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Abstract

This paper is a second one following [CLZ13] in series, considering sharp Hardy-
Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities on groups of Heisenberg type. The first important
breakthrough was made in [FL12]. In this paper, analogous results are obtained for
octonionic Heisenberg group.

1 Introduction

The Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities (HLS) for conjugate exponent on group of Heisen-
berg type states that

$$\left| \iint_{G \times G} \frac{f(u)g(v)}{|u^{-1}v|^p} du dv \right| \lesssim \|f\|_p \|g\|_p,$$

with $0 < \lambda < Q, p = \frac{2Q}{Q - \lambda}, Q$ is the homogeneous dimension of the group $G$. In [FL12], they
proved on Heisenberg group that for all $\lambda$, extremizer for above HLS inequality is almost
uniquely

$$\left( (1 + |z|^2)^2 + |t|^2 \right)^{\frac{Q - \lambda}{4}}$$

with group elements parameterized by $u = (z, t), z \in \mathbb{C}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}$. In [CLZ13], we extended
this result to quaternionic Heisenberg group, which states that for partial exponent $\lambda \geq 4$,
extremizer is almost uniquely of the similar form. This paper duplicates the method in
above two works and we proved on the only 15-dimensional octonionic Heisenberg group
that for $\lambda \geq 12$, extremizer for HLS inequality exists almost uniquely of the form

$$\left( (1 + |z|^2)^2 + |t|^2 \right)^{\frac{2Q - \lambda}{4} - 11}$$

with group elements $u = (z, t), z \in \mathbb{O}, t \in \text{Im} \mathbb{O}$, where $\mathbb{O}$ is the octonions. Note that the
homogeneous dimension of this octonionic Heisenberg group is 22, so this result coincides
with the cases on above classical Heisenberg and quaternionic Heisenberg groups. Dual
conformally-invariant Sobolev inequalities (associated with intertwining operators of com-
plementary representation of exceptional Lie group $E_{4(-20)}$) and endpoint limit case, Log-
Sobolev inequality, are also obtained. As in [FL12] [CLZ13], we still use Cayley transform
to move the inequalities on group onto sphere, then it’s natural to see that zero center-mass
technique is a perfect way to break the huge conformal symmetry group of the inequalities,
which is a critical property of HLS-type inequalities.
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2 Sharp HLS and Related Sobolev-type Inequalities

The only octonionic Heisenberg group is a 15-dimensional 2-step nilpotent group parameterized by its Lie algebra $G = \mathbb{O} \times \text{Im} \mathbb{O}$ with group law

$$uu' = (z, t)(z', t') = (z + z', t + t' + 2 \Im z \overline{z'})$$

where $u = (z, t)$ is the group element with $z \in \mathbb{O}, t \in \text{Im} \mathbb{O}$. Here $\mathbb{O}$ is octonians, the noncommutative (also non-associative) 8-dimensional division ring over real numbers, $\overline{z}$ is the conjugate and $zz'$ is the standard multiplication in $\mathbb{O}$. This group can be viewed as the nilpotent part of Iwasawa decomposition of rank one connected Lie group $F_4(-20)$, the exceptional Lie group, which is the isometry group of rank one hyperbolic symmetric space over octonions, which can also be identified with homogeneous space $F_4(-20)/\text{Spin}(9)$. The symmetric space can be realized in two models: Siegel domain model $D$ and unit ball model $B$ with boundary respectively identified with $G$ and octonionic sphere $S \simeq S^{15}$. Cayley transform will give a bijection between the two models. “Boundary” Cayley transform is defined by

$$C : G \rightarrow S \setminus \{o\}$$

$$u = (z, t) \mapsto \zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \left(\frac{2z}{1 + |z|^2 - t}, \frac{1 - |z|^2 + t}{1 + |z|^2 - t}\right)$$

$$C^{-1} : S \setminus \{o\} \rightarrow G$$

$$\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \mapsto u = (z, t) = \left(\frac{\zeta_1}{1 + \zeta_2}, -\Im \frac{1 - \zeta_2}{1 + \zeta_2}\right) \quad (2.1)$$

with $z, \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{O}, t \in \text{Im} \mathbb{O}, o = (0, -1)$ the south pole on $S$ and Jacobian determinant

$$|J_C(u)| = 2^{Q-7}((1 + |z|^2)^2 + |t|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$= 2^{-7}|1 + \zeta_{n+1}|^Q.$$ 

This “boundary” Cayley transform is a generalization of stereographic projection in Euclidean space. We denote the homogenous norm on $G$

$$|u| = |(z, t)| = (|z|^4 + |t|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the group distance of two elements is then defined by

$$d_G(u, v) = |v^{-1}u| = (|z - z'|^4 + |t - t'| + 2 \Im z \overline{z'})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$ 

We use notation $Q = 8 + 7 \times 2 = 22$ for the homogeneous dimension of group $G$, $\delta u = (\delta z, \delta^2 t)$ for group dilation and $du = dz dt$ for Haar measure with $dz dt$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^8 \times \mathbb{R}^7$. We denote $\text{Aut}(G)$ the set of all conformal transformations on $G$ (diffeomorphisms preserving the contact structure, also called octonionic automorphisms, that’s why we use the notation), element of which is composition of translations, rotations (on $z$), dilations and inversion

$$\sigma_{\text{inv}} : (z, t) \mapsto \left(-\frac{z}{|z|^2 - t}, -\frac{t}{|z|^2 + |t|^2}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

extension of which on related rank one symmetric space $F_4(-20)/\text{Spin}(9)$ is an isometry.

In this paper, we use notation “$\sim$” for “$=$”, ignoring a constant multiple independent of functions or what we care in formulas. Sharp HLS inequality on $G$ is then given in the following theorem.
**Theorem 2.1.** [Sharp HLS on Octonionic Heisenberg Group]

Let $12 \leq \lambda \leq Q = 22, p = \frac{2Q}{Q-2}$, then $f, g \in L^p(G)$,

$$\left| \iint_{G \times G} \frac{f(u)g(v)}{|u-v|^{\lambda}} dudv \right| \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_p \|g\|_p$$

(2.3)

with sharp constant

$$C_\lambda = 2^{-\frac{4\lambda}{7}} \left( \frac{2\pi^8}{7!} \right)^{\frac{1}{7}} \frac{7!\Gamma\left(\frac{Q-\lambda}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2Q-\lambda}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2Q-\lambda}{4} - 3\right)}.$$  

(2.4)

Moreover, all extremizers are given by

$$f \sim g \sim (|J_C \circ \sigma||J_C|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sim \|z\|^2 + t - 2z_0\bar{z} + u_0 - \frac{2Q-\lambda}{p}$$

(2.5)

with $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G), z_0, u_0 \in \mathbb{O},$ satisfying $\text{Re} u_0 > |z_0|^2$, and we can choose $\sigma = S_{\delta_0} \circ L_{u_0}$ or $\sigma = S_\delta \circ C^{-1} \circ A_\zeta \circ C$, where $S_{\delta_0}, S_\delta$ are group dilations, $L_{u_0}$ is group left translation and $A_\zeta$ is a sphere rotation in $\text{Spin}(9)$ s.t. $A_\zeta^{-1}(0,1) = \frac{\zeta}{\eta}$ with $(0,1)$ the north pole on $S$, with parameters $\delta_0 = (\text{Re} u_0 - |z_0|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, u_0 = (z_0, -\text{Im} u_0), \zeta = (\frac{z_0}{u_0+1}, \frac{u_0-1}{u_0+1}), \delta = \sqrt{\frac{1+|z|^2}{1+|\eta|^2}}$

Using the Cayley transform, we can give the equivalent sphere edition of last theorem. If we define the distance function on $S$ to be

$$d_S(\zeta, \eta) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}|1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^{\frac{1}{7}}$$

with $\zeta \cdot \bar{\eta} = \zeta_1\bar{\eta}_1 + \zeta_2\bar{\eta}_2$, then there exists the following relation between two distances on $G$ and $S$,

$$d_S(\zeta, \eta) = (1 + |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + |z'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}d_G(u, v)$$

$$= 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}|J_C(u)|^{\frac{1}{p}}|J_C(v)|^{\frac{1}{p}}d_G(u, v).$$

(2.6)

From this relation, we obtain sharp HLS inequality on octonionic sphere, through the correspondence between functions $f$ on $G$ and $\tilde{f}$ on $S$,

$$\tilde{f}(\zeta) = f(C^{-1}\zeta)|J_C|^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$  

(2.7)

We denote $\text{Aut}(S) = \{\tau = C \circ \sigma \circ C^{-1} : \sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)\}$ the set of all conformal transformations on $S$, then sharp HLS inequality on octonionic sphere is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** [Sharp HLS on Octonionic Sphere]

Let $12 \leq \lambda \leq Q = 22, p = \frac{2Q}{Q-2}$, then $f, g \in L^p(S)$,

$$\left| \iint_{S \times S} \frac{\tilde{f}(\zeta)g(\eta)}{d_S^{\lambda}(\zeta, \eta)} d\zeta d\eta \right| \leq C_\lambda' \|f\|_p \|g\|_p$$

(2.8)

with sharp constant

$$C_\lambda' = 2^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}C_\lambda$$

$$= 2^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \left( \frac{2\pi^8}{7!} \right)^{\frac{1}{7}} \frac{7!\Gamma\left(\frac{Q-\lambda}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2Q-\lambda}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2Q-\lambda}{4} - 3\right)}.$$  

(2.9)

Moreover, all extremizers are given by

$$f \sim g \sim |J_{\tilde{f}}|^{\frac{1}{p}} \sim |1 - \zeta \cdot \bar{\eta}|^{-\frac{2Q-\lambda}{p}},$$

(2.10)

with $\tau \in \text{Aut}(S), \zeta \in \mathbb{O}^2, |\zeta| < 1$, and we can choose $\tau = C \circ S_{\delta_0} \circ L_{u_0} \circ C^{-1}$ or $C \circ S_{\delta} \circ C^{-1} \circ A_\zeta$, where $S_{\delta_0}, S_\delta$ are group dilations, $L_{u_0}$ is group left translation, with parameters $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\eta|^2}}, u_0 = (\frac{1}{1+|\eta|^2}, -\frac{1}{1+|\eta|^2}), \delta = \sqrt{\frac{1+|\eta|^2}{1+|\eta|^2}}$, and $A_\zeta$ is a rotation in $\text{Spin}(9)$ s.t. $A_\zeta^{-1}(0,1) = \frac{\zeta}{\eta}$ with $(0,1)$ the north pole on $S$. 

We leave the proofs of sharp HLS in next section and first give several small remarks about the two theorems.

Remark:

- Existence of extremizer holds for all $0 < \lambda < Q$ for Theorem 2.1 and 2.2. Several bit standard methods can be used to prove the existence while compactness is the basic idea. For range $\lambda < 12$, unfortunately, we get no global result, but a weaker statement are proved that the optimal functions in above theorems for corresponding power $\lambda$ are local extremizers. We omit the proof, see [FL12, CLZ13].

- The large conformal symmetry group of the HLS inequality (2.3) consists of constant multiple, left-translation, dilation, rotation (on $g$ variable) and inversion: $f(u) \mapsto f(\sigma_{inv} u)|u|^{-\frac{2d}{Q}}$, where $\sigma_{inv}$ is the group inversion (2.2). In other words, the inequality is invariant under the conformal action $f \mapsto f \circ \sigma |J_\tau|^\frac{Q}{2}$, $\forall \sigma \in Aut(G)$. Similarly, HLS inequality (2.8) is invariant under the conformal action $f \mapsto f \circ \tau |J_\tau|^\frac{Q}{2}$, $\forall \tau \in Aut(S)$.

- Theorem 2.1 tells that, modulo the conformal symmetry group of (2.3) (or partially constant multiple, left-translation and dilation), extremizer exists uniquely, i.e. sharp equality (2.3) holds if and only if

$$f = g = (1 + |z|^2)^{2} + |t|^2)^{-\frac{d-4}{4}}.$$ 

- Theorem 2.2 tells that, modulo the conformal symmetry group of (2.8) (or partially constant multiple, $C \circ S_\delta \circ C^{-1}, C \circ L_\theta \circ C^{-1}$, where $S_\delta, L_\theta$ are respectively dilation and left translation on group $G$), extremizer exists uniquely, i.e. sharp equality (2.8) holds if and only if

$$f = g = 1.$$ 

Now we want to give two related sharp Sobolev-type inequalities.

We can write above sharp HLS inequalities in a dual form concerning intertwining operators, which we may call sharply conformally-invariant Sobolev inequalities. First, we introduce and say something about intertwining operators associated to spherical principal series representation of exceptional Lie group $F_4(-20)$. We have $Spin(9)$—irreducible decomposition of $L^2(S)$

$$L^2(S) = \bigoplus_{j \geq k \geq 0} W_{j,k},$$

(2.11)

where $W_{j,k}$ is “$(j,k)$—bispherical harmonic subspaces”, which is a finite dimensional space spanned by elements from the cyclic action of $Spin(9)$ on zonal harmonics

$$Z_{j,k}(\zeta) \sim \cos^{j-k} \phi \ 2F_1\left(-\frac{j-k}{2}, \frac{1-(j-k)}{2}, \frac{7}{2} - \tan^2 \phi\right)$$

$$\times \cos^{j+k} \theta \ 2F_1\left(-k, \frac{-j-6}{2}; 4, -\tan^2 \theta\right),$$

(2.12)

with $|\zeta| = \cos \theta, \Re \zeta = \cos \theta \cos \phi$. $2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function. For $d \in (0, Q)$, we define intertwining operator $A_d$ on $S$ to be an operator diagonal with regard to above bispherical harmonic decomposition (2.11) with spectrums being

$$A_d |W_{j,k} = \frac{\Gamma(j + \frac{Q-2d}{4}) \Gamma(k + \frac{Q+d}{4} - 3)}{\Gamma(j + \frac{Q-2d}{4}) \Gamma(k + \frac{Q+d}{4} - 3)}.$$ 

(2.13)

The definition is, modulo a constant multiple, equivalent to the intertwining relationship

$$|J_\tau|^\frac{Q-2d}{4}(A_d f) \circ \tau = A_d\left(|J_\tau|^\frac{Q}{2} (f \circ \tau)\right), \forall \tau \in Aut(S), f \in \mathcal{D}(S),$$
where \(|J_\tau|\) is the Jacobian determinant of \(\tau\), \(\mathcal{D}(S)\) is the space of smooth function of compact support on \(S\). The operators can then be extended from \(\mathcal{D}(S)\) to Folland-Stein-Sobolev space \(W^{d/2,2}(S)\). A basic result states that fundamental solution of the intertwining operator is constant multiple of power of distance function, which is given accurately by

\[
A_d^{-1}(\zeta, \eta) = c_d d_S^{d-Q}(\zeta, \eta)
\]

with

\[
c_d^{-1} = \frac{2^{\frac{Q-d}{2}} + 1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{Q}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{Q-d}{4} - 3\right)}.
\]

Above results were more or less proved in [Joh76] and (1) in Lemma 3.4, where we compute eigenvalues of integral operators with kernel of power of distance. See [BFM13] and its references for intertwining operators of principle series representation of semisimple Lie group and for a different method of similar proof by analysis language for Heisenberg group. Now, we give the sharp conformally-invariant Sobolev inequality on \(S\) in the following theorem. Note that similar definition and results exist for intertwining operators defined on group \(G\).

**Theorem 2.3.** [Sharp Conformally-Invariant Sobolev Inequality]

Let \(0 < d \leq Q - 12, q = \frac{2Q}{Q-d}\), then \(\forall f \in W^{d/2,2}(S)\),

\[
\int_S f A_d f \geq C_d'' \|f\|_q^2,
\]

with sharp constant

\[
C_d'' = (c_d c_{Q-d})^{-1},
\]

and all extremizers

\[
f \sim |1 - \xi \cdot \overline{\zeta}|^{-Q/2},
\]

with \(\xi \in \mathcal{O}^2, |\xi| < 1\). Constants \(c_d, c_{Q-d}\) are respectively given by (2.15)(2.9).

Also, as in [FL12, CLZ13], we can give the endpoint limit analogue of sharp HLS inequality at \(\lambda = Q\), using standard functional limit argument. The endpoint case corresponds to Log-Sobolev inequality. We list the result on sphere in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** [Sharp Log-Sobolev Inequality]

\(\forall f \geq 0 \in L^2 \log L(S)\), normalized by \(\int_S f^2 = |S|\),

\[
\int\int_{S \times S} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|^2}{d_S^Q(\zeta, \eta)} d\zeta d\eta \geq C \int_S f^2 \log f^2
\]

with sharp constant

\[
C = \frac{2^{\frac{Q}{2} + 3} \pi^8}{Q\Gamma\left(\frac{Q}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{Q}{4} - 3\right)},
\]

and some extremizers

\[
f \sim |1 - \xi \cdot \overline{\zeta}|^{-\frac{Q}{2}},
\]

with nonzero \(\xi \in \mathcal{O}^2, |\xi| < 1\) and \(f\) satisfying normalized condition.

The proofs of conformally-invariant Sobolev and Log-Sobolev inequalities are absolutely the same as that in [CLZ13].
3 Proof of Sharp HLS

Step 1:
For simplicity, we prove sharp HLS on sphere. The group case can then be implied from sphere case by relation (2.6) and (2.7). Existence was proved by similar arguments in [FL12, CLZ13]. We know that the integral kernel $dS(\zeta, \eta)^{-\lambda}$ is positive definite. Therefore we can restrict the sharp problem to $f = g$ case. By standard argument, we can further restrict the extremizer to be a complex multiple of positive real-value function. Actually, for any $f = a + ib$, with $a, b$ respectively the real and image part function on $S$. Then the left side of the HLS inequality (2.8) $I(f) = I(a) + I(b)$ because of the symmetry of the distance. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, $I(f) \leq I(|f| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2})$ with equality holds if and only if $a(\zeta)a(\eta) \equiv b(\zeta)b(\eta)$ and $a(\zeta)a(\eta) \geq 0$, which gives that $f = (\frac{a}{b})b = c|b|, c \in \mathbb{C}$. So we can assume the extremizer $h$ is nonnegative. The vanishing first variation give Euler-Lagrange equation for $h$,

$$h^{p-1}(\zeta) \sim \int_S \frac{h(\eta)}{|1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^2} d\eta,$$

(3.1)

which tells $h$ is positive a.e.. The non-positive second variation of functional associated to inequality (2.8) gives

$$\int_S \int |1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^2 \frac{\varphi(\zeta) \varphi(\eta)}{\lambda^2} d\zeta d\eta \int_S h^p - (p - 1) \int_S \int |1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^2 \frac{h(\zeta)h(\eta)}{\lambda^2} d\zeta d\eta \int_S h^{p-2} |\varphi|^2 \leq 0$$

(3.2)

for all $\varphi$ satisfying $\int_S h^{p-1} \varphi = 0$.

Step 2:
We assimilate the huge conformal symmetry group by requiring the extremizer to satisfy zero center-mass condition. Then the opposite direction holds for the purported second-variation center-mass condition. Then the opposite direction holds for the purported second-variation center-mass condition. Then the opposite direction holds for the purported second-variation center-mass condition. Then the opposite direction holds for the purported second-variation center-mass condition.

Lemma 3.1. For any positive extremizer of HLS inequality (2.8), there exists a conformal transformation $\gamma : S \to S$, s.t. by $h \mapsto \tilde{h} = |J_{\gamma^{-1}}|^\frac{1}{p} h \circ \gamma^{-1}$, we get another positive extremizer $\tilde{h}$ satisfying the following zero center-mass condition:

$$\int_S \zeta \tilde{h}^p(\zeta) d\zeta = 0.$$ 

(3.3)

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is the same as that in [FL12, CLZ13]. We take a conformal map on $S \setminus \{A^{-1}_x\}$:

$$\gamma^\delta_x = A^{-1}_x \circ \mathcal{C} \circ S_{\delta} \circ \mathcal{C}^{-1} \circ A_x$$

(3.4)

with any rotation $A_x \in Spin(9)$ satisfying $A_x^{-1}(0, 1) = \xi$ (for $\xi \in S$), $S_{\delta}(\delta > 0)$ the dilation on $G$. Note the definition of $\gamma^\delta_x$ is only dependent of $\xi$, but independent of the specific choice of $A_x$. For any positive extremizer $h$ normalized by $\int_S h^p = 1$, we take $\mathcal{O}^\xi$–valued function

$$F(r\xi) = \int_S \gamma^{1-r}_{r\xi} h^p, \quad 0 < r < 1, \xi \in S.$$

Then $F$ can be extended to a continous function on closed unit ball, with $F(\xi) = \xi$ on the boundary. From the famous Brouwer’s fixed point theorem, there exists one pair $(r_0, \zeta_0)(0 <
Let \( r_0 < 1, \xi_0 \in S \), s.t. \( F(r_0 \xi_0) = \int_S \gamma_0^{1-r_0} h^p = 0 \). Take \( \gamma = \gamma_0^{1-r_0} \) and \( \tilde{h} \) in the lemma, then \( \int_S \tilde{h}^p \varphi d\xi = 0 \) by changing variables. The lemma is proved.

**Step 3:**
From Lemma 3.1, we can assume that any positive extremizer \( h \) for HLS inequality (2.8) satisfies zero center-mass condition (3.3). Then we will try to prove \( h \) can only be constant function. We denote \( \zeta^i \in \mathbb{C} (1 \leq i \leq 4) \) to be the four complex part of \( \zeta \in \mathbb{O} \), i.e. \( \zeta = (\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3, \zeta^4) \) with the obvious meaning. Substituting test function \( \phi(\zeta) = h(\zeta)\zeta^i (1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq 2) \) satisfying \( \int_S h^{p-1} \varphi = 0 \), into the second variation inequality (3.2) and summing the results by \( i, j \), we get

\[
\iint_{S \times S} \frac{h(\zeta)\bar{\zeta} \cdot \eta h(\eta)}{|1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^2} d\zeta d\eta \leq (p - 1) \iint_{S \times S} \frac{h(\zeta)h(\eta)}{|1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^2} d\zeta d\eta
\]

with \( \zeta \cdot \eta = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq 2} \bar{\zeta}^i \eta^j \) being the complex product. From the symmetry of left integrand on \( (\zeta, \eta) \) and the basic identity \( \bar{\zeta} \cdot \eta + \eta \cdot \bar{\zeta} = \bar{\zeta} \cdot \eta + \bar{\eta} \cdot \zeta \), we have

\[
\iint_{S \times S} \frac{h(\zeta)\bar{\zeta} \cdot \eta + \eta \cdot \bar{\zeta} h(\eta)}{|1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^2} d\zeta d\eta \leq 2(p - 1) \iint_{S \times S} \frac{h(\zeta)h(\eta)}{|1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^2} d\zeta d\eta
\]

(3.5)

**Step 4:**
By checking the second-variation inequality (3.5), we find the opposite direction inequality holds and obtain equality if and only if \( h \) is constant function. We use octonionic analogue of Funk-Hecke formula to estimate the quadratic forms in both sides of the inequality. We list the result in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** [Bilinear Inequality]
Let \( 3 \leq \alpha < \frac{2}{3}, Q = 22 \), then for any \( f \) on \( S \), we have

\[
\iint_{S \times S} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta) \cdot \eta}}{|1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^{2\alpha}} d\zeta \eta \geq \frac{2\alpha}{Q - \alpha} \iint_{S \times S} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta) f(\eta)}}{|1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^{2\alpha}} d\zeta d\eta
\]

(3.6)

and \( \alpha \geq 3 \) is sharp, i.e. for any \( \alpha < 3 \), there exists a function \( f_\alpha \) that makes the inequality invalid. Moreover, when \( \alpha > 3 \), equality holds if and only if \( f \) is constant function; When \( \alpha = 3 \), equality holds if and only if \( f \in W_{0,0} \bigoplus_{j \geq 2} W_{j,k} \) and if \( f \) is furthermore an extremizer for HLS inequality (2.8), \( f \) can only be constant function.

From this theorem (take \( \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \)) and (3.5), we see that positive extremizer satisfying zero center-mass condition can only be be constant function. Then pulling back, all extremizers are of the form \( |J_\gamma| \) in (3.3) for some \( \delta, \xi \). Simultaneously, from the second remark following our main theorems (Theorem 2.1 and 2.2), we know that \( |J_\gamma| (\tau \in \text{Aut}(S)) \) (or \( |J_\gamma|, \gamma = \gamma^2 \) in (3.4) for some \( \delta, \xi \) in the proof of Lemma 3.1) are right all the extremizers for HLS inequality on sphere (2.8). Basic computation like that in [JL88, BFM13, CLZ13] gives the explicit form of all extremizers (2.10) and all extremizers for sharp HLS inequality on group (2.9) comes from relation (2.7) and are given by (2.5). The sharp constants comes from Lemma 3.4. Concerning the proof of Theorem 3.2 involves complicated computation, we first states two lemmas about eigenvalues of operator with kernel of the form \( K(\zeta \cdot \eta), K \in L^1(B(0,1)) \), where we denote \( B(0,1) = \{ u \in \mathbb{O} : |u| < 1 \} \) and \( \partial B(0,1) = \{ u \in \mathbb{O} : |u| = 1 \} \simeq S^7 \) respectively for the unit ball and sphere in \( \mathbb{O} \).

**Lemma 3.3.** [Quaternionic Funk-Hecke Formula]
Let \( K \) be a function on \( B(0,1), \) the unit ball in \( \mathbb{O}, \) s.t. the following integral exists, like \( K \in L^1(B(0,1)) \). Then integral operator with kernel \( K(\zeta \cdot \eta) \) is diagonal w.r.t bospherical harmonic decomposition (2.11), and the eigenvalue on \( (j,k)-\text{bospherical harmonic subspace} \)
$W_{j,k}$ is given by
\[
\lambda_{j,k}(K) = \frac{15\pi^4 k!}{(k + 3)!} \int_0^\pi \cos^{j+k+7} \theta \sin^7 \theta P_k^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos 2\theta) d\theta \int_{\partial B(0,1)} K(\nu \cos \theta)
\times \left( a_{j,k}^0 \cos(j - k) \phi + a_{j,k}^1 \cos(j - k + 2) \phi + a_{j,k}^2 \cos(j - k + 4) \phi \\
+ a_{j,k}^3 \cos(j - k + 6) \phi \right) du
\]

with $\Re \nu = \cos \phi$ ($\phi \in [0, \pi]$), $du$ the standard Lebesgue surface measure on $\partial B(0,1)$, the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we see the integral operator associated to $P_j^{(3,3+j-k)}(z)$ the Jacobi polynomial of order $k$ associated to weight $(1 - z)^3(1 + z)^{3+j-k}$ and
\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{j,k}^0 &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{j - k + 3} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{j - k + 2} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{j - k + 1} \\
    a_{j,k}^1 &= \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{j - k + 3} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{j - k + 2} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{j - k + 1} \\
    a_{j,k}^2 &= -\frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{j - k + 3} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{j - k + 2} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{j - k + 1} \\
    a_{j,k}^3 &= -\frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{j - k + 3} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{j - k + 2} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{j - k + 1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. From Schur's lemma and the irreducibility of $(j,k)$-bihispherical harmonic subspace $W_{j,k}$, we see the integral operator associated to $K(\zeta \cdot \eta)$ is diagonal with eigenvalues denoted by $\lambda_{j,k}$. Now, we compute the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j,k}$. Assume $\{Y_{j,k}^\mu\}_{1 \leq \mu \leq m_{j,k}}$ is a normalized orthogonal basis of $W_{j,k}$, then
\[
\int_S K(\zeta \cdot \eta) Y_{j,k}^\mu(\eta) d\eta = \lambda_{j,k} Y_{j,k}^\mu(\zeta),
\]
and in abuse of notation, the reproducing kernel of projection onto $W_{j,k}$ is given by
\[
Z_{j,k}(\zeta, \eta) = Z_{j,k}(\zeta \cdot \eta) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{j,k}} Y_{j,k}^\mu(\zeta) Y_{j,k}^\mu(\eta),
\]
which coincides with (2.12) when $\eta = (0,1)$, i.e. (2.12) is invariant under the action of the subgroup fixing north pole ($\simeq Spin(7)$). Then we have
\[
\int_S K(\zeta \cdot \eta) Z_{j,k}(\eta \cdot \tilde{\zeta}) d\eta = \lambda_{j,k} Z_{j,k}(1),
\]
which implies
\[
\lambda_{j,k} = Z_{j,k}^{-1}(1) \int_S K(\zeta \cdot \eta) Z_{j,k}(\eta \cdot \tilde{\zeta}) d\eta = Z_{j,k}^{-1}(1) \int_S K(\eta \bar{\zeta}) Z_{j,k}(\eta) d\eta.
\]

In polar coordinates,
\[
\begin{align*}
\eta &= (\eta_1, \eta_2) \\
\eta_1 &= u_1 \sin \theta \\
\eta_2 &= u_2 \cos \theta \\
u_j^0 &= \sin \phi_j^0 \sin \phi_0^j \ldots \sin \phi_j^0 \sin \phi_0^j \\
u_j^1 &= \sin \phi_j^1 \sin \phi_0^j \ldots \sin \phi_j^1 \cos \phi_0^j \\
&\ldots \\
u_j^2 &= \sin \phi_j^2 \cos \phi_0^j \\
u_j^4 &= \cos \phi_j^4
\end{align*}
\]
with \( \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}], \phi_1^j, \ldots, \phi_3^j \in [0, \pi], \phi_4^j \in [0, 2\pi](1 \leq j \leq 2) \), we have the invariance measure
\[
d\eta = \sin^7 \theta \cos^7 \theta d\theta du_1 du_2,
\]
(3.8)
with \( du_j = \sin^6 \phi_1^j \cdots \sin \phi_4^j \sin \phi_5^j \cdots \sin \phi_8^j d\phi_1^j \cdots d\phi_8^j \). From several formulas about special functions (hypergeometric function, Gegenbauer and Jacobi polynomials), their relations and integral representations (15.3.4, 15.3.22, 15.4.5, 22.10.11, 22.5.42 in [AS12]), we write the explicit formula of zonal harmonics (2.12) to the following form (here we ignore some dispensable constant, see (3.7)),
\[
Z_{j,k}(\eta_2) \sim \sin^{-5} \phi \left[ \frac{\sin(j-k+5)\phi + \sin(j-k+1)\phi}{4(j-k+3)} + \sin(j-k+3)\phi \right] + \frac{\sin(j-k+5)\phi + \sin(j-k+3)\phi}{j-k+4}
\]
(3.9)
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sin(j-k+3)\phi + \sin(j-k+1)\phi}{j-k+2} + \frac{\sin(j-k+5)\phi + \sin(j-k+3)\phi}{j-k+4} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\sin(j-k+1)\phi}{j-k+1} + \frac{\sin(j-k+5)\phi}{j-k+5} \right) \cos^{j-k} \theta \left( P_{k(3,3+j-k)}^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos 2\theta) \right),
\]
as we can simplify the two terms in (2.12) into
\[
\cos^{j-k} \phi \left( 2F_1 \left( -\frac{j-k}{2}, \frac{j-k}{2}; \sin^2 \phi \right) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{5!(j-k)!}{(j-k+5)!} C_{j-k}^{(3)} \left( \cos \phi \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{15}{2} \sin^{-5} \phi \int_0^\phi \cos(j-k+3)t \left( \cos t - \cos \phi \right)^2 dt
\]
\[
= \frac{15}{2} \sin^{-5} \phi \left( \frac{\sin(j-k+5)\phi + \sin(j-k+1)\phi}{4(j-k+3)} + \frac{\sin(j-k+3)\phi}{j-k+3} \right)
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sin(j-k+3)\phi + \sin(j-k+1)\phi}{j-k+2} + \frac{\sin(j-k+5)\phi + \sin(j-k+3)\phi}{j-k+4} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\sin(j-k+1)\phi}{j-k+1} + \frac{\sin(j-k+5)\phi}{j-k+5} \right) \cos^{j-k} \theta \left( 2F_1 \left( -\frac{j-k}{2}, \frac{j-k}{2}; 4; -\tan^2 \theta \right) \right)
\]
\[
= \cos^{j-k} \theta \left( 2F_1 \left( -\frac{j-k}{2}, \frac{j-k}{2}; 4; \sin^2 \theta \right) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(k+3)!} \cos^{j-k} \theta \left( P_{k(3,3+j-k)}^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos 2\theta) \right),
\]
where \( C_{j-k}^{(3)}, P_{k(3,3+j-k)}^{(3,3+j-k)} \) are respectively Gegenbauer and Jacobi polynomials. Putting (3.9) and (3.8) into (3.7) and noting that \( \frac{5!(j-k)!}{(j-k+5)!} C_{j-k}^{(3)}(1) = 1, \frac{15}{(k+3)!} P_{k(3,3+j-k)}^{(3,3+j-k)}(1) = 1 \) (22.2.1 and 22.2.3 in [AS12]), we have
\[
\lambda_{j,k}(K) = \frac{15\pi^{1/3}}{(k+3)!} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{j-k+7} \theta \sin^{j-k} \theta \left( P_{k(3,3+j-k)}^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos 2\theta) \right) d\theta \int_{\partial B(0,1)} K(\sqrt{2} \cos \theta)
\]
\[
\times \left( a_{0,j,k}^1 \cos(j-k)\phi_1^2 + a_{1,j,k}^1 \cos(j-k+2)\phi_1^2 + a_{2,j,k}^1 \cos(j-k+4)\phi_1^2 + a_{3,j,k}^1 \cos(j-k+6)\phi_1^2 \right) du_2
\]
with \( a_{i,j,k}^i \) \((0 \leq i \leq 3)\) defined in the lemma, then lemma is proved. \( \square \)
In order to prove Theorem 3.2 it suffices to compute eigenvalues of functions of two forms $K_1^*(z) = |1 - z|^{-2a}$, $K_2^*(z) = |z|^{|z|^{-2a}}$, noting that $\zeta \cdot \eta + \eta \cdot \zeta = 2 \Re \zeta \cdot \eta = 1 + |\zeta \cdot \eta|^2 - |1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^2$.

**Lemma 3.4. [Eigenvalues]**

Given $-1 < a < \frac{Q}{2}$, denote (15.1.1 and 15.1.20 in [AS12])

$$A(a, b, c) \triangleq \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + a)\Gamma(\mu + b)}{\mu!\Gamma(\mu + c)} = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)}$$

(3.10)

for any $c > a + b$ and

$$(a, b, c) = (j + \alpha, k + \alpha - 3, j + k + \frac{Q}{2} - 3)$$

(3.11)

particularly in the following part of this paper. Then

1. The eigenvalues of integral operators associated to kernel $K_1^*(\zeta \cdot \eta) = |1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^{-2a}$ are given by

$$\lambda_{j,k}(K_1^*) = \frac{2\pi^8}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a - 3)} A(a, b, c)$$

$$= \frac{2\pi^8\Gamma(\frac{Q}{2} - 2\alpha)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a - 3)} \frac{\Gamma(j + \alpha)}{\Gamma(j + \frac{Q}{2} - \alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha - 3)}{\Gamma(k + \frac{Q}{2} - \alpha - 3)}.$$  

(3.12)

2. The eigenvalues of integral operators associated to kernel $K_2^*(\zeta \cdot \eta) = |\zeta \cdot \eta|^{|\zeta \cdot \eta|^2 - 1 - \zeta \cdot \eta|^{-2a}}$ are given by

$$\lambda_{j,k}(K_2^*) = C_{j,k}^\alpha \lambda_{j,k}(K_1^*)$$

(3.13)

with

$$C_{j,k}^\alpha = 1 - (\alpha - 4)(c - a - b)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{1}{(a - 1)(c - a)} + \frac{1}{(b - 1)(c - b)} - (\alpha - 4) \frac{c - a - b + 1}{(a - 1)(b - 1)(c - a)(c - b)} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{(\alpha - 4)(\frac{Q}{2} - 2\alpha)}{(j + \alpha - 1)(k + \alpha - 4)(j + \frac{Q}{2} - \alpha - 3)(j + \frac{Q}{2} - \alpha)} \times$$

$$\left( -(j + \alpha)^2 - (k + \alpha - 3)^2 + (j + k + \frac{Q}{2} - 2)(j + 2\alpha + k - 3) \right)$$

$$- 2(j + k + \frac{Q}{2} - 3) - (\alpha - 4)(\frac{Q}{2} - 2\alpha + 1).$$

(3.14)

In the singular point $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, the above formula can be viewed as limit, fixing $j, k$.

**Proof.** Taking $K = K_1^*, K_2^*$ in last Lemma 3.3 we get

$$\lambda_{j,k}(K_1^*) = \frac{16\pi^7 k!}{(k + 3)!} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^{j-k+7} \theta \sin^7 \theta P_{k}^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos \theta) d\theta$$

$$\times \int_0^{\pi} (1 - 2 \cos \theta \cos \phi + \cos^2 \theta)^{-\alpha} \left( a_{j,k}^0 \cos(j - k)\phi + a_{j,k}^1 \cos(j - k + 2)\phi \right. \left. + a_{j,k}^2 \cos(j - k + 4)\phi + a_{j,k}^3 \cos(j - k + 6)\phi \right) d\phi,$$

(3.15)

$$\lambda_{j,k}(K_2^*) = \frac{16\pi^7 k!}{(k + 3)!} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^{j-k+9} \theta \sin^7 \theta P_{k}^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos \theta) d\theta$$

$$\times \int_0^{\pi} (1 - 2 \cos \theta \cos \phi + \cos^2 \theta)^{-\alpha} \left( a_{j,k}^0 \cos(j - k)\phi + a_{j,k}^1 \cos(j - k + 2)\phi \right. \left. + a_{j,k}^2 \cos(j - k + 4)\phi + a_{j,k}^3 \cos(j - k + 6)\phi \right) d\phi.$$  

(3.16)
Using Gegenbauer polynomials, the following fact exists ((5.11) in [FL12])

\[
\int_0^\pi d\phi (1 + \cos^2 \theta - 2 \cos \phi \cos \theta)^{-\alpha} \cos(j-k)\phi \\
= \frac{\pi}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \cos |j-k| + 2\mu \theta \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \alpha) \Gamma(\mu + |j-k| + \alpha)}{\mu!(\mu + |j-k|)!}. 
\]

(3.17)

Putting (3.17) into (3.15,3.16), we get

\[
\lambda_{j,k}(K_1^\alpha) = \frac{16\pi^8k!}{(k + 3)!^2} \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{j,k}^i \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \Gamma(\mu + \alpha) \Gamma(\mu + |k-j| + 2i + \alpha) \\
\times \int \cos^{2|k-j| + 2i + \alpha} \theta \sin^{2\alpha} \theta P_k^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos 2\theta) d\theta, 
\]

(3.18)

\[
\lambda_{j,k}(K_2^\alpha) = \frac{16\pi^8k!}{(k + 3)!^2} \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{j,k}^i \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \Gamma(\mu + \alpha) \Gamma(\mu + |k-j| + 2i + \alpha) \\
\times \int \cos^{2|k-j| + 2i + \alpha} \theta \sin^{2\alpha} \theta P_k^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos 2\theta) d\theta. 
\]

(3.19)

Using Rodrigues’ formula (22.2.1 in [AS12])

\[
P_k^{(3,j-k+3)}(t) = \frac{(-1)^k}{2k!} (1-t)^{-3}(1+t)^{-(j-k+3)} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} [(1-t)^{k+3}(1+t)^{j+3}],
\]

changing variable \(\cos 2\theta = t\) and integrating by part, we get

\[
\int \cos^{2|j-k| + 2i + \alpha} \theta \sin^{2\alpha} \theta P_k^{(3,3+j-k)}(\cos 2\theta) d\theta \\
= \frac{(-1)^k}{2^2\pi^2\pi \mu !} \int_{-1}^{1} (1+t)^{\mu} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \{ (1-t)^{3+k}(1+t)^{3+j} \} dt \\
= \chi_{\mu \geq k \mu !} 2^{2\alpha + 3k} \Gamma(\mu + |k-j| + 4) \\
\times \frac{\mu!}{2(k-m)!k!} (k+3)! \mu!(\mu + j - k + 3)! \\
= \chi_{\mu \geq k \mu !} 2k! (\mu + j + 7)!.
\]

(3.20)

Assume \(k \geq 3\) for \(K_1^\alpha\) (and \(k \geq 4\) for \(K_2^\alpha\)) and put (3.20) into (3.18,3.19), then we have

\[
\lambda_{j,k}(K_1) = \frac{8\pi^8}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \sum_{\mu \geq k} \mu!(\mu+j-k+3)! \Gamma(\mu+i+\alpha) \\
\times \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{j,k}^i \frac{\Gamma(\mu-i+\alpha) \Gamma(\mu+|j-k|+i+\alpha)}{(\mu-i)!|j-k|!+i+\alpha)!}. 
\]

(3.21)

\[
\lambda_{j,k}(K_2) = \frac{8\pi^8}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \sum_{\mu \geq k} \mu!(\mu+j-k+3)! \Gamma(\mu+i+\alpha) \\
\times \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{j,k}^i \frac{\Gamma(\mu-i+\alpha) \Gamma(\mu+1+|j-k|+i+\alpha)}{(\mu-i)!|j-k|!+i+\alpha)!}. 
\]

(3.22)
Noting that
\[ a_{j,k}^0 = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{1}{j-k+3} + \frac{-2}{j-k+2} + \frac{1}{j-k+1} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(j-k+3)(j-k+2)(j-k+1)}, \]
\[ a_{j,k}^1 = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{3}{j-k+3} + \frac{-2}{j-k+4} + \frac{-1}{j-k+1} \right) = \frac{-3}{4} \frac{1}{(j-k+3)(j-k+4)(j-k+1)}, \]
\[ a_{j,k}^2 = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{-3}{j-k+3} + \frac{2}{j-k+2} + \frac{1}{j-k+6} \right) = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{(j-k+3)(j-k+2)(j-k+5)}, \]
\[ a_{j,k}^3 = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{-1}{j-k+3} + \frac{2}{j-k+4} + \frac{-1}{j-k+5} \right) = \frac{-1}{4} \frac{1}{(j-k+3)(j-k+4)(j-k+5)}, \]
and through easy but boring computation we have
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_{j,k}^i \Gamma(\mu-i+\alpha)\Gamma(\mu+j-k+i+\alpha) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} (\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)(\alpha-3) \frac{\Gamma(\mu+j-k+\alpha)\Gamma(\mu+\alpha-3)}{\mu!(\mu+j-k+3)!}. \] (3.23)

So putting (3.23) into (3.21) and using (3.10, 3.11) we get
\[ \lambda_{j,k}(K_1) = \frac{2\pi^8}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-3)} \sum_{\mu \geq k} \frac{\mu!(\mu+j-k+3)!}{(\mu-k)!(\mu+j+7)!} \frac{\Gamma(\mu+j-k+\alpha)\Gamma(\mu+\alpha-3)}{\mu!(\mu+j-k+3)!} \]
\[ = \frac{2\pi^8 \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2}-2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-3)} \Lambda(a, b, c) \]
\[ = \frac{2\pi^8 \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2}-2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-3)} \frac{\Gamma(j+\alpha)}{\Gamma(j+\frac{\alpha}{2}-\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(k+\alpha-3)}{\Gamma(k+\frac{\alpha}{2}-\alpha)} \] (3.24)
\[ \lambda_{j,k}(K_2) = \frac{2\pi^8}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-3)} \sum_{\mu \geq k} \frac{\mu!(\mu+j-k+3)!}{(\mu-k)!(\mu+j+7)!} \frac{\Gamma(\mu-1+j-k+\alpha)\Gamma(\mu-1+\alpha-3)}{(\mu-1)!(\mu-1+j-k+3)!} \]
\[ = \frac{2\pi^8}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha-3)} \sum_{\mu \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(\mu+j+\alpha)\Gamma(\mu+k+\alpha-3)}{\mu!(\mu+j+k+7)!} \frac{(\mu+k)(\mu+j+3)}{(\mu+j+\alpha-1)(\mu+k+\alpha-4)}. \] (3.25)

Noting that
\[ \frac{(\mu+k)(\mu+j+3)}{(\mu+j+\alpha-1)(\mu+k+\alpha-4)} = \frac{(\mu+a-1-(\alpha-4))(\mu+b-1-(\alpha-4))}{(\mu+a-1)(\mu+b-1)} \]
\[ = 1 - (\alpha-4) \left( \frac{1}{\mu+a-1} + \frac{1}{\mu+b-1} - (\alpha-4) \frac{1}{(\mu+a-1)(\mu+b-1)} \right) \]
and using (3.11) again we have
\[ \lambda_{j,k}(K_2^\alpha) = C_{j,k}^\alpha \lambda_{j,k}(K_1^\alpha) \] (3.26)
with
\[ C_{j,k}^\alpha = \frac{1}{(a-1)(c-a)} + \frac{1}{(b-1)(c-b)} - (\alpha-4) \frac{c-a+b+1}{(a-1)(b-1)(c-a)(c-b)}. \]

For \( k < 3 \) \( (k < 4) \), it’s easy to check that above formulas (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26) still holds.
We now proceed the proof of Theorem 3.2 using Lemma 3.4.

Proof. Let \( f = \sum_{j \geq k \geq 0} f_{j,k} \) be the bispherical harmonic decomposition of \( f \) w.r.t. (2.11). From \( \zeta \cdot \bar{\eta} + \eta \cdot \bar{\zeta} = 1 + |\zeta \cdot \bar{\eta}|^2 - |1 - \zeta \cdot \bar{\eta}|^2 \), to prove the bilinear inequality in Theorem 3.2 it suffices to prove

\[
\sum_{j \geq k \geq 0} (\lambda_{j,k}(K_4^n) + \lambda_{j,k}(K_2^n) - \lambda_{j,k}(K_1^{n-1})) \|f_{j,k}\|_2^2 \geq \sum_{j \geq k \geq 0} \frac{2\alpha}{Q_2} \lambda_{j,k}(K_1^n) \|f_{j,k}\|_2^2.
\]

From Lemma 3.4, we have \( \lambda_{j,k}(K_1^n) > 0 \) when \( \alpha > 3 \) and when \( \alpha = 3 \), \( \lambda_{j,k}(K_1^n) = 0 \) unless \( k = 0 \) and we also have relation

\[
\lambda_{j,k}(K_1^{n-1}) = \lambda_{j,k}(K_1^n)(\alpha - 4) (\alpha - 3) + (\alpha - 2) (\alpha - 1) = 0.
\]

Then (3.27) holds if we can prove the following inequality:

When \( \alpha > 3 \),

\[
2 - (\alpha - 4)(c - a - b) \left( \frac{1}{(a - 1)(c - a)} + \frac{1}{(b - 1)(c - b)} \right) + 3(c - a - b + 1) \left( \frac{1}{(a - 1)(b - 1)(c - a)(c - b)} \right) \geq \frac{2}{Q_2 - \alpha},
\]

which is

\[
(\alpha - 4) \left( \frac{1}{(a - 1)(c - a)} + \frac{1}{(b - 1)(c - b)} \right) + \frac{3(c - a - b + 1)}{(a - 1)(b - 1)(c - a)(c - b)} \leq \frac{2}{Q_2 - \alpha},
\]

since \( c - a - b = \frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - 2\alpha > 0 \).

For \( \alpha > 4 \), then it suffices to check

\[
\frac{2}{(\alpha - 4)(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - \alpha)} - \left( \frac{1}{(a - 1)(c - a)} + \frac{1}{(b - 1)(c - b)} \right) \geq \frac{3(c - a - b + 1)}{(a - 1)(b - 1)(c - a)(c - b)}
\]

Substituting \( (a, b, c) \) in (3.11), the inequality becomes

\[
\frac{j(\alpha - 4) + k(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - \alpha) + kj}{(\alpha - 4)(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - \alpha)} \geq \left( \frac{(k - 3)(\alpha - 4) + (j + 3)(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - \alpha) + (j + 3)(k - 3)}{(\alpha - 4)(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - \alpha)(\alpha - 4 + j + 3)(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - \alpha - k + 3)} \right)^2 \geq \frac{3(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - 2\alpha + 1)}{(\alpha - 4 + k)(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - \alpha + j)(\alpha - 4 + j + 3)(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2} - \alpha - k + 3)}.
\]

It’s easy to check this noting that the denominators in left side are less than that in right side, while the sum of two numerators in left side is bigger than that in the right side. Moreover, the inequality reaches equality if and only if \( j = k = 0 \). For \( 3 < \alpha < 4 \), the opposite of (3.28) holds by checking signs of the numerators and denominators and noting that it still holds that the sum of numerators in left side is bigger than that in the right side. Inequality again reaches equality only when \( j = k = 0 \). For \( 4 < \alpha < 5 \), the opposite of (3.28) holds by checking signs of the numerators and denominators and noting that it still holds that the sum of numerators in left side is bigger than that in the right side. Inequality reaches equality as every eigenvalue vanishes for \( k = 1 \), it’s easy to check that \( \frac{Q_2}{Q_2 - \alpha} \) multiple of opposite of (3.28) holds strictly. In conclusion, when \( \alpha = 3 \), (3.27) holds and reaches equality if and only if \( f \) is in direct sum of subspaces \( W_{j,k} \) with \( j = k = 0 \) or \( k > 1 \), then from Euler-Lagrange equation or original functional, we see extremizer satisfying zero center-mass condition still can only be constant function (in \( W_{0,0} \), see [CLZ13]. For \( \alpha < 3 \), (3.27) and therefore the inequality in Theorem 3.2 fails in some subspaces, which tells our method doesn’t work. \( \square \)
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