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Recently, we reported the asymmetric construction of enantiomerically pure azaarenes in satisfactory yields with good to excellent enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee) and substantial deuterium incorporation. The energy difference between de-protonation and de-deuteration that results in accumulation of deuterated species would be a promising strategy. Currently, H–D exchange reactions are among the main protocols for the deuterium-labeling of prochiral azaarene-substituted ketones to experience a single-electron reduction–enantioselective deuteration process. The transition metal-free method provides important chiral α-deuterated azaarenes in satisfactory yields with high enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee) and substantial deuterium incorporation.

Delivering a deuterium ion from inexpensive D2O to a prochiral tertiary carbanion in a chiral environment would be a promising strategy. Currently, H–D exchange reactions are among the main protocols for the preparation of deuterium-labeled compounds to meet the high demands. The energy difference between de-protonation and de-deuteration that results in accumulation of deuterated species is subtle; therefore, achieving satisfactory enantioselectivity will be difficult using this strategy. We report an asymmetric α-deuteration strategy for azaarenes with inexpensive D2O as the deuterium source. A cooperative visible light-driven photoredox and chiral Brønsted acid–catalyzed system using a Hantzsch ester as the terminal reductant has been developed, which enables racemic α-chloro-azaarenes and prochiral azaarene-substituted ketones to experience a single-electron reduction–enantioselective deuteration process. The transition metal-free method provides important chiral α-deuterated azaarenes in satisfactory yields with high enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee) and substantial deuterium incorporation.

Deuterium (1H, D), an economical nonradioactive isotope, has been widely used in drug development, mechanistic studies, and NMR analyses (Elmore, 2009; Elmore and Bragg, 2015; Hall and Hanzlik, 1990; Nelson and Trager, 2003; MacDonald and Lu, 2002; Meanwell, 2011). A number of efficient deuterium-labeling techniques have been established through the increasing attention and contributions from various scientists (Alonso et al., 2002; Atzrodt et al., 2007; Loh et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019). The enantioselective incorporation of a deuterium atom on a stereocenter of a bioactive molecule could potentially slow its metabolism and improve its bioavailability (Malaita et al., 2009), but such processes remain underdeveloped (Hammadi et al., 1997; Lethe et al., 2018; Curran and Abrahm, 1993; Curran and Ramamoorthy, 1993; Mohng et al., 2011; Taglang et al., 2015; Hale and Szymczak, 2016; Palmer and Chirik, 2017; Zhao et al., 2012; Sakamoto et al., 2012; Sandoal et al., 2017). To date, few catalytic manifolds have been developed to accomplish this task (Taglang et al., 2015; Hale and Szymczak, 2016; Palmer and Chirik, 2017; Zhao et al., 2012; Sakamoto et al., 2012; Sandoal et al., 2017), and the existing systems of using the readily accessible racemic or prochiral feedstocks require prefabricated and expensive deuterium sources to attain excellent enantiofacial selectivity (Sakamoto et al., 2012; Sandoal et al., 2017). Azaarenes are ubiquitous in natural products and pharmaceuticals; however, to date, construction of their deuterated variants in enantioselective manner has not been reported.
α-halo-azaarenes. Notably, the ability of the subsequent process to cause protonation-like deuteration with D₂O (Maji et al., 2010; Fan et al., 2018; Ju et al., 2018; Liao et al., 2018) but not hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) (Hironaka et al., 1984; Narayanam et al., 2009; Neumann et al., 2011) is crucial in the production of deuterated stereocenters. Meanwhile, to achieve high D incorporation, excess D₂O might be utilized to compete with the H⁺ from the sacrificial reductant and reaction environment, but this excess reagent would pose a formidable challenge for chiral Brønsted acid catalysis, a promising platform for derivatizing azaarene-based substrates (Yin et al., 2018; Hepburn and Melchiorre, 2016; Proctor et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018).

Herein, we demonstrate proof of this concept and report the first enantioselective reductive dechlorination–deuteration of α-chloro-azaarenes under the visible-light-driven cooperative photoredox asymmetric catalysis (Brimioulle et al., 2015; Skubi et al., 2016; Rono et al., 2013) of a dicyanopyrazine-derived chromophore (DPZ) as a photosensitizer and a 1,1'-spirobiindane-7,7'-diol (SPINOL)-based chiral phosphoric acid (CPA) with D₂O as the deuterium source (Figure 1). A variety of valuable chiral α-deuterated azaarenes featuring various substituents on the stereocenters were obtained in high yields, with excellent D incorporation and with good to excellent enantioselectivities. More importantly, this catalytic system is very versatile showing compatibility with asymmetric reduction–deuteration of azaarene-substituted ketones, leading to important α-deuterated azaarene-based secondary alcohols with satisfactory results.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reaction Optimization

Recognizing the paucity in viable catalytic methods to access the enantioenriched derivatives of α-aryl-α-branched alkyl-substituted quinolines, which possess significant bioactive potential (Kaila et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2010), we began our investigation using 2-(1-chloro-2-methyl-1-phenylpropyl)quinoline (1a) as the model substrate. The reduction potential of 1a (E<sub>red 1/2</sub> = 0.639 V vs. SCE in CH₃CN) indicated that our developed metal-free DPZ (E<sub>red 1/2</sub> = 1.07 V vs SCE in CH₃CN and 1.45 V vs SCE in CH₂Cl₂) will be able to reduce it through a reductive quenching cycle. Hence, we performed the initial study using 0.5 mol% DPZ, 1.5 equiv. of Hantzsch ester (HE) as the terminal reductant and 10 equiv. of D₂O as the deuterium source. Desired α-deuterated quinoline 2a was obtained in a 58% yield within 30 min (see entry 1, Table S1 in the Supplemental Information). The moderate D incorporation (53%) confirmed that the developed transformation might include a dechlorination-deuteration process. Subsequently, we evaluated a range of chiral H-bonding catalysts, reductants, and reaction parameters (see Tables S1, S2 and S3). The reaction performed in mesitylene at 25°C for 20 min in the presence of 1.0 mol% DPZ, 20 mol% SPINOL-CPA C₁, 1.5 equiv. of HE-1, 1.0 equiv. of NaHCO₃, and 150 equiv. of D₂O afforded enantioenriched product 2a in a 75% yield with 93% ee and >95% D incorporation (entry 1, Table 1). Catalysts C₂ and C₃ provided 2a in 22% ee and 28% ee, respectively (entries 2–3), indicating that the substituents at the 6,6'-positions of SPINOL had a substantial influence on the enantioselectivity of the transformation. HE-2, with a tert-butyl ester, provided a slightly lower enantioselectivity (entry 4). When the reductant was changed from an HE to a tertiary amine, i.e., iPr₂EtN, the reaction became sluggish, leading to 2a in 25% yield with 39% ee (entry 5). Other photoredox catalysts such as [Ir(ppy)₂(dbppy)]PF₆ and 1,3-dicyano-2,4,5,6-tetakis[N,N-diphenylamino]benzene (4DPAIPN) (Luo and Zhang, 2016) were
tested, but no improvements in the yield and enantioselectivity were observed (entries 6–7). Using a stronger inorganic base, Na₂CO₃ instead of NaHCO₃, as the acid-binding agent also deteriorated the reaction outcome (entry 8). Of note, the reaction conducted in the absence of catalyst C₁ generated 2a in a similar yield and D incorporation, suggesting that a considerable competitive racemic background transformation is active (entry 9). The subsequent control experiments confirmed that DPZ, visible light, and the oxygen-free environment are indispensable to this deuteration reaction (entries 10–12).

**Substrate Scope with Respect to α-Chloro Azaarenes**

With the optimum reaction conditions in hand, the scope of this asymmetric α-deuteration of azaarenes was examined (Figure 2). A wide range of α-chloro-2-quinolines containing both aryl and alkyl groups on the sp³–C were evaluated. The transformations proceeded rapidly and smoothly, furnishing chiral products 2a-cc in 58%–89% yields with 80%–95% ee and high levels of D incorporation within 20–40 min. The introduction of distinct electron-withdrawing or electron-donating substituents at the para- and meta-positions (2b-f and 2i-l) of the α-aryl ring did not affect the excellent enantioselectivity. Methyl group at

### Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions

| Entry | Variation from Standard Conditions | Yield (%)a | ee (%)b | D Incorp. (%)c |
|-------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------|---------------|
| 1     | None                              | 75         | 93      | >95           |
| 2     | C₂ instead of C₁                   | 52         | 22      | >95           |
| 3     | C₃ instead of C₁                   | 69         | 28      | >95           |
| 4     | HE-2 instead of HE-1              | 76         | 91      | 93            |
| 5     | iPr₂EtN instead of HE-1           | 25         | 39      | 86            |
| 6     | Ir(III) d instead of DPZ          | 52         | 76      | 88            |
| 7     | 4DPAIPN instead of DPZ            | 43         | 73      | 90            |
| 8     | Na₂CO₃ instead of NaHCO₃           | 51         | 61      | 89            |
| 9     | No C₁                             | 73         | NA      | >95           |
| 10    | No DPZ                            | 0          | NA      | NA            |
| 11    | No light                          | 0          | NA      | NA            |
| 12    | Under air                         | 0          | NA      | NA            |

NA, not available; 4DPAIPN, 1,3-dicyano-2,4,5,6-tetrakis(N,N-diphenylamino)benzene. Also see Tables S1, S2, and S3.

The reaction was performed on a 0.05 mmol scale. The wavelength of the 3 W blue LED was 410–510 nm.

*aYield of isolated product.

*bDetermined by HPLC analysis on a chiral stationary phase.

*cDetermined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

*dIr(III) = [Ir(ppy)₂(dtbpy)]PF₆.
Figure 2. Enantioselective Dehalogenative Deuteration (0.1 mmol scale)
the ortho-position \((2g\text{-}h)\) decreased the enantioselectivity slightly to 90% ee probably owing to steric hindrance. A similar steric effect was observed when bulkier fused aromatic rings, such as 1-naphthyl \((2m)\), 2-naphthyl \((2n)\), and 9-phenanthryl \((2o)\), were in the \(\alpha\)-position of the 2-quinolines. The catalytic system was also compatible with \(\alpha\)-chloro-2-quinolines with diverse linear \((2p\text{-}t)\), bulky branched \((2u)\), and cyclic \((2v\text{-}y)\) \(\alpha\)-alkyl groups, based on the good to excellent enantioselectivities obtained with these substrates. With respect to the quinoline moiety, the installation of substituents on its 4-, 5-, and 6-positions resulted in the corresponding products \(2z\text{-}cc\) with excellent enantioselectivities. Other such \(\alpha\)-chloro-azaarenes were also tested. Chiral \(\alpha\)-deuterated 1-isoquinoline \((2dd)\), 6-phenanthridine \((2ee)\), and 2-benzothiazole \((2ff)\) were obtained in 71%–81% yields with 80%–83% ee and excellent D incorporation, which highlights the generality of this catalysis platform. As an exception, when the azaarene was 2-pyridine, the corresponding product \(2gg\) was obtained with only moderate enantioselectivity. Noticeably, 4DPAIPN was used as the photoredox catalyst to furnish \(2ee, 2ff,\) and \(2gg\) as DPZ could not promote the transformation. To define the substrate scope, the phenyl group of \(1a\) was changed as benzyl group; however, product \(2hh\) was achieved in 65% yield with >95% D incorporation but only 38% ee, which thus still represents a challenging task. The reaction to access \(2a\) on a 5.0 mmol scale gave a similar yield, enantioselectivity, and D incorporation (footnote a), suggesting the potential scalability of this method.

Figure 3. Control Experiments and the Proposed Mechanism

(A) Control experiments for the H–D exchange of reduction product and the conversion of \(1a\) with an excitation wavelength from 474 to 505 nm.

(B) The possible mechanism for the photoredox-catalyzed enantioselective \(\alpha\)-deuteration of Azaarenes.
Mechanistic Studies

To probe the mechanism, compound 3, i.e., non-deuterated racemic 2a, was evaluated under the standard reaction conditions (Figure 3A). After 1 h, the ee value of 3 remained 0% and no deuterated product 2a was observed. Similarly, chiral 2a with 93% ee was examined using 20 mol% diphenyl phosphate C21 instead of C1, and no changes in the enantiomeric purity or D incorporation were found. Consequently, the effects of H–D exchange for product 2 on the enantioselectivity and D incorporation could be excluded.

On the account of the excitation spectra of DPZ and HE-1, Stern-Volmer experiments were first conducted using an excitation wavelength of 415 nm (see Supplemental Information). Luminescence quenching of \( \cdot \text{DPZ} (E^\text{S+}/S^-) = +1.42 \text{V vs. SCE} \) in CH3CN and +0.91 V vs. SCE in CH2Cl2 by HE-1 \((E_{\text{red}} = +0.97 \text{V vs. SCE in CH3CN and } \cdot \text{HE-1} (E^\text{S+}/S^-) = –2.28 \text{V vs. SCE in CH3CN}) (Jung et al., 2016)\) by 1a \((E_{\text{red}} = -0.639 \text{V vs. SCE in CH3CN})\) was observed. Meanwhile, no measurement of \( \cdot \text{DPZ}\) by 1a in the absence and presence of C1 was revealed. Since the excitation of HE-1 to \( \cdot \text{HE-1}\) is impossible at \( \lambda > 445 \text{nm}\) according to its excitation spectrum, such experiments were performed with an excitation wavelength of 448 nm, indicating no measurable luminescence quenching of \( \cdot \text{DPZ}\) by HE-1 or 1a and \( \cdot \text{HE-1}\) by 1a. We also tested the transformation of 1a with a laser line filter (CWL = 488 ± 2 nm, FWHM = 10 ± 2 nm, emission wavelengths from 474 to 505 nm, Figure 3A), and no reaction was found. The results suggest the indispensable role of \( \cdot \text{HE-1}\) in the reaction. Therefore, the catalytic cycle likely begins from the SET of \( \cdot \text{DPZ}\) with trace HE-1 \((E_{\text{red}} = -0.76 \text{V vs. SCE})\), which is generated from \( \cdot \text{HE-1}\) and a-chloro-azaarenes 1 under irradiation with visible light (Figure 3B) (Cao et al., 2019). The success of 4DPAIPN \((E_{\text{red}} = -1.52 \text{V vs. SCE in CH3CN})\) but failure of DPZ in the transformations of 6-phenanthridine-derived \((1ee, E_{\text{red}} = -0.963 \text{V vs. SCE in CH3CN})\) and 2-benzothiazole-derived \((1ff, E_{\text{red}} = -1.227 \text{V vs. SCE in CH3CN})\) substrates demonstrates that DPZ\(^-\) should engage in the SET reduction of 1 to furnish radical species I. It is also noteworthy that no transformation of 1a was observed in the absence of DPZ (entry 10, Table 1). Accordingly, a HAT (Lee et al., 2017) or a reduction–protonation/deuteration process between HE-1 and radical I seems unfavorable. To provide HE-1\(^-\) to propagate the photocatalytic cycle, the reduction of I by \( \cdot \text{HE-1}\) with a stronger reductive ability than HE-1\(^-\) thus represents the most likely approach. Owing to the free proton from catalyst C1 and high concentration of D2O, deuterated C1, i.e., D-C1, would serve as a more stable hydrogen-bond donor to interact with the nitrogen atom in the azaarene, providing the enantiocontrolling environment for the deuteration of prochiral carbonan intermediate II to give chiral products 2 in high enantioselectivities. With respect to the enantioselective deuteration process, the intermediate II could directly abstract deuterium ion from the reaction system through the pathway a. However, the ability of P–O of CPA as Lewis base to grab a deuterium also leads to an alternative pathway b to deliver deuterium ion. To better understand the mechanism, DFT calculations were carried out (for computational details see Supplemental Information), and the calculated ΔG values are shown in Figure 4. The data suggest that the formation of (R)-2a through TSA only requires 2.0 kcal/mol, but affording (S)-2a through the proton from H2O (D2O) attacked by the \( \alpha \)-anion of quinoline has to overcome high energy barriers (39.7 and 35.2 kcal/mol). This result is consistent with the experimental results. In this context, although chiral catalyst CPA cannot accelerate the transformations, it will act as a deuterium transfer interchange to enable the elusive enantioselective manifold with high enantioselectivity.

Extension of the Method to Azaarene-Based Ketones

Azaarene-substituted secondary alcohols, as another kind of \( \alpha \)-tertiary carbon-based azaarene derivative, are also important building blocks of numerous pharmaceuticals and biologically active compounds (Renison et al., 2007). The chiral transition metal-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of aryl N-heteroaryl ketones with sodium formate as a hydrogen source has been developed to access the chiral variants (Liu et al., 2018). However, this strategy is only suitable for aryl \( \alpha \)-heteroaryl ketones and is likely not compatible to introduce deuterium on the stereocenters with D2O as the deuterium reagent. Given that azaarene-substituted ketones are readily accessible and abundant, we anticipated evaluating the possibility of this double single-electron reduction–enantioselective deuteration method for these feedstocks, to directly provide the enantioenriched \( \alpha \)-deuterated azaarene-substituted secondary alcohols. Literature investigation showed that azaarene rings are more liable to reduction than carbonyls in azaarene-substituted ketone derivatives in the presence of CPA catalyst and HE reductant (Rueping and Antonchick, 2007). Thus, the chemoselectivity with enantioselectivity and D incorporation would constitute formidable challenges in the desired transformations. Phenyl(quinolin-2-yl)methanone \((4a, E_p^i = –0.905 \text{V, } E_p^z = –1.434 \text{V vs. SCE in CH3CN})\) was first selected to be examined under the standard reaction conditions (see Table 1). Although the desired product 5a was obtained with moderate enantioselectivity (61% ee), the
high yield (80%) and moderate D incorporation (72%) prompted us to explore a series of chiral CPAs and the reaction parameters (see Table S4). To our delight, when 0.5 mol% DPZ, 10 mol% CPA C2, 1.5 equiv. of HE-1, 200 equiv. of D2O, 0.2 equiv. of NaCl as an additive, and CMPE as the solvent were used, at -5°C, 5a was achieved in 96% yield with 91% ee and 91% D incorporation (Figure 5). A series of 2-quinoline-substituted ketones were next examined, leading to chiral products 5b-m in 65%–99% yields with 80%–99% ee and excellent D incorporation. Satisfactory results were achieved with fused aromatic (5g) and heteroaromatic (5h-i) rings as well as alkyls (5l-m) as the substituents of ketones underscore the generality of this catalytic system. Furthermore, ketones substituted with other azaarenes such as 6-phenanthridine (5n), N-Boc-2-benzimidazole (5o), and 2-benzothiazole (5p) were also compatible. It is worth mentioning that, although 2-(α-hydroxybenzyl)-benzimidazole (HBB) is a highly selective and potent inhibitor of picornavirus multiplication in cell culture, no catalytic method to access its optical pure isomer has been established (Tamm and Eggers, 1963; Kadin et al., 1964; Akihama et al., 1968; Tamm et al., 1969). The success in the synthesis of its chiral variant featuring a deuterium on the stereocenter, i.e., 5o, highlights the significance of this methodology.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have developed the first catalytic asymmetric α-deuteration of azaarenes. Through cooperative, visible-light-driven photoredox and chiral H-bonding catalysis, both racemic α-chloro-azaarenes and prochiral azaarene-substituted ketones can undergo a double single-electron reduction–enantioselective deuteration process with a Hantzsch ester as the terminal reductant and inexpensive D2O as the deuterium source. The developed reaction can furnish a variety of important enantioenriched α-deuterated azaarenes in satisfactory yields with high D incorporation. Although excess D2O is used and a
strong racemic background process occurs directly through the intrinsic redox catalytic cycle, good to excellent enantioselectivities were obtained, which confirms the efficacy of the catalytic system. Therefore, we anticipate that this work will inspire the pursuit of the D2O-enabled asymmetric construction of C–D bonds in various valuable molecules through this highly reactive radical-based dual catalysis platform.

**Limitations of the Study**

In both reaction systems, pyridine-based substrates were not applicable given the unsatisfactory enantioselectivity. With respect to the dehalogenative deuteration, poor enantioselectivity of mono-azaarene-substituted alkyl chlorides represents another important limitation.

**METHODS**

All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

The crystallography data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC) under accession number CCDC: 1893142 (5c) and 1902192 (5n) and can be obtained free of charge from www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/getstructures.
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Supplemental Figures for $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR Spectra and HPLC Spectra

Figure S1. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 1a, related to Figure 2.

Figure S2. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 1a, related to Figure 2.
Figure S3. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2a, related to Figure 2.

Figure S4. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2a, related to Figure 2.
Figure S5. HPLC spectrum for 2a, related to Figure 2.

Figure S6. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2a', related to Figure 2.
**Figure S7.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2a', related to Figure 2.

**Figure S8.** $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2b, related to Figure 2.
Figure S9. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2b, related to Figure 2.

Figure S10. HPLC spectrum for 2b, related to Figure 2.
Figure S11. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2c, related to Figure 2.

Figure S12. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2c, related to Figure 2.
Figure S13. HPLC spectrum for 2c, related to Figure 2.

Figure S14. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2d, related to Figure 2.
Figure S15. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2d, related to Figure 2.

Figure S16. HPLC spectrum for 2d, related to Figure 2.
Figure S17. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2e, related to Figure 2.

Figure S18. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2e, related to Figure 2.
Figure S19. HPLC spectrum for 2e, related to Figure 2.

Figure S20. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2f, related to Figure 2.
Figure S21. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2f, related to Figure 2.

Figure S22. HPLC spectrum for 2f, related to Figure 2.
Figure S23. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2g, related to Figure 2.

Figure S24. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2g, related to Figure 2.
Figure S25. HPLC spectrum for 2g, related to Figure 2.

Figure S26. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2h, related to Figure 2.
Figure S27. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2h, related to Figure 2.

Figure S28. HPLC spectrum for 2h, related to Figure 2.
Figure S29. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2i, related to Figure 2.

Figure S30. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2i, related to Figure 2.
Figure S31. HPLC spectrum for 2i, related to Figure 2.

Figure S32. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2i', related to Figure 2.
Figure S33. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for $2i'$, related to Figure 2.

Figure S34. $^1$H NMR spectrum for $2j$, related to Figure 2.
Figure S35. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for $2j$, related to Figure 2.

Figure S36. HPLC spectrum for $2j$, related to Figure 2.
**Figure S37.** $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2k, related to Figure 2.

**Figure S38.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2k, related to Figure 2.
Figure S39. HPLC spectrum for 2k, related to Figure 2.

Figure S40. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2k', related to Figure 2.
**Figure S41.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2k', related to Figure 2.

**Figure S42.** $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2l, related to Figure 2.
Figure S43. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2l, related to Figure 2.

Figure S44. HPLC spectrum for 2l, related to Figure 2.
Figure S45. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2m, related to Figure 2.

Figure S46. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2m, related to Figure 2.
Figure S47. HPLC spectrum for 2m, related to Figure 2.

Figure S48. ¹H NMR spectrum for 2n, related to Figure 2.
Figure S49. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2n, related to Figure 2.

Figure S50. HPLC spectrum for 2n, related to Figure 2.
Figure S51. $^1$H NMR spectrum for $2n'$, related to Figure 2.

Figure S52. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for $2n'$, related to Figure 2.
Figure S53. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2o, related to Figure 2.

Figure S54. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2o, related to Figure 2.
Figure S55. HPLC spectrum for 2o, related to Figure 2.

Figure S56. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2p, related to Figure 2.
Figure S57. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2p, related to Figure 2.

Figure S58. HPLC spectrum for 2p, related to Figure 2.
Figure S59. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2p', related to Figure 2.

Figure S60. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2p', related to Figure 2.
**Figure S61.** $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2q, related to Figure 2.

**Figure S62.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2q, related to Figure 2.
Figure S63. HPLC spectrum for 2q, related to Figure 2.

Figure S64. ¹H NMR spectrum for 2r, related to Figure 2.
Figure S65. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for $2r$, related to Figure 2.

Figure S66. HPLC spectrum for $2r$, related to Figure 2.
Figure S67. $^1$H NMR spectrum for $2r'$, related to Figure 2.

Figure S68. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for $2r'$, related to Figure 2.
Figure S69. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2s, related to Figure 2.

Figure S70. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2s, related to Figure 2.
**Figure S71.** HPLC spectrum for 2s, related to Figure 2.

**Figure S72.** $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2t, related to Figure 2.
Figure S73. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2t, related to Figure 2.

Figure S74. HPLC spectrum for 2t, related to Figure 2.
Figure S75. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2u, related to Figure 2.

Figure S76. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2u, related to Figure 2.
Figure S77. HPLC spectrum for 2u, related to Figure 2.

Figure S78. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2v, related to Figure 2.
Figure S79. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for $2v$, related to Figure 2.

Figure S80. HPLC spectrum for $2v$, related to Figure 2.
Figure S81. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2w, related to Figure 2.

Figure S82. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2w, related to Figure 2.
**Figure S83.** HPLC spectrum for 2w, related to Figure 2.

**Figure S84.** $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2x, related to Figure 2.
Figure S85. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2x, related to Figure 2.

Figure S86. HPLC spectrum for 2x, related to Figure 2.
Figure S87. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2y, related to Figure 2.

Figure S88. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2y, related to Figure 2.
Figure S89. HPLC spectrum for $2y$, related to Figure 2.

Figure S90. $^1$H NMR spectrum for $2z$, related to Figure 2.
Figure S91. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2z, related to Figure 2.

Figure S92. HPLC spectrum for 2z, related to Figure 2.
Figure S93. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2aa, related to Figure 2.

Figure S94. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2aa, related to Figure 2.
Figure S95. HPLC spectrum for 2aa, related to Figure 2.

Figure S96. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2bb, related to Figure 2.
Figure S97. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2bb, related to Figure 2.

Figure S98. HPLC spectrum for 2bb, related to Figure 2.
Figure S99. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2cc, related to Figure 2.

Figure S100. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2cc, related to Figure 2.
Figure S101. HPLC spectrum for 2cc, related to Figure 2.

Figure S102. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2dd, related to Figure 2.
Figure S103. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2dd, related to Figure 2.

Figure S104. HPLC spectrum for 2dd, related to Figure 2.
Figure S105. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2ee, related to Figure 2.

Figure S106. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2ee, related to Figure 2.
Figure S107. HPLC spectrum for 2ee, related to Figure 2.

Figure S108. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2ff, related to Figure 2.
Figure S109. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2ff, related to Figure 2.

Figure S110. HPLC spectrum for 2ff, related to Figure 2.
**Figure S111.** $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2gg, related to Figure 2.

**Figure S112.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2gg, related to Figure 2.
Figure S113. HPLC spectrum for 2gg, related to Figure 2.

Figure S114. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 2hh, related to Figure 2.
Figure S115. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 2hh, related to Figure 2.

Figure S116. HPLC spectrum for 2hh, related to Figure 2.
Figure S117. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5a, related to Figure 5.

Figure S118. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5a, related to Figure 5.
Figure S119. HPLC spectrum for 5a, related to Figure 5.

Figure S120. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5b, related to Figure 5.
Figure S121. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5b, related to Figure 5.

Figure S122. HPLC spectrum for 5b, related to Figure 5.
Figure S123. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5c, related to Figure 5.

Figure S124. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5c, related to Figure 5.
Figure S125. HPLC spectrum for 5c, related to Figure 5.

Figure S126. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5d, related to Figure 5.
Figure S127. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5d, related to Figure 5.

Figure S128. HPLC spectrum for 5d, related to Figure 5.
Figure S129. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5e, related to Figure 5.

Figure S130. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5e, related to Figure 5.
Figure S131. HPLC spectrum for 5e, related to Figure 5.

Figure S132. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5f, related to Figure 5.
Figure S133. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5f, related to Figure 5.

Figure S134. HPLC spectrum for 5f, related to Figure 5.
**Figure S135.** $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5g, related to Figure 5.

**Figure S136.** $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5g, related to Figure 5.
Figure S137. HPLC spectrum for 5g, related to Figure 5.

Figure S138. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5h, related to Figure 5.
Figure S139. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5h, related to Figure 5.

Figure S140. HPLC spectrum for 5h, related to Figure 5.
Figure S141. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5i, related to Figure 5.

Figure S142. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5i, related to Figure 5.
Figure S143. HPLC spectrum for 5i, related to Figure 5.

Figure S144. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5j, related to Figure 5.
Figure S145. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for $5j$, related to Figure 5.

Figure S146. HPLC spectrum for $5j$, related to Figure 5.
Figure S147. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5k, related to Figure 5.

Figure S148. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5k, related to Figure 5.
Figure S149. HPLC spectrum for 5k, related to Figure 5.

Figure S150. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5l, related to Figure 5.
Figure S151. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5l, related to Figure 5.

Figure S152. HPLC spectrum for 5l, related to Figure 5.
Figure S153. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5m, related to Figure 5.

Figure S154. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5m, related to Figure 5.
Figure S155. HPLC spectrum for 5m, related to Figure 5.

Figure S156. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5n, related to Figure 5.
Figure S157. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5n, related to Figure 5.

Figure S158. HPLC spectrum for 5n, related to Figure 5.
Figure S159. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5o, related to Figure 5.

Figure S160. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5o, related to Figure 5.
Figure S161. HPLC spectrum for 5o, related to Figure 5.

Figure S162. $^1$H NMR spectrum for 5p, related to Figure 5.
Figure S163. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum for 5p, related to Figure 5.

Figure S164. HPLC spectrum for 5p, related to Figure 5.
Supplemental Figures for X-ray Structures of 8 and 5n

**Figure S165.** X-ray Structures of 8, related to Figure 2.

The crystal was kept at 293(2) K during data collection. Using Olex2 (Olomanov et al., 2009), the structure was solved with the ShelXS (Sheldrick, 2008) structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the ShelXL (Heldrick, 2015) refinement package using Least Squares minimisation. **Crystal Data** for C19H18ClNO (M = 311.79 g/mol): orthorhombic, space group P212121 (no. 19), a = 8.6267(4) Å, b = 11.8128(5) Å, c = 15.8702(8) Å, V = 1617.26(12) Å³, Z = 4, T = 293(2) K, μ(CuKα) = 2.087 mm⁻¹, Dcalc = 1.281 g/cm³, 5778 reflections measured (9.332 ≤ 2Θ ≤ 134.116), 2890 unique (Rint = 0.0306, Rsigma = 0.0395) which were used in all calculations. The final R₁ was 0.0408 (I > 2σ(I)) and wR₂ was 0.1044 (all data). CCDC 1893142 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

**Figure S166.** X-ray Structures of 5n, related to Figure 5.

The crystal was kept at 293(2) K during data collection. Using Olex2, the structure was solved with the ShelXS structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the ShelXL refinement package using Least Squares minimisation. **Crystal Data** for C20H14BrNO (M = 364.23 g/mol): orthorhombic, space group P2₁2₁2₁ (no. 19), a = 5.14605(13) Å, b =
11.5367(3) Å, \(c = 26.5758(5)\) Å, \(V = 1577.77(7)\) Å³, \(Z = 4\), \(T = 293(2)\) K, \(\mu(\text{CuK}\alpha) = 3.571\) mm⁻¹, \(D_{\text{calc}} = 1.533\) g/cm³, 5862 reflections measured (8.356° ≤ \(2\Theta\) ≤ 141.52°), 2971 unique \((R_{\text{int}} = 0.0302, R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0405)\) which were used in all calculations. The final \(R_1\) was 0.0392 \((I > 2\sigma(I))\) and \(wR_2\) was 0.1066 (all data). CCDC 1902192 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.
Figure S167. Excitation and emission spectrums of DPZ, related to Figure 3b.

Excitation spectrum was recorded on an EDINBURGH FLS 980 fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a monochromated 325 W Xe-arc excitation source and a visible detector (Hamamatsu R928P). Excitation spectra of DPZ (5.0×10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) (red) and emission spectrum of DPZ (5.0×10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) excitation wavelength as 415 nm (black) and of DPZ (5.0×10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) excitation wavelength as 448 nm (blue) was shown in Figure S167.

Figure S168. Excitation and emission spectrums of HE-1, related to Figure 3b.
Excitation spectrum was recorded on an EDINBURGH FLS 980 fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a monochromated 325 W Xe-arc excitation source and a visible detector (Hamamatsu R928P). The solution of HE-1 (5.0×10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) was excited at 415 nm and the emission intensity at 450 nm was observed. Based on the fluorescence spectrum of *HE-1*, the excitation spectrum (black) and emission spectrum (red) of HE-1 (5.0×10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) was shown in Figure S168.

Figure S169. Excitation spectrum of HE-1 at 380 nm, related to Figure 3b.

Excitation spectrum was recorded on an EDINBURGH FLS 980 fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with a monochromated 325 W Xe-arc excitation source and a visible detector (Hamamatsu R928P). The solution of HE-1 (5.0×10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) was excited at 380 nm and the emission intensity at 449 nm was observed. Based on the fluorescence spectrum of *HE-1*, the excitation spectrum (black) and emission spectrum (red) of HE-1 (5.0×10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂) was shown in Figure S169.
Supplemental Figures for the Luminescence Quenching Experiments of DPZ + HE-1, DPZ + 1a, DPZ + HE-1 + 1a, and DPZ + C21 + 1a (excitation wavelength = 448 nm)

**Figure S170.** Stern–Volmer quenching experiment of DPZ + HE-1 at 448 nm, related to Figure 3b.

Emission intensities were recorded on a spectrofluorometer. **DPZ** solution was excited at 448 nm and the emission intensity at 544 nm was observed. The appropriate amount of quencher was added to a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of **DPZ** (5.0×10$^{-5}$ M) in 3.0 mL volumetric flask under N$_2$. The solution was transferred to a 3.0 mL quartz cell and the emission spectrum of the sample was collected. Stern-Volmer experiment indicated that **HE-1** does not quench the luminescence of *DPZ* in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (**Figure S170**).
**Figure S171.** Stern–Volmer quenching experiment of DPZ + 1a at 448 nm, related to Figure 3b.

Emission intensities were recorded on a spectrofluorometer. DPZ solution was excited at 448 nm and the emission intensity at 544 nm was observed. The appropriate amount of quencher was added to a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of DPZ (5.0×10$^{-5}$ M) in 3.0 mL volumetric flask under N$_2$. The solution was transferred to a 3.0 mL quartz cell and the emission spectrum of the sample was collected. Stern-Volmer experiment indicated that 1a does not quench the luminescence of *DPZ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (Figure S171).

![Graph](image)

**Figure S172.** Stern–Volmer quenching experiment of DPZ + HE-1+ 1a at 448 nm, related to Figure 3b.

Emission intensities were recorded on a spectrofluorometer. DPZ solution was excited at 448 nm and the emission intensity at 544 nm was observed. The appropriate amount of quencher was added to a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of DPZ (5.0×10$^{-5}$ M) in 3.0 mL volumetric flask under N$_2$. The solution was transferred to a 3.0 mL quartz cell and the emission spectrum of the sample was collected. Stern-Volmer experiment indicated that HE-1+1a does not quench the luminescence of *DPZ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (Figure S172).
Figure S173. Stern–Volmer quenching experiment of DPZ + C21 + 1a at 448 nm, related to Figure 3b.

Emission intensities were recorded on a spectrofluorometer. DPZ solution was excited at 448 nm and the emission intensity at 544 nm was observed. The appropriate amount of quencher was added to a CH₂Cl₂ solution of DPZ (5.0×10⁻⁵ M) in 3.0 mL volumetric flask under N₂. The solution was transferred to a 3.0 mL quartz cell and the emission spectrum of the sample was collected. Stern-Volmer experiment indicated that C₂₁ + 1a does not quench the luminescence of *DPZ in CH₂Cl₂ (Figure S173).
Figure S174. Stern–Volmer quenching experiment of DPZ + HE-1 at 415 nm, related to Figure 3b.

Emission intensities were recorded on a spectrofluorometer. DPZ solution was excited at 415 nm and the emission intensity at 546 nm was observed. The appropriate amount of quencher was added to a CH₂Cl₂ solution of DPZ (5.0×10⁻⁵ M) in 3.0 mL volumetric flask under N₂. The solution was transferred to a 3.0 mL quartz cell and the emission spectrum of the sample was collected. Stern-Volmer experiment indicated that HE-1 quenches the luminescence of *DPZ in CH₂Cl₂ (Figure S174).
Figure S175. Stern–Volmer quenching experiment of \textbf{HE-1} + 1a at 415 nm, related to Figure 3b.

Emission intensities were recorded on a spectrofluorometer. \textbf{HE-1} solution was excited at 230 nm and the emission intensity at 415 nm was observed. The appropriate amount of quencher was added to a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of \textbf{HE-1} (5.0×10$^{-3}$ M) in 3.0 mL volumetric flask under N$_2$. The solution was transferred to a 2.0 mL quartz cell and the emission spectrum of the sample was collected (Figure S175).

Figure S176. Stern–Volmer quenching experiment of \textbf{DPZ} + 1a at 415 nm, related to Figure 3b.
Emission intensities were recorded on a spectrofluorometer. DPZ solution was excited at 230 nm and the emission intensity at 415 nm was observed. A solution of 1a (5.0×10^{-3} M) in CH₂Cl₂ was added to the appropriate amount of quencher in 3.0 mL volumetric flask under N₂. The solution was transferred to a 2.0 mL quartz cell and the emission spectrum of the sample was collected (Figure S176).
Supplemental Figures for the Emission spectrums of the blue LED light

**Figure S177.** Emission spectrum of the used 3 W blue LED light, related to Figure 3b.

**Figure S177.** Emission spectrum of the LED light with the laser line filter ($CWL = 490$ nm), related to Figure 3b.
Supplemental Figures for the Cyclic Voltammetry spectrums of ferrocene, 1a, 1ee, 1ff, and 4a

**Figure S178.** Cyclic voltammogram of ferrocene in MeCN referenced to Ag/AgNO₃, related to Figure 3b.

Electrochemical potentials were obtained with a standard set of conditions to maintain internal consistency. Cyclic voltammograms were collected with a potentiostat. Samples were prepared with 0.01 mmol of ferrocene in 10 mL anhydrous acetonitrile. Measurements employed a radium glassy carbon working electrode, platinum wire counter electrode, 0.1 M [Bu₄N][PF₆] in acetonitrile, 0.01 M silver-silver nitrate reference electrode. The obtained value was converted to SCE by adding 0.337 V.
Electrochemical potentials were obtained with a standard set of conditions to maintain internal consistency. Cyclic voltammograms were collected with a potentiostat. Samples were prepared with 0.01 mmol of ferrocene in 10 mL anhydrous acetonitrile. Measurements employed a radium glassy carbon working electrode, platinum wire counter electrode, 0.1 M [Bu$_4$N][PF$_6$] in acetonitrile, 0.01 M silver-silver chloride reference electrode. The obtained value was converted to SCE by adding 0.03 V.

**Figure S179.** Cyclic voltammogram of ferrocene in MeCN referenced to Ag/AgCl, related to Figure 3b.

**Figure S180.** Cyclic voltammogram of 1a in MeCN, related to Figure 3b.
Electrochemical potentials were obtained with a standard set of conditions to main internal consistency. Cyclic voltammograms were collected with a potentiostat. Samples were prepared with 0.01 mmol of 1a in 10 mL anhydrous acetonitrile. Measurements employed a radium glassy carbon working electrode, platinum wire counter electrode, 0.1 M [Bu₄N][PF₆] in acetonitrile, 0.01 M silver-silver nitrate reference electrode. The value of 1a converted to SCE was $E_p = –0.639$ V vs SCE.

**Figure S181.** Cyclic voltammogram of 1ee in MeCN, related to Figure 3b.

Electrochemical potentials were obtained with a standard set of conditions to main internal consistency. Cyclic voltammograms were collected with a potentiostat. Samples were prepared with 0.02 mmol of 1ee in 10 mL anhydrous acetonitrile. Measurements employed a radium glassy carbon working electrode, platinum wire counter electrode, 0.1 M [Bu₄N][PF₆] in acetonitrile, 0.01 M silver-silver nitrate reference electrode. The obtained value was referenced to Ag/AgNO₃. The value of 1ee converted to SCE was $E_p = –0.963$ V vs SCE.
**Figure S182.** Cyclic voltammogram of 1ff in MeCN, related to **Figure 3b**.

Electrochemical potentials were obtained with a standard set of conditions to main internal consistency. Cyclic voltammograms were collected with a potentiostat. Samples were prepared with 0.01 mmol of 1ff in 10 mL anhydrous acetonitrile. Measurements employed a radium glassy carbon working electrode, platinum wire counter electrode, 0.1 M [Bu₄N][PF₆] in acetonitrile, 0.01 M silver-silver nitrate reference electrode. The obtained value was referenced to Ag/AgNO₃. The value of 1ff converted to SCE was Eₚ = 1.227 V vs SCE.

**Figure S183.** Cyclic voltammogram of 4a in MeCN, related to **Figure 3b**.
Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed on a CHI600E Workstation. Measurements were performed for anhydrous acetonitrile solutions ([4a] = 1.0 mM, [(NBu₄)PF₆] = 0.10 M) with a radium glassy carbon (working electrode) and platinum wire (counter electrode), and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode under N₂ at room temperature. The scan rate was 50 mV/s. Ferrocene (Cp₂Fe) was used as a reference. The value of 4a converted to SCE was $E_{p1} = -0.905 \, \text{V vs SCE}$, $E_{p2} = -0.935 \, \text{V vs SCE}$. 
DFT calculations

All the DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 program (Frisch et al., 1993). The geometries optimizations were performed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. The vibrational frequencies were computed at the same level to check whether each optimized structure is an energy minimum or a transition state (TS) and to evaluate its thermal corrections at 298 K. The Gibbs free energies (ΔG) are used to discuss the reaction.

Figure S184. The calculated ΔG values (in kcal/mol) for the formation of (R)-2a through TSA transition state. The ΔG for the generation of TSA transition state are 2.0 kcal/mol and The ΔG of the formation of C1 and 2a are -21.8 kcal/mol, related to Figures 3 and 4.

Figure S185. The calculated ΔG values (in kcal/mol) for the formation of (ent)-2a through TSB transition state. The ΔG for the generation of TSB transition state are 39.7 kcal/mol and The ΔG of the formation of C1 and (ent)-2a are 37.5 kcal/mol, related to Figures 3 and 4.

Figure S185. The calculated ΔG values (in kcal/mol) for the formation of (ent)-2a through TSC transition state. The ΔG for the generation of TSC transition state are 35.2 kcal/mol and The ΔG of the formation of C1 and (ent)-2a are 26.8 kcal/mol, related to Figures 3 and 4.
Supplemental Item Legends

Table S1. Evaluate the effect of BA, amine, base, and the solvent on the reductive dechlorination – deuteration of 1a, related to Table 1.

Table S2. Evaluate the effect of PC and [D] source on the reductive dechlorination – deuteration of 1a, related to Table 1.

Table S3. Evaluate the effect of amine and time on the enantioselective reduction of 1a, related to Table 1.

Table S4. Optimization of asymmetric reduction – deuteration of 4a, related to Figure 5.

Table S5. Cartesian Coordinates for the formation of (R)-2a proceeding transferred proton of P=O–H of CPA via TSA process, related to Figures 3 and 4.

Table S6. Cartesian Coordinates for the formation of (ent)-2a proceeding transferred proton of P–O–H of CPA via TSB process, related to Figures 3 and 4.

Table S7. Cartesian Coordinates for the formation of (ent)-2a proceeding transferred proton of H2O via TSC process, related to Figures 3 and 4.

Data S1. Crystal Data and structure Refinement for 8, related to Figure 2.

Data S2. Crystal Data and structure Refinement for 5n, related to Figure 5.
**Transparent Methods**

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ($^1$H NMR) and carbon NMR ($^{13}$C NMR) were recorded in CDCl$_3$ otherwise stated. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm), using the residual solvent signal as an internal standard: CDCl$_3$ ($^1$H NMR: δ 7.26, singlet; $^{13}$C NMR: δ 77.0, triplet). Multiplicities were given as: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), quintet, m (multiplets), dd (doublet of doublets), dt (doublet of triplets), and br (broad). Coupling constants (J) were recorded in Hertz (Hz). The number of proton atoms (n) for a given resonance was indicated by nH. The number of carbon atoms (n) for a given resonance was indicated by nC. HRMS (Analyzer: TOF) was reported in units of mass of charge ratio (m/z). Mass samples were dissolved in CH$_3$CN (HPLC Grade) unless otherwise stated. Optical rotations were recorded on a polarimeter with a sodium lamp of wavelength 589 nm and reported as follows; [α]$_D$$^\circ$ (c = g/100 mL, solvent). Melting points were determined on a melting point apparatus. Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis. UV detection was monitored at 254 nm and 210 nm at the same time. HPLC samples were dissolved in HPLC grade isopropanol (IPA) unless otherwise stated. All commercial reagents were purchased with the highest purity grade. They were used without further purification unless specified. All solvents used, mainly petroleum ether (PE) and ethyl acetate (EtOAc) were distilled. Anhydrous dichloromethane (DCM) was freshly distilled from CaH$_2$ and stored under N$_2$ atmosphere. Toluene and its derivatives were freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone before use. All compounds synthesized were stored in a 0 °C freezer and light-sensitive compounds were protected with aluminium foil.

**General Procedure for the Preparation of α-chloro-azaarenes**

**Step 1**: To a flame dried flask was equipped in 4 (5.0 mmol), THF (20 mL) under N$_2$. To this mixture, iPrMgBr (6.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) was added with vigorous stirring at 0 °C. Subsequently, the mixture was warmed up to room temperature, and stirred for 2~5 h. Then quenched with saturated NH$_4$Cl solutions and extracted with Et$_2$O (3 x 10 mL), removed the solvent in vacuo, the reaction mixture was dissolved with toluene and loaded onto a short silica gel column, followed by gradient elution with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (100/1 to 20/1 ratio). Removing the solvent in vacuo, afforded products 6 (e.g. 6a, yield = 88%).
Step 2: To a solution of 6 \((\text{Terrasson et al., 2008})\) (2.0 mmol) in dry DCM (5 mL) was added SOCl\(_2\) (4.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) at 0 °C. Subsequently, the mixture was warmed up to room temperature, and stirred for 2~10 h. TLC monitored until full conversion of 6. Then cooled to 0 °C again, saturated NaHCO\(_3\) solutions were added until no gas produced. Then extracted with DCM (3 x 10 mL), removed the solvent in \textit{vacuo}, the reaction mixture was dissolved with toluene and loaded onto a short basified \textit{silica gel} column, followed by gradient elution with petroleum ether. Removing the solvent in \textit{vacuo}, afforded products 1. (eg. 1a, colorless oil, 65% yield; \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.33 (d, \(J = 8.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, \(J = 8.8\) Hz, 1H), 7.87 – 7.75 (m, 5H), 7.62 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.45 – 7.30 (m, 3H), 4.06 – 3.79 (m, 1H), 1.44 (d, \(J = 6.3\) Hz, 3H), 1.10 (d, \(J = 6.7\) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 162.5, 146.2, 143.0, 136.1, 129.7, 129.3, 127.8, 127.2, 127.2, 127.1, 127.0, 126.6, 122.0, 85.8, 37.3, 19.5, 18.1).

**General experimental procedures for the enantioselective reductive dechlorination – deuteration of \(\alpha\)-chloro-azaarenes**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ar} & \text{N} \text{Cl} \quad \text{R}^2 \quad \text{C1 (0.2 equiv.), HE-1 (1.5 equiv.), D}_2\text{O (150 equiv.)} \quad \text{NaHCO}_3 (1.0 equiv.), mesitylene, 25 \degree\text{C}, 3 \text{W blue LED} \quad \text{Ar} \\
1\text{a-dd, 1gg-hh} & \quad \text{DPZ (0.01 equiv.)} \quad \text{2a-dd, 1gg-hh} \\
\end{align*}
\]

To a flame dried Schlenk tube was sequentially added 1\text{a-dd} or 1\text{gg-hh} (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), DPZ (0.001 mmol, 0.01 equiv.), C1 (0.02 mmol, 0.2 equiv.), \textit{HE}-1 (0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), NaHCO\(_3\) (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), mesitylene (2 mL), and D\(_2\)O (15 mmol, 150 equiv.). Then degassed three times by freeze-pump-thaw method. The reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere at 25 °C (the temperature was maintained in an incubator) for 5 min without light, then irradiated by a 3 W blue LED for another 20~60 min. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was directly loaded onto a short basified \textit{silica gel} column, followed by gradient elution with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (100/1 ratio). Removing the solvent in \textit{vacuo}, afforded products 2\text{a-dd} or 2\text{gg-hh}.
To a flame dried Schlenk tube was sequentially added 1ee–ff (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), 4DPAIPN (0.001 mmol, 0.01 equiv.), C1 (0.02 mmol, 0.2 equiv.), HE-1 (0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), NaHCO3 (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), mesitylene (2 mL), and D2O (15 mmol, 150 equiv.). Then degassed three times by freeze-pump-thaw method. The reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere at 25 °C (the temperature was maintained in an incubator) for 5 min without light, then irradiated by a 3 W blue LED for another 20–60 min. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was directly loaded onto a short basified silica gel column, followed by gradient elution with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (100/1 ratio). Removing the solvent in vacuo, afforded products 2ee–ff.

General experimental procedures for the asymmetric reduction – deuteration of azaarene-substituted ketones

![Diagram](image)

To a flame dried Schlenk tube was sequentially added 4a–p (0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), DPZ (0.0005 mmol, 0.005 equiv.), C2/C22/C23 (0.01 mmol, 0.1 equiv.), HE-1 (0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), NaCl (0.02 mmol, 0.2 equiv.), CPME (2 mL), and D2O (20 mmol, 200 equiv.). Then degassed three times by freeze-pump-thaw method. The reaction mixture was stirred under an argon atmosphere at –5/15 °C (the temperature was maintained in an incubator) for 15 min without light, then irradiated by a 3 W blue LED for another 14–24 hours. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was directly loaded onto a short basified silica gel column, followed by gradient elution with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (8/1 ratio). Removing the solvent in vacuo, afforded products 5a–p.

Procedures for the Preparation of 8

![Diagram](image)

To a stirred solution of 2d (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in DCM 2.0 (mL) was added 3-chloroperoxy-benzoic acid (0.12 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in portions. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature, sodium thiosulfate was added (5.0 mL) followed by saturated
sodium hydrogen carbonate (30 mL). The mixture was extracted with DCM (3 × 5.0 mL), the organic layers combined, dried with Na₂SO₄, the reaction mixture was directly loaded onto a short silica gel column, followed by gradient elution with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (100/1–10/1 ratio). Removing the solvent in vacuo, afforded the N-O product 8 in 87% yield with 90% ee.

White solid; Mp 104 – 106 °C; 19.7 mg, 75% yield; >95% D, 93% ee; [α]ᵲ₂₂ –38.3 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17 – 7.90 (m, 2H), 7.78 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.54 – 7.39 (m, 3H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.28 – 7.18 (m, 2H), 7.17 – 7.07 (m, 1H), 2.86 – 2.67 (m, 1H), 1.00 – 0.75 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.9, 142.8, 136.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.5, 128.4, 127.4, 126.8, 126.4, 125.8, 121.1, 31.9, 21.7, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 263.1647 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₉H₁₉DN₂ 263.1654. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 4.6 min (major) and 9.2 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 104 – 106 °C; 18.9 mg, 73% yield; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.66 – 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.78 – 2.66 (m, 1H), 0.83 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.0, 147.8, 142.9, 136.2, 129.2, 129.2, 128.5, 128.3, 127.4, 126.8, 126.3, 125.7, 121.2, 63.2, 32.0, 21.7, 21.4.

Colorless oil; 19.2 mg, 58% yield; >95% D, 95% ee; [α]ᵲ₂₂ –111.4 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.28 – 7.95 (m, 2H), 7.81 – 7.59 (m, 4H), 7.51 – 7.45 (m, 3H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.89 – 2.85 (m, 1H), 0.93 – 0.85 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8, 147.0, 136.5, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.4, 127.4, 126.9, 126.0, 125.3, 125.3, 125.2, 125.2, 122.4, 121.2, 32.2, 21.6, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z 331.1519 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₂₀H₁₈DF₃N 331.1527. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK ODH x 2 (4.6 mm i.d. x
250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 9.3 min (minor) and 11.3 min (major).

White solid; Mp 92 – 94 °C; 17.4 mg, 62% yield; 95% D, 91% ee; [α]_D^22 = −52.1 (c 1.0, CHCl_3); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.10 – 7.86 (m, 2H), 7.72 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.46 – 7.31 (m, 3H), 7.29 – 7.14 (m, 1H), 6.88 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 0.05H), 2.80 – 2.56 (m, 1H), 0.87 – 0.73 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 163.6, 163.1, 159.9, 138.5, 136.6, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.0, 127.4, 126.8, 126.0, 121.1, 115.3, 115.0, 32.2, 21.6, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z 281.1553 (M+H^+), calc. for C_19H_18DFN 281.1559. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 4.5 min (major) and 6.6 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 91 – 93 °C; 18.4 mg, 62% yield; >95% D, 93% ee; [α]_D^22 = −65.8 (c 1.0, CHCl_3); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.12 – 8.01 (m, 2H), 7.76 – 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.56 – 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.24 – 7.08 (m, 2H), 2.87 – 2.67 (m, 1H), 0.96 – 0.84 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 163.1, 145.0, 136.4, 134.1, 129.6, 129.4, 129.2, 128.5, 127.4, 126.9, 126.8, 126.6, 126.0, 121.2, 32.1, 21.6, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 297.1257 (M+H^+), calc. for C_{19}H_{18}DCIN 297.1263. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 4.1 min (major) and 5.7 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 21.6 mg, 78% yield; >95% D, 93% ee; [α]_D^22 = +17.3 (c 1.0, CHCl_3); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.12 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.81 – 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.52 – 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.42 – 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.14 – 7.03 (m, 2H), 3.84 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 0.05H), 2.88 – 2.67 (m, 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.01 – 0.80 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 164.2, 147.6, 139.8, 136.4, 135.9, 129.2, 129.1, 128.3, 127.4, 126.8, 125.8, 121.0, 31.8, 21.7, 21.4, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) m/z 277.1803 (M+H^+), calc. for C_{20}H_{21}DN 277.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol
White solid; Mp 89 – 91 °C; 20.2 mg, 73% yield; 95% D, 91% ee; [α]_{D}^{22} = \text{13.3 (c 1.0, CHCl}_3\text{);} 
\text{1}^\text{H} \text{ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta \text{8.19 – 7.90 (m, 2H), 7.75 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.48 – 7.37 (m, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.96 – 6.85 (m, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 0.05H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 0.96 – 0.72 (m, 6H);} 
\text{13}^\text{C} \text{ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta \text{164.0, 147.5, 142.7, 137.9, 136.3, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.1, 127.4, 127.1, 126.8, 125.8, 125.3, 121.0, 31.7, 21.7, 21.5, 21.5;} 
\text{HRMS (ESI) m/z 277.1804 (M+H\text{+})}, \text{ calc. for C}_20\text{H}_21\text{DN 277.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 4.0 min (major) and 6.6 min (minor).}

White solid; Mp 89 – 91 °C; 18.8 mg, 68% yield; 94% D, 88% ee; [α]_{D}^{22} = \text{+38.7 (c 1.0, CHCl}_3\text{);} 
\text{1}^\text{H} \text{ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta \text{8.09 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.77 – 7.58 (m, 3H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.12 – 7.02 (m, 2H), 4.18 – 4.15 (m, 0.06H), 2.88 – 2.75 (m, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H), 0.96 – 0.89 (m, 6H);} 
\text{13}^\text{C} \text{ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta \text{163.8, 147.7, 141.1, 137.0, 136.1, 130.3, 129.2, 129.1, 127.3, 127.1, 126.7, 126.1, 126.0, 125.7, 121.1, 32.1, 21.7, 21.1, 20.5;} 
\text{HRMS (ESI) m/z 277.1803 (M+H\text{+})}, \text{ calc. for C}_20\text{H}_21\text{DN 277.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 3.8 min (major) and 10.0 min (minor).}

Colorless oil; 19.7 mg, 68% yield; 93% D, 85% ee; [α]_{D}^{22} = \text{+138.9 (c 1.0, CHCl}_3\text{);} 
\text{1}^\text{H} \text{ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta \text{8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.74 – 7.62 (m, 2H), 7.49 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 0.07H), 2.86 – 2.76 (m, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 0.96 – 0.89 (m, 6H);} 
\text{13}^\text{C} \text{ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta \text{163.9, 147.6, 140.8, 136.1, 135.3, 133.9, 130.2, 129.2,}
129.0, 127.7, 127.3, 126.7, 125.7, 121.1, 32.0, 21.7, 21.3, 21.2, 20.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z 291.1958 (M+H•), calc. for C21H23DN 291.1966. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 3.9 min (major) and 11.7 min (minor).
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White solid; Mp 94 – 97 °C; 21.7 mg, 68% yield; 95% D, 90% ee; [α]D  +25.3 (c 1.0, CHCl3);

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.11 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.75 – 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.50 – 7.34 (m, 4H), 7.29 – 7.24 (m, 2H), 2.81 – 2.75 (m, 1H), 1.26 (s, 9H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 164.3, 149.0, 147.7, 139.7, 136.2, 129.1, 128.0, 127.4, 126.8, 125.7, 125.2, 121.1, 34.3, 31.9, 31.3, 21.7, 21.5;

HRMS (ESI) m/z 319.2272 (M+H•), calc. for C23H27DN 319.2279. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK ODH x 2 (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane /2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 8.4 min (minor) and 9.9 min (major).
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White solid; Mp 94 – 97 °C; 22.4 mg, 70% yield; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.03 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 – 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.27 (m, 4H), 7.21 – 7.16 (m, 2H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 2.78 – 2.60 (m, 1H), 1.18 (s, 9H), 0.86 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 164.3, 149.0, 139.7, 136.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.0, 127.4, 126.8, 125.8, 125.2, 121.1, 62.7, 34.3, 32.0, 31.3, 21.7, 21.5.
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Colorless oil; 26.4 mg, 78% yield; >95% D, 91% ee; [α]D  –7.2 (c 1.0, CHCl3); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.15 – 7.98 (m, 2H), 7.77 – 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.62 – 7.27 (m, 11H), 2.94 – 2.71 (m, 1H), 0.99 – 0.90 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 163.9, 147.8, 142.0, 140.9, 139.2, 136.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.6, 127.4, 127.1, 127.0, 126.9, 126.8, 125.8, 125.2, 121.1, 32.0, 21.7, 21.5;

HRMS (ESI) m/z 339.1958 (M+H•), calc. for C25H23DN 339.1966. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 6.6 min (major) and 13.5 min (minor).
Colorless oil; 23.4 mg, 81% yield; >95% D, 93% ee; [α]$_{22}^{22}$ = -23.7 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.79 – 7.59 (m, 2H), 7.53 – 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.36 – 7.30 (m, 3H), 6.65 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.90 – 2.68 (m, 1H), 0.98 – 0.86 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.8, 147.8, 142.6, 136.5, 136.3, 135.7, 129.2, 128.6, 127.4, 126.8, 126.2, 125.8, 121.1, 113.2, 31.9, 21.7, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 289.1802 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{21}$H$_{21}$DN 289.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.4 min (major) and 9.7 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 23.4 mg, 81% yield; $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.02 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.67 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 7.35 (m, 3H), 7.30 – 7.15 (m, 3H), 6.65 – 6.50 (m, 1H), 5.64 – 5.53 (m, 1H), 5.12 – 5.06 (m, 1H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 2.87 – 2.57 (m, 1H), 0.89 – 0.79 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.8, 147.6, 142.6, 136.5, 136.4, 135.7, 129.3, 129.1, 128.6, 127.4, 126.8, 126.2, 125.8, 121.1, 113.2, 62.8, 31.9, 21.7, 21.4.

Colorless oil; 20.8 mg, 71% yield; >95% D, 93% ee; [α]$_{22}^{22}$ = -24.4 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.11 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.77 – 7.62 (m, 2H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.73 – 6.67 (m, 1H) 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.90 – 2.70 (m, 1H), 0.96 – 0.86 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.8, 159.5, 147.8, 144.5, 136.2, 129.2, 129.2, 127.4, 126.8, 125.7, 121.1, 120.9, 114.4, 111.4, 55.1, 31.9, 21.7, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 293.1752 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{20}$H$_{21}$DNO 293.1759. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.9 min (major) and 12.1 min (minor).
Colorless oil; 20.3 mg, 65% yield; >95% D, 80% ee; \( [\alpha]_D^{22} \) = -165.9 \( (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3) \); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.61 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, \( J = 8.2 \) Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 1H), 7.82 (t, \( J = 7.4 \) Hz, 2H), 7.76 – 7.60 (m, 3H), 7.57 – 7.30 (m, 5H), 3.04 – 2.96 (m, 1H), 1.02 – 0.97 (m, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 163.8, 138.7, 136.5, 134.0, 132.7, 129.2, 128.7, 127.4, 127.0, 126.7, 125.9, 125.8, 125.1, 124.7, 124.3, 120.9, 32.0, 21.8, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z 313.1802 (M+H\(^+\)), calc. for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{21}\)DN 313.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK ODH x 2 (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 12.6 min (minor) and 13.9 min (major).

Colorless oil; 22.5 mg, 72% yield; >95% D, 88% ee; \( [\alpha]_D^{22} \) = -182.9 \( (c \ 1.0, \ CHCl_3) \); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.13 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.84 – 7.63 (m, 6H), 7.50 – 7.33 (m, 4H), 3.00 – 2.90 (m, 1H), 0.96 (d, \( J = 6.4 \) Hz, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 163.7, 147.6, 140.4, 136.4, 133.5, 132.3, 129.3, 129.1, 128.0, 127.7, 127.5, 127.4, 127.0, 126.8, 126.8, 125.8, 125.4, 121.3, 31.7, 21.7, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z 313.1802 (M+H\(^+\)), calc. for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{21}\)DN 313.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.9 min (major) and 11.0 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 22.9 mg, 72% yield; \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.07 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 1H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.75 – 7.50 (m, 6H), 7.44 – 7.26 (m, 4H), 3.94 (d, \( J = 10.8 \) Hz, 1H), 2.92 – 2.71 (m, 1H), 0.91 – 0.82 (m, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 163.8, 147.6, 140.4, 136.4, 133.5, 132.3, 129.3, 129.1, 128.0, 127.7, 127.5, 127.4, 127.0, 126.8, 125.9, 125.8, 125.4, 121.3, 63.1, 31.8, 21.7, 21.5.
White solid; Mp 160 – 162 °C; 21.7 mg, 66% yield; >95% D, 80% ee; [α]_D^{22} +492.8 (c 1.0, CHCl_3); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.78 – 8.59 (m, 3H), 8.18 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (s, 1H), 8.01 – 7.84 (m, 2H), 7.73 – 7.55 (m, 6H), 7.48 – 7.35 (m, 2H), 3.10 – 3.05 (m, 1H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 163.7, 147.57, 136.9, 136.1, 131.9, 131.7, 130.7, 129.6, 129.2, 128.6, 127.4, 126.7, 126.6, 126.5, 126.3, 126.1, 125.8, 125.4, 125.2, 123.0, 122.4, 120.6, 31.7, 21.8, 21.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z 363.1956 (M+H⁺), calc. for C_{27}H_{23}DNN 363.1966. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 6.5 min (major) and 8.2 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 19.4 mg, 83% yield; >95% D, 88% ee; [α]_D^{22} +15.0 (c 1.0, CHCl_3); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.03 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.31 – 7.05 (m, 6H), 4.42 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 0.1H), 1.71 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 165.1, 147.5, 144.5, 136.3, 129.3, 129.1, 128.5, 127.8, 127.4, 126.8, 126.4, 125.9, 120.6, 48.0, 47.8, 47.6, 47.3, 20.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z 235.1334 (M+H⁺), calc. for C_{17}H_{15}DNN 235.1340. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 6.0 min (major) and 10.3 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 20.2 mg, 84% yield; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.04 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.32 – 7.06 (m, 6H), 4.50 – 4.26 (m, 1H), 1.72 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 165.1, 147.6, 144.6, 136.3, 129.3, 129.2, 128.5, 127.8, 127.4, 126.8, 126.4, 125.9, 120.7, 48.0, 47.8, 47.6, 47.3, 20.4.
Colorless oil; 21.1 mg, 85% yield; >95% D, 87% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{22}$ +31.7 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.11 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.79 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.48 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.34 – 7.13 (m, 4H), 4.20 – 4.18 (m, 0.05H), 2.43 – 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.27 – 2.15 (m, 1H), 0.95 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 164.1, 147.6, 143.2, 136.4, 129.3, 129.1, 128.4, 128.2, 127.4, 126.8, 126.4, 125.9, 120.9, 27.7, 12.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z 249.1491 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{18}$H$_{17}$DN$_2$ 249.1497. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.5 min (major) and 9.9 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 54 – 56 °C; 27.6 mg, 89% yield; >95% D, 84% ee; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{22}$ –83.8 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.16 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.76 – 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.49 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 – 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.29 – 7.20 (m, 3H), 7.20 – 7.06 (m, 6H), 3.86 (d, $J = 13.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.44 (d, $J = 13.8$ Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.9, 142.9, 140.4, 136.4, 129.4, 129.2, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.4, 126.8, 126.5, 126.0, 125.8, 121.6, 40.9; HRMS (ESI) m/z 311.1645 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{23}$H$_{19}$DN 311.1653. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 7.0 min (major) and 10.0 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 54 – 56 °C; 26.1 mg, 85% yield; $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.07 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.68 – 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.40 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.19 – 7.10 (m, 3H), 7.11 – 6.98 (m, 6H), 4.55 – 4.46 (m, 1H), 3.81 – 3.65 (m, 1H), 3.41 – 3.30 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 163.0, 147.7, 143.12, 143.1, 140.5, 136.1, 129.2, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.4, 126.9, 126.5, 125.9, 125.8, 121.7, 56.0, 41.0.
Colorless oil; 18.5 mg, 71% yield; >95% D, 82% ee; \([\alpha]^{22}_D -45.3\) (c 1.0, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.13 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.74 – 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.49 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 2H), 7.35 – 7.07 (m, 4H), 5.88 – 5.71 (m, 1H), 5.10 – 5.01 (m, 1H), 4.97 – 4.90 (m, 1H), 3.17 (dd, \(J = 14.1, 6.7\) Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dd, \(J = 14.2, 6.6\) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 163.3, 147.7, 142.8, 136.7, 136.3, 129.3, 128.5, 128.2, 127.4, 126.9, 125.9, 121.2, 116.4, 38.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z 261.1491 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₉H₁₇DN 261.1497. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.8 min (major) and 9.4 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 19.4 mg, 71% yield; >95% D, 80% ee; \([\alpha]^{22}_D -73.2\) (c 1.0, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.11 (d, \(J = 8.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, \(J = 8.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.74 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.47 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 2H), 7.33 – 7.12 (m, 4H), 5.84 – 5.68 (m, 1H), 5.01 – 4.87 (m, 2H), 2.42 – 2.01 (m, 4H), 1.51 – 1.28 (m, 2H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 164.1, 147.8, 143.4, 138.7, 136.2, 129.3, 129.2, 128.5, 128.1, 127.4, 126.8, 126.4, 125.8, 120.9, 114.5, 34.1, 33.8, 27.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z 289.1804 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₂₁H₂₁DN 289.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 6.1 min (major) and 9.0 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 21.8 mg, 75% yield; 94% D, 90% ee; \([\alpha]^{22}_D -58.9\) (c 1.0, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.10 (d, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.75 – 7.62 (m, 2H), 7.56 – 7.34 (m, 4H), 7.29 – 7.23 (m, 2H), 7.19 – 7.11 (m, 1H), 4.15 – 4.03 (m, 0.06H), 2.59 (brs, 1H), 1.49 – 1.13 (m, 4H), 0.86 – 0.76 (m, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 163.9, 147.9, 142.7, 136.1, 129.3, 129.1, 128.6, 128.3, 127.3, 126.8, 126.3, 125.7, 121.2, 42.7, 22.1, 21.6, 10.1, 9.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z 291.1957 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₂₁H₂₃DN 291.1966. The ee was
determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 4.2 min (major) and 10.3 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 103 – 105 °C; 17.9 mg, 62% yield; 92% D, 87% ee; [α]$^D_{22}$ –7.2 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.09 (d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, $J$ = 18.9, 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.49 – 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.34 (d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.29 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.15 (t, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, $J$ = 11.4 Hz, 0.08H), 3.03 – 2.93 (m, 1H), 1.78 – 1.47 (m, 6H), 1.34 – 1.09 (m, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 164.2, 147.8, 143.4, 136.1, 129.3, 129.1, 128.3, 127.4, 126.8, 126.3, 125.7, 121.0, 44.0, 31.9, 31.7, 25.4, 25.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z 289.1805 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{21}$H$_{21}$DN 289.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.4 min (major) and 13.1 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 98 – 100 °C; 18.7 mg, 62% yield; 95% D, 82% ee; [α]$^D_{22}$ –12.8 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.09 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.77 – 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.51 – 7.41 (m, 3H), 7.36 (d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.32 – 7.21 (m, 2H), 7.15 (t, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.93 – 3.87 (m, 0.05H), 2.49 – 2.40 m, 1H), 1.78 – 1.44 (m, 5H), 1.32 – 1.11 (m, 3H), 1.01 – 0.87 (m, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.7, 147.8, 142.4, 136.2, 136.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.3, 127.4, 126.8, 126.3, 125.7, 121.2, 41.2, 32.0, 31.6, 26.5, 26.3, 26.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z 303.1958 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{22}$H$_{23}$DN 303.1966. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.0 min (major) and 11.6 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 21.9 mg, 72% yield; >95% D, 85% ee; [α]$^D_{22}$ –84.2 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.04 (d, $J$ = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 – 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.42 – 7.38 (m, 3H), 7.29 – 7.06 (m, 4H), 4.35 – 4.29 (m, 0.03H), 3.84 (t, $J$ = 11.9 Hz, 2H),
3.35 (t, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.72 – 2.65 (m, 1H), 1.48 – 1.18 (m, 4H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.4, 147.8, 141.4, 141.4, 136.3, 129.3, 128.6, 128.5, 127.4, 126.8, 126.6, 125.9, 121.7, 68.1, 67.9, 38.7, 32.0, 31.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z 305.1752 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{21}$H$_{21}$DNO 305.1759. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 80/20; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 14.3 min (major) and 15.8 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 20.3 mg, 64% yield; 94% D, 81% ee; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ –8.7 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.08 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.75 – 7.63 (m, 2H), 7.49 – 7.42 (m, 3H), 7.36 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.30 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.15 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 0.06H), 2.75 – 2.72 (m, 1H), 1.71 – 1.35 (m, 10H), 1.33 – 1.00 (m, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 164.1, 147.7, 143.0, 136.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.4, 127.3, 126.7, 126.3, 125.7, 121.1, 42.1, 33.0, 32.3, 28.5, 28.5, 26.5, 26.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z 317.2114 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{23}$H$_{25}$DN 317.2123. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 97/3; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.6 min (major) and 14.8 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 66 – 68 °C; 19.6 mg, 71% yield; >95% D, 92% ee; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ –37.0 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.11 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.67 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 – 7.44 (m, 3H), 7.31 – 7.10 (m, 4H), 3.76 (brs, 0.02 H), 2.86 – 2.75 (m, 1H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 0.93 – 0.88 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 163.5, 147.6, 144.2, 143.0, 129.7, 128.9, 128.5, 128.3, 126.9, 126.3, 125.5, 123.5, 121.8, 31.8, 21.7, 21.4, 18.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z 277.1803 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{20}$H$_{21}$DN 277.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG x 2 (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 10.4 min (major) and 11.6 min (minor).
Colorless oil; 22.2 mg, 65% yield; 95% D, 90% ee; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -95.0$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.36 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.53 – 7.41 (m, 4H), 7.28 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.15 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, $J = 10.8$ Hz, 0.05H), 2.87 – 2.75 (m, 1H), 0.93 – 0.86 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 164.8, 148.6, 142.5, 135.6, 129.5, 129.4, 129.2, 128.4, 128.4, 126.5, 126.2, 122.5, 121.7, 31.9, 21.7, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 341.0750 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{18}$DBrN 341.0758. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG x 2 (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 12.4 min (major) and 14.3 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 21.9 mg, 75% yield; >95% D, 90% ee; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -69.7$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.98 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.35 – 7.20 (m, 4H), 7.15 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.87 – 2.65 (m, 1H), 0.93 – 0.86 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 161.5, 157.2, 143.8, 143.2, 135.0, 130.6, 128.4, 128.3, 127.6, 126.2, 121.6, 121.3, 105.0, 55.5, 32.0, 21.7, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 293.1752 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{20}$H$_{21}$DNO 293.1759. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK ODH x 2 (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 6.6 min (minor) and 8.2 min (major).

White solid; Mp 76 – 78 °C; 21.5 mg, 63% yield; 94% D, 92% ee; $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -114.1$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.23 (s, 1H), 7.88 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.52 – 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.39 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.27 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.20 – 7.15 (m, 2H), 7.11 – 7.05 (m, 1H), 3.70 (d, $J = 10.4$ Hz, 0.06H), 2.79 – 2.70 (m, 1H), 0.84 – 0.80 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 165.0, 148.4, 142.6, 136.0, 131.7, 131.6, 129.3, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 126.5, 125.4, 121.8, 31.94, 21.7, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z 341.0751 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{18}$DBrN 341.0758. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG x 2 (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.2 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 6.6 min (minor) and 8.2 min (major).
mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 10.3 min (major) and 11.6 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 45 – 47 °C; 20.5 mg, 78% yield; 93% D, 82% ee; [α]$_{D}^{22}$ +27.6 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.59 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.64 – 7.45 (m, 5H), 7.29 – 7.18 (m, 2H), 7.15 – 7.08 (m, 1H), 4.45 (d, $J = 10.9$ Hz, 0.08H), 3.14 – 2.84 (m, 1H), 0.93 – 0.85 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 162.6, 142.9, 141.8, 136.4, 129.5, 128.6, 128.2, 127.5, 127.0, 126.2, 124.8, 119.0, 32.7, 22.0, 21.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z 263.1646 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{19}$N$_2$ 263.1653. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB + ODH + ODH (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 16.1 min (major) and 17.1 min (minor).

White solid; Mp 135 – 137 °C; 25.3 mg, 81% yield; 90% D, 83% ee; [α]$_{D}^{22}$ –380.5 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.61 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.43 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.25 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.81 – 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.67 – 7.54 (m, 4H), 7.28 – 7.19 (m, 2H), 7.12 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, $J = 10.1$ Hz, 0.15H), 3.25 – 3.14 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H), 0.92 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 162.0, 143.8, 142.8, 133.0, 130.2, 129.8, 128.8, 128.3, 128.1, 127.1, 126.3, 126.2, 125.9, 125.7, 123.3, 122.4, 121.8, 56.7, 32.6, 22.2, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 313.1801 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{23}$H$_{21}$N$_2$ 313.1810. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK ODH (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 6.2 min (minor) and 11.7 min (major).

White solid; Mp 62 – 64 °C; 19.1 mg, 71% yield; 93% D, 80% ee; [α]$_{D}^{22}$ –47.0 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.93 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.41 – 7.31
(m, 3H), 7.28 – 7.07 (m, 4H), 3.92 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 0.07H), 2.68 – 2.54 (m, 1H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.82 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 174.5, 153.0, 141.0, 135.0, 128.6, 128.5, 127.1, 125.8, 124.6, 122.8, 121.4, 33.6, 21.6, 21.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z 269.1211 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{17}$H$_{17}$DNS 269.1217.

The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IG (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 80/20; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 5.6 min (major) and 11.3 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 13.8 mg, 65% yield; 85% D, 53% ee; $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.48 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (m, 7.51 – 7.40, 1H), 7.38 – 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.21 – 7.03 (m, 4H), 7.01 – 6.95 (m, 1H), 3.49 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 0.15H), 2.62 – 2.57 (m, 1H), 0.78 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.7, 149.2, 143.4, 143.4, 136.2, 128.3, 126.2, 123.1, 123.1, 121.1, 62.7, 62.5, 62.2, 32.1, 21.7, 21.4. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK ODH x 3 (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 96/4; flow rate 0.7 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 23.5 min (major) and 24.5 min (minor).

Colorless oil; 13.8 mg, 65% yield; >95% D, 38% ee; $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.07 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79 – 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.12 – 6.89 (m, 6H), 4.24 – 4.21 (M, 0.03H), 3.23 (s, 2H), 2.31 – 2.05 (m, 1H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 164.1, 147.8, 141.1, 135.2, 129.2, 129.0, 129.0, 127.9, 127.4, 126.8, 125.5, 125.5, 122.3, 38.1, 32.6, 20.8, 20.8. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: Lux 5u Cellulose-3 & Chiral MJ (2) (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); hexane/2-propanol = 98/2; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 10.0 min (major) and 10.9 min (minor).

5a: white solid, Mp 50 – 52 °C, 22.7 mg, 96% yield, 91% D, 91% ee, [α]$^2_{D}$ –88.6 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 16.1, 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (dd, J = 16.2, 8.7 Hz, 3H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (s, 1H), 5.90 (s, 0.09H); $^{13}$C NMR (75
MHz, CDCl3) δ 160.4, 145.9, 142.7, 137.0, 129.9, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.6, 127.4, 126.6, 119.2, 75.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z 237.1131 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₆H₁₃DNO 237.1133. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 90/10; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 10.9 min (minor) and 22.0 min (major).

5b: white solid, Mp 120 – 122 °C, 24.9 mg, 82% yield, 91% D, 94% ee, [α]_D²² -143.8 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (dd, J = 16.6, 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (m, 5H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 6.17 (s, 1H), 6.03 (s, 0.09 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 146.7, 146.0, 137.4, 130.1, 130.1 (q, J = 32.4 Hz), 128.7, 127.6, 127.6, 127.5, 126.9, 125.6 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 124.0 (q, J = 272.1 Hz), 118.9, 74.6; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -63.07; HRMS (ESI) m/z 305.0997 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₇H₁₂DF₃NO 305.1007. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 90/10; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 9.0 min (minor) and 15.3 min (major).

5c: white solid, Mp 74 – 75 °C, 24.1 mg, 95% yield, 90% D, 92% ee, [α]_D²² -27.5 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21 (dd, J = 22.8, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (dd, J = 18.7, 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 8.4, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 6.01 (s, 0.1 H); ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -105.11; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.3 (d, J = 246.1 Hz), 160.3, 145.8, 138.5 (d, J = 3.1 Hz), 137.0, 129.8, 128.9 (d, J = 8.2 Hz), 128.6, 127.5, 127.3, 126.6, 118.9, 115.3 (d, J = 21.5 Hz), 74.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 255.1032 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₆H₁₂DFNO 255.1038. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK OZ–H (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 94/6; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 11.3 min (minor) and 14.7 min (major).
5d: white solid, Mp 67 - 69 °C, 24.8 mg, 99% yield, 91% D, 97% ee, [α]_D^{22} −156.9 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); °H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (dd, J = 16.3, 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (dd, J = 12.2, 8.2 Hz, 3H), 6.02 (s, 1H), 5.86 (s, 0.09H), 2.33 (s, 3H); °C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.4, 145.9, 142.6, 138.3, 137.0, 129.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.0, 127.6, 126.6, 124.6, 119.3, 75.1, 21.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 251.1293 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₇H₁₅DNO 251.1289. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 94/6; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 15.1 min (minor) and 30.2 min (major).

5e: white solid, Mp 79 – 81 °C, 22.8 mg, 91% yield, 90% D, 99% ee, [α]_D^{22} −76.1 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); °H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 16.5, 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (m, 4H), 7.10 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (s, 1H), 5.86 (s, 0.1 H), 2.32 (s, 3H); °C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.6, 145.8, 139.8, 137.7, 137.0, 129.9, 129.3, 128.7, 127.6, 127.4, 127.4, 126.6, 119.3, 74.9, 21.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z 251.1284 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₇H₁₅DNO 251.1289. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK OZ–H (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 94/6; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 15.4 min (minor) and 20.4 min (major).

5f: white solid, Mp 82 – 84 °C, 20.7 mg, 83% yield, 93% D, 97% ee, [α]_D^{22} −171.8 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); °H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 17.4, 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (m, 4H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (s, 0.07 H), 5.92 (s, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H); °C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.4 (d, J = 246.2 Hz), 159.7, 145.9, 137.4, 130.0, 129.8, δ 129.4 (d, J = 8.3 Hz), 128.8 (d, J = 4.0 Hz),
128.7, 127.6, 127.6, 126.7, 124.5 (d, J = 3.5 Hz), 118.9 (d, J = 3.1 Hz), 115.5 (d, J = 21.9 Hz), 68.1 (d, J = 4.0 Hz); HRMS (ESI) m/z 251.1288 (M+H+), calc. for C_{17}H_{15}DNO 251.1289. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK OZ–H (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 94/6; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 11.6 min (minor) and 12.9 min (major).

![Image of compound 5g]  

**5g**: white solid, Mp 132 – 133 °C, 24.6 mg, 86% yield, 91% D, 90% ee, [α]^{22}_{D} −91.3 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.20 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.81 (m, 5H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dt, J = 12.3, 8.0 Hz, 3H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 6.06 (s, 0.09H); ^13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.3, 146.0, 140.1, 137.0, 133.3, 133.2, 130.0, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 126.7, 126.7, 126.2, 126.0, 125.0, 119.3, 75.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z 287.1286 (M+H+), calc. for C_{20}H_{15}DNO 287.1289. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 94/6; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 12.2 min (minor) and 15.2 min (major).

![Image of compound 5h]  

**5h**: white solid, Mp 120 – 122 °C, 16.3 mg, 72% yield, >95% D, 97% ee, [α]^{22}_{D} +78.8 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.15 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (m, 2H), 6.34 (s, 2H), 5.96 (s, 0.01H), 5.81 (s, 1H); ^13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.6, 154.7, 146.1, 142.8, 137.2, 130.0, 128.8, 127.7, 127.6, 126.8, 118.9, 110.3, 108.0, 68.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z 227.0927 (M+H+), calc. for C_{14}H_{11}DNO₂ 227.0926. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 90/10; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 9.9 min (minor) and 16.5 min (major).
**5i**: white solid, Mp 118 – 119 °C, 24.1 mg, 99% yield, 96% ee, [α]_D^22 = −121.3 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 (dd, J = 8.3, 3.7 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (m, 1H), 6.17 (s, 0.05H), 6.02 (s, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.5, 146.7, 145.8, 137.4, 130.1, 128.7, 127.6, 127.6, 126.9, 126.6, 125.9, 125.6, 119.0, 70.8; HRMS (ESI) m/z 243.0695 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₄H₁₁DNOS 243.0697. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 90/10; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 210 nm; retention time: 12.2 min (minor) and 21.7 min (major).

**5j**: white solid, Mp 120 – 122 °C, 20.5 mg, 82% yield, 92% D, 94% ee, [α]_D^22 = −173.8 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (m, 2H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (s, 0.08H), 5.87 (s, 1H), 2.57 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.9, 144.4, 142.4, 136.1, 132.4, 132.4, 130.8, 130.4, 128.7, 128.1, 128.1, 127.4, 126.3, 120.2, 75.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z 251.1291 (M+H⁺), calc. for C₁₇H₁₅DNO 251.1289. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 85/15; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 13.8 min (minor) and 18.2 min (major).

**5k**: white solid, Mp 126 – 127 °C, 16.5 mg, 65% yield, 92% D, 90% ee, [α]_D^22 = −113.3 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (m, 1H), 7.37 (m, 6H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (s, 1H), 5.88 (s, 0.08 H); \(^{19}\)F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -112.87. \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 146.7, 146.0, 137.4, 130.1, 130.1 (q, J = 32.4 Hz), 128.7, 127.6, 127.6, 127.5, 126.9, 125.6 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 124.0
(q, \( J = 272.1 \text{ Hz} \)), 118.9, 74.6; \(^{19}\text{F NMR} (376 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta -112.87; \) HRMS (ESI) m/z 255.1035 (M+H\(^+\)), calc. for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{12}\)DFNO 255.1038. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 90/10; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 9.4 min (minor) and 11.6 min (major).
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**5l**: yellow solid, Mp 84 – 85 °C, 15.8 mg, 91% yield, > 95% D, 80% ee, \([\alpha]_{D}^{22} +18.1 \) (c 1.0, CHCl\(_3\)); \(^1\text{H NMR} (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 8.17 (d, J = 8.5 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.83 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 1.58 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\text{C NMR} (75 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 162.8, 146.3, 137.0, 129.8, 128.7, 127.6, 127.4, 126.4, 118.0, 68.7, 24.1; \) HRMS (ESI) m/z 175.0980 (M+H\(^+\)), calc. for C\(_{11}\)H\(_{11}\)DNO 175.0976. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRAL INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 90/10; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 7.4 min (minor) and 12.3 min (major).
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**5m**: white solid, Mp 120 – 122 °C, 21.2 mg, 99% yield, >95% D, 88% ee, \([\alpha]_{D}^{22} -26.3 \) (c 1.0, CHCl\(_3\)); \(^1\text{H NMR} (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 8.16 (d, J = 8.5 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 8.08 (d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.83 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.87 (ddt, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (m, 3H), 2.26 (m, 2H), 2.04 (ddd, J = 15.9, 9.6, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (m, 1H); \(^{13}\text{C NMR} (75 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 161.9, 146.3, 138.2, 137.0, 129.8, 128.6, 127.6, 127.4, 126.4, 118.3, 114.8, 72.0, 37.4, 29.4; \) HRMS (ESI) m/z 214.1291 (M+H\(^+\)), calc. for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{13}\)DNO 215.1289. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 90/10; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 210 nm; retention time: 6.3 min (minor) and 9.2 min (major).
5n: white solid, Mp 160 – 161 °C, 35.9 mg, 99% yield, 93% D, 88% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -118.9$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.55 (dd, $J = 16.9, 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 8.19 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.74 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.66 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.19 (m, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.29 (s, 0.07H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 158.4, 141.9, 141.6, 133.5, 131.9, 131.0, 129.5, 129.5, 129.1, 127.5, 127.5, 125.8, 124.4, 123.2, 122.7, 122.2, 122.0, 72.0; HRMS (ESI) m/z 386.0392 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{20}$H$_{14}$DBrNO 365.0395. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRALPAK IE (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 90/10; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 210 nm; retention time: 24.4 min (major) and 38.1 min (minor).

5o: white solid, Mp 120 – 122 °C, 22.0 mg, 83% yield, >95% D, 95% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{22} = +23.4$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.88 (m, 1H), 7.75 (dd, $J = 5.5, 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 (ddd, $J = 15.3, 7.4, 4.7$ Hz, 7H), 7.06 (s, 0.04H), 4.69 (s, 1H), 1.54 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 152.1, 151.5, 136.7, 128.9, 128.8, 127.0, 122.9, 83.6, 74.6, 27.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z 326.1612 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$DN$_2$O$_3$ 326.1610. The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: cell-4 (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 60/40; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 254 nm; retention time: 6.1 min (minor) and 10.5 min (major).

5p: yellow oil, 22.5 mg, 93% yield, 91% D, 89% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -13.1$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.99 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.44 (m, 7H), 6.15 (s, 0.09H), 3.66 (s, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 175.0, 152.4, 140.9, 135.2, 128.8, 128.7, 126.7, 126.2, 125.2, 123.0, 121.8, 74.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z 243.0688 (M+H$^+$), calc. for C$_{14}$H$_{15}$DNOS 243.0697.
The ee was determined by HPLC analysis: CHIRAL INB (4.6 mm i.d. x 250 mm); Hexane/2-propanol = 80/20; flow rate 1.0 mL/min; 25 °C; 230 nm; retention time: 6.2 min (minor) and 9.2 min (major).
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