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ABSTRACT

DPP-4Is are well recognized therapy for type 2 diabetes. In spite of sharing a common mode of action, the chemical diversity among members of DPP-4Is raised the question whether structural differences may result in distinguished activities. DPP-4Is were recently explored as drug repurposing means for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 due to the urgent need for small molecule drugs for controlling infections. The use of DPP-4Is was not correlated with adverse COVID-19-related consequences among patients with type 2 diabetes. Inspired by these reasons and the importance of pyrimidinone ring as DPP-4I with both antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities, we succeeded to prepare some novel pyrimidinone and thio-pyrimidinone derivatives, which were then screened for their antidiabetic activity and DPP-4 inhibition. In addition, their anti-inflammatory effect on LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells were evaluated. Furthermore, their antioxidant activities were also tested.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is an endocrine disorder which is characterized by hyperglycemia, polydipsia, and polyuria as a result of a defect in insulin secretion and/or action. It is associated with metabolic, microvascular and macrovascular complications that increase morbidity and mortality in various viral infections [1]. DM and reactive hyperglycemia are considered as predictors of severity in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infected patients [2] and several studies showed a link between hyperglycemia and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) even in non-diabetic patients [3]. Diabetes is considered as one of the most important comorbidities in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients and the incidence of diabetes in those patients ranged from 5% to 36% [4]. Moreover, diabetes accounted for nearly 20% of the intensive care unit admitted cases due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [5]. SARS-CoV-2 which is responsible for COVID-19, utilizes angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) to enter human cells. However, recent evidence proposed that dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) may be used as a co-receptor for SARS-CoV-2 to enter the target cells [6] and that SARS-CoV-2 interacts with DPP-4/CD26 and the 293 T-cells expressing DPP-4 [7], which was also suggested by modelling studies [8]. DPP-4 is a type II transmembrane glycoprotein. It is expressed in several tissues and immune cells with higher expression in the visceral adipose tissue. DPP-4 is also present on the endothelial cells of blood vessels and circulates in a soluble form in blood plasma thus, it regulates blood hormones. DPP-4 plays a crucial role in the metabolism of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and it is involved in visceral inflammation as well as the development of insulin resistance [9], in addition to its immunomodulatory effects [10]. Moreover, it was found that the soluble DPP-4 rises the inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression and the production of proinflammatory cytokines in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulated macrophages, besides the stimulation of ROS production and the activation of the advanced glycation end products gene expression [11,12]. Furthermore, up-regulation of DPP-4 is linked with elder age, diabetes,
obesity, and metabolic syndrome, as well as, respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, which were reported to aggravate COVID-19 [10].

DPP-4 inhibitors (DPP-4Is) or gliptins have been used for treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) since 2006. They are orally available small molecules that interact with the DPP-4 enzyme [13]. DPP-4Is have no intrinsic glucose-lowering activity, so their efficacy; as anti-diabetic agents; is related to their ability to inhibit DPP-4 activity thus, increasing the incretin levels, which, subsequently, increases insulin secretion and reduces blood glucose levels (BGLs) with no risk of hypoglycemia [14]. Also, DPP-4Is exhibited anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory activities in the autoimmune and inflammatory disorders [15]. Previous studies have disclosed that the principal clinical approach for DPP-4Is with antioxidant abilities involves the front-line treatment, due to their safety, and tolerability since DPP-4Is with their antioxidant capability can affect the immune system and its function [16]. It was found that the use of DPP-4Is was not correlated with adverse COVID-19-related consequences among patients with T2DM [17]. Additionally, a study by Mirani et al. showed that diabetic patients on DPP-4I therapy developed a less severe pneumonia, with a lower need for mechanical ventilation and a lesser mortality rate on developing COVID-19, indicating that DPP-4Is reduce COVID-19 virulence through the suppression of DPP-4/CD26-dependent inflammatory signaling with subsequent inhibition of cytokine storm and disease progression [18]. Likewise, gliptins can inhibit SARSCoV-2 proliferation through their binding to the F357 residue, causing conformational changes that inhibit the viral binding to DPP-4 receptors [8].

Due to the urgent need for drugs to control COVID-19, small molecule drugs still establish a worthwhile treatment for controlling infections. Yet, discovering new drugs is usually a time consuming and expensive progress over the idea of drug repurposing, which provides a rapid therapy with proven record of safety and efficacy [16,19].

Since increased DPP-4 expression and activity are associated with diabetes, obesity and metabolic syndrome, and if DPP4 expression facilitates infection by SARS-CoV-2, therefore, DPP-4Is may be used to treat COVID-19 patients with raised BGLs and/or markers of viral infection induced hyper-inflammation [20] to mitigate diabetes and coexisting COVID-19-induced insulin resistance, hyperglycemia, and inflammation [10].

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Literature survey was performed to understand the history and chemistry of gliptins, the new oral antidiabetic agents, which were discovered for being DPP-4Is, from these approved DPP-4Is: sitagliptin, vildagliptin, saxagliptin, alogliptin and anagliptin, (Fig. 1). Yet, to understand the chemistry and mode of action of gliptins, the structure of DPP-4 and its substrates should be mentioned and studied [21,22].

DPP-4 is a prolyl-specific protease, namely, a homodimeric serine peptidase (or a dimer of dimers for the whole enzyme) that regulates the structure of bioactive peptides (the substrate) with Pro or Ala at the N-terminal penultimate position (P-residues). Among the former substrates, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), which is degraded by DPP-4 enzyme.

To understand the way the enzyme interacts with the peptide substrate, a deeper look is required in the structure of DPP-4. It consists of approximately 766 amino acids in each subunit, with a large active site consisting of multiple binding subsites known as the S1, S2, S1’ and S2’ extensive subsites. Each subunit comprises a C-terminal α/β hydrolase domain and an N-terminal, β-propeller domain [23]. The cleavage residue of a substrate peptide consists of P2, P1, P1’, and P2’ according to the protease subsites S2, S1, S1’, and S2’ that they interact with, (Fig. 2). The cleavage site of the peptide substrate is N-terminus that typically
have a proline (Pro) or an alanine (Ala) residue in the penultimate position between P1 and P1′ residues, while the P2 position of N-terminus of the peptide substrate acts as the recognition region [22,24–31].

Recently, analyzing and understanding the structure-activity relationship (SAR) of DPP-4Is revealed that the most important residues responsible for DPP-4 inhibition are the hydrophobic S1 pocket residues, catalytic triad residues, N-terminal recognition region residues (P1 & P2) and hydrophobic S2 pocket residues [23]. Gliptin-like structure as sitagliptin, vildagliptin, saxagliptin, alogliptin and anagliptin, the approved DPP-4Is (Fig. 1), can be classified referring to their structures and enzyme inhibition mechanism into three main groups [21]. First, those with (i) pyrrolidine or analogues with β-aminobutanoyl linker to S1 subunit of the enzyme & P1 residues of substrates; as in vildagliptin, saxagliptin and teneligliptin. These cyano-pyrrolidines or thiazolidines can form both H-bonding and hydrophobic interaction with S1, S2 and P1, P2 extensive domains. (ii) trifluoro bearing structures (trifluoromethyl, trifluorophenyl or difluoropiperidone) as in sitagliptin, evogliptin and gemigliptin, with β-aminothiobutanoyl linker, having the ability to bind to S1 pocket of the enzyme and P1 residues of substrate, through fluoride and β-aminothiobutanoyl forming H-bonding, in addition to hydrophobic interaction with the aromatic amino acids. (iii) pyrimidine-2,4-dione (as alogliptin, evagliptin, trelagliptin and linagliptin) form a salt bridge interaction with S1, P1 pocket as well as P1′, S1′ pocket of the enzyme/substrate, providing H-bonding in addition to π-π interaction, which aids in more stability for the compounds in the active site, thus allowing high inhibitory effect, (Fig. 1).

Till now, for all these categorizations, the amino linkage is very important to form hydrogen bonds with the pocket, although modifications like replacing these amino linkers with more rigid analogue (as cyclohexylamine) provided more hydrophobic interactions with the side chain of amino acids of the enzyme. On the other hand, a number of structural modifications have been made in order to increase DPP-4Is antidiabetic activity and minimize their well-known side effects [32–34]. Among these modifications, the identification of natural compounds as new DPP-4Is with fewer side effects. Herbal medicines for diabetic therapy are widely used, but with lower effective degree. Recently DPP-4 was selected as a field template to search for natural, small phenolic compounds as new DPP-4Is, namely, flavone, chrysin, luteolin, curcumin and resveratrol, which were found to have great affinity for DPP-4 enzyme through both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions. Recently, the role of these naturally occurring phenolic compounds as antidiabetics (DPP-4Is) were also accompanied with their antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory effects, (Fig. 3).

Anagliptin, vildagliptin (pyrrolidine gliptin), as well as pyrimidine-dione gliptin (linagliptin & alogliptin) are two groups of DPP-4Is having both anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities, aside from their potent anti-diabetic effects, (Fig. 1). They inhibited the inflammatory and fibrotic gene expression in macrophages through decreasing the DPP-4 activity implicated in transforming growth factor (TGF) -mediated fibroblast activity (Anagliptin, Vildagliptin and Linagliptin), and/or inhibiting the inflammatory responses by preventing the toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4)-mediated formation of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Alogliptin) [35,36].

DPP-4Is were recently reconsidered as drug repurposing route for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infections, due to an urgent need for small molecule drugs for controlling infections. Three pyrimidinone gliptins namely, gemigliptin, linagliptin and evogliptin, are being investigated as broad-spectrum antiviral agents, for their effects as potential inhibitors of viral cysteine protease in both SARS & MERS [19,37], (Fig. 1).

Inspired by all the above-mentioned motives along with the importance of pyrimidinone ring as DPP-4I bearing antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities, which can aid in controlling the corona viruses, we succeeded to prepare some novel pyrimidinone and thio-pyrimidinone (1–8) which were screened for their antidiabetic and DPP-4 inhibition. Furthermore, their anti-inflammatory effect on LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells and their antioxidant activity using DPPH assay were evaluated. Here, we developed a program for the synthesis and evaluation as designed in Fig. 4.

The lead compounds; our target compounds, the new pyrimidinone sulfonamide derivatives, were prepared through a two-step reaction. The first was by the reaction of 2-thiouracil with chlorosulfonic acid at 120°C to obtain 2-thiouracil-5-sulfonyl chloride (3). Compound 2 reacted in the second step with appropriate substituted urea or thiourea in basic medium to obtain sulfonamide derivatives (3a–d), which were used as starting materials for the synthesis of new pyrimidine
sulfonamide derivatives [38–40].

Reaction of thiouracil derivatives with halogenated compounds in basic medium to produce S-alkylated derivatives was reported [41,42]. On the basis of this previously reported reactions; thiouracil derivatives 3a–d were successfully alkylated with chloroacetic acid in the presence of sodium hydroxide to afford sulfanyl acetic acid derivatives 5a–d. Furthermore, the reaction of 3a–d with chloroacetyl chloride in the presence of triethyl amine not only caused S-alkylation but led to internal cyclisation to afford thiazolo [3,2-a] pyrimidine derivatives 4a–d [43]. Heating under reflux of compounds 3a–d, separately, with hydrazine hydrate gave the corresponding 2-hydrazinopyrimidine derivatives 6a–d in fair yields [44], as revealed in Scheme 1.

2.2. Biological evaluation

2.2.1. Evaluation of the antihyperglycemic activity

The burden of T2DM is growing globally with equal gender distribution and 55 years of age incidence peaks. Moreover, the prevalence of T2DM is expected to increase globally by the year 2030 to reach 7079 per 100,000 individuals, which reflects a sustained increase in the number of type 2 diabetic patients all over the world [45].

T2DM is characterized by a prolonged hyperglycemia caused by a combination of insulin tolerance in muscles and liver, and decreased insulin production by the beta cells of the pancreas [46,47]. Chronic hyperglycemia, microvascular and macrovascular complications associated with T2DM significantly increase disease-associated morbidity and mortality. Moreover, epidemiologic data showed that diabetic patients are at higher risk of developing various diseases like cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, and psychiatric disorders [48–50].

Recently, a growing number of studies have shown that T2DM is the comorbidity with the greatest negative impact on the prognosis of patients with COVID-19. Type 2 diabetic patients who get COVID-19 are more likely to develop severe COVID-19 and have higher mortality rates [51,52]. Consequently, several newer approaches with novel mode of action have been emerged to improve T2DM management. The collision of these two major global epidemics urges the correct use of the antidiabetic agents. Since DPP-4Is are commonly used antihyperglycemics, thus, the relationship between DPP-4Is use and COVID-19 has also attracted increasing attention.

Hence our study started with the in vivo screening of all synthesized compounds (3–8) for their potential antihyperglycemic effect using the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) utilizing diamicron as a reference standard. As depicted in Table 1., the antihyperglycemic effect was evident by the decrease in the glucose area under the curve (AUC) of the oral tolerance test when compared to the control rats. The most active compound was 4c, which caused reduction in AUC by ~25% followed by 4b, 5a, 8c, 5c, 4d, 8b and 6b, which showed a close activity with...
several activities independent of the incretin pathway like antihyperglycemic effects [6]. Similarly, SARS-CoV-2 infection may worsen the current DM inflammatory response and to the cytokine storm causing fatal pneumonia [53]. DPP-4Is; known as the gliptins; are a class of oral antidiabetics approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of T2DM. In addition to their antihyperglycemic effects, the gliptins have several activities independent of the incretin pathway like antihyperglycemic, anti-inflammatory, and immunomodulatory effects [53]. DPP-4 allocation in the human respiratory tract may help the SARS-CoV-2 entry. Moreover, it could contribute to the development of the hyperinflammatory response and to the cytokine storm causing fatal pneumonia [6]. Similarly, SARS-CoV-2 infection may worsen the current DM and even more prominent to frank DM in non-diabetic patients [54]. Hence, the use of DPP-4Is may decrease the virus entry into the airways and may mitigate DM and coexisting COVID-19-induced insulin resistance, hyperglycemia, and inflammatory response [10].

Thus, the promising antihyperglycemic activity displayed by about 15 out of 24 tested compounds encouraged us to test their in vitro inhibitory activity against DPP-4 enzyme (using linagliptin as a positive control drug) in an attempt to find antihyperglycemic compounds with DPP-4 inhibition potency thus, mitigate the diabetic state and alleviate the hyperglycemic and inflammatory states induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection. Consequently, may have favorable effects on COVID-19 outcomes via decreasing the risk of greater COVID-19 severity and mortality in Type 2 diabetic patients. The results showed that five compounds depicted promising in vitro inhibitory activity against DPP-4 enzyme, Table 2. Compounds 6a and 7d showed the highest inhibitory activity (IC_{50}, 0.047 ± 0.002 and 0.057 ± 0.003 , respectively) followed by compound 4b (IC_{50}, 0.063 ± 0.003). Compounds 6b and 8b showed strong inhibitory effect (IC_{50}, 0.071 ± 0.004 and 0.075 ± 0.003 μM, respectively) against the DPP-4 enzyme.

### 2.2.3. Effect of the synthesized compounds on blood glucose levels and plasma DPP-4 activity in type 2 diabetic rats

The high fat diet (HFD) was used in rats to induce insulin resistance, while the low streptozotocin (STZ) dose caused decrease in the ability of pancreatic cells to produce sufficient insulin levels and thus, T2DM was induced in rats, which was manifested by the significant increase in the AUC of OGTT. Then, the effect of the oral administration of the most active compounds at equivalent doses of 10 mg/kg on BGLs of the diabetic rats was investigated using linagliptin as a positive control drug. Compounds 4b, 6a, 6b, 7d and 8b significantly improved glucose tolerance. 4b, 6b and 7d showed the most potent effect followed by 6a and 8b, when compared to linagliptin, as shown by the marked reduction in the AUC of OGTT compared to the control diabetic group, Table 3.

To evaluate the effect on plasma DPP-4 activity, linagliptin (10 mg/kg) and the five active compounds i.e., 4b, 6a, 6b, 7d and 8b at their equivalent doses were administered to the type 2 diabetic rats after an overnight fasting. As shown in Fig. 5, plasma DPP-4 activity was significantly decreased (p < 0.001) by compounds 4b, 7d and 6b (by about 63, 57, and 53%, respectively), followed by compounds 8b and 6a (48 and 51%, respectively) and by 67% in linagliptin group, when compared to the vehicle treated control diabetic group.

### 2.2.4. The anti-inflammatory effect of the synthetic derivatives

DPP-4 regulates the local and systemic inflammation via cleavage of the immunomodulatory DPP-4 substrates [55,56]. DPP-4Is succeeded to...
reduce inflammation in preclinical studies and in patients with T2DM [57]. It was reported that SARS-CoV, a closely related virus to SARS-CoV-2, can cause pancreatic β-cell function impairment and transient hyperglycemia [58]. Moreover, the COVID-19-induced inflammatory state and cytokine storm, which are characterized by the extreme release of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-6; has led to peripheral insulin resistance [59]. Studies revealed that DPP-4 inhibition may attenuate SARS-CoV-2 virulence and the subsequent multiorgan damage via several mechanisms that include decreasing the overproduction of cytokines [56,60]; reducing the activity of macrophages [61]; inducing direct pulmonary anti-inflammatory effects [62,63]; and finally augmenting GLP-1 anti-inflammatory activity [64,65], mainly in COVID-19 [6]. Inspired by these effects, we evaluated the potential anti-inflammatory activity of the compounds with the highest DPP-4 inhibition activities via 4b, 6a, 6b, 7d, and 8b. Macrophage cell lines are applied in numerous studies of inflammation [66]. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) is commonly used to stimulate the macrophages in order to establish an inflammatory model [67,68]. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) is commonly used to stimulate the macrophages in order to establish an inflammatory model [67,68]. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) is commonly used to stimulate the macrophages in order to establish an inflammatory model [67,68].

2.2.4.1. In vitro cytotoxicity of the selected derivatives against RAW264.7 cells. MTT assay was used to evaluate the effect of compounds 4b, 6a, 6b, 7d, and 8b on RAW264.7 macrophage cell viability at five concentrations i.e., 250, 63, 16, 4, and 1 µM without LPS. The results are shown in Table 4 and showed that all derivatives have IC50 above 100 µM. Thus, all the compounds were used at concentrations equivalent to 1/4 IC50 for cytokine release evaluation in order to study their anti-inflammatory activity in LPS stimulated RAW264.7 cells.

### Table 4

| Compound | IC50 (µM) |
|----------|-----------|
| 4b       | 241.30 ± 69.20 |
| 6a       | 175.20 ± 24.70 |
| 6b       | 188.90 ± 26.00 |
| 7d       | 101.60 ± 16.60 |
| 8b       | 154.60 ± 24.20 |

Data is displayed as mean ± SD.

2.2.4.2. The effect of the selected compounds on the levels IL-6 and CRP in LPS-induced RAW264.7 macrophages. In response to LPS stimulation, the macrophages produce excess inflammatory mediators and cytokines like TNF-α and IL-6 [69]. In our study, the changes of the levels of IL-6 and CRP post LPS stimulation of RAW264.7 cells were first evaluated on the gene expression levels. We found that all the test compounds exerted significant anti-inflammatory effects (p < 0.001) as designated by the decreased expression levels of CRP and IL-6 mRNA. Compounds 6a and 8b showed the highest anti-inflammatory activity as shown by the downregulation of the CRP (fold change; 0.207 ± 0.021 and 0.211 ± 0.015, respectively) and IL-6 gene expression (fold change; 0.131 ± 0.010 and 0.171 ± 0.007, respectively) compared to the mRNA levels of the LPS control group, Fig. 6. Moreover, validation of the anti-inflammatory activity on the protein level was done and the results showed that all compounds were able to significantly decrease the release of CRP and IL-6 in LPS stimulated macrophages (p < 0.001) as shown in Fig. 7. Compounds 6a and 8b were noted to reveal a similar pattern of activity as they reduced the release of CRP by about 75.5% and 82.6, respectively combined with the decrease in IL-6 levels by about 85.9% and 80.2%, respectively.

2.2.5. Antioxidant activity

DPPH method was utilized to test the in vitro antioxidant activity of the active compounds i.e., 4b, 6a, 6b, 7d, and 8b using Trolox as a standard. The results are shown in Table 5, and Fig. 8, which revealed that compounds 4b and 8b have the highest radical scavenging activity.

2.3. Molecular docking of the test compounds on DPP-4 enzyme

The binding modes of the most active compounds (4b, 6a, 6b, 7d, and 8b) into human DPP-4 binding site were computed. The docking studies were performed against human DPP-4 active site (PDB ID:2RGU) [70] using MOE 2014.09 [71]. Based on the literature, the most important residues that are responsible for DPP-4 inhibition are hydrophobic S1 pocket residues which include Tyr 631, Val 656, Trp 659, Tyr 662, Tyr 666, and Val 711, catalytic triad residues Ser 630, Asp 708, and His 740, N-terminal recognition region residues Glu 205, and Glu 206, and hydrophobic S2 pocket residues Arg 125, Phe 357, Arg 358, Tyr 547, Pro 550, and Asn 710 [72–75]. The co-crystalized ligand, linagliptin (pyrimidinone gliptin), can bind to the S1′ and/or S2′ subsites in addition to the S1 and S2 subsites [23,72,76].

To validate the docking protocol, the co-crystalized ligand was re-docked, and the obtained root mean square deviation (RMSD) value between the original ligand and the re-docked pose was <1Å, which indicates the reliability of the docking. The docking results of the newly
Glu205, Glu 206, and Tyr631 at distances 2.85, 2.82, and 3.29 Å.

Docking score Table 6 and Figs. 9 and 10.

The results of energy binding scores and binding interactions are depicted in Table 6 and Figs. 9 and 10.

Table 5
Antioxidant activity of the most active compounds using the DPPH scavenging method.

| Compound | IC50 (µM) | % Radical scavenging activity of the test compounds in DPPH assay. |
|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4b       | 65.93 ± 3.4 | % Radical scavenging activity of the test compounds in DPPH assay. |
| 6a       | 138.71 ± 7.1 | % Radical scavenging activity of the test compounds in DPPH assay. |
| 6b       | 123.02 ± 6.3 | % Radical scavenging activity of the test compounds in DPPH assay. |
| 7d       | 155.14 ± 7.9 | % Radical scavenging activity of the test compounds in DPPH assay. |
| 8b       | 60.79 ± 3.1  | % Radical scavenging activity of the test compounds in DPPH assay. |
| Trolox   | 55.18 ± 2.8  | % Radical scavenging activity of the test compounds in DPPH assay. |

Data is displayed as mean ± SD.

Our compounds (4b,c, 6a,b, 7d, & 8b) share linagliptin the binding to two or three residues. Hydrazines 6a, and hydrazones 8b, both share linagliptin in binding with three residues through formation of four bindings. Like linagliptin, compound 6a binds to residues Glu205, Tyr547, and Trp629, where it forms one hydrogen bond with Glu205, two π-H interactions with Tyr547 phenyl and one H-π interaction of Trp629 with its phenyl moiety, in addition to other two hydrogen bonds with Arg125, and Asn710. While 8b shares linagliptin with binding with residues Tyr631, Tyr547, and Trp629. It exhibited two H-π interactions with Tyr631 and Trp629, in addition to other two bindings with Tyr547. Also, it displayed a cation-π interaction with Lys554 and one hydrogen bond with Asn710. Moreover, they both have the best binding scores (−6.9885 and −6.7936 Kcal/mol, respectively). These results are consistent with the biological results and can explain the highest inhibitory activity of compounds 6a and 8b against DPP-4.

On the other hand, thiazolopyrimidines 4b and 4c, pyrimidine derivative 6b and triazolopyrimidine 7d share linagliptin with binding with only two residues with docking scores −6.1787, −5.4205, −6.2857, and −5.6598 Kcal/mol, respectively. Compound 4b exhibited three hydrogen bonds with the key residues Glu206, Tyr547, and Arg125. Compound 4c displayed one π-H interaction with Tyr547 and one H-π interaction between Trp629 and its tolyl moiety. Pyrimidine derivative 6b presented three hydrogen bonds with Glu206, Arg125, and Asn710, in addition to one H-π interaction between Trp629 and its tolyl group. Finally, triazolopyrimidine 7d exhibited three hydrogen bonds with Glu205, Tyr631, and Asn710.

On exploring the structure activity relationship (SAR), from the biological screening results of the test compounds, we found that compounds 6a and 7d showed the highest DPP-4 inhibitory activity compared to linagliptin, in the in vitro DPP-4 inhibition assay, as well as in the in vivo study that was carried on type 2 diabetic rats. Furthermore, investigation of the antidiabetic effect of our synthesized compounds in type 2 diabetic rats, revealed that 6b and 4b displayed better glycemic control than linagliptin. Finally, 4b and 8b demonstrated potent radical scavenging activity in DPPH assay, while 6a and 8b showed the highest anti-inflammatory activity in LPS-induced RAW264.7 macrophages as shown by the down-regulation of the CRP and IL-6 gene expression and protein levels as revealed in Fig. 11, which indicates the full SAR of our tested compounds.

3. Conclusion

A novel series of pyrimidinone and thio-pyrimidinone derivatives were synthesized, and evaluated for their in vivo antihyperglycemic, and in vitro DPP-4 inhibitory activity. The most active compounds i.e., 4b, 6a,b, 7d, & 8b were tested for their in vivo antidiabetic effect and plasma DPP-4 inhibitory activity as well as, their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities. All the in vitro results are compatible with the docking results. Summarizing the results indicated that compound 8b was one of the most promising bioactive hydrazones, offered the highest activity as DPP-4I, with promising anti-inflammatory, antioxidant effects and may be able to significantly mitigate COVID-19 in type 2 diabetic patients. The results of this research may be a flashlight in the dark to discover a valuable therapeutic means for type 2 diabetic patients coupled with anti-inflammatory activities and a protecting effect against COVID-19 infection outcomes.

Fig. 7. Effect of the selected compounds on the release of CRP and IL-6 by LPS (1 µg/mL) stimulated RAW264.7 macrophages. Data are presented as mean ± SD of three assays. ***: Significant (p < 0.001) compared to LPS.

Fig. 8. % Radical scavenging activity of the test compounds in DPPH assay.
this study were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and were recrystallized from DMF/water to give compounds 3a-d.

| Compound | (S) Kcal/mol | E score1 Kcal/mol | E score2 Kcal/mol | Binding interaction (Receptor-Ligand) |
|----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4b       | −6.1787      | −10.9107          | −6.1787           | Glu206-Thiazole (H-b, 4.10 Å), Tyr547-C=O, Pyrimidine (H-b, 2.86 Å), Arg125-C=S (H-b, 3.31 Å), | 4.1.2. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 3a-d
A mixture of sulfonfyl chloride 2 (1.13 g, 0.005 mol) and the appropriate substituted urea or thiourea (0.005 mol) in sodium ethoxide/ethanol mixture (25 mL) was refluxed for 15–20 h, then cooled, filtered off, dried under suction, and recrystallized from DMF/water to give compounds 3a-d.

1-[(4-Oxothio-4H-pyrimidin-5-yl)sulfonfyl]-3-phenylthiourea (3b): Yield: 67%; m.p.: 207–209 °C; IR (KBr) ν (cm⁻¹): 3414–3088 (NH, broad), 1680 (C=O), 1381,1168 (SO₂); MS (EI) m/z: 356 (M⁺, 60.1%); ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.34–7.7 (5, H, Ar-H), 8.21 (s, 1H, pyrimidine), 11.14, 11.31, 12.05, 12.71 (s, 4NH, D₂O exchangeable); ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 75 MHz) δ (ppm): 99.13, 102.11, 118.13, 122.43, 125.53, 143.42 (SP₂ carbon atoms), 152.3 (C=O), 162.27, 169.1 (C=S); Anal. Calcd. for C₁₁H₇N₃O₅S₃ (349.91): C, 38.60%; H, 2.92%; N, 16.37%; Found: C, 38.79; H, 3.06; N, 16.14%.

4.1.3. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 4a-d
To an ice-cold solution of 3a-d (0.01 mol) in 40 mL DMF, triethyl amine (0.03 mol) and chloroacetyl chloride (0.03 mol) were added successively. The reaction mixture was heated in a water bath at 70 °C for 6 h, cooled, poured into ice / cold water and extracted with methylene chloride (3 × 30 mL). The organic layer was dried on anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was washed with 10% sodium carbonate solution and the un-dissolved solid was filtered off, dried, and recrystallized from DMF/water to give compounds 4a-d.

1-[(2,5-Dioxo-8,8a-dihydro-3H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)sulfonfyl]-3-phenylthiourea (4a): Yield: 64%; m.p.: 216–218 °C; IR (KBr) ν (cm⁻¹): 3409–3085 (NH, broad), 1687, 1662 (C=O),
3-(4-Methylphenyl)-1-[{(2,5-dioxo-8,8a-dihydro-3H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)sulfonyl]-thiourea (4b): Yield: 75%; m.p.: 242–244 °C; IR (KBr) ν (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3379–3102 (NH, broad), 1693, 1667 (C–O), 1405, 1199 (SO\(_2\)); MS (EI) m/z: 398 (M\(^+\), 90.2%); \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-d\(_6\), 300 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.32 (s, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 3.58 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 3.91 (s, 1H, CH-fusion), 7.32–7.42 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 8.16 (s, 1H, pyrimidine), 11.14, 11.29, 12.70 (s, 3NH, D\(_2\)O exchangeable); \(^{13}\)C NMR (DMSO, 75 MHz) δ (ppm): 35.71 (CH\(_3\)), 39.24, 40.49 (C–N), 99.82, 104.07, 116.98, 122.41, 133.12, 145.25 (SP\(_2\) carbon atoms), 151.54, 162.75 (C–O), 165.57 (C═S); Anal. Calcd. for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{14}\)N\(_4\)O\(_4\)S\(_3\) (398.02): C, 42.21; H, 3.52; N, 14.07%. Found: C, 42.34; H, 3.41; N, 14.31%.

1-[(2,5-Dioxo-8,8a-dihydro-3H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)sulfonyl]-3-phenyl-urea(4c): Yield: 71%; m.p.: 190–192 °C; IR (KBr) ν (cm\(^{-1}\)): 3410–3103 (NH, broad), 1702, 1681, 1668 (C═O), 1373, 1169 (SO\(_2\)); MS (EI) m/z: 368 (M\(^+\), 8.64%); \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-d\(_6\), 300 MHz) δ
Fig. 10. 3D binding mode of compound 6a with DPP-4 active site.

Fig. 11. SAR for the uppermost active compounds.
3-(4-Methylphenyl)-1-[2-(5-dioxo-8a-dihydro-3H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)sulfonyl]-1H-pyrimidin-2-yl]sulfanyl]acetic acid (5b) – (399.99): C, 39.00; H, 3.00; N, 14.00%. Found: C, 39.17; H, 2.82; N, 24.12%.

1.4. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 5a-d
To a solution of monochloroacetic acid (0.03 mol) and sodium hydroxide (0.03 mol) in 5 ml water, a solution of 3a-d (0.03 mol) and sodium hydroxide (0.03 mol) in 10 ml water was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 4 h and rendered acidic with hydrochloric acid (6 N). The separated solid was filtered off, washed with water and recrystallized from methanol to obtain compounds 5a-d.

2.1.4. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 6a-d
A mixture of 3a-d (0.005 mol) and hydrazine hydrate 99% (0.005 mol) in 30 ml methanol was refluxed for 30 h, then cooled and poured into ice/water. The produced solid was filtered off, dried, and recrystallized from methanol to give compounds 6a-d.

1.4.5. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 6a-d
A mixture of 3a-d (0.005 mol) and hydrazine hydrate 99% (0.005 mol) in 30 ml methanol was refluxed for 30 h, then cooled and poured into ice/water. The produced solid was filtered off, dried, and recrystallized from methanol to give compounds 6a-d.
D₂O exchangeable); ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 75 MHz) δ (ppm): 39.28 (CH₃), 93.02, 105.33, 109.73, 114.18, 119.02, 123.21, 142.66, 143.35 (SP² carbon atoms), 161.87 (C=O), 175.45 (C=S); Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₁₇N₄O₃S (364.04): C, 42.86; H, 3.30; N, 23.08%. Found: C, 42.81; H, 3.25; N, 23.23%.

1-(5-oxo-1H-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-6-yl)sulfonyl]-3-(4-methylphenyl)-thiourea (7c): Yield: 64 %; m.p.: >300 °C; IR (KBr) ν (cm⁻¹): 3322–3095 (NH, broad), 1685, 1667 (2C=O), 1371,1166 (SO₂); MS (EI) m/z: 334 (M⁺, 9%); ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz) δ (ppm): 6.79–8.23 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 10.70, 11.30, 11.68 (s, 3NH, D₂O exchangeable); Anal. Calcd. for C₁₉H₁₈N₄O₃S (334.05): C, 43.11; H, 2.99; N, 25.15%. Found: C, 43.16; H, 2.90; N, 25.26%.

4.1.7. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 8a-d

A mixture of hydrazino compounds 6a-d (0.001 mol) and benzaldehyde (0.001 mol) in 30 mL methanol was heated under reflux, then cooled and the produced precipitate was filtered off, dried, and recrystallized from DMF/water to yield compounds 8a-d.

1-(2-[2E]-2-benzylidenehydrazino]-6-oxo-1H-pyrimidin-5-yl)thiourea (8a): Yield: 90 %; m.p.: 228–230 °C; IR (KBr) ν (cm⁻¹): 3474–3188 (NH, broad), 1689, 1666 (2C=O), 1359,1168 (SO₂); MS (EI) m/z: 428 (M⁺, 33%); ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz) δ (ppm): 5.20 (s, 1H, CH = N), 6.69–8.37 (m, 11H, Ar-H), 10.45, 10.88, 11.19, 11.48 (s, 4NH, D₂O exchangeable); ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 75 MHz) δ (ppm): 51.04, 74.43 (C=N), 94.94, 110.75, 118.07, 123.21, 142.66, 151.34 (SP² carbon atoms), 160.63 (C=O), 165.87 (C=S); Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₁₈N₄O₂S (428.07): C, 50.47; H, 3.74; N, 19.63%. Found: C, 50.47; H, 3.82; N, 19.72%.

1-(2-[2E]-2-benzylidenehydrazino]-6-oxo-1H-pyrimidin-5-yl)sulfonyl]-3-phenyl-thiourea (8b): Yield: 90 %; m.p.: 228–230 °C; IR (KBr) ν (cm⁻¹): 3474–3188 (NH, broad), 1689, 1666 (2C=O), 1380,1163 (SO₂); MS (EI) m/z: 442 (M⁺, 8.5%); ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.31 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.41 (s, 1H, CH=N), 6.76–8.12 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 8.92, 9.97, 10.02, 10.17 (s, 4NH, D₂O exchangeable); ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 75 MHz) δ (ppm): 39.26 (CH₃), 52.59, 55.06 (C=N), 98.22, 104.99, 114.10, 119.57, 133.29, 140.54 (SP² carbon atoms), 161.93 (C=O), 175.49 (C=S); Anal. Calcd. for C₂₅H₂₁N₅O₂S (442.09): C, 51.58; H, 4.07; N, 19.00%. Found: C, 51.41; H, 4.08; N, 19.06%.

4.2.1. Animals

The complete course of the experiment was performed using male Wistar albino rats (200–250 g), reared and maintained in the animal house at Faculty of Pharmacy, Helwan University and provided pelleted food and water ad libitum. For acclimatization, the animals were maintained in a controlled environment of 12 h light and dark cycle for a week. The protocol of the study was approved by the animal ethics committee of Faculty of Pharmacy, Helwan University. The study was conducted in accordance with the local governmental and ethical guidelines [79]. Prior to the experiment, animals were fasted overnight then the test drugs were administered at the selected doses. The behavioral change was observed up to 24 h. The test compounds were found to be non-toxic at the selected doses.

4.2.2. Oral toxicity study

An acute toxicity survey of the test compounds was made on albino rats following the OECD guidelines [79]. Prior to the experiment, animals were fasted overnight then the test drugs were administered at the selected doses. The behavioral change was observed up to 24 h. The test compounds were found to be non-toxic at the selected doses.

4.2.3. In vivo screening of the antihyperglycemic effect of the synthesized derivatives using oral tolerance test: sucrose-loaded model (SLM)

Animals were fasted overnight. Blood samples were initially collected (0 min) then the test derivatives were given by oral gavage to the corresponding groups (n = 6). After half an hour post-test treatment, a sucrose load of 10 gm/kg BW was given to each rat. Blood glucose levels (BGLs) were recorded at 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after sucrose load [80] using glucometer (Gluco Dr Super Sensor, AllMedicus Co., Ltd., Anyang, Gyeonggi, Korea) for glucose area under curve (AUC) calculation.

4.2.4. In vitro DPP-4 inhibition assay

The active compounds in SLM were screened for their DPP-4 inhibition activities as previously described [81,82] using Fluorogenic DPP-4 assay Kit (Bps Bioscience Cornerstone Court W, Ste B San Diego, CA 92121) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Test samples and linagliptin as positive control were used at concentration range from 0.01 to 10 μM and the assay was performed according to Langley et al. [83] and Deacon et al. [84].

4.2.5. Induction of type 2 diabetes mellitus in rats

Testing the antidiabetic effect of the compounds which showed promising DPP-4 inhibition was performed on diabetic rats. Hyperglycemia was induced in rats by high fat diet (HFD) that consisted of total energy 25.07 KJ/g including 60% fat, 20% protein and 20% carbohydrate for 4 weeks. Followed by an I.P. injection of streptozotocin (STZ) at a dose of 35 mg/kg (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) freshly prepared in a 0.05 M citrate buffer (pH4.5) after overnight fasting [85]. BGL was monitored after 3 days using glucometer (Gluco Dr Super Sensor, AllMedicus Co., Ltd., Anyang, Gyeonggi, Korea). Animals with BGL ≥ 200 mg/dl were involved in the experiment.

4.2.6. In vivo antidiabetic effect of a single dose on oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT)

Diabetic rats were randomly categorized into seven groups (6 rats/group). Animals were fasted overnight. Then, the first group orally administered vehicle only and served as T2DM control group. The rest groups orally administered linagliptin (10 mg/kg) or equivalent doses of compounds 4b, 6a, 6b, 7d and 8b. One hour later, all rats received an oral glucose load of 1 g/Kg. For each group, BGL was estimated at zero (before glucose load), and 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after oral administration of the glucose load using glucometer (Gluco Dr Super Sensor, AllMedicus Co., Ltd., Anyang, Gyeonggi, Korea).

4.2.7. Plasma DPP-4 activity in diabetic rats

To measure plasma DPP-4 activity, diabetic rats were fasted
overnight, and each group orally administered vehicle, linagliptin (10 mg/kg) or equivalent doses of compounds 4b, 6a, 6b, 7d and 8b. Ninety minutes after vehicle or drugs administration, blood samples were collected from the retro orbital plexus. To determine the activity of plasma DPP-4, Fluorogenic DPP-4 Assay Kit (Bps Bioscience Cornerstone Court W, Ste B San Diego, CA 92121) was used following the manufacturer’s instructions.

4.2.8. Anti-inflammatory effect of the synthesized derivatives

4.2.8.1. Cell culture. RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). The cells were cultured at 37 °C using DMEM (Invitrogen/Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone, GE Healthcare, USA), 10% streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA) in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

4.2.8.2. Cell viability assay. To determine cell viability, In Vitro Toxicology Assay Kit, MTT Based (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA) was used. Cells were plated (1.2-1.8 x 10⁵ cells/well) at 37 °C and incubated with different concentrations of the test compounds (250, 63, 16, 4, and 1 µM) for 24 h in an incubator with 5% CO₂. At the end of the treatment, 3- (4,5- dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) solution was added to each well followed by incubation at 37 °C for additional 4 h. The optical density was measured spectrophotometrically with the microplate reader (SunRise, TECAN, Inc, USA) at 590 nm. The viability of the cells was evaluated by comparing the treated cells to the non-treated ones.

4.2.8.3. Detection of Pro-Inflammatory cytokine IL-6 and CRP production. For quantification of the proinflammatory cytokines, the procedure started with seeding of RAW264.7 cells into 6-well plates (1 x 10⁶ cells/well). When the cells reached confluence after 24 h, they were treated with concentrations equivalent to ½ IC₅₀ of the selected test compounds for 2 h. Then, 1 µg/mL of LPS was added to all treated and untreated wells to induce the inflammatory process. After 24 h, the cells were harvested for RNA extraction while the culture media were collected for ELISA analysis. The untreated wells represented the LPS control group.

4.2.8.3.1. Quantitative real-time PCR for proinflammatory cytokines analysis. Total RNA was extracted from treated RAW 264.7 cells using Qiagen RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For mRNA analysis, reverse transcription was performed using RevertAid™ H Minus Reverse Transcriptase kit (Fermentas, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Canada). To quantify mRNA of CRP and IL-6 expression, BioRad syber green PCR MMX was used with CRP, IL-6, and the housekeeping gene ACTB specific RT primers (Table 7). The gene transcripts were measured by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) using a Rotor-Gene Q (QIAGEN Hilden, Germany). All experiments were performed in triplicate. Fold change was calculated using the 2^ΔΔCt method.

4.2.8.3.2. Measurement of cytokines by ELISA. To measure the inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and CRP in cell culture supernatants, Abi178013 Human IL-6 SimpleStep ELISA® Kit (Abcam, MA, USA) and Human C-Reactive Protein/CRP Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D Systems, Inc., USA) were used, respectively. The assays were performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

4.2.9. Antioxidant activity (DPHH Method)

About 0.004 g DPPH reagent was dissolved in 100 mL methanol. In 96-well plates, 100 µL of the sample (50–350 µM) and 100 µL of 100 µM DPPH solution were added. The plate was incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark, and finally, absorbance was recorded at 595 nm wavelength. To authenticate the process, Trolox at different concentrations was used.

Table 7

| Gene | Primers | Sequence |
|------|---------|----------|
| IL6  | Forward | 5’-AGACGCGCCTGACCTCTTCAG-3’ |
|      | Reverse | 5’-TCTGCCAGTGCTCTTGTGCT-3’ |
| CRP  | Forward | 5’-GAACTTTCACCCGGAATACTCCTT3’ |
|      | Reverse | 5’-CTCCTCTGACATGCTGCTTCT-3’ |
| ACTB | Forward | 5’-GACACACACCTTCTACAAAG-3’ |
|      | Reverse | 5’-TGCTTGTGATCCACATCG-3’ |

The degree of scavenging was calculated by the following equation:

Scavenging effect (%) = [(Absorbance of control – Absorbance of sample)/Absorbance of control] × 100.

4.2.10. Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as the mean ± SD. Statistical significance was established by ANOVA using GraphPad Prism for Windows (version 5.00, GraphPad Inc, CA, USA). p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. To get dose–response curves and obtain mean inhibitory concentration, IC₅₀ values, we used GraphPad Prism 5.

4.3. Molecular docking

All compounds were built using MOE 2014.09 and filed in a molecular database file [86]. The crystal structure of DPP-4 with the inhibitor was downloaded from the protein data bank (PDB ID:2RGU) [87]. Protein was energy minimized and 3D protonated via the structure preparation module of MOE. The complexed ligand and water molecules were removed from the crystal structure before conducting docking. The site of docking was recognized and the database containing all the tested compounds has been docked using rigid receptor as a docking protocol and triangle matcher as a placement method. London dG and GBVI/WSA dG were selected as rescoring functions and force field was used as a refinement. Free binding energy (kcal/mol) was calculated and only the best-scored pose was selected for each compound. The docked pose with the highest docking score has been selected as the most probable binding conformation of the ligand within the active site.
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