Performance Evaluation of Commercially Available Rice Transplanters to Assess the Suitability to SRI
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ABSTRACT

SRI method of planting requires planting the seedlings on the grid which is difficult for the workers who do not normally follow proper spacing in planting and maintain seedling population per hill. To overcome such difficulties, a suitable transplanter should be developed for SRI method of cultivation. There are several self-propelled rice transplanters available commercially, which will reduce labour requirement drastically thereby reducing the cost of cultivation. Evaluation was carried out with different transplanters, different seedling rates and different hill to hill spacing. Hill to hill spacing adjustment did not have any influence on number of seedling per hill for all the three transplanters. Minimum of 3 seedlings per hill in both Hi-Tech and Yanmar transplanter was obtained with minimum seedling rate adjustment. Seedling rate and hill to hill spacing did not have any influence on depth of planting in all three transplanters. The number of missing hills per sq.m was inversely proportional to the seedling rate. Minimum 2 % missing hills and minimum buried hills of 1.04 % were observed in Hi-tech transplanter. The seedling rate and hill to hill spacing did not have significant influence on floating hills. Hi-tech transplanter was on par with yanmar transplanter. In addition, capital investment on Hi-tech transplanter was lesser to yanmar transplanter. Hence, Hi-tech transplanter was found suitable for modification to SRI.
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Introduction

Rice is the staple food for over two thirds of the population in India. India has the largest area under rice cultivation, occupying an area of 43.4 M ha with an annual production of 104 Mt and a productivity of 2.4 t/ha (Kasula Sekhara and Devarajulu, 2019). In System of Rice Intensification (SRI) method, 15 days old seedlings are transplanted on well puddled field. Only one younger seedling is transplanted per hill with row to row and hill to hill spacing of 250 mm (Upholf, 2004). Marking is done on puddled soil before transplantation to ensure proper spacing. This method of planting requires careful planting
on the grid which is difficult for the workers, who do not normally follow proper spacing in planting and do not maintain seedling population per hill (Berkelaar, 2001). Moser and Barett (2002) reported that SRI is difficult for farmers to practice as it requires additional labour and intensive care during the crop production. The reason among the SRI adopters for the discontinuity was elicited through multiple response analysis and presented in the order of priority as perceived by the respondents viz., handling difficulty of the younger seedlings in transplanting, skilled labour shortage for mat nursery preparation and coverage of planting area (Alagesan and Budhar, 2009). To overcome such difficulties, a suitable transplanter should be developed for SRI. There are several self-propelled rice transplanters available commercially, which will reduce labour requirement thereby reducing the cost of cultivation. Dixit et al., (2007) conducted a review on comparative performance of different rice transplanters developed in India and reported that 20 to 30 days seedlings were found most suitable for transplanting and the mat thickness for best result should be around 2 cm. It was also concluded that the machine transplanting reduced the labour requirement about to 59 man-h ha⁻¹ and 6 row manually operated transplanter was most economical.

But, all the existing rice transplanters are not suitable for SRI method of transplanting, because the two important crucial factors for SRI are not met satisfactorily. So, there is need to develop or modify the existing model of rice transplanter to better suit SRI. Thus this comparative field performance evaluation of commercially available rice transplanters to select a transplanter suitable for modification for SRI is contemplated.

**Materials and Methods**

The important critical factors which affect the performance of rice transplanters suitable for SRI viz., type of transplanters, seedling rate and hill to hill spacing were selected for the study. three rice transplanters viz., Hi-Tech walking type transplanter - 4 row (T₁), Yanmar riding type transplanter - 8 row (T₂) and Yanji riding type tranplanter -8 row (T₃) were selected. Number of seedlings per hill and seedling rate varies from machine to machine besides the seedling rate adjustment in particular transplanter. Hence, three levels of seedling rate viz., minimum (P₁), minimum+1(P₂) and minimum +2 (P₃) were selected. Row to row spacing is fixed and hill to hill spacing is adjustable in all the machines. In Yanji rice transplanter, only two stages of hill to hill spacing adjustment is provided and the range of spacing adjustment...
is also low (150 mm and 170 mm). Hence, two levels of seedling rate viz., minimum (H₁) and minimum+1(H₂) were selected.

Hill to hill spacing along the row, number of seedlings per hill, depth of planting, missing hills, floating hills, buried hills, damaged hills, standing angle and total number of seedlings per hill were measured in the field.

Hill to hill spacing was measured by a steel scale of 0.30 m length after transplanting. Twenty randomly selected observations were taken for each replication and the mean was determined in each case. Number of seedlings per hill was measured by directly counting the number of seedlings picked by planting finger and transplanted in the field per hill after transplanting. Depth of planting is the distance between the points on the seedling just outside the soil surface to the tip of the root. The seedlings were uprooted immediately after transplanting holding them close to the soil surface. The distance from that point to the tip of the root was measured as the depth of transplanting. The standing angle was measured using the protractor. The vertical line is taken as the reference and the inclination towards the direction of travel is taken as positive value and the inclination against the direction of travel is taken as negative value.

Missing hills were counted in a square m area after transplanting. Percentage missing hill was calculated by using the following relationship.

\[
\text{Missing hills} = \frac{\text{No. of missing hills per sq.m}}{\text{Total no. of hills per sq.m}} \times 100
\]

Floating hills were counted in a m² area after transplanting. Percentage of floating hills was calculated using the following relationship.

\[
\text{Floating hills} = \frac{\text{No. of floating hills per sq.m}}{\text{Total no. of hills per sq.m}} \times 100
\]

Buried hills were counted in a m² area after transplanting. Percentage of buried hills is calculated using the following relationship.

\[
\text{Buried hills} = \frac{\text{No. of buried hills per sq.m}}{\text{Total no. of hills per sq.m}} \times 100
\]

Damaged hills were counted in m² area after transplanting. The percentage of damaged hills was calculated using the following relationship.

\[
\text{Damaged hills} = \frac{\text{No. of damaged hills per sq.m}}{\text{Total no. of hills per sq.m}} \times 100
\]

The field allotted for the machine transplanting was divided into six equal sub plots with the interval of 1.0 m spacing between the sub-plot. Transplanting was carried out with the selected levels of the type of transplanter, seedling rate and hill to hill spacing (Fig. 1).

**Results and Discussion**

Hill to hill spacing did not have any influence on number of seedling per hill for all the three transplanters. Minimum of three seedlings per hill with minimum seedling rate (P₁) in Hi-Tech (T₁) and Yanmar Transplanter (T₂) were observed, whereas the minimum + 2 seedling rate (P₃) of Yanji Transplanter (T₃) registered the maximum of 9 number of seedlings per hill (Fig. 2). The seedling rate did not have any influence on hill to hill spacing. Hill to hill spacing was directly proportional to the hill to hill spacing for all the three transplanters. Mean hill to hill spacing of 140 mm and 180 mm were observed in Hi-Tech Transplanter respectively for minimum (H₁) and minimum + 1 (H₂) hill to hill spacing adjustment.
Hill to hill spacing of 160 mm and 190 mm were observed in Yanmar Transplanter respectively for minimum (H₁) and minimum + 1 (H₂) hill to hill spacing adjustment. Hill to hill spacing of 150 mm and 170 mm were observed in Yanji Transplanter respectively for minimum (H₁) and minimum + 1 (H₂) hill to hill spacing adjustment.

**Fig.1** Operational views of selected rice transplanter for the study

Hi-Tech (4 row)  
Yanmar (8 row)  
Yanji (8 row)

**Fig.2** Effect of selected transplanting parameters on dependent variables

Seedling rate adjustment and hill to hill spacing adjustment did not have any influence on depth of planting for all the three transplanters. The depth of planting was on par for Hi-Tech and Yanmar transplanters. The average depth planting of 40.3 mm was observed for Hi-Tech transplanter, whereas that was 37.4 mm for Yanmar transplanter.
and 24 mm for Yanji transplanter. The standing angle of seedlings increased as the number of seedling or seedling rate increased for Hi-Tech and Yanji transplanter. An average of 22° standing angle was observed for Hi-Tech transplanter whereas 18.3° and 26° for Yanmar and Yanji transplanters were observed respectively.

The number of missing hills per sq.m was inversely proportional to the seedling rate adjustment. This might be due to the fact that the probability of number of missing hills was reduced as the number of seedling per hill increased. Hill to hill spacing adjustment was found to affect the number of missing hills per m² inversely. The number of missing hills was higher for Yanji transplanters followed by Hi-tech and Yanmar transplanter. Minimum 2 per cent of missing hills per m² was observed for Hi-tech transplanter with minimum +2 seedling rate and minimum +1 hill to hill spacing combination (P₃H₂). An average of 3.74 per cent missing hills was observed for Yanmar transplanter, whereas 4.14 and 6.75 per cent of missing hills were observed for Hi-Tech and Yanji transplanters respectively. Trend similar to that of missing hills was exhibited for the buried hills. The minimum number of buried hills of 1.04 per cent was observed for Hi-tech transplanter with minimum +2 seedling rate and minimum hill to hill spacing combination (P₃H₁). The seedling rate adjustment and hill to hill spacing adjustment did not have any significant influence on number of floating hills for all the transplanters studied.

Higher percentage (Average of 3 per cent) of floating hills was observed for all the treatments of Yanji transplanter. Minimum floating hills was observed with minimum +1 seedling rate and minimum +1 hill to hill spacing combination (P₂H₁) and minimum +2 seedling rate and minimum hill to hill spacing combination (P₃H₁) of Hi-tech and with all the combinations of seedling rate and hill to hill spacing of Yanmar transplanter, whereas maximum of 4.1 per cent floating hills was noticed with minimum seedling rate and minimum hill to hill spacing combination (P₁H₁) of Yanji transplanter. Trend similar to that of floating hills was exhibited for damage hills for all the transplanters.

The results of the comparative field performance evaluation of the three transplanters revealed that Hi-tech transplanter was on par with Yanmar transplanter in terms of most of the parameters under study. In addition, capital investment on Hi-tech transplanter was lower to the Yanmar transplanter. The average depth planting of 40.3 mm was noticed for Hi-Tech transplanter. The standing angle increased as the number of seedling or seedling rate increased. Combination of minimum seedling rate and minimum +1 hill to hill spacing (P₁H₂) gave minimum number of seedlings per hill of 3-4 with less average number of missing hills/m² of 6-7% for both Hi-Tech and Yanmar transplanters. Hence, Hi-tech transplanter with minimum seedling rate (3-4 seedlings/hill) and maximum hill to hill spacing (210 mm) was optimized for modification for SRI.

It is concluded, based on the results observed from the evaluation, Hi-tech transplanter was on par and with Yanmar transplanter in terms of most of the parameters under study. In addition, capital investment on the Hi-tech transplanter is much lower than the Yanmar transplanter. Hence, the Hi-tech transplanter is suitable for the modification for the SRI method.
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