Approximate scale invariance in particle systems:
A large-dimensional justification
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Abstract – Systems of particles interacting via inverse-power law potentials have an invariance with respect to changes in length and temperature, implying a correspondence in the dynamics and thermodynamics between different “isomorphic” sets of temperatures and densities. In a recent series of works, it has been argued that such correspondences hold to a surprisingly good approximation in a much more general class of potentials, an observation that summarizes many properties that have been observed in the past. In this paper we show that such relations are exact in high-dimensional liquids and glasses, a limit in which the conditions for these mappings to hold become transparent. The special role played by the exponential potential is also confirmed.

We consider a simple liquid in \(d\)-dimensional space at temperature \(T\) and number density \(\rho\). Two state points \((T_1, \rho_1)\) and \((T_2, \rho_2)\) are \textit{isomorphic} if there is a rescaling of the coordinates of all particles \(r^{(1)}_i = (\rho_1/\rho_2)^{1/d} r^{(2)}_i\) that makes their Boltzmann factors proportional

\[ e^{-U(r^{(1)}_1; \cdots; r^{(1)}_N)/T_1} = C_{12} e^{-U(r^{(2)}_1; \cdots; r^{(2)}_N)/T_2}, \]

where \(C_{12}\) depends only upon the state points 1 and 2, not on the microscopic configurations. This implies a certain number of correspondences \textit{both} in the thermodynamic and dynamic quantities between the two states. Inverse-power law potentials \(V_{\text{IPL}}(r) \propto r^{-n}\) are the only potentials for which the isomorphic property exactly holds, for all points lying on curves given by \(\rho^{n/d}/T = \text{const}\), called \textit{isomorphs}. It is instead only an approximation for all the other potentials, and yet, surprisingly enough, it turns out [1–6] that there is a wide class of situations in which it is a very good one. This observation led to a number of \textit{a posteriori} explanations and interpretations of facts that had been previously observed [1,5,6], such as striking similarities in structure and dynamics of some model liquids [7–9], phenomenological rules along freezing or melting lines [10–14], or observations that a single static quantity controls dynamic properties [15–18]. It can also be used to rule out theories that are incompatible with these invariances [5], or make novel predictions [19,20].

In this paper we show that the existence of isomorphs in the \((T, \rho)\)-plane actually becomes strictly true in the large-dimensional limit for a wide and well-defined class of potentials. This holds for the liquid as well as the glassy regions of the phase diagram. We proceed in three steps: first we show this in detail for the equilibrium properties of the liquid phase, then we show how the same manipulations allow one to prove it for the dynamics, and finally we outline a derivation for the equilibrium (“landscape”) properties within the glass phase. The main argument is the following: “soft” interactions in large dimensions are such that particles that are too close are exponentially few in number, while those that are too far interact exponentially weakly: all in all there is a typical distance \(O(1/d)\) where interactions are relevant.

\textbf{High-dimensional liquids.} – We wish to focus on the large-\(d\) behaviour of a system of \(N\) particles interacting
via a pair potential $V(|r|)$. In order to have a well-defined large-dimensional limit, we shall assume that the potential scales as

$$V(r) = e^{-dA(r)}.$$  \hfill (2)

The precise conditions on $V(r)$ will be derived below.

For large $d$ only the first two terms of the virial expansion contribute; the free energy and the pressure of the liquid are, respectively, given by \cite{21–25}

$$\frac{\beta F}{N} = \frac{\beta (F_{\text{IG}} + F_{\text{ex}})}{N} = \ln \rho - 1 - \frac{\rho}{2} \int dr \left( e^{-\beta V(r)} - 1 \right)$$  \hfill (3)

where $\rho = N/V$ is the particle density. The free energy has an ideal gas contribution $F_{\text{IG}} = N(\ln \rho - 1)/\beta$ and an excess contribution $F_{\text{ex}}$ given by the last term. Let us focus on the Mayer integral in the excess part:

$$\int dr \left( e^{-\beta V(r)} - 1 \right) = \Omega_d \int_0^\infty dr r^{d-1} \left( e^{-\beta V(r)} - 1 \right),$$  \hfill (4)

where $\Omega_d$ is the area of the unit sphere. The integrand looks as in fig. 1.

Set an effective radius $r^*$ such that

$$\beta V(r^*) \equiv \tilde{\beta}$$  \hfill (5)

with $\tilde{\beta}$ a rescaled temperature of order $O(1)$ (see footnote 1). This defines $r^*$ up to $O(1/d)$, independently of the value of $\tilde{\beta}$ as long as it remains of order one. We distinguish three regions for the Mayer function $f(r) = e^{-\beta V(r)} - 1$:

- $r < r^*$, so that $\lim_{r \to 0} f(r) = -1$. Then essentially the integrand is $\sim -r^d$, and in large dimensions only the right boundary $r \to r^*$ dominates.

- $r \sim r^*$ up to $O(1/d)$, where $0 < f(r) < -1$. With our scaling of the potential in the large $d$ limit, this region has a $O(1/d)$ extension and, setting $r = r^*(1 + \tilde{r}/d)$, one may compute the contribution of this region (A), as denoted in fig. 1, as

$$\Omega_d \int_{(A)} dr r^{d-1} \left( e^{-\beta V(r)} - 1 \right) = \tilde{V}_d(r^*) \int_{r^*}^{O(d)} \tilde{r}^{d-1} \left[ e^{-\beta V(r^* + \tilde{r})} - 1 \right] \sim \tilde{V}_d(r^*) \int_{r^*}^{O(d)} \tilde{r} \exp(\tilde{r} A'(r^*) \tilde{r}) - 1$$  \hfill (6)

with $\tilde{\beta}$ defined in (5) and $\tilde{V}_d(\sigma) = \Omega_d \sigma^d / d$ is the $d$-dimensional volume of the sphere of diameter $\sigma$.

1\footnote{If $\tilde{\beta} = B e^{d\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $V(r) = V_0 e^{-dA(r)}$ with $B$ and $V_0$ subdominant, then $r^*$ is given by $A(r^*) = b$ and $\tilde{\beta} = B V_0$. The case $\beta = O(1)$ was the case studied in \cite{25,26} which is easily extended to other scalings of temperature as analyzed here.}

We have expanded $A(r)$ around $r^*$ and kept non-vanishing orders when $d$ goes to infinity. This development only makes sense if

$$A'(r^*) > \frac{1}{r^*},$$  \hfill (7)

which ensures the convergence of the integral, and in this case only a range of values around $r^*$ of width $O(1/d)$ contributes, a crucial fact.

- $r > r^*$. These may give a further contribution. Here, we have $\lim_{r \to \infty} f(r) = 0$ and one can expand the Mayer function as $f(r) \sim -\beta V(r)$. A saddle point evaluation of the Mayer integral in this region is possible, obtained by maximizing the exponent $\ln r - A(r)$ in a point $r_{sp}$ given by (see region (B) in fig. 1):

$$A'(r_{sp}) = \frac{1}{r_{sp}}.$$  \hfill (8)

As usual, the fluctuations around this saddle-point are of order $1/\sqrt{d}$.

A second-order pseudo-transition. – At each density and temperature, it may be the case that for large $d$ the integral is dominated either by the region around $r^*$, the region around a saddle point $r_{sp}$ or by $r \to \infty$. The latter case corresponds to long-range potentials, and we shall not consider it here. If condition (7) is satisfied, the contribution around $r^*$ is well defined. The question remains if there exists some saddle point value $r_{sp}$, i.e.

Fig. 1: (Color online) The two dominant regions in the integrand of the Mayer integral for large $d$. The top curves are the power law $r^d$ arising from the measure and the Mayer function. The bottom one is the product of the two.
defines an absolute maximum of the potential for \( r > r^* \), that dominates. Assume that there is such a value, and let us compare the contributions

\[
(r^*)^d(e^{-\tilde{\beta}_r \exp(-r^* A'(r^*))} - 1), \quad \text{around } r^*,
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r}_{sp}^d & \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-\tilde{\beta}_r e^d(A(r^*) - A(r_{sp}))}{\alpha} \right) - 1 \right] \sim \\
&-\tilde{\beta}_r^d e^d(A(r^*) - A(r_{sp})), \quad \text{around } r_{sp}.
\end{aligned}
\]

We have, for large \( d \), that the neighbour hood of \( r^* \) dominates if

\[
[\ln r - A(r)]_{r^*} > 0, \quad \text{for } r > r^*, \quad (10)
\]

which holds, e.g., if \( \ln r - A(r) \) is a monotonically decreasing function for \( r \gg r^* \).

Some potentials, such as the Gaussian one \( V(r) = e^{-adr^2/2} \) have a low-temperature “rather hard” regime where \( r^* \) dominates and a high temperature “very soft” regime where \( r_{sp} \) dominates. This is a second-order pseudo transition, it exists only in the limit \( d \to \infty \). The very soft “phase” has constant energy, as can be easily seen by differentiating (3), and its interpretation is clear: the high-temperature expansion is exact to first order in \( \rho \), each particle strongly interacts with many others, the exponential number of those that are at a distance \( r_{sp} \) dominate the interactions. The transition temperature between these two “phases” is precisely the value of temperature where \( [\ln r - A(r)]_{r^*} = 0 \). Isomorphs exist for the “rather hard potentials” “phase”, we shall not consider the case where \( r_{sp} > r^* \) dominates (”very soft spheres”) —which has never been studied in the high-dimensional limit, but may be easily treated, dynamically and statically, to first order in the large-temperature expansion.

**Isomorphs and effective potential.** In the “rather hard” regimes where \( r^* \) dominates the Mayer integral, from (6) the free energy reads

\[
\beta F \over N = \ln \rho - 1 - \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{r} e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \left[ e^{-\tilde{\beta}} e^{-r/\alpha} - 1 \right], \quad (11)
\]

where

\[
\tilde{\varphi} \equiv \rho V_0(r^*), \quad 1/\alpha \equiv r^* A'(r^*) > 1. \quad (12)
\]

The term \( e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \), related to the linear part of the effective potential in [25,26], is an entropic “driving force”, \( \tilde{\beta} \) is an effective inverse temperature and \( \tilde{\varphi} \) an effective packing fraction. We have discovered naturally that the effective potential is a pure decaying exponential \( V_{eff}(r) = V(r^*) \exp(-r^* A'(r^*)\tilde{r}) = V(r^*) \exp(-\tilde{r}/\alpha) \) similar to the “building blocks” of Dyre, Schroder et al. [1,27]. The value \( \alpha \) gives its “hardness” and depends upon the value of \( r^* \) and the original potential through \( A' \).

We now show under which conditions two systems at different state points are related through a scaling transformation. If one shifts in the virial term of eq. (11) \( \tilde{r} \to \tilde{r} + c \), which corresponds to an order \( 1/d \) shift of \( r^* \), one gets

\[
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi} & = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{r} e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \left[ e^{-\tilde{\beta} e^{-r/\alpha}} - 1 \right] = \\
\tilde{\varphi} e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tilde{r} e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \left[ e^{-\tilde{\beta} e^{-r/\alpha}} - 1 \right]. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}
\]

Hence, a sufficient condition for two systems at different state points 1 and 2 to have the same excess free energy is that there exists \( c \) such that

\[
\begin{aligned}
& r^*_1 A'(r^*_1) = r^*_2 A'(r^*_2) = 1/\alpha, \\
& \tilde{\varphi}_1 e^{\tilde{\varphi}_1} = \tilde{\varphi}_2 = \tilde{\varphi}, \\
& \tilde{\beta}_1 e^{-c/\alpha} = \tilde{\beta}_2 = \tilde{\beta}.
\end{aligned}
\]

These conditions mean that one may set \( r^*_1 = r^*_2 = r^* \) (which determines \( 1/\alpha = A'(r^*) \)) and that they may be mapped by

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho_1 e^{c/\alpha} = \rho_2 & \Rightarrow \rho_1^{1/2} = \rho_2^{1/2} \quad (14)
\end{aligned}
\]

via a value of \( c \) of sub-exponential order in \( d \) which parametrizes the line

\[
\frac{\rho_1^1}{T} = \text{const.} \quad (16)
\]

Along these lines, the partition function between two points scales changes by a purely geometric, model-independent factor:

\[
\beta_2 F_2 - \beta_1 F_1 = N \ln \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \quad (17)
\]

as may be easily verified using the transformations (15) in (3). This factor is only due to ideal gas contributions; the excess free energy, which contains all potential-dependent static properties, is invariant under this transformation, explaining a number of static discoveries described in [5].

The correspondence is then valid in any phase diagram window where density and temperature are rescaled even by large factors, provided they are smaller than exponentially in \( d \) (i.e. that temperatures are not rescaled exponentially in \( d \), so that all points have the same \( r^* \). Greater (exponential) changes of parameters modify the value of \( r^* \), and through it the hardness of the potential \( 1/\alpha = A'(r^*) r^* \). This is the case even if one follows the same curve given by (16), for instance by performing an exponential compression and heating of the system. The mappings are in this case only approximate, except for the case where \( 1/\alpha = A'(r^*) r^* = \text{const} \forall r^* \), i.e. the inverse-power law potential.

Note that, generalizing the above relations, one can map two systems at different state points 1 and 2 and with different interaction potentials given by their exponent \( A_i \).
and $A_2$. In this case only the first condition in (14) is modified and reads $r_1^2 A_1^2 (r_1) = r_2^2 A_2^2 (r_2) = 1/\alpha$. The same hardness $1/\alpha$ will generally imply different effective radii $r_1 \neq r_2$, so that the two other equations become

$$\hat{\gamma} e^c = \hat{\phi}_2 = \hat{\phi} = \rho_1 V_0 (r_1^2) e^c = \rho_2 V_0 (r_2^2)$$

and

$$\hat{\beta} e^{-c/\alpha} = \hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\beta}$$. The invariant curves will thus have the same total general equation $\hat{\beta} \rho V_0 (r^2)^{1/\alpha} = \text{const.}$

**Dynamics.** – The dynamical equations, exact in the limit of high dimensions, have been recently derived [25,26]. It turns out that, as is usual in all large $d$ computations, the system breaks down into the dynamics of a single degree of freedom $\tilde{r}(t)$ with colored noise $\xi$ and friction $\int_{t_0}^t ds \beta^2 M (t - s) \tilde{r}(s)$, defined by a memory kernel $\beta^2 M (t)$ that has to be derived self-consistently.

We define the potential centered around $r^*$ as $\tilde{V}(r) = V[r^*(1 + r/d)]$. Similarly to the statics, the average relative distance between nearest neighbours turns out to be $r(t) = r^*(1 + r(t)/d)$. For equilibrium dynamics, where the initial condition at $t_0$ is picked with the canonical equilibrium probability, the self-consistent equations for finite times $t$ may be written as [25,26]

$$\dot{\tilde{m}} \tilde{r}(t) + \beta^2 \tilde{r}(t) = 1 - \int_{t_0}^t ds \beta^2 M (t - s) \tilde{r}(s)$$

$$- \beta \tilde{V}(\tilde{r}(t)) + \xi(t),$$

$$\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = 2 \beta^2 \delta(t - t') + \beta^2 M (t - t'),$$

$$\beta^2 M (t - t') = \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2 \alpha} \int d\tilde{r}_0 \tilde{r}_0 \tilde{r}_a \beta \tilde{V}(\tilde{r}_0, \tilde{r}_a) \beta \tilde{V}(\tilde{r}(t)) \beta \tilde{V}(\tilde{r}(t')) \tilde{r}(t),$$

where $\tilde{m} = (r^*)^2 m/2d^2$ and $\bar{\gamma} = (r^*)^2 \gamma/2d^2$, $m$ being the physical mass and $\gamma$ the coupling to the bath. If we set $\gamma = 0$ we have the purely Newtonian case, and with $n = 0$ the overdamped Brownian case. Out-of-equilibrium dynamics may also be considered (see next section).

For the purely Newtonian case, we adimensionalize these equations by setting $\tilde{t} = t/\sqrt{\bar{m} \bar{\beta}}$. We expand as before the potentials around $r^*$ as $\beta \tilde{V}(r^* [1 + \tilde{r}(t)/d]) = \beta e^{-\tilde{r}(t)/\alpha}$, and get

$$\frac{d^2 \tilde{r}}{d \tilde{t}^2} = - \int_{t_0}^\tilde{t} ds \tilde{M}(\tilde{t} - s) \tilde{r}(s) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} e^{-\tilde{r}(t)/\alpha} + \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{t})$$

with $\langle \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{t}) \rangle = 0$, $\langle \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{t}) \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{t'}) \rangle = \tilde{M}(\tilde{t} - \tilde{t'})$, $\tilde{M}(\tilde{t} - \tilde{t'}) = \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2 \alpha} \int d\tilde{r}_0 e^{-\tilde{r}_0 - \beta \tilde{V}(\tilde{r}_0)} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} e^{-\tilde{r}(t)/\alpha} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} e^{-\tilde{r}(t')/\alpha} \right) \tilde{r}(t) \tilde{r}(t').$

The tilde variables are rescalings in the new time units, e.g. $\tilde{M}(\tilde{t}) = \beta^2 \tilde{M}(\tilde{t}/\sqrt{\bar{m} \bar{\beta}})$ (nevertheless we kept the same symbol for $\tilde{r}$ to simplify the notation). Now, performing the shift $\tilde{r}(t) \rightarrow \tilde{r}(t) + c, \forall t$, we find exactly the same rescalings of parameters as in the static liquid phase computations, and once again the isomorphs are given by (16).

For the purely Brownian case, we instead adimensionalize these equations by setting $\tilde{t} = t/\sqrt{\bar{m} \bar{\gamma}}$, rescale the variables in the new time units, e.g. $\tilde{M}(\tilde{t}) = \beta^2 \tilde{M}(\tilde{t}/\sqrt{\bar{m} \bar{\gamma}})$, and check that the translation $\tilde{r}(t) \rightarrow \tilde{r}(t) + c$ has the same effect as before. Interestingly, for the mixed case with friction and inertia, going from one state point to the other changes the ratio of inertial to bath intensities through an additional parameter $\gamma \sqrt{\bar{\beta} \bar{m}}$. If this parameter is large (respectively, small) when $d \rightarrow \infty$ then the equation reduces to the Brownian (respectively, Newtonian) case. The mixed dynamics is not fully invariant, although the correspondence is simple, and this only affects high-frequency properties.

**Glassy phases.** – Glassy phases of particle systems in the regime considered here have been studied in [25,28–33]. We will use the setting of [25,26], confining the particles in a “box” consisting in the surface of a $(d + 1)$-dimensional sphere of radius $R$, very large compared to the interparticle distance; the usual $d$-dimensional Euclidean space is recovered when $R \rightarrow \infty$. Replacing the effective hard sphere radius $\sigma$ in [25] by $r^*$ here, the replicated free energy is given in terms of a replica matrix $Q_{ab} = \frac{2d}{(\pi d)^{d/2}} r_a \cdot r_b$ that encodes the distance between the $n$ replicas of a particle $r$:

$$\beta F(\tilde{Q}) = n (\ln n - 1) - \frac{d}{2} n \ln \left( \frac{\pi e (r^*)^2}{d^2} \right) - \frac{d}{2} \ln |\det \tilde{Q}|$$

$$- \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{2} \int d\tilde{x} \tilde{D} \tilde{Q} e^{-\Delta_{\text{inv}}/2} \left[ e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n V(\tilde{r}(1 + \tilde{r}^* / \alpha))} - 1 \right],$$

where

$$\Delta_{\text{inv}} = 2d (R/r^*)^2$$

represents the size of the “box”. Even before making any ansatz for $\tilde{Q}$, we may show that a mapping exists by defining $\tilde{\beta}$ as in (5) and $\tilde{\phi}$ as in (12). Expanding each one of the Mayer terms around $r^*$ as before, we obtain the same equations as in the static case studied in refs. [25,29–32], but with the exponential potential $e^{-\tilde{r}^2 / \alpha}$.

Using the translation $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda + c$, we conclude that condition (16) defines isomorphs in the glassy region of the phase diagram of the system as well. This in itself implies that the entire structure of metastable states is the same along points in an isomorph.

The out-of-equilibrium dynamics can be derived along the same lines as [26]. The dynamical action bears a formal analogy with the replicated free energy (20) where, respectively, time and replica index play a similar role [25], and once again a shift of $\lambda$ gives the equation of the same invariant curves (16).

**Virial-energy correlations.** – In [1,5] a simple measure of the goodness of scaling relations was introduced as follows. The energy $U = \sum_{r_i < r_j} V(|r_i - r_j|)$ and the so-called virial function [23] $W = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \nabla_{r_i} U$ are used to
define a virial-energy correlation coefficient $R \in [-1,1]$ as
\[
R = \frac{\langle \Delta W \Delta U \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle (\Delta W)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta U)^2 \rangle}}
\] (22)
where $\Delta W = W - \langle W \rangle$ and brackets denote equilibrium averages. In the case of inverse-power law potentials, we have $W \propto U$ and $R = 1$. In any practical case, one may numerically compute $R$: a value close to unity is an indication of good scaling properties [1,5,6].

Here one easily shows that $R = 1$ for large dimensions for any potential satisfying the “rather hard” condition. This is done by computing directly $R$ through (22), using equilibrium averages truncated at the lowest order of the virial expansion\(^2\). To leading order
\[
R = -\frac{\int dr \left[ r V'(r) + d V(r) \right] e^{-\beta V(r)}}{\beta \sqrt{\int dr r^2 V'(r)^2 e^{-\beta V(r)} \int dr V(r)^2 e^{-\beta V(r)}}.}
\] (23)
One can check, by the same reasoning as the one used for the Mayer integral, that these integrals are dominated, under the previous conditions, by the $(1/d)$ neighborhood of $r^*$ (see footnote \(^2\)). Expanding this expression once again around $r^*$ gives $R = 1$ identically (see footnote \(^2\)). An alternative, quicker way, is to recognize that any potential may be substituted by an inverse-power law potential $V_{\text{PPL}}(r) \propto (r^*/r)^{d/\alpha}$ once the value of $r^*$ is fixed such that $1/\alpha = r^* A'(r^*)$.

**Other types of potentials.** – Other potentials can be considered, analyzing the Mayer integral in the same way. The case of a sum of exponential potentials (2) with different interaction ranges (measured by $\alpha$) is straightforward: for a given temperature (thus $r^*$), only one of the terms will dominate. The situation is more complex if we consider terms with different signs and/or same interaction ranges. The Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential belongs to this class\(^3\); its study can readily be done along the same lines and its interest also lies in the fact that it is directly relevant for three-dimensional liquids and glasses [3,6,23,35,36]. This potential can be generalized in $d$-dimensions as
\[
V_{\text{LJ}}(r) \propto \left( \frac{r}{\sigma} \right)^{-4d} - \left( \frac{r}{\sigma} \right)^{-2d}
\] (24)
The Mayer function is very similar: it is $-1$ at short distance, then there is an $O(1)$ part over a range $O(1/d)$ around $\sigma$ where there is a positive bump, and it is exponentially small at large distances (see footnote \(^2\)). For exponentially high temperatures, one can define a $r^* < \sigma$ where only the repulsive IPL term plays a role; one thus finds trivial isomorphs. The interesting regime is when the temperature is $O(1)$. Then $r^*$ is defined in the $O(1/d)$ region close to $\sigma$ where attractive and repulsive parts compete. We can expand the potential once again setting $r = r^*(1 + \tilde{r}/d)$, giving an effective potential
\[
\beta V_{\text{LJ}}(r) \sim \tilde{\beta} V_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{r}) = \beta e^{-4\tilde{r}} - e^{-2\tilde{r}}
\] (25)
Here the previous scaling transformations does not provide an invariance since the two exponentials have a different “exponent” $\alpha$. One can still demand that the static liquid excess free energy $F_{\text{ex}}(\rho,T) = \text{const}$, defining generally lines. But these lines will not have a dynamic (respectively, glassy static) counterpart, which would depend upon the times (respectively the replica “blocks”) considered. Thus in this regime there are no isomorphs\(^4\). We plot in fig. 2 the virial-energy correlation coefficient in this regime (see footnote \(^2\)), by computing the dominant contribution (around $r^*$) of (23). We note accordingly that the $WU$ correlation coefficient is less than one, except in the “infinite” temperature limit $\tilde{\beta} \to 0$ within this regime. Indeed at high temperature only the repulsive IPL term is felt by the system. It coincides with trying to shift $r^*$ to lower values where only this term is relevant, and where the isomorphs are exact.

**Discussion.** – We have shown that whenever the potential $V(r) = e^{-d A(r)}$ satisfies the condition that at the point $r^*$ such that $\beta V(r^*) = O(1)$ one has $[\ln(1 - A(r))]_r^\sigma > 0$ for $r > r^*$, then in the large-dimensional limit the parameter space $(T,\rho)$ is foliated with lines (isomorphs), where static and dynamic properties coincide once expressed in reduced units. The simple explanation of this fact is that, in large dimensions, there is a typical interparticle distance that dominates the physics: larger distances have weak interactions, shorter distances are too rare. The arguments hold for dynamic as well as equilibrium calculations, both in the liquid and in the glass phase. Transition and dynamical crossover lines follow these isomorphs.

There is numerical evidence that in $d = 2, 3$ and $4$ in Lennard-Jones systems, both the virial-energy correlation

\(^2\)See the text supplementaryEPL.pdf given as supplementary material.

\(^3\)A similar discussion can be made with the Weeks-Chandler-Anderson potential [34].

\(^4\)One can write the LJ potential (24) as (2) but the assumption which is not fulfilled here is that $A(r)$ becomes discontinuous at $r = \sigma$: one cannot expand $A(r)$ around this point and the previous analysis does not hold.
coefficient $R$ approaches quickly 1 and scale invariances become increasingly good with increasing dimension [37], which is to be expected on the basis of this work.

One may interpret the fact that in finite dimensions the scaling properties hold to a good approximation [1,5,6] as a symptom of the high-dimensional approximation being qualitatively good. Note that this approximation is intimately tied to the Random First-Order Transition scenario for dense liquids [38–45], so this is another instance in which we are confronted with a unifying perspective.
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