Boundary non-local charges from the open spin chain
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Abstract. The $N$ site open $XXZ$ quantum spin chain with a right non-diagonal boundary and special diagonal left boundary is considered. The boundary non-local charges originally obtained from a field theoretical viewpoint, for the sine–Gordon model on the half line, are recovered from the spin chain point of view. Furthermore, the symmetry of the open spin chain is exhibited. More specifically, we show that certain non-local charges commute with the transfer matrix of the open spin chain, depending on the choice of boundary conditions. In addition, we show explicitly that for a special choice of the left boundary one of the non-local charges, in a particular representation, commutes with each one of the generators of the blob algebra, and hence with the corresponding local Hamiltonian.

Keywords: integrable spin chains (vertex models), quantum integrability (Bethe ansatz), symmetries of integrable models
1. Introduction

Quantum groups have attracted much interest recently because of their intrinsic mathematical interest [1]–[3], but also because they provide a powerful scheme for deriving solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation [4]–[6]

\[ R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) R_{13}(\lambda_1) R_{23}(\lambda_2) = R_{23}(\lambda_2) R_{13}(\lambda_1) R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2). \]  

(1.1)

\( R \) acts on \( \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V} \), whereas the Yang–Baxter equation on \( \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V} \), and as customary \( R_{12} = R \otimes I \), \( R_{23} = I \otimes R \). The \( R \) matrix may be attained by means of the quantum group approach [7, 8] by solving certain linear intertwining relations involving the \( R \) matrix and co-products of the quantum group generators.

Recently it was realized that a similar procedure may be implemented for solving the reflection equation [9],

\[ R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) K_1(\lambda_1) R_{21}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) K_2(\lambda_2) = K_2(\lambda_2) R_{12}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) K_1(\lambda_1) R_{21}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \]

(1.2)

where \( K \) acts on \( \mathbb{V} \), \( K_1 = K \otimes I \), \( K_2 = I \otimes K \), and \( R \) is a solution of the Yang–Baxter equation (1.1). The Yang–Baxter and reflection equations offer collections of algebraic constraints obeyed by both boundary integrable field theories and lattice integrable models. The first time that boundary non-local charges were constructed was in the context of the boundary sine–Gordon model in the ‘free fermion’ point [10], while representations of such objects were found in [11] for higher rank algebras. Later non-local charges were derived for the affine Toda field theories with particular boundary conditions [12]. Note that, as in the case of the Yang–Baxter equation, the derivation of the \( K \) matrix also requires the solution of linear intertwining relations that involve elements of the reflection algebras, defined by (1.2), and \( K \) [12]–[15].
In this paper we deal with the generalized $N$ site $XXZ$ open spin chain with a non-diagonal right boundary and a special diagonal left boundary. In particular, we consider the case in which each site of the spin chain is associated with a copy of $U_q(\hat{sl}_2)$, i.e. we deal with a purely algebraic construction. It will be instructive to write down the Hamiltonian of the spin 1/2 $XXZ$ model with the aforementioned boundary conditions, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y + \cosh i\mu \ \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z \right) - \frac{1}{4} \sinh i\mu \ (\sigma_N^z - \sigma_1^z) \\
- \frac{N+1}{4} \cosh i\mu - \frac{\sinh i\mu \ \sinh (i\mu)}{4 \sinh i\mu ((m/2) + \zeta)} \ \cosh i\mu ((m/2) - \zeta) \ \sigma_1^z \\
+ \frac{\sinh i\mu}{4 \sinh i\mu ((m/2) + \zeta)} \ \cosh i\mu ((m/2) - \zeta) \sigma_N^z + c_1 + c_2 \sigma_N^z. \tag{1.3}
$$

The constants $m$ and $\zeta$ are dictated by integrability as will be clear later, and $c_1$ and $c_2$ depend on the choice of the left diagonal boundary. Notice that the values $\zeta = -(m/2)$ and $\zeta = (m/2) + (\pi/2\mu) \ (\text{mod}(\pi/\mu))$ merit special treatment. In fact, for these particular values the contribution of the right boundary is proportional to one, and therefore the first two terms of the second line of the Hamiltonian (1.3) (proportional to $\sigma_1^z$, $\sigma_N^z$) are replaced by a constant.

As is well known [16] the open spin chain may be constructed by using a generalized solution of the reflection equation denoted as $T$. The asymptotic behaviour of $T$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ gives rise to boundary non-local charges, which turn out to be co-products of generators of the reflection algebra [10,12]. Linear intertwining relations involving such generators bear algebraic Bethe ansatz type exchange relations, enabling the study of the corresponding transfer matrix symmetry. Using these relations we are able to show that certain combinations of the aforementioned non-local charges commute with the transfer matrix of the open spin chain depending on the choice of the left boundary. This is the first time to our knowledge that such exchange relations have been presented, and such a method has been employed for the investigation of the symmetry of an open integrable spin chain with non-diagonal boundaries. We should emphasize that these findings rely on algebraic considerations, and therefore they are independent of the choice of representation. Finally, one more new key result is presented, that is the commutation of a particular representation of one of the boundary charges with each one of the generators of the blob algebra [17]–[21] in the $XXZ$ representation. Using this piece of information we show that the corresponding local Hamiltonian commutes with the boundary non-local charge as well. The open $XXZ$ spin chain is used as a paradigm; nevertheless, the results of this investigation may be generalized for models associated with higher rank algebras.

The present approach rests primarily on the fact that we have at our disposal solutions of the reflection equation by other means (e.g. the Hecke algebraic approach) and we use them to extract the boundary non-local charges by studying the asymptotics of the general solution $T$. A generalization for the $U_q(\hat{sl}_n)$ case with boundary conditions different to the ones appearing in [12] is also a main motivation for this investigation. The boundary non-local charges have not been determined yet in this case from the field theory point of view, because such boundary conditions have not been studied classically, so the starting point should be the affine Hecke algebra [22,18].
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2. Constructing spin chains

An integrable theory possesses a set of mutually commutative operators. In the presence of boundaries, the integrability is not ensured, as the commutativity may not apply anymore. However, there are cases where one may find integrable boundary conditions, and it is possible to modify the set of commutative operators [16]. In particular, in the generalized QISM for open spin chains, introduced in [16], two building blocks are employed, namely the \( R (L) \) and \( K \) matrices. Once having these constructing elements, one may derive the transfer matrix of the open spin chain, which gives rise to the set of mutually commuting operators. Let us now briefly review some basic definitions regarding the \( R (L) \) and \( K \) matrices for the well known \( XXZ \) model.

2.1. \( R \) matrix and Lax operator

Let us first recall the \( XXZ \) spin 1/2 \( R \) matrix, which is a solution of the Yang–Baxter equation (1.1). It is simply the \( R \) matrix associated with the fundamental representation of \( U_q(sl_2) \), acting on \((\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)\). It is given below in the so-called homogeneous gradation:

\[
R(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\sinh(\lambda + \frac{i\mu}{2} + \frac{i\sigma^z}{2}) & \sigma^{-} e^{\lambda} \sinh i\mu \\
\sigma^{+} e^{-\lambda} \sinh i\mu & \sinh(\lambda + \frac{i\mu}{2} - \frac{i\sigma^z}{2})
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(2.1)

where \( \sigma^z, \sigma^\pm \) are the usual 2 \( \times \) 2 Pauli matrices. The \( R \) matrix in the principal gradation can be deduced by means of a simple gauge transformation, i.e.

\[
R_{12}^{(p)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{V}_1(\lambda) \ R_{12}^{(h)}(\lambda) \ \mathcal{V}_1(-\lambda), \quad \mathcal{V}(\lambda) = \text{diag}(1, e^{\lambda}).
\]

(2.2)

Having specified \( R \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \), one may derive a more general object \( L \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{A}) \), where the algebra \( \mathcal{A} = U_q(sl_2) \) is defined by the fundamental algebraic relation

\[
R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \ L_{13}(\lambda_1) \ L_{23}(\lambda_2) = L_{23}(\lambda_2) \ L_{13}(\lambda_1) \ R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2).
\]

(2.3)

A simple solution of the latter equation, which we shall use hereafter, may take the following form written in the homogeneous gradation:

\[
L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\sinh(\lambda + \frac{i\mu}{2} + i\mu \ h_1) & e^{\lambda} f_1 \ \sinh i\mu \\
e^{-\lambda} e_1 \ \sinh i\mu & \sinh(\lambda + \frac{i\mu}{2} - i\mu \ h_1)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(2.4)

where \( h_i = q^{h_i}, \ e_i \) and \( f_i \) are the generators of \( U_q(sl_2) \). A more detailed description of \( U_q(sl_2) \) will be presented in the appendix. \( \mathcal{A} \) is endowed with a co-product, \( \Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \): in fact, the entries of \( L \), which generate \( \mathcal{A} \), form the co-products

\[
\Delta(L_{ij}(\lambda)) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} L_{k \bar{j}}(\lambda) \otimes L_{ik}(\lambda), \quad i, \ j \in \{1, \ 2\}.
\]

(2.5)

It will be also useful for the following to define \( \Delta' : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \), which may be attained from \( \Delta \) by permutation. Indeed, let \( \Pi : u \otimes v \rightarrow v \otimes u \), then

\[
\Delta' = \Pi \circ \Delta.
\]

(2.6)

The \( L \) co-product may also be derived by recursion as

\[
\Delta^{(L)} = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta^{(L-1)}) \Delta, \quad \Delta'^{(L)} = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta'^{(L-1)}) \Delta'.
\]

(2.7)

\[\text{doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2005/12/P12005}\]
In general, by considering tensor products of $N \mathcal{L}$ matrices we may derive the algebraic monodromy matrix $T \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes N})$

$$T_0(\lambda) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta^{(N)})(\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{0N}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{0N-1}(\lambda) \ldots \mathcal{L}_{01}(\lambda))$$

(2.8)

where now the subscripts $a \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ refer to the site of the co-product sequence. Usually, the indices $a$ associated with the ‘quantum spaces’ are suppressed from the monodromy matrix, whereas the index 0, which corresponds to the ‘auxiliary space’, is kept. To distinguish the indices appearing in (2.5) and (2.8) we shall hereafter denote by $a$, $b$ the indices that refer to the site of a co-product sequence as in (2.8), and by $i$, $j$ the ones referring to the entries of the matrix as in (2.5). Finally, by taking the trace over the auxiliary space we define the transfer matrix $t \in \mathcal{A}^{\otimes N}$

$$t(\lambda) = \text{Tr}_0 \{ T_0(\lambda) \},$$

(2.9)

which provides a family of commuting operators

$$[t(\lambda), t(\lambda')] = 0,$$

(2.10)

ensuring the integrability of the model. Note that the ‘spin chain’ described by (2.8) and (2.9) is an algebraic construction consisting of $N$ copies of $\mathcal{A}$, since the quantum spaces are not represented but they are copies of $\mathcal{A}$. The aforementioned algebraic construction may be interpreted as a physical spin chain once each one of the $N$ copies of $\mathcal{A}$ is mapped to some finite or infinite dimensional space. Then the spectrum of the transfer matrix may be derived, and all the physically relevant quantities can be computed.

2.2. The $\mathcal{K}$ matrix

Our aim now is to construct the open spin chain. For this purpose we need to consider one more fundamental object, namely the $\mathcal{K}$ matrix, which is a solution of the reflection equation (1.2). As proposed in [18,21] an effective way of finding solutions of the reflection equations (1.2) is by exploiting certain algebraic structures such as Temperley–Lieb and blob algebras [17]–[21].

The blob algebra $b_N(q, Q)$, which is a quotient of the affine Hecke algebra [22], is defined by generators $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_{N-1}$ and $U_0$, and relations

$$U_l U_l = \delta U_l, \quad U_0 U_0 = \delta_0 U_0$$

$$U_{l\pm 1} U_l U_{l\pm 1} = U_{l\pm 1}, \quad U_l U_0 U_l = \gamma U_l$$

$$[U_l, U_k] = 0, \quad |l - k| \neq 1$$

(2.11)

$\delta = -(q + q^{-1})$, $q = e^{i\mu}$, and $\delta_0, \gamma$ are constants depending on $q$ and $Q = e^{i\mu}$. We give here the generators $U_l$, $l \in \{1, \ldots, N - 1\}$, $U_0$ of the blob algebra in the $XXZ$ representation, i.e. let the tensor representation $h : b_N(q, Q) \to \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{2\otimes N})$ such that (see also [19])

$$h(U_l) = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1,$$

$$h(U_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -Q & 1 \\ 1 & -Q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$$

(2.12)
with \( h(U_l) \) acting non-trivially on \( \mathbb{V}_l \otimes \mathbb{V}_{l+1} \) (where \( \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}^2 \)) and \( h(U_0) \) acting on \( \mathbb{V}_1 \). Note that \( h(U_l) \), \( l \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\} \) are actually the XXZ representations of the Temperley–Lieb algebra generators \([17,20,21]\).

As argued in \([21]\), tensor representations of the blob algebra provide solutions of the reflection equation. Hence a solution of the reflection equation \((1.2)\) may be written in terms of the blob algebra generator \( h(U_0) \) \((2.12)\) as

\[
\mathcal{K}^{(b)}(\lambda) = 2 \sinh \left( \lambda - \frac{i \mu}{2} - i \mu \zeta \right) \cosh \left( \lambda - \frac{i \mu}{2} + i \mu \zeta \right) \mathbb{I} + \sinh 2\lambda \ h(U_0). \tag{2.13}
\]

(The notation here is slightly modified compared to \([21]\). Also, for this representation of the blob algebra, we find \( \delta_0 = -(Q + Q^{-1}) \) and \( \gamma = qQ + q^{-1}Q^{-1} \).) Recall also that the XXZ spin 1/2 \( R \) matrix \((2.1)\) may be also written in terms of the representation \( \rho(U_l) \), \([1]\), i.e.

\[
R_{12}(\lambda) = \mathcal{P}_{12}(\sinh(\lambda + i \mu) \ \mathbb{I} + \sinh \lambda \ h(U_l)) \tag{2.14}
\]

where \( \mathcal{P} \) is the permutation operator such that \( \mathcal{P}(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a \).

Let us now explain why the matrix obtained from the blob algebra \((2.13)\) and the \( K \) matrix \([23,24]\) (written in the homogeneous gradation) coincide, subject to certain identifications. The \( K \) matrix found in \([23,24]\) may be written as

\[
\mathcal{K}_{11}(\lambda) = e^{2\lambda} e^{i \mu \xi} \frac{e^{-i \mu \xi}}{2\kappa} - \frac{e^{-i \mu \xi}}{2\kappa}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{22}(\lambda) = e^{-2\lambda} e^{i \mu \xi} \frac{e^{-i \mu \xi}}{2\kappa} - \frac{e^{-i \mu \xi}}{2\kappa} \tag{2.15}
\]

\[
\mathcal{K}_{12}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K}_{21}(\lambda) = \sinh 2\lambda.
\]

The \( K \) matrix from the blob algebra \((2.13)\) on the other hand can be written in an explicit form by using \((2.12)\)

\[
\mathcal{K}^{(b)}_{11}(\lambda) = -e^{2\lambda} \sinh i \mu m - \sinh 2i \mu \zeta, \quad \mathcal{K}^{(b)}_{22}(\lambda) = e^{-2\lambda} \sinh i \mu m - \sinh 2i \mu \zeta
\]

\[
\mathcal{K}^{(b)}_{12}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K}^{(b)}_{21}(\lambda) = \sinh 2\lambda. \tag{2.16}
\]

It is now clear that the above expressions for \( K \) \((2.15)\) and \( K^{(b)} \) \((2.16)\) coincide subject to the following identifications:

\[
\frac{e^{i \mu \xi}}{2\kappa} = -\sinh i \mu m, \quad \frac{e^{-i \mu \xi}}{2\kappa} = \sinh 2i \mu \zeta. \tag{2.17}
\]

The blob algebra (affine Hecke algebra \([22]\) for e.g. \( U_q(sl_n) \)) as already mentioned is considered as the starting point in the present analysis providing \( c \)-number solutions \((2.13)\) of \((1.2)\). Once having solutions of the reflection equation, attained algebraically, one may build the corresponding open spin chain and derive certain boundary non-local charges \([10,12]\), as we will see in the subsequent sections. Note finally that the \( K \) matrix in the principle gradation can be obtained by the gauge transformation

\[
\mathcal{K}^{(p)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{V}(\lambda) \ K(\lambda) \ \mathcal{V}(\lambda). \tag{2.18}
\]
2.3. The underlying algebra and the open spin chain

Having specified c-number solutions of (1.2) we may build the more general solution of (1.2) as argued in [16]. To do so it is necessary to define the following object:

$$\hat{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(-\lambda), \quad (2.19)$$

in particular

$$\hat{L}(\lambda) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \sinh(\lambda + \frac{i\mu}{2} + i\mu h_1) & e^{-\lambda} f_1 \sinh i\mu \\ e^{\lambda} e_1 \sinh i\mu & \sinh(\lambda + \frac{i\mu}{2} - i\mu h_1) \end{array} \right). \quad (2.20)$$

The general solution of (1.2) is then given by [16]

$$\mathbb{K}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda - \Theta) \ (\mathcal{K}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{I}) \ \hat{L}(\lambda + \Theta), \quad (2.21)$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the c-number solution of the reflection equation, $\Theta$ is called the inhomogeneity and hereafter for simplicity we shall consider it to be zero. $\mathbb{K}$ generates the elements of the reflection algebra $\mathbb{R}$, which obey exchange relations dictated by the algebraic constraints (1.2) (see also [16]). It is clear that the general solution (2.21) allows the asymptotic expansion as $\lambda \to \infty$ as we shall see in subsequent sections. The first order of such expansion ($\lambda$ independent) yields the generators of the boundary quantum group $\mathbb{B}(U_q(sl_2))$ (associated with the $U_q(sl_2)$ (2.4)), which obey commutation relations dictated by the defining relations (1.2) as $\lambda_i \to \infty$. The boundary quantum group is a subalgebra of $U_q(sl_2)$ and $\mathbb{R}$, and provides the underlying algebraic structure in the reflection equation exactly as quantum groups do in the Yang–Baxter equation.

The reflection algebra is also endowed with a co-product inherited from $\mathcal{A}$. In particular, let us first derive the co-product of $\hat{L}$, i.e.

$$\Delta(\hat{L}_{ij}(\lambda)) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \hat{L}_{ik}(\lambda) \otimes \hat{L}_{kj}(\lambda) \quad i, \ j \in \{1, \ 2\}. \quad (2.22)$$

Then it is clear from (2.5) and (2.22) that the elements of $\mathbb{R}$ form co-products $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, such that (see also [12])

$$\Delta(\mathbb{K}_{ij}(\lambda)) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{2} \mathbb{K}_{kl}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{ik}(\lambda) \hat{L}_{lj}(\lambda) \quad i, \ j \in \{1, \ 2\}. \quad (2.23)$$

Our final aim is to build the corresponding quantum system that is the open quantum spin chain. To achieve this we shall need tensor product realizations of the general solution (2.21). We first define

$$\hat{T}_0(\lambda) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta^{(N)})\hat{L}(\lambda) = \hat{L}_{01}(\lambda) \ldots \hat{L}_{0N}(\lambda) \quad (2.24)$$

then the general solution of (1.2) takes the form

$$T_0(\lambda) = T_0(\lambda) \ \mathcal{K}_{0}^{(r)}(\lambda) \ \hat{T}_0(\lambda), \quad (2.25)$$

where the entries of $T$ are simply co-products of the elements of the reflection algebra, namely

$$T_{ij}(\lambda) = \Delta^{(N)}(\mathbb{K}_{ij}(\lambda)) \quad (2.26)$$
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and the operators $T$ in principal and homogeneous gradation are related via the gauge transformation (2.2)

$$T_0^{(p)}(\lambda) = V_0(\lambda) T_0(\lambda) V_0(\lambda).$$

Finally, we introduce the transfer matrix of the open spin chain [16], which may be written as

$$t(\lambda) = \text{Tr}_0 \left\{ M_0 K_0^{(l)}(\lambda) T_0(\lambda) \right\}. \tag{2.28}$$

$K^{(r)}$ is a solution of the reflection equation (1.2) and $K^{(l)}(\lambda) = K(-\lambda - i\mu)^t$ with $K$ also being a solution of (1.2), not necessarily of the same type as $K^{(r)}$. Also, we define

$$M = I, \quad \text{principal gradation},$$

$$M = \text{diag}(e^{i\mu}, e^{-i\mu}), \quad \text{homogeneous gradation}. \tag{2.29}$$

It can be shown [16], using the fact that $T$ satisfies the reflection equation, that the transfer matrix (2.28) provides a family of commuting operators, i.e.

$$[t(\lambda), t(\lambda')] = 0, \tag{2.30}$$

a fact that guarantees the integrability of the model.

3. Boundary non-local charges

In this section the boundary non-local charges are obtained via the standard procedure, by considering the asymptotics of the operator $T$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ (see also [12]). Henceforth, we shall consider $K^{(l)} = I, K^{(r)} = K$ given by (2.15). If we consider a different choice for the left boundary we shall explicitly state it. Also, in the following $L, \hat{L}$ and $T$ ($\hat{T}$), $T$ are treated as $n \times n$ matrices with entries being elements of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\otimes N}$ respectively.

- **Homogeneous gradation.** Let us start our analysis considering the asymptotics of $L$ and $\hat{L}$ given by (2.4) and (2.20) respectively, namely (recall $q = e^{i\mu}$, and for the asymptotic study $\mu$ is taken to be finite; also, for simplicity the ’auxiliary’ space index 0 is suppressed from $T$ and $\hat{T}$ and later from $T$ (2.28))

$$L(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & w f_1 \\ k_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{L}(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & w e_1 \\ k_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

with $w = 2q^{-1/2} \sinh i\mu$. Now it is straightforward to see by virtue of the form of $L^+$ and $\hat{L}^+$ that the monodromy matrices also reduce to upper, lower triangular matrices as $\lambda \to \infty$,

$$T(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \begin{pmatrix} K_1^{(N)} & w F_1^{(N)} \\ (K_1^{(N)})^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{T}(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \begin{pmatrix} K_1^{(N)} & w E_1^{(N)} \\ (K_1^{(N)})^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.2}$$

with $K_1^{(N)}, E_1^{(N)}, F_1^{(N)}$ being $N$ co-products of the $U_q(sl_2)$ generators, i.e.

$$K_1^{(N)} = \Delta^{(N)}(k_1), \quad E_1^{(N)} = \Delta^{(N)}(e_1), \quad F_1^{(N)} = \Delta^{(N)}(f_1). \tag{3.3}$$
We shall also need the asymptotic behaviour of the $\mathcal{K}$ matrix, which reads
\[
\mathcal{K}(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \left( \begin{array}{cc} e^{i\mu \xi} & \kappa \\ \kappa & 1 \end{array} \right).
\] (3.4)

Then, gathering together the information provided by (3.2) and (3.4) and bearing in mind the form of $\mathcal{T}$, we conclude that
\[
\mathcal{T}(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \mathcal{T}^+ = \left( \begin{array}{cc} Q_1^{(N)} + x_1 I \quad (q - q^{-1})^{-1} I \\ (q - q^{-1})^{-1} I \quad 0 \end{array} \right)
\] (3.5)

where the explicit expression of the non-local charge $Q_1^{(N)}$ is given by
\[
Q_1^{(N)} = q^{-1/2} K_1^{(N)} E_1^{(N)} + q^{1/2} K_1^{(N)} F_1^{(N)} + x_1 (K_1^{(N)})^2 - x_1 I,
\] (3.6)

with $x_1 = e^{i\mu \xi}/2 \kappa \sinh \mu$. Notice that the first order of the above expansion gave rise to only one non-trivial generator; as a consequence, the boundary quantum group possesses one generator, whose $N$ co-product is provided by $Q_1^{(N)}$. It is clear that for $N = 1$ one obtains the abstract generator of $\mathbb{B}(U_q(sl_2))$.

Let us elaborate a little further on the boundary quantum group $\mathbb{B}(U_q(sl_2))$. As already mentioned, $\mathbb{B}(U_q(sl_2))$ is defined by (1.2) as $\lambda_i \to \infty$ (no $\lambda$ dependence, homogeneous gradation), i.e.
\[
R_{12}^\pm \ T_1^+ \hat{R}_{12}^+ \ T_2^+ = T_2^+ \ R_{12}^+ \ T_1^+ \hat{R}_{12}^+
\] (3.7)

where $\hat{R}^+ = \mathcal{P} R^+ \mathcal{P}$. In fact, the latter formula (3.7) is equivalent to the quartic algebraic relation of the so-called cylinder braid group,
\[
g_0 \ g_1 \ g_0 \ g_1 = g_1 \ g_0 \ g_1 \ g_0.
\] (3.8)

The blob algebra (2.11) is simply a quotient of the cylinder braid group; for a more detailed analysis we refer the reader to e.g. [18, 21]. To obtain (3.7) we consider $g_1 = h(U_1) + q$, where $h(U_1)$ is given in (2.12); we act on (3.8) with $\mathcal{P}$ from the left and right, and set $R_{12}^\pm = \mathcal{P} g_1^{-1}$; also, $T_1^+$ is a tensor representation of the generator $g_0$. Relations (3.7) may be thought of as the boundary analogues of the well known relations for the upper and lower Borel subalgebras defined by $R_{12}^\pm L_1^+ L_2^+ = L_2^+ L_1^+ R_{12}^\pm \ldots$ (see e.g. [25]). It is clear that for higher rank algebras there exist a set of boundary charges (non-affine) that form the boundary quantum group associated with the corresponding algebra. However, we repeat that in our case $\mathbb{B}(U_q(sl_2))$ consists of only one charge, that is $Q_1$, which as will be shown in the last section is the centralizer of the blob algebra (2.11).

**Principal gradation.** The non-local charge derived in (3.6) is associated with the non-affine generators of $U_q(sl_2)$. To extract the charge associated with the affine generators it is convenient to consider the asymptotics of $\mathcal{T}$ in the principal gradation. Let us first note that $\mathcal{L}$ in the principal gradation may be obtained via the simple gauge transformation $\mathcal{V}$, then as $\lambda \to \infty$ and bearing in mind the evaluation homomorphism (A.5) we may express the $\mathcal{L}$ matrix in the following convenient form:
\[
\mathcal{L}(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \left( \begin{array}{cc} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{array} \right) + e^{-\lambda} w \left( \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{array} \right),
\]
\[
\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \left( \begin{array}{cc} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{array} \right) + e^{-\lambda} w \left( \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{array} \right)
\] (3.9)

\[\text{doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2005/12/P12005}\]
where \( k_2 = k_1^{-1} \). From (2.8), (2.24) and (3.9) the asymptotics of \( T \) and \( \hat{T} \) as \( \lambda \to \infty \) can be easily obtained, i.e.

\[
T(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \left( \begin{array}{c} K_1^{(N)} \\ K_2^{(N)} \end{array} \right) + e^{-\lambda} \left( \begin{array}{c} F_1^{(N)} \\ F_2^{(N)} \end{array} \right),
\]

\[
\hat{T}(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \left( \begin{array}{c} K_1^{(N)} \\ K_2^{(N)} \end{array} \right) + e^{-\lambda} \left( \begin{array}{c} E_1^{(N)} \\ E_2^{(N)} \end{array} \right).
\]  

(3.10)

\( K_i^{(N)}, \ E_i^{(N)} \) and \( F_i^{(N)} \) provide the \( N \) co-product of the quantum Kac–Moody algebra \( U_q(sl_2) \) (see also the appendix)

\[
K_i^{(N)} = \Delta^{(N)}(k_i), \quad E_i^{(N)} = \Delta^{(N)}(e_i), \quad F_i^{(N)} = \Delta^{(N)}(f_i), \quad \lambda \in \{1, 2\}.
\]  

(3.11)

The \( \mathcal{K} \) matrix as \( \lambda \to \infty \) (2.15) becomes (note that the constants \( \xi \) and \( \kappa \) appearing in (2.15) are considered to be finite)

\[
\mathcal{K}(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \left( \begin{array}{c} \kappa \\ \kappa \end{array} \right) + e^{-\lambda} \left( \begin{array}{c} e^{i\mu \xi} \\ -e^{-i\mu \xi} \end{array} \right).
\]  

(3.12)

Taking into account the asymptotics of \( T, \hat{T} \) (3.10) and \( \mathcal{K} \) (3.12), as \( \lambda \to \infty \) and also (2.28), one can derive the corresponding behaviour of \( T \) (2.28), namely

\[
T(\lambda \to \infty) \propto \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) + 2 \sinh \mu e^{-\lambda} \left( \begin{array}{c} Q_1^{(N)} + x_1 \mathbb{I} \\ Q_2^{(N)} + x_2 \mathbb{I} \end{array} \right)
\]  

(3.13)

where \( x_2 = -(e^{-i\mu \xi}/2\kappa \sinh \mu) \) and the non-local charges \( Q_i^{(N)}, \ i \in \{1, 2\} \), are given by the following expression:

\[
Q_i^{(N)} = q^{-1/2} K_i^{(N)} E_i^{(N)} + q^{1/2} K_i^{(N)} F_i^{(N)} + x_i (K_i^{(N)})^2 - x_i \mathbb{I}, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.
\]  

(3.14)

The constant \( x_i \) is subtracted in (3.14) so that convenient co-product expressions can be obtained, as will become clear in the next section. By keeping higher order terms one may attain higher conserved charges; this case however will be examined in detail elsewhere.

The boundary non-local charges entailed via algebraic considerations (see also [12]) are the same as the ones constructed for the sine–Gordon model on the half line [10, 12]. This is not a surprise since both boundary integrable field theories and discrete integrable models are ruled by the same set of algebraic constraints provided by (1.2). This is the deep reason why the charges \( Q_1, Q_2 \) agree with the ones obtained in [10, 12] in the context of the boundary sine–Gordon model. Our ultimate goal is to find conserved quantities commuting with the open transfer matrix, and this will be done in the subsequent sections.

4. The symmetry

In the previous section the boundary non-local charges were derived as co-products of generators of the reflection algebra defined by (1.2). It is clear that for \( N = 1 \) we may obtain the corresponding abstract generators (see also [10, 12])

\[
Q_i = q^{-1/2} k_i e_i + q^{1/2} k_i f_i + x_i k_i^2 - x_i \mathbb{I}, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.
\]  

(4.1)
Let us denote $\mathcal{B} = \{ Q_i \} \subset \mathbb{R} [12, 14]$. $\mathcal{B}$ is endowed with a co-product left from $\mathcal{A}$, with $
abla : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. Taking into account the expressions (A.6), we may write the co-product formed by $Q_i$ in a convenient way as (see also [12, 14])

$$
\Delta(Q_i) = I \otimes Q_i + Q_i \otimes k_i^2. 
$$

(4.2)

Let us point out that the constant $x_i$ is subtracted in (4.1) so that a convenient co-product form is attained. Without subtracting the constant we would have obtained a more complicated expression; in fact, the corresponding co-product is given by

$$
\Delta(Q_i) = I \otimes Q_i + Q_i \otimes k_i^2 - x_i I \otimes k_i^2; 
$$

(4.3)

nonetheless, the results that follow are not affected by this choice in any way. We may now write the boundary non-local charges in a more compact form as

$$
Q_i^{(N)} = \Delta^{(N)}(Q_i). 
$$

(4.4)

It is worth remarking that recently it was shown [26, 27] that the quantities (4.4) generate a so-called tridiagonal algebra.

The remaining of this section is devoted to the derivation of intertwining relations involving the quantities (4.1) and the solutions of the reflection equation. Such relations are quite significant, because they enable the investigation of the exact symmetry of the open transfer matrix. Let $\rho_\lambda$ be the evaluation representation of $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ (see also the appendix) [1] $\rho_\lambda : U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ such that

$$
\rho_\lambda(k_1) = q^{\sigma^+/2}, \quad \rho_\lambda(e_1) = \sigma^+, \quad \rho_\lambda(f_1) = e^\lambda \sigma^-, \\
\rho_\lambda(k_2) = q^{-\sigma^+/2}, \quad \rho_\lambda(e_2) = e^{-2\lambda} \sigma^-, \quad \rho_\lambda(f_2) = e^{2\lambda} \sigma^+. 
$$

(4.5)

Notice that in this representation $\rho_\lambda(k_1) = \rho_\lambda(k_2)^{-1} = q^{(1/2)\sigma^x}$, which implies that (4.5) actually provides a deformation of the $sl_2$ loop algebra with zero centre. From relations (4.1) and (4.5) it can be deduced that

$$
\rho_\lambda(Q_1) = q^{-1/2}q^{\sigma^+/2}\sigma^+ + q^{1/2}q^{-\sigma^+/2}\sigma^- + x_1 q^{\sigma_x^+} - x_1 I, \\
\rho_\lambda(Q_2) = q^{-1/2}e^{-2\lambda}q^{-\sigma^+/2}\sigma^- + q^{1/2}e^{2\lambda}q^{\sigma^+/2}\sigma^+ + x_2 q^{-\sigma_x^+} - x_2 I. 
$$

(4.6)

It may easily be shown that all the elements of the reflection algebra $\mathbb{K}_{ij}(\lambda)$ commute with the c-number solution of the reflection equation (see also [12]). Indeed, by acting with the evaluation representation on the second space of (2.21) we obtain

$$
(id \otimes \rho_{\pm \lambda}) \mathbb{K}(\lambda') = R(\lambda' \mp \lambda) (\mathbb{K}(\lambda') \otimes I) \hat{R}(\lambda' \pm \lambda),
$$

(4.7)

where $\hat{R}(\lambda) = \mathcal{P} R(\lambda) \mathcal{P}$. Now recalling the reflection equation (1.2) and because of the form of the above expressions it is straightforward to show that the entries of $\mathbb{K}$ in the evaluation representation ‘commute’ with the c-number $\mathcal{K}$ matrix (2.15)

$$
\rho_\lambda(\mathbb{K}_{ij}(\lambda')) \mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda) \rho_{-\lambda}(\mathbb{K}_{ij}(\lambda')), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\}. 
$$

(4.8)

Recall however that $\mathbb{K}_{ij}(\lambda \rightarrow \infty)$ essentially provide the generators (4.1), and thus it immediately follows that

$$
\rho_\lambda(Q_i) \mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda) \rho_{-\lambda}(Q_i). 
$$

(4.9)
The above relations have been also verified by inspection considering the explicit form of (4.6), (2.15).

The latter equations (4.9) are the boundary analogues of the well known ‘commutation’ relations for the Lax operators

\[(\rho_\lambda \otimes \text{id})\Delta'(x) \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda) (\rho_\lambda \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x)\]

\[(\rho_{-\lambda} \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x) \dot{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) = \dot{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) (\rho_{-\lambda} \otimes \text{id})\Delta'(x) \quad x \in \{e_i, f_i, k_i\}. \tag{4.10}\]

Relations of the type (4.10) were derived originally in [7,8], and also used extensively for deriving $R$ matrices and exact $S$ matrices in 2D quantum integrable models [7,28]. On the other hand, relations (4.9) were employed in e.g. [12]–[15] for obtaining solutions of the reflection equation (1.2) associated with a variety of integrable models.

The main objective now is the derivation of generalized intertwining relations of the type (4.9) and (4.10) for $\mathcal{T}$. Indeed, it turns out via (4.9) and (4.10) that the following generalized intertwining relations hold:

\[(\rho_\lambda \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes N})\Delta^{(N+1)}(Q_\lambda) \mathcal{T}(\lambda) = \mathcal{T}(\lambda) (\rho_{-\lambda} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes N})\Delta^{(N+1)}(Q_{-\lambda}). \tag{4.11}\]

Equations (4.11) are of great relevance bearing explicit algebraic relations among the entries of the $\mathcal{T}$ matrix and the non-local charges (3.14) (see [29] for the bulk analogue), allowing the derivation of the symmetry of the open spin chain. We shall derive the symmetry of the transfer matrix in the homogeneous gradation; recall however that the two gradations are related via a simple gauge transformation (2.2). Let

\[\mathcal{T}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{C} & \mathcal{A}_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.12}\]

then using (2.1), (4.2), (4.6), (4.11) and (4.12) and defining $\eta_i = (-1)^{i+1}$ the following important algebraic relations are entailed:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[Q^{(N)}_i, \mathcal{A}_1\right] &= e^{\lambda - \eta_i(\lambda + i\mu)}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}), & \left[Q^{(N)}_i, \mathcal{A}_2\right] &= -e^{-\lambda + \eta_i(\lambda + i\mu)}(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}) \\
\left[Q^{(N)}_i, \mathcal{C}\right]_{q^{-\eta_i}} &= e^{\lambda - \eta_i\lambda} \mathcal{A}_2 - e^{-\lambda + \eta_i\lambda} \mathcal{A}_1 + x_i(q^{\eta_i} - q^{-\eta_i})\mathcal{C} \\
\left[Q^{(N)}_i, \mathcal{B}\right]_{q^{\eta_i}} &= e^{\lambda + \eta_i\lambda} \mathcal{A}_1 - e^{-\lambda - \eta_i\lambda} \mathcal{A}_2 + x_i(q^{-\eta_i} - q^{\eta_i})\mathcal{B}, & i & \in \{1, 2\}
\end{align*}
\tag{4.13}\]

where we define $[X, Y]_q = qXY - q^{-1}YX$. The algebraic relations (4.13) together with (4.11) are among the most important results of this paper. Equations (4.13) are essentially algebraic Bethe ansatz type commutation relations similar to the ones appearing in [16]. We shall now consider three different choices for $\mathcal{K}^{(i)}$.

(I) $\mathcal{K}^{(i)}(\lambda) = I$. Recall that in the homogeneous gradation $M = \text{diag}(q, q^{-1})$, and the transfer matrix can now be written as

\[t(\lambda) = e^{i\mu} \mathcal{A}_1 + e^{-i\mu} \mathcal{A}_2. \tag{4.14}\]

Then by virtue of (4.14) and recalling the exchange relations (4.13) between the boundary non-local charges and the entries $\mathcal{A}_i$ it follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[t(\lambda), Q^{(N)}_1\right] &= 0, & \left[t(\lambda), Q^{(N)}_2\right] &= -2 \sinh 2(\lambda + i\mu)(\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}). \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
\]
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(II) $\mathcal{K}^{(l)}(\lambda) = \text{diag}(e^{-2\lambda-2\mu}, e^{2\lambda+2\mu})$: Note that this solution follows from (2.15), in particular $\mathcal{K}^{(l)}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K}(-\lambda - i\mu; i\mu \xi \to \infty)$. Similarly, by means of (4.21) the transfer matrix in the homogeneous gradation can now be written as

$$t(\lambda) = e^{-2\lambda-\mu}A_1 + e^{2\lambda+\mu}A_2 \quad (4.16)$$

and via (4.13) and (4.16) we conclude

$$\left[ t(\lambda), Q^{(N)}_1 \right] = 2 \sinh (\lambda + i\mu)(B - C), \quad \left[ t(\lambda), Q^{(N)}_2 \right] = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

(III) Let finally $\mathcal{K}^{(l)}(\lambda) = \text{diag}(e^{-\lambda-\mu}, e^{\lambda+\mu})$. Then the transfer matrix may be written as

$$t(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}A_1 + e^{\lambda}A_2. \quad (4.18)$$

From (4.18), (4.13) it immediately follows that

$$\left[ t(\lambda), Q^{(N)}_1 \right] = -2 \sinh (\lambda + i\mu)(B - C), \quad \left[ t(\lambda), Q^{(N)}_2 \right] = 2 \sinh (\lambda + i\mu)(B - C) \quad (4.19)$$

and we conclude that

$$\left[ t(\lambda), Q^{(N)}_1 + Q^{(N)}_2 \right] = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

We arrived at these results by simply exploiting the generalized intertwining relation (4.11). The procedure described in the present section provides a convenient way of studying the symmetry of open spin chains, and this is the first time to our knowledge that this method has been used in this context.

Relations similar to (4.13) may be deduced for the case where $\mathcal{T}$ is in the principal gradation. The transfer matrix in the principal gradation is (2.28)

$$t^{(p)}(\lambda) = \text{Tr}_0 \left\{ M_0 T_0^{(p)}(\lambda) \right\} \quad (4.21)$$

where $T^{(p)}$ is given by (2.24) and recall that in the principal gradation $M = I$. To be more specific let us write down the expressions for the left boundary of the transfer matrix in the principal gradation for the cases described in (I), (II) and (III) obtained trivially via the gauge transformation (2.18):

(I) $\mathcal{K}^{(l, p)}(\lambda) = \text{diag}(e^{\lambda+\mu}, e^{-\lambda-\mu})$

(II) $\mathcal{K}^{(l, p)}(\lambda) = \text{diag}(e^{-\lambda-\mu}, e^{\lambda+\mu}) \quad (4.22)$

(III) $\mathcal{K}^{(l, p)}(\lambda) = I$.

The right boundary in the principal gradation is given by

$$\mathcal{K}^{(r, p)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(\lambda + i\mu \xi) & \kappa \sinh 2\lambda \\ \kappa \sinh 2\lambda & \sinh(-\lambda + i\mu \xi) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.23)$$

Note that the transfer matrix of the system remains invariant under the gauge transformation (2.2), what changes is only the form of the $R$ and $K$ matrices from one gradation to the other.

A more general diagonal (see e.g. [30]–[32]) or non-diagonal left boundary could have been applied (see also [27]). In the case of a general diagonal left boundary the transfer
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matrix would be expressed as a linear combination of the entries $A_i$, whereas for a non-diagonal boundary the transfer matrix would be written as a combination of all the entries of $T$, i.e. $A_i, B$ and $C$. In both cases we are able to derive the commutators between the boundary non-local charges and the transfer matrix due to relations (4.13). Furthermore, as was shown in [27], $Q_1^{(N)}$ and $Q_2^{(N)}$ give rise to a hierarchy of charges commuting with the transfer matrix, and consisting an Abelian algebra. All the higher charges may be written as combinations of the fundamental generators $Q_1^{(N)}$ and $Q_2^{(N)}$. As a consequence, knowing the exchange relations (4.13) we may check all the possible combinations of these two charges commuting with the transfer matrix for various choices of boundary conditions. This is the first time that relations of the type (4.13), and hence the symmetry (4.15), (4.17) and (4.20), are deduced for an integrable open spin chain with non-diagonal boundary conditions, a fact that clearly indicates the importance of these findings. The generalized intertwining relations (4.11) as well as the exchange relations (4.13), and all the discovered symmetries (4.15), (4.17) and (4.20) are universal results since they have been derived independently of the choice of representation.

Commutation with the blob algebra

In what follows a connection between the boundary quantum group generator (3.14) and the generators of the blob algebra will be made. More specifically, we shall show that the representation of the non-local charge $\rho_0^\otimes N (Q_1^{(N)})$ (3.14) commutes with each one of the blob algebra generators [20, 21] in the XXZ representation (2.12), i.e.

$$[h(U_0), \rho_0^\otimes N (Q_1^{(N)})] = 0.$$  (4.24)

It can be shown by inspection by virtue of (3.3), (3.14) (for $N = 1$), (4.5), (2.12) and (2.17) that (4.24) is valid for $N = 1$. Then by means of (4.2), (4.4) and (2.12) it follows that (4.24) is valid for any $N$. Indeed, notice from (4.2) (for $i = 1$) that the first site of the co-product is occupied either by the unit element or by $Q_1$, representations of which on $\mathbb{C}^2$ commute with $h(U_0)$ (2.12) as checked by inspection for $N = 1$.

It is also well known (see e.g. [30]) that the generators of the Temperley–Lieb algebra in the XXZ representation $h(U_l)$ (2.12) commute with the quantum group generators (3.3), i.e.

$$[h(U_l), \rho_0^\otimes N (E_1^{(N)})] = [h(U_l), \rho_0^\otimes N (F_1^{(N)})] = [h(U_l), \rho_0^\otimes N (K_1^{(N)})] = 0,$$

$$l \in \{1, \ldots, N - 1\}.$$  (4.25)

and by virtue of (3.14) it is obvious that

$$[h(U_l), \rho_0^\otimes N (Q_1^{(N)})] = 0.$$  (4.26)

From equations (4.24) and (4.26) it is immediately entailed that all the generators of the blob algebra, in the XXZ representation, commute with the non-local charge $Q_1^{(N)}$ (3.14),

$$[h(U_l), \rho_0^\otimes N (Q_1^{(N)})] = 0, \quad l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N - 1\}.$$  (4.27)
The commutation relations (4.27), which are also among the main results of this work, are the boundary analogues of (4.25) and they can be used for studying the symmetry of the open XXZ spin chain Hamiltonian as e.g. in [30].

In particular, let us focus on the special case where the fundamental representation (4.5) acts on the quantum spaces of the spin chain \((\mathcal{L} \to R)\), and consider \(\mathcal{K}^{(\ell)}(\lambda) = I\), \(\mathcal{K}^{(r)}(\lambda)\) is given by (2.13) (homogeneous gradation). The corresponding open spin chain Hamiltonian may be then expressed as [32]

\[
\mathcal{H} = -\frac{\sinh i\mu}{4x(0)} \left( \Tr M_0 \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} t(\lambda) \right)_{|\lambda=0}. \tag{4.28}
\]

Recall also that \(R\) is given by (2.14). Then having in mind that \(R(0) = \hat{R}(0) = \sinh i\mu \mathcal{P}\), \(\mathcal{K}^{(r)}(0) = x(0) I\), and after some algebra, we conclude that the Hamiltonian (4.28) may be written as

\[
\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} h(U_l) + \frac{\sinh i\mu}{4x(0)} y'(0) h(U_0) + w \tag{4.29}
\]

where \(w = -\left( \frac{\sinh i\mu x'(0)}{4x(0)} \right) - \frac{N}{2} \cosh i\mu + 1/2(q + q^{-1})\). The latter Hamiltonian reduces to (1.3) after expressing the blob generators in terms of the Pauli matrices, and for this particular choice of the left boundary the constants in (1.3) become \(c_1 = 1/2(q + q^{-1})\), \(c_2 = 0\). Note that for the values \(\zeta = -m/2\), \(\xi = (m/2) + (\pi/2\mu) \mod (\pi/\mu)\), already mentioned in the introduction, \(y'(0) = 0\), and thus there is no contribution from the blob generator \(U_0\).

The Hamiltonian (4.29) is solely expressed in terms of the blob algebra generators in the XXZ representation (2.12), and as a consequence of (4.27) we conclude

\[
[\mathcal{H}, \rho_0^\otimes N(Q_1^{(N)})] = 0. \tag{4.30}
\]

The latter commutation relation could have been obtained by means of (4.15) and via (4.28), by simply acting on the quantum spaces with the evaluation representation. Of course the crucial point here is not only that the open Hamiltonian (4.29) commutes with \(\rho_0^\otimes N(Q_1^{(N)})\), but more importantly that each one of the generators of the blob algebra commutes with it. It should be stressed that in the present study we deal with a somewhat trivial left boundary (see cases (I), (II) and (III)). In this case an interesting analysis on the spectrum of the system, as well as on the spectrum of the aforementioned non-local charge \(Q_1^{(N)}\), for various values of the parameters of the blob algebra, is presented in [33]. If on the other hand one considers a non-trivial left boundary, then an extra generator needs to be added to the blob algebra and one has to proceed as in [34,35]. This case, although of great interest, is not pursued in the present work.

5. Discussion

Given a \(\mathcal{K}\) matrix, a solution of the reflection equation (1.2), one can explicitly construct the boundary non-local charges (3.14) via the asymptotic behaviour of \(\mathcal{T}\) as \(\lambda \to \infty\) (see also [12]). Note that solutions of the reflection equation (1.2) may be available by means of a variety of techniques such as e.g. the Hecke algebraic approach [21]. One then
may identify linear intertwining relations between them and the solutions of the reflection equation (4.9), (4.11), which turn out to be of great significance, because they provide algebraic relations (4.13) between the entries of the transfer matrix and the non-local charges (3.14), facilitating the study of the transfer matrix symmetry with special left boundary (4.20), (4.15), (4.17). In addition, we were able to show that one of the non-local charges (3.14), in a certain representation, commutes with the $XXZ$ realization of the blob algebra generators for a particular choice of the left boundary. This fact enables the investigation of the symmetry of the corresponding local Hamiltonian (4.30), written exclusively in terms of the blob algebra generators.

The procedure applied here may be generalized in a straightforward manner for models associated with higher rank algebras such as e.g. $A_n^{(1)}$ (see also [11]). In particular, after extracting the boundary non-local charges via the standard process the main point is to obtain generalized relations of the type (4.13), study the symmetry of the corresponding open transfer matrix, and also prove the existence of centralizers of the corresponding affine Hecke algebra.
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Appendix. The quantum Kac–Moody algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$

It is instructive to briefly review some basic definitions concerning the quantum group structures. Let

$$
(a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

be the Cartan matrix of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{sl}_2$ [36], and also define

$$
[x]_q = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}}.
$$

Recall that the quantum affine enveloping algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \equiv \mathcal{A}$ has the Chevalley–Serre generators $[1,2] e_i, f_i$ and $k_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$, obeying the defining relations

$$
\begin{align*}
    k_i k_j &= k_j k_i, & k_i e_j &= q^{(1/2) a_{ij}} e_j k_i, & k_i f_j &= q^{-(1/2) a_{ij}} f_j k_i, \\
\left[ e_i, f_j \right] &= \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i^2 - k_i^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}}, & i, j &\in \{1, 2\}
\end{align*}
$$

and the $q$ deformed Serre relations

$$
\chi_i^3 \chi_j - [3]_q \chi_i^2 \chi_j \chi_i + [3]_q \chi_i \chi_j \chi_i^2 - \chi_j \chi_i^3 = 0, \quad \chi_i \in \{e_i, f_i\}, \quad i \neq j.
$$

There exists a homomorphism called the evaluation homomorphism $[1] \pi_\lambda : U_q(\widehat{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_q(sl_2)$

$$
\begin{align*}
    \pi_\lambda(e_1) &= e_1, & \pi_\lambda(f_1) &= f_1, & \pi_\lambda(k_1) &= k_1, \\
    \pi_\lambda(e_2) &= e^{-2\lambda} c f_1, & \pi_\lambda(f_2) &= e^{2\lambda} e^{-1} e_1, & \pi_\lambda(k_2) &= k_1^{-1},
\end{align*}
$$
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where \( c \) is a constant. As mentioned this algebra is also equipped with a co-product \( \Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \), in particular the generators form the following co-products:

\[
\Delta(y) = k_i^{-1} \otimes y + y \otimes k_i, \quad y \in \{e_i, f_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(k_i^\pm 1) = k_i^\pm 1 \otimes k_i^\pm 1.
\]  

(A.6)
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