Abstract: Energy and fuels derived from biomass pose lesser impact on the environmental carbon footprint than those derived from fossil fuels. In order for the biomass-to-energy and biomass-to-chemicals processes to play their important role in the loop of the circular economy, highly active, selective, and stable catalysts and the related efficient chemical processes are urgently needed. Lignin is the most thermal stable fraction of biomass and a particularly important resource for the production of chemicals and fuels. This mini review mainly focuses on lignin valorizations for renewable chemicals and fuels production and summarizes the recent interest in the lignin valorization over Ni and relevant bimetallic metal catalysts on various supports. Particular attention will be paid to those strategies to convert lignin to chemicals and fuels components, such as pyrolysis, hydrodeoxygenation, and hydrogenolysis. The review is written in a simple and elaborated way in order to draw chemists and engineers’ attention to Ni-based catalysts in lignin valorizations and guide them in designing innovative catalytic materials based on the lignin conversion reaction.
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1. Introduction & Scope of Study

Climate change due to anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions and continuous depletion of raw materials and energy resources are all major hurdles to sustainable development. Energy and fuels derived from biomass can have lesser impact on the environmental carbon footprint than those derived from fossil fuels, especially if the resources are from renewable biomasses, such as wood and herbaceous (lignocellulosic) biomasses, corn, or straw biomasses, etc. This is because even when the fuels are combusted, the CO$_2$ emitted is offset and captured by the biomasses that produced the materials in the first place. The concept of circular economy has a significant impact on slowing down the rate of depletion of raw materials for products and energy consumption [1], in which it replaces the concept of “end-of-life” with restoration and shifts toward the utilization of renewable energy. Among the several generations of biorefinery, the 2nd generation, i.e., using woody and herbaceous biomasses, is probably the most promising route to produce renewable energy effectively and efficiently. The reason is that whether the lignocellulosic biomasses are freshly grown or from waste sources, they will not directly compete for food usage. In order for the biomass-to-energy and biomass-to-chemicals
processes to play their important role in the loop of the circular economy, first, the process must be energy efficient itself [2]. Second, according to Anastas’s second principle [3], atom economy should be maximized and excess starting materials which will not be contained in the final product should be avoided. Thus, developing highly active and selective catalysts and enzymes is an urgent need. Current technology for lignocellulosic valorization includes biochemical fermentation, chemical and thermochemical methods using enzymes and metal catalysts, respectively. Thermochemical methods, including combustion, pyrolysis, and gasification, and chemical methods, such as hydrodeoxygenation (HDO), hydrogenolysis, and oxidative cleavage, have the advantages of higher throughputs due to the short reaction time, and thus are more suitable for commercialized and industrialized scale-up.

Woody and herbaceous biomasses are large polymeric networks consisting of ca. 35–50% cellulose, 25–30% hemicellulose (glucomannan and glucuronoxylan), and 15–30% of lignin (macromolecular polymer networks with interconnected phenylpropane and phenol units with various formula, e.g., \((\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{34}\text{O}_{11})_n\) by weight. Lignin is one of the most thermal stable fractions and a particularly important resource for the production of chemicals and fuels. With careful design of the metal catalysts, either aromatic platform molecules, or fuels components such as naphthenes, can be preferentially produced in high yields and with high selectivity.

Over the past decade, numerous monometallic and bimetallic metal catalysts using both precious and base metal precursors on various supports have been reported to successfully convert lignin into valuable products. Transition metals such as Ni (price = US$ 12.628/kg as of April 2019) are much more economically viable than precious metals such as Pt (price = US$ 28,935/kg as of April 2019) [4]. In addition, the global warming potential of Ni from cradle to gate is estimated to be ca. 6.5 kg CO\(_2\)-eq/kg, as compared with 12,500 kg CO\(_2\)-eq/kg for Pt metal [5]. Precious metal catalysts generally exhibited higher activity than non-noble metal catalysts, nonetheless, the incorporation of Ni into precious metal catalysts always exhibited outperforming activity and is superior to pure noble metal catalysts due to “synergistic” effects. In addition, among the several transition metal catalysts, such as Ni, Fe, Cu, Zn, and Sn, Ni-based catalysts showed the best activities and product selectivity towards the valorizations of lignin to produce renewable chemicals and fuels. There are several reviews published on the catalytic valorization of biomass, however, they mainly focused on the catalytic lignin transformation technologies in general. The literature based on the lignin valorizations over particular metal catalysts, such as Ni-based catalysts for renewable chemicals and fuels production, has not been covered yet and will be fully accounted in this review. This will provide a summary of the interest in the lignin valorization over Ni and relevant bimetallic metal catalysts on various supports, with particular attention paid to those strategies for converting lignin to chemicals and fuels components, such as pyrolysis, HDO, and hydrogenolysis. In addition, the review is written in simple and elaborate way in order to draw chemists and engineers’ attention to Ni-based catalysts in lignin valorizations and guide them in designing innovative catalytic materials based on the reaction.

2. Ni-Based Catalysts for Pyrolysis of Lignin

Pyrolysis is a key thermal conversion technology for the conversion of lignin to pyrolytic oil, syngas, and solid residue (char), and is an effective and economic utilization of biomass. However, due to the difficulty to decompose and the generation of high amounts of solid residue for the lignin pyrolysis, it is still a challenge for utilization of lignin with high efficiency by heat [6,7]. Introducing the catalyst into the thermal conversion process can significantly improve the yield of oil and gas for the pyrolytic decomposition of lignin. Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the experimental system for the catalytic pyrolysis of lignin [8]. In this process, Ni-based catalysts are the most effective, which can decrease the yield of tar and the oxygen content in the bio-oil significantly and increase the gas yield, which is an especially important process for the production of hydrogen. This section is a review on studies related to catalytic pyrolysis of lignin to produce oil and gas over Ni-based catalysts.
2.1. Pyrolysis of Lignin to Oil over Ni-Based Catalysts

Lignin pyrolysis oil derived from the traditional thermal decomposition of biomass is unstable due to the high oxygen content. Catalytic pyrolysis has been demonstrated as a highly efficient and environmental friendly technology to improve the quality of the bio-oil by coupling the catalytic cracking and deoxygenation of pyrolysis vapor phase to produce mono-phenolics or stable aromatic hydrocarbons from lignin, which reduces the oxygen content and enhances the quality for meeting the applications in both fuels and chemicals. Generally, catalysts that include metal sites (metal, metal oxides, or metal salts) play an important role in the catalytic pyrolysis of lignin. Recently, Ni-based catalysts have aroused widespread concern regarding the pyrolysis of lignin to oil, and these important findings are summarized in Table 1. Ben and Ragauskas studied the catalytic pyrolysis process of Kraft lignin over NiCl$_2$ and ZSM-5 zeolite and found that the additive could promote the decomposition of methoxy groups, carboxyl, and ether bonds, which decreased the oxygen content in the bio-oil [9]. Collard et al. investigated the pyrolysis of three main constituents of Beech wood over metallic Ni and Fe. The aromatic rings in the matrix were rearranged over metal catalysts, while Ni was beneficial to the production of hydrogen [10]. Recently, it was found that adding formic acid in the form of metal salt to lignin before a fast pyrolysis process promoted the HDO of lignin, leading to the removal of methoxy groups and increase in the liquid yield, especially enhancing the carbon yield of the oil. Typically, nickel formate was thermally decomposed to form hydrogen in the catalytic pyrolysis process, which resulted in the HDO of alkali lignin during pyrolysis and significantly increased the yield of alkylphenols and aromatics, thus simplifying the complexity of alkali lignin pyrolysis products (as shown in Figure 2) [11].
Table 1. Pyrolysis of lignin to oil over Ni-based catalysts.

| Cat.                        | Reaction Conditions | Substrate              | Major Oil Products yield/ (wt %)                  | Reactor                      | ref |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|
| NiCl₂-ZSM-5                 | 10                  | Kraft lignin           | heavy oil (34.5) light oil (11.5) tar (38.4) water (18.3) phenols (59.19) | Quartz pyrolysis tube        | [9] |
| Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (W-Ni0.1)     | -                   | wood                   |                                                   | Tubular heated reactor       |     |
| Nickel formate              | -                   | alkali lignin          | long oil (11.5)                                 | Fixed-bed reactor            | [10]|
| Ni-HZSM-5                   | 5                   | Pine wood              | aromatic (41.3)                                  | Fixed-bed reactor            | [11]|
| NiO/ZSM-5                   | 5.0                 | Hardwood lignin        | 34.8 with sel. to tar (68.7) phenol (12.2)         | Fixed-bed reactor            | [12]|
| Ni-ZSM-5                    | 5                   | hydrolysis lignin      | 85 mg/g-HL                                       | Fixed-bed reactor            | [13]|
| Ni/IS                       | 1.8                 | lignin                 | 92.71% conv. with sel. to benzene (80.1) phenol (18.0) char (38.5) phenol (35.0) char (38.6) phenol (4.0) char (37.9) | Fixed-bed quartz reactor     | [14]|
| Ni/Al₂O₃-SiO₂               | 1.0                 | soda pulping lignin    |                                                   | TGA-TD-GC-MS                 | [15]|
| Ni/DHZSM-5                  | 5                   | hydrolysis lignin      | aromatic hydrocarbon oil 27.0 with sel. to total HCs (77) | Conventional Pyrolyzer       | [16]|
| Ni/HZSM-5                   | 3                   | Lignin + methane       |                                                   | Horizontal quartz reactor    | [17]|

Cat. = Catalyst; Loading (wt%) = Catalyst loading; Temperature (°C) = Reaction temperature; Time (min) = Reaction time; Atmosphere = Reaction atmosphere; Substrate = Type of lignin; Oil Products yield (wt %) = Product yield; Reactor = Type of reactor; ref = Reference number.
Due to the good HDO properties of metal modified zeolite catalyst, the liquid yields were decreased over the M-ZSM-5 catalysts in catalytic pyrolysis of lignin while that of the non-condensable gas was significantly increased. For example, the Ni-modified ZSM-5 catalysts could obviously improve the selectivity of benzene production and C10+ polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (31.36%), which was much higher than those over other metals modified ZSM-5 catalysts, as shown in Figure 3 [12]. The modification of NiO on ZSM-5 changed the concentration and type of the acid sites of ZSM-5 support, and increased its specific surface area, subsequently improved the yield of bio-oil during fast pyrolysis of lignin [13]. Ni-loaded ZSM-5 catalyst also improved the yield of monomeric aromatics/phenolics from catalytic fast pyrolysis of hydrolyzed lignin (HL) containing ca. 60% lignin and other unreacted cellulose, mono and oligosaccharides, which were attributed to the increased Brønsted acid site and total acidity of the catalyst. A value of 85 mg/g-HL oil products can be achieved for Ni-ZSM-5 catalyst, which is much higher than that without catalyst (68 mg/g HL) [14]. Yu et al. analyzed the characteristics of the volatile matter during catalytic pyrolysis of biomass components (lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose) on a novel Ni-based catalyst supported on iron slag (IS), which was close to the catalytic performance of the common alumina nickel catalysts [15]. The cheap and environmentally friendly Ni-based IS catalyst significantly improved the tar conversion of lignin (92.71%) and inhibiting the coke deposition on the catalyst surface. For producing phenols from pyrolysis of lignin, Gorgens et al. screened 12 catalysts (Al2O3, CaO, Fe2O3, TiO2, ZnO, MgO, CuO, MoO3, NiO, Ni/Al2O3-SiO2, NaOH, and KOH) for pyrolysis of sugarcane lignins at 1 wt % content [16]. The highest total yield of phenols was obtained with KOH for SD (soda pulping) lignin (+25.7%), CaO for SAQ (soda-anthraquinone pulping) lignin (+59.7%), and Fe2O3 for SEH (steam explosion pre-treatment followed by enzymatic hydrolysis) lignin (+43.0%). Ni-based catalysts also promoted the yield of phenols, especially with Ni/Al2O3-SiO2 for SAQ lignin (+34.5%). Jiang et al. combined Raney Ni and acidic zeolite (USY) catalysts and achieved the depolymerization of cellulolytic enzyme lignin into phenolic monomers [19]. The yield of oil was over 60 wt %, in which the yields of monophenols could reach to 27.9 wt %.

In addition, the blending of hydrogen-rich materials, such as plastics, methane, to the catalytic pyrolysis process with lignin significantly improved the yield of value-added products (aromatic hydrocarbons). Synergistic effect was observed due to the balance between hydrogen and oxygen in the feedstock. Recently, Park et al. investigated the catalytic co-pyrolysis of Kraft lignin with refuse-derived fuels [17]. As shown in Figure 4, the yields of aromatic hydrocarbons was much higher than the theoretical value for all catalysts, which could be attributed to the synergistic effect of hydrogen rich
feedstock co-feeding. Ni/desilicated HZSM-5 catalyst showed higher aromatic hydrocarbon formation than using HZSM-5 and HZSM-5 supported Ni catalysts owing to its higher acidity and mesoporosity. Besides, the distribution of products greatly depended on the atmosphere for the pyrolysis. When the pyrolysis atmosphere changed from nitrogen to methane, the yield of alkylphenols increased while the yields of both benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) and aromatic HCs were remarkably decreased. It could be attributed to the fact that the competitive reaction occurred on the similar catalytic sites. Methane was activated and then oligomerized to olefins, which inhibited the degree of deoxygenation of lignin-derived phenolics to some extent. However, the yields of both BTEX and aromatic HCs were enhanced over metal-modified HZSM-5 catalysts, which could be attributed to the synergistic effect of metal active sites and the enhanced acid property of zeolite, promoting both reactions of methane activation and phenolics deoxygenation. Specifically, 3 wt % Ni/HZSM-5 catalyst could achieve the maximum increase in the yields of BTEX (by ~13 times) and aromatic HCs (by 1.3 times), due to the enhancement of acid site density of catalyst and the excellent catalytic property of metallic Ni for methane activation [18].
2.2. Pyrolysis of Lignin to Gas over Ni-Based Catalysts

The pyrolysis/gasification of biomass has been confirmed to be an effective technology to produce syngas, which can be used for power generation systems or the synthesis of fine chemicals such as methanol and hydrocarbons employing the Fischer–Tropsch process. In particular, hydrogen derived from biomass has become an attractive strategy since it is a step towards economical viable hydrogen and sustainable supplies for it to be used as zero carbon emission fuel. In this regard, the catalyst plays an important role in boosting the yield of hydrogen production and reduction of tar from biomass gasification. Ni-based catalysts are low-cost, stable, effective materials could replace costly Pt in the production of hydrogen during the gasification of biomass. Table 2 summarized the typical conditions of the gasification of lignin to gas over Ni-based catalysts. Williams et al. investigated the pyrolysis/gasification of biomass components over various Ni-based catalysts by using a two-stage fixed-bed reaction system, including Ni-Ca-Al, Ni-Mg-Al, Ni-Zn-Al, Ni-Ca-Zn-Al catalysts [20,21]. Figure 5 showed the gas concentrations derived from pyrolysis/gasification of various biomass derived samples [20]. Compared with cellulose and xylan at 500 °C, the pyrolysis/gasification of lignin was relatively difficult, which only produced 42.7 wt % gas but 56.0 wt % of residue fraction. However, the highest H$_2$ concentration (55.1 vol %) could be achieved with the steam reforming of lignin sample over catalyst. It was worth noting that Ni-Mg-Al catalyst could significantly eliminate the carbon deposition in this process [20]. Ni/CaO-ZrO$_2$ catalyst also enhanced the hydrogen production for the steaming of biomass woodchips (pine, beech, and poplar). An incorporation of Ca into the structure of the Ni/ZrO$_2$ catalyst led to a decrease in the rate of coke formation on its surface, leading to enhanced stability of the catalyst [22].

![Figure 5. Gas concentrations from pyrolysis/gasification of various samples [20].](image-url)
Table 2. Pyrolysis of lignin to gas over Ni-based catalysts.

| Cat.               | Loading (wt %) | Reaction Conditions | Substrate | Gas Concentration/ (vol %) | Reactor                                      | Ref  |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------|
| Ni-Mg-Al           | 20 mol %       | 800                 | H₂O (0.05) | N₂ (80 mL min⁻¹)          | lignin                                        |      |
| Ni-Ca-Al           | 20 mol %       | 900                 | H₂O (0.02) | N₂ (80 mL min⁻¹)          | lignin                                        |      |
| Ni-Zn-Al           | 20 mol %       | 800                 | H₂O (0.05) | N₂ (80 mL min⁻¹)          | lignin                                        |      |
| Ni/CoO-ZrO₂        | 20             | 700                 | -         | Ar (15 mL min⁻¹)          | Pine A                                        |      |
| Ni/MgO             | 10             | 600                 | Reaction time (2 h) | Ar (SCW) | organosolv lignin | Carbon yield (30) | Fluidized bed reactor [23] |
| Ni/α-Al₂O₃         | 10             | 700                 | 0.1 ml min⁻¹ S/C = 12 | space time 0.22 gcat. H (bio-oil)⁻¹ | bio-oil | Sel. H₂ (65.5) H₂ yield (96) | Fluidized bed reactor [24] |
| Ni/CoO₂-α-Al₂O₃    | 10             | 600                 | -         | space time 0.45 gcat. H (bio-oil)⁻¹ | bio-oil with in situ CO₂ capture remaining oxygenates in bio-oil | Fluidized bed reactor [25] |
| Ni/CoO₂-α-Al₂O₃    | 10             | 700                 | S/C = 6   | space time 0.19 gcat. H (bio-oil)⁻¹ | Conv. (100) H₂ yield (95) | Fluidized bed reactor [26,27] |
| Ni/CoO₂-α-Al₂O₃    | 10             | 700                 | S/C = 6   | space time 0.3 gcat. H (bio-oil)⁻¹ | raw bio-oil X bio-oil (100) H₂ yield (58) | Fluidized bed reactor [28] |
| Ni/α-Al₂O₃         | 10             | 700                 | S/C = 10  | space time 0.23 gcat. H (bio-oil)⁻¹ | bio-oil/bio-ethanol (50 wt % of ethanol) | Fluidized bed reactor [29] |
| Ni/CoO₂-α-Al₂O₃    | 10             | 700                 | Time on stream (100 min) S/C = 6 | space time 0.27 gcat. H (bio-oil)⁻¹ | bio-oil/bio-ethanol (20 wt % of ethanol) | Fluidized bed reactor [30] |
Supercritical water (SCW) with low-viscosity, high-diffusivity values, and relatively high heat capacity promotes the solubility of lignin in water, improving the mass transfer efficiency of lignin and water over the catalyst. Furusawa et al. investigated the gasification of lignin in SCW using a stainless steel tube bomb reactor and found that 10 wt % Ni/MgO (calcined at 600 °C) catalyst with an optimal Ni particle size exhibited the best catalytic performance (carbon yield 30%) [25]. It was concluded that SCW gasification is an environmentally friendly, cost-effective manner for transforming biomass into hydrogen, which can potentially be used as a clean energy source.

Bio-oil derived from the anaerobic distillation of biomass produced a complex mixture of different oxygenated compounds with a high water content (ca. 21–27 wt %), which cannot be used to substitute diesel in internal-combustion engines due to high viscosity and acidity. Steam reforming is thus a promising technology to valorization of the bio-oil because it avoids the costly separation of water to produce the clean energy source (hydrogen). The overall steam reforming of bio-oil is as follows:

\[ C_nH_mO_k + (2n-k)H_2O \rightarrow nCO_2 + \left(2n + \frac{m}{2} - k\right)H_2 \]  

(1)

However, secondary reactions, including cracking, methane reforming, and Boudouard reaction were also observed in this process. Therefore, for a good balance concerning activity, stability, and cost, it is still a challenge to design a highly efficient catalyst for the steam reforming of bio-pyrolysis oil.

Valle et al. compared the catalytic properties of Ni/α-Al_2O_3 and Ni/La_2O_3-α-Al_2O_3 for steam reforming of bio-oil aqueous fraction by varying the pyrolytic lignin retention. Through investigation of reforming temperature and space time, it was found that La_2O_3 addition to the Ni/α-Al_2O_3 catalyst achieved the complete conversion of bio-oil at 700 °C and inhibited the deactivation of catalyst due to the high water adsorption capacity. At space-time of 0.22 g\text{catal.}\ h (g\text{bio-oil})^{-1}, the yield of hydrogen could reach 96% [24]. Remiro et al. studied the influence of CO\text{2} capture during the hydrogen production by steam reforming of bio-oil aqueous fraction over a Ni/La_2O_3-α-Al_2O_3 catalyst in a fluidized bed reactor [25]. At 600 °C and a space-time of 0.45 g\text{catal.}\ h (g\text{bio-oil})^{-1}, a suitable ratio of catalyst/dolomite (mass ratios ≥0.17) which was stricken between the reforming and water gas shift (WGS) reactions, and the cracking and coke formation reactions, promoted the complete conversion of the bio-oil and ca. 99% H\text{2} was achieved while 95% CO\text{2} was captured. However, the stability of catalyst should be enhanced because some bio-oil compounds were found to re-polymerize at a high temperature, leading to the deposition of the formed carbonaceous solid on the catalyst [26]. To prevent the pyrolytic lignin deposition, an attempt has been made to separate the pyrolytic lignin and then catalytic steam reform the raw bio-oil over Ni/La_2O_3-α-Al_2O_3 catalyst in a fluidized bed reactor. At 700 °C, the molar ratio of stem/carbon 9, and space velocity 8000 h\text{−1}, the yields of H\text{2} and CO reached at 95% and 6%, respectively. Interestingly, the deactivation of Ni/La_2O_3-α-Al_2O_3 catalyst was significantly slowed down, whereby the H\text{2} yield was almost unchanged over a duration of 100 min [27].

It was universally known that steam reforming reaction is an endothermic reaction, which requires the input of energy into the reaction system so that it is generally preferable for industrial design and implementation. However, the autothermal reforming regime can be achieved by oxidative steam reforming (OSR) with co-feeding O\text{2} and the bio-oil. The OSR reaction for bio-oil is as follows:

\[ C_nH_mO_k + pO_2 + (2n-k-2p)H_2O \rightarrow nCO_2 + \left(2n + \frac{m}{2} - k - 2p\right)H_2 \]  

(2)

Remiro et al. tested the effect of O\text{2} content in the OSR of raw bio-oil over two catalysts (Ni/La_2O_3-α-Al_2O_3 and Rh/ CeO\text{2}-ZrO\text{2}) [28]. The results showed that the Rh/CeO\text{2}-ZrO\text{2} catalyst exhibited much higher activity than that over Ni/La_2O_3-α-Al_2O_3 catalyst. The addition of O\text{2} into bio-oil significantly decreased the coke content. However, the deactivation of catalyst was not mitigated noticeably due to the sintering of the metal active sites. Therefore, development of a catalyst with high efficiency and stability is of vital importance for the OSR of raw bio-oil to produce hydrogen.
In addition, Ni-based catalysts have been widely used for reforming mixtures of bio-oil and bio-ethanol to produce hydrogen in continuous thermal-catalytic process (as shown in Figure 6) [29]. Gayubo et al. reported that the steam reforming of the bio-oil aqueous fraction had been enhanced through the addition of bio-ethanol. The pyrolytic lignin deposition slightly attenuated, which inhibited the carbon deposition on the surface of catalyst, resulting in prolonged life of the catalyst [29]. Almost full conversion of the oxygenates was achieved and a high H\textsubscript{2} yield (>93%) can be reached over Ni/\alpha-\text{Al}\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst at the operating conditions of 700 °C and at space-time of 0.23 g_{\text{catal.}} h (g_{\text{bio-oil+EtOH}})^{-1} during the reforming the bio-oil/bio-ethanol mixtures (50 wt %). The spent catalyst can be fully recovered at 700 °C after being regenerated by coke combustion. In addition, it was found that the amount and nature of the active metal dispersed on the support greatly depended on the preparation conditions (both the calcination and reduction temperatures), and it was found that they played an important role on the activity and stability of the catalyst in the reforming the mixtures of bio-oil and bio-ethanol. Among the tested catalysts prepared at various calcination and reduction temperatures, NiLa\textsubscript{550–700} (calcined at 550 °C, reduced at 700 °C) catalyst achieved the highest conversion of bio-oil and hydrogen yield (94%), indicating the lowest level of deactivation exhibited by the catalyst [30]. The catalytic reforming of bio-oil has aroused widespread interests, enhancing the stability of catalyst and avoiding the sintering and carbon deposition of catalysts still poses a great challenge to the researchers.

Almost full conversion of the oxygenates was achieved and a high H\textsubscript{2} process feasible, highly efficient catalysts with low cost and good stability are urgently needed.

There is no doubt that precious metal-based catalysts, including palladium, platinum, rhodium, ruthenium, and gold, exhibit high efficient catalytic activity in the HDO of lignin [31]. However, the low earth-abundance and high costs limited the application of noble metal catalysts in the upgrading of lignin on commercial scales. With numerous successful examples on the special catalytic properties of Ni-based catalysts on the HDO of lignin, it is best to summarize the trends and mechanism of how Ni-based catalysts interact with oxygenated lignin molecules so that more efficient catalysts can be discovered in future. Basing on the Density Functional Theory (DFT) study results, the adsorption behavior of the represented the \beta-O-4 dimer (guaiacylglycerol-beta-guaiacyl ether) on typical metal catalysts has been calculated since it contains the typical functional groups of an actual lignin polymer and also allows the possibility to study the effect of lignin side chain chirality. It was found that the \beta-O-4 dimer preferred to be adsorbed strongly on Ni(111) surface and weakly on Cu(111) surface in

Figure 6. Hydrogen production layout according to the joint steam reforming of bio-oil and bio-ethanol obtained from biomass [29].

3. Hydrodeoxygenation

Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) is regarded as an extremely promising efficient technology for the removal of oxygen and transformation of lignin and lignin-derived bio-oils into clean oxygen-free hydrocarbon fuels with a high conversion and low emission [31]. Nevertheless, in order to make this process feasible, highly efficient catalysts with low cost and good stability are urgently needed.

There is no doubt that precious metal-based catalysts, including palladium, platinum, rhodium, ruthenium, and gold, exhibit high efficient catalytic activity in the HDO of lignin [31]. However, the low earth-abundance and high costs limited the application of noble metal catalysts in the upgrading of lignin on commercial scales. With numerous successful examples on the special catalytic properties of Ni-based catalysts on the HDO of lignin, it is best to summarize the trends and mechanism of how Ni-based catalysts interact with oxygenated lignin molecules so that more efficient catalysts can be discovered in future. Basing on the Density Functional Theory (DFT) study results, the adsorption behavior of the represented the \beta-O-4 dimer (guaiacylglycerol-beta-guaiacyl ether) on typical metal catalysts has been calculated since it contains the typical functional groups of an actual lignin polymer and also allows the possibility to study the effect of lignin side chain chirality. It was found that the \beta-O-4 dimer preferred to be adsorbed strongly on Ni(111) surface and weakly on Cu(111) surface in
vacuum medium, with both aryl rings being adsorbed on the surface [32]. However, dimer preferred to bind on Ni(111) and would not adsorb at all on Cu(111) surface in ethanol medium. Therefore, monometallic Ni and modified Ni-based catalysts are unique and promising catalysts for HDO of lignin-derived model compounds.

3.1. Monometallic Ni-Based Catalysts for HDO of Lignin

Owing to the complex macromolecular structure of lignin, most researchers drew their attention first to catalytic HDO of lignin model compounds [33–39] in order to help understand the catalytic behavior. As shown in Figure 7, lignin monomer model compounds such as phenol, anisole, guaiacol etc. and C-O-C linkage dimers such as 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol (β-O-4 linkage C-O ether bond), diphenyl ether (4-O-5 linkage C-O ether bond) and benzyl phenyl ether (α-O-4 linkage C-O ether bond) etc., had been broadly investigated using Ni-based catalysts in HDO reaction [33,34,36,37,40–42]. The HDO of representative lignin model compounds over monometallic nickel-based catalysts are listed in Table 3. Generally, harsh reaction conditions including high reaction temperature and H₂ pressure (>200 °C, > 3.0 MPa) were required to both cleavage of C-O bonds and complete hydrogenation aromatic ring.

Figure 7. Lignin model compounds of monomers (a) and dimers (b) form ref [43].
Table 3. Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of lignin model compounds over monometallic Ni-based catalysts.

| Cat. | Reaction Conditions | Substrate | Major products | Conv. (%) | Reactor | Ref |
|------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|---------|-----|
| Ni   | 5                   | Al-SBA-15 | methylcyclohexane | diphenyl ether, cyclohexane | 100 | Batch | [33] |
| Ni   | 10                  | SiO<sub>2</sub> | n-decane | anisole, cyclohexane | 100 | Batch | [34] |
| Ni   | 30                  | Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/AC | n-decane | diphenyl ether, cyclohexane | ~90 | Fixed-bed | [35] |
| Ni-W-B | -                  | -           | dodecane | phenol, cyclohexane | 100 | Batch | [36] |
| Ni   | 95.65               | -           | i-PrOH | benzylphenyl ether, phenol and toluene | 24 | Microwave | [37] |
| Ni   | 5                   | Al-SBA-15 | decalin | anisole, cyclohexane | 99.8 | Batch | [38] |
| Raney Ni | -                | Nafion/SiO<sub>2</sub> | 2.0 | 2-methoxy-4-n-propylphenol, cyclohexane | 80 | Batch | [39] |
| Ni   | 10                  | CNTs       | n-dodecane | guaiacol, cyclohexane | 100 | Batch | [44] |
| Ni   | 10                  | Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | n-octane | phenol, cyclohexane | >90 | Batch | [45] |
| Ni   | 10                  | SiO<sub>2</sub> | n-octane | phenol, cyclohexane | 99.1 | Batch | [45] |
| Ni   | 10                  | ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | n-hexane | 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanone, ethylcyclohexane | 100 | Batch | [42] |
| Ni   | 7.41                | NCB-900    | 2.0 | H<sub>2</sub>O, vanillin | 2-methoxy-4-methylphenol, cyclohexane | 74.4 | Batch | [31] |
| Ni   | 14.3                | HZSM-5     | 2.0 | guaiacol, 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol | ethylbenzene | 100 | Batch | [46] |
| Ni   | 14.3                | HZSM-5     | 2.0 | 0.1M NaOH solution | cyclohexane, methylcyclohexane | 100 | Batch | [47] |
| Ni   | 20                  | HZSM-5     | 2.0 | H<sub>2</sub>O, benzylphenyl ether | cyclohexane, methylcyclohexane | 98.4 | Batch | [48] |
| Ni   | 20                  | SiO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | 2.0 | decalin | syringol, phenol | >90 | Fixed-bed | [49] |
| Ni   | 20                  | Al-MCM-41  | 2.0 | guaiacol, benzene, cyclohexanol, cyclohexane | 79.7 | Batch | [50] |
| Ni   | 15                  | CNTs       | 2.0 | n-dodecane | guaiacol, cyclohexane, cyclohexanol | 100 | Batch | [51] |
| Ni   | 20                  | Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | 2.0 | heptane, anisole | cyclohexane, benzene & cyclohexane | 83.7 | Batch | [52] |
| Ni   | 20                  | TiO<sub>2</sub> | 2.0 | heptane, anisole | methylcyclohexane, methanol | 91 | Batch | [54] |
| Ni   | 10                  | ZnNbPO<sub>4</sub> | 2.0 | n-dodecane | diphenyl ether, methylcyclohexane | 4.18 x 10<sup>-6</sup> mol g<sub>cat</sub><sup>−1</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> | Batch | [55] |
| Ni<sub>2</sub>P | 5                  | HZSM-5     | 2.0 | n-dodecane | m-cresol, cyclohexane, benzene | 98 | Fixed-bed | [56] |
| Ni<sub>2</sub>P | 30                 | C          | 2.0 | n-dodecane | guaiacol, methanol, benzene | 98 | Fixed-bed | [57] |
Anisole, as one representative of the methoxyphenyl family, was extensively investigated over Ni-based catalysts by various researchers, which may help understand the mechanism of de-alkylation and de-alkoxylation of the functional group \[34,38,52\]. Yang et al. developed a series of Ni-based catalysts including Ni/SBA-15, Ni/Al-SBA-15, Ni/Al₂O₃, Ni/C, Ni/TiO₂ and Ni/CeO₂ for the HDO of anisole to investigate the influence of metal–support interactions \[52\], the reaction routes was depicted in Figure 8. The strong acidity of the support contributed to the cleavage of C-O bond in anisole while metallic sites further hydrogenated the reaction intermediates to form cyclohexane. Hence, dispersion of metal sites and acidity of support strongly influences the conversion and products selectivities.

Guaiacol, as another representative lignin depolymerization product, contains abundant phenolic and methoxy groups, which are the basic functional elements of lignin matrix \[50\]. HDO of guaiacol was widely studied over Ni/CNTs \[44,58\], Ni/HZSN-5 \[46\], Raney Ni \[59\], Ni/Al-MCM-41 \[49\], Ni/CeO₂ \[60\], and Ni/MgO catalyst \[50\]. Escalona and co-workers studied the HDO of guaiacol over Ni/CNTs at 300 °C under 5 MPa and found that the hydrogenation (HYD) of the aromatic ring of guaiacol occurred initially and subsequently demethoxylation (DMO) was observed \[44\]. It should be pointed out that higher temperature benefits the HDO of guaiacol due to its high bond energy of C-O bonds. Zhao and Lercher explored the synergistic effects between Ni metal and acidic sites for hydrogenation and C-O bond cleavage of guaiacol over Ni/HZSM-5 and achieved ~18% conversion at 200 °C and under 3.0 MPa H₂ \[61\]. Three types of C-O bonds including C\(_{\text{sp}3}\)-OAr (bond dissociation energy (BDE): 262–276 kJ/mol), C\(_{\text{sp}2}\)-OMe (409–421 kJ/mol), and C\(_{\text{sp}2}\)-OH (466 kJ/mol) are present in a guaiacol molecule. As shown in Figure 9, phenol (including its subsequent hydrodeoxygenation products cyclohexanone, cyclohexanol, and cyclohexane) is the only major product (at t = 20 min, selectivity = 78%) due to the highest bond energy barrier of C\(_{\text{sp}2}\)-OH bond.

Besides employing lignin monomer compounds in HDO reaction, the HDO of lignin dimer model compounds were also extensively studied. There are mainly three types of aryl-ether linkages in lignin dimers, and the order of the bond dissociation energies follow: α-O-4 (~215 kJ/mol) < β-O-4 (~290 kJ/mol) < 4-O-5 (~330 kJ/mol) \[62\]. Liang and co-workers reported the HDO of diphenyl ether, used as a model compound to investigate the C-O bond cleavage of a 4-O-5 aryl ether (the Caryl-O bond with the highest bond dissociation energy) over Ni/xNb/AC catalysts. The diphenyl ether was almost entirely transformed into cyclohexane with a 67% selectivity at a W/F (weight of catalyst: feedgas flowrate) of 27.5 min. The aromatic rings were first hydrogenated and then the C-O bond started to break \[35\]. The main products of the HDO of lignin model compound over Ni-based catalysts supported on neutral or acid support were alkanes such as cyclohexane, toluene, ethylcyclohexane etc., as shown in Table 1. However, cyclohexanol was obtained as a major product in HDO of guaiacol over Ni/MgO catalyst, indicating that the base carrier probably suppresses the dehydration process \[50\].

![Figure 8. Scheme of the possible routes for anisole HDO from ref \[52\].](image-url)
Anisole, as one representative of the methoxyphenyl family, was extensively investigated over Ni-based catalysts by various researchers, which may help understand the mechanism of de-alkylation and de-alkoxylation of the functional group [34,38,52]. Yang et al. developed a series of Ni-based catalysts including Ni/SBA-15, Ni/Al-SBA-15, Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$, Ni/C, Ni/TiO$_2$ and Ni/CeO$_2$ for the HDO of anisole to investigate the influence of metal–support interactions [52], the reaction routes was depicted in Figure 8. The strong acidity of the support contributed to the cleavage of C-O bond in anisole while metallic sites further hydrogenated the reaction intermediates to form cyclohexane. Hence, dispersion of metal sites and acidity of support strongly influences the conversion and products selectivities.

**Figure 8.** Scheme of the possible routes for anisole HDO from ref [52].

β-O-4 linkage is the most abundant C-O bond in lignin, occurring with a frequency of approximately 43% (softwood) to 65% (hardwood) [63]. Xu et al. investigated the cleavage of β-O-4 lignin dimer model compound 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanone over Ni/ZnO-Al$_2$O$_3$ with varying Zn/Al ratios at 250 °C and under 2 MPa of H$_2$ atmosphere [42]. The high selectivity of ethylcyclohexane was obtained over Ni/ZnO-Al$_2$O$_3$-5 and the conversion reached 100%. Two parallel competitive pathways were elucidated: (a) the reaction mainly proceeds through the hydrogenation of the keto group in β-O-4 ketone to produce β-O-4 alcohol rather than hydrogenolysis of β-O-4 ether bond at low temperature; (b) the substrate first was cleaved into acetophenone and phenol via the hydrogenolysis step at a relatively high temperature. The possible pathway was displayed in Figure 10. Recently, Zhao and Lercher investigated the HDO of a series of phenolic momers with a selectivity to cyclohexanes in the range of 73–92% and good stability was observed over Ni/HZSM-5 at 250 °C and 5.0 MPa H$_2$ [47]. Meanwhile, this catalyst was used in converting phenol dimers and the selectivity of C$_6$-C$_8$ hydrocarbons exceeds 60%.

Transition metal phosphide such as Ni$_2$P had also been applied in the HDO of lignin-derived feedstock recently [54–57]. The superiority of these transition metal Ni phosphide catalysts were high activity and low cost compared to noble metal catalysts. Richard and co-workers studied the HDO of cresol isomers (i.e., m-cresol, p-cresol, o-cresol) over Ni$_2$P/SiO$_2$ and found that the reactivity of cresols followed the order: m-cresol > p-cresol > o-cresol. This could be explained by the fact that the HDO route was more influenced by the position of the methyl group than HYD route [56]. Besides, the author proposed that Brønsted acidic sites (probably PO-H groups) as well as Lewis acidic sites (Ni$^{δ+}$ species) were involved in dehydration and isomerization reactions, respectively [56].

![Figure 9. Guaiacol hydrodeoxygenation over Ni/HZSM-5, (a) conversion, (b) product distribution, (c) proposed reaction pathway for ref [44].](image-url)
The search for a catalyst for efficient HDO of lignin macromolecules, which are rich in aromatic rings and of high energy density, into chemicals and value-added fuels has been the question that intrigued the researchers. The complexity of three-dimensional cross-linking polymeric structure of lignin leads to its low solubility in conventional organic solvents [64]. However, it should be pointed out that the catalysts used in HDO of lignin model compounds may not be appropriate for real lignin macromolecular depolymerization. As a result, only a few literature reports were found for Ni-based catalysts, such as Ni on amorphous silica-alumina (ASA), in the HDO of lignin into phenolics or alkanes liquid fuels [33,64,65]. Zhao and Lercher screened a series of nickel-based catalyst including Ni/ZrO$_2$, Ni/ZnO, Ni/TiO$_2$, Ni/MgO, Ni/SiO$_2$, Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$, Ni/ASA in hydroconversion of cellulolytic enzyme lignin (CEL) into naphthenes and paraffins [64]. The highest liquid yield (46.2 wt %) was achieved over Ni/ASA catalyst at 300 °C under pressure of 6 MPa H$_2$. The products included a selectivity of 80.8% C$_3$-C$_9$, 6.5% of C$_{10}$-C$_{14}$, and 12.7% of C$_{14}$-C$_{17}$, as shown in Figure 11. The author also indicated that the crucial fragments from lignin depolymerization were substituted phenols and alcohols through hydrogenolysis of C-O bonds, and then rapidly were converted into cyclohexanes and alkanes via HDO. In addition, Wang et al. investigated the HDO of organsolv lignin over Ni/Al-SBA-15 catalyst with selectivity to cyclic alkanes higher than 99%, which was highly promising for the production of drop-in transportation fuel. GC-MS image displaying the product distribution was shown in Figure 12 [33].

3.2. Ni-Based Bimetallic Catalysts for HDO of Lignin

Compared with monometallic catalyst, HDO of lignin over bimetallic catalysts became a promising strategy due to the flexibility to modify the catalyst property and tune the selectivity of the target products. Bimetallic catalysts ReNi/ZrO$_2$ [66], PtNi/Al$_2$O$_3$ [67], RuNi/HZSM-5 [78], NiCu/Al$_2$O$_3$ [68], ReNi/SiO$_2$ [70], RuNi/HY [74], NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ [72], NiAu [71], etc. were extensively studied for the HDO of lignin model compounds, as shown in Table 4. These bimetallic catalysts aim at balancing the activity and selectivity of deoxygenation and hydrogenation steps [70]. In comparison with the monometallic catalysts, bimetallic catalysts exhibit a distinct improvement in HDO selectivity due to various “synergistic effects”.

![Figure 10. Proposed reaction pathways of 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanone conversion on Ni/ZnO-Al$_2$O$_3$-5 form ref [42].](image-url)
Figure 11. Liquid products of (a) C$_3$-C$_{10}$ and (b) C$_{12}$-C$_{17}$ hydrocarbons from one-pot lignin conversion over Ni/ASA for ref [64].

Figure 12. GC-MS image of the products obtained from the HDO of organsolv lignin for ref [33].
Table 4. HDO of lignin model compounds and lignin over Ni-based bimetallic catalysts.

| Cat.    | Ni Loading (wt %) | Support | T (°C) | P (MPa) | Solvent | Substrate | Major Products | Conv.(%) | Reactor | Ref   |
|---------|-------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|----------|--------|-------|
| Re-Ni   | 10                | ZrO₂    | 300    | 4.0     | H₂O     | 4-propylphenol | n-propylbenzene | 91.0     | Batch  | [66]  |
| Pt-Ni   | 5                 | Al₂O₃   | 260    | 0.1     | -       | m-Cresol     | toluene       | 62.7     | Fixed-bed | [67]  |
| Ni-Cu   | 10                | Al₂O₃   | 300    | 4.5 N₂  | isopropanol | p-cresol | 4-methyl-cyclohexanol | 100.0     | Batch  | [68]  |
| Pd/Ni   | -                 | -       | 240    | 1.0 N₂  | 2-propanol | diphenyl ether | benzene       | 96.0     | Batch  | [69]  |
| Ni-Re   | 5                 | SiO₂    | 300    | 0.1     | -       | m-Cresol     | toluene       | 47.6     | Fixed-bed | [70]  |
| Ni-Au   | -                 | -       | 130    | 1.0     | H₂O     | 2-Phenoxy-1-phenylethanol | monomers | ~60.0    | Batch  | [71]  |
| Ni-Mo   | 1-5               | Al₂O₃   | 450    | 2.07    | -       | 4-Propylguaiacol | 4-propylphenol | >90.0    | Fixed-bed | [72]  |
| Ni-Mo-4Ce | 6.2              | -       | 300    | 1.0     | -       | guaiacol   | 1,2-benzenediol | 56.7     | Fixed-bed | [73]  |
| Ru-Ni   | 2.5               | HY      | 250    | 4.0     | H₂O     | guaiacol | cyclohexane   | 95.0     | Batch  | [74]  |
| Ni-W    | 2.3               | AC      | 150    | 1.5     | n-octane | phenol | cyclohexane   | 56.2     | Fixed-bed | [75]  |
| Ru-Ni   | 2.5               | HY      | 250    | 4.0     | H₂O     | soft wood lignin | hydrocarbons | >80.0    | Batch  | [74]  |
| Ni-Nb   | -                 | bulk    | 160    | 3       | n-dodecane | anisole | cyclohexane, cyclohexanol | 95.3     | Batch  | [76,77] |
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Zhu and co-workers investigated the selective deoxygenation of m-cresol over Ni-Re/SiO2 catalyst at 300 °C and under 0.1 MPa H2 in a fixed-bed reactor [70]. Obviously, the HDO conversion over 5Ni2.5Re/SiO2 catalyst was much higher than monometallic catalysts Ni/SiO2, 2.5Re/SiO2, and 2.5ReOx/SiO2. Interestingly, the selectivity to toluene over bimetallic catalyst 5Ni2.5Re was significantly higher than that over monometallic catalysts. The proposed mechanism for selective deoxygenation of m-cresol over bimetallic Ni-Re catalysts was shown in Figure 13. These results demonstrated that the incorporation of a second metal could adjust the activity and tailor the desired product selectivity. After taking the NH3-TPD results into account, it was revealed that the number of acidic sites increases with increasing Re loading, and new acidic sites are formed in the presence of Re upon reduction. Fukuok et al. also proposed a ReNi/ZrO2 catalyst for the HDO of 4-propylphenol to n-propylbenzene in aqueous-phase and concluded that addition of Re decreases the Ni particle size and significantly improved the catalytic activity and enhanced the selectivity to n-pylobenzene [66].

Apart from using water as solvent for the HDO of lignin model compounds, H-donor solvents such as alcohols were also investigated in this system over bimetallic Ni-based catalysts. This kind of hydrogenation strategy was known as the catalytic transfer hydrogenation (CTH), in which the solvent is the source of hydrogen, rather than molecular hydrogen (often derived from fossil fuels) [68]. Boateng and co-workers reported a bimetallic NiCu/Al2O3 catalyst in CTH of p-cresol using isopropanol as solvent [68]. The influence of using isopropanol as co-solvent was discussed and it was found that as the concentration of water increased, the activity decreased as well as the yield of 4-methylcyclohexanol, while the yield of 4-methylcyclohexanone increased. Figure 14 showed the reaction pathway of the CTH of p-cresol using isopropanol over Al2O3, Ni, Cu, and NiCu/Al2O3 catalysts. Additionally, bimetallic Pd/Ni catalysts were prepared and used in CTH of lignin-derived aromatic ethers including diphenyl ether, 2-phenethyl phenyl ether, and benzyl phenyl ether as 4-O-5, β-O-4, and α-O-4 model compounds using 2-propanol as solvent [69]. The CTH of diphenyl ether was investigated in details, the diphenyl ether was at first converted into benzene and phenol via transfer hydrogenolysis, and then benzene and phenol were completely hydrogenated via transfer hydrogenation into cyclohexane and cyclohexanol. The reaction pathway was listed in Figure 15. Moreover, bimetallic Pd/Ni catalysts exhibited good stability in eight consecutive recycling tests.

The advantages of adding another metal may result from four different effects which can be summarized as geometric effects [70], electronic effects [70,78], synergistic effects [66], and bifunctional effects [63,70]. Addition of Re to Ni catalyst could increase the Ni particles dispersion, breaking the continuous Ni surface into smaller ensembles, which leads to Ni-Re surface alloy formation. The Re in such a bifunctional complex thus acted as the active sites for O atom adsorption. Such an effect is an example of a geometric effect and could inhibit C-C bond hydrogenolysis [70]. The presence of Re could also reduce the d-band electron density of Ni, which is an example of electronic effect. Such an effect could weaken the adsorption of the aromatic ring. Thus, addition of Re to the Ni metal catalyst leads to reduced methane production, increased activity of deoxygenation, and better aromatic ring preservation.

![Figure 13. Proposed mechanism for selective deoxygenation of m-cresol over bimetallic Ni-Re catalysts for ref [70].](image-url)
Figure 14. Transfer hydrogenation of p-cresol using isopropanol over Al₂O₃, Ni, Cu, and NiCu/Al₂O₃ [68].

Figure 15. Possible catalytic pathways in the catalytic transfer hydrogenolysis of diphenyl ether for ref [69].

3.3. Ni-Based Catalysts for HDO of Real Lignin Feedstock

Apart from Ni-based catalysts addressed in HDO of lignin model compounds, upgrading of real lignin feedstock through HDO over Ni-based catalysts have also aroused wide public concern. Yang and co-workers compared the HDO of soft wood lignin over various catalyst in H₂O at 250 °C under pressure of 4.0 MPa H₂ [74]. RuNi/HY catalyst exhibited high conversion (>80%) and the highest yield of hydrocarbon among various bimetallic Ru/HY catalysts. However, owing to the relatively higher hydrocarbon selectivities over RuCu/HY catalyst than other catalysts, RuCu/HY catalyst was then selected in subsequent investigations. Meier et al. systematically researched the catalytic hydropyrolysis of Kraft lignins over sulfided NiMo on aluminosilica catalysts and achieved 65 wt % yield to oil, which contained larger amounts of monomeric phenols. [80–82] Narani et al. prepared a variety of sulfided NiW catalysts on acidic, neutral, and basic supports for transformation
of kraft lignin to aromatic monomers in supercritical methanol. These results revealed the sulfided NiW/AC (activated carbon) catalyst showed the best results with a total 28 wt % monomer yield and 76% selectivity to alkylphenolics and guaiacolics, as shown in Figure 16. [79] After comparing these catalysts, the authors found that the support played an important role in the catalytic conversion of Kraft lignin. AC or MgO-La2O3 presented the highest monomer yields, which were better than those over acidic supports (with high yield to char). Kumar et al. investigated solvent free depolymerization of kraft lignin to alkyl-phenolics over supported sulfided NiMo catalysts at 350 ◦C, 10 MPa H2. [83] They also found that the support had greatly influenced the depolymerization and the yield of monomer. The catalyst activity increased as follows: Al2O3 < ZSM-5 < AC = MgO-La2O3. The highest total yield of monomers were achieved over sulfided NiMo/MgO-La2O3 with 26.4 wt %, which included 15.7 wt % alkyl-phenolics. Despite good results having been achieved, the development of Ni-based catalysts and optimization of chemical processes with high selectivity to monomers is still a challenge for the HDO of real lignin feedstock.

![Figure 16](image-url)  
*Figure 16. Effect of catalysts on alkylphenolics, guaiacolics, and monomer yields [79].*

4. Hydrogenolysis

While HDO of lignins and lignin-derived model compounds and lignin is suitable for production of fuel components, hydrogenolysis or hydrolysis of lignin can be applied to produce a wide variety of oxygenated chemicals, since the oxygen atoms and aromaticity can be retained by catalyst properties tuning. Compared with HDO, hydrogenolysis of lignin and lignin-derived compounds generally involves the cleavage of the various C-O bonds by H2, with or without the retention of the oxygen atoms. Therefore, they are suitable to depolymerize lignin into value-added chemicals such as BTX (benzene, toluene, and xylene), liquid alkane via HDO. The first reaction between hydrogen gas and lignin was dated back as early as 1938, where lignin was found to be depolymerized by Cu-Cr catalyst under harsh conditions at 200–350 atm and 250–260 ◦C for 18 h [84]. It was not until the last 10 years that this field of research revived again due to the potentials of using lignocellulosic biomass for sustainable fuels and chemicals productions. In this regard, both monometallic and multi-metallic Ni catalysts with and without supports have played important roles in the development of this field. Compared with HDO, there are many more examples of hydrogenolytic depolymerization of lignin macromolecules. As shown in Table 5, of the 44 literature reports found, 28 of them mentioned the direct hydrogenolysis of lignin or wood to aromatics, phenolic, and naphthenes monomers and some of them were performed in continuous flow manners.
4.1. Monometallic Ni-Based Catalysts for Hydrogenolysis of Lignin

Since the discovery of Raney nickel in 1926, it has been used in numerous industrial processes, especially in the hydrogenation reactions. Although the hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of ethers by Raney Nickel have been known for a long time [85,86], it was not until only recently that it was thoroughly investigated in the application of hydrogenolysis of lignin model compounds and lignin. Rinaldi et al has investigated the solvent effects on the hydrolysis of diphenyl ether with Raney nickel [62]. It was shown that the solvent effect has played an important role on the catalytic activity and the control of selectivity. Basic solvents such as 1,4-dioxane and methanol can suppress the hydrogenation of aromatic rings but have little effect on hydrogenolysis activity. In other words, if alkanes and cyclohexanes are the desired products, solvents with no Lewis basicity should be used. As a results, Klason lignin has been successfully depolymerized using methanol as solvent to produce bio-oil containing aromatic monomers, such as phenol, corylon, guaiacol, syringol, vanillin, etc. in high yield [87]. Hydrogenolysis of lignin had also been performed under subcritical water conditions to produce bio-oil and the product distributions had been investigated. With the use of Raney nickel, catechols can further be deoxygenated to phenols [88]. Thus, it is a good way to produce highly reactive phenol and its para- and ortho-alkylated derivatives in high yield and good selectivity. Apart from thermochemical method, lignin can also be valorized by the electrochemical method. Using Raney nickel as the cathode and cobalt-phosphate as the anode materials, the aryl-ether bond of guaiacol was first cleaved to form phenol, followed by hydrogenation of phenol to cyclohexanol in high selectivity (91%) [89].

Compared with noble metal catalysts such as Ru and Pd, Ni-based catalysts generally demonstrated lesser reactivity but higher selectivity, especially to aromatic monomers [90]. Since the discovery of homogeneous catalytic hydrogenolysis of lignin model compounds bearing α-O-4, β-O-4 and 4-O-5 linkage by a Ni carbene complex in 2011 [130], numerous conversion studies have been performed using heterogeneous Ni catalysts. For the heterogeneous hydrogenolysis of phenethyl phenyl ether, Ni/AC demonstrated a lower conversion (18%) but with highest selectivity (75%) towards benzene and phenethyl alcohol. The conversion yields are even lower if MWCNTs and Al2O3 were used as the support. Active carbon (AC) support was capable of facilitating the reducibility of NiO to metallic Ni at a lower temperature (400 °C) than with MWCNTs (550 °C). The presence of nickel aluminate and NiAl2O4 spinel was also the reason for the difficult reduction for Ni/Al2O3 catalysts. Finally, the larger particle size of Ni in Ni/Al2O3 catalyst also contribute to its lower catalytic activity towards hydrogenolysis of dimer model compounds [90]. Ni/C has been shown to depolymerize birch wood lignin effectively with 50% conversion and 97% selectivity towards monomeric phenols. It was postulated that with hydrogen donor solvents such as methanol, lignin was first fragmented into lignin with smaller molecular weight (1100–1600 m/z) via methanolysis, followed by the further degradation into phenols over Ni/C catalysts [91].
Table 5. Hydrogenolysis of lignin model compounds and lignin over monometallic and bimetallic Ni catalysts.

| Cat. | Ni Loading (wt %) | Support | Reaction Conditions | Substrate | Major Products | Max. Performance Obtained (%) | Reactor Type | Ref |
|------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|
| Ni   | 5                 | AC      | 150, 0.7-0.8        | CH$_3$OH phenyl ether | aromatic alcohol, benzene | conv. (18%) select. (75%) | Batch | [90] |
| Ni   | 10                | AC      | 200, 6, 0.1         | birch sawdust | aromatic products 4-ethyl-guaiacol, 4-propyl-guaiacol and dimers | conv. (91%) | Batch | [91] |
| Ni   | 10                | AC      | 200, 5              | ethylene glycol lignosulfonate | | | Batch | [92] |
| Ni   | 5                 | CB      | 80, 0.2             | NaBH$_4$, EtOH/H$_2$O, liquid phase benzyl phenyl ether | phenol, toluene | conv. (99%) select. to toluene (46%), select. to phenol (45%) monomer yield: 12% (MeOH as solvent) | Batch | [93] |
| Ni   | 5                 | AC      | 240, 4              | alcohol corncob lignin | aromatic phenols | conv. (51.4 wt %) | Batch | [94] |
| Ni   | 10                | AC      | 200, 5              | methanol-H$_2$O co-solvent raw beech sawdust | phenolic alcohols | | Batch | [95] |
| Ni   | 5                 | C       | 200-250, 1-6        | corn stover lignin | phenolic monomers | lignin bio-oil yield: 55% | Batch | [96] |
| Ni   | 5                 | NDC     | 150, 0.8            | ethanol Kraft lignin | aromatic monomers | conv. (86%) most ether linkage cleaved | Batch and flow | [97] |
| Ni   | 10                | MgAlO-C | 200, 1              | methanol β-O-4 ketones oxidized birch lignin | aromatic monomers | | Batch | [98] |
| Ni   | 20                | Al-SBA-15 | 300, 1              | ethanol organosolv hydrolyzed lignin | aromatic monomers | | Batch | [99] |
| Ni   | 10                | Al-SBA-15 | 140, 0.5 (Microwave) | tetralin organosolv olive tree pruning lignin | bio-oil | | Micro-wave vessel | [100]–[103] |
| Cat. | Reaction Conditions | Substrate | Major Products | Max. Performance Obtained (%) | Reactor Type | Ref |
|------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-----|
| Ni   | 15 Ni Loading (wt %) Support T (°C) & Time (h) Pressure (MPa) Solvent | Substrate | Major Products | Max. Performance Obtained (%) | Reactor Type | Ref |
| Ni   | 15 SiO₂-Al₂O₃ 260-320 2 ethanol alkaline lignin | aromatics monomer, naphthalene, phenolic monomer mono- and bi-cyclic naphthenes, mono-cyclic alcohols | conv. (60%) at 300 °C monomer yield: 26.54% | Batch | [104] |
| Ni   | 20 HZSM-5, HBEA 250, 6 n-hexadecane organosolv lignin | various aromatic & naphthene monomers | 42 ± 4 wt % | Batch | [105] |
| Ni   | 1.17 x 10⁻⁵ mol Ni @SiC 90, 20 0.6 H₂O, TBAB benzyl phenyl ether | various protic, aprotic polar, aprotic nonpolar solvents | 99% conversion | Batch | [106] |
| Raney Ni | - - 300, 8 7 organosolv lignin | various aromatic & naphthene monomers | 91% conversion (in 2-propanol) 86% conversion (in methanol) 81% conversion (in methylcyclohexane) | Batch | [62] |
| Raney Ni | - - 120, 20 - formic acid | enzymolysis lignin | bio-oil yield: 58.11% yield: 1.3–8.0 wt % | Batch | [87] |
| Raney Ni | - - 360, 3 7.5 supercritical H₂O | organosolv lignin | phenolic compounds | 86% conversion | [88] |
| Raney Ni | - - 160–180, 3.5 2.0 dioxane/H₂O, NaOH | enzymolysis lignin | oligomers | 82.3% | [107] |
| Raney Ni | - - 75, 6 0.1 aq. buffer solution | guaiacol | cyclohexanol | 91% selectivity | [89] |
| Ni-W₂C | 4 AC 235, 4 6 ethylene glycol | birch wood | phenols (guaiacl propanol, syringyl propanol, guaiacyl propane, syringyl propane) | 46.5 wt % (5.0 wt %, 9.6 wt %, 5.4 wt %, 18.2 wt %) | Batch | [108] |
| Ni-WP | 2 AC 280, 2 2 water-ethanol | alkaline lignin | phenols | yield: 48.8 mg/g lignin | Batch | [109] |
| Ni | 0.5 mol ratio TiN 125 1.2 alcohol | phenyl ether | aromatic monomer, phenol | conv. (>99%) | Batch | [110] |
Table 5. Cont.

| Cat.       | Reaction Conditions | Substrate                  | Major Products                                      | Max. Performance Obtained (%)          | Reactor Type | Ref  |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| Ni         | 6 mmol Ni           | TiN                        | benzyl phenyl ether, Kraft lignin aromatic fragments | conv. (60 %) yield: (71% max.)         | Continuous   | [111]|
| Ni-Mo₂C    | 0.3                 | HY                         | H₂O/ethanol (v/v = 1/1) corn stover lignin           | yield: 37.3%                          | Batch        | [112]|
| NiMoS      | 3 wt % NiO          | Al₂O₃                      | ethanol (steam reforming)                            |                                       | Batch        | [113]|
| NiMoS      | 3.9 wt % NiO        | -                          | 2-PrOH Kraft lignin                                  | depolymerized aromatics aromatic monomers yield: 37.3% | Continuous   | [114]|
| Ni–Fe      | 5                   | AC                         | methanol organosolv lignin                           |conv. (74%) select. (84%)              | Batch        | [115]|
| FeNiB      | equal molar ratio of Fe & Ni | - | ethanol (supercritical)                             |acetophenone, lignin (Poplar)           | Batch        | [116]|
| Ni-Cu      | 20 wt % Ni, 20 wt % Cu | H-Beta                     | isopropanol                                        | Kraft lignin                          | Batch        | [117]|
| Ni-Cu      | Cu:Ni = 3:1, 10 mol % on support | Ti-MCM-41                  | heptane                                            | guaiacol cyclohexane                   | Batch        | [118]|
| Ni-Co      | Ni:Co = 1:3         | -                          | ethanol/H₂O                                        | 2-phenoxyl-1-phenylethanol deoxygenated and products cyclohexanol aromatics monomers and oligomers | Batch        | [119]|
| Ni-Al      | 50                  | -                          | NaOH (aq)                                           | lignin (Poplar)                       | Batch        | [120]|
| Pd₆Ni₄     | Pd:NI = 1:4 mol ratio, 4 mol % on support | MIL-100(Fe)                | H₂O                                                 | β-O-4 lignin model compound aromatic monomers | Batch        | [121]|

Note: Conv.: Conversion, Yield: Yield, Ref.: Reference.
| Cat.          | Ni Loading (wt %) | Support | T (°C) & Time (h) | Pressure (MPa) | Solvent               | Substrate                              | Major Products                                      | Max. Performance Obtained (%) | Reactor Type | Ref  |
|--------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|------|
| Pd\textsubscript{1}Ni\textsubscript{7} | -                |         | 100 or 130, 16    | 1 atm          | ionic liquid [bmim]OTf | α-O-4 & β-O-4 lignin model compound     | phenolic and aromatics monomers, phenol, dehydroxylated products | conv. (99%)                         | Batch         | [122]|
|              | Pd:Ni = 1:7 mol ratio |         |                   |                |                       |                                        |                                                     |                                 |              |      |
| Pd-Ni        | -                | ZrO\textsubscript{2} | 80, 6             | NaBH\textsubscript{4} | ethanol               | β-O-4 lignin model compound          | hydromeoxygenated and hydrolysis products          | yield: (>99%)                     | Batch         | [123]|
|              | Ni = 4.4 wt %    |         |                   |                |                       |                                        |                                                     |                                 |              |      |
| Rh           | Ni = 4.4 wt %    |         | max 60, 40        | 1 H\textsubscript{2}O | 1-benzoxo-2-methoxy-benzene | 1-benzoxo-2-methoxy-benzene          | aromatics and naphthene monomers              | conv. (100%)                         | Batch         | [124]|
|              |                  |                     |                   |                |                       |                                        |                                                     |                                 |              |      |
| Ru-Ni        | Ni (5), Ru (1)   | NDC     | 230, 4            | 1 H\textsubscript{2}O | guaiacol              | guaiacol                              | aromatic monomers and dimers                  | conv. (~85%)                       | Batch         | [125]|
| (RuNiAlO\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{5} | Ni (5), Ru (5)   | HZSM-5             | 240              | 0.2 H\textsubscript{2}O | guaiacol (Lignin \textit{Miscanthus x giganteus}) | phenol aromatic monomers and dimers             | conv. (~90%)                       | Batch         | [78] |
|              |                  |         |                   |                |                       |                                        |                                                     |                                 |              |      |
| NiRuNiRhNiPd | Ni (85 mol %)    | -       | 130, 1/12         | 1 H\textsubscript{2}O | organosolv lignin     | aromatic monomers                     | yield: 6.8 wt % (NiRu) 3.6 wt % (NiRh) 4.6 wt % (NiPd) | conv. (~95%)                       | Batch         | [127]|
| Ni\textsubscript{7}Au\textsubscript{3} |                 | -       | 130/170, 1/12     | 1 H\textsubscript{2}O | 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol & organosolv lignin | 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol & organosolv lignin | aromatic monomers                  | conv. (96%) select. (76.4%)          | Batch         | [71] |
| Ni\textsubscript{7}Au\textsubscript{3} | Ni:Au = 7:3 (mol) | -       | 100, 0.5          | 1 H\textsubscript{2}O, NaOH | 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol | 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol | phenol and cyclohexanol             | conv. (>95%)                       | Batch         | [128]|
| NiAg         | NiAg = 8.2 (pre-ion ratio), 0.022 mol on support | SiO\textsubscript{2} | 130, 1            | 1 H\textsubscript{2}O | 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol | 2-phenoxy-1-phenylethanol | phenol and cyclohexanol             | conv. (95%)                         | Batch         | [129]|

Table 5. Cont.
Using EtOH/H₂O as solvent and NaBH₄ as the hydrogen source, Ni on carbon black (CB) could convert benzyl phenyl ether into toluene and phenol in nearly quantitative yield (~99%) under mild reaction conditions (80 °C), in which the yield is higher than with Ni/AC and other noble metal/AC catalysts. It should be noted that although the BET specific surface area of CB is much lower than AC (97 m²g⁻¹ vs. 998 m²g⁻¹), the vol % of adsorption of H₂O and EtOH of CB is much higher than AC (0.6 and 0.74 vs. 0.18 and 0.63). Moreover, CB has a much lower electrical resistance than AC (1.8 Ohm vs. 1913.3 Ohm), which should facilitate the hydrogen spillover and H atoms activation from the Ni particle to the CB support (as shown in Figure 17).

![Figure 17. Proposed mechanism for hydrogen spillover on Ni/CB catalyst [93].](image)

Besides changing different supports, lignin can also be modified structurally in order to facilitate lignin depolymerization [95]. It was reported that using Ni/C and methanol–water co-solvent (6:4) system could first etherify the Cα-OH group of the lignin β-O-4 structure under H₂ atmosphere. This in turn break the hydrogen bonding between Cβ-O oxygen and the Cα-OH proton to assist the Cβ-O cleavage. Thus, beech sawdust could be converted to various phenolic alcohols such as syringyl-propanol, propyl-syringol, guaiacyl-propanol, etc. with a total of 51.4% conversion yield.

Lignosulfonate obtained from sulfate pulping processes is also one of the important sources of lignin for valorization. However, the sulfur content could be problematic because of sulfur-poisoning to catalysts. In a series of supported Ni catalysts for the hydrogenolysis of lignosulfonate, it has been postulated that H₂ was first dissociated on the Ni(0) metal sites to form active H*, followed by the hydrogenation of the aryl-alkyl bond and alcoholic bonds to convert lignosulfonate to phenols. At the same time, the C-S bonds of lignosulfonate are also decomposed on the Ni(0) metal sites to form metastable nickel sulfides. Finally, the H* combines with nickel sulfides to regenerate Ni(0) and elimination of H₂S and the catalytic cycles are completed [92].

The carbon support can also be modified to increase the catalytic activity of hydrogenolysis [98,99]. Doping the carbon support with electron donor atoms such as nitrogen should lead to (1) reduced work function of the support; (2) enhanced partial electron transfer between Ni and the support; (3) increased chemically active sites; (4) increased Ni particle dispersion. Thus, it has been shown that Ni-NDC (nitrogen doped carbon) enhanced the catalytic hydrogenolysis of lignin compared with undoped carbon supports [98]. Using NiMgAl layered double hydroxides and lignosulfonate to prepare the Ni metal catalyst supported on MgAl modified carbon support, the 3d electron distribution of surface layer Ni can be altered to promote highly selective C-O bond cleavage [99], while the Ni/C catalysts only showed high conversion but lower monomer selectivity and the mixed oxide Ni/MgAlO showed little or no activity.

Ni supported on acidic supports such as zeolites and silicates with both Brønsted and Lewis acidity are active catalysts for lignin hydrogenolysis by promoting dealkylations and deacylations and minimizing repolymerizations [100,101]. With suitable pore size and well-ordered pore structure, SBA-15 can effectively suppress repolymerization, with 81.4% liquefaction yield and 21.9 wt % monomer yields. No char and least repolymerization were observed when ethanol was being used as the solvent, which was attributed to the fact that ethanol can stabilize highly reactive phenolic intermediates and suppress the reaction between formaldehyde and the monomers to form large molecular weight oligomers. The importance of pore size of zeolite to product selectivity had further been demonstrated
4.2. Ni-Based Bimetallic Catalysts for Hydrogenolysis of Lignin

Several bimetallic Ni catalysts, resulting from the combination of Ni with other non-precious transition metals in the same row, namely, Ni-Fe/AC, Ni-Co and Fe-Cu, with potential to display “synergistic effects” [131], have been found to preserve better the aromatic rings rather than leading to their hydrogenation. The supports that were found to promote the activity for these catalysts are generally zeolite and activated carbon materials with high specific surface area, and the common metal oxide supports such as Al₂O₃, SiO₂, NbOPO₄, and CeO₂ have been found to have less promoting effects due to limited specific surface area and weaker acidic properties [132,133]. When the ratio of Ni and Fe is 1:1, alloy structure was formed and the monomer yield is much higher than that of Ni/AC and Fe/AC (Ni-Fe/AC = 20.3%; Ni/AC = 12.54%; Fe/AC = 6.3%). Also, at 400 °C, the Fe can be much more easily reduced to Fe⁰ for Ni-Fe/AC. The same phenomenon was observed for FeNiB catalyst during the hydrogenolysis of acetophenone, where 74% conversion yield was observed (NiB = 23%, FeB = 42%) [116]. Compared with other zeolite supports such as HZSM-5, MAS-7, MCM-41, and SAPO-11, H-Beta was found to have the highest acidity (1.3 mmol/g). Using isopropanol as the solvent, highest cycloalkanes (40.39%) was obtained for Ni-Cu/H-Beta catalyst [117]. The incorporation of Ti metal into the MCM silicate support materials for Ni-Cu has further increased the average pore size, the number of acidic sites, and enhanced the redox properties, thus both the reactivity and selectivity of the HDO of guaiacol to cyclohexane have been both increased significantly [118]. While the increase in conversion yields are obvious for Ni-Fe/AC and Ni-Cu, the “synergistic effect” may be not that obvious for the Ni-Co/C catalysts (@C: coated with graphene layers). Instead, the catalysts in the Ni-Co series afford similar conversion yields but have been found to cause various degree of hydrogenolysis and HDO, while Ni@C prefers to undergo hydrogenolysis and Co@C prefers to under hydrogenation reactions (as shown in Figure 19) [119].
Tungsten carbide catalysts, which are also known as the semiconducting supports [134], when promoted with Ni, have comparable activity and selectivity with Pt/Al₂O₃ and Ru/C for the conversion of cellulose to polyols [135]. The activity has been shown to be more prominent when activated carbon was used as the support instead of Al₂O₃, where the more active phase W₂C can be formed with activated carbon and the less active but more thermally stable WC was formed with Al₂O₃ support. There is an obvious synergistic effect between Ni and W₂C support, and the effect is even more pronounced during lignin hydrogenolysis [108], while no synergistic effect was observed for noble metal and W₂C support. Thus, lignin can be converted selectively to monophenols with a yield of 46.5%, based on lignin. Recently, the phosphorus and carbon analogy has been extensively studied in many areas, such as in main group chemistry and in the field of catalysis [136]. As such, WP/AC has also been explored in the hydrogenolysis of lignin. It was found that WP/AC gives the highest phenol yield, when compared with WP/SiO₂. When WP/AC was doped with Ni metal, however, yield was decreased although the selectivity to phenols was increased (WP/AC = 51.7%, Ni-WP/AC = 73.1%). The reaction may be due to the re-polymerization of small molecules to form fragments lying within a m/z range of 701–1040, as observed by mass spectrometry [109].

The Mott-Schottky effect has been further explored to demonstrate the ability of semiconducting supports in heterogeneous catalysts to modify the electron density and hence the activity of the supported metal catalysts. Ni supported by TiN had been tested towards hydrogenolysis of lignin-derived model compounds such as aryl ethers [110,111]. Again, the conversion and selectivity to aromatic monomers had been increased significantly compared with pure TiN and Ni when used for catalytic hydrogenolysis of α-O-4 and β-O-4 model compounds. However, when reaction temperature was increased from 125 °C to 150 °C, hydrogenation of aromatic rings started to be observed. The authors explained that there may be electron transfer process from Ni atoms to the TiN support. The Ni and TiN are tightly bonded to each other, and the electrons flowed from the less noble (Ni) to the relatively more noble (TiN) supports in order to equilibrate the Fermi levels. As a result, the oxygen of ether linkages coordinated to the electron-deficient Ni more effectively, thus facilitating the hydrogenation reactions and accelerating the hydride transfer to the aromatic ring during reductive elimination.

With the success of these Ni doped transition metal catalysts, attention was then turned to developing other similar catalysts such as the Ni-Mo₂C [112]. An added bonus of Mo₂C compared with W₂C was that high surface area and bulk catalyst can be prepared for Mo₂C without any support materials. With Ni doping, monomer yield of hydrogenolysis of corn stover lignin by the Ni-Mo₂C had been significantly increased (37.3%) compared with pure Mo₂C catalyst. The increases in metallic sites and the decreases in acidic sites have both minimized the re-polymerization reaction and coking.

Figure 19. The correlation between the reaction selectivity and the composition of bimetallic nanoparticles for Ni@C, Co@C, and Ni-Co@C [119].
However, mixing the Mo₂C catalyst with zeolitic co-catalysts as physical mixture such as HY and H-beta does not necessarily bring about synergistic effect on the overall monomer yield, as in the case observed for Ru/Al₂O₃ catalyst [137]. The reaction may be due to the excessive coupling reactions occurred on the acidic sites of zeolite surface while the metallic sites of Mo are too far away from the zeolite surface.

Since the discovery of redox neutral C-O bond cleavage using Pd/C catalyst [121], several Pd-Ni catalysts with different Pd:Ni ratio had been demonstrated to exhibit hydrogenolysis of lignin derived model compound without the use of hydrogen gas [121]. Both the synergistic effects between the metal nanoparticles and between the metal and the metal organic framework (MOF) supports are crucial factors to optimal catalytic performance. Without hydrogen source, Pd₁Ni₄ (Pd:Ni = 1:4) demonstrated a 80% conversion yield for the hydrogenolysis of 2-phenoxy-1-phenethanol and 90% selectivity to phenol and acetophenone. With using MOF such as MIL-100(Fe), 99% conversion and >95% selectivity can be achieved. It should be noted that Pd/MIL-100(Fe) only gave 77% conversion yield and 71% selectivity to phenol and acetophenone, which further demonstrated the “synergistic effect” of bimetallic metal complexes on catalytic hydrogenolysis. The highly porous structure, water stability and strong Lewis acidity has further promoted the β-O-4 cleavage. Using ionic liquid such as [bmim]OTf as the reaction media, the α-4 can also be cleaved which may be due to the enhanced non-covalent interaction and enhanced acidity of the methylene proton of the α-4 bonds [122].

The “synergistic effect” was even more prominent for Ru-Ni bimetallic catalyst supported on HZSM-5. Ru-Ni/HZSM exhibited the highest activity towards hydrogenolysis of guaiacol (118 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹), which is four times higher than Ru/HZSM-5 and for Ni/HZSM-5 only 3.2 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ was attained [78]. It was found that although Ru-Ni was not in the alloy form, the Ru and Ni nanoparticles were strongly interacting with each other. Ru effectively dissociated the H₂ gas to form H⁺ radicals, in which the radicals were transferred to the adjacent inert Ni sites. The transfer length between Ru and Ni nanoparticles were found to be closely related to the C-O bond cleavage activity, i.e., the shorter the length, the highest the activity.

As mentioned above, Ni-NDC (nitrogen doped carbon) enhanced the catalytic hydrogenolysis of lignin compared with undoped carbon supports [98]. This was also observed for the Ru-Ni bimetallic catalyst. The yield, conversion, and selectivity to benzene and phenol versus cyclohexane and cyclohexanol had been increased for 1%Ru-5%Ni-NDC for the hydrogenolysis of guaiacol compared with 1%Ru-5%Ni/AC [125]. The reason was not only due to the electron rich nitrogen atoms led to enhanced interaction between the metals and the supports but also the retaining of the metal particles. As a result, aromatics selectivity was enhanced.

The last precious metal in the 5th row that was found to form bimetallic complexes for lignin hydrogenolysis is the Rh metal [124,127]. Yan et al. had compared the activities of a series of NiM (M = Ru, Rh, and Pd) towards the lignin hydrogenolysis [127]. While it was found that the reduction of Fe was facilitated by the incorporation of Ni for Ni-Fe/AC complexes, the incorporation of 15% Ru enhanced the reduction rate of Ni for Ni₈₅Ru₁₅ complexes. The presence of Ni in Ni₈₅Ru₁₅ complexes can also suppress the hydrogenation of aromatic ring. Instead of enhancing synergistic effects between metals, Yan’s group had also successfully masked the Rh nanoparticles with hydrogenation-inactive NiOₓ species, thus, the selectivity of the hydrogenolysis reaction of 1-benzoxy-2-methoxy-benzene towards aromatics monomers can be maximized [124].

Going down to the 6th row of the periodic tables, Ni-Au and Ni-Ag bimetallic catalysts were found. It was known that monometallic Au catalysts exhibits no activity towards lignin hydrogenolysis and that Ni-Au bimetallic catalysts had reduced activity in many catalytic reactions compared with Ni catalysts, such as methane steam reforming [138] and hydrogenation of dinitrobenzene [139]. Surprisingly, the Ni₇Au₃ bimetallic catalyst exhibited a three times higher activity than using monometallic Ni catalyst, in which 14 wt % of monomer yield can be obtained for organosolv lignin. The reason for the enhanced activity may be due to the unique electronic state of Ni in the Ni₇Au₃. Au acts as electron donor to make the Ni atoms become more electron enriched, which in turn enhanced the
lignin hydrogenolysis [71]. The conversion yields were even more pronounced if a base such as NaOH was added with high selectivity to aromatic monomers [128], which prevented the adsorption of the aromatic ring on the catalyst surface. In contrast, the Ni-Ag catalysts had only been scarcely studied in a few catalytic reactions, such as hydrocarbon reforming [140] and hydrogen production using hydride compounds [141]. “Synergistic effect” was also observed for Ni-Ag catalysts towards lignin hydrogenolysis [129], leading to enhanced cleavage of C-O bonds, high conversion (95%) and high selectivity (90%) to aromatic monomers which were superior to the conversion by Ni and Ag monometallic catalysts respectively. The reason of the enhanced effect may be due to the segregation of surface Ni atom by the inactive Ag atoms, which also inhibited the adsorption of aromatic rings to the active surfaces.

5. Conclusions & Future Prospect

Monometallic and bimetallic Ni metal catalysts had been extensively explored for the lignin valorizations to renewable chemicals and fuels over the past decade, with the use of various supports (undoped and doped activated carbons, carbon nanotubes, zeolites and metallated zeolites, metal nitride, oxides, phosphides) and various precious and non-noble metals (Fe, Co, Cu, Al, Pd, Pt, Rh, Ru, Re, Nb, Mo, Au, Ag). This review briefly introduced the representative examples in catalytic conversion of lignin into valuable products via the pyrolysis, HDO, and hydrogenolysis processes over Ni-based catalyst in recent achievements. In order to facilitate the commercialization of lignin to fuels and chemicals process, first, much effort has to be put on the research on direct converting lignin in continuous flow manners as higher throughput can be obtained compared with batch settings. Second, the designed catalysts should be able to maximize the selectivity to C-O bond hydrogenolysis products, and to decrease the thermodynamically favorable aromatic hydrogenation products. Finally, re-polymerization reactions of monomer products should be suppressed.

Compared with cellulose and hemicellulose valorizations, the commercialization and industrial scale-up examples of lignin valorizations to chemicals and fuels are relatively less explored. Several commercial cellulosic ethanol plants have been either on operation or under construction, such as DuPoint (corn stover to ethanol), Gulf Coast Energy (wood waste to ethanol), Fulcrum BioEnergy (municipal solid waste to ethanol), etc. and the blending of ethanol to gasoline has been a popular strategy to help reduce carbon emission in US. While lignins are still considered to be a waste in the pulp and paper industry, companies like the Borregaard Biorefinery has taken a great step towards lignin valorization, in which they make use of lignin as an additive in concrete production and in the production of flavoring agent vanillin. As the construction of the first lignin to biofuel plant is yet to be completed (Preem & RenFuel Co. Ltd., Sweden), more research outcomes on the direct valorization of lignin using Ni and related efficient catalysts are expected to be published. In addition, there are several prospects of the future applications of Ni-based catalysts in conversion of lignin into value-added chemicals.

A How to achieve the lignocellulosic valorizations directly?

Although the research of the catalytic conversion of lignin model compounds provides an insight into the lignin valorizations, the utilization of real lignin is still a challenge due to the complex structure and composition. In addition, the typical biomass includes lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose. Catalytic conversion of lignocellulosic is a promising strategy to make full use of bioenergy. However, the complex physiochemical features of lignocellulosic limits the chemical conversion in one-pot to some extent. Although scientists and engineers has made great efforts on this research area and developed a lot of cost-effective catalysts due their excellent hydrogenation activities to convert lignocellulosic into fuels and chemicals, including Raney Ni [142–145], Ni-Al2O3 [146], Ni/C [147,148], Ni-W2C/AC [108], etc., the efficiency and stability of catalysts have still great room for improvement. Besides, to deoxygenate lignin efficiently, addition of hydrogen-sufficient feedstock, such as waste materials (plastics, rubber), coal tar, etc., into the catalytic process of lignin may benefit from producing value-added products from lignin, which could concomitantly increase the production of aromatic
hydrocarbons and reduce environmental impacts. In addition, it is a promising strategy to enhance the lignin valorizations through introducing some green and environmental friendly solvents, such as water, ionic liquid, hydrogen-donor solvent, in which using the harsh reaction conditions can be avoided (high temperature and high H₂ pressure) and the atomic economy can be improved.

B How to design robust and stable Ni-based catalysts?

Robust and stable catalysts play a vital role in the lignin valorizations. Due to the high oxygen content of lignin, the development of highly active and stable catalysts is necessary in the upgrading of lignin. Recently, bifunctional catalysts containing metal active sites and acid sites are widely designed for the upgrading of lignin, such as Ni/Al₂O₃, Ni/HZSM-5, Ni/ZrO₂, etc. Among these, Nb₂O₅, is an excellent solid acid with abundant acid sites and water resistance, and has also been widely studied in recent years. In addition, the single-phase Ni₅Si/Al₂O₃ catalyst has been designed by interstitial modification with earth-abundant silicon, in one-step chemical vapor deposition. The metal active sites and the acid properties of support has been tuned, enhancing the stability and the selectivity towards the HDO of lignin-derived aryl ethers to renewable bio-oils [149]. Therefore, the development of green, high efficiency, and stable catalysts through designing of metal active sites and tuning the acid of support is the key factor in future research of the nickel catalytic transformation of lignin.
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Abbreviations

- W/F: weight of catalyst: feedgas flow rate
- CEL: cellulolytic enzyme lignin
- HL: hydrolysis lignin
- BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene & xylene
- IS: iron slag
- DFT: density functional theory
- SD: soda pulping
- SAQ: soda anthraquinone pulping
- SEH: steam explosion pre-treatment followed by enzymatic hydrolysis
- SCW: supercritical water
- WGS: water gas shift reaction
- OSR: oxidative steam reforming
- CB: carbon black
- AC: activated carbon
- GGGE: guaiacyl glycerol-β-guaiacyl ether
- NDC: nitrogen doped carbon
- LDH: layered double hydroxides
- ASA: amorphous silica-alumina
- HDO: hydrodeoxygenation
- HYD: hydrogenation
- CTH: catalytic transfer hydrogenation
- MOF: metal organic framework
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