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Abstract

Introduction: Hematological malignancies are frequently affecting the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, either by secondary extra nodal or extramedullary extension to the GI tract, or as a primary process arising in the GI tract. Gastrointestinal non-Hodgkin lymphomas (gNHL) appear less than other solid organ tumours in the GI tract. Therefore, in the absence of nodal or extra nodal involvement in imaging methods, there may be difficulties in diagnosis. Haematologists provide more important support by pathologists in terms of diagnosis than imaging methods.

Methods: We retrospectively investigated all B-cell lymphoma patients diagnosed in our clinic between 01.01.2015- 01.01.2021. After that, patients not diagnosed by gastrointestinal system sampling were excluded from the study. Demographic data of these patients were obtained from the hospital information system and outpatient clinic files. Slides of these patients were obtained from pathology archive and re-evaluated under light microscope by two pathologists. All cases were diagnosed according to revised World Health Organization (WHO) 2017 classification.

Results: 55 patients were diagnosed with B-cell lymphoma by sampling by endoscopy or colonoscopy. 40 of the patients were diagnosed with Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL), 10 with Marginal Zone Lymphoma (MZL), 2 with Mantle Cell Lymphoma (MCL), 2 patients with Burkitt Lymphoma (BL) and 1 patient with Lymphoplasmacytic Lymphoma (LPL).

Conclusion: Like the literature, the frequency of DLBCL was the highest in patients diagnosed with GI tract in our study. The second most common B-cell lymphoma was MALToma. Although MCL is with a high frequency of GI involvement, there were few patients diagnosed with GI involvement. The reason for this was that the patients were diagnosed with nodal involvement rather than GI sampling.

Introduction

Hematological malignancies are classified under a wide range and consist of lymphoproliferative and myeloproliferative malignancies. These malignancies frequently affect the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, either by secondary extra nodal or extramedullary extension to the GI tract, or as a primary process arising in the GI tract. In fact, GI tract is the most common extra nodal site involved in non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL). Although primary gastrointestinal non-Hodgkin lymphomas are rare among other GI malignancies (1-4%), it is an important entity that affects approximately 10-15% of all NHL patients and 30-40% of extra nodal NHL patients [1,2]. Secondary lymphomatous dissemination to the GI tract is even more frequent and is found in up to 50% of lymphomas at autopsy [3].

Gastrointestinal non-Hodgkin lymphomas (gNHL) appear less than other solid organ tumours in the GI tract. Therefore, in the absence of nodal or extra nodal involvement in imaging methods, there may be difficulties in diagnosis. Hematologists provide more important support by pathologists in terms of diagnosis than imaging methods. Therefore, providing detailed information to the pathologists about the
The clinician should establish this information network well.

It is important as a pathologist to evaluate GI biopsies in correlation with clinical, endoscopic, and radiological findings (Computed tomography (CT), Endoscopy-Colonoscopy, Endoscopic Ultrasonography (EUS), etc.). If B symptoms (fever, night sweats and weight loss) are present, lymphoma suspicion arises. However typical site related gastrointestinal symptoms such as dyspepsia, abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting may be the only presentation. In some patients’ GI lymphoma may present incidentally [4]. Symptomatic patients may not have typical correlated endoscopic features [5]. Although exophytic, ulcerative or hypertrophic lesions are common, the only endoscopic findings may be inflammation-like patterns such as petechia or normal/hyperaemic mucosa [5,6]. After gathering the information, to distinguish especially low grade lymphomas from inflammatory lesions is the initial approach of a pathologist to avoid delayed diagnosis. Dense lymphoid infiltrates with numerous lymphoepithelial lesions, moderate cytological atypia or Dutcher bodies are considered to be alarming for lymphoma diagnosis alongside with features such as muscularis mucosae invasion or ulceration [7]. After the initial approach, subtyping is essential in terms of treatment decision and prognosis [8].

Together with the experiences of our clinic, we aimed to examine our B-cell gNHL patients and to share the hematologists and pathologists approaches of these patients with this information. In addition, we planned to discuss the management process of these patients from diagnosis to treatment in the light of current literature.

**Material – Methods**

We retrospectively investigated all B-cell lymphoma patients diagnosed in our clinic between 01.01.2015 - 01.01.2021. After that, patients not diagnosed by gastrointestinal system sampling were excluded from the study. Demographic data of these patients were obtained from the hospital information system and outpatient clinic files. Also, we examined the response rates of the patients after treatment and their follow-up for the gastrointestinal system.

Slides of these patients were obtained from pathology archive and re-evaluated under light microscope by two pathologists. All cases were diagnosed according to revised World Health Organization (WHO) 2017 classification. Immunohistochemical results were obtained from the pathology reports and controlled via checking previously stained slides. Cell of origin (COO) was assessed according to the Hans criteria. According to Hans criteria cases were considered positive if 30% or more of the tumour cells were stained with the antibody. Hans algorithm was made up of three markers (CD10 antibody for Germinal center (GC) origin, BCL6 antibody for GC and non GC origin, MUM1 antibody for post GC origin). Based on combination of the three markers, Hans algorithm could divide DLBCL into two subtypes: GC and non-GC.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki Ethical Principles for Medical Research. All Patients gave and signed informed consent. This study was approved by Trakya University
ethical committee (TÜTF-BAEK 2021/234).

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS PC Ver.22 (IBM © SPSS Inc. USA). Descriptive statistics were given as number, percentage, and arithmetic mean ± standard deviation (minimum -maximum). A two-sided p value less than 0.05 considered significant. Overall survival (OS) was defined as time from diagnosis of lymphoma to death. To evaluate overall survival; Kaplan-Meier overall survival (OS) estimates were calculated. Log rank test and Cox regression analysis were performed to evaluate estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI).

Results

55 patients were diagnosed with B-cell lymphoma by sampling by endoscopy or colonoscopy. 40 of the patients were diagnosed with Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL), 10 with Marginal Zone Lymphoma (MZL), 2 with Mantle Cell Lymphoma (MCL), 2 patients with Burkitt Lymphoma (BL) and 1 patient with Lymphoplasmacytic Lymphoma (LPL) (Figure- 1) / (Table -1).

40 of 55 patients who were diagnosed with B Cell NHL with gastrointestinal system involvement had a diagnosis of Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma. The mean age of DLBCL patients was 65 and 18 patients were female. The majority of DLBCL patients were diagnosed from stomach biopsy (75%). 4 patients were diagnosed from the colon, 3 from the oropharyngeal area, 2 from the ileum and 1 from the oesophagus. Nine of the patients were asymptomatic at diagnosis, 19 patients had abdominal pain, 17 patients had weight loss, 11 patients had vomiting, and 2 patients had dysphagia. One patient was presented with perforation. Eighteen of the patients expressed CD10, BCL6 immunoreactivity and were diagnosed as germinal centre origin immunophenotype according to Hans algorithm. Eleven patients were double / triple expressor (c-MYC and BCL2 or c-MYC, BCL2 and BCL6 immunoexpression). When evaluated in terms of prognostic factors, we found that 21 patients had B symptom and 31 patients were diagnosed with high Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH), 20 patients had R-IPI score> 2 and 26 patients had NCCN-IPI> 3. It was observed that 17 patients died and 7 of the patients who died could not or did not want to receive treatment. Besides, 10 of the patients died in the first month. R-CHOP chemotherapy was given to all patients who received treatment. The mean survival of the patients who received treatment was 39.5 months. It was seen that only 10 patients underwent GI endoscopy after treatment. Involvement in a different area of the ileum was observed in 1 patient. No other patient had GI involvement in post-treatment setting. Radiological involvement was not detected in other alive patients.

Ten patients were diagnosed with MZL (MALTOMA) and 6 patients were male of them. Average age was 67.4 years. Five patients received H. Pylori therapy. Also, 5 patients received systemic therapy (4 Patients R-CHOP, 1 Patient Rituximab) due to their stages. Control endoscopy was performed for all patients during their follow-up. It was observed that 2 patients relapsed after systemic therapy. The mean follow-up of all patients was 47.9 months. No relapse was found in patients receiving H. Pylori therapy. Follow-up of all patients continues.
Two patients were diagnosed with MCL. One patient was diagnosed from the colon, one from the ileum. Both patients were male. The average age of the patients was 63.5 years old. One of the patients underwent autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT) after high dose therapy. Relapse disease was detected in the patient during the first control in the same location after ASCT. Endoscopic control was not performed in the other patient, control was done with PET / CT. There was no relapse. Both patients are alive, and the median survival was 21.5 months.

Two patients were diagnosed with Burkitt's Lymphoma. All of them diagnosed from the colon. Mean age was 46 years and both patients were male. After high dose therapy, 1 patient died after the first course due to sepsis, and the other patient was referred to ASCT because of complete response in control PET / CT. The diagnosis of LPL in 1 patient was made by colonoscopy. The 74-year-old male patient has been followed up for 24 months.

Discussion

Gastric NHLs, which constitute 1-4% of all GI malignancies, are seen most frequently in the stomach (60–75% of all cases), then in the small intestine and ileocecal area. Histopathological findings in GI tract reveal indolent as well as aggressive lymphomas, which may consist of mature B, T or NK cells. Intestinal B-cell lymphomas are more frequent than T-cell lymphomas (6:1) [2,3,9]. DLBCL and MZL’s are the most frequently encountered by the clinician.

Observing conditions of GI tract from top to bottom, it is seen that there is a wide range of symptoms of these patients. In upper GI tract involvement, patients may present with symptoms such as dysphagia and hoarseness. Symptoms such as abdominal pain, weight loss, nausea and vomiting can be observed in gastric involvement, which constitutes 60-75% of patients with gNHL. Patients with small intestine (%20) and colon (%6-12) involvement may present with clinical symptoms secondary to obstruction, weight loss and bleeding [3,9].

Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging are valuable in diagnosis for sites of lymphoma involvement other than GI tract. Endoscopic ultrasonography is more valuable in GI tract especially in case of mucosal involvement. It can also be used in the follow-up of the disease after treatment. 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) is frequently used in diagnosis and follow-up. However, histopathological evaluation is recommended for mucosal evaluation after treatment, especially in patients diagnosed from the stomach [2,9].

Main histopathologic groups of gastrointestinal lymphomas are mature B cell lymphomas, T cell lymphomas and NK/T cell lymphomas. Since the most common group is mature B cell, MALT lymphoma and DLBCL substitutes most of the cases. Other B cell lymphomas are follicular lymphoma, BL, MCL and rarely; lymphomatoid granulomatosis, LPL, EBV positive DLBCL, high grade B cell lymphoma, small lymphocytic lymphoma, ALK positive large B cell lymphoma, plasmablastic lymphoma and extramedullary plasmacytoma [10].
Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma is the most common among gNHL. It accounts for 38-57% of gNHL patients. When all GI Lymphomas are evaluated, it was noticed that 40-78% are DLBCL. Frequently, DLBCL is manifested as a mass-forming lesion or less likely as an infiltrative lesion [11]. There is a tendency to invasion nearby structures, which can cause mucosal ulceration and perforation. GI obstruction is less common. However, if the muscularis propria is invasion in small bowel involvement, aneurysmal dilation can be seen as a result of the destruction of the intramural autonomic nerve plexus. There is often accompanying intraabdominal lymph node involvement. The classical R-CHOP regimen has been used in treatment for a long time [9, 10, 11, 12].

Consistent with the literature, most of our patients were diagnosed with DLBCL (73 %) and were diagnosed with gastric biopsy. Most of the patients (77.5%) had gastrointestinal system-related symptoms at the time of diagnosis, only 9 patients were asymptomatic. This should be interpreted as a result of how much the clinician should attach importance to the anamnesis from the diagnosis stage of the patients. In the follow-ups, 42% of the patients were exitus. It should be emphasized that rapid and careful management is required after diagnosis, since a significant portion of these patients (58.8%) die within the first month after diagnosis.

From pathologist perspective: Diffuse large B cell lymphoma consists of three main morphological variants: centroblastic, immunoblastic and anaplastic. Centroblasts are medium-large sized cells with vesicular chromatin and 2-4 nucleoli based on nuclear membrane whereas immunoblasts have centrally located nucleolus (10). Anaplastic morphology should be distinguished from poorly differentiated carcinoma or melanoma. Neoplastic cells express pan-B cell markers such as CD20, CD19, CD79a and PAX5. Ki67 proliferation index is commonly >40% (13). COO is another important subject in terms of treatment decision. Germinal center B cell subtype (GC) is originated from germinal cells whereas activated B cell subtype (ABC) is derived from germinal center exit/post germinal center. Hans et al. developed an immunohistochemistry-based algorithm which has high concordance with gene expression profiling to classify cell of origin (14). Accordingly, CD10, BCL6 and IRF/MUM1 are considered positive if ≥30% cells are stained. CD10+ or BCL6+ MUM1- refers to GC subtype, whereas BCL6- or BCL6+ MUM1+ refers to ABC subtype. Meyer et al [15] included GCET1 and LMO2 as GC markers and FOXP1 as ABC marker. HGAL/GCET2 is also considered to be a GC marker [16]. CD30 positivity may be observed in 10-20% patients with DLBCL [17]. However additional presence of EBV cases should be diagnosed as EBV positive DLBCL. DLBCL lymphoma may also express MYC and BCL2 by immunohistochemistry which is called double expressor. However, this do not indicate double hit status of translocation and should be distinguished from high grade B cell lymphomas [18] (Figure- 2).

Marginal zone lymphoma is the 2nd most common gNHL with a frequency of 23-48%. Half of the patients have gastric involvement. H. pylori is an important risk factor and 90% of the patients with MALT lymphoma are positive, and antibacterial therapy is sufficient in the majority of cases. IPSID, which is called immunoproliferative small intestinal disease or alpha heavy chain disease, is seen in young patients with duodenum involvement and is common in the Middle East. This disease, also called Mediterranean lymphoma, is caused by C. jejuni. FDG-PET or CT may be insufficient in MZL patients with
an indolent lymphoma. Endoscopic methods with histopathological diagnosis are more valuable. In
addition to watch and wait, in patients in need of treatment, regimens such as Rituximab single, R-CVP, R-
CHOP are used in treatment [1,9, 11, 19].

MALT lymphoma (18%) was the second most common B lymphoma group in our patients, similar to the
literature. Our patients were also followed up endoscopically because of the possibility of diagnostic
sampling in MALT lymphoma patients with indolent course and because endoscopic methods are better
for evaluation after treatment. Relapse was detected in 20% of the patients during endoscopic follow-up.

From pathologist perspective: MALT lymphoma which exhibits t[11;18] [q21;q21] most frequently [20],
consists of small lymphoid cells with irregular nucleus and hyperchromasia. Since there is no specific
immunohistochemical profile and it is mostly a ruling out diagnosis, a care must be taken to distinguish
this tumour from benign reactive lymphoid tissue. Lymphoma cells proliferate in a marginal zone pattern
and around reactive follicles which then form confluent dense areas that leads to follicle replacement
[10]. Lymphoepithelial lesions (aggregates of ≥3 marginal zone cells with distortion or destruction of the
epithelium) and deeper infiltration should be examined carefully. CD20 positive cells may have
plasmacytic differentiation that can be highlighted by kappa and lambda immunostaining [21]. CD21 and
CD23 may be helpful to highlight the dispersed dendritic cell network. CD5 positivity may be observed in
rare cases [22] (Figure- 3).

Mantle Cell Lymphoma accounts for 5-13% of gNHL patients. It is known that extra nodal involvement is
common in MCL and patients have approximately 90% GI involvement. Routine screening is not
recommended [23]. Most patients are diagnosed with non-GI tract involvement. It is detected as small
mucosal polyps, often in the small intestine or colon. We think that the number of patients diagnosed
with gastrointestinal sampling is low, since we do not routinely take endoscopic sampling from all MCL
patients at the time of diagnosis.

From pathologist perspective: Mantle cell lymphoma consists of small to medium sized monomorphic
cell population which exhibits different patterns which may cause diagnostic difficulties: nodular, diffuse,
mantle zone and follicular [10]. Lymphoma may occur as multiple mucosal polyps and called multiple
lymphomatous polyposis [24]. MCL is characterized by the translocation t[11;14] [q13;q32] and leads
Cyclin D1 overexpression [25]. Main immunohistochemical features are CD5 positivity whereas BCL6,
CD10 and CD23 negativity (may be weakly positive). Cyclin D1 is expressed in most of the cases (CD5
negative cases included) and SOX 11 is highly sensitive even in Cyclin D1 negative cases [26]. DLBCL,
especially those who show CD5 positivity should be separated from blastoid variant of MCL. Cyclin D1
and SOX11 are helpful in this aspect (Figure- 4).

Follicular Lymphoma (FL) is the most common lymphoma among indolent lymphomas. FL is the most
common among nodal lymphomas after DLBCL. Extra nodal involvement is not common in patients. GI
is seen in 5-12% [11, 18].
From pathologist perspective: Follicular lymphoma is a relatively rare extra nodal lymphoma involving gastrointestinal tract which usually effects primarily duodenum (26) which presents as multiple small polyps and subtyped as duodenal type FL with a good prognosis (10). The translocation (14;18) which involves BCL2 locus is observed mostly of these cases [28]. Follicular lymphoma subtypes including in situ follicular neoplasia is characterized by CD10 and BCL2 positivity of the effected germinal centers. This panel is important to differentiate from reactive follicles. Ki67 proliferation index is usually low and restricted peripheral dendritic cells of the follicle may be highlighted by CD21 [29].

Burkitt’s Lymphoma is an aggressive B lymphoma that is less common in adult lymphomas and constitutes 5% of gNHLs. The sporadic subtype is more common with GI involvement. It is frequently encountered with intraabdominal bulky involvement. High lactate dehydrogenase and tumor lysis are laboratory changes that we may encounter in diagnosis. The c-MYC rearrangement is non-specific but often found. ASCT should be performed after a more aggressive treatment (CODOX-M/IVAC, R-HyperCVAD, CALGB regimen, etc.) [11, 30].

From pathologist perspective: Burkitt lymphoma is an aggressive tumor mostly seen in terminal ileum of the gastrointestinal tract [31]. Cells of Burkitt lymphoma are usually basophilic and medium sized with angulated borders. Chromatin is usually clumped with multiple nuclei. Tumors have high proliferation with numerous mitotic figures and apoptosis. Starry sky appearance is due to tingible body macrophages [10]. CD20, CD19 and CD79a are positive as well as germinal center markers such as CD10 and BCL6. Ki67 proliferation index is nearly %100 and there is MYC overexpression. Aberrant BCL2 expression may be observed however high expressions should be differentiated from high grade B cell lymphomas [32]. DLBCL is another differential diagnosis especially if it is characterized by medium sized cells. However, BL cells are more monomorphic and Ki67 proliferation index is higher than DLBCL while latter is approximately %40-60 (Figure- 5).

In summary:

Like the literature, the frequency of DLBCL was the highest in patients diagnosed with GI tract in our study. The second most common B-cell lymphoma was MALToma. Although MCL is with a high frequency of GI involvement, there were few patients diagnosed with GI involvement. The reason for this was that the patients were diagnosed with nodal involvement rather than GI sampling. In addition, in our center, routine GI tract scanning is not performed in MCL patients after diagnosis. Patients diagnosed with BL and LPL were rare, as expected. In accordance with the literature, the most common GI tract involvement was gastric mucosa (72%). Less frequently, this was followed by colon (12.7%) and ileum involvement.

We routinely use 18 FDG PET / CT method for evaluation before and after treatment in malignancies such as DLBCL, BL, MCL that can show a progressive course and have a high proliferation index. However, similar benefit in indolent malignancies may not be achieved due to the slow proliferation of the malignant tissues. Endoscopic methods and CT are used more frequently in the follow-up of these patients. Relapses were observed in 4 patients during follow-up by endoscopic method. Two patients had
indolent disease. However, no relapse was detected during follow-up by PET / CT and endoscopic imaging was not required for these patients. We think that follow-up can be done by PET / CT. Although we frequently use 18 FDG PET / CT for follow-up, it is important to use endoscopic methods as they can be used for diagnostic purposes as well as imaging.

Because of its prevalence, clinicians often consider solid malignancies as initial differential diagnosis of the masses in GI tract. Therefore, clinical findings of the patients are gaining great importance. In order to the diagnosis and the stage of the disease should be clear, the clinical information of the patients should be fully described by the clinician. The presence of B symptoms, splenomegaly, and lymph adenomegaly should be described, if any, their cytopenia's should be emphasized and shared with pathologists for accurate diagnosis and clinical management.
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**Tables**
| Table -1: Demographic characteristics of GI Non-Hodgkin lymphoma patients |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| No. of cases N: 55 (%)                        |
| **Gender Male/Female**                        | 37 / 18 (67/33) |
| **Age**                                       | 64 years (21-92) |
| **Localization**                              |                |
| Oropharyngeal Area                            | 3 (5,4)        |
| Esophagus                                     | 1 (1,8)        |
| Gastric                                       | 40 (72,7)      |
| Ileum                                         | 3 (5,4)        |
| Colon                                         | 8 (14,5)       |
| **Histological Type**                         |                |
| DLBCL                                         | 40 (72,7)      |
| MZL                                           | 10 (18)        |
| MCL                                           | 2 (3,6)        |
| BL                                            | 2 (3,6)        |
| LPL                                           | 1 (1,8)        |
| **Isolated GI Involvement**                   | 20/55 (36,3)   |
| DLBCL                                         | 15/40 (37,5)   |
| MZL                                           | 5/10 (50)      |
| MCL                                           | -              |
| BL                                            | -              |
| LPL                                           | -              |
| **Clinical Symptoms or Signs of Patients Before Diagnosis** |
| Abdominal Pain                                | 24 (43,6)      |
| Weight loss                                   | 19 (34,5)      |
| Vomiting                                      | 11 (20)        |
| Dysphagia                                     | 2 (3,6)        |
| Perforation                                   | 1 (1,8)        |
| Asymptomatic                                  | 12 (21,8)      |
• DLBCL: Diffuse large B cell lymphoma, MCL: Mantle cell lymphoma, MZL: Marginal zone lymphoma, BL: Burkitt lymphoma, LPL: Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma

**Figures**

**Figure 1**

Diagnosis and involvement distributions of B Lymphoma patients

**Figure 2**

Diffuse large B cell lymphoma microphotographs (x100); Germinal center B cell: Atypical lymphocyte infiltration involving the lamina propria and submucosa of the stomach antrum, with dense crush artifact progressing between glands (A, H&E), CD20 (B) and bcl-6 positive (C), MUM1 negative (D). Activated B cell: Atypical lymphocytes with intense crush artifact infiltrated the submucosa of the antrum (E, H&E), CD20 diffuse positive (F) while CD10 is only positive in stromal cells (G) and MUM1 is strongly positive in atypical lymphocytes (H).

**Figure 3**

Mantle cell lymphoma microphotographs (x100); Infiltration of medium-sized monotone lymphocytes showing infiltration between antral mucosal glands and towards the submucosal area (A, H&E), CD20 (B) and CD5 (C) diffuse positive, strong immunoreaction of CyclinD1 (D)
Figure 4

Extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa associated lymphoid tissue (MALT lymphoma) microphotographs (x100); Lymphoid proliferation with gland destruction, passing through the muscularis mucosa and spreading to the submucosal area. Secondary follicles accompany this proliferation (A, H&E), atypical lymphocytes are CD20 positive (B), CD5 (C) and CD3 negative (D), CyclinD1 is also negative while Ki67 proliferation index is %20-30.

Figure 5

Burkitt lymphoma microphotographs: Dense atypical lymphoid proliferation in lamina propria of the stomach antrum (A, H&E, x100). Cells are monomorphic with coarse chromatin and mitotically active (B, H&E, x400). CD20 (C) and CD10 (D) are diffuse positive, c-myc %90 (E), ki67 proliferation index is %100 (F)