The Role of Dynamic ctDNA Monitoring During Combination Therapies of BRAF V600E-Mutated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Case Report

Zhan Wang1,2,*, Chen-Yang Ye1,2,*, Wen-Li Zhou1, Miao-Miao Wang1, Wei-Ping Dai1, Jingjing Zheng1, Yuan-Sheng Zang1

1Department of Medical Oncology, Changzheng Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai 200003, People’s Republic of China; 2Burning Rock Biotech, Guangzhou, People’s Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Background: BRAF V600E mutation represents a group of colorectal carcinoma with poor prognosis. Although treatment strategies have been recommended after clinical investigations, the progression-free survival is short and unsatisfying.

Case Presentation: Here, we present the case of a 28-year-old male diagnosed with ascending colon adenocarcinoma with multiple liver metastases. Treatment with FOLFIRI plus cetuximab and vemurafenib achieved partial response, following which the patient received conversion surgery with clear resection margin. After disease recurrence, he received combination treatment of nivolumab and regorafenib. Until August 2020, the patient achieved a partial response with more than 12 months progression-free survival. Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) was monitored during the patient’s treatment. His ctDNA fractions exhibited significant elevation two months before disease progression. As a comparison, the tumor markers were not elevated until the patient was confirmed PD through CT imaging.

Conclusion: This case exemplifies how liquid biopsy and ctDNA sequencing can aid in real-time molecular characterization of tumors.
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Case Presentation

A 28-year-old man was examined for suffering abdominal pain for 6 months, otherwise he was fit and well, with no significant comorbid medical history. He was diagnosed with ascending colon adenocarcinoma in October 2017 with multiple metastatic lesions in the liver. Lung lesion was noted through chest computed tomography (CT) and was confirmed to be a colorectal liver metastasis (CRLM). Further testing identified a BRAF V600E mutation and microsatellite stable (MSS) in his tumor, via next-generation sequencing (NGS). He received first line FOLFOXIRI plus bevacizumab treatment (Irinotecan 270mg d1 + oxaliplatin 150mg d2 + CF 0.74g ivgtt d1 +5-FU 0.74g iv d1, 2.2g iv 48h, q2w, bevacizumab 400mg/cycle) from December 2017. The best response was partial response (PR) with tumor remission in both of his liver and lung lesions. He was found to have disease progression in May 2018 with increased liver metastatic lesion size. He went to our hospital for further treatment. Considering the BRAF V600E mutation, FOLFIRI plus cetuximab and vemurafenib (FIVC, NCT03727763) (irinotecan 320mg ivgtt d1 +CF 0.75g ivgtt d1 + 5-Fu 0.75g iv d1, 4.25g iv 46h + cetuximab 900mg ivgtt d1 q2w + vemurafenib
960mg 2/day) was used as second-line treatment. Efficacy of treatment was evaluated every 3-months through CT imaging. Tumor markers in blood were examined every month. After 5 cycles of treatment, liver lesions were significantly decreased and radiograph revealed PR (Figure 1). CEA measurement decreased from 100ng/mL to 30ng/mL (Figure 2). He underwent conversion surgery with colectomy, hepatectomy and cholecystectomy in September 2018. Genomic sequencing using the patient’s tumor tissue sample and blood sample confirmed the BRAF V600E mutation as one major oncogenic molecular alteration in his tumor. Blood ctDNA detection was planned to monitor the patient’s molecular disease status after a 3-month interval.

The patient subsequently received adjuvant therapy with FIVC for 7 cycles from November 2018 to February 2019. Two cycles of maintenance therapy were conducted with capecitabine (1.5g bid d1-14) and bevacizumab (500mg d1 q3w) in May 2019 (Figure 1). However, CT scanning revealed an abdominal disease progression in liver in late June (Figure 3). According to his ctDNA results in April, BRAF V600E was the main tumor genomic alteration detected. Therefore, FIVC treatment was used again for two cycles, unfortunately, no clinical improvement was observed. His disease continued slow progression with increased liver lesion. Tumor genetic testing using NGS-based ctDNA sequencing also revealed increased mutation fraction in blood samples. The patient was subsequently treated with nivolumab (200mg ivgtt d1 q2w) plus regorafenib (80mg qd) from August 2019 [NCT04110093]. Until late August 2020, the patient’s disease evaluation was PR with more than 12-month PFS (Figure 3). The patient gave written informed consent for publication of his case. The case details were approved for publication by the ethical committee of Changzheng Hospital.

Discussion and Conclusions
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most frequent cancers worldwide.1 The BRAF V600E mutation is a known oncogenic driver and is present in approximately 10% of CRC.2 The V600E mutation in BRAF leads to activation of the MAPK signaling pathway, contributing to the progression of CRC. Such mutation defines a specific subgroup of CRC with poor prognosis3,4 Current NCCN guideline recommended combination treatment of
encorafenib, cetuximab/panitumumab in second-line setting of BRAF V600E positive mCRC, while the BRAF inhibitor is not available in China right now. Other treatment strategies are under investigation, such as the FIVC strategy. Although these combinations achieved tumor responses, as far as we know, there was no conversion surgery reported following such treatment. The present case showed complete success for a R0 resection following the targeted combination therapy.

Molecular changes identified through ctDNA have emerged as promising biomarkers of disease status especially for metastatic cancer.5–7 Previous reports have shown that ctDNA derived from plasma cell-free DNA carries genetic information from tumor genome that can indicate one’s disease status at certain circumstances.8,9 In melanoma, several studies have shown that using ctDNA is capable of monitoring treatment response and identifying new resistance mechanisms in patients with BRAF mutant tumors.8,10–15

In parallel to the CT scanning, the patient was monitored for disease status by NGS-based ctDNA sequencing. Mutations with clinical interpretations including BRAF V600E, TP53 V73 frameshift and PIK3CA H450_P458 deletion were called at baseline prior to the initiating chemotherapy in his NGS report. Other genomic alterations emerged as tumor evolution, such as MTOR T1977R. Dynamic changes of the mutation fractions in the plasma samples were shown in Figure 4. His ctDNA fractions significantly increased at April 2019, which provided an early indication of disease progression. On the other side, the tumor markers (CEA and CA19-9) were not elevated until June 2019 (Figure 2), when the patient was confirmed PD through CT imaging. Therefore, this case highlights the role of ctDNA monitoring in advanced CRC. Targeting tumor-related mutations could inform treatment response as well as the disease status ahead of time. Studies have shown that ctDNA can be reliably detected in early stages CRC patients.16,17 That means low levels of ctDNA fractions are technically detectable, thus, plasma ctDNA may be useful in identifying new developing lesions, and providing potential treatment options.

Advanced CRC with BRAF V600E mutation is associated with poor prognosis. Aggressive combination therapy is required to improve the survival rate.18 Several treatment strategies have been approved or under investigation for BRAF V600E positive mCRC. Current NCCN guideline recommended encorafenib plus cetuximab/panitumumab in second-line setting treatment of BRAF V600E

**Figure 3** CT images of the patient before (A) and after (B and C) the regorafenib and nivolumab combination treatment.
positive mCRC. Moreover, combination therapy including BRAF, EGFR and MEK inhibitors was reported with 41% ORR in mCRC patients with BRAF V600E mutations in the BEACON trial (NCT02928224, Phase 3 study in patients with BRAFV600E mCRC whose disease has progressed after 1 or 2 prior regimens in the metastatic setting). However, some of these drugs have not been approved in China. The SWOG 1406 study evaluated vemurafenib in combination with cetuximab and irinotecan in patient with BRAF V600E mutant mCRC after 1 or 2 prior regimens with no prior anti-EGFR agents. The addition of vemurafenib achieved higher PFS (4.4 mo vs 2 mo) and disease control rates (67%). Such combination therapy could be a feasible treatment for Chinese mCRC patients with BRAF mutations. Previously we reported a patient with BRAF V600E positive colon adenocarcinoma who showed complete tumor recession under treatment of irinotecan, cetuximab, vemurafenib and 5-Fu. A clinical trial is ongoing investigating the efficacy of FIVC strategy in advanced CRC patients with BRAF V600E mutation. The patient in the present case was enrolled in the trial (FIVC, NCT03727763), since he is a young man in good physical condition.

REGONIVO is a study investigating the safety and efficacy of regorafenib plus nivolumab in previously treated patients with advanced gastric cancer or CRC. Seven of 25 CRC patients showed objective response. The overall disease control rate was 88%, with a median 6.3 months PFS. No CRC patients with BRAF mutation were reported in REGONIVO study. The same clinical trial is ongoing in our hospital [NCT04110093] investigating the efficacy and safety of the combination of regorafenib and nivolumab as third-line or later-line therapy in patients with MSS mCRC. Patient in the present case has received REGONIVO treatment for more than 12 months by late August 2020 with remission of his liver lesion. His best response was PR. He is still on-treatment.

In summary, we report treatment of a mCRC patient with genomic BRAF V600E alteration. The patient achieved R0 resection after several cycles of combination treatment of vemurafenib, cetuximab, 5-fluorouracil and irinotecan. Sequencing of ctDNA for tumor-related mutations during treatment could indicate disease status and provide useful information for further treatment. Interestingly, he achieved PR in the subsequent treatment of REGONIVO with more than 12-month PFS.
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