ABSTRACT

Background Temozolomide (TMZ) chemotherapy is a current standard of care for glioblastoma (GBM), however it has only extended overall survival by a few months. Because it also modulates the immune system, both beneficially and negatively, understanding how TMZ interacts with immunotherapeutics is important. Oncolytic herpes simplex virus (oHSV) is a new class of cancer therapeutic with both cytoxic and immunostimulatory activities. Here, we examine the combination of TMZ and an oHSV encoding murine interleukin 12, G47Δ-mIL12, in a mouse immunocompetent GBM model generated from non-immunogenic 005 GBM stem-like cells (GSCs).

Methods We first investigated the cytotoxic effects of TMZ and/or G47Δ-IL12 treatments in vitro, and then the antitumor effects of combination therapy in vivo in orthotopically implanted 005 GSC-derived brain tumors. To improve TMZ sensitivity, O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) was inhibited. The effects of TMZ on immune cells were evaluated by flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry.

Results The combination of TMZ+G47Δ-IL12 kills 005 GSCs in vitro better than single treatments. However, TMZ does not improve the survival of orthotopic tumor-bearing mice treated with G47Δ-IL12, but rather can abrogate the beneficial effects of G47Δ-IL12 when the two are given concurrently. TMZ negatively affects intratumor T cells and macrophages and splenocytes. Addition of MGMT inhibitor O6-benzylguanine (O6-BG), an inactivating pseudosubstrate of MGMT, to TMZ improved survival, but the combination with G47Δ-IL12 did not overcome the antagonistic effects of TMZ treatment on oHSV therapy.

Conclusions These results illustrate that chemotherapy can adversely affect oHSV immunovirotherapy. As TMZ is the standard of care for GBM, the timing of these combined therapies should be taken into consideration when planning oHSV clinical trials with chemotherapy for GBM.

INTRODUCTION

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most malignant adult primary brain tumor, invariably lethal with a median survival of about 15 months\(^1\); despite the advances in current standards of care (surgical resection, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy) and other treatment options, such as antiangiogenic agents and immunotherapy.\(^1\)\(^2\) GBM is a highly immunosuppressed tumor that is relatively resistant to immunotherapy, including immune checkpoint inhibitors.\(^3\)\(^4\) Chemotherapeutic temozolomide (TMZ), a DNA alkylating agent and immunomodulator,\(^5\) is part of the current standard of care.\(^6\) Resistance to TMZ is typically due to expression of O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), a protein that removes methyl adducts, that can be blocked by inactivating pseudosubstrates such as O6-benzylguanine (O6-BG).\(^7\) GBM tumors are very heterogeneous; containing a subpopulation of GBM stem-like cells (GSCs) or tumor-initiating cells that are believed to be responsible for treatment failure, tumor recurrence, and immune escape, making them critical targets for therapy.\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\)\(^11\) Patient-derived GSC models recapitulate GBM histology in immunodeficient mice,\(^12\) but these models are not useful to examine host immune responses. We recently developed an immunocompetent mouse GSC-derived (005) orthotopic brain tumor model, which recapitulates both GBM histology and immunosuppression.\(^13\)\(^14\)\(^15\)\(^16\)

Oncolytic viruses (OVs) are a new class of anticancer agent, which selectively kill cancer cells (oncolysis), including cancer stem cells, and induce antitumor immunity.\(^17\)\(^18\)\(^19\) Among OVs, oncolytic herpes simplex virus (oHSV) is the furthest along in the clinic, with talimogene laherparepvec approved for use in advanced melanoma.\(^20\)\(^21\) G47Δ, an oHSV currently in a registration clinical trial for recurrent GBM in Japan, was not efficacious alone in the 005 GSC model, however, intratumoral viral expression of murine interleukin 12 with G47Δ-mIL12 improved antitumor immunity, but median survival was only modestly extended.\(^22\) Thus, additional combination strategies are needed to improve the therapeutic outcome of oncolytic immunovirotherapy.\(^23\) TMZ synergizes with OVs\(^24\)\(^25\)\(^26\)\(^27\) and different forms of immunotherapy.\(^28\)\(^29\)\(^30\)\(^31\) We previously reported that TMZ synergized with G47Δ in killing human GSCs in vitro and human GSC-derived orthotopic tumors in immunodeficient mice.\(^32\) Only a few of these combination studies (TMZ+OV) were with immunocompetent GBM models. Because
TMZ is a standard of care for GBM and has immunomodulatory consequences, it is important to examine how TMZ treatment affects oHSV efficacy in immunocompetent GBM models, which may reflect features of the clinical situation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cells, virus, and chemotherapeutic drugs
Mouse 005 GSCs, a gift from Dr I Verma (Salk Institute, San Diego), were established from GBM generated with lentiviral transduction of H-Ras and activated Akt in Cre-GFAP/p53−/− mice of a somewhat mixed (C57BL/6 and some FVB/N) background. They were cultured as spheres in serum-free stem cell medium composed of advanced DMEM/F12 (ThermoFisher Scientific), supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine (Corning), 1% N2 supplement (ThermoFisher Scientific), 2 µg/mL heparin (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5% penicillin G-streptomycin sulfate-amphotericin B complex (Corning), recombinant human EGF (20 ng/mL; R&D Systems), and recombinant human FGF-basic (20 ng/mL; Peprotech), as described, and dissociated with Accutase (Innovative Cell Technologies) for passaging. Low-passage cells were used, which were free from mycoplasma (LookOut mycoplasma kit; Sigma).

G47Δ-mIL12 was constructed from G47Δ (deletions in γ34.5 and α47 genes and inactivating insertion of LacZ into ICP6) by insertion of CMV IE promoter-driven mouse IL-12 cDNA, and grown in Vero cells after low multiplicity of infection (MOI), and purified as described. G47Δ-mIL12 (5×109 pfu in 2 µL/mouse) or phosphate buffered saline (PBS) injected intratumorally at the same stereotactic coordinates on day 12, and TMZ (7.5 mg/kg dissolved in 0.95% DMSO or 25 or 50 mg/kg dissolved in 3.1% DMSO) or vehicle solution injected intraperitoneally from days 10 to 14. For O6-BG+TMZ+Virus combination studies, O6-BG (0.3 mg/mouse dissolved in 40% polyethylene glycol-400 (Sigma) in PBS) or vehicle solution was injected intraperitoneally 1 hour prior to TMZ administration (as in Kanai et al). Mice were followed for neurological symptoms and euthanized before becoming moribund. Animal caretakers were blinded to the treatment knowledge.

Cytotoxicity assays
Dissociated mouse 005 GSCs were seeded into 96-well cell culture plates (2000 cells/well). For virus studies, cells were plated using 005 medium with ‘no heparin’, and TMZ and/or virus, diluted in 005 medium without heparin, added to cells at indicated doses immediately after seeding. O6-BG (5 µM) was added to cells 1 hour prior to TMZ treatment. Two hours post-treatment, medium ‘with heparin’ was added and incubated for 4 days at 37°C before MTS assays were performed following manufacturer’s instruction (Promega). Each experiment was repeated at least two independent times and performed in triplicate.

Short-hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated knockdown of MSH6
shRNA-mediated knockdown of MSH6 in 005 GSCs was performed as previously described. Briefly, plasmid construct containing shRNA sequences against MSH6 mRNA (TRCN0000071163, designated shRNA 1; TRCN0000071164, designated shRNA 2; TRCN0000071165, designated shRNA 3; TRCN0000071166, designated shRNA 4; TRCN0000071167, designated shRNA 5) were purchased from Dharmacon or non-targeting shRNA (SHC002) from Sigma. Generation of lentiviral constructs, lentiviral transduction of 005 GSCs for MSH6 knockdown, and selection with puromycin were performed as previously described. MSH6 knockdown was performed at least two times and the level of target gene was assessed by western blot. MTS cytotoxicity assays in MSH6 knockdown cells were performed as described above.

Animal studies
C57BL/6 mice (7–8 weeks old) were obtained from the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, Maryland). All mouse procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Massachusetts General Hospital. Dissociated 005 GSCs (2×10⁴) were implanted stereotactically into the striatum (2.2 mm lateral from Bregma and 2.5 mm deep) on day 0 to generate orthotopic intracranial tumors. Mice were randomly divided into groups at day 7 and treated with G47Δ-mIL12 (5×10⁹ pfu in 2 µL/mouse) or phosphate buffered saline (PBS) injected intratumorally at the same stereotactic coordinates on day 12, and TMZ (7.5 mg/kg dissolved in 0.95% DMSO or 25 or 50 mg/kg dissolved in 3.1% DMSO) or vehicle solution injected intraperitoneally from days 10 to 14. For O6-BG+TMZ+Virus combination studies, O6-BG (0.3 mg/mouse dissolved in 40% polyethylene glycol-400 (Sigma) in PBS) or vehicle solution was injected intraperitoneally 1 hour prior to TMZ administration (as in Kanai et al). Mice were followed for neurological symptoms and euthanized before becoming moribund. Animal caretakers were blinded to the treatment knowledge.

Immunohistochemistry for tumor-infiltrating immune cells
C57BL/6 mice implanted with 005 GSCs were treated with TMZ from days 19 to 23. On day 26 mice were sacrificed, brains removed and fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and 5 µm sections subjected to immunohistochemical staining with primary antibodies against CD4 (anti-mouse CD4; eBioscience, Cat. # 14-9766-80), CD8 (anti-mouse CD8a; eBioscience, Cat. # 14-0808-80), or CD68 (anti-CD68; Abcam, Cat. # ab125212), followed by incubation with appropriate secondary antibodies (HRP anti-rat or anti-rabbit IgG; Vector Laboratories), as described previously. The number of positive cells were counted from three random fields/tumor section (one section/mouse). Counter was blinded to the treatments.

Multicolor flow cytometry
For 10-color flow cytometric analysis, single cell suspensions from harvested spleens were prepared (as in Cheema et al) and stained with fluorochrome-conjugated anti-mouse antibodies (PerCP-Cy5.5 anti-mouse CD4, PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD9, Alexa Fluor 647 anti-mouse FoxP3, Brilliant Violet 510 anti-mouse CD8a, Brilliant Violet 421 anti-mouse NK 1.1, Brilliant Violet 605 anti-mouse/
Figure 1  Cytotoxic effects of TMZ and/or G47Δ-IL12 in vitro. Dose–response curves for TMZ (A) and G47Δ-IL12 (B) 4 days after treatment, as measured by MTS assay. (C) 005 GSCs were treated with –IC_{50} dose of TMZ (40 µM) and/or –IC_{50} dose of G47Δ-IL12 (MOI 0.1) for 4 days, and cell viability assessed by MTS assay. Each graph represents an average of three experiments performed in triplicate. Data presented as mean±SEM. ****p<0.0001 between indicated groups (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). M, mock; V, G47Δ-IL12 virus; T, TMZ; and T+V, TMZ+G47Δ-IL12. (D, E) Effects of MSH6 knockdown on 005 GSCs sensitivity to G47Δ-IL12. (D) 005 GSCs were lentivirally transduced with MSH6 (five independent sequences) or non-targeting (control) shRNAs. MSH6 protein levels were detected by western blot. Beta-actin was used as a loading control. (E) Dose–response curves for G47Δ-IL12 in MSH6 (005-MSH6 shRNA 1 and 005-MSH6 shRNA 3) or control (005-control shRNA cells) knockdown cells at 4 days post virus treatment, as measured by MTS assay, GBM, glioblastoma; GSCs, GBM stem-like cells; MOI, multiplicity of infection; shRNA, short-hairpin RNA; TMZ, temozolomide.

**RESULTS**

**In vitro sensitivity of 005 GSCs**

We first investigated the cytotoxic effects of TMZ and/or G47Δ-IL12 treatments in vitro. 005 GSCs are somewhat sensitive to TMZ (IC_{50} ~100 µM; figure 1A) and G47Δ-IL12 (IC_{50} =MOI 0.7; figure 1B), as determined by MTS assay. In patients, TMZ treatment results in peak tumor concentrations of <40 µM.^{37} To evaluate combination effects, 005 GSCs were treated with –IC_{50} of TMZ (40 µM), –IC_{50} of G47Δ-IL12 (MOI 0.1), or both. Viability of 005 GSCs was significantly reduced after each single treatment compared with mock (p<0.0001), and the combination (TMZ+G47Δ-IL12) was significantly better than either agent alone (p<0.0001, figure 1C). In mouse 005 GSCs, knockdown of the MSH6 gene, which is associated with TMZ resistance in GBM,^{38} did not alter their sensitivity to oHSV as compared with control shRNA treated 005 cells (figure 1D–E).

**Combination therapy in vivo**

Because the combination significantly improved GSC killing in vitro, we hypothesized that the combination would induce superior antitumor efficacy in vivo, as seen with human GSCs in immunodeficient mice.^{24} TMZ dosing regimens can vary from 75 to 200 mg/m²/day in patients with GBM.^{37} According to the Medscape body surface area-based dosing conversion, 75 mg/m²/day is equal to ~125 mg/day for 60 kg bodyweight, which is equivalent to 25 mg/kg for a 20 g mouse, according to species to species dosing conversion described in Freireich et al.^{38} To test the combination in vivo, mice bearing orthotopic allografts of 005 GSC-derived tumors were treated with intraperitoneal TMZ (25 mg/kg once daily from days 10 to 14 postimplantation) and/or intratumoral G47Δ-IL12 (5×10^5 pu at day 12, figure 2A, lower), and animals followed until they became moribund. No extension of survival was seen with membranes by electroblotting. Western blotting was performed with primary antibodies to MGMT (Biovision, Cat. # 3820–100) and MSH6 (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. # 3995). Primary antibodies to β-actin (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. # 4970) and Vinculin (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. # 13901) were used as loading controls.

**Statistical analysis**

Dose–response curves and IC_{50} values were calculated using Prism 7 GraphPad software V.7.0e. Cell viabilities at each time point, positive cell counts for each group (immunohistochemistry), and flow cytometry were compared by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test. One-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used to compare bodyweights between indicated treatments. Survival data were analyzed by Kaplan-Meier survival curves, and comparisons were performed by log-rank test. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Prism 7 GraphPad software V.7.0e.
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Figure 2  TMZ treatment in combination with intratumoral G47Δ-IL12 in C57BL/6 mice bearing orthotopic mouse 005 GSC-derived brain tumors. (A) Kaplan-Meier survival curve. C57BL/6 mice, implanted with mouse 005 GSCs on day 0, were treated with TMZ (25 mg/kg) or vehicle intraperitoneally from days 10 to 14, and G47Δ-mIL12 (5x10⁵ pfu) or PBS injected intratumorally on day 12 (n=7 for mock and TMZ, and n=8 for G47Δ-IL12 and combination). Schema illustrated below. (B) Bodyweight of tumor-bearing mice (from A) after treatment. Mean±SEM, no significant differences between treated and mock (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s test post-test). (C) Kaplan-Meier survival curve for low-dose TMZ (7.5 mg/kg); same experimental schedule as in (A), n=7 for mock and single treatments, n=8 for combination. Schema illustrated below. The long-term surviving mouse from the combination group was sacrificed on day 223, and tumor was not present. The median survival of mock (38 days) is significantly different from G47Δ-IL12 (median survival=49 days, p=0.003) or combination (median survival=54.5 days, p=0.002); TMZ (median survival=40 days) is significantly different from combination (p=0.0085). No other significant differences were observed. ANOVA, analysis of variance; GBM, glioblastoma; GSCs, GBM stem-like cells; TMZ, temozolomide.

Figure 3 Immunohistochemical analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. C57BL/6 mice implanted with 005 GSCs on day 0, and treated with TMZ (7.5 or 25 mg/kg) or vehicle solution intraperitoneally from days 19 to 23. Three days after the last TMZ treatment (day 26), mice were sacrificed and brains collected for immunohistochemistry as in Cheema et al.13. In the tumor, low-dose TMZ significantly reduced the number of CD4+ and CD8+ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) by 1.7-fold and 2.7-fold, respectively, compared with mock (figure 2A). We followed bodyweight to evaluate treatment toxicity. While there was a dip in median weight at days 13 and 14, after virus treatment, it was not significantly different from Mock (figure 2B).

Low-dose TMZ (7.5 mg/kg) treatment has been shown to enhance oncolytic adenovirus therapy in a relatively immunogenic GL261 orthotopic brain tumor model.25 Therefore, we tested whether low-dose TMZ would improve the therapeutic outcome of G47Δ-IL12 therapy, using the same schema (figure 2C, lower) as in figure 2A. Low-dose TMZ did not improve survival alone or when used in combination with G47Δ-IL12 (figure 2C), but did not abrogate the therapeutic efficacy of G47Δ-IL12, as with 25 mg/kg (figure 2A).

TMZ effects on immune cells
To try to understand how TMZ is altering the immune response, we examined the effects of TMZ locally on brain tumor-infiltrating immune cells and systemically in the spleen. Mice bearing 005 GSC-derived tumors were treated with TMZ (7.5 mg or 25 mg/kg) from days 19 to 23 postimplantation, and brains and spleens collected 3 days later (day 26). Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded brain tumor sections were subjected to immunohistochemistry for tumor-infiltrating immune cells, and spleens mechanically dissociated to single cells for multicolor flow cytometry (as in Cheema et al.13). In the tumor, low-dose TMZ significantly reduced the number of CD4+ and CD8+ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) by 1.7-fold and 2.7-fold, respectively, compared with mock (figure 2A). We followed bodyweight to evaluate treatment toxicity. While there was a dip in median weight at days 13 and 14, after virus treatment, it was not significantly different from Mock (figure 2B).

Low-dose TMZ (7.5 mg/kg) treatment has been shown to enhance oncolytic adenovirus therapy in a relatively immunogenic GL261 orthotopic brain tumor model.25 Therefore, we tested whether low-dose TMZ would improve the therapeutic outcome of G47Δ-IL12 therapy, using the same schema (figure 2C, lower) as in figure 2A. Low-dose TMZ did not improve survival alone or when used in combination with G47Δ-IL12 (figure 2C), but did not abrogate the therapeutic efficacy of G47Δ-IL12, as with 25 mg/kg (figure 2A).
macrophages (TAMs) by 1.5-fold compared with the mock group (figure 3A–B). Higher dose TMZ, while not significant, also reduced CD4+ TILs (1.7-fold) and TAMs (1.3-fold), and CD8+ TILs (4-fold) compared with mock (figure 3A–B). In the spleen, only higher dose TMZ significantly reduced total splenocytes (figure 4A) and CD4+ T cells (figure 4B). Other immune cells, such as regulatory (CD4+FoxP3+) and activated T cells (CD4+CD69+), CD8+ T cells, natural killer cells (NK1.1+), myeloid cells (CD11b+), dendritic cells (CD11b+CD11c+), monocytes (CD11b+Gr1+), and B cells (CD19+) were not significantly altered by either TMZ dose (figure 4C–J).

Inhibiting MGMT

005 GSCs express MGMT (figure 5A), which is associated with TMZ resistance. If MGMT expression in 005 GSCs contributes to TMZ resistance, its inhibition should improve efficacy. O6-BG, an inactivating pseudosubstrate of MGMT, significantly reduced MGMT expression (figure 5A), as seen previously in oral cancer cells, and sensitized 005 GSCs to TMZ, shifting the IC50 by ~5-fold (figure 5B). O6-BG also sensitized 005 GSCs to the combination treatment (TMZ+G47A-IL12) by ~2-fold compared with no O6-BG (figure 5C). Because O6-BG significantly reduced the IC50 dose of TMZ in vitro (figure 5B) to a dose within the peak tumor concentrations in patients, we hypothesized that O6-BG treatment would improve the efficacy of TMZ treatment alone or in combination with G47A-IL12 in vivo. The rationale for the combination with MGMT inhibitor was that TMZ did not inhibit 005 tumor growth alone, and therefore it was possible that TMZ-induced cell death was necessary for immune-mediated effects that might enhance TMZ+G47A-IL12 combination effects. Mice bearing 005 GSC-derived tumors were treated with TMZ (50 mg/kg) and O6-BG or vehicle, and/or G47A-IL12 as illustrated in the schema (figure 5D). The increased sensitivity of 005 GSCs in vitro to TMZ following O6-BG treatment was reproduced in vivo, with the median survival of the O6-BG+TMZ treatment group marginally, but significantly longer than TMZ alone (40 days vs 35 days; p=0.02, figure 5D). As in figure 2A, TMZ combined with G47A-IL12 (median survival=42 days) significantly (p=0.0004) abrogated the beneficial effects of oncolytic G47A-IL12 treatment (median survival=70 days). Unfortunately, even with the chemotherapeutic effects on tumor growth, the addition of O6-BG to the combination of TMZ+G47A-IL12 (median survival=42 days) did not overcome the antagonistic effects of TMZ treatment on oHSV therapy (figure 5D). There was no significant difference in bodyweights between any of the treatment groups and mock (figure 5E).

**DISCUSSION**

There is conflicting and limited data on the immunological consequences of standard of care TMZ dosing schedules on immunotherapy. Here, we examined the effects of different TMZ doses on oHSV immunotherapy in a representative mouse immunocompetent GBM model. Most of the preclinical studies of GBM immunotherapy have been performed with the GL261 mouse glioma model. Unfortunately, GL261 is relatively immunogenic and minimally sensitive to TMZ in vitro, in contrast to the 005 model.

We did not expect low-dose TMZ (7.5 mg/kg) to inhibit tumor growth because it would lead to serum concentrations below the sensitivity of 005 GSCs. However, it did have a significant impact on TILs and TAMs (figure 3). We previously showed that curative therapy with G47A-mIL12 in combination with anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 was dependent on both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and macrophages. In the spleen, CD4+ T cells were significantly reduced after 25 mg/kg TMZ, with a trend, not significant, to increased Tregs (figure 4C). In the GL261 model, low-dose TMZ significantly increased peripheral blood NK cells and their activation and tumor infiltration. We found a trend, not significant, towards increased NK cells in the spleens of TMZ-treated mice (figure 4F). Increased NK cells might improve antitumor activity, but may also inhibit oHSV efficacy. The effect of TMZ on immunotherapy seems to be somewhat dose and schedule dependent, which we did not evaluate. In the GL261 glioma model, the survival advantage of anti-PD-1 therapy was abrogated with ‘standard-dosing’ of TMZ (50 mg/kg), but preserved with metronomic 25 mg/kg TMZ. Here, both 25 and 50 mg/kg TMZ abrogated G47A-mIL12 antitumor efficacy. The lack of a large difference between immune cell phenotypes at 7.5 mg/kg, with
Inhibition of MGMT with O6-BG does not overcome TMZ antagonism to G47Δ-mIL12 treatment in vivo. (A) Western blot and densitometry of 005 GSC lysates for MGMT. Vinculin was used as loading control. 005 GSCs were treated with or without O6-BG (5 µM) for 1 hour, cell lysates collected and blotted in triplicates. Representative blots are shown. Bar graph represents relative MGMT quantification compared with loading control. Mean±SEM *p<0.05 (unpaired Student’s t-test). (B) Dose–response curves for 005 GSCs after TMZ treatment with or without O6-BG (5 µM). The graph represents an average of three experiments performed in triplicate. Mean±SEM. (C) 005 GSCs treated with TMZ (T; 10 µM) and/or G47Δ-mIL12 (V; MOI 0.1), and/or with O6-BG (5 µM). The graph represents an average of three experiments and 8 wells/condition. Mean±SEM. **p<0.01, ****p<0.0001 (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). T, TMZ; V, G47Δ-mIL12 virus; and T+V, TMZ+G47Δ-mIL12. (D) C57BL/6 mice implanted with 005 GSCs were treated with TMZ or vehicle solution intraperitoneally from days 10 to 14, and G47Δ-mIL12 or PBS injected intratumorally on day 12, and O6-BG (0.3 mg/mouse) or vehicle (right; treatment schema for experiment). n=7 for each treatment group. (left) Kaplan-Meier survival curve with the indicated treatment groups. (E) Bodyweight of tumor-bearing mice after treatment. Mean±SEM, no significant differences between treated and mock (one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post-hoc test). ANOVA, analysis of variance; GBM, glioblastoma; GSCs, GBM stem-like cells; MOI, multiplicity of infection; TMZ, temozolomide.

no effect on G47Δ-mIL12 efficacy, and 25 mg/kg suggests that other immune cell types may be important for TMZ immunosuppression of G47Δ-IL12 activity.

In contrast to human GSCs, where TMZ synergized with oHSV in extending survival of immunodeficient mice with orthotopic tumors, TMZ abrogated oHSV efficacy in mouse GSC-derived tumors. HSV has a complicated interaction with the host immune system. It should be pointed out that G47Δ replicates well in human GSCs, but poorly in 005 GSCs. In human GSCs, oHSV impairs DNA damage responses, promoting synergy with oHSV. It is unknown whether this also occurs in mouse GSCs, but it will be important to understand the balance between oncolytic/cytotoxic and immune responses in combination therapy with TMZ. 005 GSCs express MGMT, and MGMT promoter methylation is associated with TMZ resistance. While the addition of MGMT inhibitor (O6-BG) to TMZ decreased the IC50 of TMZ in vitro and extended survival, it did not improve the combination with virus. TMZ has been examined in combination with other OVs in immunocompetent glioma models and found to extend survival. Oncolytic myxoma virus synergized with TMZ (100 mg/kg) in treating mBTIC0309 GSC-derived tumors in immunocompetent mice, but had no effect in immunodeficient mice, indicating T cell-mediated efficacy. The sequence of oncolytic adenovirus and TMZ treatments was shown to play a role in tumor infiltration of T cells and treatment efficacy. TMZ (7.5 mg/kg) significantly extended survival of oncolytic adenovirus-treated GL261 tumors when oncolytic adenovirus was injected prior to TMZ. TMZ treatment was associated with a significant increase in CD4+ TILs on day 8, whereas, we saw a significant decrease at day 3. We do not know what contributed to the difference in efficacy between the two studies; however, there are a number of possible contributors: the tumor model, somewhat immunogenic GL261 versus non-immunogenic 005; oncolytic adenovirus versus oHSV; the treatment schema, pretreatment with oncolytic adenovirus versus oHSV-IL12 in the middle of TMZ treatment; and/or expression of IL12. It remains to be determined whether any of these differences could improve oHSV+TMZ combination therapy.

CONCLUSIONS

These results illustrate that chemotherapy can adversely affect oHSV immunovirotherapy. The effects, either antagonistic or neutral, occur over a range of TMZ doses (7.5–50 mg/kg) and with MGMT inhibitor O6-BG. Interestingly, TMZ had a much larger negative effect on the
number of intratumoral T cells and macrophages than splenocyte subsets, indicating a large shift in the tumor microenvironment, especially at low doses. As TMZ is the standard of care for GBM, the scheduling of oHSV injections relative to TMZ treatment in the clinic should be carefully considered.
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