Numerical simulation of fundamental trapped sausage modes
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ABSTRACT

Context. We integrate the 2D MHD ideal equations of a straight slab to simulate observational results associated with fundamental sausage trapped modes.

Aims. Starting from a non-equilibrium state with a dense chromospheric layer, we analyse the evolution of the internal plasma dynamics of magnetic loops, subject to line-tying boundary conditions, and with the coronal parameters described in Asai et al. (2001) and Melnikov et al. (2002) to investigate the onset and damping of sausage modes.

Methods. To integrate the equations we used a high resolution shock-capturing (HRSC) method specially designed to deal appropriately with flow discontinuities.

Results. Due to non-linearities and inhomogeneities, pure modes are difficult to sustain and always occur coupled among them so as to satisfy, e.g., the line-tying constraint. We found that, in one case, the resonant coupling of the sausage fundamental mode with a slow one results in a non-dissipative damping of the former.

Conclusions. In scenarios of thick and dense loops, where the analytical theory predicts the existence of fundamental trapped sausage modes, the coupling of fast and slow quasi-periodic modes -with a node at the center of the longitudinal speed- occur contributing to the damping of the fast mode. If a discontinuity in the total pressure between the loop and the corona is assumed, a fundamental fast sausage transitory leaky regime is spontaneously produced and an external compressional Alfvén wave takes away the magnetic energy.
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1. Introduction

Coronal heating remains today an open field of research. One of the most important contributions to it is attributed to active region overdense loops which are bright in soft X-ray and EUV (Aschwanden et al. 2004). Over the past decade the interest in determining the presence of waves and oscillations that can contribute to the heating mechanisms has increased on the basis of the development of theoretical and observational studies. The coronal seismological remote diagnostics revealed an effective media to identify a wide-spectrum of fast and slow intensity oscillations. Measurements of characteristic periods, dispersion relations, speeds and damping times as well as different theoretical models that intend to describe non-dissipative damping mechanisms and leakage processes of systems with high Reynolds number provide new remote sensing diagnostic tools to reveal unknown or more accurate solar physics parameters, e.g., magnetic field strength and transport coefficients (see, e.g., Aschwanden 2004 and Nakariakov and Verwichte 2005 for recent reviews).

The pure sausage mode, modelled as a magnetic cylinder or a magnetic slab, is known to be a compressible MHD fast mode that perturb the plasma in the radial direction causing a symmetric contraction and widening of the tube without distortion of its axis (Edwin and Roberts 1983). This mode was observationally detected in the corona by Nakariakov et al. 2003 using the Nobeyama Radioheliograph. EUV and soft X-ray band periodic variations of the thermal emission intensity are associated with density perturbations and Doppler broadening of the emission lines. Zaitsev and Stepanov (1989) proposed that a modulation of hard X-ray and white-light emission from the loop footpoints can occur due to the change in the loop radius and the consequent change of the mirror ratio, causing the periodic precipitation of non-thermal electrons of flaring loops. These quasi-periodic pulsations, triggered by sausage modes, were also associated with flaring events of other stars (Mitra-Kraev et al. 2005).

The standard theoretical models (Edwin and Roberts 1983, 1982; Zaitsev and Stepanov 1989) predict that the fast global sausage mode has two regimes separated by a cutoff at the long wavenumbers: the leaky one, where the energy is lost through the boundaries of the loop and carried away by an external solution, occurring in thin and long loops when the internal Alfvén speed is larger than the external one; and the trapped regime, occurring in thick and short loops, where the energy of the oscillation is confined to the interior of the loop structure. Aschwanden et al. (2004) showed that the sausage cutoff imposes such a high electron density that they can only occur in flare loops. They found that previously reported fast global mode observations had oscillations confined to a small segment of the loop with nodes limiting the segment, indicating that the oscillation must be associated with higher harmonic modes rather than fundamental ones. However, they found that microwave and soft X-ray observations by Asai et al. 2001 and Melnikov et al. 2002 are consistent with fast sausage MHD oscillations at the fundamental harmonic. The leaky regime has recently been studied by
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2. Numerical procedure

The non-linear nature of MHD equations, implies that solutions for complex systems must be obtained by numerical means and a suitable numerical implementation must be constructed for this purpose. Even smooth initial data can easily lead to discontinuities or shock wave solutions which are not well resolved by standard finite difference methods and break down when the solution is not smooth enough. To simulate the plasma evolution of low coronal loops we used a numerical code specially designed to capture discontinuities via the implementation of a high resolution shock-capturing (HRSC), methods together with a Runge-Kutta method to evolve in time (Palenzuela et al. 2009).

The initial boundary conditions represent a coronal loop as a straight slab of enhanced density with footpoints in a dense chromospheric region and a magnetic field parallel to the axis of the slab. Gravity effects are neglected for simplicity and because, for the moment, we are not interested on curvature effects. Also the pressure scale height is ~ $10^2$Mm. The non–dimensional ideal MHD equations simulated by the codes are

$$\partial_t \mathbf{b} = \partial_j (\rho \mathbf{v}_j)$$

$$\partial_t (\rho \mathbf{v}_i) = -\partial_j \left[ \rho \mathbf{v}_j v_i + \left( p + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) \delta_{ij} - B_i B_j \right]$$

$$\partial_t e = -\partial_j \left[ e + p + \frac{1}{2} B^2 \right] v_j - v_i B_i B_j$$

$$\partial_t B_i = -\partial_j (\mathbf{v}_i B_j - B_i v_j) - \partial_i \phi$$

$$\partial_t \phi = -\partial_i B_i$$

where

$$e = \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \frac{1}{2} B^2$$

the energy density, $\rho$ is the mass density, $v$ is the velocity, $B$ is the magnetic field, $p$ is the thermal pressure and $\gamma = 5/3$ is the specific heat ratio. In addition there is a fictitious variable $\phi$, coupled to the system, whose time evolution keeps under control the behavior of the magnetic field divergence. The line–tying of the magnetic field lines at the chromospheric basis ($\gamma = \pm \sqrt{L/I}$, the length of the loop) is assured by choosing appropriate parameters that resemble the physical conditions, i.e. the change in the plasma parameter $\beta$.

The condition for the existence of a global sausage mode (Aschwanden et al. 2004) is

$$\frac{L}{\omega} \lesssim 0.65 \sqrt{\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0}}, \quad (1)$$

$\omega$ the width of the loop, $\rho_0$ and $\rho_0$ the internal and external to the loop densities, respectively. The global stationary period must satisfy the relation:

$$\frac{2L}{v_a} < P_{\text{saus}} = \frac{2L}{v_{ph}} < \frac{2L}{v_{a0}}$$

(2)

where $v_{a0}$ the internal Alfvén speed, $v_{ph}$ the phase speed and, $v_a$ the external Alfvén speed.

For both observational cases we used a $200^2$ grid of size $x \times y = 2 \times 2$, corresponding to $l_0 = 10$ Mm unites of simulation in Case A, and to $l_0 = 16.3$ Mm in Case M. The reference values used to obtain the non–dimensional equations are: $t_0 = 1.39$sec, $\rho_0 = 3.56 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$, $B_0 = 152$ G, $v_0 = 7194$ km s$^{-1}$; for Case A, and $t_0 = 3.25$ sec, $\rho_0 = 2.72 \times 10^{-3}$ g cm$^{-3}$, $B_0 = 90$ G, $v_0 = 5000$ km s$^{-1}$, for Case M. The pressure reference value is

![Fig. 1. Divergence cleaning test. Dimensional time obtained multiplying by $t_0 = 1.39$sec for Case A and $t_0 = 3.25$sec for Case M.](image)
In the stationary stage, Case A loop has a density of the loops were taken in accordance with the observational results. In the stationary stage, Case A loop has a $L = 15$ Mm length and a $\omega = 5.6$ Mm width; and Case M has a $L = 24.5$ Mm length and $\omega = 5.8$ Mm width. Table 1 shows the initial parameter values for the two trapped modes (eq. 1).

### Table 1. Initial parameter values: particle number density $n$, temperature $T$, magnetic field $B$.

| Regions            | $n_0[10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ | $T_0[\text{MK}]$ | $B_0[\text{G}]$ | $n_M[10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ | $T_M[\text{MK}]$ | $B_M[\text{G}]$ |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Inner (0)          | 4.3                           | 2.4              | 152            | 9.8                           | 9                | 90             |
| External (e)       | 0.21                          | 2.5              | 153            | 0.16                          | 8.8              | 104            |
| Chromosphere (c)   | 19000                         | 0.02             | 106            | 19000                         | 0.1              | 72             |

2.1. Divergence cleaning test

As noticed above we use a divergence cleaning scheme (Dedner et al. 2002). We start with initial data which does not satisfy $\nabla \cdot B = 0$. However the departure from this constraint can be evaluated through the ratio

$$\frac{\| \nabla \cdot B \|_{L^2}}{\| B \|_{L^1}},$$

where

$$\| B \|_{L^1} = \int_V \left( B_{x,x}^2 + B_{x,y}^2 + B_{y,x}^2 + B_{y,y}^2 \right) dV$$

$B_{i,j}$ indicates the derivative of $B_j$ with respect to $j$. We perform this test for the two cases. Figure 1 shows that in five dimensional time units the evolution drives the solution to a very small constraint violation, $\leq 1\%$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Total equilibrium pressure across the loop radius

We first considered total equilibrium pressure between some interfaces, namely chromosphere – inner loop region and inner loop region – outer loop region. In the two cases, the line–tied loop system evolves spontaneously, in few periods ($\leq 4$) to a stationary regime. In the transitory, a density perturbation with energy draining into the exterior takes place until the sausage trapped regime is established. Figures 2a,b show the density perturbation at the loop’s center and across its transverse size ($x$), as a function of time for Case A and M, respectively. Case M is appreciable damped in ~ 9 periods. The sausage mode modifies the radius with a fundamental amplitude of ~ 15%$R_t$, ($R_t$ the loop radius) in Case A and ~ 17%$R_t$ in Case M.

Figures 3a,b show the Fourier transform (FT) of the loop density for Case A and M, respectively.

**Case A**

The Case A FT (Fig. 3a) was calculated at the grid points $(x, y) = (0.1, 0.1)$ and $(x, y) = (0.1, 0.5)$, at heights of 1 Mm from the top loop and 2.5 Mm from the footpoint, respectively. The time is $t = t_0$ and the dimensionless frequency is $\nu = 1/\tau$. The intense peak of frequency 0.21 corresponds to a period of ~ 6.6 sec which is the fundamental sausage mode described by the observations. The frequency 0.34 correspond to a period of 4.1 sec, associated to the sausage second harmonic.

Sausage standing modes in uniform media have wavelengths given by the expression $\lambda = 2L/N$. The departure from one of the ratio $P_1/P_2$, between the fundamental ($N = 1$) and second ($N = 2$) harmonic, is a measure of the longitudinal stratification imposed by the density contrast between the interior and exterior of the loop (Nakariakov et al. 2003; McEwan et al. 2006). In this case $P_1/P_2 = 0.8$.

Also, we found that there is a coupling of the sausage mode with slow components that are damped approximately in five periods. This is shown in Fig. 4b, where the longitudinal velocity...
along the loop axis is displayed. The features are a composition of different modes, all of them with a node at the center of the loop length, the whole pattern resembles a second harmonic one indicating that this mode is predominant, as suggested by Nakariakov et al. (2003). The different shapes of Fig. 4 are similar, so we consider the period as the time distance between two of these curves: $\sim 17\text{sec}$. The dimensionless frequency associated to this period is the peak 0.08, also shown in Fig. 5. Away from these maxima the signal gets strongly mixed with other perturbations and it is impossible to see particular features beyond the FT.

**Case M**

Figure 3 shows the FT spectrum of Case M for the grid points $(x,y) = (0.0, 0.0)$ and $(x,y) = (0.0, 0.5)$, at the top loop and at a height of 4.1Mm from the footpoint, respectively. Melnikov et al. (2002) and Nakariakov et al. (2003) found that there are two main spectral components of the observed pulsations, with periods $P_1 = 14-17\text{sec}$ and $P_2 = 8-11\text{sec}$. Note that, as in Case A, the simulation of Case M shows that there are two main frequencies of characteristic periods $\sim 15.5\text{sec} (\nu = 0.21)$ and $\sim 11.6\text{sec} (\nu = 0.28)$, respectively. Both of them are registered everywhere in the loop structure. However, as these authors stated, there is a difference in the contribution of the peaks depending on the location. At the legs the frequency $\nu = 0.28$ is as important as $\nu = 0.21$. On the other hand, at the top, the frequency $\nu = 0.21$ has a larger amplitude than $\nu = 0.28$. Nakariakov et al. (2003) suggested that $\nu = 0.28$ corresponds to higher spatial harmonic. To discuss this point we performed the FT to the transverse velocity signal as an indication of transverse compression; the result is shown in Fig. 5. Note that, at the footpoints, the second peak is the most intense, the opposite occurs at the top. We found that the frequency 0.28 is the second sausage harmonic mode. In this case $P_1/2P_2 = 0.67$. Figure 4 shows the most important slow mode contributions, all of them with a node at the center and a whole pattern resembling a second slow harmonic. There is a differentiated behavior in time. The first curves (dashed) shown in Fig. 4 are later replaced by others (solid curves) of smaller amplitude and period that last not damped for at least 10 periods. This two main periods can also be identified in Fig. 3 as the frequencies $\nu = 0.06 (54\text{sec})$ and $\nu = 0.21 (15.5\text{sec})$.

As in Case A, there is a slow frequency; but there is a second one whose frequency is coincident with the sausage fundamental one. It seems that this slow contribution is driven by the fast modes of the same frequency. The damping of Case M sausage signal shown in Fig. 2 could be due to the drain of energy from the sausage mode into the inner longitudinal perturbations. In Case A the longitudinal perturbations are not resonantly coupled to the sausage mode and thus, are not capable to damp the sausage mode. Figure 5 displays the Case M FT for the longitudinal velocity component ($v_l$). As a difference with Fig. 5, due to the resonant slow mode contribution, the peak of the fundamental sausage mode, is higher at the footpoint than at the top.
3.2. Plane wave mode decomposition

To better understand the mode contribution in the observed phenomena, we perform a plane wave decomposition. This procedure gives limited information, due to the fact the wavelength of the perturbation is, in some cases, of the order of the background quantities, which make difficult to establish the real strength of the mode components. Some quotients between different Fourier components, supposedly pertaining to the same mode, have the expected values arising from the calculation of this standard mode decomposition, while others differ. However, this is dependent of the location of the signal analyzed. Thus, we compare the quotients between different field components; those resulting from the eigenvector decomposition into modes, with the quotients coming from the FT. The eigenvectors of the system were computed in previous studies (Pennisi 1993, Balsara 2001, Cécere et al. 2008), thus we use the ratios of the eigenvector components and express them as functions of the background quantities. As in this highly varying dynamics it is difficult to properly define the background quantities, we averaged the values (in time and space) of the different fields near the center of the loop and near the footpoints. The comparison between the different mode components \( \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{\rho}}, \frac{\bar{v}_x}{\bar{\rho}}, \frac{\bar{v}_y}{\bar{\rho}}, \frac{\bar{B}_x}{\bar{\rho}}, \frac{\bar{B}_y}{\bar{\rho}} \) of Table 2 and the quotients obtained by doing a FT of the fields: \( \hat{\bar{p}}/\hat{\bar{\rho}}, \hat{\bar{v}}_x/\hat{\bar{\rho}}, \hat{\bar{v}}_y/\hat{\bar{\rho}}, \hat{\bar{B}}_x/\hat{\bar{\rho}}, \hat{\bar{B}}_y/\hat{\bar{\rho}} \) shown in Table 3 were performed taking these values at the main characteristic frequencies. This information is extracted, in each case, from the top of the loop and from the footpoints.

If for each case, we compare Table 2 with Table 3 for the loop top and for the footpoints, respectively, we find an overall reasonable agreement. However, we see that, for the loop top, the ratios that involve the magnetic and the velocity fields are higher than expected for \( \nu = 0.34 \) in Case A, and for \( \nu = 0.27 \) in Case M. This is, for the second harmonic fast modes. If we compare the tables of the footpoints, the disagreement occurs only in the Melnikov slow mode (\( \nu = 0.06 \)). On the other hand, the comparison between quotients of fast modes (both frequencies) shows a good accordance. These results reinforce that the FT analysis performed in last section, gives reliable information. The loop top analysis gives information about the fundamental sausage and the slow modes, and the footpoints analysis about the sausage ones.

3.3. Non equilibrium pressure across the loop radius

Post–flare loops are part of a scenario where impulsive energy bursts are common and become available perturbations that excite oscillations and instabilities in magnetic structures. Thus, we investigated the response of the loop system to a perturbation that induces a discontinuity, i.e., an impulsive release of energy modelled as a sudden total pressure discontinuity across the loop radius. We choose the dimensionless pressure pulses: \( \Delta p_A = 0.28 \) and \( \Delta p_M = 0.35 \). They are equivalent to a re-
lease of energy of ~ 500erg cm\(^{-3}\) and ~ 200erg cm\(^{-3}\) which are lower than the typical excess energy content in a flaring loop (Kirk et al. 1994). With these Rankine–Hugoniot conditions we obtained Figs. 2a,b. The figures show the time evolution of the density oscillations for Case A and Case M, respectively. Note that the oscillations have larger amplitudes than in the corresponding Figs. 2a,b and are rapidly damped in ~ 8 ~ 12 periods. The fundamental sausage modes have amplitudes of ~ 83%\(R_\theta\) in Case A and ~ 100%\(R_\theta\), in Case M. The damping occurs because the discontinuity imposes a transformation of the trapped modes into leaky modes. Figures 2a,b show a slice of the magnetic field longitudinal component where the superimposed arrows represent the transversal velocity component for Case A and Case M, respectively, at the time \(t = 26\) sec and \(t = 44\) sec. As the magnetic field and the velocity are frozen in quantities, the arrows are tracers of the energy drained away from the loop. At the center of the external region a compressional Alfvén wave is excited and the perturbed magnetic energy of the loop is damped. The excitation at the footpoints is negligible due to the change in the \(\beta\) parameter and the correspondent line–tied to the chromospheric bases.

4. Conclusions

We integrated the ideal MHD equations to simulate fundamental trapped sausage modes observationally described (Asai et al. 2001 (Case A) and Melnikov et al. 2002 (Case M)) incorporating a dense chromospheric region. We could reproduce the observational parameters described in the literature, i.e., periods, densities, lengths and magnetic fields. As in Melnikov et al. 2002, we found that, for both cases, there are two peak fast frequencies with different contributions depending on the location of the signal. The modes were interpreted as the fundamental (more intense at the top of the loop) and the second sausage harmonic (more intense at the footpoints) (see Fig. 3a for Case A and Fig. 3b and Fig. 5b for Case M). We analyzed the coupling of the fundamental sausage modes with longitudinal components. In both cases we obtained slow frequency components, of \(P \sim 17\) sec and \(P \sim 54\) sec, respectively. Moreover, we found that Case M has another slow contribution, of the same frequency as the fundamental sausage mode, apparently driven by this frequency. The slow component of Case A is rapidly damped (~ 5 periods); whereas the more broad slow spectra of Case M lasts not damped for various periods. We suggest that this is due to the internal transferring of the fast mode energy into the slow energy which is accomplished more efficiently in the resonant case. Thus, we call this mechanism an internal damping one.

We also showed that certain initial conditions associated with typical flaring loop energy releases (impulsive depositions of energy) that could be achieved by considering jump conditions across the radio, results in the drain of energy into the exte-

### Table 2. Main frequency strengths of the field FT quotients: \(\hat{X}\) represents the FT component of the \(X\) variable. Top) Calculated near to the loop top and Bottom) near the footpoints.

| Modes | Asai | Melnikov | Asai | Melnikov |
|-------|------|----------|------|----------|
| Slow  | \(\hat{p}/\hat{p}\) | 0.01 | 0.0005 | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.04 |
| Fast  | \(\hat{p}/\hat{p}\) | 0.01 | 0.009 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.04 |

### Table 3. Quotients between components of the slow and fast eigenvectors: \(\hat{X}\) represents the eigenvector component \(X\), calculated with the averaged background values. Top) Calculated near to the loop top and Bottom) near the footpoints.

| Modes | Asai | Melnikov | Asai | Melnikov |
|-------|------|----------|------|----------|
| Slow  | \(\hat{p}/\hat{p}\) | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.04 |
| Fast  | \(\hat{p}/\hat{p}\) | 0.01 | 0.009 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.04 |
Fig. 7. Longitudinal magnetic field component and, superimposed arrows, transverse velocity component. Top) Case A, Bottom) Case M.

Prior in the form of a leaky compressible Alfvén mode, allowing the final damping of the initially trapped fundamental sausage mode. This external damping mechanism which is efficient to damp modes in an initially trapped configuration is in accordance with typical observational damping times of this modes ($\leq 10$ periods).
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