Isolation of phytoplasmas associated to frogskin disease in cassava
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ABSTRACT

Frogskin is the most limiting disease of cassava crops in Colombia, causing losses in production up to 90%. Since this disease was associated with 16SrIII phytoplasma presence, a study was carried out to isolate this phytoplasma using liquid and solid culture media. Root, petiol, stem, leaf and cutting tissues of cassava affected by frogsking were employed as source materials. Molecular and microscopy techniques were applied to verify the phytoplasma growth and to discard other microorganism’s presence. The results showed that the media consistently allow phytoplasma growth, and colonies in solid medium were obtained. PCR, qPCR and sequencing tests confirmed the presence of 16SrIII group phytoplasmas in both liquid and solid culture media. Additionally, the isolation of a pigeon pea witches’ broom phytoplasma strain (group 16SrIX) was obtained from stems, petioles and flowers of symptomatic Catharanthus roseus confirming the effectiveness of the medium in the phytoplasma isolation and culture. This is the first isolation of field-collected phytoplasma strains in groups 16SrIII and 16SrIX in America that confirm and corroborate the previous results in phytoplasma cultivation achieved on micropropagated and field-collected phytoplasma infected samples.

Keywords: inoculum; etiology; vascular pathogens; industrial crops.

RESUMEN

En Colombia, el “cuero de sapo” es la enfermedad más limitante del cultivo de yuca, que ocasiona pérdidas en producción de raíces hasta del 90%. La presente investigación tuvo como objetivo, el aislamiento in vitro del fitoplasma asociado a cuero de sapo. Para ello, se emplearon medios de cultivo líquido y sólido, usando tejidos de raíces, peciolos, tallos, hojas y semillas de yuca, afectada por la enfermedad. Pruebas de PCR, qPCR, secuenciación, microscopía de luz y microscopía electrónica de transmisión fueron aplicadas, para verificar el crecimiento de fitoplasmas y descartar la presencia de otros microrganismos. Los resultados muestran que los medios permiten, consistentemente, el crecimiento de fitoplasmas, obteniendo colonias en medio sólido a partir de medio líquido. Las pruebas de PCR, qPCR y secuenciación confirmaron presencia de...
Cassava frogskin phytoplasma del grupo 16SrIII, en los dos medios de cultivo. Además, a partir de las colonias, se lograron fotografías de células con morfología y tamaño similares a las fitoplasmáticas. Es la primera vez, en el mundo, que se consolida información suficiente del aislamiento de fitoplasmas en medio artificial. Adicionalmente, se logró el aislamiento de Pigeon pea witches’ broom phytoplasma del grupo IX, a partir de tallos, peciolos y flores de vinca (Catharanthus roseus), con síntomas asociados a fitoplasmias. Este proceso permitió corroborar la efectividad del medio y la morfología de las células fitoplasmáticas, bajo microscopía electrónica.

Palabras clave: inóculo; etiología; patógenos vasculares; cultivos industriales.

INTRODUCTION

Cassava is the fourth most important commodity after rice, wheat and corn; a major component in the diet of more than 1 billion people and one of the world’s largest source of energy for both human and animal consumption (FAO, 2013). The frogskin disease is one of the main limiting factors for cassava production in Colombia as it directly affects the roots, causing losses in yield up to 90% (Álvarez et al. 2009; Carvajal-Yepes et al. 2014). In addition, it has been reported in Brazil, Costa Rica, Panama, Perú and Venezuela (Oliveira et al. 2014; de Souza et al. 2014; Carvajal-Yepes et al. 2014) and has been associated with both virus (Pineda & Lozano, 1981; Calvert et al. 2008), and phytoplasmas (16SrIII-L) (Álvarez et al. 2003; 2009).

To advance in the knowledge of the disease’s etiology and to understand the effect that a microorganism causes in the plant, it is necessary to achieve its isolation and to develop pathogenicity tests. The main limitations for this study are the phytoplasma isolation and cultivation, for which attempts have been carried out over 40 years ago without success (Hampton et al. 1969; McCoy, 1979; Lee & Davis, 1986).

Some reports of phytoplasma isolation (Fudl Allah et al. 1972; 1973; Ghosh et al. 1975) failed to demonstrate the identity of the isolated organism. In addition, Skripal & Malinovskaia (1984), working with the CMIMB-72 medium reported more than one hundred phytoplasma isolations from different plants, mainly with “stolbur” disease. Subsequently, Poghosyan and Lebsky (2004), using the same medium, reported the isolation of “stolbur” phytoplasmas in potato and tomato. In 2012, Contaldo et al., were able to grow phytoplasmas of several ribosomal subgroups in a culture medium commercially available from Mycoplasma Experience Ltda., until 2014.

The present research was carried out to achieve the isolation of 16SrIII-L phytoplasmas detected in cassava affected by the frogskin disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation. From 2013 to 2015 phytoplasma isolation trials were carried out from different tissues of cassava affected by the frogskin disease (Álvarez et al. 2009). The roots were washed with water to remove excess of dirt and soil and subsequently cut into fragments of 20 cm after epidermis removal. Then they were cut lengthwise to expose the vascular cylinder of the root (phloem and xylem) and finally, these tissues were cut into 5 mm fragments with a sterile scalpel. In addition, tissues such as cuttings, petioles, stems and leaves were processed in similar manner, and washed with sterile distilled water.

In the laminar flow chamber, tissue fragments were wetted with 0.5mL of PivL® (Phytoplasma in vitro liquid medium) with phenol red for two minutes. Then the tissues were transferred to a Vacuette tube (Greiner Bio-One, ref. 45001, K) with 4mL of PivL and incubated at 30°C ±1°C. In vitro cassava shoots obtained from micropropagation were used as negative control, as was the uninoculated medium.

Tubes were evaluated until observing the change of color, turning from orange - red (pH 7) to yellow (pH below 6.8), indicative of microorganism growth. From each of the tubes that changed color, 150μL were removed and transferred to 6cm diameter Petri dishes, which contained 8mL of PivS® (Phytoplasma in vitro solid medium) and gently dispersed. The Petri dishes were then incubated in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% N2 for 5 to 7 days 25°C ±1°C in an anaerobiosis jar containing one AnaeroGen™ envelope which absorbs oxygen and an anaerobiosis indicator (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK).

Additionally, phytoplasma isolation was carried out also from periwinkle [Catharanthus roseus L. (G Don)] midrib tissue, with symptoms of leaf yellowing, stunting, shoot proliferation, flower malformation.

Molecular identification of phytoplasmas. To detect and identify phytoplasma presence and growth in the liquid medium, from each color-changing tube, 1mL aliquots were transferred into eppendorf tubes and centrifuged at 16,000 rpm for 30 minutes in Thermo Scientific Heraeus Pico 17 MicroCentrifuge, 24-Pl Rotor; 120V. The supernatant was discarded, the precipitate was suspended in 20μL of water and aliquots of 2μL were used for PCR and qPCR tests. Colonies grown in PivS® medium were individually removed from micropropagation were used as negative control, as was the uninoculated medium.
The direct PCR reaction was carried out using the universal P1/Tint primers (Deng & Hiruki, 1991; Smart et al. 1996), which amplify a fragment of about 1,600 bp located in the 16S rDNA region, spacer region, and part of the 23S rDNA. For the nested PCR primers R16F2n/R16R2 (Gundersen et al. 1996; Lee et al. 1993), which generate amplicons of about 1,200 bp corresponding to part of the 16Sr region internal to the previous one were used. The amplification profile was initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute and 35 denaturation cycles at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 50°C for 2 min and extension at 72°C for 3 min, followed by a final extension at the same temperature for 10 min. For the nested PCR, 1μL of the amplified product was diluted in 29μL of water and 2μL were employed for amplification under the same conditions as the direct PCR.

A nested PCR specific for phytoplasmas in 16SrIII group was also performed with R16(III)F2/R16(III)R1 primers (Lee et al. 1994) amplifying a 800 bp fragment in the 16Sr gene. For this amplification, the first denaturation was done during 2 min at 94°C but all the other parameter were as described above.

In the case of the cultures from periwinkle tissues, a nested PCR was performed with universal primers 16R738/16R1232 amplifying a fragment of approximately 500 bp in the 16Sr RNA gene (Gibb et al. 1995).

Seven μL of PCR products were separated on a 1.2% of agarose gel, stained with 4μL/200cc SYBR safe (Invitrogen) and visualized under transilluminator (Sambrook et al. 1989). Subsequently, the amplicons were cleaned using the DNA precipitation method with polyethylene glycol and ethanol (Ziv & Fuentes, 2007), and sequenced at the Iowa State University using primers R16(III)F2/R16(III)R1 and 16R738/16R1232 for cassava and periwinkle isolates, respectively.

Sequences were assembled with the Chromas Pro 1.5 program, compared by maximal mating and homology higher percentages to those of selected phytoplasma strains in GenBank, using the BLAST tool of the National Biotechnology Information Center (Altschul et al. 1990). They were also aligned with the Clustal 6.0 program, and deposited at the GenBank.

In addition, qPCR assays were made for the detection of 16SrIII phytoplasmas, following the methodology developed in the Plant Pathology Lab (CIAT). The probe and primers are based on the 16Sr rDNA of 1,160 bp (Jagoueix 1994). As positive control, a citrus DNA sample was used, provided by Saulo Alves Santos de Oliveira (Cassava and Fruticulture, Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation; EMBRAPA, Brazil). The amplification thermal profile was as reported by Jagoueix et al. (1996).

In the case of rickettsia, the samples were amplified using primers CS-78 and CS-323, which amplify a 401bp of the citrate synthase gene (gltA), reported for the detection of Rickettsia spp., by Labruna et al. (2004). Amplification conditions were initial denaturation of three min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec, annealing at 48°C for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 30 sec and a final extension of seven minutes at 72°C. The positive control consisted of a DNA sample of Rickettsia sp. (strain: Atlantic rainforest), kindly provided by Juan David Rodas G., University of Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia.

Bacterial detection was done with universal primers amplifying a 16Sr fragment of 1,600 bp EubB27/EubA1522. The thermic profile was denaturation at 96°C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 96°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 3 min and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min (Giovannoni, 1991; Suzuki & Giovannoni, 1996).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth in liquid medium. The phytoplasma isolation in PivL® culture medium allowed the growth of cassava frogskin phytoplasmas and pigeon pea witches’ broom-related phytoplasmas, from cassava and periwinkle tissues respectively. Experiments showed positive results when tissue from root phloem (Figure 1), cassava cuttings and, periwinkle stems were used. The tubes changed color after 5 to 15 days. No color change was recorded in the other tissues, micropropagated shoots and the medium without inoculation. The nested PCR confirmed the phytoplasma presence by amplifying expected lenght fragments with primers R16 (III) F2/R16 (III)
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R1 from cassava and universal primers 16R738/16R1232 from periwinkle inoculated liquid media. In addition, the positive results of qPCR test with probe and specific primers for cassava frogskin phytoplasma further confirmed the phytoplasmas presence in the samples processed from liquid medium with color change (Figure 2).

Contaldo et al. (2016), showed that is possible phytoplasma isolation from infected plants-host field-collected. In this investigation, the times recorded for the color change in the PivL® medium were much shorter than those achieved in the study of Contaldo et al. (2012), ranging from 16 to 90 days. However, the same authors propose that the difference in time elapsed for the color change may be due to the type of phytoplasma that is being isolated or its concentration in the tissues.

In these experiments conducted with cassava, the liquid medium changed color consistently when plant tissue used was from frogskin diseased materials, but never with healthy roots, leaves, petioles or stems and this is a further evidence of the phytoplasma association with the disease.

Growth in solid medium. Microscopic examination of Petri dishes with PivS® medium from both cassava and periwinkle tissues showed the presence of colonies similar to those formed by mycoplasmas and to those already reported for phytoplasmas (Figures 3 y 4). Colonies are translucent, rounded and difficult to observe with the naked eye. These appear from the second day of incubation and after a week or more, they increase in number. The colonies obtained from cassava and periwinkle tissues are similar in

Figure 1. a. Cassava root with frogskin disease, note the brown shade of the vascular tissue where the phloem beams are concentrated; b. Left tube, root fragments in PivL® medium eight days after sowing and right the negative control containing uninoculated medium; c. Left tube, negative control and right tube, root fragments of healt plant in PivL®.

Figure 2. Real-time PCR of samples of PivL medium seeded with cassava root tissue. Real-time PCR plot with probe and specific primers for the 16SrIII group, indicating that eight of the nine liquid medium samples (PivL) with cassava root tissue were positive for phytoplasma. The positive control corresponded to a plasmid of Cassava frogskin disease phytoplasma.
The colonies obtained are similar to those reported for mycoplasmas (Tully, 1993; 1995; Pitcher et al. 2005) and for phytoplasmas (Poghosyan & Lebsky, 2004; Contaldo et al. 2012; 2016).

Figure 3. Colonies grown in PivS medium from PivL, in an atmosphere of 95% N₂ and 5% CO₂, photographed between 40 and 100X. A, B and C: colonies obtained from cassava tissues; D and E: colonies obtained from periwinkle tissues. A) Colonies at two days incubation; B) Single colony at 5 days of incubation; C) Individual colony at 12 days of incubation; D) Colonies at 3 days incubation; E) Colonies at 8 days incubation.

Figure 4. Colony of Phytoplasma grown in PivS, obtained from cassava root tissues. Photographed a 100X.
Molecular analyses on individual colonies grown in solid medium were positive after R16F2n/R2 PCR tests, followed by nested PCR with universal primers 16R738/16R1232 in the case of periwinkle, and R16 (III) F2/R16 (III) R1 in the case of cassava.

The phytoplasma presence was also confirmed by the qPCR tests with specific primers for phytoplasmas of 16SrIII group, which allowed to verify the presence of cassava frogskin phytoplasma in these colonies.

Sequence analyses of amplified fragments with specific R16 (III) F2/ R16 (III) R1 and universal 16R738/16R1232 primers showed 99 to 100% homology with phytoplasmas reported in the GeneBank from cassava frog skin phytoplasma and periwinkle with group 16SrIX phytoplasmas respectively. In the case of cassava isolates, sequences of 800bp were obtained from liquid medium and individual colonies in solid medium and four of them were deposited in the GeneBank under (accession numbers: KT780862 - KT780865). Also, liquid and solid media inoculated from symptomatic periwinkle showed 99% homology with pigeon pea witches’ broom phytoplasma (16SrIX group) (data not shown).

Results obtained in this study are in agreement with those reported by Contaldo et al. (2012; 2016), demonstrating that phytoplasmas growth can be achieved also from other phytoplasmas from field infected materials such as those belonging to groups 16SrIII and 16SrIX. This methodology will serve in the future as a complement to the diagnosis of plant infections associated with these microorganisms and may be essential for their biological, biochemical and molecular characterization, including pathogenicity and genetic resistance testing by experimental inoculation.

In agreement with recent results (Contaldo et al. 2016) the incubation of the solid medium in an atmosphere saturated with nitrogen and carbon dioxide result to be essential to the obtaining of colonies, since when it was not used, there were contamination problems by other bacteria. The explanation possibly lies in the anaerobic growth condition of phytoplasmas and the use of nitrogen in the electron transport chain to the cell membrane.

The complex reductive evolution of Mollicutes, has led them to the loss of genes for amino acids and cell wall synthesis, among others, these losses require the use of complex culture media for their isolation, which must contain sterols, fatty acids, nucleotides, amino acids and other compounds that meet their nutritional and osmotic pressure requirements (Tully, 1995; Jensen et al. 1996; Meseguer et al. 2012). Analogously, the culture media described in phytoplasma isolation studies contain the same and other elements (Fudl Allah et al. 1972; 1973; Ghosh et al. 1975; Skripal & Malinovskaia, 1984). On the other hand, the recently reported medium CB (Contaldo et al. 2016) is quite similar to the medium employed for mycoplasma growth further confirming the close relationship between phytoplasmas and some of the human infecting mycoplasmas (Gasparich et al. 2004).

Currently there are a total sequencing of five ‘Candidatus Phytoplastas’ (‘Ca. P. asteris’ strains OY-M and AY-WB, two strains of ‘Ca. P. australiense’ and one of ‘Ca. P. mali’) indicating that their genome size is below 900 b (Kube et al. 2012). This makes the isolation of phytoplastas even more difficult, since, in addition to the absence of many genes involved in the amino acids synthesis, nucleotides, fatty acids, tricarboxylic acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation, there is the lack of genes needed for the pentose phosphate pathway and subunits of ATP synthase, essential for life (Oshima et al. 2004). This could also explain why there are more successful cases of isolation of mycoplasmas than isolation of phytoplastas.

Electron Microscopy. The electron microscopy observations of embedded materials allowed to confirm the prokaryotic cells presence with shape and size similar to those observed for phytoplastas (Figure 5). The predominant morphology was pleomorphic, circular and oval, which is typical of phytoplastas, with no notable differences between the isolates from cassava and periwinkle. The average size was approximately 500nm in diameter in the rounded cells, and up to 2μm for the pleomorphic ones (data not shown).

The electron microscopy observations showed in colonies the presence of prokaryotic cells with shape and size similar to those observed for phytoplastas (Chapman et al. 2001; Siddique et al. 2001; Pribylova et al. 2001; Musetti et al. 2002; Bertaccini & Lee, 2018) in plant infected tissues. In electron microscopy studies dimensions ranging from 120nm to 500nm in diameter and 1.2μm or longer in length were reported (Bertaccini & Marani, 1980; Waters & Hunt, 1980; Lee et al. 2000). Chapman et al. (2001) claim that the morphological changes in phytoplastas cells heavily depends on the species involved, age, phloem anatomical aspects and other factors.

Complementary molecular tests. The PCR tests with universal primers for ‘Ca. Liberibacter’ spp. and Rickettsia were negative in all cases of individual colonies grown in the PrvS culture medium tested, but the positive controls were amplified (data not shown). In the same way, PCR tests with universal primers for other bacterial genera, did not allow the amplification of the expected DNA fragment, indicating that no contamination was present in the colonies. However, in tests performed in the absence of nitrogen and conditions that did not guarantee anaerobiosis, amplification was obtained and after sequencing, bacterial contamination was detected with bacteria identified as enterobacteraeaceae (data not shown).

On the other hand, the ‘Ca. Liberibacter’ spp are limited to phloem and Rickettsia is limited to xylem, these pathogens have a great morphological similarity with the cells observed in this study and this was the main reason for carrying out the molecular tests for their detection. Results show that none of these microorganisms is present in the colonies obtained.

In conclusion, the evidence of phytoplastas growth generated in this research was achieved by different techniques such as light and electron microscopy, PCR, qPCR, and sequencing studies, taking into consideration the colonies morphology, size, shape and cellular characteristics, the 16S rRNA gene sequences.
This study allowed to demonstrate the consistent presence of phytoplasma 16SrIII to the phloem of cassava root with frogskin disease. This behavior can be explained by the distribution of phytoplasmas in the plant, being these pathogens forced to restrict themselves to the phloem by the lack of cell wall (Doi et al. 1967; Gundersen et al. 1996; Marcone, 2013), they are reported in many cases as located in the roots, in which sugar sources are mostly accumulated.

Conflicts of interest: The manuscript was prepared and revised by all authors, who declare the absence of any conflict which can put the validity of the presented results in risk.

REFERENCES

1. ALTSCHUL, S.; GISH, W.; MILLER, W.; MYERS, E.; LIPMAN, D. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Molecular Biology. 215:403-410. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2

2. ÁLVAREZ, E.; MEJÍA, J.; LOKE, J.; HERNÁNDEZ, L.; LLANO, G.A. 2003. Detecting the phytoplasma-frogskin disease association in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) in Colombia. Phytopathology. 93:S4.

3. ÁLVAREZ, E.; MEJÍA, J.; LLANO, G.; LOKE, J.; CALARI, A.; DUDUK, B.; BERTACCINI, A. 2009. Characterization of a phytoplasma associated with frogskin disease in cassava. Plant Disease. 93:1139-1145. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-93-11-1139

4. BERTACCINI A.; MARANI, F. 1980. Mycoplasma-like organisms in Gladiolus sp. plants with malformed and virescent flowers. Phytopath. Medit. 19:121-128.

5. BERTACCINI, A.; LEE, I.-M. 2018. Phytoplasmas: an update. In: Phytoplasmas: Plant Pathogenic Bacteria-I. En: Rao, G.P.; Bertaccini, A.; Fiore, N.; Liefting, L. (eds). Characterization and Epidemiology of Phytoplasma-Associated Diseases. Chapter 1. Springer, Singapure. p.1-29.

6. CALVERT, L.; CUERVO, M.; LOZANO, I.; VILLAREAL, N.; ARROYAVE, J. 2008. Identification of three strains of a virus associated with cassava plants affected by frogskin disease. J. Phytopathology. 156(11-12):647-653. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.2008.01412.x

7. CARVAJAL-YEPES, M.; OLAYA, C.; LOZANO, I.; CUERVO, M.; CASTAÑO, M.; CUELLAR, W. 2014. Unraveling complex viral infections in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) from Colombia. Virus Research. 186:76-86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2013.12.011

8. CHAPMAN, G.; BUERKLE, E.; BARROWS, E.; DAVIS, R.E.; DALLY, E. 2001. A light and transmission electron microscope study of a black locust tree, Robinia pseudoacacia...
Betancourth, C.; Pardo, J.M.; Muñoz, J.; Álvarez, E.: Phytoplasma in cassava (Fabaceae), affected by witches’ broom and classification of the associated phytoplasma. J. Phytopathology. 149:589-597. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0434.2001.00673.x

9. CONTALDO, N.; BERTACCINI, A.; PALTRINIERI, S.; WINDSOR, H.; WINDSOR, G. 2012. Axenic culture of plant pathogenic phytoplasmas. Phytopathologia Mediterranea. 51(3):607-617. https://doi.org/10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-11773

10. CONTALDO, N.; SATTA, E.; ZAMBON, Y.; PALTRINIERI, S.; BERTACCINI, A. 2016. Development and evaluation of different complex media for phytoplasma isolation and growth. J. Microbiological Methods. 127:105-110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2016.05.031

11. DE SOUZA, A.; DA SILVA, F.; BEDENDO, I.; CARVALHO, C. 2014. A phytoplasma belonging to a 16SrIII-A subgroup and dsRNA virus associated with cassava frogskin disease in Brazil. Plant Disease. 98(6):771-779. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-04-13-0440-RE

12. DENG, S.; HIRUKI, C. 1991. Amplification of 16S rRNA genes from culturable and nonculturable Mollicutes. J. Microbiology Methods. 14:53-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012(91)90007-D

13. DOI, Y.; TERANAKA, M.; YORA, K.; ASUYAMA, H. 1967. Mycoplasma or PLT group like microorganisms found in the phloem elements of plants infected with mulberry dwarf, potato witches’ broom, aster yellows or paulownia witches’ broom. Annal Phytopathology Society Japan. 33:259-266. https://doi.org/10.3186/jjphytopath.33.259

14. FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS - FAO. 2013. Save and Grow: Cassava. A Guide to Sustainable Production Intensification. Rome. Disponible desde Internet en: http://www.fao.org/docrep/018/i3278e/i3278e.pdf (con acceso 11/06/2016).

15. FUDL-ALLAH, A.; CALAVAN, E.; IGWEGBE, E. 1972. Culture of a mycoplasma-like organism associated with stubborn disease of citrus. Phytopathology. 62:729-731. https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-62-729

16. FUDL-ALLAH, A.; CALAVAN, E. 1973. Effect of temperature and pH on growth in vitro of a mycoplasma-like organism associated with stubborn disease of citrus. Phytopathology. 63:256-259. https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-63-256

17. GASPARICH, G.E.; WHITCOMB, R.F.; DODGE, D.; FRENCH, EE.; GLASS, J.; WILLIAMSON, D.L. 2004. The genus Spiroplasma and its nonhelical descendants: phylogenetic classification, correlation with phenotype and roots of the Mycoplasma mycoides clade. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54:893-918. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.002688-0

18. GHOSH, S.; RAYCHAUDHURI, S.; CHENULI, V.; VARAM, A. 1975. Isolation, cultivation and characterization of mycoplasma-like organisms from plants. Proc. Indian National Science Academy. 41(4):362-366.

19. GIBB, K.; PADOVAN, A.; MOGEN, B. 1995. Studies on sweet potato little-leaf phytoplasmas detected in sweet potato and other plant species growing in Northern Australia. Phytopathology. 85:169-174. https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-85-169

20. GIOVANNONI, S. 1991. The polymerase chain reaction. In: Stackebrandt, E.; Goodfellow, M. (eds). Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. John Wiley and Sons. New York, p.17. https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.3620310616

21. GUINDERSEN, D.E.; LEE, I.-M.; SCHAFF, D.A.; HARRISON, N.A.; CHANG, C.J.; DAVIS, R.E.; KINGSBURY, D.T. 1996. Genomic diversity and differentiation among phytoplasma strains in 16S rRNA groups I (aster yellows) and III (X-disease). Internal J. Systematic Bacteriology. 46(1):64-75. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-46-1-64

22. HAMPTON, R.; STEVENS, J.; ALLEN, T. 1969. Mechanically transmissible mycoplasma from naturally infected peas. Plant Disease Report. 53:449-503.

23. JAGOUEx, S.; BOVÉ, J.; GARNIER, M. 1994. The phloem-limited bacterium of greening disease of citrus is a member of the alpha subdivision of the proteobacteria. Internal J. Systematic Bacteriology. 44:397-386. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-44-3-379

24. JAGOUEx, S.; BOVÉ, J.; GARNIER, M. 1996. PCR detection of the two 'Candidatus' liberobacter species associated with greening disease of citrus. Molecular and Cellular Probes. 10:43-50. https://doi.org/10.1006/mcp.1996.0006

25. JENSEN, J.; HANSEN, H.; LIND, K. 1996. Isolation of Mycoplasma genitalium strains from the male urethra. J. Clinical Microbiology. 34:286-291.

26. KUBE, M.; MITROVIC, J.; DUDUK, B.; RABUS, R.; SEEMÜLLER, E. 2012. Current view on phytoplasma genomes and encoded metabolism. The Scientific World Journal. 2012:185942. https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/185942

27. LABRUNA, M.; WHITWORTH, T.; HORTA, M.; BOUYER, D; MCBRIDE, J.; PINTER, A.; POPOV, V.; GENNARI, S.; WALKER, D. 2004. Rickettsia species infecting Amblyomma cooperi ticks from an area in State of São Paulo, Brazil, where Brazilian spotted fever is endemic. J Clin Microbiol. 42:90-98. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.1.90-98.2004
28. LEE, I.-M.; DAVIS R.E 1986. Prospects for in vitro culture of plant pathogenic mycoplasma like organisms. Annual Reviews of Phytopathology. 24:339-354. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pv.24.090186.002011

29. LEE, I.-M.; HAMMOND, R.H.; DAVIS, R.E.; GUNDERSEN, D.E. 1993. Universal amplification and analysis of pathogen 16S rDNA for classification and identification of mycoplasma-like organisms. Phytopathology. 83:834-842. https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-83-834

30. LEE, I.-M.; GUNDERSEN, D.; HAMMOND, R.H.; DAVIS, R.E. 1994. Use of mycoplasma like organism (MLO) group specific oligonucleotide primers for nested PCR assays to detect mixed MLO infections in a single host plant. Phytopathology. 84:559-566. https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-84-559

31. LEE, I.-M.; DAVIS, R.E.; GUNDERSEN, D.H. 2000. Phytoplasmas: phytopathogenic Mollicutes. Annual Review of Microbiology. 54:221-255. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.Micro.54.1.221

32. MARCONE, C. 2013. Movement of phytoplasmas and the development of disease in the plant. In: Weintraub, P.; Jones, P (eds). Phytoplasmas: Genomes, Plant Hosts and Vectors. CAB International. p.114-131. https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845935306.0114

33. MCCOY, R.E. 1979. Mycoplasmas and yellows diseases. In: Whitcomb, R.F.; Tully, J.G. (eds). The mycoplasmas. Academic Press. New York. 3:229-264.

34. MESEGUER, M.; BOGA, B.; ANDREU, L.; GRAU, G. 2012. Diagnóstico microbiológico de las infecciones por Mycoplasma spp. y Ureaplasma spp. Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. 30(8):500-504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2011.10.020

35. MUSSETTI, R.; LOI, N.; CARRARO, L.; ERMACORA, P. 2002. Application of immunoelectron microscopy techniques in the diagnosis of phytoplasmas. Microscopy Res. Technique. 56:462-464. https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.10061

36. OLIVEIRA, S.A.S.; ABREU, E.F.M.; ARAÚJO, T.S.; OLIVEIRA, E.J; ANDRADE, E.C.; GARCIA, J.M.P.; ALVAREZ, E. 2014. First Report of a 16SrIII-L phytoplasma associated with frogskin disease in Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) in Brazil. Plant Disease. 98(1):153-154. https://doi-org/10.1094/PDIS-05-13-0499-PDN

37. OSHIMA, K.; KAKIZAWA, S.; NISHIGAWA, H.; JUNG, H.; WEI, W.; SUSUKI, S; ARASHIDA, R.; NAKATA, D.; MIYAYTA, S.; UGAKI, M.; NAMBA, S. 2004. Reductive evolution suggested from the complete genome sequence of a plant pathogenic phytoplasma. Nature Genetics. 36:2729. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1277

38. PINEDA, B.; LOZANO, J.C. 1981. Investigaciones sobre la enfermedad del “cuero de sapo” en yuca (Manihot esculenta Crantz). Cali, CIAT. 16p.

39. PITCHER, D.; WINDSOR, D.; WINDSOR, H.; BRABURY, J.; YAVARI, C.; JENSEN, J.; LING, C.; WEBSTER, D. 2005. Mycoplasma amphoriforme sp. nov., isolated from a patient with chronic bronchopneumonia. Internal J. Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology. 55:2589-2594. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63269-0

40. POGHOSYAN, A.; LEBSKY, V. 2004. Aislamiento y estudio ultraestructural de tres cepas de fitoplasmas causantes de enfermedades tipo “stolbur” en Solanaceae. Fitopatología Colombiana. 28(1):21-30.

41. PRIBYLOVA, J.; SPAK, J.; FRANOVÁ, J.; PETRZIK, K. 2001. Association of aster yellows subgroup 16SrI-B phytoplasmas with a disease of Rehmannia glutinosa var purpurea. Plant Pathology. 50:776-781. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.2001.00638x

42. SAMBROOK, J.; FRITSCHE, E.; MANIATIS, T. 1989. Molecular Cloning A Laboratory Manual. 2nd Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York. 215p.

43. SIDDIQUE, A.; AGRAWAL, G.; ALAM, N.; REDDY, M. 2001. Electron microscopy and molecular characterization of phytoplasmas associated with little leaf disease of brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) and periwinkle (Catharanthus roseus L.) in Bangladesh. J. Phytopathology. 149:237-244. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0434.2001.00590.x

44. SMART, C.; SCHNEIDER, B.; BLOMQQUIST, C.; GUERRA, L.; HARRISON, N.; AHRENS, U.; LORENZ, K.; SEEMULLER, E.; KIRKPATRICK, B. 1996. Phytoplasma-specific PCR primers based on sequences of the 16S-23S rRNA spacer region. Appl. Environm. Microbiol. 62:2988-2993.

45. SKRIPAL, I.G.; MALINOVSKAIA, L.P. 1984. Medium SMIMB-72 para aislamiento y cultivo de micoplasmas fitopatógenos. Microbiologicheskii Zhurnal. 46(2):71-75.

46. SUZUKI, M.; GIOVANNONI, S. 1996. Bias caused by template annealing in the amplification of mixtures of 16S rRNA genes by PCR. Appl. Environ. Microb. 62:625-630.
47. TULLY, J.G. 1993. Current status of the mollicute flora of humans. Clinical Infectious Disease. 17(Suppl.1):S2-S9.

48. TULLY, J.G. 1995. Culture medium formulation for primary isolation and maintenance of mollicutes. In: Razin, S.; Tully, J.(Eds). Molecular and Diagnostic Procedures in Mycoplasmology. Academic Press. San Diego. p.119-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012583805-4/50005-4

49. WATERS, H.; HUNT, P. 1980. The in vivo three dimensional form of a plant mycoplasma like organisms by the analysis of serial ultrathin sections. J. General Microbiology. 116:111-131. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-116-1-111

50. ZIV, A.; FUENTES, C. 2007. Improved purification and PCR amplification of DNA from elemental samples. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 272(2):269-275. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00764.x