Stability of elliptic solutions to the sinh-Gordon equation
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Abstract

Using the integrability of the sinh-Gordon equation, we demonstrate the spectral stability of its elliptic solutions. By constructing a Lyapunov functional using higher-order conserved quantities of the sinh-Gordon equation, we show that these elliptic solutions are orbitally stable with respect to subharmonic perturbations of arbitrary period.
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1. Introduction

In real space-time coordinates, denoted \((X, T)\), the sinh-Gordon equation reads

\[
\frac{u_{TT}}{u_{XX}} + \sinh(u) = 0, \tag{1}
\]

where partial derivatives are denoted by subscripts. Passing to light-cone coordinates \((x, t)\), with

\[
x = \frac{1}{2}(X + T), \quad t = \frac{1}{2}(X - T), \tag{2}
\]

the sinh-Gordon equation becomes

\[
u_{xt} = \sinh u. \tag{3}
\]

The sinh-Gordon equation (1) is rewritten in system form as

\[
\begin{align*}
u_T = -p, \tag{4a} \\
p_T + u_{XX} - \sinh(u) = 0. \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(u\) is a real-valued function. The sinh-Gordon equation arises in the context of particular surfaces of constant mean curvature. The geometrical interpretation of (1) was shown by studying surfaces of constant Gaussian curvature in a three-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature [7]. It has appeared in differential geometry and various applications. For example, it can be used to describe generic properties of string dynamics for strings and multi-strings in constant curvature space [20]. Equation (3) is an integrable system and has a self-adjoint Lax pair [2]. The stability of periodic wave solutions was studied in [24] where it was shown that the periodic wave solutions are orbitally stable for \((u, p) \in H^1_{m, \text{per}}([0, L]) \times L^2_{\text{per}}([0, L]),\) for specific choices of the traveling wave velocity. Here,

\[
H^1_{m, \text{per}}([0, L]) = \left\{ f \in H^{1}_{\text{per}}([0, L]); \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L f(x)dx = 0 \right\}.
\]

In this paper, using the integrability of (1), we show the spectral and orbital stability of elliptic solutions of the sinh-Gordon equation with respect to arbitrary subharmonic perturbations: perturbations that are periodic with period equal to an integer multiple of the period of the underlying solution. Using the same method as in [5, 6, 9, 10, 25], we prove the spectral stability of the elliptic solutions by providing an explicit analytic description of the spectrum and the eigenfunctions associated with the linear stability problem of the elliptic solutions. Next, as in [3, 8, 9, 11, 25], we employ the Lyapunov method [3, 4], which has formed the crux of subsequent nonlinear stability techniques [16, 17, 23], to conclude the orbital stability with the help of classical results of Grillakis, Shatah and Strauss [14].

2. The elliptic solutions of the sinh-Gordon equation

We construct the real, bounded, periodic, traveling wave solutions to the sinh-Gordon equation. To obtain the traveling wave solutions, one rewrites the sinh-Gordon equation in
a frame moving with constant velocity $c$. With $z = X - cT$ and $\tau = T$, the sinh-Gordon equation becomes

\[
(c^2 - 1) u_{zz} - 2cu_{z\tau} + u_{\tau\tau} + \sinh(u) = 0. \tag{5}
\]

In what follows, we assume that $c \neq \pm 1$. Stationary solutions are time-independent solutions of (5). They satisfy the ordinary differential equation

\[
(c^2 - 1) f''(z) + \sinh(f(z)) = 0, \quad ':= \frac{d}{dz}. \tag{6}
\]

Multiplying by $f'(z)$ and integrating once,

\[
\frac{1}{2} (c^2 - 1) f'(z)^2 + \cosh(f(z)) = \mathcal{E}, \tag{7}
\]

where $\mathcal{E}$ is a constant of integration referred to as the total energy.

Equation (6) is rewritten as the first-order two-dimensional system

\[
f'(z) = g(z), \quad g'(z) = -\frac{2 \sinh(f(z))}{c^2 - 1}, \tag{8}
\]

with $(0, 0)$ as a fixed point. The linearization about the origin has eigenvalues

\[
\lambda = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{2}{c^2 - 1}}. \tag{9}
\]

Thus small-amplitude periodic solutions are expected for $c^2 - 1 > 0$. If $c^2 > 1$ then $\mathcal{E} > 1$, from (7).

Motivated by [24], we look for solutions to (7) of the form

\[
\cosh(f(z)) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta v(z)^2} + d, \tag{10}
\]

where $v(z)$ is a function to be determined, and $\alpha, \beta$ and $d$ are parameters. Differentiating and squaring (10), one obtains

\[
\frac{4\alpha^2 \beta^2 v^2}{(1 - \beta v^2)^4} \left(\frac{dv}{dz}\right)^2 = \sinh^2(f(z)) \left(\frac{df}{dz}\right)^2. \tag{11}
\]

Using (7), the above equation can be reduced to

\[
\left(\frac{dv}{dz}\right)^2 = \frac{\alpha^2(1 - \beta v^2) + 2\alpha d(1 - \beta v^2)^2 + (d^2 - 1)(1 - \beta v^2)^3[2\mathcal{E} - 2d - 2\alpha - (2\mathcal{E} - 2d)\beta v^2]}{4\alpha^2 \beta^2 v^2 (c^2 - 1)\). \tag{12}
\]

Here $\text{sn}(z, k)$ denotes the Jacobi elliptic sine function with argument $z$ and modulus $k$ [21], which satisfies the first-order nonlinear equation

\[
\left(\frac{dv}{dz}\right)^2 = (1 - v^2) (1 - k^2 v^2). \tag{13}
\]
Motivated by (13), we wish to eliminate the higher-order terms in the numerator and $v^2$ from the denominator of (12). This is accomplished by equating $d^2 = 1$ and $\alpha + 2d = 0$ or $d^2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{E} = d + \alpha$.

**Case I:** with the condition $d^2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{E} = d + \alpha$, we cannot find elliptic solutions from (12). In fact, with $d^2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{E} = d + \alpha$, motivated by the form of (13), the expression of (12) implies that $\beta = 1$ or $\beta = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2d}$.

- For $\beta = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2d}$, Equation (12) becomes

$$\left(\frac{dv}{dz}\right)^2 = \frac{(1 - \beta v^2)[2\alpha d\beta(1 - v^2)](-2\mathcal{E} + 2d)\beta}{4\alpha^2\beta^2(c^2 - 1)}, \tag{14}$$

Comparing (14) and (13), we obtain $0 < \beta < 1$ since the elliptic modulus $0 < k < 1$ in (13). Since $d^2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{E} > 1$, we know that $\alpha = \mathcal{E} - d > 0$. From $\beta = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2d}$, we know $d = -1$ so that $0 < \beta < 1$. From $\beta = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} > 0$, we know $\alpha < 2$. But when $d = -1$, we know that $\alpha = \mathcal{E} + 1 > 2$.

- For $\beta = 1$ and $d = 1$, Equation (12) becomes

$$\left(\frac{dv}{dz}\right)^2 = \frac{(1 - v^2)(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha)[(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha}v^2)](-2\mathcal{E} + 2)}{4\alpha^2(c^2 - 1)}, \tag{15}$$

from which $\frac{(-2\mathcal{E} + 2)(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha)}{4\alpha^2(c^2 - 1)} < 0$, and no elliptic solutions are obtained from (13).

- For $\beta = 1$ and $d = -1$, Equation (12) becomes

$$\left(\frac{dv}{dz}\right)^2 = \frac{(1 - v^2)(\alpha^2 - 2\alpha)[(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 - 2\alpha}v^2)](-2\mathcal{E} - 2)}{4\alpha^2(c^2 - 1)}, \tag{16}$$

Since $d = -1$, $\alpha = \mathcal{E} - d > 2$, which implies that $-\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 - 2\alpha} < 0$. Therefore we cannot obtain elliptic solutions from (13).

**Case II:** we consider $d^2 = 1$ and $\alpha + 2d = 0$.

Equation (12) is reduced to

$$\left(\frac{dv}{dz}\right)^2 = \frac{(1 - \beta v^2)[2\mathcal{E} - 2d - 2\alpha - (2\mathcal{E} - 2d)\beta v^2]}{4\beta(c^2 - 1)}, \tag{17}$$

so that

$$v = \text{sn}(bz, k), k = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}}, \alpha = 2, \beta = k^2, d = -1, b = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} + 1}{2(c^2 - 1)}}. \tag{18}$$

It follows that

$$\cosh(f(z)) = \frac{2}{1 - k^2 \text{sn}(bz, k)^2} - 1. \tag{19}$$
The solution is periodic with period \( T(k) = \frac{2K}{k} \), where

\[
K(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1 - k^2\sin^2(y)}},
\]

the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, see [21].

3. The linear stability problem

In this section, we examine the stability of the elliptic solutions obtained above. Considering the perturbation of a stationary solution to (5),

\[
u(z, \tau) = f(z) + \epsilon w(z, \tau) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is a small parameter, we obtain the linear stability problem

\[
(e^2 - 1) w_{zz} - 2cw_{zt} + w_{tt} + \cosh(f(z))w = 0.
\]

With \( w_1(z, \tau) = w(z, \tau) \) and \( w_2(z, \tau) = cw_z(z, \tau) - w_t(z, \tau) \), the linear problem is rewritten as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} cc_z & -1 \\ -\partial_z^2 + \cosh(f(z)) & cc_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We note that (23) is autonomous in time. By separating variables,

\[
\begin{pmatrix} w_1(z, \tau) \\ w_2(z, \tau) \end{pmatrix} = e^{\lambda \tau} \begin{pmatrix} W_1(z) \\ W_2(z) \end{pmatrix},
\]

the linear problem (23) is rewritten as

\[
\lambda \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \end{pmatrix} = JL \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} cc_z & -1 \\ -\partial_z^2 + \cosh(f(z)) & cc_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_z^2 - \cosh(f(z)) & -cc_z \\ cc_z & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that \( L \) is formally self adjoint. We define

\[
\sigma_{JL} = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left( |W_1(x)|, |W_2(x)| \right) < \infty \right\}.
\]

Spectral stability of an elliptic solution with respect to perturbations that are bounded on the whole line is established by demonstrating that the spectrum \( \sigma(JL) \) of the operator
J\mathcal{L} does not intersect the right-half complex \lambda plane. Because the sinh-Gordon equation is a Hamiltonian partial differential equation \[22\], the spectrum is symmetric under reflection with respect to both the real and imaginary axes \[30\]. As a consequence, spectral stability requires that \(\sigma(J\mathcal{L})\) is confined to the imaginary axis.

4. The Lax pair restricted to the elliptic solution

Equation (3) admits the following Lax pair \[2\]:

\[
\psi_x = \begin{pmatrix} -i\zeta & \frac{u_x}{2} \\ i\zeta \end{pmatrix} \psi, \quad \psi_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\cosh u}{4\zeta} & -\frac{isinh u}{4\zeta} \\ \frac{i\sinh u}{4\zeta} & -\frac{i\cosh u}{4\zeta} \end{pmatrix} \psi.
\] \tag{28}

From \eqref{28}, one has the following spectral problem:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} i\partial_x - \frac{i}{2}u_x \\ \frac{i}{2}u_x - i\partial_x \end{pmatrix} \psi = \zeta \psi.
\] \tag{29}

Since the spectral problem is self adjoint, the Lax spectrum \(\sigma_L\) is a subset of the real line: \(\sigma_L := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (|\psi_1|, |\psi_2|) < \infty\} \subset \mathbb{R}\).

Through \eqref{2}, \eqref{1} admits the following Lax pair:

\[
\psi_x = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2}\zeta + \frac{i\cosh f(z)}{4\zeta} + \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} & \frac{u_x + u_T}{4} - \frac{i\sinh u}{8\zeta} \\ \frac{i\sinh u}{8\zeta} + \frac{i\cosh u}{4\zeta} & \frac{i}{2}\zeta - \frac{i\sinh u}{8\zeta} \end{pmatrix} \psi,
\]

\[
\psi_T = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2}\zeta - \frac{i\cosh f(z)}{4\zeta} - \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} & \frac{u_x + u_T}{4} + \frac{i\sinh u}{8\zeta} \\ \frac{i\sinh u}{8\zeta} - \frac{i\cosh u}{4\zeta} & \frac{i}{2}\zeta + \frac{i\cosh u}{8\zeta} \end{pmatrix} \psi.
\]

We transform the Lax pair by moving into a traveling reference frame, letting \(z = X - cT\), \(\tau = T\) and \(u(z, \tau) = f(z)\). The Lax pair restricted to the stationary solution is

\[
\psi_z = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2}\zeta + \frac{i\cosh f(z)}{4\zeta} + \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} & \frac{1-c}{4}f'(z) - \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \\ \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} + \frac{i\cosh f(z)}{4\zeta} & \frac{i}{2}\zeta - \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \end{pmatrix} \psi,
\]

\[
\psi_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A \end{pmatrix} \psi = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{i}{2}(1+c) - \frac{i\cosh f(z)}{4\zeta} + \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} & \frac{1-c}{4}f'(z) + \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \\ \frac{i\sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} + \frac{i\cosh f(z)}{4\zeta} & \frac{i}{2}\zeta + (1-c) \frac{i\cosh f(z)}{8\zeta} \end{pmatrix} \psi.
\] \tag{30}

Since \(A, B\) and \(C\) are independent of \(\tau\), we separate variables to look for solutions of the form

\[
\psi(z, \tau) = e^{\Omega \tau} \varphi(z),
\] \tag{31}

where \(\Omega\) is independent of \(\tau\). We substitute \eqref{31} into the \(\tau\)-part of the Lax pair and obtain

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A - \Omega & B \\ C & -A - \Omega \end{pmatrix} \varphi = 0.
\] \tag{32}
This implies that the existence of nontrivial solutions requires
\[
\Omega^2 = A^2 + BC = -\frac{16\zeta^4(c+1)^2 - 8\zeta^2(c^2-1)\mathcal{E} + (c-1)^2}{64\zeta^2},
\] (33)
where we have used the explicit form of the stationary solution \(f'(z)^2\) derived earlier. Equation (33) determines \(\Omega\) in terms of \(\zeta\). Since \(\zeta\) is real, \(\Omega\) is real or imaginary. Further, \(\Omega^2\) is an even function of \(\zeta\). From the discriminant of (33), we know that with \(\mathcal{E} > 1\) and \(c^2 > 1\), (33) is expressed as
\[
\Omega^2 = -\frac{(\zeta - \zeta_1)(\zeta - \zeta_2)(\zeta + \zeta_2)(\zeta + \zeta_1)}{4\zeta^2},
\] (34)
where \(\zeta_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(c-1)(\mathcal{E}-\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2-1})}{(c+1)}}\) and \(\zeta_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(c-1)(\mathcal{E}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2-1})}{(c+1)}}\), see Figure 1.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega^2 \\
-2 & 1 & 2 \\
-5 & \zeta
\end{array}
\]

**Figure 1** The graph of \(\Omega^2\) as a function of real \(\zeta\).

The eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue \(\Omega\) are
\[
\varphi(z) = \gamma(z) \begin{pmatrix} -B(z) \\ A(z) - \Omega \end{pmatrix},
\] (35)
where \(\gamma(z)\) is a scalar function. It is determined by substitution of (35) into the \(z\)-part of the Lax pair, resulting in a first-order scalar differential equation for \(\gamma(z)\), so that
\[
\gamma(z) = \exp \left[ \int \left( \frac{i}{2} \zeta - \frac{i \cosh f(z)}{8\zeta} - B \frac{(1-c)f'(z)}{4} + \frac{i \sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \right) \frac{1}{A - \Omega} \right] d\zeta
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{A - \Omega} \exp \left[ \int \left( \frac{i}{2} \zeta - \frac{i \cosh f(z)}{8\zeta} - B \frac{(1-c)f'(z)}{4} + \frac{i \sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \right) \frac{1}{A - \Omega} \right] d\zeta.
\] (36)
Excluding the branch points, where $\Omega = 0$, each $\zeta$ results in two values of $\Omega$. Thus (35) represents two linearly independent solutions. When $\zeta = \zeta_1$ or $\zeta = \zeta_2$, only one solution is obtained. The second one may be obtained using the reduction of order method.

Since the vector part of the eigenvector $\varphi(z)$ is bounded in $z$, we need to check for which $\zeta$ the scalar function $\gamma$ is bounded for all $z$, including as $|z| \to \infty$. A necessary and sufficient condition for this is that $\langle \Re \left( -B \left[ \frac{(1-c)f'(z)}{4} + \frac{i \sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \right] + A' \right) \rangle = 0$. (37)

Here $\langle \cdot \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \cdot \, dz$ denotes the average over a period. The explicit form of the above depends on $\Omega$. Since $\zeta$ is real, $\Omega$ is real or imaginary from (33). Recently, Upsal and Deconinck [28] demonstrated that purely real Lax spectrum implies spectral stability. We show this explicitly below.

a) When $\Omega$ is imaginary, the integrand of (37) is
\[
\Re \left( -B \left[ \frac{(1-c)f'(z)}{4} + \frac{i \sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \right] \right) + \Re \left( -\frac{A'}{A - \Omega} \right).
\]
(38)
The second term is a total derivative, with zero average over a period. For the first term,
\[
\Re \left( -B \left[ \frac{(1-c)f'(z)}{4} + \frac{i \sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \right] \right) = f'(z) \left[ \frac{\sinh f(z)(1-c^2) + \sinh f(z)(1-c)^2}{32\zeta(-iA+i\Omega)} \right],
\]
(39)
which is a total derivative, resulting in zero average over a period. Therefore, all $\zeta$ values for which $\Omega$ is imaginary are in the Lax spectrum.

b) If $\Omega$ is real, the second term is still a total derivative, thus giving zero average over a period. We consider the first term:
\[
\Re \left( -B \left[ \frac{(1-c)f'(z)}{4} + \frac{i \sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \right] \right) = \Omega \frac{(1+c)(1-c)^2f'(z)^2}{16} + \frac{(c-1)(\sinh^2(f(z)))}{64\zeta^2} + f'(z)F(f(z)).
\]
(40)
The second term is a total derivative, thus giving zero average over a period. The first term results in a zero average only when $\Omega$ is zero. Thus all values of $\zeta$ for which $\Omega$ is real (except for the four branch points, where $\Omega = 0$) are not part of the Lax spectrum.

We conclude that the Lax spectrum consists of all $\zeta$ values for which $\Omega^2 \leq 0$:
\[
\sigma_L = (-\infty, -\zeta_2] \cup [-\zeta_1, 0) \cup (0, \zeta_1] \cup [\zeta_2, \infty).
\]
(41)
Moreover, $\Omega^2$ takes on all negative values for $(-\infty, -\zeta_2]$, implying that $\Omega$ covers the imaginary axis. The same is true for the other intervals. Thus
\[
\Omega \in (i\mathbb{R})^4, \quad \zeta \in \sigma_L,
\]
(42)
where the exponent denotes multiplicity.

5. The squared eigenfunction connection

It is well known that there exists a connection between the eigenfunctions of the Lax pair of an integrable equation and the eigenfunctions of the linear stability problem for this integrable equation [2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 25, 27].

**Theorem 1**  The difference of squares,

\[ w(z, \tau) = \psi_1(z, \tau)^2 - \psi_2(z, \tau)^2, \]  

satisfies the linear stability problem (22). Here \( \psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T \) is any solution of the Lax pair (30).

To establish the connection between the \( \sigma_J \) spectrum and the \( \sigma_L \) spectrum we examine the right- and left-hand sides of (24). Substituting (43) and (31) into (24),

\[ e^{2\Omega \tau} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^2 - \varphi_2^2 \\ -2\Omega (\varphi_1^2 - \varphi_2^2) + 2c (\varphi_1 \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \varphi_2) \end{pmatrix} = e^{\lambda \tau} \begin{pmatrix} W_1(z) \\ W_2(z) \end{pmatrix}, \]

so that

\[ \lambda = 2\Omega(\zeta), \]  

with

\[ \begin{pmatrix} W_1(z) \\ W_2(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^2 - \varphi_2^2 \\ -2\Omega (\varphi_1^2 - \varphi_2^2) + 2c (\varphi_1 \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \varphi_2) \end{pmatrix}. \]  

We note that all but three solutions of (25) may be written in this form. Specifically, all solutions of (25) bounded on the whole real line are obtained through the squared eigenfunction connection.

This is proven as in [5, 6, 9, 25]: For a fixed \( \lambda \), (25) is a second-order linear ordinary differential equation, thus it has two linearly independent solutions. We know that (46) provides solutions of this ordinary differential equation. We count how many solutions are obtained in this way for a given \( \lambda \). Note that \( \Omega^2 \) is an even function of \( \zeta \). Excluding the two values of \( \lambda \) for which the discriminant of (35) as a function of \( \zeta \) is zero, (33) gives rise to four values of \( \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \). We revisit these values in (b), below. For all other values of \( \lambda = 2\Omega \), any fixed \( \Omega \) and \( \zeta \) defines a unique solution (up to a multiplicative constant) of the Lax pair. As in [5, 6, 9, 25], there are two parts for this.

(a) For any \( \lambda \) not equal to the two values mentioned earlier, we obtain four solutions through the squared eigenfunction connection. Since \( \Omega^2 \) is an even function of \( \zeta \), the Lax parameters come in \( \{-\zeta, \zeta\} \) pairs. As in [6], only one element of these pairs gives rise to an independent solution of the stability problem, eliminating two of these four solutions. On the other hand, as in [6], if there is an exponential contribution from \( \gamma \), the remaining
two solutions are linearly independent. The only possibility for the exponential factor from
\( \gamma \) not to contribute is if \( \Omega = 0 \). In that case, only one linearly independent solution is
obtained through the squared eigenfunction connection, corresponding to \((f_z, cf_{zz})^T\). The
other solution is obtained through the reduction of order method, and introduces algebraic
growth.

(b) Let us consider the two excluded values of \( \lambda \). For the two \( \lambda \) values where \( \Omega^2 \) reaches its
maximum value, only one solution is obtained through the squared eigenfunction connection,
which is unbounded. The second one may be constructed using reduction of order, and
introduces algebraic growth.

As a consequence of the discussion above, the double covering in the \( \Omega \) representation
drops to a single covering. In summary, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** The periodic traveling wave solutions of the sinh-Gordon equation are spec-
trally stable. The spectrum of their associated linear stability problem is explicitly given by
\( \sigma(JL) = i\mathbb{R} \), or, accounting for multiple coverings,
\[
\sigma(JL) = (i\mathbb{R})^2. \tag{47}
\]

An application of the SCS basis lemma in [18] establishes that the eigenfunctions form a
basis for \( L^2_{\text{per}}([-N\frac{T}{2}, N\frac{T}{2}]) \), for any integer \( N \), when the potential \( f \) is periodic in \( z \) with pe-
riod \( T \). This allows us to conclude linear stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations.

6. Orbital stability
In this section, we study the nonlinear stability of the elliptic solutions. We are concerned
with the stability of \( T \)-periodic solutions of equation with respect to subharmonic perturba-
tions of period \( NT \), for any fixed positive integer \( N \). We require \( u \) and its derivatives of up
to order three to be square-integrable.

To prove orbital stability, we prove formal stability first: we construct a Lyapunov func-
tional for the elliptic solutions using the conserved quantities of the sinh-Gordon equation.
We introduce the Hamiltonian structure of the sinh-Gordon equation and its hierarchy. We
use the system form of the sinh-Gordon equation:
\[
\begin{align*}
u_\tau &= -p + cu_z, \tag{48} \\
p_\tau &= cp_z - u_{zz} + \sinh(u). \tag{49}
\end{align*}
\]

The Hamiltonian structure is [2, 22]
\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\delta u / \delta \tau \\
\delta p / \delta \tau
\end{array} \right) = \mathbf{J} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\delta H / \delta u \\
\delta H / \delta p
\end{array} \right), \tag{50}
\]
with
\[
H = - \int_{-N\frac{T}{2}}^{N\frac{T}{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} (u_z)^2 + \cosh u - cpu_z \right] dz, \tag{51}
\]
and $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The Hamiltonian is one of an infinite number of conserved quantities of the sinh-Gordon equation. We label these quantities $\{H_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$. We need the first three conserved quantities:

\[
H_0 = -\int_{-N\pi}^{N\pi} pu_z dz, \quad H_1 = -\int_{-N\pi}^{N\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} (u_z)^2 + \cosh u \right] dz, \quad H_2 = \int_{-N\pi}^{N\pi} \left[ -64u_{zzz}p_z - 8 (u_z)^3 p - 8u_zp^3 + 48p_z \sinh u \right] dz.
\]

In fact, all the functionals $H_i$ are mutually in involution under the Poisson bracket. The conservation and the involution properties for $H_0$, $H_1$, and $H_2$ are straightforward to verify. Each $H_i$ defines an evolution equation with respect to a time variable $\tau_i$ by

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ p \end{pmatrix} = J H'_i(p,u),
\]

where $H'_i(u,p)$ denotes the variational gradient of $H_i$. The collection of (52) with $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ is the sinh-Gordon hierarchy. Its first three members are

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_0} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ p \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -u_z \\ -p_z \end{pmatrix}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ p \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -p \\ \sinh u - u_{zz} \end{pmatrix}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_2} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ p \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -8u_z^3 - 24u_zp^2 - 48u_z \cosh u + 64u_{zzz} \\ -48p_z \cosh u - 24p^2p_z - 24p_zu_z^2 - 48pu_zu_z + 64p_{zzz} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

Since the flows in the sinh-Gordon hierarchy commute, we may take any linear combination of the above Hamiltonians to define a new Hamiltonian system. We define the $n$-th sinh-Gordon equation with evolution variable $t_n$ as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ p \end{pmatrix} = J \hat{H}'_n(u,p),
\]

\[
\hat{H}_n = H_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{n,i} H_i, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

where the coefficients $c_{n,i}$ are constants. For example, $\hat{H}_1 = H_1 - cH_0 = H$ is the Hamiltonian of the sinh-Gordon equation (48) and (49), as shown in (51).
Every member of the sinh-Gordon hierarchy has a Lax pair. These Lax pairs share the same first component \(-T_0\), while the second component \(\psi_{\tau_j} = T_j \psi\) is different:

\[
\psi_{\tau_0} = T_0 \psi = -\left( \frac{i \cosh u}{8 \zeta} - \frac{i \zeta}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} (u_z - p) \cosh u - \frac{1}{2} \frac{i \sinh u}{8 \zeta} - \frac{i \cosh u}{8 \zeta} + i \frac{\zeta}{2} \right) \psi, 
\]

\[
\psi_{\tau_1} = T_1 \psi = \left( -\frac{i \cosh u}{8 \zeta} - \frac{i \zeta}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} (u_z - p) \cosh u - \frac{1}{2} \frac{i \sinh u}{8 \zeta} + i \frac{\zeta}{2} \right) \psi, 
\]

\[
\psi_{\tau_2} = T_2 \psi = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & -A_2 \end{pmatrix} \psi,
\]

where

\[
A_2 = 32 i \zeta^3 - \frac{i \cosh u}{2 \zeta^3} + 8 i \zeta \left( -p u_z + \frac{1}{2} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} u_z^2 \right) \]

\[
+ \frac{2}{\zeta} \left( -2 i p_z \cosh u + 2 i p_z \cosh u \coth u - i p u_z \cosh u - \frac{1}{2} i p^2 \cosh u - \frac{1}{2} i u_z^2 \cosh u 
- 2 i u_{zz} \cosh u + 2 i u_{zz} \cosh u \coth u - i \cosh^2 u + i \right),
\]

\[
B_2 = \frac{i \sinh u}{2 \zeta^3} + \frac{1}{16} i \zeta^2 (256 i u_z - 256 i p) + \frac{p + u_z}{\zeta^2} + 8 i \zeta \left( 2 p_z - 2 u_{zz} + \sinh u \right) \]

\[
+ \frac{i}{\zeta} \left( -4 p_z \cosh u + 2 p_{zz} + p^2 + u_z^2 - 4 u_{zz} \cosh u + 2 \cosh u \right) + \frac{i}{128} (-512 \cosh u \sinh u 
- 256 i p^3 + 2048 i p u_z - 768 i u_z^2 + 768 i p^2 u_z + 256 i u_z^3 - 2048 i u_{zzz} + 1536 i u_z \cosh u),
\]

\[
C_2 = \frac{-i \sinh u}{2 \zeta^3} + \frac{1}{16} i \zeta^2 (256 i u_z - 256 i p) + \frac{p + u_z}{\zeta^2} - 8 i \zeta \left( 2 p_z - 2 u_{zz} + \sinh u \right) \]

\[
- \frac{i}{\zeta} \left( -4 p_z \cosh u + 2 p_{zz} + p^2 + u_z^2 - 4 u_{zz} \cosh u + 2 \cosh u \right) + \frac{i}{128} (-512 \cosh u \sinh u 
- 256 i p^3 + 2048 i p u_z - 768 i u_z^2 + 768 i p^2 u_z + 256 i u_z^3 - 2048 i u_{zzz} + 1536 i u_z \cosh u).
\]

We construct the Lax pair for the \(n\)-th sinh-Gordon equation by taking the same linear combination of the lower-order flows as for the nonlinear hierarchy:

\[
\psi_{\tau_n} = \hat{T}_n \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}_n & \hat{B}_n \\ \hat{C}_n & -\hat{A}_n \end{pmatrix} \psi, \tag{55}
\]

\[
\hat{T}_n = T_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{n,i} T_i, \quad \hat{T}_0 = T_0. \tag{56}
\]

We study the stationary solutions of the sinh-Gordon hierarchy. Since the flows commute, a stationary solution of the \(n\)th equation remains a stationary solution after evolving under any of the other flows, for a suitable choice of the coefficients \(c_{n,i}\).

For example, the traveling wave solutions \((f, c f_z)\) are the stationary solutions of the first equation in the sinh-Gordon hierarchy with \(c_{1,0} = -c\). They are also stationary solutions of
the second equation in the sinh-Gordon hierarchy provided

\[
\frac{16 \left(-3c^2 - 1\right) E}{(c^2 - 1)} - c_{2,1}c - c_{2,0} = 0. \tag{57}
\]

This gives one condition for the two coefficients \(c_{2,1}\) and \(c_{2,0}\). In order to proceed as in Refs. [5, 15], we consider stability in the space of subharmonic functions of period \(NT\), for \(1 \leq N \in \mathbb{N}\), i.e.,

\[
\mathbb{V}_{0,N} = \left\{ W : W \in H^3_{\text{per}}(\left[-N\frac{T}{2}, N\frac{T}{2}\right]) \right\}. \tag{58}
\]

To prove the orbital stability of the solution \((u,p)\) in this space, we construct a Lyapunov function [15, 23], i.e., a constant of the motion \(E(u,p)\) for which \((u,p)\) is an unconstrained minimizer:

\[
dE(u,p)\over{d\tau} = 0, \quad E'(u,p) = 0, \quad \langle v, L(u,p)v \rangle > 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{V}_0, \quad v \neq 0, \tag{59}
\]

where \(E'(u,p)\) denotes the variational gradient of \(E\) and \(L\) is the Hessian of \(E\). The existence of such a function implies formal stability. We know that \((f_z, cf_{zz})^T\) is in the kernel of \(H''_1\). This is obtained from the action of the infinitesimal generator \(\partial_z\), acting on \((f(z), p(z))^T\), where \(p(z) = cf_z\). Following Grillakis, Shatah, and Strauss [14, 15], under extra conditions (see the orbital stability theorem in [5, 8, 9, 25]) this allows one to conclude orbital stability. Since the sinh-Gordon equation is an integrable Hamiltonian system, all the conserved quantities of the equation satisfy the first two conditions. It suffices to construct one that satisfies the third requirement.

To prove orbital stability, we check the Krein signature \(K_1\) [14], associated with \(\hat{H}_1\):

\[
K_1 = \langle W, L_1 W \rangle = \int_{-N\frac{T}{2}}^{N\frac{T}{2}} W^* L_1 W dz, \tag{60}
\]

where \(L_1 = L\). Using the squared eigenfunction connection, we have

\[
W^* L_1 W = 2\Omega W^* J^{-1} W
\]

\[
= 2\Omega (W_1 W_2^* - W_2 W_1^*)
\]

\[
= 8\Omega^2 (|\varphi_1|^4 + |\varphi_2|^4 - \varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^2 - \varphi_2^2 \varphi_1^2) + 2\Omega (2c\varphi_1^2 \varphi_1^* \varphi_1^* + 2c\varphi_2^2 \varphi_2^* \varphi_2^* - 2c\varphi_2^2 \varphi_1^* \varphi_1^* - 2c\varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^* \varphi_2^* + 2c\varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^* \varphi_1^* + 2c\varphi_2^2 \varphi_1^* \varphi_1^*), \tag{61}
\]

with \(\varphi_1 = -\gamma(z) B(z)\) and \(\varphi_2 = \gamma(z)(A(z) - \Omega)\). Using (36), we have

\[
|\gamma|^2 = \frac{1}{|A - \Omega|}. \tag{62}
\]
With $\Omega^2 = A^2 + |B|^2$, we have

\[
|\varphi_1|^4 = -(A + \Omega)^2, \quad |\varphi_2|^4 = -(A - \Omega)^2, \quad \varphi_2^2 \varphi_1^* = -B^2, \quad \varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^* = -B^2,
\]

\[
\varphi_2^2 \varphi_1^* - \varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^* = \frac{A + \Omega}{A - \Omega}(BB_z^* - B^*B_z) - (\Omega + A)(\Theta^* - \Theta),
\]

\[
\varphi_2^2 \varphi_2^* - \varphi_2^2 \varphi_2^* = -(A - \Omega)^2(\Theta^* - \Theta),
\]

\[
\varphi_2^2 \varphi_2^* - \varphi_1^2 \varphi_1^* = B^2(\Theta^* - \Theta) + B^*B_z^* - \frac{B^2}{A - \Omega}A_z,
\]

\[
\varphi_2^2 \varphi_1^* - \varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^* = B^2(\Theta^* - \Theta) - BB_z + \frac{B^2}{A - \Omega}A_z,
\]

where

\[
\Theta = \frac{i}{2^\frac{1}{4}} \cdot \frac{i \cosh f(z)}{8\zeta} - \frac{B}{A - \Omega} \left[ \frac{(1-c)f'(z)}{4} + \frac{i \sinh f(z)}{8\zeta} \right] + A_z.
\]  

(63)

It follows that the Krein signature $K_1$ can be expressed as

\[
K_1 = \langle W, L_1 W \rangle = -\Omega^2 \int_{-\frac{\Omega K}{B}}^{\frac{\Omega K}{B}} \left( \frac{-16\zeta^4(1+c) - 8\zeta^2(c - 1) + 1}{2\zeta^2} \right) dz
\]

\[
= -\frac{N\Omega^2}{b} \left[ \left( -1 + c \right) - 16\zeta^2(1 + c) + 8 \right] K(k) - 16 \frac{E(k)}{1 - k^2}
\]

\[
= -\frac{N\Omega^2P(\zeta)}{b\zeta^2},
\]

(64)

(65)

where $E(k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind [21]:

\[
E(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 y} \, dy.
\]  

(66)

We have the following properties:

1. $P(\zeta)$ is an even function and the discriminant of $P(\zeta)$ is positive. Since $\frac{-1+c}{10(1+c)} < 0$, $P(\zeta) = 0$ has two real roots $\zeta_0, \zeta_1$. $P(\zeta) = 0$ has two real roots $\zeta = \pm \zeta_0$. Since $\frac{d}{d\zeta} P(\zeta) = -2(16\zeta^4(1+c) + 1 - 4\zeta^2 + 1)^2$, we know that for $c < 1$, when $\zeta_0 < 0$, $\frac{P(\zeta)}{\zeta^2}$ decreases along $\zeta$ and when $\zeta_0 > 0$, $\frac{P(\zeta)}{\zeta^2}$ increases along $\zeta$. For $c > 1$, when $\zeta_0 > 0$, $\frac{P(\zeta)}{\zeta^2}$ increases along $\zeta$ and when $\zeta_0 < 0$, $\frac{P(\zeta)}{\zeta^2}$ decreases along $\zeta$.

2. Since $\zeta_1 < \zeta_0 < \zeta_2$, $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$ are not in $\sigma_L$ (see Appendix), $K_1 = 0$ is obtained only on the kernel of $L_1$, i.e., when $\Omega = 0$. For $c < -1$, $\zeta_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-16K(k)^2 + 2E^2(\xi + k)^2}{(c+1)K(k)}}$. For $c > 1$, $\zeta_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{16K(k)^2 + 2E^2(\xi + k)^2}{(c+1)K(k)}}$. We note that $\zeta_0, \zeta_1$ and $\zeta_2$ are all greater than zero.

3. When $c > 1$, since $\frac{P(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} > 0$ for $|\zeta| < \zeta_1$, $K_1 > 0$ for $|\zeta| < \zeta_1$ and since $\frac{P(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} < 0$ for $|\zeta| > \zeta_1$, $K_1 < 0$ for $|\zeta| > \zeta_1$. When $c < -1$, since $\frac{P(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} < 0$ for $|\zeta| < \zeta_1$, $K_1 < 0$ for $|\zeta| < \zeta_1$.
and since \( \frac{P(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} > 0 \) for \( |\zeta| > \zeta_2 \), \( K_1 > 0 \) for \( |\zeta| > \zeta_2 \). Thus no conclusion about orbital stability can be drawn from \( K_1 \).

It follows that \( \hat{H}_1 \) is not a Lyapunov function. Thus we need to consider different conserved quantities. Linearizing the \( n \)-th sinh-Gordon equation about the equilibrium solution \( f \), one obtains

\[
\frac{d}{dt_n} \psi = \mathcal{L}_n \psi, \tag{67}
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}_n \) is the Hessian of \( \hat{H}_n \) evaluated at the stationary solution.

Using the squared-eigenfunction connection with separation of variables gives

\[
2\Omega_n W(z) = \mathcal{L}_n W(z), \tag{68}
\]

where \( \Omega_n \) is defined through

\[
\psi(z, t_n) = e^{\Omega_n t_n} \phi(z). \tag{69}
\]

Due to the commuting property of the different flows in the sinh-Gordon hierarchy, the Lax hierarchy shares the common set of eigenfunctions \( \phi(z) \) from before (still assuming the solution is stationary with respect to the first, and hence all higher flows). Substituting (69) into the Lax pair of the \( n \)-th sinh-Gordon equation determines a relationship between \( \Omega_n \) and \( \zeta \)

\[
\Omega_n^2(\zeta) = \hat{A}_2^2 + \hat{B}_n \hat{C}_n. \tag{70}
\]

To find a Lyapunov functional, we check \( K_2 \):

\[
K_2 = \int_{-N_T}^{N_T} W^* \mathcal{L}_2 W dz = 2\Omega_2 \int_{-N_T}^{N_T} W^* J^{-1} W dz = \frac{\Omega_2}{\Omega} \int_{-N_T}^{N_T} W^* \mathcal{L}_1 W dz. \tag{71}
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
K_2(\zeta) = \frac{\Omega_2(\zeta) K_1(\zeta)}{\Omega(\zeta)}. \tag{72}
\]

Here, we use that \( (f, cf_z) \) are the stationary solutions of the second flow. To calculate \( K_2 \), we also need

\[
\hat{T}_2 = T_2 + c_{2,1} T_1 + c_{2,0} T_0, \tag{73}
\]

where, from before,

\[
\frac{16 (-3c^2 - 1) \mathcal{E}}{(c^2 - 1)} - c_{2,1} c - c_{2,0} = 0. \tag{74}
\]

The second sinh-Gordon equation can be expressed as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ p \end{pmatrix} = J (H_2' + c_{2,1} H_1' + c_{2,0} H_0') = 0. \tag{75}
\]
A direct calculation gives
\[ \Omega_2^2 = \frac{c^2 (64 \zeta^4 + 16 \zeta^2 \mathcal{E} + \zeta^2 c_{2,0} + 4) + c (4 - 64 \zeta^4) + \zeta^2 (16 \mathcal{E} - c_{2,0})}{c^2 (c^2 - 1)^2 \zeta^4} \Omega^2. \tag{76} \]

We can choose
\[ c_{2,0} = -\frac{4 (16 c^2 \zeta^4 + 4 c^2 \zeta^2 \mathcal{E} + c^2 - 16 c^2 \zeta^4 + c + 4 c^2 \mathcal{E})}{(c^2 - 1) \zeta^2}, \tag{77} \]
to ensure \( K_2 \) has definite sign. With this choice of \( c_{2,0} \) and \( c_{2,1} \) determined by (74), \( \hat{H}_2 \) is a Lyapunov functional for the dynamics (with respect to any of the time variables in the sinh-Gordon hierarchy) of the stationary solutions. Therefore, whenever solutions are spectrally stable with respect to subharmonic perturbations of period \( N \), they are formally stable in \( \mathbb{V}_{0,N} \).

Since the infinitesimal generators of the symmetries correspond to the values of \( \zeta \) for which \( \Omega(\zeta) = 0 \), the kernel of the functional \( \hat{H}_2''(u,p) \) consists of the infinitesimal generators of the symmetries of the solution \( (u,p) \). On the other hand, since \( \pm \zeta_c \) is not in \( \sigma_L \), \( K_2(\zeta) = 0 \) is obtained only when \( \Omega = 0 \) for \( \zeta \in \sigma_L \). We have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3 (Orbital stability)** The elliptic solutions (19) of the sinh-Gordon equation are orbitally stable with respect to subharmonic perturbations in \( \mathbb{V}_{0,N}, N \geq 1 \).

**Acknowledgments** WS has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.61705006, and by the Fundamental Research Funds of the Central Universities (No.230201606500048).

**Appendix**

**Lemma.** For \( c > 1 \), \( \frac{P(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1^2} > 0 \) and \( \frac{P(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_2^2} < 0 \), while for \( c < -1 \), \( \frac{P(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1^2} < 0 \) and \( \frac{P(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_2^2} > 0 \).

Proof.

- For \( c > 1 \),

\[ \frac{P(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1^2} = 8c \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1} K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right) - 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) E \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right). \tag{78} \]

Since \( E(k) < K(k), c > 1 \) and \( \mathcal{E} > 1 \), we have \( \frac{P(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1^2} > 0 \).

\[ \frac{P(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_2^2} = 8c \left( -\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1} \right) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right) - 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) E \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right). \tag{79} \]

Let \( \frac{P(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_2^2} = F(c) \). We note that \( F'(c) = 8 \left( -\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1} \right) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right) < 0 \). We have

\[ F(c) < F(1) = 8 \left( -\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1} \right) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right) - 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) E \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E} - 1}{\mathcal{E} + 1}} \right). \tag{80} \]
Using $\frac{E(k)}{K(k)} > k' = \sqrt{1-k^2}$, see [1, 19.9.8], we have

$$8 \left( -\sqrt{E^2-1} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) - 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) \frac{E \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right)}{K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right)} < 8 \left( -\sqrt{E^2-1} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) - 8\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}+1}.$$ (81)

Let $Q(\mathcal{E}) = 8 \left( -\sqrt{E^2-1} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) - 8\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}+1}$. We note $Q'(\mathcal{E}) = -\frac{8\mathcal{E}}{\sqrt{E^2-1}} + 8 - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}+1}} < -\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}+1}} < 0$. So we have $Q(\mathcal{E}) < Q(1) = 0$ for $\mathcal{E} > 1$. Therefore, we have $\frac{P(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1} = F(c) < F(1) < K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) Q(\mathcal{E}) < 0$.

- For $c < -1$,

$$\frac{P(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1} = 8c\sqrt{E^2-1} - 1K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1)K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) - 8(\mathcal{E} + 1)E \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right). \tag{82}$$

Let $\frac{P(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1} = G(c)$. We note that $G'(c) = 8 \left( -\sqrt{E^2-1} \right) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) > 0$. We have

$$G(c) < G(-1) = 8 \left( -\sqrt{E^2-1} \right) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1)K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) - 8(\mathcal{E} + 1)E \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right). \tag{83}$$

Again, using $\frac{E(k)}{K(k)} > k' = \sqrt{1-k^2}$, we have

$$8 \left( -\sqrt{E^2-1} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) - 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) \frac{E \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right)}{K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right)} < 8 \left( -\sqrt{E^2-1} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1) - 8\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}+1}.$$ (84)

We know $Q(\mathcal{E}) < Q(1) = 0$ for $\mathcal{E} > 1$. Therefore, $\frac{P(\zeta_1)}{\zeta_1} = G(c) < G(-1) < K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) Q(\mathcal{E}) < 0$.

$$\frac{P(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_2} = 8c \left( -\sqrt{E^2-1} \right) K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) + 8(\mathcal{E} + 1)K \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right) - 8(\mathcal{E} + 1)E \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}-1}{\mathcal{E}+1}} \right). \tag{85}$$

Since $E(k) < K(k)$, $c < -1$ and $\mathcal{E} > 1$, we have $\frac{P(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_2} > 0$. This finishes the proof of the Lemma.
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