Behavioral, neurochemical, and neuroimmune changes associated with social buffering and stress contagion
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ABSTRACT

Social buffering can provide protective effects on stress responses and their subsequent negative health outcomes. Although social buffering is beneficial for the recipient, it can also have anxiogenic effects on the provider of the social buffering – a phenomena referred to as stress contagion. Social buffering and stress contagion usually occur together, but they have traditionally been studied independently, thus limiting our understanding of this dyadic social interaction. In the present study, we examined the effects of preventative social buffering and stress contagion in socially monogamous prairie voles (Microtus ochrogaster). We tested the hypothesis that this dynamic social interaction is associated with coordinated alterations in behaviors, neurochemical activation, and neuroimmune responses. To do so, adult male prairie voles were stressed via an acute immobilization restraint tube (IMO) either alone (Alone) or with their previously pair-bonded female partner (Partner) in the cage for 1 h. In contrast, females were placed in a cage containing either an empty IMO tube (Empty) or one that contained their pair-bonded male (Partner). Anxiety-like behavior was tested on the elevated plus maze (EPM) following the 60-mins test and brain sections were processed for neurochemical/neuroimmune marker labeling for all subjects. Our data indicate that females in the Partner group were in contact with and sniffed the IMO tube more, showed fewer anxiety-like behaviors, and had a higher level of oxytocin expression in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN) compared to the Empty group females. Males in the Partner group had lower levels of anxiety-like behavior during the EPM test, greater activation of corticotropin-releasing hormone expressing neurons in the PVN, lower activation of serotonin neurons in the dorsal raphe, and lower levels of microgliosis in the nucleus accumbens. Taken together, these data suggest brain region- and neurochemical-specific alterations as well as neuroinflammatory changes that may be involved in the regulation of social buffering and stress contagion behaviors.

1. Introduction

Social relationships are integral to health and wellbeing in humans. Recently, limited social contact due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated a “loneliness epidemic” and its subsequent detrimental health consequences on a global scale (Hwang et al., 2020; Jeste et al., 2020). While social distancing mandates have been in place, the public has been encouraged to stay socially connected, as the existence of social support can lower perceived stress and ameliorate negative health outcomes – a concept known as social buffering (Gunnar and Hostinar, 2015; Kiyokawa and Hennessy, 2018; Razai et al., 2020; Wu, 2020). It has been shown that social buffering can help minimize the amount of perceived stress, aid in coping with stressors, and can speed up stress recovery (Cohen and Wills, 1985; Eisenberger et al., 2007).

Although social buffering can be helpful for alleviating stress in an individual, it can also impact those who provide the support. Social buffering inherently depends on social interactions between two parties: the one who is stressed, and the other who provides the support. As someone is helping to ameliorate stress in an individual, that stressed individual may in turn affect the emotional status of the other – a concept referred to as stress contagion (Fraser et al., 2008; Oliveira and Faustino, 2017). This paradox of social buffering and stress contagion can be viewed as two complementary, yet counterbalancing events during a dyadic social interaction in a stress context, which is thought to
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serve an evolutionary purpose: to coordinate behaviors between individuals (Buchanan et al., 2012). While the phenomenon has been well documented in humans, relatively little is known about the underlying regulating mechanisms of these dynamic interactions and its interactions with stress (Eisenberger, 2013).

Various animal models have been utilized to study the underlying mechanisms of social buffering. In rats, for example, the presence of partners after a stressful experience helps to reduce typical anxiety-like and fear responses as well as circulating levels of corticosterone (CORT) in the stressed animals, and this effect is modulated by familiarity, stress status, and sensory modalities (Kiyokawa and Hennesty, 2018; Patki et al., 2014). In mice, increased contact with a conspecific has an analgesic effect on pain sensitivity (D’Amato and Pavone, 1996; Langford et al., 2010), in addition to its effects on reducing anxiety-like/depressive-like behaviors (Beery and Kaufer, 2015). Several brain regions and neurochemical systems have been implicated in mediating social buffering on stress responses (Peen et al., 2021; Smith and Wang, 2012). For example, social interaction reduces stress-induced Fos (a marker of neuronal activity) expression in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN) in rats (Kiyokawa et al., 2004), and blocks potentiation of glutamate synapses on PVN corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) neurons in mice recovering from foot shocks (Sterley et al., 2018). In addition, oxytocin (OT) neurons in the PVN and their projections to the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) are involved in modulating social buffering effects on stress responses (Peen et al., 2021). OT also has neuroprotective effects and can buffer immune and inflammatory responses to stress (Smith and Wang, 2012). Further, stress can also have widespread effects on the immune system (Haykin and Rolls, 2021). Microglia, referred to as the resident immune cells of the brain (Lenz and Nelson, 2018), are involved in the detection and inflammatory responses to stress (Frank et al., 2019). However, the relationship between microglia and social behavior are in the beginning stages of being better understood (Loth and Donaldson, 2021).

Stress contagion in rodents has often been studied in the context of observational fear learning, where a non-stressed animal (often referred to as the observer) is exposed to a stressed animal (often referred to as the demonstrator) (Hernandez-Lallement et al., 2020). In rats, for example, observing social defeat or being exposed to a stressed demonstrator can induce depressive-like behaviors, elevate circulating CORT, and alter cardiovascular tone, in a pattern that matches the defeated demonstrator rat (Carnavalli et al., 2017; Finnell et al., 2017). This state-matching between demonstrators and observers can also be seen in neuronal activation in various brain regions (Knapska et al., 2006). Mice also exhibit increased freezing behaviors when observing a stressed demonstrator (Hernandez-Lallement et al., 2020). In rats, for example, the presence of partners after an acute IMO stress to test the hypothesis that social buffering and stress contagion during a stressful event are associated with coordinated alterations in behaviors, neurochemical activation, and neuroimmune responses in a socially monogamous species. We predicted that the female’s presence will reduce anxiety-like behaviors of the male partners and affect the neurochemical and neuroimmune expression in the male’s brain. We also predicted, by the idea of social contagion, that females will, likewise, be affected by their stressed male partner and show alterations in their anxiety-like and affiliative behaviors as well as neurochemical activity in the brain.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

Subjects were male and female prairie voles (M. ochrogaster) captive-bred at Florida State University. All voles were weaned on postnatal day 21 and housed in Plexiglas cages (29 × 18 × 13 cm) with a same-sex conspecific. All cages contained cedar chip bedding with food and water provided ad libitum. Subjects were kept at 20 °C under a 14:10 h light:dark cycle (lights on at 0700). At the time of pairing, subjects had reached adulthood (>90 days of age) and were sexually naive. All females were ovarietomized and allowed at least one week to recover from surgery before pairing. Male subjects were paired with unrelated, ovarietomized female partners for two weeks - a sufficient amount of time for the development of a stable pair bond (Aragona and Wang, 2004).

2.2. Experimental design

The experimental paradigm is illustrated in Fig. 1. Pair-bonded male subjects were randomly assigned into one of two experimental groups that underwent a 60-min IMO stress either alone (Alone) or with their female partner (Partner) in the cage. For the female partners, they were either exposed to an empty restraint tube (Empty) or to their pair-bonded male partners in the IMO restraint tube (Partner) for 60 min. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Florida State University and were in accordance with the guidelines set forth by the National Institutes of Health.

2.3. Immobilization stressor (IMO) paradigm

The IMO procedure has been validated and shown to reliably induce behavioral and physiological stress responses in previous studies in...
measured restrainer-directed behaviors include olfactory investigation. Restrainer-directed behaviors were subsequently quantified for 30 min.

Duration of the females’ anxiety-like behaviors and restrainer-directed behaviors were subsequently quantified for 30 min using J-Watcher (http://www.jwatcher.ucla.edu/) by a trained observer blind to the treatment. The anxiety-like behaviors include self-grooming and rearing, which combined as total anxiety-like behaviors. The measured restrainer-directed behaviors include olfactory investigation (sniffing), effort (biting and pulling the restrainer), and contact (physical contact with the restrainer that is not sniffing or effort). Total restrainer-directed behavior was the sum of sniffing, effort, and contact durations.

2.4. Elevated Plus Maze (EPM) test

Immediately after the IMO test, subjects were habituated alone in a clean cage for 5 min and then tested for their anxiety-like behaviors using an established EPM test (Pan et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2013; Stowe et al., 2005). Briefly, the EPM is elevated 45 cm off the ground and has two opposing open (35 × 6.5 cm) and two opposing closed arms (35 × 5 × 15 cm) that cross in the middle. All subjects were placed in the center of the EPM facing an open arm, and their behavior was recorded for 5 min. Behaviors were quantified by a trained observer blind to treatment conditions via J-Watcher. The duration of time spent in each arm and frequency of arm entries were quantified for each subject.

Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of the experimental timeline (A) and treatment groups (B). Male voles were pair-housed with their female partners for 14 days. Thereafter, they were assigned into one of the two experimental groups where they experienced 60-min immobilization stress (IMO) in a restraint tube either alone (Alone) or with their female partner in the cage (Partner). Females were either with a restraint tube containing their stressed male partner (Partner) or with an empty restraint tube (Empty). Following the IMO stress, both males and their female partners recovered individually for 5 min, and then underwent a 5-min elevated plus maze (EPM) test. Thereafter, their blood and brains were collected immediately. Created with BioRender.com.

2.5. Blood preparation and corticosterone radioimmunoassay

Immediately after the EPM test, subjects were deeply anesthetized, and cardiac blood was collected prior to perfusion. Blood samples (~300 μl) were collected into microcentrifuge vials containing 20 μl EDTA and immediately placed in ice. Blood was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C, plasma was aspirated and transferred into new tubes, then re-centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. Plasma was aliquoted into new microcentrifuge vials and stored at −80 °C. Plasma samples (1:800) were analyzed (in duplicates) for CORT via radioimmunoassay (RIA) using a commercially available kit according to manufacturer instructions (Diagnostic Products Corp., Los Angeles, CA). The kit has been previously validated in prairie vole studies (Donovan et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2013; Smith and Wang, 2014).

2.6. Brain tissue preparation

All subjects were perfused approximately 70–90 min after the start of the 60-min IMO test. Subjects were deeply anesthetized (with euthasol) and intracardially perfused via 0.9% saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M phosphate PB buffer (PB). Brains were extracted, post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PB for 2 h, and then stored in 30% sucrose in PB at 4 °C until sectioning. Brains were coronally sectioned at 40 μm thickness via sliding microtome. Tissue was stored in 0.1 M PB with 1% sodium azide at 4 °C until immunocytochemical processing.

2.7. Immunocytochemistry

c-Fos and ionized calcium binding adaptor molecule 1 (Iba-1, a microglia-specific marker) immunoreactive staining was performed on sets of coronal sections at 200 μm intervals using established protocol (Donovan et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2019). Sections were rinsed in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 4 times for a total of 20 min and then incubated with 1% NaBH4 in 0.1 M PBS for 10 min. After rinsing, the sections were incubated in 0.3% H2O2 in 0.1 M PBS for 20 min, in 1% normal goat serum (NGS, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or normal donkey serum (NDS, Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.3% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS
(TPBS) for 1 h, in rabbit-c-Fos (1:3K; Cat # 2250S, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA) or rabbit anti-Iba-1 (1:10K; Cat # 019–19741, Wako Chemicals, Richmond, VA) in 0.3% TPBS with 2% NGS at room temperature for 1 h and again at 4 °C for 2 nights. Sections were then placed at room temperature for 1 h, rinsed in 0.3% TPBS, incubated in biotinylated goat antirabbit IgG (1:300, Vector Lab, Burlingame, CA) or donkey antirabbit IgG (1:500, Jackson ImmunoResearch Lab, West Grove, PA) for 2 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the sections were rinsed in 0.3% TPBS and incubated in the ABC Elite HRP Kit (Vector Lab) in 0.1 M PBS for 90 min at room temperature. c-Fos and Iba-1 staining was revealed using 3' diaminobenzidine (DAB, Sigma-Aldrich).

Immunoreactive double-label staining for c-Fos/OT, c-Fos/vasoressin (AVP), c-Fos/CRH, and c-Fos/5-HT were also performed on sets of coronal sections at 200 μm intervals using our previously established method (Donovan et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2019). For the double-label sections, after c-fos immunolabeling as described above, sections were rinsed in 0.1 M PBS after the DAB staining and then incubated in either 5% NGS (for OT, AVP, and CRH) or 5% normal rabbit serum (NRS, Sigma-Aldrich, for 5-HT) in 0.3% TPBS for 30 min. The tissue were then incubated in one of the following primary antibodies at 4 °C for 2 nights: rb anti-OT (1:50K; Cat # 20068, Immunostar, Hudson, WI), rb anti-AVP (1:8K; Cat # AB1565, Millipore, Burlington, MA), gp anti-CRH (1:3K; Cat # T-5007, Peninsula Lab, San Carlos, CA), or gt anti-5-HT (1:50K; Cat # 20079, Immunostar). Sections were then incubated in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:300, for OT and AVP), goat anti-guinea pig (1:300, Vector Lab, for CRH), or rabbit anti-goat (1:300, Vector Lab, for 5-HT). Sections were subsequently stained using SG (Cat # SK-4700, Vector Lab). After staining, all sections were mounted onto slides, dehydrated in ethanol, clarified in xylene, and cover-slipped with permount.

The number of c-Fos-ir cells was counted in the NAcc, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), amygdala (AMYG), and lateral septum (LS). The boundary for each of the brain area was outlined bilaterally in which all c-fos-ir cells were counted. These brain regions were chosen based on their demonstrated roles in social behaviors and/or stress responses (Lieberwirth and Wang, 2016; Smith and Wang, 2012). In addition, the number of single-labeled cells for c-fos, OT, AVP, or CRH, as well as cells double-labeled for c-fos/OT, c-fos/AVP, and c-fos/CRH in the PVN were quantified bilaterally. Similarly, cells single-labeled for c-fos or 5-HT and cells double-labeled for c-fos/5-HT were quantified in the dorsal raphe (DR). Iba-1-labeled cells were quantified bilaterally in a specified region of interest in the NAcc, LS, DR, and PVN. All cell counting was conducted manually using the cell counter function in FIJI (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) from 2 to 3 sections per brain area/subject for each marker. Counts for cells double-labeled for c-Fos/neurochemical marker were converted to the percentage of all cells labeled for the neurochemical marker, which indicates the overall portion of the neurochemical cells that were activated. In addition, such double-labeled cells were also converted to the percentage of all c-Fos labeled cells, which indicates the portion of all activated neurons that expressed the neurochemical phenotype. These two measurements provide complementary information indicating activation of a particular neurochemical system in a behavioral and/or physiological process (Donovan et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2019).

2.8. Data analysis

All behavioral data were quantified via J-Watcher. Group differences in behavioral, neurochemical and immunolabeling data for male subjects were analyzed by t tests. Similarly, group differences in the data from female partners were also analyzed by t tests. The male and female subjects underwent different experimental conditions, so they were analyzed separately. Reported p values were not corrected for multiple comparisons (Althouse, 2016). Effect sizes are reported as Cohen’s d (d).

3. Results

3.1. Partner presence altered anxiety-like and social behaviors

Anxiety-like behaviors of male subjects were assessed by an EPM test following the IMO test. Males that had their female partners in the cage during the IMO test spent significantly more time in the open arm of the EPM (t(13) = 2.91, p < 0.01, d = 1.53) and made more open arm entries (t(13) = 2.37, p < 0.05, d = 1.23) compared to the control males that underwent the IMO stress alone (Fig. 2A). No group differences were found in the number of total arm entries (Fig. 2A).

During the IMO test, female partners in the cage spent greater time in contact with (t(17) = 2.27, p < 0.05, d = 1.07) and sniffing (t(17) = 2.17, p < 0.05, d = 1.01) the restrainer more when it contained the male partner compared to when it was empty (Fig. 2B). Females in the presence of their male partner in the restrainer spent less time rearing (t(17) = 2.59, p < 0.05, d = 1.20) and in total anxiety-like behaviors (rearing and self-grooming) (t(17) = 2.56, p < 0.05, d = 1.18), compared to females with the empty restrainer (Fig. 2C). No significant group differences were found in female’s behaviors during the subsequent EPM test following the IMO test (Fig. 2D).

3.2. Partner presence altered neural activity in a brain region- and neurochemical-specific manner

We examined neuronal activation, as indicated by c-Fos levels, in a variety of brain regions. In the DR, no group differences were found in the number of cells labeled for c-Fos or 5-HT in males (Fig. 3A and B). However, the percentage of c-Fos/5-HT double-labeled cells over total c-Fos cells (t(14) = 2.89, p < 0.01, d = 1.45; Fig. 3C) and over total 5-HT cells (t(14) = 2.22, p < 0.05, d = 1.11; Fig. 3D) were significantly lower in the males that were with their female partners during the IMO stress compared to those who underwent the IMO stress alone. No group differences were found in c-Fos and 5-HT labeling in the DR in females (data not shown).

In the PVN, cells labeled for c-Fos, CRH, OT and AVP, as well as double-labeled for c-Fos with each of the neurochemical markers are summarized in Table 1. Overall, no group differences were found in the number of cells labeled for c-Fos or for CRH in both males and females (Table 1, Fig. 4A & B). In males, the percentage of c-Fos/CRH double-labeled cells over total CRH cells was significantly higher in those with their female partners during the IMO compared to ones that were stressed alone (t(13) = 2.26, p < 0.05, d = 1.15; Fig. 4D). A similar trend was found in the percentage of c-Fos/CRH over total c-Fos cells, but this difference was not statistically significant (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, females exposed to the restrainer containing male partners had more cells labeled for OT than females with the empty restrainer (t(14) = 2.85, p < 0.05, d = 1.38; Table 1). c-Fos labeling in the NAcc, BNST, AMYG, and LS are summarized in Table 2. The only significant group difference found was in the LS, in which restrained males with their female partners had lower levels of c-Fos labeling compared to the males that underwent the IMO stress alone.

3.3. Lower levels of microgliosis in the NAcc of restrained males with female partner

Iba-1 cells were quantified in the NAcc in both males and females. Males restrained with the female partners in the cage had significantly lower levels of Iba-1 cells in the total NAcc (t(16) = 2.33, p < 0.05, d = 1.10) and in the shell (t(16) = 2.26, p < 0.05, d = 1.07), with a similar, but not statistically significant, difference in the core (Fig. 5A and C). No group differences were found in the number of Iba-1 cells in other quantified brain areas including the DR, LS, and PVN in both males and females (Table 3).
3.4. Partner presence did not alter circulating levels of CORT

Plasma samples were assessed for circulating levels of CORT. No significant group differences were found for either males or females (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Although social interactions, especially those from close ties, can buffer stress responses, little is known about the underlying mechanisms (Eisenberger, 2013; Lieberwirth and Wang, 2016). In the present study using socially monogamous prairie voles, our data showed that male’s anxiety-like behavior following an acute stressor was significantly less in the presence of a female partner during the stress. The lower level of...
anxiety-like behavior in males was associated with changes in activation of 5-HT and CRH-expressing neurons, as well as with altered microglia expression in a brain region-specific manner. Interestingly, female partners displayed both enhanced social interactions towards restrained male partners, and lower level of anxiety-like behavior while in the presence of the stressed partner, and these changes were associated with greater OT expression in the PVN. Together, these data illustrate not only neurochemical and neuroimmune responses to stress, but also their potential roles in mediating social interactions, stress contagion, and social buffering.

### Table 1

|                      | Males                                      | Females                                   |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                      | Alone (n = 8) | Partner (n = 8) | t  | p  | d  | Empty (n = 9) | Partner (n = 9) | t  | p  | d  |
| c-Fos                | 21.7 ± 3.9  | 39.1 ± 13.9    | 1.20 | ns | 0.60 | 38.0 ± 20.6  | 32.6 ± 16.3    | 0.20 | ns | 0.10 |
| AVP                  | 96.9 ± 12.7 | 68.4 ± 4.2     | 2.13 | 0.06 | 1.07 | 85.2 ± 11.6  | 87.3 ± 7.7     | 0.14 | ns | 0.07 |
| AVP/c-Fos            | 0.8 ± 0.5   | 0.1 ± 0.1      | 1.36 | ns | 0.68 | 0.0 ± 0.0    | 0.1 ± 0.1      | 1.00 | ns | N/A |
| % AVP                | 1.0 ± 0.6   | 0.2 ± 0.1      | 1.35 | ns | 0.67 | 0.0 ± 0.0    | 0.1 ± 0.1      | 1.00 | ns | N/A |
| % c-Fos              | 2.8 ± 1.6   | 0.3 ± 0.2      | 1.52 | ns | 0.76 | 0.0 ± 0.0    | 0.1 ± 0.1      | 1.00 | ns | N/A |
| c-Fos                | 18.0 ± 5.6  | 18.3 ± 5.5     | 0.04 | ns | 0.02 | 32.0 ± 17.6  | 18.9 ± 10.7    | 0.59 | ns | 0.31 |
| OT                   | 56.9 ± 13.2 | 59.7 ± 8.5     | 0.23 | ns | 0.11 | 68.3 ± 8.2   | 95.0 ± 4.5     | 2.85 | 0.02 | 1.38 |
| OT/c-Fos             | 0.4 ± 0.2   | 0.1 ± 0.1      | 0.97 | ns | 0.48 | 0.6 ± 0.4    | 0.2 ± 0.1      | 0.86 | ns | 0.41 |
| % OT                 | 0.8 ± 0.5   | 0.3 ± 0.2      | 1.02 | ns | 0.51 | 0.6 ± 0.4    | 0.2 ± 0.2      | 0.93 | ns | 0.44 |
| % c-Fos              | 2.2 ± 1.1   | 0.5 ± 0.4      | 1.41 | ns | 0.70 | 0.5 ± 0.3    | 0.5 ± 0.3      | 0.08 | ns | 0.04 |
| c-Fos                | 108.2 ± 18.0| 82.1 ± 10.9    | 1.20 | ns | 0.63 | 133.8 ± 40.4 | 145.7 ± 39.7   | 0.21 | ns | 0.10 |
| CRH                  | 179.5 ± 40.6| 126.8 ± 25.0   | 1.07 | ns | 0.56 | 250.4 ± 46.9 | 255.1 ± 37.7   | 0.08 | ns | 0.04 |
| CRH/c-Fos            | 14.4 ± 4.6  | 16.0 ± 2.7     | 0.29 | ns | 0.15 | 14.7 ± 4.0   | 17.2 ± 4.0     | 0.45 | ns | 0.21 |
| % CRH                | 8.1 ± 1.2   | 13.8 ± 2.3     | 2.26 | 0.04 | 1.15 | 5.7 ± 1.2    | 7.0 ± 1.5      | 0.72 | ns | 0.34 |
| % c-Fos              | 12.6 ± 2.7  | 19.2 ± 1.9     | 2.01 | 0.07 | 1.03 | 12.5 ± 2.2   | 13.9 ± 1.8     | 0.46 | ns | 0.22 |

Fig. 4. c-Fos and corticotrophin releasing hormone (CRH) labeling in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN) of the male vole brains. No group differences were found in the total number of c-Fos-labeled cells (A) or CRH-labeled cells (B) in the PVN. Compared to the males that received immobilization (IMO) stress alone (Alone), stressed males with their partner in the cage (Partner) had a significantly higher percentage of CRH expressing cells co-labeled for c-Fos (D), and a similar trend was also found in the percentage of c-Fos expressing cells co-labeled for CRH (C). Bars indicate mean ± SEM. * represents p < 0.05. Panel E shows representative images of immunostaining of c-Fos, CRH, and double-labeled c-Fos/CRH cells in the PVN of the Alone (left) and Partner (right) males. White arrow indicates c-Fos labeled cells; black arrow indicates CRH labeled cells, and black arrowhead indicates cells double-labeled for c-Fos and CRH. 3V, third ventricle. Scale bars = 20 μm.
These data are consistent with our previous findings (Donovan et al., 2018; Smith and Wang, 2014) that demonstrate that the IMO test is a well-established paradigm that reliably induces biobehavioral stress responses in a variety of rodent species— including prairie voles (Kovacs et al., 2018; Rowland and Dunn, 1995; Smith et al., 2013). In the present study, male voles in the company of their female partners during the IMO test exhibited lower levels of anxiety-like behaviors compared to control males that underwent the IMO test alone. These data are consistent with our previous findings (Donovan et al., 2018), and together with the data from other studies (Burkett et al., 2016; Donovan et al., 2018; Smith and Wang, 2014) demonstrates that partner presence during and after stressful events can attenuate stress responses in prairie voles. Such social buffering effects on stress responses have also been reported in other rodent species, including rats (Davitz and Mason, 1955) and mice (Sterley et al., 2018).

Consolatory and stress-alleviating behaviors have been documented in a variety of animal species (Meyza et al., 2017). Prairie voles display enhanced allogrooming towards their stressed partners, thereby buffering the partners’ stress responses (Burkett et al., 2016; Smith and Wang, 2014). In our study, female voles spent more time interacting with an IMO restraint tube containing their male partner compared to an empty IMO tube. These data indicate that even with limited physical

### Table 2

| Males          | Females          |
|----------------|------------------|
|                | Alone (n = 9)    | Partner (n = 9) | t   | p   | d   | t   | p   | d   |
| NAcc total     | 37.9 ± 8.6      | 64.9 ± 18.9     | 1.30 | ns  | 0.62| 155.4 ± 19.6 | 50.2 ± 15.5 | 0.21 | ns  | 0.10|
| NAcc core      | 17.1 ± 4.7      | 35.5 ± 12.3     | 1.40 | ns  | 0.66| 24.6 ± 8.0   | 26.1 ± 8.0   | 0.14 | ns  | 0.06|
| NAcc shell     | 20.9 ± 4.6      | 29.4 ± 14.2     | 0.94 | ns  | 0.46| 30.9 ± 11.9  | 24.1 ± 7.6 (9) | 0.48 | ns  | 0.23|
| BNST total     | 90.4 ± 10.5     | 101.8 ± 15.8    | 0.60 | ns  | 0.28| 103.9 ± 23.1 | 120.1 ± 24.3 | 0.48 | ns  | 0.23|
| BNSTd          | 21.4 ± 4.5      | 27.4 ± 8.7      | 0.61 | ns  | 0.29| 21.6 ± 6.0   | 28.3 ± 6.6   | 0.75 | ns  | 0.36|
| BNSTv          | 68.9 ± 7.2      | 74.3 ± 11.0     | 0.41 | ns  | 0.19| 82.3 ± 18.0  | 91.8 ± 19.8  | 0.35 | ns  | 0.17|
| AMYG total     | 251.1 ± 41.8    | 356.0 ± 78.2    | 1.18 | ns  | 0.59| 383.1 ± 45.4 | 298.7 ± 35.6 | 1.46 | ns  | 0.69|
| MeA            | 186.3 ± 35.5    | 245.0 ± 53.2    | 0.92 | ns  | 0.46| 274.3 ± 34.0 | 209.6 ± 25.6 | 1.52 | ns  | 0.72|
| ACo            | 48.1 ± 11.6     | 58.5 ± 11.6     | 0.63 | ns  | 0.32| 70.8 ± 8.9   | 60.2 ± 9.0   | 0.84 | ns  | 0.40|
| GeA            | 16.8 ± 3.2      | 252.5 ± 20.5    | 1.73 | ns  | 0.86| 39.9 ± 8.3   | 28.9 ± 6.7   | 1.01 | ns  | 0.47|
| NAcc shell     | 6.7 ± 1.0       | 13.2 ± 1.5      | 0.17 | ns  | 0.08| 14.3 ± 1.6   | 16.0 ± 1.4   | 0.82 | ns  | 0.38|
| NAcc core      | 10.4 ± 1.4      | 12.2 ± 1.9      | 0.78 | ns  | 0.38| 10.1 ± 1.7   | 8.7 ± 1.2    | 0.64 | ns  | 0.30|
| NAcc total     | 64.5 ± 5.9      | 59.4 ± 2.1      | 0.78 | ns  | 0.39| 67.8 ± 7.1   | 65.3 ± 7.6   | 0.24 | ns  | 0.12|
| Corticosterone | 305.0 ± 13.8    | 349.4 ± 23.4    | 1.64 | ns  | 0.78| 500.0 ± 31.8 | 442.3 ± 20.4 | 1.49 | ns  | 0.69|

#### 4.1. Male and female behaviors, stress, and social buffering

The IMO test is a well-established paradigm that reliably induces biobehavioral stress responses in a variety of rodent species— including prairie voles (Kovacs et al., 2018; Rowland and Dunn, 1995; Smith et al., 2013). In the present study, male voles in the company of their female partners during the IMO test exhibited lower levels of anxiety-like behaviors compared to control males that underwent the IMO test alone. These data are consistent with our previous findings (Donovan et al., 2018), and together with the data from other studies (Burkett et al., 2016; Donovan et al., 2018; Smith and Wang, 2014) demonstrates that partner presence during and after stressful events can attenuate stress responses in prairie voles. Such social buffering effects on stress responses have also been reported in other rodent species, including rats (Davitz and Mason, 1955) and mice (Sterley et al., 2018).
contact, the presence of a female partner was effective at decreasing typical anxiety-like stress responses seen after the IMO test in male voles. Apart from physical contact, alternate sensory modalities, including olfactory, auditory, and visual cues, can facilitate social connection and social buffering (Kiyokawa and Hennessy, 2018). For example, social buffering of stress responses does not require physical interactions between a dyad of male rats (Kiyokawa et al., 2013) and conspecific olfactory signals can block stress responses and associated neuronal activation in the brain of male rats (Takahashi et al., 2013). Vocalizations and visual cues are also able to reduce stress and alter affective states in isolated marmosets (Rukstalis and French, 2005) and in humans (Bartels and Zeki, 2000). In prairie voles, chemosensory cues have been shown to mediate anxiety-like behavior, social and partner preferences, paternal care, and can alter context-dependent neuronal activation and adult neurogenesis (Jean-Baptiste et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2014; Tubboli and Wysocki, 1997; Williams et al., 1992). Our data indicate that the females’ behavior towards the restrained males along with the males’ subsequently lower anxiety-like behavior are likely mediated by bi-directional partner-associated cues with limited tactile stimulation.

In our study, female voles with their stressed male partner exhibited lower levels of anxiety-like behaviors during the IMO test compared to females that faced an empty IMO restrainer. One plausible explanation could be that given the anxiogenic nature of social isolation, especially for socially monogamous prairie voles, it may be more stressful to be alone in a novel environment than to be with a familiar partner (Donovan et al., 2020; Grippio et al., 2007b; Pan et al., 2009). In the same vein, presence of a familiar partner in a novel environment, regardless of the partner’s emotional state, might be anxiolytic. This is supported by our data showing that both the males and females, regardless of stress exposure, had lower levels of anxiety-like behavior when with their partner compared to their respective alone controls. Further, the transmission of stress, or stress contagion, during social interactions may be an essential precursor to the initiation of consolatory behaviors (De Waal and Preston, 2017), and, therefore, it is also possible that presence of the female partner may have attenuated the male’s stress responses, and, thereby, preemptively reduced the amount of transmitted stress that the female partner received (Cohen and Wills, 1985). Unfortunately, we were unable to measure solicitation behaviors (i.e. vocalizations, pheromone release, and body movement) exhibited by the males in the restrainer as well as the receptivity of the females to these cues, and thus do not have the data to either support or refute this notion. Finally, the EPM data following the IMO paradigm showed a partner-associated, significant decrease in anxiety-like behaviors in males but only trending effects in females. These results may be due to differences in the type/magnitude of the stressors as well as sex differences in responses to anxiogenic effects of social isolation and/or anxiety-like effects of having a partner present.

4.2. Changes of neurochemical and neuroimmune markers in the male brain

Social buffering on the males’ anxiety-like behavior was also related to changes in neuronal activation in the PVN in a neurochemical-specific manner. Males that were accompanied by their female partner during the IMO stress showed greater activation of CRH-expressing neurons in the PVN, compared to males stressed alone. While increased hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis (HPA) activity typically occurs under stressful conditions, including social isolation or IMO stress in prairie voles (Grippio et al., 2007a; Smith et al., 2013), it has also been implicated in the facilitation of pair bonding. This is particularly in male prairie voles, as CRH and CORT administration induces their bonding behaviors towards the female partners (DeVries et al., 2002; DeVries et al., 1996). Therefore, greater CRH activation in the PVN of male voles could be stimulated by female-associated cues and may play a role in facilitating the emotional transition from anxiety to social bonding.

Our data also reveal lower activation of 5-HT neurons in the DR of stressed alone. 5-HT has oftentimes been characterized as “prosocial” due to its positive correlation with affiliative behaviors and negative association with aggression and isolation in males (Insel and Winslow, 1998; Siegel and Crockett, 2013; Young and Leyton, 2002). It should be noted, however, that 5-HT can have anxiolytic or anxiogenic effects on behaviors depending on the context (Fernandez and Gaspar, 2012; Guillerme Graeff and Zangrossi Jr., 2012). Genetic models of 5-HT depletion and drugs/lesions that inhibit 5-HT activity have anxiolytic effects in unconditioned anxiety tests such as the EPM (Fernandez and Gaspar, 2012; Lowry et al., 2005), and increased 5-HT activity can enhance anxiety-like behaviors (Lowry et al., 2005). Therefore, the lower levels of 5-HT activity in the DR in our study may indicate its role in reducing anxiety-like behavior in pair-bonded male voles – a speculation that needs to be tested in subsequent studies.

In stressed males that had their partner present, we saw greater PVN CRH neuron activation, lower DR 5-HT neuron activation, and lower levels of anxiety-like behavior. Albeit confusing, these results may be indicative of a neurochemical interaction between CRH and 5-HT to facilitate this reduction in anxiety-like behaviors. CRH-fibers innervate the DR, and CRH receptors can be found directly on 5-HT neurons (Valentino et al., 2010). The two types of CRH receptors (CRHR1 and CRHR2) have opposing effects on 5-HT – lower amounts of CRH have a higher affinity for CRHR2 with an inhibitory effect on 5-HT release, whereas higher levels of CRH will act on CRHR2 with an excitatory effect on 5-HT release (Valentino et al., 2010). We were unable to deduce neurochemical release and binding in the current study, but the established link between these two neurochemical systems indicates a regulatory process of interest for future social buffering studies. Finally, extensive interactions between the CRH and the neuropeptide systems such as OT have been demonstrated on multiple levels including activation, release, and receptor expression (Winter and Jurek, 2019), and, in particular, has been shown to interact with CRH in reducing stress responses and in facilitating social interactions (Gobrogge and Wang, 2015; Windle et al., 2004). Although not tested in the present study, it would be interesting to study CRH-OT interactions in mediating social interaction, social transmission, and subsequent social buffering effects on stress responses in highly social animal models.

Examination of microgliosis in response to stress has yielded interesting results. Socially isolated female prairie voles have higher levels of Iba-1 labeling in the NAcc than co-housed controls (Donovan et al., 2020). In the present study, male voles that experienced IMO stress in the presence of their female partners showed lower levels of Iba-1 labeling in the NAcc, compared to the males that underwent the stress alone. Together, these data illustrate neuroinflammatory responses to stress as well as to the specific social relationship and social interaction. These data are consistent with the literature demonstrating that psychosocial stressors lead to increased microgliat activity in the brain (Calcia et al., 2016) whereas social interaction decreases neuroinflammatory responses to stress in male mice (Gaudier-Diaz et al., 2017; Norman et al., 2010). Associative changes between microgliosis and neurochemical expression/activity have been documented. For example, increased expression of OT and OTR are associated with promoting anti-inflammatory effects, and treatment with OT can reduce microglial activation (Panaro et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2016). In prairie voles, lower OTR expression leads to lower OTR density in the NAcc, and such effects are abolished by the presence of partners (Donovan et al., 2018). Further, partner interactions can induce OT release (Burkett et al., 2016) and enhance OTR expression in the NAcc which facilitated partner preference formation in female prairie voles (Ross et al., 2009). In the present study, the lower levels of Iba-1 labeling in the NAcc in IMO males in the presence of their female partners may indicate partners’ social buffering effects by decreasing neuroinflammation via greater OT activity in the NAcc. Thus, the opposite patterns of OTR and
lba-I expression in the NAcc in the social buffering paradigm suggest the opposing effects of OT and neuroimmune activity. Further, in a recent study in adolescent male rats, decreased microglia in the NAcc was found to be causally related to downregulation of DA D1-type receptors which regulate social play behavior, demonstrating a direct effect of microglia on the DA system in the NAcc (Kope et al., 2018). NAcc and its neurochemicals, including OT and DA, have been implicated in social bonding behavior in prairie voles (Arakona et al., 2003; Wang and Aragona, 2004; Young et al., 2001). More interestingly, social interactions among the partners and familiar conspecifics are rewarding (Goodwin et al., 2019); NAcc OT and DA have been implicated in social reward (Berridge and Robinson, 1998; Dolen et al., 2013); and such reward component during social interactions may also play a role in social buffering (Lieberwirth and Wang, 2016). Together, these data indicate the importance of the NAcc in bidirectional interactions between microglia and neurochemicals as well as their functional roles in regulating stress responses and social interaction, reward, and buffering.

Indeed, microglia express receptors for several neurochemicals including OT, DA, CRH, and 5-HT (Ler et al., 2017; Kato et al., 2013; Loth and Donaldson, 2021) – neurochemicals that play crucial roles in social behaviors like pair bond formation in prairie voles (Arakona et al., 2003; DeVries et al., 1995, 2002). Although the research on CRH and microglial interactions are conflicting, there is evidence to suggest that CRH can induce microglial apoptosis (Oek et al., 2006), and this may be a self-regulating mechanism to control microglial presence in the brain (White et al., 1998). The interactions between 5-HT and microglia are even less studied, but in vitro studies of microglial migration demonstrate that microglial processes move towards 5-HT (Kolodiezjczak et al., 2015) and 5-HT presence facilitates microglial migration towards injury (Krabbe et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the study of neuroimmune mechanisms underlying social behaviors is still in its infancy, but the continued study of the interactions of social behavior and inflammation in the context of stress is promising and requires future investigation (Muscatell, 2021). Likewise, as glia and neuron interactions are bidirectional (Eyo and Wu, 2013), the intersection of neuroimmune and neurochemical systems in the context of social and stress behavior is an essential avenue for further research.

4.3. Changes of PVN OT neurons in the female brain

Another interesting finding in the present study is the larger number of OT neurons in the PVN in females that were exposed to the stressed male partner. OT plays multifaceted roles in stress responses and social behavior (Marlin and Froemke, 2017; Nishio et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2016; Steinman et al., 2019; Wang and Aragona, 2004; Wotjak et al., 1998). Social interaction leads to increased OT mRNA expression in the PVN (Matsushita et al., 2019) and OT has been shown to decrease anxiety-like behaviors (Grippo et al., 2007b; Neumann et al., 2006; Smith and Wang, 2014). As the partner’s presence decreased anxiety-like behaviors in male voles, we expected lower levels of corresponding circulating CORT. The lack of such effects is surprising. We do not currently have a ready explanation for the lack of social buffering effects on circulating CORT in males. However, the trending increase in CORT in the males with the female partner’s presence is consistent with their elevated CRH activation in the PVN and supports the notion that partner associated cues and interaction activate HPA activity which facilitates social bonding in male prairie voles (DeVries et al., 2002). It should also be mentioned that the observed potentiation of CRH increase in the PVN supports the notion of increased OT production or transport in the PVN following stress exposure.

In our initial behavioral experiment, free-moving females tended to interact more with the restrainer containing the partner, but not a conspecific stranger, and the latter seemed not as effective as the partner in alleviating the anxiety-like behaviors of the restrained stranger males (Fig. S1). These data support the finding of partner-specific social buffering in prairie voles (Burkett et al., 2016), and set up the foundation for our current study focusing on the familiar partners. However, omission of the additional controls indeed is a caveat in the present study as it limits our analysis and understanding of how...
social stimuli are transmitted and perceived during a stressful situation.

5. Conclusion

In summary, data from the present study shows reciprocal behavioral interactions between stressed male voles and their female partners via social signal transmission and social buffering. Such effects on social and anxiety-like behaviors were accompanied by a suite of neurochemical and neuroimmune responses. These data not only indicate the importance of social connectivity and social buffering under pair bonding conditions, but also illustrate the great utility of prairie voles as an ethologically relevant animal model for studying social buffering and stress contagion and underlying mechanistic circuitry integrating neurochemical, neuroimmune, and hormonal responses to shape these dynamic behaviors.

Authors’ contributions

E.K.C. and Z.W. designed the study. E.K.C. and Y.L. performed experiments. E.K.C. and M.D. analyzed the data. E.K.C., M.D., and Z.W. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results, provided critical feedback, and contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

All authors have no declared conflict of interest and have nothing to disclose.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements & Funding

This work was supported by grants from National Institutes of Health [NIMH R01-108527, NIMH R01-109450, and NIMH R21-111998] to Z. W. E.K.C. was supported by the NIH program training grant [T32 MH093311, P.K. Keel and L.A. Eckel] and the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. 1449440. M.D. was supported by the VA Office of Academic Affiliations, Advanced Fellowship Program in Mental Illness Research and Treatment, Department of Veterans Affairs. The authors would like to thank Zeidi Jiminez, Isabel Fain, Caroline Henk, and Gabriela Petasne for assistance with data collection and analyses.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yneu.2017.05.017.

References

Althouse, A.D., 2016. Adjust for multiple comparisons? It’s not that simple. Ann. Thorac. Surg. 101, 1644–1645. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athorsur.2015.11.024.

Anthony, T.E., Dee, N., Bernard, A., Lerchner, W., Heintz, N., Anderson, D.J., 2014. Social stress contagion in rats: behavioral neuroendocrine research on pair bonding. ILAR J. https://doi.org/10.1095/ilar.2017.72.

Berridge, K.C., Robinson, T.E., 1998. What is the role of dopamine in reward: hedonic impact, reward learning, or incentive salience? Brain Res. Rev. 28, 309–369. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-328X(98)00064-5.

Bielczyk, I.F., Hu, S.B., Ren, X., Terwilliger, E.F., Young, L.J., 2005. The V1a vasopressin receptor is necessary and sufficient for normal social recognition: a gene replacement study. Neuron 47, 503–513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.031.

Borland, J.M., Alaini, L.M., Norville, A., Granthan, K.N., O’Laughlin, K., Terranova, J.J., Frantz, K.J., Albers, H.E., 2018. Sex-dependent regulation of social reward by oxytocin receptors in the ventral tegmental area. Neuropsychopharmacology 44, 785–792. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-0226-z, 2018, 4 44.

Bosch, O.J., Nair, H.P., Ahern, T.H., Neumann, I.D., Young, L.J., 2009. The CRF system mediates increased passive stress coping behavior following the loss of a bonded partner in a monogamous rodent. Neuropsychopharmacology 34, 1406–1415. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2008.154.

Buchanan, T.W., Bagley, S.L., Stansfeld, R.B., Preston, S.D., 2012. The empathic, physiological resonance of stress. Soc. Neurosci. 7, 191–203. https://doi.org/10.17407/jns.2011.45.1.058723.

Burkett, J.P., Andari, E., Johnson, Z.v., Curry, D.C., de Waal, F.B.M., Young, L.J., 2016. Oxytocin-dependent consolation behavior in rodents. Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac785.

Calca, M.A., Bonsall, D.R., Bloomfield, P.S., Selvaraj, S., Barichello, T., Howes, D.O., 2016. Stress and neuroinflammation: a systematic review of the effects of stress on microglia and the implications for mental illness. Psychopharmacology 233, 1637–1650. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-016-4218-9.

Carae, I., Casaballo, N.L., Marlin, R.J., Oyama, R., Riceberg, J.S., Mendoza Navarro, J. M., Opendak, M., Díaz, V.E., Schuster, L., Alvarado Torres, M.J., Lethin, H., Ramos, D., Minder, J., Mendoza, S.L., Bair-Marshall, C.J., Samadjopoulos, G.H., Hildea, S., Falkner, A., Lin, M. D., Karadzic, G., Neilson, K., Nikitou, T., Mogi, K., Sullivan, R.M., Froemke, R.C., 2021. Oxytocin enables social transmission of maternal behaviour. Nature 596, 553–557. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03814-7 , 7873 596, 2021.

Carnevali, L., Montano, N., Statello, R., Coude, G., Facchini, F., Rivara, S., Ferrari, P.F., Spigol, J., 2017. Social stress contagion in rats: behavioral neuroendocrine and neuroendocrine correlates. Psychoneuroendocrinology 82, 155–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.05.017.

Carrillo, M., Han, Y., Migliorati, F., Liu, M., Gazzola, V., Keysen, C., 2019. Emotional mirror neurons in the rat’s anterior cingulate cortex. Curr. Biol. 29, 1301–1312.

Clemens, A.M., Wang, H., Brecht, M., 2020. The lateral septum mediates kinship behavior in the rat. Nat. Commun. 11 (1 11), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16499-x, 2020.

Cohen, S., Willms, T.A., 1985. Stress, Social Support, and the Buffering Hypothesis. Psychological Bulletin. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.98.2.510.

D’Amato, F.K., Pavone, F., 1996. Reunion of separated sibling mice: neurobiological and behavioral aspects. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. 65, 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1006/nlme.1996.0002.

Davitz, J.R., Mason, D.J., 1955. Socially facilitated reduction of a fear response in rats. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 48, 149–151. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0046111.

De Waal, F.B.M., Preston, S.D., 2005. Mammalian empathy: behavioural manifestations and neural basis. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 18, 498–509. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1772.

DeVries, A.C., DeVries, M.B., Taymans, S.E., Carter, C.S., 1995. Modulation of pair bonding pathways link social support to attenuated neuroendocrine stress responses. Psychoneuroendocrinology 20, 467–486. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4530(01)

Dolens, G., Darvishzadeh, A., Huang, K.W., Malenka, R.C., 2013. Social reward requires coordinated activity of nucleus accumbens oxytocin and serotonin. Nature 501, 179–184. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12518 , 2013 501:7466.

Donovan, M., Liu, Y., Wang, Z., 2018. Anxiety-like behavior and neuropeptide receptor expression in male and female prairie voles: the effects of stress and social buffering. Behav. Brain Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2018.01.015.

Eisenberger, N.I., Taylor, S.E., Lieberman, M.D., 2007. Neural pathways link social support to attenuated neuroendocrine stress responses. Neuroimage. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.01.038.

Eisfeld, J.E., Lombard, C.M., Padi, A.R., Ouyttens, C.M., Wilson, L.B., Wood, S.C., Wood, C.S., 2017. Physical versus psychological social stress in male rats reveals distinct mechanisms linking social stress to stress-related behavior and depressive-like phenotypes in gene mouse models of serotonin depletion. Neuropharmacology 144–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.08.045, Neuropharmacology.
Nishioka, T., Anselmo-Franci, J.A., Li, P., Callahan, M.F., Morris, M., 1998. Stress increases oxytocin release within the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus. Brain Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8993(97)01159-1.

Norman, G.J., Karelin, K., Morris, J.S., Zhang, N., Cochran, M., Devries, A.C., 2010. Social interaction prevents the development of depressive-like behavior post nerve injury in mice: a potential role for oxytocin. Psychosom. Med. 72, 519–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2009.07.015.

Ock, J., Lee, H., Kim, S., Lee, W.H., Choi, D.K., Eun, J.P., Kim, S.H., In, K.K., Suk, K., 2006. Induction of microglial apoptosis by corticotropin-releasing hormone. J. Neurochem. 98, 962–972. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.05393.x.

Oliveira, R.F., Faustino, A.I., 2017. Social information use in threat perception: social buffering, contagion and facilitation of alarm responses. Commun. Integr. Biol. https://doi.org/10.4161/cib.34673.2017.132504.

Pan, Y., Liu, Y., Young, K.A., Zhang, Z., Wang, Z., 2009. Post-weaning social isolation alters anxiety-related behavior and neurochemical gene expression in the brain of male prairie voles. Neurosci. Lett. 454, 67–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2009.02.064.

Panaro, M.A., Benameru, T., Porro, C., 2020. Hypothalamic neuropeptide brain protection: focus on oxytocin. J. Clin. Med. 9, 1534. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9051534.

Patki, G., Solanki, N., Salim, S., 2014. Witnessing traumatic events causes severe behavioral impairments in rats. Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. 755, 2017–2029. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnep.12055.

Peen, N.F., Duque-Wilckens, N., Trainor, B.C., 2021. Convergent neuroendocrine mechanisms of social buffering and stress contagion. Horm. Behav. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2021.104933.

Pisansky, M.T., Hanson, L.R., Gottesman, I.L., Gewirtz, J.C., 2017. Oxytocin enhances observational fear in mice. Nat. Commun. 8, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02279-5.

Pohl, T.T., Jung, O., di Benedetto, B., Young, L.J., Bosch, O.J., 2021. Microglia react to partner loss in a sex- and brain site-specific manner in prairie voles. Brain Behav. Immun. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2021.05.026.

Razai, M.S., Oakeshott, P., Kankam, H., Galea, S., Stokes-Lampard, H., 2020. Mitigating the psychological effects of social isolation during the covid-19 pandemic. BMJ 369. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1904.

Robinson, D.A., Wei, F., Wang, G.D., Li, P., Kim, S.J., Vogt, S.K., Muglia, L.J., Zhuo, M., 2002. Oxytocin mediates stress-induced analgesia in adult mice. J. Physiol. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2001.013492.

Ross, H.E., Freeman, S.M., Spiegel, I.L., Ren, X., Terverillig, E.F., Young, L.J., 2009. Variation in oxytocin receptor density in the nucleus accumbens has differential effects on affiliative behaviors in monogamous and polygamous voles. J. Neurosci. 29, 1312–1318. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5639-08.2009.

Rowland, N.E., Dunn, A.J., 1995. Effect of dexamethasone on metabolic and neurochemical measures in restraint-stressed ob/ob mice. Physiol. Behav. 58, 749–754. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(95)00105-R.

Rukstalis, M., French, J.A., 2005. Vocal buffering of the stress response: exposure to conspecific or heterospecific urine: where are chemosensory cues sorted? Physiol. Behav. 86, 876–879. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2005.08.007.

Sun, P., Smith, A.S., Lei, K., Liu, Y., Wang, Z., 2014. Breaking bonds in male prairie vole: long-term effects on emotional and social behavior, physiology, and neurochemistry. Behav. Brain Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2014.02.016.

Takahashi, Y., Kiyokawa, Y., Kodama, Y., Arata, S., Takeuchi, Y., Mori, Y., 2013. Olfactory signals mediate social buffering of conditioned fear responses in male rats. Behav. Brain Res. 240, 46–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2012.11.017.

Tuobilla, M.L., Wysocki, C.J., 1997. Fos immunoreactivity after exposure to conspecific or heterospecific urine: where are chemosensory cues sorted? Physiol. Behav. 62, 867–879. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384(97)00254-0.

Valentino, R.J., Lucki, I., Van Bockstaele, E., 2010. Corticotropin-releasing factor in the dorsal raphe nucleus: linking stress coping and addiction. Brain Res. 1314, 29–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2009.05.100.

Wang, Z., Aragona, B.J., 2004. Neurochemical regulation of pair bonding in male prairie voles. Physiol. Behav. 83, 319–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.08.024.

White, G.A., McCombe, P.A., Pender, M.P., 1998. Microglia are more susceptible than macrophages to apoptosis in the central nervous system in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis through a mechanism not involving Fox (CD95). Int. Immunol. 10, 935–941. https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/10.7.935.

Williams, J.B., Insel, T.R., Habbaugh, C.R., Carter, C.S., 1994. Oxytocin administered centrally facilitates formation of a partner preference in female prairie voles (Microtus ochrogaster). J. Neuroendocrinol. 6, 247–250. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2826.1994.tb00739.x.

Williams, J.B., Plotnick, B.M., Kirkpatrick, B.W., Carter, C.S., 1992. Olfactory bulb removal affects partner preference development and estrus induction in female prairie voles. Physiol. Behav. 52, 635–639. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(92)80330-0.

Windle, R.J., Kershaw, Y.M., Shanks, N., Wood, S.A., Lightman, S.L., Ingram, C.D., 2004. Oxytocin attenuates stress-induced c-fos mRNA expression in specific forebrain regions associated with modulation of hypothalmo-pituitary-adrenal activity. J. Neurosci.: Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. 24, 2974–2982. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3452-03.2004.

Winter, J., Jurék, B., 2019. The interplay between oxytocin and the CRF system: regulation of the stress response. Cell Tissue Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-019-04893-5.

Wojtak, C.T., Ganster, J., Kohl, G., Holbober, F., Landgraf, R., Engelmann, M., 1998. Dissociated central and peripheral release of vasopressin, but not oxytocin, in response to repeated swim stress: new insights into the secretory capacities of peptidergic neurons. Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230(03)00610-3.

Wu, B., 2020. Social isolation and loneliness among older adults in the context of COVID-19: a global challenge. Global Health Res. Policy 5, 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41216-020-00154-1.

Young, K.A., Bognobrog, K.L., Liu, Y., Wang, Z., 2011. The neurobiology of pair bonding: insights from a socially monogamous rodent. Front. Neuroendocrinol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2011.07.006.

Young, L.J., Lim, M.M., Ginsrich, B., Insel, T.R., 2001. Cellular mechanisms of social attachment. Horm. Behav. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8993(01)00169-1.

Young, S.N., Leyton, M., 2002. The role of serotonin in human mood and social interaction: insight from altered tryptophan levels. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-3057(01)00683-0.

Yuan, L., Liu, S., Bai, X., Gao, Y., Liu, G., Wang, X., Liu, D., Li, T., Hao, A., Wang, Z., 2016. Oxytocin inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation in microglial cells and attenuates microglial activation in lipopolysaccharide-treated mice. J. Neuroinflammation 13, 77. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-016-0541-7.