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**A B S T R A C T**

The data in this study are related to the research article “Core-shell electrospun and doped LiFePO$_4$/FeS/C composite fibers for Li-ion batteries” [1]. Core-shell LiFePO$_4$/FeS/C composites fiber were prepared via an electrospinning method for use as cathodes in Li-ion batteries. The data presented in this paper showed the effect of electrospinning parameters, including applied voltage, solution flow rate, the concentration of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) (wt%) and a mixed PVP/PEO (polyethylene oxide) (w/w%) polymers on the morphological properties of composites fibers. These data were developed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Then, the effect of heat-treatment temperature on fiber morphology was investigated using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The voltage profile and cycle rate properties of the core-shell LiFePO$_4$/FeS/C composites obtained after various heat treatments were studied.
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1. Data

The data presented in this study have been derived by fabricating core-shell LiFePO₄/FeS/C composites fibers using an electrospinning method and subsequent heat-treatment processes. Fig. 1 and Table 1 show the fiber morphologies and diameters obtained with a controllable applied voltage and solution flow rate in an electrospinning process. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show the effects of the concentration of PVP (wt%) and mixed PVP/PEO (w/w%) on fiber morphologies and diameters. Fig. 4 shows TEM images of core-shell electrospun LiFePO₄/FeS/C composites after heat-treatment at various temperatures. Fig. 5a and b shows the voltage profiles and cycle rate properties, respectively, from a half-cell electrochemical test.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

Electrospinning is a powerful technique that utilizes electrostatic forces to produce continuous fibers and their composites with diameters ranging from 3 nm to over 1 μm from a polymer solution [2,3]. Many parameters influence the overall process outcome. These include solution parameters (concentration, solubility, solution viscosity, and type of polymer), process variables (applied voltage, the feed rate, the distance between spinneret tip and ground collector), and ambient conditions (temperature and humidity) [4,5]. Experimental details for the preparation of electrospun LiFePO₄/FeS/C composites have been previously presented [1]. Briefly, lithium hydroxide monohydrate, iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate, phosphoric acid, and citric acid were added to de-ionized water to prepare a spinning solution. PVP and a mixed PVP/PEO solution were used as the carbon source for fabrication of composite fibers.
2.1. The effect of processing parameters on electrospun morphologies and sizes

The effects of processing parameters on the morphology and diameter of electrospun fibers were determined at applied voltages of 17.0, 17.5, and 18.0 kV and solution flow rates of 0.10 and 0.15 mL/h. These observations were made using SEM and are shown in Fig. 1a–f. It can be seen that the smallest fiber diameter is approximately 286 nm at an applied voltage of 17.0 kV and a solution flow rate of 0.10 mL/h. The diameter distribution range obtained from image analysis (Image J software) is 180–416 nm (seen in Fig. 1a). The fiber diameters tend to increase when these parameters are increased, as summarized in Table 1.

2.2. The effect of %PVP and the mixed with PVP/PEO (w/w%) on electrospun morphologies and sizes

Generally, the solution properties are one of the most critical factors affecting the characteristics of electrospun fibers. They determine the limiting boundaries for formation of these fibers due to variations in the viscosity and surface tension of the solution [6]. The spinning solutions were prepared by varying the concentration of PVP from 8 wt% to 11 wt%. Fig. 2a–d illustrates the effect of the PVP concentration on the morphology and fiber diameters from SEM. The results show that the lowest concentration of PVP, 8 wt%, had a higher tendency to form beads due to aggregation of solvent molecules. At high concentrations, the solvent molecules are distributed among the entangled chains and their tendency to agglomerate decreases [7]. The average diameter of fibers with 8 wt%, 9 wt%, and 10 wt% of PVP solutions was 317, 304, and 314 nm, respectively. When the concentration reached 11 wt %, the beads disappear while the average fiber diameter increases to around 757 nm. Therefore, in this

| Flow rate (mL/h) | Voltage (kV) | Average diameter (nm) |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 0.10            | 17.0         | 281                   |
|                 | 17.5         | 305                   |
|                 | 18.0         | 317                   |
| 0.15            | 17.0         | 332                   |
|                 | 17.5         | 336                   |
|                 | 18.0         | 564                   |

Fig. 1. SEM images showing diameters and morphology of the electrospun composites fabricated under various applied voltages and solution flow rates.

Table 1
Average diameters of electrospun LiFePO4/FeS/C composite fibers.
Fig. 2. SEM images of electrospun LiFePO₄ composites from various concentrations of PVP dissolved in (a) 8 wt%, (b) 9 wt%, (c) 10 wt %, and (d) 11 wt% of solution precursor.

Fig. 3. SEM images of electrospun LiFePO₄ composites from a mixture of PVP/PEO polymers with PVP/PEO weight ratios (w/w%) of (a) 70:30, (b) 80:20, (c) 90:10, and (d) 95:5.
study, a 10 wt% PVP solution is used to determine an optimum electrospinning condition of the PVP solution. This condition produced a fiber diameter distribution ranging from 225–483 nm and average fiber diameter of 314 nm.

The PEO (Mw: 100,000 g/mol) was mixed with PVP polymer for use as a precursor solution. The mixed with PVP/PEO (w/w%) solution was directly dissolved in the precursor solution at ratios of 70:30, 80:20, 90:10, and 95:5 (w/w%). Fig. 3a–d shows SEM images giving the fiber diameters resulting from PEO addition with various PVP/PEO (w/w%) ratios. Increased PEO resulted in a broad range of fiber diameters due to the water-soluble properties of PEO, melting the fibers.

2.3. The effect heat-treatment temperature on morphology and electrochemical properties

TEM was used to elucidate the effects of heat-treatment temperature on the characteristic morphology of core-shell electrospun LiFePO4/FeS/C composites after calcination at 600 °C, 700 °C, and 800 °C. The observed sample morphologies are shown in Fig. 4a–c. At 600 °C and 700 °C, continuous 1D fiber morphology and a core-shell structure was observed that disappeared completely at temperatures above 700 °C (see Fig. 4b). At higher heat-treatment temperatures, near-equiaxed particle morphologies are observed. These particles are detached from the amorphous carbon shell.

The voltage profiles of the samples are shown in Fig. 5a. The composites fibers with heat-treatments at 600 °C, 700 °C, and 800 °C reveal charge-discharge capacities at a 0.2C rate of 110, 130, and 117 mAh/
g, respectively and their coulombic efficiencies are 94%, 97%, and 96%, respectively. The specific capacities in this study are calculated based only on the content of LiFePO$_4$ in the material (170 mAh/g). The rate performance of samples at various current densities of 0.1C, 0.2C, 0.5C, and 1C are shown in Fig. 5b. From this figure, it can be seen that the electrospun LiFePO$_4$/FeS/C composites with a core-shell structure exhibit excellent cycle rate property.
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