Effects of Job Stressors, Stress Response, and Sleep Disturbance on Presenteeism in Office Workers
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Background: Occupational mental health, work environment, sleep health, presenteeism, and loss of work productivity caused by health problems are all public health concerns. Although sleep affects mental health and presenteeism, the associations between sleep disturbance, job stressors, stress responses, and presenteeism have remained unclear. We hypothesized that job stressors affect the presenteeism of office workers through sleep disturbance and analyzed the association among these factors.

Subjects and Methods: In 2017, a cross-sectional survey of adult office workers was performed. A total of 2899 subjects who provided written consent were included in the analysis. The survey collected demographic information, as well as the Work Limitation Questionnaire (WLQ), Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), and Brief Job Stress Questionnaire (BJSQ). Associations between each of the variables were analyzed by path analysis (covariance structure analysis). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tokyo Medical University.

Results: The path analysis demonstrated that job stressors, psychological and physical stress response (PPSR) in the BJSQ, and sleep disturbance in the PSQI had direct effects on presenteeism in the WLQ. Both job stressors and social support in the BJSQ indirectly affected presenteeism through effects on sleep disturbance and PPSR. Sleep disturbance indirectly affected presenteeism via PPSR. This model accounted for the variation of presenteeism ($R^2 = 0.322$).

Conclusion: In the workplace, job stressors and low social support increase presenteeism through psychological and physical stress responses, as well as sleep disturbance. Evaluating and resolving work problems and sleep disturbance would hence be beneficial from the aspects of public health and socioeconomics.
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Introduction

In recent years, the concept of presenteeism has aroused public health concern in addition to absenteeism. Presenteeism was proposed in 1955 by Auren as an antonym for absenteeism. Absenteeism represents the absence of workers in workplaces. On the other hand, presenteeism means that workers are attending work, but their ability to perform work is reduced because of physical and mental problems. Presenteeism is known to be the highest among health-associated costs.

In Japan, the number of mood disorder patients in the working generation has continued to increase, and the number of applications and certifications for occupational...
accident compensation for mental disorders has also increased.\(^5\,^6\) As a result, in 2015, the “Stress Check Program”, which assesses the situation of stress of employees in workplaces was started.\(^7\) Workplaces with more than 50 workers are required to implement the Stress Check Program. Previous studies have shown that work demand, control, reward, procedural justice, interactive justice, and support from colleagues and managers are associated with both presenteeism\(^8\,^9\) and absenteeism.\(^10\) We recently reported that job stressors and social support indirectly affect presenteeism through their effects on psychological and physical responses.\(^11\)

On the other hand, factors affecting the physical and mental health of workers are not limited to job stressors. Sleep disturbance may also impair the physical and mental health of workers, leading to depression or anxiety, a reduction in daytime functioning and quality of life, work-associated road accidents, and decreased work outcomes of employees.\(^12\)–\(^19\) In addition, job stressors and social support in the workplace affect psychological and physical stress responses through sleep disturbance.\(^20\) Sleep deprivation is also associated with the loss of productivity and increases in health care costs.\(^21\)–\(^23\) There is a possibility that better sleep may improve presenteeism.\(^24\) Therefore, there may be an association between sleep disturbance and presenteeism, as well as between job stressors and sleep disturbance. However, no studies have analyzed the association among sleep disturbance, job stressors, and presenteeism simultaneously. Based on the above, we hypothesized that job stressors act on productivity loss, ie presenteeism, through their effects on sleep disturbance. In this study, the effects of job stressors and sleep disturbance on presenteeism of adult workers were analyzed by path analysis.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

In 2017, the following self-administered questionnaires, including the Stress Check Program were distributed to a total of 3314 employees at 17 companies in Tokyo. A total of 2899 (87.5%) (1837 men and 1062 women; mean age: 36.6 ± 9.4 years) who agreed in writing to the academic use of their data were included in the analysis. The questionnaire for demographic information and the questionnaires described in the following section were anonymously surveyed. Participation in this study was entirely voluntary, and nonparticipation did not result in any disadvantage. The collected information was kept anonymous so that the individual could not be identified, and the subjects were informed that the data would not leak to the outside. In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tokyo Medical University (study approval no.: SH3652).

**Questionnaires**

**Brief Job Stress Questionnaire (BJSQ)**

The BJSQ is a self-administered stress questionnaire.\(^25\) “Job stressors (17 items)” measure job stressors, such as job overload, poor physical environment, and interpersonal conflicts. “Psychological and physical stress response (PPSR): (29 items)” measures responses to stress, defined by mental and physical symptoms. “Social support (9 items)” measures the support from bosses, colleagues, family and friends. “Satisfaction of work and life (2 items)” was also measured. In all of the subscales, a higher score indicates a higher stress status.

**Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)**

PSQI is a self-administered questionnaire consisting of 18 standardized questions about sleep quality.\(^26\,^27\) The questions are all about sleep habits and sleep quality in the previous 1 month. PSQI assesses subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbance, use of sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction. In the Japanese version, a global (total) PSQI score of 6 or higher indicates the existence of sleep disturbance, and a higher score indicates a more severe sleep disturbance.

**Work Limitation Questionnaire (WLQ: Short Form)**

WLQ, including its Japanese version, measures the rate of decline in job performance and work productivity owing to presenteeism.\(^28\)–\(^30\) The short form consists of 4 subitems, ie, “time management (2 items),”, “physical tasks (2 items),”, “mental interpersonal tasks (2 items),”, and “output tasks (2 items)." This is a self-administered survey form. From the results of the percentage of time and frequency of failure to perform their duties owing to health problems for the previous 2 weeks, it is possible to calculate the percentage (%) productivity loss) by which labor productivity has decreased owing to presenteeism relative to the original performance.

**Analysis Model**

A path diagram was created based on the hypothesis that job stressors and social support affect presenteeism.
through the PPSR, with sleep disturbance acting as a mediator (see Figure 1).

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics Version 25 and Mplus version 8.0 software (Muthén & Muthén, Los Angeles, CA, USA). For the path analysis, covariance structure analysis with the robust maximum likelihood estimation method was used. As the model is a saturation model, a goodness-of-fit index was not evaluated. All coefficients of the covariance structure analysis were standardized. For comparison between demographic information and questionnaire data, the Pearson correlation coefficient or the Student’s t-test was performed.

Results
Demographic Characteristics of the Subjects and Their Correlation with Loss of Work Productivity
Table 1 shows the demographic data and data from each questionnaire of the 2899 adult workers. The BJSQ subitems, job stressors, PPSR, and social support were positively correlated with work productivity loss. Higher job stress was associated with worse presenteeism. Furthermore, the PSQI global score and productivity loss score were positively correlated; ie, more severe sleep disturbance was associated with higher presenteeism.

Analysis of Covariance Structural Model
Figure 1 and Table 2 show the results of the path analysis. Regarding direct effects, significant effects were obtained for all paths except for those from social support to productivity loss (WLQ). Regarding indirect effects, the indirect effects of job stressors on productivity loss through PPSR and sleep disturbance (PSQI) were significant (standardized coefficient: 0.130; \( p < 0.001 \) and 0.032; \( p < 0.001 \), respectively). The indirect effects of job stressors on productivity loss through both sleep disturbance and PPSR were also significant (standardized coefficient: 0.033; \( p < 0.001 \)). The indirect effects of social support on productivity loss through PPSR and sleep disturbance were significant (standardized coefficient: 0.048; \( p < 0.001 \) and 0.036; \( p < 0.001 \), respectively). The indirect effects of social support on productivity loss through both sleep disturbance and PPSR were significant (standardized coefficient: 0.037, \( p < 0.001 \)). The indirect effect of sleep disturbance on productivity loss through PPSR was significant (standardized coefficient: 0.167, \( p < 0.001 \)). The indirect

---

**Table 1**

| Correlation Coefficient | Job Stressor (BJSQ) | Social Support (BJSQ) | Sleep Disturbance (PSQI) | %Productivity loss (WLQ) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Job Stressor (BJSQ)     | 0.340***            | 0.386***              | 0.198***                 | 0.438***                |
| Social Support (BJSQ)   |                     |                       |                          |                         |
| Sleep Disturbance (PSQI)|                     |                       |                          |                         |
| %Productivity loss (WLQ)|                     |                       |                          |                         |

---

**Figure 1** Results of covariance structure analysis using a path model, with the scores of job stressors, psychological and physical stress response (PPSR), and social support of the Brief Job Stress Questionnaire (BJSQ), the score of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) indicating sleep disturbance, and the score of the percent productivity loss of the Work Limitation Questionnaire (WLQ) indicating presenteeism in 2899 adult workers are shown. Rectangles indicate the observed variables. Arrows with solid lines represent the statistically significant paths, and broken lines show the nonsignificant paths. The numbers beside the arrows show the direct standardized path coefficients. Indirect effects through the variables are explained in the Results section. *** \( p < 0.001 \).
Table 1  Demographic Characteristics and Questionnaire Measures of the Study Subjects and Their Correlation with Percent Productivity Loss of WLQ

| Characteristic or Measure | Value (Number or Mean ± SD) | Correlation with %WLQ Productivity Loss or Its Comparison Between Men and Women |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sex (men: women)         | 1837: 1062                  | Men: 5.6 ± 4.3 Women: 5.1 ± 4.1*                                               |
| Age (years)              | 36.6 ± 9.4                  | r = -0.11***                                                                    |
| BJSQ job stressors       | 38.9 ± 6.5                  | r = 0.37***                                                                     |
| BJSQ PPSR                | 56.7 ± 13.9                 | r = 0.54**                                                                      |
| BJSQ social support      | 19.9 ± 5.3                  | r = 0.25**                                                                      |
| PSQI                     | 6.4 ± 2.8                   | r = 0.42**                                                                      |
| WLQ % productivity loss  | 5.46 ± 4.20                 | -                                                                               |

Notes: Data are presented as means ± SD or numbers. r = Pearson correlation coefficient; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
Abbreviations: BJSQ, Brief Job Stress Questionnaire; PPSR, psychological and physical stress response; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; WLQ, Work Limitation Questionnaire.

effects of job stressors and social support on PPSR through sleep disturbance were significant (0.087; p<0.001 and 0.096; p<0.001, respectively). The overall effects on productivity loss were 0.317 (p < 0.001) for job stressors and 0.130 (p < 0.001) for social support. The overall effect of sleep disturbance on productivity loss was 0.330 (p < 0.001), which was greater than the others. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of productivity loss was 0.322; ie, this model explains 32.2% of the variability in presenteeism, productivity loss caused by health problems, of adult workers.

Discussion

In this study, covariance structure analysis of adult workers showed that job stressors and low social support, as measured by the job Stress Check Program in Japan, have indirect effects on presenteeism, through sleep disturbance and psychological and physical stress responses. Previous studies demonstrated the presence of associations among job stressors, social support, stress responses, and sleep disturbance, between productivity loss and sleep disturbance, and among job stressors, stress responses, and productivity loss. To date, the role of sleep disturbance in productivity loss had remained unclear. Our study is the first to our knowledge to demonstrate that sleep disturbance is a mediator of the effects of job stressors and social support on presenteeism. These results provide useful suggestions for improving the mental health of workers and solving presenteeism in workplaces.

The effects of job stress and social support on sleep disturbance have been analyzed in previous studies and demonstrated consistent results with this study. These previous studies have suggested a possible solution to improve sleep in the workplace. An anxious temperament and conflicts of job roles are associated with insomnia, and improvement of anxiety and reduction of role conflicts among supervisors and coworkers may reduce the symptoms of insomnia in workers. Job control and social support show synergistic effects on insomnia, and this may improve sleep disturbance. A meta-analysis including 5 prospective studies indicated that a high level of job stress, effort-reward imbalance, high demand, heavy workload, and low social support are associated with insomnia.

Sleep disturbance substantially impairs work productivity, performance, and safety. Sleep deprivation and hypersomnia were also found to be associated with reduced employee productivity. Employees who follow an optimal lifestyle and get sufficient amounts of sleep have been shown to have minimal loss of productivity. A strong U-shaped relationship has been reported to exist between hours of sleep and employee health care costs, short-term disability, absenteeism, and presenteeism. These results, including those of the present study, indicate the importance of sleep disturbance in presenteeism.

Consistent with a previous report, job stressors and social support were found to affect presenteeism through PPSR in this study. Greater support at work from colleagues and employers, and comfortable interpersonal relationships between colleagues, employees, and employers are expected to reduce presenteeism. Job control, compensation, procedural justice, and interactive justice were shown to reduce presenteeism after 2 years, via a reduction in psychological distress after 1 year. Job stressors and social support in workplaces affect presenteeism either directly or indirectly by reducing the stress response.

In this study, job stressors and social support had significant indirect effects on presenteeism through sleep disturbance. This means that sleep disturbance should be considered when analyzing the effects of job stressors on presenteeism. In adolescent mental health, the effects of school pressure, physical activity, and mobile use time on psychological symptoms were mediated by sleep. Furthermore, in our previous study, the effects of job stressors and social support on PPSR were also mediated by sleep. Sleep problems play a mediating role in the
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The mediating effects of sleep on various factors of stress are considered to be commonly observed in various situations, such as workplaces and schools. This study demonstrated the mediating effects of sleep on PPSR and presenteeism. This suggests that not only solving job stress but also solving sleep disturbance may prevent productivity loss owing to health problems in the workplace. Improving job stress is a mission of the business operator, but improving sleep disturbance can be done by each individual worker. For example, improving sleep hygiene is effective for improving sleep disturbance. In some work environments, such as night work, napping before working a night shift is an effective countermeasure to the decreased alertness and performance deterioration associated with night work. Unfavorable lifestyles, such as using an electronic display in bed or during the 2 hours before bedtime, taking caffeine constantly at night, getting up before dawn, irregular dinner intake times, and a bright living room at night may cause sleep disturbance, and sleep hygiene interventions may promote sleep health. The intervention of lifestyle habits and sleep hygiene may lead to improvements in presenteeism.

This study has some limitations. First, as this study was a cross-sectional survey, we cannot conclude the causal relationship between the factors. Therefore, prospective studies are needed to track the effects of job stressors on sleep and the effects of sleep on presenteeism over time. Second, in this study, self-administered questionnaires were used and the evaluations were subjective. Confirmation of these results using objective analysis methods and a long-term prospective design is necessary for the future. Finally, as our subjects were workers in the city of Tokyo in Japan, it is unclear whether our results can be generalized to different populations in other countries. The work culture and idea of presenteeism in Japan may have influenced the results, and hence different results may be obtained in other countries.

### Conclusions

Job stressors and low social support affect presenteeism through sleep disturbance and psychological and physical

---

Table 2 Standardized Path Coefficients Between the Variables

| Direct Effect to | Sleep Disturbance (PSQI) | PPSR | %Productivity Loss (WLQ) |
|------------------|--------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| From             |                          |      |                         |
| Job stressors    | 0.198***                 | 0.340*** | 0.122*** |
| PPSR             |                          | 0.124*** | 0.383*** |
| Social support   | 0.220***                 | 0.438*** | 0.01                   |
| PSQI             |                          |      | 0.162***                |

| Indirect effect to | Sleep disturbance (PSQI) | PPSR | %productivity loss (WLQ) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| Job stressors     | Via PPSR                 | 0.087*** | 0.130*** |
|                   | Via PSQI                 |      | 0.032*** |
|                   | Via PSQI + PPSR          |      | 0.033*** |
|                   | Total indirect effect    |      | 0.195*** |
| Social support    | Via PPSR                 | 0.096*** | 0.048*** |
|                   | Via PSQI                 |      | 0.036*** |
|                   | Via PSQI + PPSR          |      | 0.037*** |
|                   | Total indirect effect    |      | 0.120*** |
| PSQI              |                          |      | 0.167***                |

| Total effect to   | Sleep disturbance (PSQI) | PPSR | %productivity loss (WLQ) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| Job stressors     | 0.427***                 | 0.317*** |
| Social support    | 0.221***                 | 0.130*** |
| PSQI              |                          | 0.330*** |

Note: ***p < 0.001.

Abbreviations: PPSR, psychological and physical stress response; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; WLQ, Work Limitations Questionnaire.
stress responses. Evaluating and resolving sleep disturbance would hence be beneficial from the aspects of public health and socioeconomics in the workplace.
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