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\textbf{Abstract}

We show analytically that in the cumulative particles production off nuclei multiple interactions lead to a glory-like backward focusing effect. Employing the small phase space method we arrived at a characteristic angular dependence of the production cross section $d\sigma \sim 1/\sqrt{\pi - \theta}$ near the strictly backward direction. This effect takes place for any number $n \geq 3$ of interactions of rescattered particle, either elastic or inelastic (with resonance excitations in intermediate states), when the final particle is produced near corresponding kinematical boundary. In the final angles interval including the value $\theta = \pi$ the angular dependence of the cumulative production cross section can have the crater-like (or funnel-like) form. Such a behaviour of the cross section near the backward direction is in qualitative agreement with some of available data.
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1 Introduction

Intensive studies of the particles production processes in high energy interactions of different projectiles with nuclei, in regions forbidden by kinematics for the interaction with a single free nucleon, began back in the 70th mostly at JINR (Dubna) and ITEP (Moscow). Relatively simple experiments could provide information about such objects as fluctuations of the nucleus density [1] or, discussed much later, few nucleon (or multiquark) clusters probably existing in nuclei. At JINR such processes have been called ”cumulative production” [2, 3], at ITEP the variety of properties of such reactions has been called ”nuclear scaling” [4]- [6] because certain universality of these properties has been noted, confirmed somewhat later at much higher energy, 400 GeV incident protons [7, 8] and 40 GeV/c incident pions, kaons and antiprotons [9, 10]. A new wave of interest to this exciting topic appeared lately. New experiment has been performed in ITEP [11] aimed to define the weight of multiquark configurations in the carbon nucleus [1].

The interpretation of these phenomena as being manifestation of internal structure of nuclei assumes that the secondary interactions, or, more generally, multiple interactions processes (MIP) do not play a crucial role in such production [12] - [18]. Generally, the role of secondary interactions in the particles production off nuclei is at least two-fold: they decrease the amount of produced particles in the regions, where it was large (it is, in particular, the screening phenomenon), and increase the production probability in regions where it was small; so, they smash out the whole production picture.

The development of the Glauber approach [19, 20] to the description of particles scattering off nuclei has been considered many years ago as remarkable progress in understanding the particles-nuclei interactions. Within the Glauber model the amplitude of the particle-nucleus scattering is presented in terms of elementary particle-nucleons amplitudes and the nucleus wave function describing the nucleons distribution inside the nucleus. The Glauber screening correction for the total cross section of particle scattering off deuteron allows widely accepted, remarkably simple and transparent interpretation.

Gribov [21] explained nontrivial peculiarities of the space-time picture of such scattering processes and concluded that the inelastic shadowing corrections play an important role at high enough energy and should be included into consideration. [2]

In the case of the large angle particle production the background processes which mask the possible manifestations of nontrivial details of nuclear structure, are subsequent multiple interactions with nucleons inside the nucleus leading to the particles emission in the ”kinematically forbidden” region. Leonid Kondratyuk was the first who has noted that rescattering of intermediate particles could lead to the final particles emission in ”kinematically forbidden” regions (KFR). The rigorous investigation of the double interaction process in the case of pion production off deuteron (see Fig. 1.1) has been made first by L.Kondratyuk and V.Kopeliovich in [24]. Later the multiple interaction processes leading to nucleons production in KFR were investigated in [25] and in more details in [26] where the magnitude of the cumulative protons

---

1 We do not pretend here to give a comprehensive review of numerous experiments on cumulative particles production.
2 The pion double charge exchange scattering is an interesting example of the reaction where the inelastic intermediate states give the dominant contribution at high enough energy [22, 23].
production cross sections was estimated as well.

Fig. 1.1. The simplest pion rescattering diagram which leads to the partial fill-up of the ”kinematically forbidden” region for the case of the cumulative pion production by protons on the deuteron [24].

M.A.Braun and V.V.Vechernin with coauthors made many interesting and important observations and investigated processes leading to the particles emission in KFR [27]-[35], including the processes with resonances in intermediate state [27]-[28]. They found also that processes with pions in intermediate state lead to the nucleons emission in KFR due to subsequent processes, like $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$ [31, 32]. Basic theoretical aspects of MIP leading to the cumulative particles emission and some review of the situation in this field up to 1985 have been presented in [36].

Several authors attempted the cascade calculations of cumulative particles production cross sections relying upon the available computing codes created previously [38] - [43]. The particles production cross section was found to be in reasonable agreement with data. Different kinds of subprocesses play a role in these calculations, and certain work should be performed for detailed comparison. In calculations by NOMAD Collaboration the particles formation time has been considered as a parameter, and results near to the experimental observations have been obtained for this time equal to $\sim 2\, fm$ [42, 43], see discussion below.

While many authors have admitted the important role of the final state interactions (FSI), most of them did not discuss the active role of such interactions, i.e. their contribution to particles production in KFR, see e.g. [44]. It has been stated in a number of papers that multiple interactions cannot describe the spectra of backwards emitted particles. Such statement in fact has no firm grounds because there were so far no reliable calculations of the MIP contributions to the cross sections and other observables in the cumulative particles production reactions. Moreover, such calculations are hardly possible because, as we argue in the present paper, necessary information about elementary interactions amplitudes is still lacking.

Several specific features of the MIP mechanism have been noted previously experimentally and discussed theoretically [26, 37, 36], among them the presence of the recoil nucleons, which amount grows with increasing energy of the cumulative particle, possible large value of the cumulative baryons polarization, and some other, see [36]. The enhancement of the production cross section near the strictly backward direction has been detected in a number of
experiments, first at JINR (Dubna) \[43, 46\] and somewhat later at ITEP (Moscow) \[47, 48\]. This glory-like effect which can be called also the "Buddha’s light" of cumulative particles, has been shortly discussed previously in \[26, 36\]. More experimental evidence of this effect appeared since that time \[49, 50\]. Here we show analytically that presence of the backward focusing effect is an intrinsic property of the multiple interaction mechanism leading to the cumulative particles production. The detailed treatment of this effect is presented, including some mathematical aspects of interest and numerical estimates.

In the next section the peculiarities of kinematics of the processes in KFR will be recalled, in section 3 the small phase space method of the MIP contributions calculation to the particles production cross section in KFR is described. In section 4 the focusing effect, similar to the known in optics glory phenomenon, is described in details. Final section contains discussion of problems and conclusions.

# 2 Details of kinematics

When the particle with 4-momentum \( p_0 = (E_0, \vec{p}_0) \) interacts with the nucleus with the mass \( m_t \simeq Am_N \), and the final particle of interest has the 4-momentum \( k_f = (\omega_f, \vec{k}_f) \) the basic kinematical relation is

\[
(p_0 + p_t - k_f)^2 \geq M_f^2,
\]

where \( M_f \) is the sum of the final particles masses, except the detected particle of interest. At large enough incident energy, \( E_0 \gg M_f \), we obtain easily

\[
\omega_f - zk_f \leq m_t,
\]

which is the basic restriction for such processes. \( z = \cos \theta < 0 \) for particle produced in backward hemisphere. The quantity \( (\omega_f - zk_f)/m_N \) is called the cumulative number (more precise, the integer part of this ratio plus one).

Let us recall some peculiarities of the multistep processes kinematics established first in \[25, 26\] and described in details in \[36\]. It is very selective kinematics, essentially different from the kinematics of the forward scattering off nuclei when random walking of the particle is allowed in the plane perpendicular to the projectile momentum. Schematically the multistep process is shown on Fig. 2.1.

**Rescatterings.** For light particles (photon, also \( \pi \)-meson) iteration of the Compton formula

\[
\frac{1}{\omega_n} - \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \simeq \frac{1}{m} [1 - \cos(\theta_n)]
\]

allows to get the final energy in the form

\[
\frac{1}{\omega_N} - \frac{1}{\omega_0} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N} [1 - \cos(\theta_n)]
\]

The maximal energy of final particle is reached for the coplanar process when all scattering processes take place in the same plane and each angle equals to \( \theta_k = \theta/N \). As a result we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{\omega_N^{\max}} - \frac{1}{\omega_0} = \frac{1}{m} N [1 - \cos(\theta/N)]
\]
Fig. 2.1. Schematical picture of the multiple interaction process within the nucleus $A$ leading to the emission of the final particle with the momentum $k$ at the angle $\theta$ relative to the projectile proton momentum. The binary reactions are assumed to take place in secondary interactions.

Already at $N > 2$ and for $\theta \leq \pi$ the $1/N$ expansion can be made (it is in fact the $1/N^2$ expansion):

$$1 - \cos(\theta/N) \simeq \theta^2/2N^2 \left(1 - \theta^2/12N^2\right)$$  \hfill (2.6)

and for large enough incident energy $\omega_0$ we obtain

$$\omega_{N_{\text{max}}} \simeq N \frac{2m}{\theta^2} + \frac{m}{6N}.$$  \hfill (2.7)

This expression works quite well beginning with $N = 2$. This means that the kinematically forbidden for interaction with single nucleon region is partly filled up due to elastic rescatterings. Remarkably, that this rather simple property of rescattering processes has not been even mentioned in the pioneer papers [2] - [6].

In the case of the nucleon-nucleon scattering (scattering of particles with equal nonzero masses in general case) it is convenient to introduce the factor

$$\zeta = \frac{p}{E + m}, \quad 1 - \zeta^2 = \frac{2m}{E + m},$$  \hfill (2.8)

where $p$ and $E$ are spatial momentum and total energy of the particle with the mass $m$. When scattering takes place on the particle which is at rest in the laboratory frame, the $\zeta$ factor of

---

$^3$This property was well known, however, to V.M.Lobashev, who observed experimentally that the energy of the photon after 2-fold interaction can be substantially greater than the energy of the photon emitted at the same angle in 1-fold interaction.
scattered particle is multiplied by $\cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the scattering angle in the laboratory frame. So, after $N$ rescatterings we obtain the $\zeta$ factor

$$\zeta_N = \zeta_0 \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \ldots \cos \theta_N. \quad (2.9)$$

As in the case of the small mass of rescattered particle, the maximal value of final $\zeta_N$ is obtained when all scattering angles are equal

$$\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \ldots = \theta_N = \theta/N, \quad (2.10)$$

and the coplanar process takes place. So, we have

$$\zeta_{N \text{ max}}^N = \zeta_0 [\cos(\theta/N)]^N. \quad (2.11)$$

The final momentum is from (2.11)

$$k_{N \text{ max}}^\text{max} = 2m \frac{\zeta_{N \text{ max}}^\text{max}}{1 - (\zeta_{N \text{ max}}^\text{max})^2} \quad (2.12)$$

Again, at large enough $N$ and large incident energy ($\zeta_0 \rightarrow 1$) the $1/N^2$ expansion can be made at $k \gg m$, and we obtain the first terms of this expansion

$$k_{N \text{ max}}^\text{max} \simeq N \frac{2m}{\theta^2} - \frac{m}{3N}, \quad (2.13)$$

which coincides at large $N$ with previous result for the rescattering of light particles, but presymptotic corrections are negative in this case and twice greater.

The normal Fermi motion of nucleons inside the nucleus makes these boundaries wider [36]:

$$k_{N \text{ max}}^\text{max} \simeq N \frac{2m}{\theta^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{p_F^{\text{max}}}{2m} \left( \theta + \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \right], \quad (2.14)$$

where it is supposed that the final angle $\theta$ is large, $\theta \sim \pi$. For numerical estimates we took the step function for the distribution in the Fermi momenta of nucleons inside of nuclei, with $p_F^{\text{max}}/m \simeq 0.27$, see [36] and references there. At large enough $N$ normal Fermi motion makes the kinematical boundaries for MIP wider by about 40%.

There is characteristic decrease (down-fall) of the cumulative particle production cross section due to simple rescatterings, near the strictly backward direction. However, inelastic processes with excitations of intermediate particles, i.e. with intermediate resonances, are able to fill up the region at $\theta \sim \pi$.

**Resonance excitations in intermediate states.** The elastic rescatterings themselves are only the “top of the iceberg”. Excitations of the rescattered particles, i.e. production of resonances in intermediate states which go over again into detected particles in subsequent interactions, provide the dominant contribution to the production cross section. Simplest examples of such processes may be $NN \rightarrow NN^* \rightarrow NN, \pi N \rightarrow \rho N \rightarrow \pi N$, etc. The important role of resonances excitations in intermediate states for cumulative particles production has been noted first by M.Braun and V.Vechernin [27] and somewhat later in [26], see Figs. (2.2) and (2.3). At incident energy about few GeV the dominant contribution into cumulative protons emission provide the processes with $\Delta(1232)$ excitation and reabsorption, see [36] and
Experimentally the role of dynamical excitations in cumulative nucleons production at intermediate energies has been established in \[51\] and, at higher energy, in \[52\].

When the particles in intermediate states are slightly excited above their ground states, approximate estimates can be made. Such resonances could be $\Delta(1232)$ isobar, or $N^*(1470)$, $N^*(1520)$ etc. for nucleons, two-pion state or $\rho(770)$, etc for incident pions, $K^*(880)$ for kaons. This case has been investigated previously with the result for the relative change (increase) of the final momentum $k_f$ (Eq. (8) of \[26\])

\[
\frac{\Delta k_f}{k_f} \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \frac{\Delta M_l^2}{k_l^2},
\]

or

\[
\Delta k_f^2 \simeq \frac{2}{N^3} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} l^2 \Delta M_l^2,
\]

with $\Delta M_l^2 = M_l^2 - \mu^2$, $k_l$ is the value of 3-momentum in the $l$-th intermediate state. This effect can be explained easily: the additional energy stored in the mass of intermediate particle is transferred to the kinetic energy of the final (cumulative) particle.

The number of different processes for the $N$-fold MIP is $(N_R + 1)^{N-1}$, where $N_R$ is the number of resonances making important contribution to the process of interest. The greatest kinematical advantage has the process with resonance production at the $(N-1)$-th step of the whole process with subsequent its deexcitation at the last step.\(^4\) To calculate contributions of all these processes one needs not only to know cross sections and the spin structure of the amplitudes $NN^* \rightarrow NN^*$ at the energies up to several GeV, but also consider correctly possible interference between amplitudes of different processes. Such information is absent and hardly will be available in nearest future.

To produce the final particle at the absolute boundary available for the nucleus as a whole one needs to have the masses of intermediate resonances (or some particles system) of the order of incident energy, $s \sim E_0 m_A$.

In this extreme case

\[
M_l^2(\text{max}) \simeq s_A \frac{l}{A} \left(1 - \frac{l}{A}\right),
\]

where $s_A \simeq 2AE_0m$ (\[26\], Appendix). Interaction with all $A$ nucleons should take place, and the intermediate mass is maximal at $l \sim A/2$. For the deuteron the intermediate mass at the absolute boundary should be

\[
M_l^D(\text{max}) \sim s_D/4 \simeq E_0 m/2.
\]

This case is of academic interest, only. Our aim is to show that the whole region of final particles momenta allowed for interaction with the nucleus as a compact object can be covered due to MIP, but the price for this are the extremely large masses of intermediate states.

What is the most important: at arbitrary high incident energy the kinematics of all subsequent processes is defined by the momentum and the angle of the outgoing particle. In some of cascade calculations the important contribution to the cumulative nucleons production gives the process with production of pions of not high energy with its subsequent absorption by two-nucleon pair. This process can be, at least partly, to the processes with resonance formation and reabsorption, because pions of moderate energies are produced mostly via resonance formation and decay to nucleon and pion.
other words, for the nucleus fragmentation with particles emitted backwards with probably large but limited by few GeV energies, the fragmentation of nucleon takes place in the first interaction act of the MIP, according to kinematics analysed above. The slight dependence of the whole MIP on the incident projectile, hadron or lepton, follows from this observation, as it was noted long ago by Leksin et al [4]-[6].

The theory of elementary particles based on the S-matrix approach operates with so called $|in>$ and $<out|$ states as initial and final states of the process under consideration. It is assumed that there is time enough for the formation of the outgoing particles and the fields surrounding it. Usually it is in complete correspondence with experimental conditions, when the elementary interaction amplitude is studied by means of cross sections, polarization observables, etc. measurements.

Situation may be, however, quite different when the interaction of the projectile with nucleons inside the nucleus takes place. The role of the formation time in the interaction of the particle within some medium has been discussed long ago, one of the pioneer paper is the paper by Landau and Pomeranchuk [53] where the electromagnetic processes of the photon emission and pair production by electrons has been considered. Similar to the case of electromagnetic interactions, the hadron formation time is of the order of

$$\tau_{\text{form}} \sim 1/(\omega - k_z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

if the incident energy is large enough, where $\omega$ and $k_z$ are the energy and the longitudinal momentum of the produced particle, the axis $z$ is defined by the momentum of the incident particle. When the particle is produced in the forward direction with large enough energy (momentum), the formation time becomes

$$\tau_{\text{form}} \sim \frac{2\omega}{\mu^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.21)

where $\mu$ is the mass of the produced particle. So, formation time, or coherence length in forward direction, become very large for the energetic particle produced in the direction of the projectile momentum (see, e.g. [54] for review of the history of this problem and references. The nuclei fragmentation region has not been discussed in [54]).
As noted above, for the production of a particle on a target with the mass $m_t$ at high enough incident energy the inequality takes place:

$$\omega - k_z \leq m_t,$$

(2.22)

at the kinematical boundary the equality takes place. As we have shown in this section, to produce a final particle beyond the kinematical boundary due to multiple interaction process, in the first interaction act the particle should be produced near the kinematical boundary, i.e.

$$\omega_1 - \cos \theta_1 k_1 \sim m_N,$$

(2.23)

therefore, the formation time of the first produced particle

$$\tau_{1}^{\text{form}} \sim 1/(\omega_1 - \cos \theta_1 k_1) \sim 1/m$$

(2.24)

is necessarily small, and the whole production picture is of quasiclassical character. The interesting phenomena observed in the high energy particles - nuclei interaction reactions and widely discussed in the literature [54], connected with the large formation time of the particles produced in forward direction, do not take place in the cumulative production processes.

### 3 The small phase space method for the MIP probability calculations

This method, most adequate for analytical and semi-analytical calculations of the MIP probabilities, has been proposed in [26] and developed later in [36]. It is based on the fact that,
according to established in [25, 26] and presented in previous section kinematical relations, there is a preferable plane of the whole MIP leading to the production of energetic particle at large angle θ, but not strictly backwards. Also, the angles of subsequent rescatterings are close to θ/N. Such kinematics has been called optimal, or basic kinematics. The deviations of real angles from the optimal values are small, they are defined mostly by the difference \( k_{N}^{max} - k \), where \( k_{N}^{max}(\theta) \) is the maximal possible momentum reachable for definite MIP, and \( k \) is the final momentum of the detected particle. \( k_{N}^{max}(\theta) \) should be calculated taking into account normal Fermi motion of nucleons inside the nucleus, and also resonances excitation — deexcitation in the intermediate state. Some high power of the difference \( (k_{N}^{max} - k)/k_{N}^{max} \) enters the resulting probability.

Within the quasiclassical treatment adequate for our case, the probability product approximation is valid, and the following starting expression for the inclusive cross section of the particle production at large angles takes place (the binding energies of nucleons inside the nucleus can be neglected for the case of the high energy reactions, see, e.g., Eq. (4.11) of [36]):

\[
\frac{d^3k}{\omega} f_N = \pi R_A^2 G_N(R_A, \theta) \int \frac{f_1(k_1)}{\sigma_{1}^{leav} \omega_1} \prod_{l=2}^{N} \frac{M_l^2(s_l, t_l)\delta(m + \omega_{l-1} - \omega_l - \omega_{l-1})}{(8\pi)^2 \sigma_l^{leav} m_{kl-1} \omega_l \omega_{l-1}} \frac{1}{\omega_1} \frac{1}{\omega_1} \frac{1}{\omega_1} ...
\]

(3.1)

\( \sigma_{leav} \) is the cross section defining the removal (or leaving) of the rescattered object at the corresponding section of the trajectory. It includes the inelastic cross section, the part of elastic cross section and the part of the resonance production cross sections, and can be considerably smaller than the total interaction cross section of the \( l \)-th intermediate particle with nucleon. \( G_N(R_A, \theta) \) is the geometrical factor which enters the probability of the \( N \)-fold multiple interaction with definite trajectory of the interacting particles (resonances) inside the nucleus. This trajectory is defined mostly by the final values of \( \vec{K} \) (\( k, \theta \)), according to the kinematical relations of previous section. Inclusive cross section of the rescattered particle production in the first interaction is \( \omega_1 d^3 \sigma_1/d^3k_1 = f_1(\vec{p}_0, k_1) \) and \( f_1 = \omega_1 d^3 \sigma_1/d^3k_1 \), \( d^3k_1 = (k_1^0)^3 x_1^2 dx_1 \), \( \omega_N = \omega \) — the energy of the observed particle.

After some evaluation, introducing differential cross sections of binary reactions \( d\sigma_l/dt_l(s_l, t_l) \) instead of the matrix elements of binary reactions \( M_l^2(s_l, t_l) \), we came to the formula for the production cross section due to the \( N \)-fold MIP [26, 36]:

\[
f_N(\vec{p}_0, \vec{k}) = \pi R_A^2 G_N(R_A, \theta) \int \frac{f_1(\vec{p}_0, \vec{k}_1)(k_1^0)^3 x_1^2 dx_1 d\Omega_1}{\sigma_{1}^{leav} \omega_1} \prod_{l=2}^{N} \left( \frac{d\sigma_l(s_l, t_l)}{dt_l} \right) \frac{(s_l - m^2 - \mu_l^2)^2 - 4m^2 \mu_l^2}{4\pi m \sigma_{l}^{leav} k_{l-1}} \times \prod_{l=2}^{N-1} \frac{k_l^2 d\Omega_l}{k_l(m + \omega_{l-1} - z_l \omega_{l-1})} \frac{1}{\omega_N} \frac{1}{\omega_N} \frac{1}{\omega_N} ...
\]

(3.2)

\( z_l = \cos \theta_l \). To estimate the value of the cross section (3.2) one can extract the product of the cross sections out of the integral (3.2) near the optimal kinematics and multiply by the small phase space available for the whole MIP under consideration [26, 36]. Further details depend on the particular process. For the case of the light particle rescattering, \( \pi \)-meson for example, \( \mu_0^2/m^2 \ll 1 \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{\omega_N} \delta(m + \omega_{N-1} - \omega_N - \omega'_N) = \frac{1}{kk_{N-1}} \delta \left[ \frac{m}{k} - \sum_{l=2}^{N} (1 - z_l) - \frac{1}{x_1} \left( \frac{m}{p_0} + 1 - z_l \right) \right]
\]

(3.3)
When the final angle $\theta$ is considerably different from $\pi$, there is a preferable plane near which the whole multiple interaction process takes place, and only processes near this plane contribute to the final output. At the angle $\theta = \pi$, strictly backwards, there is azimuthal symmetry, and the processes from the whole interval of azimuthal angle $0 < \phi < 2\pi$ provide contribution to the final output (azimuthal focusing, see next section). A necessary step is to introduce azimuthal deviations from this optimal kinematics, $\varphi_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, N-1$; $\varphi_N = 0$ by definition of the plane of the process, $(\vec{p}_0, k)$. Polar deviations from the basic values, $\theta/N$, are denoted as $\vartheta_k$, obviously, $\sum_{k=1}^N \vartheta_k = 0$. The direction of the momentum $\vec{k}_l$ after $l$-th interaction, $\vec{n}_l$, is defined by the azimuthal angle $\varphi_l$ and the polar angle $\theta_l = (\theta/N) + \vartheta_1 + \ldots + \vartheta_l$, $\theta_N = \theta$.

Then we obtained \cite{26, 36} making the expansion in $\varphi_l$, $\vartheta_l$ up to quadratic terms in these variables:

$$z_k = (\vec{n}_k \vec{n}_{k-1}) \simeq \cos(\theta/N)(1 - \vartheta_k^2/2) - \sin(\theta/N)\vartheta_k + \sin(k\theta/N)\sin[(k-1)\theta/N](\varphi_k - \varphi_{k-1})^2/2.$$  

(3.4)

In the case of the rescattering of light particles the sum enters the phase space of the process

$$\sum_{k=1}^N (1 - \cos \vartheta_k) = N[1 - \cos(\theta/N)] + \cos(\theta/N) \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ - \varphi_k^2 \sin^2(k\theta/N) + \frac{1}{\cos(\theta/N)} \sin(k\theta/N) \sin((k-1)\theta/N) \right] - \frac{\cos(\theta/N)}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \vartheta_k^2$$

(3.5)

To derive this equality we used that $\varphi_N = \varphi_0 = 0$ — by definition of the plane of the MIP, and the mentioned relation $\sum_{k=1}^N \vartheta_k = 0$. We used also the identity, valid for $\varphi_N = \varphi_0 = 0$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \varphi_k^2 + \varphi_{k-1}^2 \right) \sin(k\theta/N) \sin[(k-1)\theta/N] = \cos(\theta/N) \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k^2 \sin^2(k\theta/N).$$  

(3.6)

It is possible to present it in the canonical form and to perform integration easily, see Appendix B and Eq. (4.23) of \cite{36}, and also Appendix in present paper. As a result, we have the integral over angular variables of the following form:

$$I_N(\Delta_N^{\text{ext}}) = \int \delta \left[ \Delta_N^{\text{ext}} - z_N^0 \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k^2 - \varphi_k \varphi_{k-1}/z_N + \vartheta_k^2/2 \right) \right] \prod_{l=1}^{N-1} d\varphi_l d\vartheta_l =$$

$$= \frac{(\Delta_N^{\text{ext}})^{N-2} (\sqrt{2\pi})^{N-1}}{J_N(z_N^0) \sqrt{N} (N-2)! \left( z_N^0 \right)^{N-1}},$$

(3.7)

$$z_N^0 = \cos(\theta/N).$$

Since the element of a solid angle $d\Omega_l = \sin(\theta l/N) d\vartheta_l d\varphi_l$, we made here substitution $\sin(\theta l/N) d\varphi_l \rightarrow d\varphi_l$ and $d\Omega_l \rightarrow d\vartheta_l d\varphi_l$, $z_N^0 = \cos(\theta/N)$. The whole phase space is defined by the quantity

$$\Delta_N^{\text{ext}} \simeq \frac{m}{k} - \frac{m}{p_0} - N(1 - z_N^\theta) - (1 - x_1) \frac{m}{p_0}$$

(3.8)

which depends on the effective distance of the final momentum (energy) from the kinematical boundary for the $N$-fold process. The Jacobian of the variables transformation squared

$$J_N^2(z) = Det ||a_N||,$$

(3.9)
where the matrix $||a_N||$ defines the quadratic form $Q_N(z)$ which enters the argument of the $\delta$-function in Eq. (3.9):

$$Q_N(z, \varphi_k) = a_{kl} \varphi_k \varphi_l = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \varphi_k^2 - \frac{\varphi_k \varphi_{k-1}}{z}. \quad (3.10)$$

For example,

$$Q_3(z, \varphi_k) = \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2 / z; \quad Q_4(z, \varphi_k) = \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 + \varphi_3^2 - (\varphi_1 \varphi_2 + \varphi_2 \varphi_3) / z, \quad (3.10a)$$

see next section and Appendix.

The phase space of the process (3.3) which depends strongly on $\Delta_{ext}^{N}$, after integration over angular variables can be presented in the form

$$\Phi_{\text{pions}}^N = \frac{1}{\omega_N} \delta(m + \omega_{N-1} - \omega_N - \omega_N') \prod_{l=1}^{N} d\Omega_l = \frac{I_N(\Delta_{ext}^{N})}{kk_{N-1}} = \frac{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{N-1}(\Delta_{ext}^{N})^{N-2}}{kk_{N-1}(N-2)!\sqrt{N}J_N(z_0^\theta)\left(\frac{z_0^\theta}{z_N^\theta}\right)^{N-1}} \quad (3.11)$$

The normal Fermi motion of target nucleons inside of the nucleus increases the phase space considerably [26, 36]:

$$\Delta_{ext}^{N} = \Delta_{ext}^{N}|_{pF=0} + \vec{p}_F \vec{r}_l / 2m, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\vec{r}_l = 2m(\vec{k}_l - \vec{k}_{l-1})/k_l k_{l-1}$. A reasonable approximation is to take vectors $\vec{r}_l$ according to the optimal kinematics for the whole process, and the Fermi momenta distribution of nucleons inside of the nucleus in the form of the step function. Integration over the Fermi motion leads to increase of the power of $\Delta_{ext}^{N}$ and change of numerical coefficients in the expression for the phase space. Details can be found in [26, 36], but they are not important for our mostly qualitative treatment here.

For the case of the nucleons rescattering there are some important differences from the light particle case, but the quadratic form which enters the angular phase space of the process is essentially the same, with additional coefficient:

$$\Phi_{\text{nucleons}}^N = \frac{1}{k(m + \omega_{N-1})} \int \delta \left[ \Delta_{ext}^{N,nucl} - \left(\frac{z_0^\theta}{z_N^\theta}\right)^N Q_N(\varphi_k) - \frac{\left(\frac{z_0^\theta}{z_N^\theta}\right)^{N-2}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \varphi_l^2 \right] \prod_{l=1}^{N} d\Omega_l =$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\zeta_0 z_N^{N-1}}\right)^{N-1} \frac{(\Delta_{ext}^{N,nucl})^{N-2}}{(N-2)!\sqrt{N}J_N(z_0^\theta)} \frac{(1 - \zeta_1^2)(1 - \zeta_2^{2N_1})}{4m^2 \zeta_N} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\Delta_{ext}^{N,nucl} = \zeta_N - (1 - x_1)\zeta_N \frac{1 - \zeta_1^2}{1 + \zeta_1^2} - \frac{k}{m + \omega}, \quad (3.14)$$

with $\zeta_N = \zeta_0 \left(\frac{z_0^\theta}{z_N^\theta}\right)^N$, $\zeta_1 = \zeta_0 z_N^\theta$. As in the case of the light particle rescattering, the normal Fermi motion of nucleons inside the nucleus
The backward focusing effect (Buddha’s light of cumulative particles)

This is the sharp enhancement of the production cross section near the strictly backward direction, \( \theta = \pi \). This effect has been noted first experimentally in Dubna (incident protons, final particles pions, protons and deuterons) \[45, 46\] and somewhat later by Leksin’s group at ITEP (incident protons of 7.5 GeV/c, emitted protons of 0.5 GeV/c) \[47\]. This striking effect was not well studied previously, both experimentally and theoretically. In the papers \[26, 36\] where the small phase space method has been developed, it was noted that this effect can appear due to multiple interaction processes. However, the consideration of this effect was not detailed enough, estimates and comparison with data have not been made.\(^5\)

The backward focusing effect has been observed and confirmed later in a number of papers for different projectiles and incident energies \[48, 49, 50\]. It seems to be difficult to explain the backward focusing effect as coming from interaction with dense few nucleon clusters existing inside the nucleus. We recall here how this effect appears for the case of the pions or nucleons rescattering \[26, 36\]. The optimal (basic) kinematics in both these cases is a complanar kinematics with the scatterings angle equal to \( \theta/N \).

Mathematically the focusing effect comes from the consideration of the phase space of the whole process in the method of the small phase space adequate in this case. It takes place for any multiple interaction process, regardless the particular kind of particles or resonances in the intermediate states. As it was explained in previous sections, when the angle of cumulative particle emission is large, but different from \( \theta = \pi \), there is a prefered plane for the whole process. The deviations of real angles of particles in intermediate states, including all azimuthal angles, from the optimal, or basic kinematics with \( \phi_k = 0, \theta_k = \theta/N \) are small. When the final angle \( \theta = \pi \), then integration over one of azimuthal angles takes place for the whole interval \([0, 2\pi]\), which leads to the rapid increase of the resulting cross section.

It is convenient to present the quadratic form which enters the \( \delta \)-function in (3.7) as

\[
Q_N(z^\theta_{N}, \varphi_k, \varphi_l) = J^2_2 \left( \varphi_1 - \frac{\varphi_2}{2zJ^2_2} \right)^2 + \frac{J^2_3}{J^2_2} \left( \varphi_2 - \frac{J^2_2\varphi_3}{2zJ^3_2} \right)^2 + ... + \frac{J^2_{N-1}}{J^2_{N-2}} \left( \varphi_{N-2} - \frac{J^2_{N-2}\varphi_{N-1}}{2zJ^2_{N-1}} \right)^2 + \frac{J^2_N}{J^2_{N-1}} \varphi^2_{N-1}. \tag{4.1}
\]

For the sake of brevity we omitted here the dependence of all \( J^2_k \) on their argument \( z^\theta_N \). The

\(^5\)One of the authors (VBK) discussed the cumulative (backward) particles production off nuclei with professor Ya.A.Smorodinsky who noted its analogy with known optical phenomenon - glory, or "Buddha’s light". The glory effect has been mentioned by Leksin and collaborators \[49\], however, it was not clear to authors of \[49\], can it be related to cumulative production, or not. In the case of the optical (atmospheric) glory phenomenon the light scatterings take place within droplets of water, or another liquid. A variant of the atmospheric glory theory can be found in \[55\]. However, the optical glory is still not fully understood, the existing explanation is still incomplete, see, e.g. [http://www.atoptics.co.uk/droplets/glofeat.htm]. In nuclear physics the glory-like phenomenon due to Coulomb interaction has been studied in \[57\] for the case of low energy antiprotons (energy up to few KeV) interacting with heavy nuclei.
The recurrent relation

\[ J_N^2(z) = J_{N-1}^2(z) - \frac{1}{4z^2} J_{N-2}^2(z) \]  

(4.2)
can be obtained from (4.1), since, as it follows from (3.7) and (3.10)

\[ Q_{N+1}(z, \varphi_k, \varphi_l) = Q_N(z, \varphi_k, \varphi_l) + \varphi_N^2 - \varphi_N \varphi_{N-1}/z \]  

(4.3)
(recall that for the \( N+1 \)-fold process \( \varphi_{N+1} = 0 \) by definition of the whole plane of the process), The proof of relation (4.2) is given in Appendix.

The following formula for \( J_N^2(z_N^\theta) \) has been obtained in [36]:

\[
Det ||a_{kl}|| = J_N^2(z_N^\theta) = J_N^2(\theta/N) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{m<N/2} \left( -\frac{1}{4 \left( z_N^\theta \right)^2} \right)^m \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{m} (N - m - k)}{m!} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{m<N/2} \left( -\frac{1}{4 \left( z_N^\theta \right)^2} \right)^m C_{N-m-1}^m,
\]

(4.4)

\( z_N^\theta = \cos(\theta/n) \), \( Det ||a_{kl}|| \) is the determinant of the matrix \( ||a|| \), see Eqs. (3.9), (3.10) and Appendix of present paper.

Recurrent relations for Jacobians with subsequent values of \( N \) and with same argument \( z \):

\[ J_{N+1}^2(z) = J_N^2(z) - \frac{1}{4z^2} J_{N-1}^2(z) = J_{N-1}^2(z) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4z^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4z^2} J_{N-2}^2(z) \]  

(4.5)
can be continued easily to lower values of \( N \) and used for calculations of \( J_N^2 \) at any \( N \) starting from two known values, \( J_2^2(z) = 1 \) and \( J_3^2(z) = 1 - 1/(4z^2) \) (see Appendix).

The condition \( J_N(\pi/N) = 0 \) leads to the equation for \( z_N^\pi \) which solution (one of all possible roots) provides the value of \( \cos(\pi/N) \) in terms of radicals. The following expressions for these jacobians take place [26] [36]

\[ J_2^2(z) = 1; \quad J_3^2(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{4z^2}; \quad J_4^2(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z^2}, \]  

(4.6)

\( J_3(\pi/3) = I_3(z = 1/2) = 0, J_4(\pi/4) = I_4(z = 1/\sqrt{2}) = 0 \). Let us give here less trivial examples. For \( N = 5 \)

\[ J_5^2 = 1 - \frac{3}{4z^2} + \frac{1}{16z^4}, \quad (J_5^2)'_z = \frac{3}{2z^3} - \frac{1}{4z^5} \]  

(4.7)
and one obtains \( \cos^2(\pi/5) = (3 + \sqrt{5})/8, J_5(\pi/5) = 0 \).

At \( N = 6 \)

\[ J_6^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{3}{16z^4} = J_3^2 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{4z^2} \right), \quad (J_6^2)'_z = \frac{2}{z^3} - \frac{3}{4z^5}. \]  

(4.8)
see also Eq. (A.9). For \( N = 7 \)

\[ J_7^2 = 1 - \frac{5}{4z^2} + \frac{3}{8z^4} - \frac{1}{64z^6}; \quad (J_7^2)'_z = \frac{5}{2z^3} - \frac{3}{2z^5} + \frac{3}{32z^7}. \]  

(4.9)
\[ J_7(\pi/7) = 0. \]

\[ J_8^2 = 1 - \frac{3}{2z^2} + \frac{5}{8z^4} - \frac{1}{16z^6} = J_7^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{8z^4} \right), \quad (J_8^2)' = \frac{3}{z^3} - \frac{5}{2z^5} + \frac{3}{8z^7}, \quad (4.10) \]

see Eq. (A.9); \( J_8(\pi/8) = 0 \). For arbitrary \( N \), \( J_N^2 \) is a polinomial in \( 1/4z^2 \) of the power \( |(N-1)/2| \) (integer part of \( (N-1)/2 \)). These equations can be obtained using the elementary mathematics methods as well, see Appendix, Eqs (A.14) – (A.16), however, the general expression for arbitrary \( N \) may be of interest. The case \( N = 2 \) is a special one, because \( J_2(z) = 1 \) - is a constant. In this case the 2-fold process at \( \theta = \pi \) (strictly backwards) has no advantage in comparison with the direct one, see Eq. (2.5), if we consider the elastic rescatterings.

For particles emitted strictly backwards the phase space has different form, instead of \( J_N(\pi/N) \) enters \( J_{N-1}(\pi/N) \) which is different from zero at \( \theta = \pi \), and we have instead of Eq. (3.7)

\[ I_N(\varphi, \vartheta) = \int \delta \left[ \Delta_N^\text{ext} - z^2_N \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} \varphi_k^2 - \varphi_{k-1}^2 / z^2_N + \vartheta_k^2 / 2 \right) \right] \prod_{l=1}^{N-2} d\varphi_l d\vartheta_l 2\pi d\vartheta_{N-1} = \]

\[ = \frac{\left( \Delta_N^\text{ext} \right)^{N-5/2} (2\sqrt{2\pi})^{N-1}}{J_{N-1}(z^2_N) \sqrt{N(2N-5)!} (z^2_N)^{N-3/2}}, \quad (4.11) \]

This follows from Eq. (4.1) where at \( \theta = \pi \) the last term disappears, since \( J_N(\pi/N) = 0 \) and integration over \( d\varphi_{N-1} \) takes place over the whole \( 2\pi \) interval.

**Fig. 4.1.** The angular dependence of inclusive cross section of the production of positive pions by projectile protons with momentum 8.9 GeV/c. a) pions with momentum 0.5 GeV/c emitted from Pb nucleus. The error bars at some points have not been clearly indicated in the original paper; b) pions with momentum 0.3 Gev/c emitted from He nucleus. The data are taken from Fig. 18 of the paper [46].

To illustrate the azimuthal focusing which takes place near \( \theta = \pi \) the ratio is useful of the phase spaces near the backward direction and strictly at \( \theta = \pi \). The ratio of the observed
Fig. 4.2. Angular distributions of secondary protons with kinetic energy between 0.06 and 0.24 GeV emitted from the Pb nucleus, in arbitrary units. The momentum of the projectile protons is 4.5 GeV/c.

a) The energy of emitted protons in the interval 0.11 − 0.24 GeV; b) the energy interval 0.08 − 0.11 GeV; c) the energy interval 0.06 − 0.08 GeV. Data obtained by G.A. Leksin group at ITEP, taken from Fig. 3 of paper [49].

cross sections in the interval of several degrees slightly depends on the elementary cross sections and is defined mainly by this ratio of phase spaces. It is

\[ R_N(\theta) = \frac{\Phi(z)}{\Phi(\theta = \pi)} = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \ext_N}{z_N^\theta}} \frac{J_{N-1}(z_N^\pi)}{2^{N-1}(N-2)! \sin(\pi/N)J_N(z_N^\theta)} \]  \quad (4.12)

Near \( \theta = \pi \) we use that

\[ J_N(z_N^\theta) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\pi - \theta}{N}} [J_N^2(z_N^\pi)]^\theta sin(\pi/N) \]  \quad (4.13)

and thus we get

\[ R_N(\theta) = C_N \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \ext_N}{\pi - \theta}} \]  \quad (4.14)

with

\[ C_N = \frac{J_{N-1}(z_N^\pi)\sqrt{N}}{[(J_N^2(z_N^\pi)]^{1/2}[\sin(\pi/N)]^{3/2}\sqrt{z_N^\pi(N-2)!2^{N-1}}} \]  \quad (4.15)

We need also values of \( J_{N-1}[\pi/N] \) to estimate the behaviour of the cross section near \( \theta = \pi \), they are given in Table 1. Integration over variable \( x_1 \) leads to multiplication \( C_N \) by factor \( (2N-3)/(2N-2) \), i.e. it makes it smaller, increasing the effect under consideration.

According to Eq. (4.14), the differential cross section of the cumulative particle production increases with increasing angle \( \theta \). At the critical value

\[ \theta^{\text{crit}} \simeq \pi - C_N^2 \Delta \ext_N \]  \quad (4.16)

it becomes equal to the cross section at \( \theta = \pi \) which is proportional to Eq. (4.11), and may slightly increase further with increasing \( \theta \). But near \( \theta = \pi \) it should decrease, to become again
\( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \mid_{\theta=\pi} \) at \( \theta = \pi \). So, the differential cross section has a crater-like (or funnel-like) form near the backward direction. We do not provide here the detailed description of the cross section in the transition region between \( \theta_{\text{crit}} \) and \( \theta = \pi \): this is technically rather complicated problem, and not so important for us now.

\[
|N| \left( J_N^2(z_N^\pi) \right)' \sin(\pi/N) \left[ (J_N^2(z_N^\pi))' \sin^3(\pi/N) \right]^{1/2} J_{N-1}[z_N^\pi] C_N
\]

| \( N \) | \( (J_N^2(z_N^\pi) \)' \) | \( \sin(\pi/N) \) | \( [(J_N^2(z_N^\pi))' \sin^3(\pi/N)]^{1/2} \) | \( J_{N-1}[z_N^\pi] \) | \( C_N \) |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 3   | 4   | 0.866 | 1.612 | 1   | 0.38 |
| 4   | 2.83 | 0.707 | 0.999 | 0.707 | 0.32 |
| 5   | 2.11 | 0.588 | 0.655 | 0.486 | 0.29 |
| 6   | 1.540 | 0.5 | 0.438 | 0.333 | 0.27 |
| 7   | 1.087 | 0.434 | 0.298 | 0.229 | 0.26 |

Table 1. Numerical values of the quantities which enter the particles production cross section near backward direction, \( \theta = \pi \). Here \( z_N^\pi = \cos(\pi/N) \).

Characteristic values of \( \Delta_{\text{ext}} \) are defined by kinematical boundaries described in section 2, Eq. (2.7), (2.13), and we obtain easily

\[
\Delta_{\text{ext, typical}} \sim \theta^2/2N(N+1) < \pi^2/2[N(N+1)],
\]

so it is not greater than \( \sim 0.5 \) for \( N = 3 \) and decreases rapidly with increasing \( N \). Therefore, the values of \( \epsilon_{\text{crit}} \) may be quite small, about several degrees.

Quite similar results can be obtained for the case of nucleons, only some technical detaols are different, see section 3. The inclusion of the normal Fermi motion of nucleons inside the nucleus increases the values of \( \Delta_{\text{ext}} \), but numerical coefficient in \( C_N \) becomes smaller. The behaviour given by Eq. (4.14) is in good agreement with available data, the value of the constants \( C_N \) is not important for our semiquantitative treatment. The comparison of the observed behaviour with predicted one according to the simple law \( d\sigma \sim A + B/\sqrt{\pi - \theta} \) is presented in Fig.4.1, Fig. 4.2 and Fig. 4.3.

![Angular distributions of secondary pions with kinetic energy greater 0.14 GeV emitted from the Pb nucleus, in arbitrary units. The momentum of the projectile protons is 4.5 GeV/c. Data obtained by G.A.Leksin group at ITEP, taken from Fig. 5 of paper [49].](image-url)
We selected several examples where qualitative agreement of data with predicted behavior takes place. There are other data where the glory-like effect is clearly seen. In many other cases the flat behaviour of the differential cross section near $\theta \sim \pi$ takes place, but it was probably not sufficient resolution to detect the enhancement of the cross section near $\theta = \pi$. In some experiments the deviation of the final angle from 180 deg. is large, therefore, further measurements near $\theta = \pi$ are desirable, also for kaons, hyperons as cumulative particles.

5 Discussion and conclusions

The nature of the cumulative particles is complicated and not well understood so far. There are different possible sources of their origin, including the color forces [56], one of them are the multiple collisions inside the nucleus, i.e. elastic or inelastic rescatterings. We have shown that the enhancement of the particles production cross section off nuclei near the backward direction, the glory-like backward focusing effect, is a natural property of the multiple interaction mechanism for the cumulative particles production. It takes place for any multiplicity of the process, when the momentum of the emitted particle is close to the corresponding kinematical boundary. The universal dependence of the cross section, $d\sigma \sim 1/\sqrt{\pi - \theta}$ near the final angle $\theta \sim \pi$, takes place regardless the multiplicity of the process. This statement by itself is quite rigorous. The competition of the processes of different multiplicities can make this effect difficult for observation in some cases. Presently we can speak only about qualitative, in some cases semiquantitative agreement with data. It is not clear yet how the transition to strictly backward direction proceeds. The angular distribution of emitted particles near $\theta = \pi$ can have a narrow dip, i.e. it may be of a crater (funnel)-like form. Further studies are necessary for better understanding.

This effect, observed in a number of experiments at JINR and ITEP, is a clear manifestation of the fact that multiple interactions make important contribution to the cumulative particles production probability, although it does not exclude the contribution of interaction of the projectile with few-nucleon, or multiquark clusters possibly existing in nuclei. We have considered few examples of the optimal (basic) configuration of the MIP, but obviously, the azimuthal focusing, discussed e.g. in [55] for the optical glory phenomenon, takes place for any kind of MIP; only some technical details are different. Investigation of other possible variants of the optimal kinematical configurations is of interest and may lead to interesting consequences.

It would be important to detect the focusing effect for different types of produced particles, baryons and mesons. This effect can be considered as a ”smoking gun” of the MIP mechanism. If this nuclear glory-like phenomenon is observed for all kinds of cumulative particles, its universality would be a strong argument in favor of importance of MIP and help to find really nontrivial effects of nuclear structure. The role of the multiple interaction processes leading to the large angle particles production off nuclei is certainly underestimated, still, by many authors, theoreticians and experimentalists. Further efforts are necessary to settle this extremely difficult and important challenge of disentangling between the nontrivial effects of the nuclear structure and the MIP contributions.
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7 Appendix

Here we present for the readers convenience some formulas and relations which have been used in sections 3 and 4.

\[
I_n(\Delta) = \int \delta(\Delta - x_1^2 - ... - x_n^2)dx_1...dx_n = \frac{(2\pi)^{(n-2)/2}}{(n-2)!!} \Delta^{(n-2)/2} \quad (A.1)
\]

for integer even \( n \).

\[
I_n(\Delta)_n = \int \delta(\Delta - x_1^2 - ... - x_n^2)dx_1...dx_n = \frac{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}}{(n-2)!!} \Delta^{(n-2)/2} \quad (A.2)
\]

for integer odd \( n \). Relations

\[
\int_0^\pi \sin^{2m} \theta \, d\theta = \frac{(2m - 1)!!}{(2m)!!}; \quad \int_0^\pi \sin^{2m-1} \theta \, d\theta = \frac{(2m - 2)!!}{(2m - 1)!!}, \quad (A3)
\]

\( m \) — integer, allow to check (A1) and (A2) easily.

\[
\int \delta(\Delta - x_1^2 - ... - x_n^2)\delta(x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n)dx_1...dx_{n-1}dx_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} I_{n-1}(\Delta) \quad (A.4)
\]

More generally, for any quadratic form in variables \( x_k, k = 1, ... n \) after diagonalization we obtain

\[
\int \delta(\Delta - a_{kl}x_kx_l)dx_1...dx_n = \int \delta(\Delta - x_1^2 - ... - x_n^2)\frac{dx'_1...dx'_n}{\sqrt{\det |a|}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det |a|}} I_n(\Delta). \quad (A.5)
\]

The equality also holds for the (inverse) Jacobian of the transformation \( t \) of our quadratic form to the canonical form:

\[
J^2(z) = \det |a|, \quad J_0(z) = \sqrt{\det |a|}. \quad (A.6)
\]

It follows from the basic relation

\[
\tilde{t} a t = \mathcal{I}, \quad (A.7)
\]
where $I$ is unit matrix $n \times n$, and $\tilde{t}_{kl} = t_{lk}$, so
\[
(det ||t||)^{-2} = det ||a||, \quad J(a) = \frac{1}{det ||t||} = \sqrt{det ||a||}.
\]  

To obtain the relation (4.2) we write, first
\[
Q_{N+1}(z, \varphi_k, \varphi_l) = Q_N(\varphi_k, \varphi_l) + \varphi_N^2 - \varphi_N \varphi_{N-1}/z,
\]
then rewrite this form similar to Eq. (4.1) and write down the equality for the last several terms
\[
\frac{J_N^2}{J_{N-1}^2} \varphi_{N-1}^2 + \varphi_N^2 - \frac{\varphi_N \varphi_{N-1}}{z} = \frac{J_N^2}{J_{N-1}^2} \left( \varphi_{N-1} - \frac{J_{N-1}^2 \varphi_N}{2z} \right) + \frac{J_{N+1}^2}{J_N^2} \varphi_N^2.
\]

From equality of coefficients before $\varphi_N^2$ in the left and right sides we obtain
\[
1 = \frac{J_{N-1}^2}{4z^2 J_N^2} + \frac{J_{N+1}^2}{J_N^2}
\]
and equation (4.2) follows immediately.

The relation can be obtained from Eq. (4.2)
\[
J_N^2(z) = J_{N-k}^2 J_{k+1}^2 - \frac{1}{4z^2} J_{N-k-1}^2 J_k^2
\]
which, at $N = 2m$, $k = m$ ($m$ is the integer), leads to remarkable relation
\[
J_{2m}^2 = J_{m+1}^2 \left( J_{m+1}^2 - \frac{1}{4z^2} J_m^2 \right).
\]

Relation (A.10) can be verified easily for $J_4^2$, $J_6^2$ and $J_8^2$, see section 4. It follows from (A.10) that at $N = 2m$ not only $J_N(\pi/N) = 0$, but also $J_N(2\pi/N) = 0$ which has quite simple explanation.

For the odd values of $N$ another useful factorization property takes place:
\[
J_{2m+1}^2 = \left( J_{m+1}^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4z^2} \left( J_m^2 \right)^2 = \left( J_{m+1}^2 - \frac{1}{2z} J_m^2 \right) \left( J_{m+1}^2 + \frac{1}{2z} J_m^2 \right),
\]

which can be easily verified for $J_7^2$ and $J_9^2$ given in section 4.

The polynomials $J_N^2$ and equations for $z_N = cos(\pi/N)$ can be obtained in more conventional way. There is an obvious equality
\[
[exp(i\pi/N)]^N = exp(i\pi) = -1
\]
It can be written in the form
\[
[cos(\pi/N) + isin(\pi/N)]^N = -1, \quad \text{or separately for the real and imaginary parts}
\]
\[
Re \left\{ [cos(\pi/N) + isin(\pi/N)]^N \right\} = -1, \quad Im \left\{ [cos(\pi/N) + isin(\pi/N)]^N \right\} = 0.
\]
The polynomials in $z^N = \cos(\pi/N)$ which are obtained in the left side of (A.13) coincide with polynomials obtained in section 4. However, some further efforts are necessary to get useful recurrent relations (A.9), (A.10).

The algebra of the polynomials $J^2_N$ and some related mathematical aspects will be considered in more details elsewhere.
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