Can diffusion and T2 star-weighted magnetic resonance imaging aid in the diagnosis of ectopic endometrium?
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Abstract

Background: Endometriosis is a common gynecologic disorder characterized by the implantation of the endometrial tissue ectopically outside the endometrial cavity. It affects about 10% of females at the childbearing period and is estimated to be present up to 20–50% in women complaining of infertility. While laparoscopy is considered the mainstay for diagnosis, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is recognized as a useful tool for definitive diagnosis, pre-surgical planning, and determining whether the patient will require multi-specialty involvement. The aim of this study is to evaluate the performance of MRI with the addition of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and T2 star (T2*) to conventional MRI, for the accurate assessment of ectopic endometrium.

Results: Endometriotic lesions that showed diffusion restriction on DWI were 80.7%, and 96.1% of the endometriotic lesions had signal voids on the T2*W sequence, whereas only 65.4% of the lesions had typical signal intensities on T1WI and T2WI. Diagnostic performance of the MRI examination was improved by the use of the diffusion sequence and better improved by the T2* sequence, compared to the conventional MR protocol sensitivity (SE) = 96.12% and specificity (SP) = 85.7% in T2*-weighted images, SE = 80.7% and SP = 71.4% in DWI, and SE = 65.4% and SP = 71.4% in conventional MRI. P value for conventional MRI was 0.1, which is of no statistical significance ($p < 0.05$). P value for DWI was 0.016, which is statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). P value for T2*WI was 0.001, which is more statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) and could be adequately correlated with laparoscopy.

Conclusion: DWI and T2* significantly increase MRI diagnostic accuracy by allowing the detection of the hemorrhagic character of the endometriotic lesions. Studies with a large sample size are needed to confirm that they can replace invasive laparoscopy for the diagnosis of endometriosis.
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Background

Endometriosis is a common gynecologic disorder characterized by the implantation of endometrial tissue ectopically outside the endometrial cavity. The prevalence is approximately 10% of females at the childbearing period and is estimated to be present up to 20–50% in women complaining of infertility [1]. It is known to cause various symptoms, including chronic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, and infertility [2]. Ultrasound (US) is usually the first modality used in the diagnosis of endometriotic lesions; however, MRI has many advantages to US with more reproducible image acquisition over a larger field of view allowing the detection of disease outside the pelvis. Information collected from multiple sequences leads to better characterization of ovarian lesions [3].

While laparoscopy is considered the mainstay for diagnosis, MRI is recognized as a useful tool for definitive diagnosis, pre-surgical planning, and determining whether the patient will require multi-specialty involvement [4].
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Though there is a wide range of imaging appearances of endometriosis, yet their hemorrhagic elements are its main characterizing imaging feature aiding in its diagnosis [5].

Endometriomas contain various stages of hemorrhage, thus produces a wide range of signal behaviors; the shading sign can vary from faint shading to complete signal voiding. Therefore, it is assumed that the degree or volume of shading would differ between endometriomas and hemorrhagic cysts [6].

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) contributes structural and functional information about the biological tissues, without using ionizing radiation or intravenous contrast. Endometriotic implants may show diffusion restriction [7]. A role for DWI is distinguished in the differentiation between endometriomas and hemorrhagic cysts with significantly lower apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values in endometriomas when compared to hemorrhagic ovarian cysts at all b values [8].

A characteristic feature of endometriosis is cyclic hemorrhage caused by ectopic endometrium resulting in deposition of concentrated hemosiderin-laden within the cyst. Identification of this hemosiderin deposit by MRI helps in the diagnosis of endometriomas [9].

T2*-weighted images show magnetic susceptibility effects as signal voids. It is sensitive to hemosiderin; consequently, it aids in the detection of old hemorrhagic content in endometriotic implants and endometriomas [2].

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the performance of MRI with the addition of diffusion-weighted imaging and T2* to conventional MRI, for the accurate assessment of endometriosis.

Methods

Patients

This cross-sectional prospective study was done during the diagnostic step or the pre-operative assessment of endometriosis, between August 2019 and August 2020. Though MRI was performed on 120 patients, yet our quantitative studies included only the surgically/laparoscopically confirmed lesions which were 60 patients with 66 lesions. Their ages ranged from 17 to 44 years. The mean patient age was 31.4 ± 8.13 years.

Inclusion criteria

The following are the inclusion criteria:

- Cystic adnexal/pelvic masses with typical endometriosis signal in ultrasound
- Infertile females seeking fertility, who were referred from the obstetrics and gynecology department with ultrasound showing suspicion of endometriosis
- Women complaining of chronic pelvic pain, especially if it was cyclic

Exclusion criteria

The following are the exclusion criteria:

- Patients with complex ovarian masses, those containing solid lesions.
- We also excluded patients who have a contraindication to MRI examination, such as those having aneurysmal clips and pacemakers.

Magnetic resonance imaging

The MRI was conducted with a 1.5-T magnet device (Gyroscan INTERA and ACHIEVA, Philips Medical Systems, the Netherland), using a pelvic phased-array coil with eight channels.

MRI device: the protocol included T1W, with and without fat saturation sequences; T2W; DWI; and T2*-weighted imaging. MRI results were correlated with histopathological findings in patients who underwent laparoscopy, laparotomy, or open surgical treatment.

Imaging was performed regardless of the stage of the menstrual cycle.

Patient preparation

The following are the patient preparations:

- Fasting (4–6 h) before the examination.
- Bowel enema administered approximately 2–3 h before the examination, with 2 doses of an oral laxative (5 mg bisacodyl per dose) the day before imaging.
- Follow a low-residue diet on the day before and the day of the examination.
- Anti-peristaltic agent is administered intravenously before the examination.
- Ten milligrams of butylescopolamine (Buscopan; Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim, Germany).
- Moderate bladder filling, by not urinating 1 h before the study.

Patient position

Patient was imaged in the supine position.

The protocol used in our study is summarized in Table 1.

Image analysis

MR image interpretation was performed by 2 expert radiologists with more than 10 years of experience in MRI who were blinded about each other’s imaging findings, and they were not aware about the pathology.

In our MRI interpretation, following Foti et al. [2], the criteria considered for the prediction of endometriosis were as follows:
(1) High signal on T1-weighted images with and without fat suppression
(2) Intermediate to low T2WI signal with shading
(3) Restriction at diffusion
(4) Punctate or curvilinear signal voids at T2*WI.

Our data analysis focused upon DWI SI and measured ADC values, as well as T2* signal for the cystic adnexal masses.

**Diffusion-weighted images**

DW images were observed for the presence of a persistent high signal (restricted diffusion) with an increasing b value in correlation with the hemorrhagic components of the included lesions. Matched ADC maps were done using a Phillips Advantage windows workstation with functional tool software. We measured the ADC values by manually applying region of interest (ROI) on the restricted portions of the lesions.

ROI was specifically placed at areas of bright SI on DW images. For lesions with a hemorrhagic component, a large ROI was applied to cover as much as possible of the pathology, in case of lesions with multiple small scattered hemorrhages; multiple ROIs were applied to the areas of concern, and an average was obtained.

**Surgical findings**

Surgical findings were considered as the gold standard.

The possible pathology of the endometriosis was suggested by conventional MR imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging, and T2*-weighted imaging and had been correlated with surgical pathology specimen in patients who have undergone laparoscopy, laparotomy, or open surgical treatment.

**Statistical analysis**

We used software (SPSS for Windows, version 10.0.1, 1999; SPSS, Chicago, Ill) for statistical analysis.

Qualitative variables are described as frequencies and percentages. To assess the diagnostic performance, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive values were estimated from the associated contingency table. Diagnostic performance values of MRI were only evaluated for the patients who underwent laparoscopy, laparotomy, or open surgery.

MRI signal of endometriosis lesions in T1-weighted images with and without fat suppression, T2-weighted images, diffusion-weighted images, and in T2* sequence were compared using percentages, by analyzing the endometriotic lesions found in operated patients.

A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant in all analyses.

**Results**

Though the study included about one hundred and twenty cases, yet histopathologic examination was the only confirmatory method for the lesions; thus, our quantitative studies included only the surgically/laparoscopically confirmed lesions. MR image interpretation was performed by 2 expert radiologists with more than 10 years of experience. The inter-observer reliability between the two radiologists was calculated through the Cohn Kappa test which was 0.97, indicating perfect agreement.

Sixty-six pathologically proven lesions in sixty patients were identified as follows:

- Thirty-four ovarian endometriomas
- Eight abdominal wall cesarean scar endometriosis
- Two bladder endometriosis
- Eight adenomyosis
- Fourteen non-endometriotic/hemorrhagic cysts

Surgical/laparoscopic exploration and resection were performed in 60 patients (30 did laparoscopy, 28 had open surgeries, and 2 had undergone cystoscopy) with 66 lesions, diagnosed as follows: 34 endometriomas in 28 patients (6 bilateral (Fig. 1) and 22 unilateral), 8 adenomyosis, 8 abdominal scar endometriosis, 2 bladder endometriosis, and 14 hemorrhagic cysts.

MRI findings of the surgically proven endometrial lesions are shown in Table 2.

---

**Table 1** MRI protocol performed in the current study

| Sequence      | TR (ms) | TE (ms) | FOV (mm)     | Matrix     | Slice thickness (mm) | Flip angle |
|---------------|---------|---------|--------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| T2 sagittal   | 3000    | 90      | 290 × 290    | 208 × 205  | 4                    | 90         |
| T2 axial      | 3700    | 100     | 288 × 350    | 292 × 180  | 5                    | 90         |
| T1 axial      | 500     | 10      | 260 × 216    | 263 × 171  | 5                    | 90         |
| T1 FS axial   | 530     | 8       | 240 × 240    | 240 × 190  | 5                    | 90         |
| T2 coronal    | 3000    | 90      | 300 × 300    | 272 × 200  | 4.5                  | 90         |
| DWI (b0, 500, 1000) | 2000 | 77 | 240 × 240 | 124 × 100 | 5 | 90 |
| T2*           | 500     | 4.6     | 240 × 240    | 256 × 256  | 4                    | 20         |

Slice gap is one mm and flip angle 90° in all sequences, except T2*, 20°

Abbreviations: FOV field of view, FS fat suppression, DWI diffusion-weighted imaging

---
Thus, we noted that among the surgically confirmed cases of endometriosis, 32 out of 52 cases showed typical appearance on conventional MRI, 42 out of 52 cases showed diffusion restriction, and 50 out of 52 cases showed signal voids at T2 star-weighted MRI images. MRI analysis with the aid of diffusion sequence showed excellent outcomes with the complete pathology specimen in 42 lesions (26 endometriomas, 6 adenomyosis, 8 abdominal scar endometriosis, and 2 bladder wall endometriomas): SE = 80.7% (n = 42/52) true positives and 10 false negatives.

The mean apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value of the endometriotic lesions and adenomyosis was 1.03 with a minimum of 0.25, a maximum of 2.3, and 0.51 standard deviation. On the other hand, the mean ADC values of functional hemorrhagic cysts were significantly lower (0.71 ± 0.29 × 10⁻³mm²/s).

With the addition of T2*-weighted sequence, the results improved where 50 out of 52 lesions were true positive (showing signal voids) (32 ovarian endometrioma (Fig. 2), 8 adenomyosis (Fig. 3), 8 CS scar endometriosis (Fig. 4), and 2 bladder wall endometriosis (Fig. 5)): SE = 96.1% (n = 50/52) true positives and 2 false negatives.

We carried out a comparative study for the diagnostic performance of the conventional MRI pelvic examination in addition to each of DWI and T2* in the assessment of the pathologically diagnosed cases (Table 3).

P value is calculated by the Fisher exact test. P value for conventional MRI was 0.1, which is of no statistical significance (p < 0.05). P value of DWI was 0.016, which is statistically significant (p < 0.05). P value for T2*WI was 0.001, which is more statistically significant and could be adequately correlated with laparoscopy (p < 0.05) (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Endometriosis is a benign and chronic gynecologic disorder, where there is ectopic implantation of endometrial glands and stroma outside the uterine cavity. It has been shown to cause various symptoms, including chronic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, and/or infertility [2].

### Table 2 MRI findings of surgically proven cases

| Site              | High intensity on T1 weighted and low/shading on T2 | Restricted diffusion | Signal void on T2* | Surgical findings |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
|                   | Present | Absent  | Present | Absent  | Present | Absent  | Present | Absent  | No.    |
| Endometriomas     | 16 (47.05%) | 18 (52.95%) | 26 (76.47%) | 8 (23.53%) | 32 (94.11%) | 2 (5.89%) | 34       |
| Abdominal scar    | 8 (100%)  | 0 (0%)   | 8 (100%) | 0 (0%)   | 8 (100%) | 0 (0%)   | 8        |
| Bladder           | 2 (100%)  | 0 (0%)   | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%)   | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%)   | 2        |
| Adenomyosis       | 6 (75%)   | 2 (25%)  | 6 (75%)  | 2 (25%)  | 8 (100%) | 0 (0%)   | 8        |
| Non-endometriomas | 4 (28.57%)| 10 (71.43%)| 4 (28.57%)| 10 (71.43%)| 2 (14.28%)| 12 (85.72%)| 14       |
Endometriomas can occur unilaterally or bilaterally, and approximately 28% of endometrioma patients have bilateral endometriomas [10].

In our study, bilaterality was observed in 35% of endometriomas. However, as mentioned by Lee et al. [6], bilaterality cannot be used to reliably differentiate endometriomas from other cystic lesions.

There is a range of imaging features in endometriosis, but the hemorrhagic character of lesions markedly aids in their characterization [5].

Shading sign in T2-weighted MRI images is typical of endometriomas that helps differentiate it from other hemorrhagic ovarian lesions. Menstrual bleeding over time and long term produce high iron concentration, protein, and methemoglobin with consequent T2 shortening [11]. Lee et al. [6] reported that the shading sign had a sensitivity and specificity of 90–98% for the diagnosis of endometriomas; however, his study included more than 80% of the lesion endometriomas. Outwater and Dunton [12] conducted a study, where the sensitivity and specificity of T2 shading were only 68% and 83%, respectively. In our study, conventional MRI with high T1-weighted images and shading sign in T2-weighted images exhibited a sensitivity of 65.4% and a specificity of 71.4%. We believe that the shading is not a reliable MRI sign for differentiating endometriomas from hemorrhagic cysts.

Blood or hemosiderin within endometriomas shortens T1, causing a consequent reduction in ADC values; therefore, DWI may distinguish endometriomas from other cystic lesions [13]. In our study, 42 out of 52 pathologically proven endometriotic lesions and 4 non-endometrial hemorrhagic cysts showed restricted diffusion, with SE, SP, PPV, and NPP of 80.7%, 71.4%, 91.3%, and 50%, respectively. Thus, DWI has shown an increase in sensitivity, PPV, and NPV yet similar specificity, when compared to conventional MRI. This is comparable to Abd El-Dayem et al.’s [14] study where 11 out of 14 cases were restricted in DWI representing 78.57% sensitivity.

However, due to the presence of overlap between the ADC values of endometriomas and hemorrhagic cysts, the diagnosis should be based upon the combination of clinical history and conventional MRI together with DWI findings and should not be based on DWI alone.

In 2002, it was suggested by Moteki et al. [15] that endometriomas had lower ADC values (0.91 ± 0.47 × 10^{-3} mm²/s) than other pelvic cysts (2.82 ± 0.80 × 10^{-3} mm²/s). Busard et al. [16] reported that ADC values of endometriomas are 1.10 ± 0.38 × 10^{-3} mm²/s, and Lee et al. [6]. calculated ADC values for endometriomas to

Fig. 2 (a) TV-US showing left ovarian chocolate cyst. It elicits heterogenous signal with peripheral high signal on T1 (b), that is not suppressed on T1 fat suppression (c), and no significant shading (not shown) nor significant diffusion restriction (d, e) however punctate peripheral T2* signal void (f). Histopathological diagnosis: left ovarian endometriosis.
be $1.06 \pm 0.38 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$. These studies’ results are comparable to our study, which had mean ADC values for endometriomas of $1.03 \pm 0.51 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s.

Methemoglobin and hemosiderin are especially well visualized on T$2^*$-weighted image that is a MR sequence that is sensitive to blood by-products. The visualization of signal voids is caused by the local heterogeneity of the magnetic field, resulting from hemorrhagic products [5].

Takeuchi et al. [17] reported that T$2^*$ improved the characterization of endometrioma by detecting

![Fig. 3](a) Sagittal T2 MRI showing diffuse thickening and irregularity of the posterior junctional zone. The posterior fundal myometrium is heterogeneous showing cystic changes bright in T1 and T2 (b, c). With diffusion restriction (d, e), T$2^*$ revealed signal void punctate foci (f), suggesting adenomyosis. N.B: left adnexal cystic lesion showing facilitated diffusion. Histopathological diagnosis: focal adenomyosis and left peritoneal inclusion cyst.

![Fig. 4](a) US of the anterior abdominal wall showing a well-defined infiltrative lesion implicating the right rectus muscle, infiltrating both the anterior and posterior rectus sheaths, and eliciting intermediate T1 SI (b), with high T1FS (c), intermediate T2 SI (d). It shows focal areas of diffusion restriction on DWIs (e, f), and punctuate signal voids on T$2^*$WI (g).
signal void along the cyst wall as hemosiderin deposition. Later in 2015, Takeuchi et al. [18] proved that signal voids as hemorrhagic components on T2* were also observed in extra-ovarian endometriosis.

Moreover, Pin et al. [5] suggested that T2* might be useful and added as a sequence for treatment planning of endometriosis. Pin et al. [5] in their study stated that the overall diagnostic performances of MRI with T2* were improved regarding the evaluation of adnexal and deep infiltrating endometriosis with an increase of the sensitivity from 88.2 to 94.1% and specificity from 68.8 to 73.3%.

In our study, with the addition of T2*WI, 50 out of 52 endometriomas/adenomyosis showed signal voids. Thus, the sensitivity improved from 65.4% by conventional imaging to 96.15% with the addition of T2*. Also, the specificity improved from 71.4% by conventional imaging to 85.7% with the addition of T2*.

So, in cases with doubtful ultrasound and conventional MRI findings in confirming the diagnosis of endometriosis, DWI and T2* can represent an additional diagnostic tool in order to differentiate endometriomas from other cystic lesions.

Our study had few limitations. Although the study was originally done on 120 cases, yet only 66 lesions were included in the study as we considered the pathology is our gold standard; so, a larger sample size is needed. Susceptibility artifacts caused by intestinal gas may camouflage the detection of signal voids resulting from the presence of blood by-products within especially in cases of ultra-small and deep endometriotic foci. One of our patients was excluded from the study due to susceptibility artifacts caused by colonic overdistension, which limited the visibility of the pelvis. Two lesions were re-evaluated by two radiologists to sort out the discrepancies resulting from susceptibility artifacts.

**Conclusion**

Endometriosis is the most common cause of chronic pelvic pain in reproductive-age women. It affects approximately 10% of women at the childbearing period. It is found in 20–50% of women with infertility and nearly 90% of women with chronic pelvic pain. It has a significant impact on the quality of life of women. In addition to the characteristic symptoms of dysmenorrhea,

**Table 3**

|                      | Conventional MRI | DWI with ADC maps | Signal voids |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Sensitivity (%)      | 65.4             | 80.7             | 96.15        |
| Specificity (%)      | 71.4             | 71.4             | 85.7         |
| PPV (%)              | 89.47            | 91.3             | 96.2         |
| NPV (%)              | 35.7             | 50               | 85.7         |
| P value              | 0.106            | 0.016            | 0.001        |
| Accuracy (%)         | 66.7             | 78.8             | 93.9         |

PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value
non-cyclic pelvic pain, infertility, and others, it causes psychological, marital, and social distress.

Our study was performed during the diagnostic step or the pre-operative assessment of endometriosis. The MRI was conducted with a 1.5-T MRI device; the protocol included T2W and T1W, with and without fat saturation sequences complemented with a DWI, ADC map, and a T2*WI, and the diagnostic performance values were evaluated. MRI results were correlated with surgical findings in patients who underwent laparoscopy.

Endometriotic lesions had restricted diffusion on DWI in 80.7%, and 96.1% of the endometriotic lesions had signal losses on the T2*W sequence, whereas only 65.4% of the lesions had typical signal intensities on T1WI and T2WI. Thus, the diagnostic performance of the MRI examination was improved by the use of the diffusion sequence and better improved by the T2* sequence, compared to the conventional.

P value for conventional MRI was 0.1 which is not considered statistically significant when associated with laparoscopy (p < 0.05). P value for DWI was 0.016, which is considered statistically significant when associated with laparoscopy (p < 0.05). P value for T2*WI was 0.001, which is considered statistically significant when associated with laparoscopy (p < 0.05).

Diffusion-weighted imaging and T2* significantly increases MRI diagnostic accuracy by allowing the detection of the hemorrhagic character of endometriotic lesions. Studies with a large sample size are needed to confirm that they can replace invasive laparoscopy for the diagnosis of endometriosis.

We recommend the addition of diffusion-weighted imaging and T2*-weighted imaging to all cases of suspected endometriosis.
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