Predictive factors of selective mineralocorticoid antagonist treatment in chronic central serous chorioretinopathy
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Abstract
Purpose: To compare the macular morphology of good and poor responders to eplerenone treatment in chronic central serous chorioretinopathy (CSCR) patients.

Methods: 30 eyes of 29 patients with chronic CSCR were treated with 50 mg/day oral eplerenone and followed up for one year. The integrity of outer retinal layers at baseline was assessed using optical coherence tomography. Patients who showed complete resolution of subretinal fluid at one year were assigned to the good responder group (Group 1), whilst those who showed moderate or no resolution were classified as poor responders (Group 2).

Results: In Group 2 hyperreflective foci in outer segment and outer nuclear layer were significantly more frequent, while ellipsoid zone interruption was significantly more frequent than in Group 1 (p=0.006 and p<0.001 respectively). The multivariable regression analysis showed that intact ellipsoid zone at baseline is an independent predictor of good therapeutic response, with an odds ratio of 26.00 (95% CI: 3.69–183.45; p=0.001).

Conclusion: The resolution of subretinal fluid after eplerenone treatment is associated with the integrity of outer retinal layers in CSCR patients. Baseline morphologic evaluation of these layers can be useful in predicting the response to mineralocorticoid antagonist therapy.

Background
In the last five years our understanding of the pathophysiology of CSCR has changed dramatically after discovering that aldosterone plays an important role in retinal homeostasis and that excessive occupancy of the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) by glucocorticoids induces MR activation, causing dilatation and leakage of the choroid vessels.1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 Zhao et al. demonstrated that aldosterone controls the hydration of healthy retina by up-regulating the aquaporin 4 (AQP4) water channel in the retinal Müller cells through MR.6 In another study Zhao et al. proved that aldosterone specifically affects the choroidal vascular bed, but not the retinal.7 They showed that MR activation up-regulates the KCa2.3 channel that leads to hyperpolarization of endothelial cells and the underlying smooth muscle cells, thus inducing choroidal vasodilatation.7 Based on these results, it was hypothesized that the MR-antagonist eplerenone could be a possible treatment in CSCR.7 Bousquet et al found
significant choroidal thinning and visual improvement after one to three months of eplerenone treatment in 13 patients with chronic CSCR. These results have been validated by numerous other studies.\textsuperscript{9, 10, 11, 12} We also showed in our previous prospective clinical study that 3 month eplerenone therapy can reverse the choroidal vasodilatation and subsequently induce reabsorption of subretinal fluid.\textsuperscript{13}

Despite these promising results, we noticed that there are some patients who tend to react only modestly to treatment. This study was intended to assess if there are any factors which can differentiate the CSCR patients who would benefit promptly from eplerenone treatment.

Methods
Study design and patient recruitment
In this retrospective cohort study, we included patients with chronic CSCR, presented between June 2014 and September 2017 at the Department of Ophthalmology of Semmelweis University, Hungary, who were treated with 50 mg/day of oral eplerenone and followed for at least one year. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are summarized in Table 1. The patients who showed complete resolution of subretinal fluid at one year were assigned to the good responder group (Group 1), whilst those who showed moderate or no resolution were categorized as the poor responders (Group 2). CSCR patients were treated with oral eplerenone (Inspra\textsuperscript{®}, Pfizer) 25 mg/day for a week, followed by 50 mg/day for at least 3 months. Follow up visits were performed at three-month intervals of 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. If any subretinal fluid was observed during spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) examination after the 3-month eplerenone treatment, the therapy was continued or restarted up to the presence of subretinal fluid. The potential risks and benefits were thoroughly discussed with all patients and written informed consent was obtained before treatment.

Baseline and follow-up examinations
Every patient included in the study had a physical examination and a routine blood test before treatment—with the former being repeated each month if the treatment was continued. We recorded the patient’s medical history, serum potassium level, and kidney function (estimated glomerular filtration rate). Previous ophthalmological treatment for CSCR (anti-VEGF, photodynamic therapy) was
noted, if there was any. At baseline and at every follow-up, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) measurement with ETDRS charts at 4 meters was performed on both eyes of each patient (Snellen acuity and ETDRS letter score were noted; 20/20 = 85). We also noted the duration of the disease and whether it was recurrent or not. The diagnosis of CSCR was based on slit lamp examination and optical coherence tomography (OCT) findings (localized and limited serous detachments of the neurosensory retina on the posterior pole, without any other findings). When needed for differential diagnosis, and to exclude choroidal neovascularization, we also performed fluorescein angiography and/or indocyanine green angiography. Macular morphology was examined with Spectral-Domain Optical Coherence Tomography (RTvue OCT ver.6, 11.0.12, Optovue Inc., Fremont, USA). Horizontal raster pattern scans centered on the fovea were used for the examination. The following morphological changes were noted: subfoveal and extrafoveal pigment epithelium detachments (PED), double layer signs (DLS), elongation of the photoreceptors’ outer segment, integrity of the external limiting membrane (ELM), integrity of the ellipsoid zone, hyperreflective foci in the outer segment (OS) and outer nuclear (ON) layer, and subretinal deposits in the subretinal fluid. A layer was defined intact if there was no interruption in that layer on any of the OCT scans. Single or multifocal appearance was noted. Retinal thickness was measured automatically from the internal limiting membrane to Bruch’s membrane in all the 9 subfields of the macula. For data analysis we used the central subfield mean thickness of the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS, the central 1.0 mm diameter of the macula). Data were recorded at baseline and then every 3 months for one year. Any adverse events that occurred were recorded at each visit.

Outcome measures
The primary objective was to point out morphological differences seen on SD-OCT between the patients who responded well to eplerenone treatment and those who did not and to identify predictive factors of good therapeutic response.

The secondary objective was to assess the relationship between general risk factors (hypertension, hyperlipidemia), disease duration, reoccurrence and appearance type (mono or multifocal) with regards to treatment response.
Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed with Statistica software (version 13.2, Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). The independent samples student’s t-test and chi-squared test were applied for group comparisons. The paired samples t-test was used for statistical comparisons of repeated measurements. The effect of predisposing factors on treatment response was assessed with multivariable regression analysis using binomial logit models to estimate odds of good response after controlling for the effect of other risk factors. Covariates which were evaluated to be potential confounders were included where the parameters showed significant difference in group comparisons. In all statistical analyses, a p-value of less than 0.001 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
30 eyes of 29 patients were included in the study. In the whole cohort, central retinal thickness decreased significantly (p = 0.0001), while final visual acuity did not show significant improvement at the end of the one-year follow-up period (p = 0.78). We found complete resolution of subretinal fluid in 15 eyes (51.72%) of 14 patients (Group 1), and 15 eyes of 15 patients showed no or moderate resolution (Group 2).

We found that the central subfield mean thickness decreased in both groups, but the change was more significant in good responder patients (Group 1). Patients in Group 1 gained 5.0 letters at 12 months compared to baseline, while Group 2 showed a decrease in visual acuity of 5.9 letters on average. Changes in central subfield mean thickness (CSMT) and visual acuity are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 1. / A, B, C, D.

There was no significant difference in baseline central subfield macular thickness, baseline visual acuity, disease duration, reoccurrence, appearance type (mono or multifocal), treatment duration and the presence of general risk factors (hypertension, hyperlipidemia) between the two groups. Baseline characteristics, demographic data, patient history, disease duration and treatment duration in the two groups are summarized in Table 3.

Differences in morphological characteristics
Ellipsoid zone integrity and hyperreflective foci in the OS and ON layer (also known as intra and subretinal precipitates) were found to be significant factors in differentiating mineralocorticoid
antagonist treatment response. In patients who showed complete resolution of subretinal fluid at the one-year follow-up, a continuous ellipsoid zone was observed significantly more frequently, whilst hyperreflective foci in the OS and ON layer was observed to be significantly rarer than that recorded in the poor responder group (Table 4., Figure 2.).

Finally, multivariable binomial logistic regression analysis was performed to determine significant predictors of a good anatomical response to eplerenone treatment. According to the best fit model ($r^2:0.43; \ p:<0.001$) an intact ellipsoid layer was determined to be an independent predictor of fluid resolution, with an odds ratio of 26.00 (95% CI:3.69–183.45; $p = 0.001$).

Safety Analysis
There were no serious events that occurred as a result of eplerenone treatment. Mild adverse events were seen in 20.68% of the cases (dry mouth, dizziness, back pain, and sleepiness for a few hours after taking the pills). The treatment with eplerenone was generally well tolerated and did not lead to elevated potassium levels or low creatinine clearance.

Discussion
In the last five years many papers showed that eplerenone is a safe and effective treatment option in chronic CSCR patients, mainly through reversing the choroidal vasodilatation that results in resolution of subretinal fluid.$^1, 7$–$13$

In this study, we have chosen fluid resolution as the biomarker of good response instead of the change in visual acuity, because neuroretinal re-attachement is not always accompanied by visual acuity improvement. Besides the presence of subretinal fluid several other factors are influencing the visual prognosis, such as the disruption of ellipsoid zone, disruption of the ELM, thinning of the ON layer, hyperreflective foci in the retinal layers and the severity of RPE atrophy.$^1, 15$

Recent studies reported different predictors of treatment response in this new therapy. In our previous prospective study we found that in eplerenone treated CSCR patients baseline choroidal thickness was a positive predictive factor for subretinal fluid decrease, results which were recently supported by Bousquet et al. who found that a thick choroid at baseline is associated with treatment response.$^{13, 14}$ As for neuroretinal morphological changes Cakir and coworkers reported that CSCR
patients with intact RPE and intact ellipsoid zone had better BCVA after eplerenone treatment.\textsuperscript{15} Sacconi et al. reported possible biomarkers on ICG-angiography and OCT-angiography which could predict the response to eplerenone treatment in CSCR patients.\textsuperscript{16} They found that the absence of choroidal neovascularization (CNV) on OCT-angiography and the presence of hot spot on ICG-angiography were associated with a good response to treatment, with 58\% of the patients (17 out of 29) showing a complete resolution of the subretinal fluid at the end of the 13 week treatment.\textsuperscript{16} Interestingly patients with CNV and hotspot also showed a moderate response to eplerenone therapy.\textsuperscript{16}

In our study population ellipsoid zone integrity at baseline was found to be a crucial and independent predictor of good anatomical treatment response as defined by complete and stable resolution of subretinal fluid. At the same time, hyperreflective foci in the OS and ON layer showed a significant negative correlation with subretinal fluid resolution. Within the outer retina, a hyperreflective band—previously thought to represent the photoreceptor inner/outer segment (IS/OS) junction, more commonly referred to now as the inner segment ellipsoid—can be observed on SD-OCT. The integrity of this layer has been found to be of high clinical importance in the diagnosis and prognosis evaluation of various surgical and medical retinal diseases. The absence or disruption of this layer has been found to be associated with lower visual acuity and poor visual prognosis. An intact ellipsoid layer was identified as a significant biomarker for macular hole, epiretinal membrane, and retinal detachment surgery, as well as eyes with wet age-related macular degeneration, retinal vein occlusion or diabetic macular edema.\textsuperscript{17} Damage of ellipsoid zone integrity was described in the natural course of CSCR, which also correlates with the macular function evaluated by microperimetry.\textsuperscript{18,19} In several SD-OCT studies, the ellipsoid zone was not visible in eyes with acute serous detachment, though it did become visible after its resolution.\textsuperscript{18,20,21} Koo et al. suggested that the membranous stack of the photoreceptor segment is no longer perpendicular to the incoming OCT beam in acute neurosensory retinal detachment, as the highly back-reflecting
signal at the IS/OS seems to be absent in the area of detachment.\textsuperscript{22} Accordingly, even if an eye with active CSCR does not show a distinct ellipsoid zone, good visual acuity is often obtained when the subretinal fluid is resorbed.\textsuperscript{22, 23} In contrast, in our study baseline ellipsoid layer damage was significantly associated with poor treatment response for mineralocorticoid antagonist therapy. We think that the reason for this incongruity is that only eyes with a chronic form of the disease were included in this study. In these cases,—as the IS/OS line is a hallmark of integrity of outer photoreceptor layer—ellipsoid integrity can show us that photoreceptors are preserved despite their long-lasting separation from retinal pigment epithelium and choroidal layers. In an experimental animal model of retinal detachment a significant decrease in the number of photoreceptors nuclei in the outer nuclear layer was observed at 1 month, followed by outer plexiform layer (OPL) degeneration within 50 days due to necrosis of cell processes and synaptic terminals.\textsuperscript{24} According to Piccolino et al.’s study, this is not the case in CSCR eyes where SD-OCT does not show atrophy of the OPL in detachments lasting several months, with a severe loss of the photoreceptor layer only being observed in patients with symptoms lasting more than one year.\textsuperscript{21} Our patients also had quite good baseline visual acuity despite the chronic presence of subretinal fluid, so for this reason the change in visual acuity will be smaller. Hyperreflective foci in the OS and ON layer, as observed in all patients in the poor responder group, were the other significant biomarker predicting poor treatment response in our study population. These abnormal features of the OS and ON layer were earlier reported on the time-domain Stratus OCT images as a granulated profile of the outer border of neurosensory retina, mostly attenuated to the chronic or recurrent form of the disease.\textsuperscript{21} Later in SD-OCT studies these hyperreflective foci in the OS and ON layer were identified in as many as 65% of chronic CSCR eyes in the area of serous retinal detachment\textsuperscript{20, 25} and it co-localized with hyperautofluorescent areas on FAF images.\textsuperscript{26, 27, 28} The nature of these dots vary depending on their location.\textsuperscript{28} In the subretinal space these dots are thought to be macrophages and microglia activated by the photoreceptor outer segments shedding.\textsuperscript{27}
Concentration of protein-like compounds, fibrin or lipids could also be identified as dots.\textsuperscript{25} Recently the observation of these hyperreflective foci in active and resolved CSCR eyes with adaptive optics scanning light ophthalmoscopy supported the hypothesis that they are cellular in nature, corresponding to activated microglia cells or macrophages.\textsuperscript{29} Their presence were found to be correlated not only to disease duration, but also to poorer final visual acuity.\textsuperscript{30,31} Interestingly, despite the fact that both biomarkers found in our study population were earlier attenuated to the chronic form of CSCR, no significant difference was found in disease duration or phenotype (recurrent or continuous, mono or multifocal) between the good and poor responder groups. One explanation for these results could be that in recurrent and chronic CSCR the duration of neurosensory detachment is often doubtful. The other important factor is that until now no consensus existed over the duration threshold that differentiates acute and chronic CSCR. In most published reports, it was arbitrarily set as being between 3 to 6 months and was used as a limit in interventional studies to determine the appropriate timing for treatment, in order to avoid self-resolution cases. As a result of which we consider that a consensual definition of the various clinical subtypes of CSCR is needed as their exact limits are critical in the determination of clinical trial design. We are of the opinion that morphologic assessment might better differentiate acute from chronic forms given that the duration of the disease seems to be an interval rather than an exact time following the appearance of the disease. These data suggests that the disease duration does not affect directly the response to eplerenone treatment. Previous study showed that the ON layer thickness positively correlates with the visual acuity in resolved CSCR and the discontinuity in the ellipsoid zone is associated with worse visual acuity.\textsuperscript{32} A recent study which reviewed clinical and multimodal imaging data of 133 patients with CSCR showed that outer retinal disruption on OCT is significantly associated with poorer visual acuity.\textsuperscript{33} These findings explains the incongruity of subretinal fluid absorption and visual acuity change in this disease, and shows that ellipsoid zone integrity is important in predicting the visual acuity. Nevertheless until now we had no data regarding if there is any correlation between the disruption of the ellipsoid zone and the anatomical response to eplerenone treatment in CSCR.
Our study's limitations are the small sample size, the retrospective design and the absence of a placebo-treated group. Nevertheless our cohort consisted of strictly selected cases and was followed-up for a relatively long period.

Conclusions
Our results suggest that in chronic or recurrent CSCR a morphologic evaluation of the macula may provide useful information not only about the present state of photoreceptor deterioration and potential for visual recovery, but also about the probability of subretinal fluid resolution. Next to choroidal thickness measurement the ellipsoid zone seems to be a useful biomarker for selecting the CSCR patients who could benefit the most from mineralocorticoid antagonist therapy.

Abbreviations
AQP4: aquaporin 4
BCVA: best-corrected visual acuity
CNV (choroidal neovascularisation)
CSCR: Central Serous Chorioretinopathy
CSMT: central subfield thickness
DLS: double layer signs
ELM: integrity of the external limiting membrane
MR: mineralocorticoid receptor
ON: outer nuclear
OPL: outer plexiform layer
OS: outer segment
PED: pigment epithelium detachments
SD-OCT: spectral-domain optical coherence tomography
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Tables

Table 1. Patient Recruitment Criteria

| Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| visual symptoms and clinical diagnosis of CSC for at least 3 months | under 18 years of age or limited capacity |
| presence of SRF involving the fovea on OCT and the SRF did not dissolve during the 3-month period | any evidence of choroidal neovascularization or choroidal hemangioma |
| decrease >50µm | history of other retinal abnormalities |
| | liver or kidney disease |
| | hyperkalemia (>5.0 mmol/L) |
| | high serum creatinine level (>2mg/dL in men, >1.8mg/dL in woman) or creatinine clearance <50m |
| | concomitant therapy with drugs that increases the potassium level or are known to interact with epl |
| | pregnancy or planning to conceive |

Table 2. Visual acuity and central subfield thickness (CSMT) during the follow-up.

|                | Baseline | Month 3 | Month 6 | Mont |
|----------------|----------|---------|---------|------|
| Whole cohort   |          |         |         |      |
| Visual acuity (ETDRS letter) | 72.63 ± 12.22 | 75.03 ± 12.83 | 75.53 ± 13.05 | 72.10 |
| CSMT (µm)      | 359.13 ± 89.24 | 303.50 ± 63.96 | 274.37 ± 47.67 | 268.50 |
| Good responders |          |         |         |      |
| Visual acuity (ETDRS letter) | 76.00 ± 14.04 | 78.93 ± 13.39 | 79.73 ± 13.62 | 81.00 |
| CSMT (µm)      | 362.60 ± 71.60 | 302.00 ± 60.85 | 267.33 ± 39.11 | 238.67 |
| Poor responders |          |         |         |      |
| Visual acuity (ETDRS letter) | 69.27 ± 9.39 | 71.13 ± 11.36 | 71.33 ± 11.37 | 63.20 |
| CSMT (µm)      | 355.67 ± 106.51 | 305.00 ± 69.06 | 281.40 ± 55.41 | 298.33 |
Note: *: p<0.01 compared to baseline using repeated measures analysis of variance test
†: p<0.01 compared to good responders using the independent samples student’s t-test.

Table 3. Baseline, disease, and treatment characteristics of the two groups.
Note: Independent samples student’s t-test and chi-squared test were applied for group comparisons.

Table 4. Baseline OCT characteristics of the good (Group 1) and poor (Group 2) responders.

|                              | Group 1 (n=15, good responders) | Group 2 (n=15, poor responders) | P     |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Double layer sign            | 10/5                             | 12/3                             | 0.41  |
| PED                          | 5/10                             | 4/11                             | 0.69  |
| OS elongation                | 13/2                             | 8/7                              | 0.046 |
| Hyperreflective foci in OS and ON layer | 9/6                          | 15/0                             | 0.006*|
| Subretinal deposits          | 2/13                             | 2/13                             | 0.99  |
| Ellipsoid integrity         | 12/3                             | 2/13                             | 0.0003*|
| ELM integrity               | 12/3                             | 6/9                              | 0.02  |
Note: *: p<0.01 compared to good responders using chi-squared test.

Figures

Figure 1

(A, B) Visual acuity and central subfield thickness (CSMT) changes in the whole cohort, (C, D) Visual acuity and central subfield thickness (CSMT) changes in the two study groups.
(a, b, c, d) Visual acuity change and OCT images of good responder to eplerenone at 0, 3, 6 and 12 months: at baseline SRF was seen which was absorbed after 3 months therapy and did not re-occur; ellipsoid is intact (line between white arrows), ELM is intact (little white stars). (e, f, g, h) Visual acuity change and OCT images of poor responder to eplerenone at 0, 3, 6, and 12 months: ellipsoid zone is interrupted (line between white arrows), hyperreflective foci in the OS and ON layer, ELM is slightly damaged (little white stars).