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Abstract

The known ground state of ultrathin smectic films confined to the surface of a sphere is described by four $+\frac{1}{2}$ defects assembled on a great circle and a director which follows geodesic lines. Using a simple perturbative approach we show that for thick smectic films on a sphere with planar anchoring this solution breaks down, distorting the smectic layers. The instability happens when the bend elastic constant exceeds the anchoring strength times the radius of the inner sphere. Above this threshold, the formation of a periodic chevron-like structure, observed experimentally as well, relieves geometric frustration. We quantify the effect of thickness and curvature of smectic shells and provide insight into the wavelength of the observed texture.
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1. Introduction

Thin liquid crystal films, coating spherical surfaces, exhibit chevron-like periodic instability patterns, as in Fig. 1, when observed under an optical polarizing microscope. The mechanism of this pattern formation is two-fold. On the one hand, cooling the nematic 8CB (4-n-octyl-4-cyanobiphenyl) phase towards the smectic phase with the transition temperature $T_{N-SmA} = 33.5^\circ C$ results in formation of smectic layers, orthogonal to the spherical surface, which locally tend to maintain constant spacing. On the other hand, because of the underlying curvature of a sphere and finite thickness of the liquid crystal film, this constraint cannot be satisfied globally, leading to geometric frustration [4, 5]. In smectic-like systems geometric frustration can be relieved by introducing topological defects, such as focal conics, dislocations or curvature wall defects [6, 7] or forming spatially periodic texture, for example, during embryogenesis in presence of intestinal tissue growth [8]. However, a quantitative description of energy minimizing space-filling smectic structures still remains challenging, because of the intricate connection between curvature of the embedded space, local energetic constraint of equally spaced layers, global topological constraints as well as the boundary conditions. In this paper we propose a simple but realistic approach to study the effects of thickness, curvature and elastic properties of smectic shells on the characteristic wavelength of instability texture.

Nematic shells with in-plane two-dimensional (2D) order have been extensively studied before both experimentally and theoretically [9, 10, 11]. Smectic shells can be treated as the limiting case of nematic shells when the bend elastic constant $K_3$ is much larger than splay elastic constant $K_1$ in the Frank free energy [12]. The anisotropy of elastic constants $K_3 \neq K_1$ influences the equilibrium arrangement of topological defects in spherical nematics [13] as well as the equilibrium shape of closed vesicles with in-plane orientational order [14].
substrate, an infinitely thin (2D) liquid crystal film with the nematic director \( \mathbf{n} \) aligned along geodesics \((\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{n} = 0\) [5,13,15] contains no bend distortions, and is thus the ground state in the limit \( K_1 \ll K_3 \). For a sphere the geodesics coincide with great circles, and thus the director \( \mathbf{n} \) is preserved under parallel transport along meridians, resulting in splay-rich equilibrium textures [13]. The question we pose here is how this texture changes when we allow the smectic film on a sphere to have a finite constant thickness. The increase of dimensionality of the system from 2D to 3D results in the dilation of smectic layers at the outer spherical shell, which can be compensated by the insertion of extra layers (dislocations) or by bending of the layers (curvature walls). Experimental data [1,2,3] suggests that geometric frustration in thick smectic shells is resolved by curvature walls rather than dislocations (see Fig. 1).

In this paper we distinguish (i) ‘ultrathin’ smectic films with director field following the meridians of an inner sphere, which remain stable under extension into the third dimension along the radius and (ii) ‘thick’ smectic shells when the tilt of the director out of the tangent plane of the spherical surface (bending of the layers) is energetically favoured. Similar to the Barbero–Barberi criterion for thin nematic films [16], here we find that the configuration (i) becomes unstable when \( K_3 > RW_a \), where \( R \) is the radius of an inner sphere and \( W_a \) is the anchoring strength of the outer spherical shell. Increasing the thickness \( h \) of the smectic film above the critical value \( (\sim K_3/W_a - R) \) one might expect periodic undulations of smectic layers resulting in the formation of crescent-like domains as in Fig. 1 and in Refs. [1,2,3]. Thus the relationship between thickness \( h \) and the curvature \( R \) of smectic shell and bend elastic constant \( K_3 \) play the role of the magnetic field or dilative strain in the Helfrich–Hurault instability in planar geometry [6,17]. By adopting a perturbation approach with \( h/R \) being a small parameter of our system, we explore the instability of the ground state (i) for \( h \to 0 \) with respect to the periodic solution in thick smectic shells. Our prediction of the critical period and the critical thickness accounting for chevron-like texture can be relevant to analyze experimental data and extract values of elastic constants and anchoring strength in the vicinity of the nematic–smectic phase transition.

In the following we first reconsider the ground state of thin smectic shells accounting for splay-rich topological defects. Next, we consider the breaking down of this solution state for thick smectic shells, assuming infinitely strong planar anchoring at the inner shell and neglecting the role of splay rich topological defects \( (K_1 \ll K_3) \). In the last section we hypothesize periodic...
texture of smectic layers and study the range of its stability.

2. Ultrathin smectic shells

The orientational order of liquid crystals is described by the director $n$, which is a unit vector, confined to tangent plane of a sphere. The in-plane spatial variations of the director field $n$ can be decomposed into splay $\nabla \cdot n$ and bend $\nabla \times n$ elastic distortions, where $\nabla$ is the covariant derivative. Thus the elastic Frank free energy contains two independent contributions, integrated over surface of a sphere as \[^9\text{–}^1^2\]

$$F_{el} = \frac{1}{2} \int_S dS \left[ K_1 (\nabla \cdot n)^2 + K_3 |\nabla \times n|^2 \right].$$

(1)

In case of nematic liquid crystals, the splay $K_1$ and bend $K_3$ elastic constants are of the same order $K_1 \approx K_3$. Therefore both splay and bend deformations are allowed and the ground state of ultrathin (2D) nematic shells contains four $(+1/2)$ disclinations placed at the vertices of tetrahedron \[^9\]. In the vicinity of nematic–smectic phase transition the elastic constant $K_3$ grows, and bend distortions are expelled from the sample, similar to the magnetic field in superconductors \[^1^8\]. The configuration of defects in smectic liquid crystal ($K_3 \gg K_1$) confined to a sphere corresponds to four $(+1/2)$ disclinations lined up on a great circle of a sphere \[^1^3\]. Below we illustrate this point analytically.

Let us parametrize the director $n = \cos \alpha e_u + \sin \alpha e_v$, in local system of coordinates $(u, v)$, with sphere of radius $R$ being described by the radius vector $r = R(\cos u \sin v, \sin u \sin v, \cos v)$. The simplest director configuration with $\alpha = \pi/2$ follows meridians (or $v$-lines) connecting two $+1$ defects at the North ($v = 0$) and South ($v = \pi$) poles of a sphere as shown in Fig. 2a. Thus smectic layers described by the level set $\omega = Rv = \text{const}$ correspond to the parallels (or $u$-lines). The director $n = \nabla \omega / |\nabla \omega| = e_v$ is locally orthogonal to smectic layers and can be defined everywhere, except at the two poles ($v = 0, \pi$), where it rotates by $+2\pi$. One can show explicitly that

$$\nabla \cdot n = \frac{\sin \alpha}{R \sin v} (\cos v - \partial_u \alpha) + \frac{\cos \alpha}{R} \partial_v \alpha,$$

(2)

$$\nabla \times n = -\frac{\cos \alpha}{R \sin v} (\cos v - \partial_u \alpha) + \frac{\sin \alpha}{R} \partial_v \alpha,$$

(3)

whence the configuration with angle $\alpha = \pi/2$ has no bend ($\nabla \times n = 0$). Note that, unlike the 3D case where the curl of a vector field is a vector (1-form), in the 2D case the curl is defined as the Hodge dual of an exterior derivative applied to the 1-form, which gives a scalar (0-form) \[^1^9\] or in index notation $\nabla \times n = e^j D_i n_j$, where $e^j$ is the dual of the Levi-Civita tensor \[^1^0\]. Then the free energy (1) has purely splay contribution

$$F_{\alpha=\pi/2} = \frac{K_1}{2} \int d\alpha \int d\beta \sin v \cot^2 v = 2\pi K_1 \left( \log \left( \frac{2R}{a} \right) - 1 \right),$$

(4)

where $a$ is related to the size of the defect core or can be approximated by the size of 8CB molecule $\sim 3$ nm. We expect that the energy contribution of the defect core is negligible here and thus can be ignored.

It was shown numerically in \[^1^3\] and confirmed experimentally in \[^1\] that there is a set of degenerate ground states of a smectic liquid crystal on a sphere. Indeed, in the limit $K_3 \to \infty$
Figure 2: (a) The director field $n$ (red) on a sphere aligned along meridians ($v$-lines) results into two $+1$ disclinations at the North ($N$) and South ($S$) poles. Smectic layers are orthogonal to $n$ correspond to parallels ($u$-lines). (b) Splitting of two defects by cut-and-rotate procedure of one hemisphere, does not cost any energy \cite{13} and results into four halves of $+1$ defect located at points $A$, $N$ and $B$, $S$ (other side of a sphere) separated by the angle $\Delta v = \pi/6$. (c) The director field in (b) projected from a sphere on a complex plane \cite{5} with $z_A = \tan(\Delta v/2)$, $z_B = -\cot(\Delta v/2)$, $z_N = 0$ and $|z_S| = +\infty$.

the bend free configuration is identical to the requirement of $n$ being aligned along geodesic lines $(n \cdot \nabla)n = 0$ \cite{13, 14, 5} or great circles on a sphere. The geodesic on a sphere is given by parametric form $u = u_A + \arccos(\tan v_A \cot v)$, depicted as blue curve in Fig. 2. By cutting a sphere with two $+1$ defects (Fig. 2a with $n = e_u$) and rotating one hemisphere along a great circle by an arbitrary angle $\Delta v$ we obtain variety of states which can be transformed into one another with zero energy cost (see Fig. 2b). The resulting director configuration on the Eastern hemisphere can be found by projecting two halves of $+1$ disclinations positioned at points $z_A = R \tan(\Delta v/2)$ and $z_B = -R \cot(\Delta v/2)$ (see Fig. 2c).

\begin{equation}
\theta(z) = \text{Im} \log |(z - z_A)(z - z_B)|, \quad z = x + iy
\end{equation}

from the complex plane $z = x + iy = R \tan(\frac{\pi}{2}) e^{i\theta}$ onto a sphere so that $\alpha(u, v) = \pi/2 - \theta(z) + \arg z$. Inserting this ansatz into \cite{2}, \cite{3} we find $\nabla \times n = 0$ and the numerical value of the integrated splay contribution equals to \cite{4} whence independent of $\Delta v$. Thus, the ideal smectic configurations with equidistant layers on a sphere contain either two $+1$ defects at the poles (Figs. 2a) or 4 ($+1/2$) defects (Figs. 2b) on a great circle. This result holds as long as we neglect contributions coming from core size of defects and describe the system as two-dimensional shell with in-plane orientational order. Below we study smectic shells with finite thickness $h$ and analyze the deviation from the texture shown in Fig. 2a.

3. Finite thickness effects

First, we extend the bend free planar solution, found in the previous section (see Fig. 2b), into the third direction along the radius of a sphere $r \in [R, R + h]$, so that we get a smectic shell of finite thickness $h$. The non-vanishing curl of the director $\nabla \times e_r = e_r / r$ now results in a non-uniform layer spacing in the 3D smectic shell. In the vicinity of the nematic–smectic phase transition both twist $K_2$ and bend $K_3$ elastic constants, entering the conventional Frank free energy, diverge \cite{18}. For simplicity we assume that they are of the same order so $K_2|n \cdot (\nabla \times n)|^2 + K_3|(n \cdot \nabla n)^2| = K_3|\nabla \times n|^2$, thus the form of the elastic free energy is analogous to \cite{11}, except for the region of integration. Integrating over the finite thickness gives a bend contribution $2\pi h K_3$.
and a splay contribution as \( \hat{g} \), multiplied by the thickness \( h \). Indeed, the dilation of smectic layers extends itself from a spherical surface along the normal is proportional to the increase of the area element by \( dS = dS_{h=0}(1 + 2hH^2 + h^2 K) \), where \( H = 1/R \) is the mean curvature and \( K = 1/R^2 \) is the Gaussian curvature of an inner sphere. To compensate the unfavored increase of interlayer spacing the director \( n \) should tilt out of the tangent plane with non-zero gradient along the thickness, which follows from the expression for the curl of the vector field \( n = n_x e_x + n_y e_y + n_z e_z \), written in spherical coordinates

\[
\nabla \times n = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \partial_r (n_r \sin \theta) - \partial_\theta n_\theta \right] e_r + \frac{1}{r} \left[ \partial_\theta (r n_\theta) - \partial_r n_r \right] e_r + \frac{1}{r} \left[ \partial_r (r n_r) - \partial_\theta n_\theta \right] e_r. \quad (6)
\]

Let us introduce a small parameter \( \varepsilon = h/R \ll 1 \) and the rescaled variable \( \rho \in [0, 1] \), such as \( r = R(1 + \varepsilon \rho) \). Then \( \partial_r = 1/(\varepsilon R) \partial_\rho \sim O(\varepsilon^{-1}) \) is the leading order contribution to the gradient of a scalar function in spherical coordinates \( \nabla \omega = \partial_\rho \omega e_\rho + \frac{\partial_\theta \omega}{r} e_\theta + \frac{\partial_\phi \omega}{r \sin \theta} e_\phi \). Consider a layer perturbation in the form \( \omega = R\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 Rg(\rho) + O(\varepsilon^3) \), yielding

\[
\nabla \omega = \frac{\nabla \omega}{|\nabla \omega|} = \varepsilon g \left( 1 + \rho \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} g \varepsilon^2 \right) e_r + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 - \rho g \varepsilon^2 \right) e_r + O(\varepsilon^4), \quad (7)
\]

\[
\nabla \times n = \frac{1}{R} \left[ \rho + \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \rho} \right] e_\rho - \frac{2}{R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} - \frac{3 \varepsilon^2 - 4 \rho \varepsilon^2}{R} e_r + O(\varepsilon^3), \quad (8)
\]

where the normal to the layer coincides with the director \( n \), which has two non-zero components and accounts for the out-of-plane tilt \( n_\phi \propto \hat{g} = \partial_\phi g = g' \) or bend of the layers in \( \rho \)-plane. We assume that bend deformations \( \hat{b} \) are the dominant contribution to the free energy \( F \) in the limit \( K_3 \gg K_1 \) (more precisely \( K_1/K_3 \sim O(\varepsilon^2) \)). The presence of pure splay topological defects at two poles of a sphere as well as their structure in thick smectic shells is not accounted for here. To find \( g(\rho) \) minimizing the layer dilation we solve the Euler–Lagrange equation within an ansatz \( \hat{g} = \rho^\alpha \)

\[
\hat{g}^3 + 4\hat{g}' \hat{g}'' + \hat{g}''' = 0, \quad \frac{m_b \kappa^2}{\eta + 2m_a} \rightarrow \hat{g}(\rho) = C_1 \rho^{1/2} + C_2 \rho^{2/3}. \quad (9)
\]

According to experimental data \( [3] \) the inner shell \( \rho = 0 \) imposes strong planar alignment and smectic layers are not distorted \( \hat{g}|_{\rho=0} = 0 \), which is also suggested by \( [1] \). At the outer shell \( (\rho = 1) \) the undulations of the director are bound by the planar anchoring, written as \( F_a = W_a/2 \int dS (e_\theta \cdot n)^2 \), which penalizes the out-of-plane tilt of the director. Up to the lowest order in \( \varepsilon \) the total free energy is \( -2\pi RC_1 + C_2^2 \varepsilon^2 (K_3 - RW_a) \). The tilt \( \hat{g} \neq 0 \) is energetically favored if and only if \( K_3 > RW_a \). Otherwise, the in-plane alignment of the director \( n = e_\phi \) (\( \hat{g} = 0 \)) uniform along the thickness is stable. The next order approximation gives the critical thickness of smectic shell \( \varepsilon_c = K_3/(RW_a) - 1 \) and the amplitude of perturbation. Except for a trivial solution with \( C_1 = C_2 = 0 \) we get the physically irrelevant cases with complex amplitudes, and two solutions with \( C_2 = 0 \), given by

\[
\varepsilon \hat{g} \approx \pm \sqrt{2\varepsilon (1 - \frac{1}{\eta})}, \quad \eta = \frac{K_3}{RW_a} > 1. \quad (10)
\]

Substituting this result in \( (8) \) we find up to the lowest order

\[
\langle \nabla \times n \rangle \cdot e_\theta = \frac{1}{R\eta} + \frac{3 - \eta - 3\eta^2}{R^2 \eta^2} \rho \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2). \quad (11)
\]
Figure 3: Schematic illustration of the organization of smectic layers: (a) in rv-plane described by (12) with (counter)-clockwise tilt of the director corresponding to the (blue) red curves, \( \eta = 1.05 \); (b) within a segment of a spherical shell. At the inner sphere \( \rho = 0 \) the director (red) is aligned along meridians, while at the outer sphere \( \rho = 1 \) the chevron texture has the highest tilt angle with non-zero projection on both \( u \) and \( r \) axes. (c) For \( \eta = K_3/(RW_a) > 1 \) there is a critical wavenumber \( q_c \) (extremum of the curve), characterizing an instability from the state with uniform director \( n \) and chevron structure with periodicity \( 2\pi/q \). The tilt angle as well as the critical thickness grows with \( \eta \).

As predicted above and follows from (11) the tilt of the director \( n \) (7), (10), resulting in the bending of smectic layers in rv-plane illustrated in Fig. 3, is energetically favoured for \( \eta > 1 \). This transition from a uniform planar state appears in the vicinity of the nematic–smectic phase transition accompanied by the growth of \( K_3 \). For weak anchoring \( W_a \) at the outer shell \( (\eta \gg 1) \) we get \( \nabla \times n \sim -3\varepsilon R/\sim O(\varepsilon) \), while for strong anchoring \( \eta \geq 1 \) the tilt angle (10) is suppressed. Assuming the anchoring strength \( W_a \approx 10^{-4} \) J/m\(^2\) and the typical radius of a sphere \( R \approx 100 \mu m \) [1, 2, 3], one expects the critical value \( K_3 \gtrsim 10^{-8} \) J/m for the instability threshold. Above the threshold \( \eta = K_3/(RW_a) = 1.05 \) and for \( \varepsilon = h/R = 0.04 \) we get the estimate for the tilt angle as \( \varepsilon g\rvert_{\rho=1} \approx \pm 0.062 \). In general, the solution (10) may be homogeneous with (“+”)-”-” sign, which is illustrated in Fig. 3 with smectic layers bending (counter)-clockwise in polar rv-plane, making use explicitly of our initial ansatz

\[
\omega = Rv \pm \frac{2}{3} R \sqrt{2\varepsilon R^2(1 - \frac{1}{\eta})} = \text{const} \quad \frac{r}{R} = 1 + \frac{9(\text{const} - v)^2}{8(1 - 1/\eta)}, \quad \text{(12)}
\]

Note that one could have chosen or guessed the scaling of \( \omega \) with the power \( \varepsilon^{3/2} \), but the results (9)–(12) do not change. One may guess that if the combination of both “+” and “-” signs in (12) is energetically allowed, then the change of sign of \( \check{g} \) likely results in the formation of curvature walls [7]. Because of the spherical geometry a naive estimate of the number of curvature walls is \( \pi/\varepsilon g\rvert_{\rho=1} \). However, such a simple geometric argument based on the symmetry of the solution (10) may be irrelevant in accounting for the variation of birefringence colors along \( v \)-lines connecting two defects in Fig. 1. In fact, according to [1, 2, 3] the modulation inside crescent domains is interpreted as a secondary instability. In the next section we try to gain insight into the formation of the primary chevron-like periodic structure along the \( u \)-direction.
4. Pattern selection mechanism

The main goal of this paper is not to explain the complexity of fully developed texture in smectic shells (e.g. Fig. 1) but to find the criterion, if there is one, for the onset of a periodic chevron-like solution. Again we adopt a simple perturbative approach and allow both the tilt of the director \( \mathbf{n} \) in the tangent \((u, v)\)-plane as well as variation along the thickness \((r\)-direction). We assume the following form for a scalar function \( \omega = Rv + \varepsilon^2 Rg(\rho) \sin v + O(\varepsilon^4) \), which describes isosurfaces of smectic layers and accounts for chevron-like texture in \( u \)-direction with period \( 2\pi R/q \), where \( q \) is unknown integer (see Fig. 3). The base state with \( g(\rho) = 0 \) is favoured by the planar anchoring, but becomes unstable due to the growing bend contribution with thickness \( h \), as was shown in section 3. The non-zero tilt \( \nabla_r \omega \neq 0 \) decreases the elastic energy contribution at the expense of the anchoring at the outer and possibly inner sphere. By optimizing the resulting free energy difference between the distorted state and base state, given by

\[
\frac{\mathcal{F}_{al} + \mathcal{F}_{el}}{4\pi^3} = \frac{K_3 R}{9q^2} \int_0^1 d\rho \left\{ -4g''g'\varepsilon^2 + \frac{96\pi^2}{25q^2}g''g'\varepsilon^2 - 5g'^2\varepsilon^3 - 12\rho g'g''\varepsilon^3 \right\} +
+ \frac{4W_4 g'^2}{9q^2} \varepsilon^2 (1 + 2\rho \varepsilon)_{\rho=0,1} + O(\varepsilon^4),
\]

we consider the interplay of bulk elastic term and boundary term, neglecting the role of splay contribution. The special solution of the Euler–Lagrange equation is \( g(\rho) = \pm 5q\eta (\eta + \rho - 1)/(8 \sqrt{6}\pi) \), linear in the number of periods of the chevron pattern \( q \) and quadratic in \( \rho \), which differs from (10). The integration constant \( C_1 \) was determined from the natural boundary condition at the outer shell \( \partial(\mathcal{F}_{al} + \mathcal{F}_{el})/\partial C_1 \big|_{\rho=1} = 0 \), while at the inner shell we assume \( g|_{\rho=0} = 0 \). As before we neglected the splay \( K_4 \)-term in the free energy, which may be important to estimate the energy of curvature walls.

In Fig. 3, the coexistence curve separates the region where the base state with unperturbed director \( \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{e}_z \) is stable from the region where the in- and out-of-plane tilt of the director with \( g(\rho) \neq 0 \) and \( q > 1 \) within assumed ansatz is energetically preferred. For \( \eta = \text{const} > 1 \) there is a critical point \((q_c, \varepsilon_c)\), which identifies the wavelength \( 2\pi R/q_c \) and the thickness \( h_c = \varepsilon_c R \) at the instability threshold. We find that for thin shells with \( \varepsilon_c \approx 0.03 \) the chevron texture is fine, corresponding to large \( q_c \). For thicker shells the absolute value of the tilt angle \( \varepsilon_c g|_{\rho=1} \) as well as the period \( 2\pi R/q_c \) increases, so that the texture becomes more pronounced. Interestingly, assuming a different perturbation ansatz in terms of the spherical harmonics \( \omega = Rv + \varepsilon^2 \rho^m \cos(m\psi) \sin^m v + O(\varepsilon^4) \), we recover a similar prediction on the effective decrease of the equilibrium \( q \) with the increase of the shell thickness \( (\varepsilon) \), while the exponent \( m \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) \) depends stronger on \( \eta \). Our results suggest the validity of the perturbation approach in the vicinity of the nematic–smectic phase transition and are qualitatively consistent with experimental data on the formation of crescent-like domains in thicker part of smectic shells.

5. Conclusions

In this paper we presented a phenomenological approach to treat smectic films confined between two spherical surfaces. Within the continuum Frank free energy for liquid crystals and the assumptions made, we have shown that the ground state of two-dimensional films with director aligned along geodesics of a sphere, becomes unstable for thick films when \( K_3 > RW_a \). The instability is driven by finite curvature of the sphere \( 1/R \) and the requirement of the bend-free
configuration in the vicinity of the nematic–smectic phase transition when $K_3$ diverges. The resulting geometric frustration can be relieved by the tilt of the director out of the tangent plane of a sphere, as well as by in-plane undulations. The constructed simplified solution for the plausible organization of smectic layers allows to identify the onset of the instability towards periodic chevron-like texture and provides the dependence of the critical wavelength on the critical thickness $\varepsilon = h/R$ of smectic shells. Future comparison between theory and experimental data \cite{1,2,3} can be useful to extract the values of elastic constant $K_3$ and the anchoring strength $W_a$ and eventually propose the equilibrium 3D organizations of smectic layers within thick spherical shells.
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