Hepatitis B virus genetic variants: biological properties and clinical implications
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Hepatitis B virus (HBV) causes a chronic infection in 350 million people worldwide and greatly increases the risk of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. The majority of chronic HBV carriers live in Asia. HBV can be divided into eight genotypes with unique geographic distributions. Mutations accumulate during chronic infection or in response to external pressure. Because HBV is an RNA-DNA virus the emergence of drug resistance and vaccine escape mutants has become an important clinical and public health concern. Here, we provide an overview of the molecular biology of the HBV life cycle and an evaluation of the changing role of hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) at different stages of infection. The impact of viral genotypes and mutations/deletions in the precore, core promoter, preS, and S gene on the establishment of chronic infection, development of fulminant hepatitis and liver cancer is discussed. Because HBV is prone to mutations, the biological properties of drug-resistant and vaccine escape mutants are also explored.
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A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO THE MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF HBV

Genome, genes, and proteins

The hepatitis B virus (HBV), an enveloped DNA virus of the hepatotropic DNA virus family (hepadnaviridae), has the smallest (3.2 kb) genome among DNA viruses.1 Related viruses have been found in woodchucks, ground squirrels, and ducks, suggesting a long evolutionary history of this virus family. The partially double-stranded HBV genome is encased within the core particle, which is wrapped by an envelope consisting of host-derived lipids containing dispersed viral envelope proteins. HBV enters hepatocytes via an as yet unknown receptor. Following uncoating and disassembly of the core particle, the viral DNA is delivered to the nucleus and converted into covalently closed circular (ccc) DNA (Figure 1). In the nucleus the cccDNA serves as a template for viral RNA transcription. Four genes are arranged on the 3.2 kb circular genome in the following order: core, polymerase (P), envelope, and X. The P gene overlaps with the 3’ end of the core gene, the entire envelope gene, and the 5’ end of the X gene (Figure 2). The envelope and core genes have alternative in-frame translation initiation sites resulting in 7 proteins being expressed from the 4 genes. Initiation from the ATG codons in the precore region and core gene generates the precore and core proteins, respectively, with the L protein having an extra preS1 domain compared to the M protein and M having an extra preS2 domain compared to the S protein (Figure 2 and Table 1). Similarly, translation from the ATG codons in the precore region and core gene generates the precore and core proteins, with the former being the precursor to the hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg). Thus overlapping genes and alternative translation initiation sites partially overcome the constraints of a small genome.

Table 1 Alternative translation initiation generates multiple products from core and envelope genes

| Initiating AUG | Precore | Core | preS1 | preS2 | S |
|---------------|---------|------|-------|-------|---|
| Intermediate  | Precore/core | NA* | NA    | NA    | NA |
| Final product | HBeAg   | Core | L (preS1/preS2/S) | M (preS2/S) | S (S) |

*NA: not applicable.

Transcriptional regulation and mechanisms of protein expression

Eukaryotic gene expression is often controlled by the ribosomal scanning mechanism. The 40S ribosomes bind to the 5’ cap structure and scan down the mRNA to find the Kozak sequence-flanked AUG codon closest to the 5’ terminus leading to the assembly of the 80S ribosomes for protein translation. The ribosomes disengage from the mRNA after translation. Thus, a single protein encoded by the most favourable ORF starting from the 5’ end is translated from most mRNAs. HBV uses 4 promoters coupled with imprecise transcription initiation sites to generate 6 of its 7 proteins. These are the X promoter for the 0.7 kb RNA encoding Hepatitis B virus X (HBx), the L promoter for the 2.4 kb RNA encoding the L protein, the S promoter for the 2.1 kb RNA giving rise to the M and S proteins, and the core promoter for the 3.5 kb RNA for the precore/core and core proteins (Table 2). P protein expression is controlled at the translational level via leaky ribosomal scanning.

Because its 5’ end is located either upstream or downstream of the preS2 AUG codon, the 2.1 kb RNA expresses the M protein in addition to the S protein. Similarly, the core promoter generates 2 subsets of the 3.5 kb RNA, with the longer one (precore RNA) encoding the precore/core protein and the shorter one encoding the core protein (Table 2). The shorter one is referred to as pregenomic (pg) RNA because of its additional role as the precursor of viral DNA. The P protein, which...
does not have its own RNA, is translated from pgRNA through a combination of leaky ribosomal scanning and translational termination – reinitiation mechanisms. This makes the pgRNA the only bicistronic mRNA in HBV.

All of the HBV RNAs are transcribed by the host DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (pol II) from the same strand of cccDNA and consequently contain both the 5’ cap and 3’ polyA tail. They are coterminal at the 3’ end because they all use the same polyadenylation signal located at the 5’ end of the core gene. Therefore the 0.7 kb X transcript is entirely overlapped by the longer (2.1 kb, 2.4 kb, and 3.5 kb) transcripts (Figure 2). The X, S, L, and core promoters overlap with the P gene, preS1 region of the envelope gene, the P gene, and the X gene, respectively. The overlap between cis-acting elements and coding sequences further expands the capacity of a small genome. How such a highly sophisticated genome has evolved from a more primitive version is still unknown. The compactness of the hepadnavirus genomes may make some mutants less fit than the wild-type virus. This compactness also complicates functional characterization of naturally occurring mutations in the HBV genome because a mutation often simultaneously alters several functional elements and thus may have a pleiotropic effect.

Protein expression and genome replication
The HBV transcripts described above are transported to the cytoplasm for protein translation and genome replication. HBV genome replication requires 3 components: the core protein, P protein, and pgRNA. The core protein is capable of self-assembly, but co-packaging of the P protein and pgRNA is a prerequisite for genome replication. Selective packaging of the pgRNA, which consists of the complement of the complete genomic DNA rather than the 2.4 kb, 2.1 kb, or 0.7 kb subgenomic RNAs, is mediated by a hairpin structure at its 5’ end called the pregenome encapsidation (e) signal (Figure 3). Inside the core

| Promoter | Transcript | Protein(s) |
|----------|------------|------------|
| Core     | 3.5 kb     | Longer (pc): HBeAg; shorter (pg): core + P |
| L        | 2.4 kb     | L          |
| M/S      | 2.1 kb     | Longer: M; shorter: S |
| X        | 0.7 kb     | HBx        |

Figure 1 An overview of the HBV lifecycle. The enveloped DNA virus enters hepatocytes via an as yet unknown receptor, followed by disassembly of nucleocapsid. The partially double-stranded DNA genome is delivered to the nucleus and converted to cccDNA, which serves as the template for viral mRNA transcription. The mRNAs are transported to the cytoplasm for protein synthesis. The pgRNA is packaged together with the P protein into the nucleocapsid assembled from the core protein, followed by sequential synthesis of minus- and plus-strand DNA. The nucleocapsids are then enveloped and secreted as virions.

Figure 2 HBV genome, genes, and transcripts. The inner circles show the partially double-stranded DNA of 3.2 kb. Next are the 4 open reading frames (ORFs): P, preS1/preS2/S, X, and preC/C. Please note that the P ORF overlaps with the other 3. The wavy lines indicate transcripts of 0.7 kb-3.5 kb, which have different start sites (5’ end) but the same 3’ end.
particle the P protein converts the pgRNA into double-stranded DNA via a series of enzymatic reactions. The pgRNA serves as both the pregenome and the mRNA for the translation of core and P proteins and is the only transcript required for genome replication. Consequently, HBV replication capacity can be easily modulated by sequence changes in the core promoter that alter pgRNA levels.

**Secretion of virions and subviral particles**

Plus-strand DNA synthesis triggers the interaction of the core particle with envelope proteins anchored on the endoplasmic reticulum, leading to vesicle formation and release of the 42 nm virions. In addition to virions, HBV also secretes 22 nm lipid particles called subviral particles, which consist of S and M proteins and exceed virions by 1000 – 100 000 fold in number. They are detected serologically as hepatitis B surface antigen, or hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg). The S protein constitutes the bulk of the envelope proteins on virions and subviral particles. The expression of the S protein alone can lead to the secretion of subviral particles, suggesting that the S protein is the morphogenetic factor in particle formation. The L protein, present primarily on virions, inhibits subviral particle secretion in a dose-dependent manner. By simultaneously interacting with the S and core proteins, the L protein directs a fraction of the S protein towards virion formation. We found that a drastic reduction in the expression of all 3 envelope proteins or the S protein alone results in significantly reduced secretion of subviral particles but not virions. Therefore, HBV produces more envelope proteins, especially the S protein, than is needed for virion secretion. The overproduction of subviral particles most likely helps to overwhelm the immune system, facilitating persistent infection. Interestingly, although the M protein is thought to be dispensable for virion secretion, preventing M protein expression reduces virion secretion but increases virion genome maturity, or the extent of plus-strand DNA synthesis. Thus, the M protein enables core particle envelopment at an early time point during plus-strand DNA synthesis. The S domain of all 3 envelope proteins are modified by N-linked glycosylation, which is essential for the secretion of virions but not subviral particles.

**SELECTION FOR AND AGAINST HBEAG EXPRESSION AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF INFECTION**

**HBeAg biosynthesis**

HBeAg is derived from the precore/core protein (p25) by 2 proteolytic cleavage events. Of the 29 residues encoded by the precore region, the N-terminal 19 residues target the precore/core protein to the endoplasmic reticulum, where they are removed by the signal peptidase. The truncated protein (p22) enters the constitutive secretory pathway, where its highly basic C-terminal 29 residues are cleaved off by furin or a similar dibasic endopeptidase. This cleavage converts p22 into mature HBeAg (p17), which has a slightly longer N-terminus than the core protein but has a shortened C-terminus. HBeAg is no longer able to form particles but rather circulates as a soluble protein. HBV isolates worldwide can be grouped into at least 8 genotypes (A-H) based on >8% sequence divergence of the entire genome. Only genotype A secretes HBeAg of several sizes because a unique 2 amino acid insertion at the C-terminal cleavage site diminishes its usage in promotion of cleavage at downstream sites.

**Role of HBeAg expression on HBV survival is dependent on the stage of infection**

The ability to express the e antigen is conserved among all hepadnaviruses. It was thus surprising that nonsense or frameshift mutations introduced into the precore region to abolish e antigen expression had no detectable effect on duck hepatitis B virus (DHBV) replication or infectivity in vivo. However, these findings set the stage for the subsequent discovery of similar mutations in the precore region of HBV that prevent HBeAg expression. These include nonsense or frameshift mutations inside the precore region, as well as point mutations in the precore AUG codon. Importantly, it was soon realized that
patients carrying these mutated viruses were initially infected with the wild-type virus and that precore mutation arose de novo at a late stage of chronic HBV infection, when the host had developed anti-HBe antibodies. Subsequent studies on the woodchuck hepatitis virus (WHV) demonstrated that a mutant unable to produce the e antigen has reduced capacity to establish persistent infection in neonatal woodchucks.\(^2^0,^2^1\) Because of 2 nonsense mutations in the precore region, 1 specific HBV genotype (G) is always incapable of HBeAg expression. However, genotype G-infected patients are HBeAg positive at the early stage of infection because of coinfection with another HBV genotype.\(^2^2\) Genotype G gradually outgrows the other genotype as the patients seroconvert from being HBeAg-positive to anti-HBe. Thus, although virus survival during the anti-HBe stage does not require HBeAg expression, the establishment of de novo chronic infection does.

Why is HBeAg required for the establishment of chronic infection? As mentioned above, HBeAg can be considered as a secreted variant of the core protein, which is a strong immunogen and the major target of host immune clearance mechanisms. Studies in mice have suggested that the core protein induces type I T helper functions conducive to viral persistence.\(^2^3\) Moreover, HBeAg can induce immune tolerance or switch the immune response against the core protein from type I to type II.\(^2^4-^2^6\)

The e signal constrains the precore mutation abolishing HBeAg expression

The most common precore mutation abolishing HBeAg expression is G1896A, which converts the penultimate (28\(^{th}\)) precore codon from TGG to TAG. Two-thirds of the precore region toward its 3\(^{rd}\) end constitutes part of the e signal for pgRNA packaging, with a large portion of it being base-paired (Figure 3). The base-pairing requirement explains the limited type of nonsense mutations used by HBV to abolish HBeAg expression and the predominance of the G1896A mutation.\(^2^7\) As shown in Figure 3, the wobble G-U pairing between G1896 and U1858 in pgRNA is rendered more stable by the G1896A mutation as a result of the A-U pair formation. Because of the polymorphism at position 1858, the prevalence of the G1896A mutation was found to be genotype-dependent (Table 3). Therefore, genotype A with C1858 rarely circulates as an HBeAg-negative mutant because 1896A would disrupt the preexisting C-G pair and impair HBV genome replication.\(^2^8,^2^9\) When the G1896A mutation develops, a compensatory C1858T mutation has to occur to maintain base pairing. Research from Lok and others suggests that most isolates of genotype C1, as found in Hong Kong, Southern China, and Southeast Asia, also harbor C1858 and are refractory to the G1896A mutation.\(^3^0-^3^4\) Similarly, genotype F can be subdivided into those having C1858 or T1858, which determines the prevalence of G1896A mutation (Table 3).\(^1^1,^3^5,^3^6\)

**Core promoter mutations as a mechanism for simultaneously diminishing HBeAg expression and enhancing genome replication**

By careful analysis of HBV sequences from patients at the anti-HBe stage of infection, Okamoto and colleagues discovered the core promoter mutant.\(^3^7\) The most common mutations in the core promoter are A1762T and G1764A occurring in tandem. Transfection experiments showed that the double mutation reduced precore RNA transcription and hence HBeAg expression. In addition, it moderately increased genome replication through up regulation of pgRNA levels.\(^3^8-^4^0\) In addition to A1762T/G1764A, mutations can be detected at nearby positions such as 1753, 1757, 1766, and 1768. Site-directed mutagenesis experiments have suggested that the additional mutations at 1753, 1766, and 1788 further reduce HBeAg expression and enhance genome replication, with the A1762T/G1764A/C1766T triple mutant having greater than 10 fold higher replication capacity than the wild-type virus.\(^4^1\) The extent of changes in HBeAg expression and genome replication correlated with a reduction in precore RNA and an increase in pgRNA levels. Thus, core promoter mutations may cumulatively upregulate genome replication and downregulate HBeAg expression. The earlier emergence of 1762/1764 double-mutant compared to the less-common ones\(^4^2\) suggests a gradual increase of genome replication and reduction of HBeAg expression as the host immune clearance intensifies.

The reduced HBeAg expression and enhanced genome replication are not only the functional consequences of core promoter mutations, but they are also their likely driving forces. The emergence of core promoter mutations during the immune clearance phase of infection, as indicated by elevations in Alanine transaminase (ALT) levels, is consistent with a physiological significance of reducing HBeAg expression. If this is true, then HBV genotypes that are unable to develop the G1896A precore mutation should develop core promoter mutations more frequently. Consistent with this hypothesis, the A1762T/G1764A double-mutation was more prevalent in genotype C1 (with C1858) than C2 (with T1858).\(^3^1-^3^3\) Genotype A also had a higher prevalence of core promoter mutations than genotype D.\(^3^3,^4^4\) Moreover, because core promoter mutations enhance HBV genome replication, their acquisition may promote the survival of HBV isolates with low intrinsic replication capacity. It is well established that core promoter mutations in HBV develop more frequently in genotype C than genotype B isolates.\(^3^2,^4^5-^4^7\) Consistent with this observation, we found that the replication capacity of most genotype C isolates was lower than that of genotype B2 isolates.\(^4^8\)

**ENVIRONMENTAL AND VIRAL FACTORS FOR PREDISPOSITION TO CHRONIC HBV INFECTION**

HBV infection can be divided into acute and chronic phases. Severe clinical outcomes, such as liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, stem from chronic infection. Therefore, it is important to identify viral and environmental factors that predispose patients to chronic HBV infection.

**Mode of transmission**

HBV genotypes B and C prevail in the Far East (such as China, Japan, and Korea) with a south-to-north gradient. Genotype D is dominant in the Mediterranean region and along path of the Silk Road.\(^4^9\) Genotype A is widely distributed in Europe, North America, parts of Africa and Asia. Chronic HBV infection is common in Asia and Africa but is rare in Western countries. These trends are primarily due to the different modes of transmission prevalent in these countries. In Western countries, infection by genotypes A and D is often transmit-
ted during adulthood by sex, sharing of injection needles, or blood transfusion. Such transmissions lead to clinical symptoms of acute hepatitis followed by the resolution of infection in most individuals. Less than 10% of such acute infections progress to the chronic stage. In the Far East, the most common route of HBV infection is via perinatal transmission of genotypes B and C from HBeAg+ mothers during birth. Over 90% of such perinatal transmission cases develop initially asymptomatic but chronic infection lasting for several decades. A study from Japan suggests that genotype C is more likely to cause perinatal transmission than genotype B.50 In Africa, infection is acquired during early childhood, and the chronicity rate ranges from 82% in infants less than 6 months old to 15% in children between 2 and 3 years of age.51 Most of these carriers are able to clear the infection around 20 years of age. Therefore, the age of transmission inversely correlates with the duration of infection, which is most likely because the immature host immune system in children is less capable of clearing infection. Moreover, it is likely that HBeAg can induce immune tolerance much more easily in infants than in adults.

Viral dose
Persistent WHV and DHBV infection can be established in young animals but not older ones, thus confirming the critical role of age on infection outcome. However, the resistance of older animals to persistent infection can be partially overcome with a large viral dose.21,52 The dose of exposure is expected to be high during blood transfusion but low during other modes of transmission, such as sexual contact, mosquito bite, and injury sustained at the time of shaving at a barber’s shop. Virus transmission experiments in chimpanzees performed at the National Institutes of Health, USA suggest that as little as 3 copies of genotype C genomes can cause infection in 50% of chimpanzees (CID50) in contrast to 78 and 169 genomes for genotypes D and A, respectively.53 This suggests that it is possible to transmit HBV genotype C even with a very small viral dose, such as the amount of virus contained in a mosquito bite. Another study put the CID50 at 16-28 copies for genotype A and 35-46 for genotype C.54 A third study, based exclusively on genotype D, found that an infection rate of 100% of the hepatocytes and viral persistence could be achieved by either 1 genome or 1010 genomes. Surprisingly, exposure to intermediate doses (107 and 109 genomes) caused infection in only 0.1% of the hepatocytes followed by effective viral clearance.55 Therefore, it remains unclear whether the higher viral dose mimics blood transmission, whereas the lower dose mimics mosquito bites, sexual and intrafamilial transmission.

Viral genotype in chronicification of acute infection in adults
Genotypes B and C can also be transmitted in adults by sex, close family contact, injury sustained during visits to the barber’s shop, etc., leading to acute symptomatic infection. Studies from Japan suggest that only approximately 1% of such infections will become chronic in contrast to 5-10% chronicity rate associated with adulthood infection in Western countries. Moreover, the prevalence of genotype C2 was lower in acute hepatitis patients than in chronic carriers, indicative of its benign role in acute infection (which is likely under-reported) and increased role in chronicity, compared to genotype B1.56 Similarly, chronicification of acute adulthood infection was more common with genotype C2 than B2 in China with more prevalent sexual transmission of B2 but household transmission of C2.57 Recently, acute HBV infection in metropolitan areas of Japan has been increasingly attributed to the exotic genotype A, which is transmitted through promiscuous sex or homosexual behavior.58 Remarkably, as much as 20% of Japanese patients infected with genotype A became chronic carriers,59,60 thus confirming the role of the virus in determining the outcome of infection (Table 4). Through lifestyle changes coupled with universal vaccination of newborns, which provides protection to the younger generation, genotype A may increasingly become a source of chronic infection among adults in Asia. Studies from Europe found that most chronic active hepatitis patients were infected with genotype A, and most cases of acute resolving hepatitis were associated with genotype D.61,62 These observations suggest a higher tendency of genotype A to induce chronic infection than genotype D (Table 4). In contrast to sexual transmission, which is the preferred route for genotype A, genotype D is primarily transmitted by blood transfusion and transplantation.63

Viral genotype in prolongation of chronic infection
Chronic HBV infection associated with perinatal transmission consists of the immune tolerance phase, immune active phase, and inactive phase. During the immune active phase, the cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) response begins to destroy a fraction of HBV-infected hepatocytes leading to reduced viral load, liver damage (hepatitis) and regeneration. Because the CTL response associated with chronic HBV infection is weak and inefficient, the cycle of hepatocyte destruction, regeneration, and reinfection by HBV continues for decades before the replicating virus is finally eliminated from the liver and bloodstream. The loss of HBeAg followed by the subsequent rise of anti-HBe antibodies (HBeAg seroconversion) marks the turning point in the battle between the virus and the host because it is often accompanied by a marked drop in viremia and reduced long-term risks such as liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Importantly, patients infected with genotype C seroconvert from HBeAg to anti-HBe about a decade later than genotype B patients,64-66 suggesting a protracted immune clearance phase and more liver damage. Among Alaskan natives infected with genotypes A, B, C, D, and F, genotype C patients also seroconvert at a much older age.67

VIRAL FACTORS CAUSING PREDISPOSITION TO FULMINANT HEPATITIS
Precore and core promoter mutations
Acute HBV infection sometimes leads to fulminant hepatitis. Because the wild-type virus is non-cytopathic, fulminant hepatitis most likely stems from robust HBV replication and/or antigen expression in a large percentage of hepatocytes, in conjunction with an equally strong and rapid CTL response. The consequences are massive hepatocyte destruction, liver failure, and high mortality rate. To a large extent, fulminant hepatitis is the opposite of immune tolerance induced during perinatal transmission, which triggers viral persistence but minimal liver disease (Table 5). Thus, while the wild-type virus is selected during perinatal transmission, certain mutations are associated with fulminant hepatitis. First, HBV isolates implicated in fulminant hep-

Table 4 Comparison of clinical features among different HBV genotypes

| Feature                                      | A    | B    | C    | D    |
|----------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Acute infection: chronicity rate             | A>B  | C>B  | C>B  | A>C>B|
| Acute infection: rate of fulminant hepatitis | A>D  | B>C  | B1>C2| A>C  |
| Length of HBeAg+ phase of infection         | A>D  | C>B  | (by 10 yrs) | C>A, B, D, F|
| Emergence of HBeAg-negative mutation        | D>A  | A>D  | C>B  | |
| Emergence of core promoter mutations        | A>D  | A>D  | C>B  | |
| Response to interferon therapy              | A>D  | B>C  | A>D  | |
| Age of HCC development                      | B2   | C2   | <B2  | F>D  |
| Lifelong HCC risk                           | C2>B2| F>D  | C2>B2| F>D  |
HBV genetic variants
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Table 5 Contrasting features between induction of chronic infection and induction of fulminant hepatitis

| Chronic infection | Fulminant hepatitis |
|------------------|--------------------|
| Often occurs in  | Infants            | Adults          |
| Mutations involved | None               | Precore; core promoter |
| Genotype involved | C>B; A>D; A>C>B     | B>C; D>A        |
| HBeAg expression | High               | High (negative (precore mutation) |
| Replication capacity | Low (wild-type virus) | High (core promoter mutations) |

atistics often harbor the G1896A mutation to prevent HBeAg expression.68-71 Loss of immune tolerance induction by HBeAg could strengthen the CTL response against the related core protein. Second, core promoter mutations including the A1762T and G1764A hot spot mutations and those at positions 1753, 1757, 1766, 1768 have also been linked to fulminant hepatitis.72-74 As mentioned above, the presence of multiple core promoter mutations can dramatically enhance genome replication,75 which simultaneously increases core protein expression, triggering a strong CTL response. The most compelling evidence for viral factors leading in fulminant hepatitis came from characterization of isolates responsible for disease outbreak (clustered cases). Liang and colleagues identified a genotype D isolate responsible for an outbreak of fulminant hepatitis in Israel with 100% mortality of the 5 acutely infected individuals.76 This isolate contained G1896A precore mutation to abolish HBeAg expression and had an extremely high replication capacity in transfected cells because of the C1766T/T1768A double-mutation in the core promoter.74,77 In another study, five strains of genotype A were implicated in nosocomial HBV infections in France.78 The strain implicated in three cases of fatal sub-fulminant hepatitis harbored core promoter mutations, G1896A precore mutation (accompanied by the C1858T covariation), and several amino acid changes in the immune epitopes of the core protein. None of these mutations were found in the other four strains implicated in acute mild liver diseases. In Japan, a B2 isolate was implicated in five cases of fulminant hepatitis (four being fatal), apparently transmitted through contact with the same physician. The isolate contained G1896A and A1762T/G1764A mutations, as well as missense mutations in the core gene.79,80 Finally, an outbreak of acute hepatitis in India was traced to therapeutic injections from the same physician.81 Although multiple HBV isolates were involved in this outbreak, all the fatal fulminant hepatitis cases were caused by genotype D1 containing both precore and core promoter mutations. In contrast, most patients with a self-limiting disease were infected with genotype D2, and most such isolates harbored a wild-type precore and core promoter sequence.

HBV genotype
Consistent with the much higher prevalence of G1896A mutation in genotype D than in A, genotype D is more likely to cause fulminant hepatitis than A.73,82 In Japan genotype B1 was found to pose a greater risk for fulminant hepatitis than genotype C2.56,83 Similarly, in China genotype B2 has a stronger association with acute-on-chronic liver failure than genotype C.84 Overall, HBV genotypes that are prone to chronicification of acute infection are less involved in fulminant hepatitis, thus highlighting the importance of immune tolerance for the establishment of chronic infection but immune attack for the induction of fulminant hepatitis (Table 5).

Viral load
Although most patients are HBeAg negative and show low viremia at the time of HCC detection, a prolonged HBeAg+ phase and high levels of HBV replication combined with liver injury (ALT elevation) are responsible for HCC formation. A comparison of HBeAg+ with HBeAg- patients in Taiwan showed a more than 3 fold higher incidence of HCC in the former group during the 9-year follow up.86 Prospective studies from Japan, China, and Taiwan also confirmed the role of a high viral load as a risk factor for HCC development.87-89 Therefore, a reduction of viral load by antiviral therapy is predicted to reduce the HCC risk.

Viral genotype
HBV genotypes A, B, C, D, and F are known to cause HCC. A1 is the major genotype in sub-Saharan Africa and responsible for the majority of HCC cases detected there.90 Genotype D is more prevalent than genotype A (A1) in India, but both have been implicated in HCC development.91-93 Among Alaskan natives, genotypes F and D account for 18% and 58% of HBV infections, respectively, but 68% and 11% of HCC cases, respectively, suggesting a considerably higher oncogenicity of genotype F (Table 4).97 Intriguingly, among these Alaskan natives, the median age of HCC diagnosis was only 22.5 years for genotype F infection compared to 60 years for infection with genotypes D/A/C. The most exhaustive comparison has been performed between genotypes B and C in the Far East, where HBV-related HCC is most common. In Taiwan, genotype C was associated with liver cirrhosis and late-onset HCC, whereas genotype B was most likely responsible for the majority of HCC cases detected before 35 years, often without cirrhosis.96,98,99 Studies from Japan confirmed an increased severity of liver disease associated with genotype C, but these studies reported 10 to 15 years of delay in HCC development in genotype B infections.100,101 Interestingly, the core gene of the B2 subtype found in Taiwan, Hong Kong and China, but not of the B1 subtype circulating in Japan, is similar to that of genotype C. This is possibly due to a prior recombination event.101 According to most studies from Hong Kong, China, and Taiwan, the lifelong risk for HCC development is higher for genotype C than genotype B (Table 4).

Core promoter mutations
In addition to their involvement in fulminant hepatitis, core promoter mutations are also implicated in HCC development.102-104 This association has been established for genotypes A, B, C, and D but not F.96,97 However, core promoter (and other) mutations accumulate over time, with their highest prevalence being detected at an old age, which is when most HCC cases are detected. The cross-sectional nature of most studies raises the question of whether the mutations trigger hepatocarcinogenesis or whether they have a selective advantage in cancerous liver tissue, but studies on age-matched controls suggest that the correlation is significant. More importantly, long-term large-scale
prospective studies have confirmed the predictive value of core promoter mutations for HCC.\textsuperscript{110,111} Genotype C, especially the C1 subtype prevalent in Hong Kong, has a much higher incidence of the 1762/1764 double-mutation than genotype B (31, 32, 45, 46, 108, 112). Because most control genotype C patients have also acquired these mutations, the association of core promoter mutations with HCC is sometimes absent for genotype C in cross-sectional studies.\textsuperscript{42,102} Therefore the association of genotype C1 with HCC development could be secondary to core promoter mutations.\textsuperscript{102,111} However, genotype C2 remains an independent risk factor for HCC development.\textsuperscript{90,104,113}

**X gene mutations**

In addition to the common 1762/1764 double-mutation, mutations at positions 1753, 1766, and 1768 in the core promoter and 1653 in enhancer II have also been linked to HCC.\textsuperscript{42,113-117} For genotype C the C1766T and T1768A mutations also contribute to liver cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{118} Because mutations at 1753, 1766, and 1768 have also been implicated in fulminant hepatitis and increase genome replication,\textsuperscript{75,77} they could promote cirrhosis/HCC through increased core protein expression, which increases hepatocyte turnover in conjunction with CTL responses. In addition, the core promoter overlaps with the X gene, and the T1753C, A1762T, G1764A, and T1768A mutations induce H127T, K130M, V131I, and F132Y changes near the C-terminus of the HBx protein. Previous studies have shown that wild-type HBx has inhibitory effects on cell proliferation and transformation. These effects were abrogated by C-terminal truncation of the HBx protein, which resulted from HBV DNA integration into HCC tissues.\textsuperscript{119-121} The amino acid substitutions associated with core promoter mutations also abolished the inhibitory effect.\textsuperscript{42} Wild-type HBx increased promoter activity for the tumor suppressor p21, which was blocked by the K130M mutation in HBx.\textsuperscript{122} Our recent study confirmed that the mutant HBx that is generated by core promoter mutations downregulates p21 protein levels.\textsuperscript{123}

**Deletions in the preS region of the envelope gene**

Deletions at the 3’ end of the preS1 region and especially the S’ end of the preS2 region have been implicated in HCC formation.\textsuperscript{106,124,125} These deletions are usually in-frame and generate a shortened L protein lacking the C-terminal preS1 domain and/or the N-terminal preS2 domain. These deletions often remove B-cell or T-cell epitopes in the L protein, thereby suggesting a mechanism of viral immune escape. M protein expression is often lost by the deletion of one or additional point mutations in the preS2 AUG codon. PreS deletion-mutants can also be detected in chronic hepatitis patients, and their prevalence increases as the liver disease progresses to cirrhosis and HCC.\textsuperscript{118,126-128} So far, preS deletions have been detected mostly in genotypes B and C, with most studies suggesting a higher prevalence in genotype C.\textsuperscript{118,125,127,128} Although the cross-sectional nature of most studies cannot prove a cause and effect relationship, the high prevalence of such deletions in cases of childhood HCC supports their causative role.\textsuperscript{129,130} Moreover, a longitudinal study confirmed the predictive value of preS deletions for HCC development.\textsuperscript{118}

Substantial progress has been made in elucidating the mechanisms by which deletions in the L protein potentially contribute to hepatocarcinogenesis. Deletions in the preS1 and preS2 regions have been linked to different types of ground glass hepatocytes, with the type associated with preS2 deletion being clustered (most likely because of proliferation of the hepatocyte harboring the deletion).\textsuperscript{131} Transfection experiments with mutant L protein constructs suggest that both types of deletion trigger endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, resulting in oxidative stress and DNA damage.\textsuperscript{131,132} Moreover, the L protein of the specific preS2 deletion-mutant studied caused the degradation of cyclin-dependent kinase p27, phosphorylation of retinoblastoma protein, cell cycle progression, and increased cell growth and transformation.\textsuperscript{133,134} Transgenic mice expressing this preS2 deletion-mutant of L protein showed nodular liver surface and hepatocyte dysplasia.\textsuperscript{134}

**Vaccine escape mutants**

Vaccination with a genetically engineered S protein is very effective at preventing de novo HBV infection if administered prior to exposure. However, in HBV-endemic areas, the vertical route of HBV transmission poses a special challenge. In such cases, the administration of hepatitis B immunoglobulin (HBIG) immediately after birth is...
required to neutralize infectious virions coming from maternal blood. Subsequent vaccination guarantees a stable supply of neutralizing antibodies. Despite the combination of HBIG with vaccination, approximately 5% of perinatal transmissions cannot be blocked, especially for genotype C. The reasons for breakthrough infection include high maternal viral load, intratineer infection, and vaccine escape mutants, first recognized by Carman and colleagues.

The same mutants are also responsible for HBV reinfection of newly escape mutants, first recognized by Carman and colleagues. The secretion of the G145R mutant, and to a lesser extent, of several other mutants, remains uncertain. In Taiwan the prevalence of immune escape mutants increased from 7.8% to 22.6% at 20 years after universal vaccination, but the HBV infection rate among children has declined from 9.6% to 0.5%. Before, Transfection experiments suggested that the G145R and many other immune escape mutants are impaired in virion secretion to various extents, suggesting that the mutants are less fit than the wild-type virus. Nevertheless, an M133T mutation conferring a novel N-linked glycosylation site could efficiently rescue virion secretion of the G145R mutant, and to a lesser extent, of several other immune escape mutants. Experiments in the hepatitis virus, which employs HBV envelope proteins for release from and entry into hepatocytes, suggest that many immune escape mutants are impaired in infectivity. However, the G145R mutant was found to be as infectious as the wild-type virus. Therefore, the G145R mutant accompanied by a compensatory mutation to restore virion secretion might pose a serious threat to the vaccination program.

OcclHBV infection

Immune escape mutants can cause occult infection, which is defined by the presence of HBV DNA in the liver or blood despite a lack of detectable HBsAg. Such occult infections can transmit HBV through blood transfusion and have also been linked to HCC. Because the ratio of subviral particles (HBsAg) to virions (HBV DNA) is approximately 1000 to 100,000, most occult HBV infections represent low level viremia (with HBV DNA detectable only by sensitive PCR detection methods). Mutations in the "a" determinant render the low level of HBsAg undetectable. Occult HBV infection could represent the window period of HBsAg serocconversion, when HBsAg has disappeared (or is in complex with the corresponding antibody) and the HBV DNA level is very low. Alternatively, it could be associated with HBV/HCV (hepatitis C virus) coinfection or HBV/HIV coinfection. With the successful therapeutic control of conventional HBV infection more attention is likely to be paid to occult infection in the future.
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