Peripheral arterial tonometry as a method of measuring reactive hyperaemia correlates with organ dysfunction and prognosis in the critically ill patient: a prospective observational study
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Abstract
Predictions of mortality may help in the selection of patients who benefit from intensive care. Endothelial dysfunction is partially responsible for many of the organic dysfunctions in critical illness. Reactive hyperaemia is a vascular response of the endothelium that can be measured by peripheral arterial tonometry (RH-PAT). We aimed to assess if reactive hyperaemia is affected by critical illness and if it correlates with outcomes. Prospective study with a cohort of consecutive patients admitted to an Intensive Care Unit. RH-PAT was accessed on admission and on the 7th day after admission. Early and late survivors were compared to non-survivors. The effect of RH-PAT variation on late mortality was studied by a logistic regression model. The association between RH-PAT and severity scores and biomarkers of organic dysfunction was investigated by multivariate analysis. 86 patients were enrolled. Mean ln(RHI) on admission was 0.580 and was significantly lower in patients with higher severity scores (p < 0.01) and early non-survivors (0.388; p = 0.027). The model for prediction of early mortality estimated that each 0.1 decrease in ln(RHI) increased the odds for mortality by 13%. In 39 patients, a 2nd RH-PAT measurement was performed on the 7th day. The variation of ln(RHI) was significantly different between non-survivors and survivors (−24.2% vs. 63.9%, p = 0.026). Ln(RHI) was significantly lower in patients with renal and cardiovascular dysfunction (p < 0.01). RH-PAT is correlated with disease severity and seems to be an independent marker of early mortality, cardiovascular and renal dysfunctions. RH-PAT variation predicts late mortality. There appears to be an RH-PAT impairment in the acute phase of severe diseases that may be reversible and associated with better outcomes.
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1 Introduction

Mortality in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) is the highest among all hospital departments [1–3]. Correct predictions of mortality may help in the selection of patients who will benefit from intensive care and decide about treatment burden and success rates.

Endothelial cells are prone to damage and may become dysfunctional in acute and chronic diseases, in which inflammatory cytokines lead the endothelial cells to an activated phenotype [4]. In acute diseases such as sepsis, trauma and major surgery, acute endothelial dysfunction contributes to microvascular impairment, with decreases in nitric oxide (NO) production, whose manifestations include increased vascular permeability, dysregulated haemostasis, loss of vascular tone regulation and decreased oxygen uptake, culminating in multiorgan failure and increased mortality [5, 6]. Despite its role in disease, the endothelium is rarely included in clinical algorithms for the assessment of disease severity in acute illnesses [7].

There are many methods by which endothelial function may be measured, each of them focusing on one of the many physiological roles of the endothelium. Reactive hyperaemia (RH) is a flow-induced, endothelial-dependent vasodilatory vascular response, that can be non-invasively measured at the bedside and is partially dependent on NO [8]. Several tools, such as peripheral arterial tonometry (RH-PAT) and flow mediated dilation (FMD), have been validated for measuring RH [9–12]. RH-PAT is considered an accurate marker of endothelial dysfunction and an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and mortality in patients with chronic inflammatory diseases [13]. Recently, evidence that RH-PAT may correlate with disease severity and mortality, in patients with sepsis and ST-elevation myocardial infarction, suggests that RH-PAT may also be used for accessing prognosis in the critically ill patient [10, 14]. However, it is not known to what extent RH-PAT predicts organic dysfunction or mortality, if these changes in RH are also present in acute conditions in the critically ill patient other than sepsis and myocardial infarction, if the acute RH impairment is reversible, nor the prognostic implications of RH reversibility.

In this study, our main objective was to verify if there is an association between RH-PAT and outcomes in the critically ill patient. Furthermore, we questioned if RH-PAT and other endothelial biomarkers correlate with variables of organic dysfunction; if the acute impairment in the RH-PAT is reversible and if this reversibility correlates with long-term outcomes.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design

We performed a prospective cohort study with consecutive patients admitted to an ICU in a tertiary care centre, in whom RH-PAT was measured on admission and patients were observed over a period of 12 months and grouped as survivors or non-survivors. Written consent was obtained from the patient if awake, or from a legal representative. Ethic approval for the study was obtained from the Hospitals’ Ethics Committee.

2.2 Participant selection and eligibility criteria

Adult patients admitted to the ICU over a period of 12 months (September 2016 to September 2017) were evaluated for study eligibility in the first 24 h after admission. Patients were considered eligible if they fulfilled any of the following criteria: respiratory failure from any cause requiring invasive positive-pressure mechanical ventilatory support, patients requiring extracorporeal respiratory support, patients requiring continuous intravenous vasoactive drugs, patients requiring continuous intravenous medication to control seizures and supplementary oxygen/airway monitoring and need of monitoring and support for two or more organ systems. Patients were not included based on the exclusion criteria described in Table 1.

2.3 Participant characterization and definition of primary and secondary outcomes

Participants underwent clinical and demographic data collection and routine blood sampling for biochemical analysis on admittance. Severity was defined according to the Sequential Organic Failure Assessment (SOFA) score, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE-II) score and Simplified Acute Physiology Score II (SAPS-II). The patients were characterized as having circulatory shock according to the guidelines described in Table 2 [15–17]. Organic dysfunctions were defined using biochemical shock and clinical assessments and the cut-off values were determined using available guidelines (Table 2) [15, 18–20]. Primary outcomes were early and late mortality, defined as death by the 28th day and 12th month, respectively. Secondary outcomes were considered as the presence of organic dysfunctions defined in Table 2.
2.4 Measuring vascular reactivity

Reactive hyperaemia was accessed on admittance with the Endo-PAT 2000® tonometer (Itamar Medical, Cesarea, Israel). The evaluation was performed after, at least, 1 h of hemodynamic stability (defined as absence of vasopressor drugs dose adjustments or need for fluid bolus) in a controlled environment (room temperature 21.5 °C). For each assessment, a software profile was introduced, controlling for age, weight, estimated height, heart rate and arterial
pressure. RH-PAT protocol was performed as suggested by the manufacturer and, with the patient in the dorsal recumbent position, a probe was introduced in each 2nd finger and plethysmography was used to register the pulse wave amplitude (PWA) during the basal, occlusion and post-occlusion periods [21]. Using the Endo-PAT 2000 software (version 3.1.2) provided by the manufacturer, the RH index (RHI) was obtained by quantifying a ratio between the PWA from the pre- and post-occlusion periods. An example and explanation of RH-PAT in a healthy patient can be found in Fig. 1. Although official reference values for RHI are unavailable, RHI is considered to be a marker of endothelial dysfunction and mortality if under 1.68 (ln(RHI) 0.51), and is generally regarded by the manufacturer as impaired if under 2.0 (ln(RHI) 0.69), whereas higher RHI values are considered normal endothelial responses [22].

2.5 Evaluating patient progression

Patients who survived and were not discharged from the ICU, were reassessed for RH-PAT on the 7th day after admittance. Patients who were discharged to other non-ICU wards were excluded as the environmental variables (i.e. room temperature, humidity, luminosity, distractions) could not be controlled.

2.6 Immunologic evaluation

Quantification of Syndecan-1, Endocan, and soluble E-selectin (sE-selectin) levels in plasma samples was performed by solid phase sandwich immunoassays using fluorescently dyed micron-sized polystyrene microspheres in the Human Premixed Multiplex kit (Magnetic Luminex® Assay, Cat. No. LXSAHM-04, kit lot No. L126978, R&D Systems®, Inc.). Results were analysed with the Luminex 200®TM xMAP®TM Technology (Luminex Corp.) and the Luminex xPONENT® Software (version 3.1).

2.7 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics consisted of mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables, and absolute/relative frequencies for categorical variables. The association between two continuous variables was assessed by the Pearson correlation coefficient. Statistical inferences were drawn from the application of the t-test, chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test, Pearson’s correlation test or ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparisons with the absent category, as appropriate.

Multivariate analysis included linear regression for continuous responses and logistic regression for binary outcomes; results are presented as B (coefficient), OR (odds ratio) and 95% CI (confidence interval for the OR). Only significant variables (p-value < 0.05) were considered in the final regression analysis model. The variation of RHI over time was quantified using the quotient (ln(RHI)\textsubscript{(1st day)} - ln(RHI)\textsubscript{(7th day)})/ln(RHI)\textsubscript{(1st day)}. To test whether the evolution of RHI through time influenced mortality after 12 months, we fitted a logistic regression model including the relative difference in RHI as an explanatory variable. Due to the reduction in sample size, the estimation method used the penalized likelihood proposed by Firth, in order to avoid first-order bias [23]. The statistical analyses were performed with the R language and software environment for statistical computation, version 2.3.3. Statistical significance was fixed at 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Participants description

From 115 patients admitted during a period of 12 months, 86 patients were enrolled, of which 40 patients were included in the follow-up evaluation. The workflow of inclusion and exclusion can be found in Fig. 2. Patient characteristics and outcomes are described in Table 2. The study population has

[Fig. 1 Example of RH-PAT analysis in a healthy patient. The study starts by evaluating the basal PWA on both arms for 5 min. This is followed by a sphygmomanometer cuff inflation in the 2nd portion of one of the arms, compressing the brachial artery flow. After a 5-min occlusion period, the cuff is deflated and a new evaluation of the PWA is carried for another 5 min. After data registration, the manufacturer software analyses the results and computes a Reactive Hyperaemia Index (RHI). The RHI is obtained by calculating the ratio of the occluded arm’s mean PWA 90–150 s post occlusion (a) to the mean basal PWA of the same arm (b). The result is divided by the same ratio from the control arm (C/D) to consider systemic vascular changes during the test. The final ratio is further multiplied by a baseline correction factor (Itamar Medical, Cesarea, Israel)]
been previously enrolled in a study of endothelial dysfunction and sepsis and results from this study group have been reported [24].

### 3.2 Endothelial dysfunction on admission and early mortality

Early and late mortality were of 24.4% (21 patients) and 36.6% (31 patients), respectively. The clinical characteristics between both outcomes can be found in Table 3. Non-survivors were significantly older (age ≥ 60 years old) and presented more cardiovascular comorbidities (arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidaemia and coronary disease) and malignancies (metastatic solid neoplasia) than survivors. Non-survivors had significantly higher severity indexes (APACHEII and SAPSII) but did not have higher organ failure assessment scores (SOFA) or individual organic dysfunctions. RHI and plasma concentrations of Syndecan-1, sE-selectin and Endocan, presented skewed distributions and, therefore, analyses were performed on log-transformed data (ln).

Mean ln(RHI) on admission was 0.580 (SD 0.462, range −0.91 to 1.61) and was found to be significantly lower in patients with higher severity scores (APACHEII and SAPSII score, p < 0.01) and early non-survivors (p = 0.027) (Fig. 3d). As previously reported in this cohort, ln(RHI) is influenced, not only by the presence of multiple comorbidities such as dyslipidaemia, peripheral arterial disease and coronary disease, but also by disease severity such as the presence of shock and high severity scores [24]. Syndecan-1 levels were found to be significantly lower in early non-survivors (p = 0.024) but not in late non-survivors. There was a tendency for lower ln(RHI) values in late non-survivors (p = 0.095) (Fig. 3e).
| Demographics | Total (n = 86) | Early mortality—28 days | Late mortality—12 months | p-value | p-value |
|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Sex—male    | 58 (67.4%)    | 44 (67.7%)              | 14 (66.7%)               | 0.931   | 0.931   |
| Age ≥ 60 y.o | 35 (40.7%)    | 22 (33.8%)              | 13 (61.9%)               | 0.023   | 0.014   |
| DM2         | 22 (25.6%)    | 12 (18.5%)              | 10 (47.6%)               | 0.008   | 0.114   |
| AH           | 43 (50.0%)    | 28 (43.1%)              | 15 (71.4%)               | 0.024   | 0.013   |
| Dyslipidaemia | 35 (40.7%)    | 21 (32.3%)              | 14 (66.7%)               | 0.005   | 0.014   |
| Statin treatment | 30 (34.9%)    | 19 (29.2%)              | 11 (52.4%)               | 0.053   | 0.049   |
| Peripheral arterial disease | 21 (24.4%)    | 13 (20.0%)              | 8 (38.1%)                | 0.093   | 0.021   |
| COPD         | 13 (15.1%)    | 8 (12.3%)               | 5 (23.8%)                | 0.201   | 0.844   |
| Auto-Immune disease | 5 (4.8%)    | 5 (7.7%)                | 0                        | 0.190   | 0.441   |
| Smoking habits | 28 (32.6%)    | 20 (30.8%)              | 8 (38.1%)                | 0.553   | 0.664   |
| Coronary disease | 24 (27.9%)    | 14 (21.5%)              | 10 (47.6%)               | 0.021   | 0.029   |
| Haematological cancer | 2 (2.3%)      | 2 (3.1%)                | 0                        | 0.416   | 0.678   |
| HIV          | 12 (14.0%)    | 8 (12.3%)               | 4 (14.0%)                | 0.438   | 0.749*  |
| Metastatic solid neoplasia | 8 (9.3%)      | 4 (6.2%)                | 4 (19.0%)                | 0.095*  | 0.023*  |
| Severity     |               |                         |                          |         |         |
| APACHE score | 20.5 (7.1)    | 19.2 (7.1)              | 24.7 (5.4)               | 0.002   | 0.05    |
| SAPS2 Score  | 55.4 (20.0)   | 52.9 (20.6)             | 63.8 (16.5)              | 0.049   | 0.03    |
| SOFA score   | 9.5 (4.2)     | 9.40 (0.55)             | 9.84 (0.88)              | 0.893   | 0.692   |
| Cardiovascular dysfunction | 51 (59.3%) | 37 (56.9%)              | 14 (66.7%)               | 0.429   | 0.778   |
| Respiratory dysfunction | 43 (50.0%)    | 45 (69.2%)              | 16 (76.2%)               | 0.541   | 0.617   |
| Renal dysfunction | 19 (22.1%)    | 16 (24.6%)              | 9 (42.9%)                | 0.109   | 0.625   |
| Neurological dysfunction | 46 (53.5%)    | 35 (53.8%)              | 13 (61.9%)               | 0.518   | 0.891   |
| Haematological dysfunction | 24 (27.9%) | 41 (63.1%)              | 10 (47.6%)               | 0.210   | 0.045   |
| Hepatic dysfunction | 23 (26.7%)    | 15 (23.1%)              | 6 (28.6%)                | 0.610   | 0.766   |
| Norepinephrine use | 41 (47.6%) | 31 (47.5%)              | 10 (47.6%)               | 0.995   | 0.726   |
| Norepinephrine dose | 0.447 (0.545) | 0.433 (0.601) | 0.489 (0.337) | 0.813 | 0.815 |
| Sepsis       | 58 (67.4%)    | 44 (67.7%)              | 14 (66.7%)               | 0.931   | 0.664   |
| Shock        | 37 (43.0%)    | 27 (41.5%)              | 10 (47.6%)               | 0.625   | 0.451   |
| Septic shock | 29 (33.7%)    | 21 (32.3%)              | 8 (38.1%)                | 0.877   | 0.259   |
| Non-septic shock | 8 (9.3%) | 6 (9.2%)                | 2 (9.5%)                 | 3 (5.5%) | 0.161   |
| ln(RHI)      | 0.580 (0.462) | 0.642 (0.389) | 0.388 (0.607) | 0.027 | 0.095 |
| ln(Endocan)  | (pg/mL) 7.11 (0.09) | 7.06 (0.10) | 7.35 (0.24) | 0.226 | 0.708 |
| ln(Syndecan-1) | 8.57 (0.07) | 8.49 (0.07) | 8.88 (0.16) | 0.024 | 0.215 |
| ln(SE-selectin) | 10.66 (0.10) | 10.48 (0.11) | 10.55 (0.56) | 0.576 | 0.643 |

**AH** Arterial hypertension, **APACHE II** acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II, **COPD** chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, **DM2** diabetes mellitus II, **HIV** human immunodeficiency virus, **Ln** natural logarithm, **RHI** REACTIVE HYPERAEMIA INDEX, **SAPSII** simplified acute physiology score II, **SOFA** sequential organ failure assessment

*a* Categorical variables presented as absolute frequencies (percentages on column)

*b* Continuous variables presented as mean (standard deviation); Means compared with students’ t-test, frequencies compared using chi-squared

*c* Fisher test
The (crude) effect of ln(RHI) in early mortality was adjusted for all variables considered as significant in the univariate analysis. The best regression model evaluating the (adjusted) effect of ln(RHI) in early mortality, included ln(RHI) (B = -1.222; OR = 0.295; p = 0.045; 95% CI = 0.089 to 0.976) and DM (B = 1.353; OR = 3.869; p = 0.015; 95% CI = 1.299 to 11.530) as explanatory variables. The model predicts that, at any given DM category, each 0.1 decrease in ln(RHI) increases the odds for mortality by 13% (1/e^{-1.222*0.1} = 1.129). No other variables significantly improved the model, including the use or dose of norepinephrine.

3.3 Endothelial dysfunction evolution and late mortality

To evaluate to what extent the variation of ln(RHI) predicts late mortality, 40 patients with a 2nd measurement of the ln(RHI) on the 7th day were selected. One patient with necrotizing fasciitis was excluded from this group, as he improved after initial resuscitation and surgical control, but was later rendered inoperable and died after suspension of supportive measures. Characteristics of these 39 patients differed from the original (n = 86 patients) as males were more prevalent (76.9% vs 67.4%), patients were younger (33.3% vs 40.7% of patients older than 60 years old) and all comorbidities were less frequent. Severity measured by the APACHE-II, SAPS-II and SOFA scores did not differ between the two study samples.

The variation of ln(RHI) was significantly different between the 10 (25.6%) non-survivors and the 29 (74.4%) survivors (−24.2% (SD 44.9%) vs 63.9% (SD 122%), p = 0.026, respectively) (Fig. 3f). The corresponding logistic regression model predicted that patients in whom the ln(RHI) improved ≥ 10.7% were survivors and those with variations < 10.7% were non-survivors on the 12th month after admission (overall correct classification = 66.7%, sensitivity = 90% and specificity = 58.6%). Due to the limited number of cases, a multivariate analysis was not performed.

3.4 Reactive hyperaemia on admission, organic dysfunction, and disease severity

Comparison of ln(RHI) and other biomarkers of endothelial activation with organic dysfunctions can be found in Table 4.

Fig. 3 Comparison of ln(RHI) with organic dysfunctions and mortality. a comparison of ln(RHI) on admission with cardiovascular dysfunction; b comparison of ln(RHI) on admission with circulatory shock dysfunction; c comparison of ln(RHI) on admission with renal dysfunction; d comparison of ln(RHI) on admission with early mortality (28th day); e comparison of ln(RHI) on admission with late mortality (12th month); f comparison of the variation of the ln(RHI) between admission and the 7th day with late mortality (12th month). ln Natural logarithm, RHI reactive hyperaemia index
### Table 4: Analysis of endothelial dysfunction markers and organic dysfunctions

|                      | ln(RHI) | ln(Endocan) (pg/mL) | ln(sE-Selectin) (pg/mL) | ln(Syndecan-1) (pg/mL) |
|----------------------|---------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                      | Result  | p-value             | Result                 | p-value                |
| **Shock**            |         |                     |                        |                        |
| Absent               | 0.804 (0.055) | 7.04 (0.11)       | 10.46 (0.14)           | 8.36 (0.09)            |
| Non-septic           | 0.422 (0.131) | 7.14 (0.29)       | 10.29 (0.13)           | 8.60 (0.06)            |
| Septic               | 0.245 (0.071) | <0.01*             | 7.21 (0.19)            | 11.04 (0.15)           |
| Cardiovascular       | 0.404 (0.067) | <0.01†             | 7.29 (0.13)            | 10.84 (0.12)           |
| dysfunction           | 0.837 (0.046) | 6.80 (0.10)       | 10.34 (0.17)           | 8.18 (0.10)            |
| Lactates ≥ 2 mmol/L  | 0.343 (0.082) | <0.01†             | 7.48 (0.23)            | 10.98 (0.18)           |
| Lactates < 2 mmol/L  | 0.750 (0.049) | 6.99 (0.09)       | 10.56 (0.12)           | 8.42 (0.08)            |
| MAP < 65 mmHg        | 0.445 (0.067) | <0.01†             | 7.26 (0.16)            | 10.96 (0.13)           |
| MAP ≥ 65 mmHg        | 0.750 (0.066) | 6.97 (0.10)       | 10.37 (0.13)           | 8.36 (0.10)            |
| SBP < 90 mmHg        | 0.493 (0.068) | 0.040†             | 7.25 (0.18)            | 11.01 (0.14)           |
| SBP ≥ 90 mmHg        | 0.671 (0.070) | 7.01 (0.10)       | 10.39 (0.12)           | 8.40 (0.09)            |
| With norepinephrine  | 0.475 (0.070) | 0.523†             | 7.31 (0.17)            | 10.93 (0.14)           |
| Without norepinephrine | 0.676 (0.068) | 6.94 (0.99)       | 10.43 (0.13)           | 8.41 (0.09)            |
| Norepinephrine dose  |         |                     |                        |                        |
| (mcg/kg/minute)      | 0.628 (0.375) | 0.977‡             | 7.045 (0.928)           | 10.807 (1.029)         |
| 0.001 to 0.199       | 0.529 (0.300) | 0.666‡             | 7.193 (0.554)           | 11.107 (0.757)         |
| 0.200 to 0.499       | 0.265 (0.567) | 0.010‡             | 7.637 (1.171)           | 10.874 (0.653)         |
| ≥ 0.500              | 0.700 (0.048) | 0.011†             | 7.19 (0.21)             | 10.800 (0.17)          |
| Renal dysfunction    |         |                     |                        |                        |
| Present              | 0.286 (0.105) | <0.01†             | 7.19 (0.21)            | 10.800 (0.17)          |
| Absent               | 0.700 (0.048) | 7.07 (0.09)       | 10.60 (0.12)           | 8.38 (0.07)            |
| AKI severity:        |         |                     |                        |                        |
| Stage 1              | 0.530 (0.194) | 0.943‡             | 6.72 (0.35)            | 11.78 (0.68)           |
| Stage 2              | 0.262 (0.128) | 0.009‡             | 7.35 (0.33)            | 10.52 (0.17)           |
| Stage 3              | 0.223 (0.160) | 0.003‡             | 7.23 (0.31)            | 10.71 (0.21)           |
| Neurological         | 0.556 (0.083) | 0.961†             | 7.00 (0.13)            | 10.79 (0.15)           |
| dysfunction           | 0.573 (0.070) | 7.25 (0.14)       | 10.51 (0.12)           | 8.59 (0.10)            |
| Respiratory         | 0.581 (0.057) | 0.816†             | 7.11 (0.11)            | 10.61 (0.12)           |
| dysfunction           | 0.557 (0.100) | 7.12 (0.20)       | 10.79 (0.19)           | 8.57 (0.13)            |
| ARDS severity:       |         |                     |                        |                        |
| PaO2/FiO2 200–300%   | 0.618 (0.082) | 0.984‡             | 7.13 (0.18)            | 10.61 (0.22)           |
| PaO2/FiO2 100–199%   | 0.557 (0.086) | 0.997‡             | 7.01 (0.13)            | 10.63 (0.14)           |
| PaO2/FiO2 < 99%      | 0.558 (0.168) | 1.000‡             | 8.22 (0.66)            | 10.29 (0.20)           |
| Hepatic dysfunction  |         |                     |                        |                        |
| Present              | 0.550 (0.119) | 0.868‡             | 7.18 (0.19)            | 10.97 (0.18)           |
| Absent               | 0.570 (0.060) | 7.09 (0.11)       | 10.54 (0.12)           | 8.42 (0.07)            |
| Hematologic         | 0.554 (0.071) | 0.939†             | 7.12 (0.13)            | 10.80 (0.12)           |
| dysfunction           | 0.617 (0.065) | 0.97 (0.13)       | 10.43 (0.16)           | 8.71 (0.09)            |
| PT time > 12 s       | 0.555 (0.071) | 0.539†             | 7.24 (0.17)            | 10.78 (0.12)           |
| Normal PT time       | 0.617 (0.066) | 7.02 (0.11)       | 10.58 (0.15)           | 8.43 (0.10)            |
| PLT ≤ 150×10^3/μL    | 0.536 (0.087) | 0.415†             | 7.25 (0.16)            | 10.85 (0.15)           |
| PLT > 150×10^3/μL    | 0.618 (0.054) | 6.98 (0.11)       | 10.48 (0.13)           | 8.37 (0.08)            |
| Severity scores      |         |                     |                        |                        |
| APACHEII             | <0.453   | <0.01†              | 0.039                  | 0.752                  |
| SAPS2                | −0.359   | <0.01†              | 0.083                  | 0.501                  |
| SOFA                 | −0.314   | <0.01†              | 0.218                  | 0.074                  |

Results are presented as mean (standard deviation) or Pearson's r.

APACHEII: Acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II. ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome. CV: cardiovascular. Ln: natural logarithm. MAP: mean arterial pressure. PLT: platelets. PT: prothrombin time. SBP: systolic blood pressure. SAPSII: simplified acute physiology score II. SOFA: sequential organ failure assessment.

†Bivariate variables were compared using Students t-test

‡Variables with more than 2 categories were compared with Dunnett's test, presented p-values refer to the absence of condition (control).

§For comparisons between continuous variables, the Pearson's correlation test was used.
Ln(RHI) was significantly lower in patients with circulatory shock (p < 0.001), and cardiovascular dysfunction (p < 0.01), including all 3 variables used as criteria (lactates, mean arterial pressure (MAP) and systolic blood pressure (SBP); p < 0.01) (Fig. 3a and b). The association with lactate levels remained significant (B = −0.365; p < 0.001; 95% CI −0.132 to −0.042) after adjusting for MAP (B = 0.158; 95% CI −0.003 to 0.013; SBP (B = 0.092; 95% CI −0.003 to 0.007) and norepinephrine dose (−0.154; 95% CI −0.141 to 0.017) in a multivariate linear regression model. Although there was a tendency toward lower ln(RHI) in septic shock, compared to non-septic shock, the difference was not significant (p = 0.369).

Lower ln(RHI) was found in renal dysfunction (p < 0.01) in proportion to severity of acute kidney injury (p < 0.01), serum creatinine (Pearson’s r = −0.164; p = 0.01) and daily urinary volume (Pearson’s r = 0.390; p < 0.01) (Fig. 3c). This association was independent of age, sepsis, comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease, or macrovascular determinants such as arterial pressure or the need for vasopressors (B = 2.359, p = 0.01, OR 95% CI 0.024–0.370). The association between other endothelial biomarkers and organic dysfunctions are found in Table 4. In a multivariate analysis to verify if the associations between the biomarkers and organic dysfunctions were influenced by the presence of sepsis and other comorbidities, ln(RHI) was independently associated with cardiovascular (B = 2.739 p = 0.001, OR 0.065, 95% CI 0.016–0.259) and renal dysfunctions (B = 2.359, p = 0.001, OR 0.094, 95% CI 0.024–0.370). Syndecan-1 was also independently associated with cardiovascular (B = 2.559, p = 0.001, OR 12.917, 95% CI 3.323–50.216) and renal dysfunctions (B = 2.258, p = 0.001, OR 9.566, 95% CI 2.700–33.895). Endocan and E-selectin prediction of cardiovascular and renal dysfunctions were highly dependent on the presence of sepsis.

4 Discussion

The objective of this study was to investigate an association between RH-PAT and outcomes in the critically ill patient, that could suggest this method of evaluating endothelial function as a tool for assessing prognosis in the ICU. Until recently, data on RH-PAT in the critically ill patient was lacking. Davis et al. measured RHI on admission and after 2–4 days in septic patients and found a significant increase that correlated with increasing MAP and decreasing inflammatory markers [10]. In another study with a similar design, Nobre et al. also found a significant association between sepsis severity and RH-PAT [25]. However, none of the studies found an association between RH-PAT and mortality, and the authors claimed that the low mortality in both cohorts may have underpowered the results. In non-septic patients, Bergström et al. found RH-PAT to decrease from admission to day 3 after subarachnoid haemorrhage and identified a significant correlation with mortality [26]. Furthermore, other methods of accessing reactive hyperaemia such as FMD and peak hyperaemic flow velocity in the brachial artery found promising results regarding the association with mortality in the septic and non-septic critically ill patients admitted to the ICU [27–29].

Contrarily to the results by Davis et al. and Nobre et al., our results suggest that RH-PAT may be an independent predictor of early mortality, and lower ln(RHI) values are associated with higher mortality even after adjusting for confounding factors [10, 25]. The improvement in RH-PAT over the disease course in survivors suggests that RH-PAT is acutely impaired in critical illness and that endothelial dysfunction may be reversible. These results have not been described before and add to the relevance of RH-PAT as a useful tool to stratify severity in the ICU. The concept of an acute RH-PAT impairment is further supported by studies demonstrating that RH-PAT decreases from basal values in patients submitted to cardiopulmonary bypass with continuous flow or catheterization of the radial artery [30, 31].

RH-PAT was also correlated with disease severity when measured by APACHE-II, SAPS-II and SOFA scores, which is supported by the findings of other authors in sepsis and septic shock [10, 25], dengue fever [32], malaria [33, 34] and ST-elevation myocardial infarctions [14]. Vasopressors such as epinephrine and norepinephrine are widely used in critical care to treat life-threatening hypotension, especially in patients who do not respond to fluid resuscitation. Norepinephrine is usually titrated to maintain a MAP that allows an adequate systemic blood perfusion. As norepinephrine binds mainly to alpha-1 adrenergic receptors of vascular smooth muscle and induces vasoconstriction, vascular reactivity testing, such as RH-PAT, is expected to be impaired by the presence of norepinephrine in supraphysiological levels. However, the findings that ln(RHI) correlated negatively with lactate levels and acute kidney injury, irrespective of mean arterial pressure (MAP) or systolic blood pressure (SBP), and after adjusting for vasopressor dose, suggests that RH-PAT is mainly associated with microvascular dysfunction, that it may be independent of macrovascular parameters and that norepinephrine may not significantly impair RH-PAT. Our findings are further supported by the research by Van Ierssel et al. who also found RH-PAT to be significantly compromised in critically ill patients and independent of vasopressor dose or the need for vasopressors at the time of evaluation [35].

Although the physiopathology behind microvascular dysfunction is complex and specific between organs and types of shock, evidence suggests that low levels of endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) activity are common and partially responsible for the perfusion mismatches in many organs,
including the kidneys [36–39]. It is also well recognized that a subset of patients with AKI still experience progressive renal abnormalities despite adequate circulatory parameters, suggesting that hypoperfusion is not the only cause for renal dysfunction [39, 40]. AS RH-PAT is partially dependent on eNOS activity and reflects glyocalyx integrity in acute diseases, we believe it may be helpful to identify patients with microvascular dysfunction, which is also eNOS dependent, in whom hemodynamical parameters are still stable [41].

RH-PAT and Syndecan-1, a transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycan detached from the endothelium in the presence of glyocalyx degradation, were both consistently associated with mortality, cardiovascular and renal dysfunctions, even after adjusting for comorbidities, age and the presence of sepsis, which is unsurprising, as we previously demonstrated that RH-PAT is highly correlated with glyocalyx degradation and Syndecan-1 serum levels [24, 42]. Endocan is a proteoglycan mainly, but not exclusively, expressed by pulmonary and renal endothelial cells, that is secreted in response to inflammatory conditions in which the endothelium is impaired such as acute lung injury in trauma patients and pneumonia [43]. In our study we did not find any association with mortality, organic dysfunctions, even after adjusting for the presence of sepsis or source of the disease, which makes this biomarker less promising than RH-PAT. Soluble E-selectin, an endothelial adhesion molecule secreted in a wide array of inflammatory conditions, was associated with cardiovascular, renal and haematological dysfunction in our study [44]. However, this difference was not as consistent after adjusting for the presence of sepsis, and sE-selectin did not seem a reliable marker of organic dysfunction outside septic ICU patients.

Although we controlled our results for most major known factors influencing mortality and RH-PAT, we cannot exclude minor influences from unmeasured comorbidities such as thyroid or adrenal function, and unmeasured environmental factors such luminosity and noise. Furthermore, we cannot ascertain if medical interventions such as drug perfusion, other than vasopressors, and overall stress induced by the admission to the ICU may have influenced RH-PAT and contributed to the changes verified over time in survivors. A bias was introduced when estimating mortality as patients with more severe disease died in the first 24 h and a significant number of patients were discharged before the 2nd RH-PAT measurement, which may have underpowered our results.

The unexpected lack of association between RH-PAT and organic dysfunctions other than cardiovascular and renal may be explained by a mismatch between the used definitions and the physiopathology behind the dysfunction. Hughes et al. described an independent association between RH-PAT and the duration of sepsis-induced delirium and coma [45]. We hypothesize that the Glasgow Coma Scale, may not be the best instrument for measuring neurological impairment in the critically ill patient as it is static and subject to interobserver variability. Evidence that the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) is associated with peripheral RH comes from Orbegozo-Cortés et al. who proved, using near-infrared spectroscopy after a vascular occlusion test in the forearm, that microvascular reactivity is altered early in ARDS, and the changes are directly related to the severity of the disease [46]. The same rationale may be used for hepatocellular and haematologic dysfunctions, both directly influenced by eNOS activity, in which other parameters may be more sensitive than total bilirubin or platelet number [47–50]. Hence, we suggest that currently available clinical variables may not reflect the full extent of organ dysfunction nor the pathogenesis behind it.

As endothelial dysfunction may be the primum movens behind acute organic dysfunction and consequent mortality, finding a clinically useful biomarker that reflects acute systemic endothelial dysfunction and simplifies such complex process will not only provide new therapeutic targets, but also remodel critical illness from a biological level in which organ support is the main target, to a fully treatable disease. Nonetheless, as the endothelium is phenotypically different between vascular beds and mechanisms behind RH may differ, RH-PAT may not reflect the full extent of endothelial dysfunction as it does not identify organs in which endothelial dysfunction manifests in NO-independent mechanisms [7, 51–53].

5 Conclusion

Recognizing RH-PAT as a window into eNOS activity and characterizing organic functions in which eNOS is essential, may turn RH-PAT into a valuable tool for the identification of conditions in which specific eNOS-directed therapeutics may be beneficial. Despite limitations, the present results add to a growing corpus of evidence that RH-PAT acts as a promising predictor of disease severity, early mortality and cardiovascular and renal dysfunction in the critically ill. To our knowledge, this is the first report suggesting that RH-PAT kinetics during disease may also be relevant. Future studies should aim to replicate results on a larger scale to further validate our results.
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