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Abstract This paper describes actor system of a new functional language called Anemone and compares it with actor systems of Scala and Erlang. Implementation details of the actor system are described. Performance evaluation is provided on sequential and concurrent programs.
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1 Introduction

Creating a new language is a complex task, requiring a lot of time and human resources. Creating a function language is even more difficult, as it contains many high-level concepts, e.g., higher-order functions or closures. This work presents design and implementation of Anemone—fully useful, secure, functional language with user-friendly syntax, which can be enriched with new components and optimized in future.

Anemone [5] supports concurrent programming model based on actors communicating via messages. Polymorphic type system of Anemone supports error detection at compile time and encourages code reuse. Complete type inference disposes a programmer of defining explicit type signatures. Anemone functions are first class citizens [1]
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of the language, and memory is automatically managed with garbage collector module. Mechanism of external functions gives access to functions and libraries written in C. Anemone compiler is based on the LLVM infrastructure, which generates high quality code for many computer architectures [8]. Created language draws inspiration from many mechanisms present in various programming languages, including Scala, Erlang, Haskell, and ML. Actor system integrated directly into language, safe programming style encouraged by immutable variables, coherent type system with subtyping, pattern matching mechanism, and compiler retargetability make Anemone attractive compared to other languages.

The aims of this work were the following:

1. Implementation of a functional language compiler, analysis of such languages, and ways of their implementation.
2. Convenient model of concurrent processing based on actors mechanism and message passing.
3. Performance comparison of the implemented model with existing mechanisms in other languages.
4. Equipping Anemone with polymorphic type system, full type inference, and algebraic data types in order to provide expressiveness, static guarantees of correctness, at the same time preserving code clarity and conciseness.

Figure 1 outlines the most important phases performed during compilation of a source program written in Anemone.

Figure 2 shows main modules of Anemone runtime system and dependencies between them.

2 Related work

Threads are a low-level abstraction of concurrent programming, but using them is difficult because they share memory. Secure, concurrent access to shared memory requires synchronization with a critical section along with structures like semaphores [6], mutexes or critical sections. A programmer has to pay attention to avoid race conditions, starvation, dead locks or live locks.

Actor model [2,7] offers several advantages over thread model. Actors intuitively model real world, and writing reliable applications using actors is simple. Asynchronous communication between actors leads to better utilization of CPU time, as idle cycles are not wasted while waiting for an answer. Separation between actors makes programs easier to understand. The whole actor system can be understood by analyzing each actor behavior in isolation. Actors are well suited for problems requiring high performance, responsiveness or multiscaling [9], as they can delegate tasks to workers. Actors are also lightweight, and thousands of instances can be created, which is impossible for system threads. With actor model, synchronization mechanisms present in thread model are no more needed. As actors do not share a global state, critical sections are neither needed. Taking into account above advantages, actor model has been adopted as concurrency model in Anemone.
2.1 Actors in Erlang and Scala

Erlang [3,4] implements actors at a language level and calls them processes. Erlang processes are lightweight, as they are implemented at the level of Erlang virtual machine and do not involve threads or processes of a operating system. Each actor is assigned its own dynamic memory, and garbage collection is performed for each actor independently. Sent messages are copied between heaps, which prevents sharing them by two or more actors. Actor scheduling is a duty of the Erlang virtual machine, and no synchronization mechanism, e.g., semaphores, is needed. Erlang actor system ensures scalability (one actor needs only 300 bytes of memory) and high reliability of written programs [4].


```erlang
ping(N, Pong) ->
Pong ! {self(), ping},
end
```

class Ping extends Actor {
  val state: Int = 1
  def receive = {
    case Ping =>
      pong ! PingMsg
    }
}

```scala
gong() ->
receive
  {From, ping} ->
    From ! pong,
    pong();
end.
```

class Pong extends Actor {
  def receive = {
    case PingMsg =>
      sender ! PongMsg
  }
}

(a) Erlang implementation
(b) Scala implementation

Figure 3 Two actors ping and pong implemented in Erlang and Scala. Erlang actors are implemented as ping and pong functions, while Scala actors are represented with instances of Ping and Pong classes. In both cases, messages are sent with ! operator and received within receive block. The code does not comprise start-up of the actor system.

Figure 3a presents two actors, ping and pong, defined as functions in Erlang. It is worth noting code conciseness, built-in message sending operator, a block for receiving messages, and message identification with pattern matching.

Scala provides actors implementation in the Akka library [10]. Message passing is done in shared memory, if actors run within one Java virtual machine. If actors perform on different virtual machines, messages are serialized before sending them. Garbage collection applies to all the actors from a given JVM instance. Actors are scheduled by the Akka library. Akka does not impose immutable messages and avoiding global state although strongly recommends them.

Figure 3b presents actor definitions with Akka. In contrast to Erlang, Akka actors are defined as classes. Pattern matching, message sending operator, and a block for receiving messages are exploited similarly as in Erlang. Actor state is represented as a field of an actor instance. Continuity of actor work is ensured by Akka, so an actor does not have to call itself, as in Erlang.

Features of actor models of Erlang, Scala and Anemone are summarized in Table 1.

### 3 Actor model of Anemone

Anemone does not provide a programmer low-level model of threads, which, although very expressive, does not fit needs of a high-level, secure functional language.

Actor model is more restrictive than thread model, as actors communicate only through message passing. It makes manual synchronization redundant and allows to write programs more easily, due to imposed style of communication and message identification. Continuity of actor work is provided in Anemone by internal implementation, which, similarly to Akka, calls actor function for each new message. Similarly to Erlang, Anemone models actors as functions, which can accept an initial state and pass it through. Message passing model in Anemone is similar to Akka, as they both use shared memory to implement it.
Table 1  Comparison of concurrency models of Erlang, Scala and Anemone

|                                | Erlang   | Scala             | Anemone             |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Actors support                 | In language | In Akka library   | In language         |
| Actors specification           | As functions | As classes    | As functions         |
| Communication                  | Asynchronous | Both synchronous and asynchronous | Asynchronous |
| Message passing                | No shared state | Shared memory | Shared memory       |
| Pattern matching               | Yes      | Yes               | Yes                 |
| Dynamic creation of actors     | Yes      | Yes               | Yes                 |
| Garbage collection             | On single threads | Global VM lockup | Polling             |

Actor model of *Anemone* characterizes with:

– asynchronous communication
– possibility of creating many actors in one thread
– possibility of dynamic creation of new actors
– complete integration with the garbage collector
– modeling actors as functions, similarly to Erlang
– actor control similar to Akka
– message handling through pattern matching.

Implementation of many actors within one system thread was especially challenging, as it required their proper scheduling. Construction of the garbage collector which correctly and effectively cooperates with multithreaded actors architecture was equally challenging.

Figure 4 presents a complete program implementing two actors which communicate with each other via messages. Function `createActorSystem(2)` creates an actor system on the basis of two system threads, and next, actors `ping` and `pong` are created. Function `sendFromOutside` starts activities of actors, i.e., sending messages. Actors exploit pattern matching to identify received messages, function `sendMsg` to send messages, and maintain the state identifying the address of a second actor.

4 Implementation of actors system

*Anemone* models concurrent computing with the actor module, which provides abstraction for convenient work on multicore architectures. Figure 5 presents detailed architecture of actor module. Actor module consists of the following submodules:

– **Mailbox module** defines how received messages are stored and is responsible for actors adding and removing.
– **Actor management module** defines architecture of a single actor.
– **Threads module** is responsible for management of OS threads
– **Dispatcher module** is responsible for running actors on system threads
fun ping(state, msg) {
    var otherActorId = state in {
        match msg {
            | s :: String => {
                println(s)
                sendMessage(otherActorId, "fromPing")
                sleep(1)
            } state
            | otherActorId :: ActorId => {
                sendMessage(otherActorId, "fromPing - first")
                otherActorId
            } state
        } state
    } state
}

fun pong(state, msg) {
    var otherActorId = state in {
        match msg {
            | s :: String => {
                println(s)
                sendMessage(otherActorId, "fromPong")
                sleep(1)
            } state
            | otherActorId :: ActorId => {
                sendMessage(otherActorId, "fromPong - first")
                otherActorId
            } state
        } state
    } state
}

fun main_fun() {
    createActorSystem(2)
    var pingActorRef = createActor(ping, 0),
        pongActorRef = createActor(pong, pingActorRef) in {
            sendMessage(pingActorRef, pongActorRef)
        }
}

Fig. 4 Creation and starting actor system

Fig. 5 Main submodules of module of actors and message passing

In Anemone using actors is possible due to actor module. Actor library is an interface to this module from Anemone. Figure 6 presents functions to handle actors. The actors library provides the following functions to manipulate actors:

- `createActorSystem` creates an actor system running on a given number of system threads.
Fig. 6 Functions of actors library

| Function       | Type Description                  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| createActorSystem(n) | (double) -> unit               |
| createActor(f, a)   | (('s, 'm) -> 's, 's) -> ActorId   |
| sendMsg(to, msg)    | (('s, 'm) -> 's) -> unit        |
| become(f)           |                                   |

Fig. 7 Structure of actor module describing an actor thread of Anemone

```c
struct __athread_t {
    int64_t thread_id;
    int64_t actors_array_capacity;
    int64_t actors_array_occupied;
    int64_t current_actor_idx;
    actor_t** actors_array;
};
typedef struct __athread_t athread_t;
```

- `createActor` creates a new actor in the actor system. Two parameters define actor behavior and an initial state of actor. Function returns the id of a created actor.
- `killActor` returns an object, which sent to an actor or passed as its new state terminates its action.
- `sendMsg` sends a message, specified in the second parameter, to an actor defined by the first parameter. Function can be called only by an actor.
- `become` can be called only by an actor. The function takes as its argument a function determining actor new behavior.

4.1 Creating actor system

Creating actor system is equivalent to creating a number of threads and initialization of their data structures. The actor system of Anemone distinguishes two kinds of threads: system threads and actor threads. Each actor thread contains a system thread and a number of actors.

Function `createActorSystem` creates a new actor system with a given number of threads. In particular, it creates a table of `athread_t` structures, describing actor threads (Fig. 7). Each `athread_t` structure corresponds to one system thread and many actors (`actors_array`).

System threads are created and managed with the help of POSIX `pthreads` library. Function `athread_main_fun` creates system threads and defines their behavior. Function `athread_main_fun` works in the following steps:

- initializes of structures describing an actor thread.
- waits for the first actor in this thread.
- takes the next actor assigned to this actor thread.
Fig. 8 Structure of actor module describing a single actor of Anemone

struct __actor_t {
    closure_t *user_cls;
    void *state;
    uint64_t actor_id;
    mailbox_t *mailbox;
};

typedef struct __actor_t actor_t;

fun pong(state, msg) {
    printStr("Pong: " ^ msg)
    sendMsg(pingActorId, "PONG" ^ msg)
    state
}

Fig. 9 An example of a function defining actor behavior. Function pong takes as its arguments a current actor state and a new message. It sends a message of type string to an actor identified by pingActorID. The function returns a new state (in this case unchanged) as a result of its call

- starts handling at most max_msg_handled_per_actor messages in the mailbox of the actor. Variable max_msg_handled_per_actor is tunable.
- removes an actor from the system in the following cases:
  - an actor received a message being a result of killActor call
  - an actor returned a new state equal to the return value of killActor call.
- serves next actor, if it is present.
- terminates, if no actors are present in this actor thread.

4.2 Implementation of an actor and message passing

Actors and message passing are implemented in the actor module in C. Figure 8 presents the structure of the actor module used by the actor management module. It consists from the following fields:

- user_cls—a closure describing actor behavior,
- state—actor state, it is passed as a parameter to a closure describing actor behavior,
- actor_id—actor id in the actor management module,
- mailbox—a pointer to the mailbox of an actor.

An actor mailbox stores messages sent to a given actor. Each message has a sender, a receiver, and the content. Messages are processed under the FIFO regime. Sending a message does not incur copying the whole message but only a lightweight copying of a pointer to the message, as message passing is done in shared memory.

At any time, actor thread can run at most one actor. Figure 9 presents a simple actor definition. Function sendMsg, responsible for sending a message to another actor, does not specify explicitly the sender of a message. The sender (currently running actor) is identified due to the local context of the actor thread, implemented by the actor module.

Figure 10 presents functions of the actor management module, responsible for associating actor threads with contextual data. Function threads_setspecific
void* threads_getspecific() {
  return pthread_getspecific(THREAD_KEY);
}

void threads_setspecific(void* data) {
  pthread_setspecific(THREAD_KEY, data);
}

Fig. 10 Getting and setting local state of a given actor thread. Function threads_getspecific gets local state of a actor thread, while threads_setspecific sets it. Key THREAD_KEY defines local data of a given actor thread. Both functions are implemented in pthreads.

is used in the main loop of athread_main_fun to set thread context to current actor. When function responsible for sending messages is called, it can access local context of a thread to get the id of the actor. The advantage of such a solution is a support for many actors in one system thread through changing value of thread context and conciseness of function sendMesg.

In addition to local context setters and getters, implementation of actor module uses synchronization primitives—mutexes and conditional variables of pthreads. Correct and effective realization of the actor system on the basis of above mechanisms is a duty of the runtime system of Anemone.

4.3 Scheduling many actors in one system thread

The actor module can create a huge number of actors, significantly exceeding the number of running threads of the operating system, as an Anemone actor is defined by a lightweight data structure (several hundred bytes). An actor thread associates a system thread with many actors. Actor scheduling in Anemone is a duty of the actor module and is based on the number of received messages. Each running actor may receive no more than max_msg_handled_per_actor messages. If an actor receives all the messages from its mailbox (but not exceeding the max_msg_handled_per_actor threshold), the next actor belonging to the same actor thread will be scheduled. Above scheduling algorithm promotes implementation of actors as quickly performing functions. Time-consuming tasks should be delegated by an actor to its child workers. The drawback of this solution is that some system threads will be blocked by these heavy computations. A separate pool of threads with asynchronous communication could be a remedy to this problem and future extension of Anemone.

4.4 Pattern matching

With pattern matching supported by Anemone, defining actors and their behavior is easier.

Figure 11 presents an actor defined with pattern matching. Message msg, received by an actor, is matched to the first pattern, Bar(b), where Bar denotes a data type defined in Anemone, and b denotes a field of this data type. If the first match fails, pattern f :: Foo will be checked. The second pattern will be matched if msg is
of type Foo. Identifier f introduces variable f, which refers to matched object, msg, and has type Foo.

5 Experiments

To assess quality of Anemone implementation and its runtime system, performance tests have been conducted and execution time of programs written in Anemone, Scala and Java have been compared. For each language, the arithmetic mean of ten measurements with time command was reported. The experiments were performed under dual-core Intel Core i3-2310M CPU with 2.10GHz clock and Linux Ubuntu 13.10. Heap size for Scala and Java was set to default values. For Anemone heap size was set to 100 KiB and the threshold triggering a collection to 0.8.

The first experiment, which assessed quality of generated code and efficiency of the runtime system, compared time performance of a sequential program (computation of 20th element of the Fibonacci sequence) written in Java, Scala and Anemone. Results in Table 2 show that implementation in Scala was two times faster, while implementation in Java three times faster than Anemone implementation. It can be partly attributed to memory organization in Anemone. Language performance is significantly influenced by efficiency of the memory allocation module. While Anemone is a new language, memory allocation algorithm in JVM used by Scala and Java has been fine-tuned for many years. On the other hand, Anemone is a language compiled to machine code and does not bear overhead of starting its virtual machine.

The second experiment assessed efficiency of the Anemone actor system. Implementations in Anemone and Akka of two actors communicating with each other were compared. Actors sent in total 10,000 messages.

Table 3 presents results of this performance test. Implementation in Akka turned out to be six times faster than implementation in Anemone. Efficiency of the memory allocation module of Anemone could have significant impact on results.
Table 3  Execution time of the program creating simple actor system

| Language                  | Execution time (s) | Ratio |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Scala (2.10.4) + Akka (2.2-M3) | 1.86              | 6.12  |
| Anemone                   | 11.41             | 1.00  |

Table 4  Statistics of memory allocator and collector for the program computing 22th term of the Fibonacci sequence. Part 1

| Heap size (KiB) | Allocated (KiB) | Collected (KiB) | Application time (s) | Collector time (s) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 100             | 17,781          | 17,714          | 3.54                 | 0.08              |
| 1000            | 17,781          | 17,498          | 34.34                | 0.05              |

Table presents total allocated and collected memory, application time (collector time not included) and collector time for the program computing 22th term of the Fibonacci sequence for different heap sizes

Table 5  Statistics of memory allocator and collector for the program computing 22th term of the Fibonacci sequence. Part 2

| Heap size (KiB) | Allocation speed (KiB/s) | Collection speed (KiB/s) | Number of collections |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 100             | 5019                     | 216,454                  | 241                   |
| 1000            | 517                      | 344,489                  | 22                    |

Table presents speed of memory allocation and collection as well as the number of performed collections for the program computing 22th term of the Fibonacci sequence

5.1 Efficiency tests of memory allocator and collector

The third experiment examined efficiency of the dynamic memory management module of Anemone.

Tables 4 and 5 present statistics about garbage collector for heap size of 100 and 1000 kB. During the program run, there was allocated and collected approximately 17 MB memory. Efficiency of the garbage collector module was determined by dividing total collected memory by total time of application execution. Measured efficiency was 200 and 300 MB per sec, respectively, which seems a very good results. It is worth noting, that increasing heap size reduced the number of memory collections and increased efficiency by 50%.

Table 5 presents also memory allocation speed, determined by dividing total allocated memory by total time of application execution. Allocation speed was much lower than collection speed, which can be easily explained by the fact that beside allocation, proper computations were performed by the application.

However, implemented memory allocator does not scale well with the increase in the heap size. With the tenfold increase of heap size, total time of application increased ten times, and memory allocation speed decreased ten times (Table 5). The performance drop can be caused by implementation of the search of free chunks of memory through linear heap scan.
Optimization of this aspect of the dynamic memory management module could significantly improve the results.

6 Conclusions

Model and implementation of actor system of a new function language, *Anemone*, have been described. *Anemone* was developed within a limited period of time. Proposed language was few times slower than Scala or Java, which is a very good result, taking into account that latter languages have been already developed and optimized for a long period of time by large teams of experts.
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