Safety of Intraovarian Injection of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells in a Premature Ovarian Insufficiency Mouse Model
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Abstract
Primary ovarian insufficiency (POI), a condition in which there is a loss of ovarian function before the age of 40 years, leads to amenorrhea and infertility. In our previously published studies, we demonstrated recovery of POI, correction of serum sex hormone levels, increase in the granulosa cell population, and restoration of fertility in a chemotherapy-induced POI mouse model after intraovarian transplantation of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hBM-MSCs). While hBM-MSC may be a promising cell source for treatment of POI, there are few reports on the safety of stem cell-based therapy for POI. For future clinical applications, the safety of allogenic hBM-MSCs for the treatment of POI through intraovarian engraftment needs to be addressed and verified in appropriate preclinical models. In this study, we induced POI in C57/BL6 mice using chemotherapy, then treated the mice with hBM-MSCs (500,000 cells/ovary) by intraovarian injection. After hBM-MSC treatment, we analyzed the migration of engrafted cells by genomic DNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using a human-specific ALU repeat and by whole-body sectioning on a cryo-imaging system. We examined the possibility of transfer of human DNA from the hBM-MSCs to the resulting offspring, and compared the growth rate of offspring to that of normal mice and hBM-MSC-treated mice. We found that engrafted hBM-MSCs were detected only in mouse ovaries and did not migrate into any other major organs including the heart, lungs, and liver. Further, we found that no human DNA was transferred into the fetus. Interestingly, the engrafted cells gradually decreased in number and had mostly disappeared after 4 weeks. Our study demonstrates that intraovarian transplantation of hBM-MSCs could be a safe stem cell-based therapy to restore fertility in POI patients.
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Introduction
Primary ovarian insufficiency (POI), also known as premature ovarian failure, is defined as an early loss of ovarian function before the age of 40 years, which usually manifests as amenorrhea and infertility1-3. The annual incidence of POI has been steadily increasing4,5. Approximately 70% of POI cases are idiopathic, and while evaluation is warranted to identify the underlying etiology6, in many cases it is not clear. Iatrogenic POI secondary to chemotherapy is frequently reported and its incidence has been increasing, accounting for approximately half of the new cases of POI in women (20% to 80%) of reproductive age7,8. Chemotherapy inhibits the growth of tumor cells; however, it also causes apoptosis of granulosa cells, follicular atresia, and decreased ovarian function, which leads to the clinical manifestations of POI9-11.
As there are no treatments that restore ovarian function in women with POI, the only option to achieve pregnancy is egg donation from a healthy donor. Recently, researchers have evaluated cell-based therapy using mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) as a treatment option for POI-associated infertility [10,12–14]. A recent study reported that MSCs repair injured cells via paracrine activity or direct cell-to-cell interaction [15]. In a previous study, we reported that transplantation of MSCs in a chemotherapy-induced POI mouse model corrected serum hormonal levels, restored the granulosa cell population, and stimulated ovarian follicle and angiogenesis, leading to a higher successful pregnancy rate compared to untreated POI mice [16–18].

Though the therapeutic potential of MSCs in various disease conditions is well documented, safety concerns in using allogenic MSC as a biological drug remain. Several recent studies have raised concerns about potential side effects of exogenous stem cells after transplantation, such as tumor formation and neoplastic transformation [19,20]. Although MSCs have proven to be a safer source of stem cells compared to other types of pluripotent stem cells [21,22], they carry an inherent risk of tumor-like mass formation [23,24].

To realize the translational potential and clinical application of MSCs in the treatment of POI-associated infertility, we evaluated the safety and the potential risk of allogenic MSC treatment in a well-established preclinical mouse model of chemotherapy-induced POI [25,26]. These mice show a reduced number of ovarian follicles and abnormal serum hormones level after 7 days of treatment with cyclophosphamide and busulphan. After transplantation of human bone marrow MSCs (hBM-MSCs) by direct intraovarian injection, as established in our previous study [17], we traced the migration of engrafted cells and examined the possibility of transfer of human DNA from the hBM-MSCs to offspring.

Materials and Methods

hBM-MSC Cell Culture

hBM-MSCs were purchased from Roosterbio (Frederick, MD, USA); these cells were isolated from the bone marrow of a 29-year-old female and 26-year-old female. hBM-MSCs were cultured in the recommended cell culture medium, RoosterNourish-MSC-XF (Roosterbio, Frederick, MD, USA). At approximately 80% confluence, the cells were trypsinized using CTS TrypLE select enzyme (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) and serially expanded for two additional passages. At the end of the culture expansion, hBM-MSCs were cultured and centrifuged at 200 × g for 8 min. For intraovarian injection, 5 × 10^5 hBM-MSCs were resuspended with 10 μl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).

Fluorescence Labeling and Microscopy

For hBM-MSC fluorescence labeling, hBM-MSCs were incubated in the culture medium containing Molday ION Rhodamine B (MIRB) at a final concentration of 30 μg/ml of medium. After 20 h of incubation, medium containing MIRB was replaced with fresh culture medium after washing with PBS. Fluorescence was observed using an EVOS FL fluorescence microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

POI Mouse Model

The experimental animal protocol in this study was approved by the University of Illinois at Chicago Animal Care Committee, and all animal experiments were performed in accordance with the ethical policy and guidelines of University of Illinois at Chicago for laboratory animals. We used the chemotherapy-induced POI animal model and hBM-MSC intraovarian injection protocol as established and described in our previous study [16,17]. Briefly, female C57BL6 mice were treated with busulphan (30 mg/kg) and cyclophosphamide (120 mg/kg) by intraperitoneal injection. The control group was treated with PBS. After 7 days of chemotherapy, hBM-MSCs were transplanted into the ovary by intraovarian injection, as follows. All mice were treated preoperatively with one dose of buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg) and anesthetized using 1% to 4% isoflurane inhalation. A small incision on the skin was made to access the ovary via the caudal abdominal cavity, and the uterine horns were traced to identify the ovary. Then, 5 × 10^5 hBM-MSCs resuspended in 10 μl PBS were injected into each ovary. For the control and untreated POI groups, 10 μl PBS was injected into each ovary. Seven days after hBM-MSC treatment, two female mice were housed with one C57BL6 male mouse for breeding. Pregnancy rate per group was calculated as “number of pregnant mouse/number of all mouse in group.” After delivery, the postnatal pup body weight was measured at day 0, day 5, and day 10.

Estrous Cycle Monitoring

Estrous cycles were monitored daily for 14 days after initiation of chemotherapy to verify chemotherapy-induced POI. Daily vaginal swab samples were performed using clean and sterile cotton swabs and smeared onto a clean glass slide for staining and evaluation of estrous cycle stage. Each slide was stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution for 1 min following a published protocol [27]. The estrous cycle stage was examined by bright field microscopy based on the presence or absence of nucleated epithelial cells and leukocytes [27,28].

Histology

Ovarian tissue was collected 2 weeks after hBM-MSC transplantation for histological analysis. Ovaries were fixed immediately with 10% neutral buffered formalin, then processed for paraffin embedding, sectioning, and staining for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) in the UIC Research Histology and Tissue Imaging Core. CD31 (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA, 77699 S, 1:200), estrogen receptor (ERz) (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, 5-14501,
Mice were treated with cryo-imaging was performed on a CryoViz Instrument (BioInvision, Cleveland, OH, USA). Mice were treated with MIRB-labeled hBM-MSCs by intraovarian injection. Mice were euthanized, embedded in cryo-imaging embedding compound (BioInvision), and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. The frozen blocks were sent to the BioInvision Cryo-imaging lab (Mayfield Village, OH, USA) for imaging and analysis using their CryoViz Instrument.

**Statistical Analysis**

mRNA and protein levels of the examined markers were treated as continuous variables and expressed as means ± standard deviations. Analysis of variance and Bonferroni’s multiple-comparisons post hoc testing were used to compare the groups. The significance level was set at 5% (P < 0.05). The SPSS statistical program (version 22) was used to analyze the data.

**Results**

Intraovarian Engraftment of hBM-MSCs Restores Fertility in a POI Mouse Model

We induced POI in mice by injecting chemotherapeutic agents (120 mg/kg of cyclophosphamide and 30 mg/kg of busulfan) via intraperitoneal injection. Estrus cycle stage was analyzed to confirm the POI induction. Through bright field microscopy of vaginal smear sample, each estrus cycle was identified (Fig. 1A). Proestrus (Fig. 1A upper-left) was presented by nucleated epithelial cells (red arrow) without leukocyte. Estrus (Fig. 1A upper-right) was identified by cornified epithelial cells (white arrow) without leukocyte. Metestrus (lower-left) showed both cornified epithelial cells

| Table 1. Primer Sequences for Polymerase Chain Reaction. |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Gene | Sequence (5’-3’) |
|------|-----------------|
| Mouse GAPDH F | CACATTGGGGGTAGGACAC |
| Mouse GAPDH R | AACCTTGGCATTGGAAGG |
| Mouse FSHR F | GTGATTCAACGGAGACCCAG |
| Mouse FSHR R | TCTAAGCCATGGTTGGACAG |
| Mouse CYP19a1 F | TTTCGCTGGAGAGACGAGT |
| Mouse CYP19a1 R | AGGATTGTCGTTGGACCTC |
| Mouse ERα F | AATTCTGACATCCGACGCC |
| Mouse ERα R | GTTCCTCAACATTTCCTCCT |
| Mouse Ki67 F | CTGCCTGGAGAAGAGAATC |
| Mouse Ki67 R | AGCTCCACCTTCCTGGTTTG |
| Mouse TGFβ F | ATACGTCAACATTCGGGAAGCAT |
| Mouse TGFβ R | AATAGTGTGATACGGCTCCCA |
| Mouse Bcl2 F | GTGGTGAGAGAACCTTCCA |
| Mouse Bcl2 R | GTTCCCAAAGGATGCCCATC |
| Mouse VEGFA F | GCATCCCATGATCAGTTC |
| Mouse VEGFA R | GCATTACAATCTGTTGCT |
| Mouse SMA F | GGTCTGGGCTCCTGTAAGG |
| Mouse SMA R | CTGGCTGCTGGTTCCCATC |
| Human ALU F | GGTGAAACCCCGTCTCATT |
| Human ALU R | GGTTCAGCGGACTCTCCTG |

To detect MIRB-labeled hBM-MSCs in mice, whole-animal cryo-imaging was performed on a CryoViz Instrument (BioInvision, Cleveland, OH, USA). Mice were treated with...
Figure 1. Generation of the chemotherapy-induced POI mouse model and reversal of POI characteristics after intraovarian injection of hBM-MSC. (A) Representative image of the mouse vaginal swab sampling in each estrous cycle (scale bar size: 100 μm). (B) Average cycle length.
ovaries of POI mice and restored in the hBM-MSC–
expression was also decreased (0.50 +
0.09-fold) compared to control mice. Transforming growth
factor β (TGFβ) gene expression, which is promoting
preimplantation and angiogenesis, also restored by MSC
transplantation (1.63 ± 0.10-fold). The anti-apoptosis
marker BCL2 gene expression, which was decreased in POI
(0.44 ± 0.05-fold), also increased in MSC-treated mouse
(2.02 ± 0.17-fold). Both angiogenesis markers vascular
endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) and α smooth
muscle actin were significantly decreased in the POI
model, and only VEGFA was significantly restored in the
MSC-treated mouse (0.52 ± 0.16-fold). Taken together,
these results confirmed the phenotypic characteristics of
POI mice, including estrous cycle arrest, abnormal ovarian
morphology and vascularization, and low expression of
marker genes in both the ovary and endometrium, and
verified that hBM-MSC transplantation was able to reverse
these POI-associated changes.

Human Stem Cell Distribution (Detected by PCR) After
Intravariian Injection

To detect engrafted human cells in the mouse body after
hBM-MSC injection, we used human-specific ALU-base
quantification, as reported in a previously published paper.
We injected PBS (0 cells), 300,000 hBM-MSCs, or
500,000 hBM-MSCs into each mouse ovary. Approximately
5 min after injection, each ovary injected with a
different number of hBM-MSCs was collected for genomic
DNA isolation. Genomic DNA from the ovary was ana-
lyzed by real-time PCR using the human ALU sequence
primer. We generated the following equation to calculate
the number of human cells in mouse tissue based on the CT
value from the PCR analysis (Fig. 2A).

We verified our equation by calculating the CT value of
genomic DNA PCR using independent samples; the equation
demonstrated 95% to 114% accuracy in quantifying human
cells in mouse tissue (Fig. 2B).

To analyze the human cells remaining in our POI mouse
model after hBM-MSC transplantation, we collected ovary,
uterus, spleen, liver, heart, and lung tissue at 14 and 28
days after transplantation (Fig. 2C, Supplemental Figure 2).
On day 14 after transplantation, human cells were detected only in the
ovary (approximately 170,000 ± 96,000 cells). Other tissues
such as uterus, spleen, liver, heart, and lung did not contain any
human cells. By day 28, only one mouse was found to contain
human cells in the ovary (236,000 ± 3,000 cells); the remaining
mice did not contain any human cells in any tissues tested.
We repeated the analysis with the hBM-MSCs isolated from

Figure 1. (Continued). length of control and POI mice (n = 6/group). (C) Histological analysis of mouse ovary from a normal mouse (control), POI mouse (POI), and hBM-MSC-treated POI mouse (MSC). H&E staining images for general morphology and folliculogenesis (upper), immunohistochemistry assay using CD31 for angiogenesis (middle), TUNEL assay for ovarian apoptosis (lower) (scale bar size: 300 μm). (D-E) Quantification of CD31 expression (D) and TUNEL positivity (E) in the ovary. (F-G) Comparison of ovarian tissue RNA expression between control, POI, and MSC mice. Relative gene expression level of the FSHR gene (F) and Cyp19 gene (G). (H) Morphology
and marker protein expression in mouse endometrium (scale bar size: 300 μm) (*p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001).
ERα: estrogen receptor α; hBM-MSC: human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell; H&E: hematoxylin and eosin; POI: primary ovarian insufficiency; PR: progesterone receptor.
another donor (Supplemental Figures 2, 3) and found similar results by PCR-based human cell detection. Our calculation of the persistence of engrafted hBM-MSCs in the ovary is shown in Fig. 2D. These results suggest that after intraovarian injection, engrafted hBM-MSCs do not migrate into other tissues, with more than 50% of the engrafted hBM-MSCs disappearing within 2 weeks after transplantation and most of the engrafted hBM-MSCs vanishing within 4 weeks after transplantation.

**Human Stem Cell Distribution (by Ex Vivo Imaging) After Intraovarian Injection**

To complement our PCR-based analysis and visualize engrafted cell distribution after injection, we next traced the distribution of injected hBM-MSCs through immunofluorescence imaging. We labeled hBM-MSCs with red fluorescing MIRB. Using fluorescence microscopy, we confirmed that more than 95% of cells were successfully labeled with MIRB (Fig. 3A). MIRB-labeled hBM-MSCs were injected into the ovaries of two POI mice. One mouse was euthanized 24 h after injection, and the other mouse was euthanized on day 21 (14 days after pregnancy). Both mice were euthanized using CO₂ and freeze immediately in embedding media for ex vivo imaging. By 24 h after transplantation, most of the engrafted hBM-MSCs were located in the mouse ovary. Similar to our PCR-based analysis, at 21 days after transplantation, hBM-MSCs were present in the ovary, with no evidence of migration of labeled cells into other tissues or the embryo. These analyses demonstrated that engrafted hBM-MSCs by intraovarian injection remain in the target organ and do not migrate into other tissues.

**Figure 2.** Polymerase chain reaction--based hBM-MSC detection in mouse tissue using ALU primers. (A) Correlation between CT value and the number of injected hBM-MSCs. (B) Calculation of the cell number quantification formula. (C) Quantification of the number of hBM-MSCs in various mouse tissues. (D) Persistency of injected hBM-MSCs in mouse ovary tissue. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, N/D: not detected). hBM-MSC: human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell.

Based on our PCR and imaging data, engrafted hBM-MSCs were not present in the POI mice beyond 28 days. To analyze...
the effect of hBM-MSC transplantation on pregnancy, we performed a breeding experiment. One male mouse was housed with two female mice for 10 days. After the first litter delivery, mice were allowed to recover for 2 weeks, then the next round of breeding was resumed (Fig. 4A). We analyzed the pregnancy rate (number of pregnant mice/all mice) in each round of breeding and compared the groups (Fig. 4B). In the first breeding round (7 to 42 days after hBM-MSC transplantation), the normal mouse group (control) showed an 83.3% ± 15.2% pregnancy rate. The POI mouse group (POI) showed a significantly decreased pregnancy rate (16.7% ± 15.2%). The hBM-MSC-treated POI mouse group (MSC) demonstrated a recovery in the pregnancy rate (58.3% ±
26.5%), which was not significantly different compared to the control group. In the second round of breeding (43 to 77 days after hBM-MSC transplantation), the POI group again showed a lower pregnancy rate (16.7% ± 15.2%) compared to controls, and the pregnancy rate was recovered in the MSC group (58.3% ± 26.5%).

Interestingly, hBM-MSC transplantation did not restore the pregnancy rate in a third round of breeding (78 to 112 days after hBM-MSC transplantation). The pregnancy rate of the MSC group in the third breeding round was 16.7% ± 15.2%, significantly lower than that of the control group (66.7% ± 24.2%). In a fourth breeding round (113 to 147 days after hBM-MSC transplantation), the control group mice showed a 75.0% ± 20.5% of pregnancy rate; the rate was significantly lower in the POI group (16.7% ± 15.2%) and MSC group (25.0% ± 20.5%).

We also analyzed the average number of pups per mouse in each litter between the groups (Fig. 4C). In first litter, there were 3.67 ± 2.67 pups per mouse in the control group, 0.33 ± 0.78 pups per mouse in the POI group, and 5.50 ± 3.39 pups per mouse in the MSC group. The second litter showed a similar trend, with an average of 5.08 ± 3.28 pups in the control group, a decreased number of pups in the POI group (0.92 ± 2.15), and a restored number of pups in the MSC group (5.67 ± 3.08). Consistent with the pregnancy rate trends, the average number of pups in the third and fourth litters was not restored in the MSC group to the numbers seen in the control group. The average number of pups in the third litter was 0.83 ± 1.33 and 1.33 ± 2.07 in the fourth litter in the MSC group. These results suggest that the effect of hBM-MSCs on the restoration of fertility diminishes after 78 days of cell transplantation.

**Effect of hBM-MSC Engraftment on Offspring**

Though we confirmed that engrafted hBM-MSCs do not stay in the host body beyond 4 weeks and no cells were detected in the embryo in our fluorescence imaging analysis, we evaluated the potential short-term effect of hBM-MSCs on offspring. We analyzed the presence of human DNA in mouse embryos and postnatal growth rate of pups from control mice and hBM-MSC-treated POI mice, which had been treated with hBM-MSCs from two different donors. We collected mouse embryos (7 to 10 days) and isolated genomic DNA for human-specific ALU PCR analysis (Fig. 5A–C). We did not detect any human DNA in the embryos from mice treated with hBM-MSCs from either donor.

The morphology and growth rate of pups was followed out to 10 days postnatal (Fig. 5D–F). No morphologic differences were seen in the pups from the control group and the hBM-MSC-treated group. All pups were visibly active in response and movement at postnatal day 0. At postnatal day 5, we observed hair growth in pups, and around day 10, all the pups were completely covered with black fur and were actively moving. The average body weight of control group
pups and the hBM-MSC-treated group pups, respectively, was 1.45 ± 0.08 and 1.46 ± 0.10 g at day 0, 3.09 ± 0.20 g and 3.37 ± 0.17 g at day 5, and 5.46 ± 0.44 and 5.48 ± 0.32 g at day 10, without any significant difference between the groups, signifying a normal growth rate. Taken together, intraovarian injection of hBM-MSCs did not result in human DNA integration into the offspring genome and had no effect on offspring postnatal growth.

Figure 5. Effect of hBM-MSC intraovarian injection on offspring. (A–C) Detection of human cells in the embryo by PCR-based analysis using ALU primers. (D–E) Morphology of pups from a control mouse (D) and hBM-MSC-injected POI mouse (E) up to postnatal day 10. (F) Average body weight of mouse pups (scale bar size: 10 mm).

hBM-MSC: human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell; POI: primary ovarian insufficiency.
Discussion

In this study, we provide preclinical evidence of the safety of intraovarian injection of hBM-MSCs in a mouse model of POI, using a well-established ALU PCR-based method for human cell detection\textsuperscript{29}. We also found that the engrafted hBM-MSCs did not migrate to any other major organs and almost disappeared from the host body by 4 weeks after transplantation. In addition, we found that the therapeutic effect of hBM-MSC transplantation on measures of fertility also diminished after 78 days of cell transplantation. Finally, we confirmed that transplanted hBM-MSCs do not affect the offspring postnatal growth rate.

The ultimate goal of our study is developing treatment using hBM-MSCs for restoring fertility in human patients with POI who are typically immune competent. Before applying our findings to human patients, the therapeutic effect and persistency of hBM-MSCs should be confirmed in an animal model of POI mice instead of immune-compromised mice. Many studies used immune-compromised mice to enhance viability of engrafted cells in animal model. However, if we use immune-compromised POI mice model, it is hard to extrapolate the persistency of hBM-MSC transplantation in an actual immune-competent patient. To establish more realistic data for persistency of hBM-MSC, we used immune-competent POI mice model instead of immune-compromised model. Numerous reports suggested that human MSCs lack tissue surface antigens (major histocompatibility complex [MHC] I and MHC II)\textsuperscript{30} as well as secrete immune-suppressive cytokines in their adjacent microenvironment such as interleukin 10 (IL-10) and TGF\textbeta1 and as such elicit no or minimal host immune rejection\textsuperscript{15,31}.

Recent studies have suggested that MSCs may be a promising cell source for cell-based therapy. MSCs are easy to extract from various tissues\textsuperscript{31}, and many previous studies have revealed that MSCs repair tissue via replacing injured cells by differentiation\textsuperscript{12–35}. In addition, MSCs can stimulate tissue regeneration by promoting angiogenesis and cell viability via paracrine activity through cytokines and extracellular vesicles\textsuperscript{15,36,37}. Various studies suggested interesting interaction between the paracrine effect of MSCs and the cell surrounding environment. In the published study, it was reported that the secreted factors highly depend on the external environment and the status of MSCs\textsuperscript{38,39}. Other studies also suggested different therapeutic mechanisms of MSC treatment through inflammatory regulation. Several studies revealed that some pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha can induce MSC mitochondria transfer to rescue injured cells in various cell types\textsuperscript{40–42}. According to the published studies, it appears that a pro-inflammatory environment can enhance MSC mitochondria transfer to T cells to educate immune cells and this interaction eventually leads to restored inflammation and tissue damage\textsuperscript{43}. In this connection, MSC paracrine function and mitochondrial transfer capacity are interactive and linked together to promote the tissue regeneration.

For the therapeutic effect of MSCs on our POI model, our previous studies reported that intraovarian injection of MSCs restores fertility in a POI mouse model\textsuperscript{16,17}. Together, these published studies support allogeneic MSCs as a promising cell source to treat infertility in POI.

Recent studies suggest that MSCs are an ideal therapeutic cell source due to their dual tissue repair and immunosuppressive properties\textsuperscript{30,31}. In these studies, MSCs were shown to regulate immune cells by secreting various cytokines such as IL10, TGF\textbeta, and VEGF\textsuperscript{30}. Despite these advantages, there are still several concerns about the use of allogeneic stem cells for transplantation, such as the risk of tumor formation. In this and our previous study, we analyzed the morphology of hBM-MSC-engrafted ovaries in multiple animals. H&E staining of the mouse ovary showed a normal appearance. Use of either an antibody for human cell-specific markers or fluorescence labeling of the injected cells has been well documented in the literature for tracking cells after injection\textsuperscript{17,44–46}. We found engrafted hBM-MSCs only in the injected tissue (ovary), with no migration of hBM-MSCs after 28 days. Moreover, the number of hBM-MSCs found in the ovary also decreased after transplantation, and more than 95% of engrafted cells had disappeared after 28 days of transplantation. Our findings are consistent with those of previous studies, which reported that mesenchymal stem cells do not produce teratoma or germ line-transmitting chimeras because they are not pluripotent stem cells\textsuperscript{47,48}. In addition, several papers reported that mesenchymal stem cells could inhibit tumor progression\textsuperscript{49,50}. These previous studies and our result suggest that hBM-MSCs are safe for stem cells, which are not forming tumor after transplantation.

Genomic integration is another major concern after allogeneic stem cell transplantation, especially when used as an infertility treatment. To address this safety issue, we analyzed human-specific sequences in various mouse tissues such as ovary, uterus, spleen, liver, heart, and lung, after hBM-MSC injection. Many studies suggest that PCR using ALU-specific primers can detect human genomic DNA in a small animal model with high sensitivity\textsuperscript{29,51–54}. Our ALU PCR analysis suggested that no human genes were incorporated into the host or embryos after hBM-MSC injection. Recent studies have reported that MSCs restore ovarian granulosa cells by secreting exosomes\textsuperscript{55–57}. Our previous study revealed that the effect of MSCs in the POI ovary involves a paracrine mechanism\textsuperscript{18}. Based on our recent data and previously published studies, we conclude that engrafted hBM-MSCs in the POI ovary does not differentiate to replace ovarian cells, but instead restores ovarian granulosa cells by secreting paracrine stimulatory factors.

This study provides additional preclinical evidence that intraovarian injection of hBM-MSCs may be a promising and safe therapy for restoring ovarian function and fertility in POI patients. Today, the only option for POI patients to have a baby is through egg donation; however, this is not a solution for patients who want their own biological child.
The body of evidence continues to grow regarding the safety and efficacy of allogeneic MSCs, and clinical trials are now needed to test this approach as a new option to restore ovarian function in POI patients.

**Conclusion**

In our previous studies, we reported that intraovarian injection of hBM-MSCs restores fertility in a POI mouse model. However, the safety and effect of the transplanted cells on the recipient and offspring needed to be explored. In this study, we reported that the injected hBM-MSCs stay in the ovary and do not migrate to other tissues. The number of injected hBM-MSCs decreased by more than 50% within 2 weeks and disappeared entirely in most animals within 4 weeks after injection. In addition, while hBM-MSC treatment restored fertility, the effect diminished by 78 days following cell transplantation. Moreover, we revealed a lack of genetic integration from the injected cells in the offspring of treated mice, and that hBM-MSC treatment did not affect the postnatal growth of the offspring. Taken together, this study provides further evidence that intraovarian injection of hBM-MSCs may be a safe therapy for restoring fertility in POI, and clinical trials are needed to translate this option to treat female infertility in POI patients.
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