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Abstract Electrical grid-powered aeration is used in most pond-based systems and equalization basins at activated sludge wastewater treatment plants to provide the mixing and oxygenation that enables bacteria to digest organic-matter. Oxygen also is needed in the near-surface water of all ponds and basins to provide an “odor cap” by oxidizing malodorous sulfur compounds, preventing their release to air. Much more aeration typically is needed to mix than oxygenate the wastewater. This imbalance causes an operational inefficiency in that grid-power is used to supply more oxygen than needed. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency concluded that the use of solar-powered circulation (SPC) technology reduces the need to aerate, operational costs, and greenhouse gas emissions associated with electrical power generation. However, the Agency did not quantify electrical, water quality, or other parameters.

The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services directed a 3-site study to quantify the ability of SPC to replace some or all aeration while maintaining good effluent water quality. Water quantity and quality, odor event, and kilowatt-hour consumption and expenditure data were collected 1 year prior to, and 2 years during, SPC treatment at pond-based treatment plants in Pittsfield and Exeter, and at the activated sludge treatment plant in Rochester. Final effluent water quality was maintained, no effluent violations or odor events occurred, and sludge buildup was minimal during the SPC study period. Electricity usage and costs declined by about 38% in Pittsfield and Exeter, and by about 87% in Rochester, resulting in carbon dioxide emission reductions of 273,161, 918,183, and 1,082,509 kg, respectively. Payback periods ranged from 1.9 to 3.7 years. SPC improved operational efficiency at the plants by reducing grid-power consumption while operational objectives were met. The 25-year expected lifetime of SPC units with minimal maintenance requirements indicated long-term reductions in operational expenses and greenhouse gas emissions.
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Introduction

Electrical grid-powered aeration is used in most municipal and industrial wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) ponds to both mix and oxygenate wastewater (10 State Standards...
Mixing and oxygenating wastewater in pond-based systems and equalization basins at activated sludge treatment plants suspends organic solids and enables aerobic digestion by bacteria. Oxygen also is needed in the near-surface water of all ponds and basins to provide an “odor cap” by oxidizing malodorous sulfur compounds, preventing their release to air. However, much more aeration typically is needed to mix than oxygenate the wastewater. This imbalance creates an operational inefficiency; the use of aerators to intensively mix the wastewater supplies much more dissolved oxygen (DO) than the aerobic bacteria can consume. The excessive use of aeration at WWTPs increases grid-power consumption and associated greenhouse gas emissions, as well as operational costs.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency evaluated the ability of solar-powered circulation technology (SPC; Hudnell 2010a, b; Hudnell et al. 2010) to replace grid-powered aeration at four WWTPs (EPA 2005). SPC creates long distance circulation of the water column between the surface and the depth at which the radial intake hose is set (Hudnell et al. 2010). The Agency concluded that SPC reduced grid-powered aeration usage, grid-power consumption and operational costs, as well as odor events, sludge buildup and greenhouse gas emissions (EPA 2005). However, the Agency did not quantify electrical, water quality, or other parameters. The current study, directed by the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES), sought to quantify the effects of SPC on operational efficiency, water quality, odor events, and sludge thickness at three WWTPs.

Mixing improves processing efficiency by distributing DO and homogeneously blending total suspended solids (TSS) and dissolved wastewater constituents (EPA 2002a, b). Oxygenation supplies the DO required by bacteria to digest influent organic matter aerobically. Aerobic and facultative bacteria operating aerobically rapidly digest the organic matter when the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) of the influent organic matter aerobically. Aerobic and facultative bacteria operating aerobically rapidly digest the organic matter when the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) of the influent water is met (EPA 2002a, b). The digestion process yields water and carbon dioxide (CO₂), and reduces carbon concentration in the water when mixing promotes emission of the carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. Facultative bacteria operating anaerobically in the amorphous slurry near pond bottoms also digest organic matter, using oxygen supplied by nitrate (NO₃⁻) and sulfate (SO₄²⁻). This digestion process yields acids, alcohols, hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), and other toxic and malodorous sulfur compounds (Lyberatos and Skiadas 1999). Anaerobic bacteria beneath the slurry in the weight-bearing sludge ingests the acids and alcohols, producing carbon dioxide and methane (CH₄) through fermentation (Lyberatos and Skiadas 1999). Mixing promotes release of the carbon dioxide and methane to air, thereby further lowering carbon concentrations in the water. Maintaining oxygen in the top few centimeters or more of ponds provides an “odor cap” by oxidizing the malodorous sulfur compounds to odorless sulfate (SO₄²⁻).

Nitrogen from influent ammonium ions (NH₄⁺) is released to air as elemental nitrogen gas (N₂) when nitrification is followed by denitrification. Autotrophic, nitrifying bacteria oxidize ammonium ions to nitrite (NO₃⁻), and further to nitrate, using DO for energy production and bicarbonates (forms of HCO₃⁻) for cellular carbon (EPA 2002b). The rate of nitrification is highest at pH of about 7.5–9.0 and temperature of about 15–35°C (Shammas 1986). Denitrification primarily occurs when facultative bacteria oxidize organic matter in anaerobic areas of ponds. Heterotrophic, denitrifying bacteria reduce nitrate to nitrogen oxides (e.g., NO⁻, NO₃⁻), and ultimately nitrogen gas, during respiration. The rate of denitrification is highest at pH of about 7.5–8.5 and temperatures of about 25–35°C (Zumft 1997). The nitrogen concentration in water declines as nitrogen gas is emitted to the atmosphere.

Grid-powered aeration consumes most of the electricity used in WWTPs (10 State Standards 1997). Approximately, 0.83 kW of power (equal to 1 horsepower assuming an electric motor efficiency of 92%) for aeration is required to produce 0.9 kg DO/h (2 lbs DO/h; 10 State Standards 1997; Joint Task Force 1988). Each kilogram of influent BOD requires approximately 1.5 kg DO for digestion in extended aeration ponds (10 State Standards 1997). Approximately, 4.6 kg DO are required to convert 1 kg of ammonium ions to nitrate during nitrification, but most of this DO is recovered if denitrification follows nitrification in the same pond (Joint Task Force 1988). Power requirements for oxygenation and mixing are calculated separately, and the larger of the two, usually mixing, plus 15–20% excess capacity typically is installed (10 State Standards 1997).

Partial mix pond systems with 3–5 relatively large cells and 30–180 day detention times are the most common aerated pond systems in the U.S. Mixing requires approximately 10–12 kW/m³ (12–15 hp/1,000,000 gal) wastewater to supply in the primary cell, and 5–6 kW/m³ (6–8 hp/1,000,000 gal) wastewater in subsequent cells (Joint Task Force 1988). The power required for mixing is usually much greater than that required for oxygenation in partial mix systems (10 State Standards 1997; EPA 2002a).

Total mix systems, relatively uncommon in the U.S., were designed to eliminate the inefficiency caused by the imbalance of power needed for mixing and oxygenation. A small primary cell is generally sized to equalize the power needed for mixing and oxygenation at 25 kW/m³ (30 hp/1,000,000 gal) wastewater (Joint Task Force 1988). The second cell is usually the last cell, and requires about 5 kW/m³ (6 hp/1,000,000 gal) wastewater to suppress algae that could cause BOD and/or TSS permit violations (Joint Task Force 1988; Rich 1999).
Waste sludge storage ponds, sometimes used in activated sludge systems, are usually aerated to provide an "odor cap" of 2 mg/L DO or more in the top 0.1–0.5 m to oxidize hydrogen sulfide and other malodorous sulfur compounds to odorless sulfate. Although algae and surface reaeration often supply the required DO, odor events can occur when aerobic digestion of dissolved organics in the upper water column depletes the DO. Odor events also may occur in unmixed ponds during seasonal turnovers when the sulfur compounds move with bottom water to the surface without passing through oxygenated water.

This report describes the water quality, odor control, sludge thickness, and kilowatt-hour (kWh) consumption and expenditure data 1 year prior to, and 2 years during, SPC treatment in the NHDES study at three WWTPs using aerated ponds in New Hampshire. SPC was expected to supply all required mixing and at least some of the required oxygen through surface reaeration at the air-to-water interface, and through entrainment of photosynthetically produced oxygen (EPA 2005).

Methods

Study goals and objectives

The goal of the NHDES study was to increase operational efficiency by reducing grid-power consumption at WWTPs while meeting National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) standards. Objectives of the studies were to monitor water quality parameters, malodorous events, and electricity consumption and expenditures for 1 year before, and 2 years during, SPC, and to monitor sludge and slurry thickness during the SPC-treatment period.

Study sites

The Water Department in the town of Pittsfield, New Hampshire, operates a secondary treatment plant using aerated ponds. The plant, built in 1977, consists of an open, earthen-impoundment pond divided into two cells by a curtain, and two additional earthen-impoundment cells (Fig. 1a). The final effluent is disinfected and dechlorinated prior to discharge to the Suncook River.

Parametric descriptions of the four cells are provided in Table 1. Prior to initiating SPC, Cells 1a and 1b each used two 12.5 kW (15 hp) aerators (50 kW) continuously, whereas Cell 2 used two aerators of 5 kW (6 hp) each (10 kW) continuously, and Cell 3 used one 5 kW aerator continuously. The total power needed to operate the aerators was 65 kW. Single SolarBee® SB10000 solar-powered circulation units were placed in Cells 1a and 1b, and single SB2500 units were placed in Cells 2 and 3, shortly before SPC was initiated in April 2006 (Fig. 1a).

Effluent limits as issued by the NHDES through the NPDES were TSS: monthly mean = 30 mg/L, weekly mean = 45 mg/L, daily maximum = 50 mg/L; CBOD (carbon based BOD): monthly mean = 25 mg/L, weekly mean = 40 mg/L, daily maximum = 45 mg/L; and pH = 6.5–8.0 su at all times. No NPDES limits were set for DO, ammonia or nitrate.

The Water and Sewer Department in the town of Exeter, New Hampshire, operates a secondary treatment plant using aerated ponds. The plant was built in 1965 and upgraded in 1990. Wastewater enters a grit chamber prior to processing in three open, earthen-impoundment ponds (Fig. 1b). The final effluent is disinfected and dechlorinated prior to discharge to the Squamscott River.

Parametric descriptions of the three cells are provided in Table 1. Prior to initiating SPC, 14 grid-powered mechanical aerators of 12.5 kW (15 hp) each (175 kW) provided continuous mixing and oxygenation in Cell 1, whereas Cell 2 used eight aerators of 6.65 kW (10 hp) each (53.2 kW) continuously, and Cell 3 used five aerators of 6.2 kW (7.5 hp) each (31 kW) continually. The total power needed to operate the aerators was 259.2 kW. Two SolarBee® SB10000 solar-powered circulators were placed in each of the three cells shortly before SPC was initiated in April 2006 (Fig. 1b).

Effluent limits were TSS and BOD: monthly mean = 30 mg/L, weekly mean = 45 mg/L, daily maximum = 50 mg/L; ammonia: summer (June-October) monthly and daily mean = 20.5 mg/L, winter (November–May) reporting required, but no limits set; and pH = 6.5–8.0 su at all times. No NPDES limits were set for DO or nitrate.

The Public Works Department in the City of Rochester, New Hampshire, operates an activated sludge, advanced tertiary-treatment plant. Three open, earthen-impoundment ponds were built in 1986 (Fig. 1c). The plant was converted from a pond-based system to an activated sludge system in 2000. Cells 1 and 2 were converted at that time from aerated raw septage and sludge storage ponds to side-stream, aerated, equalization basins. The third cell became the activated sludge reactor basin. The reactor basin effluent is disinfected with ultra violet light prior to discharge to the Cocheco River.

Parametric descriptions of the two equalization basins are provided in Table 1. Prior to initiating SPC, both cells were aerated continuously with a single 103.75 kW (125 hp) positive displacement blower connected to a fine-bubble tubing system. Impetus for the conversion to SPC was a scheduled 17% rate increase for grid power. Three SolarBee® SB100000 solar-powered circulators were placed in Cell 1, and two were placed in Cell 2, in April 2006 (Fig. 1c). The equalization basins received sludge from
Cell 3, raw septage, equalization flow, filter backwash and raw sewage during the rainy season. In addition to the NHDES goals, goals at Rochester during SPC included: (1) elimination of all aeration usage; (2) DO levels sufficient to prevent odor events; (3) a reduced rate of sludge buildup and (4) 30–40% BOD reduction before delivery of the effluent to the activated sludge reactor basin.

Effluent limits for TSS and BOD were: summer monthly mean = 6 mg/L and 114.3 kg/d, weekly mean = 6 mg/L and 114.3 kg/d, daily maximum = 9 mg/L and 171.5 kg/d; winter monthly mean = 13 mg/L and 247.7 kg/d, weekly mean = 13 mg/L and 400.1 kg/d, daily maximum = 23 mg/L and 437.7 kg/d; ammonia: summer monthly mean = 3.61 mg/L and 68.9 kg/d, daily maximum = 4.31 mg/L and 82.1 kg/d, and winter monthly mean = 7.65 mg/L and 145.6 kg/d, daily maximum = 26.30 mg/L and 500.8 kg/d; and DO ≥ 7 mg/L and pH = 6.5–8.0 su at all times. No NPDES limits were set for nitrate.

Study design and measurement endpoints

The study used a paired-sample experimental design with pre- and during-SPC-treatment periods. The data were collected from April 2005 through March 2006 when grid-powered aeration was used exclusively (pre-SPC), and from April 2006 through March 2008 when SPC was supplemented by grid-powered aeration as needed to maintain DO at ≥2 mg/L (during-SPC) in Pittsfield and Exeter. No aeration was used in Rochester during the SPC study period.

The NHDES required measurements as specified in the NPDES permits, continuous measurement of influent and effluent flow into and out of the WWTPs, and daily recording
Table 1 Location, cell, and treatment parameters

|                     | Pittsfield |           |           | Exeter |           |           | Rochester |           |           |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                     | Cell 1a    | Cell 1b   | Cell 2    | Cell 3 | Cell 1    | Cell 2    | Cell 3    | Cell 1    | Cell 2    |
| Surface area (km²)  | 0.0054     | 0.0054    | 0.0053    | 0.0053 | 0.036     | 0.034     | 0.030     | 0.046     | 0.028     |
| Operating depth (m) | 3.35       | 3.35      | 3.35      | 3.35   | 2.59      | 2.59      | 2.59      | 2.42      | 2.42      |
| Operating volume (km³) | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Influent depth (m)  | 3.25       | 3.25      | 3.25      | 3.25   | 1.22      | 1.22      | 1.22      | 1.22      | 1.22      |
| Effluent depth (m)  | 3.00       | 3.00      | 3.00      | 3.00   | 1.52      | 1.52      | 1.52      | 1.52      | 1.52      |
| Discharge method    | C          | C         | C         | C      | C         | C         | C         | C/f      | C/f      |
| Detention time (days) | 19.4     | 19.4      | 19.4      | 19.4   | 11.6      | 11.0      | 9.8       | 62.5      | 38.6      |
| # SPC units         | 1          | 1         | 1°        | 1°     | 2         | 2         | 2         | 3         | 2         |
| Surface area (km²)/SPC unit | 0.0054   | 0.0054    | 0.0053    | 0.0053 | 0.018     | 0.017     | 0.015     | 0.015     | 0.014     |
| Mean intake depth (m) | 1.21     | 1.21      | 1.87      | 2.09   | 1.37      | 1.52      | 1.52      | 0.61      | 0.61      |
| Water volume circulated (km³) | $6.02 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.02 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.12 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.92 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.16 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.58 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.77 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.71 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Water (km³) circulated/SPC unit (km³) | $6.02 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.02 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.12 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.46 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.58 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.29 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.24 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.57 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| SPC circulation rate (km³/day) | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.36 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.36 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Total circulation rate (km³/day) | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.36 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.36 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.65 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Turnover duration (days) | 0.11     | 0.11      | 0.74      | 0.82   | 0.45      | 0.47      | 0.42      | 0.17      | 0.16      |

C continuous, I intermittent, NA not available, V variable

a Weir gate—0.61–3.0 m from bottom

b 3 sluice gate—top 0.61–1.52 m, middle 1.52–2.44 m, bottom 2.44–3.52 m from surface
c Spring & fall continuous, Winter & summer intermittent
d Based on mean effluent flow rate for the entire study period
e All SPC units were SB10000 models except SB2500 models indicated by a superscript “e”
f The duration required for the SPC units to circulate all the water between the surface and mean intake depth one time
of climatic conditions throughout the two study periods. BOD, TSS, DO, and pH in final and treated cell effluents were measured weekly using standard methods (Eaton et al. 2005). Effluent ammonia and nitrate levels were measured as required in the NPDES permits or additionally at the discretion of the WWTPs, also using standard methods (Eaton et al. 2005). The NHDES also required that DO levels be maintained at \( \geq 2 \) mg/L in each study cell. The raw data were converted into mean monthly values for this report.

Malodorous events were monitored continuously using olfactory perception and telemonitoring. All three WWTPs had potential for odor events because compounds such as hydrogen sulfide are produced through anaerobic digestion at the bottom of virtually all wastewater ponds.

Sludge and slurry thickness were measured at the beginning and end of the during-SPC study period, and once or twice per year during that study period. Weight-bearing sludge and slurry layer thicknesses were measured at multiple locations in each cell using a standardized method (SolarBee 2008). Briefly, a 1723.7 Pa (0.25 psi) weight was attached to one end of a 4.57 m (15 ft) long, 6.35 mm (0.25 in) wide, braided PVC tubing with length delineations, whereas the other end was attached to a portable sampler pump with peristaltic head located in a boat. An additional tube attached to the pump discharged the sampled water. The weighted tubing was lowered until the sampled water suddenly changed color to dark brown, indicating the slurry top. The tubing was lowered farther until the weight was supported, indicating the top of the weight-bearing sludge, and then the entire procedure was repeated at least once. A pole with a blunt end and length delineations was forced through the sludge to the bottom of the cell, indicating the sludge bottom. The values measured at multiple locations were averaged to characterize conditions and monitor changes over time. The values for grid-power usage in kWh and cost were provided monthly by the electric utility.

SPC technology

Each SolarBee®, Inc., SPC unit (Fig. 2) consisted of three pontoons that provided buoyancy for above water, near surface and underwater components (SolarBee 2010a). Solar panels, a low-voltage (originally 12 V, now 18 V), high-efficiency brushless (gearless) motor, a digital-electronic control box, and accessories were mounted on an above-water frame. A distribution dish, impeller and battery were suspended from the frame just below the surface. A 0.914 m diameter, flexible, intake hose was attached to the frame at the base of the impeller. A steel plate suspended 0.305 m beneath the hose intake caused water to be drawn in radially with near-laminar flow. Adjustments of chains attached to the plate and frame controlled intake depth. Additional chains attached to the frame and two moorings maintained the spatial position of the unit. The battery powered the motor to rotate the impeller at 60–80 RPM (all newer, and most older, units now rotate at 80 RPM) 24 h/day, 7 days/week. If prolonged periods of low light incidence caused the battery charge to fall below 60%, the electronic controller switched on grid-power to charge the battery. The units transported approximately 37,850 L/min of water to the surface (Ohio EPA 2004; San Francisco 2004; JVA 2006; Hudnell 2009). Approximately, 11,355 L/min of direct flow ascended through the hose, and another 26,495 L/min of induced flow ascended external to the hose. Water departed radially from the units without turbulence, both above and below the distribution dish. The outflow mixed with other surface currents to redistribute water across the treatment area. The units were designed for low maintenance (SolarBee 2010b) and a 25-year lifetime (SolarBee 2010c).

Statistical analyses

All descriptive and inferential statistical procedures were performed using Microsoft® Excel® 2008 for Mac, Version 12.2.3. Average values and variances are reported as the mean ± the standard deviation of population samples. The pre- versus during-SPC comparisons were assessed for statistically significant differences using two-tailed, equal variance, Student’s \( t \) tests with \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Correlations between variables were assessed by deriving Pearson correlation coefficients, and tested for significance using a table of critical values for the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient for two-tailed tests with \( \alpha = 0.05 \).
Results

Pittsfield, a partial mix pond system

Water flow and quality parameters showed no statistically significant differences between the pre- and during-SPC-treatment periods at the Pittsfield WWTP, and no NPDES violations occurred during either study period. Pre- and post-SPC mean monthly influent flow rates were $28.5 \pm 9.1$ and $25.8 \pm 7.7 \times 10^3$ m$^3$/mth ($P > 0.05$), respectively, whereas corresponding effluent flow rates were $29.9 \pm 14.3$ and $25.8 \pm 9.9 \times 10^3$ m$^3$/mth ($P > 0.05$, Fig. 3a). Pre- and during-SPC mean monthly levels were similar: $15.1 \pm 8.0$ and $14.2 \pm 8.3$ mg/L ($P > 0.05$) for TSS, and $7.8 \pm 4.0$ and $10.7 \pm 6.8$ mg/L ($P > 0.05$) for CBOD, respectively (Fig. 3b). TSS and CBOD levels varied together in similar patterns before and during SPC ($r = 0.62, P < 0.05$); maximum levels generally occurred during late winter and spring, whereas minima were observed during late summer and fall. DO and pH ($r = 0.70, P < 0.05$) showed seasonal variations similar to those of TSS and CBOD (Fig. 3c), and DO concentration was associated with CBOD level ($r = 0.44, P < 0.05$), but not with TSS ($r = 0.06, P > 0.05$). Pre- and during-SPC mean monthly levels did not differ significantly for DO, $9.7 \pm 2.5$ and $9.1 \pm 3.0$ mg/L ($P > 0.05$), or pH, $7.2 \pm 0.4$ and $6.9 \pm 0.3$ su ($P > 0.05$). Ammonia concentrations (Fig. 3d) also varied seasonally in a pattern similar to TSS ($r = 0.80, r < 0.05$) and CBOD ($r = 0.45, P < 0.05$), were positively correlated with DO ($r = 0.52, P < 0.05$) and pH ($r = 0.54, P < 0.05$), and varied inversely with nitrate levels ($r = -0.60, P < 0.05$). Few ammonia, and no nitrate, measures were collected during the pre-SPC study period, but the available pre-SPC

Fig. 3 Data collected at the Pittsfield WWTP during the study, April 2005 to March 2008. The SB10000 SPC units operated continuously in Cells 1a and 1b during the SPC study period, and grid-powered aeration was used intermittently to increase DO concentrations. Usage of the smaller SB2500 units in Cells 2 and 3 was discontinued in June 2007, whereas grid-powered aeration usage was increased (see “Discussion” section). Mean monthly influent and effluent water volumes were comparable during the pre- and during-SPC study periods (a). Effluent TSS and CBOD levels were significantly associated, and varied with yearly seasons during both study periods (b). Similar seasonal variations were observed in effluent DO and pH concentrations (c). Effluent ammonia concentrations also showed a similar seasonal variation, and were significantly and inversely associated with effluent nitrate concentration (d). Although pre-SPC ammonia concentration was measured only in April, July, and December, concentrations were similar to those observed during the same months in the during-SPC study period.
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ammonia measures indicated a pattern similar to that observed during SPC (Fig. 3d). Mean monthly ammonia concentration was 6.8 ± 8.8 mg/L, and mean monthly nitrate concentration was 5.2 ± 3.9 mg/L, during-SPC.

Malodorous events were not experienced at any time during the study, but were experienced occasionally prior to the study. Sludge was removed from the cells a few months before study commencement. Changes in sludge thickness during SPC were: Cell 1a = -0.02 m; Cell 1b = +0.13 m; Cell 2 = +0.12 m and; Cell 3 = +0.10 m. Changes in combined sludge and slurry thickness were: Cell 1a = +0.04 m; Cell 1b = +0.19 m; Cell 2 = +0.19 m and; Cell 3 = +0.20 m.

Grid power usage and expenditures before and during SPC are shown in Fig. 4a. Mean monthly usage and expenditure decreased during SPC by 18,712 kWh and $2,256, respectively. Annualized usage declined by 36%, respectively, resulting in a 2.9 year payback period, at Pittsfield (a). The usage and cost increase beginning in June 2007 reflects the removal of the SPC units from Cells 2 and 3 and increased aeration in those cells to reduce algal density and maintain DO >2 mg/L. Consumption and expenditures decreased during SPC by about 38 and 37%, respectively, resulting in a 3.7 year pay back period, at Exeter (b). Comparable figures in Rochester were 89 and 86%, respectively, resulting in a 1.9 year pay back period (c). The Rochester data do not include electricity used for diffused bubble aeration in Cell 3, the activated sludge reactor basin. The decreased spread between usage and cost at Pittsfield and Rochester during SPC was due to kWh rate decreases when usage declined $27,068, resulting in a payback period of 3.7 years for the two SPC units in Cells 1a and 1b. Use of the smaller SPC units in Cells 2 and 3 was discontinued in June 2007, and the usage of grid-powered aeration was increased in these cells. Those two units were returned to SolarBee, Inc., for reasons described in the “Discussion” section.

Exeter, a partial mix pond system

Water flow and quality at the Exeter WWTP were similar during the pre- and during-SPC study periods, and no NPDES violations occurred during either study period. The pre- and during-SPC mean monthly effluent flow rates were comparable at 250.9 ± 80.4 10^3 m^3/mth, and 229.3 ± 94.0 10^3 m^3/mth ($P > 0.05$) during the two study periods. Mean monthly TSS, BOD, pH and ammonia levels during SPC, 17.9 ± 7.0 mg/L, 14.4 ± 6.4 mg/L, 7.0 ± 0.3 su, and 10.4 ± 6.9 mg/L, did not differ significantly ($P > 0.05$)
from the levels observed before SPC, 12.7 ± 7.9 mg/L, 12.0 ± 4.7 mg/L, 7.2 ± 0.2 su, and 9.9 ± 4.9 mg/L, respectively. Pre- and during-SPC mean monthly removal efficiencies for TSS were 89 and 87%, and for BOD 89 and 90%, respectively. TSS and BOD levels varied together less closely than at Pittsfield (r = 0.40, P < 0.05) and seasonal trends were less pronounced. The mean monthly DO level during SPC, 3.1 ± 1.6 mg/L, was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than the pre-SPC level of 5.8 ± 2.4 mg/L (Fig. 5). DO concentration was significantly correlated only with pH (r = 0.64, P < 0.05; Fig. 5), a potential indication of carbon dioxide consumption and oxygen production by algae during photosynthesis. Mean monthly pH was 7.2 ± 0.2 su before SPC and 7.0 ± 0.3 su during SPC (P > 0.05), and was significantly associated with ammonia levels throughout the study (r = 0.75, P < 0.05). Ammonia concentrations showed a seasonal pattern similar to that observed at Pittsfield (Fig. 5). Mean monthly ammonia concentration prior to SPC, 9.3 ± 4.9 mg/L, was statistically the same as that observed during SPC, 10.4 ± 6.9 mg/L, P > 0.05. Nitrate concentrations were not measured.

Malodorous events were not experienced at any time during the study, but were experienced periodically prior to the study. Mean sludge depths increased by approximately 0.20 m in Cell 1, decreased by about 0.34 m in Cell 2, and increased by about 0.09 m in Cell 3 during the SPC study period. Corresponding mean sludge and slurry thickness changes were +0.23, +0.05, and +0.07 m.

Grid power usage and expenditures before and during SPC are shown in Fig. 4b. Mean monthly usage and expenditure decreased during SPC by 62,896 kWh and $6,876, respectively. Annualized usage declined by 754,753 kWh, and annualized expenditure decreased by $82,513, resulting in a payback period of 2.9 years for the six SPC units.

Malodorous events were not experienced at any time during the study. Mean sludge depths increased by approximately 0.16 m in both Cells 1 and 2 during SPC. Corresponding mean sludge and slurry thickness changes were +0.17 and +0.05 m. Operations personnel deemed
these sludge accumulation rates to be significantly less than those of the pre-SPC study period, but objective indicators were not available. The sludge depths were reported to have stabilized since the study was completed.

Grid power usage and expenditures before and during SPC are shown in Fig. 4c. Mean monthly usage and expenditure decreased during SPC by 74,150 kWh and $8,722, respectively. Portions of the decreases were due to reclassification to a lower rate schedule as usage dropped precipitously. Annualized usage declined by 88,803 kWh, and annualized expenditure decreased by $104,658, resulting in a payback period of 1.9 years for the five SPC units.

Discussion

Grid power consumption fell sharply when SPC supplied the mixing and at least part of the oxygenation previously obtained through aeration. Final effluent water quality was maintained, no NPDES violations or odor events occurred, and sludge buildup was minimal during the SPC study period. Electricity usage and cost declined during SPC at the partial mix pond systems at Pittsfield (by 37.5 and 37.2%, respectively), and Exeter (by 40.0 and 36.0%, respectively). The termination of all aeration in Rochester’s equalization basins at the start of the during-SPC period reduced electricity usage by 88.5% and cost by 86.0%. The NHDES goal of increasing operational efficiency while meeting NPDES standards was achieved. Grid-power consumption, associated greenhouse gas emissions, and operational costs decreased without compromising water quality, and resulted in carbon dioxide emission reductions of 273,161, 918,183, and 1,082,509 kg at Pittsfield, Exeter, and Rochester, respectively (1 kWh generation = 0.608 kg carbon dioxide emission, DOE & EPA 2000).

The Pittsfield data demonstrated a strong positive association between TSS and CBOD concentrations with maxima in late winter and spring, and minima in late summer and fall. High algal (i.e., chlorophyta) densities in the effluent, often observed in pond systems, may have partially caused the association. DO and pH levels, also expected to increase with algal density, increased with CBOD. The strong, negative correlation between ammonia and nitrate concentrations indicated that the rate of nitrification increased during warmer months and decreased during cooler months.

SPC was terminated in Pittsfield Cells 2 and 3 in June 2007. Aeration was used extensively from June through September 2007 to reduce algal density, resulting in the increased grid power consumption and cost shown in Fig. 4a. Aeration was used throughout the remainder of the during-SPC study period as needed to control algae and maintain DO concentration above 2 mg/L. Pittsfield WWTP personnel concluded that operational efficiency was best when SPC units were used in Cells 1a and 1b, and aeration used in Cells 2 and 3.

The TSS and BOD association was positive and significant in Exeter, but weaker and less seasonally related than that observed in Pittsfield. The strong pH and ammonia correlation may indicate that nitrification of ammonia drove down both the ammonia and pH levels as alkalinity was consumed. The positive and significant association between DO and pH likely indicates that algae produced a substantial proportion of the oxygen when pH was elevated. The maintenance of good water quality while DO levels were significantly lower during SPC (Fig. 5) indicated that more aeration was needed for mixing than for DO supply during the before-SPC study period.

The positive association between TSS and BOD was the only significant correlation among water quality parameters at the Rochester plant after aeration was terminated and SPC initiated in Cells 1 and 2. Record high raw septage and sewage inputs to the equalization basins, recorded during the second half of the during-SPC study period (data not shown), contributed to the significant increases in both parameters during SPC. Algae (i.e., chlorophyta) also increased TSS; an algal bloom followed by an expansion of the zooplankton population was observed during the TSS peak in August 2007 (Fig. 6). The equalization basins prepared effluent to enter the activated sludge reactor basin by achieving a 30–40% reduction of influent BOD throughout the during-SPC study period.

The objective of providing enough oxygen in the top 0.1–0.5 m of the water column to oxidize malodorous and potentially toxic sulfur compounds to odorless and relatively nontoxic sulfate was met at all three plants during the SPC study period. Little or no sludge accumulated in the study ponds during the SPC period.

Circulating water above the slurry in facultative and partial-mix ponds improves sludge digestion through methane and carbon dioxide formation in two ways. First, facultative bacteria operating anaerobically digest organics in the slurry, producing water, alcohols, and acids (Mata-Alvarez et al. 2000). Anaerobic bacteria in the sludge beneath the slurry utilize the alcohols and acids, producing carbon dioxide and methane gas through fermentation only when the pH is 6.2 or higher (EPA 1976). Mixing the upper water column with SPC, as opposed to grid-powered aeration, increases pH by increasing algal (i.e., chlorophyta) densities (Hudnell et al. 2010). The algae increase pH by consuming CO₂ during photosynthesis. The higher pH water diffuses into the slurry layer, neutralizing some of the acids produced during anaerobic digestion. Neutralizing the acids prevents the pH from dropping to levels that
improve wastewater mixing and gas transfer efficiency

Inhibit activity of the methane-forming bacteria (EPA 2006). Second, mixing the upper portion of the water column eliminates the neuston layer of lipids and proteins floating on the surface (Eaton et al. 2005). Methane and carbon dioxide are more easily released from water to air without the neuston cap. As methane concentration in water decreases, anaerobic bacteria increase methane formation rate and sludge digestion (Thiel 1969). Methane and carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere reduce carbon concentration and sludge thickness.

**Conclusion**

The traditional use of grid-powered, mechanical aeration to both mix and oxygenate wastewater ponds causes an operational inefficiency. The current study demonstrated that SPC provides ample mixing and a substantial proportion of the oxygen needed to produce high quality effluent. The use of SPC reduced the need to use aeration, resulting in decreased grid-power consumption and expenditures, and associated greenhouse gas emissions. Expenditures for grid power at the two pond-based treatment plants decreased by approximately 37%. The cost savings on grid power equaled the expenditures on SPC implementation in 2.9 years at Exeter and 3.7 years at Pittsfield. Much larger efficiency gains were seen at the Rochester activated sludge treatment plant where two equalization basins terminated aeration when SPC was initiated. Expenditures for grid power decreased by 86%, savings that equaled the cost of SPC implementation in 1.9 years. The 25-year expected lifetime of SPC units with minimal maintenance requirements (SolarBee 2010a, b) indicates a long-term reduction in operational expenses.
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