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Abstract

Constructive proofs of fact that a stably free left $S$-module $M$ with $\text{rank}(M) \geq \text{sr}(S)$ is free, where $\text{sr}(S)$ denotes the stable rank of an arbitrary ring $S$, were developed in [7] (see also [5] and [15]). Additionally, in such papers, are presented algorithmic proofs for calculating projective dimension, and to check whether a left $S$-module $M$ is stably free. Given a left $A$-module $M$, with $A$ a bijective skew PBW extension, we will use these results and Gröbner bases theory, to establish algorithms that allow us to calculate effectively the projective dimension for this module, to check whether is stably free, to construct minimal presentations, and to obtain bases for free modules.
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1. Introduction

The Gröbner bases theory provides us with a remarkable array of tools for the effective calculation of diverse algebraic objects. We have developed this theory for skew PBW extensions (see [5] and [9]), which in turn has enabled us to carry out calculations in a broad class of noncommutative rings. In addition, given an arbitrary left $A$-module $M$, a bijective skew PBW extension, this Gröbner bases theory along with the existence of matrix constructive results that allow to establish algorithms for calculating the projective dimension of $M$, to check whether or not $M$ is stably free, and to obtain effectively a basis when $M$ is a stably free module with $\text{rank}(M) \geq \text{sr}(S)$, will enable us to present throughout current article, effective algorithms and computations of this kind, for modules defined on skew PBW extensions. The key tool for these algorithms will be the calculation of left and right inverse matrices.

Related to the computation of projective dimension, we have that the following notable general facts over arbitrary rings. $S$ will represent an arbitrary noncommutative ring.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $M$ be an $S$-module and

$$0 \rightarrow P_m \xrightarrow{f_m} P_{m-1} \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} P_{m-2} \xrightarrow{f_{m-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.1)$$

be a projective resolution of $M$. If $m \geq 2$ and there exists a homomorphism $g_m : P_{m-1} \rightarrow P_m$ such that $g_m f_m = i_p$, then we have the following projective resolution of $M$:

$$0 \rightarrow P_{m-1} \xrightarrow{h_{m-1}} P_{m-2} \oplus P_m \xrightarrow{h_{m-2}} P_{m-3} \xrightarrow{f_{m-3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0,$$

(1.2)

with

$$h_{m-1} := \begin{bmatrix} f_{m-1} \\ g_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad h_{m-2} := [f_{m-2} \ 0].$$
**Proof.** See [15], Proposition 20.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let $M$ be an $S$-module and

$$0 \rightarrow S^{s_m} \xrightarrow{f_m} S^{s_{m-1}} \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} S^{s_{m-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} S^{s_1} \xrightarrow{f_1} S^{s_0} \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a finite free resolution of $M$. Let $F_i$ be the matrix of $f_i$ in the canonical bases, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then,

(i) If $m \geq 3$ and there exists a homomorphism $g_m : S^{s_{m-1}} \rightarrow S^{s_m}$ such that $g_m f_m = i_{S^{s_m}}$, then we have the following finite free resolution of $M$:

$$0 \rightarrow S^{s_{m-1}} \xrightarrow{h_{m-1}} S^{s_{m-2}+s_m} \xrightarrow{h_{m-2}} S^{s_{m-3}} \xrightarrow{f_{m-3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} S^{s_0} \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.4)$$

with

$$h_{m-1} := \begin{bmatrix} f_{m-1} \\ g_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad h_{m-2} := [f_{m-2}]_0.$$

In a matrix notation, if $G_m$ is the matrix of $g_m$ and $H_j$ is the matrix of $h_j$ in the canonical bases, $j = m-1, m-2$, then

$$H^T_{m-1} := \begin{bmatrix} F^T_{m-1} & G^T_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad H^T_{m-2} := \begin{bmatrix} F^T_{m-2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

(ii) If $m = 2$ and there exists a homomorphism $g_2 : S^{s_1} \rightarrow S^{s_2}$ such that $g_2 f_2 = i_{S^{s_2}}$, then we have the following finite presentation of $M$:

$$0 \rightarrow S^{s_1} \xrightarrow{h_1} S^{s_0+s_2} \xrightarrow{h_0} M \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.5)$$

with

$$h_1 := \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ g_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad h_0 := [f_0]_0.$$
In a matrix notation,
\[
H_1^T := \begin{bmatrix} F_1^T & G_2^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_0^T := \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

**Proof.** See [15], Corollary 21. \qed

With respect to stably freeness, the following characterization holds.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( M \) be an \( S \)-module with exact sequence \( 0 \rightarrow S^s \xrightarrow{f_0} S^r \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow 0 \). Then, \( M^T \cong \operatorname{Ext}_S^1(M, S) \) and the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( M \) is stably free.

(ii) \( M \) is projective.

(iii) \( M^T = 0 \).

(iv) \( F_1^T \) has a right inverse.

(v) \( f_1 \) has a left inverse.

**Proof.** See [5], Theorem 2.2.15. \qed

Finally, regarding free modules, we include below a matrix constructive characterization.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let \( S \) be a ring and \( M \) be a stably free \( S \)-module given by a minimal presentation \( S^s \xrightarrow{f_1} S^r \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0 \). Let \( g_1 : S^r \rightarrow S^s \) such that \( g_1 f_1 = i_{S^s} \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( M \) is free of dimension \( r - s \).

(ii) There exists a matrix \( U \in \text{GL}_r(S) \) such that \( U G_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} I_s \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \), where \( G_1 \) is the matrix of \( g_1 \) in the canonical bases. In such case, the last \( r - s \) columns of \( U^T \) conform a basis for \( M \). Moreover, the first \( s \) columns of \( U^T \) conform the matrix \( F_1 \) of \( f_1 \) in the canonical bases.
(iii) There exists a matrix \( V \in GL_r(S) \) such that \( G_1^T \) coincides with the first \( s \) columns of \( V \), i.e., \( G_1^T \) can be completed to an invertible matrix \( V \) of \( GL_r(S) \).

**Proof.** See [5], Lemma 2.3.5.

Some definitions and elementary properties are necessary in what follows. These can also be reviewed in [7]. If \( S \) is an arbitrary ring, \( S^r \) denotes the left \( S \)-module of columns of size \( r \times 1 \); for each \( S \)-homomorphism \( S^s \xrightarrow{f} S^r \), there is a matrix associated to \( f \) in the canonical bases of \( S^r \) and \( S^s \), denoted \( F := m(f) \), and disposed by columns, i.e., \( F \in M_{r \times s}(S) \); moreover, if \( a \in S^s \), then \( f(a) = (a^T F^T)^T \). It is straightforward to show that function \( m : \text{Hom}_S(S^s, S^r) \to M_{r \times s}(S) \) is bijective; and that if \( S^r \xrightarrow{g} S^p \) is a homomorphism, then the matrix of \( gf \) in the canonical bases is \( m(gf) = (F^T G^T)^T \). Thus, \( f : S^r \to S^r \) is an isomorphism if and only if \( F^T \in GL_r(S) \), and if \( C \in M_r(S) \), we have that columns of \( C \) conform a basis of \( S^r \) if and only if \( C^T \in GL_r(S) \).

When \( S \) is commutative, or when we consider right modules instead of left modules, we have that a compose homomorphism \( gf \) is given by \( m(gf) = m(g)m(f) \). Further, \( f : S^r \to S^r \) is an isomorphism if and only if \( F \in GL_r(S) \); besides, \( C \in GL_r(S) \) if and only if its columns conform a basis of \( S^r \) (see Subsection 1.1 in [7]). Now, let \( S \) be a ring; we say that \( S \) satisfies the **rank condition** (\( \mathcal{RC} \)) if for any integers \( r, s \geq 1 \), if \( S^s \xrightarrow{f} S^r \) is an epimorphism, then \( r \geq s \). Furthermore, \( S \) is an **IBN** ring (invariant basis number) if for any integers \( r, s \geq 1 \), \( S^r \cong S^s \) if and only if \( r = s \). It is well known that \( \mathcal{RC} \) implies \( \text{IBN} \). From now on we will assume that all rings considered in the present paper are \( \mathcal{RC} \). We have the following elementary characterization for \( \mathcal{RC} \) rings.
Proposition 1.5. Let $S$ be a ring.

(i) $S$ is $RC$ if and only if given any matrix $F \in M_{s \times r}(S)$ the following condition holds:

If $F$ has a right inverse then $r \geq s$.

(ii) $S$ is $RC$ if and only if given any matrix $F \in M_{s \times r}(S)$ the following condition holds:

If $F$ has a left inverse then $s \geq r$.

Proof. C.f. [7], Proposition 2.

2. Skew PBW Extensions

In this section, we recall the definition of skew PBW (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt) extensions defined firstly in [6], and we will review also some basic properties about the polynomial interpretation of this kind of noncommutative rings. Two particular subclasses of these extensions are recalled also.

Definition 2.1. Let $R$ and $A$ be rings. We say that $A$ is a skew PBW extension of $R$ (also called a $\sigma$-PBW extension of $R$) if the following conditions hold:

(i) $R \subseteq A$.

(ii) There exist finite elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A$ such that $A$ is a left $R$-free module with basis

\[ \text{Mon}(A) := \{ x^\alpha = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \mid \alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \}. \]

(iii) For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $r \in R - \{0\}$, there exists $c_{i,r} \in R - \{0\}$ such that

\[ x_i r - c_{i,r} x_i \in R. \quad (2.1) \]
(iv) For every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, there exists $c_{i,j} \in R - \{0\}$ such that

$$x_jx_i - c_{i,j}x_i x_j \in R + Rx_1 + \cdots + Rx_n.$$  

(2.2)

Under these conditions, we will write $A := \sigma(R)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

A particular case of skew PBW extension is when all derivations $\delta_i$ are zero. Another interesting case is when all $\sigma_i$ are bijective and the constants $c_{ij}$ are invertible. We recall the following definition (cf. [6]).

**Definition 2.2.** Let $A$ be a skew PBW extension.

(a) $A$ is quasi-commutative if the conditions (iii) and (iv) in Definition 2.1 are replaced by

(iii') For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $r \in R - \{0\}$, there exists $c_{i,r} \in R - \{0\}$ such that

$$x_i r = c_{i,r} x_i.$$  

(2.3)

(iv') For every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, there exists $c_{i,j} \in R - \{0\}$ such that

$$x_j x_i = c_{i,j} x_i x_j.$$  

(2.4)

(b) $A$ is bijective if $\sigma_i$ is bijective for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $c_{i,j}$ is invertible for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

A remarkable property satisfies by skew PBW extensions is presented below, which at the same time assures us that the algorithms used finish.

**Proposition 2.3** (Hilbert Basis Theorem). Let $A$ be a bijective skew PBW extension of $R$. If $R$ is a left (right) Noetherian ring, then $A$ is also a left (right) Noetherian ring.

**Proof.** See [14], Corollary 2.4. $\Box$

Since the objects studied in the present paper are skew PBW extensions, it is necessary to guarantee the $TBN'$ and $RC$ properties for these rings. For this, we have the following important fact:
Theorem 2.4. Let $A$ be a skew PBW extension of a ring $R$. Then, $A$ is RC(IBC) if and only if $R$ is RC(IBC).

Proof. See [8], Theorem 2.9.

Remark 2.5. We developed the Gröbner bases theory for any bijective skew PBW extension. Specifically, we established a Buchberger’s algorithm for these rings, the computation of syzygies module, as well as some applications as membership problem, calculation of intersections, quotients, presentation of a module, computing free resolutions, the kernel and image of an homomorphism (see Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 in [5] or [9]).

3. Computing the Inverse of a Matrix

In this section, we will present an algorithm that determines if a given rectangular matrix over a bijective skew PBW extension is left invertible, and in such case, the algorithm computes one of its left inverses. A similar algorithm will be constructed for the right side case. We start with the following elementary fact about left invertible matrices.

Proposition 3.1. Let $F$ be a rectangular matrix of size $r \times s$ with entries in a ring $S$. If $F$ has left inverse, then $r \geq s$. Moreover, $F$ has a left inverse if and only if the module generated by the rows of $F$ coincides with $S^s$.

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that we are assuming the $S$ is RC (see Proposition 1.5). Now, suppose that $F$ has a left inverse $L \in M_{s \times t}(S)$, i.e., $LF = I_s$. Define the following $S$-homomorphisms:

$$f^t : S^r \rightarrow S^s \quad \quad f^s : S^s \rightarrow S^r$$

$$a \mapsto (a^T F)^T \quad \quad b \mapsto (b^T L)^T,$$
then \( m(f^t) = F^T \) and \( m(l^t) = L^T \). Whence, \( m(f^t \circ l^t) = (L F)^T = I_s^T = I_s \), i.e., \( f^t \) is an epimorphism. Hence, \( \text{Im}(f^t) = S^s \), i.e., the left submodule generated by the rows of \( F \) coincides with the free module \( S^s \).

Conversely, suppose that the module generated by the rows of \( F \) coincides with \( S^s \), then for \( f^t \) defined as above, there exist \( a_1, \ldots, a_s \in S^r \) such that \( f^t(a_i) = e_i \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq s \), and where \( e_1, \ldots, e_s \) denote the canonical vectors of \( S^s \). Thus, if \( a_i = [a_{i1} \ a_{i2} \ \ldots \ a_{ir}]^T \), we have

\[
a_i^T F = [a_{i1} \ a_{i2} \ \ldots \ a_{ir}] F = a_{i1} F_{(1)} + \cdots + a_{ir} F_{(r)} = e_i,
\]

where \( F_{(j)} \) denotes the \( j \)-th row of \( F \), \( 1 \leq j \leq r \). Therefore, if \( L \) is the matrix whose rows are the vectors \( a_i^T \), then \( LF = I_s \), i.e., \( F \) has a left inverse.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( A \) be a bijective skew PBW extension and let \( F \in M_{r \times s}(A) \) be a rectangular matrix over \( A \). The algorithm below determines if \( F \) is left invertible, and in the positive case, it computes a left inverse of \( F \).
Algorithm for the left inverse of a matrix

**INPUT:** A rectangular matrix $F \in M_{r \times s}(A)$.

**OUTPUT:** A matrix $L \in M_{s \times r}(A)$ satisfying $LF = I_s$ if it exists, and 0 in other case.

**INITIALIZATION:**

**IF** $r < s$

**RETURN** 0

**IF** $r \geq s$, let $G := \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a Gröbner basis for the left submodule generated by rows of $F$ and let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^s$ be the canonical basis of $A^s$. Use the division algorithm to verify if $e_i \in (A^s G)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq s$.

**IF** there exists some $e_i$ such that $e_i \notin (G)$.

**RETURN** 0

**IF** $(G) = A^s$, let $H \in M_{r \times s}(A)$ with the property $G^T = H^TF$, and consider $K := [k_{ij}] \in M_{1 \times s}$, where the $k_{ij}$’s are such that $e_i = k_{i1}g_1 + \cdots + k_{it}g_t$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus, $I_s = K^T G^T$.

**RETURN** $L := K^T H^T$.

**Example 3.3.** Let $A = \sigma(\mathbb{Q}) \langle x, y \rangle$ defined through the relation $yx = -xy + 1$. Given the matrix

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
x y & 0 \\
x^2 & 0 \\
1 & y
\end{bmatrix},
\]

...
we apply the above algorithm in order to verify if $F$ has a left inverse. For this, we compute a Gröbner basis of the left module generated by the rows of $F$. Considering the deglex order on $\text{Mon}(A)$, with $x \succ y$, and the TOPREV order on $\text{Mon}(A^2)$, with $e_1 > e_2$, a Gröbner basis for $A\langle F^T \rangle$ is $\{e_1, e_2\}$. In consequence, $F$ has a left inverse and, from calculations obtained during the process of Buchberger’s algorithm, we have that

$$L = \begin{bmatrix}
xy^2 - y & y + 1 & 0 & -xy + 1 \\
-xy^2 + y + 1 & -y - 1 & 0 & xy - 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

is a left inverse for $F$.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $F$ be a square matrix of size $r \times r$ with entries in a ring $S$. Then, $F$ is invertible if and only if the rows of $F$ conform a basis of $S^r$.

**Proof.** Let $L \in M_r(A)$ such that $LF = I_r = FL$. From $LF = I_r$ it follows that the rows of $F$ generate $S^r$. Let $f^t$ and $l^t$ be like in the proof of Proposition 3.1; since $FL = I_r$, then $l^t \circ f^t = i_{S^r}$ and, therefore, $f^t$ is a monomorphism, i.e., $\text{Syz}(F^T) = 0$. Thus, the rows of $F$ are linearly independent, and this complete the first implication. Conversely, since the rows of $F$ generate $S^r$, by Proposition 3.1, $F$ has a left inverse. Let $L$ be a such inverse, then $LF = I_r$. We have $FLF = F$, this implies that $(FL - I_r)F = 0_r$, but $\text{Syz}(F^T) = 0$, then $FL = I_r$, i.e., $F^{-1} = L$.  \[\square\]
Corollary 3.5. Let $A$ be a bijective skew PBW extension and $F \in M_r(A)$ a square matrix over $A$. The algorithm below determines whether $F$ is invertible, and in the positive case, it computes the inverse of $F$:

\begin{algorithm}
INPUT: A square matrix $F \in M_r(A)$.
OUTPUT: A matrix $L \in M_r(A)$ satisfying $LF = I_r = FL$ if it exists, and 0 in other case.
INITIALIZATION:
Use the algorithm in Corollary 3.2 to determine if $F$ is left invertible.
\begin{cases}
  \text{IF } F \text{ is not left invertible} & \text{RETURN } 0 \\
  \text{ELSE Compute } \text{Syz}(F^T) & \\
  \quad \text{IF } \text{Syz}(F^T) \neq 0 & \text{RETURN } 0 \\
  \quad \text{ELSE Compute the matrices } H \text{ and } K \text{ in the algorithm of Corollary 3.2.} & \\
  \quad \text{RETURN } L := K^T H^T.
\end{cases}
\end{algorithm}

Example 3.6. For this example, we consider the additive analogue of the Weyl algebra. Recall that, if $k$ is a field, then $k$-algebra $A_n(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$ is generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ and subject to the relations:

\begin{align*}
x_j x_i &= x_i x_j, \quad y_j y_i = y_i y_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\
y_i x_j &= x_j y_i, \quad i \neq j, \\
y_i x_i &= q_i x_i y_i + 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,
\end{align*}

where $q_i \in k - \{0\}$. It is not difficult to show that $A_n(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$ is a bijective skew PBW extension. We take, $n = 2$, $q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $q_2 = \frac{1}{3}$; on $\text{Mon}(A)$ we consider the deglex order and, over $\text{Mon}(A^2)$ the $\text{TOPREV}$ order with $e_1 > e_2$. Let $F$ be the following matrix:
We want to check if columns of \( F \) conform a basis for \( A^2 \), and we know that this is true if and only if \( F^T \) is invertible. Using the above algorithm, we start verifying if \( F^T \) has a left inverse; for this purpose, we compute a Gröbner basis of the left \( A \)-module generated by the rows of \( F^T \), i.e., of the left \( A \)-module \( \text{Im}(F) \). Using the Buchberger’s algorithm for modules, it is possible to show that \[ \{ f_1, f_2, f_3 \} \] is a Gröbner basis for this module, where \[ f_1 = x_1 y_1^2 e_1 + x_2 y_2 e_2, \quad f_2 = x_2 y_2^3 e_1 + x_1 y_1 e_2, \] and \[ f_3 = -\frac{1}{4} x_1 y_1^2 e_2 + \frac{1}{9} x_2 y_2^3 e_2 - \frac{3}{2} x_1 y_1^2 e_2 + \frac{4}{3} x_2 y_2^2 e_2. \] Applying the division algorithm, we can check that \( e_1 \notin \langle G \rangle \), therefore \( A(G) \neq A^2 \). Thus \( F^T \) has no a left inverse and, hence, the columns of \( F \) are not a basis for \( A^2 \).

Remark 3.7. If \( S \) is a left (or right) Noetherian ring, then every epimorphism \( \alpha : S^r \to S^r \) is an automorphism (see Proposition 1.14 in [12]). This implies that every left (or right) Noetherian ring is \( WF \) (see [3]). Therefore, to test if \( F \in M_r(S) \) is invertible, it is enough to show that \( F \) has a right or a left inverse. So, in the above algorithm, when \( A \) is a bijective PBW extension of a LGS ring, it is not necessary the computation of \( \text{Syz}_S(F^T) \) to test whether the matrix is invertible, it would be sufficient to apply the algorithm for the left inverse given in Corollary 3.2.

Now we will consider the right inverse of a rectangular matrix. We start with the following theoretical result:

Proposition 3.8. Let \( F \) be a rectangular matrix of size \( r \times s \) with entries in the ring \( S \). If \( F \) has right inverse, then \( s \geq r \) and the module of syzygies of the submodule generated by the rows of \( F \) is zero, i.e., \( \text{Syz}_S(F^T) = 0 \). In the other words, if \( F \) has a right inverse then the rows of \( F \) are linearly independent.
Proof. To begin, $s \geq r$ since we are assuming that $S$ is $\mathcal{RC}$ (Proposition 1.5). Let $L \in M_{s \times r}(S)$ such that $FL = I_r$. Consider the homomorphisms $f^t$ and $l^t$ as in Proposition 3.1, then $f^t$ is a monomorphism. Hence, $\ker(f^t) = 0$, i.e., $\text{Syz}(F^T) = 0$.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let $F$ be a rectangular matrix of size $r \times s$ with entries in the ring $S$. If $F$ has right inverse, then $s \geq r$. Moreover, $F$ has a right inverse if and only if $\text{Syz}(F^T) = 0$ and $\text{Im}(F^T)$ is a summand direct of $S^s$, where $\text{Im}(F^T)$ denotes the module generated by the columns of $F^T$, i.e., the module generated by the rows of $F$.

Proof. To begin, $s \geq r$ since we are assuming that $S$ is $\mathcal{RC}$ (Proposition 1.5). Now, let $L \in M_{s \times r}(S)$ such that $FL = I_r$. Consider the homomorphisms $f^t$ and $l^t$ as in Proposition 3.1, then $l^t \circ f^t = i_{S^r}$, i.e., $f^t$ is a split monomorphism. Thus, $S^s = \text{Im}(f^t) \oplus \ker(l^t)$, and $\text{Im}(f^t)$ is a direct summand of $S^s$. Conversely, let $M$ be a submodule of $S^s$ such that $S^s = \text{Im}(f^t) \oplus M$. So, given $f \in S^s$ there exist unique elements $f_1 \in \text{Im}(f^t)$ and $f_2 \in M$ such that $f = f_1 + f_2$. Define the homomorphism $l^t : S^s \to S^r$ as $l^t(f) = h_f$, where $h_f \in S^r$ is such that $f^t(h_f) = f_1$. By hypothesis, $\text{Syz}(F^T) = 0$, so $f^t$ is injective and we get that $l^t$ is well defined. It is not difficult to show that $l^t$ is an $S$-homomorphism. Consequently, $l^t \circ f^t = i_{S^r}$ and if $L^T := m(l^t)$, then $FL = I_r$, i.e., $F$ has a right inverse.

**Remark 3.10.** If we had a computational tool for to check if a submodule of a free module is a summand direct, then Proposition 3.9 would establish an algorithm to check the existence of a right inverse.
Following [1] and [15], consider a matrix \( F := [f_{ij}] \in M_{rs}(A) \), with \( s \geq r \), where \( A \) is a bijective skew PBW extension endowed with an involution \( \theta \), i.e., a function \( \theta : S \to S \) such that \( \theta(a + b) = \theta(a) + \theta(b) \), \( \theta(ab) = \theta(b)\theta(a) \) and \( \theta^2 = 1_S \), for all \( a, b \in S \). Note that \( \theta(1) = 1 \), and hence, \( \theta \) is an anti-isomorphism of \( S \). We define \( \theta(F) := [\theta(f_{ij})] \). Observe that if \( K \in M_{sxr}(A) \), then

\[
\theta(FK)^T = \theta(K)^T\theta(F)^T.
\]

**Proposition 3.11.** Let \( A \) be a bijective skew PBW extension endowed with an involution \( \theta \) and let \( F := [f_{ij}] \in M_{rs}(A) \), with \( s \geq r \). Then, \( F \) has a right inverse if and only if for each \( 1 \leq j \leq r \), \( e_j \stackrel{G'}{\to} 0 \), where \( G' \) is a Gröbner basis of the left \( A \)-module generated by the columns of \( \theta(F) \) and \( \{e_j\}_{j=1}^r \) is the canonical basis of \( A^r \).

**Proof.** \( G := [g_{ij}] \in M_{sxr}(A) \) is a right inverse of \( F \) if and only if \( FG = I_r \), and this is equivalent to say that

\[
e_j = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} \\ f_{21} \\ \vdots \\ f_{r1} \\ f_{1s} \\ f_{2s} \\ \vdots \\ f_{rs} \end{bmatrix} \cdot g_{1j} + \cdots + \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} \\ f_{21} \\ \vdots \\ f_{r1} \\ f_{1s} \\ f_{2s} \\ \vdots \\ f_{rs} \end{bmatrix} \cdot g_{sj}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r;
\]

applying \( \theta \) we obtain

\[
e_j = \theta(g_{1j}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \theta(f_{11}) \\ \theta(f_{21}) \\ \vdots \\ \theta(f_{r1}) \\ \theta(f_{1s}) \\ \theta(f_{2s}) \\ \vdots \\ \theta(f_{rs}) \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \theta(g_{sj}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \theta(f_{11}) \\ \theta(f_{21}) \\ \vdots \\ \theta(f_{r1}) \\ \theta(f_{1s}) \\ \theta(f_{2s}) \\ \vdots \\ \theta(f_{rs}) \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Thus, $G$ is a right inverse of $F$ if and only if the canonical vectors of $A'$ belong to the left $A$-module generated by the columns of $\langle \theta(F) \rangle$, i.e., $e_1, \ldots, e_r \in \langle \theta(F) \rangle$. Let $G'$ be a Gröbner basis of $\langle \theta(F) \rangle$, then $G$ is a right inverse of $F$ if and only if for each $j$, $e_j \xrightarrow{G'} \neq 0$.

**Corollary 3.12.** Let $A$ be a bijective skew PBW extension and $F \in M_{r \times s}(A)$ be a rectangular matrix over $A$. The algorithm below determines if $F$ is right invertible, and in the positive case, it computes the right inverse of $F$.

```
Algorithm 1 for the right inverse of a matrix

INPUT: An involution $\theta$ of $A$; a rectangular matrix $F \in M_{r \times s}(A)$.

OUTPUT: A matrix $H \in M_{s \times r}(A)$ satisfying $FH = I_r$ if it exists, and $0$ in other case.

INITIALIZATION:

IF $s < r$

RETURN 0

IF $s \geq r$, let $G' := \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a Gröbner basis for the left submodule generated by columns of $\langle \theta(F) \rangle$ and let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^r$ be the canonical basis of $A'$. Use the division algorithm to verify if $e_j \in \langle G' \rangle$ for each $1 \leq j \leq r$.

IF there exists some $e_j$ such that $e_j \notin \langle G' \rangle$. RETURN 0

IF $\langle G' \rangle = A'$, let $J \in M_{s \times t}(A)$ with the property $G'^T = J^T \theta(F)^T$, and consider $K := \{k_{ij}\} \in M_{t \times r}$, where the $k_{ij}$ are such that $e_j = k_{1j} g_1 + k_{2j} g_2 + \cdots + k_{ij} g_t$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Thus, $I_r = K^T G'^T$.

RETURN $H := \theta(J) \theta(K)$.
```

**Proof.** Applying (3.1), we get

$$I_r = K^T G'^T = K^T J^T \theta(F)^T = \theta(\theta(K))^T \theta(\theta(J))^T \theta(F)^T = \theta(\theta(J) \theta(K)) \theta(F)^T = \theta(\theta(J) \theta(K)) \theta(F)^T \theta(F)^T = \theta(F \theta(J) \theta(K)) \theta(F)^T,$$

so $I_r = \theta(F \theta(J) \theta(K)) = \theta(I_r)$, and from this we get $I_r = F \theta(J) \theta(K)$.
Example 3.13. Let us consider the ring $A = \sigma(\mathbb{Q})\langle x, y \rangle$, with $yx = -xy + 1$. Using the above algorithm, we will compute a right inverse for

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & 1 \\ y - 1 & x - 1 & x - y \end{bmatrix}$$

provided that it exists. For this, we consider the involution $\theta$ on $A$ given by $\theta(x) = -x$ and $\theta(y) = -y$. With this involution, we have that $\theta(xy) = -xy + 1$. Thus,

$$\theta(F) = \begin{bmatrix} -x & 0 & 1 \\ -y - 1 & -x - 1 & -x + y \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

We start computing a Gröbner basis for the left module generated by the columns of $\theta(F)$. We get that $G' = \{e_1, e_2\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $A(\theta(F))$. In this case, $F$ has a right inverse and

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} -x + y & -1 \\ x^2 + 2xy - y^2 - x + y - 1 & x + y - 1 \\ -x^2 - xy + 2 & -x \end{bmatrix}$$

is such that $G'^T = J^T \theta(F)^T$. Since $G'^T = I_2$, then $K = I_2$ and $L := \theta(J)$ is a right inverse for $F$, where

$$\theta(J) = \begin{bmatrix} x - y & -1 \\ x^2 - 2xy - y^2 + x - y + 1 & -x - y - 1 \\ -x^2 + xy + 1 & x \end{bmatrix}.$$

To find involutions of skew PBW extensions it is a difficult task, so the above algorithm is not practical. A second algorithm for testing the existence and computing a right inverse of a matrix uses the theory of
Gröbner bases for right modules developed in [5]. For this, we will make a simple adaptation of Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 for right submodules, using the right notation.

**Proposition 3.14.** Let $F$ be a rectangular matrix of size $r \times s$ with entries in a ring $S$. If $F$ has right inverse, then $s \geq r$. Moreover, $F$ has a right inverse if and only if the right module generated by the columns of $F$ coincides with $S^r$.

**Proof.** The first statement follows from Proposition 1.5. Now, suppose that $F$ has a right inverse and let $L$ be a matrix such that $FL = I_r$. Define the following homomorphism of right free $S$-modules:

$$
f : S^s \to S^r \quad \quad \quad l : S^r \to S^s
$$

$$
a \mapsto Fa \quad \quad \quad b \mapsto Lb,
$$

then $m(f) = F$ and $m(l) = L$. Whence, $m(f \circ l) = FL = I_r$, i.e., $f$ is an epimorphism. Therefore, $\text{Im}(f) = S^r$, i.e., the right submodule generated by columns of $F$ coincides with the free module $S^r$. Conversely, if $\text{Im}(F) = S^r$, then for $f$ defined as above, there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_s \in S^s$ such that $f(a_j) = e_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq s$, and where $e_1, \ldots, e_s$ denote the canonical vectors of $S^s$. Thus, if $a_j = [a_{1j} \ a_{2j} \ \cdots \ a_{rj}]^T$, we have

$$
Fa_j = F[a_{1j} \ a_{2j} \ \cdots \ a_{rj}] = F^{(1)}a_{1j} + \cdots + F^{(r)}a_{rj} = e_j,
$$

where $F^{(j)}$ denotes the $j$-th column of $F$, $1 \leq j \leq r$. So, if $L$ is the matrix whose columns are the vectors $a_j^T$, then $FL = I_r$, i.e., $F$ has a right inverse.

Thus, considering the results about Gröbner bases for right modules (see [5]), we have the following alternative algorithm for testing the existence of a right inverse.
Corollary 3.15. Let $A$ be a bijective skew PBW extension and $F \in M_{r \times s}(A)$ be a rectangular matrix over $A$. The algorithm below determines if $F$ is right invertible, and in the positive case, it computes a right inverse of $F$:

Algorithm 2 for the right inverse of a matrix

INPUT: A rectangular matrix $F \in M_{r \times s}(A)$.

OUTPUT: A matrix $L \in M_{s \times r}(A)$ satisfying $FL = I_r$ if it exists, and 0 in other case.

INITIALIZATION:

IF $s < r$
RETURN 0

IF $s \geq r$, let $G := \{g_1, \ldots, g_l\}$ be a right Gröbner basis for the right submodule generated by columns of $F$ and let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^r$ be the canonical basis of $A^r_A$.

Use right version of division algorithm to verify if $e_i \in \langle G \rangle_A$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$.

IF there exists some $e_j$ such that $e_j \notin \langle G \rangle_A$.
RETURN 0

IF $\langle G \rangle_A = A^r$, let $H \in M_{s \times t}(A)$ with the property $G = FH$, and consider $K := [k_{ij}] \in M_{t \times s}$, where the $k_{ij}$'s are such that $e_j = g_1k_{1j} + g_2k_{2j} + \cdots + g_lk_{lj}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus, $I_r = GK$.

RETURN $L := HK$.

Example 3.16. Consider the ring $A = \sigma(\mathbb{Q}) \langle x, y \rangle$, with $yx = -xy + 1$, and let $F$ be the matrix given by

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} y^2 & -xy & y \\ xy - 1 & x^2 & x \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Applying the right versions of Buchberger’s algorithm, we have that a Gröbner basis for the right module generated by the columns of $F$ is $G = \{e_1, e_2\}$. From Corollary 3.15, we can show that $F$ has a right inverse; moreover, one right inverse for $F$ is given by
Given $M$ an $S$-module and

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{f_{r+1}} P_r \xrightarrow{f_r} P_{r-1} \xrightarrow{f_{r-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \to 0
$$

(4.1)
a projective resolution of $M$, it is not difficult to show that if $r$ is the smallest integer such $\text{Im}(f_r)$ is projective, then $r$ does not depend on the resolution and $\text{pd}(M) = r$ (c.f. [5], Theorem 2.4.2). Therefore, we can consider a free resolution $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 0}$, which we can calculate using some of the applications of Gröbner bases theory. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following algorithm which computes the projective dimension of a module $M \subseteq A^m$ given by a finite set of generators, where $A$ is a bijective skew PBW extension of a LGS ring $R$ (left Gröbner soluble, see [5] and [9]) with finite left global dimension. Note that $A$ is left Noetherian (Theorem 2.3) and $\text{lgld}(A) < \infty$ (see [14]).

Algorithm 1

**INPUT:** $\text{lgld}(A) < \infty$, $M = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \subseteq A^m$, with $f_k \neq 0$, $1 \leq k \leq s$.

**OUTPUT:** $\text{pd}(M)$.

**INITIALIZATION:** Compute a free resolution $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ of $M$.

**WHILE** $i \leq \text{lgld}(A)$ **DO**:

- IF $\text{Im}(f_i)$ is projective THEN $\text{pd}(M) = i$.
- ELSE $i = i + 1$. 

Projective dimension of a module over a bijective skew PBW extension
Observe that, in the previous algorithm, we no need to compute finite free resolutions of $M$; any free resolution computed using syzygies is enough.

Next, we present another algorithm for computing the left projective dimension of a module $M \subseteq A^m$ given by a finite free resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow A^{s_m} \xrightarrow{f_m} A^{s_{m-1}} \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} A^{s_{m-2}} \xrightarrow{f_{m-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} A^{s_1} \xrightarrow{f_1} A^{s_0} \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$  

(4.2)

This algorithm is supported by Corollary 1.2.

| Projective dimension of a module over a bijective skew PBW extension |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Algorithm 2                                          |
| INPUT: An $A$-module $M$ defined by a finite free resolution (4.2). |
| OUTPUT: $\text{pd}(M)$.                               |
| INITIALIZATION: Set $j := m$ and $H_j := F_m$, with $F_m$ the matrix of $f_m$ in the canonical bases. |
| WHILE $j \leq m$ DO:                                 |
| Step 1. Check whether or not $H_j^T$ admits a right inverse $G_j^T$: |
| (a) If no right inverse of $H_j^T$ exists, then $\text{pd}(M) = j$. |
| (b) If there exists a right inverse $G_j^T$ of $H_j^T$ and |
| (i) If $j = 1$, then $\text{pd}(M) = 0$. |
| (ii) If $j = 2$, then compute (1.5). |
| (iii) If $j \geq 3$, then compute (1.4). |
| Step 2. $j = j - 1$.                                |

**Example 4.1.** Let $A$ be the ring $\sigma(\mathbb{Q})\langle x, y \rangle$, where $yx = xy + x$. We will calculate the projective dimension of the left module $M = A\langle 1, 1 \rangle$, $(xy, 0)$, $(y^2, 0)$, $(0, x)\}$. For this, we use the deglex order on Mon($A$), with
Using Gröbner bases, it is possible to show that a free resolution for $M$ is given by:

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{F_2} A^3 \xrightarrow{F_1} A^4 \xrightarrow{F_0} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$F_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & xy & y^2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & x \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -xy & xy^2 + 2xy \\ -y + 1 & 1 & -y - 1 \\ x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y - 1 & 1 - y^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In order to apply the above algorithm, we start checking whether $F_2 = [0 \ y + 1 \ 1]^T$ has a right inverse. A straightforward calculation shows that a right inverse for $F_2$ is $G_2 = [0 \ 1 - y]^T$, so we compute (1.5):

$$0 \rightarrow A^3 \xrightarrow{H_1} A^5 \xrightarrow{H_0} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$H_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -xy & xy^2 + 2xy \\ -y + 1 & 1 & -y - 1 \\ x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y - 1 & 1 - y^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H_0 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & xy & y^2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & x \end{bmatrix}.$$

To verify if $H_1^T$ has a right inverse, we must calculate a Gröbner basis for the right module generated by the columns of $H_1^T$. Since the ring $A$ considered is a bijective skew PBW extension, we can use the right version of Buchberger's algorithm. For this, we consider the deglex order on $\text{Mon}(A)$, with $x > y$, and the TOP order over $\text{Mon}(A^3)$, with $e_1 < e_2 < e_3$. Applying this algorithm, we obtain the following Gröbner basis for
Note that $e_1$ is not reducible by $G$, thus $\langle G \rangle_A = A^3$ and hence $H_1^T$ does not have a right inverse. Therefore, $\text{pd}(M) = 1$.

**Remark 4.2.** The above algorithms can be used for testing if a given module $M$ is projective: We can compute its projective dimension, and hence, $M$ is projective if and only if $\text{pd}(M) = 0$.

### 5. Test for Stably-Freeness

Theorem 1.3 gives a procedure for testing stably-freeness for a module $M \subseteq A^m$ given by an exact sequence

$$0 \to A^e \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} A^r \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_0} M \to 0,$$

where $A$ is a bijective skew PBW extension.

**Test for stably-freeness**

**Algorithm 1**

**INPUT:** $M$ an $A$-module with exact sequence

$$0 \to A^e \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} A^r \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_0} M \to 0.$$

**OUTPUT:** TRUE if $M$ is stably free, FALSE otherwise.

**INITIALIZATION:** Compute the matrix $F_1$ of $f_1$.

**IF** $F_1^T$ has right inverse **THEN**

RETURN TRUE

**ELSE**

RETURN FALSE

**Example 5.1.** Let $A = \sigma(\mathbb{Q})\langle x, y \rangle$, with $yx = -xy$. We want to know if the left $A$-module $M$ given by

$$M = A\langle e_3 + e_1, e_4 + e_2, xe_2 + xe_1, ye_1, y^2e_4, xe_4 + ye_3 \rangle,$$

is stably free or not. To answer this question, we start computing a finite presentation of $M$. Considering the deglex order on $\text{Mon}(A)$ with $x > y$,
the TOP order on $\text{Mon}(A^4)$ with $e_4 > e_3 > e_2 > e_1$, and using the methods established in the previous sections, we have that a system of generators for $\text{Syz}(M)$ is given by

$$S = \{(0, -xy^2, y^2, -xy, x, 0), (-y^2, xy, y, x + y, 0, y), (y^3, 0, -y^2, x, -y^2)\}.$$

Therefore, we get a following finite presentation for $M$:

$$A^3 \xrightarrow{F_1} A^6 \xrightarrow{F_0} M \xrightarrow{} 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

where

$$F_1 := \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -y^2 & y^3 \\
-xy^2 & xy & 0 \\
y^2 & y & 0 \\
-x & x + y & -y^2 \\
x & 0 & x \\
0 & y & -y^2
\end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$F_0 := \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & x & y & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & y^2 & x
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying the method for computing the syzygy module, we have that $\text{Syz}_A(F_1) = 0$, so the presentation obtained in (5.1) becomes

$$0 \xrightarrow{} A^3 \xrightarrow{F_1} A^6 \xrightarrow{F_0} M \xrightarrow{} 0.$$

Finally, we must to test if $F_1^T$ has a right inverse. For this, we calculate a Gröbner basis for the right module generated by the columns of $F_1^T$.

Using the TOP order on $\text{Mon}(A^3)$, with $e_3 > e_2 > e_1$, a Gröbner basis for $\langle F_1^T \rangle_A$ is given by $G = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^7$, where $f_i$ is the $i$-th column of $F_1^T$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$, and $f_7 = -e_2xy^2 + e_1xy^2$. Note that, for example, $e_1 \notin \langle G \rangle_A$ so that $A^6 \not\subseteq \langle G \rangle_A$. Thus, $F_1^T$ has not right inverse and hence $M$ is not stably free.
Remark 5.2. From Theorem 1.3, if $M$ is a left $A$-module with exact sequence $0 \to A^s \xrightarrow{f_1} A^r \xrightarrow{f_0} M \to 0$, then $M^T \cong \text{Ext}_A^1(M, A)$, where $M^T = S^s / \text{Im}(f_1^T)$ and $f_1^T : S^r \to S^s$ is the homomorphism of right free $S$-modules induced by the matrix $F_1^T$. Thus, for testing if $M$ is stably free, we can use the results of Subsection 5.6 in [5] and computing a Gröbner basis for the right module generated by columns of $F_1^T$. Using the right version of the division algorithm, is possible to check whether $S^s = \text{Im}(F_1^T)$. If this last equality holds, then $M^T = 0$ and $M$ is stably free.

Corollary 1.2 gives another procedure for testing stably-freeness for a module $M \subseteq A^m$ given by a finite free resolution (1.3) with $S = A$. Indeed, if $m \geq 3$ and $f_m$ has not left inverse, then $M$ is non stably free; if $f_m$ has a left inverse we compute then the new finite free resolution (1.4) and we check if $h_{m-1}$ has a left inverse. We can repeat this procedure until (1.5); if $h_1$ has not left inverse, then $M$ is not stably free. If $h_1$ has a left inverse, then $M$ is stably free.

Example 5.3. Let $A$ be the ring $\sigma(\mathbb{Q})\langle x, y \rangle$, where $yx = xy + x$ and consider the left module $M = A\langle (1, 1), (xy, 0), (y^2, 0), (0, x) \rangle$ given in the Example 4.1. As we saw there, a finite presentation for $M$ is given by:

$$0 \longrightarrow A^3 \xrightarrow{H_1} A^5 \xrightarrow{H_0} M \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$H_1 := \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -xy & xy^2 + 2xy \\
- y + 1 & 1 & - y - 1 \\
x & 0 & 0 \\
0 & y - 1 & 1 - y^2 \\
0 & 1 & - y
\end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$H_0 := \begin{bmatrix}
1 & xy & y^2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & x
\end{bmatrix}.$$
In such example, we showed that $H_1^T$ has not a right inverse, hence $M$ is not a stably free module.

6. Computing Minimal Presentations

If $M \subseteq A^m$ is a stably free module given by the finite free resolution (1.3) with $S = A$, then the Corollary 1.2 gives a procedure for computing a minimal presentation of $M$. In fact, if $m \geq 3$, then $f_m$ has a left inverse (if not, $\text{pd}(M) = m$, but this is impossible since $M$ is projective). Hence, we compute the new finite presentation (1.4) and we will repeat the procedure until we get a finite presentation as in (1.5), which is a minimal presentation of $M$.

Example 6.1. Let us consider again the ring $A = \sigma(\mathbb{Q})\langle x, y \rangle$, with $yx = -xy + 1$. Let $M$ be the left $A$-module given by presentation $A^2 / \text{Im}(F_1)$, where

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} y^2 & xy - 1 \\ -xy & x^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Regarding the deglex order on $\text{Mon}(A)$, with $y > x$, and the TOP order over $\text{Mon}(A^2)$ with $e_2 > e_1$, we have that $\text{Syz}_A(F_1)$ is generated by $(x, y)$. So, the following exact sequence is obtained:

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A^2 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow A^2 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $F_2 := [x \ y]^T$. Note that $F_2^T$ has a right inverse: $G_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ x \end{bmatrix}$; thus, from Corollary 1.2, we get the following finite presentation for $M$:

$$0 \longrightarrow A^2 \longrightarrow A^3 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)
with \( H^T_1 = [F^T_1 \ G^T_2] \) and \( h_0 = [f_0 \ 0]^T \). In Example 3.16, we showed that \( H^T_1 \) has a right inverse; moreover, one right inverse for \( H^T_1 \) is

\[
L^T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}.
\]

In consequence, (6.1) is a minimal presentation for \( M \), and \( M \) turns out to be a stably free module.

### 7. Computing Free Bases

In [7] and [15], it is presented a matrix constructive proof of a result due Stafford about stably free modules.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let \( S \) be a ring. Then any stably free \( S \)-module \( M \) with \( \text{rank}(M) \geq \text{sr}(S) \) is free with dimension equals to \( \text{rank}(M) \).

**Proof.** See Theorem 1 in [7]. \( \square \)

In the proof of such affirmation, the following fact is necessary:

**Proposition 7.2.** Let \( S \) be a ring and \( v := [v_1 \ \cdots \ v_r]^T \) be an unimodular stable column vector over \( S \), then there exists \( U \in E_r(S) \) such that \( Uv = e_1 \).

**Proof.** By completeness, we include the proof of this fact (see Proposition 38 in [15]). There exist elements \( a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1} \in S \) such that

\[
v' := (v'_1, \ldots, v'_{r-1})^T \in Um_c(r-1, S), \text{ with } v'_i := v_i + a_i v_r, 1 \leq i \leq r-1.
\]

(7.1)
Consider the matrix

\[
E_1 := \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & a_1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & a_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & a_{r-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \in E_r(S); \quad (7.2)
\]

then \( E_1v = (v_1', \ldots, v_{r-1}', v_r)^T \). Since that \( v' := (v_1', \ldots, v_{r-1}') \in Um_c(r - 1, S) \), there exists \( b_1, \ldots, b_{r-1} \in S \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} b_i v_i' = 1 \), and hence,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (v_i' - v_r) b_i v_i' = v_i' - 1 - v_r. \]

Let \( v_i^* := (v_i' - v_r) b_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \) and

\[
E_2 := \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
v_1^* & v_2^* & v_3^* & \ldots & v_{r-1}^* & 1
\end{bmatrix} \in E_r(S); \quad (7.3)
\]

then \( E_2E_1v = (v_1', \ldots, v_{r-1}', v_1' - 1)^T \). Moreover, let

\[
E_3 := \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \in E_r(S); \quad (7.4)
\]
then $E_3E_2E_1v = (1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_{r-1}, v'_1 - 1)^T$. Finally, let

$$
E_4 := \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
-v'_2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-v'_{r-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
-v'_1 + 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \in E_r(S); \quad (7.5)
$$

then $E_4E_3E_2E_1v = e_1$ and $U := E_1E_2E_3E_4 \in E_r(S)$. \hfill \Box$

For an effective calculation of a basis of $M$, we start establishing an algorithm for to calculate the elementary matrix $U$ in the Proposition 7.2:

```
Algorithm for computing $U$ in Proposition 7.2

INPUT: An unimodular stable column vector $v = [v_1 \ldots v_r]^T$ over $S$.

OUTPUT: An elementary matrix $U \in M_r(S)$ such that $Uv = e_1$.

DO:
1. Compute $a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1} \in S$ such that (7.1) holds.
2. Compute the matrix $E_1$ given in (7.2).
3. Calculate the elements $b_1, \ldots, b_{r-1} \in S$ with the property that
   \[ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} b_i v'_i = 1, \text{ with } v'_i = v_i + a_i v_r \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r - 1. \]
4. Define $v'_i := (v'_i - 1 - v_r) \delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$, and compute the matrices $E_2$, $E_3$, and $E_4$ given in (7.3)-(7.5).

RETURN: $U := E_4E_3E_2E_1$.
```

We will illustrate below this algorithm.

**Example 7.3.** For this example, we consider the *quantum Weyl algebra* $A_2(J_{a,b})$. Recall this $\mathbb{k}$-algebra is generated by the variables $x_1, x_2, \partial_1, \partial_2$, with the relations (depending upon parameters $a, b \in \mathbb{k}$):

...
When \( a = b = 0 \), we have that \( A_2(J_{0,0}) \cong A_2(k) \) for any field \( k \) (see [4] for more properties). It is not difficult to show that \( A_2(J_{a,b}) \cong \sigma(k[x_1, \partial_2]) \langle x_2, \partial_1 \rangle \). Take \( k = \mathbb{Q} \), \( a = 0 \) and \( b = -1 \). Thus, the relations in this ring are given by:

\[
x_1 x_2 = x_2 x_1, \\
\partial_2 \partial_1 = \partial_1 \partial_2 - \partial_2^2, \\
\partial_1 x_1 = 1 + x_1 \partial_1, \\
\partial_1 x_2 = x_2 \partial_1 - x_2 \partial_2, \\
\partial_2 x_1 = x_1 \partial_2, \\
\partial_2 x_2 = 1 + x_1 \partial_2 + x_2 \partial_2.
\]

\( E_4(A_2(J_{0,-1})) \) it will denote the group generated by all elementary matrices of size \( 4 \times 4 \) over \( A_2(J_{0,-1}) \). Let \( v = [\partial_2 + x_1 \partial_2 + x_1 x_2 \partial_1]^T \), then \( u = [\partial_1 - \partial_2 \ 0 - x_1] \) is such that \( uv = 1 \), whereby \( v \in Um_c(4, A_2(J_{0,-1})) \). Moreover, the column vector \( v' = [\partial_2 + x_1 \partial_2 x_2]^T \) has a left inverse \( u' = [0 \ x_2 - x_1 \partial_2] \), so \( v \) is a stable unimodular column. In this case, \( a_1 = 0, a_2 = -1, a_3 = 0 \) and the matrix \( E_1 \) is given by
With this elementary matrix, we get $E_1 v = [ \partial_2 + x_1 \partial_2 \ x_2 \ \partial_1]^T$. If we define $v_1^* := 0$, $v_2^* := (\partial_2 + x_1 - 1 - \partial_1)(x_2 - x_1)$, $v_3^* = (\partial_2 + x_1 - 1 - \partial_1)\partial_2$ and

\[
E_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & v_2^* & v_3^* & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

we obtain $E_2 E_1 v = [ \partial_2 + x_1 \partial_2 \ x_2 \ \partial_2 + x_1 - 1]^T$. Finally, if we define

\[
E_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \in E_4(A_2(J_{0,-1})),
\]

\[
E_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\partial_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-x_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\partial_2 - x_1 + 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \in E_4(A_2(J_{0,-1})),
\]

and $U := E_4 E_3 E_2 E_1 \in E_4(A_2(J_{0,-1}))$, then we have $U v = e_1$. 
The proof of Theorem 7.1 allows us to establish an algorithm to compute a basis for \( M \), when \( M \) is a stably free module given by a minimal presentation

\[
0 \to S^s \xrightarrow{f_1} S^r \xrightarrow{f_0} M \to 0,
\]

with \( g_1 : S^r \to S^s \) such that \( g_1 \circ f_1 = i_{S^s} \) and \( \text{rank}(M) = r - s \geq \text{sr}(S) \).

**Algorithm for computing bases**

**INPUT:** \( F_1 = m(f_1) \) such that \( F_1^T \in M_{s \times r} \) has a right inverse \( G_1^T \in M_{r \times s} \), and satisfies \( r - s \geq \text{sr}(S) \).

**OUTPUT:** A matrix \( U \in M_{r}(S) \) such that \( UG_1^T = [I_s \ 0]^T \); by Lemma 1.4 the set \( \{(U^T)^{(s+1)}, \ldots, (U^T)^{(r)}\} \) is a basis for \( M \), where \((U^T)^{(j)}\) denotes the \( j \)-th column of \( U \) for \( s + 1 \leq j \leq r \).

**INITIALIZATION:** \( i = 1, V = I_r \).

**WHILE** \( i < r \) **DO:**

1. Denote by \( v_i \in S^{r-i+1} \) the column vector given by taking the last \( r - i + 1 \) entries of the \( i \)-th column of \( VG_1^T \).

2. Apply the previous algorithm to compute \( L_i \in E_{r-i+1}(S) \) such that \( L_i v_i = e_1 \).

3. Define the matrix \( U_i := \begin{bmatrix} I_{i-1} & 0 \\ 0 & L_i \end{bmatrix} \in E_r(S) \) for \( i > 1 \), and \( U_1 := L_1 \).

4. \( i = i + 1 \).

**RETURN** \( U := PU_s V \), where \( P \) is an adequate elementary matrix.

**Example 7.4.** Let \( A \) be the *quantum Weyl algebra* \( A_2(J_{a,b}) \) considered in Example 7.3, with \( k = \mathbb{Q}, a = 0 \) and \( b = -1 \). In order to illustrate the previous algorithm, take \( M = A^6 / \text{Im}(F_1) \), where
Using the algorithm described in Corollary 3.15, the deglex order over \( \text{Mon}(A) \), with \( x_2 > \partial_1 \) and the TOPREV order on \( \text{Mon}(A^6) \), with \( e_1 > e_2 \), it is possible to show that \( F_1^T \) has a right inverse given by:

\[
F_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \partial_1 \\
x_2 & \partial_2 \\
0 & -x_1 \\
\partial_1 & 0 \\
x_1 & 1 \\
\partial_2 & -1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Hence, we have the following minimal presentation for \( M \):

\[
0 \rightarrow A^2 \xrightarrow{F_1} A^6 \xrightarrow{\pi} M \rightarrow 0,
\] (7.7)

where \( \pi \) is the canonical projection. Thus, \( M \) is a stably free \( A \)-module with \( \text{rank}(M) = 4 \). Since \( \text{lKdim}(A) = 3 \) (see [4], Theorem 2.2), then \( \text{sr}(A) \leq 4 \) and by the Theorem 7.1, \( M \) is free with dimension equals to \( \text{rank}(M) \). We will use the previous algorithm for computing a basis of \( M \).
**Step 1.** Let $V = I_6$ and $v_1$ the first column of $V G_1^T$, i.e.,

$$v_1 = [x_1 \partial_1 0 \partial_1^2 x_1 - \partial_1^1 0]^T,$$

then $v_1 \in U m_c(6, A)$ and $u_1 = [0 \ x_2 \ 0 \ \partial_1 \ x_1 - \partial_1^1]$ is such that $u_1 v_1 = 1$.

Note that $v_1' = [x_1 \partial_1 0 \partial_1^2 x_1 - \partial_1^1]^T$ is trivially unimodular. Applying to $v_1$ the first algorithm of the current section, we have that $E_1 = I_6$.

$$E_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & (x_1 \partial_1 - 1)x_2 & 0 & (x_1 \partial_1 - 1)\partial_1 & (x_1 \partial_1 - 1)x_1 & 1
\end{bmatrix},$$

$$E_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix},$$

and
$$E_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{c}_1^2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{c}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -x_1\tilde{c}_1 + 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

We can check that

$$U_1 := E_4E_3E_2E_1 =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_2 & 0 & -(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)\tilde{c}_1 & -(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{c}_1^2 & \tilde{c}_1^2(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_2 & 1 & \tilde{c}_1^2(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)\tilde{c}_1 & \tilde{c}_1^2(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_1 & \tilde{c}_1^2 \\ -x_1 & x_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_2 & 0 & x_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)\tilde{c}_1 + 1 & x_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_1 & x_1 \\ \tilde{c}_1 & -\tilde{c}_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_2 & 0 & -\tilde{c}_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)\tilde{c}_1 & -\tilde{c}_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_1 + 1 & -\tilde{c}_1 \\ -x_1\tilde{c}_1 + 1 & x_1\tilde{c}_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_2 & 0 & x_1\tilde{c}_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)\tilde{c}_1 & x_1\tilde{c}_1(x_1\tilde{c}_1 - 1)x_1 & x_1\tilde{c}_1 \end{bmatrix} \in E_q(A),$$

and

$$U_1G_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1\tilde{c}_1^2 - \tilde{c}_1 \\ 0 & -\tilde{c}_1^2 \\ 0 & x_1\tilde{c}_1 + 1 \\ 0 & -x_1^2\tilde{c}_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
**Step 2.** Make \( V := U_1 \) and let \( v_2 \) be the column vector given by taking the last five entries of the 2nd column of \( VG_1^T \); i.e., \( v_2 = [0 - x_1 \delta_1^2 - \delta_1 - x_1^2 x_1 \delta_1 + 1 - x_1^2 \delta_1] \). Note that \( u_2 = [0 - x_1 \delta_1^2 3 0] \) satisfies \( u_2 v_2 = 1 \), thus \( v_2 \in \text{Um}_c(5, A) \). Moreover, \( v'_2 = [0 - x_1 \delta_1^2 - \delta_1 - x_1^2 x_1 \delta_1 + 1] \) is unimodular with \( u'_2 = [0 - x_1 \delta_1^2 3] \) such that \( u'_2 v'_2 = 1 \), and hence \( v'_2 \) is stable. Using the algorithm at the beginning of this section, we have that \( E_1 = I_5 \),

\[
E_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -(-1 + x_1^2 \delta_1) & (-1 + x_1^2 \delta_1) & 3(-1 + x_1^2 \delta_1) & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
E_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \text{ and}
\]

\[
E_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1 \delta_1^2 + \delta_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_1^2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-x_1 \delta_1 - 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Making the respective calculations, we have that

\[
L_2 := E_4 E_3 E_2 E_1 = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & (1 + x_1^2 \bar{c}_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and \( L_2 \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1 \in \mathbb{A}^5 \). Define \( U_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & L_2 \end{bmatrix} \); then

\[
U_2 U_1 G_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \bar{x}_1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}
\]

Finally, if

\[
R_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\bar{x}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{bmatrix}
\]

then \( U G_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} \).
where $U := P_1 U_2 U_1$. Thus, a basis for $M$ is given by $\{\pi(U_{(3)}), \pi(U_{(4)}), \pi(U_{(5)}), \pi(U_{(6)})\}$, with $U_{(i)}^T$ denoting the transpose of $i$-th row of the matrix $U$, for $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$, i.e.,

\[
U_{(3)}^T = \begin{bmatrix}
-x_1^3 \partial_1^2 + x_1 \partial_1^3 - 4x_1^2 \partial_1 - 2x_1 \\
(x_1 \partial_1^2 + \partial_1)(1 - x_1 \partial_1^2 x_2 + x_1^3 \partial_1 x_2) \\
1 + (x_1 \partial_1^2 + \partial_1)(-1 + x_1^2 \partial_1) x_1 \\
(x_1 \partial_1^2 + \partial_1)(x_1^3 \partial_1^4 - x_1 \partial_1^3 + 2\partial_2^2 - x_1 \partial_1^3) \\
(x_1 \partial_1^2 + \partial_1)(\partial_1 x_1 - x_1 \partial_1^2 x_1 + x_1^3 \partial_1 x_1 - 3x_1^2 \partial_1 + 3) \\
(x_1 \partial_1^2 + \partial_1)(-\partial_1 + x_1^2 \partial_1^2 - x_1 \partial_1) + \partial_1^2
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
U_{(4)}^T = \begin{bmatrix}
x_1^2 \partial_1 - x_1^4 \partial_1^2 + x_1^3 \partial_1 - x_1^2 - x_1 \\
x_1^2 + (-x_1^2 \partial_1 + x_1^4 \partial_1^2 - x_1^3 \partial_1 + x_1)(x_1 \partial_1 - 1)x_2 \\
-x_1^3 + x_1^5 \partial_1 + x_1^4 \\
x_1^3 \partial_1^3 + x_1^5 \partial_1^4 + 2x_1^2 \partial_2^2 - x_1 \partial_1 - x_1^4 \partial_1^3 + 1 \\
x_1^4 \partial_1^2 - x_1^3 \partial_1 + x_1^6 \partial_1^3 + 3x_1^5 \partial_1^2 - 3x_1^4 \partial_1 + 3x_1^2 \\
x_1^2 \partial_1 + x_1^4 \partial_1^2 - x_1^3 \partial_1 + x_1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
U_{(5)}^T = \begin{bmatrix}
-x_1 \partial_1^3 + x_1^3 \partial_1^2 + 2x_1^2 \partial_1^2 - x_1 \partial_1 + 1 \\
x_1 \partial_1(-1 + x_1 \partial_1^2 x_2 - x_1^3 \partial_1 x_2) - x_1^3 \partial_1^3 x_2 - 1 \\
-(x_1 \partial_1 + 1)(-1 + x_1^2 \partial_1)x_1 \\
(x_1 \partial_1 + 1)(x_1 \partial_1^3 - x_1^3 \partial_1^4 + x_1^2 \partial_1^3 - \partial_1^2) \\
(x_1 \partial_1 + 1)(x_1 \partial_1^2 x_1 - x_1^3 \partial_1^3 + 3x_1^2 \partial_1 - 3) - x_1^2 \partial_1^2 + 2x_1 \partial_1 + 1 \\
-(x_1 \partial_1 + 1)(-\partial_1 + x_1^2 \partial_1^2 - x_1 \partial_1) - \partial_1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
U_{(6)}^T = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
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