One size does not fit all: differences in quality of life and survivorship among lung, colon, breast and prostate cancer patients
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Abstract

Purpose: Advances in treatment have led to a growing population of cancer survivors. Few interventions for cancer survivorship care utilize a tailored approach by cancer type. We examined differences for ongoing needs and survivorship care among a national sample of cancer survivors.

Methods: Data was obtained from the 2010 Cancer Control Supplement of the National Health Interview Survey for breast, prostate, colon, and lung cancer respondents. Health status and needs were examined using ordinal logistic regression.

Results: Lung cancer patients reported fair or poorer quality of life (QOL) for 39% of respondents compared to colon (18%), breast (11%), and prostate (9%). After adjustment for age and marital status, all cancer types reported better QOL compared to lung cancer survivors (colon OR 2.03 [95% CI 0.88-4.66] breast OR 3.59 [95% CI 1.55-8.33] and prostate OR 2.97 [95% CI 1.28-6.91]). Lung cancer patients reported significantly more financial problems compared to all other cancer types (colon OR 0.46 [95% CI 0.24-0.87] breast OR 0.45 [95% CI 0.25-0.81] and prostate OR 0.30 [95% CI 0.16-0.56]).

Conclusion: Cancer survivorship needs and quality of life differ significantly depending on cancer type which emphasizes the importance of a tailored approach to cancer survivorship care.

Introduction

In 2012, there were 13.7 million Americans living with cancer. Given advancements in cancer detection and improved treatments, this number is expected to rise to 18 million by the year 2022 [1]. While advances in medicine have produced the desired result of increased survival, many treatments carry undesired side effects and lead to long-term sequelae that should be addressed. Many survivors report ongoing needs that require additional intervention or monitoring that are not currently being met. Recently there has been a groundswell of support for cancer survivors in recognizing both their needs and growing numbers [2]. This patient-centered approach to cancer survivorship care is supported by many organizations including the American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer (CoC), the American Cancer Society, the National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship, the Cancer Support Community, and the LIVESTRONG Foundation. In 2011, the CoC set forth new accreditation standards for hospital cancer programs that include recommendations for comprehensive cancer survivorship care for the transition from active treatment to long-term care [3]. Specifically, the CoC has also mandated incorporation of a survivorship care plan that summarizes treatment and care received and recommendations for follow-up. All efforts are aimed at improving the quality of life for cancer survivors.

Much of the work on cancer survivorship has been focused on comparing needs of cancer survivors to those of patients without cancer or with chronic conditions. The majority of these studies have examined the needs of breast cancer survivors with little comparison between cancer types [4-7]. Few studies have attempted to apply lessons learned in the care of breast cancer patients to survivors of other cancer types with mixed results.

We hypothesized that survivors of different cancer types may experience significant differences in transitioning from active care to long-term survivorship that might help to inform future interventions.

Methods

Data source

Data were obtained from the National Health Interview Survey
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(NHIS) conducted by the Center for Disease Control and the National Center for Health Statistics. [National Center for Health Statistics. National Ambulatory Medical Survey, 1994]. NHIS is a cross-sectional household survey among US residents with continuous sampling throughout the year. Data are divided into core and supplemental surveys. The Cancer Control Supplement contained responses regarding diet and nutrition, physical activity, tobacco use, cancer screening, genetic testing, and family history. In 2010, cancer survivorship was added as a section to the Cancer Control Supplement. We used the 2010 survey results with demographic data obtained from the Core survey and cancer survivorship responses contained in the Cancer Control Supplement. Our cohort was defined as respondents with a self-reported history of breast, prostate, colon, or lung cancer who completed the Cancer Control Supplement.

Analysis

Our main exposure variable was type of cancer reported and demographic characteristics. Primary outcomes included quality of life, ongoing concerns, and survivorship care planning as reported in the survivorship question module. We performed unadjusted analyses with comparisons between cancer types using Chi-square test with \( p < 0.05 \) significance level. Adjusted analyses were performed using ordinal logistic regression with two models fitted to the data. One model adjusted for age, gender (colon and lung cancer only), and marital status. In addition to these, a second model adjusted for whether or not respondents had been diagnosed with a recent recurrence, whether or not they had surgery as their primary cancer treatment, and if they had undergone treatment within the past 12 months. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS (SAS Institute Inc).

Results

There were a total of 838 respondents with a history of breast, prostate, colon, or lung cancer (breast \( n=398 \), prostate \( n=255 \), colon \( n=151 \), lung \( n=60 \)). The majority of respondents were white (85%) and aged 55-74 years for all cancer types. Lung cancer patients had the highest percentage of patients diagnosed within the most contemporary time frame of 2006-2010 (92%) (Table 1). On unadjusted analyses, breast and prostate cancer patients reported the highest percentage of excellent quality of life (33% and 31% respectively) compared to colon (21%) and lung (19%) cancer patients (\( p<0.005 \)). Physical health status was also reported as excellent for a larger proportion of breast and prostate cancer patients (12% and 14% respectively) compared to either colon (9%) or lung (10%) cancer patients (\( p<0.005 \)). The degree that cancer caused financial problems was highest among lung cancer patients (25%) compared to all other cancer types (colon 11%, breast 12%, prostate 4%) (\( p<0.005 \)). Overall, only 36% of cancer patients received a written treatment summary following completion of their therapy. Lung cancer patients reported the lowest rate of receipt of treatment summary (20%). There were no significant differences between self-reported mental health status according to cancer type (Figure 1A-1C).

After adjustment for age and marital status, the odds for better

|                | Any of 4 cancers | Breast | Prostate | Colon | Lung |
|----------------|------------------|--------|----------|-------|------|
| N % (wt)       | 926 439          | 284 164| 67       |
| Sex            |                  |        |          |       |
| Male           | 372 44.5         | 1.3    | 100 49.6 | 39.7 |
| Female         | 554 55.5         | 98.7   | 50.4     | 60.3 |
| Race           |                  |        |          |       |
| White          | 709 84.7         | 84.9   | 84.9     | 85    | 82.1 |
| Black          | 162 10.5         | 9.7    | 11       | 10.1  | 12   |
| AI/AN*         | 9 1.2            | 0.9    | 2.3      |       |
| Asian          | 34 2.5           | 3.2    | 1.7      | 3.1   | 2.5  |
| Multiple       | 12 1.2           | 1.3    | 0.1      | 1.8   | 3.4  |
| Age            |                  |        |          |       |
| 18-34          | 5 0.5            | 0.5    | 0.4      | 1.2   | 0    |
| 35-54          | 125 13.5         | 20.5   | 2.8      | 13.4  | 10.4 |
| 55-74          | 441 47.6         | 47.8   | 49.3     | 40.9  | 49.3 |
| 75+            | 355 38.3         | 31.2   | 47.5     | 44.5  | 40.3 |
| Marital status |                  |        |          |       |
| Married        | 436 61.2         | 50.7   | 77.7     | 58    | 56.6 |
| Widowed        | 246 18.6         | 24.4   | 10       | 18.6  | 24   |
| Divorced       | 145 11.4         | 15.9   | 4.8      | 10.7  | 15.6 |
| Separated      | 21 1.4           | 2.1    | 1.4      | 0.8   | 0.4  |
| Never Married  | 58 4.2           | 4.2    | 3.7      | 5.7   | 1.4  |
| Living with Partner | 20 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 1.9 |

*AI/AN: American Indian/Alaska Native

---
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quality of life were higher for all cancer types compared to lung cancer survivors (colon OR 2.03 [95% CI 0.88-4.66] breast OR 3.59 [95% CI 1.55-8.33] and prostate OR 2.97 [95% CI 1.28-6.91]) (Figure 2). Lung cancer patients also reported significantly higher degree of financial problems compared to all other cancer types (colon OR 0.46 [95% CI 0.24-0.87] breast OR 0.45 [95% CI 0.25-0.81] and prostate OR 0.30 [95% CI 0.16-0.56]). After full adjustment lung cancer survivor quality of life was only significantly lower than breast cancer survivors (OR [95% CI 0.16-0.56]). After full adjustment lung cancer survivor quality of life was only significantly lower than breast cancer survivors (OR [95% CI 0.16-0.56]).

Discussion

It is well-documented that patients with a history of cancer experience overall poorer quality of life compared to those without cancer [8,9] There are many reasons for this including older age among cancer patients and pre-existing comorbidities that may be exacerbated in the setting of acute cancer treatment [10,11]. Other risk factors for lower health related quality of life among cancer survivors compared to non-cancer patients include Black or Hispanic ethnicity, low income, and low education level [12-15]. Few studies have made direct comparisons between cancer types regarding ongoing survivorship needs. Lung cancer has been associated with a higher symptom burden and lower health utility scores compared to survivors of other cancer types [16,17]. We focused on comparisons between the four most prevalent cancers within a large national sample in an effort to better understand potential differences in designing survivorship care interventions. We found that reported physical health status varied significantly by cancer type with lung cancer survivors reporting the lowest scores. Some of these observed differences may be due the aforementioned risk factors that disproportionately affect patients with lung cancer including age, comorbidity, and social factors. For instance, we were unable to account for smoking as a covariate and smoking has been associated with significantly lower quality of life regardless of cancer status [18]. We were, however able to account for two important variables in our multivariable analysis, namely time since treatment and surgery as a primary treatment. In doing so, the differences between cancer types was attenuated which likely underscores the importance of specific treatment-associated morbidity in influencing cancer survivor needs.

Other studies have demonstrated a higher use of mental health services among cancer survivors as well as other emotional needs compared to patients without cancer [19,20]. We found that self-reported mental health or fear of cancer recurrence did not differ significantly among the different cancer types. We did, however note that lung cancer patients experienced greater financial hardship compared to survivors of other cancer types. The unemployment rate among cancer survivors has been reported to be twice that of non-cancer controls ranging from 18-33% with another 11% reporting that they have been denied healthcare coverage due to their medical condition [5,9]. Even after accounting for age, the financial burden reported by lung cancer patients remained significantly higher at least compared to prostate cancer survivors.

The most basic element of cancer survivorship is potentially the inclusion of a survivorship care plan (SCP). According to both the Institute of Medicine (IOM) and the CoC, a survivorship care plan should include among other things, a written treatment summary. A study among National Cancer Institute (NCI)-designated cancer
Table 2. Survivorship by cancer type.

| Cancer Type       | OR      | 95% CI    | OR      | 95% CI    | OR      | 95% CI    |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Lung              |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| High quality of life | 2.13    | 0.94-4.81 | 2.03    | 0.88-4.66 | 2.01    | 0.77-5.26 |
| Colon             |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| Breast            | 3.43    | 1.51-7.80 | 3.59    | 1.55-8.33 | 3.24    | 1.19-8.78 |
| Prostate          | 3.36    | 1.48-7.61 | 2.97    | 1.28-6.91 | 2.54    | 0.98-6.62 |
| High physical status | 1.90    | 0.84-4.33 | 1.88    | 0.83-4.29 | 1.43    | 0.55-3.72 |
| Colon             |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| Breast            | 3.04    | 1.36-7.69 | 3.07    | 1.37-6.88 | 2.08    | 0.78-5.53 |
| Prostate          | 3.51    | 1.57-7.88 | 3.31    | 1.46-7.49 | 2.3     | 0.90-5.90 |
| High mental health status | 1.35    | 0.69-2.65 | 1.35    | 0.69-2.66 | 1.37    | 0.61-3.04 |
| Colon             |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| Breast            | 1.77    | 0.93-3.38 | 1.87    | 0.96-3.65 | 1.6     | 0.71-3.61 |
| Prostate          | 2.19    | 1.14-4.21 | 2.08    | 1.08-4.03 | 1.88    | 0.88-4.01 |
| Low worry cancer will come back | 1.46    | 0.74-2.86 | 1.39    | 0.69-2.81 | 0.99    | 0.49-2.00 |
| Colon             |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| Breast            | 1.75    | 0.94-3.26 | 1.93    | 1.02-3.65 | 1.37    | 0.72-2.61 |
| Prostate          | 2.65    | 1.39-5.06 | 2.4     | 1.25-4.64 | 2       | 1.02-3.92 |
| High cancer caused financial problems | 0.47    | 0.25-0.89 | 0.46    | 0.24-0.87 | 0.57    | 0.29-1.11 |
| Colon             |         |           |         |           |         |           |
| Breast            | 0.52    | 0.29-0.94 | 0.45    | 0.25-0.81 | 0.62    | 0.34-1.14 |
| Prostate          | 0.26    | 0.14-0.49 | 0.3     | 0.16-0.56 | 0.31    | 0.16-0.60 |

Legend: (1) rating of “good, very good, or excellent”; (2) Frequency of cancer worry “never, rarely or sometimes”; (3) Degree that cancer caused financial problems “a lot or some”.

Model 1: Adjustment for age, gender (colon and lung cancer only), and marital status.
Model 2: Adjusted for recent cancer recurrence, surgery as primary cancer treatment, and treatment within the past 12 months.

centers reported that only 43% of all centers were routinely using SCPs and none of these contained the required elements outlined by the IOM [15] Another study by Hill-Kayser et al. found that only 12% of cancer survivors reported receiving survivorship information [12,13]. Our study found that overall, 36% of survivors reported receiving a treatment summary, but only 20% of lung cancer survivors. This is lower than the NCI study but likely more reflective of real world practice. These low rates of use may be due to lack of evidence of improved patient reported outcomes associated with the use of SCPs despite relatively high interest among patients and providers.

Our study has several limitations. The National Health Interview Survey is a robust population health evaluation tool, however it is still subject to sampling bias and limitations in extrapolating results to the entire US population. Additionally, the data are self-reported and subject to recall bias that may vary by time since diagnosis and cancer type. The self-reported nature of the assessment of quality of life also does not use validated instruments. Nonetheless, the findings are consistent with other published reports among cancer survivors on the whole and attempts to extend our knowledge further by exploring differences between cancer types to better inform interventions aimed at this special population. The field of survivorship research has shown tremendous growth over the past two decades, however there is an inequitable distribution of research on cancer survivors based on the population by cancer type. For instance, prostate cancer represents 22% of the population but 40% of the survivorship research efforts [7]. Intervention tools aimed at improving survivorship care have yielded mixed results even when limited to breast cancer patients. But application of these tools to other cancer types may also be problematic and off target. Further study should be undertaken to discern the needs of cancer survivors with equal emphasis on common themes as well as difference among cancer types in order to embrace a more tailored and patient-centered approach to care.
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