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Abstract—This paper presents a new integro-differential coupling between partial equivalent electrical circuits (PEEC) and finite difference method (FDM) taking into account the magnetization effect. This coupling is intended for thin plates having simultaneously significant conductive and magnetic properties in the presence of exciting coils of complex topologies. These cases exist in eddy current nondestructive testing (ECNDT), eddy current separation, induction or levitation melting devices, and more other applications. The choice of FDM, is in relation with rectangular surfaces generated by numerical meshes leading to mathematical integrations of magnetic and electrical quantities with independent variables, unlike more complicated forms of surfaces generated by finite element method (FEM) or others. Fully successful analytical expressions have been realized and implemented in overall coupling process. The PEEC method is mainly used to calculate the magnetic field applied to the nodes of the plate from different inclined polygonal coils. The results of magnetic field and eddy current distributions on thin plates are presented, and parts of them are compared with those realized by Flux 3D software.

1. INTRODUCTION

Accidental or voluntary eddy currents generation in metal objects is a classical well-known phenomenon used in various practical applications. Eddy current nondestructive testing (ECNDT) is one of interesting tools to mainly detect serious cracks in metal plates by measuring impedance changes [1–3]. Eddy current separation is also a relevant method for extricating conducting and magnetic particles from other ores, liquids or grainy manufactured products [4, 5]. In levitation melting, eddy currents are responsible to heat, melt specific objects and already help to repel particles avoiding any direct contact with the container [6, 7]. Another recent technology called electrodynamic sorting uses high-frequency electromagnets to separate conducting metal particles from other of a different physical nature container [8–10]. Electromagnetic damping signifies the creation of a resistive force that causes a conductive object, in which eddy currents flow, to slow down without physically touching it. It is a principle used on rail brakes to help high-speed rail carriages stop at certain points without the need of physical brakes. From the cited applications of eddy currents, a great number of induced regions can be considered as thin. The property of being thin can obey at two different aspects, geometrical and electromagnetic geometrical ones, because the regions have at least one thickness incomparably smaller than the other dimensions, electromagnetically thin, if the thickness is much smaller than the penetration depth of the electromagnetic field [11]. The study and analysis of eddy current distributions in systems containing a thin plate and complex topologies of coils need specific treatments. Several research articles in the field of nondestructive testing (NDT) have addressed the cases of thin materials...
whether for the simultaneous measurement of the distance and the thickness of a thin metal plate based on the analytical Deed and Dodd model [12], or the use of the multi-frequency and pulsed eddy current technique for the same reason [13, 14]. Other work has overcome the problems generated by the modeling of thin regions by the finite element method, based on the surface impedance approach [15, 16]. For these types of 3D applications, the direct use of differential approaches, such as finite element method (FEM) or others, is often difficult and may be sometimes inefficient because of meshes generation (generating bi-dimensional elements for thin regions and three-dimensional elements for all other parts of the concerned systems). The partial equivalent electrical circuits (PEEC) method is mainly used for modeling complex electrical interconnections and can be applied to a large range of devices in which the air region is really dominant [17–22]. The developments in classical PEEC method need to be applied for conducting materials by calculating magnetic and electrical interactions (inductances, capacitances for arbitrary oriented parallelepipedic elementary conductors). This technique has the advantage that it is reasonably intuitive to many electrical engineers, and it is easy to integrate the field solver with real circuit elements. Problems can be solved in the time domain or in the frequency domain [23–26]. The major inconvenience of the PEEC resides in its incapability to treat magnetic materials without associating other approaches. In this way, the newest works for coupling PEEC with FEM were realized [27]. For such applications, there exist two types of meshes, the mesh of exciting inductors generated for PEEC method and the mesh of the thin plate by 2D triangular finite elements. In [27–30], the authors implement the magnetization and eddy current effects in thin plates by calculating the result integrals among triangular surfaces of the 2D meshes. These calculations of integrals with dependent geometric variables are not easy to solve, and they are actually issued by numerical ways. In this work, we propose a new coupling between PEEC and FDM in which all the electromagnetic interactions can be expressed analytically and integrated in the total global matrix system to gain more speed and precision when dealing with these three-dimensional electromagnetic problems. Like that, we also benefit from the advantages of the two methods, PEEC and FDM, for which the developments are ceaseless. For FDM, the newest works were developed notably in grids considerations. [31] confirmed that the FDM with hexahedral elements and edge element method have common features. The newest structures of finite-difference schemes were exposed by [32, 33]. [34] proposed the high-order finite-difference schemes for Navier-Stokes 2D equations. By this approach, we also think about 2D materials and thin films characterization and modelling [35]).

2. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

2.1. Problem Description

The adopted electromagnetic model for our problem is the same as those approached in [27–30]. The formulation contains the electric potential and magnetization for the thin plate:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2 \bar{T} - j \omega \mu \sigma \left( \frac{\mu_r}{\mu_r - 1} \right) \bar{M} &= 0 \\
\frac{\bar{M}(\vec{r})}{\mu_r - 1} + \frac{\nabla}{4 \pi} \int_V \frac{\bar{M}(\vec{r}')}{{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}^3} \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \, dV' - \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_V \frac{\nabla \times [\bar{T}(\vec{r}') \cdot \hat{n}] \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')}{{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|}^3} \, dV' &= \bar{H}_0
\end{aligned}
\]

(1)

In this formulation, eddy currents are assumed to flow tangentially to the plate allow us to consider only a normal component of the electric potential \(T\). The entire problem is composed of a three-dimensional oriented massive coil above a conducting and magnetic thin plate located at the \(xOy\) plane of the cartesian coordinate system, Figure 1. The normal applied magnetic field \(H_0\) on the plate issued from the massive conductor coils is entirely calculated by PEEC method. From Equation (1), the two integrals will be developed analytically and integrated in FDM process. Applying FDM to the thin plate, we obtain the total matrix system according to Equations (1) and presented as:

\[
[A] \begin{bmatrix} B \\ C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \\ M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ H_0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

(2)

All the elementary squares matrices \((A, B, C, \text{ and } D)\) have the same size according to the total number of grid nodes.
2.2. Matrices Developments

2.2.1. Matrix \([A]\)

Matrix \([A]\) is in relation with Laplacian operator \(\nabla^2\). Generally in FDM, the rectangular physical region is composed of a uniform grid. As shown in Figure 2, the ascendant numbering is made respective to \(x\) axis (it can also be done for \(y\) axis). This regular mesh has a uniform discretisation in the two axes respecting constant steps \(\Delta x\) and \(\Delta y\). With these considerations, the Laplacian is given:

\[
\nabla^2 T = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} = \frac{T(i+1,j) - 2T(i,j) + T(i-1,j)}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{T(i,j+1) - 2T(i,j) + T(i,j-1)}{\Delta y^2}
\]

(3)

According to the grid shown at Figure 2, we can partially express the \(A_x\) and \(A_y\) matrices in direct relation with \(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}\) and \(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}\), as:

\[
A_x = \frac{-1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{bmatrix}
2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots \\
\vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & -1 & 2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A_y = \frac{-1}{\Delta y^2} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(4)

A little difference is obtained for \(A_y\). The two adjacent values will be situated in different
Figure 2. Finite difference mesh adopted for thin plate.

submatrices:

\[ A_y = -\frac{1}{\Delta y^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 \end{bmatrix} \]

Finally, the full matrix \([A]\) is reached by adding \(A_x\) and \(A_y\) (Equation (3)).

2.2.2. Matrix \([B]\)

\([B]\) is a diagonal matrix with the same dimension of \(A\), and it is expressed according to Equation (1):

\[ [B] = \frac{j \omega \mu \sigma \mu_r}{\mu_r - 1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{j \omega \mu \sigma \mu_r}{(\mu_r - 1)} [I_d] \]
First, we develop the expressions inside the volumic integral. Consequently, we obtain:

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{V} \nabla \wedge \left[ \mathbf{T} \left( \mathbf{r'} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right] \times \left( \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r'} \right) dV' = \frac{e}{4\pi} \int_{x'}^{y'} \nabla \wedge \left[ \mathbf{T} \left( \mathbf{r'} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \right] \times \left( \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r'} \right) dx'dy' \quad (7)
\]

First, we develop the expressions inside the volumic integral. Consequently, we obtain:

\[
\nabla \wedge \mathbf{T} \left( \mathbf{r'} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x} \right) \mathbf{k}
\]

The last expression to be integrated becomes:

\[
\frac{e}{4\pi} \int_{x'}^{y'} \left( \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial y} (y - y') + \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x} (x - x') \right) dx'dy'
\]

After all integrations, we obtain a pure analytical expression:

\[
\frac{3eT}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{x - (-1)^p \cdot \frac{\Delta x'}{2}}{x - x'} \right] \left[ \frac{y - (-1)^q \cdot \frac{\Delta y'}{2}}{y - y'} \right]^{\frac{\Delta y'}{2} - \frac{\Delta x'}{2}}
\]

\[
= T \frac{3e}{2\pi} \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{1} (-1)^{p+q} \left[ \frac{x - (-1)^p \cdot \frac{\Delta x'}{2}}{x - x'} \right] \left[ \frac{y - (-1)^q \cdot \frac{\Delta y'}{2}}{y - y'} \right]^{\frac{\Delta y'}{2} - \frac{\Delta x'}{2}} \quad (11)
\]

In this last expression, we denote the presence of the variables \((x, y)\) and \((x', y')\). The \(x\) and \(y\) present the calculation point coordinates in the thin plate, and \(x'\) and \(y'\) are the elementary element coordinates from the thin plate interacting with all elements generated by the regular grid according to FDM consideration Figure 3 and Figure 4.

To avoid possible singularities known in integral approaches and to have same matrices sizes, we choose the calculation points identical to real nodes, but for integrals calculation, the corresponding elements are generated by another fictive grid (see discontinuous lines configuration in Figure 4). For simplifying the matrix form, we can write the matrix \([C]\) as:

\[
[C_{ij}] = \frac{3e}{2\pi} \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{1} (-1)^{p+q} \left[ \frac{x_i - x_j + (-1)^p \cdot \frac{\Delta x'}{2}}{x_i - x_j + (-1)^p \cdot \frac{\Delta x'}{2}} \right] \left[ \frac{y_i - y_j + (-1)^q \cdot \frac{\Delta y'}{2}}{y_i - y_j + (-1)^q \cdot \frac{\Delta y'}{2}} \right] \quad (12)
\]

The \(i\) and \(j\) indices correspond respectively to the observation point and the center of the rectangular element.
2.2.4. Matrix $[D]$

The main influencing term in matrix $[D]$ always has an integral form (Equation (1)). It can be expressed by another manner as:

$$\frac{\mathbf{\nabla}}{4\pi} \int_V \frac{\vec{r} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^3} \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \ dV' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \left[ \frac{-\vec{M}(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^3} + 3 \frac{\vec{M}(\vec{r})}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^5} \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \right] (\vec{r} - \vec{r}') dV'$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The magnetization $\vec{M}$ is assumed to be co-linear with the applied magnetic field and normal to the surface of the thin plate [1, 2]. In this case, the second term of Equation (13) will be neglected (scalar product). From the last expression, the first term will only be considered:

$$\frac{\mathbf{\nabla}}{4\pi} \int_V \frac{\vec{M}(\vec{r}') \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^3} dV' = -\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} M \left[ \frac{\sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2}}{(x-x')(y-y')} \right] \frac{\Delta y'}{2} \frac{\Delta x'}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
We take the same considerations as in developing $[C]$ matrix, and respecting Equations (1) and (2), we obtain:

$$[D] = \frac{1}{\mu_r - 1} ([Id] + [D_{ij}])$$ (15)

$$[D_{ij}] = \frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{1} (-1)^{p+q} \left[ \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j + (-1)^p \cdot \frac{\Delta x'}{2})^2} \right] 
+ \left[ \frac{1}{(y_i - y_j + (-1)^q \cdot \frac{\Delta y'}{2})^2} \right]$$ (16)

### 2.2.5. Calculation of $[H_0]$ Vector by PEEC Method

Because of complex topologies of massive conductors for exciting coils near the thin plate, we develop a three-dimensional procedure and compute the magnetic field in the thin plate according to the regular mesh imposed by the FDM considerations. Our goal is to always consider the plate at the $xOy$ plane and the inclined configuration for the coil. Initially, we can realize the calculation procedure for an arbitrary oriented conductor element of the coil, indicated by $(n)$ Figure 5. The basis model consists of a calculation of magnetic field produced by an elementary parallelepipedic conductor $(n)$ carrying constant current $I_n$ in $X_n$ direction and placed in a center of the local axis $OnXnYnZn$ also defined in global reference $Oxyz$, as shown in Figure 5. This model was adopted by us for only one angle of inclination [36, 37]. In this case, two angles will be considered ($\Theta$ and $\Psi$ for Euler transformations consideration). The only component $A_{X_n}$ is defined in its local reference. At any point $M$ according to $O_nX_nY_nZ_n$, the magnetic vector potential component $A_{X_n}$ is given as:

$$A_{X_n} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{-cn}^{cn} \int_{-bn}^{bn} \int_{-an}^{an} \left( \frac{J_x \mid \vec{R} \mid}{\mid \vec{R} \mid} \right) dX_n dY_n dZ_n$$ (17)

with

$$\mid \vec{R} \mid = \sqrt{(X_{cn} - X_n)^2 + (Y_{cn} - Y_n)^2 + (Z_{cn} - Z_n)^2}$$ (18)

We can obtain the magnetic fields by:

$$\vec{H} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$$

with

$$\vec{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{-cn}^{cn} \int_{-bn}^{bn} \int_{-an}^{an} \left( \frac{J_x \mid \vec{R} \mid}{\mid \vec{R} \mid} \right) dX_n dY_n dZ_n$$ (19)

**Figure 5.** Arbitrary oriented coil conductor element.
After all integrations of Eq. (17) and applying the rotational expression (19), the solution of magnetic field components is given by intermediary variables, \( U_n, V_n, \) and \( W_n \).

\[
H_{Yn} = \frac{J}{4\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{k=0}^{1} (-1)^{i+j+k} \left( U_n \ln(r_n - V_n) + V_n \ln(r_n - U_n) + W_n \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{U_n V_n}{W_n r_n} \right) \right)
\]

\[
H_{Zn} = \frac{J}{4\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sum_{k=0}^{1} (-1)^{i+j+k} \left( -U_n \ln(r_n - W_n) - W_n \ln(r_n - U_n) - V_n \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{U_n W_n}{V_n r_n} \right) \right)
\]

with the intermediary variables, \( U_n, V_n, \) and \( W_n, \) respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_n &= X_n - (-1)^i a_n \\
V_n &= Y_n - (-1)^j b_n \\
W_n &= Z_n - (-1)^k c_n \\
r_n &= \sqrt{U_n^2 + V_n^2 + W_n^2}
\end{align*}
\]

It is interesting to define the magnetic field at the same point \( M(x, y, z) \) defined in the absolute reference \( Oxyz \) and according to direct rotation using Euler transformations matrices (around \( z \) axis and \( y \) axis)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_{cn} \\
Y_{cn} \\
Z_{cn}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_n & \sin \theta_n & 0 \\
-\sin \theta_n & \cos \theta_n & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \psi_n & 0 & -\sin \psi_n \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sin \psi_n & 0 & \cos \psi_n
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_j - \alpha_n \\
y_j - \beta_n \\
z_j - \gamma_n
\end{bmatrix}
= 
[T_n]
\begin{bmatrix}
x_j - \alpha_n \\
y_j - \beta_n \\
z_j - \gamma_n
\end{bmatrix}
\]

From the vector potential component in the inclined local referential, we can now calculate the magnetic field in the general basis referential \( Oxyz \) by the inverse transformation matrix.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
H_{xn} \\
H_{yn} \\
H_{zn}
\end{bmatrix}
= [T_n]^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
H_{Yn} \\
H_{Zn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[T_n]^{-1}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_n \cos \psi_n & \sin \theta_n \cos \psi_n & \sin \psi_n \\
\sin \theta_n \cos \psi_n & \cos \theta_n \cos \psi_n & 0 \\
-\cos \theta_n \sin \psi_n & -\sin \theta_n \sin \psi_n & \cos \psi_n
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
H_{xn} = -H_{Yn} \sin \theta_n \cos \psi_n + H_{Zn} \sin \psi_n \\
H_{yn} = H_{Yn} \cos \theta_n \\
H_{zn} = H_{Yn} \sin \theta_n \sin \psi_n + H_{Zn} \cos \psi_n
\]

To validate our developments, we apply this basic principle to calculate the magnetic field around different coils. For all cuboidal elements \( (N_{seg}) \) of the closed coil, each segment is defined simultaneously by its inclined angle and proper dimensions. The total magnetic vector potential becomes:

\[
H_x = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{seg}} H_{xn} \quad H_x = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{seg}} H_{xn} \quad H_z = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{seg}} H_{zn}
\]

According to the thin plate placed in \( Oxy \) plane, only the \( H_z \) component will be considered. The vector \( H_{0j} \) is defined according to the node coordinates \( (x_j, y_j, z_i = \text{cst}) \)

\[
H_{0j} = H_{zj}
\]
2.3. Calculation Summary

The total calculation steps for determining eddy currents and magnetization can be respectively summarized as:

**Partial equivalent electrical circuits (PEEC) Method**

1. Number and dimensions of all \((n)\) linear massive conductors \((N_{\text{seg}}, a_n, b_n, c_n)\).
2. Centers coordinates of parallelepiped conductors \((\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n)\).
3. Inclined angles \((\theta_n\) and \(\psi_n)\).
4. Rectangular grid coordinates of thin plate in \(Oxy\) plane \((x_j, y_j\) and \(z_j = \text{cst})\).
5. Calculation of \((X_{Cn}, Y_{Cn}\) and \(Z_{Cn})\) from Equation (23).
6. Calculation of \((U_n, V_n, W_n\) and \(r_n)\) from Equation (22).
7. Calculation of local elementary magnetic field components in Equations (20) and (21).
8. Calculation of global magnetic field components in thin plate Equations (28) to (30).
9. Calculation of global field components from all coil in thin plate Equation (31).
10. From results, we calculate \(H_0\) the normal field component on thin plate Equation (32).

**Finite difference method-FDM**

11. Generating the matrices \([A], [B], [C]\) and \([D]\) from respectively Equations (4), (5), (6), (12) and (16).
12. Resolving the global matrix system Equation (2).

2.4. Applications

2.4.1. Calculation of Magnetic Field on Thin Plate from Polygonal Arbitrary Oriented Coils

To compose aimed polygonal shapes, we demonstrate the manner to consider several topologies of massive coils by generating multiple parallelepipedic conductors, to compose the aimed polygonal shapes. Figure 6 shows rectangular and hexagonal coils. For hexagonal form, we have demonstrated the numbering of conductor segments to reach the total number defined by \(N_{\text{seg}} = 6\). Each segment is inclined by \(\theta_n\) and \(\psi_n\) versus the global \(x\) axis (element 2 was chosen for demonstration).

![Figure 6. Arbitrary oriented polygonal coils.](image)
We generate regular polygons by respecting the chosen circle circumference. The $\theta_n$ angles are increased by a constant angle equivalent to $(360/N_{seg})$ in degree. The $\Psi_n$ angles are generally constant and equal for all massive conductor’s bloc, and they are responsible of coil tilt. It is also possible to generate more complex and arbitrary configurations by imposing corner points, which respectively link two adjacent parallelepedic conductors. The conducting ferromagnetic plate has a conductivity $\sigma = 9.6$ MS/m and relative permeability $\mu_r = 50$ [1]. The thickness is $e = 1.5$ mm, and the dimension is $200 \times 200$ mm. A square coil of dimension $100 \times 100$ mm is placed above this plate, inclined by $30^\circ$ and supplied with a current of $1$ A and cross section of the coil $(2a \times 2b = 6 \times 6$ mm) Figure 7(a).

![Applied magnetic field $H_0$ on the thin plate from a square coil [Am$^{-1}$]](image.png)

![Applied magnetic field $H_0$ on the thin plate from a circular coil [Am$^{-1}$]](image.png)

![Applied magnetic field $H_0$ on the thin plate from a polygon coil [Am$^{-1}$]](image.png)

![Magnetic field $H_0$ on thin plate from Hexagonal pancake coil [Am$^{-1}$]](image.png)

**Figure 7.** Calculated magnetic field from different coil configurations.

With the same diametral distance and increasing the number of segments to $N_{seg} = 20$, we can obtain a circular loop configuration and its magnetic field generated on the same plate Figure 7(b). A hexagonal coil is obtained for $N_{seg} = 6$ Figure 7(c), and its generated magnetic field intensity is situated between the square and circular loops because of the differences in elementary conductors number and the enclosed surface. Figure 7(d) demonstrates a magnetic field concentration possibility from an hexagonal pancake coil of 6 turns starting from an outer diameter. All the results of Figure 7
were obtained by programming our approach in Matlab software. The FDM grid was imposed in \( XY \) plane, and the coils were shaped by patch elements. By this way, we can also build more complex coils configurations by implementing arbitrary shapes, hence the strength of this PEEC model is associated with Euler’s geometric transformations.

### 2.4.2. Calculation of Eddy Currents on Thin Plate

After calculating eddy current distribution on a thin plate by PEEC/FD model, we now validate, in Figure 8 and Figure 9, the same prototype under the equivalent physical considerations using Flux3D finite element software. The curly shape of the currents for 50 Hz power supply is observed for the two approaches. Globally, the two models present similar eddy current densities in which we can observe the highest values in the closer zone between the coil and the plate. For more precise presentation results, we choose one line segment on the plate parallel to \( y \)-axis, defined by the two points \((0, -0.15)\) \((0, 0.15)\) Figure 10. For this line path, we display the calculated eddy currents by the two methods.

**Figure 8.** Eddy current calculation by FEM Flux3D.  
**Figure 9.** Eddy current calculation by PEEC/FD.  

**Figure 10.** Eddy current comparison between FEM and PEEC/FD.
From these lasts, we can observe a good distribution of curves for the two approaches with slight differences due principally to several factors. We can cite the differences on meshes topologies (numbers of nodes and elements and their repartitions that cause the increase of iterations errors, the 3D elements in FEM against totally 2D in FDM), and the interactions are calculated analytically and then inserted in the global numerical systems for PEEC/FDM which offer more precision against the total numerical procedure implemented for FEM. Adding to this, the PEEC/FD is very fast compared to FEM method and can be implemented in many programming codes.

3. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we have proposed a new coupling procedure for calculating eddy currents in thin conductive and magnetic plates. In the first step, we have presented the appropriate electromagnetic model in which the currents circulate tangentially, and the magnetisation is considered by its perpendicular effect. The choice of the FDM is justified by the creation of rectangular surface elements. They are very suitable for analytically resolving the integrals in relation with the magnetization and eddy currents expressions. By analytical results, we can get more precision and robustness leading to a significant reduction in memory requirements and computation time. Another great advantage in this work is the detailed manner for calculating magnetic field from arbitrary oriented complex configuration coils, in which Euler transformations are mainly used for at least two considerable directions. This approach has the potential to affect numerous 3D electromagnetic applications using abundant programming languages, and it is also suitable for any optimization process. With the recent developments in nonhomogeneous FDM and their use for modern 2D materials and thin films, this approach can be an enormous asset in electrical characterization or simply in numerical modelling.
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