Open cluster survival within the solar circle: Teutsch 145 and Teutsch 146
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ABSTRACT

Teutsch 145 and Teutsch 146 are shown to be open clusters (OCs) orbiting well inside the solar circle, a region where several dynamical processes combine to disrupt most OCs on a timescale of a few $10^8$ yr. BVI photometry from the GALILEO telescope is used to investigate the nature and derive the fundamental and structural parameters of the optically faint and poorly known OCs Teutsch 145 and 146. These parameters are computed by means of field-star-decontaminated colour–magnitude diagrams and stellar radial density profiles (RDPs). Cluster mass estimates are made based on the intrinsic mass functions (MFs). We derive the ages $200^{+100}_{-50}$ and $400^{±}_{±}$ Myr, and the distances from the Sun $d_⊙ = 2.7 ± 0.3$ and $3.8 ± 0.2$ kpc, respectively, for Teutsch 145 and 146. Their integrated apparent and absolute magnitudes are $m_V ≈ 12.4$ and $13.3$ and $M_V ≈ −5.6$ and $−5.3$. The MFs (detected for stars with $m ≳ 1 M_⊙$) have slopes similar to Salpeter’s initial mass function. Extrapolated to the H-burning limit, the MFs would produce total stellar masses of $∼1400 M_⊙$, typical of relatively massive OCs. Both OCs are located deep into the inner Galaxy and close to the Crux–Scutum arm. Since cluster-disruption processes are important, their primordial masses must have been higher than the present-day values. The conspicuous stellar density excess observed in the innermost bin of both RDPs might reflect the dynamical effects induced by a few $10^8$ yr of external tidal stress.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Regions interior to the solar circle represent a harsh environment to the long-term survival of open clusters (OCs). The low-mass ones, in particular, dissolve into the field in less than $≈1$ Gyr (e.g. Friel 1995; Bonatto & Bica 2007b).

Theoretical and $N$-body predictions (e.g. Spitzer 1958; Baumgardt & Makino 2003; Goodwin & Bastian 2006; Lamers & Gieles 2006; Khalisi, Amaro-Seoane & Spurzem 2007), coupled to observational evidence (e.g. van den Bergh 1957; Oort 1958; von Hoerner 1958; Piskunov et al. 2007), consistently indicate that the disruption-time-scale ($t_{dis}$) near the solar circle is shorter than $≈1$ Gyr and depends on cluster mass as $t_{dis} \sim M^{0.62}$ (Lamers & Gieles 2006). Thus, $75 ≲ t_{dis}(\text{Myr}) ≲ 300$ should be expected for clusters with an initial mass within $10^{2}–10^{3} M_⊙$. Besides, disruption processes are more effective for the more centrally located and lower mass OCs (see Bonatto & Bica 2007b for a review on these effects).

It is in this context that the discovery and characterization of new OCs towards the inner Galactic regions play an important role. Here, we establish the nature and derive astrophysical parameters of the poorly studied, faint OCs Teutsch 145 and 146. Both clusters were discovered by Phillip Teutsch in a systematic survey of several Milky Way fields near the Galactic plane using red, blue and infrared first and second generation Digital Sky Survey (DSS) images downloaded from the European Southern Observatory Online Digitized Sky Survey (Kronberger et al. 2006). We are dealing with first Galactic quadrant clusters, which makes them particularly suitable to explore dynamical cluster properties within the solar circle.

Algorithms designed to deal with field-star contamination in densely populated fields, together with other tools to study colour–magnitude diagrams (CMDs) and stellar radial density profiles...
Table 1. Position and angular size.

| Cluster    | α(2000) (hms) | δ(2000) (°) | ℓ (°) | b (°) | D (arcmin) |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|
| (1)        | (2)           | (3)         | (4)   | (5)   | (6)        |
| Teutsch 145| 18:42:29      | −05:15:12   | 27.24 | −0.41 | 1.9        |
| Teutsch 146| 18:51:34      | +00:11:10   | 33.11 | +0.06 | 1.6        |

Note. Column 6: optical diameter measured in the DSS images.

(RDPs) have been developed by our group in previous Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS) studies (e.g. Bica, Bonatto & Camargo 2008; Bonatto & Bica 2008b, 2009a). In the near future, the Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy (VISTA), together with other surveys with large telescopes, will deepen by about 4 mag the presently available near-infrared photometry in a large area throughout the Galactic plane. The new and deeper photometry will probably require specific algorithms to be analysed. Thus, besides the more direct goal of deriving parameters of two interesting objects, in the present work we apply our analytical tools to the optical data of the two faint open clusters, Teutsch 145 and 146, obtained with the 3.58-m GALILEO telescope (TNG). Since they are located in the first quadrant (Table 1; with the associated enhanced disruption rates), the heavy field contamination should be properly taken into account for the intrinsic properties to be assessed. In this context, our main goal in this work is to determine whether such clusters can be characterized as typical OCs or if they present signs of dissolution. In addition, we will derive their fundamental and structural parameters, most of these for the first time.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide details on the observations, photometric calibrations and reductions. In Section 3, we build the CMDs, discuss the field decontamination and derive the fundamental parameters. In Section 4, we derive structural parameters. In Section 5, we estimate cluster mass and build the mass functions (MFs). In Section 6, we discuss the parameters of both OCs. Concluding remarks are given in Section 7.

2 OBSERVATIONS

Teutsch 145 and 146 were observed in 2008 June with the 3.58-m GALILEO telescope (TNG) at La Palma, equipped with the Do- llores spectrograph focal reducer. An EEV 4260 CCD detector with 2048 × 2048 pixels, of pixel size 13 μm was used. A pixel corresponds to 0.252 arcsec on the sky, and the full field of the camera is 8.6 × 8.6 arcmin². Calibration and reductions are described in detail in Ortolani et al. (2009).

For reddening transformations, we use the relations $A_V = 3.1E(B-V)$, $E(V-I) = 1.25E(B-V)$, $A_I = 1.95E(B-V)$ and $A_g = 1.322A_V$, taken from Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998), which are based on the extinction curves of Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989) and O’Donnell (1994). We remark that the individual values of absorption and reddening in front of the clusters are determined from the CMD fitting (Section 3.2).

In Figs 1 and 2, we show 10 s $I$ images of both objects and surroundings. We also indicate the regions where most of the cluster's stellar content are located, together with the respective comparison fields.

3 COLOUR–MAGNITUDE DIAGRAMS

CMDs involving $V$ and $I$ of Teutsch 145 and 146 are shown in Figs 3 and 4, respectively. Based on the structural analysis (Section 4), we consider in the top panels the extraction that contains most of each cluster’s stars. When compared with the CMDs extracted from the...
Figure 3. $V$ versus $(V-I)$ and $I$ versus $(V-I)$ CMDs of Teutsch 145. Top panels: observed photometry extracted from $R < 1.8$ arcmin. Middle panels: equal-area comparison field extracted from $2.9$ arcmin $\lesssim R \lesssim 3.4$ arcmin. Bottom panels: decontaminated CMDs, including the best-fitting isochrone solution. The colour–magnitude filter (shaded polygon) is shown in the bottom-left panel.

Figure 4. Similar to Fig. 3 for the $R < 1.5$ arcmin extraction of Teutsch 146. Comparison field extracted from $2.5 \lesssim R \lesssim 2.9$ arcmin.

In addition, we minimize spurious results by means of several runs of the decontamination procedure, with different input parameters. Here, different cell sizes are considered, with $\Delta \text{mag}$ and $\Delta \text{colour}$ taken as 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 times the reference values. Also, the cell grid is shifted by $-1/3$, 0 and $+1/3$ of the respective cell size in both the colour and magnitude axes. Taking together all the grid/cell size setups, we are left with 81 different and independent decontamination combinations. Stars are ranked according to the number of times they survive each run. Finally, only the highest ranked stars are considered as cluster members and transposed to the respective decontaminated CMD.

Since the GALILEO field is somewhat limited, covering about $8.5 \times 8.5$ arcmin$^2$, we take as comparison field the rings within $2.5 \lesssim R \lesssim 3.4$ arcmin and $2.3 \lesssim R \lesssim 2.9$ arcmin, respectively, for Teutsch 145 and 146. This geometrical setup (Figs 1 and 2) prevents border effects and minimizes the oversubtraction of member stars at the cluster’s outskirts. Indeed, the number density of stars in the comparison fields corresponds to about 1/4 that in the central parts (Fig. 7).

The decontaminated CMDs are shown in the bottom panels of Figs 3–5. As expected, essentially all contamination is removed, leaving stellar sequences typical of reddened and evolved OCs. The decontaminated CMDs also show some scatter that, in the bright CMD sequences, may occur from low-number statistics and, consequently, decontamination inefficiency (this issue is thoroughly discussed in Bonatto & Bica 2007a). However, much of the scatter among giants is due to binarism, as detected from proper motions and radial velocity variations (e.g. Hole et al. 2009). In the blue sequences, blue stragglers and binarism in general are important sources of scatter (e.g. Geller et al. 2009). As discussed in Bonatto...
& Bica (2007a), differential reddening is also a potential source of reddening. However, since (i) the sampled regions are relatively small (≲3.5 arcmin), (ii) the foreground absorption is moderate (AV ≲ 6 mag) in both cases (Section 3.2), (iii) the distribution of stars in the I images (Figs 1 and 2) is rather uniform and (iv) the cell dimensions used in the decontamination algorithm are wide enough to minimize differential reddening effects, the differential reddening is not expected to be a major source of scatter in the CMDs of Teutsch 145 and 146. The similar decontaminated CMD morphologies indicate comparable ages for both objects.

3.2 Fundamental parameters

We base the fundamental parameter derivation on the field-decontaminated CMD morphologies (Figs 3–5), using as a constraint the different combinations of magnitudes and colours. Fits with Padova isochrones (Girardi et al. 2002) are made by eye, taking the MS and giant stars as constraint. The adopted results are shown in Figs 3–5 (bottom panels) and discussed below.

**Teutsch 145.** At first sight, the decontaminated features denote an OC a few 10^8 yr old and nearly solar metallicity. Thus, we search for solutions with isochrones with the ages 100, 200 and 300 Myr of solar and half solar metallicities. This age/metallicity search is illustrated in Fig. 6, where we use the decontaminated R = 1.8 arcmin extraction and the V versus (V − I) and B versus (B − V) CMDs. We require that all solutions provide a similar representation of the MS, the more statistically significant CMD feature.

Clearly, Teutsch 145 is older than 100 Myr (top panels) but not much older than 300 Myr (bottom panels). Besides, the solar metallicity isochrone appears to produce the best fit for the best age solution, 200 Myr (middle panels). Thus, taking into account the above range of ages and metallicities, we found that the best solution corresponds to the age 200^±100 Myr and solar metallicity, although lower metallicity values cannot be ruled out.

With the adopted (200 Myr) isochrone solution, the fundamental parameters of Teutsch 145 are the reddening E(V − I) = 2.37 ± 0.02, which converts to E(B − V) = 1.90 ± 0.02, a total-to-selective absorption AV = 5.88 ± 0.06, the observed and absolute distance moduli (m − M)_V = 18.0 ± 0.2 and (m − M)_0 = 12.12 ± 0.21, respectively, and the distance from the Sun d_⊙ = 2.7 ± 0.3 kpc. We adopt R_⊙ = 7.2 ± 0.3 kpc (Bica et al. 2006) as the Sun’s distance to the Galactic Centre to compute Galactocentric distances. For R_⊙ = 7.2 kpc, the Galactocentric distance of Teutsch 145 is R_GC = 5.0 ± 0.2 kpc, which puts it ≈ 2.2 kpc inside the solar circle. This solution is shown in Figs 3 and 5.

The above fundamental parameters are used to compute integrated magnitudes and colours, for the stars within R = 1.8 arcmin isolated by the colour–magnitude filter (Section 4). The integrated apparent magnitudes are m_V ≈ 12.4 and m_I ≈ 9.8, the reddening-corrected colour is (V − I) = +0.40 ± 0.03 and the absolute magnitudes are M_V = −5.6 ± 0.1 and M_I = −6.0 ± 0.1. Compared to the set of OCs analysed by Lata et al. (2002), Teutsch 145 is intrinsically somewhat brighter than the mean value of the distribution.

**Teutsch 146.** A similar approach is applied to derive the fundamental parameters of Teutsch 146. Given the similarities with the

---

1 Derived by means of the globular cluster (GC) spatial distribution. Recently, Tripe et al. (2008) found R_GC = 8.07 ± 0.32 kpc while Ghez et al. (2008) found R_GC = 8.0 ± 0.6 or 8.4 ± 0.4 kpc, under different assumptions.
CMD of Teutsch 145, uncertainties in age and metallicity of the same order are expected. Indeed, its age is within 400 ± 100 Myr, biased to the solar metallicity (Fig. 4).

The fundamental parameters computed for the 400 Myr solution are: $E(V-I) = 2.29 ± 0.01$ [E(B − V) = 1.83 ± 0.01 or $A_V = 5.68 ± 0.02$, ($m - M)_V = 18.6 ± 0.1$, ($m - M)_0 = 12.92 ± 0.10$, $d_O = 3.8 ± 0.2$ kpc and $R_{GC} = 4.5 ± 0.1$ kpc, thus ∼2.7 kpc inside the solar circle. Also, $m_V = 13.3$, $m_I = 10.7$, $(V - I) = +0.44 ± 0.03$, $M_V = -5.3 ± 0.1$ and $M_I = -5.8 ± 0.1$. Similar to Teutsch 145, Teutsch 146 can also be considered as an intrinsically bright OC.

4 CLUSTER STRUCTURE

We use the RDPs, defined as the projected stellar number density around the cluster centre, to derive structural parameters. Stars with colours unlike those of the cluster CMD morphology are excluded by means of the colour–magnitude filters (shown in Figs 3 and 4). This procedure enhances the RDP contrast relative to the background, especially in crowded fields (e.g. Bonatto & Bica 2007a,b, 2008b).

Rings of increasing width with distance from the cluster centre are used to preserve spatial resolution near the centre and minimize noise at large radii. The $R$ coordinate (and uncertainty) of each ring corresponds to the average position and standard deviation of the stars inside the ring. The RDPs of Teutsch 145 and 146 are shown in Fig. 7. The effective (i.e. avoiding border effects) radial range of both RDPs reaches about 4 arcmin, which is clearly less than the cluster size.

![Figure 7](https://example.com/figure7)

Figure 7. Stellar RDPs built with colour–magnitude filtered photometry together with the best-fitting King-like profile (solid line), the 1σ uncertainty and the background level (shaded polygon). Both OCs present a cusp in the innermost RDP bin.

To derive cluster structural parameters, we fit the RDPs with the analytical function $\sigma(R) = \sigma_B + \sigma_0/[1 + (R/R_c)^2]$, where $\sigma_B$ is the residual background density, $\sigma_0$ is the central density of stars and $R_c$ is the core radius. Formally, it is similar to the King (1962) function that describes the surface-brightness profiles in the central parts of GCs. However, in the present cases it is applied to star counts, with equivalent results (e.g. Bonatto & Bica 2008a). Given the limited radial range of the RDPs, the background level is little constrained and thus, its fit value has large error bars. Besides, the actual cluster size cannot be precisely determined. The central regions, on the other hand, are well sampled. With the above restrictions in mind, the best-fitting solutions (together with the uncertainties) are shown in Fig. 7 and the parameters are given in Table 2.

Within uncertainties, the adopted King-like function describes both RDPs along most of the detected radius range. However, the innermost bin ($R ∼ 0.1$ arcmin) presents a significant excess over the fit in both cases. Such a cusp has been attributed to a post-core collapse structure in old star clusters, like those detected in some GCs (e.g. Trager, King & Djorgovski 1995). Gigayear-old OCs, e.g. NGC 3960 (Bonatto & Bica 2006) and LK 10 (Bonatto & Bica 2009a), also present this dynamical evolution-related feature. Thus, the presence of such features in clusters a few 10⁸ yr old located in the inner Galaxy (Section 3.2) is not unusual.

Based on the extrapolation of the King-like fit into the background (taking into account the respective uncertainties), we estimate that the cluster radius of Teutsch 145 is about $R_{200} ≈ 9 ± 2$ arcmin ($≈ 7$ pc). For Teutsch 146, we estimate the somewhat larger value $R_{200} ≈ 14 ± 4$ arcmin ($≈ 16$ pc).

Compared to the core radii derived for a sample of relatively nearby OCs by Piskunov et al. (2007), the King-like values of the present OCs [0.9 ≤ $R_c$(pc) ≤ 2.2] fall around the mean value of that distribution. However, these values should be taken only as representative, since the inner region of both OCs clearly does not follow the King-like profile.

5 MASS ESTIMATE

About 5 mag of the field-decontaminated MS (together with a few giants) of Teutsch 145 and 146 are detected by the GALILEO photometry (Figs 3–5), which can be used to build the MF $[\phi(m) = \frac{dN}{dm}]$ and estimate the mass stored in stars.

The decontamination algorithm excludes stars in integer numbers from the CMDs, and thus it should be used essentially to determine the intrinsic CMD morphology. However, when magnitude (or mass) bins are considered, the bin-to-bin subtraction of the comparison field contribution (normalized to the same projected areas) is expected to produce fractional numbers, which should be taken into account by, for example, the MFs or the cluster mass (e.g. Bonatto & Bica 2005). Thus, the following analyses are based on colour–magnitude filtered photometry (Figs 3 and 4).

For the present purposes, we consider the regions within $R ≤ 1.8$ and 1.5 arcmin (Figs 1 and 2), respectively, for Teutsch 145 and 146, which correspond to $≈ 1.6$ pc in both cases. The effective MS stellar mass ranges are 1.17 ≤ $m(M_C) ≤ 4.11$ (Teutsch 145) and 0.97 ≤ $m(M_C) ≤ 3.17$ (Teutsch 146). The number of member MS and giant stars is derived by counting the stars (in bins of $\Delta V = 0.5$ mag) and subtracting those in the field (normalized to the same area). The corresponding stellar mass in each magnitude bin is taken from the mass–luminosity relation derived from the isochrone fits (Section 3.2). We found $m_{MS} = 397 ± 37 M_C$ and $m_{giant} = 45 ± 12 M_C$, respectively, for the MS and giant stars of Teutsch 145, and
Table 2. Derived structural parameters.

| Cluster  | $\sigma_{bg}$ ($\arcs$)$^{-2}$ | $\sigma_0$ ($\arcs$)$^{-2}$ | $R_c$ (arcmin) | $l$ arcmin | $\sigma_{bg}$ ($^\circ$ pc)$^{-2}$ | $\sigma_0$ ($^\circ$ pc)$^{-2}$ | $R_c$ (pc) |
|----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Teutsch 145 | 14.4 ± 2.2 | 68.4 ± 7.2 | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 0.774 | 24.0 ± 3.6 | 114.2 ± 12.1 | 0.86 ± 0.13 |
| Teutsch 146 | 13.3 ± 6.1 | 90.0 ± 7.2 | 2.0 ± 0.3 | 1.113 | 10.8 ± 5.2 | 72.6 ± 5.8 | 2.21 ± 0.31 |

Note. Column 5: arcmin to parsec scale.

The intrinsic MS MFs (black circles) are fitted by the function $\phi(m) \propto m^{-\chi}$ (dashed line).

The similar values $m_{MS} = 409 \pm 35$ M$\odot$ and $m_{giant} = 70 \pm 16$ M$\odot$ for Teutsch 146. Thus, the respective total stellar mass values inferred within the spatial region considered are $\approx 440$ and $\approx 480$ M$\odot$.

With the above data, we build the MF for the MSs (Fig. 8). Both MFs are well represented by the function $\phi(m) \propto m^{-1+\chi}$, with the slope $\chi = 1.34 \pm 0.36$ and $1.36 \pm 0.18$, respectively, for Teutsch 145 and 146. These values agree with the $\chi = 1.35$ of Salpeter (1955) initial mass function (IMF). This is not a surprising result, since recent works raise the possibility of a universal IMF that, for the mass range $m \gtrsim 1$ M$\odot$, is essentially Salpeter (e.g. Kroupa 2001). Besides, Salpeter-like slopes also occur (for $m \gtrsim 1$ M$\odot$) in a variety of OCs younger than about 1 Gyr (e.g. fig. 12 in Bonatto & Bica 2009b for the near-infrared, and Maciejewski & Niedzielski 2007 for the optical).

Finally, we estimate the total stellar mass (within $\approx 1.6$ pc) by extrapolating the observed MFs down to the H-burning mass limit (0.08 M$\odot$). We assume the universal IMF of Kroupa (2001), which is characterized by the slopes $\chi = 0.3 \pm 0.5$ for the range $0.08 \leq m(M\odot) \leq 0.5$ and $\chi = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ for $0.5 \leq m(M\odot) \leq 1.0$. We obtain $m_{\text{extr}} \approx 1400 \pm 500$ M$\odot$ in both cases, a value somewhat higher than the mean cluster mass with respect to the nearby OC distribution of Piskunov et al. (2007). For these values, the mass to light ratios are $M/L_V \approx 0.10$ and 0.12, respectively, for Teutsch 145 and 146, consistent with their ages (e.g. Bica, Arimoto & Alloin 1988).

The above mass values should be taken as lower limits, since the clusters are larger than the region ($\approx 1.6$ pc) within which the MFs were computed. Besides, given the restricted spatial range of the observations, the comparison fields are located at the outskirts of the clusters themselves, and thus an oversubtraction of member stars (mostly low-mass stars) certainly occurred.

6 DISCUSSION

The positions of Teutsch 145 and 146, projected on to the Galactic plane, are given in Fig. 9, which shows the spiral arm structure of the Milky Way based on Momany et al. (2006) and Drimmel & Spergel (2001), derived from H II regions and molecular clouds (e.g. Russell 2003). The Galactic bar is shown with an orientation of 14° and 6 kpc of total length (Freudenreich 1998; Vallée 2005).

Both OCs are compared with the spatial distribution of the OCs with known age and distance from the Sun given in the WEBDA database. Two age groups are considered, clusters younger and older than 1 Gyr. In the inner Galaxy, dynamical interactions with the disc, the tidal pull of the Galactic bulge, and collisions with

Figure 9. Projected distribution of the present star clusters compared to the WEBDA OCs younger (grey circles) and older than 1 Gyr (black dots). Clusters are shown on a schematic projection of the Galaxy, as seen from the North pole, with 7.2 kpc as the Sun’s distance to the Galactic Centre. Main structures are identified.
giant molecular clouds, tend to destroy OCs, especially the poorly populated ones, on a time-scale of a few $10^8$ yr (e.g. Bergond, Leon & Guilbert 2001). In this context, it should be expected to find old OCs preferentially outside the solar circle (Fig. 9), a region with lowered tidal stress from the Galaxy and with less probability of encounters with giant molecular clouds (e.g. van den Bergh & McLaren 1980; Friel 1995; Bonatto & Bica 2007b). A similar scenario, with the outer disc hosting predominantly the old population, has been observed in other galaxies as well, e.g. NGC 300 (Vlajić, Bland-Hawthorn & Freeman 2009). The presence of bright stars in young OCs, on the other hand, allows them to be detected farther than the old ones, especially towards the central Galaxy. Central regions more distant than $\sim 2$ kpc begin to be critically affected by completeness effects (due to crowding and high background levels) and enhanced disruption rates (e.g. Bonatto et al. 2006). Besides, all directions show a depletion in the number of OCs detected farther than $\approx 2$ kpc.

Teutsch 145, and especially 146, are located close to the Cruc–Scutum arm, among the most (centrally) distant OCs so far detected. Since they are projected essentially low on the disc ($|b| \lesssim 0.4$), tidal stresses related to collisions with the spiral arm may have induced dynamical effects on them (e.g. Gieles, Athanassoula & Portegies-Zwart 2007; Bonatto & Bica 2008b). As discussed in Section 4, the central cusp in the RDPs may be an example of such an effect. The preferential low-mass star loss, and the resulting MF flattening, might also reflect this mechanism. However, given the distance of the clusters (Section 3.2), the GALILEO photometry could not detect the subsolar mass range (Section 5).

In any case, for both OCs to retain a significant amount of stellar mass (at least $m \sim 1400 M_\odot$) after spending a few $10^8$ yr in the inner Galaxy, their primordial masses might have been significantly higher than the present values.

7 CONCLUDING REMARKS

We use $BVI$ photometry obtained with the TNG (3.58-m) telescope to derive astrophysical parameters and investigate the nature of the two optically faint and poorly known OCs Teutsch 145 ($m_V = 12.4$) and Teutsch 146 ($m_V = 13.3$). Located in the first quadrant, both OCs present heavily field-contaminated CMDs, which makes their nature and properties difficult to establish from optical studies in smaller telescopes.

Decontaminated CMDs show that the two clusters exhibit similar properties, basically a well-populated MS together with a few giants. From these, we derive ages of $200^{+300}_{-100}$ and $400 \pm 100$ Myr, and distances from the Sun $d_\odot = 2.7 \pm 0.3$ and $3.8 \pm 0.2$ kpc, respectively, for Teutsch 145 and 146. Their MFs, detected for stars more massive than $\sim 1 M_\odot$, present slopes similar to Salpeter’s IMF. Extrapolated to the H-burning limit, both cluster masses are of the order of $1400 M_\odot$, which would characterize them as relatively massive OCs. Intrinsically, they are bright OCs, with integrated $M_V \approx -5.6$ and $-5.3$, respectively. However, given the limited spatial range of the observations, the present-day mass values may be somewhat higher.

Teutsch 145 and 146 are located in the inner Galaxy (more than 2 kpc inside the solar circle), a region where cluster-disruption processes are important. Besides, they are close to the Cruc–Scutum arm. Thus, given the ages, their primordial masses must have been higher than the present-day values. With respect to the radial density distribution of stars, they both present a cusp in the innermost region, which might reflect dynamical effects induced by the important external tidal stresses acting along a few $10^8$ yr. The present analysis may shed light on issues such as cluster stability, tidal disruption rates and the future cluster evolution in such harsh environment.
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