A novel secondary structure based on fused five-membered rings motif
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An analysis of protein structures indicates the existence of a novel, fused five-membered rings motif, comprising of two residues (i and i + 1), stabilized by interresidue N_i–H–N_i+1 and intraresidue N_i+1–H⋯O–C_i+1 hydrogen bonds. Fused-rings geometry is the common thread running through many commonly occurring motifs, such as β-turn, β-bulge, Asx-turn, Ser/Thr-turn, Schellman motif, and points to its structural robustness. A location close to the beginning of a β-strand is rather common for the motif. Devoid of side chain, Gly seems to be a key player in this motif, occurring at i, for which the backbone torsion angles cluster at ~(−90°, −10°) and (70°, 20°). The fused-rings structures, distant from each other in sequence, can hydrogen bond with each other, and the two segments aligned to each other in a parallel fashion, give rise to a novel secondary structure, topi, which is quite common in proteins, distinct from two major secondary structures, α-helix and β-sheet. Majority of the peptide segments making topi are identified as aggregation-prone and the residues tend to be conserved among homologous proteins.

Information from protein and small molecule structures has been complimentary to each other, refining our knowledge on diverse non-covalent interaction. For example, aromatic-aromatic interactions seen in proteins have been rationalized from our observations in small molecule structures. Similarly, turn conformations involving cis peptide bonds in protein structures have been shown to exist even in isolated peptides bereft of the protein scaffold. On the other hand, C–H⋯O interaction seen in peptide structures has been found to be of common occurrence in proteins leading to the identification of ω-turn, a new type of β-turn mimic. Both conventional and non-conventional hydrogen bonds, such as C–H⋯π interactions, seen in small molecule crystals are also important for the structure and function of protein molecules.

Short-range interactions lead to motifs, which are structurally stable and easily identifiable in both protein and peptide structures. One such motif involves two consecutive residues where the N–H group of the second residue is hydrogen bonded to the C=O group of the first residue. Usually, the N–H group is also associated with another conventional hydrogen bond (Fig. 1a). Yet another two-residue motif is the basic unit of the 2.05-helix, which has the backbone in the fully-extended conformation (φ ≈ 180°). This ‘planar sheet’ structure was originally proposed by Pauling and Corey and shown to be less stable than pleated β-sheet structures for all amino acids except Gly. This motif is defined by pentagonal intramolecularly hydrogen-bonded structure, the so-called C5 conformation (Fig. 1b), which has been observed in model compounds and proteins in Gly-containing stretches. Although the N–H–O angle in the motif deviates considerably from linearity (lying in the range ~90° to 110°) the evidence for the occurrence of the intra-residue hydrogen bond has come from FT-IR and NMR experimental data acquired on a number of peptides containing non-proteinogenic, Cαα-disubstituted Gly residues, and also from the fact that in many of the crystal structures the internal N–H donors and C=O acceptors do not participate in any competing intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

The two motifs discussed above were found to occur together in the peptide, Boc-Leu-Thr-NH₂ (where Boc = 1-butoxycarbonyl group) (also unpublished data) (Fig. 1c providing an equivalent fused five-membered rings motif seen in protein structures). In the fused-rings motif, while the first residue prefers a semi-folded conformation i.e., φ ~ −120° and ψ ~ 0° being in the folded “bridge” region, the second residue adopts a significantly extended conformation i.e., φ ~ −140° and ψ ~ 160°. The structure, stabilized by N_i–H⋯N_i+1 and N_i+1–H⋯O–C_i+1 interactions, has a rather planar topology, which is predominantly retained in solution, as evidenced from 1H NMR and FT-IR spectroscopic data.
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In this paper we address two questions. First, the two residues that were earlier considered for exhibiting Ni\(^{1+}\)-H∙∙∙Ni interaction, contained Pro at the \(i\)th position (Fig. 1a). We wanted to know the prevalence of the interaction in protein structures and the propensity of residues to be part of this. Secondly, if the fused-rings structure, as characterized in short peptide, is found in proteins as well. Our analysis establishes that the fused-rings motif is very common in protein structure, and in combination with additional hydrogen bond, this is part of various known structural elements. More importantly, we have even identified the motif to generate unique secondary structure. The results have implications for protein folding problem as it is seen that the two fused-rings motifs, distant in sequence along the protein, can recognize and interact with each other giving rise to structure with distinct topology.

**Results**

**N–H∙∙∙N(pz) interaction involving consecutive peptide groups.** The distribution of \(\theta\), the angle made by the peptide hydrogen atom with the perpendicular direction to the peptide N atom of the previous residue, is shown in Fig. 1 in Supplementary. Comparison with the expected distribution, represented by a sine function, suggests a very stereospecific and stabilizing interaction occurring between the two neighboring peptide groups when \(\theta\) is <30°. The typical N–H∙∙∙N interactions, for example, those connecting the DNA bases, occur along the planes of the interacting moieties\(^1\). Here, as the hydrogen bond involves the pz orbital of the acceptor it is designated as N–H∙∙∙N(pz) interactions and appears to be very common in protein structures (Table 1). Sporadic cases of such interactions have been reported before\(^4\), and also implicated when the side chain −NH\(^{3+}\) of Lys was found sitting on the face of the ring N atoms of His residue\(^9\). As has been observed with the limited number of cases\(^10\), the N–H group in 64% of those having N–H∙∙∙N(pz) interactions can participate in additional hydrogen bonding with other protein acceptors (Fig. 1a) (1% have two additional interactions).

**Occurrence of fused C\(_5\) rings motifs.** A total 41440 cases, 54% of those exhibiting N–H∙∙∙N(pz) hydrogen bonds, are part of fused five-membered (C\(_5\)) rings motifs (Fig. 1c), which corresponds to 4.1(±2.2) occurrences per 100 residues (an average of ~10 motifs per protein chain). The average nonbonded distances are N\(_{i+1}\)--H--N\(_i\),

---

**Figure 1. Some hydrogen-bonded motifs and the structures where they occur.** (a) An N–H group involved in N–H∙∙∙N(pz) interaction with the preceding peptide group, and also participating in another hydrogen bond (taken from the PDB file, 1CKA)\(^10\). (b) Hydrogen-bonded C\(_5\) conformation occurring in a peptide structure with the sequence Phe-Gly-Phe-Gly\(^5\). (c) Fused five-membered rings motif in 1B25. (d) The fused-rings motif, with the N–H forming an additional hydrogen bond in 1A68. The relevant hydrogen bond distances (Å) are indicated as dashed lines.
Table 1. Number of occurrences with increasing number of hydrogen bonding involving the N–H group.

| SI no | Type | Number |
|-------|------|--------|
| 1     | Single ring with N–H···N(pz) interaction (Fig. 7a) | 77,388 |
| 2     | Type 1, with additional hydrogen bond involving N–H (Fig. 1a) | 49,266 (38,031) |
| 3     | Fused-rings (Type 1, and hydrogen bond between N–H and C=O of the same residue) (Fig. 1c) | 41,440 |
| 4     | Fused-rings with additional short-range hydrogen bonding (Fig. 1d) | 15,125 |

The number where the hydrogen bond is short-range (within four residues of the N–H group) is given in parentheses. Common between type 3 and short-range interaction of type 2. The number is 6,802 if one considers long-range interaction. 78 are hydrogen bonded to ligands and 3970 to water molecules. The range and the number of additional short range hydrogen bond interactions are given in Table 2.

Conformational features of residues involved in fused-rings motif. Consideration of the backbone angles of the two residues indicated that the residue at position i can have two sets of values related by centre of inversion, whereas the one at i + 1 has nearly identical average values (though the distribution displays a rather wide spread) (Fig. 2 in Supplementary). For the two clusters (containing 69 and 31% of data points) the sets of ϕ, ψ angles are ϕi = −88(±18)°, ψi = −11(±22)° and ϕi+1 = −126(±32)°, ψi+1 = 136(±40)°; and ϕi = 73(±15)°, ψi = 20(±20)° and ϕi+1 = −116(±22)°, ψi+1 = 141(±34)°, respectively. In the second cluster (with positive φi) the percentage of Gly, Asp and Asn are 59, 6 and 12, respectively. The two sets of φi, ψ angles correspond to the flipping of the peptide group (between i−1 and i residues), such that N–H interacts with the lone pair of electrons on N, atom on either side of the plane. Interestingly, φi, ψi values follow a rather linear distribution, an increase in ϕ leads to a concomitant decrease in ψ of residue i, such that N–H proton of residue i + 1 can have maximum interaction with the N(pz) orbital of the preceding residue, again showing the importance of this interaction to the stability of protein three-dimensional structures.

Residue propensity. The propensities of a residue to occur at i and i + 1 positions were calculated as the ratio of the percentage of occurrence of that particular residue at either of these positions to its percentage in the whole database. The significance of the result is indicated by the z values[28], which are presented in Table 1 (in supplementary). Gly, Asn and Asp, with high propensities are over-represented at position i (Fig. 2a). The preference for these residues, especially non-chiral Gly, is not surprising, considering the fact that a positive value of φ leads to a concomitant decrease in ψ of residue i, such that N–H proton of residue i + 1 can have maximum interaction with the N(pz) orbital of the preceding residue, again showing the importance of this interaction to the stability of protein three-dimensional structures.

Secondary structures of residues making up the fused-rings motif and those flanking it. We also identified the secondary structural features for both the residues at i and i + 1 of fused rings and observed that the maximum preference is for C/C (58%) followed by C/E (27%) (Fig. 2b); the preference does not change much if we consider those cases which have additional hydrogen bond interactions outside the motif.

We found out the nearest regular secondary structure (H or E) that occurs within four residues on either side (4 residues, upstream of i or downstream of i + 1); we restricted ourselves to only those cases where the NH group of the motif also participates in additional short range hydrogen bond (dealt in the next section). Figure 2(c) indicates that β-strand is the most common secondary structure to be found in the neighborhood of the motif, in particular immediately following it. Therefore, the motif appears to be a good initiator of β-strand, which is facilitated by the ϕi, ψi angles at position i + 1 being close to those expected for residues in β-sheet and also by the preference of typical β-sheet residues (such as those with branched side-chain) at this position. The motif can also link two β-strands, which is apparent considering the two major classes of the occurrence of secondary structures involving the motif (Fig. 2b) separately. When the fused rings have the structural combination C/C (7538 cases), β-strand precedes and follows these in 25 and 50% cases, respectively (Fig. 3a in Supplementary). Even when the combination is C/E (which implies that the motif is the starting point of a β-strand), a strand is likely to precede it at −2 position (67% of 4545 cases) (Fig. 3b in Supplementary).

Local structural features involving fused-rings motif with N–H having additional hydrogen bond (1D-3A). Considering the residue at position i + 1 of the fused rings as the pivotal residue we found out
the sequence difference from the residue to which it can form additional short range hydrogen bond. The data in Table 2 indicate that the sequence difference is overwhelmingly positive, such that the hydrogen bond is with a residue that precedes it. The maximum number (71% of 15125) is with \(D = 3\), followed by 2 (14%) and 4 (11%).

Except for \(D = 2\), the interaction is essentially with the main chain. When \(D = 0\) (33 (0.2%) cases) the interaction is with the side-chain O atom of the same residue.

The involvement of the main-chain atom for hydrogen bonding and the conformational feature (\(\phi, \psi\) values of \((-88^\circ, -11^\circ)\) or \((73^\circ, 20^\circ)\)) of the pivotal residue made us analyze if this could simultaneously be the second of the two central residues in \(\beta\)-turns\(^{21}\). Though not commented upon earlier, this can indeed be the case, and two examples of occurrence in types II’ and I\(\beta\)-turns, when \(D = 3\), are shown in Fig. 3a,b. The identification of the flanking secondary structures (Table 2 in Supplementary) indicates that types I’ and II are usually found in \(\beta\)-hairpins, as noted earlier\(^{21}\), but occurring between a helix and \(\beta\)-strand the fused-rings motif is usually of type I (Fig. 3b).

\(D = 2\) is the only category that has a large involvement of the side-chain atoms. Based on the residue preference at different positions in this segment (Fig. 4 in Supplementary) one can see that the motif is part of Ser/Thr turn (64%) or Asx-turn (30%) (Fig. 3c)\(^{22}\). Interestingly, in the 3-residue peptide segment, the second position is predominantly occupied by Gly, as can be expected at the 4th position of the fused-rings motif (Fig. 2a). When we considered the 6802 cases involved in long range interactions we again found a number of them to be part of known structural motifs, such as \(\beta\)-bulge (18%) and Schellman motif (34%). A \(\beta\)-bulge occurs between two
antiparallel strands such that the extreme NH and CO groups of two residues in one strand engage CO and NH of one residue in the opposing strand. As can be seen from Fig. 3d, the hydrogen bond criteria of fused ring and β-bulge can be satisfied simultaneously. Schellman motif is the most prominent capping feature at the C-termini of helices. It consists of six residues \((m + 5)\) to \((m + 4)\) normally has a positive \(\phi\) value with a preference for Gly. It is evident from Fig. 3e that \((m + 4)\) and \((m + 5)\) positions of the Schellman motif may also constitute the fused-rings motif. Because of the higher tendency of the fused-rings motif to be followed by strand (Fig. 2c), 44% of Schellman motifs in our study lead to a β-strand.

**Structures with linked fused-rings motif.** We made another interesting observation while addressing the question if two fused rings can be found hydrogen bonded to each other in protein structures. The hydrogen bond can involve not only the N–H group of the fused rings, but also the carbonyl groups of the motif. Depending
on which group is involved the interaction can be designated based on the two residue labels \((i, i+1, \text{and } m, m+1)\) of the two fused rings. These are \((i+1)\rightarrow m, i \rightarrow m, (i+1) \rightarrow (m+1)\) and \(i \rightarrow (m+1)\). Fig. 4 providing the illustrative examples. Their number (170, 337, 24 and 155, respectively) indicates that the second group predominates, though the first and the fourth also make substantial contribution. The individual cases are given in Table 3 in Supplementary, which also indicates if the two peptide segments containing the fused rings are parallel or antiparallel to each other, although in some cases, when the two stretches are not aligned to each other, their occurrence may not be easily ascertained. The first group (Fig. 4a) is the most regular where the two motifs are aligned, back-to-back. This is also true for the second group when the segments are parallel (though the antiparallel orientation has the higher occurrence). For the last two groups the motifs face each other, with varying angle between them.

Figure 5 provide examples of \((i+1)\rightarrow m\) categories. It can be seen that the motifs can occur in adjacent strands (usually parallel), or can provide a short spacer between strands, or even occur in loops. Overall, the coming together of distant regions of the polypeptide chain with fused-rings motif gives rise to a unique shape, similar to that of a hat, which in most Indian languages is called topi. Topi is thus a new secondary structure. In fact all the individual motifs have this shape, but only when they come together and are aligned the shape gets accentuated (Fig. 4a,b,d). The secondary structure is usually made up of two fused-rings motif. Figure 5a, however, provides an interesting example where a third segment, devoid of the motif, has been added (in an antiparallel fashion),
but maintaining the overall shape of the structure. The robustness of the structure becomes apparent if we look at the superfamily of pentapeptide-repeat proteins, having right-handed quadrilateral parallel $\beta$-helix fold\(^{26}\) (Fig. 6), where a series of linked fused-rings are found on parallel $\beta$-strands\(^{27}\). Noteworthy, occurring at strand ends where the loops make a sharp bend there is a series of the motifs which are not linked. The topi structure has been identified by considering hydrogen bonding between any groups in the fused-rings motif. Such an approach also led to the identification of linked motifs which are very close along the sequence (Fig. 5 in Supplementary). It is obvious that such occurrence (72 pairs, with the number of intervening residues $\leq 4$) brings order to the otherwise intractable irregular loop regions. Another example worth mentioning is the occurrence of two linked fused rings, individually forming $\beta$-bulges in the adjacent antiparallel strands (Fig. 6 in Supplementary). While a single motif is the norm (Fig. 3d), the occurrence of the motifs in both the strands, though rare (only two pairs have been observed) may be useful for accommodating single-residue insertions in the neighbouring strands without disrupting the $\beta$-sheet\(^{23}\) and also for providing strength to the bending induced in the sheet.

**Aggregation propensity and residue conservation.** We found out if the sequences involved in topi motif have an inherent tendency to aggregate by noting if they belong to segments of at least five consecutive residues populating the aggregated conformation, as indicated by TANGO\(^{28}\). We observed that in the 129 cases (results could not obtained for 41 motifs belonging to proteins with very long chain) of type (a) motif (Fig. 4 and Table 3 in Supplementary), both the constituent segments are susceptible to aggregation in 25% cases, one in 40%, and none in the remaining 35% cases (Table 4 in Supplementary). To take a specific example (Fig. 5a), the segment (Gly213-Ser214) in the middle has been found to have positive propensity for aggregation, which, however, is not the case with the one below (Arg168-Ser169).

We calculated the degree of conservation at $i$ and $i + 1$ positions in the 340 segments (of 170 topi motifs considered above) and got values of 61 and 73%, respectively. The second position seems to be slightly more conserved. A possible reason could be the involvement of this residue in a greater number of hydrogen bonds (Fig. 5)–as the bonds involve the main-chain atoms the residue specific effect could only be indirect.

**Discussion**

The basic structural unit (C\(_5\) conformation) of the 2.0- helix has been mostly observed in short peptide structures containing noncoded amino acids\(^ {15}\). Here we show that this conformation is widespread in protein structures, though the backbone geometry is relatively more folded (residue $i + 1$ in Fig. 1c) as compared to the fully-extended form observed when it is part of 2.0- helix\(^ {15}\). Moreover, the intra-residue N–H–O=C hydrogen bonding in the C\(_5\) conformation, in conjunction with another inter-residue N–H–N interaction, give rise to a fused-rings structure, which has been observed not only in a short peptide\(^ {16}\), but also, as identified here, abounds in proteins. Although, the N–H–O hydrogen bond geometry, when the N–H and C=O groups of the same residue interact, is significantly away from ideal values, it is likely that there is cooperativity in the formation of the two C\(_5\)-interactions, conferring considerable stability to the peptide and protein structures.

Figure 5. Some examples of the simultaneous occurrence of two fused-rings motifs, connected by a long range hydrogen bond, ($i + 1$) → ($m$). Individual motifs occurs between two $\beta$-strands in (a) (PDB, 1B5E), in the same strand in (b) (PDB, 1OGO), and in loops in (c) (PDB, 2CHO).
φ, ψ angles centered around −90° and 0° constitute the “bridge region” of the Ramachandran plot, and are generally considered unfavorable due to a steric repulsion between the peptide nitrogen (Nᵢ) and the hydrogen of the next peptide unit (Nᵢ₊₁–H). Following the experimental confirmation to the contrary, we observe that the occurrence in the region is rather widespread and results from the stability of the N–H∙∙∙N(pz) hydrogen bond. The possibility of participation in hydrogen bonding (within the protein or to solvent) has been suggested as the reason why the bridge region, disfavored under the unfolding conditions, becomes accessible in the folded structure. However, the hydrogen bonds considered were of the type N–H∙∙∙OC, typically observed in β-turns and not N–H∙∙∙N(pz), identified here. The later type of interaction occurring between two adjacent peptide groups, in principle, should also be possible in the unfolded state, and has indeed been seen in isolated peptide structure.

The potential contribution to the stability due to the existence of N–H∙∙∙N(pz) interaction makes the bridge region rather populous in the folded state (and may also be so in the unfolded state), contrary to the earlier suggestion.

Fused rings can be part of well known structural motifs, such as β-turns (Fig. 3a), Schellman motif (Fig. 3e). While Schellman and α₁ are the two primary capping motifs at helix C-termini, we find that a sharper turn (stabilized by the fused-rings motif) ending helix and linking it to a β-strand could be yet another capping motif (Fig. 3b), which essentially constrains the orientation between the two secondary structural elements. In a way this could be considered as an example of supersecondary structure mediated by the fused-rings motif. Fused-rings structure can also be recognized in another known motif, viz, β-bulge. However, the latter almost exclusively occurs between antiparallel β-strands (Fig. 3d), whereas in our case it is not restricted to strands, and even when two such motifs are located in adjacent strands the latter are usually parallel (Fig. 5b).

The fused-rings motif is generally located between two β-strands, or at the beginning of a β-strand (Fig. 2c), examples can be seen in Figs 3a,b,e, 4 and 5a. Although helix capping motifs have been identified, no comparable capping motif is reported in connection with β-sheet. The fused-rings motif may constitute a capping motif at the N-terminus of β-strand, where the hydrogen bond potential of the main-chain atoms of the first residue in the strand (or the residue prior to it) can be satisfied locally (as the i-th residue of the fused-rings motif). Interestingly enough, whereas helix capping (at N-terminus in particular) involves the side chain, the interaction here involves only the main-chain atoms—the fused-rings motif seems to be a self-sustaining motif where the side chain does not have specific role. In fact, its absence (in the form of Gly being preferred at position i) is a very prominent feature. As already noted, Gly is an important residue that may signify helix termination; here we find Gly in a motif that occurs preceding β-strand.

Yet another motif which has relevance to our newly identified motif is the “nest”, in which two consecutive residues with enantiomeric backbone torsion angles (the two sets of φ, ψ angles are close to (−90°, 0°) and (+90°, 0°),...
The existence of fused five-membered rings provides a holistic perspective to "nest" relating it to helix; B (β-turn) and E (extended strand) as turn; and the remaining cases as β-1 direc-

tioni( at i) was measured considering a local axial system (Fig. 7a). Ni atom was placed at the origin, x-axis was along the Ni–CAi bond; z-axis was placed perpendicular to the peptide plane containing two bonds, Ni–Ci-1 and Ni–CAi; y-axis completed the right-handed coordinate system. We then measured the angle (θ) between the Ni···Hi direction with the z-axis passing through and perpendicular to the peptide plane containing N, (b) Schematic representation of the two-residue motif (PDB file, 1A3C). The two hydrogen bonds and the associated distances (Å) are shown in dashed lines (cyan).

Figure 7. (a) The local axial system, indicating the directions of the three axes, used to define the angle (θ) subtended by the H–N direction and the z-axis passing through and perpendicular to the peptide plane containing N, and the carbonyl O (at i) and another with its own carbonyl O atom. The distances from Ni

or the other way round) are involved in harboring an egg–an anion or a moiety carrying a partial negative charge36,37. It may be mentioned that the NH group, especially of Gly, is preferred at the anion binding site in proteins38. This is also the residue of choice at the position i of fused rings, which again is found to adopt either of the conformational angles mentioned above. It is no wonder then the fused-rings motif can also constitute a "nest". The existence of fused five-membered rings provides a holistic perspective to "nest" relating it to β-turns, Schellman motif and β-bulge, and is a common theme running through all these diverse local structures.

Last, but not the least, we have identified a new secondary structure, topi, with distinct shape that can link two fused-rings motif occurring distant from each other in primary structure (Fig. 5). Moreover, the fused-rings motif, even when it occurs in isolation in loop region, retains its distinct shape. Taken together with other recent observations on the existence of distinct geometry in ‘irregular’ regions39 would pave the way for structural characterization of loops in proteins. The majority of the peptide segments involved in topi are predicted to have tendency to protein aggregation, and may thus contribute to the pathogenesis of human disease-related proteins.

Conclusion

The work shows how the intermingling of ideas between designed peptide and protein structures can lead to the identification of new motifs. Starting with the observation of fused-rings motif in a peptide structure, we find that the structure is rather wide spread in proteins (Fig. 1c). In 36% cases the N–H group in the motif partakes in additional short-range hydrogen bond and the structure can be component of well-defined β-turns and their mimics, such as Asx-turn or Ser/Thr turn, though the existence of the fused-rings was never reported in these structures. In the remaining cases the motif occurs isolated, with the N–H group exhibiting, if at all, a long range hydrogen bonding. Some of these, especially when the hydrogen bond connects the β-turns containing the motif with an antiparallel strand, it gives rise to the well-known β-bulge. However, in 686 cases (in 4114 protein chains), two such motifs, distant to each other in sequence, can be hydrogen bonded, taking the shape of a topi (Fig. 5). It would be of interest to see how two distant regions in protein can recognize each other to be spatially close, and more importantly, assume a regular shape. Loops, constituting non-regular region in protein structures, are recalcitrant to geometrical characterization40. The fused-rings motif, alone or in pairs (as topi) provide regularity in conformation in regions beyond the regular secondary structures and should make the loop conformation less intractable.

Methods

A non-redundant dataset of 4114 protein chains present in 3976 PDB (Protein Data Bank)41, files were selected using PISCES server42, such that the resolution ≤2 Å, R-factor is ≤0.2, and the sequence identity between any two protein sequences is ≤25%. The files used are given in7. REDUCE43 was used to fix the hydrogen positions in the structures.

The directionality of the N–H group (at i + 1) towards the lone pair of electrons of the preceding N atom (at i) was measured considering a local axial system (Fig. 7a). N, atom was placed at the origin, x-axis was along the Ni–CAi bond; z-axis was placed perpendicular to the peptide plane containing two bonds, Ni–Ci-1 and Ni–CAi; y-axis completed the right-handed coordinate system. We then measured the angle (θ) between the Ni···Hi direction and the z-axis. Only the cases with 0 ≤ 30° were retained. Having identified one ring with C5 conformation, we identified the cases where this was part of fused-rings motif (Fig. 7b), consisting of two adjacent residues such that the main-chain NH group of one residue (at i) forms two hydrogen bonds, one with the main-chain N atom (at i) and another with its own carbonyl O atom. The distances from Ni+1 donor atom to the amide N (at i) and the carbonyl O (at i + 1) were restricted to be within 3 Å.

Additional hydrogen bond outside the motif was found out using HBPLUS44. The secondary structures were identified using DSSP45. Structural designations G (for 3_10-helix), H (α-helix) and I (π-helix) were grouped as helix; B (β sheet) and E (extended strand) as β-strand; T (turn) and S (bend) as turn; and the remaining cases as...
belonging to irregular region (C) in the structure. The molecular diagrams were made using Pymol®. The residue preferences for each position of the fused-rings motifs along with the preceding residue, as part of β-turn mimic, were determined from sequence logos made using WebLogo 3 server.

Statistical significance of the frequency of occurrence at the two positions of fused-rings motifs were calculated based on z-value:

\[
z = \frac{(O_i - E_i)}{\sqrt{E_i(N - E_i)/N}}
\]

where \(N\) is the total number of fused-rings motifs, \(O_i\) is the number of observation of amino acid at position \(i\), and \(E_i\) is the expected number. If \(|z| \geq 1.96\) (5% significance level), the observed number of occurrences was considered to deviate significantly from its expected value.

We calculated the sequence variability at \(i\) and \(i+1\) positions of \(t opi\) in terms of entropy. Shannon entropy at each position in the motif was calculated by considering multiple sequence alignment of all possible homologs of the protein (sequence identity ≥60%) using HSSP® (homology-derived secondary structures of proteins) database. A residue in \(t opi\) was considered as conserved if its entropy was less than the average entropy of the protein chain.

We used the statistical mechanics algorithm, TANGO (http://tango.crg.es/)²⁸, to find out if the sequences in the topi motif are prone to protein aggregation. It considers different competing conformations (β-turn, α-helix, β-sheet, the folded state and β-aggregates) and different energy terms, taking into account hydrophobicity and solvation energetics, electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonding.
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