Hunting for \( CP \)-violating axionlike particle interactions
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The impact of axionlike particles (ALPs) on the search of permanent electric dipole moments (EDMs) of molecules, atoms, nuclei and nucleons is systematically investigated. Assuming the most general ALP effective field theory containing operators up to dimension-five, we evaluate the leading short-distance effects to the EDMs at two-loop order. The high sensitivity of EDMs to \( CP \)-violating ALP interactions is emphasized exploiting both the current and projected experimental sensitivities.

I. INTRODUCTION

The lack for heavy new physics (NP) at the LHC, mostly motivated by the weak-scale hierarchy problem, has triggered a shift of paradigm towards alternative scenarios, with new light mediators, that are receiving increasing attention both theoretically and experimentally. NP scenarios with light pseudoscalar bosons, referred to as axionlike particles (ALPs)\(^1\) are prominent examples. The lightness of ALPs can be naturally explained if they are identified with the pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons of an approximate global symmetry. Interestingly, ALPs can be invoked to address a number of fundamental open questions in particle physics such as the strong \( CP \) problem\(^2\), the origin of dark matter\(^3\), as well the flavor\(^4\) and hierarchy\(^5\) problems. Furthermore, various anomalies can be solved by the ALPs such as the longstanding discrepancy of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon\(^6,7\), the excess in excited Beryllium decays\(^8\)\( ^{–}^8\)Be \(\rightarrow\) \(8\)Be \(+\) \(e^+\) \(e^-\) \(^8\)Be \(+\) \(e^+\) \(e^-\) \[^{–}^{10}\] and that of electronic recoil events with an energy of \(O(\text{keV})\) observed by the XENON1T collaboration\(^11,12\).

Since the relation between ALP mass and couplings depends on the specific ultraviolet (UV) completion, it is customary to take a model-independent approach where ALPs are treated as a generalization of the QCD axion, with mass and couplings being free parameters to be probed experimentally. In this framework, ALP interactions with Standard Model (SM) fermions and gauge bosons are described via an effective Lagrangian built with operators up to dimension-five\(^13\). This approach still captures general features of a broad class of models.

For ALP masses below the MeV scale, a vast experimental program, intertwined with cosmology and astrophysics, is currently ongoing\(^1\). That ranges from “wavelike” approaches to ALP searches in the sub-eV region (such as haloscopes, helioscopes and optical/EM setups), to beam-dump experiments stretching up to the GeV scale\(^14\). Collider experiments have also probed ALP masses ranging from the GeV scale up to the electroweak scale, through searches of ALPs associated production with photons, jets and electroweak gauge bosons\(^15\). Searches for the exotic, on-shell Higgs and \( Z \) decays into ALPs were also shown to probe regions of the parameter space previously unconstrained\(^16\). Other low-energy observables which are extremely sensitive to ALPs are flavor-changing neutral-currents (FCNC) processes both in the quark\(^17\) and lepton sectors\(^18\). Indeed, since there is no fundamental reason for the ALP interactions to respect the SM flavor group, ALPs can induce FCNC already at tree level.

Rather surprisingly, \( CP \)-violating (CPV) signatures of ALPs received so far much less attention\(^7,19\). The \( CP \) symmetry is violated as long as ALP couplings to photons entail both \( \phi FF \) and \( \phi FF \) interactions (where \( \phi \) is the ALP, \( F \) the QED field strength tensor and \( \tilde{F} \) its dual) and/or if ALP couplings to fermions \( (f) \) include both \( \phi \tilde{\gamma}_5 f \) and \( \phi \tilde{\gamma}_5 f \) interactions. As we will see, these conditions require that the global shift symmetry (responsible for the lightness of the ALP) as well as \( CP \) are broken in the UV sector and such a symmetry breaking is eventually communicated to the infrared dynamics by some mediators. The required UV dynamics can arise quite naturally in strongly-coupled theories, and in fact an explicit realization is provided by the SM itself. Consider for definiteness the effective interactions of the neutral pion field \( \pi \) below the GeV scale...
(see e.g., [22]). The role of the ALP is played by $\pi^0$, while quark masses are responsible for the breaking of the shift symmetry and the source of $CP$ violation is the QCD $\theta$ term. The mediators from the strong sector to the $\pi^0$ are instead the electromagnetic (EM) interactions.

$CP$-even pion interactions contain the terms $A_1 \frac{\partial^2 \pi^0}{\partial x} \tilde{F} \tilde{F} + A_2 \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial x} \tilde{e} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_5 e$ where $A_1 = \frac{a_e}{\Lambda}$ is the Wess-Zumino-Witten term and $A_2$ is generated radiatively from $A_1$ via EM interactions so that $A_2 \sim (\frac{a_e}{\Lambda})^2$. $CP$-odd pion interactions $C_1 \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial x} \tilde{F} + C_2 \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial x} \tilde{e} e$ are instead sourced by the QCD $\theta$ term $C_1 \sim \theta$ while $C_2$ is generated radiatively from $C_1$ via EM and therefore $C_2 \sim \frac{\theta}{\Lambda}$. A strongly coupled dynamics at the scale $\Lambda \gtrsim 1$ TeV that resembles the pion dynamics of the SM can hence be conceived in analogy.

Other scenarios providing a strong motivation for the study of a $CP$V ALP are relaxion models [5] which propose a new solution to the weak-scale hierarchy problem by introducing an ALP field, the relaxion, which scans the $CP$-even pion interactions and therefore $\tilde{F}$. The mediators from the strong sector to the EM and therefore $\tilde{F}$, $GG$ are total derivatives, while pseudoscalar interactions can be written in a shift-symmetric way through the dimension-five operator $\frac{\partial \phi}{\Lambda} \tilde{F} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 f$ upon integrating by parts and applying the equations of motion. This justifies their normalization factor $\frac{1}{\Lambda}$. Instead, the interactions in the second line of Eq. (1) break explicitly the shift symmetry. In particular, scalar interactions can be written, in the unbroken SM phase, in terms of the dimension-five operator $\phi \bar{H} f_L(R) f(R)$ thus justifying the normalization factor $\frac{1}{\Lambda}$. We remark that $(\bar{C}_r, \bar{C}_g, y_p)$ and $(C_r, C_g, y_S)$ could have very different size as they stem from the shift-symmetry invariant and breaking sectors, respectively. Finally, ALP-Higgs mixing, induced by scalar potential operators of the type $|H|^2 \sin(\phi/\Lambda)$, can be straightforwardly taken into account in the EDM formulas below [24].

Hereafter, we assume that $m_g \gtrsim$ few GeV so that QCD can be treated perturbatively. Moreover, although we take $\Lambda \gtrsim 1$ TeV, we focus only on electromagnetic and strong interactions as weak interactions play a subleading role in our analysis.

The effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ at the scale $\Lambda$ is normalized at lower energies by QED and QCD interactions. Although the full one-loop anomalous dimension matrix for the dimension-five operators of our ALP EFT will be presented elsewhere [24], in the following we discuss the most relevant effects for our analysis. In the leading logarithmic approximation, the solution of the renormalization-group equations for the leptonic (pseudo)scalar couplings $y_{S,\mu}$ gives

$$y_{S,\mu}^\ell \approx y_{S,\mu}^\ell (\Lambda) + \frac{6\alpha m_\ell}{\pi v} e^2 C_\gamma \log \frac{\Lambda}{\mu},$$

$$y_{p,\mu}^\ell \approx y_{p,\mu}^\ell (\Lambda) - \frac{6\alpha m_\ell}{\pi v} e^2 C_\gamma \log \frac{\Lambda}{\mu},$$

where $\mu$ is the renormalization scale. Instead, in the quark sector, we obtain

$$y_{S,\mu}^q \approx y_{S,\mu}^q (\Lambda) + \frac{m_q}{v} \left( \frac{6\alpha}{\pi} Q_q^2 e^2 C_\gamma + \frac{8\alpha s_q}{\pi} g_5^2 C_5 \right) \log \frac{\Lambda}{\mu},$$

$$y_{p,\mu}^q \approx y_{p,\mu}^q (\Lambda) - \frac{m_q}{v} \left( \frac{6\alpha}{\pi} Q_q^2 e^2 C_\gamma + \frac{8\alpha s_q}{\pi} g_5^2 C_5 \right) \log \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}.$$
Since in Eq. (1) we factor out the gauge couplings $e^2$ and $g_s^2$, the coefficients $C_{r,g}$ and $\tilde{C}_{r,g}$ turn out to be scale invariant at one-loop order. Yet, top and bottom contributions are taken into account by the QCD trace anomaly in the gluon-gluon-ALP vertex after they have been integrated out (see Fig. 1). The resulting effect is

$$g_s^2 C_g \approx g_s^2 C_g(\Lambda) + \frac{\alpha_s}{12\pi} \sum_{q=t,b} \frac{v y_s^{qq}}{m_q} f_g(x_q),$$

$$g_s^2 \tilde{C}_g \approx g_s^2 \tilde{C}_g(\Lambda) - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \sum_{q=t,b} \frac{v y_s^{qq}}{m_q} \tilde{f}_g(x_q),$$

where $x_q = m_{\phi}^2/m_q^2$ and $f_g(0) = \tilde{f}_g(0) = 1$ [24], in agreement with the Higgs low-energy theorem [25].

Since the operators $XX$ and $\bar{X}X$ ($X = F, G$), as well as scalar and pseudoscalar operators, have opposite CP-transformation properties, it is clear that $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ violates CP regardless of the CP-even or CP-odd nature of $\phi$.

CP violating phenomena can be conveniently described in terms of Jarlskog invariants, i.e., rephasing-invariant parameters which provide a measure of CP violation [26]. In particular, the full set of Jarlskog invariants of our ALP EFT reads

$$C_{a,\tilde{b}}, \quad y_s^{a\tilde{b}}, \quad y_P^{a\tilde{b}}, \quad y_{\tilde{S}Y_S}^{a\tilde{b}}, \quad y_{\tilde{S}Y_{SM}}^{a\tilde{b}}, \quad (8)$$

where $a, b = \gamma, g$ and $y_{\tilde{S}Y}^{a\tilde{b}}$ denotes a SM-Yukawa coupling in the diagonal basis. Notice that only the last invariant of Eq. (8) is sensitive to flavor-violating effects. Moreover, as we will see, at the two-loop level all the invariants will be generated.

III. EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIAN FOR EDMs

The leading low-energy CPV Lagrangian relevant for EDMs of molecules, atoms, nuclei, and nucleons reads [27]

$$\mathcal{L}_{CPV} = \sum_{i,j=a,d,e} C_{ij} (\bar{f}_i \gamma_j f_j) (\bar{f}_j \gamma_i f_i) + \alpha_s C_{G_s} G\tilde{G} \gamma_s e e \epsilon - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=a,d,e} d_{ij} (\bar{f}_i F \gamma_s f_j) - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=a,d} g_{ij} d_{ij} (\bar{f}_i (G \cdot \sigma) f_j) + \frac{d_{ij}}{3} f^{abc} G^a \tilde{G}^b G^c, \quad (9)$$

where we omitted color-octet four-quark operators (as they are induced only at one-loop level in the ALP framework) and the dimension-four $GG$ operator. The latter is assumed to be absent thanks to a UV mechanism solving the strong CP problem. Within our EFT, $C_{ij}, C_{Ge}$, and $C_{Ge}$ are generated by the Feynman diagrams of Fig. 1 and read

$$C_{ij} \approx \frac{v^2 y^{ij}_{S}}{\Lambda^2} y^{ij}_{P}, \quad C_{Ge} = \frac{4\pi}{m_{\phi}^2 \Lambda^2} C_{y^{ee}_{P}}, \quad (10)$$

while $C_{Ge}$ via the replacement $C_{y^{ee}_{P}} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{y^{ee}_{P}}$.

The last term of Eq. (9) refers to the Weinberg operator which is generated by the representative diagrams shown in Fig. 2. The related Wilson coefficient $d_G$ reads

$$d_G \approx \frac{\alpha_s}{(4\pi)^3} \sum_{i=a,d} \frac{v^2 y^{iij}_{S}}{2\Lambda^2} \sum_{j} f(\Lambda^2) + \frac{3\alpha_s}{8\pi^2} \frac{v^2 y^{iij}_{P}}{\Lambda^2} \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\phi}}, \quad (11)$$

where the first term refers to the two-loop diagram and $h(0) = 1$ [24]. Instead, the second term of Eq. (11) arises from the one-loop diagrams of Fig. 2 and enjoys a very large enhancement factor with respect to the naive dimensional analysis expectation. As a result, we anticipate that $d_G$ will provide the by far dominant effects to EDMs as induced by $C_{y^{ee}_{P}}$.

Finally, we analyze the fermionic (C)EDMs induced by ALP interactions. The leading contributions stem from the Feynman diagrams reported in Fig. 3 and read

$$\frac{d_i}{e} \approx - \sum_{k} \frac{Q_k m_k}{16\alpha^2 \Lambda^2} \text{Re} \left( y^{iijk}_{S, P} \right) \epsilon(x_k) \left( \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\phi}} \right)^2 \frac{m_{\phi}}{v^2}$$

$$- \frac{N_f^2}{2\alpha^2 \Lambda^2} \frac{m_{\phi}}{v^2} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\phi}} \right)^2 \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\phi}}$$

$$- \frac{3\alpha_s}{8\pi^2} \frac{m_{\phi}}{v^2} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\phi}} \right)^2 \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\phi}}$$

$$- \frac{\delta_{q_i}}{\Lambda^2} \frac{m_{\phi}}{v^2} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\phi}} \right)^2 \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_{\phi}}, \quad (12)$$

in the EDMs case (where $i = e, u, d,$ and $q = u, d$) and
for the CEDMs (where \(i = u, d\)). The loop functions are 
\[
\phi_2(x) = (3 - 4x + x^2 + 2\log x)/(1 - x)^3 \quad \text{and, in the asymptotic limit } x \gg 1, f(x) \approx (6\log x + 13)/18 \quad \text{and } g(x) \approx \log(x + 2)/2, \quad x_k = m_i^2/m_\phi^2. \]
While the contributions to the electron EDM stemming from the third and fourth diagrams of Fig. 3 were already considered in [7], the expressions of quark (C)EDMs are new. Moreover, we also consider here flavor-violating effects for the first diagram (for flavor-diagonal effects, see [28]) and Barr-Zee two-loop contributions (see diagram).

Our results are obtained using a hard cutoff as a UV regulator to render loop calculations finite, i.e., the size of the loop diagrams is estimated in terms of this hard cutoff and assumes no significant cancellations with finite terms that could change these estimates.

Although Eqs. (2)–(13) capture only the leading-order short-distance effects of our ALP model, the bounds of Table I have been obtained taking into account also one-loop QCD running effects (improved with a two-loop running of \(\alpha_s\) and quark masses) from \(\Lambda = 1\) TeV down to \(m_\phi = 5\) GeV and the running of \(\mathcal{L}_{CPV}\) from \(m_\phi\) down to the hadronic scale \(\mu_{\text{had}} = 1\) GeV [29].

Constraints on \(d_\gamma\), as well as on the coefficients \(C_{ij}\) and \(C_{Ge}\) in Eq. (9), are set by using the polar molecule ThO. The electron spin-precession frequency receives contributions from both \(d_\gamma\) and CP-odd electron-nucleon (N) interactions \(\mathcal{L} \supset -C_{Ge} \bar{N} N e i\gamma_5 e\) [30].

\[
\omega_{\text{ThO}} = 1.2 \text{ mrad/s} \left(\frac{d_\gamma}{10^{-29} e \text{ cm}}\right) + 1.8 \text{ mrad/s} \left(\frac{C_S}{10^{-29}}\right)
\]
with a theoretical error of a few percent and the experimental limit \(\omega_{\text{ThO}} < 1.3 \text{ mrad/s (90\% C.L.)}\) [31]. The coefficient \(C_S\) is related to \(C_{ij}\) and \(C_{Ge}\) as \(C_S/v^2 \approx -17(C_{ie} + C_{de}) + 4.7 \text{ GeV} C_{Ge}\). The neutron EDM is induced by

\[\text{TABLE I. Bounds on CPV invariants for } \Lambda = 1\text{ TeV and } m_\phi = 5\text{ GeV. In the 3rd column we specify the observable and (in brackets) the leading operator setting the bound.}\]

| CPV invariant | Bound | Observable |
|---------------|-------|------------|
| \(|C_{\gamma} \tilde{C}_{\gamma}|\) | 6.2 \times 10^{-3} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(d_\gamma) |
| \(|C_S C_d|\) | 1.4 \times 10^{-6} | d_{\text{He}}(C_d) |
| \(|C_S C_p|\) | 0.40 | d_{\text{He}}(C_p, C_S) |
| \(|C_S \tilde{C}_{\gamma}|\) | 2.3 \times 10^{-3} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(C_S) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{C}_d - y_S^d \tilde{C}_u|\) | 6.9 \times 10^{-11} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(C_S) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{C}_d - y_S^d \tilde{C}_u|\) | 8.1 \times 10^{-9} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{C}_d - y_S^d \tilde{C}_u|\) | 6.3 \times 10^{-9} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{C}_d + y_S^d \tilde{C}_u|\) | 2.1 \times 10^{-11} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(C_S) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{C}_d + y_S^d \tilde{C}_u|\) | 7.3 \times 10^{-10} | d_{\text{He}}(C_p) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d - y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 5.6 \times 10^{-9} | d_{\text{He}}(C_u - C_d) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d - y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 4.2 \times 10^{-13} | d_{\text{He}}(C_p) |

| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 2.1 \times 10^{-13} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(C_S) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 6.8 \times 10^{-9} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(C_S) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 1.7 \times 10^{-10} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(C_S) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 8.8 \times 10^{-9} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 3.9 \times 10^{-9} | d_{\text{He}}(C_p) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 0.10 | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 5.9 \times 10^{-5} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 1.0 \times 10^{-10} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 2.2 \times 10^{-12} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 3.1 \times 10^{-12} | \omega_{\text{ThO}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 3.9 \times 10^{-8} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 3.2 \times 10^{-9} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 3.2 \times 10^{-7} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 4.6 \times 10^{-8} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 6.4 \times 10^{-9} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
| \(|y_S^u \tilde{y}_S^d + y_S^d \tilde{y}_S^u|\) | 1.7 \times 10^{-8} | d_{\text{He}}(d_{\text{He}}) |
quark (C)EDMs, the Weinberg operator, and four-fermion operators [32–34]

\[ d_n = 0.784(28)d_u - 0.204(11)d_d - 0.55(28)ed^C_u \\
-1.10(55)ed^C_d + 50(40) \text{MeV}ed_{\bar{d}} \\
+30(20) \text{MeV}(C_{ud} - C_{du}), \tag{14} \]

while the experimental bound is \( d_n < 1.8 \times 10^{-26} \text{ e cm} \) (90% C.L.) [35]. The EDM of the diamagnetic atom \(^{199}\text{Hg}\) gets contributions from both nuclear and leptonic CP-odd interactions [30,33]

\[ d_{\text{Hg}} \simeq 4.0 \times 10^{-4}d_n - [2.8C_S - 2.1C_P]10^{-22} \text{ e cm} \tag{15} \]

with \( C_P \approx C_P^{(0)} - C_P^{(1)} \) defined in terms of the Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{G_2}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{N}(C_P^{(0)} + \tau_1 C_P^{(1)})\bar{\tau}_S N \bar{e}_e \). To set bounds we employ \( C_P^{(1)} / v^2 = 350(C_{eu} + C_{ed}) + 1.1 \text{ GeV}C_{\bar{e}e} \) and the experimental limit \( d_{\text{Hg}} < 6 \times 10^{-30} \text{ e cm} \) (90% C.L.) [36].

IV. PROVING ALPS WITH EDMs

The sensitivity of physical EDMs to the CPV invariants of Eq. (8) are reported in Table I, where we have taken \( m_\phi = 5 \text{ GeV} \) and employed central values for theoretical predictions. While the bounds on \( |C_7 \tilde{C}_7| \) and \( |y_S^e \tilde{C}_7 - y_P^e \tilde{C}_7| \) were already studied in [7], all the other bounds are new. As shown in Table I, four-fermion operators provide the most stringent bounds on several CP invariants. Similarly, the Weinberg operator sets tight limits on ALP couplings to the top and bottom quarks as well as to gluons which were previously unconstrained. We remark that also flavor-violating contributions to the EDMs are quite effective. Indeed, despite the suppression arising from flavor mixing angles—which are otherwise constrained by FCNC processes—(C)EDMs enjoy a chiral enhancement \( m_{i} / m_{j} \), for \( k > j \), which is absent in the case of flavor-conserving interactions. Although the relative importance of the above contributions to the physical EDMs will depend on the relative strength of the microscopic parameters \( C_{r(g)}, \tilde{C}_{r(g)}, y_S, \) and \( y_P \) and therefore on the specific ALP model, let us consider as an example the case where \( y_{S-P}^i \propto \frac{m_{i}}{\tau_{i}}, C_{r(g)} \) and \( \tilde{C}_{r(g)} \propto \frac{1}{16\pi} \). In such a setup it turns out that four-fermion operators are the by far best probes of CP violation followed by the electron EDM and the Weinberg operator which have comparable sensitivities, as it can be easily checked from Table I. We finally remark that the electron EDM bound on CPV combinations studied here are always much stronger than the corresponding limits on CP-conserving combinations stemming from the anomalous magnetic moments of the electron, unless CP phases are smaller than about \( 10^{-4} \) [37].

The expected sensitivities of future EDM experiments will greatly improve the current resolutions. The neutron EDM measurement should be improved by more than two orders of magnitude, \( d_n < 10^{-28} \text{ e cm} \) [23]. There are also plans to measure the EDMs of charged nuclei such as the proton and deuteron in EM storage rings with expected resolutions of \( d_{pd} < 10^{-26} \text{ e cm} \) [23]. Moreover, we expect also one order of magnitude improvement on the current measurement of molecular systems, such as the polar molecule ThO, which give rise to the most stringent constraints on the electron EDM and electron-nucleon couplings. If this is the case, the bounds on \( d_C \) and quark (C)EDMs will improve by roughly three orders of magnitude while the bounds on the electron EDM and four-fermion contributions will become one order of magnitude more stringent.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have studied for the first time the full set of contributions of ALPs to permanent EDMs of molecules, atoms, nuclei and nucleons. After classifying the CPV-Jarlskog invariants emerging in the ALP EFT, we have evaluated the leading short-distance effects to EDMs up to two-loop order. Our main result is that four-fermion and Weinberg operators, so far neglected in the literature, provide by far the largest contributions to the EDMs. Our work can be generalized in several directions. For instance, it would be interesting to extend our analysis to ALP masses in the sub-GeV region where QCD cannot be treated perturbatively. Moreover, it could be worth to investigate possible UV completions of our EFT that resemble the strong dynamics of the pion in the SM. Furthermore, since relaxation models addressing the hierarchy problem share many similarities with our EFT, it would be interesting to check whether these scenarios can be probed by means of the new EDM observables studied here. Finally, another ambitious project would be to investigate whether a successful baryogenesis can be driven by CPV-ALP interactions.

In summary, a CPV ALP can be related to many fundamental open questions in particle physics. It is very exciting that the outstanding experimental progress, which is expected in the next years, on the searches for permanent EDMs will likely shed light on some of them.
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