Manufacturing of Plant-Based Bioactive Peptides Using Enzymatic Methods to Meet Health and Sustainability Targets of the Sustainable Development Goals
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Due to the rapid growth in the global population, the consumption of animal-based food products/food compounds has been associated with negative implications for food sustainability/security. As a result, there is an increasing demand for the development of plant-based food and compounds as alternatives. Meanwhile, a growing number of studies report the health benefits of food protein-based peptides prepared via enzymatic hydrolysis and exhibiting biological properties such as antioxidant, antihypertensive, anti-thrombotic, and antidiabetic activities. However, the inherent bitterness of some peptides hinders their application in food products as ingredients. This article aims to provide the latest findings on plant-based bioactive peptides, particularly their health benefits, manufacturing methods, detection and qualification of their bitterness properties, as well as debittering methods to reduce or eliminate this negative sensory characteristic. However, there is still a paucity of research on the biological property of debittered peptides. Therefore, the role of plant protein-derived bioactive peptides to meet the health targets of the Sustainable Development Goals can only be realised if advances are made in the industrial-scale bioprocessing and debittering of these peptides.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the data from World Population Prospects (2019 revision), United Nations (2019) estimates a dramatic global population growth from 7.7 to 9.7 billion from 2019 to 2050, with the population over the age of 65 increasing from 9 to 16%. Not only this population growth prospects is raising questions on demand for food quantity, but it is also initiating discussions and debates on the sustainability of systems used in the current agri-food sector. In this context, some negative impacts on the environment through the manufacturing of animal-based food materials by the agricultural sector have been reported. Some of these impacts include the formation of a large number of greenhouse gases, farm land conversion (i.e., from forests, wetlands, and grasslands) and poor biodiversity, etc.
Unfortunately, at the same time, the contemporary “downstream” food industry is also generating a huge number of by-products and wastes. The disposal of these by-products could cause a huge negative impact on the environment. However, some of these by-products can be potentially used to develop novel food ingredients with health benefits. For instance, defatted seeds, which are considered “by-products” by the oil industry, are rich in proteins. A Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2012) report suggested that the annual volume of defatted meals of major oilseeds (i.e., soybean, rapeseed, cottonseed, sunflower seed, flaxseed, and peanut) was approximately 200 million tonnes, and these defatted meals contain up to 50% (w/w) protein. On the other hand, defatted cereal (e.g., rice bran, corn and wheat germ etc.) and vegetables (e.g., olive, shea butter cake and palm kernel cake etc.), which are also produced in large quantities annually, are promising sources of proteins (Zarei et al., 2012; Abdul-Mumeen et al., 2013; Rahman et al., 2013; Mesghinifar et al., 2019; Görgüç et al., 2020). Therefore, there is an increasing demand in developing new food products and ingredients from these plant-based materials to improve the sustainability of food production processes and reduce the environmental footprint of food waste disposal.

**BIOPEPTIDES AND FABRICATION PROCESS**

Bioactive peptides (or biopeptides) are part of proteins, with the structure of 2–20 amino acid residues linked by peptide bonds and a molecular weight below 6 kDa (Sarmadi and Ismail, 2010; Chalamaiah et al., 2018, 2019). Recently, the biological activities of food protein-derived biopeptides have been drawing interest from both research and industrial sectors. Compared with physical mixtures of amino acids at an equivalent amount, peptides with short chains, particularly di- and tripeptides, are more readily absorbed by the human body due to (1) the presence of specific transport systems for peptides; (2) the less hypertonic nature of peptides, eliminating problems with osmosis, and (3) improved stability and or solubility of peptides (Parrado et al., 1991; Siemensm et al., 1993; Clemente, 2001; Kang et al., 2012). To date, the most commonly used methods to produce biopeptides from foods are microbial fermentation of food products, and enzymatic hydrolysis of food proteins (Lee and Hur, 2017).

**Microbial Fermentation to Produce Biopeptides**

Bacteria or yeast are involved in microbial fermentation of the protein-containing food to produce biopeptides. Briefly, these microorganisms secrete proteolytic enzymes during their growth so that food proteins are hydrolysed into biopeptides. The production of biopeptide via microbial fermentation is straightforward. Firstly, the selected microorganism is grown into its exponential phase in the growing media at the optimum conditions. Subsequently, these microorganisms are harvested, washed, suspended in sterile media and added into the target food material as a starter to induce the fermentation and the production of biopeptides (Daliri et al., 2016; Aguilar-Toalá et al., 2017). During the fermentation process, the type of microorganism, nature of the food matrix, and fermentation conditions significantly affect the outcomes (i.e., the types and quantities of peptides released).

To date, although dairy products are still the main source of proteins for generating biopeptides using the fermentation method (Wang et al., 2015; Najaian and Babji, 2018; Worsztynowicz et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2020), the preparation and characterisation of plant-based biopeptides are drawing significant research interests (see Table 1). For instance, Xiao et al. (2018) fermented red bean [Phaseolus angularis (Will.d.) W. F. Wight.] using Cordyceps militaris (L.) Fr to release small peptides. The authors observed significant inhibitory effects of the fermented bean on angiotensin I converting enzyme (ACE), with an IC₅₀ value of 0.63 mg/mL. As reported by Wu et al. (2018), whole-grain oats were fermented by Lactobacillus plantarum B1-6, Rhizopus oryzae, or their combination for 72 h, resulting in an increased degree of hydrolysis when both microorganisms were applied. In addition, the fermented oats had a higher ACE inhibitory activity, with an IC₅₀ value of 0.42 mg/mL. Enhancement of bioactivity during fermentation has been associated with degradation of the rigid cell wall of plants by microbial enzymes, resulting in enhanced protein digestibility and biopeptide release (Di Stefano et al., 2019).

**Enzymatic Hydrolysis to Produce Biopeptides**

Compared with the fermentation method, the hydrolysis of food proteins using enzymes to produce biopeptides has the advantages of time effectiveness, ease in scaling up, and better predictability. The general flow diagram of biopeptide preparation using this approach is shown in Figure 1. Essentially, the first step involves extraction of food proteins from the food to avoid interference of other non-protein components (Görgüç et al., 2020). For instance, enzyme activity can be significantly inhibited by phenolic compounds (Cirkovic Velickovic and Stanic-Vucinic, 2018). Subsequently, these extracted proteins are hydrolysed using the selected enzyme(s) at controlled temperature and pH for a certain period (He et al., 2016; Ouy and Peng, 2016). Similar to the release of biopeptide using the fermentation method, in this enzymatic hydrolysis process, the selected enzyme(s), nature of food proteins, and environmental conditions during the hydrolysis significantly affect the outcomes. In a study by Zhang et al. (2012), rice bran protein was hydrolysed using peptidases, Alcalase, Neutrase, papaya latex papain or porcine pancreas trypsin at 25–50°C and pH 7.0–8.5 depending on the nature of the enzyme. The result showed the Alcalase hydrolysis process produced hydrolysates with the highest degree of hydrolysis. The subsequent in vitro test result confirmed a high micellar cholesterol inhibition ability of the released biopeptides. To date, although the association between specific proteolytic enzymes and the release of specific peptides in food proteins has not been completely understood, it is well-accepted that the biopeptides with low molecular weight...
| Protein source | Microorganism | Fermentation condition | Identified biopeptides | Descriptions | References |
|----------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|------------|
| **Dairy products** | | | | | |
| Bovine milk | Pichia kudriavzevii KL84A, Lactobacillus plantarum LAT03, Enterococcus faecalis KE06 | The combined culture was mixed with milk at 1% (v/v) and the fermentation was performed at 28°C for 36 h | ACE inhibitory biopeptides | | Chaves-López et al., 2014 |
| Whey protein | Enterococcus faecalis 2/28 | The culture was added to whey protein with a ratio of 1:3 (w/w), followed by an incubated at 37°C at pH 6.9 for 48 h, under the agitation of 300 rpm | P1: AASISLLDAQSAPLR, P2: LDAQSAPLR, P3: LLQYGQVSLPEW, P4: LLALPMH | The fermentation led to the release of 12 biopeptides. P2, 3, 5, and 8 biopeptides showed ACE inhibitory effect; P1, 6, 10, and 11 with antimicrobial activity; P4, 5, 7, 8, 9, and 11 with DPP-IV inhibitory effect; P10 with proliferation stimulating activity and P12 with cytotoxic activity | Worsztynowicz et al., 2020 |
| Goat milk | Milk starter | Milk starter was mixed with goat milk at 3 and 5% (v/v), followed by fermentation at 40 and 45°C for 4–8 h. The pH during fermentation was controlled in the range of 4.5–5 | P1: LYQEPVLGVPVRGFPPI, P2: YQEPVLGVPVRQFPIL, P4: VQSWMHQPPGPLSPT | These 3 biopeptides significantly reduced cholesterol levels in the hypercholesterolemia rats | Mahdi et al., 2018 |
| **Meat** | | | | | |
| Pork protein extract | Lactobacillus plantarum CD101 and Staphylococcus simulans NJ201 | Microorganisms were added into pork protein extract at 10^7 CFU/mL, followed by an incubation at 30°C for 4 days | P1: MDLR, P2: PVLR, P3: FDLR, P4: EAAAPYLR, P5: EAAAPYLR, P6: AAPYLR, P7: LALLS, P8: VLAR, P9: LPLL, P10: ALLPA, P11: VNGFGR, P12: LLPA, P13: YGAR, P14: VVFL, P15: APARLF, P16: LPVSPL, P17: THLDT, P18: FLSNH, P19: VLVG, P20: AALLPA, P21: LLAAP, P22: LPVSPLL, P23: LLVFH, P24: LPVSPLL, P25: VVVFL, P26: AALLPA, P27: LLAAP, P28: LPVSPLL, P29: VVVFL, P30: AALLPA, P31: LLAAP, P32: LPVSPLL | Mixed culture was able to degrade sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar pork protein to produce 25 biopeptides. The ones made from sarcoplasmic proteins showed strong antioxidant activity | Yu et al., 2020 |
| Beef and camel sausage | Starter culture (Pediococcus pentosaceus and Staphylococcus carnosus) or Lactobacillus plantarum K0881772 or their mixture | Microorganisms were added to the sausage at 10^7-10^8 CFU/kg and fermentation was performed at 30°C for 48 h, followed by 21-day storage at 15°C and relative humidity of 90%. The final pH after fermentation was 5.3 | Not specified | The water-soluble biopeptide based on fermentation of camel sausage showed strong ACE-I, antioxidant and cytotoxicity properties against Caco-2 cell. At the other hand, the bioactivities of biopeptides from fermented beef sausage was not as significant as those from camel sausage | Ayyash et al., 2019 |
are more bioactive than ones with high molecular weight (Ruiz-Ruiz et al., 2013; Garcia-Tejedor et al., 2014). The third step is usually the termination of protein hydrolysis by inactivating the enzyme(s), followed by fractionation to separate hydrolysates or peptides from the reaction mixture (containing residual unhydrolysed proteins and buffer components). This separation process is usually performed using desalting and membrane-filtration technologies. Finally, the peptides can be recovered using freeze-drying technique, followed by characterisation of their physicochemical and biological properties.

In their study, Ferri et al. (2017) digested rice byproducts with five commercial proteolytic enzymes. The resulting digesta was then fractionated into four molecular weights using cross-flow membrane filtration techniques. This allowed bioactivity characterisation such as anti-tyrosinase, anti-inflammatory, cytotoxicity, irritation capacity, antioxidant, and anti-hypertensive activities to be performed. Similarly, in their studies, Nimlaratne et al. (2015) and Zhang et al. (2016) used various proteases to hydrolyse chicken egg white protein and *Pseudosciaena crocea* muscle, respectively. The hydrolysates were separated using desalting and ultrafiltration methods before the permeate was freeze-dried and characterised. It is worth noting that in both studies, reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) was used to purify the peptide fraction further, but this was more for analytical purposes.

**BIOACTIVITIES AND/OR HEALTH BENEFITS OF BIOPEPTIDES**

Generally, a wide range of bioactivities or health benefits has been reported for biopeptides, such as antioxidant, antimicrobial, anticancer, hypocholesterolemic, antihypertensive, immunomodulatory and opioid-like activities, etc. These bioactivities are significantly affected by the nature of the peptide, i.e., the type of amino acid, their sequence, and molecular weight, etc.

**Antioxidant Activity**

To date, many clinical trials have reported the correlation between the oxidative stress caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the development of various chronic diseases including rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, inflammation and even cancer (Sayin et al., 2014; Ibrahim et al., 2018). Therefore, the intake of a diet rich in antioxidants is well-recommended by clinicians, dietitians and health organisations. Meanwhile, food ingredients with antioxidant activity are also desired by the food industry, because these foods can delay and/or prevent oxidative deterioration of macromolecules in food product matrices, such as proteins and lipids, to improve the quality and shelf life of the food (Nwachukwu and Aluko, 2019).

Depending on the composition, structure, and hydrophobicity, some biopeptides show antioxidant properties to varying extents. For example, among 20 amino acids, Xu et al. (2017) observed stronger antioxidant activity in tryptophan, methionine, histidine, lysine, cysteine, arginine and tyrosine, than in others. Generally, biopeptides with antioxidant activities usually have short chains made from about 4 to 16 amino acids and low molecular weight in the range of 0.4–2 kDa.

The exact mechanism of antioxidant activities of these biopeptides is a continuing research endeavour. These peptides have been reported and used in different settings, such as inhibiting lipid peroxidation, scavenging free radicals, and...
chelating transition metal ions via various mechanisms (Wu et al., 2003; Rajapakse et al., 2005; Moure et al., 2006). For instance, in their studies, Ajibola et al. (2011) and Esfandi et al. (2019a,b) suggested that the scavenging of free radicals happens via hydrogen atom and single electron transfer by the tyrosine- and cysteine-containing biopeptides, respectively. In other studies, the histidine-containing biopeptides acted as antioxidants via the donation of hydrogen atom, entrapment of lipid peroxyl radical, and the chelating of metal ions by the imidazole group (Khan et al., 2014; Walters et al., 2018). Sarmadi and Ismail (2010) reported the antioxidant activity of cysteine due to sulfhydryl (-SH) groups’ reacting with free radicals to form the disulfide bond (-SS-).

Some plant-based biopeptides with antioxidant properties are shown in Table 2. Other examples are given below. For instance, Megías et al. (2008) hydrolysed sunflower protein using pepsin and pancreatin and the hydrolysate exhibited significant copper-chelating properties due to the presence of histidine and arginine in the peptide sequence. Similarly, in a study by Girgih et al. (2014), hemp protein isolate was hydrolysed using pepsin, followed by pancreatin to generate biopeptides. Subsequently, the sequences of 23 peptides were identified in this protein hydrolysate and the subsequent in vitro and in vivo tests indicated the superior antioxidant properties of WVYY and PSLPA biopeptides. This indicates that gastrointestinal proteases can potentially generate antioxidant biopeptides during digestion of dietary proteins. Furthermore, Supawong et al. (2018) used Protease G6 to hydrolyse rice bran protein and the resultant biopeptides exhibited significant antioxidant activity. Subsequently, these peptides were incorporated into the fried fish cake as an example application. The authors reported that 2% (w/w) rice bran hydrolysate addition was able to reduce lipid oxidation by 79.8%, which was as equally effective as adding 0.02% (w/w) butylated hydroxyanisole/butylated hydroxytoluene (BHA/BHT). This demonstrates the potential of using biopeptides to preserve the quality of food products.

**Antimicrobial Activity**

The incorporation of food ingredients with antimicrobial activity can help prolong the shelf life of the food product, as well as retain food quality during storage (Lucera et al., 2012). For this purpose, the mechanism and application of various natural antimicrobial compounds, such as essential oils from plants (e.g., basil, clove, and rosemary), enzymes from animals (e.g., lysozyme and lactoferrin), short-chain organic acids (e.g., acetic and citric acid), and biopolymers (e.g., chitosan) have been investigated and explored.

Some peptides exhibit antimicrobial activity due to their unique structural features such as having unusually long chains (with 20–46 amino acid subunits), the presence of basic groups such as lysine or arginine, and an amphipathic nature (Toldrá et al., 2018; Ahmed and Hammami, 2019). Generally, many antimicrobial peptides contain cationic amino acids and they usually have a high content of some particular hydrophobic residues such as leucine, isoleucine, valine, phenylalanine and tryptophan (Fjell et al., 2012). These biopeptides can form channels and/or pores on the surface of microbial membranes, leading to membrane disruption and even cell division (Yadavalli et al., 2016; Toldrá et al., 2018). The mechanism of antimicrobial activity of biopeptides was reported by Fjell et al. (2012), Barreto-Santamaria et al. (2020), and Li et al. (2021). Firstly, these biopeptides interact with oppositely charged groups on microbes’ membrane surfaces. Subsequently, the biopeptides attach onto this surface (aided by electrostatic/hydrophobic interactions). Finally, the lipids of the microbe membrane are displaced, leading to the disruption of cell membranes.

The antimicrobial activity of various plant-based biopeptides have been reported, including peptide hydrolysate of chia flour (*Salvia hispanica* L.) (Segura-Campos et al., 2013), black pepper peptides with GTCVLV, SSVGRL, and ALGTLLL residuals (Umadevi et al., 2018), and soybean peptides containing PGTVFL and ILAFLEATLVDLVVVLWTA residuals (Dhayakaran et al., 2016). In their study, Pu and Tang (2017) purified an antilisterial peptide (Alpep7) from the bromelain hydrolysate of rice bran proteins and identified LVDHFPL residual as being responsible for this antimicrobial activity. Furthermore, a liposome system was successfully developed to deliver this biopeptide to the listerial biofilm to confirm its listericidal activity. Similarly, Xiao and Zhang...
TABLE 2 | Some plant-based biopeptides with antioxidant activity.

| Protein nature   | Enzyme                  | Process                                                                 | Identified biopeptide | Description                                                                                       | References |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Corn gluten meal | Alkaline protease and   | The alkaline protease was mixed with corn gluten meal (8%, w/v), followed by hydrolysis for 75 min at pH 7 and 50°C. Subsequently, Flavourzyme was used to hydrolyse the mixture (4.2%, w/v) for another 66 min | LPF, LLPF, FLPF | Low molecular weight corn gluten meal hydrolysate (<10 kDa) exhibited the highest antioxidant activity, in terms of free radical scavenging capacity, metal ion chelating activity and lipid peroxidation inhibitory activity | Zhuang et al., 2013 |
| Hemp seed protein| Pepsin                  | Pepsin was added to hemp seed protein isolate solution at 4% (w/v) and pH was maintained at 2.0 for a 2 h hydrolysis | WVYY, PSLPA | Both biopeptides show strong antioxidant property, with 67 and 56% DPPH scavenging and 94 and 96% metal chelation activity, respectively | Girgh et al., 2014 |
| Wheat bran protein| Alcalase                | Alcalase was mixed with freeze dried wheat bran protein at 4% (w/w). The hydrolysis was performed at 50°C and pH 8, for 3 h | NIL, QL, FL, HAL, AAVL, and ALTVF | Low molecular weight biopeptides (<1 kDa) in the hydrolysate was responsible for high oxygen radical antioxidant activity | Zou et al., 2020 |
| Rice bran protein | Protease G6             | Protease G6 was added into defatted rice bran at 2% (w/w). The hydrolysis was performed at 60°C and pH 8 for 6 h for a hydrolysis degree of 26.6% | Not specified | Rice bran hydrolysate exhibited significant antioxidant activity. To prevent fried fish cake from lipid oxidation, 2% rice bran hydrolysate addition was found to be equally effective as 0.02% butylated hydroxyanisole/butylated hydroxytoluene (BHA/BHT) | Supawong et al., 2018 |
| Plum kernel protein | Alcalase or thermonisin | The precipitated proteins were mixed with Alcalase or Thermolysin, separately and the hydrolysis was performed at 50°C for 3 and 4 h, respectively | MLPSSLPL, HLPLL, and NLPLL | A total of seven potential antioxidant peptides that resisted the simulated gastrointestinal digestion were separated and identified using RP-HPLC–MS/MS and HILIC–MS/MS | González-García et al., 2015 |

(2012) digested *Jatropha curcas* meal using multiple enzymes (including pepsin, trypsin, Protamex, Neutrase, Flavourzyme, papain, Alcalase, or acid protease) into hydrolysates with different degrees of hydrolysis and the authors evaluated the antibacterial activity of the hydrolysates. Based on the peptide sequence analysis, the CAILTHLR peptide was found to be responsible for the inhibitory effect against various microbes, including *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Shigella dysenteriae* ATCC 51302, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27553, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923, *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 23631, and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* ATCC 49619. The minimum inhibitory concentrations were in the range of 29–68 µg/mL.

**Anticancer Activity**

To date, many synthetic anticancer drugs show a wide range of side effects, such as nephrotoxic, neurotoxic, cardiotoxic and gonadotoxic effects (Oun et al., 2013; Ahar et al., 2014; Kamisli et al., 2015; Gutierrez et al., 2016; Van Acker et al., 2016). Therefore, biopeptides with anticancer activity are promising alternatives to prevent and/or decelerate cancer (Daliri et al., 2017). Some examples of plant-based biopeptides with anticancer activity are shown in Table 3. In a review by Chalamiaiah et al. (2018), different mechanisms of anticancer activity of these peptides were reported. Some of the mechanisms included inducing cancer cell membrane damage, adhering to cell and inhibiting topoisomerases, modulating immune response, and/or inhibiting intracellular signalling of cancer cells.

Xue et al. (2015) reported that chickpea protein-derived peptide RQSHFANAQP dramatically increased p53 protein level, thereby inhibiting the proliferation of the MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells at the EC50 values of 2.38 and 1.50 µmol/mL, respectively. In another study, based on a 2-day fermentation of rapeseed meal using *Bacillus subtilis* and *Actinomucor elegans*, the released biopeptides significantly inhibited the proliferation of human HepG2 liver cancer and MCF-7 breast cancer cells (Xie et al., 2015).

Among the plant-based biopeptides with anticancer benefits, those obtained from soybean proteins have been attracting particular research interests (Badger et al., 2005; Hwang et al., 2011). In a study by Rayaprolu et al. (2013), high oleic acid soybean protein from N98-4445A and S03-543CR lines was hydrolysed using Alcalase and the released biopeptides inhibited the proliferation of colon, liver and lung cancer cells. Moreover, anticancer activity has been observed in several other biopeptides, such as lunasin (a peptide found in soy), RLQLQGVPN, GLTLSL, LGSNL, GEGSGA, MPACGSS, and MTEEY peptides (Vital et al., 2014; Fernández-Tomé et al., 2017).
### Examples of plant-based biopeptides with anticarcinogenic activity.

| Protein nature          | Enzyme                  | Process                                                                 | Identified biopeptide | Description                                                                 | References       |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Rapeseed protein        | Alcalase and flavourzyme| Albumin isolate (5%, w/v) was isolated from rapeseed protein and hydrolysed using Alcalase (0.2 AU/g of substrate, 1 h) and Flavourzyme (50 LAPU/g of substrate, 2 h) at 50 °C and pH 8. After thermal treatment at 80 °C for 10 min and centrifugation at 4,000 g for 10 min, the hydrolysate was collected | Not specified       | Tumour growth in the Female Kunming nude mice with transplanted arcoma S180 cells was significantly inhibited by rapeseed protein hydrolysate | Xue et al., 2009  |
| Corn protein            | Alcalase                | The corn protein solution was hydrolysed by Alcalase (0.8%, w/v) for 5 h at pH 8.0 After boiling the mixture for 10 min, it was neutralised and an ultra-filtration membrane with molecular weight cut-off 5 kDa was used to separate the peptide | Not specified       | In the in-vivo study, H22-tumour in BALB/c male mice was significantly suppressed by the intake of corn protein hydrolysate. Moreover, the application hydrolysates caused apoptotic death of HepG2 cells | Li et al., 2013   |
| Soybean protein         | Thermoase              | Denatured soy meal was mixed with thermoase. Hydrolysis was performed at 80 °C for 15 min. After centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min and the supernatant was lyophilized. Finally, the hydrophobic peptide was isolated using an ethanol-based purification for 12 h | XMLPSYSPY            | Separated biopeptide significantly inhibited the growth and affected the cycle of mouse monocyte macrophage (P888D1) cell | Kim et al., 2000  |
| Rice bran protein       | Alcalase, pepsin and pancreatin | De-fatted rice bran was treated with Alcalase and this hydrolysate was passed through simulated gastrointestinal juices and fractionated using ultrafiltration fractionation columns. Ion exchange resin was further used to separate the peptide | Peptides of >50, 10–50, 5–10, and <5 kDa. EQPRR | The rice bran hydrolysate with biopeptides showed an inhibitory effect on the growth of Caco-2, HCT-116, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and HepG2 cancer cell | Kannan et al., 2006, 2010 |
| Algae (Chlorella vulgaris) protein | Pepsin              | Algae protein waste was hydrolysed by pepsin with an enzyme to substrate ratio of 2% (w/w) at pH 2 and 50 °C for 15 h. The digestion was neutralised and boiled, followed by filtration through a 0.45 μm filter to collect peptides | VECYGPNRPQF          | The algae protein hydrolysate suppressed the proliferation of human gastric cancer cell and induced a post-G1 cell cycle arrest | Sheih et al., 2010 |
| Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) protein | Pepsin and pancreatin | Bean protein isolate was treated by sequential enzymatic digestion using pepsin (1:20, w/v) and pancreatin (1:20, w/v) at 37 °C, for 1.5 h for each enzyme. After stopping the hydrolysis by heating, the suspension was centrifuged and the supernatant was dialysed, followed by freeze drying | GLTSL, LSGNL, GEGSGA, MPACGSS and MTEEY | The use of peptide inhibited human colon cancer cell growth (HCT-116, RKO, and KM12L4) and modified the expression of cell cycle regulatory proteins p53, p21, cyclin B1, BAD, cytC, c-casp3, Survivin, and BIRC7 | Vital et al., 2014 |
| Rapeseed (Brassica campestris) protein | A neutral protease, B. subtilis and A. elegans | Rapeseed meal was mixed with protease, B. subtilis and A. elegans at solid-liquid ratio of 1:1.5 and a B. subtilis–A. elegans ratio of 4:1, respectively, for fermentation. This mixture was further extracted using water, followed by centrifugation and filtration through a 0.45 μm membrane to obtain the peptide | WTP                  | Rapeseed protein hydrolysate inhibited proliferation of Hep G2 cell, significantly changed its morphology and induced apoptosis | Wang et al., 2016  |
| Peptides from spirulina platensis | Pepsin, trypsin, and chymotrypsin | Extracted protein was digested sequentially using pepsin (6%, w/v, pH 2 for 2 h), trypsin (3% w/v, pH 8 for 3 h) and chymotrypsin (5% w/v, pH 8 for 3 h) at 37 °C, with a boiling process for 10 min in-between to stop the hydrolysis. After centrifugation and freeze drying, peptide was prepared | HVLSRAPR            | Biopeptides showed strong anti-proliferation activity on three cancer cells (MCF-7, HepG-2 and SGC-7901 cell) | Wang and Zhang, 2017 |
Lunasin is a peptide with 43 amino acid residues and a molecular weight of 5 kDa (Udenigwe and Aluko, 2012; Rizzello et al., 2016). Within this peptide, the RGD residue and polyaspartic acid chain with nine aspartic acid residues have been reported as being responsible for its anticarcinogenic activities (Diaz and de Mejia, 2011). In an in vitro study by Lumen (2005), lunasin showed the ability to enter mammalian cells within a few minutes when orally ingested, followed by localisation in the nucleus to inhibit histone acetylation and prevent skin cancers. Similarly, in vitro and in vivo studies have confirmed the inhibitory effect of lunasin on murine Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) and B16-F0 melanoma cells, but do not affect the growth rate of normal cell lines.

**Hypcholesterolemic Activity**

Cholesterol levels of around 50 mg/dL in the serum are required by the human body to maintain normal functions (Steinberg and Witzum, 2009). However, excessive amounts of cholesterol in the blood may result in the formation of plaques in the arteries, resulting in cardiovascular diseases (Daliri et al., 2017). Although many synthetic drugs have been developed to lower blood cholesterol, side effects including liver injury or failure, myopathy and diabetes have been reported from their consumption (Carter et al., 2013; Mancini et al., 2016). Therefore, there is an increasing demand in sourcing natural and food-based alternatives of these drugs.

Hypcholesterolemic activity has been observed in some plant-based biopeptides, including ones from rice bran (Zhang et al., 2012), cowpea (Marques et al., 2015; Hernandez and de Mejia, 2017), cumin seed (Siow et al., 2016), and soy (Duranti et al., 2004; Lammi et al., 2015). Recently, Coelho et al. (2018) hydrolysed chia seed protein into biopeptides using Alcalase and Flavourzyme. After purification via ultrafiltration, the separated biopeptides with low molecular weight (<3 kDa) showed a significant inhibitory effect on cholesterol synthesis in vitro, by reducing the enzymatic reaction velocity of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase by up to 80.7%. Similarly, Lammi et al. (2016) reported that lupin biopeptides produced by enzymatic hydrolysis significantly inhibited the ability of HepG2 cells to secrete mature proprotein convertase (PC) subtilisin/kexintype 9 (PCSK9) in patients with moderate hypercholesterolemia.

Some soy protein hydrolysate or biopeptides also exhibit hypocholesterolemic activities. For example, Lammi et al. (2015) treated HepG2 cells with three peptides separated from hydrolysed soy glycinin. The peptides were IAVPGEV A, IAVPGTGV A and LPYP. The result showed that these three biopeptides significantly affected the catalytic activities of HMG-CoA reductase. Moreover, the cholesterol metabolism was modulated by the three peptides based on the activation of low-density lipoprotein receptor-sterol regulatory element-binding protein 2 (LDLR-SREBP2) pathway, finally promoting cellular uptake of low-density lipoprotein by the cultured hepatocytes. In an in vitro study by Pak et al. (2005), the hypocholesterolemic activity of soy 11S globulin peptide was observed based on the binding of bile acid. This biopeptide has the amino acid sequence of IAPGEV A, and a molecular weight of 755.2 Da. After bile acid was bound with peptide, it could not be reabsorbed through the enterohepatic circulation, which further stimulates the transformation of cholesterol into bile acids in the liver.

**Antihypertensive Activity**

The overall prevalence of hypertension (i.e., high blood pressure) in the global population is estimated to be 1.13 billion people in 2015 and it is expected to be approximately 1.56 billion by 2025 (Kearney et al., 2005; Mills et al., 2016; World Health Organisation, 2021). The correlations between hypertension and many serious non-communicable diseases have been well-established (Lee and Hur, 2017). Therefore, the demand for functional foods or food ingredients with antihypertensive activity is increasing (Bhat et al., 2017). Unfortunately, many synthetic antihypertensive drugs have multiple side effects, including dizziness, dysgeusia, headache, angioedema, and cough (Daliri et al., 2017).

In the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system of the human body, angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) catalyses the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II, finally increasing blood pressure. Therefore, compounds that influence this system have been drawing much research attention. Interestingly, some peptides have shown ability to lower blood pressure by inhibiting the activity of ACE. Piovesana et al. (2018) reported that ACE-inhibiting biopeptides usually have 2–12 amino acid subunits in the chain. Particularly, they often contain acidic (Aspartic and Glutamic acid), positively charged (in particular, an alkyl group at the C-terminus) and hydrophobic amino acid subunits. This is also confirmed in the study by Wu et al. (2006), where the correlation of ACE-inhibiting activity and structure of 168 di- and 140 triptides were investigated.

In a study by Marambe et al. (2008), flaxseed proteins hydrolysed using Flavourzyme (0.67 mg/mL) and the hydrolysate showed strong ACE inhibitory activity at a low IC50 values of 0.07 mg/mL. Similarly, Wang et al. (2017) hydrolysed protein derived from rice bran using trypsin to obtain YSL peptide with a molecular weight of 395 Da, which exhibited strong ACE inhibitory activity at an IC50 value of 76 µM. Li and Aluko (2010) hydrolysed pea protein using Alcalase and the separated IR, LF and EF peptides showed significant inhibition of the activities of ACE and renin. These peptides had IC50 values below 25 mM. ACE inhibitory effects have been observed in biopeptides from soy (Wu and Ding, 2002), bamboo shoots (Liu et al., 2013) and cocoa beans (Sarmadi et al., 2011). Interestingly, Roy et al. (2010) suggested that the pea-based ACE inhibitory peptides were more resistant to digestion than the ones derived from milk.

**Immunomodulatory Activity**

The immune system of the human body is comprised of many biological structures and a healthy immune system that can identify and kill invading microorganisms (Yang et al., 2018). However, this vital system can be negatively affected by a wide range of factors including stress, unhealthy diet and lifestyle, and overwhelming presence of pathogens and/or antigens (Segerstrom and Miller, 2004). Although
some drugs have been developed to modulate the human immune responses, they also have disadvantages like toxicity and high cost (Gertsch et al., 2011). This makes the modulation of the immune system via the intake of food-based compounds promising.

Immunomodulatory activities have been observed in some plant-based biopeptides, including the ones from soybean, wheat, yellow pea seed, and rice (Morris et al., 2007; Egusa and Otani, 2009; Ndiaye et al., 2012; Hartati et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Some examples of these biopeptides are shown in Table 4. Although the exact mechanism(s) of how biopeptide affects the immune system has not been fully understood, Chalamaiah et al. (2018) suggested that some peptides exhibit this bioactivity via activating macrophages, stimulating phagocytosis, increasing the amount of leukocytes, improving immune modulators (e.g., cytokines, nitric oxide, and immunoglobulins), stimulating natural killer cells, and enhancing the stimulation of splenocytes, CD4+, CD8+, CD11b+, and CD56+ cells.

Udenigwe et al. (2009) used pepsin, ficin, and papain to hydrolyze flaxseed proteins, followed by releasing and separating biopeptides with a molecular weight below 1 kDa. These peptides showed significant inhibitory effects on the production of nitric oxide induced by lipopolysaccharide in RAW 264.7 macrophages. No cytotoxicity was observed. On the other hand, Kong et al. (2008) hydrolysed soy protein using various enzymes to produce low-molecular weight peptides (<1,000 Da), which improved lymphocyte proliferation and phagocytosis of peritoneal macrophages in mice. Interestingly, the authors observed the positive correlations between the immunomodulating activity and content of positively charged peptides.

**Opioid-Like Activity**

Opioids such as morphine have been used as drugs due to their pain modulation functions (Bagley and Ingram, 2020). However, their long-term use leads to the development of tolerance and opioid use disorder. Therefore, biopeptides with opioid-like activity can be good alternatives to these drugs. Toldrá et al. (2018) described that YGGF and YP residues as the common motifs present in most opioid peptides. Based on the study in the fundamental signalling mechanisms of opioid receptors, it is generally accepted that the three types of opioid receptors (μ, δ, κ) are activated by endogenous peptides derived from three different precursors, namely proopiomelanocortin, proenkephalin, and prodynorphin (Liu and Udenigwe, 2019). Unlike the animal-based opioid peptides which bind to μ receptors, the plant-based ones usually interact

| Protein nature | Enzyme | Process | Identified biopeptide | Description | References |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------------|-------------|------------|
| Green microalgae (Chlorella vulgaris) protein | Pancreatin | Microalgae cell mass was mixed with pancreatin at 20 AU/mg of protein, pH 7.5 and 45°C for 4 h | Main peptides with molecular weight <5 kDa | In mice subjects, haemopoiesis, leukocyte count, peritoneal exudate cells, macrophage activity, and stimulated both humoral and cell mediated immune functions were improved by the application of biopeptides | Morris et al., 2007 |
| Yellow pea seed protein | Thermolysin | Thermolysin was added to yellow pea seed protein isolate at the ratio of 0.5% (w/v protein). The hydrolysis was performed at 55°C and pH 8.0 for 3 h | Low molecular weight biopeptide <1 kDa | Phagocytic activity of peritoneal macrophages in mice was effectively stimulated by the biopeptides, as well as the gut mucosa immune response | Ndiaye et al., 2012 |
| Flaxseed protein | Pepsin, ficin, trypsin, and papain | Flaxseed protein dispersion was mixed with pepsin (pH 2.0–2.2), ficin (pH 7.0), trypsin (pH 8.0), papain (pH 6.5), or thermolysin (pH 8.0) at 37 or 40°C for a 4 h hydrolysis | Peptides <1 kDa | In this in vitro study, lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced nitric oxide (NO) production in RAW 264.7 macrophages was significantly inhibited by flaxseed biopeptide | Udenigwe et al., 2009 |
| Amaranth protein | Pepsin and pancreatin | Amaranth flour dispersion was mixed with pepsin (866 units/mg; enzyme/substrate, 1:20 w/w; pH 2.0), followed by pancreatin (8 × USP; enzyme/substrate, 1:20 w/w; pH 7.5). The hydrolysis was performed at 37°C for 3 h for each enzyme | Peptide with molecular weight <2,064 Da | Amaranth biopeptide significantly reduced production of nitric oxide (NO), Tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα), Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), and Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (COX2) in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulated THP-1 and RAW 264.7 cells in vitro | Montoya-Rodriguez et al., 2014 |
| Wheat germ globulin | Alcalase, neurtrase, papain, pepsin, and trypsin | Extracted wheat germ globulin was hydrolysed using various enzymes at their optimum temperature, with the same hydrolysis time (3 h) and enzyme/protein ratio (10,000 u/g) | Peptide with molecular weight in the range of 300–1,450 Da | In this in vitro study, biopeptides improved proliferation of lymphocyte, and the phagocytosis of Tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα). The secretion of interleukin 6 (IL-6) and nitric oxide (NO) was also inhibited | Wu et al., 2016 |
with δ receptors, except for somorphins (Yoshikawa et al., 2003).

To date, researchers have identified opioid peptides from various plant proteins, including wheat (gluten, gliadin, and glutenin), barley (hordein), maize (zein), oats (avenin), rye (secalin), soybean (soya α-protein and cytochrome b), and spinach (rubiscolin) (Kaur et al., 2020). Leo Pruimboom and de Punder (2015) overviewed the degradation of gluten in the gastrointestinal tract and suggested that gluten exorphins, a morphine-like substance can be released. Following this, in Garg’s et al. (2018) study, high opioid activity was observed in the gluten peptides containing YPG, YYPG, and YIPP motifs. Similarly, Yang et al. (2001) demonstrated that YPLDL and YPLDLF peptides identified in pepsin-digested spinach D-ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCo) showed opioid activity in mouse vas deferens assay.

THE BITTERNESS PROPERTY OF BIOPEPTIDES

As discussed in the previous sections, food proteins can be transformed into peptides with desired functional properties and biological activities. However, the sensory characteristics of the biopeptides are also changed dramatically. From application perspective, it is still quite challenging to directly use biopeptides as novel functional foods ingredients due to their bitterness property.

Formation of Bitterness in the Biopeptides

Generally, most food proteins are not bitter. However, after proteolysis using some enzymes, the resultant hydrolysates or peptides may have bitterness. This bitter taste is due to the release of hydrophobic amino residues, which are usually buried within the native protein structure (Acquah et al., 2018) but get exposed after hydrolysis of the protein. For example, phenylalanine, tyrosine, tryptophan, and leucine, which are usually buried within the molecule, have bitter tastes in nature. During the hydrolysis of the proteins, these hydrophobic amino acid residues are gradually exposed and their bitterness can be detected by human bitter taste receptors (Maehashi and Huang, 2009). Generally, the bitterness of biopeptides intensifies with increase in degree of hydrolysis, since more hydrophobic amino acids are released. However, bitterness decreases when the proteins are intensively hydrolysed into peptides with low molecular weight or into free amino acids (Adler-Nissen, 1986; Fu et al., 2018). When native food protein is hydrolysed into peptides, it has been reported that the peptide bitterness intensity usually increases with the increase of hydrolysis degree and decrease of molecular weight, until the hydrolysis gives peptides with seven or fewer residues (Kim and Li-Chan, 2006; Maehashi et al., 2008; Kohl et al., 2013). Cho et al. (2004) investigated the correlation between the bitterness of soy protein hydrolysate and peptide molecular weights and showed that the hydrolysate with the strongest bitterness had a molecular weight of 4 kDa, while the least bitter hydrolysate was the one with a molecular weight of below 1 kDa. Contradictory results have also been reported. Humiski and Aluko (2007) found that the bitterness in pea hydrolysates was not related in any way to molecular weight.

Quantification and Prediction of Bitterness in Biopeptides

In order to quantify the bitterness of biopeptides using their structures, Ney (1979) developed the Q-rule to illustrate and predict the bitterness of a peptide. Briefly, the average hydrophobicity, Q, is calculated by summing the amino acid side chain hydrophobicity of a peptide and dividing this value by the number of amino acid residues in the peptide. A mathematical representation of the Q value is as follows:

\[ Q = \frac{\sum \Delta f}{n} \]

where Q is the average hydrophobicity of a peptide (cal/mol), \( \Delta f \) is the free energy of transfer of the side chains in the amino acid residues (hydrophobicity, cal/mol) and n is the number of amino acid residues (dimensionless).

According to this method, peptides with Q value over 1,400 cal/mol and molecular weights below 6 kDa are likely to be bitter, while those with Q-value below 1,300 cal/mol and molecular weights below 10 kDa should not be bitter. This principle was successful to a certain extent in interpreting the bitterness property in some peptides or hydrolysate from casein and soy (Murray et al., 2018; Iwaniak et al., 2020). However, there is an increasing number of studies confirming the correlation of amino acid position and their sequence and the bitterness property of peptides. For example, Kim et al. (2008) used computer simulation to study the structures of NALPE peptide and its 6 analogues. The results showed that the intensity of peptide bitterness could also be affected significantly by spatial orientation of hydrophobic regions in the structure, as well as proximity between polar groups and hydrophobic regions within the same plane space.

Mathematical models can be used to predict the bitterness of peptides. For instance, quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) models were developed for this purpose, based on physicochemical properties of peptides, such as electronic charge, hydrophobicity and steric properties (Iwaniak et al., 2015; Agyei et al., 2016). Furthermore, Yin et al. (2010) introduced a descriptor, E, to the QSAR model, based on the multidimensional scaling of 237 physicochemical properties of the natural amino acid side chains. This improved the model’s success in predicting the bitterness of 48 dipeptides (\( R^2 = 0.97 \)). Kim and Li-Chan (2006) used a database of 224 di- to tetradecapeptides and five amino acids to investigate the correlation between peptide structure and bitterness. The results showed that bulky hydrophobic amino acids at the C-terminus and bulky basic amino acids at the N-terminus of peptides significantly impacts peptide bitterness. Later on, Soltani et al. (2013) developed several models, including multiple linear regression, support vector machine, and artificial neural network models, to quantify the correlation between peptide structures and bitterness.
Detection and Quantification of Bitterness in Biopeptides

Because biopeptides are promising food ingredients, the importance of detecting and quantifying the perception of bitterness in food biopeptides cannot be underestimated. So far, methods for the detection and quantification of bitterness in biopeptides involve sensory evaluation, use of databases, electronic tongue, and/or calcium imaging technique.

Sensory Evaluation

The sensory evaluation of peptides and/or food products incorporated with biopeptides is the most straightforward method to detect and quantify bitterness. Most of the time, trained experts or a consumer panel is used for this purpose. In a study by Seo et al. (2008), soy protein was hydrolysed using various proteases and the bitterness of the diluted hydrolysate solutions was quantified by a sensory panel using the taste dilution analysis method. The results showed that bitterness of the hydrolysate increased with increase in degree of hydrolysis, and that the developed taste dilution analysis method can be applied as an alternative to the conventional hedonic scale sensory evaluation method. Moreover, Yu et al. (2013) studied the impact of adding soybean peptides on the sensory profile of a beverage product. The panellists reported that the bitter taste is the major sensory attributes affecting the overall acceptability of the beverage. In addition, the optimum peptide content in the formulation was 5%.

However, despite the fact that sensory evaluation methods have been widely used, they have some disadvantages. (1) The inherent bitterness from other food compounds such as polyphenols may interfere with the result. (2) Human panel method is well-associated with low objectivity and reproducibility. (3) Sensory evaluation can be time-consuming and not appropriate for screening purpose. And (4), the possible toxicity and allergenicity of some peptides need to be investigated before the sensory evaluation can be conducted.

Use of Bitterness Databases

Generally, the preparation and characterisation of biopeptides can be time-consuming. Therefore, some databases have been established to allow researchers to source the information (Table 5). For example, BitterDB database has more than 550 reported compounds with bitter taste, together with their molecular structures and bitterness intensities (Wiener et al., 2012). EROP-Moscow database allows researchers to search for many key features of oligopeptides as well as perform statistical analysis of the data (Zamytatin et al., 2006). This database has 84 peptides with characteristic sensory attributes and most of them exhibit bitterness. BIOPEP-UWM database (formerly BIOPEP) can also be used as an effective tool. Iwaniak et al. (2016) incorporated 347 peptides and 10 amino acids with experimentally confirmed sequence, taste, and molecular and monoisotopic masses into this database. Researchers can even use this database to simulate the peptide release using selected proteolytic enzymes and predict the bitterness property of the peptides. For example, Pooja et al. (2017) predicted the dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibiting property, physicochemical characteristics and sensory profile of rice bran peptide produced using ficin using this database; however, they did not validate the bitterness property using human sensory panel or other methods.

Electronic Tongue

Electronic tongue is a multisensory system that consists of a number of low-selective sensors. It uses advanced mathematical procedures to process the collected signals and/or analyse multivariate data (Vlasov et al., 2005). During the last decade, detection, quantification and prediction of the peptide bitterness using electronic tongue has been reported (Ding et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2019). For example, Newman et al. (2014a) used an electronic tongue to detect the bitterness of caffeine and dairy protein hydrolysates as well as trained panellists. Furthermore, the electronic tongue successfully detected weak bitterness in the sample and differentiated the bitterness between whey- and casein-based hydrolysates. This suggests that the electronic tongue was more sensitive and reliable than human panellists. Later on, the authors evaluated the bitterness of 19 dairy protein hydrolysates using an electronic tongue and correlated this data with the one generated by a trained sensory panel (Newman et al., 2014b). In this study, partial least square regression models were developed using the data from electronic tongue, molecular weight and relative hydrophobicity. These models can be potentially used to predict the bitterness of dairy protein hydrolysates.

Calcium Imaging Method

Proteins/genes named receptor type 2/taste receptor family B (T2R/TRB) were discovered for their putative functional activity as bitter taste receptors (Adler et al., 2000; Matsunami et al., 2000). So far, around 30 T2R candidate bitter taste receptors have been identified. In some taste receptor cells containing α-gustducin, a G-protein complex that is involved in sweet, bitter, and umami taste transduction, T2R proteins/genes can be invariably expressed (Wong et al., 1996). The latter elicits Ca\(^{2+}\) release from internal stores and/or promote Ca\(^{2+}\) entry into the cells (Ogura et al., 2002). Therefore, the amount of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) is correlated to bitterness and the released Ca\(^{2+}\) can be quantified using fluorescence calcium indicators. However, this new technology is still at the early stage and it has only been used to detect the cell response to pharmaceutical compounds. To date, there are no studies available using the calcium signalling method to detect or measure the bitterness in food-derived biopeptide products.

DEBITTERING OF BIOPEPTIDES

Regardless of the source of peptides, their bitterness dramatically limits their application in food and/or nutraceutical products. As a result, a variety of approaches such as separation of bitter peptides, enzymatic treatment, and encapsulation have been trialled to reduce, mask and/or eliminate this bitterness. However, the debittering of biopeptides is at the development stage and most of the reported debittering methods used animal-based peptides as examples. Therefore, some of these techniques
are covered in this section to provide insights for debittering plant-based peptides.

Separation of Bitter Peptides

Since the exposed hydrophobic amino acid residues during food proteins hydrolysis result in bitterness in peptides, the separation of those bitter peptides from the hydrolysate seems like a reasonable approach.

Based on the π–π stacking interactions with aromatic side chains of peptides, activated carbon or macroporous resin can be used for debittering peptides (Clark et al., 2012). The separation efficiency depends on the affinity of the peptides to the adsorptive material. For example, 98.4 and 64.5% of phenylalanine was removed from whey protein hydrolysate using macroporous resin and activated carbon columns, respectively (Bu et al., 2020). Also, some bitter peptides can be removed by extraction using selected alcohols. Sinthussamran et al. (2020) studied the efficacy of different alcohols on decreasing the bitterness of salmon frame protein hydrolysates. The authors reported that 2-butanol worked more efficiently than isopropanol in lowering the hydrolysates hydrophobicity and their bitterness intensity. Later on, 2-butanol and β-cyclodextrin were further used in combination to successfully debitter salmon frame protein hydrolysates (Singh et al., 2020).

However, it is worth noting the disadvantage of debittering peptides via the separation of hydrophobic amino acid residues. This process has been associated with the loss of essential hydrophobic amino acids and low processing efficiency. Moreover, the reported studies only focused on the development of debittering process and the bioactivity of debittered peptides still needs to be investigated.

Enzymatic Treatment of Biopeptides

The activity of a particular protease significantly affects the degree of hydrolysis of a protein, which is associated with the bitterness of peptides. For example, compared with the pea protein hydrolysed by papain, trypsin, bromelain and chymotrypsin, the hydrolysate prepared using Alcalase and Esperase had higher degree of hydrolysis and bitterness intensities (Meinlschmidt et al., 2016a). Similarly, soy protein hydrolysed by Alcalase was more bitter than the ones treated by Neutrase, papain, Corolase, and Flavourzyme (Meinlschmidt et al., 2016a). Generally, based on the mechanism of action and catalytic sites, proteases can be categorised into two groups: exopeptidases (E.C.3.4.11–3.4.19) and endopeptidases (E.C.3.4.21–3.4.99). Exopeptidases cleave free amino acids and/or low molecular weight peptides from the end of a polypeptide chain while endopeptidases act within a polypeptide chain (Stressler et al., 2015). Exopeptidases exhibit lower activity against intact protein molecules than endopeptidase and some exopeptidases show specificity toward hydrophobic amino acid residue at the N-terminal (Stressler et al., 2019). Therefore, exopeptidases can be used to cleave
the bitter hydrophobic amino acid residue exposed for this purpose, the protein hydrolysis by endopeptidases. For this purpose, aminopeptidases have been commonly used (Raksakulthai and Haard, 2003).

Microorganisms are a promising source of aminopeptidases and, to date, over 100 aminopeptidases have been identified from the genus Lactobacillus. Generally, aminopeptidase N (PepN, EC 3.4.11.2) and the proline-specific X-prolyl dipeptidyl aminopeptidase (PepX, EC 3.4.14.11) are two aminopeptidases that have been used for debittering purposes (Gonzales and Robert-Baudouy, 1996). Briefly, PepN hydrolyses almost all the amino acids from the amino (N-) terminus of a polypeptide chain, unless proline is present at the second position (which stops the hydrolysis) (Stressler et al., 2013). PepX is a proline-specific dipeptidyl peptidase that releases X-Proline dipeptides from the amino (N-) terminus of a polypeptide chain, as long as there are no proline or hydroxyproline at the second position (Stressler et al., 2014). Recently, Ewert et al. (2018) observed the superioriy of PepN in debittering sodium caseinate hydrolysates, compared with PepX or aminopeptidase A (PepA). This might be due to the high specificity of PepX and PepA. PepX releases X-Proline dipeptides from the N-terminal of peptides, as a proline-specific aminopeptidase, while PepA is specific for the hydrophilic amino acids, such as Glicine acid, Aspartic acid, and Serine. These amino acids are not associated with bitterness. During the enzymatic treatment, PepN was capable of cleaving bitter amino acids such as Leucine, Phenylalanine, Isoleucine, or Valine from N-terminus of most peptides. The debittering potential of PepN has been reported previously in a combination with PepX (Barry et al., 2000). Moreover, since aminopeptidases are released by microbes during microbial growth (Stressler et al., 2014), some particular strains have been used directly as debittering starters to produce protein hydrolysates. For example, the addition of Lactobacillus perolens, Rhizopus oryzae, and Actinomucor elegans significantly reduced the bitterness intensity of soy protein hydrolysates (Meinschmidt et al., 2016b).

Alternatively, cross-linking of the peptides using transglutaminase (TG) has been used to decrease their bitterness. TG catalyses intra- and intermolecular cross-linking between glutamine and lysine residues in the peptide chain (Báez et al., 2011). This increases the molecular mass of peptides so that hydrophobic groups, which cause bitterness, can be buried again in the cross-linked polypeptide chain. As reported by Song et al. (2013), TG increased the content of 1,000–5,000 Da peptides in soybean protein hydrolysates via enzymatic cross-linking. The authors observed a significant decrease in the amount of bitter amino acids and improvement in sensory profile of the crosslinked peptide. However, it should be noted that the use of

### Table 6: Examples of encapsulation of food protein hydrolysates and peptides.

| Peptide source (Core) | Carrier agent (Wall) | Process | Encapsulation efficiency | Major findings | References |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Casein hydrolysate    | Soy protein isolate  | Spray drying | Not specified | The results of the panel sensory test showed that the encapsulated casein hydrolysate was less bitter than the non-encapsulated one | Ortiz et al., 2009 |
| Whey protein concentrate hydrolysate (WPC)/ | Whey protein concentrate (WPC) and sodium alginate (SA) | Spray drying | Freeze drying | Compared with non-encapsulated WPC, the one encapsulated by WPC and WPC/SA showed reduced bitterness intensity | Ma et al., 2014 |
| Mussel protein hydrolysate | Maltodextrin and octenyl succinic anhydride (OSA) starch | Spray drying | Not specified | According to the sensory evaluation of encapsulated mussel hydrolysate by 120 consumers, no evaluator recorded the perception of bitterness | Retteritz et al., 2017 |
| Casein hydrolysate    | Soy protein isolate and pectin | Complex coacervation | 78.8%–91.6% | Casein hydrolysate encapsulated by complex coacervation with wall material to core ratio of 1:1 showed the highest encapsulation efficiency and attenuation of the bitter taste of the hydrolysate | Mendanha et al., 2009 |
| Soy protein hydrolysate | Partially hydrogenate cotton seed oil | Solid lipid microparticles | 96% | The solid lipid microparticles prepared by spray chiling of emulsion had a higher encapsulation efficiency than by spray chiling of suspension. However, the effect of encapsulation on reducing bitterness was not investigated | Salvim et al., 2015 |
transglutaminase may compromise the functionality, biological activity and/or solubility of protein hydrolysates. Therefore, this approach may not be appropriate for certain food applications (Meng et al., 2020).

**Encapsulation of Biopeptides**

Encapsulation provides an opportunity to partially or completely mask the bitterness in peptides in addition to improving their other properties such as hygroscopicity and bioavailability. To date, several techniques such as spray drying, freeze drying, spray chilling, coacervation and double emulsion have been applied to encapsulate protein hydrolysates or biopeptides. The molecular and physicochemical properties of biopeptides, such as molecular weight, conformation, electrical characteristic, polarity, and stability, are the first factors to consider when choosing an appropriate carrier, as these properties will impact loading, retention, stability, and release from the encapsulated systems (McClements, 2018). Generally, for food applications, the selected carrier should meet some criteria such as edibility, biodegradability, non-toxicity, and inexpensiveness (Mohan et al., 2015). As a result, proteins, polysaccharides and lipids have been used as protective carriers for the encapsulation of protein hydrolysates, as shown in Table 6.

**Spray Drying**

During the spray drying of peptides, the solution/slurry/emulsion containing peptides is atomised into droplets and these droplets are dried into solid powder using hot air at a certain temperature and pressure (Kurozawa et al., 2009). To date, it is still the most economic and industrialised method used for encapsulation and this technique has been trialled to reduce the bitterness of a wide range of peptide products, including whey protein hydrolysates (Ma et al., 2014), casein hydrolysates (Molina Ortiz et al., 2009; Favaro-Trindade et al., 2010; Sarabandi et al., 2018), and muscle protein hydrolysates (Breternitz et al., 2017). In a study by Yang et al. (2012), whey protein hydrolysate with 21.42% degree of hydrolysis was mixed with maltodextrin (dextrose equivalence of 10) and maltodextrin/β-cyclodextrin, respectively, and the mixture was spray dried to produce whey protein hydrolysates powder. The sensory evaluation result indicated that both encapsulated whey protein hydrolysates exhibited one-eighth the bitterness intensity of non-encapsulated hydrolysates. The only issue with spray drying is the possibility of losing the native structure of biopeptides at high temperatures due to their high chemical reactivity with other peptides or food matrix components.

**Freeze Drying**

Contrary to spray drying, freeze drying (also known as lyophilisation) dehydrates the sample at low temperature and pressure to preserve the quality of bioactive compounds. However, compared with the compact or microsphere structure of spray dried powder, the freeze dried one exhibited a more porous or irregular structure so the masking of the bitterness of peptides might be compromised (Chranioti et al., 2016). For instance, Ma et al. (2014) encapsulated whey protein concentrate hydrolysate in whey protein concentrate-sodium alginate matrix, followed by spray- or freeze-drying. Although the sensory analysis suggested that both protein- and protein/polysaccharide-encapsulated hydrolysate exhibited lower bitterness intensity, the freeze-dried powder was more bitter than the spray-dried one due to the broken lamellar structure of the former. Meanwhile, the time consuming, high energy consumption and high cost nature of freeze drying also hinder its application (Sarabandi et al., 2020).

**Spray Chilling**

Spray chilling, also named spray cooling, congealing, or prilling, is another atomization-based encapsulation technique. It is a process of solidifying the atomised liquid spray into particles. Therefore, the carriers used in this technique are usually fats, vegetable oil or their derivatives with a high melting point (Oriani et al., 2016). As a result, the microcapsules are also called “solid lipid microparticles.” Briefly, the biopeptide solution is emulsified in a lipid at an elevated temperature above the lipid's melting point. Then the emulsion is cooled down to induce the crystallisation of the lipid phase and formation of the solid particles (shown as Figure 2). To date, spray chilling technique has been used in various applications, such as masking undesired odour or flavour, improving the appearance, increasing the stability, and achieving control-release property of various bioactive compounds (Tulini et al., 2016; Gottschalk et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019) while the encapsulation of biopeptides using the spray chilling technique is still at the
early stage. Salvim et al. (2015) reported that soy protein hydrolysate solution was emulsified in partially hydrogenated cotton seed oil, stabilised by polyglycerol polyricinoleate (PGPR) at a temperature beyond 50°C and, subsequently, the water-in-oil emulsion was pumped into a cold chamber (15°C) to produce solid lipid particles containing soybean protein hydrolysate. Although the encapsulation efficiency of the peptide was 96% and no chemical reactions were observed among the materials, the effect of encapsulation on the bitterness masking of the biopeptides was not investigated.

Complex Coacervation
Complex coacervation is another promising microencapsulation technique that has been extensively employed in the pharmaceutical, food, agricultural and textile industries. Complex coacervation in food ingredient encapsulation involves the interaction of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes, such as proteins and polysaccharides, in an aqueous form over a narrow pH range to form a surface-active agent which can be used for microencapsulation purpose (Timilsena et al., 2019). Mendanha et al. (2009) used complex coacervation between soybean protein isolate and pectin and the coacervates to encapsulate casein hydrolysate. The encapsulated peptides exhibited lower hygroscopicity and higher surface tension than the free hydrolysate and the encapsulation efficiency varied in the range of 78.8–91.62% in the microcapsule with different wall material-to-core ratios. Moreover, sensory panellists observed that the encapsulated hydrolysate with high encapsulation efficiency (78.8–91.62%) was less bitter than the free hydrolysate, indicating that encapsulation can serve as an efficient method for attenuation of the bitter taste of biopeptides.

Double Emulsions
Double emulsions are liquid dispersions where one emulsion is further dispersed in another liquid to produce double liquid droplets with multiple phases. Recently, this encapsulation system has been trailed for the stabilisation of peptides (Giroux et al., 2016; Jamshidi et al., 2018). Ying et al. (2021) illustrated the process of preparation of a water-in-oil-in-water (W<sub>1</sub>/O/W<sub>2</sub>) to encapsulate soy peptides. Firstly, concentrated bioactive peptide solution (40%, w/w) with molecular weight below 3,000 Da was prepared and homogenised in the medium chain triglycerides (MCT) oil, using polyglycerol polyricinoleate (PGPR) as the hydrophobic emulsifier, to stabilise the W/O interface (Figures 3A, B). Based on the optimisation of W<sub>1</sub>/O ratio and PGPR concentration, optimum W<sub>1</sub>/O emulsion was prepared.
with the droplet size of 170 nm (Figure 3B). Subsequently, the \( W_1/O \) emulsion was further emulsified in the outer aqueous phase to produce the final \( W_1/O/W_2 \) emulsion using octenyl succinic anhydride (OSA) starch and maltodextrin as the shell material with a high peptide encapsulation efficiency (>80%). Finally, in order to facilitate the potential application, this \( W_1/O/W_2 \) emulsion was further dehydrated into the peptide powder and high peptide encapsulation efficiency (>70%) was observed in the freeze-dried powder (Figures 3C, D). The encapsulation of peptides in the double emulsion system is also still relatively new, and the effect of double encapsulation on bitterness masking has not been investigated.

**CONCLUSION**

Due to the increasing global population and sustainability concerns about food security and the environment, plant-based proteins are good alternatives to animal-based ones to produce bioactive peptides. To date, a wide range of functional properties and biological activities including antioxidant, antimicrobial, anticancer, hypcholesterolaemic, antihypertensive, immunomodulatory, and opioid-like activities have been reported for plant-based biopeptides prepared via enzymatic hydrolysis of proteins. Although the biopeptides can be used to develop novel functional food products with desired functional properties and health benefits, many are associated with undesired bitter taste due to the presence of exposed hydrophobic residues. As a result, various bitterness detection and quantification methods have been used to better understand the nature and intensity of this bitterness property. Additionally, multiple physicochemical techniques have been developed to debitter these biopeptides with differing extent of success. Future research is required to investigate the correlation between functional and/or biological properties of peptides with their structures, as well as the impact of debittering processes on the bioactivity and bioavailability of peptides. The effect of the debittering process on the techno-functional properties of the peptides also needs to be studied.
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