DECAMETHOXIN VIRUCIDAL ACTIVITY: IN VITRO AND IN SILICO STUDIES
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The data on the representative of decamethoxin short-term action on infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) strain H120 used as a human-safe model of SARS-CoV-2 virus are presented. The viral activity was estimated with the use of inverted microscope PrimoVert (Germany) by destructive effect on BHK21 fibroblastic cell line. In vitro results demonstrated that decamethoxin (100 μg/ml) completely inactivated IBV coronavirus strain at exposure of 30 sec and more. At the lowest decamethoxin exposure of 10 sec the antiseptic virucidal activity was 33% and 36% of control at 24 and 48 h of cultivation respectively. Molecular docking analysis indicated the significant similarity of IBV and SARS-CoV-2 main protease (M\(^{\text{pro}}\)) structure. Docking studies of decamethoxin interaction with IBV M\(^{\text{pro}}\) and SARS-CoV-2 M\(^{\text{pro}}\) active centers demonstrated the ligand-protein complexes formation with the estimated binding energy of -8.6, -8.4 kcal/mol and key amino acid residues ASN26, GLY141, GLU187, GLU164, THR24, THR25, ASN142, GLY143, CYS145, HIS164 and GLU166.
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Disinfectants and antiseptics are important determinants in a pandemic, including coronavirus infection (COVID-19). Successful disinfection of SARS-CoV-2 is defined by the characteristics of the virus, the properties of the disinfectant or antiseptic, and the environmental conditions in which the virus is present. SARS-CoV-2 is stable over a wide pH range (pH 3–10) at room temperature [1] and is very stable in a favorable environment [2] but is usually disinfected [3]. Considering viral load, persistence, stability, viability and environmental factors, disinfection of medical and other public facilities is a necessary part to prevent transmission and waves of COVID-19 infection.

Among the well-known disinfectants such as detergents, acids, oxidizing agents, alcohols, alkalis, aldehydes, biguanides, halogens, phenols, quaternary ammonium compounds (QAC) occupy a special place [4]. Most disinfectants target the outer lipid layer of coronaviruses [5]. Cross-linking, coagulation, structural and functional damage and oxidation appear to be the main mechanisms of the disinfectants virucidal activity [6]. In the case of coronaviruses, disinfectants affect the protein and lipid structures of the coronavirus and limit the spread of the virus [7].

Quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) as cationic surfactants contain the amphiphilic molecules and have a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity [8]. Their chemical structure includes four aliphatic or aromatic radicals linked to a central nitrogen atom. The antibacterial and antifungal activity of QAC is associated with the presence of 12 to 16 carbon atoms in their alkyl chain [9].

Wherein, the antimicrobial mechanism of QAC action is based on the electrostatic interaction of a positively charged cationic element of QAC with a...
negative charge of the cytoplasmic membrane of bacteria or fungi, leading to membrane disorganization and its autolysis. In the case of SARS-CoV-2, disruption of the phospholipid bilayer by QAC occurs more easily due to the lack of a cell wall in the virus [10].

The basic/cationic structure of QAC is a quaternary nitrogen fragment (Fig. 1), which plays an important biological role in the living systems [11].

The negatively charged anionic moiety (X-) is usually chlorine or bromine and is bonded to nitrogen to form the QAC salt. This structural diversity makes it possible to significantly change/improve the QACs efficiency of and expand the scope of application including the viral infections [12]. The existing variety of QACs structural features allows to classify them into several subclasses: mono-, bis-, multi- and polyderivatives according to the number of the charged nitrogen atoms, including in heterocyclic compounds (piperidine, pyridine, imidazole, etc.) [13].

Since the beginning of the 20th century, a significant amount of work has been devoted to the development of this class of biocides. Thus, according to modern literature, since 2021 more than 17000 articles about QACs were published [14]. Virucidal activity of QACs, including anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity of decamethoxin, confirmed by a number of authors and has the scientific and practical interest in a wide range of researchers, especially in terms of potential molecular mechanisms of their virucidal action [15-21].

Today, a number of viral proteins have been established as the main targets for SARS-CoV-2 in-
inhibitors, which include the spike S-protein, RNA-depleted RNA polymerase (RdRp) and main protease (M^{pro}). M^{pro} presented in many strains is a key enzyme in the coronavirus replication mechanism and is responsible for copying and reproducing the SARS-CoV-2 genetic material. Therefore, pharmacists often consider the M^{pro} as the main target in the fight against SARS-CoV-2, because its blocking can be an effective approach to preventing virus replication. In addition, M^{pro} is being intensively pursued as a main target not only for SARS-CoV-2, but also for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, as well as enteroviruses, rhinoviruses and noroviruses [22, 23].

Cysteine protease M^{pro} is virus encoded and contains a glutamine residue at position P1 [24]. This structural feature is absent in the related host proteases, indicating the high selectivity of M^{pro} as a target. [25].

A characteristic structure feature of the M^{pro} inhibitors is the presence of reactive functional groups (β-ketoamide, aldehyde, aldehyde bisulfite, Michael acceptors), forming the covalent bonds with the Cys145 residue in the catalytic center of the enzyme [26].

The currently known M^{pro} inhibitors - calpain II and calpain XII (are in preclinical studies) [26], as well as Boceprevir (approved as an antiviral drug) are expensive. Therefore, the search and study of new protease inhibitors seems to be an actual task.

In this regard, calculation/computational methods as well as in vitro methods are of particular importance, which allows one to effectively analyze the potential mechanisms of molecular interactions of promising biologically active molecules [27-30].

This paper presents the in vitro and in silico studies results of the decamethoxin virucidal activity as a representative of bis-QAC compounds.

Materials and Methods

In vitro study methods and methods. Antiseptic Dekasan (Yuria-Farm, Ukraine) with decamethoxin content of 0.2 mg was used as QAC. Non-pathogenic to human the strain H-120 virus IBV with an infectious titer by 3.0 lg TCID_{50}/0.1 ml was used [31].

As cell culture was used a transplant culture of BHK-21 cells obtained from the cell cultures collection of R.E. Kavetsky Institute of Experimental Pathology, Oncology and Radiology of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. The RPMI-1640 and DMEM mediums with low glucose and glutamine and fetal blood sera of cows (Sigma, USA) were used. Cell Proliferation Kit I (MTT) (Roche Diagnostics, Germany) was used for cell viability analysis by colorimetric method.

The volume of the cell monolayer was 100 μl. The study was conducted fourfold. Standart culture flasks (Nunc, Denmark) for 96 wells microplates with an adhesive surface (Cellstar Greiner Bio-One, Austria) were used as laboratory equipment. Inverted microscope PrimoVert (Karl Zeiss, Germany) with a video camera and compatible software was used for in vitro cell culture monolayer fixation and visualization of microscopy results.

In silico study materials and methods. The crystal structures of the IBV and SARS-CoV-2 main proteases are obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank PDB ID:2Q6F [32] and PDB ID: 7L0D [33]. The enzyme has been prepared by AutoDock Tools (ADT) 1.5.6 [34] and saved in PDBQT format. The structure of decamethoxin was created and saved in Mol format using ChemAxon Marvin Sketch 5.3.735 [35]. The structure of decamethoxin was optimized and the energy was minimized by the MOPAC2016 program [36]. For the main proteases and decamethoxin were computed of partial charges using ADT and the Gasteiger method and saved in PDBQT format. AutoDock Vina 1.1.2 [37] program was applied for the molecular docking. The docking center has been set with coordinates \( x = 24.017; y = -63.765; z = 10.463 \) and the grid map 30*30*30 points with a grid spacing of 1 Å. The presentation of the results and the ligand-protein complex analysis were conducted by Accelrys DS [38]. The N3 inhibitor was used as a co-crystal structure in the active site of the main IBV protease [39].

Results and Discussion

In vitro study. Table presents the in vitro study results of decamethoxin virucidal activity under the conditions of an extended time experiment based on some previously obtained data [40].

The presented in vitro results (Table) show that decamethoxin completely inactivates IBV virus in BHK21 cell culture starting from 30 sec of exposure. At the same time, under the conditions of the lowest decamethoxin exposure (10 and 20 sec) a partial virucidal activity of the antiseptic is observed as 1 and 2 lg (TCID_{50}/0.1 ml) at 24 and 48 h of cultivation, respectively. At the same time (without decamethoxin treatment) the control IBV infectious titer was 4.5 and 5.5 lg (TCID_{50}/0.1 ml at 24 and 48 h of cultivation respectively (Fig. 2).
Table. Virucidal activity of decamethoxine

| Type of study samples | Decamethoxin exposure time, sec | IBV infect. titer (lg(TCID$_{50}$/0.1 ml), at different cultivation times of the studied samples, h |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BHK21-IBV (control) (1) | –                             | 4.5 5.5                                                                             |
| BHK21-IBV-DMX (2)       | 10                            | 1.5 2.0                                                                             |
| BHK21-IBV-DMX (3)       | 20                            | 1.0 1.5                                                                             |
| BHK21-IBV-DMX (4)       | 30                            | <0.5 <0.5                                                                           |
| BHK21-IBV-DMX (5)       | 60                            | <0.5 <0.5                                                                           |
| BHK21-IBV-DMX (6)       | 120                           | <0.5 <0.5                                                                           |
| BHK21-IBV-DMX (7)       | 1800                          | <0.5 <0.5                                                                           |

Fig. 3 - 5 demonstrated the results of the microscopic study of the decamethoxin virucidal activity. Thus, microscopic analysis (Fig. 3-5) of the studied samples confirmed the in vitro results of decamethoxin action after 30 sec exposure as the complete inactivation of IBV in BNK-21 cell culture.

In silico study. Redocking of N3 ligand into the IBV M$^{pro}$ active site was used for the validation of the docking results. The obtained ligand-protein complex showed the estimated binding energy of -8.8 kcal/mol. Fig. 6 displays the placement of the N3 co-crystal inhibitor and docking position decamethoxin into the active site IBV M$^{pro}$. Also, decamethoxin and N3 inhibitor binding and localization in the IBV M$^{pro}$ active site are similar. And amino acids ASN26, GLY141, GLU187, GLU164, ALA140, CYS143, HIS161 and PRO166 are the key in complexation.

Further, IBV M$^{pro}$ substrate-binding site was used for the docking procedure based on the structural analysis data. Visual demonstration of the molecular docking and intermolecular interactions of decamethoxin are presented in Fig. 7.

The docking results show that the formation of the ligand-protein complex (Fig. 7) was accompanied by an estimated binding energy of -8.6 kcal/mol. This ligand-protein complex is stabilized by the six hydrogen bonds (2.22–3.66 Å) with amino acids

Fig. 2. Comparative analysis of in vitro virucidal activity results of decamethoxin under various experimental conditions, percent to control
ASN26, GLY141, GLU187 and GLU164, the one electrostatic interaction (3.75 Å) with GLU187 and the five hydrophobic interactions (3.87–5.19 Å) with the amino acid residues ALA140, CYS143, HIS161 and PRO166.

**Sequences alignment.** To confirm the potential complexation of decamethoxin in the SARS-CoV-2 M^pro active site, a comparative analysis (Fig. 8) of the primary structures of IBV M^pro (2Q6F) and SARS-CoV-2 M^pro (7C8B) [41] was performed using the NCBI Protein BLAST server [42].

The received results (Fig. 8) indicate the significant similarity of M^pro IBV and M^pro SARS-CoV-2 - sequence identities and sequence similarity indicators were calculated as 41 and 55%, respectively.

The M^pro active sites of IBV and SARS-CoV-2 were compared using the Universal Protein Resource (UniProt) the “Align” tool for multiple sequence alignment (Fig. 9) [43].

Fig. 8 as well as Fig. 9 demonstrates not only a high degree of studied enzymes similarity, but also the structural similarity of their active centers. Next, molecular docking of decamethoxin into the active site of the M^pro SARS-CoV-2 was performed.

The docking results demonstrate the formation of the ligand-protein complex (Fig. 10) by the estimated binding energy of -8.4 kcal/mol. This ligand-protein complex is stabilized by the seven hydrogen bonds (1.94–3.68 Å) with amino acids THR24, THR25, ASN142, GLY143, CYS145, HIS164, GLU166, the one electrostatic interaction (4.84 Å) with HIS41 and the five hydrophobic interactions (3.81–4.81 Å) with the amino acid residues HIS41, CYS145, HIS163. It is necessary to emphasize the formation of hydrogen, electrostatic and hydrophobic bonds between decamethoxine and amino acids of the catalytic dyad HIS41 - CYS145 of the M^pro active site.
Thus, the IBV virus is used as a human-safe model of SARS-CoV-2 virus included in the single family Coronaviridae with a similar difficult structure, similar target cells, a similar pathology type and an immunological reactivity, allowing us to assume the presence of similar molecular targets for the decamethoxin action. The calculated indicators of the interactions of decamethoxin in the IBV M<sup>pro</sup> and SARS-CoV-2 M<sup>pro</sup> active centers can significantly expand the possibilities of searching and analyzing of new antiviral agents of various chemical classes as M<sup>pro</sup> inhibitors of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

**Conclusion.** Thus, obtained in vitro results demonstrated that decamethoxine in concentrations of 100 μg/ml completely inactivate IBV coronavirus strain for 30 sec or more. At the same time, under the conditions of the lowest decamethoxin exposure of 10 sec a partial virucidal activity of the antiseptic is observed as 1.5 and 2.0 lg (TCID<sub>50</sub>/0.1 ml) at 24 and 48 h of cultivation, respectively. Decamethoxin virucidal properties against IBV coronavirus allow recommended as an antiseptic for non-specific prevention of coronavirus infection in adults with contact for 30 sec or more. Molecular docking studies of the potential mechanism of action have shown the complexation of decamethoxin into the active sites IBV M<sup>pro</sup> and SARS-CoV-2 M<sup>pro</sup>. The estimated binding energy of ligand-protein complexes M<sup>pro</sup> IBV and M<sup>pro</sup> SARS-CoV-2 is similar and amounts to -8.6 and -8.3 kcal/mol respectively. The amino acid residues ASN26, GLY141, GLU187, GLU164, THR24, THR25, ASN142, GLY143, CYS145, HIS164, GLU166 play a key role in the ligand-protein complex formation. The calculated high structure similarity between the M<sup>pro</sup> IBV and M<sup>pro</sup> SARS-CoV-2 can serve the perspective approach for the in vitro
**Fig. 7. Docking of decamethoxin into the IBV M\\textsuperscript{pro} active site**

| Score | Expect | Method | Identities | Positives | Gaps |
|-------|--------|--------|------------|-----------|------|
| 228 bits(580) | 5e-78 Compositional matrix adjust. | 129/316(41%) | 175/316(55%) | 19/316(6%) |
| Query 1 | SGFRKMAFP/KVEGCVMVQCTGTTTLLNGLVLDVYVCPRHVTICTSEDMLNPNNEDLLIR | 60 |
| Sbjct 3 | SGFKLVPSSAVEKCIVSSTGRRNLLNGLVLDGQCRHKHLVT---KFGSDQWGLNL |
| Query 61 | KSNHNFIVQAGN-VQLRVQHSMQCNCLVKLKDVTANQKTPKYKFRQPRQFQSFVLAN |
| Sbjct 60 | ANNHFEFVNTQNGVLNLNNKGGAVLIQTAVANAETPPYKYFVKNACGDSFTICSYG |
| Query 120 | GSPSGYQCAMRNFGSKFLSNG-employed G+G+Y | 119 |
| Sbjct 120 | GTIGLYPVTMRGNTIRASLAGACGSVSFVIEKGVWFFYMMHELPIPAHLTLMG |
| Query 180 | NVYGIFVDRQTQAAGDTTITTQNLAHLYAAB-------------NGDRLFNRFFTTTLNDNL |
| Sbjct 180 | EFYGNYRDVEEAQRVPPDNLVTNIAWLYAAIISVEESFSQPQKHRLESTDVISEDYNH |
| Query 233 | VAMKYNFEPTQDHIPLGAOQGTVLDMASLKEQMNRT-ILGSALLEDE |
| Sbjct 239 | WASONDFPTPSTTA--ITKLNSAIFGG---DSCCLLRLITVMSAQGSJDPILQYMNFEDE |
| Query 291 | FTPDVRQCGVTFQ | 306 |
| Sbjct 294 | LTPESVNVQGGVRLQ | 309 |

**Fig. 8. Protein BLAST results of main proteases IBV and SARS-CoV-2**
Fig. 9. The sequence alignment of main proteases of IBV (2Q6F) and SARS-CoV-2 (7C8BA); red-active site of main protease (amino acids 130-190)

Fig. 10. Docking of decamethoxin into the SARS-CoV-2 M<sup>pro</sup> active site
Oscivilovost' viruycidnoi aktivnosti dekametoksynu: doslidzhennja in vitro ta in silico
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Наведено дані щодо короткочасної дії декаметоксину на штам H120 вірусу інфекційного бронхіту (IBV), який використовується як безпечна людини модель вірусу SARS-CoV-2. Вірусну активність оцінювали за допомогою інвертованого мікроскопа PrimoVert (Німеччина) за деструктивною дією на лінію фібробластів BHK21. Результати in vitro показали, що декаметоксин (100 мкг/мл) повністю інактивував штам коронавірусу IBV при експозиції 30 с і більше. Під час найнижчої експозиції декаметоксину 10 с ефективність вируліцидної активності становила 33 і 36% від контролю через 24 і 48 год культивування відповідно. Молекулярний докінг-аналіз вказав на значну подібність структури основної протеази (M\(^{pro}\)) IBV та SARS-CoV-2. Докінг-дослідження взаємодії де-каметоксину з активними центрами IBV M\(^{pro}\) та SARS-CoV-2 M\(^{pro}\) продемонстрували утворення ліганд-протеїнових комплексів з орієнтовною енергією зв'язування -8,6, -8,4 ккал/моль та ключовими амінокислотними залишками ASN26, GLY141, GLU187, GLU164, THR24, THR25, ASN142, GLY143, CYS145, HIS146 і GLU166.
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