DNA LOOP DOMAIN REARRANGEMENTS IN BLAST TRANSFORMED HUMAN LYMPHOCYTES AND LYMPHOID LEUKAEMIC JURKAT T CELLS
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Chromatin loops are important elements of both chromatin higher-order structure and transcription regulation system. Our previous works showed that several features of the loop domain organization could be investigated by single cell gel electrophoresis (the comet assay) using the kinetic approach. In this study we applied this technique to study DNA loop domain organization in lymphoid cells: human lymphocytes, lymphoblasts cultivated during 24 h and 44 h, and T cells of Jurkat cell line. Two features of the loop domain organization were found to depend on the cell functional state. First, DNA fraction in the loops of large sizes (more than ~200 kb) was essentially increased in proliferating (de-differentiated) cells in comparison with terminally differentiated lymphocytes. Second, the linear density of the loops not larger than ~200 kb was decreased in transcriptionally active cells and was increased upon their inactivation.
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redistribution was observed in human lymphocytes after their activation (blast transformation) with interleukin 2 [22].

Here we investigate this issue in more details in order to compare the loop domain organization in normal lymphocytes, lymphoblasts at different times after activation with interleukin 2, and also malignant lymphoid T cells of the Jurkat cell line. Our results show that the cell functional state and the loop domain organization are closely interrelated and that there are clear signatures, which discriminate terminally differentiated and proliferating cells as well as cells with different levels of transcriptional activity.

Materials and Methods

Cell isolation and cultivation. Human lymphocytes were isolated from peripheral blood of healthy donors by separation in a density gradient Histopaque 1077 (Sigma, USA) as described previously [19, 20]. Cells were washed in 0.15 M NaCl twice and used to prepare samples for the comet assay (see below).

To prepare transformed lymphocytes (lymphoblasts) they were washed twice in Hank’s balanced salt solution and then cultivated at 37°C in RPMI 1640 medium, supplemented by antibiotics and 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, USA), in presence of recombinant interleukin 2 (1000 units/ml, Biotechnology, Russia). Two kinds of lymphoblasts were used: cultivated for 24 and 44 h. After the cultivation cells were precipitated by centrifugation, washed in Hank’s salt solution and used for sample preparation (see below). Aliquots of the cell suspensions were used for a flow cytometry cell cycle analysis.

Jurkat cells were cultivated in RPMI 1640 medium which contained 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. Before sample preparation cells were put in the same medium without serum, incubated for 24 h, and then washed in Hank’s balanced salt solution. To estimate cell viability a propidium iodide staining was used: equal volumes of the cell suspension and 1 mg/ml propidium iodide were mixed and staining was used: equal volumes of the cell suspension and 1 mg/ml propidium iodide were mixed and stained with 1.3 µg/ml of DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, Sigma, USA) and analyzed with a fluorescent microscope connected with a camera Canon EOS 1000 D. 100 to 200 randomly chosen nucleoids were examined on each slide using CometScore (TriTec, USA). Two parameters were determined: the relative amount of DNA in the tails and the tail length. The first was defined as the ratio of the tail fluorescence intensity to the total intensity of the comet, the second — as the distance from the center of mass of the comet head to the distal end of the tail. The tail length was multiplied by two and divided by 0.34 nm to estimate the contour length of the longest loops in the tail in base pairs.

Kinetic plots (the relative amount of DNA in the tail versus electrophoresis time t) were analyzed as before (see [20-22] for details): the plots were fitted with the equation \( f = A_1 f_1 + A_2 f_2 \), where \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are the maximum amplitudes of two components (\( A_i \) may be equal to zero). The functions \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are described by a standard equation of monomolecular kinetics

\[
f_1 = 1 - \exp(-k_1 t),
\]

where \( k_1 \) is the rate constant, and the sigmoidal Boltzmann equation

\[
f_2 = \frac{1}{[1 + \exp(k_2(t_0 - t))]},
\]

where \( k_2 \) is the rate constant, \( t_0 \) is the transition half-time.

The correlation plots of the amount \( f \) versus the contour length \( L_m \) of the largest loops in the tail (estimated from the tail length) were analyzed as described [22]. Briefly, \( f \) as a function of \( L_m \) is:

\[
f \propto \int_0^{L_m} LP_L \, dL,
\]

where \( L \) is the loop contour length, \( P_L \) is the fraction (the probability) of loops of the size \( L \). Taking into account that \( P_L \) is an exponential function of \( L \), Eq. 3 can be rewritten as [22].
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\[ f = f_m [1 - (1 + \gamma L_m) \exp(-\gamma L_m)], \]  
(4)

where \( f_m \) is the maximum DNA amount in the tails (the saturation level \( A_{1+}A_2 \) after a long-time electrophoresis), \( \gamma \) is the loop density (the number of loops per total number of kilobase pairs). It should be mentioned that the loop density is related to the loops not larger than \( \sim 200 \) kb that can be resolved in our experiments. Eq. 4 was used to fit the correlation plots and to estimate the \( \gamma \) values.

**Results and Discussion**

The nucleoids for the comet assay were prepared starting from cells of four types. Human lymphocytes represent terminally differentiated cells. De-differentiated lymphoblasts were obtained through lymphocyte activation by interleukin 2, which is known to induce proliferation of lymphocytes [23-26]. The lymphoblasts were cultivated for 24 or 44 h before the preparation of nucleoids. A flow cytometry cell cycle analysis had shown that a vast majority (~90%) of the 24-h culture was represented by cells at \( G_0/G_1 \) phases, while the 44-h culture contained ~60% of \( G_2/M \) cells (the fractions of \( S \) and \( G_0/G_1 \) cells were about 20% each). Finally, the Jurkat cell line, originated from leukemic T cells [27], was used as an example of malignant de-differentiated lymphoid cells.

Galleries of typical comets observed after electrophoresis are shown in Fig. 1. There was no difference in the comet appearance between the cell types investigated. The differences were related to the kinetic behavior of two parameters: the relative amount of DNA in the tails and the tail length, which represent the contour length of the largest loops in the tail. The correlation between the two parameters helps to estimate the size distribution of the loops [20, 22]. The kinetic plots of the average relative amounts of DNA in the tails for the nucleoids derived from the four cell types are presented in Fig. 2, the kinetic parameters are listed in Table. The correlations between the DNA amounts and the lengths of the large loops, which were assumed to fit an exponential distribution of the loop sizes, are shown in Fig. 3.

As it was observed in our previous works [20, 22], the kinetic plots of the average relative amounts of DNA in the tails have a two-step shape for lymphocytes and 24-h lymphoblasts (Fig. 2, \( A \),...
We have argued earlier that the first rapid step may be attributed to DNA on the nucleoid surface and the delayed second step represents the exit of inner supercoiled loops [20, 21]. Two differences between the plots were discussed earlier [22]. First, the ratio between the steps changes upon lymphocyte activation: while the total maximum amount of DNA in the tails (and, respectively, the amount of DNA, which do not migrate even after long electrophoresis) remains the same, the amplitudes of the first and second steps becomes, respectively, approximately two-times higher and two-times lower for 24-h lymphoblasts in comparison with lymphocytes. The second difference is related to the rate of migration, which is obviously lower for 24-h lymphoblasts (Fig. 2, A, B, Table 1). We could conclude that activation of transcription, which necessarily occurs when de-differentiation begins and lymphocytes enter the $G_1$ phase, is accompanied by a reduction of DNA fraction in the inner loops, which are able to

\[ \text{Fig. 2. The average relative amount } f \text{ of DNA in the comet tails as functions of electrophoresis time for nucleoids obtained from lymphocytes (A), 24-h lymphoblasts (B), 44-h lymphoblasts (C), and Jurkat cells (D). Here and in Fig. 3 below, each point is an average for 5 to 10 independent experiments.} \]

| Kinetic parameters of DNA exit from nucleoids derived from different cell types |
| Cell type | $k_1^{-1}$, min | $A_1$ | $A_2$ | $t_0$, min | $k_2^{-1}$, min |
|-----------|-----------------|------|------|------------|----------------|
| Lymphocytes | 7.8 ± 2.9 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 42 ± 1 | 4.6 ± 0.8 |
| Lymphoblasts 24 h | 12.5 ± 3.9 | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 63 ± 5 | 7.7 ± 1.2 |
| Lymphoblasts 44 h | 12.5 ± 1.5 | 0.15 ± 0.01 | 0 | – | – |
| Jurkat | 9.0 ± 0.8 | 0.13 ± 0.01 | 0 | – | – |
migrate. Simultaneously, an essential decrease in the linear loop density is observed in 24-h lymphoblasts in comparison with lymphocytes (Fig. 3, A, B).

When lymphoblasts were cultivated for 44 h, more than half of them entered the G2 phase (see above). The transcription level in the cells preparing for mitosis is thought to decrease. The kinetic plot in Fig. 2, C shows that the second delayed step disappeared completely for 44-h lymphoblasts (see also Table). Apparently, general transcription decay is accompanied by an increase in the size of the inner loops so that they cannot migrate. Accordingly, the loops which exit at the first steps become larger too: the size of the largest loops in the tails after long-time electrophoresis remains about the same as in 24-h lymphoblasts and lymphocytes (Fig. 3, C). At the same time, an increase in the loop density (almost back to the value obtained for inactive lymphocytes) was observed for 44-h lymphoblasts (Fig. 3, C). Thus, so far, an increase in the density of the loops not larger than ~200 kb seems to be a signature of a decrease in the transcription activity while an increase in the activity is associated with the opposite effect.

All the observations presented above are related to normal – differentiated or artificially de-differentiated with interleukin 2 – lymphocytes. The Jurkat cells, which are also lymphoid (and morphologically similar to lymphoblasts), are malignant actively proliferating cells [27]. Are changes in the loop organization (if any) the same upon malignant transformation?

For nucleoids obtained from the Jurkat cells, same as for 44-h lymphoblasts, the DNA exit occurred in the one-step mode (Fig. 2, D; Table). The size of the longest loops was also about the same after long-time electrophoresis (Fig. 3, D). In addition, the loop density appeared to be rather high (Fig. 3, D) for these cells incubated in starvation conditions, which are supposed to keep the cells in a relatively inactive state.

In conclusion, our results obtained for different lymphoid cells show a close relationship between the loop domain organization and cell functional
state. Two features were found to depend on cell activity. First, proliferating (de-differentiated) cells (lymphoblasts and malignant Jurkat cells), in comparison with terminally differentiated lymphocytes, are characterized by an essential increase of DNA fraction in the loops of large sizes (more than ~200 kb). This effect is manifested in a decrease of DNA fraction that can migrate in the comet assay. The second effect is related to the linear density of the loops not larger than ~200 kb: the density appears to decrease upon transcription activation and, respectively, to increase upon inactivation. Our results support also our suggestion to use a relatively simple technique, the comet assay, to detect re-organizations of the loop domains upon cell functional transitions.
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