Selectively Targeting the DNA-binding Domain of the Androgen Receptor as a Prospective Therapy for Prostate Cancer*
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Background: The androgen receptor (AR) is a transcription factor regulating progression of prostate cancer. AR is activated by androgens that bind to its ligand-binding domain (LBD), causing the transcription factor to enter the nucleus and interact with genes via its conserved DNA-binding domain (DBD). Treatment for prostate cancer involves reducing androgen production or using anti-androgen drugs to block the interaction of hormones with the AR-LBD. Eventually the disease changes into a castration-resistant form of PCa where LBD mutations render anti-androgens ineffective or where constitutively active AR splice variants, lacking the LBD, become overexpressed. Recently, we identified a surfaced exposed pocket on the AR-DBD as an alternative drug-target site for AR inhibition. Here, we demonstrate that small molecules designed to selectively bind the pocket effectively block transcriptional activity of full-length and splice variant AR forms at low to sub-micromolar concentrations. The inhibition is lost when residues involved in drug interactions are mutated. Furthermore, the compounds did not impede nuclear localization of the AR and blocked interactions with chromatin, indicating the interference of DNA binding with the nuclear form of the transcription factor. Finally, we demonstrate the inhibition of gene expression and tumor volume in mouse xenografts. Our results indicate that the AR-DBD has a surface site that can be targeted to inhibit all forms of the AR, including enzalutamide-resistant and constitutively active splice variants and thus may serve as a potential avenue for the treatment of recurrent and metastatic prostate cancer.

The androgen receptor (AR) is a transcription factor that has a pivotal role in the occurrence and progression of prostate cancer. The AR is activated by androgens that bind to its ligand-binding domain (LBD), causing the transcription factor to enter the nucleus and interact with genes via its conserved DNA-binding domain (DBD). Treatment for prostate cancer involves reducing androgen production or using anti-androgen drugs to block the interaction of hormones with the AR-LBD. Eventually the disease changes into a castration-resistant form of PCa where LBD mutations render anti-androgens ineffective or where constitutively active AR splice variants, lacking the LBD, become overexpressed. Recently, we identified a surfaced exposed pocket on the AR-DBD as an alternative drug-target site for AR inhibition. Here, we demonstrate that small molecules designed to selectively bind the pocket effectively block transcriptional activity of full-length and splice variant AR forms at low to sub-micromolar concentrations. The inhibition is lost when residues involved in drug interactions are mutated. Furthermore, the compounds did not impede nuclear localization of the AR and blocked interactions with chromatin, indicating the interference of DNA binding with the nuclear form of the transcription factor. Finally, we demonstrate the inhibition of gene expression and tumor volume in mouse xenografts. Our results indicate that the AR-DBD has a surface site that can be targeted to inhibit all forms of the AR, including enzalutamide-resistant and constitutively active splice variants and thus may serve as a potential avenue for the treatment of recurrent and metastatic prostate cancer.

Results: Developed compounds inhibit AR transcriptional activity in vitro and in vivo by selective targeting of the AR-DNA-binding domain (DBD).

Conclusion: By targeting the DBD, the compounds differ from conventional anti-androgens.

Significance: Anti-androgens with a novel mechanism of action have the potential to treat recurrent prostate cancer.

The androgen receptor (AR) is a ligand-inducible transcription factor that contributes to the growth, recurrence, and metastasis of prostate cancer (PCa) tumors (1, 2). The AR is activated by binding to androgens, such as testosterone and dihydrotestosterone, which causes localization of the transcription factor into the nucleus (3) where it drives the expression of genes responsible for cell survival and proliferation (4). Early forms of prostate cancer are treatable with surgery and radiation, but recurrent or metastatic disease requires the application of alternative therapies. Thus, blockade of androgen signaling can be achieved with drugs designed to interfere with androgen production or with anti-androgens, which directly compete with hormones for binding onto the AR (5). Although these treatments are initially effective, the disease eventually progresses to castration-resistant PCa where tumor growth has become resistant to hormonal therapies (1).

The AR structural organization includes an N-terminal domain (NTD), followed by the DBD and LBD domains (6). X-ray crystal structures of the LBD (7–9) and DBD (10) have assisted in defining surface-exposed regions on the AR that facilitate ligand, DNA, and co-factor binding. Thus, it has been well characterized how androgens coordinate into a ligand binding pocket on the surface of the LBD termed the androgen-binding site. That site is the best understood target for anti-androgen compounds (such as enzalutamide) that compete with testosterone for binding. In addition, the LBD contains alternative surface-exposed pockets such the activation-func-
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The DBD is an important target for developing new drugs to treat castration-resistant PCa. Our data support the importance of the AR-DBD as a prospected target for the treatment of advanced metastatic PCa.

Inhibition of splice variant transcriptional activity would be a significant breakthrough in the development of a new class of anti-AR drugs.

Here, we explore the AR-DBD as a novel target for small molecules to block full-length and splice variant AR signaling. Recently, using our established in silico drug design approach (13, 23, 24), we discovered a surface-exposed region on the AR-DBD, including residues Ser-579 to Lys-610, which was established to be targetable by small molecules.

The best characterized AR splice variants, AR-V7 and AR-v567es, are implicated in several studies to contribute to reactivation of AR signaling in castration-resistant tumors (18–22). Inhibition of splice variant transcriptional activity would be a significant breakthrough in the development of a new class of anti-AR drugs.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Compounds—VPC-14228 (4-(4-phenylthiazol-2-yl)morpholine), VPC-14449 (4-(4,5-bromo-1H-imidazol-1-yl)thiazol-2-yl)morpholine), and VPC-14337 (pyrvinium) were purchased from Enamine (Monmouth Jct., NJ).

Constructs—Full-length human AR (hARWT) or splice variant (AR-V7) was encoded on a pcDNA3.1 expression plasmid. Point mutations in the DBD were generated with the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) using hARWT or AR-V7 templates.

Inhibition of splice variant transcriptional activity would be a significant breakthrough in the development of a new class of anti-AR drugs.
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with transfection of only ARRtk-luciferase reporter and 10,000 cells/well.

Western Blots—Cell lysates (40 μl) from luciferase assays (96-well plate) were separated on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide mini gel. Protein was transferred to methanol-charged PVDF membranes and probed with anti-ARR411 (mouse, Sigma) monoclonal primary antibody. Blots were also probed with polyclonal anti-actin (rabbit, Sigma) to show equal loading and polyclonal anti-PARP/anti-cleaved PARP (rabbit, Sigma) to test for induction of apoptosis. Lysates from CWR-R1 cells were additionally probed with polyclonal anti-FKBP5 (rabbit, Sigma) following 2 days of incubation with compounds.

PSA Measurements—LNCaP cells maintained in RPMI 1640 medium with 5% CSS were incubated in 96-wells (10,000 cells/well) for 2 days in the same culture medium and in the presence of compounds and 1 nM R1881. Following the incubation period, 150 μl of the media was taken from each well, and PSA levels were quantified using a Cobas e411 analyzer (Roche Applied Science) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The same instrument was used to analyze serum PSA from mice during the in vivo analysis.

Microarray Genetic Profile—LNCaP cells were grown for 24 h under the following four conditions: 1) DMSO without R1881; 2) DMSO with R1881 (1 nM); 3) compound 14449 at 400 nM with R1881; and 4) enzalutamide at 120 nM with R1881. Compound concentration followed approximately the IC50 concentration determined in luciferase reporter assays in Fig. 1. Each condition was repeated in triplicate. After 24 h, the total cellular mRNA was extracted from each of the 12 samples (four conditions three times), and the gene expression level of 50,737 transcripts was measured from custom Agilent microarrays. The gene expression data were quantile normalized across all the samples and transformed into a log2 scale. A two-sample t-test was performed on the expression level of each transcript between different sets of differentially expressed genes. The exact test and odds ratio were used to evaluate the overlap between different sets of differentially expressed genes.

Confluent Microscopy—Approximately 40,000 PC3 cells were seeded for 48 h on sterile coverslips placed within 12-well plates in RPMI 1640 medium with 5% CSS. Transfection of YFP-AR or YFP-V7 plasmids (100 ng per well) was performed using TT20 (3 μl) for 48 h. Cells were then treated with 10 nM R1881 and 25 μM compounds for 6 h. After aspiration of the media, cells were washed once with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C, followed by mounting on charged cover slides using DAPI mount (Vector Laboratories). Images were taken on a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal spinning disk microscope controlled with Zen 2012 software. YFP and DAPI were visualized with excitation wavelengths of 508 and 388 nm, respectively.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChiP)—Androgen-deprived LNCaP cells were treated for 24 h with DMSO alone, DMSO + R1881, or compounds + R1881. DNA-protein cross-linking was performed with 1% formaldehyde treatment for 10 min at room temperature and quenched with 125 mM glycine for 5 min. Cell lysates (1 × 107 cells/ml) were subjected to sonication with a Thermo Scientific 1/8-inch sonication probe and Sonic Dismembrator 550 instrument to yield DNA fragments of 200–1000 bp in size. Immunoprecipitation of lysates (3.3 × 106 cell eq) was performed with 5 μg of anti-AR-N20 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or 1 μg of rabbit isotype control IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) using an EZ-ChIP chromatin immunoprecipitation kit (Millipore). Bound DNA was quantified by quantitative PCR (SYBR Green master mix, Invitrogen) using the following primer sets: PSA enhancer, forward 5′-ATG GAG AAA GTG GCT GTG GC and reverse 5′-TGC AGT TGG TGA GTG GTC AT; FKBP5 enhancer, forward 5′-CCC CCC TAT TTT AAT CGG AGT AC and reverse 5′-TTT TTA GGA ACA GCA CAG AAC ACC CT; GAPDH promoter, forward 5′-TAC TAG CGG TTT TAC GGG CG and reverse 5′-TCG AAC AGG AGC AGA GAG CGA. The quantitative PCR results are presented as fold enrichment of PCR amplification over control IgG antibody and normalized based on the total input (nprecipitated chromatin). Primers for the GAPDH promoter were used as a negative control lacking any androgen-response element.

Purification of AR-DBD Proteins—The plasmid encoding the AR-DBD + hinge was transformed into BL21 (DE3). BL21 cells designated for expression of biotin-labeled AR-DBD + hinge were co-transformed with the Pan4 AR-DBD + hinge (amplillin selection) and biotin ligase expression vectors (pBir-Acm, chloramphenicol selection). Single colonies were grown in 2 liters of LB media supplemented with 50 μg/ml ampicillin and 35 μg/ml chloramphenicol (where appropriate) to A600 nm = 0.6 before induction with 0.1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside for 3 h at 37 °C. Cultures expressing the AR-DBD with the biotinylation sequence were simultaneously supplemented with 0.150 mM biotin during the induction step. Step pellets were resuspended in ~20 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol (Buffer A) supplemented with 10 mM imidazole and incubated with 0.1 mg/ml chicken egg white lysozyme (Sigma) and 0.1 mM PMSF protease inhibitor for 30 min on ice. Cell lysis was achieved by sonication, followed by centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was rotated with 2 ml of nickel-agarose beads (GE Healthcare) for 1 h at 4 °C and then directly loaded onto a Poly-Prep 10-ml gravity chromatography column (Bio-Rad). Washing was performed with 2 × 4 ml of Buffer A supplemented with 20 mM imidazole. Pure proteins were eluted in 500-μl fractions with 2 ml of Buffer A containing 250 mM imidazole.

EMSA (Gel Shift) Assays and Biolayer Interferometry Analysis—Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were performed using purified AR-DBD and dsDNA bearing the ARE2 sequence. The ARE was formed by annealing the following complementary oligonucleotides in H2O: upper strand, 5′-TAC AAA TAG GTT CTT GG AGTACT TTA CTAAGC ATG GAC AAT G, and lower strand, 5′-CAT TGT CCA T GCCCTAG TAA AGTACT CCA AGA ACC TAT TTG TA. Positions of hexameric AREs are underlined. Scrambled DNA was annealed from the following sequences: upper strand, 5′-TAA ACC GTG GTYCTGTCTGT ACT GCC TTT GTC GTA TTC TCA TTC GAT TTT, and lower strand, 5′-AAA ATC GAA TGG CAC GAA GGC AGT ACC AGG GAC CAC GTT TTA. Pro-
tein-DNA complexes were allowed to incubate on ice for 30 min in loading buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 μg/ml poly(dl-dC), 5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM/ml BSA, 5% glycerol, and 1 mM DTT), followed by electrophoresis on 6% native-PAGE in 1× TBE, pH 8.0. Visualization of protein-DNA complexes was performed with SyberSafe™ DNA staining dye.

Biolayer interferometry analysis on a ForteBio Octet Red instrument was carried out using biotinylated AR-DBD + hinge in Buffer A with 5% DMSO throughout all experiments. The DBD (0.1 mg/ml) was loaded onto streptavidin sensors in 200 μl of buffer for 30 min, followed by blocking of free streptavidin sites with biocytin (10 μg/ml) for 10 min. DBD-loaded sensors were then pre-equilibrated in 50 μM compound or 5% DMSO alone for 100 s in the same buffer. The kinetics of DNA association were monitored by moving sensors into wells containing dsDNA (ARE, 3 μM) supplemented with 50 μM compound for 120 s. This was followed by dissociation in buffer + compound, but lacking DNA, for an additional 120 s. Biocytin-blocked control sensors (no AR-DBD) were subjected to the same experimental conditions, and nonspecific interactions with dsDNA were subtracted from each curve.

Assessment of Tumor Growth and PSA for Castration-resistant LNCaP Xenografts—6–8-Week-old nude mice (Harlan Sprague-Dawley) weighing 25–31 g were subcutaneously inoculated with LNCaP cells (10⁶ cells in BD Matrigel, BD Biosciences) at the posterior dorsal site. Tumor volume, body weight, and serum PSA levels were measured weekly. When serum PSA levels reached more than 25 ng/ml, mice were castrated. When luciferase expression was not affected by enzalutamide, luciferase expression was not affected by VPC-14228 and only by high concentration (>25 μM) of VPC-14449 (Fig. 1, D and E). In contrast, pyrvinium strongly inhibited both AR mutants (Fig. 1, D and E), suggesting that the compound engages residues other than Tyr-594/Gln-592 in the surface-exposed pocket or instead binds to a different location on the DBD surface. Western blot analysis confirms that the expression of mutant AR proteins was not changed by drug inhibition (Fig. 1, D and E, lower panels). Introducing an acidic residue at these positions may prevent hydrophobic interactions necessary for supporting compound binding.

In addition to introducing a charged amino acid into the DBD, we also tested Y594A and Q592A mutants, both of which could be inhibited by VPC-14228/14449 but with significantly higher IC₅₀ values (Fig. 2, F and G, ~3–6 μM) compared with wild type AR (Fig. 1C). It is possible that removing the Gln or Tyr side chains creates additional space in the pocket to allow compound entry but reduced ability to inhibit AR activity. The resulting increase in IC₅₀ values further supports the importance of Tyr-594 and Gln-592 residues to compound binding that is compromised when their side chains are removed.

To determine whether our compounds cross-react with the DBDs of related nuclear receptors, we performed assays with full-length ER, GR, and PR (Fig. 2). Luciferase constructs contained the appropriate response region for the corresponding nuclear receptor with AR₉₉ conditioned for use for AR/GR/PR and estrogen-response element for ER. VPC-14228/14449 showed inhibition of ER transcripational activity at concentrations higher than 5 μM (Fig. 2, B and C, black bars) but were severalfold less effective when compared with inhibition of the AR (white bars). All three tested compounds were completely ineffective on the transcriptional activity of related DBDs (Fig. 1B) might allow discrimination of small molecules.

Using a luciferase reporter assay in PC3 cells driven by the ARR₉₉ probasin-based promoter (30), two compounds predicted to bind to the AR-DBD showed dose-dependent inhibition of the transiently expressed full-length human AR (Fig. 1C). Western blots against PARP confirm that compound treatment did not affect total PARP levels nor did it generate any PARP-cleaved product, with the exception of VPC-14337 (Fig. 1C, lower panel). These results indicate that pyrvinium (VPC-14337) strongly induces apoptosis, whereas our developed DBD inhibitors (14228/14449) exhibit little or no毒性.

To validate the site of action of AR-DBD binders, we introduced point mutations at residues that are predicted to interact with the lead compounds. Two positions (Tyr-594 and Gln-592) that were identified in the region with amino acid differences among related nuclear receptors (Fig. 1B) could bear aspartate substitutions without abolishing full-length AR activity. Whereas the Y594D and Q592D mutants could be inhibited by enzalutamide, luciferase expression was not affected by VPC-14228 and only by high concentration (≥25 μM) of VPC-14449 (Fig. 1, D and E). In contrast, pyrvinium strongly inhibited both AR mutants (Fig. 1, D and E), suggesting that the compound engages residues other than Tyr-594/Gln-592 in the surface-exposed pocket or instead binds to a different location on the DBD surface. Western blot analysis confirms that the expression of mutant AR proteins was not changed by drug inhibition (Fig. 1, D and E, lower panels). Introducing an acidic residue at these positions may prevent hydrophobic interactions necessary for supporting compound binding.

In addition to introducing a charged amino acid into the DBD, we also tested Y594A and Q592A mutants, both of which could be inhibited by VPC-14228/14449 but with significantly higher IC₅₀ values (Fig. 2, F and G, ~3–6 μM) compared with wild type AR (Fig. 1C). It is possible that removing the Gln or Tyr side chains creates additional space in the pocket to allow compound entry but reduced ability to inhibit AR activity. The resulting increase in IC₅₀ values further supports the importance of Tyr-594 and Gln-592 residues to compound binding that is compromised when their side chains are removed.

To determine whether our compounds cross-react with the DBDs of related nuclear receptors, we performed assays with full-length ER, GR, and PR (Fig. 2). Luciferase constructs contained the appropriate response region for the corresponding nuclear receptor with AR₉₉ conditioned for use for AR/GR/PR and estrogen-response element for ER. VPC-14228/14449 showed inhibition of ER transcriptional activity at concentrations higher than 5 μM (Fig. 2, B and C, black bars) but were severalfold less effective when compared with inhibition of the AR (white bars). All three tested compounds were completely ineffective on the transcriptional activity of related DBDs (Fig. 1B) might allow discrimination of small molecules.

Using a luciferase reporter assay in PC3 cells driven by the ARR₉₉ probasin-based promoter (30), two compounds predicted to bind to the AR-DBD showed dose-dependent inhibition of the transiently expressed full-length human AR (Fig. 1C). Western blots against PARP confirm that compound treatment did not affect total PARP levels nor did it generate any PARP-cleaved product, with the exception of VPC-14337 (Fig. 1C, lower panel). These results indicate that pyrvinium (VPC-14337) strongly induces apoptosis, whereas our developed DBD inhibitors (14228/14449) exhibit little or no toxicity.
of full-length GR and PR, even when administered at 25 μM concentration (Fig. 2, dark gray and light gray bars, respectively). Remarkably, enzalutamide showed considerable cross-reactivity against the full-length ER, approaching inhibition levels comparable with that against the AR (Fig. 2A, black bars). These data suggest that the developed compounds possess potent inhibitory effects against the AR, bind to the intended target site on the AR-DBD, and show little or no cross-reactivity.
DBD-interacting Compounds Down-regulate Expression of Androgen-responsive Genes in LNCaP Cells—To assess the ability of VPC-14228/14449 to block transcription of naturally occurring AR-regulated genes, LNCaP cells were treated simultaneously with R1881 and compounds, followed by measurement of secreted PSA (Fig. 3A). The results show a dose-dependent inhibition by VPC-14228/14449 and enzalutamide with corresponding IC50 values all established at sub-micromolar concentrations.

Using a chemo-genomic approach (31), a more extensive analysis of gene expression was conducted using the lead inhibitor VPC-14449. To determine any effect on the expression of androgen- or genotoxin-responsive genes, LNCaP cells were treated with VPC-14449 (400 nM) or enzalutamide (120 nM), in the presence of R1881, to compare transcriptional responses using Agilent gene expression microarrays (Fig. 3B). Several well known AR target genes (32, 33), which include KLK3 (PSA), KLK2, TMPRSS2, and FKBPS5, increased in gene expression under the presence of R1881 (comparing DMSO + R1881 against DMSO-R1881) with fold changes of 2.42, 3.60, 2.25, and 1.61, respectively. Following treatment with VPC-14449 + R1881, gene expressions of KLK3, KLK2, and TMPRSS2 were all reduced significantly with fold changes of 0.82, 0.66, and 0.69, respectively, as compared with R1881 treatment only. The reduction of expression of these AR target genes by VPC-14449 is comparable with that by enzalutamide with fold changes of 0.71, 0.64, and 0.62. There was a decrease (fold change 0.81) of FKBPS5 expression by VPC-14449; however, the p value of 0.08 did not meet the 0.05 cutoff based on the two-sample t test, likely due to the small sample size. Both VPC compounds and enzalutamide showed no effect on the expression of a non-androgen regulated gene, β-actin (ACTB).

To identify other down-regulated genes, the following criteria were applied to all the 50,737 transcripts measured on the Agilent microarrays: 1) p value <0.05 based on two-sample t test and 2) fold change ≤0.85. A total of 354 genes were down-regulated by VPC-14449, among which 112 were also down-regulated by enzalutamide (Fig. 3C). The overlap of down-regulated genes between the two compounds was significant with a p value of Fisher’s exact test less than 2.20E-16 and odds ratio of 45.86. In addition, the list of down-regulated genes by either VPC-14449 and/or enzalutamide was compared with a total of 86 genes that have been previously shown to be up-regulated by androgens in LNCaP cells (32). Fig. 3C further illustrates that 12 of the 354 down-regulated genes by VPC-14449 are up-regulated by androgens (significant overlap: p value = 2.73E-08, odds ratio = 9.55), and 15 of the 314 down-regulated genes by enzalutamide are up-regulated by androgens (significant overlap: p value = 3.34E-12, odds ratio = 14.27). The genes KLK2, KLK3 (PSA), and TMPRSS2 are among 10 genes that are up-regulated by androgens, but down-regulated by both VPC-14449 and enzalutamide. The two sets of mutually exclusive down-regulated genes resulting from VPC-14449 or enzalutamide treatment indicates profoundly different mechanisms of action of each compound to inhibit AR signaling.

Finally, to identify any potential genotoxic effect from the two chemicals, up-regulated genes by either VPC-14449 or enzalutamide were compared with a list of 31 genes, the expression of which has previously been shown to increase in the presence of genotoxins (34). This set of genotoxin-responsive...
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FIGURE 3. Effect of DBD-interacting compounds on expression of AR target genes. A, LNCaP cells were treated with 1 nM R1881 and compounds for 2 days at the indicated concentrations. Secreted PSA was quantified by analyzing 150 μL of cell culture media from each well from two independent experiments. B, gene expression changes of AR target genes and a non-androgen-responsive gene (β-actin, ACTB) in the presence of R1881, compound 14449, and enzalutamide (Enz). * = significant reduction in gene expressions (p value < 0.05) based on two-sample t-test between 14449 + R1881 and DMSO + R1881 and between enzalutamide + R1881 and DMSO + R1881. C, overlap among three gene sets: 1) down-regulated genes by compound 14449; 2) down-regulated genes by enzalutamide; and 3) previously published androgen-up-regulated genes. Individual androgen-up-regulated genes in the overlapping regions are shown.

genes includes a number of p53 target genes and others involved in apoptosis, DNA repair, DNA damage response, and stress response. None of the genotoxin-responsive genes showed any significant change of expression by VPC-14449. Three known representative genes that were unaffected included CASP1 (caspase 1, apoptosis, fold change = 1.00, p value = 0.73), XPC (xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group C, DNA repair, fold change = 1.03, p value = 0.59), and ATF3 (activating transcription factor 3, stress response, fold change 0.99, p value = 0.89). Collectively, the results demonstrate that the developed AR DBD inhibitors can significantly down-regulate expression of known AR-regulated genes to levels comparable with that by enzalutamide, with no cytotoxicity induced.

DBD-interacting Compounds Inhibit Transcriptional Activity of AR Splice Variants—Because most AR splice variants retain the DBD domain, we tested for inhibition of the transcriptional activity of AR-V7. Using the same luciferase reporter assay, the activity of transiently expressed AR-V7 was reduced with increasing concentrations of VPC-14228/14449 or pyrvinium without altering AR-V7 expression (Fig. 4A). Control experiments with enzalutamide showed no effect on AR-V7 activity (Fig. 4A) and are consistent with the absence of the LBD from this variant. Notably, the compounds did not achieve complete inhibition and were less effective against the LBD from this variant. Notably, the compounds did not achieve complete inhibition and were less effective against the LBD from this variant.

Transient AR-V7 expression may not reflect physiological protein concentrations in cells. To investigate the effect of our compounds on endogenous expression levels, we used a pair of isogenic cell lines that express either full-length AR (R1-AD1) or the AR v567es variant (R1-D567). R1-D567 cells were derived from the R1-AD1 cell line by TALEN-mediated deletion of AR exons 5–7, reflecting an AR gene rearrangement discovered in patient-derived LuCaP 86.2 xenograft tissue (22). Following transfection of ARR3tk-luciferase plasmid into these cell lines, VPC-14228/14449 could inhibit both wild type and AR v567es transcriptional activity with increasing concentrations (Fig. 4, C and D). Western blots demonstrate no effect of the compounds on protein expression of either form of the AR (Fig. 4E). We also performed Western blots for the naturally occurring AR-regulated FK506-binding protein 5 (FKBP5). FKBP5 protein expression was reduced in R1-AD1 and R1-D567 cells following treatment with VPC-14228/14449, whereas enzalutamide treatment only affected R1-AD1 cells expressing the full-length AR (Fig. 4F). These results agree with the observed reduction in FKBP5 mRNA levels after siRNA knockdown of v567es in R1-D567 cells (22).

DBD-interacting Compounds Do Not Impede AR Nuclear Translocation—Enzalutamide and other inhibitors of the AR-LBD are thought to block nuclear localization of the AR, thereby preventing it from initiating transcription (35, 36). In contrast to this mechanism of conventional anti-androgens, we predicted that DBD-interacting compounds would exert their effect on nuclear AR. To test this idea, we transfected PC3 cells with plasmids encoding YFP-tagged full-length AR (yellow fluorescent protein, YFP-AR, see Ref. 28) and splice variant AR-V7 (YFP-V7). Both YFP-AR and YFP-V7 were able to drive luciferase expression and could be inhibited by VPC-14228/14449, demonstrating that the YFP tag did not affect AR transcriptional activity or compound inhibition (Fig. 5A). Upon treatment with R1881 and enzalutamide, confocal microscopy images revealed considerable levels of YFP-AR in the cytosol compared with control experiments (Fig. 5B). Conversely,
VPC-14228/14449 did not prevent R1881-stimulated nuclear localization of YFP-AR with no fluorescence signal observed in the cytosol (Fig. 5B). Control experiments show that enzalutamide or VPC-14228/14449 could not stimulate any nuclear localization in the absence of R1881 (Fig. 5B). YFP-V7 completely localized in the nucleus under all conditions, even without R1881 (Fig. 5C), which agrees with the known property of splice variants to spontaneously undergo nuclear translocation (18). Together, these results suggest that our compounds influence the activity of the AR and its splice variants inside the cell nucleus, consistent with directly affecting DBD functions.

**Compounds Diminish DNA Binding by the AR at the Chromatin Level and in Vitro**—Because the developed AR DBD inhibitors are predicted to bind near the protein-DNA interface on the AR-DBD, the compounds should affect AR binding to the enhancers (bearing AREs) of androgen-regulated genes. Following ChIP analysis (AR-N20 antibody) of chromatin from LNCaP cells treated with R1881 and compounds, both VPC-
14428 and VPC-14449 reduced AR pulldown of the PSA and FKBP5 enhancer compared with R1881 treatment alone (Fig. 6A). Control experiments revealed no pull down of the enhancers in the absence of R1881, after enzalutamide treatment or under any condition with the GAPDH promoter negative control. Given that our compounds do not block nuclear translocation (Fig. 5), the ChIP results suggest VPC-14228/14449 blocks the interaction of the AR with androgen-response elements in the nucleus.

To directly probe DNA interactions, we explored the binding of recombinant AR-DBD with an oligonucleotide containing two hexameric AREs. Purified human AR-DBD and hinge region (residues 558–689, AR-DBD + hinge) were incubated with ARE 42-bp double-stranded DNAs (dsDNA) and analyzed by native-PAGE. The protein was able discriminate between the ARE and a scrambled control (Fig. 6B), but VPC-14228/14449 could not prevent protein-DNA complex formation (Fig. 6C). We speculated that gel shift may be insufficient to detect small but significant changes in DNA binding because the acrylamide matrix might dissociate the hydrophobic compound from the protein surface. To circumvent this limitation, we used a biotinylated AR-DBD linked to streptavidin-coated sensors for use in biolayer interferometry analysis. The biotinylated DBD was exposed to the ARE oligonucleotide in the presence of DMSO or compounds. The observed association kinetics revealed a significantly slower rate of dsDNA binding in the presence of VPC-14228/14449 as compared with enzalutamide and DMSO controls, although dissociation remained relatively unchanged (Fig. 6D). The same experiment performed with the biotinylated AR-DBD bearing the Y594D mutation revealed the...
inability of VPC-14228/14449 to affect either association or dissociation of dsDNA (Fig. 6E). Thus, our compounds weaken protein-DNA interactions in vitro, and this reduction in binding can be neutralized by mutagenesis of the surface-exposed pocket on the AR-DBD.

**VPC-14449 Reduces Tumor Volume and Blocks PSA Production in Mice**—The effect of compound VPC-14449 was evaluated in mice by monitoring the growth of androgen-sensitive LNCaP xenografts. Initial experiments demonstrated no systemic toxicity and doses of up to 100 mg/kg administered i.p. twice daily could be tolerated by the mice with no decrease in body weight over a total duration 4 weeks. The in vivo screening for tumor growth inhibition was initially performed using our previously established castration-resistant tumor xenograft model (37–40) in castrated hosts (41, 42). Post-castration, animals were monitored for regrowth of LNCaP tumors and pre-castration levels of serum PSA, at which point the mice were treated with compound VPC-14449 (100 mg/kg) or enzalutamide (10 mg/kg) twice daily. Tumor volume and serum PSA were effectively suppressed by VPC-14449 in this model comparable with enzalutamide treatment (Fig. 7, A and B). The results demonstrate that compound VPC-14449 is as effective as enzalutamide in blocking androgen signaling in vivo. Thus, DBD inhibitor prototypes may yield useful AR targeting drugs that could provide benefit in treating castration-resistant prostate cancer patients.

**DISCUSSION**

There has been little development of inhibitors that specifically target the NTD or DBD of the AR (2, 43). Sadar and co-workers (44) reported on the high throughput screening-based discovery of EPI-001, a small molecule that can interact with AR-NTD to inhibit transcriptional activity and reduce tumor volume of LNCaP xenografts. However, given that no crystal structure of the AR-NTD has been solved, further development of this drug cannot be aided by rational design. Similarly, reported strategies to inhibit AR-DBD function did not utilize its available crystal structure, and instead it relied upon targeting of androgen-response elements with polyamide mimics that can anneal to specific DNA sequences and block AR recruitment (45). Here, we capitalize on the known structural information on the AR-DBD to target the androgen receptor.
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We demonstrate a dramatic difference between the nuclear localization profile of YFP-AR in the presence of a known anti-androgen (enzalutamide) and VPC-14228/14449 (Fig. 5B). Although unimpeded nuclear localization does not strictly rule out VPC-14228/14449 action upon the LBD, it clearly illustrates a different mechanism than enzalutamide activity, which promoted significant retention of the YFP-AR in the cytosol, likely by displacing R1881 and altering the AR protein structure (18, 47). It also suggests that VPC-14228/14449 action must occur in the nucleus, a requirement to disrupt protein-DNA interactions. The fact that YFP-V7 completely localized to the nucleus in the presence or absence of drugs (Fig. 5C) also agrees with a previous report indicating that enzalutamide could not cause AR-V7 to re-enter the cytosol (18).

The observed nuclear localization dynamics agree with the ability of the compounds to affect AR binding to chromatin or to alter association of dsDNA with the purified AR-LBD (Fig. 6). VPC-14228/14449 may not totally abolish DNA binding, but rather weakens or modulates the binding in such a way as to prevent transcriptional activation by nuclear AR. Clarifying the exact mode of interference of AR binding to androgen-response elements by VPC-14228/14449 will be an important area of investigation.

Finally, we showed that compound VPC-14449 has favorable therapeutic characteristics in vivo (Fig. 7). In addition to having no observable toxic effect on animals, both tumor volume and PSA expression were inhibited to levels comparable with enzalutamide treatment. Thus, targeting DNA binding by the AR can be as effective in vivo as preventing nuclear translocation by enzalutamide (47) or by blocking co-factor recruitment at the AR-NTD by EPI-001 (44). The ability for VPC-14449 to affect tumor xenografts from a variety of other cell lines, both androgen-sensitive and -independent, is currently underway.

Recently, the compound pyrvinium pamoate (VPC-14337) was reported to inhibit the transcriptional activity of full-length/splice variant ARs (29). Modeling of the AR-LBD-DNA interface (Protein Data Bank code 1R4I) was used to rationalize directly and demonstrate specific inhibition of transcriptional activity despite high sequence conservation with other receptors. Related DBD domains from ER, GR, and PR may have enough structural differences with the AR-DBD such that the surface-exposed pocket is either changed or absent. Remarkably, Gln-592 of the AR is not conserved with any other related nuclear receptor (Fig. 1B). This residue, along with Tyr-594, might contribute to the unique shape and chemistry of the surface-exposed pocket on the DBD, and consequently, when mutated, counteracts drug inhibition.

The properties of a surface-exposed or buried pocket may predictably be altered through mutagenesis. For example, a single amino acid substitution of T877A converts AR antagonists into agonists (46), and this conversion can be rationalized by crystal structures of AR-LBD in complex with drugs (2). Here, substitution of aspartic acid or alanine residues at Tyr-594 and Gln-592 of the AR had a dramatic effect on the ability of two compounds to inhibit AR transcriptional activity, providing compelling evidence for action upon the DBD domain (Fig. 1). Possible interactions between the compounds and other domains of the AR are not excluded, but the fact that the transcriptional activity of splice variants could be affected (Fig. 4) strongly argues for some preference toward the DBD. Notably, VPC-14449 displayed inhibition of Y594D and Q592D mutants at ≥25 μM concentration (Fig. 1, D and E), suggesting that either the mutations shift the binding equilibrium of the compound or indeed that VPC-14449 is able to engage the LBD or NTD domains as secondary targets.

The inhibitory effect of VPC-14228/14449 was weaker against the splice variants when compared with the full-length AR. A maximum of 70–90% inhibition for transiently expressed V7 (Fig. 4A) and 50–70% for endogenously produced V567es (Fig. 4D) could be achieved. These levels of inhibition are similar to that of EPI-001, which could achieve ~80% inhibition of the AR(1–653) truncation mutant, lacking the LBD, when tested at 25 μM concentration on PC3 cells co-transfected with ARR3tk-luciferase reporter (44).

We demonstrate a dramatic difference between the nuclear localization profile of YFP-AR in the presence of a known anti-androgen (enzalutamide) and VPC-14228/14449 (Fig. 5B). Although unimpeded nuclear localization does not strictly rule out VPC-14228/14449 action upon the LBD, it clearly illustrates a different mechanism than enzalutamide activity, which promoted significant retention of the YFP-AR in the cytosol, likely by displacing R1881 and altering the AR protein structure (18, 47). It also suggests that VPC-14228/14449 action must occur in the nucleus, a requirement to disrupt protein-DNA interactions. The fact that YFP-V7 completely localized to the nucleus in the presence or absence of drugs (Fig. 5C) also agrees with a previous report indicating that enzalutamide could not cause AR-V7 to re-enter the cytosol (18).

The observed nuclear localization dynamics agree with the ability of the compounds to affect AR binding to chromatin or to alter association of dsDNA with the purified AR-LBD (Fig. 6). VPC-14228/14449 may not totally abolish DNA binding, but rather weakens or modulates the binding in such a way as to prevent transcriptional activation by nuclear AR. Clarifying the exact mode of interference of AR binding to androgen-response elements by VPC-14228/14449 will be an important area of investigation.

Finally, we showed that compound VPC-14449 has favorable therapeutic characteristics in vivo (Fig. 7). In addition to having no observable toxic effect on animals, both tumor volume and PSA expression were inhibited to levels comparable with enzalutamide treatment. Thus, targeting DNA binding by the AR can be as effective in vivo as preventing nuclear translocation by enzalutamide (47) or by blocking co-factor recruitment at the AR-NTD by EPI-001 (44). The ability for VPC-14449 to affect tumor xenografts from a variety of other cell lines, both androgen-sensitive and -independent, is currently underway.

Recently, the compound pyrvinium pamoate (VPC-14337) was reported to inhibit the transcriptional activity of full-length/splice variant ARs (29). Modeling of the AR-LBD-DNA interface (Protein Data Bank code 1R4I) was used to rationalize
that pyrvinium interacts with the same surface-exposed pocket that is proposed here but in the conserved area of Lys-610 to Pro-613 (see Fig. 1B) possibly explaining the cross-reactivity of this compound with ER and GR (29). Direct evidence for an interaction with this pocket was not given, but replacing the DBD on the full-length AR with the LexA protein prevented drug inhibition, suggesting that pyrvinium binds somewhere on the DBD (29). Here, we revealed the general toxicity of pyrvinium (Fig. 1C) and the inability to inhibit the AR bearing the Q592D and Y594D mutations. Although pyrvinium strongly inhibits the androgen signaling pathway, its cross-reactivity with other nuclear receptors and disruption of Wnt/β-caten signaling by binding at nanomolar concentrations to casein kinase family members (48) makes the suitability for specific AR inhibition unclear, at least until less promiscuous derivatives of the compound are developed.

The identification of specific AR-DBD inhibitors with activity toward AR splice variants has excellent potential for treatment of enzalutamide-resistant or AR-variant driven castration-resistant PCA. This study will facilitate the development of DBD inhibitors with greater potency and stability for testing with in vitro and in vivo models of prostate cancer.
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