Experimental demonstration of cavity-free optical isolators and optical circulators
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Cavity-free optical nonreciprocity components, which have an inherent strong asymmetric interaction between the forward- and backward-propagation direction of the probe field, are key to produce such as optical isolators and circulators. According to the proposal presented by Xia et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 203602 (2018)], we experimentally build a device that uses cross-Kerr nonlinearity to achieve a cavity-free optical isolator and circulator. Its nonreciprocal behavior arises from the thermal motion of N-type configuration atoms, which induces a strong chiral cross-Kerr nonlinearity for the weak probe beam. We obtain a two-port optical isolator for up to 20 dB of isolation ratio in a specially designed Sagnac interferometer. The distinct propagation directions of the weak probe field determine its cross-phase shift and transmission, by which we demonstrate the accessibility of a four-port optical circulator.
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Introduction. Nonreciprocity optical devices that break the time-reversal symmetry are very difficult to achieve without magnetic fields, such as optical isolators and circulators [1]. To break reciprocity, the traditional method is to guide light through a medium with a powerful magneto-optical Faraday effect [2–4]. However, systems with this nature often have serious conflicts with miniaturization due to the surrounding environmental interference from their strong magnetic fields. The requirements of a nonmagnetic isolator have generated tremendous impetus, and then a series of works in various physical principles are reported to avoid using magneto-optical components. For example, the different types of optical nonreciprocal devices have been realized by using spatiotemporal modulation of nonlinear material [5–11], inducing the Berry phase [7,12–14], and using optomechanical systems [14–18].

To realize optical isolators with Kerr and Kerr-like nonlinearity is attracting many researchers [19–25]. However, optical isolators with Kerr and Kerr-like nonlinearity has a poor isolation effect under the weak signals due to the limitation of dynamic nonreciprocity [26,27]. Some nonlinear optical isolators with chiral gains are reported to overcome this difficulty in [28,29]. Besides, the nonlinear optical isolation schemes require a high-quality cavity to achieve enough interaction strength, such as optical circulators [21,28,30–33]. Although the fundamental aspects of optical nonreciprocity have been studied before, it is challenging to achieve an optical nonreciprocity with high isolation, low loss, and weak light intensity simultaneously. Therefore a passive nonlinear isolator without dynamic reciprocity would be of interest and is proposed by [32].

Here we demonstrate a cross-Kerr nonlinearity based on an N-type atomic configuration in a thermal vapor cell to achieve a cavity-free optical isolator and circulator. The cross-Kerr nonlinearity affects the transmission and susceptibility of the input weak probe field dramatically; this process depends on the coupling and switch fields’ forward- and backward-propagating directions with respect to the probe field. Hence we achieve a cross-Kerr optical isolator with up to 20 dB of isolation ratio. In addition, we demonstrate a four-port optical circulator via a specially designed Sagnac interferometer. Compared to Ref. [28], they use the four-level nonreciprocal amplification mechanism, which creates an active process to break the dynamic nonreciprocity. On the contrary, we use the enhanced cross-Kerr nonlinearity, which is not limited between the classical regime and quantum regime. The reported cross-Kerr optical isolator and optical circulator could work under high isolation, low loss, and weak field, which holds potential applications for quantum communication [34].
quantum simulation [35], and quantum information processing [36].

**System configurations.** We use an N-type atomic configuration in thermal rubidium (85Rb) atoms to demonstrate the cross-Kerr nonlinearity [37–39]. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the coupling and switch lasers with vertical polarization couple the atomic transition \(|5S_{1/2}, F = 2\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle\) ↔ \(|5P_{3/2}, F = 3\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle\), and \(|5S_{1/2}, F = 3\rangle \rightarrow |3\rangle\) ↔ \(|5P_{3/2}, F = 3\rangle \rightarrow |4\rangle\), respectively. The probe laser with horizontal polarization couples the transition \(|5S_{1/2}, F = 3\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle\) ↔ \(|5S_{1/2}, F = 3\rangle \rightarrow |3\rangle\). The Rabi frequencies and detunings of the probe, coupling, and switch fields are respectively denoted by \(\Omega_p\) (\(\Delta p\)), \(\Omega_c\) (\(\Delta c\)), and \(\Omega_s\) (\(\Delta s\)). The spontaneous decay rates of the state \(|2\rangle\) (\(|4\rangle\)) to states \(|1\rangle\) and \(|3\rangle\) are \(\Gamma_{21}\) (\(\Gamma_{42}\)) and \(\Gamma_{23}\) (\(\Gamma_{43}\)), respectively. The dephasing rate between the two ground states \(|1\rangle\) and \(|3\rangle\) is \(\Gamma_{13}\). In the rotating-wave approximation, the Hamiltonian under the interaction picture describing the field-atom interaction takes the form

\[
H_I = \hbar(\Delta c - \Delta p)\sigma_{33} + \hbar\Delta c\sigma_{22} + \hbar(\Delta c - \Delta p + \Delta s)\sigma_{44}
- \frac{\hbar}{2}\Omega_c\sigma_{12} + \Omega_p\sigma_{34} + \text{H.c.},
\]

where \(\sigma_{mn} = |m\rangle\langle n|\) (\(m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4\)) are the atomic transition operators. Due to atomic thermal motion, the frequencies of the propagating fields seen by the atoms are shifted, which is referred to as the directional Doppler effect. The detunings of the probe, coupling, and switch fields are then modified as \(\Delta p \pm k_p v, \Delta c \pm k_c v, \text{ and } \Delta s \pm k_s v\), where \(k_p, k_c, \text{ and } k_s\) are the corresponding wave vectors, and the signs “±” depend on the propagation direction. When the probe beams copropagate with the coupling and the switch field, the Doppler shifts take the same sign and their effects are generally canceled. However, when the probe beam counterpropagates with the coupling and the switch field, the Doppler shifts have opposite signs, and thus the Doppler broadening cannot be ignored. For both the co- and counterpropagation cases, we solve the steady-state solution of the master equation \(d\rho/dt = -i[H, \rho]/\hbar - \mathcal{L}[\sqrt{T}\sigma_-\rho]\) by taking all the decay channels into consideration and obtain the total cross-Kerr nonlinear susceptibility averaged over the velocity distribution as

\[
\chi_{23}^\pm = \int \rho_{23}^\pm(\Delta p \pm k_p v, \Delta c \pm k_c v, \Delta s \pm k_s v, \Omega_p, \Omega_c, \Omega_s) \times \frac{|\mu_{23}|^2 N(v)}{\hbar\varepsilon_0} d\mu. \tag{2}
\]

where \(N(v) = N_0\exp(-v^2/\bar{v}^2)/(\sqrt{\pi})\), \(u = (2k_B T/M)^{-1/2}\), \(N_0\) is the atomic density, \(\mu_{23}\) the transition dipole moment between states \(|2\rangle\) and \(|3\rangle\), \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) is the temperature of the gas in the cell, and \(M\) is the atomic mass. \(\chi_{23}^\pm (\chi_{23})\) represents the probe field copropagating (counterpropagation) with the coupling and the switch field. The transmission for the probe field is further given by the imaginary part of optical susceptibility \(\text{Im}[(\chi_{23}^\pm)]\), which strongly depends on the propagation direction of the probe field regarding the coupling and the switch field, leading to the chiral cross-Kerr nonlinearity. This effect allows us to implement optical isolators and circulators by handling the probe field with fixed directional coupling and switch fields.

As schematically illustrated in Fig. 1(b), the specially designed Sagnac interferometer consists of BS1 and three mirrors M2–M4, which has two different optical paths L1 and L2. This interferometer has four ports consisting of two input ports (P1 and P3) and two output ports (P2 and P4). We insert PBS1 and PBS2 into the interferometer and add a Rb cell in the optical path L1. To implement isolators and circulators, the most important part is a subsystem including five elements (BS2, M6, PBS1-2, and the Rb cell) which can control the transmission and the phase shift of the input.
probe field to the cross-Kerr nonlinearity. In contrast, the Doppler effect under the counterpropagation case strongly diminishes the cross-Kerr nonlinearity, so we observe that the probe transmission is almost vanishing. Although this $N$-type configuration and the theoretical $N$-type structure are slightly different, these two kinds of four-level systems equally investigate the cross-Kerr nonlinear effect. The nonreciprocity arising from the asymmetric cross-Kerr nonlinearity directly leads to the direction-dependent response of the probe beam, thus resulting in the nonreciprocal feature of the probe field.

We characterize the property of the isolator by measuring the resonant probe transmission with $\Delta_s = 0$ and by the logarithmic ratio of the copropagation transmission $T_{co}$ to the counterpropagation transmission $T_{cou}$, namely, $10\log_{10}(T_{co}/T_{cou})$ (referred to as the isolation ratio), as shown in Fig. 2(c). There is a significant difference between the on-resonance transmission spectrum of the copropagation (blue dots) and counterpropagation (red dots). In the copropagation case, we observe that the increase of the switch field power $I_s$ can significantly enhance the cross-Kerr nonlinear response of the probe field. In the conventional three-level electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) scheme, the nonlinear response susceptibility is relatively small. We add a switch field based on the $A$-type EIT scheme, and the absorption loss can be effectively reduced by increasing the intensity of the coupling field and the switch field. Such an $N$-type configuration system greatly enhances the cross-Kerr nonlinearity \cite{40,41}. While the Doppler shift breaks the chiral cross-Kerr nonlinearity in the counterpropagation case, we obtain a series of weak on-resonance transmission signals. Moreover, the isolation ratio increases against $I_s$, as predicted by the theory in the article \cite{32}. As the switch power increases, the isolation ratio increases to be 20 dB. Even though we scan the switch field power $I_s$ only from 0 to 25 mW because of the limitation of the laser power in our experiment, we nevertheless find that when the switch field power is tuned exceeding 21 mW, the transmission and the isolation ratio will be suppressed. This is because the atoms would be depleted when using a large power of the switch field to pump. Moreover, we further examine the copropagation transmission versus the detuning $\Delta_s$ of the switch field with the fixed switch field power $I_s = 21$ mW, as shown in Fig. 2(d). For a resonant pumping, i.e., $\Delta_s = 0$ MHz, the switch laser will suppress the cross-Kerr nonlinearity, while the off-resonant pumping of the switch field will induce the strong cross-Kerr nonlinear response. In addition, we observe that increasing the power of the switch field produces a magnifying effect on the copropagation transmission of the probe field. Remarkably, all the experimental data (dots) fit well with our theoretical prediction (dashed line), thus fully confirming our physical insights and theoretical analysis above.

**Isolator.** We input the weak probe field with the power $I_p = 7.5 \mu W$ to measure the co- or counterpropagation transmission. The copropagation (counterpropagation) transmission corresponds to opening C1 (C2) and blocking C2 (C1). The co- and counterpropagation transmissions are given in Figs. 1(e) and 1(d). By changing the switch power $I_s$ from 0 to 25 mW, we obtain a series of transmission spectra of the co- and counterpropagating probe fields in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). The on-resonance transmission of the probe beam increases in the copropagation case but remains small for the counterpropagation case. When the combined coupling and switch beams C1 copropagate with the probe beam, the Doppler shift seen by the atoms has the same sign and their Doppler effect in the $N$-type configuration can be eliminated; thus we can observe a strong response of the probe beam.

**Circulator.** We unblock path L2 and enable the two noncoincident paths of the Sagnac interferometer to work simultaneously, which constructs a four-port optical circulator as proposed in \cite{32}. We inject the probe beam into this circulator by P1 and control the propagation direction of the switch and the coupling beams with C1, C2. While the probe field copropagates with the other two laser beams, the strong
The phase-shift properties in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c) are the property of the interferometry. To better understand the observation, we assume that the phase shift of the two arms in the Sagnac interferometer is caused by the nonlinear medium. The cross-Kerr nonlinearity induces a significant change in the refractive index of the medium, and the phase shift can be expressed as \( \phi_{\text{co}}^{L_1} = k_p \Delta n_p L_1 \) and \( \phi_{\text{co}}^{L_2} = k_p \Delta n_p L_2 \). According to our theoretical model, \( L_1 \) represents the length of the Rb cell, which is 10 cm, and we set the \( k_p \) as zero for simplicity, and the refractive-index change \( \Delta n_p = \text{Re}[\chi_{23}] \). We note that the phase shift between path L1 and L2 is caused by the refractive-index change of the nonlinear medium, which is related to the real part of the susceptibility \( \chi_{23} \). The imaginary part of the susceptibility \( \chi_{23} \) induces the transmission change in the isolator part.

To better understand this result, the relationship between the probe transmission and the refractive index governed by the Kramers-Kronig relations was found to be in excellent agreement with the observation data [43,45]. Figures 3(a) and 3(c) show the whole working window of the circulator. The phase-shift change supports our previous view that the scans show the detuning of the probe field near the working window of the circulator—the probe detuning induces the sensitive phase shift due to the enhanced cross-Kerr nonlinearity, at the top of the interference curve, which represents the output path \( P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \), and at the bottom of the curve, which represents the output path \( P_1 \rightarrow P_4 \). In this way, we succeed in realizing the fixed output path \( P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \) or path \( P_1 \rightarrow P_4 \) of the circulator. As is shown in Fig. 3(b), by changing the detuning of the switch field we observe an ideal phase-shift shape of the circulator, which only represents the path \( P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \). This clearly demonstrates that the circulator satisfies the output path \( P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \). Moreover, the amplitude of the phase shifts increases with the switch field power until it starts to saturate, but the phase-shift shape remains unchanged. The performance of the circulator can be quantified with the contrast \( T = (T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}) / (T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{min}}) \); here \( i \) represents the output port number. In our system, the circulator contrast can reach 0.902, while an ideal operation yields \( T = 1 \).

In addition, the contrast of the circulator is also limited by the quality of the Sagnac interferometer, and the measured contrast includes all loss and noise. According to the theoretical proposal [32], the phase difference between the two paths of the interferometer also satisfies P3 to P4. It is worth mentioning that our optical circulator can also work under a reversible case. If we turn on C2 and block C1, and we exchange the corresponding input port and output port completely, then we can get a circulator which is exactly the opposite of the previous port sequence. Then this apparatus can realize the circulation process of \( P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \) and \( P_4 \rightarrow P_1 \), implying that our specifically designed apparatus can be used as a four-port circulator, for example, a nonreciprocal photon circulation along with port 1 \( \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1 \).

**Conclusion.** In summary, we have demonstrated an experiment to realize cavity-free optical isolators and circulators by a chiral Cross-Kerr nonlinearity of N-type Rb atoms embedded in the two-path Sagnac interferometer at room temperature. Simultaneously, our isolator can reach a high isolation ratio of 20 dB. Based on the experimental conclusions,
we successfully prove that the specific designed experimental device can provide a new version for the optical circulator. Therefore our design allows us to make optical isolators and circulators for high isolation, low loss, and a weak probe field at room temperature.

The demonstrated circulator concept is useful for the processing and routing of the classical signals at weak light in classical optical circuits and quantum networks. The circulation operation principle is universal in a large variety of different quantum systems, as long as the medium in this system can reach enough optical depth to realize the EIT, and they can be miniaturized, for example, using the microcell [46–48], the atomic cladding waveguides [49], the hollow-core photonic crystal fibers [50–52], the slot waveguides [53], and some kinds of chip-based structures [48,54].

Arranging $N$ circulators as a linear array allows one to realize a $(2N+2)$-port optical circulator. A two- or three-dimensional network of optical circulators will be the potential candidate in multipath signal processing [30,55].

Note added. Recently, we found a relative work by Lin et al. [29] on nonreciprocal amplification with a four-level atomic system.
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