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Abstract

In this paper, the critical group structure of the Cartesian product graph $C_4 \times C_n$ is determined, where $n \geq 3$.
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1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite connected graph without self-loops, but with multiple edges allowed. Then the Laplacian matrix of $G$ is the $|V| \times |V|$ matrix defined by

\[ L(G)_{uv} = \begin{cases} d(u), & \text{if } u = v, \\ -a_{uv}, & \text{if } u \neq v, \end{cases} \]

(1.1)

where $a_{uv}$ is the number of the edges joining $u$ and $v$, and $d(u)$ is the degree of $u$.

Regarding $L(G)$ as representing an abelian group homomorphism: $\mathbb{Z}^{|V|} \to \mathbb{Z}^{|V|}$, its cokernel $\text{coker}(L(G)) = \mathbb{Z}^{|V|}/\text{im}(L(G))$ is an abelian group, determined by the generators $x_1, \ldots, x_{|V|}$ and relation $L(G)X = 0$, where $x_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{|V|}$, whose unique nonzero 1 is in position $i$, and $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_{|V|})^t$. Note that the same symbol $x_i$ denotes both an element of the group $\text{coker}(L(G))$ and a basis element of the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^{|V|}$.

The finitely generated abelian group $\text{coker}(L(G))$ can be described in terms of the Smith normal form (or simply SNF) of $L(G)$. Two integral matrices $A$ and $B$
of order \(n\) are equivalent (written by \(A \sim B\)) if there are unimodular matrices \(P\) and \(Q\) such that \(B = P A Q\). Equivalently, \(B\) is obtainable from \(A\) by a sequence of elementary row and column operations: (1) the interchange of two rows or columns, (2) the multiplication of any row or column by \(-1\), (3) the addition of any integer times of one row (resp. column) to another row (resp. column). It is easy to see that \(A \sim B\) implies that \(\text{coker}(A) \cong \text{coker}(B)\). Given any \(|V| \times |V|\) unimodular matrices \(P\) and \(Q\) and any integral matrix \(A\) with \(P A Q = \text{diag}(a_1, \cdots, a_{|V|})\), it is easy to see that \(Z^{|V|}/\text{im}(A) \cong (Z/a_1Z) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Z/a_{|V|}Z)\). Here, the rank of \(L(G)\) is \(|V| - 1\), with kernel generated by the transpose of the vector \((1, \cdots, 1)\). Thus we can assume the SNF of \(L(G)\) is \(\text{diag}(t_1, \cdots, t_{|V|-1}, 0)\), and it induces an isomorphism

\[
\text{coker}(L(G)) \cong K(G) \oplus Z.
\]

(1.2)

where \(K(G) = (Z/t_1Z) \oplus (Z/t_2Z) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Z/t_{|V|-1}Z)\).

In [1] and [5 (Chapter 14)], the finite abelian group \(K(G)\) is defined to be the critical group of \(G\). Its invariant factors \(t_1, t_2, \cdots t_{|V|-1}\) can be computed in the following way: for \(1 \leq i < |V|\), \(t_i = \Delta_i/\Delta_{i-1}\) where \(\Delta_0 = 1\) and \(\Delta_i\) is the \(i\)-th determinantal divisor of \(L(G)\), defined as the greatest common divisor of all \(i \times i\) minor subdeterminants of \(L(G)\). From the well known Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem [7, Theorem 13.2.1] we know that \(t_1 \cdots t_{|V|-1}\) equals the number \(\kappa\) of spanning trees of \(G\). It follows that the invariant factors of \(K(G)\) can be used to distinguish pairs of non-isomorphic graphs which have the same \(\kappa\), and so there is considerable interest in their properties. If \(G\) is a simple connected graph, the invariant factor \(t_1\) of \(K(G)\) must be equal to 1, however, most of them are not easy to be determined.

Compared to the number of the results on the spanning tree number \(\kappa\), there are relatively few results describing the critical group structure of \(K(G)\) in terms of the structure of \(G\). There are also very few interesting infinite family of graphs for which the group structure has been complete determined (see [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8], and the references therein). In this paper, we describe the critical group structure of Cartesian product graph \(C_4 \times C_n\) \((n \geq 3)\) completely, where \(C_n\) is the cycle on \(n\) vertices.

Given two disjoint graphs \(G_1 = (V_1, E_1)\) and \(G_2 = (V_2, E_2)\), their Cartesian product is the graph \(G_1 \times G_2\) whose vertex set is the cartesian product \(V_1 \times V_2\). Suppose \(u_1, u_2 \in V_1\) and \(v_1, v_2 \in V_2\). Then \((u_1, v_1)\) is adjacent to \((u_2, v_2)\) if and only if one of the following conditions satisfied: (i) \(u_1 = u_2\) and \((v_1, v_2) \in E_2\), or (ii) \((u_1, u_2) \in E_1\) and \(v_1 = v_2\). One may view \(G_1 \times G_2\) as the graph obtained from \(G_2\) by replacing each of its vertices with a copy of \(G_1\), and each of its edges with \(|V_1|\) edges joining corresponding vertices of \(G_1\) in the two copies. From the definition of the Cartesian product of two graphs, it is easy to see that there are \(n\) layers of \(C_4 \times C_n\), each of which is a copy of \(C_4\). Let \(Z_n\) denote \(Z/nZ\), then for \(i \in Z_n\), \(j \in Z_4\), let
\( v^i_j \) denote the \( j \)-th vertex in the \( i \)-th layer of \( C_4 \times C_n \). The vertex \( v^i_j \) is adjacent to vertices \( v^l_j \) and \( v^i_k \), where \( l = i \pm 1, \ k = j \pm 1 \pmod{4} \) (see Fig. 1).

![Graph \( C_4 \times C_n \).](image)

### 2 Preliminaries

Let \( m \) be a positive integer. Denote \( \alpha(m) = \frac{m+2+\sqrt{m^2+4m}}{2}, \beta(m) = \frac{m+2-\sqrt{m^2+4m}}{2}, \)

\[ u_p(m) = \alpha(m) - \beta(m) \left( \alpha^p(m) - \beta^p(m) \right), \]

\[ v_p(m) = \alpha^p(m) + \beta^p(m), \] for \( p \in \mathbb{R} \).

By the following proposition 2.1, it is easy to see that for every integer \( p \geq 0 \), \( u_p(m) \) and \( v_p(m) \) are integral. The propositions 2.1 and 2.2 can be easily proved by induction.

**Proposition 2.1.** If \( p \) is integral, then

\[ \begin{align*}
  u_p(m) &= (m+2)u_{p-1}(m) - u_{p-2}(m), \\
  v_p(m) &= (m+2)v_{p-1}(m) - v_{p-2}(m),
\end{align*} \]

with initial values

\[ \begin{align*}
  u_0(m) &= 0, \quad u_1(m) = 1, \\
  v_0(m) &= 2, \quad v_1(m) = m + 2.
\end{align*} \]

And if \( q \geq 0 \) is another integer, then

\[ u_{pq}(m) = v_{p(q-1)}(m)u_p(m) + u_{p(q-2)}(m). \]

**Proposition 2.2.** If \( p \) is a nonnegative integer, then

- \( u_p(m) \equiv p \pmod{m}, \ v_p(m) \equiv 2 \pmod{m}; \)
- \( v_{2p}(m) = m(m+4)u^2_p(m) + 2; \)
\[ u_{pq}(m) = \begin{cases} V_q(m)u_p(m), & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ V'_q(m)u_p(m), & \text{if } q \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (2.5) \]

where

\[ V_q(m) = \sum_{0 < 2r \leq q} v_{p(q+1-2r)}(m), \quad V'_q(m) = \left( \sum_{0 < 2r \leq q+1} v_{p(q+1-2r)}(m) \right) - 1. \quad (2.6) \]

If \( n \) is a positive integer of the form \( p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_k^{i_k} \) where the \( p_i \)'s are distinct primes, then let \( T_{p_i}(n) \) denote \( t_i \). Let \( e_n = u_n(2) \), \( f_n = u_n(4) \).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \( T_2(n) = t_2 \), \( T_3(n) = t_3 \), for \( n \geq 2 \). Then we have \( T_2(e_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t_2 = 0, \\ t_2 + 1, & \text{if } t_2 > 0; \end{cases} \) \( T_2(f_n) = t_2 \); \( T_3(e_n) = t_3 \); and \( T_3(f_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t_2 = 0, \\ t_3 + 1, & \text{if } t_2 > 0. \end{cases} \)

**Proof.** Let \( n = 2^k q \), where \( q \) is odd.

By (2.5), \( e_n = V'_2(2)e_{2^k} \) and \( f_n = V'_4(4)f_{2^k} \). By (2.3), \( v_p(2) \) and \( v_p(4) \) are even for every \( p \) and then from (2.6) we have that \( V'_2(2) \) and \( V'_4(4) \) are odd. Thus \( T_2(e_n) = T_2(e_{2^k}) \) and \( T_2(f_n) = T_2(f_{2^k}) \). If \( t_2 = 0 \), then \( T_2(e_{2^k}) = T_2(e_1) = 0 \) and \( T_2(f_{2^k}) = T_2(f_1) = 0 \). Now we prove by induction on \( t_2 \) > 0 that \( T_2(e_{2^k}) = t_2 + 1 \) and \( T_2(f_{2^k}) = t_2 \). This is valid if \( t_2 = 1 \). Since from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) it follows that \( e_{2^k} = v_{2^k-1}(2)e_{2^k-1} = (12e_{2^k-2}^2 + 2)e_{2^k-1} \) and \( f_{2^k} = v_{2^k-1}(4)f_{2^k-1} = (32e_{2^k-2}^2 + 2)f_{2^k-1} \), then by the induction hypothesis we have that \( T_2(e_{2^k}) = T_2(12e_{2^k-2}^2 + 2) + T_2(e_{2^k-1}) = 1 + t_2 \) and \( T_2(f_{2^k}) = T_2(32e_{2^k-2}^2 + 2) + T_2(f_{2^k-1}) = 1 + t_2 = t_2 \). Thus \( T_2(e_n) = t_2 + 1 \) and \( T_2(f_n) = t_2 \).

Let \( n = 3^{r_3} \gamma \), where \( 3 \nmid \gamma \).

By (2.5), \( e_n = \begin{cases} V'_2(2)e_{3^{r_3}}, & \text{if } 2 \mid \gamma, \\ V'_2(2)e_{3^{r_3}}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid \gamma. \end{cases} \) Note that \( v_n(2) = 4v_{n-1}(2) - v_{n-2}(2) \equiv v_{n-1}(2) - v_{n-2}(2) \equiv -v_{n-3}(\text{mod } 3), \) \( v_0(2) = 2, \) \( v_1(2) = 4 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3), \) \( v_2(2) = 14 \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3), \) \( v_3(2) = 52 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3), \) \( v_4(2) = 194 \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3), \) \( v_5(2) = 724 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3). \) Then it is not difficult to see that if \( 2 \mid \gamma \) then \( v_{3^{r_3}(\gamma+1-2^k)}(2) \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3); \) if \( 2 \nmid \gamma \) then \( v_{3^{r_3}(\gamma+1-2^k)}(2) \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3). \) Hence, if \( 2 \nmid \gamma \), then \( V'_2(2) \equiv 2 \times \frac{2^{r_3} + 1}{2} - 1 = \gamma(\text{mod } 3); \) if \( 2 \mid \gamma \), then \( V'_2(2) \equiv \frac{\gamma}{2}(\text{mod } 3). \) It follows that neither \( V'_2(2) (\gamma \text{ is even}) \) nor \( V'_2(2) (\gamma \text{ is odd}) \) contains the divisor 3, and hence \( T_3(e_n) = T_3(e_{3^{r_3}}). \) Now we prove by induction on \( t_3 \) that \( T_3(e_{3^{r_3}}) = t_3 \). It is valid if \( t_3 = 0 \), or \( 1 \). Since from (2.4) and (2.5) we have that \( e_{3^{r_3}} = (e_{3^{r_3-1}}(2) + v_0(2) - 1)e_{3^{r_3-1}} = (12e_{3^{r_3-1}} + 3)e_{3^{r_3-1}}. \) So by the induction hypothesis we have \( T_3(e_{3^{r_3}}) = T_3(12e_{3^{r_3-1}} + 3) + T_3(e_{3^{r_3-1}}) = 1 + t_3 - 1 = t_3. \) Thus \( T_3(e_n) = t_3 \).

If \( t_2 = 0 \), namely \( n \) is odd, then we have \( f_n = 6f_{n-1} - f_{n-2} \equiv -f_{n-2} \equiv \cdots \equiv (-1)^{n-1}f_1 \text{ (mod } 3). \) Note that \( f_1 = 1 \), so \( T_3(f_n) = 0 \).

If \( t_2 > 0 \), namely \( n \) is even, then we can write \( n = 3^{r_3} \cdot 2^k \), where \( 3 \nmid \epsilon \). By (2.5), \( f_n = \begin{cases} V'_4(4)f_{2^k3^{r_3}}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid \epsilon, \\ V_4(4)f_{2^k3^{r_3}}, & \text{if } 2 \mid \epsilon. \end{cases} \) By (2.1), \( v_4(4) = 6v_{n-1}(4) - v_{n-2}(4) \equiv -v_{n-2}(4) \equiv \cdots \equiv \)}
\[
\cdots \equiv (-1)^n v_0(4) = (-1)^n 2 \pmod{3}. \text{ Then from (2.6) we have that if } 2 \nmid \epsilon, V'_\epsilon(4) \equiv 2 \times \frac{\epsilon + 1}{2} - 1 = \epsilon \pmod{3}; \text{ if } 2 \mid \epsilon, \text{ then } V'_\epsilon(4) \equiv (-2) \times \frac{\epsilon}{2} = -\epsilon \pmod{3}. \text{ Thus neither } V'_\epsilon(4) (\epsilon \text{ is odd}) \text{ nor } V_\epsilon(4) (\epsilon \text{ is even}) \text{ is divisible by 3. So } T_3(f_n) = T_3(f_{2,3^3}). \text{ Now we prove by induction on } t_3 \text{ that } T_3(f_{2,3^3}) = t_3 + 1. \text{ If } t_3 = 0 \text{ or } 1, \text{ we have } f_2 = 6 \text{ and } f_6 = 6930 \text{ respectively, so it is valid. Since from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) it follows that } f_{2,3^3} = (v_{2,3^3-1,2}(4) + v_0(4) - 1)f_{2,3^3-1} = (32 f_{2,3^3-1}^2 + 3)f_{2,3^3-1}, \text{ then by the induction hypothesis we have } T_3(f_{2,3^3}) = T_3(32 f_{2,3^3-1}^2 + 3) + T_3(f_{2,3^3-1}) = 1 + t_3. \]
\[
x_j^1 + c_{h-1}x_j^{1+2} - a_{h-2}x_j^0 - b_{h-2}(x_j^0 + x_j^{1-1}) - c_{h-2}x_j^{1+2} = \left(4a_h - 2b_h - a_{h-1}\right)x_j^1 + \left(4b_h - a_h - c_h - b_{h-1}\right)x_j^{1+1} + \left(4c_h - 2b_h - c_{h-1}\right)x_j^{1+2} - \left(4a_{h-1} - 2b_{h-1} - a_{h-2}\right)x_j^0 - \left(4b_{h-1} - a_{h-1} - c_{h-1} - b_{h-2}\right)x_j^0 \]

\[
= a_{h+1}x_j^1 + b_{h+1}(x_j^1 + x_j^{1-1}) + c_{h+1}x_j^{1+2} - a_hx_j^0 - b_h(x_j^0 + x_j^{1-1}) - c_hx_j^{1+2}.
\]

Thus (3.2) holds by induction.

From the process of induction just now, it is easy to see that

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
a_{i+1} = 4a_i - 2b_i - a_{i-1}, \\
b_{i+1} = 4b_i - (a_i + c_i) - b_{i-1}, \\
c_{i+1} = 4c_i - 2b_i - c_{i-1}, 
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

for \(i \geq 1\). Let \(\tau_i = a_i + c_i\) and \(\eta_i = a_i - c_i\). After a short calculation, we can get

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\eta_{i+1} = 4\eta_i - \eta_{i-1}, \\
\eta_0 = 0, \quad \eta_1 = 1; \\
\tau_{i+2} - 8\tau_{i+1} + 14\tau_i - 8\tau_{i-1} + \tau_{i-2} = 0, \\
\tau_0 = 0, \quad \tau_1 = 1.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

By proposition 2.1, we have \(\eta_i = u_i(2) = e_i\). Let \(\phi_i = 2\tau_i - i\), then one can verify that \(\phi_{i+2} = 6\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i\), with \(\phi_0 = 0\) and \(\phi_1 = 1\). Immediately, \(\phi_i = u_i(4) = f_i\), and then \(\tau_i = \frac{1}{2}(i + u_i(4))\). Now the equalities in (3.3) can be verified directly. \(\square\)

We know from lemma 3.1 that the system of equation (3.2) has at most 8 generators, i.e., each \(x_j^i\) can be expressed in terms of \(x_0^0, x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0, x_0^1, x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1\). So there are at least \(4n - 8\) diagonal entries of the Smith normal form of \(L(G)\) are equal to 1, however the remaining invariant factors of \(\text{coker}(C_4 \times C_n)\) hide inside the relations matrix induced by \(x_0^0, x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0, x_0^1, x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1\).

Let \(Y = (x_0^1, x_1^1, x_2^1, x_3^1, x_0^0, x_1^0, x_2^0, x_3^0)^t\), \(A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n & c_n & b_n \\ b_n & a_n & b_n & c_n \\ c_n & b_n & a_n & b_n \\ b_n & c_n & b_n & a_n \end{pmatrix}\) and

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix} A_{n+1} & -A_n \\ A_n & -A_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

From (3.2) and the cyclic structure of \(C_4 \times C_n\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
x_j^0 &= x_j^n = a_nx_j^1 + b_n(x_j^{1+1} + x_j^{1-1}) + c_nx_j^{1+2} - a_{n-1}x_j^0 \\
&\quad - b_{n-1}(x_j^0 + x_j^{1-1}) - c_{n-1}x_j^{1+2}, \\
x_j^1 &= x_{j+1}^n = a_{n+1}x_j^1 + b_{n+1}(x_j^{1+1} + x_j^{1-1}) + c_{n+1}x_j^{1+2} - a_nx_j^0 \\
&\quad - b_n(x_j^{0+1} + x_j^{0-1}) - c_nx_j^{1+2},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(0 \leq j \leq 3\). Therefore

\[
(M - I)Y = 0. \quad (3.6)
\]
From the argument above, we know that one can reduce \( L(G) \) to \( I_{4n-8} \oplus (M-I) \) by performing some row and column operations up to equivalence. Now we only need to evaluate the SNF of \( M-I \).

4 Analysis of the coefficients of the Smith normal form of \( M-I \)

If we multiply the last 4 rows of \( M-I \) by \(-1\), then we have that

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{n+1}-I_4 & -A_n \\
A_n & -A_{n-1}-I_4
\end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix}
A_{n+1}-I_4 & -A_n \\
-A_n & A_{n-1}+I_4
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{4.1}
\]

From lemma 3.1, one can verify that \( a_{i+1} + c_{i+1} + 2b_{i+1} = a_i + c_i + 2b_i + 1 \), for each \( i \in N \), and it results that each line sum of the right matrix of (4.1) is equal to 0. Immediately, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** \( M-I \sim (0) \oplus M_1 \), where \( M_1 \) is the submatrix of \( M-I \) resulting from the deletion of the first row and column.

Let \( h_n = e_n + e_{n+1}, g_n = f_n + f_{n+1}, p_i = e_i + e_{n-i}, q_i = f_i + f_{n-i}, \) and let

\[
L_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad R_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then one can check that \( L_1 \) and \( R_1 \) are unimodular matrices and

\[
L_1M_1R_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & n & n & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{-1} & p_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_0 & p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{q_{-1}+q_0}{2} & \frac{p_{-1}+q_{-1}}{2} & \frac{p_0+q_0}{2} & \frac{n-4-q_{-1}}{4} & \frac{n-4-q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{q_{0}+q_1}{2} & \frac{p_{0}+q_0}{2} & \frac{p_1+q_1}{2} & \frac{n-4-q_0}{4} & \frac{n-4-q_1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{n+q_{-1}}{2} & \frac{n+q_0}{2} & p_{-1} & p_0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{n+p_{-1}}{2} & \frac{n+p_0}{2} & p_0 & p_1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Putting \( m = 2 \) and 4, then it follows from proposition 2.1 that

\[
\begin{align*}
p_{i+1} &= 4p_i - p_{i-1}, \\
q_{i+1} &= 6q_i - q_{i-1}.
\end{align*}
\tag{4.2}
\]
Let $M_2 = L_1 M_1 R_1$ and $U = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 4
\end{pmatrix}$.

Then by (4.2) we have
\[
U^i M_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & n & n & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{i-1} & p_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_i & p_{i-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{q_{i-1} + q_i}{2} & \frac{p_{i-1} + q_i}{2} & \frac{p_i + q_{i-1}}{2} & \frac{n - g_{i-1}}{4} & \frac{n - g_i}{4} & n - g_i & 0 \\
\frac{q_{i+1} + q_i}{2} & \frac{p_{i+1} + q_i}{2} & \frac{p_i + q_{i+1}}{2} & \frac{n - g_{i+1}}{4} & \frac{n - g_i}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & n & n & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\] (4.3)

Now we distinguish two cases.

**Case 1 $n = 2s + 1$ odd.**

In this case, by (4.2) one can verify that
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
p_s & p_{s+1} \\
p_{s+1} & p_{s+2}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_s & h_s \\
h_s & 3h_s
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix} q_s & q_{s+1} \\
q_{s+1} & q_{s+2}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_s & g_s \\
g_s & 5g_s
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (4.4)

Let $i = s + 1$ in (4.3), then by (4.4) we have
\[
U^{s+1} M_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & n & n & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h_s & h_s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h_s & 3h_s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
g_s & \frac{g_s + h_s}{2} & \frac{g_s + h_s}{2} & \frac{n - g_s}{4} & \frac{n - g_s}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
3g_s & \frac{3g_s + h_s}{2} & \frac{3g_s + h_s}{2} & \frac{n - g_s}{4} & \frac{n - g_s}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{n + h_s}{2} & \frac{n + h_s}{2} & h_s & h_s \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{n + h_s}{2} & \frac{n + h_s}{2} & 3h_s & h_s
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Let
\[
L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\
-1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
It is clear that $L_2$ and $R_2$ are unimodular matrices. By a direct calculation, we get

$$L_2U^{s+1}M_2R_2 = X \oplus Y,$$

(4.5)

where $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2h_s & 0 \\ h_s & 0 & 2h_s \\ g_s & h_s & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_s & 0 \\ \frac{n+h_s}{2} & 0 & h_s \\ \frac{n-g_s}{2} & h_s+g_s & 0 \\ g_s & h_s & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Using the standard method for calculating the determinant factors we have that

$$\text{SNF}(X) = \text{diag}\left((h_s, g_s), h_s, \frac{4h_sg_s}{h_s^2}, g_s\right)$$

and

$$\text{SNF}(Y) = \text{diag}\left((n, h_s, g_s), (n, h_s, g_s), h_s, (n,h_s)(h_s, g_s), \frac{nh_sg_s}{h_s, g_s}, (nh_s, ng_s, h_sg_s)\right).$$

From above, now it is easy to see that in this case $\text{SNF}(M_1) = \text{SNF}(M_2) = \text{diag}\left((n, h_s, g_s), (n, h_s, g_s), \frac{nh_sg_s}{h_s, g_s}, (nh_s, ng_s, h_sg_s)\right)$.

**Case 2** $n = 2s$ even.

In this case, by (4.2) one can verify that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_s & p_{s+1} \\ p_{s+1} & p_{s+2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2e_s & 4e_s \\ 4e_s & 14e_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} q_s & q_{s+1} \\ q_{s+1} & q_{s+2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2f_s & 6f_s \\ 6f_s & 34f_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Apply (4.3), we have

$$U^{s+1}M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2s & 2s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2e_s & 4e_s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4e_s & 14e_s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4f_s & f_s + e_s & 3f_s + 2e_s & \frac{s - f_s}{2} & \frac{s - 3f_s}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 20f_s & 3f_s + 2e_s & 17f_s + 7e_s & \frac{s - 3f_s}{2} & \frac{s - 7f_s}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s + e_s & s + 2e_s & 2e_s & 4e_s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s + 2e_s & s + 7e_s & 4e_S & 14e_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$L_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -4 & 1 & 7 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 0 & -4 & 6 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -6 & 0 & 4 & -1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & -3 & 2 & 2 & -3 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & -2 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
Then we have
\[
L_3 U^s + 1 M_2 R_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
2s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2e_s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3e_s & 0 & 6e_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
s - 2f_s & e_s + 4f_s & 0 & 8f_s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6e_s & 0 \\
s & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_s & 0 \\
1/2(f_s + s) & f_s & 0 & 0 & 3e_s & f_s & 2f_s
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.6)
\]

Let \( M_3 \) denote the matrix on the right side of (4.6). If we can further reduce \( M_3 \) to the direct product of some small matrices as in the above case of \( n \) being odd, then the calculation will become easier. Unfortunately, we can not achieve it.

Let
\[
M'_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e_s & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3e_s & 0 & 3e_s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
s - 2f_s & e_s + 4f_s & 0 & f_s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3e_s & 0 \\
s & 0 & 0 & 0 & e_s & 0 \\
1/2(f_s + s) & f_s & 0 & 0 & 3e_s & f_s & f_s
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
L_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
R_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

It is clear that \( L_4 \) and \( R_4 \) are unimodular matrices and \( L_4 M'_3 R_4 = E \oplus F \), where
\[
E = \begin{pmatrix}
s & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1/2(s + f_s) & f_s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e_s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3e_s
\end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix}
f_s & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e_s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3e_s
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.7)
\]

Now we can compute the determinantal divisors of \( E \) and \( F \) and furthermore obtain the SNF of \( M'_3 \). Here we directly give the result and omit the details of computation. However we must say that proposition 2.3 plays an important role in this computation.

\[
\text{SNF}(E) = \begin{cases}
\text{diag} \left( (s, e_s, f_s), \frac{(s, e_s)(s, e_s, f_s)}{(s, e_s, f_s)}, \frac{e_s(s, e_s)(s, e_s, f_s)}{(s, e_s)(s, e_s, f_s)}, \frac{3e_s f_s}{(s, e_s)(s, e_s, f_s)} \right), & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, \\
\text{diag} \left( (s, e_s, f_s), \frac{(s, e_s)(s, e_s, f_s)}{(s, e_s, f_s)}, \frac{e_s(s, e_s)(s, e_s, f_s)}{(s, e_s)(s, e_s, f_s)}, \frac{3e_s f_s}{(s, e_s)(s, e_s, f_s)} \right), & \text{if } 2 \mid s;
\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
\text{SNF}(F) = \begin{cases} 
\text{diag} \left( (e_s, f_s), e_s, \frac{3e_s f_s}{(e_s, f_s)} \right), & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, \\
\text{diag} \left( (e_s, f_s), 3e_s, \frac{e_s f_s}{(e_s, f_s)} \right), & \text{if } 2 \mid s.
\end{cases}
\]

Then it is not hard to see that \(\text{SNF}(M'_3) = \)
\[
\begin{cases} 
\text{diag} \left( (s, e_s, f_s), (e_s, f_s), \frac{(s, e_s)(e_s, f_s)}{(s, e_s, f_s)}, e_s, \frac{e_s}{(e_s, f_s)} \right), & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, \\
\text{diag} \left( (s, e_s, f_s), (e_s, f_s), \frac{(s, e_s)(e_s, f_s)}{(s, e_s, f_s)}, 3e_s, \frac{3e_s f_s}{(e_s, f_s)} \right), & \text{if } 2 \mid s.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \(M_3\) is obtained from \(M'_3\) by multiplying its rows 1, 2, 5 by 2, columns 3, 7 by 2, column 4 by 8. Then we have that there are integers \(t_i\) such that \(S_i(M_3) = 2^{t_i}S_i(M'_3)\), for \(1 \leq i \leq 7\).

- \(n = 2s\) with \(s\) odd.

It follows from proposition 2.3 that \(2 \nmid e_s\) and \(2 \nmid f_s\). Moreover, \(\Delta_i(M'_3)\) is odd and hence \(S_i(M'_3)\) is odd. Since \(\det(M_3[3,4,6,7]) = -9e^3_s(e_s + 4f_s)\) is odd, where \(M_3[3,4,6,7]\) is the submatrix that lies in the rows 3, 4, 6, 7 and columns 1, 2, 5, 6 of \(M_3\). Thus \(t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 0\). Note that every nonzero element in rows 1, 2, 5, columns 3, 4, 7 of \(M_3\) is even and on the main diagonal, so every \(5 \times 5\) submatrix of \(M_3\) must contain at least one row and at least one column of them. Thus \(2^3 \mid \Delta_5(M_3)\). Since \(\det(M_3[1,3,4,6,7]) = 36se^3_s(e_s + 4f_s)\), then \(2^3\) is not its divisor. Thus \(t_5 = 2\). As above, \(2^4 \mid \Delta_6(M_3)\), but \(\det(M_3[1,3,4,5,6,7]) = -144se^3_s(e_s + 4f_s)\), which is not divisible by \(2^5\). So \(t_6 = 4 - 2 = 2\). Finally, it is easy to see that \(t_7 = 8 - 4 = 4\). Thus the SNF of \(M_3\) here is
\[
\text{diag} \left( (s, e_s, f_s), (e_s, f_s), \frac{(s, e_s)(e_s, f_s)}{(s, e_s, f_s)}, e_s, \frac{4e_s}{(e_s, f_s)} \right), \frac{12e_s f_s}{(e_s, f_s)} \frac{8se^3_s f_s}{(e_s, f_s)}.
\]

- \(n = 2s\) with \(s\) even.

Let \(t = T_2(s)\), then from proposition 2.3, it follows that \(T_2(e_s) = t + 1\) and \(T_2(f_s) = t\). It is clear that \(S_1(M_3) = S_1(M'_3)\), so \(t_1 = 0\). Since \(T_2(\det(M_3[6,7,1,2])) = T_2(s f_s) = 2t = T_2(\Delta_2(M'_3)) = 2t\), then clearly \(t_2 = 0\). It is not hard to see that the maximal power of 2 contained in each of the \(3 \times 3\) minor subdeterminants of \(M_3\) is at least \(3t + 2\), and then we can conclude that \(T_2(\Delta_3(M_3)) = 3t + 2\), since \(\det(M_3[4,6,7]) = -2sf_s(e_s + 4f_s)\) is not divisible by \(2^{3t+3}\). Then \(T_2(S_3(M'_3)) = T_2(\Delta_3(M'_3)) = (3t + 2) - 2t = t + 2\). So \(t_3 = T_2(S_3(M'_3)) = T_2(S_3(M'_3)) = (t + 2) - t = 2\). All the \(4 \times 4\) minor subdeterminants of \(M_3\) contain the divisor \(2^{4t+4}\), and then we can say that \(T_2(\Delta_4(M_3)) = 4t + 4\), since \(\det(M_3[4,6,7]) = -12se^3_s e_s + 4f_s\) is not divisible by \(2^{4t+5}\). Then \(T_2(S_4(M'_3)) = T_2(\Delta_4(M'_3)) = (4t + 4) - (3t + 2) = t + 2\). So \(t_4 = T_2(S_4(M'_3)) = T_2(S_4(M'_3)) = (t + 2) - (t + 1) = 1\). Go on in this way, we obtain that \(t_5 = t_6 = 1\) and \(t_7 = 3\). Thus we get that SNF of \(M_3\) here is
\[
\text{diag} \left( (s, e_s, f_s), (e_s, f_s), \frac{4(s, e_s)(e_s, f_s)}{(s, e_s, f_s)}, 6e_s, \frac{6e_s}{(e_s, f_s)} \right).
\]
5 Conclusion

Now we can give the main result as follows.

**Theorem 5.1.** If \( n = 2s + 1 \) odd, then the critical group of \( C_4 \times C_n \) \( (n \geq 3) \) is

\[
Z(n, h_s, g_s) \oplus Z(h_s, g_s) \oplus Z(n, h_s, g_s) \oplus Z(h_s) \oplus Z(h_s, g_s) \oplus Z(h_s, g_s) \oplus Z(4n, h_s, g_s).
\]

If \( n = 2s \) with \( s \) odd, then the critical group of \( C_4 \times C_n \) \( (n \geq 3) \) is

\[
Z(s, e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(s, e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(e_s) \oplus Z(4s, e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(2s, e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(8s, e_s, f_s).
\]

If \( n = 2s \) with \( s \) even, then the critical group of \( C_4 \times C_n \) \( (n \geq 3) \) is

\[
Z(s, e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(s, e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(e_s) \oplus Z(4s, e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(2s, e_s, f_s) \oplus Z(8s, e_s, f_s).
\]

**Example 5.1.** To give an illustration of theorem 5.1, we consider the three graphs \( C_4 \times C_4 \), \( C_4 \times C_5 \) and \( C_4 \times C_6 \). Note that \( e_0 = 0, e_1 = 1, e_2 = 4, e_3 = 15, e_4 = 56, e_5 = 209, e_6 = 780, f_0 = 0, f_1 = 1, f_2 = 6, f_3 = 35, f_4 = 204, f_5 = 1189, f_6 = 6930 \). Then by theorem 5.1 we have that \( K(C_4 \times C_4) = (Z_2)^2 \oplus Z_8 \oplus (Z_2)^3 \oplus Z_{96}; \)
\( K(C_4 \times C_5) = (Z_{19})^2 \oplus Z_{779} \oplus Z_{15580} \) and \( K(C_4 \times C_6) = Z_5 \oplus (Z_{15})^2 \oplus Z_{60} \oplus Z_{1260} \oplus Z_{5040} \). Maple gives the identical result.

Let \( H_n(m) = u_n(m) + u_{n+1}(m) \). Clearly, \( H_n(2) = h_n \) and \( H_n(4) = g_n \).

**Theorem 5.2.** If \( n_1 \mid n_2 \), then \( K(C_4 \times C_{n_1}) \) is a subgroup of \( K(C_4 \times C_{n_2}) \).

**Proof.** We only need to prove that every invariant factor of \( K(C_4 \times C_{n_1}) \) is a divisor of the corresponding one of \( K(C_4 \times C_{n_2}) \). We distinguish three cases.

**Case 1.** \( n_1 = 2s + 1 \) and \( n_2 = (2k + 1)(2s + 1) \).

Let \( p = 2s + 1, q = 2k + 1 \), then \( H_{(np)}(m) = H_{pk+s}(m) \). Since \( \alpha \beta = 1 \), then from the definition we can directly verify that \( u_{pk+s}(m) = v_{pk}u_{n}(m) + u_{pk-s}(m), \)
\( u_{pk+s+1}(m) = v_{pk}(m)u_{n+1}(m) + u_{pk-s-1}(m) \). Thus \( H_{pk+s}(m) = v_{pk}(m)H_{s}(m) + H_{pk-s-1}(m) = v_{pk}(m)H_{s}(m) + H_{p(k-1)+s} = \cdots = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{ip}(m) + 1 \right) H_{s}(m) \). It means that \( H_{s}(m) \mid H_{pk+s}(m) \) and hence \( h_s \mid h_{pk+s}, g_s \mid g_{pk+s} \). So every invariant factor of \( K(C_4 \times C_{2s+1}) \) is a divisor of the corresponding one of \( K(C_4 \times C_{(2k+1)(2s+1)}) \).

**Case 2.** \( n_1 = 2s + 1 \) and \( n_2 = 2k(2s + 1) \).

Since one can verify that \( (u_n(m) + u_{n+1}(m))(u_n(m) - u_{n+1}(m)) = -u_{2n+1}(m) \)
and \( u_n(m) = v_p(m)u_{n-p}(m) - u_{n-2p}(m) \), we have that \( H_n(m) \mid u_{2n+1}(m) \) and if \( p \mid n \), then \( u_p(m) \mid u_{n}(m) \). Thus \( H_{s}(m) \mid u_{n_1}(m) \), and \( u_{n_1}(m) \mid u_{kn_1}(m) \). Then
$H_s(m) \mid u_{kn_1}(m)$. It means that $h_s \mid e_{kn_1}$ and $g_s \mid f_{kn_1}$. So every invariant factor of $K(C_4 \times C_{2s+1})$ is a divisor of the corresponding one of $K(C_4 \times C_{2k(2s+1)})$.

Case 3. $n_1 = 2s$ and $n_2 = 2ks$.

Since $u_s(m) | u_{ks}(m)$, then $e_s | e_{ks}$ and $f_s | f_{ks}$. So every invariant factor of $K(C_4 \times C_{2s})$ is a divisor of the corresponding one of $K(C_4 \times C_{2ks})$. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.3.** The spanning tree number of $C_4 \times C_n$ ($n \geq 3$) is $2^7 3^2 ne_2^4 f_2^2$, i.e.,

$$\frac{n}{4^{n+1}} \left((\sqrt{3} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{3} - 1)^n\right)^4 \cdot \left((\sqrt{2} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{2} - 1)^n\right)^2.$$  

**Proof.** We prove this theorem by distinguishing two cases.

Case 1: $n = 2s + 1$.

A direct calculation shows that $h_s^4 = (e_s + e_{s+1})^4 = \frac{1}{4n+1} \left((\sqrt{3} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{3} - 1)^n\right)^4$ and $g_s^2 = (f_s + f_{s+1})^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left((\sqrt{2} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{2} - 1)^n\right)^2$.

From (4.3), we know that the spanning tree number of $C_4 \times C_n$ of this case is $(\det X) \cdot (\det Y) = 4n h_s^4 g_s^2 = \frac{n}{4^{n+1}} \left((\sqrt{3} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{3} - 1)^n\right)^4 \cdot \left((\sqrt{2} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{2} - 1)^n\right)^2 = 2^7 3^2 ne_2^4 f_2^2$.

Case 2: $n = 2s$.

From (4.4), we know that the spanning tree number of $C_4 \times C_n$ of this case is $\det(M_3) = 2^8 3^2 se_4^4 f_2^2 = 2^7 3^2 ne_2^4 f_2^2$. \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.1.** For every $n \geq 3$, we have that $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (4 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n})^2 (6 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n}) = \frac{1}{4^{n+1}} \left((\sqrt{3} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{3} - 1)^n\right)^4 \cdot \left((\sqrt{2} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{2} - 1)^n\right)^2$.

**Proof.** It is not difficult to know that the Laplacian eigenvalues of $C_n$ are $(2 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n})$, $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$. Then it follows from the argument of the second section of [7] that the Laplacian eigenvalues of $C_4 \times C_n$ are: $0, 2, 2, 4, 2 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n}, 4 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n}$ (with multiplicity 2), $6 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n}$, where $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. Then by the well known Kirchhoff Matrix-Tree Theorem we know the spanning tree number of $C_4 \times C_n$ is $\frac{4}{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (2 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n}) (4 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n})^2 (6 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n})$. Since $C_n$ has $n$ spanning trees, we have $\frac{1}{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (2 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n}) = n$. Thus the spanning tree number of $C_4 \times C_n$ equals $4n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (4 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n})^2 (6 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n})$. Recall theorem 5.3, we have that $4n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (4 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n})^2 (6 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{n}) = \frac{n}{4^{n+1}} \left((\sqrt{3} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{3} - 1)^n\right)^4 \cdot \left((\sqrt{2} + 1)^n - (\sqrt{2} - 1)^n\right)^2$. So this corollary holds. \( \square \)
References

[1] N. L. Biggs, Chip-Firing and the Critical Group of a Graph, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* 9(1999), 25-45.

[2] H. Christianson, V. Reiner, The critical group of a threshold graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 349(2002), 233-244.

[3] P. G. Chen, Y. P. Hou, C. W. Woo, On the critical group of the Möbius ladder graph, *Australas. J. Combin.* 36(2006), 133-142.

[4] A. Dartois, F. Fiorenzi, P. Francini, Sandpile group on the graph $D_n$ of the dihedral group, *European J. Combin.* 24(2003), 815-824.

[5] C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, GTM 207, Springer-Verlag, New Yoru, 2001.

[6] Y. P. Hou, C. W. Woo, P. Chen, On the Sandpile group of the square Cycle $C_n^2$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 418(2006), 457-467.

[7] B. Jacobson, A. Niedermaier, V. Reiner, Critical Groups for Complete Multipartite Graphs and Cartesian Products of Complete Graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 44(2003), 231-250.

[8] H. Liang, Y. L. Pan, J. Wang, The critical group of $K_m \times P_n$, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 428(2008), 2723–2729.