Randomized trial comparing episiotomies with Braun-Stadler episiotomy scissors and EPISCISSORS-60®
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Introduction: Episiotomy angle is a crucial factor in causation of obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS), which are the major cause of female bowel incontinence. Sutured episiotomies angled too close to the midline (<30 degree) or too far away from the midline (>60 degree) fail to unload the perineum sufficiently and predispose to OASIS. A 25-degree post-delivery episiotomy suture angle has a 10% risk of OASIS while 45-degree episiotomy is associated with 0.5% risk. To account for perineal distension at crowning, a 60-degree episiotomy incision is required to achieve 43–50 degree suture angles. We compared episiotomy suture angles with commonly used Braun-Stadler episiotomy scissors with the new fixed angle EPISCISSORS-60°.

Methods: Ethical approval was obtained. A prospective cluster randomization design was chosen. Thirty-one patients were required in each group for a 12-degree difference with power at 90% and 5% significance. Sutured episiotomy angles and post-delivery linear distance from caudal end of the sutured episiotomy to the anus were measured with protractors and rulers. Two-tailed t-tests were used to compare the two groups.

Results: Thirty-one nulliparae had episiotomies with EPISCISSORS-60°, 32 with Braun-Stadler. Mean age (25 versus 24.8 years) was similar. EPISCISSORS-60° episiotomies were angled 12 degrees more laterally away from the anus compared to Braun-Stadler (40.6 degrees, 95% confidence interval [CI] ±2, interquartile range [IQR] 35–45 versus 28.3 degrees, 95% CI ±2, IQR 25–30, P<0.0001). The post-delivery linear distance from caudal end of the sutured episiotomy to the anus was 15 mm more with the EPISCISSORS-60° compared to Braun-Stadler (35 mm, 95% CI ±2.2, IQR =30–39 versus 19.5; 95% CI ±1.3, IQR =14.75–22.25 P<0.0001). EPISCISSORS-60° episiotomies measured longer (47 mm versus 40 mm, P<0.0001). There were no OASIS cases in the EPISCISSORS-60° group versus one in the Braun-Stadler group.

Conclusion: The EPISCISSORS-60° sutured episiotomies are much further away from the midline in angular and distance measures, hence at lower OASIS risk.
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Introduction

Obstetric anal sphincter injuries (OASIS) are a major cause of female bowel incontinence which leads to stigmatization in the developing world. Episiotomy angle is a crucial determinant in causation of OASIS. Episiotomies angled too close to the midline or those too far away from the midline fail to unload the perineum sufficiently and predispose to OASIS. A 25-degree post-delivery episiotomy suture angle has a 10% risk of OASIS while 45-degree episiotomy is associated with 0.5% risk. A 60-degree episiotomy incision results in 43–50 degree suture angles. Asian women are believed to be at higher risk for OASIS due to short perineal body length, and the episiotomy...
apex needs to be angled further away from the midline to reduce OASIS risk.

Traditionally, the Braun-Stadler (BS) episiotomy scissors have been used worldwide. The blades are angled to the side by about 15 degrees (Figure 1). The EPISSCISSORS-60® (E60) (Medinvent LLC, Romsey, United Kingdom) are angled-on-flat scissors with a guide-limb that points toward the anus. It is claimed to cut at 60 degrees if the guide-limb is aligned to the anus (Figures 2 and 3).

![Figure 1](image1.png) **Figure 1** Braun-Stadler episiotomy scissors.

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2** EPISSCISSORS-60®.

![Figure 3](image3.png) **Figure 3** EPISSCISSORS-60® in a birth simulation model.

We aimed to compare episiotomy suture angles with commonly used BS episiotomy scissors with the new fixed angled E60.

**Materials and methods**

Approval was granted by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Dr DY Patil Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Nerul, Navi Mumbai for the study. A power calculation showing 11 degrees episiotomy angle difference between the case and controls required 31 women in each
group with 90% power and at 5% significance. This has been used previously by other investigators.6–7

Our hospital has two firms which manage the labor ward for 24-hour cycles. Our unit performed episiotomies with the E60, while the other unit continued using the BS scissors. Therefore, our study design was similar to a prospective cluster randomized study design. It has previously been shown that lack of allocation concealment has no importance in studies with objective outcomes.4 This design also minimizes the Hawthorne effect of doctors cutting episiotomies more laterally than their usual practice.

Women were recruited from May to October 2014. All women included in the study were nulliparous and underwent episiotomies for common indications such as prolonged second stage of labor, instrumental delivery, and fetal distress. Episiotomies were performed at crowning by doctors. Post-delivery suture angle was measured with a plastic protractor transparency; length of the episiotomy and distance from the caudal end of the episiotomy to the anus were measured with a ruler. All data were entered into a Microsoft Excel sheet. Two-tailed t-tests were used to compare the independent groups. Interquartile ranges (IQRs) and confidence intervals (CIs) are reported.

Results
Results are shown in Table 1. There was a 12-degree difference in the episiotomy angles between the two groups. The EP60 group (n=31) achieved a mean post-delivery suture angle =40.6 degrees (range 30–50; IQR =35–45, standard deviation [SD] =5.7, 95% CI =38.6–42.6), while the BS scissors group (n=32) achieved a mean angle =28.3 degrees (range 20–45; IQR =25–30, SD =5.6, 95% CI =2; 26.3–30.3).

The results are highly statistically significant (P<0.0001, two-tailed t-test).

The caudal end of the episiotomies was a mean 15 mm further away from the anus (35 mm versus 19.6 mm, P<0.0001) with the E60.

The length of the episiotomies was 47 mm in the E60 group compared to 40 mm in the BS group (P<0.0001).

Discussion
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study comparing the E60 with the BS scissors. The mean episiotomy suture angle measured postpartum in our study (40.3 degrees) is similar to that observed by Freeman et al.4 It is less than that achieved by Patel and Ubale.5 However, 36% of women in their cohort were parous and this would influence perineal distensibility. This might also be due to an earlier timing of episiotomies by resident doctors in our unit.

Episiotomies with suture angles less than 30 degrees are associated with significantly greater risk of OASIS.2,7,9 It is concerning that the BS scissors resulted in episiotomy angles that are <30 degrees.

In comparison, episiotomies with suture angles of 40 degrees are in the safe zone with respect to OASIS,2,9 and the E60 achieve these angles.

E60 are fixed angle scissors which will cut at 60 degrees as long as the guide-limb is aligned to the anus. Our results are also similar to El-Din et al10 who found a post-delivery suture angle of 44 degrees with an incision angle of 60 degrees (achieved by marking the perineum with gentian violet).

The anal sphincter complex surrounds the anus in a cylindrical configuration. The closer the caudal end of the

| Table 1 | Geometric characteristics of episiotomies with EPSICISSORS-60® and Braun scissors |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | EPISCISSORS-60® (N=31)                                      | Braun-Stadler episiotomy scissors (N=32) |
| Age, years (mean) | 25 | 24.8 |
| Normal births | 27 | 27 |
| OVD | 4 | 5 |
| Mean post-delivery suture angle (degrees) | 40.6 (95% CI ±2) | 28.3 (95% CI ±2) |
| IQR | 35–45 | 25–30 |
| SD | 5.7 | 5.6 |
| RANGE | 30–50 | 20–45 |
| Post-delivery distance from midline (mm) | 35 (95% CI ±2.2) | 19.6 (95% CI ±1.3) |
| IQR | 30–39 | 14.75–22.25 |
| SD | 6.26 | 6.6 |
| Length of episiotomy (mm) | 47.2 (95% CI ±3.5) | 40 (95% CI ±1.9) |
| OASIS | 0 | 1 (Grade 3) |

Abbreviations: OVD, operative vaginal delivery; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; OASIS, obstetric anal sphincter injuries.
episiotomy is to the anus, the more chances of damage to the anal sphincter complex. The distance from the caudal end of the episiotomy to the anus therefore reflects the safety margin. Episiotomies >15 mm away from the anus have been shown to have an 87% reduced risk of OASIS. E60 resulted in episiotomies that were 35 mm away from the anus, which is 15 mm greater than that with the BS scissors.

The E60 resulted in significantly longer episiotomies (47 mm versus 40 mm). It is interesting to note the difference in lengths obtained as both episiotomy scissors have standard 50 mm long blades. van Dillen et al11 reported 39 mm episiotomy length in their case series of 420 women. However, the type of scissors was not specified. Stedenfeldt et al7 found episiotomies >17 mm length have lower incidence of OASIS. The risk reduces by 75% for every 5.5 mm increase in length of episiotomy. It is also known that episiotomies that are inadequate in length can undergo medial extensions and inadvertently damage the anal sphincters.

There was one OASIS in the control group. A limitation of this study is that since the study was not powered to detect differences in OASIS, no inferences can be made on this outcome measure. A strength is that it is adequately powered to detect clinically significant differences in episiotomy angles.

E60 provided consistent 60-degree incision angles for episiotomies, which resulted in safer suture angles. Adequately powered randomized trials or time-series analysis are needed to test for reduction in OASIS.
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