C-X-C motif chemokine 12/C-X-C chemokine receptor type 7 signaling regulates breast cancer growth and metastasis by modulating the tumor microenvironment
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Abstract

Introduction: Although C-X-C motif chemokine 12 (CXCL12) has been shown to bind to C-X-C chemokine receptor type 7 (CXCR7), the exact molecular mechanism regulations by CXCL12/CXCR7 axis in breast tumor growth and metastasis are not well understood. CXCR7 expression has been shown to be upregulated during pathological processes such as inflammation and cancer.

Methods: Breast cancer cell lines were genetically silenced or pharmacologically inhibited for CXCR7 and/or its downstream target signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). 4T1 or 4T1 downregulated for CXCR7 and 4T1.2 breast cancer cell lines were injected in mammary gland of BALB/c mice to form tumors, and the molecular pathways regulating tumor growth and metastasis were assessed.

Results: In this study, we observed that CXCL12 enhances CXCR7-mediated breast cancer migration. Furthermore, genetic silencing or pharmacologic inhibition of CXCR7 reduced breast tumor growth and metastasis. Further elucidation of mechanisms revealed that CXCR7 mediates tumor growth and metastasis by activating proinflammatory STAT3 signaling and angiogenic markers. Furthermore, enhanced breast tumorigenicity and invasiveness were associated with macrophage infiltration. CXCR7 recruits tumor-promoting macrophages (M2) to the tumor site through regulation of the macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF)/macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor (MCSF-R) signaling pathway. In addition, CXCR7 regulated breast cancer metastasis by enhancing expression of metalloproteinases (MMP-9, MMP-2) and vascular cell-adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1). We also observed that CXCR7 is highly expressed in invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) and metastatic breast tissue in human patient samples. In addition, high CXCR7 expression in tumors correlates with worse prognosis for both overall survival and lung metastasis-free survival in IDC patients.

Conclusion: These observations reveal that CXCR7 enhances breast cancer growth and metastasis via a novel pathway by modulating the tumor microenvironment. These findings identify CXCR7-mediated STAT3 activation and modulation of the tumor microenvironment as novel regulation of breast cancer growth and metastasis. These studies indicate that new strategies using CXCR7 inhibitors could be developed for antimetastatic therapy.
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Introduction
Metastatic breast cancer is the most prevalent type of breast cancer worldwide and remains incurable despite recent therapeutic advances [1-3]. The significance of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis in breast cancer invasion and metastasis has been widely investigated [4-8]. In addition to CXCR4, breast cancer cells express another chemokine receptor, CXCR7, which binds to CXCL12 with greater affinity than does CXCR4 [9]. Similar to chemokine signaling of CXCL12/CXCR4, CXCL12/CXCR7 signaling inhibits apoptosis and increases proliferation and metastasis in prostate cancer [10,11]. Mice genetically deficient in CXCR7 have abnormalities in cardiovascular and central nervous systems [12]. CXCR7 expression in non-small cell lung (NSCL) and breast cancer promotes their growth [13]. Breast cancer cells expressing CXCR7 mediate signaling through β-arrestin in a ligand-dependent manner rather than through Gβ or Gαi, mobilization [14-16]. Cancer cells co-expressing CXCR4 and CXCR7 heterodimerize and mediate signaling, preferably through β-arrestin [14-16]. The exposure of CXCR4- and CXCR7-positive lymphoma cells to CXCL12 greatly potentiates their trans-endothelial migration, and this CXCL12-potentiated transendothelial migration is inhibited by blocking CXCR7 [17]. CXCR7 also plays an important role in vasculogenesis and angiogenesis through secretion of angiogenic factors [18,19]. One conflicting report regards CXCR7-mediated effects on breast tumor growth and metastasis, in which CXCR7 overexpression was shown to inhibit invasion and metastasis but enhanced primary tumor growth [18].

The STAT family of proteins are transcription factors, known for their role as integrators of cytokine and growth factor-receptor signaling, which is required for cell growth, survival, differentiation, and motility [20-22]. Activated STAT3 has also been shown to be associated with increased expression of cytokines, growth factors, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), and angiogenic factors [23]. In addition, STAT3 signaling modulates tumor growth and metastasis via recruitment of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) to the tumor site [24,25]. TAMs, which often constitute a major part of leukocyte infiltrates present in the tumor microenvironment, have been shown to enhance the tumor growth and metastasis of various cancers [26-28]. In addition, collaborative interactions of tumors with TAMs have been associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer [27,28]. Studies with mouse models have demonstrated that ablation of macrophages leads to inhibition of tumor progression and metastasis [29-31]. Cytokines/chemokines secreted by tumor cells activate TAMs, which in turn release factors that stimulate tumor cell proliferation, angiogenesis, incessant matrix turnover, and repression of adaptive immunity, which ultimately has a major impact on disease progression [30,32].

Although CXCL12 has been shown to bind to CXCR7, not much is currently known about the role of CXCL12/CXCR7 signaling in tumor growth and the early steps of metastasis within the primary tumors expressing CXCR7. In the present study, we sought to determine whether CXCR7 function controls tumor development in vivo and to determine the mechanism by which CXCR7 enhances breast cancer growth and metastasis. By using preclinical mouse models, we showed that a novel small-molecular-weight CXCR7-specific antagonist (CCX771) and STAT3-specific inhibitor (S31-201) inhibit breast cancer growth and metastasis. Further elucidation of molecular mechanisms revealed that CXCR7 enhances growth and metastasis via a novel pathway by modulating the tumor microenvironment. Moreover, high expression of CXCR7 in tumors correlates with worse prognosis for both overall survival and lung metastasis-free survival in IDC patients.

Materials and methods
Reagents
Cell-culture reagents were purchased from Gibco Laboratories (Grand Island, NY, USA). Chemokines were purchased from PeproTech. Anti-CXCR7 antibody was purchased from Abcam; VCAM-1, GAPDH, and pERK/ERK, from Santa Cruz; pSTAT3, from BD Biosciences; F4/80, CD11b, CD206, cyclin D1 and Ki67 from NeoMarkers. All other reagents were of standard grade. The small-molecule CXCR7 antagonists were obtained from ChemoCentryx, Inc.; and STAT3 inhibitor (S31-201) was purchased from Calbiochem, Billerica, MA.

Cell culture
Mouse 4T1 breast cancer cell line and murine macrophage-like cell line (RAW 264.7) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. The 4T1.2 breast cancer cells were obtained from Dr. Kang (Princeton University) after receiving permission from Dr. Anderson (Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute) [33]. The 4T1.2 clone was derived by single-cell cloning of 4T1 [34]. The 4T1.2 has been shown to be highly metastatic to lungs compared with 4T1 [34]. 4T1 Vector (4T1 Vec) and 4T1 downregulated for CXCR7 (4T1 sh-CXCR7) were obtained from ChemoCentryx, Inc. The 4T1 sh-CXCR7 cells showed 80% to 90% reduction in CXCR7 expression compared with vector control (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The cell lines were cultured in DMEM medium with 10% FBS, 5 units/ml penicillin, and 5 mg/ml streptomycin.

Stimulation of cells
Cell stimulation was carried out as described earlier [35-37]. In brief, cells were serum starved for 4 hours at 37°C. Serum-starved cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml
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CXCL12 and incubated at 37°C for various time periods. At the end of the stimulation, cells were harvested.

Chemotaxis
The chemotactic assays were performed by using transwell chambers (Costar 8-μm pore size) [38]. Before the migration assay, cells were serum starved and pretreated with CCX771 (CXCR7 inhibitor) or S31-201 (STAT3 inhibitor III) or the appropriate vehicle control (DMSO) for 1 or 4 hours. A volume of 150 μl (1 × 10⁶ cells) from each sample was loaded onto the upper well. The medium (0.6 ml) with or without CXCL12 (100 ng/ml) was added to the lower well. The plates were incubated for 8 to 12 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂. After incubation, the porous inserts were removed, and the cells in the bottom chamber were stained and counted by using standard procedures. The results were expressed as the percentage of migrated cells as compared with the control (untreated cells) [38].

Wound-healing assay
Wound-healing assays were performed as described previously [39,38]. Cells were grown to 70% confluence in complete DMEM. Monolayers were wounded by scratching with a sterile plastic 200-μl micropipette tip, washed, and incubated in DMEM (serum free) with CXCL12 (50 and 100 ng/ml) by microscopy after 24 and 36 hours. (C) Quantitative analysis of percentage of wound closure. (D) 4T1 and (E) 4T1.2 cells were pretreated for 1 hour with vehicle or CCX771 (1 μM) and were subjected to chemotactic assay in the absence or presence of CXCL12 (100 ng/ml). (F) 4T1 Vec and 4T1 sh-CXCR7 cells were serum starved for 4 hours and stimulated with CXCL12 (100 ng/ml) for different times, as indicated, and incubated at 37°C. After treatment, cells were washed, lysed, and analyzed with Western blotting for Phospho STAT3, STAT3, Phospho-ERK (p-ERK), and GAPDH by Immunoblotting. (G, H) Densitometry analysis of Western blots shows quantitation of pSTAT3 and pERK levels. (I) 4T1.2 cells were serum starved for 12 hours and stimulated with CXCL12 (100 ng/ml) for different time points, as indicated, and incubated at 37°C. After treatment, cells were washed, lysed, and analyzed for Phospho STAT3, STAT3, Phospho-ERK (p-ERK), and ERK with immunoblotting. (J) Densitometry analysis of Western blots shows quantitation of pSTAT3 and ERK levels, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus none, and ###P < 0.001 versus control.

Orthotopic injection assay
The Ohio State University Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care approved this study. Female BALB/c mice (6 to 8 weeks old) were anesthetized and injected with either 2.5 × 10⁶ murine 4T1 Vec or 4T1 sh-CXCR7 in 100 μl PBS or 1 × 10⁶ of 4T1.2 cells, 100 μl PBS, into the mammary gland (fourth mammary fat pad). After day 10, mice injected with 4T1.2 cells were injected subcutaneously with CXCR7-specific small-molecular-weight inhibitor CCX771 or STAT3 inhibitor (S31-201) at 5 mg/kg body weight, 3 times per week. Tumor growth was monitored weekly by using electronic calipers: tumor volume = (length × width²)/2. Mice were killed at the end of experiment, and tumors were excised and processed [4,38,40]. All mice were kept in the animal facility of Ohio State University in compliance with the guidelines and protocols approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

FACS analysis
A single-cell suspension of the tumor-infiltrating cells was obtained as described [4,38,40]. For FACS analysis, freshly prepared tumor-infiltrating cells were incubated with anti-F4/80 PE, anti-CD11b APC, and anti-CD206 Alexa Fluor 488. After staining, the cells were analyzed with FACS Caliber by using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).
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Immunohistochemistry

Tumors and lung samples were dissected from mice, fixed in formalin, and embedded in paraffin. Standard IHC techniques were used according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Vector Laboratories) by using the primary antibodies against p-STAT3 (Abcam, 1:200), Ki67 (Neomarkers, 1:100), CD31 (Santa Cruz, 1:100), F4/80 (AbD Serotec, 1:50), and arginase1 (Santa Cruz, 1:100), the primary antibodies against p-STAT3 (Abcam, 1:200), Ki67 (Neomarkers, 1:100), CD31 (Santa Cruz, 1:100), F4/80 (AbD Serotec, 1:50), and arginase1 (Santa Cruz, 1:100) for overnight at 4°C. Vectastain Elite ABC reagents (Vector Laboratories) with avidin DH:biotinylated horseradish peroxidase H complex with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (Polysciences) and Mayer hematoxylin (Fisher Scientific) were used for detection of the bound antibodies. The stained cells were counted in four different fields using a bright-field microscope in each experimental group and the average was calculated.

Gelatin zymography

Gelatin zymography was performed with slight modifications, as described [4,38,40,41]. Cells were maintained at 80% confluency in serum-supplemented media. The monolayer was rinsed twice with PBS, and the cells were then under serum-free conditions. After 48-hour incubation at 37°C in 5% CO2, cell supernatants were collected and concentrated by using Centricon units (Millipore). Samples were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels containing 0.3% gelatin. After electrophoresis, gels were washed and incubated with denaturing and developing buffers (Invitrogen). Subsequently, the gels were fixed and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

Cancer patient survival analysis

Cancer patient survival prognosis was analyzed by using the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis coupled with a Logrank significance test. The IDC patient overall survival (OS) curve was generated based on The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Higher CXCR7 expression was defined as overexpression of akr3 (CXCR7 gene symbol), being greater than 1.0 fold of the standard deviation above the mean. The IDC patient lung metastasis-free survival curve was generated based on a breast cancer lung-metastasis study [42]. Lung metastasis-free survival (LMFS) was analyzed between 20 high-CXCR7 and 20 low-CXCR7 level patients.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with Graphpad Prism software (Avenida de la Playa, La Jolla, CA, USA). The data were computed as mean ± SD. Group means were compared by using the Student t test. The acceptable level of significance was 5% for each analysis. For all graphs, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. or #P < 0.05; ##P < 0.01, and ###P < 0.001.

Results

CXCL12 induces CXCR7-dependent migration of breast cancer cells

The increased migratory ability of tumor cells determines their metastatic phenotype. The 4T1 and its highly metastatic clone 4T1.2 cell lines expressing CXCR7 were first evaluated for the role of CXCR7 in CXCL12-induced chemotaxis. As shown in Figure 1A through C, we found that downregulation of CXCR7 (Additional file 1: Figure S1) using sh-RNA significantly (p < 0.05) reduced CXCL12-induced migration and wound healing of 4T1 cells. However, the downregulation of CXCR7 did not seem significantly to inhibit the rate of proliferation of 4T1 cells (Additional file 2: Figure S2). Moreover, CXCL12-dependent increase in migration or wound-healing capability of 4T1 and 4T1.2 cells was significantly reduced in the presence of CXCR7 inhibitor (CCX771) (Figure 1D,E, and Additional file 3: Figure S3A,B). Taken together, these results suggest that CXCR7 enhanced CXCL12-induced migration of breast cancer.
CXCL12/CXCR7 axis enhances breast cancer migration through activation of p44/p42 and STAT3 signaling pathways

Next, we analyzed CXCL12/CXCR7-mediated signaling mechanisms and observed a significant increase in phosphorylation of ERK (p44/p42) and STAT3 (S727) in CXCL12-stimulated 4T1 Vec cells compared with 4T1 cells downregulated for CXCR7 (Figure 1F-H). Moreover, we observed significant increases in ERK and STAT3 phosphorylation in 4T1.2 breast cancer cells on CXCL12 induction (Figure 1I,J). We did not observe any activation of p44/p42 ERK and STAT3 in 4T1 cells stimulated with TC-14012, which is a CXCR4-specific agonist (Additional file 4: Figure S4). STAT3 has been shown to be associated with proinflammatory responses and is activated in breast cancer tissues [22,43-47]. We further analyzed the role of CXCR7/STAT3 signaling in CXCL12-induced cell migration. We found that pharmacologic inhibition of STAT3 by S31-201 (S31-201 inhibits the STAT3 transcription factor by blocking the phosphorylation and dimerization events necessary for its activation) significantly reduced the CXCL12-induced migration of 4T1 cells as compared with control (Additional file 5: Figure S5), highlighting the role of CXCR7/STAT3 signaling in CXCL12-dependent migration of breast cancer cells. Taken together, these data suggest that p44/p42 ERK and STAT3 are downstream targets of CXCL12/CXCR7 signaling pathway.

CXCR7 regulates breast cancer growth in vivo

To evaluate the effects of CXCR7 on the growth of breast cancer cells in vivo, we implanted 4T1 Vec control...
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CXCR7 regulates breast cancer metastasis to the lungs (Figure 3C,D). These studies demonstrate that genetic silencing of CXCR7 in 4T1 cells significantly reduced the number of lung metastatic nodules in mice bearing 4T1.2 tumors treated with either DMSO or CXCR7 inhibitor (CCX771) or STAT3 inhibitor (S31-201). Similarly, pharmacologic inhibition of CXCR7 or its downstream target STAT3 showed significant reduction in lung metastasis in mice bearing 4T1.2 tumors treated with either DMSO or CXCR7 inhibitor (CCX771) or STAT3 inhibitor (S31-201). CD11b+/F4/80+/CD206+ cells in tumors were quantified by flow cytometry (C) and 4T1.2 tumors treated with either DMSO or CXCR7 inhibitor (CCX771) or STAT3 inhibitor (S31-201). Analysis by IHC (20×) staining for macrophage marker, F4/80. (A) Representative image of 4T1 Vec and 4T1 sh-CXCR7 or 4T1.2 tumors treated with either DMSO or CXCR7 inhibitor (CCX771) or STAT3 inhibitor (S31-201). Lungs were removed and stained for Arginase-1 (5X) (B) 4T1 Vec or 4T1 sh-CXCR7 (insets: 10× magnification) and (D) 4T1.2 tumors treated with either DMSO or CXCR7 inhibitor (CCX771) or STAT3 inhibitor (S31-201). (I) CD11b/F4/80+/CD206+ cell counts in lungs were determined by flow cytometry to quantify immune cell infiltrates in digested tumors harvested from mammary fat pads. The CD11b+/F4/80+/CD206+ phenotype in the lungs of mice with metastatic tumors by analyzing Arg-1 expression. We observed higher Arg-1-positive macrophages associated with tumors in lungs compared with mice bearing CXCR7-downregulated or inhibitor-treated tumors compared with vector control or vehicle control, respectively (Figure 4A-D). TAMs can be divided into two main classes: tumor-suppressive M1 (classically activated) and tumor-promoting M2 (alternative). Macrophages M1 are characterized by expression of iNOS, whereas M2 macrophages have a decreased level of iNOS and are identified by their signature expression of arginase-1 (Arg-1) and mannose receptor (CD206) [40,48]. We used flow cytometry to quantify immune cell infiltrates in digested tumors harvested from mammary fat pads. The CD11b+/F4/80+/CD206+ phenotype in the lungs of mice with metastatic tumors by analyzing Arg-1 expression. We observed higher Arg-1-positive macrophages associated with tumors in lungs compared with mice bearing CXCR7-downregulated or inhibitor-treated tumors compared with vector control or vehicle control, respectively (Figure 4E-H). We further confirmed increased M2 phenotype in the lungs of mice with metastatic tumors by analyzing Arg-1 expression. We observed higher Arg-1-positive macrophages associated with tumors in lungs compared with mice bearing CXCR7-downregulated or inhibitor-treated tumors compared with vector or vehicle control (data not shown). CXCR7 promotes breast cancer metastasis

We also analyzed the role of CXCR7 in breast cancer metastasis. Genetic silencing of CXCR7 in 4T1 cells significantly reduced the number of lung metastatic nodules in mice (Figure 3A,B). Similarly, pharmacologic inhibition of CXCR7 or its downstream target STAT3 showed significant reduction in lung metastasis in mice bearing 4T1.2 tumors (Figure 3C,D). These studies demonstrate that CXCR7 regulates breast cancer metastasis to the lungs. CXCR7 modulates tumor microenvironment

The tumor microenvironment is characterized by a reactive stroma with an abundance of inflammatory mediators and leukocytes, dysregulated vessels, and proteolytic enzymes. TAMs have been shown to be a major component of tumors infiltrates [28,30]. Macrophages exhibit protumoral functions through the promotion of angiogenesis and enhancement of tumor-cell migration and invasion [28]. Therefore, 4T1- and 4T1.2-derived primary tumors were evaluated with IHC for macrophage marker F4/80. F4/80+ macrophages were significantly reduced in CXCR7 downregulated or inhibitor (CXCR7 or STAT3)-treated tumors compared with vector control or vehicle control, respectively (Figure 4A-D). TAMs can be divided into two main classes: tumor-suppressive M1 (classically activated) and tumor-promoting M2 (alternative). Macrophages M1 are characterized by expression of iNOS, whereas M2 macrophages have a decreased level of iNOS and are identified by their signature expression of arginase-1 (Arg-1) and mannose receptor (CD206) [40,48]. We used flow cytometry to quantify immune cell infiltrates in digested tumors harvested from mammary fat pads. The CD11b+/F4/80+/CD206+ phenotype in the lungs of mice with metastatic tumors by analyzing Arg-1 expression. We observed higher Arg-1-positive macrophages associated with tumors in lungs compared with mice bearing CXCR7-downregulated or inhibitor-treated tumors compared with vector or vehicle control (data not shown).
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stimulation with the CM of 4T1 sh-CXCR7 cells, compared with that of vector control cells (Figure 5A). The cytokine array of CM from 4T1 Vec and 4T1 sh-CXCR7 cells revealed that 4T1 sh-CXCR7 cells secrete lower levels of macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) compared with vector control cells (Figure 5B,C). The M-CSF has been shown to be the main factor responsible for the monocyte-macroage recruitment to the tumor site [49,50]. The inhibition of macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor (MCSF-R) in macrophages by ki20227 (an inhibitor of the M-CSF receptor (c-Fms)) reduced their migration toward the CM of 4T1 breast cancer cells (Figure 5D). These results suggest that CXCR7 might regulate the secretion of M-CSF, which may be important in recruiting M2 macrophages to the tumor site.

MMPs are known to degrade extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins in the cellular microenvironment and to promote tumor progression [51,52]. We observed reduced MMP-9 and MMP-2 activity in 4T1 sh-CXCR7 cells as compared with vector control (Figure 5E), and pharmacologic inhibition of 4T1.2 cells with CXCR7- or STAT3-specific inhibitors significantly reduced secretion of MMP-9 in these cells compared with vehicle (Figure 5F). Moreover, mice bearing 4T1 sh-CXCR7 tumors or tumors treated with CXCR7 or STAT3-inhibitor showed reduced expression of MMP-9 compared with respective controls (Figure 5G-I). Abrupt expression of vascular cell-adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) primes metastatic cells for survival and outgrowth in the leukocyte-rich lung-parenchyma microenvironment [53]. We observed reduced expression of VCAM-1 in tumors of mice downregulated for CXCR7 (Figure 5F).

**CXCR7 is overexpressed in breast cancer patients and is associated with worse clinical outcome**

To test whether CXCR7 expression in breast cancer patients correlates with clinical outcome, we first analyzed the publically available RNA array datasets. Analysis of TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas) IDC breast cancer database revealed that overexpression of CXCR7 correlates with worse overall survival (OS) [54,55]. In total, 16.2% of the 525 IDC patients with CXCR7 overexpression had a prognostic median survival of 84.53 months, compared with 129.61 months for the rest of the patients (Figure 6A). In another breast cancer lung-metastasis study (Gene Expression Omnibus accession GSE2603), patients with high CXCR7 expression had worse prognosis for lung metastasis-free survival (LMFS) compared with those with low CXCR7 (Figure 6B). We also examined CXCR7 expression in human breast tumor samples with Western blotting. As expected, CXCR7 was highly expressed in breast cancer patients (Figure 6C). We further analyzed CXCR7 expression in breast tissue microarray (TMA) with IHC and observed that its expression was higher in patients with lymph node metastasis as compared with normal samples (Figure 6D,E). Taken together, our data indicate that CXCR7 expression in the human breast tumors predicts worse outcomes, and its expression is higher in invasive and metastatic breast cancer patients.

**Discussion**

Chemokines and their cognate receptors are extensively involved in cancer metastasis [56]. Metastatic breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death in women worldwide, and understanding of the mechanism that facilitates metastatic tumor progression is of great importance. In this regard, the role of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis in breast cancer invasion and metastasis is widely studied [40,57,58]. In addition to CXCR4, breast cancer cells express another chemokine receptor, CXCR7, which binds to CXCL12 and introduces a new level of complexity in chemokine-receptor signaling. Although CXCL12 signaling has been implicated in breast cancer metastasis as a homing mechanism for cancer cells to the metastatic sites, not much is currently known about the role of CXCL12 signaling in the early steps of metastasis within the primary tumor expressing CXCR7 and the mechanisms by which CXCR7 mediates breast cancer growth and metastasis.
Conflicting reports with respect to role of CXCR4 and CXCR7 have been made [9,59-61]. Some support the role of both CXCR4 and CXCR7 in breast cancer growth [15], whereas another study highlights the role of CXCR7 in inhibiting invasion and metastasis of breast cancer [9,59-61]. The differences in the results observed with regard to CXCR7 by different groups might be due to different cell types used or differences in experimental conditions.

**Figure 6** CXCR7 expression in human breast tumors correlates with worse patient outcome and CXCR7 and STAT3 expression in breast cancer patients. (A) Overall survival (OS) of TCGA IDC patients with or without **ack3** overexpression (greater than 1.0-fold SD above mean). (B) Lung metastasis-free survival (LMFS) of IDC patients from study GSE2603. LMFS was compared between 20 high-CXCR7- and 20 low-CXCR7-expressing patients. (C) Breast tumors and adjacent normal tissue (n = 4) were lysed with RIPA buffer, and the lysates were analyzed with Western blotting by using specific antibodies against p-STAT3 (86 kDa), CXCR7 (52 kDa), and GAPDH (37 kDa) as loading control. (D, E) Representative tissue microarray cores of normal and cancerous breast tissue (20x, scale bar, 0.03 mm). Tissue microarray (TMA) samples containing 10 normal, 10 metastatic, and 38 invasive ductal carcinomas (IDCs) were analyzed with immunohistochemistry by using CXCR7 antibodies (kindly provided by ChemoCentryx).
conditions and/or different model systems, which might be the result of differences in expression levels of CXCR4 and CXCR7. These receptors dimerize into homo- and hetero- forms in vivo, and the ability to form homo- or heterodimers seems to depend on the expression levels of both these receptors [12,62,63].

Keeping these things in view, the present study was designed with the aim to determine the role of CXCR7 in breast cancer growth and metastasis and to delineate the mechanistic insights into how CXCR7 regulates breast cancer growth and metastasis. CXCL12/CXCR7 signaling has been shown to inhibit apoptosis and increase proliferation [13,64,65]. Mice genetically deficient in CXCR7 have abnormalities in their cardiovascular and central nervous systems [12]. CXCR7 expression in NSCL and breast cancer is correlated with lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis [66,67].

First, we observed that 4T1 and 4T1.2 cells express CXCR7. For downregulation of CXCR7, we used sh-RNA against CXCR7, which significantly reduced CXCR7 expression in 4T1 cells. The 4T1.2 cells, subclones of 4T1, was difficult to transfect, but because it is highly metastatic, we used it for experiments that involved inhibitors. Our results revealed the role of the CXCL12/CXCR7 axis in regulating cell migration and wound healing in breast cancer cells, which have been shown to play an important role in regulating breast cancer metastasis. We elucidated CXCR7-mediated signaling pathways and showed that CXCL12 induced p44/p42 ERK and p-STAT3 in breast cancer cells. ERK has been shown to regulate migration in several cell types [45,47].

Moreover, the aberrant activation of STAT3 has been broadly characterized as a regulator of tumorigenesis through its effects in tumor cells, tumor microenvironment, and metastasis [68-71]. The elevated levels of STAT3 phosphorylation have been shown to be associated with regulation of apoptosis, cell-cycle progression, and tumor angiogenesis in invasive breast cancer tissues [25]. STAT3 has been shown to be constitutively activated in 35% to 60% of breast cancers [24,72]. We showed that downregulation or inhibition of CXCR7 or STAT3 reduced breast tumor growth and spontaneous metastasis in the orthotopic model by regulating proliferative and angiogenic pathways.

Further elucidation of mechanisms revealed that CXCR7 may enhance growth and metastasis through recruitment of M2 (TAMs) macrophages. TAMs promote cancer metastasis through several mechanisms, including the promotion of angiogenesis [73], induction of tumor growth [74], and enhancement of tumor cell migration and invasion. We showed that M2-specific markers were reduced in tumors genetically silenced or pharmacologically inhibited for CXCR7 or STAT3. Furthermore, decreased migration, but not proliferation of RAW 264.7 cells toward the CM of CXCR7-downregulated cells confirmed our in vivo finding of reduced recruitment of macrophages in CXCR7-downregulated tumors. TAMs are recruited to tumors by growth factors and chemokines, which are

Figure 7 Schematic representation of CXCR7-mediated signaling that regulates breast cancer growth and metastasis. CXCL12 binding to CXCR7 leads to activation of ERK and STAT3 and enhanced expression of VCAM-1. CXCR7 also, either directly or indirectly through STAT3, may enhance MMP-9 and TAMs recruitment to the tumor site. TAMs in turn enhance growth factor, chemokines, and MMPs secretion in a tumor microenvironment. These CXCR7-mediated mechanisms may regulate primary breast tumor growth and metastasis, especially to lungs.
often produced by the cancer and stromal cells in the tumor site. We showed that CXCR7 regulates the secretion of M-CSF, which has been shown to correlate with increased TAM numbers in various human tumors [28,75]. Blocking of M-CSF receptor in macrophages reduced their migration toward CM of 4T1 cells. Therefore, CXCR7 might play an important role in recruitment of macrophages through modulation of the M-CSF/MCSF-R pathway.

A critical step in cancer cell metastasis is the degradation of extracellular matrix components by MMPs, permitting malignant cells to separate from the primary tumor and access circulatory conduits for seeding at distant organs. We observed reduced MMP-9 and MMP-2 activity in CM and tumors of mice downregulated or inhibited for CXCR7, suggesting that CXCR7 positively correlates with MMP(s) secretion in breast cancer cells, or the reduced recruitment of TAMs to the tumor site might be responsible for less secretion of MMPs at the tumor site, thereby reducing the migration of breast cancer. Breast cancer cells expressing the leukocyte receptor VCAM-1 can thrive in leukocyte-rich microenvironments through juxtacrine activation of a VCAM-1–Ezrin-P13K/Akt survival pathway [53]. The decreased expression of VCAM-1 in CXCR7-downregulated cells may lead to its reduced interaction with macrophages, as observed by lesser numbers of TAMs in the lungs of mice bearing tumors silenced or inhibited for CXCR7 or its downstream target, STAT3. Reduced VCAM-1 expression in tumors, along with reduced recruitment of macrophages to the tumor site, may therefore be responsible for decrease in tumor cell metastasis to the lungs of mice bearing CXCR7-downregulated tumors. These results suggest that CXCR7 may enhance tumor growth and metastasis by recruiting M2 macrophages to the tumor site and regulating the secretion and expression of MMPs and VCAM-1 in breast cancer cells. These properties might provide the tumor cells with a survival advantage, allowing them to reach and colonize in lungs or other metastasis-prone areas within the body.

We have shown that CXCR7 is highly expressed in invasive and metastatic tumors. Importantly, the overexpression of CXCR7 in human breast tumors correlates with worse clinical outcome. Thus, our data from mouse models and human samples suggest that CXCR7 may be used as a prognostic marker for metastatic breast cancer.

**Conclusion**

In summary (Figure 7), our studies revealed that CXCL12/CXCR7-induced STAT3-mediated pathways may enhance tumor growth by regulating angiogenic and proliferative pathways. They may also regulate metastasis by recruiting TAM(s), by enhancing the secretion of M-CSF, MMP-2 and 9, and enhancing VCAM-1 expression. These studies indicate that CXCR7 may enhance tumor growth and metastasis through modulation of the tumor microenvironment by enhancing recruitment of TAM, thus activating certain proinflammatory, angiogenic, and metastatic pathways.

Importantly, overexpression of CXCR7 predicted poor clinical outcome in a cohort of breast cancer patients, suggesting that blocking CXCR7 signaling may be a potential therapeutic approach to inhibit highly metastatic and invasive breast cancer, which is a major cause of mortality in breast cancer patients. In addition, these studies indicate that small-molecular-weight inhibitors against CXCR7 could be developed for antimetastatic therapies.

**Additional files**

- **Additional file 1: Figure S1.** CXCR7 expression in 4T1 Vec and sh-RNA downregulated cells. 4T1 Vec and 4T1 sh-CXCR7 cell lines were lysed and analyzed with Western blotting for CXCR7 and GAPDH expression. Data represent the mean ± SD per experimental group. ***P < 0.001 versus vector control.

- **Additional file 2: Figure S2.** CXCR7 downregulation did not inhibit proliferation in vitro. 4T1 Vec and 4T1 sh-CXCR7 cell lines were seeded at a density of 5000 cells per well in 96-well plates and allowed to grow for 24 to 48 hours in SFM. Cell viability was measured by using the MTT assay (Roche), based on the absorbance reading at 570 nm with respect to the control.

- **Additional file 3: Figure S3.** CXCL12 enhances CXCR7-mediated cell migration. 4T1 (A) and 4T1.2 (B) cells treated with CCX771 (1 μM) were grown for confluence in incomplete medium in six-well plates, and then a scratch was made with a 200-μl pipette tip to make wounds; the closure of the wounds was monitored in the presence or absence of CXCL12 (100 ng/ml) by microscopy after 24 and 36 hours.

- **Additional file 4: Figure S4.** CXCL12 enhances CXCR4-independent cell signaling. 4T1 breast cancer cells were serum starved for 4 hours and stimulated with TC-14012 (CXCR4 agonist, 30 μM) for different time periods, as indicated, at 37°C. After treatment, cells were washed, lysed, and analyzed for Phospho STAT3, STAT3, Phospho-ERK (p-ERK), and ERK with immunoblotting.

- **Additional file 5: Figure S5.** CXCL12 enhances STAT3-mediated cell migration. 4T1 cells were pretreated for 4 hours with vehicle or S31-201 (10 μM), plated on the top chamber of 8-μm-pore polycarbonate membrane filters, and medium in the absence or presence of CXCL12 (100 ng/ml) was placed in the lower chamber. After 12 hours of incubation, cells that migrated across the filter toward medium with or without CXCL12 (100 ng/ml) were fixed, stained, and counted by using bright-field microscopy in five random fields. *P < 0.05 versus none, and **P < 0.01 versus control.

- **Additional file 6: Figure S6.** Reduced STAT3 activation in 4T1.2 tumors treated with CXCR7 or STAT3 inhibitor (A). Tumors from mice used in the experiment presented in Figure 2 were subjected to IHC staining for p-STAT3 (40×). The pSTAT3-stained cells were counted in four different fields by using a bright-field microscope in each experimental group, and the average was calculated. (B) Bars represent the mean ± SD of number of p-STAT3 cells to that of total cells. Scale bars, 0.02 mm. ***P < 0.001 versus control.
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