Effects of Post-harvest Storage Duration and Variety on Nutrient Digestibility and Energy Content Wheat in Finishing Pigs
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ABSTRACT: This study was conducted to investigate the effects of post-harvest storage duration and wheat variety on the digestibility and energy content of new season wheat fed to finishing pigs. Two wheat varieties (Shi and Zhong) were harvested in 2013 and stored in the warehouse of the Fengning Pig Experimental Base at China Agricultural University for 3, 6, 9, or 12 mo. For each storage period, 12 barrows were placed in metabolism crates and allotted to diets containing 1 of the 2 wheat varieties in a randomized complete block design. The experimental diets contained 97.34% wheat and 2.66% of a vitamin and trace mineral premix. With an extension of storage duration from 3 mo to 12 mo, the gross energy (GE) and crude protein (CP) of the wheat decreased by 2.0% and 12.01%, respectively, while the concentration of neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and starch content increased by 30.26%, 19.08%, and 2.46%, respectively. Total non-starch polysaccharide, total arabinose, total xylose and total mannose contents decreased by 46.27%, 45.80%, 41.71%, and 75.66%, respectively. However, there were no significant differences in the chemical composition between the two wheat varieties with the exception of ADF which was approximately 13.37% lower in Shi. With an extension of storage duration from 3 mo to 12 mo, the digestible energy (DE), metabolizable energy (ME) content and the apparent total tract digestibility of GE, CP, dry matter, organic matter, ether extract, ADF and metabolizability of energy in wheat decreased linearly (p<0.01) by 5.74%, 7.60%, 3.75%, 3.88%, 3.50%, 2.47%, 26.22%, 27.62%, and 3.94%, respectively. But the digestibility of NDF changed quadratically (p<0.01). There was an interaction between wheat variety and storage time for CP digestibility (p<0.05), such that the CP digestibility of variety Zhong was stable during 9 mo of storage, while the CP digestibility of variety Shi decreased (p<0.05). In conclusion, the GE, DE, and ME of wheat was stable during the first 3 to 6 mo of post-harvest storage, and decreased during the following 6 to 12 mo of storage under the conditions of this study. (Key Words: Digestibility, Digestible and Metabolizable Energy, Finishing Pigs, Post-harvest Storage, Wheat)

INTRODUCTION

Recently, wheat has become an attractive alternative energy source for swine production in China due to a shortage of corn and cost. Many factors influence the nutritional value of wheat (Gutierrez-Alamo et al., 2008), such as variety (Anderson and Bell, 1983), growing region (Dusel et al., 1997), growing season (Choct et al., 1999) and conditions of post-harvest storage (Kim et al., 2003).

New season wheat usually has a low, highly variable, metabolizable energy (ME) content (Choct and Hughes, 1997), and its detrimental effect on poultry performance has been reported in many studies (Huyghebaert and Schoner, 1999; Scott and Pierce, 2001). Several reports have shown that storage for more than 3 mo improved poultry performance (Choct and Hughes, 1999; Scott and Pierce, 2001). However, the changes in the nutritional value of new season wheat during storage have only been reported once in pigs (Cadogan et al., 2003). Cadogan et al. (2003) conducted an experiment on 10 different varieties of wheat both at the time of harvest and 10 mo post-harvest to investigate the effects of storage of wheat on piglet performance. After 10 mo of storage, the feed intake (of all 10 wheat varieties), and daily gain (9 of 10 wheat varieties) of the pigs were significantly higher, while feed conversion...
ratio decreased in 4 samples, increased in 4 samples and remained constant in 2 samples compared with new season wheat.

Previous studies have shown that the effect of storage on the apparent ME of cereal grains for poultry differed widely depending on variety with most wheat varieties responding positively (up to 3 MJ/kg) to storage (Choct and Hughes, 2000). However, the effect of storage time on the available energy content of wheat for pigs has not been reported. We hypothesized that the available energy content of wheat for finishing pigs will increase with an extension of storage time and that the digestible energy (DE) and ME content of different wheat varieties may be affected differently by changes in the duration of storage. The aims of this study were to investigate the effects of post-harvest storage duration and wheat variety on the nutritional value of new season wheat for early finishing pigs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental protocol used in this study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of China Agricultural University (Beijing, China). This study was conducted in the Metabolism Laboratory of the Fengning Pig Experimental Base (Hebei, China).

Wheat and diet composition

Two newly harvested hard white winter wheat varieties, Zhong (variety 1) and Shi (variety 2), were sourced from Henan province during the 2012/2013 harvest season. Zhong and Shi are the two most commonly grown wheat varieties in China, and Henan Province is the main wheat growing region in the country. The two wheat varieties were sown in September, 2012 and harvested in June, 2013. The intact wheat grains were stored from July 2013 to July 2014 in a warehouse located at the China Agricultural University Fengning Pig Experimental Base (China). The warehouse was not air conditioned and the room temperature fluctuated with the change in seasonal temperatures to simulate typical storage conditions for grain. The variations in temperature and humidity in the Fengning Pig Experimental Base over the course of the experiment are shown in Table 1.

Following 3, 6, 9, or 12 mo of storage, sub-samples of the 2 wheat varieties were ground through a 2 mm screen using a hammer mill. Diet composition and nutrient concentration of the experimental diets for the 4 storage durations are presented in Table 2. All diets were fed in meal form. Wheat was included as the sole energy and protein source in the diet and vitamins and minerals were added to meet or exceed requirements for growing pigs (NRC, 1998).

Animals and experimental design

Forty eight barrows (Duroc×Landrace×Yorkshire), with an initial body weight (BW) of 59±0.98 kg, were randomly allotted to a 2×4 factorial arrangement involving 2 wheat varieties (Shi and Zhong), and 4 levels of storage time (3, 6, 9, or 12 mo ). At each storage time, 12 pigs were blocked by initial BW and allocated to 1 of the 2 wheat varieties diets. Pigs were fed at 3% of their BW daily determined one day before the beginning of the trial. The room temperature was maintained at 20±1°C. Pigs were housed individually in stainless steel metabolism crates (1.4×0.7×0.6 m³). Pigs were allowed ad libitum access to water through a nipple waterer located at the side of the crate.

Sample collection

The daily feed allotment was divided into two equal meals and was fed at 08:00 and 15:00 h. After a 7 d adaptation period, a 5 d total collection of feces and urine was conducted. Feed refusals and feed spillage were collected, dried and weighed to calculate feed intake. Feces were collected immediately as they appeared in the metabolism crates, placed in plastic bags and stored at –20°C. Urine was collected in a bucket placed under the metabolism crates. The bucket contained 10 mL of 6 N HCl per 1,000 mL urine to fix nitrogen in urine. The total volume of urine was measured daily and a 10% aliquot was filtered through gauze and 50 mL of the mixed urine sample was transferred into a screw-capped tube and immediately stored at –20°C. At the end of the collection period, the

| Variation | 2013 | 2014 |
|-----------|------|------|
|           | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    |
| Mean temperature (°C) | 23.50 | 22.25 | 15.08 | 7.95 | 0.13 | –7.33 | –7.37 | –6.17 | 3.56 | 12.08 | 15.93 | 19.44 | 23.20 |
| Highest temperature (°C) | 28.75 | 26.73 | 17.46 | 14.37 | 17.21 | 0.05 | –0.61 | –0.01 | 11.28 | 16.76 | 24.54 | 23.18 | 28.69 |
| Lowest temperature (°C) | 19.01 | 16.21 | 8.67 | 1.60 | –8.60 | –12.31 | –15.26 | –11.65 | –5.81 | 7.08 | 14.75 | 17.13 | 18.91 |
| Medial relative humidity (%) | 73.77 | 77.65 | 79.34 | 68.34 | 50.32 | 49.53 | 47.27 | 59.65 | 39.94 | 47.51 | 50.20 | 74.54 | 74.77 |
| Highest relative humidity (%) | 96.01 | 96.03 | 92.85 | 89.14 | 72.96 | 62.64 | 79.80 | 86.98 | 72.42 | 77.79 | 71.01 | 90.07 | 97.01 |
| Lowest relative humidity (%) | 50.63 | 53.48 | 66.00 | 46.49 | 27.25 | 38.34 | 23.54 | 16.19 | 21.99 | 19.49 | 29.81 | 59.35 | 51.63 |

Table 1. Variation of temperature and humidity at the Fengning Experiment Base during the 12 mo of wheat storage. Temperature and relative humidity were tested daily and the mean values for each month were calculated.
sampled feces and urine were pooled for each pig and subsamples were collected for chemical analysis. The subsamples of feces were dried for 72 h at 65°C and ground through a 1-mm screen.

### Chemical analysis

All chemical analyses were conducted in duplicate. Samples of wheat, diets, and feces were analyzed for dry matter (DM) (Method 930.15, AOAC 2007), ether extract (EE) (Thiex et al., 2003), crude protein (CP) (Method 984.13, AOAC 2007), calcium (Method 927.02, AOAC 2007), and total phosphorus (Method 984.27, AOAC 2007). Moreover, organic matter (OM) was analyzed by 100 minus the content of ash. The neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were determined using fiber filter bags and fiber analyzer equipment (Fiber Analyzer, Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY, USA) following an adaptation procedure described by Van Soest et al. (1991). The concentration of NDF was analyzed using heat stable α-amylase and sodium sulphite without correction for insoluble ash. The ADF fraction was analyzed in a separate sample. Samples of wheat, diets, feces, and urine were analyzed for gross energy (GE) via an Isoperibol Oxygen Bomb Calorimeter (Parr 6400 Calorimeter, Moline, IL, USA). The wheat samples were also analyzed for starch (Method 948.02, AOAC 2007) and non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) which was analyzed with the derivatization of acetic anhydride by gas chromatography. Soluble non-starch polysaccharides (SNSP) and insoluble non-starch polysaccharides (INSNP) were measured as the sum of their constituent sugars (rhamnose, fucose, arabinose, xylose, mannose, galactose, glucose) and uronic acid all measured by a colorimetric procedure and the sum of SNSP and INSNP was recorded as total non-starch polysaccharides (TNSP). After detecting SNSP, the solid residue was dissolved by 70% H2SO4 to detect INSNP which did not include lignin, as lignin can’t be dissolved in 70% H2SO4 (Englyst and Hudson, 2001).

### Calculations

Energy values determined from the excretion of GE in the feces and urine were subtracted from the intake of GE to calculate DE and ME for each diet. The apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of chemical constituents determined from the excretion of chemical constituents in the feces were first subtracted from the intake of the chemical constituents then were divided by the intake of the chemical constituents to calculate the ATTD of the chemical constituents for each diet and wheat (Kong and Adeola, 1991).
2014). The DE and ME in the wheat diets were divided by 0.9734 to calculate the DE and ME of the wheat itself.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analyzed as a 2×4 factorial according to the analysis of variance procedure of SAS (SAS Institute; Cary, NC, USA) to evaluate the main effects of storage time, wheat variety and their interaction. The experimental unit was the pig. The statistical model included the fixed effects of wheat variety and storage time as well as the random effect of pig. The replication unit was the pig. All results are reported as least squares means. Orthogonal polynomial contrasts were used to test for linear and quadratic effects of storage time on chemical composition and energy digestibility of wheat. The level of significance adopted was 5%.

**RESULTS**

**Chemical composition of wheat**

With an extension of storage duration from 3 mo to 12 mo, the GE and CP of the wheat decreased by 2.0% and 12.01%, respectively, while the concentration of NDF, ADF and starch content increased by 30.26%, 19.08%, 2.46%, respectively. The DM, EE, ash, calcium and phosphorus contents remained relatively stable during storage. Total non-starch polysaccharide, total arabinose, total xylose and total mannose contents decreased by 46.27%, 45.80%, 41.71%, and 75.66%, respectively. The main contributors to this fluctuation were the INSP, insoluble arabinose, xylose and mannose, as all of these parameters were decreased by 51.56%, 50.15%, 46.25%, and 84.91% within the storage time, while the SNSP (soluble arabinose, xylose, and mannose) were relatively stable. There were no significant differences between the two wheat varieties with the exception of ADF which was approximately 13.37% lower in Shi (Table 3).

**Energy and nutrient digestibility and concentration of digestible and metabolizable energy**

The feed intake, GE intake, fecal excretion of GE and the DE, ME, and ATTD of GE in wheat diets decreased (p<0.01) linearly with an extension of storage time (Table 4). Urinary excretion of GE changed (quadratic, p<0.05)
with increased storage time. There was no significant effect of variety and variety by storage time on parameters in Table 4. The DE, ME and digestibility of GE, DM, ADF, CP, EE, OM, and ME decreased significantly with increasing storage time, and the digestibility of NDF changed in a quadratic manner (p<0.01) (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

**Chemical composition of wheat**

It has been reported that fluctuations in temperature and humidity result in considerable nutrient loss in wheat (Rehman, 2006). According to a previous study, no significant biochemical changes occurred to wheat during 6 mo of post-harvest storage at 10°C, but significant losses in lysine occurred at 25°C (Rehman and Shah, 1999). In the current study, the environmental temperature ranged from 15°C to 25°C for the first 3 months of storage, then decreased to less than 10°C during 6 to 9 mo of storage, and subsequently increased from 15°C to 25°C during 9 to 12 mo of storage. Therefore, changes in environmental temperature may be the main reason for the reduction in the concentration of CP.

The total starch content of fresh waxy corn remained stable after 4 d storage at 20°C with the amylpectin content decreasing and the amyllose content increasing (Gong and Chen, 2013). In addition, Rehman and Shah (1999) reported that the total amyllose content in wheat remained unchanged during 6 mo of storage because of a slow, but steady decrease in water soluble amyllose and an increase in insoluble amyllose at 25°C. However, very little information is available on the variation of total starch during the postharvest storage of fresh wheat. Therefore, it is possible that the increase in starch concentration observed in this experiment may have been the result of an increase in insoluble amyllose content. Our results contrast with previous data indicating that wheat grains harvested and stored at ambient temperature for more than 4 mo had decreased total starch and ADF but increased CP (Jood et al., 1993; Kim et al., 2003). However, this discrepancy may be due to the relatively short post-harvest period in the earlier studies as the data in the present investigation indicate that the chemical composition and the available nutrients in the two wheat varieties did not change during storage for 3 to 6 mo. The CP content in wheat has been shown to be inversely correlated to total starch content (r = -0.779, p<0.01) and soluble NSP content (r = -0.606, p<0.01) (Kim et al., 2003), and our data are in agreement with the data

**Table 4. Concentration of DE and ME, and ATTD of energy in diets (as-fed basis)**

| Items               | Storage time (month) | SEM | Variety | SEM | p-value | ANOVA Linear Quadratic Variety Variety×ST |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-------------------------------------------|
| Feed intake (kg/d)  | 2.21 1.79 2.11 1.41  | 0.10| Zhong   | 1.84| 1.90 0.07| <0.01 <0.01 0.16 0.44 0.30               |
| GE intake (MJ/d)    | 35.78 28.78 33.53 22.37 | 1.54| 29.45 30.63 1.06| <0.01| <0.01 0.21 0.40 0.32               |
| GE in feces (MJ/d)  | 3.87 3.06 3.93 3.25   | 0.21| 3.50 3.54 0.15  | <0.01| 0.29 0.71 0.80 0.76               |
| GE in urine (MJ/d)  | 0.92 0.52 0.66 0.98   | 0.11| 0.85 0.69 0.07  | <0.01| 0.48 <0.01 0.14 0.65               |
| DE (MJ/kg)          | 14.45 14.34 14.04 13.59| 0.06| 14.07 14.13 0.04| <0.01| <0.01 0.01 0.38 0.36               |
| ME (MJ/kg)          | 14.02 14.04 13.72 12.91| 0.10| 13.60 13.75 0.07| <0.01| <0.01 <0.01 0.16 0.62               |
| ATTD of GE (%)      | 89.11 89.40 88.23 85.58| 0.40| 88.01 88.15 0.27| <0.01| <0.01 <0.01 0.73 0.48               |

DE, digestible energy; ME, metabolizable energy; ATTD, apparent total tract digestibility; SEM, standard error of the mean; ANOVA, analysis of variance; ST, storage time; GE, gross energy.

**Table 5. Digestibility of chemical constituents of wheat after different storage times when fed to finishing pigs (as-fed basis)**

| Items               | Storage time (month) | SEM | Variety | SEM | p-value | ANOVA Linear Quadratic Variety Variety×ST |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-------------------------------------------|
| Feed intake (kg/d)  | 2.21 1.79 2.11 1.41  | 0.10| Zhong   | 1.84| 1.90 0.07| <0.01 <0.01 0.16 0.44 0.30               |
| GE intake (MJ/d)    | 35.78 28.78 33.53 22.37| 1.54| 29.45 30.63 1.06| <0.01| <0.01 0.21 0.40 0.32               |
| GE in feces (MJ/d)  | 3.87 3.06 3.93 3.25   | 0.21| 3.50 3.54 0.15  | <0.01| 0.29 0.71 0.80 0.76               |
| GE in urine (MJ/d)  | 0.92 0.52 0.66 0.98   | 0.11| 0.85 0.69 0.07  | <0.01| 0.48 <0.01 0.14 0.65               |
| DE (MJ/kg)          | 14.45 14.34 14.04 13.59| 0.06| 14.07 14.13 0.04| <0.01| <0.01 0.01 0.38 0.36               |
| ME (MJ/kg)          | 14.02 14.04 13.72 12.91| 0.10| 13.60 13.75 0.07| <0.01| <0.01 <0.01 0.16 0.62               |
| ATTD of GE (%)      | 89.11 89.40 88.23 85.58| 0.40| 88.01 88.15 0.27| <0.01| <0.01 <0.01 0.73 0.48               |

DM, dry matter; SEM, standard error of the mean; ANOVA, analysis of variance; ST, storage time; DE, digestible energy; ME, metabolizable energy; GE, gross energy; CP, crude protein; OM, organic matter; EE, ether extract; ADF, acid detergent fiber.; NDF, neutral detergent fiber.
reported by Kim et al. (2003). A negative correlation between CP and total starch has also been previously observed in wheat \( (r = -0.555) \) (Metayer et al., 1993; Choct et al., 1999).

The EE of the wheat obtained in this study \( (1.91\% \text{ DM in Zhong and } 1.84\% \text{ DM in Shi}) \) were close to the value reported by NRC (2012), and the content of EE was relatively constant during the 12 mo storage period.

Although NDF and NSP are both fiber measured by different methods. In this study the NDF increased and the NSP decreased with increasing storage time. Cell wall mosaics protein or cell wall protein connected with the NSP and lignin can’t be removed when tested with NDF, monogastric animals had low digestibility of this protein (Annison, 1993). This protein is not included in the calculations of NSP, which is based only on the constituent sugars. This may be the reason for the inverse relationship between NDF and INSP content.

The decrease in insoluble NSP after 6 months of storage is in agreement with the results reported by Kim et al. (2003). The reason for this change may be due to the ability of the endogenous glycanses of the grain to break down NSP during storage (Chuct and Hughes, 1997). Variety, location and year of harvest may have an effect on the NSP content of wheat (Knudsen, 1997; Zijlstra et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2005).

Wheat is typically divided into “soft-wheat” or “hard-wheat” (Gutierrez-Alamo et al., 2008). The two varieties used in this experiment were both white hard winter wheat and planted in the same area. The only difference between the two wheat varieties occurred was in the content of ADF.

**Energy values and variation of wheat**

The DE content of wheat stored for 3 mo was the highest and decreased by 2.81% and 5.74% after 9 and 12 mo of storage \( (p<0.05) \). Similarly, storage of wheat for 9 and 12 mo significantly decreased the ME of wheat by 2.26% and 7.60% \( (p<0.05) \). The highest DE \( (14.99 \text{ MJ/kg DM}) \) and ME \( (14.61 \text{ MJ/kg DM}) \) of wheat determined at 3 mo of storage were lower than the values reported by NRC (2012) \( (\text{DE} = 15.64 \text{ MJ/kg DM}, \text{ME} = 15.17 \text{ MJ/kg DM}) \), but within the range of values from other previous reports (Kopinski, 1997; Wiseman, 2000).

Scott and Pierce (2001) reported that 6 mo storage of wheat improved poultry performance. Cadogan et al. (2003) reported that the feed intake and daily gain of young pigs improved when fed wheat was stored for 10 mo. However, there was no correlation between wheat apparent ME and animal performance (Rose and Bedford, 1995; Scott et al., 1998; Steenfeldt, 2001). And changes in DE and ME are positively correlated with a change in CP and negatively correlated with a change in NDF (Batterham et al., 1980; Zijlstra et al., 1999). Thus the decrease of DE and ME in wheat in the current work may be the result of the decrease of CP and increase of NDF (Regmi et al., 2009).

**Chemical component digestibility and variation of wheat**

The ATTD of GE determined in this research \( (86.62\% \text{ to } 90.00\%) \), were consistent with values of 85% to 90% reported by Smith et al. (1987), Noblet and Perez (1993) and Wiseman (2000). For practical purposes, the concentration of crude fiber represents a reasonable predictor for the digestibility coefficient of energy (Noblet and Perez, 1993). The decline in apparent digestibility of energy and other chemical constituents (OM, CP, DM) may be due to the increasing NDF and ADF content with increasing storage time.

The ATTD of CP observed in this experiment was greater, as 50.3% to 82.3% obtained by Anderson and Bell (1983). This may be the result of the fact that the pigs used in the present study had higher BW \( (60 \text{ kg}) \) than Anderson and Bell’s \( (40 \text{ kg}) \). In this study, the digestibility of CP decreased significantly \( (p<0.01) \) by 4.67% from 6 mo storage to 12 mo storage. In vitro protein digestibility of new season rice, maize and wheat grains decreased by 8.69%, 9.09%, and 9.45% after 6 mo storage at 25°C, respectively (Rehman, 2006). Decreased protein content or increased crude fiber content tended to decrease the apparent digestibility of protein by pigs (Bell et al., 1983). In particular, the content CP decreased and NDF increased simultaneously with increasing storage time in present study.

This finding is in line with previous studies which have shown that an increase in the dietary fiber content may decrease the digestibility of dietary chemical constituents and energy (Noblet and Perez, 1993; Knudsen and Jorgensen, 2001). The most probable explanation for these results was that significant quantities of the dietary constituents in the feed were protected from digestion by the fibrous material naturally occurring in the feed (Lloyd and Crampton, 1955).

**CONCLUSION**

Under the conditions of this research, the content of GE, CP, and NSP in wheat decreased, but the content of NDF, ADF, and starch in wheat increased during storage. The DE, ME and digestibility of GE, CP, EE, DM, OM, ADF, and NDF as well as the ME content of wheat decreased when the wheat was stored for more than 6 mo. The decreased DE and ME of wheat for finishing pigs after one year of storage was mainly due to the decreased digestibility of their chemical constituents. Because of storage conditions and variety of wheat, there are limitations on application of these results. Therefore, more research is needed to confirm the results of the present study using wheat obtained over a
number of years and different geographical locations.
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