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Abstract

Background: House dust mites (HDM) are considered as a major source of indoor aeroallergen all over the world that precipitate allergic reactions including rhinoconjunctivitis, food allergy, atopic dermatitis, and allergic asthma. In this study, we aimed to assess the clinical and laboratory profile of some Egyptian asthmatic children who are sensitized to house dust mites and determine the association of HDM sensitization and severity of asthma according to recent GINA guidelines.

Results: The most frequent clinical phenotype among the HDM-positive group was a cough (96.7%), while the clinical phenotypic wheeze was frequent in HDM negative group (96.7%). There is a statistically significant difference between studied cases classified according to sensitivity to HDM regarding level of asthma control (50.0% were uncontrolled among cases sensitive to HDM and 56.7% of cases non-sensitive to HDM were controlled (p = 0.001*). Severity according to treatment results was significantly higher among cases sensitive to HDM (26.7% of cases sensitive to HDM versus 3.3% of cases non-sensitive to HDM), p = 0.017.

Conclusions: HDM sensitization is associated mainly with cough asthma phenotype and allergic rhinitis in our studied cases. HDM sensitization increases asthma severity and decreases the ability to control asthma symptoms.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrial.gov, NCT04958616.
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Background

Bronchial asthma is considered as an important worldwide illness that affects different age categories. Asthma prevalence is still increasing specially in developing countries, thus rising treatment costs leading to more economic burden for patients and health authorities [1].

Severity of bronchial asthma can be assessed evaluated retrospectively according to the step of treatment that achieve control of asthma symptoms and flare up attacks, after the patient has undergone controller drugs for at least three months [2]. Uncontrolled asthma not only increases emergency department visits and hospitalizations, but also affects activities of daily living. More than 50% of patients had restrictions in daily activities in all age groups [3].

House dust mites (HDM) are considered as a major source of indoor aeroallergen all over the world that precipitate allergic reactions including rhinoconjunctivitis, food allergy, atopic dermatitis, and allergic asthma [4].

The most prevalent HDM isolated from dust samples are Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus and Dermatophagoides farina. Allergens derived from mites can trigger allergy symptoms by either close external skin or mucous contact (conjunctivitis, eczema), respiratory system (rhinitis, asthma, and eczema), and ingestion rout...
(urticarial and anaphylaxis) in atopic persons [5]. It is clear that mite allergy is a major factor in asthma in many parts of the world, and avoiding it in the long term can be effective in preventing allergies and reducing the development and severity of respiratory diseases [6].

Hossny et al. stated that *Dermatophagoides farina* and *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* represented the commonest sensitizing strains in studied samples in Egypt [7]. However, the published data on HDM sensitization from Egypt are scanty also; the association with severe asthma is not well known. The main purpose of this study was to determine the profile of some Egyptian asthmatic children who are sensitized to house dust mites and determine the association of HDM sensitization and severity of asthma according to recent GINA guidelines.

**Methods**

This is an observational, case control study. The study was carried out in Allergy, Clinical Immunology and pediatric pulmonology Unit, at Mansoura University Children Unit during the years 2018–2019. The study included 60 children above 6 years with atopic asthma. They were 42 males and 18 females; their age ranged from 8 to 17 years. Bronchial asthma diagnosis was based on clinical manifestations and spirometry results. Atopy was defined as presence of symptoms of atopic dermatitis, allergic rhino conjunctivitis, or food allergy; it was confirmed when asthmatic children fulfilled one of the following criteria: higher serum level of total IgE (more than 32 IU/ml), eosinophilia (differential count more than 5% or absolute count more than 450/μl), or positive skin prick test (3 mm greater than control).

Asthmatic children were maintained on controller therapy for at least 6 months. They were classified according to skin prick test results into two groups:

- **Group1**: It included 30 atopic asthmatic children, who were sensitive to one of the two HDM (*D. pteronyssinus* or *D. farina*).
- **Group2**: It included 30 atopic asthmatic children who were not sensitive to HDM allergy.

**Sampling**

Samples were convenient and patients were enrolled in the study by consecutive manner.

**Careful history taking**

- Personal and demographic data, e.g., age, sex, and residence.
- Asthma symptoms; cough, wheeze, as well as exercise-induced asthma.
- Symptoms of other atopic diseases in the child including allergic march.

- Based on frequency of each symptom, assessment of asthma control was done according to GINA 2017.

**Pulmonary function test (spirometry)**

Forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1%); forced vital capacity (FVC %); FEV1/FVC ratio; and forced expiratory flow rate from 25 to 75% of vital capacity (FEF25–75%) were measured by spirometry (Master Screen pediatrics). The highest reading of three successive measurements was taken. Reference values were computed according to the recommendations of the American Thoracic Society standards of acceptability and reproducibility in subjects above the age of 6 years who need cooperation for forced respiratory maneuver [8].

**Skin prick test**: for *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* and *Dermatophagoides farina*. Through the use of specific allergen extracts, positive and negative controls, then interpretation after 15–20 min of maneuver, a wheal ≥ 3 mm diameter is considered positive [9]. Before the test, we checked that the patient has not taken medications that might interfere with the test and antihistamines had stopped using before the skin prick test (2 days for the first generation and 7 days for the second generation) [10].

**Eosinophil count**

Blood sample was collected in EDTA tube. Total leucocytic count and eosinophilia % were determined by automated cell counter [11].

**Serum IgE**

Blood samples were collected into tubes with ethylene tetra-acetic acid (EDTA). After incubation at room temperature for 20 min, tubes were centrifuged for 15 min at 2500 revolutions per minute (rpm). Supernatant levels of IgE were determined by the ELISA technique [12].

**Assessment level of asthma severity**

Classification of asthma according to disease severity is defined level (mild, moderate, severe) according to treatment steps when the patient has been maintained on regular controller therapy for several months. Patient maintained on step 2 treatments are often classified as having mild asthma. Those that receive steps 3–4 as moderate asthma and those prescribed steps 4–5 as having moderate to severe asthma [2].

**Assessment level of asthma control**

Based on asthma control score according to GINA guideline defined as controlled, partially controlled and well controlled [3].
**Statistical analysis**

Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. The following tests were used:

**Qualitative data** Chi-square test for comparison of 2 or more groups. Monte Carlo test as correction for Chi-square test when more than 25% of cells have count less than 5 in tables (> 2*2).

**Quantitative data between groups** Student \( t \) test was used to compare 2 independent group. Mann-Whitney \( U \) test was used to compare 2 independent groups.

Spearman’s correlation and binary stepwise logistic regression were used.

**Results**

- Table 1 shows mean age of the studied cases was 11.05 and 11.75 years respectively with 63.3% of cases sensitive to HDM and 76.7% of cases non-sensitive to HDM without statistically significant difference between both groups. The most frequent clinical phenotype among the HDM-positive group was a cough (96.7%), while the clinical phenotypic wheeze was frequent in HDM negative group (96.7%).

- There is a statistically significant difference between studied cases classified according to sensitivity to HDM regarding level of asthma control (50.0% were uncontrolled among cases sensitive to HDM and 56.7% of cases non-sensitive to HDM were controlled \( p = 0.001* \)). Severity according to treatment results was significantly higher among cases sensitive to HDM (26.7% of cases sensitive to HDM versus 3.3% of cases non-sensitive to HDM), \( p = 0.017* \) (Table 1).

- There was a statistically significant association between atopic dermatitis frequency and sensitivity to HDM (43.3% of cases sensitive to HDM and 70.0% among non-sensitive cases to HDM). A non-statistically significant difference was found between cases sensitive and non-sensitive to HDM regarding conjunctivitis, food allergy, rhinitis, IgE, and eosinophils median values (Table 2).

- Pulmonary function tests among cases according to sensitivity to house dust mites revealed that there was no statistically significant difference of mean FEV\%, FVC\%, and FEV/FVC\% among cases sensitive to HDM than cases non-sensitive to HDM.

| Table 1 Clinical and demographic characteristics among studied cases | Sensitivity to house dust mites. | Test of significance |
|---|---|---|
| | Positive \( N = 30 \) (%) | Negative \( N = 30 \) (%) | |
| Age/years | 11.05 ± 2.74 | 11.75 ± 3.04 | \( t = 0.938 \) \( p = 0.352 \) |
| Sex | | | |
| Male | 19 (63.3) | 2 (6.7) | \( \chi^2 = 1.27 \) \( p = 0.260 \) |
| Female | 11 (36.7) | 7 (23.3) | | |
| Difficulty of breathing | 25 (83.3) | 27 (93.1) | \( t = 1.35 \) \( p = 0.246 \) |
| Cough | 29 (96.7) | 28 (93.3) | FET \( p = 1.0 \) |
| Wheezes | 27 (90.0) | 29 (96.7) | \( \chi^2 = 1.07 \) \( p = 0.301 \) |
| Level of control | | | |
| Uncontrolled | 15 (50.0) | 8 (26.7) | MC \( p = 0.001* \) |
| Controlled | 3 (10.0) | 17 (56.7) | | |
| Partially controlled | 12 (40.0) | 5 (16.6) | | |
| Severity according to treatment: | | | |
| Mild | 8 (26.7) | 16 (53.3) | MC \( p = 0.017* \) |
| Moderate | 14 (46.6) | 13 (43.3) | | |
| Severe | 8 (26.7) | 1 (3.4) | | |

*MC Monte Carlo test

*Statistically significant (if \( p < 0.05 \)
However, median MEF75% was significantly lower among cases sensitive to HDM (46% versus 55%).

- Among significant predictors of moderate to severe bronchial asthma, sensitivity to HDM increases risk of severe asthma by 3.14 more times than non-sensitive cases (OR = 3.14, 95% CI 1.07–9.27), precipitation to smoke increases risk of asthma severity by 1.072, and presence of dermatitis, conjunctivitis, and presence of food allergy increases risk of asthma severity by (1.04, 13.73, and 1.06, respectively) (Table 3, Fig. 1).

Table 2 Allergic factors distribution among cases according to sensitivity to house dust mites

| Allergy factors          | Sensitive to house dust mites. | Test of significance |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
|                          | Positive N = 30(%)             | Negative N = 30(%)   |                       |
| Atopic dermatitis        | 13 (43.3)                      | 21 (70.0)            | \(\chi^2 = 4.34 \) p = 0.037* |
| Allergic conjunctivitis  | 18 (60.0)                      | 20 (66.70)           | \(\chi^2 = 0.287 \) p = 0.592 |
| Food allergy             | 8 (26.7)                       | 7 (23.30)            | \(\chi^2 = 0.089 \) p = 0.766 |
| Rhinitis                 | 26 (86.7)                      | 22 (73.3)            | \(\chi^2 = 1.67 \) p = 0.197 |

Eosinophils %

| Median (Range) | Mean ± SD | Median (Range) | z  | p  |
|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----|----|
| Mean ± SD      | 4.07 (0.16–15.7) | 2.95 (0.22–4.84) | 1.67 | 0.012 |
| IgE IU /ml     | 4.84 ± 4.57 | 2.99 ± 1.60    | z   | 0.549 |
| Median (Range) | 159.70 (2.6–2500) | 26.3 (2.6–536)   | z   | 0.107 |

\(\chi^2\) Chi-square test

*Statistically test (p < 0.05)

Table 3 Predictors of moderate and severe asthma among studied cases

| B          | P         | AOR (95% CI) |
|------------|-----------|-------------|
| Sensitivity to HDM +VE | 1.145 | 0.038* | 3.14 (1.07–9.27) |
| -VE (reference group) | | | |
| Age/years | −0.015 | 0.878 | 0.985 (0.817–1.189) |
| MEF75% | 0.012 | 0.539 | 1.012 (0.975–1.05) |
| FVC% | .01 | 0.939 | 0.939 (0.970–1.03) |
| PEF | −0.018 | 0.326 | 0.982 (0.947–1.018) |
| Dyspnea | −1.227 | .414 | .293 (0.015–5.56) |
| Cough | .211 | .915 | 1.235 (0.026–59.65) |
| Wheeze | −.495 | .804 | .610 (0.012–30.61) |
| Infection | .225 | .824 | 1.253 (0.171–9.17) |
| Food | −1.090 | .208 | .336 (0.061–1.84) |
| Emotion | −1.670 | .073 | .188 (0.03–1.17) |
| Smoke | 2.625 | .048* | 1.072 (1.005–1.972) |
| Contact animals | 1.346 | .239 | 3.843 (0.408–36.16) |
| Allergy odor | .596 | .540 | 1.814 (0.27–12.18) |
| Dermatitis | 3.226 | .006* | 1.040 (1.004–2.395) |
| Conjunctivitis | 2.619 | .031* | 13.729 (1.28–17.75) |
| Food allergy | −2.805 | .017* | 1.061 (1.006–2.603) |
| Rhinitis | .257 | .809 | 1.29 (0.161–10.41) |

AOR adjusted odds ratio. CI confidence interval

*Statistically significant \(\beta\) constant \(p\) probability
Correlation between severity grade and pulmonary function tests among cases sensitive to HDM demonstrates a statistically significant negative correlation between asthma severity among cases sensitive to HDM and the following variables; MEF75 ($r = -0.412$), level of asthma control ($r = -0.729$), FEV1 ($r = -0.696$), FEV/FVC ($r = -0.613$), PEF($r = -0.564$). In addition, there is a significant positive correlation between asthma severity and serum IgE ($r = 0.725$). In addition, there was significant negative correlation between asthma severity and level of asthma control among those patients (Table 4).

There is no statistically significant correlation between asthma severity among cases non-sensitive to HDM and age, pulmonary function tests, and laboratory results.

### Discussion
HDM are considering the main indoor allergens to which infants and children are regularly exposed. In Egypt, prevalence of asthma among schoolchildren in Nile Delta region was previously assessed using modified ISAAC questionnaire and was found to be 7.7% [13]. Classification of asthma according to disease severity is defined by level (mild, moderate, severe) according to treatment steps after the patient has been maintained on controller asthma therapy for several months. As regards the comparison of clinical presentation between two groups, the present study found that the most frequent clinical phenotype among the HDM-positive group was cough (96.7%), while clinical phenotypic wheeze was the most frequent among the HDM-negative group (96.7%). Similar to our result, Wu et al. (2011) [14] who showed that among 286 children with cough variant asthma tested by skin prick test (SPT), the commonest allergen were *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* and *Dermatophagoides farinae* 58.04% and 54.16% respectively.

In dissimilarity to that, Takeamura et al. (2007) disclosed that patients with classic asthma phenotype whom presented with wheezing chest had higher rates of sensitization to HDM [15].

Regarding the co-existence of atopic dermatitis, the present study revealed that there is a statistically significant difference between HDM-positive groups (43.3% versus 70%, $p = 0.037$) and HDM-negative groups.

Atopic dermatitis (AD) affects 15% to 30% of children [16]. In contrast to our result [17], Adham and Tawfik (2012) found that atopic patients with HDM hypersensitivity suffered more severe and more chronic forms atopic dermatitis. Also, Sybilski et al. (2015) showed that children with AD were sensitized most commonly to *D. pteronyssinus* and *D. farinae* allergens ~ 30% of participants [18]. So further larger studies are needed to insure the relation between atopic dermatitis and to *D. pteronyssinus* and *D. farinae* allergens in our locality.

On the other hand, there was a higher frequency of allergic rhinitis in HDM-positive group (86.7% versus 73.3%, $p = 0.197$) to HDM-negative group but without statistically significant difference in this study. In agreement with our result, the most common inhaled allergens to which the AR children were sensitive were *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* (69.3%), *Dermatophagoides farinae* (66.2%), and [19]. HDM allergens excreted in fecal

| Severity according to treatment | Age/years | Rs | P   |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----|-----|
|                                  |                                 |    | .029|
|                                  |                                 |    | .878|
|                                 | IgE                             | Rs | .725|
|                                  |                                 | P  | .027*|
|                                 | Control level                   | Rs | .729|
|                                  |                                 | P  | <0.001*|
|                                 | FEV1%                           | Rs | -.696|
|                                  |                                 | P  | <0.001*|
|                                 | FVC%                            | Rs | .000|
|                                  |                                 | P  | 1.000|
|                                 | FEV/FVC%                        | Rs | -.613|
|                                  |                                 | P  | <0.001*|
|                                 | MEF75%                          | Rs | -.412|
|                                  |                                 | P  | .041*|
|                                 | PEF                             | Rs | -.564|
|                                  |                                 | P  | .002*|

$n$ Spearman correlation co-efficient

*Statistically significant (if $p < 0.05$)
pellets can be airborne and can easily enter the nostrils and airways so can contribute to allergic rhinitis or asthma pathogenesis [20].

This study showed that there was a higher level of median IgE level in positive HDM cases 159.7 IU/ml (2.6–2500 IU/ml) than HDM-negative cases 26.3 IU/ml (2.6–536 IU/ml), and there was weak statistically significant positive correlation between asthma severity and IgE level among positive cases in multivariate analysis (rs = 0.725, p = .027). Similar to our result found by [21] and [22]. In contrast, Kumar et al. (2017) observed no significant correlation between serum IgE and the severity of asthma. According to the level of asthma control, there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups as regard numbers of the uncontrolled patients; (15 cases, 50% versus 8 cases, 26.7%, p = 0.001) between positive cases and negative cases [23].

In agreement with our study, Teo et al. (2015) showed that there was an association between sensitization to D. pteronyssinus and D. farinae and the control of asthma [24]. In contrast, Tanaka et al. (2016) showed that sensitization to house dust mites, Japanese cedar was not associated with poor asthma control [25]; this can be explained by different environmental factors including climate and degree of humidity in different localities.

In this study as regard asthma severity, cases were divided into mild, moderate, and severe according to treatment steps. There was a statistically significant difference between the two groups as regard to severe cases (26.7% of positive group versus 3.3% negative group; p = 0.017).

In harmony with our result, Jiménez-Feijoo et al. (2019) explained that sensitization to Der p allergen must be considered in the diagnosing and treating mite allergy, particularly in cases with moderate and severe asthma as it might worsen the clinical phenotype [26]. In contrast to this, Just et al. (2017) showed that in allergic asthma phenotype, ninety-eight percent of children in HDM-sensitized group had mild asthma [27]. As regard PFTS, there is statistically significant difference in mean values of MEV 75% (p = 0.031) between two groups, while there is no statistically significant difference in mean values of FEV, FEV/FVC % (p = 0.127 and p = 0.197 respectively) between two groups. There was no statistically significant difference between two groups as regard severity of disease according to FEV1. In the current work, there was statistically significant negative correlation between severity, PFTS values FEV1, and FEV/FVC% (both p value > 0.00) among cases sensitive to HDM.

Reduced FEV1% is commonly used to define the degree of asthma severity in children treatment guideline. In addition, Mingotti et al. (2020) showed that FEV1/ FVC% in the lower range of normality is a marker of worse clinical outcomes in asthmatics without airway obstruction [28].

Contrary to adult and our result, spirometry usually have less predictive value in assessment of asthma severity in young children, also previous studies examining the relation between FEV1% and asthma severity in children revealed a very weak correlation between pulmonary function and asthma symptoms [29].

We believe that a limitation of our result is due to the relatively small sample size studied; therefore, further studies on larger number of Egyptian asthmatic children may achieve significant findings.

Conclusions

HDM sensitization is associated mainly with cough asthma phenotype and allergic rhinitis in our studied cases. HDM sensitization increases asthma severity and decreases the ability to control asthma symptoms. So our recommendations include:

- Skin testing should be considered for all asthmatic patients with uncontrolled asthma.
- Early diagnosis and stop of exposure to allergens are essential to prevent further deterioration of lung function.
- Measures to avoid HDM should be considered in asthmatic patient’s homes including occlusive air-permeable fabric protectors that prevent the HDM from getting through the bedding for pillows and mattresses in addition to use of hardwood floors.
- Immunotherapy can be used to combat HDM consequences of allergy.
- Severe asthma categorization into phenotypes and endotypes, using specific biomarkers, led to the concepts of “personalized medicine.” Therefore, the use of anti-IgE monoclonal antibody will be beneficial in patients with higher level of total IgE level. Also, follow up of serum IgE can be used to follow severity improvement instead of repeating skin test.
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