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Abstract
Woodward and co-workers in 1952 characterised the unique structural features of ferrocene (the first sandwich compound), demonstrated its aromatic nature and observed that on treatment with mild oxidising agents (aqueous Ag₂SO₄, p-benzoquinone in organic solvents) the orange solution of ferrocene (Fc) turned blue due to the formation of ferrocenium (Fc⁺). A few months later, the one-electron Fc/Fc⁺ redox change was characterised polarographically by Page and Wilkinson with \( E_{1/2} = 0.31 \text{ V vs SCE} \) (0.56 V vs NHE) in ethanol/water 9:1. Since then ferrocene has become an icon of organometallic electrochemistry. Owing to the stability of its molecular framework, to the ease of functionalisation at the cyclopentadienyl rings and to the fast, reversible and kinetically uncomplicated Fc/Fc⁺ redox change, ferrocene has been used as a building block for the design of switchable functional systems. In this review, we will consider (1) electrochemical sensors for metal ions, anions and metal–anion pairs operating through the Fc/Fc⁺ redox change, (2) ferrocene-based redox switches of fluorescence and (3) cross-transport of electrons and anions through a liquid membrane mediated by lipophilic ferrocene derivatives.
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The adventurous discovery of ferrocene

The synthesis of ferrocene ([Fe(η⁵-C₅H₅)₂]) and the elucidation of its structural and bonding properties in the early 1950s changed the way of thinking about coordination chemistry (the chemistry of the interaction of metal ions with non-metal donor atoms) and promoted the tumultuous
The development of organometallic chemistry (the chemistry of the metal–carbon interaction). As it often happens in chemistry, the synthesis of ferrocene was serendipitous. Peter Pauson (1925–2013), a British postdoctoral fellow at the Duquesne University in Pittsburgh, aged 26, was interested in verifying the possible aromatic nature of fulvalene (1) and attempted its synthesis according to the two following steps: (1) coupling of two molecules of cyclopentadienylmagnesium bromide to give the dihydro compound 2, (2) dehydrogenation (oxidation) of 2 with FeCl₃ to give 1. To the great surprise of Pauson and of his student Tom Kealy, working for an MS degree, a yellow-orange crystalline precipitate formed, whose C and H microanalysis fitted the formula C₁₀H₁₀Fe. The compound was extremely stable, melting at 173 °C, sublimating under vacuum and being unaffected by boiling strong acids and strong alkalis. Pauson proposed the structural formula 3 (Fig. 1), which implied the formation of two intrinsically weak Fe–C σ bonds. However, in order to account for the extreme stability of the new compound, Pauson suggested the contribution of the ionic resonance formula 4, in which each cyclopentadienyl ring, owing to the acquisition of one negative charge, should present an aromatic character.

The paper by Pauson and Kealy (“A new type of organo-iron compound”) was submitted to Nature on 7 August 1951, and published in the 15 December 1951 issue [1]. Still in 1951, Samuel A. Miller, Director of Research with British Oxygen, London, with his co-workers John A. Tebboth and John F. Tremaine, isolated, again serendipitously, [Fe(C₅H₅)₂]₂. In fact, on attempting the synthesis of amines from saturated and unsaturated hydrocarbons and nitrogen by using iron as a catalyst, they reacted cyclopentadiene and N₂ at 300 °C at atmospheric pressure and obtained in good yield a yellow-orange solid, whose elemental analysis corresponded to [Fe(C₅H₅)₂]. Miller and co-workers submitted the pertinent paper (“Cyclopentadienyliron”) to J. Chem. Soc., which was received on 11 July 1951 [2]. This suggests that the research was carried out almost simultaneously in Pittsburgh and in London and that the work was completed by the industrial researchers at least 1 month before Pauson and Kealy. However, the publishing procedure of J. Chem. Soc. was quite long (compared to Nature) and the article appeared on 24 March 1952. Miller’s paper focused on the technical aspects of the synthesis and did not contain any speculation on bonding and structural features of [Fe(C₅H₅)₂]₂. Simply, a formula analogous to 3 was reported in the article.

The issue of Nature containing Pauson’s paper reached university libraries of Western countries during the first 2 weeks of January 1952. Robert B. Woodward (1917–1979), professor of organic chemistry at Harvard University, already an established star on the international chemistry scene, read the article and told his student Myron Rosenblum and his postdoctoral associate Mark Whiting to synthesize dicyclopentadienyl iron to characterise its physical properties and its reactivity. Geoffrey Wilkinson (1921–1996), an English chemist, who had recently taken up an assistant professorship in inorganic chemistry at Harvard, joined the team. After a couple of months, they submitted a preliminary communication to J. Am. Chem. Soc. (“The structure of iron bis-cyclopentadienyl”), received on 24 March 1952, which was published on 2 April 1952 [3]. The authors suggested a structural arrangement in which iron is in-between two parallel cyclopentadienyl rings, as illustrated by the beautiful drawing in Fig. 2 (formulae a and b).

Such a genial intuition was supported by a single experimental observation: the infrared absorption spectrum contained a single sharp band at 3050 cm⁻¹, which indicated the presence in the compound of C–H bonds of only one type. In addition, further pieces of information were provided: [Fe(C₅H₅)₂] was diamagnetic, apolar, it could be easily oxidised by dioxygen in acidic solution, by halogens and by FeCl₃, to give a blue solution of [Fe(C₅H₅)₂]⁺, which was isolated as a solid, [Fe(C₅H₅)₂]GaCl₄, paramagnetic because of a single electron. The authors preliminarily hypothesised the formation of covalent interactions between the iron atom and the cyclopentadienyl rings, and observed that the number of electrons available for iron to carbon binding is 18 (5 π electrons for each cyclopentadienyl unit, plus the 8 electrons of iron), like the inert gas krypton. Finally, they emphasised the analogy of the [Fe(C₅H₅)₂]⁺ (diamagnetic)/[Fe(C₅H₅)₂]⁺ (paramagnetic) redox couple and of the ferrocyanide (diamagnetic)/ferricyanide (paramagnetic) redox couple. In a subsequent communication to J. Am. Chem. Soc. (“A new aromatic system”, received on 2 June 1952) [5], Woodward and his research associates (Wilkinson had left the team) demonstrated the aromatic nature of [Fe(C₅H₅)₂]. In particular, when [Fe(C₅H₅)₂] in CS₂ was treated with acetyl chloride in the presence of AlCl₃, it was very smoothly converted to a beautifully crystalline red diacetyl chloride.
Ferrocene is currently abbreviated to Fc. \([\text{Fe(C}_5\text{H}_5\text{)}_2]^+\) was a derivative of anthracene, which was accepted and remained. Note that some notebooks probably misunderstood the spelling and wrote in his notebook ferrozene (crasis of the words ferrous and benzene). Whitehead then called ferrocenium (abbreviated to \(\text{Fe}^+\)). Woodward named ferricinium \([5]\), but it is today universally called ferrocenium (abbreviated to \(\text{Fc}^+\)).

That the \([\text{Fe(C}_5\text{H}_5\text{)}_2]\) molecule was centrosymmetric, which was shown by Pfab, a colleague at the Technische Hochschule, was comparable to the acidity constant of benzoic acid (\(pK_a = 6.57\)). Woodward proposed for \([\text{Fe(C}_5\text{H}_5\text{)}_2]\) the name ferrocene (crasis of the words ferrous and benzene). Writing probably misunderstood the spelling and wrote in his notebook ferrozene (crasis of the words ferrous and benzene). Whiting did his job and obtained beautiful orange crystals, which were stable in air and additionally in an autoclave containing carbon monoxide at high temperature (ca. 150 °C) at 200 bar (no formation of \(\text{Fe(CO)}_5\)).

Fig. 2 Structure of dicyclopentadienyliron (ferrocene): a and b original drawings by Woodward et al., illustrating the two possible conformers: staggered (a) and eclipsed (b) \([3]\); current graphical representation of ferrocene (c); X-ray structure of ferrocene, overlapping spheres rendering, lateral view (d), top view (e) \([4]\).

deticity disappeared after Eiland and Pepinsky \([7\) and Dunitz \([8]\) independently proved by X-ray crystallography that the unorthodox structure proposed by Woodward/Wilkinson and Fischer was correct (see Fig. 2d and e). The theoreti-
cian Orgel, co-author of Dunitz’s paper, used the molecular orbital \((\text{MO})\) theory to explain the bonding in ferrocene \([8]\). In particular, the mixing of metal and cyclopentadienyl orbitals imparts a strong covalent character to the bond, while delocalisation of electrons from \(d_{z^2}\) and \(d_{xy}\) orbitals of iron into empty π antibonding orbitals of cyclopentadienyl makes the two rings acquire a partial negative charge. The title of the paper (“Bis-cyclopentadienyl iron: a molecular sandwich”) introduced a new term currently used to describe chemical compounds featuring a metal bound by haptic covalent bonds to two arene ligands.

Wilkinson continued independently his research in the field and submitted to Journal of the American Chemical Society a series of three communications published as consecutive papers in the 5 December 1952 issue of the journal \([9–11]\). In the following years, he and his Harvard group rapidly extended the concept of the “sandwich” structure with a stream of seminal papers, laying a large part of the basis of transition metal organometallic chemistry. In spite of these brilliant results, Wilkinson failed to get tenure and left Harvard in January and moved to London, where he was.
appointed as a professor of inorganic chemistry at Imperial College. Among other achievements, he prepared the rhodium phosphine complex, later to become known as Wilkinson’s catalyst, which became a major factor in organic synthesis and development work for important pharmaceuticals. Fischer followed his initial accomplishment with the discovery of dibenzochromium, consisting of two six-sided carbon rings sandwiching a chromium atom. Over the years, Fischer’s group in Munich produced a host of new organometallic complexes, including the first compounds with metal–carbon double bonds (‘carbenes’ in 1964) and metal–carbon triple bonds (‘carbynes’, 1973). Wilkinson and Fischer shared the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1973 “for their pioneering work, performed independently, on the chemistry of the organometallic, so called sandwich compounds”. What about Woodward? Woodward published his third and last paper on ferrocene in 1958 [12]. Apparently, ferrocene was only a brilliant excursion in organometallic chemistry, in a lifelong activity dedicated to synthesis and characterisation of complex natural substances, from cholesterol, to strychnine, to cephalosporin, to vitamin B₁₂ (a gigantic enterprise). In 1965 he was awarded with the Nobel Prize in Chemistry for his outstanding achievements in the art of organic synthesis”. He was not happy for being excluded from the Nobel Prize for Chemistry for sandwich complexes, and sent a bitter letter to the Nobel Committee for Chemistry: “The notice in The Times of London (October 24; p. 5) of the award of this year’s Nobel Prize in Chemistry leaves me no choice but to let you know, most respectfully, that you have inadvertently, I am sure, committed a grave injustice”. During these years, he was developing, in collaboration with Roald Hoffmann, the theory of the conservation of orbital symmetry, a revolutionary work that gave MO theory a practical role in organic chemistry which hitherto it had lacked [13]. In 1981, Hoffmann was awarded with the Nobel Prize in Chemistry, jointly with Kenichi Fukui, “for their theories, developed independently, concerning the course of chemical reactions.” This time, too, Woodward was excluded from a highly deserved award, but it happened for an unquestionable reason. He had died from a stroke during his sleep in 1979, aged 62, and Nobel Prizes are not awarded posthumously. Hence, he missed his second (or third?) Nobel Prize.

**Electrochemistry of ferrocene/ferrocenium redox change**

The first electrochemical investigation on the redox chemistry of ferrocene was carried out by John Page, a graduate student at Harvard, whom Wilkinson had asked to carry out a polarographic study on ferrocene [11]. Page studied the behaviour at the dropping mercury electrode of a ferrocene solution 90% in EtOH and 10% in 0.1 M HClO₄, which had been made 0.1 M in NaClO₄, and determined an $E_{1/2}$ of 0.31 V vs SCE. The one-electron nature of the oxidation was confirmed by the measured 0.91 F/meq released during controlled potential electrolysis at a mercury pool electrode. The first studies on the oxidation of ferrocene in non-aqueous solutions (e.g. MeCN) were carried out in 1959 by Kuwana, Bublitz and Hoh, all graduate students at the University of Kansas, who used solid electrodes (usually platinum) [14]. The use of solid electrodes circumvented the problem of the oxidation of mercury and expanded the positive window of potential, thus facilitating the study of anodic reactions. By using the then-popular technique of chronopotentiometry, they observed that ferrocene oxidation was well behaved and reversible in acetonitrile 0.2 M LiClO₄ at a Pt electrode, with $E_{1/2} = 0.31$ V vs SCE.

Ferrocene and other metalloccenes (i.e. analogues of ferrocene in which the iron atom has been replaced by another transition metal) favoured the development of the flourishing area of organometallic electrochemistry, which was accompanied by fundamental advances in the theory, methodology and instrumentation of electroanalytical chemistry [15]. In particular, commercial availability of high-performance three-electrode potentiostats and multipurpose instruments made it possible for scientists outside of the tribe of pure electrochemists to use a variety of techniques such as linear sweep voltammetry, cyclic voltammetry (CV), differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) and bulk electrolysis. Fc/Fc⁺ became the iconic redox couple of organometallic electrochemistry for two main reasons: (1) the Fc/Fc⁺ self-exchange reaction is fast and kinetically uncomplicated and (2) its rate does not vary with the solvent. The former point arises because the sandwich geometry is maintained upon one-electron removal, both Fc and Fc⁺ are low-spin, and only a slight elongation of the Fe–C bonding distances takes place (the electron is removed from an essentially non-bonding molecular orbital, of predominant $d_{z^2}$ character). The second point arises because redox change involves a moderate variation of the electric charge of the metal and, in addition, the iron is buried between the cyclopentadienyl rings, which nearly touch and thus shield the iron from solvent molecules. The heterogeneous electron transfer at the solid electrode is equally fast and not complicated by homogeneous reactions, and is electrochemically reversible (i.e. the coefficient of the electron transfer is higher than the coefficient of the mass transport). Thus, in the CV profile, the ratio of the current intensities of the peaks of the forward and of the reverse scan ($i_{p(f)}/i_{p(r)}$) is the unity, and the peak-to-peak separation, $\Delta E_{p}$, at 25 °C, is very close to the canonical value, 59 mV. The average of the potentials of the two peaks gives the $E_{1/2}$ value, according to Eq. (1). When the diffusion coefficients of the oxidised and reduced species are very similar, the half-wave potential provides a
good approximation to the formal potential, that is the thermodynamically significant quantity.

$$E_{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(E_{\text{ptl}} + E_{\text{prt}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The choice of a universal and reliable reference electrode was one of the problems that organometallic electrochemistry, typically carried out in non-aqueous solvents, had to face at its beginning. The classical reference electrode used in water, SCE, included the unknown liquid junction potentials between the solution and the reference electrode, which vary with the solvent and with the geometry of the cell. To circumvent the problem it was suggested that ferrocene should be used as an internal standard for electrochemical studies in organic solvents and that the reduction potentials of any redox change in a given medium should be reported vs the Fe⁺/Fc couple [16, 17]. After the wave attributed to the compound of interest has been identified, a roughly equimolar amount of ferrocene is added to the cell, the electrochemical experiment is repeated and the $E_{1/2}$ of the envisaged wave(s) can be directly compared to the $E_{1/2}$ of the Fe⁺/Fc couple. A platinum wire is typically used as a pseudo-reference electrode. Figure 3 shows a CV profile obtained at a platinum working electrode for an MeCN solution containing ferrocene and [NiII(cyclam)](ClO₄)₂ (supporting electrolyte Bu₄NBF₄, $T = 25$ °C). [NiII(cyclam)]²⁺ undergoes reversible oxidation to [NiIII(cyclam)]³⁺ and reversible reduction to [NiI(cyclam)]⁺ [18].

In 1984, a distinguished IUPAC commission recommended that the couple Fe⁺/Fc should be employed as a redox reference system in non-aqueous solvents [19]. Notice that the expression “redox reference system” is semantically different from the expression “reference electrode”, which refers to an electrode with a known, invariant, electrode potential (e.g. SCE). Indeed, the choice of ferrocene as an internal standard is adequate when $E_{1/2}$ values are compared within the same solvent containing the same background electrolyte. Change of the medium implies a variation of the interactions of Fe and Fe⁺ with the environment, which include solvation effects and the formation of Fe⁺···X⁻ ion pairs, where X⁻ is the anion of the supporting electrolyte. In order to minimise such solute–solvent interactions, decamethylferrocene, Me₁₀Fc (5) was proposed as a more effective solvent-independent internal standard [20]. In particular, the ten methyl substituents were expected to protect the iron centre from any substrate present in solution and to shield the electrostatic interactions with anions. Indeed, X-ray studies on Me₁₀Fc showed that the contacts between the facing methyl groups of the two rings are within van der Waals distances [21], thus enclosing Fe in a rigid shell (see the space-filling rendering of the crystal structure of Me₁₀Fc (a) compared to Fc (b) in Fig. 4.

From a practical point of view, the only significant difference is that the potential of the Me₁₀Fc⁺/Me₁₀Fc couple is shifted by ca 0.5 V toward negative values. This has to be ascribed to the electron-donating effect of the ten methyl groups, which pushes electron density toward the metal, thus favouring the electron removal from the neutral form by the electrode and disfavouring the electron uptake by the oxidised form from the electrode. This makes the Me₁₀Fc⁺/Me₁₀Fc couple the ideal internal reference couple for easily oxidised solvents, such as N-methylaniline and N,N-dimethylaniline, whereas no response of the Fe⁺/Fc couple is observed within their potential window. Moreover, the Me₁₀Fc⁺/Me₁₀Fc redox couple allows the use of the easily oxidised mercury working electrode, thus extending the window of voltammetric investigation toward more negative potentials [20]. In spite of these benefits, ferrocene remains the preferred internal reference for electrochemical studies.

Fig. 3 CV profile obtained at a Pt microsphere working electrode for an MeCN solution made 0.1 M in Bu₄NBF₄, at $T=25$ °C, and containing [NiII(cyclam)](ClO₄)₂, and ferrocene as an internal reference. Potential scan rate 200 mV s⁻¹. The counter electrode was a Pt foil, the pseudo-reference electrode a Pt wire [18]

Fig. 4 Crystal structure of Me₁₀Fc (5) [21], represented with a space-filling rendering (a), compared to that of ferrocene (b)
in non-aqueous media. The reason is that 1 g of decamethylferrocene costs US$90, whereas with US$89 you can buy 500 g of plain ferrocene (Strem Chemicals [22]). More specifically, decamethylferrocene has been explored as a redox probe to study transfer of ions and to measure the Gibbs energy of ion transfer across the interface of two hardly miscible liquids [23–26].

A final comment on the naming of the oxidised form of ferrocene: it was baptised ferricinium by its discoverers, but ferrocenium took over through the years. Gritzner and Kuta, in the IUPAC report on internal standard electrodes in non-aqueous solvents [19], used the name ferricinium. They probably considered that the ending “ium” in substitutive nomenclature refers to a compound which results from the addition of a proton to a neutral parent compound and that in strong acidic acid media, ferrocene is protonated to [Fe(η^5-C_5H_5)_2H]^+, a species in which a hydrogen atom is bound to the metal centre [27], and which could be rightfully called ferrocenium. On the other hand, if ferrocene results from the crasis of ‘ferrous benzene’, ferricinium may allude to the ‘ferric’ oxidation state of iron. The name ‘ferrocium’ has also appeared in the literature (e.g. in ref. [16]), just to complete all the possible combinations.

Redox switches: metaphors from the electrician and from the railroader

“Switch” is one of the most frequently used terms that chemistry has borrowed from everyday language, in its two main senses: (i) a device for changing the connections in an electrical circuit; (ii) a device made by two movable rails and designed to turn a locomotive or train from one track to another. Redox switches of fluorescence apply well to meaning (i). A redox switch of fluorescence is a system consisting in two components: a light-emitting subunit and a control subunit [28]. The control subunit is a redox couple of which one form (e.g. the oxidised one) quenches the proximate fluorophore (state OFF) and the other form (e.g. the reduced one) does not (state ON), or vice versa. The first example of a redox switch of fluorescence based on a one-electron transfer process (6) is pictorially illustrated in Fig. 5 [29].

The light-emitting fragment (the bulb) is an anthracenoic ester fragment. The alkyl anthracenoate subunit, when excited at 375 nm (the lowest energy vibronic band of anthracene), emits an azure fluorescence, corresponding to a structureless emission band centred at 460 nm and associated with a charge transfer excited state *An_{CT}. The control unit in system 6 (the switch) is a copper complex of the macrocycle thiacyclam. When the copper centre is in the +2 oxidation state, an eT process takes place from *An_{CT} to Cu^{II}, which quenches fluorescence (emission OFF). If the [Cu^{II}(thiacyclam)]^{2+} fragment is reduced to the +1 oxidation state, the Cu^{I}-to-*An_{CT} eT process becomes thermodynamically disfavoured and the azure fluorescence is revived.

Figure 6 shows the emission spectra in MeCN at 25 °C of system 6 taken over the course of a controlled potential experiment: (1) before electrolysis the blue-green solution of the system containing Cu^{II} is not fluorescent (eT operates); (2) the potential of the working electrode (a platinum gauze) is set at 200 mV vs SCE, Cu^{II} is reduced to Cu^{I}, the solution decolorizes and a structureless emission band centred at 450 nm develops (eT does not operate); (3) the potential is set at 800 mV vs SCE, oxidation to Cu^{II} takes place, the blue-green colour of the solution is restored and fluorescence is quenched again (eT operates). Several oxidation–reduction cycles can be carried out without significant decomposition.

The first ferrocene-based redox switch of fluorescence (7 in Fig. 7) was reported by Molina and co-workers [30]. The switch (ferrocene) is connected to the bulb (pyrene) through an electron-permeable C=N–N=C conjugated molecular wire. On irradiation at 350 nm, the dyadic system 7 (2.5×10⁻⁵ M MeCN solution) emits only weak fluorescence (see the spectrum of lowest intensity in Fig. 7). Quenching of fluorescence is due to the occurrence of an eT process.

Fig. 5 A redox switch of fluorescence. The two-component system 6a is not fluorescent since the anthracene-based fluorophore is quenched by an electron transfer (eT) process from the excited fluorophore to the proximate Cu^{II} ion; on one-electron reduction to 6b, fluorescence is switched on as the eT process from Cu^{I} to the excited fluorophore is not thermodynamically favoured and does not occur [29].
from the electron-rich ferrocene subunit to the excited state of the pyrene moiety *Py. On addition of Cu(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$, the thermal redox process $[\text{Cu}^{II}(\text{MeCN})_4]^{2+} + \text{Fc} \rightleftharpoons [\text{Cu}^{I}(\text{MeCN})_4]^{+} + \text{Fc}^{+}$ takes place, which cancels any electron-donating tendency of the organometallic fragment, stops the electron transfer and revives the fluorescence. Full emission recovery is reached after the addition of 1 eqv of Cu(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$. On addition of ascorbic acid, Fc$^+$ is reduced to Fc and fluorescence emission is quenched again. Spectroelectrochemistry experiments carried out by setting alternatively the potential of the working platinum electrode at 0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl (Fc-to-Fc$^+$ oxidation) and at 0.1 V vs Ag/AgCl (Fc$^+$-to-Fc reduction) induced enhancement/decrease of fluorescence emission for several cycles, with complete recovery of the intensity, demonstrating the robustness of the dyadic system.

Magri and co-workers reported an interesting system that contains two switches and one light bulb [31]. The three-component system is shown in Fig. 8.

One of the two switches is ferrocene, which behaves as an electron donor and transfers one electron to the proximate photo-excited anthracene fragment *An, quenching its emission. On addition of a mild oxidising agent, Fe$^{3+}$, ferrocene is oxidised to ferrocenium, which does not possess any donating tendency, and the Fc-to-*An eT process is stopped. The other switch is a tertiary amine fragment, also a good electron donor, which quenches *An through the eT process. Electron transfer is inhibited in the presence of strong acid which protonates the amine group. Therefore, fluorescence is revived only on addition of both Fe$^{3+}$ and H$^+$. The switch ferrocene is operated by a redox process, the switch tertiary amine is operated by an acid–base reaction.

Figure 9 shows the emission spectra taken on a MeOH solution containing (1) the three-component 8, form a; (2) 8a + Fe$^{3+}$ (from Fe$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$·5H$_2$O); (3) 8a + H$^+$ (from CF$_3$SO$_3$H); (4) 8a + Fe$^{3+}$ + H$^+$. Notice that only the simultaneous presence of Fe$^{3+}$ and H$^+$ ensures a full revival of the anthracene emission spectrum.

System 8 rightfully belongs to the area of ‘molecular logic’, a topic invented in 1993 by A. P. de Silva, Queen’s University, Belfast [32]. Molecular logic treats the design of simple molecular systems behaving as logic gates, and developed during the last three decades to a lively subdiscipline of supramolecular chemistry [33]. In this perspective, the considered system should be considered an AND logic gate, as indicated by its truth table in Fig. 8.

To make things a bit more complicated, Magri and co-workers added to 8 a third switch, a benzo-crown-ether fragment, to give the four-component system 9, in Fig. 10 [34].

The 1,2-dimethoxybenzene fragment of the appended benzo-crown-ether is a powerful electron donor capable of quenching the fluorescent emission of the proximate anthracene moiety through a photo-induced electron
transfer. The 15-membred crown ether shows a special affinity for Na+, due to the complementarity of the radius of the anion and the radius of ligand’s circular cavity. On Na+ complexation, the lone pairs of the two phenyl bound oxygen atoms become engaged in metal coordination and are no longer able to pump electrons to the benzene ring, whose donating tendencies are drastically reduced: the electron transfer to An is prevented. Therefore, switching on of the anthracene fluorescence in system 9 requires the simultaneous addition of Fe³⁺, H⁺ and Na⁺. We are again in the presence of an AND logic gate, which this time is operated by three distinct inputs.

Following the aforementioned railway metaphor, the redox switch, depending on its oxidation state, turns the behaviour of a linked functional unit to one direction or to the other, quickly and reversibly. A simple example is provided by the ferrocene carboxylic acid 10 (Fc-COOH) [35]. The acidic properties of 10 vary according to the oxidation state of the ferrocene subunit, as illustrated in the square scheme in Fig. 11.

Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) experiments were carried out on MeCN/H₂O (4:1, v/v) solutions, whose pH was adjusted by appropriate buffers (pyridine, 2,6-dimethylpyridine, ethylenediamine). At each pH, a single symmetric profile was observed, to be ascribed to the reversible Fc–to–Fc⁺ one-electron oxidation. On pH increase (from 2 to 10), the Gaussian profile shifted towards less positive potentials. The half-height width of each profile, δ₁/₂, was about 100 mV (canonical value for a fully reversible process, at 25 °C, is 90 mV). Peak potential values E₁/₂ are plotted vs pH in Fig. 12.

E₁/₂ exhibits a constant value in more acidic solutions (pH < 3), then decreases according to a reverse sigmoidal profile, and finally reaches a constant value in distinctly basic solutions (pH > 9). Following the square scheme in Fig. 11, the E₁/₂ value of each DPV profile (mV) should depend upon the acidity according to Eq. (2) (potential expressed in mV)

\[
E_{1/2} = E^\circ(-\text{COOH}) - 59.16 \log \frac{K_a(Fc^+) + [H^+]}{K_a(Fc) + [H^+]} 
\]

Non-linear fitting of Eq. (2) to the dependent variable E₁/₂ and to the independent variable [H⁺] gave the following values for the investigated parameters: \(E^\circ(-\text{COOH}) = 528 \pm 1 \text{ mV} \); \(pK_a(Fc) = 7.79 \pm 0.08\); \(pK_a(Fc^+) = 4.54 \pm 0.04\). Equation (1) fits the data well, as
indicated by the calculated solid line in Fig. 12b. Moreover, $E_{1/2}$ values of the lower plateau (pH ≥ 9) should correspond to $E^\circ(-\text{COO}^-) = 337$ mV.

It has to be noticed that the increase of the positive charge of the organometallic substituent enhances substantially the acidity of the carboxylic group (by 1800 times). Such a behaviour may be reminiscent of that of classical oxoacids, whose ionisation constants increase by several log units on increasing the oxidation state of the central non-metal atom. On the other hand, on deprotonation of $–\text{COOH}$, the $E^\circ$ value decreases by 200 mV, due to the delocalisation of the negative charge from $–\text{COO}^-$ onto the organometallic moiety and onto the metal centre, which makes the electron abstraction easier.

A similar titration experiment was carried out by adding standard NaOH to an MeCN/H$_2$O 4:1 solution of 10 made acidic with HClO$_4$, and determining after each addition the DPV profile. This time the solution was unbuffered. In the distinctly acidic region (pH < 4), a peak centred at $E_{1/2} = 530$ mV, corresponding to the reversible oxidation of the Fc–COOH derivative, was observed. On further addition of base, the intensity of the peak centred at $E_{1/2} = 530$ mV was observed to decrease, whereas a new symmetric peak developed at $E_{1/2} = 335$ mV (see Fig. 13).

At pH > 9, the more anodic peak had completely disappeared and the peak at 335 mV had reached a constant and limiting value. Such a peak should correspond to the oxidation of the Fc–COO$^-$ species.

It should be noted that this type of voltammetric response is completely different from that observed in the experiments in which the pH had been adjusted by a 0.1 M buffer (Fig. 12). In a buffered solution, the oxidation process alters the composition of the equilibrium mixture and the species Fc–COOH, Fc–COO$^-$ and H$^+$ species present in the thin layer of solution at the electrode surface react to restore the pristine equilibrium conditions, according
The potential of the limiting cathodic equilibrium is restored, the intact Fc–COOH species potential (530 mV), well before that the thermodynamic its individual peak (at 335 mV). Then, at a more positive contrary, in an unbuffered solution, only a single peak is observed in the DPV profile, whose potential is determined by Eq. (2). On the electrode, such a migration process is slower than the electron transfer at the electrode. Under these non-equilibrium conditions, on scanning the potential of the working electrode, first the oxidation of Fc–COO− takes place, giving rise to its individual peak (at 335 mV). Then, at a more positive potential (530 mV), before that the thermodynamic equilibrium is restored, the intact Fc–COOH species undergoes oxidation. The potential of the limiting cathodic peak corresponds to \( E^\circ (\text{Fc–COOH}) \) and that of the limiting anodic peak to \( E^\circ (\text{Fc–COOH}) \). Moreover, the intensity of each peak should be proportional to the concentration of the corresponding redox active species.

From the scheme in Fig. 11, the following equation can be derived:

\[
\Delta E^\circ = E^\circ (\text{COO}^-) - E^\circ (\text{COOH}^-) = 59.16 \log \frac{K_a(\text{Fc}^+)}{K_a(\text{Fc})}
\]  

Equation (3) demonstrates that the higher the horizontal potential separation of the two limiting peaks in Fig. 13 (which corresponds to the vertical potential difference between the two plateaus in the sigmoidal profile in Fig. 12b), the higher the perturbation exerted by the redox change on the acidic properties of the linked subunit. DPV studies under the same conditions showed that, in the series of carboxylic acids Fc–COOH, Fc–CH2–COOH and Fc–(CH2)2–COOH, \( \Delta E^\circ \) varies according to the sequence 200 mV, 120 mV and 80 mV. Such a behaviour reflects the decrease of through-space electrostatic effects as the distance between the metal centre and the –COOH group increases. Moreover, presence of –CH2– spacers prevents the π-delocalisation effect operating in the Fc-COO− anion.

**Ferrocene-based electrochemical sensors for cations**

Systems in which the redox switch is linked to an ion receptor play a special role in supramolecular chemistry. In particular, the oxidation state of the redox unit can favour or disfavour the interaction of the receptor with a given substrate. The key parameter in these studies is \( \Delta E_{1/2} \), i.e. the difference of the \( E_{1/2} \) potentials before and after the occurrence of the receptor–substrate association. This quantity may provide useful information on the selectivity of the receptor–substrate interaction. In this perspective, a redox unit–receptor system is defined as an electrochemical sensor. This means that attention is now centred on the recognition process and the redox-active moiety plays an ancillary yet still essential role, that of reporter or signalling unit of the event. Ferrocene, in view of its chemical stability, of its versatile functionalisation and of its electrochemical reversibility is the ideal redox unit for the design of electrochemical sensors. On uptake of a cation M+ by the linked receptor, the oxidation of Fe to Fe+ becomes more difficult because of the electrostatic repulsion exerted by the proximate positive charge of M+ and \( E_{1/2} \) is shifted to more positive potentials (anodically). On the contrary, on uptake of an anion X−, the attractive electrostatic interaction between Fe+ and X− favours oxidation and \( E_{1/2} \) is shifted to less positive potential (cathodically). Figure 14 shows the DPV profiles expected for the interaction of a ferrocene-based electrochemical sensor with a metal ion M+ (anodic shift of \( E_{1/2} \)) and with an anion X− (cathodic shift of \( E_{1/2} \)).

The first electrochemical sensors for cations were designed by inserting a ferrocene fragment in the skeleton of a given receptor whose selective binding properties toward a class of metal ions had been previously ascertained. This is the case for cryptands, macrobicyclic ligands introduced by Jean-Marie Lehn in 1969 [36]. Cryptands (e.g. 11, 12, 13, current name l.m.n-crypt, where l, m and n indicate the number of ethereal oxygen atoms present in the three strands linking the two pivot
nucleobases) form stable complexes with s block metal ions in highly competing solvents, including water [37].

In particular, the cavity of the receptor can be modulated by varying the number of (–CH₂–CH₂–O–) fragments of each strand. Figure 15 shows the crystal structure of the [K(2.2.2-crypt)]⁺ complex [38]. The alkali metal ion is well included in the cavity and profits from the coordination of all the donor atoms of the cryptand (4 oxygens and 2 nitrogens).

A remarkable property of cryptate complexes is that their stability depends upon the matching of the metal ion radius and the radius of the spheroidal cavity of the receptor [40]. Figure 16a plots the log $K$ values of the complexation equilibria of alkali metal ions with cryptands of varying cavity size vs metal ion radii [41].

Figure 16a shows that each cryptand exhibits a defined selective affinity toward an alkali metal ion of a given radius. The smallest 2.1.1-crypt forms the most stable complex with the smallest alkali ion Li⁺. The medium size 2.2.1-crypt forms the most stable complex with Na⁺, displaying a sharp peak selectivity. The cryptand of largest cavity size, 2.2.2-crypt shows a marked peak selectivity with the inclusion of K⁺. A significant contribution is also given by the number of oxygen atoms whose increase favours the complexation of metal ions of higher coordination number (Li⁺ < Na⁺ < K⁺ < Rb⁺ < Cs⁺).

Cryptands with an integrated ferrocene moiety have been designed by replacing one of the three –CH₂CH₂– chains linking two ethereal oxygen atoms with an Fc unit bound at the 1,1′-carbon atoms (14, 15, 16; Fc–l,m,n-crypt) [39]. Figure 15b shows the crystal structure of the [Ca(Fc–2.2.2-crypt)]²⁺ complex [39]. The Ca²⁺ ion is included in the cavity and is bound to all the six donor atoms. It is positioned at a 4.03 Å distance from the iron centre and is expected to exert an electrostatic perturbation on the Fc/Fc⁺ oxidation process, a feature to be generally observed on complexation of s block metal ions by ferrocene-cryptands. In fact, on formation of complexes of alkali metal ions with 14, 15 and 16, the $E_{1/2}$(Fc⁺/Fc) value undergoes an anodic shift varying from 100 to 400 mV. In Fig. 16b, the values of $\Delta E_{1/2}$ ($= E_{1/2}$(Fc⁺/Fc) complexed – $E_{1/2}$(Fc⁺/Fc) uncomplexed) for the three cryptands Fe–2.1.1-crypt, Fe–2.2.1-crypt and Fe–2.2.2-crypt (MeCN, 25 °C) are plotted vs the ionic radius of alkali cations. The highest perturbing effects (ca. 400 mV) are observed on formation of [Li(Fc–2.2.1-crypt)]⁺ and [Na(Fc–2.2.1-crypt)]⁺ complexes: it is probable that the smallest receptor brings the smallest alkali cations closest to iron. Complexation of all the alkali metal ions...
by Fc–2.2.1-crypt and Fc–2.2.2-crypt generates less pronounced and non-selective $\Delta E_{1/2}$ values ranging from 100 to 200 mV.

Figure 17a considers the solution stability of the alkaline-earth metal ions with the envisaged cryptands. In this case, too, log $K$ values are related (1) to the match between the cation radius and the size of the receptor, and (2) to the number of donor oxygen atoms. In particular, Ca$^{2+}$ is the preferred cation by 2.1.1-crypt, Sr$^{2+}$ by 2.2.1-crypt (with a moderate selectivity peak), Ba$^{2+}$ by 2.2.2-crypt [41].

Figure 17b shows the $\Delta E_{1/2}$ values induced by coordination of the same alkaline-earth metal ion by ferrocene-cryptands 14, 15, 16 [39]. A more pronounced perturbing effect is observed than for alkali metal ions, $\Delta E_{1/2}$ ranging from 200 to 500 mV, a consequence of the +2 electrical charge of the cation. For a given ferrocene cryptand, variations of $\Delta E_{1/2}$ as large as 250 mV are observed (Ca$^{2+}$/Sr$^{2+}$ for Fc–2.2.1-crypt; Ca$^{2+}$/Ba$^{2+}$ for Fc–2.2.2-crypt). However, a rationalisation seems difficult.

At this stage, in order to clarify the thermodynamic meaning of $\Delta E_{1/2}$, it is useful to consider the square scheme illustrated in Fig. 18. From such a scheme, the following equation can be derived:

$$\Delta E_{1/2} = \Delta E^o = E_{ML}^o - E_L^o = 59.16 \log \frac{K(Fc^+)}{K(Fc)}$$

Equation (4) demonstrates that $\Delta E_{1/2}$ is only dependent on the relative change in complexation constants between the different oxidation states. It follows that a large response is foreseeable in systems where the Fc–to–Fc$^+$ oxidation process generates a species in which metal ion binding is strongly disfavoured and this happens when the receptor subunit forces the cation to place itself close to the redox centre. Therefore, in the case of electrochemical sensors of

Fig. 17 a log $K$ vs ionic radius for alkaline-earth metal complexes with cryptands 11, 12, 13 (MeOH/water 95:5) [41]; b $\Delta E_{1/2}$ vs ionic radius for complexation of alkaline-earth metal ions by ferrocene-cryptands 14, 15, 16 [39]

Fig. 18 Square scheme illustrating the complexation of an alkali metal ion M$^+$ by a ferrocene cryptand in its reduced, [Fc]–[L], and oxidised, [Fc$^+$]–[L], forms

$$[\text{Fc}]-[\text{L}]+M^+ \rightleftharpoons K \quad \text{[Fc]}-\text{[L]}\cdots\text{M}^+$$

$$-e^- \quad E_L^o \quad -e^- \quad E_{ML}^o$$

$$[\text{Fc}^+]-[\text{L}]+M^+ \rightleftharpoons K^+ \quad \text{[Fc}^+]-\text{[L]}\cdots\text{M}^+$$

Fig. 16 a log $K$ vs ionic radius for alkali metal complexes with cryptands 11, 12, 13 (MeOH/water 95:5) [41]; b $\Delta E_{1/2}$ vs ionic radius for complexation of alkali metal ions by ferrocene-cryptands 14, 15, 16 [39]
type 14–16, one should distinguish between selectivity, a property which depends upon the matching of the radii of the spherical cation and of the spheroidal receptor’s cavity (expressed by the log $K$ of the complexation of the reduced form) and sensitivity, a property which refers to the capability of the oxidised redox unit to exert electrostatic repulsive effects on the metal ion (expressed by $\Delta E_{1/2}$), thus giving a well-detectable electrochemical signal. Selectivity and sensitivity rarely coincide.

Electrochemical sensors have been designed by appending ferrocene subunits to polyamines, which display a pronounced affinity for $3d$ metal ions. For instance, the ferrocene modified tetra-amine 17 (Fc–tet) forms a stable square-planar complex with Ni$^{II}$ ($\log K = 15.1$, determined through pH-metric titrations in aqueous 0.1 M NaClO$_4$, 25°C) [42]. The ferrocene fragment is oxidised at 361 mV vs NHE in the uncomplexed Fc–tet and at 376 mV in the [Ni$^{II}$(Fc–tet)]$^{2+}$ complex. The very moderate $\Delta E_{1/2}$ (25 mV) reflects the fact that the metal ion is positioned at a relatively high distance from Fc and that electron density is transferred from amine nitrogen atoms to Ni$^{II}$, thus reducing its effective electrical charge [42].

An unusual behaviour is displayed by the conjugate system 18, in which a ferrocene fragment is linked through a C–C bond to the linear diamine–diamide ligand dioxo–tet [42]. Dioxo–tet exhibits scarce binding tendencies towards metal ions because it contains two poorly coordinating amide nitrogen atoms. However, dioxo–tet in slightly basic solutions and in the presence of a 3$d$ metal ion (e.g. Ni$^{2+}$ or Cu$^{2+}$) undergoes deprotonation of the two amide groups and forms a stable four-coordinate neutral complex. The process is described by equilibrium (i) in Fig. 19 (superior edge of the square scheme, which refers to Fc–dioxo–tet, 18).

It happens that oxidation of the Fc subunit of the metal complex, Fc–[Ni$^{II}$L]$^0$, takes place at a potential ($E_{1/2} = 360$ mV) less positive than that of the uncomplexed system Fc–H$_2$L ($E_{1/2} = 402$ mV). Thus, system 18 represents a unique example of a redox-functionalised ligand that on metal complexation features a negative, rather than a positive, $\Delta E_{1/2}$ (−58 mV). Such an unusual behaviour is due to the fact that H$_2$L on complexation releases the two amide protons and a double negative charge delocalises on the two N–C–O fragments. Such a negative charge on one side reduces the electrostatic repulsion exerted by Ni$^{2+}$ and on the other side establishes an attractive interaction with the Fc$^+$ subunit.

**Ferrocene-based electrochemical sensors for anions**

An anion receptor typically binds the envisaged substrate through electrostatic interactions (when it contains in its skeleton positively charged groups, e.g. ammonium,
guanidinium) and/or hydrogen bonding interactions (when it contains polarised N–H fragments, e.g. from amides). Urea is a classical neutral receptor for anions capable of donating two convergent H-bonds to a spherical anion (e.g. halide) or two ‘parallel’ H-bonds to two oxygen atoms of an oxoanion [43]. Figure 20a shows the crystal structure of the H-bond complex involving a urea derivative and an acetate ion [44].

Nitrophenyl substituents in 19 further polarise the amide N–H fragments and enhance their H-bond-donating tendencies. The complex is especially stable (log \( K \)= 6.61, in MeCN at 25 °C), more than two orders of magnitude more stable than the corresponding complex of chloride (log \( K \)= 4.55 under the same conditions). This is probably because the distance between the ureido nitrogen atoms is close to that between carboxylate oxygen atoms (2.28 and 2.14 Å, respectively), which allows the formation of almost collinear N–H···O hydrogen bonds, to be compared to the less intense bent N–H···Cl bonds of the chloride complex [44].

An electrochemical sensor for carboxylates has been synthesised by appending to the urea subunit a ferrocene moiety to give the electrochemical sensor 20, whose structure is displayed in Fig. 20b [45]. The interaction of 20 with a series of chiral carboxylates (21, 22, 23) was investigated through voltammetric studies in MeCN. In all cases, anion addition induced the expected cathodic shift of \( E_{1/2} \). Just as an example, for 2-phenylbutyrate (21) a \( \Delta E° = 81 \pm 1 \) mV was determined, independently upon anion chirality, whether \( R \) or \( S \): on the other hand, nobody would expect that an achiral receptor like 20 would ‘recognise’ enantiomeric substrates. The chiral derivative 24, which had been obtained in its enantiomeric form \( R \) by inserting a benzyl group at the carbon atom linked to the cyclopentadienyl ring of 20 (indicated in Fig. 20b by a yellow arrow) through a multistep synthetic procedure, was tested as an electrochemical sensor to discriminate the enantiomers of chiral carboxylates [45]. However, the voltammetric response was deceiving: \( \Delta E° \) values determined after the addition of the two enantiomers \( S \) and \( R \) of the anion of the 2-phenylbutyric acid (21) are nearly coincident: \( \Delta E° = 82 \) and 81 mV, respectively, the difference being lower than the experimental uncertainty. Similar results were obtained with the enantiomeric anions of mandelic acid (22), and the enantiomeric anions of the protected amino acid \( N \)-benzenesulfonyl proline (23). This does not necessarily mean that voltammetry is an inappropriate technique for identifying one enantiomer with respect to its mirror image. In fact, the log \( K \) values of the association equilibria of 24 with the \( S \) and the \( R \) form of mandelate (21) in DMSO, determined through spectrophotometric titration experiments, are 3.42 and 3.33, respectively, which means that their difference (0.09 log units) is in the range of the experimental error. Thus, failing of the enantiomeric discrimination has to be rather ascribed to the receptor’s design, in particular to the positioning of the chiral centre too far for exerting selective steric interactions with the incoming anion.

Ferrocene derivatives have been designed that contain a subunit suitable for recognising an anion and an adjacent subunit capable to interact with cations. This is the case of system 25 in which two facing urea moieties provide a comfortable shelter for anions and two facing 18-crown-6 rings are eager to coordinate an alkaline metal ion [46]. In particular, system 25 can sense electrochemically the \( \text{KH}_{2}\text{PO}_4 \) salt in a DMSO solution (Fig. 21). However, in order to obtain significant voltammetric responses, a defined sequence of ion addition is required. In particular, on addition of \( \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^- \) to a solution of 25, the peak of the Fc/Fc* couple (at −310 mV, blue solid line in Fig. 22) undergoes a
significant cathodic shift ($\Delta E_{1/2} = -190$ mV; see the DPV profile—violet dashed line—in Fig. 22).

On the other hand, if $K^+$ is added to a solution of 25 the peak at $-310$ mV remains unaffected. Independent NMR titration experiments under the same conditions ($25 + K^+$) demonstrated that $K^+$ interacts with the two crown-ether rings forming a stable complex. Thus, lack of electrochemical perturbation has to be ascribed to the fact that the complexed $K^+$ is too far from the ferrocene subunit to exert any electrostatic repulsion. However, if $K^+$ is added to a solution containing both 25 and $H_2PO_4^-$, the Fc/Fc$^+$ peak undergoes an anodic shift ($\Delta E_{1/2} = +50$ mV; see the DPV profile—red dotted line—in Fig. 22). This is due to the fact that $H_2PO_4^-$ now interacts with the proximate $K^+$ ion, a circumstance which reduces the charge available for the attractive electrostatic interaction with the ferrocene subunit. This makes $\Delta E_{1/2}$ less negative by 50 mV.

Electron transfer across a liquid membrane mediated by the Fc/Fc$^+$ redox couple

A redox process is typically carried out by pouring the solution of the oxidising agent (Ox) into the solution of the reducing agent (Red) (or vice versa) and the electron transfer proceeds through the intimate contact of the reactants. Alessandro Volta more than two centuries ago demonstrated that a redox process can be carried out by keeping the solution of Red and the solution of Ox separated and connected by a salt bridge. In such a device (or cell) electrons flow from Red to Ox through a metal wire, while cations and anions of the supporting electrolyte migrate through the salt bridge to maintain electroneutrality [47]. More recently it was shown that there is another way to carry out redox processes, still keeping the solutions of Red and Ox separated. Such a procedure requires a V-shaped vessel illustrated in Fig. 23.

In particular, the aqueous solution containing the reducing agent Red (a) is separated from the aqueous solution containing the oxidising agent Ox (b) by a layer of a water immiscible liquid (c, e.g. CH$_2$Cl$_2$). The interfacing liquid layer can be made permeable to electrons, thus becoming a membrane, by dissolving in it an appropriate molecular redox system C, capable of undergoing a fast and kinetically uncomplicated one-electron redox change either $C/C^+$ or $C/C^-$. Among the available systems of type $C/C^+$, ferrocene is undoubtedly one of the strongest candidates. Thus, the electron transfer from Red to Ox can take place according to the mechanism illustrated in Fig. 24.

Ox diffuses to the interface with the membrane and there it oxidises Fc to Fc$^+$. To maintain electroneutrality, Fc$^+$ uptakes an X$^-$ anion from the aqueous phase, to form the ion pair $[Fc^+]X^-$. The ion pair diffuses to the interface with the reducing phase, where it oxidises Red to Red$^+$ and releases X$^-$. Fc is now ready for another cycle. In each cycle, one electron is transported from the reducing phase to the oxidising one, while one anion is counter-transported in the opposite direction. The Fc/Fc$^+$ system operates in a cyclic way and needs to be present only in a catalytic amount. The
A strict prerequisite of the carrier is its complete insolvability in water, in both reduced and oxidised forms. Therefore, plain ferrocene cannot be used, as in its oxidised form it partitions between water and organic solvents. On the other hand, ferrocene derivatives with an appended long aliphatic chain (26, 27) remain strictly confined in the liquid membrane and can act as efficient electron and anion carriers [48].

The ferrocene subunits in both derivatives undergo one-electron oxidation at distinct potentials, as indicated by CV experiments in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 0.1 M in Bu$_4$NClO$_4$: 26 at −50 mV vs plain ferrocene standard (moderate electron pumping effect by the aliphatic chain), 27 at 250 mV vs Fc$^+/Fc$ (electron-withdrawing effect exerted by the proximate carbonyl group) [49]. Such a significant difference affords an interesting selectivity in electron transport experiments (vide infra).

When operating in a homogenous phase, e.g. water, one can predict the occurrence or not of a given redox process by looking at the electrochemical scale: the standard potential of the half-reaction of reduction involving the oxidising agent must be higher than the standard potential of the half-reaction of reduction involving the reducing agent. When operating under two-phase conditions (e.g. at the water/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ interface) we have to juxtapose two distinct electrochemical scales and juxtaposition can be only empirical and tentative. In particular, it was preliminarily observed that on equilibrating (e.g. vigorously shaking) an aqueous layer containing Ce$^{IV}$ in strong acid ($E^\circ = 1.61$ V vs NHE) with a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ layer containing either 26 or 27, the colour of the organic layer changes from orange (Fc) to blue (Fc$^+$): the two-phase oxidation process takes place. On the other hand, the oxidised species, whether of 26 or of 27, is quantitatively reduced to the original ferrocene form by treatment, under two-phase conditions, with a mild aqueous reducing agent such as [Fe$^{II}$(CN)$_6$]$^{4−}$ ($E^\circ = 0.36$ V vs NHE), as shown by the development of orange colour in the dichloromethane layer (and complete disappearance of the ferrocenium band at 628 nm). These findings allowed one to adjust the relative positions of the electrochemical scales in water and in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (see Fig. 25) [49].

In particular, the two scales should be juxtaposed in such a way that the potentials pertinent to the 26$/26$ and the
couples are higher than that associated with the aqueous $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$ couple and lower than that associated with the aqueous $\text{Ce}^{IV}/\text{Ce}^{III}$ couple. Selectivity effects induced by the nature of the ferrocene derivative are observed with two-phase experiments involving the aqueous $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$ couple. In fact, $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ (1 M HClO$_4$) oxidises $\text{27}^+$, under two-phase conditions, but not $\text{26}^+$. On the other hand, $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ (1 M HClO$_4$) reduces, under two-phase conditions, $\text{26}^+$ but not $\text{27}^+$, as demonstrated by the colour changes and by spectral characterisation. All the aforementioned evidence indicates that the two electrochemical scales in water and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ should be juxtaposed as shown in Fig. 25. In particular, the potential associated with the aqueous $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$ couple (0.77 V vs NHE) should be less positive than that of the $\text{27}^+/\text{27}$ couple, but more positive than that of the $\text{26}^+/\text{26}$ couple. Such a situation opened the route to experiments of selective electron transport across liquid membranes.

In a typical experiment, the V-shaped glass cell shown in Fig. 23 was charged with a dichloromethane solution (30 mL) 10$^{-3}$ M in $\text{27}$. The CH$_2$Cl$_2$ layer (the membrane) separated the reducing phase (30 mL of an aqueous solution 10$^{-2}$ M in $\text{[Fe}^{II}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ and the oxidising phase (30 mL of an aqueous solution 5 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ M in Ce$^{IV}$ and 1 M in HClO$_4$). After a few minutes, the originally orange liquid membrane took a blue colour, due to the formation of the ferrocenium derivative ($\text{27}^+$). The occurrence and progress of the electron transport was signalled by the appearance and the gradual increase in the concentration of $\text{[Fe}^{III}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ in the reducing phase, as monitored through the ferricyanide band at 420 nm.

Figure 26a displays the variation of the concentration of $\text{[Fe}^{III}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}$ during the experiment, as calculated from spectra. According to the mechanism outlined in Fig. 24, ClO$_4^-$ ions must be transported from the oxidising phase to the reducing phase, to guarantee electroneutrality. The concentration of perchlorate, $\text{[ClO}_4^-]$, was determined by extracting microportions of the aqueous reducing phase and carrying out appropriate analytical tests. The change of perchlorate concentration (%) over time is shown in Fig. 26a. Noticeably, the plot of the increasing perchlorate concentration superimposes well, within the experimental error, with that of the ferricyanide concentration, which unequivocally demonstrates the simultaneous occurrence of the cross-transport of electrons and perchlorate anions and clearly defines its 1:1 stoichiometry. A similar behaviour was observed when using $\text{26}$ as an electron carrier.

![Image](image_url)
Selectivity experiments were carried out by charging the reducing phase with $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}$ $10^{-1}$ M, the oxidising phase with both Ce$^{IV}$ $10^{-2}$ M and Fe$^{III}$ $10^{-2}$ M, and HClO$_4$ 1 M, while the membrane contained 26 $10^{-3}$ M. The progress of the electron/perchlorate cross-transport was followed by determining the concentration of the envisaged oxidising agent in extracted aliquots of the aqueous oxidising phase (spectrum of Ce$^{IV}$; spectrum of Fe$^{III}$ $+ 2$ M NH$_4$NCS). Figure 26b refers to the experiment in which 26 is present in the liquid membrane and shows the variation over time of the percentage concentration of the two oxidising agents, whose decrease is controlled by the Nernst equation: first, the concentration of the stronger oxidising agent, [Ce$^{IV}$], decreases linearly, but, when it reaches a value of ca. 10%, decreases with a less pronounced slope. At this point, the potentials of Ce$^{IV}$/Ce$^{III}$ and the Fe$^{III}$/Fe$^{II}$ potential should be nearly the same, and the decrease of [Fe$^{III}$] begins. In particular, [Fe$^{III}$] decreases linearly, but with a slope distinctly lower than [Ce$^{IV}$]. Nothing is known about the kinetics of the Fc-to-Ox electron transfer occurring in the boundary region of the water/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ interface. However, it seems reasonable to assume that the crucial step involves the intimate contact of the two reagents and that the electron is transferred through an outer-sphere mode (imposed by the nature of the ferrocene carrier). In this situation, a Marcus-type cross relationship should hold \(k_{12} = \{k_{11}K_{12}\}^{1/2}\). On this basis, the rate constant of the two-phase redox process \(k_{12}\) should increase (1) with increasing \(\Delta E^°(\text{Ox}/\text{Red})\) and (2) with the self-exchange constant \(k_{11}\) pertinent to the aqueous oxidising agent. Whereas the self-exchange constants are similar \([k_{11} = 10^{4-4}\] (Ce$^{IV}$), 10$^{4-0}$ (Fe$^{III}$)), the remarkably higher value of \(\Delta E^°\) should favour significantly the rate of the process involving Ce$^{IV}$, compared to Fe$^{III}$.

Things go differently in the transport experiment mediated by carrier 27 and described by Fig. 26c. [Ce$^{IV}$] decreases linearly, but with a slope much lower than that observed with carrier 26 (Fig. 26b). The lower rate of the heterogeneous Ce$^{IV}$/25 electron transfer can be also in this case explained in terms of a Marcus cross relationship, \(K_{12}\) (\(\Delta E^°\)) being distinctly smaller than for Ce$^{IV}$/26. However, the most important point of the present experiment is that [Fe$^{III}$] remains at 100%, even when Ce$^{IV}$ has been completely reduced. This is because \(E^°(\text{Fe}^{III}/\text{Fe}^{II})_{\text{(aq)}}\) lies below \(E^°(\text{Fc}^{+/0})_{\text{(CH2Cl2)}}\) in the juxtaposed electrochemical scales in Fig. 25. Thus, the three-phase redox process appears selective. In particular, the redox-active liquid membrane acts as a filter that allows the passage only of electrons of higher energy than a chosen value (that determined by \(E^°(\text{C}^+/\text{C})\), C = carrier). Such a type of selectivity is not provided for instance by the voltaic cell, in which the oxidising agent takes electrons in sequence, through a metallic wire, from all the reducing agents present in the opposite cell.

This lecture text has covered aspects of the ferrocene/ferrocnium redox change, which are close to the research interests and to the laboratory experience of the author and, in this sense, is rather selective. Further remarkable applications refer to molecular recognition and sensing by ferrocene-based polymers [50, 51] and the electrochemistry of ferrocene-functionalised surfaces [52, 53].

**Epilogue**

The versatile redox chemistry of Fc, associated with the stability of the molecular framework and with the ease of functionalisation, has favoured the design of hundreds of ferrocene-based catalysts, including many efficient chiral ones, as well the application in materials science, nanomedicine, biological sensing and batteries. Moreover, ferrocene remains an icon of chemistry, which surprises the freshmen with its unusual shape, so different from classical organic and inorganic compounds they have encountered in the courses of chemistry at high school, and later it will help to convince students that molecular orbital theory represents the most convenient approach to describe molecules of varying complexity, including sandwich compounds. Ferrocene is often the first organometallic compound synthesised in university preparative laboratories from FeCl$_2$ and Na(C$_5$H$_5$) and again it surprises and captivates students because of its properties: stability, crystallinity, solubility in solvents of varying polarity. Finally, students in the laboratory will probably observe that on treatment with mild oxidising agents like AgNO$_3$ and FeCl$_3$, ferrocene solution changes from orange to blue, due to the formation of ferrocnium. In doing these experiments, students can share the emotions that Professor Woodward’s students experienced back in 1952. Chemistry changes quickly in its technical aspect, but feelings and excitement of chemistry never change.
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