Inhibition of pMAPK14 Overcomes Resistance to Sorafenib in Hepatoma Cells with Hepatitis B Virus
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Abstract
Hepatitis B virus (HBV) targets the liver and is a major driver for liver cancer. Clinical data suggest that HBV infection is associated with reduced response to treatment with the multi-kinase inhibitor sorafenib, the first available molecularly targeted anti-hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) drug. Given that Raf is one of the major targets of sorafenib, we investigated the activation state of the Raf-Mek-Erk pathway in the presence of HBV and in response to sorafenib. Here we show that hepatoma cells with replicating HBV are less susceptible to sorafenib inhibitory effect as compared to cells in which HBV expression is suppressed. However, although HBV replication is associated with increased level of pErk, its blockade only modestly augments sorafenib effect. In contrast, the phosphorylated form of the pro-oncogenic Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase 14 (pMAPK14), a protein kinase that was recently linked to sorafenib resistance, is induced in sorafenib-treated hepatoma cells in association with HBV X protein expression. Knocking down pMAPK14 results in augmentation of the therapeutic efficacy of sorafenib and largely alleviates resistance to sorafenib in the presence of HBV. Thus, this study suggests that HBV promotes HCC resistance to sorafenib. Combining pMAPK14 inhibitors with sorafenib may be beneficial in patients with HBV-associated HCC.
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Introduction
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most prevalent tumor type and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. Risk factors for HCC include chronic viral hepatitis, metabolic liver diseases such as non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) as well as cirrhosis from any cause. Chronic infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV), a small DNA virus that targets the liver, is a leading worldwide risk factor for HCC [2]. The risk of HBV infected patient to develop HCC is 5-100 times as high as the risk of healthy individual [3]. However, although extensively studied, the mechanism(s) by which HBV promotes liver carcinogenesis is still largely obscure [4].

Patients with early stage HCC can be treated with curative modalities such as tumor resection, liver transplantation or radiofrequency ablation [5]. Available options for patients with advanced disease are much more limited, since conventional systemic chemotherapy is usually ineffective in HCC. Therefore, the introduction of the first chemotherapy agent for patients with advanced HCC, the multi-kinase inhibitor sorafenib that blocks vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) and Raf family kinases [6], was accompanied by a great enthusiasm. However, early studies suggested only modest survival benefit for sorafenib at the cost of often substantial side effects [7].

Clinical trials performed in HBV endemic areas, investigating the efficacy of sorafenib in patients with HCC, suggest that the response rate to sorafenib among HBV infected patients is lower as compared...
to that observed in patients with HCC associated with other etiologies [8]. This observation raises the hypothesis that HBV might antagonize sorafenib effect, mandating investigation into alternative or additive therapies for patients with HBV-associated HCC.

In this study, we aimed to investigate whether HBV is implicated in resistance to sorafenib effect in hepatoma cell model systems. We further investigated the mechanism by which HBV confers resistance to sorafenib and explored for potential alternative pathways that can be targeted in order to overcome HBV-associated resistance to sorafenib.

**Material and Methods**

**Cell Lines, Transfection, Transduction and Treatments**

Human hepatoma cell lines HepG2 and the HepG2.2.15 cells [9] were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Biological Industries, Beit Haemek, ISRAEL) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Biological Industries, Beit Haemek, ISRAEL). All cell lines were maintained at 37°C in humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2. For drug testing, cells were treated either with sorafenib (7-12μM) (BAY 43-9006, Enzo Life Science), with FR180204 (70μM) (Sigma) or with corresponding combinations. The compounds were dissolved in DMSO and treatment was performed one day after plating.

Recombinant lentiviral vectors (pLENTI4-HAX; pLENTI4-GFP) and lentiviral vectors encoding for shRNA targeting the MAPK14 gene or non-targeting (control) shRNA (GE Dharmacon) were produced by co-transfection of HEK-293T cells with lentiviral expression plasmids and packaging plasmids (2nd generation packaging plasmids Gag/Pol/Rev/Tat and VSV-G) using PEI (Linear polyethylenimines; Polyscience) transfection reagent. Supernatants were collected after 48 hours and passed through a 0.22 μm filter.

Cells were plated in 24 well plates and were stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution. Cell viability was detected using the crystal violet staining protocol, solubilization of the dye adsorbed by cell nuclei during staining of drug- and DMSO-treated cells was performed after 48 h of treatment and quantified using spectrophotometry.

**Western Blot**

Cells were washed in PBS and then lysed in RIPA lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. 40 μg of protein was separated by 12% SDS-polyacrylamide electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare). Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in PBST (containing 0.1% Tween-20) for 1 h, and incubated with antibodies specific to Phospho-p38 MAPK (Thr180/Tyr182) (1:1000; Cell Signaling), pERK (1:200; Santa Cruz), ERK2 (1:200; Santa Cruz), Phospho-HSP27 (Ser82) (1:1000; Cell Signaling), β-actin (1:5000; Abcam) and GAPDH (1:2500; Abcam) for ON at 4°C. Membranes were washed with PBS-T for three times followed by 1h incubation with appropriate secondary antibody and, then visualized using the odyssey imaging system (LI-COR).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Cells were extensively washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in Phosphate Buffer (PB) for 30 min in room temperature and washed 3 times with PBS. Fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 25 min at room temp, washed with PBS containing 0.2% Tween 20 (PBS-T), and blocked with FCS containing 10% (v/v) skin milk and 0.2% (v/v) tween 20 for 45 min. Cells were then incubated with Phospho-p38 MAPK (Thr180/Tyr182) (1:500; Cell Signaling) in PBS-T containing 10% skin milk for ON at 4°C. The Next day, cells were washed with PBS-T, and detection was performed using the fluorescent secondary antibody Alexa Fluor® 568 goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:500; Molecular Probes) for 45 min at room temperature. Finally, cells were washed with PBS-T and the coverslips were mounted in DAPI Fluoromount G (SouthernBiotech). Microscopic images were obtained using Olympus BX52 Fluorescence Microscope.

**Quantitative mRNA Analysis**

mRNA was isolated from whole cells by EZ-10 Total RNA miniprep-kit (Bio Basic). DNase treatment was performed using TURBO DNA-free™ Kit (Ambion). cDNA synthesis was done with qScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta Biosciences). Quantitative qPCR was performed with PerfeCTa SYBR Green FastMix (Quanta Biosciences). Values were normalized to RPS11 house-keeping gene.

**HBV Viral Load Titer Expression and Quantitative PCR Analysis**

HBV DNA quantification was done as previously described [10]. Briefly, viral DNA was isolated from whole cells via QIAamp DNA Blood mini kit (Qiagen). Quantitative qPCR was performed with TaqMan® Fast Advanced Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Values were normalized to a standard curve performed with known concentrations of HBV DNA.

**Statistics**

All statistical analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism 5 software, if not otherwise stated. Results were expressed as mean ± SD. Comparisons between groups were assessed by two-tailed Student’s t test. P < .05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.
Results

HBV is Associated with Reduced Susceptibility of Hepatoma Cells to Sorafenib

We hypothesized that hepatoma cells with replicating HBV are less susceptible to sorafenib treatment. To investigate this, we took advantage of the HBV-replicating HepG2.2.15 cell line [9] in which HBV expression was suppressed by the CRISPR-Cas9 technology [11] (HepG2.2.15 HBV supp.). The production of HBV particles, as well as the expression level of major viral transcripts in this cell line is suppressed as compared to the parental HepG2.2.15 cell line that was transduced with CRISPR and an inactive Cas-9 (HepG2.2.15 Cont.) (Supp. Figure 1). When both HepG2.2.15 Cont. cells and HepG2.2.15 HBV supp. cells were treated with sorafenib, a significantly higher cell viability was detected in HepG2.2.15 Cont. cells as compared to cells in which HBV replication was down regulated (Figure 1 and Supp. Figure 2). Notably, cell viability assays have shown a much higher difference in sorafenib effect between HepG2.2.15 cells and naive HepG2 cells that completely lack any HBV expression (Supp. Figure 2). Overall, these results suggest that in the presence of HBV, hepatoma cells are less susceptible to the inhibitory effect of sorafenib.

HBV Induces Activation of the RAF-MEK-ERK Pathway

Sorafenib extracts much of its effect by blocking Raf, thereby largely neutralizing the RAF-MEK-ERK pro-oncogenic pathway (Figure 2A) [6]. We therefore hypothesized that HBV might directly or indirectly intervene with this pathway. To investigate this, we analyzed the level of pERK, a downstream kinase activated by Raf, in the presence or in the absence of HBV. As shown in Figure 2B, the level of pERK in HBV harboring hepatoma cells was much higher as compared to pERK level observed in HBV-null HepG2 cells. A higher expression of pERK was also observed in HBV positive (HepG2.2.15 Cont.) as compared to cells in which HBV expression was suppressed by the CRISPR-Cas9 system (HepG2.2.15 HBV supp.), although the difference was modest as compared to that observed in HepG2 versus HepG2.2.15 cells (Figure 2B). We concluded that baseline pERK level is higher in hepatoma cells with HBV. This finding may suggest that pERK induction is involved in HBV-associated resistance to sorafenib treatment.

Inhibition of pERK Does Not Alleviate HBV-Associated Resistance to Sorafenib

Since pERK level is induced in hepatoma cells with replicating HBV as compared to HBV-null cells, we next investigated whether pERK inhibition results in alleviation of HBV-associated resistance to sorafenib. For this, we pharmacologically inhibited pERK with a pERK inhibitor (FR180204) in sorafenib treated hepatoma cells (Supp. Figure 3) and tested cell viability under these conditions. As shown in Figure 2C, pERK inhibition by itself was not sufficient to induce cell death in either HBV replicating HepG2.2.15 cont. or HepG2.2.15 HBV supp. cells. Moreover, the combination of pERK inhibition with sorafenib treatment in HBV replicating HepG2.2.15 cont. cells had a very modest additive effect on cell death as compared to sorafenib treatment alone. A similar trend was observed when a combination of pERK inhibition with sorafenib treatment was employed in HepG2 versus HepG2.2.15 cells (Supp. Figure 4). Therefore, we concluded that although pERK is induced in HBV replicating hepatoma cells, its blockade was not sufficient to overcome the resistance to sorafenib treatment.

The Pro-Oncogenic Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase 14 (MAPK14) is Induced in HBV Positive Hepatoma Cells

Recently, it was shown that the pMAPK14 (phosphorylated p38 alpha MAPK) protein is induced in a fraction of liver samples from patients with HCC, thereby conferring resistance to treatment with sorafenib by circumventing Raf to directly promote cell proliferation.
We therefore speculated that pMAPK14 might be involved in HBV associated resistance to sorafenib. To investigate this, we first analyzed the level of pMAPK14 in hepatoma cells in response to sorafenib. As shown Figure 3B, pMAPK14 was robustly induced in sorafenib-treated HBV replicating hepatoma cells whereas this induction was attenuated in HepG2.2.15 cells in which HBV was largely suppressed.

Consistent with these results, immunostaining for pMAPK14 showed a higher basal expression of pMAPK14 in HepG2.2.15 cells as compared to both, HBV-null HepG2 cells and HepG2.2.15 cells in which HBV was suppressed by the CRISPR-Cas9 system (Figure 3C).

HBV X protein (HBx) is a transcriptional trans-activator that has been implicated in liver carcinogenesis [13,14]. We speculated that HBx might be involved in pMAPK14 induction in hepatoma cells and thereby contribute to their resistance to sorafenib treatment. To investigate this, hepatoma cells were transduced with either HBx expressing or non-coding control lentiviruses, and pMAPK14 expression was analyzed in cells following transduction. As shown in Figure 3D, the presence of HBx results in an increased expression of pMAPK14, but not in total MAPK14, pERK or total Erk, suggesting that HBx is involved in the induction of pMAPK14 in HBV harboring hepatoma cells.

To better define the role of pMAPK14 in conferring resistance to sorafenib in the context of HBV infection, we knocked down pMAPK14 with specific shRNAs (Figure 4 left panel). In contrast to cells in which pMAPK14 expression was intact, the inhibitory effect of sorafenib in the context of pMAPK14 knock down was almost comparable between HBV expressing HepG2.2.15 cells (HepG2.2.15 Cont.) and cells in which HBV was suppressed (Figure 4 right panel). This strongly argues for a central role of HBV-associated pMAPK14 induction in resistance to sorafenib.

Collectively, these results suggest that pMAPK14 is induced in an HBx-dependent manner and that blockage of the pMAPK14 pathway largely alleviates the resistance of HBV harboring hepatoma cells to sorafenib treatment.

**Discussion**

In this study, we show that hepatoma cells with replicating HBV are less susceptible to the inhibitory effect of the multi-kinase inhibitor sorafenib as compared to HBV-null cells. Our finding that HBV...
replication in hepatoma cells is associated with the induction of the Raf-MAPK pathway, a major target of sorafenib, is in line with other previous studies [3,15]. However, in contrast to our prediction, inhibition of the downstream kinase pERK did not alleviate the resistance to sorafenib in HBV replicating cells. This was the rational for exploring alternative oncogenic pathway that might be induced in the presence of HBV. Indeed, our results show that in the presence of HBV, treatment with sorafenib results in induction of pMAPK14. MAPK14 is the major isoform of the stress-activated kinases and its activity controls the expression of inflammatory mediators, cytokines as well as survival and antioxidant genes. Initially considered to have mainly a tumor suppressor role, recent evidence suggests that MAPK14 also shares a tumor promoter activity and might confer tumor cells with resistance to chemotherapy [16]. A recent in vivo RNAi screen has revealed that pMAPK14 is over-expressed in hepatoma samples from patients who are resistant to sorafenib treatment. Knocking down pMAPK14 expression, or inhibiting its kinase activity, markedly increased the therapeutic activity of sorafenib in this in vivo model of hepatocarcinogenesis [12]. Given the molecular heterogeneity of HCC, this important observation suggests that pMAPK14 could mediate sorafenib resistance across the wide spectrum of HCC, possibly in an etiology-dependent manner.

A key observation of our study concerns the induction of pMAPK14 in HBV positive hepatoma cells. This induction is associated with the expression of HBx, a viral protein that is heavily implicated in liver carcinogenesis [17,18]. Importantly, our results suggest that in patients with HBV-associated HCC, the addition of pMAPK14 inhibitor to the conventional treatment with sorafenib may dramatically increase its inhibitory effect, as demonstrated in our in vitro experiments.
These conflicting results might derive from inherent differences in context of infection. However, we believe that the introduction of the multi-kinase inhibitor sorafenib, which blocks several pathways possibly involved in hepatocarcinogenesis, for treating HCC [19]. Therefore, one can speculate that future anti-HCC therapies will not only combine several inhibitors of relevant oncogenic pathways but will also heavily rely on pre-specified etiology-related altered genes or pathways that can potentially be targeted [22,23]. Indeed, our proof-of-principle work exemplifies the importance of defining etiology-specific molecular pathways in liver cancer that are also drug targetable, in order to achieve a much more efficient elimination of the cancerous cells.

Our study joins other previous publications, suggesting various molecular mechanisms for sorafenib resistance in the context of HBV-associated HCC; for example, the expression of myeloid cell leukemia-1 (Mcl-1) protein has been found to be elevated in HBV positive HCC cells and its down-regulation by over expressing miR193b restored the pro-apoptotic effect of sorafenib in these cells [24]. On the other hand, another study suggests that sorafenib destabilizes HBx and suppresses HBV replication, thereby maintaining the drug’s pro-apoptotic effect even in the presence of HBV [25]. These conflicting results might derive from inherent differences in experimental systems and conditions used in those studies.

Our in vitro experimental system is based on integrated HBV DNA that drives viral replication but do not recapitulate the full-cycle in the context of infection. However, we believe that the introduction of the CRISPR/Cas-9 system enabled us to achieve a more reliable comparison between HBV replicating cells and the very same cell line in which HBV replication is largely suppressed. Nevertheless, we are well aware that this system is not entirely clean, since a low level of HBV replication is still maintained even in cells transduced with HBV-targeting CRISPR/Cas9. This may explain the relatively small differences in pERK and pMAPK14 expression between HBV positive and HBV suppressed cells as compared to the differences observed between HBV-null HepG2 cells and HBV replicating HepG2.2.15 cell line.

Based on the results presented in this work, further studies should define the mechanism by which HBx induces pMAPK14 and whether this induction also underlies de novo HBV associated carcinogenesis. Indeed, a large variety of stimuli, such as oxidative stress, DNA damage, pro-inflammatory cytokines and growth factors can induce pMAPK14 [26]. Therefore, it is still possible that pX, and possibly other factors implicated in HBV gene expression and replication, promote changes in the cellular milieu that are ultimately responsible for MAPK14 induction through its phosphorylation. Further studies should better define these putative mechanisms.

Obviously, our results obtained in in vitro systems should be further validated in in vivo models to prove the utility of combined sorafenib and pMAPK14 inhibition in treating HBV-associated HCC. Those studies will also test possible non-specific toxicity effect, a mandatory requirement prior to application of combination therapies in humans.

In conclusion, we believe that our study has a high translational potential, suggesting that the addition of pMAPK14 inhibitor to sorafenib may have an additive effect over sorafenib mono therapy among HBV-infected individuals. This study represents the evolving concept of etiology-tailored therapy for HCC, a deadly cancer for which highly effective therapy is still unavailable.

**Appendix A. Supplementary Data**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2018.02.015.
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