Optimization of somatic cell injection in the perspective of nuclear transfer in goldfish
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Abstract

Background: Nuclear transfer has the potential to become one strategy for fish genetic resources management, by allowing fish reconstruction from cryopreserved somatic cells. Survival rates after nuclear transfer are still low however. The part played by unsuitable handling conditions is often questioned, but the different steps in the procedure are difficult to address separately. In this work led on goldfish (Carassius auratus), the step of somatic cells injection was explored. Non-enucleated metaphase II oocytes were used as a template to explore the toxicity of the injection medium, to estimate the best location where the cell should be injected, and to assess the delay necessary between cell injection and oocyte activation.

Results: Trout coelomic fluid was the most suitable medium to maintain freshly spawned oocytes at the metaphase II stage during oocyte manipulation. Oocytes were then injected with several media to test their toxicity on embryo development after fertilization. Trout coelomic fluid was the least toxic medium after injection, and the smallest injected volume (10 pL) allowed the same hatching rates as the non injected controls (84.8% ± 23). In somatic cell transfer experiments using non enucleated metaphase II oocytes as recipient, cell plasma membrane was ruptured within one minute after injection. Cell injection at the top of the animal pole in the oocyte allowed higher development rates than cell injection deeper within the oocyte (respectively 59% and 23% at mid-blastula stage). Embryo development rates were also higher when oocyte activation was delayed for 30 min after cell injection than when activation was induced without delay (respectively 72% and 48% at mid-blastula stage).

Conclusions: The best ability of goldfish oocytes to sustain embryo development was obtained when the carrier medium was trout coelomic fluid, when the cell was injected close to the animal pole, and when oocyte activation was induced 30 min after somatic cell injection. Although the experiments were not designed to produce characterized clones, application of these parameters to somatic cell nuclear transfer experiments in enucleated metaphase II oocytes is expected to improve the quality of the reconstructed embryos.

Background

When somatic cells are cryobanked for preservation of valuable genetic resources, somatic cell nuclear transfer is the only technology which can subsequently be used to sustain fish reconstruction. Somatic cells hold both paternal and maternal genome and their fitness towards cryobanking [1,2] compensates for the inability of oocytes and whole embryo to withstand cryopreservation [3]. Besides, fish ability regarding cross-species nuclear transfer [4] is expected to facilitate reconstruction of rare individuals with eggs from easily farmed species. Nuclear transfer in fish was developed using embryonic cells [4-8] and more differentiated cells including somatic cells [9-12] as nucleus donor. Up to recently however, nuclear transfer in fish was developed only on activated eggs and on eggs which were activated at the onset of nucleus injection [13]. One reason is that for most studied species, egg activation is spontaneously induced either by oocyte dilution in artificial media (cyprinids) or by egg pricking (medaka). In these species as in amphibians, the first mitosis is initiated in the first thirty minutes after fertilization and meiosis resumption. Therefore, nuclear transfer in activated eggs where maturation/mitosis promoting factor (MPF) levels decrease rapidly [14] raises the question of the quality of nuclear reprogramming. It is known in mammals that nuclear transfer outcome is
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improved when the injected nucleus is incubated into the recipient oocyte several hours prior to activation. The extent to which nucleus incubation in oocyte cytoplasm prior to activation is important for the success of nuclear transfer was only recently addressed in zebrafish [15] and such issue deserves special attention in rapidly developing fish species.

Whatever the species considered for nuclear transfer, donor nucleus is introduced into the recipient oocyte either by electrofusion or by intracytoplasmic injection. Electrofusion is widely used in several mammals (bovine [16], pig [17], sheep [18], goat [19]), but intracytoplasmic injection is preferred in some species (horse [20], and mice [21]). In fish, the oocytes are so much bigger than the donor cell that electrofusion was barely attempted [7] and most groups use intracytoplasmic injections [6,8-10,13,15,22-24]. Contrarily to fusion, nuclear transfer by intracytoplasmic injection is the procedure the most different from fertilization, but the conditions the most suitable for the resulting embryo development were little explored in vertebrates. Among important factors, the carrier medium may interfere with the subtle cytoplasmic biochemical equilibrium, and the location at which the nucleus is injected inside the highly polarized oocyte [25] may influence chromatin exposure to the required cytoplasmic factors. One reason for such little information in mammals may lay in the difficulty to get enough oocytes of comparable quality which could be used to test several injection conditions in comparable environment. Besides, the survey of many embryo developments after transplantation requires large and costly facilities. Last, maternal effect via placental exchanges is another difficulty to accurately evaluate the consequence of early treatments on development [26]. Such difficulties are not present when nuclear transfer is performed in fish. In goldfish Carassius auratus, females spawn thousands oocytes at the same time, the quality within spawns is homogeneous and can be assessed easily, and embryos develop in water without maternal exchanges. The issue of the injection procedure is therefore much easier to analyze in this species than in mammals.

The objective of this study was to characterize and control the parameters the most likely to interfere with the success of nuclear transfer in fish. We first investigated the conditions which allowed goldfish oocyte manipulation without activation induction. Specific media formulated for carp, zebrafish and goldfish oocyte handling during androgenesis and short term storage were tested. Second, we explored the donor cell injection procedure in oocytes. The media which can be used for cell injection and the injected volume were tested for their toxicity after oocyte fertilization. Nuclear transfer experiments were led with fin cells to test whether the injection depth and the incubation time before oocyte activation in water could affect embryo development. Last, cells injected as a whole were monitored within the oocyte to assess plasma membrane rupture. In these nuclear transfer experiments, oocytes were not enucleated. Although the development rates of the embryos were used to estimate the suitability of the injection procedure, the experiments were not designed for clone production. This is why no genetic analysis of the produced embryos was undergone, and no clone production was claimed from our results.

**Results**

**Selection of the medium preventing oocyte activation**

When freshly spawned oocytes were incubated in synthetic media, either goldfish Ringer (GFR) or synthetic ovarian fluid (SOF), they underwent a spontaneous cortical reaction which was slightly slower in SOF than in GFR (Figure 1). Both samples were thereafter unsuitable for fertilization (table 1). When soybean trypsin inhibitor (STI) was added to SOF, activation was prevented but eggs underwent a massive aggregation upon fertilization (Figure 2). These clusters induced developmental problems likely because of oxygen deprivation or nitrate poisoning, and only few embryos per batch could hatch normally. Oocyte incubation in GFR with STI yielded a good protection against activation (Figure 1) and more than 60% development at 24 h were achieved (Table 1). All the concentration tested, from 0.1 to 1 mg/mL, helped to prevent oocyte activation although 1 mg/mL STI induced a slight toxicity as shown from the reduced development rates at 24 h stage. Addition of bovine serum albumin (BSA) to STI did not further improve oocyte inactivated state, and some aggregation upon activation also occurred with this medium. Trout coelomic fluid (TCF) was by all mean the best inactivation medium (Figure 1). Subsequent activation during fertilization did not yield any aggregation, and development rates were the highest among all media tested (Table 1). Development rates above 100% at 24 h and at hatching indicated that incubation in TCF sustained oocyte quality even better than when oocytes were kept into their spawning liquid (controls). Incubation in TCF for up to 1 hour yielded the same development quality (not shown).

**Suitability of the injected medium toward embryo development**

We first observed that oocytes which were pricked but in which no medium was injected kept their ability to be fertilized and to sustain embryo development (90% hatching rate, Table 2). Development rates after pricking were not different from those of the spawn quality control (p > 0.05). Plasma membrane rupture and penetration of the micro capillary were therefore not deleterious to the oocytes. When 50 μL phosphate buffered saline (PBS) or culture medium were injected after micro capillary pene-
tration into non activated oocytes, a decrease in development rates after fertilization was observed at all embryonic stages, and hatching rates were low (13-14%, Table 2). TCF was the least toxic medium although hatching rates were reduced (60%) compared to non injected oocytes. Interestingly, addition of antibiotics to TCF had no further effect on embryo viability (57% hatching rate).

Although goldfish coelomic fluid (GCF) injection allowed the same early development rates as did TCF, hatching rates were much reduced in the GCF injected oocytes (13%).

The observed reduction in hatching rates whatever the injected medium led us to suspect that embryo disturbance might be due to the volume added to the oocyte. We therefore tested whether a smaller injected volume of TCF would be less deleterious. For the smallest volume tested (10 pL), the outward liquid displacement inside the tip of the micro capillary corresponded to 4 fold the cell size. Such small volume was still enough to allow cell injection in the later on nuclear transfer experiments. For the largest volume tested (50 pL), the outward liquid displacement inside the tip of the micro capillary was about 0.25 mm. Injection of the smallest volume of TCF improved the embryo development rates after fertilization when compared to development rates of oocytes which received the largest volume (Table 3). Hatching rates of the 10 pL injected oocytes (84.8% ± 23.1) were not significantly different from those of the spawn quality controls.

Importance of the cell injection location during nuclear transfer
When non activated oocytes were injected with TCF only, no parthenogenetic development was observed after activation (n = 40 oocytes from 2 spawns). Eggs underwent cortical reaction and blastodisc formation, but no embryo development was induced. It is only when a somatic cell was injected that some development occurred after oocyte activation. Whatever the injection depth, some developments were observed up to the mid-blastula stage in every spawn, but the shallow injected cells yielded much higher development rates than did the deep injected ones (59% and 23% respectively at the mid-blastula stage, Table 4). Reconstructed embryos from the shallow injected samples were the only ones to develop up to 24 h and hatching. Hatched fries from the shallow samples had however thoroughly altered morphologies and only 4 fries (out of the 40 eggs × 7 spawns) developed normally. Some nuclear transferred embryos had a reduced ability to digest the chorion and had to be mechanically helped for hatching. Examples of fry morphology at hatching are shown Figure 3. Some fries were not different from the fertilized controls; others had a huge cardiac cavity or a bent skeleton. The reason for fry abnormalities and the fry genetic origin were not explored in the present work.

Effect of cell incubation time prior to oocyte activation
After somatic cell injection, oocyte activation was delayed for up to 1 h. When cell incubation prior to oocyte activation was reduced to less than 1 min, development rates of the reconstructed embryos were low (48% at mid-blastula stage, Figure 4), and none of the hatched embryos had a normal morphology. Increasing the cell incubation time from 0 to 30 min improved development rates at all stages (72% at mid-blastula stage). A 60 min incubation time prior to activation did not further improve development rates compared to 30

| Incubation medium (30 min 20°C) | Spawn number | 24 h stage | Hatching |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| SOF                           | 6            | 3.9 ± 3.2 (a) | 0 (a)    |
| GFR                           | 5            | 3.2 ± 3.0 (a) | 0 (a)    |
| + STI 0.1 mg/mL               | 10           | 62.0 ± 15.3 (b) | 26.6 ± 8.4 (b) |
| + STI 0.25 mg/mL              | 6            | 62.3 ± 18.3 (b) | 26.6 ± 7.0 (b) |
| + STI 0.5 mg/mL               | 4            | 44.3 ± 10.0 (b) | 25.7 ± 12.9 (b) |
| + STI 1 mg/mL                 | 4            | 29.2 ± 18.9 (c) | 19.8 ± 12.4 (b) |
| + STI - BSA 0.5%              | 6            | 41.9 ± 28.9 (b, c) | nd       |
| TCF                           | 8            | 104.4 ± 6.1 (d) | 105.8 ± 18.5 (d) |

Developments after fertilization are expressed as a percentage of the control oocytes in the same spawn at the same stage (kept in spawning fluid for 30 min) (mean ± SE); 150 to 200 oocytes were counted in each sample. SOF synthetic ovarian fluid, GFR goldfish ringer, STI soybean trypsin inhibitor in GFR, TCF trout coelomic fluid, nd: not determined (see text). Different letters within rows indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).
min, although the variability of hatching rates was slightly reduced. When development rates at 24 h and at hatching were expressed as a percentage of the corresponding embryo number at mid-blastula, the values were higher for the 30 min embryos (26% ± 14) than they were for the 0 min ones (17% ± 6).

Because fin cell plasma membrane was difficult to tear open, whole cells were injected into the oocytes. Membrane rupture was assessed through the dilution of a cytoplasmic fluorescent dye entrapped into the intact somatic cells. When somatic cell cytoplasm was labeled with calcein acetoxymethylester (Calcein AM), cells appeared as a bright green spot (Figure 5). In the first minute after injection, this bright spot faded into a green diluted signal. Signal dilution was caused by plasma membrane rupture and intracellular calcein release within the oocyte cytoplasm. The time between cell injection and membrane rupture varied between 12 and 45 s, with an average of 25.1 s ± 11.8 (n = 15). When the same batch of labeled cells was aspirated and released into TCF instead of being released into the oocyte, the bright spots stayed intense for several hours (n = 15). This indicated that membrane rupture was caused by cell exposure to oocyte cytoplasm and not by the mechanical challenge due to the aspiration-injection process.

Discussion
Prevention of oocyte activation
In the perspective of nuclear transfer in metaphase II oocytes, incubation conditions must maintain oocyte quality during the whole injection process. Accidental
Table 2: Embryo development after medium injection into non activated oocytes prior to fertilization.

| Injected medium (50 pL) | Spawn number | Mid-blastula | 24 h stage | Hatching |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| Pricked (no injection)  | 4            | 97.1 ± 3.6 (a) | 93.5 ± 4.7 (a) | 89.7 ± 12.2 (a) |
| PBS                     | 3            | 27.4 ± 4.2 (b) | 25.7 ± 9.5 (b) | 14.1 ± 7.7 (b) |
| Culture medium          | 3            | 56.0 ± 21.5 (b) | 42.0 ± 28.0 (b) | 13.0 ± 22.5 (b) |
| SOF                     | 3            | 68.0 ± 18.3 (b) | 52.7 ± 20.2 (b) | 14.3 ± 5.0 (b) |
| TCF                     | 6            | 87.5 ± 5.6 (c) | 76.7 ± 12.8 (b) | 60.4 ± 16.6 (c) |
| GCF                     | 4            | 79.0 ± 15.4 (b, c) | 74.8 ± 14.4 (b) | 13.0 ± 10.4 (b) |
| TCF + antibiotics       | 6            | 80.6 ± 10.5 (c) | 73.0 ± 19.9 (b) | 57.0 ± 23.2 (c) |

Developments after fertilization are expressed as a percentage of the control oocytes (not injected) in the same spawn at the same stage (mean ± SE); 25 to 50 oocytes were used in each treatment for one spawn. PBS phosphate buffer solution, SOF synthetic ovarian fluid, TCF trout coelomic fluid, GCF goldfish coelomic fluid. Different letters within rows indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

Choice of the injection medium
We used the fertilization test to determine which injection medium was the least toxic for the oocyte and the subsequent embryo development. Several media were tested on spawn replicates, and this approach was easier than it would have been in nuclear transfer experiments. We therefore assumed that the medium injected into the oocyte interfered in the fertilized eggs according to the same pattern as it would have done in nuclear transferred zygotes. TCF was the least toxic medium for embryo development after injection into the oocytes. Surprisingly, injection of GCF reduced hatching rates, and we suspect that it is because the quality of the collected GCF was not as good as that of the TCF. Indeed, goldfish spawns contain little GCF unless they are collected at least 5 hours after ovulation [32]. At this time, spawns are already ageing, and it is likely that GSF quality is reduced as a consequence. On the contrary, spawn ageing is much slower in trout [33]. This may explain the best fitness of TCF over GCF in the injection experiments. We do not know why all three synthetic media were toxic for embryo development. We can only suspect that some components such as phosphate and calcium chloride interfered with the oocyte endogenous calcium whose concentrations are so finely regulated during activation [34,35] and mitosis [36].

Table 3: Embryo development in relation to the TCF volume injected into the oocytes prior to fertilization.

| Injected volume | Spawn number | Mid-blastula | 24 h stage | Hatching |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| 50 pL           | 7            | 76.8 ± 12.4 (a) | 67.1 ± 16.6 (a) | 50.4 ± 20.3 (a) |
| 10 pL           | 4            | 90.6 ± 8.0 (b) | 83.2 ± 16.0 (b) | 84.8 ± 23.1 (b) |

Developments after fertilization are expressed as a percentage of the control oocytes in the same spawn at the same stage (mean ± SE); 25 to 28 oocytes were tested in each sample. TCF trout coelomic fluid. Different letters within rows indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).
Plasma membrane rupture after injection

We do not know what made fin cultured cells so difficult to disrupt prior to injection. The excess of plasma membrane allowing cell movement in culture is one reason for plasma membrane plasticity and deformability. To circumvent this problem, [15] used piezo pulses to induce plasma membrane rupture. Our results showed that oocyte cytoplasmic factors had the potential to readily induce membrane rupture after injection, although the involved mechanisms are unclear. In oocyte, the rapid disassembly of sperm nuclear membrane results from the disruption of the lamina scaffold [37,38]. Plasma membrane cytoskeleton may have been sensitive to a similar process within the oocyte.

Non enucleated oocyte used as recipient

In this work, the injection procedure was optimized on non enucleated oocytes, in an attempt to reduce the development rate variability which would have been randomly induced during enucleation. Indeed, blind aspiration of the female pronucleus after activation [9,11,39] induces the loss of cytoplasmic factors, and oocyte irradiation [5,40] alters oocyte proteins and maternal mRNAs. Recently, [15] used laser irradiation, a promising method

---

Table 4: Development of reconstructed embryo in relation to the cell injection location.

| Cell location | Embryo development (%) | Hatching stage | Normal morphology at hatching |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
|               | Mid-blastula 24 h      |                |                             |
| Shallow       | Mean ± SE, n = 7 spawns| 59.3 ± 5.9 (a) 50 - 70 | 16.5 ± 8.6 (a) 5 - 30 | 14.5 ± 7.0 (a) 7 - 23 | 2.0 ± 2.1 (a) 0 - 5.0 |
| Deep          | Mean ± SE, n = 7 spawns| 22.9 ± 4.7 (b) 15 - 30 | 0.0 ± 0 (b) - | 0.0 ± 0 (b) - | 0.0 ± 0 (b) - |

Developments after oocyte activation are expressed as a percentage of the spawn quality control in the same spawn at the same stage (mean ± SE, n = 7 spawns); 40 oocytes were tested in each nuclear transferred sample. Injected oocytes were incubated for 30 min prior to activation.

---

Figure 3 Morphology of reconstructed fries at hatching

A: Control fries after fertilization. B, C: reconstructed fries with normal morphology; D, E: reconstructed fries with a large cardiac cavity; F, G: reconstructed fries with skeleton curvature.
which had never been used before in fish, but whose effects on oocyte quality are unknown. Since our experiments were designed to explore the injection procedure, we did not undergo genetic analysis of the produced embryos. This is why we do not claim for any clone production. Still, in nuclear transfer experiments led in loach and goldfish on non enucleated oocytes, 60-70% of the reconstructed embryos were diploid [5,40]. Lower rates of 10-30% spontaneous enucleation were still observed in medaka [41]. Embryos were shown to be from donor nucleus origin although the mechanisms responsible for spontaneous maternal genome erasure are still to be investigated. Therefore, although the suitability of the injection conditions defined in this study must be tested on complete nuclear transfer experiments which would include enucleation, we are confident that they can also help to improve the nuclear transfer experiments led on non enucleated oocytes.

**Location of cell injection and cell incubation time before oocyte activation**

Our results demonstrated that when the cell was injected too deep into the oocyte, the developments rates were low and the embryos died after the mid-blastula stage whereas injections higher toward the animal pole induced better development rates. We believe that in the case of deep injection, the nucleus was in an unsuitable environment. Indeed, during oogenesis, a polarity is established which determines the animal and vegetal pole, and maternal factors are unevenly distributed along this axis (reviewed by [42]). Our results suggest that it was essential for the donor chromatin to be exposed to specific animal pole factors. This hypothesis is reinforced by our observation that when the cell was injected at the right location but that the oocyte was activated without delay, the embryos also showed a reduced ability to develop beyond the mid-blastula stage. In this case, the chromatin was likely exposed to the appropriate ooplasmic reprogramming factors, but exposure time was too short to sustain reprogramming. This reprogramming hypothesis needs to be further investigated using even longer exposure time prior to activation, although in this case, the control of oocyte ageing will become a critical issue.

**Conclusions**

The present work demonstrated that manipulation of metaphase II oocytes was possible in goldfish using trout coelomic fluid as an inactivating media. The toxicity of the medium injected into the oocyte proved to be a critical factor for oocyte ability to sustain development, and
development rates were altered when large volumes were injected. The injection procedure through the micropyle allowed the nucleus to be positioned close to the animal pole, and we showed that deeper location was unsuitable for embryo development. The results were obtained on model systems including fertilized eggs and non-enucleated oocytes. Several important steps of the procedure for somatic cell nuclear transfer were standardized in these conditions, and their application to clone production is expected to improve the development rates of the reconstructed embryos.

Methods

Gamete collection
Goldfish were from the U3E strain. Two years old fish raised in outdoor ponds were transferred into 0.3 m³ tanks and reared several weeks in recycled water at 14°C under spring photoperiod. Fish were fed with carp pellets at 1% body weight. Three days before gamete collection, fish were transferred into 20°C water. Gamete release was stimulated by one injection of 0.5 mL/kg Ovaprim® (synthetic salmonid GnRH with dopaminergic inhibitor, Syndel LTD, Canada) and gametes were collected 16 hours after injection. Spawns with homogeneous eggs displaying a rapid and neat blastodisc formation upon activation in water were kept for experiments. Fish handling and sampling was carried out in strict accordance with the welfare guiding principles of the French regulation on laboratory animals, under the supervision of staff possessing the highest agreement level (level 1 DSV).

Embryo development and calculation of development rate
Spawn quality control was made by fertilizing 200 eggs with 10 μL sperm pool from 2 to 3 males in 10 mL tap water formerly aerated to remove HClO₄. In all experiments, embryos obtained after fertilization and after nuclear transfer were incubated in tap water at 20°C. Development rate was recorded at 5 h (mid-blastula stage), 24 h (6-9 somites), and at hatching.

In a sample series of 33 spawns (150-200 eggs per spawn), rates for spawn quality controls (percentage of live embryo number to the total initial egg number) were 100% at 5 h, 88% ± 10 (min 62% - max 98%) at 24 h, and 81.2% ± 11.7 (min 50% - max 95%) at hatching. Because of this variability, development percentages after egg treatments were always expressed as a percentage of the corresponding spawn quality control.

Selection of the medium enabling the prevention of oocyte activation
TCF was collected on spawns from freshly ovulated rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) reared at the INRA Peima experimental farm. After sieving from the oocytes, TCF was centrifuged 30 min at 3600 g at 4°C and stored at -20°C before use. Soybean Trypsin Inhibitor (STI, Type II-S) solution with 0.1 mg/mL to 1 mg/mL STI was prepared in goldfish ringer (GFR: NaCl 125 mM, CaCl₂ 2H₂O 2.4 mM, KCl 2.4 mM, MgSO₄ 7H₂O 0.3 mM, MgCl₂ 6 H₂O 0.9 mM, D glucose 6 mM, Hepes 4 mM, pH 7.3, 256 mOsm/kg). BSA (fraction V) solution at 5 mg/mL final concentration was prepared with STI 0.1 mg/mL in GFR. Synthetic ovarian fluid (SOF) was prepared according to [43]. For each spawn, fractions of 150-200 oocytes were incubated in 2 mL of the tested medium at 20°C for 30 min in plastic dish.

Prevention of oocyte activation in the tested medium meant that no cortical reaction was induced. Efficiency of the incubation medium was then deduced from the maintenance of oocyte ability to be fertilized. After incubation, the medium was removed by aspiration and oocytes were fertilized in tap water. The fertilization rate of the treated oocytes was recorded after 24 h development at 20°C. This stage cumulated most of the early developmental defects.

Selection of the carrier medium
Toxicity of the medium injected into the oocyte with the donor cell during nuclear transfer was estimated in fertilization experiments. Oocytes were treated as in the nuclear transfer procedure, except that no donor cell was injected with the medium and that the treated oocytes were fertilized afterwards. Injected medium toxicity was deduced from the reduced ability of fertilized embryos to develop after medium injection into the oocytes. Twenty-five to 50 oocytes were treated in each test, and the experiment was repeated on 3 to 6 different spawns. The media tested were cell culture medium (L-15 with Heps 25 mM, NaHCO₃ 5 mM, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 2.5 μg/mL, and 5% fetal calf serum), phosphate buffer saline (PBS, Sigma France), SOF, goldfish coelomic fluid (GCF prepared as TCF), TCF, and TCF with 1 U/mL penicillin and 1 μg/mL streptomycin. All media contained 10% (v) phenol red 5 mg/mL (0.5 mg/mL final) to control that some medium is present in the oocytes after injection. The injected volume was about 50 μL. In control experiments, oocytes were punctured but no medium was injected.

Donor cell and nuclear transfer
Donor epithelial cells [44] were obtained from caudal fin explant culture [2] and used after cell cryopreservation [1]. After thawing, cells were washed with cell culture medium with antibiotics (2.5 μg/mL amphotericin B, 50 μg/mL gentamicin) and stored on ice for up to 2 hours. Nuclear transfer was performed at 20°C using a Cell Tram Vario injector (Eppendorf, France) connected to a micromanipulator (Transferman NK2, Eppendorf, France) under a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZX 12). Recipient oocytes at the metaphase II stage were layered in a drop
Mechanical forces (several aspiration and expulsion not possible with fin cultured cells in our conditions. Recording of membrane rupture upon injection for 4 to 6 different spawns.

The incubation time before oocytes were activated after nuclear transfer was tested. Oocytes were treated as in the nuclear transfer procedure. After injection, oocytes were immediately activated, or incubated in TCF at 20°C for embryo development. Control oocytes maintained in TCF but not injected were fertilized and assessed for development control.

Optimization of the injection procedure
Two depths at which donor cell was injected were tested. Oocytes were treated as in the nuclear transfer procedure. In one case, the opening of the microcapillary containing donor cell was pushed up to center of the oocyte and the donor cell was injected. In the second case, the opening of the microcapillary was positioned inside the oocyte close to the plasma membrane by a slight outward movement after capillary penetration into the oocyte, and the donor cell was injected. Forty oocytes were treated in each case, and the experiment was repeated on 7 different spawns.

The incubation time before oocytes were activated after nuclear transfer was tested. Oocytes were treated as in the nuclear transfer procedure. After injection, oocytes were immediately activated, or incubated in TCF at 20°C for 30 and 60 min before activation. Forty oocytes were treated in each case, and the experiment was repeated on 4 to 6 different spawns.

Recording of membrane rupture upon injection
Membrane rupture of donor cells prior to injection was not possible with fin cultured cells in our conditions. Mechanical forces (several aspiration and expulsion through the microcapillary) and osmotic shocks (up to 0 mOsm/Kg) were tested, but unsuccessfully. No other treatments such as nitrogen cavitation or mild digestion by trypsin, lysolecithin, or triton improved membrane rupture protocol either. Therefore, the whole cell was injected into the oocyte. The behavior of the cell once injected in the oocyte was assessed in two nuclear transfer experiments. Prior to transfer, donor cells were labeled in Calcein AM 2 μM (Molecular Probes) in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Labeled cell were then transferred in TCF on the nuclear transfer stage and transferred into the oocytes as described above. The delay between cell injection and membrane rupture was assessed under fluorescence with the stereomicroscope used for nuclear transfer.

Statistics
Results are expressed as the mean percentages ± SE. Statistical significance of differences between development percentages was determined by the distribution-free U test of Mann-Whitney using STATISTICA® software (StatSoft®).
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