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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a disease that describes a problem with insulin deficiency and the inability of the pancreas to produce enough insulin. The World Health Organization predicts that the number of people with DM type II in Indonesia will increase to 12 million by 2030.

AIM: This study looks at journals on diabetes self-management education (DSME) on DM patients in improving dietary behavior.

METHODS: This study uses an online journal database that provides free articles and journals in PDF such as: ProQuest, EBCSO, PubMed, Elsevier, Scinapse, MDPI, and Google Scholar. Literature was collected from the past 10 years, namely, 2010–2020 using the following set of keywords: “DSME,” “DSME Program Evaluation,” and “Diabetes Self-Care Education.”

RESULTS: DSME intervention can improve the condition of DM patients if it is routinely carried out. In addition, the DSME intervention was able to control hemoglobin A1c levels and control blood sugar. This intervention still needs to be developed, considering that various forms of education in DM patients have been carried out such as using text messages, leaflets, illustrated pictures or leaflets, by telephone, video, handbooks, or direct education. These investigations can be developed into empirical research.

CONCLUSIONS: The application of DSME interventions can help improve the conditions experienced by DM type II sufferers if it is routinely carried out.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic disease, which is emerging as a major public health problem. The worldwide prevalence of diabetes in adults is estimated at 4.0% in 1995 and is expected to increase to 5.4% in 2025. The number of adults with diabetes in Indonesia is expected to increase from 6.9 million in 2010 to 12 million in 2030 [1]. DM is an established risk factor for several causes of death, including ischemic heart disease, stroke, kidney disease, infectious diseases, and some cancers [2].

DM is a health problem that is increasing worldwide. It is estimated that there will be 552 million patients with diabetes and 300 million people with impaired glucose tolerance by 2030 [3]. According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), >382 million people worldwide had diabetes in 2012; that number is expected to grow to 592 million by 2035 [4]. In 2015, there were 415 million people with diabetes worldwide (91% of whom were type 2 diabetes) with a figure expected to increase to 642 million by 2040 [5].

The prevalence of DM will continue to increase every year, and data from the IDF show that the number of patients diagnosed with DM in Indonesia is estimated to increase from 10.3 million in 2017 to 16.7 million in 2045 [6].

One study in India reported that 63% of patients who were not adherent to the diet program and glucose monitoring were involved. A 2010 Delameter study showed approximately 48% of patients did not follow a diet plan and physical activity program. He also reported that 70% of patients were not adherent to the high carbohydrate and high-fiber diet program [7].

The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of diabetes self-management education (DSME) on dietary behavior in type 2 DM patients. The systematic review process is shown in Figure 1.
The writing of this literature review refers to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews guidelines. The review stage is the identification of articles from the source database (identification), filtering articles based on inclusion and exclusion criteria (screening), selecting all articles that match the inclusion criteria (eligibility), and determining articles that match the design of the DSME research plan (inclusion). The total articles obtained from Scinapse, PUBMED, and Google Scholar are 11,722 articles.

Results

The systematic review of DSME in improving dietary behavior is summarized in Table 2.

Discussion

DSME is an important component in providing quality care to all diabetics. DSME helps patients develop the knowledge, skills, and abilities necessary for effective self-care. Diabetes programs as a behavioral and psychosocial strategy to facilitate self-care so as to provide better results. Several DSME interventions are capable of providing effective change in promoting behavior change [19]. DSME as an effective education, cost protection, can prevent complications. A variety of culturally appropriate services is offered as a form of regulation, utilizes technology, facilitates access to DSME services, makes self-management decisions, and reduces the likelihood of complications [10], [20]. DSME has positive effects on the clinical, psychosocial, and behavioral aspects of diabetes [20].

Diabetes self-management is a form of diabetes health education to improve health knowledge and behavior [21]. Diet modification, physical activity, stress management, and pharmacological therapy all play a role in achieving the desired outcome for diabetes [4]. Diabetes self-management support refers to the support needed to apply and maintain skills and behaviors in a sustainable manner [22]. The Society of Behavioral Medicine explains that DSME and support is the basis for DM sufferers regarding diabetes management to reduce complications [23]. Thus, implementation of support education considers effective in preventing the development of diabetic foot ulcer (DFU), including signs of pre ulcer.

Of all, strategies that can be used to prevent ulcers and further complications in DM patients include educational support to patients, multidisciplinary treatment, close monitoring, and prevention of DFU, including signs of pre ulcer.
| No. | Author/Year/Title                                                                 | Subject                                                                 | Study objective                                                                 | Design                                                                 | Study outcome                                                                                     | Recommendation                                                                                           | Variable researched                                                                                     |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.  | [8] Assessment of a National Diabetes Education Program diabetes management booklet: The Glycemic Reduction Approach to Diabetes: A Comparative Effectiveness Study (GRADE) experience | 348 adults with type 2 diabetes data were taken from October 2013 to June 2014 | To explore the impact of the 4 steps on participants’ knowledge of diabetes management and self-reliance in a GRADE | Pre- and post-test study                                               | The findings of this study suggest that the 4 steps booklet can help primary health-care providers to educate and support patients with type 2 diabetes and improve knowledge of diabetes management and self-efficacy | Diabetes education requires a variety of interventions and tools, and diabetes education programs must continue to produce accessible and evidence-based information for patients, providers, and partners to help prevent and manage diabetes |
| 2.  | [9] Effectiveness of a diabetes education and self-management program (DESMOND) for people with newly diagnosed type 2 DM: 3-year follow-up of a cluster randomized controlled trial (RCT) in primary care | DM patients in 207 general practices in 13 primary care centers in the UK. Follow-up 3 years | To assess the effectiveness of DESMOND for people with newly diagnosed type 2 DM | Cluster RCT                                                              | Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels at 3 years decreased in both groups. However, the difference was not significant (difference -0.02, 95% confidence interval -0.22--0.17) after the intervention. The same is the case for biomedical and another lifestyle and drug use. the depression scores and quality of life did not differ at 3 years | Future studies will need to include a longer follow-up period to produce an understanding of the effects of the intervention over time                   | Biomedical, lifestyle, depression score, and quality of life                                           |
| 3.  | [3] The Effect of DSME on Body Weight, Glycemic Control, and Other Metabolic Markers in Patients with Type 2 DM | 76 patients with type 2 diabetes                                       | To evaluate the effect of short-term DSME on metabolic markers and atherosclerotic parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes | Two-group experimental design                                           | DSME can increase HbA1c and body weight in patients with type 2 diabetes. | Future studies will need to include a longer follow-up period to produce an understanding of the effects of the intervention over time | Knowledge and self-efficacy                                                                              |
| 4.  | [10] The Efficacy of Diabetes Patient Education and Self-Management Education in Type 2 Diabetes | Adults with diabetes type 2                                            | To compare 6-month progress in diabetes patient education with a supplementary education model with a self-management program | The experimental group subjects and control group subjects              | There were statistically significant improvements in both groups in terms of glycated HbA1c and body weight, and the experimental group had statistically significant improvements in four additional results | The time intervals from baseline and assessment of follow-up were relatively short. Therefore, the possible changes in CMT, CAS, and some of the metabolic markers associated with DSME were not demonstrated. | Weight, glycemic control, and marker                                                                     |
| 5.  | [11] Persian DSME (PDSME) program: evaluation of effectiveness in Iran            | Individuals aged 18 years and over                                     | To design the PDSME program using intervention mapping to assess the effectiveness of the program in people newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and those who received little self-management education | Two-group experimental design                                           | The PDSME group showed a significant increase in mean HbA1c (1.1 versus-0.2, P=0.008). Knowledge of diabetes increased more in PDSME patients treated with oral antidiabetic agents than in those receiving usual care overtime (RMA), (F=67.08, P<0.001). A statistically significant improvement was seen in PDSME patients for self-care behavior, health beliefs, attitudes toward diabetes, stigma, self-efficacy, and patient satisfaction. Innovative solutions are needed to increase the effectiveness of self-management, for an increasing number of T2DM patients. This trial will provide evidence of the effectiveness of a newly developed smartphone application designed to promote diabetes self-management | Researchers must find ways to identify and help hard-to-reach patients. | HbA1c, knowledge, self-care activities, psychosocial, and depression |
| 6.  | [12] Effectiveness of DSME through a smartphone application in insulin treated type 2 diabetes patients – design of a RCT (“TRIGGER study”) | Patients DM aged 40–70 years, undergoing insulin therapy for at least 3 months, Control group 114 and intervention group 114 respondents, follow-up 3 months | To evaluate the effectiveness of DSME through a smartphone application in T2DM patients on insulin therapy | Non-blinded two-arm multicenter randomized controlled superiority trial with parallel groups and equal randomization (“TRIGGER study”) | The PDSME group showed a significant increase in mean HbA1c (1.1 versus-0.2, P=0.008). Knowledge of diabetes increased more in PDSME patients treated with oral antidiabetic agents than in those receiving usual care overtime (RMA), (F=67.08, P<0.001). A statistically significant improvement was seen in PDSME patients for self-care behavior, health beliefs, attitudes toward diabetes, stigma, self-efficacy, and patient satisfaction. Innovative solutions are needed to increase the effectiveness of self-management, for an increasing number of T2DM patients. This trial will provide evidence of the effectiveness of a newly developed smartphone application designed to promote diabetes self-management | There should be policies aimed at improving diabetes self-management                                      | HbA1c, behavior change, cost-effectiveness                                                                 |

(Contd...)
Conclusions

DSME has been shown to improve knowledge, behavior, and self-management. To overcome many disorders associated with the care of type 2 DM patients, various forms of education for DM patients have been carried out such as using text messages, leaflets, illustrated pictures, or leaflets, through telephone, video, handbooks, or direct education. DSME intervention, in the previous studies, focused more on knowledge, attitudes, foot care behavior, body weight, HbA1C, and glycemic control.
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