Can artificial diets be a feasible alternative for the gonadal growth and maturation of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* (Lamarck, 1816)?
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Abstract

*Paracentrotus lividus* (Lamarck 1816) has high-value reddish-orange gonads that are regarded as a delicacy. In this study, three jellified diets have been tested for 90 days to assess the effect of different diets on *P. lividus* somatic and gonadal growth, gonad color, and reproductive state. All diets contained agar as a gelling agent and consisted of: maize and spinach (A); maize, spinach, and macroalga *Laminaria digitata* (B); and maize, spinach, and pumpkin *Cucurbita maxima* (C). Diet B was the most successful in promoting somatic growth with regard to test diameter (1.2 mm month$^{-1}$) and total wet weight (79.9 mg ind$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$). The individuals from Diet A presented a higher final gonadosomatic index (9.07 ± 2.39%) where all sea urchins initially presented with an index of 3.33 ± 0.02%. Diets A and C led to a marked advance ($p < .05$) in the gametogenic cycle (66.7% and 46.7% of the individuals with mature gametes, respectively), while Diet B resulted in less-developed gonadal stages, which are more appropriate for commercial purposes in terms of sensorial characteristics appreciated by the consumers. The gonad color analyses confirmed a redder roe in
females and also the suitability of *C. maxima* as a potential gonad color enhancer for *P. lividus*.
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### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, sea urchin gonads are highly regarded as a luxury food item. Around 105,000 tons of sea urchins are consumed annually, with an estimated value of 0.3 million euros (FAO, 2018). The most important market for sea urchin gonads is observed to be Japan (Hagen, 1996; Stefánsson, Kristinsson, Ziemer, Hannon, & James, 2017). In Europe, France represents the main market for sea urchin gonads, although on a smaller scale (Le Gall, 1990). *Paracentrotus lividus* is the most consumed sea urchin in Europe (Carboni, Vignier, Chiantore, Tocher, & Migaud, 2012). It is geographically distributed throughout the rocky intertidal and shallow subtidal zones of the Mediterranean Sea, the Adriatic Sea, and on the Northeastern Atlantic coasts, from Western Scotland and South West Ireland to the South of Morocco, including the Canary Islands and the Azores Islands (Boudouresque & Verlaque, 2007; Dominguez, Godino, Freitas, Machado, & Bertocci, 2015; Fernandez, 1997; San Martin, 1995). Its reddish-orange gonads are considered a delicacy since ancient Greece. Nowadays, they are marketed fresh, frozen, dried, salted, and pasteurized (Hagen, 1996; Sartori, 2013). *P. lividus* is a regular sea urchin, presenting five gonads, which include germinal cells (GCs) and somatic cells. These latter cells are also called nutritive phagocytes (NPs) (Ghisaura et al., 2016), which store nutrient and energy reserves for gametogenesis (Ghisaura et al., 2016; Harrington, Walker, & Lesser, 2007; Walker, Unuma, & Lesser, 2007). This species represents an important economic resource in France, Spain, Italy (Boudouresque & Verlaque, 2007; Guidetti, Terlizzi, & Boero, 2004), and, to a lesser extent, Portugal (Jacinto, Bulleri, Benedetti-Cecchi, & Cruz, 2013). Therefore, it has been subject to intense harvesting in the last decades, particularly in the Mediterranean Sea (Ceccherelli, Pais, Pinna, Sechi, & Chessa, 2011; Ceccherelli, Pinna, & Sechi, 2009), on the Atlantic coasts of France and the Iberian Peninsula (Barnes & Crook, 2001), and in Ireland (Byrne, 1990), which has led to the collapse of many populations (Barnes & Crook, 2001; Barnes, Verling, Crook, Davidson, & O’Mahoney, 2002; Bertocci et al., 2014; C. Pearce, 2010; Sartori, Pellegrini, Macchia, & Gaion, 2016). The situation is further aggravated by the slow growth rate of *P. lividus* of approximately 1 cm per year in the first 5 years following benthic recruitment (Boudouresque & Verlaque, 2007; J. Gago, Range, & Luis, 2003; Grosjean, Spirlet, & Jangoux, 2003).

The interest in commercial sea urchin aquaculture, or echinoculture (Hagen, 1996), has increased recently to partially replace the steady decline in natural captures (Grosjean, Spirlet, Gosselin, Vaitilingon, & Jangoux, 1998) but also to make available adult individuals with excellent quality gonads throughout the year (Carboni, 2013). In 2010, marine aquaculture produced 384,300 tons of echinoderms for consumption worldwide (FAO, 2012), in which sea urchins were produced at an estimated value of 88,000 tons (Carboni, Addis, Cau, & Atack, 2012). According to FAO (2013), the production of *P. lividus* in aquaculture systems corresponds to 10 tons per year$^{-1}$ in Europe in contrast to a landing activity of 108 tons per year$^{-1}$ (Sartori et al., 2016).

*P. lividus* is mainly an herbivore, consuming algae and seagrass, when such resources are nonlimited (Neill & Pastor, 1973; Verlaque, 1987). *Laminaria digitata* ([Hudson] J. V. Lamouroux, 1813) is one of the most preferred natural foods for *P. lividus*; however, the use of macroalgae in large-scale aquaculture is marked by some disadvantages: fluctuating availability and nutritional value throughout the year, along with the cost of collecting and storing large amounts of macroalgae (Basuyaux & Blin, 1998; FAO, 2016; C. M. Pearce, Daggett, & Robinson, 2002; Schiener, Black, Stanley, & Green, 2015). Therefore, modern aquaculture is turning to alternative products, particularly land vegetable products (Turchini, Torstensen, & Ng, 2009), which allow the recycling of unprocessed agricultural discards.
into biomass of high commercial value (Vizzini, Miccichè, Vaccaro, & Mazzola, 2015). Furthermore, it allows replacing fishmeal and oil with land-based vegetables, which is much more feasible for the husbandry of herbivorous species (Hardy & Tacon, 2002). In this context, several authors have referred to the use of maize (Zea mays Linnaeus, 1753) in artificial diets for sea urchins; in particular, Basuyaux and Blin (1998), Basuyaux and Mathieu (1999), Luis, Delgado, and Gago (2005), J. M. Gago, Luis, and Repolho (2009), J. Gago and Luis (2010), J. Gago, Martins, Luis, and Pousão-Ferreira (2010), Repolho, Costa, Luis, and Gago (2011), J. Gago and Luis (2011), Sartori (2013), Sartori, Scuderi, Sansone, and Gaion (2015), Tomšič, Conides, and Aničič (2015) and Sartori et al. (2016). Additionally, Sartori (2013), Sartori and Gaion (2015), Sartori et al. (2015), and Sartori et al. (2016) refer to the use of spinach (Spinacia oleracea Linnaeus, 1753) as a promising source of nutrients for P. lividus. However, there is a great need to improve diets in order to maintain or enhance the commercially acceptable color and brightness of the gonads. Usually, artificial diets produce large gonads that are pale in color (Shpigel, McBride, Marciano, Ron, & Ben-Amotz, 2005). Hence, it is necessary to use ingredients rich in carotenoids to overcome this limitation (Britton, Liaaen-Jensen, & Pfander, 2004; Lawrence, 2007). In this context, given the high carotenoid content of pumpkins (Kim, Kim, Kim, Choi, & Lee, 2012), Cucurbita maxima Duchesne represents a promising ingredient in the formulation of artificial diets for P. lividus.

For the creation of research-oriented trial diets, which can later lead to extruded or pelleted industrial feeds (Fabbrocini, Volpe, Coccia, D’Adamo, & Paolucci, 2015), natural polysaccharide agar has been used for the formulation of P. lividus artificial diets (Fabbrocini et al., 2012, 2015; Shpigel, Schlosser, Ben-Amotz, Lawrence, & Lawrence, 2006; Vergés, Alcoverro, & Romero, 2011; Vergés, Becerro, Alcoverro, & Romero, 2007). Agar’s binding properties, three-dimensional network, and insolubility in cold water allow the formulation of biocomposites, in which different foods and nutrients can be incorporated (Atef, Rezaei, & Behrooz, 2014; Volpe, Malinconico, Varricchio, & Paolucci, 2010).

The effects of the three artificial diets were tested on P. lividus by measuring the somatic growth, gonadosomatic index (GI), reproductive development, and color characteristics of the gonads in a rearing trial that lasted 90 days.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental design

The three sea urchin culture systems used to test the different diets consisted of three 60-L recirculating tanks with a 70-L sump tank. Each aquaculture recirculating system (RAS) was equipped with a water chiller, mechanical and biological filtration (wool and sponge filters, bio balls), a protein skimmer, and a water recirculation pump. Aeration was supplied to each tank and sump. In order to ensure water quality, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, and salinity were measured every day in the three rearing systems with a YSI Professional Plus multiparameter instrument (YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH). Water samples were collected every week for the determination of ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, and phosphate concentrations through a photometric method, using a Hanna HI 83203 multiparameter bench photometer for aquaculture (Hanna Instruments Inc., Woonsocket, RI).

### 2.2 Sea urchin collection and fasting period

A total of 153 wild adult P. lividus, with a test diameter ranging from 30 to 45 mm, were collected in the intertidal zone of Praia do Abalo in Peniche, Portugal (39° 22′ 12.7″ N, 9° 23′ 08.2″ W). Sea urchins were then acclimated in the aquaculture laboratory of MARE—Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre (ESTM, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria) for approximately 2 weeks at a temperature of 20 ± 1°C and were fed with macroalgae (Codium tomentosum Stachhouse, 1797 and L. digitata). Thereafter, sea urchins were subjected to a fasting period of 30 days in order to induce reabsorption of the gonads through the consumption of their content, hence resetting the reproductive cycle to the spent stage (Spirlet, Grosjean, & Jangoux, 1998b). The water parameters were measured daily and were as follows:
16.17 ± 0.68°C water temperature, 35.5 ± 0.33 salinity, 8.1 ± 0.05 pH, and 93 ± 1% dissolved oxygen. At the end of the fasting period, six individuals per system (18 individuals in total) were sampled and weighed (individual total wet weight, ±0.01 g), measured with a caliper (test diameter, ±0.1 mm accuracy), and dissected with the objective of estimating the morphometric and reproductive conditions of the sea urchins before the feeding trial (namely, the GI). Moreover, their gonads were carefully removed, weighed (±0.01 g), and immediately fixed in a 10% formalin solution for 24 hr.

2.3 | Feeding trial

To perform the feeding trial (T1), 45 individuals per system were measured with a caliper (test diameter, ±0.1 mm accuracy), briefly drip-dried with absorbent paper, and weighed (individual total wet weight, ±0.01 g). Subsequently, the feeding experiment with the three jellified diets was conducted over a period of 90 days. The stock density was 0.25 ind L−1 (Fabbrocini et al., 2012). During this period, the values of the water parameters were as follows: 19.09 ± 0.91°C temperature, 8.0 ± 0.16 pH, 94.4 ± 1.3% dissolved oxygen, 35.7 ± 0.82 salinity, 0.54 ± 0.3 mg L−1 ammonia, 0.06 ± 0.04 mg L−1 nitrite, 0.67 ± 0.59 mg L−1 nitrate, and 0.19 ± 0.13 mg L−1 phosphate.

2.4 | Diet formulation and feeding routine

The three diets were formulated with organic fresh spinach, canned maize, L. digitata from the sampling site, C. maxima pumpkin from organic farming, and agar powder as a gelling agent. The diets were prepared as follows: Diet A—47% maize (Z. mays), 47% spinach (S. oleracea), and 6% agar; Diet B—50% macroalgae (L. digitata), 22% maize (Z. mays), 22% spinach (S. oleracea), and 6% agar; and Diet C—50% pumpkin (C. maxima), 22% maize (Z. mays), 22% spinach (S. oleracea), and 6% agar.

Diets were cut daily into feed pellets of approximately 3.0 × 3.0 × 1.5 cm (length × width × height) and manually administered ad libitum in the evening (Fernandez & Boudouresque, 2000; Heflin et al., 2016). For each tank, the supplied feed was weighed (±0.01 g), and 9 hr later, the uningested feed was carefully collected, dried on absorbent paper, and weighed to calculate the feed intake (wet weight). With this information, the amount of feed provided to each tank was adapted on a daily basis to consistently obtain a significant portion of waste (at least 20% of the provided biomass).

2.5 | End of the feeding trial

After 90 days of rearing P. lividus, all individuals (N = 135) were measured with a caliper (test diameter, ±0.1 mm accuracy), briefly drip-dried with absorbent paper and weighed (individual total wet weight, ±0.01 g). Then, the sea urchins were dissected, and the gonads were carefully removed and wet-weighed (±0.0001 g). One of the five gonads was randomly chosen to be immediately photographed, under controlled conditions, for color analysis (Hu, Cline, Davis, Wang, & Liu, 2015; Li, Ning, & Jing, 2017; Schur & Tappert, 2017; Svensson, Pélabon, Blount, Surai, & Amundsen, 2006; Yam & Papadakis, 2004). Regarding the histological study of gametogenesis, 10 sea urchins from each tank were randomly selected, and two gonads from each individual were immediately placed in 10% formalin. With the obtained results, GI, daily growth rate (DGR), total wet weight gain (TWG), and linear growth rate (LGR) were calculated to compare the somatic and gonadal growth of the individuals fed the three diets.

2.6 | Photography and color analysis

A DSLR Canon EOS 70D (Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan), coupled with a Tamron SP AF 90 mm F/2.8 Di Macro 1:1 lens (Tamron, Tokyo, Japan), was mounted to a Sachtler Ace M GS tripod (Sachtler GmbH & Co., Eching, Germany) in a dark room facing a table covered with a black sponge, where the gonads were placed. Two fluorescent lamps (T5,
18W/765 daylight) were positioned on the two sides of this area, below the camera, with a constant light intensity of 1,435 lx, measured with a Milwaukee SM700 Luxmeter (Milwaukee Inc., Rocky Mount, NC). The camera control settings were kept constant for all of the 135 photographs and were as follows: manual (exposure mode), Medium Raw format, 800 ISO, 1/125 s (shutter speed), F/4.5 (aperture), 0 EV (exposure compensation), and no flash. The resulting digital images were all 4,104 × 2,736 pixels in the Canon’s uncompressed file extension CR2.

To objectively evaluate the color of the gonads, their digital photographs were analyzed with Adobe Photoshop CC 2015 image software (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA), which allows an advanced and reliable color analysis (Hu et al., 2015; Svensson et al., 2006; Yam & Papadakis, 2004). The CR2 digital images were converted into the PNG image format because of its versatility and lossless compression scheme (Wiggins, Davidson, Harnsberger, Lauman, & Goede, 2001). The images were then converted to CIE L*a*b* (also known as CIELAB or CIE76 as it was established by the International Commission on Illumination in 1976), regarded as the most complete and perceptually uniform color space, which is also device-independent (Li et al., 2017; Schur & Tappert, 2017). As a* represents the balance between green (−) and red (+), it was used to define the “redness” of each gonad, which is the most appreciated characteristic by consumers of P. lividus. It is also highly related to carotenoid concentration (Hatlen, Jobling, & Bjerkeng, 1998). For each photograph, the gonad was isolated from the black background with the Photoshop’s Quick Selection Tool, and then, the mean value of the a* parameter was registered using the Histogram tool. These procedures allowed the comparison of all individuals from the three diets (45 individuals per diet).

2.7 | Gender ratio and gametogenic stages

Two intact gonads from each sea urchin were fixed in a 10% formalin solution (Sartori & Gaion, 2015) for 48 hr. The samples were processed by a Leica® TP1020 Automatic Tissue Processor (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany), with sequential submersions in graded ethanol for dehydration, followed by xylene for clarification and impregnation with paraffin wax at 60°C. Subsequently, gonad samples were embedded in 100% (v/v) paraffin, cut with a thickness of 5 μm (Carboni, Hughes, Atack, Tocher, & Migaud, 2013; Paredes & Bellas, 2014) using an Accu-Cut® SRM™ 200 Rotary Microtome (Sakura Finetek Europe BV, Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) and stained with Harris' hematoxylin solution (Scharlab S.L., Sentmenat, Barcelona, Spain) and eosin Y (yellowish) (VWR International, Leuven, Belgium). Gonad slides were analyzed using a Leica® DM 2000 LED light optical microscope equipped with a Leica® MC170 5MP HD Microscope Camera and the combined LAS V4.4.0 software (Leica Application Suite) for monitor display (Leica Microsystems GmbH). The gonads were classified according to Spirlet, Grosjean, and Jangoux (1998a) into eight gametogenic stages: stage I (spent with relict), stage II (spent empty), stage III (recovery), stage IV (growing), stage V (premature), stage VI (mature), stage VII (partly spawned), and stage VIII (posts-pawned). The size frequencies of the oocytes were obtained using the LAS software distance line tool, performed at ×20 magnification. For each female individual, 50 oocytes/ova sectioned through the nucleolus/nucleus were randomly selected to measure the corresponding long diameter to the nearest 0.001 μm. Relict oocytes were not considered (Bronstein, Kroh, & Loya, 2016; Byrne, 1990).

2.8 | Data analyses

The feed intake per tank was calculated daily as the difference between the given feed and the leftovers. It was presented as g day⁻¹ individual⁻¹ (wet weight; mean ± SD) (Vizzini et al., 2015).

After the fasting period and at the end of the feeding trial, the GI of P. lividus was calculated according to Sartori and Gaion (2015) and Carboni, Hughes, Atack, Tocher, and Migaud (2015):

\[
\text{GI} = \frac{\text{gonads wet weight (g)}}{\text{total wet weight (g)}} \times 100.
\]
The DGR, TWG, and LGR were calculated after the feeding trial to compare the somatic and gonadal growth of the individuals fed the three diets.

DGR (in % day$^{-1}$) was calculated as follows:

$$DGR = \left( \frac{W_{\text{final}}}{W_{\text{initial}}} \right)^{1/t} - 1 \times 100,$$

where $W_{\text{initial}}$ and $W_{\text{final}}$ are the mean initial and final total wet weight (g) of the individuals, respectively, and $t$ is the number of days of the trial (Basuyaux & Blin, 1998).

TWG of the individuals (TWG in mg ind$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) was adapted from Shpigel, McBride, Marciano, and Lupatsch (2004) as follows:

$$\text{Weight gain} = \frac{W_{\text{final}} - W_{\text{initial}}}{t},$$

where $W_{\text{final}}$ and $W_{\text{initial}}$ represent the final and initial mean total wet weight (mg), respectively, and $t$ represents time in days.

The LGR was adapted from Cook and Kelly (2009) and calculated in mm month$^{-1}$ as follows:

$$LGR = \frac{L_f - L_i}{t},$$

where $L_f$ and $L_i$ were the final and initial mean test diameters (mm), respectively, and $t$ represents time in days.

All statistical tests were performed using IBM SPSS™ Statistics for Windows, version 23 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). Results were expressed as mean ± SD, and a value of $\alpha = 0.05$ was chosen as the level for significance. All data were assessed with the Shapiro–Wilk test for normal distribution and with Levene’s test for homogeneity of variances to meet the assumptions of the analysis of variance (ANOVA). One-way ANOVAs ($F_{(\text{degrees of freedom between groups, degrees of freedom within groups})} = \text{value}; p$-value) were used at the beginning and end of the trial to compare the test diameter and individual total wet weight between individuals fed the different diets, as well as the GI, oocyte diameter, and a* color parameter regarding the different diet groups, at the end of the trial. Two-way ANOVAs were performed to search for differences in test diameter and total wet weight using diet and time period (beginning and end of the feeding trial) as independent variables. Whenever the ANOVA assumptions failed, the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test ($H_{(\text{degrees of freedom})} = \text{value}; p$-value) was used to compare the total feed intake of the diets by the sea urchins. In case of statistically significant differences, post-hoc multiple pairwise comparisons were performed using the Tukey HSD test or Holm-Sidak’s test for parametric data and Mann–Whitney $U$ test with Bonferroni correction for nonparametric data. An independent $t$-test ($t_{(\text{degrees of freedom})} = \text{value}; p$-value) was used to compare the a* color parameter of the gonads between males and females. Goodness-of-fit chi-square tests were used to assess differences between the initial and final maturation states of the gonads for the sea urchins from each diet. To search for a possible association between diets and gametogenic stages, a chi-square test of association was used at the end of the feeding trial. The results were presented as $\chi^2_{(\text{degrees of freedom})} = \text{value}; p$-value.

3 | RESULTS

In the fasting period of 30 days, which was performed with 51 sea urchins per system, no mortality occurred. Furthermore, during the feeding trial of 90 days, all 135 individuals survived until the end of the experiment.
3.1 | Test diameter

The initial test diameter (T1; n = 45) was as follows for each diet: 35.7 ± 3.1 mm (Diet A), 35.0 ± 3.1 mm (Diet B), and 35.9 ± 3.1 mm (Diet C) as shown in Figure 1. No significant differences in the initial test diameter were found between the groups used for the feeding trial as assessed by one-way ANOVA \[ F_{(2, 132)} = 0.927; \ p > .05 \]. At the end of the trial (T2), significant diameter differences were observed between the three diet groups using a one-way ANOVA \[ F_{(2, 132)} = 4.22; \ p < .05 \]. Post-hoc comparisons indicated that the test diameter of sea urchins from Diet B (38.6 ± 2.4 mm) was significantly higher than that of Diet C (37.2 ± 3.1 mm, \( p < .05 \)), but there were no significant differences between Diets B and A (37.2 ± 2.9 mm, \( p > .05 \)), as well as between Diets A and C (\( p > .05 \)). Moreover, the diameter was distinct according to the time period considered \( F_{(1, 264)} = 34.209; \ p < .05 \) as the sea urchins were significantly larger when compared to the beginning of the trial in Diet A (\( p < .05 \)), Diet B (\( p < .05 \)), and Diet C (\( p < .05 \)), showing a significant interaction time period \( \times \) diet \( F_{(2, 264)} = 4.319; \ p < .05 \). Therefore, Diet B was the most successful in promoting growth in terms of the test diameter, with a LGR of 1.2 mm month\(^{-1}\), followed by Diet A (0.5 mm month\(^{-1}\)) and Diet C (0.4 mm month\(^{-1}\)).

3.2 | Total wet weight

The initial individual total wet weight (T1; n = 45) was as follows for each diet: 22.46 ± 4.99 g (Diet A), 23.00 ± 5.23 g (Diet B), and 21.48 ± 4.99 g (Diet C), as shown in Figure 2, without significant differences found between groups \( F_{(2, 150)} = 1.223; \ p > .05 \). After the feeding trial (T2), significant total wet weight differences were found between the sea urchins from the three diet groups using a one-way ANOVA \( F_{(2, 132)} = 9.058; \ p < .05 \). Post-hoc comparisons indicated that the total wet weight of the individuals from Diet B (30.19 ± 5.18 g) was significantly higher than those from Diet A (26.10 ± 4.98 g, \( p < .05 \)) and Diet C (26.30 ± 5.25 g, \( p < .05 \)), but no significant

![FIGURE 1](image-url)  Test diameter (mean ± SD) of *Paracentrotus lividus* in the beginning (T1) and at the end (T2) of the 90-day feeding trial, with three jellified diets. Diet A: maize, and spinach. Diet B: maize, spinach, and macroalga *Laminaria digitata*. Diet C: maize, spinach, and pumpkin *Cucurbita maxima*. Note: * represents statistically significant differences between time periods for each diet; for each time period, bars sharing the same letter are not significantly different according to Holm-Sidak’s test.
differences were found between Diets A and C \((p > .05)\). Furthermore, the individual total wet weight was distinct according to the time period considered \(F_{(1, 264)} = 70.564; p < .05\) as the sea urchins grew significantly heavier from the beginning to the end of the trial \([\text{Diet A} \ (p < .05), \text{Diet B} \ (p < .05), \text{and Diet C} \ (p < .05)]\). No significant interaction time period \(\times\) diet was found \(F_{(2, 264)} = 2.816; p > .05\). Regarding the DGRs, the sea urchins from Diet B grew faster \((0.30\% \text{day}^{-1})\), followed by those from Diet C \((0.22\% \text{day}^{-1})\) and Diet A \((0.17\% \text{day}^{-1})\).

### 3.3 | Gonadosomatic index

The GI values obtained at the end of the trial for each diet, as well as the mean GI value of the 18 sea urchins sacrificed after the fasting period, are shown in Figure 3. The fasting period of 30 days led to a mean GI value of 3.33%. At the end of the 90-day feeding trial, there were significant differences between the GI of individuals fed with the three different diets \([\text{one-way ANOVA: } F_{(2, 133)} = 10.283; p < .05]\). Sea urchins from Diet A \((9.07 \pm 2.39\%)\) presented a higher value than those from Diet B \((7.17 \pm 1.99\%; p < .05)\) and Diet C \((7.31 \pm 2.26\% \ p < .05)\), but no significant differences were observed between Diets B and C \((p > .05)\).

### 3.4 | Feed ingestion

The total feed intake \(\text{(Figure 4)}\) presented significant differences between the three diets \(\text{[Kruskal–Wallis test: } H_{(2)} = 81.594; p < .05]\). The feed intake for Diet A \((3.97 \pm 1.19 \text{ g day}^{-1} \text{ ind}^{-1})\) was significantly lower than that for

---

**FIGURE 2**  Total wet weight (mean ± SD) of *Paracentrotus lividus* in the beginning (T1) and at the end (T2) of the 90-day feeding trial, with three jellified diets. **Diet A**: maize and spinach. **Diet B**: maize, spinach, and macroalga *Laminaria digitata*. **Diet C**: maize, spinach, and pumpkin *Cucurbita maxima*. Note: * represents statistically significant differences between time periods for each diet; for each time period, bars sharing the same letter are not significantly different according to Holm-Sidak’s test.

**FIGURE 3**  Gonadosomatic index (mean ± SD) of *Paracentrotus lividus* at the end of the 90-day feeding trial, with three jellified diets. **Diet A**: maize and spinach. **Diet B**: maize, spinach, and macroalga *Laminaria digitata*. **Diet C**: maize, spinach, and pumpkin *Cucurbita maxima*. The average GI of the 18 sacrificed individuals at the end of the fasting period is reported in the horizontal line \(3.33\%\). Note: Bars sharing the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey’s HSD test.
Diet B ($5.44 \pm 1.36$ g day$^{-1}$ ind$^{-1}$; $p < .05$) and Diet C ($6.21 \pm 1.63$ g day$^{-1}$ ind$^{-1}$; $p < .05$), just as the feed intake for Diet B was significantly lower when compared to diet C ($p < .05$).

3.5 | Gender ratio and Gametogenic stages

Regarding the gender ratio of the 18 individuals sacrificed at the end of the fasting period, 12 were females, and 6 were males (2:1). At the end of the feeding trial, there were 44 females and 46 males among the individuals sampled for histology (90 in total, 30 per diet), resulting in a ratio of $\approx 1$:1. Figure 5 shows the relative frequencies of the different reproductive stages at the end of the fasting period and at the end of the feeding trial for each diet. After the fasting period of 30 days, 83.3% of the 18 sacrificed sea urchins were in the spent stage, 22.2% in stage I (spent with relict gametes), and 61.1% in stage II (spent empty). In relation to the remaining individuals, 11.1% were in stage VIII (postspawned) and 5.6% in stage III (recovery).

After 90 days of rearing *P. lividus* on the three artificial diets, there was a significant development in the gonadal reproductive condition in sea urchins in all diets [goodness-of-fit chi-square tests: $\chi^2(7) = 33.361$, $p < .05$ for Diet A; $\chi^2(7) = 36.797$, $p < .05$ for Diet B; and $\chi^2(7) = 28.513$, $p < .05$ for Diet C], although there was no strong association between diets and gametogenic stages [chi-square test of association: $\chi^2(14) = 10.876$; $p > .05$] at the end of the trial. At this time, the number of individuals in the spent condition (stages I and II) was significantly lower for the three diets: 13.3% (Diet A), 6.7% (Diet B), and 13.3% (Diet C). For Diet B, 33.3% of the individuals were still in recovery stage (stage III) in comparison to Diet A (with only 13.3%) and Diet C (23.3%). Stage III is characterized by small primary sexual cells but with a meshwork of NPs across the ascinus. Regarding the growing phase (stage IV), relative frequencies were similar between diets: 3.3% (Diet A), 6.7% (Diet B), and 3.3% (Diet C). Diet A was the most successful in promoting maturation, with 20% of the sea urchins in the premature stage (V), already with mature gametes and able to spawn, and 46.7% in the mature stage (stage VI). Therefore, 66.7% of the individuals from Diet A had

**FIGURE 4** Feed intake (mean ± SD) of *Paracentrotus lividus* during the 90-day feeding trial, with three artificial diets. Diet A: maize and spinach. Diet B: maize, spinach, and macroalga *Laminaria digitata*. Diet C: maize, spinach, and pumpkin *Cucurbita maxima*. Note: Bars sharing the same letter are not significantly different according to Mann-Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction

**FIGURE 5** Reproductive condition of *Paracentrotus lividus* after 30 days of fasting and after 90 days of feeding with three artificial diets. Diet A: maize and spinach. Diet B: maize, spinach, and macroalga *Laminaria digitata*. Diet C: maize, spinach, and pumpkin *Cucurbita maxima*. Stages: I—spent with relict ova; II—spent empty; III—recovery; V—growing; V—premature; VI—mature; VII—partly spawned; and VIII—postspawned
mature ova and spermatozoa. Diet C followed, with 46.7% of sea urchins containing mature gametes, specifically 10% in the premature stage (V) and 36.7% in the mature stage (VI). Finally, individuals in the mature stage (VI) only accounted for 26.7% of the sea urchins fed with Diet B, while 16.7% were in the premature stage (V). Few

FIGURE 6  Legend on next page.
individuals were observed in the partly spawned stage (VII), which is derived from the premature stage with the release of some gametes. Figures 6 and 7 illustrate all of the eight gametogenic stages, through histological analysis, of female and male individuals of *P. lividus* from the feeding trial.

### 3.6 Oocyte/ova diameter

Figure 8 represents the oocyte size–frequency distribution in the gonads of females fed with each of the three diets. The oocyte/ova diameter presented the following values for each diet: 55.331 ± 22.276 μm (Diet A), 46.149 ± 26.529 μm (Diet B), and 54.727 ± 26.278 μm (Diet C), with no significant differences between them [one-way ANOVA: $F_{(2, 34)} = 0.512; p > .05$]. Despite the similarity between the mean values for oocyte size of sea urchins reared on Diets A and C, the results coincided with the relative frequencies of the gametogenic stages. Diet A led to a major proportion of mature individuals, with large oocytes of 65–85 μm in diameter as the most represented size class. It was followed by Diet C, which demonstrated a higher prevalence oocytes of the 70–80 μm size class, although 22% correspond to the small size class of oocytes, with a diameter of between 10 and 20 μm. Figure 8 shows the presence of small oocytes in all diets, especially in Diets B and C, mainly associated with the recovery (III) and growing (IV) stages. Small oocytes of 10–25 μm diameter were the most numerous in Diet B.

### 3.7 Gonad color analysis

The total CIELAB $a^*$ values of all sea urchin gonads from the three diets, regardless of gender, ranged from 129.96 to 160.94 [one-way ANOVA: $F_{(2, 132)} = 3.607; p < .05$]. As shown in Figure 9, the gonads of individuals fed Diet C (144.42 ± 5.45) were significantly redder than the gonads of the individuals fed Diet B (141.47 ± 5.74; $p < .05$), while those fed Diet A (141.78 ± 5.98) presented intermediate values [$p_{(A \text{ vs. } B)} > .05; p_{(A \text{ vs. } C)} > .05$].

Furthermore, after gender identification, a color assessment was also made between *P. lividus* fed with the different diets, separately for males and females. The mean $a^*$ parameter value for the female gonads (143.55 ± 5.19) was significantly higher than for the males [140.67 ± 6.98; independent t-test: $t_{(83)} = −2.224; p < .05$]. Regarding only female individuals, there were also significant differences in gonad color between diets [one-way ANOVA: $F_{(2, 41)} = 3.399; p < .05$] as Diet C presented a more intense color than Diet A ($p < .05$). On the other hand, the $a^*$ color parameter did not show significant differences between diets in relation to the male sea urchins [one-way ANOVA: $F_{(2, 34)} = 0.512; p > .05$].
FIGURE 7  Legend on next page.
ANOVA: $F_{(2, 43)} = 1.578; p > .05$. Figure 10 shows female and male gonads from each diet at the end of the feeding trial. It should be noted that the presented gonads are in different gametogenic stages of the reproductive cycle—hence the presence of released fluid in mature gonads.

**FIGURE 7**
Histological sections of *Paracentrotus lividus* testes, conditioned by three artificial diets over a period of 90 days. Diet A: maize and spinach. Diet B: maize, spinach, and macroalga *Laminaria digitata*. Diet C: maize, spinach, and pumpkin *Cucurbita maxima*. Note: In each group, bars sharing the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey’s HSD test.

**FIGURE 8**
Oocyte size–frequency distributions of *Paracentrotus lividus* females fed three artificial diets for 90 days. Diet A: maize and spinach. Diet B: maize, spinach, and macroalga *Laminaria digitata*. Diet C: maize, spinach, and pumpkin *Cucurbita maxima*. (A) Stage I: cross-section of the ascinus from a spent testis with relict spermatozoa (R), mostly devoid of contents, presenting an empty lumen (E). (B) Stage II: testis in spent empty stage, already filled with a meshwork of nutritive phagocytes (NP), containing globules (GB) derived from relict spermatozoa; almost no spermatogonia are found in the ascinal wall. (C) Stage III: recovering testis, with a new layer of primary spermatocytes and spermatogonia (SP); several globules visible in the nutritive phagocytes. (D) Stage IV: testis in growing stage, with a thicker layer of spermatocytes (SP); columns of spermatocytes are visible, projecting centrally (arrows) through the NP meshwork. (E) Stage V: premature testis, with a very distinct column of spermatocytes (arrow) and mature spermatozoa (S) already accumulated in the lumen, displacing the NP. (F) Stage VI: mature testis, filled with spermatozoa and few nutritive phagocytes confined to the ascinus periphery; spermatogenesis is now only residual despite the presence of some spermatocytes. (G) Stage VII: testis in partly spawned stage, similar to stage V, but with spaces (E) in the lumen as a consequence of released spermatozoa. (H) Stage VIII: postspawned testis, with empty spaces between unspawned spermatozoa, and the ascinal wall is almost devoid of spermatogonia or NPs. (Hematoxylin-eosin stain. Scale bars: A, B, C, D, E, G = 100 μm; F = 200 μm; H = 50 μm)
For the development of echinoculture, it is crucial to find new artificial diets that are able to reduce the dependency on wild macroalgae and fishmeal, as well as to promote optimal growth and reproductive performances. The present study brings new perspectives for *P. lividus* aquaculture by comparing the effect of three jellified diets on somatic growth, GI, gonad coloration, and gametogenic development over a period of 90 days.

Regarding test diameter, the growth rates obtained with Diets A (0.5 mm month$^{-1}$) and C (0.4 mm month$^{-1}$) agreed with those obtained by Fernandez and Pergent (1998) for the same *P. lividus* size classes (30–45 mm). However, the growth obtained with Diet B (1.2 mm month$^{-1}$) was a particularly remarkable result, more common in smaller sea urchins (3–25 mm), in which the energy allocated for somatic growth (test and lantern) is higher, as shown by Cook and Kelly (2007a) and Cook and Kelly (2009). Growth rate in the Echinoidea class varies according to size (Fernandez & Boudouresque, 2000; Turon, Giribet, López, & Palacín, 1995) and according to the individual's physiology (Lawrence & Lane, 1982) as there is a trade-off in allocating resources to somatic versus gonadal growth. According to previous studies, *P. lividus'* growth curves reach a stationary phase very early (Lawrence, 2007). Fernandez and Pergent (1998) inclusively stated that a diameter of 40 mm should be the maximum size limit for
rearing *P. lividus* given the marked decrease in the growth rate (Shpigel et al., 2005). Regarding individual total wet weight, Diet B also promoted a similar/superior sea urchin weight gain when compared with the results of Frantzis and Grémade (1993), Basuyaux and Blin (1998), and Spirlet, Grosjean, and Jangoux (2001). These authors used smaller size classes of *P. lividus*, in addition to those of Shpigel et al. (2004, 2005), who fed these organisms with an extruded feed, and Cook and Kelly (2007a), who used fresh *Laminaria* sp. instead. Furthermore, Luo, Zhao, Chang, Feng, and Tian (2014) indicated that *Strongylocentrotus intermedius* (A. Agassiz, 1864) fed with kelp *Laminaria japonica* Areschoug, 1851 had a significantly higher body weight and test diameter than those fed with pumpkin *Cucurbita* sp., similar to the present work. Somatic growth rates in sea urchins are affected by water temperature and gonadal development but mainly by the quantity and quality of food available, as well as the organic matter they may ingest (Fernandez, 1996; Frantzis & Grémade, 1993; Rowley, 1990). Although Fernandez and Pergent (1998) obtained the highest growth with a diet containing fishmeal, presumably as a consequence of a higher protein content (Fernandez & Boudouresque, 2000), the present study achieved a significantly higher test diameter growth only with vegetable sources (maize, spinach, and *L. digitata*). According to Le Gall (1989), *L. digitata* is one of the algae species that enables consumption and growth rates that are acceptable for the aquaculture of *P. lividus*.

Given within-population variability (Byrne, 1990) in terms of gametogenesis (mainly between spermatogenesis and oogenesis), a probable asynchronous starting condition could substantially affect the results of feeding trial as the individuals were wild-caught (Cunningham, 2008; Mercurio & Sugni, 2016). The fasting period of 30 days led to a GI of 3.33 ± 0.02% within the sacrificed individuals, mostly all in the spent stage, without natural or ensuing mortality occurring. This result was similar to Spirlet, Grosjean, and Jangoux (2000), Fabbrocini et al. (2015), Tomšíč et al. (2015), and Sartori et al. (2016), corroborating the effectiveness of the procedure. After the 90-day feeding trial, all diets promoted gonadal growth, especially Diet A. As GI or gonad yield represent the most important factors for aquaculture of sea urchins, it is reassuring that the results of this work were consistent with previous studies, in which artificial diets lead to a considerable increase in gonad yield (Fabbrocini & D’Adamo, 2010; Fernandez & Boudouresque, 2000; McBride, Price, Tom, Lawrence, & Lawrence, 2004). Given the initial similarity in test diameter, total weight, and GI between the experimental sea urchins, the significant differences in the final results clearly demonstrated different allocation of nutrients given the somatic versus gonad growth duality (Spirlet et al., 2000). Energy is first allocated to maintenance and then to the digestive tract, and the remaining energy is shared between gonadal and somatic growth (Calow, 1981; Fernandez, 1996; Pearse & Cameron, 1991). In this context, Diet A was the one with the highest allocation of resources to gonad growth, achieving a final GI of 9.07 ± 2.39%, which represents an estimated 172.4% increase, despite having shown the weakest result in terms of individual total wet weight. Similarly, Fernandez and Boudouresque (2000), Luís et al. (2005), Repolho et al. (2011), Sartori and Gaion (2015), Sartori et al. (2015), Tomšíč et al. (2015), and Sartori et al. (2016) reported high gonad yields with larger size classes and diets containing maize (even though these had no agar as a gelling agent). Despite the high allocation to somatic growth, Diet B showed the lowest GI values of all the three treatments, with a final GI of 7.17 ± 1.99%, corresponding to an estimated 115.3% increase. Fernandez and Pergent (1998), Spirlet et al. (2001), Schlosser, Lupatsch, Lawrence, Lawrence, and Shpigel (2005), Shpigel et al. (2005), Fabbrocini et al. (2012), Carboni et al. (2015), Fabbrocini et al. (2015), Tomšíč et al. (2015), and Sartori et al. (2016) also reported lower GI in *P. lividus* fed with macroalgae diets. Regarding Diet C, a final GI of 7.31 ± 2.26%, equivalent to an estimated 119.5% increase, was achieved. Interestingly, Luo et al. (2014) obtained the same relative results with *S. intermedius*. Although sea urchins fed with kelp had significantly higher gonad weight than those fed with pumpkin, the GI from the pumpkin group was slightly higher (Luo et al., 2014). Given that Diet C in this study was also composed of 44% of maize and spinach, which alone (as in Diet A) promoted the best results in gonad yield, the inclusion of *C. maxima* seemed to be less effective. Apart from achieving a considerable gonadal growth rate with alternative feed sources (particularly with Diet A) and starting from a very low GI after the fasting period, the final values obtained in this experimental trial were comparable to the ones observed in wild populations, which range between 6% and 12% (Spirlet et al., 2000). According to Spirlet et al. (1998a), wild populations of *P. lividus* in Brittany do not exceed a GI of 8%. This parameter
tends to increase with sea urchin size (Fernandez & Pergent, 1998), being the highest in the 40–70 mm size class rather than the 20–40 mm size class (Martinez, Garcia, Sanchez, Daza, & Castillo, 2003; Sanchez-Espana, Martinez-Pita, & Garcia, 2004). Therefore, the experimental sea urchin size class should be narrowed in the future according to the goal of the investigation. If the focus is on *P. lividus* reproduction, then the individuals should be selected with a test diameter above 40 mm. In addition, the accumulation of nutrients by the NPs, as well as the accumulation of gametes, contribute to gonadal growth but in different degrees. Therefore, some variance in GI could be expected given the different outcome in sexual maturation between the three diets, which corresponds to different ratios between germinative (sexual) and somatic (phagocytes) cells (Fabbrocini et al., 2012; Fernandez & Pergent, 1998; Marsh & Watts, 2007; Pearse & Cameron, 1991; Unuma & Walker, 2009).

The increase of gonad weight is mainly associated with the nutrients available and, thus, the quantity and quality of the feed ingested (Cuesta-Gomez & Sanchez-Saavedra, 2014; Lawrence & Lane, 1982). Proteins are regarded as the major dietary nutrient, with a high nutritional value, involved in reproduction. They contribute to an increase in somatic and gonadal growth rates, which occur through the accumulation of gametes and/or nutrients (Cook & Kelly, 2007a; Fernandez & Bouduresque, 2000; Jacquin et al., 2006). Jacquin et al. (2006) stated that the ideal protein level to optimize gonadal growth in *P. lividus* does not occur in the natural environment. Conversely, Cook and Kelly (2007b) suggested that *P. lividus*, as a herbivore, might be unable to digest high dietary protein levels in comparison to other omnivorous species. According to Marsh and Watts (2007), NPs show limited capacity for the assimilation of protein during an early growth stage, evidencing the large amount of energy that is also required for this process. Regarding the nutritional profile of maize dry matter (*Zea mays*), it has 12.9% proteins, 9% lipids, 1.5% ash, 69.3% carbohydrate, and 9.5% water (Cortez & Wild-Altamirano, 1972). The high gonad yield of Diet A (maize & spinach) may be partly explained by the significant content of carbohydrates and proteins in maize when compared to spinach, algae, and pumpkin. *Spinacia oleracea* only has 2.1–2.6% protein, 0.38–0.9% lipids, 0.6% fiber, and 4% carbohydrates, with water as the main component (91%) (Biehler, Kaulmann, Hoffmann, Krause, & Bohn, 2011; Hanif, Iqbal, Iqbal, Hanif, & Rasheed, 2006). Macroalga *L. digitata* has an average protein content of 6.9%, with significant seasonal variations (Schienet al., 2015), plus 0.2–2% lipids, 2.3–3.5% fiber, 9–9.9% carbohydrates, and 73–90% water (Carboni et al., 2015). The chemical composition of the pumpkin *C. maxima* (fresh mesocarp) is: 1.13–2% protein, 0.42–0.5% lipid content, 1.1% fiber, 8–13.3% carbohydrates, and 87.6% water (Kim et al., 2012). Accordingly, the poor protein content of pumpkin may explain the lower output in gonadal and somatic growth of sea urchins fed Diet C given that it contained 50% of this ingredient in its composition. In addition, Schlosser et al. (2005) suggested that energy may also play an important role in growth, namely, in the GI, as a limiting factor during growing and mature stages. Similar to the protein content, maize also has the highest energy content of 91 kcal 100 g⁻¹ (fresh weight), followed by spinach with 27 kcal 100 g⁻¹ (fresh leaves), fresh *L. digitata* with 18 kcal 100 g⁻¹, and fresh pumpkin with 11 kcal 100 g⁻¹ (Carboni et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2012). Likewise, these values also support the highest gonad yield from Diet A, followed by Diet B and Diet C. In fact, if energy plays a more important role for gonad yield, maize is a very attractive alternative to the expensive extruded diets (Spirlet et al., 2001) as it has a high energy content (Luis et al., 2005).

Regarding ingestion rates, all sea urchins started feeding immediately after the fasting month, showing no need for an adaptation period and highlighting the generalist and opportunistic feeding behavior of *P. lividus* (Bouduresque & Verlaque, 2007). Through the daily recovery and weighing of leftovers, it was noted that feeding was relatively constant through time, in all diets, as reported by Spirlet et al. (2001). The differences in ingestion between diets were certainly related to the chemical and physical properties of the feed (Carboni, 2013; Klinge, 1982), although its agar-based formulation was immediately accepted and readily consumed, as described by Fabbrocini et al. (2015). Therefore, palatability and attraction were successfully achieved, which are key factors for artificial feeds (Cirino, Ciaravolo, Pagliaonga, & Toscano, 2017; Cyrus, Bolton, Scholtz, & Macey, 2015; Fabbrocini et al., 2015; Lawrence, Lawrence, & Watts, 2013), as well as a suitable texture and form (Klinger, 1982; Spirlet et al., 2001). The inclusion of fresh triturated *L. digitata* in Diet B might have acted as a feeding stimulant, assuming its chemosensory characteristics were preserved (Cyrus et al., 2015; Klinger & Lawrence, 1984). As the formulation...
method was the same for the three diets (6% agar), it is easier to focus only on the nutritional aspect of the ingredients. In fact, food type affects ingestion rates in sea urchins as reported by Vadas (1977), Anderson and Velimirov (1982), De Ridder and Lawrence (1982), Frantzis and Grémare (1993), Fernandez and Pergent (1998), Fernandez and Boudouresque (2000), Cyrus et al. (2015), and Vizzini et al. (2015). Furthermore, protein levels seem to also be correlated to ingestion rates (Fernandez & Boudouresque 1998). The present experiment is theoretically more in line with the compensatory food intake model (Frantzis, 1992), in which ingestion rates increase with low soluble protein levels as suggested by Frantzis and Grémare (1993) or Fernandez & Boudouresque (1998). Feed rich in carbohydrates can be largely consumed to compensate for the low protein content (Carboni, 2013; Miller & Mann, 1973) as what seemed to be the case in the present study. Accordingly, not only do vegetable feeds tend to increase ingestion rates (Frantzis & Boudouresque 1998), but also, ad libitum feeding has the same effect (Minor & Scheibling, 1997). Despite the high ingestion rate of Diet C, its output in gonadal growth was intermediate, probably because of its expected low protein content. Conversely, Diet A was the least consumed diet and promoted the highest gonadal yield as it contained more maize (47%) than the other experimental diets—the ingredient richest in protein used in this work. Moreover, a diet rich in proteins and lipids also consumes more energy for its assimilation (Marsh & Watts, 2007) and may reduce feed ingestion. Spirlet et al. (2001) achieved a higher intake of fresh Laminaria sp. in comparison to the more protein-rich extruded pellets. According to Spirlet et al. (1998b), although gonadal growth is correlated to feed intake (Fernandez, 1996), these are not directly proportional. Moreover, as maximal ingestion is dependent on physical constraints, such as the volume of the gut (Frantzis, 1992), the required protein levels for growth and maintenance might not be met. Furthermore, ingestion might also be related to physiological factors, such as the reproductive phase in adult individuals. The volume increase of the gonads in the coelomic cavity can reduce feeding rate and the superior energy requirements needed in the early stages of gametogenesis (Chang, Lawrence, Cao, & Lawrence, 2005; Fabbricini et al., 2012; Fernandez & Boudouresque, 2000; Jacquin et al., 2006; Lawrence, 1975; Lawrence, Lawrence, & Watts, 2007). Diet A was progressively less consumed through the trial, and it was also the diet that promoted the highest gonadal growth and maturation, exemplifying the latest assumptions.

The reproductive cycle of *P. lividus* has an annual pattern in the Mediterranean and Atlantic coasts, where gametogenesis normally occurs from September to May (Byrne, 1990; Fabbricini & D’Adamo, 2010; Lozano et al., 1995). However, in an artificial rearing system, the annual reproductive cycle of *P. lividus* tends to fade (Shpigel et al., 2004; Spirlet et al., 1998a), even more when fasting is used to eliminate seasonal reproductive conditions. The effectiveness of the fasting period was definitely corroborated as 83.3% of the individuals were in the spent stage (I and II), with no individuals in active gametogenesis, as reported by Spirlet et al. (2000), Fabbricini and D’Adamo (2010), Fabbricini et al. (2015), and Sartori and Gaion (2015). By starting to feed, sea urchins were able to store nutrients in the NPs and produce sexual cells, resuming the gametogenic cycle (Spirlet et al., 2001). The three diets promoted the progression of the reproductive cycle over a period of 90 days, in contrast to Shpigel et al. (2006) and Carboni et al. (2013). By this time, more than 60% of the individuals were in an active phase of gametogenesis (stages IV–VII), although the recovery stage (III) could also be considered as it presents primary oocytes or primary spermatocytes in the ascinal wall, as described by Spirlet et al. (1998a). Diet A (maize and spinach) was the most successful in promoting maturation. Similarly, Luis et al. (2005) also obtained large spawning rates with a maize diet (dry grains), while Sartori and Gaion (2015) and Sartori et al. (2016) had the highest percentage of mature individuals with fresh maize and spinach, once again demonstrating the suitability of these ingredients to provide nutritional and energetic sources for gonadal growth and sexual maturation of *P. lividus* (Sartori et al., 2016). Conversely, Spirlet et al. (2001), Schlosser et al. (2005), Fabbricini et al. (2012), and Sartori et al. (2016) also obtained a slower gametogenic progression with macroalgae diets, similar to Diet B (with 50% of *L. digitata*). The agar-based biocomposites seemed to promote a quick metabolic reaction, with the progression of the gametogenic cycle, attesting the feed quality and the advantage of allowing to choose and formulate ingredients according to specific nutritional requisites as reported by Russell (1998) and Fabbricini et al. (2012). The most important analysis of these results is related to the desired goal of the rearing: good-quality gonads for the consumer (suppress or delay gametogenesis) or the production of mature gonads with viable gametes for seed stock. Therefore, the slower progression in the reproductive cycle promoted by
Diet B seems to be more appropriate for enhancement and consumption purposes as specimen synchronization to a desired gametogenic stage is easier. As some individuals had already formed mature gametes with this diet, a shorter rearing period could be applied. Conversely, the high output of gamete production from Diet C, and especially Diet A, highlights the suitability of maize (Luís et al., 2005), spinach, and also pumpkin for the enhancement of gametogenesis. Furthermore, as wild *P. lividus* populations in Portugal are only mature in mid-spring and summer, after accumulating reserves during winter (J. Gago et al., 2003), these diets allowed us to significantly modify the natural reproductive cycle because it is possible to obtain mature individuals at the end of the trial (in early February).

The oocyte diameter–frequency information corroborated the relative frequencies of the gametogenic stages present in each diet. Gonor (1973) reported that mature ovaries have a dominant class of large oocytes. Accordingly, the 60–90 μm size class was presented as the most dominant (56%) in females fed Diet A, mostly corresponding to large ova. Although Byrne (1990) indicates a large number of ova with a diameter of 90 μm in the mature stage, 2% of the measured oocytes from Diet A were above this value. In addition, Lozano et al. (1995) considered mature ova with diameters above 70 μm while studying natural populations of *P. lividus*, which is also in line with the present results. Given the presence of some females from Diet A in the recovery and growing stages, with primary oocytes surrounded by NPs, the size class of 15–30 μm was also abundant, similar to the findings of Byrne (1990) of primary oocytes of 5–30 μm in the recovery stage and 10–50 μm in the growing stage. Regarding Diet B, the majority of individuals was still in early stages of gametogenesis, thus leading to the dominance of the 10–25 μm size class (35%), corresponding to primary oocytes attached to the ascinal wall of stages III and IV. However, 28% of the measured oocytes were 65–80 μm in diameter given the presence of premature and mature females. Finally, in Diet C, there was a predominance of oocytes of a diameter of 60–85 μm (51%) in the significant percentage of premature/mature females. Still, 22% of the measured oocytes were between 10 and 20 μm in diameter as maturation in Diet C was not so pronounced when compared to Diet A. It should be noted that premature (V), mature (VI), and partly spawned (VII) stages are characterized by the presence of various stages of oocyte development, particularly in stage V.

Regarding the color analyses, the gonads of wild *P. lividus* range from pale yellow to dark brown, both colors being less appreciated for consumption purposes (Symonds, Kelly, Caris-Veyrat, & Young, 2007). A bright yellow-orange range represents the ideal color for market acceptance and high-priced gonads, considered an indication of great quality (Carboni, 2013; Senaratna, Evans, Southam, & Tsvetnenko, 2005; Shpigel et al., 2006; Symonds et al., 2007). The gonad color derives mainly from the accumulation of carotenoid pigments (Carboni, 2013; Vizzini et al., 2015). Therefore, a carotenoid supplementation can substantially improve gonadal color in both genders (Plank, 2000). In general, echinenone (α- and β-forms) and β-carotene represent the principal carotenoids in sea urchins (Fox & Hopkins, 1966; Matsuno & Tsushima, 2001; Symonds et al., 2007; Tsushima & Matsuno, 1990), specifically with 9-cis echinenone as the dominant carotenoid in *P. lividus* (Symonds et al., 2007). β-echinenone and α-echinenone are derived from dietary β-carotene and α-carotene, respectively (Carboni et al., 2015; Cook & Kelly, 2007a; Goodwin, 1984; Shpigel et al., 2005; Tsushima, Kawakami, & Matsuno, 1993). Kelp is rich in the precursor β-carotene (Carboni et al., 2015; McDermid & Stuercke, 2003) and increasing echinenone content is normally correlated with an intense and acceptable gonad coloration (Shpigel et al., 2005, 2006; Suckling, Symonds, Kelly, & Young, 2011). A diet containing macroalgae (as Diet B) is thus expected to produce a high echinenone content and, therefore, decent coloration, as achieved by Shpigel et al. (2005, 2006) and Cook and Kelly (2009). Consequently, there is often the need to add natural algae to artificially formulated diets, prior to commercialization, in order to reliably achieve an acceptable coloration as reported by Cook, Kelly, and McKenzie (1998), Robinson, Castell, and Kennedy (2002), and Shpigel et al. (2005). Furthermore, Symonds et al. (2007) state that lipid content, or lutein levels, may also affect the visual perception of gonad color. In the present study, Diet B promoted better color results than Diet A when considering female individuals. Indeed, maize is rich in dietary xanthophylls, with lutein as the major carotenoid, followed by zeaxanthin (Janick-Buckner, Hammock, Johnson, Osborn, & Buckner, 1999). These pigments are mostly related to fecundity, with little effect on gonad color (Kawakami et al., 1998; Lawrence, 2007; Plank, Lawrence, Lawrence, & Olvera, 2002; Robinson et al., 2004; Tsushima et al., 1993). Likewise, the major carotenoid in spinach is lutein, followed by β-carotene, violaxanthin, and neoxanthin (Bunea et al., 2008). Moreover, β-carotene
only accounts for 4–6% of total carotenoids in *Laminaria* sp., with all-trans fucoxanthin representing 80% (Haugan & Liaaen-Jensen, 1994). In addition, the 9- or 9'-cis forms of carotenoids are absent in natural algae (Symonds et al., 2007). These factors may partly explain why Diet C promoted the most successful color enhancement as its main carotenoid is β-carotene (>80%), with lower levels of lutein, lycopene, α-carotene, and—inclusively—cis-β-carotene (Seo, Burri, Quan, & Neidlinger, 2005). Luo et al. (2014) reported that individuals fed pumpkin also attained a better gonad color acceptance, with slight differences from the kelp diet. Senaratna et al. (2005) and Vizzini et al. (2015) also obtained a commercially acceptable gonad coloration with vegetable sources. The different reproductive stages between diets may also affect gonad color. According to Symonds et al. (2007), low levels of echinenone and, therefore, a paler coloration, coincide with pre- and actual spawning. Regarding the differences between males and females, female gonads not only contain more lutein and isosaxanthin than males but also exclusively have keto-carotenoids, which possibly contribute to color differentiation, as observed by Symonds et al. (2007). In addition, these authors obtained an inferior β-carotene:echinenone ratio in female gonads, which may also imply a more intense color. However, it must be taken into account that sea urchin male sperm is white, while the female spawning fluid is orange/red (Lustres-Pérez, Rodríguez-Álvarez, Pata, Fernández-Pulpeiro, & Cadarso-Suárez, 2010). Stress-induced spawning, while handling the specimens to analyze their gonads, might influence the visual perception. Notwithstanding, the areas covered in fluid were always avoided in the color analysis of the gonads, which did not impair the results obtained. Overall, gonad color in sea urchins can be easily modified through the use of different dietary carotenoids (Carboni, 2013) to reach the desired echinenone concentrations found in wild populations and craved by market demand (Carboni et al., 2015). As echinenone is not commercially available to be used in a formulated feed for sea urchins (Symonds et al., 2007), in addition to the need to reduce the dependency from wild macroalgae (Carboni, 2013), this study was able to emphasize the efficacy of accessible vegetable sources, particularly pumpkin, for color enhancement.

### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained in this study demonstrated the suitability and efficiency of alternative food sources for the rearing of adult *P. lividus* in recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS). First, 1 month of fasting was, once again, proven to be a simple and effective method to obtain a mandatory sexual synchronization of the individuals. During the feeding trial (90 days), all three artificial diets promoted somatic and gonadal growth, without mortality, as well as the progression in the reproductive cycle from the spent stage to the last stages of gametogenesis. The formulated diets were promptly accepted by the sea urchins and easily manipulated. However, Diet B (maize, spinach, & macroalga *L. digitata*) was the most successful regarding test diameter and individual total wet weight increase. Conversely, Diet A (maize and spinach) promoted the highest GI and gonadal wet weight, even though it was the least consumed diet. Furthermore, Diet C (maize, spinach, and pumpkin *C. maxima*) led to the reddest coloration of the gonads, bringing new perspectives regarding the inclusion of carotenoids in a future commercial diet. Regarding the reproductive cycle, Diet A was the most efficient in promoting rapid sexual maturation, allowing us to obtain gametes in the winter, which is very unlikely in wild populations. On the other hand, Diet B proved to be more suited for consumption purposes given that the slower progression in the gametogenic cycle provided gonads in prematuration stages, concomitant with sensorial characteristics preferred by the consumers. Subsequently, the nutritive requirements of each reproductive or life stage should be studied in more detail to maximize the production according to the desired goal. Furthermore, it should also be noted that, given the nature of the experimental jellified diets created for this study, with high percentages of water, the results should be analyzed in the context of this experiment. In a future extruded commercial diet for *P. lividus*, including these ingredients, the aspects regarding growth, maturation, and coloration could certainly be optimized. Above all, the present results highlight the promising use of land vegetables and possible subproducts of this industry in artificial diets—in this case for a large-scale echinoculture, contributing to the circular economy and sustainability of the ecosystems.
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