Identification of Green Tissue Specific Genes in Cotton Employing Transcriptome Sequencing
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ABSTRACT

The present study aims at identification of green tissue specific genes in Gossypium hirsutum variety, Suraj for promoter isolation and characterization in the future. For this, transcriptome sequencing of three different tissues viz., seed, leaf and boll rind was done. Differential gene expression analysis between seed (non green) and leaf and boll rind (green) tissues was done to identify genes which are highly expressed in green tissues i.e., leaf and boll rind and very low or no expression in non green tissues i.e., seed. Fifty genes were selected for validation through Real Time PCR studies. For Real Time PCR studies, apart from leaf, boll rind and seed at 25 DPA, boll rind and seed @ 10 DPA, pollen and petals were also studied. Five genes were found to show high expression in green tissues and very low or no expression in non green tissues. As expected, most of them were found to be chloroplastic genes. These are the potential genes for isolation and characterization of green tissue specific promoters.

Introduction

Transgenic crop plants have been a great relief in crops lacking natural source of resistance to various pests and diseases. In any genetic engineering programme of developing transgenic plants, promoter plays an important role to express "transgene" in the host plants. Strong constitutive expression promoters such as the CaMV 35S
(cauliflower mosaic virus 35S) promoter and the maize Ubi-1 (Ubiquitin) gene promoter (Odell et al., 1985; Cornejo et al., 1993) are widely used in the development of economically useful transgenic crops. Unfortunately, the constitutive expression of foreign genes may be harmful to the host plant and reported to cause sterility, retarded development, abnormal morphology, yield penalty, altered grain composition or transgene silencing (Sinha et al., 1993; Matzke et al., 2000; Kurek et al., 2002; Cheon et al., 2004 and Xu et al., 2006). It was also reported that considerable amounts of Bt protein can accumulate within cotton seeds. This has caused public concern about using transgenic crops as food. Using green tissue-specific promoters will avoid or reduce the expression of transgene in seed or fruit besides reducing the constitutive expression penalty. However until now, there are few green tissue specific promoters with strong and reliable expression that have been used in biotechnology. Photosynthetic-related gene promoters, such as rbcS (ribulose 1,5 bisphosphate carboxylase), LHCPII (light-harvesting chlorophyll II a/b binding protein of photosystem II), D540 (photosystem II 10 kDa polypeptide), and cyFBPase (cytosolic fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase), have been shown to activate expression in green tissues (Tada et al., 1991; Luan and Bogorad 1992; Kyozuka et al., 1993; Jang et al., 1999; Nomura et al., 2000; Si et al., 2002; Cai et al., 2007). Other genes like rice rubisco activase, DXl, Leaf Panicle 2, Pyruvate, orthophosphate dkinase (PPDK), Sucrose-phosphate synthase, Psak and Pharbitis nil Leucine zipper (Yang et al., 2012; Ye et al., 2012; Thilmony et al., 2009; Taniguchi et al., 2000; Ana et al., 2000 ; Lin et al., 2017 and Wang et al., 2016) also showed green tissue specific expression.

In the present study, transcriptome sequencing of seed, leaf and boll rind of Gossypium hirsutum variety, Suraj has been carried out on Illumina NextSeq500 platform. Differential gene expression analysis between seed (non-green) and leaf and boll rind (green) tissues was carried out to identify putative green tissue-specific genes whose promoters could be useful in the development of economically important transgenic cotton that would help in targeted expression of transgenes.

**RNA isolation and Library preparation**

High-quality RNA was isolated from 4 weeks old leaf and 25 DPA boll rind and seed of Gossypium hirsutum variety, Suraj. RNA-Sequence paired end sequencing libraries were prepared from the Quality Control passed RNA samples using Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA sample Prep kit. Briefly, mRNA was enriched from the total RNA using poly-T attached magnetic beads, followed by enzymatic fragmentation, 1st strand cDNA conversion using SuperScript II and Act-D mix to facilitate RNA dependent synthesis. The 1st strand cDNA was then synthesized to second strand using second strand mix. The double stranded cDNA was then purified using AMPure XP beads followed by A-tailing, adapter ligation and then enriched by a limited number of PCR cycles.

**Quantity and quality check (QC) of library on Agilent 4200 Tape Station**

The PCR enriched libraries were analyzed in 4200 Tape Station system (Agilent Technologies) using high sensitivity D1000 Screen tape as per manufacturer instructions. After obtaining the Qubit concentration for the libraries and the mean peak sizes from Agilent Tape Station profile, the PCR Enriched illumina libraries were loaded onto NextSeq500 for cluster generation and sequencing. Bioinformatic tools were used to create high quality reads.
The high-quality reads of the 3 samples of cotton were mapped on the reference genome of *Gossypium hirsutum* using TopHat v 2.1.1 with default parameters.

**Differential Gene Expression (DGE) Analysis**

Differential Gene Expression (DGE) Analysis was done using Cufflinks v 2.2.1 program. The analysis was carried out for commonly expressed genes reported between control and treated samples respectively. Seed was considered as control and leaf and boll rind as treatments. There were two combinations for differential gene expression analysis. In the first combination, seed was compared with leaf and in the second combination, seed was compared with boll rind. FPKM (Fragments per Kilobase of transcript per Million Mapped reads) values were used to calculate the log fold change as $\log_2(\text{FPKM Experimental/FPKM Control})$. Log$_2$ Fold Change (FC) values greater than zero were considered up-regulated whereas less than zero were down-regulated along with P-value threshold of 0.05 for statistically significant results.

**Heat map**

An average linkage hierarchical cluster analysis was performed on top 50 differentially expressed genes using multiple experiments viewer (MeV v 4.9.0). The heatmap shows the level of gene abundance. Differentially expressed genes were analyzed by hierarchical clustering.

The summary of differential gene expression Analysis is as follows:

**Combination 1:** It shows the differentially expressed genes between seed and leaf. In combination 1, there are 1,241 genes exclusively expressed in leaf, 932 genes exclusively expressed in seed, 39,104 genes expressed both in seed and leaf, 800 genes upregulated in leaf and 510 genes downregulated in leaf (Table 1).

**Combination 2:** It shows the differentially expressed genes between seed and boll rind. In combination 2, there are 354 genes exclusively expressed in boll rind, 2,128 exclusively expressed in seed, 36,487 genes expressed both in seed and boll rind, 663 genes upregulated in boll rind and 582 genes downregulated in boll rind (Table 1).

**Identification of putative green tissue specific genes**

A total of 42,587 genes were commonly expressed in combination 1 (seed vs leaf) and 40,214 genes in combination 2 (seed vs boll rind). Fifty common genes expressed in leaf and boll rind were shortlisted for validation of relative expression analysis through Real Time PCR study. These 50 genes contains genes which are either upregulated or only expressed in green tissues (leaf and boll rind) when compared to non-green tissue (seed). Gene specific Primers were designed for these 50 genes based on coding sequence from NCBI with the help of IDT software.

A total of 3 common genes which were exclusively expressed in leaf and boll rind when compared to seed were selected whose FPKM values were more than ten in leaf and more than two in boll rind.

The genes which were upregulated in green tissues are of utmost importance as they are the predicted green tissue specific genes. So, a total of twenty eight genes were selected with FPKM values more than 50 and 10 in leaf and boll rind respectively. Of them, 5 are chloroplastic genes.

Chloroplasts are the organelles which give green colour due to the presence of
chlorophyll. The genes which are involved in the organisation of chloroplast are obviously a source of green tissue specific genes. Hence, eleven genes are selected which are chloroplastic and have FPKM values more than eighty five and twenty in leaf and boll rind respectively.

Top ten genes with highest FPKM values in leaf and boll rind were also selected for relative expression analysis. The tabular form of the shortlisted genes is given below in table 2.

**Validation of putative Green tissue specific genes through Real Time PCR studies**

For Real Time PCR studies, apart from leaf, 25 DPA boll rind and 25DPA seed, boll rind and seed @ 10 DPA, petals and pollen were selected. Leaf tissue was taken as control and other tissues were compared with leaf for differential expression. Real Time PCR studies were done with Agilent technologies Stratagene MX3005p system.

Based on Real Time PCR studies, 5 genes were shortlisted for putative green tissue specific gene promoter isolation. The genes which were showing very low or no expression in non green tissues like seed, petal and pollen were selected as putative green tissue specific genes. They are chlorophyll a, b binding protein-4. Photosystem-II repair protein PSB 27-H1, Tetrapyrrole binding protein and Salicylate carboxymethyl transferase like protein and Cytosolic sulfotransferase like protein (Table 3). As we expected most of them were found to be expressed in chloroplast.

**Table.1 Differential gene expression analysis summary**

| S.No | Description                          | Combination 1 (seed vs leaf) | Combination 2 (seed vs boll rind) |
|------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1    | Exclusive leaf or boll rind          | 1,241                       | 354                               |
| 2    | Exclusive seed                       | 932                         | 2,128                             |
| 3    | Expressed both                       | 39,104                      | 36,487                            |
| 4    | Upregulated                          | 800                         | 663                               |
| 5    | Downregulated                        | 510                         | 582                               |
| **Total** |                                   | **42,587**                  | **40,214**                        |

**Table.2 Criteria for shortlisting common genes in leaf and boll rind**

| S.No | Category                                      | FPKM Leaf | FPKM Boll rind | FPKM Seed | TOTAL GENES |
|------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| 1    | Exclusively expressed in leaf and boll rind  | >10       | >2             | -         | 3           |
| 2    | Upregulated genes in leaf and Boll rind      | >50       | >10            | -         | 23          |
|      | Chloroplastic                                | >50       | >10            | -         | 5           |
| 3    | Chloroplastic, expressed in leaf & Boll rind | >85       | >20            | <1        | 11          |
|      | Top 10                                        | 741.8-13603.3 | 75.7-4752.3   | 1.98 - 159.7 | 8           |
| **Total** |                                         |            |                |           | 50          |
Table 3: Real-time PCR results

| S.No | Gene name       | Gene Description                                      | 2^-ddct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|      |                 |                                                        | Leaf    | Boll rind @10 DPA | Boll rind @25DPA | Seed @10DPA | Seed @25DPA | petal | pollen |
| 1    | LOC107953509    | Chlorophyll a,b binding protein-4, chloroplastic       | 1.00    | 0.113                    | 0.336              | 0.001      | 0.018      | 0.000  | 0.002   |
| 2    | LOC107946154    | Photosystem II repair protein PSB 27-H1, chloroplastic | 1.00    | 0.165                    | 0.406              | 0.000      | 0.014      | 0.001  | 0.000   |
| 3    | LOC107957175    | Tetrapyrrole binding protein, chloroplastic like       | 1.00    | 0.082                    | 0.286              | 0.001      | 0.008      | 0.002  | 0.003   |
| 4    | LOC107954540    | Salicylate carboxymethyl transferase like              | 1.00    | 0.145                    | 0.380              | 0.000      | 0.000      | 0.006  | 0.036   |
| 5    | LOC107951743    | Cytosolic sulfotransferase -16 - like                  | 1.00    | 0.053                    | 0.230              | 0          | 0          | 0.000  | 0.000   |
Various fold change expression levels of the above 5 genes is as under (data not shown).

Chlorophyll a,b binding protein gene has shown various folds of lower expression in different tissues when compared to leaf tissue. It showed 8, 3, 1000, 55, very low and 500 folds lower expression in 10 DPA boll rind, 25 DPA boll rind, 10 DPA seed, 25 DPA seed, petals and pollen respectively when compared to leaf.

Photosystem II repair protein PSB 27-H1 has shown similar kind of expression pattern. The gene expression was 6, 4, very low, 71, 1000 folds lower and very low in 10 DPA boll rind, 25 DPA boll rind, 10 DPA seed, 25 DPA seed, petals and pollen respectively when compared to leaf.

The expression of Tetrapyrrole binding protein gene was 12, 3, 1000, 125, 500 and 333 folds lower in 10 DPA boll rind, 25 DPA boll rind, 10 DPA seed, 25 DPA seed, petals and pollen respectively when compared to leaf.

Salicylate carboxymethyl transferase like gene has shown 7, 3, very low, very low, 166 and 28 folds lower expression in 10 DPA boll rind, 25 DPA boll rind, 10 DPA seed, 25 DPA seed, petals and pollen respectively when compared to leaf.

Cytosolic sulfotransferase -16 like protein gene has shown almost very low expression in non green tissues like seed, petals and pollen whereas in 10 DPA and 25 DPA bollrinds, it was eighteen and four folds lower when compared to leaf.

All of these genes showed very low expression in non green tissues like seed, pollen and petals when compared to leaf tissue, whereas boll rind has shown very high expression when compared to seed, pollen and petals.

It is concluded, in the present study, five genes which were highly expressed in green tissues i.e., leaf and boll rind and very low or no expression in non green tissues i.e., seed, pollen and petals were identified. Most of them were found to be chloroplastic genes as expected. These genes can be used to isolate and characterize promoter regions for green tissue specific expression of foreign genes.
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