Cdc42p regulation of the yeast formin Bni1p mediated by the effector Gic2p
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ABSTRACT Actin filaments are dynamically reorganized to accommodate ever-changing cellular needs for intracellular transport, morphogenesis, and migration. Formins, a major family of actin nucleators, are believed to function as direct effectors of Rho GTPases, such as the polarity regulator Cdc42p. However, the presence of extensive redundancy has made it difficult to assess the in vivo significance of the low-affinity Rho GTPase–formin interaction and specifically whether Cdc42p polarizes the actin cytoskeleton via direct formin binding. Here we exploit a synthetically rewired budding yeast strain to eliminate the redundancy, making regulation of the formin Bni1p by Cdc42p essential for viability. Surprisingly, we find that direct Cdc42p–Bni1p interaction is dispensable for Bni1p regulation. Alternative paths linking Cdc42p and Bni1p via “polarisome” components Spa2p and Bud6p are also collectively dispensable. We identify a novel regulatory input to Bni1p acting through the Cdc42p effector, Gic2p. This pathway is sufficient to localize Bni1p to the sites of Cdc42p action and promotes a polarized actin organization in both rewired and wild-type contexts. We suggest that an indirect mechanism linking Rho GTPases and formins via Rho effectors may provide finer spatiotemporal control for the formin-nucleated actin cytoskeleton.

INTRODUCTION

Actin filaments function as tracks, scaffolds, and force-generating devices for numerous cellular processes, including intracellular transport, morphogenesis, and cell motility (Campellone and Welch, 2010). Dynamic regulation of actin filament assembly and disassembly is critical to ensure that proper cellular functions occur at the right time and place. Such regulation is believed to rely to a large degree on nucleators of actin polymerization, including formins.

Formins are a conserved family of proteins that nucleate actin polymerization and facilitate barbed-end elongation (Chesarone et al., 2010). These catalytic activities are conducted by the formin homology 2 (FH2) domain, which dimerizes to form a donut-shaped catalytic core (Xu et al., 2004; Otomo et al., 2005b). The adjacent proline-rich formin homology 1 (FH1) domain recruits profilin-bound actin monomers, speeding barbed-end elongation (Sagot et al., 2002b; Romero et al., 2004; Kovar et al., 2006). Catalytic activity of many formin proteins can be suppressed by autoinhibitory binding of an N-terminal Diaphanous inhibitory domain (DID) to a C-terminal Diaphanous autoregulatory domain (DAD; Alberts, 2001; Li and Higgs, 2003; Wang et al., 2009). Autoinhibition can be disrupted by binding of Rho GTPases to the GTPase-binding domain (GBD), which partially overlaps with the DID (Lammers et al., 2005; Otomo et al., 2005a; Rose et al., 2005; Nezami et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2007). However, releasing the antioinhibitory effect of DID–DAD interactions in vitro requires very high concentrations of Rho proteins, raising the possibility that other mechanisms may help to activate formins in vivo (Li and Higgs, 2003; Seth et al., 2006).

Studies in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae showed that formins were specifically required to nucleate and organize particular actin structures (Evangelista et al., 2002; Pruyne et al., 2002; Sagot et al., 2002a,b; Kovar and Pollard, 2004). Budding yeast have two formins, Bni1p and Bnr1p, which share a conserved modular
domain organization with the 15 mammalian formins (Chesarone et al., 2010). Bni1p and Bnr1p nucleate and elongate filaments that are organized into two actin structures in yeast: actin cables that are polarized along the mother–bud axis and act as tracks for myosin-mediated delivery of vesicles and organelles to the bud, and the actomyosin ring at the mother–bud neck that contributes to cytokinesis. Bni1p and Bnr1p are each sufficient for cell survival, whereas deleting both formins leads to lethality (Vallen et al., 2000; Ozaki-Kuroda et al., 2001).

The polarized organization of actin cables in yeast relies on the conserved Rho-family GTPase Cdc42p (Adams et al., 1990; Chen et al., 1997; Howell and Lew, 2012). Cdc42p can bind to Bni1p and colocalizes with Bni1p at the bud tip, suggesting that Bni1p is a direct effector of Cdc42p (Evangelista et al., 1997, 2002; Sagot et al., 2002a). In addition, Bni1p interacts with the “polarisome” components, Spa2p and Bud6p (Evangelista et al., 1997; Fujiwara et al., 1998; Sheu et al., 1998). Spa2p is believed to contribute to Bni1p localization at the bud tip (Fujikawa et al., 1998). Bud6p binds actin monomers (Amberg et al., 1997) and promotes Bni1p-mediated actin filament nucleation in vitro (Graziano et al., 2011). Remarkably, however, it appears that a short catalytic FH1–FH2 domain construct from either Bni1p or Bnr1p suffices to provide essential formin functions in yeast (Gao and Bretscher, 2009). The catalytic fragment is diffusely localized throughout the cell and lacks the domains required for autoinhibition and for interaction with Rho GTPases and polarisome components. Although an excess of actin cables appears to be randomly oriented in these cells, some degree of cable orientation can occur via compensatory mechanisms, such as actin-capturing myosin II at the bud neck (Gao and Bretscher, 2009). The yeast cell’s ability to cope with deregulated formins has hindered efforts to apply the power of yeast genetics to test the proposed role of the Cdc42p–Bni1p connection.

Polarity establishment in wild-type budding yeast involves a positive feedback loop that concentrates active Cdc42p at the incipient bud site (Johnson et al., 2011). The feedback mechanism involves recruitment of a rapidly diffusing cytoplasmic polarity complex by cortical GTP-Cdc42p. The complex contains a p21-activated kinase, the scaffold protein Bem1p, and the Cdc42p-directed guanine nucleotide exchange factor Cdc24p, which promotes GTP loading of Cdc42p (Kozubowski et al., 2008). Recruitment of the complex by GTP-Cdc42p therefore causes neighboring Cdc42p to become GTP bound, leading to recruitment of more complex and the formation of a polarity cluster. Cdc42p polarization by this mechanism is independent of F-actin (Ayscough et al., 1997; Iraozqui et al., 2003). A polarity cluster containing Cdc42p, Bem1p, and effectors is believed to form before actin polarization (Howell et al., 2009), allowing it to subsequently nucleate (via formins) or capture (via poorly characterized mechanisms) actin cables.

We recently generated a “rewired” strain, in which the positive-feedback mechanism is synthetically rewired to involve actin cables (Howell et al., 2009). In the rewired cell, the sole copy of Bem1p is fused to the vesicle soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor (v-SNARE) Snc2p, which is concentrated on secretory and endocytic vesicles. The idea behind this manipulation is that it simultaneously blocks the normal positive-feedback mechanism (because the Bem1p complex is no longer able to diffuse rapidly in the cytoplasm to be captured by cortical GTP-Cdc42p) and enables a different type of positive feedback (because the vesicle-tethered Bem1p-Snc2p complex can now be delivered to cortical GTP-Cdc42p by actin cables). As predicted by this hypothesis, F-actin is required for polarity establishment in rewired cells (Howell et al., 2009).

We now show that in rewired cells, Bni1p activity and its regulation are essential for viability, allowing us to dissect the functional relevance of individual Bni1p regulatory inputs. Surprisingly, neither direct regulation by Rho proteins nor interactions with the polarisome components were necessary for Bni1p regulation in this context. Instead, other N-terminal regions were sufficient to connect formin activity to Cdc42p. We identified a novel interaction of a region overlapping the DID with the Cdc42p effector Gic2p, and fusion of the formin FH1–FH2 domain to the N-terminus of Gic2p was sufficient to provide regulated formin function in both rewired and wild-type cells. Our data suggest that rather than serving as a direct Cdc42p effector, Bni1p (and possibly other formins) can be regulated indirectly via other Cdc42p effectors.

RESULTS

Dynamics of polarity establishment in wild-type and rewired cells

In wild-type cells, polarity proteins, including Bem1p and Cdc42p, are believed to become polarized before actin cables. In contrast, in rewired cells actin cables and the factors enabling their nucleation/capture by GTP-Cdc42p should all become concentrated at the polarization site with similar kinetics to the Bem1p–Snc2p protein. To investigate the timing of polarity-establishment events, we tagged various proteins with green fluorescent protein (GFP) at the endogenous locus in a strain that also expressed a red fluorescent septin marker, Cdc3p–mCherry. We quantified the intervals between green and red fluorescent markers’ first appearance at the incipient bud site. We focused on the polarization timing in mother cells because daughters (although displaying the same ordered sequence of events) exhibited greater variability in timing (Chen et al., 2011; Figure 1, right).

In wild-type cells, Bem1p-GFP recruitment preceded that of the septin marker Cdc3p–mCherry by ∼3 min (Chen et al., 2011; Figure 1A). GFP-Sec4p, a vesicle marker, was recruited 1.5 min before Cdc3p–mCherry (Figure 1B), indicating that actin cables polarize and deliver vesicles before septin recruitment. GFP-Bni1p was detected 0.8 min before Cdc3p–mCherry (Figure 1C), and Bnr1p-GFP was detected 6.1 min after Cdc3p–mCherry (Figure 1D). The fact that we detected Sec4p/vesicle accumulation before Bni1p accumulation was surprising, as we expected that Bni1p would be responsible for nucleating the actin cables that deliver the secretory vesicles. It could be that such cables are initially nucleated elsewhere and captured by polarity factors and that Bni1p recruitment does not occur until slightly later. However, the short lag between Sec4p and Bni1p recruitment to the polarization site may simply be due to the detection sensitivity for these probes, as the intensity of GFP-Bni1p signal is significantly weaker than that of GFP-Sec4p. Thus, during normal polarity establishment Bem1p accumulation is followed ∼1.5 min later by recruitment of actin cables and secretory vesicles, which is followed ∼1.5 min after that by recruitment of septins (Figure 1E). Recruitment of the formin Bnr1p occurred significantly later, once a septin ring was well established, suggesting that Bnr1p recruitment does not normally contribute to initial actin polarization.

In the rewired cells, Bem1p–GFP-Snc2p was detected at the incipient bud site only 0.9 min before Cdc3p–mCherry (Figure 1F), and GFP-Sec4p polarized 1.0 min before Cdc3p–mCherry (Figure 1G). We infer that Bem1p–Snc2p is recruited to the incipient bud site together with actin cables, as expected. GFP-Bni1p was detected 0.75 min after Cdc3p–mCherry (Figure 1H), whereas Bnr1p only arrived 4.3 min after Cdc3p–mCherry (Figure 1I). As in wild-type cells, the apparent lag between vesicle markers and Bni1p recruitment
G1-phase cells from permissive-temperature cultures were enriched by centrifugal elutriation and then shifted to restrictive temperature to inactivate bni1-12. Most bni1-12 BEM1-GFP-SNC2 cells failed to bud, and the remainder produced “fat” buds with wide necks (Figure 2B). The unbudded cells grew big and round (Figure 2D) and stayed unpolarized (Figure 2E), whereas the control BNI1 BEM1-GFP-SNC2 cells polarized and completed the budding cycle. Rewired BNI1 and bni1-12 cells replicated DNA with similar timing (Figure 2C), indicating that the G1–S transition did not require Bni1p. There was an accumulation of G2/M cells in the rewired bni1-12 strain at later times, consistent with the expectation that unbudded cells could not undergo cytokinesis. These results indicate that BNI1 is needed for efficient polarization in the rewired cells.

Regulation of Bni1p is necessary for polarization in rewired cells

To test whether individual formins are essential in the rewired cells, we deleted one copy of BNI1 or BNR1 in a diploid strain and examined the viability of haploid segregants following sporulation and tetrad dissection. We found that bni1 mutants were synthetically lethal with BEM1-SNC2, indicating that BNI1 is essential in the rewired cells (Figure 2A). Deletion of BNR1, in contrast, was well tolerated by the rewired cells (Figure 2A), indicating that Bnr1p is neither necessary nor sufficient for formin functions in the rewired context. Based on the synthetic lethality and polarization timing, it seemed probable that BNI1 was the only formin present at the initial polarization site and needed for polarity establishment in the rewired cells; however, BNI1 has also been implicated in other cellular processes, such as cytokinesis and the proper organization of septin rings (Kohno et al., 1996; Kadota et al., 2004; Gladfelter et al., 2005).

To investigate the essential role of Bni1p in rewired cells, we introduced the temperature-sensitive (ts) bni1-12 allele into the BEM1-GFP-SNC2 background and performed time-course experiments. G1-phase cells from permissive-temperature cultures were enriched by centrifugal elutriation and then shifted to restrictive temperature to inactivate bni1-12. Most bni1-12 BEM1-GFP-SNC2 cells failed to bud, and the remainder produced “fat” buds with wide necks (Figure 2B). The unbudded cells grew big and round (Figure 2D) and stayed unpolarized (Figure 2E), whereas the control BNI1 BEM1-GFP-SNC2 cells polarized and completed the budding cycle. Rewired BNI1 and bni1-12 cells replicated DNA with similar timing (Figure 2C), indicating that the G1–S transition did not require Bni1p. There was an accumulation of G2/M cells in the rewired bni1-12 strain at later times, consistent with the expectation that unbudded cells could not undergo cytokinesis. These results indicate that BNI1 is needed for efficient polarization in the rewired cells.

Regulation of Bni1p is essential in rewired cells

Yeast cells lacking both BNI1 and BNR1 are able to survive if they express a formin catalytic fragment (FH1–FH2) believed to promote unregulated, delocalized actin nucleation (Gao and Bretscher, 2009). However, we found that the same Bni1p catalytic fragment (FH1–FH2) was not able to complement the requirement for BNI1 in rewired cells (Figure 2A, B, and D). Thus, regulation of Bni1p is dispensable in normal cells but critical in rewired cells, making this genetic background ideal for dissecting the physiological significance of Bni1p regulatory inputs. Because the rewiring scheme does not involve changing the mechanism of actin regulation by Cdc42p, we expect that formin regulation in the rewired strain will be similar to that in the wild-type context.

FIGURE 1: Timing of protein polarization in wild-type and rewired cells. Wild-type (A–D) (DLY11909, 13890, 13344, 14014) and rewired (F–I) (DLY13072, 13884, 13945, 14026) cells were imaged and scored for the first appearance (arrows) of the indicated proteins at the incipient bud site. Inverted images are shown. Time intervals between polarization of GFP-tagged proteins and the septin Cdc3p-mCherry were quantified in mother and daughter cells (right; D, daughters; M, mothers). (E, J) Summary of the mean ± SEM timing in mother cells. Scale bar, 5 μm.
In principle, regulation of Bni1p might be needed for localizing the nucleation activity to the forming polarization site and/or for tuning (e.g., by autoinhibition) the catalytic activity to avoid producing an excessive number of actin filaments. In the latter scenario, unregulated nucleation by the FH1–FH2 fragment would be toxic even in the presence of wild-type Bni1p. We tested this hypothesis but found that rewired cells containing the FH1–FH2 fragment in addition to the endogenous Bni1p were viable and showed normal morphology (Figure 3, B–D). These results suggest that in rewired cells, unregulated formin activity is not catastrophic; rather, regulation is needed to target formin activity to the growing cluster of F-actin.

The GTPase-binding domain is not necessary for Bni1p regulation

The prevailing view of formin regulation by Rho-family GTPases, based on biochemical dissection of diaphanous-family formins, is that direct interaction between the GTPase (in this case Cdc42p) and the formin mediates both targeting and activation through relief of autoinhibition (Alberts, 2001; Li and Higgs, 2003; Lammers et al., 2005; Otomo et al., 2005a; Rose et al., 2005; Nezami et al., 2006; Seth et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2007). However, we found that a construct lacking the Bni1p GTPase-binding domain (GBD) remained fully competent to rescue the formin requirement in rewired cells and yielded cells with normal morphology (Figure 4, A and B). This finding suggests that direct Cdc42p–Bni1p interaction is dispensable for formin regulation.

In addition to the GBD, Bni1p harbors binding domains that interact with Spa2p (Spa2p-binding domain [SBD]) and Bud6p (Bud6p-binding domain [BBD]), polarisome components that can also bind to each other (Evangelista et al., 1997; Fujiwara et al., 1998; Sheu et al., 1998). Both Spa2p and Bud6p are localized to polarity sites (Snyder, 1989; Amberg et al., 1997; Arkowitz and Lowe, 1997), raising the possibility that they might mediate regulatory inputs from Cdc42p to Bni1p. Deleting either the SBD or the BBD did not compromise viability of the rewired cell (Figure 4B). Remarkably, even simultaneously deleting the GBD, SBD, and BBD did not prevent Bni1p\[sup]\Delta\[/Sup] from rescuing the bni1\[Delta\] BEM1-SNC2 synthetic lethality (Figure 4B). These results demonstrate that the direct interactions of Bni1p with Cdc42p, Spa2p, and Bud6p are all dispensable for Bni1p regulation and suggest that the critical regulation of Bni1p required in rewired cells can be mediated by N-terminal regions that were not known to receive regulatory inputs.

N-terminal regions can mediate Bni1p localization

To investigate the contributions of Bni1p N-terminal regions to Bni1p localization at the polarization site, we constructed wild-type (i.e., not rewired) strains in which the only copy of Bni1p was tagged with GFP. Bni1p lacking the BBD and Bni1p\[sup]Δ\[/Sup] were able to localize both to the bud tip in small- and medium-budded cells and to the bud neck in large-budded cells (Figure 5A). To test whether the localization of these Bni1p constructs requires actin cables, we treated cells with latrunculin A to depolymerize actin. The constructs were still able to polarize and colocalized with the polarity marker Bem1p-tDTomato (Figure 5B). In contrast, the catalytic fragment (FH1–FH2) was diffusely localized in the cytoplasm and nucleus in the presence or absence of F-actin. Thus, N-terminal regions of Bni1p outside the known GBD and SBD can target the formin to polarity sites independent of F-actin.

Bni1p interacts with the Cdc42p effector Gic2p

If none of the known formin interactions is required for the localization and regulation of Bni1p, then how is Bni1p activity tied to Cdc42p in rewired cells? We took a candidate approach to ask...
whether known Cdc42p effectors might interact with Bni1p. The related Cdc42/Rac interactive binding (CRIB)-domain effectors Gic1p and Gic2p have been implicated in both actin and septin polarization (Brown et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1997; Bi et al., 2000; Jaquenoud and Peter, 2000; Iwase et al., 2006). We found that myc-tagged Gic2p could be communoprecipitated with both full-length Bni1p and Bni1pΔ3 (Figure 6A). Binding to full-length Bni1p was stronger than binding to Bni1pΔ3, consistent with previous reports that Gic2p can bind to Bud6p and possibly Spa2p (Jaquenoud and Peter, 2000). Gic2p-myc did not bind to the FH1–FH2 fragment (Figure 6A), suggesting that the interaction requires N-terminal regions of Bni1p. We narrowed down the Gic2p-binding region of Bni1p to the portion between the GBD and SBD (ND2, residues 343–825; Figure 6B). Scale bar, 5 μm. (B) Left, schematic of Bni1p indicating GBD, SBD, and BBD domains that interact with GTP-Cdc42p, Spa2p, and Bud6p, respectively. CC, coiled coil; DAD, Diaphanous autoregulatory domain; DD, dimerization domain; DID, Diaphanous inhibitory domain; FH1, formin homology 1; FH2, formin homology 2. Right, spore viability of cells with the indicated genotypes was deduced from tetrad dissection of heterozygous diploids (DLY14194, 14195, 12959, 12960).

Regulation of Bni1p using the Gic2p GTP-Cdc42p–binding domain

If Bni1p regulation occurs predominantly via a GTP-Cdc42p–Gic2p–Bni1p linkage, it might be possible to bypass the need for polarization. These findings suggest that Gic1p and Gic2p act in parallel with other regulators of Bni1p function in wild-type as well as in rewired cells.

FIGURE 4: The GTPase-binding domain is not necessary for Bni1p regulation. (A) The GBD is not required for Bni1p regulation in rewired cells. DIC images of rewired cells expressing full-length (left; DLY13095) Bni1p or a version lacking the GBD (right; DLY14223). Scale bar, 5 μm. (B) Left, schematic of Bni1p indicating GBD, SBD, and BBD domains that interact with GTP-Cdc42p, Spa2p, and Bud6p, respectively. CC, coiled coil; DAD, Diaphanous autoregulatory domain; DD, dimerization domain; DID, Diaphanous inhibitory domain; FH1, formin homology 1; FH2, formin homology 2. Right, spore viability of cells with the indicated genotypes was deduced from tetrad dissection of heterozygous diploids (DLY14194, 14195, 12959, 12960).

FIGURE 5: Localization of Bni1p to polarity sites does not require known regulatory domains. (A) The catalytic fragment (FH1–FH2; DLY13426) localizes diffusely in the nucleus and cytoplasm, but addition of N-terminal Bni1p sequences suffices to target the fragment to the bud tip and mother–bud neck, whether or not the GBD and SBD regions are included (DLY13916, 13433, 13431). Representative images of the indicated GFP-tagged constructs are shown. (B) To assess actin-independent localization, cells were treated with 200 μM Lat A at 24°C for 2.5 h (DLY13916, 13433, 13431, 13426). Bem1p-Tomato marks the polarization sites, and the indicated Bni1p constructs (except for the catalytic fragment alone) colocalize with Bem1p. Additional spots of GFP-Bni1p staining remain at old mother–bud necks, presumably reflecting problems with cytokinesis in the absence of F-actin. Scale bar, 5 μm.
Bni1p N-terminal regulatory domains by directly fusing a Cdc42p-binding domain to the Bni1p catalytic domain. Indeed, a construct in which the N-terminus of Gic2p (containing the GTP-Cdc42p–binding domain) was fused to the Bni1p FH1–FH2 was able to function in rewired cells (Figure 7, A–D). This fusion could support proliferation and proper bud growth in rewired cells even when it was the sole formin (Figure 7, C and D), although these cells had wide necks suggestive of a septin defect (Figure 7D). The Gic2p N-terminus was sufficient to concentrate the Bni1p catalytic domain at the polarization site of wild-type cells in the presence or absence of actin filaments (Figure 7E). These results suggest that localizing the formin catalytic activity to active Cdc42p can bypass or mimic the normal Bni1p regulation essential for rewired cells.

To examine the organization of actin filaments nucleated by the Bni1p constructs, we used phalloidin to visualize F-actin in wild-type cells containing specific constructs as the only source of formin activity. Phalloidin labels both actin cables and cortical actin patches, which are nucleated by Arp2/3p and function in endocytosis (Winter et al., 1997). Full-length Bni1p nucleated actin cables in the bud, a few of which extended into the mother cell, whereas the unregulated Bni1p catalytic domain (FH1–FH2) generated a much larger number of actin cables, many of which appeared randomly oriented within the mother cell (Figure 7F). The stronger binding to full-length Bni1p is consistent with previous findings that Gic2p can also bind to Bud6p/Spa2p. Negative controls: DLY14513, 13887. (B) The uncharacterized N-terminal Bni1p regions upstream of the GBD, between the GBD and SBD, and between the SBD and FH1 were fused to the catalytic fragment and expressed as GFP-tagged proteins from the GAL1 promoter (DLY14885, 14886, 14887). The ND2 construct (but not the others) coimmunoprecipitated Gic2p-Myc.

(C) Gic1p and Gic2p become critical in rewired cells and when the Bni1p GBD, SBD, and BBD are deleted. The gic1Δ gic2Δ growth defect (DLY14169) at 37°C is greatly exacerbated when combined with BEM1-GFP-SNC2 (DLY14170) or with BNI1Δ (DLY14601). Serial dilutions of the indicated strains were spotted on yeast extract/peptone/dextrose media and grown for 2.5 d at the indicated temperature. (D, E) DIC images of exponentially growing cells of indicated genotypes at 24°C, showing strong synthetic polarity defects even at permissive temperature. Scale bar, 5 μm.
septin polarization in rewired cells was shorter than the interval between Bem1p and septin polarization in wild-type cells. It is conceivable that precocious actin polarization in rewired cells facilitates precocious septin recruitment. Although F-actin is not required for septin polarization (Ayscough et al., 1997), the absence of F-actin can lead to defective septin ring assembly (Kozubowski et al., 2005) and exacerbate septin defects in sensitized mutants (Kadota et al., 2004), suggesting that actin filaments may promote septin ring assembly (Park and Bi, 2007).

The two formins in yeast, Bni1p and Bnr1p, can each support bud formation in wild-type cells, but only Bni1p can do so in rewired cells. We detected Bni1p at the polarization site several minutes before Bnr1p, in both wild-type and rewired cells. Bnr1p, whose localization is septin dependent (Pruyne et al., 2004; Buttery et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2010), was not polarized until several minutes after septins were polarized, suggesting that some septin maturation process may be needed for Bnr1p recruitment. This delay may render

**DISCUSSION**

The formin Bni1p plays a critical role in polarity establishment by rewired cells

Direct Cdc42p-Bni1p interaction has been proposed for more than a decade as a key step linking Cdc42p polarization to a polarized actin cytoskeleton (Evangelista et al., 1997). This hypothesis has been difficult to test in vivo because wild-type yeast contain redundant compensatory mechanisms to polarize actin cables that render Bni1p regulation dispensable. We addressed this question with a rewired yeast strain in which the redundancy is eliminated and BNI1 is essential for viability.

The rewiring scheme predicts that polarity establishment in rewired cells needs to coordinate actin-cable and secretory-vesicle polarization. Consistent with this notion, we found that Bni1p and the vesicle marker GFP-Sec4p polarized at approximately the same time as Bem1p–Snc2p (within ~1 min) in rewired cells. In addition (and unexpectedly), the time interval between Bem1p–Snc2p and septin polarization in rewired cells was shorter than the interval between Bem1p and septin polarization in wild-type cells. It is conceivable that precocious actin polarization in rewired cells facilitates precocious septin recruitment. Although F-actin is not required for septin polarization (Ayscough et al., 1997), the absence of F-actin can lead to defective septin ring assembly (Kozubowski et al., 2005) and exacerbate septin defects in sensitized mutants (Kadota et al., 2004), suggesting that actin filaments may promote septin ring assembly (Park and Bi, 2007).

The two formins in yeast, Bni1p and Bnr1p, can each support bud formation in wild-type cells, but only Bni1p can do so in rewired cells. We detected Bni1p at the polarization site several minutes before Bnr1p, in both wild-type and rewired cells. Bnr1p, whose localization is septin dependent (Pruyne et al., 2004; Buttery et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2010), was not polarized until several minutes after septins were polarized, suggesting that some septin maturation process may be needed for Bnr1p recruitment. This delay may render
Regulation of Bni1p by the Cdc42p effector Gic2p

A version of Bni1p lacking the three known regulatory interaction domains (GBD, BBD, and SBD) was still localized to polarity sites, even in the absence of F-actin, suggesting the presence of additional localization signals in the N-terminal domain. Consistent with this conclusion, a recent study identified a total of four localization signals in Bni1p (Liu et al., 2012). Three corresponded to the known GBD, SBD, and BBD regions, but the fourth lay in an uncharacterized domain between GBD and the SBD (residues 334–834, referred to as ND2 in Figure 6). Each of the four regions was sufficient to localize GFP to the bud cortex and bud neck. Our finding that Bni1pΔND2 was able to localize properly and rescue the viability of rewired cells indicates that the novel localization determinant in ND2 is capable of conferring regulated formin functionality. We took the study of this new regulatory region one step further by showing that ND2 interacts with the Cdc42p effector Gic2p (path 4 in Figure 8; Brown et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1997).

Gic2p and its homologue, Gic1p, contain a CRIB domain (Burbelo et al., 1995) and a basic-rich domain that binds membranes (Takahashi and Pryciak, 2007); their closest mammalian homologues are the Binder of Rho GTPases (BORG) proteins (Joberty et al., 1999). Gic1p and Gic2p have been implicated in polarization of both the actin and septin cytoskeletons (Brown et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1997; Bi et al., 2000; Jaquenoud and Peter, 2000; Iwase et al., 2006). Gic2p was shown to interact with Bud6p and to cofractionate with both Bud6p and Spa2p (path 5 in Figure 8; Jaquenoud and Peter, 2000). We found that Gic2p could interact with Bni1p even if the Bud6p- and Spa2p-binding domains were deleted and that this interaction was mediated by the ND2 region of Bni1p (path 4 in Figure 8). ND2 (residues 334–834) overlaps most of the DID, which is believed to confer autoinhibition, raising the possibility that Gic2p binding could also lead to formin activation.

Genetic interactions support the conclusion that Gic1p and Gic2p are important for connecting Cdc42p to Bni1p. In rewired cells, deletion of GIC1 and GIC2 produced severe polarity defects even at permissive temperatures where their loss is well tolerated in wild-type cells. Moreover, deletion of GIC1 and GIC2 produced severe polarity defects when combined with removal of the Bni1p GBD, SBD, and BBD domains in wild-type context. These findings, combined with previous studies, suggest that a redundant web of indirect interactions connects Cdc42p to Bni1p (paths 1–5 in Figure 8).

Generality of formin regulation via Rho/Cdc42 effectors

Our findings suggest that regulation of formins by Rho-family GTPases may occur predominantly via Rho effectors like Gic2p. This idea is supported by recent findings that the Cdc42 effector Pob1 helps connect Cdc42 to For3 in fission yeast (Rincon et al., 2009), that IQGAP1 promotes Dia1 localization to phagocytic cups (Brandt et al., 2007), and that anillin promotes mDia2 localization to cleavage furrows (Watanabe et al., 2010). Although the Pob1-binding site of For3 has yet to be narrowed down, it was shown that both IQGAP and anillin bind to the armadillo repeat-dimerization domain region (analogous to ND2 in Bni1p) of Dia1 and mDia2, respectively (Brandt et al., 2007; Watanabe et al., 2010). Thus, partially overlapping effector-binding domains and the DID domain may represent a common theme in formin regulation. Of interest, in vitro actin assembly assays call for very high concentrations of Rho proteins to release the antionhibitory effect of DID–DAD interactions (Li and Higgs, 2003; Seth et al., 2006), raising the possibility that Rho-effector binding may facilitate formin activation in vivo.

Complexity in formin regulation

Why would a web of indirect interactions be advantageous compared with a single direct interaction between the GTPase and the formin? Studies on Bni1p (Buttery et al., 2007) and on For3p in fission yeast (Martin et al., 2007) indicate that cable formation involves a repeated cycle of formin-mediated nucleation, elongation, and
capping of individual actin filaments that are then stitched together side by side to form cables. Proper dynamic switching between nucleation, elongation, and capping may be important for generating a well-organized cable or for regulating the length, orientation, or lifetime of the cable. However, regulators that affect the speed and duration of elongation can in some cases bind directly to the FH1–FH2 catalytic core (Chesarone et al., 2009; Chesarone-Cataldo et al., 2011), and it is unclear whether and how the dynamics of the intricate form cycle are affected by the various Bni1p-interacting proteins discussed here. Further work is required to elucidate the interplay between the proteins in the formin regulatory network.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains**

Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. All strains are in the YEF473 background (his3–Δ200 leu2–Δ1 lys2–801 trp1–Δ63 ura3–52). Standard media and procedures were used for yeast genetic manipulations. To generate strains expressing Bem1p-GFP, a plasmid (pDLB2968) (Kozubowski et al., 2008) containing a C-terminal fragment of BEM1 fused to GFP was cut with PstI to target integration at BEM1. Strains expressing Bem1p-GFP-Snc2p were generated by integrating a PstI-cut plasmid (pDLB2823) (Howell et al., 2009) containing a C-terminal fragment of BEM1 fused to GFP and SNC2 at BEM1. BEM1-SNC2 strains (lacking the GFP) were generated by integrating PstI-cut pDLB3267, which was made by deleting GFP from pDLB2823, at BEM1. Strains expressing Cdc3p-mCherry were generated by integrating BglII-cut plasmid pDLB3138 (Yip128-CD3-mCherry, (Tong et al., 2007)) at CDC3. To express GFP-Sec4p, pDLB2776 (Yip121-GFP-SEC4) (a gift from E. Bi, University of Pennsylvania) was cut with Stul to target integration at URA3. BNR1- GFP and BEM1-tdTomato were generated by the PCR-based C-terminal tagging method (Longtine et al., 1998) using pFA6a-GFP-HIS3MX6 and pFA6a-tdTomato-HIS3MX6, respectively, as templates. GIC2-13myc was created by creating a HindIII-cut plasmid pDLB2132 (pRS306-GIC2-C-term)-13myc at GIC2.

The one-step PCR-based method (Baudin et al., 1993) was used to generate bni1::HIS3, bni1::TRP1, bnr1::TRP1, and gic2::HIS3, using pRS303, pRS304, pRS304, and pRS403, respectively, as templates. To generate bni1-12 in the YEF473 background, a PCR product covering the temperature-sensitive mutations (using template DLY8421, a gift from A. Bretscher, Cornell University) was transformed with pRS315 into a bni1::URA3 strain. Transformants were selected on media lacking leucine and screened for replacement of bni1::URA3 with bni1-12 using 5-fluoroorotic acid plates.

Various BNI1 constructs were generated by modifying a backbone plasmid pDLB3393, which contains the following elements inserted in the pRS306 polynuclein between the Apal and Noti sites: 1) the BNI1 5′-untranslated region (UTR (488 base pairs upstream of ATG)); 2) an ATG followed by a triple-hemagglutinin (3HA) epitope tag; 3) a linker sequence (ACGGCTTGGACCGGCG) containing MluI and Xmal recognition sites; 4) the FH1–FH2 fragment of BNI1 (3679–5298 base pairs) in the same reading frame; and 5) a STOP codon followed by a BamHI site and the BNI1 3′-UTR (500 base pairs downstream of the STOP). BNI1 coding sequence N-terminal to FH1–FH2 (1–3678 base pairs, pDLB3575), ND1 (4–228 base pairs, pDLB3545), ND2 (1030–2465 base pairs, pDLB3547), ND3 (2950–3678 base pairs, pDLB3507), and GIC213 (1–624 base pairs, pDLB3397) were inserted between the 3HA and FH1–FH2 using MluI and Xmal sites. BNI1 coding sequences N-terminal to FH1– FH2 but lacking the GBD (A229–1029 base pairs) or SBD (A2476–2949 base pairs) were amplified from appropriate templates (gifts from K. Kono and D. Pellman, Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center) and inserted in the same way, yielding pDLB3633 (ΔGBD) and pDLB3634 (ΔSBD). A similar construct in which both the GBD and SBD were deleted was constructed by subcloning the individual deletion constructs, yielding pDLB3476 (ΔGBD ΔSBD ΔBD, or BNI1<sup>ΔΔ</sup>). All of the plasmids discussed thus far lack the coding sequences C-terminal to FH1–FH2 (i.e., the BBD). To add back the BBD, a C-terminal fragment from BNI1 was cloned into pDLB3393 using Clal (in the FH2) and Spel (in the 3′-UTR) sites. To make fluo- rescence versions of the constructs, we inserted GFP coding se- quences at the MluI site (between the 3HA and Bni1p). Digestion with Spel (which cuts at a single site within the 3′-UTR) was used to target integration to the BNI1 3′-UTR next to a deletion or a temperature-sensitive allele of the endogenous BNI1.

To generate P<sub>GAL1</sub>-GFP-BNI1 strains for immunoprecipitation experiments, we amplified the GAL1 promoter and a kan<sup>R</sup> marker from pFA6a-kanMX6-PGAL1 (Longtine et al., 1998) and transformed them into yeast containing GFP-tagged Bni1p variants. This procedure removes the bni1 deletion, the BNI1 promoter, and the 3HA tag, so that the constructs begin with the GFP coding sequence. P<sub>GAL1</sub>-dependent expression of BNI1 constructs in response to β- estradiol was achieved using the synthetic transcription factor GAL4BD-hER-VP16 (pDLB3103; Takahashi and Pryciak, 2008), which was integrated at URA3.

**Microscopy**

Imaging was performed as previously described (Howell et al., 2009). Except for the phalloidin-stained cells, which were imaged with a Zeiss 780 confocal microscope system consisting of an Axio Examiner (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) and a 63×/1.4 Plan Apochromat oil immersion objective, all the other images were acquired using an AxioObserver Z1 (Carl Zeiss) with a 100×/1.46 Plan Apochromat oil immersion objective and a QuantEM backthinned electron-multiplying (EM)-charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics, Ottobrunn, Germany). Exponentially growing cells were mounted on a slide with a slab of complete synthetic medium solidified with 2% agarose (Denville Scientific, Metuchen, NJ) and sealed with Vaseline (Unilever, London, United Kingdom). For time-lapse images, EM gain of the camera was set to 750, and cells were exposed to 2% excitation light for 250 ms for most GFP-tagged proteins, 500 ms for GFP-Bni1p and Bni1-GFP, and 150 ms for all red fluorescent protein (RFP)-tagged proteins during each image acquisition. Time-lapse images were taken with 25–30 z-planes at 0.25-μm steps, deconvolved (see later discussion), and displayed as maximum projections. For Figure 1, cells were synchronized with 200 mM hydroxyurea (HU; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in complete synthetic medium (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA) for 3 h at 30°C, washed, and released into fresh medium for 1 h and 5 min before filming at 30°C. Synchronization with HU shortens the filtering time required to acquire enough polarization events for quantification and fortuitously increased cell tolerance to light exposure without altering polarization kinetics (Howell et al., 2012). For single-image acquisition, EM gain of the camera was set to 250, and cells were exposed to 100% excitation light for 250 ms for GFP and 150 ms for RFP. These images were taken with nine z-planes at 0.5-μm steps, deconvolved, and displayed as maximum projections for fluorescent proteins and the best-focused plane for differential interference contrast (DIC).

**Image analysis**

Time-lapse and confocal images were deconvolved with Huygens Essential software (Scientific Volume Imaging, Hilversum, Netherlands), using the classic maximum likelihood estimation and predicted point-spread function with a background value set constant
| Strain (DLY) | Relevant genotype | Source | Strain (DLY) | Relevant genotype | Source |
|-------------|------------------|--------|-------------|------------------|--------|
| 7924        | a bni1-116 bnr1::HIS3 | E. Bi  | 13095       | α BEM1-SNC2:LEU2 | This study |
| 8155        | a                | Howell et al. (2009) | 13140       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-GFP-GBD-FH1FH2:URA3 | This study |
| 8601        | a BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2 | Howell et al. (2009) | 13344       | α/α CDC3-mCherry:LEU2/CDC3-mCherry:LEU2 BNR1-GFP:HIS3/BNR1-GFP:HIS3 | Chen et al. (2011) |
| 11909       | α/α CDC3-mCherry:LEU2/CDC3-mCherry:LEU2 BEM1-GFP:LEU2/BEM1-GFP:LEU2 | Chen et al. (2011) | 13426       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-GFP-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-tdTomato:HIS3 | This study |
| 12226       | a bni1-12 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:TRP1 | This study | 13430       | α bni1::HIS3:3HA-GIC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-tdTomato:HIS3 | This study |
| 12594       | α/α bni1::HIS3:3HA-GIC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2 | This study | 13431       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-GFP-BNI13a:URA3 BEM1-tdTomato:HIS3 | This study |
| 12620       | a bni1-12:3HA-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:TRP1 | This study | 13433       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-GPC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-tdTomato:HIS3 | This study |
| 12621       | a bni1-12:3HA-GIC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:TRP1 | This study | 13444       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-GIC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 bnr1::HIS3 GFP-SEC4:URA3 | This study |
| 12622       | a bni1-12:3HA-GBD-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:TRP1 | This study | 13466       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-FH1FH2:URA3 bnr1::HIS3 GFP-SEC4:URA3 | This study |
| 12626       | a bni1-116:3HA-GIC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 bnr1::HIS3 | This study | 13452       | α bni1::HIS3:3HA-BNI13a:URA3 bnr1::HIS3 GFP-SEC4:URA3 | This study |
| 12627       | a bni1-116:3HA-FH1FH2:URA3 bnr1::HIS3 | This study | 13703       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-BNI1:URA3 bnr1::HIS3 GFP-SEC4:URA3 | This study |
| 12629       | a bni1-116:3HA-GBD-FH1FH2:URA3 bnr1::HIS3 | This study | 13884       | α/α GFP-SEC4:URA3/GFP-SEC4:URA3 BEM1-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1-SNC2:LEU2 CDC3-mCherry:LEU2/CDC3-mCherry:LEU2 | This study |
| 12648       | α/α BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1 bni1::TRP1/BNI1 | This study | 13890       | α/α CDC3-mCherry:LEU2/CDC3-mCherry:LEU2 | This study |
| 12744       | α/α bni1::HIS3:3HA-GIC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1 bnr1::TRP1/BNR1 | This study | 13916       | α bni1::HIS3:3HA-GFP-BNI1:URA3 BEM1-tdTomato:HIS3 | This study |
| 12788       | α/α bni1::URA3/BNR1 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2 | This study | 13945       | α/α BEM1-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1-SNC2:LEU2 BNR1-GFP:HIS3/BNR1-GFP:HIS3 CDC3-mCherry:LEU2/CDC3-mCherry:LEU2 | This study |
| 12848       | α/α BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU3/BEM1 bni1::TRP1:3HA-FH1FH2:URA3/BNI1 | This study | 14014       | α/α bni1::HIS3:3HA-GFP-BNI1:URA3/ bni1::HIS3:3HA-GFP-BNI1:URA3 CDC3-mCherry:LEU2/CDC3-mCherry:LEU2 | This study |
| 12887       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-GIC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2 bnr1::TRP1 | This study | 14024       | a bni1-12:3HA-BNI1:URA3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:TRP1 | This study |
| 12888       | a bni1::HIS3:3HA-GIC2N-FH1FH2:URA3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2 | This study | 14025       | a bni1-116:3HA-BNI1:URA3 bnr1::HIS3 | This study |
| 12959       | α/α bni1::HIS3:3HA-BNI13aBUD3:URA3/BNI1 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1 | This study | 14026       | α/α bni1::HIS3:3HA-GFP-BNI1:URA3/ bni1::HIS3:3HA-GFP-BNI1:URA3 BEM1-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1-SNC2:LEU2 CDC3-mCherry:LEU2/CDC3-mCherry:LEU2 | This study |
| 12960       | α/α bni1::HIS3:3HA-BNI13a:URA3/BNI1 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1 | This study | 14169       | a gic1::TRP1 gic2::HIS3 | This study |
| 13072       | α/α CDC3-mCherry:LEU2/CDC3-mCherry:LEU2 BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2/BEM1-GFP-SNC2:LEU2 | This study | 14169       | a gic1::TRP1 gic2::HIS3 | This study |

**TABLE 1:** Yeast strains.

Continues
across all images from the same time lapse, with a signal-to-noise ratio of 10 and a maximum of 40 iterations. The deconvolved images were compiled with MetaMorph (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and scored visually for the initial time points of GFP and RFP polarization at the incipient bud site. Images for presentation were processed using MetaMorph and ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

Latrunculin A treatment
Exponentially growing cells were treated with 200 μM latrunculin A (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in complete synthetic medium with 2% dextrose at 24°C for 2.5 h and then mounted onto agarose slabs containing 200 μM latrunculin A for live-cell imaging.

Phalloidin staining
Electron microscopy–grade formaldehyde (Polysciences, Warrington, PA) was added to exponentially growing cultures to a final concentration of 4% for 10 min. Cells were then spun down and fixed in 4% electron microscopy–grade formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1 h at room temperature. After two quick washes with PBS, cells were stained with a 1:10 dilution of 6.6 μM rhodamine phalloidin (Invitrogen) in the dark for 1 h, washed five times with PBS, and mounted for imaging.

Centrifugal elutriation and fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis
Small G1 cells were isolated from exponentially growing cultures by centrifugal elutriation as previously described (Lew and Reed, 1993). Cells were grown in yeast extract/peptone (YEP) with 2% sucrose and 0.1% dextrose overnight to (1–2) × 10⁷ cells/ml before being elutriated and concentrated by centrifugation at 4°C and then resuspended to a density of 10⁷ cells/ml in prewarmed media at 37°C. For live-cell imaging, cells were released into complete synthetic media for 30 min before mounted on a slab for filming. To distinguish the two strains (DLY8601, 12226) filmed simultaneously, the DNA content of 10,000 cells was measured for each sample and scored visually for the initial time points of GFP and RFP polarization at the incipient bud site. Images for presentation were processed using MetaMorph and ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

All strains are in the YEF473 background (his3Δ200 leu2Δ1 lys2-801 trp1Δ63 ura3Δ52).

**TABLE 1: Yeast strains. Continued**

| Strain (DLY) | Relevant genotype | Source |
|--------------|-------------------|--------|
| 14170        | α gic1::TRP1 gic2::HIS3 BEM1-GFP-SNC2::LEU2 | This study |
| 14194        | a/α bni1::HIS3.A-BN111.GBD:URA3/BNI1 BEM1-SNC2::LEU2/BEM1 | This study |
| 14195        | a/α bni1::HIS3.A-BN111.GBD:URA3/BNI1 BEM1-SNC2::LEU2/BEM1 | This study |
| 14223        | a bni1::HIS3.A-BN111.GBD:URA3 BEM1-SNC2::LEU2 | This study |
| 14272        | a/α BEM1-SNC2::LEU2/BEM1 rsr1::TRP1::RSR1 BNI1::3HA:GH1:GH2:URA3/BN11 | This study |
| 14461        | α GIC2-13myc:URA3 Gal4BD-hER-VP16::Trp1 | This study |
| 14513        | α Gal4BD-hER-VP16:URA3 bni1::Kan6::P<sub>Gal1</sub>:GFP-BNI1::URA3 | This study |
| 14515        | α Gal4BD-hER-VP16:URA3 bni1::Kan6::P<sub>Gal1</sub>:GFP-BNI1::URA3 GIC2-13myc:URA3 | This study |
| 14516        | α Gal4BD-hER-VP16:URA3 bni1::Kan6::P<sub>Gal1</sub>:GFP-BNI11::URA3 Gal4BD-hER-VP16::Trp1 | This study |

Western blotting and immunoprecipitation
Yeast cell lysis, SDS–PAGE, and immunoblotting were performed as previously described (Keaton et al., 2008). Mouse anti-HA (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) was used at a 1:1000 dilution. Mouse anti-Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was used at 1:5000 dilution. Rabbit anti-Cdc11p (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used at 1:200 dilution. Rabbit anti-Apb1 (Invitrogen) was used at 1:500 dilution. For immunoprecipitation, cells were treated with 10 nM β-estradiol (Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 h to induce GAL1 promoter–driven expression of GFP-Bni1p constructs with the synthetic transcription factor GAL4BD-hER-VP16. Cells were lysed by vortexing with acid-washed glass beads (Sigma-Aldrich) in lysis buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) for 1 h. Cells were then washed once with H<sub>2</sub>O, and then incubated in 2 mg/ml RNaseA (Sigma-Aldrich) in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) for 2 h. Cells were treated with 5 mg/ml pepsin (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.45% HCl for 15 min. DNA was stained with Sytox Green (Invitrogen) in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5). The DNA content of 10,000 cells was measured for each sample with a Becton Dickinson FACScan and analyzed with CellQuest software (Becton Dickinson Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

**TABLE 2: Strain list**

| Strain (DLY) | Relevant genotype | Source |
|--------------|-------------------|--------|
| 14517        | α Gal4BD-hER-VP16::URA3 bni1::Kan6::P<sub>Gal1</sub>:GFP-FH1FH2:URA3 GIC2-13myc:URA3 | This study |
| 14601        | α bni1::HIS3:A-GFP-Bni11::URA3 SPA2::mCherry::Kan6::Gic1::TRP1 gic2::HIS3 | This study |
| 14602        | a bni1::HIS3:A-GFP-Bni11::URA3 SPA2::mCherry::Kan6::Gic1::TRP1 gic2::HIS3 | This study |
| 14811        | α BEM1-SNC2::LEU2 BNI1::3HA:FH1:FH2::URA3 | This study |
| 14885        | a Kan6::GALp-GFP-BNI1 ND1-FH1,2::URA GIC2-13myc:URA3 Gal4BD-hER-VP16::Trp1 | This study |
| 14886        | a Kan6::GALp-GFP-BNI1 ND2-FH1,2::URA GIC2-13myc:URA3 Gal4BD-hER-VP16::Trp1 | This study |
| 14887        | a Kan6::GALp-GFP-BNI1 ND3-FH1,2::URA GIC2-13myc:URA3 Gal4BD-hER-VP16::Trp1 | This study |
buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP40, 5% glycerol, and 1:50 diluted protease inhibitors (P8215) (Sigma-Aldrich). Cell lysates were incubated with agarose beads conjugated with camellid anti-GFP (Chromotek-GFP-TRAP; Allele Biotechnology, San Diego, CA) for 3 h. The beads were then washed in lysis buffer and boiled with SDS sample buffer. Samples were analyzed by SDS–PAGE and Western blotting.
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