Nanodiamond aqueous dispersions as potential nanofluids: the determination of properties by thermal lensing and other techniques
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Thermal-lens spectrometry was used to characterize thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity of aqueous nanodiamond dispersions at the level of mg/mL, accompanied by heat capacity, density, and viscosity measurements and modelling. The data from thermal lensing corresponding to thermal equilibrium show 3 – 7 % increase in thermal conductivity of the studied dispersions, show good precision and agree with the existing data.
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1. Introduction

More effective cooling fluids are required for nuclear energy industry, operation of solar panels, state-of-the-art computers etc. Recently, nanofluids have been actively studied: they are two-component systems consisting of a base fluid (water or a mineral oil) and dispersed nanoparticles enhancing thermal conductivity. As the latter, metal or oxide nanoparticles are often used. However, carbon nanofluids are more stable, chemically inert, and more environmentally benign. The thermal conductivity of such systems, to date, has not been fully studied and novel experimental techniques are required. Photothermal techniques and thermal-lens spectrometry (TLS) are among the methods widely used for the evaluation of properties of different materials giving both thermal and optical data [1, 2]. The objective of this study is thermophysical characterization of aqueous nanodiamond (ND) dispersions. We applied TLS to obtain thermal diffusivity, which was used in calculating thermal conductivity. The characterization of ND dispersions was implemented with the viscosity determination.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Photothermal spectrometer and other instruments

Back-synchronized dual-beam mode-mismatched thermal-lens measurements are described elsewhere [2]. The principle is based on recording an excitation-laser induced (Innova 90-6, Coherent, USA; 488.0 and 514.5 nm; waist diameter, 59.8 ± 0.5 µm; power, 1 – 250 mW) refractive heterogeneity (the thermal lens effect) causing defocusing of a collinear He–Ne laser probe beam (SP-106-1, Spectra Physics, USA; 632.8 nm; diameter, 25.0 ± 0.2 µm; power, 4 mW) and hence a reduction in the probe beam intensity at its center was detected by a far-field photodiode (sample-to-detector distance 120±0.1 cm) supplied with a KS-11 stained-glass bandpass filter and a 2-mm-diameter pinhole and connected to the custom-made software.

DCS-30 TA Mettler instrument (Switzerland) was used to measure specific heats from 288 to 323 K (scanning rate, 10 K/min; sample mass, 20 – 30 mg). A Kern 770 analytical balance (Germany) was used for dry powder sample weighing. An Ecros 6500 shaker (Russia) and a GRAD 28-35 ultrasound bath from Grad-Technology (Russia) were used for preparing ND dispersions in water. A SNOL 20/300 heating oven (Snol-Term Ltd., Russia) was used for the evaporation of ND aqueous dispersions. A microVISC microfluidic slit rheometer with a microfluidic device, microVISC Type A chipset (13HA05100058, Rheosense Inc., USA) was used to measure steady shear viscosities of ND solutions. Water was used before each measurement as a reference liquid to ensure the accuracy of the rheometer. Densities were determined with a VIP-2MP (Russia) vibrating-tube densimeter. Calibration was performed at 298.15 K by known densities and oscillation periods of ambient air, ultrapure water and REP-12 standard material (1090.32 kg·m⁻³, produced and certified by Mendeleev Institute for Metrology, Russia). The temperature was maintained by the built-in thermostat; the temperature uncertainty was 0.02 °C. The standard deviation for measurements of solution density is 0.1 kg·m⁻³.
2.2. Materials

All the reagents and solvents used were of cp grade or higher. The glassware was washed with acetone followed by conc. nitric acid. Water from a Milli Q water purification system (Millipore, France) was used: pH 6.8, specific resistance 18.2 MΩcm. Commercially available NDs (RUDDM and RDDM, powdered “Real-Dzerzhinsk” Ltd., Dzerzhinsk, Russia and SDND, an aqueous dispersion, PlasmaChem GmbH, Germany) were used throughout. Aqueous dispersions were produced as reported elsewhere [3]. The concentrations of the stock solutions were established by gravimetry. If a stock solution was stored for more than a day, it was manually stirred vigorously. Then, series of working solutions with the concentrations 1 – 190 mg/mL for RUDDM, 0.7 – 30 mg/mL for RDDM, and 1 – 50 mg/ml for SDND were prepared.

3. Results and discussion

Three types of ND capable of forming concentrated solutions were selected for the study. The viscosities of aqueous dispersions are shown in Fig. 1 (left). Concentration dependences are different for the three systems studied, but, as for RUDDM and RDDM, they are similar to the corresponding results of Vul’ and collaborators [4]. When the concentration exceeds 50 mg/ml for RUDDM and 90 mg/ml for RDDM, the viscosity vs. concentration dependence begins to deviate from linearity.

According to Sundar and colleagues [5], available theoretical formulas for viscosity estimation include particle volume concentration to the different power. Since viscosity plays a key role in systems involved in a fluid flow, these dependences should be useful in selecting ND suspensions with the best thermal performance.

The results of density measurements of ND-dispersions are given in Table 1. We observed a linear density vs. concentration of ND (mg/ml) dependences for all the three systems studied. The corresponding slopes and $R^2$-factors are given in Table 1. For µm-sized particles, the equation is known for the concentration dependence of density in the two-phase mixtures [6]. Pak and Cho [7] implemented the same equation for nano-sized particles, which is expressed as $\rho_{nf} = \phi \rho_p + (1-\phi) \rho_{fl}$, where $\rho_{nf}$, $\rho_p$, $\rho_{fl}$ are densities of the two-phase mixture, particles, and pure fluid, respectively, and $\phi$ is the particle volume fraction. Density measurements of nanofluids of inorganic particles (Al$_2$O$_3$, Sb$_2$O$_3$, SnO$_2$, and ZnO) in 60:40 ethylene glycol/water showed good agreement with this theoretical equation, but the deviation was higher for ZnO and increased with the particle volume concentration [8]. This equation is used for nanofluid densities for aqueous [9,10], mixed [11], and organic [12] ND dispersions. To use the equation, densities of the base fluid and particles are needed. The latter is determined by a hydrostatic weighing in distilled water [13] or by pycnometry (helium) [14, 15]. As for ND powders, manufacturers do not often provide this parameter. We estimated ND density (according to NIST, diamond density is 3.515 g/ml) assuming a linear dependence of nanofluid density on the volume fraction, which can be obtained if concentration (in mg/ml) is divided into $\rho_{nd}$ (constant); hence, $slope = 1 - \rho_{H_2O}/\rho_{nd}$. ND type does not dramatically change fluid density even for 50 mg/ml (Table 1).
TABLE 1. Density study of aqueous dispersions of ND

| ND      | Slope×10^4 | R^2     | ρ_{nf}, g/ml (enhancement, %) for 50 mg/ml | ρ_{nd}, g/ml |
|---------|------------|---------|------------------------------------------|--------------|
| RUDDM   | 6.51 ± 0.02| 0.99999 | 1.029 ± 0.003 (3.3)                      | 2.86 ± 0.01  |
| RDDM    | 7.10 ± 0.01| 0.99999 | 1.032 ± 0.002 (3.6)                      | 3.44 ± 0.01  |
| SDND    | 7.21 ± 0.03| 0.99997 | 1.033 ± 0.003 (3.6)                      | 3.57 ± 0.01  |

TABLE 2. Thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity of aqueous dispersions of ND

| Trademark | Concentration, mg/mL | Thermal diffusivity×10^7, m^2/s | Thermal conductivity, W m^{-1}K^{-1} (enhancement, %) |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Water     | —                    | 1.43 ± 0.01                      | 0.595 ± 0.008                                         |
| RUDDM     | 0.2                  | 1.48 ± 0.01                      | 0.61 ± 0.01 (3)                                       |
|           | 1                    | 1.49 ± 0.01                      | 0.62 ± 0.01 (5)                                       |
|           | 4                    | 1.53 ± 0.01                      | 0.63 ± 0.02 (7)                                       |
| RDDM      | 1                    | 1.50 ± 0.01                      | 0.61 ± 0.02 (4)                                       |
|           | 4                    | 1.53 ± 0.01                      | 0.63 ± 0.02 (7)                                       |
| SDND      | 0.2                  | 1.48 ± 0.01                      | 0.61 ± 0.01 (3)                                       |
|           | 1                    | 1.50 ± 0.01                      | 0.62 ± 0.01 (5)                                       |
|           | 4                    | 1.52 ± 0.01                      | 0.63 ± 0.02 (7)                                       |

The specific heats vs. concentration dependences for the three ND fluids studied are presented in Fig. 1 (right). As seen from the Fig. 1, ND type does not influence the specific heat of the nanofluid significantly. The dependence on concentration c (T = 298 K) is expressed by one and the same linear equation

\[ C_{p,nf} = (4.16 ± 0.03) - (3.3 ± 0.2) \times 10^{-3}c (R^2 = 0.98). \]

For specific heat of nanofluids, Pak and Cho [7] and Xuan and Roetzel [16] provided two expressions, \( C_{p,nf} = \phi C_{p,nd} + (1 - \phi) C_{p,fl} \) and \( (\rho C_p)_{nf} = \phi (\rho C_p)_{nd} + (1 - \phi) (\rho C_p)_{fl} \). Model I and II, respectively, based on nanofluid volume fraction, \( \phi \). DCS is the most commonly used technique for measuring specific heats [17]. Ghazvini et al. [18] presented a correlation of specific heat of nanofluids with a 1% w/w fraction of ND in engine oil as a function of temperature. Model I was used for the estimation of nanofluid specific heat for aqueous ND dispersions [9]. The results of our study do not correlate well with the theoretical equations of Models I or II. For Model I, poor correlation was observed in the whole concentration range, while Model II did work especially for highly concentrated dispersions. To make these calculations, the specific heat of NDs was measured (0.75 ± 0.04 and 0.5 ± 0.02 J/g/K for RUDDM and RDDM) and estimated values of ND density were used.

The experimental data on thermal diffusivity \( (D_T) \) of the three ND fluids at different concentrations are given in Table 2. Plots for the thermal-lens signal on concentrations for all the three NDs are linear up to 0.2 mg/mL [3], at which point, they deviate due to changes in thermal conductivity. Thermal diffusivity was measured using the approach previously proposed for aqueous fullerene dispersions [2]. For concentrations below 0.1 mg/mL for all the NDs, it is negligibly different from water. For higher concentrations (Table 2), a 10% increase in thermal diffusivity is observed with good precision. Thermal conductivity was calculated as \( k = \rho_{nf} C_{p,nf} D_T \) using the data and models for specific heat and density discussed above. The results show a 3 to 7% increase in thermal conductivity, which is in rather good agreement with the previous data [19–21]. Satisfactory precision of measurements should be specially mentioned.
4. Conclusions

In this study, the appropriate precision of thermal lensing of aqueous nanodiamond dispersions was achieved, making possible accurate measurements of thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity. A 3 – 7 % increase in thermal conductive relative to pure water was confirmed. The TLS approach can be used for a more detailed characterization by the deconstruction of transient curves [2], which could provide the estimation of thermophysical properties of the dispersed phase, which would be useful for nanofluid characterization.
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