Mitigation of adverse effects of salinity stress on sunflower plant (*Helianthus annuus* L.) by exogenous application of chitosan
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Most developing countries are suffering from a decline in agricultural area and fresh water supply due to the adverse effects of climate change. Sunflower has been considered as having the ability to grow under various environmental conditions, tolerating levels considered stresses to other plants.

**Materials and Methods:** Thus, two field experiments were conducted in the screen of National Research Centre during two successive summer seasons 2018 and 2019, respectively to investigate the effect of soaking seeds of sunflower plant in different concentrations of chitosan (control, 25, 50 and 75 mg/L) on growth, some physiological parameters, yield and its components and some chemical composition of the yielded seeds of sunflower under different salinity levels (control, 4000 and 8000 mg/L).

**Results:** The obtained results showed that salt stress with 4000 mg/L recorded the highest values of all the studied growth characters, photosynthetic pigments, some physiological aspects, seed yield/plant and oil %. On the other hand, the highest values of head diameter, head circumference, weight 50 seed and crude protein were obtained by control treatment. Meanwhile, the highest values of proline and free amino acid were recorded under higher salinity level (8000 mg/L). On the other hand, all chitosan treatments significantly enhanced growth and productivity of sunflower plant. Also, the obtained data that, chitosan concentration (50 mg/L) recorded the highest values of all the studied growth and yield quantity and quality via enhancing photosynthetic pigments and the studied physiological aspects, as compared with other treatments. As for interaction effect, plants treated with chitosan concentration (50 mg/L) under salinity level (4000 mg/L) recorded the highest growth characters, photosynthetic pigments and IAA. While the interaction between chitosan concentrations (50 mg/L) under salinity level (8000 mg/L) recorded the highest yield characters, except for crude protein (75 mg/L chitosan) in control plants (those plants irrigated with tap water).

**Conclusion:** In conclusion, chitosan treatments did not only improve plant growth and productivity but also could enhance the reducing effects of salinity stress on growth and productivity of sunflower plant. The most effective concentrations was 50 mg/L on increasing growth and yield of sunflower plant.
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Introduction

Agricultural soils around the world are increasingly decreased by overpopulation, biotic stresses, ever-increasing natural disasters and global climate changes (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013). Salt-affected lands area about 831 million hectares (ha) including 434 million ha and 397 million ha of sodic and saline soils (FAO 2000). More than 45 million ha of irrigated soil are saline affected that account 20% of soil and 1.5 million ha of soil are out of cultivation each year owing to increased salinity levels (Pitman and Lauchli, 2002 and Munns and Tester, 2008), if it continues in such way, 50% of agricultural soils can be lost by the middle of the twenty-first century (Mahajan and Tuteja, 2005). Salinity might be resulted by natural reasons (as weathering, precipitation of sea salt carried by wind and rain, etc.) in addition to human activities as in-way, 50% of agricultural soils can be lost by the middle of the twenty-first century (Mahajan and Tuteja, 2005). Salinity might be resulted by natural reasons (as weathering, precipitation of sea salt carried by wind and rain, etc.) in addition to human activities as increased irrigation by underground water, saline water, poor drainage, etc. (Hasanuzzaman et al. 2013, Munns, 2005 and Manchanda and Garg, 2008). High levels of saline adversely affect on germination, plant growth, and reproducibility via adverse effects on different metabolic activities as photosynthesis, respiration, transpiration, membrane properties, nutrient balance, enzymatic activities, cellular homeostasis, and hormone balance. Thus cause over accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS); and in severe stress, it cause plant death (Mahajan and Tuteja 2005 and Hasanuzzaman et al. 2012). Plant could alleviate the adverse effect of salinity stress through decreasing salt content, ion compartmentation, osmotic adjustment, overproduction of various types of osmoprotectants (compatible solutes), and induction of antioxidant enzymes (Hasanuzzaman et al. 2013). Osmoprotectants as total soluble sugars TSS, proline protect cells via its role in improving osmotic adjustment, scavenging of increased ROS and protection of membrane structure (Bohnert and Jensen, 1996).

Using of natural and safety compounds is one of the used strategies used to improve salinity tolerance of different plants at different growth stages. One of these compounds is Chitosan. It is a chitin derivative and completely safe for the environment. It is a biopolymer, from carbohydrate family originally formed from glucose ring and have free amino group at carbon number = 2. Chitosan is a bioactive and bio-compatible (Dias et al. 2013). One of important uses of chitosan is using as plant growth promotor (Katiyar et al. 2014) as it improve defense responses in plant under different environmental stresses. Earlier studies from the literature show that, when using chitosan in plants, it increased plant growth and production (Mondal et al. 2012) decrease transpiration (Dzung et al. 2011) and improve different physiological processes thus plants become more tolerant to various stresses (Al-Hetar et al. 2011). In addition, plants treated with chitosan may be less prone to stress evoked by unfavorable conditions (Lizarraga-Pauli et al. 2011, Jabeen and Ahmad, 2013 and Pongprayoon et al. 2013). Chitosan induces important physiological activities of plants on each level of biological organization, from single cells and tissues, via different biochemical processes to changes on the molecular level related to expression of genes (Limpanavech et al. 2008, Hadwiger, 2013 and Nguyen Van et al. 2013).

Thus, this study was conducted to investigate the role of soaking seeds of sunflower plant in various concentrations of chitosan on growth, some physiological parameters, yield and quantity and quality under salinity.

Materials and methods

A pot experiment was carried out in two successive seasons April 2018 and 2019 in the screen house of National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt, using sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) cultivar Sakha 53. Seeds of sunflower were obtained from Agricultural Research Centre Giza, Egypt. Chitosan used was supplied from Sigma–Aldrich. Sunflower seeds were soaked for 12 hours in different concentrations of chitosan (0.0, 25, 50, and 75 mg/L, namely, Ch0, Ch1, Ch2, and Ch3) and planted in pots (50 cm3) with soil of silt and sand soil with 1:1 ratio to soil field capacity in the pots was estimated by saturating the soil in the pots with water and weighing them after they had drained for 48 hr. Field water capacity was 0.36. Sunflower seedlings were irrigated with equal volumes twice a week of different salt solutions for three times, whereas tap water was used for the fourth one to prevent accumulation of salts around root system. Thinning was carried out at 15 days after sowing, and five plants were left in each pot. Pots of each plot irrigated with one of the following salt concentrations (0.0, 4000, and 8000 mg/L, namely, S0, S1, and S2). These EC...
concentrations are equal (0.03, 3.2, and 6.1 dsm\(^{-1}\)). The preparation of salt mixture by combined different salts according to Stroganov, 1962 equation as in Table 1.

The component of specific anions and cation in chloride mixture expressed as percentage of total mill equivalents.

| Na\(^+\) | Mg\(^{2+}\) | Ca\(^{2+}\) | SO\(^{2-}\) | Cl\(^-\) | CO\(^{2-}\) |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| 38      | 6         | 6         | 5         | 40     | 5         |

Samples were taken 40 days after sowing to determine the morphological measurements and chemical analysis. One plant per pot were left for yield determination. The morphological measurements were shoot length (cm), number of leaves per plant, stem circumference (cm), and fresh and dry weight of shoot (g per plant). Some biochemical aspects were determined as photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids and total pigments), indole acetic acid (IAA), phenolics, free amino acids, proline, and total soluble sugars. When signs of full maturity stage, measurements for yield and its components were also recorded (head diameter (cm), head circumference (cm), seed yield/plant (g), 100 seeds weights (g), and oil % and protein%.

**Chemical analysis**

Total chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids contents in fresh leaves were estimated using the method of Lichtenthaler and Buschmann, 2001. Indole acetic acid content were extracted and analysed by the method of Larsen, et al. 1962. Phenolic content was measured as described by Danil and George, 1972. Free amino acid and proline were extracted according to Vartanain et al. 1992, free amino acids were determined with the ninhydrin reagent method (Yemm and Cocking, 1955). Proline content was extracted and calculated according (Bates et al. 1973). Total soluble sugars (TSS) were extracted according to Homme et al. 1992 and assayed according to Yemm and Willis, 1954. The oil content of sunflower seeds was determined according to the procedure reported by A.O.A.C., 1990.

**Statistical analysis**

The results will be statistically analyzed using MSTAT-C software. The mean comparisons among treatments will be determined by Duncan’s multiple range test at 5% level of probability (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

### Table 1

| MgSO\(_4\) | CaSO\(_4\) | NaCl | MgCl\(_2\) | CaCO\(_3\) |
|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|
| 10        | 1         | 78   | 2         | 9         |

**Results**

**Effect of salt stress, chitosan concentrations, and their interaction on growth indices of sunflower plants**

Results of this study presented in Table 2 showed that increasing salt stress (4000 mg/L, (S1)) slightly increased the studied growth indices (shoot length, number of leaves, stem circumference, fresh and dry weight of shoot) of sunflower plant, as compared with those plants grown under normal irrigation conditions (S0). Meanwhile, increasing salt stress to 8000 mg/L (S2) reduced the above mentioned parameters, compared with plants irrigated with tap water. However, salt stress affect markedly on different growth characters, but these effects did not reach significant levels (either increasing effect at S1 or decreasing effect at S2). Moreover, the highest values of all studied growth characters were recorded in moderate salt stress S1 (4000 mg/L).

Meanwhile, soaking sunflower seeds with different concentrations of chitosan (Ch1, Ch2, and Ch3) increased significantly all the studied growth indices comparing with untreated plants (Ch0) (Table 2). Table 2 shows that 50 mg/L (Ch2) chitosan was the most effective concentration as it exhibited the highest increases of growth indices, compared to other concentrations.

Regarding to the interaction effect between salt stress with different levels (0, 4000, and 8000 mg/L) and chitosan different concentration (0, 25, 50, and 75 mg/L), Date in Table 2 show that, soaking sunflower seeds with different concentrations not only increased significantly the studied growth indices under normal irrigation water (tap water) but also, could improve these growth indices under various salinity levels (S1 and S2) comparing with their corresponding controls. The highest values of (shoot length, stem circumference fresh weight, and dry weight) was recorded under salt stress (4000 mg/L) treated with chitosan (50 mg/L). Meanwhile, the highest value number of leaves was recorded (control salt stress) with chitosan (50 mg/L). Generally, 50 mg/L chitosan was the most effective concentration as compared with the other used concentrations under normal and salt stressed conditions.

**Effect of salt stress, chitosan concentrations and their interactions on photosynthetic pigments content of sunflower**

Data presented in Fig. 1a proved that, irrigation of sunflower plant with 4000 mg/L salt water (S1) led to marked and non-significant increases of photosynthetic pigments content (Chlo a, Chlo b, carotenoids, and consequently total pigments) comparing with control plants S0 (those plants irrigated with tap water). This level of salt water 4000 mg/L gives the highest values in the
photosynthetic pigments content. Meanwhile, increasing salinity stress to 8000 mg/L (S2) decreased non-significantly photosynthetic pigments constituents (Chlo a, Chlo b, carotenoids, and consequently total pigments) compared with control plants. In contrast, different concentrations of chitosan treatments (Ch1, Ch2, and Ch3) significantly enhanced photosynthetic pigments contents compared with their untreated controls. Chitosan concentration S2 (50 mg/L) gave the highest values of photosynthetic pigments constituents compared to other concentrations (Fig. 1b). Moreover, the results of interaction between different levels of salt stress and chitosan different concentrations on photosynthetic pigments content of sunflower plant showed significant increases under normal (S0) and stressed conditions (S1 and S2) conditions. However, the highest values were recorded under salt stress S1 (4000 mg/L) and chitosan concentration (50 mg/L) (Fig. 1c).

**Effect of salt stress, chitosan concentration, and their interaction on indole acetic acid and phenol of sunflower plant**

Results of this study show that, irrigation of sunflower plant with 4000 mg/L saline water cause a marked and significant increase in IAA contents comparing with those control plants (Fig. 2). While increasing salinity level to 8000 mg/L significantly decreased IAA content of sunflower plant as compared with control plants. Moreover irrigation of sunflower plants with saline water significantly increased in phenols content of sunflower comparing with control plants. Phenol contents were significantly increased by salinity and/or external treatment of chitosan. These increases in could alleviate the harmful effect of salinity. On the other hand, treatment of different concentration of chitosan increased significantly IAA contents and caused more increases in phenol of sunflower plants as compared with untreated contents (Fig. 2). However, the highest content of IAA and phenol contents was recorded by 50 mg/L concentration.

As for the interaction effect between salt stress and chitosan concentration, date in Fig. 2 showed that, the used levels induced significant increases in IAA and phenol contents under normal irrigation water as well as different salinity levels S1 and S2 (4000 and 8000 mg/L). The highest IAA content was recorded in plants under diluted salt stress (4000 mg/L) treated with chitosan (50 mg/L), meanwhile, the highest value of phenol was recorded in control treatment and chitosan (50mg/L).

### Table 2 Effect of salt stress (S0, S1, and S2), chitosan concentration (Ch0, Ch1, Ch2, and Ch3) and their interaction on growth characters of sunflower plant (data are means of two seasons)

| Treatment | Shoot length (cm) | No of leaves/plant | Stem Circum (cm) | Fresh weight (gm) | Dry weight (gm) |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| S0        | 70.07             | 18.08              | 2.48             | 54.23             | 6.74           |
| S1        | 74.64             | 18.17              | 2.82             | 59.31             | 7.41           |
| S2        | 51.58             | 15.08              | 2.06             | 34.56             | 4.32           |
| L.S.D     | NS                | NS                 | NS               | NS                | NS             |
| Control   | 55.42             | 14.89              | 1.87             | 40.74             | 5.09           |
| Ch1       | 64.18             | 17.00              | 2.39             | 50.43             | 6.25           |
| Ch2       | 72.92             | 19.22              | 2.94             | 56.96             | 7.12           |
| Ch3       | 69.23             | 17.33              | 2.60             | 49.34             | 6.17           |
| L.S.D. at 5% | 1.45           | 0.63                | 0.1              | 1.45              | 0.22           |
| S0 Ch0    | 58.07             | 15.33              | 1.8              | 46.47             | 5.81           |
| Ch1       | 68.63             | 18                  | 2.33             | 57.12             | 6.98           |
| Ch2       | 78.70             | 20.33              | 3.03             | 63.94             | 7.99           |
| Ch3       | 74.87             | 18.67              | 2.73             | 49.41             | 6.18           |
| L.S.D. at 5% | 1.45           | 0.63                | 0.1              | 1.45              | 0.22           |
| S1 Ch0    | 65.27             | 16.67              | 2.17             | 49.22             | 6.15           |
| Ch1       | 74.00             | 18.33              | 2.73             | 60.2              | 7.56           |
| Ch2       | 81.33             | 19.67              | 3.37             | 66.41             | 8.3            |
| Ch3       | 78.07             | 18                  | 2               | 61.1              | 7.64           |
| L.S.D. at 5% | 2.51           | 1.08                | 0.17             | 2.51              | 0.39           |
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Effect of salt stress, chitosan concentration, and their interaction on osmoprotectants of sunflower plant

Free amino acid, proline, and total soluble sugars (TSS) contents of sunflower plants were significantly increased in plants irrigated with saline water (S1 4000 mg/L and S2 8000 mg/L) (Table 3). Moreover, different applied concentrations of chitosan (25, 50, and 75 mg/L) treatments significantly increased different studied osmoprotectants of sunflower plant. Fifty milligrams per liter was the most effective treatment.

Moreover, regarding to the interaction effect of salinity stress and chitosan treatment with different concentrations (Table 3). Different applied concentrations of chitosan (25, 50, and 75 mg/L) treatments significantly increased different studied osmoprotectants of sunflower plant either at normal or salt stress conditions. Fifty milligrams per liter was the most effective treatment.

Effect of salt stress, chitosan concentration, and their interaction on yield, its attributes, oil%, and protein%

Data presented in Table 4 proved that, increasing salinity levels from 0.0 to 4000 to 8000 mg/L led to significant reductions in yield and yield attributes of sunflower plant (head diameter, head circumference...
seed yield/plant, 100 seed weight, oil%, and protein%) as compared with control plants. All salinity levels had a drastic effect on yield and quality of sunflower. Seed yield per plant was significantly decreased with 8000 mg/L salinity level. However, oil% markedly increased by salinity treatments.

In contrast, different concentration of chitosan (25, 50, and 75 mg/L) significantly enhanced yield and its attributed (Table 4). Moreover, the interaction between salt stress and chitosan concentration on yield, its components, oil%, and carbohydrate% caused significant increases in all studied yield attributes as compared with their corresponding controls. However, the highest values of increases were recorded under control plants (those plants irrigated with tap water) with 50 mg/L chitosan concentration. While the highest value of oil% was recorded under salt stress 4000 mg/L with chitosan concentration 50 mg/L). Meanwhile, the highest value of protein was recorded under S0 (control salt stress) with chitosan concentration 75 mg/L (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Results of this study presented in Table 2 showed that increasing salt stress (4000 mg/L, (S1) slightly increased the studied growth parameters of sunflower plant. Meanwhile increasing salt stress to 8000 mg/L reduced those growth parameters. Bakhoum and Sadak, (2016) and Ramaswamy and Seeta, (2018) stated that salinity stress caused marked decreases in growth characters of sunflower plant and they reflected these decreases to metabolic disorders resulted in response to salinity and over production of ROS. In addition, the reductions in shoot length could be due to reduction in cell elongation, cell turgor, cell volume, and eventually cell growth (Banon et al. 2006). Moreover, these decreases in growth indices could be resulted via the effect of high osmotic stress and ion toxicity resulted by over accumulation of sodium and chlorine ions, which induce ionic imbalances thus impair the selectivity of root membranes (Bohra and Dörrlillng, 1993) or because of variations in cell membrane structure (Sweet et al. 1990).

Meanwhile, soaking sunflower seeds with different concentrations of chitosan increased significantly all the studied growth characters as compared with those untreated plants (Table 2). Recently, earlier investigations confirmed the promoting effect of chitosan on plant growth (Mondal et al. (2012 and 2013) on okra and mungbean, Salachna, and Zawadziriska, (2014) on Freesia.

**Fig. 2** Effect of salt stress, chitosan concentration and their interaction on indole acetic acid (μg/100 g) and phenol (mg/100 g) contents of sunflower plant
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plant, Sultana, et al. (2017) on tomato and eggplant plants and Rahman, et al. (2018) on strawberry plant). They reflected these increases to the increases in enzymes activities of nitrogen metabolism which increased translocation of nitrogen in the leaves that enhance growth (Chibu and Shibayama, 2003). Chitosan serves as a growth promoting compound which help in increasing water and essential nutrients availability via adjusting cell osmotic pressure and decreasing the over accumulation of toxic free radicals (Guan et al. 2009).

Regarding to photosynthetic pigments, the decreases in photosynthetic pigment components in resulted by salinity stress were in accordance by Ramadan et al. (2019) on sunflower plant, and Sadak (2019) on wheat plant. The reduced effect of salt stress on photosynthesis might be resulted via destruction of photosynthetic pigments and decreased pigment biosynthesis damaging photosynthetic apparatus that leads to decrease in photosynthetic carbon assimilation (Kim et al. 2013).

In contrast, different concentrations of chitosan treatments significantly enhanced photosynthetic pigments contents (Fig. 1b). These improved results might be obtained via improving cytokinin content that stimulated chlorophyll biosynthesis or to the greater availability of amino compounds released from chitosan (Chibu and Shibayama, 2003). Farouk and Amany (2012) stated that, the total content of chlorophylls and carbohydrates of cowpea plant were significantly decreased under water stress; whereas, foliar application of chitosan, significantly increased these parameters. Moreover, Pereira et al. (2017) found that chitosan treatment increased photosynthetic pigments of *Phaseolus vulgaris*. In another report, Behboudi et al. (2018) foliar treatment of chitosan increased photosynthetic pigments of barley plant.

Moreover, the results of interaction between different levels of salt stress and chitosan different concentrations on photosynthetic pigments content of sunflower plant show significant increases either under normal and stressed conditions (Fig. 1c). These results are in harmony with those obtained by (Munns and Tester, 2008). Chitosan concentration caused a promotive role on Chl a, Chl b, carotenoids, and total pigments. Dzung et al. (2011) confirmed this chitosan stimulating effect on coffee seedlings on increasing content of chlorophylls and carotenoids in comparison to the control. Also, Piotr and Agnieszka, (2014) they found the relative chlorophylls in the freesia increased by chitosan treatment. The increment of chlorophyll content as affected by chitosan application may be caused by plants’ enhanced uptake of nutrients, as showed by Nguyen Van et al. (2013).

Results of this study show that, irrigation of sunflower plant with 4000 mg/L saline water because a marked and significant increase in IAA contents (Fig. 2). While increasing salinity level to 8000 mg/L decreased significantly IAA content of sunflower plant. Moreover irrigation of sunflower plants with saline water increased phenols content of sunflower. Earlier obtained data of many investigators confirmed the obtained data as Abdelhamid et al. (2013), Jasim et al. (2016), Ramadan et al. (2019), and Sadak and Bakry (2020) on various plants. IAA as a growth regulator stabilize the protective effect of plants towards environmental stress through antagonistic or synergistic interaction with other growth regulators as gibberellic acid, cytokinins and abscissic acid referred as signaling crosstalk (Abass and Mohamed 2011). In

| Table 3 | Effect of salt stress, chitosan concentration, and their interaction on osmoprotectants (free amino acids, proline, and TSS) contents of sunflower plant |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Treatment | Free amino acids (mg/100 g) | Proline | TSS (mg/100 g) |
| S0 | 168.98 | 11.96 | 2088 |
| S1 | 195.59 | 13.77 | 2405 |
| S2 | 236.36 | 16.65 | 2908 |
| L.S.D at 5% | 4.65 | 0.75 | 24.32 |
| Control | 173.98 | 12.25 | 2139.91 |
| Ch1 | 204.99 | 14.44 | 252.34 |
| Ch2 | 229.10 | 16.21 | 2831.71 |
| Ch3 | 193.28 | 13.61 | 2377.38 |
| L.S.D. at 5% | 5.65 | 0.75 | 21.365 |
| S0 Ch0 | 124.81 | 8.79 | 1535.10 |
| Ch1 | 171.96 | 12.11 | 2115.11 |
| Ch2 | 203.5 | 14.57 | 2544.07 |
| Ch3 | 175.65 | 12.37 | 2160.50 |
| S1 Ch0 | 184.74 | 13.02 | 2273.35 |
| Ch1 | 193.75 | 13.64 | 2383.13 |
| Ch2 | 217.35 | 15.31 | 2673.40 |
| Ch3 | 186.4 | 13.13 | 2292.72 |
| S2 Ch0 | 212.3 | 14.95 | 2611.29 |
| Ch1 | 249.25 | 17.55 | 3065.77 |
| Ch2 | 266.45 | 18.76 | 3277.34 |
| Ch3 | 217.8 | 15.34 | 2678.93 |
| L.S.D. at 5% | 4.89 | 0.44 | 76.72 |
In addition, these reductions might be due to increasing IAA destruction or decreasing its biosynthesis.

Regarding phenolic contents of sunflower leaves (Fig. 2), phenol contents were increased by salinity and/or chitosan treatment. These increases in could alleviate the toxic effect of salinity. Huang et al. (2005) found that phenol is an antioxidants defense system scavenging over accumulated free radicals.

As for the interaction effect between salt stress and chitosan concentration, date in Fig. 2 showed that, chitosan treatment increased IAA and phenol contents under normal irrigation water as well as different salinity levels. These increases in IAA contents might be due to the induced effect of Chito on auxin-related gene expression, accelerated IAA biosynthesis and transport and reduced IAA oxidase activity increases (Li et al. 2019). With respect to phenol contents, phenolic compound are antioxidants which trigger a series of secondary metabolites formed via shikimic acid or malonic acid cycles, as well as it has a cellular signaling functions (Michalak, 2006).

Free amino acid, proline, and total soluble sugars (TSS) contents of sunflower plants were significantly increased in plants irrigated with saline water (Table 3). Increasing the compatible solutes or osmoprotectants (free amino acids, proline, and TSS) enhance cells tolerance to salinity stress through improved osmotic pressure and relative water contents (Tawfik et al. 2017). Also, Hosseini et al. (2014) showed that soluble sugars improve membrane stabilization as they act as ROS scavengers. Proline has an essential role as an osmotic stabilizing as well as stabilization and protection of enzymes, proteins, and membranes (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007). The accumulation of proline may be resulted through the increases in its synthesis constantly with inhibition of its catabolism (Anjum et al. 2011). Proline increasing content is an indicator of stress alleviation (El Shintinawy and ELShaubagy, 2001, Saxana et al. 2013, Ahmed et al., 2010 and Hoque et al. 2008).

Increasing salinity levels from led to significant reductions in yield and yield attributes of sunflower plant (Table 4). These reductions could be due to the reductions in growth (Table 2) and photosynthetic pigments contents (Fig. 1) thus decreased the output of photosynthesis (Anjum et al. 2003) and disturb activities of Calvin cycle enzymes (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007), Ramaswamy and Seeta (2018) and Ramadan et al. (2019) found that, salinity stress decreased yield, its components, oil%, and carbohydrates% of sunflower plant. Ali et al. (2010) confirmed the changes in seed chemical

Table 4 Effect of salt stress, chitosan and their interaction on concentration on yield and its attributes of sunflower plants

| Treatment | Head diameter (cm) | Head circum. (cm) | Seed yield/plant(gm) | 100 seed weight (gm) | Oil (%) | Protein |
|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| S0        | 9.49               | 29.87             | 22.84                | 5.27                 | 23.82   | 15.83   |
| S1        | 9.41               | 29.57             | 23.83                | 4.76                 | 25.37   | 15.11   |
| S2        | 7                  | 22                | 18.83                | 3.76                 | 24.96   | 13.79   |
| L.S.D     | 0.38               | 1.03              | NS                   | NS                   | NS      | NS      |
| Control   | 7.76               | 24.45             | 20.15                | 4.16                 | 21.98   | 13.25   |
| Ch1       | 8.71               | 27.37             | 19.68                | 4.62                 | 25.02   | 14.98   |
| Ch2       | 9.24               | 29.05             | 22.8                 | 5.01                 | 27.01   | 15.62   |
| Ch3       | 8.82               | 27.71             | 23.8                 | 4.58                 | 24.87   | 15.97   |
| L.S.D. at 5% | 0.09           | 0.35              | 0.57                 | 0.09                 | 0.15    | 0.23    |
| S0 Ch0    | 8.33               | 26.38             | 17.49                | 4.89                 | 20.30   | 13.55   |
| Ch1       | 9.63               | 30.25             | 21.40                | 5.24                 | 23.47   | 15.83   |
| Ch2       | 10.3               | 32.37             | 26.25                | 5.89                 | 26.87   | 16.96   |
| Ch3       | 9.7                | 30.48             | 26.25                | 5.06                 | 24.64   | 16.98   |
| S1 Ch0    | 8.5                | 26.71             | 26.69                | 4.15                 | 22.31   | 13.85   |
| Ch1       | 9.46               | 29.71             | 20.18                | 4.90                 | 25.62   | 15.85   |
| Ch2       | 9.98               | 31.38             | 22.25                | 5.14                 | 27.77   | 15.70   |
| Ch3       | 9.7                | 30.48             | 26.20                | 4.83                 | 25.79   | 15.32   |
| S2 Ch0    | 6.45               | 20.27             | 16.30                | 3.45                 | 23.32   | 15.55   |
| Ch1       | 7.05               | 22.15             | 17.45                | 3.71                 | 25.95   | 12.33   |
| Ch2       | 7.04               | 23.41             | 19.89                | 4                   | 26.40   | 13.41   |
| Ch3       | 7.05               | 22.16             | 18.95                | 3.85                 | 24.18   | 14.58   |
| L.S.D. at 5% | 0.16           | 0.16              | 0.94                 | 0.15                 | 0.25    | 0.40    |
composition which resulted by low water supply during plant life, decreased enzyme activities thus leading to changes in metabolic activities which altered translocation of assimilates to seeds (Abd Elhamid, et al. 2018). Ali and Alquarainy (2006) showed that the main cellular components susceptible to damage by free radicals are lipids, proteins, carbohydrates and nucleic acids. Oil content decreased due to oxidation of some of the polyunsaturated fatty acids (Singh and Sinha, 2005).

In contrast, different concentration of chitosan significantly enhanced yield and its attributed (Table 4). Moreover, the interaction between salt stress and chitosan concentration on yield, its components, oil%, and carbohydrate% increased the studied yield attributes. Algam et al. (2010) stated that chitosan improved yield of tomato crop when applied as a soil drench or seed treatment. Chitosan is also reported to significantly increase plant productivity characteristics in chilli (Chookhongkha et al. 2012). Moreover, Khan et al. (2018) confirmed these stimulatory effects of chitosan on pea yield. Chitosan is considered as one of growth regulators and as a signal molecules, as well as its role as a high effective biomolecule (Gornik et al. 2008). The positive role of chitosan on yield resulted by enhancing activities of key enzymes of nitrogen metabolism (nitrate reductase, glutamine synthetase, and protease as well as improving the translocation of nitrogen in the functional leaves thus increased plant growth and development (Sultana, et al. 2017).

Conclusion
This study highlights the importance of seed treatment with natural compound chitosan especially in salt affected soil. Application of the proper concentration of chitosan could effectively mitigate the hazardous effect of higher salinity levels and improve growth and yield of sunflower under saline sandy soil conditions via improving different physiological aspects.
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