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Abstract: The construction of a high stability heterogeneous catalyst for privileged common catalysis is a benefit in regard to reuse and separation. Herein, a palladium diphenylphosphine-based hollow-shell-structured mesoporous catalyst (HS@PdPPh$_2$@MSN) was prepared by immobilizing bis(diphenylphosphino)ethyltrithoxysilane)palladium acetate onto the inner wall of a mesoporous organicsilicane hollow shell, whose surface was protected by a –Si(Me)$_3$ group. Electron microscopies confirmed its hollow-shell-structure, and structural analyses and characterizations revealed its well-defined single-site active species within the silicate network. As presented in this study, the newly constructed HS@PdPPh$_2$@MSN enabled an efficient Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction for varieties of substrates with up to 95% yield in mild conditions. Meanwhile, it could be reused at least five times with good activity, indicating its excellent stability and recyclability. Furthermore, the cost-effective and easily synthesized HS@PdPPh$_2$@MSN made it a good candidate for employment in fine chemical engineering.
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1. Introduction

The development of specific porous materials for improving the atom-effectiveness of the privileged catalysts is an important issue in organic synthesis [1–3]. Palladium catalysts are well-known for their high activity in coupling reactions [4–7], especially for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction, which is an essential method to construct biaryls in pharmaceuticals, polymers, and agrochemical compounds [8–12]. Currently, considerable studies have been processed in exploiting palladium-based homogeneous [13–22] and/or heterogeneous catalysts [23–32] with high activities and universals for the ranges of the substrate in synthesis biaryls. However, the palladium-based homogeneous catalysts are always expensive and difficult to separate from the reaction limiting their application in the industry [22]. In comparison, palladium-based heterogeneous catalysts, prepared by immobilizing catalytic activity sites on supports such as silica [23–25], supramolecular [26,27], polymers [28–30], and metal-organic porous materials [31,32], have shown excellent advantages in stability and recyclability, whereas some of these heterogeneous Pd catalysts show a lower activity due to the impeding access between catalytic-active sites and substrates compared to their corresponding homogeneous species [33]. Therefore, exploiting suitable methods and specific materials to construct efficient palladium-based heterogeneous catalysts has no doubt arouse a research interest in recent years.

Hollow-shell structures are distinctive void shell configuration materials [34–37]. In recent years, they have attracted tremendous interest in nanoreactors [38], biomedicine [39], lithium-ion batteries [40–42], and photocatalysis [43]. Benefiting from the hierarchical porous structures of the shell, the confined functionality of the inner shell showed good
substance-loading properties, as well as faster mass diffusion [16]. Thus, the affinity of the substrates and the catalytic-active site improved within hollow shells, making them good candidates as highly active catalytic materials. Significantly, the immobilization of the catalytic active site onto the specific skeleton mesoporous silica materials was extensively employed for improving its activity and recyclability [44–46]. For example, the silica gel-supported N-heterocyclic carbene-Pd (NHC-Pd) [25] and the core-shell hybrid $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2@\text{Pd}$ catalyst [24,47] compared to those reported mesoporous silica-supported Pd catalysts, the functionality of the hollow in the shell-structure has rarely been studied in regard to Suzuki-Miyamura cross-coupling reactions. By protecting the outer wall of the hollow shells by specific hydrophobic groups, the catalytically active sites could be accurately located on the inner wall, while the hollow provided a relatively homogeneous space that was isolated by a permeable shell, thereby providing a promising platform for heterogeneous catalysis application [48,49].

Inspired by the unique hollow-shell structure and high stability of porous organosilane-assisted materials, we modified the inner wall with 2-(diphenylphosphino)ethyltriethoxysilane and cross-linked the derivatives diphenylphosphine with Pd(OAc)$_2$ to construct hollow-shell-structured mesoporous silica-based heterogeneous catalyst HS@PdPPh$_2$@MSN. The structural analyses and characterizations indicated the well-defined single-site Pd$^{2+}$ active species within the inner shell, and the afforded HS@PdPPh$_2$@MSN exhibited excellent catalytic capacity and activity compared to those of related homogeneous controls and other core/hollow shell solid catalysts. Furthermore, HS@PdPPh$_2$@MSN possessed excellent stability and recyclability, which could be reused at least five times without a significant loss of activity. The easy and cost-effective construction of this heterogeneous HS@PdPPh$_2$@MSN made it a candidate for applications in fine chemical engineering.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis and Structural Characterization of the Hollow-Shell Catalyst

Hollow-shell-structured (PPh$_2$)$_2$Pd(OAc)$_2$-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles, abbreviated as HS@PdPPh$_2$@MSN (3), were synthesized through a simple post-grafting-complexation three-step procedure, as shown in Scheme 1. The first step was the co-condensation of tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) and 1,2-bis(triethoxysilyl)ethane, followed by the modification of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) leading to silylated core-shell-structured nanoparticles Me@SiO$_2@$NPs. The second step was the post grafting of diphenyl(2-(triethoxysilyl)ethyl)phosphane within the inner surface of the silylated Me@HS@MSN (1), which was obtained by an etching process in toluene for 12 h under refluxing condition. The third step was the direct complexation of the immobilized diphenyl(2-(triethoxysilyl)ethyl)phosphane with Pd(OAc)$_2$ in the cavity of the hollow-shell-structured mesoporous silica and producing of the coarse catalyst 3, which was subjected to a Soxhlet extraction to remove the unreactive materials providing its pure form as a gray powder. For comparison, an analog (catalyst 3’) of catalyst 3, which was unprotected by hexamethyldisilazane, was also prepared by a similar procedure (see Supplementary Information in the Experimental Section, and Figures S1–S2). The thermal gravimetric (TG) analysis revealed that the PdPPh$_2$-loadings in catalyst 3 was 97.47 mg (0.92 mmol) per gram catalyst, which was in accordance with the mole amount of Pd-loadings (1.03 mmol (110.6 mg) per gram catalyst 3) detected by using an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) analysis.

The solid-state $^{13}$C cross-polarization (CP)/magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectroscopy was collected to confirm the incorporation of the (PPh$_2$)$_2$Pd(OAc)$_2$-functionality within the inner sphere of the hollow shell of three. As shown in Figure 1, the strong carbon signals around 5.6 ppm belonged to the –SiCH$_2$CH$_2$Si– groups for the ethylene-bridged moiety in catalyst 3, suggesting its ethylene-bridged network of the organosilicate shell. Especially, the characteristic peaks at 26.9 and 188.5–174.3 ppm for the carbon atoms of –CH$_2$P and –PC$_6$H$_5$ groups were presented in catalyst 3, similar to those of its homogeneous counterpart [50]. Further, in the spectrum of three, the strong signals for the carbon atoms
of the aromatic ring could be observed clearly, while all these peaks were absent in the spectrum of one, revealing that three had the same well-defined single-site active species as its homogeneous counterpart. Moreover, its solid-state $^{31}$P CP MAS spectrum (Figure 2) also demonstrated the same well-defined single-site active species as its homogeneous counterpart [51].

**Figure 1.** The solid-state $^{13}$C CP MAS NMR spectra of one and catalyst 3.

**Figure 2.** The solid-state $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectrum of catalyst 3.
Additionally, the solid-state $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectroscopy was collected and confirmed the organosilicate network and composition of catalyst 3. As shown in Figure 3, there were a few differences among the precursors (1 and 2) and catalyst 3 in the solid-state $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra, and two typical signals (where Q signals were attributed to inorganosilica, while T signals corresponded to organosilica) were distributed broadly from −40 to −150 ppm. As compared to those typical isomer shift values in the literature [9], the $T^2$ signal of the T-series at 57.1 ppm presented the [(R–Si(OSi)$_2$(OH))] or [(R–Si(OSi)$_2$(CH$_2$CH$_3$))], where R = alkyl-linked PPh$_2$Pd(OAc)$_2$ in three and/or ethylene-bridged group in one and two, and the strongest $T^3$ signal of the T-series at −67.6 ppm suggested R–Si(OSi)$_3$ organosilicate species. In the silica wall of the hollow-shell silica, the intensity of T signals was markedly higher than that of Q signals, and the other three Q signals at −91, −102, and −111 ppm were attributed to $Q^2$ ((Me$_3$Si–O)$_4$Si), $Q^3$ (Si(OSi)$_3$(OH)), and $Q^4$ (Si(OSi)$_4$) species coming from the TEOS precursor. The above results demonstrated that the incorporated precursors were covalently converted within its organosilica network.

Figure 3. The solid-state $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectrum of catalyst 3.

The morphology and ordered mesostructure of three were further investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and nitrogen adsorption-desorption measurements. As shown in Figure 4, the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm of three showed a typical type IV isotherm with an H1 hysteresis loop and a visible step at $P/P_0 = 0.45–0.95$ demonstrating its mesoporous structure. The pore size distribution of catalyst 3 revealed that it had uniform mesopores of about 6.3 nm (see Supplementary Information in Figure S3), which were similar to that of the corresponding pure Me@HS@MSN and HS@PPh$_2$@MSN materials, except for the reduced surface area (64.72 m$^2$/g for HS@PPh$_2$@MSN, 51.23 m$^2$/g for catalyst 3, versus 74.72 m$^2$/g for Me@HS@MSN), and pore volume (0.14 cm$^3$/g for HS@PPh$_2$@MSN, 0.08 cm$^3$/g for catalyst 3, versus 0.17 cm$^3$/g for Me@HS@MSN) suggesting that the decoration of the PPh$_2$ and the complexation of Pd with PPh$_2$ led to nanopore narrowing in catalyst 3. Figure 5 presented the hollow-shell-structured morphology of catalyst 3. Its SEM images showed that the nanospheres were uniformly dispersed; its average particle size was ~445 nm (Figure 5a). The TEM images were also collected and showed that each nanosphere had a cavity of ~369 nm diameter, and the thickness of the silica shell was about 82 nm (Figure 5b). Further, in the TEM images, under a large-scale bar, we could find that Pd was concentrated on the inner sphere; however, the particle size of Pd was still ambiguous. This might be due to Pd$^{2+}$ ions being coordinated with diphenyl(2-(triethoxysilyl)ethyl)phosphane. This phenomenon further confirmed that there were no Pd nanoparticles dispersed in the hollow cavity. Furthermore, a TEM image with chemical mapping was (Figure 5c) also collected, demonstrating that palladium active centers were successfully entrapped within the inner cavity.
electron microscopy (TEM) images of catalyst showing the distribution of Si (pink), O (blue), and Pd (green).

The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of one and catalyst 3.

Figure 4. The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of one and catalyst 3.

Figure 5. (a) The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of catalyst 3, (b) the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of catalyst 3, (c) a TEM image with the chemical mapping of 3 showing the distribution of Si (pink), O (blue), and Pd (green).
2.2. Catalytic Performance of the Heterogeneous Catalyst

With the obtained well-established heterogeneous catalyst on hand, a series of Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions were optimized, as shown in Table 1. During this process, we chose the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene (4a) and phenylboronic acid (5a) as a model reaction, wherein the reaction was carried out by using 1.0 mol% of Pd-loading in three as a catalyst at 35 °C for the optimization of the reaction conditions. In the case of the screen of bases, we found that the Na₂CO₃ was the optimal base because the reaction produced the targeted product 4-methoxy-1,1′-biphenyl (6a) in a 95% isolated yield, which was better than those with other bases (Table 1, entry 1 versus entries 2–6). Additionally, a series of co-solvents were screened, and the results showed that the activity of the catalyst 3 for the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction was better in the hydrophilic solvent (Table 1, entries 7–9) than in the hydrophobic solvent (Table 1, entries 1–5). During this process, the optimal co-solvent was MeOH/H₂O (v/v = 2/1). Especially, differing from the traditional high temperature for Suzuki-coupling, the catalysis activity of the catalyst 3 did not increase with increasing temperature (Table 1, entries 13–16). Those findings demonstrated that the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction catalyzed by catalyst 3 with 1 mol% Pd-loading in 3.0 mL of the co-solvent MeOH/H₂O (v/v = 2/1) at 35 °C gave the best result. It was worth mentioning that the model reaction catalyzed by three had an obviously higher yield than that obtained with the homogenous Pd(OAc)₂, and even that with a mixture of Pd(OAc)₂ and PPh₃ (Table 1, entry 1 versus entries 2–5).

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions.

| Entry | Solvent            | Base         | Time (h) | Temperature (°C) | Yield (%) |
|-------|--------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1     | MeOH/H₂O          | K₂CO₃        | 1        | 35              | 95        |
| 2     | MeOH/H₂O          | NaHCO₃       | 1        | 35              | 50        |
| 3     | MeOH/H₂O          | Et₃N         | 1        | 35              | 40        |
| 4     | MeOH/H₂O          | NaOH         | 1        | 35              | -         |
| 5     | MeOH/H₂O          | DABCO        | 1        | 35              | -         |
| 6     | MeOH/H₂O          | DBU          | 1        | 35              | -         |
| 7     | EtOH/H₂O          | K₂CO₃        | 1.5      | 35              | 90        |
| 8     | iPrOH/H₂O         | K₂CO₃        | 1.5      | 35              | 90        |
| 9     | Acetone/H₂O       | K₂CO₃        | 1.5      | 35              | 90        |
| 10    | DCM/H₂O           | K₂CO₃        | 2        | 35              | 10        |
| 11    | EA/H₂O            | K₂CO₃        | 2        | 35              | 36        |
| 12    | 1,4-dioxane       | K₂CO₃        | 2        | 35              | 79        |
| 13    | MeOH/H₂O          | K₂CO₃        | 1        | 25              | 60        |
| 14    | MeOH/H₂O          | K₂CO₃        | 1        | 45              | 95        |
| 15    | MeOH/H₂O          | K₂CO₃        | 1        | 55              | 95        |
| 16    | MeOH/H₂O          | K₂CO₃        | 1        | 65              | 96        |
| 17    | MeOH/H₂O          | Pd(OAc)₂     | 1        | 35              | 90        |
| 18    | MeOH/H₂O          | Pd(OAc)₂ + PPh₃ | 1       | 35              | 50        |
| 19    | MeOH/H₂O          | 3’           | 1        | 35              | 90        |

*a Reaction conditions: catalyst 3 (5.0 mg, 1 mol%, 5.0 μmol of Pd based on the inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis), aryl boronic acid (0.75 mmol), aryl halide (0.5 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol) in 3.0 mL of the co-solvent MeOH/H₂O (v/v = 2/1), at 35 °C, 1–3 h. b Isolated yields. c Data were obtained using Pd(OAc)₂ as a catalyst. d Data were obtained using the mixed Pd(OAc)₂ and PPh₃ as a catalyst. e Data were obtained using the analog 3’ of catalyst 3 without the protection of –Si(Me)₃ as a catalyst.

To elucidate the benefit of the surface protection of –Si(Me)₃ for the designed silica-supported heterogeneous catalyst 3, the kinetic reaction profiling for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction catalyzed by Pd(OAc)₂, three, and 3’ (the three capped with Si(CH₃)₃ groups was obtained by silanization of 3’ and hexamethyldisilazane) were compared to demonstrate their differences in the catalytic performance (Figure 6).
found that three had a higher reaction speed and yield than that attained with the others, elucidating that surface silanols in catalyst 3 could promote synergistically cross-coupling by concentrating reactants inside the hollow shell. This observation was strongly similar to that reported in the literature [52,53], disclosing the superiority of the designed catalyst 3.

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** Kinetic results of the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene and phenylboronic acid.

Having established the above catalytic system, the general applicability of the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction was further investigated with a series of substituted aryl boronic acid and aryl halide as substrates (Table 2). As expected, catalyst 3 could convert various two-component substrates smoothly into the responding biphenyl derivatives in good yields (85–95%), bearing both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents on the meta/para-position except the aryl halide derivatives with nitro on the ortho-position, which got a relatively low yield (85%; Table 2, entry 4), similar to those reported in the literature [38].

Beyond the aim of the construction of a site-isolated heterogeneous catalyst 3 for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction, another important consideration in the design of heterogeneous catalyst was the ease of separation by simple centrifugation and the ability to retain its catalytic activity and enantioselectivity after multiple recycles. It was found that the heterogeneous catalyst 3 could be easily recovered by simple centrifugation. It was found that, in five consecutive reactions, the recycled catalyst 3 could still give 90% ee in the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene and phenylboronic acid (see Supplementary Information Figure S10).

| Entry | X | R₁ | R₂ | Time (h) | Yield (%) |
|-------|---|----|----|---------|-----------|
| 1     | I | 4-COMe | H  | 1       | 95        |
| 2     | I | 4-Cl | H  | 1       | 90        |
| 3     | I | 4-Me | H  | 1       | 90        |
| 4     | Br| 4-NO₂| H  | 1       | 85        |
| 5     | Br| 4-Cl | H  | 1       | 95        |
| 6     | Br| 4-OMe| H  | 1       | 90        |
| 7     | Br| 2-Me | H  | 1       | 90        |
| 8     | Br| 4-CN | H  | 1       | 92        |
| 9     | Br| 4-CH₃| H  | 1       | 92        |
Table 2. Cont.

| Entry | X   | R₁     | R₂     | Time (h) | Yield (%) b |
|-------|-----|--------|--------|----------|-------------|
| 10    | Br  | 4-Cl   | 4-CF₃  | 1        | 95          |
| 11    | Br  | 4-CN   | 4-CF₃  | 4        | 85          |
| 12    | Br  | 4-Me   | 4-CF₃  | 1        | 85          |
| 13    | Br  | 4-OMe  | 4-OMe  | 1.5      | 90          |
| 14    | Br  | 4-CN   | 4-OMe  | 1        | 90          |
| 15    | Br  | 4-Me   | 4-OMe  | 1.5      | 94          |
| 16    | Br  | 4-Cl   | 4-OMe  | 1        | 92          |
| 17    | Br  | 4-OH   | H      | 1        | 95          |
| 18    | Br  | H      | 4-OMe  | 1        | 95          |
| 19    | Br  | H      | 4-CF₃  | 1        | 95          |
| 20    | Br  | COMe   | H      | 1        | 95          |
| 21    | Br  | COMe   | 4-OMe  | 1        | 95          |

a Reaction conditions: catalyst 3 (5.0 mg, 1 mol%, 5.0 µmol of Pd based on the ICP analysis), aryl boronic acid (0.75 mmol), aryl halide (0.5 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol) in 3.0 mL of the co-solvent MeOH/H₂O (v/v = 2/1), at 35 °C, 1–3 h. b Isolated Yield.

3. Experimental

3.1. Characterization

The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were collected by using the KBr method on a Nicolet Magna 550 spectrometer. Nitrogen adsorption isotherms were measured at 77 K with a Quantachrome Nova 4000 analyzer. The samples were measured after being outgassed at 423 K overnight. Pore size distributions were calculated by using the BJH model. The specific surface areas (SBET) of samples were determined from the linear parts of the BET plots (P/P₀ = 0.05–0.3). Solid-state NMR experiments were explored on a Bruker AVANCE spectrometer at a magnetic field strength of 9.4 T with an ¹H frequency of 400.1 MHz, a ¹³C frequency of 100.5 MHz, and a ²⁹Si frequency of 79.4 MHz with 4 mm rotor at two spinning frequencies of 5.5 kHz and 8.0 kHz; TPPM decoupling was applied during the acquisition period. ¹H cross-polarization in all-solid-state NMR experiments was employed using a contact time of 2 ms and the pulse lengths of 4 µs. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a JEOL JSM-6380LV microscope operating at 29 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were performed on a JEOL JEM2010 electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 220 kV.

3.2. Preparation of the Catalyst 3

The silicate yolk was synthesized according to the method descibed in the literature. First, dissolving the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 0.10 g, 0.27 mmol) completely in an aqueous sodium hydroxide (45.0 mL, 0.35 mmol, 2.0 N), and stirring the mixture for 0.5 h at 80 °C. Subsequently, tetraethoxysilane (TEOS, 0.46 mL, 2.07 mmol) was dropped under vigorous stirring at room temperature. Finally, ethyl acetate (0.40 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at 80 °C. The second step was to coat the above silicate yolk. After cooling down the above reaction mixture to 38 °C, an aqueous solution containing water (80 mL), ethanol (50 mL), CTAB (0.30 g, 0.82 mmol), and NH₃·H₂O (25 wt%, 1.0 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred again at 38 °C for 0.5 h, an additional part of the TEOS (0.5 mL, 2.26 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h at 38 °C. Then, 3 mL of a mixture solution containing H₂O (3.0 mL), CTAB (0.080 g, 0.22 mmol), and NH₃·H₂O (25 wt%, 0.20 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred again at 38 °C for 0.5 h, and an additional part of the TEOS (0.5 mL, 2.26 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h at 38 °C. Then, 3 mL of a mixture solution containing H₂O (3.0 mL), CTAB (0.080 g, 0.22 mmol), and NH₃·H₂O (25 wt%, 0.20 mL) was added and stirred at 38 °C for 0.5 h. Finally, 1,2-bis(triethoxysilyl)ethane (0.89 g, 0.70 mmol) were added and the reactant was vigorously stirred for 2.0 h. After filtering and washing with H₂O and EtOH three times and dried at 60 °C in a vacuum drying oven, the SiO₂@NPs (about 1 g) were obtained. SiO₂@NPs (1 g) were added into a 100 mL schlenk tube in an argon atmosphere; then, 25 mL anhydrous toluene containing hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDS, 5.0 mL, 0.025 mmol) was dropped into the schlenk tube, and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The solid (Me@SiO₂@NPs) was obtained after filtration and washed with acetone. The third step
was the selective etching to remove the surfactant and form the yolk-shell-structured mesoporous nanoparticles. Immersing the collected solids (1.0 g) in an ammonium nitrate (80 mg, 1.0 mmol) solution of ethanol (120 mL, 95%), and stirring the mixture at 60 °C for 12 h left the target hollow-shell structures of Me@HS@MSN (1) as a white powder (0.65 g) after filtering and washing with it with excess water and ethanol, and drying it at ambient temperature in a vacuum overnight. (IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3433.1 (w), 2924.4 (m), 2853.9 (s), 2360.65 (m), 2340.9 (m), 1636.7 (s), 1372.43 (s), 1164.3 (s), 1055.2 (w), 785.6 (s), 457.3 (m). ³¹C CP MAS NMR (161.9 MHz): 67.9–59.9 (CH₂ of –OCH₂CH₃), 29.7–25.7 (C of –SCH₂), 4.9 (C of –Si(CH₃)₃ group) ppm. ²⁹Si MAS NMR (79.4 MHz): T² (δ = –57.3 ppm), T³ (δ = –66.1 ppm), Q² (δ = –91.0 ppm), Q³ (δ = –102.4 ppm), Q⁴ (δ = –112.2 ppm). The fourth step was the thiol-ene click reaction. A dried 50 mL schlenk tube was charged with Me@HS@MSN (1) (0.725 g), 2-(diphenylphosphino)ethyltriethoxysilane (0.25 mL), and anhydrous toluene (20 mL) in an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 137 °C for 24 h, then filtered and rinsed with excess toluene. The homogeneous and unreacted starting materials were removed after Soxhlet extraction for 24 h in toluene, and the obtained solid HS@PPh₂@MSN (2; 0.5 g, light-yellow powder) was dried at 60 °C in a vacuum overnight. IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3400.8 (w), 3127.3 (w), 2925.1 (s), 2854.5 (s), 1771.9(s), 1686.0 (s), 1400.7 (w), 1269.2 (s), 103.0 ppm), Q of O of Ph), 63.4 (C of –OCH₂CH₃), 31.3 26.9 (C of CH₂P(Ph)₂), 23.4–17.2 (C of OCH₂CH₃), 6.49 (C of –SCH₂, –Si(CH₃)₂ ppm. ²⁹Si MAS NMR (79.4 MHz): T² (δ = –56.7 ppm), T³ (δ = –66.5 ppm), Q² (δ = –92.7 ppm), Q³ (δ = –102.5 ppm), Q⁴ (δ = –111.7 ppm). ³¹P CP/MAS NMR: 37.3 ppm. The fifth step was to immobilize the Pd²⁺ on the inner sphere by thiol-ene click reaction. A dried 50 mL schlenk tube was charged with HS@PPh₂@MSN (2; 0.50 g), Pd(OAc)₂ (50 mg), and anhydrous DCM (dichloromethane) (20 mL) in an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h; then filtered and washed with excess EtOH three times. The unreacted Pd(OAc)₂ was removed by Soxhlet extraction for 24 h in DCM, and then the solid was dried at 60 °C in a vacuum to retrieve the target HS@PdPPh₂@MSN (3; 0.5 g) as a light-yellow powder. The inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis showed that the Pd loading amount was 110.6 mg (1.03 mmol) per gram catalyst. IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3403.2 (s), 3166.5 (m), 3144.6 (w), 2925.3 (w), 2855.2 (s), 2360.3 (m), 2340.2 (m), 1649.7 (m), 188.4 (s), 1400.8 (m), 1269.6 (s), 1160.6 (w), 1066.6 (s), 786.7 (m), 694.3 (m), 456.1 (m). ¹³C CP MAS NMR (161.9 MHz): 188.5–174.3 (C of C=O groups), 152.0–121.0 (C of Ph), 63.4 (CH₂ of –OCH₂CH₃), 26.9 (C of CH₂P(Ph)₂), 18.9 (C of CH₂CO and OCH₂CH₃), 5.6 (C of –SCH₂, –Si(CH₃)₂ ppm. ²⁹Si MAS NMR (79.4 MHz): T² (δ = –57.1 ppm), T³ (δ = –67.63 ppm), Q² (δ = –91.0 ppm), Q³ (δ = –103.0 ppm), Q⁴ (δ = –112.3 ppm). ³¹P CP/MAS NMR (169.3 MHz): 39.9 ppm.

3.3. General Procedure for the Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling

Catalyst 3 (5.0 µmol of Pd based on the ICP analysis, 5.0 mg, 1 mol%), aryl boronic acid (0.75 mmol), aryl halide (0.5 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), and 3.0 mL MeOH/H₂O (v/v = 2/1) co-solvent were added into a 10 mL round-bottom flask; then the mixture was allowed to react for 1–3 h at 35 °C. The reaction was monitored by TLC (thin layer chromatography) to determine the completion of the reaction. Then the catalyst was separated by centrifugation (10,000 rpm) for the recycling experiment, while the aqueous solution was extracted by Et₂O (3 × 3.0 mL). Further, the combined organic phase was washed by brine and dehydrated with Na₂SO₄. After the concentration, the desired product was purified by column chromatography.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, a palladium diphenylphosphine-based hollow-shell-structured mesoporous silica heterogeneous catalyst 3 was prepared. The structure of catalyst 3 was analyzed by ¹³C CP/MAS NMR, BET, FTIR, ICP, XPS, and electron microscopy. As presented in this study, catalyst 3 realized an efficient Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of 1-iodo-4-
methoxybenzene and phenylboronic acid to afford a range of biaryls with up to 95% yield; the activity was comparable to those of related homogeneous and other core/hollow shell solid catalysts. Additionally, catalyst 3 could be recovered after filtering and washing and maintained its high activity in four consecutive reactions in Suzuki-Miyaura coupling. This work offered a perspective approach to design heterogeneous catalysts for high catalytic activity and cost-effective catalysis.
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