The industrial yeast *Pichia pastoris* is converted from a heterotroph into an autotroph capable of growth on CO₂
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Abstract

The methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris* is widely used in the manufacture of industrial enzymes and pharmaceuticals. Like most biotechnological production hosts, *P. pastoris* is heterotrophic and grows on organic feedstocks that have competing uses in the production of food and animal feed. In a step toward more sustainable industrial processes, we describe the conversion of *P. pastoris* into an autotroph that grows on CO₂. By addition of eight heterologous genes and deletion of three
native genes, we engineer the peroxisomal methanol-assimilation pathway of \textit{P. pastoris} into a CO$_2$ fixation pathway resembling the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle, the predominant natural CO$_2$ fixation pathway. The resulting strain can grow continuously with CO$_2$ as a sole carbon source at a $\mu_{\text{max}}$ of 0.008 h$^{-1}$. The specific growth rate was further improved to 0.018 h$^{-1}$ by adaptive laboratory evolution. This engineered \textit{P. pastoris} strain may promote sustainability by sequestering the greenhouse gas CO$_2$ and by avoiding consumption of an organic feedstock with alternative uses in food production.
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\section*{Introduction}

Industrial biotech production of proteins and chemicals relies on heterotrophic host cells, which grow on feedstocks, such as glucose, sucrose or glycerol, that have competing uses in the production of food and animal feed. Biotech manufacturing would become more sustainable if it could use CO$_2$ as a carbon feedstock, as this would not consume organic feedstocks and would deplete atmospheric CO$_2$. CO$_2$ is converted into biomass by autotrophic organisms such as plants and algae. Channeling CO$_2$ into the central carbon metabolism of industrial microbes could generate autotrophic or mixotrophic organisms with the potential for increased carbon efficiency (e.g. mixed-substrate production of succinic or acetic acid from CO$_2$ and methanol)\textsuperscript{1}.

The Calvin-Benson-Bassham (CBB) cycle\textsuperscript{2} is one of six naturally occurring CO$_2$ fixation pathways\textsuperscript{3,4} and includes ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO), which is the most abundant enzyme in the biosphere and fixes around 90\% of the inorganic carbon converted into biomass\textsuperscript{5}. Previously, metabolic engineers have endeavored to equip the heterotrophic model organisms \textit{Escherichia coli}, \textit{Methylobacterium extorquens} and \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} with CBB cycle genes. Expressing RuBisCO and phosphoribulokinase (PRK) genes in \textit{E. coli} led to decreased release of CO$_2$ when growing on arabinose\textsuperscript{6}. Antonosky et al. enabled “hemiautotrophic” growth of \textit{E. coli} with a modularized carbon metabolism, utilizing pyruvate as an energy source for a heterologous CBB cycle\textsuperscript{7}. Hemiautotrophic means that only part of the carbon assimilated originated from CO$_2$, with the rest derived from pyruvate. In a follow-up study the mutations that stabilized the non-native CBB cycle in \textit{E. coli}\textsuperscript{8} were identified. Parts of the CBB cycle have also been introduced into the yeast species \textit{S. cerevisiae}\textsuperscript{9–12}; ethanol yields were increased by using CO$_2$ as an additional electron acceptor to reoxidize NADH. More recently, the assimilatory pathway of \textit{Methylobacterium extorquens AM1} was blocked and RuBisCO and PRK were overexpressed, resulting in a strain that can incorporate CO$_2$ into biomass using methanol as energy source. However, this engineered methylobacterium was unable to grow continuously on CO$_2$ as a sole carbon source\textsuperscript{13}. Engineering of a synthetic autotrophic microorganism able to grow with CO$_2$ as the sole carbon source has not been reported to our knowledge.
Pichia pastoris (syn.: Komagataella phaffii) is widely used to produce heterologous proteins for the biopharmaceutical and enzyme markets. P. pastoris is a chassis host for metabolic engineering and a model for peroxisome biogenesis. This industrial yeast has a methylotrophic lifestyle and uses C1 compound methanol as its sole energy and carbon source. Methanol is oxidized to formaldehyde, which then enters either a dissimilatory or assimilatory metabolic branch. In the assimilatory branch formaldehyde is converted to phosphosugars needed for biomass, whereas in the dissimilatory branch formaldehyde is oxidized to CO2, yielding NADH. Steps of the dissimilatory branch are carried out both in the peroxisome and in the cytosol, but the assimilatory branch is localized entirely in peroxisomes. During growth on methanol, peroxisomes are highly abundant in the cell and methanol utilization enzymes are highly expressed e.g. alcohol oxidase 1 (Aox1). A suite of molecular biology tools including CRISPR/Cas9 methods are available for P. pastoris that allow entire metabolic pathways to be integrated without a need for selection markers. We report de novo engineering of a CBB cycle into P. pastoris and analysis of the capability of engineered strains to grow using CO2 as a sole carbon source.

Results
The XuMP cycle is used as a template for the CBB cycle

The xylulose-monophosphate (XuMP) cycle for methanol assimilation of P. pastoris is entirely localized in peroxisomes and shares the same overall topology and many enzymes with the CBB cycle. In both pathways a C1 molecule is transferred to a sugar phosphate generating a C-C bond. Methanol is oxidized by alcohol oxidase (Aox1 and Aox2 in P. pastoris) to formaldehyde. Subsequently, formaldehyde reacts with xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P) to dihydroxyacetone (DHA) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) catalyzed by dihydroxyacetone synthase (Das1 and Das2 in P. pastoris). Xu5P is regenerated by pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) enzyme isoforms specifically enriched in peroxisomes upon cultivation on methanol. In total, three mole of methanol are converted to one mole of GAP, which can be used for biomass and energy generation. Likewise, in autotrophic organisms, CO2 is added to ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) to form 3-phosphoglycerate (3-PGA) in a carboxylation reaction catalyzed by RuBisCO. 3-PGA is then phosphorylated and reduced to GAP. The topology of the XuMP cycle allows its conversion into a CBB cycle by addition of six enzymatic steps (i.e. all biochemical reactions of the CBB cycle present). The overall engineering strategy is outlined in Fig. 1 (with all details in Supplementary Fig. 1). We considered a peroxisomal compartmentalization of the heterologous CBB cycle as beneficial, comparable to native C1 fixation in plant chloroplasts and in the XuMP cycle. To achieve this localization, a C-terminal peroxisomal targeting signal (PTS1) was fused to each of the six heterologously expressed CBB enzymes. In a second control setup, all six heterologous genes were expressed in the cytosol without a PTS1 signal. The CBB cycle is split into 3 phases: carboxylation, reduction and regeneration. For the carboxylation reaction a RubisCO form II gene from Thiobacillus denitrificans (cbbM) was integrated into the genome, together with two molecular chaperone genes from E. coli (groEL and groES) to assist RubisCO folding. The reduction phase to yield the triose phosphates, glyceraldehyde phosphate (GAP) and dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) was achieved by integration of the genes encoding for phosphoglycerate kinase.
(PGK1), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (TDH3) from *Ogataea polymorpha* and triosephosphate isomerase (TPI1) from *Ogataea parapolymorpha*. The regeneration phase was completed by the addition of TKL1 (encoding transketolase) from *O. parapolymorpha*, needed to substitute for the peroxisomal transketolase activity of Das27, and PRK (encoding phosphoribulokinase) from *Spinacia oleracea* to the five enzymes from the XuMP cycle (fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (Fbp1), fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (Fba1-2), sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase (Shb17), ribose-5-phosphate ketolisomerase (Rki1-2), ribulose-5-phosphate 3-epimerase (Rpe1-2)) already present in *P. pastoris*. Overexpression of the *Ogataea* genes was chosen over the native genes to prevent genomic rearrangements by introduction of repetitive sequences. For each molecule of CO2 fixed by the heterologous CBB cycle, 3 molecules of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and 2 molecules of NADH are required. The energy is provided by methanol oxidation. The oxidation of one mole methanol yields two mole NADH which are used as reduction equivalents and for ATP production. Electrons and ATP are probably transported across the peroxisomal transport by the malate oxaloacetate shuttle and the peroxisomal adenine nucleotide transporter Ant1, respectively 28. In order to separate the foreign fixation machinery of CO2 from energy generation, we blocked the first steps of the methanol assimilatory pathway by deleting the genes encoding for Das1 and Das2. Furthermore, AOX1 was deleted to reduce the formation rate of formaldehyde, which can still be formed by AOX2 (encoding alcohol oxidase 2). These strains (listed in Supplementary Table 1) were generated in a three-step transformation procedure by a CRISPR/Cas9 mediated workflow for genome engineering in *P. pastoris* (see Fig. 2).

**A peroxisomal CBB cycle enables faster growth on CO2**

Strains expressing a cytosolic (CBBc+RuBisCO) and a peroxisomal (CBBp+RuBisCO) version of the CBB cycle were obtained. In order to test the ability of these strains to grow on CO2 as a carbon source, shake flask cultures were carried out in the presence of 5 % (v/v) CO2 (Fig. 3). A strain harboring the same genetic setup as the CBBp+RuBisCO strain but lacking RuBisCO (CBBpΔRuBisCO) served as a negative control. This strain showed no growth over the entire cultivation phase, whereas the CBBc+RubisCO and CBBp+RuBisCO strains were able to grow (Fig. 3). Furthermore, it was observed that the strains with a peroxisomal CBB pathway (CBBp+RuBisCO) grew significantly faster increasing from 0.09 ± 0.003 to 0.89 ± 0.067 g L⁻¹ CDW in 216 hours compared to the strains with a cytosolic CBB cycle, which grew from 0.09 ± 0.000 to 0.44 ± 0.060 g L⁻¹ CDW within the same time frame. Further, re-integration of AOX1 into a CBBp+RuBisCO strain did not lead to faster growth (See Supplementary Fig. 2).

The superior growth behavior of the CBBp+RuBisCO strain confirmed that it is beneficial to compartmentalize the CBB cycle by targeting it to the peroxisome and thereby replacing the native XuMP cycle. As expected the negative control (CBBpΔRuBisCO) did not grow on media containing methanol and CO2. To further confirm that the deletion of DAS1 and DAS2 prevents the methanol assimilation capability of *P. pastoris*, we cultivated two controls strains, one deleted in both DAS genes and lacking PRK and RuBisCO, and the other with a peroxisomal copy of TKL1 (Supplementary Fig. 3). Both controls did not grow on methanol, confirming that the deletion of DAS1/DAS2 is sufficient to block the assimilation of
methanol into biomass, and that heterologous TkI1, while sharing sequence similarity, cannot substitute for DasI/Das2.

**Strains expressing a CBB cycle require CO₂ for growth**

In the following experiments the CO₂ incorporation characteristics of the strains expressing a peroxisomal CBB cycle (CBBp+RuBisCO) together with the negative control strain having a disrupted CBB cycle (CBBpΔRuBisCO) were tested in controlled bioreactor cultivations. After accumulation of biomass in a batch phase on glycerol, CBBp+RuBisCO and CBBpΔRuBisCO cells were fed with CO₂ and/or methanol. Thereby, two different CO₂ feeding regimes were used: in regime A, a set of both strains were first fed with methanol only and 0% (v/v) CO₂ in the inlet gas and after 135 hours the CO₂ was increased to 5% (v/v). In regime B, a set of both strains was fed first with methanol and 5% CO₂ and switched to 0% CO₂ after 135 hours (Fig. 4). The bioreactors were operated at a high stirring- and gasflow- rate (1000 rpm and 35 sL h⁻¹ respectively) to blow out CO₂ formed by methanol oxidation. With these experimental settings, the CO₂ concentration measured at the off-gas analyzer was below the detection limit of 0.1% (v/v) also in cultures oxidizing methanol. Thus, a defined CO₂ supply of the cells was controlled by changing the CO₂ content of the inlet gas flow.

The engineered CBBp+RuBisCO strains grew with a rate of 0.033±0.005 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹ CDW during feeding with 5% CO₂ while it did not grow without CO₂ in the air stream. This growth – non growth behavior was the same in both feed regimes A and B, irrespective whether the CO₂ supply phase preceded or followed the CO₂-free phase. The control strain CBBpΔRuBisCO however did not grow in any of these conditions. These results demonstrate that the CBBp+RuBisCO strains take up and grow on CO₂ as a carbon source and thus have a functional CO₂ assimilation pathway. As energy source methanol can be oxidized by all strains, but with lower rates in the CBBpΔRuBisCO strain (Supplementary Fig. 4). The CBBp+RuBisCO strains only grew in the presence of both methanol and CO₂, and can restore growth on CO₂ after a prolonged period on methanol only (Regime A in Fig. 4.). Taken together these data demonstrate that the growth of the engineered strains expressing a heterologous CBB cycle in the peroxisomes of _P. pastoris_ is dependent on the supply of CO₂ as carbon source.

**Incorporation of CO₂ is verified by inverse $^{13}$C labeling**

To obtain further evidence that CO₂ is taken up and incorporated, we measured the labeling of intracellular metabolites and biomass with $^{13}$C and $^{12}$C by Gas Chromatography – Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry (GC-TOFMS), Liquid Chromatography (LC)-MS/MS and Elemental Analysis - Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry (EA-IRMS). The biomass of the engineered cells (CBBp+RuBisCO and CBBpΔRuBisCO) was first fully labeled with $^{13}$C carbon by batch cultivation on minimal medium containing fully labeled $^{13}$C glycerol as the sole carbon source (Fig. 5 a). After the batch phase, the $^{13}$C enrichment reached 95 ± 0.5% for the cultivation with CBBpΔRuBisCO and 97 ± 0.3% for the CBBp+RuBisCO (Fig. 5 c). 100% $^{13}$C labeling cannot be reached, due to $^{12}$C carried over from the inoculum and the trace $^{12}$C contamination of the utilized $^{13}$C glycerol ($^{13}$C enrichment >99%). After the glycerol was consumed, the feeding regime was shifted to gaseous CO₂ with a natural
isotopologue distribution (98.9\(^{12}\)C, 1.1 \(^{13}\)C) and methanol either with a natural isotopologue distribution or with \(^{13}\)C enrichment (>99 \(^{13}\)C), so that the decrease of \(^{13}\)C equals an inverse labeling with \(^{12}\)C.

As expected, CBBp+RuBisCO strains grew under the conditions with a mean biomass formation rate of 0.040 ± 0.003 g L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) CDW, whereas CBBpΔRuBisCO strains showed no growth but still consumed methanol. Growth of the CBBp+RuBisCO strain was accompanied with a reduction of the \(^{13}\)C content in the biomass (Fig. 5 d). After 158 hours the \(^{13}\)C labeling content of the CBBp+RuBisCO fed with \(^{12}\)CO\(_2\) and \(^{13}\)C methanol reached 52 ± 0.3% or 48 ± 0.2% in a technical replicate. These data matched with the predicted values based on the measurement of accumulated biomass after approximately one cell doubling. The non-growing CBBpΔRuBisCO showed no change in the \(^{13}\)C labeling content in the final biomass over the entire cultivation period, while there was a slight reduction of the fractional label on the metabolite level probably owing to \(^{12}\)C incorporation by carboxylation reactions. This is supported by the high inverse labeling of aspartate which is close to pyruvate carboxylase in metabolism (Supplementary Table 2). Also on \(^{12}\)CO\(_2\) and \(^{12}\)C methanol the \(^{13}\)C labeling content of the CBBp+RuBisCO decreased according to predicted values based on the biomass measurements (all values are shown in Supplementary Table 3)). Isotopic analysis showed almost complete removal of \(^{13}\)C carbon from the metabolite pool around the CBB cycle (see Fig. 5 e and f, Supplementary Table 4) and for free amino acids (Supplementary Table 2) already after one cell doubling.

These data show that all carbon needed for the accumulated biomass is coming from CO\(_2\). The carbon from methanol is oxidized to CO\(_2\), but due to the high gas-flow rate, it is efficiently removed from the bioreactor. The negative control strain (CBBpΔRuBisCO), which can oxidize methanol but cannot incorporate CO\(_2\) into the biomass, showed consequently no significant change in the overall \(^{13}\)C labeling pattern. Additionally, the quick turnover of \(^{13}\)C in the key metabolites shows functionality of the integrated CBB cycle. Taken together these data confirm that a \(P\) \(pastoris\) strain containing a functional CBB cycle utilizes CO\(_2\) as a sole carbon source and methanol as energy source. \textit{Per definitionem} the lifestyle of this strain can be described as chemoorganoautotrophic.

### Continuous growth on CO\(_2\) as sole carbon source is possible

In order to show that the chemoorganoautotrophic lifestyle of the engineered CBBp+RuBisCO can be maintained for multiple cell doublings, the strain was inoculated with a low cell density of 0.2 g L\(^{-1}\) CDW in a bioreactor and cultivated for 470 hours with a constant supply of methanol and CO\(_2\).

The growth profile is shown in Fig. 6. After induction, the cells quickly started to grow with an initial growth rate of \(\mu = 0.020 \pm 0.0014\) h\(^{-1}\) in the first 20 h after inoculation. In the following the growth was reduced, but a continuous exponential growth phase between 100 up to 235 hours with a \(\mu\) of 0.008 ± 0.0001 h\(^{-1}\) and a doubling time of 92 ± 1.7 h was observed reproducibly. A repetition of this experiment using a \(^{13}\)C labelled inoculum grew with similar growth rates also after re-inoculation into fresh medium (Supplementary Fig. 5). The growth rate achieved in the prolonged exponential phase is determined as the \(\mu_{\text{max}}\) of the culture under the given batch conditions. Over the entire observed 470 h of cultivation...
the cells performed a mean of 4.3 cell doublings. With a $^{13}$C labelled biomass as inoculum ($^{13}$C content above 99%), continuous growth on CO$_2$ as carbon source leads to almost complete removal of cellular $^{13}$C carbon ($^{13}$C content below 2%) after 6.5 generations as can be seen in Supplementary Fig. 5. Furthermore, multiple re-inoculation in shake flasks demonstrated continuous growth on CO$_2$ over 30 generations. These data show that the rationally engineered CBBp+RuBisCO strain can grow with a chemoorganooautotrophic lifestyle without limitation. We further performed an adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) approach using serial batch cultivations under excess of CO$_2$ and methanol, which led to a superior phenotype under chemoorganooautotrophic conditions. The growth rate under the relevant conditions and during the respective timeframe was increased from 0.008 to 0.018 h$^{-1}$ for the best performing clones, which is in the range of slower growing autotrophic organisms (See Fig.6, Supplementary Fig. 6, Supplementary Table 5).

**Discussion**

We reengineered yeast metabolism to enable a synthetic chemoorganooautotrophic lifestyle by introducing a heterologous CBB cycle into the methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris*. This enables the cells to utilize CO$_2$ as their sole carbon source, and to grow as an autotrophic organism. The heterologous CBB cycle is localized to peroxisomes by targeting the enzymes via PTS1 signals. The peroxisomal pathway enables superior growth on CO$_2$ compared to a cytosolic version, highlighting the importance of cellular compartmentalization, which likely facilitated functional CO$_2$ fixation in our set-up compared to other approaches. The spatial separation can have a beneficial impact on the operation of other pathways like the pentose phosphate pathway, enabling different concentrations of key intermediates like ribose-5-phosphate or sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate. In addition, a channeling effect by the close proximity of CBB cycle enzymes in the dense peroxisomal environment may improve flux rates.

*P. pastoris* may serve as a promising chassis cell to also test synthetic CO$_2$ fixation pathways *in vivo* such as the malonyl-CoA-oxaloacetate-glyoxylate (MOG) pathway or the crotonyl–coenzyme A (CoA)/ethylmalonyl-CoA/hydroxybutyryl-CoA (CETCH) cycle. One needs to consider however whether the intermediate metabolites of such pathways are available in the peroxisome.

Adaptive evolution was employed to increase the maximum specific growth rate on CO$_2$ more than twofold, from 0.008 h$^{-1}$ to 0.018 h$^{-1}$. After evolution for 30 generations single isolates were analyzed and three independent isolates (clone 5, 6 and 9) were sequenced showing in total 6, 5 or 3 mutations compared to the CBBp+RuBisCO parental strain (Supplementary Table 6). Notably, two isolates have a mutation in the heterologous PRK gene leading to an amino acid exchange (Ala2Gly or Thr5Ala), while the third isolate carries a mutation in *NMA1* (Thr358Ile), encoding for nicotinic acid mononucleotide adenylyltransferase. In addition clone 5 shows three mutations in rRNA loci with a frequency of 70%. Both altered coding sequences give rise to further engineering targets. PRK catalyzes the ATP dependent phosphorylation of D-ribulose-5-phosphate and thus a key step of the CBB cycle. ATP and NADH balancing is crucial for a functional integration of a heterologous CBB cycle into the central carbon metabolism. *Nma1* is involved in maintaining high NAD levels upon growth on methanol and mutations leading to activity changes could alter both NADH and ATP levels. In addition to its impact on ATP
consumption, an altered PRK activity would affect the availability of D-ribulose-5-phosphate for various biosynthetic routes.\textsuperscript{36}

\textit{P. pastoris} has been demonstrated to be a suitable chassis for the production of enzymes and chemicals\textsuperscript{18,37}, making pathway engineering toward varied products straightforward. Implementation of CO\textsubscript{2} as a carbon source in industrial processes raises several considerations. Any CO\textsubscript{2} assimilation pathway requires energy and reducing power, provided by light or a chemical electron donor such as hydrogen, formate or methanol. While light and hydrogen require specific bioreactor equipment, a water-soluble, potentially renewable chemical energy source like methanol or formate can be directly applied in standard setups and in large-scale bioreactors\textsuperscript{38}. More oxidized products, like organic acids, require less reducing power. Combined with a reduced co-substrate, a net CO\textsubscript{2} fixation can be obtained when producing organic acids such as succinic, lactic, acetic or itaconic acid from methanol and CO\textsubscript{2}. Theoretically, up to 62 kg CO\textsubscript{2} are fixed when producing 100 kg succinic acid with a methanol co-feed.\textsuperscript{1} For cost-efficient production of bulk chemicals, volumetric productivity must achieve a range of at least 2 g L\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}\textsuperscript{39}. \textit{P. pastoris} is well established to produce at biomass concentrations of 100 g L\textsuperscript{-1} or more\textsuperscript{40}. The current best specific CO\textsubscript{2} assimilation rate reported here of 0.03 g g\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1} (calculated on specific growth rate) would correspond to a potential volumetric productivity of about 2 g L\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1} succinate, as an example. This indicates that production rates would already be close to existing processes.

We have demonstrated that conversion of a heterotroph to a synthetic autotroph is possible. Rational re-engineering of metabolism led to growth on CO\textsubscript{2} as the only carbon source. Adaptive evolution more than doubled growth rates, likely through adjustments in the CO\textsubscript{2} assimilation pathway and cofactor availability. The resulting yeast strains may form the basis of a system for producing bulk chemicals and enzymes based on CO\textsubscript{2} and may support mitigation of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2}.

### Online methods

#### Plasmid construction

All expression cassettes and sgRNA / hCas9 plasmids were constructed by Golden Gate cloning\textsuperscript{23,41,42}. Three native genes of \textit{P. pastoris} (AOX1, DAS1 and DAS2) were replaced by the coding sequences of the genes listed in Supplementary Table 7. A CRISPR/Cas9 mediated homologous recombination (HR) directed system was used to construct the strains\textsuperscript{24}. Flanking regions needed for replacing the CDSs of AOX1, DAS1 and DAS2 were amplified from CBS7435 \textit{wt} genomic DNA (gDNA) (Promega, Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit) by PCR (NEB, Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase). The other promoters (P\textsubscript{ALD4}, P\textsubscript{FDH3}, P\textsubscript{SHB17}, P\textsubscript{PDC1} and P\textsubscript{RPP1B}) and terminators (T\textsubscript{IDP1}, T\textsubscript{RPB1t}, T\textsubscript{RPS2t}, T\textsubscript{RPS3t} and T\textsubscript{RPBS17Bt}) were prepared accordingly and derived from genomic DNA of strain CBS7435 (Supplementary Table 8). CDSs of TDH3 and PGK1 were amplified from gDNA of \textit{Ogataea polymorpha} (CBS 4732) and TKL1 and TPI1 from gDNA of \textit{Ogataea parapolymorpha} (CBS 11895) according to the procedure described above and a C-terminal peroxisome targeting signal 1 (PTS1)\textsuperscript{25} encoding for SKL was added by amplification with primers carrying the additional nucleotides. The sequences encoding PRK (\textit{Spinacia}}
oleracea), RuBisCO - cbbM (Thiobacillus denitrificans) and the chaperones GroEL and GroES (E. coli) were codon optimized (GeneArt, Regensburg, Germany) (sequences are shown in the Supplementary file). Final plasmids were digested with BpiI (BbsI), (ThermoFischer Scientific, USA) to obtain linear donor DNA templates used for replacement of the three native loci. Specific single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were designed and cloned into CRISPR plasmids. The genomic recognition sites for targeting the different loci with CRISPR/Cas9 were CTAGGATATCAAACTCTTCG for AOX1, TGGAGAATAATCGAACAAAA for DAS1 and CGACAAACTATAAGTAGATT for DAS2. The final sgRNA / Cas9 plasmids were checked by enzymatic digestion and by Sanger sequencing.

Strain Construction
For cell engineering, Komagataella phaffii (Pichia pastoris) CBS7435 was used as a host. Transformations were carried out as previously described. Primers listed in Supplementary Table 9 were used for detection of the correct replacement events of AOX1, DAS1 and DAS2 loci and correct integration was further verified by Sanger sequencing. All strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Cultivations in shake flask
Cultures were inoculated with a starting OD\textsubscript{600} of 0.5 in YNB medium supplemented with 10 g L\textsuperscript{-1} (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. The flasks (total volume 100 mL with a working volume between 10 and 20 mL) were incubated in a CO\textsubscript{2} controlled incubator (5% CO\textsubscript{2}) shaking at 180 rpm at 30°C. The methanol concentration was adjusted up to 0.5 % (v/v) after the batch phase for induction and from there on maintained at 1% (v/v). Cell growth (OD\textsubscript{600} and Cell Dry Weight (CDW) measurements) and metabolite profiles (HPLC analysis) were monitored.

Adaptive Laboratory Evolution
The cells were evolved for 27 to 29 generations in serial batch cultivations using minimal YNB medium as described above. Each biological clone was evolved in three technical replicates. During the serial passages (2 to 3 generations each), no significant growth increase was seen from passage to passage. Because of this, the entire pool from an evolved population was regenerated on YPG medium and then characterized in comparison to the parental strains as shown in Supplementary Figure 6. If the evolved pools showed superior growth compared to the parental strains (Supplementary Figure 6 a), single colonies were isolated from this pool and further characterized (Supplementary Figure 6 b).

Bioreactor Cultivations
Single colonies were picked and used for inoculation of 100 mL pre-cultures in YPD medium (28°C o/n and 180 rpm). Bioreactors were inoculated with a starting OD\textsubscript{600} of 1.0 or 0.19 g L\textsuperscript{-1} CDW. A defined medium consisting of citrate monohydrate (2 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), glycerol (16 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), MgSO\textsubscript{4} 7H\textsubscript{2}O (0.5 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4} (12.6 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) KCl (0.9 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) and biotin (0.0004 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) was used. For the labeling experiment a medium containing H\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (19.3 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), CaCl\textsubscript{2} 2H\textsubscript{2}O (0.025 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), MgSO\textsubscript{4} 7H\textsubscript{2}O (2.5 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), KOH (2.0 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), NaCl (0.22 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), EDTA (0.6 g L\textsuperscript{-1}), biotin (0.0004 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) and fully labelled \textsuperscript{13}C glycerol (8.0 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) was used.
used. Both media were supplemented with trace elements (FeSO$_4 \cdot 7$ H$_2$O (0.3 g L$^{-1}$), ZnCl$_2$ (0.1 g L$^{-1}$), CuSO$_4 \cdot 5$ H$_2$O (0.03 g L$^{-1}$), MnSO$_4 \cdot$ H$_2$O (0.015 g L$^{-1}$), CoCl$_2 \cdot$ 6 H$_2$O (0.004 g L$^{-1}$), Na$_2$MoO$_4 \cdot$ 2 H$_2$O (0.92 mg L$^{-1}$), NaI (0.036 mg L$^{-1}$), H$_3$BO$_3$ (0.092 mg L$^{-1}$)). For the continuous growth fermentation YNB medium supplemented with 10 g L$^{-1}$ (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ was used.

The bioreactor cultivations were carried out in 1.4 L DASGIP reactors (Eppendorf, Germany). Cultivation temperature was controlled at 28 °C, pH at 5.0 by addition of 12.5 % ammonium hydroxide or with 5 M NaOH and 2 M HCl in case of YNB medium. The dissolved oxygen concentration was maintained above 20 % saturation. OD$_{600}$ and cell dry weight (CDW) measurements as well as HPLC analysis to routinely measure glycerol, glucose, methanol and citrate concentrations 47 were carried out at every sample point. Induction was done by the addition of 0.5% methanol (v/v). The CO$_2$ in the inlet gas was set to 1% during induction phase. After induction phase, the methanol concentration was adjusted to 1 - 1.5% (v/v) by bolus feeding and the CO$_2$ concentration was set to 5 % throughout the cultivations. For the labeling experiment shown in Figure 5, the gas flow rate was set to 35 sL h$^{-1}$ and the stirrer speed to 1000 rpm until the end of the fermentation. In a second experiment (see Supplementary Figure 5), the inoculum was labeled by cultivation in YNB medium supplemented with fully labelled $^{13}$C glycerol (10.0 g L$^{-1}$). Long term bioreactor cultivation was then carried out as described above.

Elemental Analysis - Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry (EA-IRMS)

For the measurement of the biomass $^{13}$C content, the samples were taken during fermentation and processed at 4 °C. Volumes of cell suspension corresponding to approximately 0.5 mg of dried biomass was firstly washed with 0.1 M HCl and then twice with RO-H$_2$O. Until analysis, the biomass samples were stored at -20 °C. The biomass material was homogenized and weighed in tin capsules. The $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio was measured with an Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer coupled to an Elemental Analyzer (EA-IRMS). EA-IRMS measurements were carried out by Imprint Analytics, Neutal, Austria.

Derivatization and GC-TOFMS analysis of amino acids and sugar phosphates

Sampling and quenching of biomass samples for metabolome analysis were prepared as recently described 48.

Sugar phosphate isotopologue distribution analysis for the calculation of the $^{13}$C labeling pattern of the metabolite backbones was carried out according to the method of Mairinger et al. 49, which is based on the gas chromatographic separation of ethoximated and trimethylsilylated metabolites and chemical ionization – time of flight mass spectrometry (GC-CI-TOFMS). Fractional labeling was calculated, with n = number of carbons, i = isotopologue and $m_i$ = relative abundance of isotopologue, according to equation 1:

$$fractional\ labeling = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} m_i * i}{n \times \sum_{i=0}^{n} m_i}$$

Eq 1
For amino acid isotopologue distribution analysis 50 µL aliquots of the extracts were derivatized using just in time online derivatization on a sample preparation robot (MPS2, Gerstel) with N-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-N-methyltrifluoroacetamide with 1% tert-butyldimethylchlorosilane at 85°C for 50 min. 1 µL aliquots were injected into an Agilent split/splitless injector with an Ultra Inert straight split liner in split mode (250°C, split 20:1, septum purge flow 3 mL min⁻¹). The transfer line to the MS was kept at 280 °C. The following temperature program was used: 150 °C (hold for 1 min), 4 °C min⁻¹ to 236 °C, 30 °C min⁻¹ to 310 °C (hold for 4 min). The electron ionization (EI) source of the instrument was operated with an electron energy of 70 eV and an emission current of 15 µA. Amino acid fragments were evaluated as described in Zamboni et al 50, extracting the theoretical mass from the SureMass-converted data within Agilent MassHunter (Version 10.0) Quantitative Analysis (extraction window is selected by the SureMass algorithm). Resulting peak areas were corrected for the H, N, O, Si and S isotopes of the derivatized molecule and the ¹³C isotopes stemming from derivatization (not backbone C atoms) using the ICT correction toolbox 51.

In detail, gas chromatographic separation of amino acids and sugar phosphates was carried out with an Agilent 7890B gas chromatograph coupled with an Agilent Technologies 7200B Q-TOF mass spectrometer (GC-TOFMS, Agilent Technologies Inc.). The GC-TOFMS system was equipped with (1) a non-polar deactivated pre-column (3 m x 0.25 µm i.d., Phenomenex) connected to the analytical column via a backflush unit (purged ultimate union), (2) an Optima® 5 MS Accent (5% phenyl 95% dimethylpolysiloxane, 60 m x 250 µm x 0.25 µm) and (3) a non-polar deactivated postcolumn (3 m x 0.18 µm i.d., Phenomenex), which was connected with the analytical column via a second purged ultimate union T-piece (serves as restrictor column to the MS). Helium 5.0 was used as carrier gas with a constant flow of 1.1 mL min⁻¹ for the pre-column, 1.2 mL min⁻¹ for the analytical column and 1.4 mL min⁻¹ for the post column.

Analysis for sugar phosphate isotopologue distribution analysis for the calculation of ¹³C labeling patterns was carried out as described recently 49 with minor modifications regarding GC-TOFMS setup and data evaluation. Evaluated mass/charge ratios are summarized in Supplementary Table 10.

**LC-MS/MS analysis of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate**

For measurements of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate isotopologues, an HPIC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific IC5000+) with mass spectrometric detection (Thermo Fisher TSQ Vantage Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer) was used. For each sample, 10 µL was injected onto an IonPac AG11-HC-4µm guard column (2×50 mm) followed by an IonPac AS11-HC-4µm analytical column (2×250 mm) for anion exchange chromatography separation. Effluent was suppressed using an AERS 500e 2mm electrolytic membrane suppressor with a current of 30 mA. A multistep electrolytically generated gradient program was used with an initial concentration of 10 mmol L⁻¹ KOH ramped to 60 mmol L⁻¹ to allow isocratic elution and a total run time of 13 min. Analytical and suppressor regenerant flow rates were 0.2 mL min⁻¹. Additional acetonitrile (flow rate of 0.1 mL min⁻¹) was mixed with effluent before entering the heated electrospray (HESI) ion source to improve ionization. Other settings were...
capillary and vaporizer temperatures (350 °C), sprayer voltage (3000 V), aux gas pressure (15 arbitrary units), sheath gas pressure (40 arbitrary units) and collision gas pressure (1.5 mTorr). Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) transitions for the [M-H] isotopologues (m/z 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, & 314) with each yielding the fragment ions m/z 79 (50 V CE) & 97 (30 V CE) were monitored in the negative ionization mode. The ratio of the areas from the resulting MRM peaks were used to assess the relative abundances of the intact ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate isotopologues in all samples.

**Genome sequencing and analysis**

The yeast strains were grown in 50 mL YPD-medium over night at 30 °C under vigorous shaking, centrifuged and washed. Cell pellets were resuspended in 3 mL of SCED buffer, pH 7.5 and incubated at 37 °C for 1h after addition of 100 µL of lyticase (5 U/µL) and 20 µL of RNase A solution (100 mg/mL). 2 mL of 1% SDS were added, mixed gently and placed on ice for 5 min. After addition of 1.5 mL of 5M potassium acetate, pH 8.9, the solution was vortexed for 10 s, centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant containing the genomic DNA was saved.

QIAGEN Genomic-tips (100/G) were equilibrated with 4 mL of Buffer QBT. Supernatants from the centrifugation step were vortexed for 10 s and then applied to the equilibrated genomic tips, washed twice with 7.5 mL of Buffer QC, and genomic DNA was eluted with 5 mL of prewarmed Buffer QF (50 °C). Genomic DNA was then precipitated with 3.5 mL isopropanol and collected by centrifuging at 10,000 x g for 20 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed with ethanol, air-dried and resuspended in 60 µL TE-Buffer. Genomic DNA was dissolved by shaking overnight at 25°C. Purity and concentration of the DNA was determined by agarose gel electrophoresis, and UV absorption at 260 and 280 nm.

Library preparation and sequencing was done at the Vienna Biocenter Core Facility for Next Generation Sequencing (Vienna, Austria) on an Illumina MiSeq (PE300) sequencing platform. Sequence analysis was carried out using CLC Genomic Workbench 12.0 (QIAGEN, Aarhus). Sequences were mapped against the K. phaffii strain CBS7435 and variants were detected using the fixed ploidy variant detection tool setting ploidy to 1. Detected variants of the evolved strains were filtered against the detected variants of the unevolved parental strains with a threshold frequency setting of 65 %.

**Determination of viability, cell size and morphology**

Cell morphology was routinely checked using a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1/7 microscope equipped with an LCI Plan-Neofluar 63x/1.3 water-immersion objective. Cell morphology was analyzed in bright-field mode. Pictures were processed with the Zen 2.3 lite (blue edition) software (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH).

For cell size and viability measurements respective cultures were washed and diluted in PBS (KH₂PO₄ 0.24 g L⁻¹, Na₂HPO₄ 2 H₂O 1.8 g L⁻¹, KCl 0.2 g L⁻¹, NaCl 8 g L⁻¹) followed by brief sonication. For viability measurements, cells were stained in the presence of 2mM propidium iodide (PI) before fluorescence (638 nm laser), forward and sideward scatter were measured at CytoFlex S flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). Ethanol fixed cells were used as negative control. The size distribution of the cell population and the mean cell size was
determined by calibration using a polystyrene particle size standard kit (SPHERO Flow Cytometry Size Standard Kit, Spherotech). Flow cytometry data was analyzed using CytExpert (version 2.3.0.84).

**Statistical Methods**

All analyses were done using Microsoft Excel or the CytExpert (version 2.3.0.84, Beckman Coulter) software. Data are presented as individual values with error bars representing s.d. or s.e.m. as indicated in the figure legends.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Editors summary

A yeast species used to produce proteins and chemicals is engineered to grow solely on the greenhouse gas CO₂.
Figure 1. Scheme for engineering chemoorganoautotrophy in *Pichia pastoris*.

(a) **Wild type *P. pastoris*** uses methanol (MeOH) for biomass generation in the assimilatory branch of the methanol utilization by fixation of formaldehyde (FA) to xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P) which is regenerated in the xylulose monophosphate (XuMP) cycle (purple pathway) and as an energy source in the dissimilatory branch (pink pathway) by oxidation to carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) under formation of NADH. (b) In an **engineered strain** methanol assimilation is blocked by deletion of dihydroxyacetone synthase (*DAS1* and *DAS2*) and a Calvin-Benson-Bassham (CBB) cycle is integrated. Additionally the alcohol oxidase 1 gene (*AOX1*) was deleted. RuBisCO carboxylates ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) which is regenerated in the CBB cycle; abbreviations: alcohol oxidase (Aox), formaldehyde dehydrogenase (Fld1), S-formylglutathione hydrolase (Fgh1), formate dehydrogenase (Fdh1), ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO), dihydroxyacetone (DHA), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP), 3-phosphoglycerate (3PGA), glutathione (GSH), ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP); A detailed depiction of the two pathways including all involved enzymes is given in Supplementary Fig. 1.
Figure 2. Engineering scheme for generation of *P. pastoris* strains with a heterologous CBB cycle. 

**Plasmid construction**: (a) Genes for the CBB cycle including CBB-specific (green – source are autotroph organisms), non-specific genes (blue – from *Ogataea* strains) and chaperones (from *Escherichia coli*) were amplified by PCR (*TDH3, PGK1, TPI1* and *TKL1*), or synthesized (*RuBisCO, PRK, groEL and groES*, codon optimized). The C-terminal PTS1 tag encoding for –SKL was added by PCR if needed. The entire gene names, source organisms and protein identifiers are summarized in Supplementary Table 7. 

**Homologous recombination (HR) mediated replacement of the native sequences** by co-transformation of a linear donor DNA with a sgRNA / Cas9 plasmid; replacement of (c) *AOX1* by an expression cassette for *TDH3, PRK* and *PGK1*, (d) *DAS1* by *RuBisCO, groEL* and *groES* and (e) *DAS2* by *TKL1* and *TPI1* was done consecutively in three transformation steps and is drawn schematically. The strains lacking one or more
heterologous gene were constructed accordingly with altered donor DNA fragments. All strains constructed by this workflow are listed in Supplementary Table 1.
Figure 3. Peroxisomal targeting of the CBB pathway leads to increased growth. Cultivation of strains with cytosolic (CBBc+RuBisCO) and peroxisomal (CBBp+RuBisCO) pathway expression; Cell Dry Weight (CDW) values are calculated from OD\textsubscript{600} measurements (correlation: 1 OD\textsubscript{600} unit = 0.191 g L\textsuperscript{-1} CDW), for CBBpΔRuBisCO the mean of two individual cultivations including single values is shown (blue line plus signs), for CBBp+RuBisCO (black line) and CBBc+RuBisCO (pink line) the means of three biological replicates (independent transformations) with single values (black and pink signs) is shown.
Figure 4. Growth of engineered CBBp+RuBisCO strain depends on the supply of CO$_2$ as a carbon source.

Biomass formation in engineered CBBp+RuBisCO (solid (Regime A) and dashed (Regime B) black lines) is shown compared to the control strains, which lack RuBisCO, GroEL and GroES (CBBpΔRuBisCO solid (A) and dashed (B) blue lines. Cells were cultivated in batch phase on 16.0 g L$^{-1}$ glycerol to a CDW of ~ 10 g L$^{-1}$ and then induced with 0.5 % methanol (v/v) and 1 % CO$_2$, afterwards methanol concentration was adjusted to 1 % (v/v) (arrows). After induction only CBBp+RuBisCO B and CBBpΔRuBisCO B were co-fed with 5 % CO$_2$. After 3 days and occurrence of pronounced growth, the CO$_2$ supply was set to 0 % for CBBp+RuBisCO B and CBBpΔRuBisCO B and increased to 5 % for CBBp+RuBisCO A and CBBpΔRuBisCO A, so that two independent replicates with and without CO$_2$ supply were achieved. Cell Dry Weight (CDW) values were calculated from OD$_{600}$ measurements (correlation: 1 OD$_{600}$ unit = 0.191 g L$^{-1}$ CDW, error bars indicate s.e.m.).
Figure 5. Labeling of engineered *P. pastoris* cells with $^{13}$C glycerol and $^{12}$C carbon dioxide.

(a) CBBpΔRuBisCO and CBBp+RuBisCO (three technical replicates) cells were enriched for $^{13}$C by cultivation on fully labeled $^{13}$C glycerol as the sole carbon source. White-to-black color key indicates the $^{13}$C [%] of the biomass resulting from each condition; (b) After the batch phase the cells were fed with methanol (0.5 - 1.0 % (v/v) and 5 % $^{12}$CO$_2$ which led to growth in CBBp+RuBisCO and no growth for the CBBpΔRuBisCO. $^{13}$C methanol was used for two reactors containing CBBp+RuBisCO (black) and one reactor CBBpΔRuBisCO (blue), while $^{12}$C methanol was used for one reactor with CBBp+RuBisCO (pink). Incorporation of $^{12}$CO$_2$ leads to a decrease of the total $^{13}$C content in the biomass. (c – d)
Bar charts show total $^{13}$C content after the batch phase (45 h) (c) and after 158 h of cultivation (d), open bars show calculated values and filled bars show measured values obtained by EA-IRMS (error bars indicate s.e.m.); (e and f) isotopic analysis of key metabolites from the CBB cycle by GC-MS and LC-MS, Shown is the fractional labeling calculated from the isotopologue distribution of each metabolite in [%]. For values marked with (*) only the M+3 or M+5 with 3 or 5 incorporated $^{13}$C atoms was detectable resulting in 100% fractional label (see Supplementary Information). Instrumental and Biological precision calculations are included in Supplementary Table 4).
Figure 6. Bioreactor cultivation of CBBp+RuBisCO and CBBpΔRuBisCO strains inoculated at low cell density and grown in the presence of CO$_2$.
(a) During cultivation, cells were fed with 5 % CO$_2$ and methanol. For CBBp+RuBisCO CDW values of three biological replicates (individual transformations) are shown. For CBBpΔRuBisCO and the best evolved CBBp+RuBisCO b clone, values for a single cultivation each are shown. (b and c) Logarithmic CDW of original clones (n=3) and evolved CBBp+RuBisCO single isolate (red dotted lines show linear fitting).