Rational design of heat stable lyophilized rotavirus vaccine formulations

Madhu Madan, Deepa Sikriwal, Gaurav Sharma, Nidhi Shukla, Ashwani Kumar Mandyal, Sachin Kale, and Davinder Gill

MSD Wellcome Trust Hilleman Labs Pvt. Ltd, Jamia Hamdard, Hamdard Nagar, New Delhi, India

ABSTRACT
To develop a safe and efficacious heat-stable rotavirus vaccine, new lyophilized formulations were developed using rotavirus serotypes constituting RotaTeq. A series of formulation compositions, differing in buffering agents, bulking agents, cryoprotectants, amino acids and divalent cations, were screened for their ability to provide stability to rotavirus serotypes during lyophilization and when stored under elevated temperatures for extended periods. Lead formulations and lyophilization cycles were further optimized. Stability profiles of thus optimized formulations showed their ability to retain the potency of rotavirus for > 36 months at 5°C, 20 months at 37°C, and 7 months at 45°C. The heat-stable lyophilized rotavirus formulations developed met the all critical quality attributes for appearance, heat-stability during storage, moisture content as well as pH, viability and stability after reconstitution and has great potential to be used as vaccine candidates for improving access in low-income countries.

Introduction
Rotavirus (RV) is highly contagious that infects nearly every child by the age of 3–5 years and is the leading cause of diarrhea worldwide. Vaccination by rotavirus vaccines (RVVs) remains the most effective way to address the disease, consequently World Health Organization (WHO) recommends introducing RVVs worldwide to reduce the heavy burden of RV caused under-5 years diarrheal mortality. Although few RVVs are licensed for local use, as of this writing three RVVs were licensed globally; two monovalent vaccines Rotarix® and Rotavac® and a pentavalent vaccine RotaTeq®. These vaccines have been proven safe and efficacious, however, they require cold-chain storage at or below 2°C to 8°C before use. The need for introduction of RVVs by many low-income countries is high, but several limitations such as price, adequate supply as well as insufficient cold-chain capacity at distant delivery points, have slowed their introduction. Consequently, development of an affordable, heat-stable RVVs could accelerate its adoption, improve coverage in countries already using vaccine, and improve equity by improving access primarily in the hardest to reach populations.

Various methods such as lyophilization, spray drying, foam drying, spray coating, spray freeze drying have been used for formulating stable vaccines. These methods work on principle of removal of water from formulation slowing down the physical and chemical degradation of vaccine and permits the extended shelf life and storage outside the cold chain. Lyophilization is widely used due to its advantages over other methods such as the minimal thermal damage to the actives as well as high technology adaptability by manufacturers in low and middle-income countries.

Therefore, the objective of our work was to develop oral, heat-stable and affordable lyophilized rotavirus formulations based on RotaTeq® antigens useful as vaccine candidates. Developing lyophilized vaccine can have several challenges that are unique to the antigen. These challenges include preserving antigen structure critical for vaccine efficacy from freezing and dehydration stresses as well as designing optimal cycle time to obtain product with required critical quality attributes. Here, several different stabilizing ingredient combinations (formulations) were screened for their ability to minimize process losses, provide long term stability as well as support optimal lyophilization. The rational screening strategy employed herein used experiments that allowed stepwise identification and optimization of formulations that provides protection to virus during freezing, in liquid state, during dehydration, heat stability in solid state and upon reconstitution. The heat stable formulations thus obtained and described here has potential to become a safe and efficacious RVV to improve access in developing world.

Results
Selection of stabilizers: Generally Regarded As Safe (GRAS) excipients frequently used in the formulation of vaccines or in injectable preparations were selected based on literature. These excipients can be classified as buffer (HEPES: 5-(4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid); protectant (Sucrose), bulking agent (PVP: Polyvinyl pyrrolidone), salt (NaCl: sodium chloride), amino acids (L-arginine, glycine), activating agent (CaCl2, H2O and ZnCl2), dispersant (Tween 20). The formulations, coded HSRV00 to HSRV18, varying in concentrations of stabilizing excipients were prepared (Table 1).
Formulation screening: To mimic the thermal stress conditions experienced by the RVs during lyophilization and to unravel the impact of excipients on potency losses during processing and storage, freeze-thaw-stability studies were conducted using serotype G1 as model strain.

The results summarized in Table 1 show that the formulations HSRV00, HSRV04, HSRV05, HSRV13 and HSRV15 showed titer losses < 1.0 log and were selected as formulations potentially offering best protection to virus during lyophilization. To understand if absolute concentration of ingredients in formulation influence virus protection and quality of cake, formulations that had highest (HSRV11), intermediate (HSRV01) and lowest (HSRV02) concentration of excipients were also selected for lyophilization even though titer losses exceeded 1.0 log in freeze-thaw stability studies. The Tg values of selected formulations were found to be HSRV00: -33.1 ± 0.3, HSRV01: -31.4 ± 0.5, HSRV02: -26.0 ± 0.1, HSRV04: -31.6 ± 0.3, HSRV05: -31.8 ± 0.1, HSRV11: -32.5 ± 0.3, HSRV13: -33.1 ± 0.6 and HSRV15: -31.0 ± 0.3. To accommodate these variances in Tg, that may influence cake quality and potency, initially, selected formulations were lyophilized with G1 serotype at a concentration of Log10 7.3 IU/mL, under gentle conditions (−35°C to −42°C for primary drying and +20°C for secondary drying (Table S1)). The cakes were evaluated for appearance, %MC, potency and stability upon storage at 5°C and 37°C for at least 4 weeks.

The formulations HSRV00 and HSRV04 showed elegant cakes with %MC of 0.75% and 0.84% respectively. However, collapsed cakes were obtained for formulations HSRV05, HSRV11, HSRV13 and HSRV15 with %MC of 4.0% to 5.0% even though titer losses were less than 0.3 log. The titer losses for HSRV00, HSRV04, HSRV05 and HSRV13 were observed to be within 0.3 log, however, HSRV01 and HSRV02 showed titer losses > 0.3 log during stability testing at both 5°C and 37°C. Considering the cake appearance, moisture content ,the potency and stability data, HSRV00 and HSRV04 were selected for subsequent studies.

The formulations and their variants described here do not offer any ANC. Therefore, we developed a reconstitution buffer based on RotaTeq® but providing palatability to the final 2.0 mL reconstituted product. This palatable reconstitution buffer consisted of 20 % w/v sucrose, 108 mM sodium dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate and 215.9 mM trisodium citrate, in water. The reconstituted formulation using reconstitution buffer exhibited an ANC of 0.70 to 0.80 mg/mL reconstituted dose. All lyophilized cakes dissolved within 10 ± 5 seconds in reconstitution buffer (2.0 mL) forming a clear liquid with a pH of 6.10 ± 0.10. This buffer is stable for at least 30 months when stored at controlled room temperatures (Supplementary Information (SI) Table S2).

Lyophilization and stability studies: To test the ability of formulations HSRV00 and HSRV04 to confer stability to remaining serotypes, the formulations were prepared with five RV serotypes, each at a concentration of Log10 7.0 IU/mL and lyophilized (two batches; 99h lyophilization cycle). Formulations HSRV00 and HSRV04 showed a %MC of 0.72 and 0.75 respectively. The resulting cakes were incubated at 5°C and 37°C. The cumulative loss (process loss + loss after incubation) per month of the formulations HSRV00 and HSRV04 for G1, G2, G3, G4 and P1A[8] were found to be 0.12 ± 0.10, 0.07 ± 0.03, 0.11 ± 0.04, 0.01 ± 0.01, 0.29 ± 0.10 and 0.05 ± 0.01, 0.00 ± 0.01, 0.16± 0.10, 0.06 ± 0.01, 0.12± 0.02 respectively. No losses were observed at 5°C.

Another batch for both the formulations HSRV00 and HSRV04 was prepared using the same cycle but at double the rotavirus concentration (Log10 7.3 IU/mL). The cumulative loss per month of the formulations HSRV00 and HSRV04 for G1, G2, G3, G4 and P1A[8] were obtained to be 0.27 ± 0.13, 0.29 ± 0.08, 0.11 ± 0.05, 0.12 ± 0.05, 0.30 ± 0.07 and 0.13 ± 0.04 , 0.10 ± 0.07, 0.09 ±0.10, 0.02 ± 0.06, 0.20 ± 0.20 respectively. Thus, both the formulations met specification of titer losses for all serotypes not exceeding 0.3 logs each at 37°C for 1 month at single or double the RVV concentrations.

Table 1. Formulation compositions evaluated using freeze-thaw-stability studies. The potency values were obtained for untreated control samples, freeze-thawed samples and freeze-thawed samples incubated at 25°C for one week (freeze-thawed-stability). LOQ represent Limit of Quantification i.e. virus not detected since viral titer losses exceeded limit of quantification of the assay. Error represent SD propagated from six replicates each of samples used for calculation.
**Optimization of cycle for process efficiency:** To further optimize process and formulation one of the lead formulation HSRV04 was lyophilized with G1, G2, G3, G4 and P1A[8] at the concentrations of 6.81, 6.92, 6.91, 6.78 and 6.83 Log_{10} IU/mL dose equivalent respectively. These concentrations are 0.5 log higher than minimal potency specifications for Rotaqe. The primary drying temperature based on the T_{g} (−31.7°C) was set at −35°C and −33°C resulting in 57 h and 63 h cycles respectively. The, 57h cycle however resulted in collapsed cakes in centrally placed vials but 63h cycle yielded elegant cakes with homogenous drying across the shelf characterized by average %MC below 1.5%. These vials were tested for stability at 5°C, 37°C and 45°C. With the criteria of cumulative losses not exceeding 0.5 logs for each serotype, the formulation was observed to be stable for 12 weeks at each condition, consistently across 3 batches. The P1A[8] strain was found to be most susceptible to potency loss at high temperatures. Attempts at reducing cycle time below 63h using −33°C as primary drying temperature resulted in inconsistent cakes (data not shown).

**Optimization of formulation for process efficiency:** To further optimize the process with the aim to reduce cycle time without compromising on above stability profile, composition of HSRV04 was tweaked by either (i) replacing PVP K-25 with PVP K-40, (ii) increasing the bulking agent concentration or (iii) changing the sugar: bulking agent ratio of HSRV04 was tweaked by either (i) replacing PVP K-25 with PVP K-40, (ii) increasing the bulking agent concentration or (iii) changing the sugar: bulking agent ratio (Table 2) while keeping the RV concentrations same. The changes (ii) and (iii) resulted in increasing in solid content of pre-lyophilized liquid from 9.0 % in HSRV04 to a maximum of 13.0 %. This approach is known to increase T_{g}’ allowing increase in primary drying temperature, improving sublimation rate resulting in primary drying time reduction. This hypothesis was in confirmation with the T_{g}’ data obtained for these formulations (Table 2). It was shown that higher MW PVP was more efficient in inhibiting sucrose crystallization and by stabilizing glassy structures of the sugar may improve the stability of co-lyophilized proteins and peptides. However, HSRV04E, prepared by replacing the PVP K-25 with PVP K-40 showed no improvement in T_{g}’.

These formulations were lyophilized using 54 h cycle as outlined in SI. As expected from the respective T_{g}’ values, formulations HSRV04B, HSRV04C, HSRV04D yielded elegant cakes with %MC below 1.0 % but HSRV04E showed collapsed cakes. The formulations HSRV04B, HSRV04C and HSRV04D were tested for the stability at 5°C and 37°C. The results (Table 3) show that although HSRV04C showed comparatively higher cumulative loss, titer losses for all tested formulations did not exceed 0.3 log for 30 days at both the temperatures tested.

To test the consistency of one of the better performing exemplified formulation HSRV04D additional batches (N2 and N3) were prepared. The lyophilized product appeared as white/ yellowish-white elegant cake with %MC ≤ 1.0%. The longitudinal stability studies were conducted under the storage conditions of 5°C and 37°C. Linear regression of

### Table 2. Formulations prepared by varying excipients. The stabilizing buffer solutions were coded as HSRV04B, HSRV04C, HSRV04D and HSRV04E. The formulations were prepared by mixing 85% of stabilizing buffer by volume, adjusted to have concentrations indicated, with 15% by volume of mixed rotavirus bulk. The T_{g} is shown as mean ± SD of three samples.

| Ingredients                  | HSRV04 | HSRV04B | HSRV04C | HSRV04D | HSRV04E |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| HEPES (mM)                   | 50     | 50      | 50      | 50      | 50      |
| Sucrose (%)                  | 4.0    | 4.0     | 4.0     | 4.0     | 4.0     |
| PVP K-25 (%)                 | 2.0    | 4.0     | 6.0     | 4.0     | 0.0     |
| PVP K-40 (%)                 | 0      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 2.0     |
| L-Arginine (mM)              | 100    | 100     | 100     | 100     | 100     |
| CaCl_{2} 2H_{2}O (mM)        | 2.0    | 2.0     | 2.0     | 2.0     | 2.0     |
| T_{g} (°C)                   | −31.6 ± 0.3 | −29.0 ± 0.8 | −28.7 ± 0.4 | −29.8 ± 0.2 | −31.5 ± 0.0 |

### Table 3. Summary of the process loss, stability loss and the cumulative loss of pentavalent composition in trial formulations at 37°C and 5°C. Process loss was measured as potency difference between samples before and after lyophilization. Stability loss was calculated as a difference in potencies at day zero and 1 month. Cumulative loss is sum of Process and Stability losses. Error represent SD propagated from six replicates each of samples used for calculation.

| Rotavirus Serotype | HSRV04B | HSRV04C | HSRV04D |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Moisture content (%) | 0.7 %   | 0.3 %   | 0.3 %   |
| Process Loss (Log_{10} IU) |         |         |         |
| G1                 | 0.21 ± 0.07 | 0.00 ± 0.02 | 0.03 ± 0.08 |
| G2                 | 0.13 ± 0.09 | 0.11 ± 0.04 | 0.16 ± 0.07 |
| G3                 | 0.00 ± 0.09 | 0.20 ± 0.10 | 0.00 ± 0.02 |
| G4                 | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 0.02 ± 0.03 | 0.10 ± 0.03 |
| P1A[8]             | 0.02 ± 0.05 | 0.11 ± 0.05 | 0.04 ± 0.08 |

| Storage Condition | 37°C | 5°C | 37°C | 5°C | 37°C | 5°C |
|-------------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| Stability Loss after 1 month (Log_{10} IU) |     |     |      |     |      |     |
| G1                | 0.09 ± 0.03 | 0.00 ± 0.04 | 0.20 ± 0.07 | 0.20 ± 0.10 | 0.20 ± 0.08 | 0.16 ± 0.10 |
| G2                | 0.00 ± 0.05 | 0.00 ± 0.05 | 0.02 ± 0.07 | 0.02 ± 0.03 | 0.02 ± 0.05 | 0.00 ± 0.05 |
| G3                | 0.02 ± 0.08 | 0.03 ± 0.04 | 0.00 ± 0.05 | 0.02 ± 0.04 | 0.11 ± 0.08 | 0.03 ± 0.05 |
| G4                | 0.00 ± 0.02 | 0.00 ± 0.04 | 0.11 ± 0.10 | 0.09 ± 0.10 | 0.11 ± 0.05 | 0.02 ± 0.03 |
| P1A[8]            | 0.00 ± 0.06 | 0.03 ± 0.00 | 0.10 ± 0.02 | 0.00 ± 0.05 | 0.07 ± 0.10 | 0.00 ± 0.05 |
| Cumulative loss after 1 month (Log_{10} IU) |     |     |      |     |      |     |
| G1                | 0.30 ± 0.08 | 0.21 ± 0.08 | 0.20 ± 0.07 | 0.20 ± 0.10 | 0.23 ± 0.11 | 0.19 ± 0.13 |
| G2                | 0.13 ± 0.10 | 0.13 ± 0.09 | 0.12 ± 0.08 | 0.13 ± 0.05 | 0.18 ± 0.09 | 0.16 ± 0.09 |
| G3                | 0.02 ± 0.10 | 0.03 ± 0.10 | 0.20 ± 0.11 | 0.22 ± 0.11 | 0.11 ± 0.08 | 0.03 ± 0.05 |
| G4                | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 0.03 ± 0.04 | 0.13 ± 0.10 | 0.11 ± 0.10 | 0.17 ± 0.06 | 0.10 ± 0.04 |
| P1A[8]            | 0.00 ± 0.08 | 0.05 ± 0.05 | 0.21 ± 0.05 | 0.11 ± 0.07 | 0.11 ± 0.13 | 0.04 ± 0.09 |
real-time data at 5°C and 37°C showed that titer losses were < 0.5 logs for at least 24 months and 3 months respectively (Fig 1). The loss rates obtained from linear regression of the real-time stability data are shown in (SI Table S3). The process losses for all serotypes in N1, N2 and N3 were less than 0.1 log (Fig S1).

**Figure 1.** Stability data of pentavalent lyophilized formulation HSRV04D in three independent manufacturing batches (N1, N2 and N3). Panels A and B show stability data of HSRV04D containing G1, G2, G3, G4 and P1A[8] rotavirus serotypes incubated at 5°C and 37°C respectively for indicated time. The potency was determined by MQPA assay and expressed as Log_{10} IU/ dose. The solid line through the data is linear fit. Confidence of prediction (95%) is indicated in shaded grey. Panel C shows extended stability data of batches N2 and N3 that were incubated at 5°C for 650 days. The error bars represent SD for 6 replicates analyzed.
To explore the feasibility of manufacturing multi-dose vials, the formulation HSRV04D5 was formulated by incorporating rotavirus strains at five-fold higher concentrations to HSRV04D (G1:7.51; G2:7.62; G3:7.61; G4:7.47 and P1A[8]:7.52 in Log10 IU/mL). Three consecutive batches (T1, T2 and T3) were manufactured using 54h cycle. The average %MC of resulting cakes were 1.2 ± 0.3, 1.2 ±0.3 and 1.0 ± 0.1 respectively. The process losses for all serotypes in T1, T2 and T3 were less than 0.1 log (Fig S1). Modulated DSC showed Tg as a reversing event at 61.0°C. This value indicated that the formulation HSRV04D5 with %MC ≤ 1.2 may be stable at the temperatures below 61°C.

The longitudinal stability studies for HSRV04D5 were conducted at storage conditions of 5°C, 37°C and 45°C (Fig. 2). The loss rates obtained from linear regression of the real-time stability data showed that HSRV04D5 is stable for >36 months at 5°C, 20 months at 37°C and 7 months at 45°C with criteria of titer loss <0.5 log for any serotype (SI Table S3). It was observed that P1A[8] was the most labile serotype with highest loss rates at 37°C and 45°C.

**Post Reconstitution Stability determination:** Stability after reconstitution was determined for HSRV04D5. As shown in Fig. 3 the reconstituted HSRV04D5 showed a potency loss of less than 0.1 log when stored for 24 hours under different storage conditions.

**Discussion**

Lesser economic resources to pay for the vaccine including its storage, distribution and the training to properly handle and administer is adversely impacting the morbidity and mortality amongst infants and children in the developing countries. To address this major problem, it is imperative to develop cost-effective, sustainable and heat-stable vaccines.

With this objective, we prepared lyophilized RVV formulations using optimized concentrations of cost-effective, GRAS excipients that imparted varying degree of heat-stability to RV serotypes. An empirical Freeze-Thaw-Stability approach was used as a preliminary screen to identify excipients combinations that offer protection to G1 serotype. The selection of formulations from above studies and testing them by lyophilization helped in further elimination of formulations that require iterative lyophilization process optimization to obtain optimal product. Overall, this approach although lacked robustness of a DoE methodology, but had an advantage in quickly and cost-effectively identifying stabilizers that offered protection to RVV during freezing as well as storage at room temperatures upon reconstituted.

Amorphous sugar glass forming disaccharide, sucrose was used as a principal stabilizer in our formulations as it is known to be most effective in stabilizing proteinaceous products during and after lyophilization as compared to crystalline excipients.

Other excipients were also selected to improve key quality attributes of the formulations. For example, RV are acid-labile and gets rapidly inactivated with a half-life of less than 12 minutes at pH 2.0. Since RVVs are intended to be administered orally, it is crucial to protect RV from getting inactivated by gastric acid. The effective way for administering such vaccines is to pre- or co-administer antacids or neutralizing buffers. In later approach, the palatability of the formulations is an important formulation factor for pediatric vaccines. RotaTeq® uses phosphate-citrate buffer for protection of viruses from gastric acid. This buffering system on itself results in tart solution and if lyophilized, sodium phosphate precipitates during freezing resulting in drastic pH changes which may affect stability. Additionally, citrate is known chelator of divalent ions. Previous studies suggest that divalent metals may be necessary for structural integrity with high calcium concentration enhancing the infectivity of several rotavirus strains. Thus, citrate may affect stability if incorporated as lyophilized vaccine constituent.

It was also shown that addition of small amounts of surfactants protects proteins from both freeze- and surface-induced denaturation as well as inhibit aggregation upon reconstitution. Our data show that surfactant (Tween 20) addition is not obligatory since process losses (includes any freeze- and surface-induced denaturation) were minimal and the post reconstitution stability data (includes any aggregation upon reconstitution) showed that reconstituted HSRV04D5, lacking Tween 20, retains its potency during storage not only at 5°C and at controlled room temperatures (≤25°C) but also when frozen at −70°C and thawed.

Our post reconstitution data is also supports non-cold chain dependent transport of pre-reconstituted vaccine to the point of administration to minimize time of administration. However, WHO currently do not recommend storage of reconstituted vaccine outside 2°C to 8°C for more than 6 h to avoid contamination. This risk is particularly high for live attenuated vaccines like RVVs which have to be formulated without preservatives. One of the major sources of introducing contamination is open reconstitution process wherein vaccine constituents provided in vials, ampoules and syringes are mixed with syringes or adapters. To minimize this risk and to take advantage of the extended heat-stability post reconstitution in field setting (e.g. reduction in vaccine preparation time by reconstituting beforehand), integrated reconstitution devices that minimize the risks of contamination associated with open reconstitution are being developed in our labs.

Lyophilization, is an expensive process due to long drying cycles requiring high vacuums as well as comprising stages with both low and high temperatures for condensation and sublimation respectively, we attempted reduction in cycle times guided by critical temperatures. The Tg as a determinant of collapse temperature (Tc) provided an indication of upper limit of the product temperature during primary drying and was used to design a cycle suitable for obtaining stable product with acceptable appearance. It is recommended to keep Tg > −40°C for economical process. Since formulation composition determines Tc, we optimized formulations enabling increase in Tg of predominantly sucrose based formulation to −29°C (Tg for pure sucrose is −32°C). While this is small gain in Tg, it allowed for reduction in cycle time to 54 h and showed a feasible path for further reduction in cycle time. Further reduction in cycle time is possible by setting shelf temperature higher than product temperature (Tp). As the energy is drawn from
the system during sublimation, the product temperature can remain lower than \( T_g \) at early stages of primary drying. It should be noted that reduction in cycle time using above approach is likely to be lyophilizer specific and may require reoptimization when transferred to different equipment and scales. Storing below \( T_g \), protected from moisture reuptake, is important for lyophilized products in order to maintain the rigid-glass structure and hence stability of the product. Onset

Figure 2. Stability data of pentavalent lyophilized formulation HSRV04DS in three independent manufacturing batches (T1, T2 and T3). Panels A, B and C show stability data of HSRV04DS containing G1, G2, G3, G4 and P1A[8] rotavirus serotypes incubated at 5°C, 37°C and 45°C respectively. The potency was determined by MQPA assay and expressed as Log\(_{10}\) IU/dose. The solid line is linear fit to the data. Confidence of prediction (95%) is indicated in shaded grey.
Interestingly, we also showed that formulations tested can support stability of serotypes at higher concentrations allowing for heat-stable multi-dose vials. This indicates that mass ratio of stabilizer to virus is adequate for later, a crucial determinant of stability during and long-term stability. Although from a safety point of view a single dose presentation is preferred, public sector immunization programs particularly in low- and middle-income countries, tend to rely on multi-dose vials since they offer lower prices per purchased dose as well as minimize cold chain storage and distribution requirements. Modelling studies show that vial size is dependent on characteristics of the vaccine, the vaccine supply chain, immunization session size, and goals of decision makers with optimal vial size may vary among locations within a country. Thus, ability of same quantity of stabilizers to confer stability at different RV concentrations offers flexibility in manufacturing, process design, reduction in cost of raw materials and manufacturing as well as simplicity in regulatory pathway.

Figure 3. Post reconstitution stability data of pentavalent lyophilized formulation HSRV04D5. The lyophilized HSRV04D5 containing G1, G2, G3, G4 and P1A[8] rotavirus serotypes was reconstituted with 2.0 mL of reconstitution buffer and stoppered. The reconstituted samples were incubated at -70 °C (black bars), 5 °C (dark grey bars) and at 25 °C ± 3 °C (60 % RH ± 3 % RH) (light grey bars). Samples were withdrawn at indicated time points and analyzed for potency with MOPA assay and expressed as Log_{10} IU/ dose. The data represent mean of potency ± SD of at least three samples.

of Tg at 61°C obtained for HSRV04D5 is well above the intended storage temperatures of the formulation and observed extended stability at higher temperatures could be the direct result Tg > storage temperatures.

For economical process it is also crucial that the virus titer losses be kept at minimum during processing. This is a function of stabilizing excipients as well as process conditions. We have shown that formulations and process described here incur negligible process losses. This is significant since stresses during lyophilization process usually causes up to 40 % loss in virus potencies.

Lyophilization adds on capital equipment and recurring process costs that are not incurred for liquid RVV formulations. Thus, incorporating lyophilization must be weighed against need for thermostable vaccine, resulting cost per dose and final costs per person immunized. Several studies show that thermostability has a positive impact by way of lowering loss of vaccine potency, reducing wastage, improving reach as well as reducing cold-chain and logistics cost. Reduction in process losses, particularly for pentavalent, multidose formulations described herein, will minimize cost-impact and favor incorporation of lyophilization for heat stabilization of RVV. Furthermore, a heat stable vaccine with stability profile of HSRV04D and HSRV04D5 will require less antigen overfill to compensate for titer losses incurred during shelf life storage compared to a liquid vaccine. A formal cost-effectiveness evaluation will be required before specific conclusions in this regard can be made.
In summary, the heat-stable formulations described here were prepared using GRAS excipients and the serotypes constituting RotaTeq®; a safe and efficacious oral RVV used since 2006. Although diversity of serotypes may change from time to time, these five serotypes account for >90% of rotavirus disease worldwide. The M-QPA potency assay used in this study forms the basis of RotaTeq® potency setting, evaluation and release. Taken together, we expect that formulations described here would be clinically non-inferior to Rotateq® (manuscript under preparation) and provide a heat-stable, safe and efficacious RVV for use in developing world.

Methods

Materials: The five live, bovine-human reassortant rotavirus strains (G1, G2, G3, G4 and P1A[8]) were obtained from Merck & Co. Inc., USA. Sodium dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate, trisodium citrate dihydrate, Heps, Sucrose, polyvinyl pyrrolidone K-25 (PVP K-25), L-Arginine and Calcium chloride dihydrate were purchased from Merck KGaA, Germany. The PVP K-40, Sodium chloride (NaCl), glycerin and Zinc chloride (ZnCl2) was purchased from Sigma- Aldrich, USA. Tween-20 was obtained from SD Fine Chemicals, India. Glass vials (Schott 2R, 16.0 ± 0.15 mm body diameter) were purchased from Schott-Kaisha, India and rubber stoppers from West Pharmaceuticals, USA.

Virus quantification: Multivalent qPCR-based potency assay (M-QPA) was used to determine rotavirus potency and was essentially similar to described earlier. Briefly, confluent Vero cell monolayers in 96-well plates were inoculated with serial dilutions of test samples, a pentavalent reassortant rotavirus reference standard and assay controls, followed by incubation for 24 h. The cells were lysed with a Triton X-100 solution and the lysates assayed by RT-qPCR to quantitate viral nucleic acid produced during replication. The RT-qPCR uses primer/probe sets specific to each virus reassortant and the potencies of each sample were determined relative to the reference standard. The assay was validated for non-interference from all formulation ingredients used in this study. The limit of quantification using in-house standards used in this study was determined as described earlier and was found to be Log10 5.70 IU/mL giving quantitation range of 2 log. The lyophilized formulations were tested for potency under different storage conditions as indicated.

Freeze-thaw studies: Formulation ingredients were dissolved in the indicated quantities in Milli-Q water. The pH was adjusted to 6.1 ± 0.1 with 1.0N hydrochloric acid. This solution was mixed with G1 serotype in a ratio of 85: 15 v/v to generate ‘Pre lyophilized liquid’ with virus concentration of Log10 7.0 IU/mL. Aliquots of 1.0 mL each were prepared in Type-I clear glass vials of 3.0 mL capacity and were subjected to five consecutive freeze-thaw cycles at −50°C and +20°C (at a cooling/heating rate of 0.60°C/minute) using Virtis Advantage Plus XL-70 (SP Scientific) lyophilizer. The potency of at least 6 replicates was analyzed by M-QPA assay at the end of the 5th cycle (T = 0) and after one week (T+7) storage at 25°C. All lyophilization experiments were carried out in Type-I clear glass vials of 3.0 mL capacity using indicated cycles.

Stability studies: The samples were incubated in stability chamber (Memmert, Germany) for indicated times and temperatures of 37°C ± 2°C (RH 75% ± RH 5%) henceforth 37°C, 45°C ± 2°C (RH 75% ± RH 5%) henceforth 45°C and 5°C ± 3°C henceforth 5°C. A titer loss specification of ≤ 0.3 log and ≤ 0.5 log was set for selecting formulations during screening experiments involving stability studies for less and more than 30 days respectively. Statistical analysis was performed using JMP 10.

Thermal analysis: The glass transition temperature of the freeze concentrated solute (Tg) was determined by Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) (DSC 8000, PerkinElmer). Data was analyzed using PyrisTM software. Aluminum pans of 30 µL capacity containing pre-lyophilized liquid and water as reference were placed in the sample holders. Pans were frozen from 4°C to −70°C with a rate of 1°C/min followed by heating from −70°C to +50°C at a rate of 50°C per min. The nitrogen flow rate was set to 20 mL/min. The Tg was recognized as an endothermic shift of the baseline of the heating curve. Modulated DSC (DSC 8000; Perkin Elmer) was used to determine the Glass transition temperature (Tg) determination values of lyophilized cakes. The samples were precooled to −10°C followed by heating to +90°C at a heating rate of 20°C/minute. The isothermal period was set to 1 minute and the number of repetitions to achieve the final desired ending temperature were set as 100. The Tg was recognized on the reversing heat flow curve as an endothermic shift of the baseline and determined as the onset point.

Percent moisture content (%MC): Karl-Fischer titration (Metrohm, Switzerland) method was used, as per the European pharmacopeia 2.5.32.

Physicochemical properties, Dissolution time and pH: Appearance and color of the lyophilized cake were evaluated visually. Time required to obtain a clear solution visually, when 2.0 mL of reconstitution buffer was added to the vial containing lyophilized cake, was noted as the dissolution time. The pH of reconstituted vaccine was recorded and the results are reported as Mean ± SD.

Acid Neutralization Capacity (ANC): The ANC of reconstituted vaccine was evaluated as described in USP <38> NF 33.

Post reconstitution stability studies: The lyophilized cakes were reconstituted with reconstitution buffer and were incubated under −70°C, 5°C ± 3°C and 25°C storage conditions for 24 h. The samples were withdrawn at specified time intervals and were analyzed for the potency.
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