Risk of immune-related adverse events associated with ipilimumab-plus-nivolumab and nivolumab therapy in cancer patients
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Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the risk of immune-related adverse events (irAEs) among cancer patients receiving nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab therapy and nivolumab monotherapy.

Patients and methods: PubMed and Web of Science were searched for related studies from inception to June 2018. Eligible studies included randomized controlled trials comparing nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab with nivolumab alone in cancer patients reporting on all-grade (grade 1–4) and high-grade (grade 3/4) irAEs. Paired reviewers selected studies for inclusion and extracted data. The odds risk and 95% CI were calculated.

Results: A total of 2,946 patients from four studies were included in the meta-analysis. The underlying malignancies included lung cancer (two trials) and melanoma (two trials). Compared with nivolumab monotherapy, the nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab therapy was associated with a significantly higher risk of all- and high-grade irAEs such as pruritus, rash, diarrhea, colitis, alanine aminotransferase elevation, and pneumonitis.

Conclusion: The combination therapy of nivolumab and ipilimumab increased the incidence of irAEs in patients with advanced cancer.
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Introduction
The recent advancement regarding immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) represents a major breakthrough in the management of cancer.1 Furthermore, immunotherapy has made great progress in cancer treatment recently, besides the advancements in surgery, chemotherapy, molecularly targeted therapy, and radiation. On certain aberrant circumstances, it is understood that T-cell activation plays a significant role in adaptive immunity resulting in autoimmunity.2 Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4), which was represented as the first immune checkpoint receptor, was introduced for the immune-associated targeted therapy. CTLA-4 is recruited on the surface of regulatory T cells and interacts with B7 receptors present on antigen-presenting cells, resulting in the downregulation of any further T-cell activation and immune response expansion.3 The abovementioned mechanism shows the significant role played by CTLA-4 in maintaining normal immunologic homeostasis, which was further proven by the death of mice deficient in CTLA-4 due to fatal lymphoproliferation.4–7 The CTLA-4 inhibitor (ipilimumab) was the first agent to be associated with an obvious improvement in overall survival (OS) in a Phase III study (MDX 010–020) that enrolled 676 patients pretreated for metastatic melanoma.8 As a result, ipilimumab was approved in 2011...
for the management of advanced melanoma. Programmed death 1 (PD-1), a well-known immune checkpoint molecule, is expressed on a variety of immune cells. PD-1 is an inhibitory receptor expressed on activated lymphocytes and is associated with regulation of immune tolerance and autoimmunity. The ligands of PD1, which can be divided into PD-L1 and PD-L2, have distinct patterns of expression and can be induced, or essentially expressed, on an array of cells including a number of tumor cells. Eventually, in December 2014, nivolumab was approved for the management of unresectable melanoma that was unresponsive to other drugs.

The disordered expression of CTLA-4 and PD-1 is suspected to play an important role in tumor immune evasion and has become an appealing target for intervention in therapy. Therefore, application of immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) with anti-CTLA4 and anti-PD1 has gained significant attention in tumor immunology. In patients diagnosed with metastatic melanoma, the combination of ipilimumab and nivolumab showed an enhanced activity relative to either monotherapy, although the median OS was not reached after conducting a follow-up study for a minimum of 2 years. Among advanced stage lung cancer patients, tumor mutational burden or 3 years of OS was strikingly higher among patients receiving combination therapy as compared with nivolumab alone. Now, the combination treatment has been approved in the Europe and the US for patients with metastatic melanoma.

Immunotherapy, which involves reactivation of the immune system, has led to the occurrence of new toxicity profiles, also called immune-related adverse events (irAEs), which can be fatal in some cases. Most frequently, these irAEs affect a wide range of organs like skin, colon, liver, pituitary, thyroid, and lungs, although uncommon events involving the heart, nervous system, and other organs do occur. Previous research revealed that ipilimumab could increase the risk of mortality by 130% in cancer patients, with an overall incidence of fatal adverse events of 1.13%. The combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab was superior as compared to the single agents alone for the treatment of metastatic melanoma. However, combined PD-1 plus CTLA-4 blockade substantially triggered more toxic events as compared with anti-PD-1 alone (55%–60% vs 10%–20% high-grade events). These irAEs remain a major challenge in clinical care and are significant barriers for developing more effective combination therapies.

Currently, the combination of ipilimumab and nivolumab has been proven to enhance objective response rate and progression-free survival as compared with single agent (monotherapy) among patients with advanced tumor. However, there are no evident studies evaluating the risk of irAEs in nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab as compared with nivolumab group alone. This meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the incidence of irAEs in patients receiving nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab therapy and nivolumab monotherapy. We expect that the pooled studies would be more helpful in detecting significant association than single study alone.

**Patients and methods**

This meta-analysis was reported according to the PRISMA statement.

**Search strategy**

A literature search was carried out using PubMed and Web of Science to identify clinical study from inception to June 2018. The keywords included CTLA-4, PD-1, nivolumab, ipilimumab, clinical trials, immune checkpoint, and immune-related adverse events. The search was conducted in June 2018. Only those studies published in English were included. The retrieved studies were scrutinized and examined for title and abstracts by two reviewers. Further exploration of full texts was conducted in order to check the studies’ eligibility for inclusion in accordance with the inclusion criteria. The literature search was supplemented with manual searches for references of the included studies and for related citations.

**Study selection**

We included all published studies comparing the irAEs of nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab to nivolumab in patients with advanced malignancy. Case and animal-based studies were excluded. Any trial with incomplete data was excluded from this meta-analysis. Any disagreements with regard to the protocol were to be resolved by the third author, but none occurred.

**Outcome measures**

The irAEs that were commonly observed were pruritus, rash, diarrhea, colitis, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) elevation, aspartate aminotransferase elevation, hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, hypophysitis, hepatitis, and pneumonitis. The immune-related toxicity estimated by adverse events was categorized into two gradings (grade 1–4 and grade 3/4). The severity of adverse events was graded and evaluated according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 5.0.
Data extraction
Two reviewers (SZ and SK) collected the data independently using a predefined data extraction form. We compiled the following information from each study: 1) year of publication, 2) first author’s name, 3) participant characteristics, 4) therapies and number of groups, and 5) number of adverse effects.

Quality assessment
Studies fulfilling the review inclusion criteria were assessed for methodological quality by two reviewers. The Cochrane Collaboration Tool was used to evaluate the risk of bias in the included studies. 25

Statistical methods
The data extracted from the included studies were entered into Review Manager software version 5.3 (http://ims.cochrane.org/revman/download) for statistical analysis. The odds ratio (OR) was applied for comparing dichotomous variables. All the results were reported with 95% CI. For dichotomous data, Mantel–Haenszel method was applied for pooled OR and 95% CI estimation. 2

Results

Search results and patient characteristics
A total of four reports were included in this review. The search provided a total of 1,207 publications at the beginning. Of these, 1,118 studies were discarded after reading the title, abstract, and, if necessary, after reading the manuscript. Eighty-five reports were further excluded after assessing the full text of articles. More detailed information is given in Figure 1. 26–29 A total of 2,946 patients (nivolumab:1,589; nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab:1,357) were included in the analysis from the four included studies. The underlying malignancies were lung cancer (two trials) and melanoma (two trials). The baseline characteristics of each study are presented in Table 1.

Immunotherapy-related adverse events
As compared with nivolumab monotherapy, the combined therapy was associated with a significantly higher risk of all- and high-grade irAEs such as pruritus, rash, diarrhea, colitis, ALT elevation, hyperthyroidism, hypophysitis, and pneumonitis. However, there was no statistical difference with regard to the risk of vitiligo (P > 0.05). The analysis results are summarized in Table 2.

Meta-analysis of the risk of pruritus and rash
The incidence of pruritus was reported among four studies where patients were divided into nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab group and nivolumab group. The selected studies included cases of melanoma, small-cell lung cancer (SCLC), and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). The pooled ORs of all-grade (grade 1–4) pruritus and high-grade (grade 3/4) pruritus were 2.104 (95% CI: 1.739–2.546, P < 0.05, I 2 = 0.0%) and 7.585 (95% CI: 2.220–25.919, P < 0.05, I 2 = 0.0%), respectively (Figure 2).

Similarly, the result for rash also showed significantly higher risk among nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab group than the nivolumab group. The pooled ORs for all-grade rash and high-grade rash were 2.046 (95% CI: 1.488–2.814, P < 0.05, I 2 = 56.5%) and 4.957 (95% CI: 2.443–10.061, P < 0.05, I 2 = 0.0%), respectively (Figure 3).

Meta-analysis of the risk of diarrhea and colitis
Among the four included studies, patients treated with nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab group had a higher risk of diarrhea. The pooled ORs of all-grade rash and high-grade rash were 2.715 (95% CI: 1.759–4.192, P < 0.05, I 2 = 77.7%) and 4.738 (95% CI: 2.943–7.628, P < 0.05, I 2 = 28.9%), respectively (Figure 4).

Three studies reporting the incidence of colitis included patients with melanoma and SCLC. The observed pooled OR for all-grade colitis was 9.909 (95% CI 5.833–16.833, P < 0.05) with a low heterogeneity (I 2 = 1.5%). The pooled OR for high-grade colitis was 12.671 (95% CI 6.148–26.118, P < 0.05) with a low heterogeneity (I 2 = 0.0%) (Figure 5).

Meta-analysis of the risk of ALT elevation
Among the four studies included, a higher risk of ALT elevation was observed for nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab group than the nivolumab group. The pooled OR for all-grade increase in ALT was 5.322 (95% CI: 3.732–7.589, P < 0.05, I 2 = 36.1%). The pooled OR for high-grade increase in ALT was 6.866 (95% CI: 3.819–12.344, P < 0.05, I 2 = 22.6%) (Figure 6).

Meta-analysis of the risk of pneumonitis
When nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab group was compared with nivolumab alone group, the risk of pneumonitis was higher with combined treatment over nivolumab monotherapy.
Figure 1 The flow diagram of literature search and selection.
Abbreviation: RCT, randomized controlled trial.

The pooled ORs of all-grade pneumonitis and high-grade pneumonitis were 3.825 (95% CI: 2.286–6.399, \(P<0.05\), \(I^2=3.2\%\)) and 4.445 (95% CI: 1.206–16.379, \(P<0.05\), \(I^2=0.0\%\)), respectively (Figure 7).

### Study quality and publication bias
Funnel plots were used to evaluate the potential publication bias. We used Begg’s and Egger’s tests to evaluate the asymmetry of the funnel plot.\(^{30}\) Furthermore, the forest plots of

| Characteristics | Wolchok et al\(^\text{a}\) (2017) | Hassel et al\(^\text{a}\) (2017) | Hellman et al\(^\text{a}\) (2018) | Antonia et al\(^\text{a}\) (2016) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Designation     | Check Mate 067                  | Check Mate 037, -066 and -067  | Check Mate 227                  | Check Mate 032                  |
| History         | Melanoma                        | Melanoma                        | NSCLC                           | SCCL                            |
| Design          | Phase III RCT                   | Phase III RCT                   | Phase III RCT                   | Phase I/II trial, open-label    |
| Patients (N/N+I)| 313/313                         | 787/407                         | 391/576                         | 98/61                           |
| Monotherapy     | Nivolumab                       | Nivolumab                       | Nivolumab                       | Nivolumab                       |
|                 | 3 mg/kg q2w                     | 3 mg/kg q2w                     | 3 mg/kg q2w                     | 3 mg/kg q2w                     |
| Combine therapy | Nivolumab (1 mg/kg q2w) plus ipilimumab (3 mg/kg q6w) | Nivolumab (1 mg/kg q2w) plus ipilimumab (3 mg/kg q6w) | Nivolumab (3 mg/kg q2w) plus ipilimumab (1 mg/kg q6w) | Nivolumab (1 mg/kg q2w) plus ipilimumab (3 mg/kg q6w) |
| Jaded score     | 5                               | 5                               | 5                               | 3                               |

Abbreviations: NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer; q2w, every 2 weeks; q6w, every 6 weeks.
Table 2  Incidence and OR of irAes, including 95% CI and number of trials in each analysis

| All-grade irAes  | No. of trials | Pooled OR (95% CI) | P-value | High-grade irAes  | No. of trials | Pooled OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|
| Pruritus         | 4             | (1.739, 2.546)    | 2.104   | Pruritus          | 4             | (2.220, 2.519)    | 7.585   | P<0.05            |
| Rash             | 4             | (1.488, 2.814)    | 2.046   | Rash              | 4             | (2.443, 10.061)   | 4.957   | P<0.05            |
| Vitiligo         | 2             | (0.637, 1.264)    | 0.897   | Vitiligo          | 2             | (0.048, 4.410)    | 0.458   | P>0.05            |
| Diarrhea         | 4             | (1.759, 4.192)    | 2.715   | Diarrhea          | 4             | (2.943, 7.628)    | 4.738   | P<0.05            |
| Colitis          | 3             | (5.833, 16.833)   | 9.909   | Colitis           | 3             | (6.148, 26.118)   | 12.671  | P<0.05            |
| ALT elevation    | 3             | (3.732, 7.589)    | 5.322   | ALT elevation     | 3             | (3.819, 12.344)   | 6.866   | P<0.05            |
| AST elevation    | 3             | (3.251, 6.656)    | 4.652   | AST elevation     | 3             | (0.376, 18.554)   | 2.643   | P<0.05            |
| Hypothyroidism   | 4             | (1.413, 2.353)    | 1.823   | Hypothyroidism    | 4             | (0.674, 12.251)   | 2.874   | P>0.05            |
| Hyperthyroidism  | 3             | (1.986, 4.374)    | 2.947   | Hyperthyroidism   | 2             | (1.036, 39.008)   | 6.356   | P<0.05            |
| Hypophysitis     | 2             | (7.360, 46.437)   | 18.487  | Hypophysitis      | 2             | (1.696, 21.973)   | 6.105   | P<0.05            |
| Pneumonitis      | 3             | (2.286, 6.399)    | 3.825   | Pneumonitis       | 3             | (1.206, 16.379)   | 4.445   | P<0.05            |

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; irAes, immune-related adverse events; OR, odds ratio.

Figure 2  Meta-analysis of the risk of pruritus.

Notes: (A) Forest plot of the risk of all-grade pruritus. (B) Forest plot of the risk of high-grade pruritus.
irAEs (Figures 2–7), and Begg’s and Egger’s tests ($P>0.05$) show that there was no publication bias.

**Discussion**

ICB therapy is increasingly being recognized in patients with lung cancer and advanced melanoma and is further being evaluated for various other cancers. However, irAEs can limit their use due to serious adverse outcomes including death. It has been reported that combined therapy is more frequently associated with multiorgan involvement, with nearly one-third of all deaths resulting from myocarditis, myositis, and neurologic events.\(^\text{31}\) In the present study, we performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the incidence of irAEs in patients receiving nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab and nivolumab therapy alone.

Previously, Komaki et al published a meta-analysis of irAEs with CTLA-4 blockade and PD-1 therapy, which included nivolumab, ipilimumab, and tremelimumab (non-approved).\(^\text{32}\) They pooled 11,144 patients’ data from clinical trials. However, their search strategy was limited and included cases only up to December 2016. Anti-CTLA4 therapy was associated with a higher risk of overall irAEs. Anti-PD1 therapy was associated with a higher risk of pruritus in comparison to other adverse events. In our study, we included studies from inception to June 2018. The majority of irAEs included in our analysis were from recent trials, which could be due to the fact that researchers were more aware and aggressive in their management of irAEs. Furthermore, we evaluated all-grade and high-grade irAEs in patients receiving nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab and nivolumab therapy.
Immune-mediated pneumonitis during treatment with ICIs was rare (considering all grades of severity, <10% of patients). A previous report demonstrated that 4% of patients on ipilimumab developed pneumonitis, whereas the percentage was 11% among patients treated with ipilimumab plus nivolumab in a comparative metastatic melanoma study. So, there was an increase in the prevalence of pneumonitis on combined therapy with PD-1 and CTLA-4 inhibitors. Moreover, the pooled incidences of all-grade and high-grade pneumonitis in patients treated with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors were 3.2% and 1.1%, respectively, while our data showed that the risk of pneumonitis was 4.445 times higher in nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab than in nivolumab group. Therefore, clinicians should pay enough attention to patients manifesting pulmonary symptoms including dry cough, hypoxia, and shortness of breath. Furthermore, comorbidities such as chronic obstructive airways disease and prior therapies including lung irradiation in patients with NSCLC would have influenced the observed higher risks of pneumonitis when treated with PD-1 inhibitors. Given the occurrence of pneumonia, the management of pneumonitis primarily consisted of administering rapid and high-dose corticosteroid treatment.

One of the common irAEs was diarrhea caused by immune-related colitis. A previous report showed that the incidence of severe diarrhea/colitis with anti-PD1 therapy was much lower than with anti-CTLA4 therapy (8%–19% vs 23%–33%). Combined therapy had a striking 44% increase in the risk of diarrhea and immune-related colitis. It is reported that diarrhea and colitis could also be associated
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with autoimmune diseases, long-term use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, elevated plasma IL-17, and elevated expression of carcinoembryonic antigen-related cellular adhesion molecule 1, but it was noticed that these risk factors could not be detected in most laboratory centers. Therefore, the clinical application of detecting the risk factors associated with diarrhea and colitis is still lagging.

The analysis of nivolumab-plus-ipilimumab and nivolumab monotherapy for the immune-mediated hypophysitis (IMH) revealed that the incidence increased to 8%, with high-grade hypophysitis occurring in 2% of cases. The results indicated that the risk of hypophysis was 18.487 times higher in ipilimumab-plus-nivolumab therapy in comparison to nivolumab therapy. These pituitary adverse events often occurred between 6 and 13 weeks, as well as 19 months after the completion of treatment. This condition is usually associated with atypical symptoms, such as mild fatigue, joint pain, and decreased sexual interest caused by hormonal changes. Severe symptoms included headache due to gravitational effects caused by glandular edema. Due to these atypical symptoms, pituitary inflammation was easily missed. With no standard management protocol for IMH, it is important to be cognizant of the fact that adrenocortical failure caused by hypophysitis may be life threatening, and further management studies are required on this premise.

Since ICIs are associated with distinctive toxicity, many oncologists are not acquainted with the optimal principle management of irAEs, which requires early recognition and appropriate treatment. Further, clinicians are required to identify the risk factors and assess the severity of irAEs accurately. Fortunately, it is inspiring to know that most of the irAEs are sensitive to glucocorticoid therapy and can be well controlled within 6–12 weeks. Our study could be important in considering the benefit/risk trade-off by providing the odds risks for irAEs in patients treated with combination therapy.

There are certain limitations in our meta-analysis, which should be mentioned. First, our results were based

Figure 5 Meta-analysis of the risk of colitis.
Notes: (A) Forest plot of the risk of all-grade colitis. (B) Forest plot of the risk of high-grade colitis.
on unadjusted analysis, and more accurate outcomes would result from making adjustments for other confounders such as gender, age, dosage of drugs, PD-L1 status, prior systemic therapy, and so on. Second, the small number of included studies would make the outcomes more prone to be influenced by a potential publication bias. As a result, we could not confidently assess the publication bias. Third, language of studies was limited to English,

![Figure 6](image1.png)

Figure 6 Meta-analysis of the risk of ALT elevation.
Notes: (A) Forest plot of the risk of all-grade ALT elevation. (B) Forest plot of the risk of high-grade ALT elevation.
Abbreviation: ALT, alanine aminotransferase.

| Study ID            | OR (95% CI) | % weight |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| Antonia SJ (2016)   | 1.07 (0.17, 6.61) | 7.70     |
| Hassel JC (2017)    | 5.81 (3.71, 9.09) | 55.95    |
| Wolchok JD (2017)   | 5.47 (2.94, 10.20) | 36.35    |
| Hellmann MD (2018)  | (Excluded) | 0.00     |
| Overall (I^2=36.1%, P=0.209) | 5.32 (3.73, 7.59) | 100      |

| Study ID            | OR (95% CI) | % weight |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| Antonia SJ (2016)   | 0.53 (0.02, 13.18) | 10.99    |
| Hassel JC (2017)    | 7.88 (3.74, 16.60) | 53.94    |
| Wolchok JD (2017)   | 7.29 (2.52, 21.10) | 35.06    |
| Hellmann MD (2018)  | (Excluded) | 0.00     |
| Overall (I^2=22.6%, P=0.275) | 6.87 (3.82, 12.34) | 100      |

![Figure 7](image2.png)

Figure 7 (Continued)
which may result in missing data from studies published in other languages.

**Conclusion**

In summary, our study indicated that the combination of nivolumab and ipilimumab increased the risk of all- and high-grade irAEs in patients with advanced cancer. In spite of its considerable benefit in patients, ICB can be limited by the occurrence of irAEs, which can be life threatening. Therefore, additional drug intervention to prevent or treat these adverse events should be considered when standardizing an anti-PD-1 combined with anti-CTLA-4 therapy for metastatic tumors.
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