Two Improved Cancellation Techniques for Direct-Conversion Receivers
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1. Introduction

The direct-conversion receiver (DCR), also known as zero-IF receiver, is a radio receiver design that realizes the RF signal one-time conversion to baseband signal. Compared to the super heterodyne structure, the DCR system has no mirror frequency interference and can be easily realized with low cost [1].

In the single antenna DCR system, a special component, the circulator, is generally used to realize the isolation between sending signals and receiving signal; it is shown in Figure 1.

Circulator is a three-port device, including the launch port, the antenna port, and a receive port. Due to the properties of the magnetic material, the circulator is not easy to be designed with high isolation. Measured by the vector network analyzer in good match case, the isolation can only reach 26 dB. In actual application, due to wiring length in circuit board and the antenna impedance mismatch, the isolation is often lower than 20 dB [2]. The low isolation will cause some problems, the first one is linearity issue; the transmitted signal can be leaked into the receive port by several ways as shown in Figure 2; one way is through the antenna port directly leaking into the receive port, and the other one is from the launch port circulator leakage to the receiving port. The power of leakage signal in receiving port is far greater than the received signal power; it is easy to cause the receiver front-end circuit (LNA and mixer) saturation.

Another issue of the DCR system is the DC offset problem. Any leakage between LO and RF ports of the mixer will produce an undesired DC component; the large DC signal will stature the subsequent DC amplifier. Because of the existence of the DC voltage, the wideband amplifier cannot work in DC coupling mode and can only work in the AC coupling mode. The AC capacitor not only limits the data rate but also influences the pulse width of the baseband signal, and the uncertain baseband signal pulse width will seriously affect the subsequent decoding algorithm.

2. The Traditional Workaround Method Used in DCR System

To solve the above problem, people put forward some improvement measures [3, 4]. One method is to try to improve the impedance matching of the circulator port, using Voltage Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR) better antenna switch to increase compensation link in the actual circuit. However, practice shows that the effects of the method are rather limited because of the circulator own property.
method is to reduce the power of the transmitted signal leaks to the receive terminal in order to reduce the transmit signal power level; the result is a reduction in communication distance. The third method, high IP3 double balanced mixers, is used at the receiver; the result is reducing the receiver SNR and also affecting the communication distance and the communication quality at the receiver.

The abovementioned methods are not significantly improving the mentioned problems, and they limit the DCR system scope of the application system.

3. A Novel Carrier Cancellation Technique

Carrier cancellation technology is a reverse power synthesis technology [5] that can be used to solve the nonlinear distortion of the power amplifier and extended the measurement frequency limit in super heterodyne spectrum analyzer. Some articles also proposed design methods of carrier cancellation. However, such design methods commonly use lumped parameter approach, and the cancellation signal is generated by the RLC filter, which is generally used in occasion of less than 900 M band. When applied to the higher RF band, the lumped parameter circuit will be seriously affected by distribution parameters, and therefore carrier cancellation function cannot be well implemented.

In order to eliminate the influence of the lumped parameters, a new carrier cancellation method is proposed as shown in Figure 3.

The improved circuit increases the directional coupler, microstrip 180° phase shifter, and Electric Regulating Control (ERC) attenuator; the ERC 180° phase shifter is a key circuit. The following describes the role of each circuit.

(1) Directional Couplers A and B. Two circuits are identical in structure, but different in functions. The role of directional coupler A, used as a power divider, is coupled out from a certain energy of RF signal. The role of directional coupler B, used as a power combiner, is superposition of the electrical output of the RF modulated signal attenuator and the leakage signal from the circulator and antenna port. Its coupling degree is about −6 dB, and the center frequency is 2.45 GHz in the paper.

(2) ERC 180° Phase Shift. This is a key circuit, which not only achieves near 180° phase shift but also has a very fine phase adjustment capability. Since the carrier phase offset technique is very sensitive in RF frequency, precise matching degree of phase offset will seriously affect the results. In actual implementation, both the leakage signal phase shift and the phase offset cancellation should be considered. Based on the above considerations, we propose using microstrip electronic bridge to realize the phase shifter. To solve the problem of fine-tuning phase, two PIN diodes through an impedance transformation network are placed in the bridge's two arms as voltage control devices. Phase shifter has center frequency of 2.45 GHz, 3 dB bandwidth of about 600 MHz, and the insertion loss at 2.45 GHz frequency of 3.5 dB. It is shown in Figure 4.

(3) ERC Attenuator. The common PIN diode can be used at lower frequencies, but for high-frequency applications, the
capacitance of PIN diode junction will reduce the amount of power attenuation. In this paper, the attenuator chip of Skyworks is used to minimize errors. When $V_c$ changes between 0 V and 1.2 V, the amplitude adjustment range is $-22$ dB to $-2$ dB. ERC Attenuator cannot only find the best amplitude offset points but also adapt to different isolation difference within the circulator.

4. The ADS Simulation of Carrier Leakage Circuit and Experiment

Two ports are coupled to each other by the coupling coefficient $M$; the scattering matrix is

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
 b_1 \\
 b_2
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
 s_{11} & s_{12} \\
 s_{21} & s_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
 a_1 \\
 a_2
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(1)

where

$$
\begin{align*}
 s_{11} &= T^2 (\alpha^2_A \Gamma_A - \alpha^2_B \Gamma_B) - 2jT^2 M \alpha_A \alpha_B, \\
 s_{12} &= TC (\alpha_A \Gamma_A - \alpha_B \Gamma_B) + I (\alpha_A - \alpha_B) \\
 &\quad - jTCM (\alpha_A + \alpha_B), \\
 s_{21} &= T C (\alpha_A \Gamma_A - \alpha_B \Gamma_B) + I (\alpha_A - \alpha_B) \\
 &\quad - jTCM (\alpha_A + \alpha_B), \\
 s_{22} &= C^2 (\Gamma_A - \Gamma_B) - 2jC^2 M.
\end{align*}
$$

(2)

The relationship between the voltage control phase shifter is shown in Figure 5.

$$
\begin{align*}
 s_{11} &= T C (\alpha_A \Gamma_A - \alpha_B \Gamma_B) + I (\alpha_A - \alpha_B) \\
 &\quad - jTCM (\alpha_A + \alpha_B),
\end{align*}
$$

(3)

The relationship between the voltage control phase shifter is shown in Figure 5.
Figure 6: Comparison results after adding cancellation circuit.

Figure 7: Three main sources of leakages give rise to DC offset.

The real result is tested by the spectrum analyzer as shown in Figure 6. Experimental results show that the system can be obtained at 30 db attenuation.

5. DC Offset Problems and Solutions in DCR System

DCR receiver will bring unwanted DC component; it is much larger than the useful baseband signal amplitude. Three main sources of leakages give rise to DC offset as shown in Figure 7. For example, if the receiver front-end mixer LO level is +17 dBm, transmit power is 1 W and circulator isolation is about 20 dB. When not using the carrier cancellation circuit, the leakage to the mixer local oscillator signal power is up to 10 mW.

The DC offset voltage is attained:

\[ V_m = k_m \times (V_{DCI} + V_I) \times (V_{DCQ} + V_Q) = k_m \times [V_{DCI} \times V_{DCQ} + (V_I \times V_{DCQ} + V_Q \times V_{DCI} + V_I \times V_Q)] \]

where \( U \) is DC signal and \( W \) is signal including both DC and AC components.

Taking into account the conversion 6 db loss of the mixer, the DC voltage output from the mixer is about 447 mV. With the carrier cancellation circuit, the DC voltage output of the mixer is about 30 mV, and the DC voltage is larger than the amplitude of the baseband signal.

Due to the presence of the DC voltage, an AC-coupled amplifier is generally used to amplify the weak baseband signal. Theoretical analysis shows that AC coupling will have an impact on the quality of the digital baseband signal. Figure 8 shows the result of the different data rate using multistage AC amplifier.

For a fixed coupling constant AC amplifier, the special data rate is appropriate for the special data rate, but when the rate of the baseband signal is higher or lower than the frequency, amplified signal will produce integral or differential effects, and the baseband signal integrity is damaged, so some complex software algorithms were designed to correct the distorted baseband signals [6–9].

6. A New Wideband DC Amplifier Design with DC Feedback Loop

To solve these problems, we proposed a novel wideband and high gain amplifier with low frequency servo loop. The basic circuit is shown in Figure 9.

The circuits contain two low-pass filters, a multistage wideband DC amplifier, an integrator, and an active threshold decision circuit. The high-frequency signal of mixer is filtered by the low-pass filter 1, and baseband and DC signal are retained. The multistage DC amplifiers have large dynamic scope; the gain is set at 100 db in the simulation circuit, and the full-power bandwidth of amplifier satisfies the maximum rate requirements of DCR system. The low-pass filter 2 and the integrator circuit are the core components to cancel the effect of DC and 1/f noise; the circuits filter out the AC component from the DC amplifier while retaining its DC and low frequency components; the active integrator accumulates the DC voltage and feed back to the inverse input of the high-speed DC amplifier, because the integrator samples the signal from the last amplifier. The DC component produced by the front mixer or by the high-speed operational amplifier self will be limited to a very small level. Taking into account 1/f noise in wideband amplifier, the low-pass filter 2 and integrator must be set at a higher cut-off frequency, but in order to try to maintain the integrity of the baseband signal, the cut-off frequency should be set lower.

The baseband signal is series rectangle pulse, and according to Fourier transform, the rectangular pulse can be expressed as

\[ |F_n| = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{4\sin^2 (n\omega_0 \tau/2)}{n^2 \pi^2}} + \frac{2 \sin (n\omega_0 \tau/2)}{n \pi} \]

where \( k_m \) denotes the amplifying coefficient, and

\[
\begin{align*}
U &= k_m \times V_{DCI} \times V_{DCQ}, \\
W &= k_m \times (V_I \times V_{DCQ} + V_Q \times V_{DCI} + V_I \times V_Q),
\end{align*}
\]
The average normalized power spectral density of a series of rectangular pulses representing \( n \) data bits is thus

\[
G(f) = \frac{nA^2 \tau^2 \sin^2(\pi f \tau/\nu \pi^2)}{\nu \pi^2} \delta(\omega - n\omega_0).
\]

(7)

The 1/\( f \) noise power in a bandwidth from 0 Hz to 32 Hz can be calculated:

\[
P_{n1} = \int_{0\text{ Hz}}^{32\text{ Hz}} \frac{K}{WLC_{OX}} \cdot \frac{1}{f} df = \frac{K}{WLC_{OX}} \ln 32
\]

\[
= 4kT \frac{2}{3g_m} (1 \text{ KHz}) \ln 32.
\]

(10)

For the thermal noise, we have

\[
P_{n2} = \int_{0\text{ Hz}}^{32\text{ Hz}} \frac{K}{WLC_{OX}} df = \int_{0\text{ Hz}}^{32\text{ Hz}} 4kT \frac{2}{3g_m} df
\]

\[
= 4kT \frac{2}{3g_m} \cdot 32.
\]

Thus, the cancellation noise power is

\[
\frac{P_{n1}}{P_{n2}} = 108.1 = 20.3 \text{ dB.}
\]

(12)

Detailed circuits are shown in Figure 10; compared to the AC coupling model (Figure 8), the improved circuit is DC coupling mode with low frequency feedback servo loop. The multistage amplifier gain is set at 100 db in the simulation, and the Manchester coded data with 5 mV DC offset are applied to both circuits.

The simulation results (Figure 11) show that both circuits can remove the DC offset, but the AC coupling amplifier cannot adapt various data rate and causes signal distortion at high data rate and low data rate; while DC coupling amplifier with low frequency servo loop can keep the signal integrity at any data speed, the signal has no significant distortion.

This circuit is applied in DCR RFID system, and the test result is shown in Figure 12: the wave of baseband signal reflected from the tag can be very well maintained in different data rate.

7. Conclusion

This paper presents the design of microstrip circuit carrier cancellation technology for DCR system; experimental
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