Editorial: A Celebration of the Ties That Bind Us: Connections between Actuarial Science and Mathematical Finance
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Abstract: In the nearly thirty years since Hans Buhlmann (Buhlmann (1987)) set out the notion of the Actuary of the Third Kind, the connection between Actuarial Science (AS) and Mathematical Finance (MF) has been continually reinforced. As siblings in the family of Risk Management techniques, practitioners in both fields have learned a great deal from each other. The collection of articles in this volume are contributed by scholars who are not only experts in areas of AS and MF, but also those who present diverse perspectives from both industry and academia. Topics from multiple areas, such as Stochastic Modeling, Credit Risk, Monte Carlo Simulation, and Pension Valuation, among others, that were maybe thought to be the domain of one type of risk manager are shown time and again to have deep value to other areas of risk management as well. The articles in this collection, in my opinion, contribute techniques, ideas, and overviews of tools that specialists in both AS and MF will find useful and interesting to implement in their work. It is also my hope that this collection will inspire future collaboration between those who seek an interdisciplinary approach to risk management.
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1. Background and Motivation

The construction of this special issue began in 2016, and continued through 2017, while teaching at Michigan State University (MSU) as well as visiting colleagues from the Pacific Northwest to New York City to the Deep South. I had known for some time that I wanted to design an issue that would present cutting edge research in both actuarial science and financial mathematics. In truth, I had been inspired nearly a decade earlier by this strong connection between both fields. As a Ph.D. student at Carnegie Mellon, I had come across Hans Gerber’s paper (Gerber (1977)) on the optimal cancellation of policies. At the time, I had begun working on a problem in optimal prediction and was looking for inspiration in solving the problem at hand. When I came across Gerber’s paper, I had no previous exposure to actuarial science, but I immediately recognized the power and clarity of his exposition. I was hooked! I did eventually solve the problem in optimal prediction (Cohen (2010)) a few years later, but not without using ideas from Gerber’s model of liabilities as an application of optimal prediction to a new kind of risk measure. And so began my education in the overlap of the fields of insurance and financial economics.

2. Overview

This collection you now hold in your hand is crafted in the spirit of Gerber’s paper, in that advanced mathematical techniques and risk management go hand in hand to present managers with better information for decision making.

For example, new ideas such as those presented in Peter Carr’s work (Carr (2017)) on Bounded Brownian Motion naturally find their place in the modeling of financial instruments, but are perhaps
also suitable for insurance models that require such bounded processes. Stephen Mildenhall’s paper (Mildenhall (2017)) on Actuarial Geometry combines tools from the classical study of shapes with Levy processes to provide an innovative way to study risks from an insurance perspective. Robert J. Rietz and his group (Rietz et al. (2017)) study the effect of gainsharing (via simulation) on selecting discount rates for defined benefit plans. Gareth W. Peters, Rodrigo S. Targino and Mario V. Wuthrich (Peters et al. (2017)) provide a novel Monte Carlo method to calculate (coherent) capital allocations for a general insurance company. Carolyn W. Chang and Jack S. K. Chang (Chang and Chang (2017)) utilize an approach that integrates commonly used tools from actuarial science and mathematical finance to price a default-risky catastrophe reinsurance contract. Daoping Yu and Vytaras Brazauskas (Yu and Brazauskas (2017)) study the impact of model uncertainty on value-at-risk (VaR) estimators. In her paper on predicting prices for high profile tech stocks, Nguyet Nguyen (Nguyen (2017)) applies the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) to forecast stock prices and develop an HMM-based trading strategy. Michael R. Metel, Traian A. Pirvu and Julian Wong (Metel et al. (2017)) investigate the Omega Measure and it’s use for assessing portfolio performance, as well as similarities and differences with the Sharpe Ratio when determining the optimal portfolio for different return classes. Finally, Nick Costanzino and I (Cohen and Costanzino (2017)) look at incorporating stochastic recovery into the Black-Cox model of bond pricing, with application to credit default swaps.

3. Conclusions

This collection of articles fits into the greater narrative of collaboration between researchers and practitioners, driven by new and innovative ideas and products in finance and insurance. The connection between AS and MF, for actuaries of the third kind (Bühlmann (1987)) and others who use these tools, will only strengthen with time as the complexity of financial and insurance instruments increases.
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