Hayes Yard virus: a novel ephemeroovirus isolated from a bull with severe clinical signs of bovine ephemeral fever is most closely related to Puchong virus
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Abstract

Bovine ephemeral fever is a vector-borne disease of ruminants that occurs in tropical and sub-tropical regions of Africa, Asia and Australia. The disease is caused by a rhabdovirus, bovine ephemeral fever virus (BEFV), which occurs as a single serotype globally. Although several other closely related ephemerooviruses have been isolated from cattle and/or arthropods, only kotonkan virus from Nigeria and (tentatively) Mavingoni virus from Mayotte Island in the Indian Ocean have been previously associated with febrile disease. Here, we report the isolation of a novel virus (Hayes Yard virus; HYV) from blood collected in February 2000 from a bull (Bos indicus) in the Northern Territory of Australia. The animal was suffering from a severe ephemeral fever-like illness with neurological involvement, including recumbency and paralysis, and was euthanised. Histological examination of spinal cord and lung tissue identified extensive haemorrhage in the dura mata with moderate perineuronal oedema and extensive emphysema. HYV displayed cone-shaped morphology, typical of rhabdoviruses, and was found to be most closely related antigenically to Puchong virus (PUCV), isolated in 1965 from mosquitoes in Malaysia. Analysis of complete genome sequences of HYV (15 025 nt) and PUCV (14 932 nt) indicated that each has a complex organisation (3′ N-P-M-G-GNS-α1-α2-β-γ-L 5′) and expression strategy, similar to that of BEFV. Based on an alignment of complete L protein sequences, HYV and PUCV cluster with other rhabdoviruses in the genus Ephemerovirus and appear to represent two new species. Neutralising antibody to HYV was also detected in a retrospective survey of cattle sera collected in the Northern Territory.

Introduction

The genus Ephemerovirus, family Rhabdoviridae, comprises negative-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses that infect primarily ruminants and are transmitted by haematophagous insects [1, 2]. Bovine ephemeral fever virus (BEFV) is the type member of the genus (species Bovine fever ephemeroovirus) [1]. BEFV is an important pathogen of cattle and water buffalo, causing a disease of rapid onset characterised by a bi-phasic fever, salivation, ocular and nasal discharge, recumbency, muscle stiffness, lameness and anorexia. [3]. It occurs seasonally in many tropical and sub-tropical regions of Africa, Asia (including the Middle-East) and Australia, but remains exotic to the Americas, the Pacific Islands and almost all of Europe [3]. High infection and morbidity rates typically occur in cattle during BEFV epizootics. Mortality rates are usually low (>1%), although there have been several reports of much higher mortality.
from some regions in recent years [4–6]. The primary economic impacts of the bovine ephemeral fever (BEF) disease are on milk production in dairy herds, meat quality in beef herds and farm traction power provided by water buffalo [7–9]. BEFV has been isolated on multiple occasions from diseased cattle, and both mosquitoes and biting midges (Culicoides spp.); the principal insect vectors appear to vary in different regions of the world [3].

Eight other ephemeroviruses, each distinguishable in virus neutralisation tests, have been isolated in Africa or Australia [2, 10]. However, only kotonkan virus (KOTV; species Kotonkan ephemerovirus), isolated from biting midges in Nigeria in 1967, has been associated with clinical disease [11]. At that time, a serological survey indicated a high prevalence of KOTV-neutralising antibodies in cattle, including five imported Friesian heifers that had recently shown clinical signs of bovine ephemeral fever [11]. Mild clinical signs were also observed in one of two native white Fulani calves infected experimentally with mouse-brain-passaged KOTV [12]. To our knowledge, there have been no other reports of KOTV-related disease in Africa or elsewhere. However recently, Mavingoni virus (MGV), which potentially could be assigned to a new species within the genus Ephemerovirus, was detected in a bovid with BEF-like symptoms from Mayotte Island in the Indian Ocean [13].

Of the other known ephemeroviruses, Berrimah virus (BRMV; species Berrimah ephemerovirus), Kimberley virus (KIMV; species Kimberley ephemerovirus), Adelaide River virus (ARV; species Adelaide River ephemerovirus) and Koolpinyah virus (KOOLV; species Koolpinyah ephemerovirus), have each been isolated from healthy sentinel cattle in Australia but have never been associated with clinical disease [14–17]. Serological surveys have indicated that KIMV and ARV are widespread in Australia and Indonesia, Papua New Guinea and China, where they appear to cause only sub-clinical infections in cattle [14, 18–20]. Obodhiang virus (OBOV; species Obodhiang ephemerovirus), Yata virus (YATV; species Yata ephemerovirus) and Malakal virus (MALV; later recognised as KIMV) were each isolated in Africa from mosquitoes (Mansonia uniformis) during the 1960s but their host range and distribution remain unknown [21–24]. Similarly, Puchong virus (PUCV; not formally unclassified) was isolated from mosquitoes (Mansonia uniformis) in Malaysia in 1965 [21]. Although PUCV has been identified antigenically as a member of the BEFV serogroup, little is known of its vertebrate hosts or distribution [10]. Despite a lack of evidence of disease association of these viruses, there have been anecdotal reports from investigating veterinarians that cases of bovine ephemeral fever do occur (in Australia at least), in the absence of seroconversion to BEFV neutralising antibodies [2].

Here, we report retrospectively the isolation and characterisation of a novel ephemerovirus (Hayes Yard virus; HYV) from a bull (Bos indicus) in Australia which, in February 2000, had displayed severe clinical signs resembling bovine ephemeral fever. We show that HYV is most closely related to PUCV from Malaysia and provide evidence that HYV-neutralising antibodies occur in Australian cattle.

Materials and methods

Viruses and cells
Passage histories of ARV, BEFV, BRMV, KIMV, KOTV, MALV and OBOV used for the virus neutralisation tests have been described previously [22, 23]. HYV and PUCV were grown at 37 °C in baby hamster kidney (BHK-BSR) cells in Basal Medium Eagle (BME) supplemented with 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM L-glutamine, 137 μM streptomycin, 80 U/mL penicillin, and either 5% (growth medium) or 2.5% (maintenance medium) foetal calf serum. Prior to sequencing, HYV was passaged once in Aedes albopictus mosquito (C6–36) cells and four times in BHK-BSR cells. PUCV was passaged six times in suckling mice, once in C6–36 cells and three times in BHK-BSR cells.

Antisera

ARV rabbit antiserum, BEFV bovine immune serum, BRMV, KIMV, KOTV, MALV and OBOV immune mouse ascetic fluids (IMAFs) and negative control bovine serum have all been described previously [22, 23]. PUCV IMAF was produced as described previously [25]. Experimentally produced antiserum was not available for HYV.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

BHK-BSR cells inoculated with HYV were pelleted in a bench top centrifuge at 840 × g for 1 min and the supernatant media removed for negative contrast TEM. The pellets were processed, thin sectioned and stained as described previously [26] except using 0.1 M Sorenson’s phosphate buffer for dilution of osmium tetroxide. The reserved supernatant was prepared for negative contrast electron microscopy (EM) [26]. Grids were examined using a Philips CM120 or a JEOL JEM1400 transmission electron microscope at 120 kV.

Preparation of viruses for next generation sequencing (NGS)

Supernatant was collected from HYV-infected BHK-BSR cells when cytopathic effect was advanced, clarified by centrifugation at 3200 × g for 5 min and passed through a 0.45 μM filter. The clarified supernatant was added (3:1 by volume) to a solution of 30% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 8000.
glycol and 23% NaCl (diluted in TEN buffer), incubated overnight and then centrifuged at 3200 x g for 25 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed and the pellet resuspended in 50 µL TEN buffer prior to treatment with 2 U of Turbo™ DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) at 37 °C for 30 min followed by inactivation at 75 °C for 10 min, as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA was then extracted using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) followed by first strand cDNA synthesis with Superscript III (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and second strand synthesis with Klenow large fragment (NEB, Ipswich, USA), both with K-8N primers as described previously [27]. A sequence-independent single primer amplification (SISPA) PCR was performed in quadruplicate on the double-stranded cDNA using LongAmp DNA polymerase (NEB) and primer 8 essentially as described previously [27], but with the following cycle: 94 °C for 2 min; 94 °C for 30 s, 54 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 1 min, all for 20 cycles; 65 °C 10 min; and standing at 4 °C. PCR products were combined and run on a 1.5% agarose gel. The smear observed in the 200–800 bp region was excised and the DNA purified using the QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Purified SISPA products were quantified on a Qubit™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the Qubit™ dsDNA HS assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and then prepared for sequencing using the Nextera® XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

For PUCV, total RNA extraction from infected cells and a modified PCR-select cDNA subtraction for enrichment of viral sequences were carried out as described previously [28, 29]. Wongabel virus (WONV; species Wongabel hapavirus) was used as the driver for the PCR-select method and three restriction enzymes (Alu I, Hae III, Rsa I) were used for library construction.

Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) and analysis
For HYV, sequencing was performed using a MiSeq Reagent kit v2 (300 cycles) (Illumina) on an Illumina MiSeq platform. The CLC Genomics Workbench software package version 9.5.2 was used for quality assessment, trimming and de novo assembly of contigs for HYV. For PUCV, the Illumina GAIIx platform at Micromon (Monash University, Clayton, Australia) using the manufacturer’s protocol was used to perform high-throughput DNA sequencing. Subsequent analyses were conducted as described previously [22].

Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE)
The RACE protocol has been described previously [22, 30]; primers used are shown in Additional file 1. Sanger sequencing of RACE products was performed using the BigDye Terminator v. 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and the ABI PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyser.

Phylogenetic analysis
An alignment of 125 complete rhabdovirus L protein sequences was created using MUSCLE in MEGA version 7.0 [31] and ambiguously aligned regions were removed using Gblocks [32]. The resulting alignment comprising 563 amino acids was used to infer phylogenetic relationships in MEGA 7.0 using the Maximum Likelihood method and the WAG + Frequency model of amino acid substitution [33]. The phylogenetic robustness of each node was determined using 1000 bootstrap replicates. Trees were annotated using Figtree version 1.4.2.

Transcription profiling
Transcription profiling was performed using PCR as described previously [22]. The PCR primers and annealing temperatures used are shown in Additional file 1.

Radiolabelling, SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting
Radiolabelling, immunoblotting and SDS-PAGE were performed essentially as described previously [22, 28]. For immunoblotting, both the primary (PUCV IMAF) and the secondary (HRP-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG; Silenus, Hong Kong, China) antibodies were used at 1:10 000 dilution.

Virus cross-neutralisation tests
Virus neutralisation tests were performed as described previously [22, 34]. Cross-neutralisation antibody titres were determined as the lowest dilution of antibody at which neutralisation was observed and were calculated using the method of Reed and Muench [35].

Serum neutralisation assays: field sera
Assays were conducted using a modified version of the serum neutralisation test described previously [36]. Briefly, 50 µL of serially diluted serum, in quadruplicate wells, was incubated with 50 µL of medium containing 100 TCID50 of virus for 1 h at 37 °C in 96 well plates. Then 100 µL of medium containing BHK-BSR cells at 2 x 105 cells/mL was added and the plates were read after incubation for a further 5 days at 37 °C. Serum neutralisation titres were calculated using the method of Reed and Muench [35]. Titres below 1:10 were deemed negative.

PUCV G protein ELISA
An indirect ELISA targeting the PUCV glycoprotein (G protein) was developed by modifying a previously published method [37] prior to the identification of HYV. The ELISA targets the linear G1 neutralising epitope which is known to vary among ephemерoviruses. However, due to
the cross-reactivity observed between PUCV and HYV during virus cross-neutralisation tests, it was expected that this assay would be able to detect both PUCV and HYV antibodies. The region of the PUCV G protein gene corresponding to the BEFV G1 epitope was amplified using Pfu DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, USA) and PCR primers PUCV_TOPO_F (CACCTACACA AGAGCATTATGTGAG) and PUCV_TOPO_R (CTA CCAACCTACAATCCCGGAAAC), with the forward primer incorporating the TOPO cut site and the reverse primer a stop codon, both shown in bold. The ampli-
cicon was sequenced prior to cloning and protein expres-
sion using the Champion™ pET151 Directional TOPO® expression kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Plasmids were purified using PureYield™ plasmid miniprep system (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Protein expression was confirmed by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining and immunoblotting using mouse anti-V5 antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 1:5000 and secondary anti-
body (HRP-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG; Sigma, St.
Louis, USA) at 1:5000. Recombinant fusion proteins were purified using BugBuster® Mastermix (Merck Millipore, Burlington, USA) and ProPur® IMAC midi spin columns (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark), followed by desalting and concentration in Vivaspin™ 20 protein concentrator spin columns (GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA). Checkerboard titrations were used to select the concentration of G1 antigen and the dilutions of the positive control and sec-

Results

Clinical signs, histopathology and virus isolation

On 25 February 2000, diagnostic samples were received from a moribund 2.5 year-old bull (Bos indicus), from Beatrice Hill Farm, approximately 50 km south-east of Darwin, Australia. The bull had been suffering for 3 days from an unknown illness with neurological involve-
ment, including recumbency and paralysis, and was euthanized due to the severity of the illness. Histologi-
cal examination of spinal cord and lung tissue identified extensive haemorrhage in the dura mata with moderate perineuronal oedema and extensive emphysema with mixed inflammatory cells in alveolar septae. There were no histological lesions indicative of babesia infection or transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE). Blood samples tested negative by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for BEFV [39]. Passage of a sample of EDTA-blood in C6/36 cells resulted in the isolation of an unidenti-
fied virus (DPP4816) which was subsequently adapted to growth in BHK-BSR cells. Viral titres following pas-
sage in cell culture were consistently quite low (range: 10^1.38–10^5.43 TCID_{50}/mL). Antibody titres in serum col-
lected on the day of post-mortem were 1/160 for BEFV and 1/10 for DPP4816.

Virus identification

DPP4816 was subsequently included in a retrospective analysis of unidentified virus isolates from the Northern Territory by using an RT-PCR targeting the L genes ephemerovirus and related rhabdoviruses [38]. Sequence analysis of PCR amplicons from 21 of the samples iden-
tified 12 as BEFV and 8 were identified as KIMV. One of the isolates (DPP4816, also referred to as V4816) was identified as a previously unknown ephemerovirus, des-
ignated Hayes Yard virus (HYV). Phylogenetic analysis of the translated partial L protein sequences (100 nt ampli-
con) suggested that the virus was most closely related to PUCV from Malaysia (Additional file 2).
Virus morphology
Transmission electron microscopy of HYV-infected BHK-BSR cells revealed cone-shaped rhabdovirus-like particles, approximately 75 nm diameter at the base and approximately 150 nm in length, located at the cell surface (Figure 1A). Virions were also observed budding from the plasma membrane (Figure 1B).

Nucleotide sequences of the HYV and PUCV genomes
The complete genome sequences of the HYV (15 025 nt) and PUCV (14 932 nt) were determined by deep sequencing, with the terminal sequences resolved by using RACE. The sequences have been deposited in GenBank under Accession numbers MH507506 (HYV) and MH507505 (PUCV). The total number of reads mapping to PUCV and HYV were 1 791 000 and 3 959 831 respectively and the average coverage was ~8000 and ~32 000 respectively. For each virus, the genome organisation was found to be similar to that of BEFV, BRMV and KIMV, with the 5 canonical rhabdovirus structural protein genes (N, P, M, G and L) and a long region between the G and L genes containing additional ORFs encoding a non-structural glycoprotein (GNS), a viroporin (α1) and three putative accessory proteins (α2, β and γ), currently of unknown function (Figure 2). Each additional ORF occurs within an independent transcriptional unit bounded by conserved transcription initiation (TI) and transcription termination/polyadenylation (TTP) sequences, except for ORF α2 which overlaps ORF α1 within the same transcriptional unit. As in several other ephemeroviruses, HYV and PUCV each feature a “termination upstream ribosome-binding site” (TURBS)-like sequence (UGGGGA in mRNA polarity) in the α1/α2 ORF overlap region [40]. Unlike BEFV, neither the HYV or PUCV genomes contain alternative open reading frames within the P ORF (i.e., P′) or α2 ORF (i.e., α3), but there is a small alternative ORF (120 nt) that commences near the start of the HYV β gene that encodes a possible protein of 6.6 kDa (designated βx); it is not known if polypeptides encoded in any of these small ORFs are expressed during infection. We also note that the sequence in the region of the HYV G gene transcription termination sequence featured a stretch of up to 15 adenosine residues, for which the precise number could not be determined unambiguously.

Clustal W pairwise alignments of the whole genomes indicated that HYV and PUCV share 68.8% nucleotide sequence identity (p-distance estimated in MEGA 7.0) (Additional file 3). This level of identity is similar to BEFV and BRMV (67.0% identity) which are the most closely related ephemeroviruses currently assigned to different species. In contrast, KIMV and MALV, which are considered to be geographic variants of the same virus (and are assigned to the same species, Kimberley ephemerovirus) share 90.7% nucleotide sequence identity across the entire genomes (Additional file 3).

Deduced amino acid sequences of HYV and PUCV proteins
Based on the deduced amino acid sequences, the predicted molecular weights of the unmodified structural
proteins HYV and PUCV are 49.3 kDa and 49.0 kDa (N proteins), 31.4 kDa and 30.5 kDa (P proteins), 25.4 kDa and 25.4 kDa (M proteins), 75.1 kDa and 74.7 kDa (G proteins) and 243.6 and 246.9 kDa (L proteins), respectively. Comparison of amino acid sequence identities (p-distances) of the N, G and L proteins (Additional file 3) confirmed whole genome comparisons that indicated HYV and PUCV are more closely related to each other than to any other ephemerovirus (95.5% identity, 80.8% and 87.7% identity, respectively), except for KIMV and MALV (98.6%, 95.6% and 96.9%, respectively) which are assigned to the same ephemerovirus species.

A sequence alignment of the BEFV G protein with those of HYV and PUCV indicated that all cysteine residues in the ectodomain are conserved (Additional file 4). These include the twelve core cysteine residues which also occur in the G protein of vesicular stomatitis Indiana virus, where they form six disulphide bridges (C\text{I}–C\text{XII}, C\text{II}–C\text{IV}, C\text{III}–C\text{V}, C\text{VI}–C\text{VII}, C\text{VIII}–C\text{X} and C\text{IX}–C\text{XI}) that assist in maintaining the secondary structure of the folded protein [2, 41], and six additional cysteine residues that are likely to form three additional disulphide bridges (a–f, b–c and d–e) in the ephemerovirus G proteins [2, 42]. Furthermore, alignment of the BEFV G protein and large non-structural glycoprotein (G\text{NS}) with those of HYV and PUCV indicated that ten of the 12 core cysteine residues and four of the six additional cysteine residues are conserved; only disulphide bridges (C\text{VI}–C\text{VII}) and (d–e) appear to be absent, indicating extensive similarities in secondary structure of the folded ephemerovirus G and G\text{NS} proteins.

Other HYV and PUCV putative accessory proteins (\alpha1, \alpha2, \beta and \gamma proteins) are similar in size to and share extensive sequence homology with the cognate BEFV proteins (Additional file 5). Like BEFV \alpha1, the HYV and PUCV \alpha1 proteins (14.8 kDa and 14.5 kDa, respectively) have the structural characteristics of class 1a viroporins, with a central hydrophobic transmembrane domain, a highly basic C-terminal domain and clusters of large aromatic residues (W, F) in the N-terminal domain. The HYV and PUCV \alpha2 proteins (15.4 kDa and 15.5 kDa, respectively) are neutral in charge (pI ~7.5); they share 73.9% amino acid sequence identity (p-distance) but much lower identity with BEFV \alpha2 (24.3% and 22.6%, respectively). The HYV and PUCV \beta proteins (16.7 kDa and 16.6 kDa, respectively) are moderately basic (pI ~9.0); they share 73.9% amino acid sequence identity (p-distance) but much lower identity with BEFV \beta (55.5% and 57.5%, respectively). The HYV and PUCV and \gamma proteins (13.2 kDa and 13.4 kDa, respectively) are also moderately basic (pI ~9.2); they share a high level of sequence identity (93.6%) and lower identity with BEFV \gamma (63.7% and 60.0%, respectively). The

Figure 2 Schematic illustration of the genome organisations of BEFV, PUCV and HYV. The genomes are shown in 3′ to 5′ polarity with conserved transcription initiation and transcription termination/polyadenylation signals shown as vertical lines flanking open reading frames (ORFs). The locations of mRNAs identified experimentally are shown for BEFV and PUCV.
α2, β and γ proteins appear to be unique to ephemero-
viruses but have no recognisable structural characteris-
tics that would suggest their functions.

**PUCV gene expression profiles**

As HYV grew poorly in cell culture making downstream
analysis unfeasible, expression analysis was conducted
only for PUCV. Viral transcripts were identified in total
RNA extracted from PUCV-infected BHK-BSR cells by
anchor PCR utilising an oligo(dT) primer and sequence-
specific primers targeting each ORF (Figure 3). Sequence
analysis of the amplicons indicated that, except ORFs
α1 and α2, each ORF is expressed as a monocistronic
mRNA with transcription initiating and terminating at
the immediately flanking TI and TTP sequences. In the
case of the α1 ORF, a smaller product (<200 base pairs)
was due to non-specific amplification and a larger prod-
uct (750 base pairs) corresponded to an amplicon that
terminated at the TTP sequence following the PUCV α2
ORF. The amplicon generated using the sequence-spe-
cific primer in the α2 ORF also terminated at this same
TTP sequence. The data indicate that, as in several other
ephemeroviruses, the α1 and α2 ORFs (which are not
separated by TTP and TI sequences) are expressed from
a bicistronic mRNA. Non-specific amplification is also
likely the cause of the smaller fainter product observed
for M.

To identify viral-induced proteins, BHK-BSR cells
were inoculated with PUCV at a multiplicity of infec-
tion (MOI) of 3 TCID<sub>50</sub>/cell. PUCV-infected BHK-BSR
cells and mock-infected control cells were pulsed for 1 h
with L<sup>[35S]</sup>-methionine/cysteine at 5, 10, 17, 25, 35 and
50 h post-infection (hpi) and analysed by SDS-PAGE and
autoradiography (Figure 4). A marked shutdown of host
cell protein synthesis was observed in PUCV-infected
cells from 17 hpi but, as infection progressed, several
protein bands of increasing intensity were observed with
relative migrations (i.e. molecular mass (Mr)) of approxi-
mately 197, 78, 68, 47, 33 and 29 kDa; these corresponded
roughly to the predicted molecular weights of the PUCV
L, G, G<sub>N</sub>, N, P and M proteins. In addition, there were
several PUCV-induced protein bands with Mr of approxi-
mately 15 kDa, 13 kDa and 9 kDa and these may cor-
respond to several of the smaller proteins encoded in
the α1, α2, β or γ ORFs. SDS-PAGE and immunoblot-
ting with anti-PUCV IMAF of proteins expressed from
PUCV-infected BHK-BSR cells (inoculated at MOI = 3)
also identified protein bands induced at 18 and 24 hpi
that appeared to correspond to the PUCV structural pro-
teins L, G, N, P and M (Figure 4). Two smaller induced
proteins did not appear to correspond in size to proteins
detected in the pulse labelling experiment and may have
been breakdown products of the viral structural proteins.

**Phylogenetic relationships**

A Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree was inferred
from a MUSCLE alignment of the complete L protein
sequences of HYV, PUCV and rhabdoviruses represent-
ing all currently assigned genera and species in the Rhab-
doviridae (Figure 5). HYV and PUCV fell together within
the ephemerovirus clade, supported by strong bootstrap
values. HYV and PUCV clustered most closely with BEFV and BRMV in a subclade that also included KIMV.

Antigenic relationships
Antigenic relationships between PUCV and several other ephemeroviruses (BEFV, BRMV, KIMV, ARV, OBOV and KOTV) were determined by virus neutralisation tests (Table 1). As observed previously, low-level cross-neutralisation was observed between BEFV and BRMV and between ARV and OBOV. Very low-level cross-neutralisation of KIMV and OBOV (titre 1/20) was also detected using anti-PUCV IMAF (homologous titre 1/5120). Virus neutralisation tests were also conducted to determine the antigenic relationship between PUCV and HYV by using anti-PUCV IMAF, anti-BEFV and anti-KIMV bovine sera and three sera found to be positive by a PUCV G protein ELISA (Table 2). Anti-PUCV IMAF (homologous titre 1/2560) cross-reacted strongly with HYV (titre 1/640) and one of the bovine sera reacted strongly to HYV (titre 1/160) but only weakly to PUCV (titre 1/20). These data indicate that HYV is distinct antigenically from PUCV and suggest that at least one of the cattle that tested positive by PUCV G protein ELISA had more likely been infected with HYV.
Sera collected in June 2000 from 41 cattle in a sentinel herd at Beatrice Hill Farm were tested for neutralising antibodies to HYV (Additional file 6A). The animals had been recruited as sentinels in December 1999 and January 2000 at the same farm as the bull from which HYV was isolated in February 2000. Of the 41 sera, one tested strongly positive for HYV (titre 1/72), four tested weakly positive (titres 1/20 to 1/40), 10 were questionable (titres 1/10 to 1/20) and the remainder were negative (titre <1/10). BEFV neutralising antibody was also detected in 27 of these 41 sera. Neutralisation tests were conducted on sera collected from the five HYV-positive cattle from January to June 2000, to establish when HYV infection may have occurred in these animals. The results indicated a clear seroconversion in one animal (#54) in March 2000 (titre >1/160) indicating that HYV was still circulating at this time (Additional file 6B). Significantly, all five animals had already seroconverted to BEFV on first sampling in January. Sera collected during 2011 and 2012 from nine cattle in sentinel herds either at Beatrice Hill Farm (two animals) or Berrimah Farm (seven animals) were also tested for HYV neutralising antibodies (Additional file 6C). Of these, four animals, all from Berrimah Farm, showed strong evidence of seroconversion to HYV (titres ≥80), with two seroconverting in May 2012 and one each in February and December 2012 (another animal was positive in November 2012, the only time point tested for this animal). However, three of these four animals also appeared to seroconvert to BEFV at the same time (or shortly after) and clinical cases of BEFV were also recorded in the same herd during this time period, indicating co-circulation of BEFV and HYV.

Experimental infection of cattle
Four cattle were inoculated intravenously with $10^{6.8}$ TCID$_{50}$ of the cell culture-adapted strain of PUCV and observed for 3 weeks for clinical signs. Rectal temperatures remained normal (37.9–40.2 °C) throughout and appetite was unaffected. One of the four animals had a mild discharge from the right eye on day 3 and day 4 post-inoculation. Blood collected from each animal pre-inoculation (day 0), on days 1 to 7 post-inoculation and at termination of the experiment all tested negative for PUCV RNA by RT-PCR and negative for antibody to PUCV G protein by ELISA.

Discussion
In Australia, East Asia and the Middle-East, bovine ephemeral fever is considered to be an important disease of cattle, causing significant production losses in both dairy and beef herds [7–9, 43]. BEFV occurs as a single serotype throughout its geographic range through tropical and sub-tropical regions from South Africa to Japan [3]. Although eight other antigenically related, but distinct, ephemeroviruses had been described prior to this study, none have ever been isolated from animals

| Table 1 Serum neutralisation tests for PUCV against related ephemeroviruses. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Viruses**     | **Antisera**    | **BEFV**        | **BRMV**        | **PUCV**        | **KIMV**        | **ARV**         | **OBOV**        | **KOTV**        |
| BEFV            | BRMV            | PUCV            | KIMV            | ARV             | OBOV            | KOTV            |
| 1,280           | 20              | –               | –               | –               | –               | –               | –               |
| 80              | 40              | –               | –               | –               | –               | –               | –               |
| –*             | –               | 5120            | –               | –               | –               | –               | –               |
| –               | –               | 20              | 80              | –               | –               | –               | –               |
| –               | –               | –               | –               | 640             | –               | –               | –               |
| –               | –               | 20              | –               | 40              | 1,280           | –               | 640             |
| –               | –               | –               | –               | –               | –               | 40              | 640             |

Homologous serum titres are shown in italic.

* A titre of < 1/20 was considered negative.

| Table 2 Comparison of PUCV and HYV neutralisation titres for control and selected bovine field sera. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Serum** | **Description** | **Neutralisation titre** | **PUCV** | **HYV** |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| a-PUCV | PUCV immune mouse ascites fluid (IMAF) | 1/2560 | 1/640 |
| a-BEFV | Bovine serum—vaccinated against BEFV | –* | – |
| 164 066 | Bovine serum—ELISA-positive to KIMV ELISA-negative to PUCV | – | – |
| 163 862 | Bovine serum—weak ELISA-positive to PUCV | – | – |
| 164 934 | Bovine serum—strong ELISA-positive to PUCV | 1/20 | 1/160 |
| 158 733 | Bovine serum—ELISA-positive to PUCV (recent seroconversion) | – | 1/20 |

* A titre of < 1/20 was considered negative.
showing signs of disease or shown to cause ephemeral fever experimentally in cattle, with the notable exception of a single recorded outbreak of KOTV in Nigeria [11, 12] and tentatively the as yet uncharacterised Mavirongi virus in Mayotte Island [13]. Indeed, several of these other ephemeroviruses, such as KIMV and ARV, commonly infect cattle in Australia and elsewhere, with no evidence of association with disease [14, 16]. It is, therefore, quite significant that we report the isolation of a novel ephemerovirus from a moribund bovine displaying severe clinical signs of ephemeral fever.

Unfortunately, there was a lag of several years between the disease event and identification of HYV and, with no remaining infectious blood and cell culture passage yielding only low titre material, we were unable to conduct experimental infections to fulfil River’s postulates. An experimental infection in cattle with closely related PUCV was conducted and failed to show evidence of either infection or disease; however, it is well established that, even for BEFV, cattle are usually not susceptible to infection with cell culture adapted virus [44]. Nevertheless, several factors suggest that HYV may have been responsible for the disease. Firstly, other infections that could have caused similar clinical signs, including TSE, babesiosis and BEFV itself, were specifically excluded by laboratory tests. Secondly, HYV was isolated at the time of clinical disease; ephemerovirus infections in cattle are typically brief and virus isolation from blood is usually only possible at or near the time of peak viraemia. Thirdly, although mortalities due to bovine ephemeral fever are relatively uncommon, the most severe cases usually occur in older, larger cattle; in this case the diseased animal was a mature, 2.5 year-old bull. Fourthly, although co-circulation of BEFV and other ephemeroviruses has been reported previously [13], no isolations of BEFV were made during this period from other cattle located at the farm, suggesting BEFV infections were not occurring in the herd at the time. Serological evidence also indicated that BEFV had already passed through the herd several months previously. Although we cannot conclude unequivocally that HYV was the cause of disease, there is certainly sufficient evidence to suggest that testing of cattle in northern Australia for HYV infection during future outbreaks of ephemeral fever would be worthwhile.

HYV is quite closely related, both antigenically and phylogenetically, to PUCV which was isolated from mosquitoes in Malaysia in 1965. This raises the question as to whether HYV and PUCV should be assigned to different species or, like KIMV and MALV, should be considered geographic variants of the same virus [23]. Currently, demarcation criteria approved by the ICTV require that viruses assigned to different species within the genus *Ephemerovirus* have several of the following characteristics: (A) minimum amino acid sequence divergence of 15% in L; (B) minimum amino acid sequence divergence of 8% in N; (C) can be distinguished in serological tests; and (D) significant differences in genome organisation as evidenced by numbers and locations of ORFs [1]. HYV and PUCV sit marginally outside the criteria A and B (12.3% divergence in L and 4.5% divergence in N), can be distinguished in virus neutralisation tests (criterion C) and differ marginally in genome organisation (criterion D), albeit only in an alternative ORF in the β gene (βx) that may or may not be expressed. However, the relationship between BEFV and BRMV (which are already assigned to separate species) is similar to the relationship between HYV and PUCV in terms of whole genome nucleotide sequence divergence and amino acid sequence divergence in the N, G and L proteins (Additional file 3). In this context, it is useful to consider that there is a maximum of 5.7% amino acid sequence divergence (p-distance) amongst G protein ectodomain sequences of all 149 available BEFV strains isolated in Japan, mainland China, Taiwan, Australia and Israel from 1956 to 2012 [23, 45]. By contrast, G protein ectodomain sequence divergence between this set of BEFV isolates and BRMV is in the range 19.1% and 21.8%. Sequence divergence of the equivalent sequences of HYV and PUCV is 18.0%. This argues strongly for the assignment of HYV and PUCV to two new and separate ephemerovirus species. A similar analysis indicated that the divergence between partial G and P protein sequences of KIMV and MALV fall within the range of genetic diversity displayed by BEFV isolates [23].

Serological data presented here indicate that several cattle in the sentinel herd at Beatrice Hill Farm had developed neutralising antibody to HYV by June 2000 (Additional file 6A), including at least one that seroconverted in February–March when HYV was isolated from the moribund bull (Additional file 6B). The data also indicate that HYV was active at Berrimah Farm (located 50 km north-west of Beatrice Hill Farm) in 2011–2012 (Additional file 6C), suggesting that, like several other ephemeroviruses, HYV is endemic in northern Australia. However, due to antigenic cross-reactivity, we cannot exclude the possibility that PUCV is also present in Australia. BEFV and KIMV have a widespread distribution in Australia, extending from the Northern Territory into Queensland, New South Wales and northern regions of Western Australia [14, 45, 46], reflecting the distribution of potential insect vectors [47]. In addition, the data from Berrimah Farm suggests a correlation between seroconversion for HYV and BEFV for animals B01, B12 and possibly B18. Whether this is due to cross-reactivity between the
two viruses, or the cocirculation of both viruses in the herd is unknown. Natural infection of cattle with BEFV, KIMV, BRMV or ARV can induce both homologous and heterologous neutralising antibody responses [48]. However, many of the ephemeroviruses may show similar epidemiology and circulation patterns. Further serological testing should be conducted to determine the extent of the geographic range of HYV across the continent and the degree to which this virus co-circulates with other ephemeroviruses.

The organisation of the HYV genome is similar to those of BEFV, BRMV, KIMV and PUCV, featuring genes encoding the same set of accessory proteins (GNS, α1, α2, β and γ) between the G and L genes. Of these, only the BEFV GNS and α1 proteins have been identified definitively in infected cells [49, 50], although polyadenylated transcripts for these and the other accessory genes have been identified for several ephemeroviruses [22, 23, 51]. Due to the poor HYV titres obtained in cell culture, our expression analysis here focussed on PUCV. As reported previously for KIMV, MALV, KOTV and OBOV [22, 23], in addition to the major structural proteins and the GNS protein, several virus-induced protein bands were detected with a relative mobility range of approximately 9–15 kDa. Although we could not identify these specifically as the small PUCV accessory proteins (with calculated molecular weights in the range 13.4–16.6 kDa), there was evidence of expression of their corresponding mRNAs. However, assignment of proteins based only on relative migration in polyacrylamide gels is problematic.

Numerous ephemeroviruses have been identified previously in Australian cattle, but this is the first time that a non-BEFV ephemerovirus has been tentatively linked to clinical disease. Although no infection or disease resulted from the experimental inoculation of cattle with the closely related PUCV (possibly due to cell culture adaptation), differences in clinical outcomes between pairs of closely related ephemeroviruses are well known. Both known agents of bovine ephemeral fever, BEFV and KOTV, are closely related to viruses that do not cause disease (BRMV and KOOLV, respectively [15, 17]). In addition, bovine ephemeral fever-like disease has been observed in Australia in the absence of BEFV seroconversion, indicating the likely involvement of another pathogen [2]. As an ephemerovirus, HYV is certainly a potential candidate. Although it has only been tentatively linked to a single case of disease so far, the serological evidence suggests that this virus regularly infects Australian cattle. This indicates the need for the further study of HYV, to establish its distribution, its impact on the evolution of BEFV and, most importantly, whether it truly is an agent of disease.
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**Additional file 5. Clustal W amino acid sequence alignments of the small accessory proteins of HYV, PUCV and BEFV.** A) α1 proteins. Predicted transmembrane domains are shaded (grey). Large aromatic residues in the N-terminal domains (underlined) and basic residues in the C-terminal domains (bold) are characteristic of class 1a viroporins. B) α2 proteins which are each encoded in a second consecutive ORF within the α gene. C) β proteins. D) γ proteins. Identical (*), strongly conserved (:) and weakly conserved (;) amino acids are indicated.
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