Transcriptome Analysis of Pre-Storage 1-MCP and High CO$_2$-Treated ‘Madoka’ Peach Fruit Explains the Reduction in Chilling Injury and Improvement of Storage Period by Delaying Ripening
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Abstract: Cold storage of peach fruit at low temperatures may induce chilling injury (CI). Pre-storage 1-MCP and high CO$_2$ treatments were reported among the methods to ameliorate CI and reduce softening of peach fruit. However, molecular data indicating the changes associated with pre-storage 1-MCP and high CO$_2$ treatments during cold storage of peach fruit are insufficient. In this study, a comparative analysis of the difference in gene expression and physico-chemical properties of fruits at commercial harvest vs. stored fruit for 12 days at 0 °C (cold-stored (CS), pre-storage 1-MCP+CS, and pre-storage high CO$_2$+CS) were used to evaluate the variation among treatments. Several genes were differentially expressed in 1-MCP+CS- and CO$_2$+CS-treated fruits as compared to CS. Moreover, the physico-chemical and sensory data indicated that 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS suppressed CI and delayed ripening than the CS, which could lead to a longer storage period. We also identified the list of genes that were expressed commonly and exclusively in the fruit treated by 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS and compared them to the fruit quality parameters. An attempt was also made to identify and categorize genes related to softening, physiological changes, and other ripening-related changes. Furthermore, the transcript levels of 12 selected representative genes from the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the transcriptome analysis were confirmed via quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). These results add information on the molecular mechanisms of the pre-storage treatments during cold storage of peach fruit. Understanding the genetic response of susceptible cultivars such as ‘Madoka’ to CI-reducing pre-storage treatments would help breeders release CI-resistant cultivars and could help postharvest technologists to develop more CI-reducing technologies.
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1. Introduction

The family Rosaceae covers a wide range of fruit types (drupes, pommes, achenes, hips, follicles, and capsules) with various growing habits ranging from herbaceous to cane, bush and tree forms [1]. The drupe fruits’ species (peaches, apricots, almonds, plums, and cherries) are important crops worldwide. For instance, 24.45 million tons of peaches and nectarines were produced per year in 2018, out of which the Republic of Korea took a share of 205,741 Mt [2]. Peaches (Prunus persica L.) provide a range of vitamins, minerals, fiber, carotenoids, phenolics, and anthocyanin for a healthy diet [1,3–5]. In particular, the level of phenolics and antioxidant activity of red-fleshed peaches is comparable to that measured...
in blueberries, and its antioxidant activity is best correlated with phenolic content among peach cultivars [3,6].

Peach is a climacteric fruit, and the initiation and continuation of its ripening process are accelerated by ethylene [7–9]. While ripening is desirable to fulfill the customers’ needs, a prolonged storage period is equally important for the marketing and distribution of fleshy fruits [10]. Peach fruit is vulnerable to damage after harvest due to its soft tissues [11] and deteriorates quickly at ambient temperature [12]. One of the most common methods to slow the ripening processes and to extend the postharvest life of peach fruit is low-temperature (0–5 °C) storage [13,14]. However, prolonged storage of peach fruit at low temperatures can cause chilling injury (CI), a physiological disorder leading to a reduction in value. CI in peach causes wooly fruit that lacks juice and is undesirable to consumers [12,15]. Internal and external browning, reddish discoloration and breakdown of the flesh, decay, and the loss of normal ripening are some symptoms of CI [10,16]. Hence, methods to ameliorate CI can essentially contribute to the fruit industry. Jin et al. [17] reported that treating peach fruit with 0.5 µL L⁻¹ 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) can prevent CI. Similarly, a significant reduction in CI and softening of peach fruit was reported following pre-storage high-CO₂ treatment [10]. Although the above studies have reported the effect of 1-MCP and high CO₂ treatment on the CI and physicochemical characteristics of peach fruit, molecular data indicating the changes during storage of peach fruit is insufficient. Hence, in this study, we treated ‘Madoka’ peach fruit with 1-MCP and high CO₂, and stored the fruit at 0 °C for 12 days. The treated fruit were compared with the control to study the candidate genes related to CI and ripening of peach fruit.

2. Results
2.1. Assembly and Annotation

Mapping and sequencing results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Novaseq 6000 platform and Illumina TrueSeq Stranded mRNA library prep kit were used for sequencing. Eight ‘Madoka’ peach fruit transcriptome datasets were generated in this study. The datasets were fruit at commercial harvest (control), CS, 1-MCP+CS, and CO₂+CS, all in duplicate. A total of 44.05, 62.46, 60.05, and 62.41 million average reads were generated from the control, CS, CS+1-MCP, and CS+CO₂, respectively. From the total reads, 41.52, 59.57, 58.01, and 59.84 million were mapped with high mapping rate (>94%) to the reference Prunus persica (GCA_000346465.2) from the control, CS, CS+1-MCP, and CS+CO₂, respectively. We identified a total of 26,411 unigenes in the transcriptome contigs, and the identified unigenes were classified into three functional categories. From the identified unigenes, we assigned 258 genes to molecular function, 530 to biological processes, and 129 to cellular component. Further subcategories were also observed within each main category of the GO classification scheme, and the dominant subcategories from each main category are presented in Figure 1. Higher percentage of genes in molecular function were mainly involved in binding and catalytic activity. Moreover, cellular processes and metabolic processes encompasses 64% of the genes in biological processes. Similarly, the genes in cellular component were mainly categorized as cellular anatomical entity, intercellular component, and protein-containing complex (Figure 1).

Table 1. Summary of the mapping rate at which readings for each peach sample are mapped to the reference genome.

| Sample Name | Total Reads | Mapped Reads | Mapping Rate |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Control     | 44,051,176  | 41,516,721   | 94.25        |
| CS          | 62,460,608  | 59,571,061   | 95.37        |
| 1-MCP+CS    | 60,048,660  | 58,007,008   | 96.60        |
| CO₂+CS      | 62,411,254  | 59,837,852   | 95.88        |
| Average     | 57,242,925  | 54,733,161   | 95.53        |
Table 2. Summary of the average sequencing result.

| Sample Name     | Total Bases | Q30 Bases       | Read Count | % ≥Q30 Bases | Mean Quality Score (PF) |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Control         | 2,492,398,917 | 2,350,084,087   | 24,677,217 | 94.29        | 35.94                   |
| CS              | 3,575,251,126 | 3,410,220,666   | 35,398,526 | 95.38        | 36.20                   |
| 1-MCP+CS        | 3,269,381,110 | 3,135,881,737   | 32,370,110 | 95.91        | 36.31                   |
| CO₂+CS          | 3,592,272,151 | 3,442,927,010   | 35,567,051 | 95.84        | 36.29                   |

Average 57,242,925, 54,733,161, 95.53

Figure 1. The percentage of genes in different GO sub-categories.

2.2. DEGs in the Comparison of CS, 1-MCP+CS, and CO₂+CS vs. Control Peach Fruit

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were compared on the basis of twofold change and p value < 0.05 during the comparison of control to CS, 1-MCP+CS, and CO₂+CS. Venn diagrams summarize the number of overlapping differentially expressed genes in the comparison of CS, 1-MCP+CS, and CO₂+CS vs. control (Figure 2). A total of 1465, 1904, and 1648 unigenes were differentially expressed during the comparison of CS, 1-MCP+CS, and CO₂+CS, vs. control 'Madoka' peach fruit, respectively. From the differentially expressed unigenes, 801 (55%), 1251 (66%), and 913 (55%) were upregulated, and 664 (45%), 653 (34%), and 735 (45%) were downregulated in the CS, 1-MCP+CS, and CO₂+CS fruit, respectively. More unigenes were expressed due to the combined effects of CS and pre-storage treatment of 'Madoka' peach fruit with 1-MCP and CO₂ as compared to the CS (Figure 2). Commonly and exclusively expressed and identified DEGs in the comparison of CS vs. CO₂+CS and 1-MCP+CS ‘Madoka’ peach fruit were summarized by heat map (Figure 3). A long list of identified, commonly and exclusively expressed DEGs that might attract researchers for more detailed work are reported in this study (Tables S1–S3). Attempts were also made to briefly discuss and relate some of the identified genes into different ripening-related parameters.
Figure 2. Numbers of commonly and exclusively expressed (A) upregulated and (B) downregulated genes based on twofold change and p-value < 0.05 during the comparison of control (control vs. CS), 1-MCP (control vs. 1-MCP+CS), and CO₂ (control vs. CO₂+CS) ‘Madoka’ peach fruit after 12 days storage at 0 °C.
Figure 3. Heat map of commonly and exclusively expressed and identified DEGs in the comparison of CS vs. CO$_2$+CS and 1-MCP+CS ‘Madoka’ peach fruit after 12 days storage.
2.3. CI, Firmness, Total Pectin, PG Activity, and Related Genes

Pre-storage treatment of ‘Madoka’ peach fruit with 1-MCP and high CO₂ was effective in delaying CI and the ripening process, as observed on the firmness, total pectin, and PG activity (Figure 4A). Quality parameters and the transcriptome analysis in this study were compared on the 12-d storage on the basis of the observation of CI in the control fruit (Figure 4C). CI was not observed in the fruit treated with pre-storage 1-MCP and high CO₂ combined with cold storage while the untreated cold-stored fruit showed a CI index of 2.78% and higher sponginess after 12-d storage (Figure 4C).

The firmness, one of the most important quality indices for fruits, in CS fruit decreased from 63.31 N at harvest to 35.60 N on day 12, in contrast to 48.72 and 48.47 N in 1-MCP and high-CO₂-treated fruit on the same day, respectively. Total pectin content also showed the same trend with firmness. However, PG activity was higher in the CS fruit as compared to pretreated fruit (Figure 4A).

Figure 3 shows the commonly and exclusively expressed and identified DEGs during the comparison of CS vs. 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS. Tables S1–S3 also show gene expression levels and the details of their gene ID, mRNA_accession, protein accession, and gene descriptions. In this study, the combined effect of pre-storage high CO₂ and 1-MCP treatment with CS reduced the rate of solubilization of pectin and PG activity (Figure 4A) as compared to CS. Moreover, beta-amylase 3, chloroplastic (BAM3) was commonly upregulated in both 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS as compared to CS. Moreover, vegetative cell wall protein gp1 and the probable aquaporin PIP2-5 were upregulated due to the 1-MCP+CS (Figure 3, Tables S1 and S2). Nevertheless, the genes that encode cell wall hydrolytic enzymes such as endoglucanase 6, pectin acetylesterase 12, probable galacturonosyltransferase 15, and endo-1,3; 1,4-beta-D-glucanase were also commonly downregulated in both 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS. Similarly, the genes encoding expansin-A6 and expansin-A4 were also downregulated in both 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS (Figure 3, Table S1). The probable pectate lyase 8 was also downregulated due to CO₂+CS (Figure 3, Table S3).
Figure 4. Firmness, total pectin, PG activity (A); weight loss, respiration rate, ethylene production rate (B); and fruit appearance, CI index, and sensory qualities (C) of ‘Madoka’ peach fruit at harvest and after 12 days storage at 0 °C with pre-storage 1-MCP and high CO₂ treatments or without treatment (control). Data for firmness, CI index, and sensory quality are presented as a mean of 10 biological replicates ± standard errors. Total pectin, PG activity, weight loss, respiration rate, and ethylene production rate are presented as a mean of three replicates ± standard errors. Different letters on the bars indicate significant difference between treatments with Duncan’s multiple range test at p ≤ 0.05.
2.4. Weight Loss, Respiration and Ethylene Production Rates, and Related Genes

Weight loss of CS fruit was significantly higher than 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS on the 12-d storage (Figure 3B). However, respiration and ethylene production rates were not significantly different between CS, 1-MCP+CS, and CO$_2$+CS. The results imply that storage at low temperature could be efficient in reducing respiration and ethylene production rates, but the weight loss was significantly hindered by pre-storage 1-MCP and CO$_2$ treatment. This could have been the possible reason for firmness and pectin content being maintained (Figure 3A). Moreover, we observed the significant down regulation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase homolog 1, transcript variant X1 in both 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS as compared to CS (Figure 3, Table S1).

2.5. Stress-Related Genes Due to 1-MCP+CS, and CO$_2$+CS Treatments

Following cold storage of high-CO$_2$- and 1-MCP-treated fruits, genes related to stress response exhibited significant upregulation as compared to CS. Stress-related transcription factors such as ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF113; ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF071; and AP2-like ethylene-responsive transcription factor TOE3, transcript variant X2 were upregulated in response to 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS as compared to CS (Figure 3, Table S1). Thaumatin-like protein 1, transcription factor MYB1R1, zinc finger protein ZAT10, and bZIP transcription factor 60 were also upregulated in response to 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS as compared to CS. Moreover, abiotic stress acclimation genes such as cold shock protein CS66 and NAC transcription factor 29 were upregulated due to 1-MCP+CS treatment (Figure 3, Table S2). However, 12-oxophytodienoate reductase 3 (OPR3) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were commonly downregulated due to 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS treatments as compared to CS (Figure 3, Table S1).

2.6. Color and Other Changes and the Related Genes

Anthocyanidin 3-O-glucosyltransferase 2 (3GT), glutathione S-transferase F12, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase 1 (HMGR), and methanol O-anthranil-o-yltransferase (AMAT) were commonly downregulated due to 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS treatments (Figure 3, Table S1). Similarly, the genes that encode dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR) and chalcone–flavonone isomerase (CHI) were downregulated commonly in 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS as compared to CS (Figure 3, Tables S1 and S3).

2.7. Verification of DEGs by qRT-PCR

The transcript levels of 12 selected representative genes (ERF113, ERF071, BAM3, TLP1, ZAT10, BZIP60, At1g64390, AMAT, EXP A6, EXP A4, PAE12, and DFR) were confirmed by qRT-PCR (Table 3 and Figure 5). Figure 5 shows transcript accumulation of the selected DEGs of ‘Madoka’ peach fruit by qRT-PCR for the comparison of control to CS, 1-MCP+CS, and CO$_2$+CS treatments as compared to CS. The expression trends of RNA-seq and qRT-PCR were quite similar for the observed 12 genes (Figure 5, Table S1). These results indicated that the expression patterns of these representative genes tested in the RNA-seq assay coincided with the results of qRT-PCR analysis, suggesting that the RNA-seq data are reliable. ERF113, ERF071, BAM3, TLP1, ZAT10, and BZIP60 showed a significant higher expression in both 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS as compared to CS. However, At1g64390, AMAT, EXP A6, EXP A4, PAE12, and DFR showed significantly lower expression in both 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS as compared to CS.
Table 3. Selected genes and primers used for validation of the transcriptome analysis with the quantitative RT-PCR.

| Gene_Id    | Gene Descriptions                                      | Primer Sequence 5’–3’-Forward   | Reverse       |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| LOC18790383| ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF113 (ERF113)| GGCTAGTGATCTCTCATTAC           | CAGTTGAGTGTCCTGGCTGATAA |
| LOC18781898| thaumatin-like protein 1 (TLPI)                         | GGTACATGATTAGAAAGGTAAT          | GCTTCCCGTCTGCTGATT |
| LOC18777635| beta-amylase 3, chloroplastic (BAM3)                    | ACTAGTACATTCAATCACAGACTAAT       | GAGTTGATGTAACGAGGTCATAG |
| LOC18767585| ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF071 (ERF071)| TGGGATCCACTGACACTATG           | GCTTCCGGTCTGCTGATT |
| LOC18792372| zinc finger protein ZAT10 (ZAT10)                       | CGAGACCTTGGACCTGAACACT       | GCTTCCCGTCTGCTGATT |
| LOC18776076| bZIP transcription factor 60 (BZIP60)                   | CGTCTCCTGCTCAATCCAATCTCT       | GCTTCCGGTCTGCTGATT |
| LOC18776275| endoglucanase 6 (At13g64390)                           | CGTCTCCTGCTCAGCTGCTGCT       | GCTTCCGGTCTGCTGATT |
| LOC1877429 | expansin-A6 (EXPA6)                                    | CGAGTACAGAGCTGGAATGTATG       | AGTAACGGAAGGGCTGTAAG |
| LOC18773967| expansin-A4 (EXPA4)                                    | CTCTCCTGCTCAATCCAATCTCTGAT    | TCTTCCATACGGACACTTCC |
| LOC18785045| anthocyanidin 3-O-glucosyltransferase 2 (3GT)           | GGTCCTCCTGCTGCTGATT           | ATTAAGTGAGAGGGAAAAG |
| LOC18775995| methanol O-anthraniloyltransferase (AMAT)               | CCAAGGCCAGAGATCCACTCACT       | TCTTCAATCAGGGCATCTC |
| LOC1875065 | pectin acetyltransferase 12 (PAE12)                    | TGGTGGTGGATGCTGCTGATT         | TCTTCAATCAGGGCATCTC |
| LOC18782821| glutathione S-transferase F12 (GSF12)                  | GAGAGAGAGAGATCCAGGATCTAAGC     | TCTTCAATCAGGGCATCTC |
| LOC1878884 | dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR)                      | GAGAGAGAGAGATCCAGGATCTAAGC     | TCTTCAATCAGGGCATCTC |
| LOC18789799| 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (ACO1)       | GAGAGAGAGAGATCCAGGATCTAAGC     | TCTTCAATCAGGGCATCTC |
Figure 5. Transcript accumulation of the selected DEGs of 'Madoka' peach fruit by qRT-PCR for the comparison of fruit at harvest and after 12 days storage at 0°C with pre-storage 1-MCP and high-CO₂ treatments or without treatment (control). Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means (n = 3). Different letters on the bars indicate significant difference between treatments with Duncan’s multiple range test at p ≤ 0.05.
3. Discussion

Peach (*Prunus persica* L.) fruit are highly perishable and deteriorate quickly at ambient temperature. Cold storage is a common strategy to slow the ripening process and extend shelf life [13,14]. However, low-temperature storage could cause improper ripening and CI to the susceptible cultivars such as ‘Madoka’. Pre-storage treatments such as 1-MCP and high CO$_2$ are known to reduce CI [10,17]. Hence, we treated ‘Madoka’ peach fruit with 1-MCP and high CO$_2$ and stored them at 0°C. The treated fruit were compared with the control to study the physico-chemical changes during cold storage and the associated genetic responses.

In this study, CI was not observed in 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS, while the CS fruit showed a CI index of 2.78% after 12-d storage. According to Lee [10], moderate and severely injured fruit with CI index > 20% are not commercially acceptable due to the injury of the mesocarp surface on the opposite sides of the stone. Maruyama et al. [18] reported that the expression of genes encoding starch degrading enzymes such as beta-amylase 3, chloroplastic (BAM3) increased specifically under cold conditions. In this study, BAM3 was commonly upregulated in both 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS as compared to CS, indicating that pre-storage 1-MCP and high CO$_2$ could induce more CI resistance.

The ripening of peach fruit involves degradation or modification of cell wall [19]. During fruit ripening, there could be solubilization and depolymerisation of pectin, which in turn contributes to middle lamella erosion and primary cell wall disintegration that results in softening and a decrease of firmness [20]. Cell wall hydrolytic enzymes such as pectate lyase (PL), pectin acetyltransferase (PAEs), polygalacturonase (PG), and beta-galactosidase (beta-gal) are involved in the ripening process by solubilizing pectin polysaccharides of fruits [21]. In this study, the combined effect of pre-storage high-CO$_2$ and 1-MCP treatment with CS reduced the rate of solubilization of pectin and PG activity. This could be attributed to the commonly downregulated genes that encode cell wall hydrolytic enzymes such as endoglucanase 6, pectin acetyltransferase 12, probable galacturonosyltransferase 15, and endo-1,3; 1,4-beta-D-glucanase in both 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS.

Expansins are thought to be involved in cell wall disassembly during fruit ripening and softening [22]. The genes encoding expansin-A6 and expansin-A4 were also downregulated in both 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS, and could play a role in maintaining firmness of fruit as the cell walls do not disrupt for loosening and extension. The probable pectate lyase 8 was also downregulated due to CO$_2$+CS. Moreover, vegetative cell wall protein gp1, which is the major component of the outer cell wall [23], and the probable aquaporin PIP2-5, which facilitates the transport of water and solutes across cell membrane [24], were upregulated due to the 1-MCP+CS. Hence, supplementing CS with 1-MCP and high CO$_2$ pre-storage treatment could reduce CI and maintain firmness of peach fruit, in turn prolonging the storage period.

The results of the present study also imply that storage at low temperature could be efficient in reducing respiration and ethylene production rates, but the weight loss was significantly hindered by pre-storage 1-MCP and CO$_2$ treatment. The climacteric rise of respiration in fruits during ripening is caused by the ethylene and the final step of ethylene biosynthesis catalyzed by 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (ACCO) [21,25]. In this study, the downregulation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase homolog 1, transcript variant X1 in both 1-MCP and CO$_2$ treatments could have been the possible reason for maintaining firmness and pectin content.

The ethylene signaling and response pathway includes ethylene response factors (ERFs), and ERFs act as a key regulatory hub in plant responses to abiotic stresses [26]. Moreover, thaumatin-like protein 1 that could be involved in protecting tissues from pathogen infection [9], transcription factor MYB1R1 [27], zinc finger protein ZAT10 [28], and bZIP transcription factor 60 [29] that expressed in response to environmental stresses were also upregulated in response to 1-MCP+CS and CO$_2$+CS as compared to CS. Moreover, abiotic stress acclimation genes such as cold shock protein CS66 [30] and NAC transcription factor 29 [31] were upregulated due to 1-MCP+CS treatment. Hence, the upregulation of the
above genes and transcription factors in the present study could be the response of the fruit to the stress caused by the exogenous 1-MCP and CO₂ treatment.

12-oxophytodienoate reductase 3 (OPR3) is involved in the synthesis of jasmonic acid (JA) [32], which is known as a stress-related hormone [33]. In this study, OPR3 was commonly downregulated due to 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS treatments as compared to CS. In abiotic stress, JA is usually involved in physiological and molecular responses. Physiological responses include activation of antioxidant system and accumulation of amino acids and soluble sugars; the molecular responses involve the expression of JA-associated genes [33]. Moreover, the gene that encodes glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), an essential component of the glycolytic pathway that converts glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate to 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate, was downregulated in this study due to 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS treatments as compared to CS. In addition to its key role in glycolysis, GAPDH is involved in abiotic stress resistance in plants [34]. Taken together, delaying the synthesis of JA through downregulation of OPR3 and downregulation of GAPDH could contribute to delaying of physiological and molecular responses, in turn prolonging the storage period of peach fruit treated with 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS as compared to CS.

The gene that encodes the glycosylation reaction for the accumulation of anthocyanin pigments, anthocyanidin 3-O-glucosyltransferase 2 (3GT) [35], and the gene that is involved in the transport and accumulation of anthocyanins and proanthocyanidins in the vacuole, glutathione S-transferase F12 (GSTF12) [36], were commonly downregulated due to 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS. Moreover, the gene that encodes 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase 1 (HMGR), which catalyzes mevalonate pathway for isoprenoid (terpenoid) biosynthesis [37], was also downregulated due to 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS. Hence, the downregulation of 3GT, GSTF12, and HMGR due to 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS could play in maintaining color of peach fruit as the treatments affect synthesis of the two groups of plant pigments, anthocyanins and carotenoids (tetraterpenoids) [38].

Wang and Luca [39] reported that methanol O-anthraniloyltransferase (AMAT) is an enzyme responsible for the production of O-methyl anthranilate, an important aroma and flavor compound in the grapefruit. Tilahun et al. [21] also confirmed the same in kiwifruit. In this study, the gene that encodes AMAT was commonly downregulated in peach fruit in response to 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS. Similarly, the genes that encode dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR) and chalcone–flavonone isomerase (CHI) were downregulated commonly in 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS, respectively. CHI and DFR catalyze the conversion of chalcones into flavanones and dihydroflavonols into flavan-3,4-diols, respectively [40,41]. Hence, the downregulation of genes related to color changes and flavonoid biosynthesis could be an indicator of delayed ripening due to both 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS as compared to CS fruit.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material and Treatments

For this experiment, fruit of ‘Madoka’ peach cultivar, one of the commonly grown cultivars around Chuncheon, Korea, was selected and harvested at commercial maturity on September 20, 2019. After harvesting, fruit were transported immediately to the postharvest laboratory at the Department of Horticultural Sciences, Kangwon National University. Uniform fruits free of defects were carefully selected and kept at 8 °C for 3 h to remove field heat, and then were treated with 0.5 μL L⁻¹ 1-MCP (Smart Fresh, Agro fresh Korea Ltd., Seoul, Korea) for 24 h [42] and 30% CO₂ for 6 h [10] in a sealed 62 L container at 0 °C [25]. Control fruit were treated at similar conditions without CO₂ and 1-MCP treatment. Air in the sealed containers was ventilated and distributed by a fan (Coolertec CT8025L12RA-3P, Zhengzhou, China). Three containers were used for the treatments and control, and 30 fruit were placed in each container. The treated fruit were stored at 0 °C for 12 d (until the onset of chilling injury in the control fruit). Hence, the samples were categorized as fruit at commercial harvest (control), and three samples from the stored fruit on the 12 d (cold-stored (CS), 1-MCP+CS, and CO₂+CS). Data for firmness, weight loss, respiration
rate, ethylene production rate, and sensory evaluation were recorded at the beginning of the experiment and on the 12-d storage. Samples of fruit flesh were also taken for pectin content, polygalacturonase (PG) activity, and transcriptome analysis. The samples were frozen by liquid nitrogen and stored in a deep freezer (−80 °C) until analysis [21].

4.2. RNA Extraction and Sequencing Using Illumina Truseq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit

RNA extraction and sequencing were performed as described by Tilahun et al. [21] for three biological replicates from the control and treatments. Total RNA was extracted and pooled according to Park et al. [43]. RNA purity and integrity were checked as described by Johnson et al. [44], and mRNA sequencing was performed by the method followed by Kim [45].

4.3. Mapping Reads on a Reference Genome and Calculating Expression between Samples

Mapping and gene expression were performed according to Tilahun et al. [21]. Reads for each sample were mapped to the reference genome (Prunus persica (GCA_000346465.2)) by Tophat (v2.0.13) (http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/ accessed on 21 November 2020). The aligned results were then added to Cuffdiff (v2.2.0) (http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/cuffdiff/ accessed on 21 November 2020) to report differentially expressed genes. For library normalization and dispersion estimation, geometric and pooled methods (http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/cuffdiff/ accessed on 21 November 2020) were applied.

4.4. Identification of DEGs and Functional Enrichment Analysis

The method used by Kim [45] was implemented to detect DEGs between control and treatments after applying two filtering processes. Genes were filtered based on twofold change and p-value (p < 0.05), and DAVID (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/ accessed on 21 November 2020) was used to obtain a comprehensive set of functional annotations (Figure 6).

![Flow chart of mRNA processing.](image)

**Figure 6.** Flow chart of mRNA processing.

4.5. Verification of DEGs by RT-qPCR

Transcript accumulation of ERF113, ERF071, BAM3, TLP1, ZAT10, BZIP60, At1g64390, AMAT, EXP A6, EXP A4, PAE12, and DFR was evaluated via quantitative real-time RCR (RT-PCR) as described by Park et al. [43] using gene-specific primers (Table 3).

4.6. CI, Firmness, Pectin Content, and Polygalacturonase (PG) Activity

CI was assessed visually, and the CI index was calculated according to the method described by Lee [10]. Peach fruit firmness was measured by a Rheo meter (Sun Scientific Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) from 10 fruit, two measurements per fruit, by a puncture at the
equator with a maximum force of 10 kg and a 3 mm diameter round stainless steel probe with a flat end, as stated by Tilahun et al. [46]. PG activity and quantification of pectin were made following the methods described by Tilahun et al. [47].

4.7. Measurement of Weight loss, Respiration Rate, and Ethylene Production Rate

Fresh weight loss was measured as described by Tilahun et al. [48]. Peach fruit were weighed before storage and weighed again after 12-d storage to calculate percentage (%) weight loss during CS. Respiration rate and ethylene production rate of peach fruit was measured and expressed as described by Belew et al. [49].

4.8. Overall Sensory Evaluation

The overall acceptability of kiwifruit during the ripening period was evaluated as the mean value of the subjective scale made by 10 trained graduate students for flavor, color, sweetness, texture, and sponginess according to a subjective scale by Seo et al. [50] from bad (1) to excellent (5).

4.9. Statistical Analysis of Quality Parameters

Data of the considered quality parameters were expressed as means ± standard errors. SAS statistical software (SAS/STAT® 9.1; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was implemented for statistical analyses, and Duncan’s multiple range test was performed to observe differences between the treatment means.

5. Conclusions

The transcriptome analysis of ‘Madoka’ peach fruit treated with 1-MCP and high CO₂ and stored for 12 d at 0 °C is reported in this study. To study the candidate genes linked to CI and cold storage of peach fruit, we compared the treated fruit with the control fruit. Several genes were differentially expressed in 1-MCP+CS- and CO₂+CS-treated fruits as compared to CS. We also identified the list of genes that were expressed exclusively and commonly in the fruit treated by 1-MCP+CS and CO₂+CS and compared them to the fruit quality parameters to study the changes observed after treatment. The findings showed that the effect of pre-storage treatments inhibited CI and delayed the process of ripening, which may lead to a longer storage period. An attempt was also made to identify and categorize genes related to softening, physiological changes, and other ripening-related changes. This study adds information on the molecular mechanisms of the pre-storage treatments during cold storage of peach fruit. Understanding the genetic response of susceptible cultivars such as ‘Madoka’ to CI-reducing pre-storage treatments would help breeders release CI-resistant cultivars and could help postharvest technologists to develop more CI-reducing technologies.
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