DASH/Dam1 complex mutants stabilize ploidy by weakening kinetochore-microtubule attachments
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Summary

Forcing budding yeast to chromatinize their DNA with human histones manifests an abrupt fitness cost. We previously proposed chromosomal aneuploidy and mis-sense mutations as two potential modes of adaptation to histone humanization. Here we show that aneuploidy in histone-humanized yeasts is specific to a subset of chromosomes, defined by their centromeric evolutionary origins, and is not adaptive. Instead, we show that a set of missense mutations in outer kinetochore proteins drive adaptation to human histones. Further, we characterize the molecular mechanism of two mutants of the outer kinetochore DASH/Dam1 complex, which reduce aneuploidy by suppression of chromosome instability. Molecular modeling and biochemical experiments show that these two mutants likely disrupt a conserved oligomerization interface thereby weakening microtubule attachments. Lastly, we show that one mutant, DADTE550, while suppressing chromosome instability in mitosis, leads to gross defects in meiosis. In sum, our data show how a set of point mutations evolved in the histone-humanized yeasts to counterbalance human histone-induced chromosomal instability through weakening microtubule interactions, eventually promoting a return to euploidy.
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Introduction

Evolution is punctuated by moments of turmoil followed by rapid adaptation and stasis (Gould and Eldredge, 1993). Genomic studies have revealed a mode of "creation by crisis", with mechanisms ranging from whole genome duplication in yeasts to chromothripsis and telomere crisis in cancer cells (Baca et al., 2013; Counter et al., 1992; Wolfe and Shields, 1997). These episodic bursts of innovation typically play out at the level of large-scale DNA mutation (Heasley et al., 2021). Cross-species genome hybridization via molecular engineering presents a dramatic new example of lab-directed genetic crisis (Boonekamp et al., 2022; Kachroo et al., 2015; Laurent et al., 2020). Because histone proteins are intimately associated with DNA, the complete exchange of native histone genes with non-native histone genes poses a substantial genetic barrier. We have previously shown that the budding yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, can subsist with human histone comprised chromatin (Truong and Boeke, 2017). However, a large bottleneck limits how readily this occurs, with just ~1 in 105 cells surviving the initial histone swap (Haase et al., 2019). The histone-humanized cells are initially extremely unfit, with a generation time ranging from 8-12 hours, but they quickly adapt and fitness improves. Adaptation is associated with the acquisition of distinct bypass mutations and accumulation of aneuploid chromosomes, but how these processes contribute to improved fitness is unclear. However, the high levels of chromosome instability suggest critical defects to machinery responsible for chromosome segregation in histone humanized strains.

Accurate segregation of chromosomes relies on ensuring proper centromere-kinetochore-microtubule connections. After replication, sister chromosomes must be captured by the spindle microtubules, bioriented, and segregated equally into daughter cells (Nicklas, 1997). The establishment of kinetochore biorientation is the critical step in ensuring faithful chromosome segregation. Failure to establish correct orientation causes chromosome missegregation and aneuploidy, which leads to decreased cellular fitness in lab yeasts (Hose et al., 2020; Torres et al., 2007, 2010) and underlies many human maladies (Antonarakis, 2017; Oromenda and Amon, 2014).

Centromeres serve as the coupling point of chromosomes to the spindle microtubules – an interaction which is bridged by the megadalton kinetochore complex (Biggins, 2013). The centromeric variant histone H3 (Cse4 in S. cerevisiae, CENP-A in humans) plays a central role in the process of chromosome segregation by defining the region of centromeric DNA in the majority of species (Steiner and Henikoff, 2015). In S. cerevisiae, centromeres are defined as a single Cse4-containing nucleosome that wraps a specific sequence of ~125 base pairs (bp) of DNA. Coupling to a single microtubule is achieved through the association of a Cse4-containing nucleosome with the inner kinetochore protein complexes CCAN and Cbf3 (Biggins, 2013; Cottarel et al., 1989; Furuyama and Biggins, 2007; Winey et al., 1995). From here adaptor complexes, MINDMS12 and CENP-T, bridge the gap to link to the outer kinetochore complexes Ndc80c and DASH/Dam1c that interface with microtubules (Jenni et al., 2017).

Kinetochore-microtubule attachments made by Ndc80c and DASH/Dam1c are highly regulated to ensure correct attachments are not over stabilized (Tien et al., 2010). Direct destabilization of incorrect attachments allows for attachments to be released and corrected. This regulation is achieved through kinetochore-microtubule turnover driven by the kinase activity of Aurora B kinase (Carmena et al., 2012; Cimini et al., 2006; Pinesky et al., 2006; Tanaka et al., 2002). Aurora B (Ipl1)
forms the chromosomal passenger complex (CPC), alongside of INCENP (Sli15), Borealin (Bir1), and Survivin (Nb11), whose recruitment to centromeric chromatin stimulates correction of incorrect microtubule attachments (Carmena et al., 2012; Kawashima et al., 2010; Yamagishi et al., 2010). As these mechanisms of CPC recruitment involve the direct interaction with nucleosomes (Abad et al., 2019), it is thus plausible human histones may disrupt this pathway and lead to chromosome instability in histone humanized yeasts.

Whole genome sequencing of histone-humanized yeasts hinted towards mutation of outer kinetochore genes and aneuploidy as two potential paths of adaptation (Truong and Boeke, 2017). Here we set out to answer the relative contributions of mutation and aneuploidy to adaptation to human histones. We find that aneuploidy is all together non-adaptive and that aneuploidy accumulation is biased to non-random subset of chromosomes based on their centromeric evolutionary origins. Instead, using genetic, molecular modeling, and biochemistry techniques we show that a set of DASH/Dam1c mutants are adaptive to yeasts with human histones. Together our data support a mechanistic whereby DASH/Dam1c mutants disrupt their own oligomerization, which weakens kinetochore-microtubule attachments thereby suppressing chromosome instability and reducing the incidence of aneuploidy.

Results

DASH/Dam1c mutants are dominant suppressors of human histones

Histone-humanized yeasts are generated by using a low background dual-plasmid histone shuffle assay followed by counterselection of the yeast histone genes, which are linked to the URA3 marker, with 5-FOA (Figure 1A, S1A; (Haase et al., 2019; Truong and Boeke, 2017). After approximately 2 - 4 weeks of growth, we observed candidate humanized colonies as indicated by the appearance of small colonies, whereas larger colonies retained yeast histone genes (Figure S1B). We validated eight new lineages (yHs9-16) as bona fide humanized clones by the loss of the yeast histone genes as determined by PCR analysis (Figure S1B) and whole genome sequencing (Figure S1C).

To see how these lineages adapt to human histones we continually passaged them in rich medium and observed a dramatic fitness improvement within a brief period of 30 generations (corresponding to five passages lasting a total of approximately 25 days; Figure 1A, S1D). For example, in the histone-humanized lineage yHs13 the mean growth rate significantly improved over 17 fold between the ancestral and the generation 30 descendant (Figure 1B). We found that lineage yHs13 initially evolved a mutation in the DAM1 gene – a component of the outer kinetochore complex DASH/Dam1c (Figure 1C). Additionally, we identified 60 unique nucleotide variants from the ancestral and evolved lineages (Supplemental tables 1 and 2), more than doubling the total number of candidate suppressors of histone humanization from previously reported (Truong and Boeke, 2017). On average each strain had 3 mutations (Figure S1E) and we observed only two genes, URA2 and GEX2, with more than one mutation (Truong and Boeke, 2017) and Supplemental table 1). This set of genes was biased toward processes related to the cell cycle, chromosome segregation, rRNA processes, and chromatin remodeling (Figure S2). Across all of our histone-humanized lineages we isolated six independent lineages with mutations in the outer kinetochore protein complexes DASH/Dam1c, Ndc80c, and Spc105c, suggesting that alteration to microtubule attachments may be a potent route for adaptation (Figure 1C).

The DASH/Dam1c mutations arose in lineages with additional mutations (Figure 1C). We, therefore tested the sufficiency of these mutations in suppressing the fitness defect associated with histone humanization. First, we used CRISPR-Cas9 mediated mutagenesis to scarlessly introduce each of the non-synonymous mutations into an isogenic haploid histone shuffle strain, and then derived both heterozygous and homozygous diploid histone shuffle strains by mating (Figure S3A-B, Table S1). The majority of mutants had no growth defects in the wild-type (WT) background, except for a general growth defect of the dam1N80Y mutant and cold sensitivity at 4°C for the DAM1N80Y mutant (Figure S3C, where dominant mutations appear capitalized).

Sufficiency of each mutation was tested using our histone plasmid shuffle assay (Figure 1A, Figure S1A). We defined sufficiency as the ability of a mutant to robustly generate histone-humanized colonies that, upon counter-selection of the yeast histone plasmid with 5-FOA, are resistant to 5-FOA (5-FOA5) and devoid of yeast histones. For example, in a haploid strain, the DAM1N80Y mutant increased the frequency of 5-FOA5 over ~4,000 times that of the WT (Figure 1D). Likewise, the homozygous diploid DAM1N80Y mutant generated 5-FOA5 colonies at ~10,000 times the diploid WT frequency. Further, we found that DAM1N80Y is dominant to WT, as the heterozygous diploid strain produced 5-FOA5 colonies at a frequency ~3,000 times higher than the diploid WT (Figure 1D). We obtained similar results for all tested mutants except for the dam1N801K, which only weakly humanized in the heterozygous diploid background (Figure S3D-E; all calculated humanization frequencies can be found in Supplemental table 4). Importantly, these mutants lead to faster growth with humanized colonies that appear within 14 days upon counterselection (Figure S3D), in contrast to the WT background, where colonies can take over 21 days to first appear (Truong and Boeke, 2017). These data show that the DASH mutants are dominant and significantly increased frequency of generating histone humanized yeasts. We next sought to disentangle the contributions of aneuploidy and the DASH mutants to adaptation to human histones.

Ancient paralogous centromere origins best explain aneuploid frequency

One consistent feature in all ancestral humanized lineages is the presence of aneuploid chromosomes (Figure 2A, Figure S4, Supplemental table 3; Truong and Boeke, 2017). In fact, the majority of the lineages maintained their aneuploidies even as their fitness improved (Figure S1D, Figure S4). The aneuploids occurred nonrandomly, with chromosomes I, II, III, and XVI being the most frequently aneuploid (Figure 2A). We examined a number of candidate chromosomal features but found the frequency of aneuploidy in histone humanized yeast did not strongly correlate with any of them (Figure S5A). Two features showed borderline significance, as we observed a negative correlation with chromosome size (Pearson r = -0.54; p = 0.037) and a positive correlation with centromere AT percentage (Pearson r = 0.51; p = 0.044), however these features were not necessarily predictive of aneuploidy (Figure S5A-C). We were thus curious if any additional chromosome
feature may explain the observed frequency of aneuploidy in histone humanized yeasts.

The extant karyotype of *S. cerevisiae* is the product of an allopolyploidization event occurring ~93 million years ago (Marcet-Houben and Gabaldón, 2015; Shen et al., 2018; Wolfe and Shields, 1997) – where the lineage leading to *S. cerevisiae* maintained all eight paralogous pairs of centromere sequences (Gordon et al., 2011). It is striking that eight chromosomes displayed frequent aneuploidy and eight did not (Figure 2A), thus, we hypothesized that the observed differences in aneuploid frequency might be a reflection of differences between centromeric paralogs. The list of centromere paralog pairs, as determined by synteny analysis (Gordon et al., 2011), is displayed in figure 2B. We divided paralogs from each pair into group A or group B, where group A centromeres have higher frequency of aneuploidy in histone humanized yeast than those in group B. We note that one paralog pair CEN15 - CEN13 both presented zero aneuploidies in our data set, thus we excluded the pair from subsequent analysis (Figure 2A-B). To see if the bias in aneuploid frequency between group A and B was a trend observed in other studies, we analyzed the frequency of chromosomal aneuploidy across another seven studies (Duan et al., 2018; Gallone et al., 2016; Kao et al., 2010; McCulley and Petes, 2010; Peter et al., 2018; Sharp et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2016). This data set represents a total of 1,291 occurrences of aneuploidy across 1,688 yeast strains from a variety of sources (i.e., wild strains, industrial strains, clinical strains, and laboratory strains; Supplemental table 5). We found that the paralog pairs displayed a bias in the frequency of aneuploidy, with group A showing higher frequency of aneuploidy across the unrelated studies (Figure SSD; paired t-test between mean difference in paralog pair’s aneuploid frequencies p = 0.0001846). We next asked how frequently random pairings of the same data would lead to such extremely different aneuploidy frequencies between chromosome pairs (in other words, how extreme is the difference between group A and group B pairs when compared to other combinations of groupings). To this end, we performed randomization tests to make random reallocations of the aneuploid counts from all eight studies into two groupings. This allowed us to create a distribution of t-statistics of the mean difference assuming the null hypothesis was true (i.e. there is no difference in aneuploid frequency between the two groups; Figure SSE). We find that the observed difference in aneuploid frequency between group A and B is significantly greater than chance alone would predict, supporting our hypothesis that centromere paralog type (A or B) is leading to a non-random aneuploidy landscape (Figure SSE; p < 0.00004). Lastly, we asked if chromosome size effected the rate of aneuploidy. We observed that the frequency of aneuploidy for chromosomes in the paralog group B is significantly lower than for the chromosomes in paralog group A, even when controlling for chromosome size (Figure 2C). Further, there is not a significant difference in aneuploid frequency within group B chromosomes when grouping chromosomes by size (Figure 2C). Additionally, the number of aneuploids per chromosome showed a significant negative correlation with chromosome size when considering only the chromosomes as distinct groupings (Figure S5F), suggesting that group B chromosomes have unexpectedly lower frequency of aneuploidy than chromosome size.
Chromosomal aneuploidies are not adaptive to life with human histones

The nonrandom aneuploidy landscape driven by paralog type, predicts aneuploidy is non-adaptive in histone humanized yeasts, we therefore explicitly test this prediction. For this experiment we used the histone humanized strain yHs5, which has the sccp<sup>D65Y</sup> mutation and has eight persistently aneuploid chromosomes (Chromosomes I, II, III, V, IX, X, XVI, XVIII, XIX; Truong and Boeke, 2017). We hypothesized that if aneuploidy were adaptive, then a strain with preexisting aneuploidy would generate histone humanized yeast at a higher rate than isogenic euploid strain. To generate the isogenic strains we “captured” yHs5 clones in various ploidy states by “re-capturing” yHs5 with a plasmid encoding yeast histones (Figure S6A). We assessed twelve “re-yeastified” transformants for aneuploidy using flow cytometry and observed that eight transformants had heavy aneuploid loads and four transformants were either euploid or near-euploid (Figure S6B). Our prediction, if aneuploidy were adaptive, was that when we humanized these strains again the ‘re-yeastified’ strains with preexisting aneuploidies would humanize at a higher frequency than the euploid counterparts. On the contrary, we observed that the level of preexisting aneuploidy did not affect the humanization rate at all, as both isogenic aneuploid and euploid shuffle strains humanized the same rate (Figure S6C). From this experiment we conclude that aneuploidy does not provide a selective advantage for histone-humanization. These data are consistent with the idea that the missense sccp<sup>D65Y</sup> mutation is the driving force for adaptation to histone humanization. This suggests the observed frequency of aneuploidy in histone humanized yeasts is a consequence of a non-random aneuploidy landscape, in which paralog group A chromosomes are sensitized to human histone induced chromosome instability.

Histone-humanized yeasts display chromosome instability caused by centromere dysfunction

The above results suggests that human histones induce chromosome instability. Our previous work showed that centromeric DNA is sensitized to micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digestion in the histone-humanized yeasts (Truong and Boeke, 2017). This is consistent with lower occupancy of the centromeric nucleosome. Normally, the 16 centromere-kinetochore attachments are clustered throughout the entirety of the cell cycle and transcriptional activity at centromeres, which is tightly regulated, is important for establishing these attachments (Hedouin et al., 2022; Ling and Yuen, 2019). We reasoned that human histones may expose centromeric DNA and create more transcriptional open chromatin. We first, reanalyzed MNase digested chromatin sequencing data to infer nucleosome occupancy. We observed that centromeric nucleosome occupancy is severely depleted in histone-humanized yeasts (Figure 3A). This was true of both humanized lineages tested (yHs7 and yHs5, with the DAD<sup>E50D</sup> and sccp<sup>D65Y</sup> mutations, respectively), with no significant differences between the two genotypes.

We next assessed the levels of CEN transcription genome-wide by total-RNA sequencing on WT (those with yeast histones) and histone humanized yeasts. Since CEN RNAs are rare in WT yeast, this assay is expected to fail to detect any meaningful amount of CEN RNAs (Hedouin et al., 2022). In our data we were able to identify robust CEN RNA transcription from the humanized lineages tested, while failing to detect such transcription in WT cells (Figure 3B–C). CEN6 RNA was the most robustly transcribed centromere (Figure 3B; right). This result can be attributed to reads that emanate from the plasmid-borne centromere sequence - plasmids encoding the histone genes also encode a minimal plasmid-borne centromere sequence - and plasmids encoding the histone humanized yeasts. Since CEN RNAs are rare in WT yeast, this assay is expected to fail to detect any meaningful amount of CEN RNAs (Hedouin et al., 2022).

In sum, these data suggest that yeast have a non-random aneuploidy landscape, which is responsible for the observed frequency of aneuploidy in our data.
human histones. Altogether our results indicate that the structure of the histone-humanized centromeric chromatin is under a persistent state of dysfunction, as indicated by the increased MNase sensitivity and transcription of the centromeres.

Given the strong functional relationship between centromere and kinetochore, we wondered if their coupling could also be compromised in the histone humanized yeasts. We next investigated kinetochore clustering by imaging log phase cells with a RFP-tagged kinetochore protein Nuf2 in WT and humanized backgrounds (Figure 3D). For these first experiments we used three human histone suppressor mutations, DAD1E50D, SPC105R583S, and SCC4D65Y, the latter two represent control genotypes for euploid vs. aneuploid (SCC4D65Y) and for outer kinetochore vs. specifically DASH/Dam1c (SPC105R583S). In strains with yeast histones, we observed moderate levels of Nuf2-RFP foci declustering in both WT (note, WT here is the histone shuffle strain) and SCC4D65Y strains, however, for both the DAD1E50D and SPC105R583S mutants with yeast histones we observed improved Nuf2-RFP clustering (Figure 3E).

We next generated histone-humanized strains with the Nuf2-RFP for the three mutants and performed the same imaging experiment. In our three histone-humanized strains, we observed substantial Nuf2-RFP declustering, with over 70% of cells in the scc4D65Y background exhibiting declustered Nuf2-RFP foci (Figure 3E). This data is consistent with centromere dysfunction driving higher rates of chromosome instability. Intriguingly, the DAD1E50D background showed only 30% of cells with declusted Nuf2-RFP foci, whereas SPC105R583S background showed over 60% with declustered Nuf2-RFP foci. Remarkably, the humanized DAD1E50D mutant showed little difference with the SCC4D65Y mutant in terms of CEN RNA transcription or CEN MNase sensitivity, in spite of its marked improvement of kinetochore clustering (Figure 3A–C, E). This suggest that DAD1E50D mutant, and perhaps other DASH mutants, rescues chromosome instability independently of directly rescuing the human histone induced centromere dysfunction.

Figure 3. Histone humanization cause centromere dysfunction in yeast
(a) Centromeric nucleosome occupancy. The relative nucleosome occupancy plots are given for each strain in the top plot (grey, wildtype; red, DAD1E50D humanized; purple, SCC4D65Y humanized). Values represent the chromosome mean occupancy 900 bp up and downstream of 16 centromeres (each centromere occupancy values being an average of 3 biological replicates). Bottom plots, log2 ratio nucleosome occupancy enriched in humanized (green) and depleted in humanized (purple), each row represents one of the six centromeric regions. (b) Total RNA sequencing of cenRNAs in yeasts. Left, read counts per million tracks for CEN16 in wildtype (black, top) and DAD1E50D humanized (red, bottom) yeasts. Right, heatmap of cenRNAs transcripts per million counts across all chromosomes in wildtype and DAD1E50D humanized strains. Centromeric VI is marked with an asterisk to indicate that the plasmid encoding the histone plasmid also encodes a CEN6 sequence, which reads likely emanant from. 
(c) RT-PCRs of the indicated RNAs. Lane 1 is genomic DNA, lane 2 is wildtype shuffle strain (yDT67), lane 3 is DAD1E50D humanized, lane 5 is SCC4D65Y humanized, lanes 6–9 are the same as 2–5, but without reverse transcriptase added, lane 10 is no DNA.
(d) Example images from strains with fluorescent tags on the microtubule organizing center (Spc110) and kinetochore (Nuf2). In strains with yeast histones, we observed improved Nuf2-RFP foci, however, for both the scc4 and specifically DASH/dam1c mutants with yeast histones we observed substantial Nuf2-RFP declustering, with over 70% of background exhibiting declustered Nuf2-RFP foci (Figure 3E). This data is consistent with centromere dysfunction driving higher rates of chromosome instability. Intriguingly, the DAD1E50D background showed only 30% of cells with declusted Nuf2-RFP foci, whereas SPC105R583S background showed over 60% with declustered Nuf2-RFP foci. Remarkably, the humanized DAD1E50D mutant showed little difference with the SCC4D65Y mutant in terms of CEN RNA transcription or CEN MNase sensitivity, in spite of its marked improvement of kinetochore clustering (Figure 3A–C, E). This suggest that DAD1E50D mutant, and perhaps other DASH mutants, rescues chromosome instability independently of directly rescuing the human histone induced centromere dysfunction.
DASH/Dam1c mutants suppress chromosome instability and lead to euploidy

As we have shown above aneuploidy in histone humanized yeasts is likely caused by non-random chromosome instability initiated by human histone induced centromere dysfunction. We were curious if the DASH mutants suppress chromosome instability, as suggested by the kinetochore clustering data. We therefore sought understand the dynamics of aneuploidy from the ancestral to the evolved histone humanized strains in lineages that evolved DASH mutations. Strikingly, the lineage yHs13, which evolved the DAM1^{N80Y} mutation, progressed from presenting chromosome XII aneuploidy to complete euploidy in the evolved strain (Figure 4A). Across all lineages sequenced, we identified a total of four lineages (yHs4, yHs7, yHs9, and yHs13) with mutant DASH/Dam1c genes (Supplementary table 2 and Truong and Boeke, 2017), and on average these evolved lineages had significantly fewer aneuploid chromosomes compared to all other evolved lineages (Figure 4B). Furthermore, these four lineages saw a decrease, on average, of 2 to 3 fewer aneuploid chromosomes when comparing the evolved to the ancestral isolates (Figure 4C). These results suggest that the DASH mutants suppress chromosome instability and have an “aneuploidy-reducing” phenotype.

First, we performed a laboratory evolution experiment to test the sufficiency of the DASH mutants apparent “aneuploidy-reducing” phenotype (Figure S8A). To this end, we took an already histone–humanized lineage (yHs18), which harbored seven aneuploid chromosomes in the ancestral strain (Figure S8A, S9A), and transformed in a plasmid containing either DAM1^{WT} or DAM1^{E50D}, stop the accumulation of aneuploidy to maintain euploidy (grey chromosomes)? (e) DASH mutants increase histone–humanization in the scc4−/− background. Humanization rates of the scc4−/− mutant with and without DAM1^{E50D} or DAM1^{N80Y}, Significance of the mean difference in 5-FOA frequency was determined with the Mann-Whitney test. (f) Ploidy analysis by flow cytometry in the scc4−/− humanized mutants from panel d. Flow cytometry data from humanized lineages yHs5 and yHs7 are shown for aneuploid and euploid controls, respectively.

As we have shown above aneuploidy in histone humanized yeasts is likely caused by non-random chromosome instability initiated by human histone induced centromere dysfunction. We were curious if the DASH mutants suppress chromosome instability, as suggested by the kinetochore clustering data. We therefore sought understand the dynamics of aneuploidy from the ancestral to the evolved histone humanized strains in lineages that evolved DASH mutations. Strikingly, the lineage yHs13, which evolved the DAM1^{N80Y} mutation, progressed from presenting chromosome XII aneuploidy to complete euploidy in the evolved strain (Figure 4A). Across all lineages sequenced, we identified a total of four lineages (yHs4, yHs7, yHs9, and yHs13) with mutant DASH/Dam1c genes (Supplementary table 2 and Truong and Boeke, 2017), and on average these evolved lineages had significantly fewer aneuploid chromosomes compared to all other evolved lineages (Figure 4B). Furthermore, these four lineages saw a decrease, on average, of 2 to 3 fewer aneuploid chromosomes when comparing the evolved to the ancestral isolates (Figure 4C). These results suggest that the DASH mutants suppress chromosome instability and have an “aneuploidy-reducing” phenotype.

First, we performed a laboratory evolution experiment to test the sufficiency of the DASH mutants apparent “aneuploidy-reducing” phenotype (Figure S8A). To this end, we took an already histone–humanized lineage (yHs18), which harbored seven aneuploid chromosomes in the ancestral strain (Figure S8A, S9A), and transformed in a plasmid containing either DAM1^{WT} or the mutant DAM1^{E50D} sequence. Three transformants each were selected and continually passaged in media to select for the plasmid over the course of 4 months, totaling ~90 generations. The ancestral strains, and evolved populations at ~30 and ~90 generations were frozen and whole genome sequencing was performed to determine chromosomal copy number. The clones transformed with the DAM1^{WT} plasmid did not show a significant change in the copy number of the ancestral aneuploid chromosomes, highlighting the persistent nature of aneuploidy in humanized strains (Figure S8A-C, S9B). In contrast, 2 of the 3 clones transformed with mutant DAM1^{E50D} showed nearly complete loss of all aneuploid chromosomes by 90 generations. Notably, one clone achieved euploidy almost immediately after acquiring DAM1^{E50D}, while the other more slowly reduced aneuploidy as the experiment progressed (Figure S8A-C, S9C). Interestingly, clone one, which immediately returned to euploidy, eventually lost the DAM1^{E50D} mutant plasmid at generation 90 (Figure S8D). Further, the loss of DAM1^{E50D} plasmid coincided with the appearance of two new mutations including S115^{D331Y}, a component of the chromosomal passenger complex (CPC) that regulates kinetochore-microtubule attachments, and S65^{H354N}, sub-
We next asked if these DASH mutants could prevent aneuploid chromosomes from accumulating (Figure 4D). To assess this, we compared the humanization frequency of the scc4D65Y mutant shuffle strain in the presence or absence of DASH mutants (DAD1E50D and DAM1N80Y). While the rate of humanization for scc4D65Y mutant alone was low (∼1 - 10 per million cells), in the presence of either the DAD1E50D or DAM1N80Y mutants the humanization rate increased 100 - 1000-fold (Figure 4E). In the shuffle strain with only the mutation scc4D65Y, we observed concomitant increases of the ploidy levels upon humanization (Figure 4F). In stark contrast, when the combination of mutations of either scc4D65Y with DAD1E50D or DAM1N80Y were humanized, neither clone showed evidence of aneuploidy, as measured by DNA content by flow cytometry (Figure 4F). Therefore, we conclude that both DAD1E50D and DAM1N80Y mutants are sufficient to suppress chromosome instability and cure aneuploidy in the histone–humanized yeasts.

**DASH/Dam1c mutants suppress ipl1-2 driven chromosome instability**

The Aurora B kinase, IPL1 in yeast, is the master regulator of kinetochore-microtubule attachments, the disruption of which leads to defects in chromosome segregation (Biggins et al., 1999; Pinsky et al., 2006). We therefore examined the interaction with the temperature sensitive ipl1-2 allele and our DASH/Dam1 mutants. The mutant ipl1-2 is known to increase ploidy by chromosome missegregation, causes severe growth defects at non-permissive temperatures and sensitivity to benomyl (Chan and Botstein, 1993). We grew strains at permissive (24°C) and non-permissive temperatures (32°C). Ten-fold serial dilutions of ~1.0 OD600 yeast cultures were spotted onto YPD solid media and grown at the indicated temperatures for four and three days, respectively. (b) Flow cytometry analysis of wild type, ipl1-2 mutants, and ipl1-2 kinetochore double mutants at the permissive (24°C) and non-permissive temperature (30°C). Yeast cultures where grown to logarithmic phase at 24°C and divided and grown at 24°C and 30°C for 6 hours before cells were collected and prepared for DNA content analysis using Sytox Green stain. (c) Growth assays of wild type, mad3 mutants, and mad3 DAD1E50D double mutants on YPD with and without 30 µg/ml benomyl. Ten-fold serial dilutions of ~1.0 OD600 yeast cultures were spotted onto the indicated solid media and grown at 30°C for three days. (d) Humanization of mad3 mutant and double mad3 DAD1E50D mutant.

Figure 5. DASH/Dam1c mutants rescue Aurora B kinase and SAC mutants
(a) Growth assays of wild type, ipl1-2 mutants, and ipl1-2 kinetochore double mutants at permissive (24°C) and non-permissive temperature (32°C). Ten-fold serial dilutions of ~1.0 OD600 yeast cultures were spotted onto YPD solid media and grown at the indicated temperatures for four and three days, respectively. (b) Flow cytometry analysis of wild type, ipl1-2 mutants, and ipl1-2 kinetochore double mutants at the permissive (24°C) and non-permissive temperature (30°C). Yeast cultures where grown to logarithmic phase at 24°C and divided and grown at 24°C and 30°C for 6 hours before cells were collected and processed for DNA content analysis using Sytox Green stain. (c) Growth assays of wild type, mad3 mutants, and mad3 DAD1E50D double mutants on YPD with and without 30 µg/ml benomyl. Ten-fold serial dilutions of ~1.0 OD600 yeast cultures were spotted onto the indicated solid media and grown at 30°C for three days. (d) Humanization of mad3 mutant and double mad3 DAD1E50D mutant.
**Molecular basis for suppression of histone-humanization by DAD1E50D and DAM1N80Y**

To gain insights into the molecular mechanism of the suppression of chromosome instability, we mapped our mutants onto the cryo-EM structure of *Chaetomium thermophilum*’s DASH/Dam1 complex (Jenni and Harrison, 2018). The mutant residues of Dad1 and Dam1 are separated by less than ~4 Å (Figure 6A). We thus hypothesized that their interaction may underlie a shared molecular mechanism through which they suppress chromosome instability.

First, we took a molecular modeling approach to gain insight into the structural changes imparted by the DAD1E50D and DAM1N80Y mutations. In the cryo-EM structure, the residue Glu50 of Dad1 is stabilized by interactions with the neighboring residue Arg47 (Figure 6A), which is not conserved in *S. cerevisiae* (Figure 6C). We next constructed a homology model of *S. cerevisiae*’s DASH/Dam1c complex to understand how residue Glu50 is arranged in the absence of residue Arg47. In our model, the residue Glu50 of Dad1 interacts exclusively with the residue Asn80 of Dam1 (Figure 6A), potentially via a weak hydrogen bond. Given that either mutation, DAD1E50D or DAM1N80Y, has the potential to disrupt this interaction, we modeled both mutations onto the homology structure of *S. cerevisiae*’s DASH complex. In either case, the mutations resulted in a loss of the WT Glu50-Asn80 interaction (Figure 6A).

Secondly, we experimentally mutated the Asn80 residue of Dam1 to every other amino acid, with the idea being that any mutation at position Asn80 of Dam1 will disrupt the interaction with Glu50 of Dad1. Remarkably, any mutation that we introduced to position 80 of Dam1 significantly improved the rate of humanization over that of WT Dam1, although the original DAM1N80Y mutation was superior (Figure 6B S11A). These data support our model that the loss of the Glu50-Asn80 interaction is a key feature for suppression.

We reasoned that we could restore the Glu50-Asn80 hydrogen bond interaction via an alternative amino acid paring, noting that it may be restored by the similar duo of amino acids, Dad1Asp50-Dam1Gln80 (Figure 6C). In agreement, our molecular modeling suggested that the Asp50-Gln80 pairing forms an interaction similar to the WT Glu50-Asn80 interaction (Figure 6A), therefore, the yeast containing both mutations should fail to humanize or humanize at a lower rate than either single mutation alone. As before, we found that the single Dad1-Asp50 or Dam1-Gln80 mutations humanized at rates ~10,000-fold and ~370-fold, respectively, over WT (Figure 6D). As predicted, the double Dad1-Asp50 Dam1-Gln80 mutant only weakly humanized at a rate of only ~8.5-fold over WT, significantly worse than either mutation alone, showing that restoration of this hydrogen bond is sufficient to lower the ability to undergo histone humanization (Figure 6D).

However, loss of this hydrogen bond is not the only mechanism at play as it does not account for the difference in humanization rates between the DAM1N80Y and dam1N80Y variants. We therefore tested if the Dad1 residue 50 glutamic acid was essential for humanization by the DAM1N80Y mutation.
**DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> disrupts DASH complex oligomerization and weakens microtubule attachments

What is the mechanism of suppression for the **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> mutation downstream of the loss of the hydrogen bond? We note that the Glu50 residue of Dad1 falls into the middle of an evolutionarily conserved region that lies precisely at a critical interface of DASH/Dam1c oligomerization ([Figure S11B](#)). This suggested that these mutations may alter the oligomerization of the DASH complex. To this end we purified recombinant WT and mutant **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> DASH complexes to characterize the effect of the E50D mutation in vitro. The DASH complex makes visually impressive rings around microtubules in vitro ([Miranda et al., 2005](#)) and we wondered if the **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> mutant might perturb this feature. We therefore reconstituted both WT and mutant **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> DASH complexes on microtubules and imaged them using negative stain electron microscopy. We observed that both the WT and mutant **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> DASH complexes formed complete rings around microtubules, but noted that the **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> rings appeared less distinct ([Figure 7B](#)). Analysis of single rings confirmed that mutant **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> DASH complex formed rings that are less well defined as indicated by a decrease in the average intensity of pixels near the edges of the microtubule lattice ([Figure S12A-B](#)).

---

**Figure 7.** **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> disrupts DASH complex oligomerization and weakens microtubule attachments

(a) Structure of the 17-member DASH/Dam1c ring of *C. thermophilum* is shown (6CFZ). The ring, with a 390 Å interior circumference, enircles a single microtubule with a width of 250 Å (dashed line). Individual protomers oligomerize through interactions of two conserved interfaces, with the **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> and **DAM1**<sup>N80Y</sup> residues highlighted in the zoomed in region of interface II. (b) Example EM images of DASH rings taken at low and high concentrations. High concentration > 25 nM complex and low concentration 11–16 nM complex. Microtubules are at a concentration of 20 nM tubulin dimer. (c) Size exclusion chromatography of the indicated DASH complexes. (d) Schematic of the optical trap experiment with wildtype and mutant **DAD1**<sup>E50D</sup> DASH complex on microtubules. (e) Rupture force assay from experiments at three concentrations of DASH complex incubated with the beads. Briefly, a 3.5 pM of beads were incubated with the indicated concentrations of DASH complex (representing a ratio of DASH complex to beads of 714:1, 1428:1, and 2860:1). Below the upper panel are survival curves for each DASH complex are shown at the indicated concentrations.
While the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutant still formed rings, the above single ring analysis suggested oligomerization may be subtly compromised. We hypothesized that the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutant might affect the dimerization of the complex in the absence of microtubules. To test this, we performed size exclusion chromatography for both the WT and mutant DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> complexes. Previous studies have demonstrated that WT DASH complex forms a dimer in solution (Umbreit et al., 2014). Indeed, we observed a single major peak eluting at ∼9 mL, corresponding to the WT DASH complex dimer (Figure 7C, S12C). However, for the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutant complex we observed a broadening of this peak with a second major peak appearing at ∼9.5 mL, which more clearly appeared at lower concentrations of complex (Figure 7C, S12C). From these data we conclude that the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutation weakens the interaction between DASH/Dam1c promoters, which we observe as a decrease in the dimer fraction and the appearance of a second species of lower apparent molecular weight.

We predicted that the decrease in dimerization ability of the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> DASH complex would weaken microtubule attachments. We tested the strength of DASH microtubule attachments using a rupture force assay (Figure 7D). We observed for the WT DASH complex that the load bearing strength increased when higher concentrations of complexes were added to the beads – in agreement with oligomerization driving stronger attachments (Figure 7E; Umbreit et al. (2014)). However, for the mutant DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> DASH complex we observed no such scaling of strength as all concentrations displayed similar mean rupture forces (Figure 7E). Put together our biochemical and rupture force assays suggest that the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutant weakens the interaction interface between individual promoters, which leads to reduced dimerization and ultimately reduced oligomerization and ring formation to drive weakened microtubule attachments.

Trade-off between mitotic chromosome segregation versus successful meiosis.

We wondered, why if the subtle mutation of DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> improves the fidelity of mitotic chromosome segregation, then why is this interface so well conserved? In particular, why is the Dad1 glutamic acid at residue 50 nearly invariant, if DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> appears more fit in mitosis? To this end we considered meiosis as a potential explanation for why this residue is so well conserved – hypothesizing that the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutation would be detrimental to meiosis. We thus made DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> heterozygote diploid mutant in the sporulation-competent SK1 background and compared the sporulation efficiency to a WT SK1 diploid (Figure 8A). We observed that the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutant severely crippled the efficiency of sporulation, reducing efficiency from ∼84% in WT to ∼14% in the heterozygous mutant diploid (Figure 8A). In line with reduced meiotic function, we also observed a significant decrease to the viability of spores, observing that the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutation significantly increases the rate of tetrads with fewer than four viable spores (Figure 8B). Intriguingly, in tetrads that produced only two viable spores the frequency of the DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> mutation was significantly enriched over the expected 1:1 ratio to DAD1<sup>WT</sup> (Figure 8C, S13). We conclude that while DAD1<sup>E50D</sup> leads to enhanced fidelity of mitotic chromosome segregation, while it is severely detrimental to the success of meiosis.

Discussion

Here we mechanistically determine how the budding yeast S. cerevisiae adapts to life with human histones. Following the initial humanization event the genome is shaped by chromosome instability and as a result a high burden of aneuploidy. Selective advantage for any mutation which enhances life with human chromatin is strong, with fitness improving rapidly, but gradually plateauing. We isolate and characterize a class of mutations that arose following the initial humanization event. These mutants increase the fidelity of chromosome segregation in response to centromere dysfunction and ultimately lead to ploidy stabilization and subsequently aneuploidy reduction.

We observed elevated frequency of chromosomal aneuploidy for a non-random set of chromosomes across a meta-analysis of a diverse set of strains. Additionally, we showed that aneuploids are non-adaptive to histone-humanization, suggesting that the frequency of aneuploids is primarily due to some yet-to-be identified centromeric feature(s) that are perturbed by human histones. This unexpectedly led us to the insight that chromosome-specific aneuploid frequency in S. cerevisiae may potentially be driven by differences in ancient paralogous centromeres. Mechanistically, it is not clear why this trend between paralog pairs is present. However, it suggests that functional differences in paralogous centromeres...
have been maintained since the ancient allopolyplidization event that now inform a non-random aneuploid landscape in yeast. Further study will be needed to investigate budding yeast centromere function in the light of centromere paralog evolution.

Successful chromosomal segregation hinges on correct kinetochore–microtubule attachments. As we show, centromere function is disrupted in histone–humanized yeasts – regardless of the specific genetic background examined – yet, the DASH/Dam1c mutants display euploidy. We speculate that in the histone–humanized cells the kinetochore–centromere attachments might be deficient, which could explain a few observations regarding the centromeres of histone–humanized yeasts. First, the centromeres are extremely sensitive to MNase digestion and second, they exhibit a high increase in transcription. Additionally, impaired centromere function helps explain the high rates of chromosome instability in humanized yeast. We note that Mad3, a component of the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), is totally dispensable for histone humanization, suggesting that the SAC is not active in humanized yeasts. In agreement with the absence of this checkpoint, we observed exceedingly high rates of aneuploidy in histone–humanized lineages, except for the DASH/Dam1c mutants.

How then do the DASH/Dam1c mutants suppress this centromere dysfunction? We propose that the DASH/Dam1c mutants effectively lead to decreased chromosome instability by reducing the strength of microtubule connections. In this model, increased turnover of kinetochore microtubule attachments – induced by weakened DASH/Dam1c complex interactions with microtubules — allows for reduced errors in segregation (Cimini et al., 2006; Zaytsev and Grishchuk, 2015). This model of correction by detachment, is analogous to how the regulated action of correction by Ipl1 ensures bipolar attachments. Increased cycles of attachment-detachment caused by weakened microtubule-kinetochore coupling may allow cells an opportunity to achieve bipolar attachment. Effectively, this can be thought of as a “blunt” corrective measure, that is potent when the initial rate of biorientation is low or when no mechanism of correction is functioning (i.e., in the absence of SAC/Aurora B activity). Thus, seemingly paradoxically, a compromised inner centromere is effectively rescued by weakening the strength of the outer kinetochore. Further study will reveal deeper insights into how histone–humanized yeast adapt to human histones and the downstream mechanisms of the DAD1E50D and DAM1N80Y mutants. Of particular interest would be to assess whether kinetochores from histone–humanized yeasts can sense tension or whether Aurora B is inactive in histone-humanized yeasts. Our data is consistent with Aurora B being inactive histone-humanized yeasts as we observed high rates of chromosome aneuploidy and that our DASH/Dam1c mutants suppress the loss of Aurora B activity (ip1-2). We speculate that the intrinsic catch bond-like behavior of yeast kinetochores may remain functional in histone-humanized yeasts, which when coupled alongside DAD1E50D induced kinetochore-microtubule turnover, may allow for stabilization of proper kinetochore-microtubule attachments (Akiyoshi et al., 2010).

The phenotypic effects of the subtle DAD1E50D mutation, from a glutamic acid to aspartic acid, are dramatic. It underlies a potent adaptive route to life with human histones – which we propose is due to suppression of centromere dysfunction. Furthermore, DAD1E50D mutation is not only limited to the peculiarity of the histone–humanized genetic system, as it suppresses chromosome instability and aneuploidy accumulation in genetic backgrounds with yeast histones (Figure 5). Our data collectively supports the notion that DAD1E50D mutation enhances the fidelity of chromosome segregation during mitosis, especially during periods of chromosome instability, however, it is crippling to the success of meiosis. Interestingly, the DAD1E50D mutation lies within a conserved predicted phosphorylation site of the casein kinase I Hrr25, which is a component of the meiosis I-specific kinetochore crosslinking monopolar complex (Figure 6E; Sarangapani et al. (2014)). Whether or not Dad1 is a target of Hrr25 or if the DAD1E50D mutation interferes with Hrr25 meiotic function is not known. However, our observation that DAD1E50D mutations disrupt meiosis suggests that this interface maybe critical for successful meiosis and it will be of interest to investigate if DASH oligomerization is regulated during the transition from meiosis I kinetochores to meiosis II ones.

During preparation of our manuscript Clarke et al. reported strikingly similar conclusions from a completely orthogonal genetic screen for elevated rates of aneuploidy (Clarke et al., 2022). Notably, they developed a screen for suppressors of deficiency in BIR1, encoding a component of the chromosomal passenger complex, deletion of which leads to increased chromosomal segregation defects and elevated aneuploidy. Remarkably, they isolated a distinct set of DASH complex mutants targeting the same oligomerization interface. Furthermore, they described a second pathway of bir1-induced chromosome instability suppression through mutation of the chromosomal passenger complex (CPC) subunit Sli15 (G334S), which increases its microtubule binding independently of its centromere recruitment. We observed that in our loss of aneuploidy experiment the DAD1E50D mutant was lost and supplanted by the Sli15 (D331Y) mutant, perhaps due to direct recruitment of the CPC to microtubules alleviating the benefit of the DAD1E50D mutation. Our results complement and extend their findings to reveal the molecular and biochemical details demonstrating that weakened kinetochore–microtubule attachments provides a mechanistic path for suppression of dysfunctional centromeres. In particular, our in vitro data directly illustrates that the DAD1E50D mutation drives weakened microtubule attachments via impairment to DASH/Dam1c oligomerization.

In sum, our data reveal a mechanism for suppression of human histones through weakened microtubule connections in budding yeasts. We note that this is only one of many paths through which yeast may adapt to human histones, as we identified multiple cellular processes which are enriched in our list of candidate suppressor mutations. (Figure S2; Supplemental table 1). Lastly, we highlight the unique evolutionary forces at play in histone humanized yeasts which not only illuminate the centrality of nucleosomes in eukaryotic life but afford a powerful system in which to probe biological functions.

Methods

Strains, plasmids and oligos

All strains used in this work are listed in supplementary table 6 and are available upon request. To construct the haploid and diploid shuffle strains with human histone suppressor mutations we used CRISPR-Cas9 to scarlessly introduce the mutation of interest. First, we designed sgRNAs targeting our genes of interest alongside repair tem-
plates to introduce the desired mutations (Figure S3A). To clone the guide RNAs we designed a single oligo that consisted of 20 bp upstream the nearest NGG to our codon of interest, flanked by homology sequences for Gibson assembly into our expression plasmid (5’-TGAAAGATAATGATC-20bp-GTTTTAGAGCTAGAAA). For the Gibson assemblies, 1 µg of plasmid DNA was digested with NotI and CIP overnight and then purified with the Zymo DNA Clean and Concentrator kit (Zymo Research cat. D4030). Next, to the Gibson mix we added 20 ng of digested plasmid with 1 µL of the sgRNA oligo (50 µM), mixed and incubated at 50°C for 1 h. The Gibson reaction was then diluted 1:10 and the dilution was transformed into chemically competent E. coli, the transformation was plated to the appropriate selection and clones verified by sanger sequencing.

We used a double stranded DNA (dsDNA) repair template to introduce the mutation and eliminate the PAM site. dsDNA was constructed from two oligos that are annealed and 5’ overhangs filled in using Klenow polymerase according to the manufacturer's specifications (NEB cat. M0210L). All yeast transformation were carried out using the lithium-acetate method. For each CRISPR edit, the strain of interest was first pre-transformed with a Cas9 plasmid, and cells harboring Cas9 were transformed with the guide RNA plasmid and the dsDNA repair template. Edited cells were then selected by double selection of the Cas9 plasmid and the sgRNA plasmid. Strains were validated by sanger sequencing.

All plasmids are listed in supplemental table 7. All oligos are listed in supplemental table 8.

Humanization assay and evolution experiments

We humanized yeast's core histone genes as previously reported (Haase et al., 2019; Truong and Boeke, 2017). Briefly, a shuffle strain – which has the four histone gene clusters chromosomally deleted and has a single set of yeast histone genes on a URA3 plasmid – is transformed with a TRP1 plasmid encoding a single set of human histone genes. Importantly, the yeasts and human histone genes are expressed using orthogonal promoters and terminators. Next, each transformant is inoculated into 5 mL of SC-Trp medium containing 2% galactose and 2% raffinose as the carbon source, this is to inactivate the conditional centromere on the yeast-histone URA3 plasmid. Once the culture has reached saturation, a range of volumes (typically 1 µL for a robust humanizing strain or up to 1 mL for a weak humanizing strain) are plated to SC-Trp agar plates containing 5-FOA (1 mg mL-1), to counter select the URA3 marker (Haase et al., 2019). Plates are sealed in a Tupperware box with a damp paper towel and growth at 30°C is monitored for up to 4 weeks, but longer in some cases. After a final count of colonies which grow on the SC-Trp-5-FOA plates a humanization rate is calculated – the total number of colonies is divided by the estimated number of cells plated.

Colonies which appeared after 7 days of growth on plates with 5-FOA were PCR genotyped to confirm the loss the yeast histone genes (Haase et al., 2019). Confirmed clones were patched to rich media agar plates (YPD) and stored in glycerol at -80°C. A portion of each patch was then cultured in SC-Trp and grown to saturation (generation 0). Cultures were then diluted by inoculated 5 mL of growth medium with 50 µL of saturated culture, this passaging was repeated for 5 cycles.

At each time point a portion of the culture was stored in glycerol at -80°C.

Whole genome sequencing and variant analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from stationary yeast cultures using a double phenol-chloroform extraction method. Briefly, yeast pellets, from ~1.5 – 5 mL of culture, were disrupted by bead beating in a solution consisting of 225 µL 1x TES (TE buffer + 0.5% SDS) plus 200 µL of 25:24:1 phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (Thermo Fisher cat. 15593031) in tubes with a pre- aliquoted amount of 0.5 mm diameter yttria-stabilized zirconium oxide beads (MP Bio cat. 116960050-CF). A second step of phenol-chloroform extraction was done for each sample and DNA was precipitated in 70% ethanol. Extracted genomic DNA (gDNA) was then resuspended in a solution containing 30 mg/mL RNase A (Thermo Fisher cat. EN0531) to remove any RNA. Next, approximately 50 ng of gDNA was used as input to the NEB Ultra II FS Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB cat. E7805L). Libraries were then sequenced using either paired end 2x36 bp or 2x72 bp read chemistry. Single nucleotide variants were called as previously described (Truong and Boeke, 2017).

Ploidy estimate from whole genome sequencing data

Chromosomal ploidy was estimated from the sequencing coverage data. First, the median coverage of 1 kb windows across the genome was calculated. From these windows, we divided each by the median coverage of the euploid genome (those chromosomes for which we never observed aneuploidy in humanized strains: VII, XIV, XIII, and XV) and took the log2 ratio. The mean of these log2 ratio windows for each chromosomes was used to determine ploidy counts. In some cases, especially for lower sequencing coverage samples we observed a smiely pattern of coverage (Gallione et al., 2016), in such situations we had to manually annotate ploidy levels.

Growth assays

Because the humanized strains displayed rapid improvement in growth rates and variability across the population, we avoided measurements of growth in liquid culture to ensure that a “rare” fast grower would not take over the population. We therefore measured growth rate as the rate of change in the area of colonies grown on a YPD agar surface. To ensure accurate growth rate measurements of each generation, no preceding step of culturing was performed prior to measurement. To this end we struck out each generation from -80°C glycerol stocks to YPD agar plates. Scans were acquired using the ScanMaker 9800XL Plus (Microtek International) for each generation at two time points to calculate the rate of change in mm²·h⁻¹. Colony sizes were measured in the image analysis program Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012), by manual analysis.

Ploidy assessment by flow cytometry analysis

Cultures were grown to mid-log phase and 1 – 2 x 10⁷ cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspend in 1.5 mL of water. Next, in order to fix and permeabilize the cells 3.5 mL of 100% ethanol was added slowly to each and left overnight at -20°C. Then, cells were pelleted and washed 3 times with water. To remove contaminating RNA, suspensions were cen-
trifuged and resuspended in a RNase A solution (15 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.1 mg/mL RNase A) and incubated at 37°C overnight. Cells were then pelleted and resuspended in 50 mM Tris. 0.5 mL of processed cells were then mixed with 0.5 mL of SYTOX Green stain (2 µM SYTOX Green (Thermo Fisher cat. S3020) in 50 mM Tris pH 7.5) and incubated for one hour at 4°C in the dark. Cells were finally pelleted and resuspended in 1 mL of Tris pH 7.5 and sonicated. Flow cytometry analysis was performed on the BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer and the data was analyzed in the program FlowJo (v10.0.7).

RNA extraction, total RNA sequencing, and analysis
Yeasts were grown at 30°C to mid log phase (between OD600 0.6 - 0.8) in biological triplicate. Cultures were immediately placed on ice, pelleted, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen then stored at -80°C. Total RNA was then extracted by resuspend each cell pellet in RNA extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl) and transferred to a 2 mL screw capped tube containing 0.5 mm diameter yttria-stabilized zirconium oxide beads and samples were mechanically disrupted at 4°C for 15 cycles of 5 seconds shaking and 30 seconds rest. DNA and RNA was then purified by phenol chloroform extraction, by mixing at a 1:0.95 ratio of lysate to a 25:24:1 mixture of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol. To precipitate the nucleic acid the aqueous fraction was then mixed with 99.5% ethanol to a final solution of 70% ethanol. Purified DNA and RNA was then treated with DNase I (Agilent cat. 600031) to remove contaminating DNA from each sample. RNA quality was finally assessed on an agarose gel.

Purified RNA was then used for preparing total-RNA stranded RNAseq libraries with the QIAseq Stranded Total RNA Lib Kit (Qiagen cat. 180745) according to the manufacturer’s specification. Ribosomal RNA was depleted using the QIAseq FastSelect -rRNA Yeast Kit (Qiagen cat. 334217). Libraries were sequenced as paired-end 75 base pair reads on an Illumina NextSeq 500. Reads were first processed to remove Illumina barcodes and quality trimming with Trimmomatic (v0.39) and quality assessed with FastQC (v0.11.4). Processed reads were then aligned to the S288C reference genome (release R64-2-1) using the Kallisto pseudoalignment (v0.46.0) for CEN RNA transcript counts and STAR aligner (v 2.5.2) for CEN RNA genome tracks.

MNase sequencing analysis
MNase digested raw sequencing data was downloaded from our previous study and reads were processed as before (Truong and Boeke, 2017). Aligned reads were then used as input into the DANPOS program (Chen et al., 2013) to estimate nucleosome occupancy and positioning across the genome. Finally, profile tracks for the sixteen centromeres were made using the profile function and results were plotted using MATLAB. Orientation of all centromeres when plotted was kept to run from CDEI to CDEIII.

CEN RNA RT-PCR analysis
Total RNA was extracted as before from log phase cultures. cDNA was generated using the SuperScript™ IV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher cat. 18090010) according to the manufacture’s specifications using a polydT20 oligo in order to enrich for polyadenylated transcripts including CEN RNAs. Reversed transcribed RNA was then used for PCR amplification using CEN RNA specific oligos and GoTaq® Master Mix. Amplification was performed as follows; 20 cycles of 98°C – 15 sec, 55°C – 15 sec, and 72°C – 15 sec. DNA was analyzed on 1% agarose TTE gel and image was acquired on a BioRad GelDoc.

RFP-Nuf2 strain construction and imaging
To image kinetochores and the spindle pole body we scarlessly tagged Nuf2 and Spc110 with RFP and GFP, respectively. Briefly, each histone shuffle strain, pre-transformed with a plasmid encoding Cas9, was transformed with a guide RNA expressing plasmid targeting the 5’ end of the gene and a circular DNA repair template that encoded the appropriate fluorescent protein. The repair templates encoded a fluorescent protein gene (ymScarlet or mNeonGreen) and homology sequences targeting 500 bp up and downstream from the stop codon. Correct repair of the double strand break results in C-terminal fusion genes and strains were validated by imaging and PCR genotyping. Imaging was done in asynchronous populations grown to mid-log phase (OD600 0.6 - 0.8). Histone humanized strains were generated as above.

DASH complex homology modeling
Homology models were constructed in the SWISS-MODEL suite (Waterhouse et al., 2018). To obtain models for the various Dad1 and Dam1 mutants we chose to model only the arm II of the complex (including the proteins Dad1–11–94, Dam1–21–115, Duo159–121, Dad36–94, and Spc345–38) in a 1-1-1-1-1 hetero-state, using the know structure 6cz2.1 as the template. For each mutant model considered, we did the same with the only difference being that the query peptide of interest was modified to contain the mutant residue.

Dam1 residue 80 CRISPR/cas9 editing
In order to generate mutations at residue 80 on Dam1 (N80X), we used CRISPR/cas9 genome editing as above. Briefly, a guide RNA targeting Dam1 was used to introduce a double strand break, which we repaired with dsDNA donor templates encoding both the mutation of interest and a PAM inactivating silent mutation. We were able to isolate 16 out of 18 possible mutations (excluding the wild type asparagine and the already cloned tyrosine variant). For two mutations, N80P and N80K, we only ever isolated clones that inactivated the PAM ready cloned tyrosine variant. For two mutations, N80P and N80K, we only ever isolated clones that inactivated the PAM sequence but retained the wild type residue 80 sequence – we did not pursue these further.

DASH complex purification and tension measurement
Saccharomyces cerevisiae DASH complex was expressed in Escherichia coli using a single polycistronic vector, as previously described (Flores et al., 2022). The Spc34p component of the DASH/Dam1 complex contained either a C-terminal FLAG-tag or a His6-tag. BL21 Rosetta 2 DE3 cells were transformed with either wild-type DASH complex (pJT44 – FLAG, or PC4 – 6X His) or with single mutations described in the manuscript. Cells were grown to OD600 of 0.6, where the addition of 2 mM IPTG induced protein expression. Cells were induced for 16 hrs at 18°C while shaking at 240 rpm. Cells were collected by centrifugation, resuspended in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) containing 500 mM NaCl,
1 mM PMSF, and 1 protease tablet (Roche) and lysed with a French press. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation (20 min, 25,000 x g, 4°C). Mutant and wild-type DASH complexes were first purified via affinity chromatography using either FLAG resin (GE Healthcare Bioscience) or nickel resin (Bio-Rad). For FLAG purification, lysate was applied onto FLAG resin under gravity flow and eluted with 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) containing 500 mM NaCl and 100 µg/mL FLAG peptide. For His-tagged DASH complexes, samples were eluted in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 500 mM NaCl and 500 mM imidazole. Both His and FLAG tagged DASH constructs were further purified via size exclusion chromatography.

Recombinant His6-tag DASH complex was linked to 0.56 µm-diameter streptavidin-coated polystyrene microbeads (Spherotech) using biotinylated His6-antibody (Qiagen), as previously described (Asbury et al., 2006; Franck et al., 2007; Powers et al., 2009). For rupture force assays, a final concentration of 2.5, 5, or 10 nM DASH complex was incubated with 3.5 pM beads for 1 hour. Beads were washed twice with AB solution (1X BRB80 [80 mM potassium PIPES buffer, pH 6.8, containing 1 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM EGTA], 2 mg/mL BSA, and 1 mM DTT) to remove unbound His6-tagged DASH complex. Glass slides and functionalized coverslips were used to construct flow channels. Channels were functionalized by adding 5 mg/mL biotinylated BSA (Vector Labs) and incubated for 15 min inside a humidity chamber, washed with 1X BRB80, and then incubated with 0.3 mg/mL avidin DN (Vector Labs) for 5 min. Following, channels were further washed with 1X BRB80, and biotinylated microtubule seeds stabilized with GMPCCPP were added and allowed to incubate for 5 min inside the humidity chamber. Growth buffer (1X BRB80, 8 mg/mL BSA, 1 mM GTP, and 1 mM -casein) was added and allowed to incubate in the chamber for 5 min. DASH-coated beads were added to a reaction mix (1X BRB80, 8 mg/mL BSA, 1 mM GTP, 40 mM glucose, and 1 mM DTT) and sonicated for 10 s. Following sonication, an oxygen scavenging system (250 µM glucose oxidase, 30 µM catalase, and 4.5 mg/mL glucose) was added. Lastly, ~2.5 mg/mL of purified bovine brain tubulin was added to the reaction mixture as well as 5 µM FLAG-tagged DASH complex. Reaction mixture was subsequently added to the channel, which was sealed with nail polish.

Data collection was performed as previously described (Flores et al., 2022). Briefly, data was collected for a total of 1 h after the addition of tubulin into the reaction mixture. To determine whether a DASH complex-coated bead was able to bind to microtubules in the absence of force, it was placed onto a microtubule and then trap was shuttered. Microtubule-attached beads were initially pulled at 1 pN of force. After, a gradual increasing force was applied (0.25 pN/s) until the bead ruptured from the microtubule tip or the maximum trapping force was reached (~20 pN under the conditions described here). Rupture forces were analyzed using Igor Pro (WaveMetrics).

Electron Microscopy

EM was performed as described below, based on the methods outlined in Kim et al. (2017). Bovine brain tubulin was clarified at a concentration of 75 µM at 4°C in BRB80 (80 mM potassium PIPES buffer [pH 6.8], 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl2) at 90,000 rpm in a Beckman TLA-100 rotor for 10 minutes. From the supernatant, 25.5 µL of clarified tubulin was removed and 1.5 µL 100 mM MgCl2, 1.5 µL DMSO plus 0.5 µL GTP was added. The resulting solution was incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes to allow polymerization of tubulin. A wide bore pipette was used to add 140 µL of BRB80 containing 10 µM taxol (BRB80-T) to the resulting microtubules. The reaction was mixed by gentle pipetting and 100 µL was spun at 58,000 rpm at 37°C in a Beckman TLS-100 rotor for 10 minutes to pellet the microtubules. Supernatant was removed and microtubules were resuspended in 100 µL BRB80-T using a wide bore pipette at room temperature. MT concentration was calculated via BCA assay of the resuspended MT pellet.

Samples for EM were prepared in a final volume of 50 µL BRB80-T containing 20 nM microtubules plus between 5 and 35 nM DASH complex (WT or E50D). Once mixed, MTs plus DASH complex were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. Carbon-coated copper grids were negatively discharged in a glow discharge device. A 3 µL volume of sample was applied onto a discharged grid for 30 s before excess liquid was blotted away with Whatman 1 filter papers. The grid was then washed with BRB80-T and blotted three times prior to application and blotting of 30 µL of 2% uranyl formate three times. The stained grid was then air dried prior to imaging.

The grids were imaged on a Tecnai T12 transmission electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR) operating at 120 kV using a tungsten filament electron source. Images were recorded on a bottom-mounted Ultrascan 4000 (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA) camera at 42,000x nominal magnification. The Fiji implementation of ImageJ was used to export images in PNG format for publication.

Image analysis of oligomerized rings was carried out in Fiji using the plot profile function to obtain the intensity profiles along the distance of individual DASH complex rings (with the midpoint set at the center of the microtubule lattice). Intensity data was then normalized by subtraction of the average intensity divided by the standard deviation of intensity. The normalized average intensity profile of the unbound microtubules was then subtracted from each to obtain the profiles corresponding to the DASH complex rings.

DASH complex Size Exclusion Chromatography

Size-exclusion chromatography was carried out using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (Cytiva) equilibrated in DASH complex purification buffer (500 mM NaCl, 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.9). The column was calibrated using a gel filtration markers kit for protein molecular weights 29,000-700,000 Da (Sigma-Aldrich, product number MWGF1000).

Sporulation and ascus dissections

Diploid yeasts were grown for two days on solid GNA agar plates prior to sporulation induction. Yeast were then resuspended to 1 x 10³ cells in 5 mL of sporulation medium (1% potassium acetate, 0.05% zinc acetate, with supplemented amino acids) and rotated for 5 days and 25°C. After 5 days, cultures were either immediately processed or stored at 30°C for up to two weeks. Asci were digested in 25 µL of 0.5 mg/mL zymolase-20T in 1M sorbitol for 6–7 minutes at 37°C, at which point 200 µL of 1M sorbitol was gently added and digested asci placed on ice. Spores were then micromanipulated and arrayed onto YPD agar plates.
Data deposition and availability
Raw sequencing reads are deposited and available at the Sequence Read Archive (SRA – Bioproject accession number: PRJNA884379 and Accession numbers: SRR21712309 - SRR21712362).
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Figure S1. Isolation and verification of histone-humanized yeasts
(a) Dual-plasmid histone shuffle assay overview. First a strain with all core histone gene clusters deleted is maintained with a single set of yeast histone genes on the counter-selectable URA3 plasmid (Haase et al., 2019). In order to shuffle out the yeast histones for human histones, a second plasmid encoding the four core human histones and with a TRP1 selectable marker is transformed into the shuffle strain. The shuffle strains in then grown on media containing 5–FOA to force the cells to grow with exclusively human histones. (b) Example humanization experiment results. Plates are shown at two time points to illustrate the severe growth defects upon the initial humanization event. Two colonies were isolated, one retaining all yeast histones (either through inactivation of URA3 or some plasmid recombination event) and a bona fide histone–humanized clone as verified by PCR genotyping (Haase et al., 2019). (c) Whole genome sequencing coverage plots of the two histone plasmids (human histones, red; yeast histones, green) are shown for the parental shuffle strain prior to humanization and for histone–humanized clones (yHs9–yHs15). (d) YPD plate growth assays for histone–humanized clones from the original unevolved glycerol stock and the evolved descendants after ~30 generations of growth. (e) Box and whisker plots of number of mutations observed per histone–humanized lineages.
**Figure S2. Protein-protein interaction network of candidate suppressors of human histones**

(a) String database analysis of protein-protein interactions (PPI) considering missense, nonsense, and noncoding mutations identified in histone humanized lineages (Supplemental table 1 and Truong and Boeke (2017)). The network was clustered using MCL clustering with the inflation parameter set to 1.8, clusters are colored, with PPI depicted as gray connecting lines. The number of PPI in the global network was significantly enriched from background (PPI enrichment p = 0.0049). Local PPI networks are shown for clusters containing more than 10 interactors, with PPI enrichment p-values shown, alongside Go term enrichment terms and Uniport keywords. Network was constructed using the interaction sources: “textmining”, “experiments”, “databases”, and “co-expression”. The width of each edge represents the strength of interaction between nodes based on available evidence. Disconnected nodes were removed for clarity.
Figure S3. CRISPR-Cas9 mediated mutation of outer kinetochore genes and suppression validation

(a) Example CRISPR/Cas9 editing transformation to scarlessly introduce each point missense mutation to an isogenic histone shuffle strain. Note in the absence of dsDNA donor the sgRNA targeting DAD1 results in a severe killing phenotype and upon co-transformation with a proper dsDNA the killing phenotype is rescued. (b) Example Sanger tracks for the edited DAD1E50D mutation and wild type sequence. The targeting PAM is colored blue and edited codon in green. (c) Growth assay on rich medium (YPD) for the indicated histone shuffle strains with yeast histones. Spots are 10-fold serial dilutions from starting OD600 of 1.0. (d) Example suppressor mutation sufficiency histone-humanization experiment is shown for the DAD1E50D mutation. Two concentrations of cells were plated (10^6 mL^-1 and 10^7 mL^-1). (e) Histone–humanizations for all tested suppressor mutations are shown. The average rates for wild type strains are plotted as a gray dashed line. Significance was determine with a Kruskal-Wallis test of the mean frequency of 5-FOA for each mutant versus the mean frequency of 5-FOA of wild type, with multiple comparisons corrections with the false discovery rate method. Green colored boxes represent suppressors who significantly increased the rate of humanization above wild type level.
Figure S4. Chromosome aneuploidy evolution in yHs lineages
For each histone–humanized lineage (ancestral, gray circles; and evolved, black squares) we plot the median log2 coverage ratio (chromosome divided by median of genome) for each chromosome.
Figure S5. Chromosome size alone does not explain the frequency of aneuploidy in yeasts

(a) Correlation matrix of features of centromeres and aneuploidy frequency. Only correlations with significance ($p < 0.05$) are shown. The number of CEN like regions are taken from Lefrançois et al. (2013) and the pericentromeric sizes are taken from Paldi et al. (2020). (b) Plot of centromere AT% as function of chromosome size with the aneuploidy frequency colored in for each point. (c) A multiple linear regression model of aneuploidy frequency was constructed using centromere AT% and chromosome size as regression coefficients. Adjusted $R^2 = 0.4188$. (d) Histogram of the number of aneuploidies per chromosome from this study and seven additional studies (see text). Inset, shows boxplot of the same data with paired t-test of the mean difference in aneuploid frequency between group A and B. (e) Histogram of the t-statistics from 100,000 randomized allocations of aneuploid counts between centromeric paralog pairs. The observed t-statistic is shown with a red dashed line. (f) Number of observed aneuploidies for each chromosome as a function of chromosome size. $R$ squared values of linear regressions are shown under three models (only group A chromosomes, green; only group B chromosomes, beige; all chromosomes, black). The data is best explained by two models, extra sum-of-squares F test $p < 0.001$.  
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Figure S6. Aneuploidy is non-adaptive for histone-humanization

(a) Schematic of the re-yeastification process. First an already histone–humanized strain is transformed with the plasmid encoding all four yeast core histones, then is immediately re-humanized by counterselection of the same. (b) Ploidy analysis using flow cytometry of the re-yeastified scc4D65Y strains and the parental strain that has never had human histones. (c) Frequency of 5–FOA^R resistant colonies following histone–humanization of the re-yeastified strains. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple corrections: test against the parent scc4D65Y strain show that both euploid and polyploidy strains humanize at significantly higher rates, $p = 0.009$ and $p = 0.0034$, respectively.
Figure S7. Transcription at chromosomal CEN6 in wild type and histone–humanized yeasts.
(a) The region around centromere VI is shown, with read coverage of the forward (yellow) and reverse (purple) strands shown.

Figure S8. DAD1E50D drives euploidization in a heterozygous state
(a) Overview of experimental design and ploidy evolution for each clone. Left, histone–humanized clone yH16 was transformed with a CEN/ARS plasmids encoding either the wild type DAD1 gene or mutant DAD1E50D gene. Three clones were selected and passaged for 90 generations in SC–Ura, to maintain selection of the CEN/ARS plasmid. Genomic DNA was isolated and sequenced to infer chromosome copy numbers. Right, plots of the average chromosome copy number for the ancestral aneuploid chromosomes (I, II, III, VIII, IX, XI, and XVI) at each time point (shaded area represents the standard deviation between the three biological replicates). (b) Log2 ratio (chromosome divided by the genome median) chromosomal coverage for the parental aneuploid chromosomes. (c) Same as in panel b, however shown for the parental euploid chromosomes. (d) Ratio of reads corresponding to the mutant DAD1E50D allele for clones transformed with the pRS416-DAD1E50D plasmid across each timepoint. (e) Genome browser track for the SLI15 gene, the DNA shown is the Crick strand and represents the template strand of SLI15 (note the arrow of the direction indicates the orientation of SLI15) (f) Genome browser track for the SMC5 gene.
Figure S9. Chromosome copy number of each clone from aneuploidy reduction experiment
(a) Chromosome coverage for the ancestral strain prior to transformation with the CEN/ARS plasmid. Violin plots shaded brown are indicated to be aneuploid. (b) Chromosome coverage for the clones transformed with wild type DAD1. (c) Chromosome coverage for the clones transformed with mutant DAD1^{E50D}.
Figure S10. DASH/Dam1c mutants rescue Aurora B kinase ipl1-2 mutant

Growth assays of suppressor mutants with the ipl1-2 temperature sensitive mutant on SC medium with 30 µg/mL of benomyl.

![Growth assays of suppressor mutants with the ipl1-2 temperature sensitive mutant on SC medium with 30 µg/mL of benomyl.](image)

Figure S11. Humanization rates of dam1 residue 80 mutants and DAM1^{N80Y} dad1^{E50A} mutant

(a) Humanization rates (5–FOA\(^R\) frequency) for the missense mutants of dam1 residue 80. (b) Humanization rates (5–FOA\(^R\) frequency) of the single and double mutants dad1^{E50A} and DAM1^{N80Y}.

![Humanization rates of dam1 residue 80 mutants and DAM1^{N80Y} dad1^{E50A} mutant](image)
Figure S12. DAD\textsuperscript{E50D} disrupts DASH/Dam1c oligomerization and reduces its dimerization

(a) Analysis of DASH complex rings around microtubules. Example images are shown for the three cases measured (bare microtubules, WT DASH, and DAD\textsuperscript{E50D} DASH). A schematic is shown to the left to illustrate the structure of the DASH complex, 6cfz modeled onto a microtubule lattice, 5syf). Note, the bare microtubule lattice was measured from the same micrographs with DASH complex present, with sections showing no complex being chosen to measure. To the right we show the z-score normalized pixel intensities for the example EM micrographs. Note the increased intensity outside the range of the diameter of the microtubule lattice for samples with DASH complex. (b) Heatmaps show the z-score normalized pixel intensities along a straight edge bisecting the width of the microtubule or microtubule + DASH complex. The number of particles analyzed is shown. Below are the average profiles of pixel intensity with 95% CI. Dashed gray line is the average profile of pixel intensity of bare microtubules. (c) Size exclusion chromatography of WT (upper graph) and mutant DAD\textsuperscript{E50D} (lower graph) DASH complexes. Three dilutions of DASH complex are shown. SEC analysis at lower concentrations of the mutant complex, but not for the WT complex, reveal the emergence of a second peak due to a species with a lower apparent molecular weight.
Figure S13. PCR genotyping of DAD1 in spores from heterozygous cross
(a) Schematic and example of the PCR digestion genotype assay used. Briefly, the DAD1 locus is PCR amplified and then digested with the SacI enzyme, which only cuts the wild type allele. Digestions are then visualized on a 1% agarose gel.
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