Laboratory rearing of *Abidama liuensis* (Hemiptera: Cercopidae) and description of immature stages
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**Abstract.** Because it is an important pest of rice, *Abidama liuensis* Metcalf is well documented in terms of its bioecology and control. However, there are few studies on the biology of this pest. In this study, a technique was developed for rearing this insect under laboratory conditions (26 ± 2°C; 75 ± 3% RH; and 12L : 12D photoperiod) and its immature stages are described. Egg development is divided into four stages, of which S1 took the longest time (accounting for 11.70% of total developmental time); 90% of the eggs that completed S2, hatched. Nymphal instars can be distinguished by body size, colour and other morphological features. Total developmental period of immature stages was approximately 50 d, with the averages of the five stadia 5.18, 4.33, 5.28, 10.41 and 8.5 days, respectively. Using this rearing technique, it is possible to rear *A. liuensis* throughout the year, which will facilitate further ecological, behavioural and molecular studies and the development of ways of controlling this insect in the field.

**INTRODUCTION**

Cercopidae (Hemiptera: Cicadomorpha: Cercopoidea) is the largest family of xylem-sap sucking insects, with about 1500 described species in 150 genera recorded in the temperate, tropical and subtropical regions of the world (Carvalho & Webb, 2005; Soulier-Perkins & Kunz, 2012). Most nymphs of Cercopidae are commonly known as spittlebugs, due to the foam they produce (Paladini & Cavichioli, 2015) and the adults feed on leaves or stems of a wide variety of plants (Vinton et al., 2006).

The genus *Abidama*, which currently includes eight species (Zhou & Wu, 1987), was established by Distant (1908) for *Sphenorrhina producta* Walker. *Abidama liuensis* (Metcalf, 1961) that was placed in this genus by Distant (1908) is an important pest of rice and maize, and was first reported in the southwest of Anhui province in China in 1988. In recent years, it has also been reported from Zhejiang, Fujian, Guizhou, Hubei and Henan provinces (Zhou & Wu, 1987). During almost the whole of its development on rice, this insect ingests essential nutrients by sucking the stems, which can result in serious damage (up to 80% reduction in production) (Guan & Zuo, 1991).

There are few studies on the occurrence and control of *A. liuensis* due to the lack of information on its biology and morphology. With the development of rearing techniques, other cercopids have been successfully reared in the laboratory (Fewkes & Demidecki-Demidowicz, 1971; McWilliams & Cook, 1975; Lapointe et al., 1989). Development of technique for rearing *A. liuensis* in the laboratory would greatly facilitate future biological and molecular studies on this species. There is some knowledge of the biology of cercopids, but the immature stages of most species have not been described (Peck et al., 2004; Vinton et al., 2006; Garcia et al., 2007; Wilson & Mühlethaler, 2010; Chen & Liang, 2012). In addition to those of the cercopids *Lepyronia quadrangularis* (Say, 1825) (Doering, 1923), *Calitettix versicolor* (Fabricius, 1794) (Chen & Liang, 2012), *Notozulia enteritiana* (Berg, 1879) (Foieri et al., 2016b) and *Deois mourei* (Cavichioli & Sakakibara, 1993) (Foieri et al., 2016a), the immature stages of several species of Auchenorrhyncha, in different families, including Cicadidae, Membracidae, Achilidae, Delphacidae, and Fulgoridae, are described (Wilson & Mcpherson, 1981; Wilson, 1983; Wilson & Wheeler, 1992; Maccagnan & Martinelli, 2003).
In the current study, techniques for rearing the immature stages of *A. liuensis* in the laboratory are described and illustrated.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Laboratory rearing**

A colony of *A. liuensis* was established in the laboratory from individuals collected from rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) growing in Wugang City, Henan province, China, in mid-autumn 2016 and summer 2019. Two male and two female adults were reared in plastic
cups (10 × 8 × 8 cm) the surfaces of which were perforated with 1 mm diameter holes. Fresh wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) in the cups was changed every 3–4 days and female adults mated and laid eggs on moistened filter paper (Fig. 1a).

Eggs laid on the filter papers were gently transferred onto moistened filter paper in a Petri dish (9 cm diameter) using soft tweezers (Fig. 1b), and kept in an environmental chamber at 25 ± 1°C in the dark and at 80% relative humidity. The eggs were examined daily in order to predict when they would hatch. Those eggs that were about-to-hatch were removed and placed in separate Petri dishes in a vertical position on a moistened filter paper along with three to five sprouting rice seeds (Fig. 1c).

The nymphs were fed rice seedlings cultivated in the following way: (1) seeds were soaked in water at 28°C for 48 h, which was changed every 12 h; (2) the soaked seeds were packed into a piece of wet gauze at 28°C for about 12 h; (3) a plastic pot (10 × 8 × 8 cm) was filled with soil (home gardening nutritive soil : black soil = 1 : 1 : 1), 15–20 sprouted seeds were placed on the soil and covered with a layer of vermiculite or soil; (4) six plastic pots with seeds were placed in a plastic box (35 × 20 × 13 cm) and covered with aluminium foil to keep them warm, wet and dark, and kept in an environmental chamber at 26 ± 2°C under a 12L : 12D photoperiod and 75 ± 3% relative humidity (RH); (5) and when after 3 days the rice seedlings were about 4–5 cm tall the pots with seeds were placed in a plastic box (35 × 20 × 13 cm) and covered with aluminium foil in a Petri dish (9 cm diameter) using soft tweezers (Fig. 1b), and kept in an environmental chamber at 25 ± 1°C in the dark and at 80% relative humidity. The eggs were examined daily in order to predict when they would hatch. Those eggs that were about-to-hatch were removed and placed in separate Petri dishes in a vertical position on a moistened filter paper along with three to five sprouting rice seeds (Fig. 1c).

The nymphs were fed rice seedlings cultivated in the following way: (1) seeds were soaked in water at 28°C for 48 h, which was changed every 12 h; (2) the soaked seeds were packed into a piece of wet gauze at 28°C for about 12 h; (3) a plastic pot (10 × 8 × 8 cm) was filled with soil (home gardening nutritive soil : black soil = 1 : 1 : 1), 15–20 sprouted seeds were placed on the soil and covered with a layer of vermiculite or soil; (4) six plastic pots with seeds were placed in a plastic box (35 × 20 × 13 cm) and covered with aluminium foil to keep them warm, wet and dark, and kept in an environmental chamber at 26 ± 2°C under a 12L : 12D photoperiod and 75 ± 3% relative humidity (RH); (5) when after 3 days the rice seedlings were about 4–5 cm tall the aluminium foil was removed; (6) when the rice seedlings were 20 cm tall, a bottomless, aluminium foil covered plastic cup was placed on the soil to provide a dark environment for the nymphs (Fig. 1d). Pots were watered every day by pouring 1/2 Kimura B nutrient solution formula.

Table 2. Duration of development (in days) of eggs and immature stages of Abidama liuensis under controlled laboratory conditions.

Table 2. Duration of development (in days) of eggs and immature stages of Abidama liuensis under controlled laboratory conditions.

| Stage | Duration (mean ± SD) (Day) | Range (Day) | Cumulative mean age (Day) | No. entering stage | No. completing stage | Percentage survival |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| S1    | 5.85 ± 1.47                | 1–19        | 5.85                     | 210                | 202                 | 96.19%              |
| S2    | 2.21 ± 1.02                | 1–7         | 8.06                     | 202                | 182                 | 90.09%              |
| S3    | 3.98 ± 0.83                | 2–8         | 12.04                    | 182                | 139                 | 76.37%              |
| S4    | 4.25 ± 0.81                | 3–6         | 16.29                    | 139                | 113                 | 81.29%              |
| I     | 5.18 ± 1.98                | 2–10        | 21.47                    | 113                | 93                  | 82.30%              |
| II    | 4.33 ± 1.99                | 1–9         | 25.80                    | 93                 | 92                  | 98.92%              |
| III   | 5.28 ± 1.48                | 2–8         | 31.08                    | 92                 | 86                  | 93.48%              |
| IV    | 10.41 ± 2.51               | 3–16        | 41.49                    | 86                 | 73                  | 84.88%              |
| V     | 8.50 ± 2.47                | 2–15        | 49.99                    | 73                 | 57                  | 78.08%              |

RESULTS

Laboratory rearing

When hatching, first instar nymphs opened the operculum from inside and emerged through the split. After setting on the roots of rice seedlings, they began to feed and enclose themselves in foam (Fig. 1c). During all immature stages, nymphs stayed and fed on the roots of rice seedlings and enclose themselves in foam prior to adult emergence (Fig. 1e).

The statistical analysis of 210 randomly selected eggs showed that the average duration from laying to hatching was 16.29 days (Table 2), which is 32.59% of the total development time.
developmental period (Fig. 2). Of the four stages, S1 was the longest and 90% of the eggs that survived S2 successfully hatched, which indicates that S2 is the most critical stage in the development of eggs. Of the 210 eggs, 113 hatched and 57 nymphs reached the adult stage. The total immature developmental period was approximately 50 days, in which the first, second, third, fourth and fifth stadia averaged 5.18, 4.33, 5.28, 10.41 and 8.5 days, respectively.

The hatchability of a total of 605 eggs laid by seven females was recorded (Fig. 3). On the 12th day after laying, nymphs began to emerge through a split in the eggs with 68.1% of the eggs hatching on the 16th, 17th and 18th day. The peak in hatching (170) occurred on the 17th day, when 28.1% of the eggs hatched.

Over a period of approximately one year, more than 980 eggs were observed. In each cycle of emergence, 29.8% of the adults emerged in the third week after the first adult emerged (Fig. 4). During the whole period of adult emergence, the ratio of female to male increased gradually and peaked on the 19th day.

Under laboratory conditions, the life span of the adults was 16.71 ± 10.40 days for females and 15.39 ± 9.89 days for males. Generally, males mated as soon as they emerged, whereas only three-day-old females were mature and observed mating. The average number of eggs laid per female (age ≥ 10 days, N = 98) was 76.94 ± 45.80 and the peak of oviposition occurred 9 days after emergence.

The frequency distribution of the head widths (group interval = 0.05 mm) of the successive instars (N = 207) revealed 5 obvious peaks, indicating five nymphal instars. The head width of instars 1–5 were 0.30 ± 0.02 mm, 0.45 ± 0.04 mm, 0.70 ± 0.08 mm, 1.05 ± 0.04 mm and 1.41 ± 0.14 mm, respectively. The widths of head in the successive instars followed a regular geometrical progression (Hutchinson & Tongring, 1984; Sehnal, 1985; Dyar, 2008; Richards, 2010), according to Dyar’s law with an average increase per instar of 1.46.

**Description of the immature stages**

**Fifth instar** (Figs 5f and g) Length (L): 4.75 ± 1.07 mm; width (W): 2.40 ± 0.22 mm.

Body brown, spindle-shaped, widest across abdominal segment 3.

Vertex dark brown, convexly curved (female) or slightly pointed anteriorly (male) (Figs 5h and i). Frons brown, in ventral view, with dark grey longitudinal median line, convex, with a straight inferior margin (Fig. 5g). Clypeus brown, in frontal view, nearly triangular, flattened, narrowing apically (Fig. 5j). Rostrum three-segmented, nearly cylindrical, half of segment 1 hidden by clypeus, segment 2 7/9 of the length of segment 3, segment 3 with dark brown apex, tip extending to metacoxae (Fig. 5j). Antennae 3-segmented, pale brown, translucent, setiform, inserted at the junction of frons, vertex and gena; scape brown, cylindrical with narrow base, broadening apically; pedicel brown, cylindrical, slightly thinner than scape; flagellum with seven flagellomeres, 1/2 diameter and twice the length of pedicel, translucent, and bristle-like extension distally, the first four flagellomeres with apical single trichoid sensilla (Fig. 5i). Compound eyes reddish brown, subcylindrical; 2 ocelli on vertex, white with red edge, circular, between compound eyes, near median line.

Pronotum sub rectangular, straight anterior margin following posterior border of head, posterior margin curved anteriorly near middorsal line. Mesonotum 4/3 × length of pronotum, anterior margin straight, posterior curved posteriorly near middorsal line; mesonotal wing pads lobate, extending to posterior margin of metanotal wing pads. Metanotum 1/4 × length of mesonotum, narrow, sub rectangular, anterior and posterior margins straight, metanotal wing pads extending to anterior margin of abdominal segment 3. Legs with pro-, meso- and metacoxae elongate and directed posteroomedially; lengths similar. Trochanters short and subcylindrical. Femora elongate, subcylindrical, with translucent short setae, length of metafemora similar to profemora, longer than mesofemora, but thicker than the latter two. Tibiae subcylindrical, with reddish brown setae on the shaft, pro- and mesotibiae equal in length to femora, metatibiae ca. 4/5 × length of femora, slightly thinner than femora (Fig. 5k); with two rows of spines (8 long and 8 short on meso- and metatibiae, 7 long and 7 short on protibiae). Pro- and mesotarsi with two tarsomeres, tarsomere 1
wedge-shaped, with ca. 15 apical spines on plantar surface; tarsomere 2 subcylindrical, ca. 2 × length of tarsomere 1. Metatarsi with three tarsomeres, tarsomere 1 subcylindrical, with ca. 18 apical spines on plantar surface; tarsomere 2 subcylindrical, 1/2 × length of tarsomere 1, with ca. 7 reddish brown-tipped apical spines on plantar surface; tarsomere 3 subcylindrical, 1/2 × length of tarsomere 1. All legs with a pair of apical claws and median pulvillus.

Abdomen nine-segmented. Tergites 1–3 brown, sub rectangular, tergite 1 1/2 × length and width of tergites 2 and 3; tergites 4–7 dark brown, sub trapezoidal, lengths similar to each other, the latter 2/3 × width of the former; tergite 8 cylindrical, length equal to tergite 7, 1/2 × diameter of tergite 7; tergite 9 sub cylindrical, 2 × length of tergite 8 and width similar to tergite 8.

**Fourth instar** (Fig. 5e) Length: 3.30 ± 0.28 mm; width: 1.14 ± 0.20 mm.

Body subcylindrical, head and thorax greyish-brown, abdomen reddish orange. White middorsal line extending from 1/2 of vertex to posterior end of metanotum.

Frons greyish-brown, sub cylindrical. Compound eyes bright red, semi-circular (the straight edge parallel to thoracic nota, the curved edge irregular). Ocelli light yellow, dot-like. Antennal flagellum with 7 flagellomeres. Rostrum extending to between meso- and metacoxae.

Thoracic nota greyish brown. Pronotum ca. 1.5 × length of mesonotum; metanotum narrow, 1/4 × length of mesonotum. Mesonotal wing pads shorter, 4/5 × length of metanotal wing pads, metanotal wing pads extending to posterior margin of first abdominal segment. Femora and tibiae with light brown setae; pro-, meso- and metafemora each with a row of 7 apical spines on plantar surface. Tarsi two-segmented.

Abdomen with 9 tergites. Tergites 1–7 orange, tergites 8–9 light brown.

**Third instar** (Fig. 5d) Length: 2.34 ± 0.36 mm; width: 0.87 ± 0.06 mm.

Body spindle shaped, head and thorax pale brown, abdomen orange, integument translucent. White middorsal line extending from anterior margin of pronotum to posterior border of metanotum.

Vertex and frons pale brown, Frons bulbous, sub oval. Compound eyes blood red, apricot shaped, with irregular edge. The number of ommatidia less than in the fourth instar and the gap between the third and fourth greater than between the fourth and fifth. Ocelli absent. Flagellum with 7 flagellomeres. Rostrum extending to between metacoxae.

Thoracic nota brownish-yellow. Pronotum 1.4 × times length of mesonotum; metanotum narrow, 1/5 × length of mesonotum. Femora and tibiae with light yellowish setae; a row of 7 brown-pointed spines on posterior margin of tibiae; several translucent setae on shaft of tibiae. Tarsi 2-segmented.

Abdomen dorsally orange and ventrally white, 8-segmented, each segment with orange stripe, orange marks on both sides expanded into two intersecting rings.

**Second instar** (Fig. 5c) Length: 1.95 ± 0.45 mm; width: 0.57 ± 0.06 mm.

Body subcylindrical, head, thorax and abdomen pale yellow, integument translucent.

Vertex light yellow. Frons slightly bulbous. Eyes light red. No ocelli. Scape wide, antennal flagellum with four
flagellomeres. Rostrum extending ventrally to posterior margin of metathorax.

Thoracic nota small, with discontinuous yellow stripes. Wing pads absent. No setae on protibiae, several translucent setae on plantar surface of meso- and metatibiae. Tibiae each with an apical row of 7 spines on plantar surface.

Abdomen yellow, nearly spherical, segmentation difficult to discern, more deeply reddish orange ring-shaped masses symmetrically on both sides, $3.5 \times$ length of thorax.

**First instar** (Fig. 5b) Length: $1.15 \pm 0.52$ mm; width: $0.41 \pm 0.06$ mm.

Body pale yellow, translucent, sub ovoid, ventral part of abdomen reddish orange. Mid-dorsal line unclear.

Vertex white, translucent, slightly square, flattened. Antennae three-segmented; length of scape and pedicel subequal, $3 \times$ length of the first and second flagellomeres; flagellum with 4 flagellomeres, length of the basal two flagellomeres $2 \times$ length of the distal two flagellomeres. Ocelli absent.

Thorax white, translucent, weakly sclerotized but segmented and difficult to see. Few setae on tibiae and tarsi. A row of 5 spines on apex of femora.
Abdomen pale yellow, subcylindrical, reddish orange ring-shaped masses symmetrically on both sides, without apparent segmentation, 2 × length of thorax.

**Egg** (Fig. 5a): Length: 0.92 ± 0.02 mm; width: 0.32 ± 0.01 mm.

Eggs with smooth chorion, were generally inserted singly into filter paper (Fig. 1b). The development of the egg can be divided into four stages (S1, S2, S3 and S4) (Fig. 5a). The newly deposited eggs were light yellow; the anterior aspect of the egg bore an oval operculum, which was closed when laid (S1) and gradually opened about 1 week before hatching, during period (S2–S4) the operculum colour changed from light grey to black. Moreover, the cephalic end of the egg near the operculum was pale red in S2 and moved slowly to an abdominal position in S4. In S4, there are red eyespots on both sides of the egg near the anterior 1/4.

**DISCUSSION**

Low viability of laboratory reared nymphs is reported by Garcia et al. (2007), with only 18.9% of the eggs of *Aenelolamia varia* developing into adults (Peck et al., 2004). In addition, only nine of more than 100 randomly selected eggs of another spittlebug, *C. versicolor*, reached the adult stage when reared in a laboratory (Chen & Liang, 2012). However, in the current study, percentage survival was nearly 30% and the percentage moulting to the next stage was no less than 76%. The quality of the rice may have affected the development of nymphs, in terms of lengthening the duration of each stage and low viability, by not providing suitable nutrition for their development (Sforza et al., 1999). Therefore, by providing first instars nymphs with high quality roots of rice could improve their survival under the same conditions of light and humidity.

A major challenge is the provision of an abundance of high-quality rice roots for rearing the nymphs. Although a relatively high-water table and darkness is beneficial for the development of root roots, the resultant high humidity results in the growth of mildew, which adversely affects the nymphs. Another problem with such a rearing environment is that it can result in outbreaks of fungal entomopathogens and other insect pests. Improving ventilation, disinfecting before planting (sterilizing the pots and soil at 121°C for 15 min, and soaking rice seeds in 2% sodium hypochlorite) and reducing the amount of rice (10–20 seeds) growing in each environmental chamber may help address these problems. Interestingly, mildew growth declined a few days after the nymphs began feeding on the root roots, indicating that there may be antifungal substances in the foam produced by the nymphs.

The S1 developmental period of the eggs is much longer than other stages (Table 2 and Fig. 2), and most eggs that were viable at the end stage S2 subsequently hatched. Diapause in the egg stage is reported in many spittlebugs, such as *Deois flavopicta* (Stål, 1854) (Sujii et al., 2001), *Maharana spectabilis* (Distant, 1909) (Auad et al., 2011) and *C. versicolor* (Chen & Liang, 2012). Sujii et al. (2001) increased the synchronization of hatching and reduced the duration of the egg stage in *D. flavopicta* by lowering the overnight temperature. In addition, eggs of *C. versicolor* reared in our laboratory hatched within 80 days when kept at a low temperature (5°C, 40 days), but take up to 200 days under controlled laboratory conditions (Zhang & Liang, 2018). However, there was no obvious diapause in the development of the eggs of *A. liensis* and it is most likely that those that failed to hatch did so because of the poor quality of the females that laid them.

In this study, at the end of 5th stage, the body of males was covered by black setae, which was not observed in females. The causes of morphological differences between sexes need further study and molecular biological verification.

The absence of ocelli in the early nymphal stages might be an adaptation to a radicicolous life and is considered as a synapomorphy of planthoppers (Cixiidae) (Suchov & Vovk, 1948; Hoch, 1994; Bourgoin, 1997), scarabs (Scarabaeoidea) (Eilers et al., 2012) and other cecropids (Chen & Liang, 2012). Compared to the 1st–3rd instar nymphs, which had translucent bodies, 4th and 5th instar nymphs have larger and brown bodies and are more easily found by predators. In this study it was noticeable that the older nymphs were more sensitive to moving objects. Whether this is related to the presence of ocelli needs to be verified experimentally.
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