Effect of Curing Methods on the Compressive Strength of Kenaf Stabilised ‘Green’ Lateritic Mortar
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Abstract: The study considered the effects of curing methods on the compressive strength of kenaf stabilised lateritic mortar at 1:5 and 1:10 cement-aggregate mix ratio. Composition of the mortar for each of the cement mix ratios was also substituted with kenaf fibres at 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5% by weight. The effects of these factors on compressive strength of the green mortar revealed that at 1:5 cement mix, the values obtained from 28 days compressive strength of water cured samples revealed that, the kenaf replacement level should not exceed 1.0% while for air curing it should not exceed 1.5% in order to satisfy the ASTM C279 standard for O type mortar. However at 28 days the compressive strength of the samples at 1:10 cement mix did not meet the ASTM C279 standard for O and N type mortar thus indicating that binder strength was inadequate. In general the failure in kenaf fibrous mortar samples was observed to have been slow and gradual, compared to the instantaneous nature of failure observed in the pure cement-aggregate samples.
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1. Introduction

As the Nigerian population keeps increasing, there is an accompanying dire need for buildings. This has led to the increasing demand for building materials which are now expensive [1]. [2, 3] reported that the building industry is suffering from shortage and high cost of building materials. According to [4], housing programmes in Nigeria and other developing countries of the world are being executed without the use of traditional building materials. This has resulted in the high cost of building and the non-realization of governmental housing objectives. The cost of building materials unilaterally affects housing. The higher the cost of building materials, the higher the cost of housing construction and the fewer the number of people who can afford their desired houses [5]. According to [6], one of the suggestions for cutting down conventional building material costs has been the sourcing, development and use of alternative, non-conventional local construction materials.

Lateritic soils are widely used as a building material in Nigeria and many other developing countries of the world. In addition to Mud walls, brick masonry (dried or burnt type), buildings are made from lateritic soils in both rural and urban areas of the country, Nigeria. According to [7], the use of laterite to replace sand component of concrete either wholly or partially, is becoming widespread among the low income earners for building construction. The utilization of laterites enables the provision of low-cost houses and other rural infrastructures. Also, studies have been reported on fibre-reinforced laterized concrete by [8]. Wire, grass and kenaf rope were used to improve shear and tensile strength. When mortar is reinforced with biological fibre materials it is referred to as ‘green mortar’ in some quarters.

Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus) fibre has recently gained
popularity as a biological reinforcement [9-12]. This is due to its
renewability - ease of cultivation and high strength [13].

Utilisation of local materials is an important step to sustainable
construction to reduce transportation cost, save embodied energy,
and protect the environment [14]. Thus, an understanding of
how locally available materials can be adapted for buildings and
at the same time lower cost and raise standards without material
increase in cost is necessary [15].

This study was limited to the use of laterite, kenaf and
cement for the production of test specimens used for
compressive strength, in the Department of Agricultural and
Environmental Engineering, Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. The main objective was to
determine the effects of mix ratio, kenaf content, curing days
and method on the compressive strength of the specimens.
Findings from this study could supply knowledge on
environmentally sustainable practices which will help to
reduce the usage of steel, a non-renewable material in
construction of light weight structures.

2. Materials and Methods

The fine aggregate (lateritic soil) used for the study was
collected from a burrowed pit at Ajebulanle area, along Ife-
Ibadan express road, Ile-ife, Osun state in Nigeria. The
reinforcement (kenaf bast fibres) was procured from the
Kenaf Improvement Unit, Institute of Agricultural Research
and Training (IAR&T), Obafemi Awolowo University, Moor
Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeria. The binder (OPC) was purchased
from a building materials market located at Sabo area in Ile-
Ife and potable water from the Department of Agricultural
and Environmental Engineering, Obafemi Awolowo
University Ile-Ife.

The sieve analysis for the lateritic soil was carried out
using the mechanical sieve in the soil and water laboratory in
the Department of Agricultural and Environmental
Engineering. The machine was operated three times for ten
minutes. A constant homogenous soil sample (500 g) was
used in each case and their average was used in computations.
The result is shown in Table 1. To remove debris, stones and
obtain a fine soil, only particles passing through sieve
number 4 (4.75 mm) was used.

The batching was done by weight and two mix ratios, 1:5
and 1:10 were adopted as recommended by [16 and 17].
These mix ratios were kept constant throughout. The
water/cement ratio was determined using the slump test. The
water cement ratio was 0.26 and 0.22 for 1:5 and 1:10 mix
ratios respectively. This was to enhance mix workability as
kenaf bast fibres reduce workability. The kenaf bast fibre was
cut to 30 mm length size. The mixing followed a
chronological order; laterite and cement, water then finally,
fibres. Kenaf bast fibre used for the experiment were added
at the rate of 0%, 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, 2.0%, and 2.5% of the
weight of specimens used in this study.

A cast tray consisting of 25 number, 100 mm × 100 mm
cube units was used to produce test specimens (Figure 1).
After twenty four hours, the specimens were removed from
the casts. Each specimen was produced in triplicates. De-
casting was done within 24 hours and curing of the
specimens in water (Figure 2) and air (Figure 3) respectively
started. Specimens were cured for 7, 14, 21, and 28 days.

The bearing surface of the testing machine was cleaned,
specimens were then physically inspected for visible signs of
cracks or any damage then selected at random and aligned
centrally on the base of the universal testing machine. The load
was applied to the side perpendicular to the finishing surface
of the specimen. The load at failure was then recorded.

The compressive strength was calculated thus,

\[ C_s = \frac{P}{A} \]  

(1)

Where:
- \( C_s \) = compressive strength (N/mm²)
- \( P \) = compressive load at failure (N)
- \( A \) = surface area of specimen before failure (mm²)

![Figure 1. 100 mm × 100 mm cast tray used in producing the test cubes.](image-url)
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Compressive Strength of 1:5 Cement Mix Mortar

Results obtained from the study (Table 2) revealed that at 1:5 cement mix ratio the compressive strength of the cubes increased with length of curing in water and air for the 0% replacement level as observed by [18], the 0.5 and 1.0% kenaf replacement levels were also observed to have also offered similar results thus implying that the kenaf replacements at these levels had no noticeable effect on compressive strength of the mortar samples. The trend was however different for air cured samples with 2.0 and 2.5% kenaf replacement levels as the increment in compressive strength with length of curing was observed to have nosedived after 21 days curing this may have been due to the pronounced shrinkage of the kenaf materials as the cubes dried thereby leading to reduction in weight and higher loss of moisture (table 3) as well as formation of pores within the interstices of the concrete samples thereby increasing failure rate. Water cured samples were also observed to have followed the same trend after 21 days as observed in the air cured samples, however the loss of compressive strength in this case may have been due to reduction in the stability of the embedded biological material (kenaf) as a result of the presence of moisture which could cause the onset of decomposition. Statistically the result shows that the effect of the kenaf content was significant \((p \leq 0.05)\) for both the air and water cured mortar samples.

Table 2. Comparison of Compressive Strength (N/mm\(^2\)) for water and air cured specimens for 1:5 cement mix ratios at different curing days interval.

| Kenaf Content (%)* | 0.0  | 0.5  | 1.0  | 1.5  | 2.0  | 2.5  |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                    | 7 Days |   |      |      |      |      |
| Air 2.5%           | 3.06\(^a\) | 2.67\(^b\) | 1.29\(^b\) | 0.71\(^b\) | 0.57\(^c\) | 0.38\(^c\) |
| Water 2.5%         | 2.50\(^a\) | 2.03\(^b\) | 1.18\(^b\) | 0.50\(^b\) | 0.21\(^c\) | 0.18\(^c\) |
| Curing Days and Medium 14 Days |   |      |      |      |      |      |
| Air 3.55\(^a\) | 3.33\(^b\) | 1.70\(^b\) | 1.27\(^b\) | 0.62\(^c\) | 0.57\(^c\) |      |
| Water 2.80\(^a\) | 1.65\(^b\) | 1.13\(^b\) | 0.55\(^b\) | 0.50\(^b\) | 0.42\(^c\) |      |
| Curing Days and Medium 21 Days |   |      |      |      |      |      |
| Air 5.37\(^a\) | 3.67\(^b\) | 1.92\(^b\) | 1.48\(^b\) | 0.81\(^c\) | 0.41\(^c\) |      |
| Water 4.73\(^a\) | 3.41\(^b\) | 3.23\(^b\) | 2.00\(^f\) | 1.80\(^f\) | 1.80\(^f\) |      |
| Curing Days and Medium 2.8 Days |   |      |      |      |      |      |
| Air 6.03\(^a\) | 5.67\(^b\) | 4.00\(^b\) | 2.57\(^b\) | 1.50\(^b\) | 0.70\(^b\) |      |
| Water 5.30\(^a\) | 4.13\(^b\) | 3.10\(^b\) | 2.17\(^b\) | 2.75\(^c\) | 2.68\(^c\) |      |

* Superscripts with the same letters along the row are not significantly different at \(p \leq 0.05\).

Table 3. Weight variation of cubes with change in curing days and kenaf content at 1:5 cement mix ratio.

| Kenaf Content (%) | 7 Days | 14 Days | 21 Days | 28 Days |
|-------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0                 | 1892.47 | 1874.12 | 1869.22 | 1843.33 |
| 0.5               | 1832.54 | 1799.67 | 1789.31 | 1768.67 |
| 1.0               | 1801.98 | 1778.87 | 1762.10 | 1728.67 |
| 1.5               | 1697.21 | 1654.32 | 1648.45 | 1607.33 |
| 2.0               | 1625.07 | 1587.65 | 1552.32 | 1508.67 |
| 2.5               | 1601.43 | 1560.21 | 1522.23 | 1431.00 |

After 7 days of air curing it was observed that the control and 0.5% kenaf replacement samples were the only ones that had compressive strengths greater than standards as specified by [19] for mortar type O while none of the kenaf replacement samples met the same standard. At 28 days air curing the compressive strength of mortar samples at 0, 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 kenaf replacement levels were observed to have conformed to [19] standard for minimum compressive strengths for mortar type O. The air cured samples with kenaf replacement levels of 0 and 0.5 were also observed to have been above the minimum requirement for mortar type N according to [19] standard. This reveals that for air cured samples kenaf replacement levels should not exceed 1.5%. The water cured samples at 0, 0.5 and 1.0 kenaf replacement levels were observed to have passed the [19] standard for...
mortality of type O while none of the kenaf replacement samples passed the [19] standard for mortar type N. This result reveals that for water curing the kenaf replacement level should not exceed 1.0% at 1:5 cement mix ratio.

3.2. Compressive Strength of 1:10 Cement Mix Mortar

The compressive strength (Table 4) of the samples were also observed to have followed the same trend as those of 1:5 mix however, a comparison of the values of observed compressive strength with approved standards showed that mortar specimens at cement mix ratio 1:10 were all below [19] standard for both N and O mortar types for both water and air cured samples. The samples were also observed to have presented a much lighter weight (Table 5) than what was obtained for the 1:5 cement mix samples (Table 3), this was as a result of a combination of difference in densities resulting from cement-aggregate composition and the kenaf replacement in the mass of the samples. This variation in weight/density of biological material stabilised cement mortar agrees with findings made by [20] on cow dung stabilised earth bricks.

In general the failure in Kenaf fibrous mortar samples was observed to have been slow and gradual, compared to the instantaneous nature of failure observed in the control or 0% kenaf replacement samples at both 1:5 and 1:10 cement mix ratios. This implies that the fibrous mortar composite exhibited greater ductility and in essence higher ability to sustain load for a considerable length of time before failure despite the reduction in compressive strength it causes in the mortar. This also agrees with findings made by [21].

| Curing Days and Medium | Kenaf Content (%) | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7 Days Air | 0.76 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.14 |
| 14 Days Air | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| 21 Days Air | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.08 |
| 8 Days Air | 0.03 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.00 |

* Superscripts with the same letters along the row are not significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$.

| Kenaf Content (%) | Cube weight (g) | 7 Days | 14 Days | 21 Days | 28 Days |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1921.33 | 1897.23 | 1875.21 | 1853.00 |
| 0.5 | 1879.98 | 1842.98 | 1810.31 | 1784.33 |
| 1.0 | 1732.65 | 1698.78 | 1674.76 | 1642.67 |
| 1.5 | 1596.76 | 1562.22 | 1501.54 | 1477.33 |
| 2.0 | 1564.32 | 1532.87 | 1498.98 | 1475.00 |
| 2.5 | 1427.97 | 1397.65 | 1357.87 | 1299.33 |

Table 5. Weight variation of cubes with change in curing days and kenaf content at 1:10 cement mix ratio.

4. Conclusion

The study revealed that for water cured samples at 28 days, the compressive will be within required standard if the kenaf replacement level does not exceed 1.0% while it should not exceed 1.5% for air curing at 1:5 cement mix ratio. The 1:10 cement mix samples however did not meet the [22] standard for O and N type mortar although the trend of compressive strength was similar to what was obtained in the 1:5 samples thus indicating that binder strength was inadequate. In conclusion information obtained from the study has revealed that kenaf reinforcement of mortar could offer a promising ‘green’ construction material which could potentially be used in a number of different structural and non-structural applications.
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