Quantum Sheaf Cohomology, a précis
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Abstract. We present a brief introduction to quantum sheaf cohomology, a generalization of quantum cohomology based on the physics of the (0,2) nonlinear sigma model.

This paper is based on a talk given on 13 December, 2010 during the Second Latin Congress on Symmetries in Geometry and Physics at the Universidade Federal do Paraná in Curitiba, Brazil. Throughout, we will consider $X$ to be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension $n$. In addition, we will consider $\mathcal{E} \to X$ to be a complex Hermitian vector bundle of rank $k$ satisfying

(i) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = c_2(T_X)$,
(ii) $\det \mathcal{E}^\vee \cong \omega_X$.

As these conditions imply the usual Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation conditions, we will call such a bundle anomalous$^1$. One may consider (ii) to be an analogue of the usual condition for existence of the $B$-model. A bundle satisfying these conditions may be obtained by, for example, selecting a deformation of the tangent bundle when $X$ is a projective toric variety.

Quantum Cohomology

Ordinary cohomology. We now give some elementary facts about the cohomology of $X$, stated in a way that will facilitate our point of view on quantum sheaf cohomology. Since $X$ is Kähler, there is a Hodge decomposition on $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$,

$$H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{p,q} H^p(X, \bigwedge^q T_X^\vee).$$

By a slight abuse of language, we will refer to elements of the sheaf cohomology groups $H^p(X, \bigwedge^q T_X^\vee)$ as $(p, q)$-forms – clearly $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ possesses a basis consisting of such forms. The cup/wedge product on cohomology furnishes this vector space

---

$^1$That is, not anomalous – this delightful terminology is due to Ron Donagi.
with the structure of a bigraded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Finally, integration of forms induces a trace on the algebra; in terms of a basis element $\omega$,

$$\text{tr}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \int_X \omega & \omega \in H^n(X, \bigwedge^n T_X^\vee) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The pairing $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \text{tr}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ induced by this trace is a non-degenerate bilinear form satisfying $(\alpha, \beta \wedge \gamma) = (\alpha \wedge \beta, \gamma)$, so that $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a bigraded Frobenius algebra.

**Physics.** The relationship between ordinary cohomology and quantum cohomology may be elucidated by appealing to physics – in particular to a topologically-twisted $(2,2)$ nonlinear sigma model of maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \to X$. Of the many intriguing aspects of this quantum field theory, we will be most interested in its algebra of massless supersymmetric operators. Using elementary physics arguments, one identifies a basis for the set of such operators that may be set into one-to-one correspondence with $(p,q)$-forms on $X$.

The $(2,2)$ supersymmetry of the model forces the product of two massless supersymmetric operators to be massless and supersymmetric. The particular form of the product obtains by considering three-point correlation functions in the quantum field theory: the quantum product of two massless operators $O_1$ and $O_2$ is defined to be the unique operator $(O_1 * O_2)$ such that for all massless operators $O_3$,

$$\langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle = \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} \langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle_{\beta} q^\beta.$$

Although they have intrinsic meaning in physics, we will consider the expressions $q^\beta$ to comprise a set of formal variables endowed with the structure of a monoid via the product $q^\alpha q^\beta = q^{\alpha + \beta}$. We denote by $\mathbb{C}[q]$ the ring of formal power series with complex coefficients in these variables – one sometimes insists on convergence or other properties, but such subtleties are beyond the scope of this review.

Mathematically, one defines the expression $\langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle_{\beta}$ as the Gromov-Witten invariant $\langle I_{0,3,\beta} \rangle(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$, where $\omega_i$ are the forms corresponding to the operator $O_i$. Physically, one says that $\langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle_{\beta} q^\beta$ denotes the contribution of instantons of degree $\beta$ to the correlation function $\langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle$. This expression is morally the integral of induced forms on some compactification $\overline{M(X, \beta)}$ of the moduli space of holomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ of class $\beta = f_*[\mathbb{P}^1]$. We will write the induced forms schematically using maps

$$\zeta_\beta : H^p(X, \bigwedge^q T_X^\vee) \to H^p \left( \overline{M(X, \beta)}, \bigwedge^q T_{\overline{M(X, \beta)}}^\vee \right).$$

More precisely, it is the algebra of local, scalar, supersymmetric operators [Wit91].

See equation 7.4 of [CK00] for a precise definition of Gromov-Witten invariants.
If $\omega_i$ are the forms corresponding to operators $O_i$, modulo the subtleties of obstruction bundles we have that

$$\langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle_\beta = \int_{M(X,\beta)} \zeta_\beta(\omega_1) \wedge \zeta_\beta(\omega_2) \wedge \zeta_\beta(\omega_3).$$

Depending on the compactification, there may be more than one such map – in the case of the stable maps compactification, pullbacks via evaluation maps play the role of $\zeta_\beta$. For toric varieties, one often uses the Morrison-Plesser compactification \[\text{MRP95}\] wherein – as indicated in \((3)\) – one map suffices for each $\beta$.

The three-point correlation functions in \((2)\) induce a non-degenerate bilinear pairing

$$\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle = \langle O_1 O_2 \rangle$$

on the unital algebra \(\bigoplus_{p,q} H^p(X, \bigwedge^q T_X^\vee)[q]\), leading to the following definition.

**Definition 1.** The quantum cohomology of $X$ is the Frobenius algebra

$$QH^\bullet(X) := \bigoplus_{p,q} H^p(X, \bigwedge^q T_X^\vee)[q],$$

with the product and bilinear pairing induced by \((2,2)\) three-point functions.

Here, the \((2,2)\) correlation functions are defined either via Gromov-Witten invariants or as correlation functions in the quantum field theory, depending on whether your tastes tend to the mathematical or to the physical.

**Example 2.** The “classical sector” is the set of maps homotopic to a point, $\beta = 0$, and the moduli space of such maps is simply $X$ itself. Thus, in this sector, the quantum product reduces to the wedge product on forms; ordinary cohomology is the “classical limit” of quantum cohomology. This sector may be isolated by setting $q = 0$. For example, the ordinary and quantum cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^n$ are respectively

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[H]}{(H^{n+1})},$$

$$QH^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[H][q]}{(H^{n+1} - q)}.$$  

Here $H$ denotes the hyperplane class. For $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$, the equivalent expressions are

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m, \mathbb{C}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[H_1, H_2]}{(H_1^{n+1}, H_2^{m+1})},$$

$$QH^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[H_1, H_2][q_1, q_2]}{(H_1^{n+1} - q_1, H_2^{m+1} - q_2)}.$$  

**Quantum Sheaf Cohomology**

As in our study of the passage from ordinary cohomology to quantum cohomology, we first consider the “ordinary sheaf cohomology” – in particular that of an omalous bundle $\mathcal{E} \to X$. Here, by ordinary sheaf cohomology we mean cohomology valued in polysections,

$$\bigoplus_{p,q} H^p(X, \bigwedge^q \mathcal{E}^\vee).$$
Again by a slight abuse of language, we will refer to elements of $H^p(X, \wedge^q E^\vee)$ as $(p, q)$-forms – clearly the vector space (5) possesses a basis consisting of such forms, and the cup/wedge product furnishes it with the structure of a bigraded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.

The trace on this algebra is slightly more subtle and follows from theomaly of $E$. In particular, one uses the existence of an isomorphism $\psi: H^n(X, \wedge^k E) \to H^n(X, \omega_X)$ to define, for a basis element $\omega$,

$$\text{tr}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \int_X \psi(\omega) & \omega \in H^n(X, \wedge^k E^\vee) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(6)

The pairing $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \text{tr}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ induced by this trace endows $\bigoplus_{p,q} H^p(X, \wedge^q E^\vee)$ with the structure of a bigraded Frobenius algebra.

**Physics.** To understand the relationship between sheaf cohomology and quantum sheaf cohomology we again appeal to physics – in particular a topologically-twisted $(0,2)$ nonlinear sigma model of maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \to X$. A recent physics review of this and related models may be found in [McO10]. We will again be most interested in its algebra of massless supersymmetric operators. The same elementary physics arguments used for the $(2,2)$ theory identify a basis for this set of operators that may be placed into one-to-one correspondence with $(p,q)$-forms (that is, elements of (5)), and the quantum product of two massless operators is defined using three-point correlation functions of the $(0,2)$ in analogy to (1). Unlike the $(2,2)$ case, however, there is no mathematical definition of $\langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle_{\beta}$ in a $(0,2)$ theory so the following definition is purely physical.

**Definition 3.** The quantum sheaf cohomology of an omalous bundle $E \to X$ is the Frobenius algebra

$$QH^\bullet(X, E) := \bigoplus_{p,q} H^p(X, \wedge^q E^\vee) \otimes \mathbb{C}[q]$$

with the product and bilinear pairing induced by $(0,2)$ three-point functions.

As in the case of ordinary quantum cohomology, the classical limit of quantum sheaf cohomology is precisely the ordinary sheaf cohomology with the Frobenius structure induced by (6). Unlike the case in $(2,2)$ theories, $(0,2)$ supersymmetry is not enough to guarantee that the product of massless operators is massless: one needs to work harder to show that the algebra closes in the set of all operators.

**Existence.** The (modern) history of quantum sheaf cohomology begins with the observation in [ABS04] of an analogue of $QH^\bullet(X)$ for $(0,2)$ theories. Therein, the quantum sheaf cohomology of a one-parameter family of deformations of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ was computed using a conjectured form of mirror symmetry for $(0,2)$ models. Their calculations were confirmed in a sheaf-cohomology-based computation by Katz and Sharpe [KS06]. Inspired by these results, Adams,

---

4As explained in [ADE06], we are actually interested in local, scalar, supersymmetric operators with vanishing holomorphic conformal weight, but for continuity we will refer to them as massless supersymmetric or simply massless.
Distler, and Ernebjerg [ADE06] gave a physics definition of quantum sheaf cohomology and found a physics proof of two sufficient conditions for its existence. We restate these conditions here as conjectures.

**Conjecture 4.** Let $E$ and $E'$ be omalous elements of a family of bundles $U$. Let $\gamma: [0, 1] \to U$ continuous with $\gamma(0) = E$, $\gamma(1) = E'$, $\gamma(t)$ omalous for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $QH^\bullet(X, E)$ exists iff $QH^\bullet(X, E')$ exists.

**Conjecture 5.** If $E \to X$ is omalous and $\text{rk } E < 8$, then $QH^\bullet(X, E)$ exists.

Since $QH^\bullet(X, T_X) = QH^\bullet(X)$, the former condition implies the existence of quantum sheaf cohomology for all omalous one-parameter families of tangent-bundle deformations. The latter is likely an artefact of the technique used in the physics proof – there are no known examples of omalous bundles of rank eight or higher for which the massless operators fail to close under the quantum product, and there are no physical reasons to expect such a bundle to exist.

**Computation example.** Although there is no definition for the invariants $\langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle_\beta$, a number of physics-inspired techniques exist to compute them when the omalous bundle is a deformation of the tangent bundle of a toric variety [KS06, GK07, MM08] or a complete intersection therein[MM09]. One of the advantages of using a toric variety $X$ is that deformations of $T_X$ are easily obtained by deforming the Euler exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{E_0} \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Delta} \mathcal{O}_X(D_\rho) \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow 0.$$ 

Here, $r$ is the rank of the Picard group, $\Delta$ denotes the set of torus-invariant divisors corresponding to one-cones in the fan of $X$, and $E_0$ is a collection of sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(D_\rho)$, which are toric analogues of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$. Taking $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, for example, the sequence becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^2 \xrightarrow{E_0} \mathcal{O}_X(1, 0)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(0, 1)^2 \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the map is

$$E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

A deformation of $T_X$ may be obtained by choosing a different collection of sections for the map. For example, selecting the map to be

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & \epsilon_1 x_0 + \epsilon_2 x_1 \\ x_1 & \epsilon_3 x_0 \\ \gamma_1 x_2 + \gamma_2 x_3 & x_2 \\ \gamma_3 x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

as in [GK07] gives a convenient basis for the space of deformations of the tangent bundle ($\epsilon_i, \gamma_i \in \mathbb{C}$). Therein, several of the invariants $\langle O_1 O_2 O_3 \rangle_\beta$ were computed.
for the bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined as the cokernel of (7). These were then used to deduce the quantum sheaf cohomology of $\mathcal{E}$;

\[
QH^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[\psi, \bar{\psi}][[q_1, q_2]]}{\langle \psi^2 + \epsilon_1 \psi \bar{\psi} - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \bar{\psi}^2 - q_1, \bar{\psi}^2 + \gamma_1 \psi \bar{\psi} - \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \psi^2 - q_2 \rangle}.
\]

These computations were confirmed in [MM08] using physics techniques. Note that as $\epsilon_i, \gamma_i \to 0$, the quantum sheaf cohomology in (8) limits to the ordinary quantum cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in (4).

This material is based on work supported by the National Science Foundation under DMS grant no. 0636606.
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