Hydrodynamics dual to Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity: all-order gradient resummation
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ABSTRACT: Relativistic hydrodynamics dual to Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity in asymptotic AdS$_5$ space is under study. To linear order in the amplitude of the fluid velocity and temperature, we derive the fluid’s stress-energy tensor via an all-order resummation of the derivative terms. Each order is accompanied by new transport coefficients, which altogether could be compactly absorbed into two functions of momenta, referred to as viscosity functions. Via inverse Fourier transform, these viscosities appear as memory functions in the constitutive relation between components of the stress-energy tensor.
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1 Introduction

Fluid dynamics [1, 2] is an effective long-wavelength description of most classical or quantum many-body systems at nonzero temperature. For neutral fluids in flat space, the hydrodynamic equations are derivable from conservation of the fluid’s stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\partial^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

For relativistic fluids, $T_{\mu\nu}$ is conveniently written as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + P) u_\mu u_\nu + P \eta_{\mu\nu} + \Pi_{(\mu\nu)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

where $\varepsilon$, $u_\mu$ are the fluid’s energy density and four-velocity field, whereas $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ stands for Minkowski metric tensor. The pressure $P$ is specified through equation of state $P = P(\varepsilon)$, calculable from underlying microscopic theory. Deviations from thermal equilibrium are collectively encoded in dissipation tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\Pi_{(\mu\nu)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} P^\alpha P^\beta (\Pi_{\alpha\beta} + \Pi_{\beta\alpha}) - \frac{1}{3} P_{\mu\nu} P^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_{\alpha\beta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

where $P_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu$ is a projector on spatial directions.

At each order in derivative expansion, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ is fixed by thermodynamics and symmetries, up to some transport coefficients. The latter have to be calculated from microscopic description of the fluid rather than from hydrodynamics itself. In what follows, we focus on conformal fluids in 4D Minkowski spacetime, so the condition $T^\mu_{\mu} = 0$ implies $\varepsilon = 3P$.

The first order derivative expansion gives the Navier-Stokes term

$$\Pi^{NS}_{\mu\nu} = -2\eta_\mu \partial_\mu u_\nu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)
where \( \eta_0 \) is a shear viscosity. At second order, there are five additional transport coefficients [3, 4].

AdS/CFT correspondence [5] relates strong coupling physics of gauge theories with large number of colors \( N \) to weakly coupled gravity in (asymptotic) AdS space. As a particular example, it maps hydrodynamic fluctuations of a boundary fluid into long-wavelength gravitational perturbations of a stationary black brane in asymptotic AdS space [6–8]. Viscosity and all other transport coefficients could be computed from the gravity side of the correspondence. The ratio of \( \eta_0 \) over the entropy density \( s \) was computed in [6, 7, 9]

\[
\frac{\eta_0}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}
\]  

(1.5)

and was found to be universal for all gauge theories with Einstein gravity duals [10–12]. The value (1.5) was further conjectured to be Nature’s lower bound for \( \eta_0/s \) [13].

The relativistic Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics is well known to violate causality, that is it admits propagation of signal faster than the speed of light. Inclusion of any finite number of additional derivative terms in \( \Pi_{\mu\nu} \) would not render the theory into causal. All-order derivative resummation is necessary to restore causality. In [14–16], we built upon the work of [17] and linearly resummed derivative terms (see [18–22] for boost invariant case) for fluids dual to pure Einstein gravity. In a parametrically controllable approximation, where we only collect terms linear in amplitude of the fluid velocity, \( \Pi_{\mu\nu} \) has a compact form,

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu} = -2\eta \left[ u^\alpha \partial_\alpha, \partial^\alpha \partial_\alpha \right] \partial_\mu u_\nu - \zeta \left[ u^\alpha \partial_\alpha, \partial^\alpha \partial_\alpha \right] \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial^\alpha u_\alpha.
\]  

(1.6)

Here \( \eta \) and \( \zeta \) are derivative operators, which upon expansion in a series would generate the usual gradient expansion. Thanks to linearization, we can study these operators in Fourier space, via replacement \( \partial_u \rightarrow (-i\omega, i\vec{q}) \). Then the operators \( \eta \) and \( \zeta \) are turned into functions of momenta and are referred to as viscosity functions. In momentum space, the constitutive relation (1.6) is

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\omega, q) = -2\eta(\omega, q^2)iq_\mu u_\nu(\omega, q) + \zeta(\omega, q^2)iq_\mu q_\nu q_\alpha u_\alpha(\omega, q).
\]  

(1.7)

The viscosity functions \( \eta \) and \( \zeta \) were computed exactly in [14–16] and were observed to vanish at very large momenta, signaling restoration of causality in the dual CFT. For self-consistency of presentation we will flash these results in section 3 below.

Vanishing of the viscosities at large frequencies is a necessary condition for causality restoration. To better understand the physical role of the viscosity functions, we turn them into memory functions via inverse Fourier transform of (1.7)

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu}(t) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' \left[ 2\tilde{\eta}(t-t', q^2)\partial_\mu u_\nu(t') + \tilde{\zeta}(t-t', q^2)\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial^\alpha u_\alpha(t') \right],
\]  

(1.8)

where

\[
\tilde{\eta}(t, q^2) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \eta(\omega, q^2)e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \tilde{\zeta}(t, q^2) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \zeta(\omega, q^2)e^{-i\omega t}.
\]  

(1.9)
Here $\tilde{\eta}(t, q^2)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}(t, q^2)$ are memory functions in mixed-$(t, q^2)$ representation. Causality\(^1\) requires memory functions to have no support in the future: $\tilde{\eta}(t - t') \sim \Theta(t - t')$ and $\tilde{\zeta}(t - t') \sim \Theta(t - t')$. In other words, the current $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(t)$ at time $t$ should be affected by the state of the system in the past only. So, for a causal theory $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ becomes

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \left[ \tilde{\eta}(t - t', q^2) \partial_\mu u_\nu(t') + \tilde{\zeta}(t - t', q^2) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial^\alpha u_\alpha(t') \right]. \quad (1.10)$$

As has been discussed in [16], a typical memory function-based formalism [2, 24], as a phenomenological model, would set the low limit of integration in (1.10) to zero, turning thus defined hydrodynamics into a well-posed initial value problem.

In [16], the memory function $\tilde{\eta}(t)$ was evaluated from exact computations in the dual Einstein gravity. It was indeed found to be proportional (up to numerical noise) to $\Theta(t)$ as could be seen from Figure 1 (in units $\pi T = 1$).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.45\textwidth]{figure1a.png} \hspace{0.5cm} \includegraphics[width=0.45\textwidth]{figure1b.png}
\caption{Memory function $\tilde{\eta}(t, q^2)$ evaluated in [16] for hydrodynamics dual to pure Einstein gravity. Left: 3D plot as function of $t$ and $q^2$. Right: 2D plots as functions of time $t$: different curves display results with different $q^2$ (from the rightmost: $q^2 = 0, 1, 2, 3$).}
\end{figure}

Beyond $N \rightarrow \infty$ and 't Hooft coupling $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limits, the ratio (1.5) gets corrected. Finite $N$ or $\lambda$ corrections arising from stringy or quantum effects introduce, beyond Einstein gravity, terms with higher derivatives of curvature. Exact forms of these terms generated in string theory are not known in general: the first higher derivative correction is expected to be the curvature squared. Of particular interest is a ghost-free Gauss-Bonnet combination, which generates equations of motion of second order only. Adding a Gauss-Bonnet term to the gravitational action is equivalent to introducing $O(1/N)$ corrections in the dual gauge theory, whereas the Gauss-Bonnet coupling $\alpha$ is related to the difference between two central charges of the dual CFT.

From the string theory point of view, the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) gravity should be considered as phenomenological effective low energy theory. One may, however, consider EGB gravity on its own, as a UV complete theory. Still applying the rules of the AdS/CFT correspondence, one finds that the Gauss-Bonnet correction violates the lower

\(^1\)In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, causality of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills plasma was analyzed [23] by studying pole structures of retarded correlators.
bound (1.5) [25, 26] (see also [27–32]). Non-perturbative Gauss-Bonnet corrections to second order transport coefficients in conformal fluids dual to EGB gravity were considered in [33–35]. Furthermore, causality of the dual CFT sets constraints on possible values of the Gauss-Bonnet coupling. In [26, 36–38], the coupling \( \alpha \) (stripped of units) was constrained to be

\[
-\frac{7}{72} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{9}{200},
\]

where the lower (upper) bound was obtained by requiring the front-velocity in the sound (scalar) channel of the dual CFT not to exceed the speed of light. Positivity of energy flux in thought experiments done in conformal colliders [39] also constrains values of \( \alpha \) [40–42]. Remarkably, constraints on \( \alpha \) from causality and positivity of energy flux were found to match [38, 40–42]. Stability of the dual plasma also sets constraints on \( \alpha \) [43, 44]. More recently, causality violating effects due to higher derivative corrections to Einstein-Hilbert action were discovered in high energy scattering processes of gravitons off shock waves [45] and strings off branes [46]. Pure EGB gravity was concluded to be a-causal for \( \alpha \) of order one. Causality is restored by adding an infinite tower of extra massive particles with spins higher than two [45].

In this work, we would like to explore the effects of the Gauss-Bonnet corrections on transport coefficients, beyond known results at first and second order. To this goal we consider hydrodynamics dual to EGB gravity and calculate Gauss-Bonnet correction to viscosity functions \( \eta \) and \( \zeta \). Given previous constraints on \( \alpha \), we limit our study to small \( \alpha \) only. To linear order in \( \alpha \), the fluid’s energy density and pressure are

\[
\varepsilon = 3P = 3 \left( 1 + 3\alpha \right) (\pi T)^4. \tag{1.12}
\]

The entropy density is evaluated from

\[
s = 4\pi (1 + 3\alpha) (\pi T)^3. \tag{1.13}
\]

In the hydrodynamic limit, the viscosity functions are expandable in momenta,

\[
\eta(\omega, q^2) = (1 - 5\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ (2 - \ln 2) - (21 - 5 \ln 2) \alpha \right] i\omega - \frac{1}{48} \left( 6\pi - \pi^2 + 24 - 36 \ln 2 + 12 \ln^2 2 \right) \omega^2 - \left( \frac{1}{8} - 2.11(320)\alpha \right) q^2 + \cdots, \tag{1.14}
\]

\[
\zeta(\omega, q^2) = \frac{1}{12} \left[ (5 - \pi - 2 \ln 2) + (15\pi - 87 + 30 \ln 2) \alpha \right] + \cdots,
\]

where the fluid’s temperature is normalized to \( \pi T = 1 \) and all the momenta are set to be measured in these units. For a positive \( \alpha \), the first term in \( \eta \) yields violation of the viscosity to entropy bound [25, 26, 47, 48],

\[
\frac{\eta_s}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 - 8\alpha). \tag{1.15}
\]

The second term in \( \eta \) is the relaxation time, calculated in [33, 49]. The remaining terms are new third order transport coefficients. The underlined terms are our numerical results.
for $\alpha$-corrected pieces. To resum the derivative terms to all orders, we numerically compute the viscosity functions for generic $\omega$ and $q^2$. The viscosity functions are formally expanded in $\alpha$

$$
\eta = \eta^{(0)} + \alpha \eta^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2), \quad \zeta = \zeta^{(0)} + \alpha \zeta^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2),
$$

(1.16)

where $\eta^{(0)}$ and $\zeta^{(0)}$ are the viscosity functions computed for pure Einstein gravity in [14, 15]. The results of this calculation, particularly new results on $\eta^{(1)}$ and $\zeta^{(1)}$, are presented in subsection 3.2.2. When Fourier transformed into memory functions, we find that the Gauss-Bonnet correction $\tilde{\eta}^{(1)}(t)$ (and also $\tilde{\zeta}^{(1)}(t)$) is also vanishing at negative times (see Figure 7).

In section 2, we present the holographic setup. A boosted black hole solution of the EGB gravity in asymptotic AdS$_5$ space is introduced. Following [4], gravitational perturbation is induced by locally varying boost velocity and black hole temperature. We then parameterize additional bulk metric corrections in terms of ten functions $h$, $k$, $j_i$ and $\alpha_{ij}$, which are both functions of holographic coordinate and functionals of the fluid velocity $u^\mu$. The boundary stress-energy tensor is read off from holographic renormalization, being expressed in terms of near-boundary behavior of $h$, $k$, $j_i$ and $\alpha_{ij}$. In section 3, we solve the Einstein equations for the metric corrections. Thanks to linearization in the velocity amplitude, all bulk metric corrections can be decomposed in the basis formed from $u^i$. As a result, in Fourier space, the Einstein equations turn into second order ordinary differential equations for decomposition coefficients. Solutions to these equations reveal the information about the viscosities. We then discuss effects of the Gauss-Bonnet correction on the viscosity functions. Section 4 is devoted to summary and discussion. Some computational details are provided in Appendix A.

2 Holographic setup for Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity

Our representation is largely based on [50]. We start from the EGB gravity with a negative cosmological constant $\Lambda = -6/l^2$ in 5D spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M}$,

$$
S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - 2\Lambda + \alpha l^2 L_{\text{GB}} \right) + S_{\text{sur}} + S_{\text{c.t.}},
$$

(2.1)

where the Gauss-Bonnet term $L_{\text{GB}}$ is

$$
L_{\text{GB}} = R_{MNPQ} R^{MNPQ} - 4R_{MN} R^{MN} + R^2.
$$

(2.2)

We use a mostly plus signature for the bulk metric $g_{MN}$. To have a well-defined variational principle, the surface term $S_{\text{sur}}$ computed in [51, 52] was added to (2.1),

$$
S_{\text{sur}} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^4x \sqrt{-\gamma} \left[ K - 2\alpha l^2 \left( J + 2G_{\mu\nu} K^{\mu\nu} \right) \right],
$$

(2.3)

where the first term is the Gibbons-Hawking surface action. The tensor $J_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$
J_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{3} \left( 2K K_{\mu\rho} K_{\nu}^\rho + K_{\rho\sigma} K^{\rho\sigma} K_{\mu\nu} - 2K_{\mu\rho} K^{\sigma\rho} K_{\sigma\nu} - K^2 K_{\mu\nu} \right),
$$

(2.4)

\footnote{In the first version of this preprint, we made a wrong statement on causality violation based on numerical Fourier transform, which was later found to be lacking sufficient accuracy.}
where $K_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mu}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} n_{\nu}$ and $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$, $n_{\mu}$ are the induced metric/outing normal vector on/to a constant $r$-slice $\partial M$. The Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $\nabla_{\mu}$ are compatible with $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$.

In asymptotic AdS space, UV divergences near conformal boundary can be removed by holographic renormalization \cite{53, 54}. For the EGB gravity, the counter-term action $S_{\text{c.t.}}$ was first constructed in \cite{50} following previous studies \cite{55–57},

$$S_{\text{c.t.}} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \int_{\partial M} d^4x \sqrt{-\gamma} \left( \delta_1 - \frac{\delta_2}{2} R[\gamma] \right),$$  

(2.5)

with the coefficients $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ having the forms

$$\delta_1 = \frac{-1 - 8\alpha + \sqrt{1 - 8\alpha}}{\sqrt{4\alpha l^2} (1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\alpha})} \frac{l}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{l} \frac{1}{2} + O(\alpha^2),$$  

$$\delta_2 = \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha l^2} (3 - 8\alpha - 3\sqrt{1 - 8\alpha})}{2 (1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\alpha})^{3/2}} \frac{l}{2} + \frac{3l}{2} \frac{\alpha}{l} + O(\alpha^2).$$  

(2.6)

Up to a conformal factor, the stress-energy tensor of the boundary CFT is obtained by varying (2.1) with respect to $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$. The boundary stress-energy tensor is \cite{50},

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}(r)}{r^2} = -\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^2}{8\pi G_N} \left\{ K_{\mu\nu} - K\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \delta_1 \gamma_{\mu\nu} - \delta_2 G_{\mu\nu} - 2\alpha l^2 \left( Q_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} Q\gamma_{\mu\nu} \right) \right\}. $$  

(2.7)

The tensor $Q_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$Q_{\mu\nu} = 3J_{\mu\nu} - 2KR_{\mu\nu} - RK_{\mu\nu} + 2K^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma} + 4R_{\mu\lambda} K_{\nu}^{\lambda},$$  

(2.8)

where the calligraphic tensor $R_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma}$ is the Riemann curvature of $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$. For convenience, we set the overall scale of the stress tensor to one, $l = 16\pi G_N = 1$.

The field equations for the metric $g_{MN}$ are

$$0 = E_{MN} = R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} R - 6g_{MN} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha g_{MN} L_{\text{GB}} + 2\alpha \left( R_{MABC} R_{N}^{ABC} - 2R_{MANB} R_{AB}^{\lambda} - 2R_{MAB} R_{N}^{\lambda} + RR_{MN} \right).$$  

(2.9)

A black hole solution with a flat boundary was found in \cite{58} following previous work \cite{59}. In the ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate, the metric is

$$ds^2 = 2N_# dv dr - N_#^2 r^2f(br)dv^2 + r^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$  

(2.10)

To linear order in $\alpha$, we have

$$N_# = 1 - \alpha + O(\alpha^2),$$  

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{r^4} + 2\alpha \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r^4} \right) + O(\alpha^2).$$  

(2.10)

Thermodynamics of the EGB black holes was analyzed in \cite{58, 59}. The horizon radius $r_H$ and Hawking temperature $T$ are

$$r_H = \frac{1 - \alpha}{b}, \quad T = \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{\pi b}. $$  

(2.12)
The conformal boundary is at \( r = \infty \).

To construct fluid dynamics from gravity, we follow [4]. First, the static black hole geometry (2.10) is boosted along boundary directions \( x^\alpha \) with a constant boost parameter \( u_\mu \). Then, \( u_\mu \) and \( b \) are promoted into arbitrary local functions of \( x^\alpha \), resulting in an inhomogeneous geometry

\[
d s^2 = -2 N_\# u_\mu(x^\alpha) dx^\mu dr - N_\#^2 r^2 f(b(x^\alpha)) u_\mu(x^\alpha) u_\nu(x^\alpha) dx^\mu dx^\nu + r^2 \Phi_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{2.13}
\]

where \( u_\mu(x^\alpha) \) is identified with the fluid velocity and is normalized as \( \eta^{\mu\nu} u_\mu(x^\alpha) u_\nu(x^\alpha) = -1 \). In general, the metric (2.13) no longer solves the field equations (2.9). Suitable metric corrections to (2.13) are needed to make (2.9) satisfied. These corrections are dual to parts of \( \Pi_{\mu\nu} \). Instead of the order-by-order boundary expansion [4], we will collect the derivatives in a unified way, as proposed in [14–16] to resum all order linear structures in \( T_{\mu\nu} \). We linearize \( u_\mu(x^\alpha) \) and \( b(x^\alpha) \)

\[
u(\alpha) = (-1, \epsilon u_i(x^\alpha)) \quad b(x^\alpha) = b_0 + \epsilon b_1(x^\alpha), \tag{2.14}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is an order-counting parameter to be set to unity at the end. Subsequent calculations are accurate up to linear order in \( \epsilon \). The constant \( b_0 \) corresponds to equilibrium temperature. For convenience of calculation we set \( b_0 = 1 \). This is equivalent to setting \( \pi T = 1 - 2\alpha \), whereas eventually we would like to present our results in units of \( \pi T = 1 \). This is easily achieved by rescaling all the momenta by the corresponding \( (1 - 2\alpha) \)-factors.

The linearized version of (2.13) is

\[
d s_{\text{seed}}^2 = 2 N_\# dvdr - N_\#^2 r^2 f(r) dv^2 + r^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j - \epsilon \left\{ 2 N_\# u_i dx^i dr + 4 N_\#^2 \left( 1 - \frac{4\alpha}{r^4} \right) \frac{b_1}{r^2} dv^2 + 2 r^2 \left[ 1 - N_\#^2 f(r) \right] u_i dv dx^i \right\}, \tag{2.15}
\]

which is referred to as a seed metric. Formally, we write the full metric as

\[
d s^2 = g_{MN} dx^M dx^N = d s_{\text{seed}}^2 + d s_{\text{corr}}^2, \tag{2.16}
\]

where \( d s_{\text{corr}}^2 \) represents metric corrections. We choose a “background field” gauge [4]

\[
g_{rr} = 0, \quad g_{r\mu} \propto u_\mu, \quad \text{Tr} \left[ \left( g^{(0)} \right)^{-1} g^{(1)} \right] = 0, \tag{2.17}
\]

where \( g^{(0)} \) corresponds to the first line in (2.15) and \( g^{(1)} \) denotes metric corrections. Under (2.17), \( d s_{\text{corr}}^2 \) can be parameterized in the form

\[
d s_{\text{corr}}^2 = \epsilon \left\{ \frac{k}{r^2} dv^2 - 3 N_\# h dvdr + r^2 h dx^2 + 2 r^2 [1 - f(r)] j_i dx^i dv + r^2 \alpha_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right\}, \tag{2.18}
\]

where \( \alpha_{ij} \) is a traceless symmetric tensor of rank two. The functions \( h, k, j_i \) and \( \alpha_{ij} \) depend on the holographic coordinate \( r \) and, through the field equations (2.9), are functionals of the fluid velocity \( u_\mu \).

Boundary conditions for the metric corrections were discussed in details in [15]. The first one is that all the metric components in (2.18) are required to be regular over the
whole range of $r$. Second, since the boundary metric is fixed to be $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, near $r = \infty$ we demand
\begin{equation}
  h < \mathcal{O}(r^0), \ k < \mathcal{O}(r^4), \ j_i < \mathcal{O}(r^4), \ \alpha_{ij} < \mathcal{O}(r^0).
\end{equation}
Finally, the fluid velocity $u_\mu$ is defined in Landau frame
\begin{equation}
  u^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = -\varepsilon u_\nu \implies u^\mu \Pi_{(\mu\nu)} = 0.
\end{equation}
Under these boundary conditions, expressions for $T_{\mu\nu}$ greatly simplify. We summarize them in Appendix A.

3 From gravity to fluid dynamics

In this section, we derive the stress-energy tensor of the boundary fluid by solving the field equations (2.9). There are fourteen independent components, which are split into ten dynamical equations and four constraints. As in [14–16], our strategy will be to first solve the dynamical equations, without imposing the constraints. This turns out to be sufficient to uniquely fix the transport coefficients, or in other words we construct an “off-shell” stress-energy tensor of the dual fluid. The remaining four constraints are the conservation law of the stress-energy tensor. This equivalence is demonstrated in Appendix A.

3.1 Deriving the fluid dynamics

The dynamical equation $E_{rr} = 0$ yields
\begin{equation}
  (1 - 4\alpha + 4\alpha r^{-4}) (5\partial_r h + r\partial_r^2 h) = 0.
\end{equation}
The asymptotic constraint $h < \mathcal{O}(r^0)$ and Landau frame convention $\Pi_{(00)} = 0$ lead to $h = 0$. The dynamical equation for $k$ is read off from $E_{rv} = 0$,
\begin{equation}
  0 = 3r^2 \partial_r k - 6r^4 \partial u + r^3 \partial_i \partial_j - 2\partial_j + r\partial_r \partial_j + r^3 \partial_i \partial_j \alpha_{ij}
  + \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[-48rk + 3 \left(4r^2 - 3r^6\right) \partial_r k + 8 \left(3r^8 + r^4\right) \partial u + \left(5r^7 - 4r^3\right) \partial \partial u
  - 4 \left(3r^4 + 5\right) \partial j - 2 \left(3r^5 - r\right) \partial_i \partial j - 5r^7 + 4r^3\right) \partial_i \partial_j \alpha_{ij}\right],
\end{equation}
which will be solved by direct integration, once solutions for $j_i$ and $\alpha_{ij}$ are obtained.

From $E_{ri} = 0$, we arrive at the dynamical equation for $j_i$,
\begin{equation}
  0 = r\partial_r^2 j_i - 3\partial_r j_i + r^3 \partial_i \partial_j \alpha_{ij} + r\partial_r^2 u_i - r\partial_i \partial u + 3r^2 \partial \partial u_i
  - \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[\left(5r^5 - 2r\right) \partial_r^2 j_i - 3 \left(5r^4 - 2\right) \partial_r j_i + 4 \left(r^7 + r^3\right) \partial_i \partial j \alpha_{ij}
  + \left(5r^5 + r\right) \left(\partial^2 u_i - \partial_i \partial u\right) + 4 \left(3r^6 + r^2\right) \partial \partial u_i\right],
\end{equation}
which is coupled with $\alpha_{ij}$ only. For the tensor mode $\alpha_{ij}$, we find it more convenient to consider the combination $E_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} E_{kk} = 0$,

$$0 = \left( r^7 - r^3 \right) \partial_r^2 \alpha_{ij} + \left( 5 r^6 - r^2 \right) \partial_r \alpha_{ij} + 2 r^5 \partial_r \partial_r \alpha_{ij} + 3 r^4 \partial_r \alpha_{ij} + r^3 \left[ [\alpha] \right]_{ij}$$

$$+ (1 - r \partial_r) \left[ [j] \right]_{ij} + \left( 6 r^4 + 2 r^3 \partial_r \right) \sigma_{ij} - \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[ 2 \left( r^{11} - 3 r^3 \right) \partial_r^2 \alpha_{ij} + 2 \left( 5 r^{10} + 9 r^2 \right) \partial_r \partial_r \alpha_{ij} + 2 \left( 3 r^9 + 4 r^5 \right) \partial_r \partial_r \partial_r \alpha_{ij} + \left( 9 r^8 - 4 r^4 \right) \partial_r \alpha_{ij} \right]$$

$$+ 4 \left( r^7 - 3 r^3 \right) \left[ [\alpha] \right]_{ij} + 5 \left( r^4 + 6 \right) \left[ [j] \right]_{ij} - \left( 5 r^5 + 6 r \right) \left[ [j] \right]_{ij}$$

$$+ 18 r^8 - 8 r^4 \right) \sigma_{ij} + 8 \left( r^7 + r^3 \right) \partial_r \sigma_{ij} \right] \right),$$

where the notations $[[\alpha]_{ij}$, $[[j]_{ij}$ and $\sigma_{ij}$ are defined as

$$[[\alpha]]_{ij} \equiv \partial^2 \alpha_{ij} - \left( \partial_i \partial_k \alpha_{jk} + \partial_j \partial_k \alpha_{ik} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial_k \partial_k \alpha_{kl} \right),$$

$$[[j]]_{ij} \equiv \partial_i j_j + \partial_j j_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial_j \partial_j, \quad 2 \sigma_{ij} \equiv \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial u.$$  

Notice that, as in [15], source terms in (3.3.3.4) are only constructed from $u_i$. To solve these partial differential equations, we first decompose $j_i$ and $\alpha_{ij}$ in a basis formed from $u_i$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 j_i = a (\partial_r, \partial^2_r, r) u_i + b (\partial_r, \partial^2_r, r) \partial_r u, \\
 \alpha_{ij} = 2 c (\partial_r, \partial^2_r, r) \sigma_{ij} + d (\partial_r, \partial^2_r, r) \pi_{ij},
\end{array} \right.$$  

where $\sigma_{ij}$ is defined in (3.5) and $\pi_{ij} \equiv \partial_i \partial_j u - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial^2 \partial u$. Then, in Fourier space, dynamical equations (3.3.3.4) translate into a system of second order ordinary differential equations for the decomposition coefficients

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 0 = r \partial_r^2 a - 3 \partial_r a - \bar{q}^2 r^3 \partial_r c - \bar{q}^2 r - 3 i \bar{\omega} r^2 - \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[ (5 r^4 - 2) \left( r \partial_r^2 a - 3 \partial_r a \right) - 4 \bar{q}^2 \left( r^7 + r^3 \right) \partial_r c - \bar{q}^2 \left( 5 r^5 + r \right) - 4 i \bar{\omega} \left( 3 r^6 + r^2 \right) \right], \\
 0 = r \partial_r^2 b - 3 \partial_r b - \frac{2}{3} \bar{q}^2 r^3 \partial_r d + \frac{1}{3} r^3 \partial_r c - r - \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left( 5 r^4 - 2 \right) \left( r \partial_r^2 b - 3 \partial_r b \right) - \frac{4}{3} \left( r^7 + r^3 \right) \partial_r \left( 2 d^2 - c \right) - \left( 5 r^5 + r \right), \\
 0 = \left( r^7 - r^3 \right) \partial_r^2 c + \left( 5 r^6 - r^2 \right) \partial_r c - 2 i \bar{\omega} r^3 \partial_r c - r \partial_r a + a - 3 i \bar{\omega} r^4 c - i \bar{\omega} r^3 + 3 r^4 - \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[ 2 \left( r^{11} - 3 r^3 \right) \partial_r^2 c + 2 \left( 5 r^{10} + 9 r^2 \right) \partial_r c \right] - 2 i \bar{\omega} \left( 3 r^9 + 4 r^5 \right) \partial_r c - i \bar{\omega} \left( 9 r^8 - 4 r^4 \right) c + 5 \left( r^4 + 6 \right) a - \left( 5 r^5 + 6 r \right) \partial_r a + \left( 9 r^8 - 4 r^4 \right) - 4 i \bar{\omega} \left( r^7 + r^3 \right), \\
 0 = \left( r^7 - r^3 \right) \partial_r^2 d + \left( 5 r^6 - r^2 \right) \partial_r d - 2 i \bar{\omega} r^3 \partial_r d - \frac{1}{3} r^3 \left( 2 c - q^2 d \right) + 2 b - 2 r \partial_r b - 3 i \bar{\omega} r^4 d - \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[ 2 \left( r^{11} - 3 r^3 \right) \partial_r^2 d + 2 \left( 5 r^{10} + 9 r^2 \right) \partial_r d \right] - 2 i \bar{\omega} \left( 3 r^9 + 4 r^5 \right) \partial_r d - i \bar{\omega} \left( 9 r^8 - 4 r^4 \right) d - \frac{4}{3} \left( r^7 - r^3 \right) \left( 2 c - q^2 d \right) + 10 \left( r^4 + 6 \right) b - 2 \left( 5 r^5 + 6 r \right) \partial_r b.
\end{array} \right.$$  
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Equation (3.2) becomes

\[ 0 = \left( 1 - 3\alpha + \frac{4\alpha}{r^4} \right) \partial_r k - \frac{16\alpha}{r^8} k - \left\{ 2r^2 - \frac{1}{3} i\bar{\omega}r - \frac{2}{3r^2} (a - \bar{q}^2 b) \right. \]
\[ - \frac{1}{3r} \left( \partial_r a - \bar{q}^2 \partial_r b \right) - \frac{2}{9} \bar{q}^2 r (\bar{q}^2 d - 2c) + \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[ \frac{1}{3} i\bar{\omega}(5r^5 - 4r) \right. \]
\[ + \frac{2}{3r} \left( 3r^4 - 1 \right) \left( \partial_r a - \bar{q}^2 \partial_r b \right) + \frac{2}{9} \bar{q}^2 r (5r^5 + 4r) (\bar{q}^2 d - 2c) \]
\[ + \frac{4}{3r^2} \left( 3r^4 + 5 \right) (a - \bar{q}^2 b) - \frac{8}{3} (3r^6 + r^2) \right\} \partial u. \tag{3.8} \]

The barred momenta are defined as \( \bar{\omega} \equiv (1 - 2\alpha)\omega \) and \( \bar{q} \equiv (1 - 2\alpha)q \), which emerge as a result of the above mentioned rescaling of units.

We first study the large \( r \) behavior of the metric corrections, which propagates into the expression for the fluid’s stress tensor. The velocity dependence of \( T_{\mu\nu} \) enters via the decomposition (3.6). Examining equations (3.7) near the conformal boundary \( r = \infty \), it is straightforward to show that

\[ a \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} -i\bar{\omega} (1 + \alpha) r^3 + O \left( \frac{1}{r} \right), \quad b \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} -\frac{1}{3} r^2 + O \left( \frac{1}{r} \right), \]
\[ c \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \frac{1 - \alpha}{r} + \frac{C_b^4 (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}^2)}{r^4} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^3} \right), \quad d \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \frac{D_b^4 (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}^2)}{r^4} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^3} \right), \tag{3.9} \]

where \( C_b^4 \) and \( D_b^4 \) are unknown coefficients, which cannot be determined from the asymptotic analysis alone. To compute them, we have to integrate (3.7) over the entire bulk. Regularity of the metric components in (2.18) imposes two boundary conditions at \( r = r_H \), which are sufficient to fix \( C_b^4 \) and \( D_b^4 \) uniquely. The large \( r \) behavior of \( k \) is

\[ k \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{2}{3} (1 - \alpha) r^3 + \frac{2}{3} (1 - 2\alpha) i\bar{\omega} r^2 \right\} \partial u + O \left( \frac{1}{r} \right). \tag{3.10} \]

Boundary conditions (2.19,2.20) were imposed in deriving (3.9,3.10).

Plugging (3.9,3.10) into (A.1, A.2, A.3), we obtain the boundary stress-energy tensor

\[ \begin{aligned}
T_{00} &= 3 (1 - 5\alpha) (1 - 4b_1), \\
T_{0i} &= T_{i0} = -4 (1 - 5\alpha) u_i, \\
T_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} (1 - 5\alpha) (1 - 4b_1) \\
&\quad + 4 (1 - 3\alpha) \left[ 2C_b^4 (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}^2) (1 + 6\alpha) \sigma_{ij} + D_b^4 (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}^2) (1 + 2\alpha) \pi_{ij} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11} \]

Covariantization of (3.11) gives standard expressions (1.2,1.6) of \( T_{\mu\nu} \), with \( \varepsilon \) and \( P \) given by (1.12). The viscosity functions \( \eta \) and \( \zeta \) re-expressed in units of \( \pi T = 1 \) are

\[ \eta (\omega, q^2) = -4 (1 - 3\alpha) C_b^4 \left[ (1 - 2\alpha) \omega, (1 - 4\alpha) q^2 \right] (1 + 6\alpha), \]
\[ \zeta (\omega, q^2) = -4 (1 - 3\alpha) D_b^4 \left[ (1 - 2\alpha) \omega, (1 - 4\alpha) q^2 \right] (1 + 2\alpha). \tag{3.12} \]
3.2 Gauss-Bonnet corrections to the viscosity functions

To determine the viscosity functions, we are now to fully solve the dynamical equations (3.7). In the next subsection, we start with the hydrodynamic limit and solve (3.7) perturbatively in momenta. In this way, we reproduce some known results in the literature and also obtain a set of new third order transport coefficients. In the subsection to follow, we address our main goal of resumming all-order derivative terms. This will be achieved by numerically solving (3.7) for generic values of $\bar{\omega}$ and $\bar{q}^2$.

3.2.1 Analytical results: hydrodynamic expansion

We introduce power counting parameter $\lambda$ by $\bar{\omega} \to \lambda \bar{\omega}$ and $\bar{q}_i \to \lambda \bar{q}_i$, and expand the decomposition coefficients (3.6) in powers of $\lambda$,

$$
a (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n a_n (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r), \quad b (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n b_n (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r), \quad c (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n c_n (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r), \quad d (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n d_n (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r). \tag{3.13}
$$

At each order in $\lambda$, there is a system of ordinary differential equations for $a_n$ etc, whose solutions are double integrals. In Appendix A, we summarize these results. Then, $C^4_b$ and $D^4_b$ are expanded as

$$
C^4_b (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}^2) = -\frac{1}{4} (1 - 8\alpha) - \frac{i}{8} i\bar{\omega} [(2 - \ln 2) - (23 - 6 \ln 2) \alpha] + \bar{q}^2 \left[ \frac{1}{32} - 0.497(227)\alpha \right] + \bar{\omega}^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{192} \left[ 6\pi - \pi^2 + 24 - 36 \ln 2 + 12 \ln^2 2 \right] - \frac{1.56(140)\alpha}{2} \right\} + \cdots, \tag{3.14}
$$

$$
D^4_b (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}^2) = \frac{1}{48} (\pi - 5 + 2 \ln 2) + \frac{1}{24} (41 - 7\pi - 14 \ln 2) \alpha + \cdots,
$$

where in $C^4_b$ we have only numerical results for the linear in $\alpha$ second order terms. The viscosities (1.14) are obtained by substituting (3.14) in (3.12).

Taking plane wave ansatz for $u_i$ and $b_1$, the conservation law $\partial^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ results in dispersion equations

$$
\text{shear wave:} \quad (1 + 3\alpha) \omega + \frac{1}{4} i\bar{q}^2 \eta (\omega, \bar{q}^2) = 0, \tag{3.15}
$$

$$
\text{sound wave:} \quad (1 + 3\alpha) (\bar{q}^2 - 3\omega^2) - i\omega \bar{q}^2 \eta (\omega, \bar{q}^2) + \frac{1}{2} i\omega \bar{q}^4 \zeta (\omega, \bar{q}^2) = 0.
$$

In the hydrodynamic limit, the dispersion equations (3.15) could be solved perturbatively. For the lowest modes they read

$$
\text{shear wave:} \quad \omega = -\frac{i}{4} (1 - 8\alpha) \bar{q}^2 - \frac{i}{32} [1 - \log 2 + (8#1 - 40 + 16 \log 2) \alpha] \bar{q}^4 + \cdots, \tag{3.16}
$$

$$
\text{sound wave:} \quad \omega = \pm \frac{q}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{i}{6} (1 - 8\alpha) \bar{q}^2 \pm \frac{1}{24\sqrt{3}} [3 - 2 \log 2 + (16 \log 2 - 38) \alpha] \bar{q}^4
$$

$$
- \frac{i}{864} \left[ \pi^2 - 24 + 24 \log 2 - 12 \log^2 2 + (\#1 + 144\#2 - 294) - 90\pi - 3\pi^2 + 60 \log 2 + 36 \log^2 2 \right] \alpha] \bar{q}^4 + \cdots,
$$
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where $\#_1 = 6.53(280)$ and $\#_2 = 2.11(320)$ are known numerically only. These hydrodynamic modes should agree with the lowest quasi-normal modes of the EGB gravity.

### 3.2.2 Numerical results: all-order resummed hydrodynamics

For generic values of $\omega$ and $q^2$, we resort to a shooting technique and solve (3.7) numerically. Our numerical procedure is essentially the same as that of [15]. We start with a guess solution at the horizon $r = r_H$ and integrate (3.7) until the conformal boundary $r = \infty$. Then, we fine-tune the initial guess until thus generated solution satisfies the boundary conditions at $r = \infty$.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** The viscosity $\eta$ as function of $\omega$ and $q^2$.

Numerical results for the viscosities are shown as 3D plots in Figures 2 and 3, and then sliced at $q = 0$ or $\omega = 0$ in Figures 4, 5 and 6. A marking behavior of all the functions is that they vanish at very large momenta, a behavior necessary for restoration of causality. Damped oscillations are clearly visible reflecting a complex pole structure of the viscosities as functions of complex $\omega$. These are the quasi-normal modes of the so-called scalar (or tensor) channel [60–62].

Without the Gauss-Bonnet corrections, the viscosities $\eta^{(0)}$ and $\zeta^{(0)}$ display only a weak dependence on spacial momentum $q$, meaning the dissipation is quasi-local in space. In contrast, $\eta^{(1)}$ and $\zeta^{(1)}$ introduce a much more noticeable space dependence.

Another interesting observation concerns imaginary parts of $\eta$. While $\text{Im}[\eta^{(0)}]$ is always positive, $\text{Im}[\eta^{(1)}]$ changes sign. This implies that for certain values of $\alpha$, both positive and negative, $\text{Im}[\eta]$ may become negative. If the viscosity function had an interpretation of
Figure 3. The viscosity $\zeta$ as function of $\omega$ and $q^2$.

Figure 4. The viscosity $\eta$ as function of $\omega$ with $q = 0$.

a correlation function, then its imaginary part would be a spectral function and would have to be positive. Yet, beyond the first order in the gradient expansion the correlation functions get additional contributions from so-called gravitational susceptibilities of the
fluid [3, 16, 17]. So, while the possibility that $\text{Im}[\eta]$ does not immediately imply a problem, we take it as a signal for possible issues with causality in the theory.

To better explore the effect of the Gauss-Bonnet corrections, we now represent our results as memory functions in real time. Let perform an inverse Fourier transform of
\[ \eta(\omega, q^2) \text{ with respect to } \omega \text{ only,} \]
\[ \tilde{\eta}(t, q^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \eta(\omega, q^2)e^{-i\omega t}. \] (3.17)

\textbf{Figure 7.} Memory function \( \tilde{\eta}(t, q^2) \). Left: 3D plot as a function of time \( t \) and momentum squared \( q^2 \). Right: 2D plots as function of time \( t \): different curves correspond to different \( q^2 \) (\( q^2 = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) from the rightmost for \( \tilde{\eta}^{(0)} \) and from the bottommost for \( \tilde{\eta}^{(1)} \)).

In Figure 7, we plot the time dependence of the memory function \( \tilde{\eta}(t, q^2) \). As has been pointed out in Introduction, \( \tilde{\eta}^{(0)} \) vanishes for negative times, consistency with causality requirement. The Gauss-Bonnet correction \( \tilde{\eta}^{(1)} \) also has support in positive times only. Similar effect is also found for the second memory function \( \tilde{\zeta} \).

4 Summary and discussion

In this work, we discussed effects of Gauss-Bonnet corrections on holographically dual fluid dynamics. For bulk EGB gravity, we found a boosted black brane solution with locally perturbed horizon. Our construction is accurate to linear order in amplitudes of fluid velocity \( u_\mu(x) \), temperature \( T(x) \), and the Gauss-Bonnet coupling \( \alpha \). This black brane solution is dual to all-order linearly resummed fluid dynamics, and \( \alpha \)-corrected viscosity functions were read off from it.

In the hydrodynamic limit, we reproduced known results for \( \alpha \)-corrected shear viscosity and relaxation time. As a new result, we expanded the knowledge on transport coefficients
by computing $\alpha$ corrections to the third order coefficients. Beyond the hydrodynamic limit, we computed Gauss-Bonnet-corrected viscosity functions. We observe two qualitatively new effects induced by the corrections. First, the viscosities become less local in real space. Second, due to $\alpha$ corrections, $\text{Im}[\eta]$ can become negative.

Finally, we Fourier transformed the viscosity functions into real time, where they play a role of memory functions. For positive times, we observed a pattern of damped oscillation reflecting a structure of complex poles. Interestingly, the poles of $\eta^{(1)}$ are apparently shifted compared to the ones of $\eta^{(0)}$. We think a study of EGB quasi-normal modes might provide additional insight on the pattern. This is, however, beyond the scope of the current paper.

## A Computational details

In this Appendix, we provide some computational details which were omitted in deriving the boundary fluid dynamics.

In terms of the metric corrections (2.18), the tensor $\tilde{T}_{\mu
u}$ is

$$\tilde{T}_{00} = 3(1-5\alpha)(1-4\epsilon b_1) + \epsilon \left( 3k - 2r^3 \partial u + \frac{2}{r} \partial j - 9r^4 h - 3r^5 \partial_h - r^2 \partial^2 h \right)$$

$$- 3r^3 \partial_v h + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial_i \partial_j \alpha_{ij} \right) + \epsilon \alpha \left( 8r^3 \partial u - 9k - \frac{12}{r} \partial j - 27r^4 h + 9r^5 \partial_h \right)$$

$$+ 5r^2 \partial^2 h + 12r^3 \partial_v h - \frac{5}{2} r^2 \partial_i \partial_j \alpha_{ij} \right),$$

$$\tilde{T}_{i0} = - 4\epsilon(1-5\alpha)u_i + \epsilon \left( 4j_i - r^3 \partial_v u_i + \frac{1}{r} \partial_i k - r \partial_r j_i - \frac{1}{2r^2} \partial^2 j_i + \frac{1}{2r^2} \partial_i \partial j \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial_v \partial_k \alpha_{ik} - r^2 \partial_v \partial_i \partial_j \frac{3}{2} r^3 \partial_i h \right) + \epsilon \alpha \left( 20j_i - 4r^3 \partial_v u_i - \frac{7}{2r^2} \partial^2 j_i \right)$$

$$+ \frac{4}{r} \partial_i k - 5r \partial_r j_i + \frac{7}{2r^2} \partial_i \partial j + \frac{5}{2} r^2 \partial_v \partial_k \alpha_{ik} - 5r^2 \partial_v \partial_i \partial_j - 6r^3 \partial_h \right),$$

$$\tilde{T}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}(1-5\alpha)(1-4\epsilon b_1) + \epsilon \delta_{ij} \left( 2r^3 \partial_u + 9r^4 h + 2r^5 \partial_h - r^3 \partial_j + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial^2 h \right)$$

$$- r^2 \partial^2 v + k - r \partial_r + \frac{1}{r} \partial_k - \frac{1}{2r^2} \partial^2 k - \frac{2}{r} \partial j + \frac{1}{2r^2} \partial_i \partial_j - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial_i \partial_k \alpha_{kl} \right)$$

$$+ \epsilon \left[ \frac{1}{2r^2} \partial_i \partial_j k - r^3 (\partial_\alpha u_j + \partial_\alpha u_i) - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial_i \partial_j h - r^5 \partial_k \alpha_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial^2 \alpha_{ij} \right.\left. - r^3 \partial_v \alpha_{ij} + \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2r^2} \partial v \right) (\partial_i j_j + \partial_j j_i) + \frac{1}{r} \partial^2 (\partial_i \partial_k \alpha_{jk})+ \partial_j \partial_k \alpha_{ik} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial^2 \alpha_{ij} \right) - \epsilon \alpha \left( 8r^3 \partial u + 3k - 3r \partial_r k + \frac{4}{r} \partial_k - \frac{5}{2r^2} \partial^2 k - 27r^4 h \right)$$

$$+ 3r^5 \partial_v h + 4r^3 \partial_i h + \frac{5}{2} r^2 \partial^2 h - 5r^2 \partial^2 h + \frac{7}{2r^2} \partial_v \partial_j - \frac{12}{r} \partial j - \frac{5}{2} r^2 \partial_k \partial \alpha_{kl} \right)$$

$$- \epsilon \alpha \left[ \frac{5}{2r^2} \partial_i \partial_j k - 4r^3 (\partial_\alpha u_j + \partial_\alpha u_i) - \frac{5}{2} r^2 \partial_i \partial_j + \frac{6}{r} \frac{7}{2r^2} \partial v \right) (\partial_i j_j + \partial_j j_i)$$

$$- 3r^5 \partial_v \alpha_{ij} - 4r^3 \partial_v \alpha_{ij} - \frac{5}{2} r^2 \partial^2 \alpha_{ij} + \frac{5}{2} r^2 \partial^2 \alpha_{ij} + \frac{5}{2} r^2 (\partial_i \partial_k \alpha_{jk} + \partial_j \partial_k \alpha_{ik}) \right),
where we have dropped terms that explicitly vanish at \( r = \infty \).

For consistency, constraints in (2.9) have to be satisfied by the gravity solution presented in section 3. We find it more convenient to consider suitable combinations of \( E_{MN} = 0 \). The first one is \( E_{vi} + r^2 f(r) E_{ri} = 0 \),

\[
0 = 4r^3 \partial u + r^2 \partial^2 b_1 - 12r^2 \partial_c b_1 - (r^2 + r^5) \partial_i \partial u - 4r^3 \partial j - r^2 \partial^2 k + 3r^3 \partial_c k \\
+ 2r^2 \partial_c \partial j + (r^4 - 1) \partial_i \partial j - \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[ 2r^5 (3r^4 + 7) \partial u + 24r^4 \partial^2 b_1 - 60r^5 \partial_c b_1 \right] \\
- (3r^8 + 3r^4 - 2) \partial_i \partial u - 2 (11r^5 - r) \partial j - 4 (r^4 - 1) \partial^2 k - (r^8 - r^4) \partial_c \partial_j \alpha_{ij} \\
+ 3 (3r^5 - 4r) \partial_c k + 4 (3r^4 - 1) \partial_c \partial j - 3 (r^7 - r^3) \partial_i k + 4 (r^6 - 2r^2) \partial_i \partial j \right].
\]

The combination \( E_{vi} + r^2 f(r) E_{ri} = 0 \) yields

\[
0 = r^4 \partial^2 u_i - r^4 \partial_i \partial u + 4r \partial_c b_1 - 4r \partial_c u_i - r^4 \partial^2 u_i - \partial^2 j_i + \partial_i \partial j - r \partial_i k + 4r \partial_c j_i \\
+ r^4 \partial_c \partial_c \alpha_{ik} + (r^6 - r^2) \partial_i \partial_c \alpha_{ik} + r^2 \partial_c \partial_i k - r^2 \partial_i \partial_c j_i - \frac{\alpha}{r^4} \left[ (3r^9 - 23r^5) \partial_c u_i \right] \\
+ 20r^5 \partial_i b_1 + (5r^8 + 3r^4) (\partial^2 u_i - \partial_i \partial u) - 4 (r^8 - r^4) \partial^2 u_i - (3r^5 - 20r) \partial_i k \\
- 6 (r^4 + 1) (\partial^2 j_i - \partial_i \partial j) + 20r^5 \partial_c j_i - 3 (r^7 - r^3) \partial_i j_i + (3r^6 - 4r^2) \partial_i \partial_c k \\
- (5r^6 - 2r^2) \partial_i \partial_c j_i + (r^8 - r^4) \partial^2 j_i + 2 \left( 2r^{10} - r^6 - 3r^2 \right) \partial_i \partial_c \alpha_{ik} \\
+ 4 (r^8 + r^4) \partial_i \partial_c \alpha_{ik} \right].
\]

With the near \( r = \infty \) behaviors (3.9,3.10) at hand, the large \( r \) limit of (A.4,A.5) can be shown to produce the conservation law \( \partial^\mu T_{\mu \nu} = 0 \).

In the hydrodynamic limit, we perturbatively solved holographic RG flow equations (3.7). Recall the formal expansion (3.13)

\[
a (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n a_n (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r), \quad b (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n b_n (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r), \\
c (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n c_n (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r), \quad d (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n d_n (\bar{\omega}, \bar{q}_i, r).
\]

Then, perturbative solutions for the metric corrections can be expressed as double integrals.

We here summarize the main results,

\[
a_0 = 0, \quad a_0 = 0, \quad b_0 = 0, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad d_0 = 0.
\]

\[
a_1 = -i \bar{\omega} \left[ (1 + \alpha) r^3 + \frac{2 \alpha}{r} \right], \quad b_1 = -i \bar{\omega} \left[ (1 + \alpha) r^3 + \frac{2 \alpha}{r} \right],
\]

\[
c_1 = - \int_r^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^5 - x} - 2\alpha (x^5 - 3x^3 - 3x) \int_{rH}^{\infty} \left[ -3y^2 + \alpha (9y^2 - 4y^{-2}) \right] dy \\
- \alpha \bar{\omega} \left[ (9y^2 - 4y^{-2}) c_0 (y) + 2i \bar{\omega} y^3 \partial_y c_0 (y) - i \bar{\omega} y \\
- \alpha \bar{\omega} \left[ (9y^2 - 4y^{-2}) c_0 (y) + 2 (3y^3 + 4y^{-1}) \partial_y c_0 (y) - 4y + 12y^{-3} \right] \right] \]

\[
- \frac{i \bar{\omega}}{8r^4} \left[ 2 - ln 2 - \alpha (23 - 6 ln 2) \right] + O \left( \frac{1}{r^5} \right),
\]

\[
c_2 = - \int_r^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^5 - x} - 2\alpha (x^5 - 3x^3 - 3x) \int_{rH}^{\infty} \left[ -3y^2 + \alpha (9y^2 - 4y^{-2}) \right] dy \\
- \alpha \bar{\omega} \left[ (9y^2 - 4y^{-2}) c_0 (y) + 2i \bar{\omega} y^3 \partial_y c_0 (y) - i \bar{\omega} y \\
- \alpha \bar{\omega} \left[ (9y^2 - 4y^{-2}) c_0 (y) + 2 (3y^3 + 4y^{-1}) \partial_y c_0 (y) - 4y + 12y^{-3} \right] \right] \]

\[
- \frac{i \bar{\omega}}{8r^4} \left[ 2 - ln 2 - \alpha (23 - 6 ln 2) \right] + O \left( \frac{1}{r^5} \right),
\]
\[ b_0 = -\int_{r_H}^{r} r^3 \, dx \int_{x}^{\infty} dy \frac{y - y^3 \partial_y c_0(y)}{3 + \alpha} \left[ 4 \left( y^3 + y^{-1} \right) \partial_y c_0(y) - (5y + y^{-3}) \right] \cdot \frac{y^4 - \alpha (5y^4 - 2)}{y^4 - \alpha (5y^4 - 2)} \tag{A.11} \]
\[ - \frac{3}{8} + \frac{2}{3} \alpha \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} - \frac{1}{3} r^2 + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right) , \]
\[ \frac{a_2}{\int_{r_H}^{r} dx \int_{x}^{\infty} dy \frac{q^2 y^3 \partial_y c_0(y) + q^2 y - \alpha \left[ 4q^2 \left( y^3 + y^{-1} \right) \partial_y c_0(y) + q^2 (5y + y^{-3}) \right]}{y^4 - \alpha (5y^4 - 2)}} \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{5r^2} q^2 (1 - 7\alpha) + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right) , \tag{A.12} \]
\[ \frac{d_0}{\int_{r_H}^{r} dx \int_{x}^{\infty} dy \frac{\partial_y b_0(y) - \frac{2}{y^2} b_0(y) + \frac{2}{3} y c_0(y)}{\partial_y b_0(y) - \frac{10}{y^2} b_0(y) + \frac{2}{7} y c_0(y)}} \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} - \frac{1}{48r^4} \left[ 5 - \pi - 2 \ln 2 - \alpha (82 - 14\pi - 28 \ln 2) \right] + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right) , \tag{A.13} \]
\[ \frac{c_2}{\int_{r_H}^{r} dx \int_{x}^{\infty} dy \left\{ 2i \bar{\omega} y^2 \partial_y c_1(y) + 3i \bar{\omega} y^2 c_1(y) + y^{-1} \partial_y a_2(y) \right\} - y^{-2} a_2(y) - \alpha \left[ 2i \bar{\omega} (3y^3 + 4y^{-1}) \partial_y c_1(y) + i \bar{\omega} (9y^2 - 4y^{-2}) c_1(y) \right. \tag{A.14} \]
\[ \left. - 5 \left( y^{-2} + 6y^{-6} \right) a_2(y) + (5y^{-1} + 6y^{-5}) \partial_y a_2(y) \right\} , \]

where \( r_H = 1 - \alpha \) as defined in (2.12). From large \( r \) behavior of these functions, we arrive at the power expansion (1.14) of the viscosity functions.
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