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**A B S T R A C T**

Coastal habitats provide many important ecosystem services. The substantial role of shellfish in delivering ecosystem services is increasingly recognised, usually with a focus on cultured species, but wild-harvested bivalve species have largely been ignored. This study aimed to collate evidence and data to demonstrate the substantial role played by Europe’s main wild-harvested bivalve species, the common cockle *Cerastoderma edule*, and to assess the ecosystem services that cockles provide. Data and information are synthesised from five countries along the Atlantic European coast with a long history of cockle fisheries. The cockle helps to modify habitat and support biodiversity, and plays a key role in the supporting services on which many of the other services depend. As well as providing food for people, cockles remove nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon from the marine environment, and have a strong cultural influence in these countries along the Atlantic coast. Preliminary economic valuation of some of these services in a European context is provided, and key knowledge gaps identified. It is concluded that the cockle has the potential to become (i) an important focus of conservation and improved sustainable management practices in coastal areas and communities, and (ii) a suitable model species to study the integration of cultural ecosystem services within the broader application of ‘ecosystem services’.

1. Introduction

The coast is a major focus of human commerce, settlement and recreation globally. Coastal habitats provide many important ecosystem services including sea defence, carbon storage, nutrient regulation, and recreation (Barbier et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2011; Beaumont et al., 2014; van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018). Coastal biodiversity plays an important role in the provision of ecosystem services, together with the natural processes of sediment transport and deposition (Mermillod-Blondin, 2011). As one component of this coastal biodiversity, the importance of shellfish for ecosystem function has long been known to marine biologists but the substantial role that shellfish play in delivering ecosystem services is increasingly recognised by other research communities (Smaal et al., 2019).

In popular perception, the most prominent ecosystem service provided by bivalve shellfish is food production, with the largest share of global production in Asia (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018). However, studies are now quantifying many other equally, or more,
important ecosystem services provided by shellfish. These include non-food provisioning services such as use of shell for ornaments, poultry grit and in construction (Kelley, 2009; Morris et al., 2018; van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018). Regulating services include removal of nutrients from coastal waters, mitigating disease, and increasing seabed roughness, and modifying sediment erodibility. In some areas, the potential for the removal of nitrogen and phosphorus from eutrophic coastal waters has been turned into a transacted ecosystem service through various forms of Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes. In the Baltic Sea, blue mussels (Mytilus edulis) have been used to remove nutrients as an alternative nature-based solution to upgrading a tertiary sewage plant (Petersen et al., 2014), while in Chesapeake Bay in the USA, restored Eastern (American) oyster Crassostrea virginica reefs in coastal waters are used to remove nutrients of agricultural origin draining from inland catchments (Rose et al., 2014). Cultural services are also provided by shellfish, with many examples of imagery and references to shells in cultures throughout the world (Duncan and Ghys, 2019; van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018). However, cultural services or ‘non-material benefits’ (Díaz et al., 2015) remain a particular challenge to quantify and assess (Chan et al., 2012), and research on cultural services remains a tiny fraction of that undertaken for the other ecosystem services (Fish et al., 2016; García Rodrígues et al., 2017).

Key to providing these services are the underpinning natural functions performed by shellfish. Shellfish play a vital role as an ecosystem engineer, controlling or influencing processes such as bioturbation and water filtration which underpin marine food webs and biodiversity, and which drive biogeochemical cycling, and modify sediment erodibility. Shellfish also provide structural habitat which supports a wide range of other species. Although well known in the traditional ecological literature, the role of these supporting functions is rarely assessed within an ecosystem services framework, and so far the majority of the work in this area has been conducted on only a single shellfish species, the Eastern oyster in the USA (Petersen, 2003).

Recent studies have assessed (Clements and Comeau, 2019; Coen et al., 2007; Gentry et al., 2019; Grabowski and Peterson, 2007) and valued (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018) the benefits of shellfish ecosystem services at a range of scales. They show that some of the non-market values are potentially worth at least 50% in addition to the global production value, and recognise that the true non-market values are likely to be much higher but are not easily quantified. However, these studies have focused almost exclusively on cultivated shellfish species for example Pacific oysters Crassostrea gigas in the UK (Herbert et al., 2012) and blue mussels in Sweden (Lindahl et al., 2005). The role of wild-harvested species such as the common cockle Cerastoderma edule have largely been ignored. The ways in which non-cultured species contribute to ecosystem services can be similar to those of cultured species, for example in nitrogen and phosphorus removal, but differ in other important ways. Cockles are an infaunal species and do not form biogenic reefs in the same way that epifaunal species like oysters and mussels do, therefore the structural role they play in habitat modification differs considerably from those species. Cockles are also a natural resource that is harvested rather than farmed or cultivated from spat (juveniles) (Pronker et al., 2013). Thus, the amount of human-derived capital required to access the services (Jones et al., 2016) is typically lower for wild shellfish than for cultured species, i.e. the relative contribution of natural capital is higher. In addition, harvesting methods for wild shellfish such as cockles often retain older traditions which have been lost in the more advanced production methods of cultured species, increasing the connections to cockle harvesting among local communities.

The common cockle is one of the main non-cultured bivalve species harvested in western European waters. The species is widely distributed in the Atlantic, extending from northern Europe (Norway, Russia) to the coasts of West Africa (Senegal) (Hayward and Ryland, 1995), making them a useful model species for this study. Cockles are one of the most abundant mollusc species in European bays and estuaries where population densities of 10,000 per m² have been recorded (Tyler-Walters, 2007). Animals mature when reaching ca. 20 mm shell length, have a 1–2 year generation time, and live up to 10 years in some habitats but more commonly to 2–6 years (Malham et al., 2012).

Therefore, in this paper we conduct an assessment of the ecosystem services of the common cockle Cerastoderma edule (hereafter ‘cockle’ or C. edule as appropriate), a non-cultured shellfish species. The aim of the study was to collate evidence and data, and conduct a preliminary valuation analysis, to demonstrate the substantial role played by the common cockle, and provide this information in such a way to allow others to build on this in further ecosystem service assessments. We synthesise data and information from throughout the geographical range of the species and in particular from five countries along the Atlantic European coast with a long history of cockle fisheries: Portugal, Spain, France, Ireland, and the United Kingdom (Wales). We first discuss the cockle as an ecosystem engineer, and its role in the supporting services on which many of the other services depend. Data on provisioning, regulating and cultural services are then collated and quantified as far as possible. The data synthesis underpins a valuation of some of these services in a European context. The paper concludes with a discussion of key knowledge gaps.

2. Material and methods

The study was conducted through a series of workshops and virtual meetings with participants from the five countries. Participants were natural scientists, economists, NGOs, and representatives of regulatory bodies and cockle fisheries. These meetings were part of the EU’s Interreg Atlantic Area Programme, under the project ‘Co-operation for restoring cockle shellfisheries and its ecosystem services in the Atlantic Area’ (COCKLES, EAPA 458/2016), co-funded through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). Through these meetings and subsequent work we synthesised primary and published data that quantify the supporting, provisioning, regulating and cultural services, to allow upscaled and valuation of the services provided. The aim of this exercise was not to undertake a systematic review focused on a single topic. That would be both inappropriate and unfeasible for such a wide-ranging study. Neither was the aim to create an exhaustive literature review of the biology and ecological functions associated with the common cockle. Instead the aim was to summarise key evidence which describes the ecosystem services provided by cockles, in discussion with experts from multiple disciplines among five European countries. Evidence was collated from the scientific literature from databases including web of knowledge and Google Scholar, and from grey literature. Search terms included different scientific and vernacular names for cockle and synonyms for the functions and services they perform. From the studies identified through literature searches we selected those which allowed quantification of the function, giving greater emphasis to review studies and to field studies over laboratory studies. For cultural services, evidence was primarily derived in workshop settings and in follow-up activities with in-country teams. Numerous examples of cultural ecosystem services were collated, but it was difficult to quantify these and they were not valued due to recognised challenges in quantifying these services. The Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES v5.1) provides the structural basis for the quantification and analysis of final ecosystem services in this study (Haines-Young and Potschin, 2018). Final services are components of nature, directly enjoyed, consumed, or used to yield human well-being (Boyd and Banzhaf, 2007), as distinct from intermediate services which are broadly equivalent to the ecological functions or processes which underpin the final services. We supplement the CICES descriptions with synonymous descriptions to aid understanding where necessary, especially for supporting services which are not featured in CICES.

Valuation followed methods in van der Schatte Olivier et al. (2018). Data on meat yield were obtained from the Solway cockle fishery (18%,
Scottish Government, 2015). The dry weight of meat was calculated using a drying factor of 8.7 (Ricciardi and Bourget, 1998) and the shell weight calculated using a condition index formula (Brock and Wolowicz, 1994) where shell weight = [mean dry weight x 100]/6.7. Tonnages of C. edule harvested were obtained from FAO data (http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-capture-production/query/en). Comparable harvest data were not available for Norway or The Netherlands; mechanical dredge harvesting of cockles in The Netherlands is currently suspended. Economic values were estimated for those services that are easily quantified: cockle meat, nutrient (N and P) removal in tissue and shell (using average valuations taken from studies comparing the cost of point source removal of one tonne of nitrogen, the value of cockle shell waste as aggregate. All economic values are expressed as US dollars (USD/US$, 2017 values). Economic values were adjusted to account for inflation to 2017 and converted to USD using purchasing power parities (PPPs) (Hamadheh et al., 2017). The value of cockle meat was calculated by taking values from Marine Management Organisation (2017) for landed cockles, these were converted to US$ using and the meat yield data, calculated the value of cockle meat at an average of $3583 (range: $2827-4303) per tonne. The value of nitrogen removal were the mean values for point source removal of one tonne of nitrogen, calculated using values from Beseres Pollack et al. (2013) and Newell et al. (2005) at an average of $20,023 (range: $8996–31,050 t^-1). The value of phosphorus removal - the mean values for point source removal of one tonne of phosphorus – was calculated using values from Molinos-Señante et al. (2011) at an average of $35,840 (range: $13,118–58, 561 t^-1). The value of cockle shell aggregate was calculated from Morris et al. (2018) at an average of $1138 (range: $538–1738 t^-1).

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Supporting services

Here, we describe here the basic underlying processes and functions performed by cockles as supporting services (cf. Northern Economics, 2009). These are not final services themselves (Bateman et al., 2011), but underpin the full range of other ecosystem services, including the alteration of energy flows and nutrient cycling at an ecosystem scale. Supporting services described here are water filtration, perturbation and alteration of sediment properties, biogeochemical cycling, habitat creation and biodiversity support.

3.1.1. Water filtration

Cockles are suspension feeding bivalves, consuming minute particulate matter suspended in the water column, which includes both living organisms (e.g. plankton) and non-living material (such as plant debris or suspended soil particles), together known as seston. The filtration power of bivalves has been shown to improve water quality by decreasing turbidity and removing nutrients (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018; McLeod et al., 2019). Two functions are differentiated: (i) the rate at which water is transported through the gills (pumping or filtration rate), and (ii) the rate at which seston particles are captured (clearance rate).

In general, filtration rate in cockles increases with body size (as a result of the associated increase in gill surface area), however rates vary depending on food availability, temperature and physiological (mainly reproductive) conditions (Iglesias et al., 1996 Smaal et al., 1997). The volume of water filtered increases rapidly with increasing proportion of particulate inorganic matter up to a concentration of about 300 mg/L, above which it remains constant as long as the proportion of seston particles is high (Navarro and Widdows, 1997). Filtration rates are highest in the temperature range 8–20 °C (Brock and Kofod, 1987), particularly in spring to provide the amount of energy required for the development of gonads (Newell and Bayne, 1980), while cockles strongly reduce their filtration activity at low temperatures (<8 °C), even when food is available (Smaal et al., 1997). Filtration rate is largely independent of current speed, except below 5 cm/s when rates are lower (Widdows and Navarro, 2007). Filtration rates reviewed in Riisgård (2001) cites a filtration rate (F, in L h^-1) for cockles of F = 11.60 W^0.70, where W is tissue dry weight (g).

Standardised clearance rates were calculated by Cranford et al. (2011), who standardised them by body weight (to a 1 g animal, and using a standardised b coefficient of 0.58) or shell length (to a 60 mm animal, and using a standardised b coefficient of 1.8). For C. edule, the mean (=±2 SE) clearance rate based on body weight was 3.58 (=±0.38) Lg^-1 h^-1. Mean clearance rates standardised by shell length were 6.03 (=±0.81) Lnd^-1 h^-1. Cranford et al. (2011) stress the importance of quantifying local site-specific rates at relevant times of year to the specific application of the data, noting studies which show that in-situ activity rates in mussels range from 42 to 55% of the maximum values observed in laboratory experiments.

3.1.2. Perturbation and alteration of sediment properties

From a functional point of view, cockles are classified as surficial biodiffusers, inducing diffusive-like sediment reworking and irrigation processes within the uppermost few centimeters of the sediment column (Norkko and Shumway, 2011; Kristensen et al., 2012). The burrowing and locomotion activities of cockles induce a continuous mixing of particulate material, whilst their filtration and valve movements enhance pore water displacement and solute exchanges across the sediment-water interface (Mermillod-Blondin et al., 2005). However, the activity of cockles on sediment bed properties is complex and can either increase (e.g. Andersen et al., 2010) or decrease (e.g. Ciutat et al., 2006, 2007; Li et al., 2017) sediment stability.

On one hand, cockles act through their bioturbation activity, as sediment destabilizers. By mechanistically altering the physical properties of the sediment matrix (i.e. decreasing compaction and cohesiveness while increasing bed roughness), cockles can drastically lower erosion thresholds and increase erodibility (Ciutat et al., 2006, 2007; Neumeier et al., 2006; Li et al., 2017; Liu and Su, 2017). On the other hand, by improving microbially-mediated nutrient regeneration and facilitating the development of microphytobenthic diatoms, cockles indirectly stimulate the secretion of exopolymeric substances that creates bonds between particles and thus reinforces their cohesion, contributing to sediment stability (Tolhurst et al., 2002; Meadows et al., 2012).

The effect on sediment stability is therefore substrate dependent. In fine sediments, cockle movement can disrupt cohesive sediments, especially when the mud fraction is high (>30%). By contrast, in coarse sandy sediments the biodeposition process, integration of pseudofaeces in the sand matrix and microphotobenthic (MPB) biofilm produced by a range of benthic organisms can considerably enrich the fine fraction, thereby stabilizing the non-cohesive sandy areas. The activity of cockles does not modify the erodibility of non-cohesive (sandy) sediments but it does increase the erodibility of cohesive ones - an effect which is density dependent and increases with current velocity (Rakotomalala et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017). In the longer-term, these processes lead to an increased sand content in muddy sediments, and to an increased silt content in sandy ones (Soissons et al., 2019) maintaining the sediment as a sand-mud mixture best for cockle growth.

The role of cockles as ecosystem engineers is conclusively demonstrated through large-scale manipulation experiments conducted on intertidal flats controlled by blue mussels (Donadi et al., 2013). They showed that high densities of cockles enhanced sediment stability (specifically sand rather than mud) and so are important in conserving and promoting the primary productivity of soft-bottomed intertidal ecosystems. The joint effects of coexisting engineering species, blue mussels, lugworm (Arenicola marina) and cockles, also determined the large-scale structure of an intertidal macrobenthic community (Donadi et al., 2015). Thus cockles clearly play a vital role in shaping natural communities, and this has implications for the ecosystem services they provide.
3.1.4. Biodepositional activities (Mermillod-Blondin et al., 2004; Rakotomalala et al., 2015).

Intertidal sediments come through their biodeposition and bioturbation. Cockles contribute to nutrient transformation and fluxes across the sediment-water interface through respiration and direct excretion of metabolic wastes (Swanberg, 1991). However, their primary influence on the biogeochemical dynamics of intertidal sediments comes through their biodeposition and bioturbation activities (Mermillod-Blondin et al., 2004; Rakotomalala et al., 2015).

Cockles capture seston particles in the water column and eject substantial amounts of faeces and pseudofaeces on the sediment surface, thereby increasing the vertical downward flux of organic matter. Tightly bound in mucus, biodeposits are not easily resuspended by turbulence and thus accumulate within the surficial sediment (Widdows and Navarro, 2007). The biogenic sediment reworking induced by cockles and associated macrofaunal communities quickly incorporates this freshly sedimentsed organic material into deeper sediment layers, thereby fuelling the benthic microbial food web. Microbial remineralisation activities are further stimulated by bioirrigation, which increases the depth of oxygen penetration and modifies the vertical sequence of redox reactions (Aller, 1982).

Collectively, biodeposition and bioturbation processes increase the pore water concentrations of inorganic nutrients, some of which is released to overlying water (Karlson et al., 2007). In doing so, they increase ammonium concentrations which is the most important resource for microphytobenthic communities (Brito et al., 2010). As benthic microalgae can represent a large part of the diet of cockles (Kang et al., 1999), the stimulation of MPB production represents an indirect way of supporting their own food sources (Andersen et al., 2010; Donadi et al., 2015; Rakotomalala et al., 2015).

3.1.4. Biodiversity support

Cockles both indirectly and directly support complex food webs ranging from primary producers right up to avian and other predators. The indirect effects result from their role in sediment and nutrient processing and resuspension. The valve movements of cockles increase microphytobenthic biofilm productivity (Swanberg, 1991) and increase the resuspension rates of organic material towards the water column (Rakotomalala et al., 2015), both of which help to sustain pelagic food webs. In estuaries where blue mussels and Pacific oysters are cultivated, the dominant presence of cockles in adjacent areas are thought to contribute to increased food availability for these farmed species through resuspended microphytobenthos, consumption of which doubled in summer when cockle-dominated mollusc biomass was 20 times higher than in the spring (Ubertini et al., 2012). Through their context-specific ecosystem engineering and subsequent changes in sediment conditions, cockles have been shown to shift the functional composition of communities of infaunal species such as polychaetes, amphipods, and bivalves (Donadi et al., 2015).

Cockles are a major food source for crustaceans, fishes and wading birds, with species-specific predation varying according to cockle size. At very early stages, bivalve larvae can be ingested by filtering bivalve feeders, including adult cockles (Andre and Rosen, 1991). Post-larvae cockles (newly settled spat) are a food source for brown shrimp (Crangon crangon) and juvenile shore crabs (Callinectes sapidus - see van der Veer et al., 1998; Beukema and Dekker, 2005). At sizes of 5–10 mm, cockles become prey for fish, particularly European plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) and flounder (Platichthys flesus – see Miller and Rosen, 1983; Pihl, 1985). Larger cockles are predated by shore crabs, a range of gastropod predators and fishes (Mascaro and Seed, 2000; Morton et al., 2007) and wading birds, many of which have protected status. In Europe, the cockle is the main food supply for overwintering oystercatchers (Haematopus ostralegus; Bryant, 1979; Ens et al., 2004), and the presence of cockles can be a significant predictor of oystercatcher density (Van der Zee et al., 2012). In the absence of mussel beds (their main alternative food source), oystercatchers require an estimated 105–232 kg cockle flesh (wet weight) per bird per winter (Ens et al., 2004). Indeed, other birds such as eider (Somateria mollissima), knot (Calidris canutus), shelduck (Tadorna tadorna), curlew (Numenius arquata), redshank (Tringa tetanus), dunlin (Calidris alpina), sanderling (Calidris alba) and common gull (Larus canus) also eat cockles as part of a broader diet of bivalves and worms (Cadée, 1994; Bryant, 1979).

Cockle availability is a key resource supporting many overwintering wader populations and the responses of oystercatchers and other species to insufficient food supplies during the overwinter period are well documented and include reduced individual body condition, increased mortality and reduced population sizes (Verhulst et al., 2004). In turn, the birds that cockles support provide ecosystems services of their own, most often explored as cultural services.

3.2. Provisioning services

The CICES provisioning services includes the Division ‘Biomass’, which includes the Group ‘Rearred aquatic animals for nutrition, materials or energy’, further divided into Classes used for nutritional purposes (CICES code 1.1.6.1.) or for other uses (1.1.6.2.). In the following text we categorise these as use of the shellfish meat for consumption and multiple uses of shells: shell-by-products, poultry grit, and use in construction.

3.2.1. Shellfish meat

Cockles are consumed for their taste and nutritional benefits and harvesting cockles is embedded deep within the history and culture of European countries. Humans have gathered cockles for consumption since at least Neolithic times (Montgomery et al., 2013). The historical importance of cockles as a food source is highlighted by their presence in many middens across Europe (e.g. Murray, 2011; Fernández-Rodríguez et al., 2014; Duarte et al., 2017). Today a multinational industry has grown around the processing and supply of cockles to markets in continental Europe, the UK and Ireland, and beyond (Table 1).

Shellfish meat is a good source of many vitamins and minerals and is low in saturated fat and high in the omega-3s DHA and EPA (Heid, 2018). The value of harvested cockles is mainly in the market value of their meat. Annual production of cockles in Europe from 2014 to 2017 varied between 14,000 and 26,000 tonnes (Table 1), with production dominated by the UK, Spain, Portugal and Denmark. The Netherlands was a major producer of cockles until prohibition of cockle fishing by mechanical dredging in 2004 (Floor et al., 2013) and is now mainly a manual hand raked fishery with Marine Stewardship Council certification. The value of cockles fluctuates considerably with supply and demand, and in comparison with other shellfish species the value is low.

Available data show that the price for cockles (2014–2017) averaged €466 t⁻¹ (range: €352–541 t⁻¹), compared with €727 t⁻¹ (range: €559–947 t⁻¹) for mussels and €1355 t⁻¹ (range: €1145–1588 t⁻¹) for oysters.

| Table 1 | Annual reported European harvest (tonnes) of Cerastoderma edule by country for 2014–17 (data from FAO – Fisheries and Aquaculture Information and Statistics Branch, 09/08/2019, http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-capture-production/query/en). Countries are listed based on 2014 data, ranked in order of decreasing reported harvest. |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| **Country** | **Cerastoderma edule (tonnes)** | **2015** | **2016** | **2017** |
| UK       | 10,171                          | 11,169 | 5036   | 5997   |
| Denmark  | 6081                            | 7699   | 5917   | 7924   |
| Portugal | 1195                            | 4700   | 1835   | 5063   |
| Spain    | 1991                            | 2410   | 1561   | 2846   |
| France   | 228                             | 145    | 80     | 259    |
| Ireland  | 3                               | 0      | 222    | 441    |
| Sweden   | 0                               | 2      | 0      | 0      |
| **European Total** | **19,669** | **26,125** | **14,651** | **22,530** |


scallops (Marine Management Organisation, 2017).

As well as harvesting for commercial purposes, there is often a commonly accepted ‘public right’ to collect shellfish along the foreshore (Meadowcroft and Blundell, 2004) although in certain countries the amount is limited per person per day when the fishery is open. In Ireland, historically cockles were collected by the poorer in society (West et al., 1979). Cockle meat is also used by recreational anglers as an effective bait for a wide variety of sea fishes, including cod (Gadus morhua), flounder (Platichthys flesus), and dab (Limanda limanda) (SeaAngler, 2009).

3.2.2. Shell by-products

Cockle shells are used for a variety of purposes, including chicken grit, aggregate and for ornamental uses. Shells for these purposes are usually sourced from shellfish processing centres. Traditionally, after the meat was removed the shells were left to dry for several months before being heat treated and then crushed to the appropriate size. Modern approaches involve some pre-treatment of the shells, and the development of value-added products for construction, including mortar, aggregate, and fillers.

3.2.2.1. Poultry grit. Global poultry production has been estimated at 21 billion birds per year, producing 1.1 trillion eggs and approximately 90 million tonnes of meat annually (Blake and Tomley, 2014). Cockle shells are one of the two main shell types used in poultry grits (ground-up shell is mixed with ground granite and fed to poultry to help digestion and to provide calcium for egg shells) as their shells do not break down into sharp shards: unlike mussel and scallop shells (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018).

3.2.2.2. Construction and other uses. The extraction of sand can cause negative environmental impacts in terms of reduced water quality, destabilization of riparian and in-stream habitats which, in turn, destroy riverine vegetation and lead to ecological imbalance (Muthusamy et al., 2016). Considering replacement material for sand, studies have investigated the potential of cockle shell ash as a material for partial cement replacement, with shell aggregate worth between 90 million tonnes of meat annually (Blake and Tomley, 2014). Cockle shells are one of the two main shell types used in poultry grits (ground-up shell is mixed with ground granite and fed to poultry to help digestion and to provide calcium for egg shells) as their shells do not break down into sharp shards: unlike mussel and scallop shells (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018).

3.2.2.2.1. Poultry grit. Global poultry production has been estimated at 21 billion birds per year, producing 1.1 trillion eggs and approximately 90 million tonnes of meat annually (Blake and Tomley, 2014). Cockle shells are one of the two main shell types used in poultry grits (ground-up shell is mixed with ground granite and fed to poultry to help digestion and to provide calcium for egg shells) as their shells do not break down into sharp shards: unlike mussel and scallop shells (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018).

3.2.2.2.2. Construction and other uses. The extraction of sand can cause negative environmental impacts in terms of reduced water quality, destabilization of riparian and in-stream habitats which, in turn, destroy riverine vegetation and lead to ecological imbalance (Muthusamy et al., 2016). Considering replacement material for sand, studies have investigated the potential of cockle shell ash as a material for partial cement replacement, with shell aggregate worth between 90 million tonnes of meat annually (Blake and Tomley, 2014). Cockle shells are one of the two main shell types used in poultry grits (ground-up shell is mixed with ground granite and fed to poultry to help digestion and to provide calcium for egg shells) as their shells do not break down into sharp shards: unlike mussel and scallop shells (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018).

3.2.2.2.2. Construction and other uses. The extraction of sand can cause negative environmental impacts in terms of reduced water quality, destabilization of riparian and in-stream habitats which, in turn, destroy riverine vegetation and lead to ecological imbalance (Muthusamy et al., 2016). Considering replacement material for sand, studies have investigated the potential of cockle shell ash as a material for partial cement replacement, with shell aggregate worth between 90 million tonnes of meat annually (Blake and Tomley, 2014). Cockle shells are one of the two main shell types used in poultry grits (ground-up shell is mixed with ground granite and fed to poultry to help digestion and to provide calcium for egg shells) as their shells do not break down into sharp shards: unlike mussel and scallop shells (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018).

3.3. Regulating services

The CICES regulating services that cockles provide include the Division ‘Regulation of physical, chemical, biological conditions’, further broken down into the following Groups: ‘Atmospheric composition and conditions (2.2.6.1)’ = carbon sequestration, ‘Water conditions (2.2.5.2)’ = salt water quality through filtration, ‘Regulation of baseline flows and extreme events’ (2.2.1.1) = erosion control, ‘Pest and disease control’ (2.2.3.2) = disease control. They also include the Division ‘Transformation of biochemical or physical inputs to ecosystems’, which contains the group ‘Mediation of wastes or toxic substances of anthropogenic origin by living processes’ (2.1.1) = pathogen and toxin removal.

3.3.1. Carbon sequestration in shell and sediment

Bivalve aquaculture is gaining widespread attention because of its role in the carbon cycle in relation to mitigating climate change. Bivalves sequester carbon in the form of calcium carbonate via shell production (Peterson and Lipcius, 2003; Hickey, 2009). The average carbon content of a bivalve shell is 11.7%, although this varies between species. Currently there are no published figures for shell %C content of C. edule (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018). However, although shell formation fixes carbon, the biogeochemical processes involved also lead to the release of CO2 into the atmosphere via the water column. Therefore, there is ongoing debate on whether there is a net sequestration of carbon as a result of shell formation, and whether it can be counted as an ecosystem service.

Fig. 1. Ecosystem service examples: cockles. Clockwise from top left: (a) cockle shells used on footpath on Ynys Llanddwyn, Wales © Andrew van der Schatte Olivier; (b) ‘Ovos Moles’ sculpture in Aveiro, Portugal © Laurence Jones; (c) ‘The Cocklepickers’ by Michéal McKeown in Blackrock, Co. Louth, Ireland. The sculpture overlooks Dundalk Bay, an important cockle harvesting area © Kate Mahony; (d) cockle shells as an element of a tourist trinket/souvenir © David Cars; (e) Molly Malone statue by Jeanne Rynhart in Dublin (Nol Aders, Wikimedia Commons [CC BY-SA 3.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0)](e)).
3.3.2. Nutrient removal

Shellfish remove both nitrogen and phosphorous in a variety of ways (Carmichael et al., 2012). Cockles remove nutrients from the water column through the production of biodeposits in the form of faeces and pseudofaeces. The biodeposits increase the denitrification potential by providing anoxic environments for denitrifying bacteria (Newell et al., 2005). This microbial-facilitated process releases unreactive nitrogen gas (N₂) from the aquatic system to the atmosphere, thereby removing nitrogen from coastal waters. This is a regulating service provided in situ. Nitrogen and phosphorus are also taken up and used for both shell and tissue growth, and will be removed from the coastal ecosystem when animals are harvested (van der Schatte Olivier et al., 2018). To our knowledge, there is no published quantification of the nitrogen and phosphorous content of cockle shell and tissue.

3.3.3. Erosion protection

While cockles do not form large reefs in the same way most oyster and mussel species do, their activity can lead to increased bed stability and reduced erosion risk in sandy substrates (but see section 3.1.2 for a detailed description of the processes which have the opposite effect in fine silty sediment). The biodeposition of fine-grained material, the production of mucus and the formation of a structural layer of shells within the sediment layer are all factors which increase surficial stability, hence reducing erosional processes caused by hydrodynamic forces (Andersen et al., 2010; Eriksson et al., 2017; Soissons et al., 2019) (see more detailed description of the processes in section 3.1.2).

3.3.4. Disease regulation

Cockles are hosts to a wide variety of parasites and diseases (Longshaw and Malham, 2013). As with other filter and deposit feeding organisms, cockles can accumulate agents that are potentially ‘pathogenic’ (Zannella et al., 2017). This can have both positive and negative effects, either by accumulating in the cockles and thus reducing general pathogen load, or alternatively, by acting as a reservoir for subsequent infection of other species. The high levels of MPB biofilms associated with cockle beds may increase the persistence of infectious agents in the sediment. Further research is required to better estimate the positive and negative influence of bivalves on pathogen levels in the coastal environment (Zannella et al., 2017).

3.3.5. Pathogen and toxin removal

Harmful algal blooms in the coastal zone are regarded with some concern, as they can have direct impacts on human health, as well as the environment (Berdale et al., 2016). Most algal toxins are relatively harmless for bivalves but they accumulate and concentrate toxic compounds that can be lethal to humans or other consumers (Anderson, 2009). The toxins do not remain indefinitely, but are eliminated at rates dependent on the physiological mechanisms of the bivalve and the type of toxin (Blanco, 2018). Modelling studies suggest that removal of harmful algae cells and cysts by shellfish can occur, but is dependent on the bivalve species and their filtering capacity (Yánguez et al., 2018). Cockles remove significant amounts of phytoplankton biomass through filter feeding, however few studies have focused on the potential to reduce quantities of harmful algae. Furthermore, while they may provide a service in reducing the incidence or severity of algal blooms, there can be trade-offs with cockle harvest for human consumption.

3.4. Cultural services

The classification of cultural ecosystem services in CICES is wordy, but broadly encompasses Divisions describing direct (in situ) or indirect (remote) interactions with living or abiotic systems. These are further categorised into Groups which include: ‘Physical and Experiential (3.1.1),’ ‘Intellectual and Representative (3.1.2),’ ‘Spiritual or Symbolic (3.2.1)’ and other ‘non-use (3.2.2)’ interactions.

A suite of cultural services for cockles with ‘value’ to individuals and society emerged clearly during the workshops and subsequent meetings with the participants from all five countries. These included evidence of interactions with the physical landscape passing from generation to generation, and also evidence of intangible aspects of cultural behaviour (cf. Tenberg et al., 2012). They are described under the CICES group-headings below.

3.4.1. Physical and experiential

Perhaps the most common manifestation of this was the ubiquitous value attached to family-focused activities, where cockles formed part of a wider evocation of ‘place’ (Fish et al., 2016):

- Family holidays or day trips to the seaside
- Memories of childhood, often recreated by adults now with their own children – very often spanning several generations
- Space to play: sandy/muddy shores – shallow water, relatively safe environments, easy access
- Wide vistas of sea and sky – high visual amenity
- Collecting, cooking and eating cockles as a family/summer activity

Alongside non-commercial (‘family’) harvesting conducted as part of a social activity, there was also evidence for strongly traditional cultural activities in relation to small-scale commercial harvesting of cockles (often referred to as ‘gathering’). These traditional practices were widespread, for example cockles have been gathered in Wales (Jenkins, 1984) and Galicia (Villaña et al., 2014) for centuries - providing much-needed employment (very often for women) and cheap food. In Galicia, there is a local movement to register cockle gathering as a protected ‘cultural landscape’ status in the Ría de Noia.

3.4.2. Intellectual and representative

The largest body of evidence fell under this category, encompassing art, architecture, and advertising. Cockles and cockle harvesting are represented in both historical and contemporary art. One of the earliest records of cockles in European human culture relates to Cardium pottery. This is a Neolithic (6400 BC - 5500 BC) decorative style of pottery derived from imprinting clay with the shells of cockles (formerly named Cardium edule). This pottery style gives its name to the main Mediterranean Neolithic culture – ‘Cardial’ culture – which extended from the Adriatic Sea to the Atlantic coasts of France, the Iberian Peninsula and Morocco (see for example, Spathar, 2009).

Modern examples of art include a sculpture in Aveiro, Portugal, by the artist Albano Martins. The sculpture embodies a giant cockle shell (Fig. 1b) as an homage to Ovos Moles de Aveiro (“soft eggs from Aveiro”) - a local sweet delicacy made from egg yolks and sugar, frequently put inside small rice paper casings in sea-themed shapes such as shells. The artist Raphael Bordallo Pinheiro (1846–1905) was one of the most influential people in nineteenth century Portuguese culture, associated with caricature and artistic ceramics. He was responsible for an internationally recognised cockle-shaped piece produced by the ceramics company Bordallo for decorative and advertising purposes.

In Spain there is a rich tradition of cockles and other shellfish being represented in fine art during the 20th Century, particularly in relation to harvesters (often women) and specific estuarine habitats with shifting land- and seascapes. A number of Spanish sculptors have depicted cockle fishers, either as monuments to them and their activities or in the form of individuals representing ‘place’ in terms of their clothing and harvesting tools, ‘status’ in terms of their means of livelihood, and ‘freedom’ in terms of their activity and relation to nature. Evoking coastal landscapes and activities, cockle fishing is also represented in French, Irish and British art works, including in Ireland a recent (2018) sculpture called ‘The Cocklepickers’ celebrated the historic culture of local cockle picking (Fig. 1c). Possessing or viewing such art works feeds into, and is deeply interwoven with, notions and memories of family-focused activities with cockles evoking a strong sense of ‘place’ (Fish et al., 2016).

As well as the examples described above, the workshop also...
produced other examples of cultural services provided by cockles. For example, their shells are an element of tourist trinkets and souvenirs in many coastal towns and villages (Fig. 1d). Collecting seashore shells is a worldwide leisure activity, and is the basis of the scientific discipline of malacology. They are used as examples of anatomy and invertebrate structure in zoological textbooks; the presence of shells in the fossil record informs evolutionary studies; and their mineral content can reveal past climatological events and act as long-term archives.

3.4.3. Spiritual and symbolic

Cockles in folklore are difficult to classify, forming part of both inspirational but also symbolic values. Here we chose to group them under the latter, due to their role in defining national identity. Perhaps the most widely known example is that presented in the Irish (but also claimed as originally Scottish) folk song (ca. 1870s–1880s) celebrating the life of Molly Malone (see Murphy, 1992, see Fig. 1e). The song (variously titled: “Molly Malone”, “Cockles and Mussels” or “Dublin’s Fair City”) tells of a fishmonger who plied her trade on the streets. The persona of Molly Malone and her cry of “Cockles and mussels, alive, alive oh!” have become world famous. Set in Dublin, the song has become the unofficial anthem of Ireland sung regularly by crowds at international sporting events. Other human-associated links with cockles in the form of shell fragments are found throughout Ireland in archaeological remains from tombs (O’Nualláin, 1989), ringforts and monasteries (Murray, 2011).

3.4.4. Other non-use values

One service rarely discussed is the role of biotic/abiotic inspiration in language. Cockles provide some interesting examples, with some unusual alternative meanings in slang and vernacular language in several countries. In Cornwall, south west England, cockle gathering or ‘raking’ occurs each spring as part of the Christian Easter celebrations and is called “trigging” in the local dialect. This word is also slang for female masturbation (see lyrics for OutKast song ‘Caroline’). In Portugal, berbigão - the word for cockle - is used as a synonym for the clitoris in vernacular language, presumably as a result of similarities in appearance between the shucked bivalve and the human female sex organ.

Besides cockles, but ecologically dependent on them (see section 2.5), shorebirds are also observed and used as artistic and spiritual inspiration by millions of people around the globe (Whelan et al., 2015) and the large flocks of oystercatchers, red knot and other cockle-feeding birds are an integral part of the cultural experience of a visit to the coast. The indirect value of cockles to the bird watching economy is difficult to quantify but undoubtedly contributes to visitor numbers in coastal areas.

3.5. Preliminary valuation of ecosystem services from cockles in Europe

The physical quantities of nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorous) removed from shell and tissue, the tonnage of meat and available shell aggregate are shown in Table 2 and the potential economic value in Table 3. The largest non-food value is ascribed to shell waste. Annually 5543 tonnes of cockle shell are produced, having the potential to be used as aggregate, worth $6.3 million ($3.0 million–9.6 million). Nutrient remediation has a lower value, predominantly for nitrogen removal, which could increase the value of the cockle industry by approximately $1.2 million. If there were ready markets for all these services, the potential value of C. edule would be an additional $7.5 million ($3.5 million–$11.5 million) annually.

4. Concluding remarks

The cockle is an important commercial and cultural species in those areas where it is common. This study suggests that the value and ecosystem importance of cockles is often overlooked, compared with other commercial bivalve species. Whilst often considered the ‘poor relation’ of mussels and oysters, cockles contribute significantly to the coastal systems where they occur. As an ecosystem engineer, the species is very effective at increasing the productivity of sedimentary habitats, and they directly provide a food source for predators, thereby supporting the diversity and productivity of a wide range of other species. Cockles are a key species, which provide regulating ecosystem services such as water purification and eutrophication control. They could also play a role in reducing bed erosion in areas dominated by sandy sediments although these effects have not been tested at a landscape scale, and further research is required to demonstrate the service of erosion protection in situ.

A second point to note is the wider societal value of cockles and the positive implication for their sustainable management through acknowledgement of the diverse cultural ecosystem services associated with them. There is a clear link between cockle harvesting and the historically less affluent coastal communities (acknowledged in popular songs and poems of oral tradition for example), and this was a common feature in the cultural footprint of cockles in all areas covered by the present work. Such clear cultural associations also suggest that the cockle may be a useful species to include in future exploration of cultural ecosystem services in coastal areas. Despite difficulties in quantitatively assessing cultural ecosystem services, they are often more directly and intuitively recognised by local stakeholders. Some studies suggest that the perception of value and the willingness to pay for environmental protection and greater management costs is higher in coastal indigenous communities than inland, when compared with other trade-offs (Kirsten et al., 2015). Therefore, the work around cultural ecosystem services in cockles could facilitate both the adoption of measures for a more sustainable approach to their management and more effective communication of the importance of this coastal resource.

Against a background where little attention is usually given to cultural ecosystem services, there are calls to fill these knowledge gaps by linking ecosystem services research with cultural landscape research, through the common interest in the demands that people place on, and the benefits derived from, landscapes and ecosystems (Schaich et al., 2010). Landscapes – or seascapes – have been shown to provide a useful conceptual bridge between ecosystem functions and cultural values in the ecosystem (e.g. Gee and Burkhard, 2010) as clear relationships between them are inherently difficult to establish (Vejre et al., 2010). The physical landscape is a foundation but intangible value is assigned by adding cognitive and imaginative overlays to this environment (Brady, 2003; see also Fischer and Hasse, 2001), the nature of which depends on

Table 2

| Country          | Total tonnage landed | Meat | Weight of shell | Nitrogen remediated | Phosphorus remediated |
|------------------|----------------------|------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Denmark          | 5917                 | 1065 | 1827            | 17.3                | 1.7                   |
| France           | 1896                 | 341  | 585             | 5.5                 | 0.6                   |
| Ireland          | 222                  | 40   | 69              | 0.6                 | 0.1                   |
| Italy            | 56                   | 10   | 17              | 0.2                 | 0.9                   |
| Portugal         | 1958                 | 352  | 605             | 5.7                 | 0.6                   |
| Spain            | 2623                 | 472  | 810             | 7.7                 | 0.8                   |
| United Kingdom   | 5037                 | 907  | 1555            | 14.7                | 1.5                   |
| Total            | 18,027               | 3188 | 5469            | 51.7                | 5.1                   |
prior experience, knowledge, imagination, expectations and tradition. In this context, so-called cultural heritage values (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment: MEA, 2005) are important to consider in relation to ecosystem management because societies tend to place high value on the maintenance of historically important landscapes (cultural landscapes) or culturally significant species (Tenberg et al., 2012). Cockles are strongly associated with physical landscapes - the intertidal reaches of muddy and sandy shores, often in estuarine areas - and are usually the culturally significant species there.

The ‘humble’ cockle thus has the potential to become not only an important focus of conservation and for improved sustainable management practices in relatively economically-deprived coastal areas and communities, but also a model study species for the better integration of ecosystem services in the Atlantic Area through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) for the coastal and restoration studies to evaluate the wider benefits of cockles beyond their simple production value for cockle meat.

Table 3
Estimated potential value [mean (range)] of shellfish ecosystem services for the reported European C. edule catch (2015). Units are x100,000 US$.  

| Country          | Meat             | Shell as aggregate | Nitrogen remediation | Phosphorus remediation | Total value of ecosystem services |
|------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Denmark          | $38.1 (30.1-45.8)| $21.1 (10.0-32.2) | $3.5 (1.6-5.4)       | $0.6 (0.2-1.0)         | $63.3 (41.9-84.4)                |
| France           | $12.2 (9.6-14.7) | $6.8 (3.2-10.3)   | $1.1 (0.5-1.7)       | $0.2 (0.1-0.3)         | $20.3 (13.4-27.0)                |
| Ireland          | $1.5 (1.1-1.8)   | $0.8 (0.4-1.2)    | $0.1 (0.1-0.2)       | $0.0 (0.0-0.0)         | $2.4 (1.6-3.2)                   |
| Italy            | $0.4 (0.3-0.4)   | $0.2 (0.1-0.3)    | $0.0 (0.0-0.1)       | $0.0 (0.0-0.0)         | $0.6 (0.4-0.8)                   |
| Portugal         | $12.7 (10.0-15.2)| $7.0 (3.3-10.7)  | $1.5 (0.5-1.8)       | $0.2 (0.1-0.3)         | $21.0 (13.9-28.0)                |
| Spain            | $17.0 (13.3-20.3)| $9.3 (4.4-14.3)  | $1.5 (0.7-2.4)       | $0.3 (0.0-0.4)         | $28.1 (18.5-37.4)                |
| United Kingdom   | $32.5 (25.6-39.0)| $17.9 (8.5-27.4) | $2.9 (1.3-4.6)       | $0.5 (0.2-0.9)         | $53.8 (35.6-71.9)                |
| Total            | $114.3 (90.2-137.2)| $63.1 (29.8-96.3)| $10.3 (4.6-16.0) | $1.8 (0.7-3.0)         | $189.5 (125.3-252.6)             |
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