Knockout of the OsNAC006 Transcription Factor Causes Drought and Heat Sensitivity in Rice
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Abstract: Rice (Oryza sativa) responds to various abiotic stresses during growth. Plant-specific NAM, ATAF1/2, and CUC2 (NAC) transcription factors (TFs) play an important role in controlling numerous vital growth and developmental processes. To date, 170 NAC TFs have been reported in rice, but their roles remain largely unknown. Herein, we discovered that the TF OsNAC006 is constitutively expressed in rice, and regulated by H2O2, cold, heat, abscisic acid (ABA), indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), gibberellin (GA), NaCl, and polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 treatments. Furthermore, knockout of OsNAC006 using the CRISPR-Cas9 system resulted in drought and heat sensitivity. RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) transcriptome analysis revealed that OsNAC006 regulates the expression of genes mainly involved in response to stimuli, oxidoreductase activity, cofactor binding, and membrane-related pathways. Our findings elucidate the important role of OsNAC006 in drought responses, and provide valuable information for genetic manipulation to enhance stress tolerance in future plant breeding programs.
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1. Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa) is one of the most important food crops for humans, and different abiotic stresses can affect plant growth and crop performance [1,2]. Salinity stress has a strong negative influence on plant growth [3,4]. Drought represents an extreme environment, and causes irreversible damage to rice growth and lowers crop yield and quality [5–7]. High temperature can impact rice flowering and can also reduce crop yield [8]. Plants have evolved various mechanisms to reduce the harmful effects of abiotic stresses [9], including regulating transcription factors (TFs) [10–12].

In rice, 2408 TFs have been identified and classified into 56 families, data provided by Plant Transcription Factor Database v3.0, Center for Bioinformatics, Peking University. Many TFs belonging to AP2/ERF (APETAL2/ethylene-responsive factor), bZIP (basic region/leucine zipper motif), NAC, MYB (v-myb avian myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog) and WRKY families are believed to function in abiotic stress responses [11,13–16]. Among them, NAM, ATAF1/2, and CUC2 (NAC) TFs are a unique class in plants [17]. Many NAC TFs are involved in plant growth and development, and in responses to biotic and abiotic stresses [18,19]. Overexpressing AtNAC07, AtNAC019, and AtNAC055 can enhance...
tolerance to drought in Arabidopsis thaliana [20]. Arabidopsis ANAC092 (also known as AtNAC2 or ORE1) is associated with the regulation of ethylene and hormone signaling, and overexpression can alter lateral root number, growth, and development [21]. Overexpression of the millet TF OsNAC67 can increase rice tolerance to high salt and drought [22], while overexpression of ZmSNAC1 can enhance the tolerance of maize to drought [23].

CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology is gradually applied to many genes related to rice breeding, which is of great significance for agricultural breeding [24]. The traditional transgenic technology is based on T-DNA insertion technology, and the vector transferred into the plant will not disappear [25]. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing has attracted people's attention not only because of its simplicity, accuracy, and efficiency, but also because of its ability to produce non-transgenic plants [26]. The mutant plants that had produced the required mutations can lose the CRISPR/Cas9 vectors through several generations of character isolation. With the emergence of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology, it is convenient for us to understand the gene function of plants. The new generation of breeding technology based on CRISPR/Cas9 editing system is gradually maturing.

In this work, we cloned the rice NAC TF-encoding gene OsNAC006 (LOC_Os01g09550) and present evidence that mutations of this gene confer drought and heat sensitivity.

2. Results

2.1. Expression Profiling and Subcellular Localization of OsNAC006

We analyzed the expression profiles of eight representative tissues (root, stem, and leaf from seedlings, and root, stem, sheath, leaf, and panicle from the heading stage). RNA was extracted from different tissues and RT-qPCR was performed to determine the expression pattern of OsNAC006. The results indicated that OsNAC006 was expressed in both seedling and heading stages in all tissues, with highest levels in stems and leaves (Figure 1A).

pOsNAC006::eGFP and eGFP (negative control) plasmids were infiltrated into rice protoplasts to examine the subcellular localization of OsNAC006. Confocal micrographs showed that the OsNAC006::eGFP fusion protein was localized to the nucleus, alongside the nuclear marker NLS::eGFP. Thus, the OsNAC006 protein is localized to nuclei in cells (Figure 1B).

We also assessed whether and how OsNAC006 contributes to the responses to abiotic stress and hormone treatment. OsNAC006 transcript levels were increased significantly following H$_2$O$_2$, NaCl, and PEG-6000 treatments, but both high and low temperature stress caused OsNAC006 expression levels to rise then fall. Following hormone treatment, OsNAC006 expression levels peaked at 3 and 6 h after IAA and GA$_3$ treatment, respectively, while ABA treatment caused a lasting elevation in expression level (Figure 1C). The expression of OsNAC006 varied in response to different abiotic stresses.
were analyzed by enzyme digestion, and six biallelic mutations and one heterozygous mutation were identified (Figure 2B). Sanger sequencing analysis showed that the mutations included insertion of a single base pair (+1 bp/+1 bp), a single base pair deletion (−1 bp/−1 bp), a single base pair deletion (−1 bp/−1 bp), a single base pair deletion (−1 bp/−1 bp), and a single base pair deletion (−1 bp/−1 bp). The sgRNA was cloned into the CRISPR-Cas9 T-DNA vector and transformed into plants using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. An sgRNA was designed for targeting OsNAC006 (http://planttfdb_v3.cbi.pku.edu.cn). The sgRNA was cloned into the CRISPR-Cas9 T-DNA vector and transformed into plants to generate OsNAC006-‐sgRNA01 at the first exon of the OsNAC006 gene (Figure 2A). Ten T0 lines were analyzed by enzyme digestion, and six biallelic mutations and one heterozygous mutation were identified (Figure 2B). Sanger sequencing analysis showed that the mutations included insertion of

Figure 1. Expression profile analysis of OsNAC006. (A) Detection of OsNAC006 expression in various tissues and organs of rice using RT-qPCR. Four-week-old seedlings were used to harvest root, stem, sheath and leaf samples at the seedling stage. Plants in stages before the heading stage were used to harvest root, stem, sheath, leaf and panicle samples at the reproductive growth stage. Error bars indicate the standard error (SE) based on three independent biological replicates. (B), Nuclear localization of OsNAC006 protein in the rice protoplast. NLS, Nuclear localization signal. Scale bar = 20 μm. (C) Expression levels of OsNAC006 under various abiotic stresses and hormone treatments. Four-week-old seedlings were subjected to treatment with cold (4 °C), heat (42 °C), PEG 6000 (20%, w/v), NaCl (200 mm), H2O2 (1%), IAA (10 μm), ABA (100 μm) and GA3 (100 μm). The relative expression level of OsNAC006 was measured by RT-qPCR at the indicated times. Error bars indicate SE based on three independent biological replicates.

2.2. Creation of OsNAC006 Mutant Plants

The functions of NAC TFs in rice are poorly understood. We, therefore, explored the biological function of OsNAC006 in rice. To explore the possible role of OsNAC006 in stress responses, we generated OsNAC006 loss-of-function lines using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. An sgRNA was designed for targeting the OsNAC006 gene based on gene sequence information from plantTFDB (http://planttfdb_v3.cbi.pku.edu.cn). The sgRNA was cloned into the CRISPR-Cas9 T-DNA vector and transformed into plants to generate OsNAC006-‐sgRNA01 at the first exon of the OsNAC006 gene (Figure 2A). Ten T0 lines were analyzed by enzyme digestion, and six biallelic mutations and one heterozygous mutation were identified (Figure 2B). Sanger sequencing analysis showed that the mutations included insertion of
a single base pair (+1 bp/+1 bp), a single base pair deletion (−1 bp/−1 bp), and a large fragment deletion (−55 bp/−55 bp; Figure 2C). We examined the sgRNA and chose four high-probability off-target sites for the sgRNA assay for further investigations. However, we did not identify any mutations across potential off-target sites by Sanger sequencing of PCR products (Supplemental Table S1). We also screened plants that did not carry vectors in the T2 generation by further propagation and experimentation to exclude the influence of carriers. Seedlings of both OsNAC006 mutants and WT exhibited similar growth and development dynamics under standard growing conditions. (Figure 2D).

**Figure 2.** Using the CRISPR-Cas9 system to create mutants. (A) Design of sgRNA sites for OsNAC006 exons. (B) Single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis of 11 independent OsNAC006-sgRNA01 T0 lines. M, Markers; WT, Wild-type. (C) Sanger sequencing of the target site in OsNAC006-sgRNA01 T0 lines. (D) Phenotypic analysis of OsNAC006 T0 mutant lines.

### 2.3. OsNAC006 Mutants are Sensitive to Drought and Heat Stress

Following abiotic stress treatments, OsNAC006 mutant expression profiles showed that growth was inhibited following drought and high temperature stress (Figure 3A,D). Further analysis revealed no differences in NBT or DAB staining between WT and osnac006_1 plants under standard conditions. However, after drought or heat stress, NBT and DAB staining showed that O₂⁻ and H₂O₂ levels were elevated in osnac006 mutant plants (Figure 3B,E). The chlorophyll content was also significantly lower in mutant plants after stress treatment. Additionally, the activities of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, POD, and CAT) were decreased, and malondialdehyde (MDA), an indicator of membrane lipid peroxidation, was more abundant in osnac006 mutant plants (Figure 3C,F). These results imply that osnac006 may function in drought and heat tolerance by weakening the antioxidant response that is triggered to counteract oxidative stress, and by mediating photosynthesis under drought and heat stress conditions.
2.4. OsNAC006 Knockout Alters the Transcriptome Profile of Rice

To identify genes potentially regulated by OsNAC006 during drought, we performed RNA-seq experiments on osnac006_1, osnac006_2, and WT plants to detect transcription profiling changes under normal and drought stress conditions. The RNA-seq results showed that gene expression was altered significantly under both stress conditions (Figure 4A,C). We selected eight genes that were significantly up- or downregulated in osnac006 mutant plants before and after drought treatment for qRT-PCR validation of the RNA-seq results. Expression levels of all eight genes were consistent with the RNA-seq data, confirming the accuracy of the results (Supplemental Figure S1).
the BGISEQ-500 platform, with an average yield of 6.58 Gb per sample. The average alignment rate upregulated and 1091 were downregulated in 527 genes were upregulated and 1209 genes were downregulated in the osnac006 mutants, while 1412 genes were upregulated and 1209 genes were downregulated in the osnac006 WT lines. Six samples were tested using the BGISEQ-500 platform, with an average yield of 6.58 Gb per sample. The average alignment rate

**Figure 4.** Global gene expression changes in knockout OsNAC006 rice. (A) The most significant clustering analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in WT and osnac006 T1 mutant lines. Targeted knockout of osnac006 resulted in profound changes to gene expression, physiology, and development compared with WT and controls without drought stress treatment. The colour scale corresponds to log2 (FPKM) values of the genes. (B) Number of DEGs in WT, osnac006_1 and osnac006_2 T1 mutant lines, based on expression profiles obtained by RNA-Seq. Total RNA was extracted from mixed samples from three separate plants. (C) DEGs shared by WT and osnac006_1 and WT and osnac006_2 lines before drought stress. (D) DEGs shared by WT and osnac006_1 and WT and osnac006_2 lines after drought stress. (E) Gene ontology (GO) classification of DEGs shared by WT and osnac006_1 and WT and osnac006_2 mutant lines under normal and drought stress conditions. The x-axis shows user-selected GO terms, and the y-axis shows the percentage of genes (number of a particular gene divided by the number of total genes).

Under standard conditions, there are 4832 genes upregulated and 1512 genes downregulated in the osnac006_1 mutant compared with WT plants. By comparison, 1814 genes were upregulated and 2833 genes were downregulated in the osnac006_2 mutant (Figure 4B). After drought stress, 527 genes were upregulated and 1209 genes were downregulated in osnac006_1, while 1412 genes were upregulated and 1091 were downregulated in osnac006_2 (Figure 4D). Six samples were tested using the BGISEQ-500 platform, with an average yield of 6.58 Gb per sample. The average alignment rate
for the sample comparison genome was 88.67%, compared with 76.27% for the comparison gene set, and 570 new genes were predicted. A total of 32,482 genes were identified, including 31,922 known genes and 560 newly predicted genes. A total of 15,871 new transcripts were detected, of which 12,778 were new alternative splicing isoforms of known protein-coding genes, and 570 were transcripts of newly predicted protein-coding genes.

Venn diagram analysis revealed 1661 genes expressed in both WT and osnac006_1 or osnac006_2 mutants, which may explain the effects of knocking out OsNAC006 on plants before treatments (Supplementary Figure S2). After drought stress, the two mutants were compared with WT plants, and 793 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified (Supplemental Figure S2).

These 1661 and 793 DEGs identified by comparison of osnac006_1 and osnac006_2 with WT plants were subjected to Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis to identify the associated biological processes (Figure 4E). DEGs related to the cellular component category were mainly associated with envelope, organelle, and intracellular organelle function. DEGs related to the molecular function category were mainly related to oxidoreductase activity, cofactor binding, and regulation terms. DEGs related to the biological process category were mainly related to oxidation-reduction process, multicellular organismal process, and response to stimulus terms. Among them, response to stimulus, organelle part, and oxidoreductase activity were the most significantly differentially expressed (Figure 4E).

2.5. OsNAC006 Mediates Transcriptional Responses to Drought Stress

We identified 12 enriched regions through GO analysis of DEGs altered in both osnac006_1 and osnac006_2 mutants. KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway enrichment analysis was carried out to further explore the biological functions of DEGs, especially those related to membrane part, oxidoreductase activity, response to stimulus, and cofactor binding terms. The results showed that plant hormone signal transduction, MAPK signaling, diterpenoid biosynthesis, carotenoid biosynthesis, photosynthetic enzymes, photosynthesis, photosynthetic antenna proteins, ABC transporters, and starch and sucrose metabolism were among the most affected pathways (Supplemental Figures S3–S6).

We selected the most important genes of four pathways based on the KEGG results for heatmap analysis. Heatmap analysis of membrane, oxidoreductase activity, response to stimulus, and cofactor binding terms showed that DEGs belonged to various signaling pathways. Plant hormone and MAPK signaling pathways were the most significantly influenced terms related to the response to stimuli. Brassinosteroid insensitive 1 (OsBRI1; Os01g0718300) and 2 (OsBIN2; Os05g0207500), ethylene receptor OsETR3 (Os02g0820900), auxin response factors OsARF12 (Os04g0671900) and OsARF19 (Os06g0702600), and ABA responsive element binding factor OsAREB8 (Os06g0211200) are the key genes related to plant hormones (Figure 5A). Diterpenoid biosynthesis-related genes were also significantly altered. Many genes associated with diterpenoid biosynthesis including OsGA20ox1 (Os03g0856700) can influence gibberellin-44 dioxygenase synthesis. OsHDY1 (Os03g0685000) is an enzyme related to photosynthesis that participates in the electron transport chain and thereby influences the oxidation-reduction process (Figure 5B). OsHPL3 (Os02g0110200), a hydroperoxide lyase, and OsAOS1 (Os03g0767000), part of a hydroperoxide dehydratase, bind heme iron, possess monooxygenase activity, and both were significantly differentially expressed (Figure 5C). Membranes are dynamic structures that are essential for cell viability and morphogenesis. They also provide a natural interface between the environment and the cell. Diterpenoid metabolism and oxidoreductase activity related to membranes were also affected by stress treatments (Figure 5D).
Figure 5. Transcriptome analysis of genes systemically regulated in WT and osnac006 T1 mutant lines in response to drought stress. (A) Response to stimuli. (B) Oxidoreductase activity. (C) Membrane part. (D) Cofactor binding. Log2 fold change (FC) values for DEGs in WT and osnac006_1 and osnac006_2 mutant lines are shown before (drought−) and after (drought+) drought treatment.

3. Discussion

Drought stress is an important limiting factor in crop production. Approximately 20% of the world’s agricultural land is affected by drought [27]. Previous studies showed that NAC TFs are unique to plants, and not only regulate plant growth and development, but also play an important role in plant stress resistance [28,29]. Various NAC TFs in rice participate in tolerance to extreme environmental
conditions. Herein, we found that the Arabidopsis TF NAC016 promotes drought stress responses by inhibiting AREB1 transcription. The nac016 mutants displayed higher drought tolerance, while NAC016 overexpressing plants (NAC016-OX) exhibited lower drought tolerance [30]. The OsNAC2 overexpression line was sensitive to high salt and drought conditions. RNA interference (RNAi) can be used to increase the tolerance of plants to high salinity and drought stress [10].

In this study, we discovered that OsNAC006 is expressed in the nucleus, and is induced by various stresses, such as abiotic and hormone stress. We used the CRISPR/Cas9 system to generate OsNAC006 knockout mutants to characterize the role of OsNAC006 in drought stress. OsNAC006 mutants displayed enhanced sensitivity to drought and heat stress, which lowered chlorophyll levels, decreased POD and SOD enzyme activities, and elevated levels of MDA and other harmful oxidative damage products. Plants have evolved a complex antioxidant system involving non-enzymatic and enzymatic antioxidants [31,32]. Maintaining high levels of antioxidant enzymes such as POD, SOD, CAT, peroxidase (POX), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) to scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS) is associated with tolerance to stress.

Furthermore, we used RNA-seq to analyze widespread transcriptome changes under drought stress. For RNA-seq analysis of OsNAC006 mutant plants, we focused on response to stimulus, oxidoreductase activity, cofactor binding, and membrane terms (Figure 5C). The most significant terms related to the response to stimulus subcategory were plant hormone and MAPK signal pathway genes. Hormone regulation, homeostasis, and signaling are very important in plant regulation. Some plant hormones exert strong effects on plant growth and development, such as auxins, GA, ABA, and jasmonic acid (JA), while IAA can induce growth in shoots and roots. [33]. Because plants are sessile, hormone-mediated regulation is needed to adapt to changes in the external environment [34]. Our KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed that many hormone biosynthetic pathways were altered. Heatmap analysis also revealed that the MAPK signaling pathway was also affected. MAPK signaling pathways are involved in the response to drought [35]. MKK3 and MPK6 were activated by JA in Arabidopsis [36], and pathogen resistance (PR) is also activated by MKK5 in response to drought stress [37]. Regarding diterpenoid biosynthesis, carbon metabolism, photosynthesis, and oxidoreductase activity were obviously affected by OsNAC006. Carbon metabolism is related to respiration and photosynthesis to provide energy [38].

Many binding pathways were also affected by OsNAC006. Heme binding, iron binding, and monoxygenase activity related to photosynthesis and respiration were altered. Previous studies showed that cells must adjust central carbon metabolism (CCM) flux via a multi-level regulatory mechanism that regulates gene expression and changes in growth conditions to rebalance the redox ratio [39].

Photosynthesis is the main driving force for plant growth, and provides the necessary energy for synthesizing organic compounds [40]. Many studies on increasing biomass production have focused on identifying genes responsible for quantitative trait loci (QTLs) to improve photosynthesis [41,42]. Membranes are essential for cell viability, morphogenesis, and maintaining normal life activities [43]. The assembly of organelles involves thousands of genes that encode a complex network of metabolic, signaling, and biosynthetic functions [44]. Heatmap results expand our understanding of the mechanisms of drought stress.

In conclusion, our transcriptomic data provide evidence that OsNAC006 is essential for drought resistance in rice. OsNAC006 is localized in the nucleus, and it is induced by various factors. OsNAC006 regulates the expression of genes related to responses to stimuli, oxidoreductase activity, cofactor binding, and membrane pathways. The findings could prove valuable for genetic manipulation of drought tolerance in future plant breeding programs.
4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material and Growth Condition

The Japonica cultivar Nipponbare was employed in all transgenic experiments. RT-qPCR analysis of OsNAC006 transcript levels was performed following eight different treatments. For RT-qPCR analysis of OsNAC006 expression levels, we choose 4-week-old wild-type (WT) plants sown in pots and grown in a light incubator at 28 °C under a 16 h 3000 lux/8 h dark cycle. For soil drought stress treatment, evenly germinated WT and transgenic seeds were transplanted into soil and grown under normal watering conditions for 4 weeks. Drought stress was then initiated by not irrigating for 7 days. For heat stress treatment, we grown plants at 42 °C under a 16 h 3000 lux/8 h dark cycle in a light incubator.

4.2. OsNAC006 Expression Profile Analysis

To measure OsNAC006 expression levels following various abiotic stress and phytohormone treatments, 4-week-old WT seedlings grown in a light incubator at 28 °C in Hoagland solution under 16 h 3000 lux/8 h dark conditions were treated with cold (4 °C), heat (42 °C), PEG 6000 (20%, w/v), NaCl (200 mm), H2O2 (1%), IAA (100 µm), ABA (100 µm) and GA3 (100 µm) [45]. Leaf tissue was harvested after stress treatment and subjected to RT-qPCR analysis. Three biological replicates (three independent WT plants for each abiotic stress treated sample) were examined to ensure reproducibility.

4.3. Subcellular Localization

In order to confirm the location of OsNAC006, the pZmUbi::OsNAC006-eGFP::HspT vector was constructed and incorporated into rice protoplasts [46,47]. The plasmid encodes OsNAC006 fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP), and the empty GFP vector NLS::eGFP served as a control.

4.4. Targeted Mutagenesis of OsNAC006

We used pZHY988, the CRISPR-Cas9 backbone vector, to generate targeted OsNAC006 mutants [48–50]. A single guide (sgRNA) oligonucleotide pair was designed and synthesized (Supplemental Table S1). The expression vector was transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105, and the resultant bacteria were used to infect rice calli [51,52]. Primers were designed and synthesized for PCR analysis (Supplementary Table S1). Amplified products were cloned into each target site, amplified by PCR, excised by restriction digestion with the corresponding enzymes, and positive clones were selected for Sanger sequencing [53,54]. All resistant callus material used to detect mutations was also used for off-target analysis. The online tool CRISPR-P (http://crispr.hzau.edu.cn/CRISPR2) was employed to predict potential off-target sites of the sgRNA, and four potential off-target sites were identified (Supplementary Table S2). We designed specific primers for further off-target analysis (Supplementary Table S1). Amplified products were cloned, and 10 positive clones were selected for Sanger sequencing.

4.5. Physiological Measurements

For phenotypic analysis of seedlings, WT and OsNAC006 mutant seeds were grown to the 4-week-old seedling stage in pots, then subjected to drought or heat stress. After 7 days of treatment, physiological measurements were carried out as described in our previous study [12].

4.6. RNA-seq and Data Analysis

To investigate the function of OsNAC006 in drought stress, WT, osnac006_1 (−55/−55) and osnac006_2 (T/T) plants were used for RNA-seq analysis. Five-week-old plants grown under normal conditions served as controls, and treated plants were grown for 4 weeks under normal conditions and 1 week under drought conditions. We used a mixed sample method for RNA-seq. Total RNA
was extracted from mixed samples from three separate plants. For each sample, such as osnac006_1 (~55/-55) under normal condition, we selected three separate plants and pooled these into one sample, and this was complete one time. There were a total of three plants were sequenced for each of the four treatments, a total of 12 plants. RNA-seq was carried out by Beijing Genomics Institute (Shenzhen, China). Eight significantly up- and downregulated genes were selected for qRT-PCR to confirm the accuracy of the RNA-seq data. Mutant lines were assessed before and after drought treatment, and relative gene expression levels were normalized against the Actin gene. All assays for each gene were performed in triplicate synchronously under identical conditions. And the RNA sequences have been deposited into NCBI SRA database under accession number: PRJNA603607.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be found at http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/21/7/2288/s1. Supplemental Table S1. Oligonucleotides used in this study; Supplemental Table S2. Off-target analysis of OsNAC006-sgRNA01; Supplemental Figure S1 Validation of the RNA-seq results by qRT-PCR. Supplemental Figure S2 Venn diagram analysis of (DEGs) differentially expressed genes. Supplemental Figure S3 KEGG analysis of DEGs related to the response to stimuli. Supplemental Figure S4 Venn diagram analysis of DEGs differentially expressed genes. Supplemental Figure S5. KEGG analysis of DEGs related to cofactor binding. Supplemental Figure S6. KEGG analysis of DEGs related to membrane functions.
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