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Abstract
To assess the effect of changing the sodium to potassium (Na/K) ratio on blood pressure at 4 years of follow-up.

The measurements were carried out under identical conditions in two study periods (2008–2010 and 2012–2014). Urinary excretion of sodium and potassium (mmol/L) over 12 nocturnal hours was used to calculate the Na/K ratio and categorized by quintile. The 24-hour sodium and potassium intake was estimated using a validated equation. The mean BP was calculated from 3 measurements after 5 minutes of rest. Of the 15,105 participants at baseline, 14,014 completed the first follow-up. Participants without validated urine collection (n=5,041), using antihypertensive medication (n=3,860) at either time points or reporting bariatric surgery during follow-up (n=45) were excluded. The differences between follow-up and baseline values were calculated for BP and the Na/K ratio. Analyses were stratified by sex and adjusted for confounding variables.

Sodium intake did not change from baseline, but potassium intake increased by approximately 150mg in both sexes (P < .001), with a consequent reduction of the Na/K ratio. The highest quintile of change in the Na/K ratio was associated with greater variation in BP. When adjusted for covariates, it is possible to observe an increase in SBP in women from the third quintile of the Na/K ratio, in men this increase was observed from the fourth quintile. However, for DBP this increase is observed from the third quintile in both men and women.

Increase in SBP was observed in women from the third quintile of the Na/K ratio, in men this increase is observed from the fourth quintile. However, for DBP this increase is observed from the third quintile in both men and women. The Na/K ratio demonstrated a greater association in BP.

Abbreviations: Δ = difference, AH = arterial hypertension, BMI = body mass index, BP = blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure – DBP, ELSA-Brasil = Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health - Estudo Longitudinal de Saúde do Adulto, Na/K = Sodium-to-potassium, SBP = systolic blood pressure, WHO = World Health Organization.
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1. Introduction
Arterial hypertension (AH) is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular diseases, particularly for coronary artery disease and stroke,[1,2] which are leading causes of mortality worldwide.[3] Several factors may influence AH development, including genetic[4] and lifestyle[5] factors. Of particular interest among these risk factors, are diets with high sodium and low potassium intake.[6]

Sodium and potassium have independent effects on blood pressure (BP). Excessive sodium intake leads to fluid retention, increased extracellular volume and elevated BP.[7] In contrast, higher plasma levels of potassium stabilize the membrane potential in vascular smooth muscle cells, reducing the peripheral vascular tone and resistance and consequent decrease in BP.[8] Thus, diets low in sodium and high in potassium act synergistically to lower BP and the prevalence of AH. For this reason, the sodium/potassium (Na/K) ratio in urine has been used as an indicator of diet quality in relation to BP control.[9] In addition, a higher Na/K ratio has also been used as an indication of higher intake of processed foods and higher salt addition in food preparation.[9] Oliveira et al.[10] show that the frequent intake of industrialized condiments is associated with higher salt excretion and Na/K ratio in Brazilian individuals, as well as higher ultraprocessed consumption increases Na/K ratio. Higher
Na/K ratios are also indicative of lower intake of fruits and vegetables, which are important sources of potassium.\[^{[9]}\]

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that the Na/K ratio be approximately 1, which can be achieved by reducing salt intake and by maintaining adequate daily intake of fresh fruits and vegetables.\[^{[11]}\] Decreasing sodium intake by reducing intake of processed and ultraprocessed foods can also help to reach the goal recommended by the WHO.\[^{[12]}\] In a study in Vitória / ES, Brazil, the urinary Na/K ratio was 5.1 ± 3.6 for men and 4.8 ± 2.8 for women.\[^{[13]}\] In the Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil) population, men showed higher mean values (4.1 ± 2.0) of the Na/K ratio compared to women (3.6 ± 1.7).\[^{[14]}\] All these values well above the WHO recommendation for this variable, which is approximately 1.\[^{[11]}\]

Several studies have demonstrated a positive association between urinary Na/K ratio and BP and prevalence of cardiovascular diseases. A cohort study in Chinese showed that the Na/K ratio was more strongly associated with the incidence of AH than either sodium or potassium alone.\[^{[15]}\] A meta-analysis showed that increases in potassium and lower Na/K ratios were associated with lower BP\[^{[16]}\]; however, when combining studies from different countries, Mente et al\[^{[17]}\] found a non-linear association between the Na/K ratio and BP, with a more pronounced association among people with a high level of sodium intake. Sodium intake in Brazil (3.1 g/day) even when stratified by sex (men = 3.5 g/day; women = 2.8 g/day)\[^{[18]}\] exceeds the recommendation proposed by the WHO that is 2.3 g/day equivalent to 5 g of salt/day.\[^{[19]}\] In the ELSA-Brasil population, the sodium intake was 4.2 g/day.\[^{[20]}\]

The present study considers that the increase of this relationship occurs both by the increase of the consumption of sodium and of industrialized products, as well as by a decrease in the consumption of potassium present in fruits and vegetables. Our purpose was to assess the effect of changing the sodium to potassium (Na/K) ratio on blood pressure at four years of follow-up.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Population and study design

Data were obtained from the ELSA-Brasil, a longitudinal study in a cohort of 15,105 active or retired public servants of both sexes, aged 35 to 74 years old, from 5 higher education institutions and one research institution.\[^{[20]}\] The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of each institution involved (Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul; University of São Paulo, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Federal University of Espírito Santo and Federal University of Bahia), and all participants signed an informed consent form. At the scheduled date, participants attended one of the 6 research centres for clinical and laboratory tests and completed questionnaires via an interview.\[^{[20]}\]

For this study, the data collected at baseline (2008–2010) and at the first follow-up (2012–2014) were analysed, with a mean interval of 3.8 years. All the protocols used in both study periods followed the same criteria. Since antihypertensives and diuretics mask the real BP values, the main outcome of this study, participants who reported using these drugs at baseline or follow-up were excluded from this analysis. Participants who had undergone bariatric surgery during this time were also excluded, because in these patients usually produces an important BP decrease, mainly in presence of AH.

#### 2.2. Data collection

A 12-hour nocturnal urine collection was performed at baseline and follow-up to estimate renal function and excretion of sodium and potassium. Overnight 12-hour urine collection was previously validated to estimate the daily sodium and potassium intake.\[^{[21]}\] The 12-hour urinary may be used in epidemiological studies because it reliably estimates the habitual consumption of sodium and potassium since good agreement was observed among the 5 measurements evaluated over a one year (sodium ICC 0.65, P < .001; potassium ICC 0.54, P < .001).\[^{[12]}\]

Participants received verbal and written information regarding the collection of urine, as well as a 2-litre plastic bottle when the exam was scheduled. They were asked to perform the urine collection between 7:00 pm and 7:00 am the next morning and to note the exact time of beginning and end of collection as well as any urine loss. The records were received by the team of researchers along with the collected urine on the day of the exams. Urine aliquots were sent to the Central Laboratory of ELSA-Brasil for creatinine estimation by the Jaffé method and measurement of electrolytes by the selective ion electrode method – ISE\[^{[23]}\] (1 mmol/L precision).

#### 2.3. Exposure

The 12-hour urine collection was considered valid if it simultaneously met three criteria: I) collection time between 10 and 14 hours, II) volume collected equal to or greater than 250 mL, and III) creatinine excretion, adjusted for 12 hours and corrected by body weight, between 7.2 and 16.8 mg/kg in men and between 5.4 and 12.6 mg/kg in women.\[^{[24]}\] The Na/K ratio was calculated using sodium and potassium (mmol/L) concentrations over 12 hours. Daily intake of sodium and potassium was also estimated from the 12-hour urinary excretion according to a previously validated method.\[^{[21]}\] The change in Na/K ratio was estimated as the difference (Δ) between the Na/K measured at follow-up and that measured at baseline. Values for the change in Na/K ratio were categorized into quintiles, where the lowest Δ was considered the 1st quintile and the highest Δ was considered the 5th quintile.

#### 2.4. Outcome

BP was measured under strict standardized procedures using an Omron automatic device (HEM 705CPINT)\[^{[25]}\] after at least 5 minutes of rest in a temperature-controlled room (20–24°C). Participants had to have an empty bladder, be sitting upright with their back relaxed and supported on the chair, without crossing their legs and with their feet resting on the floor, and their left arm in the mobile armrest and free of clothing. For each participant, 3 measurements were obtained in the left arm with an interval of 2 minutes between measurements. The arithmetic mean of the last two measurements was used for the analysis.\[^{[20,25]}\] BP was considered elevated for systolic BP (SBP) values ≥ 140 mmHg and diastolic BP (DBP) values ≥ 90 mmHg. The change in SBP and DBP was calculated as the Δ between SBP and DBP measured at follow-up and that measured at baseline.

#### 2.5. Covariates

The independent variables used in this study were age (years), highest level of schooling (elementary incomplete, elementary, secondary school and higher education). Race/skin colour (black,
brown, white and other-Asian or indigenous) was self-reported. Per capita income was calculated from the approximate net family income during the month prior to the interview and the number of people who depended on this income.

The body mass index (BMI) was calculated based on the ratio between weight and height. An electronic scale (Toledo, model 2096PP) with a capacity of 200 kg and a precision of 50 g was used to measure body weight. Height was measured using a wall stadiometer (Seca, Hamburg, BRD) with a precision of 0.1 cm.[23]

Smoking history was categorized as never smoker, former smoker and current smoker. The alcohol intake was estimated by using a questionnaire and given as grams of ethanol per week.[26]

Physical activity was estimated from the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). This consists of questions relating to the frequency and duration of physical activities (walking and moderate or vigorous exercise) that are developed at work, in going from place to place (commuting), in domestic activities and during leisure time.[27] In ELSA-Brasil, only the domains of leisure time and commuting were evaluated. The physical activity pattern was reported in minutes/week, and only physical activity that was performed for at least 10 minutes/week was considered.

Differences (Δ) in continuous variables (age, income, alcohol intake, physical activity, and BMI) were calculated as the difference between the measurement obtained at follow-up and that obtained at baseline.

2.6. Data analysis

Analyses were stratified by sex because the intake of these nutrients is different in women and men. Means and standard deviations were calculated for sodium, potassium, Na/K ratio, SBP and DBP at the 2 study visits and comparisons were made using the paired t test. Univariate covariance analysis (ANCOVA) was used to evaluate the effect of age on change in SBP and DBP. Crude and adjusted multivariate linear regression models were constructed, adjusting for qualitative baseline characteristics (race/skin color), follow-up variables (age, schooling, smoking), and Δ income, alcohol intake, physical activity and BMI. We adjusted for covariates in two models as follows: Model 1: race/skin colour, age, schooling, income per capita; Model 2: Model 1 + smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity and body mass index. Data were analyzed using the statistical software Statistical Package for Social Sciences-SPSS 23.0, and the significance level adopted for all tests was α ≤ 5%.

3. Results

Of the 15,105 participants included at baseline, 14,014 completed the follow-up evaluation. Reasons for loss to follow-up (5.9%) included as loss of contact or refusal to participate (n = 887) and death (n = 204, 1.3%). A total of 10,064 participants showed valid 12-hour urine collections at both time points. Of these, 3,860 participants were using antihypertensive medication and 45 individuals reported having undergone bariatric surgery during the follow-up interval. Thus, the final sample consisted of 6,159 participants, of whom 2,935 (47.6%) were men and 3,224 (52.4%) were women (Fig. 1).

The distribution of self-reported race/color was 55.9% white, 12.2% black, 27.2% brown and 3.6% other. Among those who reported having modified their diet in the preceding 6 months at follow-up, 23.9% (n = 701) were men and 32.5% (n = 1049) were women.

From baseline to follow-up income, alcohol intake, BMI and the proportion of elevated BP increased in both sexes. However, the proportion of smokers decreased among both men and women. Physical activity decreased among men, whereas it increased among women (Table 1).

Estimated potassium intake increased (P < .001) among both men and women from baseline to follow-up decreasing the Na/K ratio whereas both SBP and DBP increased (P < .001) (Table 2). Figure 2 shows the change in BP as a function of the quintiles of change in Na/K ratio. In both men and women, an increase in the Na/K ratio (higher quintiles) was associated with a higher increase in SBP than DBP. The effect on BP was more pronounced in men. When adjusted for age using the ANCOVA test, we observed that it maintained the same tendency to increase BP according to the quintiles of the Na/K ratio.

In general there is a more than linear increase of SBP and DBP with Na/K ratio. Variations for the second quintile compared to first were less pronounced (Table 3). The β coefficient for the Δ in SBP increased with each quintile of Δ in Na/K ratio, both in the crude model and in the adjusted models. For Δ in DBP, a dose-response effect was observed only in the crude model. After adjustment, participants in the 5th quintile still had the largest Δ in DBP (Table 3). When adjusted for covariates, it is possible to observe an increase in SBP in women from the third quintile of the Na/K ratio, in men this increase was observed from the fourth quintile. However, for DBP this increase is observed from the third quintile in both men and women.

4. Discussion

In this study, we observed a linear association between urinary Na/K ratio and BP increase over a mean follow-up of 3.8 years in both men and women, being that the increase in BP was observed from the third quintile of the Na/K ratio adjusted by race/skin color, age, schooling, income per capita, smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity and body mass index. We found independent associations of sodium and potassium intake with BP, but when evaluated in a combined manner, as in the case of the Na/K ratio, the effect was potentiated. The superiority of the Na/K ratio as a predictor of BP variation compared to sodium and potassium as individual predictors has been observed in several studies, most of them conducted in hypertensive patients.[28] Our work confirms this finding in a sample composed predominantly by a large number of normotensive individuals.

Excess sodium has been associated with increased BP levels,[29,30] arterial stiffening[30] and with the risk of developing hypertension.[31] It is important to consider that the increase in BP levels due to high salt intake varies across individuals. Molina et al.[13] in a cross-sectional study, demonstrated that salt intake and Na/K ratio were positively associated with increased BP levels.

The individual BP response to salt intake depends on sodium sensitivity, with some individuals experiencing a considerable increase in BP, whereas others do not. Diabetics, those with chronic kidney disease, the elderly and Blacks tend to be more sensitive to salt.[32] These patterns are likely even more complex in the Brazilian population, due to its ethnic diversity, as African genetic descent contributes to a greater blood pressure increase with age.[13] Consequently, the increase in the risk of developing AH at some point in life is greater in black individuals. Therefore, there is sufficient evidence supporting the need for sodium intake reduction in order to reduce cardiovascular risk in populations with high salt intake.
Bernstein and Willett[34] evaluated sodium intake in the American population from 1957 to 2003, concluding that there were no changes in intake and that intake levels remained above recommended levels. In Brazil, there are no estimates of intake over time, but the same trend was observed in this study. In the first period of the study, carried out in 2008 to 2010, the estimated salt intake was 10.5g/day,[19] and after approximately 4 years, it was 10.8g/day. However, potassium intake increased by approximately 147mg/day between the two study periods. A meta-analysis of 33 studies conducted in adults and 2 studies in children found that high potassium intake reduced SBP by 5.93 mmHg and DBP by 3.78 mmHg.[35] A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials of potassium supplementation in hypertensive patients found that high potassium intake
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| Characteristics                  | Baseline (n=2 935) | Follow-up (n=3 224) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Age (yr) (mean, SD)              | 49.1 ±8.3          | 52.9 ±8.3           |
| Income (US$) (mean, SD)          | 874 ±716           | 1200 ±898           |
| Educational Attainment (n, %)    |                    |                     |
| Incomplete elementary school     | 182 (6.2)          | 182 (6.2)           |
| Complete elementary school       | 205 (7.0)          | 191 (6.5)           |
| Complete high school             | 958 (32.6)         | 865 (29.5)          |
| Undergraduate school             | 1590 (64.2)        | 1696 (57.8)         |
| Current Smoker (n, %)            | 392 (13.4)         | 347 (11.8)          |
| Alcohol intake (g/week) (mean, SD)| 86.2 ±132.4       | 89.3 ±137.9         |
| Physical activity (min/week)     | 907 ±1500          | 808 ±1208           |
| Body-mass Index (kg/m²) (mean, SD)| 25.9 ±3.6         | 26.4 ±3.8           |
| Elevated blood pressure (n, %)   | 319 (10.9)         | 435 (14.8)          |
Table 2
Means, standard deviation (SD) and difference between intake measures and hemodynamic variables among the participants of ELSA-Brasil (2008–2010 and 2012–2014).

|                     | Baseline   | Follow-up  | Δ          |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                     | Mean ± SD  | Mean ± SD  | Mean ± SD  | P value   |
| Sodium (mg)         | 4864 ± 2125| 4014 ± 2198| +50 ± 2476 | .275      |
| Potassium (mg)      | 2569 ± 1020| 2711 ± 1087| +143 ± 1189| <.001     |
| Sodium-to-potassium (mmol/mmol) | 4.1 ± 2.0 | 3.9 ± 1.9 | −0.2 ± 2.1 | <.001     |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 121 ± 13  | 122 ± 14  | +1.3 ± 10.9| <.001     |
| Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) | 76 ± 9    | 78 ± 9    | +1.5 ± 7.5 | <.001     |

|                     | Mean ± SD  | Follow-up  | Δ          |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Women (n = 2935)    |            |            |            |
| Sodium (mg)         | 3526 ± 1541| 3555 ± 1578| +29 ± 1846 | .374      |
| Potassium (mg)      | 2132 ± 847 | 2283 ± 905 | +151 ± 1013| <.001     |
| Sodium-to-potassium (mmol/mmol) | 3.6 ± 1.6  | 3.4 ± 1.5  | −0.2 ± 1.9 | <.001     |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 111 ± 12  | 113 ± 13  | +2.1 ± 10  | <.001     |
| Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) | 71 ± 8    | 72 ± 9    | +1.9 ± 7   | <.001     |

|                     | Mean ± SD  | Follow-up  | Δ          |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Women (n = 3224)    |            |            |            |
| Sodium (mg)         | 1541 ± 2024| 1578 ± 2935| +37 ± 3023 | <.001     |
| Potassium (mg)      | 847 ± 847  | 905 ± 141  | +58 ± 563  | <.001     |
| Sodium-to-potassium (mmol/mmol) | 2.0 ± 0.2  | 2.0 ± 0.2  | 0 ± 0.4   | <.001     |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 13 ± 2.0  | 14 ± 2.0  | +1 ± 0.1  | <.001     |
| Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) | 87 ± 2    | 90 ± 2    | +3 ± 0.3  | <.001     |

Table 3
Regression coefficient of four year blood pressure change unadjusted and adjusted* by quintiles of urinary sodium-to-potassium ratio and sex among the participants of ELSA-Brasil (2008–2010 and 2012–2014).

| Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 |
|----|----|----|----|----|
| Δ Systolic Blood Pressure | β (95% CI) | P value | β (95% CI) | P value | β (95% CI) | P value | β (95% CI) | P value |
| Men | Unadjusted Ref | 1.32 (0.08–2.57) | .03 | 1.22 (-0.03–2.46) | .05 | 1.69 (0.44–2.93) | .008 | 2.94 (1.70–4.18) | <.001 |
| Model 1 | 1.24 (0.01–2.49) | .05 | 1.11 (-0.14–2.37) | .08 | 1.68 (0.41–2.92) | .009 | 2.76 (1.51–4.01) | <.001 |
| Model 2 | 1.19 (-0.47–2.43) | .05 | 0.90 (-0.33–2.14) | .15 | 1.81 (0.57–3.04) | .004 | 2.36 (1.13–3.59) | <.001 |
| Woman | Unadjusted Ref | 0.20 (-0.91–1.32) | .71 | 1.63 (0.52–2.74) | .004 | 2.37 (1.26–3.48) | <.001 | 3.57 (2.46–4.68) | <.001 |
| Model 1 | 0.21 (-0.91–1.33) | .71 | 1.80 (0.67–2.92) | .002 | 2.47 (1.35–3.59) | <.001 | 3.56 (2.44–4.68) | <.001 |
| Model 2 | 0.40 (-0.71–1.52) | .47 | 1.82 (0.70–2.94) | .001 | 2.52 (1.40–3.64) | <.001 | 3.30 (2.18–4.41) | <.001 |
| Δ Diastolic Blood Pressure | | | | | | | | |
| Men | Unadjusted Ref | 0.54 (-0.32–1.40) | .21 | 1.01 (0.15–1.87) | .02 | 0.83 (0.03–1.70) | .05 | 1.49 (0.62–2.35) | .001 |
| Model 1 | 0.69 (-0.17–1.55) | .11 | 1.11 (0.24–1.97) | .01 | 0.96 (0.01–1.93) | .02 | 1.49 (0.63–2.36) | .001 |
| Model 2 | 0.69 (-0.15–1.53) | .10 | 0.90 (0.06–1.74) | .07 | 1.04 (0.20–1.89) | .01 | 1.18 (0.34–2.02) | .006 |
| Woman | Unadjusted Ref | 0.04 (-0.71–0.78) | .92 | 1.12 (0.37–1.87) | .003 | 1.09 (0.34–1.84) | .004 | 2.41 (1.66–3.16) | <.001 |
| Model 1 | 0.09 (-0.66–0.84) | .81 | 1.26 (0.51–2.02) | .001 | 1.11 (0.36–1.86) | .004 | 2.39 (1.64–3.14) | <.001 |
| Model 2 | 0.28 (-0.45–1.02) | .45 | 1.26 (0.52–2.03) | .001 | 1.13 (0.39–1.88) | .003 | 2.13 (1.39–2.87) | <.001 |

Model 1: race/skin colour, age, schooling, income per capita. Model 2: Model 1 + smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity and body mass index. β = linear regression coefficient; CI = Confidence Interval.

decreased BP.[36] Another meta-analysis performed with data from 14 cohorts showed an inverse and significant association between potassium intake and the risk of stroke. Another study found that for each 1-g increase in daily potassium intake, there was a reduction of 10% in the risk of stroke.[17]

We found no significant variation with potassium alone, possibly due to the low intake of fruits and vegetables in this population. Urinary excretion of potassium was positively correlated with quality of diet,[38] since the main sources of potassium are fruits and vegetables.[81] A meta-analysis with prospective studies by Wu et al[39] demonstrated a reduction in the risk of hypertension with greater intake of fruits and vegetables. Other studies also found inverse associations of fruit and vegetable intake with hypertension,[40–42] stroke[43] and coronary diseases.[44]

A review study by Iwahori et al[9] presents the Na/K ratio as a summary index to evaluate sodium reduction and potassium increase in dietary changes. The lower Na/K ratio indicates a low sodium intake and high potassium intake, characterizing a diet of better nutritional quality. When the sociodemographic factors with the highest Na/K ratio we evaluated, it was observed that men, young people and individuals with lower schooling and...
income, being that these characteristics showed higher Na/K ratio.[14] In the present study we observed that the highest Na/K ratio was presented in men, corroborating with other studies.[13,14]

Diets with high Na/K ratio are directly associated with the higher incidence of AH, as observed by Du et al.[15] in a Chinese adult cohort. In Australia, the Na/K ratio was positively associated with SBP in individuals aged 50 to 75 years. Participants were also classified according to the quintile of the Na/K ratio, and those in the highest quintile had a 52% prevalence of AH, while those in the first quintile had a prevalence of 36%.[29] Study conducted with participants of the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES), adults aged 20 to 79 years, evaluated the Na/K ratio with hypertension. The authors observed that the last quartile of the Na/K ratio, presented the highest prevalence of AH.[45]

In Brazil, a population-based study conducted in Vitória / ES, evaluated 12-hour urine excretion and observed the highest Na/K ratio was associated with higher SBP and DBP when compared to a lower Na/K ratio.[46]

Sensitivity analysis showed that participants who were excluded from the present analysis (those taking anti-hypertensive medication and previous bariatric surgery) did not differ in relation to sex distribution. However, they differ from those included in our analyses in terms of schooling, smoking, alcohol consumption and physical activity practice (lower in the excluded group). Conversely, they showed higher income, age and BMI. Individuals on antihypertensive medication were older 3.8 ± 0.6 years) and showed higher SBP and DBP, as well as higher sodium, potassium and Na/K ratio than those without medication. Despite the difference in average age, subjects using the medication and higher Na/K ratio, it shows that despite using medication, the behavior in relation to the diet is different. The regression result follows the same pattern as presented in the present study, the last quintile of the relationship being the one that most increases SBP and DBP.

The relevance of this study is the longitudinal analysis of a population in a middle-income country with high sodium intake and low potassium intake. Another important strength is the method used to estimate the Na/K ratio, which is considered the gold standard.[47–49] Therefore, the Na/K ratio can be used as an

---

Figure 2. Differences (unadjusted and age-adjusted) of systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) between quintiles of variation of sodium-to-potassium ratio in men and women participating in ELSA-Brasil (2008–2010 and 2012–2014).
indicator of the consumption of these electrolytes, since they do not present biases as found in food methods. However, this indicator is not able to identify the food consumed by the population, what can be considered a limitation on this method. Thus, even though the urinary Na/K ratio does not allow to identify the food sources, nor what contributes to elevate it, we can affirm that the higher this ratio, the more frequent is the consumption of processed condiments and processed foods.

Although urinary excretion is a point marker of these electrolytes, a study conducted by our research group shows that urinary 12-hour sodium and potassium excretion can be considered as an estimate of habitual intake for the population, since there was no variation in measurements performed over a year-long period. Errors of urine collection, if occurred, would tend to bias any observed association between Na/K ratio with SBP or DBP toward the null rather than create a spurious relationship.

Although urine collection is an uncommon procedure in clinical practice, ELSA-Brasil maintains high methodological rigor and quality control of its measurements, with standard clinical practice, ELSA-Brasil maintains high methodological rigor and quality control of its measurements, with standard clinical practice, ELSA-Brasil maintains high methodological rigor and quality control of its measurements, with standard clinical practice.

In conclusion, the Na/K ratio is positively associated with increased BP, an increase in SBP was observed in women from the third quintile of the Na/K ratio, in men this increase is observed from the fourth quintile. However, for DBP this increase is observed from the third quintile in both men and women. The Na/K ratio demonstrated a greater association in BP, which wasn’t observed when the effects of these electrolytes were analyzed separately.
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