Understanding the implications of the data from recent high-energy-density Kelvin-Helmholtz shear layer experiments
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Abstract. The first successful high energy density Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) shear layer experiments (O.A. Hurricane, et al., Phys. Plasmas, 16, 056305, 2009; E.C. Harding, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 103, 045005, 2009) demonstrated the ability to design and field a target that produces an array of large diagnosable KH vortices in a controlled fashion. Data from these experiments vividly showed the complete evolution of large distinct eddies, from formation to apparent turbulent break-up. Unexpectedly, low-density bubbles/cavities comparable to the vortex size ($\sim 300 - 400 \ \mu m$) appeared to grow up in the free-stream flow above the unstable material interface. In this paper, the basic principles of the experiment will be discussed, the data reviewed, and the progress on understanding the origin of the above bubble structures through theory and simulation will be reported on. (IFSA 1.10.096)

In May 2009, our team fielded the first successful high-energy-density-physics (HEDP) Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) experiments [1, 2] on the Omega laser at the University of Rochester. These experiments proved out the unique conceptual design [3] that relied upon shock driven baroclinic vorticity production and also showed that vivid high quality data (see Fig. 1) could be obtained on KH in a HEDP environment.

The basic configuration consists of a stack of opaque high density plastic and low density foam all of which is contained in a shock tube of rectangular cross-section, made from Be so as to be able to radiograph through it with x-rays of a few keV energy (see Fig. 2) – details of the target design can be found in [1]. Laser energy (4 kJ in a 1 ns pulse for this case) is delivered to an 820 $\mu m$ diameter spot on an ablator covering the low density foam part of the target (on the left of Fig. 2). In this way, a strong shock is launched into the low density foam such that the pressure gradient at the leading edge of the shock would essentially be at right angles to the density gradient at the interface of the two dissimilar materials thus maximizing $\nabla P \times \nabla \rho$. The interface between the two materials is perturbed by a sinusoidal contour with amplitude ($a = 30 \ \mu m$) and wavelength ($\lambda = 400 \ \mu m$) chosen such that a number of large vortices would develop nonlinear structure in the expected field of view during the experiment. By in large, the data from our May 2008 experiments were consistent with expectations based upon two-dimensional (2D) simulation using the CALE [4] code.
From left to right, radiographic data from Omega shots 51097, 51086, and 51090 are shown. These three images show the time development of the KH instability at 25 ns, 45 ns, and 75 ns respectively. In the left frame, the vorticity producing shock wave is visible in the low density (100 mg/cc) carbon foam. Wave crest begin to develop immediately after passage of the shock wave and grow into full blown vortices (middle frame). At late time (right frame), the spiral arms of the vortices appear to begin to diffuse away presumably the result of turbulence onset.

The images shown in Fig. 1 are simply converted into datum of vortex height versus time \[t\] that can be compared with simulation and theory. In Fig. 3 an updated comparison of the vortex height data is shown against a revised simulation result and theory. The data shown in Fig. 3 are identical to those shown in Ref. [1, 2], but the simulation result shown here supercedes that presented previously. Here the simulation used to produce the data shown in Fig. 3 has been corrected to include the actual as-shot Be shock tube thickness of 500 \(\mu m\) rather than the 200 \(\mu m\) thickness used for the simulations shown in [1, 2] and a more accurate method of determining the vortex height from the simulation has also been used.
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**Figure 1.** From left to right, radiographic data from Omega shots 51097, 51086, and 51090 are shown. These three images show the time development of the KH instability at 25 ns, 45 ns, and 75 ns respectively. In the left frame, the vorticity producing shock wave is visible in the low density (100 mg/cc) carbon foam. Wave crest begin to develop immediately after passage of the shock wave and grow into full blown vortices (middle frame). At late time (right frame), the spiral arms of the vortices appear to begin to diffuse away presumably the result of turbulence onset.

The vortex model theory shown in Fig. 3 comes from using the expression for the fluid circulation, \(\Gamma\), derived in Ref. [3] (with values \(P = 1.62\) Mbar, \(\rho_H = 1.43\) g/cc, \(\rho_L = 0.1\) g/cc, ...
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**Figure 2.** Left: A simulated radiograph result of a simulation of the target performance is shown at \(t = 80\) ns. The materials that compose the target are annotated in the image. The field-of-view (FOV) accessible in the actual experiment is shown as the dashed red circle. Right: an annotated picture of the actual target. Note that the Be tube sides are 200 \(\mu m\) thick, while the top and bottom sections of the Be tube are 500 \(\mu m\) thick.

The vortex model theory shown in Fig. 3 comes from using the expression for the fluid circulation, \(\Gamma\), derived in Ref. [3] (with values \(P = 1.62\) Mbar, \(\rho_H = 1.43\) g/cc, \(\rho_L = 0.1\) g/cc,
and \( \gamma = 5/3 \) in combination with the differential equations for the flow field

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\Gamma}{4\lambda \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi y}{\lambda}\right) + \sinh^2 \left(\frac{2\pi y}{\lambda}\right)} \sinh \left(\frac{2\pi y}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{\Gamma}{4\lambda \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi y}{\lambda}\right) + \sinh^2 \left(\frac{2\pi y}{\lambda}\right)} \cos \left(\frac{2\pi x}{\lambda}\right) \]

where these differential equations imply vortex growth up to a saturation of the vortex amplitude to a value of \( y_{max} = \cosh^{-1}(3)\lambda/2\pi \approx 0.281\lambda \) [3] the full vortex height then being \( h_{max} = 2y_{max} \). Since Eqs. (1) trace out the trajectory that a massless particle would follow starting from some initial point \((x_0, y_0)\) at \( t = 0 \), the full vortex height as plotted in Fig. 3 is then twice the value of the envelope of solutions to Eqs. (1) using the \((x, y)\) locations that trace out the initial interface (see Fig. 4). An attempt to include the added complication of flow in the direction of vortex growth, due to the effect the transmitted shock, is shown in Fig. 3 as the stretched vortex model and is arrived at by adding a constant \( y \)-velocity of 2 \( \mu \)m/ns (from simulation) to the vortex model solution.

At late-time, the simulation and the stretched vortex model (which uses simulation derived values) both over predict the data. The simulation does exhibit the same change in growth rate at around \( t = 38 \) ns that the data shows. Inspection of the simulation at \( t = 38 \) ns indicates that this is the time at which a shock traveling in the \(-\hat{y}\) direction, that was reflected from the top of the Be shock tube, impacts the chain of vortices slightly compressing them.

The late-time over-prediction of the simulation is likely explained by the fact that the simulation is 2D, while the target itself is 3D. That is in 2D, the simulation the post-shock expansion of the shock-tube would under-estimate the real decay in the post-shock flow that results from the shock-tube expanding in 3D. Circumstantial evidence that supports this 3D shock-tube expansion hypothesis, is that the earlier 2D simulation [1] that uses a thinner Be shock-tube wall thickness than the simulation presented here is closer to the data at late time. An actually 3D simulation would be necessary to fully prove this hypothesis and some action in that direction is underway.

**Figure 3.** Vortex height versus time is shown for the experiment (red squares), the simulation (blue diamonds), and theory (purple asterisks and green triangles). The data and simulation both show a period of post-shock amplitude compression between 10 - 15 ns and subsequent amplitude growth. A distinct change in growth-rate is seen around 38 ns.

**Figure 4.** A family of solutions to the vortex model is shown and the envelope of these solutions is given by the dashed curve.
Bubbles located around the crests of the largest vortices at late-time (e.g. see the largest vortex of the rightmost frame of Fig. 1) in the data were unexpected. Several speculations about the origins of these bubble were put forth in the initial reports on these experiments [1, 2]. In spite of a strong superficial resemblance, further investigation of the trans-sonic shocklet [5] in the context of the present experiment now seems an unlikely explanation as the free-stream flow in the vicinity of the large vortices at late time is too low according simulations. Attempts to create a transonic effect in the simulations by assuming an ideal-gas equation of state and varying $\gamma$ from $\gamma = 1.001$ to $\gamma = 6.0$ were also unsuccessful.

Cavitation-like behavior as an explanation for the observed bubbles is presently being investigated through the use of simulations that allow for multi-phase equations of state for the carbon foam used in the experiments. A more mundane effect that is related to the cavitation that occurs when an object penetrates from one fluid across and interface to another fluid [6] is presently the leading explanation for the bubbles observed in the radiographs.

That is the simulation indicates that ablator plasma may be entrained into the free-stream flow, due to the impulsive way in which this experiment is driven, and that the ablative material may be located in a bubble-like structure around the location of the largest vortex at 75 ns into the experiment. Moreover, as false-color simulated radiographs clearly show, the bubble of ablative material would have about 1/2 to 1/4 the optical depth of the surrounding post-shock carbon foam material. The morphology of the simulated bubble of ablative material is not, however, a perfect match to the observation but it is the closest simulated feature seen so far.

While some features seem in our HEDP KH experiment are yet to be fully explained, we have demonstrated to viability of the target design concept and demonstrated that high quality data on a KH unstable shear layer can be obtained. Simulation and theory are in fair agreement with the data obtained from this experiment with regard to the overall height of the vortex layer, especially considering the fact that the simulation uses a simple laser source and lacks the 3D expansion of the shock tube.

In late 2009, we plan of fielding a follow-up set of HEDP KH experiments on the Omega laser that will examine the density scaling of the instability growth and simultaneously test the effect of the density on the development of the low density bubbles that appeared in the free-stream of the initial trials of this platform.

Further extensions of this platform to study multi-mode KH under HEPD conditions is easily accomplished by judicious choice of initial interface perturbation. Future experiments on NIF could create sustained steady flows with higher Reynolds numbers and use larger targets (for better diagnostics) both of which would enhance the usefulness of this platform.
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