Tailoring the lineshapes of coupled plasmonic systems based on a theory derived from first principles
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Abstract
Coupled photonic systems exhibit intriguing optical responses attracting intensive attention, but available theoretical tools either cannot reveal the underlying physics or are empirical in nature. Here, we derive a rigorous theoretical framework from first principles (i.e., Maxwell’s equations), with all parameters directly computable via wave function integrations, to study coupled photonic systems containing multiple resonators. Benchmark calculations against Mie theory reveal the physical meanings of the parameters defined in our theory and their mutual relations. After testing our theory numerically and experimentally on a realistic plasmonic system, we show how to utilize it to freely tailor the lineshape of a coupled system, involving two plasmonic resonators exhibiting arbitrary radiative losses, particularly how to create a completely “dark” mode with vanishing radiative loss (e.g., a bound state in continuum). All theoretical predictions are quantitatively verified by our experiments at near-infrared frequencies. Our results not only help understand the profound physics in such coupled photonic systems, but also offer a powerful tool for fast designing functional devices to meet diversified application requests.

Introduction
Recently, photonic systems consisting of multiple plasmonic/dielectric resonators coupled in different ways have attracted much attention²⁴⁻²⁶. Compared to simple systems containing only one type of resonators, coupled systems exhibit more fascinating near-field (NF) properties (e.g., local field enhancement) and far-field (FF) responses manifested by unusual lineshapes, such as Fano resonance⁵⁻⁷ and Rabi oscillations⁸⁻⁹, dictated ultimately by how the involved resonators are coupled together. Couplings have offered more opportunities for controlling the NF and FF light environments of such complex photonic systems as desired, making them particularly useful in applications, such as nanolasers¹⁰,¹¹, fluorescence enhancement¹²⁻¹⁴, and information transport¹⁵⁻¹⁷.

Despite great advances on the experimental side, the theoretical understandings of such systems are far from satisfactory, which also hinders the rapid design of appropriate systems with desired NF and FF responses. For example, full-wave simulations require huge computing costs and reveal very little physics. Meanwhile, although many models (e.g., coupled-mode theory (CMT)¹⁸⁻²¹, Fano’s formula²²,²³, or effective circuit models²⁴,²⁵) were proposed to analyze the underlying physics, they typically require model parameters fitted from simulation results, and thus cannot predict unknown phenomena before having studied the systems numerically. As an early attempt, a photonic tight-binding method (TBM)²⁶, with all involved parameters computable without fitting procedures, was proposed to successfully predict the resonance peak positions of a coupled...
system. Unfortunately, the TBM provides no information on the entire optical responses (e.g., the lineshapes), which are usually more desired for practical applications. The intrinsic difficulties are that these systems are open in nature, in which different resonators can couple not only with each other via NFs but also, more importantly, with external free space via FF interactions (Fig. 1a). To establish a complete theory to predict the entire optical properties of arbitrarily coupled photonic systems, one needs to rigorously consider both NF and FF interactions on the same foot. While several semi-analytical approaches have recently appeared, they have their own limitations and are not generic enough to study arbitrarily coupled systems in a formal way.27–29

In this paper, we derive a formal theoretical framework from first principles (i.e., Maxwell’s equations), with all involved parameters directly computable without fitting procedures, to predict the optical lineshapes of arbitrarily coupled plasmonic systems. The obtained equations resemble the empirical CMT but are derived from first principles, and thus have unambiguous physical meanings, as clearly revealed by benchmark calculations against rigorous Mie theory on a model system. After validating our theory through comparison with experimental/numerical results on a realistic plasmonic metasurface, we present how to employ it to tailor the lineshape of a coupled plasmonic system as desired by varying the interresonator coupling. In particular, we show that it is possible to generate a completely “dark” optical mode with vanishing radiative loss (i.e., a bound state in continuum (BIC)30,31) in such systems, although the constituent resonators exhibit moderate radiative losses. All theoretical predictions are quantitatively verified by experimental results on a series of metasurfaces containing plasmonic resonators coupled in different ways.

Results

Establishment of the formal theory

We start by establishing a formal theory applicable to generic coupled open systems. As shown in Fig. 1a, we consider the scatterings of a system consisting of $M$ arbitrary resonators located at different positions in a host medium under certain external illumination. Such an open system can be schematically described by the model depicted in Fig. 1b, where the region containing resonators is connected to the external continuum via $N$ ports with well-defined properties. Formally, we need to solve the following Schrödinger-like equation:

$$\hat{H} \Psi(\vec{r}, \omega) = \omega \Psi(\vec{r}, \omega)$$

where $\Psi(\vec{r}, \omega)$ is the total wave function, and $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_b + \sum_m \hat{V}_m$ is the Hamiltonian of the whole system with $\hat{H}_b$ describing the host medium and $\hat{V}_m$ the potential contributed by the $m$th resonator.

To expand the unknown function $\Psi(\vec{r}, \omega)$ appropriately, we need a complete set of basis wave functions that are orthogonal to each other and normalizable in certain ways. In the same spirit as the TBM26,29, here, we define a set of wave functions $\{\psi_{m,\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega), m = 1, \ldots, M\}$, which are the (approximate) solutions of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_m = \hat{H}_b + \hat{V}_m$ (with eigenvalue $\omega$), describing the subsystem containing only the $m$th resonator. For simplicity, here, we assume that each resonator supports only one mode, and the extensions to more general cases (e.g., resonators exhibiting multiple or degenerate modes) are straightforward. Different from the systems treated by the TBM, which are closed26, and thus have well-defined localized eigenfunctions, here, the open systems under study only support leaky eigenmodes (LEM), as explained subsequently.

Suppose that the resonators exhibit high quality ($Q$) factors; we can use the following approach to obtain $\psi_{m,\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega)$. Shining the subsystem with external illumination, we can solve $\hat{H}_m \Psi_m = \omega \Psi_m$ to obtain $\Psi_m$ analytically or numerically, and then obtain the response spectrum of the system. We then identify the resonance frequency $\omega_m$ of the $m$th resonator from the maximum of
the response spectrum. Choosing a “background” representing the system at a frequency far from all resonances, we can calculate the background wave function $\Psi_B$ by shining the “background” medium with the same external illumination. We finally obtain the desired LEM wave function through $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}} = \Psi_m - \Psi_B$ for the $m$th resonator. We note that $\{\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}\}$ are quite different from the quasi-normal-mode (QNM) functions defined in refs. 27,28,33. While $\{\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}\}$ are wave functions of the systems under external illumination at real frequencies $\omega_m$, QNM functions are eigenfunctions of the systems without external illumination corresponding to complex eigenfrequencies. Moreover, LEM functions do not diverge at infinity, whereas QNM functions inevitably diverge\textsuperscript{34,35}. Therefore, LEM functions are particularly suitable for the lineshape problems studied here, which require external illumination. Examples of how to obtain $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}$ and detailed comparisons between LEM and QNM are presented in Sec. I of the Supplementary Information.

Before proceeding further, we first discuss the properties of $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$. Due to nonnegligible radiation in open systems, $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$ must contain an FF tail propagating to the external continuum (see the inset in Fig. 1b), making $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$ un-normalizable within the whole space. To solve this issue, we purposely reexpress $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$ as

$$\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m) = \psi_m^{\text{NF}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m) + \psi_m^{\text{FF}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$$

(2)

where $\psi_m^{\text{NF}}$ and $\psi_m^{\text{FF}}$ represent the NF and FF parts of the wave function, respectively. Technically, for any given system with well-defined external ports, we can always project $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}$ onto the port modes on reference planes of all external ports and then construct $\psi_m^{\text{FF}}$ by these port modes, which are assumed to fill the entire space. With $\psi_m^{\text{FF}}$ known, we then obtain $\psi_m^{\text{NF}}$ numerically based on Eq. (2).

The NF functions $\psi_m^{\text{NF}}$ have good properties to help us perform further analyses. In the vicinity of the scatterer, under the high-Q approximation where the FF part of the wave function is significantly weaker than the NF part, $\psi_m^{\text{NF}}$ can be approximately viewed as the eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}_m$ with a real eigenvalue $\omega_m$.

$$\mathbf{H}_m|\psi_m^{\text{NF}}\rangle \approx \omega_m|\psi_m^{\text{NF}}\rangle$$

(3)

Meanwhile, $\psi_m^{\text{NF}}$ can be normalized since it is well localized around the $m$th resonator. Moreover, considering that these wave functions are spatially well separated, we find that they approximately satisfy the following orthonormal condition:

$$\langle \psi_m^{\text{NF}}|\psi_n^{\text{NF}}\rangle \approx \delta_{mn}$$

(4)

where the integrals are performed over the entire space. We note that one needs to multiply $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}$ by the same normalization constant that is used to normalize $\psi_m^{\text{NF}}$, since these two functions are connected by Eq. (2). Equation (4) indicates that $\{\psi_m^{\text{NF}}, m = 1, ..., M\}$ form a set of orthogonal bases to expand the total wave functions in the NF region. Note that the approximation Eq. (4) is widely used in the TBM for treating electrons in solids.\textsuperscript{36}

We now identify the FF eigenbases of the system. In the FF region, eigenmodes are just a set of propagating modes $\{\{k_{q}\}\}$ allowed by the system, where $+(-)$ denotes the incoming (outgoing) propagation direction, $q$ labels the mode channel, and $k$ is the wavevector satisfying certain dispersion relation $k_q(\omega)$. These wave functions satisfy the following orthogonal condition:

$$\langle k_{p}'|k_{q}'\rangle_S = \delta_{pq}'$$

(5)

where the integrals are performed on the reference plane of a particular external port. In principle, extending our theory to study cases with continuum scattering ports is also possible, although one needs to compute all parameters related to these scattering channels.

We are now ready to represent $\Psi$ as a linear combination of these basis functions. We have $\Psi = \Psi_B + \Psi^{\text{sc}}$, where $\Psi^{\text{sc}}$ is contributed by the scatterings of all resonators. In the same spirit as the TBM, $\Psi^{\text{sc}}$ can be approximately written as a sum of scattered fields $\Psi^{\text{sc}}_{\text{m}}$ associated with each individual scatterer. At first glance, one may expect that $\Psi^{\text{sc}}_{\text{m}}(\vec{r}, \omega)$ must be $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$ defined previously. However, $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$ is the scattered wave at resonance frequency $\omega_m$ not at arbitrary frequencies as required in Eq. (1). We can amend $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$ slightly to obtain the form of $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega)$ for a frequency $\omega$ not far from $\omega_m$. The NF part $[\psi_m^{\text{NF}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)]$ is solely determined by $\omega_m$, as it is (approximately) an eigensolution of Eq. (3) for eigenfrequency $\omega_m$. Since, we will need to utilize the orthonormal properties of $\psi_m^{\text{NF}}(\vec{r})$ offered by Eq. (3) later, here, we take the original form of $\psi_m^{\text{NF}}(\vec{r})$ in constructing the trial wave functions at general frequencies $\omega \neq \omega_m$. Meanwhile, the FF part $\psi_m^{\text{FF}}(\vec{r}, \omega_m)$ contains propagating terms depending on the wavevector $k_q^m$ which must be modified from $k_q(\omega_m)$ to $k_q(\omega)$ according to the dispersion relations. We note, however, that $\psi_m^{\text{LEM}}(\vec{r}, \omega)$ thus obtained neglects the frequency corrections to the FF radiation amplitudes. In principle, such corrections can be taken into account by considering the NF–FF relation of a given source.\textsuperscript{38,39} To obtain a concise analytical form for our theory, here, we neglected such corrections, justified by the high-Q approximation. Later, we show that such an approximation works quite...
well even though the original modes supported by individual resonators do not exhibit extremely high-Q factors.

We can finally construct the total wave function as

$$\Psi(\vec{r}, \omega) = \sum_{q} s_{q}^\dagger \Psi_{q} + \sum_{a} a_{a} \psi_{a}^{\text{LEM}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \(a_{a}\) is a set of unknown coefficients representing the strengths of fields scattered by different resonators under external illumination represented by \(s_{q}^\dagger\) denoting the excitation amplitudes at different incoming ports, and \(\Psi_{q}\) denotes the background wave function obtained when only the \(q\)th port is excited with unit amplitude. Substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (1), projecting both sides by \(\langle \psi_{m}^{\text{NF}} \rangle\) and utilizing the orthogonal condition Eq. (4), we obtain the following equations to determine \(a_{a}\):

$$-i \omega a_{m} = -i (\omega_{m} - i \Gamma_{m}) a_{m} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (-i \Gamma_{mn} + X_{mn}) a_{n} + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} k_{mq} s_{q}^\dagger$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

We next multiply both sides of \(\Psi(\vec{r}, \omega)\) defined in Eq. (6) by each FF outgoing basis \(\langle \psi_{m}^{\text{FF}} \rangle\) and then perform the field integrations at the reference planes of all ports. Using the orthonormal conditions Eq. (5), we finally obtain the set of equations:

$$s_{q}^- = \sum_{p} s_{p}^\dagger c_{pq} + \sum_{m} a_{m} d_{qm}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

to determine \(\{s_{q}^- = \langle \psi_{m}^{\text{FF}} | \psi_{m}^{\text{NF}} \rangle \}_{S}\), which describe the strengths of scattered fields measured at different external ports. Here, all parameters in Eqs. (7) and (8) are unambiguously defined and can be calculated via the following integrals:

$$\Gamma_{m} = i \langle \psi_{m}^{\text{NF}} | \vec{V} | \psi_{m}^{\text{FF}} \rangle \rangle_{V}$$
$$t_{mn} = \langle \psi_{m}^{\text{NF}} | \vec{V} | \psi_{n}^{\text{FF}} \rangle \rangle_{V}$$
$$X_{mn} = -i \langle \psi_{n}^{\text{NF}} | \vec{V} | \psi_{m}^{\text{FF}} \rangle \rangle_{V}$$
$$k_{mq} = -i \langle \psi_{n}^{\text{NF}} | \vec{V} | \psi_{m}^{\text{FF}} \rangle \rangle_{V}$$
$$c_{pq} = \langle \psi_{q}^{\text{FF}} | \vec{V} | \psi_{p}^{\text{FF}} \rangle \rangle_{S}$$
$$d_{qm} = \langle \psi_{q}^{\text{FF}} | \vec{V} | \psi_{m}^{\text{FF}} \rangle \rangle_{S}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where “\(V\)” and “\(S\)” denote whether the integrals are performed over the entire volume or at the reference plane of a port. The physical meanings of all involved parameters can be clearly seen from their expressions. For example, \(t_{mn}\) and \(X_{mn}\) represent the coupling strengths between two resonators due to their NF and FF interactions, respectively. Derivations of Eqs. (7)–(9) can be found in Sec. II of the Supplementary Information.

It is helpful to explicitly discuss the conditions imposed on our systems to make the derived theory (e.g., Eqs. (7)–(9)) valid. By re-examining Eq. (7) for the single-scatterer case, we find that \(\text{Im}(\Gamma_{m})\), if it exists, can shift the resonance frequency \(\omega_{m}\) and thus, a large \(\text{Im}(\Gamma_{m})\) implies that \(\psi_{m}^{\text{NF}}\) is not reasonably chosen. Therefore, the first criterion is \(\text{Im}(\Gamma_{m}) \rightarrow 0\), which determines the accuracy of our theory at resonance. Meanwhile, we also require \(\text{Re}(\Gamma_{m}) \ll \omega_{m}\), which is responsible for the correctness of our theory in describing the entire lineshape. The second criterion can be easily satisfied by a moderate \(Q\) value (e.g., \(Q > 5\)), as long as the frequency dispersion of the material is not significant and high-order modes are all far from the mode under study. The first criterion, however, requires the resonators to be deep subwavelength in size so that \(\psi_{m}^{\text{FF}}\) and \(\psi_{m}^{\text{NF}}\) can exhibit a \(\pi/2\) phase difference inside the whole region occupied by the resonator \(^{38}\), leading to a negligible \(\text{Im}(\Gamma_{m})\). For plasmonic resonances, such a deep-subwavelength condition is easily satisfied. However, for dielectric resonances, such a condition can only be satisfied in systems with a very high refraction index \((n)\), which pushes the \(Q\) factors to even higher values (see Sec. IX in the Supplementary Information for more details).

We note that Eq. (9) is derived for lossless systems, and thus, \(\Gamma_{m}\) must only contain radiation damping. In realistic systems, we also need to consider another parameter \(\Gamma_{m}^{a}\), representing the damping due to absorption (i.e., replacing \(\Gamma_{m}\) by \(\Gamma_{m} + \Gamma_{m}^{a}\) in Eq. (8)). This parameter can be computed using \(\Gamma_{m}^{a} = i \langle \psi_{m}^{\text{NF}} | \tilde{H}_{m}^{\text{a}} | \psi_{m}^{\text{NF}} \rangle \rangle_{V}\), where \(\tilde{H}_{m}^{\text{a}}\) represents the Hamiltonian of the realistic lossy systems, while \(\tilde{H}_{m}^{\text{a}}\) describes the same system with material losses omitted \(^{40}\).

Equations (7)–(9) are the core results of this paper, which have clear and profound physical meanings. While Eq. (7) describes the dynamics of each mode under certain excitations, Eq. (8) describes the measurable scattering spectra. We note that Eqs. (7) and (8) resemble the two equations in CMT \(^{18,19}\), but our theory is different and possesses the following merits. In the empirical CMT, the key parameters defined are usually obtained by fitting with numerical simulations, while the remaining parameters can be derived by energy-conservation and time-reversal arguments \(^{41}\). In contrast, here, in our theory, all parameters can be unambiguously evaluated by Eq. (9), and therefore, one can use it to predict the lineshapes of coupled systems before performing numerical simulations on them. Moreover, the empirical CMT cannot explicitly consider the NF couplings between resonators \(^{18}\), while in our approach, NF couplings \(t_{mn}\) can be unambiguously determined (see Eq. (9)) and explicitly included in determining the lineshape (Eq. (8)).
Although single-resonator parameters (\(\omega_{\text{res}}\)) and \(\Gamma_{\text{res}}\) can be analytically obtained for certain high-symmetry structures for that analytical formulas of scattering coefficients are available\(^{42}\), such an approach is not general enough to deal with arbitrary coupled systems without analytical expressions of scattering coefficients and cannot be used to study the couplings between different resonators.

**Applications to photonic systems and benchmark tests**

We now apply the developed formal theory to photonic systems, described generally by an inhomogeneous permittivity function \(\varepsilon(\vec{r}, \omega)\), in which at each local point \(\vec{r}\), the permittivity is \(\varepsilon(\vec{r}, \omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty}[1 + \omega_{p}^{2}/(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2} + i\omega\Gamma_{e})]\), where \(\varepsilon_{\infty}, \omega_{0}, \omega_{p}\), and \(\Gamma_{e}\) are all position- and frequency-independent parameters, describing the local properties of constituent materials. The governing equations (i.e., Maxwell’s equations in the frequency domain) can be formally rewritten as Eq. (1)\(^{40}\), where the Hamiltonian is given by

\[
\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\frac{i}{\varepsilon_{\infty}} \nabla \times & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\varepsilon_{\infty}}{i} \nabla \times & 0 & 0 & -\frac{i}{\varepsilon_{\infty}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i\omega_{p}^{2}\varepsilon_{\infty} & -i\omega_{0}^{2} & -i\Gamma_{e} & 0 
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(10)

and the wave function is defined as \(\Psi(\vec{r}) = (\hat{H}, \hat{E}, \hat{P})^{T}\), with \(\hat{E}, \hat{H},\) and \(\hat{P}\) denoting the electric, magnetic, and polarization fields, respectively, and \(\hat{V} = \partial\hat{P}/\partial t\), describing the polarization current. Consider the lossless case first (i.e., \(\Gamma_{e} = 0\)). The inner product between two wave functions is defined as\(^{26,40}\)

\[
\langle \psi_{1}(\vec{r}) | \psi_{2}(\vec{r}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{r} [\mu_{0} \hat{H}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{H}_{2} + \varepsilon_{\infty} \hat{E}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{E}_{2} + \omega_{0}^{2}(\varepsilon_{\infty})^{-1} \hat{P}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{P}_{2} + (\omega_{p}^{2}\varepsilon_{\infty})^{-1} \hat{V}_{1}^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{V}_{2}]
\]

(11)

Meanwhile, in the FF region occupied by air, the inner product between two-port modes can be defined as

\[
\langle k_{1}^{\prime}|k_{2}^{\prime\prime} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[ \mu_{0}(\hat{H}_{1}^{\prime\dagger})^{\ast} \cdot \hat{H}_{2}^{\prime\ast} + \varepsilon_{h}(\hat{E}_{1}^{\prime\dagger})^{\ast} \cdot \hat{E}_{2}^{\prime\ast} \right] |\vec{c}| d\overline{S}
\]

(12)

where \(\vec{c}\) is the light speed in the host medium. This ensures that different port modes are orthogonal and that each mode carries a unit of energy flux\(^{45}\). With Eqs. (10)–(12) and supposing that \(\{\psi_{\text{FF}}^{\ast}, \psi_{\text{FF}}\}\) are obtained, one can substitute them into Eq. (9) to compute all parameters (see Sec. III in the Supplementary Information) and then substitute them into Eqs. (7) and (8) to determine the lineshape.

For photonic resonators with regular shapes, \(\{\psi_{\text{NF}}^{\ast}, \psi_{\text{NF}}\}\) can be obtained analytically. For arbitrary resonators, we need to numerically obtain the required wave functions. We emphasize that, however, such numerical calculations are only needed once. Once \(\{\psi_{\text{NF}}^{\ast}, \psi_{\text{NF}}\}\) are obtained, we can predict the lineshapes of the coupled systems without having to perform simulations on them.

We first choose an analytically solvable system—a single gold sphere illuminated by an x-polarized plane wave—to test our theory against Mie theory. As shown in Fig. 2a, consider a sphere located at the origin with radius \(r_{m} = 0.036\lambda_{p}\) and Drude permittivity \(\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_{0}[1 - \omega_{p}^{2}/\omega^{2}],\) with \(\omega_{p}\) and \(\lambda_{p}\) denoting the plasmon resonance frequency and wavelength. Such a problem can be analytically solved by Mie theory\(^{44,45}\), yielding an analytical form of \(\psi_{\text{Mie}}(\vec{r}, \omega)\). When the scatterer is much smaller than the wavelength of incident light, the electric dipole channel dominates in the frequency range plotted\(^{46}\), and thus, we can obtain \(\omega_{\text{res}} = [1 - 2\pi^{2}(r_{m}/\lambda_{p})^{2}/15 + ...] \omega_{p}/\sqrt{3}\) and the analytical forms of \(\psi_{\text{LEM}}^{\ast}, \psi_{\text{FF}}^{\ast},\) and \(\psi_{\text{NF}}^{\ast}\), as well as \(|k^{\ast}|\) (see Sec. IV in the Supplementary Information). Figure 2a depicts the field distributions of \(\psi_{\text{LEM}}^{\ast}, \psi_{\text{FF}}^{\ast},\) and \(\psi_{\text{NF}}^{\ast}\) exhibits a clear electric dipole resonance feature, and \(\psi_{\text{FF}}^{\ast}\) represents the FF radiation of an electric dipole located at the origin.

Substituting all wave functions into Eq. (9), we find \(\kappa = d = 2.92 \times 10^{-2}/\sqrt{\omega_{p}}\) and \(\Gamma = 4.28 \times 10^{-4}\omega_{p}\). Since there is only one scatterer and one port in the system, we neglect all subscripts without causing confusion. Substituting these parameters into Eqs. (7) and (8), we obtain the scattering spectrum of the nanosphere, defined as \(\sigma(\omega) = 3\pi |1 - R^{2}/(2\eta_{0}k_{0}^{2})|\), with \(\eta_{0} = \sqrt{\mu_{0}/\varepsilon_{0}}\) being the vacuum impedance and \(R \equiv s'/s^{\ast}\), representing the scattering coefficient. The spectrum thus calculated is depicted in Fig. 2b as a solid line, well matching the Mie theory (squares) and FEM calculation (circles) results.

Under the electric dipole approximation, we further simplify the analytical expressions of all involved parameters (see Sec. IV in the Supplementary Information) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma &= \omega_{\text{res}} \times \text{Im} \{\vec{p}^{\ast} \times \vec{E}_{\text{FF}}^{\ast}\} / 2 = p^{2}\omega_{\text{res}}^{3}/(12\pi\eta_{0}c^{3}) \\
\kappa &= ip\omega_{\text{res}}^{2}/\sqrt{6\pi\eta_{0}c^{3}} \\
d &= ip\omega_{\text{res}}^{2}/\sqrt{6\pi\eta_{0}c^{3}}
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

with \(\vec{p} = \int_{\text{sphere}} \vec{P}(\vec{r}) d\overline{S}\) (\(\vec{P}\) is the polarization field inside the sphere; see the inset in Fig. 2a), representing the effective dipole moment of the nanosphere. Equation (13) reveals a few important physics difficult to obtain from numerical calculations. First, \(\kappa\) and \(d\) defined as two distinct field integrations (Eq. (9)), surprisingly generate identical results (see Eq. (13)), which is consistent with the
time-reversal symmetry argument\textsuperscript{19}. Second, $\Gamma$ takes an expression identical to that derived for a dipole emitter based on Poynting’s theorem (see Eq. (8.74) in ref. \textsuperscript{38}), revealing the clear physical meaning of the radiation damping. Finally, Eq. (13) uncovers the relation $\Gamma = \frac{|d|^2}{2\varepsilon_0^2c^4} = \left(\frac{6\pi\varepsilon_0}{c}\right)^2 \frac{d}{j\omega \varepsilon_0}$ verified by numerical calculations (see Fig. 2c), which ensures energy conservation consistent with Poynting’s theorem\textsuperscript{38}. We note that these relations were derived by energy-conservation and time-reversal arguments in the empirical CMT. Here, they are directly and rigorously demonstrated in our theory simply because our theory is established based on Maxwell’s equations, which already satisfy energy-conservation and time-reversal symmetry.

After studying coupled electric dipole resonators to justify our theory against analytical formulas derived in prior literature\textsuperscript{47,48} (see Sec. V in the Supplementary Information for details), we implement our theory to study arbitrary photonic coupled systems. As shown in Fig. 3a, the system we consider is a periodic metasurface with unit cells arranged in a hexagonal lattice (with periodicity 550 nm), each containing two different types of nanoparticles (bar and C-shaped resonator) coupled together. All nanoparticles are made of silver and are placed on a semi-infinite dielectric substrate ($n = 1.55$). Following the general strategy established above, we first perform lossless FEM simulations to study the scattering properties of two model systems, each containing resonators of a particular type arranged in the same hexagonal lattice (see Sec. VI in the Supplementary Information for details). This is remarkable since we did not perform any fitting procedures in obtaining these spectra. The lineshape of the coupled system predicted by our theory is further confirmed by our experiments. We fabricated three samples according to the designs using the standard electron-beam lithography (EBL) method (see left panel in Fig. 3b–d for their scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images) and experimentally characterized their reflection properties.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure2.png}
\caption{Benchmark test against Mie theory. a Distributions of the $E_x$-component in the $\psi_{\text{LEM}}^2$, $\psi_{\text{FF}}^2$, and $\psi_{\text{NF}}^2$ of a gold sphere (with $r_m = 0.036\lambda_p$) obtained by Mie theory. The inset shows the distribution of $P_x$ inside the sphere at the central $xoy$ plane. b Spectra of the scattering cross section of the gold sphere derived by our theory (line), FEM calculations (circles), and the Mie solution (squares). c $\kappa$, $d$, and $\Gamma$ of a series of gold spheres with different sizes, computed by our theory.}
\end{figure}
spectra. The spectra of the three samples are shown in Fig. 3b–d as triangles, measured with a homemade macroscopic spectrometer (see Sec. VII in the Supplementary Information). The excellent agreement among the FEM, the experimental and our theoretical results unambiguously justify our theory.

**Implementations of the theory in lineshape tailoring**

We now apply our theory to “design” the lineshape of a photonic system. Figure 3d shows that the interresonator coupling can dramatically change the lineshape of a coupled system, essentially determined by the two “dressed* modes with frequencies and bandwidths \( \{ \tilde{\omega}_z, \Gamma_z \} \).

Therefore, we must first understand the properties of the dressed modes \( \{ \tilde{\omega}_z, \Gamma_z \} \).

Consider a two-mode two-port system with two resonators placed on the same plane illuminated by a normally incident wave. Assuming \( \Gamma_1^* = \Gamma_2^* = \Gamma^* \) for simplicity, we can explicitly rewrite Eq. (7) as

\[
-i\omega \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\omega} & t' \\ t' & \tilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^* & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\Gamma_1 & X \\ X & -\Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{11} \\ \kappa_{21} \end{pmatrix} \sigma^*_1 
\]

(14)

Diagonalizing the matrix containing \( t \) by an orthogonal transformation \( \mathbf{M} \), we obtain the following equation describing the amplitudes of two collective modes \( \tilde{a}_\pm \):

\[
-i\omega \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_+ \\ \tilde{a}_- \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\omega}_+ & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\omega}_- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_+ \\ \tilde{a}_- \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^* & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_+ \\ \tilde{a}_- \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\Gamma}_+ & \tilde{X} \\ \tilde{X} & -\tilde{\Gamma}_- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_+ \\ \tilde{a}_- \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\kappa}_{11} \\ \tilde{\kappa}_{21} \end{pmatrix} \sigma^*_1 
\]

(15)

where \( \tilde{\Gamma}_\pm = (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)/2 \pm (2t\sqrt{\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 + \Delta \omega \Delta \Gamma})/(2\sqrt{t^2 + \Delta \omega^2}) \), \( \tilde{\omega}_\pm = (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2 \pm \sqrt{t^2 + \Delta \omega^2} \), with \( \Delta \omega = (\omega_1 - \omega_2)/2 \) and \( \Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 \), and \( (\tilde{a}_+, \tilde{a}_-)\) is obtained by an orthogonal transformation. Since an orthogonal transformation does not change the trace of a matrix, it is sufficient to study \( \Delta \omega = \tilde{\omega}_+ - \tilde{\omega}_- \) and \( \Delta \Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma}_+ - \tilde{\Gamma}_- \), which are determined by \( t \), \( \Delta \omega \), and \( \Delta \Gamma \) via

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \tilde{\omega} &= 2\sqrt{t^2 + (\Delta \omega)^2} \\
\Delta \tilde{\Gamma} &= (2t + \Delta \omega \times \Delta \Gamma)/\sqrt{t^2 + (\Delta \omega)^2}
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

Here, and in what follows, we have scaled all involved physical quantities (i.e., \( \Delta \tilde{\omega}, \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}, \Delta \omega, \Delta \Gamma \), and \( t \)) by \( \sqrt{\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \) to make them dimensionless. Equation (16) shows that even for two resonators with fixed properties, one can still use the interresonator coupling \( t \) to change the properties of the “dressed” modes and, in turn, “design” the final lineshape of the coupled system.

The left and right panels in Fig. 4a depict, respectively, how \( \Delta \tilde{\omega} \) and \( \Delta \tilde{\Gamma} \) vary with \( \Delta \omega \) and \( t \), with \( \Delta \Gamma \) set at two different values. We find that while \( \Delta \tilde{\omega} \) exhibits circular equal-value lines on the \( \Delta \omega \sim t \) plane independent of \( \Delta \Gamma \), \( \Delta \tilde{\Gamma} \), exhibits fascinating behavior on the \( \Delta \omega \sim t \) plane depending sensitively on \( \Delta \Gamma \). In particular, on each \( \Delta \omega \sim t \) phase plane with a fixed \( \Delta \Gamma \), we always find two special lines, defined as \( \Delta \Gamma = 0 \) (red lines) and \( \Delta \Gamma = \pm (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2) \) (green lines), to separate the whole space into four sub-regions with distinct properties. Physically, while the
condition \( \Delta \tilde{\Gamma} = 0 \) implies that the two dressed modes have identical bandwidths (i.e., \( \tilde{\Gamma}_+ = \tilde{\Gamma}_- \)), the other condition \( \Delta \tilde{\Gamma} = \pm (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2) \) means that one dressed mode exhibits vanishing radiative damping. Interestingly, these two phase boundary lines rotate as \( \Delta \tilde{\Gamma} \) changes, as shown in Fig. 4b.

To illustrate the key features of the four subregions, we purposely choose eight points from a circle on the \( \Delta \omega \sim t \) plane with \( \Delta \tilde{\Gamma} = 2 \) (see Fig. 4a) and illustrate in Fig. 4c how the reflection spectra of the corresponding systems evolve. Consistent with our expectations, the spectra of systems 1 and 5 only exhibit one peak, as the other mode is completely dark, while the spectra of systems 3 and 7 exhibit two peaks with equal bandwidths. In between these special points, the spectra gradually evolve. Notably, the radiation damping (bandwidths) of the two “dressed” modes can vary continuously from 0 to \( \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \), while moving on the circle (see Fig. 4d).

The physics is very clear: now that the dressed modes are appropriate linear combinations of the two original modes, their radiation damping must also be linear combinations of that of the two original modes. Therefore, varying \( \Delta \omega \) and \( t \) can dramatically modify the relative portions of the two original modes in constructing the dressed modes and, in turn, efficiently control the radiative damping of the dressed modes. In principle, one can realize any desired lineshapes based on our phase diagram by choosing certain original modes and “tuning” the coupling \( t \). Of particular interest is the appearance of a purely dark mode with infinitely long lifetime, which shares the same physical origin as the BIC and has many interesting applications.49–51

We now experimentally verify our predictions on lineshape tailoring based on coupled systems constructed by the two resonators studied in Fig. 3a. Since \( t \) is solely determined by the overlap between the \( \psi_{mF}^{\text{NF}} \) of two resonators (see Eq. (9)), we understand that changing the resonators’ relative configuration can dramatically modify \( t \). Indeed, as we rotate the C-shaped resonator with respect to the bar resonator, we find that \( t \) drastically changes (see solid line in Fig. 5a). In particular, increasing the relative angle \( \theta \) between two resonators can drive \( t \) to change from a positive value to a negative value, passing through 0 at a particular angle. Such an intriguing \( t \sim \theta \) relation can be simply explained by an effective model for plasmonic coupling established previously.47,48
Choosing six points on the $t \sim \theta$ curve, as shown in Fig. 5a, we employ our theory to study the optical lineshapes of their corresponding realistic systems. Since the two original modes have fixed properties, these six systems with different $t$ are located on a straight line in the phase diagram passing through two phase boundaries (see Fig. 5b). Their reflection spectra, computed by our theory, are depicted in Fig. 5c as solid lines, exhibiting the expected behaviors. In particular, the spectrum of the third system only exhibits one peak, while that of the fifth system contains two equal-bandwidth peaks, consistent with the phase diagram shown in Fig. 5b. Once again, we emphasize that all spectra are calculated with our theory directly and without any fitting procedures.

We then perform both experiments and simulations to verify the above theoretical predictions. We fabricate samples according to the designs using the standard EBL method, with the right panel in Fig. 5c showing SEM images of the fabricated samples. Illuminating these samples with normally incident light with $E \parallel \hat{y}$, we measure their transmission/reflection spectra and depict the reflection spectra as solid triangles in Fig. 5c. We also perform FEM simulations to calculate their reflection spectra (open circles in Fig. 5c). Both the experimental and simulation results are in excellent agreement with the spectra obtained by our theory (solid lines in Fig. 5c). In particular, the measured/simulated spectra of sample 3 exhibit clear BIC features, while those of sample 5 contain two peaks with equal bandwidths. We also employ our theory to predict the transmission spectra of these systems, which are in excellent agreement with the measured and simulated results (see Sec. VIII in the Supplementary Information).

The solid line in Fig. 5d depicts how varying $t$ significantly modulates the radiative $Q$ factor of the low-frequency dressed mode, as predicted by our theory. That the $Q$ factor diverges at a specific point signifies the appearance of a BIC. The symbols are the $Q$ factors of six realistic samples obtained by analyzing their measured reflection spectra. Excellent agreement is noted between the experimental and analytical results. At the frequency where the BIC appears, the radiations from the two individual resonators exactly cancel each other, leading to vanishing of the total radiative loss (see Sec. VI in the Supplementary Information).

**Discussion**

In summary, we have derived a formal theoretical framework directly from Maxwell’s equations to study the optical responses of arbitrarily coupled photonic systems,
in which all involved parameters are unambiguously computable without any fitting procedures. After testing it against both Mie theory and numerical simulations on different systems, we illustrate how to employ it to design the lineshape of a coupled system by modulating the couplings between resonators. In particular, we show that one can always choose a specific coupling between two arbitrary resonators to make one of the “dressed” modes in the coupled system completely dark, creating a BIC. All predictions are quantitatively verified by our experiments and simulations at near-infrared wavelengths. In addition to revealing the profound physics underlying the coupling-induced phenomena, our theory also offers a powerful tool to design optical devices with well-controlled NF and FF properties, and can be extended to study coupled systems for other types of waves.

Materials and methods

Simulations

We employed FEM simulations using the commercial software COMSOL Multiphysics. The permittivity of Ag was described by the Drude model $\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 + i\omega\Gamma}$ with $\varepsilon_{\infty} = 5\varepsilon_0$, $\omega_0 = 0$ THz, and $\omega_p = 2\pi \times 2176.2$ THz. The effective damping rate was set as $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 38.3$ THz for the bar structure and $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 27.3$ THz for the C-shaped resonator, obtained by fitting with our experimental results. The SiO$_2$ spacer was considered a lossless dielectric with permittivity $\varepsilon = 2.42$. Additional losses caused by surface roughness and grain boundary effects in thin films, as well as dielectric losses were effectively considered in the fitting parameter $\Gamma$.

Fabrication

All our meta-devices were fabricated following standard EBL and lift off processes. First, the positive resist was successively spin coated on a silica substrate, and exposed with EBL (JEOL 6300) with an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. After exposure, the samples were developed in the solution of isopropanol alcohol and methyl isobutyl ketone. Then, 3 nm Cr and 30 nm Au/Ag were deposited using electron-beam evaporation. Finally, the top patterns were formed after a lift of process. All samples had dimensions of 80 µm × 80 µm.

Optical characterizations

We used a homemade macroscopic spectrometer equipped with a broadband supercontinuum white light source and a fiber-coupled grating spectrometer (Ideaoptics NIR2500) to characterize the optical properties of fabricated samples (see more details in Sec. VII of Supplementary Information).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11674068, No. 11734007, No. 91850101, and No. 11874118), National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFA0303504 and No. 2017YFA0700201), and National Science Foundation of Shanghai (No. 18ZR1403400). We acknowledge technical support from the Fudan Nanofabrication Laboratory for sample fabrication.

Author details

1State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Key Laboratory of Micro and Nano Photonic Structures (Ministry of Education) and Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. 2Key Laboratory of Specialty Fiber Optics and Optical Access Networks, Joint International Research Laboratory of Specialty Fiber Optics and Advanced Communication, Shanghai Institute for Advanced Communication and Data Science, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China. 3Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing 210093, China

Author contributions

J.L. and M.O. carried out the analytical modeling and simulations. Y.Z. fabricated all samples and conducted all experimental measurements. H.G. and Q.C. helped with simulations and data analyses. L.Z., S.X., and Q.H. conceived the idea and supervised the project. All authors contributed to the discussion and preparation of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplementary information

is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-020-00386-5.

Received: 4 May 2020 Revised: 8 August 2020 Accepted: 11 August 2020 Published online: 08 September 2020

References

1. Lamprecht, B. et al. Metal nanoparticle gratings: influence of dipolar particle interaction on the plasmon resonance. Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4721–4724 (2000).
2. Zhang, S. et al. Anti-Hermitian plasmon coupling of an array of gold thin-film antennas for controlling light at the nanoscale. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 195302 (2012).
3. Zhang, S. et al. Plasmon-induced transparency in metamaterials. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 047401 (2008).
4. Zhang, Y. et al. Coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering with single-molecule sensitivity using a plasmonic Fano resonance. Nat. Commun. 5, 4424 (2014).
5. Luk'yanchuk, B. et al. The Fano resonance in plasmonic nanostructures and metamaterials. Nat. Mater. 9, 707–715 (2010).
6. Miroshnichenko, A. E., Flach, S. & Kivshar, Y. S. Fano resonances in nanoscale structures. Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2257–2298 (2010).
7. Smith, K. C. et al. Direct observation of infrared plasmonic fano antiresonances by a nanoscale electron probe. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 177401 (2019).
8. Vasa, P. et al. Real-time observation of ultrafast Rabi oscillations between excitons and plasmons in metal nanostructures with J-aggregates. Nat. Photon. 7, 128–132 (2013).
9. Sugawara, Y. et al. Strong coupling between localized plasmons and organic excitons in metal nanowires. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 266808 (2006).
10. Khajavikhan, M. et al. Thresholdless nanoscale coaxial lasers. Nature 482, 204–207 (2012).
11. Droulias, S. et al. Novel lasers based on resonant dark states. Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 073901 (2017).
12. Anger, P., Bharadwaj, P. & Novotny, L. Enhancement and quenching of single-molecule fluorescence. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 113002 (2006).
13. Zhen, B. et al. Enabling enhanced emission and low-threshold lasing of organic molecules using special Fano resonances of macroscopic photonic crystals. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 13711–13716 (2013).
14. Kinkhabwala, A. et al. Large single-molecule fluorescence enhancements produced by a bowtie nanocantenna. Nat. Photon. 3, 654–657 (2009).
15. Brongersma, M. L., Hartman, J. W. & Atwater, H. A. Electromagnetic energy transfer and switching in nanoparticle chain arrays below the diffraction limit. Phys. Rev. B 62, R16535–R16539 (2000).

16. Maier, S. A. et al. Local detection of electromagnetic energy transport below the diffraction limit in metal nanoparticle plasmon waveguides. Nat. Mater. 2, 229–233 (2003).

17. Maier, S. A. et al. Energy transport in metal nanoparticle plasmon waveguides. MRS Proc. 777, T7.1 (2003).

18. Suh, W., Wang, Z. & Fan, S. H. Temporal coupled-mode theory and the presence of non-orthogonal modes in lossless multimode cavities. IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 40, 1511–1518 (2004).

19. Fan, S. H., Suh, W. & Joannopoulos, J. D. Temporal coupled-mode theory for the Fano resonance in optical resonators. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 20, 569–572 (2003).

20. Zhou, M. et al. Coupled mode theory for metasurface design. In Proceedings Volume 1108, Metamaterials, Metadevices, and Metasystems 2019 (SPIE, San Diego, California, United States, 2019).

21. Fan, Z. Y. et al. Perfect diffraction with multiresonant bianisotropic metagratings. ACS Photonics 5, 4030–4031 (2018).

22. Giannini, V. et al. Fano resonances in nanoscale plasmonic systems: a parameter-free modeling approach. Nano Lett. 11, 2835–2840 (2011).

23. Fano, U. Effects of configuration interaction on intensities and phase shifts. Phys. Rev. 124, 1866–1878 (1961).

24. Engheta, N., Sallandrino, A. & Alu, A. Circuit elements at optical frequencies: nanoinductors, nanocapacitors, and nanoresistors. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 095504 (2005).

25. Engheta, N. Circuits with light at nanoscales: optical nanocircuits inspired by metamaterials. Science 317, 1698–1702 (2007).

26. Xi, B. et al. Theory of coupling in dispersive photonic systems. Phys. Rev. B 83, 165115 (2011).

27. Sauvan, C. et al. Theory of the spontaneous optical emission of nanosize photonic and plasmon resonators. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 207401 (2013).

28. Alpeggiani, F. et al. Quasiresonance-mode expansion of the scattering matrix. Phys. Rev. X 7, 021035 (2017).

29. Chen, P. Y., Bergman, D. J. & Sivan, Y. Generalizing normal mode expansion of electromagnetic Green’s tensor to open systems. Phys. Rev. Appl. 11, 044018 (2019).

30. Hsu, C. W. et al. Bound states in the continuum. Nat. Rev. Mater. 1, 16048 (2016).

31. Koshelev, K. et al. Asymmetric metasurfaces with high-Q resonances governed by bound states in the continuum. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 199903 (2018).

32. Kittel, C. Introduction to Solid State Physics 8th edn (Wiley, New York, 2004).

33. Leung, P. T., Liu, S. Y. & Young, K. Completeness and orthogonality of quasinormal modes in leaky optical cavities. Phys. Rev. A 49, 3057–3067 (1994).

34. Gérard, J. M. in Single Quantum Dots, Vol. 90 (ed. Mchlier, P.) 269 (Springer, Berlin, 2003).

35. Chang, R. K. & Campillo, A. J. Optical Processes in Microcavities (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996).

36. Guan, F. X. et al. Transmission/reflection behaviors of surface plasmons at an interface between two plasmonic systems. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 30, 114002 (2018).

37. Guan, F. X. et al. Scattering from surface plasmons to propagating waves at plasmonic discontinuities. Sci. Bull. 64, 802–807 (2019).

38. Novotny, L. & Hecht, B. Principles of Nano-Optics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012).

39. Morse, P. M. & Feshbach, H. E. Methods of theoretical physics. Am. J. Phys. 22, 410–413 (1954).

40. Ramian, A. & Fan, S. H. Photonic band structure of dispersive metamaterials formulated as a Hermitian eigenvalue problem. Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 087401 (2010).

41. Vesiliegars, L. et al. From electromagnetically induced transparency to super-scattering with a single structure: a coupled-mode theory for doubly resonant structures. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 083902 (2012).

42. Ruan, Z. C. & Fan, S. H. Temporal coupled-mode theory for Fano resonance in light scattering by a single obstacle. J. Phys. Chem. C 114, 7324–7329 (2010).

43. Hamam, R. E. et al. Coupled-mode theory for general free-space resonant scattering of waves. Phys. Rev. A 75, 053801 (2007).

44. van de Hulst, H. C. Light Scattering by Small Particles (Courier Corporation, New York, 1981).

45. Kuwata, H. et al. Resonant light scattering from metal nanoparticles: practical analysis beyond Rayleigh approximation. Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 4625–4627 (2003).

46. Hsu, C. W. et al. Theoretical criteria for scattering dark states in nanostructured particles. Nano Lett. 14, 2783–2788 (2014).

47. Xi, B. et al. Effective model for plasmonic coupling: a rigorous derivation. Phys. Rev. B 89, 035110 (2014).

48. Qiu, M. et al. Angular dispersions in terahertz metasurfaces: physics and applications. Phys. Rev. Appl. 9, 054050 (2018).

49. Lee, J. et al. Observation and differentiation of unique high-Q optical resonances near zero wave vector in macroscopic photonic crystal slabs. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 067401 (2012).

50. Hsu, C. W. et al. Observation of trapped light within the radiation continuum. Nature 499, 188–191 (2013).

51. Plotnik, Y. et al. Experimental observation of optical bound states in the continuum. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 183901 (2011).