Introduction

Historically, sporadic colorectal cancers (CRCs) were thought to arise through 1 of 2 processes: either the adenoma-carcinoma sequence or the de-novo pathway [1–3]. More recently, however, an alternative pathway of tumorigenesis, referred to as the serrated pathway, has been identified, and is implicated in up to 20% of CRCs [4].

Colorectal serrated lesions can be classified as hyperplastic polyps (HPs), sessile serrated adenoma/polyps (SSA/Ps), and traditional serrated adenomas (TSAs) [5, 6].

SSA/Ps are precursors to colon cancers with microsatellite instability that should be excised, whereas HPs are reported to have a little malignant [7]. Colonoscopic identification of serrated lesions has important implications for preventing CRCs and is particularly important for differentiating SSA/Ps from HPs, which are difficult to distinguish because of their morphological similarity [8, 9].

To that end, several types of endoscopic imaging modalities have been evaluated, including high-resolution magnifying colonoscopy [10, 11], narrow-band imaging [11–13], and endocytoscopy (EC) [14]. Magnifying chromoendoscopy showed that the type II open (type II-O) pit pattern was specific to SSA/Ps, being wider and more rounded in shape owing to the dilatation of the crypts [15]. By contrast, HPs showed a type II pit pattern according to Kudo’s pit classification [16].
On EC, SSA/Ps were shown to have oval lumens that were wide elliptical or round lumens, and small round nuclei [14]. HPs showed narrow star-shaped lumens, and small nuclei. Oval lumens are thought to correspond to dilated crypts, according to the 4th World Health Organization classification [17]. However, some lesions exhibited borderline forms that were difficult to classify as either typically “oval” or “star-like”. Assessment of borderline lesions depends on the endoscopist’s experience.

EC involves a contact light microscopy system integrated into the distal tip of a conventional colonoscope, and can approximate a histopathological diagnosis of the gastrointestinal tract [18–24]. EC has a 380× magnification with a focusing depth of 50 μm and a field of view of 700×600 μm. In this study, we used EC to quantitatively measure dilated crypts and to identify a dilatation cutoff value for differentiating SSA/Ps from HPs.

This study was carried out with the aim of measuring the surface area of the crypts that may provide objective evidence to confirm the diagnosis.

Patients and methods

Image samples

This retrospective study was conducted at Showa University Northern Yokohama Hospital (Yokohama, Japan) between August 2010 and December 2014. In this study, consecutive lesions with pathologically diagnosed SSA/P or HP observed on EC and resected endoscopically were analyzed. The exclusion criteria were SSA/Ps with cytological dysplasia or carcinoma, and lesions with poor visibility on EC. Moreover, we added 10 normal mucosal samples from 10 patients by biopsy.

Pathological diagnosis was carried out on the basis of the WHO criteria [17]. All of the samples were reviewed independently by a specialist gastrointestinal pathologist and a pathologist with no specialist experience in the digestive tract. If they did not agree, the diagnosis provided by the specialist gastrointestinal pathologist was used. The pathologists did not agree with the results of 3 patients in this study.

Informed consent was obtained from all patients before the procedure was performed, and the study protocol, including the use of EC, was approved by the Ethics Committee of Showa University Northern Yokohama Hospital (No. 1504–01). All participants provided written informed consent, and the study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Image analysis

All images were evaluated with the Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States). Luminal areas were quantified stepwise. In the first step, the data manager, who was proficient in histopathological diagnosis, downloaded complete EC images from the database server and one reviewer (Y.O.) selected 1 image that showed the widest lumen for each lesion. In the second step, 2 reviewers (Y.O., Y.M.), who were blinded to the histopathological diagnosis, manually contoured the contiguous three dilated lumens and measured their mean area with reference to the horizontal width (700 μm) of the EC image. If there were more than 4 dilated crypts in the image, the 3 largest crypts were manually selected. The areas determined by the 2 reviewers were averaged. After 1 month, all images were re-measured to calculate the intraobserver agreement.

Substudy of correlation between histopathologic crypts and EC lumens

Histopathology slides were examined under a light microscope (Olympus Provis AX80) and the image was shown on a color monitor (Olympus FlexScan S1921). Slides stained with H&E were used as reference. We selected 37 lesions ≥10 mm (20 SSA/Ps and 17 HPs) and 10 normal mucosal samples. We measured 3 dilated crypts from the histopathology slide. If there were more than 4 dilated crypts, the 3 largest were manually selected by Y.O.

Endocytoscopy

All examinations were performed with an integrated-type EC scope (CF Y-0020-I, prototype from Olympus Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) [18]. This instrument has 2 observation modes: standard video-endoscopy and EC, which can be interchanged using a hand lever. EC observations are based on the principles of contact light microscopy. The EC has a 380-fold magnification with a focusing depth of 50 μm and a field of view of 700×600 μm. EC images were obtained after staining with 1% methylene blue and 0.05% crystal violet, thus enabling clear visualization of gland duct lumens and nuclear shapes in the superficial layer that are similar to micrographic images of fixed specimens stained with hematoxylin and eosin. EC images were saved on the server that hosted the database in JPEG format with a pixel array of 640×480 in 24-bit color.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed by using R Project for Statistical Computing version 3.2.5 (Vienna, Austria). The cutoff value for differentiating SSA/Ps from HPs was evaluated by receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis, and included calculation of its sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy.

Continuous variables were compared using Student’s t tests, and dichotomous variables were compared using Fisher’s exact tests or χ² tests. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. To ensure reliability of diagnosis, the proportions showing agreement and the unweighted Cohen’s κ coefficient were determined (strengths of agreement were classified as follows: 0.01–0.2, slight; 0.21–0.4, fair; 0.41–0.6, moderate; 0.61–0.8, substantial; and 0.81–1.0, almost perfect).

Results

Image samples

Fig.1 shows the analysis flow chart. A total of 101 lesions from 96 patients were screened for inclusion; 11 lesions were classified as ineligible according to the exclusion criteria (2 SSA/Ps and 4 HPs were excluded because clear EC images could not be obtained because of mucus, and 5 lesions were excluded owing to histological criteria). 21 SSA/Ps resected by EMR and 4
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SSA/Ps by ESD. 16 HPs resected by biopsy, 7 HPs by Polypectomy and 43 HPs by EMR.

Lesion characteristics
Evaluation of the baseline characteristics of the patients with SSA/Ps and HPs revealed that the mean age and sex distributions were similar in the 2 groups (Table 1). Evaluation of the lesions showed that the mean ± standard deviation (SD) sizes of SSA/Ps and HPs were 18.0 ± 10.2 mm and 6.9 ± 4.8 mm, respectively. Location in the proximal colon, a lesion size ≥10 mm, and presence of a type II-O pit pattern were each significantly associated with a higher probability of diagnosing SSA/Ps.

Correlation between EC luminal area and histopathology
The mean luminal area of SSA/Ps was significantly wider than that of HPs (4152 ± 2205 µm² vs. 2117 ± 1167 µm², P < 0.001; Fig. 2a). The mean luminal area of HPs was significantly dilated, with the mean ± SD of the luminal area being up to 6 times larger than that of the normal mucosa (2117 ± 1167 µm² vs. 354 ± 79 µm², P < 0.001; Fig. 2a).

ROC analysis showed that a luminal area cutoff ≥3068 µm² had a sensitivity of 80.0%, a specificity of 77.3%, and an accuracy of 78.0% in diagnosing SSA/Ps, with an area under the ROC curve of 0.865. A threshold of ≥556 µm² was found to be highly...
accurate in differentiating between HPs and normal mucosa, with a sensitivity of 98.5%, a specificity of 100%, an accuracy of 98.7%, and an AUC 0.998).

Examination of the same images after 1 month showed that the mean luminal area of HPs was 2291 µm² and those of SSA/Ps was 4681 µm². There was no significant difference between the original and confirmatory measurements ($P = 0.61$). Finally, the endoscopists achieved substantial interobserver and intraobserver agreement ($\kappa$ score = 0.71 and 0.73, respectively)

**Subgroup analysis of lesions ≥10 mm**

Most SSA/Ps are ≥10 mm, and we therefore carried out a subgroup analysis of lesions measuring ≥10 mm. The mean area of SSA/Ps ≥10 mm was 4674 µm² and that of HPs was 2219 µm², with SSA/P lesions being significantly larger. A comparison of lesions ≥10 mm and all lesions did not reveal any difference.

**Substudy of correlation between histopathologic crypts and EC lumens**

We compared the luminal area as measured by EC with the dilation of surface crypt during pathological examination. These measures were found to be related (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.65) (▶Fig. 2b).

▶Fig. 3 Endocytoscopy (EC) image analysis and histopathological appearance of superficial lumens of normal mucosa, hyperplastic polyp (HP), and sessile serrated adenoma/polyp (SSA/P). a Normal mucosa showed small roundish lumens under EC (white arrow). b Manual contouring of the lumens of a showed that average luminal area was 366 µm² (yellow line). c Histological appearance of a shows straight crypts. d EC image of HP showed narrow star-shaped lumens (white arrow). e Average luminal area of d was 1741 µm² (yellow line). f Histological appearance of d showed a narrowed lumen with multiple serrations. g EC image of SSA/P showed wide and oval lumens (white arrow). h Average luminal area of G was 8803 µm² (yellow line). i Histological appearance of g showed superficial dilated crypts.
Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the actual luminal area of SSA/Ps, HPs, and normal mucosa, and we found that luminal area measurement can differentiate SSA/Ps from HPs. SSA/Ps may progress towards carcinoma, and since they are potential precursors of sporadic microsatellite unstable CRCs, they should be excised endoscopically. Two recent studies reported the chromoendoscopic features of SSA/Ps.

First, Kimura et al. found that a novel type II-O pit pattern was potential predictive findings of SSA/Ps compared with HPs [15]. Second, we evaluated EC features of 58 serrated lesions including 12 SSA/Ps and 27 HPs, and found that oval lumen was efficient findings of SSA/P. EC had great accuracy with a sensitivity of 83.3 %, and a specificity of 97.8 % [14]. These dilated lumens, which are thought to correspond to histopathologically dilated crypt openings, are an important indicator of SSA/Ps [25]. Currently, however, the degree of endoscopic dilation is estimated by the endoscopist and is not measured quantitatively.

EC allows real-time cellular imaging of alimentary mucosa and provides very accurate predictions of pathology with accuracies of 94.1 % [23] and 96.5 % [26] for neoplastic change [20, 23, 24].

However, EC required fundamental knowledge of pathology and image training under experienced physicians to achieve accurate diagnoses and the procedure time for EC needed for washing, staining, and assessment was about 5.5 minutes [23].

On the other hand, EC enables accurate imaging by any practitioner as long as lesion contact is made. The results are objective, and the measurements do not vary depending on the technique. We think that measuring the luminal area may provide objective evidence to support diagnosis of SSA/Ps for all endoscopists including non-experts.

Our results reveal that the lumens of SSA/Ps were approximately twice as wide as those of HPs, which in turn were approximately 6 times wider than those of normal mucosa. ► Fig. 3 shows the EC images, image analyses, and the histopathological appearances of normal mucosa (► Fig. 3a, ► Fig. 3b, ► Fig. 3c), HP (► Fig. 3d, ► Fig. 3e, ► Fig. 3f), and SSA/P (► Fig. 3g, ► Fig. 3h, ► Fig. 3i). The luminal size as determined by EC images corresponded to the histopathological architectures of the crypts.

In a previous study, a computer-aided diagnostic system using EC provided excellent sensitivity and accuracy for identifying neoplastic change by measuring nuclear sizes [27]. In that study, however, SSA/Ps were not evaluated because they had no nuclear abnormalities. Evaluation of the lumen was necessary for diagnosis of SSA/P. Therefore, we are developing an automated diagnosis system that can automatically recognize and measure all lumens for each image to distinguish between SSA/Ps and HPs and identify each type.

This study had several limitations. The first limitation is selection bias introduced by selection of 3 dilated crypts from the histopathology slide, because the selection was performed manually. Second, this study was retrospective in design, and included no prospectively recorded data. It was not possible to achieve an accurate 1-to-1 correspondence between EC and pathological examination findings. Nevertheless, we normally cut out areas diagnosed by EC and tried to obtain a pathological diagnosis. In this study, we observed video recordings and excluded areas that were difficult to match to the pathological findings in an attempt to pair as many lesions as possible.

Finally, the major limitation was measurement bias due to obtaining these measurements manually. Moreover, only 3 lumens were measured per image in this study. To evaluate more objectively, computer-aided analysis is considered favorable as a next challenge.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study showed that EC is a promising diagnostic tool for distinguishing between SSA/Ps and HPs. This approach may be incorporated into computer-aided diagnosis of SSA/Ps in the future.
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