Manipulation of spin transport in graphene/transition metal dichalcogenide heterobilayers upon twisting
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Abstract
Proximity effects between layered materials trigger a plethora of novel and exotic quantum transport phenomena. Besides, the capability to modulate the nature and strength of proximity effects by changing crystalline and interfacial symmetries offers a vast playground to optimize physical properties of relevance for innovative applications. In this work, we use large-scale first principles calculations to demonstrate that strain and twist-angle strongly vary the spin–orbit coupling (SOC) in graphene/transition metal dichalcogenide heterobilayers. Such a change results in a modulation of the spin relaxation times by up to two orders of magnitude. Additionally, the relative strengths of valley-Zeeman and Rashba SOC can be tailored upon twisting, which can turn the system into an ideal Dirac–Rashba regime or generate transitions between topological states of matter. These results shed new light on the debated variability of SOC and clarify how lattice deformations can be used as a knob to control spin transport. Our outcomes also suggest complex spin transport in polycrystalline materials, due to the random variation of grain orientation, which could reflect in large spatial fluctuations of SOC fields.

1. Introduction
The intrinsically small spin–orbit coupling (SOC) and high mobility of graphene, allows spin relaxation lengths to reach macroscopic scales and promotes it as an ideal candidate for passive spintronics [1–4]. Although such a small SOC is detrimental to electrical spin control and excludes the possibility of graphene-only spintronic devices, the two-dimensional (2D) nature of graphene facilitates enhancing the SOC artificially via proximity to high SOC substrates or other 2D materials [4–14]. Graphene/transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD) heterostructures constitute a paradigmatic example of such control. In these systems, different groups measured a variety of spin-orbit-driven phenomena such as the giant spin-lifetime anisotropy [15, 16], spin Hall and Rashba–Edelstein effects [17–20], and weak anti-localization [21–25], providing strong evidences of proximity-induced SOC and bringing SOC engineering to a reality. Nevertheless, there are still discrepancies between theory and measurements regarding the strength and mechanisms underlying proximity effects. First-principles simulations established a range from 0.1 to 1 meV for the SOC parameters [5–7, 9–13], while the upper limit of experimental estimates can be as high as 10 meV [21, 24, 25]. Interestingly, these effects were only reported in exfoliated systems and remain to date elusive for polycrystalline samples, maybe due to the presence of grain boundaries [26]. An alternative explanation relies on the moiré physics of graphene on TMDs, which could lead to significant SOC modulations [11–13] but that under certain conditions.
could be deleterious to the proximity-induced SOC.

A twist-angle-modulated SOC enriches the field of moiré physics and opens the door for novel interplays [27–33]. First-principle methods, when applied in crystals, exploit the spatial periodicity to make the calculation feasible. But computing proximity effects in incommensurate systems with \textit{ab initio} methods becomes extremely challenging, as it can lead to using prohibitive large unit cells or unrealistic strain values. Therefore, most studies limit themselves to investigate small, and aligned commensurate structures [6] and complemented their \textit{ab initio} results with tight-binding methods, computing at a reduced cost the effect of rotations and strain [11–13]. However, these approaches ignore the impact of the underlying symmetries and quantum mechanics effects such as charge transfer and hybridization due to the structures’ relaxation [34].

Here, we use large-scale density functional theory (DFT) to unveil the SOC dependence of strain and twist-angle in graphene/TMD heterobilayers. The microscopic SOC parameters are obtained from a symmetry-based Dirac Hamiltonian fitted against the DFT band structure and spin textures. Following this procedure, we show that Rashba and valley-Zeeman interactions are highly sensitive to strain and twist angle, which permit to tune the system into different regimes characterized by a different spin-lifetime anisotropy. We also demonstrate that we can tune the system into an ideal Dirac–Rashba state via strain deformations. Such a state, characterized solely by the Rashba SOC parameters, has not yet been reported in similar systems and could lead to strong spin-orbit torque components of particular interest for low-power memory applications [35, 36]. Finally, we compute the topological invariant under different conditions and demonstrate that a twist angle can induce topological transitions by modulating the Kane–Mele SOC.

2. Models and methods

To evaluate the strain and twist-angle effects, we considered different graphene/TMD heterostructures constructed using \( N \times N \) TMD and \( M \times M \) graphene primitive cells, which we denoted as \( N \times M \) through the manuscript. Then, on each of these cells we determined the band structure and spin textures by employing fully relativistic DFT as implemented in the SIESTA code [37, 38]. We describe the SOC within a fully relativistic pseudo-potential formulation [39, 40] and used the generalized gradient approximation for the exchange-correlation functional [41]. To evaluate the strain effect, in the case of 0° twist angle, we considered different configurations \( N \times M = (2 \times 3), (3 \times 4), (4 \times 5), (5 \times 7), \) and \( (7 \times 10) \). In these supercells, the calculations are converged for a 350 Ry plane-wave cutoff for the real-space grid with a \((15 \times 15 \times 1)\) \( \vec{k} \)-points sampling of the Brillouin zone for the smaller structures, and 15 meV Fermi–Dirac smearing of the electronic occupations. The \( \vec{k} \)-points is reduced with system size down to \((2 \times 2 \times 1)\) mesh for a \(10 \times 10\) graphene’s supercell. We employed a standard exact diagonalization algorithm to compute supercells up to 347 atoms and the pole expansion and selected inversion [42] technique, alternative to diagonalization, to determine the electronic properties and structural relaxation of moiré supercells containing up to \( \sim 1700 \) atoms. The relaxation was performed in steps, first we relaxed the freestanding twisted TMD, then we relaxed the graphene/TMD heterostructure keeping the TMD fixed. We used the conjugate gradient algorithm to minimize the atomic forces below 0.01 eV Å\(^{-1}\). The band structures and spin textures were computed from the \textit{ab initio} Hamiltonian using SISL [43] as a post-processing tool.

Figure 1 shows an example of the supercell used to define the heterostructure. The supercell's lattice vectors \((A, B)\) and linear combinations of graphene's lattice vectors \((a_G, b_G)\) are shown as magenta, blue and green arrows respectively. Since graphene’s lattice vectors form a basis for the supercell, we can use them to write the lattice vectors of the supercells. Equivalently, the supercell lattice vectors could also be written in terms of TMD’s lattice vector. For instance, for a twist angle of \( \varphi = 30.00^\circ \), we can write \( A = 2a_{TMD} + 8b_{TMD} \) and \( B = -8a_{TMD} + 10b_{TMD} \) where TMD is MoTe\(_2\) in figure 1. Both graphene and MoTe\(_2\) supercell lattice vectors are shown in table 1. We investigate MoTe\(_2\) and WSe\(_2\) as representatives of TMDs, and present the corresponding results in the main text and supplementary information (available online at stacks.iop.org/2DM/9/015008/mmedia). As sulfur and selenium-based TMDs behave similarly [6], our analysis could be easily generalized to WSe\(_2\). The relaxed lattice constants of freestanding graphene and MoTe\(_2\) are 2.46 and 3.55 Å respectively.

The band structure of graphene/MoTe\(_2\) heterostructure with 0.5% strain is presented in figure 2.

![Figure 1. The moiré periodic cell of graphene-on-MoTe\(_2\), twisted by 30.00°. We use linear combinations of the primitive lattice vectors of graphene \(a_G, b_G\) to write the moiré lattice vectors \(A = 10a_G + 5b_G, B = -5a_G + 15b_G\).](image-url)
Table 1. Twist angle θ, strain, and supercell lattice vectors of graphene/MoTe2 heterobilayers. The supercell lattice vectors are given in terms of the primitive graphene and MoTe2 unit cells lattice vectors rotated by ϕ degrees with respect to each other. The relaxed lattice constant for graphene is \( a_0 = 2.46 \) Å while the TMD lattice constant \( a_{\text{MoTe2}} \) changes accordingly the values presented in the table above. (* ) In these cases, graphene's lattice constant was slightly modified to 2.42 Å (a) and 2.47 Å (b).

| Twist angle (θ degrees) | MoTe2 strain% | Graphene supercell lattice vectors | MoTe2 supercell lattice vectors |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0                      | 3.5           | (3, 0), (0, 3)                    | (2, 0), (0, 2)                  |
| 0 (a)                  | 2.5           | (7, 0), (0, 7)                    | (5, 0), (0, 5)                  |
| 0                      | 2.0           | (7, 0), (0, 7)                    | (5, 0), (0, 5)                  |
| 0                      | 0.5           | (10, 0), (0, 10)                  | (7, 0), (0, 7)                  |
| 0 (b)                  | -0.71         | (10, 0), (0, 10)                  | (7, 0), (0, 7)                  |
| 15.21                  | 0.61          | (8, 7), (-7, 15)                  | (3, 7), (-7, 10)                |
| 15.00                  | 1.95          | (16, 9), (-9, 25)                 | (7, 10), (-10, 17)              |
| 15.75                  | 2.45          | (18, 7), (-7, 25)                 | (15, 0), (0, 15)                |
| 14.99                  | 3.55          | (14, 3), (-3, 17)                 | (7.5, (-5, 12)                  |
| 30.00                  | -0.71         | (10, 5), (-5, 15)                 | (2, 8), (-8, 10)                |
| 30.49                  | 2.35          | (13, 5), (-5, 18)                 | (3, 9), (-9, 12)                |
| 30.00                  | 3.26          | (13, 0), (0, 13)                  | (5, 5), (-5, 10)                |

Figure 2. Projected band structure of graphene on monolayer MoTe2 at zero twist angle. The gray scale indicates the projection of the band-states into carbon orbitals. The inset shows the band structure around the Fermi level where the colors represent bands with opposite spins. These results were obtained in a 7 × 7 graphene supercell on a 5 × 5 MoTe2 supercell.

The grayscale indicates the projection of the band states into the carbon orbitals and demonstrates that graphene’s Dirac cone is preserved and lies within the MoTe2 bandgap, maintaining its pz nature. This result justifies the use of an effective graphene-only Hamiltonian in subsequent sections. The inset represents a zoom within a ~3 to 3 meV energy window, where there is an evident spin splitting of ~0.5 meV, which we attribute to the proximity-induced SOC. Our results differ from a previous work where the position of the Dirac cone is below the valence band of the MoTe2 [6], because in this latter work supercells with strain values higher than 10% were used producing an artificial enhancement of charge transfer that shifted the Dirac cone position [44, 45].

Free standing graphene belongs to the D\(_{6h}\) point group that only allows for the presence of a Kane–Mele type SOC [46]. On top of a TMD substrate, graphene’s symmetry is reduced to C\(_{3v}\), dictating the following Hamiltonian [47]:

\[
H = \hbar \nu_F (\tau \sigma_x \kappa_x + \sigma_y \kappa_y) + \Delta \sigma_z + H_{\text{soc}},
\]

(1)

where \( \hbar \) the reduced Planck’s constant, \( \nu_F \) the Fermi velocity, \( \kappa = (\kappa_x, \kappa_y) \) the crystal momentum around the Dirac points, \( \tau \) is 1/−1 for the K/K’ Dirac’s cones, \( \sigma_i \) (with \( i = x, y, z \)) the Pauli matrices acting on the sublattice space. The second term is a staggered potential that opens a bandgap of size \( \Delta \). Finally, the third contribution

\[
H_{\text{soc}} = \lambda_{1} \sigma_z t_z s_z + \lambda_{VZ} t_z s_z + \lambda_{R} (\kappa \sigma_x s_y - \sigma_y s_x)
\]

(2)

models the SOC, and consists of the intrinsic, valley Zeeman (VZ), and Rashba spin-orbit couplings with strength \( \lambda_{1} \), \( \lambda_{VZ} \), and \( \lambda_{R} \) respectively, where \( s_i \) (with \( i = x, y, z \)) represents the Pauli matrices acting on the spin space [6, 47]. It is important to highlight that despite the presence of uniform strain and twist angle, the point group is unchanged given that both structures belong to \( C_{3v} \) in this configuration. However, for cases with uniaxial strain or different structural phases of the TMD new spin-orbit manifestations could be necessary.

3. Twist-angle and strain

In figure 3, we show the band structure and spin texture around the Dirac point for the heterobilayer twisted by a 15° angle. We found a remarkable agreement between our model (lines) and DFT calculations (symbols). This result shows that the \( C_{3v} \) symmetry-based model is sufficient to capture the relevant physics of the twisted system and yields to similar results obtained via Slater–Koster methods [11–13]. Moreover, we see a drastic change in the SOC splitting with respect to the untwisted case, displaying a reduction from ~0.5 meV to values below 0.1 meV. These results are the first demonstration via DFT that
twisting can strongly modulate proximity interaction and, hence, SOC effects. Following a similar procedure, we determined the SOC parameters at different strain and twist angle values shown in table 1. To separate the role of strain and twist angle we choose supercells with similar strain values evaluating in each case the layers misalignment effects.

In figure 4(a), we present the results for zero twist angle heterostructures with different strains. The main outcome is a dominant and mostly strain-independent Rashba SOC having the largest values of $\lambda_R$ with strain up to $2.5\%$, except for the negative strain $-0.71\%$, where the VZ interaction exceeds the other contributions. We suggest that this behavior is related to the Dirac cone position within the TMD band gap. For instance, the structures with $-0.71\%$ and $3.5\%$ strain present larger $\lambda_{VZ}$ values when compared to the Rashba parameters (note the log scale); this is corroborated by their band structures depicted in the supplementary material, where the Dirac cone position lies closer to the valence band, hence, resembling to the situation for MoS$_2$ where the out-of-plane component of the spin texture is the largest one. We reached this conclusion after considering the expression for the VZ interaction, described by the following equation [13]

$$\lambda_{VZ} \propto \sum_b \Delta_i \Delta E = \Delta_s^2,$$

where $\Delta E$ is the energy difference between TMD bands ($b_i$) and the Dirac point without taking into account SOC, $\Delta_i$ is the spin splitting of the TMD bands due to the intrinsic SOC. In this case, from equation (3), we infer that the position of the Dirac cone is a signature of a system with a small VZ interaction which might be the result of the twisting and electrostatic nature of the interfacial interaction. The Rashba interaction takes a more complicated form but remains mostly insensitive to the relative position of the Dirac cone and depends more on the strength of the SOC of the TMD’s constituent atoms, explaining a larger Rashba obtained for MoTe$_2$ compared to WSe$_2$ as a result from the difference between Te and Se atomic numbers. Additionally, we see a strong modulation of the VZ interaction with strain, in particular, VZ is generally smaller than Rashba for low strain, a situation that contrasts with previous calculations performed considering different TMDs [6, 7, 11–13]. Recent studies [12, 13] suggest tunneling as the origin of both Rashba and Valley-Zeeman SOC. Therefore, a given condition that minimizes the Rashba SOC should suppress VZ simultaneously. Moreover, the VZ interaction is predicted to peak for certain angles when the Dirac cone lies close to

![Figure 3. Electronic band structure around one of the Dirac points (a) and spin texture components (b)–(d) computed from DFT (symbols) and model Hamiltonian (lines) for graphene/MoTe$_2$ twisted by 15°. Red and black colors identify spin up and down, respectively. Spin textures in momentum space are computed along the same path as the band structure.](image)

![Figure 4. Band gap ($\Delta$) and SOC parameters extracted for graphene/MoTe$_2$ at different percentage of strain and angles. In (a) we show the untwisted case for 0°, (b) displays the values for angles $\sim 15°$ while in (c) there are the angles $\sim 30°$. Color code is indicated in the inset. A logarithmic scale is used only in the case of zero twist angle.](image)
the conduction band [13]. In the MoTe$_2$-based heterostructures, the Dirac cone lies instead near the valence band, so we expect VZ to be maximal for the untwisted structure [13], which is in agreement with our results. We note that there is currently no theory that supports our observed behavior, where Rashba SOC dominates over all other interactions. Such a regime is said to display optimal spin-to-charge conversion [48] and unconventional spin-orbit torque [49] which is expected to occur in pristine graphene for instance, although there is still no transport evidence demonstrating it [16]. We attribute this hitherto unknown regime to the difference in lattice constant of graphene and MoTe$_2$. Selenium- and sulfur-based TMDs possess similar lattice constants and display similar moiré patterns. The lattice constant of tellurium-based TMDs is 10% larger and changes the periodicity of the moiré pattern. An indication of this behavior is the fact that for graphene on MoTe$_2$ we need to build a much larger supercell (10 × 10 graphene supercell) compared to the other TMDs (4 × 4 graphene supercell) to attain a similar level of strain when studying the untwisted structures. The 3.5% strain case displays a different behavior than its less strained counterparts. We traced back this phenomenon to a Kékule distortion appearing in this particular arrangement due to the fact that there is a metallic and a chalcogen atom at the center of two different carbon rings, making them nonequivalent and distorting the nearby hoppings (supplementary material). Another evidence of the Kékule distortion is the large band gap observed for this structure. This situation resembles early calculations of graphene on top of topological insulators [9]. In this work, we omitted the SOC parameters corresponding to the 3 (TMD) × 4 (graphene) supercell due to its value of strain larger than 5%, which buries the Dirac cone into the TMD valence bands, preventing the use of a graphene-only Hamiltonian.

We will now discuss the effect of twist angle and its interplay with strain. In figure 4(b) we show the SOC parameters obtained for heterobilayers rotated by an angle $\theta = 15^\circ$. The most prominent result is a strong reduction of all parameters compared with the untwisted structures, which indicates a suppression of proximity-effects. We here argue that this behavior can arise from the modified distances between Tellurium and Carbon atoms due the relative rotation between the layers [50]. However, the general trend is in agreement with previous calculations that attribute it to a change in the tunneling parameters due to an indirect coupling between the graphene and TMD bands [13]. We clearly identify the predominance of Rashba SOC except for the smallest strain 0.61%, where $\lambda_{\text{VZ}}$ is larger. The larger values of $\lambda_R$ are expected from symmetry considerations since the horizontal mirror plane is lifted at any angle. The staggered potential and VZ interaction are both associated with a vertical mirror plane that can be restored at certain twist angles. To offer a broader view of the strain and twist-angle dependence, we compiled all the spin-orbit parameters in a single three-dimensional bar plot (supplementary material).

In figure 4(c) we extend our analysis to the bilayer with a twist angle of $\theta = 30^\circ$. We omit in the plot the band gap $\Delta$ and $\lambda_{\text{VZ}}$ parameters as they become negligible on the scale used to show $\lambda_R$ and $\lambda_I$. The overall conclusion is that rotating the structures with respect to each other, suppresses the tunneling between monolayers reducing the SOC parameters, such that they attain their smallest values for the $30^\circ$ twist angle. This could explain the variability in the SOC parameters measured over different experiments, growing conditions, and substrate choice [16, 51]. Under the same assumption based on equation (3), the physical origin of the reduction in the parameters can be related to the graphene’s Brillouin Zone rotation, leading to a decreasing in the tunneling between carbon $p_z$ orbitals and the TMD bands as we depict in supplementary material. From the two non-vanishing parameters in this regime we note modulations in $\lambda_R$ and $\lambda_I$ for different strain values. This leads us to point out the sensitivity to strain effects in these type of heterostructures, which might have an impact in experimental measurements.

We also found a topological transition in the heterostructure by calculating the $Z_2$ topological invariant depicted in figure 5. We calculated the topological index by means of ZZ2Pack [52]. From the tight-binding Hamiltonian we can obtain the hybrid Wannier charge centers $\hat{y}^a$’s defined modulo a lattice vector due to the ambiguity in determining the unit cell [53, 54], in this sense, rather than computing the Chern number as an integral of the Berry curvature over the Brillouin zone, the Chern number $C$ is calculated like

$$C = \frac{1}{a_y} \left( \sum_n \sum_{k_x} \hat{y}_n(k_x = 2\pi) - \sum_n \sum_{k_x} \hat{y}_n(k_x = 0) \right),$$

where $\hat{y}$’s are assumed to be smooth functions in $k_x$. The fact that these functions are periodic modulo a lattice vector $a_y$, means that a non-zero Chern number is related to the impossibility of finding a smooth and periodic set of Bloch functions for the those bands. When imposing time reversal symmetry (TRS), the topological classification is given in terms of the $\nu(Z_2)$ invariant. In this case $S_1$ and $S_2$ [55] subspaces have states related by TRS which carry opposite Chern numbers, therefore the topological invariant $\nu$ will be either zero or one

$$\nu = (C_{S_1} - C_{S_2})/2 \mod 2,$$

given that for non-trivial topological phases $C_{S_1,2}$ are odd. For the MoTe$_2$-based heterostructure figure 5(b) we observed a trivial phase for all values of twist angle, but for the WSe$_2$ heterostructure figure 5(a) it exhibits a topological transition from a nontrivial state in
15° to a trivial in 30°. For the latter heterostructure the twist angle is able to modulate the Kane–Mele interaction by an order of magnitude such that the system becomes a trivial insulator. This represents a plausible explanation for the unusual large topological behavior measured recently via weak antilocalization measurements [24, 25], opening a door for tuning topological properties via twist angle.

4. Spin dynamics

Since our model can well reproduce the spin-textures for all our configurations, it can be used to determine the spin-lifetime anisotropy within the Dyakonov–Perel regime [9, 15, 56, 57]. To show this, let us recall that the electron’s spin under the effect of an applied magnetic field will undergoes Larmor’s precession with a frequency \( \omega = \Delta_\mathbf{z}/\hbar \), where \( \Delta_\mathbf{z} \) the Zeeman splitting. In the Dyakonov–Perel regime, the SOC can be considered as a momentum-dependent magnetic field given by \( \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}) = \omega \langle \hat{s}_\mathbf{z} \rangle \mathbf{k} \), with \( \langle \hat{s}_\mathbf{z} \rangle \) the spin texture [9]. Since the direction of this field depends on the momentum, scattering processes will induce random fluctuations that will lead to spin relaxation at the following rate

\[
\tau_{s,\alpha}^{-1} = \omega \tau_{s,\alpha} (|S|^2 - S_\alpha^2),
\]

where \( S_\alpha^2 \equiv \langle \hat{s}_\alpha \rangle^2 \) the average of the \( \alpha = x, y, z \) component of the spin texture around the Fermi level, and \( \tau_{s,\alpha} \) the time that takes to randomize the \( \alpha \)-component of the effective magnetic field [56]. It is usual to consider \( \tau_{s,x} = \tau_{s,y} = \tau_{s,z} = \tau_p \), with \( \tau_p \) the momentum relaxation time. But graphene’s special SOC allows for an extra contribution to in-plane rates when there is an out-of-plane component of the spin texture. Due to time-reversal symmetry, such a texture leads to spin-valley coupling, which activates the relaxation via intervalley scattering processes characterized by the time \( \tau_{iv} \) [15]. Accordingly, by using Mathiessen’s Rule one gets

\[
\tau_{s,x}^{-1} = \tau_{s,y}^{-1} = \tau_{iv}^{-1} + \tau_p^{-1},
\]

The spin-lifetime anisotropy \( \zeta \), is defined as the ratio between out-of-plane and in-plane spin relaxation times \( \zeta \equiv \tau_z/\tau_x \) [58], and following the discussion above, it is easy to see that it is directly related to the spin textures [9]

\[
\zeta = \frac{\tau_z}{\tau_x} = \frac{1}{1 + \tau_p/\tau_{iv}} \sum_n S_n^2 - S_{x,n}^2,
\]

where the sum is over all bands crossing the Fermi level. The spin-lifetime anisotropies computed by applying equation (6) to the different configurations are presented in figure 6. We note that the largest modulations in SOC happens for the zero twist angle, we have chosen the set of values below as representative of all the parameters considered in this work, namely strain and twist angle. The most prominent result is a giant spin-lifetime anisotropy at the Dirac point that is a consequence of the combination of the Rashba SOC and the staggered potential. Let us remind that due to spin-pseudospin coupling [59],

![Figure 5](image-url) Z₂ topological invariant by means of the Wannier charge centers (WCC’s) for (a) WSe₂/graphene (ν = 1) and (b) MoTe₂/graphene (ν = 0) heterostructures. Circles represent the evolution of the WCC’s within a unit cell as a function of \( k_x \) while blue rhomboids mark midpoints between largest gaps. The appearance of two disjoint diamonds in (b) suggest an even number of crossings with the WCC’s evolution, characterizing a trivial topological phase.

![Figure 6](image-url) Spin-lifetime anisotropy in terms of \( \tau_z/\tau_x \) for different structures. We can see how the anisotropy increases with VZ. The vertical dashed lines represent the energy values at which we identified the maximum and minimum anisotropy values. The inset shows the range of values taken by the anisotropy for each case. We label the moiré structures by their twist angle. The smallest anisotropy range is obtained for the 30° twisted heterostructure.
the staggered potential will polarize both pseudospin and spin graphene’s degrees of freedom out of the plane close to the Dirac point, creating a texture similar to that one produced by valley-Zeeman interaction [60–62]. At higher energies, the anisotropy decays the systems with where VZ is much smaller than Rashba SOC (0.5%) because the bands become linear, and the texture becomes solely in-plane. As discussed previously, in-plane spin textures allow to consider all relaxation rates equal to the momentum relaxation time and lead to an anisotropy of $\zeta = 1/2$, which is consistent with our results. However, when the VZ becomes comparable to Rashba SOC it still produces the out-of-plane tilting of the spin textures and lead to the typical giant spin lifetime anisotropy. The effect of the gap-induced spin-valley coupling is more prominent in the case of the distorted Kékule structure because of its giant gap and is similar to previous results of graphene on Bi$_2$Se$_3$ [9]. These results highlight two things, first that a giant spin-lifetime anisotropy is not a smoking gun of valley-Zeeman interaction but of spin-valley coupling, and a gate-dependence should always be performed to identify the different mechanisms, and also that rotation angle may induce substantial changes in the spin and transport properties of graphene/TMD heterostructures. In particular, polycrystallinity or structural disorder that restore in average the sub-lattice symmetry will be detrimental to the giant spin lifetime anisotropy.

5. Conclusions

We have found that the SOC of graphene/TMD heterostructures can be strongly modulated by strain and layers misalignment. Our results for the WSe$_2$ are in agreement with previous tight-binding-based calculations [11–13], but we found a strong dependence of the intrinsic SOC coupling that provokes a topological transition. Additionally, the prediction of giant enhancement for SOC parameters, reaching values as large as 3.0 meV [11–13], is not supported by our DFT results. This is particularly true for MoTe$_2$, where graphene Dirac cone is very close to the valence band of MoTe$_2$, and accordingly, it is expected to present a massive imprinted SOC. By an extensive study considering different misalignments and strain values, we found that the Rashba SOC is the most sensitive to strain and twisting angle, enabling us to study the interplay with other SOC interactions and allowing us to tune the system into an ideal Dirac–Rashba model, as a result of particular interest for low-power memories based on spin-orbit torque [35]. We also show that a gate-dependent giant spin-lifetime anisotropy would be conclusive evidence of such state. These findings highlight the impact of orientation angle between layers, which could serve as guidance for angle-engineering that will aim at maximizing the proximity-induced SOC and spin transport figure of merits.
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