Effects of dose-dependent response to gamma radiation on circumvallate papilla by expression of caspase-3 in vivo
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Abstract Background: Radiotherapy is one of the most significant treatment modality of head and neck cancers. However, it has various hazards on the normal tissues in the radiation field. One of these affected tissues is the lingual mucosa with their papillae such as circumvallate papilla. The effects of radiation on the lingual specialized mucosa may be represented by radiation-induced mucositis and taste alteration including partial or complete loss of taste. Objectives: The aim of the study was to evaluate the dose-dependent response of circumvallate papillae to gamma radiation by immunohistochemical expression of caspase-3.

Material and methods: Twenty-four adult male albino rats were divided into 3 equal groups irradiated at 2.0, 4.0 and 6.0 Gy whole-body gamma radiation doses. Six non-irradiated rats were used as the control group. The radiation effects on circumvallate papillae were evaluated three days after irradiation via histomorphometric investigation of the papillary size and taste buds' distortion in addition to an immunohistochemical assessment of the apoptotic activity using Caspase-3 marker.

Results: Dose-related changes were observed in the circumvallate papillae size and morphology and taste buds affection. The changes were obviously detected in rats irradiated at 4 Gy and 6 Gy doses. The detection of caspase-3 marker was evident in a dose-dependent manner in all the irradiated groups, more noticeably in the taste bud cells.
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1. Introduction

Lingual papillae are small, nipple-shaped structures located on dorsal and lateral surfaces of the tongue giving the typical rough texture (Norton, 2007). Circumvallate papillae differ in number according to the species. Rats have only one circumvallate papilla placed posteriorly on the midline of the tongue. The papilla is surrounded by circular mucous membrane depression (furrow or trench) which contains numerous taste buds. Ducts of lingual serous salivary glands (Von Ebner’s glands) drain into the base of the furrow (Hosley and Oakley, 1987; Nanci, 2013). The lingual papillae are subjected to many factors, which are claimed to predispose defects in the papillary structure and functions (Scully, 2013). Consequently, there are several reported taste disorders caused by multiple influences (Wang et al., 2009).

Radiotherapy is an integral part of head and neck cancer treatment. However, ionizing radiation causes damage in the normal tissues located in the field of radiation (Vissink et al., 2003). Radiotherapy induces adverse effects such as trismus, delayed teeth eruption, defects in hard dental tissues and hyposalivation (El-Faramawy et al., 2011, 2013, 2015; Kawashita et al., 2020). Osteoradionecrosis of jaws is a severe and complex complication of head and neck tumors radiotherapy (Ribeiro et al., 2018). Regarding the effects of gamma radiation on the lingual tissues, gamma radiation, particularly 6 Gy dose, produced defective changes in the interior structures of the tongue. The most noticeably affected tissues are the serous acini of the lingual minor salivary glands while the least affected tissues are the intrinsic muscles (El-Haddad and Metwaly, 2018).

Oral mucositis is a severe side effect of head and neck radiation and chemotherapy, affecting patient daily routine life. This may be due to associated severe pain, and difficulty in mastication and swallowing (Kawashita et al., 2020). Accordingly, radiation-induced oral mucositis is considered a major factor in the dose determination in head and neck cancer patients (Maria et al., 2017), as the progress of oral mucositis is associated with radiation type, dose, and regime in addition to other factors related to patients (Kusiak et al., 2020).

Additionally, taste alteration is one of radiation-induced disorder; thus, patients subjected to radiotherapy develop a partial or complete loss of taste during treatment. This could be due to the high taste buds’ sensitivity to radiation and that they are included in the beam of radiation for most oral cancer treatments. However, the cells of taste buds usually regenerate within 4 months after treatment (Silverman, 1999). Three models have been proposed to explain irradiation-induced taste dysfunction. The first model is the disruption of the contact between taste cells and nerves, which leads to taste cell death. The second model is the direct damage of taste cells while the third model suggests that, the affection of the taste progenitors could interrupt the new taste cells production. The combination of the second and third models was also suggested (Nguyen et al., 2012).

The present work aimed to evaluate the effect of gamma radiation on the circumvallate papilla with different doses to correlate between the applied gamma doses and the response of the lining mucosa of the papillae and the taste buds. In addition, this could shed light on the optimum dose, which could avoid mucosal injury and taste bud degeneration.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Grouping and irradiation

Thirty adult male albino rats of average 250 g body mass were used in the present study and divided into four groups. The sample size in our work was sufficient based on an equation proposed by Ilyas et al., (2017): \[ E = \frac{Z^2 \cdot P \cdot (1-P)}{D^2} \] Any sample size having E value between 10 and 20 is considered enough. The application of this equation in our study is as follows: \[ E = \frac{Z^2 \cdot P \cdot (1-P)}{D^2} = \frac{1.96^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot 0.5}{0.05^2} = 384 \]

Conclusions: It could be concluded that circumvallate papillae are adversely affected in a dose-dependent manner by gamma radiation particularly in 4 Gy and 6 Gy doses.
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addition, the percentage of the affected taste buds in relation to the total number of taste buds in the field was calculated. Regarding the immunohistomorphometric analysis, the area fraction of the immunopositive reaction was measured. The results were tabulated and statistically analyzed by Kruskal Wallis test to determine the differences among groups according to their means' values using SPSS program version “24”. We selected The Kruskal Wallis Test as it is an appropriate alternative for the parametric test (ANOVA) in case of a relatively low sample size of each group (6–8 samples).

3. Results

3.1. Histological results

The examination of H&E stained sections of the control group revealed regular characteristic inverted cone circumvallate papillae covered by keratinized stratified squamous epithelium. The papillae were surrounded by trench lined with epithelium containing numerous taste buds (Fig. 1a). The irradiated groups exhibited variable morphological features, group R2 (irradiated with 2 Gy) showed almost similar features as the control group (Fig. 1b). On the other hand, groups R4 and R6 (irradiated with 4 Gy and 6 Gy respectively) revealed more considerable shift from the normal features. The outlines of the papillae were distorted, and the furrow was relatively wider in comparison to the control and 2 Gy groups (Fig. 1c and d).

The epithelial covering of the control group and Group R2 presented layers of keratinized stratified squamous epithelium with well-defined nuclei (Fig. 1e). Group R2 showed almost no changes regarding the epithelial layers (Fig. 1f). Group R4 revealed ill-defined nuclei in some regions of stratum spinosum (Fig. 1g). Clear spaces, indicating signs of degeneration, were observed in the connective tissue of groups R4 and R6 in addition to rarely detected loss of keratin in group R6 (Fig. 1g and h). Regarding the taste buds, group (C) showed numerous buds densely packed with cells particularly in the bases of the taste buds (Fig. 2a). The irradiated groups displayed degenerative changes in the cellular elements of taste buds (Fig. 2b and c). Some taste buds of group R6 showed almost loss of half of the cellular content (Fig. 2d).

3.2. Immunohistochemical results

Examination of control group specimens stained with caspase 3 immunohistochemical marker revealed very little positive reaction in the circumvallate papillae in epithelial and connective tissue cells except for some taste buds and basal and parabasal cells and occasionally in the connective tissue (Fig. 3a and 4a). All the irradiated groups presented more observable positive reactions in both epithelium and connective tissue (Fig. 3b to d and Fig. 4b to d).

3.3. Histomorphometric analysis

The descriptive changes in the papillary size and the taste bud’s distortion generated the requisite for the histomorphometric analysis for these parameters (Fig. 5). The area of the papillae decreases in a dose dependent manner with sharp decline from 2 Gy dose to 4 Gy. While the area of the connective tissue cores showed more regular decrease in size with increasing doses. Regarding the affected taste buds, there was a gradual increase in the percentage of the defective taste buds in groups R2 and R4 with sharp upgrading in group R6. The differences in area of the papillae and connective tissue were statistically significant. While the differences in the percentage of the taste buds was nonsignificant.

3.4. Immunohistomorphometric analysis

The immunopositive cells were quantified and the percentage (fraction) of the immunopositive cells per field was calculated. The area fraction of the immunopositive cells increased in abrupt manner from the control group to the group R2. Then
the increase took a more regular style in the following doses. The change in the area fraction of the immunopositive cells between the groups was statistically insignificant. The mean and standard deviation in all parameters of all groups is demonstrated in Table 1.

4. Discussion

Total body irradiation is an appropriate treatment modality when the malignant cell population is not uniformly

Fig. 2 (a): control group showing taste buds densely packed with cells “arrows”, (b): Group R2, (c): Group R4 and (d): Group R6 revealed degenerative changes “arrows. [H&E, Original magnification: x400].

Fig. 3 (a): Non-irradiated group with negative reactions in epithelium “red arrow” and connective tissue “green arrow” and positive reactions in connective tissue, basal and parabasal cells and few taste buds “black arrow”. (b, c, d): Groups R2, R4 and R6 respectively, with positive reactions in all epithelial layers “black arrows” and connective tissue “red arrows”. [Caspase-3. Original magnification: x200].

K. El-Haddad, N. El-Faramawy
distributed throughout the body as in lymphoma (Wheldon, 1997). This required the investigation of the possible response of the different tissues to this mode of treatment. Thus, the local response of several tissues including the lingual mucosa to the total body irradiation has been investigated by many authors (Zhao et al., 2009). The current research aimed to clarify the dose related response of specific region in lingual mucosa to the total body irradiation. The doses that were given to the rats were 2, 4 and 6 Gy to assess the optimum dose causing the least undesirable effect to recommend in radiotherapy to avoid the hazards of radiotherapy on oral mucosa as well as taste sensation. We selected the duration of 3 days after irradiation as this duration has been reported by Indran et al., (1991) to be suitable for assessment of the maximum damage caused by radiation.

The present work aimed to evaluate the dose dependent effect of gamma radiation on the circumvallate papillae. We selected circumvallate papilla as an indicator of oral mucosal changes with gamma radiation. Moreover, there is an opportunity to evaluate the taste bud reaction as circumvallate papillae gathering numerous taste buds in one localized region (Mostafa et al., 2019).

The histological examination of the epithelial covering of circumvallate papillae revealed numerous cells with pyknotic
or even lost nuclei. This finding was in accordance with a previous study on the human buccal mucosa that revealed formation of micronuclei in cell cytoplasm (Bazyka et al., 2017).

The immunohistochemical results in this study were indicated by caspase-3 immunohistochemical marker which was previously used to detect apoptosis in the literature (Bucci et al., 2006). We detected immunopositive reaction in the control group basal and parabasal cells which coincide with Veeravarmal et al., (2016) who reported the nuclear expression of caspase-3 in basal and parabasal layers of normal epithelium. In the present work, we revealed dose dependent immune-positive reaction of many cellular elements. This agreed with Michelin et al., (2004) who studied the cellular death in cell culture using caspase antibody as an immunohistochemical marker; the authors stated that the radiation induced cellular death, 24 h post-irradiation which was dose-dependent. The radiation induced apoptosis in this work coincides with enormous studies that claimed that radiation causes cell death (Veit et al., 2015).

Although the signs of cell death were observed in all the irradiation doses, nevertheless mild effects were seen in the dose 2 Gy in comparison to 4 and 6 Gy. This was consistent with Bishay et al., (2000) and Goo et al., (2013) who reported dose dependent cell death with more observable signs in doses over 2 Gy. Furthermore, this result coincides with preceding studies that recommended fractionation of radiotherapy dose into separated doses claiming that 2 Gy is a safe dose to the normal tissues in the field of radiation (Vissink et al., 2003). The apoptotic activity in the current study was noticeable in a statistically significant manner particularly in 6 Gy irradiated rats. This agreed with Siles et al., (1998) who reported the apoptotic death induced after treatment with 6 Gy of gamma-irradiation on different cells. The histomorphometric and immunohistochemical results displayed injurious changes in their cellular elements of taste buds. This might explain taste dysfunction that was reported as a common problem after radiotherapy for head and neck cancer (Vissink et al., 2003; Kawashita et al., 2020).

Interestingly, we noticed that the damage in taste buds was the most striking effect on the papillae despite the short period of the study. This was in accordance to Nguyen et al., (2012) who reported that taste progenitor cells undergo cell cycle arrest or apoptosis within 1–3 days after irradiation. Moreover, we observed that the apoptotic effects of taste bud cells appeared from the dose of 2 Gy. This might be attributed to the extreme radio-sensitivity of taste buds because of their location in the tongue (Silverman, 1999).

There are several hypotheses explaining the mechanism of degenerative effects of gamma radiation on taste buds. Nguyen et al., (2012) reported the radio-sensitivity of the taste nerves. This coincides with the studies revealed the direct relation between injury or denervation of taste nerves and the loss of integrity of taste buds. The taste denervation was reported to be related to the apoptotic and degenerative effects on taste buds of guinea pig circumvallate papillae (Huang and Lu, 2001). Another explanation could be that gamma radiation might induce inflammation, which sequentially produce taste disorders. Wang et al., 2009 have reported that inflammation can predispose to taste disorders and increase apoptotic cell death in taste buds. This could explain the current results particularly when we relate with a study that declared that inflammatory reaction onset could be observed at 2 Gy dose (Veit et al., 2015).

### 5. Conclusions

From the results presented in this work, it could be concluded that the size and morphology of the circumvallate papilla are affected by gamma radiation in a dose dependent manner. Gamma radiation adversely affects the taste cells. The radiation induced degenerative changes are minimal with 2 Gy dose compared to those associated with 4 and 6 Gy doses. Further investigations are needed to assess the reversibility of the effects with different doses.
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