Myomegalin Is a Novel Protein of the Golgi/Centrosome That Interacts with a Cyclic Nucleotide Phosphodiesterase*
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Subcellular targeting of the components of the cAMP-dependent pathway is thought to be essential for intracellular signaling. Here we have identified a novel protein, named myomegalin, that interacts with the cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase PDE4D, thereby targeting it to particulate structures. Myomegalin is a large 2,324-amino acid protein mostly composed of α-helical and coiled-coil structures, with domains shared with microtubule-associated proteins, and a leucine zipper identical to that found in the Drosophila centrosomin. Transcripts of 7.5–8 kilobases were present in most tissues, whereas a short mRNA of 2.4 kilobases was detected only in rat testis. A third splicing variant was expressed predominantly in rat heart. Antibodies against the deduced sequence recognized particulate myomegalin proteins of 62 kDa in testis and 230–250 kDa in heart and skeletal muscle. Immunocytochemistry and transfection studies demonstrate colocalization of PDE4D and myomegalin in the Golgi/centrosomal area of cultured cells, and in sarcomeric structures of skeletal muscle. Myomegalin expressed in COS-7 cells coimmunoprecipitated with PDE4D3 and sequestered it to particulate structures. These findings indicate that myomegalin is a novel protein that functions as an anchor to localize components of the cAMP-dependent pathway to the Golgi/centrosomal region of the cell.

Only in recent years has it been realized that the components of the signal transduction machinery are organized in macromolecular complexes located in close proximity to the plasma membrane or in discrete subcellular structures. These complexes are assembled by scaffold proteins often devoid of enzymatic activity and for the sole function of bringing together different signaling molecules (1, 2). These signaling/scaffold protein complexes are necessary and often indispensable for signaling, as disruption or blocking the formation of these complexes decreases the efficiency of signaling or negates it altogether.

Even though cAMP is a diffusible second messenger, the enzymes involved in this signaling pathway are targeted to different subcellular compartments. With few exceptions, adenylyl cyclases are plasma membrane-associated proteins, and protein kinase A (PKA), one of the effectors of cAMP signaling, is anchored to discrete structures within the cell via regulatory subunit interaction with A kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs) (3, 4). Several different AKAPs that function as scaffold proteins have been characterized. For instance, AKAP79 is part of a multicomplex, which, in addition to the PKA regulatory subunit RI, includes protein kinase C and the phosphatase PP2B/calcinurin (5). Disruption of the PKA interaction with AKAPs abolishes the cAMP-dependent regulation of ion channels (6, 7). PKA regulatory subunits have also been localized in the centrosome in culture cells (8), and in cells of the central nervous system (9), thus opening the possibility that microenvironments of cAMP signaling may be necessary for intracellular trafficking and organelle movements during cell replication.

Cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases, the enzymes that degrade and inactivate cAMP, may play an important role in signaling compartmentalization by controlling cAMP diffusion to reach the PKA isoenzymes anchored to different organelles. Of the wide array of PDE isoforms present in a cell (10–13), several are recovered in the particulate fraction of the homogenate and have been immunolocalized to different cellular compartments. We and others have shown that variants derived from the PDE4D gene are particulate or soluble, depending on the amino terminus present in the protein (14–16), and are located in different subcellular compartments as shown by immunofluorescence studies. In FRTL-5 thyroid cells, two variants (PDE4D3 and PDE4D4) with long amino termini are recovered in the particulate fraction, and are localized in a perinuclear region corresponding to centrosomal inner/Golgi structures, as well as to the membrane cortical region (16). Conversely, a third variant (PDE4D2), the expression of which is cAMP-dependent, is recovered mostly in the soluble fraction and its induction by cAMP is associated with diffuse immunofluorescent signals in these cells (16). Localization of PDE4D in discrete subcellular structures has also been demonstrated in macrophages (17). These findings open the possibility that the subcellular localization of PDE4D is finely controlled and this localization may be dynamically regulated during cAMP signaling.
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Characterization of a PDE-interacting Protein

To understand the mechanism of PDE4 subcellular targeting, we sought to identify proteins that interact with these PDE4D variants and to anchor them to different organelles. Here we describe the properties of a novel protein named myomegalin, which is a major component of skeletal and cardiac muscle but is expressed at low levels in all cells studied. Although in nonmuscle cells it is targeted to the Golgi/centrosomal region, myomegalin localizes in the sarcomeres in heart and skeletal muscle. This protein colocalizes with PDE4D in the cells and tissue studied.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Yeast Strains and Growth Conditions—**The yeast strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Y190, HFPc, Y187), vectoring clones, and the activating domain (AD) rat brain cDNA library used for the yeast two-hybrid screening (Matchmaker I and II) as well as the &gt;11 cDNA libraries (from rat brain and rat skeletal muscle) were obtained from CLONTECH (Palo Alto, CA), yeast media from Difco (Detroit, MI), and amino acids and 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT) from Sigma. Restriction enzymes were purchased from Roche Molecular Biochemicals, DNA modifying enzymes and PCR reagents from Life Technologies, Inc. or Stratagene (La Jolla, CA).

The yeast strain Y190 of *S. cerevisiae* was used as host for the yeast two-hybrid screening, whereas HFPc and Y187 were used for mating experiments. Yeast transformation was performed using the lithium acetate method according to Gietz et al. (47). Single transformants were spread on selection medium (SD medium) lacking Leu or Trp. Cotransformants of strain Y190 were propagated on SD medium containing Ade (10 mg/ml) and 50 mM 3-AT. Single transformants of Y187 were grown on SD medium lacking Trp. The yeast strain HFPc was transformed with Gal4 activation domain expressing His+/Z+ clones from the library screen. Transformants were propagated on SD−Leu.

**Yeast Two-hybrid Screening of a Brain cDNA Library—**The yeast strain Y190 was used to screen a rat brain Gal4/AD library to identify genes encoding proteins that interact with ratPDE4D3. The amino terminus (aa 1–133) of PDE4D3 as well as a truncated form of ratPDE4D3 that included the autoinhibitory (UCR2), catalytic, and COOH-terminal domain (aa 134–end of ratPDE4D3) were used as a bait. The cDNA corresponding to the truncated PDE (base 400–2018 of the PDE4D3 ORF, accession no. U09457) was amplified by PCR using the full-length ratPDE4D3 cDNA as a template. The following primers with incorporated restriction sites were used: GPA43, EcoRI 5′-CGGATCTGCATCAAGAAC-3′ corresponding to bases 5266–5289; EcoRI 5′-GGGTCGACGAACATCGTC-3′ EcoRI site of pGBT9 downstream from the Gal4 DNA binding domain (plasmid pGBT9/1.6). Amplifications were performed in the presence of recombinant Fnu polymerase at a low cycle number to ensure high fidelity amplification. The amplified fragment was sequenced in its entire length to confirm the correct reading frame and identity. Transformation was performed by Stanford University facilities using the ABI PRISM Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase, FS (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Further truncations of ratPDE4D3 were obtained as reported previously (18) to identify the PDE domain responsible for the interaction with positive AD/library clones. These included PDETra (aa 164–end), PDEtrub (aa 179–end), and PDEtruc (aa 214–end). The construction of the plasmid containing the amino terminus of PDE4D3 (0.4) has been described previously (18). Under the experimental conditions used, the full-length PDE4D3 (93 kDa) did not express well as a fusion protein in yeast nuclei.

A portion of the AD/cDNA plasmid library was amplified once to obtain sufficient plasmid DNA for screening. The plasmid DNAs of bait used, the full-length PDE4D3 (93 kDa) did not express well as a fusion protein in yeast nuclei. After 7–19 days of incubation at 30 °C, the colonies growing on His+ plates were counted and patched onto fresh SD/Ade, 50 mM 3-AT medium, together with controls. The first group of patched His+ clones was checked again for positive growth 5 days after incubation. At that stage, a β-galactosidase filter lift assay was carried out to detect positive clones. Each His+/Z+ clone was restreaked on SD/Ade, 50 mM 3-AT medium to generate single clones. Single colonies were reasayed for β-galactosidase activity. The clones obtained by screening with the amino terminus of PDE4D3 will be reported elsewhere.

To isolate Leu+Trp+ yeast segregants with only the AD/library plasmid, His+/Z+ transformants were cultured in YPD medium overnight at 30 °C. An aliquot of the sample was spread on SD−Leu and incubated for 3 days. Colonies were replica-plated on SD−Leu only and on SD−/Leu−, Trp plates. Colonies growing on SD−Leu plates (× Trp) to SD−/Leu−, Trp plates were identified by β-galactosidase activity. Negative clones were saved. Plasmid DNA was isolated from Trp auxotrophs according to the manufacturer's protocol and was used for transformation into *E. coli* strain HB101 using electroporation (Genepulser, Bio-Rad).

To confirm the interaction of the isolated clones with the bait, yeast strain Y190 and HFPc were transformed with bait and AD/library plasmid and tested for yeast mating and for β-galactosidase expression. To measure the β-galactosidase activity in transformants, the colony lift filter assay was done according to the manufacturer's protocol (CLONTECH). Blue colonies were developed after incubation overnight at 30 °C.

**Yeast Mating—**Yeast strain Y187 was transformed with pGBT9 and pGBT9/1.6 and selected for Trp+ transformants. Yeast strain HFPc was single transformed with pGAD10, pGAD10/library positive clones. Transformants were propagated on SD−Leu. Individual positive colonies for Trp and Leu were streaked separately on the corresponding SD medium and grown for 3–4 days at 30 °C. The different transformants of Trp+ and Leu+ were replica-plated in a grid pattern on YPD plates and incubated overnight at 30 °C. Colonies of diploid cells were replica-plated on SD medium lacking Leu, Trp, and His. After ~6 days, His+ colonies were counted and LacZ expression was detected using the β-galactosidase assay.

**Cloning by Library Screening—**To obtain the complete sequence of the PDE4D3-interacting protein myomegalin, the PBP4 cDNA isolated from the yeast library screening was used as a probe to screen rat brain and skeletal muscle *Agt11* libraries (CLONTECH). Three of the more than 50 clones retrieved were sequenced, and the overlap with PBP4 was established by multiple sequence alignment. Because the potential initiation codon was absent in all three clones, oligonucleotides corresponding to the 5′ end of the open reading frame were designed and used for further screening of the same library. This process was repeated four times until a clone containing in-frame stop codons upstream from the putative initiation codon was retrieved. Overlapping cDNAs were used to reconstruct the entire open reading frame of myomegalin. Melds were confirmed by at least two overlapping clones. Three of the clones retrieved contained 5′ ends that could not be aligned with the reconstructed open reading frame. Whether these clones encompass splicing junctions or are cloning artifacts was not further determined (Stanford).

**Northern Blot Analysis—**Two different DNA probes (probe 1, 1000 bp; probe 2, 665 bp) derived from the ORF of myomegalin were labeled with [α-32P]dCTP by the random primer procedure (Life Technologies, Inc.). The labeled probes were purified using Quick Spin G-25 Sephadex columns (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) and used to hybridize a rat total RNA (CLONTECH). Hybridization and washing procedures were carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol.

**RT-PCR Analysis—**Poly(A)+ mRNA was isolated from rat heart and skeletal muscle using the QuickPrep Micro mRNA purification kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). First strand cDNA was prepared from mRNA with random hexanucleotide primers (20 pmol/μg of RNA) using murine reverse transcriptase and the conditions recommended by the manufacturer's protocol (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The cDNA obtained was amplified with one of the following oligonucleotide primers designed against different regions of myomegalin: MYO1-MYO2, forward primer 5′-GGTGGAGGCTCTAAGAACAGAG-3′, corresponding to bases 163–183 (aa 55–61)) and reverse primer 5′-ATGCTGCTCTACCTGAGAAATG-3′, corresponding to bases 747–762; reverse primer 5′-GGACTGAGTCTCCCCGCTACA-3′, corresponding to bases 5266–5287 (aa 1756–1764) and reverse primer 5′-CTGACAGCTTCCCCGCTACA-3′, corresponding to bases 5987–6009 (aa 1999–2003; predicted fragment size, 743 bp); KIA1-MYO2, forward primer 5′-CGTGGATTTTGGATGCAAGCGT-3′, and reverse primer 5′-ATGCTGCTCTAGAAGCAGATC-3′, corresponding to bases 9598–9609 (aa 1995–2003; predicted fragment size, 743 bp) (KIA1-MYO2, forward primer 5′-CGTGGATTTTGGATGCAAGCGT-3′, and reverse primer 5′-ATGCTGCTCTAGAAGCAGATC-3′, corresponding to bases 9598–9609 (aa 1995–2003; predicted fragment size, 743 bp) (KIA1-MYO2, forward primer 5′-CGTGGATTTTGGATGCAAGCGT-3′, and reverse primer 5′-ATGCTGCTCTAGAAGCAGATC-3′, corresponding to bases 9598–9609 (aa 1995–2003; predicted fragment size, 743 bp) (KIA1-MYO2, forward primer 5′-CGTGGATTTTGGATGCAAGCGT-3′, and reverse primer 5′-ATGCTGCTCTAGAAGCAGATC-3′, corresponding to bases 9598–9609 (aa 1995–2003; predicted fragment size, 743 bp)
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Several clones that interacted strongly with the PDE in both the β-galactosidase and yeast growth assays. Of these positive clones, one (PBP46) was further characterized because the sequence of this cDNA demonstrated only weak similarity with known sequences. The interaction with the PDE was evident whether the cDNA was fused to the activation or the DNA binding domain, and when either a growth or β-galactosidase assay was used (Fig. 1). Analysis using constructs containing the different PDE4D domains indicated that PBP46 interacted with the amino terminus of PDE4D in a region that corresponds to the upstream conserved region 2 (UCR2) of PDE4 (aa 134–164 of PDE4D3) (Fig. 1). This conclusion is based on the observation that constructs encoding aa 1–133 (0.4), aa 164–end (TruA), 179–end (TruB), and 214–end (TruC) of PDE4D3 (Fig. 1) did not interact with PBP46. This PDE domain is upstream from an autoinhibitory domain that controls the catalytic activity of the enzyme (18).

Cloning of the Full-length cDNA Coding for Myomegalin—Northern blot analysis with mRNAs from different rat tissues indicated that PBP46 cDNA corresponds to a gene that is expressed at a high level in skeletal muscle and heart (see below). A rat skeletal muscle agt11 cDNA library was therefore repeatedly screened using the PBP46 cDNA as a probe. To obtain the entire ORF, the library was screened four times and 18 overlapping clones were characterized. A meld of these clones indicated the presence of an uninterrupted ORF of 6975 bp, which encodes a protein of 2324 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 262 kDa (Fig. 2). An additional 1000 bp of 3′-UTR and more than 500 bp of 5′-UTR are consistent with the 7.5–8-kb transcript detected by Northern blot analysis (see below). In view of the high level of expression in muscle cells and the large size of the encoded protein, this new gene was termed myomegalin (mmg, accession no. AF139185).

Myomegalin Structure—A computer-assisted prediction of the secondary structure of myomegalin indicated that this protein is mostly composed of coiled-coil and α-helical domains (Fig. 2A) with 20% identity to myosin heavy chains, even though no sequences similar to the ATP binding domain of the myosin heavy chain could be identified. The full-length protein contains a putative leucine zipper at the amino terminus between residues 8 and 31 and an uncharged region rich in proline close to the COOH-terminal region (Fig. 2, A and B). BLAST search comparison showed that the first domain is almost identical to the first leucine zipper of Drosophila centrosomin, a protein localized in the centrosome of Drosophila melanogaster (28). In addition, a domain between amino acids 769 and 784 is homologous to a domain of dynactin that is implicated in vesicle transport and the interaction with the microtubules in mammalian cells (27). Finally, myomegalin contains a helix-loop-helix domain between residues 5769 and 784 that is homologous to the primary antibody (MS1, PBP4 antiseraum, or anti-flag tag antibody) in TBS-T for 60 min, then washed with TBS-T followed by another 1-h incubation with peroxidase-linked anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG. After several washes with TBS-T, the membrane was incubated with the ECL detection reagent (Amerham Pharmacia Biotech) and exposed to XAR-5 x-ray film for 5–120 s (Eastman Kodak Co.).

Transfection and Immunofluorescence Localization—The truncated myomegalin (63 kDa) was tagged with flag epitope (DYKDDDDK) at its NH2 terminus by transferring the insert PBP46 to the BamHI/BglII of a modified vector (gift of Dr. Louis Naumovski, Stanford University, Stanford, CA) derived from pCEP4 (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA). The construct was transfected in COS-7 cells using the calcium phosphate method (19). Cells were grown in Eagle’s medium (Life Technologies) for 48 h, fixed with ethanol-acetone solution (1:1) for 10 min, preincubated with PBS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin for 20 min, and then incubated with specific primary antibodies in PBS for 1 h. Rabbit polyclonal PBP4 antibody was used at 1:100 dilution, anti-flag at 1:80, CTR433 (median Golgi marker) (21) at 1:10, CTR453 (centrosomal marker) (22) at 1:500, and M3S1 at 1:50. After the cells were washed with PBS three times, fluorescent fluorescein isothiocyanate- or rhodamine-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:100 dilution; Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA) were then added to the cells. The expressed proteins were localized using fluorescence microscopy after mounting the samples with Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Inc.). Tissue immunofluorescence localization was performed according to previously published methods (23).

PDE Assay—PDE activity was measured with 1 μM CAMP as substrate according to the method of Thompson and Appleman (24) with minor modification (16). Protein concentrations of the samples were measured according to the method of Bradford (25).

RESULTS

Isolation of Clones Coding for Proteins That Interact with PDE4D in the Yeast Two-hybrid Screen—A yeast two-hybrid screening of a rat brain library with baits corresponding to amino acids 1–133 and 134–end of PDE4D3 (see Fig. 1) yielded
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RT-PCR Analysis—To investigate whether different myomegalin transcripts are present in rat and to analyze their tissue distribution. Northern blot analysis was performed using probes corresponding to the 3’ end (probe 1) and 5’ end (probe 2) of the myomegalin ORF (Fig. 3A). The results indicated the presence of abundant transcripts of ~7.5–8 kb (myomegalin-1) in heart and skeletal muscle, which contains the entire ORF of myomegalin (Fig. 3B). The 7.5–8-kb transcripts were also detected in small amounts in brain, lung, and liver, and hybridized to both probe 1 and probe 2. Probe 1 also detected shorter transcripts, ~5.9 kb in heart and ~2.5 kb in testis, that were not detected with probe 2 (Fig. 3C), indicating that these transcripts lack the 5’ end of the coding sequence. The use of probe 2 also revealed the presence of a fourth transcript, ~4.3 kb, present in skeletal muscle (Fig. 3C). Thus, there are at least four different transcripts of rat myomegalin: a 7.5-kb transcript expressed in heart and skeletal muscle, a 5.9-kb transcript in heart, a 4.3-kb transcript in skeletal muscle, and one specific for the testis (2.5 kb). The 2.5-kb variant, which is the shortest form, roughly corresponds to the PBP46 clone isolated in the yeast two-hybrid screening and is expressed exclusively in rat testes. The presence of this shorter form was confirmed by the isolation of two cDNA clones from a testis cDNA library (data not shown), even though the initiation methionine of this ORF could not be unequivocally identified. Finally, additional variants at both the 5’ and 3’ ends may be present on the basis of the cDNAs retrieved (data not shown).

A BLAST search of human nucleotide expressed sequence tag sequences indicated a high degree of conservation of rat myomegalin and two overlapping clones identified by sequencing randomly sampled clones with insert sizes of 5–7 kb (KIAA0477 and KIAA0454) from a human brain library (GenBank™ AB007946 and AB007923). The homology encompassed most of the coding sequence except for the 5’ end, again suggesting the presence of splicing in the mRNA. To verify this possibility, RT-PCR analysis was performed with mRNA from rat heart and skeletal muscle. Different primers corresponding to 3’ (MYO3 and MYO4) and 5’ end (MYO1 and MYO2) sequence of myomegalin, and one primer (KIA1) corresponding to the 5’ end of human sequence (Fig. 3A) were used for amplification. This analysis indicated that transcripts expressed in the heart and skeletal muscle most likely contain the entire myomegalin ORF defined by cDNA cloning (Fig. 3, D and E). It also uncovered the presence of an additional transcript containing the 5’ end sequence orthologous to the human clone in heart but not in skeletal muscle. The sequencing of the KIA1-MYO2 PCR fragments from rat heart confirmed the presence of a variant similar to that present in human (data not shown). This amino terminus does not contain a leucine zipper domain.

Expression of the Myomegalin Protein—To investigate the properties of the protein, antibodies were raised against four peptides corresponding to the carboxyl terminus region of myomegalin. Of the different antiseras generated, one was further characterized (PBP4). This antibody recognized the tagged 64-kDa myomegalin in the particulate fraction of transfected cells (Fig. 4A). This truncated myomegalin form could not be solubilized with nonionic detergent but was partially extracted with RIPA buffer (data not shown).

Western blot analysis with the PBP4 antibody recognized proteins of ~230–250 kDa in heart and skeletal muscle (Fig. 4B). Additional immunoreactive bands of ~150 kDa and 115 and 180 kDa were observed in heart and skeletal muscle, respectively. Although a degradation of the 230–250-kDa species cannot be excluded, it is possible that these additional
bands correspond to splicing variants of myomegalin. A 62-kDa protein was consistently observed in rat testis (Fig. 4C) or germ cell extracts (data not shown). These proteins were completely insoluble in standard homogenization conditions and could be partially solubilized from muscle only under conditions that extract the myofibril components (Fig. 4).

Localization of Myomegalin in Cultured Cells—Because of the tight binding of myomegalin to particulate structures, immunocytochemistry was used to further analyze the localization of this protein in transfected COS-7 cells. The truncated form of myomegalin (PBP46; aa 1765–2324) fused to a flag epitope was transfected in COS-7 cells and the distribution detected with a myomegalin-specific antibody (PBP4), and with an anti-flag antibody (Fig. 5A). An overlapping pattern of immunofluorescence was obtained with both antibodies confirming the specificity of the PBP4 antiserum for immunofluorescence localization. Moreover, a signal with PBP4 was found in cells negative for the flag antibody, indicating the presence of endogenous myomegalin in COS-7 cells. This expression in COS-7 cells was confirmed by detection of myomegalin transcripts by PCR amplification (data not shown). The endogenous myomegalin localized in an inner Golgi/centrosome region that corresponds to the location of the transfected myomegalin. To further define the site of myomegalin localization in these cells, centrosomal and Golgi markers were used to demonstrate an overlapping localization with the two markers (Fig. 5, B and C). Similar localization of myomegalin was also observed in cultured FRTL-5 thyroid cells (data not shown). In these latter cells, PDE4D is localized predominantly in a similar Golgi/centrosomal region (16).

Colocalization of Myomegalin and PDE4D in Muscle Cells and Testis—Because myomegalin transcripts are highly expressed in muscle and testis, we analyzed the co-localization of myomegalin with PDE4D in muscle and testis. A 62-kDa protein was consistently observed in rat testis (Fig. 4C) or germ cell extracts (data not shown). These proteins were completely insoluble in standard homogenization conditions and could be partially solubilized from muscle only under conditions that extract the myofibril components (Fig. 4).
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Myomegalin is structurally related to the Drosophila centrosomin (cnm). Centrosomin was originally identified while screening for genes regulated by antennapedia or other homeotic genes (26) and is mostly composed of coiled-coil structures with three leucine zipper domains. The first leucine zipper near the amino terminus is very similar to the only leucine zipper found in one variant of myomegalin. The extensive arrangement in coiled-coil structures is also shared between centrosomin and myomegalin. The Drosophila centrosomin is a component of the centrosomes and the mitotic spindle (30) and is thought to play a crucial role during morphogenesis of the central nervous system and midgut (26). A testis-specific isoform of centrosomin has been described in fly (31). Mutations that disrupt the spermatogenic-specific centrosomin impair cytokinesis, kariokinesis, and organization of the sperm axoneme. In a strikingly similar fashion, a splicing variant of the mammalian myomegalin is expressed in germ cells of rat testis and is localized in a Golgi/centrosomal region of pachytene spermatocytes and round spermatids; however, it could not conclusively be determined whether it is located in the basal...
**FIG. 4.** Western blot analysis of myomoglobin expression using the PBP4 antibody. **A**, COS-7 cells were transfected with a pCEPflag-PBP46 construct or with empty vector (mock). After 24 h, cells were harvested in homogenization buffer and the extract centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 30 min. Pellets (P) and supernatant (S) were fractionated on SDS-PAGE, and the migration of the recombinant myomoglobin was monitored using anti-flag antibodies. **B**, rat heart and skeletal muscle tissue was homogenized according to the procedure detailed under “Experimental Procedures.” Pellets and supernatants were fractionated on SDS-PAGE. After transfer, membranes were probed with the PBP4 antibody. **C**, total testis (TT) or seminiferous tubules (ST) were homogenized and aliquots of the homogenates fractionated on SDS-PAGE. After transfer, the membrane was probed with the PBP4 antibody.

**FIG. 5.** Subcellular localization of endogenous and recombinant myomoglobin in COS-7 cells. **A**, COS-7 cells were transfected with the pCEPflag-PBP46 construct. Twenty-four h after transfection, cells were stained with the myomoglobin-specific antibodies (PBP4) or with the flag epitope antibodies. Fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (green) and rhodamine-conjugated anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies (red) were used to detect the PBP4 and the monoclonal flag antibody, respectively. Pictures were captured with a confocal microscope and merged. **B**, immunofluorescence staining of untransfected COS-7 cells with the centrosomal marker CTR453 and with PBP4 antibody. **C**, immunofluorescence staining of untransfected COS-7 cells with the PBP4 antibody and with the Golgi marker CTR433.
body of the developing flagellum. Thus, it is likely that the mammalian myomegalin has functions during spermatogenesis that overlap with those of the centrosomin in *Drosophila*.

It should be pointed out that other mammalian proteins homologous to the *Drosophila* centrosomin have been described previously. These include mouse centrosomin A and B and human 167 protein (32–34). However, their similarity to the *Drosophila* centrosomin protein is low, and myomegalin is more related to centrosomin than to these mammalian centrosomins. The function of mammalian centrosomin is at present unknown.

Recently, several large coiled-coil proteins localized in the Golgi apparatus have been classified as a distinct golgin family of proteins (35–37). Among the many members identified, golgin-230/245/256, golgin 97, GM130, and giantin proteins have extensive coiled-coil structure and a domain at the carboxyl terminus that specifies Golgi targeting (grip domain). Myomegalin is weakly homologous to golgin 230/245/256 (20% identity, 40% conservative substitutions) in several coiled-coil domains. However, a domain similar to the grip domain could not be identified at the carboxyl terminus of myomegalin, even though the last 550 amino acids of this latter protein are sufficient to target it to the Golgi/centrosome structures.

Although formal proof of an interaction of PDE4D with the full-length myomegalin was precluded by the insolubility of the protein, we have provided evidence of an interaction of the 62–64-kDa myomegalin variant with PDE4D. Four independent lines of evidence indicate that the two proteins may indeed exist in a complex in the intact cell. In addition to the interaction detected with the yeast two-hybrid screening, the 64-kDa variant of myomegalin and PDE4D interact specifically in a coimmunoprecipitation assay. Furthermore, cotransfection of

---

**FIG. 6.** Colocalization of myomegalin and PDE4D in mouse skeletal muscle. Sections of mouse skeletal muscle were stained with myomegalin (PBP4, red) and PDE4D-specific (M3S1, green) antibodies. The distance between the two contiguous bands was estimated to be 2 µm, consistent with the length of a sarcomere. The staining with myomegalin and PDE4D antibodies overlapped with that of desmin but not with either myosin or actin. The periodic pattern of PDE4D staining was confirmed by a second polyclonal anti-PDE4 antibody. In all instances, staining could be blocked by preadsorption of the primary antibody with the corresponding peptide or fusion protein. Similar results were obtained with rat skeletal muscle.

**FIG. 7.** Cellular localization of testis-specific myomegalin in germ cells. Sections of adult rat testis were stained with the myomegalin antibody (PBP4) in the absence or presence of peptide PBP4. DAPI was used to stain the nuclei of developing germ cells and somatic cells.

**FIG. 8.** Coimmunoprecipitation of myomegalin and PDE4D3. A, diagram of the domains present in the three PDE4D variants used for the coimmunoprecipitation. B, COS cells were transfected with either a PDE4D (pCMV5-PDE4D3) or a myomegalin (pCEP4-PBP46) expression vector or a combination of the two. After homogenization and centrifugation, the supernatant and the RIPA-extracted supernatant were combined and subjected to immunoprecipitation with PDE4D-selective monoclonal antibodies. The pellets of the immunoprecipitation or an aliquot of the supernatant before precipitation were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot using either myomegalin (PBP4) or PDE4D-selective (M3S1) antibodies. In all instances, staining could be blocked by preadsorption of the primary antibody with the corresponding peptide or fusion protein. Similar results were obtained with rat skeletal muscle.

C, COS cells were cotransfected with vectors containing PDE4D1, PDE4D2, or PDE4D3 cDNAs and a myomegalin expression plasmid (pCEP4-PBP46). After 24 h, cell extracts were prepared and processed for immunoprecipitation and Western blot analyses as reported for panel B.
myomegalin targeted the recombinant PDE4D3 to the particulate fraction of COS-7 cells. Finally, myomegalin and PDE4D were colocalized in cultured cells as well as in cardiac and skeletal muscle tissue.

Deletion mutagenesis of PDE4D indicates that a site of interaction with myomegalin is in a domain that corresponds to the amino terminus of the upstream conserved region 2 (UCR2). This domain is conserved in all the long forms of PDE4 opening the possibility that other PDE4a may interact with myomegalin. This interacting domain of PDE4D consists of a region of predicted α-helical structure with amphipathic distribution of the charges. The carboxyl terminus of myomegalin also contains α-helical domains that may mediate the interaction with a PDE. Using the yeast two-hybrid assay, we have reported that this PDE4D domain, which interacts with myomegalin, is also involved in intramolecular interactions with the amino-terminal regulatory domain and that it functions as an autoinhibitory domain that modulates the catalytic activity of the enzyme (18). At present, it is not clear whether the intramolecular interaction and the binding to myomegalin are mutually exclusive or if they can occur at the same time, nor is it known whether the binding of myomegalin alters the catalytic activity of the PDE. We have reported that phosphorylation of PDE4D3 alters the interaction of this domain with the amino terminus (18); it is then possible that the state of phosphorylation also affects the ability of the PDE4D to interact with myomegalin. Further studies are required to clarify this issue. It is possible that the interaction of PDE4D with myomegalin, and therefore with the Golgi/centrosome, may be a dynamic process and that translocation of this protein may occur during signaling. Indeed, data have recently been reported indicating that PDE4D3 may translocate upon activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway (38).

In view of its extensive coiled-coil composition, it is likely that myomegalin either oligomerizes with itself or interacts with other proteins. The presence of a leucine zipper domain, which is also known to mediate protein/protein interaction, is consistent with this conclusion. In addition to a PDE, other proteins may be interacting with myomegalin. Using homology searches, a domain similar to the domain involved in dynactin-centractin interaction, a helix-loop-helix found in Clip170, and an uncharged domain that resembles an Src homology 3 binding domain, were identified. Thus, the presence of these putative interacting domains suggests that myomegalin is present in a complex with several additional proteins, which may be involved in vesicle transport or with their interaction with cytoskeletal structures. This raises the possibility that myomegalin may play a role in vesicle transport between the different layers of the Golgi stack.

Myomegalin is expressed at high levels in skeletal and cardiac tissue, suggesting an important function in muscle cells. Upon staining with a myomegalin antibody, a periodic pattern was observed indicating localization either in the z region between sarcomeres or in the sarcoplasmic reticulum. It could not be determined whether PDE4D and myomegalin are in the z band proper or are associated with the sarcoplasmic reticulum. Several other cAMP-signal transduction proteins have been localized in the same region of the sarcomere including adenylyl cyclase, L channels, and the regulatory subunit of PKA (39, 40). Thus, it is plausible that myomegalin brings PDE4D to a site where several steps in the cAMP signaling cascade take place in muscle cells.

The colocalization of PDE4D and myomegalin in Golgi/centrosomal structures points to a role of cyclic nucleotide signaling in the function of these organelles. Targeting of a PDE to the Golgi may have an important function in controlling cAMP diffusion to these regions. Interestingly, PKA regulatory subunits, and anchoring AKAPs, have also been localized in the Golgi apparatus and in the centrosome (8, 9, 41, 42). Thus, our findings suggest that PDE4D localization in these regions serves to control the state of activation of PKAs and therefore the cAMP-regulated phosphorylations in these domains. The exact role of cAMP signaling in centrosome function and in vesicle transport in the Golgi is largely unknown, even though there is ample indirect evidence that cAMP regulates both cytoskeleton assembly as well as vesicle transport (43, 44). Pigment granule redistribution in Xenopus melanocytes is regulated by cAMP (45), and cyclic nucleotides have long been implicated in the control of flagellar movements (46). Thus, it is possible that strategic localization of a PDE in these regions via myomegalin interaction may be involved in the control of organelle movements or cytoskeletal assembly/disassembly.
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