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Abstract: As breast cancer relative survival continues to increase, many breast cancer patients face many issues, including recurrence of cancer and cancer-related side effects that impact several aspects of their quality of life. With breast cancer patients living longer, there is more of a concern for negative breast cancer outcomes. Although physical activity is an affordable and relatively convenient way to improve breast cancer outcomes, only about one-third of breast cancer survivors engage in the recommended level of physical activity. This article reviews articles published to date to examine whether home-based physical activity interventions are effective in improving physical activity and other outcomes among breast cancer survivors who have completed primary therapy for the disease. The present review is based upon bibliographic searches in PubMed and CINAHL and relevant search terms. Articles published in English from 1980 through February 28, 2019 were identified. A total of 360 article citations were identified in PubMed and non-duplicates in CINAHL. After screening the abstracts or full texts of these articles and reviewing the references of previous review articles, 20 studies that met the eligibility criteria. Three of the studies were pre-/post-test trials and 17 were randomized controlled trials. Home-based exercise programs are effective in improving physical activity among breast cancer survivors who have completed primary therapy for the disease. Home-based exercise programs such as walking programs offer a convenient and affordable option for women who wish to increase their physical activity and maintain a healthy lifestyle.
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1 Introduction

The five-year relative breast cancer survival rate in the US continues to increase and is now about 91%[1]. As the rate increases, many breast cancer patients face many issues, including recurrence of cancer and cancer-related side effects that impact several aspects of their quality of life[2]. To reduce risk of cancer recurrence, the American Institute for Cancer Research also recommends that cancer survivors meet physical activity guidelines (AICR)[3]. Of women diagnosed with breast cancer, 50–96% experience weight gain during treatment[4]. This weight gain after diagnosis usually ranges between 2.5 and 6.2 kg (5.5 to 13.6 lbs)[5]. Among breast cancer survivors (BCSs), physical activity improves physical functioning, cardiovascular fitness, emotional well-being, and psychological adjustment, while lowering fatigue, depression, and anxiety, and helping to maintain a healthy body weight[6]. In addition, studies suggest that the immunological status of breast cancer patients improves after physical activity[7]. However, levels of physical activity in this population are low.

Physical inactivity and excessive weight gain that can occur following breast cancer treatment increases the risk of breast cancer recurrence, other chronic diseases, and all-cause and breast cancer-related mortality[8]. Physical inactivity increases the risk of obesity, which increases circulating estrogen levels and mortality. Exercise can lower circulating levels of estrogen and potentially reduce tumor proliferation. Although physical activity is an affordable and relatively convenient way to improve breast cancer outcomes, only about one-third of breast cancer survivors engage in the recommended level of physical activity[9].

Home-based exercise programs, including walking programs, offer a convenient and affordable option for women who wish to increase their physical activity and maintain a healthy lifestyle. This manuscript reviews ar-
articles published to date to examine whether home-based physical activity interventions are effective in increasing physical activity and improving other outcomes among breast cancer survivors who have completed primary therapy for the disease (adjuvant chemotherapy, radiation, or surgery).

2 Methods

The present review is based upon bibliographic searches in PubMed and CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature) and relevant search terms. Articles published in English from 1980 through February 28, 2019 were identified using the following MeSH (Medical Subject Heading) search terms and Boolean algebra commands: home based AND physical activity AND breast cancer. The following MeSH search terms and Boolean algebra commands were also used: walking intervention AND breast cancer. The searches were not limited to words appearing in the title of an article nor to studies in a particular country or geographic region of the world. The references of review articles were also reviewed (Bluethman et al. 2015; Paxton et al. 2019). Information obtained from bibliographic searches (title and topic of article, information in abstract, study design, and key words) was used to determine whether or not to retain each identified article. Only studies written in English that examined the impact of breast cancer survivorship care plans on health outcomes were eligible for inclusion.

3 Results

A total of 360 article citations were identified in PubMed and non-duplicates in CINAHL (Figure 1). After screening the abstracts or full texts of these articles and reviewing the references of previous review articles, we were left with 20 studies that met the eligibility criteria. Three of the studies were pre-/post-test trials, and 17 were randomized controlled trials.

Pinto et al. conducted a 12-week randomized controlled trial of physical activity counseling delivered via telephone, combined with weekly exercise tip sheets (Table 1). Eighty-six women who had completed therapy for stage 0-II breast cancer were enrolled in the trial. The physical activity group reported significantly more total minutes of physical activity and more minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity than the control group (p = 0.001).

In an eight-week pre/post-test trial of a community intervention that combined the use of pedometers with scheduling, goal setting, and self-assessment, Wilson et al. found that the intervention led to significant increases in steps walked per day and improved attitude toward exercise, as well as significant decreases in body mass index and other anthropometric measures. Twenty-four African American breast cancer survivors were enrolled in the trial.

Vallance et al. conducted a 12-week randomized controlled trial with four arms: i) standard public health recommendation for physical activity; ii) breast cancer-specific physical activity print materials; iii) use of a step pedometer; or iv) a combination of print materials and use of a step pedometer. Physical activity increased by three minutes/week in the standard recommendation group compared with 70 minutes/week in the print material group (p = 0.117), 89 minutes/week in the pedometer group (p = 0.017), and 87 minutes/week in the combined group (p = 0.022). For brisk walking, all three intervention groups reported significantly greater increases than the standard recommendation group. The combined group also reported significantly improved quality-of-life (p = 0.003) and reduced fatigue (p = 0.052).

In a 12-week randomized controlled trial of a home-based walking intervention, Matthews et al. found that intervention participants reported a significantly greater increase in walking for exercise than the controls (p = 0.01). In a 12-week randomized controlled trial of two home-based exercise programs (aerobic exercise, resistance training), Yuen and Sword found a significant reduction in fatigue among participants in the aerobic exercise group compared with the resistance exercise group (p = 0.006). In addition, there was a significant improvement in the distance walked during a 6-minute walk test in the resistance exercise group (p = 0.009).

Payne et al. conducted a randomized controlled trial of a home-based walking program among 20 women receiving hormonal therapy for breast cancer. There was

Figure 1. Flowchart of record selection process
| Author et al., 2005 | 12-week randomised controlled trial of physical activity counselling delivered via telephone, combined with weekly exercise tip sheets | Self-reported minutes of physical activity | 86 women who had completed therapy for stage 0-II breast cancer | The physical activity group reported significantly more total minutes of physical activity and more minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity (p<0.001) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Wilson et al., 2005 | 6-week pre-/post-test trial of a community intervention using pedometers with scheduling, goal setting, and self-assessment | Steps walked per day, body mass index, and waist circumference | 24 American breast cancer survivors | Significant increases in steps walked per day and attitude toward exercise were reported, as well as significant decreases in body mass index and other anthropometric measures |
| Vallance et al., 2007 | 12-week randomised controlled trial with 4 arms: standard public health recommendation for physical activity, breast cancer-specific physical activity print material, a step pedometer, or a combination of print materials and step pedometers | Self-reported moderate/vigorous physical activity minutes per week. Secondary outcomes were quality-of-life, fatigue, self-reported brisk walking, and objective step counts | 377 women who had completed therapy for stage I-IIa breast cancer | Physical activity increased by 3–9 minutes/week in the standard recommendation group compared with 70 minutes/week in the print material group (p=0.17, 89 minutes/week in the pedometer group (p=0.017), and 87 minutes/week in the combined group (p=0.022). For brisk walking, all three intervention groups reported significantly greater increases than the standard recommendation group. The combined group also reported significantly improved quality-of-life (p=0.003) and reduced fatigue (p=0.052) |
| Matthews et al., 2007 | 12-week randomised controlled trial of a home-based walking programme | Self-reported physical activity | 36 breast cancer survivors | Intervention participants reported a significantly greater increase in walking for exercise (p=0.001) |
| Payne et al., 2008 | Randomised controlled trial of a home-based walking program | Fatigue, functional capacity (6-minute walk test) | 20 women receiving hormonal therapy for breast cancer | There was a significant improvement in sleep scores in the intervention group compared with the control group (p=0.007). Serotonin levels were also significantly different between groups (p=0.009) |
| Yang et al., 2010 | 12-week randomised controlled trial of a home-based walking programme | Symptom severity score and mood disturbance | 40 breast cancer patients (stage I-IIa) receiving an aromatase inhibitor | Women in the exercise group reported significantly lower symptom severity scores (p=0.01) and mood disturbance (p=0.02) compared with those in the control group |
| Kim et al., 2011 | 12-week randomised controlled trial of a home-based stage-matched exercise and diet intervention (telephone counseling and a workbook) | Stage of motivational readiness for exercise and diet, physical activity, diet quality, quality-of-life, fatigue, anxiety, depression | 45 breast cancer survivors, stage IV | The intervention group showed significantly greater improvement in muscle mass and readiness for exercise (p=0.006) and diet (p=0.001), emotional functioning (p=0.006), fatigue (p=0.001), and depression (p=0.035) |
| Hatchett et al., 2013 | 12-week randomised controlled trial of an email physical activity intervention | Self-reported physical activity | 74 breast cancer survivors | Significant differences in levels of physical activity were observed between groups at 6 (p=0.001) and 12 weeks (p=0.001) |
| Specter et al., 2014 | Pre-test/post-test trial of a home-based aerobic and resistance training exercise intervention (motivational interviewing and weekly telephone calls) | Self-reported and objectively measured physical activity, quality-of-life, and fatigue | 17 American women who had completed therapy for stage I-IIa breast cancer, who were currently sedentary | There was a significant increase in total minutes of weekly physical activity (p=0.01). Total quality-of-life and fatigue scores improved, but neither was significant |
| Demyttenaere et al., 2014 | 8-week pre-/post-test trial of a home-based exercise program (resistance exercises and self-selected aerobic exercises) | Anthropometry and functional performance and cardiovascular endurance (3-minute step test) | 26 breast cancer patients receiving an aromatase inhibitor | Participants reported a significantly lower number of painful joints, and improved quality-of-life (p=0.05). Significant improvements in grip strength (p=0.01), hecsus curl (p=0.01), and sit-to-stand were also observed. There were no significant differences in anthropometric measures or cardiovascular endurance. |
| Barch et al., 2015 | 12-week randomised controlled trial of a home-based walking programme | Self-reported fatigue, quality-of-life, and walking | 32 women who were early stage breast cancer survivors | Participants in the intervention group had improvements in fatigue and quality of life outcomes. Changes in fatigue and quality of life were associated with changes in walking behavior |
| Lahart et al., 2016 | 6-month randomised controlled trial of a physical activity intervention (activity tracker and tailored feedback, alone or control) | Physical activity (primary outcome), body mass index, body fat, health-related quality-of-life, insulin resistance, and lipids | 80 post-adolescent therapy breast cancer patients | Total, leisure and vigorous physical activity significantly increased in the intervention group compared to usual care group (p=0.24, p=0.01, and p=0.17, respectively). Both body mass and body mass index decreased significantly in the intervention group compared to usual care group (p=0.04 and p=0.02, respectively). Total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol decreased significantly in the intervention group compared to usual care group (p=0.01 and p<0.23, respectively). |
| Kneifl et al., 2016 | 12-month randomised controlled trial of a resistance-exercise exercise intervention compared to a home-based physical activity intervention | Bone mineral density and biomarkers of bone turnover | 154 early postmenopausal breast cancer survivors | No significant difference in bone mineral density was observed between the two groups |
| Nyberg et al., 2017 | 6-week randomised controlled trial of a home-based walking program | Self-reported joint symptoms and psychosocial measures | 62 post-menopausal women diagnosed with stage 0-III breast cancer, with aromatase inhibitor-associated arthralgia | Intervention group participants reported significantly increased walking minutes per week, reduced stiffness, less difficulty with activities of daily living, and less perceived helplessness in managing joint symptoms |
| Valle et al., 2017 | 3-arm, 6-month randomised controlled trial of a psychological intervention (activity tracker and tailored feedback on objective weight, tailored feedback alone, or control) | Change in weight | 35 American breast cancer survivors, stage I-IIa | Median weight change was -0.9 in the intervention group that included activity trackers vs. 0.2% gain in the control group |
| Wentphal et al., 2018 | 48-week multi-center randomised controlled trial of counseling and unsupervised exercise training vs. supervised physical training (24 weeks) followed by unsupervised training (additional 24 weeks). The supervised training was comprised of 45 minutes of stationary cycling and 30 minutes of resistance training twice a week | Maximal power output on a cycle ergometer after 24 weeks of exercise | 42 early-stage breast cancer patients receiving aromatase inhibitor treatment | After 24 weeks, the supervised arm achieved a significantly higher maximum output in watt (mean 132 +/- 24, 95% CI 117-147) compared to baseline 107 +/- 25, 95% CI 97-117, P=0.012 with a higher output than the unsupervised arm (115 +/- 25, 95% CI 105-125, P=0.089) |
| Hinckley et al., 2018 | Randomised controlled trial of a home-based physical activity intervention (a booklet about physical activity for breast cancer survivors, that included narrative messages and writing and thinking exercises) | Multidimensional exercise outcome measure | 60 breast cancer survivors, stage I-IIa | Subjective exercise (weekly minutes) increased by 2 minutes, and objective exercise increased by 970 steps, every 4 weeks in the intervention group compared to the control group (p=0.267 and p=0.0283, respectively) |
| Bial et al., 2018 | 12-month randomised controlled trial of a home-based mental vegetable gardening intervention | Vegetable consumption, physical activity, performance and function, anthropometrics, biomarkers, quality of life | 82 breast cancer survivors, stage II | Compared with the controls, intervention participants reported significantly greater improvements in moderate physical activity and demonstrated improvements in the 2-minute step test and arm curl (p-values<0.05) |
| Lahart et al., 2018 | 6-month randomised controlled trial of a home-based physical activity intervention | Cardiorespiratory fitness and physical activity | 32 breast cancer survivors who had completed adjuvant therapy | Magnitude-based inference analyses revealed at least small beneficial effects on absolute and relative VO2 max (cardiorespiratory fitness), and total and moderate physical activity in the intervention compared to the usual care group. |
a significant improvement in sleep scores in the intervention group compared with the control group (p = 0.007). Serotonin levels were also significantly different between groups (p = 0.009).

Yang et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} conducted a 12-week randomized controlled trial of a home-based walking program among 40 breast cancer patients who were receiving an aromatase inhibitor. Women in the exercise group reported significantly lower symptom severity scores (p<0.01) and mood disturbance (p = 0.02) compared with those in the control group.

In a 12-week randomized controlled trial of a home-based, stage-matched, exercise and diet intervention (telephone counseling and a workbook), Kim et al.\textsuperscript{[17]} found that the intervention group showed significantly greater improvement in emotional functioning (p = 0.04), and motivational readiness for exercise (p<0.006) and dieting (p<0.001), and reduced fatigue (p = 0.001) and depression (p = 0.035) than the controls.

In a 12-week randomized controlled trial of an email physical activity intervention, Hatchett et al.\textsuperscript{[18]} observed significantly higher levels of physical activity in the intervention group at six (p = 0.001) and 12 weeks (p<0.001) compared to the controls.

Spector et al.\textsuperscript{[19]} conducted a pre/post-test trial of a home-based aerobic and resistance training exercise intervention. The intervention included motivational interviewing and weekly telephone calls. They found a significant increase in total minutes of physical activity (p = 0.001). Total quality-of-life and fatigue scores improved, but neither improvement was statistically significant.

Denysschen et al.\textsuperscript{[20]} conducted an eight-week pre/post-test trial of a home-based exercise program (resistance exercises and self-selected aerobic exercise) among 26 breast cancer patients who were receiving an aromatase inhibitor. The participants reported a significantly lower number of painful joints and significantly improved quality-of-life (p<0.05). Significant improvements in grip strength (p<0.01), biceps curl (p<0.01), and sit-to-stand were also observed. There were no significant differences in anthropometric measures or cardiovascular endurance.

In a 12-week randomized controlled trial of a home-based walking program among 32 early-stage breast cancer survivors, Baruth et al.\textsuperscript{[21]} found that participants in the intervention group had reduced fatigue and improvement in other quality of life outcomes.

Lahart et al.\textsuperscript{[22]} conducted a six-month randomized controlled trial of a physical activity intervention (face-to-face and telephone physical activity counseling) among 80 breast cancer survivors. Total, leisure, and vigorous physical activity increased in the intervention group compared to the usual care group (p = 0.24, p = 0.01, and p = 0.007, respectively). Both body mass and body mass index decreased significantly in the intervention group compared to the usual care group (p = 0.04 and p = 0.02, respectively). In addition, total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol decreased significantly in the intervention group (p = 0.001) but not in the usual care group (p = 0.23).

Knobf et al.\textsuperscript{[23]} conducted a 12-month randomized controlled trial of an aerobic-resistance exercise intervention compared to a home-based physical activity intervention among 154 early postmenopausal breast cancer survivors. The outcomes of interest were bone mineral density and biomarkers of bone turnover. No significant difference in bone mineral density was observed between the two groups.

Nyrop et al.\textsuperscript{[24]} conducted a six-month randomized controlled trial of a home-based walking program among 62 post-menopausal breast cancer patients with aromatase inhibitor-associated arthralgia. Intervention group participants reported significantly increased walking minutes per week, reduced stiffness, less difficulty with activities of daily living, and less perceived helplessness in managing joint symptoms compared to the controls.

Valle et al.\textsuperscript{[25]} conducted a two-arm, six-month randomized controlled trial of a physical activity intervention (activity tracker and tailored feedback based on objective weight; tailored feedback alone; or control). The outcome of interest was change in weight. Thirty-five African American breast cancer survivors participated in the trial. Median weight change was -0.9% in the intervention group that included activity trackers vs. 0.2% gain in the control group.

Westphal et al.\textsuperscript{[26]} conducted a 48-week, multi-center, randomized controlled trial of counseling and unsupervised exercise training vs. supervised physical training (24 weeks) followed by unsupervised training (additional 24 weeks). The supervised training was comprised of 45 minutes of stationary cycling and 30 minutes of resistance training twice a week. After 24 weeks, the supervised arm achieved a significantly higher maximum output in watt (132 ± 34, 95% CI: 117-147) compared to baseline 107 ± 25, 95% CI: 97-117, p = 0.012). In addition, output was higher in the supervised arm (115 ± 25, 95% CI: 105-125, p = 0.059) than in the unsupervised arm.

Hirshey et al.\textsuperscript{[27]} conducted a randomized controlled trial of a home-based physical activity intervention (a booklet about physical activity for breast cancer survivors, that included narrative messages and writing and
thinking exercises) among 60 breast cancer survivors. Subjective exercise (weekly minutes) increased by two minutes, and objective exercise increased by 970 steps every four weeks in the intervention group compared to the control group (p = 0.2676 and p = 0.0283, respectively).

Bail et al.\textsuperscript{28} conducted a 12-month randomized controlled trial of a home-based mentored vegetable gardening intervention among 82 breast cancer survivors. Compared with the controls, intervention participants reported significantly greater improvements in moderate physical activity and demonstrated improvements in the two-minute step test and arm curl (p < 0.05).

Lahart et al.\textsuperscript{29} conducted a six-month randomized controlled trial of a home-based physical activity intervention involving 32 breast cancer survivors. Magnitude-based inference analyses revealed at least small beneficial effects on absolute and relative V\textsubscript{O}\textsubscript{2} max (cardiorespiratory fitness), and total and moderate physical activity in the intervention compared to the usual care group.

4 Discussion

The results of this systematic literature review indicate that home-based exercise programs are effective in improving physical activity among breast cancer survivors who have completed primary therapy for the disease. A variety of outcomes were assessed in the trials, including self-reported minutes of physical activity, steps walked per day, functional capacity, cardiovascular endurance, body mass index, weight, sleep scores, quality-of-life, and attitudes toward physical activity. In the one trial that assessed bone mineral density as an outcome\textsuperscript{[6]}, no significant difference was observed between the two groups. A variety of intervention strategies have been tested in trials of home-based exercise programs, including aerobic and resistance exercise training, walking programs, print materials, telephone counseling, and gardening. A majority of the outcomes measured in the trials using one or more of the intervention strategies demonstrated positive change in the intervention groups compared to the controls. These studies yielded encouraging information and reflected the acceptance of innovative methods of physical activity interventions by breast cancer survivors.

Four studies of the effectiveness of home-based exercise programs focused on women receiving aromatase inhibitor therapy for breast cancer\textsuperscript{[20, 24, 26]}. The results of these studies indicated that home-based exercise programs are effective in reducing joint pain and increasing mobility among women receiving this therapy.

Two RCTs focused on using an email physical activity intervention among breast cancer survivors\textsuperscript{[17, 18]}. Both studies were effective using email, showing improvement with motivation readiness for exercise, diet, emotional functioning, depression and significant differences in physical activity.

Four studies on the effectiveness of home-based walking interventions focused on breast cancer survivors, with one of the groups in each study receiving hormonal therapy\textsuperscript{[13-15, 21]}. These studies demonstrated that home-based walking interventions are effective in increasing walking for exercise, reducing fatigue, and improving quality of life. Those receiving hormonal therapy reported improvement in sleep scores and increased serotonin levels.

With respect to limitations, outcomes, intervention strategies, and tests varied among the studies. Caution is therefore required in comparing results across studies. In addition, our literature review may not have captured all relevant studies.

In summary, home-based exercise programs are effective in improving physical activity among breast cancer survivors who have completed primary therapy for the disease. This includes women who are receiving aromatase inhibitor therapy for breast cancer. Home-based exercise programs, such as walking programs offer a convenient and affordable option for women who wish to increase their physical activity and maintain a healthy lifestyle.
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