Endogenous spartin (SPG20) is recruited to endosomes and lipid droplets and interacts with the ubiquitin E3 ligases AIP4 and AIP5
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INTRODUCTION

The HSPs (hereditary spastic paraplegias) are genetic conditions in which there is distal degeneration of the longest axons of the corticospinal tract, resulting in spastic paralysis of the legs. The gene encoding spartin is mutated in Troyer syndrome, an HSP in which paralysis is accompanied by additional clinical features. There has been controversy over the subcellular distribution of spartin. We show here that, at steady state, endogenous spartin exists in a cytosolic pool that can be recruited to endosomes and to lipid droplets. Cytosolic endogenous spartin is mono-ubiquitinated and we demonstrate that it interacts via a PPXY motif with the ubiquitin E3 ligases AIP4 [atrophin-interacting protein 4; WWP2 (WW domain-containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 2) and AIP5 (WWP1)]. Surprisingly, the PPXY motif, AIP4 and AIP5 are not required for spartin’s ubiquitination, and so we propose that spartin acts as an adaptor for these proteins.

Our results suggest that spartin is involved in diverse cellular functions, which may be of relevance to the complex phenotype seen in Troyer syndrome.
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The HSPs (hereditary spastic paraplegias) are genetic conditions in which the main pathology is degeneration of the distal ends of the longest axons of the corticospinal tract, the main pathway that connects the motor cortex of the brain to the spinal cord [1–4]. Study of the HSPs is therefore important to understand the molecular mechanisms involved in axonal maintenance and the dying-back axonopathy seen in these and other more common neurological conditions.

The HSPs are divided into pure forms, in which spastic paraplegia occurs in relative isolation, and complex forms, in which there are other prominent clinical features [1,5]. This clinical diversity is reflected in genetic heterogeneity, and at present more than 30 genetic loci have been mapped, with causative mutations in numerous genes identified [2–4]. One of these is the SPG20 gene, which encodes spartin. Mutation of this gene causes Troyer syndrome, an autosomal recessive complex HSP in which spastic paraplegia is accompanied by severe atrophy of the small hand muscles, dysarthria, mental retardation and mild skeletal abnormalities, including short stature [6]. The condition was identified in the Old Order Amish population, where the causative mutation is a frameshift that is predicted to cause a loss of 268 residues [6]. This is likely to cause the loss of function of the protein [7].

To date, studies aimed at determining the subcellular location of spartin have largely relied on over-expression systems. Lu et al. [8] reported that epitope-tagged spartin localized to mitochondria, whereas Bakowska et al. [9] found that epitope-tagged spartin was cytosolic, but was sequestered to endosomes on stimulation with EGF (epidermal growth factor) or on expression of ATPase-defective Vps4 (vacular protein sorting 4). ATPase-defective Vps4 has a dominant-negative effect, trapping proteins on endosomes that normally have a transient localization there [10,11]. Bakowska et al. [9] also reported that endogenous spartin was recruited to lipid droplets upon induction by oleic acid treatment [12]. Lipid droplets are cytoplasmic structures, bound by a monolayer of polar lipids, which store neutral lipids in their core. They are found in nearly all cell types and play active roles in lipid homeostasis and a number of other cellular functions [13,14]. In summary, existing localization studies have been of uncertain relevance to endogenous spartin and have given contradictory results.

Spartin is multiply mono-ubiquitinated [9]. Using over-expression systems in a study published during the preparation of this manuscript, Eastman et al. [12] found that spartin interacts with the HECT (homologous with the E6AP C-terminus) family ubiquitin E3 ligase AIP5 [atrophin-interacting protein 5; WWP1 (WW domain-containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1)], although the relevance of this interaction to the endogenous proteins was not demonstrated. HECT ubiquitin ligases contain an N-terminal membrane-binding C2 domain, WW domains that interact with...
PPXY motifs in binding partners and a C-terminal HECT domain that has ubiquitin binding and ligation properties [15]. The interaction was mediated by binding between a spartin PPXY motif and WW domains in the E3 ligase, and over-expression experiments suggested that AIP5 might be responsible for ubiquitination of spartin [12].

In the present study, we identified the subcellular locations of endogenous spartin, demonstrating that it is present in a cytosolic pool that can be sequestered to endosomes and to lipid droplets. We also identified interactions at the endogenous protein level between spartin and the E3 ubiquitin ligases AIP4 and AIP5. Surprisingly, we show that spartin's PPXY motif, AIP4 and AIP5 are not required for spartin’s ubiquitination. Instead, we suggest that spartin has a more complex relationship with these ubiquitin ligases, perhaps functioning as an adaptor for them.

EXPERIMENTAL

Antibodies and markers

Mouse monoclonal anti-α-tubulin (T-9026) and anti-FLAG (A-9594) and rabbit polyclonal anti-actin (A-2066) were from Sigma–Aldrich. Goat polyclonal anti-AIP4 (Sc-11890) and mouse monoclonal anti-LAMP1 (lysoсsome-associated membrane protein 1; clone H4A3) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Mouse monoclonal anti-AIP5 (H0011059-M01) was from Abnova. Mouse monoclonal anti-EEA1 (early endosome antigen 1; 610457) and anti-GM130 (610823) were from BD Transduction Laboratories. Mouse monoclonal anti-EGFR (Ab-5) and rabbit polyclonal anti-calreticulin (Ab-4) were from Calbiochem. Mouse monoclonal antibodies to polyubiquitinated protein (FK1) and poly- and mono-ubiquitinated proteins (FK2) were from Biomol International. Mouse monoclonal anti-HA (haemagglutinin; code number HA.11) was from Covance. Sheep polyclonal anti-TGN46 (AHP500G) was from AbD Serotec. Mouse monoclonal anti-KDEL (SPA-827) was from Stressgen. Mouse monoclonal anti-Myc (4A6) was from Upstate Biotechnology. Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (green fluorescent protein; catalogue number 6556-25) was from AbCam. Mouse monoclonal anti-clathrin (X22) was a gift from Professor Margaret S. Robinson (Department of Clinical Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.). Rabbit polyclonal anti-spartin was raised against full-length GST (glutathione transferase)–spartin (Harlan SeraLabs). Rabbit polyclonal anti-M6PR was raised as previously described [16]. Peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for Western blotting were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich. MitoTracker and Alexa Fluor®-labelled secondary antibodies for immunofluorescence were from Invitrogen Molecular Probes.

Constructs

The full-length cDNA for spartin was amplified by PCR from the IMAGE clone 4738886. To make GST–spartin, the PCR primers used in the reaction contained restriction enzyme sites compatible with cloning into the pGEX-4T3 expression vector (GE Healthcare), which coded for a 5′ in-frame GST tag. The PCR product was ligated into the expression vector using T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs). The sequence of the inserted cDNA and insertion sites was verified by sequencing (Geneservice). For the Myc–spartin and Myc–spartinAAA (mutation of spartin’s PPXY motif to AAA) constructs, Gateway-compatible primers were used to amplify the spartin cDNA, and the PCR product was then ligated into a pCDNA3-NG-Myc Gateway-compatible vector, using previously described methods [17]. Site-directed mutagenesis of this vector was carried out using the Phusion Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Finnzymes). The Myc–Nedd4.2 (neural-precur-cell-expressed developmentally down-regulated 4.2) constructs were a gift from Dr Adriano Marchese (Department of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Loyola University, Chicago, IL, U.S.A.), whereas the AIP4 constructs were a gift from Professor Paul Lehner (Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.).

Cell culture, transient transfection of DNA and immunofluorescence microscopy

HeLa (human cervical carcinoma), NSC34 (a hybrid murine motor neuron/neuroblastoma cell line) and PC12 (a rat neuroendocrine cell line) cells were maintained in DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; Sigma–Aldrich) containing 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum; Sigma–Aldrich), 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Sigma–Aldrich) and 2 mM l-glutamine (Sigma–Aldrich). PC12 medium also contained 10% horse serum.

Transient transfections of plasmid DNA constructs were carried out using Effectene® transfection reagent (Qiagen) as previously described [18,19]. Following transfection, coverslips were processed for immunofluorescence were washed twice in PBS (Sigma–Aldrich). In cases where cytosol extraction was undertaken, cells were washed twice with ice-cold 1× PBS and once with cytosol extraction buffer (25 mM Hepes/KOH, 25 mM KCl, 2.5 mM magnesium acetate, 5 mM EGTA (sodium free) and 150 mM potassium glutamate) before being washed with cytosol extraction buffer supplemented with 0.05% saponin for 30 s.

In most cases, cells were fixed with 3.8% (w/v) formaldehyde in 1× PBS at room temperature (22°C) for 20 min, then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma–Aldrich) or 0.05% saponin (Sigma; for LAMP1 antibody) at room temperature for 4 min. Cells to be immunostained with anti-EGFR or X-22 were fixed and permeabilized in methanol at −20°C for 5 min. Further processing was then carried out as previously described in [18]. Coverslips were mounted in anti-Fade Gold medium (Invitrogen Molecular Probes) on a glass slide. Stained samples were viewed under a Zeiss 510 Meta confocal microscope. Images were recorded using LSM Image Analyzer software and data were subsequently processed using Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator programmes.

Protein depletion by siRNA (small interfering RNA) knockdown

Duplex siRNA oligonucleotides targeting human spartin (GGCAAGGAUUGGAUUGCAGCUAA and CAAUACG-GAUAAUGUCAGGAA, siRNA1 and siRNA2 respectively, [9]) and AIP4 (GGUGACAAAGAGCCAACAGAGTT) were obtained from Invitrogen. AIP5 On-Target smartpool siRNA, used in a pool containing 4 oligonucleotides (GGUCUGAUA-CUAGUAUAA, GAACGGCGCUUUAGGUGGA, GAAAG-GCAACGAUAGAUU and CCAGAUGAUAGAAGGUU, catalogue numbers J-004251-07 to -10) and non-targeting control siRNA (#1, catalogue number D-001210-01) were obtained from Dharmacon.

For siRNA transfection, a ‘double hit’ oligonucleotide transfection protocol, using Oligofectamine transfection reagent (Invitrogen), was employed as previously described [19]. Spartin oligonucleotides were used separately at 10 nM concentration, or in combination at a total concentration of 10 nM. The AIP4 oligonucleotide was used at 50 nM and the AIP5 smartpool at a total concentration of 200 nM. Protein depletion was confirmed in each experiment by immunoblotting.
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Figure 1 A novel anti-spartin antibody confirms that spartin shows multiple mono-ubiquitination

(A) Western blot (WB) of HeLa, NSC34 and PC12 lysates using α-spartin final bleed (left panel) or pre-immune serum (PI, right panel). (B) HeLa cells transfected with control non-targeting siRNA show a strong ~80 kDa band on immunoblotting with α-spartin, whereas in cells transfected with each of two siRNA oligonucleotides directed against spartin, or with a pool of the two spartin siRNAs, this band is absent. Actin immunoblotting is shown to verify equal loading. (C) Spartin was immunoprecipitated (IP) from HeLa cells by α-spartin, but not by pre-immune serum. (D) Spartin was immunoprecipitated from HeLa cells by α-spartin. Subsequent immunoblotting with FK2 (which recognizes mono- and poly-ubiquitin) detected bands corresponding to the expected size of ubiquitinated forms of spartin, whereas immunoblotting with FK1 (which recognizes only poly-ubiquitin) detected no signal. (E) HeLa cells were transfected with HA-tagged ubiquitin, then immunoprecipitated with α-spartin or with pre-immune serum. Immunoblotting with anti-HA antibody detected bands corresponding to the size of ubiquitinated spartin in the sample immunoprecipitated with α-spartin. (F) Under immunofluorescence confocal microscopy, mock-transfected HeLa cells show a strong cytosolic signal when labelled with α-spartin. However, in cells transfected with an siRNA oligonucleotide directed against spartin, the signal was greatly diminished. Images were obtained using identical confocal microscope settings. Scale bars = 10 μm.

Co-immunoprecipitation

Cells were harvested by scraping them into ice-cold lysis buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, centrifuged at 10000 g for 5 min at 4°C to remove insoluble material, and then processed as previously described in [18].

Lipid droplet induction and labelling

To induce lipid droplet formation, HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 200 μM oleic acid (Sigma–Aldrich) in 0.3% BSA for 24 h, as previously described in [12]. Lipid droplets were visualized by incubating the cells in PBS with 10 μg/ml BODIPY 493/503 (Invitrogen) for 15 min before fixation.

RESULTS

Spartin is mono-ubiquitinated in human and rat cell lines

To characterize better endogenous spartin, we raised a polyclonal antibody (termed α-spartin) against a GST-tagged full-length spartin fusion protein. We first verified this antibody for use in Western blotting and immunoprecipitation. In Western blotting with HeLa, NSC34 and PC12 cells, the antibody detected a main band of approx. 80 kDa, consistent with the size predicted for endogenous spartin (Figure 1A). In NSC34 cells, a band of approx. 70 kDa was also seen, which may represent a lower-molecular-mass isoform of the protein. In HeLa cells, the 80 kDa band was greatly diminished after depletion of spartin by siRNA transfection, confirming the specificity of the antibody (Figure 1B). It has been reported that spartin is multiply mono-ubiquitinated [9], and in our immunoblotting experiments we saw fainter bands above the main 80 kDa spartin band in HeLa, NSC34 and PC12 cells (Figure 1A). After immunoprecipitation of spartin from HeLa cells, blotting with antibodies recognizing either mono- and poly-ubiquitin (FK2) or polyubiquitin alone (FK1) confirmed
that these larger bands represented mono-ubiquitinated forms of spartin (Figure 1D). Similar results were found in NSC34 cells (results not shown). In addition, in HeLa cells transiently transfected with HA–ubiquitin and immunoprecipitated with α-spartin, bands corresponding to the size of ubiquitinated spartin were detected with anti-HA antibody on immunoblotting (Figure 1E). We therefore conclude that spartin is multiply mono-ubiquitinated.

Endogenous spartin can be recruited to endosomes

To resolve the conflicting descriptions of the subcellular location of spartin [8,9,12], we determined the subcellular distribution of endogenous spartin using our α-spartin antibody. In immunofluorescence experiments, endogenous spartin had a widespread diffuse cytoplasmic distribution with typically minimal nuclear staining (Figure 1F). The intensity of the signal was significantly reduced on depletion of spartin by siRNA knockdown, confirming the specificity of the antibody (Figure 1F).

We first co-stained HeLa cells with α-spartin and a variety of organelle markers. There was no, or very limited, co-localization with markers for endosomes, lysosomes, the Golgi apparatus, clathrin-coated vesicles, the endoplasmic reticulum or microtubules (Supplementary Figure S1 at http://www.BiochemJ.org/bj/423/bj4230031add.htm). We next examined whether endogenous spartin could be trapped on Vps4-EQ-positive endosomes, an issue that has been controversial in experiments with epitope-tagged spartin [9,12]. Endogenous spartin was strongly recruited to these (Figure 2A). This suggests that endogenous spartin has a transient association with endosomes, and its removal from them requires Vps4.

Upon stimulation of cells with physiological doses of EGF, EGF binds to EGFRs, whereupon the EGF/EGFR complex is internalized via clathrin-mediated endocytosis, then trafficked via early and late endosomes to the lysosomal compartment, where it is degraded [20]. On EGF stimulation, over-expressed epitope-tagged spartin is recruited initially to the plasma membrane and then associates with EGFR-positive structures for up to 30 min chase time, after which no co-localization is found with fluorescently labelled EGF [9]. We examined whether endogenous spartin behaved in the same way. We incubated cells that had been serum starved for at least 3 h with EGF (5 ng/ml) on ice for 1 h. We then warmed the cells to 37°C to trigger a pulse of receptor internalization. As expected, we saw EGFR internalization from the plasma membrane to cytoplasmic vesicular structures (Figures 2B and 2C). In contrast with the results obtained previously with epitope-tagged spartin, we found no strong recruitment of endogenous spartin to the plasma membrane at early chase times [0 min (results not shown) and 1 min; Figure 2B], and at these time points there was no obvious co-localization between spartin and EGFR. However, we began to see co-localization between spartin and EGFR at 5 min chase time (results not shown), and this partial co-localization between spartin and EGFR was also present at 30 min (Figure 2C) and 60 min (results not shown).

Spartin interacts with the HECT-family ubiquitin ligases AIP4 and AIP5 via a PPXY motif

Several proteins involved in endocytic processes are ubiquitinated by members of the Hect/Nedd4 family of ubiquitin E3 ligases. A previous proteomics study examining the interaction profile of WW domains suggested an interaction between the WW-domain of the Hect/Nedd4 ubiquitin ligase family member AIP4 and spartin, raising the possibility that AIP4 has ubiquitin ligase activity towards spartin [22]. In addition, a study published during the preparation of the present manuscript used over-expression systems to show that AIP5 also interacts with spartin [12]. We therefore investigated the relevance of these potential interactions to the endogenous protein.

In HeLa cells, endogenous spartin co-immunoprecipitated with endogenous AIP4 and AIP5 (Figures 4A and 4B). Using immunofluorescence microscopy, spartin and AIP4 showed partial co-localization in small puncta (Figure 4C). These experiments strongly support the presence of a physiologically relevant interaction between spartin, AIP4 and AIP5. In the case of AIP4, this interaction did not require AIP4’s ubiquitin ligase activity, since both wild-type FLAG-tagged AIP4 and ligase-defective AIP4 co-immunoprecipitated equally well with endogenous spartin (Figure 4D). Within the Hect/Nedd4 family, the interaction of AIP4 and AIP5 with spartin showed some specificity, since we found no interaction between spartin and another member of the Hect/Nedd4 family, Nedd4.2 (Figure 4E).

We noted that spartin contains a PPXY motif (residues 171–174; Figure 4F). In many cases, binding of Nedd4 family E3 ligases to target proteins is mediated by interactions between WW domains in the E3 ligase and PPXY motifs in the target
Figure 2  Endogenous spartin can be recruited to endosomes

(A) Endogenous spartin was recruited onto Vps4-EQ-positive puncta in HeLa cells transfected with Vps4-EQ–GFP. Arrows indicate individual puncta that show co-localization. The inset shows a magnified image of the boxed area. (B–C) HeLa cells were incubated with EGF (5 ng/ml in medium) on ice for 1 h, then placed into warm serum-free medium to initiate a synchronized pulse of EGFR endocytosis, and processed for immunofluorescence at the indicated times. At 1 min chase, EGFR was predominantly on the plasma membrane and no co-localization with spartin was present. At 30 min chase, spartin showed co-localization with the endocytosed EGFR. Arrows indicate individual puncta that show co-localization. The insets show magnified images of the boxed areas. In (A–C) and in subsequent micrograph panels, right-hand panels show the merged images; the colour of each marker in the merged image is shown by the colour of its lettering in the non-merged panels. Scale bars = 10 μm. (D) Graph showing EGFR immunoblot density in mock-transfected or spartin-depleted cells stimulated with 100 ng/ml of EGF for the times indicated (n = 4, one-tailed paired t test). The corresponding immunoblots show a representative experiment.
The PPXY motif, AIP4 and AIP5 are not required for ubiquitination of spartin

We next examined whether AIP4 or AIP5 are required for mono-ubiquitination of spartin. We began by investigating whether mutation of spartin’s PPXY motif (which is required for binding to AIP4 and AIP5) affected spartin’s ubiquitination. We immunoprecipitated wild-type Myc–spartin or Myc–spartinAAAA, then immunoblotted with the anti-ubiquitin FK2 antibody. Mutation of the PPXY motif made no difference to ubiquitination of spartin (Figure 5A), suggesting that the interaction of this motif with WW domains of HECT E3 ligases is not required for this.

We determined whether expression of wild-type or ligase-defective AIP4 affected spartin’s ubiquitination. After transfection of HeLa cells with FLAG-tagged wild-type or catalytically inactive AIP4, we blotted against spartin and assessed whether the higher-molecular-mass ubiquitinated band was altered. There was no obvious difference in the amount of ubiquitinated spartin, or indeed total spartin, in cells expressing wild-type AIP4, catalytically inactive AIP4 or untransfected cells (Figure 5B). We also examined the effects of AIP4 and/or AIP5 depletion by siRNA knock-down on the higher-molecular-mass ubiquitinated spartin band. We saw no effect on the amount of ubiquitinated spartin, or total spartin, in cells depleted of AIP4, AIP5, or both, compared with control cells, suggesting that neither AIP4 nor AIP5 are required for ubiquitination of spartin (Figure 5C).

DISCUSSION

There has been considerable controversy over the subcellular localization of spartin. Most studies to date have relied on over-expression systems that have given conflicting results. A single study reporting the localization of the endogenous protein used an antibody whose specificity was not verified by knockdown experiments and gave discrepant results to all of the over-expression studies [23]. To resolve this controversy, we used a novel spartin antibody in immunofluorescence experiments. Importantly, the specificity of this antibody was verified by siRNA knock-down.

Two of the previous localization studies suggested that epitope-tagged spartin has a predominantly diffuse cytosolic localization at steady state [9,12], and our results on the endogenous protein are in agreement with this; much of the spartin immunofluorescence signal could be removed by prefixation treatment with a saponin-based cytosol extraction buffer. However, there was disagreement between the prior studies on whether spartin can be recruited to endosomes. Bakowska et al. [9] reported that epitope-tagged spartin was recruited to dominant-negative Vps4-induced endosomes and could be recruited to endosomes on EGF stimulation. In contrast, Eastman et al. [12] reported no recruitment of epitope-tagged spartin to Vps4 dominant-negative endosomes. We clearly found that endogenous spartin can be recruited to endosomes under some circumstances; spartin was trapped on dominant-negative Vps4-induced endosomes, and EGF stimulation resulted in co-localization between spartin and endosomal structures. In keeping with this observation, we (in the present study) and others have shown that spartin is required for efficient EGFR degradation [9]. Our results from the present study therefore support a functional role for spartin at endosomes. Thus spartin is a member of an ‘endosomal’ group of
Figure 4  Spartan interacts with AIP4 and AIP5

(A) Western blotting (WB) against endogenous AIP4 detects an AIP4-sized band after immunoprecipitation (IP) of HeLa cell lysate with α-spartin, but not with pre-immune (PI) serum. (B) Immunoblotting against endogenous AIP5 detects an AIP5-sized band after immunoprecipitation of HeLa cell lysate with α-spartin, but not with pre-immune serum. (C) Endogenous spartin partially co-localizes with endogenous AIP4, in small puncta. Arrows indicate puncta showing co-localization. The inset shows a magnified image of the boxed area. Scale bar=10 μm. (D) Endogenous spartin was immunoprecipitated from HeLa cells transfected with FLAG-tagged wild-type AIP4 or catalytically inactive AIP4 (C803A). Immunoblotting with anti-FLAG demonstrated that wild-type and catalytically inactive AIP4 were co-immunoprecipitated with spartin. Neither spartin nor the AIP4 proteins were immunoprecipitated by pre-immune serum. UT, untransfected cells. (E) Endogenous spartin was immunoprecipitated from HeLa cells transfected with wild-type (wt) or catalytically inactive (C962S; mut.) Myc-tagged Nedd4.2. Immunoblotting with anti-Myc showed that the Nedd4.2 proteins had not been co-immunoprecipitated with spartin. (F) Sequence of the first 210 amino acids of spartin. The MIT (microtubule-interacting and transport) domain is shown in bold, the PPXY motif is boxed. (G) HeLa cells were transfected with FLAG-AIP4 and either wild-type Myc-tagged spartin (WT) or Myc–spartinAAAA. After immunoprecipitation with anti-Myc, FLAG-AIP4 co-immunoprecipitated with WT Myc–spartin, but not with Myc–spartinAAAA. (H) HeLa cells were transfected with wild-type Myc–tagged spartin (left panels) or Myc–spartinAAAA (right panels). After immunoprecipitation with anti-Myc, endogenous AIP5 co-immunoprecipitated with wild-type Myc–spartin, but not with Myc–spartinAAAA. An additional short exposure is shown so that immunoblotting results for the input lanes can be properly visualized.
HSP proteins that includes spartin [19], NIP1A (Non imprinted in Prader-Willi/Angelman syndrome 1 [24,24a]), maspardin [25] and spastizin [26]. This suggests that endosomal abnormality, perhaps resulting in dysregulation of one or more receptor-mediated signalling pathways important for axonal function, could be an important cause of HSP.

It has been suggested recently that exogenously expressed spartin localizes to lipid droplets and that spartin is involved in lipid droplet turnover [12]. Our results are in agreement with these observations and extend them by showing that endogenous spartin is present on lipid droplets. To date, abnormal lipid droplet biology has not been implicated in the causation of other genetic subtypes of HSP, and further work will be required to assess the importance of this function to the axonal degeneration or other clinical features seen in Troyer syndrome.

Lu et al. [8] reported that N-terminal CFP (cyan fluorescent protein)-tagged spartin co-localized with mitochondrial markers, although we (in the present study) and others [12] have found no localization of exogenous spartin, tagged with either single epitopes or with fluorescent protein domains, to mitochondria. In addition, spartin contains no recognized mitochondrial targeting signal motif. However, after removal of cytosolic spartin, we did find some co-localization of endogenous spartin with mitochondria, and this signal appeared to diminish, but not disappear, on spartin knock-down. Thus additional experiments beyond the scope of the present study will be required to provide a definitive answer as to whether spartin is present in mitochondria.

Spartin is mono-ubiquitinated [9]. Mono-ubiquitination is important at several levels during the endocytosis and degradation of plasma-membrane receptors. Mono-ubiquitination of receptors, e.g. EGFR, can act as a signal to promote their endocytosis and sorting to the late endosomal/lysosomal degradative compartment [20,27]. In addition, much of the cellular machinery involved in this endocytic sorting process is itself mono-ubiquitinated, and some members of this machinery, e.g. eps15, epsin and Hrs, show coupled mono-ubiquitination, a phenomenon in which proteins that contain a ubiquitin-binding domain are themselves mono-ubiquitinated [28,29]. To try to understand better the basis of spartin’s mono-ubiquitination, we examined a putative interaction between AIP4 and spartin, suggested by a proteomics study [22]. We found strong evidence of a physiologically relevant interaction between endogenous AIP4 and spartin. We also found an interaction between endogenous spartin and AIP5, an E3 ligase closely related to AIP4. In both cases, this interaction was mediated by a PPXY motif in spartin. These results extend the findings of a paper published during the preparation of the present manuscript, which used over-expression systems to show that spartin interacts with AIP5 via a PPXY–WW domain interaction, without proving the relevance of this interaction to the endogenous situation [12].

Using over-expression systems, Eastman et al. [12] suggested that AIP5 has ubiquitin ligase activity towards spartin. However, our results from the present study indicate that ubiquitination of spartin is unaffected by mutation of the PPXY motif, which has been shown by our experiments and by Eastman et al. [12] to be required for binding to WW-domain containing E3 ligases. In addition, over-expression of wild-type or dominant-negative AIP4, or siRNA-mediated depletion of AIP4, AIP5 or both, had no effect on spartin’s concentration or on its ubiquitination. Our results therefore suggest that neither AIP4, AIP5, nor the capacity to interact with WW-domain containing E3 ligases via its PPXY motif, are necessary for ubiquitination of spartin. It is possible that weak or very transient binding to HECT E3 ligases, below the level detectable by our co-immunoprecipitation experiments, remains after mutation of the classical PPXY, and that, in the absence of AIP4 and AIP5, other HECT family E3 ligases may be sufficient to ubiquitinate spartin. Alternatively, the function of Nedd4-type E3 ligases may be affected by a number of accessory and adaptor proteins that are not necessarily ubiquitinated by the ligase, but which can determine localization of the ligase to a specific subcellular compartment, mediate or stabilize the interaction between the ligase and target proteins, or modify the ligase function of the protein [30]. For example, in the case of AIP4, a number of adaptor proteins have been identified, including Numb and certain Smad proteins, which interact with AIP4 via PPXY–WW domain interactions [30]. We therefore speculate that spartin may be an adaptor protein that regulates the function of AIP4/AIP5 and perhaps other HECT family E3 ligases.

In summary, taken together with the results of other studies, our work from the present study suggests that spartin has a number of potential functions, including localizing to lipid droplets and interacting with the ubiquitin ligases AIP4 and AIP5, which may be important for axonal function and HSP.

Figure 5 PPXY, AIP4 and AIP5 are not required for spartin’s ubiquitination

(A) Wild-type (WT) Myc–spartin and Myc–spartinAAAA were immunoprecipitated (IP) from HeLa cells using anti-Myc antibody. Blotting with FK2 (which recognizes poly- and mono-ubiquitin) revealed similar levels of ubiquitination of both forms of spartin. No bands were seen in samples immunoprecipitated with a spurious antibody directed against actin (results not shown). Neither ubiquitination of spartin (as indicated by the density of the higher-molecular-mass ubiquitinated band) nor the concentration of spartin differed between untransfected HeLa cells, HeLa cells transfected with wild-type FLAG-tagged AIP4 (WT AIP4), or with catalytically inactive FLAG–AIP4 (C830A). (B) Neither ubiquitination of spartin (as indicated by the density of the higher-molecular-mass ubiquitinated band) nor the concentration of spartin differed between untransfected HeLa cells, HeLa cells transfected with wild-type FLAG-tagged AIP4 (WT AIP4), or with catalytically inactive FLAG–AIP4 (C830A). (C) AIP4 depletion, AIP5 depletion or depletion of both proteins did not affect the concentration of spartin or the strength of the upper ubiquitinated band seen on spartin immunoblotting. In (B) and (C), actin immunoblotting is shown to verify equal loading.
of roles, including functioning at endosomes and in lipid droplet formation. This complexity of function could be reflected in the complex phenotype seen with Troyer syndrome, with different aspects of the condition being caused by abnormality of spartin’s different functions. A full explanation for the disease will require a thorough understanding of spartin’s functions at all of these sites and an exploration of the relevance of each function to axonal biology.
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Endogenous spartin (SPG20) is recruited to endosomes and lipid droplets and interacts with the ubiquitin E3 ligases AIP4 and AIP5
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Figure S1 Minimal co-localization between spartin and endogenous markers

(A–G) HeLa cells were labelled with spartin (left-hand panels) and with endogenous protein markers of early endosomes (EEA1), lysosomes (Lamp1), clathrin (X22), the Golgi apparatus (GM130), the trans-Golgi network (TGN46), the endoplasmic reticulum (KDEL) and microtubules (tubulin) (centre panels). Right-hand panels show the merged images; the colour of each marker in the merged image is shown by the colour of its lettering in the non-merged panels. Scale bars = 10 μm.
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Figure S2  Spartin co-localizes with mitochondria

(A) Pre-fixation treatment of HeLa cells with cytosolic extraction buffer removes cytosolic spartin to reveal tubular-vesicular structures. (B) The signal in (A) was diminished by spartin siRNA transfection. The image was taken with identical confocal microscope settings to those in (A). (C) Spartin co-localizes with the mitochondrial marker MitoTracker in HeLa cells treated with cytosol extraction buffer. The right-hand panel shows the merged images; the colour of each marker in the merged image is shown by the colour of its lettering in the non-merged panels. Scale bars = 10 μm.