Developments in water dams and water harvesting systems throughout history in different civilizations

Abstract

The use of water for domestic and agricultural purpose is not a new phenomenon. It has been used throughout centuries all over the world. After food, water is the basic component of human life and their settlement. This paper considers developments in water dams and water harvesting systems throughout history in different civilisations. The major component of this review consists of hydraulic dams during Pre-Historical Time, Bronze Ages (Minoan Era, Indus Valley Civilization, Early Ancient Egyptian Era, Hittites in Anatolia, and Mycenaean Civilization), Historical Period, (Pre-Columbian, Archaic Period, Classical Greek and Hellenistic Civilizations, Gandahara and Mauryan Empire, Roman Period, and early Chinese dynasties), Medieval times (Byzantine Period, Sui, Tang and Song Dynasties in China, Venetian Period, Aztec Civilization, and Incas) and Modern Time (Ottoman Period and Present Time). The main aim of the review is to present advances in design and construction of water dams and water harvesting systems of the past civilizations with reference to its use for domestic as well as agricultural purposes, its impact on different civilizations and its comparison to the modern technological era. In addition, emerging trends and perspectives are discussed.
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Introduction

From the early civilizations, rainwater harvesting projects have been implemented in regions with uneven water distribution, arid and semi-arid climatic conditions. The main objectives are to protect people from floods and to provide them with water during drought conditions. Water harvesting structures depend on source of water to be harvested (e.g. surface water, rainwater, storm water, and groundwater). They range from:

a. Individual domestic rainwater tanks,
b. Collection and reuse of all precipitations on large industrial or agricultural sites,
c. Community wetlands for treatment and storage,
d. Aquifer recharge for storage and recovery to
e. Large-scale dams.

The scientific achievements in the field of hydraulics of large-scale dams have a very long history. There are examples of large-scale dams in many countries. Some of them had been operated for centuries. Dams had been practiced in Mehrgarh and Mesopotamia since the Neolithic times, ca. 7,000 – 3,200 BC. Thereafter, during the Bronze Age (ca. 3,200 – 1100 BC), dams were built in south-eastern Greece and Indus valley by the necessities to make efficient use of natural resources, to make civilizations more resistant to natural hazards, and to improve the standards of life. Rapid technological progress in the twentieth century created disregard for past dam construction technologies. However, there are some unresolved problems related to dams. In the developing world, such problems are intensified to an unprecedented degree. Hence, valuable insights into ancient dams relevant to their apparent characteristics of durability, adaptability to the environment, and sustainability should be helpful in facing such problems. Note that dam construction technological developments in the twentieth century created disregard for past dam construction technologies. However, there are some unresolved problems related to dams. In the developing world, such problems are intensified to an unprecedented degree. Hence, valuable insights into ancient dams relevant to their apparent characteristics of durability, adaptability to the environment, and sustainability should be helpful in facing such problems. Not only are some characteristic examples in selected fields that chronologically extend from the prehistoric times to the modern times are presented (Figure 1). The evolution and hydraulic characteristics of dams throughout centuries with emphasis on major achievements are presented and discussed while focusing on design and construction technologies. The examples and management practices presented and discussed (not widely known among engineers) may have some importance for hydraulic engineering even in modern times.
Great civilization was developed very early between Euphrates and Tigris in Mesopotamian on basis of irrigation. The Tigris, in particular, was a source of irrigation water and therefore Marduk or Nimrud Dam was allegedly built in ca. 2500 BC near Samarra, Baghdad. During the prehistoric time, Mehrgarh, one of the most important Neolithic (ca. 7000-2500 BC) sites in archaeology, lies on what is now the “Kachi plain” of today’s Balochistan, Pakistan (Figure 2A). It is one of the earliest sites with evidence of farming (wheat and barley) and herding (cattle, sheep, and goats) in South Asia. The site is located near the Bolan Pass, to the west of the Indus River valley and between the present-day Pakistani cities of Quetta, Kalat, and Sibi. Most of the workers have opined that they have the ability of water logging in the seasonal torrents and to utilize it for the purpose of farming and domestic use. The Sindh Kohistan region located between main hilly ranges of Baluchistan and Indus alluvial plains is a scattered low-lying hilly area with grave soil, once covered with alluvial soil suitable for cultivation purposes. It is an alluvium rich valley. The main hilly sequences of Sindh Kohistan are Lakhi, Kambhu, Badhar, Bhit, and Dumbar. Archaeological investigation shows that human beings living there had learned to utilize the rainwater efficiently and established excellent water storage system known as “Gabar Bund”; where rainwater was collected artificially for agriculture and other usages. Taung valley is located within this region, and more specifically it is bordered with Bandak and lower extremes of Khirthar Range from east and Dumbar and Gaz ranges in the west longitudinally (Figure 2B). During the survey of the region, stone walls built along slopes in association with torrents were noticed. The function of these walls was to trap and/or collect water for various purposes such as terracing fields, making water tanks, diverting and channelizing reservoirs (Figure 2C). There were two types of water control systems:

a. The Kach system, by which alluvial soil was accumulated behind the steps of low dam laid across the drainage slope and
b. The reservoir dam.

Quddus’ further mentioned two types of dams:

a. To restrict the natural flow of the water and release it slowly into the fields and
b. A diversionary system, where the dams were placed as weirs to divert the waters into a canal for cultivation.

The Gabarband system in Baluchistan has its beginning in the Chalcolithic Nal period based on the term “Gabar”. The historians have associated this system to the “Medieval Iranian Zartushtis, who are also called Parsees”. These Zartushtis were fire worshippers and mainly depended upon agriculture besides herding. The Gabarband system is practiced even today in the area. One of the Gabarband was located at 25, 51.00 N - 67, 34. 05/14 E degree, near Khirthar range. The existing wall measures 28 x 5 x 2 m² along the western side, while along the eastern side, it measures 18.30 x 5.80 x 4 m². This side is provided with an extra support of two other walls. In its general scheme, the stone slab wall was erected and filled with soil and gravels. A rivulet flowing through seems to had destroyed its eastern portion (Figure 2D). Another Gabarband located at 25, 49.25N -67, 31.55E degree is a stone wall strategically built to collect the water or divert its flow towards the mainstream. A total of three walls is visible in sequence oriented towards the vast valley in between Gaz and Khirthar, Sind province Pakistan (Figure 2D).

Minoan era (ca. 3200 - 1100 BC)

Pseira dams: In Pseira (in the Island of Crete) an increase in the number of inhabitants and the change in the climate created problems around 2nd millennium BC. At that time a complex water management system to control and to conserve water was constructed. That system was built in ravines that extended from island’s southeast coast up to the hilly landscape into the interior of the island. They consisted of groups of small check-dams to slow the progress of runoff from precipitation combined with massive stone and soil dams to create reservoirs and retain walls to prevent erosion from filling the basins with silt. The large Pseira dams were the main features of the system constructed in the ravines, and they would have involved more individual labor than the retaining walls.
The major dam (called M9 by Betancound [11]) was constructed by unconsolidated local materials, i.e. large blocks of stone and soil as bonding material (Figure 4A). The major technical parameters of the main dam at the Dune Creek are shown in Table 1. A similar dam but much smaller was constructed in Middle Creek. The water management system in Dune Creek consists also two and five check-dams upstream and downstream, respectively. Also, a small pool (dexameni) was constructed in the ravine downstream of the dam. These structures would have reduced the water flow and lessened the amount of soil erosion during the rainstorm event. The excavation in 1989 uncovered a substantial amount of information about the history of the dam and its process of construction (Figure 4B). It was built with local stones. The largest stones were over 1.5m in length, and they were placed in a way that indicated careful prior planning.

Table 1 The major parameters of the main dam

| Parameters         | Values                                                                 |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Elevation          | 32m asl                                                                |
| Length             | 15.5m                                                                  |
| Height             | 3.62m                                                                  |
| Thickness          | 2.5 to 2.8 as preserved, but probably over 3 m at the missing center.  |
| Capacity of reservoir | 500-600m³ (estimated).                                             |
| Dated              | ca. 1600-1450 BC. The upper part reconstructed in the Byzantine period (ca. 6th to 8th century). |

Dam at gournia: Gournia was a Minoan town located in the north of the Isthmus of Ierapetra near Pahia Ammos (Figure 6). It gives a visitor the best picture of what a Late Bronze Age (ca. 1500 BC) town looked like. Gournia was a regional production center of bronze tools and weapons, domestic objects, pottery and stone vases. It was an active trade emporium with overseas connections to other parts of the Aegean and the Near East. It was the palatial administrative center for the Mirabello region. The town was bordered to the west by a river, which in Minoan times ran all year round. Across the riverbed, approximately 120m from the present shoreline, the stub of a large wall protrudes out from the west bank of the river. This structure (Figure 7A) consists of two outer walls built of massive cyclopean boulders with a total width of approximately 4 m. The interior is packed with Minoan sherds, pebbles, and stones. Pottery was found nowhere else around the dam except within its rubble core. One can make out three courses on the south face, which is preserved to a height of 1.40m. A second, similarly constructed wall was found 20 m to the south just out of the west bank of the river. Constructed two exterior faces of boulders (Figure 7B), and the wall (width. 1.90m) are preserved to a length of 9.40m, and its north face has two courses preserved to a height of about 1 m. Between these walls, there was a rubble packing with worn MM III–LM I sherds. The location of these two structures at the mouth of the river within the riverbed and their construction argue for their identification as dams. If wholly preserved, the dams could have crossed the river, creating a reservoir of water for the settlement at Gournia. Based on the extent of the upland drainage area feeding the river and the large size of the waterborne boulders in its bed, the geoarchaeologist Eberhard Zanger has hypothesized that the river was perennial during the Bronze Age. If correct, this would mean that Gournia had a plentiful supply of water year-round.
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**Indus (Harappans) Valley Civilizations (ca. 2600-1900 BC)**

The Indus civilization is best known through the excavation of, located in Mohenjo-daro Sind province of Pakistan, about 40 km from Larkana town. A similar and larger ruin, Harappa, is located some 644 km northeast of Mohenjo-daro in Punjab province, Pakistan. The third site of Lothal, which was discovered almost 60 years ago, is located about 7 km away from Ahmedabad-Bhavnagar highway, India. Dholavira is an archaeological site in Bhachau Taluka of Kutch district, in the state of Gujarat in western India. The kind of efficient system of Harappans of Dholavira, developed for conservation, harvesting, and storage of water speaks eloquently about their advanced hydraulic engineering, given the state of technology.

One of the unique features of Dholavira is the sophisticated water conservation system of channels and reservoirs, the earliest found anywhere in the World and completely built out of stone, of which three are exposed. Dholavira had massive reservoirs. They were used for storing the fresh water brought by rains or to store the water diverted from two nearby rivulets. This clearly came in the wake of the desert climate and conditions of Kutch, where several years may pass without rainfall. A seasonal stream, which runs in the north-south direction of the site, was dammed at several points to collect water (Figure 8). They are about 7 m deep and 79 m long. Reservoirs skirted the city while Citadel and bath are centrally located on raised ground.

**Dockyard at Lothal:** The dominant sight at Lothal is the massive dockyard, which has helped make this place so important to international archaeology. Spanning an area of 37 m from east to west and nearly 22 m from north to south, the dock is said by some to be the greatest work of maritime architecture before the birth of Christ. To be sure, not all archaeologists are convinced that the structure was used as a dockyard and some prefer to refer to it as a large tank that may have been a reservoir (Figure 9A). It was excavated near Sabarmati River, which has since changed course. The structure’s design shows a thorough study of tides, hydraulics and the effect of sea water on bricks. Ships could have entered into the northern end of the dock through an inlet channel connected to an estuary of the Sabarmati River during high tide. The lock gates could then have been closed so the water level would rise sufficiently for them to float (Figure 9B). An inlet channel 1.7 m above the bottom level of the 4.26 m deep tank allowed excess water to escape. Other inlets prevented siltation of the tanks and erosion of the banks. After a ship would have unloaded its cargo the gates would have been opened to allow it to return to the Arabian Sea waters in the Gulf of Cambay. Archaeologist findings from the excavations testify the trade with ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia. The hydraulic knowledge of the ancient Harappans can be judged by the fact that boats had docked at Lothal in the 1850’s.

**Early Ancient Egyptians (ca. 4000 BC-1850 BC)**

The first successful efforts to control the flow of water were made in Mesopotamia and Egypt, where the remains of the prehistoric irrigation works still exist. In Egypt, the building of dams at right angles to the flow of the Nile, separating the Nile Valley into basins, preceded the old Kingdom. Dikes, built along the banks of the river and the basins covered between 400 and 1700 hectares, were carefully leveled. The river water was diverted into canals on either side of the Nile. During the highest flooding (towards the end of September) most of the Nile Valley was covered with water, only villages and cities, built on higher ground, were above water. When the water level reached the mouths of the canals, the dams separating the canals from the river were opened and the basins and canals flooded. When the highest water level was reached, one to two meters above the ground, the water was left standing until it evaporated or was drained off during the next two months. To keep the system working, the canals had to be dredged yearly to prevent their clogging; the levees had to be raised, and smaller ditches had to be re-excavated. The embankment dams had been used very early in the ancient historical periods of Egypt. There are many records referring to these dams. Van Loon reported that dam construction began about 6000 years BC in Egypt, which was used mainly for flood control and irrigation purposes. However, potable water supply and irrigation systems in Egypt have
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**Figure 6** Site of the Gournia dams.12

**Figure 7** View of the dam: (A) Westward of outer. (B) West of inner dam.12

**Figure 8** Hydraulic Engineering Indus Civilization at Dholavira, Rann at Gujarat: (A) Full water view. (B) Dry View.17

**Figure 9** Dockyards at Lothal and Courts: (A) Archeological View. (B) Gates and flow directions Model.18
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existed from the fourth millennium BC. Many small earthen dams and irrigations canals were built by ancient Egyptian to manage the Nile River water. The remains of one of the oldest and greatest known dams, Sadd-el-Kafara, built during the period of 2950 to 2750 BC were found in Egypt in the Wadi el Garawi, 30 km south the capital city, Cairo.22 The dam site has coordinates of 29° 47′ 43.00″ N and 31° 25′ 55.00″ E. The dam site and a sketch for its dimension are shown in Figure 10. It was 107 to 113m in crest length and 11 to 14m in height. It was consisted from two masonry shoulders supporting a central core of sand and gravel. The core consisted of 60,000 ton of earth and rock-fill.23 The downstream wall was about 37m wide, the upstream wall was about 29m wide and they contained around 2,900m3 a mass of materials. These upstream and downstream walls were constructed from limestone ashlars. Each stone was roughly 30cm high, 45cm wide, 80cm long and 23kg.24 Due to the erosion of the downstream face of the dam and lack of a spillway, it is believed that a flood destroyed it. The crest of the dam sloped towards the center might have been used as a spillway. However, as the top of the dam was not beheaded, it was not protected from flood water that would over-top it. During the 12th dynasty in the 19th century BC, the Pharaohs Senosert III, Amenemhat III, and Amenemhet IV dug a canal 16 km long linking the east-west. It was built to retain water during the annual flood and then release it to surrounding lands. The lake called “Mer-wer” or Lake Moeris covered 1,700 km2 and it is known today as Berkat Qaroun.26 Dams were built, sometimes for military or commercial aims in mind, at others probably for flood protection or irrigation. Herodotus claims that Menes built a dike diverting the Nile in order to protect Memphis from inundations. The Sadd el-Kafara in Wadi Garawi, the oldest known dam in the world, collapsed not long after its erection in the early Old Kingdom. Its purpose is unclear.27 Another dam was constructed at Senma probably during the reign of Amenemhet III (1841-1796 BC) and it was in use until the times of Amenemhet V. It was apparently constructed in order to facilitate navigation. The dam of Senusret II in the Fayum was built to control the level of Lake Moeris.28

Gölpinar dam is one of the important dams in Hittite in Anatolia. The stones of the rockfill embankment have the size of a fist (Figure 11). The stones of the lower part are bigger and they seem to have been reinforced with hardpan that prevented permeability to some extent. There is no plaster between the stones but they seem to have been strengthened with clay. The length of the stone set from east to west is 15m. The water flowing through the canal lock enters two different canals with a difference in height of 1 m over the set. Water runs through those canals and flows into the main canal over the set. There is a basin for maturation or storage in the middle of the stone set which has a length of about 130m. The width of the basin in the middle of the stone set is 8m. The base of the pool is covered with clay.29

Myth of the Nile River:

The Nile River is mentioned in Egyptian mythology as a goddess named Nebet. The Nile was considered a mother figure, providing life and sustenance to the people of Egypt. As a result, the river was celebrated and revered in ancient Egyptian culture. The myth of the Nile River depicts it as a symbol of fertility and abundance, with the annual flooding bringing life to the land. The river was also associated with the god Horus, who was believed to be the protector of the Egyptian people.

Mycenaean civilization (ca. 1900-1100 BC)

The name of the Mycenaean civilization derives from the site of Mycenae in the Peloponnese, where once stood a great Mycenaean fortified palace. Mycenae is celebrated by Homer as the seat of King Agamemnon, who led the Greeks in the Trojan War. The Mycenaean civilization extended its influence throughout the Peloponnese, Attica, Boeotia, and Thessaly in Greek mainland but also across the Aegean, in particular, on Crete and the Cycladic islands. Major Mycenaean centers included Mycenae, Tiryns, Pylos, Thebes, Midea, Gla, Orchomenos, Argos, Sparta, Nichoria and probably Athens and represent the apogee of the Mycenaean civilization, which laid the foundations for the evolution of the ancient Greek civilization.

Dam on chavo river in mycenae:

The dam is located 3.4km from the Mycenae Acropolis. It is on the river Chavo and it was built in order to save the large water quantities of the river as well as those of the Perseia spring and it was also used as a bridge to cross the river. The dam had a width of almost 5m, a height of 4.5m and length 37m. It was constructed with the typical technique of that period, consisting of two outer megalithic masonry walls with internal filling with stones and mortar. When the water reached the top of the dam, the lake behind it had a length of more than 100m and width of about 30m near the wall.

Dam of ancient tiryntha:

The ancient dam of Tiryntha was constructed around 1200 BC by the inhabitants of the ancient town of Tiryntha, which experienced very damaging floods due to a stream that drove the Argolic Gulf, passing through the city. At the same time, the transfer of large quantities of sediment deposits and the deposition at the coast caused problems in a port which was vital for the wider space of Mycenaean Argolic field. After devastating floods in the late Bronze Age, the inhabitants decided to divert the stream to the south and away from Tiryntha. In this context, they constructed huge barrier in Tiryntha (10 m height, 300 m length and 35,000m3 volume) which was made of earth and operated in conjunction with an artificial 1.5 km long diversion channel.30 The dam is maintained
in good condition (Figure 12). The core is made of red clayey soil, while both sides (Eastern and Western) are protected by cyclopean walls and constructed with partially refined large limestone boulders. The upper part of the eastern wall and especially the internal side has almost entirely collapsed due to erosion and removal of the stones. The northern part of the outer protective wall is strongly eroded by the floods to a width of 1.5-3m. The western wall is smaller and much lower than the eastern and it is not visible today.

**Figure 12** The main part of the Tiryntha Dam.

**Dam of ancient Mantinea:** The Mycenaean Mantinea Dam was built on a mountain at the deepest point of the closed basin with the same name. The city and the extensive croplands were protected from the flood waters coming mainly from the south of the basin, by a dam, with retention basin approximately 15,000,000m$^3$. The dam was built close to the current village Skopi, 6km north-northeast of Tripoli, at a narrow location of the valley, with a length of approximately 300m, and height of approximately 3m, with impervious clay, and an artificial lake of 5 to 6 km² surface area (Figure 13).

**Figure 13** The dam and the artificial lake at the Mantinea Valley.

**The dam of Arcadian Orchomenos:** The main dam was constructed in the middle of a double basin north of today’s Orchomenos village and about 5.5km northeast of the Levidi town. It was built during the 13th century BC for the protection of the croplands, with a height of about 2m, width 9 to 10m, length over 2km and lake capacity of over 15,000,000m$^3$. The dam can be seen today with a height of more than 1m (Figure 14).

**Taka Dam:** The Taka Dam consists a part of a land reclamation project about 6km south of the city of Tripolis (Figure 15). It had a width of 8m, consisting of two cyclopean walls with soil and rock fragments in-between, a length of about 900m and a height of over 2m. The lake capacity was about 10,000,000 m$^3$.

**Boedria Dam:** The Boedria dam was constructed on the northwest side of the Kopais basin, near the Boeotian Orchomenos on the Melas River. It had a height of 2m and length of 1,250m$^2$ and a lake capacity of 12,000,000m$^3$. It was built in order to provide irrigation water to the croplands and it has consisted of two parallel stone walls with soil and rock fragments in-between.

**Figure 14** The Orchomenos Dam today.

**Kopais Dams:** Kopais, the biggest closed basin in Greece, suffered flooding problems during winter time since the early antiquity. The Minyas - Mycenaeans devised and implemented an extremely inspiring system to combat the problem. Initially, they diverted the Boeotian Kifissos river, being the main cause of the floods, to the Melas River and then, with the help of a canal and a dike along the north shore of the basin, guided the flood water to sinkholes at the northeast. So, the part of the basin north of the dike was flooded while the southern part was largely available for cultivation. The canal is a great testimony of the Mycenaean-hydraulic technology of the time, being the unique engineering project of that era in Europe. Its length was about 25km, width 40m and depth of 2 to 3m. It was formed by earthen embankments up to 30 m wide and 2 – 3 m high, with cyclopean retaining walls on either or on the one’s side (about in the first 6 km approx,) to prevent its erosion (Figure 16).

**Figure 15** The Taka Dam as it can be seen today during winter and summer time.

**Thisve Dams:** The hydraulic works in the valley of Boeotian Thisve include two dams. The great dam (Thisve I), which is approximately 1.2km long and 2.5m high (Figure 17), and the small dam (Thisve II), which is approximately 220m long and 4-5m. high. The lake capacity of both dams was about 6,000,000 m$^3$.

**Stymfalos Dam:** The prehistoric land reclamation structures in the Stymfalos basin were constructed in order to prevent the flooding of...
the center of the basin. The water coming from the east was contained in a dam with the zigzag plan view, the length of 1,900m, the height 2.5m, and lake capacity 10,000,000m³. At the west side, another zigzag dam was constructed with a stone wall and a discharge channel in front of it.

**Figure 17** Today’s view of the Thissv I dam.35

**Feneos dam:** According to the legend, the land reclamation works in the basin of Feneos were constructed by Hercules. Knauss35 states that the Oivios River was diverted with the aid of an embankment 2.5km long and 2-3m high, protecting the eastern side of the basin. The Lake was drained in a sinkhole at the foothill to the south.

**Petra mountain and Voiviida lake walls:** In the eastern part of the Thessaly plain, there was the Lake Voiveis, which, in modern times, initially was drained and currently replenished. On the southwest shore rises a group of hills with three peaks, known by the name Mountain Petra (Stone), with remnants of one of the major Mycenaean acropolises in Greece. The schematic representation of strong cyclopean walls, of several km lengths, which protected the city from the rising lake water is shown in Figure 18.

**Figure 18** The protective wall of the Mycenaean city at the Petra hill in the Voiviida Lake.35

**Arni-kierion dam:** Remnants of large cyclopean walls, dating from the Mycenaean era, were found in front of the Arni-Kierion hills in the center of Thessaly plain. The archaeological excavation works highlighted the section of foundations of a wall, almost 40m in length. The wall has a width of 3.5m and consists of two outer flanks from large, angular stones and infill of rounded gravel and soil.

**Corinth isthmus dam:** The most important and impressive remains of the prehistoric period of the Temple of Poseidon of Corinth Isthmus are the large parts of cyclopean walls, which protected a specific area from floods and mainly from the undesirable deposition of sediment from the surrounding hills: soil, stones, pine needles and tree branches.35 The walls are made of local limestone with two outer flanks, filled with stones at a clayey matrix. The preserved stone base layers have the width from 3.5m to 4.5m. The today’s height is approximately 2.5 m, while the original top layer is missing. The original height is estimated to be 3 or 3.5m or more.

**Marib dam in old yemen civilization (ca. 700 BC – 600 AD)**

Ancient Marib was the capital of the wealthy kingdom of Saba, which thrived during the first millennium BC in Yemen. Marib Dam is considered as one of the greatest achievements of engineering of the pre-industrial era. To keep the dam safe and stand for a long time; canals, gates, sluices, and spillways, and the whole system had to be waterproof. The Sabaeans, ancient Yemen people, managed all this before the existence of concrete, and their dam stood for over 1,000 years, in comparison to modern dams built with advanced technology last for around 50 or 100 years.37 The great dam of Marib was about 610 m long, around twice as long as the Hoover Dam in the USA. The great dam of Marib sustained the largest city in ancient southern Arabia for more than a thousand years. Today, only the sluices remain as shown in Figure 19. While it stood, it converted ancient Yemen land into a fertile oasis. It is believed that the dam was severely damaged by a Saudi airstrike in the night of May 31, 2015, bringing down much of the agriculture system and converting the area into the sandy desert as it is today.38

**Figure 19** View Marib dam ruins in Yemen.37

**Other civilizations**

From the Urartu period (the first half of the 1st millennium BC) in Eastern Anatolia, there exist various remains of dams.39–40 Some of them are still in use like the dams at Keşiş, Doni, and Süphan lakes. In Urartu period two dams were used to increase the volume of the Rusa (Kesis) lake for water supply to the later capital Rusahinili (Toprakkale) and her environment. Two of the three dams are still in use at Doni Lake and the downstream dam on Energizer creek for additional irrigation water to Tuspa (Vankale) and its surroundings.40 In the eastern part of Anatolia in Van basin and its surrounding areas, irrigation canals and dams are observable to date from the time of the Urartian Kingdom (840-590 BC) which is located in the highlands of eastern Anatolia, the Ararat plain of Armenia, and in northwest Iran. The irrigation system set up by the Urartu kingdom making use of surface water can be divided into two categories: The first one is the irrigation carried out from the Menua, or Shamram Canal which was built by King Menua in about 800 BC. This canal is only irrigation canal in the world that has been in constant use for 2795 years. The second is the irrigation carried out from Lake Keşiş (Lake Rusa) and...
the smaller dam lakes in the vicinity. The water of Lake Keşiş, which lies at an altitude of 2890 m to the east of Van was dammed by Rusa II (680-654 BC) and thus a large quantity of water was stored in order to meet the water requirements of the new capital, Rusahini, and its immediate environs. By building dams on the stream flowing from the lake, a sufficient reserve to last through the summer months was accumulated. About 20 million m³ of water could be stored in the still-existing small dams (Figure 20). Lake Keşiş is the proof that the Urartu possessed a sound knowledge of engineering. The Assyrians developed extensive public works. Sargon II, invading Armenia in 714 BC discovered the qanat (Arabic name) or kariz (Persian name), which is a tunnel used to bring water from an underground source in the hills down to the foothills. Sargon destroyed the area in Armenia but brought the concept back to Assyria. This method of irrigation spread over the Near East into North Africa over the centuries and it is still used. Sargon’s son Sennacherib also developed water works by damming the Tebitu River and using a canal to bring water to Nineveh, where the water could be used for irrigation without hoisting devices. During high water in the spring, overflows were handled by a municipal canebreak that was built to develop marshes used as game preserves for deer and wild boar, and birch-breeding areas. When this system was outgrown, a new canal, nearly 19 km long, was built, with an aqueduct that had a layer of concrete or mortar under the upper layer of stone to prevent leakage. The earliest known dam is the Jawa Dam in Jordan, 100 km northeast of the capital Amman. This gravity dam featured an originally 9m high and 1 m wide stone wall, supported by a 50 m wide earth rampart. The structure is dated back to 3000 BC. By the mid-late 3rd century BC, an intricate water-management system within Dholavira in modern-day India was built. The system included 16 reservoirs, dams and various channels for collecting and storing water.

Figure 20 Ruins from Rusa Dam.41

**Historical times**

**Pre-columbian (Incas) times (ca. 2500 BC to 1540 AD)**

The Incas established their empire in the southern region of today’s Peru, Ecuador, Columbia, Mexico and the Amazonian watershed 1200 BC. During Incas periods, water supply facilities were given special consideration, and some areas were enhanced with elaborate irrigation systems. Machu Picchu is situated south of the equator by about 1,400 km on the eastern slope of the Peruvian Andes. The spring collection works, the main canal, and the 16 fountain of Machu Picchu represent the work of a civilization with advanced water-handling capabilities.42 The people of Incas were descended from Inti, the Sun God. Inti commanded his son, Manco Capac, to rise out of the waters of Lake Titicaca. Manco Capac then founded the Inca tribe. The Machu Picchu water system demonstrated that the Inca Builders were skilled in empirical hydraulic engineering methods. They were also the experts of the physical constraint of the gravity driven water system. A hydraulic analysis defined several characteristics of the Machu Picchu spring, canal, and fountain system. The hydraulic design of the 16 fountains provides adequate water jetting to enable easy filling of the ancient Inca water arybalic (Figure 21).

Figure 21 Machu Picchu: (A) Fountain with inlet showing in upper left; stone basin below, orifice outlet and carved stone outlet channel, water flow. (B) Inca domestic water canal to agriculture sector.45

**Dams at Iran**

The history of the ancient dams in Iran dates back to 3000 years and shows that ancient Iranians were among the pioneers in dam construction in their efforts to develop water resources. The Darius Dam on Kor River dates back to 2500 years. Bahman Dam in Shiraz (Figure 22A) was built more than two millennium years. 1700 years old Mizan Dam in Shushtar is still in place. Amir Dam constructed by Buyids Dynasty, 35 km north of Shiraz is 1000 years old (Figure 22B). Kebar Dam in the city of Ghom which has been added to the list of national heritage structure of Iran has a height of 25 m and it is believed to be the first arch dam built in the world about 700 to 900 years ago (Figure 23A). Kurit gravity arch dam, located close to Tabas city was built in 1350 AD. Its height is 60 m and it was regarded as the highest dam in the world up to the beginning of the twentieth century (Figure 23B). During the Safavid Empire (1501-1736) water engineering progressed significantly and many storage and diversion dams and bridges were constructed in Esfahan and Mashhad, some of which still exist. Khaju Bridge and diversion dam and Thirty – three Arch Bridge are two of the very famous and beautiful diversion dams and bridges in the city of Esfahan (Figure 24A) (Figure 24 B).

Figure 22 (A) Bahman weir dam. (B) Amir multi-purpose dam in Fars province.

**The archaic period (ca. 630-490 BC)**

The Archaic Stage or Period was established in New Jersey during ca. 8000-1000 BC an early level of culture based on hunting, fishing, and gathering of wild vegetable foods, and lacking pottery,
the smoking pipe, and agriculture. Among archaeologists, the term “Archaic” is now generally taken to mean a period of time and/or a stage of cultural development characterized by hunting and gathering economy based upon the seasonal exploitation of natural resources by relatively small, mobile bands. It has been observed that the archeaic people, particularly the Indian facts, have the masters of water storage and to use it for domestic and agriculture purposes.44

**Figure 23** (A) Kebar Dam in the city of Ghom. (B) Kurit gravity arch dam in Tabas city.

**Figure 24** (A) Khaju Bridge and diversion dam in Esfahan province. (B) Thirty-three Arch bridge in Isfahan province.

**Classical greek and hellenistic civilizations (ca. 490 – 67 BC)**

The protection of urban areas from the destructive action of streams (floods, erosion, and sedimentation) is another case of application of hydraulic and water management technologies. Such techniques are also necessary for the development of agricultural areas, given that the most fertile areas are the flood plains of rivers. As mentioned above, large-scale projects (massive or Cyclopean type) of the latter type date back to the Mycenaean period.45 A characteristic paradigm from Classical period related to urban or suburban development is the flood protection works of Olympia and the dam of ancient Alyzia.

**Alyzia dam:** The ancient Alyzia dam was constructed during the Classical period of the inhabitants of the Ancient city located to the west of Aitolokarnania in western Greece. Until recently researchers who visited the ruins of the dam, including the Murray and Knauss, expressed the view that the dam was used to retain water. However, Zarkadoulas46 presented a scenario under which the dam was built to hold sediments that might otherwise overwhelm the downstream valley and make cultivation impossible. The fact that the barrier was filled with debris very quickly after the construction supports this scenario.47 The ancient city of Alyzia is located in Western Greece on the coastline of Akarnania. Close to the city, on the fringes of the Akarnanika Mountains, lies a unique example of a hydraulic work of Greek antiquity: a stone dam, equipped with a stone carved lateral spillway (Figure 25). The exact date of its construction is unknown, but because of the city’s prosperity during the fifth century BC, this period is the most probable for the construction of the dam.48

**Alfeios embankment:** The characteristic geomorphological feature near the ancient Olympia sanctuary is the confluence of the Alfeios and Kladeos rivers. Flooding of the rivers resulted in limiting the usable area around the sanctuary and silting the rest. In order to overcome this situation, the engineers constructed 800m long and 2.7m high wall / dyke along the violent Kladeos river with Cyclopean conglomerate with four stone courses (Figure 26), together with a diversion canal, and a 1,000m long, more than 2m high and up to 30m wide dyke along the Alfeios river. Its construction date is unknown but most probably was in the classical period, when the place took its final form.

**Figure 25** The dam of ancient Alyzia; general view and its spillway with its irregular shape formed by erosion through centuries (photo Angelakis AN).

**Figure 26** The beginning of the wall/dike along the Kladeos River at the north edge of the sanctuary.49

**Gandhara and mauryan empire (ca. 1st millennium to 322-185 BC)**

The Arthashastra of Kautilya gives an extensive account of dams and bunds that were built for irrigation during the period of the Gandhara (Swat, Pakistan) and Mauryan Empire (India). The water supply systems were well managed within the framework of strict rules and regulations. Different types of taxes were collected from the cultivators depending upon the nature of irrigation.50

**Sailaba or rod kohi system:** The sailaba or rod-kohi system is widely practiced in the Sind and Balochistan provinces in Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iran, and parts of Middle East. The sailaba cultivation is done by diversion and spreading of intermittent flows of hill torrents.51 As the water comes down the hill, it is checked by a series of earthen diversion bunds (embankments). To meet their local irrigation needs small communities had constructed diversion bunds on a number of smaller streams for irrigation. The water thus checked is allowed to seep slowly down into the soil.

**Khushkhaba system:** The Khushkhaba system comprises in-situ conservation of incidental rainwater and catching runoff from a large uncultivated block and diverting it to cultivated fields. Fields

---
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receive water directly from precipitation or from localized runoff. The Khushkhaba is merely a chance cropping with a successful crop being raised on average once in five years. The main difference between the Khushkhaba lands and the sailaba (flood) lands is that the catchments area of the former is small and is often not bigger than the field enclosed by the embankment or bund. Embankments are made facing the hills so that the natural gradient within the bounded area helps collect the runoff above the embankments. The area inside the bund is deliberately left uneven with the areas flows to the bund being the lowest (Figure 27). This is done so that, in the case of high rainfall, the runoff from adjacent areas upslope collects near the embankments and provides enough water at least to grow crops in the lower half of the fields (0.5-1 % slope), and to encourage rainfall to run off into the filled bonded field below to increase both its soil moisture content and, consequently, the yield of the dry land crop. It is mainly practiced in Quetta-Sarwan and Zhob-Loralai areas of the Balochistan province. The area under Khushkhaba cultivation in Balochistan was estimated as 0.32 million ha in 1990 and 0.34 million ha in 2000.45

**Figure 27** The Dam at Khushkhaba Balochistan, Pakistan.

### The roman period (ca. 67 BC-330 AD)

The majority of dams in the Roman Near East were gravity dams and functioned on the basis that they are too massive to be affected by the pressure of the water stored behind them.46 A possible arch dam may also have existed at Dara.47 Dams of these types were in use in the Near East from the Bronze Age (ca. 3500-1200) onwards and reached their zenith in the Roman period, from that time 45 dams are known.48,51 All along the course of the dam are the remains of rebuilds and repairs, mostly in the form of later supporting buttresses, but also later sluices and other design modifications, which show at least four phases of use and renovation. In some cases, such as the Harbaqa dam, the continuity of use of the dam has led to controversy over the original date of the structure. It has been suggested that the Harbaqa Dam was not built in the Roman period but rather was an Umayyad construction.52 However, a careful examination of textual evidence, radiocarbon dates and settlement evidence indicate that 29 of the 45 dams (64%) firmly dated to the Roman and late Roman periods seem to have been built in the 3rd-century AD or later, six of which seem to have been constructed in the 3rd or early 4th centuries themselves.53 These data point to a strong move towards building dams in the late Roman period. Dams like the 10 m high Çavdarhisar (Kütahya), 16m high Örükkaya and Böget (Aksaray) in central Anatolia, Íldir near Çeşme date back to the Roman period; the three Dara-dams (Mardin), with the first being one of the oldest arch dams, as well as Löstiğün, Silke, Sultan dams date back to the early Byzantine period; some of the dams around Van in eastern Anatolia might date to the middle ages.25,31,53 In addition, Faruk-dam (Van) might go back to the Urartu-

period, whereas other opinions put them to the 10th-14th centuries, as contemporary of some dams in Iran.54–56

### Early eastern asia civilizations

Many earthen dams of moderate height (in some cases, of great length) were built by the Sinhalese in Ceylon after the 5th century BC to form reservoirs or tanks for extensive irrigation works. The Kalabalala reservoirs (formed by an earthen dam 24m high and nearly 6km in length) had a perimeter of 60 km. It is a moderate dam of height (in some cases of great length) built by the Sinhalese in Sri Lanka after the 5th century BC to store monsoon rainfall for irrigating the agricultural lands around the ancient capital of Anuradhapura. It is still in use today. In China, dams and reservoirs are numerous and have had a profound effect on the country’s development and people. According to the Zhang49 in 2000, there were 22,104 dams over the height of 15 m operating in China. Of the world’s total large dams, China accounts for 20 % of them; 45 % of which are used for irrigation. Also, China has a long history on dams.50 The Qin era was marked by the construction of the so called “three great hydraulic engineering projects of the Qin”; The Dujiangyan in Sichuan, the Zhengguo Canal in Shaanxi and the Lingqu Canal in Guangxi.50 The Dujiangyan is an ancient irrigation and flood control project in Dujiangyan City in Sichuan province, which has been originally constructed around 256 BC. The system’s infrastructure is on the Min River (Minjiang), the longest tributary of the Yangtze.51 Li Bing is a famous Chinese engineer and politician of the Warring States period. He served for the state of Qin as an administrator and his son headed the construction of the Dujiangyan, which harnessed the river by channeling and dividing the water rather than simply following the old way of dam building. It is still in use today to irrigate over 5,300km² of land in the region.52 The Zhengguo Canal in Shaanxi is an excavated canal of 150 km long, from the Jing river west of Mount Zhong as far as Hukou. It was designed by the water engineer named Zheng Guo in order to irrigate the Guanzhong plain (of about 27,000 km²), north of Xi’an. By the time of its completion in 246 BC, during the Han dynasty, the canal was already much silted. Under the supervision of Bai Gong, a new canal was cut to feed the irrigation in 95 BC.52 The Lingqu canal is in Shaanxi, near Gulin, in the northwestern corner of Guangxi, China. It connects Xiang river (which flows north into the Yangtze) with Li river (which flows south into the Gui River and Xijiang), and thus it is part of a historical waterway between Yangtze and Pearl River Delta.53 It was the first canal in the world to connect two river valleys and enabled boats to travel 2,000km. It was built in 214 BC during the Qin dynasty (221-206 BC). The river diversion dam still exists (Figure 28A). Another example is the small Tuo ShanYan dam. It is a key hydro-work in Yinzhou town in Ningbo City, Zhejiang province. It was built on Yinzhou River in 833 AD (Figure 28B). It is greatly contributed to Ningbo city, a current big port city of China and has become a key hydro-infrastructure to the city’s existence and development, and irrigation of the countryside since 1987 when a bigger dam was built at upper reach to control water of the river.54 Tuo Shan Yan dam functioned an important role in the local water management and multiple uses as such:

- **Regulation of the river water**;
- **Elimination of the flood risks**;
- **Prevention of seawater intrusion**;

---
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d. Urban water supply;
e. Irrigation;
f. Navigation; and touristic development.\textsuperscript{64}

Finally, it has played a key role in the urban history of Ningbo City.

**Figure 28** Ancient dams still in operation: (A) Part of the diversion dam in Lingqu canal, with part of the Xiang River left without water flow By permission of A. N. Angelakis). (B) Tuo ShanYan dam.\textsuperscript{65}

### Medieval times

**Byzantine period (ca. 330–1204 AD)**

In the Netherlands, a low-lying country, dams were often applied to block rivers in order to regulate the water level and to prevent the sea from entering the marsh lands. Such dams often marked the beginning of a town or city because it was easy to cross the river at such a place, and often gave rise to the respective place’s names in Dutch. For instance, the Dutch capital Amsterdam started with a dam through the river Amstel in the late 12\textsuperscript{th} century, and Rotterdam started with a dam through the river Rotte, a minor tributary of the Nieuwe Maas. The central square of Amsterdam, covering the original place of the 800-year-old dam, still carries the name Dam Square or simply the Dam.

**Seljukide period in anatolia:** The Great Seljukides (10\textsuperscript{th}-12\textsuperscript{th} century) had constructed several dams in Iran and Turkmenistan, outside the actual territory of Turkey, where the existence of only two dam sites, impounded by the reservoirs of modern Altinapa and Sille dams near Konya, are reported according to ancient documents.\textsuperscript{65}

**Sui, tang and song dynasties in china (581-1127AD)**

Great reservoirs were not the most characteristic of the sophisticated Chinese hydraulic technique, although the still existing Anfengtang or Shao reservoir dates back to before the “Warring State Period (420-221 BC). Anfengtang dam rested on a foundation of sand and gravel and consisted of alternating layers of straw and earth, into which a line of chestnut piles was driven upon completion of the embankment. The latter closed off a plain between two tributaries to the Huai River towards the north and west. It is still in operation today and impounds 100 million m\textsuperscript{3} of irrigation water.\textsuperscript{66} During the Sui Emperor Wen (589–604) era, two major projects were built: The Grand Canal and the great wall of the Qin Empire. The canal made transportation to his capital much easier and it is now the longest canal in the world.\textsuperscript{67}

**Venetian period (ca. 1204-1669 AD)**

The Venetians established themselves on the Island gradually from 1210 AD turning out the Genoans who had taken advantage of the turmoil. Crete was valuable to the Venetians due to its position. It had contributed to the growth of Venetian trade in the East. During Venetian rule, some of the most important technical and architectural projects on the island were constructed. One of the most characteristics examples of these are the new walls of Heraklion, and the largest Venetian fortification work on Crete and in the entire Mediterranean. Despite, the modern structure and character of the city, the walls are a jewel that preserves important memories. The enormous defensive work is complemented by the sea fortress Rocca a Mare (Koules) at the city port and magnificent, unique buildings such as Loggia (Noblemens Club) and the Fountain of Francesco Morosini. They are the crowning jewels in the water supply project that started at the springs of Mt Juktaz.\textsuperscript{66}

**Aztec civilization (ca. 1200-1500 AD)**

A few centuries before the arrival of the Spanish conquerors in 1518 AD the Aztecs attained supremacy in Mexico. During Aztec civilization, dams were built for storing water in the rainy seasons to use during the dry periods. The oldest such structure found so far is the Purron earth dam near San Jose Tilapia at the southern end of the Tehuacan valley, 260km southeast of Mexico-City.\textsuperscript{66} Aztec King Itzcocoal (1428-1440) had 9km long dikes built from Tenochtitlan to the south and north. These isolated the western part of Lake Texcoco and together with a bifurcating western dike provided road accesses to the city. Under King Montezuma, I (1440-1469), a 16 km long dam farther east was added. For its construction, Nezahualcoyotl (1418-1472) the king of Texcoco on the eastern shore of the lake, collaborated as the hydraulic expert.

### Modern times

**Ottoman period (ca. mid 14\textsuperscript{th}-1923 AD)**

During the Ottoman period (13\textsuperscript{th}-20\textsuperscript{th} century) some dams were built. In the period of 1620 to 1839, the Kirkçeşme system is supported by four dams, the Taksim system by three dams, with heights up to 17m and crest lengths up to 104m\textsuperscript{68,69,70} (Figure 29). All these dams, Kirkçeşme and Taksim systems as well as the Taşmüssellim-Edirne system are for the large part still in operation. The Elmali I dam, constructed in 1893 on the Asian side of Istanbul, is also still in operation; Şamlar in Istanbul, Maden near Adapazari, Semali near Amasya are other interesting dams of the Ottoman period.\textsuperscript{65,71} The method of constructing the dam was not very different from the methods used by the ancient Anatolia civilization. However, some improvements were made and they are used for water supply purposes. Ottoman dams were formed by two masonry walls with an impervious fill between them. The difference from the old techniques that pozzolanic cement was used to bind the stones with the lead used to assure the total impermeability. Also, spillways did not exist on dams. The crest and the downstream of dams were lined with marble.\textsuperscript{3}

**Present times (1800 AD to the present)**

**Aswan low dam**

The history of modern water management in Egypt begins with the construction of the Old Aswan Dam in 1902 and barrages on the Nile in the 19\textsuperscript{th} and early 20\textsuperscript{th} century. The Old Aswan Dam partially stored the waters of the Nile to allow the growing of multiple crops per year in the Nile Delta, while the barrages raised the water level of the Nile so that water could be diverted into large irrigation channels running...
in parallel to the river. The Aswan Low Dam is a gravity masonry buttress dam on the Nile River in Aswan, Egypt. The dam was built at the first waterfall of the Nile and it is located 690km south-southeast of Cairo. It was initially constructed between the period of 1899 and 1902. It was the largest masonry dam in the world. The dam was designed to provide storage of annual flood water and supplement dry season flows to support greater irrigation development and population growth in the upper part of Egypt. The dam, originally limited in height by conservation concerns, worked as designed, but provided inadequate storage capacity for planned development. The dam was raised twice, in the periods of 1907–1912 and 1929–1933 for the height increase of 5 and 10m, respectively. These heightening still did not meet irrigation demands and it was nearly over-topped in spite the effort to maximize pool elevation.\textsuperscript{71}

![Figure 29 Ancient Ottoman dams in Istanbul and the alignment of Kırkçeşme and Taksim long-distance water conveyance systems.\textsuperscript{10}]

**Aswan high dam**

In the last century, dams (barrages), branches and main canals and annual small storage-capacity reservoirs have been constructed to safeguard Egypt from devastating high floods, droughts and to secure water needs of growing population. Unfortunately, it was realized in the late 1930s, that all these works had not satisfied the country’s needs and the desire for comprehensive control of the Nile flow. Planning for the “Aswan High Dam” (HAD) began in 1954. The construction started in 1960 and the dam was effective in 1970. The HAD was constructed on the River Nile, 7 km south of Aswan. It is a rock dam with grout curtain and clay core. Its total length is 3600m and its height 111m above the river bed. The storage capacity of its lake is 162km\textsuperscript{3}. Its hydropower station capacity is 109 kWh per year.\textsuperscript{71} The High HAD has had significant impacts on the economy and culture in Egypt. The most important effect is that it converted a highly variable annual Nile flow, into a predictable source of a constant supply. Water in the lake behind the Dam is available for release any time during the year, so seasonal variability can be manipulated to deliver supplies of water that match the optimal demand pattern.

**Assiut barrage:** Since more than 100 years ago, Assiut, barrage was built to provide the water into the I Ibrahimiyah Canal, the largest irrigation canal in Egypt. This is by diversion of river water into the cancel during the low water season. The barrage is a gravity dam consisted of around 95,000m\textsuperscript{3} of concrete; 64,600m\textsuperscript{3} of masonry; 1,824,000m\textsuperscript{3} of earthwork; 95,000m\textsuperscript{3} of pitching and more than 4,000 ton of pipes made from cast iron.\textsuperscript{71}

**Delta barrage:** The barrage was used for controlling irrigation and navigation processes in the two branches of the River Nile downstream of Nile division north the Egyptian capital, Cairo. The Delta Barrage is shown in Figure 30. The barrage is a gravity-type dam. Its construction started at 1833 and continued for 30 years. Accordingly, for this long period of construction, perhaps a problem caused in the foundation affected its quality. Thus, its main irrigation purpose had to be largely restricted for safety reasons.\textsuperscript{71}

![Figure 30 Aswan dam Barrage in the Delta.\textsuperscript{75}]

**Modern dams in Iran:** Modern dam construction in Iran began six decades ago. The study and design of large storage dams began in 1948 while their construction started in the late 50’s. The Bakhtiari Dam as the highest double arch concrete dam in the world is currently under construction on the Bakhtiari River within the Zagros Mountains on the border of Lorestan and Khuzestan Provinces. The height of the dam is 325m. The Catchment area is 6,288km\textsuperscript{2} and the capacity of hydropower station is 1500 MW. Construction of the dam began on March 25, 2013. One of the most interesting dams from construction point of view is Kosar dam which is located in a narrow gorge. The dam height is 144m and the river width is ranges from 6 to 8 meters. The dam abutments at both sides are sub-vertical from foundation up to the elevation 58 m a.s.l. (The riverbed is at elevation 500 m a.s.l.). The gorge width at this elevation is about 40 to 50 m which makes the construction of the dam very difficult and costly within this height range. So, according to the natural shape of the site an exclusive and quite different method of construction has been adopted. A 2 m thick metal platform was constructed at elevation 527 m a.s.l. (Figure 31). The gorge below this elevation has a discharge capacity of 2700m\textsuperscript{3}/s. During wet season the flood currents along the river under the platform and at the same time concreting of the dam could be carried out above that. To carry out the construction work below this platform during dry season, a diversion system was constructed which consists of a 5m diameter tunnel with a length of 500 and a 6m high cofferdam. The tunnel has a maximum discharge capacity of 60m\textsuperscript{3}/s. The concrete volume below this platform amounts 30,000m\textsuperscript{3}.

![Figure 31 Kosar dam, (A) Platform, (B) dam on the platform, Height above foundation (m): 144.]

The dam concreting was carried out in 50 to 75cm thick layers from upstream to downstream. The dam from foundation up to elevation 580 m a.s.l. was designed as a concrete plug and from elevation 580 m a.s.l. up to the crest level was divide into 40 m length blocks and two 18m and 12m blocks in the right and left abutment. The grout curtain length at the two abutments amounts to 510 m which has an area of 63, 000m\textsuperscript{2} and it is constructed in three layers down to
elevation 430 m a.s.l. (70m below riverbed). Kosar dam is located on Kheyrabad river at a distance of 42km from Behbahan city in Khuzestan province in south of Iran. Probing in the past, knowledge, and experience gained in the construction of dams, high scientific standards, self-reliance and confidences as well as proper strategies have had an important role in all the achievements. At present there are 157 dams in operation and about 90 dams under construction. Two of the modern dams constructed and in operation, just as an example are shown in Figure 32.

**Emerging trends**

In the future, multipurpose dams (hydropower, navigation, flood control, water supply, and irrigation) will be mainly constructed. Future construction of large dams is expected to lead to significant increase in global hydropower generation. While careful cost benefit analysis in dam construction worldwide is crucial for sustainable development, methods leading to a reduction in construction and operation costs are currently adopted. More specifically, gravity and arch dams are constructed with lower cement content, underground water conduits, and spillways that support higher specific discharges. Also, modern design can lead to efficient and continuous irrigation by means of surface and subsurface drainage. Variable-level intakes are used to ensure water intakes from the upper reservoir levels, where water quality and temperature can be preferable for water uses and ecological factors (e.g., the Bakun Dam in Malaysia, and the Katse dam in Lesotho). Good examples include the new Three Gorges dam in China, the Eibenstock Dam in Germany, the Grand’Maison Dam in France, the Tarbela, Kalabagh, Diamer Bhasha, Naulong, Kurram Tani, Nai Gaj, Mirpur, and Darawat dams in Pakistan, and the Kariba or Cahorn Bassa dams in Africa. Most of these dams are constructed using the method of Gabarband, Trai, and Khushkhaba, fountain system, where the government or locals constructed a wall in the spell way of river and log the water for agriculture or power resources purposes using advanced technology. Also, the people of Indus valley civilization have the ability to construct a Garbarband on Indus River near Harappa and Mohenjo-daro for the purpose to raise the water slope, and to divert waters into nearby lands for agriculture and irrigation purposes. However, both of these historical sites have been destroyed due to sudden breaking of these ghabrands.

**Terbela dam, pakistan**

Terbela Dam on the Indus River in Pakistan is the second largest dam in the world by structural volume and the largest earth filled dam in the world. It is located in Haripur District, Hazara Division, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, about 50km northwest of Islamabad. The dam is 148 m high above the riverbed. The dam forms the Tarbela Reservoir, with a surface area of approximately 250 km². The dam was completed in 1974 and designed to store water from the Indus River for irrigation, flood control, and the generation of hydropower. The project is located at a narrow spot in the Indus River valley, at Tarbela in Swabi, shortly located at the point from where the District Swabi starts. Here the river formerly split around a large island close to the left bank. The main dam wall, built of earth and rock fill, stretches 2,743m from the island to river right, standing 148 m high.

**Diamar basha dam, pakistan**

The dam is located on Indus River, about 315km upstream of Tarbela Dam, 165km downstream of the Northern Area capital Gilgit and 40km downstream of Chilas. The method used for the construction of Diamar Basha dam is Gabarband and Khushkhaba system. The dam is the world’s highest Roller Compacted Concrete Dam. The proposed dam would have a maximum height of 270m and impound a reservoir of about 9.25×10⁹ m³, with live storage of more than 7.89×10⁹ m³. Mean annual discharge of Indus River at the site is 6.2×10⁹ m³. Thus, the dam will impound 15% of the annual river flow. The dam project would cover an area of 110 km² and extend 100 km upstream of the dam site up to Raikot Bridge on Karakoram Highway.
The main outcome of the dam is; availability of annual surface water storage for supplementing irrigation supplies during low flow periods, energy resources, reduction of dependence on thermal power, thus saving foreign exchange, employment opportunity, particularly to the locals, during the construction and operation [83,84]. The dam is located on Indus River, about 315 km upstream of Tarbela Dam, 165 km downstream of the Northern Area capital Gilgit and 40 km downstream of Chilas. The method used for the construction of Diamar Basha dam is Gabarband and Khushkaba system. The dam is the world’s highest Roller Compacted Concrete Dam. The proposed dam would have a maximum height of 270m and impound a reservoir of about $9.25 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$, with live storage of more than $7.89 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$. Mean annual discharge of Indus River at the site is $6.2 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$. Thus, the dam will impound 15% of the annual river flow. The dam project would cover an area of 110km$^2$ and extend 100km upstream of the dam site up to Raikot Bridge on Karakoram Highway. The main outcome of the dam is; availability of annual surface water storage for supplementing irrigation supplies during low flow periods, energy resources, reduction of dependence on thermal power, thus saving foreign exchange, employment opportunity, particularly to the locals, during the construction and operation.

**Ghazi Barotha hydropower project, Pakistan**

The Ghazi Barotha Project intends to utilize the fall of the Indus River between the tail-race of Tarbela dam and the confluence of the Indus and Haro rivers to produce electricity. The Project consisted of three basic components: 1. a diversion barrage on the Indus river 7km downstream of Tarbela dam, 2. a power channel about 52km long, and 3. a 1,425 MW power-generating complex on the left bank of the Indus river downstream of the Attock gorge. The plan of the project was constructed using the pattern of Dockyards of Lothal during Indus Valley Civilization with little update per recent advance technologies. The barrage is located just upstream of the left bank village of Ghazi in Haripur district and it is impounding a pond. Water from the barrage pond has passed through a regulating headworks structure into an open power channel with a design capacity of 1,600m$^3$/s. The power channel has terminated in a bay near the village of Barotha, from which water has flowed through penstocks to a powerhouse and hence open power channel with a design capacity of 1,600m$^3$/s. The dam is located on Indus River, about 315 km upstream of Tarbela Dam, 165 km downstream of the Northern Area capital Gilgit and 40 km downstream of Chilas. The method used for the construction of Diamar Basha dam is Gabarband and Khushkaba system. The dam is the world’s highest Roller Compacted Concrete Dam. The proposed dam would have a maximum height of 270m and impound a reservoir of about $9.25 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$, with live storage of more than $7.89 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$. Mean annual discharge of Indus River at the site is $6.2 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$. Thus, the dam will impound 15% of the annual river flow. The dam project would cover an area of 110km$^2$ and extend 100km upstream of the dam site up to Raikot Bridge on Karakoram Highway. The main outcome of the dam is; availability of annual surface water storage for supplementing irrigation supplies during low flow periods, energy resources, reduction of dependence on thermal power, thus saving foreign exchange, employment opportunity, particularly to the locals, during the construction and operation.

**Discussion and conclusion**

Throughout the ages, societies of the world greatly benefited from building infrastructure for water resources systems management. By means of dam construction and operation, different civilizations managed to efficiently cover various water demands (e.g. water supply and irrigation) through long drought periods. In addition, dams have been constructed for navigation, flood protection, and energy production. This paper reviews important advances in dam construction throughout the history of mankind, spanning from pre-historical to modern times. Geographically, it is extended to the hydraulic engineering of dam-technologies in different regions of the world comprises of Europe, Middle East, Africa, Mesoamerica, and Southwest and Eastern Asia. The role, functionality, operation, and technical characteristics of major water dams in different civilizations globally are discussed, in a chronological order. Technologies of ancient dam construction are reviewed, and detailed information on dam durability, sustainability, and adaptability to environmental factors are presented. In general, the use of traditional knowledge does not directly apply techniques of the past but instead, try “to understand the logic and the principles of the past hydro-practices”. Traditional knowledge allowed ancient societies to keep ecosystems in balance, to leave in harmony with environment, carry out outstanding technical, artistic, and architectural work that has been universally admired. Traditional knowledge incorporates innovation in a dynamic fashion, achieving local and environmental sustainability and renewing and adapting itself. A multitude of socioeconomic factors set progress in water resources management, disproportional in different areas worldwide, in ancient and modern times. Effective measures for satisfying water demands in the developing world may be still in their early stages, notably, compared to historical achievements in dam construction and operation over the centuries. Characteristic is the example of water supply and sanitation systems in Pakistan and India, which can be characterized as non-developed, compared to advances of ancient civilizations (e.g., Egyptian, Indus valley and the Minoan civilizations) thousands of years ago. This study highlights the importance of major achievements in water resource systems infrastructure in the history of mankind, and bridges the gap between ancient technologies and historic advances in the field of hydraulic dams, with modern aspects of constructions and water resource systems operation. Knowledge of ancient technologies and historic achievements in water resources management can have some importance for developing strategies to tackle future water challenges in a changing world. In ancient Egyptian civilization since ca. 6000 BC, people had constructed dams and canals to control Nile River flood and developed irrigation systems. The same tools still have been used in modern times. The Sabaens, ancient Yemen people managed to keep their dam stood for over 1,000 years before the existence of concrete and the recent advanced construction technologies. In the 20th millennium BC, Minoans attempted to manage the irrigation water by regulating the flow of the streams through a system of two dams, in order to protect arable land from erosion after heavy rainfall and also to irrigate their fields. Two such characteristics paradigms were discovered in the valley of Choiromandres located on the southeastern Crete and on the small island of Pseira (Mochlos) located in the northeastern Crete. This review described historical hydraulic engineering and rainwater harvesting system of Harappa, Mohenjo-daro, Lothal, and Dholavira of the Indus Valley civilization (ca. 2600-1900 BC). Four major elements stand out and they are the well-developed swimming pools, rainwater storage system and hydraulic engineering, underground water tanks, and dockyard. The most important contribution of Indus valley civilization is the complete knowledge of advanced hydraulic engineering technologies.
rainwater/floodwater harvesting system, water collection and recycling often evolved through trial-and-error modifications after the systems were initially constructed. They were in a full command on the scientific discoveries, or technical advances in planning, design, construction, and engineering. In some cases, the recent system of Tarai in Sind, Khoshkhaba, Sailaba, and Qanats in Balochistan (Pakistan) were constructed using the engineering technologies of Indus valley civilization. It should be concluded that the current technological approach of hydraulic engineering, rainwater harvesting, cultural and socio-economic development, drainage and sanitation system of Pakistan and India are underdeveloped as compared to the ancient people of Indus valley civilization. Dams at prehistoric times were of small-scale hydraulic works (of less than 1000m² of capacity), mainly constructed by unconsolidated materials (i.e. stones and soil as a bonding material), and they were operated for regulation of water flow and rainwater harvesting. Recent civilizations (e.g. Hellenic, Chinese, Mesoamericans, Romans, Byzantines, Venetians, and Ottomans), inherited the dam-technologies and developed them further. They substantially increased the application scale and implemented dam projects not only for water supply and irrigation but also for navigation, flood production, and recreational purposes. In addition, during the historical times dams were implemented for diversion of the water flow (Figure 29). Also, clay soils were started to be used as supplementary material since the early Classical period. However, later on during the Roman period the invention of concrete technology (the so-called caementitium opus) made possible to also build even larger other hydraulic works including dams. The differences between ancient and modern time dam-technologies are mostly found in the apparatus and the scale of applications with practically no differences in the fundamental principles used. For examples, Sadd el-Kafara in Egypt, the oldest known dam in the world, adopted the same building principle of modern embankment dams as it consisted from two masonry shoulders supporting a central core of sand and gravel.

Another important point of the ancient hydro-technologies in comparison to the modern is the design and construction principles. Naturally, it is difficult to estimate the design and construction principles of ancient “Engineers” but it is notable that several ancient works have operated for very long periods, some until recent times. Examples are: the Dujianyang hydraulic works in Sichun county, Lingqu canal in Xing’an county, near Guilin, in the northwestern corner of Guangxi, and the Shan Yuan Dam a key hydro work for Ningbo City, China, and Kalabalala dam in Sri Lanka. On the other hand, engineers in our times typically design constructions for functioning about 40 to 50 years, as dictated by economic considerations. Thus, the sustainability and durability of Minoan water technologies should be further studied. Future dam construction, especially in the developed world, is expected to focus on dams that are not only built for a single water use (e.g., irrigation or water supply). Multipurpose dams are being constructed, combining multiple water uses, such as efficient and continuous irrigation, water supply and power generation using advanced technologies, and flood control, by adopting methods leading to reduced operation and construction costs. This generally accepted tendency is expected to drive a substantial increase in global hydroelectricity.

Finally, even presently there are under-developed countries lacking a modern system of hydraulic engineering, rainwater harvesting, drainage, and sanitation system. Such countries can learn from the history and improve their hydraulic engineering and flood water harvesting system.
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