Role of extracytoplasmic function sigma factors in biofilm formation of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Porphyromonas gingivalis* has been implicated as a major pathogen in the development and progression of chronic periodontitis. *P. gingivalis* biofilm formation in the subgingival crevice plays an important role in the ability of the bacteria to tolerate stress signals outside the cytoplasmic membrane. Some bacteria use a distinct subfamily of sigma factors to regulate their extracytoplasmic functions (the ECF subfamily). The objective of this study was to determine if *P. gingivalis* ECF sigma factors affect *P. gingivalis* biofilm formation.

**Methods:** To elucidate the role of ECF sigma factors in *P. gingivalis*, chromosomal mutants carrying a disruption of each ECF sigma factor-encoding gene were constructed. Bacterial growth curves were measured by determining the turbidity of bacterial cultures. The quantity of biofilm growing on plates was evaluated by crystal violet staining.

**Results:** Comparison of the growth curves of wild-type *P. gingivalis* strain 33277 and the ECF mutants indicated that the growth rate of the mutants was slightly lower than that of the wild-type strain. The PGN_0274- and PGN_1740-defective mutants had increased biofilm formation compared with the wild-type (p < 0.001); however, the other ECF sigma factor mutants or the complemented strains did not enhance biofilm formation.

**Conclusion:** These results suggest that PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 play a key role in biofilm formation by *P. gingivalis*.
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**Background**

The anaerobic Gram-negative bacterium *Porphyromonas gingivalis* is considered one of the important etiological agents of periodontal disease [1]. To colonize and survive in the gingival crevice, *P. gingivalis* must be capable of sensing and responding to the prevailing environmental conditions, including variations in temperature, oxygen tension, pH, nutrient availability and the presence of other bacterial cells. *P. gingivalis* possesses transcriptional regulators that have been implicated in protection against heat shock stress or oxidative stress, such as RprY [2,3] and OxyR [4]. In addition, this bacterium and other bacteria form biofilms to protect against environmental stress [5]. Dental plaque, a multispecies biofilm, is organized on the tooth surface and periodontal tissues of the human oral cavity [6]. Oral bacteria in the biofilms survive in the gingival crevice for a long period of time, leading to gingivitis that can eventually progress into periodontitis. Understanding how bacteria escape environmental stress is very important for the prevention of periodontal disease.

Extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors serve as bacterial transcriptional regulators in the response to various stresses. The wild-type *P. gingivalis* 33277 genome encodes six ECF sigma factors (PGN_0274, PGN_0319, PGN_0450, PGN_0970, PGN_1108 and PGN_1740; GenBank: AP009380) [7]. PGN_1108 (W83 ORF number: PG1318) plays a role in the regulation of mutation frequency in the bacterium [8]. PGN_0274 (W83 ORF number: PG0162) and PGN_1740 (W83 ORF number: PG1660) may be involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of gingipain [9], and PGN_1740 (W83 ORF...
number: PG1827) is required for survival of the bacterium in the presence of oxygen and oxidative stress, hemin uptake and virulence [9,10].

In this study, to analyze the role of ECF sigma factors in P. gingivalis biofilm formation, disruption of the ECF sigma factors, except PGN_1108, was performed. The PGN_1108-defective mutant may have a mutator phenotype, and we therefore excluded it from our experiments in this study [8]. The PGN_0274 and PGN_1740-defective mutants exhibited enhanced biofilm formation, but the complemented strains did not. These results suggest that the PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 ECF sigma factors are involved in the regulation of biofilm formation in the bacterium.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and cell culture conditions**

All bacterial strains and plasmids used in the present study are listed in Table 1. P. gingivalis cells were grown anaerobically (10% CO₂, 10% H₂ and 80% N₂) in enriched brain heart infusion (BHI) broth and on enriched tryptic soy (TS) agar [11]. For blood agar plates, defibrinated laked sheep blood was added to enriched TS agar at 5%. For selection and maintenance of antibiotic-resistant P. gingivalis strains, the following antibiotics were added to the medium: 15 µg/ml erythromycin (Em), and 0.7 µg/ml tetracycline (Tc).

**Construction of ECF sigma factor mutants and complemented mutant strains**

To disrupt the ECF sigma factor genes, PGN_0274-, PGN_0319-, PGN_0450-, PGN_0970- and PGN_1740-encoding genes were PCR-amplified from the chromosomal DNA of P. gingivalis 33277 using Takara Ex Taq (Takara Bio, Otsu, Japan) and the gene-specific primers listed in Table 2. The amplified areas were a DNA fragment containing part of the 5′ end of each ECF sigma

| Table 1 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Strain or plasmid** | **Description** | **Reference or source** |
| E. coli strain | | |
| DH5α | General-purpose host strain for cloning | Invitrogen |
| P. gingivalis strain | | |
| 33277 | wild type | ATCC |
| KDP314 | PGN_0274:ermF ermAM, Em′ | This study |
| KDP315 | PGN_0319:ermF ermAM, Em′ | This study |
| KDP316 | PGN_0450:ermF ermAM, Em′ | This study |
| KDP317 | PGN_0970:ermF ermAM, Em′ | This study |
| KDP319 | PGN_1740:ermF ermAM, Em′ | This study |
| KDP314C | KDP314/pKD828, Em′ Tc′ | This study |
| KDP319C | KDP319/pKD829, Em′ Tc′ | This study |
| E. coli plasmid | | |
| pGEM-T Easy | Ap′, plasmid vector for TA cloning | Promega |
| pKD355 | Ap′, contains the ermF ermAM DNA cassette between EcoRI and BamHI of pUC18 | 12 |
| pKD814 | Ap′, contains the 1.0-kb PCR-amplified fragment (PGN_0450 region) in pGEM-T Easy | This study |
| pKD817 | Ap′, contains the 1.5-kb PCR-amplified fragment (PGN_0274 region) in pGEM-T Easy | This study |
| pKD818 | Ap′, contains the 2.0-kb PCR-amplified fragment (PGN_0319 region) in pGEM-T Easy | This study |
| pKD819 | Ap′, contains the 2.0-kb PCR-amplified fragment (PGN_0970 region) in pGEM-T Easy | This study |
| pKD821 | Ap′, contains the 2.0-kb PCR-amplified fragment (PGN_1740 region) in pGEM-T Easy | This study |
| pKD822 | Ap′ Em′, contains the ermF ermAM DNA cassette at BamHI site within PGN_0274 of pKD817 | This study |
| pKD823 | Ap′ Em′, contains the ermF ermAM DNA cassette at BamHI site within PGN_0319 of pKD818 | This study |
| pKD824 | Ap′ Em′, contains the ermF ermAM DNA cassette at BamHI site within PGN_0450 of pKD814 | This study |
| pKD825 | Ap′ Em′, contains the ermF ermAM DNA cassette at BglII site within PGN_0970 of pKD819 | This study |
| pKD827 | Ap′ Em′, contains the ermF ermAM DNA cassette at BamHI site within PGN_1740 of pKD821 | This study |
| P. gingivalis plasmid | | |
| pT-COW | Ap′ Tc′, E. coli-P. gingivalis shuttle plasmid | 14 |
| pKD828 | Ap′ Tc′, pT-COW- PGN_0274* | This study |
| pKD829 | Ap′ Tc′, pT-COW- PGN_1740* | This study |
factor gene and the upstream region of the ATG initiation codon, and a DNA fragment containing the 3′ end of each sigma factor gene and the downstream region of its stop codon. Both fragments were then ligated into the multiple cloning site of T-vector (pGEM-T Easy Vector, Promega, Tokyo, Japan). A BamHI-SacI fragment (BglII-SacI fragment for PGN_0970) containing 0.7 kb of upstream and downstream flanking regions (0.5 kb) was ligated into the SacI fragment of PGN_0274 or the BamHI fragment of PGN_1740 containing 0.7 kb of the whole ECF sigma factor gene region with its upstream and downstream flanking regions (0.5 kb). The amplified DNA fragments were ligated into the multiple cloning site of pGEM-T Easy vector. The BglII-BamHI fragment of PGN_0274 or the BamHI fragment of PGN_1740 were extracted from the resulting plasmid and ligated into the PstI-BamHI site of pT-COW [14], which was kindly provided by Professor N. B. Shoemaker (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA). The resulting plasmids, pKD828 and pKD829, were introduced into KDP314 or KDP319 by electroporation, resulting in KDP314C and KDP319C, respectively, after 7 d incubation on enriched TS agar. Southern blot analysis (data not shown).

The one-way ANOVA Test/Dunnett’s Multiple Comparison Test was used to compare the differences between 33277 and ECF mutants using GraphPad Prism version 6.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Data were considered significant if \( p < 0.05 \).

### Table 2 Primers used in this study

| Name             | Nucleotide sequence (5′-3′)                                      |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| PGN0274-U-F      | TCGACAGTGGATGGCAGAT                                             |
| PGN0274-U-R-BamHI| GGGATCCGCCGCAAGGCTCAATGAACTG                                    |
| PGN0274-D-F-BamHI| GGGATCCCTGACCGGACGGCCGCTCTTGCGAAA                               |
| PGN0274-D-R      | TGTGCAAAAAAGGAACAGC                                             |
| PGN0319-U-F      | GCTGCGCTCTCCTCTCAT                                              |
| PGN0319-U-R-BamHI| GGGATTCACCAGGAGATCGTCGAGTAAGGA                                  |
| PGN0319-D-F-BamHI| GGGATTCCTCCGATCTGCCCCCTA                                       |
| PGN0319-D-R      | TCAGGCTTGTAGCAGATGGA                                            |
| PGN0450-U-F      | ACCGGGAATAATTCTCAAGC                                            |
| PGN0450-D-R      | ATGACCGCGAGCAGAGGA                                              |
| PGN0840-U-F      | GGATGTTGGAAGAAGAA                                               |
| PGN0840-D-R      | ACCGGAATAATTCTCAAGC                                             |
| PGN0970-U-F      | AAGAGCTCTTCCAAAGAGGCTCGGATAAGGA                                 |
| PGN0970-D-F-BglII| AAGAGCTCTTGGCTTCGCCAGGTACAGGA                                   |
| PGN0970-D-R      | ACACAAAGCTACAGCCGCGT                                            |
| PGN1740-U-F      | GAGAGATCCCTGGCGCATT                                             |
| PGN1740-U-R-BamHI| GGGATTCACCAGCAGCCTGAATGGA                                      |
| PGN1740-D-F-BamHI| GGGATTCGCTCAGTCTGCAATGCA                                       |
| PGN1740-D-R      | CGAAGCTCGTACTGCTGCAATGCA                                       |
| PGN0274-COMP-U-F-PstI | CGTTCAGGGTGCAGTCTGCTTGCGAGCTG                                   |
| PGN0274-COMP-D-R-BamHI | GGGATCCGGTGGTTGTTGAGCTGCAAT                                    |
| PGN1460-COMP-U-F-BamHI | CGGGATCCGGATGTCAGATCCTCAGGATCAG                                 |
| PGN1460-COMP-D-R-BamHI | CGGGATCCGGATGTCAGATCCTCAGGATCAG                                 |

Restriction sites incorporated into oligonucleotides for subcloning are bold.

Evaluation of biofilm formation ability

Biofilm formation was examined by the modified protocol of Saito [15]. In brief, *P. gingivalis* cells were inoculated into BHI broth, and precultured anaerobically at 37°C for 2 d. Fully grown cultures of the *P. gingivalis* strains had turbidity adjusted to \( \text{OD}_{660} = 0.1 \) with fresh medium, and then 1.5-ml aliquots were inoculated into collagen type-I-coated 12-well flat-bottom microplates (IWAKI Glass Co., Funabashi, Japan) and cultured anaerobically at 37°C for 2 d. The culture medium was then removed from each well and 0.5 ml of 0.1% crystal violet solution was added. After 15 min, the wells were rinsed three times with PBS and air-dried. The crystal violet remaining in the biofilm was solubilized with 0.5 ml of 1% SDS and absorbance was measured at \( \text{A}_{600} \) using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Biofilm mass was determined by crystal violet staining and adjusted for growth (\( \text{A}_{600} \) units per \( \text{OD}_{660} \) unit).

Statistical analysis

The one-way ANOVA Test/Dunnett’s Multiple Comparison Test was used to compare the differences between 33277 and ECF mutants using GraphPad Prism version 6.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Data were considered significant if \( p < 0.05 \).
Results

Growth and biofilm formation ability of the *P. gingivalis* ECF sigma factor mutants

All five ECF mutants grew more slowly than the wild-type strain in exponential phase, and final yields of the ECF mutants were less than that of the wild-type following a 48-h incubation under anaerobic conditions (Figure 1). The PGN_1740 mutant showed remarkably slow growth compared with wild-type and other ECF mutants. To evaluate the relationship between the biofilm formation activity and ECF sigma factors, the biofilm formation was examined for the wild-type and five ECF mutants. After crystal violet staining of the biofilm, it was first solubilized with ethanol. The crystal violet remaining in the wild-type, PGN_0319, PGN_0450, PGN_0970 and PGN_1740 mutant biofilm was solubilized and extracted, but in the PGN_0274 mutant, solubilization and extraction were not complete (see Additional file 1). Thus, the biofilm mass of all tested strains was dissolved with SDS and measured. Among the ECF mutants, the biofilm mass of the PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 mutants was higher than the wild-type (Figure 2). To confirm collagen type-I influences biofilm formation, we investigated the biofilm formation using a non-coated plate. The results were almost the same (see Additional file 2), as the PGN_0274 mutant produced more biofilm than the wild-type. However, the wild-type strain and the PGN_1740 mutant were not statistically different. This result suggested the biofilm formation by the PGN_1740 mutant was influenced by the environmental situation, such as the presence of collagen type-I.

Complementation of the PGN_0274- and PGN_1740-defective mutants

To determine if the enhanced biofilm mass was caused by the deletion of PGN_0274 and PGN_1740, we constructed strains where the PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 were restored. The PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 complemented strains were constructed by introduction of the pT-COW containing the wild-type PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 into each of the mutants. This complementation restored the biofilm formation ability to the wild-type levels (Figure 3). These results support the concept that PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 play an important role in controlling *P. gingivalis* biofilm formation.

Discussion

Bacteria sometimes encounter an environment unfavorable to their survival. The human oral microbiota is also often influenced by various stresses; hence, it must possess the ability to defend itself. Two principal regulatory mechanisms interact with cytoplasmic and extracytoplasmic regions via alternative ECF sigma factors and phosphorylation-dependent response regulators (two-component systems, TCSs) [16,17]. ECF sigma factors have been shown to regulate cell envelope-related processes (involving maintenance of the membrane/periplasmic architecture), such as secretion, synthesis of exopolysaccharides, iron export and efflux synthesis of extracellular proteases [18]. Bacterial core RNA polymerase (composed of two α subunits, β subunit and β' subunit) binds sigma factors. Multiple sigma factors are the bacterial transcription initiation factors that enable specific binding of RNA
Figure 2 Biofilm formation by homotypic P. gingivalis 33277 or ECF sigma factor mutants. The strains were grown on enriched BHI broth anaerobically at 37°C in collagen type-I-coated 12-well flat-bottom microplates. After 48 h of cultivation, the organized biofilm mass was evaluated by staining with crystal violet. (a) The photographs are a representative sample of each experimental strain. (b) Biofilm formation determined by crystal violet staining and adjusted for growth ($A_{600}$ units per $OD_{660}$ unit). The data shown are mean ± SD of triplicate experiments. ***, $p < 0.001$, by a one-way ANOVA Test/Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Test.

Figure 3 Biofilm formation by homotypic P. gingivalis 33277, ECF sigma factor mutant and complemented mutant strain. The strains were grown on enriched BHI broth anaerobically at 37°C in collagen type-I-coated 12-well flat-bottom microplates. After 48 h of cultivation, the organized biofilm mass was evaluated by staining with crystal violet. (a) Biofilm formation of 33277, PGN_0274 mutant and the complemented mutant strain were compared. (b) Biofilm formation of 33277, PGN_1740 mutant and the complemented mutant strain were compared. Biofilm formation determined by crystal violet staining and adjusted for growth ($A_{600}$ units per $OD_{660}$ unit). The data shown are mean ± SD of triplicate experiments. *, $p < 0.05$, and ***, $p < 0.001$, by a one-way ANOVA Test/Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Test.
polymerase to gene promoters. In contrast, TCSs typically consist of a membrane-bound histidine kinase that senses a specific environmental stimulus and a corresponding response regulator that mediates the cellular response, mostly through differential expression of target genes [19]. Interestingly, a transcriptional regulator in *Methylobacterium extorquens*, PhyR, has been identified and determined to combine domains of both systems [20]. Taken together, ECF sigma factors and TCS are essential factors that protect bacteria from environmental stress.

Several *P. gingivalis* ECF sigma factors have been previously described. Nevertheless, there is no information on the ECF sigma factors that may operate in this bacterium in response to biofilm formation. In *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, ECF sigma factors are involved in regulating biofilm development [21,22]. In this study, we investigated whether biofilm formation of *P. gingivalis* is regulated by ECF sigma factors. This study demonstrated that PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 mutants yielded higher biofilm formation than that obtained with the wild-type or the other ECF sigma factor mutants. The inactivation of PGN_1740 also increased the expression of fimS at the transcriptional level [9]. Fimbriae and minor fimbriae influence monospecies biofilms [23]. The transcriptional level of fimS was examined using RT-PCR, which showed the fimS expression was down-regulated (see Additional file 3). The results showed FimS may not be involved in controlling biofilm formation. Further work is needed to clarify this point.

The biofilm assay revealed that ethanol did not completely dissolve the biofilm mass and extract the crystal violet stain for the PGN_0274 mutant biofilm (see Additional file 1). Therefore, we dissolved the biofilm mass with SDS and measured the resulting crystal violet present in the sample. The need for a more stringent solvent suggested the biofilm matrix around the mutant is composed partly of a protein component. The biofilm extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), composed of exopolysaccharides, proteins, nucleic acids and lipids, play a role as a defense structure, protecting bacteria from the host immune system and antimicrobial therapy [24]. Protein is a major component of EPS [25]. As the metabolic pathways of the PGN_0274 mutant are changed by the loss of the PGN_0274 ECF sigma factor, the protein yields in the PGN_0274 mutant are more abundant than those in the wild-type and the other mutants. Therefore, we examined the protein profile of the ECF sigma mutants compared with the wild-type (see Additional file 4). The degradation of 75-k–250-k Da proteins were demonstrated in the wild-type, PGN_0319, PGN_0450, PGN_0970 and PGN_1740 mutants, but not in the PGN_0274 mutant. This alteration was not observed in the presence of the proteinase inhibitors TLCK and leupeptin. The protein profiles of the wild-type and ECF sigma mutants were almost identical. Taken together, these results suggest this apparent difference in solubility might be explained by the decrease of Kgp and Rgp activity in the PGN_0274 mutant [9]. Kgp suppresses biofilm formation and Rgp controls microcolony morphology [26]. The sinR ortholog PGN_0088, a transcriptional regulator, acts as a negative regulator of exopolysaccharide accumulation in wild-type *P. gingivalis* [27]. PGN_0274 may control different metabolic pathways than PGN_0088 and act as a negative regulator of protein accumulation.

In conclusion, we have identified that PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 play a key role in *P. gingivalis* biofilm formation. These results show for the first time that *P. gingivalis* ECF sigma factors are involved in biofilm formation. PGN_0274 is involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of gingipains [8]. Gingipain is a very important virulence factor in *P. gingivalis*, because gingipains destroy periodontal tissue, immunoglobulins and complement factors [28,29]. As PGN_1740 plays a significant role in oxidative stress responses in the bacterium [8,9], the survival of the PGN_1740 mutant was reduced in the presence of host cells [9]. We also observed this in Ca9-22 cells (data not shown). Taken together, these results show that ECF sigma factors PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 are involved in the virulence of *P. gingivalis*. Further studies on the roles of the *P. gingivalis* ECF sigma factors, PGN_0274 and PGN_1740, will help us understand the ability of *P. gingivalis* to colonize and survive in the gingival crevice, and therefore act as a human pathogen.

**Conclusions**

The mass of the biofilm of the PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 mutants was higher than that in the wild-type, suggesting *P. gingivalis* extracytoplasmic function sigma factors PGN_0274 and PGN_1740 are involved in biofilm formation.
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