Neisseria gonorrhoeae resistance test against cefixime in gonorrhea patients in Surabaya
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Abstract

Gonorrhea remains as one of the most common sexually transmitted diseases in developing countries. The third generation cephalosporin such as cefixime is now one of the first-line therapies in many regions. Over the last decade, strains of Neisseria gonorrhoeae have been reported to develop high levels of resistance against several antimicrobial agent cefixime. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the susceptibility of cefixime to Neisseria gonorrhoeae. The study design was descriptive laboratory observational cross sectional from June 2017 to September 2017. Twenty isolates Neisseria gonorrhoeae taken from outpatients with positive complaints of purulent secretions, who visited 7 Community Health Centre in Surabaya and met the inclusion criterias, were tested with cefixime diffusion susceptibility test. 7 of 20 isolates (35%) were resistant to cefixime and 13 of 20 isolates (65%) sensitive to cefixime. Neisseria gonorrhoeae strain was proven to be resistant to cefixime by performing diffusion test.

Introduction

Gonorrhea is caused by the bacterium Neisseria gonorrhoeae, which is a gram negative bacterium and anaerobic facultative, and characterized by the presence of mucous-purulent secretion from urethra. Gonorrhea is generally transmitted through sexual contact with an incubation period of 2-5 days. However, Gonorrhea may also be transmitted to the fetus at the time of delivery. All susceptible age groups are infected with this disease, but their highest incidence is at age 15-35 years.1,2

Gonorrhea is one of the second most common sexually transmitted infections worldwide. The incidence of Gonorrhea is increasing every year. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), it is estimated that 62 million new cases found each year. In the United States, based on data from The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), approximately 333,004 cases of Gonorrhea were reported in 2013 and this disease is estimated to attack about 820,000 people every year.3,4 Based on data from Surabaya City Health Office, the total gonorrhea patients in all health centers in Surabaya in 2016 was 289 patients. The incidence of gonorrhea in Dr. Soetomo Hospital Surabaya in the period of 5 years (2002-2006) there were 321 (0.94%) new gonorrhea patients from total patient visits of 34,274 in the Outpatient Unit of Dr. Soetomo Hospital Surabaya, and 52.6% of those gonorrhea patients were found to be in productive age group (age 25-44 years).5

Based on a study of cefixime susceptibility to N. gonorrhoeae diffusion conducted to female sexual workers (FSW) with uncomplicated gonorrhea cervicitis, the incidence of gonorrhea in sex workers (FSW) reported at Putat Jaya Public Health Center Surabaya there were 213 new cases in 2011.6 Based on data of patient visit at Dr. Soetomo Hospital Outpatient Clinic Surabaya in 2013 there were 3 patients, 8 patients in 2014, 20 patients in 2015 and 37 patients in 2016. The tendency of resistance to N. gonorrhoeae is classified into three eras namely pre-Quinolone era, Quinolone era, and post-Quinolone era. The pre-Quinolone era is characterized by the use of sulfonamides, tetracyclines, chloramphenicol, erythromycin and penicillin. The Quinolone era is characterized by the use of quinolone derivatives as a treatment option. The post-Quinolone era is characterized by the use of a third-generation cephalosporin as an option.7

Neisseria gonorrhoeae resistance rates to antibiotics are currently increasing rapidly. Gonorrhea resistance rates against penicillin, tetracycline, and quinolone groups are also increasing, so these drug classes are not recommended as gonorrhea therapy in some countries in the world. Gonorrhea resistance to oral third-generation cephalosporin therapy has been found in many countries.8,9 In 2002 The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and WHO (World Health Organization) recommended uncomplicated gonorrhea treatment with cephalosporin groups cefixime 400 mg orally or ceftriaxone 125 mg intramuscular (IM). The CDC, in 2010, recommended dual therapies for gonorrhea with cephalosporin and either Azithromycin or Doxycycline due to an increase in N. gonorrhoeae resistance to fluoroquinolone, including ciprofloxacin. In recent years there has been report about a decrease in sensitivity to cefixime in gonorrhea cases as it was reported in Australia in 2010 and 3 cases of gonorrhea in homosexual groups in the UK and 1 patient in Austria in 2011.9,10 The sensitivity to cefixime has been reported to be decreased, as evidenced by the minimal increase inhibitory concentration (MIC) of cefixime in some cases of gonorrhea reported in Europe since 2010.10

Methods for sensitivity tests can be done with various techniques. The most commonly used method is to use diffusion discs and dilute the discs according to the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute criteria, first described by Bauer et al. Another technique is a modification to the diffusion discs as per the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS), this technique is called Etest. This Etest is then diluted using an antibiotic strip to be able to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values. The use of diffusion discs by the CLSI method is an efficient technique in countries with limited laboratory facilities, cheaper and can be readily applied to determine the inhibitory zone values of an antibiotic.11

A cefixime sensitivity test has been conducted on Neisseria gonorrhoeae at Puskesmas Putat Jaya Surabaya. In 3 months, 12 isolates of Neisseria gonorrhoeae were found from 68 Female Sex Worker (FSW) cervical secretions. The results showed that 3 of 12 isolates (25%)
were resistant to cefixime and 9 of 12 isolates (75%) were sensitive to cefixime. The high resistance of gonorrhea to antibiotics can cause decreased cure rates and incomplete treatment of gonorrhea infected patents, Hence, these patients could potentially transmit already antibiotics resistance \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} to others. The importance of treating gonorrhea infection in order to reduce the high prevalence of gonorrhoea was the basis for conducting antibiotic resistance test studies against \textit{Neisseria gonorrhoeae}. The benefits of this study are to contribute to the pattern of \textit{Neisseria gonorrhoeae} resistance to some antibiotics in gonorrhoea patients and to offer a recommendation in determining the treatment strategy of uncomplicated gonorrhoea infection. Cefixime sensitivity antibiotic susceptibility studies with diffusion from uncomplicated gonorrhoea patients in Surabaya have not been conducted and there is currently little data on the sensitivity and resistance of gonorrhoea infection to antimicrobials. Therefore, sustained monitoring of \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} antibiotics resistance is needed as part of prevention against the use of ineffective antibiotics in the treatment of gonorrhoea infection.

Between 2007 and 2012, an antimicrobial susceptibility profile report and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) for antimicrobials in India showed a decrease in susceptibility to Ceftriaxone by 1.8% in 2008, but increased significantly to 15.8% in 2010. In the year of 2010 it was reported that 11 isolates with decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone. During the study period, it also showed decreased susceptibility to cefixime and cepodoxime. Of the 11 strains, 3 were resistant to penicillin, tetracycline, and ciprofloxacin and 7 resistant to penicillin and ciprofloxacin. None of these strains are resistant to azithromycin.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in Surabaya from outpatients who visited 7 Community Health Centre. In patients who clinically met the inclusion criteria were diagnosed urethritis gonorrheae, based on anamnesis, clinical examination, gram examination, positive culture, using Thayer Martin culture containing: vancomycin to suppress the growth of gram-positive bacteria, kolimestat to suppress the growth of fungi, trimethoprim to suppress the growth of \textit{Proteus} spp, and incubated at 37°C for maximum 48 hours. In the culture the gonorrhoea will appear as white gray colony, shiny and convex. Then the identification test of oxidase, catalase and fermentation was performed. For the sensitivity test against antibiotics diffuse discs was used by assessing the antibiotic inhibition zone based on Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) standard as shown on Table 1.

| Table 1. Antibiotics Resistance and Sensitivity Criterias based on Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) 2015.

| Agent         | Diffusion disc | Inhibition diameter (mm) | Zone MIC (g/mL) |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
|               | S | I | R                  |                             |
| Ceftriaxone   | 30 g           | ≥35                      | -               | -               | ≤0.25            | -               |
| Cefixime      | 5 g            | ≥31                      | -               | -               | ≤0.25            | -               |
| Tetracycline  | 30 g           | ≥38                      | 31-37           | ≤30             | ≤0.25            | 0.5-1           | ≥2               |
| Azithromycin  | 15 g           | ≥25                      | -               | -               | ≤0.12            | -               |

Discussion

This study used 20 isolates of \textit{Neisseria gonorrhoeae} that had been cultured. There

| Table 2. Sample Distribution by Gender. |
|---------------------------------------|
| Gender   | Total | Percentage |
| Males    | 19    | 95%        |
| Females  | 1     | 5%         |
| Total    | 20    | 100%       |
was a growth of colonies, and identified to be *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* through biochemical examination. These isolates were obtained from research and subjected to meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The distribution of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* by sex in this study was found more in males with as many as 19 people (95%) than in females with only 1 person (5%).

**Figure 1. Percentage of Neisseria gonorrhoeae resistance cefixime.**

One of the reasons why more samples were acquired in from males was because 7 Community Health Centres in Surabaya, from where all the samples were taken from, were more visited by MSM (Males have Sex with Males) patients who are required to come every month for sexually transmitted infections screening, besides the symptoms of gonorrhoea in women can be asymptomatic so they don’t go to a health center.

Distribution of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* based on sexual orientation risk factor in this study resulted that heterosexual men was the highest risk factor with as many as 13 people (65%), MSM with 6 people (30%) and heterosexual women with only 1 person (5%). According to data from the Integrated Biological and Behavior Surveillance from several cities in Indonesia in 2013, the highest prevalence percentage of gonorrhoea infection was in direct risk factor for FSW (female sexual worker) by 34.8%, for MSM by 21.2% and for high-risk men by 8.5%.2

Distribution of age is also important to note, this is because the younger the person, the more susceptible that person to have gonorrhoea. Distribution based on age showed that age group 15-25 years (55%), with as many as 11 people, was the highest age group for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infection. This was followed by age group 26-35 years with as many as 7 people (35%) and group age 36-55 years with as many as 2 people (10%). This is similar to the study by Wang et al., (2013) which concluded that people with *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* were most found in the age group 15-25 years. Research by Swan and colleagues (2013) also reported that 23.9% of patients aged 25 to 29 were infected with gonorrhoea. This age group percentage is greater than those with age >30 years.13,14

Education can bring one's insight or knowledge about this matter. In general, a highly educated person will have more knowledge than a person with a lower level of education, especially knowledge of sexually transmitted infections and their effects. Based on this research, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* distribution based on education level showed that as many as 4 people (20%) had primary education, 3 (15%) had mid secondary education, 11 (55%) had secondary education and only 2 (10%) had tertiary education level. The cross sectional study conducted from Female Sex Workers (FSW) in Thailand found that gonorrhoea infection was found more in FSW with education level of mid secondary or lower by 31% compared to those with secondary level by 16%. Meanwhile, studies in Cambodia reported that FSW with low level education got infected with gonorrhoea 26.2 times to those high level of education.15

The level of education will also affect the knowledge, attitudes and behavior of people infected with gonorrhoea in having a safer sex, selecting and using antibiotics. This factor will encourage some patients to seek their own treatment and repeat the occurrence of gonorrhoea infection, and hence affects the occurrence of resistance that causes the disease to not heal. From the research conducted by Sutama (2005) on 63 (FSW) in Yogyakarta, 30% of the FSWs had mid secondary education level and 54% of the FSW had primary education level.16 This difference in education background implicated the FSW’s level of understanding and knowledge. 84% of the FSWs knew gonorrhoea not from education but through conversations among fellow FSWs and counsel with volunteers and clinic doctors. About 26% of the FSWs bought their own antibiotics at drugstores and or pharmacies, and only about 30% of them knew how to properly use antibiotics. According to research conducted in Bali on STI patients who sought treatment from private doctors, 1613 of 2834 people (56.9%) managed to cure their own diseases. Among the antibiotics purchased 50% were “Supertetra” and 17% amoxicillin (85%). The way patients obtained the antibiotics were from pharmacies as many as 958 people (59.4%), from drugstore 355 people (22.0%), from stalls 154 people (9.5%); from others 146 people (9.1%).17

In this study, gonorrhoea patients with a history of self-treatment were 11 people (55%). Commonly used antibiotics were tetracycline 2 people (10%), amoxicillin 2 people (10%), thiamphenicol 1 person (5%), ampicillin 3 person (15%) and Ofloxacin 1 person (5%). The places where the gonorrhoea patients got the medicines were from the pharmacy 12 people (30%), from drug store 4 people (20%), from stalls 2 people (10%), others 4 people (20%).

Gonorrhoea as well as other genital diseases can cause CD4 lymphocytes (T helper lymphocytes) clustered in the infected site to fight infection. CD4 is the main target of HIV, this causes people infected with gonorrhoea to be more easily contracted with HIV. Sexually transmitted infections are cofactors that facilitate the transmission of HIV so that people are more vulnerable to HIV, or in other words STIs will facilitate the transmission of HIV. In this study, the distribution of gonorrhoea patients with a history of HIV infection was 2 people (10%). The STBP data of 2013 showed that 10.16% of FSW were HIV-infected, while 89.84% had not been detected for HIV antibody in their blood.18 Another study by Julia M et al., in Arizona mentions the distribution of gonorrhoea infection accompanied by a history of HIV infection obtained in 54.9% of the samples.19

As reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, criteria for resistance to cefixime have not been clearly defined. Cefixime sensitivity to *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* is called sensitive if the inhibition zone is >31 mm by using diffusion test and MIC <0.25 μg/mL dilution. This is in accordance with 2015...
Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) criteria. 7 of 20 isolates (35%) experienced a decrease in susceptibility to cefixime and 13 of 20 isolates (65%) did not. In agreement with Astindari’s research that was conducted on FSW in Surabaya in 2015, 9 out of 12 isolates (75%) were sensitive to cefixime. 4 of the 9 isolates (44.5%) that were sensitive to cefixime had a inhibition zone with a diameter of 31 mm, which was the limit of cefixime ability to inhibit the growth of Neisseria gonorrhoeae.6

Factors that influence gonorrhoea resistance to cefixime, according to research by Cole M et al. (2014), are risk factors for MSM.26 As stated in the research by Wang et al., (2013), the most susceptible isolates occurred in FSW were 17 isolates (77.8%).13 Likewise, studies in the UK in 2013 found a higher sensitivity to cefixime obtained in FSW than that found in heterosexual men and MSM.11 FSW and MSM are easier to be exposed to STIs because sexual behavior such as having multiple sex partners. FSW is often asymptomatic, gonorrhoea infection escapes diagnosis, resulting in complications so that the infection becomes heavier, cannot be completely resolved and can cause resistance.11

Unemo et al stated that penA mosaic, mtrR and penB could cause Neisseria resistance, gonorrhoea against cefixime.12 Ohnishi et al. (2014) stated that the molecular mechanisms that cause Neisseria gonorrhoea resistance to cefixime occur due to the formation of mosaic penA-X encoding penicillin binding protein 2 (PBP2) and chromosomal mutations to form a new variant of penA-X. PenA gene mosaic, which encodes PBPs-2, will reduce the binding capacity of penicillin and cephapenem, which in turn will cause resistance or decreased sensitivity to cefixime.21

Conclusions

Neisseria gonorrhoeae strain was found to be resistant to cefixime by performing diffusion test. It is recommended that further research, such as dilution test, be conducted to obtain further results of the Neisseria gonorrhoeae’s increasing resistance to cefixime.
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