Anti-inflammatory potential of *Agaricus* in carrageenan-induced model of local inflammation in rats
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**ABSTRACT**

Background: The concept of effects of *Agaricus* on inflammatory responses is still controversial. This study, therefore, was designed to assess the potential of the anti-inflammatory effect of *Agaricus* 100% extract on acute inflammation using the model of carrageenan-induced paw edema in rats.

Methods: Four groups among five (six rats each) have been injected with carrageenan (0.1 mL of 3% solution), intra-plantar in the right hind paw; the first group was injected with saline instead in the same manner and kept as control. An hour earlier, rats received different treatments, either saline (inflamed control), or diclofenac (inflamed, standard-treated), or *Agaricus* extract (5 mL/kg as small dose or 10 mL/kg as a large dose). The volume of the developed paw edema has been measured at an hour interval fashion (1~6 hrs) and at 24 hrs.

Results: *Agaricus* extract showed inhibitory effects on the carrageenan-induced edema in time- and dose-dependent manner, at the late phase (2~ hrs) of the inflammatory response (small dose) and at both early (~2 hrs) and late phases (large dose). The effects were comparable to those of diclofenac being 11.74, 08.46, 08.99, 09.72 and 09.89% at 2-6 hrs (small dose); 14.04, 23.91, 21.92, 17.99, 15.63 and 16.96% at 1-6 hrs (large dose); 16.85, 31.30, 35.38, 35.97, 34.72 and 34.63% (diclofenac). The anti-inflammatory effect of *Agaricus* could be attributed to its phytochemical content which may inhibit the inducible inflammatory mediators (as prostaglandins and nitric oxide) in the late phase (small dose) and/or inhibiting both early (histamine and oxygen free radicals) and late mediators (large dose).

Conclusions: These data may indicate that *Agaricus* extract has the potential of anti-inflammatory activity that could be applied in acute inflammatory disorders.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Inflammation is the body’s immediate response to damage to its tissues and cells by pathogens, noxious stimuli such as chemicals, thermal stimuli, physical injury or antigen-antibody reaction. The overall process, in a broad perspective, is a useful one, its primary significance is defense. However, more precisely, this is not always the case. Acute inflammation is a short-term response that usually results in healing: leukocytes infiltrate the damaged region, removing the stimulus and repairing the tissue. Chronic inflammation, in contrast, is a prolonged, dysregulated and maladaptive response that involves active inflammation, tissue destruction and attempts at tissue repair. Such persistent inflammation is associated with many chronic human conditions and diseases, including allergy, atherosclerosis, cancer, arthritis and autoimmune diseases.¹,²

Various mediators are known to be involved in the inflammatory reactions including prostaglandins, 5-hydroxytryptamine, histamine, and bradykinin, in addition to fluid extravasations and cellular infiltration. The inflammatory injury may be attributed, in part, to the release of reactive oxygen species from activated neutrophil and macrophages. This over production leads to tissue injury damaging the macromolecules and lipid peroxidation of membranes.³
Many chemical-based remedies are established with valuable efficacies including methylsalicylates, indoles, propionates and others, but their side effects are also well established including gastric and renal ones. Therefore, when applicable, replacing with herbal based remedies becomes a valuable issue for safety and efficacy as well.

Agaricus blazei murrill is a mushroom originally native to a small village in Brazil. It was discovered in 1960 by Takatoshi Furumoto, a grower, and researcher, who sent it to Japan in 1965 for investigation. It was identified as ABM by the Belgian botanist Heinemann in 1967.

Botanically, Agaricus is a genus belonging to the family Agaricaeae, and is generally described as having small to large fruit bodies with white, yellow or brown pileus; free lamellae that are pallid or pinkish when young, later becoming chocolate brown; and also dark-brown, smooth basidiaospores.

Phytochemically, the general gross composition of mushrooms is water (90%), protein (2-40%), fat (2-8%), carbohydrates (1-55%), fiber (3-32%) and ash (8-10%). The active contents can be isolated from fruiting bodies, pure culture mycelia and culture filtrate. Agaricus fruiting bodies in different stages of maturity contain glucans (α and β types) that are thought to be responsible for its pharmacological actions; the yield and structural diversity of glucans increase as the fruiting bodies mature; hence the time of the harvest and conservation is of great importance, to obtain the best extract.

The mushroom is traditionally believed to fight physical and emotional stress, stimulate immune system, improve the quality of life in diabetics, reduce cholesterol, prevent osteoporosis and peptic ulcer, treat circulatory and digestive problems and fight cancer.

Nowadays, many companies and cultivation centers are producing Agaricus and many commercialized products are established, especially in Japan, China, and Brazil. The present study was designed to evaluate the anti-inflammatory potential of one of the commercialized Agaricus products as a trial to find out the scientific basis, which may support its ethnopharmacological use.

METHODS

Plant material and drugs

Agaricus used in the present study was obtained as a commercially produced preparation in the form of bottles containing 50 mL of 100% extract. Carrageenan was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Diclofenac was used as Voltaren® oral tablets containing 50 mg of diclofenac sodium (Novartis®, Basel, Switzerland).

Experimental animals

Male Wistar rats (180-210 g) were used. They were housed in a room with controlled temperature (22±1°C), humidity (60±10%) and light (12 hrs/day) for at least a week before being used. Food and water were available ad libitum. Animal care was in accordance with Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in Biomedical Research of the National Institutes of Health of the United States, and approved by our Institutional Committee of Experimentation Ethics on Animal Use.

Carrageenan-induced paw edema

The method described by was used to evaluate the anti-inflammatory activity of Agaricus with some minor modifications. The rats were allocated into five groups (six animals each) and fasted overnight the day before the day of the experiment. An hour before induction of inflammation by intra-planter administration of 0.1 mL of carrageenan solution (3% w/v in saline) in the right hind paw of all animals (except those of Group I), the groups received the following pretreatments:

- Group I received normal saline (5 mL/kg, orally; 1 mL/rat weighing 200 g) using a suitable sized stomach tube. Animals of this group did not receive carrageenan but was injected with 0.1 mL saline into the plantar region of the right hind paw instead, and was kept as normal control.
- Group II received normal saline (5 mL/kg, orally) an hour prior carrageenan injection into the right hind paw and was kept as inflamed control.
- Groups III and IV received Agaricus extract (5 and 10 mL/kg, respectively, orally) an hour prior carrageenan injection into the right hind paw and was kept as an inflamed-tested group.
- Group V received the standard drug diclofenac sodium (100 mg/kg, orally) an hour prior to carrageenan injection into the right hind paw and was kept as an inflamed-standard-treated group.

The anti-inflammatory activity was indicated in terms of paw edema volume (in mL) that was measured using plethysmometer (7140, Ugo Basile s.r.l., Varese, Italy) immediately after the injection and subsequently at 60 mins intervals for up to 6 hrs. A mark was made around the right hind paw instead, and was kept as inflamed control.

The anti-inflammatory activity of both standard and tested agents was calculated as percent of inhibition of edema volume at every time point using the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{(\text{Predrug reading} - \text{Postdrug reading})}{\text{Predrug reading}} \times 100
\]
Statistical analysis

The values were expressed as the mean ± standard error mean of n observations, where n represents the number of animals studied in each group. The data were analyzed using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), followed by the least significant difference post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. Differences were considered significant at p<0.05. The means, and comparisons were calculated using SPSS v.20 software (Chicago, USA). Of primary importance, comparing Group II to Group I to ensure the success of inflammation model; secondly, comparing Groups III and IV to Group II to evaluate the anti-inflammatory activity of Agaricus. The activity of Agaricus was standardized as a percentage of that of the standard anti-inflammatory drug, diclofenac.

RESULTS

As a rule, the standard drug diclofenac at the dose of 100 mg/kg significantly (p<0.01) decreased the volume of hind paw edema through all time-points (1–6), with percentage of inhibition by 16.85, 31.30, 35.38, 35.97, 34.72 and 34.63, respectively, when compared with the inflamed control. Agaricus at the dose of 5 mL/kg of the 100% extract significantly (p<0.01) reduced the volume of paw edema only from 2 hrs and afterwards with inhibition percentage of 11.74, 08.46, 08.99, 09.72 and 09.89%, respectively; whereas at the dose of 10 mL/kg, it significantly (p<0.01) reduced the volume of paw edema through all time-points (1–6), with percentage of inhibition by 14.04, 23.91, 21.92, 17.99, 15.63 and 16.96%, respectively, after administration when compared with the inflamed control. The overall mean anti-inflammatory effects of diclofenac, Agaricus small dose and Agaricus large dose were 31.48±7.35, 9.35±1.50 and 18.41±3.79%, respectively. All of the recorded results are presented in Tables 1 and 2, Figure 1.

DISCUSSION

Inflammation of a tissue represents a reaction by its cells and its microcirculation to the inflammatory mediators released upon exposure to inflammatory agents, including microbial or chemical or physical ones. This reaction could be identified clinically by five cardinal signs including redness, hotness, swelling, pain and loss of functions. These endogenous inflammatory mediators include histamine, serotonin, bradykinin, prostaglandins, leukotrienes and cytokines, which are responsible for vasodilation, chemotaxis and increase in vascular permeability.9

The carrageenan-induced paw edema is a widely used test to determine anti-inflammatory activity and constitutes a simple and routine animal model for evaluation of pain at the site of inflammation without any injury or damage to the inflamed paw.10 Carrageenan is derived from a number of red seaweeds of the class Rhodophyceae. It is a sulfated polygalactan with 15–40% of the ester-sulfate content and an average relative molecular mass well above 100 kDa. The chemical reactivity of carrageenan is primarily due to its ester-sulfate groups which are strongly anionic.11 Carrageenan-developed edema has been described pathophysiologically as a “biphasic” event to which various mediators contribute in sequence to produce the resultant inflammatory response. Histamine, serotonin, and bradykinin are the first detectable mediators in the early phase (1 ~2 hrs) of carrageenan-induced inflammation; prostaglandins are involved in the increased vascular permeability and are detectable in the late phase (2–6 hrs) of inflammation. In addition, enhanced levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin (IL-1), and IL-6 have been proved to be associated with the response.12 The initial phase of is inhibited mainly by anti-allergics and anti-oxidants, while the second accelerating phase of swelling is inhibited mainly by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs as it is attributed to the extra prostaglandin synthesis via inducible cyclooxygenase.

Table 1: Effects of Agaricus 100% extract (5 and 10 mL/kg, orally) and diclofenac sodium (100 mg/kg, orally) on paw edema (mL) induced by carrageenan (0.1 mL of 3% solution, intra-plantar) in rats (mean±SEM; n=6).

| Groups     | Treatment (dose, per Os) | G1, control (5 mL/kg) | G2, inflamed (5 mL/kg) | G3, standard Diclofenac (100 mg/kg) | G4, tested SD Agaricus (5 mL/kg) | G5, tested LD Agaricus (10 mL/kg) |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mean paw edema at different time points (mL) | 0 hr  | 1.40±0.041 | 1.40±0.041 | 1.40±0.041 | 1.38±0.048 | 1.40±0.041 |
|            | 1 hr  | 1.43±0.025 | 1.78±0.063* | 1.48±0.048* | 1.65±0.065 | 1.53±0.025* |
|            | 2 hrs | 1.48±0.048 | 2.30±0.108* | 1.58±0.048* | 2.03±0.048* | 1.75±0.029* |
|            | 3 hrs | 1.53±0.025 | 2.60±0.091* | 1.68±0.048* | 2.38±0.026* | 2.03±0.048* |
|            | 4 hrs | 1.55±0.029 | 2.78±0.111* | 1.78±0.048* | 2.53±0.025* | 2.28±0.048* |
|            | 5 hrs | 1.55±0.029 | 2.88±0.063* | 1.88±0.048* | 2.60±0.041* | 2.43±0.063* |
|            | 6 hrs | 1.55±0.029 | 2.83±0.025* | 1.85±0.050* | 2.55±0.029* | 2.35±0.096* |
|            | 24 hrs | 1.48±0.025 | 1.56±0.048 | 1.43±0.025 | 1.50±0.041 | 1.50±0.041 |

*Significantly different from control, *Significantly different from inflamed (p<0.05; ANOVA followed by LSD test), ANOVA: Analysis of variance, LSD: Least significant difference, SEM: Standard error of mean
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Table 2: Inhibition percent of *Agaricus* 100% extract (5 and 10 mL/kg, orally) and diclofenac sodium (100 mg/kg, orally) on paw edema (mL) induced by carrageenan (0.1 mL of 3% solution, intra-plantar) in rats (n=6).

| Groups | Treatment (Dose, per Os) | G3 | G4 | G5 |
|--------|--------------------------|----|----|----|
|        | *Agaricus* (100 mg/kg)   |    |    |    |
|        | *Agaricus* (5 mL/kg)     |    |    |    |
|        | *Agaricus* (10 mL/kg)    |    |    |    |
| Protection percent (%) | 0 hr | NA | NA | NA |
|                    | 1 hr | 16.85* | 07.30 | 14.04* |
|                    | 2 hrs | 31.30* | 11.74* | 23.91* |
|                    | 3 hrs | 35.38* | 08.46* | 21.92* |
|                    | 4 hrs | 35.97* | 08.99* | 17.99* |
|                    | 5 hrs | 34.72* | 09.72* | 15.63* |
|                    | 6 hrs | 34.63* | 09.89* | 16.96* |
|                    | 24 hrs | NA | NA | NA |
| Mean±SD (%): | 31.48±7.35 | 9.35±1.50 | 18.41±3.79 |

*Significantly different from Inflamed (p<0.05; ANOVA followed by LSD test); NA: Non-applicable, ANOVA; Analysis of Variance, LSD: Least significant difference.

Figure 1: Histogram showing the effects of *Agaricus* 100% extract (5 and 10 mL/kg, orally) and diclofenac sodium (100 mg/kg, orally) on paw edema (mL) induced by carrageenan (0.1 mL of 3% solution, intra-plantar) in rats (Mean ± standard error of the mean; n=6). *Significantly different from control, ×Significantly different from inflamed (p<0.05; Analysis of Variance followed by least significant difference test).

In-vivo study showed that *Agaricus* and/or affected cells of the paw. The first hypothesis could be supported by data reported by10 who reported that treatment of rats with *A. blazei* during 15 days had an inhibitory action on nociceptive response and acute inflammation induced by formalin injection. The inhibitory protective effect was indicated by that rats treated with *Agaricus* had a lower number of paw movements during the late phase which is related to the induced mediators of the acute inflammatory process including NO and prostaglandins. Another hypothesis is the decreased production of oxygen free radicals and histamine by the infiltrating leucocytes and/or affected cells of the paw.

Hence, the impending release of one or more of these mediators at injured sites or impeding them from bringing out their pathological effects would logically ameliorate the inflammatory reaction and its symptoms.

In the present study, *Agaricus* at doses of 5 and 10 mL of 100% extract/kg significantly exhibited a dose- and time-dependent sustained inhibition of the rat paw edema in the second phase (small dose) and in both phases (large dose) of its development. Although the exact mechanism of the observed anti-inflammatory activity needs further investigations, yet it might be attributed to one or more of its phytochemical active constituents that may have ability to inhibit the biosynthesis of prostaglandins which is consistent with the observation of the used standard anti-inflammation drug diclofenac. Another hypothesis is the decreased production of oxygen free radicals and histamine by the infiltrating leucocytes and/or affected cells of the paw.

The first hypothesis could be supported by data reported by10 who reported that treatment of rats with *A. blazei* during 15 days had an inhibitory action on nociceptive response and acute inflammation induced by formalin injection. The inhibitory protective effect was indicated by that rats treated with *Agaricus* had a lower number of paw movements during the late phase which is related to the induced mediators of the acute inflammatory process including NO and prostaglandins.

Our data also are consistent with20 who reported that the treatment with *A. blazei* alkaline (300, 400, and 500 mg/kg) and aqueous (300, 400, and 500 mg/kg) extracts inhibited edema formation by 19%, 33%, 39%, 12%, 38%, and 56%, respectively, compared to the control group in rat paw edema induced by nystatin. Furthermore, administration of extracts inhibited neutrophil migration to the exudates present in the peritoneal cavity after carrageenan injection. The authors concluded that it was possible that *Agaricus* extracts can be useful in inflammatory diseases because of modulation of...
the immune system and its cells induced by the presence of polysaccharides such as β-glucans.

Additional support may come from data reported by who found that the chloroform-soluble extract of Agaricus inhibited the production of IL-6, prostaglandin D2 and leukotriene C4 in stimulated bone marrow-derived mast cells, demonstrated inhibition of the degranulation of β-hexosaminidase and down-regulated the phosphorylation of Akt. They concluded that the chloroform-soluble extract of A. blazei exerted anti-inflammatory and anti-allergic activities.

The second hypothesis could be supported by the finding of who reported that Agaricus has been demonstrated to contain thermo-stable, potent antioxidant substances, since the extract was prepared by boiling the mushroom in water for 120 mins and autoclaving. Some other studies also proved the free radical scavenging ability of Agaricus including, who reported that the electro-active chemical composition, and oxidation potentials of Agaricus were more positive than those of the used standards, ascorbic and gallic acids; and who compared the antioxidant power of methanolic extracts sourced from young and mature Agaricus, they found that both extracts showed similar antioxidant activities, except for the chelating ability for ferrous ions, that was higher in extract of mature Agaricus, they supported the use of both young and mature fruiting bodies of Agaricus as sources of antioxidant compounds.

The inhibitory effect of Agaricus (particularly in large dose) against early mediators of the inflammatory response could be supported by who reported that Agaricus extract dose-dependently inhibited compound 48/80-induced or anti-dinitrophenyl IgE-mediated histamine release from rat peritoneal mast cells.

On the other hand, various studies showed that the effect of Agaricus on the immune system including inflammatory cytokines and components are anti-theretical. For instance, water extracts of the mycelial culture and fruiting bodies markedly induced TNF, IL-8 and NO by macrophages derived from rat bone marrow. Other extracts have shown, on the contrary, a down-regulation of IL-2, IL-4 and INF-γ in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells has also been documented.

A study using 9% solution of an aqueous Agaricus extract, was undertaken by to determine changes of gene expression caused by the extract on a human monocyte cell line; and they found out drastic effects on gene expression: genes related to immune function were selectively up-regulated, particularly pro-inflammatory genes such as the IL-1β and IL-8. Although most genes-induced by Agaricus were also induced by lipopolysaccharide, yet, Agaricus produced a particular increase in mRNA for the chemokine ligands 1, 2 and 3, IL-1A, as well as COX-2.

These discrepancies are probably attributed to the different types and compositions of used extracts and, thus, a better definition of active principles in Agaricus is needed to explain its various actions and their mechanisms on cells and interactions with cellular physiological processes.

From this study, it could be concluded that Agaricus has the potential of anti-inflammatory activity possibly by a mechanism involving inhibition of inflammatory mediators mainly in the late phase of inflammatory response; and in both early and late phases in larger doses.
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