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Abstract
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1 Introduction

A Hamiltonian system is superintegrable if it is integrable in the Liouville sense and it admits more globally defined constants of motion than degrees of freedom. If the system has three degrees of freedom and it admits five functionally independent integrals of motion then the system is maximally superintegrable. At the classical level superintegrability means that all bounded trajectories are closed while at the quantum level this property is related to the degeneracy of the energy levels. The two best known examples of these systems are the harmonic oscillator and the Kepler-Coulomb problem. In fact, probably the first and oldest study on this matter was the theorem of Bertrand [1, 2] (although of course without using this word) which states that the only central potentials for
which all bounded trajectories are closed are just these two particular systems: isotropic oscillator and Kepler potential. In these two cases the additional integrals of motion are the components of the Fradkin tensor for the harmonic oscillator, and of the Runge–Lenz vector in the case of the Kepler system.

Fris et al. studied the superintegrability in the Euclidean plane and proved the existence of four families of superintegrable systems with quadratic in the momenta constants of motion; two of these families were related with the harmonic oscillator and the other two with the Kepler problem. This research was then continued in three-dimensional Euclidean space by Evans and then other systems were studied in different situations as on spaces with constant curvature, on two-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean spaces, on spaces with conformally Euclidean metrics and even on more general curved spaces (see for a review). Most of these systems were endowed with quadratic integrals of motion but systems possessing integrals of motion of higher-order have also been studied, mainly in the two-dimensional Euclidean space. We also mention some other recent articles dealing with different aspects of superintegrability.

In the present paper we analyze the superintegrability first of the oscillator and the Kepler systems and then of some oscillator-related and Kepler-related Hamiltonian systems on three-dimensional spaces of constant curvature, that is, on the Sphere and the Hyperbolic space. Actually, the paper is related to some previous papers that were also concerned with similar problems. Some of them were related with classical Hamiltonian systems on two-dimensional spaces and others were devoted to the quantum superintegrability on and and also on and .

It is clear that spherical and hyperbolic spaces are endowed with quite different geometrical properties but nevertheless some dynamical properties (as for example those related with the integrability of Hamiltonian systems) can be studied by making use of a joint approach valid for the two types of spaces. So, the main idea is to study at the same time in a joint or unified form and not as two different studies both situations: dynamics on the sphere (curvature positive) and dynamics on the Hyperbolic space (curvature negative). With this aim we will make use of the similar notation and techniques introduced in the above-mentioned previous articles. The following points summarize the main characteristics of this approach.

(i) All the mathematical expressions are presented using the curvature as a parameter, in such a way that particularizing for , or , the corresponding properties are obtained for the system on the sphere , the Euclidean space , or the hyperbolic space , respectively.

(ii) The limit when is always well defined and when all the characteristics of the Euclidean system are recovered.

(iii) Many different -dependent potentials can be constructed satisfying properties (i) and (ii). Nevertheless, if we require that the superintegrability must be preserved, then this condition determines a particular -dependent function among all the possible curved version of the Euclidean potential.

A consequence of this approach is that we obtain the following result
(iv) All the fundamental properties of the superintegrable Euclidean system continue to hold for the curvature-modified Hamiltonian with \( \kappa \neq 0 \) (in both cases \( \kappa > 0 \) and \( \kappa < 0 \)) but they appear in a modified \( \kappa \)-dependent way.

The main idea is that the spherical and hyperbolic versions of the harmonic oscillator and Kepler system can be considered as deformations of the well known Euclidean systems, and conversely, the Euclidean harmonic oscillator and Kepler system are very particular cases of the more general “curved” systems. This fact remains also true for the noncentral systems obtaining by adding some additional nonlinear terms to these two important central potentials.

In more detail, the plan of this article is as follows: In Section 2 we first present the notation and then we study the existence of Killing vector fields, the Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian for the geodesic free motion, the existence of Noether symmetries and the properties of the Noether momenta. Then in Section 3 we first study the harmonic oscillator on \( S^3 \) and \( H^3 \) and then an oscillator-related Hamiltonian with three nonlinear terms of the form \( k_1/x^2 \), \( k_2/y^2 \), and \( k_3/z^2 \). In Section 4 we study the noncentral 2:1:1 oscillator also with additional nonlinear terms and in Section 5 first the Kepler problem and then we analyze a Kepler system modified with the three \( \kappa \)-dependent nonlinear terms \( k_1/x^2 \), \( k_2/y^2 \) and \( k_3/z^2 \) and we prove that it is also superintegrable but with constants of motion of fourth order in the momenta. Finally, in Section 6 we make some final comments.

2 Geodesic motion, \( \kappa \)-dependent formalism, Killing vector fields, and Noether momenta

In the following, all the mathematical expressions will depend of the curvature \( \kappa \) as a parameter, in such a way that for \( \kappa > 0 \), \( \kappa = 0 \), or \( \kappa < 0 \), we will obtain the corresponding property of the Hamiltonian system particularized on the three-dimensional spaces Sphere \( S^3 \), Euclidean space \( \mathbb{E}^3 \) and Hyperbolic space \( H^3 \).

In order to obtain appropriate curvature-dependent expressions we will make use the following curvature-dependent trigonometric and hyperbolic functions:

\[
C_{\kappa}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\cos \sqrt{\kappa} x & \text{if } \kappa > 0, \\
1 & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\
\cosh \sqrt{-\kappa} x & \text{if } \kappa < 0,
\end{cases} \\
S_{\kappa}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin \sqrt{\kappa} x & \text{if } \kappa > 0, \\
x & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \sinh \sqrt{-\kappa} x & \text{if } \kappa < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

(1)

and the \( \kappa \)-dependent tangent defined in the natural way

\[
T_{\kappa}(x) = \frac{S_{\kappa}(x)}{C_{\kappa}(x)},
\]

so that the Euclidean limits are correctly defined

\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 0} C_{\kappa}(x) = 1, \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} S_{\kappa}(x) = x, \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} T_{\kappa}(x) = x,
\]

(these functions, that were used in the papers [51]–[57] mentioned in the introduction, have also been used by other authors, see, e.g. [13, 21] and [58, 59]). In this way we can express in a single
\(\kappa\)-dependent formula the differential element of distance \(ds^2(\kappa)\) in geodesic spherical coordinates \((r,\theta,\phi)\) on the three three-dimensional manifolds Sphere \(S^3\), Euclidean space \(\mathbb{R}^3\) and Hyperbolic space \(H^3\)

\[
ds^2(\kappa) = dr^2 + S^2_\kappa(r) \ d\theta^2 + S^2_\kappa(r) \sin^2 \theta \ d\phi^2,
\]

where we recall that \(r\) denotes the geodesic distance to the origin (North pole in the spherical case) and not the radius of a sphere. It reduces to

\[
ds^2_1 = dr^2 + \sin^2 r \ d\theta^2 + \sin^2 r \sin^2 \theta \ d\phi^2,
\]
\[
ds^2_0 = dr^2 + r^2 \ d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta \ d\phi^2,
\]
\[
ds^2_{-1} = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r \ d\theta^2 + \sinh^2 r \sin^2 \theta \ d\phi^2,
\]
in the three particular cases of the unit sphere \((\kappa = 1)\), Euclidean space \((\kappa = 0)\), and 'unit' Lobachevski space \((\kappa = -1)\).

### 2.1 Lagrangian formalism, Noether symmetries, and Noether momenta

We recall that a vector field \(X \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)\), defined on a Riemann manifold \((Q, g)\), is called a Killing vector field when it preserves the metric in the sense that the Lie derivative with respect to \(X\) of the tensor metric \(g\) vanishes. A three-dimensional Riemann manifold with constant curvature admits six linearly independent Killing vector fields, that generate six different one-parameter groups of continuous isometries of the manifold, and that can be grouped in two sets of three vector fields.

(i) Three \(\kappa\)-dependent vector fields that we denote by \(X_j, \ j = 1, 2, 3,\)

\[
X_1 = (\sin \theta \cos \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \left(\frac{C_\kappa(r)}{S_\kappa(r)}\right) \left(\cos \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right),
\]
\[
X_2 = (\sin \theta \sin \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \left(\frac{C_\kappa(r)}{S_\kappa(r)}\right) \left(\cos \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right),
\]
\[
X_3 = (\cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{C_\kappa(r)}{S_\kappa(r)}\right) \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} ,
\]

(ii) Three \(\kappa\)-independent vector fields that we denote by \(Y_j, \ j = 1, 2, 3,\)

\[
Y_1 = -\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \left(\frac{\cos \phi}{\tan \theta}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad Y_2 = \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \left(\frac{\sin \phi}{\tan \theta}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad Y_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},
\]

Everyone of these six vector fields is the generator of a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms preserving the metric \(ds^2_\kappa\), that is, a one-parameter group of isometries of the Riemannian manifold. Moreover if we denote by \(\Omega_\kappa\) the the volume form determined by the metric \(g\),

\[
\Omega_\kappa = \sqrt{|g|} \ dr \wedge d\theta \wedge d\phi = S^2_\kappa(r) \sin \theta \ dr \wedge d\theta \wedge d\phi , \quad |g| = \det g ,
\]

then they also preserve the volume form, that is,

\[
\mathcal{L}_{X_i} \Omega_\kappa = 0 , \quad \mathcal{L}_{Y_i} \Omega_\kappa = 0 , \quad i = 1, 2, 3,
\]
where $\mathcal{L}_X$ denotes the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field $X$.

Moreover, they close the following Lie algebra,

$$[X_1, X_2] = -\kappa Y_3, \quad [X_2, X_3] = -\kappa Y_1, \quad [X_3, X_1] = -\kappa Y_2,$$

$$[Y_1, Y_2] = -Y_3, \quad [Y_2, Y_3] = -Y_1, \quad [Y_3, Y_1] = -Y_2,$$

that represents the Lie algebra of the isometries of the three-dimensional spherical, Euclidean, and hyperbolic spaces. Notice that in the limit $\kappa \to 0$ we recover the Euclidean algebra and the vector fields $X_j, j = 1, 2, 3$, commute between themselves.

The Lagrangian for the geodesic free motion is given by the kinetic term determined by the metric

$$L(r, \theta, \phi, v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi) = T_g(\kappa) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( v_r^2 + S_\kappa^2(r) v_\theta^2 + S_\kappa^2(r) \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2 \right),$$

(3)

where the parameter $\kappa$ can take any real value. Three particular cases are $\kappa = -1, \kappa = 0, \kappa = 1$

$$L_1(r, \theta, \phi, v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( v_r^2 + \sin^2 r v_\theta^2 + \sin^2 r \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2 \right),$$

$$L_0(r, \theta, \phi, v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( v_r^2 + r^2 v_\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2 \right),$$

$$L_{-1}(r, \theta, \phi, v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( v_r^2 + \sinh^2 r v_\theta^2 + \sinh^2 r \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2 \right).$$

This kinetic Lagrangian possesses six exact Noether symmetries, because $L = T_g(\kappa)$ is invariant under the action of the six Killing vectors in the sense that we have

$$X_i^t(T_g(\kappa)) = 0, \quad Y_i^t(T_g(\kappa)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $X_i^t$ and $Y_i^t$ denote the tangent lifts (or complete lifts) of $X_i$ and $Y_i$ to the velocity phase space $TQ$ where $Q$ is $S^3$, $Q = \mathbb{R}^3$ or $Q = H^3$, according to the value of $\kappa$.

**Theorem 1** Noether Theorem: *Each Killing vector field is a symmetry of the geodesic Lagrangian $L = T_g$, and hence it determines a constant of the ‘geodesic’ motion.*

If we denote by $\theta_L$ the Lagrangian one-form:

$$\theta_L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_r} dv_r + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\theta} dv_\theta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\phi} dv_\phi$$

$$= v_r dv_r + S_\kappa^2(r) v_\theta d\theta + S_\kappa^2(r) \sin^2 \theta v_\phi d\phi$$

then the associated Noether constants of the motion (that are usually known as Noether momenta) are given by the following:
(P) The three $\kappa$-dependent functions $P_1(\kappa)$, $P_2(\kappa)$, and $P_3(\kappa)$, defined as

$$P_1 = i(X_1)\theta_L, \quad P_2 = i(X_2)\theta_L, \quad P_3 = i(X_3)\theta_L,$$

that are given by

$$P_1 = (\sin \theta \cos \phi) v_r + (C_\kappa(r) S_\kappa(r))(\cos \theta \cos \phi) v_\theta - (\sin \theta \sin \phi) v_\phi,$$

$$P_2 = (\sin \theta \sin \phi) v_r + (C_\kappa(r) S_\kappa(r))(\cos \theta \sin \phi) v_\theta + (\sin \theta \cos \phi) v_\phi,$$

$$P_3 = (\cos \theta) v_r - (C_\kappa(r) S_\kappa(r)) \sin \theta v_\theta.$$

(4)

(J) The three $\kappa$-dependent functions $P_{J1}$, $P_{J2}$, and $P_{J3}$, defined as

$$P_{J1} = i(Y_1)\theta_L, \quad P_{J2} = i(Y_2)\theta_L, \quad P_{J3} = i(Y_3)\theta_L,$$

that are given by

$$P_{J1} = -S_\kappa^2(r) (\sin \phi v_\theta + \sin \theta \cos \phi v_\phi),$$

$$P_{J2} = S_\kappa^2(r) (\cos \phi v_\theta - \sin \theta \cos \phi v_\phi),$$

$$P_{J3} = S_\kappa^2(r) \sin^2 \theta v_\phi.$$

(5)

These Noether momenta satisfy the following property:

**Property 1** The Noether momenta $P_i$ and $P_{Ji}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are constants of the motion for the geodesic motion.

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_i = \Gamma_L(P_i) = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} P_{Ji} = \Gamma_L(P_{Ji}) = 0$$

where $\Gamma_L$ denotes the dynamical vector field

$$\Gamma_L = v_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + v_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + v_\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + f_r \frac{\partial}{\partial v_r} + f_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\theta} + f_\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\phi},$$

with the Lagrangian forces $(f_r, f_\theta, f_\phi)$ being given by

$$f_r = C_\kappa(r) S_\kappa(r) v_\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2,$$

$$f_\theta = -\left(\frac{2}{T_\kappa(r)}\right) v_\theta v_\theta + (\cos \theta \sin \theta) v_\phi^2,$$

$$f_\phi = -2\left(\frac{v_r}{T_\kappa(r)} + \frac{v_\theta}{\tan \theta}\right) v_\phi.$$

2.2 Hamiltonian formalism

Under the Legendre transformation the point $(r, \theta, \phi, v_r, v_\theta, v_\phi)$ of the velocity phase space goes to the point $(r, \theta, \phi, p_r, p_\theta, p_\phi)$ of the phase space given by

$$p_r = v_r, \quad p_\theta = S_\kappa^2(r) v_\theta, \quad p_\phi = S_\kappa^2(r) \sin^2 \theta v_\phi.$$
so that the expression of the $\kappa$-dependent Hamiltonian is

$$H(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right),$$

and the images of the six Noether momenta are

$$P_1 = (\sin \theta \cos \phi) p_r + \left( \frac{C_\kappa(r)}{S_\kappa(r)} \right) \left( (\cos \theta \cos \phi) p_\theta - \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta} p_\phi \right),$$
$$P_2 = (\sin \theta \sin \phi) p_r + \left( \frac{C_\kappa(r)}{S_\kappa(r)} \right) \left( (\cos \theta \sin \phi) p_\theta + \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} p_\phi \right),$$
$$P_3 = (\cos \theta) p_r - \left( \frac{C_\kappa(r)}{S_\kappa(r)} \right) \sin \theta p_\theta,$$

and

$$P_{J_1} = - (\sin \phi p_\theta + \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \cos \phi p_\phi), \quad P_{J_2} = \cos \phi p_\theta - \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \sin \phi p_\phi, \quad P_{J_3} = p_\phi.$$ (8)

These new six Noether momenta $P_i, P_{J_i}, i = 1, 2, 3$, Poisson commute with the Hamiltonian

$$\{P_i, H\} = 0, \quad \{P_{J_i}, H\} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

and the Noether momenta $P_i$ satisfy the Poisson bracket relations

$$\{P_1, P_2\} = \kappa P_{J_3}, \quad \{P_2, P_3\} = \kappa P_{J_1}, \quad \{P_3, P_1\} = \kappa P_{J_2}.$$

We remark the positive sign in these Poisson brackets (in contrast with the negative sign in the Lie brackets). The reason is that the Lie bracket between two Hamiltonians vector fields satisfy the property $[X_f, X_g] = - X_{\{f, g\}}$. That is, the map from the Lie brackets into the Poisson brackets ($[\cdot, \cdot] \rightarrow \{\cdot, \cdot\}$) is linear but introduces a change of sign, it is an anti-isomorphism.

In what follows, as the Noether momenta $P_{J_i}$ coincide with components of the angular momentum, we just write $J_i$ instead of $P_{J_i}$ and we write the other defining Poisson relations as

$$\{J_1, c_1 P_1 + c_2 P_2 + c_3 P_3\} = c_2 P_3 - c_3 P_2, \quad \{J_2, c_1 P_1 + c_2 P_2 + c_3 P_3\} = c_3 P_1 - c_1 P_3,$$

and

$$\{J_3, c_1 P_1 + c_2 P_2 + c_3 P_3\} = c_1 P_2 - c_2 P_1.$$

Making use of the expressions of the functions $P_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, and of the angular momenta $J_i$ we obtain that the sum of their squares take the values

$$P_r^2 + P_\theta^2 + P_\phi^2 = p_r^2 + \left( \frac{C_\kappa^2(r)}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \right) p_\theta^2 + \left( \frac{C_\kappa^2(r)}{S_\kappa^2(r) \sin^2 \theta} \right) p_\phi^2,$$

and therefore the $\kappa$-dependent Hamiltonian can be rewritten as a linear combination of the squares of the six Noether momenta.

$$H(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( P_r^2 + P_\theta^2 + P_\phi^2 + \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2) \right).$$ (9)

We close this section mentioning that this property, that is, expressing the Hamiltonian as a function of the Noether momenta (instead of the canonical momenta) is important for the process of quantization of the system; see [55] for the free particle and [60, 61] for general properties of the Killing vector fields and Noether momenta approach to quantization.
3 Oscillator related Hamiltonian with nonlinear terms  $k_1/x^2_\kappa$,  $k_2/y^2_\kappa$, and  $k_3/z^2_\kappa$

In this section we consider particular examples of Lagrangians of mechanical type where potential terms are added to the geodesic term that correspond to the harmonic oscillator and to the Smorodinsky-Winternitz system.

3.1 The Harmonic Oscillator on the 3-dimensional sphere $S^3$ ($\kappa > 0$) and Hyperbolic space $H^3$ ($\kappa < 0$)

The following curvature-dependent function represents the Hamiltonian of the isotropic harmonic oscillator on the spherical ($\kappa > 0$), Euclidean, or hyperbolic ($\kappa < 0$), three-dimensional spaces with constant curvature $\kappa$

$$H(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 T^2_\kappa(r) ,$$

so that, in this way, the potential of the harmonic oscillator on the unit sphere ($\kappa = 1$), on the Euclidean space, or on the unit Lobachevsky space ($\kappa = -1$) arise as the following three particular cases

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \tan^2 r , \quad V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 r^2 , \quad V_{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \tanh^2 r .$$

The Euclidean oscillator $V_0$, that is a parabolic potential without singularities, appears in this formalism as making a separation between two different situations (see Fig. 1). In the spherical case the potential has an infinite potential barrier on the geodesic circle $r = \pi/(2\sqrt{\kappa})$ so that, in this case, the motion is confined on a three-dimensional half-sphere. The hyperbolic potential $V_\kappa$, $\kappa < 0$, is a well with finite depth since $\lim_{r \to \infty} V_\kappa = 1/|\kappa|$.

A quantization of this system was studied in [56] and the Schrödinger equation determined by this Hamiltonian was solved in [57] (the radial Schrödinger equation becomes a $\kappa$-dependent Gauss hypergeometric equation that can be considered as a $\kappa$-deformation of the confluent hypergeometric equation that appears in the Euclidean case) but making use of another system of coordinates (see Appendix II). We also mention that this oscillator was also studied in [62] using as an approach first a stereographic projection and then both Poincaré and Beltrami coordinates.

First, in both cases, spherical ($\kappa > 0$) and hyperbolic ($\kappa < 0$) spaces, the Hamiltonian system has spherical symmetry and therefore the three components ($J_1, J_2, J_3$) of the angular momentum are time preserved in time evolution.

Now let us consider the following three complex functions

$$M_{1\kappa} = P_1 + i \alpha (T_\kappa(r))(\sin \theta \cos \phi) , \quad M_{2\kappa} = P_2 + i \alpha (T_\kappa(r))(\sin \theta \sin \phi) , \quad M_{3\kappa} = P_3 + i \alpha (T_\kappa(r))(\cos \theta) ,$$

where $P_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are the Noether momenta given by (7). Then we have

$$\{ M_{1\kappa} , H(\kappa) \} = i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{1\kappa} , \quad \{ M_{2\kappa} , H(\kappa) \} = i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{2\kappa} , \quad \{ M_{3\kappa} , H(\kappa) \} = i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{3\kappa} ,$$
where \( \lambda_\kappa \) denotes \( \lambda_\kappa = 1/ C_\kappa^2(r) \). Therefore the moduli \( | M_{jk\kappa} | \) of the three functions \( M_{jk\kappa}, j = 1, 2, 3, \) satisfy

\[
\frac{d}{dt} | M_{1\kappa} |^2 = \left( \frac{d}{dt} M_{1\kappa} \right) M_{1\kappa}^* + M_{1\kappa} \left( \frac{d}{dt} M_{1\kappa}^* \right) = (i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{1\kappa}^*) M_{1\kappa} + M_{1\kappa} (-i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{1\kappa}^*) = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} | M_{2\kappa} |^2 = \left( \frac{d}{dt} M_{2\kappa} \right) M_{2\kappa}^* + M_{2\kappa} \left( \frac{d}{dt} M_{2\kappa}^* \right) = (i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{2\kappa}^*) M_{2\kappa} + M_{2\kappa} (-i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{2\kappa}^*) = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} | M_{3\kappa} |^2 = \left( \frac{d}{dt} M_{3\kappa} \right) M_{3\kappa}^* + M_{3\kappa} \left( \frac{d}{dt} M_{3\kappa}^* \right) = (i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{3\kappa}^*) M_{3\kappa} + M_{3\kappa} (-i \lambda_\kappa \alpha M_{3\kappa}^*) = 0,
\]

Therefore the following three \( \kappa \)-dependent functions

\[
K_{11\kappa} = P_1^2 + \alpha^2 (T^2(r)) (\sin \theta \cos \phi)^2,
\]

\[
K_{22\kappa} = P_2^2 + \alpha^2 (T^2(r)) (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2,
\]

\[
K_{33\kappa} = P_3^2 + \alpha^2 (T^2(r)) (\cos \theta)^2,
\]

(11)

are constants of motion

\[
\{K_{11\kappa}, H(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{22\kappa}, H(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{33\kappa}, H(\kappa)\} = 0.
\]

For the same reason we also have that the complex functions \( M_{i\kappa} M_j^*, i \neq j \), are constants of motion

\[
\{M_{i\kappa} M_j^*, H(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{M_{i\kappa} M_j^*, H(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{M_{i\kappa} M_j^*, H(\kappa)\} = 0.
\]

If a complex function is a constant of motion for a real Hamiltonian system then it determines two different real constants of motion, its real and imaginary parts:

\[
M_{1\kappa} M_{2\kappa}^* = K_{12\kappa} + i \alpha J_3, \quad M_{2\kappa} M_{3\kappa}^* = K_{23\kappa} + i \alpha J_1, \quad M_{3\kappa} M_{1\kappa}^* = K_{31\kappa} + i \alpha J_2,
\]

The imaginary parts \( \text{Im}(M_{i\kappa} M_j^*), i \neq j \), are related to the components \( J_k, k = 1, 2, 3 \), of the angular momentum, \( \text{Im}(M_{i\kappa} M_j^*) = \epsilon_{ijk} J_k \). Furthermore, the three functions \( K_{ij\kappa}, \) such that \( \text{Re}(M_i M_j^*) = K_{ij\kappa}, i \neq j \), that are given by

\[
K_{12\kappa} = P_1 P_2 + \alpha^2 (T^2(r)) (\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2 (\cos \phi \sin \phi),
\]

\[
K_{23\kappa} = P_2 P_3 + \alpha^2 (T^2(r)) (\sin \theta \cos \phi)^2 (\sin \phi),
\]

\[
K_{31\kappa} = P_3 P_1 + \alpha^2 (T^2(r)) (\cos \theta)^2 (\cos \phi),
\]

(12)

Poisson commute with the Hamiltonian

\[
\{K_{12\kappa}, H(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{23\kappa}, H(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{31\kappa}, H(\kappa)\} = 0.
\]

These six functions can be considered as the six independent components of the \( \kappa \)-dependent symmetric Fradkin matrix

\[
[K_{ij\kappa}] = \begin{bmatrix}
K_{11\kappa} & K_{12\kappa} & K_{13\kappa} \\
K_{21\kappa} & K_{22\kappa} & K_{23\kappa} \\
K_{31\kappa} & K_{32\kappa} & K_{33\kappa}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{ij\kappa} = K_{ji\kappa},
\]
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and we can summarize all the Poisson brackets with the Hamiltonian in a single equation

\[ \{K_{ij\kappa}, H(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \]

Note that the expression of \( K_{ij\kappa} \) depends on the Noether momenta instead of on the canonical momenta. We also note that the functions \( K_{ij\kappa} \) are quadratic in the Noether momenta \( P_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \), and as the Noether momenta are linear functions of the canonical momenta \( p_a, a = r, \theta, \phi \), the result is that these functions are also quadratic in the canonical momenta in the given chart.

The algebraic properties of \([K_{ij\kappa}],\) that represents the curvature-dependent version of the Fradkin tensor \([3],\) are summarized in the Appendix I.

Another important point is that the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as a sum of the three functions \( K_{jj\kappa}, j = 1, 2, 3 \), and the square of the three angular momenta

\[ H(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( K_{11\kappa} + K_{22\kappa} + K_{33\kappa} + \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2) \right). \]

This is an interesting property since it shows that, on spaces of constant curvature, the angular momentum has a direct contribution to the total energy of the system, and, as mentioning in the previous section, it is also important in the quantization process \([55, 60, 61]\).

The Poisson brackets of these functions are as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\{K_{11\kappa}, J_1\} &= \{K_{22\kappa}, J_2\} = \{K_{33\kappa}, J_3\} = 0, \\
\{c_1K_{11\kappa} + c_2J_1, K_{22\kappa} + K_{33\kappa} + \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2)\} &= 0, \\
\{c_1K_{22\kappa} + c_2J_2, K_{11\kappa} + K_{33\kappa} + \kappa (J_1^2 + J_3^2)\} &= 0, \\
\{c_1K_{33\kappa} + c_2J_3, K_{11\kappa} + K_{22\kappa} + \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2)\} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) are arbitrary constants.

We recall that, although the three components \((J_1, J_2, J_3)\) of the angular momentum do not commute, it is always possible to select a three dimensional Abelian subalgebra generated, for instance, by \(H, J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2\) and \(J_3\). In addition, the above Poisson brackets relations show the existence of other triplets of commuting first integrals as \((K_{11\kappa}, J_1, K_{22\kappa} + K_{33\kappa} + \kappa (J_2^2 + J_3^2)), (K_{22\kappa}, J_2, K_{11\kappa} + K_{33\kappa} + \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2)), or (K_{33\kappa}, J_3, K_{11\kappa} + K_{22\kappa} + \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2))\).

All these results can be summarized in the following proposition:

**Proposition 1** The \(\kappa\)-dependent classical Harmonic Oscillator defined on the 3-dimensional sphere \(S^3 (\kappa > 0)\) and on the Hyperbolic space \(H^3 (\kappa < 0)\) by

\[
H(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S^2_\kappa}(r) \left( p_\theta^2 + p_\phi^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 T^2_\kappa(r)
\]

is superintegrable with the maximal number of functionally independent constants of motion. It is spherically symmetric so the three components \((J_1, J_2, J_3)\) of the angular momentum are integrals of motion (this implies Liouville integrability). In addition there is a family of six \(\kappa\)-dependent quadratic functions \(K_{ij\kappa}, i, j = 1, 2, 3\), that can be considered as the six components of the curvature-dependent version of the symmetric Fradkin tensor.
3.2 The Smorodinsky-Winternitz (S-W) system on the 3-dimensional sphere \( S^3 \) (\( \kappa > 0 \)) and on the hyperbolic space \( H^3 \) (\( \kappa < 0 \))

In what follows use is made of the following notation

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_\kappa &= S_\kappa(r) \sin \theta \cos \phi, \\
  y_\kappa &= S_\kappa(r) \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\
  z_\kappa &= S_\kappa(r) \cos \theta,
\end{align*}
\]

such that their Euclidean limits are

\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 0} (x_\kappa, y_\kappa, z_\kappa) = (r \sin \theta \cos \phi, r \sin \theta \sin \phi, r \cos \theta)
\]

that correspond to the expression of the Cartesian coordinates \((x, y, z)\) when written in spherical coordinates. We note that the Poisson brackets of these functions with the Noether momenta \((7)\) are given by

\[
\{x_\kappa, P_1\} = \{y_\kappa, P_2\} = \{z_\kappa, P_3\} = C_\kappa(r),
\]

where we recall that \(\lim_{\kappa \to 0} C_\kappa(r) = 1\).

In this section we analyse the following Hamiltonian function which is the spherical (\( \kappa > 0 \)), Euclidean, or hyperbolic (\( \kappa < 0 \)), version of the three-dimensional Smorodinsky-Winternitz (S-W) \[[63]\] with curvature \(\kappa\):

\[
H_{SW}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2}(p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)}(p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta})) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 T_\kappa^2(r) + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa^2} \right],
\]

(15)

(the Euclidean version of this system, that is also known as the ‘caged oscillator’ \[[64, 65, 66, 67]\], can be considered as the three-dimensional version of the isotonic oscillator \[[68, 69]\]). First, the components \((J_1, J_2, J_3)\) of the angular momentum are not constants of the motion anymore, but the following three angular momentum related functions

\[
K_{J1} = J_1^2 + 2\left(k_2 \frac{z_\kappa^2}{y_\kappa^2} + k_3 \frac{y_\kappa^2}{z_\kappa^2}\right), \quad K_{J2} = J_2^2 + 2\left(k_1 \frac{z_\kappa^2}{x_\kappa^2} + k_3 \frac{x_\kappa^2}{z_\kappa^2}\right), \quad K_{J3} = J_3^2 + 2\left(k_1 \frac{y_\kappa^2}{x_\kappa^2} + k_2 \frac{x_\kappa^2}{y_\kappa^2}\right),
\]

are constants of the motion:

\[
\{K_{J1}, H_{SW}(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{J2}, H_{SW}(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{J3}, H_{SW}(\kappa)\} = 0.
\]

They are functionally independent, that is \(dK_{J1} \land dK_{J2} \land dK_{J3} \neq 0\), and satisfy the following Poisson bracket relations:

\[
\{K_{J1}, K_{J2} + K_{J3}\} = 0, \quad \{K_{J2}, K_{J1} + K_{J3}\} = 0, \quad \{K_{J3}, K_{J1} + K_{J2}\} = 0.
\]

So this system is Liouville integrable (for all the values of \( \kappa \)) with a fundamental set of three integrals of motion \((H_{SW}(\kappa), K_{Ji}, K_{Jj} + K_{Jk}; i \neq j \neq k)\) that Poisson commute.
On the other hand, the following three functions $K_{j\kappa}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, related with the Noether momenta $P_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$,

\[ K_{1\kappa} = P_1^2 + \alpha^2 \left( T_\kappa^2(r) \right)(\sin \theta \cos \phi)^2 + \frac{2k_1}{(T_\kappa(r) \sin \theta \cos \phi)^2}, \]
\[ K_{2\kappa} = P_2^2 + \alpha^2 \left( T_\kappa^2(r) \right)(\sin \theta \sin \phi)^2 + \frac{2k_2}{(T_\kappa(r) \sin \theta \sin \phi)^2}, \]
\[ K_{3\kappa} = P_3^2 + \alpha^2 \left( T_\kappa^2(r) \right)(\cos \theta)^2 + \frac{2k_3}{(T_\kappa(r) \cos \theta)^2}, \]  
(17)

are also constants of the motion

\[ \{K_{1\kappa}, H_{SW}(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{2\kappa}, H_{SW}(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{3\kappa}, H_{SW}(\kappa)\} = 0. \]

Moreover, we have the following two important properties. First, the following equality is satisfied

\[ H_{SW}(\kappa) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( K_{1\kappa} + K_{2\kappa} + K_{3\kappa} + \kappa (K_{j1} + K_{j2} + K_{j3}) \right) + \kappa(k_1 + k_2 + k_3). \]  
(18)

Second, the Poisson brackets among these functions are as follows:

\[ \{K_{1\kappa}, K_{j1}\} = \{K_{2\kappa}, K_{j2}\} = \{K_{3\kappa}, K_{j3}\} = 0, \]
\[ \{c_1K_{1\kappa} + c_2K_{j1}, K_{2\kappa} + K_{3\kappa} + \kappa (K_{j2} + K_{j3})\} = 0, \]
\[ \{c_1K_{2\kappa} + c_2K_{j2}, K_{1\kappa} + K_{3\kappa} + \kappa (K_{j1} + K_{j3})\} = 0, \]
\[ \{c_1K_{3\kappa} + c_2K_{j3}, K_{1\kappa} + K_{2\kappa} + \kappa (K_{j1} + K_{j2})\} = 0, \]

where $c_1$ and $c_2$ are arbitrary constants.

Therefore triplets of commuting first integral are, for instance, ($K_{1\kappa}$, $K_{j1}$, $K_{2\kappa} + K_{3\kappa} + \kappa (K_{j2} + K_{j3})$), ($K_{2\kappa}$, $K_{j2}$, $K_{1\kappa} + K_{3\kappa} + \kappa (K_{j1} + K_{j3})$), or ($K_{3\kappa}$, $K_{j3}$, $K_{1\kappa} + K_{2\kappa} + \kappa (K_{j1} + K_{j2})$).

We can summarize all these results in the following proposition:

**Proposition 2** The $\kappa$-dependent classical Harmonic Oscillator with three additional nonlinear terms defined on the 3-dimensional sphere $S^3$ ($\kappa > 0$) and Hyperbolic space $H^3$ ($\kappa < 0$)

\[ H_{SW}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( P_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 T_\kappa^2(r) + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa} \right], \]

represents the curvature-dependent version of the 3-dimensional Smorodinsky-Winternitz system, because

\[ \lim_{\kappa \to 0} H_{SW}(\kappa) = H_{SW} = \frac{1}{2} \left( P_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 r^2 + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x^2} + \frac{k_2}{y^2} + \frac{k_3}{z^2} \right]. \]

It is superintegrable with two sets of three quadratic integrals of motion. A first set of three angular momentum-related functions $K_{ji}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, that are curvature-independent, and a second set of three $\kappa$-dependent functions $K_{i\kappa}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Two of the functions of the second set can be chosen for the total set of five functionally independent integrals of motion.
3.3 Oscillator 1:1:2 on the 3-dimensional sphere $S^3$ ($\kappa > 0$) and on the Hyperbolic space $H^3$ ($\kappa < 0$) with two nonlinear terms of the form $1/x_\kappa^2$ and $1/y_\kappa^2$

It is well known that the properties of non-central potentials are more complicated to study than those of the central ones (the first negative property is that the angular momentum is not an integral of motion). Nevertheless the oscillator with ratio of frequencies 2:1 appears in [6] in the list of superintegrable two-dimensional Euclidean potentials and the three-dimensional oscillator with ratio 2:1:1 also appears in the list of Evans [7]. The superintegrability of the 2:1 oscillator on the two-dimensional sphere $S^2$ and the hyperbolic plane $H^2$ was studied in [52]. Now we consider the three-dimensional system with two additional nonlinear terms.

The following curvature-dependent function represents the Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator with ratio of frequencies 1:1:2 on the spherical ($\kappa > 0$), Euclidean, or hyperbolic ($\kappa < 0$), three-dimensional spaces with constant curvature $\kappa$ with two additional $\kappa$–dependent nonlinear terms of the form $1/x_\kappa^2$ and $1/y_\kappa^2$

\[
H_{112}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + V_{112}(\kappa) + \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2},
\]

where $V_{112}(\kappa)$, that denotes the potential of the 1:1:2 oscillator, takes the form

\[
V_{112}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \frac{1}{1 - \kappa(x_\kappa^2 + y_\kappa^2)} \left( x_\kappa^2 + y_\kappa^2 + 4A_{z\kappa}^2 \right), \quad A_{z\kappa} = \frac{T_\kappa(r) \cos \theta}{1 - \kappa(T_\kappa(r) \cos \theta)^2},
\]

where the factor $1/(1 - \kappa(x_\kappa^2 + y_\kappa^2))$ and the function $A_{z\kappa}$ are obtained as three-dimensional generalizations of similar functions obtained in [70] in the study of the two-dimensional 1:2 oscillator. It is clear that this particular function satisfies the appropriate Euclidean limit

\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left( V_{112}(\kappa) + \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \left( x^2 + y^2 + 4z^2 \right) + \frac{k_1}{x^2} + \frac{k_2}{y^2}.
\]

The following three quadratic functions are integrals of motion:

\[
K_{3\kappa} = P_3^2 + 4\alpha^2 A_{z\kappa}^2, \quad K_{J3} = J_3^2 + 2k_2 \left( \frac{x_\kappa}{y_\kappa} \right)^2 + 2k_1 \left( \frac{y_\kappa}{x_\kappa} \right)^2,
\]

\[
K_{12\kappa} = (P_1^2 + \kappa J_1^2) + (P_2^2 + \kappa J_2^2) + \alpha^2(1 + 4\kappa A_{z\kappa}^2) \left( \frac{x_\kappa^2 + y_\kappa^2}{1 - \kappa(x_\kappa^2 + y_\kappa^2)} \right)
\]

\[
+ 2k_2 \left( \frac{1 - \kappa x_\kappa^2}{y_\kappa^2} \right) + 2k_1 \left( \frac{1 - \kappa y_\kappa^2}{x_\kappa^2} \right).
\]

These three functions ($K_{3\kappa}, K_{J3}, K_{12\kappa}$) are functionally independent, that is $dK_{3\kappa} \wedge dK_{J3} \wedge dK_{12\kappa} \neq 0$, and satisfy the following Poisson bracket relations:

\[
\{K_{3\kappa}, H_{112}(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{J3}, H_{112}(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{12\kappa}, H_{112}(\kappa)\} = 0,
\]

which express that they are first integrals and they also Poisson commute among themselves

\[
\{K_{3\kappa}, K_{J3}\} = 0, \quad \{K_{J3}, K_{12\kappa}\} = 0, \quad \{K_{12\kappa}, K_{3\kappa}\} = 0,
\]
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that is, they generate an Abelian Lie subalgebra; therefore this \( \kappa \)-dependent Hamiltonian is completely integrable in the Liouville sense. Moreover, an interesting property is that the Hamiltonian \( H_{112}(\kappa) \) can be rewritten as follows:

\[
H_{112}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( K_{3\kappa} + K_{12\kappa} + \kappa K_{J3} \right).
\]

Furthermore, this \( \kappa \)-dependent system admits two additional integrals of motion of Runge-Lenz type explicitly given by

\[
K_{RL1} = -P_1 J_2 + \alpha^2 \left( \frac{\tan \theta \cos \phi}{C_\kappa(r)} \right) A^2_{z\kappa} x_{\kappa} - 2k_1 C_\kappa(r) \left( \frac{z_{\kappa}}{x_{2\kappa}} \right),
\]

\[
K_{RL2} = P_2 J_1 + \alpha^2 \left( \frac{\tan \theta \sin \phi}{C_\kappa(r)} \right) A^2_{z\kappa} y_{\kappa} - 2k_2 C_\kappa(r) \left( \frac{z_{\kappa}}{y_{2\kappa}} \right),
\]

(22)

that are functionally independent, that is \( dK_{RL1} \wedge dK_{RL2} \neq 0 \), as well as functionally independent of the other three. Therefore this Hamiltonian system possesses five functionally independent integrals of motion, three of them in involution and we can conclude:

**Proposition 3** The curvature dependent Harmonic Oscillator, with ratio of frequencies 1:1:2 and two additional nonlinear terms of the form \( \frac{1}{x_{\kappa}^2} \) and \( \frac{1}{y_{\kappa}^2} \), defined on the 3-dimensional sphere \( S^3(\kappa > 0) \) and on the Hyperbolic space \( H^3(\kappa < 0) \)

\[
H_{112}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S^2_\kappa(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + V_{112}(\kappa) + \frac{k_1}{x_{\kappa}^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_{\kappa}^2},
\]

where \( V_{112}(\kappa) \) denotes the following \( \kappa \)-dependent potential

\[
V_{112}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \kappa} \left( x_{\kappa}^2 + y_{\kappa}^2 + 4A^2_{z\kappa} \right), \quad A_{z\kappa} = \frac{T_\kappa(r) \cos \theta}{1 - \kappa (T_\kappa(r) \cos \theta)^2},
\]

such that it satisfies the appropriate Euclidean limit

\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 0} H_{112}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 (x^2 + y^2 + 4z^2) + \frac{k_1}{x^2} + \frac{k_2}{y^2},
\]

is superintegrable with a maximal number of five functionally independent constants of motion. It admits three constants of motion \( (K_{3\kappa}, K_{12\kappa}, K_{J3}) \) that Poisson commute among them and, in addition, this system possesses two \( \kappa \)-dependent quadratic functions \( K_{RLj}, j = 1, 2 \), of Runge-Lenz type.

**4 Kepler related Hamiltonian on the 3-dimensional sphere \( S^3(\kappa > 0) \) and on the hyperbolic space \( H^3(\kappa < 0) \)**

Another prototypical example of integrable system is the Kepler problem and therefore we fix in this section our attention on such a problem in the three-dimensional spaces considered in the preceding sections.
4.1 Kepler Hamiltonian

The following curvature-dependent function is the spherical, Euclidean, or hyperbolic, Kepler Hamiltonian with curvature $\kappa$

$$H_K(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S^2_\kappa(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{k}{T_\kappa(r)},$$ (23)

i.e., the potentials of the Kepler problem on the unit sphere ($\kappa = 1$), on the Euclidean space, or on the unit Lobachevsky space ($\kappa = -1$), arise as the following three particular cases

$$V_1 = \frac{k}{\tan(r)}, \quad V_0 = \frac{k}{r}, \quad V_{-1} = \frac{k}{\tanh(r)}.$$ 

The situation is rather similar to the one obtained in Section 3.1 for the harmonic oscillator. Also in this case the Euclidean function $V_0 = k/r$ appears in this formalism as making a separation between two different behaviours (see Fig. 2).

This potential is central (for all the values of $\kappa$) so the three components ($J_1, J_2, J_3$) of the angular momentum are integrals of motion, namely

$$\{J_1, H_K(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{J_2, H_K(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{J_3, H_K(\kappa)\} = 0.$$ 

and therefore this curvature-dependent system, as any other central potential, is Liouville integrable. Moreover, as in the Euclidean case, there exists an additional set of integrals of motion, because the following three functions

$$K_{RL1} = (P_2 J_3 - P_3 J_2) + k (\sin \theta \cos \phi),$$
$$K_{RL2} = (P_3 J_1 - P_1 J_3) + k (\sin \theta \sin \phi),$$
$$K_{RL3} = (P_1 J_2 - P_2 J_1) + k (\cos \theta),$$ (24)

that are functionally independent

$$dK_{RL1} \wedge dK_{RL2} \wedge dK_{RL3} \neq 0,$$

are integrals of motion

$$\{K_{RL1}, H_K(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{RL2}, H_K(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad \{K_{RL3}, H_K(\kappa)\} = 0.$$ 

They must be considered as the curved version of the standard Runge-Lenz vector. Their Poisson brackets are given by

$$\{K_{RL1}, K_{RL2}\} = -2 J_3 (H_K(\kappa) - \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2)), $$
$$\{K_{RL2}, K_{RL3}\} = -2 J_1 (H_K(\kappa) - \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2)), $$
$$\{K_{RL3}, K_{RL1}\} = -2 J_2 (H_K(\kappa) - \kappa (J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2)), $$

and the Poisson brackets of each one with the angular momenta are

$$\{J_1, c_1 K_{RL1} + c_2 K_{RL2} + c_3 K_{RL3}\} = c_2 K_{RL3} - c_3 K_{RL2},$$
that are functionally independent, 

\{J_2, c_1 K_{RL1} + c_2 K_{RL2} + c_3 K_{RL3}\} = c_3 K_{RL1} - c_1 K_{RL3},

\{J_3, c_1 K_{RL1} + c_2 K_{RL2} + c_3 K_{RL3}\} = c_1 K_{RL2} - c_2 K_{RL1},

where \(c_1, c_2,\) and \(c_3\) are arbitrary constants.

The preceding results are summarised in the following proposition:

**Proposition 4** The \(\kappa\)-dependent Kepler Hamiltonian defined in the 3-dimensional sphere \(S^3\) (\(\kappa > 0\)) and Hyperbolic space \(H^3\) (\(\kappa < 0\))

\[
H_K(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{k}{T_\kappa(r)}
\]

is superintegrable with very similar properties to those of the standard Euclidean Kepler Hamiltonian. It is spherically symmetric, with the three components \((J_1, J_2, J_3)\) of the angular momentum as constants of motion, and it also possesses three quadratic constants of motion \((K_{RL1}, K_{RL2}, K_{RL3})\) representing the components of the curvature-dependent version of the Runge-Lenz vector.

### 4.2 Kepler related Hamiltonian with nonlinear terms \(k_1/x_\kappa^2, k_2/y_\kappa^2,\) and \(k_3/z_\kappa^2\)

In this section we will study the following Kepler-related Hamiltonian

\[
H_{K123}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{k}{T_\kappa(r)} + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa^2} \right],
\]  

(25)

where the three additional nonlinear terms, \(k_1/x_\kappa^2, k_2/y_\kappa^2\) and \(k_3/z_\kappa^2,\) are just the same as in the S-W system studied in the previous section \([3,2]\).

This Hamiltonian admits two different sets of constants of motion. A first set is related with the angular momentum and the second set related with the Runge-Lenz vector but of fourth order in the momenta.

First, the components \((J_1, J_2, J_3)\) of the angular momentum are not integrals of motion anymore but the following three angular momentum related functions

\[
K_{J1} = J_1^2 + 2k_2 \left( \frac{z_\kappa}{y_\kappa} \right)^2 + 2k_3 \left( \frac{y_\kappa}{z_\kappa} \right)^2, \quad K_{J2} = J_2^2 + 2k_1 \left( \frac{z_\kappa}{x_\kappa} \right)^2 + 2k_3 \left( \frac{x_\kappa}{z_\kappa} \right)^2, \quad K_{J3} = J_3^2 + 2k_1 \left( \frac{y_\kappa}{x_\kappa} \right)^2 + 2k_2 \left( \frac{x_\kappa}{y_\kappa} \right)^2,
\]  

(26)

that are functionally independent, \(dK_{J1} \land dK_{J2} \land dK_{J3} \neq 0,\) Poisson commute with the Hamiltonian, \(\{K_{Ji}, H_{K123}(\kappa)\} = 0, i = 1, 2, 3,\) and satisfy the following Poisson bracket properties

\[
\{K_{J1}, K_{J2} + K_{J3}\} = 0, \quad \{K_{J2}, K_{J1} + K_{J3}\} = 0, \quad \{K_{J3}, K_{J1} + K_{J2}\} = 0.
\]

So this system is Liouville integrable (for all the values of \(\kappa\)) with a fundamental set of three integrals of motion \((H_{K123}(\kappa), K_{Ji}, K_{Jj} + K_{Jk}; i \neq j \neq k)\) that Poisson commute.
Second, the three $\kappa$-dependent Runge-Lenz functions (24) obtained in the previous section, and characterizing to the potential $k/T_\kappa(r)$, are no longer integrals of motion. Now we prove that this system admits three quartic constants of motion. We will obtain them making use of a method already used in (33) in the study superintegrable systems on three-dimensional conformally Euclidean spaces and that it is related with the existence of certain complex functions.

Let us now denote by $R_{1\kappa}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, the following Runge-Lenz-related functions

$$R_{1\kappa} = K_{RL1} + 2(C_\kappa(r) S_\kappa(r))(\sin \theta \cos \phi) \left( \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa^2} \right),$$

$$R_{2\kappa} = K_{RL2} + 2(C_\kappa(r) S_\kappa(r))(\sin \theta \sin \phi) \left( \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa^2} \right),$$

$$R_{3\kappa} = K_{RL3} + 2(C_\kappa(r) S_\kappa(r))(\cos \theta) \left( \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa^2} \right),$$

(27)

where the quadratic functions $K_{RLi}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, were defined in the previous section.

In fact, in the particular case ($k \neq 0, k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 0$), these three functions reduce to three components of the Runge-Lenz vector. These functions are not (in the general case) integrals of motion but when one of the additional terms is not present then the corresponding function $R_j$ becomes an integral of motion. That is, we have the following property

If $k_j = 0$ then $\{R_{j\kappa}, H'_{K123}(\kappa)\} = 0$,

where $R_{j\kappa}$, and $H'_{K123}(\kappa)$ denote the function $R_{j\kappa}$ and the Hamiltonian $H_{K123}(\kappa)$, respectively, but without the $k_j$-term.

Let us first remark that the functions $x_\kappa$, $y_\kappa$, $z_\kappa$, and the Noether momenta $P_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy the following relation

$$x_\kappa P_1 + y_\kappa P_2 + z_\kappa P_3 = p_r S_\kappa(r).$$

Then the three functions $R_{j\kappa}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, and the three $\kappa$-dependent functions

$$(p_r S_\kappa(r))/x_\kappa, \quad (p_r S_\kappa(r))/y_\kappa, \quad (p_r S_\kappa(r))/z_\kappa,$$

are related among them by the time derivatives. More precisely, we have

$$\{R_{1\kappa}, H_{K123}\} = -2k_1 \lambda_{1\kappa} \frac{1}{x_\kappa} (p_r S_\kappa(r)), \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{x_\kappa} (p_r S_\kappa(r)), H_{K123} \right\} = \lambda_{1\kappa} R_{1\kappa},$$

$$\{R_{2\kappa}, H_{K123}\} = -2k_2 \lambda_{2\kappa} \frac{1}{y_\kappa} (p_r S_\kappa(r)), \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{y_\kappa} (p_r S_\kappa(r)), H_{K123} \right\} = \lambda_{2\kappa} R_{2\kappa},$$

$$\{R_{3\kappa}, H_{K123}\} = -2k_3 \lambda_{3\kappa} \frac{1}{z_\kappa} (p_r S_\kappa(r)), \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{z_\kappa} (p_r S_\kappa(r)), H_{K123} \right\} = \lambda_{3\kappa} R_{3\kappa},$$

where the coefficients $\lambda_{j\kappa}, j = 1, 2, 3$, take the forms

$$\lambda_{1\kappa} = \frac{1}{x_\kappa^2}, \quad \lambda_{2\kappa} = \frac{1}{y_\kappa^2}, \quad \lambda_{3\kappa} = \frac{1}{z_\kappa^2}.$$

The properties of these functions are stated in the following proposition
Proposition 5 Let $N_{jk}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, denote the following complex functions

\[ N_{1\kappa} = R_{1\kappa} + i \sqrt{2k_1} \left( \frac{p_r S_\kappa(r)}{x_\kappa} \right), \quad N_{2\kappa} = R_{2\kappa} + i \sqrt{2k_2} \left( \frac{p_r S_\kappa(r)}{y_\kappa} \right), \quad N_{3\kappa} = R_{3\kappa} + i \sqrt{2k_3} \left( \frac{p_r S_\kappa(r)}{z_\kappa} \right), \]

Then the time derivatives of these functions satisfy the following relations

\[ \frac{d}{dt} N_{1\kappa} = -i \sqrt{2k_1} \lambda_{1\kappa} N_{1\kappa}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} N_{2\kappa} = -i \sqrt{2k_2} \lambda_{2\kappa} N_{2\kappa}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} N_{3\kappa} = -i \sqrt{2k_3} \lambda_{3\kappa} N_{3\kappa}. \]

Therefor the moduli $|N_{jk}|$ of the functions $N_{jk}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, satisfy

\[ \frac{d}{dt} |N_{1\kappa}|^2 = \left( \frac{d}{dt} N_{1\kappa} \right)^* N_{1\kappa} + N_{1\kappa} \left( \frac{d}{dt} N_{1\kappa} \right)^* = (-i \sqrt{2k_1} \lambda_{1\kappa} + i \sqrt{2k_1} \lambda_{1\kappa}) \left(N_{1\kappa} N_{1\kappa}^* \right) = 0, \]

\[ \frac{d}{dt} |N_{2\kappa}|^2 = \left( \frac{d}{dt} N_{2\kappa} \right)^* N_{2\kappa} + N_{2\kappa} \left( \frac{d}{dt} N_{2\kappa} \right)^* = (-i \sqrt{2k_2} \lambda_{2\kappa} + i \sqrt{2k_2} \lambda_{2\kappa}) \left(N_{2\kappa} N_{2\kappa}^* \right) = 0, \]

\[ \frac{d}{dt} |N_{3\kappa}|^2 = \left( \frac{d}{dt} N_{3\kappa} \right)^* N_{3\kappa} + N_{3\kappa} \left( \frac{d}{dt} N_{3\kappa} \right)^* = (-i \sqrt{2k_3} \lambda_{3\kappa} + i \sqrt{2k_3} \lambda_{3\kappa}) \left(N_{3\kappa} N_{3\kappa}^* \right) = 0. \]

Hence the three functions $K_{Rj}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, given by

\[ K_{R1} = |N_{1\kappa}|^2 = R_{1\kappa}^2 + 2k_1 \left( \frac{p_r S_\kappa(r)}{x_\kappa} \right)^2, \quad K_{R2} = |N_{2\kappa}|^2 = R_{2\kappa}^2 + 2k_2 \left( \frac{p_r S_\kappa(r)}{y_\kappa} \right)^2, \]

\[ K_{R3} = |N_{3\kappa}|^2 = R_{3\kappa}^2 + 2k_3 \left( \frac{p_r S_\kappa(r)}{z_\kappa} \right)^2, \quad (28) \]

are quartic constants of motion:

\[ \{K_{Rj}, H_{K123}(\kappa)\} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \]

The preceding result can be summarised in the following proposition:

Proposition 6 The $\kappa$-dependent Kepler Hamiltonian with three additional nonlinear terms, $k_1/x_\kappa^2$, $k_2/y_\kappa^2$, and $k_3/z_\kappa^2$, defined on the 3-dimensional sphere $S^3$ ($\kappa > 0$) and on the hyperbolic space $H^3$ ($\kappa < 0$)

\[ H_{K123}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_o^2 + \frac{p_o^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{k}{T_\kappa(r)} + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa^2} \right], \]

with Euclidean limit

\[ \lim_{\kappa \to 0} H_{K123}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( p_o^2 + \frac{p_o^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{k}{r} + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x^2} + \frac{k_2}{y^2} + \frac{k_3}{z^2} \right], \]

is maximally superintegrable with a first set of three angular-momentum-related quadratic constants of motion ($K_{j1}, K_{j2}, K_{j3}$) and a second set ($K_{R1}, K_{R2}, K_{R3}$) of three curvature-dependent constants of motion of fourth order in the momenta.
5 Final comments

We have studied the superintegrability of Hamiltonian systems defined on three-dimensional configuration spaces of constant curvature. As observed in the Introduction the two more important superintegrable systems are just the harmonic oscillator and the Kepler problem and because of this we have focused our study on these two systems (usually known as Bertrand potentials) as well as to some other related systems obtained from them by addition of nonlinear terms.

There are certain important points that are fundamental for the approach presented in this article. We mention two. First, we have presented a curvature-dependent formalism (all the functions depend of \( \kappa \) as a parameter) but, given a superintegrable Euclidean system, then many different \( \kappa \)-dependent potentials can be constructed with the same flat limit; the important point is that if we require that the superintegrability must be preserved then this condition singles out a very particular system among all the possible curved version of the Euclidean system. Second, the curvature-dependent formalism we have presented permit us the study of the Hamiltonian system at the same time in both curved manifolds; that is, spherical (curvature \( \kappa \) positive) and hyperbolic (curvature \( \kappa \) negative). This is also a very important point since these two spaces are geometrically rather different but, in spite of this, first the Hamiltonian function \( H(\kappa) \) and then all the integrals of motion \( K_{j,\kappa} \) can be expressed in an unique form valid for the two spaces.

We have proved the quadratic superintegrability (and we have obtained all the integrals of motion) of three oscillators; the isotropic harmonic, the Smorodinsky-Winternitz (S-W) system, and the 2:1:1 oscillator with nonlinear terms

- The Harmonic Oscillator on the 3-dimensional sphere \( S^3 \) \((\kappa > 0)\) and Hyperbolic space \( H^3 \) \((\kappa < 0)\)

\[
H(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 T^2_\kappa(r).
\]

\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 0} H(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 r^2.
\]

- Isotropic harmonic oscillator with additional terms of the form \( k_1/x_\kappa^2 \), \( k_2/y_\kappa^2 \), and \( k_3/z_\kappa^2 \):

\[
H_{SW}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 T^2_\kappa(r) + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa^2} \right].
\]

\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 0} H_{SW}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 r^2 + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x^2} + \frac{k_2}{y^2} + \frac{k_3}{z^2} \right].
\]

- Oscillator 1:1:2 on the 3-dimensional sphere \( S^3 \) \((\kappa > 0)\) and on the hyperbolic space \( H^3 \) \((\kappa < 0)\) with two nonlinear terms of the form \( 1/x_\kappa^2 \) and \( 1/y_\kappa^2 \):

\[
H_{112}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \left( \frac{x_\kappa^2 + y_\kappa^2 + 4z_\kappa^2}{1 - \kappa (x_\kappa^2 + y_\kappa^2)} \right) + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} \right].
\]

\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 0} H_{112}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 (x^2 + y^2 + 4z^2) + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x^2} + \frac{k_2}{y^2} \right].
\]

20
and we have also proved the quadratic superintegrability of the Kepler problem with curvature $\kappa$ and the higher-order superintegrability of the Kepler problem with additional nonlinear terms:

- Kepler Hamiltonian on the 3-dimensional sphere $S^3$ ($\kappa > 0$) and on the hyperbolic space $H^3$ ($\kappa < 0$)
  \[
  H_K(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{k}{T_\kappa(r)}.
  \]

- Curvature-dependent Kepler Hamiltonian with three additional terms of the form $k_1/x_\kappa^2$, $k_2/y_\kappa^2$, and $k_3/z_\kappa^2$
  \[
  H_{K123}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{S_\kappa^2(r)} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{k}{T_\kappa(r)} + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_2}{y_\kappa^2} + \frac{k_3}{z_\kappa^2} \right].
  \]

\[
  \lim_{\kappa \to 0} H_{K123}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right) + \frac{k}{r} + \left[ \frac{k_1}{x^2} + \frac{k_2}{y^2} + \frac{k_3}{z^2} \right].
\]

We finalise with the following two comments. (i) In the two cases, oscillator and Kepler, the existence of several integrals of motion appears as related with the properties of certain complex functions (functions $M_{jk\kappa}$ for the oscillator in Section 3.1 and functions $N_{jk\kappa}$ for Kepler in Section 4.2). In fact, a very similar situation was already obtained in ref. [33] in the study of superintegrable Hamiltonian systems on 3-dimensional conformally Euclidean spaces. A natural question is if this complex-related method is limited to these two particular systems or it can be applied to other different Hamiltonian systems. (ii) The study of classical superintegrability can also be considered as a first step for the study of the corresponding quantum versions (we recall that quantum superintegrability is related with the degeneracy of the energy levels as in the hydrogen atom). The behaviour of the functions $M_{jk\kappa}$ and $N_{jk\kappa}$ shows a certain relation with the properties of classical ladder functions studied in [71]. An interesting point is if the quantization of the functions $M_{jk\kappa}$ and $N_{jk\kappa}$ as appropriate operators can be related with quantum ladder operators. Thus, quantum version of the properties presented in this paper can also be considered as a matter to be studied.

6 Appendix I. Properties of the matrix $[K_{ij\kappa}]$

The symmetric matrix $[K_{ij\kappa}]$ of the $\kappa$-depending integrals of motion, $\{K_{ij\kappa}, H(\kappa)\} = 0$, obtained in the section 3.1 represents a generalization of the Fradkin tensor [3] for the dynamics of the curvature-dependent Hamiltonian $H(\kappa)$. Now we present its more important algebraic properties

(i) The trace of the matrix $[K_{ij\kappa}]$, that in the Euclidean case is just the Hamiltonian, is now the Hamiltonian plus a curvature-dependent term (related with the angular momentum) that vanish in the Euclidean limit

\[
  \text{tr}[K_{ij\kappa}] = K_{11\kappa} + K_{22\kappa} + K_{33\kappa}, \quad \text{tr}[K_{ij\kappa}] + \kappa \left( J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2 \right) = 2H(\kappa).
\]
(ii) The matrix \([K_{ij\kappa}]\) is singular, that is, \(\det[K_{ij\kappa}] = 0\). In fact, the six matrix elements \(K_{ij\kappa} = K_{ji\kappa}\), \(i,j = 1,2,3\), are six different integrals of motion for the Hamiltonian \(H(\kappa)\) and, as only five of them can be independent, the equation \(\det[K_{ij}] = 0\) states an algebraic relation between them.

(iii) The action of \([K_{ij}]\) on the angular momentum is given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
K_{11\kappa} & K_{12\kappa} & K_{13\kappa} \\
K_{21\kappa} & K_{22\kappa} & K_{23\kappa} \\
K_{31\kappa} & K_{32\kappa} & K_{33\kappa}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
J_1 \\
J_2 \\
J_3
\end{bmatrix}
= 0,
\]

that can be rewritten as the following three equations

\[K_{11\kappa}J_1 + K_{12\kappa}J_2 + K_{13\kappa}J_3 = 0,\]
\[K_{21\kappa}J_1 + K_{22\kappa}J_2 + K_{23\kappa}J_3 = 0,\]
\[K_{31\kappa}J_1 + K_{32\kappa}J_2 + K_{33\kappa}J_3 = 0.\]

The contraction of \([K_{ij\kappa}]\) with the angular momentum gives zero.

(iv) The following relations between the components of the matrix are true:

\[x_{\kappa}^2 K_{22\kappa} - 2x_{\kappa} y_{\kappa} K_{12\kappa} + y_{\kappa}^2 K_{11\kappa} = (C_{\kappa}(r))^2 J_3^2,\]
\[y_{\kappa}^2 K_{33\kappa} - 2y_{\kappa} z_{\kappa} K_{23\kappa} + z_{\kappa}^2 K_{22\kappa} = (C_{\kappa}(r))^2 J_1^2,\]
\[z_{\kappa}^2 K_{11\kappa} - 2z_{\kappa} x_{\kappa} K_{31\kappa} + x_{\kappa}^2 K_{33\kappa} = (C(\kappa(r))^2 J_2^2,\]

where we recall that \(\lim_{\kappa \to 0} C_{\kappa}(r) = 1\).

(v) The following relations between the components of the matrix are true:

\[K_{11\kappa}K_{22\kappa} - K_{12\kappa}^2 = \alpha^2 J_3^2,\]
\[K_{22\kappa}K_{33\kappa} - K_{23\kappa}^2 = \alpha^2 J_1^2,\]
\[K_{33\kappa}K_{11\kappa} - K_{31\kappa}^2 = \alpha^2 J_2^2.\]

(vi) The following three algebraic properties are true

\[K_{ij\kappa}x_{i\kappa}x_{j\kappa} = 2(x_{\kappa}^2 + y_{\kappa}^2 + z_{\kappa}^2)H(\kappa) - (J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2),\]
\[K_{ij\kappa}x_{i\kappa}P_j = (p_r S_\kappa(r))\left(2H(\kappa) - \kappa(J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2)\right),\]
\[K_{ij\kappa}P_i P_j = (P_1^2 + P_2^2 + P_3^2)^2 + \alpha^2 (T_{\kappa}(r))^2 p_r^2,\]

where we have made use of the following equality

\[x_{\kappa} P_1 + y_{\kappa} P_2 + z_{\kappa} P_3 = p_r S_\kappa(r).\]
Appendix II. Two other alternative approaches

We have studied the dynamics on the curvature constant spaces $S^3$ and $H^3$ by making use of the curvature-dependent trigonometric and hyperbolic functions $C_\kappa(x)$ and $S_\kappa(x)$. In this way the expression of the differential element of distance $ds^2(\kappa)$ and the kinetic function $T_g(\kappa)$ take the following form when written in geodesic polar coordinates $(r, \theta, \phi)$

$$ds^2(\kappa) = dr^2 + S_\kappa^2(r) d\theta^2 + S_\kappa^2(r) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2,$$

and

$$L = T_g(\kappa) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( v_r^2 + S_\kappa^2(r) v_\theta^2 + S_\kappa^2(r) \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2 \right).$$

Next we present two other different approaches that can be obtained from this one by making use of a change of the geodesic distance $r$ but preserving the angular coordinates.

1. Let us consider the $\kappa$-dependent change $(r, \theta, \phi) \to (\rho, \theta, \phi)$ given by $\rho = S_\kappa(r)$. Then, when written in these new coordinates, the $\kappa$-dependent metric and kinetic term become

$$ds^2_\kappa = \frac{dr^2}{1 - \kappa \rho^2} + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + \rho^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2,$$

and

$$L = T_g(\kappa) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \frac{v_r^2}{1 - \kappa \rho^2} + \rho^2 v_\theta^2 + \rho^2 \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2 \right).$$

In this case, the Lagrangians of the harmonic oscillator and Kepler problem take the form

$$L(\kappa) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \frac{v_r^2}{1 - \kappa \rho^2} + \rho^2 v_\theta^2 + \rho^2 \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2 \right) - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \left( \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \kappa \rho^2} \right),$$

and

$$L(\kappa) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \frac{v_r^2}{1 - \kappa \rho^2} + \rho^2 v_\theta^2 + \rho^2 \sin^2 \theta v_\phi^2 \right) - k \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - \kappa \rho^2}}{\rho} \right).$$

This formalism was used for example in [54, 55, 56, 57].

2. Let us now consider a new $\kappa$-dependent change $(r, \theta, \phi) \to (R, \theta, \phi)$ given by $R = T_\kappa(r)$. Then, when written in these new coordinates, the $\kappa$-dependent metric and kinetic term become

$$ds^2_\kappa = \frac{d\rho^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} + \frac{R^2 d\theta^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2,$$

and

$$L = T_g(\kappa) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \frac{v_r^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} + \frac{R^2 v_\theta^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} + \frac{R^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} v_\phi^2 \right).$$

In this case, the Lagrangians of the harmonic oscillator and Kepler problem take the form

$$L(\kappa) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \frac{v_r^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} + \frac{R^2 v_\theta^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} + \frac{R^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} v_\phi^2 \right) - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} R^2.$$
Figure 1: Plot of the potential $V_\kappa$, $\alpha = 1$, as a function of $r$, for $\kappa < 0$ (lower curves), $\kappa = 0$ (dashed line) and $\kappa > 0$ (upper curves).

and

$$L(\kappa) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \frac{v_R^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} + \frac{R^2 v_\theta^2}{(1 + \kappa R^2)^2} + \frac{R^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(1 + \kappa R^2)} v_\phi^2 \right) - \frac{k}{R}.$$

This approach is the one studied by Higgs in Ref. [72] (the study of Higgs was originally limited to a spherical geometry but the idea can be extended to the hyperbolic space).

We note that both radial variables, $\rho$ and $R$, are well defined. In the hyperbolic $\kappa < 0$ case the two functions $S_\kappa(r)$ and $T_\kappa(r)$ are positive for $r > 0$ and concerning the spherical $\kappa > 0$ case this property is also true because then $r$ is restricted to a bounded interval.

The situation can be summarised as follows. We have obtained three alternative ways of describing the Lagrangian/Hamiltonian systems on spaces of constant curvature: the original trigonometric/hyperbolic formalism and the two other approaches obtained from it. Of course, each one of these three different approaches has its own characteristics and advantages.
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Figure 2: Plot of the Kepler potential $-1/T_\kappa(r)$ as a function of $r$, for the unit sphere $\kappa = 1$ (upper curve), Euclidean potential (dashed line), and unit Lobachewski space $\kappa = -1$ (lower curve). The three functions are singular at $r = 0$ but the Euclidean function $V_0$ appears in this formalism as making a separation between two different behaviours. In fact $V_0$ is the only potential that vanishes at long distances.
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