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Abstract. The contemporary interdisciplinary field of knowledge, which is the robotics used in unmanned aerial vehicles, is developing very dynamically. In view of the above, the authors of this paper have set themselves the following thesis: it is possible to build a flying mobile robot based on a controller with low computing power and a simple PID controller, and in this respect they undertook to prove it. The examined object in real experiments was the Quadrocopter. The article discusses the tasks implemented during the design and practical implementation of a remotely controlled flying unit. First, a mathematical model describing the dynamics of the UAV movement was defined. Then, electronic components were selected to allow the quadrocopter to fly. The board has a central unit in the form of the ATmega644PA microcontroller. In the following, the process of programming subsequent elements that make up the quadrocopter control program was carried out. The control system for stabilizing the machine requires information about the location of the quadrocopter in space. This is accomplished by a measurement module containing an accelerometer and a gyroscope. In addition, the quadrocopter needs information about the potential operator's commands. In the final part of the article, based on the analysis of the research subject, the mathematical model created and the necessary simulation tests carried out in this area, practical conclusions were presented.

1 Introduction

An unmanned aerial vehicle, colloquially known as a "drone", is an unmanned flying machine with an electric drive. For maintaining in the air, it uses the lifting force, which is produced due to the action of aerodynamic laws, on fixed, i.e. so-called wings, or moving support surfaces - rotors. UAV can also use aerostatic buoyancy, or aerostat. The unmanned aerial vehicle can be controlled remotely by the operator, both from the ground, air, as well as the ship, and moreover, it is possible to control using autonomous systems [1], [2].

In this work, issues related to the unmanned aerial vehicle type quadrotor, i.e. quadcopter, were considered. It is driven by four propellers whose axes of rotation are perpendicular to one plane on which they are placed. Thanks to this construction, controlled movement in three-dimensional space is possible. An example of the appearance of the object is shown in Figure 1.

As for the properties of this object, the robot body is a rigid body that has four arms of the same length and arranged at 90 degrees to each other. At their ends, propellers are mounted, which are also rigid bodies, and their string is proportional to the square of their rotational speeds. As for the center of gravity of the UAV, it is in its geometric center. Just as the thrust is proportional to their rotational speeds, the aerodynamic resistance is proportional to the square of the speed of the whole body. Due to the supply of additional energy to the quadcopter system resulting from the work of propellers, this system is non-conservative [3].

Below, in Figure 2, an overview diagram of the tested object is presented.

Fig. 1. An example of a quadcopter device

Fig. 2. Overview diagram of the examined object

The environment in which the model operates is described with the help of two Cartesian systems that are
related to both the body of the robot and its surroundings. In the first system the coordinates were presented as symbols x, y, z, while in the second symbols X, Y, Z were used. The origin of the coordinate system related to the UAV body was placed in its geometric center, while the X and Y axes in the plane of propellers. To determine the orientation of the quadcopter in space, the angles of longitudinal rotation, i.e. pitching, transverse - roll and vertical - yaw were used.

Colloquially, the angles of rotation are marked with the abbreviation RPY (Roll, Pith, Yaw) and this is shown in Figure 3. The symbols φ, θ, ψ are used to mark the entered angles. The accepted vector of state variables is $q = (x, y, z, \phi, \theta, \psi)$.

![Fig. 3. An illustration of RPY angles](image)

### 2 Analysis and a mathematical model of the proposed technological solution of a control system for an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle

In the context of control, a computer simulation of robots requires the implementation of a physical object model with the required accuracy. In order to obtain it, a Newton-Euler’s or Euler-Lagrange’s formalism is used. The first one is based on the principle of maintaining forces and the principle of keeping moments. Its advantage is the ease of achieving reverse dynamics, thanks to which it is used in model-based regulators. Euler-Lagrange’s formalism is an energy approach. It can be used on any coordinates describing the system, and the dynamics equations obtained in this way are in the explicit form. It will be used in this chapter to obtain a quadrotor dynamics model. The derivation will be carried out based on the dissertation [4].

#### 2.1 Euler-Lagrange’s equations

In terms of classical mechanics lagrangian $L$ is the difference between the kinetic $T$ and the potential energy $V$ of the object

$$L = T - V$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

In accordance with the Hamilton’s principle of the Smallest Action, the conservative system accepts the trajectories for which it functioned

$$I = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(q(t), \dot{q}(t))\,dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

it achieves the smallest possible value. The work [5] presents a solution to the problem of minimization using a calculus of variations. As a result, Euler-Lagrange’s equations are obtained

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\delta L}{\delta q_i} = 0. \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

The fundamental advantage of Euler-Lagrange’s formalism is the invariance of the form of equations, regardless of the choice of generalized coordinates $q_i$.

The study of non-conservative systems such as quadrotor requires the use of generalized Euler-Lagrange’s equations. After considering the effect of non-conservative forces $F_i$ on coordinates $q_i$, the previous equation takes the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{q}_i} \right) - \frac{\delta L}{\delta q_i} = F_i \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

Generalized forces are not always identical with physical forces. Their character differs due to the selection of generalized coordinates. In the case of angular coordinates, they have the dimension of moments. Not for every selection of generalized coordinates, there is a physical interpretation of generalized forces [6], [7].

The above equations can be written in a typical form of dynamics equations

$$M(q_i)\ddot{q}_i + C(q_i, \dot{q}_i)\dot{q}_i + D(q_i) = F_i \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

where: $M$, $C$ and $D$- are the inertia matrices, centrifugal and Coriolis’s forces and gravitational forces of the object respectively [8], [9], [10].

#### 2.2 Non-conservative Moments

The quadrotor system is non-conservative due to the work performed by the rotor engines [11], [12], [13]. The following forces and non-conservative moments are included in the derived model (Figs. 4-8).

- the sum of thrust of the engines

$$F_c = b(\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 + \Omega_3^2 + \Omega_4^2), \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where: $\Omega_i$- is the rotational speed of the propeller $i$, and $b$- the thrust coefficient.

- difference between the thrust generated by the engines 2 and 4

$$F_\phi^{(1)} = b(-\Omega_2^2 + \Omega_4^2), \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where: $l$- is the length of the arm.
Fig. 4. The moment caused by the difference of thrust generated by engines 2 and 4
– difference between the thrust generated by the engines 1 and 3

\[ F_{\theta}^{(1)} = bl(-\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_3^2). \]  

(8)

Fig. 5. The moment caused by the difference of thrust generated by engines 1 and 3
– difference between the thrust generated by the engine pairs 1 and 3 and also 2 and 4

\[ F_{\psi} = d((-\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_3^2 - \Omega_2^2 + \Omega_4^2), \]  

(9)

where: \( d \) is the coefficient of air resistance.

Fig. 6. The moment caused by the difference of thrust generated by the engine pairs

Fig. 7. Gyroscopic effect on the X axis (angle \( \phi \))

\[ F_{\phi}^{(2)} = J_r \omega_y (-\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 - \Omega_3 + \Omega_4), \]  

(10)

where: \( J_r \) is the matrix of inertia of the propeller, \( \omega_y \) the rotational speed of the body relative to the axis Y.

Fig. 8. Gyroscopic effect on the Y axis (angle \( \theta \))

\[ F_{\theta}^{(2)} = J_r \omega_X (\Omega_1 - \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 - \Omega_4). \]  

(11)

2.3 Coordinates of the position

In order to determine the dynamics equations for position coordinates it is enough to use the second Newton's dynamics principle [14], [15], [16]

\[ a = \frac{1}{m} F, \]  

(12)

where: \( a \) is the acceleration of the body, \( m \) is the mass of the object, and \( F \) is the resultant force acting on it.

The resultant force acting on the quadrotor consists of the force of gravitation described by the formula [17], [18], [19]
\[ F_y = mg \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

and the sum of thrust of the engines
\[ F_c = b(\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 + \Omega_3^2 + \Omega_4^2), \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where: \( \Omega_i \) - is the rotational speed of the propeller \( i \). Assume that the force of gravity acts along the global \( z \) axis, and the direction of the thrust of the engines is consistent with the normal force of quadrotor \( Z \).

In order to express the vector described in the coordinates of the quadrotor in the coordinate system related to the earth, the formula was used [20], [21]:
\[ \bar{r}_{x,y,z}(X, Y, Z) = R(\phi, \theta, \psi) \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where:
\[ R(\phi, \theta, \psi) = \begin{bmatrix} c_\phi c_\theta c_\psi - s_\phi s_\psi & c_\phi s_\theta c_\psi + s_\phi c_\psi & s_\phi c_\theta c_\psi - c_\phi s_\psi \\ -s_\theta c_\phi & c_\theta c_\phi & s_\phi c_\phi \\ s_\phi c_\theta & s_\theta c_\theta & c_\theta c_\theta \end{bmatrix}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

By substituting the resultant force to the equation on the second principle of Newton's dynamics, we obtain:
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{z} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{m} R(\phi, \theta, \psi) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 + \Omega_3^2 + \Omega_4^2 \end{bmatrix}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Then, after simplifying the above equation, we get the form:
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{z} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{m} \begin{bmatrix} c_\phi s_\theta c_\psi + s_\phi c_\psi \\ s_\phi c_\theta c_\psi - c_\phi s_\psi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_\phi c_\theta c_\psi - s_\phi s_\psi \\ -s_\theta c_\phi \end{bmatrix}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

### 2.4 Angular coordinates

The generalized Euler-Lagrange’s equations will be used to derive the equations of dynamics for angular coordinates. As generalized coordinates, angles RPY - (\( \phi \), \( \theta \), \( \psi \)) will be used, which are described in chapter 1.

- Euler-Lagrange’s equations

Having the analytical form of kinetic and potential energy as well as generalized non-conservative forces, it is possible to apply generalized Euler-Lagrange’s equations [22], [23], [24].

In the physical quadcopter, it is impossible to measure directly the angle of RPY. A device called IMU (Inertial Measurement Unit), which is a combination of accelerometers and gyroscopes, is commonly used for this purpose.

\[ F_{\phi}^{(1)} + F_{\phi}^{(2)} + F_{\theta}^{(1)} + F_{\theta}^{(2)} = \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \delta L \\ \delta \phi \end{bmatrix} - \frac{dL}{\delta \phi} \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Transformation is performed using the following formula:
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \omega_X \\ \omega_Y \\ \omega_Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -s_\theta \\ 0 & c_\phi & s_\phi c_\theta \\ 0 & -s_\phi & c_\phi c_\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

In this way, we get:
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi} \right) - \frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi} = I_X \dot{\omega}_X - (I_Y - I_Z) \omega_Y \omega_Z \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

After applying a linear approximation in the above expressions (21) for small values of swinging and pitching angles (\( \alpha \approx 0 \rightarrow \sin \alpha \approx 0, \cos \alpha \approx 1 \)) the system dynamics equations for RPY angles were obtained.

\[ \begin{cases} \ddot{\phi} = \frac{\mu (\Omega_2^2 - \Omega_4^2) + J_\phi (-\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_3^2 + \Omega_4^2 + (I_Y - I_Z) \dot{\phi} \dot{\theta})}{I_\phi} \\ \ddot{\theta} = \frac{\mu (\Omega_2^2 + \Omega_4^2) + J_\theta (-\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_3^2 + \Omega_2^2 + (I_X - I_Z) \dot{\phi} \dot{\psi})}{I_\theta} \\ \ddot{\psi} = \frac{\mu (\Omega_3^2 - \Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 + (I_X - I_Y) \dot{\phi} \dot{\theta})}{I_Z} \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)
3 Adopted model

In order to give the control outputs sense of forces, i.e. moments affecting the quadrotor described in subchapter 2.2 "Non-conservative Moments", we will introduce a new control vector $\mathbf{U} = (U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4)^T$ and an auxiliary variable $\Omega$ as follows [25], [26]:

$$
\begin{align*}
U_1 &= b(\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 + \Omega_3^2 + \Omega_4^2) \\
U_2 &= b(-\Omega_2^2 + \Omega_3^2) \\
U_3 &= b(-\Omega_2^2 + \Omega_4^2) \\
U_4 &= d(-\Omega_2^2 + \Omega_3^2 - \Omega_3^2 + \Omega_4^2)
\end{align*}
$$

(23)

It is easy to determine the values of rotational speeds of propellers $\Omega_i$ depending on the $U$ vector, namely:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_1^2 &= \frac{U_1}{4b} - \frac{U_2}{2b} - \frac{U_3}{4d} \\
\Omega_2^2 &= \frac{U_2}{4b} - \frac{U_3}{2b} - \frac{U_4}{4d} \\
\Omega_3^2 &= \frac{U_3}{4b} - \frac{U_4}{2b} - \frac{U_1}{4d} \\
\Omega_4^2 &= \frac{U_4}{4b} - \frac{U_1}{2b} - \frac{U_2}{4d}
\end{align*}
$$

(24)

By combining a simplified equation with the second dynamics principle (18) and the system dynamics equation for RPY angles (22) taking into account the first relationship described in this subsection (23) we get a complete model of quadrotor dynamics in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{x} &= (c_v s_c s_{\phi} + s_v s_{\phi}) \frac{1}{m} U_1 \\
\ddot{y} &= (s_v s_c s_{\phi} - c_v c_{\phi}) \frac{1}{m} U_1 \\
\ddot{z} &= g + \frac{l_i}{r_i} \frac{1}{I_x} U_2 \\
\ddot{\phi} &= \dot{\psi} \left(\frac{l_i - l_z}{I_x}\right) - \frac{1}{I_x} \theta \omega + \frac{1}{I_x} U_3 \\
\ddot{\theta} &= \dot{\psi} \left(\frac{l_i - l_z}{I_y}\right) + \frac{1}{I_y} \phi \omega - \frac{1}{I_y} U_3 \\
\ddot{\psi} &= \dot{\phi} \left(\frac{l_i - l_z}{I_z}\right) - \frac{1}{I_z} \phi \omega - \frac{1}{I_z} U_4
\end{align*}
$$

(25)

In the literature, we can find several versions of the quadrotor model. Nevertheless, it is important to always rely on the same theoretical basis for all comparative activities. It was decided to implement the above model for several reasons. First of all, it is one of the first models presented, and all the next ones refer to it and are based on it. This model is very well described in the literature and the vast majority of publications by known authors accept this description. However, attention should be paid to the differences in the models used. For example, the work entitled "Modeling, identification and control of a quadrotor helicopter" [27] introduces the scaling of controls $U_2$ and $U_3$ by the distance of the propeller from the center of the model $l$, thanks to which the speed of changing the pitching and yaw angles becomes associated with the physical model [28], [29].

3.1 Operating algorithm of the robot

To operate the robot, an application designed by the authors has been implemented, the algorithm of which is presented in Figure 9.

After starting the program, the peripherals of the microcontroller are initialized, and then the program in the infinite loop: receives the signals from the sensors, determines the control of the engine regulators and communicates with the auxiliary microcontroller. Each robot function has been assigned the frequency with which it should be performed.

For example, the reception of information from sensors is carried out at a frequency of 1 [kHz], so only after the time $t_1$ equal to 1 [ms] has elapsed since the previous measurement the next one is performed. Such structure of the program ensured full control over the performance of individual functions and minimized the number of operations required [30], [31], [32], [33].

3.2 Controller

Quadcopter for controlled flight needs control system [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39]. The device has two relatively independent axes, so two autonomous regulators are used (Fig. 10).

The controller input is an offset between the angle setpoint value from the pilot and the measured angle.
In order to control the angular velocity of the object in the $X$ and $Y$ axes, a cascade controller consisting of two PID controllers was used separately for each axis.

Due to the lack of an object model, the values of the proportional, integral and derivative elements of both PID controllers were determined empirically.

Figure 11 shows the obtained results of the robot tests during the flight.

![Figure 11. The response of the object using a cascade controller](image1.png)

**Fig. 11.** The response of the object using a cascade controller (direction of Pitch)

![Figure 12. The response of the object using a cascade controller](image2.png)

**Fig. 12.** The response of the object using a cascade controller (direction of Roll)

![Figure 13. The response of the object using a cascade controller](image3.png)

**Fig. 13.** The response of the object using a cascade controller (direction of Yaw)

Based on the measurements, it can be concluded that the signal rise time is in 10 samples. Measurements were collected at a 50 [ms] interval, so the signal rise time is 0.5 [s]. The measured error in the steady state does not exceed 1°.

The control system is characterized by a significant overshoot, but this is a desirable effect, especially when changing the direction of the flight of the robot.

Thanks to the achievement of acceptable measurement results, it was possible to make stable flights without any belaying.

### 4 Conclusions

The paper presents a prototype of a flying robot, intentionally equipped with an 8-bit microcontroller and a simple regulator to check the possibility of smooth regulation and control of its flight.

The use of two microcontrollers allowed to ensure sufficient computing power and correct execution of the robot control program.

Experimental results of the designed robot, such as: 15-minute flight time, steady state error of not more than 1°, and free control and smooth maneuvering of the flying object, proved that it is possible to perform quadcopter flight control with the use of low-performance microcontroller and simple cascade controller.
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