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Abstract. This study examines the effect of inequality of public facilities, namely education, health, and road condition, on the income inequality in Central Java Province, Indonesia. By employing the time-series data of 15 years, this study analyzes the Gini index and the relationship between the Gini index and Index of public facilities by the regression model. The study finds that the inequality of the provision of public facilities affects the income distribution in Central Java, Indonesia.
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1 Introduction

Development is a fundamental process of changing the aspects of people’s lives. Development should be understood as a multi-dimensional process involving major changes in social structure, community attitudes, and national institutions, such as accelerating economic growth, reducing inequality, and eradicating absolute poverty[1]. Thus, development should lead to better living conditions for people. Development outcomes should contribute to improving the welfare of the people. Therefore, development should pay attention to the principles of good development in developing countries and in developed countries, which focuses on all assets: physical capital, human, and natural, complete distributive aspects over time, and emphasizes the institutional framework for governance good[2].

Gaps availability of public facilities is also a challenge for the region. The availability of public facilities also has an agglomerated tendency in certain areas that are considered to have a strategic position in the economic field. The gap in the provision of public facilities could have an impact on the smoothness of the regional development process. Availability of public facilities will stimulate the interest of private parties to invest.

In line with that idea, this study attempts to examine the effect of the gap in public facilities provision on income inequalities. The provision of public facilities in several regencies/cities in Central Java, is expected to affect the distribution of income between regencies/cities. The gap will be analyzed using Gini index. This study aims to identify the effect of the gap education facilities, health, and road conditions on income distribution in Central Java Province. Some of the research problem is formulated as follows: (1) are there gaps the educational facilities influence on the income distribution gap?; (2) are there gaps the health facility influence on the income distribution gap?; and (3) is there any influence of the existence of the damaged road condition on the income distribution gap?

Regional desentralization launched in 1999 is a momentum for local governments to improve the quality of the region through increased development outcomes and empower local governments in the formulation of economic and political decisions. Because those who know the condition of each environment are the each regional governments. Regional desentralization provides full authority to local governments to manage local resources in order to improve the welfare conditions of local communities. Region has a chance to become policy makers to increase social welfare. Faced with the challenges of regional development disparities between regencies/cities. The most frequently highlighted gap is the regional income gap. The income gap is often measured using the Gini index. In this study, the determination of regional income
distribution index or public facilities area using gini index. Gini index is basically an index that describes lame or not distribution of regional income or public facilities in a country.

Inequality in distribution of income that occurred was allegedly affected by the inequality distribution of public facilities. Basically, public facilities are facilities provided by the state to be utilized by the people. But in its development, public facilities are not only provided by the government but also provided by the private sector. Public facilities that are often utilized by the general public are education facilities, health facilities, telecommunication facilities, and transportation facilities.

2 Methodology

The data used in this study comes from secondary data drawn from statistics published by the Central Bureau of Central Java Province[3]. Time series data the period 1999 to 2013 was used to predict the effect of gaps educational, health, and road conditions regencies/cities on income distribution inequality in Central Java.

3 Discussion

Multiple regression models are used in estimation. In this study, the distribution of income in Central Java province is estimated to be affected by the distribution of public facilities. Thus we can draw an estimation model as follows:

$$G_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 G_{i-1} + \beta_2 X_1 + \beta_3 X_2 + \epsilon_i$$

Where:

- $$G_y$$ = Gini Index of Income
- $$G_{SD}$$ = Gini Index of Elementary School Facilities
- $$G_{SMP}$$ = Gini Index of Junior High School
- $$G_{SMU}$$ = Gini Index of High School Facilities
- $$G_{RS}$$ = Gini Index of Hospital
- $$G_{PK}$$ = Gini Index of Puskesmas
- $$G_{Jlnrus}$$ = Gini Index of Street in Heavy Damage Condition

Based on the estimates result above, some interpretation can be arranged as follows:

a. LogSD probability of 0.982 showed that no effect inequality of availability elementary school facility on the unequal distribution of income, so that H1 is rejected.

b. LogSMP probability of 0.328 show that no influence inequality secondary school facilities on the unequal distribution of income, so that H2 was rejected.

c. LogSMU probability of 0.0001 indicates an inequality in the availability of high school facilities influence on the inequality of income distribution, so that H3 is accepted.

d. LogRS probability of 0.0014 shows the influence of inequality availability of hospital on unequal distribution of income, so that H4 is accepted.

e. LogPus probability of 0.5046 showed no effect of inequality availability of facilities for health centers on the unequal distribution of income, so that H5 was rejected.

f. LogJlnRs probability of 0.0337 shows the influence damaged road conditions on the unequal distribution of income, so that H6 received.

4 Conclusion

Inequality of income distribution is affected by the inequality distribution of public facilities. Rationally,
the increase in income will be greatly influenced by the provision of public facilities by the government and the private sector. In reality, income distribution in Central Java is affected by inequalities in the distribution of school infrastructure, health infrastructure, environment and transportation infrastructure. The uneven distribution of hospitals causes the condition of public health to decline.

On the other hand, the existence of imbalance in transportation infrastructure condition resulted in the business and trade process being disturbed, because the community is unable to access the communication more quickly. And the existence of road damage will result in the distribution of capital and labor mobility will be disrupted.

The meaning of the existence of development for the community, influenced by the provision of public facilities by the government. The more equitable and quality service provided by the government, the more meaningful the government's performance for the community. Importantly in regional development are multidimensional actions to empower people, as proposed by Thomas et al. as follows:

1. Enhance the assets of the poor by ensuring access to quality health and education services.
2. Increase attention to distributive effects and public investment and reduce subsidies to the types of educational and health services that benefit the rich.
3. Facilitate the full use of human capital by empowering the poor with opportunities for land, credit, training and employment.
4. Complete all human capital investments with economic reform and market openness, which increases educational productivity.
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