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Anthropoid primate–specific retroviral element THE1B controls expression of CRH in placenta and alters gestation length
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Abstract

Pregnancy and parturition are intricately regulated to ensure successful reproductive outcomes. However, the factors that control gestational length in humans and other anthropoid primates remain poorly defined. Here, we show the endogenous retroviral long terminal repeat retroviral-like human element 1B (THE1B) selectively controls placental expression of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) that, in turn, influences gestational length and birth timing. Placental expression of CRH and subsequently prolonged gestational length were found in two independent strains of transgenic mice carrying a 180-kb human bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) DNA that contained the full length of CRH and extended flanking regions, including THE1B. Restricted deletion of THE1B silenced placental CRH expression and normalized birth timing in these transgenic lines. Furthermore, we revealed an interaction at the 5’ insertion site of THE1B with distal-less homeobox 3 (DLX3), a transcription factor expressed in placenta. Together, these findings suggest that retroviral insertion of THE1B into the anthropoid primate genome may have initiated expression of CRH in placental syncytiotrophoblasts via DLX3 and that this placental CRH is sufficient to alter the timing of birth.

Author summary

The proper timing of delivery is critical during pregnancy; if too early or too late, the baby will be at risk of serious health problems and even death. Corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) is a protein that can be detected in maternal blood, and its concentration correlates with the timing of birth. In humans and other anthropoid primates, CRH is
Introduction

The complex process of completing gestation and initiating parturition must be tightly controlled to prevent the dangerous consequences of preterm or postterm birth to the mother and offspring. In humans, corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) production by the placenta increases exponentially with gestational age, predicting the onset of parturition [1]. This exponential increase in placental CRH production has been observed earlier in the pregnancy when that pregnancy was destined to end in preterm birth and later in the pregnancy for postterm birth, indicating that placental CRH may play a role in the timing and onset of labor [1–4].

The peptide hormone CRH has a well-characterized role in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis that is highly conserved in vertebrates [5]. However, its expression in the placenta is observed only in anthropoid primate species, consistent with evolutionary changes in placental gene regulation [6–14]. One major driver of evolutionary diversity in gene regulation is the class of mobile DNA sequences known as transposable elements [15, 16]. Recent advances in genome sequencing, high-throughput screening for active chromatin, and computational resources facilitating comparative genomic analysis have made it possible to identify lineage-specific DNA sequences with signs of regulatory activity, many of which are derived from transposable elements [17–21].

In a recent study, species-specific enhancers active in early placental development were found to be highly enriched for long terminal repeats (LTRs), a subset of transposable elements derived from retroviruses [22]. LTR regions of retroviruses utilize available host factors to recruit transcription machinery and produce virus, and isolated LTRs have been reported to function as promoters or enhancers of the host’s genes [23–28]. To understand whether similar co-option of LTRs into the host’s function has occurred in placental regulation of CRH expression, we examined the vicinity of the CRH gene for elements that are conserved in anthropoid primates but not present in species without placental CRH expression. We identified a retroviral LTR element of the transposon-like human element 1B (THE1B) family, which invaded the anthropoid primate genome approximately 50 million years ago [29]. We hypothesized that the introduction of this retroviral LTR element into the genome of anthropoid primates is a mechanism of controlling birth timing in humans and other anthropoid primates.
their length of gestation, suggesting that placental CRH expression is a potential mechanism of controlling the timing of birth that is unique to anthropoid primates.

**Results**

**CRH expression and retroviral element THE1B presence are concordant in anthropoid primate placenta**

Because CRH is expressed in placenta of anthropoid primate species only, we hypothesized that any potential regulatory DNA sequence would be conserved only in the anthropoid primate lineage. We compared the genomes of 12 anthropoid primates, 3 prosimians, and 3 non-primate mammals in the region of CRH and identified an endogenous retroviral LTR of the THE1B type that correlated with placental CRH expression (Fig 1A). THE1B LTR elements have been shown to activate transcription under certain conditions [31, 32]. To determine activity of this THE1B element in anthropoid primate placenta, we utilized a PCR screening system to amplify transcripts containing both THE1B and the coding exon of CRH from placental tissue. Both human and rhesus macaque placentas expressed a detectable fusion transcript with an identical splice junction connecting sequence downstream of THE1B to noncoding exon 1 of CRH (Fig 1B). This novel splice junction is conserved in the primate species included in Fig 1A, suggesting a possible role for THE1B as a regulatory element of CRH in the placenta (S1 Table). The THE1B-CRH fusion transcript was detectable by PCR screening but not detectable by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) from term human placenta, indicating low abundance relative to total CRH expression (Fig 1B). To better detect potential THE1B-CRH fusion transcripts, we utilized a capture sequencing approach in which we first enriched for cDNAs containing THE1B elements using a library of biotinylated complementary RNA probes that could be pulled down with streptavidin beads prior to deep sequencing. Despite obtaining greater than 1,000-fold enrichment of THE1B-containing transcripts, we remained unable to detect any chimeric reads linking THE1B and CRH. In contrast, we found abundant reads transcribed in the antisense direction through the THE1B element (Fig 1B).

Because these reads are unidirectional and within 2 kb of the CRH promoter region, it is likely that these reads were initiated from a bidirectional CRH promoter. In sum, the comparative genomics and RNA-seq data led us to hypothesize that the anthropoid-specific THE1B element serves as an enhancer, not an alternative promoter, of the CRH gene.

**THE1B LTRs may form a coordinated regulatory network in anthropoid primate placenta**

Previous studies have implicated endogenous retroviral LTR elements as promoters and enhancers that drive placenta-specific gene expression [22, 33–38]. To examine the effect of THE1B LTRs on placental expression of genes, including CRH, we first defined a set of genes that had a THE1B element within 10 kb of the transcription start site. This set of THE1B-associated genes contained 2,311 gene–THE1B pairings, encompassing about 10% of THE1B elements in the human genome. We then compared the expression pattern of THE1B-associated genes across different tissues using a relative coexpression analysis as described by Pavlicev and colleagues [33]. Briefly, the analysis was run on all expressed genes and tested whether the THE1B-associated genes were equally correlated in their expression between a focal tissue and each of 17 other tissues than expected for a random size-matched subset of THE1B-unassociated genes. Consistently lower correlations in all tests involving a tissue of interest imply that some feature of THE1B-associated genes confers the particular expression status in that particular tissue, and in fact, the relative coexpression of THE1B-associated genes in tests involving
Fig 1. THE1B is a candidate enhancer for placenta-specific regulation of CRH and other genes. (A) Comparative genomic alignment of 16 primates and 3 nonprimate mammals (UCSC Genome Browser, hg38, accessed June 14, 2017). LTR element THE1B (red bar) is present in anthropoid primates (red lines of phylogenetic tree) and absent in prosimians and nonprimate mammals. (B) Alignment of PCR-amplified transcript (Sequenced Transcript) and reads from human placental transcriptome precapture (RNA-seq) and postcapture (Capture-seq) for THE1B-containing transcripts. Sequenced fusion transcript found in human and rhesus macaque joins THE1B to CRH.
placenta was significantly lower than for a random gene set (Fig 1C). An association between a THE1D element and placental expression of a single gene has been reported [39]; however, Pavlicev and colleagues found no change in relative coexpression of genes associated with other THE1 family members in placenta [33].

In a second analysis, we quantified gene expression from RNA-seq of human and mouse tissues to identify genes with human-specific, placenta-enriched gene expression. We then examined whether these differentially expressed genes were enriched for THE1B elements within 20 kb of their promoters relative to a control set of genes. Indeed, we found a significant association of THE1B elements with human placenta–enriched genes (Fig 1D). Taken together, these data show that genomic presence of THE1B is associated with differential expression of nearby genes in anthropoid primate placenta.

Enrichment of histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27ac) or histone H3 lysine 4 monomethylation (H3K4me1), histone modifications associated with classical enhancers, was not detected at the THE1B upstream of CRH in chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) analyses performed on human placental tissue; however, other genomic THE1B elements were associated with these modifications (S1 Fig).

THE1B-CRH transgenic mice express human CRH in placenta

In order to study the regulation of CRH in placenta, we created a novel mouse model by incorporating a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) with the human CRH gene and approximately 180 kb of flanking sequence, including the THE1B LTR, into the genome of FVB/N mice (Fig 2A). Random integration of this BAC resulted in two founder animals, denoted Tg(BAC1) and Tg(BAC2), which were bred independently to C57BL/6 mice and maintained as separate lines. We then tested for the presence of human CRH in adult tissues and determined that expression of CRH in Tg(BAC1)/+ and Tg(BAC2)/+ animals is remarkably specific to the hypothalamus and placenta at embryonic day 18.5 (E18.5) (Fig 2B). No human CRH was detected in any tissues of nontransgenic littermates.

Placental expression of human CRH delays parturition in transgenic mice

As expression of CRH in human placenta is known to correlate with timing of parturition [1], we examined the timing of birth in Tg(BAC1) and Tg(BAC2) mouse lines. When compared with strain-matched control litters, Tg(BAC1)/Tg(BAC1) litters were born an average of 14.9 hours later (one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc, \( P < 0.0001 \); Fig 2C), and Tg(BAC2)/Tg(BAC2) litters were born an average of 9.3 hours later (one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc, \( P = 0.0006 \); Fig 2C), suggesting that placental expression of human CRH is sufficient to alter gestation length in mice. This effect of CRH appears to be independent of progesterone withdrawal, as no differences were detected in maternal serum progesterone or in uterine expression of the contractile-associated proteins oxytocin receptor (Oxtr), connexin-43 (Gja1), etc.
Fig 2. BAC transgenic mice exhibit placental CRH expression and delayed parturition, which are eliminated by THE1B deletion. (A) Schematic of human BAC clone RP11-366K18 (gray) with CRH shown to scale (red). (B) Expression of human CRH measured by qPCR in adult tissues and placenta. (n = 2–4 per group, *P < 0.0001 by two-way ANOVA with tissue type as source of variation. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.) (C) Gestation length of litters homozygous for Tg(BAC1), homozygous for Tg(BAC2), or wild-type control. BAC transgenic litters have a significantly longer gestation length than wild-type control litters. (n = 28 +/-, n = 9 Tg(BAC1)/Tg(BAC1), *P < 0.0001 by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Error bars indicate standard deviation.) (D) Gestation length of litters hemizygous for Tg(BAC1) or wild-type control. Litters receiving Tg(BAC1) only from the father are born significantly later than wild-type control litters. (n = 20 +/-, n = 17 Tg(BAC1)/+, *P = 0.0003 by unpaired two-tailed t-test. Error bars indicate the standard deviation.) (E) Schematic of 5-kb region containing CRH (gray) and THE1B (red). Blue arrows represent PAM sequences targeted by CRISPR/Cas9. (F) Sequenced deletions of the indicated transgenic founder animals compared to the Tg(BAC1) parent line. Red text, THE1B element. Blue text, PAM sequences indicated in (A). (G) Placental expression of human CRH at E18.5 measured by qPCR. (n = 3 Tg(BAC1)/+, n = 6 Tg(CR1)/+, *P = 0.0006 by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.) (H) Hypothalamic expression of human CRH measured by qPCR. (n = 3 Tg(BAC1)/+, n = 4 Tg(CR1)/+, *P = 0.0033 by one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.) (I) Gestation length of litters homozygous for Tg(CR1), homozygous for Tg(CR2), or wild-type control. The gestation length of THE1B-deleted transgenic litters is not significantly different from wild-type control litters. (n = 39 +/-, n = 15 Tg(CR1)/Tg(CR1), n = 7 Tg(CR2)/Tg(CR2), *P = 0.0568 by one-way ANOVA. Error bars indicate standard deviation.) Numerical data for B, C, D, G, and I can be found in S1 Data. BAC, bacterial artificial chromosome; CRISPR/Cas9, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat/CRISPR-associated 9; E18.5, embryonic day 18.5; HT, hypothalamus; ND, not detected; ns, not significant; PAM, protospacer adjacent motif; qPCR, quantitative PCR; skelM, skeletal muscle; THE1B, transposon-like human element 1B.
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caveolin-1 (Cav1), cyclooxygenase 1 (COX-1; Ptgs1), or cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2; Ptgs2) at E18.5 (S2 Fig). A significant decrease in prostaglandin F2α was noted in uterine tissue of Tg(BAC1)/Tg(BAC1) litters at E18.5 (S2 Fig).

To eliminate the possibility that this alteration of gestation length was a result of hypothalamic human CRH expression, we repeated these experiments with C57BL/6 (nontransgenic) mothers. Wild-type mice delivering Tg(BAC1)/+ litters completed gestation an average of 11.0 hours later than strain-matched control litters (unpaired two-tailed t-test, \( P = 0.0003 \); Fig 2D), associating the delayed parturition phenotype with the genotype of the fetal-derived placenta.

**Deletion of THE1B by CRISPR/Cas9 eliminates placental CRH expression**

To determine if retroviral LTR THE1B is necessary for placental CRH expression, we used the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat/CRISPR-associated 9 (CRISPR/Cas9) system to specifically delete THE1B from the genome of Tg(BAC1) mice. We utilized two guide RNAs targeting the 5′ end of THE1B and the 3′ end immediately flanking the 366-bp THE1B sequence such that the THE1B element would be deleted by nonhomologous end joining of the surrounding sequence (Fig 2E). Microinjection of guide RNAs and Cas9 mRNA into the cytoplasm of the zygotes generated by Tg(BAC1)/+ crosses resulted in two founder animals with deletions greater than 300 bp. Sanger sequencing of the deletions revealed that the larger deletion, termed Tg(CR1), completely lacked the THE1B element (Fig 2F). The other deletion, termed Tg(CR2), contained 12 bp at the 5′ end of THE1B that were deleted in Tg(CR1). This 12-bp sequence is comprised of 2 bp of THE1B sequence and 10 bp immediately upstream of the THE1B element (Fig 2F).

Next, we measured the mRNA expression of human CRH in placenta of Tg(CR1)/+ and Tg(CR2)/+ animals at E18.5. Complete deletion of THE1B in the Tg(CR1) line abolished placental expression of human CRH (one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc, \( P = 0.0006 \) compared to Tg(BAC1); Fig 2G). The Tg(CR2) line retains 2 bp of the 5′ end of THE1B and subsequently expressed about 20% human CRH relative to the Tg(BAC1) parent line (one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc, \( P = 0.0035 \); Fig 2G).

We next examined the effect of THE1B deletion on the other primary site of CRH expression, the hypothalamus. As expected, deletion of THE1B did not abolish hypothalamic expression of human CRH in either transgenic line. Complete deletion of THE1B in the Tg(CR1) line appeared to increase expression of human CRH in the hypothalamus (one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc, \( P = 0.0033 \) compared to Tg(BAC1), \( P = 0.0013 \) compared to Tg(CR2); Fig 2H). We detected compensatory down-regulation of mouse Crh in hypothalamus, resulting in no difference in serum corticosterone relative to nontransgenic mice (S3 Fig). We also examined the effect of THE1B deletion on the other protein-coding gene contained on the BAC, TRIM55, which is a ubiquitin E3-ligase expressed in striated muscle [40, 41]. TRIM55 expression was detectable by RNA-seq in Tg(BAC1) placenta but not in Tg(CR1) placenta at E18.5, demonstrating that THE1B elements may control expression of multiple genes in a region (S4 Fig).

**Deletion of THE1B by CRISPR/Cas9 restores wild-type gestation length**

To further interrogate the role of placental CRH in delayed onset of parturition, we examined the gestation length of Tg(CR1) and Tg(CR2) animals. The gestation length of litters homozygous for either Tg(CR1) or Tg(CR2) was not significantly different from strain-matched control litters (one-way ANOVA, \( P = 0.0568 \); Fig 2I), demonstrating that complete deletion of THE1B and subsequent lack of placental CRH rescued the extended-gestation phenotype of the Tg(BAC1) parent line. Tg(CR2)/Tg(CR2) litters had unusual variability in their gestation.
length, which could be due to the low level of expression of CRH from the residual 5′ insertion site of THE1B (Fig 2G). Despite this variability, Tg(CR2)/+ litters showed no differences in birth timing relative to control litters (S5 Fig).

TF DLX3 interacts with THE1B 5′ insertion site

Transposable elements, especially those arising from retroviral LTRs, can activate gene expression by recruiting binding of available TFs [18, 22]. To determine the pool of TFs present in anthropoid primate placenta when CRH is known to be highly expressed, we analyzed placental transcriptomes generated by RNA-seq from two human and two rhesus macaque placenta near term. We identified a set of 90 TFs expressed at 10 transcripts per million (TPM) or greater in all samples, which we defined as moderately expressed TFs, and proceeded to examine potential binding of these TFs to the THE1B sequence with the prediction tool CisBP [42]. Two families of TFs known to contribute to placental trophoblast differentiation, GATA [43] and DLX [44], were predicted by CisBP to interact with the 5′ end of the THE1B sequence that was retained in Tg(CR2) but deleted in Tg(CR1). When tested by gel shift assay, DLX3, but not GATA2, was able to bind the 5′ end of THE1B (Fig 3A). This DLX3 binding site is located at the junction of the THE1B element and the surrounding DNA (Fig 3B).

We then performed ChIP with anti-DLX3 antibody in human term placental tissue and quantified by real-time PCR to determine the occupancy of this DLX3 binding site in vivo. DLX3 was significantly associated with the 5′ end of THE1B and with a previously described placental regulatory element [45], when compared to a negative control region (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc, \( P < 0.0001 \) for THE1B and \( P = 0.0184 \) for human glycoprotein hormone α-subunit junctional regulatory element [JRE] relative to JRE distal; Fig 3C).

DLX3 binding to THE1B may drive CRH expression in syncytiotrophoblasts

In human placental tissue, the syncytiotium is the site of CRH expression and secretion into maternal and fetal circulations [48, 49]. We demonstrated localization of human CRH to the labyrinth of the Tg(BAC1)/+ mouse placenta, which contains mouse syncytiotrophoblasts (Fig 3D). We also confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) that expression of human CRH was absent in the junctional zone (Fig 3E). Despite differences in placental morphology between human and mouse, DLX3 is produced in both human [50] and mouse [47] syncytiotrophoblasts. In Tg(BAC1)/+ placenta, 80%–99% of total Dlx3 expression was localized to the labyrinth tissue (\( n = 5 \) Tg[BA+C]/+, \( P = 0.0003 \) by paired two-tailed \( t \)-test; Fig 3E).

Discussion

Here, we present a case of anthropoid primate–specific placental gene expression induced by retroviral LTR insertion into the genome. Our study provides evidence that an LTR element found in a separate evolutionary lineage is capable of operating as a novel placental enhancer in another species. Placental tissue is globally hypomethylated and remarkably hospitable to endogenous retroviral elements [51, 52]. Endogenous retroviral LTRs have been co-opted for placenta-specific expression of hormones [35], endothelial factors [37, 53], and immune receptors [39]. Several of the THE1B-associated genes in our study that are expressed at significantly higher levels in human relative to mouse placenta have been previously implicated in human placental function, including the regulation of birth timing (CGA [54], CRH [1]) and adverse pregnancy outcomes like preeclampsia (ADAM12, PGF [55], ZFAT [56], TUSC3 [57]) and recurrent miscarriage (ADM [58]). These findings suggest that THE1B elements likely
Fig 3. THE1B 5′ insertion site creates novel binding site for transcription factor DLX3. (A) Top left, sequence of 5′ insertion site of THE1B near CRH, predicted DLX3 binding site shown in red. Lower left, electrophoretic mobility shift assay demonstrating binding of DLX3-DDK to positive control (JRE probe) and the 5′ insertion site of THE1B (THE1B 5′ probe). The red arrow denotes the band formed by DLX3-DDK binding to labeled DNA probe; this binding is disrupted with the addition of anti-DDK but not isotype control mouse IgG. Top right, same sequence as left, predicted GATA2 binding site shown in red. Lower right, GATA2-DDK fails to bind to the 5′ insertion site of THE1B (THE1B 5′ probe) while binding positive control (TCR probe).
influence the expression of a network of genes in human placenta and that this network of THE1B-associated genes may contribute to proper placental function.

Despite several lines of evidence implicating THE1B as an enhancer for CRH, we did not detect classical enhancer chromatin marks (H3K27Ac, H3K4me1) on this element in human term placental samples. It is possible that the THE1B near CRH enhances transcription using a different mechanism than the majority of vertebrate enhancers or, alternatively, that the positive enhancer signal is being diluted by the cells within the placenta that do not express CRH.

Previous studies of the species specificity of placental CRH expression have predominantly focused on the proximal promoter sequence of CRH [59, 60]. Scatena and Adler reported no expression of a luciferase reporter construct containing the 5-kb upstream region including THE1B in rat choriocarcinoma cells; this and other experiments led them to conclude that the species specificity of placental CRH expression was caused by trans-acting factors [59]. Our BAC transgenic mouse model incorporates both the human CRH and the mouse Crh promoters and flanking regions; thus, differences in expression in this model are due to sequence differences rather than trans-acting factor availability. We observed differences in CRH expression when the THE1B sequence was intact (Tg[BAC1] and Tg[BAC2]), partially intact at the 5' end (Tg[CR2]), and absent (Tg[CR1]), suggesting that the 5' end of the THE1B LTR and its upstream insertion site are disproportionally active in the regulation of CRH in placenta.

The partially intact region of THE1B in Tg(CR2) mice contains an active binding site for the TF DLX3. DLX3 is present in mouse placenta and required for trophoblast differentiation; mice lacking Dlx3 are unable to develop past embryonic day 10 due to placental failure [30]. The coexpression of Dlx3 and CRH in Tg(BAC1) mouse placenta further associates DLX3 availability and THE1B-dependent expression of CRH. Notably, the DLX3 site occurs where the THE1B element joins the surrounding sequence, suggesting a possible mechanism for activation of this particular LTR upon retroviral insertion into the anthropoid primate common ancestral genome.

Our study is the first, to our knowledge, to provide evidence that placental expression of CRH in a nonprimate model alters gestation length. Surprisingly, human CRH expression in the placentas of our transgenic mice resulted in postterm rather than preterm birth. Although uterine prostaglandin F2α was significantly lower in Tg[BAC1] animals, progesterone withdrawal and expression of contractile-associated proteins were unaffected, indicating that CRH may alter birth timing without impeding luteolysis. Previous studies have shown that CRH plays a role in myometrial quiescence, inhibiting myometrial contractility at low CRH concentration [61–63]. This inhibition of uterine contractility is consistent with the postterm birth effect seen in our mouse model. These data suggest that CRH may be a factor contributing to
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the extended gestational period of anthropoid primates and that increased production of CRH in pregnancies ending prematurely may be an attempt to block the progression toward preterm labor and delivery.

The extraordinary diversity found in eutherian placental gene expression is thought to result from conflict between mother and fetus in determining optimal conditions for fetal development and maternal investment [64–66]. Placental tolerance to LTRs may play a role in this ongoing evolutionary conflict by providing a mechanism for rapid changes in gene expression. Our study demonstrates that retroviral insertion of an LTR such as THE1B can alter gene expression at the level of an individual gene and potentially across the entire placental tissue. Conservation of both THE1B and placental CRH expression in anthropoid primate species and the correlation of maternal serum CRH concentrations with gestation length support our assertion that the THE1B-CRH regulatory system is critical for birth timing.

Materials and methods

**Human and rhesus tissue collection, RNA-seq, and transcriptome analysis**

Human (GEO accession: GSE87726) and rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta; GEO accession: GSE118284) placental transcriptomes were generated as previously described [67, 68]. Briefly, two human placentas were collected by cesarean section at 39 weeks 1 day and 39 weeks 2 days gestational age (IRB protocol: CCHMC IRB 2013–2243). Two macaque placentas were collected by cesarean section at 128 days and 131 days gestational age (80% completed gestation). RNA was extracted from placental biopsies with the TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After passing initial quality control metrics, RNA-seq of the four samples was performed on an Illumina HiSeq machine using a paired-end approach with 50-bp reads, generating approximately 30 million paired reads per sample (human) and 15 million paired reads per sample (macaque). The reads were aligned and pseudocounted using kallisto [69]. To make the two transcriptomes comparable, we used the set of genes that are orthologous between the two species and recalculated the levels of expression of single genes in each species based only on this set. The levels are expressed on a TPM scale [70].

**PCR screening for THE1B-CRH fusion transcript in human and rhesus**

Human and rhesus placental RNA was converted to cDNA using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. PCR screening was performed with primers specific to THE1B and CRH exon 2 (forward: 5’-CCTCCCCTACCATGTGAAA-3’, reverse: 5’-GGAAGAAATCCAAGGGCT-3’) as well as with internal primers downstream of THE1B and in CRH exon 1 (forward: 5’-TGCTGTCATAGCTTCTCCTC-3’, reverse: 5’-TGCTCTGCTCCTGCATAAAA-3’). PCR products were examined by agarose gel electrophoresis and confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

**Relative coexpression analysis of THE1B-associated genes**

The statistical test for the effect of the presence of THE1B in the vicinity of the gene on the tissue-specific expression is described in detail by Pavlicev and colleagues [33]. Briefly, we compared the correlation between tissues based on the expression (expressed as the square root of the TPM value) of THE1B-associated genes to the correlation between tissues based on THE1B-absent genes. We repeated this procedure for all pair-wise tissue combinations. If the THE1B elements confer no particular status to the genes, this ratio corr_{THE1B+}/corr_{THE1B−} should be close to 1 for every pair-wise tissue comparison. The significance of the effect was
assessed based on the null distribution of the statistics for each pair of tissues compared, generated by randomly resampling 5,000 times the set of genes size matched to the number of THE1B-associated genes and calculating the statistics.

THE1B capture array and analysis
Total RNA was purified from term placentas using a combination of TRIzol and Qiagen columns. RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the SureSelect Strand-Specific RNA Library Prep for Illumina Multiplexed Sequencing kit (Agilent). For capture sequencing, preamplified RNA-seq libraries were enriched for THE1B containing transcripts using a custom panel of 120 nt THE1B RNA oligos and the SureSelectXT RNA Target Enrichment kit (Agilent). Libraries were sequenced as 75-bp paired-end reads on an Illumina HiSeq machine. Reads were aligned to the human genome (hg19) using Tophat2 (-I 100000—max-segment-intron 100000 –r 300) [71]. Data are available at GEO accession GSE118289.

Annotation of human placenta–enriched genes
We compiled a collection of RNA-seq data for different human and mouse tissues from different resources, including (1) newly generated (GEO accession: GSE118289) and public (GEO accession: GSE43520) data for human placenta, (2) data for mouse placenta (GEO accession: GSE43520), and (3) data for different human tissues (brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, ovary, skeletal muscle, and testis) from BodyMap 2.0 (GEO accession: GSE30611). Reference genome and gene annotation files for human (GRCh38) and mouse (GRCm38) were downloaded from the ENSEMBL database. Human placenta–enriched genes were identified by a procedure comparing human placenta against other human tissues and against mouse placenta. In brief, we first quantified gene expression levels for different samples as TPM using RSEM [72]. Then, we focused the analysis on the 13,068 1-to-1 orthologous genes between human and mouse according to ENSEMBL orthologue annotation. After the TPM values were normalized among different samples using the trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) method [73], differential expression analyses between human placenta and other analyzed human tissues and mouse placenta were performed using Rank Product method [74], with an FDR cutoff of 0.15. Finally, human placenta–enriched genes were annotated as genes that show significantly higher expression in human placenta against other human tissues and mouse placenta.

Association analysis between human placenta–enriched genes and THE1B subfamily
We obtained repeat annotations for human THE1B subfamily from the RepeatMasker website (http://www.repeatmasker.org/) on May 27, 2016. To determine if the THE1B subfamily is associated with human placenta–enriched genes, we first determined the overlapping between THE1B repeat elements and promoter (defined as 20 kb upstream the longest transcript for each gene) for each protein-coding gene using the windowBed function of bedtools [75]. Then, the occurrences of THE1B in all these genes and in human placenta–enriched genes were counted. Finally, Binomial Test was performed to test if the THE1B subfamily is significantly associated with human placenta–enriched genes.

Ethics statement
The Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal experiments for this study under IACUC protocol number 2017–
Establishment of transgenic mouse lines

Human BAC RP11-366K18 was obtained from the CHORI BACPAC repository. DNA was amplified and purified from bacteria using NucleoBond BAC kit (Clontech) and dissolved in polyamine buffer for microinjection. To generate lines Tg(BAC1) and Tg(BAC2), FVB/N zygotes were used for pronuclear injection of purified BAC DNA at 0.5 ng/ul and transferred into pseudopregnant CD-1 mice. The resulting pups were genotyped for presence of the human BAC with primers specific to human CRH (forward: 5'-TTTCTAATGTGAAAACTG CGTGAT-3', reverse: 5'-ACACGTGGGAATTATGGGGG-3'). Transgenic lines were maintained as hemizygotes by crossing to C57BL/6 mice for 3–4 generations.

To delete the THE1B in the BAC transgenic mice, we selected 6 guide RNAs targeting the THE1B flanking region (3 per end), based on the web design tool (http://www.genome-engineering.org). Selected guide RNAs were cloned into pX458 vector (addgene #48138) and validated in HEK293 cells by T7E1 assay as described by Ran and colleagues [76]. Two validated guide RNAs (target sites: 5'-ATACATTTTG GATAATGATA-3' and 5'-AGACAAATACAGATAAATTG-3'), along with Cas9 mRNA, were introduced by cytoplasmic injection into zygotes obtained from Tg(BAC1) mice [77]. Injected embryos were transferred into pseudopregnant CD-1 mice. The resulting pups were screened for deletions to the THE1B region with primers flanking THE1B (forward: 5'-CAGTTTGTGTGCCTCTGCTG-3', reverse: 5'-CTCCCATTGGGCTATCTGGG-3') by PCR and Sanger sequencing.

Mouse tissue collection and RNA isolation

Male mice were housed with females overnight to determine time of conception. Pregnant female mice were killed on the designated day of gestation, and placentas were harvested, dissected into labyrinth and junctional zone where indicated, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue from the tail of corresponding embryos was used to determine placental genotype with primers specific to human CRH, as described earlier. Hypothalamus, kidney, heart, liver, lung, and leg quadriceps muscle tissue were harvested from adult transgenic animals and littermate controls of both sexes, and tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissues were homogenized using stainless steel beads in a TissueLyser II apparatus (Qiagen), and RNA was purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Heart and skeletal muscle RNA was purified using the RNeasy Fibrous Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen). Labyrinth and junctional zone RNA was purified using the TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

qPCR for human CRH expression in transgenic mice

Mouse tissue RNA was converted to cDNA using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Human CRH was quantified using the TaqMan system with TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific) and specific probes for human CRH (Hs01921237_s1, ThermoFisher Scientific) and for mouse Gapdh as endogenous control (Mm99999915_g1, ThermoFisher Scientific). Labyrinth and junctional zone expression was quantified with specific probes for human CRH (Hs00174941_m1, ThermoFisher Scientific), mouse Dlx3 (Mm00438428_m1, ThermoFisher Scientific), and eukaryotic 18S as endogenous control (catalog 4310893E, ThermoFisher Scientific). A 50-ng cDNA template was used per well, and samples were run in triplicate. qPCR reactions were run on an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR instrument.
Localization of human CRH by immunohistochemistry/immunofluorescence

Placentas of E18.5 pups were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4 °C, washed in PBS, and dehydrated in 70% ethanol before embedding in paraffin. Paraffin sections were cut using a microtome. Sections were deparaffinized, incubated in citrate buffer pH 6.0 for antigen retrieval, and incubated in methanol with hydrogen peroxide for peroxidase activity remove. Following washes, slides were incubated with 5% goat serum and 4% fish skin gelatin for 60 minutes. Slides were incubated with CRH primary antibody (rabbit, Peninsula Lab T-4036, 1:1,000) overnight at 4 °C. Following washes, slides were incubated with secondary antibody (biotinylated goat anti-rabbit, Vector Lab BA-1000, 1:250) for 60 minutes. Tyramide amplification was performed with TSA cyanine 5 reagent kit (Perkin Elmer) according to manufacturer protocol.

Quantification of gestation length in transgenic mice

Experimental animals and their respective control animals were generated by crossing hemizygous transgenic mice and subsequently crossing their homozygous offspring such that all study animals were the offspring of littermates. Male mice were housed with nulliparous female mice from approximately 1900 to 2200 hours once per week to precisely control time of conception. Pregnant females were monitored by observation from E17.5 until delivery. Pups were counted and weighed individually on the day of birth. Females were excluded from the study if there were fewer than four pups in the litter, if the female was older than 240 days of age on the date of conception, if the female was in labor for longer than 12 hours, or if the entire litter could not be delivered because of dystocia.

Binding site prediction of placental TFs

Human and rhesus macaque placental transcriptome data were analyzed as previously stated. TFs expressed at 10 or greater TPM were populated into the predictive program CisBP [42]. Binding sites were predicted using the position-weight matrix (PWM)–LogOdds setting (threshold 8).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay for binding at THE1B

Immortalized extravillous trophoblast cell line HTR8/SVneo (obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, item number CRL-3271) was transected with Lipofectamine3000 (ThermoFisher Scientific) and overexpression vector (DLX3 or GATA2 Myc-DDK vectors, Origene) for 72 hours according to manufacturer protocol. Cytoplasmic protein fraction was isolated by suspending cell pellet in cytoplasmic lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES, 10 mM KCl, 1.1 mM EDTA in dH2O) and adding 10% Nonidet-P40. Nuclear protein fraction was subsequently isolated by suspending nuclear pellet in nuclear lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, 0.4 M KCl, 1 mM EDTA in dH2O). DNA probes were generated with IRDye700 at the 5’ end (GATA2 TCR probe: 5’-CACTTGATAACAGAAAGTGATAACTCT-3’ [78], DLX3 JRE probe: 5’-GGGGGTAATTACAGGCC-3’ [79], THE1B 5’ probe: 5’-GGATAATGATGTGGTTAGA-3’). Binding reactions were performed using the Odyssey EMSA Buffer Kit (LICOR Biosciences) according to manufacturer protocol with primary antibody (monoclonal anti-FLAG M2, Sigma-Aldrich F3165) or isotype control (mouse IgG, Abcam ab37355) and run on 6% TBE gels with 0.5x TBE buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific). Gels were imaged on the Odyssey CLx Imaging System (LICOR Biosciences).
ChIP and qPCR

Human placental tissue was homogenized in aliquots of 100 mg tissue per mL PBS with protease inhibitor (Abcam ab65621). Homogenate was transferred to a new tube with 18-gauge needle and syringe to ensure single cell suspension. Crosslinking was performed by adding 1.5% formaldehyde to suspension and incubating at room temperature for 10 minutes. Glycine was added to a final concentration of 125 mM to stop crosslinking reaction. Cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in SDS Lysis Buffer (Millipore). Cell lysate was sonicated to an average of 500-bp fragments with a Covaris S220 instrument at 175 peak power for 7 minutes. Immunoprecipitation was performed with the EZ-ChIP kit (Millipore) according to manufacturer protocol. Mouse anti-RNA pol II (Millipore 05-0623B) and Control Mouse IgG antibodies (Millipore 12-371B) were used as a positive and negative control according to EZ-ChIP protocol. For DLX3 ChIP, rabbit anti-DLX3 (Abcam ab66390) and rabbit IgG isotype control (Abcam ab171870) were used at 5 μg per immunoprecipitation. qPCR reactions were run on an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR instrument with primers specific to GAPDH (forward: 5'-TACTACGGTTTACCGGC-3', reverse: 5'-TCGAA CAGGAGGAGACAGGCGA-3'), JRE (forward: 5'-TGACCTAAGGTTGAACAGAATAG-3', reverse: 5'-GGAAATTTCCATCAGTGATGTA-3') [45], distal region of JRE (forward: 5'-AGTTTCTTTGTGGATGAGATAGACG-3', reverse: 5'-TTTCCGAACACTCAAGGCCCCTG-3') [45], and the 5' end of the THE1B near CRH (forward: 5'-TTTCTTAATGTGAAAGGTG-3', reverse: 5'-ACACGTGGGAATTATGGGCG-3').

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software) and R Statistical Programming Language. Specific statistical tests are described above.
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