The Role of Iron Carbide in the Abyssal Formation of Hydrocarbons in the Upper Mantle
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Abstract: The existence of iron carbide in the upper mantle allows an assumption to be made about its possible involvement in the abyssal abiogenic synthesis of hydrocarbons as a carbon donor. Interacting with hydrogen donors of the mantle, iron carbide can form hydrocarbon fluid. In order to investigate the role of iron carbide in the abiogenic synthesis of hydrocarbons, the chemical reaction between cementite Fe3C and water was modeled under thermobaric conditions, corresponding to the upper mantle. A series of experiments were conducted using a high-pressure high-temperature Toroid-type large reactive volume unit with further analysis by means of gas chromatography. The results demonstrated the formation of hydrocarbon fluid in a wide range of thermobaric conditions (873–1223 K, 2.5–6.0 GPa) corresponding to the upper mantle. A strong correlation between the composition of the fluid and the pT conditions of the synthesis was illustrated in the investigation. The higher temperature of the synthesis resulted in the formation of a “poor” hydrocarbon mixture, primarily comprising methane, while a higher pressure yielded the opposite effect, converting iron carbide into a complex hydrocarbon system, containing normal and iso-alkanes up to C7 and benzene. This correlation explains the diversity of hydrocarbon systems produced experimentally, thus expanding the thermobaric range of the possible existence of complex hydrocarbon systems in the upper mantle. The results support the suggestion that the carbide—water reaction can be a source of both the carbon and hydrogen required for the abyssal abiogenic synthesis of hydrocarbons.
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1. Introduction

A number of recent publications illustrate the abiogenic synthesis of complex hydrocarbon systems from inorganic substances under the thermobaric conditions of the upper mantle [1–3]. The diversity of hydrocarbon systems experimentally synthesized in the lab from inorganic compounds is not only caused by the different thermobaric parameters of the synthesis, but also by various initial donors of carbon and hydrogen in these reactions [3–5]. Calcium and magnesium carbonates, pure carbon in the form of graphite, anthracene, and carbon dioxide are associated with carbon donors for the abiogenic synthesis of hydrocarbons in the upper mantle [1]. Iron carbides were never considered carbon donors for abiogenic hydrocarbon synthesis at high pressure until recent investigations [6,7]. Sokol et al. (2020) studied the hydrogenation of Fe-Ni alloys and Fe3C, Fe7C3 at 6.3 GPa and 1000–1400 °C and demonstrated the formation of hydrocarbons primarily of C1–C4 composition with trace amounts of heavier hydrocarbons and oxygen-bearing substances (aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, ethers). Hydrocarbon systems synthesized from iron carbide in Reference [7] comprised hydrocarbons up to C7 without any oxygen-bearing substances. The authors formulated a hypothesis that iron carbides
could behave as donors of carbon in the abyssal abiogenic formation of hydrocarbons, interacting with hydrogen donors of the upper mantle [8,9].

The existence of iron carbides in the mantle is supported by the detection of these compounds in diamond and garnet inclusions [10–12]. The authors detected Fe$_3$C, Fe$_2$C, and Fe$_{23}$C$_6$ in the structure of samples that were formed during subduction of the lithosphere slab. The diversity of Fe-C inclusions demonstrates their significant role in the Earth’s abyssal processes and the deep carbon cycle [13]. However, the depth range of iron carbides’ existence in the mantle is still debatable. The inclusions in diamonds and garnets primarily appear to indicate the lower mantle origin of iron carbides [10,11]. At the same time, the possible formation of iron carbides in the upper mantle during subduction was demonstrated recently [14,15]. Iron carbide Fe$_7$C$_3$ was formed under thermobaric conditions corresponding to a depth of 210–290 km as a result of interaction between subducted hydrocarbons and the iron-bearing surrounding of the mantle. Experimental modeling of Fe-CaCO$_3$ interaction by the authors of [16] demonstrated the formation of Fe$_3$C at 6 GPa and 1273–1873 K, which could act as an additional source of carbon for graphite and diamond formation in the upper mantle [17,18]. Experimental investigations of Fe$_3$C melting indicated its stability in the wide range of mantle thermobaric conditions [19,20]. These experimental results correlate with theoretical calculations predicting the possible coexistence of diamond, graphite, and iron carbide at depths of 150–200 km and conditions close to the IW oxygen buffer [21]. Thus, iron carbide may exist in the upper mantle at a depth below 150 km. It is expected that iron carbide as a carbon donor may interact with hydrogen donors in the upper mantle and result in the formation of hydrocarbon fluid.

The chemical reaction of iron carbide with water under high temperature has been investigated by many researchers. Akiyama, et al. [22], Hisa, et al. [23], Arabczyk, et al. [24] modeled the reaction of iron carbide and steam to produce a gas mixture with a high hydrogen concentration. This reaction was investigated under high temperature and ambient pressure. However, the pressure factor could significantly affect the mechanism and kinetics of the reaction. Thus, intermediate products could become metastable. Hydrocarbons, detected as intermediate products in [22], may become major products under extreme pressure. Moreover, heavier hydrocarbons with long carbon-carbon chains are thermodynamically more stable under high pressure, as demonstrated in [3,25,26]. The chemical interaction of iron carbide and water under mantle thermobaric conditions is of great interest due to its involvement in the deep processes of the Earth, such as the deep carbon cycle [13] and the deep hydrocarbon cycle [7]. This, therefore, requires detailed experimental investigation. We experimentally modeled the chemical interaction of cementite and water under a wide range of thermobaric conditions, corresponding to the Earth’s upper mantle.

2. Materials and Methods

All experiments were conducted using the “Toroid”-type Large reactive volume (LRV) unit “URS-2” (designed and produced at the Technological Institute of super-hard and novel carbon materials, Troitsk, Russia) (See [26] for more details).

A finely ground powder of pure Fe$_3$C (99.5% American Elements, CAS #12011-67-5) was mixed with distilled water and loaded into a steel cylindrical cell in the molar ratio Fe$_3$C: H$_2$O $\approx$ 1:6 (m(Fe$_3$C) = 0.206 g, m(H$_2$O) = 0.130 g). The cell was closed and mounted in a toroid-shaped ceramic container. The container with the cell, placed between two tungsten carbide matrices, was mounted in the LRV unit and pressurized to the required pressure. The required temperature was then reached at a speed of 100 K/min and kept constant. The time exposure was one hour for each experiment. When the heating was over, the cell with the sample was quenched and then depressurized.

The analysis of light products was conducted by means of gas chromatography. Chromatek 5000, a gas chromatograph installed in Gubkin Russian State University of Oil and Gas, Moscow, was used to investigate the composition of light products after the experiment. The chromatograph was equipped with two columns: an Agilent GS-
GasPro capillary column (length 60 m, diameter 0.32 mm with adsorbed silica gel) and a packed column and two flame ionization detectors. The chromatograph was equipped with a special sample injection system: A sealed gas-recovering camera, connected to the chromatograph, was designed to crack the cell with the sample using a sharp, hard alloy stock penetrating the cell. After the penetration, the products were injected into the chromatograph with a carrier gas. The chromatography analysis was conducted over 60 min. with an increasing temperature regime from 60 to 140 °C.

After the chromatography analysis, the solid phase of the sample was recovered from the cell and analyzed by means of X-ray diffraction and Raman spectroscopy. A Seifert MZ III powder X-ray diffractometer, employing Cu Kα radiation (1.5405981 Å wavelength) in Bragg–Brentano geometry, was used. An Ar+ laser (wavelength 488 nm, power 30 mW) and a triple monochromator TriVista 555 (Princeton Instruments) equipped with a CCD detector were used in the analysis.

3. Results

Seven series of experiments were conducted to investigate the chemical reaction between iron carbide Fe₃C and water in the thermobaric range 873–1223 K and 2.5–6.0 GPa. The results of the chromatography analysis of the products are presented in Table 1. Light alkanes of both a linear and branched structure and benzene were observed in the product mixtures. Unsaturated hydrocarbons (olefins and acetylene hydrocarbons) were detected at trace level (see Figure 1 for more details).

| #  | P, GPa (±0.2) | T, K (±25) | CH₄, % | C₂H₆, % | C₃H₈, % | C₄H₁₀, % | C₅H₁₂, % | C₆H₁₄, % | C₇H₁₆, % | C₈H₁₀, % |
|----|--------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1  | 2.5          | 873        | 81.83  | 3.10     | 1.50   | 3.51     | 3.56     | 4.03     | 0.71     | 0.70     |
| 2  | 2.5          | 1023       | 96.59  | 2.01     | 0.43   | 0.32     | 0.24     | 0.25     | 0.16     | -        |
| 3  | 3.5          | 1023       | 87.41  | 2.33     | 0.92   | 0.48     | 2.24     | 3.26     | 2.36     | -        |
| 4  | 3.5          | 1123       | 79.30  | 20.38    | 0.14   | 0.04     | 0.03     | 0.03     | 0.04     | 0.01     |
| 5  | 4.5          | 1123       | 84.65  | 13.89    | 0.94   | 0.30     | 0.11     | 0.07     | 0.05     | -        |
| 6  | 4.5          | 1223       | 98.60  | 1.32     | 0.03   | 0.03     | 0.01     | -        | -        | -        |
| 7  | 6.0          | 1223       | 68.56  | 8.96     | 7.66   | 9.27     | 4.32     | 0.98     | 0.16     | 0.09     |
| 8  | Severo-       |            |        |          |        |          |          |          |          |          |
|    | Stavropol'skoe gas field [4], (given for comparison) | | 98.90  | 0.29     | 0.16   | 0.05     | -        | -        | -        | -        |
| 9  | Vuktinskoe gas field [4], (given for comparison) | | 73.80  | 8.70     | 3.90   | 1.80     | 6.40     | -        | -        | -        |

Severo-Stavropol'skoe gas field [4], (given for comparison)
Vuktinskoe gas field [4], (given for comparison)

As can be noted in Table 1, the composition of the hydrocarbon systems depended on the thermobaric conditions of the experiment, varying from “poor” hydrocarbon systems, similar to “dry” natural gas composition (experiments #2 and #6), to complex hydrocarbon systems with significant amounts of heavy hydrocarbons (experiments #1, #3, and #7). Carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide were not detected in the product systems in any of the experiments.

The roles of pressure and temperature were crucial in this correlation. The increasing temperature with constant pressure resulted in the growth of the total amount of methane and ethane in the product system: #1 and #2 at 2.5 GPa, #3 and #4 at 3.5 GPa, #5 and #6 at 4.5 GPa. At the same time, the increasing pressure with constant temperature enhanced the yield of the C₃+ fraction: (#2 and #3 at 1023 K, #4 and #5 at 1123 K, #6 and #7 at 1223 K). The specified correlation is more recognizable in Figure 2, in which the results are grouped and the relative content of fractions in the hydrocarbon fluid is presented as pie charts.
Figure 1. The chromatograms of hydrocarbon products formed from the chemical reaction of iron carbide and water at (a) 2.5 GPa and 873 K; (b) 3.5 GPa and 1123 K; (c) 6.0 GPa and 1223 K. 1—methane, 2—ethane, 3—propane, 4—i-butane, 5—n-butane, 6—neo-pentane; 7—i-pentane, 8—n-pentane, 9—i-hexanes, 10—n-hexane, 11—i-heptanes, 12—n-heptane, 13—benzene, 14—ethylene, 15—acetylene, 16—propylene.
Figure 2. Pie charts of hydrocarbon products, formed from the chemical reaction of iron carbide and water. Blue sectors—CH₄, orange sectors—C₂H₆, grey sectors—total amount of heavier hydrocarbons C₃+.

The results of the solid product analysis are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Results of the Analysis of the Solid Products Formed from Fe₃C and H₂O.

| # | P, GPa (±0.2) | T, K (±25) | X-ray Diffraction | Raman Spectroscopy |
|---|--------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 2.5          | 873        | Remained Fe₃C, no new compounds | Fe₃O₄ |
| 2 | 2.5          | 1023       | Remained Fe₃C, FeO | Fe₃O₄, FeO |
| 3 | 3.5          | 1023       | Remained Fe₃C, FeO | No new compounds |
| 4 | 3.5          | 1123       | Remained Fe₃C, FeO | - |
| 5 | 4.5          | 1123       | Remained Fe₃C, FeO | No new compounds |
| 6 | 4.5          | 1223       | Remained Fe₃C, FeO | - |
| 7 | 6.0          | 1223       | -                  | - |

X-ray diffraction of the solid products formed from the chemical reaction of iron carbide and water demonstrated the formation of FeO in experiments #2, #3, #4, #5, and #6 (Figure 3). Characteristic peaks (111), (200), (220), (311), and (222), detected in the X-ray spectra, correspond to wüstite [27]. X-ray spectrum #1 showed only representative peaks of Fe₃C, like in the reference spectrum 0, collected from initial Fe₃C before the experiments [28].
Figure 3. XRD patterns of the samples: 0—pure iron carbide Fe\textsubscript{3}C before reaction [28]; 1–6—solid products of the chemical reaction between Fe\textsubscript{3}C and H\textsubscript{2}O: at 873 K and 2.5 GPa (exp. #1), at 1023 K and 2.5 GPa (exp. #2), at 1023 K and 3.5 GPa (exp. #3), at 1123 K and 3.5 GPa (exp. #4), at 1123 K and 4.5 GPa (exp. #5), at 1223 K and 4.5 GPa (exp. #6); circles—characteristic peaks of Fe\textsubscript{3}C, diamonds—characteristic peaks of FeO.

The Raman spectroscopy analysis in experiment #1 showed strong wave shifts at 548 and 678 cm\textsuperscript{−1}, corresponding to Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} [29], while the Raman spectrum of experiment #2 was complicated and could be interpreted as a combination of Raman shifts of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and FeO, according to reference data from the literature (Figure 4) [30–32]. The Raman spectra of the solid products of experiments #3 and #5 demonstrated only the wave shifts of the initial iron carbide.

It was not possible to observe Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} using X-ray diffraction due to its weak characteristic peaks on the diffraction spectra [33]. FeO was not detected using Raman spectroscopy in experiments #3 and #5. This could be due to a low concentration of the substance and the low resolution of the Raman spectrometer. Graphite was not observed using Raman and X-ray spectroscopies.
Figure 4. The Raman spectra of the samples: 0—pure iron carbide Fe$_3$C; 1–5—solid products of the chemical reaction between Fe$_3$C and H$_2$O: at 873 K and 2.5 GPa (exp. #1); at 1023 K and 2.5 GPa (exp. #2), at 1023 K and 3.5 GPa (exp. #3), at 1123 K and 4.5 GPa (exp. #5).

4. Discussion

4.1. Iron Carbide as a Possible Donor of Carbon in the Abiogenic Synthesis of Hydrocarbons in the Upper Mantle. The Influence of pT Conditions of the Upper Mantle on the Composition of Hydrocarbon Fluid

The results of the current investigation highlighted the possible abiogenic formation of complex hydrocarbon mixtures from iron carbide and water in the wide range of thermobaric conditions 2.5–6.0 GPa and 673–1223 K, which correspond to the depths of 80–180 km of the cold zones of the mantle [34]. As expected, the chemical reaction of cementite and water under ultra-high pT conditions caused the formation of hydrocarbon fluid of a composition similar to previous researches with the other carbon donors [3,35]. The total amount of light hydrocarbons dominated the product systems (methane—69–99 volume%, ethane—1–20 volume%), while the content of heavier hydrocarbons (C$_3$–C$_7$) varied depending on the pT conditions of the reaction. These data demonstrate that iron carbide may be a carbon donor in the abyssal abiogenic synthesis of hydrocarbons in the upper mantle at depths of 80–180 km (Figure 5). The influence of the thermobaric parameters was complicated by the opposite effects of pressure and temperature. The higher temperature resulted in the formation of hydrocarbon fluid comprising methane and ethane with a trace amount of heavier hydrocarbons, while the higher temperature brought the synthesis of hydrocarbon fluid containing significant amounts of heavier hydrocarbons C$_3$–C$_7$. This correlation is discernible in the investigation of methane transformation at 2.5 GPa and 850–1000 K [26]. The hydrocarbon system synthesized from methane at a more moderate temperature contained heavy hydrocarbons up to C$_7$, while the hydrocarbon system formed at 1000 K was limited to C$_4$–C$_6$ components with a significant predominance of methane and ethane.
Figure 5. Experimental evidence of iron carbide formation (triangles) under the mantle pT conditions and hydrocarbon formation (circles) from iron carbide under the mantle pT conditions: Black triangles—experiments from [15], empty triangles—experiments from [2], grey triangles—experiments from [16], grey circles—experiments from [5], white circles—“poor” hydrocarbon systems, current research, brown circles—“rich” hydrocarbon systems, current research. Pressure-temperature profiles of the Earth’s geotherms (black curve—30 mW/m² continental geotherm, blue curve—40 mW/m² continental geotherm, orange curve—40 mW/m² ocean geotherm) are taken from Reference [34].

The correlation between the composition of the hydrocarbon system and the thermobaric conditions of the experiment can be observed in the experiments with CaCO₃ as a donor of hydrocarbons. The hydrocarbon system synthesized from CaCO₃ and water in the presence of iron compounds at 5 GPa and 1473 K using a CONAC high-pressure chamber [3] contained around 94% methane and around 3% ethane/ethylene. The total amount of heavier hydrocarbons did not exceed 3% and was limited by trace amounts of C₅ and C₆ hydrocarbons. The hydrocarbon systems synthesized from CaCO₃ and water under more moderate pT conditions [36] contained heavier hydrocarbons (up to C₇). Mukhina, Kolesnikov, and Kutchever [36] did not provide the quantitative composition of gaseous products. However, the presented chromatograms reflected the broad variety of isomers of heavy hydrocarbons and demonstrated a substantial total amount of C₃+ hydrocarbons.

Due to the proximity to thermobaric conditions, the composition of hydrocarbon mixtures synthesized from CaCO₃ and water [3] may be compared with the results of experiment #6 in the current research: The methane-ethane heavier HC ratio slightly differs, while the composition of heavier hydrocarbons is also limited by C₅ components. At the same moment, the hydrocarbon systems #1 and #2 described in [36] are very close to system #1 in the current research. The intensity of the chromatogram peaks of heavy hydrocarbons in reference to the methane peak indicates an amount of heavy hydrocarbons similar to the experiments in the current investigation. Essentially, the chromatogram of experiment #2 described in [36] is close to the chromatogram of hydrocarbon products formed in experiment #1 (Figure 1). Regardless of the initial carbon compounds (CaCO₃ vs. Fe₃C), the composition of the hydrocarbon system is largely the same. Thus, the thermobaric conditions of the synthesis are the crucial factor. In contrast, the different...
levels of oxygen fugacity (IW buffer vs. Iron) do not provide a significant effect on the chemistry of the reaction [37], however, they may influence the yield of hydrocarbons in the product system [5].

There are obviously no grounds for reaching a conclusion about the linearity between the thermobaric parameters and the composition of hydrocarbon fluid due to uncertainties in pressure and temperature measurement. Moreover, the effect of pressure and temperature on the relative distribution of individual isomers of heavy hydrocarbons is not yet clear due to low concentrations. However, the existence of hydrocarbon fluid containing heavy hydrocarbons in the upper mantle is now clear. Longer exposure time and smaller pressure and temperature increments in the experiments may provide further information about these correlations in the future.

4.2. Chemical Mechanisms of the Formation of Hydrocarbons from Iron Carbide

The possibility of hydrocarbon formation from iron carbide was first mentioned by the authors of [22] during an investigation of hydrogen generation from iron carbide and steam under ambient pressure and temperature up to 1373 K. Hydrocarbons (CH$_4$ and C$_2$H$_6$) were detected at trace level during the second stage of hydrogen synthesis in the temperature range 873–993 K. These substances appeared to be unwanted products formed as a result of the interaction of carbon monoxide and hydrogen. Hydrocarbons were transformed into carbon dioxide during the third stage of the synthesis (993–1373 K), while hydrogen was the predominant reaction product.

The second stage of the process of hydrogen formation from iron carbide and steam under ambient pressure and high temperature experimentally confirms the ideas about the mechanism of abiogenic hydrocarbon formation [38,39]. The synthesis of complex hydrocarbon systems from donors of carbon and hydrogen proceeds through the formation of methane from carbon oxides and molecular hydrogen, the so-called Fischer–Tropsch type reaction [40]. This mechanism appears to be relevant for the formation of light hydrocarbons (mostly methane and ethane) from iron carbide under extreme thermobaric conditions. The formation of heavier hydrocarbons follows the growth of the carbon-carbon bonds via a radical mechanism [41]. A significant increase in pressure (up to several GPa) enhances the formation of hydrocarbons due to Le Chatelier’s principle. Thus, the higher pressure leads to heavier hydrocarbons in the system [42]. The chemical pathways of heavier hydrocarbon formation appear to be similar to those described in [26]. The synthesis of heavier hydrocarbons of both a normal and branched structure from lighter hydrocarbons is accompanied by simultaneous isomerization and cyclization. However, hydrocarbons of a cyclic structure were only presented by benzene in the system. Naphthenes were not detected in the product systems that might be explained by low concentrations of these compounds.

4.3. Oxidation of Iron Compounds in the Abiogenic Synthesis of Hydrocarbons

The formation of hydrocarbons from inorganic substances in the upper mantle is indispensably accompanied by the transformation of iron into oxidized forms: FeO, Fe$_2$O$_4$, or Fe$_3$O$_4$ [4,25,37,38,43], while FeO is preferable under higher pressures [43]. In the current investigation, Fe$_3$O$_4$ was detected in experiments at a pressure of 2.5 GPa (exp. #1 and #2), while FeO was detected at 2.5–4.5 GPa (experiments #2, #3, #4, #5, #6). The higher temperature at 2.5 GPa in exp. #2 resulted in a reduction of most Fe$_3$O$_4$ through its reaction with hydrogen or hydrocarbons in the system as a reversed process described in [43]:

$$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow 3\text{FeO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

An alternative explanation was the possible formation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ as a non-equilibrium product in the experiments at 2.5 GPa and 873–1023 K, while its formation was impossible at higher temperatures when the system more rapidly equilibrated.
5. Conclusions

The experimental results describe the formation of hydrocarbon fluid in the Fe$_3$C-H$_2$O system under a wide range of thermobaric conditions corresponding to depths of 80–180 km. It is possible to suggest the following pathway of the reaction:

$$\text{Fe}_3\text{C} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{FeO/Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + C_n\text{H}_{2n+2} + C_m\text{H}_{2m}$$

The synthesized hydrocarbon systems comprise normal and branched alkanes and benzene. Unsaturated hydrocarbons (ethylenes and acetylenes) detected at the trace level appear to be intermediate products of the reaction, thereby demonstrating the radical chemical pathway of the growth of carbon-carbon chains of the products. The fraction composition correlates with the pressure and temperature of the reaction: the yield of heavier hydrocarbons increases under higher pressure and constant temperature, while higher temperature and constant pressure enhance the amount of light components. This correlation provides insight into the composition of hydrocarbon fluid in different zones of the mantle at depths of 80–180 km (Figure 5). Complex hydrocarbon systems with a relatively high content of heavier hydrocarbons (“rich” systems), close to “wet” natural gas or gas condensate, are relevant to colder zones of the upper mantle. Hydrocarbon fluid, the composition of which corresponds to “dry” (methane-rich) natural gas, dominates in hotter regions of the mantle [44].

The experimental results presented in this paper broaden the knowledge of the formation of hydrocarbon fluid in the upper mantle and explicate the upward flow of the deep hydrocarbon cycle [7]. Iron carbide presented in the deep Earth’s interior or generated during subduction may serve as a donor of carbon for the abyssal abiogenic synthesis of hydrocarbons. The generated hydrocarbon fluid could migrate upwards to the Earth’s crust within the mantle plume [45], volcanic activity [46], or along a weakened surface of the subducted slab [36].
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