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Abstract. Given a multi-valued function \( \Phi : X \to X \) on a topological space \( X \) we study the properties of its fixed fractal \( \Phi_f \), which is defined as the closure of the orbit \( \Phi^\omega(*_\Phi) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi^n(*_\Phi) \) of the set \( *_\Phi = \{ x \in X : x \in \Phi(x) \} \) of fixed points of \( \Phi \). A special attention is paid to the duality between fixed fractals and macro-fractals, which are fixed fractals \( \Phi_f \) and \( \Phi_{f^{-1}} \) for a contracting compact-valued function \( \Phi : X \to X \) on a complete metric space \( X \). With help of algorithms (described in this paper) we generate various images of macro-fractals which are dual to some well-known micro-fractals like the fractal cross, the Sierpiński triangle, Sierpiński carpet, the Koch curve, or the fractal snowflakes. The obtained images show that macro-fractals have a large-scale fractal structure, which becomes clearly visible after a suitable zooming.

1. Introduction

In this paper we generalize the classical theory of deterministic fractals and for each multi-valued function \( \Phi : X \to X \) on a topological space \( X \) we define its fixed fractal \( \Phi_f \subset X \) as the closure \( \Phi^\omega(*_\Phi) \) of the orbit \( \Phi^\omega(*_\Phi) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi^n(*_\Phi) \) of the set \( *_\Phi = \{ x \in X : x \in \Phi(x) \} \) of fixed points of \( \Phi \). This definition of a fractal agrees with the classical definition of a deterministic fractal because for a system of contracting functions \( f_1, \ldots, f_m : X \to X \) on a complete metric space \( X \) the fractal \( \Phi_f \) of the multi-valued function \( \Phi : x \mapsto \{ f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x) \} \) coincides with the attractor of the IFS \( \{ f_1, \ldots, f_m \} \) defined in the standard way, see [5].

By a multi-valued function (briefly, a multi-function) \( \Phi : X \to X \) on a topological space \( X \) we understand any subset \( \Phi \subset X \times X \). For a subset \( A \subset X \) by \( \Phi(A) = \{ y \in X : \exists x \in A (x, y) \in \Phi \} \) we denote its image under \( \Phi \). We put \( \Phi^0(A) = A \) and \( \Phi^{n+1}(A) = \Phi(\Phi^n(A)) \) for \( n \geq 0 \). The set \( \Phi^\omega(A) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi^n(A) \) is called the orbit of \( A \). The closure \( \Phi^\omega(*_\Phi) \) of the orbit \( \Phi^\omega(*_\Phi) \) of the set \( *_\Phi = \{ x \in X : x \in \Phi(x) \} \) is called the fixed fractal of \( \Phi \) and is denoted by \( \Phi_f \). A pair \( (X, \Phi) \) is called a multi-valued dynamical system [1].

Fixed fractals of multi-valued dynamical systems are central objects of our study. We start with the following three problems related to fixed fractals.

**Problem 1.** Study the interplay between properties of a multi-valued function \( \Phi : X \to X \) and properties of its fixed fractal \( \Phi_f \).

For each multi-valued function \( \Phi : X \to X \) on a topological space \( X \) we can consider its inverse multi-valued function
\[
\Phi^{-1} : X \to X, \quad \Phi^{-1} : y \mapsto \{ x \in X : y \in \Phi(x) \},
\]
and the corresponding fixed fractal \( \Phi_{f^{-1}} \). In such way, we obtain a dual pair of fixed fractals \( \Phi_f \) and \( \Phi_{f^{-1}} \). Observe that both these fractals are closures of orbits of the same set \( *_\Phi = *_{f^{-1}} \) of fixed points.

**Problem 2.** Given a multi-valued function \( \Phi : X \to X \) on a topological space \( X \), study the interplay between properties of the fixed fractal \( \Phi_f \) and its dual fixed fractal \( \Phi_{f^{-1}} \).

There are many algorithms that allow us to see fractals of various sorts.

**Problem 3.** Elaborate effective algorithms for visualizing fixed fractals \( \Phi_f \) of multi-valued functions \( \Phi : X \to X \) defined on simple spaces \( X \) (like the plane).

In fact, our initial motivation was to study the duality between micro-fractals, i.e., fixed fractals \( \Phi_f \) of contracting compact-valued functions \( \Phi : X \to X \) on complete metric spaces \( X \) and their dual fixed fractals \( \Phi_{f^{-1}} \), called macro-fractals. Such fractals will be considered in Sections 2 and 4. In Section 6 we describe some algorithms of drawing fixed fractals. The obtained images indicate that macro-fractals have a non-trivial...
fractal structure seen on a macro-scale, in contrast to micro-fractals whose fractal structure can be seen only on the micro-scale.

2. SOME GENERAL FACTS ON MULTI-VALUED FUNCTIONS AND THEIR FIXED FRACtALS

We have defined the fixed fractal $\Phi_Φ$ of a multi-valued function $Φ : X \to X$ on a topological space $X$ as the closure $\Phi^f(Φ)$ of the orbit $Φ^f(Φ) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} Φ^n(Φ)$ of the set $Φ = \{x ∈ X : x ∈ Φ(x)\}$ of fixed points of $Φ$.

It follows that $Φ^0(Φ) = Φ(Φ)$ and by induction, $Φ^n(Φ) = Φ^{n+1}(Φ)$ for every $n \in \omega$. This means that the sequence of sets $(Φ^n(Φ))_{n ∈ \omega}$ is increasing. We shall prove that this sequence converges to the fixed fractal $Φ_Φ$ in the Vietoris topology on the power-set $2^X$.

The Vietoris topology on $2^X$ is generated by the sub-base consisting of the sets $\langle U \rangle^+ = \{A ∈ 2^X : A \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\langle F \rangle^- = \{A ∈ 2^X : A \cap F = \emptyset\}$ where $U$ and $F$ run over open and closed subsets of $X$, respectively.

**Proposition 1.** For any multi-valued function $Φ : X \to X$ on a topological space $X$ its fixed fractal $Φ_Φ$ is the limit of the increasing sequence of sets $(Φ^n(Φ))_{n ∈ \omega}$ in the Vietoris topology on $2^X$.

**Proof.** Given an open neighborhood $U \subset 2^X$ of the fixed fractal $Φ_Φ = \Phi^ω(Φ)$ in the Vietoris topology of $2^X$, we need to find $N ∈ \mathbb{N}$ such that $Φ^n(Φ) ∈ U$ for all $n ≥ N$. We lose no generality assuming that the neighborhood $U$ is of the basic form:

$$U = (X \setminus U_0)^\alpha \cap \langle U_1 \rangle^+ \cap \cdots \cap \langle U_m \rangle^+$$

for some non-empty open sets $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_m$. Since $Φ^ω(Φ) ∈ U$, for every $k ≤ m$ the closure $Φ^ω(Φ)$ of the orbit $Φ^ω(Φ)$ meets the open set $U_k$. Then $Φ^ω(Φ) \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$ and $Φ^n(Φ) \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$ for some number $n_k ∈ \omega$. Then for the number $N = \max\{n_1, \ldots, n_m\}$ and each number $n ≥ N$ the set $Φ^n(Φ)$ contains the union $\bigcup_{k=1}^m Φ^n(Φ)$ and hence meets each set $U_k$, $1 ≤ k ≤ m$. Since $Φ^n(Φ) ∈ U_0$, we conclude that $Φ^n(Φ) ∈ (X \setminus U_0)^\alpha \cap \langle U_1 \rangle^+ \cap \cdots \cap \langle U_m \rangle^+ = U$.

□

**Remark 1.** Proposition 1 suggests a simple algorithm of drawing the fixed fractal $Φ_Φ$ of a multi-valued function $Φ : X \to X$: choose a sufficiently large $n$ and draw the set $Φ^n(Φ)$. This set can be considered as an approximation of the fractal $Φ_Φ$.

A characteristic feature of classical deterministic fractals is their self-similarity (see [5], [6]), which can be equivalently defined as the invariance of the fractal under the action of the multi-valued function.

A subset $A$ of a space $X$ will be called $Φ$-invariant if for every closed subset $F ⊂ X$ if $Φ(A) = A$. We shall show that the for each bi-continuous multi-valued function $Φ : X \to X$ its fixed fractal $Φ_Φ$ is $Φ$-invariant, so self-similar in some sense.

We recall that a multi-valued function $Φ : X → X$ on a topological space $X$ is

- **lower semicontinuous** if for each open subset $U ⊂ X$ the set $Φ^{-1}(U) = \{x ∈ X : Φ(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in $X$;
- **upper semicontinuous** if for every closed subset $F ⊂ X$ the set $Φ^{-1}(F) = \{x ∈ X : Φ(x) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ is closed in $X$;
- **continuous** if $Φ$ is lower and upper semicontinuous.
- **bi-continuous** if $Φ$ and $Φ^{-1}$ are continuous.

It is easy to see that a multi-valued function $Φ : X → X$ is continuous if and only if it is continuous as a single-valued function $Φ : X → 2^X$ into the power-set $2^X$ endowed with the Vietoris topology. In the following lemma by $A$ we denote the closure of a subset $A ⊂ X$ in a topological space $X$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $Φ : X → X$ be a multi-valued function on a topological space $X$, and $A ⊂ X$.

1. If $Φ$ is lower semicontinuous, then $Φ(A) ⊂ Φ(Φ)$;
2. If $Φ^{-1}$ is upper semicontinuous, then $Φ(A) ⊃ Φ(Φ)$;
3. If $Φ$ is bi-continuous, then $Φ(A) = Φ(Φ)$. 

Proof. 1. Assume that $\Phi$ is lower semicontinuous but $\Phi(\bar{A}) \nsubseteq \overline{\Phi(A)}$. Consider the open subset $U = X \setminus \overline{\Phi(A)}$ of $X$. By the lower semicontinuity of $\Phi$, it inverse image $\Phi^{-1}(U)$ is open and intersects the set $A$. Then we can find a point $a \in A \cap \Phi^{-1}(U)$. For this point $a$ we get $\Phi(a) \subset \Phi(A) \subset \overline{\Phi(A)} = X \setminus U$, which is not possible as $a \in \Phi^{-1}(U)$ and hence $\Phi(a) \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

2. Assume that $\Phi^{-1}$ is upper semicontinuous but $\Phi(\bar{A}) \nsubseteq \overline{\Phi(A)}$. Then some point $y \in \overline{\Phi(A)}$ does not belong to $\Phi(\bar{A})$ and hence $\Phi^{-1}(y) \cap \bar{A} = \emptyset$. Now consider the open subset $U = X \setminus \bar{A}$, which contains the set $\Phi^{-1}(y)$. Since the multi-function $\Phi^{-1}$ is upper semi-continuous, the set $V = \{z \in X : \Phi^{-1}(z) \subset U\}$ is open in $X$. Since $y \in V \cap \overline{\Phi(A)}$, there is a point $a \in A$ such that $\Phi(a) \cap V$ contains some point $b$. For this point we get $\Phi^{-1}(b) \subset U$. Then $a \in \Phi^{-1}(b) \cap A \subset U \cap A = \emptyset$, which is a desired contradiction.

3. The third item trivially follows from the first two items. \qed

Since

$$
\Phi(\Phi^*(x)) = \Phi\left( \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi^n(x) \right) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi^{n+1}(x) = \Phi^*(x),
$$

Lemma 1 implies the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.** Let $\Phi : X \to X$ be a multi-valued function on a topological space $X$.

1. If $\Phi$ is lower semicontinuous, then $\Phi(\overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi}$.
2. If $\Phi^{-1}$ is upper semicontinuous, then $\Phi(\overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi}) \supset \overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi}$.
3. If $\Phi$ is bi-continuous, then $\Phi(\overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi}) = \overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi}$.

3. Fixed fractals of contracting multi-functions on complete metric spaces

In this section we consider the fixed fractals of contracting multi-valued functions on complete metric spaces. Such fractals will be called micro-fractals. The obtained results can be considered as a multi-valued generalization of the classical theory of deterministic fractals \[5\], (in spirit of Ethan Akin \[1\], Jan Andres, Jiši Fišer, and Miroslav Rypka \[2, 3\] who also used multi-valued functions in the theory of multi-valued dynamical systems and multi-valued fractals).

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. To measure the distance between subsets $A, B \subset X$ we use the Hausdorff distance

$$
d_H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\} \in [0, \infty]
$$

where $d(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} d(a, b)$. This formula is well-defined only for non-empty subsets $A, B \subset X$. To extend the definition of the Hausdorff distance to the whole power-set $2^X$ we put $d_H(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 0$ and $d_H(\emptyset, A) = d_H(A, \emptyset) = d_H(\emptyset, A) = \infty$ for any non-empty subset $A \subset X$.

A multi-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ on a metric space $(X, d)$ is called *Lipschitz* if it has finite *Lipschitz constant*

$$
\text{Lip}(\Phi) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{d_H(\Phi(x), \Phi(y))}{d(x, y)}.
$$

If $\text{Lip}(\Phi) < 1$, then $\Phi$ is called *contracting*.

It is easy to see that for contracting multi-functions, $\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_n : X \to X$ (seen as subsets of $X \times X$) their union $\Phi = \Phi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Phi_n$ is a contracting multi-function with Lipschitz constant

$$
\text{Lip}(\Phi) \leq \max\{\text{Lip}(\Phi_1), \ldots, \text{Lip}(\Phi_n)\}.
$$

A multi-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ is called *compact-valued* (resp. *finite-valued*) if for each $x \in X$ the set $\Phi(x) \subset X$ is compact (finite) and not empty.

It is easy to see that each contracting compact-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ of a metric space is continuous (as a single-valued function $\Phi : X \to 2^X$ into the power-set $2^X$ endowed with the Vietoris topology).

**Theorem 1.** Let $\Phi : X \to X$ be a contracting compact-valued function on a complete metric space $X$ and let $\lambda = \text{Lip}(\Phi) < 1$.

1. The set $\Phi^*(x)$ of fixed points of $\Phi$ is compact and not empty.
2. If $\Phi$ is finite-valued, then the set $\Phi^*(x)$ is finite.
3. The micro-fractal $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi} = \Phi^*(\Phi^*(x))$ is compact and not empty.
4. $\Phi(\overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi}) = \overline{\mathcal{F}_\Phi}$.
5. $d_H(\Phi^*(x), \Phi^*(x^n)) \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} d_H(\Phi(x^n), B)$ for every $n \in \omega$ and a non-empty compact subset $B \subset X$. 

Proof. It is well-known that the space Comp($X$) $\subset 2^X$ of non-empty compact subsets of $X$, endowed with the Hausdorff metric $d_H$ is a complete metric space, see e.g. [5 2.7]. The contracting compact-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ can be seen as a contracting single-valued function $\Phi : X \to \text{Comp}(X)$. Being contracting, this map is continuous. Consequently, for any non-empty compact subset $K \subset X$ its image $\{\Phi(x) : x \in K\}$ is a compact subset of Comp($X$) and then its union $\Phi(K) = \bigcup_{x \in K} \Phi(x)$ is a compact subset of $X$, see [8 5.1]. This means that the single-valued function

$$\Phi : \text{Comp}(X) \to \text{Comp}(X), \quad \Phi : K \to \Phi(K),$$

is well-defined. We claim that this function $\Phi$ is contracting and its Lipschitz constant is equal to the Lipschitz constant $\lambda < 1$ of the function $\Phi : X \to \text{Comp}(X)$.

We need to check that $d_H(\Phi(A), \Phi(B)) \leq \lambda \cdot d_H(A, B)$ for any compact sets $A, B \in \text{Comp}(X)$. Given any point $x \in \Phi(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} \Phi(a)$, find a point $a \in A$ with $x \in \Phi(a)$. By the compactness of $B$, there is a point $b \in B$ with $d(a, b) = d(a, B)$. Then

$$d(x, \Phi(b)) \leq d(x, \Phi(b)) \leq d_H(\Phi(a), \Phi(b)) \leq \lambda \cdot d(a, b) = \lambda \cdot d(a, B) \leq \lambda \cdot d_H(A, B).$$

By analogy, for each point $y \in \Phi(B)$, we can prove that $d(y, \Phi(A)) \leq \lambda \cdot d_H(A, B)$. This implies that

$$d_H(\Phi(A), \Phi(B)) = \max \{ \max_{x \in \Phi(A)} d(x, \Phi(B)), \max_{y \in \Phi(B)} d(y, \Phi(A)) \} \leq \lambda \cdot d_H(A, B).$$

Therefore, the function $\Phi : \text{Comp}(X) \to \text{Comp}(X)$ is contracting with Lipschitz constant $\lambda < 1$. Now Banach Contracting Principle [6 §3.3] implies that the contracting function $\Phi : \text{Comp}(X) \to \text{Comp}(X)$ has a unique fixed point $K \subset 2^X$ which can be found as the limit of the sequence $\Phi^n(B)$ that starts with any compact set $B \in \text{Comp}(X)$.

Moreover, this sequence converges to its limit $K$ with the velocity

$$d_H(K, \Phi^n(B)) \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} d_H(\Phi(B), B), \quad n \in \omega.$$

Now we are ready to prove the statements (1)–(5) of Theorem 1.

First we prove that the set $*_\Phi$ is not empty. This will follow as soon as we check that

$$d(x_0, *_\Phi) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} d(x_0, \Phi(x_0))$$

for every point $x_0 \in X$. Indeed, by the compactness of $\Phi(x_0)$, there is a point $x_1 \in \Phi(x_0)$ with $d(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, \Phi(x_0))$. Proceeding by induction, for every $n \in \omega$, we can find a point $x_{n+1} \in \Phi(x_n)$ with $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(x_n, \Phi(x_n))$. We claim that so-defined sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is Cauchy. Indeed, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(x_n, \Phi(x_n)) \leq d_H(\Phi(x_{n-1}), \Phi(x_n)) \leq \lambda \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

and by induction,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1) = \lambda^n d(x_0, \Phi(x_0)).$$

Then for any $n < m$ we get

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \lambda^i d(x_0, \Phi(x_0)) < \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} d(x_0, \Phi(x_0)),$$

which witnesses that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is Cauchy and by the completeness of $X$, converges to some point $x_\infty \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x_\infty) \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} d(x_0, \Phi(x_0))$ for every $n \in \omega$. The continuity of the function $\Phi : X \to \text{Comp}(X)$ guarantees that the sequence $\Phi(x_\infty)$ converges to $\Phi(x_\infty)$ in Comp($X$) and

$$x_\infty = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} \in \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(x_n) = \Phi(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n) = \Phi(x_\infty),$$

which means that $x_\infty$ is a fixed point of the multi-function $\Phi$ and hence $*_\Phi \ni x_\infty$ is not empty. Moreover,

$$d(x_0, *_\Phi) \leq d(x_0, x_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} d(x_0, \Phi(x_0)).$$

The continuity of the function $\Phi : X \to \text{Comp}(X)$ implies that the set $*_\Phi = \{x \in X : x \in \Phi(x)\}$ is closed in $X$. The compactness of $*_\Phi$ will follow as soon as we check that $*_\Phi \subset K$. Fix any point $x \in *_\Phi$ and consider the increasing sequence $\Phi^n(x)$ of non-empty compact subsets of $X$. By the Banach Contraction Principle, this sequence tends to the unique fixed point $K$ of the contracting function $\Phi : \text{Comp}(X) \to \text{Comp}(X)$. This implies that the orbit $\Phi^n(x)$ is dense in $K$. Consequently, the orbit $\Phi^n(*_\Phi) \supset \Phi^n(x)$ of the set $*_\Phi$ also is dense.
in $K$, which implies that $*_{\Phi} \subset \Phi^\omega(*_{\Phi}) = K$. This completes the proof of the first statement and simultaneously proves the third, fourth and fifth statements.

It remains to prove that the set $*_{\Phi}$ is finite if the function $\Phi$ is finite-valued. If the compact set $*_{\Phi}$ is infinite, then it contains a non-isolated point $x \in *_{\Phi}$. Since the set $\Phi(x)$ is finite, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $d(x, z) > \varepsilon$ for each $z \in \Phi(x) \setminus \{x\}$. Now choose any point $y \in *_{\Phi}$ with $0 < d(y, x) < \varepsilon/2$. Since $d_H(\Phi(x), \Phi(y)) \leq \lambda \cdot d(y, x)$, for the point $y \in \Phi(y)$ there is a point $z \in \Phi(x)$ with
\[
d(y, z) = d(y, \Phi(x)) \leq d_H(\Phi(y), \Phi(x)) \leq \lambda \cdot d(y, x) < \varepsilon/2.
\]
The point $z$ belongs to the set $\Phi(x)$ and lies on the distance
\[
d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon
\]
from $x$. Now the choice of $\varepsilon$ guarantees that $z = x$ and hence $0 < d(y, x) = d(y, z) \leq \lambda \cdot d(y, x) < d(y, x)$, which is a desirable contradiction. \hfill $\square$

** Remark 2.** Theorem 1(5) suggests a (well-known) algorithm for drawing the micro-fractal $\Phi_{*\Phi} = \Phi^\omega(*_{\Phi})$ of $\Phi$ with a given precision $\varepsilon > 0$: Take any non-empty compact subset $B \subset X$, calculate the Hausdorff distance $d_H(B, \Phi(B))$ and find a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n}d_H(\Phi(B), B) < \varepsilon$. Then draw the set $\Phi^n(B)$. By Theorem 1(5), the set $\Phi^n(B)$ approximates the micro-fractal $\Phi_{*\Phi}$ with precision $d_H(\Phi^n(B), \Phi_{*\Phi}) < \varepsilon$.

4. Fixed Fractals of $*$-Repelling Multi-Functions on Topological Spaces

In this section we define a class of multi-functions whose fixed fractals are called macro-fractals, and like micro-fractals, can be drawn by certain efficient algorithms.

**Definition 1.** A multi-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ on a topological space $X$ is defined to be $*$-repelling if the family
\[
\{\Phi^{n+1}(*_{\Phi}) \setminus \Phi^n(*_{\Phi})\}_{n \in \omega}
\]
is locally finite in $X$ in the sense that each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ that intersects only finitely many sets $\Phi^{n+1}(*_{\Phi}) \setminus \Phi^n(*_{\Phi})$, $n \in \omega$.

Fixed fractals of $*$-repelling multi-valued functions will be called macro-fractals. The structure of macro-fractals is described in the following simple proposition that can be easily derived from the definition of the $*$-repelling property.

**Proposition 3.** Let $\Phi : X \to X$ be a $*$-repelling multi-function on a topological space $X$ such that for every $n \in \omega$ the set $\Phi^n(*_{\Phi})$ is closed in $X$. Then
\begin{enumerate}
\item the fixed fractal $\mathcal{F}_\Phi$ coincides with the orbit $\Phi^\omega(*_{\Phi})$ of the set $*_{\Phi}$ and hence $\Phi(\mathcal{F}_\Phi) = \mathcal{F}_\Phi$;
\item for any compact subset $E \subset X$ there is a number $n \in \omega$ such that $E \cap \mathcal{F}_\Phi = E \cap \Phi^n(*_{\Phi})$.
\end{enumerate}

For a finite-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ on a $T_1$-space $X$ the $*$-repelling property of $\Phi$ is equivalent to the discrete property of its fixed fractal $\mathcal{F}_\Phi$. Let us recall that a topological space $X$ is called a $T_1$-space if each finite subset of $X$ is closed in $X$.

**Proposition 4.** A finite-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ on a $T_1$-space $X$ with finite set $*_{\Phi}$ is $*$-repelling if and only if its fixed fractal $\mathcal{F}_\Phi$ is discrete.

**Proof.** The “if” part is trivial. To prove the “only if” part, assume that the finite-valued function $\Phi$ is $*$-repelling.

Taking into account that the set $*_{\Phi} = \Phi^0(*_{\Phi})$ is finite, by induction, we can prove that for every $n \in \omega$ the set $\Phi^n(*_{\Phi})$ is finite. By the $*$-repelling property of $\Phi$, the family of finite sets
\[
\{\Phi^{n+1}(*_{\Phi}) \setminus \Phi^n(*_{\Phi})\}_{n \in \omega}
\]
is locally finite in $X$ and consequently, its union
\[
\Phi^\omega(*_{\Phi}) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi^{n+1}(*_{\Phi}) \setminus \Phi^n(*_{\Phi})
\]
is a closed discrete subset of $X$. Then the fixed fractal $\mathcal{F}_\Phi = \Phi^\omega(*_{\Phi}) = \Phi^\omega(*_{\Phi})$ also is discrete. \hfill $\square$
Remark 3. Proposition 3 suggests a simple algorithm for drawing the fixed fractal of a $*$-repelling multi-valued function: given a compact subset $E \subset X$ (which can be thought of as a computer screen showing us the piece $E \cap \Phi^*$ of the fixed fractal), find $n \in \omega$ such that $E \cap \Phi^n = E \cap \Phi^{n+1}$ and draw the set $E \cap \Phi^n$ (which coincides with the piece $E \cap \Phi^*$ of the fixed fractal $\Phi^*$).

For expanding multi-functions $\Phi$ (introduced below) the number $n$ can be calculated effectively.

A multi-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ on a complete metric space $X$ is defined to be expanding if its inverse multi-function $\Phi^{-1} : X \to X$ is compact-valued and contracting.

Theorem 2. An expanding multi-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ on a complete metric space $X$ is $*$-repelling if and only if for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the number $\lambda = \text{Lip}(\Phi^{-1}) < 1$.

Proof. Since the multi-function $\Phi$ is expanding, its inverse $\Phi^{-1}$ is contracting and has Lipschitz constant $\lambda = \text{Lip}(\Phi^{-1}) < 1$.

To prove the “only if” part, assume that the expanding multi-function $\Phi : X \to X$ is $*$-repelling. By Theorem 1 the micro-fractal $\Phi^*$ of the contracting multi-function $\Phi^{-1}$ is compact. The $*$-repelling property of $\Phi$ implies that the family $\{\Phi_{k+1}(\Phi^*) \setminus \Phi_k(\Phi^*)\}_{k \in \omega}$ is locally finite. Consequently, the compact set $\Phi^*$ has a neighborhood $U \subset X$ that meets only finitely many sets $\Phi_{k+1}(\Phi^*) \setminus \Phi_k(\Phi^*)$, $k \in \omega$. Then for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the sets $\Phi_{k+1}(\Phi^*) \setminus \Phi_k(\Phi^*)$ and $U$ are disjoint and the number

$$\delta = \inf \{d(x, y) : x \in \Phi_k(\Phi^*) \setminus \Phi_{k-1}(\Phi^*), y \in \Phi^* \setminus U\}$$

is strictly positive by the compactness of the micro-fractal $\Phi^*$.

To prove the “if” part, assume that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the number

$$\delta = \inf \{d(x, y) : x \in \Phi_k(\Phi^*) \setminus \Phi_{k-1}(\Phi^*), y \in \Phi^* \setminus U\}$$

is strictly positive. The $*$-repelling property of $\Phi$ will follow as soon as we check that for every $n \in \omega$ and the neighborhood

$$E_n = \{x \in X : d(x, \Phi^*) \leq \delta/n\}$$

of the micro-fractal $\Phi^*$, we get $E_n \cap \Phi^* = E_n \cap \Phi^{k+n}(\Phi^*)$.

Assume conversely that the intersection $E_n \cap \Phi^*$ contains some point $x \notin E_n \cap \Phi^{k+n}(\Phi^*)$. Then $x \in \Phi^* \setminus \Phi^{k+n}(\Phi^*)$ for some $m > k + n$. Let $x_m = x$ and by reverse induction, for every non-negative $i < m$ choose a point $x_i \in \Phi^*(\Phi^*)$ such that $x_{i+1} \in \Phi(x_i)$. Taking into account that $x_m \notin \Phi^{m-2}(\Phi^*)$ and by induction, $x_i \notin \Phi^{i-1}(\Phi^*)$ for all positive $i \leq m$.

By the compactness of the micro-fractal $\Phi^*$, for every $i \leq m$ there is a point $y_i \in \Phi^*$ such that $d(x_i, y_i) = d(x_i, \Phi^*)$. It follows that

$$\Phi^{-1}(y_i) \subset \Phi^{-1}(\Phi^*) = \Phi^*.$$

Taking into account that the function $\Phi^{-1} : X \to \text{Comp}(X)$ is contracting with contracting constant $\lambda$, we conclude that $d(x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}) = d(x_{i-1}, \Phi^*) \leq d(x_{i-1}, \Phi^{-1}(y_i)) \leq d_H(\Phi^{-1}(x_i), \Phi^{-1}(y_i)) \leq \lambda \cdot d(x_i, y_i)$. Using this inequality, by induction we can prove that

$$d(x_i, y_i) \leq \lambda^{m-i}d(x_m, y_m) = \lambda^{m-i}d(x_m, \Phi^*) \leq \lambda^{m-i}\delta/\lambda^n$$

for every $i \leq m$. In particular, for $i = k$ we get

$$d(x_k, \Phi^*) \leq d(x_k, y_k) \leq \delta \cdot \lambda^{m-k-n} \leq \lambda \cdot \delta < \delta$$

which contradicts the definition of the number $\delta$ because $x_k \in \Phi_k(\Phi^*) \setminus \Phi^{k-1}(\Phi^*)$. □
5. A simple example of a dual pair of micro and macro fractals

In this section we consider a simple example of a multi-valued function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ for which the fixed fractals $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi^{-1}}$ can be found explicitly in analytic form.

The function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ assigns to each point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the doubleton $\Phi(x) = \{3x, 3x - 2\}$. The inverse map $\Phi^{-1}$ is given by the formula $\Phi^{-1}(y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}y, \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2} \right\}$. The sets $\ast_{\Phi} = \ast_{\Phi^{-1}}$ of the fixed points of $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ coincide with the doubleton $\{0, 1\}$.

The multi-function $\Phi^{-1}$ is contracting being the union $\Phi^{-1} = f_0 \cup f_1$ of two contracting functions $f_1 : x \mapsto \frac{1}{3}x$ and $f_2 : x \mapsto \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{1}{2}$, and has Lipschitz constant $\text{Lip}(\Phi) = \frac{1}{3}$.

**Proposition 5.** The fixed fractal $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi^{-1}}$ coincides with the Cantor set

$$\mu = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2x_k 3^{-k} : (x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} \right\}.$$ 

**Proof.** By (the proof of Theorem 1) the micro-fractal $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi^{-1}}$ of the multi-function $\Phi : x \mapsto \{3x, 3x - 2\}$ is the union of two isometric copies of the Cantor set

$$M = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2x_k 3^{-k} : (x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \{0, 1\}^\omega, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k < \infty \right\},$$

well-known in the Asymptotic Topology as the asymptotic counterpart of the Cantor set, see [4], [7].

**Proposition 6.** The fixed fractal $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi}$ coincides with the union $(-M) \cup (M + 1)$.

**Proof.** Observe that $\ast_{\Phi} = \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, the fixed fractal $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi} = \Phi^{\omega}(\{0, 1\}) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is discrete and by Proposition 4 the multi-function $\Phi^{-1}$ is $\ast$-repelling.

By induction, we shall prove that for every $n \in \omega$

$$\Phi^n(0) = \left\{ -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2x_i 3^i : (x_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}^n \right\}.$$ 

This equality holds for $n = 0$. Assume that for some $n \in \omega$ this equality has been proved. Then

$$\Phi^{n+1}(0) = 3 \cdot \Phi^n(0) \cup (3 \cdot \Phi^n(0) - 2) =$$

$$= \left\{ -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2x_i 3^{i+1}, -2 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2x_i 3^{i+1} : (x_i)_{i=0}^{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}^n \right\} =$$

$$= \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2x_i 3^i, -2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2x_i 3^i : (x_i)_{i=1}^{n} \in \{0, 1\}^n \right\} =$$

$$= \left\{ -\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2x_i 3^i : (x_i)_{i=0}^{n} \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1} \right\}.$$ 

Consequently, the orbit $\Phi^{\omega}(0)$ of zero coincides with the set $-M$. By analogy we can prove that $\Phi^{\omega}(1) = 1 + M$. So, $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi} = \Phi^{\omega}((0, 1)) = \Phi^{\omega}(0) \cup \Phi^{\omega}(1) = (-M) \cup (M + 1)$.

**Remark 4.** The coarse characterization of the macro-Cantor set $M$ given in [3] implies that the macro-fractal $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi}$ of the multi-function $\Phi : x \mapsto \{3x, 3x - 2\}$ is coarsely equivalent to $M$, so it is legal to call the macro-fractal $\mathfrak{F}_{\Phi}$ a macro-Cantor set.

6. Algorithms of drawing fixed fractals

In this section we describe some algorithms for drawing fixed fractals of contracting or $\ast$-repelling multi-functions. In fact, for contracting multi-functions such algorithms are well-developed and we refer the reader to [3], [4] for details. So, here we concentrate at the problem of drawing fixed fractals of $\ast$-repelling multi-functions.
From now on we assume that \( \Phi : X \to X \) is a \( \ast \)-repelling finite-valued function on a complete metric space \((X,d)\) whose inverse \( \Phi^{-1} \) is finite-valued and contracting with Lipschitz constant \( \lambda = \text{Lip}(\Phi^{-1}) < 1 \). Then Theorems 1 and Proposition 3 imply that:

1. the set \( *_{\Phi} = *_{\Phi}^{-1} \) is finite and not empty;
2. the micro-fractal \( \mathcal{E}_{\Phi} \) is compact and not empty;
3. the macro-fractal \( \mathcal{E}_{\Phi} \) is discrete in \( X \) and coincides with the orbit \( \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) \) of the finite set \( *_{\Phi} \);
4. there is \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that the finite set \( \Phi^k(*_{\Phi}) \backslash \Phi^{k-1}(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) \) lies on the positive distance

\[
\delta = d(\Phi^{k+1}(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) \backslash \Phi^k(*_{\Phi}), \mathcal{E}_{\Phi}) > 0
\]

from the compact set \( \mathcal{E}_{\Phi} \).

Now we describe some algorithms for drawing the macro-fractal \( \mathcal{E}_{\Phi} \) and show the corresponding pictures for simple expanding maps \( \Phi \).

6.1. Cut-and-Zoom Algorithm. The Cut-and-Zoom Algorithm, draws the intersection \( \mathcal{E}_{\Phi} \cap E \) of the macro-fractal \( \mathcal{E}_{\Phi} \) with a "large" compact subset \( E \subset X \) (which models a computer screen).

Given a compact subset \( E \subset X \), we find \( n \in \omega \) such that

\[
E \subset \{ x \in X : d(x, \mathcal{E}_{\Phi}^{-1}) < \delta / \lambda^n \}
\]

and draw the set \( A = E \cap \Phi^k + n(*_{\Phi}) \). By Theorem 2, the set \( A \) coincides with the intersection \( E \cap \mathcal{E}_{\Phi} \) of the fractal \( \mathcal{E}_{\Phi} \) with the "screen" \( E \).

For drawing the set \( \Phi^{k+n}(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) \) we can use the following recurrent procedure.

**Lemma 2.** For every \( m \in \omega \) the set \( \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) \) coincides with the union \( \bigcup_{i=0}^{m} A_i \) of the sequence \((A_i)_{i=0}^{m}\) defined recursively as \( A_0 = *_{\Phi} \) and \( A_{i+1} = \Phi(A_i) \backslash A_i \) for \( i < m \).

**Proof.** The lemma is trivially true for \( m = 0 \) and \( m = 1 \). Assume that the equality \( \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m} A_i \) is true for some \( m \geq 1 \). Then \( A_{m+1} = \Phi(A_m) \backslash A_m \subset \Phi(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) = \Phi^{m+1}(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) \). To prove the reverse inclusion, fix any point \( x \in \Phi^{m+1}(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) \). If \( x \notin \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) \), then

\[
x \in \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m} A_i \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{m+1} A_i
\]

by the inductive assumption. Now assume that \( x \notin \Phi^{m+1}(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) \) \( \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) \) and choose a point \( z \in \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) \) with \( x \in \Phi(z) \). It follows from \( x \notin \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) \) that \( z \notin \Phi^{m-1}(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) \) and \( x \notin A_m \). By the inductive assumption,

\[
z \in \Phi^m(*_{\Phi}) \backslash \Phi^{m-1}(\Phi^m(*_{\Phi})) = \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{m} A_i \right) \backslash \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} A_i \right) \subset A_m.
\]

Consequently, \( x \in \Phi(z) \backslash A_m \subset \Phi(A_m) \backslash A_m = A_{m+1} \) and hence \( x \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{m+1} A_i \).

**Remark 5.** In fact, even with help of the recursive procedure suggested by Lemma 2, drawing the set \( \Phi^n(*_{\Phi}) \) for large \( n \) is not an easy task. For a typical finite-valued function \( \Phi \) the cardinality of the set \( \Phi^n(*_{\Phi}) \) growth exponentially with growth of \( n \). As a result, for relatively large \( n \) the set \( \Phi^n(*_{\Phi}) \) cannot be directly drawn on computer because of technical restrictions (the bounded amount of computer memory). This problem can be (partially) resolved by application of probability algorithm for drawing the set \( \Phi^n(*_{\Phi}) \).

According to this algorithm one draws a huge number of random sequences \((x_i)_{i=0}^{n} \) where \( x_0 \) is a random point of the set \( *_{\Phi} \) and for every \( i < n \), \( x_{i+1} \) is a random point of the set \( \Phi(x_i) \). Then with high probability (which can be effectively estimated) the union of such sequences will coincide with the finite set \( \Phi^n(*_{\Phi}) \). With even higher probability this union will (visually) approximate the set \( \Phi^n(*_{\Phi}) \).

In fact, all images of macro-fractals presented in this paper (except for Cut-and-Zoom images) were generated by a combined algorithm, which draws (with help of random algorithm) the orbits \( \Phi^m(x) \) of points \( x \in \Phi^n(*_{\Phi}) \) for relatively small \( m \) and \( n \). A program for drawing certain macro-fractals (with variable parameters) is available at [http://testimages.some.com](http://testimages.some.com) and we encourage the reader to experiment and produce his/her own macro-fractal images using this application.
6.2. **Coloring macro-fractals.** Observe that for a multi-valued function $\Phi : X \to X$ with finite set $*\Phi = \{ x \in X : x \in \Phi(x) \}$ of fixed points, the fixed fractal $\mathfrak{H}_{\Phi} = \Phi^\omega(*\Phi)$ can be decomposed into a finite union of closures of the orbit of individual fixed points $x \in *\Phi$. To see this structure of the fixed fractal in the following pictures of macro-fractals we shall color the orbits of fixed points by different colors. For micro-fractals such coloring is inexpedient as the orbit of each fixed point is dense in the micro-fractal.

Also, for some macro-fractals their black-and-white pictures show an interesting interference effects which disappear on their color counterparts; see Figures 34 and 33 or 27 and 26.

**Example 1** (The dual pair of fractal crosses). Consider the multi-function $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ assigning to each point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ of the complex plane the 5-element subset $\Phi(z) = 3z - 2F$ where $F = \{0, 1, -1, i, -i\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. It is clear that the inverse multi-function $\Phi^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\Phi^{-1} : w \mapsto \frac{1}{3}w + \frac{2}{3}F$, is contracting with Lipschitz constant $\lambda = \text{Lip}(\Phi^{-1}) = \frac{1}{3} < 1$ and $*\Phi = *\Phi^{-1} = F$.

So, the fixed fractal $\mathfrak{H}_{\Phi^{-1}}$ of the contracting multi-function $\Phi^{-1}$ is a micro-fractal called the **Micro-Fractal Cross**, drawn in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Micro-Fractal Cross.](image)

It follows from the inclusions $F \subset \mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ that the fixed fractal $\mathfrak{H}_{\Phi}$ is discrete (being a subspace of the discrete subspace $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ of the complex plane). By Proposition[4] the multi-function $\Phi$ is $*$-repelling. Consequently, its fixed fractal $\mathfrak{H}_{\Phi}$ is a macro-fractal, which will be called the **Macro-Fractal Cross**. Observe that the 20-element set $\Phi(*\Phi) \setminus *\Phi$ lies on the distance

$$\delta = d(\Phi(*\Phi) \setminus *\Phi, \mathfrak{H}_{\Phi^{-1}}) = 1$$

from the Micro-Fractal Cross $\mathfrak{H}_{\Phi^{-1}}$. 
Now for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the neighborhood

$$E_n = \{ x \in X : d(x, \star_{\Phi^{-1}}) < 3^n \}$$

of the micro-fractal $\star_{\Phi^{-1}}$. By Theorem 2, the intersection $E_n \cap \star_{\Phi}$ coincides with the set $E_n \cap \Phi^{n+1}(*_{\Phi})$, which contains $\leq 5^{n+1} = |\Phi^{n+1}(*_{\Phi})|$ points.

Figure 2 shows the piece $E_n \cap \star_{\Phi}$ of the Macro-fractal Cross $\star_{\Phi}$ for $n = 9$. 

Figure 2. The Macro-fractal Cross. Cut-and-Zoom image.
6.3. Non-linear images of macro-fractals. The problem with the Cut-and-Zoom Algorithm is that it shows only a part of the macro-fractal. To see the whole fractal at once, we can apply a suitable transformation to the ambient space \( X \) in order to fit it into the computer screen.

To formalize this approach, let us fix some continuous map \( i : X \to E \) of the complete metric space \( (E, \rho) \) called the screen. On the screen \( E \) we would like to see the image \( i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi) \) of the macro-fractal \( \bar{\Phi}_\Phi \). The metric \( \rho \) of the screen \( E \) induces the Hausdorff distance \( \rho_H \) on the power-set \( 2^E \) of all subsets of \( E \).

**Proposition 7.** For every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
\rho_H(i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi), i(\Phi^N(\ast_\Phi))) < \varepsilon
\]

for all \( n \geq N \).

**Proof.** By the continuity of the map \( i : X \to E \), the set \( i(\Phi^n(\ast_\Phi)) \) is dense in the image \( i(\Phi^\ast(\ast_\Phi)) = i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi) \) of the macro-fractal \( \bar{\Phi}_\Phi \). Then using the compactness of the metric space \( (E, \rho) \) we can find a finite subset \( F \subset i(\Phi^\ast(\ast_\Phi)) \) which is an \( \varepsilon \)-net for \( i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi) \), in the sense that for each point \( x \in i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi) \) there is a point \( y \in F \) with \( \rho(x, y) < \varepsilon \). Then \( \rho_H(F, i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi)) < \varepsilon \).

The finite set \( F \) lies in the image \( i(\Phi^N(\ast_\Phi)) \) for some \( N \in \omega \). Then for every \( n \geq N \), the inclusions

\[
F \subset i(\Phi^N(\ast_\Phi)) \subset i(\Phi^\ast(\ast_\Phi))
\]

imply that

\[
\rho_H(i(\Phi^N(\ast_\Phi)), i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi)) \leq \rho_H(F, i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi)) < \varepsilon.
\]

\( \square \)

According to Proposition 7 for a large \( n \) the image \( i(\Phi^N(\ast_\Phi)) \) of the set \( \Phi^\ast(\ast_\Phi) \) approximates the image \( i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi) \) in the Hausdorff metric \( \rho_H \), so it approximates the macro-fractal also in the visual sense. In the next subsections we shall consider images of the macro-fractal \( \bar{\Phi}_\Phi \) on various screens \( E \).

6.4. Spherical images of macro-fractals. Let us observe that Proposition 7 says that the image \( i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi) \) can be approximated by the images \( i(\Phi^N(\ast_\Phi)) \) of the sets \( \Phi^\ast(\ast_\Phi) \) for sufficiently large \( n \) but does not say how large this \( n \) should be taken to get an approximation with a given precision.

This can be easily done for the screen \( E \) which coincides with the one-point compactifications of \( X \). In this case we should assume that \( X \) is a separable locally compact space, so its one-point compactification \( E = X \cup \{\infty\} \) is compact and metrizable.

We recall that the one-point compactification of a locally compact space \( (X, \tau_X) \) is the union \( \alpha X = X \cup \{\infty\} \) of \( X \) and some point \( \infty \notin X \), endowed with the topology

\[
\tau_{\alpha X} = \tau_X \cup \{\alpha x \setminus K : K \text{ is a compact subset of } X\}.
\]

Since the space \( E = \alpha X \) is metrizable, we can fix a metric \( \rho \) generating the topology of the compact metrizable space \( E \) and consider the identity embedding \( i : X \to E \). This embedding \( i : X \to E = \alpha X \) will be called the spherical embedding of \( X \) into its Riemann sphere \( E = \alpha X \).

**Algorithm of spherical drawing macro-fractals.** To draw the spherical image \( i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi) \) of the macro-fractal \( \bar{\Phi}_\Phi \) with precision \( \varepsilon > 0 \) we should make the following steps:

1. find a compact subset \( K \subset X \) such that \( X \setminus K \) lies in the \( \varepsilon \)-neighborhood \( O_\varepsilon(\infty) \) of the compactifying point \( \infty \);
2. find \( m \in \omega \) such that \( K \subset \{x \in X : d(x, \bar{\Phi}_\Phi^{-1}) \leq \delta/\lambda^m\} \);
3. draw the set \( A = \{\infty\} \cup i(\Phi^{k+m}(\ast_\Phi)) \).

This set \( A \) will approximate the image \( i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi) \) with precision \( \rho_E(A, i(\bar{\Phi}_\Phi)) < \varepsilon \). In this algorithm, \( \lambda = \text{Lip}(\Phi^{-1}) < 1 \) and \( \delta = d(\Phi^{k}(\ast_\Phi) \setminus \Phi^{k-1}(\ast_\Phi), \bar{\Phi}_\Phi^{-1}) > 0 \).
If $X$ is a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, then its one-point compactification $\alpha X$ can be identified with the $n$-dimensional sphere $S^n_r = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| = r \}$ of some radius $r$. The embedding of $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ into the sphere $S^n_r$ is given by the inverse stereographic projection $s_\infty : \mathbb{R}^n \to S^n_r$, which assigns to each point $\vec{x} = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the unique point $\vec{y} = (y_0, \ldots, y_n) \in S^n$ that lies on the segment connecting the points $(0, \ldots, 0, r)$ and $(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}, 0)$. The coordinates of the vector $\vec{y}$ can be calculated by the formula:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} \frac{2r^2}{r^2 + \|x\|^2} \cdot x_i & \text{if } i < n \\ \frac{\|x\|^2 - r^2}{\|x\|^2 + r^2} \cdot r & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Figure 3. Macro-Fractal Cross. Spherical image.
The spherical embedding allows us to look at the structure of the (image of the) macro-fractal at a neighborhood of infinity. Figure 4 shows the neighborhood of infinity on the spherical image of Macro-Fractal Cross.

**Figure 4.** The spherical image of the Macro-Fractal Cross at a neighborhood of infinity.
6.5. **Semi-spherical images of macro-fractals.** In this section we consider another type on the transformation of the macro-fractals called the semi-spherical image. It is defined if $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ is an Euclidean space. For this transformation, the screen $E$ is the lower semi-sphere of the sphere $S^n_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| = r\}$ of radius $r$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The semi-spherical reflection $s_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to S^n_r$ assigns to each point $x = (x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the unique point $y = (y_0, \ldots, y_n) \in S^n_r$ on the segment connecting the center of the sphere with the point $(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}, -r)$. The coordinates of the vector $y$ can be found by the formula:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} \frac{rx_i}{\sqrt{r^2 + \|x\|^2}} & \text{if } i < n \\ \frac{-r^2}{\sqrt{r^2 + \|x\|^2}} & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

The image $s_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ under the semi-spherical projection coincides with the lower semi-sphere $\{(y_0, \ldots, y_n) \in S^n_r : y_n < 0\}$. We can next project this semi-sphere on the disk $D_{2r} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < 2r\}$ using the stereographic projection $s_{\infty}^{-1} : S^n_r \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0, r)\} \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

The semi-spherical image of the Macro-Fractal Cross is drawn in Figures 5.

![Figure 5. The Macro-Fractal Cross. Semi-spherical image.](image-url)
6.6. **Projective images of macro-fractals.** The projective image of a macro-fractal $\Phi \subset X = \mathbb{R}^n$ uses the projective space $\mathbb{RP}_n^r$ as a screen $E$. The projective space $\mathbb{RP}_n^r$ is the quotient space of the sphere $S^n_r$ of radius $r$ under the quotient map $q : S^n_r \to \mathbb{RP}_n^r$, which identifies opposite points of the sphere. The composition $\pi = q \circ s_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{RP}_n^r$ is called the *projective reflection* of the space $X = \mathbb{R}^n$. By Proposition 7, the image $\pi(\Phi)$ of the macro-fractal $\Phi$ can be approximated by the images of the sets $\pi(\Phi_m(\ast \Phi))$ for large $m$.

In two-dimensional case the projective plane $\mathbb{RP}_2^2$ is locally diffeomorphic to the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. So, to see the behavior of the macro-fractal $\Phi$ at infinity, we can apply a projective transformation to the plane such that the line of horizon transforms into a usual line on the plane and then the behavior of the macro-fractal at infinity becomes visible as the behavior of its projective image near the image of the horizon line.

The projective image of the Macro-fractal Cross is drawn in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. The Macro-Fractal Cross. Projective image.](image-url)
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8. Appendix: Gallery of Micro and Macro Fractals

8.1. A dual fractal to the Sierpiński triangle. Consider an equilateral triangle with vertices \( c_1, c_2, c_3 \) in the complex plane \( \mathbb{C} \). Let \( F = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\} \) and consider the multi-valued function

\[
\Phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi : z \mapsto 2z - F
\]

with inverse multi-function

\[
\Phi^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi^{-1} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}F,
\]

which is contracting with Lipschitz constant \( \text{Lip}(\Phi^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \). So, \( \Phi^{-1} \) is a macro-fractal, dual to the micro-fractal \( \Phi \), called the Sierpiński triangle. A Sierpiński equilateral triangle is drawn on Figure 7. Various images of its dual macro-fractal are shown on Figures 8–12.

![Figure 7. Sierpiński triangle.](image-url)
Figure 8. A large piece of the dual fractal to the Sierpiński triangle.
Figure 9. The dual fractal to the Sierpiński triangle. Spherical image.
Figure 10. The dual fractal to the Sierpiński triangle.
Spherical image at a neighborhood of infinity.
Figure 11. The dual fractal to the Sierpiński triangle. Semi-spherical image.
Figure 12. The dual fractal to the Sierpiński triangle. Projective image.
8.2. **Dual Fractal to the Koch curve.** The Koch Curve is the micro-fractal $\mathfrak{K}_{\Phi^{-1}}$ of the contracting multifunction

$$\Phi^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi^{-1} : z \mapsto \{3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e^{-i\pi/3}(\bar{z} - 3), -3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}e^{i\pi/3}(\bar{z} + 3)\},$$

which is inverse to the expanding multi-valued function

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi : z \mapsto \{3 + \sqrt{3}e^{-i\pi/3}(\bar{z} - 3), -3 + \sqrt{3}e^{i\pi/3}(\bar{z} + 3)\}$$

where $\bar{z} = x - iy$ conjugated complex number to $z = x + iy$.

*Figure 13. Koch Curve.*
Figure 14. The dual Fractal to the Koch Curve. Cut-and-Zoom image.
Figure 15. The dual fractal to the Koch Curve.
Spherical image.
Figure 16. The dual fractal to the Koch curve. Spherical image at a neighborhood of the infinity.
Figure 17. The dual fractal to the Koch Curve.
Semi-spherical image.
Figure 18. The dual fractal to the Koch Curve. Projective image.
8.3. Micro- and Macro-Fractal Snowflakes with 6 fixed points. Let

\[ F_6 = \{ e^{i\pi k/3} : 0 \leq k < 6 \} \]

be the 6-element set of vertices of a regular 6-gon on the complex plane. Consider the expanding multi-valued function

\[ \Phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi : z \mapsto 3z - 2F_6 \]

whose inverse

\[ \Phi^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi^{-1} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}F_6 \]

is a contracting multi-valued function. The dual fractals \( \Phi_{\Phi} \) and \( \Phi_{\Phi^{-1}} \) are called the Macro-Fractal and Micro-Fractal Snowflakes with 6 fixed points, respectively. Their images are drawn on Figures 19–24.
Figure 20. Macro-Fractal Snowflake with 6 fixed points.
Cut-and-Zoom Image.
Figure 21. Macro-Fractal Snowflake with 6 fixed points. Spherical image.
Figure 22. Macro-Fractal Snowflake with 6 fixed points.
Spherical image at a neighborhood of infinity.
Figure 23. Macro-Fractal Snowflake with 6 fixed points.
  Semi-spherical image.
Figure 24. Macro-Fractal Snowflake with 6 fixed points.
Projective image.
8.4. Micro- and Macro-Fractal Snowflakes with 7 fixed points. Let
\[ F_7 = \{0\} \cup F_6 = \{0\} \cup \{e^{\pi k/3} : 0 \leq k < 6\} \]
be the 7-element set consisting of the center and the vertices of a regular 6-gon on the complex plane. Consider the expanding multi-valued function
\[ \Phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi : z \mapsto 3z - 2F_7 \]
whose inverse
\[ \Phi^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi^{-1} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}F_7 \]
is a contracting multi-valued function. The dual fractals \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi^{-1} \) are called the Macro-Fractal and Micro-Fractal Snowflakes with 7 fixed points, respectively. Their images are drawn on Figures 25–31.

Figure 25. Micro-Fractal Snowflake with 7 fixed points.
Figure 26. Macro-Fractal Snowflake with 7 fixed points. Cut-and-Zoom image.
Figure 27. Micro-Fractal Snowflake with 7 fixed points. Interference effects on its black-and-white picture.
Figure 28. Micro-Fractal Snowflake with 7 fixed points. Spherical image.
Figure 29. Micro-Fractal Snowflake with 7 fixed points. Spherical image at a neighborhood of infinity.
Figure 30. Micro-Fractal Snowflake with 7 fixed points.
Semi-spherical image.
Figure 31. Micro-Fractal Snowflake with 7 fixed points. Projective image.
8.5. Sierpiński Micro- and Macro-Carpets. Consider the 8-element subset

\[ F_8 = \{-1, 0, 1\}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\} \]

of the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and two multi-valued functions

\[ \Phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi : z \mapsto 3z - 2F_8 \]

whose inverse

\[ \Phi^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi^{-1} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}F_8. \]

The dual fractals \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi^{-1} \) are called the Sierpinski Micro-Carpet and Macro-Carpet, respectively. Their images are drawn on Figures 32–38.

![Sierpinski Micro-Carpet](image)

**Figure 32.** Sierpński Micro-Carpet.
Figure 33. Sierpiński Macro-Carpet.
Cut-and-Zoom color image
Figure 34. Sierpiński Macro-Carpet.
Interference effects on its black-and-white picture.
Figure 35. Sierpiński Macro-Carpet.
Spherical image.
Figure 36. Sierpiński Macro-Carpet.
Spherical image at neighborhood of infinity.
Figure 37. Sierpiński Macro-Carpet.
Semi-spherical image.
Figure 38. Sierpiński Macro-Carpet. Projective image.

T. Banakh: Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Ukraine, and Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Poland
E-mail address: t.o.banakh@gmail.com

N. Novosad: Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics, Naukova 3b, Lviv, Ukraine
E-mail address: natalia.kasper@gmail.com