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Abstract

Although the current methods of pharmaceutical care have demonstrably improved pathogenic condition and humanistic health outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes, lacking humanistic care limits its reach and effectiveness. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of daily humanistic care reminders in pharmaceutical care of patients with type 2 diabetes.

A total of 1200 patients with type 2 diabetes were recruited. The control group received only pharmaceutical care, whereas the intervention group received extra humanistic care from clinical pharmacists. Biochemical data, levels of quality of life, satisfaction, and self-care behavior and relationships between humanistic care and glycemic control were collected before and after 12-month intervention.

Humanistic care increased levels of quality of life, satisfaction, self-efficacy and self-care behavior score, decreased blood pressure, glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), blood lipid, fasting blood glucose, postprandial 2-h blood glucose (PPG), inflammation, and insulin resistance after 12-month follow-up. Humanistic care showed a positive correlation with glycemic control and negative relationship with HbA1c in patients with type 2 diabetes. There was a positive correlation between humanistic care and glycemic control ($R = 0.641, P < .01$) and a negative relationship between humanistic care and HbA1c in patients with type 2 diabetes ($r = -0.482, P < .01$).

In conclusion, humanistic care could improve the control of overall clinical outcomes and enhanced medication adherences, which play an important role in the management of patients with type 2 diabetes.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, BP = blood pressure, DMSES = Diabetes Management Self-Efficacy Scale, FBG = fasting blood glucose, HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c, HDE-c = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HOMA-IR = Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance, IS = inflammatory score, LDL-c = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG = triglyceride, PPG = postprandial 2-h blood glucose, SD = standard deviation, SDSCA = Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities Scale, TC = total cholesterol, SPSS = Statistical Package for the Social Sciences.

Key words: glycemic control, Humanistic care, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes

1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is a metabolic disorder characterized by chronically elevated blood glucose levels. Type 2 diabetes is associated with high morbidity and mortality worldwide. Patients with type 2 diabetes have become a major burden of the health care systems both nationally and internationally. Currently, pharmaceutical care interventions play crucial role in controlling of blood glucose levels in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clinically, humanistic care is referred to as a patient-centered approach emphasizing a positive and trusting relationship between the patients and nurses, which can improve patients’ compliance and enhance pharmaceutical care.

Nursing humanistic care is extremely important to promoting palliative care when it is based on Humanistic Nursing Theory. Nursing humanistic care is scientific and practical and can be used as a scientific medical tool for the humanistic care ability of clinical nurses by applying attitude and practice evaluation system. The humanistic partnership model in health care has been developed to improve the efficacy of pharmaceutical care by nursing professionals and partner patients. In line with the evolution nursing care, nursing humanistic care provides a new implementation of the discipline’s core concepts, which may integrate a social humanistic perspective into health care and potential impact on health care. The positive nursing humanistic care highlights the value of multidisciplinary...
collaborative care for type 2 diabetes patients, thereby supporting the effectiveness of daily humanistic care for patients with type 2 diabetes.[10]

The purpose of this study was to investigate the clinical impact of daily humanistic care on patients with type 2 diabetes. This study also analyzed the effects of humanistic care on the control of parameters such as fasting glycemia and levels of HbA1c and demonstrated its applicability and impact in nursing research. It appears that daily nursing humanistic care is a promising tool for improving the quality of life in patients with type 2 diabetes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study design and setting

This was a control-parallel study carried out in the Second Affiliated Hospital of Mudanjiang Medical College, from May 2019 to June 2020. All patients with type 2 diabetes were diagnosed by a fasting plasma glucose (level ≥ 7.0 mmol/L) and 2-hour postchallenge plasma glucose (level ≥ 11.1 mmol/L). All patients were voluntarily recruited and randomly assigned to humanistic care and glycemic control group. The sample size of patients was determined by the following formula: \( n = 2 \times \left( \frac{\sigma}{\beta} \right)^2 \times \left( \frac{\alpha}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right)^2 \), where \( \sigma \) is standard deviation, \( \alpha \) is constant, \( \beta \) is constant, \( \mu_1 \) is empty blood glucose level, \( \mu_2 \) is lowered blood glucose level.[11] This study was approved by the human investigational review board of Medical College of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Mudanjiang Medical College (IRB: 20190511-B12). All patients provided written informed consent to participate in this study.

2.2. Participants

Analyzed data were collected from 1200 patients with type 2 diabetes from the database. Patients were randomly divided into control and humanistic group. Control group patients (n = 600) received only pharmaceutical care, whereas humanistic care group patients (n = 600) received pharmaceutical care and an extra humanistic care from a clinical pharmacist. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with type 2 diabetes; (2) HbA1c ≥ 7.0%; (3) fasting blood glucose (FBG) ≥ 7.0 mmol/L; (4) PPG ≥ 11.1 mmol/L; (5) patients should have normal communication skills. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with gestational diabetes; (2) patients with uremia; (3) patients with cancer; (4) patients with mental disorders; (5) patients with severe cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases; (6) patients with complications of acute diabetes or inability to take care of themselves.

2.3. Humanistic care interventions

Daily humanistic care included systematic diabetes education, interviews, and telephone counseling to patients on health education and medication adherence. The clinical pharmacist also provided inquiry of specialized guidance for pharmaceutical care, dosage of drug, blood pressure (BP), blood glucose, amount of exercise, sleep quality, dietary status, and comfort of body.

2.4. Data collection

The body mass index (BMI), BP, FBG, HbA1c, and blood lipid levels (high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-c], low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-c], triglyceride [TG], and total cholesterol [TC]) were collected during 12-month follow up. BMI was measured using the follow formula: BMI = weight/height².[12] FBG and PPG were evaluated using the glucose oxidase method (Yellow Springs Instruments, Yellow Springs, OH) following the manufacturer’s instructions.[13] Serum lipid levels were evaluated using an autoanalyzer (model 747–200; Roche–Hitachi) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Insulin level was determined by using chemiluminescence (Roche 2010 Elecsys) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Level of HbA1c was measured using a Clinical Chemistry Analyzer (Cobas C311, Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Urine protein and creatinine were measured using a Beckman Coulter UniCel DxC800 Synchron Clinical System. Medication adherence was assessed by the Morisky Green Levine Scale as described previously.[14] Two sets of questionnaires were used to evaluate the Diabetes Management Self-Efficacy Scale (DMSES) Malay version[15] and the Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities Scale (SDSCA) Malay version.[16] Satisfaction of patients was evaluated using 5-point system medical treatment process (very satisfied: 5 points; satisfied: 4 points; no comment: 3 points; dissatisfied: 2 points; very dissatisfied: 1 point).[17] Quality of life was accessed using 5 domains included energy, memory, diet, sex, and finance as described previously.[18] Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DSP) were measured using the Spacelabs ambulatory BP monitor 90207 (Redmond, Wash).

Insulin resistance was evaluated using the Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), which is derived from fasting insulin and glucose.[19] An inflammatory score was used to measure the degree of inflammation in patients with type 2 diabetes.[20] Self-efficacy of diabetes was determined basing on the 7 domains of diabetes self-management as described previously.[21]

2.5. Statistical analysis

The data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation or n (%). Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York, NY). Differences between control and intervention groups were evaluated using independent t-test. The relationships between the humanistic care and glycemic control were assessed using Spearman correlation test method. P value considered significant if it is <0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Patient characteristics

Characteristics of patient with type 2 diabetes are summarized in Table 1. A total of 1200 recruiters were enrolled in the Second Affiliated Hospital of Mudanjiang Medical College. Patients with type 2 diabetes were divided into 2 groups and received nursing with (n = 600) or without humanistic care (n = 600). Flowchart of the study was illustrated in Figure 1. The average age for participants was 38.0 ± 11.5 and 37.5 ± 12.0 years in humanistic care and control group, respectively. Among the 1200 participants, 728 were male (60.7%) and 472 were female (39.3%). The numbers of male and female were approximately equal in 2 groups. The percent of smoking was 31.0% and 31.7% in humanistic care and control group, respectively, with no significant difference. There were no statistically significant differences in any of the parameters between the 2 groups (P > .05, Table 1).

3.2. Effect of humanistic care on quality of life, satisfaction, and self-care behavior

The significance of daily humanistic care in pharmaceutical care of the participants was investigated in 12-month follow up. As shown in Table 2, patients in the humanistic care group had higher score of quality of life than those in control group (75.50 ± 12.00 vs 92.00 ± 10.50, P < .05). Degree of satisfaction of patients with type 2 diabetes in the humanistic care group was better than control group (8.82 ± 1.06 vs 6.62 ± 1.63, P < .01). Analysis of self-care behavior demonstrated that humanistic
### Table 1
Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of patients with type 2 diabetes.

| Demographics       | Control       | Humanistic care | P     |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| No.                | 600 (50%)     | 600 (50%)       | >.05  |
| Mean age (yr)      | 37.5 ± 12.0   | 38.0 ± 11.5     | >.05  |
| Male/female        | 348/252       | 360/240         | .75   |
| BMI (kg/m²)        | 24.87 ± 3.34  | 24.87 ± 3.34    | .52   |
| Duration of diabetes (yr) | 6.5 ± 1.5     | 7.0 ± 1.5       | .53   |
| Smoking (n, %)     | 186 (31.0%)   | 190 (31.7%)     | .38   |
| Alcohol drinking (n, %) | 338 (59.7%)   | 365 (59.2%)     | .68   |
| FBG (mmol/L)       | 7.43 ± 1.86   | 7.50 ± 2.06     | .82   |
| HbA1c (%)          | 7.44 ± 1.85   | 7.40 ± 1.80     | .86   |
| SBP (mm Hg)        | 132.50 ± 12.50| 134.60 ± 13.40  | .72   |
| DBP (mm Hg)        | 84.30 ± 10.60 | 83.60 ± 11.80   | .77   |
| HDL-c (mmol/L)     | 1.50 ± 0.28   | 1.52 ± 0.32     | .65   |
| LDL-c (mmol/L)     | 3.62 ± 0.70   | 3.48 ± 0.62     | .66   |
| TG (mmol/L)        | 1.75 ± 0.60   | 1.78 ± 0.52     | .68   |
| TC (mmol/L)        | 5.41 ± 1.06   | 5.50 ± 1.18     | .48   |
| The urine protein/creatinine (mg/g) | 0.40 ± 0.11  | 0.26 ± 0.12    | .50   |
| Scores of adherence | 0.80 ± 0.18   | 0.78 ± 0.20    | .54   |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD or n (%). BMI = body mass index, FBG = fasting blood glucose, HbA1c = glycosylated hemoglobin A1c, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, HDL-c = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-c = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG = triglyceride, TC = total cholesterol.

### Table 2
Effect of humanistic care on levels of quality of life, satisfaction and self-care behavior.

| Demographics | Control       | Humanistic care | P     |
|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Quality of life | 7.00 ± 12.0   | 9.20 ± 10.50    | .017  |
| Degree of satisfaction | 6.63 ± 1.63   | 8.82 ± 1.06    | .0050 |
| Self-efficacy score | 3.54 ± 0.69   | 4.42 ± 0.35    | .020  |
| Self-care behavior score | 3.62 ± 0.50   | 4.34 ± 0.40    | .028  |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD.

### 3.4. Effect of humanistic care on glucose metabolism
The changing trends of FBG and PPG between humanistic care intervention and control groups are investigated by the fold line diagram (Fig. 2). As shown in Figure 2A, after 4-month intervention, the levels of FBG in patients with type 2 diabetes decreased by humanistic care intervention compared to control group (P < .05). Four months after humanistic care intervention for patients with type 2 diabetes significantly decreased the amplitude of the fluctuation compared to that of the control group (Fig. 2B, P < .05).

### 3.5. Effect of humanistic care on insulin resistance
The effects of humanistic care intervention on insulin resistance were recorded in patients with type 2 diabetes. As shown in Figure 3, 4 months after humanistic care intervention for patients with type 2 diabetes markedly improved the insulin resistance compared to the control group (P < .05).

### 3.6. Effect of humanistic care on inflammation and self-efficacy behavior
The changing trends of inflammation and self-efficacy behavior between humanistic care intervention and control groups were...
compared in patients with type 2 diabetes (Fig. 4). Five months after humanistic care intervention significantly decreased inflammation compared to the control group (Fig. 4A, \( P < .05 \)). After 5-month intervention, humanistic care significantly increased self-efficacy behavior compared to that in the control group (Fig. 4B, \( P < .05 \)).

### 3.7. Relationships between humanistic care and glycemic control

Table 4 showed the correlation between humanistic care and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes (Table 4). There was a positive correlation between humanistic care and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes (\( R = 0.641, P < .01 \)). A negative relationship was found between humanistic care and HbA1c in patients with type 2 diabetes (\( r = -0.482, P < .01 \)).

### 4. Discussion

The nurse’s humanistic care ability should develop target strategies to improve the anticipated effect, quality of life, confidence, and patients’ emotion[22]. In this clinical trial, we found that nursing humanistic care increased quality of life, degree of satisfaction confident, and decreased complain compared to patients in the control group. Data of 12-month follow up demonstrated that humanistic care had benefits in improving the control of parameters of patients with type 2 diabetes.

Pharmaceutical care provided by a pharmacist to patients with type 2 diabetes increases compliance to treatment and improves glycemic control[23]. Evidences have showed that nursing care has measurable improvement in clinical indicators of diabetes management, higher rates of self-management goal setting, and increased satisfaction with diabetes care[24]. This study found that humanistic care increased the total mean scores for self-efficacy and self-care behavior, decreased inflammatory score of type 2 diabetes patients compared to that in the control group (Figs. 3 and 4). The positive humanistic care highlighted the value of multidisciplinary collaborative care for patients with type 2 diabetes, thereby supporting the effectiveness of humanistic care in managing chronic diseases[10]. Findings in this study observed that increased confident and decreased complain compared to patients in the control group, contributed to improvement of BP, HbA1c, FBG, and blood lipid (Table 3). This study supported that through the active application of humanistic care, the self-efficacy and self-care behavior could be significantly improved and thereafter the clinical outcomes for patients with type 2 diabetes (Fig. 4).

Clinically, it has a close relationship among good nursing management, good glycemic control, and well-improved clinical outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes[25]. In this study, data
found that humanistic care significantly improved the levels of FBG, HbA1c, BP, HDL, TG, TC, BMI, and the target HbA1c level for patients with type 2 diabetes, while the urine protein/creatinine had no improvement (Table 2). In relation to patients with type 2 diabetes, previous studies from clinical outcomes reported that non-nursing care encounter substantially greater difficulties in regulating their blood glucose compared to nursing care.\(^2^{[26]}\) Data from this study demonstrated that humanistic care markedly improved glucose metabolism and insulin resistance compared to patients in the control group (Figs. 2 and 3). With previous results, it was possible to conclude the feasibility of nursing care in the positive aspects for adherence to diabetes treatment and improvement of quality of life.\(^2^{[27]}\) This study further confirmed that blood lipid in the humanistic care group improved. In the current study, significantly lower SBP and DBP were observed in the humanistic care group during the intervention period, which might contribute to the improvement of inflammation and blood glucose level. However, this study found that daily humanistic care also generated benefits, both in medical aspects and nonmedical aspects for patients with type 2 diabetes. Notably, this study first found a positive correlation between humanistic care and glycemic control and a negative relationship was found between humanistic care and HbA1c in patients with type 2 diabetes (Table 2). A previous study showed that nursing diagnoses accuracy are related to the principles (healthy eating, physical exercise, and health education) of treatment for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.\(^2^{[28]}\) The study is consistent with previous findings which suggest that humanistic care improved the FBG and PP in type 2 diabetes patients. A higher proportion of patients had low-level of self-care practice and poor glycemic control,\(^2^{[29]}\) which highlights the need to improve patients’ awareness about the importance of humanistic care to maintain good glycemic control and prevent adverse outcomes associated with the disease. Based on the results of this study, humanistic care played an important role in the management of type 2 diabetes patients in improving clinical parameters and quality of life, which would be valuable to eliminate ambiguities and if accessible, complete the data for specific diabetes complication, patients’ compliance for follow-ups and type of pharmacological treatments. Importantly, by analyzing the condition of the patient, the proposed framework of integrating humanistic care into health care may further emphasize and strengthen the interrelatedness of medical perspectives. Similarly for patients with type 2 diabetes, as reported in previous research, health service providers should recognize the long-term health and social needs of clinical patients and address the disorder of glucose metabolism and resistance of insulin, to ensure access to needed services.\(^3^{[30]}\) In this study, we further analyzed the effect of humanistic care on glycemic control and HbA1c, as well as specified the patients considering for example their level of inflammation and biochemical data. In this sense, the application of humanistic care can be recommended as an efficient method in improving the level of blood glucose, which may contribute to the improvement of quality of life.

There were several limitations in this study. First, this study only performed 6-month follow up, and biochemical parameters were collected only at the end of investigation. A longer follow up should perform, and more data should conduct in different time points. Second, kinds of pharmaceutical care of patients with type 2 diabetes did not classify in this study. It would have been better to collect data in various pharmaceutical care groups for patients with type 2 diabetes. Finally, the current study only analyzed the effect of humanistic care on physiological parameters of diabetic patients. Other factors including administration of medications and clinical monitoring, and dietary and lifestyle changes need further investigate.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, data in the current study provide the information that humanistic care significantly improves the control of parameters of patients with type 2 diabetes. Humanistic care not only improves the overall clinical outcomes, but also enhances medication adherences, which contributes greatly to therapeutic effect of pharmaceutical care for patients with type 2 diabetes. These results provide clinical evidence that humanistic care has a positive role in type 2 diabetes therapy, which suggest that daily humanistic care may become an important clinical value in the management of type 2 diabetes.
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