Evaluation of Physico-chemical Properties and Microbiological Quality of Milk Collected from Different Dairy Farms in Sylhet, Bangladesh
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Abstract This study deals with the inspection of physical, chemical and microbiological quality of liquid cow’s milk collected from four different dairy farms in Sylhet, Bangladesh. Several milk compositions were examined and assessed to the Bangladesh Standards (BDS) and Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). Results showed that tastes of all milk samples were slightly sweet and the mean specific gravity (1.029±0.0025 to 1.032±0.0015) was within standard range. The color of milk of sample 1 displayed similarity to sample 3, and likewise sample 2 to sample 4. However, all milk samples were normal except sample 2 which indicated cowy flavor and odor. The average statistics for fat (3.40±0.26), protein (3.47±0.11), total solids (12.16±0.33), solid not fat (8.76±0.32), acidity (0.19±0.03) and pH (6.67±0.10) were recorded. The Microbiological conclusion confirmed the presence of microbial population in all milk samples. The highest level of microbial quality in Standard Plate Count (SPC) was 38.1×10^6 cfu/ml in sample 3 and in logarithm the value is 7.58 cfu/ml. Grades of milk were evaluated by Methyl Blue Reduction Test (MBRT) and this phenomenon testified that milk of sample 2 was fair in qualities than others. Statistical analysis revealed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the average values of acidity between results of milk samples to the BDS and FAO standards.
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1. Introduction

As an essential part of our daily diet, liquid milk plays a crucial role to meet up the increasing nutritional demand as well as ensures food safety in developing countries like Bangladesh. Containing several nutrients, milk includes a number of vital constituents like protein, carbohydrate, fat, vitamins and minerals [1] make it an absolute food to consume.

In Bangladesh a total of 4.78 million tonnes of milk is produced over the 2012-2013 fiscal year and about 90 percent of this production comes from cows while the rest is filled by buffaloes and goats. According to the DLS (Department of Livestock Services), the total requirement of milk consumption in our country is 1.46 crore tones per year. Among SAARC (South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation) countries, Bangladesh has the lowest milk consumption rate (55 ml/day) and because of this scenario, BDT-750 crore has been spent to import powder milk annually [2].

Generally, liquid milk consumption is explicitly related to the quality of raw milk availed from different sources. The demand of milk is growing faster due to rapid increase in population. Therefore, the limited productions of milk lead the dairy personnel to adulterate milk with unhealthy liquor and other solid substances. The way of adulteration is occurred by unhygienic milking, inappropriate transport system, germ favored storage and milk collector itself which creates milk contaminated [3]. Milk adulteration encourages the deterioration of the properties of raw milk [4] that apt to favorable condition for microbes to grow, particularly pathogenic bacteria [5] which are liable to cause various types of diseases.

A few works has been done on this aspect in Bangladesh but merely common in Sylhet Metropolitan Area. For this reason the present study was under taken with the aim of investigating the physico-chemical parameters as well as the microbiological quality of raw milk from different dairy farms.
farms in Sylhet, Bangladesh. This study was also compared to the Bangladesh standards (BDS) and Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Place of Study and Collection of Milk Samples

The experiment was carried out at Animal Biotechnology Laboratory of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (GEB) in Shahjalal University of Science and Technology (SUST), Sylhet, Bangladesh. Here in, four different private farms of Amberkhana, Chatol, Kazirbazar and Zollarpar areas of Sylhet region were selected for sample collection (Table 1). Samples were collected in triplicate according to analytical procedures in investigation and the average value is indicated with standard deviation.

| S  | Farm Name       | Area of the Farm |
|----|----------------|------------------|
| S 1| Jahed Dairy Farm| Kazirbazar       |
| S 2| Gram Bangla JouthoKhamar | Amberkhana |
| S 3| Younus Dairy Farm| Zollarpar        |
| S 4| Chatol Integrated Farm| Chatol, Dolia |

*S = Sample

2.2. Sampling

At each farm, samples of approximately 500 ml were taken aseptically from the bulk milk container into sterile plastic bottles. The milk was collected within 15 minutes of milking at ambient temperatures, kept on ice during approximately two hours of transportation and was analyzed immediately after arrival at the laboratory.

2.3. Physico-chemical and Microbiological Analysis

2.3.1. Physical Analysis

Sensory analysis was examined by a panel of twelve members. The organoleptic properties of milk such as color, flavor and odor, and taste were evaluated with the help of eyes, nose and tongue respectively as per standard score card [6]. Specific gravity was obtained by the use of lactometer and calculated [7].

2.3.2. Chemical Analysis

In this study, several chemical analyses has been done such as percentages (%) of fat content, protein content, total solid (TS), solid not fat (SNF) and acidity. The analysis of fat content was determined by Gerber centrifuge method, total solid (TS) and acidity were considered according to the standard formula noted by [7]. Protein percentage of milk was carried out by formaldehyde method [8] and SNF of milk samples also calculated [9]. The CLR reading was followed by the instruction of standard methods for the determination of dairy products [10]. Another vital chemical property pH was measured by using pH meter.

2.3.3. Microbiological Analysis

Milk is highly perishable because it acts as an enrichment medium for growing and multiplication of the microbial community. The determination of microbial concentration is a crucial step for assuring public health. There are plenty of techniques available for detection of microorganisms. In present study, Methyl Blue Reduction Test (MBRT) and SPC (Standard Plate Count) were performed. MBRT was used because it is the most specified tool for the quick identification of microbial presence [11] where Methylene blue acts as an indicator. According to [12], the test is occurred into two stages that allow oxidation-reduction reaction. Here, oxygen is consumed by the bacterial population and then the dye became decolorized. Standard Plate Count was also observed in nutrient broth (LB) to determine the colony forming unit (cfu) [13].

2.4. Data Analysis

Data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). The significant differences between means of collected samples to the BDS and FAO standards were designed at $P<0.05$ using one-sample t-test in Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS).

3. Results

Milk samples were brought into the laboratory immediately after collection and then processed. Therefore, samples were ready to determine the physical, chemical and microbiological qualities.

3.1. Physical Analysis

In this study, four samples were taken with three replications that provided usual flavor and odor except sample 2 while tastes of all samples were remained the same. In case of color, sample 1 and 3 represented yellowish white when the rest were whitish. The specific gravity showed slight variation among four samples that varied from 1.026 to 1.034 with an average of 1.031 (Table 2).
Table 2. Physical Properties of Raw Milk from Collected Samples

| Sample   | Flavor and Odor | Color          | Taste          | Specific Gravity (Mean±SD) |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Sample 1 | Normal          | Yellowish White| Slightly Sweet | 1.031±0.0017              |
| Sample 2 | Cowy            | Whitish        | Slightly Sweet | 1.032±0.0015              |
| Sample 3 | Normal          | Yellowish White| Slightly Sweet | 1.030±0.0031              |
| Sample 4 | Normal          | Whitish        | Slightly Sweet | 1.029±0.0025              |

3.2. Chemical Analysis

Milk samples were also triplicated before subjected to different types of chemical analyses such as percentages of fat, protein, solid not fat and total solid content. Acidity and pH of the milk were also measured (Table 3). The average fat content was 3.40 while it ranges from 3.10 to 3.70. In addition, the average value of protein, TS, SNF, acidity and pH were observed as 3.47, 12.16, 8.76, 0.19 and 6.67 respectively which are compared to BDS [14] and FAO ideals [15-17] (Figure 1).

Table 3. Chemical Properties of Raw Milk from Collected Samples

| Compositions | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 | Sample 4 | Mean±SD |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Fat          | 3.50     | 3.10     | 3.70     | 3.30     | 3.40±0.26 |
| Protein      | 3.40     | 3.63     | 3.49     | 3.38     | 3.47±0.11 |
| Total Solids | 12.20    | 12.27    | 12.47    | 11.70    | 12.16±0.33 |
| SNF          | 8.70     | 9.17     | 8.77     | 8.40     | 8.76±0.32 |
| Acidity      | 0.16     | 0.23     | 0.19     | 0.159    | 0.19±0.03 |
| pH           | 6.73     | 6.57     | 6.60     | 6.77     | 6.67±0.10 |

Figure 1. Comparison among the Mean Values of Milk Composition of Milk Samples, BDS and FAO data

3.3. Microbiological Analysis

Collected milk samples were further studied in test tubes and petridishes to spot microbial quality. MBRT was performed to evaluate the grading of milk quickly. Here the grades [18] of milk are confirmed within 6 hours duration in every 1 hour interval. Among all the samples, sample 2 indicated fair quality of milk when compared to sample 4 and the rest. Then Standard Plate Count was performed to observe the microbial load and measured in Colony Forming Unit (cfu). The cfu value for sample 1, 2, 3 and 4 were calculated as 7.45, 7.15, 7.58 and 7.37 respectively (Table 4).
Table 4. SPC Count and MBRT of Collected Milk Samples

| Sample       | Standard Plate Count (CFU/ml) | Standard Plate Count (log CFU/ml) | Decolorization Time (MBRT) | Grade/Quality |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Sample 1     | 28.1×10^6                     | 7.45                              | Within 2 hours              | Poor          |
| Sample 2     | 14.1×10^6                     | 7.15                              | From 3-4 hours              | Fair          |
| Sample 3     | 38.1×10^6                     | 7.58                              | Within 2 hours              | Poor          |
| Sample 4     | 23.4×10^6                     | 7.37                              | From 2-3 hours              | Slightly Fair |

3.4. Statistical Analysis

The statistics for the one-sample test is shown in the table 5. Results obtained from the statistical analysis evidenced that fat, protein and solid not fat were significant ($P<0.05$, $P<0.01$ and $P<0.05$ respectively) to BDS. Only the mean of total solids of the collected milk samples was statistically significant ($P<0.01$) to FAO.

Table 5. One-sample Test for Chemical Properties of Milk Samples, BDS and FAO

| Compositions | t-test statistic BDS | Mean difference BDS FAO | Significant values (2-tailed) BDS FAO | Significance level BDS FAO |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Fat          | -4.648              | -2.324                  | -.6000                                | .019                       | *                         |
| Protein      | -10.977             | -0.439                  | -.6250                                | .002                       | **                        |
| Total Solids | -2.078              | -8.005                  | -.3400                                | .129                       | n/s                       | **                        |
| SNF          | 3.534               | 1.641                   | .5600                                 | .039                       | *                         | n/s                       |
| Acidity      | 2.110               | 1.507                   | .0350                                 | .125                       | .229                      | n/s                       | n/s                       |

*** = $P<0.001$, ** = $P<0.01$, * = $P<0.05$, (non-significant) n/s = $P>0.05$

4. Discussion

4.1. Physical Analysis

The study was performed with a view to evaluating the physical, chemical and microbial parameter of raw milk. First of all, the organoleptic properties showed the usual color, odor and taste with a minor deviation as color changes from yellowish white (sample 1 and 3) to whitish (sample 2 and 4) and in case of flavor, sample 2 was cowy flavor where the remaining samples were same. Among all samples, the highest value of specific gravity was recorded for sample 2 (1.032±0.0015) and the lowest was sample 4 (1.029±0.0025) (Table 2).

4.2. Chemical Analysis

In chemical analyses, the fat content was highest in sample 3 (3.70) and lowest in sample 2 (3.10). For sample 1 and 4 it was estimated as 3.50 and 3.30 respectively. Protein content was uppermost in sample 2 (3.63) and lowermost in sample 4 (3.38) when sample 1 and sample 3 showed a little drift. sample 4 showed nominal magnitudes for both TS (11.70) and SNF (8.40) as in that order, utmost of these were recorded in sample 3 (12.47) and sample 2 (9.17). The range of acidity was varied from 0.159 to 0.23 as pH between 6.57 and 6.77 (Table 3).

Afterward, the evaluated mean value of chemical compositions was contrasted with the BDS and FAO standards. The mean proportion for fat, protein and total solids of the milk samples were less than the usual value of BDS and FAO standards. In contrast, acidity and solid not fat were higher. The analyzation of the chemical properties of individual samples suggested that, in sample 4 the percentage of acidity (0.159%) and solid not fat (8.40%) were more or less similar to BDS (Acidity = 0.15% and SNF = 8.20%) and FAO (Acidity = 0.16% and SNF = 8.50%) level whereas, protein in sample 2 (3.63%) appeared more inferior than BDS (4.10%) but little bit superior than FAO (3.50%) standards (Figure 1).

4.3. Microbiological Analysis

The event of microbiological analysis showed that the highest standard plate count was in sample 3 (38.1×10^6). Minute standard plate count was confirmed in sample 2 (14.1×10^6). Standard plate count for sample 1 (28.1×10^6) and sample 4 (23.4×10^6) varied to some extent in between the values of sample 2 and sample 3 (Table 4).

MBRT of collected milk samples were carried out by observing decolorization time period. Sample 1 and 3 were decolorized within 2 hours but sample 4 took about 2-3 hours. Whereas, milk collected from sample 2 retained maximum time period (3-4 hours) to change its color from blue to white.

4.4. Statistical Analysis

It was observed that the comparison between the mean values of fat of milk samples and BDS standards was significant ($P<0.05$). There was non-significant ($P>0.05$)
difference in fat content between milk samples and FAO standards. Amount of protein content in the samples was higher ($P>0.05$) than that of FAO but the difference between collected samples and BDS was significant ($P<0.01$). SNF in collected samples was also higher ($P>0.05$) than that of the FAO standards. By comparing means of SNF content of collected milk samples and BDS, statistically significant correlation ($P<0.05$) was obtained. However, the variability of TS in milk samples was related significantly ($P<0.01$) with FAO and in contrast, the value was non-significantly ($P>0.05$) different with BDS. On the other hand, the results showed no significance ($P>0.05$) in mean values of acidity among the collected milk samples, BDS and FAO standards (Table 5).

5. Conclusions

The quality and composition of raw cow milk depends on its physico-chemical parameters that vary from one dairy farm to another. Moreover, the quality and compositions are affected by several factors such as type of breeds, forage consumption, feeding schemes, milking incidence, milking process, seasonal changes, lactation period and adulteration. On the other hand, high level of microbial loads brought about by unhygienic distributions of raw milk by the dairy farmers also reduces the standard of milk. The outcome of this study showed that, all the milk compositions were significantly correlated with the BDS and FAO recommended values except acidity which require more attention to ensure the quality of milk. These findings will evoke awareness in governmental companies as well as publics to be assembled to monitor the standard regulation of milk compositions in every dairy farm.
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