The Estimation of Fundamental Physics Parameters for Fermi-LAT Blazars
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Abstract

Aiming to delineate the physical framework of blazars, we present an effective method to estimate four important parameters based on the idea proposed by Becker & Kafatos, including the upper limit of central black hole mass \( M \), the Doppler factor \( \delta \), the distance along the axis to the site of the \( \gamma \)-ray production \( d \) (which then can be transformed into the location of \( \gamma \)-ray-emitting region \( R \)) and the propagation angle with respect to the axis of the accretion disk \( \Phi \). To do so, we adopt an identical sample with 809 Fermi-LAT-detected blazars which had been compiled in Pei et al. These four derived parameters stepping onto the stage may shed new light on our knowledge regarding \( \gamma \)-ray blazars. With regard to the paper of Becker & Kafatos, we obtain several new perspectives, mainly in (1) putting forward an updated demarcation between BL Lacs and FSRQs based on the relation between broad-line region luminosity and disk luminosity both measured in Eddington units, i.e., \( L_{\text{disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 4.68 \times 10^{-3} \), indicating that there are some differences between BL Lacs and FSRQs on the accretion power in the disk; (2) proposing that there is a so-called “apparaling zone,” a potential transition field between BL Lacs and FSRQs where the changing-look blazars perhaps reside; (3) the location of \( \gamma \)-ray emission region is principally constrained outside the broad-line region, and for some BL Lacs are also away from the dusty molecular torus, which means the importance of emission components in the jet.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Blazars (164); Flat-spectrum radio quasars (2163); BL Lacertae objects (158); Gamma-rays (637)

Supporting material: machine-readable table

1. Introduction

Blazars are a particular class of radio-loud Active Galactic Nucleus (AGN), characterized by ultra-relativistic jets that are oriented very close to the observer’s line of sight, ejecting from a supermassive black hole (SMBH), and their accretion makes the activity in AGNs and blazars, within which relativistic particles radiate, losing their energy in a magnetic field (Urry & Padovani 1995). Blazars exhibiting distinctive and extreme observational properties, such as large amplitude and rapid variability, superluminal motion, high polarization, and strong emission over the entire electromagnetic spectrum (Wills et al. 1992; Fan & Xie 1996; Bai et al. 1998; Romero et al. 2002; Fan et al. 2005, 2011, 2016; Abdo et al. 2009, 2010a, 2010b; Ghisellini et al. 2010; Marscher et al. 2011; Urry 2011; Yan et al. 2012; Gupta et al. 2012; Acero et al. 2015; Pei et al. 2016; Xiao et al. 2019; Ajello et al. 2020; Burg et al. 2021; Fan et al. 2021).

All of these properties are due to the relativistic beaming effect (e.g., Madau et al. 1987; Ghisellini 1993; Dondi & Ghisellini 1995; Fan et al. 2009a, 2013a; Savolainen et al. 2010; Pei et al. 2019, 2020a, 2020b). Blazars are the most common \( \gamma \)-ray-emitting objects in the extragalactic sky and also represent the most abundant population of extragalactic sources at TeV energies (Hofmann & Hinton 2018; Di Sciascio 2019; Abdollahi et al. 2020; Ajello et al. 2020).

Traditionally, based on the optical spectral features, blazars are grouped into flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) and BL Lac objects (BL Lacs, Scarpa & Falomo 1997), where BL Lacs have weak or no emission lines (i.e., the equivalent width, \( EW \), of the emission line in rest frame is less than 5 \( \AA \)), while FSRQs show stronger emission lines (\( EW \geq 5 \AA \), Stocke et al. 1991; Stickel et al. 1991; Urry & Padovani 1995) in their optical spectra. A more physically intuitive classification between BL Lacs and FSRQs can be distinguished based on the luminosity of the broad-line region (BLR) measured in Eddington units that the FSRQs have \( L_{\text{BLR}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \geq 5 \times 10^{-4} \) while BL Lacs have less than this criterion (Ghisellini et al. 2011), implying that they have different accretion regimes (Sbarrato et al. 2014). Blazars can also be categorized via their spectral energy distributions (SEDs) synchrotron peak frequencies \( \log \nu_p \) (Abdo et al. 2010b; Giommi et al. 2012b). Low synchrotron peaked blazars (LSPs) are characterized by \( \log \nu_p (\text{Hz}) < 14 \), and intermediate synchrotron peaked blazars (ISPs) have \( 14 < \log \nu_p (\text{Hz}) < 15 \), while \( \log \nu_p (\text{Hz}) > 15 \) pertains to high synchrotron peaked blazars (HSPs). The majority of HSP and ISP blazars have been classified as BL Lacs, while LSP zones include FSRQs and some low-frequency-peaked BL Lacs (see Abdo et al. 2010b; Fan et al. 2016; Böttcher 2019).

In this sense, BL Lacs can be divided into high synchrotron peaked BL Lacs (HBLs), intermediate synchrotron peaked BL Lacs (IBLs), and low synchrotron peaked BL Lacs (LBLs).

One of the goals of studying \( \gamma \)-ray blazars is to develop a unified framework in which two subclasses of blazars might be understood in terms of variations in a few fundamental parameters, such as the SMBH mass \( M \), the Doppler factor \( \delta \), the orientation of a relativistically beamed component relative to our line-of-sight \( \theta \), and the propagation angle of dispersed \( \gamma \)-ray emission. Manifestly, determining the masses...
of the central black holes of blazars is a significant step toward this goal, thus many methods have been proposed to estimate the black hole masses (e.g., Kaspi et al. 2000; Xie et al. 2005; Barth et al. 2002; Woo & Urry 2002; Zhou & Cao 2009; Yang & Fan 2010; Shen et al. 2011; Sbarrato et al. 2012; Shaw et al. 2012; Paliya et al. 2021). However, it should be noted that the estimation of the black hole mass for the same object from different approaches may result in a larger difference (approximately two orders of magnitude in some cases).

The Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope with its main instrument on-board, the Large Area Telescope (Fermi-LAT), opened a new era in the study of high-energy emission from AGNs. Many new high-energy γ-ray sources were detected, revolutionizing, in particular, the knowledge of γ-ray blazars, providing us with a valuable opportunity to explore the γ-ray production mechanism. Based on the first 10 years of data from the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope mission, the latest catalog, 4FGL, or the fourth Fermi Large Area Telescope catalog of high-energy γ-ray sources, has been released, which includes 5778 sources above the significance of 4σ, covering the 50 MeV–1 TeV range (Abdollahi et al. 2020; Ajello et al. 2020; Ballet et al. 2020; Lott et al. 2020), about 2000 more than the previous 3FGL catalog (Acero et al. 2015). AGNs are the vast majority of sources in 4FGL; among them 3421 blazars, or 1191 BL Lacs, 733 FSRQs, and 1498 blazar candidates of unknown class (BCUs).

In this present paper, we estimate four fundamental physics parameters for γ-ray blazars, which involves the upper limit of central black hole mass, the Doppler factor, the location of γ-ray region and the emission propagation angle, aiming to probe their relations and shed new light on the relativistic beaming effect and γ-ray emission mechanism of blazars detected by Fermi-LAT. The method we use was first proposed by Becker & Kafatos (1995), where they discussed only one applicant, 3C 279, thus we enlarge the γ-ray blazars sample and raise some forward-looking perspectives. The model we apply is presented in Section 2, while in Section 3, we describe the sample, and present the derived results in Section 4. In Section 5, we conduct the statistical analysis and discuss the results. We draw conclusions in Section 6. Throughout this paper, we adopt the ΛCDM model with $\Omega_\Lambda \simeq 0.73$, $\Omega_M \simeq 0.27$, and $H_0 \simeq 73$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

2. Method

It is generally believed that the escape of high-energy γ-rays from AGNs depends on the γ–γ pair-production process, since plenty of soft photons are surrounding the central black hole. Therefore, we can use the opacity of γ–γ pair production to constrain the fundamental physics parameters for γ-ray blazars. Becker & Kafatos (1995) calculated the γ-ray optical depth in the X-ray field of an accretion disk and found that the γ-rays should escape preferentially along the symmetric axis of the disk due to the strong angular dependence of the pair-production cross section. The phenomenon of γ–γ relating to the more general issue of γ–γ transparency can set a minimum distance between the central black hole and the site of γ-ray production (Bednarek 1993; Dermer & Schlickeiser 1994; Cheng et al. 1999). Thus the γ-rays are constrained in a solid angle, i.e., $\Omega = 2\pi(1 - \cos \Phi)$, and that the apparent observed γ-ray luminosity can be expressed as $L_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{obs}} = \Omega d_A^2 F_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{obs}}$, where $d_A$ denotes the luminosity distance and $F_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{obs}}$ is the observed γ-ray flux. The observed γ-rays from AGNs require that the jet almost points to us and the optical depth $\tau$ is not greater than unity, i.e., $\tau \leq 1$. Since the γ-rays come from a solid angle $\Omega$ instead of being isotropic then the non-isotropic emission, thus the absorption and beaming effects should be considered when the properties of a γ-ray-loud blazars are discussed. The variability timescale also affects the γ-ray emission region. Based on these considerations, we deduce an effective method to derive four fundamental physics parameters including the upper limit of the central black hole mass ($M_\bullet$), the Doppler factor ($\delta$), the distance along the axis to the site of the γ-ray production ($d$), and the propagation angle ($\Phi$) for selected Fermi-LAT detected blazars (see Figure 1 for model elucidation).

Since the detailed calculation process had been presented in Becker & Kafatos (1995) and also in our previous papers (e.g., Cheng et al. 1999; Fan et al. 2009b), we only list four ultimate equations here. Readers may refer to the above papers and also the Appendix.

$$\frac{d}{R_\gamma} = 1730 \times \frac{\Delta T_D}{1 + z}$$

$$L_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{iso}} = \frac{2.52 \delta g^{-1} (1 - \cos \Phi)}{(1 + z) g^{-1}} M_\bullet$$

$$9 \times \Phi^{2.3} \left( \frac{d}{R_\gamma} \right) ^{-2/3} + k M_\bullet ^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{R_\gamma} \right) ^{-2} = 1,$$

$$22.5 \times \Phi^{1.5} (1 - \cos \Phi) - 9 \times \frac{2 \alpha_\gamma + 3}{2 \alpha_\gamma + 8} \Phi^{2.3} \sin \Phi - \frac{2 \alpha_\gamma + 3}{2 \alpha_\gamma + 4} k M_\bullet ^{-1} A^{-2/3} (1 - \cos \Phi) \frac{2 \alpha_\gamma + 1}{\sin \Phi} \sin \Phi = 0.$$  

(1)

(Cheung et al. 1999; Fan 2005; Fan et al. 2009b). Here, $d$ is in units of the Schwarzschild radius $R_\gamma$, $\Delta T_D$ is the variability timescale in units of days, $z$ denotes the redshift, $L_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{iso}}$ is the isotropy luminosity in units of $10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $\alpha_\gamma$ and $\alpha_\gamma$ refer to the X-ray and γ-ray spectral index, respectively. The parameter $\lambda$ depends on the specific γ-ray emission model; $k$ and $A$ are coefficients (see Appendix). Therefore, solving Equation (1), four fundamental physics parameters, the upper limit of central black hole mass, $M_\bullet$ ($= 10^7 M_\odot$), the Doppler factor, $\delta$, the distance along the axis to the site of the γ-ray production, $d/R_\gamma$, and the propagation angle with respect to the axis of the accretion disk, $\Phi$, can be estimated from the knowledge of the redshift, $z$, and luminosity distance, $d_L$, the X-ray behavior (characterized by spectral index $\alpha_\gamma$ and flux density), the γ-ray behavior (characterized by spectral index $\alpha_\gamma$ and average γ-ray photon energy $E_\gamma$), and the timescale of variation $\Delta T_D$ are given. We adopt $\Delta T_D = 1$ day and $\lambda = 0.1$ in our calculation.

3. Sample

Recently, Pei et al. (2020a) compiled a sample of total 809 γ-ray blazars detected by Fermi and listed in the 4FGL catalog, with the purpose of estimating the γ-ray Doppler factor ($\delta$). This approach requires the available X-ray and γ-ray emission characteristics; thus, we collected 660 blazars with X-ray data from Yang et al. (2019), in which they probed the origin of X-ray emission. For the rest of the 149 sources, we obtained X-ray data from NED (NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database).
BZCAT (The Roma BZCAT-5th edition, Multi-frequency Catalogue of Blazars\textsuperscript{5}, Massaro et al. 2015), and other references. Their $\gamma$-ray data are adopted from 4FGL. We finally selected 809 Fermi-detected blazars with available X-ray and $\gamma$-ray emission characteristics to calculate the $\gamma$-ray Doppler factor. This sample contained 468 BL Lacs and 341 FSRQs. Based on the classification in Fan et al. (2016), 35 LBLs+231 IBLs+202 HBLs constitute our BL Lacs sample. In consideration that our method in this work also requires the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray behaviors, we employ this identical sample in this work.

Four fundamental physics parameters can be derived for these 809 sources, which are composed of 341 FSRQs and 468 BL Lacs. The overall sample and their related data are listed in columns (1) to (8) in Table 1, where column (1) presents the 4FGL name listed in Fermi-LAT; column (2) the other name; column (3) classification; column (4) redshift; column (5) $\gamma$-ray photon index; column (6) $\gamma$-ray luminosity in units of erg s$^{-1}$; column (7) X-ray spectral index, and column (8) flux density at 1 keV in units of $\mu$Jy.

4. Results

We derive $M_7$, $\delta$, $d/R_\odot$, and $\Phi$ for every source in our sample using Equations (1), and the results are presented in the last four columns of Table 1.

The upper-left panel in Figure 2 shows the distributions of $M_7$ for BL Lacs and FSRQs. The ranges are from 0.54 to 99.90 with an average value of 16.56 $\pm$ 12.74 and a median of 13.34 for 468 BL Lacs, and from 1.11 to 91.46 with an average value of 16.38 $\pm$ 9.77 and a median of 16.24 for 341 FSRQs. A Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (hereafter K-S test) is performed on two subsamples and we find that the null hypothesis (they both are from the same population) cannot be rejected at the confidence level $P = 4.48 \times 10^{-5} (d_{max} = 0.16)$ for BL Lacs and FSRQs. Thus, at the 0.0001 level, these two distributions are different. However, we can find a rough overlap from 0 to 30 from the histogram. If we slightly fine tune the confidence level at $10^{-3}$, then the two classes likely belong to the same parent distribution, suggesting that the central black hole mass perhaps plays a less important role in the evolutionary sequence of blazars (e.g., Böttcher & Dermer 2002; Wu et al. 2002).

With respect to BL Lacs, we obtain medians of 10.86, 15.31, and 13.72 for HBLs, IBLs, and LBLs separately, implying that HBLs may hold a lighter black hole mass.

The distributions of $\delta$ for BL Lacs and FSRQs are displayed in the upper-right panel in Figure 2, spanning from 0.15 to 3.84 with an average value of 1.32 $\pm$ 0.67 and a median of 1.20 for BL Lacs, and from 0.31 to 7.96 with an average value of 2.24 $\pm$ 1.10 and a median of 2.03 for FSRQs. The K-S test has $P = 4.36 \times 10^{-36}$ and $d_{max} = 0.45$. This extremely small $P$ value indicates that it is significantly likely that the two classes come from different parent populations and thus the Doppler factor for FSRQs is, on average, higher than that for BL Lacs. Medians of 1.15, 1.22, and 1.26 for 202 HBLs, 231 IBLs, and 35 LBLs, respectively, are also acquired. This appearing sequence that HBL $\sim$ IBL $\sim$ LBL $\sim$ FSRQ of $\delta$ supports previous findings (e.g., Maraschi et al. 2008; Ghisellini et al. 2010, 2017; Xiong et al. 2015a, 2015b; Ghisellini 2016; Raiteri & Capetti 2016) and also our previous conclusions (Fan et al. 2013b; Pei et al. 2020a, 2020c), revealing that the Doppler effect varies in different subclasses of blazars.

The lower-left panel in Figure 2 presents the distributions of $d/R_\odot$ for our sample, which are in the range of 5.12 to 545.66 with a median of 106.48 for BL Lacs, and from 10.21 to 655.97 with a median of 80.02 for FSRQs. The K-S test reports that $P = 9.00 \times 10^{-7}$ and $d_{max} = 0.22$.

Finally, the distributions of $\Phi$ for BL Lacs and FSRQs are exhibited in the lower-right panel in Figure 2. The values are in the range between 3$^\circ$.08 and 84$^\circ$.97 with a median of 16$^\circ$.33 for BL Lacs, and from 7$^\circ$.33 to 84$^\circ$.31 with a median of 18$^\circ$.08 for FSRQs. A $P$ value of 2.26 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ ($d_{max} = 0.23$) is shown for the K-S test.

We summarize our derived results and distribution statistics on four parameters in Table 2. Considering all the K-S test results, we obtain the fact that BL Lacs and FSRQs belong to different parent distributions of evolution.

5. Discussion

5.1. Black Hole Mass, $M_7$

The central black hole plays an important role in the observational properties of AGNs and has drawn much attention. It may also shed some new light on the evolution process (e.g., Barth et al. 2002). There are several methods for the estimations of black hole mass. Traditionally, the virial black hole mass can be estimated by adopting an empirical relationship between broad-line-region (BLR) size and ionizing luminosity combined with the measured broad-line width, which assumes that the BLR clouds are gravitationally bound by the central black hole with Keplerian velocities. This traditional virial method for estimating the black hole mass is usually applied in FSRQs (Shen et al. 2011; Sbarrato et al. 2012; Shaw et al. 2012). The black hole mass for BL Lacs can be estimated from the properties of their host galaxies namely $M - \sigma$ or $M - L_{bul}$ relations, since BL Lacs have no or weak emission lines. Here $\sigma$ and $L_{bul}$ refer to the stellar velocity dispersion and the bulge luminosity of the host galaxies (Barth et al. 2002; Woo & Urry 2002; Zhou & Cao 2009; Chai et al. 2012).

\textsuperscript{5} http://www.asdc.asi.it/bzcat/
Other idiomatic estimated methods such as the reverberation mapping (e.g., Kaspi et al. 2000) and variability timescale approaches (e.g., Fan et al. 1999; Yang & Fan 2010) are usually applied for the black hole mass determinations, although consensus has not been reached.

In this present paper, we enlarge the sample of Fermi-detected blazars with derived black hole masses $M_7$ following the idea from previous studies (Cheng et al. 1999; Fan et al. 2005, 2009b). This estimated method is constrained by the optical depth of the $\gamma-\gamma$ pair production. We need to point out that the black hole mass determined is an upper limit due to the restriction on the optical depth of unity. It also should be noted that the main difference between our calculation and others is that we consider the $\gamma$-rays to originate from a cone with a solid angle $\Omega = 2\pi(1 - \cos \phi)$ and others assume that $\gamma$-rays are isotropic, i.e., $\Omega = 4\pi$.

For verifying the conformance of our results with the previous work, we cross-checked our sample with Fan et al. (2009b), and found that all 54 sources are included in our sample. They found the average values of $M_7$ are 13.18 for BL Lacs and 11.75 for FSRQs in their sample. In this present paper, we obtain the average values of 16.56/16.38 for 468 BL Lacs/341 FSRQs, respectively, which is consistent with Fan et al. (2009b), showing that the difference of black hole mass in BL Lacs and FSRQs is not large. Figure 3 displays the plot of those 54 cross-checked sources and there is good correlation between the two groups' data with correlation coefficient $r = 0.37$ and a chance probability of $P = 0.006$.

In spite of showing coherence in estimation of $M_7$ between two samples, we intend to remark some calculative differences within the same method. This method has been first proposed in Cheng et al. (1999), where they investigated seven $\gamma$-ray-loud blazars. Afterward, Fan et al. (2005) and Fan et al. (2009b) proceed with this calculation to a larger selected sample of $\gamma$-ray blazars. Using the estimation kernel and in the light of Equation (1), we can derive $M_7$ and the other three fundamental parameters if the knowledge of the cosmological behavior characterized by redshift $z$ and luminosity distance $d_L$, X-ray behavior characterized by X-ray spectral index $\alpha_X$ and flux density at 1 keV, $\gamma$-ray behavior characterized by $\gamma$-ray spectral index $\alpha_{\gamma}$, $\gamma$-ray flux and averaged $\gamma$-ray photon energy $E_{\gamma}$, and the timescale of variation $\Delta T$ are given. In the previous work, they all provided $\Delta T$ for each source. For instance, Cheng et al. (1999) gave variability timescales ranging from 3.2 to 24 hr for each of seven sources, Fan et al. (2005) laid out $\Delta T$ for 23 blazars, from 1.92 to 144 hr. However, for our large sample, the variability timescales for most sources are unknown or are given several values by different literature. Many authors have pointed out that a typical timescale of variation in the source frame for Fermi-detected blazars is around 1 day (Abdo et al. 2011; Bonnoli et al. 2011; Nalewajko 2013; Hu et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2015; Fan et al. 2016; Chen 2018; Prince 2020). Therefore, for the sake of simplicity, we apply $\Delta T = 1$ day for all sources in our present calculation.

Second, previous studies have adopted averaged photon energy $E_\gamma = 1$ GeV uniformly. Here we calculate $E_\gamma$ by $E_\gamma = \int E d\Phi / \int d\Phi$, and obtain different values for each source, which are in the range of 2.01 to 9.42 GeV. To sum up, although we take different considerations, the discrepancies are negligible.

Paliya et al. (2021) presented a catalog of the central engine properties of 1077 selected Fermi blazars. They obtain the average black hole mass $M$ for the whole sample population is $\langle \log (M/M_\odot) \rangle = 8.60$, which is close to our estimation with a median value of $\log (M/M_\odot) = 8.16$ in this work. Primarily, Paliya et al. (2021) applied three methods to compute the black hole mass. In particular, 684 sources used BLR properties of their emission lines, 346 are adopted from stellar velocity dispersion, i.e., absorption line, and 47 are derived from their host galaxy bulge luminosity. We cross-check our sample with theirs and 189 BL Lacs and 279 FSRQs are found in common. We plot the population presenting in Figure 4. The cross-checked subsample derived from the BLR property, stellar velocity dispersion, and host galaxy are labeled by (E), (A), and (H) after BL Lacs or FSRQs, respectively.

### Table 1

| 4FGL Name | Other Name | Class | $z$ | $\alpha_{\gamma}$ | $L_\gamma$ | $\alpha_X$ | $F_{\gamma}$ at 1 keV | $M_7$ | $\delta$ | $d(R_g)$ | $\Phi$ |
|------------|------------|-------|----|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|
| 4FGL J0004.4-4737 | PKS 0002-478 | FSRQ | 0.880 | 2.42 | 46.03 | 1.42 | 0.11 | 21.66 | 1.54 | 65.24 | 10.25 |
| 4FGL J0005.9-3824 | 0003+380 | FSRQ | 0.229 | 2.67 | 44.46 | 1.32 | 0.08 | 17.12 | 0.81 | 66.72 | 17.15 |
| 4FGL J0006.3-0620 | 0003-066 | HBL | 0.347 | 2.17 | 44.48 | 1.17 | 0.152 | 11.24 | 0.86 | 97.81 | 27.44 |
| 4FGL J0008.0+4711 | MG4 J000800+4712 | IBL | 0.280 | 2.06 | 45.52 | 1.05 | 0.058 | 11.65 | 0.9 | 52.56 | 24.68 |
| 4FGL J0008.4-2339 | RBS 0016 | IBL | 0.147 | 1.68 | 44.08 | 0.68 | 0.402 | 4.72 | 0.82 | 260.94 | 10.24 |
| 4FGL J0010.6+2043 | 0007+205 | FSRQ | 0.600 | 2.32 | 45.12 | 1.32 | 0.058 | 16.96 | 1.07 | 68.2 | 19.95 |
| 4FGL J0013.9-1854 | RBS 0030 | IBL | 0.095 | 1.97 | 43.66 | 0.97 | 0.106 | 7.41 | 0.63 | 133.37 | 16.71 |
| 4FGL J0014.1-5022 | RBS 0032 | HBL | 0.569 | 1.99 | 45.38 | 0.99 | 0.808 | 11 | 1.28 | 128.35 | 16.65 |
| 4FGL J0014.2+0054 | 0011+006 | HBL | 0.163 | 2.50 | 43.75 | 1.50 | 0.059 | 16.81 | 0.59 | 52.56 | 24.68 |
| 4FGL J0016.2-0016 | S3 0013-00 | FSRQ | 1.577 | 2.73 | 46.73 | 1.73 | 0.028 | 18.11 | 2.21 | 81.91 | 18.75 |

**Note.** Column information is as follows: column (1) gives the 4FGL name presented in Fermi-LAT; column (2) the other name; column (3) classification (FSRQs, flat-spectrum radio quasar; HBL, high synchrotron peak BL Lacs; IBL, intermediate synchrotron peak BL Lacs; LBL, low synchrotron peak BL Lacs); column (4) redshift; column (5) $\gamma$-ray photon index; column (6) $\gamma$-ray luminosity in units of erg s$^{-1}$; column (7) X-ray spectral index; column (8) flux density at 1 keV in units of $\mu$Jy; column (9) derived black hole mass in units of $10^7 M_\odot$; column (10) derived Doppler factor; column (11) derived distance along the axis to the site of the $\gamma$-ray production in units of $R_g$, and column (12) derived propagation angle.

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

---

9 In fact, Fan et al. (2009b) studied 59 $\gamma$-ray blazars, but 5 of them are not listed in 4FGL.
The best-fitting model for our whole cross-checked sample is
\[
\log(M/M_\odot)_{\text{TW}} = (0.13 \pm 0.02)\log(M/M_\odot)_{\text{BLR}} + (7.03 \pm 0.21),
\]
showing that our result is consistent with the estimation from different methods for a large sample of Fermi-detected blazars. We present this best-fitting model in Figure 4 labeled by a pink solid line. We also examine the correlations for each subsample derived from different estimated methods. We found a significant correlation regarding the cross-checked subsample using BLR luminosity (denoted by \(E\)) in Figure 4 and the best-fitting model indicates \(\log(M/M_\odot)_{\text{TW}} = (0.14 \pm 0.03)\log(M/M_\odot)_{\text{BLR}} + (6.87 \pm 0.26)\) with \(r = 0.26\) and \(P = 4.47 \times 10^{-6}\). For the sake of clarity, we do not draw

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2}
\caption{Distributions of the upper limit of central black hole mass \((M_\bullet)\), Doppler factor \((\delta)\), the distance along the axis to the site of the \(\gamma\)-ray production \((d/R_\gamma)\), and the propagation angle with respect to the axis of the accretion disk \((\Phi)\) for BL Lacs and FSRQs. In this figure, the red solid line stands for BL Lacs and the blue dashed line for FSRQs.}
\end{figure}
this line in the plot. We obtained nonsignificant regressions for subsamples from stellar velocity dispersion and host galaxy, with $P = 0.146$ and $P = 0.826$, respectively. This analysis suggests that our estimation is in better agreement with the result derived from BLR property compared to the other two diagnoses.

The jet power for blazars is believed to be on the order of $M_{in} c^2$. For BL Lacs, $M_{in}$ can be calculated by $M_{in} = P_{jet}/c^2$, where $P_{jet}$ is the jet power. For FSRQs, $M$ is given by $M = L_{Disk}/\eta c^2$ with the accretion disk luminosity $L_{Disk}$ and $\eta = 0.08$ (see detailed discussion in Ghisellini & Tavecchio (2008)). Then one can obtain the ratio $M_{in}/M_{edd}$ written by

$$\frac{M_{in}}{M_{edd}} = \frac{M_{in} c^2}{1.3 \times 10^{33} (M/M_\odot)}.$$  \hfill (2)

By means of the first three-month survey of Fermi (i.e., 1FGL, Abdo et al. 2009), Ghisellini et al. (2010) studied 85 sources, modeled their SEDs, and obtained black hole mass, location of the dissipation region, bulk Lorentz factor, jet power, and other important physics parameters regarding those sources. They explored the distribution of $M_{in}/M_{edd}$ and found a clear division between BL Lacs and FSRQs, which took place in $M_{in}/M_{edd} \sim 0.1$. This boundary can also be expressed by $L_{Disk}/L_{edd} \sim 0.001$ since $L_{edd} = 1.3 \times 10^{38} (M/M_\odot)$ erg s$^{-1}$. This proposal of new division between two subclass of blazars was re-examined by Chen & Gu (2019). They compiled a sample including 24 BL Lacs and 77 FSRQs with available

---

### Table 2

Descriptive Statistics of the Derived Fundamental Physics Parameters for the Whole Sample

| N Parameter | Median | Maximum | Minimum | K-S test |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|
| $M_f$       | 13.34  | 0.54    | 99.90   | $P = 4.48 \times 10^{-5}$ ($d_{max} = 0.16$) |
| $\delta$    | 1.20   | 0.15    | 3.84    | $P = 4.36 \times 10^{-36}$ ($d_{max} = 0.45$) |
| $d/R_g$     | 106.48 | 5.12    | 545.66  | $P = 9.00 \times 10^{-7}$ ($d_{max} = 0.22$) |
| $\Phi$ (°)  | 16.33  | 3.84    | 83.97   | $P = 2.26 \times 10^{-4}$ ($d_{max} = 0.23$) |

---

**Figure 3.** Plot of the correlation between the estimated black hole mass $\log (M/M_\odot)$ derived from this paper (denoted by subscript TW) and from Fan et al. (2009b) (denoted by a subscript F09).

**Figure 4.** Plot of the correlation between the estimated black hole mass $\log (M/M_\odot)$ derived from this paper (denoted by a subscript TW) and from Fan et al. (2009b) (denoted by a subscript F09). The cross-checked subsample ascertained from BLR property, stellar velocity dispersion, and host galaxy are labeled by (E), (A), and (H) after BL Lacs or FSRQs, respectively. The slope of the best-fitting line is $5.43 \pm 0.02$ with correlation coefficient $r = 0.233$ and a chance probability of $P = 3.27 \times 10^{-4}$. $L_{Disk}/L_{edd}$. The dividing line located in $L_{Disk}/L_{edd} \sim 0.01$ was also discovered.

If we consider the BLR luminosity is approximately 10% of the disk luminosity, i.e., $L_{BLR} \geq 0.1 L_{Disk}$ (Smith et al. 1981; Calderone et al. 2013; Chen & Gu 2019), this division has further evolved to $L_{BLR}/L_{edd} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ set by Ghisellini et al. (2011) according to the relation between BLR luminosity and disk luminosity both measured in Eddington units. They proposed that the division of blazars occurs, for a change, in the accretion regime. However, the number of sources in their sample is relatively small for a strong claim (only containing 32 blazars).

In this work, we have derived black hole masses for 809 Fermi blazars and we can calculate their Eddington luminosities via $L_{edd} = 1.3 \times 10^{38} (M/M_\odot)$. Because we now have a larger sample, we can more accurately determine the dividing line on the ratio $L_{Disk}/L_{edd}$ between BL Lacs and FSRQs, and also confirm the idea that the blazars’ division occurs for the alteration in accretion regime (Ghisellini et al. 2009, 2011). To do so, first we search the references, collect the available BLR luminosity regarding our sample for as many as possible, and finally 184 sources with $L_{BLR} = 4 \text{HBLs} + 19 \text{IBLs} + 14 \text{LBLs} + 147 \text{FSRQs}$ from 164 sources from Zhang et al. (2020) and 20 from Chen & Gu (2019). Second, we calculate the disk
We consider these two sources to be potential changing-look blazars whose variations are so dramatic that they lead to a change in classification (Matt et al. 2003; Shaw et al. 2012; Cutini et al. 2014; LaMassa et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2018; Mishra et al. 2021; Peña-Herazo et al. 2021). Changing-look blazars are crucial to upend our understanding of the SMBH accretion state transition and the particle acceleration process within the radio jet, which can provide us with valuable insight into AGNs and galaxies evolution.

Therefore, these two sources are perhaps masquerading BL Lacs, i.e., intrinsically FSRQs with luminous accretion disk and dissipation regions within the hidden broad lines. A similar scenario has been proposed by Padovani et al. (2019) to illustrate that TXS 0506+056, the first cosmic neutrino source, is not a BL Lac but is instead an FSRQ. They provided one of the pieces of evidence for reclassification that is based on its Eddington ratio. They presented $L_{\text{disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 0.01$ for TXS 0506+056 and therefore should be further classified as an FSRQ according to the original criterion from Ghisellini et al. (2011). Notably, in the same paper, Ghisellini et al. (2011) also proposed that these two sources, 0235+164 and 0537-441, are “intruder” BL Lacs and reclassified as FSRQs since SEDs modelings performed showed that their high-energy peak dominates the bolometric output and the X-ray spectrum belongs to the high-energy peak.

Peña-Herazo et al. (2021) carry out an extensive search for optical spectra available in the Large Sky Area Multi-object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST) Data Release 5 (DR5) archive, and discover 26 changing-look blazars. Cross-checking shows that there are three sources that are also listed in our sample. They are B0958+294 (=4FGL J10001.1+2911), TXS 1501+481 (=4FGL J1503.5+4759), and TXS 1040+244 (=4FGL J1043.2+2408). The first two sources are classified as IBLs and the last one is an FSRQ in 4FGL. We label them in violet (see Figure 5). According to LAMOST investigation, Peña-Herazo et al. (2021) reclassified B0958+294 to be an FSRQ as well as TXS 1501+481, and TXS 1040+244 is reported as a BL Lac.

Mishra et al. (2021) present multiwavelength photometric and spectroscopic monitoring observations of the blazar, TXS 1420+326 (=4FGL J1422.3+3223), focusing on its outbursts in 2018–2020. This source is also included in our sample and was originally classified as an FSRQ by Fermi-LAT. However, Mishra et al. (2021) found that this source transitioned between BL Lac and FSRQ states multiple times following a series of flares. We also label it in violet.

Based on abundant data and results from simultaneous and coordinated γ-ray and multiwavelength observations, Cutini et al. (2014) studied a core-dominated and radio-loud FSRQ, 4C+29.22, also known as S4 1150+49 or 4FGL J1153.4+4931, located at $z = 0.334$ (Stepanian et al. 2001). The γ-ray data in their paper were collected in the first 3 yr of Fermi science observations. They found that this source showing a shift of two orders of magnitude in the frequency of the synchrotron peak (from ~$10^{22}$ to ~$10^{14}$ Hz) during the GeV γ-ray flare, and also displaying an unusual flat X-ray SED of the marked spectral softening of the X-ray spectrum. All of these imply that 4C+29.22 is a typically BL Lac, suggesting a probable transition occurs in the division of blazars. This changing-look source is also listed in our present sample.

Overall, we found five confirmed changing-look blazars that are contained in our sample. The lowest value of

Figure 5. Plot of the BLR luminosity in units of the Eddington luminosity versus the γ-ray luminosity in units of the Eddington luminosity. Black circles, green squares, blue triangles, and red stars denote the HBLs, IBLs, LBLs, and FSRQs, respectively. The pink line locating in $\log(L_{\text{Disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}}) = -2.33$ (i.e., $L_{\text{BLR}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 4.68 \times 10^{-3}$) is the demarcation we set in this paper to divide BL Lacs and FSRQs. Two outliers, 0235+164 and 0537-441, are perhaps masquerading BL Lacs, that is, intrinsically FSRQs with luminous accretion disk and dissipation regions within the hidden broad lines. The gray shadowed area lying on $\log(L_{\text{Disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}}) = -2.70 \sim -1.07$ (i.e., $L_{\text{BLR}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \simeq 2.00 \times 10^{-3} \sim 8.51 \times 10^{-3}$) denotes a so-called “appearing zone” signifying the potential transition field between BL Lacs and FSRQs. Five confirmed changing-look blazars locate in this zone and are shown in violet.
log($L_{\text{Disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$) for these five sources is $-2.70$ (i.e., $L_{\text{BLR}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 2.00 \times 10^{-4}$) given by 1501+481, and the largest values is $-1.07$ (i.e., $L_{\text{BLR}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 8.51 \times 10^{-3}$) reported from 1420+326. We propose that this area falling in log($L_{\text{Disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$) $= -2.70 \sim -1.07$ is a so-called “apparating zone,” the potential transition field between BL Lacs and FSRQs (see the gray shadowed area in Figure 5). The sources descending into this “apparating zone” are perhaps changing-look blazars and the transition of BL Lacs $\rightarrow$ FSRQs would occur. The two outliers mentioned above, 0537-441 and 0235+164, are located in this zone as well.

Many authors have explored the possible scenarios for this peculiar transitional phenomenon. Apart from the explanation that luminous accretion disk and dissipation regions conceal the broad lines for some FSRQs, Ghisellini et al. (2012) also proposed that these FSRQs are have weak radiative cooling so that their broad lines are overwhelmed by the nonthermal continuum. In addition, the highly beamed jets as well as the variations of jets bulk the Lorentz factor, and the radiatively efficient accretion also accounts for the changing look in the broad-line sources (Bianchin et al. 2009; Giommi et al. 2012a; Zhang et al. 2012; Hovatta et al. 2019; Pei et al. 2020a; Bianchin et al. 2009; Ghisellini 1993; Lähteenmäki & Valtaoja 1999; Fan et al. 2009a; Hovatta et al. 2009; Liodakis et al. 2018; Pei et al. 2020a; Ruan et al. 2014).

To sum up our result on a new demarcation between FSRQs and BL Lacs in terms of the accreting mass rate, that is, $L_{\text{disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 4.68 \times 10^{-3}$; based on a larger sample including 184 Fermi blazars, is in good agreement with the idea that the presence of strong emitting lines is a matter of conversion in the accretion regime. We also put forward that those two outliers are possibly FSRQs but showing as BL Lacs objects in disguise. Finally, we propose an “apparating zone” that BL Lacs can transit into FSRQs and vice versa, which predict that the objects locating in this zone are potentially changing-look blazars.

5.2. Doppler Factor, $\delta$

Traditionally, the Doppler factor can be expressed by

$$\delta = [\Gamma_{\text{var}} (1 - \beta \cos \theta)]^{-1},$$

where $\Gamma_{\text{var}}$ is the macroscopic bulk Lorentz factor defined by $\Gamma_{\text{var}} = 1 / \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$, $\beta$ is the jet speed in units of the speed of light, and $\theta$ is the viewing angle between the jet and the line of sight. The Doppler factor $\delta$ is a crucial parameter in the jet of blazars and leading us to probe the beaming effect. However, it is difficult for us to determine this parameter since it is undetectable. Hence, some feasible methods need to be proposed (Ghisellini 1993; Lähteenmäki & Valtaoja 1999; Fan et al. 2009a; Hovatta et al. 2009; Liodakis et al. 2018; Pei et al. 2020a; Zhang et al. 2020).

In this present work, we ascertain that the derived Doppler factor is in the range of 0.15 to 3.84 with a median of 1.20 for BL Lacs, and from 0.31 to 7.96 with a median of 2.03 for FSRQs, which indicates that FSRQs are more strongly Doppler boosted than are BL Lac objects. This conclusion is consistent with estimations from other literature (Ghisellini 1993; Hovatta et al. 2009; Fan et al. 2013a; Chen 2018; Liodakis et al. 2018). We believe that FSRQs may have a smaller viewing angle $\theta$ relative to BL Lacs, hence resulting in a larger $\delta$ since $\delta = [\Gamma (1 - \beta \cos \theta)]^{-1}$.

For confirming the reliability of our estimated outcomes, we once again cross-checked our sample with Fan et al. (2009b). A tight correlation that $\delta_{\text{TW}} = (0.85 \pm 0.15) \delta_{\text{FOO}} + (1.02 \pm 0.26)$ with $r = 0.61$ and $P = 7.96 \times 10^{-7}$ for 54 sources in common (16 BL Lacs+38 FSRQs) has been reported.

Utilizing the radio light curves modeling as a series of flares characterized by an exponential rise and decay, Liodakis et al. (2018) estimated the variability Doppler factor ($\delta_{\text{var}}$) for a larger sample composed of 837 blazars including 167 BL Lacs and 670 FSRQs. After cross-checking with our sample, 282 sources in common are compiled, which includes 13 HBLs, 40 IBLs, 22 LBLs, and 207 FSRQs. We looked for the correlation and find $\delta_{\text{TW}} = (0.04 \pm 0.004) \delta_{\text{L}} + (1.47 \pm 0.09)$ with $r = 0.49$ and $P \sim 0$ (Figure 6). There are two FSRQs for which an extremely large extremely large Doppler factor was reported in Liodakis et al. (2018), TXS 0446+112 (i.e., 4FGL J0449.1+1121) with $\delta_{\text{var}} = 88.44$ and S5 0212+73 (i.e., 4FGL J0217.4+7352) with $\delta_{\text{var}} = 66.21$. Our estimations also give comparatively high $\delta$ on these two sources, 5.05 and 4.43 for the former and the latter one, respectively. The correlation is still significant with $r = 0.45$ and $P = 3.77 \times 10^{-15}$ when these two points are excluded. This tight relation between Doppler factor derived from the radio variability and this work connotes that (i) our estimated results on Doppler factor are reliable and (ii) since the $\delta$ deduced from this present paper is established on the $\gamma$-ray behavior and also the X-ray behavior, we provide an evidential hint that there is an association between radio emission and $\gamma$-ray emission, suggesting that the $\gamma$-ray and radio regions possibly share the same relativistic effects, and the SSC mechanism may be responsible for the emission from radio to $\gamma$-rays for BL Lacs objects, while the EC mechanism is perhaps answerable for FSRQs.

Pei et al. (2020a) presented an effective approach to estimate the $\gamma$-ray Doppler factor ($\delta_{\gamma}$),

$$\delta_{\gamma} \geq \left[ 1.54 \times 10^{-3} (1 + z)^{4 + 2\alpha} \left( \frac{d_{L}}{\text{Mpc}} \right)^{2} \times \left( \frac{\Delta T}{\text{h}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{F_{1\text{keV}}}{\mu\text{Jy}} \right) \left( \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\text{GeV}} \right)^{\alpha} \right]^{1/5},$$

(3)

(see also Fan et al. 2013b, 2014), where $\alpha$ is the X-ray spectral index ($E_{\gamma} \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$), $h_{\text{75}} = H_{0}/75, \Delta T_{5} = \Delta T/(10^{5})$ s, $\Delta T$ is the timescale in units of hours ($\Delta T = 1$ day was adopted), $F_{1\text{keV}}$ is the observed flux in the 1 keV energy band.
denotes the flux density at 1 keV in units of $\mu$Jy, and $E_\gamma$ stands for the $\gamma$-ray photon energy in units of GeV.

In reference to the 809 identical sources, we found that the $\gamma$-ray Doppler factor for FSRQs is higher on average than that for BL Lacs, namely $\langle \delta_\gamma \rangle_{\text{FSRQ}} \approx 6.87$ and $\langle \delta_\gamma \rangle_{\text{BL Lac}} \approx 4.31$, which suggests that the $\gamma$-ray emission of blazars is strongly beamed. We present the scatter plot of the Doppler factor derived from this work against the $\gamma$-ray Doppler factor in Figure 7. A clear tendency for $\delta_\gamma$ to increase with increasing $\theta$, is affirmed. The best-fitting curve is $\delta_\gamma = (0.22 \pm 0.01) \delta + (0.55 \pm 0.03)$ with $r = 0.81$ and $P \sim 0$ for the total sample.

We need to note that the Doppler factors derived here are smaller than those obtained by others and there are almost one-quarter of sources with $\delta < 1$. However, the lower than unity Doppler factor does not conflict with the beaming argument because we assume the emission to originate from a cone with half angle $\Phi$ while others assume that the emission is isotropic. The difference between the two circumstances lies in an enlarged factor of $\left( \frac{2}{1 - \cos \Phi} \right)^{\frac{2(4 + \alpha)}{1 + \alpha}}$ according to Equation (A10) (see Appendix), i.e., $\delta_\gamma = \left( \frac{2}{1 - \cos \Phi} \right)^{\frac{2(4 + \alpha)}{1 + \alpha}} \delta_\gamma$ (Cheng et al. 1999; Fan 2005). Thus one can obtain the corrected values of Doppler factor ranging from 0.70 to 17.04 with a median of 3.52 for our sample ($\delta$ for only three sources are less than unity in this case).

Blazar jets are known to show fast variability, boosted emission, and apparent superluminal motion of jet components. These extreme properties are believed to be associated with the relativistic beaming effect dominating the emission from the jet, which can be quantified by the Doppler factor $\delta$. Unfortunately, up to now there is no direct method to measure either $\theta$ or $\Gamma$. Thus many subsidiary methods have been proposed for estimating the Doppler factor since $\delta$ is one of the most important parameters in the blazar paradigm and enables us to understand the energetics of their jets at large scales. In this paper, we work on the calculation of $\delta$ derived from our model for 809 Fermi-detected blazars. We find our results to be smaller compared with others since we consider the emission to be non-isotropic and that the $\gamma$-rays are from a cone with solid angle $\Omega = 2\pi(1 - \cos \Phi)$ whereas others assume that the emission is isotropic, in other words, $\Omega = 4\pi$. We end the discussion here. A more detailed interpretation of the Doppler effect and $\delta$ can be found in our previous papers (e.g., Fan et al. 2009a, 2013a; Pei et al. 2020a; Zhang et al. 2020; Ye & Fan 2021).

5.3. Propagation Angle, $\Phi$

Generally, the observed luminosity is calculated by assuming the emission is isotropic. However, many observed properties in some $\gamma$-ray-loud blazars such as high luminosity, rapid variability, and superluminal motion suggest that the $\gamma$-ray emission is strongly beamed. Henceforth, starting from the arguments by Becker & Kafatos (1995), the phenomenon that only the $\gamma$-rays within the propagation angle are visible, i.e., $\tau \leq 1.0$, has been discussed by many authors (e.g., Cheng et al. 1999; Fan 2005; Fan et al. 2009b). Thus, we can assume that the beamed $\gamma$-ray emission arises from a certain solid angle $\Omega = 2\pi(1 - \cos \Phi)$. In this paper, our calculations show that the values of $\Phi$ for BL Lacs are in the range of $3^\circ84$ to $83^\circ97$ with a median of $16^\circ33$ and $7^\circ33$ to $84^\circ31$ with a median of $18^\circ08$ for FSRQs. Here we also cross-check our sample with Fan et al. (2009b) for verifying the consistency. They obtained average values of $\Phi$ for BL Lacs and FSRQs of $29^\circ72$ and $28^\circ17$, respectively, in a wide range from $3^\circ02$ to $83^\circ31$. Our derived results are well correlated with Fan et al. (2009b) with correlation coefficient $r = 0.60$ and a chance probability of $P < 10^{-6}$. Cheng et al. (1999) obtained $13^\circ70$ to $39^\circ2$ with the average value of $24^\circ6$ for those 7 selected $\gamma$-ray-loud blazars. Fan (2005) also reported their derived $\Phi$ is in the range from $8^\circ91$ to $56^\circ49$. Therefore, our derived values are consistent with other authors.

In the isotropic emission case, the $\gamma$-rays can be detected at any angle, however, in the scenario of non-isotropic emission, the emission is produced in a cone of a solid angle of $\Omega$, which means the $\gamma$-rays would not be seen at any angle. Blazars are a subclass of AGNs, having their ultra-relativistic jets closely aligned to the line of site of an observer on Earth. Their small viewing angles result in the strong beaming effect, which can explain most of the physical properties of blazars. Since emitting high $\gamma$-ray radiation is a typical characteristic lying in the blazars, the angle at which we can detect the $\gamma$-rays should be greater than the viewing angle between the jet and the line of sight, i.e., $\Phi \geq \theta$. Thus, for probing this relation, we cross-checked our sample with Hovatta et al. (2009) and Liodakis et al. (2018), respectively. Hovatta et al. (2009) had calculated the variability Doppler factors for 87 sources by using the observation data at 22 and 37 GHz and from Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI). Using apparent jet-speed data, 62 blazars were given the Lorentz factors and viewing angles. They found almost all the sources in their sample are seen in a small viewing angle of less than $20^\circ$, and FSRQs have a smaller $\theta$ than BL Lacs do. There are 51 sources in common with Hovatta et al. (1999) and Liodakis et al. (2018), except for one source 4FGL J1806.8+6949 since Hovatta et al. (2009) reported a quite large value of $\theta = 57^\circ3$ and our result shows $\theta = 10^\circ37$. We exclude this source in the following discussion. First we cannot achieve a good correlation between $\Phi$ and $\theta$ for these 50 sources. We obtain an interesting finding that the difference between $\Phi$ and $\theta$ decreases with increasing $\theta$. The left panel in Figure 8 has shown this correlation as $\Delta \theta = -(1.80 \pm 0.66)$.

![Figure 7. Plot of the correlation between the estimated Doppler factor $\delta_\gamma$ derived from this paper and the $\gamma$-ray Doppler factor $\delta$, from Pei et al. (2020a). The pink solid line signifies the best-fitting model and the cyan area refers to the prediction band at 95% level.](image-url)
\[\theta + (21.59 \pm 2.95)\] with correlation coefficient \(r = -0.37\) and a chance probability of \(P = 0.008\). Here, we denote \(\Delta \theta = \Phi - \theta\).

Similarly, Liodakis et al. (2018) has estimated the viewing angles for 238 sources, 160 of which have been detected by Fermi-LAT. They found non-Fermi-detected sources have, on average, larger viewing angles than Fermi-detected sources. We cross-checked our sample with Liodakis et al. (2018) and 152 blazars are in common. However, four sources are excluded because their \(\theta\) are smaller than \(\Phi\). They are 4FGL J1015.0+4926, J1058.6+5627, J2055.5+7752, and J2148.6+0652. Again, we do not find the correlation between their \(\gamma\)-ray propagation angles and viewing angles, but the anti-correlation between \(\Delta \theta\) and \(\theta\) is also discovered (see the right panel in Figure 8). The linear regression shows \(\Delta \theta = -(0.53 \pm 0.18)\theta + (16.81 \pm 1.09)\) with correlation coefficient \(r = -0.24\) and a chance probability of \(P = 0.003\).

This outcome implies that the larger the viewing angle, the closer the approach to the \(\gamma\)-ray propagation angle. We believe that this can be explained by the fact that \(\gamma\)-rays are assumed to originate from a cone with a solid angle of \(\Omega\). When we observe blazars, the \(\gamma\)-ray emission should be detected at the same time. Based on the unified model of AGN (Urry & Padovani 1995), when the viewing angle is becoming larger, we would observe radio galaxies, e.g., FRIs and FRIIs. Some of them are showing \(\gamma\)-ray emission, indicating that the \(\gamma\)-ray propagation angles for these radio galaxies are much larger compared to blazars. Therefore, considering the constraint that blazars are observed, the viewing angle \(\theta\) would be closer to the \(\gamma\)-ray propagation angle \(\Phi\) with increasing \(\theta\).

Finally, from the distribution of propagation angles, we find that 90% of BL Lacs and 83% of FSRQs are located in the 1\(\sigma\) confidence intervals with respect to their medians of 16:33 and 18:08, i.e., \(\Phi = 3:87 - 28:79\) for BL Lacs and \(\Phi = 10:00 - 26:16\) for FSRQs, respectively, indicating that the propagation of \(\gamma\)-rays forms a cone with respect to the axis of the accretion disk. Maraschi & Rovetti (1994) also shows that the X-ray cone with propagation angle of \(\Phi = 15^\circ - 40^\circ\) for BL Lacs. Our results are consistent with their conclusion.

5.4. The \(\gamma\)-Ray Emission Region

The location of \(\gamma\)-ray emission in blazars is still an unresolved and opening problem. Constraining the production site of \(\gamma\)-ray emission can help us to comprehend the jet physics in blazars. This location implies the region where the bulk energy of the jet is converted to an energy distribution of high-energy particles and also determines the radiative cooling processes in leptonic and hadronic emission models. In trying to address this problem, many methods have been proposed (e.g., Torres et al. 2001a, 2001b, 2010; Tavecchio et al. 2010, 2013; Agudo et al. 2011a, 2011b; Dotson et al. 2012; Yan et al. 2012, 2018; Böttcher & Els 2016; Wu et al. 2018).

Generally, two scenarios arise: the near site and the far site of the \(\gamma\)-ray regions. In the near site scenario, the electron energy is believed to be dissipated within the BLR (e.g., Ghisellini & Madau 1996; Georganopoulos et al. 2001), which is located at a distance of \(< 0.1 - 1\) pc from the SMBH, whereas, in the far site scenario, the dissipation of electrons can be several parsecs away from the central engine (e.g., Lindfors et al. 2005; Marscher et al. 2018; Zheng et al. 2017), where the dusty molecular torus (MT) is obligations to the dominating population of the target photons.

Zheng et al. (2017) used a model-dependent method to determine the production site of \(\gamma\)-ray region for 36 FSRQs and found that the emission region is located at the range from 0.1 to 10 pc, i.e., outside the BLR but within the MT, which supports the far site scenario. Based on the measurements of the core-shift effect, the relation between the magnetic field strength \((B')\) in the radio core of the jet and the dissipation distance \(R_{\text{diss}}\) of these radio cores from the central SMBH can be derived. Yan et al. (2018) applied this method with the observations of an FSRQ PSK 1510-089 (4FGL J1512.8-0906) and BL Lacertae (TXS 2200+420 or 4FGL J2202.7+4216). They found \(R_{\text{diss}} < 0.5\) pc for the hadronic model and \(R_{\text{diss}} < 3.5\) pc for the leptonic model for PSK 1510-089, while for BL Lacertae, \(R_{\text{diss}} < 0.01\) pc for hadronic model and \(R_{\text{diss}} < 0.02\) pc for leptonic model were reported, respectively. Acharyya et al. (2021) argued that the \(\gamma\)-ray emission region locates within both the BLR and the MT from investigations that temporal and spectral analysis of \(\gamma\)-ray flux from selected brightest Fermi-detected FSRQs.

From our model presented in Section 3, the location of \(\gamma\)-ray emission dissipation, \(R_\gamma\), can be determined by solving the equation,

\[R_{\gamma}^2 = R^2 + d^2 + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda(R \sin \Phi + d \cos \Phi),\]

\[\sin \omega = \frac{R + \lambda \sin \Phi}{R_\gamma},\]

where \(\omega = \kappa \Phi\). In our estimation, \(\kappa = 0.1\) and \(R = 10R_g\) are adopted. Substituting our derived results of the distance \(d/R_g\)
and propagation angle \( \Phi \), we can obtain the location of \( \gamma \)-ray-emitting region \( R_\gamma \) to the central SMBH.

The histograms of \( R_\gamma \) for 468 BL Lacs and 341 FSRQs are displayed in Figure 9, where \( R_\gamma \) is in units of parsecs. The distribution of BL Lacs is in the range of 0.01–0.84 pc with a average of 0.36 ± 0.13 pc, while pervading a wider extent for FSRQs, spanning from 0.03 to 1.69 pc with an average of 0.58 ± 0.25 pc. We also perform the Gaussian fitting. Regarding BL Lacs \( \mu = 0.40 \pm 0.01 \) and \( \sigma = 0.10 \pm 0.01 \) with \( P < 10^{-6} \) are ascertained, whereas \( \mu = 0.64 \pm 0.01 \) and \( \sigma = 0.12 \pm 0.02 \) with \( P < 10^{-8} \) for FSRQs. Along with the probability \( P = 3.66 \times 10^{-63} \) from K-S test between two distributions, we can conclude that the \( R_\gamma \) for FSRQs is significantly on average larger than that for BL Lacs.

Furthermore, the average values of \( R_\gamma \) for LBLs, IBLs, and HBLs are 0.40 ± 0.13 pc, 0.36 ± 0.14 pc, and 0.34 ± 0.12 pc, respectively. Thus LBLs occupy the right-hand side of the normal distribution, and are also closer to FSRQs’ average. We consider this may be on account of the same evolution for LBLs and FSRQs. To verify this, we performed the K-S test and found the probability of them coming from the same parent population is \( P = 1.4\% \), which provides another evidence for the changing-look blazars.

Last, we can see there is a distinct pile locating at the leftmost of FSRQs’ distribution, for which there are 35 out of overall 341 sources, for their site of \( \gamma \)-ray emission in the scope between 0.03 and 0.14 pc. We consider these FSRQs having a comparatively small \( R_\gamma \) to be perhaps, again, changing-look sources.

The size of the BLR and dusty molecular torus (MT) can be estimated by means of the disk luminosity \( L_{\text{Disk}} \) (Kaspi et al. 2007; Bentz et al. 2009; Ghisellini & Tavecchio 2009; Ghisellini et al. 2014; Yan et al. 2018)

\[
R_{\text{BLR}} = 10^{17} \left( \frac{L_{\text{Disk}}}{10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \text{ cm},
\]

\[
R_{\text{MT}} = 2.5 \times 10^{18} \left( \frac{L_{\text{Disk}}}{10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \text{ cm}. \tag{5}
\]

Although we do not obtain \( L_{\text{Disk}} \) for each source in our sample, we can adopt 184 sources with available \( L_{\text{BLR}} \) looking for the divide between BL Lacs and FSRQs in Section 5.1, to estimate the sizes of BLR and MT. Mean values of \( R_{\text{BLR}} \) and \( R_{\text{MT}} \) for 37 BL Lacs are 0.02 pc and 0.53 pc, separately. On the other hand, for 147 FSRQs, 0.1 and 2.54 pc for \( R_{\text{BLR}} \) and \( R_{\text{MT}} \) are acquired. Therefore, we use these values to constrain the locations of BLR and MT for our blazars.

We label the outer boundaries of BLR and dusty MT in gray in both panels of Figure 9. We found that the \( \gamma \)-ray-emitting region for the vast majority of BL Lacs objects is beyond BLR except for two sources; 90.8% of the sample (425 sources) are located outside the BLR and within the dusty MT, and closer to the MT than BLR from the Gaussian fitting. Similarly, most of the FSRQs also stay outside of the BLR and all of them are within the MT (we do not show this boundary in the figure since \( R_{\text{MT}} = 2.53 \) pc is rather far away from the whole distribution). Our finding that 90.9% of the samples (310 out of 341) lie between BLR and MT is in a good agreement with Zheng et al. (2017). We also obtain that, different from BL Lacs, the site of the \( \gamma \)-ray-emitting region for FSRQs is much closer to the BLR boundary.

The GeV \( \gamma \)-ray emission in blazars is generally believed to be from the IC process, in which the EC mechanism plays an important role in FSRQs and LBLs, while IBLs and HBLs normally can be explained by the SSC mechanism. In the EC process, the seed photons are determined by the production site of the \( \gamma \)-ray-emitting region, which may be dominated by an accretion disk, BLR, infrared torus, or cosmic background, corresponding to the \( \gamma \)-ray region located near the SMBH horizon, within the BLR, outside the BLR and within the MT, and much beyond the MT, respectively (Ghisellini & Tavecchio 2009).

The BLR is a photon-rich environment, and the interaction between these photons and gamma-ray photons can result in photon-photon pair production. However, the MT has a much lower photon density than the BLR, indicating less likelihood of pair production in the MT than the BLR. Thus, the pair production reveals itself as an attenuation of the \( \gamma \)-ray flux for emission emanating from the inner region of the BLR, while emission arising from the MT is not anticipated to have this spectral feature (Donea & Protheroe 2003; Liu & Bai 2006; Acharyya et al. 2021).

Using the simultaneous or quasi-simultaneous multivolume observations, Wu et al. (2018) modeled the SEDs of 25
blazars by adopting a one-zone leptonic model, where the seed photons from the BLR and MT are considered in the EC process, and calculated the location of the $\gamma$-ray region for these blazars by means of assuming that the magnetic field strength derived in the SED fittings follows the magnetic field strength distribution as derived from the radio core-shift measurements. They also found that the emission-emitting region may be outside the BLR at $R_c \sim 10 R_{\text{BLR}}$. Our present work differs from the generally popular methods that estimated the site of the $\gamma$-ray-emitting region, for instance, the SED modeling and variability timescales (e.g., Dermer et al. 2009; Ghisellini & Tavecchio 2009; Cao & Wang 2013; Kang et al. 2014; Zheng et al. 2017; Acharyya et al. 2021). We build up a photon-photon interaction model through the pair-production process. Four fundamental physics parameters for $\gamma$-ray blazars can be constrained, including the distance along the axis to the site of the $\gamma$-ray production ($d/R_p$) which can be transformed into the location of $\gamma$-ray-emitting region $R_c$. We find that $R_c$ for FSRQs is, on average, larger than that for BL Lacs. The distribution for BL Lacs is between 0.01–0.84 pc and 0.03–1.69 pc for FSRQs, also known as staying outside the BLR and beyond the dusty MT for some BL Lacs. We consider that, when the $\gamma$-ray emission is produced outside the BLR, the IC scattering could take place at the Thomson regime, where the GeV variability caused by electron cooling is energy dependent and faster at higher energy, and the GeV spectrum would have the same spectral index as the optical-infrared spectrum (Cao & Wang 2013).

To conclude this section, we perform an effective method based on the $\gamma$-ray pair production to estimate the location of $\gamma$-ray emission region. The whole sample is in the range from 0.01 to 1.69 pc. Ghisellini et al. (2013) pointed out that the most efficient location to produce the largest amount of $\gamma$-rays is at 0.1–1 pc, where there is the largest amount of seed photons at the maximum $\Gamma_{\text{var}}$.

5.5. Other Related Parameters Estimation

Above we have discussed four parameters obtained from this work. In this last subsection, we intend to estimate other related parameters that are possible to be deduced by means of these four parameters, for instance, the black hole mass $M$, the most important parameter we derived.

The spin of SMBHs is related to this work because the power of relativistic jets of AGNs depends on the spin and the mass of the central SMBHs, as well as the accretion. The spin can be described by a dimensionless parameter $j$, defined as $j \equiv Jc/(GM^2)$, where $J$ is the spin angular momentum of the black hole. Note that $j$ is sometimes expressed in the symbol $a$ or $a_{\bullet}$ in other work. We can calculate $j$ by using the following equation (Daly 2019; Chen et al. 2021),

$$j = \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{f(j)}{f_{\text{max}}}}}{f(j) + f_{\text{max}} + 1},$$

where $f(j)$ is the spin function, which can be determined from

$$f(j) = \left( \frac{L_j}{g_j L_{\text{Edd}}} \right) ^{0.43} \left( \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{g_{\text{bol}} L_{\text{Edd}}} \right) ^{-0.43}.$$

Here $f(j)$ is normalized by its maximum value $f_{\text{max}}$, that is, the value of $f(j)$ when $j = 1$. In our calculation, $g_j = 0.1$ and $g_{\text{bol}} = 1$ are used (Daly 2019). $L_j$ is the beam power of jets, which was originally estimated via the radio luminosity at 151 MHz (Willott et al. 1999). However, an empirical relationship between the beam power and bolometric luminosity (both are in units of Eddington luminosity) is found by previous work (e.g., Merloni & Heinz 2007; Foschini 2011; Daly et al. 2018; Piotrovich et al. 2020),

$$\log \frac{L_j}{L_{\text{Edd}}} = \alpha \log \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\text{Edd}}} + \beta,$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are best-fitting constants. We take $\alpha = 0.41\pm0.04$ and $\beta = -1.34\pm0.14$ from Daly et al. (2018).

We collect the bolometric luminosity from Fan et al. (2016), and 425 BL Lacs and 297 FSRQs comprise our subsample after cross-checking. We then estimate the spin of black holes for these sources. Using Equations (8), (7), and (6), we obtain that the average spins for BL Lacs and FSRQs are $(j)_{BL\text{ Lac}} = 0.51\pm0.20$ and $(j)_{FSRQ} = 0.55\pm0.20$, respectively. The K-S test yields the significance level probability for the null hypothesis that BL Lacs and FSRQs are drawn from the same distribution $P = 0.001$ and the statistic $d_{\text{max}} = 0.14$. Given that FSRQs may have stronger accretion disks compared to BL Lacs, which we have discussed in Section 5.1, we reach an indicative conclusion that FSRQs perhaps have a more prominent outflow effect within the black hole system than that of BL Lacs. Our findings also suggest that the spin of SMBHs and accretion can power the relativistic jets.

The magnetic fields play a critical role in jet formation and accretion disk physics (Blandford & Znajek 1977; Zamaninasab et al. 2014; Blandford et al. 2019). Together with the black hole mass, the spin of the black hole, and the magnetic field strength ($B$), these three parameters couple the jet power. An accretion disk can be formed through matter falling onto the black hole, and the angular momentum can be lost via way of viscosity or turbulence (Rees 1984) or magnetic field processes (e.g., Cao & Spruit 2013) or via outflow.

The total magnetic field strength of the accretion disk can be estimated using (e.g., Daly 2019),

$$\left( \frac{B}{10^4 \text{Gs}} \right) = \frac{3.16}{3.25} \left( \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{g_{\text{bol}} L_{\text{Edd}}} \right) ^{0.215} \left( \frac{\kappa_B}{M \gamma} \right) ^{1/2},$$

and we adopt $\kappa_B = 6$ (Rees 1984) and $g_{\text{bol}} = 1$. Then we can obtain $B$ in units of Gs when substituting the derived $M_{\gamma}$, $(\log B)_{BL\text{ Lac}} = 4.54 \pm 0.26$ and $(\log B)_{FSRQ} = 4.75 \pm 0.32$ are ascertained, respectively. The K-S test shows that $d_{\text{max}} = 0.26$ with $P$ value of $9.05 \times 10^{-11}$. Our result on the accretion disk magnetic field strength of $\gamma$-ray blazars is in consonance with reporting by other authors (e.g., Garofalo et al. 2010; Mikhailov et al. 2015; Piotrovich et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2021).

The injected $\gamma$-ray compactness can be defined by

$$\ell_{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma \sigma_T}{4 \pi R_c m_{\gamma} c^3}.$$

where $\sigma_T$ is the Thomson cross section. Using $\gamma$-ray-emitting location $R_{\gamma}$ derived in this work, we can ascertain the $\gamma$-ray compactness, having the average value of $(\ell_{\gamma})_{BL\text{ Lac}} = -2.34 \pm 0.79$ and $(\ell_{\gamma})_{FSRQ} = -1.47 \pm 0.38$, respectively.

This parameter can be indicative for several interesting implications of photon quenching oncompact $\gamma$-ray sources and emission models of $\gamma$-rays, providing the possibility that...
high-energy photons can pair-produce on soft target photons instead of escape in compact sources (e.g., Jelley 1966), which suggests that the photon-photon annihilation could be not only as quenching of γ-rays, but also as sources of electron-positron pairs inside nonthermal compact sources (e.g., Guilbert et al. 1983; Zdziarski & Lightman 1985; Svensson 1987). Petropoulou & Mastichiadis (2011) also pointed out that the γ-rays would escape without any attenuation in one crossing time if there is no any substantial soft photon population within the source.

To sum up, although the above three parameters cannot be derived directly from our model presented in this work, we are still able to estimate them by means of our investigated results in this paper.

6. Summary

In this paper, the optical depth of a γ-ray traveling in the field of a two-temperature disk and the beaming effect have been used to determine four fundamental physics parameters depicting the framework of γ-ray blazars, which include the upper limit of central black hole mass \( M \), the Doppler factor \( \delta \), the distance along the axis to the site of the γ-ray production \( d \) (which can be transformed into the location of γ-ray-emitting region \( R_\gamma \)), and the propagation angle with respect to the axis of the accretion disk \( \Phi \). Following in the footsteps of Becker & Kafatos (1995), we employ the same method first proposed from there and a sample of 809 Fermi-LAT-detected blazars has been compiled to derive \( M, \delta, R_\gamma \), and \( \Phi \). Only one source, 3C 279, had been discussed in Becker & Kafatos (1995), we enlarge the γ-ray blazars sample in this work and obtained that our estimations of \( M \) and \( \delta \) are consistent with other determinations. On the basis of Becker & Kafatos (1995), we bring forth several updated perspectives on revisiting the physical framework of blazars, for instance, putting forward the new divide between BL Lacs and FSRQs according to the accretion power in the disk, proposing an underlying transition field, exploring the relationship between the viewing angle and γ-ray propagation angle, and determining the γ-ray-emitting region. We draw our main conclusions as follows:

1. The black hole mass, along with mass accretion rate, is a fundamental property of blazars. We obtain medians of \( M_7 = 13.34 \) for BL Lacs and 16.24 for FSRQs \( (M_7 = 10^7 M_\odot) \). Compared to other estimated diagnoses, we find our results on black hole mass are in better agreement with deriving from the BLR property.

2. We put forward an updated demarcation between BL Lacs and FSRQs based on the relation between BLR luminosity and disk luminosity both measured in Eddington units first proposed by Ghisellini et al. (2011), that is, \( L_{\text{disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 4.68 \times 10^{-3} \), indicating that there are some differences between BL Lacs and FSRQs on the accretion power in the disk. This dividing line on the ratio \( L_{\text{Disk}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \) between BL Lacs and FSRQs also confirms the idea that the blazars’ division occurs for the alteration in accretion regime.

3. We propose a so-called “appareling zone” in the range from \( L_{\text{BLR}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 2.00 \times 10^{-4} \) to \( 8.51 \times 10^{-3} \), which stands for a potential transition field between BL Lacs and FSRQs where changing-look blazars may reside. We found five confirmed changing-look sources in our sample that are lying in this zone. They are 4FGL J10001.1+2911, J1043.2+2408, J1153.4+4931, J1422.3+3223, and J1503.5+4759, respectively.

4. The Doppler factor is a crucial parameter for discussing the beaming effect. We derive that \( \delta \) has a median of 1.20 for BL Lacs and 2.03 for FSRQs. We ascertain relatively small values of the Doppler factor due to our consideration that the emission is not isotropic, i.e., coming from a solid angle with \( \Omega = 2 \pi (1 - \cos \Phi) \).

5. We determine the location of γ-ray emission region, \( R_\gamma \), which is principally constrained outside the BLR, and for some BL Lacs are also away from the MT. This supports the idea that the most efficient location to produce the largest amount of γ-rays is at 0.1 to 1 pc, where there is the largest amount of seed photons at the maximum \( \Gamma_{\text{var}} \).

6. We also estimate the spin of black hole, magnetic field strength, and γ-ray compactness by dint of our derived results.
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Appendix

Model Description and Calculation Process on the Estimation of Four Fundamental Physics Parameters

We give here the full description of the model used to deduce the equations for deriving the four parameters of blazars adopted in this work.

We consider a diagram of γ-ray propagation above a two-temperature disk surrounding the central black hole (see Figure 1). In this scenario, the strongly beamed γ-rays interact with the soft X-ray photons produced at all points on the disk within an angle between the γ-ray trajectory and the z-axis (Φ). Since the optical depth \( \tau \) is not greater than unity and based on the idea first proposed by (Becker & Kafatos 1995), we can obtain an approximate empirical formula for optical depth building on a two-temperature disk scenario at an arbitrary angle of Φ (Cheng et al. 1999),

\[
\tau_{\gamma}(M_7, \Phi, d) = 9 \times \Phi^{2.3} \left( \frac{d}{R_g} \right)^{-2.0 \times 10^{-3}} + kM_7^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{R_g} \right)^{-2.0 \times 10^{-3}},
\]

(A1)
where $M_7$ denotes the black hole mass in units of $10^7 M_\odot$, $\alpha_X$ is the X-ray spectral index and
\[
k = 4.61 \times 10^6 \frac{\Psi(\alpha_X)(1+z)^{2+\alpha_X} F'_0 (1+z - \sqrt{1+z})^2}{(2\alpha_X + 1)(2\alpha_X + 3)} \times \left[ \frac{\left( \frac{R_{\infty}}{R_0} \right)^{2\alpha_X+1} - \frac{R_{\infty}}{R_0}}{\left( \frac{R_{\infty}}{R_0} \right)^{2\alpha_X+1} - \frac{R_{\infty}}{R_0}} \right] \left( \frac{E_t}{4m_e c^2} \right)^{\alpha_X}.
\] (A2)

Here, $F'_0$ is the X-ray flux parameter in units of cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, $R_s = \frac{GM}{c^2}$ is the Schwarzschild radius, $E_t$ denotes the average energy of $\gamma$-ray emission, $R_0$ and $R_{\infty}$ refer to the inner and outer radii of the hot region of a two-temperature accretion disk (Becker & Kafatos 1995), and $\Psi(\alpha_X)$ is a function of the X-ray spectral index,
\[
\Psi(\alpha_X) \equiv \sigma_T^{-1} \int_0^1 2\rho (1 - \rho^2)^{\alpha_X - 1} \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho) d\rho,
\] (A3)

where $\rho$ is the velocity of the positron or electron in the center-of-momentum frame in units of $c$, $\sigma_T$ is the Thomson cross section, and $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho)$ signifies the exact cross section for $\gamma$-$\gamma$ pair production given by
\[
\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\rho) = \frac{3}{16} \sigma_T (1 - \rho^2) \times \left[ (3 - \rho^4) \ln \left( \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} \right) + 2\rho^3 - 4\rho \right].
\] (A4)

The variability timescale ($\Delta T_D$) can constrain the distance along the axis to the site of the $\gamma$-ray emission region, which is expressed as
\[
d_{R_s} = \frac{1730 \times \Delta T_D}{1+z},
\] (A5)

where $d_{R_s}$ denotes the distance in units of $R_s$, $\Delta T_D$ is in units of days and $\delta$ is the Doppler factor defined as
\[
\delta = \frac{1}{\Gamma (1 - \beta \cos \Phi)},
\] (A6)

where $\gamma$ is the Lorentz factor and $\beta$ is the bulk velocity in units of the speed of light $c$.

In the beaming model, the observed $\gamma$-ray luminosity can be expressed by the form of (Fan 2005)
\[
L_\gamma^{\text{obs}} = \frac{\delta^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{(1+z)^{\alpha_\gamma+1}} L_\gamma^{\text{in}},
\] (A7)

here $L_\gamma^{\text{in}}$ is the $\gamma$-ray intrinsic luminosity in the comoving frame and $\alpha_\gamma$ is the $\gamma$-ray spectral index. Since the observed luminosity can be expressed as $L_\gamma^{\text{obs}} = \Omega d_L^2 F_\gamma^{\text{obs}}$, thus Equation (A7) can be derived into
\[
F_\gamma^{\text{obs}} = (1+z)^{-\alpha_\gamma} \delta^{\alpha_\gamma+4} L_\gamma^{\text{in}} / \Omega d_L^2.
\] (A8)

We can define an isotropy luminosity as $L_{iso} = 4\pi d_L^2 F_\gamma^{\text{obs}}$, thus we can obtain
\[
L_{iso}^{45} = \frac{2.52 \lambda \delta^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{(1 - \cos \Phi)(1+z)^{\alpha_\gamma+1}} M_7.
\] (A9)

where we adopt $L_\gamma^{\text{in}} = L_{\text{Edd}} = 1.26 \times 10^{45} M_\odot$. $\lambda$ is a parameter depending on specific $\gamma$-ray emission model, and $L_{iso}^{45}$ is in units of $10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Then the Doppler factor can be derived, i.e.,
\[
\delta = \left( \frac{L_{iso}^{45} (1 - \cos \Phi)(1+z)^{\alpha_\gamma+1}}{2.52 \lambda M_7} \right)^\frac{1}{\alpha_\gamma+4}.
\] (A10)

When substituting Equation (A10) into Equation (A6), one can read
\[
d(\Phi, M, L_{iso}) = A R_X (1 - \cos \Phi)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_\gamma+4}},
\] (A11)

where
\[
A = 1730 \times \Delta T_D (1+z)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_\gamma+1}} M_7^{-\frac{\alpha_\gamma+1}{\alpha_\gamma+1}} \left( \frac{L_{iso}}{2.52 \lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_\gamma+4}}.
\] (A12)

After substituting Equation (A11) and Equation (A10) into Equation (A1), we ascertain
\[
\tau(\Phi, M, L_{iso}) = \left[ 9 \times \Phi^{2.5} (1 - \cos \Phi) \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{\alpha_\gamma+4} \right. + k M_7^{-1} A \left. \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{\alpha_\gamma+4} \right] \left( 1 - \cos \Phi \right)^{\frac{\alpha_\gamma+1}{\alpha_\gamma+1}}
\] (A13)

Then we set $\tau(\Phi, M, L_{iso}) = 1.0$ and thus $\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \Phi} |_{\Phi M} = 0$, i.e.,
\[
\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \Phi} |_{\Phi M} = \left[ 22.5 \times \Phi^{1.5} (1 - \cos \Phi) - 9 \times \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{\alpha_\gamma+4} \right. \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{\alpha_\gamma+4} \times (1 - \cos \Phi)^{\frac{\alpha_\gamma+1}{\alpha_\gamma+1}}
\] (A14)

which yields
\[
22.5 \times \Phi^{1.5} (1 - \cos \Phi) - 9 \times \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{\alpha_\gamma+4} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{\alpha_\gamma+4} \times (1 - \cos \Phi)^{\frac{\alpha_\gamma+1}{\alpha_\gamma+1}} = 0.
\] (A15)

Finally, we derive four equations (Cheng et al. 1999; Fan 2005; Fan et al. 2009b)
\[
d_{R_s} = \frac{1730 \times \Delta T_D}{1+z},
\] (A5)

\[
L_{iso}^{45} = \frac{2.52 \lambda \delta^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{(1 - \cos \Phi)(1+z)^{\alpha_\gamma+1}} M_7,
\] (A7)

\[
9 \times \Phi^{2.5} \left( \frac{d}{R_s} \right)^{-\frac{\alpha_\gamma+4}{\alpha_\gamma+4}} \frac{d}{R_s} \left( \frac{d}{R_s} \right)^{-\frac{\alpha_\gamma+4}{\alpha_\gamma+4}} = 1,
\] (A9)

\[
22.5 \times \Phi^{1.5} (1 - \cos \Phi) - 9 \times \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{\alpha_\gamma+4} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_\gamma+4}}{\alpha_\gamma+4} \times (1 - \cos \Phi)^{\frac{\alpha_\gamma+1}{\alpha_\gamma+1}} = 0.
\] (A11)
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