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ABSTRACT. In this paper, we analyze the interaction of localized patterns such as traveling wave solutions for reaction-diffusion systems with nonlocal effect in one space dimension. We consider the case that a nonlocal effect is given by the convolution with a suitable integral kernel. At first, we deduce the equation describing the movement of interacting localized patterns in a mathematically rigorous way, assuming that there exists a linearly stable localized solution for general reaction-diffusion systems with nonlocal effect. When the distances between localized patterns are sufficiently large, the motion of localized patterns can be reduced to the equation for the distances between them. Finally, using this equation, we analyze the interaction of front solutions to some nonlocal scalar equation. Under some assumptions, we can show that the front solutions are interacting attractively for a large class of integral kernels.

1. Introduction. Pattern formation problem is one of the most interesting and attractive topics in natural science. There have been many mathematical models proposed for the theoretical understanding of the mechanisms. Among them, mathematical models in the type of reaction-diffusion systems have been proposed in order to describe spatio-temporal patterns in dissipative systems such as biology and chemistry [23, 25, 32]. In fact, reaction-diffusion type model equations are nicely fit to express Turing instability, which gives one of the most basic theoretical concepts as the mechanism of a spatial pattern formation in biology. Nowadays, reaction-diffusion type model equations are applied to so many kinds of phenomena arising in dissipative systems (e.g. [18, 20, 23, 24, 26]).

While reaction-diffusion systems can describe the structure with diffusive motions and local reactions, it has been known that there are nonlocal interactions such as cell projections [27], contact inhibitions [7, 29] and so on. Such mechanisms with nonlocal interactions are described by the convolutions with suitable integral kernels.

On the other hand, as stated in [15, 22], some mechanisms which have been described by reaction-diffusion systems can be expressed by equations with nonlocal terms of convolution types. In [15], it was shown that activator-inhibitor systems
written in reaction-diffusion systems which possess the mechanism of Turing instability are essentially reduced to the type of the equation

\[ u_t = du_{xx} + f(u, K \ast u) \]  

with an integral kernel \( K(x) \) which has the Mexican hat profile (Figure 1) and a function \( f(u, v) \), where \( K \ast u \) denotes the convolution defined by \( (K \ast u)(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x - y)u(t, y)dy \). This means (1) includes the mechanism of the Turing instability. Kondo [22] also showed that more complicated patterns which cannot be reproduced by two component reaction-diffusion systems can be easily done by the equations of the type of (1) with suitable integral kernels. In [15, 22, 28, 30], they pointed out the relation between the mechanism of Turing instability and integral kernels with the Mexican hat profile. Furthermore, it is known that the diffusion itself can be expressed by the convolution [1, 5]. Thus, such equations as (1) are the generalizations of reaction-diffusion equations in some sense.

In this paper, the equation in the type of

\[ u_t = du_{xx} + K \ast u + f(u) \]  

is mainly treated as one kind of equations (1), which appears in many fields such as neuro-science [25], dispersal motion of cells and organisms [19], optical illusion [31] and so on.

For the analysis of the equation (2), there have been many works [3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 28, 34]. In particular, the existence and the stability of pulse solutions and front solutions as localized patterns have been extensively investigated [3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10]. In their works, a single pulse and/or a single front solution were constructed together with the consideration of their stability.

From the perspective of pattern formation, not only single localized patterns but also their interactions are important. For example, it is shown in Section 3 that multiple front solutions of (2) are interacting attractively for a large class of integral kernels, which means the coarsening process of front localized patterns in time.
In this paper, we treat the following more general reaction-diffusion systems with nonlocal terms than (2):

$$u_t = Du_{xx} + K * u + F(u), \ t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $u = u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x), \cdots, u_n(t, x)) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ ($d_j \geq 0$), $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth nonlinear function, $K = K(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} K_{1,1} & K_{1,2} & \cdots & K_{1,n} \\ K_{2,1} & K_{2,2} & \cdots & K_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_{n,1} & K_{n,2} & \cdots & K_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} \ (t, x)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n (K_{1,k} * u_k)(t, x) \\ \sum_{k=1}^n (K_{2,k} * u_k)(t, x) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n (K_{n,k} * u_k)(t, x) \end{pmatrix}$$

the * denotes the convolution with respect to the spatial variable, in which the integral kernels $K_{j,k}$ are the functions satisfying

$$\begin{cases} K_{j,k} \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}), \\
\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_{j,k}(y)| e^{\lambda y} dy < \infty. \end{cases}$$

A typical example of $K_{j,k}$ is $K_{j,k}(x) = e^{-x^2}$. The purpose of this paper is to give a mathematical criteria for the interaction between multiple pulse or front solutions for (3).

We set

$$A(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{K}_{1,1}(\lambda) & \tilde{K}_{1,2}(\lambda) & \cdots & \tilde{K}_{1,n}(\lambda) \\ \tilde{K}_{2,1}(\lambda) & \tilde{K}_{2,2}(\lambda) & \cdots & \tilde{K}_{2,n}(\lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{K}_{n,1}(\lambda) & \tilde{K}_{n,2}(\lambda) & \cdots & \tilde{K}_{n,n}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{K}_{j,k}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{j,k}(y)e^{\lambda y} dy$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

**Hypothesis 1.1.** We suppose for (3) that:

H1) [Existence of stable equilibria]

There exist linearly stable equilibria $P^-$ and $P^+$ in the ODE

$$u_t = A(0)u + F(u).$$
Figure 2. The images of localized patterns. (a) Pulse solution when $P^+ = P^- = 0$. (b) Front solution when $n = 1$ and $P^+ > P^-$. 

H2) [Existence of traveling wave solutions]
There exist a constant $\theta$, positive constants $\alpha, \beta$ and a function $P(z)$ satisfying the equation
\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= DP_{zz} - \theta P_z + K \ast P + F(P) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}), \\
|P(z) - P^+| &\leq O(e^{-\alpha z}) \quad (z \to +\infty), \\
|P(z) - P^-| &\leq O(e^{\beta z}) \quad (z \to -\infty).
\end{align*}
\] (5)

H3) [Linearized stability of traveling wave solutions]
Let a differential operator $L$ be
\[
Lv = Dv_{zz} - \theta v_z + K \ast v + F'(P(z))v,
\]
for $v \in \{H^2(\mathbb{R})\}^n$, where the domain $\mathcal{D}(L)$ is defined as
\[
\mathcal{D}(L) = \{v = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n) \in \{L^2(\mathbb{R})\}^n \mid \theta v \in \{H^1(\mathbb{R})\}^n, \ Dv \in \{H^2(\mathbb{R})\}^n\}.
\]

This means that
\[
v_j \in \begin{cases} 
H^2(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } d_j > 0, \\
H^1(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } d_j = 0 \text{ and } \theta \neq 0, \\
L^2(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } d_j = 0 \text{ and } \theta = 0
\end{cases}
\]

for any $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ if $v \in \mathcal{D}(L)$. Then, the spectrum $\Sigma(L)$ of $L$ is given by
\[
\Sigma(L) = \Sigma_0 \cup \{0\},
\]
where 0 is a simple eigenvalue with an eigenfunction $P_z$ and there exists a positive constant $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $\Sigma_0 \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(z) < -\rho_0\}$. Here, $\Re(z)$ denotes the real part of $z$.

We call $P(z)$ satisfying the Hypothesis 1.1 for a constant $\theta$ “(linearly) stable traveling wave solution with velocity $\theta$”. Many models of reaction-diffusion systems and nonlocal equations have linearly stable traveling wave solutions in this sense [4, 12, 17, 21, 33, 34].

Transforming (3) by $z := x + \theta t$, we have
\[
u_t = Du_{zz} - \theta u_z + K \ast u + F(u) =: L(u).
\] (6)

We note that the stable traveling wave solution $P(z)$ is a stable stationary solution of (6). Throughout this paper, we call $P(z)$ “pulse solution” when $P^+ = P^-$ and “front solution” when $P^- \neq P^+$, respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to give a general criterion for (3) to analyze their interaction together with applications under the above assumptions about the existence and the stability of a single traveling wave solution.
The organization of the paper as follows: in Section 2, we state main results and its proofs for (3). An application of it to a nonlocal scalar equation (2) is in Section 3, in which it is shown that front solutions interact attractively for fairly wide class of integral kernels. Finally, in Section 4, we state future works related to the results of this paper.

2. Main results.

2.1. Interaction of pulse solutions. In this subsection, we consider the interaction of pulse solutions. Suppose that \( P(z) \) is a stable pulse solution of (3) with velocity \( \theta \). Then, we can assume that \( P - P^+ = P^+-0 = t(0,0,\ldots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) without loss of generality. Fixing an arbitrarily natural number \( N \), we consider the interaction of \( N+1 \) pulse solutions. We define

\[
P(z; h) := P(z) + P(z-z_1) + \cdots + P(z-z_N),
\]

where \( h = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_N) \) for \( h_j > 0, z_0 = 0 \) and

\[
z_j = z_j(h) = z_{j-1} + h_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, N).
\]

Define the set

\[
\mathcal{M}(h^*) = \{ \Xi(l)P(z; h) \mid l \in \mathbb{R}, \min h > h^* \},
\]

where \( \Xi(l) \) is the translation operator defined as \( \langle \Xi(l)v \rangle(z) = v(z-l) \) for \( v \in \{L^2(\mathbb{R})\}^n \).

Moreover, we set the quantity

\[
\delta(h) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{L}(P(z; h))|.
\]

We note that \( \delta(h) \) is sufficiently small as long as \( \min h \) is large enough. In fact, \( \delta(h) \) satisfies \( \delta(h) \to 0 \) as \( \min h \to +\infty \), since \( \mathcal{L}(P(z-z_j)) = 0 \) and \( \mathcal{L}(0) = 0 \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, N \).

Furthermore, define functions

\[
H_j(h) = \langle \mathcal{L}(P(\cdot + z_j; h)), \Phi^*(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2}
\]

for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, N \), where \( \Phi^* \) is an eigenfunction corresponding to 0 eigenvalue of the adjoint operator \( L^* \) of \( L \) and normalized by \( \langle P_z, \Phi^* \rangle_{L^2} = 1 \). We note that the domain \( D(L^*) \) is equal to \( D(L) \) and \( \Phi^* \) satisfies

\[
L^*\Phi^* := D\Phi^* + \theta \Phi^* + tK* \Phi^* + tF'(P(z))\Phi^* = 0.
\]
By applying the same line of argument in [13] based on the theory of infinite dimensional dynamical systems, we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 2.1.** [13] There exist positive constants $h^*$, $C_0$ and a neighborhood $U = U(h^*)$ of $M(h^*)$ in $(H^2(\mathbb{R}))^n$ such that if $u(0, \cdot) \in U$, then there exist functions $l(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\|u(t, \cdot) - \Xi(l(t))P(\cdot; h(t))\|_{\infty} \leq C_0 \delta(h(t))$$

holds as long as $\min h(t) > h^*$, where $u(t, z)$ is a solution of (6) and $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$ is the sup-norm on $\mathbb{R}$. Functions $l(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfy

$$\dot{h} = H(h) + O(\delta^2),$$

$$\dot{\delta} = -H_0(h) + O(\delta^2),$$

where $\delta = \delta(h(t))$ and $H = (H_0 - H_1, H_1 - H_2, \cdots, H_{N-1} - H_N)$.

**Theorem 2.2.** [13] Suppose all of the elements $d_j$ of $D$ are positive. Then, there exist positive constants $C_0$, $C_1$ and $h^*$ such that if

$$\dot{h} = H(h)$$

has an equilibrium $\bar{h}$ satisfying $\min \bar{h} > h^*$ and the set of eigenvalues $\Sigma(H'(\bar{h})) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Re(z) < -C_0 \delta(\bar{h})\}$, there exists a stable traveling wave solution $\overline{P}(z + \beta t)$ of (3) such that

$$\|\overline{P}(\cdot) - P(\cdot; \overline{h})\|_{\infty} \leq C_1 \delta(\overline{h})$$

and $\overline{\beta} = H_0(\overline{h}) + O(\delta^2(\overline{h}))$. Here, $H'(\overline{h})$ denotes the linearized matrix of $H$ with respect to $\overline{h}$.

If (11) has an equilibrium $\bar{h}$ such that $\min \bar{h} > h^*$ and the set of eigenvalues $\Sigma(H'(\bar{h})) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Re(z) < -C_0 \delta(\bar{h})\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Re(z) > C_0 \delta(\bar{h})\}$ and at least one eigenvalue of $H'(\bar{h})$ is in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Re(z) > C_0 \delta(\bar{h})\}$, there exists an unstable traveling wave solution $\overline{P}(z + \beta t)$ of (3) such that

$$\|\overline{P}(\cdot) - P(\cdot; \overline{h})\|_{\infty} \leq C_1 \delta(\overline{h})$$

and $\overline{\beta} = H_0(\overline{h}) + O(\delta^2(\overline{h}))$.

In [13], the author constructed an attractive local invariant manifold giving the dynamics of interacting localized patterns in the case of reaction-diffusion systems. In its proof, the author used integral manifold theory. The proof of [13] can be also applied to reaction-diffusion systems with perturbations given by bounded operators in $\{L^2(\mathbb{R})\}^n$. Now, the nonlocal term $K \ast u$ is a bounded operator on $\{L^2(\mathbb{R})\}^n$. Therefore, we can extend theorems in [13].

From Theorem 2.1, when the distances between localized patterns are sufficiently large, the motion of localized patterns can be reduced to the equation (9) for the distances between them. However, it is difficult to analyze $H_j(\bar{h})$ directly. When the pulse solution $P(z)$ converges to $0$ in an exponentially monotone way, $H_j(\bar{h})$ can be represented by the explicit form approximately.

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose $P(z)$ converges to $0$ satisfying

$$P(z) = e^{-\alpha z} (a^+ + O(e^{-\gamma z})) \quad (z \to +\infty),$$

$$P(z) = e^{\beta z} (a^- + O(e^{\gamma z})) \quad (z \to -\infty)$$
for non-zero constant vectors $b^\pm \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, functions $H_j(h)$ are represented by
\[
H_j(h) = (M_\alpha e^{-\beta j} + M_\beta e^{-\alpha j})(1 + O(e^{-\gamma \min h j})) (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N - 1, 12)
\]
\[
H_0(h) = M_\beta e^{-\beta h} (1 + O(e^{-\gamma \min h})), \quad (13)
\]
\[
H_N(h) = M_\beta e^{-\alpha N} (1 + O(e^{-\gamma \min h})), \quad (14)
\]
for a constant $\gamma > 0$ and the constants $M_\alpha, M_\beta$ are given by
\[
M_\alpha = \langle (2\alpha D + \theta I + A'(\alpha))a^+, b^- \rangle, \quad (15)
\]
\[
M_\beta = \langle (2\beta D - \theta I + A'(\beta))a^-, b^+ \rangle, \quad (16)
\]
where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the identity matrix and $A'(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the function with respect to $\lambda$ defined by
\[
A'(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix}
K'_{1,1}(\lambda) & K'_{1,2}(\lambda) & \cdots & K'_{1,n}(\lambda) \\
K'_{2,1}(\lambda) & K'_{2,2}(\lambda) & \cdots & K'_{2,n}(\lambda) \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \\
K'_{n,1}(\lambda) & K'_{n,2}(\lambda) & \cdots & K'_{n,n}(\lambda)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
in which
\[
K'_{j,k}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} yK_{j,k}(y)e^{\lambda y} dy, \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n).
\]

**Remark 1.** Given a function $G(z) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we write
\[
G(z) = e^{\alpha z}(a + O(e^{\gamma z})) \quad (z \to +\infty)
\]
for some positive constants $\alpha, \gamma$ and a nonzero constant vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if there exist a positive real number $C_0$ and a real number $C_1$ such that
\[
|e^{\alpha z}G(z) - a| \leq C_0 e^{-\gamma z} \quad (\forall z \geq C_1).
\]
We also write
\[
G(z) = e^{\alpha z}(a + O(e^{\gamma z})) \quad (z \to -\infty)
\]
if there exist a positive real number $C_0$ and a real number $C_1$ such that
\[
|e^{-\alpha z}G(z) - a| \leq C_0 e^{\gamma z} \quad (\forall z \leq C_1).
\]

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** From the same calculation in [13], we gain (12), (13) and (14), where
\[
M_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\alpha z} \langle \{F'(P(z)) - F'(0)\}a^+, \Phi^*(z) \rangle dz,
\]
\[
M_\beta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\beta z} \langle \{F'(P(z)) - F'(0)\}a^-, \Phi^*(z) \rangle dz.
\]
First, we consider $M$. Since positive constants $\alpha$, $\beta$ and non-zero vectors $a^\pm \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy
\[
\begin{cases}
0 = \alpha^2 Da^+ + \alpha \theta a^+ + A(\alpha)a^+ + F'(0)a^+, \\
0 = \beta^2 Da^- - \beta \theta a^- + A(\beta)a^- + F'(0)a^-,
\end{cases}
\]
we obtain
\[
\langle F'(0)a^+, \Phi^*(z) \rangle = -\langle \{\alpha^2 D + \alpha \theta I + A(\alpha)\}a^+, \Phi^*(z) \rangle = -\langle a^+, \{\alpha^2 D + \alpha \theta I + \tau A(\alpha)\} \Phi^*(z) \rangle.
\]
From the equation (7), we have
\[
\langle F'(P(z))a^+, \Phi^*(z) \rangle = \langle a^+, \{\lambda F'(P(z))\} \Phi^*(z) \rangle = -\langle a^+, D\Phi^*_z + \theta \Phi^* + \kappa K * \Phi^* \rangle.
\]
Therefore, $M$ is represented as
\[
M = \int e^{-\alpha z} \langle a^+, D\{a^2 \Phi^*(z) - \Phi^*_zz(z)\} \rangle dz + \int e^{-\alpha z} \langle a^+, \theta \{a \Phi^*(z) - \Phi^*_zz(z)\} \rangle dz
\]
\[
+ \int e^{-\alpha z} \langle a^+, \{\kappa A(\alpha) \Phi^*(z) - \kappa K^* \Phi^*\} \rangle dz =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\]
Since we know that
\[
\lim_{z \to +\infty} e^{\beta z} D\Phi^*(z) = -\beta Db^+, \quad \lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z) = \alpha Db^-\n\]
from Lemma A.1 in Appendix A, we have
\[
I_1 = \int e^{-\alpha z} \langle a^+, D\{a^2 \Phi^*(z) - \Phi^*_zz(z)\} \rangle dz = \int e^{-\alpha z} \langle a^+, D\Phi^*_z(z) + \alpha D\Phi^*(z) \rangle dz = 2\alpha \langle a^+, Db^- \rangle = 2\alpha \langle Da^+, b^- \rangle.
\]
Similarly, we obtain
\[
I_2 = \int e^{-\alpha z} \langle a^+, \theta \{a \Phi^*(z) - \Phi^*_zz(z)\} \rangle dz = -\theta \int e^{-\alpha z} \langle a^+, \Phi^*(z) \rangle dz = \theta \langle a^+, b^- \rangle.
\]
Finally, to compute $I_3$, we consider $\int e^{-\alpha z} \{\tilde{K}_{k,j}(\alpha) \varphi_k^*(z) - (K_{k,j} * \varphi_k^*) \} dz$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, where $\Phi^* = \kappa (\varphi_1^*, \varphi_2^*, \ldots, \varphi_n^*)$. Since $\tilde{K}_{k,j}$ is an even function, the integrand can be rewritten as
\[
e^{-\alpha z} \{\tilde{K}_{k,j}(\alpha) \varphi_k^* - (K_{k,j} * \varphi_k^*)\}
\]
\[
= e^{-\alpha z} \{\tilde{K}_{k,j}(-\alpha) \varphi_k^* - (K_{k,j} * \varphi_k^*)\}
\]
\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{k,j}(y) \left[ e^{-\alpha(z+y)} \varphi_k^*(z) - e^{-\alpha z} \varphi_k^*(z-y) \right] dy
\]
\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{k,j}(y) \int_0^y \frac{d}{ds} \left[ e^{-\alpha(z+s)} \varphi_k^*(z - y + s) \right] d\mu.
\]
Notice that
\[
\frac{d}{ds} \left( e^{-\alpha(z+s)} \varphi_k^*(z-y+s) \right) = \frac{d}{dz} \left( e^{-\alpha(z+s)} \varphi_k^*(z-y+s) \right),
\]
we obtain
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\alpha z} \{ \tilde{K}_{k,j}(\alpha) \varphi_k^*(z) - (K_{k,j} \ast \varphi_k^*)(z) \} dz
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{k,j}(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d}{dz} \left[ e^{-\alpha(z+s)} \varphi_k^*(z-y+s) \right] dz ds dy
\]
\[
= -b_k^{-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y K_{k,j}(y) e^{-\alpha y} dy = b_k^{-} \tilde{K}_{k,j}(\alpha),
\]
where \( b^{-} = (b^{-}_1, b^{-}_2, \ldots, b^{-}_N) \). Therefore,
\[
I_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\alpha z} \left\langle \{ t^i A(\alpha) \Phi^*(x) - t^j K \ast \Phi^* \} \right\rangle dz = \left\langle A^+ \right\rangle = \left\langle A'(\alpha) a^+, b^- \right\rangle.
\]
From above calculation, we gain (15). We also obtain (16) by the same argument.

2.2. Interaction of fronts. In this subsection, let us consider the interaction of front solutions. We consider only the case of the velocity \( \theta = 0 \). We use \( x \) as the space variable instead of \( z \) because \( x = z \) in this case. Basically, we use the same notations as in the previous subsection with \( \theta = 0 \).

Suppose that \( P(x) \) is a stable front solution of (3) with \( \theta = 0 \). We note that \( P(-x) \) is also a stable front solution of (3) connecting from \( P^+ \) to \( P^- \). We define the number of front solutions as \( N + 1 = N^+ + N^- \), where \( N^+ \) and \( N^- \) are the numbers of front solutions of the shapes \( P(x) \) and \( P(-x) \), respectively. We note that either \( N^+ = N^- \) or \( N^+ - 1 = N^- \) holds. Then, \( N + 1 \) front solutions \( P(x; h) \) are defined as
\[
P(x; h) = P(x) + P(-(x - x_1)) + P(x - x_2) + \cdots
\]
\[
+ P((-1)^N(x - x_N)) - \{ N^+ P^+ + (N^- - 1)P^- \}
\]
if \( N^+ = N^- \),
\[
P(x; h) = P(x) + P(-(x - x_1)) + P(x - x_2) + \cdots
\]
\[
+ P((-1)^N(x - x_N)) - \{ (N^- - 1)P^+ + N^- P^- \}
\]
if \( N^+ - 1 = N^- \), where \( h = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \), \( x_j = \sum_{k=1}^{k=j} h_k \) for \( j = 1, 2, \cdots, N \) and \( x_0 = 0 \). Moreover, we define functions \( H_j(h) \) by
\[
H_j(h) = \left\langle \mathcal{L}(P + x; h_j), \Phi^*((-1)^j x) \right\rangle_{L^2}.
\]

By applying the same line of argument in [13], we can also obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.4.** [13] Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 hold in the same statements but
\[
\dot{h}_j = (-1)^{j+1}(H_{j-1}(h) + H_j(h)) + O(\delta^2) \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N),
\]
\[
\dot{h}_1 = -H_0(h) + O(\delta^2),
\]
and
\[
H = (H_0 + H_1, -(H_1 + H_2), \cdots, (-1)^{N+1}(H_{N-1} + H_N)).
\]
Figure 4. The image of $P(z; h)$. (a) $(N^+, N^-) = (1, 1)$. (b) $(N^+, N^-) = (2, 1)$.

Same as Theorem 2.3, $H_j(h)$ can be represented by the explicit form approximately, when the front solution $P(x)$ converges to $P^\pm$ in an exponentially monotone way.

**Theorem 2.5.** Suppose $P(x)$ converges to $P^\pm$ as

$$
P(x) - P^+ = e^{-\alpha x}(a^+ + O(e^{-\gamma x})) \quad (x \to +\infty),$$

$$
P(x) - P^- = e^{\beta x}(a^- + O(e^{\gamma x})) \quad (x \to -\infty)
$$

for positive constants $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ and non-zero constant vectors $a^\pm \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and suppose $\Phi^*(x)$ converges to 0 in an exponentially monotone way such that

$$
\Phi^*(x) = e^{-\alpha x}(b^+ + O(e^{-\gamma x})) \quad (x \to +\infty),
$$

$$
\Phi^*(x) = e^{\beta x}(b^- + O(e^{\gamma x})) \quad (x \to \infty)
$$

for non-zero constant vectors $b^\pm \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, functions $H_j(h)$ are represented by

$$
H_{2j-1}(h) = (M^+ e^{-\alpha h_2 j - 1} - M^- e^{-\beta h_2 j})(1 + O(e^{-\gamma' \min h})) \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N^+),
$$

$$
H_{2j}(h) = (M^+ e^{-\alpha h_2 j + 1} - M^- e^{-\beta h_2 j})(1 + O(e^{-\gamma' \min h})) \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, N^-),
$$

$$
H_0(h) = M^+ e^{-\alpha h_1}(1 + O(e^{-\gamma' \min h})),
$$

$$
H_N(h) = \begin{cases} 
M^+ e^{-\alpha h_N}(1 + O(e^{-\gamma' \min h})) & \text{(if } N^+ = N^-), \\
M^- e^{-\beta h_N}(1 + O(e^{-\gamma' \min h})) & \text{(if } N^+ - 1 = N^-)
\end{cases}
$$

for a constant $\gamma' > 0$ and the constants $M^\pm$ are given by

$$
M^+ = \langle (2\alpha D + A'(\alpha))a^+, b^+ \rangle,
$$

$$
M^- = \langle (2\beta D + A'(\beta))a^-, b^- \rangle.
$$

**Proof.** From the same calculation in [13], we can gain (19), (20), (21) and (22), where

$$
M^+ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\alpha x}\langle [F'(P(x)) - F'(P^+)]a^+, \Phi^*(x) \rangle dx,
$$

$$
M^- = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\beta x}\langle [F'(P(x)) - F'(P^-)]a^-, \Phi^*(x) \rangle dx.
$$

By the argument similar to Theorem 2.3, we obtain (23) and (24).

$\square$
3. Applications. In this section, we consider the interaction of two standing front solutions to the nonlocal scalar equation (2), where $d > 0$, $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $K' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\kappa := \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y) dy$ and $g(u) := f(u) + ku$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}
g(\pm 1) = g(a) = 0, g'(\pm 1) < 0 < g'(a), \int_{-1}^{1} g(u) du = 0, \\
g < 0 \text{ in } (-1, a) \cup (1, \infty), g > 0 \text{ in } (-\infty, 0) \cup (a, 1), \\
g' \geq 0 \text{ in } [r_1, r_2], g' \leq 0 \text{ in } [-1, 1] \setminus [r_1, r_2]
\end{cases}
$$

for some constants $a, r_1, r_2 \in (-1, 1)$ with $r_1 < r_2$. A typical example of $g$ is $g(u) = u(1 - u^2)$.

3.1. Case of non-negative integral kernel. In this subsection, we consider the interaction of two standing front solutions when $K(x) \geq 0$. In this case, (2) admits a strictly increasing stable standing front solution satisfying $P(\pm \infty) = \pm 1$ [4, 9, 34]. Furthermore, when $K$ satisfies

$$
\forall \lambda > 0, \quad A(\lambda) = \tilde{K}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y) e^{\lambda y} dy < \infty,
$$

$P(x)$ converges to $\pm 1$ in an exponentially monotone way [34]. Thus, suppose $P(x)$ converges to 1 as

$$
P(x) - 1 = e^{-\alpha x} (a^+ + O(e^{-\gamma x})) \quad (x \to +\infty) \quad (25)
$$

for some positive constant $\alpha$ and a non-zero constant $a^+$. Then $\alpha$ is a positive solution of

$$
G(\lambda) := d\lambda^2 + A(\lambda) + f'(1) = 0.
$$

It is easy to see that $G(\lambda)$ is strictly monotonically increasing function for $\lambda > 0$, since $K \geq 0$. Therefore, we obtain $G'(\lambda) = 2d\lambda + A'(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda > 0$. Now, $\Phi^*$ is represented as

$$
\Phi^*(x) = \frac{1}{\|P_x\|_{L^2}^2} P_x(x) \to -\frac{\alpha a^+}{\|P_x\|_{L^2}^2} e^{-\alpha x} \quad (x \to +\infty)
$$

and we have

$$
M^+ = \frac{-\alpha (a^+)^2}{\|P_x\|_{L^2}^2} G'(\alpha) < 0. \quad (26)
$$

Therefore, the equation for $l$ and the front distance $h$ is

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{l} = -H_0(h) + O(\delta^2) \sim -M^+ e^{-\alpha h} > 0, \\
\dot{h} = H_0(h) + H_1(h) + O(\delta^2) \sim 2M^+ e^{-\alpha h} < 0.
\end{cases}
$$

This indicates the attractivity of two front solutions (Figure 5).

3.2. Interaction of very slow front solutions. In this subsection, we consider the interaction of two front solutions with very slow wave speed when $K(x) \geq 0$. We consider the equation (2) with small perturbation like

$$
u_t = du_{xx} + K \ast u + f(u) + \varepsilon f_1(u, K \ast u), \quad (27)
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a sufficiently small constant, $K_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $f_1(u, v) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$
f(\pm 1, \pm \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_1(y) dy) = 0.
$$

Let us consider the solution (27) with the initial function $u(0, x)$ close to $P(x - l(0), h(0))$ for sufficiently large $h(0)$. By a quite similar argument in [13, 16], if $\varepsilon$
Figure 5. The image of the interaction of two standing fronts, when the kernel is a non-negative function.

is sufficiently small, then we can show that the solution \( u(t, x) \) is close to \( P(x - l(t), h(t)) \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{i} &= -M^+ e^{-\alpha h} - \varepsilon C_f + O(\delta^2 + \varepsilon^2), \\
\dot{h} &= 2M^+ e^{-\alpha h} + 2\varepsilon C_f + O(\delta^2 + \varepsilon^2)
\end{align*}
\]

holds as long as \( h(t) \) is sufficiently large, where \( M^+ \) is the constant given by (26) and \( C_f = \frac{1}{\|P_x\|_2^2} \langle f_1(P, K_1 * P), P_x \rangle_{L^2} \). When \( \varepsilon C_f > 0 \), we can deduce the attractivity of two front solutions. If \( \varepsilon C_f < 0 \), then (28) has an unstable equilibrium. Therefore, in this case, we can find an unstable stationary solution by a quite similar way to the proof of Theorem 2.4.

For example, we consider the case that \( f_1(u, v) = v \) and \( K_1(x) \) is an odd function satisfying

\[ K_1 < 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty). \]

Then, \( C_f = \frac{1}{\|P_x\|_2^2} \langle K_1 * P, P_x \rangle_{L^2} \). Since \( P(x) \) is monotonically increasing, we obtain

\[
(K_1 * P)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_1(y) P(x - y) dy = \int_0^\infty K_1(y)(P(x - y) - P(x + y)) dy > 0.
\]

Thus, \( C_f > 0 \). From this, we can show that the existence of an unstable stationary solution for (27) if \( \varepsilon \) is a sufficiently small negative constant.

3.3. Case of sign changing integral kernel. When the integral kernel has negative parts, there are only few results of front solutions to (2). In the case that \( d = 0 \), the existence of standing front solutions to (2) with sign changing integral kernel was proved in [5] using variational method under the assumptions that \( K \) satisfies \( \kappa > 0 \), \( \hat{K}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx \leq \hat{K}(0) = \kappa \) for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R} \) and some conditions. However, there have been no results about the linearized stability of the front solutions to the best of our knowledge.

On the other hand, in numerical simulations, J. Siebert and E. Schöll [30] reported that the front solution of (2) has oscillatory tails when the integral kernel is the Mexican hat profile as in Figure 1. From the result, it is natural to expect that there are cases when the front solutions of (2) with sign changing integral kernels have oscillatory tails while we were unfortunately unable to reveal the stability of front solutions in the case of sign changing integral kernels. We leave it as an open problem for a future day.
In the rest of this subsection, we assume that the existence of a stable single standing front solution for (2) with a sign changing integral kernel and consider the interaction of two single standing front solutions.

At first, we consider the interaction of two standing front solutions with exponentially decaying oscillatory tails. Suppose that there exists a stable standing front solution of (2) with an oscillatory tail such that
\[ P(x) - 1 \rightarrow \Re(e^{\lambda^+ x} a^+(1 + O(e^{-\gamma x}))) \quad (x \to +\infty), \]
where \( a^+ \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\} \) and \( \lambda^+ = -\alpha + iv^+ \) for constants \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( v^+ \neq 0 \). Then, the equation for the distance \( h \) between front solutions is given by
\[ \dot{h} = H_0 + H_1 \]
as in Theorem 2.4. From the definition of \( H_j(h) \) \((j = 0, 1)\), we can show \( H_0(h) = H_1(h) \). Since \( P(\pm(x - h)) \) are front solutions to (2) for any constant \( h \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( g(1) = \kappa + f(1) = 0 \), we can calculate as
\[ H_0(h) = \frac{1}{\|P_x\|^2} \langle L(P(\cdot; h)), P_x \rangle_{L^2} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\|P_x\|^2} \langle f(P(\cdot; h)) - f(P(\cdot)) - f(P(\cdot - h)) + f(1), P_x \rangle_{L^2}. \]
By a quite similar way to Subsection 4.5 in [13], we can show
\[ H_0(h) = H_1(h) = \Re(M^+ e^{\lambda^+ h}(1 + O(e^{-\gamma h}))) \]
for a constant \( \gamma' > 0 \) as long as \( h \) is sufficiently large, where
\[ M^+ = \frac{a^+}{\|P_x\|^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda^+ x} P_x(x) \{f'(P(x)) - f'(1)\} dx. \]
The constant \( M^+ \) is well-defined because the integral is given as the Fourier transformation because of the form of \( \lambda^+ \). Let \( M^+ = A^+ + iB^+ \). Then, we have
\[ H_0(h) = H_1(h) \sim e^{-\alpha h}(A^+ \cos(v^+ h) + B^+ \sin(v^+ h)). \]
Therefore, the equation on \( h \) is
\[ \dot{h} = H_0 + H_1 + O(\dot{h}^2(h)) \sim 2e^{-\alpha h}(A^+ \cos(v^+ h) + B^+ \sin(v^+ h)) \quad (29) \]
for sufficiently large \( h \). From (29), we can easily find that stable and unstable equilibria appear alternatively in (29). Thus, if there exists a stable standing front with oscillatory tails satisfying Hypothesis 1.1, we can easily give the proof on the existence and the stability for multiple front solutions from Theorem 2.4.

Secondly, we consider the interaction of two standing front solutions with exponentially and monotonically decaying tails. Suppose that there exists a stable standing front solution of (2) satisfying (25). By following the same line of arguments in Subsection 3.1, the equation of \( h \) is
\[ \dot{h} \sim 2M^+ e^{-\alpha h}, \]
where
\[ M^+ = \frac{-\alpha(a^+)^2}{\|P_x\|^2_{L^2}} G'(\alpha). \]
To reveal the sign of $M^+$, we consider $G' (\alpha)$. We note that $\alpha$ satisfies $G(\alpha) = 0$. In the case of a sign changing integral kernel, $G(\lambda)$ is not always monotonically increasing. For example, when we consider the case that
\[
K(x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_1}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{4q_1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_2}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{4q_2}} \right\} \quad (\varepsilon, q_1, q_2 > 0),
\] (30)
then $A(\lambda)$ is represented as
\[
A(\lambda) = \varepsilon \left\{ e^{q_1 \lambda^2} - e^{q_2 \lambda^2} \right\}.
\]
Therefore, we have
\[
G(\lambda) = d\lambda^2 + \varepsilon \left\{ e^{q_1 \lambda^2} - e^{q_2 \lambda^2} \right\} + f'(1).
\]
When $d = 1.0$, $\varepsilon = 0.01$, $q_1 = 1.0$, $q_2 = 2.0$, $f'(1) = -1$, it is observed that there exist two positive solutions $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ of $G(\lambda) = 0$ (Figure 6 (b)), where $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ denote the first and second positive root of $G(\lambda) = 0$, respectively. When $\alpha = \alpha_1$, we see
\[
M^+ = -\frac{\alpha (a^+)^2}{\|P_x\|_{L^2}^2} G'(\alpha) < 0
\]
by $G'(\alpha_1) > 0$, which implies the attractivity of two front solutions. When $\alpha = \alpha_2$, we see
\[
M^+ = -\frac{\alpha (a^+)^2}{\|P_x\|_{L^2}^2} G'(\alpha) > 0
\]
by $G'(\alpha_2) < 0$. This implies the repulsiveness of two front solutions.

In numerical calculations, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ can be computed approximately as $\alpha_1 = 1.0264 \ldots$ and $\alpha_2 = 1.6022 \ldots$. When we solve (2) numerically on the interval $(0, 40)$ in the same parameters as Figure 6, we can observe the stable standing front solution (Figure 7 (a)) with the exponent $\alpha_1$, as the exponential decay rate. In fact, Figure 7 (b) is the graph of $\log |u(t, x) - 1|$ at the place where $u(t, x)$ is close to 1, which shows that the numerical solution converges to 1 in an exponentially monotone way with the decay exponent $\alpha = 1.0260 \ldots$ at $x = 32.0$. The value $\alpha$ is calculated.
Figure 7. (a) The numerical solution of (2) on the interval (0, 40) when \( t = 100.0 \), where \( f(u) = \frac{1}{2}u(1 - u^2) \) and the other parameters are same as that in Figure 6. (b) The graph of \( \log|u(t, x) - 1| \) on the interval (20, 35) when \( t = 100.0 \), where \( u(t, x) \) is the numerical solution of (2).

as follows: Since \( \log|u(t, x) - 1| \) looks like linear, the decay exponent at \( x = a \) is calculated as

\[
\alpha \sim - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\log|u(t, x) - 1|) \bigg|_{x=a} \sim -\frac{\log|u(t, a + \eta) - 1| - \log|u(t, a) - 1|}{\eta},
\]

in which \( \eta \) is a sufficiently small constant. Therefore, we expect that the front solution with the exponential decay rate \( \alpha_1 \) is a stable one. Hence, we think that two stable front solutions are interacting attractively in the case of this example.

In general, if there exists a stable front solution of (2) satisfying (25), we expect that the decay rate \( \alpha \) is given by

\[
\alpha = \min \{ \lambda > 0 \mid G(\lambda) = 0 \}\] always holds by the property of \( G(0) = g'(1) < 0 \). Thus, we find that the attractive motion will generally appear and suspect that the repulsive motion will not in most case.

4. Discussion. In this section, we will state two future works related to the results of this paper.

First, we have assumed that integral kernels decay faster than any exponential functions throughout this paper. Integral kernels satisfying this assumption often appear in the field of pattern formation problems, since many papers only focus on effects of the shape of an integral kernel [15, 22, 30]. Thus, our results include the important case from the perspective of pattern formation. On the other hand, we also suspect that the condition (4) is technical and it might be possible to change this condition for some more general conditions. However, our results rely heavily on the condition (4). In near future, we will try to either remove this condition or replace it by some more general conditions.

Second, we have only given the example of the nonlocal scalar equation (2) in Section 3. To analyze the movement of traveling wave solutions, we need the property of the eigenfunction of \( L^* \) corresponding to the eigenvalue 0. However, in general, it is difficult to analyze to the eigenfunction of \( L^* \) when \( L \) is not self-adjoint. Basically, \( L \) is not self-adjoint in the case of the \( n \)-component system with \( n \geq 2 \). Therefore, we will try to analyze the eigenfunction of \( L^* \) in the case that \( L^* \) is not self-adjoint. We leave this important problem as a future work.
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Appendix A. The derivation of the decay estimate of $\Phi^*_z$. In this appendix, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma A.1. Let $\Phi^*(z)$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to 0 eigenvalue of the adjoint operator $L^*$ and normalized by $\langle P_z, \Phi^* \rangle_{L^2} = 1$. If $\Phi^*(z)$ converges to 0 in an exponentially monotone way such that

\[
\Phi^*(z) = e^{-\beta z} (b^+ + O(e^{-\gamma z})) \quad (z \to +\infty),
\]

\[
\Phi^*(z) = e^{\alpha z} (b^- + O(e^{\gamma z})) \quad (z \to -\infty)
\]

for positive constants $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ and non-zero constant vectors $b^\pm \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

\[
\lim_{z \to +\infty} e^{\beta z} D\Phi^*_z(z) = -\beta Db^+;
\]

\[
\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z) = \alpha Db^-
\]

hold.

We will only show the proof of (A2). When $D = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, (A2) is trivial. We consider the case that $D \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We multiply (7) by $e^{-\alpha z}$, then we get

\[
e^{-\alpha z} (D\Phi_{zz}^* + \theta \Phi_{z}^* + iK \Phi^* + iF'(P(z))\Phi^*) = 0.
\]

Since we have

\[
\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} (iK \Phi^*) = iA(\alpha)b^-\]

by Lebesgue dominated convergence, we obtain

\[
\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} (D\Phi_{zz}^* + \theta \Phi_{z}^*) + \tilde{b} = 0,
\]

where $\tilde{b} := (iA(\alpha) + iF'(0))b^-.$

Lemma A.2. $\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z)$ exists.

Proof. We fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $d_j > 0$. We write $\tilde{b} = (\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, \ldots, \tilde{b}_n)$ and $\Phi^* = (\varphi^*_1, \varphi^*_2, \ldots, \varphi^*_n).$ Then, $\varphi^*_j$ satisfies

\[
\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} \{d_j (\varphi^*_j)_{zz}(z) + \theta (\varphi^*_j)_z(z)\} + \tilde{b}_j = 0
\]

from (A3). Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

\[-(\varepsilon + \tilde{b}_j)e^{\alpha z} \leq d_j (\varphi^*_j)_{zz}(z) + \theta (\varphi^*_j)_z(z) \leq (\varepsilon - \tilde{b}_j)e^{\alpha z}
\]

for all $z \leq C_0$.

Notice that $\varphi^*_j \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ from the definition of $\mathcal{D}(L^*), (\varphi^*_j)_z \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is uniform continuous from Morrey’s inequality. This implies

\[
\lim_{z \to -\infty} (\varphi^*_j)_z(z) = 0.
\]

Integrating (A4) from $-\infty$ to $z < C_0$, we obtain

\[-\frac{\varepsilon + \tilde{b}_j}{\alpha} e^{\alpha z} \leq d_j (\varphi^*_j)_z(z) + \theta (\varphi^*_j)(z) \leq \frac{\varepsilon - \tilde{b}_j}{\alpha} e^{\alpha z}.
\]
We multiply this inequality by $\alpha e^{\alpha z}$ and then take the lower limit and the upper limit as $z \to -\infty$, we can deduce

$$-\varepsilon - \tilde{b}_j \leq \alpha d_j \left( \liminf_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z}(\varphi_j^*)_z(z) \right) + \alpha \theta b_j^-$$

$$\leq \alpha d_j \left( \limsup_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z}(\varphi_j^*)_z(z) \right) + \alpha \theta b_j^- \leq \varepsilon - \tilde{b}_j,$$

where $b^- = (b_1^-, b_2^-, \ldots, b_n^-)$. Since $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary positive constant, we can show that

$$\alpha d_j \left( \lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z}(\varphi_j^*)_z(z) \right) + \alpha \theta b_j^- + \tilde{b}_j = 0.$$

This implies

$$\alpha \lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z) + (\theta b^- + t A(\alpha) b^- + t F'(0) b^-) = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain the existence of $\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z)$.

From above lemma, we know that $\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z)$ and $\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z)$ exist. On the other hand, since

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left( e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z) \right) = e^{-\alpha z} D(\Phi_z(z) - \alpha \Phi(z)),$$

(A5) exists. Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{z \to -\infty} \frac{d}{dz} \left( e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z) \right) = 0$$

from the existence of $\lim_{z \to -\infty} e^{-\alpha z} D\Phi^*_z(z)$. Taking a limit of (A5) as $z \to -\infty$, we obtain (A2).
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