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Prenatal cocaine exposure has been linked to neurocognitive and developmental outcomes throughout childhood. The cardiovascular toxicity of cocaine is also markedly increased in pregnancy, but it is unknown whether this toxicity affects anthropometric growth and the development of cardiometabolic disease risk factors in the offspring across the lifespan. During the early 1990s, the Miami Prenatal Cocaine Study enrolled a cohort of 476 African American children (253 cocaine-exposed, 223 non-cocaine-exposed) and their biological mothers at delivery in a prospective, longitudinal study. The MPCS has collected 12 prior waves of multidomain data on over 400 infants and their mothers/alternate caregivers through mid-adolescence and is now embarking on an additional wave of data collection at ages 18-19 years. We describe here the analytical methods for examining the relationship between prenatal cocaine exposure, anthropometric growth, and cardiometabolic disease risk factors in late adolescence in this minority, urban cohort. Findings from this investigation should inform both the fields of substance use and cardiovascular research about subsequent risks of cocaine ingestion during pregnancy in offspring.

1. Introduction

Prenatal cocaine exposure (PCE) has been linked to numerous adverse effects throughout childhood, including cognitive, language, neurodevelopmental, and behavioral deficits [1–5]. Although the implications of PCE on neurological and behavioral health have been rather extensively studied, the implications of PCE on physical health, especially in late adolescence, have not been emphasized.

The cardiovascular toxicity of cocaine is markedly increased in both mother and child during pregnancy [6, 7]. Maternal complications of cocaine use during pregnancy include premature labor, placental abruption, uterine rupture, cardiac dysrhythmias, hepatic rupture, cerebral ischemia or infarction, and death [6–9]. Cardiac manifestations in children exposed to cocaine in utero include arrhythmias and malformations, such as atrial and ventricular septal defects, hypoplastic right or left heart, absent ventricle [10],
coarctation of the aorta, aortic valve prolapse, patent ductus arteriosus, and peripheral pulmonary stenosis [11]. In some children, these cardiovascular abnormalities are associated with congestive heart failure, cardiореарорару arrest, and death [10–14].

Given these cocaine-related cardiovascular complications in infancy, it is possible that PCE also affects growth and the development of cardiometabolic disease risk factors, such as elevated blood pressure, lipids, C-reactive protein (CRP), and insulin insensitivity, later in life. Heart disease and diabetes are both major causes of mortality (ranked first and sixth, resp.) in the United States, killing more than 700,000 people each year [15]. Almost 5% of women report using an illicit drug, including cocaine, during pregnancy (and almost 11% of nonpregnant women in the past month) [16], thereby exposing tens of thousands of fetuses to cocaine each year. If PCE does, in fact, have cardiovascular implications later in life, the personal and public health consequences could be serious.

Thus, there is a compelling need for assessing the potential impact of in utero cocaine exposure on cardiometabolic health over the lifespan. This paper describes the study design and methods for such a longitudinal study using data from the prospective and ongoing Miami Prenatal Cocaine Study (MPCS) cohort. Assessments from birth to mid-adolescence were funded by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA; R01 DA 006556). The 16/17-year assessments and ongoing 18/19-year assessments of this cohort have been supported by a clinical project, “Sex and Gender Influences on Drug Involvement in Adolescence,” conductеd within the University of Miami’s Specialized Center of Research (SCOR) on Addiction and Health in Women, Children, and Adolescents, which is co-funded by the Office of Research on Women’s Health (ORWH) and NIDA (P50 DA 024584).

In the current study, the MPCS and SCOR data are being augmented with additional measures and analyses to determine whether PCE is associated with long-term perturbations in anthropometric growth and the development of risk factors for cardiometabolic disease in late adolescence. The design and methods for this investigation, which is supported by a NIDA Mentored Career Development Award to the first author (K01 DA 026993), is the subject of this report. The results will be published separately.

2. Research Design and Methods

Children and adolescents enrolled in the Miami Prenatal Cocaine Study comprise one of the oldest, largest, and well-characterized, single-site cohorts of this type in the nation. During 33 months in the early 1990s, 476 African American infants (253 cocaine-exposed and 223 non-cocaine-exposed) were enrolled in the prospective study at delivery (Table 1). At enrollment, the biological mothers participated in a detailed, confidential postpartum interview about their drug use and gave permission for collection of maternal and infant urine and meconium for assays of cocaine and other drugs (Table 2). This longitudinal study has been approved annually by the Institutional Review Board and is conducted under a federal Department of Health and Human Services Certificate of Confidentiality.

The MPCS sample is relatively homogeneous with respect to important demographic factors (e.g., African American race-ethnicity, full-term gestational age, urban delivery setting, and low socioeconomic status). Comprehensive and standardized assessments of developmental, neuropsychological, educational, behavioral, and social-environmental domains at 12 time points between birth and age 16 or 17 years permit making longitudinal interpretations.

The main MPCS protocol has been focused on the suspected neurodevelopmental outcomes (Table 3), and the related SCOR clinical project, which includes follow-up visits at ages 16 or 17 years and at ages 18 or 19 years, primarily examines sex differences in adolescent drug involvement in the original MPCS cohort (Tables 4 and 5). The current subprotocol (K01 DA 026993) is focusing on assessing anthropometric growth and cardiometabolic disease risk factors. Specifically, we will compare prenatally cocaine-exposed and nonexposed 18- to 19-year-olds on anthropometric measures (height, weight, body mass index, waist circumference, and body composition) and cardiometabolic risk factors (fasting glucose, insulin, lipids, highly sensitive (hs) CRP, and blood pressure). We will then determine the specific effects of both prenatal and postnatal cocaine exposures in a repeated-measures multivariate analysis over the entire period, controlling for effect modifiers (e.g., prenatal exposure to alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, stress, anxiety, and depression in the adolescents and their mothers or alternate primary caregivers as measured by the batteries included in Tables 3–5) on overweight (≥85th percentile for body mass index (BMI) for age and sex) and obesity (≥95th percentile for BMI for age and sex), underweight, and the presence of risk factors for metabolic syndrome, a condition defined as the presence of three or more of the cardiometabolic risk factors listed.

Below, we summarize the MPCS, which forms the centerpiece for this project, and describe the subprotocol methodology for adding cardiometabolic disease risk factor assessments of 18- to 19-year-olds.

2.1. The Miami Prenatal Cocaine Study. The MPCS is an ongoing, NIDA-funded investigation of the effects of maternal gestational use of cocaine and other drugs on multidomain outcomes of the offspring from birth through adolescence. The original cohort consisted of 476 full-term infants enrolled prospectively at birth (253 cocaine-exposed and 223 non-cocaine-exposed, of whom 147 were drug-free and 76 were exposed to varying combinations and amounts of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana). Biological mothers of these follow-up participants were negative for HIV infection at enrollment and had no evidence of opioid, amphetamine, barbiturate, benzodiazepine, or phencyclidine use during pregnancy.

The cohort was drawn from a survey of 1,505 African American women delivering full-term infants at the University of Miami Jackson Memorial Hospital between 1990
Table 1: Characteristics of infants with and without prenatal cocaine exposure and their mothers at enrollment in the Miami Prenatal Cocaine Study.

| Characteristic                          | Non-cocaine-exposed infants (n = 223) | Cocaine-exposed infants (n = 253) |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Maternal characteristics               |                                       |                                  |
| Maternal age, mean (SD), y*            | 23.8 (5.4)                           | 28.7 (4.8)                       |
| Education, mean (SD), y                | 11.3 (1.4)                           | 11.2 (1.5)                       |
| Unemployed, %*                         | 82                                   | 95                               |
| Never married, %                       | 89                                   | 90                               |
| Primigravida, %*                       | 23                                   | 6                                |
| Prenatal care ≥ 4 visits, %*           | 83                                   | 68                               |
| Infant characteristics                 |                                       |                                  |
| Birth weight, mean (SD), g*            | 3303 (504)                           | 2971 (474)                       |
| Birth length, mean (SD), cm*           | 50.7 (2.3)                           | 48.9 (2.5)                       |
| Birth head circumference, mean (SD), cm| 33.8 (1.5)                           | 33.0 (1.6)                       |
| Gestational age, mean (SD), weeks*     | 39.7 (1.4)                           | 39.4 (1.4)                       |
| Male, %                                | 50                                   | 48                               |

*P < 0.01.

Table 2: Self-reported drug use during pregnancy among women giving birth to infants with or without prenatal cocaine exposure in the Miami Prenatal Cocaine Study.

| Drug                        | Mothers of non-cocaine-exposed infants (n = 223) | Mothers of cocaine-exposed infants (n = 253) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Alcohol (number of drinks)† | 54 (2 to 1680)                                    | 96 (1 to 5226)                                |
| Tobacco (number of cigarettes)‡ | 854 (1 to 5880)                                  | 2184 (1 to 8820)                             |
| Marijuana (number of joints)‡ | 28 (1 to 807)                                    | 24 (1 to 1320)                               |
| Cocaine/crack (number of lines/rocks) | · · ·                                            | 134 (1 to 19600)                             |

† P < 0.01, between-group comparisons of percentage of maternal drug use (columns 2 and 4).
‡ P < 0.05, between-group comparisons of median maternal drug use (columns 1 and 3).

2.2. Measures of Prenatal Drug Exposure at Birth

2.2.1. Maternal Self-Report. A structured postpartum interview to ascertain maternal drug use was conducted by separate research staff distinct from the infant and child assessment examiners. To enhance timeline recall, periods were outlined and anchored to important calendar dates. Drug use during each trimester of pregnancy was assessed with a standardized, structured interview. Questions for each trimester included the number of weeks the drug was used, the usual number of days per week, and the usual dose per day for each drug of interest. Dosage was recorded in number of cigarettes smoked per day, number of marijuana joints smoked per day, and number of standard drinks for each type of alcohol (i.e., beer 12 oz., wine 5 oz., and liquor 1.5 oz.) as defined by Schneiderman [91]. Cocaine dosage was recorded as the number of rocks of crack cocaine or lines of powder cocaine used per day.

Total drug exposure was calculated for each drug in the prepregnancy, trimester-specific, and total pregnancy periods by multiplying the usual dosage per day by the usual number of days per week by the number of weeks used in each period of interest. In the analyses for the current study of growth and cardiometabolic risk, total pregnancy exposure to alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana will be used as covariates. Self-reported cocaine use and toxicology data were used to determine group assignment (Table 2). In addition, a latent construct, also based on self-report and toxicology data, has been used to indicate the level or severity of cocaine exposure for analytic purposes [1].

2.2.2. Biological Markers of Cocaine Use. Urine and meconium samples were initially screened with EMIT for the cocaine metabolite, benzoylecgonine (BE), at a cut-off of 150 ng/mL in urine and 150 ng/g in meconium. Positive
| Test | Description                                      |
|------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Development, cognition, and achievement** |
| 3-year exam | 5-year exam | 7-year exam | 12-year exam (2 days) |
| McCarthy Scales of Children's Abilities [17] | Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scales of Intelligence-Revised (WPPSI-R) [18] | Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-3rd Edition (WISC-III) [21] | WISC-III [21] |
| Peabody Developmental Motor Scales [19] | Peabody Developmental Motor Scales [19] | Wechsler Individual Achievement Test (WIAT) [22] | WLIAT-II [23] |
| Developmental Test of Visual-Motor Integration [20] | Developmental Test of Visual Motor Integration [20] | Guide to Assessment of Test Session Behavior (GATSB) [24] | Guide to Assessment of Test Session Behavior (GATSB) [24] |
| **Language** |
| **Neuropsychological functioning** |
| CELF-P [25] | CELF-P [25] | CELF-3 [28] | Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing [29] |
| Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test-R [26] | Woodcock-Johnson Test of Cognitive Ability—Auditory Processing [27] | SCAN-A [30] | SCAN-A [30] |
| **Behavioral health** |
| **Child measures** |
| Child measures | Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA) [42] | Child measures | Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA) [42] |
| Exploratory Box [40] | Delay Task [43] | Conners CPT (attention) [44] | Conners CPT (attention) [44] |
| Mastery Motivation [41] | Tester Behavior Ratings | Tester Behavior Ratings | Tester Behavior Ratings |
| Tester Behavior Ratings | | | |
| | Caregiver report | Caregiver report | Caregiver report |
| Child measures | Caregiver report | Caregiver report | Caregiver report |
| Achenbach CBCL 4/18-Parent [52] | Diagnostic Inventory for Children and Adolescents (DICA) v.4 [55] | Achenbach CBCL-Parent [52] | Achenbach Youth Self-Report (YSR) [46] |
| Computerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children (C-DISC) [53] | | | Achenbach CBCL-Parent [52] |
| Scales of Independent Behavior-Revised (SIB-R) [54] | | | Achenbach Youth Self-Report (YSR) [46] |
| | Achenbach CBCL-Parent [52] | Achenbach CBCL-Parent [52] | Achenbach CBCL-Parent [52] |
| **Parent child interaction** |
| Free play & toy pick-up [59] | The Teaching Tasks [59] | | |
| Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (H.O.M.E.) [60] | | | |
| **Child risk factors** |
| Things I Have Seen and Heard: A Violence Exposure Interview [61] | Things I Have Seen and Heard [61] | “What's Happening?” Interview [63] | Sensation Seeking Scale [64] |

*Table 3: Miami Prenatal Cocaine Study multidomain assessments from preschool to early adolescence.*
Table 4: Behavioral health assessments of the adolescents and caregivers at the 16/17-year visit.

| Domain                                      | Behavioral health measures                                                                 | Subject report or source | Caregiver report or source |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Drug involvement                            | Communities That Care Youth Survey (CTC) with supplemental drug involvement questions [62]  | ×                        |                            |
| Risk and protective factors                 | Toxicology assays (hair and urine)                                                        | ×                        |                            |
| Stress and coping                           | Trier Social Stress Test-Children (TSST-C) [65]                                            | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Life Events Questionnaire-Adolescence (LEQ-A) [66]                                         | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Urban Hassles Index (UHI) [67]                                                             | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Adolescent Coping Orientation for Problem Experiences (ACOPE) [68]                         | ×                        |                            |
| Psychological status                        | DISC Predictive Scales (DPS) DSM-IV Diagnoses-Adolescent measure [49]                      | ×                        | ×                          |
| emotional, behavioral, and adaptive functioning | Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist 4–18 (CBCL) [69]                                         | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Achenbach Youth Self-Report (YSR) [69]                                                    | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI) [70]                                                    | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) [71]                                                          | ×                        |                            |
| Self-esteem                                 | Harter Self-Perception Profile for Adolescents (SPPA) [72]                                 | ×                        |                            |
| Risk-taking propensity                      | Balloon Analogue Risk Task (BART) [73]                                                     | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Wall Task [74]                                                                             | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Delay Discounting [75–77]                                                                  | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Sensation Seeking Scale (from Zuckerman-Kuhlman Personality Questionnaire, ZKPQ) [64]      | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Eysenck Impulsivity Scale [78]                                                            | ×                        |                            |
| Reward decision making                      | Wheel of Fortune [79]                                                                     | ×                        |                            |
| Risky sexual behavior                       | Adolescent Sexual Activity Index (ASAI, modified) [80]                                     | ×                        |                            |
| Health and development                      | Physical exam, growth, and self-report pubertal staging [81, 82]                           | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Perinatal CARE Program Adolescent Health and Service Utilization Survey                    | ×                        | ×                          |
| Psychosocial/demographic history            | Perinatal CARE Program Psychosocial-Adolescent Version                                     | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Perinatal CARE Program Psychosocial Interview-Parent Version                               | ×                        |                            |
| Caregiver drug use                          | Addiction Severity Index-5th Edition: Alcohol/Drug Section (modified) [83]                  | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Toxicology assays (hair and urine)                                                        | ×                        |                            |
| Caregiver psychological status              | Beck Anxiety Scale (BAI) [71]                                                              | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI) [70]                                                    | ×                        |                            |
|                                             | Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) [84]                                                  | ×                        |                            |
| Family functioning                          | Family Environment Scale (FES) [85]                                                        | ×                        |                            |
| Parental monitoring and neighborhood characteristics | “What’s Happening?” Interview[63]                                                         | ×                        |                            |
| Violence exposure                           | NIMH Survey of Exposure to Community Violence [86]                                         | ×                        |                            |

assays were confirmed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry [92]. Urine specimens were screened with EMIT for marijuana (cannabinoids), opiates, amphetamines, barbiturates, benzodiazepines, and phencyclidine. Meconium specimens were also assayed by EMIT for marijuana and opiates. Among follow-up participants, 100% had at least one of the three delivery biological specimens, 96% had at least two, and 68% had all three.
2.3. Neurodevelopmental Measures. Infant neurobehavior and development were assessed with the Brazelton Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale [93] at birth and 1 month of age and with the Bayley Scales of Infant Development [94] at 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 months of age. Cranial ultrasound was performed at birth and at 1 and 4 months of age. Preschool children between 3 and 5 years of age were assessed via standardized measures for cognition; language development; emerging self-regulation of attentional, behavioral, and emotional processes; motor skills; and the quality of the caregiving environment [95–98]. At ages 7 and 12 years, participants’ neuropsychological functioning (attention, executive functioning, memory), language skills, and behavior regulation were assessed. The quality of the environment was assessed by collecting data on caregiver substance use and psychological functioning, parenting qualities, family functioning, exposure to violence, and neighborhood economic conditions (Table 3) [17–64]. As part of the SCOR clinical project, the MPCS cohort was also assessed at ages 16 or 17 (Table 4) [65–86] and (currently) at 18 or 19 years (Table 5) [62, 70, 71, 80, 83, 87–90].

Assessments used in the 16/17- and 18/19-year visits measure major domains of drug involvement, stress and coping, risk-taking propensity, and reward decision making, along with several others (see Tables 4 and 5). Details of selected standardized measures administered at one or both of the adolescent visits are as follows.

### Drug Involvement
The Communities That Care Youth Survey [62] assesses youth report of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use and evaluates risk and protective factors often related to substance use within the domains of family, peers, school, and community.

### Stress and Coping
The Trier Social Stress Test-Children (TSST-C) [65] assesses physiological reactivity to an acute stressor. This task involves 5 minutes each of preparation, public speaking, and a mental arithmetic task. Salivary-free cortisol levels are assessed at 5 intervals: prior to the task, immediately following the task, and at 3 10-minute intervals thereafter. The Life Events Questionnaire-Adolescence (LEQA) [66] is a 67-item questionnaire composed of statements briefly describing life events, which the respondent rates as occurring or not in the past year (yes/no). Events are categorized with regard to (a) discreteness of onset: discrete, chronic, or ambiguous; (b) desirability: positive, negative, or ambiguous; and (c) independence: out of the child’s control,
child had some control, or control depends on the context of the event. Additionally, the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) \[84\] assesses retrospectively the occurrence of abuse and neglect in childhood and adolescence. This questionnaire includes 28 items, rated on a 5-point Likert scale, that address 5 types of maltreatment: physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, physical neglect, and emotional neglect. Finally, to assess more chronic daily hassles, the 32-item Urban Hassles Index (UHI) \[67\] was developed and has been tested specifically with ethnic minority youth. Maladaptive coping in response to stress may also influence drug use. To this end, the Adolescent Coping Orientation for Problem Experiences (ACOPE) \[68\] assesses coping patterns along 12 subcales (ventilating feelings, seeking diversions, developing self-reliance and optimism, developing social support, solving family problems, avoiding problems, seeking spiritual support, investing in close friends, seeking professional support, engaging in demanding activity, being humorous, and relaxing).

Internalizing and Externalizing Behavior. To assess diagnostic criteria for DSM-IV disorders in youth, the DISC Predictive Scales (DPS) \[49\] was administered to the caregiver and adolescent. The DPS was selected due to its brevity and ability to detect and discriminate disorders in adolescents. The dimensional nature of emotional and behavioral problems is also being assessed via computerized versions of the well-known Achenbach Youth Self-Report (YSR) \[69\] and Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) \[69\], administered to the adolescent and caregiver, respectively. These questionnaires, which measure social competence and internalizing and externalizing symptoms in children and youth, have extensive normative data and excellent reliability and validity. Anxiety and depression are often associated with substance use. As such, the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) \[70\] and Beck Anxiety Inventory \[71\], self-report questionnaires of the presence and severity of depressive and anxiety symptoms according to DSM-IV criteria in adults and adolescents, are administered.

Risk-Taking Propensity. The Balloon Analogue Risk Task (BART) \[73\] is a computerized, laboratory-based measure simulating risky behavior. In the adolescent version, the participant pumps up a balloon figure presented on the computer screen, earning one point for each pump. He/she can bank the points earned for that particular balloon at any time and move on to the next balloon. However, if the balloon explodes before the points are banked, earnings for that particular balloon are lost. Balloons (30 in all) pop at varying, unpredictable points. The score for the BART is the average number of pumps across balloons, excluding those balloons that explode; the number of pops will also be examined. The BART has been correlated with self-reported engagement in risky behavior (e.g., drug use, gambling, fighting, and risky sexual behavior) by adolescents. The Wall Task \[74\], a very brief cartoon-based measure of risk-taking has been shown to predict initiation of cocaine use (and other drugs) in early adulthood. Delay discounting \[75–77\] or temporal discounting refers to tendency to overvalue immediate rewards compared to delayed rewards (or to “discount” the value of delayed rewards) and has been interpreted as a form of impulsivity. In this task, participants are asked to choose between an amount of money (hypothetical) delivered now or an amount of money (hypothetical) delivered after a certain amount of time. Varying amounts of money and delay intervals are presented over multiple trials. These behavioral tasks are supplemented with two self-report measures of sensation-seeking and impulsivity: the Impulsive Sensation Seeking Scale (from Zuckerman-Kuhlman Personality Questionnaire, ZKPQ) \[64\] and the Eysenck Impulsivity Scale \[78\].

Reward Decision Making. The Wheel of Fortune \[79\] was developed to examine the behavioral correlates of motivated behavior and reward processes. It involves a decision-making challenge by having participants choose during trials between a low probability of a large reward and a high probability of a small reward. The WOF probes the separate steps of reward-related decision-making, allowing for the assessment of (1) patterns of choice selection in conditions with varying levels of risk, (2) confidence in favorable outcomes, and (3) responses to feedback. This is important given that decision-making deficits in general have been demonstrated in adolescents at risk for substance abuse.

2.4. Data Collection at the 18/19-Year Study Visit

2.4.1. Attention and Executive Functioning. The Cambridge Neuropsychological Testing Automated Battery (CANTAB) \[90\] is a comprehensive, computerized assessment of neuropsychological functioning. Though originally developed for use with the elderly, the CANTAB has since been utilized with children and adults of ages 4 through 90. The advantages of the CANTAB include standardized computer administration, use of nonverbal stimuli, engaging, game-like quality, and tests graded in difficulty to capture a wide range of abilities. In the current study, 14 tests from the Executive Function, Attention, and Decision Making battery include four tests (Affective Go/No-go; Cambridge Gambling Task; Information Sampling Task; Stop Signal Task), tapping information processing biases for positive and negative stimuli, impulse control and risk taking in decision making, and ability to inhibit a prepotent response.

2.4.2. Anthropometric Measures. Trained nurses or research assistants blinded to cocaine exposure status perform standard anthropometric measures of stature (recumbent length
or standing height, depending on age), weight, and head circumference at birth, at each developmental assessment visit (at ages 1, 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 months and at 3, 5, 7, 12, and 16 or 17 years), and at interim visits. Anthropometric and clinical measures in the protocol for 18- and 19-year-olds include standing height, measured with a Detecto Physician’s scale (Cardinal Scale Manufacturing Co., Webb City, MO, USA) with height rod with a vertical backboard and a movable headboard; weight, measured in pounds and converted to kilograms (nearest 1/10); waist circumference, measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the navel at the end of gentle exhalation; hip circumference, measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the maximum circumference over the buttocks; mid-arm circumference, measured to the nearest 0.1 cm. Waist and hip circumferences are measured using a nonstretchable plastic tape measure according to standard methods [99].

2.4.3. Skinfold Measurements. Subscapular and suprailiac skinfold thicknesses are measured to the nearest millimeter (mm) to assess truncal subcutaneous adiposity [99]. The protocol for 18- and 19-year-olds also includes skinfold measurements of the triceps and biceps, measured to the nearest mm.

All anthropometric measures are taken three times and the average of the three measures is used for data analysis. Height and weight are converted to sex-specific BMI-for-age percentile values according to the 2000 CDC growth charts [99].

2.4.4. Cardiometabolic Measures. Within the MPCS, the subprotocol for 18- and 19-year-olds includes testing for several cardiometabolic biomarkers, using blood drawn by venipuncture after a 12-hour fast. All blood chemistry assays are performed by an automated analyzer (Roche Cobas-Mira, Indianapolis, IN, USA), using commercially available kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Instrument setup, run procedures, and maintenance policies are strictly applied according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Fasting Insulin and Glucose.** Blood glycohemoglobin, fasting plasma glucose, and serum insulin are measured after an overnight fast (blood is drawn when participants arrive for their visit, before the assessments begin) [100]. A fasting plasma glucose greater than 100 mg/dL is considered abnormal as defined by the American Diabetes Association [101]. Glucose and insulin values will be used in a homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA [IR]) to calculate an insulin resistance score for each participant [101]. HOMA (IR) is a surrogate index widely used to study the role of insulin sensitivity or resistance in associated disease states and is defined as fasting plasma insulin (µIU/mL) × fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)/22.5.

**Triglycerides.** High serum levels of triglycerides have been linked to atherosclerosis and are a component of metabolic syndrome [102]. Abnormal values will be reported as sex- and age-specific national percentile estimates [102].

**Total Cholesterol.** Because they signal hyperlipidemia, blood lipid levels are an important indicator of cardiovascular disease risk [102]. As with triglycerides, abnormal values will be reported as sex- and age-specific national percentile estimates [102].

**High- and Low-Density Lipoprotein (HDL and LDL) Cholesterol.** High levels of HDL seem to protect against cardiovascular disease, and low levels are associated with an increased risk of heart disease [102]. As with triglycerides, abnormal total, HDL, and LDL cholesterol values will be reported by sex- and age-specific national percentile estimates [102].

**Highly Sensitive C-Reactive Protein (hsCRP).** C-reactive protein is considered one of the best measures of inflammation, which is one of the body’s responses to chronic conditions, such as arthritis, and to environmental exposures to agents, such as tobacco smoke. The American Heart Association and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have stated that in population studies of risk of cardiovascular disease in adults, an (hs)CRP concentration greater than 3.0 mg/L is considered high risk [103]. As the current assessment of the MPCS cohort is at age 18-19 years, the adult cut-off for (hs)CRP will be utilized.

2.4.5. Definition of Metabolic Syndrome. Participants who have 3 or more of the 5 following conditions will be classified as having the syndrome: a fasting blood glucose concentration greater than 100 mg/dL [101], a waist circumference greater than the 90th percentile adjusted for age, sex, and race [104], a systolic or diastolic blood pressure greater than the 90th percentile for age and sex [105], hypertriglyceridermia defined as greater than the 90th percentile for age, race, and sex [102], and low HDL cholesterol defined as less than the 5th percentile for age, sex, and race [102].

2.4.6. Lifestyle Risk Factors. Via a written questionnaire, adolescent participants reported their daily eating habits and physical activity level. These items were adapted from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance (YRBS) Questionnaire [106]. The YRBS monitors of health-risk behaviors priority and the prevalence of obesity and asthma among youth and young adults by implementing a national school-based survey and state, territorial, tribal, and district surveys. Specifically, the lifestyle risk factor questions focus on how often certain foods (fruits, vegetables, fast food, etc.) were consumed in a 7-day period and how many minutes per day and per week the adolescent participated in strenuous (leading to heavy breathing or sweating) physical activity.

2.5. Analytic Plan. To achieve the specific and primary aims of the subprotocol described here, longitudinal analyses will focus on differences in latent growth trajectories between prenatally cocaine-exposed and non-cocaine-exposed participants. In a cross-section analysis, the influence of prenatal and postnatal exposure to drugs (alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs) and the development of cardiometabolic disease
risk factors will be estimated. Metabolic syndrome variables added to the protocol for 18- and 19-year-olds will be log-transformed to achieve a more normal distribution before analyses (all females who are pregnant or who have had babies in the last 6 months will be excluded). Growth curves for metabolic syndrome variables versus age (using both linear and nonlinear terms) will be determined for each participant in a random-effects model. Pairwise correlations of any two variables (prenatal cocaine exposure, cardiometabolic risk variables) will be examined using the appropriate correlation analysis. The differences in the Pearson correlation coefficients between sexes and between follow-up years will be tested by Fisher’s $z$ transformation.

Additionally, secondary analysis will include examining the (potentially bidirectional) relationship between primary outcomes (anthropometric growth, cardiometabolic disease risk factors) and several neurodevelopmental measures. For example, the relationship between depression and anxiety (measured by the Beck Depression Inventory-II [BDI] and Beck Anxiety Inventory [BAI]) and all growth and cardiometabolic measures will be examined.

3. Discussion

We describe here the research and analytical methods for examining the relationship between prenatal cocaine exposure, anthropometric growth, and cardiometabolic disease risk factors in late adolescence in this minority, urban cohort. By examining child and adolescent growth and development of cardiometabolic disease among those exposed to cocaine in utero, it is expected that prenatally exposed offspring may be shown to develop abnormal growth trajectories, particularly catch-up growth, which may result in increased risk for associated cardiometabolic disease risk factors. Findings from this investigation should inform both the fields of substance use and cardiovascular research about subsequent risks of cocaine ingestion during pregnancy in offspring.

Elsewhere, our group has reviewed the literature that supports the hypothesis that prenatal cocaine exposure impacts latency health outcomes via various biological mechanisms, and the cardiovascular system in particular [107]. For example, vascular and hemodynamic functions are partially programmed in early life and are thus vulnerable to prenatal exposures, with potential impact to adverse vascular aging and arterial stiffening in later life. Additionally, prenatal cocaine exposure has resulted in fetal cardiovascular alterations including diastolic function, heart rate variability, and transient myocardial ischemia; but how this affects health, and other body systems (e.g., endocrine and renal, etc.) in later life is largely unknown [107]. This determination is particularly important among African Americans adolescents who are already at high risk for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease [108]. Strategies for preventing and treating these effects should be developed to reduce the overall burden of disease for individuals and the accompanying costs to the health care system.

3.1. Potential Limitations. Several methodological limitations are intrinsic to the study of prenatal drug exposures, including the nonexperimental design and the difficulty of separating the influences of such exposures from those of a myriad of other factors. Nonetheless, the potential teratologic effects of these drugs are of interest, and efforts are made to statistically control for potential confounding variables to attempt to isolate in utero exposure to cocaine and other drugs as contributing factors within the context of the multiple risks which may be involved in shaping the cardiometabolic outcomes of drug-exposed children.

The MPCS sample was restricted to full-term, healthy African American infants living in generally low-income areas of the city. Although this restricted sampling was done to improve statistical control, such limitations may pose certain challenges in interpreting the findings. For example, excluding infants born prematurely and with serious medical difficulties may eliminate the more heavily cocaine-exposed children from the sample. Also, findings from the MPCS may not generalize to other populations, such as those from different racial or ethnic backgrounds and socioeconomic status.

The research examines the influence of PCE on the risk of cardiometabolic disease risk in late adolescence. However, this relationship may not encompass all the possible risk and protective factors relevant to the long-term effects of prenatal drug exposure. For example, the genes or functional polymorphisms that affect the development of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes need to be explored. Thus, the apparent effects of prenatal drug exposure may actually be caused by an underlying, if yet undiscovered, genetic susceptibility.

4. Conclusions

The results of this study, using longitudinal and cross-sectional data from the MPCS to assess growth patterns, obesity, overweight, and hypertension, as well as late adolescent cardiometabolic blood tests, should help to determine whether in utero exposure to cocaine has short- and long-term effects on physical development, especially on the cardiovascular and endocrine systems. Long-term follow-up of the participants in the MPCS as well as other representative cohorts will allow the determination of whether any adverse physical and cardiometabolic effects appear only after long latency periods, much like the cardiovascular complications in survivors of childhood cancers treated with anthracyclines which may not appear for decades.
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