Boundary behaviors of modified Green’s function with respect to the stationary Schrödinger operator and its applications
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Abstract
In this paper, we construct a modified Green’s function with respect to the stationary Schrödinger operator on cones. As applications, we not only obtain the boundary behaviors of generalized harmonic functions but also characterize the geometrical properties of the exceptional sets with respect to the Schrödinger operator.
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1 Introduction and results
Let \( \mathbb{R} \) and \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) be the set of all real numbers and the set of all positive real numbers, respectively. We denote by \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (\( n \geq 2 \)) the \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space. A point in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is denoted by \( P = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \). The Euclidean distance between two points \( P \) and \( Q \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is denoted by \( |PQ| \). Also \( |P - O| \) with the origin \( O \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is simply denoted by \( |P| \). The boundary and the closure of a set \( S \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) are denoted by \( \partial S \) and \( \overline{S} \), respectively.

We introduce a system of spherical coordinates \( (r, \Theta) \), \( \Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}) \), in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) which are related to Cartesian coordinates \( (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \) by \( x_n = r \cos \theta_1 \).

The unit sphere and the upper half unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) are denoted by \( S^{n-1} \) and \( S_n^{n-1} \), respectively. For simplicity, a point \( (1, \Theta) \) on \( S^{n-1} \) and the set \( \{\Theta; (1, \Theta) \in \Omega\} \) for a set \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \), are often identified with \( \Theta \) and \( \Omega \), respectively. For two sets \( \Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \Omega \subseteq S^{n-1} \), the set \( \{r, \Theta \in \mathbb{R}; r \in \Xi, (1, \Theta) \in \Omega\} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is simply denoted by \( \Xi \times \Omega \). In particular, the half space \( \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^{n-1} = \{(X, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_n > 0\} \) will be denoted by \( T_n \).

For \( P \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( r > 0 \), let \( B(P, r) \) denote the open ball with center at \( P \) and radius \( r \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). \( S_r = \partial B(O, r) \). By \( C_n(\Omega) \), we denote the set \( \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n \) with the domain \( \Omega \) on \( S^{n-1} \). We call it a cone. Then \( T_n \) is a special cone obtained by putting \( \Omega = S^{n-1} \). We denote the sets \( I \times \Omega \) and \( I \times \partial \Omega \) with an interval on \( \mathbb{R} \) by \( C_n(\Omega; I) \) and \( S_n(\Omega; I) \). By \( S_n(\Omega; r) \) we denote \( C_n(\Omega) \cap S_r \). By \( S_n(\Omega) \) we denote \( S_n(\Omega; (0, +\infty)) \), which is \( \partial C_n(\Omega) - \{0\} \).

We shall say that a set \( E \subseteq C_n(\Omega) \) has a covering \( \{R_j, r_j\} \) if there exists a sequence of balls \( \{B_j\} \) with centers in \( C_n(\Omega) \) such that \( E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \), where \( r_j \) is the radius of \( B_j \) and \( R_j \) is the distance between the origin and the center of \( B_j \).

Let \( \mathcal{A}_{n} \) denote the class of non-negative radial potentials \( a(P) \), i.e. \( 0 \leq a(P) = a(r), P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) \), such that \( a \in L^b_{\text{loc}}(C_n(\Omega)) \) with some \( b > n/2 \) if \( n \geq 4 \) and with \( b = 2 \) if \( n = 2 \) or \( n = 3 \).
This article is devoted to the stationary Schrödinger equation

\[
\text{Sch}_a u(P) = -\Delta u(P) + a(P)u(P) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ P \in C_n(\Omega),
\]

where \( \Delta \) is the Laplace operator and \( a \in \mathcal{A}_a \). These solutions are called generalized harmonic functions (associated with the operator \( \text{Sch}_a \)). Note that they are (classical) harmonic functions in the case \( a = 0 \). Under these assumptions the operator \( \text{Sch}_a \) can be extended in the usual way from the space \( C_0^\infty(\Omega) \) to an essentially self-adjoint operator on \( L^2(C_n(\Omega)) \) (see [1]). We will denote it \( \text{Sch}_a \) as well. The latter has a Green-Sch function \( G(\Omega; a)(P, Q) \). Here \( G(\Omega; a)(P, Q) \) is positive on \( C_n(\Omega) \) and its inner normal derivative \( \partial G(\Omega; a)(P, Q)/\partial n_\Omega \geq 0 \). We denote this derivative by \( \mathbb{P}(\Omega; a)(P, Q) \), which is called the Poisson kernel with respect to the stationary Schrödinger operator. We remark that \( G(\Omega; 0)(P, Q) \) and \( \mathbb{P}(\Omega; 0)(P, Q) \) are the Green's function and Poisson kernel of the Laplacian in \( C_n(\Omega) \), respectively.

Let \( \Delta^* \) be a Laplace-Beltrami operator (spherical part of the Laplace) on \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) and \( \lambda_j (j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \ldots) \) be the eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem for \( \Delta^* \) on \( \Omega \) (see, e.g., [2], p.41)

\[
\Delta^* \varphi(\theta) + \lambda_j \varphi(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega,
\]

\[
\varphi(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{on} \ \partial \Omega.
\]

Corresponding eigenfunctions are denoted by \( \varphi_j (1 \leq j \leq \nu) \), where \( \nu_j \) is the multiplicity of \( \lambda_j \). We set \( \lambda_0 = 0 \), normalize the eigenfunctions in \( L^2(\Omega) \), and \( \varphi_1 = \tilde{\varphi}_1 > 0 \).

In order to ensure the existence of \( \lambda_j (j = 1, 2, 3 \ldots) \), we put a rather strong assumption on \( \Omega \): if \( n \geq 3 \), then \( \Omega \) is a \( C^2 \) domain (\( 0 < \alpha < 1 \)) on \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) surrounded by a finite number of mutually disjoint closed hypersurfaces (e.g., see [3], pp.88-89, for the definition of \( C^2 \alpha \)-domain). Then \( \varphi_j \in C^2(\Omega) \) (\( j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 1 \leq j \leq \nu_j \)) and \( \partial \varphi_j / \partial n > 0 \) on \( \partial \Omega \) (here and below, \( \partial / \partial n \) denotes differentiation along the interior normal).

Hence the well-known estimates (see, e.g., [4], p.14) imply the following inequality:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_j} (\varphi_j(1)) \frac{\partial \varphi_j(\phi)}{\partial n_\phi} \leq M(n)^{2n-1},
\]

where the symbol \( M(n) \) denotes a constant depending only on \( n \).

Let \( W_j(r) (j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots) \) and \( V_j(r) (j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots) \) stand, respectively, for the increasing and non-increasing, as \( r \rightarrow +\infty \), solutions of the equation

\[
-Q(r) - \frac{n-1}{r}Q(r) + \left( \frac{\lambda_j}{r^2} + a(r) \right) Q(r) = 0, \quad 0 < r < \infty,
\]

normalized under the condition \( V_j(1) = W_j(1) = 1 \) (see [5, 6]).

We shall also consider the class \( \mathcal{B}_a \), consisting of the potentials \( a \in \mathcal{A}_a \) such that there exists a finite limit \( \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2a(r) = k \in [0, \infty) \), moreover, \( r^{-1}r^2a(r) - k \in L(1, \infty) \). If \( a \in \mathcal{B}_a \), then the g.h.f.s. are continuous (see [7]).

In the rest of this paper, we assume that \( a \in \mathcal{B}_a \) and we shall suppress this assumption for simplicity. Further, we use the standard notations \( u^+ = \max(u, 0), u^- = -\min(u, 0) \), \([d] \) is the integer part of \( d \) and \( d = [d] + \{d\} \), where \( d \) is a positive real number.
Denote
\[ i_{j,k}^0 = \frac{2 - n \pm \sqrt{(n-2)^2 + 4(k + \lambda)}}{2} \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \ldots) . \]

It is well known (see [8]) that in the case under consideration the solutions to equation (1.2) have the asymptotics
\[ V_j(r) \sim d_1 r^{i_{j,k}} , \quad W_j(r) \sim d_2 r^{i_{j,k}} \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty , \quad (1.3) \]
where \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are some positive constants.

If \( a \in A_a \), it is well known that the following expansion holds for the Green's function \( G(\Omega; a)(P, Q) \) (see [9], Chapter 11):
\[ G(\Omega; a)(P, Q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi'(1)} V_j\left(\min(r, t)\right) W_j\left(\max(r, t)\right) \left( \sum_{v=1}^{v_j} \varphi_{jv}(\Theta) \varphi_{jv}(\Phi) \right) \quad (1.4) \]
where \( P = (r, \Theta), \ Q = (t, \Phi), \ r \neq t \) and \( \chi'(s) = w(W_1(r), V_1(r)) |_{s=r} \) is their Wronskian. The series converges uniformly if either \( r \leq st \) or \( t \leq sr \) \((0 < s < 1)\). The expansion (1.4) can also be rewritten in terms of the Gegenbauer polynomials.

For a non-negative integer \( m \) and two points \( P = (r, \Theta), \ Q = (t, \Phi) \in C_n(\Omega) \), we put
\[ K(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\chi'(1)} V_j\left(\min(r, t)\right) W_j\left(\max(r, t)\right) \left( \sum_{v=1}^{v_j} \varphi_{jv}(\Theta) \varphi_{jv}(\Phi) \right) & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq t < \infty , \\ K(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq t < 1 . \end{cases} \]

If we modify the Green's function with respect to the stationary Schrödinger operator on cones as follows:
\[ G(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) = G(\Omega; a)(P, Q) - K(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) \]
for two points \( P = (r, \Theta), \ Q = (t, \Phi) \in C_n(\Omega) \), then the modified Poisson kernel with respect to the stationary Schrödinger operator on cones can be defined by
\[ \mathcal{P}(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) = \frac{\partial G(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q}. \]

We remark that
\[ \mathcal{P}(\Omega; a, 0)(P, Q) = \mathcal{P}(\Omega; a)(P, Q). \]

In this paper, we shall use the modified Poisson integrals with respect to the stationary Schrödinger operator defined by
\[ \mathcal{P}(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) u(Q) \, d\sigma_Q , \]
where \( u(Q) \) is a continuous function on \( \partial C_n(\Omega) \) and \( d\sigma_Q \) is the surface area element on \( S_n(\Omega) \).

If \( \gamma \) is a real number and \( \gamma \geq 0 \) (resp. \( \gamma < 0 \)), we assume in addition that \( 1 \leq p < \infty \),

\[
\begin{align*}
- \frac{t^*_p[\gamma] + \{ \gamma \} - n + 1}{p} < t^*_{m+1,k} &< \frac{t^*_p[\gamma] + \{ \gamma \} - n + 1}{p} + 1 \\
(\text{resp. } - \frac{t^*_p[-\gamma] + \{ -\gamma \} - n + 1}{p} < t^*_{m+1,k} &< \frac{t^*_p[-\gamma] + \{ -\gamma \} - n + 1}{p} + 1),
\end{align*}
\]

in the case \( p > 1 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
- \frac{t^*_p[\gamma] + \{ \gamma \} - n + 1}{p} < t^*_{m+1,k} &< \frac{t^*_p[\gamma] + \{ \gamma \} - n + 1}{p} + 1 \\
(\text{resp. } - \frac{t^*_p[-\gamma] + \{ -\gamma \} - n + 1}{p} < t^*_{m+1,k} &< \frac{t^*_p[-\gamma] + \{ -\gamma \} - n + 1}{p} + 1).
\end{align*}
\]

and in the case \( p = 1 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
t^*_p[\gamma] + \{ \gamma \} - n + 1 \leq t^*_{m+1,k} &< t^*_p[\gamma] + \{ \gamma \} - n + 2 \\
(\text{resp. } - t^*_p[-\gamma] + \{ -\gamma \} - n + 1 \leq t^*_{m+1,k} &< - t^*_p[-\gamma] + \{ -\gamma \} - n + 2).
\end{align*}
\]

If these conditions all hold, we write \( \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(k, p, m, n) \) (resp. \( \gamma \in \mathcal{D}(k, p, m, n) \)).

Let \( \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(k, p, m, n) \) (resp. \( \gamma \in \mathcal{D}(k, p, m, n) \)); and \( \mu \) be functions on \( \partial C_n(\Omega) \) satisfying

\[
\int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{|u(t, \Phi)|^p}{1 + t^*_p[\gamma] + \{ \gamma \}} d\sigma_Q < \infty \tag{1.5}
\]

For \( \gamma \) and \( u \), we define the positive measure \( \mu \) (resp. \( \nu \)) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by

\[
d\mu(Q) = \begin{cases} 
|u(t(\Phi))|^p t^*_p[\gamma] d\sigma_Q, & Q = (t, \Phi) \in S_n(\Omega(1, +\infty)), \\
0, & Q \in \mathbb{R}^n - S_n(\Omega(1, +\infty)),
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
d\nu(Q) = \begin{cases} 
|u(t(\Phi))|^p t^*_p[-\gamma] d\sigma_Q, & Q = (t, \Phi) \in S_n(\Omega(1, +\infty)), \\
0, & Q \in \mathbb{R}^n - S_n(\Omega(1, +\infty)).
\end{cases}
\]

We remark that the total masses of \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are finite.

Let \( p > -1 \), \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( 0 \leq \zeta \leq np \) and \( \mu \) be any positive measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) having finite mass. For each \( P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\} \), the maximal function with respect to the stationary Schrödinger operator is defined by (see [10])

\[
M(P; \mu, \zeta) = \sup_{0 < r < \frac{r}{\epsilon}} \mu(B(P, r)) \left[ V_1(r) W_1(r) \right]^p r^{p-2p}.
\]

The set

\[
\{ P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}; M(P; \mu, \zeta) \left[ V_1(r) W_1(r) \right]^p r^{2p-2p} > \epsilon \}
\]

is denoted by \( E(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \).
Recently, Yoshida-Miyamoto (cf. [11], Theorem 1) gave the asymptotic behavior of \( P_{n}^{0}(m,u)(P) \) at infinity on cones.

**Theorem A** If \( u \) is a continuous function on \( \partial C_{n}(\Omega) \) satisfying

\[
\int_{\partial C_{n}(\Omega)} \frac{|u(t,\Phi)|}{1 + t^\epsilon} \, dQ < \infty,
\]

then

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty, P=(r,\theta) \in T_{n}} P_{n}^{0}(m,u)(P) = o\left(i_{m+1,0}^{+} \varphi_{1}^{-1}(\Theta)\right).
\]

Now we have the following.

**Theorem 1** If \( p > -1 \), \( \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(k,p,m,n) \) (resp. \( \gamma \in \mathcal{D}(k,p,m,n) \)) and \( u \) is a measurable function on \( \partial C_{n}(\Omega) \) satisfying (1.5), then there exists a covering \( \{R_{j}\} \) of \( E(\epsilon;\mu,\zeta) \) (resp. \( E(\epsilon;\nu,\xi) \) \( \subset C_{n}(\Omega) \)) satisfying

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r_{j}}{R_{j}} \right)^{2p-q} \left[ \frac{V_{j}(R_{j})}{V_{j}(r_{j})} \right]^{p} \left[ \frac{W_{j}(R_{j})}{W_{j}(r_{j})} \right]^{p} < \infty
\]

such that

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty, P=(r,\theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega) \cap E(\epsilon;\mu,\zeta)} \left[ \frac{r^{p_{j}}}{r^{p_{j+1}}} \right]^{1-p_{j}} \left[ \frac{V_{j}(R_{j})}{V_{j}(r_{j})} \right]^{p} \left[ \frac{W_{j}(R_{j})}{W_{j}(r_{j})} \right]^{p} \varphi_{1}^{-1}(\Theta) = 0.
\]

**Remark** In the case that \( \nu = 0, \phi = 1, \gamma = n+m \) and \( \zeta = n \), then (1.6) is a finite sum, the set \( E(\epsilon;\mu,n) \) is a bounded set and (1.7)-(1.8) hold in \( C_{n}(\Omega) \). This is just the result of Theorem A.

As an application of modified Green’s function with respect to the stationary Schrödinger operator and Theorem 1, we give the solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator on \( C_{n}(\Omega) \).

**Theorem 2** If \( u \) is a continuous function on \( \partial C_{n}(\Omega) \) satisfying

\[
\int_{\partial C_{n}(\Omega)} \frac{|u(t,\Phi)|}{1 + V_{m+1}(t)\nu_{-1}} \, d\sigma_{Q} < \infty,
\]

then the function \( \Pi_{n}^{0}(m,u)(P) \) satisfies

\[
\Pi_{n}^{0}(m,u) \in C^{2}(C_{n}(\Omega)) \cap C^{0}(C_{n}(\Omega)),
\]

\[
\text{Schr} \Pi_{n}^{0}(m,u) = 0 \quad \text{in} \ C_{n}(\Omega),
\]

\[
\Pi_{n}^{0}(m,u) = u \quad \text{on} \ \partial C_{n}(\Omega),
\]

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty, P=(r,\theta) \in C_{n}(\Omega)} r^{-i_{m+1,k}^{+} \varphi_{1}^{-1}(\Theta)} \Pi_{n}^{0}(m,u)(P) = 0.
\]
2 Lemmas
Throughout this paper, let \( M \) denote various constants independent of the variables in questions, which may be different from line to line.

Lemma 1

(i) \( \mathbb{P}(\Omega; a)(P, Q) \leq M r^{1/\lambda - 1} \varphi_1(\Theta) \)

(ii) \( \left( \text{resp. } \mathbb{P}(\Omega; a)(P, Q) \leq M r^{1/\lambda - 1} \varphi_1(\Theta) \right) \)

for any \( P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) \) and any \( Q = (t, \Phi) \in S_n(\Omega) \) satisfying \( 0 < \frac{t}{r} \leq \frac{4}{3} \) (resp. \( 0 < \frac{r}{t} \leq \frac{4}{3} \));

(iii) \( \mathbb{P}(\Omega; 0)(P, Q) \leq M \varphi_1(\Theta) \frac{r^{p-1} \varphi_1(\Theta)}{|P - Q|^n} \)

for any \( P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) \) and any \( Q = (t, \Phi) \in S_n(\Omega; \{ \frac{4}{3} r, \frac{5}{3} r \}) \).

Proof (i) and (ii) are obtained by Levin (see [9], Chapter 11). (iii) follows from the work of Azarin (see [12], Lemma 4 and Remark).

Lemma 2 (see [9], p.356) For a non-negative integer \( m \), we have

\[
|\mathbb{P}(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q)| \leq M(n, m, s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_m(r)}{r^n} \frac{\varphi_1(\Theta)}{\partial n_\Theta} (2.1)
\]

for any \( P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) \) and \( Q = (t, \Phi) \in S_n(\Omega) \) satisfying \( r \leq st \) \( (0 < s < 1) \), where \( M(n, m, s) \) is a constant dependent on \( n, m, \) and \( s \).

Lemma 3 Let \( p > -1 \) and \( \mu \) be a positive measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) having finite total mass. Then \( E(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \) has a covering \( \{ r_j, B_j \} (j = 1, 2, \ldots) \) satisfying

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r_j}{R_j} \right)^{2p-\lambda} \left[ \frac{V_j(r_j)}{W_j(r_j)} \right]^{\lambda} < \infty.
\]

Proof Set

\[
E_j(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) = (P = (r, \Theta) \in E(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) : 2^j \leq r < 2^{j+1}) \quad (j = 2, 3, 4, \ldots).
\]

If \( P = (r, \Theta) \in E_j(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \), then there exists a positive number \( \rho(P) \) such that

\[
\left( \frac{\rho(P)}{r} \right)^{2p-\lambda} \left[ \frac{V_j(r)}{W_j(r)} \right]^{\lambda} \lesssim \left( \frac{\rho(P)}{r} \right)^{np-\lambda} \lesssim \frac{\mu(B(P, \rho(P)))}{\epsilon}.
\]

Here \( E_j(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \) can be covered by the union of a family of balls \( (B(P_{j,i}, \rho_{j,i}) : P_{j,i} \in E_j(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta)) \) \( (\rho_{j,i} = \rho(P_{j,i})) \). By the Vitali lemma (see [13]), there exists \( \Lambda_j \subset E_j(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \), which is at most countable, such that \( (B(P_{j,i}, \rho_{j,i}) : P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j) \) are disjoint and \( E_j(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \subset \bigcup_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} B(P_{j,i}, 5 \rho_{j,i}) \).

So

\[
\bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} E_j(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \subset \bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{P_{j,i} \in \Lambda_j} B(P_{j,i}, 5 \rho_{j,i}).
\]
On the other hand, note that \( \bigcup_{\rho_j \in \Lambda_j} B(P_{j,i}, \rho_j) \subset (P = (r, \Theta) : 2^{-j} \leq r < 2^{-j+1}) \), so that
\[
\sum_{\rho_j \in \Lambda_j} \left( \frac{\rho_j}{|P_{j,i}|} \right)^{2p-\gamma} \left[ \frac{V_j(|P_{j,i}|)}{V_j(|P_{j,i}|)} \right]^p \sim \sum_{\rho_j \in \Lambda_j} \left( \frac{\rho_j}{|P_{j,i}|} \right)^{np-\gamma} \leq \frac{5^{np-\gamma}}{\epsilon} \mu(B(P_{j,i}, \rho_j)) \\
\leq \frac{5^{np-\gamma}}{\epsilon} \mu(C_n(\Omega; [2^{-1}, 2^{1/2}])).
\]

Hence we obtain
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho_j \in \Lambda_j} \left( \frac{\rho_j}{|P_{j,i}|} \right)^{2p-\gamma} \left[ \frac{V_j(|P_{j,i}|)}{V_j(|P_{j,i}|)} \right]^p \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho_j \in \Lambda_j} \left( \frac{\rho_j}{|P_{j,i}|} \right)^{np-\gamma} \leq \frac{3\mu(R^n)}{\epsilon} + 6^{np-\gamma} < +\infty,
\]
where \( B(P_{1,6}) = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the ball which covers \( \{P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^n ; r < 4 \} \).

\section{Proof of Theorem}

We only prove the case \( p > -1 \) and \( \gamma \geq 0 \), the remaining cases can be proved similarly.

For any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( R_\epsilon > 1 \) such that
\[
\int_{S_n(\Omega; |r|\epsilon)} \frac{|dQ|^p}{1 + r|\nu^*|^p} \, d\sigma_Q < \epsilon. \tag{3.1}
\]

The relation \( G(\Omega; a)(P, Q) \leq G(\Omega; 0)(P, Q) \) implies the inequality (see [14])
\[
P_{\Omega}(\omega; a)(P, Q) \leq P_{\Omega}(\omega; 0)(P, Q). \tag{3.2}
\]

For \( 0 < s < \frac{4}{5} \) and any fixed point \( P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) - E(\epsilon; \mu, \xi) \) satisfying \( r > \frac{s}{2} R_\epsilon \), let \( I_1 = S_n(\Omega; (0, 1)), I_2 = S_n(\Omega; [1, R_\epsilon]), I_3 = S_n(\Omega; [R_\epsilon, \frac{4}{5} r]), I_4 = S_n(\Omega; [\frac{4}{5} r, \frac{2}{3} r]), I_5 = S_n(\Omega; [\frac{2}{3} r, \frac{3}{5} r]), I_6 = S_n(\Omega; [\frac{3}{5} r, \infty]) \) and \( I_7 = S_n(\Omega; [1, \frac{4}{5}]) \), we write
\[
P_{\Omega}^m(m, u)(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \int_{I_i} P_{\Omega}(\omega; a, m)(P, Q) u(Q) \, d\sigma_Q = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \int_{I_i} P_{\Omega}(\omega; a)(P, Q) u(Q) \, d\sigma_Q \]
which yields

\[ \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}^d(m, u)(P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{7} U_i(P), \]

where

\[
U_i(P) = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial n_Q} (\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) \right| u(Q) \, d\sigma_Q \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5),
\]

\[
U_5(P) = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial n_Q} (\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) \right| u(Q) \, d\sigma_Q,
\]

\[
U_2(P) = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial n_Q} (\Omega; a, m)(P, Q) \right| u(Q) \, d\sigma_Q.
\]

If \( l_{i, k} + \gamma > 0 \) and \( n > 1 \), then \( l_{i, k} - 1 = 1 + \frac{l_{i, k} - 1}{p} \) if \( n - 1 > 0 \). By (1.5), (3.1), Lemma 1(i), and Hölder’s inequality, we have the following growth estimates:

\[
U_2(P) \leq Mr^{l_{i, k}} \phi_1(\Theta) \int_{\Omega} t^{l_{i, k} - 1} |u(Q)| \, d\sigma_Q \leq Mr^{l_{i, k}} \phi_1(\Theta) \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(Q)|^p \, d\sigma_Q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} t^{(l_{i, k} - 1)q} \, d\sigma_Q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq Mr^{l_{i, k} + \beta} \phi_1(\Theta),
\]

(3.3)

\[
U_1(P) \leq Mr^{l_{i, k} + \beta} \phi_1(\Theta).
\]

(3.4)

\[
U_3(P) \leq Me^{r^{-}t_{i, k}^{-1}g - \gamma} \phi_1(\Theta).
\]

(3.5)

If \( \theta_{i, k}^{(\gamma)} > 0 \), then \( l_{i, k} - 1 = 1 + \frac{l_{i, k} - 1}{p} \) if \( g > 1, q < 1 \). We obtain by (3.1), Lemma 1(ii), and Hölder’s inequality

\[
U_3(P) \leq Mr^{l_{i, k}} \phi_1(\Theta) \int_{\Omega} t^{l_{i, k} - 1} |u(Q)| \, d\sigma_Q \leq Mr^{l_{i, k}} \phi_1(\Theta) \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(Q)|^p \, d\sigma_Q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} t^{(l_{i, k} - 1)q} \, d\sigma_Q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \times \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{(l_{i, k} - 1)q}}{t^{(l_{i, k} - 1)q}} \, d\sigma_Q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq Mr^{l_{i, k} + \beta} \phi_1(\Theta).
\]

(3.6)

By (3.2) and Lemma 1(iii), we consider the inequality

\[
U_4(P) \leq U_4'(P) + U_4''(P),
\]
where

\[ U'_4(P) = M\varphi_1(\Theta) \int_{I_4} t^{1-n} |u(Q)| \, d\sigma_Q, \quad U''_4(P) = Mr\varphi_1(\Theta) \int_{I_4} \frac{|u(Q)|}{|P - Q|^n} \, d\sigma_Q. \]

We first have

\[ U'_4(P) = M\varphi_1(\Theta) \int_{I_4} t^{1-n} |u(Q)| \, d\sigma_Q \]
\[ \leq MrC_{4,1} \varphi_1(\Theta) \int_{S_4(\Omega)} t^{1-\frac{1}{n}} |u(Q)| \, d\sigma_Q \]
\[ \leq Mer^{1-\frac{1}{n}} \varphi_1(\Theta), \]

which is similar to the estimate of \( U_5(P) \).

Next, we shall estimate \( U''_4(P) \).

Take a sufficiently small positive number \( \varepsilon \) such that \( I_4 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Gamma \) for any \( P = (r, \Theta) \in \Pi(c) \) (see [15]), where

\[ \Pi(c) = \left\{ P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) ; \inf_{z \in \Omega} |(1, \Theta) - (1, z)| < c, 0 < r < \infty \right\}, \]

and divide \( C_n(\Omega) \) into two sets \( \Pi(c) \) and \( C_n(\Omega) - \Pi(c) \).

If \( P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) - \Pi(c) \), then there exists a positive \( c' \) such that \( |P - Q| \geq c'r \) for any \( Q \in S_n(\Omega) \), and hence

\[ U''_4(P) \leq M\varphi_1(\Theta) \int_{I_4} t^{1-n} |u(Q)| \, d\sigma_Q \]
\[ \leq Mer^{1-\frac{1}{n}} \varphi_1(\Theta), \]

which is similar to the estimate of \( U'_4(P) \).

We shall consider the case \( P = (r, \Theta) \in \Pi(c) \). Now put

\[ H_4(P) = \left\{ Q \in I_4; 2^{i-1}\delta(P) \leq |P - Q| < 2^i\delta(P) \right\}, \]

where \( \delta(P) = \inf_{Q \in C_n(\Omega)} |P - Q| \).

Since \( S_n(\Omega) \cap \{ Q \in \mathbb{R}^n : |P - Q| < \delta(P) \} = \emptyset \), we have

\[ U''_4(P) = M \sum_{i=1}^{i(P)} \int_{H_i(P)} r\varphi_1(\Theta) \frac{|u(Q)|}{|P - Q|^n} \, d\sigma_Q, \]

where \( i(P) \) is a positive integer satisfying \( 2^{i(P)-1}\delta(P) \leq \varepsilon < 2^{i(P)}\delta(P) \).

Since \( r\varphi_1(\Theta) \leq M\delta(P) \) \( (P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)) \), similar to the estimate of \( U'_4(P) \), we obtain

\[ \int_{H_i(P)} r\varphi_1(\Theta) \frac{|u(Q)|}{|P - Q|^n} \, d\sigma_Q \]
\[ \leq 2^{1-\frac{n}{2}} r\varphi_1(\Theta) \delta(P) \int_{H_i(P)} \delta(P)^{\frac{n}{2}} |u(Q)| \, d\sigma_Q. \]
\[
\leq M\Phi^{1-\frac{1}{n}} (\Theta) \delta(P)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}} \int_{B(x)} t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p}} |u(Q)| d\sigma_Q \\
\leq Mr^{\frac{1-\frac{1}{n}}{n}} \Phi^{1-\frac{1}{n}} (\Theta) \delta(P)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p}} \int_{B(x)} t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p}} |u(Q)| d\sigma_Q \\
\leq Mr^{\frac{1-\frac{1}{n}}{n}} \Phi^{1-\frac{1}{n}} (\Theta) \left( \frac{\mu(B(P))}{(2\delta(P))^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]
for \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, i(P) \).

Since \( P = (r, \Theta) \notin E(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \), we have
\[
\frac{\mu(B(P, 2\delta(P)))}{(2\delta(P))^{\frac{1}{n}}} \lesssim \frac{\mu(B(P, \rho))}{(2\delta(P))^{\frac{1}{n}}} \lesssim M(P, \mu, \zeta) \\
\lesssim \epsilon \left[ V_1(r) W_1(r) \right]^p r^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p}} \\
\leq \epsilon r^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p}} \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, i(P) - 1)
\]
and
\[
\frac{\mu(B(P, 2\delta(P)))}{(2\delta(P))^{\frac{1}{n}}} \lesssim \left( \frac{\mu(B(P, \rho))}{(2\delta(P))^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^p \left( \frac{\mu(B(P, \rho))}{(2\delta(P))^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right)^{1-p} \leq \epsilon r^{1-\frac{\alpha}{p}}.
\]

So
\[
U'(P) \leq M\epsilon^\frac{1}{p} \Phi^{1-\frac{1}{n}} (\Theta).
\]

(3.9)

We only consider \( U(P) \) in the case \( m \geq 1 \), since \( U(P) \equiv 0 \) for \( m = 0 \). By the definition of \( \tilde{K}(\Omega; a, m) \), (1.1), and Lemma 2, we see (see [16])
\[
U(P) \leq \frac{M}{x'(1)} \sum_{j=0}^{m} q_j(r),
\]
where
\[
q_j = V_j(r) \phi_1(\Theta) \int_{S_j(\epsilon, \Omega; \rho)} W_j(t) |u(Q)| t d\sigma_Q.
\]
To estimate \( q_j(r) \), we write
\[
q_j(r) \leq q'_j(r) + q''_j(r),
\]
where
\[
q'_j(r) = V_j(r) \phi_1(\Theta) \int_{S_j(\epsilon, \Omega; \rho)} W_j(t) |u(Q)| t d\sigma_Q, \\
q''_j(r) = V_j(r) \phi_1(\Theta) \int_{S_j(\epsilon, \Omega; \rho)} W_j(t) |u(Q)| t d\sigma_Q.
\]
If \( t_{m+1,k}^* < \frac{t_{m+1,k}^{r+|y|-m+1}}{p} + 1 \), then \((-t_{m+1,k}^* - n + 2 + \frac{t_{m+1,k}^{r+|y|}}{p})q + n - 1 > 0 \). Notice that

\[
V_j(t) \frac{V_{m+1}(t)}{V_j(t) t} \leq M \frac{V_{m+1}(t)}{r} \leq Mr_{m+1}^{r-1} \quad \left( t \geq 1, R_e < \frac{r}{s} \right)
\]

Thus, by (1.3), (1.5), and Hölder’s inequality we conclude

\[
q_j^{\prime}(r) = V_j(r) \varphi_1(\Theta) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(Q)|}{V_j(t) r^{m-1}} d\sigma Q
\]

\[
\leq MV_j(r) \varphi_1(\Theta) \int_{\Omega} \frac{V_{m+1}(t)}{t^{m+1,k}} \frac{|u(Q)|}{V_j(t) r^{m-1}} d\sigma Q
\]

\[
\leq Mr_{m+1}^{r-1} \varphi_1(\Theta) \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(Q)|^p \frac{1}{r^{m+1,k}} d\sigma Q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} t^{(t_{m+1,k}^* - n + 1)} \frac{|u(Q)|^{q}}{r^{m+1,k}} d\sigma Q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\]

\[
\leq Mr_{m+1}^{r-1} \varphi_1(\Theta)
\]

Analogous to the estimate of \( q_j^{\prime}(r) \), we have

\[
q_j^{\prime \prime}(r) \leq Mr_{m+1}^{r-1} \varphi_1(\Theta).
\]

Thus we can conclude that

\[
q_j(r) \leq Mr_{m+1}^{r-1} \varphi_1(\Theta),
\]

which yields

\[
U_j(P) \leq Mr_{m+1}^{r-1} \varphi_1(\Theta).
\]  

(3.10)

If \( t_{m+1,k}^* > \frac{t_{m+1,k}^{r+|y|-m+1}}{p} \), then \((-t_{m+1,k}^* - n + 1 + \frac{t_{m+1,k}^{r+|y|}}{p})q + n - 1 < 0 \). By (3.1), Lemma 2, and Hölder’s inequality, we have

\[
U_0 \leq MV_{m+1}(r) \varphi_1(\Theta) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(Q)|}{V_{m+1}(t) r^{m-1}} d\sigma Q
\]

\[
\leq MV_{m+1}(r) \varphi_1(\Theta) \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(Q)|^p \frac{1}{r^{m+1,k}} d\sigma Q \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} t^{(t_{m+1,k}^* - n + 1)} \frac{|u(Q)|^{q}}{r^{m+1,k}} d\sigma Q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\]

\[
\leq Mr_{m+1}^{r-1} \varphi_1(\Theta).
\]  

(3.11)

Combining (3.3)-(3.11), we see that if \( R_e \) is sufficiently large and \( \epsilon \) is sufficiently small, then

\[
\|u\|_{\Omega}^\alpha (m, u)(P) = o\left( r^{(t_{m+1,k}^{r+|y|-m+1})} \frac{1}{\varphi_1(\Theta)} \right)
\]

as \( r \to \infty \), where \( P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega; (R_e, +\infty)) - E(\epsilon; \mu, \zeta) \). Finally, there exists an additional finite ball \( B_0 \) covering \( C_n(\Omega; (0, R_e)) \), which, together with Lemma 3, gives the conclusion of Theorem 1.
4 Proof of Theorem 2

For any fixed $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$, take a number satisfying $R > \max(1, \frac{r}{\lambda})$ ($0 < s < \frac{r}{\lambda}$).

By (1.9) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\int_{S_{\theta}(\Omega, R, \infty)} |P_{\Omega}(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q)| |u(Q)| d\sigma_Q \leq V_m+1(r) \phi_1(\Theta) \int_{S_{\theta}(\Omega, R, \infty)} \frac{|u(Q)|}{V_m+1(t)t^{-1}} d\sigma_Q \leq MV_m+1(r) \phi_1(\Theta) < \infty.$$  

Then $P_{\Omega}(m, u)(P)$ is absolutely convergent and finite for any $P \in C_n(\Omega)$. Thus $P_{\Omega}(m, u)(P)$ is a generalized harmonic function on $C_n(\Omega)$.

Now we study the boundary behavior of $P_{\Omega}(m, u)(P)$. Let $Q' = (t', \Phi') \in C_n(\Omega)$ be any fixed point and $l$ be any positive number satisfying $l > \max(t' + \frac{R}{\lambda}, \frac{r}{\lambda})$.

Set $X_{S(l)}$, the characteristic function of $S(l) = \{Q = (t, \Phi) \in \partial C_n(\Omega) \mid t < l\}$ and write

$$P_{\Omega}(m, u)(P) = \left( \int_{S_{\theta}(\Omega; (0, 1))} + \int_{S_{\theta}(\Omega; (1, \frac{R}{\lambda}))} + \int_{S_{\theta}(\Omega; (\frac{R}{\lambda}, \infty))} \right) P_{\Omega}(m, u)(P, Q)u(Q) d\sigma_Q = U'(P) - U''(P) + U'''(P),$$

where

$$U'(P) = \int_{S_{\theta}(\Omega; (0, \frac{R}{\lambda}))} P_{\Omega}(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q)u(Q) d\sigma_Q, \quad U''(P) = \int_{S_{\theta}(\Omega; (1, \frac{R}{\lambda}))} \frac{\partial K(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q} u(Q) d\sigma_Q, \quad U'''(P) = \int_{S_{\theta}(\Omega; (\frac{R}{\lambda}, \infty))} P_{\Omega}(\Omega; a, m)(P, Q)u(Q) d\sigma_Q.$$  

Notice that $U'(P)$ is the Poisson $a$-integral of $u(Q)X_{S(l)}$, we have $\lim_{P - Q', \Phi \in C_n(\Omega)} U'(P) = u(Q')$. Since $\lim_{P - Q', \Phi \in C_n(\Omega)} \phi_1(\Theta) = 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$; $1 \leq v \leq v_j$) as $P = (r, \Theta) \rightarrow Q' = (t', \Phi') \in S(l)$, we have $\lim_{P - Q', \Phi \in C_n(\Omega)} U''(P) = 0$ from the definition of the kernel function $K_{\Omega; a, m}(l, Q)$. $U'''(P) = O(V_m+1(r) \phi_1(\Theta))$ and therefore it tends to zero.

So the function $P_{\Omega}(m, u)(P)$ can be continuously extended to $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{P - Q', \Phi \in C_n(\Omega)} P_{\Omega}(m, u)(P) = u(Q')$$

for any $Q' = (t', \Phi') \in \partial C_n(\Omega)$ from the arbitrariness of $l$, which with Theorem 1 gives the conclusion of Theorem 2.
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