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ABSTRACT

We use a high-temperature chemical network to derive the molecular abundances in axisymmetric accretion disk models around active galactic nuclei (AGNs) within 100 pc using simple radial and vertical density and temperature distributions motivated by more detailed physical models. We explore the effects of X-ray irradiation and cosmic-ray ionization on the spatial distribution of the molecular abundances of CO, CN, CS, HCN, HCO+, HC3N, C2H, and C3H2 using a variety of plausible disk structures. These simple models have molecular regions with an X-ray-dominated region layer, a midplane without the strong influence of X-rays, and a high-temperature region in the inner portion with moderate X-ray flux where families of polynyes (CnH2) and cyanopolynes can be enhanced. For the high midplane density disks we explore, we find that cosmic rays produced by supernovae do not significantly affect the regions unless the star formation efficiency significantly exceeds that of the Milky Way. We highlight molecular abundance observations and ratios that may distinguish among theoretical models of the density distribution in AGN disks. Finally, we assess the importance of the shock crossing time and the accretion time relative to the formation time for various chemical species. Vertical column densities are tabulated for a number of molecular species at both the characteristic shock crossing time and steady state. Although we do not attempt to fit any particular system or set of observations, we discuss our models and results in the context of the nearby AGN NGC 1068.

Key words: astrochemistry – galaxies: active – galaxies: individual (NGC 1068) – galaxies: ISM – molecular processes

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

The growth of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) is an important key to the evolution of galaxies, and its connection with star formation inside galaxies remains of great interest in astrophysics. There are many unknowns in our knowledge of accretion and the structures of disks, such as mass accretion rates and disk thicknesses on scales ranging from fractions of a parsec to tens of parsecs. Other unknowns include the conditions for star formation in these environments, feedback from star formation, and the rate of star formation on parsec scales. Several models have been proposed to explain these quantities (e.g., Shlosman et al. 1990; Goodman 2003; Sirko & Goodman 2003; Thompson et al. 2005; Chang et al. 2007; Krolik 2007; Levin 2003; Wada & Norman 2007; Vollmer et al. 2008; Kawakatu & Wada 2008; Schartmann et al. 2010; Hopkins & Quataert 2010).

The detection of molecules in external galaxies can help to characterize their star formation regions and the physical conditions of the gas. Star formation takes place in a molecular region as opposed to one that is mainly atomic or ionized. Carbon monoxide has been a main tool to obtain the molecular mass, whether in galactic clouds or extragalactic sources. In addition, many other molecular species have been detected in extragalactic sources, and show different features in different types of galaxies such as AGN-dominated galaxies, (ultra-)luminous infrared galaxies ((U)LIRGs), and starburst galaxies. For example, Kohno (2005) introduced the HCN/HCO+(1–0) line ratio as a diagnostic between AGN- and starburst-dominated galaxies. Based on the observation by Tacconi et al. (1994), Usero et al. (2004) suggested a high HCN/CO intensity ratio in the AGN-containing galaxy NGC 1068. Unlike AGNs and starburst galaxies, (U)LIRGs such as Arp 220 and NGC 4418 seem to have more abundant complex organic species such as C2H2 and HC3N (Labuis et al. 2007; Aalto et al. 2007; Costagliola & Aalto 2010; Costagliola et al. 2011).

The observed intensities are affected both by the abundances of molecular species and by the physical conditions, which in turn affect radiative transfer. The three-dimensional radiative transfer simulation of NGC 1068 by Yamada et al. (2007) suggested that the higher line ratio of HCN/HCO+ in AGN-dominant galaxies is caused by the difference in chemical abundances rather than by excitation conditions. Chemical abundances are themselves affected by different physical parameters such as the ionization rate, temperature, and density. Lepp & Dalgarno (1996) examined the effect of X-rays to explain the enhanced HCN/CO abundance ratio in NGC 1068 and the Galactic center. Meijerink & Spaans (2005) developed steady-state gas-phase chemical models of photon-dominated regions (PDRs) and X-ray-dominated regions (XDRs), and worked out an extensive grid of such models to characterize extragalactic and galactic sources (Meijerink et al. 2007). Loenen et al. (2008) examined the effect of mechanical heating to enhance the HCN abundance in (U)LIRGs. Papadopoulos (2010) proposed an elevated cosmic-ray ionization rate as a possible dominant mechanism in ULIRGs to create cosmic-ray-dominated regions (CRDRs), and its effect is discussed in Meijerink et al. (2011) and Papadopoulos et al. (2011). The spatial dependence of the effect of X-rays in NGC 1068 was studied by Galliano et al. (2003), who modeled the H2 ro-vibrational emission and...
the CO rotational emission using their X-ray-irradiated model, which they find can explain the overall shape of the observed double-peaked emission.

In this paper, we present detailed model calculations of the molecular abundances of a large number of species in the accretion disk of an AGN, using a black hole mass of $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^7 \ M_\odot$, and assuming the gas density estimated from a Toomre-stable disk (see Section 2.1 for details) out to $\sim$100 pc from the AGN core. This type of analysis should help us to use molecules as better probes of the physical conditions in AGN disks. In principle, when combined with chemical modeling and radiative transfer, molecular line observations can powerfully constrain the disk structure, density profile, and temperature profile on parsec to tens of parsec scales in AGN disks, particularly when very high resolution images from ALMA become available. Unlike earlier authors, we focus our analysis on the radial and height dependence of molecular abundances, which to the best of our knowledge has never been done for AGN disks except for CO (Galliano et al. 2003) and H$_2$ (Galliano et al. 2003; Wada et al. 2009; Pérez-Beaupuits et al. 2011). The radial dependences of molecular abundances in the disk midplane were examined in our previous paper (Harada et al. 2010). Adopting parameterized vertical density structures, we add here the height dependence, an explicit calculation of X-ray and cosmic-ray ionization rates, and a self-consistent determination of the gas temperature including molecular line cooling. As a function of density and temperature throughout the disk we provide chemical abundances and average column densities for input to future radiative transfer calculations, and as a guide to interpreting current and future molecular line observations of AGN disks.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the range of physical conditions and parameters such as the temperature, density, and ionization rate used in our models, while in Section 3, we present our results. Section 4 contains a comparison between our results and observations, especially for molecules such as HCN, CN, and HCO$^+$, which have been observed at higher spatial resolution than other molecular species in NGC 1068. In addition, we include a discussion on how our results change as a function of different AGN disk models, and in different types of galaxies. We summarize our results in Section 5.

### 2. MODEL

The chemical network used here is the Ohio State University high-temperature network, which can be employed up to a temperature of 800 K. With certain exceptions, such as the formation of H$_2$ on granular surfaces, this is a gas-phase network. This network contains X-ray and cosmic-ray ionization and photodissociation from Lyman–Werner photons and Ly$\alpha$ photons. Doubly ionized species are not included since we are interested in regions that are mostly molecular, and where these species are assumed to have very low abundance. The details of this network are described in Harada et al. (2010). The network is publicly available at [http://www.physics.ohio-state.edu/~eric](http://www.physics.ohio-state.edu/~eric), and it will be updated on KIDA (KInetics Database for Astrochemistry). The so-called low-metal elemental abundances in which some depletion on grains is assumed for metals. There is also the possibility of higher abundances of heavier elements, approaching those of solar abundances. The initial abundances both in the low-metal and solar abundance cases are shown in Table 1. Physical conditions are estimated in methods described in the following sections. Important symbols are summarized in Table 2.

#### 2.1. Density Distributions

As discussed in our earlier paper (Harada et al. 2010), the gas density $\rho$ at the midplane is assumed to be (Thompson et al. 2005)

$$\rho = \frac{\Omega^2}{\sqrt{2\pi G^2}}$$

where the Keplerian rotation frequency $\Omega$ is given by

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{r^3}} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{r^3}.$$  

Here $M_{\text{BH}}$ is the mass of the central black hole, $\sigma$ is the velocity dispersion of the stellar bulge, and $r$ is the distance from the AGN core. We use $M_{\text{BH}} = 1.5 \times 10^7 \ M_\odot$ and $\sigma = 150 \ \text{km s}^{-1}$ (Greenhill & Gwinn 1997; Tremaine et al. 2002).
For a gaseous disk, the Toomre stability parameter $Q$ (Toomre 1964) is expressed as

$$Q \equiv \frac{v_r^2 k}{\pi G \Sigma},$$

(3)

where $v_r$ is the sound speed, $\kappa$ is the epicyclic frequency, and $\Sigma$ is the surface density. For $Q < 1$ the disk is gravitationally unstable, while for $Q \gtrsim 1$, the disk is stable. Many authors have proposed that the value of $Q$ should self-regulate to a value close to unity (e.g., Paczynski 1978; Gammie 2001). Observationally, $Q$ does not differ from unity by more than a factor of a few over the entire galactic disk according to Quirk (1972), Kennicutt (1989), and Martin & Kennicutt (2001). For the AGN disk of NGC 1068, the value of the Toomre parameter that Vollmer et al. (2008) suggest is $\sim 5$ based on the observations of Hicks et al. (2009) and Davies et al. (2007) on 30 pc scales. There are also opposing views in the literature suggesting that $Q$ can be much higher than unity (e.g., Q $\sim 100$ in the Galactic center; Vollmer et al. 2008). Additionally, Wada & Norman (2007) questioned the use of the $Q$-parameter as a criterion at all. Nevertheless, we use two values of $Q$ for our models, $Q = 1$ and 5. The midplane densities for $Q = 1$ are $n = 2 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, $1 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, and $1 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ at $r = 1$, 10, and 100 pc, respectively, while for $Q = 5$, they are simply a factor of five lower (see Equation (1)).

The density at height $z$ from the midplane for a thin disk in hydrostatic equilibrium is given by Frank et al. (2002) to be

$$\rho(r, z) = \rho(r, 0) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{z}{h} \right)^2,$$

(4)

where $h$ is the scale height, which can be defined by the relation $h = \Sigma(r)/\rho(r, z = 0)$, with $\Sigma$ the surface density as a function of radius. The ratio of scale height to radius can be obtained (Thompson et al. 2005) from the expression

$$\frac{h}{r} = \frac{f_g Q}{25/2},$$

(5)

where $f_g$ is the fraction of the mass of gas over the total mass (gas + stellar). Since the gas fraction is uncertain, so is the disk scale height, and we varied $h/r$ in our calculations, in developing four different disk models. These models, shown in Table 3, are defined in three radial regions: <1 pc, 1–3 pc, and 3–100 pc.

Model 2 is a relatively thin disk as in Thompson et al. (2005), in which Equation (4) is used for the dependence of density on height. In this model, the value of $h/r$ first increases quadratically with radius then increases linearly. This model is motivated in part by the recent hydrodynamic simulations of star-forming disks with a central black hole by Hopkins & Quataert (2010), who show $h/r$ in their models as a function of various parameters, including the gas fraction, surface density, and the central black hole mass. Figure 10 in their paper shows that $h/r \lesssim 0.1$ on 1–100 pc scales in most cases, although there are fairly large fluctuations.

The other three models we consider contain tori of assorted radial widths. Jaffe et al. (2004) observed a torus of $h/r \sim 0.6$ in NGC 1068 on a few pc scales, and our Model 1 is a thin disk model analogous to Model 2 but with a torus of $h/r = 0.5$ located at 1–3 pc. A relatively thick torus has also been suggested by Hicks et al. (2009), with $h/r > 1$ on 30 pc scales based on their studies of ro-vibrational H$_2$ emission. This warm H$_2$ traces molecular gas at a few thousand Kelvin, and it is not certain if the warm gas is tightly coupled to the colder midplane of the disk. Nonetheless, we also consider geometrically thick torus cases in Models 3 and 4, with $h/r = 0.5$ for radii of 1–100 pc. We use the height dependence expressed in Equation (4) for Model 3. However, this is a thin disk approximation, and may not express the correct height dependence of density in a thick torus, which could also be affected by the irradiation from the AGN core. We therefore employed the height dependence of density from Chang et al. (2007) by fitting their numerical expression to $\rho(z) = \rho(0)$ for $z < 0.02h$, $\rho(z) \propto r^{-1.23}$ for $0.02h < z < h$, and $\rho(z) \propto \exp(-z^2/2h^2)$ for $z > h$ (see also footnote 2). Figure 1 shows the densities of all the models plotted with contours representing column densities from the X-ray source. The total molecular mass is $\sim 7 \times 10^7$ $M_\odot$ for Model 1 and 2, $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ $M_\odot$ for Model 4 within 30 pc. The total masses of Model 1 and 2 are larger than those in the hydrodynamic models of Wada et al. (2009), where the total molecular mass is $\sim 1.5 \times 10^6$ $M_\odot$ when the black hole mass is $1.3 \times 10^7$ $M_\odot$.

### 2.2. Temperature

We calculate the gas temperature from the heating and cooling rate; the coupling with the dust temperature is also included. For the range of dust temperatures in the AGN disk, we used the blackbody approximation, determined by the luminosity of the central AGN:

$$T_{\text{dust}}(r) \sim 750 \left( \frac{r}{\text{pc}} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{L_{\text{AGN}}}{2 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/4} \text{K},$$

(6)

where the normalizing luminosity of $2 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ is derived from the observation by Mason et al. (2006) of NGC 1068. Using this formula, $T_{\text{dust}} = 750$, 240, and 75 K at $r = 1$, 10, and 100 pc, respectively. To calculate the gas temperature, we include heating from both X-rays and cosmic rays. Dust–gas collisions provide gas heating or cooling, depending on the dust–gas temperature ratio. The cooling rate is determined

### Table 3

| Disk Model Number | <1 pc | 1–3 pc | 3–100 pc | $\rho(z)/\rho(0)$ |
|-------------------|-------|--------|----------|------------------|
| 1                 | 0.01(r/pc)$^2$ | 0.5    | 0.01(r/pc) | $\exp(-z^2/2h^2)$, CQM07$^a$ for 1–3 pc |
| 2                 | 0.01(r/pc)$^2$ | 0.01(r/pc) | 0.5 | $\exp(-z^2/2h^2)$ |
| 3                 | 0.01(r/pc)$^2$ | 0.5    | 0.01(r/pc) | $\exp(-z^2/2h^2)$ |
| 4                 | 0.01(r/pc)$^2$ | 0.5    | 0.01(r/pc) | CQM07 for 1–100 pc |

Note. $^a$ Partial power-law dependence of density on height by fitting Chang et al. (2007, CMQ07)

7 The vertical density distribution adopted in Equation (4) is only a rough approximation, and self-consistent models may yield a different functional form for $\rho(z)$ (see, e.g., Thompson et al. 2005; Krolik 2007; Chang et al. 2007).

8 For Model 4 and the torus portion in Model 1, discussed later in this section, $h$ is defined in a different way, and the surface density is lower than in other models.
by molecular line cooling. Although photons produced by molecular lines can in principle escape in any direction, we make the simplifying assumption that the cooling line photons always escape from the AGN disk vertically, which reduces the problem to a plane-parallel geometry at every radius. Even so, the calculation of the gas temperature is complicated by the fact that the chemical abundances, which determine the cooling rate, and temperature are interdependent. Our procedure is as follows. We first calculate the chemical abundances assuming that the gas temperature is $T(r) = T_{\text{dust}}(r)$ everywhere. Given these abundances, we then calculate the temperature in thermal equilibrium, with the heating and cooling rates described in the Appendix. Once the temperature is determined, from this initial step, the abundances and cooling rates are updated, and then the temperature is recomputed. This procedure is iterated until convergence.

Figure 2 shows the gas temperature at each part of the disk in Models 1–4. In the regions that are irradiated strongly by X-rays, the temperature can reach $T > 10^4$ K. For column densities of $\sim 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$, X-rays are attenuated, and the gas is predominantly heated by other sources. When $r \lesssim 5$ pc, the gas temperature is coupled with the dust temperature because of the high density. Farther away from the AGN core, the temperature slowly decouples from the dust temperature and goes down to 10 K at $r = 100$ pc. We calculated molecular abundances and followed the iterative procedure described above only when $T < 5000$ K since few molecules exist at higher temperatures. Observations of ammonia by Ao et al. (2011) suggest warm $\sim 80$ K and $\sim 140$ K molecular gas components on a 1.2 kpc scale, which implies that other sources of heating such as turbulence/shock heating may be dominant on these scales, as has been suggested by many authors.

Our temperature calculation was checked against the results by Meijerink & Spaans (2005). We reproduce the general behavior of the run of temperature in XDRs as a function of column density, although Meijerink & Spaans (2005) use a more detailed calculation of the X-ray penetration cross section than employed here, which makes X-rays in our results attenuate somewhat faster.

2.3. Timescales

Although the density and temperature of our disk models are independent of time, several timescales, and their ratios,
determine in part the abundances that might be observed in AGN disks. The relevant timescales are the accretion time $t_{\text{acc}}$, the shock crossing time $t_{\text{cross}}$, and the chemistry time $t_{\text{chem}}$. The accretion time, $t_{\text{acc}}$, is the time for the medium at radius $r$ to be accreted. In viscous disks, $t_{\text{acc}} \sim (\alpha \Omega)^{-1} (r/h)^2$, where $\alpha$ is the viscosity parameter. With $\alpha \sim 0.01$–0.1, $t_{\text{acc}} \gtrsim 1000 \Omega^{-1}$ for $h/r \sim 0.1$. However, Hopkins & Quataert (2010) show that the gravitational torque from the stars on the gas is likely to be the dominant angular momentum transport mechanism in disks that feed AGN. In such disks $t_{\text{acc}} \sim |a|^{-1} \Omega^{-1}$, where $|a|$ is the fractional magnitude of the asymmetry (Lynden-Bell & Kalnajs 1972). In the simulation by Hopkins & Quataert (2010), $|a| \sim 0.01$–0.3, implying that $t_{\text{acc}} \sim 3$–1000$\Omega^{-1}$.

The accretion time in any model should be compared with the shock crossing time, $t_{\text{cross}}$. The medium is turbulent, and the simulation by Stone et al. (1998) shows that the dissipation time of shock waves should be on the order of $\Omega^{-1}$. The velocity of these shocks can be tens of kilometers per second, and they can dissociate the molecules, effectively resetting the molecular abundances (Hollenbach & McKee 1980). For the disk models we consider, the shock crossing time $t_{\text{cross}} = 5 \times 10^4$ yr at $Q = 5$.

$9$ We are aware that these are two extreme cases. In between there is a C-shock, which dissociates only some of the molecules.

There are three cases possible for the relationship of these three timescales: (1) $t_{\text{chem}} < t_{\text{cross}} < t_{\text{acc}}$, (2) $t_{\text{cross}} < t_{\text{chem}} < t_{\text{acc}}$, and (3) $t_{\text{cross}} < t_{\text{acc}} < t_{\text{chem}}$. If the shock waves are dissociative, then starting from our initial abundances at $t = 0$, the chemical abundances calculated at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ will represent average time-independent abundances. Conversely, if the shocks are not dissociative and molecules survive shock passage, then the chemistry calculated to $t = t_{\text{chem}}$ (i.e., the true steady-state time) should be representative of the abundances as long as $t_{\text{acc}} > t_{\text{chem}}$. This assumption is valid for cases 1 and 2, but the change in physical conditions due to accretion should be considered for case 3.

Starting from our initial abundances at $t = 0$, choosing the so-called low-metal values, (see Table 1), we calculate the time evolution of the abundances at each point in density and temperature in our disk models until both $t_{\text{cross}}$ and $t_{\text{chem}}$. The radius, height, and temperatures are all shown in logarithmic scale. Upper left panel: Model 1, $Q = 1$; upper right panel: Model 2, $Q = 1$; lower left panel: Model 3, $Q = 5$; lower right panel: Model 4, $Q = 5$.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Depending on the value of $t_{acc}/t_{chem}$ for the molecule in question, the effect of accretion is sometimes important for small enough $r$. We discuss these cases explicitly. The accretion in the midplane was considered in Harada et al. (2010), and its effect is discussed in later sections. As discussed in models of protoplanetary disks (see, e.g., Morfill & Voelk 1984; Gail 2001; Ilgner et al. 2004; Willacy et al. 2006), the effects of vertical and radial mixing in addition to accretion might be important, but consideration of these factors is left to future work.

2.4. Ionization Rate from Cosmic Rays

Primary cosmic-ray protons are an important source of ionization in star-forming disks, and their secondary particles such as photons, gamma rays, electrons and positrons can also cause ionizations (primary electrons are less energetically important than primary protons and their secondaries, and thus these are neglected here, as in standard analogous Galactic calculations; Umeda & Nakano 1981). Cosmic rays are likely produced by the supernova explosions and stellar winds of massive OB stars, and thus their production rate is directly connected with the star formation rate. Cosmic rays can also come from the central AGN.

2.4.1. Volumetric Star Formation Rate

The star formation rate per unit volume, $\dot{\rho}_*$, may be written as (Krumholz & McKee 2005)

$$\dot{\rho}_* = \frac{\nu}{t_{dyn}} \approx \nu \rho^{3/2} G^{1/2}$$

$$\approx 2 \times 10^{-3} \frac{M_{\odot}}{\text{pc}^3 \text{yr}} \left( \frac{\nu}{0.01} \right) \left( \frac{n}{10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{3/2},$$

(7)

where $t_{dyn} \approx 1/\sqrt{G\rho}$ and $\nu$ is the star formation efficiency, whose value of $\sim 0.01$ in the above is suggested by the results of Kennicutt (1998). Since the star formation efficiency and cosmic-ray production rate in AGN disks is uncertain, we vary $\nu$ from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-2}$. The star formation rate per unit area inferred by Davies et al. (2007) within 35 pc from the AGN core in NGC 1068 is $100 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2}$. For the disk density models we use (see Equation (1)), a star formation efficiency of $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-3}$ corresponds to this value of the star formation rate per unit area. Such low values for $\nu$ at small radii may be consistent with feedback models (Thompson et al. 2005; Davies et al. 2007).

2.4.2. Cosmic Rays from Supernovae and Stellar Winds

In the Milky Way, the supernova rate is a few per century (Diehl et al. 2006), and the estimated star formation rate is $\sim 1 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ (Gilmore 2001; McKee & Williams 1997; Robitaille & Whitney 2010). Assuming that every 100 $M_{\odot}$ of star formation produces one supernova, and that a fraction $f_{CR}$ of the supernova explosion energy ($E_{SN} = 10^{51} \text{ erg}$) is injected into the interstellar medium (ISM) per explosion, the cosmic-ray luminosity per volume ($L_{CR}/V$) is directly proportional to $\dot{\rho}_*$ (Equation (7)). The energy density of cosmic rays, which is directly connected with the cosmic-ray ionization rate (Papadopoulos 2010), can be written roughly as

$$U_{CR} \sim \frac{L_{CR}}{V} \min\{t_{escape}, t_{pp}\},$$

(8)

where $t_{escape}$ is the escape timescale due to either diffusive (as in the Galaxy) or advective losses (as perhaps in starburst winds), and $t_{pp}$ is the timescale for inelastic proton–proton collisions (pion production). If escape is dominated by an outflow, then

$$t_{escape} = t_{wind} \sim \frac{h}{v} \sim 3 \times 10^3 \text{ yr} \left( \frac{h}{1 \text{ pc}} \right) \left( \frac{300 \text{ km s}^{-1}}{v} \right),$$

(9)

where $h$ is the gas scale height and $v$ is an assumed wind velocity. This should be compared with (e.g., Schlickeiser 2002; Torres 2004)

$$t_{pp} \sim 50 \text{ yr} \left( \frac{10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}}{n} \right).$$

(10)

Although only a rough estimate, the fact that $t_{pp} \ll t_{wind}$ suggests that in the disks we consider cosmic-ray losses are strongly dominated by pion production losses. Hence,

$$U_{CR} = f_{CR} f_{SN} E_{SN} t_{pp} \sim 4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \left( \frac{n}{10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{v}{0.01} \right),$$

(12)

where we have taken $f_{SN} = 1 \text{ SN}/100 M_{\odot}$, $E_{SN} = 10^{51} \text{ erg}$, and $f_{CR} = 0.1$. Taking the cosmic-ray energy density of our Galaxy to be $\sim 2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$ (Boulares & Cox 1990),

$$U_{CR}/U_{CR, Gal} \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \left( \frac{n}{10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{v}{0.01} \right).$$

(13)

Although simplified, this result for $U_{CR}$ as a function of $n$ is in accord with the more detailed modeling of Lacki et al. (2010) in high-density starburst galaxies (their Figure 15). See Papadopoulos (2010) and Papadopoulos et al. (2011) for a more detailed discussion of the effects of high cosmic-ray ionization rates on the interstellar chemistry and thermodynamics in dense starburst galaxies.

Note that with the average density of the Milky Way ($n_{MW} \sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, Equation (13) implies $U_{CR}/U_{CR, Gal} \sim 2$. The value from this simple approximation is expected to be higher than the Galactic value since the majority of the cosmic rays escape from the Galaxy via diffusion before energetic losses (e.g., Strong et al. 2000). Taking the galactic value of the cosmic-ray ionization rate to be $1 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$, we have

$$\zeta_{CR} = \frac{2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1} \left( \frac{n}{10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{v}{0.01} \right)}{1,}$$

(14)

where we have again assumed $f_{CR} = 0.1$ and $E_{SN} = 10^{51} \text{ erg}$. The value of the cosmic-ray ionization rate in the midplane with $v = 0.01$ is $\zeta_{CR} = 10^{-15} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at $r = 1 \text{ pc}$, and $10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at $r = 100 \text{ pc}$, and it linearly scales as $v$.

Stellar winds from massive OB stars can also be a source of cosmic rays in the dense ISM of AGN disks. The power input in the Milky Way is thought to be about an order of magnitude lower than that supplied by supernovae, and so we neglect them here (Casse & Paul 1982; Schlickeiser 2002). However, even if they were to be a significant source of cosmic rays comparable to supernovae, this would only contribute at order unity to the ionization rate, and result in an overall rescaling of the constant in Equation (14) since the supernova rate and massive OB star birth rate scale directly with the star formation rate.

2.4.3. Cosmic Rays in the AGN Core

The AGN core is another possible source of cosmic rays. Assuming that the gamma-ray flux reported by Lenain et al.
We assume that where the flux per unit energy \( \phi_0(\nu) \) is hadronic (from \( \pi^0 \) decay), the ratio of the gamma-ray flux to the bolometric flux indicates that NGC 1068 possesses near the maximum attainable ratio from star formation alone (Lacki et al. 2011). Thus, a dominant contribution to the total cosmic-ray budget from the AGN core of 1068 is neither supported nor excluded.

Finally, even without the contribution to cosmic-ray production from supernovae or OB star formation, there should be some minimum flux of cosmic rays produced by radioactive nuclei. For a minimum value, we use \( \zeta_{\text{CR, min}} = 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1} \) based on \( ^{26}\text{Al} \), as discussed in Umebayashi & Nakano (2009).

2.5. Effects of Stellar UV Photons

When gas is irradiated by a nearby OB star, the UV photons can dissociate molecules. If the star formation rate is high, the UV photons can affect the chemistry significantly. At the same time, the penetration depth of UV photons is much less than that of X-rays or cosmic rays; thus, its effect can be minimal in a dense medium. We use a simple approximation to determine whether or not UV photons are important. The rate coefficient of photodissociation by UV photons is \( k_{\text{pd}} \propto G_0 \exp(-\gamma A_V) \) where \( \gamma \sim 2-3 \), which means that a region with high visual extinction surrounding the star is only affected significantly quite near to the star, where we refer to the conditions as those of a photon-dominated region, or PDR. The ratio of the volume of a PDR where \( A_V < 10 \) to the entire volume (the inverse of the OB stellar density) can be estimated by

\[
\frac{V_{\text{PDR}}}{V} = \rho_* \frac{4\pi (N_{A_V10}^3)}{3n^3} f_{\text{OB}} \tau_{\text{OB}} = 2 \times 10^{-4} \left( \frac{n}{10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{10^3 \text{ cm}^2}{\tau_{\text{OB}}/10^7 \text{ yr}} \right)^{3/2},
\]

where \( N_{A_V10} \) is the PDR column density, for which we use a value of \( 2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). When \( n = 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), the PDR volume only accounts for a fraction of \( 2 \times 10^{-7} \) of the entire region. If the star formation efficiency is higher, PDRs may affect the observable molecular abundances more significantly, but here we choose not to include UV photons in our model. We also ignore the UV photons from the AGN core because of the high obscuration.

2.6. X-Rays from the AGN Core

The ionization rate caused by X-rays interacting with \( \text{H}_2 \) is given by Maloney et al. (1996) via the equation

\[
\zeta_X = N_{\sec} \int_{E_{\text{min}}}^{E_{\text{max}}} \sigma(E) F(E) dE
\]

where the flux per unit energy \( F(E) = F_0(E) e^{-\tau(E)} \), \( N_{\sec} \) is the number of secondary ionization events caused by electrons produced by the primary ionization, \( \tau(E) \) is the optical depth, and \( \sigma(E) \left( \text{cm}^2 \right) \) is the primary ionization cross section at energy \( E \), which is given by

\[
\sigma(E) = 2.6 \times 10^{-22} E^{-8/3} \text{ cm}^2 (1 \text{ keV} \leq E \leq 7 \text{ keV}),
\]

\[
\sigma(E) = 4.4 \times 10^{-22} E^{-8/3} \text{ cm}^2 (7 \text{ keV} < E).
\]

We assume that \( F_0(E) \propto E^{-0.7} \) (Beckmann et al. 2006), and we normalize the flux at each energy from the total X-ray flux. The penetration of X-rays can be divided into two ranges of energy, above and below 11 keV. For energies lower than 11 keV, photoionization, whose cross section is given by Equations (17) and (18), is the dominant process limiting penetration. Note that although our gas-phase metal abundances are very low because of condensation onto grains, we still assume the total metal abundance is in the gas phase for the purposes of this calculation, as if the metals are not locked on grain surfaces. As noted in Morrison & McCammon (1983), the cross sections do not differ significantly even if most of the heavier elements are condensed in grains, and thus the estimate of the cross section by Maloney et al. (1996) above should still be valid. However, for metallicity higher than what we employ here this approximation fails and the photoionization cross section should be computed more fully.

For energies higher than 11 keV, Thomson/Compton scattering cross section dominates. Although the ionization rate is still dominated by photoionization for a column \( N_H \lesssim 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) (Maloney et al. 1996), our models have higher midplane column densities. Since the differential cross section of Compton scattering has angular dependence, a precise calculation of the penetration would require a more complicated transport program. In our calculation, we simply assume that the optical depth for high-energy X-rays is reduced by a factor determined via the assumptions of isotropic scattering and random walk. Until an energy of \( \sim 100 \text{ keV} \), the cross section given by the Klein–Nishina formula does not differ much from the Thomson cross section of \( \sigma_T = 6.7 \times 10^{-25} \text{ cm}^2 \). Once the high-energy X-rays are reduced to an energy of 11 keV, the normal one-dimensional treatment is used.

The total X-ray luminosity from observations is not the best measure of the true luminosity because of obscuration. The intrinsic X-ray luminosity for the AGN core of NGC 1068 is estimated to be \( 10^{43-44} \text{ erg} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Iwasawa et al. 1997; Colbert et al. 2002). In our calculations, we use total luminosity of \( 6 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg} \text{ s}^{-1} \) over 1 keV to 100 keV with a photon number flux with the energy dependence of \( E^{-2.0} \).

2.7. The Relative Ionizing Effects of X-Rays and Cosmic Rays

For most regions of the disk, the ionization caused by X-rays exceeds that due to cosmic rays unless \( \nu \) is as large as 0.01 (see Equation (14)). We therefore first discuss the disk as an XDR. The ionization due to X-rays depends upon position and the density distribution of the disk model chosen. Figure 3 shows the ionization rate throughout our disk models caused by X-rays. Rather than plotting the ionization rate explicitly, we have chosen to plot \( \zeta_X/n \), since this parameter determines the steady-state chemical abundances provided direct dissociation by UV photons is negligible (Lepp & Dalgarno 1996). For example, Lepp & Dalgarno (1996) show that the fractional abundances of CN and HCN can be enhanced with moderate values of \( \zeta_X/n \). In particular, their peak fractional abundances are achieved when \( \zeta_X/n \sim 1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \). At the lower value of \( \zeta_X/n \sim 10^{-21} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \), their abundances decrease by two orders of magnitude. When \( \zeta_X/n > 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \), the fractional abundances decrease with increasing \( \zeta_X/n \) since the molecules are dissociated rapidly.

The upper left and right panels in Figure 3 show \( \zeta_X/n \) with \( Q = 1 \) for Models 1 and 2, respectively. These are high-density thin-disk models with and without inner tori. Here it can be seen that the ionization caused by X-rays has trouble penetrating to the midplane. In these models, X-rays penetrate significantly only into a layer on the surface of the disk and create a region where \( \zeta_X/n \sim 10^{-21-10^{-18}} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \) that has typical XDR
chemistry, but $\zeta_X/n < 10^{-22}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ near the midplane. In the lower left panel in Figure 3, on the other hand, higher ionization levels are found; the results are for a lower-density ($Q = 5$) version of Model 3, which contains a torus out through 100 pc. Although $\zeta_X/n < 10^{-22}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ around the midplane, there is a thick layer with $\zeta_X/n = 10^{-18} - 10^{-21}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$.

Model 4, shown in the lower right panel, has a higher X-ray ionization than Model 3 since the density falls off faster with height above the disk. Since X-rays penetrate more because of the lower density, there are more regions with $\zeta_X/n > 10^{-20}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, where molecules cannot exist. Since Models 1 and 2 have a higher $h/r$ ratio at larger $r$, there is a more extended XDR at larger $r$ for these models. If we compare Models 3 and 4, which have constant $h/r$ for $r > 1$ pc, we find that Model 3 has a more extended XDR at smaller $r$, while X-rays can reach larger values of $r$ in Model 4.

For those regions where X-ray ionization is relatively small, such as the midplane of the disk at large radii, the ionization rate from cosmic rays can possibly dominate if $v$ is relatively large (see Equation (14)). For example, when $Q = 1$ and $v = 0.01$, $\zeta_X/n$ ranges from $8 \times 10^{-21}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ at 1 pc to $8 \times 10^{-19}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ at 100 pc from the AGN core. The effect of cosmic rays becomes more important for larger $r$ because of the relatively lower density (see Section 3.2 below).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Models with X-Ray Ionization Only

In this section we explore the effects of X-rays without the inclusion of cosmic-ray ionization. The degree to which X-rays can affect the disk chemistry depends on their penetration into the disk, which depends on the disk structure. Far from the midplane, molecular abundances are very low given the very large X-ray ionization and lower density. Only regions with considerable molecular abundances are shown in the figures to be discussed below. In between the “no-molecule zone” and the midplane, there is an XDR, the extent of which is determined by the ability of X-rays to penetrate. At closer distances and higher temperatures, the temperature affects the chemistry significantly over a range of X-ray ionization rates, and we refer to these portions of the disk as “high-temperature-synthesis” regions. The main results of this high-temperature-synthesis effect are the
increase in the abundances of some species such as HCN, the family of C$_2$H$_2$ and cyanopolyynes (e.g., HC$_3$N). The effect is significant for $T \gtrsim 300$ K at early times before CO fully forms, and can be seen in the results presented at the shock crossing time $t = t_{cross}$ (see Section 2.3). Yet, in the absence of X-ray heating, this effect still remains at steady state after CO fully forms for $T \gtrsim 500$ K. Importantly, though, in our calculations the regions where $T \gtrsim 500$ K are in general strongly heated by X-rays, which tend to dissociate molecules, and therefore the high-temperature-synthesis effect on the final abundances is mitigated in regions of relatively low column densities ($\lesssim 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$). Details of this chemistry are discussed in Harada et al. (2010).

We find that the results for the abundances in Models 1 and 2 are not qualitatively different except for the values at 1 pc. However, Model 4 is quite different from the others. For these reasons, we discuss, compare, and contrast Model 1 with $Q = 1$ and Model 4 with $Q = 5$, with an emphasis on fractional abundances $X$ of important molecules with respect to the total hydrogen abundance. As discussed in Section 2.1, Model 1 is a high-density thin disk model in which X-rays have more difficulty penetrating than in Model 4, which is a relatively low density, geometrically thick torus.

### 3.1.1. Results for Model 1 with $Q = 1$

The fractional abundances of selected molecules are shown in Figure 4 at $t = t_{cross}$, and Figure 5 for steady state. The fractional abundance of each molecule is discussed below.

**HCN.** The fractional abundance of HCN at $t = t_{cross}$ and at steady state is shown in the upper left panel of Figures 4 and 5, respectively. As mentioned above, we started all elements except for H$_2$ from atomic form as in Table 1. At $t = t_{cross}$, there is a fraction of carbon that is not yet locked up in CO. This free carbon helps to form a high abundance $X$(HCN) $\gtrsim 10^{-7}$ when $T > 100$ K in most regions of the disk.

Although the HCN abundance can be enhanced at early times in the calculation (before steady state is reached) when $T > 100$ K, the temperature in the midplane of AGN disk is in general not high enough ($T \lesssim 500$ K) to keep the HCN abundance high as steady state is approached except for $r \lesssim 5$ pc where the dust temperature is highest. When accretion is considered, there might be less of a high-temperature-synthesis effect observed in the HCN abundance, because if accretion is rapid (e.g., $\sim 10-100\Omega$)$^{-1}$ $\dot{m}_{\text{acc}}$ can be less than $t_{\text{chem}}$, and one does not expect the steady-state abundances to be reached.

**CN.** The time at which the peak in CN abundance is reached is much earlier than that of HCN. Since HCN is more stable, CN eventually becomes HCN either through an indirect process involving ions at cold temperatures or via a more efficient direct reaction with H$_2$ at high temperature (see Equation (21) in Harada et al. 2010). $X$(CN) peaks at $\sim 3 \times 10^{-9}$ on a timescale 10–100 times shorter than $t_{cross}$. By $t = t_{cross}$, $X$(CN) has decreased by orders of magnitude, and is only enhanced in the XDR layer where $X$(CN) $\sim 10^{-6}$ close to $r_{cross}$. In the high-temperature regions, the CN abundance is lower because of the efficient production of HCN from CO. Within the XDR layer, the CN fractional abundance is much higher in the colder, more distant, part. On longer timescales, the steady-state value of CN near the midplane is orders of magnitude lower than the abundance at $t = t_{cross}$, but a similar degree of enhancement is still seen in the XDR layer (see Figures 4 and 5, upper right panel).

**HCO$.** The fractional abundance of HCO$, depicted in Figures 4 and 5, does not show strong time dependence. Once CO is formed, HCO$ can be made by receiving a proton from a protonated ion such as H$_3^+$. Its abundance increases in moderately ionized regions, and we find a higher abundance of HCO$ in the XDR layer, similar in location to where the abundance of CN is enhanced. At both $t = t_{cross}$ and steady state, the highest abundance is seen at inner disk radii far from the midplane and in the outer XDR region, where the fractional abundance of HCO$ is larger than that of CN with a value of $X$(HCO$) \sim (3-10) \times 10^{-8}$. Starting the simulation with solar elemental abundances, we find that $X$(HCO$) < 10^{-9}$ even in the XDR layer, since atomic ions such as $S^+$, $Na^+$, and $Mg^+$ hold most of the positive charges instead of HCO$.

**HC$_3$N, C$_2$H$_2$, c-C$_3$H$_2$, C$_2$H.** Similar to HCN, there is a high-temperature enhancement for HC$_3$N and the family of C$_2$H$_2$, although the C$_2$H abundance decreases with increasing temperature. As seen in Figure 4, the fractional abundance of HC$_3$N exceeds $10^{-6}$ when $r \lesssim 5$ pc at $t = t_{cross}$. In steady state, however, there is high-temperature-synthesis effect only at $r \lesssim 5$ pc where the disk is quite hot, as can be seen in Figure 5. The fractional abundance of C$_2$H$_2$ at $t = t_{cross}$ also peaks at high temperatures at a value of about $10^{-6}$ for $r \sim 10$ pc, and the steady-state abundances decrease by orders of magnitude as they do in HC$_3$N. The abundance of c-C$_3$H$_2$ follows a similar trend as C$_2$H$_2$.

The fractional abundance of C$_2$H is orders of magnitude lower than that of C$_2$H$_2$ in the high-temperature-synthesis regions. For $r \sim 100$ pc, the fractional abundance of C$_2$H is around $1 \times 10^{-8}$ at $t = t_{cross}$, and decreases by orders of magnitude on longer timescales as steady state is reached. When calculated using solar elemental abundances, the abundances of these carbon-chain molecules peak at slightly higher values in high-temperature-synthesis regions simply because of the higher elemental carbon abundance, but are orders of magnitude lower for other regions.

**CS.** In general, the CS abundance peaks at times before $t = t_{cross}$, after which most of the sulfur goes into SO or SO$_2$ in an oxygen-rich (C/O $< 1$) environment. By the time $t = t_{cross}$, $X$(CS) $< 10^{-9}$ for almost all regions, and SO/CS $> 10$ for $r \gtrsim 20$ pc. For a larger $r$, due to the lower density and lower temperature, the chemistry evolves more slowly, yet there is still a high abundance of CS.

**OH$.** Since OH$ can survive in regions that have higher X-ray ionization rates than other molecules, it is abundant in the XDR layers over a wide range of $r$. In Model 1, there is only a small region where OH$ is enhanced because of the adopted density structure and the attenuation of X-rays from the torus.

### 3.1.2. Results for Model 4 with $Q = 5$

The biggest difference between Models 1 and 4 is the larger fraction of the XDR layer over the entire disk in the latter. Its presence changes the abundance pattern of a number of species. As depicted in Figure 6 an enhancement of CN compared with Model 1 (see Figure 4) corresponds generally to the expanded location of the XDR layer. Here, the CN abundance at $t = t_{cross}$ is $\sim (1-5) \times 10^{-8}$, which slightly exceeds the steady-state value of $\sim (1-2) \times 10^{-8}$. Other abundances and abundance ratios are affected by the lowered densities in Model 4. For $r \gtrsim 5$ pc, the CS/SO abundance ratio becomes higher than unity at $t = t_{cross}$. The enhancement does not correspond to the XDR region, and it is instead due to the slow chemical evolution because of the relatively low density. Finally, the additional X-ray flux can dissociate the carbon-chain molecules in
Figure 4. Radial and height dependence of fractional abundances at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ in the Model 1, $Q = 1$ disk. Upper left panel: HCN; upper right panel: CN; middle left panel: HCO$^+$; middle right panel: HC$_3$N; lower left panel: CS; lower right panel: OH$^+$. Note that the color scale is different for CS.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 5. Radial and height dependence of fractional abundances at steady state in the Model 1, $Q = 1$ disk. Upper left panel: HCN; upper right panel: CN; middle left panel: HCO$^+$; middle right panel: HC$_3$N; lower left panel: CS; lower right panel: OH$^+$. Note that the color scale is different for CS.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 6. Radial and height dependence of fractional abundances at $t = t_\text{cross}$ in the Model 4, $Q = 5$ disk. Upper left panel: HCN; upper right panel: CN; middle left panel: HCO$^+$; middle right panel: HCN; lower left panel: CS; lower right panel: OH$^+$. Note that the color scale is different for CS.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
regions that correspond to high-temperature-synthesis regions in Model 1. These species only have moderate abundances at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ and their abundances decrease by orders of magnitude at steady state.

### 3.1.3. Calculated Column Densities and Ratios

Our models lead to the prediction of vertical column densities by suitable integration of the calculated abundances. We list the calculated vertical column densities at six radii ranging from 1 to 100 pc for 22 atomic and molecular species as a function of radius and temperature. Column densities at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ are listed in Tables 4, 5, and 7 for Model 1 ($Q = 1$), Model 2 ($Q = 1$), Model 3 ($Q = 1$), and Model 4 ($Q = 5$), respectively, while Tables 8, 9, 10, and 11 contain results for the column densities at steady state.

The calculated column densities are highly dependent on the disk models. The molecular column densities of Model 4 are much lower than in Models 1, 2, and 3 partly because of the larger “no-molecule zone” due to high penetration of X-rays, and also because the $z$-dependence of the density gives a lower total column density. For Model 1 at 1 pc, Model 3 at $r < 3$ pc, and Model 4 at 1 pc, the molecular column densities are smaller than the column densities at larger radii because the molecules are dissociated by X-ray irradiation.

From the calculated column densities, we can obtain ratios relevant to observations for each of our models. Below we discuss the specific ratios CN/HCN, CN/CO, HCN/CO, and HCO$^+$/CO. Although strictly ratios of column densities, we often refer to them as abundance ratios below. The ratio of vertical column density at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ and at steady state is plotted versus $r$ for CN/HCN in Figure 7. In general, this ratio decreases with decreasing $r$ due to the higher temperatures at smaller radii, which favor HCN over CN except for the very inner part where the

---

**Table 4**

Integrated Vertical Column Densities (cm$^{-2}$) for Model 1, $Q = 1$ at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$

| Radius (pc) | 1   | 3   | 6   | 16  | 40  | 100  |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (K) |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| 750         | 3 $\times$ 10$^3$ | 8 $\times$ 10$^3$ | 2 $\times$ 10$^4$ | 1 $\times$ 10$^5$ | 2 $\times$ 10$^5$ | 5 $\times$ 10$^5$ |
| 470         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 300         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 190         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 120         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 75          |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |

---

**Table 5**

Integrated Vertical Column Densities (cm$^{-2}$) for Model 2, $Q = 1$ at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$

| Radius (pc) | 1   | 3   | 6   | 16  | 40  | 100  |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (K) |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| 750         | 3 $\times$ 10$^3$ | 8 $\times$ 10$^3$ | 2 $\times$ 10$^4$ | 1 $\times$ 10$^5$ | 2 $\times$ 10$^5$ | 5 $\times$ 10$^5$ |
| 470         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 300         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 190         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 120         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 75          |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |

---

**Table 6**

Integrated Vertical Column Densities (cm$^{-2}$) for Model 3, $Q = 5$ at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$

| Radius (pc) | 1   | 3   | 6   | 16  | 40  | 100  |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (K) |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| 750         | 3 $\times$ 10$^3$ | 8 $\times$ 10$^3$ | 2 $\times$ 10$^4$ | 1 $\times$ 10$^5$ | 2 $\times$ 10$^5$ | 5 $\times$ 10$^5$ |
| 470         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 300         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 190         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 120         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
| 75          |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |      |
portion of XDR layers, ranging from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-3}$ at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ and $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-2}$ at steady state for most of the disk. There is no strong radial dependence for $r > 3$ pc (Figure 8).

Figure 9 shows the HCN/CO column ratio at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ and at steady state. The abundance ratio directly reflects the high-temperature enhancement of HCN at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ except for the inner regions of Model 4, where the strong X-ray dissociation causes HCN/CO to decrease. The HCN/CO ratio is $10^{-3} - 0.01$ for $r < 10$ pc, and decreases for larger $r$ because of the decreasing temperature to several $10^{-4}$ for $t = t_{\text{cross}}$. At steady state.
state, the HCN/CO ratio drops down to $\lesssim 10^{-4}$. As shown in Figure 10, the HCO$^+$/CO ratio is around $10^{-3}$--$10^3$ for most of the disk. Finally, Figure 11 shows the ratio of HCO$^+$/HCN. When the HCO$^+$/HCN ratio is too low, it is influenced by the high HCN/CO abundance ratio.

3.2. Effects of Inclusion of Cosmic-ray Ionization Rate

Our results presented so far have been obtained without the inclusion of cosmic-ray ionization. We have also run models that include a range of cosmic-ray ionization rates by varying the star formation efficiency $\nu$ (see Section 2.4). The effects of cosmic rays from star formation become dominant over X-ray ionization only when $\nu \gtrsim 0.01$. Figure 12 shows the variation of the total vertical column densities of HCN, CN, and HCO$^+$, respectively, as a function of radius for values of $\nu$ equal to 0, $10^{-4}$, $10^{-3}$, and 0.01 at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$ for Model 1, $Q = 1$. The HCO$^+$ abundance can be enhanced by a factor of a few when the star formation efficiency is higher, although HCN decreases when cosmic-ray ionization is included. The column density of CN is enhanced only slightly when $\nu = 0.01$.

Inclusion of cosmic rays can also dissociate complex molecules such as C$_3$H$_2$ and HC$_3$N for $\nu > 10^{-3}$ (see Equation (7)). Although cosmic rays can heat the gas to a few $100$ K, the high ionization rate can cause dissociation of molecules, preventing more complex molecules from forming.

3.3. Line Intensities: Preliminary Results

We have computed observable intensities of selected molecules using the publicly available three-dimensional radiative transfer code LIME (Brinch & Hogerheijde 2010). Since our models span a wide range of physical conditions, including those that are highly optically thick, we are unable to achieve complete convergence in some regions, for some species and transitions. In particular, in LIME the signal-to-noise ratio of the population convergence is a ratio of the current population over the geometric mean of the fluctuations in the population over the last five iterations. Out of 80,000 grid points used, the lowest the signal-to-noise ratio is below 1. The average value of signal-to-noise ratio for CO reaches more than 100 although these ratios are lower for HCN and HCO$^+$, which is somewhere above 40 for model 2 and 20 for model 4. Lower levels of convergence come mostly from less populated high $J$ levels with $\nu > 3$ (see Equation (7)). Although cosmic rays can heat the gas to a few $100$ K, the high ionization rate can cause dissociation of molecules, preventing more complex molecules from forming.
Figure 8. Ratio of CN/CO vertical column densities vs. radius. Left panel: at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$; right panel: at steady state. GB10 refers to the observed abundance by García-Burillo et al. (2010). U04 refers to the abundance ratio inferred by Usero et al. (2004). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 9. Ratio of HCN/CO vertical column densities vs. radius. Left panel: at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$; right panel: at steady state. K11 refers to the observed abundance of HCN by Kamenetzky et al. (2011). U04 refers to the abundance ratio inferred by Usero et al. (2004). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 10. Ratio of HCO+/CO vertical column densities vs. radius. Left panel: at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$; right panel: at steady state. U04 refers to the abundance ratio inferred by Usero et al. (2004). K11 refers to the observed abundance of HCO+ by Kamenetzky et al. (2011). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 11. Ratio of HCO$^+$/HCN vertical column densities vs. radius. Left panel: at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$; right panel: at steady state. U04 refers to the abundance ratio inferred by Usero et al. (2004). K11 refers to the observed abundance ratio of HCO$^+$/HCN by Kamenzky et al. (2011).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 12. Variation of assorted abundances vs. radius with different cosmic-ray ionization rates caused by differing star formation efficiencies $\nu$ for the Model 1, $Q = 1$ disk. Upper left panel: HCN; upper right panel: CN; lower left panel: HCO$^+$; lower right panel: HC$_3$N.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 13. Velocity-integrated line intensities of CO emission are shown. Upper left panel: Model 2 CO (1–0). Contour levels are from 1500 to 3000 K km s$^{-1}$ in steps of 250 K km s$^{-1}$; upper right panel: Model 2 CO (3–2). Contour levels are from 1500 to 3500 K km s$^{-1}$ in steps of 250 K km s$^{-1}$; lower left panel: Model 4 CO (1–0). Contour levels are from 100 to 175 K km s$^{-1}$ with 25 K km s$^{-1}$ increments; lower right panel: Model 4 CO (3–2). Contour levels are from 100 to 350 K km s$^{-1}$ in steps of 25 K km s$^{-1}$.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

size of 0.25 arcsec ($\sim$18 pc at the distance of NGC 1068) using MIRIAD (Sault et al. 1995). Finally, figures are produced with the GILDAS program in the GILDAS package. When intensity ratios are presented, we use units of K km s$^{-1}$ instead of Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$. Here we present the results for Model 2 and 4 since Model 2 is simpler to interpret than Model 1, which has a “torus,” and Model 4 has significantly different results from other models.

Model 2. Because of the radial differences in abundances and excitation conditions, the apparent peaks in the intensity of molecular emission can change depending on the species and the line considered. The $J = 1$–0, 2–1, and 3–2 transitions of CO peak around 10 pc from the AGN core with velocity-integrated intensities of about $\sim$3000–3500 K km s$^{-1}$ (see Figure 13 upper panels). In general, since higher transition lines have higher critical densities, their intensities decrease with increasing radius faster than lower transition lines. For example, we find that the CO (3–2)/(1–0) ratio is slightly higher than 1—around 1.2—within 30 pc of the AGN, but falls below unity farther away. The HCN $J = 1$–0 and $J = 3$–2 emission peaks have intensities of 2500 and 2200 K km s$^{-1}$ as can be compared in the upper panels of Figure 14. The ratio of HCN($J = 3$–2)/(1–0) is highest at 100 pc away from the AGN with a value of 1.4. This ratio is smaller ($\sim$0.8) closer to the AGN. Since the CN abundance is lower near the AGN, the peak of CN ($2_5/2$–1$_3/2$) is about 100 pc away from the AGN with an intensity of 90 K km s$^{-1}$ (see Figure 15, left panel). The HCO$^+$ (1–0) emission is about half of HCN(1–0) on scales of a few to 10 pc, but the ratio HCO$^+$/HCN(2–1) becomes close to unity with increasing radius as shown in Figure 16. The intensity of HC$_3$N(10–9) is on the order of thousands of K km s$^{-1}$, equivalent to the HCN emission intensity. Intensity ratios of HC$_3$N(10–9) over HCN(1–0) can be only slightly lower than the order of unity in (U)LIRGs (e.g., $\sim$0.78 in Arp 220 and 0.40 in NGC 4418; Lindberg et al. 2011), but not in typical AGNs. The abundance may instead be suppressed because of the grain depletion. Alternatively, the bottom right panel of Figure 12 shows that even a moderate amount of cosmic-ray ionization can dissociate HC$_3$N and also suppress its abundance.

Model 4. Since the overall column density of CO is much lower than that of Model 2, the peak velocity-integrated intensity is also much lower. The peak intensity of CO(1–0), CO(2–1),

\[\text{http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS}\]
and CO(3–2) are 200, 300, and 350 K km s$^{-1}$, respectively. The intensity ratios of CO(3–2)/(1–0) and CO(2–1)/(1–0) are 1.5 and 2 respectively around the AGN. The velocity-integrated intensity of HCN(1–0) is $\sim$150 K km s$^{-1}$ within 30 pc, but it falls rapidly with increasing distance from the AGN, and is around 50 K km s$^{-1}$ near 50 pc. The intensity ratio of HCN(3–2)/(1–0) is about 2 around 10 pc from the AGN, but it decreases with increasing radius, and it is below unity when $r \sim 50$ pc. Much lower intensities than those of CO and HCN are predicted for CN. The intensity of CN(1$_{3/2} - 0_{1/2}$) is 20 K km s$^{-1}$ at 10 pc from the AGN, and drops to 5 K km s$^{-1}$ near 20 pc. CN(2$_{5/2} - 1_{3/2}$) has about 1.5 times more intensity than CN(1$_{3/2} - 0_{1/2}$) in around 10 pc, although it drops faster farther out from the AGN. The higher level transition CN(3$_{7/2} - 2_{5/2}$) has a higher intensity of 80 K km s$^{-1}$, but decreases to less than 1 K km s$^{-1}$ farther out. Similar intensities to HCN are found for HCO$^+$(3–2) and (1–0) with the same J-number transition near 10 pc from the AGN core, but their intensity drops to about a tenth of the HCN lines around 30 pc away from the AGN. Although the abundance of HC$_3$N is on the order of $10^{-9}$, which is much smaller than that in Model 2, there is a small region of several parsecs in Model 4 where HC$_3$N emission is seen with HC$_3$N(10–9)/HCN(1–0)$\sim$0.1.

In general, almost the entire circumnuclear disk (CND) of Model 4 is XDR-dominated, and has higher intensities for the higher $J$-transition lines compared with Model 2. Just as the abundance ratio of CN/HCN is higher in Model 4 than in other models, the intensity ratio of CN/HCN is also higher.

4. DISCUSSION
4.1. Comparison with Observations: The Case of NGC 1068—A Prototypical AGN

Although our models have not been tuned to represent any individual galaxy or AGN disk, a general comparison of models with observations helps us to understand the physics and chemistry, and can serve as a guide both to future modeling and observations. Here, we compare our models with observations of a prototypical AGN containing galaxy NGC 1068. As a reference, observed intensities in NGC 1068 are listed in Table 12.
It must be noted that some of the observations in this table have different beam sizes and thus cannot be compared directly.

4.1.1. CO

The CO observations by Helfer & Blitz (1995) show spiral arms on kiloparsec scales, and a nuclear “ring” at radius \( \sim 200 \) pc. A higher resolution image by Schinnerer et al. (2000) reveals two peaks, each \( \sim 100 \) pc away from the central black hole, the so-called eastern and western knots, with the eastern knot having higher peak intensities by a factor of two than the western knot for \( J = 1–0, 2–1, \) and \( 3–2 \) lines of CO as summarized in Table 12. If the disk is a regular Keplerian disk and the CO abundances do not vary strongly, then the high velocity components must come from regions close to the center of the CND, and the two emission peaks should be symmetric. However, the CO emission appears asymmetric, and off from the expectations of a simple disk. Schinnerer et al. (2000) suggest a warp in the disk as a possible cause for the observed double knots with a complicated structure. Galliano et al. (2003) constructed a disk model without a warp, which is irradiated by X-rays with an angle offset from the perpendicular axis of the disk. According to Maloney et al. (1996), the CO intensity peaks where the X-ray intensity per density is moderately high, with \( H_X/n \sim 10^{-26}–10^{-25} \) erg cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) (see Equation (A2) for the definition of \( H_X \)). If the peaks are indeed created at regions illuminated by the X-ray ionization cones, one of them should appear more obscured than the other, as illustrated in Figure 9 of Galliano et al. (2003). A hydrodynamic simulation by Wada & Tomisaka (2005) without X-ray irradiation and with a constant value for the so-called X-factor, the conversion factor from CO intensity to \( \text{H}_2 \) column density, also explains this asymmetric double peak with sufficiently large CO beams of \( \sim 1'' \), although smaller beams at a fraction of an arcsecond are predicted to reveal ring-shaped emission in their model.

In our models, we find that the CO fractional abundance is mostly constant with \( (5–7) \times 10^{-5} \) throughout the entire molecular region, and higher molecular emission also seems to come from the regions with larger column densities.

Our models 2 and 4 both show centrally peaked emission, which does not match the observations of NGC 1068. Although

---

**Figure 15.** Velocity-integrated line intensities of CN emission are shown. Upper left panel: Model 2 CN (25/2-13/2). Contour levels are 50, 65, and 80 K km s\(^{-1}\); upper right panel: Model 4 CN (25/2-13/2). Contour levels are 6, 8, 10, and 12 K km s\(^{-1}\). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

**Table 12.** Observed Intensities in NGC 1068

| Species | Lines | Vel. int. Peak Intensity (Jy beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\)) | Vel. Int. Peak Intensity (K km s\(^{-1}\)) | Spatially Int. I (Jy km s\(^{-1}\)) | Beam Size | Reference |
|---------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| \(^{12}\)CO | 1–0   | 40(E), 19(W)                                             | 760(E)                                   | 90(E), 40 (W)                   | 1'' \(\times 0.8\) | Krips et al. (2011) |
|         | 2–1   | 70(E), 30(W)                                             | 1980(E)                                  | 290(E),180(W)                   | 1'' \(\times 0.8\) | Krips et al. (2011) |
|         | 3–2   | 470(E), 270(W)                                           | 5900(E)                                  | 1330(E), 720(W)                 | 1'' \(\times 0.8\) | Krips et al. (2011) |
| HCN     | 1–0   | 121(E), 91(W)                                            | 1100(E)                                  | 110(E), 70(W)                   | 0.53 \(\times 0.46\) | Krips et al. (2011) |
|         | 2–1   | 51(E), 32(W)                                             | 13.9                                     | 220                             | 14''       | P.-B. et al. (2009) |
|         | 3–2   | 28(E),14(W)                                              | 590(E)                                   | 52(E),40(W)                     | 1'' \(\times 0.8\) | Krips et al. (2011) |
| HCO\(^+\)| 1–0   | 27(E)                                                    | 590(E)                                   | 52(E),40(W)                     | 1'' \(\times 0.8\) | Krips et al. (2011) |
|         | 2–1   | 27(E)                                                    | 590(E)                                   | 52(E),40(W)                     | 1'' \(\times 0.8\) | Krips et al. (2011) |
|         | 3–2   | 10(E), 3.6(W)                                            | 200(E), 80(W)                            |                                 |            |            |

**Note.** Eastern knot is denoted as (E) and the western knot is denoted as (W).
some of the emission near the AGN core might be absorbed by the surrounding colder gas, it is likely that there is simply a lack of molecular gas in this region, perhaps caused by feedback from the AGN. The total gas mass presented in Hicks et al. (2009) from their warm H\textsubscript{2} observation within 60 pc from the AGN is $10^{7} M_\odot$, which is about an order of magnitude lower than the case in Model 2.

The ratio between high-$J$ and low-$J$ transitions—e.g., CO(3–2)/CO(1–0)—is higher in the observations than in Models 2 and 4, which could also be explained if the density is lower than our models since there will be smaller amount of cold gas with the lower density. In Model 2, this ratio does not exceed unity in units of K km s$^{-1}$ and in Model 4 these ratios are only $\geq$1.5 around their peak location. As shown in Table 12, the observed ratio of CO(3–2)/(1–0) in NGC 1068 is 7. Although Model 4 is closer to the observations in terms of the ratio of high-$J$ to low-$J$ transitions, the intensities fall more rapidly than the observations with increasing radius. Model 2, on the other hand, has too high an intensity near the AGN core, but matches the observations fairly well on larger scales. Thus the actual CND of NGC 1068 must have lower density than our models on pc scales, but similar structure to Model 2 on 10 pc scales. Of course, because we have not attempted to fit the observations of NGC 1068, only a qualitative comparison should be made. We discuss other molecules below, highlighting qualitative and interesting differences between the models and the observations as a guide to future work.

4.1.2. HCN and HCN/CO

Similar to CO, the observed HCN emission also has western and eastern knots, with stronger emission from the latter. Although detailed spatial information for HCN within the CND is not known, Tacconi et al. (1994) observed the HCN(1–0) at a similar level of intensity to CO (1–0). For $J = 3–2$, the HCN/CO intensity ratio in units of K km s$^{-1}$ is around $\sim$0.2, and increases to $\sim$0.3 around the AGN core. Usero et al. (2004) estimated the column density ratio of N(HCN)/N(CO) to be $(1.6-2.0) \times 10^{-3}$, which is close to the value from a more recent observation by Kametazky et al. (2011), who estimated that N(HCN)/N(CO) = $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$. Krips et al. (2011) estimated
a slightly lower column density ratio of \( \frac{N(\text{HCN})}{N(\text{CO})} = 3 \times 10^{-3} \).

These estimates assume a single component of homogeneous density and temperature, and different physical conditions within the CND cannot be taken into consideration.

Our results in Figure 9 show that the calculated \( \frac{N(\text{HCN})}{N(\text{CO})} \) column density ratio can be more than one order of magnitude higher than the observed value for \( r < 20–30 \) pc, but it is within an order of magnitude to the observed ratio for larger \( r \) at \( t = t_{\text{cross}} \). The steady-state column density ratio of \( \frac{N(\text{HCN})}{N(\text{CO})} \) is at least an order of magnitude lower than the observed value for \( r > 10 \) pc in Model 3 and 4. In Models 1 and 2, the ratio stays around the observed value because of the flared structures, as mentioned in Section 3.1.3.

In Models 2 and 4, and for transitions \( J = 3–2 \) and \( J = 1–0 \), there are regions where the intensity ratio of HCN/CO is larger than unity. These are the regions where the X-ray irradiation is stronger than other regions. For \( J = 3–2 \), the region where the intensity ratio HCN/CO > 1 is physically smaller, and this will lead to lower HCN/CO(3–2) with respect to HCN/CO(1–0) if observed with a large beam size. The peaks of both molecules reside within 10 pc in our models, which is again different from NGC 1068.

### 4.1.3. HCO\(^+\)/HCN

Similar to HCN and CO, both the western and eastern knots are seen for HCO\(^+\)(\( J = 3–2 \) and 4–3) (see Figure 2 Krips et al. 2011). Unlike HCN or CO, HCO\(^+\) emission does not seem to have a high intensity red-shifted component that traces a jet (Krips et al. 2011). The emission is likely to be originating in the XDR regions since it peaks slightly closer to the AGN core than the CO and HCN peaks by a few tens of parsecs. Usero et al. (2004) suggested that the column density ratio of \( \frac{N(\text{HCO}^+)/N(\text{HCN})}{N(\text{HCN})} \) ~ 0.6–1.3 while analysis by Kamenetzky et al. (2011) shows that \( \frac{N(\text{HCO}^+)/N(\text{HCN})}{N(\text{HCN})} \) ~ 0.06, which is an order of magnitude lower. Krips et al. (2011) also obtain a column density ratio HCO\(^+\)/HCN that is lower than unity, i.e., HCO\(^+\)/HCN ~ 2 \times 10^{-3} – 0.1. In most of our models, HCO\(^+\)/HCN abundance ratios at \( t = t_{\text{cross}} \) are somewhere between the two observed abundance ratios, but the steady-state abundance ratios at \( r > 10 \) pc are greater than indicated by these observations (see Figure 11). Besides the non-steady-state abundances at \( t = t_{\text{cross}} \), higher metal abundances can also suppress HCO\(^+\), causing a lower HCO\(^+\)/HCN column density ratio. If some fraction of the HCN emission is coming from the jet, as discussed above, the estimated HCO\(^+\)/HCN ratio in the XDR layer could in principle be higher than so far observed, and a high abundance ratio of HCO\(^+\)/HCN in our results in some models cannot be excluded.

For \( r \approx 90 \) pc in the disk in Model 2, HCO\(^+\)/HCN (1–0) < 1, and its value becomes close to unity for a larger radius. The value in Kohno (2005), which is the average of the entire CND, is 0.5 for NGC 1068. When our results are averaged with a larger beam size, the ratio becomes close to unity.

### 4.1.4. CN, CN/CO, CN/HCN

Based on the maps of García-Burillo et al. (2008) and García-Burillo et al. (2010), CN also has two peaks, the western and eastern knots. The location of the CN/CO intensity peak is seen at about 0.5 arcsec (≈36 pc) away from the AGN core while the CN/HCN velocity-integrated intensity peak is about 0.1 at the AGN core and increases to 0.3 at a peak seen at about 1 arcsec (≈72 pc). Usero et al. (2004) estimated the \( \frac{N(\text{CN})}{N(\text{CO})} \) column density ratio to be \( (1.8–10) \times 10^{-3} \) from their CN(2–1) observation, but more recent observations estimate a lower overall column density ratio of \( \frac{N(\text{CN})}{N(\text{CO})} = (0.3–1.3) \times 10^{-3} \) (García-Burillo et al. 2010).\(^{11} \)

In our models, the column density ratio \( \frac{N(\text{CN})}{N(\text{HCN})} \) is in general higher at larger \( r \) in Models 1 and 2 at both times, while for \( \frac{N(\text{CN})}{N(\text{CO})} \) the dependence is far less pronounced, as can be seen in Figures 7 and 8. The higher intensity of CN with respect to HCN in the colder and outer part of the disk may come from the higher \( \frac{N(\text{CN})}{N(\text{HCN})} \) column density ratio due to the combination of non-steady-state chemistry (\( t \sim t_{\text{dyn}} \)) and the effect of X-rays. Our Model 2 calculation also has a higher CN/HCN intensity ratio with increasing distance from the AGN while Model 4 has a higher ratio near the AGN core. When the region is an XDR, it must have a higher CN/HCN intensity ratio. A lower intensity ratio of CN/HCN may indicate that the X-rays may not be the only source of heating, but there might also be thermal heating (i.e., from coupling of gas with warm dust, or heating from shocks).

#### 4.1.5. CS

The fractional abundance of CS that Usero et al. (2004) estimated is \( 1 \times 10^{-8} \). This is an order of magnitude higher than the value in Martín et al. (2009). Both values are relatively high with respect to our models, except for Models 3 and 4 on 100 pc scales if we utilize the degree of sulfur depletion onto the grains used standardly in the chemical models of dense clouds in our Galaxy (Graedel et al. 1982). In galactic PDRs, there is evidence that sulfur depletion is less than in regular cold dense clouds, making \( S/H \sim (2–5) \times 10^{-6} \) (Goicoechea et al. 2006). In diffuse clouds, there are claims that the sulfur abundance is even higher than the solar value. For example, Lucas & Liszt (2002) show that the ratio of elemental abundance \( S/O \) is four times higher in a diffuse cloud toward ζ Oph than that in a solar neighborhood. The observed abundance of CS cannot be achieved in our models unless there are shock waves to cause early-time chemistry (i.e., abundances at \( t = t_{\text{cross}} \)), there is less depletion of sulfur onto the grains than in our models, or the metallicity is even higher than the solar value. Super-solar metallicities are commonly inferred in other AGNs, and it is likely that the nuclear environment in NGC 1068 is similarly metal-rich (Fields et al. 2007).

#### 4.1.6. Carbon-chain Molecules

Carbon-chain molecules HC\(_3\)N (Costagliola et al. 2011), C\(_2\)H (Costagliola et al. 2011; Nakajima et al. 2011), and c-C\(_3\)H\(_2\) (Nakajima et al. 2011) have been detected in NGC 1068. Emissions from carbon-chain molecules are in general weaker than the aforementioned molecules, and there is little spatial information within the CND. Although HC\(_3\)N was detected in NGC 1068, the line ratios of HC\(_3\)N/HCN are around 0.2–0.4 in (U)LIRGs, which are much higher than the other types of galaxies, which have a ratio less than 0.05 (Costagliola et al. 2011). The reason for the enhancement of HC\(_3\)N is unknown, but concentration of its emission in galactic nuclei is seen for the case of Mrk 231 (Aalto et al. 2012) and NGC 4418 (Costagliola 2012). In addition, the ALMA early science observation by Takano et al. shows HC\(_3\)N in the CND, not in the starburst ring. Our results show the enhancement of HC\(_3\)N at high temperature; nevertheless, it seems that HC\(_3\)N is more susceptible to X-ray

\(^{11}\) The CN fractional abundance is listed in terms of CN/H\(_2\), so we use CO/H\(_2\) = \( 8 \times 10^{-5} \), which was used in Usero et al. (2004), to obtain CN/CO.
irradiation than c-C$_3$H$_2$, as can be seen by comparing results in Tables 4, 5, and 6. Although the central concentration of HC$_3$N in the CND of NGC 1068 is seen with the ALMA early science observation, the emission is much weaker than our Model 2 predicts according to S. Takano et al. (in preparation).

4.2. A Case for (U)LIRGs

Luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs) are star-forming galaxies with infrared luminosities of $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ ($L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ for ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs)). It is believed that high infrared luminosities are due to star formation, and that these galaxies are potentially an evolutionary phase in galaxies that precedes the AGN phase (Sanders et al. 1988; Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Hopkins et al. 2006). In general, they have a higher gas fraction, a lower metallicity, and a higher star formation efficiency (Downes & Solomon 1998; Rupke et al. 2008; Gao & Solomon 2004). In addition to an enhancement of HC$_3$N, elevated abundances of C$_2$H$_2$ are seen in (U)LIRGs by Lahuis et al. (2007). In our models with $Q = 1$, some complex molecules such as C$_2$H$_2$ and HC$_3$N are predicted to have elevated abundances in particular regions of the disk discussed in Section 3.1. These molecules indicate the presence of a high-temperature medium with a relatively low ionization rate. At warm temperatures around 200–300 K, the steady-state abundances of these molecules are low, but the peak abundances at earlier times are still enhanced. Observational studies of c-C$_3$H$_2$ in (U)LIRGs might be useful and may give further information on the condition of the ISM in these galaxies. A detailed discussion of the relation between molecular abundances and physical conditions in (U)LIRGs will be presented in a future paper.

4.3. Variation in Physical Conditions

There are many models for the accretion disks of galactic nuclei, and there are still many unknowns in some of the physical processes, such as the mass accretion rate onto the supermassive black hole, the cosmic-ray energy density, the star formation rate, and the disk thickness (i.e., the scale height $h(r)$). The scale height of the dust torus in NGC 1068 is found to be surprisingly high (its thickness is 2.1 pc over a 3.4 pc radius; Jaffe et al. 2004) in the central few pc with no conclusively identified mechanism to support the disk vertically against its gravity. The model by Thompson et al. (2005), on which our calculation for midplane density is based, assumes a Toomre $Q$ parameter of close to unity, while the disk height is supported by radiation pressure on the dust from OB-stars. On the other hand, the model by Wada et al. (2009) is based on feedback from the supernovae and stellar winds described in Wada & Norman (2001). This model does not assume $Q \sim 1$, and the midplane density within $r < 30$ pc is at least an order of magnitude lower than in the model of Thompson et al. (2005), although it is difficult to compare a full hydrodynamic model containing strong time-dependent density inhomogeneities to our simplified and smooth disks. In the model by Wada et al. (2009), the mean density at a few tens of parsecs is around $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ as opposed to the model by Thompson et al. (2005) where the mean midplane density varies from $10^6$–$10^8$ cm$^{-3}$. At the lower densities, the total gaseous column density through the midplane is averaged to be a little less than $10^{25}$ cm$^{-2}$, and a significant amount of higher energy X-rays can still penetrate into the midplane. The mean fraction of H$_2$ in the Wada et al. (2009) model is around 0.3, while our results have molecular fractions close to unity. In the Wada et al. (2009) model, the medium is inhomogeneous, and the molecules can exist only in higher-density “clumps.”

Another model, by Schartmann et al. (2009, 2010), also contains an inhomogeneous medium with a lower midplane density of $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ within 10 pc, and even lower farther out from the AGN core. The chemistry in this third model would also be strongly affected by the larger XDR.

The physical conditions change depending on the evolutionary stage and the dominant processes at work inside the CND. Comparing our models with the observations of NGC 1068, the molecular density of our models on scales smaller than 10 pc appears much higher. The CND of NGC 1068 may thus simply have a lower gas fraction in this region, perhaps as a result of preceding AGN feedback and/or stellar feedback. If the density near the nucleus is low enough to produce a “hole” in the molecular disk, the location of the XDR will change, and the peak locations of each molecular line will change. Our models with $Q = 1$ (Models 1 or 2) fail to capture these time-dependent effects, and thus probably have a much higher density than the CND of NGC 1068. The assumption that $Q = 1$ may not hold in parts of the disk where it is, or has been, strongly disturbed by AGN activity or recent stellar feedback, as shown in Sani et al. (2012). However, the assumption of $Q \sim 1$ appears to hold true in many galactic disks (e.g., Martin & Kennicutt 2001; Downes & Solomon 1998). Effects of a systematic and time-dependent variation in the Toomre $Q$ parameter in more models must be studied in order to understand the chemical abundances and star formation condition in active galaxies.

5. SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

The chemistry in the molecular disk around AGNs can be affected by many physical components of the system, including X-rays from the AGN core, the disk density structure, star formation activity, and cosmic rays, and dynamical processes such as shocks, turbulence, rapid accretion, and jets. In this paper, we considered simple density structures for the molecular disk, with X-rays, cosmic rays, and gas–grain collisions as the primary heating mechanisms for the gas. We calculated the time-dependent abundances in the gaseous disk, reporting the abundances at both the characteristic shock-crossing time at each disk annulus and in steady state, and we coupled this abundance determination to a self-consistent calculation of the gas temperature. Although shocks are considered in the sense that they dissociate molecules, we did not include shock heating and the interdependence between this heating and the chemistry in this paper, which involves more details.

Our models of AGN disks show that there is an XDR layer, where the molecules are irradiated by X-rays, and a midplane, where the gas is colder, and more fully shielded, and it is this reservoir of mass that may be accreted into the black hole. Depending on the disk density structure and X-ray illumination, the location of the peak abundances, emission, and line ratios—both between different rotational levels and different molecular species—change. For example, the abundance ratio of CN/CO can be enhanced in the XDR layer. In addition, the abundance of CN in the crossing time is enhanced with respect to the steady-state abundance, and the abundance ratio of HCO$^+$/CO can also be enhanced in the XDR layer with little time dependence. Time dependence is also important for HCN; the abundance ratio of HCN/CO can be enhanced in a warm part of the midplane at $t = t_{\text{cross}}$. We also provided preliminary radiative transfer calculations in our model disks and abundance profiles in order to make a first comparison with observations. The higher the fraction of XDR layer the model has, the higher the ratio
of higher-\(J\) line intensity over lower-\(J\) line intensities. The enhancement of abundance in CN and HCO\(^+\) in the XDR layer also appears as higher intensities. Our goal in the future is to present the full modeled intensities, and to apply the calculations in this paper to many different disk models in order to fit the observations in specific galaxies. There are also parameters that need more careful consideration such as the clumpiness and variation in metallicity. Although existing observations can provide high-resolution intensity maps of CN and HCN (García-Burillo et al. 2008, 2010) in 10-pc scale, an even higher resolution of pc-/subpc-scale images is possible with ALMA. In addition, the ALMA early science also demonstrated its power to observe more complex molecules. Strong lines of HC\(_3\)N(11–10) and (12–11) were observed. It will be interesting to observe higher excitation temperature in NGC 4418 by Costagliola (2012). Radial dependences of abundances for CN, HCN, HCO\(^+\), sulfur-containing species, and carbon chain molecules can be used to constrain high/low density physical models, metallicity, and the effects of X-rays. In particular, with the radial abundance gradient, one could constrain the disk structure including density and temperature from the molecular observations.
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APPENDIX

TEMPERATURE CALCULATION

A.1. Heating

A.1.1. X-Ray Heating

When atoms and molecules are ionized by X-rays, fast electrons are produced, which can interact with ambient electrons. Maloney et al. (1996) have shown that this Coulomb heating dominates heating when the electron fraction is high. The Coulomb rate is expressed as (Meijerink & Spaans 2005)

\[
\Gamma = \eta n H_X, \quad (A1)
\]

where \(n\) is the total hydrogen density, \(\eta\) is the heating efficiency as in Meijerink & Spaans (2005) based on the results by Dalgarno et al. (1999), and \(H_X\) is defined as (Maloney et al. 1996)

\[
H_X = \int_{E_{\text{min}}}^{E_{\text{max}}} \sigma_{pa}(E) F(E) dE, \quad (A2)
\]

where \(\sigma_{pa}(E)\) is the photoabsorption cross section and \(F(E)\) is the local photon energy flux at energy \(E\). The gas can also be heated when X-rays ionize molecular hydrogen by a series of reactions. As described in Glassgold & Langer (1973), the ionization heating rate can be determined by the rates of three reactions involving H\(_2^+\) following its formation by X-ray ionization of H\(_2\):

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2^+ + e & \rightarrow H + H \quad (A3) \\
H_2^+ + H & \rightarrow H^+ + H_2 \quad (A4) \\
H_2^+ + H_2 & \rightarrow H_3^+ + H. \quad (A5)
\end{align*}
\]

The last two reactions are exothermic, and a large fraction of the energy goes into heating. We follow the formula for the heating rate in Meijerink & Spaans (2005):

\[
\Gamma = \frac{17.5k_e \chi_e + 1.51k_H \chi_H + 13.7k_{H_2} \chi_{H_2}}{k_e \chi_e + k_H \chi_H + k_{H_2} \chi_{H_2}} \times 10^{-12} \xi_x \chi_x n \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (A6)
\]

where \(k_e\), \(k_H\), and \(k_{H_2}\) are the total reaction rate coefficients of Equations (A5), (A4), and (A3), while \(\chi_e\), \(\chi_H\), and \(\chi_{H_2}\) are the ionization fraction, and the fractional abundances of H and H\(_2\), respectively. \(\xi_x\) is the ionization rate per hydrogen molecule and \(n\) is the density.

A.1.2. Cosmic-ray Heating

As noted earlier, cosmic rays can ionize atoms and molecules, which can produce secondary electrons. These secondary electrons can excite molecular hydrogen, which can be used in heating. With the amount of energy deposited per primary ionization given by Cravens & Dalgarno (1978) and Glassgold & Langer (1973), the heating rate by cosmic rays is given by Tielens & Hollenbach (1985) to be

\[
\Gamma = 1.5 \times 10^{-11} \xi_{H_2} n_{H_2} \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (A7)
\]

where \(\xi_{H_2}\) is the cosmic-ray ionization rate per molecular hydrogen and \(n_{H_2}\) is the H\(_2\) density.

A.1.3. Dust–Gas Interaction

When the dust temperature and the gas temperature are different, they can be heated or cooled by each other through collisions. We utilize the heating/cooling rate given by Hollenbach & McKee (1989):

\[
\Gamma = 1.2 \times 10^{-31} n^2 \left( \frac{T}{1000 \text{ K}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{100 \text{ Å}}{a_{\text{min}}} \right)^{1/2} \\
\times [1 - 0.8 \exp(-75 K/T)] (T - T_{\text{dust}}) \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (A8)
\]

where \(n\) is again the gas density, \(T\) is the kinetic gas temperature, \(T_{\text{dust}}\) is the dust temperature, and \(a_{\text{min}}\) is the minimum grain size.

A.2. Cooling

The vibrational and rotational cooling rates of H\(_2\), CO, and H\(_2\)O are taken from Neufeld & Kaufman (1993) and Neufeld et al. (1995). In addition, atomic line cooling by C\(^{+}\) 158 \(\mu\)m, O\(^{+}\) 63 \(\mu\)m and 6300 Å is included. These cooling rates are calculated using the method described in Tielens (2005):

\[
n^2 \Lambda = \frac{g_u}{g_i} \exp(-E_u/kT) \left[ 1 + n_{\alpha} \beta(\tau) + g_u/g_i \exp(-E_u/kT) A_{\alpha} n A_u \hbar v_{\alpha} \beta(\tau) \right], \quad (A9)
\]
where $n$ is the total number density, $g_u$ and $g_l$ are the statistical weights of the upper and lower levels, $E_{uj}$ is the energy difference between the upper and lower levels, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $A_j$ is the abundance of species $j$, $A_{0j}$ is the Einstein coefficient, and $\nu_{ul}$ is the line of the transition from the upper state to the lower state. The critical density $n_{cr}$ is defined as

$$n_{cr} = \frac{\beta(\tau)_{ul}}{\gamma_{ul}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A10)

where $\beta(\tau)$ is the escape probability at an optical depth $\tau$ and $\gamma_{ul}$ is the upwards collision rate. We used the form of escape probability as follows (Tielens 2005):

$$\beta(\tau) = \frac{1}{4.68\tau} \exp(-2.34\tau), \hspace{0.5cm} (\tau < 7) \hspace{1cm} (A11)$$

The optical depth is defined by

$$\tau_{ul} = \frac{A_{0j} \nu_{ul}^3}{8\pi \beta(\tau)_{ul}} \frac{n_a g_u}{n_l g_l} - 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A12)

In Equation (A13), $b$ is the Doppler broadening and $\Delta z$ is the distance from the surface. This quantity of $b/\Delta z$ can be considered as a velocity gradient, and the value of $\Delta z$ was used.
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