C, N, AND O ISOTOPIC HETEROGENEITIES IN LOW-DENSITY SUPERNOVA GRAPHITE GRAINS FROM ORGUEIL
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ABSTRACT

We report on the results of NanoSIMS isotope imaging of low-density supernova graphite grains from the Orgueil meteorite. 70 nm thick micromtomed sections of three supernova graphite grains were deposited on Si wafers and isotopically imaged in the NanoSIMS. These sections contain hotspots of excesses in $^{18}$O and $^{15}$N, which are spatially well correlated, and are likely carried by internal TiC subgrains. These hotspots are considerably more enriched in $^{18}$O and $^{15}$N than the host graphite grain. Correlations between $^{18}$O and $^{15}$N excesses indicate that the grains incorporated material from the He/C supernova zone. Isotope images of the surfaces of some grains show heterogeneities in their N and O isotope compositions, with extreme excesses in $^{15}$N and $^{18}$O. In the micromtome sections, we also observe two types of heterogeneities in the grains’ C isotopic compositions: smooth, radial gradients in $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C, with this ratio trending toward solar with increasing radius; and highly anomalous pockets up to 2 $\mu$m in size with $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C $\gg$ solar that are located near the centers of the grain sections. Partial isotopic equilibration does not likely explain the C isotopic heterogeneities. These grains and their constituent parts probably formed in a stellar environment with changing isotopic composition.

Key words: meteorites, meteors, meteoroids – supernovae: general

1. INTRODUCTION

Low-density graphite grains ($\rho \sim 1.7$ g cm$^{-3}$) have been isolated from the Murchison CM2 (Amari et al. 1994) and Orgueil CI (Jadhav et al. 2006) carbonaceous chondrites. Isotopic signatures of most low-density graphite grains indicate an origin in Type II supernovae, specifically excesses in $^{15}$N, $^{18}$O, $^{28}$Si, and the inferred presence of $^{26}$Al and $^{44}$Ti from excesses in $^{26}$Mg and $^{44}$Ca, respectively, relative to solar composition (Amari et al. 1995; Travaglio et al. 1999; Stadermann et al. 2005; Jadhav et al. 2006). Low-density graphite grains also exhibit a wide range of $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C ratios. Stadermann et al. (2005) obtained nano-scale secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS) isotope images in $^{12}$C, $^{13}$C, $^{16}$O, $^{17}$O, $^{18}$O, $^{46}$Ti, $^{47}$Ti, $^{48}$Ti, and $^{49}$Ti of nine micromtome sections of the graphite spherule KE3e10 (Croat et al. 2003) from the Murchison low-density KE3 fraction ($\rho = 1.65$–1.72 g cm$^{-3}$). These micromtomed graphite slices contained gradients in the $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C and $^{16}$O/$^{18}$O isotopic ratios, with their interiors being more anomalous than their exteriors. It was suggested that these gradients are due to partial isotopic equilibration with the grain’s environment during its lifetime. Strong initial O$^{-}$ secondary ion signals with respect to the “bulk” graphite were found in small regions that contain internal TiC subgrains. The TiC subgrains are more enriched in $^{18}$O than the parent grain, although their C isotopic compositions are indistinguishable from those of the surrounding graphite.

We report on the results of NanoSIMS isotope imaging of low-density supernova graphite grains from the Orgueil meteorite. We acquired isotope images of the surfaces of numerous grains as well as isotope images of micromtomed sections of their interiors. Isotopic imaging is a useful tool for investigating the heterogeneous isotopic composition within presolar grains. This study is part of correlated isotopic, chemical, and crystallographic investigations undertaken to characterize individual presolar grains as fully as possible.

2. SAMPLES AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Seven size/density fractions were isolated from the Orgueil CI chondrite (OR1b-OR1h) with densities increasing alphabetically (Jadhav et al. 2006). The OR1d fraction ($\rho = 1.75$–1.92 g cm$^{-3}$) contains considerable insoluble organic matter of solar isotopic composition, which had not been removed during the chemical separation, and which surrounds the graphite grains. A few ~0.5 $\mu$L drops of OR1d suspended in a 4:1 mixture of isopropanol/water were pipetted onto high-purity Au foil and briefly dessicated in a vacuum oven at 70°C (Groopman et al. 2011). These graphite grains were identified in the scanning electron microscope (SEM) based upon surface morphology and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDXS). Candidate grains were picked with a sharp W micromanipulator needle and were deposited on a clean Au-foil mount to reduce contamination from the insoluble organic matter; some of this material, however, remains stuck to the grains’ surfaces. Twenty candidate grains with diameters $>$5 $\mu$m were selected, labeled OR1d6m.$^2$ Raman microprobe spectra with 532 nm excitation and $\sim$1 $\mu$m resolution were obtained for all grains (Wopenka et al. 2011). A several-nanometer-thick coating of Pt was deposited in a sputter coater on the grains to secure them to the Au foil. Whole-grain NanoSIMS measurements of negative secondary ions ($^{12,13}$C, $^{14,15}$N, $^{16}$O, $^{24,25}$Mg, $^{27}$Al, $^{28}$Si, $^{39}$K, $^{40}$Ca, $^{46,47}$Ti, $^{51}$V, and $^{52}$Cr) were made with an O$^{-}$ primary beam (~100 nm), and measurements of positive secondary ions ($^{24,25,26}$Mg, $^{27}$Al, $^{28}$Si, $^{39}$K, $^{40}$Ca, $^{46,47}$Ti, $^{51}$V, and $^{52}$Cr) were made with an O$^{-}$ primary beam. To obtain the N isotopic composition we measured $^{12}$C$^{14}$N$^{-}$ and $^{12}$C$^{15}$N$^{-}$, as N does not have a stable negative ion.

$^1$ OR1b label: OR = Orgueil, l = size $>$1 $\mu$m, b = density ($\rho = 1.59$–1.67 g cm$^{-3}$).
$^2$ 6m = the 6th mount of OR1d.
We used sufficiently high mass resolution to separate the isobaric peaks of $^{12}$C$^{15}$N and $^{13}$C$^{14}$N; and $^{12}$C$^{14}$N and $^{13}$C$^{13}$C, and $^{12}$C$^{13}$C H. Each grain’s bulk isotopic composition was measured by rastering the primary beam over the entire grain’s surface and summing the counts for each isotopic species. Additionally, we acquired 256 pixel by 256 pixel isotope images of the surfaces and on Cu transmission electron microscope (TEM) grids, both SiO and holey-C coated. Due to the grains’ large sizes, we were able to obtain hundreds of microtome slices of each grain and distribute these among the 3 different substrates. Each substrate has its own advantage: holey-C substrates are useful for determining Si content and performing electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) over holes; the SiO substrate reduces the C background necessary for C K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) measurements (Nittler et al. 2011); and the Si wafers, while precluding TEM studies, are a superior heat sink for Raman spectroscopy (high signal-to-noise ratio), and provide a highly stable substrate for NanoSIMS measurements. Due to the large sizes of the grains, many of the slices contain tearing holes from microtoming, shown as gray in the figures. For the slices on Si wafers, isotope images in $^{12}$C, $^{15}$N, $^{16}$O or $^{12}$C, $^{13}$C, $^{16}$O or $^{12}$C, $^{13}$C, $^{28}$Si were obtained with the main and Si wafer used as isotopic standards. Only one image was obtained for each slice. Each isotope image consists of 20 layers of a 256 pixel by 256 pixel raster area, with a dwell time per pixel per layer of 10ms. Each raster area is chosen to be 1–2 μm wider than the grain slice. While isotope images require much longer measurement times than bulk analyses to gather meaningful statistics, they allow us to investigate heterogeneities in the grains’ isotopic compositions.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Whole-grain Isotopic Measurements

Whole-grain isotopic measurements are presented in Table 1 (Groopman et al. 2011). The majority of grains have signatures of a supernova origin. Six of the 20 grains from OR16dm are not included, as their isotopic and Raman spectroscopic measurements did not identify them as presolar grains. Each grain’s measured bulk composition represents the lower bound of the grain’s deviation from solar composition due to surface contamination from insoluble organic material. From isotope images of the grains’ surfaces we can locate regions of low contamination and infer a more correct isotopic composition. We must compromise between obtaining accurate isotopic ratios via long measurements during which surface contamination is removed by sputtering and saving as much of the grain as possible for future correlated TEM, XANES, and Raman studies.

3.2. Surface Images

Isotopic imaging on the graphite surfaces show heterogeneities in their C, O, and N isotopic ratios. While regions of roughly solar C, O, and N isotopic composition may be explained due to surface contamination, isotopically anomalous regions are found on the grains’ surfaces, with extreme excesses in $^{15}$N and $^{17}$O relative to solar ratios. On G6, G13, and G21 these anomalous regions have a good spatial correlation. If expressed as delta values (see Figure 1 for definition), $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{18}$O, respectively, range up to 6400‰ and 98,000‰. Bold values indicate 2σ deviation from terrestrial. Blank cells indicate no measurable excess in $^{26}$Mg or $^{44}$Ca.

### Table 1

| Grain | Size (μm) | $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C | $^{16}$O/$^{15}$O | $^{14}$N/$^{15}$N | $\delta^{29}$Si (‰) | $\delta^{30}$Si (‰) | ($^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al)$\times10^3$ | ($^{44}$Ti/$^{48}$Ti)$\times10^3$ |
|-------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| G-4   | 6        | 7.5 ± 0.1        | 486 ± 9.2        | 2360 ± 18.3      | −39 ± 11         | −62 ± 13         | ...              | 106 ± 18.7       |
| G-5   | 10.5     | 45.9 ± 0.3       | 323 ± 5.7        | 2480 ± 10.7      | −74 ± 12         | −135 ± 15        | 17.7 ± 0.6       | 5.8 ± 1.0        |
| G-8   | 8        | 127.8 ± 0.9      | 280 ± 5.0        | 1450 ± 9.5       | 48 ± 10          | −29 ± 13         | 71.7 ± 0.08      | 27.3 ± 1.7       |
| G-9   | 5.5      | 8.0 ± 0.1        | 338.5 ± 8.5      | 233.8 ± 12.0     | −37 ± 40         | 159 ± 25         | 19.8 ± 0.6       | 109 ± 4.9        |
| G-11  | 7.5      | 22.0 ± 0.2       | 297.7 ± 6.0      | 232.2 ± 10.3     | −21 ± 24         | −40 ± 14         | 73.6 ± 0.9       | 3.4 ± 0.8        |
| G-15  | 12       | 13.4 ± 0.1       | 471.8 ± 10.5     | 240.2 ± 16.4     | −21 ± 24         | 7 ± 30           | ...              | 46.2 ± 6.1       |
| G-20  | 4.5      | 74.3 ± 0.5       | 501 ± 10.3       | 273.9 ± 16.9     | −37 ± 14         | −32 ± 18         | ...              | 261 ± 0.8        |
| G-23  | 6        | 73.7 ± 0.5       | 394 ± 7.2        | 214.4 ± 13.1     | −134 ± 8         | −168 ± 10        | 68.9 ± 0.7       | 19.5 ± 3.5       |

Imaged Grains:

| Grain | Size (μm) | $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C | $^{16}$O/$^{15}$O | $^{14}$N/$^{15}$N | $\delta^{29}$Si (‰) | $\delta^{30}$Si (‰) | ($^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al)$\times10^3$ | ($^{44}$Ti/$^{48}$Ti)$\times10^3$ |
|-------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| G-6   | 4.5      | 113.2 ± 0.8      | 163 ± 2.8        | 153 ± 5.4        | −13 ± 13         | −60 ± 16         | 446 ± 1.5        | 103 ± 6.0        |
| G-13  | 5.5      | 66.0 ± 0.4       | 170.1 ± 3.2      | 263 ± 5.8        | −141 ± 17        | −181 ± 21        | 231 ± 2.4        | 349 ± 55.9       |
| G-17  | 18       | 306.1 ± 2.1      | 306 ± 6.4        | 215.1 ± 10.4     | −27 ± 18         | −86 ± 21         | 55.8 ± 2.0       | 130 ± 13.5       |
| G-18  | 12       | 121.0 ± 0.8      | 432.9 ± 9.2      | 188.8 ± 14.6     | −29 ± 16         | −12 ± 20         | 12 ± 0.3         | ...              |
| G-21  | 7        | 140.7 ± 1.0      | 140.1 ± 2.6      | 267.6 ± 4.8      | −125 ± 13        | −165 ± 15        | 271 ± 2.7        | ...              |
| G-24  | 13       | 13.1 ± 0.1       | 479.2 ± 9.4      | 236.3 ± 16.5     | 3 ± 11           | 4 ± 14           | ...              | 72.8 ± 15.4      |

Terrestrial — 89 | 499b | 272b | $^{29}$Si/$^{28}$Si = 0.5 | $^{30}$Si/$^{28}$Si = 0.34 | 0.05c | 0

Notes. All values represent whole-grain measurements; anomalies are lower bounds due to surface-adhered insoluble organic material. All errors are 1σ. Bold values indicate 2σ deviation from terrestrial. Blank cells indicate no measurable excess in $^{26}$Mg or $^{44}$Ca.

a Delta-value notation, $\delta$ = 1000($\text{isotope}/\text{isotope}_{\text{ref}}$ − 1), deviations in ‰ from standard/terrestrial values.

b The results of NASA’s Genesis mission have shown that terrestrial materials are generally depleted in $^{15}$N and $^{16}$O relative to the solar wind. Solar wind isotopic ratios were found to be $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N = 441 (Marty et al. 2011) and $^{16}$O/$^{18}$O = 530 (McKeegan et al. 2011).

c Inferred $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al ratio in Calcium-Aluminum-rich Inclusions, the oldest solar system solids.
Figure 1. False-color isotope images of the surface of G6. Images are scaled in absolute ratios (left) and δ-values (right) where 
\[ \delta^X = \delta^{X}_{\text{sample}}/\delta^{X}_{\text{standard}} - 1 \] and \( X \) is the major isotope \(^{14}N \) or \(^{16}O \). Black bars on left indicate terrestrial isotopic ratios \( \delta^{15}N_{\text{terrestrial}} \approx 0.004 \); \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{terrestrial}} \approx 0.002 \). (a) \( \delta^{15}N \) isotope image. Deviations from terrestrial range up to 6400‰ \( \delta^{15}N = 0.03, 14N/15N = 37 \). (b) \( \delta^{18}O \) isotope image. Deviations from terrestrial range up to 98,000 ‰ \( \delta^{18}O = 0.20, 16O/18O = 5 \). There is a good spatial correlation between the hotspots in \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta^{15}N \).

Figure 2. False color images of grain G17 slice D in \( \delta^{15}N \) (a) and \( \delta^{18}O \) (b). There is a strong spatial correlation between \( \delta^{15}N \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) in three hotspots, indicated by arrows. Gray regions indicate holes in the slice from microtoming.

3.3. Microtome Sections

Multiple ultramicrotome sections of G17 and G18 contain highly anomalous and spatially correlated hotspots of \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta^{15}N \) (Figures 2 and 3). Five hotspots were found in five slices of G17 and G18 imaged in O and N isotopes (Groopman et al. 2012). \( \delta^{15}N \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) in the hotspots range up to 6400‰ \( \delta^{15}N = 0.03, 14N/15N = 37 \), and 98,000 ‰ \( \delta^{18}O = 0.20, 16O/18O = 5 \), respectively. There is a strong spatial correlation between the observed excesses in \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta^{15}N \). In \( \delta^{15}N \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) range up to 15,000‰ and 15,000‰ (0.06 and 0.03; 17 and 31), respectively, but are not spatially correlated. Hotspots on the grains’ surfaces vary in size from 110 nm to 425 nm.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have found evidence for heterogeneities in the C, N, and O isotopic compositions of six low-density graphite grains. Several of these grains contain bulk excesses of \(^{18}O \) and \(^{15}N \), evidence of a supernova origin; however, they also contain spatially correlated isotopic anomalies on their interiors and exteriors that are much more extreme than the bulk graphite measurements. TiC subgrains are a likely carrier of the observed \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta^{15}N \) heterogeneities in the grains’ interiors. Previous
NanoSIMS studies of microtomed slices of a supernova graphite found that internal TiC subgrains have much larger $^{18}$O excesses than the parent grain (Stadermann et al. 2005). Initial $^{16}$O$^-$ signals associated with these internal TiCs were also much higher than those in the parent grain. It is unknown whether the higher initial O$^-$ secondary signal is due to intrinsically higher O content in the TiC subgrains or whether O ion yields from TiC are greater than those from graphite. However, recent EELS of supernova TiC subgrains has shown that they contain amorphous rims (Daulton et al. 2009) enriched in O up to 20 at. % relative to the interiors of the TiC subgrains (Daulton et al. 2012). These enrichments thus may be due to O-rich amorphous rims around the TiC grains. In the present study, we do not observe higher initial O$^-$ secondary signals from the $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{15}$N hotspots. During our NanoSIMS measurements we presputtered the graphite sections until we achieved a constant $^{12}$C$^-$ signal before we began imaging; this may have sputtered away the top surface of any O-rich rims on TiCs in contrast to Stadermann et al. (2005), who did not presputter their slices before imaging.

These spatially correlated $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{15}$N hotspots suggest that the internal TiC grains in the supernova outflow formed from inner He/C zone material where $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O and $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N are very high (Rauscher et al. 2002), while the bulk of the host graphite grain formed from a mixture that included further out in the He/C zone or other zones, resulting in more modest $^{18}$O and $^{15}$N excesses. The high $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C ratios of G17 and G18 also indicate contributions from the He/C zone, which has $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C $\gg$ solar. While it has been proposed that carbonaceous grains form in a supernova environment where the elemental ratio C/O < 1 (Clayton 2011), all of our observations point to major He/C zone contributions, where C/O > 1. G24 has a very low $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C ratio and relatively smaller excesses of $^{18}$O and $^{15}$N, indicating that it may represent a mixture of material with a significant contribution from the He/N zone, where C/O is still > 1. We did not observe any spatially correlated hotspots in $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{15}$N in G24; however, TEM investigations have found a large 300 nm TiC grain in another slice on a TEM grid. Since this slice is part of an ongoing TEM study, we will measure the O and N isotopic composition of this TiC in the future.

Isotope images of grain surfaces yield some of the largest excesses in $^{18}$O and $^{15}$N ever measured in presolar graphite grains. Although TiC abundance has been shown to decrease with radial position in several supernova graphites (Croat et al. 2003), TiC subgrains are not precluded from being the source of the surface $\delta^{18}$O, $\delta^{15}$N hotspots.

We observed two types of heterogeneities in the C isotopic compositions of microtomed graphites: smooth radial gradients in G18 and G24, and extremely anomalous pockets near the center of grain G17. Both heterogeneities are statistically significant. Radial gradients in C and O isotope composition have been previously observed (Stadermann et al. 2005). While partial isotopic equilibration with grains’ environments may explain the O isotope compositions, gradients in C isotope composition require a different explanation. Since the graphite grains are overwhelmingly composed of carbon, partial isotopic equilibration would require the exchange of a significant portion of the grain’s mass over its lifetime in order to reproduce the
Figure 4. (a) G18 slice M exhibits a radial gradient in $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ from 8 to 14. (b) Contours used in (c). (c) Statistically significant trend in mean $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ within concentric regions outlined in (b). All points have $5\sigma$ error bars in $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ based on counts. This grain likely formed in an environment where the C isotopic composition was changing on the timescale of grain formation. (d) and (e) G17 slices A and B, respectively. These slices have heterogeneously distributed C isotopic compositions with highly anomalous pockets with sizes up to 2 $\mu$m where $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} \gg$ solar. $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ varies from 400 to 1800. These pockets are most likely presolar graphites that formed earlier and were incorporated into the host grain.

Gradients we observe, which is unlikely. The equilibration of C isotopes would simultaneously have to preserve the N and O isotopic anomalies that we observe. Additionally, Zinner & Jadhav (2012) found that the radiogenic components of $^{26}\text{Mg}$, and $^{41}\text{K}$ within graphite grains from the same Orgueil size/density fraction are spatially correlated with the major isotopes of the parent species in each system, implying that radiogenic daughter isotopes are quantitatively retained and there is no redistribution within the grains. We therefore find it unlikely that significant redistribution or exchange of C has occurred. Instead, we suggest that the grains condensed in an environment whose isotopic composition was changing during grain formation. This would likely require small-scale mixing of material in the grains’ formation environments on formation timescales and/or movement of the growing grain through regions of changing isotopic composition. Evidence of extinct $^{49}\text{V}$ ($t_{1/2} = 330$ days) in SiC-X grains implies that the timescale of SiC formation in supernova ejecta is on the order of several months (Hoppe & Besmehn 2002). However, excluding very high pressure environments, graphite is likely to form before SiC from a gas with C/O > 1 and otherwise approximately solar composition (Bernatowicz et al. 1996). Such conditions indicate that formation of the graphite grains occurred within the first few months after the supernova explosion.

The heterogeneous $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ anomalies in G17 (Figures 2(d) and (e)) range up to $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} = 1800$ and are located in distinct regions within the slices. These anomalous regions are unlikely to be due to the presence of either internal TiC or SiC X grains; their sizes range up to 2 $\mu$m, whereas the largest internal TiC found to date was $\sim 500$ nm; they lack significant Si and Ti elemental signals with respect to the rest of the graphite slice as measured in the Auger Nanoprobe; and they show no significant enrichments in $^{30}\text{Si}$ with respect to the rest of the graphite in NanoSIMS images. We therefore believe that the pockets are graphite regions that formed from more $^{12}\text{C}$-enriched material before being incorporated by the parent graphite.
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