DEG 5.0, a database of essential genes in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes
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ABSTRACT

Essential genes are those indispensable for the survival of an organism, and their functions are therefore considered a foundation of life. Determination of a minimal gene set needed to sustain a life form, a fundamental question in biology, plays a key role in the emerging field, synthetic biology. Five years after we constructed DEG, a database of essential genes, DEG 5.0 has significant advances over the 2004 version in both the number of essential genes and the number of organisms in which these genes are determined. The number of prokaryotic essential genes in DEG has increased about 10-fold, mainly owing to genome-wide gene essentiality screens performed in a wide range of bacteria. The number of eukaryotic essential genes has increased more than 5-fold, because DEG 1.0 only had yeast ones, but DEG 5.0 also has those in humans, mice, worms, fruit flies, zebrafish and the plant Arabidopsis thaliana. These updates not only represent significant advances of DEG, but also represent the rapid progress of the essential-gene field. DEG is freely available at the website http://tubic.tju.edu.cn/deg or http://www.essentialgene.org.

INTRODUCTION

Essential genes are those indispensable for the survival of an organism under certain conditions, and the functions they encode are therefore considered a foundation of life. Essential genes of an organism constitute its minimal gene set, which is the smallest possible group of genes that would be sufficient to sustain a functioning cellular life form under the most favorable conditions (1–3). Determination of the minimal gene set for an organism addresses a conceptually important question: what are the basic functions needed to sustain a life form, and therefore the minimal-gene-set concept plays a key role in the emerging field, synthetic biology (4). Essential-gene studies are of interest for practical reasons as well. For instance, essential genes, because of lethality from their disruptions, are attractive targets of antibiotics (5). Some essential genes that are conserved across species are candidates for broad-spectrum drug targets, whereas those specific for one bacterium are candidates for species-specific ones.

In 2004, we constructed DEG 1.0, a database of essential genes (6). In the past five years, fueled by the accumulation of sequenced genomes, sophisticated genome-wide mutagenesis techniques (7), and the burgeoning field of synthetic biology (8–10), significant advances have been made in determining essential genes in a wide range of organisms. This paper represents an update, DEG 5.0, a database of essential genes in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

SUMMARY OF DATABASE UPDATES

In parallel to the rapid progress of the essential-gene field, DEG 5.0 has significant advances over DEG 1.0 by the following changes:

(1) The number of prokaryotic essential genes has increased about 10-fold, from 543 to 5260 (Table 1).

(i) In DEG 1.0, some essential genes, e.g. those in Escherichia coli, were collected from literature searches, but in DEG 5.0 these records were replaced by those determined by genome-wide studies using the genetic footprinting technique (11) and systematic gene knockout experiments (12), (ii) In DEG 1.0, some essential genes, e.g. those in Haemophilus influenzae were determined by theoretical prediction from comparative genomics studies (13), but in DEG 5.0 these records were replaced by those determined by genome-wide studies using global transposon mutagenesis (14) and (iii) In 2004, only two genome-wide studies in identifying bacterial essential genes were done, but now 12 have been finished.

(2) The number of essential genes in eukaryotes has increased more than 5-fold, from 878 to 4808, because DEG 1.0 only had yeast essential genes,
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but DEG 5.0 also has those in humans, mice, worms, fruit flies, zebrafish and the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

**DATABASE DESCRIPTION**

**Essential genes in prokaryotes**

Determination of a minimal gene set for cellular life was made possible by the availability of the first two completely sequenced genomes from the bacteria *Mycoplasma genitalium* (15) and *H. influenzae* (16). An attempt to determine a minimal gene set was pioneered by Koonin and coworkers by comparing these two sequenced genomes that belong to two ancient bacterial lineages, based on a notion that genes that are conserved between them are likely essential for cellular functions (13).

In 1999, Venter’s group performed the first global transposon mutagenesis in *M. genitalium* to experimentally address the question of what is the minimal gene set for a living organism (17), and about 300 genes were estimated to be essential and were included in DEG 1.0. However, the concept of global transposon mutagenesis is in fact based on the identification of non-essential genes, i.e. those disrupted by transposons are identified, and those not disrupted are considered essential. Therefore, to gain the proof of gene dispensability in *M. genitalium*, Venter’s group isolated and characterized every Tn4001 insertion mutants that were present in individual colonies picked from agar plates (18). Consequently, 382 genes were demonstrated to be essential, and these genes were included in DEG 5.0 by replacing those in version 1.0. A high-density transposon mutagenesis strategy was also applied to *H. influenzae* (14), and the essential genes so obtained replaced corresponding records in DEG 1.0, which were determined by comparative genomics (13).

In DEG 1.0, essential genes of *E. coli* were collected from http://magpie.genome.wisc.edu/~chris/essential.html, in which essential genes were obtained by searching related literatures. Using a genetic footprinting technique, Gerdes et al. (11) conducted a genome-wide, comprehensive experimental assessment of the *E. coli* genes necessary for robust aerobic growth, and consequently, 620 genes were identified to be essential. In addition, the Keio collection contains 303 essential genes that were determined by systematic single-gene knockout experiments (12). Therefore, in DEG 5.0, essential gene records obtained by literature search were replaced by those obtained through both genome-wide mutagenesis studies (11) and systematic single-gene knockout experiments (12), except that only one copy is retained for the 205 genes that overlap between the two studies.

About 100 *Streptococcus pneumoniae* essential genes were determined by a high-throughput gene disruption system (19). Later, 133 essential genes were determined by allelic replacement mutagenesis (20). In DEG 5.0, the two results were combined by removing redundant records, resulting in 244 essential genes in *S. pneumoniae*. DEG 1.0 contained 65 *Staphylococcus aureus* essential genes determined by using antisense RNA technique (21), and DEG 5.0 now contains 302 *S. aureus* essential genes by combining with results from the studies using the rapid shotgun antisense RNA method (22).

In the past several years, many genome-wide mutagenesis studies have been performed in a wide range of bacteria. In addition to those mentioned above, DEG 5.0 contains essential genes determined by large-scale

---

**References**

1. **Table 1. Contents of DEG version 5.0**

| No. | Kingdom | Organism | Essential gene no. | Method | Saturated or near saturated | References |
|-----|---------|----------|--------------------|--------|----------------------------|------------|
| 1   | Prokaryote | Acinetobacter baylyi | 499 | Single-gene deletions | Y | (23) |
| 2   | Prokaryote | Bacillus subtilis | 271 | Single-gene deletions | Y | (24) |
| 3   | Prokaryote | Escherichia coli | 712 | Genetic footprinting and single-gene deletions | Y | (11,12) |
| 4   | Prokaryote | Francisella novicida | 392 | Transposon mutagenesis | Y | (25) |
| 5   | Prokaryote | Haemophilus influenzae | 642 | Transposon mutagenesis | Y | (14) |
| 6   | Prokaryote | Helicobacter pylori | 323 | Transposon mutagenesis | Y | (26) |
| 7   | Prokaryote | Mycoplasma bacterium treduculum | 614 | Transposon mutagenesis | Y | (27) |
| 8   | Prokaryote | Mycoplasma genitalium | 381 | Transposon mutagenesis | Y | (18) |
| 9   | Prokaryote | Mycoplasma pulmonis | 310 | Transposon mutagenesis | Y | (28) |
| 10  | Prokaryote | Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 335 | Transposon mutagenesis | Y | (29) |
| 11  | Prokaryote | Salmonella typhimurium | 230 | Insertional-duplication mutagenesis | Y | (31) |
| 12  | Prokaryote | Staphylococcus aureus | 302 | Antisense RNA | N | (21,22) |
| 13  | Prokaryote | Streptococcus pneumoniae | 244 | Single-gene deletions and allelic replacement mutagenesis | N | (19,20) |
| 14  | Prokaryote | Vibrio cholerae | 5 | Transposon mutagenesis | N | (40) |
| 15  | Eukaryote | Arabidopsis thaliana | 777 | T-DNA insertion | N | (36) |
| 16  | Eukaryote | Caenorhabditis elegans | 294 | RNA interference | N | (34) |
| 17  | Eukaryote | Danto rerio | 288 | Insertion mutagenesis | N | (35) |
| 18  | Eukaryote | Drosophila melanogaster | 339 | P-element insertion mutagenesis | N | (33) |
| 19  | Eukaryote | Homo sapiens | 118 | Literature search | N | (38) |
| 20  | Eukaryote | Mus musculus | 2114 | Literature search | N | (37) |
| 21  | Eukaryote | Saccharomyces cerevisiae | 878 | Single-gene deletions | Y | (32) |

*In some cases, there is a slight difference between the essential-gene number reported and that in DEG. This is mainly due to annotation changes in the latest versions of genomes such that some records could not be found, or because reported results contain identical records or are only partially published.*
single-gene deletion studies in *Acinetobacter baylyi* (23) and *Bacillus subtilis* (24), those determined by global transposon mutagenesis in *Francisella novicida* (25), *Helicobacter pylori* (26), *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (27), *Mycoplasma pulmonis* (28) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (29,30), and those determined by trapping lethal insertions in *Salmonella typhimurium* (31).

**Essential genes in eukaryotes**

Another major improvement in DEG 5.0 is the inclusion of essential genes of many eukaryotes, including animals and the plant *A. thaliana*, whereas the only eukaryotic species in DEG 1.0 was *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (32). The goal of determining bacterial minimal gene set also applies to eukaryotes, i.e. to define a minimal gene set needed to produce a living multicellular organism or a viable plant. Although this goal is obviously too ambitious at the current stage, much effort has already been devoted in the identification of essential genes in eukaryotes.

In the Drosophila genome, about 25% of genes were disrupted by P-element insertions by The Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project (33), and those genes whose disruption had lethal phenotypes were collected in DEG 5.0. In the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome, using the RNA interference, Kamath et al. (34) inhibited the activity of about 86% of all genes, and characterized their phenotypes, and genes whose inhibition were lethal were included in DEG 5.0. Hopkins and coworkers conducted a large-scale insertional mutagenesis in zebrafish to identify genes essential for embryonic and early larval development (35), and the identified essential genes were collected in DEG 5.0. The first large-scale identification of essential genes in a flowering plant was performed by Meinke and coworker in *A. thaliana* by characterizing a large number of T-DNA insertion lines (36), and the identified essential genes were collected in DEG 5.0.

Large-scale gene inactivation studies have not been performed in mice, likely due to technical difficulties and labor intensiveness, however, because mice are probably the most important model organism, a large number of genes have already been inactivated by individual laboratories. In a study comparing essentiality between duplicate genes and singleton genes, Liao and Zhang (37) analyzed nearly 3900 individually inactivated mouse genes, and found that about 55% were essential in both singletons and duplicates. The essential genes analyzed in this study were collected in DEG 5.0. In another study comparing human and mouse essential genes, Liao and Zhang (38) extensively reviewed literatures to find genes whose null mutations in humans are lethal, and these human essential genes were also collected in DEG 5.0.

**User interface and data access**

The whole database is divided into two subdatabases, those of prokaryotic and eukaryotic essential genes. Each entry has a unique DEG identification number, gene name, gene reference number, gene function, and DNA and protein sequences. For prokaryotic essential genes, a link to the COG information (39) is also provided. All information is stored and operated by an open-source database management system, MySQL, which allows rapid data retrieval. There are several ways by which users can have access to the data. Users can browse the essential gene records, and can also search for essential genes by their names, functions, accession numbers and organisms. In addition, users can also perform BLAST searches against DEG for query DNA or protein sequences. Because the database is composed of two subdatabases, i.e. those for prokaryotes and eukaryotes, users need to perform the functions of Browse, Search and BLAST in individual databases. In addition, the whole database can also be downloaded upon request.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENT**

DEG 5.0 has significant advances over DEG 1.0 in both the number of essential genes and the number of organisms in which these genes are determined. These updates not only represent significant advances over the 2004 version of DEG, but also represent the rapid progress of the essential-gene field. In future, in prokaryotes, fueled by the availability of more and more complete genomes and the emerging field, synthetic biology, it is expected that the increase in the essential gene number will accelerate, whereas in eukaryotic model organisms, because most gene essentiality screens are far from saturated, the number of essential genes is also expected to grow. These advances will be reflected timely by DEG future updates. We welcome users’ comments, corrections and new information, which will be used for updating. DEG is freely available at the website http://tubic.tju.edu.cn/deg or http://www.essentialgene.org.
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