Relationship between the inflammation/immune indexes and deep venous thrombosis (DVT) incidence rate following tibial plateau fractures
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Abstract

Objective: To determine the relationship between inflammation/immune-based indexes and deep venous thrombosis (DVT) incidence rate following tibial plateau fractures.

Methods: Retrospective analysis of a prospectively collected data on patients undergoing surgeries of tibial plateau fractures between October 2014 and December 2018 was performed. Duplex ultrasonography (DUS) was routinely used to screen for preoperative DVT of bilateral lower extremities. Data on biomarkers (neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, and platelet counts) at admission were collected, based on which neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR), monocyte/lymphocyte (MLR), and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII, neutrophil* platelet/lymphocyte) were calculated. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was used to determine the optimal cutoff value for each variable. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the independent relationship of each biomarker or index with DVT, after adjustment for demographics, co-morbidities, and injury-related variables.

Results: Among 1179 patients included, 16.3% (192/1179) of them had a preoperative DVT. Four factors were identified to be significantly associated with DVT, including open fracture, increased D-dimer level. Among the biomarkers and indexes, only platelet and neutrophil were identified to be independently associated with DVT, and the significance remained after exclusion of open fracture. The other independent variables were elevated D-dimer level (> 0.55 mg/L), male gender, and hypertension in the sensitivity analysis with open fractures excluded.

Conclusion: These identified factors are conducive to the initial screening for patients at risk of DVT, individualized risk assessment, risk stratification, and accordingly, development of targeted prevention programs.
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**Introduction**

Tibial plateau fracture represented 1–2% of adult fractures and 32% of peri-knee fractures [1], with a population-based incidence of 10.3 per 100,000 person-years [2]. It is generally accepted that deep venous thrombosis (DVT) is a significant cause of morbidity, pulmonary embolism, and even mortality, especially in patients with trauma or undergoing major orthopedic surgery [3, 4]. The previous reports showed that 17.3 to 23.9% of patients with tibial plateau fracture would develop deep vein thrombosis (DVT) before they were operated [5, 6]. Extensive and deep understanding of the epidemiologic characteristics of DVT, particularly the relevant risk factors, was of extreme importance in prevention and management. By far, multiple risk factors associated with DVT have been identified, including advanced age, male gender, obesity, a history of DVT or pulmonary embolism, immobility, smoking, or fracture itself [7–10].

In addition to external factors, there are increasing evidences that the internal factors, namely the systemic inflammation/immune response to trauma (hip fracture) or major surgical trauma (arthroplasty), played an important role in the development of DVT. Alexandru et al. [11] found the significant change of some inflammation/immune indexes (neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet/lymphocyte ratio (PLR)) after long-bone fracture. Moreover, researchers have identified the significant correlation of NLR, PLR, or monocyte to lymphocyte ratio (MLR) with acute DVT after major orthopedic surgery [12–14]. However, the role of these inflammation/immune indexes in the development of DVT was not consistently significant [15]. Unlike other markers of inflammation, these indexes are calculated by the readily obtained biomarkers from a hemogram with an automated differential, which are routinely measured. If these inexpensive and readily available indexes exhibit their value in predicting DVT after traumatic fractures, they will help identify patients at risk of DVT and improve the specificity in detection of DVT.

As far as we know, specified at tibial plateau fracture, there remains no relevant data on the relationship between inflammation/immune indexes and preoperative DVT occurrence. In this study, we used the prospectively collected data in a level I trauma center to address this issue. Our aims were (1) to identify the optimal cut-off values of biomarkers or their derived indexes, (2) to evaluate their predictive ability for development of DVT, and (3) to evaluate their independent relationship with DVT after adjustment for demographics, co-morbidities, and injury-related characteristics.

**Methods**

Data used in this study were obtained from the database of surgical site infection in orthopedic surgery (SSIOS), in which a prospective method was used to collect data on patients who underwent orthopedic surgeries between October 1, 2014, and December 31, 2018, and surveillance of surgical site during hospitalization and telephone follow-up after discharge were conducted to identify surgical site infections. The ethics committee of the 3rd Hospital of Hebei Medical University approved the SSIOS (NO 2014-015-1), and all the participants had written the informed consent.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Patients meeting the following criteria were included: age of 18 years or older, definite diagnosis of tibial plateau fracture, and complete data available. The exclusion criteria were: pathological (metastatic) fracture, old fracture (> 3 weeks from injury), tibial plateau fracture combined with vascular injury, concurrent fractures in other locations, patients with lower extremity myodynamia abnormality, patients with history of DVT or other thrombotic events, or current use of anticoagulants due to chronic comorbidities.

According to our policy, all patients received basic thromboprophylaxis immediately after admission, consisting of chemical (low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), 2500–4100 IU once daily, subcutaneous injection) and elevation of the injured lower extremity for each patient.

**Diagnosis of DVT**

Guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of deep vein thrombosis (3rd edition) proposed by Chinese Medical Association [16] was used to diagnose DVT. Before the operation, routine duplex 106 ultrasonography (DUS) scanning of bilateral lower extremities was performed to detect potential DVT in femoral common, superficial femoral, deep femoral, popliteal, posterior tibial, anterior tibial, and peroneal vein. The positive criteria of DUS scanning were set as noncompressibility, lumen obstruction or filling defect, lack of respiratory variation in above knee segments, and inadequate flow augmentation to calf and foot compression maneuvers [17]. Due to the less clinical significance, superficial or intermuscular vein thrombosis (soleal or gastrocnemius vein thrombosis) were not included [18, 19].

**Data collection and definition**

Biomarkers or biomarker-derived inflammatory/immune indexes were obtained from hematologic tests carried out after admission and before the definite operation. These data included neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, and platelet counts. The NLR was defined as the neutrophil count divided by lymphocyte count, PLR as the platelet count divided by the lymphocyte count, and MLR as monocyte count divided by lymphocyte count.
The systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) was calculated as: platelet count × neutrophil count/lymphocyte count [20]. Given the importance in predictive ability or diagnosis of DVT, plasma D-dimer level was also included.

The other potential factors included demographics (age, gender, body mass index (BMI)), current cigarette and alcohol consumption, the comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, chronic heart disease), and fracture-related factors (injury mechanism (low- or high-energy trauma), open or closed fracture, fracture classification based on Schatzker classification system).

The BMI (kg/m²) was divided using the criteria recommended by the Chinese working group on obesity: normal (18.5–23.9), underweight (< 18.5), overweight (24.0–27.9), and obesity (≥ 28.0) [21]. Low-energy injury was defined as an injury caused by a fall from a standing height, while fall from a height more than 2 m or motor accidents were defined as high-energy injury.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed by mean and standard deviation (SD). The categorical data were expressed as number and percentage (%) and were evaluated by chi-square or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate.

For biomarker (neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, and platelet counts) and biomarker-derived inflammation/immune indexes (NLR, PLR, MLR, and SII) and the plasma D-dimer level in continuous variable, we constructed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) to determine the optimal cutoff value for each variable, when Youden index (sensitivity + specificity − 1) was maximum. The significance of the ROC curve was tested using the area under the curve (AUC) analysis, with \( p < 0.05 \) as significance level. On basis of the cutoff values determined, each variable was divided in to two groups, and the chi-square or Fisher’s exact test was performed, as appropriate. We also constructed ROC curve and used the generated AUC to evaluate the discriminatory ability of each biomarker or inflammation/immune index, when they were in dichotomous variable.

In the multivariate logistics regression model, the included variables were those tested as statistically significant in the univariate analyses. The stepwise backward elimination method was used to exclude variables not significantly affecting the development of DVT. In the final model, variables with \( p < 0.10 \) were retained, and

**Table 1** The ROC and AUC to determine the optimal cutoff value for each index in continuous variable

| Variable    | Optimal cutoff value | AUC   | 95% CI Lower limit | 95% CI Upper limit | \( p \)  |
|-------------|----------------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Lymphocyte  | \( 1.24 \times 10^9/L \) | 0.481 | 0.435 | 0.527 | 0.422 |
| Platelet    | \( 278 \times 10^9/L \) | 0.598 | 0.548 | 0.648 | 0.000 |
| Monocyte    | \( 0.78 \times 10^9/L \) | 0.562 | 0.514 | 0.610 | 0.009 |
| Neutrophil  | \( 5.02 \times 10^9/L \) | 0.595 | 0.549 | 0.641 | 0.000 |
| NLR         | 2.90                 | 0.565 | 0.520 | 0.611 | 0.006 |
| MLR         | 0.50                 | 0.552 | 0.506 | 0.598 | 0.028 |
| PLR         | 207                  | 0.591 | 0.546 | 0.636 | 0.000 |
| SII         | 1066                 | 0.605 | 0.559 | 0.651 | 0.000 |
| D-Dimer     | \( 0.55 \text{ mg/L} \) | 0.628 | 0.588 | 0.669 | 0.000 |
the correlation strength is indicated by odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). The significance level was $p < 0.05$. Fitting degree of the final model was evaluated by Hosmer-Lemeshow (H-L) test, and $p > 0.05$ indicated the acceptable result. SPSS23.0 was used to perform all the tests (IBM, armonk, New York, USA).

**Results**

In this study, a total of 1179 patients with tibial plateau fractures were included, consisting of 742 males and 437 females, with an average of 45.6 years (SD, 13.6; range, 18–82). The mean days from admission to operation were 4.2 ± 4.9 days (0–16 days). A total of 192 (16.3%) had a preoperative DVT. The preoperative DUS was performed at a mean of 3.9 ± 3.6 days (range 0–17 days) after injury, by 5 different technicians.

The optimal cutoff value for each biomarker or inflammation/immune index was as follows: neutrophil count, $5.02 \times 10^9/L$; lymphocyte count, $1.24 \times 10^9/L$; monocyte, $0.78 \times 10^9/L$; platelet count, $278 \times 10^9/L$; NLR, 2.90; PLR, 207; MLR, 0.50; SII, 1066; and D-dimer, 0.55 mg/L (Fig. 1 and Table 1). When evaluated as categorical variables, the AUC showed the best discrimination ability for PLT (AUC, 0.615; 95% CI, 0.570–0.660), followed by D-dimer (AUC, 0.611; 95% CI, 0.570–0.652) (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

From the univariate analyses, we could find that the rate of DVT was significantly different between patients and non-DVT patients in terms of gender, prevalence of hypertension, current smoking, open fracture, fracture type based on Schartzker classification system, platelet, monocyte, neutrophile, NLR, PLR, MLR, and SII (Table 3).

**Table 2** The ROC and AUC to evaluate the discriminatory ability of each index in categorical variable

| Variable | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC  | 95% CI Upper limit | 95% CI Lower limit | $p$  |
|----------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| Lymphocyte | 0.422 | 0.618 | 0.520 | 0.475 | 0.565 | 0.381 |
| Platelet | 0.505 | 0.715 | 0.615 | 0.570 | 0.660 | 0.000 |
| Monocyte | 0.469 | 0.655 | 0.562 | 0.517 | 0.607 | 0.007 |
| Neutrophil | 0.807 | 0.352 | 0.579 | 0.538 | 0.621 | 0.000 |
| NLR | 0.490 | 0.658 | 0.574 | 0.529 | 0.618 | 0.001 |
| MLR | 0.490 | 0.653 | 0.572 | 0.527 | 0.616 | 0.002 |
| PLR | 0.469 | 0.679 | 0.574 | 0.529 | 0.619 | 0.001 |
| SII | 0.557 | 0.719 | 0.581 | 0.536 | 0.626 | 0.000 |
| D-dimer | 0.771 | 0.451 | 0.611 | 0.570 | 0.652 | 0.000 |
In the final multivariate logistic regression model, four risk factors were identified to be associated with DVT, including open fracture, neutrophil (\( \geq 5.02 \times 10^9/L \)), D-dimer level (\( > 0.55 \text{ mg/L} \)), and PLT \( > 278 \times 10^9/L \) remained significant in the multivariate model. Also, the gender (male vs female) and hypertension were identified to be associated with occurrence of DVT (Table 4). The H-L test showed the good fitness (\( X^2 = 5.668, p = 0.684; \) Nagelkerke \( R^2 = 0.127 \)).

Discussion

This study demonstrated that several commonly used biomarker-based inflammatory/immune indexes as NLR, PLR, MLR, and SII demonstrated to be closely associated with systemic inflammation/immune response status, and be of predictive value in prognosis of various infectious, oncological, and autoimmune diseases [22–25]. The underlying mechanism might be the cascade of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, which were initiated by inflammation dysfunctional lymphocytes, provoked neutrophil, and macrophage aggregation [26]. More recently, these inflammation/immune indexes have been increasingly used in major orthopedic surgeries and were demonstrated to be associated with injury severity or perioperative complications. Barker et al. [12] found the positive relationship between increased NLR level (day 1 and day 2, pre- and postoperative) and the risk of venous thromboembolism after total knee arthroplasty. In addition, researchers have successively reported independent association of MLR [14], NLR [27], and PLR [27] with postoperative DVT in total joint arthroplasty.

Increasing evidences have showed that inflammatory response played an important role in the development of DVT. In fact, coagulation activation and inflammation reaction were intimately related because multiple cellular factors were involved in both processes, including but not limited to monocyte, neutrophils, and platelets [28–30]. In an animal experiment, von Bruhl et al. [30] demonstrated the monocytes, neutrophils, and platelets cooperated to initiate and propagate venous thrombosis. In addition, inflammatory/immune response to trauma was the important contributing factor for development of DVT. Alexandru et al. [11] evaluated the levels of hematology panel biomarkers in 148 patients with long-bone fractures and found that patients with fractures had significantly higher NLR level, compared to controls. However, in this study, we did not demonstrate the significant relationship between any of these inflammatory/immune indexes with DVT. This might be explained by the fact that these derived indexes would have more “intersections” with the

Table 3. Univariate analyses of factors associated with preoperative DVT following tibial plateau fracture

| Variables                  | DVTs/total (incidence) | \( P \)   |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Gender                     |                        | 0.003    |
| Male                       | 139/742 (18.7%)        |          |
| Female                     | 53/437 (12.1%)         |          |
| Age                        |                        | 0.108    |
| 18–44                      | 81/558 (14.5%)         |          |
| 45–64                      | 96/509 (18.9%)         |          |
| 65 or older                | 15/112 (13.4%)         |          |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))           |                        |          |
| 18.5–23.9                  | 44/362 (12.2%)         |          |
| < 18.5                     | 2/21 (9.5%)            |          |
| 24.0–27.9                  | 102/511 (20.0%)        |          |
| \( \geq 28.0 \)            | 44/286 (15.4%)         |          |
| Diabetes mellitus          |                        | 0.627    |
| 23/154 (14.9%)             |                        |          |
| Hypertension               |                         | 0.013    |
| 47/214 (22.0%)             |                        |          |
| Chronic heart disease      | 14/56 (25.0%)          | 0.070    |
| Fracture type (Schatzker)  | 133/793 (16.8%)        | 0.022    |
| I-IV                       |                        |          |
| V-VI                       | 59/385 (15.3%)         |          |
| Mechanism (high-energy)    | 120/758 (15.8%)        | 0.571    |
| Open fracture              | 22/71 (31.0%)          |          |
| Current smoking            | 37/166 (22.3%)         | 0.204    |
| Alcohol consumption        | 20/107 (18.7%)         | 0.480    |
| D-Dimer (\( > 0.55 \text{ mg/L} \)) | 148/690 (21.4%) | \(< 0.001\) |
| Neutrophil count (\( > 5.02 \times 10^9/L \)) | 155/795 (19.5%) | \(< 0.001\) |
| Lymphocyte (\( < 1.24 \times 10^6/L \)) | 81/458 (17.7%) | 0.299 |
| Monocyte (\( > 0.78 \times 10^9/L \)) | 90/431 (20.9%) | 0.001 |
| Platelet (\( > 278 \times 10^9/L \)) | 97/368 (26.4%) | \(< 0.001\) |
| NLR (\( > 2.90 \))         | 94/432 (21.8%)         | \(< 0.001\) |
| PLR (\( > 206 \))          | 90/407 (22.1%)         | \(< 0.001\) |
| MLR (\( > 0.50 \))         | 94/436 (21.6%)         | \(< 0.001\) |
| SII (\( > 1066 \))         | 85/362 (23.5%)         | \(< 0.001\) |

DVT deep vein thrombosis, BMI body mass index, NLR neutrophil to lymphocyte rate, PLR platelet to lymphocyte rate, MLR monocyte to lymphocyte rate, SII systemic immune-inflammation index
original hemogram indexes, and it was possible that algorithm between biomarkers did not exhibit the significant independent predictive effect on DVT.

In contrast, platelet and neutrophil count were found to be independently associated with DVT following tibial plateau fractures, regardless of the injury type. It is therefore suggested that increased number of neutrophils reflected the extent of inflammatory response, and activation of increased platelets is the necessity of the formation of DVT. The previous basic researches have described different mechanisms of DVT formation, including neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), neutrophil histone modification [31–33], and trauma-induced activation of platelets and secondary cascade reaction via secretion of aggregatory mediators [34]. In this study, we also evaluate the predictive ability of them. The sensitivity neutrophil count > 5.02 × 10⁹/L was 0.807, a relatively high level, which could be used for initial screening of patients at-risk of DVT. The sensitivity of platelet count > 278 × 10⁹/L was relatively low (0.505) but with a moderate specificity of 0.715; therefore, it might be a useful auxiliary tool to exclude patients without a DVT.

D-dimer in plasma reflected the secondary increased fibrinolytic activity and the hypercoagulability, which was a well-established highly sensitive marker of thrombotic events, although the specificity was poor [35]. Another concern was the concentration of D-dimer varied in different settings (NETs), neutrophil histone modification [31–33], and trauma-induced activation of platelets and secondary cascade reaction via secretion of aggregatory mediators [34]. In this study, we also evaluate the predictive ability of them. The sensitivity neutrophil count > 5.02 × 10⁹/L was 0.807, a relatively high level, which could be used for initial screening of patients at-risk of DVT. The sensitivity of platelet count > 278 × 10⁹/L was relatively low (0.505) but with a moderate specificity of 0.715; therefore, it might be a useful auxiliary tool to exclude patients without a DVT.

In summary, 16.3% of patients had preoperative DVT after tibial plateau fracture, and among the common biomarkers or biomarker-based inflammatory/immune indexes, only platelet and neutrophil were identified to be associated with development of DVT, regardless of injury pattern. These factors are conducive to the initial screening for patients at risk of DVT, accordingly development of targeted prevention programs.

This study had some limitations. Firstly, as other multivariate analyses, not all the potential factors that affect the occurrence of DVT could be included, such as immobilisation of the injured extremity. As such, the residual confoundings remained. Secondly, C-reaction protein (CRP) was an important inflammatory biomarker predictive of DVT formation, but only a fraction of patients had the relevant data because it was not routinely measured in our hospital. Thirdly, the AUC for D-dimer, platelet, and neutrophil count was 0.628, 0.698, and 0.595, respectively, which indicated the moderate to poor predictive ability or reliability for DVT. Therefore, they should be treated cautiously, and in the current condition, combined diagnostic method with these factors may be a settlement. Fourthly, we determined the association rather than the causation between variables and DVT; therefore, these results should be interpreted with caution. Fifthly, this is a tertiary referral hospital, and patients referred would have more severe injury; therefore, the prevalence of DVT might be overestimated, and the generalizability of the results might be somewhat affected.

In summary, 16.3% of patients had preoperative DVT after tibial plateau fracture, and among the common biomarkers or biomarker-based inflammatory/immune indexes, only platelet and neutrophil were identified to be associated with development of DVT, regardless of injury pattern. These factors are conducive to the initial screening for patients at risk of DVT, accordingly development of targeted prevention programs.
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