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Abstract: This paper proposes an innovative mechatronic piezo-actuated module to control vibrations in modern machine tools. Vibrations represent one of the main issues that compromise seriously the quality of the workpiece. The active vibration control (AVC) device is composed by a host part integrated with sensors and actuators synchronized by a regulator, able to make a self-assessment and adjust to the environmental alteration. This study presents the mechatronic model based on the kinematic and dynamic analysis of the AVC device. To ensure a real time performance, a H2-LQG controller has been developed and validated by simulations involving machine tool, PZT actuator and controller models. The hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) architecture is adopted to control and attenuate the vibrations. A set of experimental tests has been performed to validate the AVC module on a commercial machine tool. The feasibility of the real time vibration damping is demonstrated and the simulation accuracy is evaluated.
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1. Introduction

In modern machine tools, mechatronics may play a key role in improving machining performances and guaranteeing high quality and efficiency. Over the past years, many researches have been developed to identify innovative solutions to minimize the undesirable effect of vibrations that compromise seriously the quality of the workpiece. This study aims at dealing with control and mitigation of vibrations in machining, proposing an innovative mechatronic device based on piezoelectric stack actuators. An active module is presented, composed by a host part integrated with sensors and actuators synchronized by a regulator able to make a self-assessment and adjust to the environmental alteration. In this way, mechanical dynamics and the control theories have been examined in designing smart piezoelectric structures.

The practice of piezoelectric materials as actuators of vibration control has been proved with success over the past twenty years [1,2], nevertheless this field is still growing in terms of exploration and progress [1]. Vibrations represent one of the main issues that affect the quality of a workpiece and they need to be considered from the design phase of a machine tool. They are usually classified in three main categories: free, self-induced and forced vibrations. The first type of vibrations is related to pulsating excitations and it has a broad range of origins, such as imperfection of materials, inertia forces from mobile parts or shocks transmitted by foundations. The second class of vibrations, known as chatter as well, is produced during the cutting process itself and they are induced by the unpredictability of the cutting phase. The last group of vibrations is generated by periodically varying forces due to bearing abnormalities, unstable effects, intermittent cutting, faulty gears, impact and motion of the foundations.

There is a set of approaches able to control and mitigate vibrations. These methods focus on the design of machine tool or on the vibration compensation through passive and active control strategies. The design-based methods aims at making machine tools having a structure that is
stable, stiff and able to damp vibrations. State of art shows a broad range of designs to reduce the weight of machine structures using innovative materials and achieving kinematic and dynamic performances [3-5].

Instead, the compensation-based methods include both traditional methods, called passive controls, and active control strategies. Passive controls aim at optimizing machine working parameters or using dampers and vibrations absorbers. They are not expensive, nevertheless they may negatively impact on machine efficiency and productivity. The alternative methods are the active controls, based on structural systems able to alter machine dynamics by installing smart actuators and vibrations sensors forming a closed-loop.

State of art proposes a number of mechatronic applications on active vibration control [6]. Usually, these systems focus on smart bearings solutions, single degree of freedom piezo actuators or voice coils applications [7]. Mechatronic products in the field of micro electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) are piezoelectric acceleration sensors, micro-actuators and micro-pumps [8-12]. In particular, Shan et al. [13] presented two control techniques based on axis frame mounted with a PZT transducer. Zhang et al. [14] conducted strain rate feedback control to suppress unwanted vibration of a manipulator with flexible parts. Flexible parallel manipulators are also used to achieve high structural vibration suppression using direct output feedback control [15].

To identify the most suitable strategy, a study of vibration sources and frequencies is required. In machine tool analysis, the frequency modes are associated to the structural parts [16, 17] (commonly at low frequency), tool, spindle system [18] (influenced by rpm / speed) and material workpiece [19, 20]. Figure 1 summarizes the exciting frequency ranges of a set of materials in milling and turning machining. In general, hard material workpieces may generate vibrations with frequencies in a range below 200Hz, while the frequency range defined from 160Hz to 350Hz is connected to machining of common steel materials. Light alloy materials have frequencies greater than 300Hz. The undesirable effect of vibrations appears on the displacement of tool tip point that generates irregularity, making the workpiece surface unacceptable for product quality.

This study presents an innovative active mechatronic module that aims at controlling and mitigating vibrations forced by a set of undesirable processes in the machine, such as unbalanced rotating masses, issues on gears, faulty bearing, etc. As shown above, the main sources of vibrations are connected to manufacturing operations and environment conditions, an active control of vibration provides a number of advantages in avoiding potential breakdowns of machine to reduce vibrations, gaining highly efficient machining and guaranteeing the required workpiece quality.

Figure 1. Summary of machine tool modal frequency ranges in turning and milling machining [21]

The proposed device has been studied focusing on a light-weight structure and compactness design to be integrated into a micromilling machine. It has to link the electro-spindle with the vertical axis of a machine tool using 3 PZT actuators that permit the relative displacement of two platforms. This study covers the design and modeling of the mechatronic module. An experimental set-up on a commercial milling-machine tool has been performed to achieve the vibration damping.
and validate the active vibration control (AVC) module. The experimental outcomes are congruent with the Finite Element analysis confirming the design assumptions.

2. Active Vibration Control (AVC) module: principles and technical features

This novel module is a smart element that deals with the vibration mitigation in micro-cutting processes. The main principle is to screen the vibratory frequency, controlling the displacement at tool tip point of the machine. The proposed approach integrates mechatronic and control theories since the design phase. The device aims at increasing the quality of workpiece finishing through an AVC architecture based on high performance PZT-actuators. It is originated from the Stewart platform [22-26], but it has only 3 DOFs (2 rotation on X, Y axes and a displacement on the Z direction). Figure 2 shows an overview of the AVC system, that includes 2 platforms. The fixed platform is bounded to the machine tool vertical axis, instead the mobile is linked to the frame of the spindle. Three PZT multi-stack actuators permit the relative movement of these 2 platforms. The functional concept focuses on the recognition of undesirable displacements at tool tip point. When a deviation is measured, 3 actuators are switched on in order to smooth pulsations and their consequences on the surface quality. The piezo-electric element is able to manage compressive axial loads, but it needs a precaution with tensile and/or shear forces, for this reason special flexures have been designed and integrated to prevent any breakage or failure.

**Figure 2.** 3D CAD Module overview

Considering the XYZ plane of Figure 2, Table 1 explains the motion strategy of the AVC device. An undesirable displacement on X axis of the tool tip point is compensated by a differential movement of the actuators. Supposing the mobile plate of platform as a rigid body, the kinematic correlation between displacement at tool tip point and actuation strokes is shown in Table 1.

| Tool Tip Point (TTP) | Actuation strokes |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Δ TTP (X; Y; Z) = (1; 0; 0) | Act (Act1; Act2; Ac3) = (+ 1.0; 0.0; − 1.0) |
| Δ TTP (X; Y; Z) = (0; 1; 0) | Act (Act1; Act2; Ac3) = (− 0.5; 1.0; − 0.5) |
| Δ TTP (X; Y; Z) = (0; 0; 1) | Act (Act1; Act2; Ac3) = (+ 1.0; 1.0; + 1.0) |

In this way, the AVC module may be pointed out as a black block between two interfacings of the machine tool. The movement of smart block is actuated by 3 piezo-electric stack actuators, which are located in a strategical position. The piezo electric actuation is regulated by the strains with sub-micron range sensitivity. A triaxial accelerometer converts the strokes at the tool tip point to be transformed in voltage of PZT-displacement. The AVC module is also equipped by a set of sensors (force, temperature and displacement) useful to permit and monitor the system functionalities.

2.1 The Mechatronic Model

One of the most critical point in control the AVC device is the identification of the correct mechatronic model, that includes a combinations of multidisciplinary fields such as mechanical,
electrical, control and energy engineering. The first step is to evaluate the machine tool behaviour using a set of simulations. In this way, a mechanical model may be represented by a Finite Element (FE) analysis. FE aims at describing the main characteristics of the machine tool, focusing on structural parts, links and piezoelectric actuators (Fig. 3). This study was developed on a commercial milling machine and the simulation results are presented in Table 2 and Figure 3. The FE model consists of approximately 150,000 elements.

Table 2. Comparison between experimental and numerical mode and frequencies.

| Mode | FE Model Freq [Hz] | Experimental Freq [Hz] | Damping |
|------|-------------------|------------------------|---------|
| 1    | 19                | 21.6                   | 0.17    |
| 2    | 24                | 24.3                   | 0.09    |
| 3    | 30                | 34.8                   | 0.04    |
| 6    | 53                | 49.1                   | 0.03    |
| 7    | 61                | 59.3                   | 0.02    |
| 8    | 71                | 68.2                   | 0.05    |
| 10   | 80                | 84.1                   | 0.04    |

To validate the simulation results, an experimental modal analysis was performed using the “hummer test”. Table 2 shows a comparison between the FE model frequencies and experimental data at different modes. The FE frequency modes are congruent with the experimental data, confirming the robustness of the numerical model. Figure 3 highlights a set of FE analysis examples.

In particular, FE analysis was replicated to evaluate the impact of the AVC device. It was noted that the mechatronic module introduced a frequency mode at 280 Hz, close to the critical frequency range for a standard milling operation. The aim of the control strategies was to suppress this mode and all others improving the dynamic behavior of the machine tool.

The model was reduced in accordance to Craig and Bampton technique [27-29] in order to facilitate the dynamic simulations and testing. In this way, the degrees of freedom (DOFs) of any substructure were classified as boundary or internal. The basic assumption was that the sub-structure was characterized by defining the constrained modes and a few of normal modes. In fact, it was useless to consider a large number of modes, as only a few of them had a physical meaning. Starting from the “FE model”, a “Reduced FE” with a few number of DOFs has been identified.

In addition, the energy dispersion quantification of structural objects through vibration depended by several damping criteria. Damping parameters could not be quantified from similar structures or predicted by the Finite Element analysis.

In this study, the damping is supposed viscous and influenced by frequency. The assumption is to consider the damping condition as a linear formulation of mass and stiffness properties:

\[ C = \alpha \cdot [M] + \beta \cdot [K], \]

(1)
where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are variables to be identified, while $[M]$ and $[K]$ are respectively the mass and stiffness matrices. The benefit of this construction is that the damping formulation is a diagonal matrix. The damping proportion $\xi_i$ is associated to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ through the following relation:

$$
\xi_i = \frac{\alpha}{2 \cdot \omega_i} + \beta \cdot \frac{\omega_i}{2}.
$$

(2)

with $\omega_i$ is the Eigen-frequency of i-th mode number. The method applied to find damping characteristics is a part of the normalized ranges, known as half-power bandwidth technique. The results show that $\alpha$ varies from 0.05 to 0.10 while $\beta$ is close to $9 \times 10^{-6}$. In particular, it is noted that the mass is proportional to damping, when $\alpha$ is greater than $\beta$.

Another critical point in defining the mechatronic model is the representation of the state space. This procedure aims at illustrating a system of $n$-first order differential equations. Therefore, the use of matrices simplifies the mathematical structure of the system equations. The growth of state variables, inputs and outputs does not influence the complexity of these calculations. An AVC system and regulator need to work in real-time and for this reason the device behavior has to be explored in the time variable.

The state space (SS) model is derived from the corresponding FE model and it describes the dynamic behavior of the architecture using mathematical formulations. The dynamic scheme defines the coherence between the input and output parameters. Table 3 summarizes the SS model that has been created to control the device starting from the FE results.

**Table 3. State Space Model variables.**

| Inputs | Outputs |
|--------|---------|
| Forces on the TTP on X, Y and Z axes | Elongation of the piezo actuators (strain measure) |
| Forces acting on the piezo actuators | Distance between moveable module and fixed ones on 3 points (located on piezo actuators) |
| Forces acting on the kinematic chains X and Y | Accelerations (X, Y, Z axes) measured |

Displacement of TTP (X, Y, Z-axes) elongation of the kinematic chains

In order to perform the simulation, the reduced FE model has been analyzed using Matlab-Simulink software. The reduced form is:

$$
\{M\} \cdot \ddot{z} + \{V\} \cdot z + \{K\} \cdot z = F,
$$

(3)

where $z$ represents the path of movements of real DOFs, $F$ is the forces, $[M]$ and $[K]$ are the mass and stiffness matrices and $[V]$ is the damping matrix. Defined vector $x(t)$, the matrices of state $A$, input $B$, output $C$ and $D$ are deduced from the modal investigation. The dynamics of structure are defined by the SS form as follows:

$$
x = \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{z} \\ z \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \dot{x} = \{A\} \cdot x + \{B\} \cdot u
$$

(4)

$$
u = \begin{bmatrix} F \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow y = \{C\} \cdot x + \{D\} \cdot u
$$

with

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} -[m]^{-1}[v] & -[m]^{-1}[k] \\ [I] & [0] \end{bmatrix}
$$

(5)

$$
B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}
$$

(6)
\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} -[M]^{-1} \\ [0] \end{bmatrix} \]

\([C]\) and \([D]\) depend on selected variables, \(x\) is the state vector and \(u\) represents the energy vector. The SS model of the AVC device may be created using FORTRAN code. This configuration is assimilated to SIMULINK to provide the dynamic reaction of the exhibited assembly (machine tool and AVC module) under defined perturbations.

### 2.2 Control modeling and strategies

The definition of the control strategy plays a key role in guaranteeing the AVC module functionality. State of art presents a broad range of techniques to control AVC systems, that may be classified in two main categories: active feedforward controllers and linear feedback regulators [30-36]. The first group of controllers has the advantages to provide the stability properties and the straightforward physical implementation. Nevertheless feedforward controllers may create a set of issues with a nonlinear feedback. The linear controllers are based on the regulator design, able to manage any potential differentiation between the plant and the model [37].

A number of researches prefer to use linear representations for control strategy and simulation when micro-movements are examined [31-34, 37]. The speed of the feedback architecture has a critical impact on the vibration dominance of smart assemblies.

In this study, a linear controller has been selected, in so far it is especially useful to control vibrations in flexible structures. As defined in SS model, linear control methods have been considered using simulations to optimize the controller implemented in the real-time hardware. In order to decrease the vibration effect, a supervisor has been analyzed to manage the required signals (e.g. voltage). In this configuration, the perturbation signal of the TTP is an input of the regulator to be sent to the PZT-actuator in recovering the displacement. Vibration suppression has been evaluated using the MIMO (Multiple Input - Multiple Output) layout and H2-LQG regulator that provide the chance to drive many actuators and manage huge sensor data [31, 32] in reducing the white noise troubles.

LQG (Linear Quadratic Gaussian) optimal control is briefly introduced. An adjusted regulator factor may be achieved by reducing the following function:

\[
J_{LQG} = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left( y(t)^T \cdot \bar{Q} \cdot y(t) + u(t)^T \cdot \bar{R} \cdot u(t) \right) dt,
\]

where \(Q\) represents the power matrix of the device output and \(R\) is the device input matrix. Linear Quadratic Gaussian (LQG) method may be useful and effective in real manufacturing environments. In addition, the H2 formulation replaces the stochastic reading of the LQG technique using the downsize of the 2-norm of the closed-loop structure. This choice avoids to read factors such as the strength of white noise practices that pass through LQG tricky as stochastic information [36-39]. A closed loop control system needs to guarantee stability, performance and robustness. These proprieties may be satisfied by evaluating the sensitivity function S and complementary sensitivity function T. In particular, the sensitivity S regulates the disturbance on the output of the control scheme while the complementary sensitivity T is significant for the closed-loop reaction and noise measurement. In particular, a robust control system design has to reduce S and T respectively at low and high frequencies and avoid vibration peaks.

In the light of these considerations, the selected regulator is based on LQG-H2 theory [32-40]. The main functional concept of the AVC device is to recognize any undesirable displacement on tool tip point of the machine tool through a three-axial sensor. A regulator panel drives information from the accelerometer managing the dynamic signals to the 3 PZT actuators. The active structure is summarized as follows:
Equations (8) show the SS calculation, where \( x \) is the state vector, \( u \) is the control vector and \( w \) is the input, \( z \) is the controlled variable (movement of TTP) while \( y \) is the recorded output. The H2 control requires to realize a regulator where:

\[
\dot{z}(s) = G_{ac}(s)w(s),
\]

such that \( G_{ac} \) is negligible.

In this way, the H2 dynamic regulator assumes that:

\[
\dot{x} = (A - B_2 \cdot K_c - C_2 \cdot K_c) \cdot x + K_c \cdot y
\]

\[
u = -K_c \cdot \dot{x}
\]

where \( K_c \) and \( K_e \) are solutions of Filter Algebraic Riccati Equation - Control Algebraic Riccati Equation [39]. The proposed solution is simple to manage nevertheless the assumptions restrict H2 optimization to the LQG framework.

2.3 Hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) validation

In order to validate the mechatronic model a set of simulations has been performed using Simulink sw. The simulations involved the machine tool, PZT actuator and controller models. In particular, the PZT actuator linear model has been included into the reduced machine tool representation.

The main objective was to validate any integrated model testing different control schemes. A preliminary verification focused on a perturbation created by a digital input (sin-signal) to recreate the TTP displacement plotted in time-domain. The simulation wanted to represent the undesirable unbalanced tool rotation at 11500 rpm. The control model was tested in both conditions (off/on) highlighting the effectiveness of the control system by 30-50% in terms of displacement amplitude reduction, as shown in Figure 4.

To complete the preliminary validation of the regulator, a test bench was equipped by an electronic board consisting on a Matlab-based-FPGA and a CPU. The main advantage of applying a FP-GA strategy was that the regulator was realized as hardware and it was very fast in comparison to microcontrollers. Figure 5 presents the block-wise flowchart of the regulator electronics with high-voltage amplifier. The regulator panel includes 12 bit A/D converters for analogue sensor (movement or acceleration) in addition to a 16 bit D/A converters to create analogue signals for high-voltage power. The I/O parts of the integrated circuit technology are conveyed on an I/O board and located in the CPU - FPGA board. The acceleration signal generates a differential control signal which is multiplied by different gains for the three different piezo-actuators in order to impose a displacement along X direction. This scheme is simple in parameter setting and easy to be implemented, nevertheless it is strongly dependent by the dynamics of the active device (e.g. the sensor and the amplifier dynamics).
3. Experimental tests and results

A set of experimental tests was performed to validate the AVC system. As shown above, the control schemes have been previously simulated by Simulink, then uploaded on the dSpace control pc. The main objective was to prove the feasibility of the control scheme using the acceleration as feedback. The choice to use the acceleration could complicate the evaluation of feedback signal but it was able to represent a broad range of applications. In this case the displacement feedback could not be taken into consideration as it was a relative measurement.

In order to recreate undesirable conditions, the experimental tests were performed unbalancing the tool. The aim of the tests was to validate the reduction of the TTP displacement due to the tool unbalance. A triaxial accelerometer was located close to the TTP to measure the vibration amplitude, figure 6. In particular, the effect of AVC system was analyzed in both conditions (off/on AVC module). The AVC device was tested considering a set of spindle frequencies, as follow: 10500 rpm, 11000 rpm, 11200 rpm and 11500 rpm.
Figure 6. Experimental test overview

Figure 7 presents the obtained results. For each spindle frequency, the effect of the AVC module is clearly visible in reducing the vibration impact.

Figure 7. Experimental test of unbalanced spindle at different speed considering On - Off control

The improvement of the proposed device is also shown by the Frequency Response Function (FRF), Figure 8. The vibration peaks between 200 Hz and 450 Hz are significantly reduced. Data over 450 Hz are not available due to the noise occurred during the experimental tests. The results do not show particular benefits in reducing vibration at low frequency. This effect is mainly due to the accelerometer model and its sensitivity (0.1 V/m/s²). Next experimental tests will include a new MEMS accelerometer able to respond appropriately at low frequency range.
The FRF of Figure 9 underlines the comparison between the residual steady state of vibration amplitude and the uncontrolled states. The AVC module provides a suppression performance by 30-35% at 380Hz. Tables 4 summarizes the main obtained results from experimental tests.

**Table 4.** Experimental real time effectiveness.

| Frequency Range [Hz] | Control OFF [Peak Magnitude] | Control ON [Peak Magnitude] | Peak Reduction [%] |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 230 – 240            | 8.92                         | 7.01                        | 21.4%              |
| 370 – 380            | 19.36                        | 12.98                       | 32.9%              |

4. Discussion and conclusion

This paper presents an AVC module to control and mitigate the effect of vibration in milling machining. A robust procedure was followed to study the mechatronic model, simulate the system behaviour and test the performance using experimental data. The AVC device is based on the measurement of the undesirable displacement at tool tip point, that activates 3 actuators to smooth pulsations and their consequences on the surface quality. Defined the system architecture [39, 52], the first step was to develop a FE analysis of the assembly (machine tool and AVC system) reduced
by Craig-Bampton technique. The mechatronic model focused on the definition of SS equations and the identification of the most suitable regulator. It was designed and optimized by using a linearized model. To ensure a real time performance a H2-LQG controller was developed. In order to validate the mechatronic model a set of simulations were performed using Simulink sw. The simulations involved the machine tool, PZT actuator and controller models. An algorithm was implemented on an integrated circuit board which receives data from accelerometer and provides the required voltage to actuate PZTs in TTP displacement recovery. A set of experimental tests was executed to validate the AVC system using a commercial machine tool and a FPGA based controller. The experimental results show the AVC performance in reducing the displacement of the spindle TTP of in 250-400 Hz frequency range.

In this study, the selection of control scheme has played a key role in result achievement. State of art presents a significant number of control schemes and algorithms. Authors have identified the main advantages (+) and disadvantages (-) for each approach, as shown in Table 5. This classification is developed considering a set of disturbance sources (e.g. manufacturing parameters, actuation model and model reduction) and the type of control method.

| Disturbance source                | Robust Control | Adaptive Control | Intelligent Control |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Machining parameters              | (+) Easy to implement | Negligible response on the system | Low time to reach convergence, Process parameters variation is rapid | Simple programming |
| Actuation parameter Characteristics | (+) Easy to implement | Negligible response on the system | Process parameters variation is rapid | Simple programming |
| Missing information after FE Model Reduction | (+) Easy to implement | Negligible response on the system | Low time to reach convergence | Best model uncertainties, simple programming |

As shown in Table 5, state of the art [41-49] highlights the potential benefits of the H2-LQG controller that was integrated in the proposed AVC device. In particular, H2-LQG controller is one of the most promising regulator that provides an effective trade-off between displacement compensation and high accuracy performances in a broad frequency range (200-450Hz). In the light of these considerations, the future research should investigate the effect of MEMS accelerometer model on high frequency domain in order to extend this module architecture to a broad mechatronic sector. Further activities will be developed to reduce the AVC mass and improve the design compactness in order to increase its performance in vibration control.
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