A protocol for systematic review of *Plantago major* L. effectiveness in accelerating wound-healing in animal models
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Studies have indicated that *Plantago major* L. (*P. major*) has therapeutic properties, such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antifungal, immunostimulatory, and tissue regeneration. This plant species is assumed to provide potent tissue repair and healing in treatments of skin wound injuries, but the understanding of its effectiveness is still unclear. The systematic review proposed herein aims to assess effectiveness of *P. major* for wound healing in animal models.

**Methods:** We will conduct database searches in BVS, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, and CABDirect. Reviewers will independently evaluate titles, abstracts, and full-text articles retrieved from databases to identify potentially eligible studies. Relevant articles will be assessed for risk of bias and quality. The database searches will include analysis of wound healing rate through macroscopic evaluation, photo images, or calculation of the wound area retraction until the wound closure. Relevant data will be compiled for the capability and effectiveness of *P. major* treatments in accelerating wound healing. Random effects meta-analysis models will be employed to compare among groups based on outcome variables from studies reporting sufficient high-quality data.

**Discussion:** Results of this systematic review will be presented in a narrative synthesis form. They will provide a summary and clear understanding of the relevant current questions and evidences directly related to *P. major* effective tissue repair and healing. Outcomes of this systematic review will contribute with important information that could benefit future research efforts and potential applicability in humans.

**Systematic review registration:** PROSPERO CRD42019121962
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**Background**

Because of their therapeutic effects, medicinal plants are used worldwide to treat many diseases. They are rich sources of phytochemicals with potential therapeutic effect in treatments using direct application of raw material. Moreover, they also play a role for the development of new medicinal drugs. *Plantago major* L. is one of the most abundant and widely distributed medicinal plant in the world. It is a perennial plant species that belongs to the genus *Plantago* and the family Plantaginaceae [1]. *P. major* leaves and seeds are reported to have analgesic, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, immunomodulatory, antifungal, anticancer, and wound healing [2–4]. Medicinal benefits of *P. major* may be related to various bioactive compounds, such as flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, phenolic compounds, iridoid glycosides, fatty acids, polysaccharides, and vitamins [2, 5]. Recent studies have shown successful treatment of cutaneous wounds with certain plant species or natural substances isolated from...
plants [6–8]. *P. major* has been described to be effective for tissue repair and skin wound healing, but the extent of its effectiveness has not been evaluated.

First reports related to therapeutic uses of this plant species date from the twelfth and thirteenth centuries [9, 10]. Nowadays, several researches using in vivo, in vitro, and ex vivo techniques have demonstrated potential healing activity of ethanol- and water-based extracts from *P. major* leaves and seeds [11–14]. To date, a systematic review on therapeutic effectiveness of this plant species on skin wound healing was not identified in the literature. Therefore, the findings of this proposed systematic review will significantly contribute to the current knowledge of the mechanism of action of *P. major* in the process of cutaneous wound healing.

According to the literature, *P. major* has various medical applications without any serious adverse effects. Besides, this plant species is widely distributed in many countries (11-14). These evidences encourage further search on the effectiveness of *P. major* on healing processes, as well as transfer of knowledge from research to clinical practice. Thus, the objective of this systematic review is to identify, select, and evaluate high-quality published research on the effectiveness of *P. major* in the healing process of cutaneous wounds.

**Study question**

What is the evidence in the literature for the effectiveness of using *P. major* for wound healing in animal models?

**Methods**

**Protocol and registration**

This protocol was registered on PROSPERO (CRD420191 21962). The bias of the assessed experimental studies will be evaluated by the Systematic Review Center for Laboratory Animal Experimentation (SYRCLES) [15]. The quality of the studies will be evaluated by the Collaborative Approach to Meta-Analysis and Review of Animal Data from Experimental Studies (CAMARADES) [16]. This systematic review will search for primary studies in animal models with cutaneous wounds topically treated with *P. major* in comparison with the placebo/vehicle control group.

**Electronic search methods for study identification**

Search will be conducted in 7 electronic bases listed below alongside with their respective strategies: **BVS:** ("Wound Healing") OR (Regeneration) AND ("Plantago major" OR "Plantago officinarum"). **PubMed:** (Wound Healing) OR "Wound Healing") OR Healing, Wound) OR "Healing, Wound" OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR "Wound Healings") OR (Regeneration) OR Regeneration) OR Regenerations) OR Regenerations) AND ("Plantago major") OR "Plantago officinarum"). **Scopus:** ("Wound Healing" OR "Healing, Wound" OR "Healings, Wound" OR "Wound Healings") OR (TITITLE-ABS-KEY (regeneration OR regenerations) AND (TITITLE-ABS-KEY ("Plantago major" OR "Plantago officinarum")). **Web of Science:** ("Wound Healing" OR "Healing, Wound" OR "Healings, Wound" OR "Wound Healings") (Regeneration OR Regenerations) ("Plantago major" OR "Plantago officinarum"). **Embase:** ("plantago major" OR "plantago major" OR "plantago major") AND ("wound healing"/exp OR "wound healing"/syn OR "wound healing" OR "regeneration")/ OR "regeneration") OR "regeneration") OR "regeneration"). **CINAHL:** ("Wound Healing") OR "Wound Healing") OR "Wound Healing") OR "Healings, Wound" OR "Wound Healings") OR (Regeneration) OR Regeneration OR Regenerations AND "Plantago major" OR "Plantago major" OR "Plantago officinarum". **CABDirect:** (Regeneration OR Regenerations) OR ("Wound Healing" OR "Healing, Wound" OR "Healings, Wound" OR "Wound Healings") AND ("Plantago major" OR "Plantago officinarum". Grey literature will not be included in the search.

**Procedure for study selection**

At first, titles and abstracts will be examined by two reviewers (FCIC and PPA) and then selected according to the criteria for potentially eligible studies. Duplicated studies will be excluded from the search. Possible discrepancies between the two reviewer evaluations will be discussed and resolved or decided by a third member (APD). Subsequently, studies that were identified as eligible will be submitted to a text review performed by two reviewers (TP and JBC). Disagreements among the text reviewers will be resolved by a third member (ARSO-K). The final list of publications to be included in the systematic review will be decided in plenum. The following data will be extracted: title, author, year, journal, study type, wound kind, formulation type, treatment time, method, comparison groups, and outcome (Table 1). Remaining discrepancies will be resolved in agreement with a third author, and then, they will be revised in plenum. Data will be extracted to a Microsoft Office Excel document.

Statistical Analysis will be performed by HCO, ARSO-K, and MHM on data collected from January 2006 to January 2019.

**Criteria for inclusion and exclusion of studies**

Studies selected for the systematic review must (a) be written in English; (b) use the animal model specifically in rats, mice, and rabbits; (c) include both genders; (d) and focus on acute or chronic cutaneous wound models. Studies must describe (a) the initial and final wound size, (b) the number of animals per group, (c) the time of treatment, (d) the present the concentration, (e) the formulation used in the treatment of the wound, (f) data
about the wound-healing rate, (g) and cell markers that can be modulated by the therapeutic treatment, assessed by Morphometric analysis. Details are shown in Table 1. Studies using P. major mixed with another medicinal plant species will be excluded, as well as the ones based on in vitro experimentation.

**Intervention**

The intervention group must include topical treatment with P. major. Treatment must describe formulation, concentration used, and initial and final size of the wound.

**Quality assessment**

Studies will be evaluated by two independent reviewers with the quality evaluation instrument CAMARADES, a 10-item checklist in which one point is granted for each question [10]. The analysis of results will use the SYRCLE’s RoB [9] instrument, which consists of 10 “yes,” “no,” or “not clear” questions, indicating low, high, and not clear risk of bias, respectively.

**Comparators**

Control group submitted to topical treatment with placebo/vehicle must be compared against the group receiving the analyzed intervention.

**Outcome**

The outcome includes analysis of wound healing rates through macroscopic evaluation, photo images, or calculation of wound retraction area until wound closure.

**Data synthesis**

The results of this systematic review will be presented in the narrative synthesis form. Data on animal species, wound type, treatment time, and results of interventions will be tabulated in order to support findings of the search. Random effects meta-analysis models will be employed to compare among groups, based on outcome variables. Studies included in the review must disclose sufficient high-quality data. Weighted mean differences among groups with their respective 95% confidence intervals and p values will be presented in forest plots. The presence of heterogeneity will be evaluated by I² and chi-square statistical analyses. Funnel graphics will be constructed to evaluate publication bias.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first review to date evaluating effectiveness of P. major, a medicinal plant species, in wound treatments. This systematic review will be done solely for the English idiom due to financial constraints since it did not receive financial support. This is a limitation however attenuated by the fact that nowadays the vast majority scientific research is published in English. Results indicating efficacious and accelerated healing process using P. major topic treatment have been described in the literature. Even though efficiencies of this plant species in cutaneous healing process is not yet clear. Healing rates will be evaluated in cutaneous wounds that received P. major topical treatment compared with wounds that received placebo/vehicle treatment. P. major extract concentration, best response, time of use, and cell markers that can be modulated by the treatment are important variables to assess treatment effectiveness. Data on these variables might provide steady information; thus, they will be searched, compiled, and analyzed.

The results of this systematic review may contribute to the transferring of knowledge from P. major scientific research into clinical practice guidelines. Moreover, this systematic review will contribute with important information that could benefit future research efforts and potential applicability in humans.
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