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COVID-19—from mucosal immunology to IBD patients

Carl Weidinger1,2, Ahmed Nabil Hegazy1,2,3, Rainer Glauben1 and Britta Siegmund1

Viral infections with SARS-CoV-2 can cause a multi-faceted disease, which is not only characterized by pneumonia and overwhelming systemic inflammatory immune responses, but which can also directly affect the digestive system and infect intestinal epithelial cells. Here, we review the current understanding of intestinal tropism of SARS-CoV-2 infection, its impact on mucosal function and immunology and summarize the effect of immune-suppression in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) on disease outcome of COVID-19 and discuss IBD-relevant implications for the clinical management of SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals.

INTRODUCTION
In late 2019, viral infections with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged as a global pandemic, threatening health care systems worldwide and killing more than 1,545,723 people as of 8th of December 2020 (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/).1 While most patients infected with SARS-CoV2 suffer from symptoms that are caused by infections of the upper airways including coughing, dyspnea and anosmia, it recently became evident, that Coronavirus induced disease (COVID-19) can involve multiple organs. These extra-pulmonary manifestations of COVID-19 include acute neuronal impairment such as Guillain-Barré syndrome, acute kidney injury, myocarditis, liver injury with elevated amino-transferases and disseminated thromboembolism.2 Thereby, COVID-19-associated organ damage is thought to be either induced via direct virus-mediated toxicity in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells, as it is observed for endothelial cells and alveolar cells,3–5 or indirectly provoked by overwhelming immune responses and a consequent cytokine storm.6,7 In addition, disturbances in the microcirculation of various organs, caused by thromboembolic vascular damage can result in COVID-19-associated stroke, myocardial infarction, deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism.2 The observations that ~10–60% of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients feature gastrointestinal symptoms including diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain and anorexia further highlight, that SARS-CoV2 might directly deter intestinal homeostasis via infecting intestinal epithelial cells;8 which might not only have important implications for the identification and disruption of possible oral-fecal transmission routes of SARS-CoV-2 infections, but which might also be relevant for the development of possible oral vaccine strategies.9 The fact that about 20% of patients of a Chinese cohort of 206 SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals with mild disease exclusively developed gastrointestinal symptoms,10 furthermore cautions to screen symptomatic patient with signs of gastroenteritis for SARS-CoV-2 infections to avoid spread in highly susceptible patient groups such as inhabitants of elderly homes or hospitalized individuals. Importantly, Livanos et al. have recently uncovered in two independent cohorts of COVID-19 patients, that patients with GI-symptoms show a decreased mortality and feature a reduced cytokine production of several pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-17.11

In regard of the growing number of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), that currently affect ~6.8 million individuals worldwide,12 a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms and consequences of intestinal SARS-CoV-2 infections is furthermore important to specifically protect IBD patients from COVID-19 associated intestinal and systemic complications. This is particularly relevant, since patients with IBD such as Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) frequently receive immunosuppressive therapies including antibodies blocking TNF (e.g., infliximab and adalimumab), IL-12 and IL-23 (ustekinumab) as well as integrins such as vedolizumab,13 which might interfere both with the establishment of proper acute anti-viral immune responses as well as with the formation of long-term immunological memory responses against SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, we will here first briefly recapitulate general aspects of viral entry and anti-viral immune responses before reviewing the current understanding of intestinal infections with SARS-CoV-2. In the second part of this review, we will then summarize the current knowledge about the specific risks that IBD patients face in case of SARS-CoV-2 infections regarding the intake of immune suppressive drugs. Here we will also discuss possible interferences of immune modulation with COVID-19-associated hyper-inflammation.

SARS-COV2 TROPISM AND ENTRY—A BRIEF SUMMARY
The tropism and cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 have been extensively studied in recent months and are summarized in detail elsewhere.14 Briefly, the entry mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 involves a two-step process where the so-called Spike (S) protein first binds to a cell surface receptor and is subsequently cleaved by a cellular protease to facilitate membrane fusion. Both, the expression of an
adequate receptor on the cell surface and the presence of a host-derived protease capable of cleaving the S protein influence cellular tropism of SARS-CoV-2. For cell entry of SARS-CoV-2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) are the prime receptor and critical protease, respectively.15,16 However, there is accumulating evidence that these two proteins alone cannot explain virus tropism, especially as clinical data point to SARS-CoV-2 infection of several organs, such as the nasopharynx, bronchus, lung, esophagus and liver, where ACE2 expression could not be detected in healthy individuals.17,18 This observation suggests that either ACE2 expression levels vary significantly between individuals or in the course of an infection,19 or that SARS-CoV-2 can use alternate receptor(s) to enter certain cell types. Indeed, 28 human genes, referred to as SARS-CoV-2 and Coronavirus-associated receptors and factors, were predicted to facilitate virus entry, based on previous data.17 The small intestine, especially the jejunum and ileum, seems to be a susceptible organ because of the prominent co-expression of TMPRSS2 with ACE2 as well as alanine-aminopeptidase and dipeptidyl peptidase 4, both cell-surface molecules promoting virus entry into cells. These findings are in agreement with recent data derived from a small animal model of SARS-CoV-2, suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 may actively infect and replicate in the gastrointestinal tract.19 A further recent study demonstrated that oral SARS-CoV-2 inoculation could establish a subclinical respiratory infection accompanied by viral shedding in oral swabs and feces.20 Altogether, current data highlight a possible fecal-oral transmission route in experimental models and raises the question of whether fecal-oral transmission might be relevant in human COVID-19.

GENERAL ASPECTS OF SARS-COV-2 INDUCED IMMUNE RESPONSES

SARS-CoV-2 entry and propagation lead to innate and adaptive immune activation,21 which are followed by elevated serum concentrations of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, a hallmark of severe SARS-CoV-2 infections. Here, infected cells first release chemokines and cytokines to initiate and recruit cells from both the innate and adaptive immune system to infection sites. Neutrophils and tissue-resident macrophages are among the early responders initiating inflammation and recruitment of other effector cells. Local activation of neutrophils and formation of extracellular traps are associated with dysregulated immune-thrombosis promoting COVID-19 inflammatory microvascular thrombi, found in lung, kidney and heart in post-mortem analysis.22 Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid collected from patients with COVID-19 revealed increased proportions of mononuclear phagocytes, such as macrophages, monocytes and dendritic cells.23 Thereby, lung residing macrophages of patients suffering from severe COVID-19, appear to preferentially recruit additional pro-inflammatory monocyteic cells as well as neutrophils from the periphery in a CCR1- and CXCR3-dependent manner, whereas lung residing macrophages of patients with moderate disease course might predominantly recruit T cells by releasing CXCR3- and CXCR6-attracting chemokines,24 ultimately supporting a T cell-dependent clearance of SARS-CoV-2.

Moreover, a recent multi-omics approach revealed a disbalance within the myeloid cell compartment in peripheral blood during COVID-19, particularly in patients with severe disease courses.25 Thus, profound virus-induced innate immune cell activation is commonly observed in COVID-19 and could promote SARS-CoV-2-mediated immunopathology.

The induction of SARS-CoV-2-specific effector and memory T and B cell responses reflects adaptive immune cell activation and is regarded to be essential for long-term protection. A successful T cell response was doubted initially because of the observed lymphopenia with reduced CD4+ and CD8+ T cell numbers in severe COVID-19 cases. However, it is currently believed that the observed lymphopenia reflects local recruitment and migration of effector T cells to the site of infection. Indeed, CD4+ and CD8+ effector T cells, specific for SARS-CoV-2, are found in the convalescent individuals after mild COVID-19. Interestingly, these T cells recognize various SARS-CoV-2-derived peptides including viral spike, nucleoprotein and matrix as well as other viral proteins.25–27 This effector T cell response is accompanied by the generation of spike-specific neutralizing antibodies, memory B cells and circulating follicular T cells.26,29 However, T cell responses’ breadth and magnitude were significantly higher in severe compared with mild cases of COVID-19. Surprisingly, 30–50% of healthy people with no detectable SARS-CoV-2 infection also have spike-protein-specific memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells.25–27 These T cell responses in healthy individuals were shown to be cross-reactive with other human coronaviruses.30 However, the relevance and protective capacity of such a pre-existing memory T cell response in subsequent SARS-CoV-2 exposures remain to be determined.

Moreover, an excessive inflammatory response to SARS-CoV-2, mediated by the activation of both, the innate and adaptive immune system as well as high levels of circulating cytokines and chemokines, is thought to be a key driver of disease severity and death in COVID-19 patients. Several studies have described higher plasma levels of cytokines, including but not limited to IL-6, TNF, CXCL10, TNFSF14, and oncostatin M.31 Therefore, dozens of immunomodulatory agents and biologics are currently examined for their use in treating COVID-19 patients, even if first studies using the IL-6R-antagonist tocilizumab failed to improve the outcome of COVID-19 patients,32 highlighting that, a better understanding of which particular inflammatory pathways and cell types are driving the above-described excessive inflammatory response is urgently needed to guide clinical trials in COVID-19 patients. Given that immune suppressive agents such as tyrosine kinase inhibitors, thiopurines and steroids as well blocking antibodies against TNF, IL-12/23, and integrins are frequently used in patients with IBD, a better understanding of anti-viral immune responses against SARS-CoV2 will be crucial to protect IBD-patients from potential side effects of immune suppression regarding SARS-CoV-2 infection. Vice versa, future studies will have to investigate if and how SARS-CoV2 infections might influence intestinal inflammation in IBD patients.

GASTROINTESTINAL SYMPTOMS IN COVID-19

Why should we even consider gastrointestinal symptoms in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2? To address this question, one has to revisit the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). As exemplified here with one study from 2003, 20.3% of SARS patients presented with diarrhea and 38.4% developed diarrhea during the course of disease. The same study was able to prove active viral replication within the small as well as large intestine.33 In line, one of the very first SARS-CoV-2 studies from China, which was published early this year, described that out of 95 patients with COVID-19, 58 cases suffered from gastrointestinal symptoms, 11.6% at admission and 49.5% during hospitalization. When endoscopy of the upper GI tract was performed, esophageal bleeding with erosions was revealed in several patients as well as ulcers in one severe patient.34 In a recent meta-analysis, combining 4243 patients out of 60 studies, a pooled prevalence for gastrointestinal symptoms was indicated in 17.6% of COVID-19 patients (95% CI 12.3–24.5). Remarkably, 11.8% of patients with non-severe COVID-19 and 17.1% of patients with severe COVID-19 presented with gastrointestinal symptoms.3 A case control study using data from a health care network in New York City found that the presence of gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhea and nausea was associated with a 70% higher relative risk to test
positive for SARS-CoV2 mRNA in patients presenting with fever and shortness of breath.\textsuperscript{15} Remarkably, COVID-19 patients with GI symptoms thereby displayed a significantly lower death rate, even if the duration of their illness was prolonged.\textsuperscript{35}

In accordance, Aghemo et al. observed in an Italian case control study that the presence of GI symptoms was associated with a better clinical outcome of COVID-19 patients,\textsuperscript{40} which is further supported by Livanos et al., who could demonstrate for the first time by electron microscopy that SARS-CoV2 particles can be found in intestinal epithelial cells and that COVID-19 patients with GI symptoms feature significantly decreased serum levels of IL-6 and IL-17, a reduced abundance of intestinal inflammatory dendritic cells and a lower mortality when compared to patients without GI symptoms.\textsuperscript{11} These observations are especially important for understanding and defining new immune regulatory networks in COVID-19, which might help to overcome immune over-activation in SARS-CoV2 infected individuals.

### Intestinal SARS-CoV2 Infection

Intestinal tropism

Recent meta-analyses of publications investigating the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA in the stool of infected individuals revealed fecal shedding of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in 48\% of patients with COVID-19,\textsuperscript{8} suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 might also infect intestinal epithelial cells. As recently shown by Lin et al., SARS-CoV2 viral RNA could not only be detected in feces but also in esophageal, gastric, duodenal, ileal and rectal biopsies from two COVID-19 patients with severe disease by real time PCR.\textsuperscript{34} In accordance, immune-histochemical analyses of duodenal biopsies obtained from a SARS-CoV-2 infected patient revealed the presence of the SARS-CoV-2 N-protein in intestinal epithelial cells,\textsuperscript{37} arguing in favor of a direct tropism of SARS-CoV-2 in intestinal cells, which is furthermore underlined by observations of Sia et al., who found virus replication and expression of SARS-CoV-2 N-Protein in the small and large intestine of golden hamsters as early as 2 days after infection with SARS-CoV-2.\textsuperscript{11} Most importantly, Livanos et al. recently detected SARS-CoV2 particles by electron microscopy in duodenal and ileal epithelial cells in COVID-19 patients as well as SARS-CoV2 N-protein.\textsuperscript{11} These findings are in line with observations by Gaebler et al., who could also detect SARS-CoV2 nuclear capsid as well as viral particles by immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy in duodenal and ileal biopsies of COVID-19 patients.\textsuperscript{38}

This concept of intestinal tropism is furthermore supported, by the finding, that human small-intestinal organoids as well as colonic organoids can be infected with SARS-CoV-2 and active viral replication of SARS-CoV-2 can be observed in-vitro in human intestinal epithelial cells.\textsuperscript{19–21} However, it remains unclear, whether this enteral tropism of SARS-CoV-2 is toxic to human epithelial cells and whether COVID-19 associated gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhea and cachexia are directly caused by virus induced epithelial damage or are secondarily triggered by excessive systemic cytokine release.

Epithelial expression of ACE2, TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4 appear to be required for viral entry of SARS-CoV-2 into intestinal epithelial cells as the intracellular viral load is positively correlated with the expression level of ACE2 in human enterocytes. Consequently, CRISPR-Cas9 mediated knock-down of TMPRSS2 and TRMPSS4 in duodenal enterocytes results in a reduced viral infection in-vitro.\textsuperscript{40} Interestingly, ACE2 can mainly be found on the luminal side of enterocytes,\textsuperscript{45} which in our eyes argues in favor of an oral route of infection and against a basolateral uptake of the virus via a hematologic circulation of SARS-CoV-2. This is supported by in-vitro findings from Zang et al. who observed that viral entry is ~1000 fold higher at the apical side of enterocytes when compared to virus uptake of SARS-CoV-2 via the basolateral membrane in 2-D monolayers of human duodenal cells.\textsuperscript{40}

However, the predominant entry site of SARS-CoV2 in human intestinal epithelium has not been unambiguously identified in vivo as electron microscopy pictures of ileal and duodenal epithelial cells of SARS-CoV2 infected individuals showed potential viral particles at the basolateral surface of enterocytes. In addition, subsequent blebbing of virus containing membrane vesicles could be observed at the apical site of infected enterocytes in ileal and duodenal biopsies that were obtained from COVID-19 patients suffering from gastrointestinal symptoms.\textsuperscript{11}

Moreover, it remains to be elucidated whether the predominant source of SARS-CoV-2 for subsequent intestinal infections are previously infected-mucosal cells in the upper airways such as pharyngeal- and nasal epithelial cells, in which very high levels of ACE2 expression\textsuperscript{16} and SARS-CoV-2 virus can be detected in infected individuals\textsuperscript{43} and which might also facilitate the oral ingestion of virus or whether SARS-CoV-2 can also primarily infect intestinal cells after oral ingestion of SARS-CoV-2 by circumventing infections of the upper airways. Despite the detection of infectious virus in the feces of SARS-CoV-2 infected golden hamsters, the transfer of virus-containing formites obtained from the cages of SARS-CoV-2 infected hamsters into the cages of uninfected hamsters only led to SARS-CoV-2 infection in 1 out of 3 hamsters.\textsuperscript{19} Therefore, a fecal-oral transmission is in principle possible but might only play a minor role in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in humans. It remains to be clarified if exclusive local intestinal infections with SARS-CoV-2 can also cause systemic viral spread and the development of COVID-19 in human patients.

It is currently not known, which factors might contribute to the gastrointestinal passage of intact virus particles, that are subsequently able to infect epithelial cells: Thus, it will be crucial to better understand if, for example, higher gastric pH levels as seen in patients treated with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs)\textsuperscript{44} might facilitate viral persistence in the stomach and subsequently the entry of virus in the small intestine. Likewise, Almaro et al. recently described, that patients receiving PPIs, as assessed by an online survey of 53,130 American individuals, revealed a significantly increased probability for positive SARS-CoV-2 tests, when compared to individuals without current intake of PPIs.\textsuperscript{45} This is in contrast to observations of Le et al., investigating the correlation between oral proton pump inhibitor intake and rate of SARS-CoV-2 infections and disease severity of COVID-19 in a cohort of 132,316 Korean individuals undergoing SARS-CoV-2 testing. While the users of PPIs did not show a higher incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infections, they had a 79\% higher risk to develop a severe course of COVID-19, once infected, than individuals not receiving PPIs.\textsuperscript{46}

Further studies are required to determine which other factors such as the composition of microbiota and the transit time of ingested or intestinally secreted SARS-CoV-2 might influence the persistence of infectious SARS-CoV-2 during the passage through lower sections of the gut. In a first experiment, Zang et al. could demonstrate that stimulated human colonic fluid could efficiently inactivate SARS-CoV-2 while Rota-virus controls were still infectious after incubation with colonic fluid.\textsuperscript{49} Accordingly, no infectious SARS-CoV-2 particles could be isolated from intestinal biopsies obtained from COVID-19 patients, in which SARS-CoV-2 N-protein expression and SARS-CoV2 mRNA had been detected in duodenal and ileal samples by immunohistochemistry and qPCR and in which virus-particles had been traced by electron microscopy, arguing against a fecal-oral transmission of SARS-CoV2 in humans as a common way of viral transmission.\textsuperscript{11}

However, the fact that SARS-CoV-2 infected hamsters do secret infectious SARS-CoV-2 in their stool\textsuperscript{19} still raises the concerns of a fecal-oral transmission, especially in the context of hospitalized patients with high fecal viral load which might transmit fecal virus...
to medical personnel during patient-manipulations such as colonoscopies or diaper change.

So far it is not known, if other surface receptors and molecules apart from ACE2, TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4 exist that might mediate viral infections in intestinal epithelial cells via the basolateral side. In regard of the expression of the neonatal FC receptor FcRn on enterocytes, that is involved in the basolateral-apical shuttling of immunoglobulin G (IgG) into the intestinal lumen, it seems imaginable that enterocytes could also internalize SARS-CoV-2 virus particles bound to non- or weakly neutralizing anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Such an antibody-dependent viral uptake via Fc-receptors has been shown, for example, for SARS-CoV challenged dendritic cells (reviewed by Patankar et al. who noted a significantly reduced protein expression of ACE2 in inflamed ileal biopsies of CD patients when compared to non-inflamed controls, whereas expression levels of TMPRSS2 were not altered between inflamed and non-inflamed tissue. In accordance, Suarez-Farinas et al. have recently described that ACE2 mRNA levels are significantly decreased in inflamed ileal biopsies of IBD patients, while inflamed rectal biopsies of IBD patients displayed a distinct upregulation of ACE mRNA in comparison to non-inflamed samples of IBD patients and non-IBD control patients. In contrast, Patankar et al. observed that ACE2 protein levels were comparable between inflamed colon of UC patients and non-inflamed controls. In the study of Suarez-Farinas et al., TMPRSS2 mRNA expression was significantly up regulated in inflamed ileal colonic tissue of IBD patients when compared to non-inflamed control tissue of non-IBD patients. Using DSS mouse models of intestinal inflammation, Patankar et al. could furthermore demonstrate that the ileal down regulation of ACE2 observed in CD patients might depend on STAT1-driven repression of ACE2 via Type-1 cytokines driving CD pathogenesis, but not Type-2 cytokines, which are thought to predominantly cause UC. In line with this inflammation dependent regulation of ACE2 expression, Burgueno et al. recorded a decreased mRNA expression levels of murine ACE2 mRNA in intestinal epithelial cells from inflamed intestinal tissue in dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)- induced as well as in T cell transfer models of colitis.

Of note, ileal ACE2 mRNA levels significantly increased in patients with CD upon resolution of inflammation after successful treatment with the TNF blocker infliximab. This was in line with a modulation of ACE2 mRNA expression in inflamed colonic tissue of UC and CD patients, in which TNF-blocker treated patients revealed a significant upregulation of ACE2 expression when compared to non-medicated IBD groups. Taken together, these mentioned data demonstrate that both ACE2 and TRPMSS2 are dynamically regulated in a location-dependent manner in IBD. However, future studies are necessary to determine which other factors and comorbidities as well as medication might influence the expression of ACE2, TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4 in intestinal epithelial cells, which might allow to better identify patient groups with high probability for intestinal tropism. In addition it will be important to better understand how a dynamic intestinal expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 might influence viral persistence and systemic spread of SARS-CoV-2.

Intestinal immune responses against SARS-CoV2

In contrast to the growing numbers of studies investigating the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infections on pulmonary and systemic immune responses, few data concerning intestinal immune responses exist so far. Sia et al. have looked at local immune responses in SARS-CoV-2 infected hamsters and did not observe significant lymphocyte infiltration in virus bearing epithelium or lamina propria, which was in line with findings from intestinal tissue that was obtained from SARS-CoV infected patients that did not reveal intestinal immune cell infiltration. First examinations of intestinal tissue of COVID-19 patients by immunohistochemistry and mass cytometry recently demonstrated that intraepithelial CD8+ lymphocytes as well as lamina propria residing CD4+ and CD8+ effector T cells are significantly expanded in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients in comparison to healthy controls, whereas inflammatory dendritic cells appear significantly reduced in the lamina propria of COVID-19 patients with intestinal manifestation of COVID-19. In parallel, RNA bulk sequencing revealed a significant down regulation of pathways linked to antigen processing, Th17 cell differentiation and IBD. These data suggest that intestinal infection with SARS-CoV2 might alter systemic immune cell composition by shifting pro-inflammatory immune signatures toward a more favorable immune milieu. This could explain the significantly lower serum concentrations of IL-6 and IL-17 in COVID-19 patients with GI symptoms, ultimately resulting in a lower mortality when compared to COVID-19 patients without GI-symptoms. Local intestinal viral persistence might also be important for the generation and maintenance of stable anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses by B cells. Thus, Gaebeler et al. recently observed that SARS-CoV2 virus particles as well as SARS-CoV2 mRNA can be detected in duodenal and ileal biopsies of SARS-CoV2 patients even 3 months after initial onset of COVID-19 despite the absence of SARS-CoV2 mRNA in nasopharyngeal swabs at the time of intestinal biopsy acquisition. These data suggest, that intestinal viral pools of SARS-CoV2 might instigate a stable long-term production of neutralizing anti-viral IgA antibodies, whereas IgM and IgG levels of anti-SARS-CoV2 antibodies targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor binding are decreasing 6 month post infection. The longer persistence of IgA might be especially relevant for lasting immune responses since IgA dimers were found to have a potency to neutralize SARS-CoV2. Future studies will be required to understand, whether the intestinal persistence of SARS-CoV2 represents a common feature of COVID-19 or whether this can also induce long-term T cell memory responses against SARS-CoV2. The main aspects of intestinal SARS-CoV2 infections and subsequent local immune responses are summarized in Fig. 1.

SARS-COV2 INFECIONS IN IBD PATIENTS

Clinical symptoms

Considering the data described above, indicating that in particular the small intestine can directly be infected, the questions arose early on whether the clinical presentation in patients with IBD differs from a non-IBD cohort. In a recent population-based retrospective cohort study, IBD patients diagnosed with COVID-19 between January 20th 2020 and May 26th 2020 were compared to patients diagnosed with COVID-19 but not IBD as control group. Out of 196,403 IBD patients, 232 IBD patients diagnosed with COVID-19 were identified. The control group of non-IBD COVID-19 patients consisted of 19,776 patients. Remarkably, GI-symptoms including nausea, vomiting, diarrhea as well as abdominal pain occurred more frequently in IBD patients. A second study applied a matched cohort design and compared clinical
symptoms and outcomes in COVID-19 patients with or without IBD at two New York City Hospitals. In this cohort, COVID-19 patients with IBD were matched for decade of age and gender in a 1:2 ratio to non-IBD COVID-19 patients. Here, 80 COVID-19 IBD patients were identified. In line with the data above, GI-symptoms including diarrhea and abdominal pain were significantly more frequent in COVID-19 IBD patients than in the COVID-19 non-IBD group\textsuperscript{55} (Table 1).

Table 1. Clinical symptoms with regard to the gastrointestinal tract in COVID-19 IBD and non-IBD patients.\textsuperscript{54,55}

| Clinical symptom       | COVID-19 patient number | IBD COVID-19 | Non-IBD COVID-19 | Reference |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|
| Nausea, vomiting       | IBD = 232 Control = 19.776 | 10.77%       | 4.31%            | $P < 0.01$ | \textsuperscript{54} |
| Diarrhea               | IBD = 232 Control = 19.776 | 8.19%        | 5.14%            | $P < 0.01$ | \textsuperscript{54} |
|                        | IBD = 80 Control = 160   | 45%          | 19%              | $P < 0.01$ | \textsuperscript{55} |
| Abdominal pain         | IBD = 232 Control = 19.776 | 7.75%        | 2.7%             | $P < 0.01$ | \textsuperscript{54} |
|                        | IBD = 80 Control = 160   | 20%          | 5%               | $P < 0.01$ | \textsuperscript{55} |

\textsuperscript{54} Weidinger et al. Mucosal Immunology (2021) 14:566 – 573
Disease severity
A recent systematic literature review on the risk factors of severe COVID-19 disease, identified high age, obesity, diabetes and hypertension as key risk factors. From these data, the question arises whether or not IBD patients belong to these risk groups. Up to now, several studies have already addressed this question. In the above cited study by Singh et al., the risk of severe COVID-19 disease was similar in the IBD and the non-IBD group after Propensity Score Matching (RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.68–1.27, \( P = 0.66 \)).54
In the cohort by Lukin et al. the primary outcome, a composite of death, ICU admission, or intubation was comparable (24% vs 35%, \( P = 0.352 \)). Thus, in line with non-IBD patients, IBD patients with severe COVID-19 showed a higher age and multiple comorbidities.54 Accordingly, data from the Surveillance Epidemiology of Coronavirus Under Research Exclusion for Inflammatory Bowel Disease (SECURE-IBD), a large, international registry, identified increasing age, ≥2 comorbidities as well as corticosteroids, thiopurines as well as combination of thiopurines and TNF-blockers to be associated with severe COVID-19.57

The observation that intestinal ACE2 receptor is regulated in inflammation also raised the possibility that IBD patients might have a lower risk for enteral virus uptake. Given the fact that ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are differentially regulated in the single enteric sections and seem to be impacted by inflammatory signals, it is in our opinion to early to predict how for example the observed down regulation of ACE2 in inflamed ileal tissue of CD might affect viral entry and the clinical course of infection.57

In regard of the findings, that intestinal persistence of SARS-CoV2 might fuel the generation and maintenance of memory B cells,38 it is in our opinion even more difficult to interpret whether reduced intestinal ACE2 expression in IBD should be considered as a risk factor weakening anti-viral immune responses or as beneficial factor reducing the pool of SARS-CoV2.

**IMPACT OF IMMUNE SUPPRESSION ON SARS-COV2 INFECTIONS IN IBD PATIENTS**

In regard of the frequent use of biologics and immune suppressors in patients with IBD, it appears central to delineate the effects of immune modulatory drugs in SARS-CoV2 infected IBD patients. The obvious question for IBD patients as well as physicians is whether IBD treatment can be continued during SARS-CoV-2 infection or presents a potential threat for our patients. To address this question the SECURE-IBD registry was initiated and published already three months prior to infection as risk factor for severe disease (aOR 1.1% vs 4.8%, \( P < 0.001 \)).58

Remarkably, anti-tumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF) antibody treatment was not revealed as a risk factor (aOR, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.4–2.2) by Brenner et al. and IBD patients receiving TNF antagonists had even lower rates of severe COVID-19 compared to IBD control patients in unadjusted analyses, (1.1% vs 4.8%, \( P < 0.001 \)),58 suggesting that TNF-alpha might contribute to immune over-activation and cytokine storm in COVID-19 patients.60 Further studies should be conducted to investigate whether TNF-blockade could be used to blunt the clinical course in patients with severe COVID-19.61,62

**TNF-blocker**

Remarkably, anti-tumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF) antibody treatment was not revealed as a risk factor (aOR, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.4–2.2) by Brenner et al. and IBD patients receiving TNF antagonists had even lower rates of severe COVID-19 compared to IBD control patients in unadjusted analyses, (1.1% vs 4.8%, \( P < 0.001 \)),58 suggesting that TNF-alpha might contribute to immune over-activation and cytokine storm in COVID-19 patients.60 Further studies should be conducted to investigate whether TNF-blockade could be used to blunt the clinical course in patients with severe COVID-19.61,62

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors
So far, no conclusive data on tyrosine kinase inhibitors in IBD has been available that would allow an assessment of their safety during the current SARS-CoV2 pandemic.

**IL-12/IL-23 blockade**

So far no increased risk for severe COVID-19 could be observed for patients treated with anti-IL-12/IL-23 antibodies in the IBD-SECURE registry when compared to patients receiving anti-TNF-antagonists, suggesting that IL-12/IL-23 blockade could be used to blunt the clinical course in patients with severe COVID-19.60

**Integrin-inhibition**

To date the available literature does not indicate that integrin inhibitors such as vedolizumab would negatively affect the clinical outcome of SARS-CoV2 infected IBD patients. However, future studies with bigger patient numbers will be required to specifically address possible side effects of integrin-inhibition and the development of anti-viral immune responses and immune regulation.

Taken together, it appears safe to conclude from the published data so far, that patients with IBD in general are not at an
increased risk for a severe clinical course of COVID-19 and that neither TNF-, IL-12/23 – nor intergrin blockade could be identified as a risk factor, thus, emphasizing that these therapies should be continued in IBD patients.

Interestingly, Simon et al., have recently described that patients with immune mediated inflammatory diseases receiving biologics including TNF-blockade display lower levels of anti-SARS-CoV2 IgG when compared to control patients not receiving biologics. This could either mean that patients receiving biologics are protected from severe COVID-19 and thus develop less anti-SARS-CoV2 antibodies or that biologics might interfere with the generation of adequate antibody production. Therefore, we believe that future studies are required to investigate how biologics influence the generation and maintenance of anti-viral memory responses by T and B cells upon SARS-CoV2 vaccines.

An additional aspect we should consider is the recently described high incidence of thromboembolic events in patients with COVID-19. In mind that IBD patients in remission still harbor a twofold increased risk for developing thromboembolism, a risk that further increases in case of a flare, thromboembolism prophylaxis should be of utmost importance in hospitalized COVID-19 IBD patients.

ASPECTS OF SARS-COV2 IN PATIENTS WITH CELIAC DISEASE

Although the focus of this manuscript is not on celiac disease, since it is equally affecting the small intestine, we provide a short summary here. To assess whether or not patients with celiac disease are at an increased risk of contracting COVID-19, a cross-sectional study where patients with self-reported celiac disease participated in a web-based survey. 18,022 participant provided an answer, 10,737 with self-reported celiac disease of which 65.7% reported a strict gluten-free diet. More comorbidities were observed in the celiac disease group. Overall, there was no increased risk of contracting COVID-19 in celiac disease patients.

In line, in a small cohort of 21 patients with refractory celiac disease from Milan, none developed COVID-19 symptoms despite the high incidence of SARS-CoV2 in Milan during the time period of the study. Thus, at this point in line with IBD, celiac disease patients independent from gluten exposure do not seem to be at a higher risk.

CONCLUSION

This SARS-CoV-2 pandemic illustrates how a close interaction between immunologists and clinicians results not only in a better understanding of disease but furthermore enables the development of potential therapeutic strategies. In addition, the insight in disease pathogenesis in parallel to data from large patient cohorts such as in SECURE-IBD provides critical data for the management of our IBD patients in the ongoing pandemic.

The observation that COVID-19 patients with GI symptoms appear to have a better clinical outcome highlight the importance that the intestinal system might play in shaping systemic immune responses and therefore it will be crucial to understand which factors and diseases such as coeliac disease, irritable bowel syndrome or colon cancer might interfere with the digestive system to modulate anti-viral immunity.
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