Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging traits as endophenotypes for genetic mapping in epilepsy
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Abstract

Over the last decade, the field of imaging genomics has combined high-throughput genotype data with quantitative magnetic resonance imaging (QMRI) measures to identify genes associated with brain structure, cognition, and several brain-related disorders. Despite its successful application in different psychiatric and neurological disorders, the field has yet to be advanced in epilepsy. In this article we examine the relevance of imaging genomics for future genetic studies in epilepsy from three perspectives. First, we discuss prior genome-wide genetic mapping efforts in epilepsy, considering the possibility that some studies may have been constrained by inherent theoretical and methodological limitations of the genome-wide association study (GWAS) method. Second, we offer a brief overview of the imaging genomics paradigm, from its original inception, to its role in the discovery of important risk genes in a number of brain-related disorders, and its successful application in large-scale multinational research networks. Third, we provide a comprehensive review of past studies that have explored the eligibility of brain QMRI traits as endophenotypes for epilepsy. While the breadth of studies exploring QMRI-derived endophenotypes in epilepsy remains narrow, robust syndrome-specific neuroanatomical QMRI traits have the potential to serve as accessible and relevant intermediate phenotypes for future genetic mapping efforts in epilepsy.
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in the general population (Speed et al., 2014). Despite the evidence of heritability, most epilepsy-causing genetic mutations have been identified by linkage analyses in families with Mendelian patterns of inheritance, which account for <1% of all epilepsy syndromes. Common epilepsies often exhibit a complex or obscure inheritance pattern: causation may be attributable to multiple genetic variants interacting with each other and with environmental factors. Although steady progress is being made, the genetic determinants underlying most common epilepsy syndromes remain largely unknown.

2. Genetic studies of complex epilepsies - current constraints and limitations

Several single-gene mutations have been linked to familial forms of epilepsy (Hardies et al., 2016). For example, familial forms of genetic generalized epilepsies (GGEs) have been linked to mutations in the SLC2A1 gene that encodes GLUT1, a cell membrane glucose transporter (Striano et al., 2012). Mutations in LGII have been associated with familial focal epilepsies, including autosomal-dominant lateral temporal lobe epilepsy (ADLTE) (Kalachikov et al., 2002). Interestingly, pleiotropy is not uncommon: a number of genes which when mutated can cause epilepsy are associated with diverse epilepsy phenotypes. For example, mutations in the genes SCN1A, SCN2A, SCN1B, KCNQ2, DEPDC5 and TBC1D24 can all cause a variety of epilepsies (Gambardella and Marini, 2009; Dibbens et al., 2013; Allen et al., 2014; Balestrini et al., 2016).

The genetic determinants of common epilepsy syndromes, where family history is lacking or suggests a complex inheritance pattern, are much less clearly defined. Their genetic architecture has been explored in many candidate gene studies, deep sequencing analyses and genome-wide association studies (GWAS; Helbig et al., 2008; Leu et al., 2016). Rare sequence and copy number variants of large effect have been identified in childhood absence epilepsies (Chen et al., 2003), GGEs (Helbig et al., 2009) and other epilepsy phenotypes (Heinzen et al., 2010; Klassen et al., 2011). GWAS has shown that common polymorphisms have a role in complex focal epilepsies with known or suspected brain lesions (Guo et al., 2012), mesial temporal lobe epilepsy (MTLE) with hippocampal sclerosis (Kasperaviciute et al., 2013) and complex generalized epilepsies (EPICURE Consortium et al., 2012). A recent meta-analysis of genome-wide data from 8696 epilepsy cases and 26,157 controls revealed two susceptibility genes, SCN1A and PCDH7, as risk loci across all forms of epilepsy, and a third risk locus (at VRK2 or FANCL) for generalized forms of the disorder (ILAE Consortium on Complex Epilepsies, 2014). Despite these promising findings, the replication rate and functional validation of susceptibility loci for complex epilepsies is poor (Leu et al., 2016).

Sample sizes need to be large for successful GWAS. Recent multisite collaborations, such as those led by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) Consortium on Complex Epilepsies (http://www.ilae.org/Commission/class/consortium.cfm), address this by assembling larger cohorts of epilepsy cases and healthy controls, boosting statistical power. Even so, these genome-wide meta-analyses can aggregate data from diverse ethnic groups and samples can be clinically heterogeneous. Heterogeneity can make GWAS results harder to interpret, as the detected genome-wide signals may be driven by differences in linkage disequilibrium (LD) structure between sub-cohorts, uncontrolled effects of confounding, and false positive findings resulting from population stratification (McClellan and King, 2010), synthetic associations created by rare variants (Dickson et al., 2010; Wray et al., 2011), or overrepresented effects from specific epilepsy subtypes or sub-cohorts. Similarly, non-significant findings may be attributed, in part, to phenotype misclassifications (Mancia et al., 2013). GWAS will also miss any consistent effects of rare genetic variants (Gershon et al., 2011; Gibson, 2012).

GWAS was initiated based on a simple common disease-common variant (CDCV) hypothesis, which posited that complex disorders, such as epilepsy, are largely explained by a moderate number of variants occurring commonly (>1%) in the general population (Botstein and Risch, 2003; Pritchard and Cox, 2002; Reich and Lander, 2001). This perspective has since evolved into several diverging hypotheses. Some argue that the genetic component of a disease is mainly due to a large number of common variants of small effect (the infinitesimal model). Others insist that it is due to a large number of rare variants of large effect (the rare allele model), and others still suggest a combination of genomic, environmental and epigenetic interactions (the broad sense heritability model; see Gibson, 2012, for a review). Recent genome-wide meta-analyses have integrated the broad-sense heritability hypothesis into their statistical designs using linear mixed models (LMM), which restrict heritability estimates to a certain class of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in high LD with those on genotype platforms (Yang et al., 2010, Zaitlen and Kraft, 2012). Despite these advances, the number of novel genome-wide significant findings remains sparse, and the likelihood variance explained by each detected risk locus is low (often <1%).

Typically, common susceptibility loci captured via case: control association studies exert subtle molecular and cellular alterations with remote effects on gene transcription, protein structure, or protein function (McCarthy and Hirschhorn, 2008). A complex clinical phenotype, such as seizure type or epilepsy syndrome, therefore tends to be indirectly predicted by any associated genotype. Although large-scale multisite meta-analyses may boost power to detect subtle biological effects, several creative alternatives may help to sidestep the complicated phenotype integrity of common epilepsy syndromes (Pal and Strug, 2014). One widely investigated alternative is the use of intermediate quantitative traits (QTs) that may be closer to the underlying biology of the disease than the clinical phenotype. These intermediate QTs are commonly referred to as endophenotypes.

3. Endophenotypes - definition and criteria

Endophenotypes are subclinical QTs, occupying the domain between disease phenotype and the genetic variants that influence disease risk (Gottesman and Gould, 2003). Unlike binary diagnostic outcomes (e.g. ‘patient’ versus ‘healthy control’), endophenotypes are quantifiable measures that are arguably closer to the genetic architecture of a disease than its clinical manifestation (e.g., seizure semiology) (Gould and Gottesman, 2006). In theory, endophenotypes allow us to deconstruct phenotypically heterogeneous syndromes, including epilepsy syndromes, into phenomena more reflective of the underlying genetic architecture, improving power to detect risk genes and their functional consequences (Walters and Owen, 2007).

Several criteria have been proposed to identify valid endophenotypes, since Gottesman & Shields’ original (1973) conceptualization. Some criteria are generalizable, and extensions continue to be discussed (see Miller and Rockstroh, 2013, for a review), but the following five criteria are generally accepted by the genetics community (Gould and Gottesman, 2006; Hasler et al., 2006). An endophenotype should be:

1. Associated with illness in the relevant population
2. State independent (i.e., it manifests in an individual regardless of whether an illness is active or not) and may require elicitation by a challenge (e.g., glucose tolerance test in relatives of patients with diabetes)
3. Heritable
4. Co-segregating with illness within families
5. Present in non-affected family members at a higher rate than in the general population

In principle, endophenotypes may be biochemical, endocrinological, electrophysiological, cognitive, or anatomical in nature (Gould and Gottesman, 2006). A vast number of research tools have been applied to characterize relevant endophenotypes in brain-related disorders; ranging from eye movement recording (Mazhari et al., 2011), electroencephalography (EEG) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI; Iacono and Malone, 2011), to behavioral measures and neuropsychological...
batteries (Glahn et al., 2004; Mann et al., 2009). MRI is arguably one of the most easily accessible and widely employed of these techniques. Indeed, in epilepsy, a large percentage of people with epilepsy undergo brain MRI as part of their clinical evaluation to look for potential underlying structural abnormality (e.g., malformation of cortical development or tumor). Quantitative MRI (QMRI) studies of people with epilepsy have characterized a wide range of subtle structural and functional brain alterations that are associated with particular epilepsy syndromes (Mueller et al., 2009). Some proportion of these subtle brain alterations may be due to early brain insults (e.g., central nervous system (CNS) infection or head trauma), disease-related factors (e.g., recurrent seizure activity or chronic medication use), or other environmental factors (Lewis et al., 2014). However, genetic mechanisms may also play a role, based on growing evidence from studies of asymptomatic first-degree relatives of epilepsy sufferers (Tsai et al., 2013).

4. QMRI traits as endophenotypes in genetic mapping studies: a promising future?

For a number of psychiatric illnesses, there is an ongoing quest to identify heritable, state-independent, neuroimaging-derived endophenotypes (Meyer-Lindenberg, 2010). For example, schizophrenia patients and their asymptomatic first-degree relatives appear to exhibit a similar pattern of brain structural alterations, including reduced prefrontal and temporal lobe grey matter (GM) volume (Cannon et al., 2002), vertical displacement of the corpus callosum (Nar, et al., 2002), and inward deformity at the head of the hippocampus (Tepest et al., 2003). Similarly, in bipolar disorder, significant white matter (WM) alterations in the corpus callosum, posterior thalamic radiations and left superior longitudinal fasciculus have been reported in patients and their asymptomatic siblings (Sprooten et al., 2013). Also, in major depressive disorder, GM alterations within the ventral diencephalon have been associated, in part, with genetic risk factors (Glahn et al., 2012). Despite limitations attributed to variable sensitivity of different image analysis techniques and modest statistical power, several of these findings have been validated using larger sample sizes and standardized image processing algorithms (de Zwart et al., 2016; Sprooten et al., 2016).

Many of the proposed neuroanatomical endophenotypes show moderate-to-high heritability in healthy and affected populations (Peper et al., 2007; Glahn et al., 2007; Goldman et al., 2008; den Braber et al., 2013). This has led some groups to pioneer a new research paradigm - known as imaging genomics - whereby neuroimaging-derived endophenotypes are used as quantitative phenotypes for genome-wide genetic mapping studies (Thompson et al., 2014). Under this imaging genomics model, a brain measure (such as the volume of a region of interest) is specified as a QT across a moderate-to-large sample of genome-wide genotyped participants. A regression model is then applied to test for an association between the additive allelic dosage of each SNP (independent variable) and the imaging phenotype (dependent variable). Imaging genomics has successfully mapped the effects on the brain of a number of important genetic variants, sometimes successfully mapping the effects on the brain of a number of important genetic variants, sometimes leading to identifying a novel intergenic variant associated with HV in a sample of almost 8000 adult participants (Stein et al., 2012); and the Cohorts of Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) group, which reported variants associated with HV reduction (including a replication of the variant identified by ENIGMA) in a sample of over 9000 elderly participants (Bis et al., 2012).

There has been heated debate as to whether the penetrance of genetic variants is higher for these neuroimaging-derived endophenotypes than for complex, binary diagnostic outcomes (see Fig. 1; Franke et al., 2016). If effect sizes are greater for common variants that affect some key imaging traits, or if less of the genome explains more of the variance, imaging genomics may offer a more efficient method to discover genes involved in different neurological or psychiatric illnesses than traditional case–control association studies (Mier and Meyer-Lindenberg, 2009). Indeed, as imaging genomics collaborations, such as the ENIGMA consortium, continue to grow their sample sizes to over 30,000 participants, the discovery rate for novel genetic loci associated with important brain regions continues to increase (Hibar et al., 2015). The effects of loci associated with brain measures are actively being explored in many psychiatric and neurologic illnesses (Meyer-Lindenberg, 2010; Roussotte et al., 2013).
2014), and in animal models (Ashbrook et al., 2014). Imaging genomics in future may offer a new and powerful method to improve our understanding of epilepsy and its elusive genetic underpinnings. So far, several QMRI-based studies have attempted to validate an epilepsy-related neuroimaging endophenotype by exploring potential co-segregation of neuroanatomical and functional alterations in people with epilepsy and their asymptomatic first-degree relatives. The next section offers a comprehensive review of these investigations.

Table 1
A summary of QMRI studies that investigated first-degree relatives of people with epilepsy (1998–2016).

| First author Year | Sample | Method | Findings | Comment |
|--------------------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| **Focal epilepsies** |        |        |          |         |
| Alhusaini 2016     | 50 patients with MTLE + HS – 50 asymptomatic siblings of patients – 40 healthy controls | Surface-based morphometry (FreeSurfer) was applied to characterize temporal cortex morphology features | Altered temporal cortex morphology was identified in patients ipsilaterally within the antero-medial temporal regions, including the entorhinal cortex, parahippocampal gyrus, and temporal pole. Subtle but similar pattern of morphology change was also noted in the asymptomatic siblings. This localized morphology alteration was related to volume loss that appeared driven by shared contraction in cerebral cortex surface area. | MTLE + HS patients and their asymptomatic siblings share a common pattern of temporal cortex morphologic alteration. |
| Whelan 2015a       | 25 patients with sporadic MRI-negative MTLE patients – 25 asymptomatic siblings – 60 controls | DTI whole-brain voxel-wise statistics and deterministic tractography | Significant FA reductions in the corpus callosum (CC), bilateral superior longitudinal fasciculi (SLF), bilateral inferior longitudinal fasciculi (ILF), and ipsilateral corticospinal tract (CST) were noted in patients only. MD increases were observed in MTLE patients and their asymptomatic siblings in the ipsilateral SLF and CST. | SLF and CST microstructural alterations in patients with MRI-negative MTLE may partly be influenced by genetic factors. |
| Suemitsu 2014      | 26 patients with MTLE – 9 asymptomatic relatives – 40 controls | The degree of hippocampal T2 relaxometry changes was examined | In a cross-sectional design, elevated T2 relaxometry was identified in patients and intermediate values were noted in the asymptomatic relatives compared to controls. A longitudinal follow up over a mean of 4.4 years revealed significant increase in T2 relaxometry of the ipsilateral hippocampus exclusively in patients. With recurrent seizures asymptomatic siblings share a common genetic predisposition. |
| Tsai 2013          | 32 asymptomatic first-degree relatives of MTLE + HS patients – 32 controls | Manual hippocampal volumetry | Mean hippocampal volume was smaller in the asymptomatic relatives compared to controls. Additionally, the asymptomatic relatives had more asymmetric hippocampi. This effect was greater in relatives of prohans with a positive family history of epilepsy. Genetic factors may be involved in the development of some mild hippocampal abnormalities in FMTLE. |
| Alhusaini 2013     | 101 ‘sporadic’ MTLE patients – 83 asymptomatic siblings of patients – 86 controls | FreeSurfer (an automated segmentation tool) | Hippocampal atrophy was identified in 18 of 52 first-degree relatives of patients. Visual analysis of T1- and T2-weighted images showed additional classic MRI signs of HS in 14 of these 18 individuals. | Small asymmetric hippocampi in healthy relatives of patients could represent a familial developmental variant that may predispose to the formation of MTLE + HS. |
| Scanlon 2013       | 28 MTLE + HS patients – 12 asymptomatic siblings of patients – 28 controls | FreeSurfer | A significant volume deficit in cerebral WM was common to patient and their siblings. Volume deficits for many subcortical structures in ‘sporadic’ MTLE + HS are largely driven by acquired factors. | Cerebral WM volume deficit is common to MTLE + HS patients and their siblings. |
| Kobayashi 2002     | 52 asymptomatic individuals from 11 families with MTLE – 30 controls | Manual hippocampal volumetry | Hippocampal atrophy was identified in 18 of 52 first-degree relatives of patients. Visual analysis of T1- and T2-weighted images showed additional classic MRI signs of HS in 14 of these 18 individuals. | HS in MTLE is influenced by a significant genetic predisposition. |
| Jackson 1998       | three monozygous (MZ) twin pairs discordant for MTLE + HS | Manual hippocampal volumetry | HS was not identified in the unaffected twin using visual, volumetric, and T2 relaxometry criteria. | The absence of HS in the unaffected twin is arguing against a strictly genetic hypothesis for HS. |
| Fernández 1998     | 23 members of two families of patients with MTLE + HS (13 had experienced FS) – 23 controls | Manual hippocampal volumetry: the right/left ratios of hippocampal volumes (RHV) | All subjects with febrile convulsions who did not develop epilepsy and six clinically asymptomatic relatives showed asymmetric HV but normal hippocampal signal intensity. A subtle, pre-existing hippocampal alteration may predispose to febrile convulsions and contribute to the development of subsequent HS. |

| Genetic generalized epilepsies (GGEs) |        |        |          |         |
| Wandschneider 2014 | 15 asymptomatic siblings of JME patients – 20 controls | fMRI was applied to record brain activation during a working memory paradigm | The asymptomatic siblings of patients showed abnormal primary motor cortex and supplementary motor area co-activation with increasing cognitive load, as well as increased task-related functional connectivity between motor and prefrontal cognitive networks. | Altered motor system activation and functional connectivity may represents a potential familial trait for JME. |
5. QMRI traits as endophenotypes for epilepsy

Over the last two decades, epilepsy-related EEG and brain QMRI traits have been evaluated as possible endophenotypes. Studies that examined the endophenotypic potential of QMRI traits in epilepsy are summarized in Table 1.

5.1. QMRI traits as endophenotypes for focal epilepsies

Most studies exploring potential neuroimaging endophenotypes for epilepsy focus on focal syndromes, primarily MTLE. MTLE is the most prevalent form of medically intractable focal epilepsy. The most common pathology underlying MTLE is hippocampal sclerosis (HS), which is characterized histologically by cellular loss and synaptic reorganization within hippocampal subregions (Wieser and ILAE Commission on Neurosurgery of epilepsy, 2004). HS can be detected using MRI in up to 70% of MTLE patients through the identification of hippocampal atrophy and signal abnormalities (Blümcke et al., 2013). The underlying etiology of HS remains a subject of debate, although the latest evidence indicates an interaction of several polygenic and environmental factors (Lewis et al., 2014). The heritability of HS has been examined by a number of investigators. The majority of these investigations were conducted on relatively small sample sizes. Fernández et al. (1998) investigated 23 family members of patients with MTLE associated with HS (MTLE + HS) and reported a significant hippocampal asymmetry in the asymptomatic family members who had experienced febrile seizures (FS) during childhood (Fernández et al., 1998). Hippocampal asymmetry was also identified in up to 50% of those family members who had never experienced FS. A follow up study by Kobayashi et al. (2002) examined 52 asymptomatic members of 11 families of patients with MTLE + HS. Significant hippocampal atrophy was identified in up to 34% of the asymptomatic relatives (Kobayashi et al., 2002). In addition, visual inspection of the T1- and T2-weighted images revealed classic MRI features of HS, including abnormal T2 signal abnormalities and altered internal structure of the hippocampus, in most of these relatives (Kobayashi et al., 2002). These two studies were complemented by a more recent investigation that explored HV in 32 asymptomatic first-degree relatives of MTLE + HS patients (Tsai et al., 2013). Here, the authors reported significantly reduced HV in the asymptomatic relatives of patients when compared to healthy controls (Tsai et al., 2013). This trend appeared stronger in the relatives of patients with a family history of epilepsy (see Fig. 2). Contrastingly, an independent investigation of MTLE + HS patients who reported no family history of seizures (i.e., sporadic cases) and their asymptomatic siblings (n = 50 discordant sibling pairs) reported no significant HV deficits in the asymptomatic siblings (Alhusaini et al., 2013). A trend of volume reduction was, however, noted in the hippocampus ipsilateral to the side of HS (Alhusaini et al., 2013). The authors attributed these findings to the possibly limited sensitivity of their automated segmentation technique relative to standard-ized manual tracing methods and to the small sample size (Alhusaini et al., 2016). Further evidence for the role of genetic contributions towards hippocampal structural abnormalities was recently illustrated by a study that applied T2-relaxometry to indirectly quantify the degree of hippocampal structural alteration in patients with MTLE + HS and their asymptomatic relatives (Suemitsu et al., 2014). The investigators reported increased hippocampal T2-relaxometry signal in patients relative to controls, and an intermediate relaxometry value for the asymptomatic relatives of patients (Suemitsu et al., 2014). Despite some inconsistencies, the findings of the above studies suggest a familial component to hippocampal structural alterations in MTLE + HS, particularly in patients with a strong family history for seizures.

Over the last two decades, collective neuroimaging evidence has pointed to widespread structural alteration in MTLE + HS, extending beyond the hippocampus and mesial temporal lobe. Regional atrophy has been described in several subcortical GM structures (Bernasconi et al., 2003; McDonald et al., 2008), the ipsilateral temporal cortex (Moran et al., 2001; Alhusaini et al., 2012b), and several fronto-limbic cortical regions (Keller and Roberts, 2008; Mueller et al., 2009; Voets et al., 2011), suggesting an alteration in interconnected brain regions and localized brain networks (Bernhardt et al., 2013). The endophenotypic quality of these extra-hippocampal neuroanatomical alterations in MTLE has been explored by two separate studies (Alhusaini et al., 2013, 2016). Using an automated segmentation method, the volume of five subcortical structures (amygdala, thalamus, caudate, putamen and globus pallidus) was compared between asymptomatic siblings of MTLE + HS patients and healthy controls (Alhusaini et al., 2013). No significant group differences were noted in the volume of any of the five subcortical structures, although a trend of reduced amygdalar volume was reported in the siblings of patients (Alhusaini et al., 2013). In a separate investigation, temporal cortex morphology was examined in MTLE + HS patients and their siblings (Alhusaini et al., 2016). A contraction in surface area was noted within the antero-medial regions of the temporal cortex in patients and their asymptomatic siblings (Alhusaini et al., 2016). Interestingly, this altered surface area pattern was observed ipsilateral to the side of HS of the affected siblings, suggesting the possibility of a common driving process. WM tract integrity was also investigated in patients with MRI-negative MTLE and their siblings using diffusion-tensor imaging (DTI; Whelan et al., 2015a). Subtle microstructural alterations of the superior longitudinal fasciculus were reported in the asymptomatic siblings in a pattern similar to that displayed by patients (see Fig. 3), highlighting the endophenotypic potential of WM...
microstructural alterations in MTLE (Whelan et al., 2015a). Although replication studies to validate the above findings are yet to be conducted, these results provide preliminary evidence for the eligibility of QMRI neuroanatomical traits as endophenotypes for MTLE.

5.2. QMRI traits as endophenotypes for genetic generalized epilepsies

GGEs (previously known as primary generalized epilepsies or idiopathic generalized epilepsies) refer to a group of characteristic epilepsy syndromes with a known or presumed genetic defect in the absence of either structural brain abnormality or an acquired pathology (Berg et al., 2010; Gallentine and Mikati, 2012). Although the biological basis of the majority GGE syndromes remains unknown, evidence from EEG and neuroimaging studies suggests a link to disruptions in thalamo-frontal brain networks (Duncan, 2005). Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) is the most common of the GGEs; accounting for 5% to 10% of all epilepsies. Although visual inspection of routine brain MRI in JME patients reveals no visible abnormality, QMRI studies have detected several subtle neuroanatomical abnormalities, such as thalamic volume loss and increased mesial-frontal and frontobasal GM concentration (Woermann et al., 1999; Betting et al., 2006; Alhusaini et al., 2013). These observations have been supported by positron emission tomography (PET) and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies (Lin et al., 2009), indicating that the underlying mechanisms in JME may relate to aberrant frontothalamic structure (Duncan, 2005). Nonetheless, a clear set of GGE-specific neuroanatomical markers has not yet been fully characterized, and the endophenotypic property of frontothalamic alterations remains to be determined.

Recently, Wandschneider et al. (2014) applied functional MRI to explore the endophenotypic theory in JME (Wandschneider et al., 2014). In this study, brain activation during a working memory task was compared between 15 asymptomatic siblings of JME patients and 20 healthy controls. Abnormal primary motor cortex and supplementary motor area co-activation was noted in the asymptomatic siblings when compared to controls. This was consistent with a report of similar abnormal pattern of activation in JME patients using the same memory task (Vollmar et al., 2011), suggesting that abnormal motor cortex connectivity may represent a familial trait in JME. Although the specificity of this trait to JME was questioned (Berkovic and Jackson, 2014), the study was the first to explore the applicability of QMRI to identify endophenotypes in GGEs.

Fig. 3. Whole-brain, voxel-wise comparisons of brain diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) measures, including fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity (MD), in a group of patients with MRI-negative mesial temporal lobe epilepsy (MTLE), their asymptomatic siblings and healthy controls are shown (Whelan et al., 2015a). Patients with MTLE demonstrated patterns of reduced FA (illustrated in red) in voxel clusters encompassing the corpus callosum, ipsilateral anterior thalamic radiation, ipsilateral corticospinal tract (CST), bilateral superior longitudinal fasciculi (SLF), and bilateral inferior longitudinal fasciculi (ILF) compared to healthy controls. The asymptomatic siblings did not show significant FA compromise when compared to the same controls. Compared to controls, MTLE patients exhibited patterns of increased MD across the SLF bilaterally and the CST ipsilaterally (illustrated in blue). Similar patterns of increased MD along the ipsilateral SLF and ipsilateral CST (illustrated in yellow) were noted in the asymptomatic siblings. [Image is reproduced with permission from John Wiley and Sons (Epilepsia, 2015)].
6. Discussion

The field of imaging genomics has expanded significantly over the last decade. Its application in epilepsy holds much promise. Characterizing epilepsy-relevant neuroimaging endophenotypes represents the first step towards expediting this research field. Unraveling the genetic determinants of relevant neuroimaging traits will undoubtedly provide valuable insight into the underlying mechanisms of the common epilepsy syndromes.

In Section 5, we discussed a number of neuroimaging traits that may fulfill the criteria of an endophenotype for two epilepsy syndromes, MTLE and JME. Additional studies using larger sample sizes will help validate these traits as intermediate phenotypes for future genetic mapping efforts. At present, HV in MTLE + HS appears to be the most promising neuroimaging endophenotype in epilepsy. Mapping the genetic determinants of HV in patients with MTLE + HS could unravel novel genetic variants linked to the pathogenesis of MTLE + HS. It is important to recognize, however, that the eligibility of HV as an endophenotype for MTLE + HS could be compromised by the impact of many significant environmental factors (Alhusaini et al., 2012a). Early brain insults (such as atypical FS, CNS infection, and significant head injury) are known risk factors for hippocampal damage and MTLE + HS (Scott et al., 2003; Lewis et al., 2014). In addition, the impact of epilepsy chronicity on hippocampal structure, including the effect of recurrent seizure activity, needs consideration (Fuerst et al., 2003). Nevertheless, some early insults, such as FS, could be genetically mediated and exploring their role in epilepsy predisposition is crucial (Feenstra et al., 2014). The potential limitation of environmental factors could be addressed by mapping HV genetic determinants in healthy human populations to indirectly inform on the genetic underpinnings of HV loss in MTLE + HS. An example of this approach is currently underway through collaboration between the ILAE and ENIGMA consortium (Whelan et al., 2015b).

Another important point to consider is the specificity of HV as an imaging trait for MTLE + HS. Hippocampal atrophy is associated with many other brain-related conditions such as AD (Jack et al., 1998). Further, reduced HV has been described in patients with schizophrenia (Wright et al., 2000), depression (Koolschijn et al., 2009), and post-traumatic stress disorder (Karl et al., 2006). Identifying genetic variants that influence HV is less likely to elucidate susceptibility variants unique to each brain condition, but may provide an opportunity to characterize biological pathways shared by such complex brain-related disorders, which themselves may co-morbid. Shared underlying mechanisms between epilepsy and other neurologic and psychiatric disorders, including genetic processes, have been suggested by the observation that epileptic seizures form part of the wide phenotype of some brain-related conditions, such as autism spectrum disorder (Blackmon, 2015).

Over the last two decades, the search for neuroimaging endophenotypes has expanded. In addition to volumetric and functional QMRI, several research groups have examined cerebral cortex morphometry (e.g., cortical thickness and folding patterns), subcortical shape, and high-angular resolution DTI parameters as alternative approaches to characterize sensitive neuroimaging QTs. Many of these advanced techniques have been applied in epilepsy populations (Free et al., 1996; Sisodiya and Free, 1997; Mueller et al., 2009; Voets et al., 2011; Labate et al., 2015), but they have yet to be utilized to validate suitable endophenotypes. Alternative subclinical traits have also shown promising results. For example, the photoparoxysmal response (PPR) – an abnormal EEG trait that is provoked by intermittent photic stimulation and marked by diffuse paroxysmal discharges recorded as spike-wave complexes – is observed in up to 15% of GGEs and 40% of JME patients (Wolf and Goosse, 1986; Guerini and Genton, 2004; Trentié, 2006). PPR has shown 100% concordance in monozygotic twins and a sibling recurrence risk of 20–30% (Doose and Waltz, 1993; Tauer et al., 2005). Interestingly, associations between PPR and candidate genes, including NEDD4-22, TRPC4 and BRD2, have previously been established (Lorenz et al., 2006; Dibbens et al., 2007; von Spiczak et al., 2010). Although a PPR gene has yet to be identified for common forms of epilepsy (Koeleman et al., 2013), this EEG trait is associated with de novo mutations in the CHD2 gene, which also confer risk for rare forms of photosensitive epilepsy (Thomas et al., 2015; Galizia et al., 2015). EEG-based topological network measures have also shown a potential genetic basis in epilepsy populations. For example, GGE patients and their asymptomatic relatives show greater mean degree (mean number of connections between nodes in a brain network) and degree distribution variance (probability distribution of connections between nodes in a brain network) compared to healthy controls in the 6–9 Hz band, suggesting possible co-segregation of abnormal brain network properties (Chowdhury et al., 2014a). Finally, evidence of a parallel profile of cognitive deficits has been reported in GGE patients and their first-degree relatives, with both groups showing impairments in nonverbal reasoning, verbal generativity, working memory and sustained attention (Chowdhury et al., 2014b).

As international collaborations such as the ILAE Consortium on Complex Epilepsies (http://www.ilae.org/Commission/class/consortium.cfm) continue to boost power to detect common genetic variants associated with common epilepsy syndromes, the traditional genome-wide genetic mapping approach may remain constrained by phenotypic heterogeneity, replicability issues and inevitable debates concerning missing heritability. This review discusses promising alternatives to the traditional clinical phenotypes historically employed by GWAS. Collective evidence from QMRI, EEG, and neuropsychology studies indicates the potential utility of several subclinical QTs as sensitive endophenotypes for particular epilepsy syndromes. Amongst these traits, QMRI-derived measures may serve as the most accessible and relevant endophenotypes for epilepsy. As the fields of neuroimaging and human genetics continue to advance, it is our hope that these intermediate phenotypes continue to be explored and, eventually, employed as useful tools in our continuing investigation of this highly complex neurological disorder.
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