Green’s functions of electrons in group-VI dichalcogenides in a magnetic field
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Abstract. Closed expression for the Green’s function of the stationary two-dimensional Schrodinger equation for an electron in group-VI dichalcogenides in the presence of a magnetic field is obtained in terms of the Whittaker functions. The resulting Green’s function operator is a $8 \times 8$ matrix consisting of four block-diagonal $2 \times 2$ matrices, each of them characterized by different values of valley index and electron spin. The obtained results are used to calculate local density of states induced by a neutral delta-like impurity in the presence of a magnetic field within the lowest Born approximation. The spatial electron density experiences either the Friedel-like oscillations or an exponential decay as a function of the distance from the impurity.
1. Introduction

Some years ago Dodonov et al. [1] calculated the stationary Green’s function of a free 2D electron in a homogeneous magnetic field and obtained analytical results in terms of the Whittaker functions. Similar problems were recently investigated for low-dimensional systems [2] [3] [4], where Green’s functions were obtained as infinite sums of Laguerre polynomials. Horing and Liu [5] obtained a propagator as an infinite sum and, alternatively, as the second solution of the Bessel wave equation. A closed form of the propagator in monolayer graphene in terms of the confluent hypergeometric function was obtained by Piatkovskii and Gusynin [6] and Gamayun et al. [7]. The present authors [8] calculated the propagator in monolayer and bilayer graphene and obtained results in terms of Whittaker functions. Ardenghi et al. [9] calculated the Green’s function of graphene taking into account corrections caused by the coherent potential approximation, and Gutierrez-Rubio et al. [10] calculated numerically magnetic susceptibility of graphene and MoS$_2$ within the Green’s function formalism.

Recently there has been increased interest in the synthesis as well as in experimental and theoretical properties of materials having two-dimensional hexagonal lattices as, e.g. MoS$_2$, and other group-VI dichalcogenides. There are many similarities between group-VI dichalcogenides and monolayer graphene, but there are two significant differences. First, the presence of two different atoms in the crystal lattice in group-VI dichalcogenides leads to the energy gap $1.0 - 1.6$ eV in the electron band structure. Second, in group-VI dichalcogenides there exists strong spin-orbit coupling, originating from the metal $d$-orbitals. As a result, the conduction band-edge state remains spin degenerate at $K$ and $K'$ points of the Brillouin zone (BZ), whereas the valence-band-edge state splits into two subbands separated by $2\lambda \in (0.1 - 0.5)$ eV.

The energy band structure of group-VI dichalcogenides is well parameterized by the six-band tight-binding Hamiltonian [11]. However, a simpler quasi two band model can be obtained within the $k \cdot p$ theory [12]. The resulting $8 \times 8$ Hamiltonian consists of four $2 \times 2$ blocks corresponding to different combinations of the electron spin and the valley indexes. After symmetrization and adjustment of the energy scales, each $2 \times 2$ block of the $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian is analogous to the $2 \times 2$ Hamiltonian of electrons in monolayer graphene with a nonzero energy gap.

In the present paper we calculate the electron Green’s function operator for group-VI dichalcogenides in the presence of a magnetic field. The Green’s function is a $8 \times 8$ operator consisting of four block-diagonal $2 \times 2$ operators. The elements of the Green’s function matrix are calculated in analogy to that outlined in [8] for gapless monolayer graphene. For group-VI dichalcogenides these elements are also expressed in terms of the Whittaker functions. The present calculations are extensions of the results in [8] for nonzero energy gap and presence of the spin-orbit interactions. To our knowledge, the expression for Green’s function for group-VI dichalcogenides in terms of the Whittaker functions has not been published. The Green’s function is applied to a calculation of the electron density induced by a delta-like potential. As a result, the Friedel-like oscillations in group-VI dichalcogenides in a magnetic field are obtained. Early references to the Friedel oscillations in 3D electron gas in a magnetic field are [13] [14]. The Friedel oscillations in 2D electron gas in a magnetic field were briefly treated by Simion and Giuliani [15] for a simple parabolic energy band.
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2. Green’s function

In the quasi two band $k \cdot p$ theory the Hamiltonian for group-VI dichalcogenides materials in the vicinity of $K$ and $K’$ points of the BZ is [12]

$$\hat{H} = a_l t (\tau \hat{\sigma}_x k_x + \hat{\sigma}_y k_y) + \Delta \hat{\sigma}_z - \lambda \tau \hat{\sigma}_z - \frac{\lambda}{2} \hat{s}_z,$$

where $k$ is the wave vector, $\tau = \pm 1$ is the valley index, $\hat{\sigma}$ are the Pauli matrices, $a$ is the lattice constant, $t$ is the effective hopping integral, $\Delta$ is the energy gap, $\lambda$ is spin splitting at the valence band edge caused by the spin-orbit interaction, and $\hat{s}_z$ is the Pauli matrix for electron spin. The Hamiltonian (1) describes the $8 \times 8$ operator with four uncoupled $2 \times 2$ blocks, and each block is characterized by a different combination of $\tau$ and $s_z$. The material parameters entering (1) are listed in [12] and quoted in Table 1.

It is convenient to rewrite the Hamiltonian (1) in a more symmetric form

$$\hat{H} = a_l t (\tau \hat{\sigma}_x k_x + \hat{\sigma}_y k_y) + g \hat{\sigma}_z + E_{s_z},$$

in which $g = \Delta/2 - E_{s_z}$ is half of the energy gap and, for given $s_z = \pm 1$ and $\tau$, the energy $E_{s_z} = s_z \tau \lambda/2$ shifts the zero of energy scale. The symmetric form of the Hamiltonian allows us to apply a similar methodology for Green’s function calculation as that used for gapless and gapped graphene [6, 7, 8]. Energies $g$ and $E_{s_z}$ take two values: $g = \Delta/2 \pm \lambda/2$ and $E_{s_z} = \pm \lambda/2$, respectively. For all materials listed in Table 1 there is: $\Delta \gg \lambda$, so that the energy gaps for both spin orientations are close to each other. The eigenenergies of the Hamiltonian in (2) are

$$E_{k,n} = \pm \sqrt{(a_l t k)^2 + g^2 + E_{s_z}}.$$

In the presence of a magnetic field the Hamiltonian (2) reads

$$\hat{H} = a_l t (\tau \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{\pi}_x + \hat{\sigma}_y \hat{\pi}_y) + g \hat{\sigma}_z + E_{s_z},$$

where $\hat{\pi} = \hat{p} + e \hat{A}$, $\hat{p}$ is the electron’s momentum, $\hat{A}$ is the vector potential, and the charge $e > 0$. In the Landau gauge: $\hat{A} = (-By, 0)$. Let $L = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$ be the magnetic radius and $\xi = y/L - k_x L$. Defining the standard raising and lowering operators for the harmonic oscillator: $\hat{a} = (\xi + \partial/\partial \xi)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\hat{a}^+ = (\xi - \partial/\partial \xi)/\sqrt{2}$, the Hamiltonian for $\tau = \pm 1$ becomes

$$\hat{H} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} g & -\hbar \Omega \hat{a} \\ -\hbar \Omega \hat{a}^+ & -g \end{array} \right) + E_{s_z},$$

where $\Omega = \sqrt{2a_l t}/(\hbar L)$. The eigen-energies and eigen-states of $\hat{H}$ are

$$E_{nk_{x,y},s_z} = \pm \sqrt{\hbar^2 \Omega^2 + g^2 + E_{s_z}} \equiv \eta E + E_{s_z}.$$
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\[ \psi_{nk\sigma}(\rho) = \frac{e^{ik_{xx}x}}{\sqrt{\pi(2-\delta_{n,0})N}} \left( \begin{array}{c} -\hbar\Omega \sqrt{\n N} \phi_{n-1}(\xi) \\ (E-g)\phi_n(\xi) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi_n^u \\ \psi_n^l \end{array} \right) , \]  
\[ \psi_{nk\sigma}(-\rho) = \frac{e^{ik_{xx}x}}{\sqrt{\pi(2-\delta_{n,0})N}} \left( \begin{array}{c} (E-g)\phi_n(\xi) \\ h\Omega \sqrt{\n N} \phi_{n-1}(\xi) \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi_n^u \\ \psi_n^l \end{array} \right) , \]

where \( \eta = \pm 1 \) labels the energy band, \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \) is the Landau number, \( N = \sqrt{2E(E-g)} \), \( \rho = (x, y) \), \( \phi_n(\xi) = \sqrt{L_i}\chi_n(\xi)e^{-\xi^2/2} \), where \( \chi_n(\xi) \) are the Hermite polynomials, and \( C_n = 1/\sqrt{2n!}\pi^{1/2} \). Energies and wave functions for \( \tau = -1 \) are given below.

For \( \tau = +1 \) and both \( s_x \) orientations the stationary Green's function of the Hamiltonian in (2) is the following 2 \times 2 matrix

\[ \hat{G}(\rho, \rho', Z) = \sum_{n,k,\eta} \frac{\psi^\dagger_{nk\eta} \psi_{nk\eta}^u}{E_{nk\eta} - Z} \equiv \left( \begin{array}{cc} \hat{G}^{uu} & \hat{G}^{ul} \\ \hat{G}^{lu} & \hat{G}^{ll} \end{array} \right) . \]

For \( \hat{G}^{uu}(Z) \) the summation over \( \eta = \pm 1 \) gives

\[ \hat{G}^{uu}(Z) = \sum_{n,k_x} \left( \frac{\psi^u_n \psi^u_{n+1}}{E - Z} + \frac{\psi^u_n \psi^u_{n-1}}{-E - Z} \right) , \]

in which \( Z' = Z - E_{s_x} \), \( E \) is given in (3), and \( \psi^u_n, \psi^l_n \) are defined in (7) \& (8). The elements \( \hat{G}^{ll}, \hat{G}^{lu}, \hat{G}^{ul} \) are obtained in an analogous way. Calculating the sum in (10) we have

\[ G^{uu}(\rho, \rho', Z) = (Z' + g) \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ik_{xx}(x-x')}\phi_{n-1}(\xi)\phi_{n-1}(\xi')^*}{\sqrt{\pi(2-\delta_{n,0})(h^2\Omega^2n + g^2 - Z'^2)}} dk_x , \]

\[ G^{ll}(\rho, \rho', Z) = (Z' + g) \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ik_{xx}(x-x')}\phi_{n}(\xi)\phi_{n}(\xi')^*}{\sqrt{\pi(2-\delta_{n,0})(h^2\Omega^2n + g^2 - Z'^2)}} dk_x , \]

\[ G^{ul}(\rho, \rho', Z) = -\sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ik_{xx}(x-x')}\phi_{n-1}(\xi)\phi_{n}(\xi')^*}{\sqrt{\pi(2-\delta_{n,0})(h^2\Omega^2n + g^2 - Z'^2)}} dk_x . \]

To integrate over \( k_x \) we use the identity, see (10)

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} H_m(x + y)H_n(x + z) dx = 2^n \sqrt{\pi} m! z^{n'}L_{n'}^m(-2yz) , \]

where \( n' = n - m, m \leq n \), and \( L_{n'}^m(t) \) are the associated Laguerre polynomials. Performing the integration over \( k_x \) we obtain

\[ \hat{G}^{uu}(\rho, \rho', Z) = \frac{(Z + \bar{g})e^{-r^2/2+i\chi}}{2\pi\hbar^2 L^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L_n^0(r^2)}{n + 1 + g^2 - Z^2} , \]

\[ \hat{G}^{ll}(\rho, \rho', Z) = \frac{(Z + \bar{g})e^{-r^2/2+i\chi}}{2\pi\hbar^2 L^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L_n^0(r^2)}{n + g^2 - Z^2} , \]

\[ \hat{G}^{ul}(\rho, \rho', Z) = \frac{r_{cd}e^{-r^2/2+i\chi}}{2\pi\hbar^2 L^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L_{n-1}^1(r^2)}{n + g^2 - Z^2} , \]

where \( Z = Z'/(\hbar\Omega), \bar{g} = g/(\hbar\Omega), r^2 = (\rho - \rho')^2/(2L^2) \) and \( \chi = (x - x')(y + y')/2L^2 \) is the gauge-dependent phase factor. In (17) we defined \( r_{ul} = [(y - y') + i(x - x')]/(\sqrt{2}L) \). For \( \hat{G}^{lu} \) one obtains expression analogous to that for \( \hat{G}^{ul} \) in (17) but with \( r_{ul} \) replaced by \( r_{lu} = [(y' - y) + i(x - x')]/(L\sqrt{2}) \).
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In [13]–[17] the summation over levels \( n \) is performed with the use of formulas 6.12.4 and 6.9.4 in [17]
\[
t^{-\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L_n(t)}{n+a-\beta} = \frac{\gamma(a-\beta)\gamma(a+1-\beta)}{\gamma(a+1-\beta)} W_{\beta/2+1/a,\beta/2}(t),
\]
where \( \gamma(a,c;t) \) is the second solution of the confluent hypergeometric equation [17], and \( W_{\kappa,\mu}(t) \) is the Whittaker function. The series in [15] converges for \( t > 0 \) and \( \beta > -1/2 \). On combining equations [15]–[19] we set \( t = r^2 \) and, for \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) or \( \hat{G}^{ll} \), we take \( \beta = 0 \) and \( a = 1 + \bar{g}^2 - \bar{Z}^2 \) or \( a = \bar{g}^2 - \bar{Z}^2 \), respectively. Then we find
\[
\hat{G}^{uu}(\rho, \rho', z) = \frac{(-\bar{Z}^2 + 1 + \bar{g}^2)W_{\bar{Z}^2 - \bar{g}^2 - 1/2,0}(r^2)}{2\pi \hbar LL^2 |\rho|},
\]
\[
\hat{G}^{ll}(\rho, \rho', z) = \frac{(-\bar{Z}^2 + \bar{g}^2)W_{\bar{Z}^2 - \bar{g}^2 + 1/2,0}(r^2)}{2\pi \hbar LL^2 |\rho|}.
\]

For \( \hat{G}^{ul} \) there is \( \beta = -1 \), which is beyond the convergence range of the series in [18]. However, \( \hat{G}^{ul} \) can be expressed as a combination of \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) and \( \hat{G}^{ll} \) functions by using the identity \( L_n(t) = n[L_{n-1}(t) - L_n(t)]/n^2 \), see [10], which gives
\[
\hat{G}^{ul}(\rho, \rho', z) = \frac{r_u}{r_l^2} (\bar{Z} - \bar{g}) (\hat{G}^{uu} - \hat{G}^{ll}),
\]
and \( r_u \) is defined in [17]. Since the Green’s function is a hermitian operator, there is \( \langle \rho | (H - E)^{-1} | \rho' \rangle = \hat{G}(\rho', \rho, E) \). By interchanging \( \rho \) with \( \rho' \) in [20]–[22] one finds: \( \hat{G}^{zz}(\rho, \rho') = \hat{G}^{zz}(\rho', \rho) \) with \( c \in \{u, l\} \), and \( \hat{G}^{ul}(\rho, \rho') = \hat{G}^{ll}(\rho', \rho)^* \). This verifies the hermiticity of the Green’s function in [20]–[22].

Expressing the Green’s function in terms of the Whittaker functions \( W_{\kappa,0}(z) \) is very useful because the latter can be conveniently computed from the formula
\[
W_{\kappa,0}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^{-z^2/2}}{\Gamma(1/2 - \kappa)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k - \kappa + 1/2)}{(k!)^2} z^k \times \frac{[2\psi(k+1) - \psi(k + \kappa + 1/2) - \ln(z)]}{\Gamma(z)/dz}.
\]

For large energy \( \bar{Z}^2 > \bar{g}^2 \), the Whittaker functions in [20]–[22] have oscillating character with slowly increasing envelope. Taking \( \kappa = \bar{Z}^2 - \bar{g}^2 + 1/2 \gg 1 \) one has [20]
\[
W_{\kappa,0}(x) \simeq \sqrt{x} \Gamma(\kappa + 1/2) \left[ \sin(\kappa\pi)Y_0(2\sqrt{\kappa x}) - \cos(\kappa\pi)J_0(2\sqrt{\kappa x}) + \text{Env}_0(2\sqrt{\kappa x}) \right],
\]
where \( J_0(z), Y_0(z) \) are the Bessel functions in the standard notation and \( \text{Env}_0(z) = \sqrt{J_0(z)^2 + Y_0(z)^2} \). For large \( x \) the Bessel functions in [23] decay as \( x^{-1/4} \), so that in this limit the Green’s functions in [20]–[23] diminish as \( |r|^{-1/2} \). For \( \kappa \gg 1 \) there is [10]
\[
W_{-\kappa,0}(x) \simeq \left( \frac{z}{4\kappa} \right)^{1/4} e^{x \kappa} e^{-2\sqrt{x}}.
\]
For \( Z^2 < \tilde{g}^2 \), i.e. for energies within the energy gap, the Green’s functions decay exponentially with \(|r|\).

For the \( K' \) point of the BZ the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}' \) reads

\[
\hat{H}' = \left( \begin{array}{cc} g' & h\Omega \tilde{a} \\ h\Omega \tilde{a}^+ & -g' \end{array} \right) + E'_{sz},
\]

(26)

where \( g' = \Delta/2 - s_z \tau \lambda/2, E'_{sz} = s_z \tau \lambda/2 \) and \( \tau = -1 \). For given \( s_z \) there is \( g \neq g' \) because of different values of \( \tau \) in the two valleys. Note that \( \hat{H}' = \sigma_z \hat{H}^T \sigma_z \), see [14].

The eigen-energies and eigenstates of \( \hat{H}' \) are similar to those for \( \hat{H} \), [see (15–17)].

\[
E''_{nkz,\eta_{s_z}} = \eta \sqrt{n\hbar^2 \Omega^2 + g'^2 + E'_{sz}} = \eta E'' + E'_{sz},
\]

(27)

\[
|\psi''_{nkz,+} \rangle = \frac{e^{ik_x z}}{\sqrt{\pi (2 - \delta_{n,0}) N'_{n}}} \left( \frac{\hbar \Omega \sqrt{n} \phi_n(\xi)}{(E'' - g') \phi_{n-1}(\xi)} \right),
\]

(28)

\[
|\psi''_{nkz,-} \rangle = \frac{e^{ik_x z}}{\sqrt{\pi (2 - \delta_{n,0}) N'_{n}}} \left( \frac{(E'' - g') \phi_n(\xi)}{-\hbar \Omega \sqrt{n} \phi_{n-1}(\xi)} \right),
\]

(29)

where \( N' = \sqrt{2E''(E'' - g')} \). The stationary Green’s function of the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}' \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix, cf. [11]

\[
\hat{G}'(\rho, \rho', Z) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \hat{G}^{uu} & -\hat{G}^{ul} \\ -\hat{G}^{lu} & \hat{G}^{uu} \end{array} \right),
\]

(30)

with \( Z' = Z - E'_{sz} \). The total Green’s function operator is a \( 8 \times 8 \) block-diagonal matrix, whose elements are combinations of \( \hat{G}^{uu}, \hat{G}^{ll} \) and \( \hat{G}^{lu} \) functions, as given in [20–22] with suitably chosen \( g \) and \( E_z \).

In Figure 1 we plot gauge-independent part of the dimensionless Green’s function \( \hbar \Omega L^2 \hat{G}^{uu}(\rho, 0, Z) \) for electrons in MoS\(_2\) in a magnetic field \( B = 10 \) T. The parameters of the Hamiltonian [2] for MoS\(_2\) are listed in Table 1. In Figure 1a we show three elements of the Green’s function, as given in [20–22]. The diagonal elements \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) and \( \hat{G}^{ll} \) are similar to each other. They oscillate with the period \( \simeq L \) and gradually decrease with \( r \). The nondiagonal elements \( \hat{G}^{ul} \) oscillate with similar period, but they decay faster with \( r \) than \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) or \( \hat{G}^{ll} \), see [23].

In Figure 1b we show \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) for three values of \( Z \). The dash-dotted line describes \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) for energies in the energy gap. In this case \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) decays exponentially with \( r \) and practically disappears for \( r > L \). The dashed line corresponds to the energies in the conduction band close to its minimum. For such energies the Green’s function slowly oscillates and gradually decays with \( |r| \), so that only a few oscillations occur. The solid line shows \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) for energies within the conduction band, far from its minimum. This function oscillates and decays similarly to functions in Figure 1a. In Figure 1c we plot \( \hat{G}^{uu} \) for \( s_z = \pm 1 \). There is a noticeable difference between Green’s functions for different spin orientations; it becomes more pronounced for WSe\(_2 \) because of much larger value of the spin-orbit splitting energy \( \lambda \), see Table 1.

We have compared numerically [20–22] with [15–17] for many randomly chosen values of \( 0 < \bar{Z} < 3 \) and \( 0 < r < 3 \), for which \( W_{s0}(z) \) was calculated using expansion [23]. After truncating the summations at \( n = 1 \times 10^6 \) terms (!) we obtained only 4 to 6 significant digits of the exact results given in terms of the Whittaker functions. We conclude that the expansion of the Green’s function in terms of the Laguerre polynomials converges quite slowly both for zero-gap and finite-gap materials [15]. For large energies in the conduction band or energies in the gap, the Green’s functions in Figure 1 can be described by the asymptotic expansions of the Whittaker functions given in [24] and [25].
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Figure 1. Components of the gauge-independent part of dimensionless Green’s function $\bar{h}_L^2\hat{G}^\alpha(\rho, 0, Z)$ versus $r$, as given in (20)–(22) for MoS$_2$ in a magnetic field $B = 10$ T. a) Elements $\hat{G}^{uu}$, $\hat{G}^{ll}$ and $\hat{G}^{ul}$ for $E = 0.605$ eV and $s_z = +1/2$, b) Elements $\hat{G}^{uu}$ for $s_z = +1/2$. Dash-dotted line: $E = 0.523$ eV < $g$; dashed line: $E = 0.555$ eV ≃ $g$; solid line: $E = 0.660$ eV. The half of energy gap is: $g = 0.531$ eV. c) Elements $\hat{G}^{uu}$ for $s_z = \pm 1$ for $E = 0.605$ eV. Distance is measured in $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/(\sqrt{2}L)$.

3. Local density of states and Friedel-like oscillations

As an example of applications of the Green’s function calculated in the previous section we consider a delta-like potential of a neutral impurity localized in the center of coordinates: $V(\rho) = V_0\delta(\rho)$ [21]. In the presence of a magnetic field the total Green’s function $\hat{G}$ of electron and the impurity is obtained from the Dyson equation: $\hat{G} = \hat{G} + \hat{G}V\hat{G}$, where $\hat{G}$ is given in (20)–(22). In the lowest order of the Born approximation we have

$$\hat{G}(\rho, \rho', Z) \simeq \hat{G}(\rho, \rho', Z) + \int \hat{G}(\rho, \rho'')V(\rho'')\hat{G}(\rho'', \rho')d^2\rho'' + \ldots$$

$$\simeq \hat{G}_{\rho, \rho'} + V_0\hat{G}_{\rho, 0}\hat{G}_{0, \rho'} + \ldots,$$  (31)

using the notation $\hat{G}_{\rho_1, \rho_2} = \hat{G}(\rho_1, \rho_2, Z)$. A local density of states (LDOS) induced by the presence of impurity is

$$\delta n(\rho, Z) = -\pi V_0 \sum_{s_z = \pm 1} \text{Im Tr}\{\hat{G}_{\rho, 0}\hat{G}_{0, \rho}\},$$  (32)

assuming that $\text{Im} Z > 0$. In (9) and the following formulas we set formally $Z = E + i\Gamma$, so that $\hat{G}$ describes the causal Green’s function [21]. Note that the unperturbed LDOS $\propto \hat{G}(\rho, \rho, Z)$ diverges for $\Gamma = 0$, see [15]–[17]. Calculating the trace in (32)
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Figure 2. Dimensionless local density of states $\delta n(\rho, Z)(2\pi\hbar\Omega \lambda^2/V_0)$ in MoS₂, as given in (33). The average half of energy gap for two spin orientations is $\epsilon_g = 0.550$ eV. a) Fixed magnetic field and three energy values: dash-dotted line: $E = 0.505$ eV (inside the energy gap), dashed line: $E = 0.584$ eV (near bottom of conduction band), solid line: $E = 0.637$ eV (inside conduction band, far from minimum). b) Energy $E = 0.637$ eV for three values of magnetic field. Distance is measured in $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/(\sqrt{2}L)$.

we find

$$
\delta n(\rho, Z) = -\pi V_0 \sum_{\tau, s_z = \pm 1} \text{Im} \left\{ \hat{G}^{uu}_{\rho,0} \hat{G}^{uu}_{0,\rho} + \hat{G}^{ll}_{\rho,0} \hat{G}^{ll}_{0,\rho} \right\} + \tau^2 \left[ \frac{Z - \bar{g}}{\rho^2} \right]^2 (\hat{G}^{ll}_{\rho,0} - \hat{G}^{uu}_{\rho,0}) (\hat{G}^{ll}_{0,\rho} - \hat{G}^{uu}_{0,\rho}) \right\}. \tag{33}
$$

Because $\tau^2 = 1$, the summation over $\tau$ in (33) introduces an additional factor of two. The summation over $s_z$ is performed numerically, because the spin splitting of the valence bands modifies the energy gaps $g$ and energies $E_{s_z}$ for the two spin orientations, see (2).

In Figure 2 we show calculated $\delta n(\rho, Z)$ for several values of magnetic field and energies $Z$ assuming finite Landau level widths $\Gamma \sim 1 - 2$ meV, corresponding to typical values in quasi two-dimensional systems. It is seen from (33) that $\delta n$ is linear in $V_0$, so we can choose $V_0$ arbitrarily. In Figure 2a we show dimensionless induced local density of states $\delta n(\rho, Z)(2\pi\hbar\Omega \lambda^2/V_0)$ for three energy values: $E$ in the energy gap, $E$ just above band edge and $E$ inside the conduction band. The induced LDOS resembles the behavior of Green’s functions in Figure 1. For energies inside the gap, $\delta n$ decays exponentially with the distance $r$. For energies inside the conduction band $\delta n$ oscillates and decreases with $r$, much faster than the functions $\hat{G}^{uu}$ and $\hat{G}^{ll}$. The oscillation period is a fraction of magnetic length $L$ and increases with energy. The oscillations have irregular character and disappear for $r > 3$. 
Figure 3. Dimensionless spatial electron density $\delta n(\rho) (2\pi L^2/V_0)$ induced by the delta-like neutral impurity in MoS$_2$, as given in (34) for three values of a magnetic field. a) Friedel oscillations of spatial electron density. Fermi level is 0.1 eV above the bottom of the conduction band, which corresponds to $n_e \simeq 7 \times 10^2$ cm$^{-2}$. b) Exponential decay of spatial electron density. Fermi level 0.05 eV below the bottom of the conduction band. Distance is measured in $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/(\sqrt{2}L)$.

In Figure 2b we plot $\delta n(\rho, Z)$ for three values of magnetic field. The periods of oscillations of the induced LDOS increase with magnetic field, but for large fields the oscillations disappear for $r > 2$. The irregular character of $\delta n$ oscillations results from the presence of two different energy gaps for opposite spin orientations, leading to oscillations with two different frequencies accompanied by fast decay of oscillations with $r$. In Figure 2b the induced density of states depends on the dimensionless parameter $r/(\sqrt{2}L)$, which is proportional to $\sqrt{B}$. This complicates the dependence of $\delta n$ on magnetic field.

Having calculated LDOS one can obtain the additional spatial density of states $\delta n(r)$ induced by the impurity at $T = 0$

$$\delta n(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_F} \delta n(\rho, Z) dE,$$

(34)

where $\epsilon_F$ is the Fermi energy. For given electron concentration $n_e$, the Fermi level in a magnetic field oscillates with $B$, see e.g. [22]. However, one can roughly estimate the position of the Fermi level in a magnetic field by its value at $B = 0$. Assuming the Fermi level in the conduction band one has

$$n_{esz} = \int_{0}^{\epsilon_F} g_{esz}(E) dE,$$

(35)

$$g_{esz}(E) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \delta(E - E_{ksz}) d^2k,$$

(36)
Green’s functions of electrons in (Mo,W)X$_2$ in a magnetic field

where $E_{ksz}$ is given in (3). Then we find

$$\epsilon_F = E_{sz} + \sqrt{g^2 + 4\pi aT^2 n_{esz}}.$$  (37)

For electrons at the $K$ point of BZ there is: $E_{sz} = \lambda_{sz}$, while at the $K'$ point of BZ one has: $E_{sz} = -\lambda_{sz}$. Thus, by summing contributions to electron density arising from both points of BZ we find: $n_{e+} = n_{e-} = n_e/2$.

In Figure 3 we plot the induced electron density $\delta n(r)$, as given in (34) calculated for three values of magnetic field and $\epsilon_F$. The latter is measured from the bottom of the conduction band: $E_0 = \Delta/2 - \lambda/2$. In Figure 3a the Fermi level is 0.1 eV above $E_0$. The concentration $n_e$ calculated from (37) is: $n_e = 3.5 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$ and total electron concentration is $n_e = n_{e+} + n_{e-} = 7.0 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is a representative value for $n$-doped MoS$_2$. The induced electron density oscillates with $r$ and vanishes for $r > 2$. The oscillations in Figure 3a are analogous to the Friedel oscillations in free electron gas [23]. The signs and phases of oscillations are sensitive to the magnitude of magnetic field. Note that for $B = 10$ T the induced density oscillates between positive values only, while for the remaining cases the induced density takes both positive and negative values.

Comparing (3) with (37) we find: $k_F = \sqrt{2\pi n_e} = 0.066 \ $\text{Å}$^{-1}$. The expected period of Friedel oscillations is: $T_F = 2\pi/(2k_F) = 47.4 \ $\text{Å}$ [23]$. For $B = 5$ T the magnetic length is: $L = 115 \ $\text{Å}$, so that: $T_F = 0.41 \ L$, and similar value is found in Figure 3a. Taking $B = 10$ T we obtain: $T_F = 0.58 \ L$, while for $B = 20$ T there is: $T_F = 0.83 \ $\text{Å}$. These results justify the interpretation of oscillations in Figure 3 as the Friedel oscillations.

In Figure 3b we plot the induced spatial electron density for the Fermi level 0.05 eV below the bottom of the conduction band. In this case $\delta n(r)$ decays exponentially and vanishes for distances larger than the magnetic length $L$. The decay of electron density is very similar for different values of a magnetic field. The results are analogous to those obtained for an isolated delta-like impurity in MoS$_2$ in free space, in which the exponential decay of electron density takes place [24].

4. Summary

To summarize, we calculated the Green’s functions for electrons in group-VI dichalcogenides in the presence of a magnetic field and expressed them in terms of the Whittaker functions. The obtained formulas allow one to compute the Green’s functions using quickly converging expansions. For energies in the energy gap the Green’s functions decay exponentially with the distance, while for energies in the conduction band the Green’s function oscillate with a slowly vanishing envelope. The obtained Green’s functions are used for a calculation of the induced density of states for a delta-like impurity potential in MoS$_2$ in the presence of a magnetic field within the lowest order of the Born series. The presence of spin-orbit splitting of the valence band gives two values of energy gaps, and the resulting density of states oscillates with two different frequencies. For the Fermi level in the conduction bands the electron density induced by the impurity experiences Friedel-like oscillations, while for the Fermi level in the energy gap it decays exponentially with the distance from impurity. The results obtained in this work can be extended to other group-VI dichalcogenides.
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