Prospect of Bioflavonoid Fisetin as a Quadruplex DNA Ligand: A Biophysical Approach

Bidisha Sengupta1*, Biswapatihak Pahari2, Laura Blackmon1*, Pradeep K. Sengupta2*

1 Department of Chemistry, Tougaloo College, Tougaloo, Mississippi, United States of America, 2 Biophysics Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

Abstract
Quadruplex (G₄) forming sequences in telomeric DNA and c-myc promoter regions of human DNA are associated with tumorgenesis. Ligands that can facilitate or stabilize the formation and increase the stabilization of G₄ can prevent tumor cell proliferation and have been regarded as potential anti-cancer drugs. In the present study, steady state and time-resolved fluorescence measurements provide important structural and dynamical insights into the free and bound states of the therapeutically potent plant flavonoid fisetin (3,3',4',7-tetrahydroxyflavone) in a G₄ DNA matrix. The excited state intramolecular proton transfer (ESPT) of fisetin plays an important role in observing and understanding the binding of fisetin with the G₄ DNA. Differential absorption spectra, thermal melting, and circular dichroism spectroscopic studies provide evidences for the formation of G₄ DNA and size exclusion chromatography (SEC) proves the binding and 1:1 stoichiometry of fisetin in the DNA matrix. Comparative analysis of binding in the presence of EtBr proves that fisetin favors binding at the face of the G-quartet, mostly along the diagonal loop. Time resolved fluorescence anisotropy decay analysis indicates the increase in the restrictions in motion from the free to bound fisetin. We have also investigated the fingerprints of the binding of fisetin in the antiparallel quadruplex using Raman spectroscopy. Preliminary results indicate fisetin to be a prospective candidate as a G₄ ligand.
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Introduction
A little over a hundred years ago, in 1910, the German scientist I. Bang [1] first identified the unusual behavior of the nucleic acid base guanine (G) where he observed that only millimolar concentrations of guanine formed a gel in aqueous solution. But the reason for the gel formation as well as the structure of the gel were not known until 1962, when M. Gellert et al. [2] found that guanine bases can form a novel Hoogsteen hydrogen-bonded tetrameric structure known as G-quartet (G₄, Figure 1) where a total of eight hydrogen bonds form between four bases with an average of two bonds per base. These tetrads π-stack on each other like base pairs in a double helix, creating three-dimensional structures known as G-quadruplexes (G₄) [3,4] with various quadruplex folds as shown in Figure 1.

G₄ is regarded as an important drug design target for the treatment of various human disorders. G₄ forming sequences are prevalent in human genome, which includes many important regions of the eukaryotic genome, such as telomere ends, regulatory regions of many oncogenes c-kit [4,5], proto-oncogene c-myc [6], Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRas) [7], and vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) [8], suggesting their important role in vivo. The c-myc proto-oncogene is a key component of normal cell growth and differentiation. Telomeric DNA ends as the single strand (GGGTTA)ₙ overhang in vertebrates [9]. Telomerase is the enzyme responsible for the elongation of telomeres in tumor cells. The activity of telomerase is 85% more in immortal cancer cells, compared to normal cells, suggesting a relationship between telomerase activity and cancer cells [4,9]. Literature data show that G₄ ligands can effectively inhibit both the catalytic and capping functions of telomerase through stabiization of G₄ [9], thereby driving the malignant cells toward apoptosis. However, although G₄ sequences are rich in the genome, human telomeric DNA and proto-oncogenes have the higher potential to form G₄ DNA, compared to tumor-suppressor genes [10], suggesting the possibility of treating cancer cells distinctively with effective G₄-ligands. This opens the door to small-molecule based ligand- telomeric G₄ in cancer therapy. There are evidences of efforts to find effective G₄-ligands from natural products [11–13]. However, to our knowledge, till date, there are no reports describing systematic investigations on the role of plant flavonoids as G₄ ligands. The flavonol fisetin (3,3',4',7-tetrahydroxyflavone, Figure 1) is a dietary flavonoid, present in a number of commonly eaten foods, such as
strawberries, vegetables, nuts and wine, [14–16] and has been reported to protect nerve cells from oxidative stress-induced death and promote the differentiation of nerve cells [17]. In vivo, fisetin has recently been shown to possess interesting anticancer activity including lung carcinoma [18], and prostate tumours [19]. The high potency and low systemic toxicity of fisetin in vivo on one hand, and its exquisitely sensitive two color intrinsic fluorescence properties on the other hand, motivated us to undertake a detailed investigation on the binding and photophysical properties of fisetin in a G-rich single stranded DNA oligonucleotide with the 28 bases long sequence d(T2AG4)4 (in the 5’9R39). We have used steady state and time resolved optical spectroscopic and chromatographic techniques. The formation of quadruplex is evidenced from the thermal difference absorption, UV melting and circular dichroism (CDs) spectra. It is also of interest to find out how the rotational dynamics of the ligand changes when it binds with its target. Hence time resolved fluorescence anisotropy decay studies were performed for fisetin in DNA matrix, and also in fluid methanolic solution taken as a reference. The rotational time constant (τrot) is determined by the size, shape, and flexibility of the ligand as well as the macromolecule. Size exclusion chromatographic studies provide evidence of the folded nature of the d(T2AG4)4 establishing the intramolecular nature of the quadruplex as well as the stoichiometry of the binding of fisetin in it. Raman spectroscopy provide useful insights regarding the antiparallel nature of the quadruplex DNA as well as the stability in the presence of fisetin. The purpose of this study is to examine the influence and stability of a medicinally potent drug fisetin in a quadruplex matrix in order to get a better insight into the factors regulating the formation and stabilization of the G4 structure. The information thus obtained is likely to be useful for the development of new G-quadruplex-based therapeutic agents.

Materials and Methods

Fisetin and d(T2AG4)4 oligonucleotide were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company and Integrated DNA Technologies...
respectively and were used as obtained. The solvents used were of spectroscopic grade and checked for any absorbing and/or fluorescent impurities. The final concentration of fisetin was kept in the order of 10^{-6} M and methanol concentration was below 1% (v/v). The desalted oligonucleotide d(T$_2$AG$_4$)$_4$ was dissolved in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 buffer, containing 25 mM NaCl made with deionized water. DNA concentration was determined by UV absorption measurement using molar extinction coefficient based on the nearest-neighbor approximation. 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 buffer, containing 25 mM NaCl was used for all experiments with DNA except for size exclusion chromatography (SEC) measurement, where 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 100 mM NaCl was used. We chose the [NaCl] ~ 25 mM following the range of salt concentration used by Vaughn et al. [6]. To prepare each solution for spectroscopic measurements, requisite amounts of flavonol from concentrated methanolic stock solution were added to the DNA solution and mixed with gentle shaking for a few minutes. Samples prepared for spectroscopic measurements were then equilibrated at room temperature (25°C) for 1 hour in dark prior to spectroscopic measurements.

UV/Vis Absorption and Fluorescence Spectroscopy

Steady state absorption spectra were recorded with a Shimadzu UV 2550 spectrophotometer with Peltier temperature controller and 8-micro cell holder accessories used for melting studies, with 1°C/min and a wait period of 240 secs. Steady state fluorescence measurements were carried out with Shimadzu RF5301 (equipped with a Fisher temperature controlled accessory) spectrophotometer.

Time resolved fluorescence decay measurements were performed using a Jobin-Yvon nanosecond time correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) setup. As excitation source a 375 nm laser diode having pulse FWHM ~ 170 ps was used. An emission monochromator was used to block scattered light and isolate the emission. Data analyses were performed using DAS6 Fluorescence Decay Analysis Software, provided with the TCSPC instrument and were fitted with a multi exponential decay function,

\[ I(t) = \sum_{i} a_i \exp(-t/\tau_i) \]  

where \( a_i \) and \( \tau_i \) represent the amplitudes and decay times respectively of the individual components for multi-exponential decay profiles. The goodness of fit was estimated by using reduced \( \chi^2 \) (namely \( \chi^2_{\text{reduced}} \)) values as well as Durbin–Watson parameters (DW). A fit is considered acceptable for a given set of observed data and chosen function, when the \( \chi^2_{\text{reduced}} \) value is in the range 0.8–1.2 and the DW value is greater than 1.7, 1.75 and 1.8 for a single, double and triple exponential fit respectively [20,21]. Average lifetime (\( \bar{\tau} \)) was calculated using the equation,

\[ \bar{\tau} = \frac{\sum a_i \tau_i^2}{\sum a_i \tau_i} \]  

where \( a_i \) and \( \tau_i \) represent the amplitude and decay time respectively of the individual components for multi-exponential decay profiles.

Fluorescence Anisotropy

For measurement of fluorescence anisotropy, depolarization decays parallel (\( I_{\text{VV}} \)) and perpendicular (\( I_{\text{VH}} \)) were collected in an alternating manner for equal amounts of time until at least 10,000 fluorescence counts were collected in the peak channel of \( I_{\text{VV}} \). The time-dependent fluorescence anisotropy, \( r(t) \) was then calculated from the above data using the following relation [20,21],

\[ r(t) = \frac{I(t)_{\text{VV}} - GI(t)_{\text{VH}}}{I(t)_{\text{VV}} + 2GI(t)_{\text{VH}}} \]  

where the G factor is defined as.

\[ G = I_{\text{HV}}/I_{\text{HH}} \]  

and the intensities \( I_{\text{HV}} \) and \( I_{\text{HH}} \) now refer to the vertical and horizontal positions of the emission polarizer, with the excitation polarizer being horizontal. The value of G has been used as 0.56 as identified for the instrument [20,21]. The fluorescence lifetime was determined by recording the decay at magic angle 54.7° polarization [20,21]. In the case of spherically symmetrical molecules, free to rotate and excited with polarized light, anisotropy will decay with time following the equation

\[ r(t) = r_0 e^{-t/\tau_{\text{rot}}} \]  

where \( r_0 \) is the limiting anisotropy, which is the anisotropy measured at the instant of photo selection at \( t = 0 \) and \( \tau_{\text{rot}} \) is the rotational correlation time, which is an indicator of how rapidly a molecule rotates [21]. If molecular rotation is occurring, we expect that the anisotropy value will decay from an initial value (\( r_0 \)) to a randomized state (\( r_\infty \)) due to depolarization of the system. The same software was used for time resolved fluorescence intensity as well as fluorescence anisotropy decay analyses. Three independent measurements were performed on the fluorescence decay experiments and the averaged values are given in Tables 1 and 2.

Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy

Circular dichroism spectra were acquired with a BioLogic Science Instruments (France) spectropolarimeter, using a rectangular cuvette with 1 cm path length. The scan rate was 60 nm/min, and five consecutive spectra were averaged to produce the final spectrum. All spectral measurements were performed at 25°C. The highest concentration of DNA for fluorescence decay, anisotropy (steady state, time resolved), and circular dichroism experiments were kept at ~20 μM in order to avoid scattering and related artifacts.

Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectra of sample solutions were collected using quartz capillary tubes with a confocal Micro Raman spectrometer (model: LabRAM HR Vis. Horiba Jobin Yvon SAS France) at laser power 18 mWatt, with exposure 20 secs and repetitions 10, extending over a spectral scan range of 500–1800 cm$^{-1}$. The excitation wavelength used was 632.81 nm (using He Ne laser), grating 1800 grooves/mm, 100 x objective having numerical aperture (NA) 0.9 giving the best confocality i.e. the depth of focus ~2 μm, where there is no loss of Raman signal with the confocal hole size 200 μm.

Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC)

SEC used a 300 x 7.8 mm i.d. column (BioSep, 3000, Phenomenex) on an HPLC system (SCL 10A VP, Shimadzu) using a 10 mM tris buffer at pH = 7 with 100 mM NaCl to minimize matrix adsorption [22]. For the thymine oligonucleotides dT$_5$, dT$_{10}$, dT$_{15}$, dT$_{20}$, dT$_{30}$, the averages of the retention times and the corresponding molecular masses were fitted linearly.
from which the folded nature of the d(T2AG4)4 DNA was
determined, assuming that there is no secondary interaction
within the thymine oligonucleotides as standards for drawing a calibration plot, in
order to obtain the molecular mass of the free and bound DNA for
stochiometric purpose. Absorption and fluorescence measure-
ments of the species were made using the SPD-10AVi and RF-
10AXL Shimadzu detectors, respectively. The time difference
between the two species was determined from the absorption
(260 nm) and the emission (λex = 307 nm, λem = 570 nm) for the
oligonucleotide 5’-CAGCA*GCAG-3’, where A* is 2-aminopurine.
The injection volume was 20 μL. Three or more chromatograms
were acquired to determine an average retention time.

Results and Discussion

Circular Dichroism, UV Melting and Thermal Differential
Absorption and Size Exclusion Chromatography

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra provide diagnostic signature
for the structure of a G-quadruplex. The CD spectrum probes the
asymmetry of bases where at wavelengths >200 nm, the
asymmetry arises as a result of stacking interactions of bases
[23,24] and coupling with backbone transitions. Figure 2A shows
the circular dichroic spectra of the d(T2AG4)4 with (green) and
without (red) fisetin. It is a known fact that a peak around 260 nm and a trough
around 260 nm generally implies an antiparallel G-quadruplex
[4,23,24]. Figure 2A depicts that in the absence of fisetin (red), the
CD profile of the oligonucleotide d(T2AG4)4 shows a positive peak
at 295 nm and a negative peak at 262 nm. These spectral
positions and their relative ellipticities are consistent with base
arrangements which form antiparallel quadruplex structures
implying the formation of the same in the present case. It is
pertinent to mention that in antiparallel structures, heteropolar
head-head/tail-tail base stacking of G-quartets take place [24].
In the presence of fisetin (green profile), there is no significant change
in the CD spectral features of the DNA, indicating that, the base
stacking of the G-quartet is conserved, highlighting the non-
invasive nature of fisetin binding in quadruplex matrix.

The UV absorption of nucleic acids from 200 to 300 nm (e.g.
Figure 3C inset) is exclusively due to transitions of the planar
purine and pyrimidine bases [23–25]. They actually correspond to
a number of different π → π* and n → π* transitions. The
phosphate backbone contributes at ∼190 nm. Figure 2B and
Figure 2C show the thermal difference spectra (TDS) [23,25]
and the corresponding thermal denaturation profiles at 290 nm of free
and conjugate DNA respectively. The curves in Figure 2B are
simply the result of the arithmetic difference between high (90°C)
and low temperature (20°C) absorption spectra, obtained by
subtracting the low temperature spectrum from the high
temperature spectrum. These spectra provide information com-
plementary to circular dichroism [23]. As is evident from
Figure 2B, the spectral difference between the unfolded and the
folded form which is the differential spectra in Tris buffer with

Table 1. Fluorescence decay parameters of fisetin tautomer (Fis (T)) and fisetin normal (Fis (N)) species in water and in the
presence of QD DNA.

| Sample | τ1 (ns) | τ2 (ns) | τ3 (ns) | α1 | α2 | α3 | τ (ns) | χ’ | DW |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|----|----|----|--------|----|----|
| Fis (T) a in water | 0.044 | 1.11 | - | 0.937 | 0.063 | - | 0.715 | 1.19 | 1.76 |
| Fis (T) a+7.5 μM QD-DNA | 1.06 | 3.94 | 7.17 | 0.641 | 0.201 | 0.158 | 4.59 | 1.2 | 1.82 |
| Fis (T) a+27 μMQD-DNA | 0.92 | 3.21 | 6.84 | 0.369 | 0.318 | 0.313 | 5.21 | 1.16 | 1.81 |
| Fis (N) b in water | 0.011 | 0.733 | - | 0.998 | - | 0.002 | - | 0.096 | 1.16 | 1.85 |
| Fis (N) b+7.5 μM QD-DNA | 0.17 | 0.69 | 3.51 | 0.61 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.80 | 1.14 | 1.71 |
| Fis (N) b+27 μMQD-DNA | 0.2 | 0.71 | 3.82 | 0.724 | 0.201 | 0.013 | 0.98 | 1.2 | 1.58 |

a(λex=375 nm, λem=550 nm), b(λex=375 nm, λem=450 nm), DW = FWHM = 115 ps, τ = \sum n_i τ_i / \sum n_i.

QD DNA solutions were prepared in pH 7.0, 10 mM TRIS buffer containing 25 mM NaCl. Fluorescence decay experiments and the averaged values are given here.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065383.t001

Table 2. Parameters of Rotational Dynamics of Fisetin tautomer (Fis (T)) and normal (Fis (N)) species in water and in the
presence of QD DNA.

| Sample | τrot 1 (ns) | τrot 2 (ns) | α1 | α2 | α3 | τ (ns) | χ’ | DW | τ 2 |
|--------|-------------|-------------|----|----|----|--------|----|----|----|
| Fis (T) a in MeOH | 0.205 | - | 1.0 | - | 0.205 | 0.99 | 1.79 | 0.28 | 0.99 |
| Fis (T) a+27 μMQD DNA | 16.79 | - | 1.0 | - | 16.79 | 1.10 | 1.79 | 0.29 | 0.09 |
| Fis (N) b in MeOH | 0.139 | - | 1.0 | - | 0.139 | 1.16 | 1.62 | 0.25 | 0.0 |
| Fis (N) b+27 μMQD DNA | 0.501 | - | 1.0 | - | 0.501 | 1.04 | 2.04 | 0.29 | 0.12 |

a(λex=375 nm, λem=550 nm), b(λex=375 nm, λem=450 nm), FWHM = 115 ps, τ = \sum n_i τ_i / \sum n_i.

QD DNA solutions were prepared in pH 7.0, 10 mM TRIS buffer containing 25 mM NaCl. Fisetin = 15 μM. Three independent measurements were performed on the fluorescence decay experiments and the averaged values are given here.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065383.t002
NaCl (Figure 2B, red), shows two positive maxima at ~244 and 270 nm, a shoulder at 257 nm and a negative minimum at 295 nm. Differential spectra have a typical and unique shape for quadruplexes [23,25] and the characteristics of the spectral profile observed here are clearly supportive of the formation of quadruplex structure for d(T2AG4)4 DNA in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4 with 25 mM NaCl. In the presence of fisetin (the green profile in Figure 2B), the unique features of the TDS profile were protected, establishing the positive influence of the therapeutic agent in the quadruplex matrix. Furthermore, the occurrence of the induced positive peak at 345 nm with a shoulder at 312 nm along with the trough at 390 nm suggest the presence of fisetin inside the DNA matrix and the possible dipolar interactions between them.

Hypochromicity at 290 nm with increasing temperature in Figure 2C is mainly the result of base stacking [23]. The relative positioning of the bases play a crucial role in the absorption of light and the melting profile upon denaturation is attributed to the interaction between the dipoles induced in the chromophore by the light. Hypochromism at a given wavelength will not only depend on the intrinsic transition moments of each base, but also on the relative moment of the interacting bases (base-paired or stacked). The considerable hypochromic shift at 290 nm with increasing temperature in Figure 2C is indicative of quadruplex structures [4,23] and the midpoint of the melting transition is the melting temperature, Tm. As is shown in Figure 2C, there is no appreciable change in the Tm of the DNA structure in the presence of fisetin (both the Y-axes are normalized). The observed Tm of ~81°C is in close agreement with Fox and Rachwal [26]. In this context it should be noted that at high temperatures, the chance of thermal degradation of fisetin is rare, as is evident from the TDS profile of the conjugated DNA in Figure 2B.

The formation of quadruplex form of dT2AG4 in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4 with 25 mM NaCl was confirmed through CD, thermal melting and differential absorption spectra where the antiparallel nature of the quadruplex was also established by CD.

Figure 2. Red and green denote free and fisetin bound (T2AG4)4 DNA respectively in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4 with 10 mM NaCl. A: Circular Dichroism spectra of free DNA (20 μM, red) and in the presence of fisetin (20 μM, green), B: Thermal Difference Spectra of 5 μM of free DNA (red) and in the presence of 5 μM of fisetin (green), C: Typical UV melting profiles of the same as mentioned in B, monitored at 290 nm, where heating rate was 1°C min⁻¹. D: Size-exclusion chromatograms of 5 μM solution of d(T2AG4)4 oligonucleotide, studied by absorbance at 260 nm, with (green dashed) and without (red solid) fisetin (5 μM). Using fluorescence emission the SEC chromatogram of the conjugate was also studied (green solid). The time difference of 0.06 mins between the fluorescence and absorption due to the time lag between the two detectors (see the Experimental section21) is corrected here. The experiments were performed in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl. Dilutions do not influence the retention times. Please refer to text and Figure S1 in File S1 for further details.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065383.g002
However it was unclear if the quadruplex was uni or multimolecular. To find out the nature of the quadruplex, size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was carried out. Support for the monomeric form of d(T2AG4)4 is obtained from molecular mass measurements (see Figure S1 in File S1). Thymine oligonucleotides were used to relate observed retention times to molecular mass, as these homooligonucleotides favor single-stranded and unfolded conformations. Size exclusion chromatography coupled with absorbance at 260 nm and fluorescence detection demonstrates that the retention time of the free d(T2AG4)4 is 9.92 min which is between the retention times of dT20 (9.84 min) and dT15 (10.05 min, see Figure S1 top in File S1). With the intrinsic mass of the 28 bases long oligonucleotide (dT2AG4)4 of 8891.8 g/mol, the retention time in SEC should have been around dT30, which is not the case. The retention time of the fisetin bound oligonucleotide as studied through absorbance at 260 nm and fluorescence is 9.88 mins. This is indicative of the folded nature of the oligonucleotide such as dT10 and dT8. The presence of fisetin in the DNA makes it heavier, giving its retention time lesser than the free state (Figure 2D green compared to red). Relative to single-stranded thymine oligonucleotides (Figure S1, top and bottom in File S1), the calculated molecular mass from the linear fit for free and fisetin bound (dT2AG4)4 is found to be 5213 g/mol and 5476 g/mol with a difference of 263 g/mol. It is noteworthy that the molar mass of fisetin is 286.24 g/mol which strongly indicates that the binding stoichiometry between fisetin and (dT2AG4)4 should be 1:1. Thus while the optical spectroscopic measurements

Figure 3. Red and green denote free and d(T2AG4)4 bound fisetin respectively in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4 with 25 mM NaCl. A: Fluorescence emission spectra (λex = 370 nm); B: Fluorescence excitation spectra (λem = 530 nm); and C: Absorption spectra of fisetin (λ = 7.5 μM) in the presence of increasing concentrations of DNA (0– red, rest green; 1–, 5–, 10–, 20 μM). A inset: Variation of the ratio of the intensity of tautomer vs normal isomers of fisetin (It at 530/N at 470) with increasing [DNA]. C inset highlights the absorption spectra of fisetin bound 5 μM DNA (green) between 200–300 nm. Pink (……..) spectra and marker in Figures A, B, C and A inset provide the fluorescence emission, excitation, absorption spectra and It at 530/N at 470 of 7.5 μM fisetin in 20 μM (T2AG4)4 in the presence of 7.5 μM of ethidium bromide (EtBr) respectively. The blue and black dotted lines in Figure 3B represent the excitation spectra of EtBr (λem = 600 nm) with and without fisetin in 5 μM DNA.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065383.g003
Fisetin as a G₄ Ligand

Steady State Fluorescence Spectroscopy

Figures 3 A, B, C present the fluorescence emission, fluorescence excitation and UV absorption spectra of fisetin with increasing concentration of d(T₂A₄G₄₄) DNA. It is evident that addition of DNA induces drastic changes in the emission behavior of fisetin. In aqueous medium, the fluorescence spectrum of fisetin exhibits strong overlap between the normal and tautomer emission bands [27]. With the addition of the d(T₂A₄G₄₄), dual fluorescence behavior is observed. The emission spectra of fisetin consist of 'two color' fluorescence bands, namely a yellow-green emission band along with a high energy band in the blue-violet region. The blue-violet fluorescence is assigned to the S₁ (π → π*) emission (N, non-proton transferred) emission, whereas the large Stokes shifted yellow-green fluorescence is attributed to emission from a tautomer (T) species generated by an excited state intramolecular proton transfer (ESPT) process occurring along the internal H-bond (C(4) = O–HO–C(3)) of the molecule (Figure 1d) [26] The proton transfer (ESPT) process occurring along the internal H-bond of fisetin which permits the ESPT process. It is noteworthy that the emission profiles of fisetin recorded in the DNA matrix resemble the situation in aprotic environment where ESPT emission behavior is prominent [28]. Moreover, this is consistent with the spectral characteristics of the excitation profile (monitored for the PT fluorescence) which reveals a weak but clearly perceptible vibrational shoulder (Figure 3B) [28] typical of a predominantly aprotic environment. The absorption spectrum of fisetin (Figure 3C) after binding with DNA shows some change in λ₂₅₀₄₈ peak position (362 nm in buffer and 366 nm in 20 μM DNA) indicating the change in the microenvironment of fisetin with no additional species being generated, which agrees well with our previous studies on fisetin in different environments [28]. The appreciable changes in absorption, excitation and emission spectra of fisetin from aqueous buffer to DNA matrix are indicative of the strong binding of fisetin with the G₄ DNA. The enhanced tautomer emission as well as the strongly red shifted (~ 15 nm for tautomer from 0 (520 nm) to 20 μM DNA (535 nm)) fluorescence band, indicate that the guest (fisetin) molecules experience relatively aprotic environments [28], in the DNA microenvironment (see Figure 3A). The normal emission (which possesses a strong charge transfer character and therefore susceptible to solvent dipolar relaxation induced spectral shifts) undergoes a blue shift of 14 nm with λ₂₅₀₄₈ ~ 484 nm at 0 to ~ 470 nm at 20 μM DNA suggesting the microenvironment inside DNA is hydrophobic too. This establishes fisetin as an excellent two color fluorescent probe to study its microenvironment. Time dependent emission study was performed on the conjugate of the fisetin and DNA over a period of four days and similar emission intensity suggested that the complex is stable for days (at least four days, data not shown).

In order to understand the mode of binding of fisetin in antiparallel intramolecular quadruplex matrix, we have exploited the well know extrinsic fluorescence probe ethidium bromide (EtBr), which mostly intercalates in DNA [29,30]. Literature data provide evidence that EtBr binds with quadruplex mainly through intercalation or end stacking modes [29–31]. Figure 3A inset shows I₁/I₄ for fisetin in 20 μM DNA is the absence and presence of EtBr are 4.14 and 3.73 (pink dot) which suggest that in the presence of EtBr there is no major decrease in the fluorescence emission of fisetin, suggesting fisetin is still in the bound form inside the DNA matrix. The process of energy transfer is readily observed from the excitation spectrum of the energy acceptor [20,32]. The blue and black dotted lines in Figure 3B show the fluorescence excitation of EtBr (λ₂₅₀₄₈ = 600 nm) in 20 μM DNA in the absence and presence of fisetin and the appearance of a band at 370 nm only in the latter case clearly indicate the existence of both fisetin and EtBr in DNA matrix and the occurrence of FRET from fisetin to EtBr which is intercalated between the adjacent guanine tetrads of the quadruplex. Figure S2 in File S1 presents the binding behaviors of EtBr and fisetin with the DNA in the presence of each other. As is shown in Figure S2 in File S1, the...
fluorescence of EtBr increases 4 fold upon binding with DNA and the addition of fisetin opens the path of energy transfer from fisetin to EtBr, thereby leading to a further increase (to a slight extent) in the emission intensity of EtBr (which now shows a 4.5 fold increase compared to EtBr alone). In the latter case, the appearance of the second band with $\lambda_{em}^{max}$ at $\sim$ 530 nm is attributed to the ESPT species of fisetin. Figures 3 and S2 suggest that the binding regions are adjacent for EtBr and fisetin in d(T2AG4)4 DNA matrix, which makes the FRET from fisetin to EtBr possible.

The possible binding site for fisetin is therefore the face of the G-quartet along the diagonal loop [33,34], (see Figure 1 and text in the later sections) where possible $\pi$-delocalized system stacking is possible [33]. This agrees well the $\Delta$Abs signal above 300 nm in Figure 2B (green profile). Furthermore, the absence of an induced CD signal above 300 nm in Figure 2A supports the possible binding of fisetin in the loop region rather than the groove region of the G4 matrix, because an induced CD signal $>300$ nm should have been observed in the later case [11].

**Time Resolved Fluorescence Studies: Fluorescence Decay Analysis by TCSPC Studies**

Understanding the photophysical processes of a fluorescent probe in the excited state is made possible by time-resolved fluorescence decay studies. These were performed to examine how confinement in the DNA matrix influences the fluorescence decay profiles of the flavonoid fisetin when compared to the free state in an aqueous system. The normal species along with the ESPT tautomer emission decay kinetics of fisetin were studied in aqueous and d(T2AG4)4 DNA systems. The results are presented in Table 1. The fluorescence intensity decay of fisetin ESPT tautomer species in absence of DNA fits to a double exponential function with an average lifetime ($\tau$) of 0.72 ns which is in agreement with a recent report [16]. Upon inclusion into DNA, there is a dramatic change in the decay kinetics of the fisetin tautomers species. In DNA, three discrete decay components are observed. Compared to the free state in aqueous system, $\tau$ increases by six to seven times in
7.5 μM DNA (reaching a value of 4.59 ns) and 27 μM DNA (5.21 ns) environments. We observed τ increases (~14%) with increase in DNA concentration from 7.5 μM to 27 μM, along with an increase in the amplitudes of the corresponding individual decay components (Table 1). This indicates that the fisetin molecules experience relatively hydrophobic microenvironments within the DNA loop cavity where non-radiative decay processes are reduced [35], which is also reflected in the higher emission yield in Figure 3A. However, the changes in τ and population distribution of the normal species of fisetin are less significant in DNA matrix as displayed in Table 1. The nonexponential decay in the presence of high concentration of DNA indicates heterogeneity in the micro-environments of fisetin in the DNA matrix. It is pertinent to mention, in the diagonal loop region of the d(T2AG4)4 DNA, the thymine and adenine bases can form H-bond with the two –OH groups of B ring and one –OH group of A ring of fisetin (see Figure 1), thereby promoting the excited state intramolecular H-bond between C(3)-OH and C(4) = O and increasing tautomer population. The multiple decay components observed for the tautomer species of fisetin is likely to arise from populations differing in the extent of H-bonding within the microenvironment of the diagonal loop region of the intramolecular antiparallel quadruplex.

The fluorescence anisotropy decay parameters of fisetin (15 μM) in different media (in homogeneous solution of methanol [MeOH] and in DNA) are given in Table 2 and the decay profiles in DNA only (for both tautomer and normal) are shown in Figure 4. In MeOH and DNA matrix (27 μM), the anisotropy decay of fisetin tautomer is found to be single exponential with rotational time constant τ_{rot} 0.21 ns and 16.79 ns, while for the normal species the rotational time constants are 0.14 ns and 0.5 ns (Table 2, Figure 4) respectively. Equation 5 states that if stochastic rotations occur in every axis of rotation with equal probability, the anisotropy will decay as a single-exponential function with a decay constant τ_{rot}[36]. We observed the measured anisotropy decay to be fitted by a mono-exponential function which can be attributed to a spheroid molecular shape (because nonspherical fluorophores will rotate at different rates along different axes) of the fisetin bound quadruplex DNA [36–38]. τ_{rot} depends on the combined effect of three different kinds of motions, namely; (1) the wobbling motion of the fisetin molecule in the DNA [2], (2) the lateral diffusion of the fisetin along a spherical surface; and (3) the rotational motion of the whole fisetin bound DNA [37,38]. Compared to type 2 and 3 motions, the wobbling motion (Type 1) is much faster, occurring in the picoseconds range [36–38]. Here τ_{rot} is attributed to the overall rotational motion of the whole fisetin bound DNA oligonucleotide in the solution. Considering the slow decay constant of the ESPT species (16.79 ns in Table 2) for fisetin in DNA, we can envision the overall conformation of fisetin in the bound state to be swelled compared to that in the free state. The increase in the rotational time constants from MeOH to DNA is due to the increase in the restrictions in the motion of the fisetin molecules in the DNA matrix [36] as well as the conformational swelling due to the overall motion of the conjugated DNA. Inside the DNA matrix, the fisetin molecule is locked through non-covalent interactions such as H-bonding, hydrophobic and van der Waals interactions, which make the rotation of fisetin difficult, thereby increasing the τ_{rot} and constraining type 1 and 2 motions. The faster decay constants in MeOH solution for both normal and tautomer are due to the type 1 and 2 motions.

From the time resolved decay of the anisotropy (Figure 4), combined with equation (5) we obtained the value of the anisotropy at t = 0 (r₀), the final value (rₜₐ₇₉₉) as well as τ_{rot}. The anisotropy of fisetin emission decays from its initial r₀ value (~0.3 for both N, T) to a final value (rₜₐ₇₉₉) of 0 in methanol (both N and T) and 0.12 (N) and 0.09 (T) in DNA (Table 2). It may be pertinent to mention here that the limiting anisotropy (r₀) values measured experimentally by analyzing the time-resolved decay of anisotropy using equation (5) are often found to be lower than the fundamental anisotropy values predicted by theory (0.4 for single photon selection), which is attributed to the presence of depolarization factors [20,36]. The depolarization process(es) responsible for the discrepancy between our measured r₀ value and the limiting anisotropy (at t = 0) must occur on a timescale significantly faster than the time resolution of our instrument (in the order of 10⁵ ps) because they appear to take place...
instantaneously. The final value of anisotropy, \( r_\infty \) is 0 when molecular rotation is freely occurring, which is observed for fisetin N and T in methanol solution where the anisotropy value has decayed to 0, indicating that the orientation of the emission dipole of fisetin has randomized, as is expected. On the other hand, inside the DNA matrix, fisetin N and T forms have non-covalent interactions with the DNA matrix which hinders the rotational motion of the fluorophore, so that the orientation of the emission dipole does not randomize, and consequently the anisotropy value does not decay to zero [20], but instead assumes appreciable values (\( r_\infty = 0.09 \) for the tautomer, and 0.12 for the normal species). In this connection, it is noteworthy that in the ground state the N form of fisetin has more H-bonding interactions (involving the A, B, C rings’ –OH groups as well as C(4) = O where all H-bonds are internal) with the DNA matrix than the precursor of the ESPT-form (involving only the A, B rings’ –OH groups, where intramolecular H-bond between C(4) = O–HO–C(3) could occur leading to the ESPT), bringing the emission dipole of N more restricted than T form. This rationalizes the observation of the higher value for the limiting anisotropy \( r_\infty \) for the normal species.

**Raman Spectroscopy**

In order to understand the binding region of fisetin in the DNA, we recently initiated Raman spectroscopic studies and the results of the initial measurements are presented in Figure 5. To facilitate the comparison, the Raman spectra are normalized, simply by dividing the raw data by its maximum value, so that the highest positive peak has a Y-value of \( \sim +1 \). The Raman spectra of the quadruplex-forming d[T2AG4]4 were recorded both in the presence (green) and absence of fisetin (red) as shown in Figure 5A. The vibrational band around 1478 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to the C8 = N7–H2 bond deformation of the guanine tetrad (Figure 5B). Since the major spectral changes between free and conjugated DNA occurred (except that for the presence of fisetin, discussed above) at 1662 (shift of thymine band from 1660 to 1662), 725, 820 cm\(^{-1}\), which are the adenine and thymine marker lines, [39–41] suggesting the binding of fisetin through the loop region which is made up of thymine and adenine bases, as is presented in Figure 6. This agrees well with the interpretations from CD, differential absorption and fluorescence spectroscopic results.

**Summary and Concluding Remarks**

In summary, for the first time as far as we are aware, a systematic and thorough investigation, on the quadruplex forming DNA sequence and its binding with the therapeutically very potent plant flavonol fisetin, is provided using various spectroscopic and chromatographic measurements. The current study established that d[T2AG4]4 in a sodium ion-rich environment folds into an antiparallel intramolecular G-quadruplex consisting of four stacked G-quartets. The four strands are connected with two different types of loops: diagonal and edgewise consisting of 3 nucleotides. Thermal melting, differential absorption, CD, Raman spectroscopic along with size exclusion chromatographic measurements proved to be very useful in determining the structure of the quadruplex and the binding of fisetin in it. The structure and dynamics of the fisetin in the conjugated state is well understood exploiting steady state and time resolved fluorescence and anisotropic studies. We can envision that the interesting findings presented herein would provoke further extension of this research to other flavonoids of high potency and low systemic toxicity as ligands for G4 DNAs to treat various disorders of physiological system.
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