Revealing the short-range structure of the mirror nuclei $^3$H and $^3$He

When protons and neutrons (nucleons) are bound into atomic nuclei, they are close enough to feel significant attraction, or repulsion, from the strong, short-distance part of the nucleon–nucleon interaction. These strong interactions lead to hard collisions between nucleons, generating pairs of highly energetic nucleons referred to as short-range correlations (SRCs). SRCs are an important but relatively poorly understood part of nuclear structure, and mapping out the strength and the isospin structure (neutron–proton (np) versus proton–proton (pp) pairs) of these virtual excitations is thus critical input for modelling a range of nuclear, particle and astrophysics measurements. Two-nucleon knockout or ‘triple coincidence’ reactions have been used to measure the relative contribution of np-SRCs and pp-SRCs by knocking out a proton from the SRC and detecting its partner nucleon (proton or neutron). These measurements have shown that SRCs are almost exclusively np pairs, but they had limited statistics and required large model-dependent final-state interaction corrections. Here we report on measurements using inclusive scattering from the mirror nuclei hydrogen-3 and helium-3 to extract the np/pp ratio of SRCs in systems with a mass number of three. We obtain a measure of the np/pp SRC ratio that is an order of magnitude more precise than previous experiments, and find a marked deviation from the near-total np dominance observed in heavy nuclei. This result implies an unexpected structure in the high-momentum wavefunction for hydrogen-3 and helium-3. Understanding these results will improve our understanding of the short-range part of the nucleon–nucleon interaction.
correlations (2N-SRCs)—which embody the universal two-body interaction at short distances and have a common structure in all nuclei. SRCs are challenging to isolate in conventional low-energy measurements, but can be clearly identified in inclusive electron-scattering experiments for carefully chosen kinematics. Elastic electron–proton (ep) scattering from a stationary nucleon corresponds to \( x = Q^2 / (2Mv^2) = 1 \), where \( Q^2 \) is the four-momentum transfer squared, \( v \) is the energy transfer and \( M \) is the mass of the proton. Scattering at fixed \( Q^2 \) but larger energy transfer (\( x < 1 \)) corresponds to inelastic scattering, where the proton is excited or broken apart. Scattering at lower energy transfer (\( x > 1 \)) is kinematically forbidden for a stationary proton, but larger \( x \) values are accessible as the initial nucleon momentum increases, providing a way to isolate scattering from moving nucleons and thus study high-momentum nucleons in SRCs.

Inclusive A(e, e’) measurements at SLAC\(^{26}\) and Jefferson Lab (JLab)\(^{21,22}\) compared electron scattering from heavy nuclei to the deuteron for \( x > 1.4 \) at \( Q^2 > 1.4 \) GeV\(^2\), isolating scattering from nucleons above the Fermi momentum. They found identical cross-sections up to a normalization factor, yielding a plateau in the A/hydrogen-2 (\(^3\)H) ratio for \( x > 1.4 \), confirming the picture that high-momentum nucleons are generated within SRCs and exhibit identical two-body behaviour in all nuclei. Using this technique, experiments have mapped out the contribution of SRCs for a range of light and heavy nuclei\(^{19–21}\).

As inclusive A(e, e’) scattering sums over proton and neutron knockout, it does not usually provide information on the isospin structure (neutron–proton (np), proton–proton (pp) or neutron–neutron (nn)) of these SRCs. The isospin structure has been studied using A(e, ppn) triple-coincidence measurements in which scattering from a high-momentum proton is detected along with a spectator nucleon, \( N_\text{s} \) (either proton or neutron), from the SRC pair with a momentum nearly equal but opposite to the initial proton. By detecting both np and pp final states, these measurements extract the ratio of np-SRCs to pp-SRCs and find that np-SRCs dominate\(^8\) whereas pp-SRCs have an almost negligible contribution, as seen in Fig. 1. It is noted that the observed np-to-pp ratio for SRCs depends on the range of nucleon momenta probed. This allows for measurements of the momentum dependence of the ratio\(^2\), but also means that direct comparisons of these ratios have to account for the momentum acceptance of each experiment. Although these measurements provide unique sensitivity to the isospin structure, they have limited precision, typically 30–50%, and require large final-state interaction (FSI) corrections. Charge-exchange FSIs, where an outgoing neutron re-scatters from one of the remaining protons in the nucleus, can produce a high-momentum proton in the final state that came from an initial-state neutron (or vice versa). As there are far more np-SRCs than pp-SRCs, even a small fraction of np pairs misidentified as pp pairs will significantly modify the observed ratio\(^3\).

Modern calculations\(^24\) suggest that this nearly doubles the number of np-SRCs detected in the final state\(^6\), whereas earlier analyses estimated a much smaller (about 15%) enhancement\(^6\). Because of this, we exclude the data of ref. \(^6\) in further discussion. Combining the remaining measurements in Fig. 1, we find that the average pp-SRCs is only (2.9 ± 0.5)% that of np-SRCs. This implies that the high-momentum tails of the nucleon momentum distribution is almost exclusively generated by np-SRCs and thus have nearly identical proton and neutron contributions, even for the most neutron-rich nuclei.

This observed np dominance was shown to be a consequence of the short-distance tensor attraction\(^{19–22}\), which yields a significant enhancement of high-momentum isospin-0 np pairs. The isospin structure of 2N-SRCs determines the relative proton and neutron contributions at large momentum, impacting scattering measurements (including neutrino oscillation measurements), nuclear collisions and subthreshold particle production, making a clear understanding of the underlying physics critical in interpreting a range of key measurements\(^{3,18,29}\).

In addition, the observation of an unexpected correlation between the nuclear quark distribution functions\(^{20,21}\) and SRCs\(^{19}\) in light nuclei suggested the possibility that they are driven by the same underlying physics. If so, the isospin structure of SRCs could translate into a quark-flavour dependence in the nuclei. Although this possibility has been examined in comparisons of the European Muon Collaboration (EMC) effect and SRC measurements\(^{12,21,31}\), existing data are unable to determine whether such a flavour dependence exists.

Another possibility for studying the isospin structure of SRCs was demonstrated recently when an inclusive measurement\(^8\) observed np-SRC enhancement by comparing the isospin-distinct nuclei \(^40\)Ca and \(^40\)Ca. The measurement confirmed np dominance, but extracted only a 68% (95%) confidence-level upper limit on the pp/pp ratio of 3.2% (11.7%). We report here the results of a significantly more precise extraction of the isospin structure of SRCs in the \( A = 3 \) system, making use of the inclusive scattering from the mirror nuclei hydrogen-3 (\(^3\)H) and helium-3 (\(^3\)He). This avoids the large corrections associated with FSIs of the detected nucleons in two-nucleon knockout measurements, does not require a correction for the difference in mass between the two nuclei, and provides a marked increase in sensitivity compared with the measurements on calcium or previous two-nucleon knockout data.

Data for experiment E12-11-112 were taken in Hall A at JLab in 2018, covering the quasielastic scattering at \( x > 1 \). Electrons were detected using two high-resolution spectrometers, described in detail in ref. \(^{25}\), each consisting of three focusing quadrupoles and one 45° dipole with a solid angle of about 5 milli-radian. The primary data were taken in the second run period (autumn 2018) with a 4.332-GeV beam energy and the left high-resolution spectrometer at 17°. This corresponds to \( Q^2 \approx 1.4 \) GeV\(^2\) in the SRC plateau region, which has been demonstrated to be sufficient to isolate scattering from 2N-SRCs at large \( x \) (refs. \(^{3,10,12,29}\)). We also include data from experiment E12-14-011, taken during the spring 2018 run period\(^7\) at 20.88° scattering angle, corresponding to \( Q^2 = 1.9 \) GeV\(^2\) in the SRC plateau region. A target system was developed for these experiments; details of the target system, including the first high-luminosity tritium target to be used in an electron-scattering measurement in the past 30 years, are presented in Methods.

The electron trigger required signals from two scintillator planes and the carbon-dioxide-gas Cherenkov chamber. Electron tracks were identified using the Cherenkov and two layers of lead–glass calorimeters, and reconstructed using two vertical drift chambers; optics matrices\(^{26}\) were used to determine the angle, momentum and position along the target for the scattered electrons. Acceptance cuts on...
the reconstructed scattering angle (±30 mrad in-plane and ±60 mrad out-of-plane), momentum (less than 4% from the central momentum) and target position (central 16 cm of the target). The final cut suppresses endcap contributions and the residual contamination was subtracted using measurements on an empty cell, as illustrated in Extended Data Fig. 1. The spectrometer acceptance was checked against Monte Carlo simulations and found to be essentially identical for all targets, so the cross-section ratio is extracted from the yield ratio after after we apply a correction for the slight difference in the acceptance and radiative corrections. Additional details on the analysis and uncertainties is provided in Methods.

Meson-exchange currents and isobar contributions are expected to be negligible2/18 for large energy transfers (ν > 0.5 GeV), Q^2 > 1 GeV^2 and x > 1. To isolate SRCs, we take data with x ≥ 1.4 and Q^2 > 1.4 GeV^2, which yields ν > 0.4 GeV with an average value of 0.6 GeV. FSI effects at these kinematics are expected to be negligible2/28 except between the two nucleons in the SRC, and these are assumed cancel in the target ratios23. At x > 1, the minimum initial momentum of the struck nucleon increases2 with x and Q^2, and previous measurements have shown that for Q^2 ≥ 1.4 GeV^2, x > 1.5 is sufficient to virtually eliminate mean-field contributions and isolate 2N-SRCs. For the light nuclei considered here, scaling should be even more reliable: the reduced Fermi momentum leads to a faster fall-off of the mean-field contributions, providing earlier isolation of the SRCs, and any small residual meson-exchange currents or FSI contributions (too small to see in previous simulations) are expected to be negligible2/28 except between the two nucleons, we obtain 

\[ \frac{\sigma_{\text{pp}}}{\sigma_{\text{np}}} = 0.28 \pm 0.13, \]

which we take as our extraction of the np/pp cross-section ratio for 250 MeV c^−1. Applying partial FSI corrections 31. Taking the cross-section at large missing momenta (P_m), we obtain

\[ R_{\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{np}} = 0.28 \pm 0.10 \text{ from the cross-section ratios.} \]

We also examine measurements of the 1H(e, e'p)/H(e, e'p) cross-section ratio at large missing momenta (P_m) from the single-nucleon knockout experiment 26 in a similar fashion. The average

\[ \frac{\sigma_{\text{pp}}}{\sigma_{\text{np}}} = 0.5 \text{ for 3He (only one pp pair, two possible np pairs), but also 10σ above the assumption of total np-SRC dominance.} \]

If we take 3He (1H) to contain N_{np} np-SRC pairs and N_{pp} pp-SRC (nn-SRC) pairs, based on the assumption of isospin symmetry for the mirror nuclei, and assume the cross-section for scattering from the SRC is proportional to the sum of the elastic eN scattering from the two nucleons, we obtain

\[ \frac{\sigma_{\text{pp}}}{\sigma_{\text{np}}} = 1 + \frac{2R_{\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{np}}}{1 + 2R_{\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{np}}}, \]

where \( \sigma_{\text{pp}} = \sigma_{\text{pp}}/\sigma_{\text{np}} \) and \( R_{\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{np}} = N_{\text{pp}}/N_{\text{np}} \). The full derivation, including a discussion of these assumptions, as well as small corrections applied to account for SRC motion in the nucleus, are included in Methods. Averaging over the 2N-SRC kinematics, we obtain \( \sigma_{\text{pp}} = 2.47 \pm 0.05 \) with the uncertainty including the range of x and Q^2 of the measurement and the cross-sections uncertainties. From equation (1), our measurement of \( \frac{\sigma_{\text{pp}}}{\sigma_{\text{np}}} \) gives \( R_{\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{np}} = 0.228 \pm 0.022 \). Accounting for the small difference between centre-of-mass motion for different SRCs, as detailed in Methods, we obtain \( R_{\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{np}} = 0.230 \pm 0.023 \) well below the simple pair-counting estimate of \( R_{\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{np}} = 0.5 \) for 3He (only one pp pair, two possible np pairs), but also 10σ above the assumption of total np-SRC dominance.

From isospin symmetry, we expect an identical number of np-SRCs for both nuclei with an additional pp-SRC (nn-SRC) contribution in 3He (1H). As the ep elastic cross-section is significantly larger than the np cross-section, the 3He/1H ratio in the SRC-dominated region will be larger than the 1H/3He ratio if there is any contribution from pp-SRCs in 3He. A clearer way to highlight the contribution of pp-SRCs comes from a direct comparison of 3H and 3He, shown in Fig. 2b. Although the ratios to the deuteron show a significant dip near x = 1 owing to the narrow quasielastic peak for the deuteron, the fact that the momentum distribution is very similar for 3H and 3He yields a much smaller dip. The ratio in the SRC-dominated region is 0.854 ± 0.010 for 1.4 < x < 1.7, including the normalization uncertainty, with negligible cut dependence.
of ref. 27, the published two-nucleon knockout measurements23 and the inclusive measurement for 46Ca (ref. 28). It is noted that for most nuclei shown in Fig. 1, $P_{np/pp}$ = 2, whereas for 4He, $P_{np/pp}$ = 4, decreasing the 4He enhancement factor compared with those observed in heavier nuclei simply because of accounting for the available number of np and pp pairs. Our inclusive data yield $R_{np/pp}$ = 4.34 ± 0.49, corresponding to an enhancement factor of $R_{np/pp}/P_{np/pp} = 2.17^{+0.25}_{-0.20}$. Our extraction is significantly more precise than previous measurements and shows a clear deviation in 4He compared with heavy nuclei. It is noted that the different extractions of the np/pp ratios are not precisely equivalent, as there are small but important quantitative differences between the experiments and analyses. As discussed below, these differences do not appear to be responsible for the observed A dependence and may in fact be suppressing the true size of the difference.

Although the np/pp extractions are often described as measuring the relative number of np-SRCs and pp-SRCs, they are more correctly described as the relative cross-section contribution from SRCs over a specific range of initial nucleon momenta: $P_m$ of 250–400 MeV c$^{-1}$ for ref. 27, 400–600 MeV c$^{-1}$ for ref. 7, and 350–1,000 MeV c$^{-1}$ for ref. 8. Both data and calculations16,17 suggest that the np/pp enhancement decreases at larger $P_m$ values, so if all exclusive measurements were examined in the same range, excluding the highest $P_m$ values, we would expect the enhancement to be even larger. Our inclusive measurement samples $P_m$ values of 250–300 MeV c$^{-1}$ and above, depending on the exact ($x$, $Q^2$) bin, but yields a consistent cross-section ratio for 1.4 < $x$ < 1.7 at both $Q^2$ values. Whereas for lower $x$ and $Q^2$, the $P_m$ range extends below the coverage of the two-nucleon knockout measurements, the cross-section at our larger $x$ values and $Q^2$ = 1.9 GeV$^2$ is dominated by $P_m$ of 350 MeV c$^{-1}$, which is similar to the exclusive measurements. In addition, for the 4He data, both our inclusive result and our extraction from the single-nucleon knockout29 data yield small enhancement factors, whereas the inclusive results on 46Ca, with very similar $P_m$ coverage, show a large enhancement, suggesting that the different missing momentum coverage is not responsible for the striking results in 4He.

One might speculate that the fact that 4He has an extremely large deviation from $N = Z$ might influence the isospin structure of the SRCs in some poorly understood way, but there are two reasons that this seems unlikely to be the driving cause. First, the heaviest nuclei measured, 208Pb, also has a large proton–neutron asymmetry, $N/Z = 1.54$, but does not appear to have a significantly reduced enhancement factor. In addition, the 4He enhancement factor is also below all of the measurements on heavier nuclei, although the uncertainty does not allow us to make a definitive statement on its consistency with heavier nuclei. This points to the importance of making improved measurements of the np/pp SRC ratio, especially for light nuclei. Although the measurement presented here yields markedly smaller uncertainties, the technique requires nuclei with nearly identical structure but significant $N/Z$ differences, so it cannot be applied widely. Even for other mirror nuclei, the sensitivity would be suppressed by a factor of $\Delta Z/\Delta A$, where $\Delta Z$ is the difference in $Z$ between the two nuclei. Thus, improved measurements on 4He (or other light nuclei) will require two-nucleon knockout measurements with better statistics, possible at JLab or the Electron-Ion Collider, as well as an improved understanding of the FSI corrections.

The reduced np-SRC enhancement in 4He could also be related to the difference in the average nucleon separation in 4He compared with heavier nuclei. This would modify the relative importance of the different components of the NN potential. Therefore, this measurement could be a way to constrain the relative contribution of the short-distance (isospin-dependent) tensor interaction and the very short-distance (isospin-independent) repulsive central core, which is difficult to constrain based on NN scattering data alone.

Finally, independent of the explanation for these surprising results, this measurement provides insight into the high-momentum structure of 3He. The near-total np-SRC dominance seen in heavier nuclei suggested that the proton and neutron distributions would be essentially identical at large momenta, even for the extremely proton-rich 4He. Our results suggest otherwise, indicating that the neutron has a smaller role at high momenta than if np dominance is assumed, thus shifting the strength between the high- and low-momentum regions. As 4He has a unique role as an effective polarized neutron target18 and allows for a nearly model-independent extraction of the unpolarized neutron structure function19, a precise understanding of its microscopic structure is a key ingredient in a range of fundamental measurements in nuclear physics.

In conclusion, we have presented a measurement on the mirror nuclei 3H and 4He that provides a precise extraction of the enhancement of np-SRCs relative to pp-SRCs. The data show a significantly smaller enhancement of np-SRCs for $A = 3$ than seen in heavier nuclei, with uncertainties an order of magnitude smaller than previous two-nucleon knockout measurements. We also extracted the np/pp SRC ratio from 3He data, and found it to be consistent with the inclusive result, but with larger uncertainties. Our data on 4He, compared with heavier nuclei, suggest an unexpected and, as yet unexplained, A dependence in light nuclei. This surprising result makes available new information on the structure of these nuclei, which may impact a range of measurements that rely on understanding the 3H and 4He structure. These data may also have an important role in constraining the relative contribution of the short-range attractive and repulsive parts of the nucleon–nucleon interaction.
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Methods

Target details
A special target system was built to meet the goals of the tritium rungroup experiments while satisfying all safety requirements for tritium handling. Four identical aluminium cells, 25.00 cm in length and 1.27 cm in diameter, contained gaseous deuterium, hydrogen, helium-3 and tritium, with areal densities of 142.2 mg cm$^{-2}$, 70.8 mg cm$^{-2}$, 53.2 mg cm$^{-2}$ and 84.5 mg cm$^{-2}$ (85.0 mg cm$^{-2}$ for the spring data-taking on tritium) at room temperature. A fifth empty cell was used for background measurements. Before each run period, JLab sent an empty cell to Savannah River Site for the tritium filling; all other targets were prepared locally.

The tritium in the target cell decays into $^3$He with a half-life of 12.3 years, yielding an average 4.0% (1.2%) $^3$H density reduction, and corresponding $^3$He contamination, for the first (second) run period. The $^3$H data were corrected using $^3$He data taken at the same settings. During the second run period ($Q^2 = 1.4$ GeV$^2$ data), we observed a narrow peak at $x = 1$ in all tritium data. With low-$Q^2$ calibration runs, we confirmed that the shape was consistent with scattering from hydrogen. As the tritium fill data report no hydrogen component, the best hypothesis for this hydrogen contamination is the residual water from the target filling followed by the $^3$He + $^3$He → HTO + $^3$He reaction, where $T$ is the tritium atom. The observed hydrogen contamination requires 4.1% of tritium gas in the tritium cell to have exchanged with hydrogen in the water to form HTO, which freezes on the target wall and so is removed from the effective target thickness. It is noted that beam heating effects would drive away any HTO that freezes on the target endcaps, and so the frozen HTO will not interact with the beam, and only the hydrogen gas contributes at $x \leq 1$, so neither of these are a source of background events in the range of interest for the SRC studies presented here. However, the clear hydrogen elastic peak at $x = 1$ allows us to determine the amount of hydrogen gas in the target, and hence the tritium lost to HTO, yielding a correction to the tritium target thickness of 4.1 $\pm$ 0.2%.

Data-taking and analysis
During data-taking, the electron beam was limited to 22.5 $\mu$A and rastered to a 2 $\times$ 2 mm$^2$ square to avoid damage to the target. Detailed descriptions of the raster and additional beamline instrumentation can be found in ref. $^{25}$. The target gas is heated by the beam, quickly reaching an equilibrium state with a reduced gas density along the beam path. A detailed study of both the single-target yield and target ratio as a function of beam current$^{30}$ shows that the tritium, deuterium and helium-3 densities as seen by the beam decreased by 9.72%, 9.04% and 6.18%, respectively, at 22.5 $\mu$A. This effect is linear at low current with deviations from linearity at higher currents. A direct analysis of the yield ratios between different targets was also performed, yielding smaller corrections that are more linear with current. On the basis of this analysis, we apply a 0.2% normalization uncertainty to the target ratios.

The trigger and detector efficiencies (>99% for all runs) were measured and applied on a run-by-run basis, with the trigger efficiency determined using samples of events with looser triggers (requiring only one scintillator plane or no Cherenkov signal). Comparisons of the acceptance for the gas targets showed no visible difference, and uncertainties were estimated by examining the cut dependence of the acceptance-corrected yield ratios. On this basis, we assign a 0.2% normalization uncertainty and a 0.2% uncorrelated uncertainty up to $x = 1.7$; above this, the statistical precision of this test was limited and we apply a 1% uncorrelated uncertainty. Subtraction of the residual endcap contribution yields a 1–4% correction, with an uncorrelated uncertainty equal to one-tenth of the correction applied to each $x$ bin and a normalization uncertainty taken to be 0.2%.

The radiative corrections were calculated for both targets following the prescription of ref. $^{38}$ and the yield ratios are corrected for the difference in these effects. We take a 0.3% normalization and 0.2% uncorrelated uncertainty associated with the uncertainty in the radiative correction procedure. The room-temperature target thickness uncertainty associated with the uncertainty of the temperature and pressure measurements along with the equation of state was 1% for $^3$He and 0.4% for the hydrogen isotopes. This is combined with the 0.2% normalization uncertainty associated with beam heating effects (described above). Combining these uncertainties, we find uncorrelated uncertainties of 0.3–0.6% in the target ratios in the SRC-dominated kinematics and a normalization uncertainty of 0.78% for $^3$H/$^3$He and 1.18% for $^3$He/$^3$H.

Details of the np/pp extraction
We begin by assuming isospin symmetry for $^3$H and $^3$He, that is, the proton distributions in $^3$H are identical to the neutron distributions in $^3$He and vice versa. Under this assumption, if $^3$He ($^3$H) contains $N_{np}$ np-SRC pairs and $N_{pp}$ pp-SRC (nn-SRC) pairs, the cross-section ratio will be

$$\frac{\sigma_{^3H}}{\sigma_{^3He}} = \frac{N_{np}\sigma_{np} + N_{pp}\sigma_{pp}}{N_{np}\sigma_{np} + N_{pp}\sigma_{pp}}$$

where $\sigma_{np}$ is the cross-section for scattering from an NN-SRC. Assuming that the effect of SRC centre-of-mass motion is identical for all SRCs in $^3$H and $^3$He, the inclusive cross-section from 2N-SRCs in the SRC-dominated regime is proportional to the sum of quasielastic scattering from the nucleons in the correlated pair, that is, $\sigma_{np} = \sigma_{pp} + \sigma_{nn}$, $\sigma_{pp} = 2\sigma_{pp}$ and $\sigma_{nn} = 2\sigma_{nn}$. Equation (2) can be rewritten such that the target ratio depends on only the ratio of the off-shell elastic ep to en cross-section ratio, $\rho_{ep} = \rho_{en}$, and the ratio $R_{pp/np} = N_{pp}/N_{np}$ yielding

$$\frac{\sigma_{^3H}}{\sigma_{^3He}} = \frac{1 + \rho_{pp}(1 + 2R_{pp/np})}{1 + \rho_{np}(1 + 2R_{pp/np})}$$

as given in the main text. For a bound nucleon, $\rho_{en}$ is a function of both $x$ and $Q^2$. We use the deForest CCI off-shell prescription$^{39}$, the proton cross-section fit from ref. $^{40}$ (without two-photon exchange corrections) and neutron form factor from ref. $^{41}$ to calculate $\rho_{en}$.

Equation (3) assumes isospin symmetry and an identical centre-of-mass momentum distribution for np-SRC and pp-SRC. We estimate corrections associated with violation of these assumptions using ab initio Greens function Monte Carlo calculations$^{20}$ of the momentum distributions for protons and neutrons in $^3$H and $^3$He, which accounts for the isospin-symmetry violation arising from the Coulomb interaction. These calculations are used to estimate the difference between the np-SRC and pp-SRC momentum distributions in $^3$He, and the difference between the np-SRC momentum distributions between $^3$H and $^3$He. For the A = 3 system, we take the SRC momentum to be balanced by the spectator nucleon, for kinematics where this nucleon is not to be part of an SRC (that is, integrating the momentum distribution up to the Fermi momentum). We find typical SRC momenta of 120 MeV c$^{-1}$, with the momentum of np-SRCs in $^3$H roughly 2 MeV c$^{-1}$ larger than for $^3$He, and pp-SRC (nn-SRC) momenta are approximately 12 MeV c$^{-1}$ larger than np-SRCs within $^3$He ($^3$He). Using the smearing formalism of ref. $^{15}$, and assuming a 100% uncertainty on the estimated corrections, we find that the increased smearing in $^3$H increases the $^3$H/$^3$He ratio by (0.4 $\pm$ 0.4)%; increasing the extracted pp/pp ratio by (2.5 $\pm$ 2.5)%, whereas the increased pp/nn smearing directly decreases the extracted pp/pp ratio by (2 $\pm$ 2)%. We apply these corrections to the extracted pp/pp ratio to obtain the final corrected value for $R_{pp/np}$.

Data availability
The raw data from this experiment were generated at the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility and are archived in the Jefferson Lab mass storage silo. Access to these data and relevant analysis codes can be facilitated by contacting the corresponding author.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Target window contamination. Number of events versus position in the target along the beamline for the $^3$H cell (blue) and for the empty target (black) after scaling to the same luminosity as the target windows. The shaded region indicates the region used in the analysis.
Extended Data Fig. 2 $^3$He/$^2$H per-nucleon cross-section ratios. $^3$He/$^2$H ratio for this work and ref. 11 are shown. Error bars show the combined statistical and uncorrelated systematic uncertainty (1σ range); the normalization uncertainties are 1.18% for this work, 1.8% for E02-019.
Extended Data Table 1 | Cross-section ratios at 17.00° as shown in Fig. 2

| x   | \(\langle Q^2 \rangle\) (GeV²) | \(^3\text{He}/^3\text{He}\) | \(^3\text{H}/^2\text{H}\) | \(^3\text{He}/^2\text{H}\) | isoscalar average |
|-----|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 0.6875 | 1.133                         | 0.941±0.014          | 0.916±0.012          | 0.973±0.015          | 0.945 |
| 0.7125 | 1.157                         | 0.923±0.012          | 0.987±0.011          | 1.068±0.014          | 1.027 |
| 0.7375 | 1.153                         | 0.902±0.010          | 1.105±0.011          | 1.222±0.015          | 1.163 |
| 0.7625 | 1.172                         | 0.904±0.009          | 1.228±0.011          | 1.358±0.015          | 1.293 |
| 0.7875 | 1.193                         | 0.875±0.008          | 1.309±0.010          | 1.495±0.014          | 1.402 |
| 0.8125 | 1.214                         | 0.839±0.007          | 1.353±0.010          | 1.614±0.013          | 1.484 |
| 0.8375 | 1.234                         | 0.823±0.007          | 1.325±0.009          | 1.607±0.012          | 1.466 |
| 0.8625 | 1.253                         | 0.787±0.006          | 1.213±0.008          | 1.542±0.010          | 1.377 |
| 0.8875 | 1.271                         | 0.759±0.006          | 1.047±0.006          | 1.379±0.009          | 1.213 |
| 0.9125 | 1.287                         | 0.738±0.005          | 0.856±0.005          | 1.157±0.007          | 1.006 |
| 0.9375 | 1.234                         | 0.730±0.005          | 0.704±0.004          | 0.963±0.006          | 0.834 |
| 0.9625 | 1.247                         | 0.714±0.004          | 0.577±0.003          | 0.807±0.004          | 0.692 |
| 0.9875 | 1.261                         | 0.715±0.004          | 0.508±0.003          | 0.710±0.004          | 0.609 |
| 1.0125 | 1.274                         | 0.707±0.004          | 0.515±0.002          | 0.728±0.003          | 0.621 |
| 1.0375 | 1.289                         | 0.722±0.004          | 0.578±0.003          | 0.800±0.004          | 0.689 |
| 1.0625 | 1.303                         | 0.731±0.004          | 0.673±0.003          | 0.918±0.004          | 0.795 |
| 1.0875 | 1.317                         | 0.747±0.004          | 0.792±0.004          | 1.058±0.005          | 0.925 |
| 1.1125 | 1.331                         | 0.760±0.004          | 0.918±0.004          | 1.204±0.006          | 1.061 |
| 1.1375 | 1.374                         | 0.774±0.004          | 1.063±0.005          | 1.368±0.007          | 1.216 |
| 1.1625 | 1.283                         | 0.786±0.004          | 1.178±0.006          | 1.493±0.008          | 1.336 |
| 1.1875 | 1.295                         | 0.796±0.004          | 1.303±0.007          | 1.626±0.009          | 1.464 |
| 1.2250 | 1.314                         | 0.808±0.003          | 1.436±0.006          | 1.772±0.008          | 1.604 |
| 1.2750 | 1.339                         | 0.824±0.004          | 1.601±0.008          | 1.927±0.010          | 1.764 |
| 1.3250 | 1.364                         | 0.838±0.004          | 1.719±0.009          | 2.033±0.011          | 1.876 |
| 1.3750 | 1.386                         | 0.848±0.004          | 1.779±0.011          | 2.082±0.013          | 1.930 |
| 1.4250 | 1.407                         | 0.850±0.005          | 1.793±0.012          | 2.100±0.015          | 1.946 |
| 1.4750 | 1.406                         | 0.856±0.005          | 1.814±0.014          | 2.119±0.017          | 1.967 |
| 1.5250 | 1.427                         | 0.858±0.006          | 1.807±0.016          | 2.089±0.019          | 1.948 |
| 1.5750 | 1.446                         | 0.857±0.006          | 1.774±0.017          | 2.068±0.021          | 1.921 |
| 1.6250 | 1.459                         | 0.862±0.007          | 1.803±0.020          | 2.091±0.024          | 1.947 |
| 1.6750 | 1.471                         | 0.841±0.007          | 1.767±0.022          | 2.088±0.027          | 1.927 |
| 1.7250 | 1.481                         | 0.834±0.011          | 1.780±0.031          | 2.148±0.038          | 1.964 |
| 1.7750 | 1.496                         | 0.831±0.012          | 1.844±0.035          | 2.198±0.043          | 2.021 |
| 1.8250 | 1.427                         | 0.818±0.013          | 1.831±0.038          | 2.227±0.048          | 2.029 |
| 1.8750 | 1.437                         | 0.789±0.013          | 1.906±0.044          | 2.422±0.057          | 2.164 |
| 1.9250 | 1.438                         | 0.779±0.014          | 2.032±0.047          | 2.543±0.061          | 2.288 |
| 1.9750 | 1.450                         | 0.782±0.015          | 2.078±0.075          | 3.073±0.097          | 6.841 |

Kinematics and per-nucleon cross-section ratios for the 17.00°(\(Q^2 \approx 1.4\) GeV² in the SRC region) data with all uncorrelated uncertainties added in quadrature. The last column is the unweighted average of the \(^3\text{He}/^3\text{He}\) and \(^3\text{H}/^2\text{H}\) ratios. An additional normalization uncertainty of 0.78% for \(^3\text{He}/^3\text{H}\) or \(^3\text{He}/^2\text{H}\) is not included.
Extended Data Table 2 | Cross-section ratios at 20.88° as shown in Fig. 2

| x   | \( Q^2 \) (GeV^2) | \(^{3}\text{H}/^{3}\text{He} \) | \(^{3}\text{H}/^{2}\text{H} \) | \(^{3}\text{He}/^{2}\text{H} \) | isoscalar average |
|-----|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.9625 | 1.561             | 0.768±0.036     | 0.547±0.040     | 0.712±0.052     | 0.630           |
| 0.9875 | 1.575             | 0.724±0.005     | 0.514±0.005     | 0.710±0.007     | 0.612           |
| 1.0125 | 1.590             | 0.726±0.004     | 0.522±0.004     | 0.718±0.005     | 0.620           |
| 1.0375 | 1.605             | 0.727±0.003     | 0.582±0.004     | 0.798±0.005     | 0.690           |
| 1.0625 | 1.621             | 0.743±0.003     | 0.693±0.004     | 0.929±0.006     | 0.811           |
| 1.0875 | 1.638             | 0.752±0.003     | 0.822±0.005     | 1.088±0.007     | 0.955           |
| 1.1125 | 1.658             | 0.772±0.003     | 0.970±0.007     | 1.252±0.009     | 1.111           |
| 1.1375 | 1.680             | 0.780±0.003     | 1.133±0.008     | 1.446±0.011     | 1.289           |
| 1.1625 | 1.699             | 0.798±0.004     | 1.250±0.010     | 1.560±0.013     | 1.405           |
| 1.1875 | 1.713             | 0.803±0.004     | 1.371±0.012     | 1.698±0.015     | 1.534           |
| 1.2250 | 1.752             | 0.820±0.003     | 1.516±0.012     | 1.839±0.014     | 1.678           |
| 1.2750 | 1.790             | 0.833±0.004     | 1.648±0.015     | 1.967±0.018     | 1.808           |
| 1.3250 | 1.819             | 0.855±0.005     | 1.753±0.021     | 2.040±0.024     | 1.897           |
| 1.3750 | 1.843             | 0.853±0.006     | 1.789±0.026     | 2.087±0.031     | 1.938           |
| 1.4250 | 1.867             | 0.858±0.007     | 1.856±0.033     | 2.153±0.039     | 2.004           |
| 1.4750 | 1.884             | 0.846±0.008     | 1.766±0.038     | 2.078±0.045     | 1.922           |
| 1.5250 | 2.021             | 0.841±0.009     | 1.710±0.044     | 2.025±0.053     | 1.867           |
| 1.5750 | 2.061             | 0.858±0.012     | 1.656±0.053     | 1.923±0.063     | 1.789           |
| 1.6250 | 2.105             | 0.833±0.015     | 1.724±0.075     | 2.061±0.090     | 1.893           |
| 1.6750 | 2.146             | 0.842±0.019     | 1.725±0.096     | 2.040±0.115     | 1.883           |
| 1.7250 | 2.189             | 0.802±0.025     | 1.509±0.110     | 1.874±0.137     | 1.691           |
| 1.7750 | 2.234             | 0.799±0.033     | 1.529±0.151     | 1.906±0.190     | 1.718           |
| 1.8250 | 2.273             | 0.789±0.045     | 1.388±0.184     | 1.753±0.235     | 1.570           |
| 1.8750 | 2.305             | 0.802±0.073     | 1.852±0.439     | 2.300±0.549     | 2.076           |
| 1.9250 | 2.344             | 0.758±0.123     | 3.773±2.149     | 4.957±2.821     | 4.365           |

Kinematics and per-nucleon cross-section ratios for the 20.88° (\( Q^2 \approx 1.9 \) GeV^2 in the SRC region) data with all uncorrelated uncertainties added in quadrature. The last column is the unweighted average of the \(^{3}\text{He}/^{2}\text{H} \) and \(^{3}\text{H}/^{2}\text{H} \) ratios. An additional normalization uncertainty of 0.78% for \(^{3}\text{H}/^{2}\text{H} \) ratios and 1.18% for \(^{3}\text{He}/^{2}\text{H} \) or \(^{3}\text{He}/^{3}\text{H} \) is not included.