Article

Combination of dl922-947 Oncolytic Adenovirus and G-Quadruplex Binders Uncovers Improved Antitumor Activity in Breast Cancer
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Abstract: G-quadruplexes (G4s) are nucleic secondary structures characterized by G-tetrads. G4 motif stabilization induces DNA damage and cancer cell death; therefore, G4-targeting small molecules are the focus of clinical investigation. DNA destabilization induced by G4 ligands might potentiate the anticancer activity of agents targeting DNA or inhibiting its repair such as oncolytic viruses. This study represents the first approach combining G4 ligands, BRACO-19 (B19), pyridostatin (PDS), and the adenovirus dl922-947 in breast cancer cells. We demonstrated that G4 binders and dl922-947 induce cytotoxicity in breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7) and at higher doses in other neoplastic cell lines of thyroid (BHT-101 cells) and prostate (PC3 cells). G4 binders induce G4 motifs distributed in the S and G2/M phases in MCF-7 cells. G4 binder/dl922-947 combination increases cell cytotoxicity and the accumulation in subG0/G1. Indeed, G4 binders favor viral entry and replication with no effect on coxsackie and adenovirus receptor. Notably, dl922-947 induces G4 motifs and its combination with PDS potentiates this effect in MCF-7 cells. The agents alone or in combination similarly enhanced cell senescence. Additionally, PDS/dl922-947 combination inactivates STING signaling in MDA-MB-231 cells. Our results suggest that G4 binder/virotherapy combination may represent a novel therapeutic anticancer approach.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the identification of novel therapeutic targets has led to the development of more selective and active drugs against neoplastic lesions; however, some lesions with aggressive features and poor prognosis still lack effective treatments, addressing the research to evaluate novel therapeutic combinations. Studies suggest the anticancer potential of small molecules selectively targeting nucleic acid G-quadruplex (G4) motifs [1,2]. G4 secondary structures are characterized by stacking of planar cyclic G-tetrads in which four guanine bases are connected by Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds [3]. The distribution of G4-forming sequences in the human genome is detectable at the telomeres and promoter regions of several proto-oncogenes [4]. The anticancer potential of G4 binders able to stabilize G4 motifs has been investigated in tumor cell lines and the data obtained warrant further evaluation in clinical trials [5].

The anticancer activities of G4 binders include cell cycle arrest, DNA damage, induction of senescence, and apoptosis [6]. We recently demonstrated the anticancer effects in prostate and breast cancer cell lines of two G4-targeting ligands endowed with high affinity and good selectivity toward telomeric G4 motifs, BRACO-19 (B19), and C066-3108 (C066) [7]. In the triple negative MDA-MB-231 aggressive breast cancer cell line, high DNA damage was associated to induction of immunogenic cell death (ICD) and subsequent
human T cell activation [7]. In ER+ MCF-7 breast cancer cell line with characteristics of differentiated mammary epithelium, both compounds induced only a modest DNA damage associated to apoptosis induction.

We hypothesized that G4 motif formation and stabilization in cancer cells might be suitable to potentiate the antitumor activity of other agents activating the DNA damage repair (DDR) system, such as the oncolytic viruses (OVs). The use of OVs represents a promising therapeutic option for lesions with poor prognosis and virotherapy-based approaches have gained successful outcomes in several clinical setting [8]. OV antitumor activity is prompted by a direct lytic effect on cancer cells; indirect effects have also been observed such as the block of DDR with subsequent activation of cell death pathways. Other indirect effects include the induction of innate and adaptive anti-tumor immune response with a re-shape of the tumor microenvironment (TME) towards an antitumor phenotype [9,10], the release of cytokines, tumor-associated antigens (TAAs), and damage-associated and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs, PAMPs), able to induce ICD [11,12]. The oncolytic dl922-947 adenovirus demonstrated potent antitumor effects both in vitro and in vivo in breast cancer models [13]. This adenoviral mutant bears a 24 bp deletion in the E1A-Conserved Region 2 (CR-2), therefore the mutant virus can replicate only in cells with a non-functional retinoblastoma (RB) pathway, a defect observed in almost all the human malignancies [14]. We proved the anticancer efficacy of dl922-947 as a single agent or in combination with other agents in experimental models [15–19] describing several mechanisms leading to cancer cell death. We observed that dl922-947 impairs the DDR system and its effect is potentiated by ionizing radiation, ATM, and PARP inhibitors [20]. We observed cell growth and cycle arrest accompanied by ICD in malignant mesothelioma cells with a potentiated cytotoxic effect with chemotherapeutic drugs in vitro [7]. In the same cell models, the combination of dl922-947 with inhibitor of the G2/M DNA damage checkpoint kinase WEE1 elicited a synergic induction of cell death and DDR [15,16].

We hypothesized that the specific structural changes induced in DNA of breast cancer cells by G4 ligands might potentiate the cell death pathways (DDR and ICD) known to be stimulated by dl922-947 [11,16]. Indeed, combinatory effects of dl922-947 with G4 binders are completely unexplored. Among G4 binders, we used B19 and pyridostatin (PDS) in this study, both reported to exert anticancer activity in breast cancer cells; in particular, PDS reduced Src protein levels and Src-dependent cell motility [7,21].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cells and Adenovirus

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines, PC3 prostate cancer cell line, and BHT-101 anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cell line were cultured in DMEM (GIBCO, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 ng/mL streptomycin, 50 units/mL penicillin, and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GIBCO). MCF-10A cells were cultured in DMEM-1640 10% FBS supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 ng/mL streptomycin, 50 units/mL penicillin, epithelial growth factor (EGF) 40 ng/mL, and insulin 5 µg/mL. Cells purchased from ATCC were maintained in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 at 37 °C. Cells reaching 70–80% of confluence were harvested with 0.25% trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and used for the experiments. dl922-947 and AdGFP viral stocks were expanded in the human embryonic kidney cell line HEK-293, purified, stored, and quantified (1.22 × 10⁸ p.f.u./mL) as previously reported [22].

2.2. Sulforhodamine B (SRB) Cytotoxicity Assays

MCF-7 (500 cells/well), MDA-MB-231 (500 cells/well), BHT-101 (500 cells/well), PC3 (1000 cells/well), MCF-10A (100 cells/well) cells were seeded in triplicates in 96-well plates and allowed to adhere for 24 h. G4 ligands, B19 and PDS (where indicated), were added at increasing concentrations to the cell cultures (from 0.1 to 10 µM). dl922-947 was added to MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell cultures in a range from 0.1 to 10 p.f.u. × cell (not shown).
After 6 days of incubation, cells were fixed with 50% v/v trichloroacetic acid for 2 h under stirring at 4 °C. Cells were washed with distilled water, dried overnight, and stained with 0.4% w/v SRB in 1% v/v acetic acid at room temperature for 30 min on shaker. Washes were made with 1% acetic acid until the removal of the unbound dye and the plates were left to dry. The dye was solubilized in TRIS-HCl 10 mM as previously described [23,24]. The reading was performed at 495 nm by Glomax® Discover Microplate Reader (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The doses of dl922-947 or G4 ligands required to inhibit 50% of cell viability (half maximal inhibitory concentration, IC50) and/or 25% of cell viability IC25) were determined by GraphPad Prism 7 Software, San Diego, California, USA.

2.3. G4 Motif Formation and Cell Cycle Analyses

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines were cultured in 24-well plates (8000 cells/well) in the presence and absence of G4 ligands or dl922-947 at IC50 doses for six days. The combination dl922-947/G4 binders was also settled. After the incubation, cells were detached with trypsin, washed twice with PBS, fixed in 70% (v/v) ethanol, and stored at −20 °C at least overnight for the determination of the cell cycle [25,26] and G4 motifs [7]. The cell pellet, washed with PBS/Tween buffer (PBT) (0.5% w/v BSA and 0.1% v/v Tween 20 in PBS), was re-suspended in PBT and stained with BG4 monoclonal antibody (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA, MABE 917) for 1 h at room temperature. Samples were washed with PBT and stained with Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA, #A11001, 1:100) in PBT at darkness. After 30 min, cells were washed with PBT and re-suspended in PBS containing RNaseA (Roche) (0.4 U) and PI (0.015 mol/L). Flow cytometry acquisition was performed for the emission in FL1 and FL3 channels for the determination of G4 structures and in the FL3 channel for the acquisition of the cell cycle with a BD LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). The analyses were performed using Flowlogic Software (MACS, Milleny Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany). To remove artifacts, doublets, and aggregates, an electronic doublet discrimination was performed using the area versus width of the fluorescence (FL3) pulse.

2.4. AdGFP Infection

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded (12,500 cells/well) in 24-well-plates and after 24 or 48 h infected with AdGFP (30 p.f.u./cell). G4 binders were added at their IC50 values simultaneously (24 h after the adherence of the cells to the plastic) with the virus, or 24 h after virus infection, or 24 h before virus infection. After 48 h of incubation, cells were detached with trypsin and the pellet was re-suspended in PBS to read GFP emission by flow cytometry.

2.5. Evaluation of dl922-947 DNA Amplification

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (10,000 cells/well) were seeded in duplicate in 12-well plates and 24 h later were infected with dl922-947 at the IC50 value (or AdGFP at 30 p.f.u. × cell, used as control) and treated with G4 ligands at their IC50 values. Cells and supernatants were separately collected 24 and 48 h post infection (hpi). Cell pellets were disrupted by three freeze–thaw cycles to favor the release of the virus and centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 min, the supernatants were collected. Viral DNA was extracted from supernatants and cell pellets by High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and quantified by qPCR using the primers for viral HEXON gene expression.

2.6. Induction of Cell Senescence

MDA-MB-231 (30,000 cells/well) and MCF-7 (40,000 cells/well) cells were seeded in 6-well plates and 24 h later were infected with dl922-947 at the IC50 value or treated with G4 ligands. Virus/G4 ligand combinations were also examined. After 72 h, we assessed the senescence using the SA-b-gal Staining Kit (Cell BioLabs, San Diego, CA, USA). Cells were washed twice with PBS (1×) and incubated at room temperature for 5 min with 1× Fixing Solution. Cells were washed three times with PBS, the final wash was aspirated, and the
cells were completely covered with freshly prepared Cell Staining Working Solution in the dark for 4 h at 37 °C. After the incubation, the solution was removed, the cells were washed twice with PBS and blue-stained senescence cells were observed by a light microscope and counted at 490 nm by Glomax® Discover Microplate Reader (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

2.7. CAR Modulation by G4 Binders and STING Signaling Modulation by G4 Binders/dl922-947

To address CAR expression, MCF-7 (3 × 10^5 cells) and MDA-MB-231 (3 × 10^5 cells) cells were treated with B19 and PDS at the IC_{50} for 48 h. To analyze STING signaling, MCF-7 (4 × 10^5 cells) and MDA-MB-231 (4 × 10^5 cells) cells were treated with B19, PDS, and dl922-947 and their combinations at IC_{50} doses for 24 h. After the treatments, cells were lysed in R.I.P.A. buffer (50 mMTris-HCl, pH = 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 0.5 M EDTA, 1% NP-40; 0.5% sodium deoxycholate; 0.1% SDS; 1:100 phosphatase and protease inhibitors) and centrifuged (17,000 g for 20' at 4 °C). Protein concentration was determined by Bradford Protein Assay (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, CA, USA), extracts (30 µg) were diluted in Laemmli sample buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Samples were resolved under constant voltage (100 mA) and transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore Corporation, Darmstadt, Germany). Blots were blocked with 5% BSA in TBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 for 1 h at room temperature. Filters were incubated overnight at 4 °C using the following antibodies: anti-STING (MA5-26026, 1:1000, Invitrogen, Rockford, IL, USA) and anti-α-tubulin (T9026, 1:10,000, SIGMA, Saint Louis, MO, USA). Blots were incubated for 1 h with horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Biorad, Berkeley, CA, USA) and then revealed by an enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) system (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) as previously described [27,28]. Immunoreactive bands were quantified by Image j (Bethesda, MD, USA) Bethesda, USA software.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by Prism 7 (GraphPad Software). Statistical significance of differences among groups was analyzed by ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons) or by parametric paired two tailed t test (as indicated in the figure legends) and p values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Anti-Proliferative Effects of B19, PDS, and dl922-947

We compared the sensitivity of different cancer cell lines to B19-induced cytotoxicity performing dose-response proliferation assays and evaluating the IC_{50} values. We observed that MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells elicited a lower IC_{50} with respect to the other cell lines used, anaplastic thyroid cancer cells, BHT-101, and prostate cancer cells, PC3 (Table 1A). Our data confirm the sensitivity of these cell lines to B19 as previously demonstrated in another study [7]. Additionally, we tested B19 in MCF-10A cells to exclude cytotoxic effects in a non-cancerous breast epithelial cell line. We observed no inhibition of cell proliferation as assessed by SRB assays (Figure) as previously reported [7]. Breast cancer cell lines were selected for further assays. We compared the effect of B19 to PDS showing dose-dependent inhibition of cell viability. We observed that B19 and PDS achieved a similar IC_{50} value in MDA-MB-231 cells, whereas the values were higher in MCF-7 cells, particularly with B19 (Table 1B). The difference of IC_{50} values between the two cell lines might indicate a different sensitivity to the treatment with G4 binders. A previous study used oncolytic adenoviruses in breast cancer cells and reported higher cytotoxic activity of dl922-947 with respect to other adenoviruses [13]. In agreement with this study, we observed a dose-dependent cytotoxic effect of dl922-947 in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell lines as demonstrated by the IC_{25} and IC_{30} values reported in Table 1B.
Table 1. (A) Comparison of IC\textsubscript{50} values on different cancer cell lines treated with B19. Cytotoxic effect of B19 by SRB assays after 6 days of treatment was tested in different cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MCF-7, BHT, and PC3) using increasing concentrations. The table reports the IC\textsubscript{50} values calculated by GraphPad Prism 7. (B) Comparison of IC\textsubscript{25} and IC\textsubscript{50} values in breast cancer cell lines treated with B19, PDS, and dl922-947. Table reports IC\textsubscript{25} and IC\textsubscript{50} values calculated form dose-response curves of B19, PDS, and dl922-947 using increasing doses of the agents in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells after 6 days of treatment. For G4 ligands, the doses are expressed in $\mu$M, for dl922-947 in p.f.u.

| A | Cell Line | IC\textsubscript{50} Value |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|
| MDA-MB-231 | 1.1 $\mu$M |
| MCF-7 | 2.5 $\mu$M |
| BHT-101 | 3.1 $\mu$M |
| PC3 | 4.6 $\mu$M |

| B | Cell Line | IC\textsubscript{25} Value | IC\textsubscript{50} Value |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| MDA-MB-231 | 0.3 $\mu$M | 1.2 $\mu$M |
| MCF-7 | 1.1 $\mu$M | 2.5 $\mu$M |
| B19 | 0.5 $\mu$M | 0.8 $\mu$M |
| PDS | 1.0 $\mu$M | 1.5 $\mu$M |
| dl922-947 | 0.5 p.f.u. | 0.1 p.f.u. |
| dl922-947 | 0.8 p.f.u. | 0.3 p.f.u. |

3.2. G4 Motifs in Breast Cancer Cells

We investigated G4 motif formation following PDS and B19 treatment (at the IC\textsubscript{50} values) in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. We gated PI positive cells (dot plot PI-H vs. PI-W) and analyzed G4 content as anti-BG4 positive cells (Figure 1A,B). As previously observed, we detected low basal levels of G4 motifs [7]. B19 induced G4 motifs in MCF-7 cells; a slight, although not statistically significant increase was observed in MDA-MB-231 cells. The induction of G4 motifs by PDS was dose-dependent (Figure S2) and statistically significant in both cell lines (Figure 1A,B). G4 motif distribution was analyzed gating sub-regions within anti-BG4 positive cells corresponding to the sub-G0/G1, G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases of the cell cycle (Figure 1C). B19 induced in MCF-7 cells an increase in the subG0/G1, S, and G2/M phases with respect to the control, whereas in MDA-MB-231 cells, G4 motifs were detected in G2/M phase as in the control. PDS increased G4 content in S and G2/M phases in MCF-7 cells, and in S phase in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 1C). The presence of G4 motifs in the subG0/G1 phase correlates with induction of apoptosis previously observed treating MCF-7 cells with B19 [7], thus suggesting that in apoptotic cells, G4 motifs seem to be stabilized. The presence of G4 motifs in S and G2/M phases correlates with their formation during cell synthesis and division as reported in a previous study [29].

3.3. Cytotoxic Effects of dl922-947/G4 Binder Combination

To address a potential increase of cytotoxicity due to combinatory treatment of G4 binders with dl922-947, we used both the agents at the IC\textsubscript{25} and IC\textsubscript{50} added simultaneously to the cell cultures (data not shown). From these assays, we selected the combination of the virus at its IC\textsubscript{50} dose and G4 binders at the IC\textsubscript{25} and IC\textsubscript{50} values.

We observed increase of cell death using dl922-947, B19, and PDS alone with respect to untreated cells (Figure 2A). In MDA-MB-231 cells, the combination dl922-947 and PDS (IC\textsubscript{25} and IC\textsubscript{50}), differently from the combination dl922-947 and B19, increased cytotoxicity with respect to the single agents. This effect might support a correlation between higher cytotoxicity with higher G4 motif induction by PDS.
Figure 1. G4 motif content and distribution in cell cycle phases. (A) MDA-MB231 and MCF-7 cells were treated with G4 ligands at the IC\textsubscript{50} values. The dot plot profiles in the upper fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) gated panel indicate the amount of positivity for G4 motif. The x axis reports PI positivity to specifically stain cell DNA. In the dot plot reported, the percent of positive cells for each experimental condition is indicated in the gated region (R) for a single representative experiment, whereas the histograms (B) represent the mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. The statistical analysis was performed by GraphPad Prism 7 by two-way ANOVA using Dunnet’s multiple comparisons test. In the panel (C), G4 motif distribution is reported by evaluation of PI positive cells (PI-W versus PI-H dot plot) in each phase of the cell cycle as the percent of G4 motif positivity. The statistical significance was calculated by GraphPad Prism 7 with two-way ANOVA using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The calculated p values are: *** \( p < 0.0001 \), ** \( p < 0.005 \), * \( p < 0.05 \).
Figure 2. Cont.
Figure 2. Cytotoxic effects of G4 ligands/dl922-947 combination and regulation of the cell cycle progression. Cytotoxic effect of G4 ligands with dl922-947 determined by SRB assays in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells after six days of treatment (A). Figures report cell death vs. untreated cells as mean of three independent experiments generated with IC\textsubscript{25} and IC\textsubscript{50} values of PDS and B19 (in \(\mu\text{M}\)) and IC\textsubscript{50} value of dl922-947 (in p.f.u.). The black bars represent the treatment with G4 binders alone, whereas the gray bars report the combination of dl922-947 (at the IC\textsubscript{50}) with G4 ligands at the IC\textsubscript{25} and/or IC\textsubscript{50}. Statistical significance was calculated by GraphPad Prism 7 with two-way ANOVA using Tukey’s multiple comparison test. * calculated versus untreated cells; $ calculated vs. PDS alone (IC\textsubscript{25} and/or IC\textsubscript{50}); & calculated versus dl922-947 alone; (*)&p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005, *****,p < 0.0001). MDA-MB-231 (B) and MCF-7 (C) cells were treated with dl922-947, G4 ligands or their combination at the IC\textsubscript{50} values. After 6 days of treatment, cells were stained with PI. A representative flow cytometry profile of the cell cycle is shown for both cell lines, and the percent of cells in each phase of the cell cycle is indicated for the single experiment, whereas the bars in the histograms represent the mean ± SD of the least three independent experiments. The statistical significance was calculated by GraphPad Prism 7 with two-way ANOVA using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (&&p < 0.0001, **p < 0.005, * p < 0.05).
In MCF-7 cells, the combination of PDS or B19 with dl922-947 (at the IC_{50} value) showed increased cell death; the effect was significant only with respect to the virus used alone (Figure 2A) and is consistent with G4 motif induction by both G4 ligands in this cell line.

3.4. dl922-947/G4 Binder Combination and Cell Cycle

The treatment with B19 at the IC_{50} value in both cell lines did not alter cell cycle profile with respect to the control, as well as PDS in MCF-7 cells. PDS at the IC_{50} induced cell accumulation in the subG0/G1 phase of the cell cycle in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 2B). The treatment with dl922-947 decreased the G0/G1 phase and increased G2/M phase with respect to the control in MCF-7 cells (Figure 2C), while an increase of subG0/G1 phase and decrease of G1 phase was observed in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 2B). The combination dl922-947/G4 binders in MCF-7 cells increased the subG0/G1 and decreased G0/G1 phases with respect to the control (Figure 2C); in MDA-MB-231 cells, the combination produced an effect similar to that elicited by the virus alone, namely an increase of subG0/G1 phase and decrease of G1 phase (Figure 2B). PDS dose dependently decreased the G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle both in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells (Figure S3), indeed, in MCF-7 cells increased the subG0/G1 phase at the highest concentration. The combinatory treatments confirm an increased cell death as observed with cytotoxicity data.

3.5. G4-Binders Enhance Adenovirus Entry in Breast Cancer Cells

We evaluated whether G4 binders increased viral entry by analyzing the percent of cells expressing GFP after 48 h of incubation with the virus. In both MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells, G4 binders added simultaneously with AdGFP increased significantly viral entry (Figure 3A,B black bars). To evaluate the best experimental condition to increase viral entry, we incubated the cells with G4 binders and after a further 24 h, cells were infected with AdGFP (30 p.f.u./cell). In MDA-MB-231 cells, the addition of AdGFP after 24 h of incubation with G4 binders did not modify viral entry. In MCF-7 cells, an increase of viral entry was observed; however, the increase was statistically significant only with B19 (Figure 3A,B gray bars). A different manner of administration was performed adding G4 binders 24 h after AdGFP and the increase of viral entry was maintained with both G4 ligands and in both the cell lines (Figure S4). In MCF-7 cells infected with AdGFP alone, no significant increase of viral entry was observed (Figure 3B).

3.6. DNA Amplification of dl922-947

dl922-947 amplification was evaluated in combination with B19 and/or PDS (at the IC_{50} values). After 24 hpi, the viral DNA was equally distributed in the intracellular and extracellular fractions. After 48 hpi, it was enhanced in the intracellular fraction, indicating an increase of intracellular viral DNA copies in the presence of G4 ligands (Figure 3C,D). In particular, the intracellular amplification was observed using dl922-947 in combination with PDS but not with B19 in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 3C) and with both G4 ligands in MCF-7 cells (Figure 3D). No DNA amplification after 48 h of treatment was detected using the non-replicating AdGFP as negative control (data not shown).

3.7. G4 Binder Effect on Coxsackie-Adenovirus Receptor (CAR) Expression

We evaluated the potential modulation by G4 binders of CAR expression, a known site of adenovirus attachment during infection that also showed elevated expression in breast cancer cells [30]. After 48 h of treatment, we observed in MDA-MB-231 cells slight decrease of CAR expression by G4 binders at the IC_{50} that reached statistical significance with B19 (Figure 3E). In MCF-7 cells, G4 binders did not modify CAR expression (Figure 3F).

3.8. dl922-947 and Its Combination with PDS Induce G4 Motifs in MCF-7 Cells

We investigated potential modifications in G4 motif formation using the combination dl922-947 and G4 binders. Surprisingly, we observed that dl922-947 alone favored G4 motif
formation in MCF-7 cells and the effect was potentiated by PDS (Figure 4A), but not by B19. G4 motif formation was observed in G2/M phase of the cell cycle (reported for the combination dl922-947 and PDS in MCF-7 cells) with respect to the control (untreated cells) and to the adenovirus and PDS used separately (Figure 4B). No induction of G4 motifs was observed in MDA-MB-231 cells with the combination G4 binders and virus (Figure 4A).

3.9. Induction of Senescence

G4 binders are well known inducers of cell senescence, therefore we analyzed the senescence induction in the presence of dl922-947. Interestingly, we observed that dl922-947 induced cell senescence, however, its combination with G4 binders did not increase the effect. Notably, the induction of senescence was higher in MDA-MB-231 than in MCF-7 cells (Figure 5). The effects observed were compared to doxorubicin known to induce senescence in breast cancer cells.

Figure 3. Cont.
Figure 3. Adenovirus AdGFP entry, dl922-947 replication, and CAR modulation by G4 binders in breast cancer cells. MDA-MB-231 (A) and MCF-7 (B) cells were infected with the non-replicating AdGFP and treated with G4 binders at different times. AdGFP and G4 binders were added concomitantly (24 h after seeding the cells black bars A and B); or AdGFP was added 24 h after G4 binders (AdGFP was added 48 h after seeding cells, gray bars A and B). GFP emission was evaluated by flow cytometry and flow cytometry profile of a representative experiment is reported. The statistical significance was calculated by GraphPad Prism 7 with two-way ANOVA using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (**p < 0.0001, *p < 0.05). The statistical significance is indicated in the histograms that represent the mean of at least three independent experiments. To evaluate viral replication, MDA-MB-231 (C) and MCF-7 cells (D) were treated with dl922-947 (at the IC$_{50}$ value) or AdGFP (30 p.f.u. x cell, used as control at 48 hpi, not shown) in combination with G4 ligands (at their IC$_{50}$ values). After 24 and 48 h of incubation, supernatants and adherent cells were collected separately to evaluate extracellular release and intracellular viral particles, respectively. Viral DNA was extracted and used to quantify viral titer by Real-Time qPCR. The data represent the mean of three independent experiments (C). Statistical significance was calculated comparing the increased intracellular amplification with respect to the correspondent extracellular fraction (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0001). To evaluate CAR modulation by G4 binders, MDA-MB-231 (E) and MCF-7 cells (F) were treated with G4 binders (at the IC$_{50}$ values) for 48 h. The images represent the expression of CAR evaluated by Western blot. As control, α-tubulin was used. Original blots are enclosed as supplemental Figure S5 for CAR. The histogram reports the mean of two independent experiments. The statistical significance was calculated by parametric paired two tailed T test, by GraphPad Prism 7 (*p < 0.05).
Figure 4. Induction of G4 motif content and distribution in cell cycle phases. G4 motif induction was evaluated by flow cytometry. MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with dl922-947, G4 binders, or their combination at the IC50 values for 6 days. The histograms represent the mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments (A). Gating strategies were adopted to select in each phase of the cell cycle the percent of G4 structure positivity in MCF-7 cells (B). The statistical significance was calculated by GraphPad Prism 7 with two-way ANOVA using Sidak’s multiple comparisons test (* p < 0.001, & p < 0.0001; £ p < 0.05; * = statistical significance calculated vs. ctr, & = statistical significance calculated vs. dl922-947).

Figure 5. Induction of cell senescence. MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells were treated for 72 h with dl922-947, G4 binders, or their combination at the IC50 values. Senescent cells are indicated in the images by the arrows as blue SA-b-Gal positive stained cells. Doxorubicin was used as positive control. The images are representative of three independent experiments. The statistical significance indicated in the histograms was calculated by GraphPad Prism 7 with two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (**** p < 0.0001, *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05).
3.10. STING Induction by G4 Binders in Breast Cancer Cells

Furthermore, we investigated the modulation of stimulator of interferon genes (STING) pathway known to promote pro-tumor and anti-tumor activity depending on the tumor context [31]. In MDA-MB-231 cells, we detected a slight decreased expression of STING using dl922-947, although the result did not reach statistical significance, while the combination dl922-947/PDS in a statistically significant manner reduced STING expression compared to the untreated control cells, the virus, and PDS alone (Figure 6A). In MCF-7 cells, we observed a weak increase of the expression of STING in MCF-7 cells by G4 binders, dl922-947, and their combination although the effect did not reach the statistical significance (Figure 6B).

Figure 6. Expression of STING in breast cancer cells. The image represents the expression of STING evaluated by Western blot in MDA-MB-231 cells (A) and MCF-7 cells (B) treated for 24 h with G4 binders, dl922-947, and their combination at the IC_{50}. As control, α-tubulin was used. The histogram reports the mean of two or three independent experiments, respectively, for MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. Original blots are enclosed as supplemental Figure S6 for STING. The statistical significance was calculated by parametric paired two tailed t test, by GraphPad Prism 7 (* p < 0.05).

4. Discussion

Although the role of G4 motifs in living cells was controversially discussed, accumulating evidence supports the relevance of these structures [32]. Studies in the last years have suggested that the stabilization of G4 structure by G4 ligands might be useful as novel therapeutic tool in cancer treatment [33]. In the present study, we evaluated the anticancer efficacy in breast cancer cells of B19 and PDS alone and in combination with the oncolytic adenovirus dl922-947. Differently from B19, PDS is able to target, among others, the G4 motifs in the SRC proto-oncogene [21]. We have previously observed the inhibitory effect of B19 in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell proliferation [7]. In a panel of neoplastic cell lines, we observed that B19 and PDS were particularly efficient at inhibiting cell survival in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells.

We observed that B19 and PDS elicited cytotoxicity in MDA-MB-231 cells at similar IC_{50} doses. The IC_{50} doses of both drugs were generally higher in MCF-7 cells compared to MDA-MB-231, and the IC_{50} values of PDS were lower with respect to B19. These data indicate that MDA-MB-231 cells might be more sensitive to G4 binder treatments. It might be speculated that highly proliferative and aggressive cell lines, given their high number of cell division, might more and more rapidly form G4 motifs, explaining the lower concentrations required with respect to MCF-7 cells.

We evaluated potential differences in G4 motif stabilization by the two drugs. In MDA-MB-231 cells, PDS was more efficient with respect to B19 in stabilizing G4 motifs, while in MCF-7 cells with both drugs similar G4 motif levels were observed. The different levels of G4 motifs observed in the presence of B19 and PDS in MDA-MB-231 cells suggest that these two G4 binders might differently interact with G4 structures and that not all the interactions are effective in stabilizing G4 motifs. This hypothesis might be supported by our recent study suggesting that the binding mechanism of B19 and PDS to G4 motifs is very different; we observed that the binding of PDS to G4 motifs occurred mainly via loop interactions, while for B19 it depended on G4 motif conformations [6]. The evaluation of G4 motif distribution in the different cell cycle phases showed in MDA-MB-231 cells
a distribution of G4 motifs in S and G2/M phases with a statistically significant increase compared to the control only for PDS in the S phase.

In MCF-7 cells, we observed an increase of S and G2/M phases for both the agents and a significant increase also in the subG0/G1 phase by B19. This observation is not in agreement with a previous study showing G4 motifs in MDA-MB-231 cells treated with B19 only in subG0/G1 phase, however, in that study, higher concentrations of B19 and a less specific G4-detecting antibody were used [7]. However, the presence of G4 motifs in MCF-7 cells in the subG0/G1 phase suggests that apoptotic cells present G4 motifs, since we previously described apoptosis induction by B19 in this cell line [7].

G4 ligands induce genome instability and subsequent DNA damage [7] that might favor or potentiate the anticancer activity of other agents such as the oncolytic adenovirus dl922-947. We demonstrated in the malignant mesothelioma that dl922-947 synergized with cisplatin [11], which is known to target duplex DNA but also to react with G4 motifs [34]. We addressed potential increase of cytotoxicity using the combination dl922-947/G4 ligands. We observed in MDA-MB-231 cells that PDS in combination with the adenovirus enhanced cytotoxicity with respect to both agents used alone. Further studies will be addressed to investigating additive/synergic effects. No significant difference using the combination dl922-947/B19 with respect to the agents used alone was observed. In MCF-7 cells, the combination of both G4 ligands with dl922-947 enhanced cytotoxicity with respect to the virus used alone. These results are in agreement with the increase of the subG0/G1 phase of the cell cycle using virus/G4 binder combinations in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. The increase in subG0/G1 phases correlated with a decrease of the G1 phase that suggests a reduced cycle progression with accumulation in subG0/G1 phase. Additionally, our results suggest that higher cytotoxicity as observed using PDS/dl922-947 combination correlated with higher stabilization of G4 motifs in both cell lines. Conversely, B19/dl922-947 combination did not enhance cytotoxicity and G4 motif formation in MDA-MB-231 cells, whereas in MCF-7 cells, the enhanced cytotoxicity was accompanied by formation of G4 motifs. Moreover, we investigated if potentiated effects in the combinatory treatments were associated to increased viral entrance and replication. We observed that the virus is able to enter MDA-MB-231 cells more efficiently than in MCF-7 cells. However, the simultaneous co-administration with G4 ligands increased viral entry also in MCF-7 cells. Notably, we observed in MDA-MB-231 cells increased DNA amplification in the intracellular fraction with respect to the extracellular fraction using the combination PDS/dl922-947. In MCF-7 cells, the combination with both G4 ligands enhanced viral copies in the intracellular fraction. These results further support and correlate with the effects observed with G4 motif formation. In both cell lines in which PDS enhanced G4 motifs, we observed increased viral replication, while B19 stabilized G4 motifs only in MCF-7 cells and only in this cell line, it supported viral replication. Overall, these data suggest that G4 motif formation might favor viral replication. In order to address a potential mechanism involved in the increase of viral entry and replication by G4 binders, we analyzed CAR modulation by G4 binders. CAR was the first adenovirus receptor identified allowing adenovirus cellular entry in co-operation with cell surface integrin receptors; however, alternative adenovirus receptors have been described such as CD46, desmoglein-2 receptors (DSG-2), and sialic acid [35]. In MDA-MB-231 cells, the decrease of CAR observed with B19 is in agreement with the low and comparable replicative potential induced by B19 with dl922-947 alone. No significant effect was elicited by PDS in CAR modulation in MDA-MB-231 cells. In MCF-7 cells, no modulation of CAR expression was observed by G4 binders. These results suggest that alternative receptors as above mentioned might be also involved. Indeed, it was also reported that CAR expression increases during breast cancer progression and metastasis and enhances breast cancer cell proliferation [36]. The absence of upregulation of CAR expression might be consistent with the anticancer activity of G4 binders.

Furthermore, we investigated the stabilization of G4 motifs using dl922-947/G4 binder combination. Surprisingly, dl922-947 was able to stabilize G4 motif t in MCF-7 cells, and
this effect was enhanced in the presence of PDS. G4 motif distribution upon dl922-947 or dl922-947/PDS combination was detected in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle.

In MDA-MB-231 cells, the virus did not stabilize G4 motifs likely because highly proliferating cells might more and more rapidly form G4 motifs difficult to stabilize. It might be speculated that the absence of G4 motifs might be in contrast with the cytotoxic effect observed in MDA-MB-231 cells with the combination virus/PDS as we demonstrated that PDS stabilizes G4 motifs and is able to provide a better viral entrance and replication. However, the cytotoxic effect observed might also be due to the induction of cell death mechanisms induced by the single agents beyond G4 motifs.

Since G4 stabilization can be accompanied by cell senescence [37], we investigated if dl922-947 or its combination with G4 binders induced/potentiated cell senescence. Surprisingly, dl922-947 alone induced cell senescence in both cell lines and its effect was similar to that elicited by G4 binders. The combination virus/G4 binders did not modify the senescence profile. Our data represent the first evidence that the adenovirus dl922-947 induces G4 motifs and senescence in cancer cells. The induction of senescence by dl922-947 is independent on G4 motif stabilization.

Recent findings, suggested that the accumulation of cytoplasmatic DNA in senescent cells activates the cGAS–STING pathway. Indeed, the dual role in cancer of this pathway is known since it can promote or inhibit tumorigeneses according to the biological context [38]. A previous study showed that STING pathway was induced by PDS in MCF-7 cells [39]. In agreement with this study, we observed that G4 ligands slightly increased STING expression and the effect was maintained with the virus and the combinatory treatments (although without reaching statistical significance). These data suggest that in MCF-7 cells, the activation of STING pathway, known to be related to apoptotic signaling in breast cancer cells [31], might be involved in the induction of apoptosis that we previously observed in MCF-7 cells treated with B19 [7]. In MCF-7 cells, the weak activation of STING might play an anti-tumor role. In contrast, in MDA-MB-231 cells, we observed that the dl922-947 alone (although with no statistically significant results) and the combination dl922-947/PDS reduced STING signaling. A very recent study showed that STING signaling inactivation impaired the survival of triple negative breast cancer cells. The chromosomal instability in these cells leads to DNA release by micronuclei into the cytoplasm promoting STING activation and cancer progression [40]. In this context, our data suggest that the combination dl922-947/PDS might promote an anti-tumor role by inactivating STING signaling independently on G4 structures. Further investigations are required to understand these effects by the analyses of multiple pathways potentially involved in STING signaling and mediators regulating its activation.

In conclusion, our results suggest different mechanisms of action elicited by the adenovirus alone and in combination with G4 binders in different breast cancer cells. Further investigations are required to understand these effects. However, we provided evidence that G4 binders might be good candidates to use as single therapy against breast cancer, or with virotherapy in types of tumors suitable or insensitive to OVs.

5. Conclusions

These data propose a never-investigated anticancer approach that combines virotherapy and G-quadruplex (G4) motifs. Both anticancer therapies separately applied, virotherapy based on the use of oncolytic viruses (OVs), and the use of G4-targeting molecules revealed interest in a clinical setting. In breast cancer cells, the combination of OVs and G4 binders enhances the cytotoxic effects, viral entry, and replication. G4 ligands and the adenovirus dl922-947 induce breast cancer cell senescence and surprisingly dl922-947 stabilizes G4 motifs, an effect potentiated by PDS. Indeed, the combination dl922-947/PDS inactivates STING signaling pathways consistently with antitumor activity. These data provide new knowledge about the mechanism of action of the dl922-947 and propose that its combination with G4 ligands might promote the use of virotherapy also in types of tumor not sensitive to OV treatment. Our approach based on already known antitumor
agents highlights that this novel combinatory strategy might likely find a translation to the clinical setting.
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