The GC + CC genotype at position -418 in TIMP-2 promoter and the -1575GA/-1306CC genotype in MMP-2 is genetic predisposing factors for prevalence of moyamoya disease
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Abstract

Background: To investigate the association of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)-2, -3, and -9 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP)-2 with moyamoya disease (MMD). We conducted a case-control study of MMD patients by assessing the prevalence of six SNPs of MMP-2 -1575G > A [rs243866], MMP-2 -1306C > T [rs243865], MMP-3 -1171 5a/6a [rs3025058], MMP-9 -1562C > T [rs3918242], MMP-9 Q279R [rs17576], and TIMP-2 -418G > C [rs8179090].

Methods: Korean patients with MMD (n = 107, mean age, 20.9 ± 15.9 years; 66.4% female) and 243 healthy control subjects (mean age, 23.0 ± 16.1 years; 56.8% female) were included. The subjects were divided into pediatric and adult groups. The genotyping of six well-known SNPs (MMP-2 -1575G > A, MMP-2 -1306C > T, MMP-3 -1171 5a/6a, MMP-9 -1562C > T, MMP-9 Q279R, and TIMP-2 -418G > C) in MMP and TIMP genes was performed by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism assays.

Results: A significantly higher frequency of the GC genotype for TIMP-2 -418 G > C was found in MMD patients. The MMP-9 Q279R GA + AA genotype showed a protective effect for MMD. The GA/CC MMP-2 -1575/-1306 genotype was significantly more prevalent in MMD patients.

Conclusions: Our findings demonstrate that TIMP-2 -418 GC + CC and MMP-2 -1575GA/-1306CC genotypes could be genetic predisposing factors for MMD development.
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Background

The presence of a G/C heterozygous genotype at position -418 in the promoter of the tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 (TIMP-2) gene has been proposed as a genetic predisposing factor for moyamoya disease (MMD) [1], but this association is debated [2]. It is not clear whether there is a genetic effect or an influence of arterial steno-occlusive disease [3]. Although the cause of MMD is still unknown, a genetic background has been strongly suggested, and familial MMD (FMMD) loci have been identified with linkage analyses, supporting a multifactorial inheritance pattern [4-7].

Several studies have demonstrated that overexpression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and underexpression of MMP-3, TIMP-1, and TIMP-2 are related to MMD [8,9]. Smooth muscle cells (SMC) produce both MMP-2 and-9, and a genetic deficiency in either may decrease SMC invasion and the formation of intimal hyperplasia [10], but no MMP genes are located in the loci known to contain MMD genes [1]. TIMP dysregulation would disrupt the balance between MMPs and TIMPs and result in erroneous SMC dynamics, and this could subsequently...
facilitate MMD development [1]. These findings remain to be confirmed in MMD patients. TIMP dysregulation can disrupt the balance between MMPs and TIMPs, resulting in aberrant SMC dynamics, ultimately leading to MMD [1,2]. Therefore, any single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of proteins involved in this cascade may provoke or protect against ischemic or hemorrhagic MMD. Shear stress is very high at the location of proximal internal carotid artery, which might lead to intimal thickening in case of genetic abnormality [11,12]. Dysregulation of MMPs 2, 3, 9 and their endogenous inhibitor TIMP-2 was critical for appropriate extracellular matrix remodeling in response to shear stress in MMD [1,13-15]. MMD can develop in the context of MMP or TIMP genetic susceptibilities and hemodynamic stress. Therefore, we tested whether SNPs of MMPs 2, 3, and 9 and TIMP-2 were associated with MMD in this study.

These genetic abnormalities could facilitate the breakdown of tissue remodeling during moyamoya vessel development, ultimately leading to cerebral ischemia or cerebral hemorrhage. MMD can develop among MMP or TIMP genetic susceptibility against hemodynamic stress.

To test this hypothesis, we conducted a case-control study of MMD patients by assessing the prevalence of six SNPs of MMP-2, -3, -9 and TIMP-2 (MMP-2 -1575G > A [rs243866], MMP-2 -1306C > T [rs243865], MMP-3 -1171 5a/6a [rs3025058], MMP-9 -1562C > T [rs3918242], MMP-9 Q279R [rs17576], and TIMP-2 -418G > C [rs8179090]).

Methods

Subjects

A total of 107 consecutive Korean patients with MMD (mean age, 20.9 ± 15.9 years; 71 females [66.4%], 36 males [33.6%]) were recruited for this study. MMD was defined as the presence of clinical ischemic or hemorrhagic symptoms in combination with vascular lesion evidence on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or magnetic resonance angiography (MRA).

The control group was comprised of 243 healthy subjects (mean age, 23.0 ± 16.1 years; 138 female [56.8%]; 105 male [43.2%]) from the same geographic region as the MMD patients. The age- and sex-matched subjects were recruited from outpatient clinics at Severance Hospital (Seoul, Korea) and CHA Bundang Medical Center (Seongnam, Korea). They were healthy volunteers who came in for their regular health examinations. Participants were encouraged to enroll this study, but no incentive as provided to aid recruitment. Control subjects were not related to the participants but were healthy volunteers who came in for their regular health examinations at our university-based hospital.

MMD has a bimodal pattern of incidence, so we divided the patients into pediatric (<18 years) and adult (≥18 years) subgroups. We further divided the MMD patients into ischemic or hemorrhagic subgroups based on clinical and MRI findings. We performed indirect bypass surgery in 64 patients and direct superficial temporal artery to middle cerebral artery bypass plus encephaloduro-arterio-myo-synangiosis (STA-MCA plus EDAMS) in one patient. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the MMD patients and control subjects.

All participants provided written informed consent prior to study enrollment. The institutional review boards of Severance Hospital (4-2008-0308) and CHA Bundang Medical Center (PBC09-103,BD 2012-136D,BD 2012-136GR) approved this study.

| Table 1 Demographic characteristics between controls and moyamoya patients |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Characteristic | Control (n = 243) | Moyamoya (n = 107) | P* | Ischemic moyamoya (n = 92) | Hemorrhagic moyamoya (n = 15) | P* |
| Number of subjects | | | | |
| <18 years | 102 (42.0) | 56 (52.3) | 0.92 | 54 (58.7) | 2 (13.3) | |
| ≥18 years | 141 (58.0) | 51 (47.7) | 0.25 | 38 (41.3) | 13 (86.7) | |
| Age (means ± SD) | | | | |
| <18 years | 7.71 ± 4.05 | 7.98 ± 4.13 | 0.92 | 8.11 ± 4.12 | 4.50 ± 3.54 | NA |
| ≥18 years | 36.72 ± 10.05 | 34.98 ± 11.29 | 0.25 | 34.63 ± 11.45 | 36.00 ± 11.21 | 0.69 |
| Sex [male, n(%)] | | | | |
| <18 years | 54 (52.9) | 22 (39.3) | 0.10 | 21 (38.9) | 1 (50.0) | 1.00 |
| ≥18 years | 51 (21.0) | 14 (27.5) | 0.26 | 12 (31.6) | 2 (15.4) | 0.47 |

*P values were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test for continuous data and χ²-test for categorical data.

†Fisher’s exact test. NA; not applicable.
Genotyping
DNA was extracted from leukocytes using a G-DEX™ II Genomic DNA Extraction kit (Intron Biotechnology, Seongnam, Korea) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

For each of the SNPs, 30% of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays were randomly chosen for a second PCR assay followed by DNA sequencing to validate the restriction fragment length polymorphism RFLP findings. Sequencing was performed using an ABI3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The concordance of the quality control samples was 100%. Each of genotyping methods are described in detail in the Additional file 1.

Table 2 The genotype frequencies of MMP polymorphisms between the control group and patients with moyamoya disease

| Characteristic          | Control (n = 243) | Moyamoya (n = 107) | AOR (95% CI) | P<sup>a</sup> | P<sup>b</sup> |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| MMP2 -1575G > A (rs243866) |                  |                    |              |              |              |
| GG                     | 210 (86.4)       | 92 (86.0)          | 1.00 (reference) |              |              |
| GA                     | 33 (13.6)        | 15 (14.0)          | 1.03 (0.53-2.00) | 0.94         | 0.94         |
| AA                     | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)            | NA           | NA           | NA           |
| Dominant (GG vs. GA + AA) | 1.03 (0.53-2.00) | 0.94            | 0.94         |              |              |
| Recessive (GG + GA vs. AA) | NA            | NA                 | NA           | NA           | NA           |
| HWE P                  | 0.256            | 0.436              |              |              |              |
| MMP2 -1306C > T (rs243865) |                  |                    |              |              |              |
| CC                     | 222 (91.4)       | 99 (92.5)          | 1.00 (reference) |              |              |
| CT                     | 21 (8.6)         | 8 (7.5)            | 0.87 (0.37-2.05) | 0.75         | 0.75         |
| TT                     | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)            | NA           | NA           | NA           |
| Dominant (CC vs. CT + TT) | 0.87 (0.37-2.05) | 0.75            | 0.75         |              |              |
| Recessive (CC + CT vs. TT) | NA            | NA                 | NA           | NA           | NA           |
| HWE P                  | 0.481            | 0.688              |              |              |              |
| MMP3 -1171 5a/6a (rs3025058) |                  |                    |              |              |              |
| 6a6a                   | 187 (77.0)       | 78 (72.9)          | 1.00 (reference) |              |              |
| 6a5a                   | 51 (21.0)        | 23 (21.5)          | 1.07 (0.61-1.89) | 0.81         | 0.81         |
| 5a5a                   | 5 (2.1)          | 6 (5.6)            | 2.92 (0.85-10.00) | 0.09         | 0.18         |
| Dominant (6a6a vs. 6a5a + 5a5a) | 1.24 (0.74-2.10) | 0.42            | 0.56         |              |              |
| Recessive (6a6a + 6a5a vs. 5a5a) | 3.00 (0.88-10.20) | 0.08          | 0.18         |              |              |
| HWE P                  | 0.493            | 0.027              |              |              |              |
| MMP9 -1562C > T (rs3918242) |                  |                    |              |              |              |
| CC                     | 195 (80.2)       | 85 (79.4)          | 1.00 (reference) |              |              |
| CT                     | 47 (19.3)        | 19 (17.8)          | 0.91 (0.58-1.66) | 0.76         | 0.92         |
| TT                     | 1 (0.4)          | 3 (2.8)            | 6.12 (0.62-60.42) | 0.12         | 0.24         |
| Dominant (CC vs. CT + TT) | 1.03 (0.58-1.83) | 0.92            | 0.92         |              |              |
| Recessive (CC + CT vs. TT) | 6.45 (0.65-63.68) | 0.11          | 0.24         |              |              |
| HWE P                  | 0.298            | 0.149              |              |              |              |
| MMP9 Q279R (rs17576)  |                  |                    |              |              |              |
| GG                     | 100 (41.2)       | 56 (52.3)          | 1.00 (reference) |              |              |
| GA                     | 120 (49.4)       | 46 (43.0)          | 0.66 (0.41-1.07) | 0.09         | 0.11         |
| AA                     | 23 (9.5)         | 5 (4.7)            | 0.36 (0.13-1.00) | 0.05         | 0.10         |
| Dominant (GG vs. GA + AA) | 0.61 (0.39-0.98) | 0.04            | 0.10         |              |              |
| Recessive (GG + GA vs. AA) | 0.45 (0.16-1.22) | 0.11          | 0.11         |              |              |
| HWE P                  | 0.127            | 0.244              |              |              |              |

Adjusted by age and gender. NA: Not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>P value obtained by Fisher’s exact test.

<sup>b</sup>False positive discovery rate-adjusted P value.
### Statistical analysis

To analyze the demographic characteristics of MMD, we performed Mann–Whitney U tests and chi-square ($\chi^2$) tests for continuous and categorical data, respectively. The associations among pediatric and adult patients were estimated by computing the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using Fisher’s exact tests. The adjusted ORs (AORs) for *MMP* and *TIMP* SNPs were calculated using multiple logistic regression analyses using sex and age. Deviations of genotype proportions from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were tested at each locus, and those of all loci were $p > 0.01$.

We marked reference group in tables. The usual type for each locus was chosen as the reference group. Regression coefficient of statistically significant model in detail in the Additional file 2. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and StatsDirect software (version 2.4.4; StatsDirect Ltd., Altrincham, UK).

### Results

Table 1 compares the demographic characteristics between controls and MMD patients. The genetic distributions of *MMP-2*, *-3*, and *-9* SNPs are shown in Table 2.

#### Table 3 The genotype frequencies of *MMP* polymorphisms according to age of participants

| Characteristic | Age <18 | Age ≥18 |
|----------------|---------|---------|
| **MMP-2 -1575G > A** | | |
| GG | 88 (86.3) | 122 (86.5) |
| GA | 14 (13.7) | 19 (13.5) |
| AA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Dominant (GG vs. GA + AA) | 1.32 (0.54-3.23) | 0.73 (0.26-2.08) |
| Recesssive (GG + GA vs. AA) | NA | NA |
| **MMP-2 -1306C > T** | | |
| CC | 90 (88.2) | 132 (93.6) |
| CT | 12 (11.8) | 9 (6.4) |
| TT | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Dominant (CC vs. CT + TT) | 0.44 (0.12-1.65) | 1.63 (0.51-5.17) |
| Recessive (CC + CT vs. TT) | NA | NA |
| **MMP-3 -1171 5a/6a** | | |
| 6a6a | 76 (74.5) | 111 (78.7) |
| 6a5a | 24 (23.5) | 27 (19.1) |
| 5a5a | 2 (2.0) | 3 (2.1) |
| Dominant (6a6a vs. 6a5a + 5a5a) | 0.90 (0.42-1.95) | 1.69 (0.82-3.46) |
| Recessive (6a6a + 6a5a vs. 5a5a) | 3.55 (0.56-22.60) | 2.89 (0.56-14.94) |
| **MMP-9 -1562C > T** | | |
| CC | 79 (77.5) | 116 (82.3) |
| CT | 22 (21.6) | 25 (17.7) |
| TT | 1 (1.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Dominant (CC vs. CT + TT) | 0.75 (0.33-1.71) | 1.34 (0.60-3.00) |
| Recessive (CC + CT vs. TT) | 4.24 (0.37-48.86) | NA |
| **MMP-9 Q279R** | | |
| GG | 41 (40.2) | 59 (41.8) |
| GA | 52 (51.0) | 68 (48.2) |
| AA | 9 (8.8) | 14 (9.9) |
| Dominant (GG vs. GA + AA) | 0.63 (0.32-1.24) | 0.62 (0.32-1.18) |
| Recessive (GG + GA vs. AA) | 0.38 (0.08-1.83) | 0.52 (0.14-1.92) |

Adjusted by age and gender. NA; Not applicable.

*a* *P* value obtained by Fisher’s exact test.

*b* False positive discovery rate-adjusted *P* value.
Among these, the dominant type (GG vs. GA + AA) of MMP-9 Q279R (rs17576) was significantly different by \(\chi^2\) test but not by false-positive discovery rate-adjusted \(p\)-value (Table 2). The genetic distributions of MMP-2 -1575 G > A, MMP-2 -1306 C > T, and MMP-3 -1171 5a/6a were not significantly different between control and MMD. Table 3 shows the genotype frequencies of MMP SNPs between the control group and patients with MMD according to age. There was no age-specific differences among the MMP-2 -1575G > A (rs243866), MMP-2 -1306C > T (rs243865), MMP-3 -1171 5a/6a (rs3025058), MMP-9 -1562C > T (rs3918242), or MMP-9 Q279R (rs17576) genotypes (Table 3).

In Table 4, the GA/CC-combined genotype of MMP-2 -1575/-1306 was significantly different in the pediatric group (Table 4). The GC sequence of TIMP-2 -418 (rs8179090) was significantly different from control (Table 5). The dominant (GG vs. GC + CC) genotype of TIMP-2 -418 was more frequent in patients with MMD. In the subgroup analysis shown in Table 6, the GC sequence of TIMP-2 -418 (rs8179090) was significantly different from controls in the adult group. The dominant (GG vs. GC + CC) genotype was more common in adult MMD patients.

Genetic impairment of TIMP-2 and MMP-2 related with MMD vascular repair gene. We found an abnormality in the GA/CC combined genetic sequence in MMP-2 -1575/-1306 and the GC sequence of TIMP-2 -418 (rs8179090), as well as the dominant type (GG vs. GC + CC) in MMD.

### Discussion

In this study, we found that the presence of a G/C heterozygous genotype at position -418 in the TIMP-2 (rs8179090) promoter, MMP-2 -1575GA/-1306CC, and the dominant type (GG vs. GA + AA) of MMP-9 Q279R (rs17576) could be genetic predisposing factors for MMD. By degrading the neurovascular matrix, MMPs promote blood-brain barrier (BBB) damage, edema, and hemorrhage [13,16,17]. Several studies have demonstrated that overexpression of MMP-9 and underexpression of MMP-3, TIMP-1, and TIMP-2 are related to MMD [8,9].

The balance between MMPs and TIMPs is known to be an important factor of BBB maintenance and vascular impairment of TIMP-2 and MMP-2 related with MMD vascular repair gene. We found an abnormality in the GA/CC combined genetic sequence in MMP-2 -1575/-1306 and the GC sequence of TIMP-2 -418 (rs8179090), as well as the dominant type (GG vs. GC + CC) in MMD.

### Table 4 The combined genotype frequencies of MMP polymorphisms according to age of participants

| Characteristic | Age <18 | | | Age ≥18 | | |
|---------------|--------|---|---|--------|---|---|
|               | Control (n = 102) | Moyamoya (n = 56) | AOR (95% CI) | \(p^a\) | \(p^b\) | Control (n = 141) | Moyamoya (n = 51) | AOR (95% CI) | \(p^a\) | \(p^b\) |
| MMP-2 -1575/-1306 | | | | | | | | | | |
| GG/CC | 88 (86.3) | 46 (82.1) | 1.00 (reference) | | | 122 (86.5) | 46 (90.2) | 1.00 (reference) | | |
| GG/CT | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| GG/TT | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| GA/CC | 2 (2.0) | 7 (12.5) | 6.70 (1.34-33.60) | 0.01 | 0.02 | 10 (7.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0.13 (0.01-2.19) | 0.07 | 0.14 |
| GA/CT | 12 (11.8) | 3 (5.4) | 0.48 (0.13-1.78) | 0.39 | 0.39 | 9 (6.4) | 5 (9.8) | 1.47 (0.47-4.63) | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| GA/TT | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| AA/CC | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| AA/CT | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| AA/TT | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| MMP-9 -1562/Q279R | | | | | | | | | | |
| CC/GG | 25 (24.8) | 22 (39.3) | 1.00 (reference) | | | 45 (31.9) | 19 (37.3) | 1.00 (reference) | | |
| CC/GA | 45 (44.1) | 21 (37.5) | 0.53 (0.24-1.16) | 0.11 | 0.28 | 57 (40.4) | 18 (35.3) | 0.72 (0.34-1.55) | 0.40 | 0.62 |
| CC/AA | 9 (8.8) | 2 (3.6) | 0.24 (0.05-1.25) | 0.09 | 0.28 | 14 (9.9) | 3 (5.9) | 0.44 (0.11-1.76) | 0.25 | 0.62 |
| CT/GG | 15 (14.7) | 5 (8.9) | 0.50 (0.15-1.71) | 0.27 | 0.44 | 14 (9.9) | 7 (13.7) | 1.32 (0.45-3.91) | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| CT/GA | 7 (6.9) | 4 (7.1) | 0.59 (0.14-2.43) | 0.46 | 0.46 | 11 (7.8) | 3 (5.9) | 0.66 (0.16-2.66) | 0.56 | 0.62 |
| CT/AA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| TT/GG | 1 (1.0) | 2 (3.6) | 3.73 (0.24-58.01) | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0 (0.0) | 1 (2.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| TT/GA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |
| TT/AA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | NA | NA |

Adjusted by age and gender. NA; Not applicable.

\(^a\)P value obtained by Fisher’s exact test.

\(^b\)False positive discovery rate-adjusted \(p\) value.
angiogenesis [18]. MMP-2 and -9 are able to digest the endothelial basal lamina, which plays a major role in maintaining BBB impermeability by regulating tight junctions leading to the opening of BBB [19]. MMP-2 and MMP-9 released from the vascular endothelium and leukocytes during the inflammatory phase of ischemic stroke use collagen IV and laminin as substrates [20,21]. Serum MMP-9 levels were significantly higher in patients with MMD compared to that in healthy controls [8,9]. It is conceivable that MMP-9 upregulation may contribute, at least in part, to the breakdown of BBB structure, including endothelial basal lamina, and thereby facilitate hemorrhage development [9,22]. Any genetic abnormality or hemodynamic stress raises the possibility of BBB breakdown in patients with predisposing MMP or TIMP gene susceptibility. MMD can develop among MMP or TIMP genetic susceptibility against hemodynamic stress.

Several SNPs in the promoters of MMP genes have been demonstrated to affect the expression levels of corresponding proteins [23-26]. Allelic effects on transcriptional activity have also been demonstrated for MMP-2 C–735 T, MMP-3 –1171 5a/6a, and MMP-13 G–77A SNPs [25,27,28]. MMP-3 can degrade a number of ECM proteins and activate several other MMPs, the 6a allelic variant identified at position –1171 in the MMP-3 promoter exhibits lower promoter and transcriptional activity than the 5a allele [25], and homozygosity of the 6a allele was associated with common carotid geometry and carotid artery atherosclerosis [29,30].

Here, we investigated five SNPs in MMPs and one SNP in TIMP. Previous studies have reported associations between MMD and expression levels of MMPs and TIMPs [8,9]. TIMPs are the most important endogenous inhibitors of MMPs, in particular TIMP-1 and TIMP-2. Therefore, SNPs that lead to structural defects or modify the transcription rate of TIMP-2 could affect BBB breakdown and thereby influence the magnitude and/or incidence of ischemic stroke and intracranial hemorrhage [31]. SNPs can also interfere with the balance of MMPs and TIMP-2 in the absence of acute BBB disruption, thereby influencing the development and severity of atherosclerosis, white matter lesions, and small-vessel disease [31]. While TIMP-2 has already been demonstrated to play a role in MMD, it is important to replicate and support previous studies. Our results corroborate previous FMMD studies by Kang et al [1], but are different from those reported by other groups [14,15]. The discrepancy might be due to different genetic backgrounds among patient populations.

The major strength of this study is that we were able to replicate previous findings by performing a case-control study.

| Characteristic | Control (n = 243) | Moyamoya (n = 107) | AOR (95% CI) | p\(^a\) | p\(^b\) |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| TIMP-2 –418G > C (rs8179090) | | | | | |
| GG | 178 (73.3) | 56 (52.3) | 1.00 (reference) | | |
| GC | 61 (25.1) | 46 (43.0) | 2.33 (1.42-3.80) | <.01 | 0.02 |
| CC | 4 (1.6) | 5 (4.7) | 3.53 (0.89-13.98) | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| Dominant (GG vs. GC + CC) | 2.39 (1.48-3.85) | <.01 | 0.02 |
| Recessive (GG + GC vs. CC) | 2.32 (0.60-8.96) | 0.23 | 0.23 |

HWE P 0.64 0.24

Adjusted by age and gender. NA; Not applicable.

\(^a\)P value obtained by Fisher’s exact test.

\(^b\)False positive discovery rate-adjusted P value.

| Characteristic | Age <18 | | | | Age ≥18 | | |
|---------------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| TIMP2 –418G > C | | | | | | | |
| GG | 66 (64.7) | 29 (51.8) | 1.00 (reference) | | 110 (78.0) | 27 (52.9) | 1.00 (reference) | |
| GC | 31 (30.4) | 23 (41.1) | 1.69 (0.84-3.42) | 0.14 | 0.28 | 31 (22.0) | 23 (45.1) | 2.99 (1.49-5.98) | <.01 | 0.01 |
| CC | 5 (4.9) | 4 (7.1) | 1.91 (0.47-7.75) | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0 | 1 (2.0) | NA | NA |
| Dominant | 1.69 (0.86-3.29) | 0.13 | 0.28 | 3.10 (1.56-6.18) | <.01 | 0.01 |
| Recessive | 1.36 (0.34-5.38) | 0.67 | 0.67 | NA | NA |
study with a relatively large number of MMD patients. Our findings provide additional evidence that the G/C genotype -418 of TIMP-2 is more prevalent in individuals with MMD.

Potential weaknesses of this study are that the sample did not include patients with familial MMD, and family pedigrees were not assessed. Also, as this was an association study with a case-control study design, independent cohort studies are needed to confirm our findings. We did not perform a correlation study with blood MMP and TIMP levels. We selected only a few MMP and TIMP candidate SNPs; therefore, more genetic sequences would lead to cerebral ischemia or cerebral hemorrhage. These tissue remodeling during MMD progression, which could genetic predisposing factors for MMD development. These -1575GA/-1306CC, and the dominant type (GG genotype in the -418 of MMP and TIMP-2 promoter, MPP-2 -1575GA/-1306CC, and the dominant type (GG vs. GA + AA) of MMP-9 Q279R (rs17576) could be genetic predisposing factors for MMD development. These genetic polymorphisms can lead to the breakdown of tissue remodeling during MMD progression, which could lead to cerebral ischemia or cerebral hemorrhage. These results are consistent with previous studies of the genetic dysregulation of vascular repair mechanisms.

Conclusions
Our findings demonstrate that the G/C heterozygous genotype in the TIMP-2-2418G>C (rs8179090) promoter, MPP-2 -1575GA/-1306CC, and the dominant type (GG vs. GA + AA) of MMP-9 Q279R (rs17576) could be genetic predisposing factors for MMD development. These genetic polymorphisms can lead to the breakdown of tissue remodeling during MMD progression, which could lead to cerebral ischemia or cerebral hemorrhage. These results are consistent with previous studies of the genetic dysregulation of vascular repair mechanisms.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Genotyping.
Additional file 2: Table S1. Regression coefficient of statistically significant models.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: YSP, DSK, and NKK. Performed the experiments: YJJ and HSK. Analyzed the data: YSP, YJJ, and NKK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SHO, IBRi, H5A, DSK, and NKK. Wrote the paper: YSP, YJJ, and NKK. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments
This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (2013R1A2A2A01067990) and by the research grant of the Chungbuk National University in 2013.

Author details
1Department of Neurosurgery, Chungbuk National University, College of Medicine, Cheongju-si, South Korea. 2Institute for Clinical Research, CHA University School of Medicine, Seongnam, South Korea. 3Department of Neurology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, Seongnam, South Korea. 4Department of Pediatric Neurosurgery, Severance Hospital, Brain Korea 21 Project for Medical Science, Brain Research Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea.

Received: 6 March 2014 Accepted: 10 September 2014
Published online: 04 October 2014

References
1. Kang HS, Kim SK, Cho BK, Kim YJ, Hwang YS, Wang KC: Single nucleotide polymorphisms of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase genes in familial moyamoya disease. Neurosurgery 2006, 58:1074–1080. discussion 1074-1080.
2. Andreone V, Scala S, Tucci C, Di Napoli D, Linfante I, Tessitore A, Faella A: Single nucleotide polymorphisms of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase genes in familial moyamoya disease. Neurosurgery 2008, 62:E1384. author reply E1384.
3. Yilmaz EY, Pritz MB, Bruno A, Lopez-Yunez A, Biller J: Moyamoya. Indiana University Medical Center experience. Arch Neurol 2001, 58:1274–1278.
4. Ikeka H, Sasaki T, Yoshimoto T, Fukushima M, Arimani T: Mapping of a familial moyamoya disease gene to chromosome 3p23.2. Am J Hum Genet 1999, 64:533–537.
5. Inoue TK, Ikezaki K, Sasaki T, Matsushita T, Fukushima M: Linkage analysis of moyamoya disease on chromosome 6. J Child Neurol 2000, 15:179–182.
6. Sasaoka K, Hirochi Y, Ikeka H, Ikezaki K, Yoshimoto T, Fukushima M, Arimani T: A novel susceptibility locus for moyamoya disease on chromosome 8p23. J Hum Genet 2004, 49:278–281.
7. Yamauchi T, Tada M, Houkin K, Tanaka T, Nakamura Y, Kuroda S, Abe H, Inoue T, Ikezaki K, Matsushita T, Fukushima M: Linkage of familial moyamoya disease (spontaneous occlusion of the circle of Willis) to chromosome 17q25. Stroke 2000, 31:930–933.
8. Kang HS, Kim JH, Park JY, Kim YJ, Kim JE, Wang KC, Cho BK, Kim SK: Plasma matrix metalloproteinases, cytokines and angiogenic factors in moyamoya disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2010, 81:673–678.
9. Fujimura M, Watanabe M, Narisawa A, Shimizu H, Tominaga T: Increased expression of serum Matrix Metalloproteinase-9 in patients with moyamoya disease. Surg Neurol 2009, 72:476–483. discussion 480.
10. Johnson C, Gali ZS: Matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9 differentially regulate smooth muscle cell migration and cell-mediated collagen organization. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004, 24:54–60.
11. Pauzokiak et al. Dardik A: Arterial wall shear stress observations from the bench to the bedside. Vasc Endovascular Surg 2003, 37:47–57.
12. Roder C, Nayak NR, Khan N, Tatagiba M, Inoue I, Krtschek B: Genetics of Moyamoya disease. J Hum Genet 2010, 55:711–716.
13. Asahi M, Wang X, Mori T, Sumi T, Jung JC, Moskowitz MA, Fini ME, Lo EH: Effects of matrix metalloproteinase-9 gene knock-out on the proteolysis of blood-brain barrier and white matter changes after cerebral ischemia. J Neurosci 2001, 21:7724–7732.
14. Li H, Zhang ZS, Liu W, Yang WZ, Dong ZN, Mu MJ, Han C, Yang H, Cao WC, Duan L: Association of a functional polymorphism in the MMP-3 gene with Moyamoya Disease in the Chinese Han population. Cerebrovasc Dis 2010, 30:618–625.
15. Paz MT, Yamamoto T: Single nucleotide polymorphisms of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase genes in familial moyamoya disease. Neurosurgery 2007, 60:E582. author reply E582.
16. Lo EH, Dalkara T, Moskowitz MA: Mechanisms, challenges and opportunities in stroke. Nat Rev Neurosci 2003, 4:399–415.
17. Lee SR, Lo EH: Induction of caspase-mediated cell death by matrix metalloproteinases in cerebral endothelial cells after hypoxia-reoxygenation. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2004, 24:720–727.
18. Lee CZ, Xu B, Hashimoto T, McCulloch CE, Yang GY, Young WL: Doxycycline suppresses cerebral matrix metalloproteinase-9 and angiogenesis induced by focal hyperstimulation of vascular endothelial growth factor in a mouse model. Stroke 2004, 35:1715–1719.
19. Rosenberg GA, Navratil M: Metalloproteinase inhibition blocks edema in intracerebral hemorrhage in the rat. Neurology 1997, 48:921–926.
20. Hamann GF, del Zoppo GJ, von Kummer R: Hemorrhagic transformation of cerebral infarction—possible mechanisms. Thromb Haemost 1999, 82(Suppl 1):92–94.
21. Romanic AM, White RF, Arleth AJ, Ohlstein EH, Barone FC: Matrix metalloproteinase expression increases after cerebral focal ischemia in rats: inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase-9 reduces infarct size. Stroke 1998, 29:1020–1030.
22. Wu G, Shi J, Wang F, Wang L, Feng A, Ren S: Effects of minimally invasive procedures for evacuation of intracerebral hematoma in early stages on MMP-9 and BBB permeability in rabbits. BMC Neuro 2014, 14:25.
23. Price SJ, Greaves DR, Watkins H: Identification of novel, functional genetic variants in the human matrix metalloproteinase-2 gene: role of Sp1 in allele-specific transcriptional regulation. *J Biol Chem* 2001, 276:7549–7558.

24. Ye S: Polymorphism in matrix metalloproteinase gene promoters: implication in regulation of gene expression and susceptibility of various diseases. *Matrix Biol* 2000, 19:623–629.

25. Ye S, Eriksson P, Hamsten A, Kurkinen M, Humphries SE, Henney AM: Progression of coronary atherosclerosis is associated with a common genetic variant of the human stromelysin-1 promoter which results in reduced gene expression. *J Biol Chem* 1996, 271:13055–13060.

26. Zhang B, Ye S, Hermann SM, Eriksson P, de Maat M, Evans A, Arveiler D, Luc G, Cambien F, Hamsten A, Watkins H, Henney AM: Functional polymorphism in the regulatory region of gelatinase B gene in relation to severity of coronary atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 1999, 99:1788–1794.

27. Yoon S, Kuivaniemi H, Gatalica Z, Olson JM, Butticé G, Ye S, Norris BA, Malcom GT, Strong JP, Tromp G: MMP13 promoter polymorphism is associated with atherosclerosis in the abdominal aorta of young black males. *Matrix Biol* 2002, 21:687-698.

28. Yu C, Zhou Y, Mao X, Xiong P, Tan W, Lin D: Functional haplotypes in the promoter of matrix metalloproteinase-2 predict risk of the occurrence and metastasis of esophageal cancer. *Cancer Res* 2004, 64:7622–7628.

29. Rauramaa R, Vaisanen SB, Luong LA, Schmidt-Trucksass A, Penttilä IM, Bouchard C, Toûry J, Humphries SE: Stromelysin-1 and interleukin-6 gene promoter polymorphisms are determinants of asymptomatic carotid artery atherosclerosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2003, 23:2657–2662.

30. Gnasso A, Motti C, Irace C, Carlolo C, Liberatoscioli L, Bernardini S, Massoud R, Martioli PL, Federici G, Cortese C: Genetic variation in human stromelysin gene promoter and common carotid geometry in healthy male subjects. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2000, 20:1600–1605.

31. Reuter B, Bugert P, Strock M, Bukow S, Griebe M, Hennerici MG, Fatar M: TIMP-2 gene polymorphism is associated with intracerebral hemorrhage. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2009, 28:558–563.

Cite this article as: Park et al.: The GC + CC genotype at position -418 in TIMP-2 promoter and the -1575GA/-1306CC genotype in MMP-2 is a genetic predisposing factors for prevalence of moyamoya disease. *BMC Neurology* 2014, 14:180.