Antimicrobial capacity of *Aloe vera* and propolis dentifrice against *Streptococcus mutans* strains in toothbrushes: an *in vitro* study
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**ABSTRACT**

Objectives: This study evaluated *in vitro* the efficiency of *Aloe vera* and propolis dentifrice on reducing the contamination of toothbrush bristles by a standard strain of *Streptococcus mutans* (ATCC 25175; SM), after toothbrushing. Material and Methods: Fifteen sterile toothbrushes were randomly divided into 5 toothbrushing groups: I (negative control): without dentifrice; II: with fluoridated dentifrice; III: with triclosan and gantrez dentifrice; IV (positive control): without dentifrice and irrigation with 10 mL of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate; V: with *Aloe vera* and propolis dentifrice. In each group, 1 sterile bovine tooth was brushed for 1 min, where the toothbrush bristles were contaminated with 25 µL of SM. After toothbrushing, the bristles were stored in individual test tubes with 3 mL of BHI under anaerobiosis of 37°C for 48 h. Then, they were seeded with sterile swab in triplicate in the *Mitis salivarius* – Bacitracin culture medium. The samples were kept under anaerobiosis of 37°C for 48 h. Scores were used to count the number of colony forming units (cfu). The results were submitted to the Mann-Whitney statistical test at 5% significance level. Results: There was statistically significant difference (p<0.05) for the reduction of bristle contamination comparing groups II, III, IV and V to group I. Conclusions: It may be stated that after toothbrushing, the *Aloe vera* and propolis dentifrice reduced the contamination of toothbrush bristles by SM, without differentiation from the other chemical agents used.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Since the 1920’s, there have been reports in the literature which demonstrate the preoccupation about the toothbrush contamination by microorganisms associated with mouth infections⁶, as well as their transmission¹⁸,¹⁹ through their bristles, acting as vehicle for the bacterial reintroduction in the oral cavity.

Sato, et al.²³ (2004) evaluated the survival of microorganisms in toothbrushes, after their use for 1 week. The results showed the presence of feasible bacteria in their bristles, with several kinds of forms, from the beginning to the end of the experimental period, with prevalence of gram-positive cocci for gram-negative bacilli, respectively. *Streptococcus* from the *mutans* group has been frequently associated with the contamination of toothbrush bristles²⁰.

During oral hygiene, the use of dentifrice has been indicated not only as a cosmetic tool associated with flavor, but also a therapeutic agent to treat oral diseases, caries and periodontal disease, for having certain chemical agents¹. Some
Triclosan is an antimicrobial agent used in dentifrices, which has some characteristics such as having a broad-spectrum of antimicrobial activity, being non-ioninic and, when associated with zinc citrate or to gantrez, showing anti-plaque and anti-inflammatory effects when used for periods longer than 6 months.12

Considering its effective, nontoxic, cost-effective and easily applicable characteristic, solutions based on triclosan-containing dentifrice have been tested for the disinfection of toothbrushes contaminated in vitro by standardized suspensions of S. pyogenes, Staphylococcus aureus or Candida albicans.13

Efstratiou, et al.8 (2007) observed that 24 h after the use of the toothbrushes, there was contamination of their bristles by cariogenic and periodontopathogenic microorganisms. The results showed that oral hygiene performed by the association of toothbrush with a dentifrice containing triclosan reduced significantly the residual contamination of the bristles.

Propolis is a natural resinous substance, which results from the collection that Apis mellifera bees made from leaves, flowers and certain barks.7 Among its biological activities11, its antimicrobial activity is outstanding and varies according to its flavonoid contents, which depend on the vegetation of the collection area.7,14

Aloe vera is a plant of the liliaceous family, popularly known as “babosa”. Its mucilaginous gel is obtained from its leaves and has been largely studied in the healthcare area due to its anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial properties.16,22 According to Barreto, et al.1 (2005), Aloe vera abounds with aloeferon, which acts on the tissue healing. It contains acemannan, which is a mucopolysaccharide with antiviral, antifungal and antimicrobial action, for activating the immune system and stimulating the antibody production; it also contains antraquoniene, which is an antiseptic substance.

Propolis associated with Aloe vera has caused great interest in Dentistry due their action against pathogenic microorganisms and inflammatory properties.1 Therefore, the goal of this study was to evaluate in vitro the antimicrobial capacity of the dentifrice containing Aloe vera and propolis on the contamination reduction of toothbrush bristles by Streptococcus mutans standard strain.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fifteen toothbrushes were purchased (Sanifill Leaig Vip, Facilit Odontológica e Perfumaria Ltda, Curitiba, PR, Brazil) with the following characteristics: small head, soft nylon bristles, with the same height, rounded tips, with 30 tufts.

To make toothbrushing easier, the surface of the bovine tooth was fixed on an acrylic resin (JET, Artigos Odontológicos Clássico Ltda, Campo Limpo Paulista, SP, Brazil). This size was compliant with the size of the toothbrush head used in the study (Figure 1a). To make toothbrushing easier, the surface of the bovine tooth was fixed on an acrylic resin (JET, Artigos Odontológicos Clássico Ltda, Campo Limpo Paulista, SP, Brazil) block (2.5 cm x 1.5 cm x 1.0 cm) block (2.5 cm x 1.5 cm x 1.0 cm) block. The flat enamel surface was left a few millimeters above the level of the acrylic resin block, so that the toothbrush bristles had contact with this surface only during brushing. Then, the enamel surface/acrylic resin block set were packed and sterile in an autoclave. For the brushing, 5 sets of the enamel surface/acrylic resin block were randomly divided for each brushing group (Figure 1b).
The standard strain of *S. mutans* (ATCC 25175) was used for the contamination of the toothbrush bristles. Its culture was made in a test tube with 10 mL of BHI solution, incubated in an anaerobiosis jar under 37°C for 7 days. After the microbial growth, the samples were homogenized for 10 s in a tube shaker (Agitador de Tubos; AP56, Phoenix, Araraquara, SP, Brazil).

Then, 3 qualified researchers (A- MSC, B- PFRB and C- SLP) performed the contamination of the bristle brush, the dentifrice distribution on the bristles, the toothbrushing and deposition of the bristles in the culture medium. The researchers were wearing sterile gloves, which were changed at the end of treatment of each group.

For the bristle contamination of each group, researcher A opened the sterile packing, researcher B took out the toothbrush and researcher C spread 25 µL of the standard strain with a micropipette on the first 3 rows of bristles away from the handle.

After the contamination, in groups II, III and V, the researcher A distributed the dentifrices over the bristle area aided with the tip cut from its handle (Figure 2). Then, researcher B performed the brushing for 1 min. In all groups, immediately after brushing, the researcher B trimmed the first 3 rows of bristles of each toothbrush using a scalpel blade No. 12 (SurgiBlade, Sunshine Int’l, Miami, FL, USA), which was discarded at the ending of each group.

The trimmed bristles of each toothbrush were stored in an individually test tube with 3 mL of BHI solution, which were kept in anaerobiosis under 37°C for 48 h. After, with a sterile swab the sowing was made in triplicate in the *Mitis salivarius* – Bacitracin medium. The samples were kept in anaerobiosis under 37°C for 48 h.

To evaluate the results of each group, scores were used according to the number of colony forming units (cfu), as shown in Figure 3. Then, these data were submitted to the descriptive analysis and to the Mann-Whitney statistical test at 5% significance level.

![Figure 2](image)

Cut tip handle to aid dentifrice placement onto the toothbrush bristles

| Score | No. of colony forming units |
|-------|-----------------------------|
| 0     | Absence of colonies         |
| 1     | 1 to 10                     |
| 2     | 11 to 1000                  |
| 3     | >1000                       |

![Figure 3](image)

Score used to count the colony forming units

| Groups | CfU score | Average | Standard Deviation |
|--------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| Ia     | 3         | 3       | 3                  | 0.0000 |
| IIb    | 1         | 0       | 0                  | 0.3333 | 0.5774 |
| IIIb   | 1         | 1       | 0                  | 0.6667 | 0.5774 |
| IVb    | 0         | 0       | 0                  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vb     | 1         | 0       | 0                  | 0.3333 | 0.5774 |

Roman numerals followed by different low case letters in the vertical, differ to each other statistically (p<0.05).
RESULTS

The scores assigned to the cfu’s counted for each group are shown in Table 1, where a statistically significant difference was found (p<0.05) in the reduction of bristle contamination in groups II, III, IV and V compared to group I. However, there was no statistically significant difference among the groups that used the chemical agents to decontaminate the toothbrush bristles (Figure 4 and Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

After oral hygiene, the toothbrush bristles become contaminated by oral microorganisms. Oral health professionals must hence include instructions to post-hygiene care of the toothbrushes in order to reduce the contamination and, consequently, minimize the microbial transmission in the same environment.
increased its contact with the microorganisms. Distribution on the toothbrush bristles may have been decontaminated using . The culture obtained from these bristles did not promote the bacterial growth, and this method was proven effective for the decontamination of toothbrush bristles for the strain used. The same finding has been reported by Nascimento, et al. (2008) in an study involving . The toothbrush bristles were decontaminated using 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate spray.

In the present study, the form of decontamination on the toothbrush bristles may have increased its contact with the microorganisms and, consequently, promoted its action for the decontamination of the bristles.

In groups II and III, both dentifrices were fluoridated, with a concentration of 1450 ppm, complying with ANVISA requirements. Although there was no significant difference between the scores presented for cfu, the dentifrice from group II presented some scores lower than the ones obtained for the dentifrice from group III. However, the dentifrice used in group V does not contain fluoride in its formulation, and contains associated with propolis. According to Lee, et al. (2004), has anti-inflammatory, immune-stimulating, analgesic and antimicrobial activities against oral microorganisms, among which .

The antimicrobial action of propolis is associated with its capacity of inhibiting the glucosyltransferase activity, which is essential for to catalyze the formation of soluble and insoluble glycans, as well as to provide adherence. Some authors have reported that triclosan is not so effective to inhibit .

According to the results of an in study, the dentifrice containing triclosan presented a significant antimicrobial action against standard strain of when compared to the dentifrice containing associated with propolis. In this study, there was no difference among the dentifrices used for bristle decontamination.

The significant reduction of the toothbrush bristle contamination is dependent on the dentifrice formulation. The results observed in the current study did not show significant difference in the reduction of bristle contamination among the different dentifrices used in the groups. This could be associated with the presence of detergents in their formulas, which is reported to aid the reduction of survival of pathogenic species. In this study, the efficiency of dentifrice to reduce the contamination of the toothbrush bristles may have also been supported by the action of the sodium lauryl sulfate detergent, which is present in all dentifrices used.

As there is no reported study using associated with propolis dentifrice to decontaminate the toothbrush bristles, further in vivo studies involving different bacterial types must be performed to support its efficacy.

CONCLUSION

Within the limits of this study, it may be concluded that all the chemical agents used were effective to reduce the contamination by the standard strain on toothbrush bristles. The dentifrice containing and propolis reduced the contamination of toothbrush bristles, though without differing significantly from the other
groups that used chemical agents.
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