Surgical Oncology Practice in the Wake of COVID-19 Crisis
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Abstract
The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS-CoV-2) outbreak has placed unprecedented challenges globally dismantling healthcare systems and forcing rapid transformations of healthcare services. In patients with cancer, these changes are having profound effects on vital aspects of their care. It has been advised that hospitals discontinue elective surgery and work on triage of nonemergent surgical procedures during the pandemic. The purpose of this article is to highlight the recommendations and adapted workflow from the private and public tertiary level hospitals in India advising on the best practices and views on better patient management, redesigning of SOPs for OR, surgeon, and staff safety and resumption of cancer care especially from surgical perspective. Different concerns are addressed that are necessary to optimize the quality of care provided to COVID-19 patients and to reduce the risk of viral transmission to other patients or healthcare workers.
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Introduction
The recent outbreak of novel coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-associated disease (COVID-19) has quickly gained pandemic status transforming healthcare delivery worldwide. Nationwide lockdowns and prioritization of healthcare for acute care have disrupted and delayed the delivery of care to patients with cancer. It is reported that patients with cancer are already at an increased risk of infection [1]. Cancer patients who contract COVID-19 have more than triple the risk for severe health events including admission to the intensive care unit for invasive ventilation and rapid clinical deterioration [2]. An Italian study assessing the case fatality of COVID-19 reported that 72 (20.3%) among 355 patients who died had active cancer [3].

As the COVID-19 pandemic deepens and widens, hospitals are bracing up for challenging times as the crisis significantly reduced the outpatient department (OPD), hospital inpatient care (IPD), and operating room (OR) footfalls. The oncology community is striving profoundly to reorganize the oncological care in order to reduce in-person clinical appointments, prioritizing treatment strategies, educational activities, and staff management and rescheduling cancer surgeries without compromising cancer outcomes. It has been advised that hospitals discontinue elective surgery and work on triage of nonemergent surgical procedures during the pandemic [4].

On the contrary, in the absence of treatment, cancer may spread or develop resistance to treatments causing multiple complications or, in some instances, death. We may see a drop in the proportion of early detected malignancies due to delayed presentation which could have cascading effects on cancer patients. Hence, it is imperative to design and implement
clinically relevant SOP-driven changes that are required to resume cancer surgeries and guide the decision-making for appropriate surgical care. World Health Organization (WHO) and Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES) have also emphasized to create, review, and update a clinical policy rapidly for preventing COVID-19 infection [5].

The article reports consensus from the virtual platform meeting among the core expert group from the public and high volume private hospitals across India. Experts navigated through a set of questions and elaborated on their practice changes and new SOP development. The domain expertise of the expert group and the outcome of their discussion were used to bring together consensus recommendations that can be adapted by other oncology treatment centers in India during the COVID-19 crisis. The discussion was carried about the situation and operational challenges, patient prioritization and safety measures, changes in disease behavior and treatments, measures for the operating room, surgery and staff safety, potential challenges on different surgical approaches, and medical device usage changes. The discussion outlines many commonalities but also important local differences in specific implementation strategies, which have been documented and captured as an algorithm paving the way forward for different healthcare setups pinpointing critical practical approaches to enable evidence-based remodeling of cancer care during the COVID-19 pandemic. By sharing the joint experiences, this report aims to mobilize the global research community to publish the consensus guidelines/sharing best practices and views on the resumption of care and safety for operating rooms [OR] and surgical procedures that are critically needed to offer the best possible care to cancer patients (Table 1).

Operational Challenges and Diverse Configurations During the Pandemic

In public and private institutions, the general policy has been to attempt to stay COVID-19 free by establishing designated facilities or separate block within existing facilities to provide COVID-19-related services [filter/fever clinics and dedicated COVID health center] to individually screen and triage people and staff before permitting entry into the facilities [Fig. 1]. In addition, the centers forced strict restrictions on the number of visitors [6] accompanying patients when admitted to the hospital or during infusions or radiation treatment, as visitors could potentially be [unknowingly] COVID-19 positive. Institutes who are at the forefront in combating COVID-19 have had to deal with admissions for patients without cancer that has included suspected and positive cases of COVID-19 in separate facilities while limiting the admissions to emergency cancer patients. Hence, the priority at these institutes was given to workforce allocation, personal protective equipment

| OT/Wards | Surgeon/ Staff | Precaution | Smoke Evacuators / Filters | OT/Surgery | Precautions During Lap Surgery |
|----------|----------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Govt. Multispeciality | Negative Pressure OTs | Recommended | Buffalo Filters used | Use Smoke Evacuators as required | Use correct size trocar |
| Cancer Specialty Center | Negative Pressure OTs | Recommended | Separate intubation chamber | Limit number of people | Use correct size trocar |
| Private Multispeciality | Negative Pressure OTs | Recommended | Smoke Evacuators as required | Use correct size trocar | Use correct size trocar |
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[PPE] acquisition, and creation of capacity for ICU’s and ventilators. Given the high transmissibility rate of SARS-Cov-2, all centers have facilitated face-to-face consultations, whenever possible, taking place via web consulting or by telephone calls to avoid overcrowding of hospitals and to ensure patients are not exposed to SARS-CoV-2 virus during travel or in health facilities. For survivor groups, online meetings have been organized through teleconferencing software platforms. There were no specific changes in treatment and noted changes in disease behavior observed during the pandemic phase. The crisis is said to affect the clinical outcomes adversely due to delayed presentation and prolonged time before treatment initiation. No significant increase in hospital length of stay has been reported except in a few cases.

**Patient Prioritization System for Cancer Patients**

Priority setting for the delivery of cancer therapies in the context of a pandemic will be strongly influenced by several factors, including the patient’s location and resource allocation—from protective gear, hospital beds, and to nursing and doctor time. Few guidelines are currently available for different surgical considerations and prioritization [7, 8]; however, the prioritization process should be adjustable to local, regional, and national epidemiological trends and changes in COVID-19 care. The prioritization process should also take a facility’s resources, priorities, and patient needs into account [9]. The prioritization process, principles, and framework should be transparent to hospitals, healthcare workers, and the public. The benefits of transparency include reducing ethical dilemmas. There are multiple considerations in developing the prioritization process, including a list of canceled and delayed procedures, a strategy for a phased opening of ORs, PPE availability, and issues related to increased OR volume. Priority allocation of resources and staff to COVID-19 care, a continuation of emergency, semi-urgent essential care, and gradual resumption of withheld elective clinical activities need to be balanced and should now continue side by side considering that the COVID-19 pandemic is here to stay for a longer period. Though this would be guided by local COVID-19 situation and the extent to which hospital infrastructure is occupied by COVID-19 patients, it is gradually being realized that delays in non-COVID-19 care can be equally if not more devastating and this cannot be postponed indefinitely [10].

Figure 2 depicts prioritization (Priority A has highest and C being lowest) considerations based on factors like the chances of cure, availability of effective non-surgical treatment options or effective of upfront non-surgical treatments, and risk for progression.

**Measures for Patient Safety**

COVID-19 appears to affect people of all ages; however, cancer patients who are usually characterized by lower immunity and immuno-depressed state because of their chemotherapy or surgery are at higher risk for serious medical complications and increased mortality once infected with COVID-19 compared with the healthy population [2]. Hence, more attention should be paid to cancer patients as the effective prevention of cross-infection of COVID-19 and the rational arrangement of

---

| Cancer patients with regular care services | Cancer patients with new medical needs | COVID 19 suspected cancer patients |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Encouraged to utilize teleplatforms to avoid overcrowding of hospitals and to prevent SARS-CoV2 transmission | Helpline Assess history and symptoms. Recommends appropriate next steps | NO |
| COVID 19 suspected | COVID 19 Facility Established fever clinics and dedicated COVID health centers to handle COVID suspected patients as per protocol | Non COVID 19 Facility Providing non-essential facilities such as teleconsultation and referring patients to other facilities as per protocol |

---

Fig. 1 Triage strategy in patients with cancer
anticancer treatment are extremely important. Several mandatory measures are being carried out in hospitals during this period to alleviate the difficulties of patients with cancer in seeking medical aid and simultaneously avoiding COVID-19-related cross-infection between patients and medical staff.

First, SOPS on safety measures, a proper infection control protocol, SOPS for the OTs, and the postoperative wards have been created. Mandatory routine tests for the symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 are being performed in all patients and staff in order to reduce the risk of in-hospital transmission of COVID-19. Second, multiple on-site temperature tests are performed in the triage tent at the entrances of the hospital authorized by a multidisciplinary triage team. Strict screening strategy with a standard questionnaire scoring system designed by ICMR has been implemented for all patients, visitors, and medical staff presenting to the hospital. Patients who do not pass the scoring track are restricted to enter OPD and were dealt separately in the clinics outside. Furthermore, some hospital initiatives such as code green have been taken to restrict the free movement of high-risk patients. These reported safety measures may be of great value to help guide patients with cancer smoothly and safely through the crisis.

**Measures for Operating Room, Surgery, and Staff Safety**

The overarching goal in cancer care during the COVID-19 crisis is to provide compassionate and high-quality cancer care while continuing to look after patient and staff safety. SARS-CoV-2 is considered most contagious when the patient is symptomatic, and the evidence suggests that it is transmitted by asymptomatic individuals as well [11]. Transmission before the onset of symptoms has been reported [12, 13] and has contributed to spreading among residents of a nursing facility in Washington, USA [14]. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 within healthcare facilities to healthcare workers has been documented in China where 3.8% of COVID-19 cases were reported in healthcare workers leading to five deaths [15]. Italy reported 15,314 infections among healthcare workers, representing 11% of all infections as of 10 April 2020 [16]. The World Health Organization reported 22,073 cases of COVID-19 among healthcare workers from 52 countries as of 8 April 2020 [17]; however, due to lack of systematic reporting, the actual number is underrepresented.

Due to the lockdown imposed by the government responding to this crisis, public and private institutes developed the outbreak response administrative measures such as modification of workflow and processes, management, coordination and movement of staff, the introduction of personal protective equipment for staff, and strengthening of the screening tests. Institutes formulated self-institutional guidelines for safety protocols based on the ASA and APSF, SSO, IASO, and AMASI guidelines. To deliver universal high-quality care through multidisciplinary medical guidance to cancer patients, virtual tumor boards were launched and department meetings have been initiated. One important consideration even in routine work is that all ORs should consider all patients as COVID-19 positive and must be accommodated in negative pressure operation rooms based on

---

**Fig. 2** Patient prioritization flow
(A to C—highest to lowest)
availability. Other measures include minimizing patient-provider encounters.

When compared with the flow of surgeries with pre-COVID-19 phase, public institutes reported a 50–60% drop in numbers of oncoursages but reported no change in emergency surgeries. To cope with the anticipated increased influx of COVID-19 patients, private institutes decided to continue with only emergency surgeries as normal during phase 1 lockdown. All priority oncoursages in private institutes were resumed back during the phase 2 lockdown and were made possible due to the development of a few internal policy changes specified above. There is an agreement that managing rotation of staff is a key issue in resuming services and minimizing exposure. One such strategy adopted was 2 weeks on and 2 weeks off considering the incubation period can be as long as 14 days. Another strategy adopted at private institutes suggests 3 days on and 3 days off duty for medical and radiation oncologists, encouraging every clinician to visit alternate day for 2–3 h.

Perioperative COVID-19-positive status confers a very high risk of perioperative pulmonary complications and mortality. Risk is higher for patients undergoing major cancer surgery [18]. Many guidelines strongly recommend testing for SARS-CoV-2 prior to the operation [7] and emphasized on RT PCR testing to all patients. This can be resource exhaustive and may not be completely practical at this moment for universal application considering the limited number of test kit availability and rising incidence across the country. Also, RT PCR is only 70% sensitive, and the risk of false negativity is significant [19]. Whether universal screening can be mandated for every patient undergoing surgery based on the available resources is yet unanswered. This is currently being governed by recommendations released from time to time by authorized national and local government health agencies. The process however is dynamic, and we will probably see routine preoperative testing for COVID-19 in times to come considering the risk to the patient and healthcare workers both in absence of definitive treatment and immunity.

**Different Surgical Approaches and Potential Challenges**

Cancer surgery and other surgeries that cannot be avoided should be continued with adequate precautions as far as possible, and surgical approaches should be selected judiciously. A small but definite risk of transmission exists irrespective of the surgical approach [open, laparoscopic, or robotic]; however, one needs to take all the possible precautions to minimize it. It is well established that laparoscopy has not only a favorable impact on respiratory function but also less pain and reduced length of stay for patients. However, safety precautions are necessary when performing laparoscopy due to the risk of exposure and infection to the staff personnel [20]. Many emergency laparoscopic procedures will still be required during this pandemic, yet very little is known regarding the uptake of these procedures during the COVID-19 crisis surrounding SARS-CoV-2 virus transmission. There are concerns relating to COVID-19 transmission arising from the potential generation of SARS-CoV-2-contaminated aerosols from CO: leakage during laparoscopic surgery, although there are no data to support it. Intubation and extubation remain one of the highest risk aerosol-generating procedures due to the high viral load in respiratory secretions [21]. However, performing minimally invasive robotic and laparoscopic surgery is not contraindicated if provided with certain modifications. Few hospitals have modified all the trocars and cannula with an external evacuator which goes through an undersealed double chamber sodium hypochlorite and the third chamber with a buffalo filter.

Laparoscopy allows for a self-contained operative field with less and possibly no spillage of fluids and tissues, thus minimizing risk to the operative staff. All institutes agreed that laparoscopic surgery can be continued where clear benefits have been evident but emphasized avoiding experimental laparoscopy during the current crisis. On the other hand, at some hospitals, all minimally invasive surgeries were suspended initially but have resumed especially in patients with prostate and lower rectum cancers where the benefit over open surgery is well established [22]. The temporary suspension was observed at institutes due to available infrastructure, patient number, surgery time, and staff availability. It is advisable to evaluate risk of COVID-19 in patients before any surgery, rather its high time to emphasize and follow infection control with the utmost care.

**Medical Device Usage and Adopting to Changes**

As laparoscopy is known to result in the earlier discharge of patients from hospitals and less dealing with surgical wounds and surgical site infections (SSIs), few guidelines have been established providing recommendations regarding laparoscopic surgical response to COVID-19 [23]. It is reported that the aerosol can contaminate personnel and all the furniture and surfaces in the room via airborne particles when released during laparoscopic surgery [leaks] or after the operation (exsufflation) [24]. Smoke evacuators used at the time of laparoscopy offer the unique advantage of being able to reduce the surgical plume in the abdominal cavity [20]. However, there is no compelling data to support the notion that COVID-19—a novel virus—is transmitted through the surgical plume or aerosolized laparoscopic gas. To disseminate knowledge and provide guidelines for minimally invasive
surgery procedures, a recent study suggested the usage of low-pressure peritoneum, the use of balloon trocars, evacuating all pneumoperitoneum before trocar removal, or specimen extractions [25]. On emphasizing the importance of using smoke evacuators, hospitals should consider the use of new modalities such as suction devices placed near the electrosurgical site, to further decrease the aerosolization that could improve smoke evacuation beyond the simple vacuum and filter system [26]. However, there are no claims reporting any changes in surgical technique practices or use of any surgical devices including usage of energy devices, but adequate safety precautions must be taken.

Patient Counseling, Teleconsultations, and Legal Implications

Temporary halt on non-COVID-19 healthcare services has caused anxiety and confusion among cancer patients [27]. It is possible that the stress related to this pandemic may exacerbate other treatment-related effects and the psychosocial impact of the pandemic may disproportionately affect the cancer patients. It is important that clinicians directly acknowledge patients’ concerns about their individual risks and anxieties. To address these psychosocial needs of the patients, institutions have started providing psychosocial counseling not just to patients but also to the staff personnel for empowerment and control during this pandemic.

During the COVID-19 crisis, physicians are providing competent care by placing patient welfare above other interests, catering the information to enable patients to make well-considered decisions and promoting continuity of care, while respecting patient privacy and confidentiality. Hospitals implemented teleconsultations to patients by strictly adhering to the requirements of Telemedicine Practice Guidelines published by the Indian government [28]. Few hospitals have integrated a teleconsultation software with the institutes HIS system, and a separate teleconsultation team is established to provide personalized telehealth advice electronically. Patients were encouraged to consent for teleconsultation, and identification was confirmed through a government ID while providing safeguards to protect the patient’s privacy and security and documenting the prescription and clinical evaluation.

Informed consenting is a fundamental step in clinical practice. A small early study from China suggested that cancer patients who underwent surgery or chemotherapy in the month preceding the appearance of the virus had a higher risk (75%) of developing a severe episode compared with those who had not undergone surgery or chemotherapy (43%) [2]. Further evidence described the high mortality rate and poorer outcomes associated with COVID-19 in cancer patients with older age [18, 29]. Hence, it is crucial to include the data about the effect of cancer and cancer treatment risks in the wake of the COVID-19 crisis and communicated in a clear and detailed manner to the patients during the informed consenting process by the treating oncologist. All institutes involved in this discussion have already adapted to this measure to support individual autonomy to patients about their treatment decisions.

Conclusion

In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, we recommend rigorous preparations in terms of internal administrative measures. These measures include the modification of infrastructure and processes, management of staff and patients, strict screening and triage strategies, establishment and frequent updating of protocols, and clinical recommendations and infection prevention strategies. Appointments of patients on follow-up should be managed through telemedicine or web consulting to reduce the possibility of hospital visits and eliminating the risk of spreading the virus. All treatment decision-making should follow standard guidelines and must be taken in the context of a multidisciplinary tumor board. The informed consenting process must include recent data about the risk and benefits of treatment in the context of the specific COVID-19 pandemic status.
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