a). Several important signaling pathways have been identified as being required for directing these early stages of intestinal development in a broad range of vertebrate species, including birds, frogs and mice [2-4]. For example, Wnt and fibroblast growth factor signaling pathways direct endoderm into a midgut and hindgut
fate, and this process is required for subsequent intestinal development. Moreover, the synergistic activity of both pathways is involved in the initiation of gut tube morphogenesis and formation of the gut mesenchyme [5]. Inhibition of either of these pathways results in abnormal intestinal morphogenesis and the loss of expression of posterior/hindgut markers, including Cdx genes. Once formed, the simple cuboidal epithelium of the hindgut and the surrounding mesenchyme undergo a series of reciprocal signaling events resulting in formation of a polarized columnar epithelium containing villi, a proliferative progenitor zone, and distinct intestinal lineages [5,6]. Along with development of the epithelium, there is a coordinated development of gut mesenchyme into the submucosal and smooth muscle layers. As discussed below, vagal neural crest cells that give rise to the enteric nervous system (ENS) migrate ventrally, undergo extensive proliferation, and incorporate into the developing gut shortly after gut tube formation [7,8] (Figure 2a).

**Future needs and research directions**

**Building a better system: engineering additional complexity into human intestinal organoids**

Low-throughput, limited genetic diversity, and species differences are recognized limitations for the use of animal models to study gastrointestinal (GI) disease. Recent advances in the understanding of human intestinal stem cells now allows for the derivation of human intestinal epithelial enteroids from patient biopsies [9]. Human intestinal organoids had a well-formed brush border (villin) and microvilli similar to the adult mouse intestine. Figure adapted from Spence and colleagues [5].
Generation of more biologically complex human intestinal tissue has been accomplished through the directed differentiation of human PSCs (Figure 2b) [5,11]. The process is initiated using the Nodal-related protein activin, which directly differentiates human PSCs into definitive endoderm [12,13]. Synergistic activity of the fibroblast growth factor and Wnt signaling pathways was then used to promote a posterior gut tube fate [2-4], to induce gut tube morphogenesis, and to promote growth of the intestinal mesenchyme. The resulting gut tube spheroids were strikingly similar to the gut tube of an embryonic day 9 mouse embryo, consisting of a CDX2-expressing cuboidal epithelium surrounded by a CDX2-expressing mesenchyme. Growth of gut tube spheroids in three-dimensional conditions that favor intestinal growth [14] resulted in the efficient production of human intestinal organoids (HIOs) that have both secretory and absorptive function. Moreover, the cellular diversity and architecture was strikingly similar to that of the developing gut. The epithelium contained crypt and villus-like structures as well as all of the cell types normally found in the gut. The mesenchyme underwent differentiation into stratified layers. Some layers expressed smooth muscle markers whereas others expressed markers of fibroblasts and subepithelial myofibroblasts, both found in the submucosal layer. Furthermore, the HIOs generated via these methods were capable of basic intestinal function, including absorption of amino acids and secretion of mucus, and were able to be passaged in vitro for over 1 year, resulting in 50,000-fold expansion.

Despite the significant level of complexity, PSC-derived HIOs lack both an enteric nervous system and a vascular system. This is probably due to the absence of vascular and ENS precursors, specifically neural crest stem cells. PSCs can be directed to differentiate into vascular and neural crest stem cells [15-19], raising the intriguing possibility that additional tissue complexity can be engineered into HIOs by addition of neural and/or vascular precursors in a contrived manner to recapitulate the normal development of the intestinal vasculature and ENS.

Knowledge gaps

The ENS is a division of the autonomic nervous system and consists of a nerve plexus that innervates the GI system allowing for peristaltic contractions. Several GI disorders, such as Hirschsprung’s disease, are due to an absence or paucity of enteric nerves [20,21]. The ENS is derived from a specialized cell population called the neural crest. The neural crest is a multipotent population of cells that derive from the dorsal neural tube and give rise to a myriad of cell types depending on their anterior–posterior position in the embryo [22]. Anterior/cranial neural crest cells give rise to neurons, bone, and cartilage of the head, whereas posterior/trunk neural crest cells give rise to components of the peripheral nervous system including the ENS. Embryonic studies of cranial neural crest stem cell (NCSC) development have paved the way for recent protocols to direct PSC differentiation into anterior/cranial NCSCs [15,23]. However, there are no published methods to direct the differentiation of PSCs into posterior/trunk NCSCs. This lack may be due to the fact that trunk neural crest development, in particular formation of the ENS, is less understood than development of cranial NCSC-derived structures.

There are several reported methods to generate anterior/cranial NCSCs. In one case, ectoderm and neural ectoderm are derived from human ESCs by cellular aggregation or growth in media devoid of signaling molecules that might favor endoderm and mesoderm formation. These conditions result in the formation of neural progenitor cells capable of being further directed into various neural derivatives. For example, exposing neural progenitor cells to factors, such as bone morphogenetic protein, that promote dorsal neural tube fate promotes the formation of cells that have NCSC properties and express NCSC markers, such as p75 [23]. In several reports, human ESC-derived NCSCs were shown to be multipotent and competent to differentiate into an array of NCSC-derived tissues in vitro and in vivo [15,23,24]. A similar approach should be possible to generate more posterior/trunk NCSCs, possibly by manipulating anterior–posterior patterning pathways such as retinoic acid or Wnt [25]. Subsequently, it should be possible to integrate human trunk NCSCs into developing intestinal organoids, at a time that approximates normal ENS formation, in attempts to generate HIOs with enteric nerves.

Given our current knowledge of the HIO system, we have identified two major avenues for ongoing research. First, we will need to generate HIOs with more tissue/biological complexity and functionality. For example, it should be possible to integrate enteric nerves and a capillary plexus into the HIO system. A more functional HIO would be able to transport luminal factors, such as drugs, across the epithelium and deliver them to an integrated capillary network. The impact of drugs on the ENS and peristalsis could also be evaluated. One approach to building a more complex HIO might be to incorporate vascular precursors and neural crest cells into developing HIOs. Second, as more systems-based approaches are developed, it would be advantageous to integrate HIOs into a microfluidics platform that would allow for precise administration of drugs and other compounds, as well as monitoring of drug absorption and drug impact on intestinal function. Moreover, with an integrated network of microorgan systems on a device,
it should be possible to measure the impact of intestinal function on drug bioavailability and the effects on other organ systems. The ability to perform this type of testing on a high-throughput scale, with PSC lines from a broad genetic background, could significantly improve our predictions of drug toxicity and efficacy in clinical trials.
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