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Abstract

We show that a general solution of the Einstein equations that describes approach to an inhomogeneous and anisotropic sudden spacetime singularity does not experience geodesic incompleteness. This generalises the result established for isotropic and homogeneous universes. Further discussion of the weakness of the singularity is also included.

PACS number: 98.80.-k

1 Introduction

There has been strong interest in the structure and ubiquity of finite-time singularities in general-relativistic cosmological models since they were first introduced by Barrow et al \cite{1}, as a counter-example to the belief \cite{2} that closed Friedmann universes obeying the strong energy condition must collapse to a future singularity. They were characterised in detail as sudden singularities in refs. \cite{3,4,5} and are ‘weak’ singularities in the senses defined by Tipler \cite{6} and Krolak \cite{7}. A sudden future singularity at $t_s$ is defined informally in terms of the metric expansion scale factor, $a(t)$ with $t_s > 0$, by $0 < a(t_s) < \infty$, $0 < \dot{a}(t_s) < \infty$, $\ddot{a}(t \to t_s) \to -\infty$. These archetypal examples have finite values of the metric scale factor.
factor, its first time derivative and the density at a finite time but possess infinities in the second time derivative of the scale factor and in the pressure. Higher-order examples exist with infinities in the \((2 + n)^{th}\) derivatives of the scale factor and the \(n^{th}\) derivative of the matter pressure \([4, 5]\). Other varieties of finite-time singularity have been found in which a different permutation of physical quantities take on finite and infinite values\(^1\).

The general isotropic and homogeneous approach to a sudden finite-time singularity introduced in \([3]\) for the Friedmann universe has been used \([9]\) to construct a quasi-isotropic, inhomogeneous series expansion around the finite-time singularity which contains nine independently arbitrary spatial functions, as required of a part of the general cosmological solution when the pressure and density are not related by an equation of state. The stability properties of a wide range of possible finite-time singularities were also studied in ref \([10]\).

It has also been shown by Fernández-Jambrina and Lazkoz \([11, 12, 13]\) that, in the context of the Friedmann universe, the sudden singularity introduced in \([3]\) has the property that geodesics do not feel the sudden singularity and pass through it. In this note we will examine the evolution of geodesics in the general nine-function solution in the vicinity of an inhomogeneous and anisotropic sudden singularity to see if this result continues to hold. We will also formulate these earlier results more precisely.

We will use Latin indices for spacetime components, Greek indices for space components, and set \(G = c = 1\).

2 Geometric setup

Let \(\Sigma_0\) be the 3-space defined by the equations \(x^i = \phi^i(\xi), \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)\), located at \(t = 0\). We suppose that the sudden singularity is located at the time \(t_s\) to the future, and denote by \(\Sigma_s\) the 3-space \(t = t_s\). We may attach geodesic normal (synchronous) coordinates at any point \(B \in \Sigma_s\) as follows. Let \(u^i(\xi)\) be a \(C^0\) vector field over \(\Sigma_0\), and through any point on \(\Sigma_0\),

\(^1\)There is an interesting example in Newtonian mechanics of motion which formally begins from rest with infinite acceleration. It is motion at constant power. This means \(v \dot{v}\) is constant, where \(v = \dot{x}\) is the velocity in the \(x\) direction and so \(v \propto t^{1/2}\) and \(x \propto t^{3/2}\) if initially \(v(0) = x(0) = 0\). Thus we see that the acceleration formally has \(\dot{v} \propto t^{-1/2}\) and diverges as \(t \to 0\). This motion at constant power is an excellent model of drag-car racing. The singularity in the acceleration as \(t \to 0\) is ameliorated in practice by the inclusion of frictional effects on the initial motion \([8]\).
we draw causal geodesics tangent to \( u^i(\xi) \) in both future and past directions parametrized by \( t \). These geodesics have \( dx^i/dt = u^i \) (and \( t = 0 \) on \( \Sigma_0 \)). Then the geodesic \( x^i(t) \) that passes through \( B \) cuts \( \Sigma_0 \) at the point \( A \) with coordinates \((\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)\) where \( t = 0 \) and \( dx^i/dt = u^i \). The coordinates of \( B \) are then \((t_s, \xi)\), where \( t_s \) is \( t \) evaluated at \( B \) and \( \xi \) at \( A \).

3 \( C^1 \) quasi-isotropic metric

In [9] we found that near a sudden singularity the general form of the metric in geodesic normal coordinates is

\[
ds^2 = dt^2 - \gamma_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \quad \gamma_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\alpha\beta} + b_{\alpha\beta} t + c_{\alpha\beta} t^n + \cdots, \quad n \in (1, 2),
\]

and the leading orders of the energy-momentum tensor components, defined by

\[
T^i_j = (\rho + p) u^i u_j - p \delta^i_j, \quad u^a u_a = 1,
\]

are

\[
u_\alpha = -\frac{3(b_{\alpha\beta}^2 - b_{\alpha})}{2n(n-1)c} t^{2-n} \sim t^{2-n}, \quad u^\alpha = \gamma^{\alpha\beta} u_\beta \sim t^2, (2)
\]

\[
16\pi\rho = \left( P + \frac{b^2 - b_{\mu\nu} b_{\mu\nu}}{4} \right) - \frac{n}{2} (b_{\mu\nu} c_{\mu\nu} - bc) t^{n-1} + \cdots,
\]

\[
16\pi p = -\frac{2n(n-1)c}{3} t^{n-2} - \frac{3b_{\mu\nu} b_{\mu\nu} + b^2 + 4P}{12} - \frac{n}{2} (b_{\mu\nu} c_{\mu\nu} + \frac{bc}{3}) t^{n-1} + \cdots.
\]

The Ricci scalar is

\[
R = R^i_i = -n(n-1)ct^{n-2} - \frac{b_{\mu\nu} b_{\mu\nu} + b^2 + 4P}{4} - \frac{n}{2} (b_{\mu\nu} c_{\mu\nu} + bc) t^{n-1} + \cdots,
\]

where \( P \) is the trace of \( P_{\alpha\beta} \), the spatial Ricci tensor associated with \( a_{\alpha\beta} \).

This solution is only \( C^1 \), meaning the the metric, its first derivatives as well as the Christoffel symbols will be continuous through the 3-slice \( \Sigma_s \) containing the sudden singularity at \( B \), but we expect discontinuities in the second and higher derivatives of the metric, and at least in the first derivatives of the Christoffel symbols.

4 Geodesic behaviour at \( t_s \)

The Christoffel symbols are \( C^0 \), and so the geodesic equations,

\[
\dddot{x}^i + \Gamma^i_{jk} u^j u^k = 0,
\]
will have solutions, \( x^i(t) \), with continuous derivatives up to and including \( d^2x^i/dt^2 \). Therefore, we can Taylor estimate these solutions as follows. For any \( \delta > 0 \) and \( t \in (t_s - \delta, t_s + \delta) \), we have

\[
x^i(t) = x^i(t_s) + (t - t_s)u^i(t_s) - \frac{1}{2}(t - t_s)^2(\Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta)(t_s),
\]

with \( t_s \) between \( t \) and \( t_s \). The last term is given in the Lagrange form for the remainder. Since the error term is quadratic in \( t - t_s \), it vanishes asymptotically for both past and future sudden singularities. This means that the geodesic equations have complete \( C^2 \) solutions through the sudden singularity at \( B \) to the future and the past given by this form. In higher-order lagrangian theories of gravity it is possible for sudden singularities to arise because there are infinities in the third, or higher, time derivatives of the metric scale factor. In these cases the effect of the singularity on the geodesics is weaker still and avoids a violation of the dominant energy condition [14,5].

A spacetime is Tipler(T)-strong [6] iff, as the affine parameter \( \tau \to t_s \), the integral

\[
T(u) \equiv \int_0^\tau d\tau' \int_0^{\tau'} R_{ij}u^i u^j d\tau'' \to \infty.
\]

The spacetime is Krolak(K)-strong [7] iff, as \( \tau \to t_s \), the integral

\[
K(u) \equiv \int_0^\tau R_{ij}u^i u^j d\tau' \to \infty.
\]

If these conditions do not hold the spacetime is T-weak or K-weak, respectively. It is possible for a singularity to be K-strong but T-weak, for example the so-called [15] Type III singularities with \( \rho \to \infty, |p| \to \infty \) as \( a \to a_s \) have this property. In our case, the various components of the Ricci curvature have leading orders of the following forms:

\[
R_{00} \sim t^{n-2}, R_{0\alpha} \sim t^0, R_{\alpha\gamma} \sim t^{2(n-1)}, \text{ while } u^0 \sim t^0, u^\alpha \sim t^2.
\]

Therefore

\[
R_{ij}u^i u^j \sim t^{n-2} + 2t^2 + t^{2n+2}.
\]

But since at the sudden singularity, \( 1 < n < 2 \), we find that

\[
R_{ij}u^i u^j \sim t^{n-2}, \quad \text{as} \quad t \to t_s,
\]

and so after one integration we have,

\[
K(u) \sim \tau^{n-1} \to t_s^{n-1}, \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \to t_s,
\]
and after a second integration,

\[ T(u) \sim \tau^n \to t_s^n, \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \to t_s, \quad (10) \]

and so the generic sudden singularity \(^{(1)}\) is T-weak and K-weak\(^{(3)}\). This weakness also suggests that we do not expect these singularity structures to be modified by quantum particle production effects. Some studies of the quantum cosmology of sudden singularities which confirm this have been made in refs \(^{[17]}\) but quantum modifications can occur for particular regularisation procedures \(^{[18]}\). There are also interesting classical questions about the passage through a sudden singularity in certain examples where the background matter variables, \(\rho\) and \(p\), do not continue to be well defined. These problems can be avoided by a distributional redefinition of the cosmological quantities involved \(^{[19]}\). It is also interesting to note that extended objects like fundamental string loops can pass through weak singularities without their invariant sizes becoming infinite \(^{[20]}\).

## 5 Conclusion

This result generalizes the studies of Fernández-Jambrina and Lazkoz \(^{[11, 12, 13]}\) by showing that there is no geodesic incompleteness at a general inhomogeneous and anisotropic sudden singularity. The inclusion of anisotropy and inhomogeneity does not introduce geodesic incompleteness. We would expect that these results will also hold for sudden singularities in Loop Quantum Gravity cosmologies of the sort studied in ref. \(^{[21]}\) and in higher-order lagrangian gravity theories \(^{[4]}\).
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