Reading and Misreading 8-oxoguanine, a Paradigmatic Ambiguous Nucleobase
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Abstract: 7,8-Dihydro-8-oxoguanine (oxoG) is the most abundant oxidative DNA lesion with dual coding properties. It forms both Watson–Crick (anti)oxoG:(anti)C and Hoogsteen (syn)oxoG:(anti)A base pairs without a significant distortion of a B-DNA helix. DNA polymerases bypass oxoG but the accuracy of nucleotide incorporation opposite the lesion varies depending on the polymerase-specific interactions with the templating oxoG and incoming nucleotides. High-fidelity replicative DNA polymerases read oxoG as a cognate base for A while treating oxoG:C as a mismatch. The mutagenic effects of oxoG in the cell are alleviated by specific systems for DNA repair and nucleotide pool sanitization, preventing mutagenesis from both direct DNA oxidation and oxodGMP incorporation. DNA translesion synthesis could provide an additional protective mechanism against oxoG mutagenesis in cells. Several human DNA polymerases of the X- and Y-families efficiently and accurately incorporate nucleotides opposite oxoG. In this review, we address the mutagenic potential of oxoG in cells and discuss the structural basis for oxoG bypass by different DNA polymerases and the mechanisms of the recognition of oxoG by DNA glycosylases and dNTP hydrolases.
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1. Introduction

Oxygen is an essential life element. At the same time, oxygen reacts with biomolecules and induces harmful effects on DNA. Produced both via endogenous (cellular metabolism) or exogenous (ionizing or UV radiation) reactions, reactive oxygen species (ROS) cause oxidative DNA damage.

Of all nucleobases, guanine has the lowest reduction potential and is the most vulnerable to ROS [1–5]. Among hundreds of oxidative stress-induced DNA lesions, 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-2′-deoxyguanine (oxoG) is one of the most abundant [6] (Figure 1). It can be generated by singlet oxygen (1O2, the electronically excited state of molecular oxygen which reacts preferentially with electron-rich G residues) [7] as well as by HO• and alkylperoxyl radicals [8]. Intermediates in reactions with purines induced by radicals (but not by singlet oxygen) can also be reduced, producing a series of formamidopyrimidine lesions [8,9]. OxoG can be formed both by direct oxidation of G base in DNA...
and by oxidation of dGTP or GTP in the nucleotide pool. It is estimated that in vivo $1-2 \times 10^3$ oxoG lesions form daily in a single normal human cell, and this rate could allegedly rise to $10^5$ in cancer cells [10–14]. The steady state level of oxoG in repair-proficient mammalian cells is about 0.3–4.2 per $10^6$ normal guanines [15,16]. Levels of oxoG are often used as a biomarker of oxidative damage and are considered a risk factor for degenerative diseases and cancer [17,18].

OxoG attracted much attention as a lesion with a high mutagenic potential, which comes from its dual coding properties. Since the N7 atom of oxoG is a hydrogen bond donor, this damaged base readily forms stable oxoG(syn):A(anti) Hoogsteen mispair leading to G:C→T:A transversions [19,20]. Moreover, oxoG itself is quite reactive toward ROS, its further oxidation yielding spiroiminodihydantoin and guanidinohydantoin, which direct misinsertion of A and G [21,22]. It was also shown that oxoG within DNA makes nearby DNA bases more prone to oxidation and represents a ‘hot spot’ of oxidative DNA damage [23,24].

Aerobic organisms have developed multilevel defense systems to mitigate the harmful effects of oxidative DNA damage. Sanitization of the nucleotide pool through oxodGTP hydrolysis to the nucleoside monophosphate, base excision repair (BER) and DNA translesion synthesis (TLS) are the major cellular protective mechanisms against oxoG. The first two mechanisms are functionally integrated in a specific pathway, called the GO system, specifically preventing oxoG-induced mutagenesis. DNA glycosylases OGG1 and MUTYH and dNTPase MTH1 are three major tiers of the GO system in human cells, and their counterparts Fpg (MutM), MutY, and MutT play the same role in bacteria. TLS is not restricted to protection against oxoG but is more universal, allowing the cells to faithfully replicate their DNA in the presence of a variety of lesions. In this review, we address the mutagenic potential of oxoG and summarize and overview BER and TLS pathways of cellular defense against oxoG. We discuss the structural basis for oxoG bypass by human DNA polymerases as well as the mechanisms of oxoG recognition by DNA repair enzymes.

Figure 1. Structure of oxoG and formation of Watson–Crick (WC) and Hoogsteen (HG) base pairs with A and C nucleobases. Red and green circles represent the sites of modification before and after oxidation, respectively. Brackets indicate the edge of oxoG which is exposed for H-bonding in syn or anti conformation. Dashed lines show H-bonds.
2. Miscoding Potential of oxoG

2.1. Misreading of oxoG by Replicative DNA Polymerases

A central role in DNA replication belongs to replicative B-family DNA polymerases α, δ and ε [25]. Pol δ and Pol ε operating on the lagging and leading DNA strands, respectively, are extraordinarily accurate. Average base substitution error rates of proofreading-proficient replicative DNA polymerases are typically $\geq 10^{-6}$ [26]. High-fidelity DNA polymerases incorporate nucleotides in strict accordance with the Watson–Crick (WC) base pairing rule. The geometry of their active site pockets assists proper alignment of an incoming nucleotide and enables the polymerase to accommodate only a correct base pair [27–29]. An intrinsic $3'\rightarrow 5'$ proofreading exonuclease activity of Pol δ and Pol ε increases the accuracy of DNA replication by 2–3 orders of magnitude [26,28].

Many common DNA lesions (such as an abasic site, thymine glycol and T’T cis-syn dimer) stall high-fidelity DNA polymerases [30–36]. In contrast, oxoG is not considered to be a blocking lesion, though the rate of DNA synthesis by high-fidelity DNA polymerases opposite oxoG is decreased and pausing of Pol δ in front of oxoG was observed [33,34,37–41]. High-fidelity polymerases bypass oxoG relatively efficiently in an error-prone manner. Pol α, Pol δ and Pol ε insert both dCMP and dAMP opposite oxoG (summarized in Table 1) [34,41–45]. High-fidelity DNA polymerases incorporate dAMP opposite oxoG more efficiently than dCMP in the absence of accessory proteins. Pol δ and Pol ε are more accurate than Pol α. Importantly, high-fidelity DNA polymerases preferentially extend the A:oxoG mispair (Table 1) promoting the fixation of mutations [41–43]. Following the subsequent round of replication, this mispair leads to a G:C->T:A transversion [19,20].

| Polymerase | Source | Efficiency of dNMPs Incorporation | Efficiency of oxoG:C and oxoG:A Extension |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Pol α      | human  | dAMP $\gg$ dCMP [39]             | oxoG:A $\gg$ oxoG:C [42]                 |
|            |        | dAMP $>$ dCMP [42]               |                                          |
|            |        | dAMP (10:1) w/o RPA and (2:1) w/ RPA [44] | oxoG:A $\gg$ oxoG:C [42] |
|            | bovine | dAMP $>$ dCMP (7:1) [42]         |                                          |
| Pol δ      | human  | dAMP $>$ dCMP w/ PCNA [41]       | oxoG:A $>$ oxoG:C w/ PCNA [41]          |
|            |        | dCMP $>$ dAMP (6:4) w/ PCNA [45] |                                          |
|            |        | dAMP $>$ dCMP (2:1) w/o PCNA and (3:1) w/ PCNA [44] | oxoG:A $>$ oxoG:C w/ PCNA [41] |
|            | bovine | dAMP $>$ dCMP (2:1) w/o PCNA and dCMP $>$ dAMP (3:1) with PCNA [43] | oxoG:A $>$ oxoG:C w/ PCNA and oxoG:A $\sim$ oxoG:C w/ PCNA [43] |
|            |        | dAMP $>$ dCMP (5:1) w/ PCNA [42] |                                          |
| Pol ε      | human  | dAMP $\sim$ dCMP with PCNA [34] |                                          |

"with PCNA", data for PCNA plus RPA. "~" indicates approximately equal efficiencies of incorporation.

2.2. OxoG-Induced Mutagenesis in Mammalian Cells

Despite the ambiguous coding properties, the rate of oxoG-induced mutagenesis in cells is relatively low. Several studies monitored the fate of oxoG placed at a specific site on a chromosome [46] or a double- or single-stranded shuttle plasmid [20,47–50] in mammalian cells and demonstrated that oxoG is restored to G in 86–99% of cell clones. These studies reflect efficient base excision repair (BER) of oxoG in the cell. However, BER is not able to remove all oxoG lesions and DNA polymerases encounter oxoG during replication or repair-associated DNA synthesis. Importantly, aphidicolin, an inhibitor of Pol α, Pol δ, and Pol ε, has a minor effect on the efficiency of oxoG bypass in cells suggesting that high-fidelity DNA polymerases are not essential for the DNA synthesis across oxoG [37]. TLS is an alternative mechanism of suppression of oxoG-induced mutagenesis in cells. This process relays on specialized DNA polymerases from X- and Y-families and is accurate in many cases.
BER deficiency leads to increased mutagenesis and associated pathologies in humans and model animals. An excess of the G:C→T:A transversions was reported for germline mutations occurring in double Mutyh<sup>−/−</sup> Ogg1<sup>−/−</sup> and triple Mutyh<sup>−/−</sup> Ogg1<sup>−/−</sup> Mth1<sup>−/−</sup> knock-out mice (MTH1, although not a BER enzyme, cooperates with BER in the pathway that protects cells from oxoG, see Section 3.1). These mice are viable and fertile but have a shorter lifespan and develop tumors of various types in 35–66% of animals [51,52].

The G:C→T:A type of mutation signature (Signature 36) [53] is also characteristics of a hereditary MUTYH-associated polyposis (MAP) syndrome, which confers a marked risk of colorectal cancer in patients with germline biallelic MUTYH mutations [53]. This signature reflects persistent oxoG:A mismatches and is also found in other types of human cancer (neuroblastoma, pancreas, breast and gastric cancers) suggesting a link between oxidative DNA damage and cancer etiology in these organs [53]. The G:C→T:A mutation rate is sequence-dependent. The preferential oxidation of the 5′-G is observed in the GR context (R, any purine), which is consistent with a reduced ionization potential of purine dinucleotides and a stacking-induced electron transfer [53–55]. Indeed, GAG→TAG and GAA→TAA nonsense mutations in APC and AMER1 tumor suppressor genes, as well as a characteristic GGT→TGT missense mutation (G12C) in KRAS proto-oncogene, were found in MAP syndrome patients [56]. The G:C→T:A type of mutation signature is the third most common somatic mutation in normal human aging [57], and promoter silencing in a set of genes with reduced expression in aging brain was attributed to accumulation of oxoG [58].

2.3. The Structural Basis of Ambiguous Coding Potential of oxoG

All high-fidelity DNA polymerases switch between an “open” binary complex with the primer–template, and a “closed” ternary complex with the incoming dNTP bound and the catalytic site, including two Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions, fully assembled. Remarkably, recent study utilizing time-resolved X-ray crystallography revealed three divalent metal ions in the active site of Pol η [59]; the third metal ion was observed also in Pol β [60]. Fidelity of all DNA polymerases critically depends on the geometry of the formed base pair, which should fit the restraints of the base-binding pocket, fully formed only in the closed state [61–63].

Structural studies of DNA duplexes containing oxoG [64–67] and high-fidelity DNA polymerases (Pol I from *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* and T7 DNA polymerase) in complexes with oxoG containing DNA and incoming nucleotides [68–70] revealed the mechanism of mutagenic oxoG bypass. The glycosidic bond of the oxoG adopts two alternate syn or anti conformations (Figure 1). The anti conformation gives rise to the correct WC base pairing with an incoming (anti)dCTP [65,67–69]. However, in *G. stearothermophilus* Pol I structure, oxoG in anti conformation induces template distortion to avoid a potential steric clash between the bulky O<sup>8</sup> atom and the O4′ atom of the 2-deoxyribose (Figure 2A). This template distortion results in the polymerase active site distortions that resemble those induced by mismatches in undamaged DNA [69]. Therefore, the (anti)oxoG:(anti)C base pair is recognized as a mismatch, which lead to low efficiency of dCMP incorporation and poor extension from the (anti)oxoG:(anti)C base pair.

In the syn conformation, oxoG functionally mimics thymine (T). Oxidation of G (at the C8 atom) induces the N7 atom protonation converting the oxoG HG edge with the incoming dATP nucleotide in the anti conformation generating a pair similar to (anti)T:(anti)A structurally. Since the pro-mutagenic (syn)oxoG:(anti)A mispair mimics the geometry of a correct base pair, it does not cause significant DNA helix and polymerase active site distortions and escapes proofreading (as seen in the *G. stearothermophilus* Pol I structure) [69].

At the same time, attempts to crystallize wild-type T7 DNA polymerase in the process of inserting dATP opposite oxoG resulted in the crystallization of a catalytically inactive open conformation of the polymerase lacking bound dATP [68]. The addition of high ddCTP concentration was required in
order to obtain high-quality crystals of the oxoG:ddCTP complex [68]. It was suggested that (1) oxoG binds less tightly to the T7 polymerase active site with than an undamaged base and/or base-pairing interactions between oxoG and the incoming nucleotide are less stable; (2) oxoG destabilizes the closed, active conformation of the polymerase [68]. The latter explanation is supported by the observation that the K536A mutant of T7 DNA polymerase allows oxoG to rotate to syn and close the fingers domain, increasing the error rate ~40-fold [70].

Figure 2. Interactions between the templating oxoG and incoming nucleotides in the active sites of different DNA polymerases. (A) Post-insertion complexes of G. stearothermophilus Pol I with the templating oxoG paired with dC (1U47) or dA (1U49) [69], (B) pre-insertion complexes of human Pol λ with the templating oxoG paired with dCTP (5III) and dATP (5IIJ) [71], (C) pre-insertion complexes of human Pol ι with the templating oxoG paired with dCTP (3Q8P) and dATP (3Q8Q) [72], (D) pre-insertion complexes of human Pol η with the templating oxoG paired with dCTP (4O3P) and dATP (4O3O) [73]. The database accession numbers are shown near each pair. The conformation of each nucleotide and the type of interactions are indicated: WC, Watson–Crick; HG, Hoogsteen. Atoms are colored as following: C (yellow), N (blue), O (red), P (orange). Yellow dashed lines indicate H-bonds between nucleobases or interaction with the enzyme amino acid. Double arrowed solid lines represent repulsive interactions. The distances (Å) are indicated.
Interestingly, while X-ray and NMR structures show that DNA with oxoG lesion appears virtually identical to the corresponding unmodified duplex and the oxoG:C has a normal high-affinity Watson–Crick base pairing arrangement, thermodynamic studies indicate that oxoG has a local destabilizing effect on DNA (destabilizes oxoG:C and 5′ flanking base pairs) [74,75]. The origin of this destabilization was attributed to the loss of a cation-binding site in the major groove (due to the replacement of an electronegative N7 with an electropositive N–H), reduction in the level of hydration and reduced base stacking [74] and to steric repulsion effects that propagate from O8 via the sugar pucker to the 3′-phosphate [75,76]. It is possible that differences in local DNA stability and its conformational dynamics could affect DNA synthesis efficiency across oxoG.

3. Recognition of oxoG by Genome Defense Systems

3.1. GO System: An Overview

Shortly after the discovery of oxoG and its mutagenicity, it was shown that E. coli cells possess three enzymes, Fpg (MutM), MutY, and MutT, that together prevent accumulation of oxoG in DNA [77–79]. Collectively, these enzymes are termed the “GO system”. Fpg removes oxoG from oxoG:C pairs, which are generated either by G oxidation in DNA or by incorporation of oxodGMP opposite to C by DNA polymerases [80–82]. If not repaired before replication, oxoG can direct dAMP misincorporation, and the removal of oxoG from the resulting oxoG:A mispair would immediately fix the G:C→A:T mutation (Figure 3A). To prevent such post-replicative mutagenesis, Fpg has a negligible activity on oxoG:A substrates, and these mispairs are instead processed by MutY, which excises the normal A base [83,84]. The repair DNA synthesis can then incorporate either dCMP or dAMP opposite oxoG; in the former case, the repair can be correctly conducted by Fpg, whereas in the latter case, the MutY/polymerase cycle can be repeated [77,78]. Like oxoG in DNA, oxodGTP also has ambiguous coding properties; it can be efficiently incorporated opposite A, and the MutY-initiated repair would lead to an A:T→C:G mutation [79,85] (Figure 3B). To prevent this, MutT hydrolyses oxodGTP and oxoGTP to monophosphates [86,87].

![Figure 3. OxoG-induced mutagenesis and the GO system. (A) oxoG can appear in DNA through oxidation of G (1) and direct misinsertion of A during replication (2); if not repaired, oxoG:A mispair produces T:A after the second round of replication. OxoG can be removed by Fpg/OGG1 from oxoG:C before the replication (4) and the subsequent BER steps restore the G:C pair (5). Alternatively, after the replication, A can be removed from oxoG:A (6) allowing the repair DNA polymerases to insert either C or A opposite to oxoG (7). (B) oxoG can also appear in DNA through incorporation from oxodGTP. If this occurs opposite to A (1), the repair by MutY/MUTYH (2) is mutagenic, causing a T:A-to-G:C transversion (3). MutT/MTH1 hydrolyzes oxodGTP and intercepts this pathway at the beginning.](https://example.com/figure3.png)
Higher eukaryotes, including humans, have a fully operational GO system. MUTYH and MTH1 (NUDT1) proteins are sequence homologs and functional analogs of MutY and MutT, respectively [88–91]. OGG1 is a functional analog of Fpg, although these two enzymes lack sequence homology and are not structurally similar [92–94]. However, some eukaryotes such as baker’s yeast and Drosophila lack MutY homologs and have to rely on the mismatch repair system instead to recognize oxoG:A mismatches [95].

3.2. DNA Glycosylases Recognizing and Excising oxoG

3.2.1. Fpg

*E. coli* Fpg protein has been extensively characterized as a DNA glycosylase that excises oxoG and many other oxidatively damaged or otherwise modified purine and pyrimidine lesions from DNA [80–82,96]. However, this wide specificity is mostly observed on duplex oligonucleotide substrates, and Fpg specificity in high-molecular-weight DNA is limited to oxoG, 2,6-diamino-4-hydroxy-5-formamidopyrimidine (FapyG) and its N5-methylated derivative, and 4,6-diamino-5-formamidopyrimidine (FapyA) [82,97]. Since Fapy and 8-oxo purine products originate from the common primary oxidation intermediate [9], and since the mutagenic spectra of fpg strains are consistent with oxoG miscoding properties [79,98], oxoG and possibly Fapy bases seem to be natural Fpg substrates, and the other reported specificities are likely spurious.

The structures of Fpg from *E. coli* [99], *Thermus thermophilus* [100], *Lactococcus lactis* [101], and *G. stearothermophilus* [102] defined a novel structural superfamily characterized by the presence of two conserved DNA-binding motifs, the helix–two-turn–helix (H2TH) motif and a single Cysβ4-hairpin zinc finger (some eukaryotic homologs possess a “zincless finger”, a structurally identical hairpin lacking the zinc binding site). As most other DNA glycosylases, Fpg flips the damaged nucleotide out of the helix and binds it in a dedicated lesion-recognition pocket. Unfortunately, this pocket is disordered in many Fpg–DNA crystals that lack the damaged base, so only a few structures are available to analyze the determinants of oxoG specificity, and even these are discordant. Several *G. stearothermophilus* Fpg structures were solved using a catalytically inactive E2Q mutant [103–105], showing oxoG in the syn orientation, with a 220-TVRTY224 loop encircling O6 of oxoG and forming a “crown” of four main chain amines, any two of which can donate hydrogen bonds to O6. The Watson–Crick edge of oxoG is additionally locked in place by Oγ[Thr223]… N1[oxoG], N2[oxoG]… Oγ[Thr223], and N2[oxoG]… Oε2[Glu77] hydrogen bonds. The damaged base selectivity is provided by the oxoG pyrrolic N7 donating a hydrogen bond to Ser219 main-chain carbonyl, whereas O8 does not appreciably contribute to interactions distinguishing G from oxoG (Figure 4A). Surprisingly, in the crystals of wild-type *L. lactis* Fpg bound to DNA containing 1′-4′-carba-FapyG or N5-benzyl-1′-4′-carba-FapyG, which are uncleavable due to a methylene group substituting for O4′, the damaged base is rotated almost 180°, residing in the anti-orientation [106,107] (Figure 4B). Even more surprisingly, the crown around O6 is formed by the homologous loop both in the syn and the anti-orientation [106,108]. Yet another orientation, in the middle of the syn range and almost orthogonal to those discussed above, is observed in *L. lactis* Fpg bound to DNA containing 1′-4′-carba-oxoG [109]. Here, the distinguishing interaction seems to be the N[Pro1]… O8[oxoG] hydrogen bond, and O6 is not fixed.
Figure 4. Recognition of oxidative lesions by 8-oxoguanine-DNA glycosylases. (A) G. stearothermophilus Fpg recognizing oxoG in the syn orientation (1R2Y) [103]. (B) L. lactis Fpg recognizing FapyG in the anti-orientation (1XC8) [106]. (C) human OGG1 recognizing oxoG in the anti-orientation (1EBM) [110]. Only the damaged nucleotide and the residues in its immediate vicinity are shown. Numbers indicate the distances between putative hydrogen bond donors and acceptors; note that only two of the possible four hydrogen bonds with O6 (A, B) can exist at any given moment.

The discrepancies between the crystal structures prompted several molecular dynamics investigations of the Fpg pre-catalytic complex [108,111–114]. Based on their results, the high syn orientation of oxoG in G. stearothermophilus Fpg structures seems to be an artifact due to the E2Q mutation that disrupts an oxanion hole and disturbs the H2TH motif. The high anti orientation seems to be more relevant.

The structures and models of Fpg proteins from different species also reveal the basis of the strong “C-versus-A opposite-base” discrimination. An absolutely conserved Arg residue is inserted into the space vacated by the everted oxoG and donates two hydrogen bonds to O2 and N3 of the orphaned C [99,101,102]. While G or T are structurally tolerated as the bases opposite to oxoG [102], and oxoG is removed from such mispairs quite efficiently [81,115]. A opposite to oxoG is unstable and tends to be expelled from the helix, destabilizing the whole complex [108].

3.2.2. OGG1

Eukaryotic oxoG glycosylase OGG1 shares neither sequence homology nor structural similarity with Fpg, yet the structural specificities of both enzymes coincide almost perfectly [116]. OGG1 belongs to the helix–hairpin–helix (HhH) superfamily, defined by the presence of the HhH motif and a “loop” (rather, an extended stretch) rich in Gly, Pro and Val and carrying a catalytic Asp residue [117,118]. The second catalytic residue is Lys (Lys249 in human OGG1) located at the beginning of the second helix in the HhH motif, whose ε-amino group is the nucleophile in the reaction [119].

The structure of human OGG1 K249Q catalytic mutant bound to oxoG:C-containing DNA have been solved [110,120] and clearly reveals that the main structural determinant of oxoG recognized by the enzyme in the pre-catalytic complex is the pyrrolic N7 rather than the exocyclic O8. The main-chain carbonyl of Gly42 accepts a hydrogen bond from N7, while O8 points into a hydrophobic pocket essentially devoid of hydrogen bond-forming capabilities (Figure 4C). The oxoG base remains in the anti-orientation and is sandwiched between the side chains of aromatic Phe319 and Cys253, which, as suggested by QM/MM calculations, likely exists as a thiolate anion [120]. The Watson–Crick edge is recognized by Gln315 through two direct hydrogen bonds and a water bridge. Replacement of Cys253 or Gln315 with bulkier side chains strongly interferes with oxoG excision but affects the abasic site cleavage to a much lower degree [121,122]. Given that the electric dipoles of G and oxoG are almost opposite, and that 7-deazaguanine and 7-deaza-8-azaguanine cannot form bonds to Gly42 but still efficiently enter the active site [120], it seems that stabilization of oxoG in the active site pocket is not limited to hydrogen bonding but to a significant degree relies on hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions with the enzyme. Interestingly, the excited oxoG is retained in the pocket, keeping the same pattern of interactions, and may participate in the following reaction steps as a general base [123].
Besides human OGG1, structures of two bacterial enzymes from the same family are known. OGG1 from *Clostridium acetobutylicum* is solved in the apo form, as well as with oxoG deoxynucleotide and oxoG:C-containing DNA bound [124,125], and recognizes oxoG in exactly the same way as human protein does. OGG1 from another species, *Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis*, has been solved only with abasic site-containing DNA [126], but since all oxoG-recognizing residues are conserved in this protein, the mode of lesion recognition is expected to be identical.

### 3.2.3. Dynamic oxoG Recognition

An extended series of structures of Fpg [102–105,127–131] and OGG1 [110,120,121,123,132–138], together with molecular dynamic simulation of conformational transition between these intermediates [139–142], and stopped-flow studies [115,122,140,143–150] produced a multistep oxoG recognition model that turned out to be surprisingly similar for these two enzymes despite their structural dissimilarity. Both Fpg and OGG1 kink DNA by 40°–50° and insert an aromatic wedge (Phe or Tyr) into the base stack, causing the sampled base pair to buckle. This distortion is tolerated by G:C pairs without breaking the complementary bonding but less stable oxoG:C pairs open, and oxoG flips out of the duplex. Extrusion through both the minor and major grooves has been considered, with the major-groove path appearing energetically more favorable [120,139,141]. Along the eversion trajectory, oxoG, through its distinguishing N7–C8 edge, forms specific transient intermediates both with protein residues and with DNA phosphates near the lesion. These stabilized conformers favor oxoG eversion and essentially prohibit extrahelical states for G. Even if G is flipped out, its most stable extrahelical conformer puts it into a so-called “exo site”, an alternative base-binding site in the enzyme molecule, where the C1’ atom is inaccessible for the reaction chemistry. Thus, both Fpg and OGG1 selectively destabilize the intrahelical position of oxoG and stabilize its extrahelical conformers while destabilizing extrahelical G. Combined, this produces >10^6-fold selectivity in favor of oxoG.

An intriguing possibility of indirect remote oxoG detection by MutY was suggested based on the sensitivity of its [Fe_4S_4]^2+ (FeS) cluster to the electron-deficient DNA stretches [151–155]. MutY with the oxidized FeS cluster binds DNA much more tightly than the non-oxidized form, and it has been proposed that, upon DNA binding, MutY can eject an electron into the base stack, where it could be intercepted by an oxidized base or by another bound MutY molecule. This would rapidly concentrate MutY molecules at oxidized regions of DNA, ready to engage in the repair. The biological relevance of this model remains to be elucidated.

### 3.3. NUDIX Domain: A Deceitful Similarity

#### 3.3.1. MutT and MTH1 (NUDT1)

As an antimutator, the *MutT* gene may be the most important member of the GO system, since its inactivation produces the largest increase in the mutation rate, far exceeding the effect of Fpg or MutY deficiencies [79]. Cloning of *E. coli* MutT pyrophosphohydrolase and many homologous sequences defined a large superfamily of enzymes hydrolyzing NUcleoside DiPhosphates linked to other (X) moieties (NUDIX) and, in addition to the nucleotide pool sanitization, operating in many metabolic and signaling pathways [156,157]. All NUDIX enzymes possess a highly conserved motif, GX5EX7RE(I/L/V)XEXG(I/L/V), encompassing the divalent cation (Mg^{2+} or Mn^{2+}) binding site and the catalytic site. The human homolog, MTH1 (or NUDT1), belongs to the same superfamily but forms a cluster separate from bacterial MutT.

The structure of *E. coli* MutT was determined both by X-ray crystallography and by NMR, but there is a large discrepancy between these data regarding the binding site for oxoG in the structure of MutT. According to the crystal structure of *E. coli* MutT bound to oxoGMP [158], the damaged nucleotide is bound in the *syn* conformation in a deep pocket inside the protein, with oxoG specifically recognized by the Asn119 side chain forming bonds to N7 and O^6_ (Figure 5A). The Watson–Crick edge of oxoG is locked in place by the main chain amide and carbonyl of Phe35 engaging O^6_ , N1,
and N². The catalytic divalent cation (Mn²⁺ in the structure) is coordinated by the Gly37 main-chain carbonyl, Glu56 side-chain carboxyl, and the α phosphate. In the structure of a MutT homolog from *Rhodospirillum rubrum* in a complex with ADP (PDB ID 3R03, unpublished), the syn nucleoside is bound in the same pocket but is not inserted as deeply as oxoGMP in *E. coli* structures. In contrast, four sets of conformers of MutT/oxoGMP identified by NMR [159] map the oxidized nucleotide loosely bound at different places at the surface, with scarce interactions with the enzyme; a similar situation is observed with α,β-methylene-ATP as a ligand [160]. The much better nucleotide coordination and the similarity with the structure of the human enzyme (see below) indicate that the crystal structure but not the NMR structures faithfully describes the MutT/substrate complex. Molecular dynamics studies [161] suggest that the high specificity of MutT for the damaged dNTP (k(sp oxoG/k(sp G) ~6000 [162]) is partially due to a change in the enzyme conformation upon substrate binding that is more favorable for oxodGTP than for dGTP.
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**Figure 5.** Recognition of oxoG by NUDIX domain enzymes. (A) *E. coli* MutT recognizing oxoGMP in the syn conformation (3A6T) [158]. (B) *human* MTH1 recognizing oxoGTP in the anti conformation (5GHI) [163]. Numbers indicate the distances between putative hydrogen bond donors and acceptors; in (B), O⁶ of oxoG is suggested to be protonated, and either O⁶ or N1 can form a hydrogen bond at any given moment. (C) Overlay of *G. stearothermophilus* MutY bound to DNA containing an A:oxoG pair (green; 1RRQ) [164] and *E. coli* MutT (cyan; 3A6T) illustrating conservation of the MutT’s oxoG-binding pocket in the structure of MutY. The DNA backbone is schematically shown as a brown line.

Unexpectedly for a seemingly important substrate-recognizing residue, Asn119 is not conserved in the MutT family (changed to Asp in many sequences) and the MTH1 family uniformly contains Asp in this position. Also, Phe35 is conserved in MutT but replaced with Gly in MTH1 sequences. The structures of MTH1 from several vertebrate species, including humans, bound to a variety of modified nucleotides, revealed a binding site quite similar to MutT but a surprisingly different mode of oxoG recognition [163,165–168]. In the active site pocket, the sugar of oxoGTP is flipped ~180°...
relative to the MutT structure, and the damaged base is anti, coplanar with oxoG base in MutT but orthogonal to it in this plane. The key amino acid residues appear to be Asp119 and Asp120 (the latter is homologous to Asn119 of E. coli MutT), which coordinate O\(^6\), N1, and N2, and Asn33 forming bonds to N7 and N3 of oxoG (Figure 5B). Such an arrangement requires one of the Asp residues to be protonated and/or the oxoG base to switch from the 6-keto to the 6-enol tautomter. No oxoG-specific interactions are obvious; a water molecule is observed at the Hoogsteen edge coordinated by N7 and O\(^6\) but equally capable of bond formation with either G or oxoG. In fact, the oxoG-vs-G specificity of MTH1 is much lower compared to MutT, with \(k_{sp \text{ oxoG}}/k_{sp \text{ G}} \approx 14\ [169].\) On the other hand, the Asp-Asp mechanism with variable protonation states could account for the wide substrate specificity of MTH1, which, in addition to oxodGTP/oxoGTP, efficiently hydrolyzes ribo- and deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates of 8-oxoadenine, 2-oxoadenine, O\(^6\)-methylguanine, and 8-chloroguanine [168–171]. It seems that MTH1 would be more accurately described as a general purine dNTP pool sanitization enzyme, rather than an oxoG-specific one.

### 3.3.2. MutY and MUTYH

MutY was discovered as an adenine glycosylase specific for A:G and A:C mispairs [172–175] and was later found to prefer A:oxoG substrates [77,84,176]. Limited proteolysis revealed that MutY can be easily separated in two domains, a large N-terminal catalytic domain that recognizes A opposite G and oxoG equally well and a small C-terminal one that increases the specificity for oxoG by about tenfold [176,177]. After the C-terminal domain was discovered to possess limited sequence homology to MutT and share the same fold as MutT [178,179] it was suggested that the mechanisms of oxoG recognition by MutT and MutY are also the same. However, when the structure of full-length MutY from *G. stearothermophilus* became available [164,180] it was immediately clear that the position of the oxoG base relative to the C-terminal domain is very different from that in MutT/MTH1 (Figure 5C).

In MutY, oxoG is stacked to the Tyr wedge (Tyr88 in *G. stearothermophilus* MutY, Tyr82 in the *E. coli* enzyme), and donates a hydrogen bond from its N7 to O\(^\gamma\) [Ser308], which in turn is hydrogen-bonded to O\(^\text{Phe307}\). If G is present instead of oxoG, Ser308 could donate a hydrogen bond to N7 but the O\(^\gamma\) [Ser308] . . . O\(^\text{Phe307}\) would be lost, making G a less favorable base at this position. O\(^8\) of oxoG is pressed against the π-system of Phe307.

Intriguingly, a superposition of MutT and MutY NUDIX domains reveals that the deep pocket for oxoG binding is still present in MutY, albeit lined with different amino acid side chains. The pocket is conveniently located to bind oxoG flipped out of DNA (Figure 5C). Although such conformation is not observed in the static structure, in a stopped-flow study with full-length *E. coli* MutY and a fluorescent base reporter [181] it was suggested that both the target A and the opposite oxoG could flip out. MutY can be cross-linked to DNA through oxidized oxoG or photocrosslinked through BrG [181,182], raising the possibility that at least transient eversion of oxoG takes place during its recognition by the NUDIX domain of MutY.

### 3.3.3. Other NUDIX Domain Enzymes

In addition to MutT/MTH1, oxoG-containing nucleotides were reported to be substrates for human ADP-ribose diphosphatase NUDT5 [183,184], mycobacterial diadenosine hexaphosphate hydrolase MutT1 [185,186], *Caenorhabditis elegans* asymmetrical bis(5'-nucleosyl)-tetraphosphatase NDX-4 [187], *Bacillus subtilis* apyrase YtkD [188], *Drosophila* diphosphoinositol-polyphosphate diphosphatase Aps [189], yeast coenzyme A pyrophosphatase Pcd1p [190] and mammalian dNTP hydrolases MTH2 (NUDT15) [191,192] and MTH3 (NUDT18) [193], all belonging to the NUDIX superfamily. Some of these enzymes also hydrolyze oxodGDP and oxoG-containing ribonucleotides. The biological significance of these reactions is unclear, since other substrates for them are also described, often processed more efficiently than oxoG nucleotides. The crystal structures with oxoG nucleotides have been reported for NUDT5 [184] and MutT1 [194]. NUDT5 is a dimeric protein, in which oxodGDP and oxodGMP adopt a totally different conformation compared with MutT/MTH1, lying at the dimer
interface in a cleft between the NUDIX domain and the N-terminal β-sheet domain of one subunit and the N-terminal domain of the other subunit. The base is sandwiched between two Trp side chains belonging to different subunits, and no oxoG-specific bonds are formed [184]. MutT1 displays oxoG nucleotide binding at three different sites in the crystal, one corresponding to the MutT/MTH1 binding pocket, and two others at the interface between two molecules in the crystallographic unit (likely not physiological). In the binding pocket, oxodGTP is oriented differently from either MutT or MTH1 conformation; the base is squeezed between two Tyr side chains, and both N7 and O8 are recognized by well-coordinated water molecules [194]. This configuration is probably more specific for oxoG than a single water molecule found at the Hoogsteen edge of MTH1, although MutT1 degrades oxodGTP and dGTP equally well [185].

Overall, the available structures unambiguously show that NUDIX domains, despite their very high structural similarity and reasonable sequence homology in various proteins, can engage in very different modes of oxoG binding, and should not be regarded as specific oxoG-recognizing modules.

4. Translesion Synthesis Past oxoG

To prevent oxoG-induced mutagenesis, the replication machinery switches from high-fidelity B-family DNA polymerases to specialized X-family and Y-family DNA polymerases, which efficiently and often accurately bypass oxoG. X-family enzymes also function in eukaryotic BER, and, in particular, are important for the insertion opposite oxoG in the GO system.

4.1. X-family DNA Polymerases

4.1.1. DNA Polymerase β

DNA polymerase β is the main BER polymerase in mammals [195,196]. Studies suggest that Pol β has a slight preference to incorporate dCMP opposite oxoG [42,197–199] (Table 2). This fidelity, however, is still higher than in replicative DNA polymerases (Table 1). Also, Pol β extends primers with the 3’-terminal C paired with oxoG ~15-fold more efficiently than those with 3’-terminal A [200].

Pol β complexes with template oxoG and incoming dNTPs are extensively characterized by X-ray crystallography [199,200,213–216] and by molecular dynamics [217,218]. As in high-fidelity polymerases, Pol β switches between an open and a closed state upon dNTP binding. This is accompanied by a closing movement of the thumb subdomain, with the αN helix stacking against the plane of the template base and the incoming dNTP’s base, and its Asn279 and Arg283 residues locking the base-binding pocket through interactions within the minor groove. In the binary complex, the template oxoG does not have a single conformation and is observed both in anti and in syn. As expected, binding dCTP confines oxoG to the anti conformation. Unlike in replicative polymerases, the template nucleotide’s phosphate does not tightly interact with Pol β, allowing the phosphate to move away to relieve the clash with O8 while avoiding mismatch-like active site distortion. On the other hand, the incoming dATP fixes oxoG in the promutagenic syn conformation, additionally stabilized by an O8[oxoG]…Nη[Arg283] interaction. This contact is unique to oxoG(syn) and not observed with non-damaged guanine or oxoG(anti); in these latter cases, Arg283 interacts only with the nucleotide upstream of the templating nucleotide. However, the incoming dATP also have a tendency to stack over oxoG(anti), mimicking the A:G mismatch and preventing the thumb subdomain from closing. This configuration is observed in several Pol β structures where the closed conformation is even slightly destabilized, for example, by lacking the 3’-OH in the primer or by the R283K mutation [199,213]. Indeed, Pol β R298K shows decreased efficiency but increased fidelity for incorporation opposite oxoG [199]. Steered molecular dynamics suggests that closing on the oxoG(anti):dCTP is ~2.4 kcal/mol more energetically favorable compared to oxoG(syn):dATP, mostly due to destabilizing interactions of the latter with Tyr271 [217,218]. The structures reflecting the extension of primers with C or A 3’-ends opposite oxoG, together with pre-steady-state kinetic studies, showed that a significant fraction of the next incoming dNTP docks in a catalytically unsuitable position at an angle with the template base,
and is slowly equilibrated with the catalytically proficient coplanar orientation. This fraction is more abundant for the 3′-A primers, explaining their poorer extension efficiency [200].

Table 2. Activities of human X- and Y-family DNA polymerases opposite oxoG in vitro.

| Polymerase | Efficiency of oxoG Bypass | Efficiency of dNMPs Incorporation | Efficiency of oxoG:C and oxoG:A Extension |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Pol β      | ++ [42,44]                | dCMP > dAMP (4:1) [42]          | oxoG:C >> oxoG:A (15:1) [200]           |
|            |                           | dCMP > dAMP (2:1) w/o PCNA [44,197,198] |                                          |
|            |                           | dCMP > dAMP (3:1) with PCNA [44] |                                          |
|            |                           | dCMP – dAMP [201]               |                                          |
| Pol λ      | +++ [71,198,202]          | dCMP > dAMP (12:1) w/o PCNA and (1200:1) with PCNA [44] | oxoG:C >> oxoG:A [71,202]               |
|            |                           | dAMP > dAMP [201]               |                                          |
|            |                           | dCMP – dAMP [198]               |                                          |
|            |                           | dCMP < dAMP (1:2 to 1:4) [71,202] |                                          |
| Pol ι      | + [203]                   | dCMP > dTMP (4:2:1) [203]       | oxoG:C > oxoG:T [204]                   |
|            | ++ [204,205]              | dAMP > dAMP (2.5:1) w/o PCNA and (68:1) with PCNA [44] | oxoG:A > oxoG:C [204]                   |
|            |                           | dCMP > dTMP >> dAMP [206]       |                                          |
|            |                           | dCMP > dCMP (2:1) w/o PCNA and (5:1) with PCNA [44] | oxoG:T > oxoG:C [206]                   |
|            |                           | dCMP > dCMP (6:1) >> dAMP > dTMP |                                          |
|            |                           | [Makarova A.V., unpublished]    |                                          |
| Pol η      | +++ [207,208]             | dCMP – dAMP (2:2:1) [208]       | oxoG:C – oxoG:A [208]                   |
|            |                           | dCMP > dAMP (2.5:1) w/o PCNA and (68:1) with PCNA [44] | oxoG:C – oxoG:A [73]                   |
|            |                           | dCMP – dAMP [209]               |                                          |
|            |                           | dCMP >> dAMP [207]              |                                          |
|            |                           | dCMP > dAMP (4:1) [73]          |                                          |
|            |                           | dAMP >> dAMP [72]               |                                          |
| Pol κ      | ++ [38,210]               | dAMP > dCMP [72,211]            | oxoG:T – oxoG:C > oxoG:A [208]          |
|            | +++ [211]                 | dAMP >> dCMP (16:3) [38]        | oxoG:C – oxoG:A [38]                    |
|            |                           | dAMP >> dCMP (4–10:1) [210]     |                                          |
|            |                           | dAMP > dCMP (3:1) [212]         |                                          |
|            |                           | dAMP >> dCMP [201]              |                                          |

+, low efficiency. ++, moderate efficiency. +++ , high efficiency. “with PCNA”, data for PCNA plus RPA.

4.1.2. DNA Polymerase λ

As noted above, oxoG in DNA has high mutagenic potential by mispairing with A. Post-replicative oxoG:A mispairs are removed by BER in a MutY/MUTYH-dependent fashion. Unlike OGG1-initiated BER that requires Pol β, Pol λ seems to be involved in the MUTYH-initiated BER, which preferably proceeds through the long-patch pathway [41,44,50,219–221].

The efficiencies of incorporation of dCMP opposite to oxoG and undamaged G and of dAMP opposite to oxoG by Pol λ are comparable, and misincorporation can occur [71,198,202] (Table 2). However, Pol λ extends from C:oxoG ten- to twentyfold better than from A:oxoG [71,202] and can catalyze the excision of dAMP base-paired to oxoG by pyrophosphorolysis [222], thus adding to the specificity of the GO system. In the presence of RPA and PCNA, Pol λ is by far the most faithful pol in bypassing oxoG, incorporating dCMP 1,200-fold more efficiently than dAMP [44].

A recently solved series of crystal structures of Pol λ fully characterize error-free oxoG bypass [71]. The structure that mimics the initial DNA-binding step surprisingly reveals that Pol λ accommodates the oxoG strictly in the pro-mutagenic syn conformation, stabilized by Tyr505 and Arg517. Binding of oxoG in the anti conformation would lead to a clash of O8 with the 5′-phosphate [71]. This sharply contrasts the dual oxoG accommodation mode characteristic of Pol β [214]. However, in the crystal structures of Pol λ with bound dNTPs, the active site allows formation of both oxoG(anti):C and oxoG(syn):A pairs, the former geometrically similar to canonical Watson–Crick base pairs, the latter making oxoG to protrude into a solvent-exposed DNA major groove. Thus, Pol λ can place oxoG in the catalytically competent conformation with both incoming dCTP and dATP without significant structure distortion, which could partially explain its low C-vs-A insertion specificity. On the contrary,
the structures that mimic the extension step show that the Glu529 residue in the SD2 region of the thumb domain forms a specific hydrogen bond with oxoG\textit{(anti)} and selectively stabilizes C:oxoG\textit{(anti)} while destabilizing A:oxoG\textit{(syn)} terminal pairs. Mutations at Glu529 do not affect polymerization kinetics on undamaged substrates, whereas Pol λ E529A shows decreased catalytic efficiency of extension from C:oxoG and enhanced extension from A:oxoG [71].

Consistent with a role of Pol λ in the oxoG bypass, mammalian cells deficient in Pol λ display reduced TLS activity past oxoG and increased mutagenesis [41,50,223] (Table 3). Remarkably, the G:C→T:A transversion rate is not affected in these cells, whereas G:C→C:G mutations [41], small deletions [41,50] as well as G:C→A:T mutations at untargeted G:C sites [223] were reported. It is likely that in the absence of Pol λ, other polymerase(s) bypass oxoG in the MUTYH-initiated BER pathway, preventing G:C→T:A transversions but promoting other types of mutations.

**Table 3. Activities of human X- and Y-family DNA polymerases opposite oxoG in shuttle plasmid in mammalian cells.**

| Polymerase | Role in oxoG-Induced Mutagenesis in Cells |
|------------|------------------------------------------|
| Pol λ      | prevents oxoG-induced mutagenesis but knockdown does not affect G:C→T:A transversions rate [41,50,223] |
| Pol η      | prevents oxoG-induced mutagenesis [37,224,225] |
| Pol ι      | downregulation is associated with increased cell sensitivity to oxidative damage [226] but has no effect on oxoG-induced mutagenesis [225] |
| Pol κ      | knockdown decreases oxoG-induced mutagenesis [227] |

4.2. Y-family DNA Polymerases

Y-family Pol ι, Pol η, Pol κ and B-family Pol ζ play a crucial role in replication through non-bulky DNA lesions in human cells [reviewed in [228–231]]. Pol ι, Pol η, and Pol κ lack strict structural requirements to the DNA template. These polymerases efficiently incorporate nucleotides opposite a variety of DNA lesions and act as “polymerases-inserters” [230]. According to the two-polymerase model, elongation from primer termini paired with damaged nucleobases is carried out by a “polymerase-extender” Pol ζ [232,233]. Pol ι, Pol η, and Pol κ efficiently bypass oxoG in vitro (Table 2) and possibly contribute to the TLS past oxoG in vivo.

4.2.1. DNA Polymerase ι

Pol ι has a very low accuracy of DNA synthesis [234,235]. Remarkably, Pol ι preferably incorporates dGMP opposite templates T and U. It was suggested that the unique misinsertion specificity of Pol ι may decrease the mutagenic potential of deaminated C [204]. Pol ι bypasses a variety of DNA lesions with different accuracies and efficiencies [reviewed in [228]]. Like Pol β and Pol λ, Pol ι also possesses the dRP-lyase activity [236,237].

Pol ι preferably incorporates complementary dCMP opposite oxoG, though the efficiency of nucleotide incorporation is decreased (Table 2) [44,72,203]. Interestingly, two studies reported preferential incorporation of dGMP opposite oxoG [204,206]. It is likely, that the accuracy of oxoG bypass is sequence-dependent.

The specific translesion activities of Pol ι are determined by a unique narrow active site. The short C1′–C1′ distance between templating and incoming nucleotides (< 9 Å) interferes with the formation of canonical WC base pairs (required ~10 Å C1′–C1′ distance) [238–240]. When replicating opposite template purines, Pol ι uses HG base pairing to fit the nascent base pair in the active site [238,239,241,242]. Purines are fixed in the \textit{syn}-conformation even if WC pairing is energetically more favorable (e.g., WC \textit{(anti)}G:\textit{(anti)}dCMP pair forms three H-bonds while HG \textit{(syn)}G:\textit{(anti)}dCMP pair forms two H-bonds and requires protonation of the incoming dCTP) [205,239]. HG interactions allow Pol ι to
efficiency bypass DNA lesions blocking the WC base pairs formation such as 1,N6-etheno-A and N2-G adducts [reviewed in [228]].

Like undamaged G, oxoG preferentially adopts the syn-conformation in the active site of Pol ι. Importantly, the incoming dATP and dGTP are also forced to adopt the syn-conformation to fit in the narrow active site and form only one H-bond with oxoG (Figure 2C). The smaller incoming pyrimidine dTTP and dCTP adopt the anti conformation but only dCTP forms the strong linear H-bond network with the HG edge of oxoG (Figure 2C) resulting in relatively efficient and precise lesion bypass [72].

It was also shown that the Q59 residue influences nucleotide selection and bypass efficiency opposite oxoG [72]. The repulsion between the O8 atom of oxoG and the OE1 atom of Q59 results in a tilting of the oxoG base by 30° towards the major groove of DNA. This partially destabilizes the syn-conformation of oxoG and possibly explains decreased efficiency of DNA synthesis opposite oxoG compared with undamaged G. The Q59A substitution increases the efficiency of nucleotide incorporation opposite oxoG but reduces the accuracy nucleotide incorporation opposite the lesion. It is likely that the Q59A substitution enlarges the active site cavity which promotes the binding of dATP in the anti conformation [72].

The role of Pol ι in TLS opposite oxoG is not established yet. Pol ι is recruited to the sites of oxidative DNA damage and downregulation of Pol ι is associated with increased cell sensitivity to oxidative damage in human MRC5-SV fibroblasts [226] suggesting that Pol ι might play a protective role against the oxoG-induced mutagenesis in cells. However, downregulation of Pol ι in 293T cells has no effect on the oxoG induced mutagenesis in shuttle plasmid with the site-specific oxoG lesion [225] (Table 3). Additional studies with single POLI knockouts and double POLI/OGG1, POLI/POLL and POLI/POLH knockouts are required to reveal the role of Pol ι in TLS past oxoG.

4.2.2. DNA Polymerase η

Eukaryotic Pol η effectively bypasses cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers with relatively high accuracy and plays a key role in cell protection against UV-induced mutagenesis [243,244]. In vitro Pol η replicates through a wide range of DNA lesions including oxoG [44,73,207–209,245–249] (Table 2).

In yeast, Pol η suppresses the ogg1-dependent mutagenesis [207,250,251] and inserts complementary dCMP opposite oxoG [207,209,252]. Human cells deficient in Pol η also show the increased level of the oxoG-induced mutagenesis [37,224,225] though Pol η was found not to be essential for oxoG bypass [37]. Importantly, the levels of the oxoG-induced mutagenesis in the single Pol η-deficient and Pol ζ-deficient and double Pol η-Pol ζ deficient cells are similar suggesting that two DNA polymerases work in the same TLS pathway [225].

Human Pol η preferably incorporates dCMP opposite oxoG in vitro in many studies (Table 2) [44,73,207] while some studies reported that human Pol η is less accurate opposite oxoG than yeast enzyme (Table 2) [72,208,209]. It is likely that the spectrum of nucleotide incorporation of Pol η opposite oxoG varies widely depending on the sequence context [253]. Moreover, the accuracy of Pol η is modulated by accessory proteins. In vitro, human Pol η showed a much higher bypass efficiency and accuracy for the oxoG lesion in the presence of PCNA and RPA [44].

The structures of human Pol η in complexes with templating oxoG and incoming dCMPNPP, dAMPNPP and dGMPNPP were recently solved [73]. oxoG in the anti-conformation establishes three H-bonds with C, while oxoG in the syn-conformation forms two H-bonds with A (Figure 2D) and G. In all Pol η structures, the fingers domain amino acids Gln38 and Arg61 are engaged in contacts to the nascent base pair. Gln38 forms an H-bond with the N3 atom of oxoG in the anti conformation (in a complex with dCMPNPP) and with the O8 atom of oxoG in the syn-conformation (in complexes with dAMPNPP and dGMPNPP) [73]. Therefore, unlike Pol ι, Pol η does not rely on the stabilization of oxoG in the syn-conformation to maintain the accuracy of oxoG bypass. Arg61 forms an H-bond to the phosphate group of dCMPNPP and dAMPNPP. However, Arg61 is involved in a unique stacking interaction with C base of the incoming nucleotide which can facilitate its accommodation and stabilize its orientation opposite the lesion (Figure 2D) [73]. Importantly, dGMPNPP is shifted in the active site.
and the position of its phosphate group is less favorable for the 3-OH nucleophilic attack [73]. It is also likely that H-bonds between bases contribute to the accurate oxoG bypass by Pol η: while A and G bases form two H-bonds with oxoG, the oxoG:C pair is stabilized with three bonds leading to the preferential incorporation of complementary nucleotide opposite the lesion.

4.2.3. DNA Polymerase κ

Pol κ efficiently and accurately bypasses bulky N^2-G adducts formed under exposure to some carcinogens [254–256] and relatively non-bulky replication-blocking lesions such as 1,N^2-ε-G, N^2,3-ε-G [257,258] and thymine glycol [259]. However, unlike other members of Y-family, Pol κ replicates through oxoG in a highly error-prone manner preferably incorporating dAMP opposite the lesion (Table 2) [38,210–212]. In agreement with biochemical studies, the role of Pol κ in the oxoG-induced GC→TA mutagenesis was demonstrated in human U2OS cells [227].

The exact structural mechanism of Pol κ preference for the incorporation of dAMP over dCMP is not clear since the crystals of Pol κ complex with the oxoG:C pair is difficult to grow [210]. However, it was shown that the Pol κ active site is very well-adapted to accommodate oxoG in the syn-conformation for base pairing with incoming anti-dATP in a HG fashion [210,260]. It was suggested that the rotation of oxoG to the syn-conformation is likely a consequence of the steric clash between the O^8 atom of oxoG and the template phosphate backbone [260]. The interactions of a unique N-clasp domain of Pol κ with the phosphate and the nucleotide 5′ to oxoG possibly also favor the syn-conformation of oxoG in Pol κ [260].

5. Incorporation of oxodGMP in DNA

OxodGTP, a major oxidized nucleotide in the cell, is capable of mispairing with templating A yielding A:T→C:G transversions [85]. Incorporation of oxodGTP by mammalian DNA polymerases is not yet extensively characterized in vitro, in vivo and structurally. Studies suggest that high-fidelity DNA polymerases are able to discriminate against oxodGTP. Pol δ incorporates oxodGTP opposite A and C with almost equal efficiencies but incorporation of oxodGTP is 3 orders of magnitude lower than for dGTP incorporation opposite C [43]. Pol α incorporates oxodGMP opposite C and A 300–500 times less efficient than incorporates dGMP opposite C and demonstrates a 5-fold preference for oxodGMP insertion opposite C over A [261]. However, repair and TLS DNA polymerases might poorly discriminate against oxodGTP and polymerize oxodGTP with higher error-rates.

In contrast to the incorporation opposite oxoG, X-family Pol β and Pol λ have a clear preference both for forming pro-mutagenic A:oxoG pairs with the oxodGTP substrate [197,198,262–264] and for extension from such primer termini [265]. Pol β and Pol λ incorporate oxodGMP opposite A with 16-40-fold preference over C [197,198,262–264]. However, Pol β and Pol λ show reduced polymerase activity when incorporating oxodGMP. Incorporation of oxodGMP opposite A and C is 12-130 fold less efficient than incorporation of dGMP opposite A and 300–500 times less efficient than incorporates dGMP opposite C and demonstrates a 5-fold preference for oxodGMP insertion opposite A over C [261]. However, repair and TLS DNA polymerases might poorly discriminate against oxodGTP and polymerize oxodGTP with higher error-rates.

Like Pol β and Pol λ, Y-family Pol κ incorporates oxodGTP opposite A with ~10 fold preference over C and demonstrates very low efficiency (oxidation of dGTP decreases the efficiency of nucleotide incorporation opposite A and C for 150 and 1000 fold respectively) [266]. In contrast, Pol η and Pol ι almost exclusively misincorporates oxodGMP opposite template A [266–268]. The efficiency of oxodGMP polymerization across A is 30-50-fold and only 2-7-fold lower than dTMP opposite A in Pol ι and in Pol η respectively [266,268]. Moreover, Pol η readily extends the 3′-8oxodG containing primers (preferentially A:oxodGMP) [268].

Given the low efficiency of oxodGMP incorporation and a very small fraction of the cell’s DNA is synthesized, the role of Pol λ and Pol κ (and possibly Pol β and Pol ι) in oxodGTP induced mutagenesis is not likely to be of biological significance. However, the role of Pol η in oxodGTP-induced A:T→C:G transversions was clearly demonstrated in Pol η knockdown 293T cells with the shuttle plasmid assay [269]. It was also shown that Rev1 and Pol ι knock-downs affect the rate of oxodGTP-induced A:T→C:G transversions [269]. The potential mutagenic risk from misincorporation of oxodGMP is
likely alleviated by restricting specialized DNA polymerases to very specific function with only a minor fraction of genomic nucleotides incorporated.

Pol β and Pol λ are among few polymerases for which incorporation of oxodGMP has been structurally characterized [262–265]. These structures illuminate general principles of non-mutagenic and mutagenic oxodGMP insertion and extension. In the structures with the incoming oxodGTP in a precatalytic state, the damaged dNTP adopts the syn conformation and forms a Hoogsteen pair with A in the template.

In Pol β, the steric clash of O8 with phosphate in anti is less well tolerated in the incoming oxodGTP than in the template oxodGMP, and requires a third metal ion in the active site (the “product metal”, transiently observed in Pol β only after the insertion of the correct nucleotide [60,264] to accommodate the α-phosphate in a position required for catalysis). After the incorporation and polymerase complex opening, oxoG tends to unpair from C and stack over it. When oxodGMP(anti) is at the end of the primer, intrusion of O8 disrupts the Arg254...Asp256 salt bridge that stabilizes the phosphate of the 3′-terminal nucleotide. Overall, the error-prone incorporation of oxodGMP opposite to A mostly arises from the destabilizing effect of O8—phosphate interactions in anti both at the insertion and the extension steps. The geometry of the A:oxodGMP mispair also causes some polymerase structure distortions in Pol λ but it still can be accommodated into the active site without major conformational rearrangements [263].

The role of the single active site residues in the stabilization of the mutagenic syn conformation of oxodGTP was also demonstrated in Pol β (Asn279) [197,198], Pol λ (Asn513) [263], Pol η (Arg61) and Pol κ (Tyr112) [266]. These residues are located in distinct positions in the active sites and modulate oxodGTP incorporation by different ways. In Pol β, the side chain of Asn279 favorably interacts with oxodGTP in the syn conformation by making a hydrogen bond with O8 [197,198]. The oxodGTP syn conformation is stabilized by a hydrogen bond with Asn513 in Pol λ; the N513A mutant inserts oxodGMP opposite A 25-fold less efficiently than wild-type Pol λ. However, this stabilizing effect is relatively small compared with the homologous Pol β Asn249 residue, which, when mutated, shows a 1000-fold effect on oxodGMP incorporation [184]. Modeling oxodGTP in the active site of Pol η suggested that the side chain of Arg61 disturbs the formation of the anti conformation and thus enhances the formation of the syn conformation of oxodGTP [266]. It was suggested that the steric gate Tyr112 residue in Pol κ might interact with the sugar and/or base of the incoming oxodGTP forcing it the syn conformation [266].

6. Conclusions

Among many DNA damaging agents, ROS are the most important for aerobic organisms. oxoG is one of the best characterized oxidative DNA lesions. Given the dual coding properties and abundance, oxoG presents a serious challenge to maintain the genomic integrity in cells.

The structures of many DNA repair enzymes dealing with oxoG in DNA and in the nucleotide pool are known, and they reveal a surprising diversity in the mode of recognition of this lesion. OxoG can be bound either in anti or in syn conformation, and can be stabilized via bonds to different atoms of this nucleobase. The interactions that distinguish oxoG from G are theoretically possible through N7 and O8, but only the former option is realized in the structures known at the moment. No common oxoG-recognizing structural motif in proteins of different families can be defined. Moreover, structural and modeling studies of DNA glycosylases suggest that they employ multiple conformational checkpoints to assure that only oxoG, but not normal G, is extruded all the way from the DNA duplex to the enzyme’s active site. In MutY/MUTYH DNA glycosylases, early recognition steps are not yet characterized structurally, and it remains to be seen whether these enzymes can use the NUDIX domain or the FeS cluster to detect oxoG while scanning DNA for the A:oxoG mismatch.

The role of several DNA polymerases in error-free and error-prone TLS across oxoG was established in vitro and in model cell lines. The accuracy of dNMPs incorporation opposite oxoG is polymerase dependent. The structural studies revealed high divergence in the mechanisms of oxoG bypass among
repair and TLS DNA polymerases. At the same time, the structural basis of nucleotide incorporation by high-fidelity eukaryotic DNA polymerases is yet to be determined.

Remarkably, the accuracy of dNMPs incorporation opposite oxoG is modulated by replicative accessory factors. PCNA and RPA moderately increase the efficiency of dCMP incorporation of Pol δ, Pol ι and Pol η [43,44] and dramatically enhance dCMP incorporation of Pol λ [44] opposite oxoG in vitro. PCNA was also found to be an essential component for efficient base pair extension beyond the lesion by Pol δ [43,44]. However, the mechanism of PCNA-dependent modulation of the accuracy of oxoG bypass also remains elusive.

Another unanswered question is the actual process of DNA polymerase switching during TLS past oxoG lesion. oxoG is not considered as a blocking lesion per se whereas a switch from a high-fidelity DNA polymerase to a specialized DNA polymerase is triggered by stalling of replication fork at DNA damage site. Nevertheless, it was suggested that pausing of Pol δ in front of the lesion and difficulties in extending from the correct oxoG:C base pair can be sufficient to facilitate DNA polymerase switching [41]. It is possible that DNA and polymerase active site distortions similar with revealed in the G. stearothermophilus Pol I structure with oxoG:C pair [69] can provide a basis for oxoG:C recognition by a specialized DNA polymerase and facilitate polymerase switching to extend the correct oxoG:C pair. It cannot be excluded that the local destabilizing effect of oxoG on DNA [74,75] and less stable base pairing [68] might also promote a high-fidelity polymerase pausing and switching to a specialized DNA polymerase during replication and TLS. In MUTYH-dependent BER pathway, recruitment of specialized DNA polymerases to the oxoG or oxoG:A lesion sites can be mediated by specific protein–protein interactions with repair enzymes and regulatory factors. Finally, post-translational modifications and/or accumulation of repair and TLS DNA polymerases on chromatin under oxidative stress might also control their recruitment to the sites of oxoG lesions. The biological relevance of these hypotheses is yet to be verified.
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