EUCLIA. II. On the Puzzling Large UV to X-Ray Lags in Seyfert Galaxies
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Abstract

Recent intense X-ray and UV monitoring campaigns with Swift have detected clear UV lags behind X-ray in several local active galactic nuclei (AGNs). The UV to X-ray lags are often larger (by a factor of up to \(-20\)) than expected if the UV variation is simply due to the X-ray reprocessing. We previously developed a model in which the UV/optical variations are attributed to disk turbulences, and the effect of large-scale turbulence is considered. Our model, which overcomes many severe challenges to the reprocessing scheme, can explain the observed variations in NGC 5548, particularly the correlations and lags among the UV/optical bands. In this work, assuming the corona heating is associated with turbulences in the inner accretion disk, we extend our study to model the correlations and lags between the X-ray and UV/optical bands. We find that our model, without the need of light echoing, can well reproduce the observed UV to X-ray lags and the optical to UV lags simultaneously in four local Seyfert galaxies, including NGC 4151, NGC 4395, NGC 4593, and NGC 5548. In our scenario, relatively larger UV to X-ray lag is expected for AGN with smaller innermost disk radius and thus more compact corona. Interestingly, for these Seyfert galaxies studied in this work, sources with relatively larger UV to X-ray lags do have broader Fe K\textalpha lines, indicative of relativistic broadening due to more compact corona and smaller innermost disk radius. If confirmed with more X-ray and UV monitoring campaigns, this interesting discovery would provide a new probe to the inner disk/corona.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Galaxy nuclei (609); Seyfert galaxies (1447); Accretion (14); Active galactic nuclei (16)

1. Introduction

Since unveiled by Lynden-Bell (1969), the central engine of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) has been understood through an accreting supermassive black hole (BH), surrounded by an optically thick, geometrically thin accretion disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), threaded by strong magnetic fields to form a hot corona (Galeev et al. 1979; Haardt & Maraschi 1991). The so-called “big blue bump” in the UV/optical is thought to be the thermal emission from the thin accretion disk, while the X-ray emission comes from the hot corona above inner regions of the thin disk.

If the central X-ray emission illuminates the thin disk, it would be absorbed/reflected by the disk, and the absorbed X-ray emission would then be reprocessed and, speculatively, re-emitted thermally. Furthermore, if the UV/optical variation is dominantly driven by the X-ray variation, as assumed in the conventional lamp-post reprocessing model (e.g., Krolik et al. 1991), the implied UV/optical lag-wavelength relation is qualitatively consistent with that observed (e.g., Fausnaugh et al. 2016; Starkey et al. 2017). However, the reprocessing scenario has been challenged in many aspects (see Cai et al. 2018; Zhu et al. 2018, and references therein), including the deficit of energy budget (e.g., Edelson et al. 1996; Gaskell et al. 2007) and the timescale-dependent color variation (Sun et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2016, 2018; Cai et al. 2019).

A new challenge against the reprocessing scenario has recently emerged thanks to the unique capability of the Swift satellite, capable of quasi-simultaneously monitoring the X-ray and the UV/optical. For several local Seyfert galaxies, such as NGC 4151 (Edelson et al. 2017) and NGC 4593 (McHardy et al. 2018), the observed UV to X-ray lags\textsuperscript{4} are too large to be afforded by the reprocessing scenario. To account for these large lags, either an additional extreme-UV reprocessor, delaying the X-ray heating onto the outer disk (Gardner & Done 2017), or a strong contamination by the diffuse large-scale emissions from the broad line region (Korista & Goad 2001; Cackett et al. 2018; Chelouche et al. 2018; McHardy et al. 2018; Korista & Goad 2019; Mahmoud & Done 2020) have been proposed, both demanding significant modification to the standard thin disk scheme.

Persisting in the classic thin disk diagram, we previously developed a model, exploring the UV/optical continuum lag in AGNs (EUCLIA), in which the UV/optical variations are attributed to disk turbulences, but not the light echoing (Cai et al. 2018). Our model, which overcomes many severe challenges to the reprocessing scheme, can well explain the observed variations in NGC 5548, particularly correlations, lags, and the timescale-dependent color variations among the UV/optical bands. Owing to quicker regression capability of local fluctuations at smaller radii when responding to the large-scale turbulence, the emission of longer wavelength coming from the outer disk regions would lag that of the shorter wavelength from the inner disk regions.

\textsuperscript{4} Throughout, a positive UV to X-ray lag means the UV variation lags behind the X-ray variation.
Assuming the corona heating is also associated with turbulence in the inner accretion disk (e.g., Kang et al. 2018), in this work, we extend our study to model the variation of coronal X-ray emission as laid out in Section 2. In Section 4, we find that our model, without the need of light echoing, can well reproduce the observed UV to X-ray lags in four local Seyfert galaxies, covering a broad range of BH mass introduced in Section 3. In our scenario, a relatively larger UV to X-ray lag is expected for AGN with a smaller innermost accretion disk radius and thus more compact corona. Interestingly, as discussed in Section 5, for these Seyfert galaxies studied in this work, sources with relatively larger UV to X-ray lags do have more relativistically broadened Fe Kα lines, indicative of more compact corona and smaller innermost disk radius. Finally, conclusions are presented in Section 6.

2. Model Ingredients

Following Cai et al. (2016) we have split the cold thin disk into square-like zones in $r$ and $\phi$ directions from an inner edge, $r_{in} \geq \frac{f_{in}}{2}$, a large enough outer one, $r_{out} = r_{in} \frac{f_{in}}{2} \geq 5000 r_g$, where $f_{in}$ is the spin-dependent innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) radius, $N_{in}$ is the number of layers, $f_{br} = 1.1$ is the radial boundary ratio of each layer, and $r_{br} \equiv G M_{\bullet}/c^2$ is the gravitational radius. We assume the Novikov–Thorne relativistic effective temperature profile, $T_N(r|M, M_{acc}, a_\bullet)$, as a function of radius, $r$, for BH mass $M_\bullet$, accretion rate $M_{acc}$ (or Eddington ratio $\dot{\lambda}_{\text{Edd}}$), and BH spin $a_\bullet$ (Novikov & Thorne 1973).

Stimulated by the independently fluctuating inhomogeneous disk model of Dexter & Agol (2011), Cai et al. (2016) propose that a revised inhomogeneous disk model can well reproduce the timescale-dependent color variation if the characteristic timescale of thermal fluctuation in the disk is radius-dependent. In this upgraded model, the local temperature fluctuates around the mean, $\log T_{\text{return}} = \log T_{\text{N}} - 2\sigma_{\text{Lind},r} \ln(10)$, and its fluctuation possibly driven by magnetic turbulence is described by the first-order continuous autoregressive process (Kelly et al. 2009) with a radius-dependent damping timescale of $\tau(r) = \tau_0 (r/g)^{\alpha}$ and a radius-independent long-term variance of $\sigma_{\text{Lind},r}^2$, or, equivalently, a short-term variance of $\sigma_{\text{Lind},r}^2(r) = 2\sigma_{\text{Lind},r}^2/\tau(r)$.

Furthermore, to account for the correlations and lags among the UV/optical continua, Cai et al. (2018) propose that the local temperature fluctuation is coupled with a common large-scale fluctuation as a net effect of many distinct outward/inward propagations. This common large-scale fluctuation is depicted with a short-term variation amplitude, $\sigma_{\text{Lind},r}(r) = f_{\text{Lind},r} \times \sigma_{\text{Lind},r}(r) \times (r/g)^{\alpha/2}$, complementing a radius-independent smoothing timescale, $\tau_{\text{cut},0}$, where $f_{\text{Lind},r} \equiv \sigma_{\text{Lind},r}(r_\text{br})/\sigma_{\text{Lind},r}(r_\text{br})$ is the relative contribution between large-scale and local fluctuations at $r_\text{br}$ (see Cai et al. 2018 for details).

In Cai et al. (2018), we have explored those aforementioned parameters, namely $\tau_0 = \tau(g) = 1/6$ day, $\alpha = 1$, $\sigma_{\text{Lind},r} = 0.08$ dex, $f_{\text{Lind},r} = \frac{f_{\text{Lind},r}}{f_{br}} = 1.5\sqrt{6}$, $\gamma = -1$, and $\tau_{\text{cut},0} = 10$ days, for NGC 5548 with $M_\bullet = 5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$.

In this work, considering four sources with different BH masses, we have assumed in our baseline model that both the damping and smoothing timescales are simply scaling with $M_\bullet$ as:

$\tau(r,M_\bullet) = \tau_0 r^\alpha (M_\bullet/5 \times 10^7 M_\odot)^\gamma$ day and $\tau_{\text{cut}}(M_\bullet) = \tau_{\text{cut},0} (M_\bullet/5 \times 10^7 M_\odot)$ day, respectively, while the remaining parameters are mass-independent. We have adopted the same parameters as those previously explored for NGC 5548, except $\sigma_{\text{Lind},r}$ and $f_{\text{Lind},r}$, since we find that the correlations and lags between the X-ray and UV/optical bands for the four sources can be quite well simultaneously modeled using $\sigma_{\text{Lind},r} = 0.2$ dex and $f_{\text{Lind},r} = 1.5$ (see Section 4).

To approximate the radiative transfer through the disk atmosphere, the cold thin disk emission is assumed to be a color-temperature corrected blackbody, i.e., $B_\nu^\text{col} [\nu, T_N] = B_T [\nu, f_{\text{cut}} T_N]/f_{\text{cut}}^4$, where $\nu$ is the photon frequency, $B_T$ is the blackbody radiation intensity, and $f_{\text{cut}}$ is the color-temperature correction following Done et al. (2012).

A major improvement of this work is introducing a classical treatment of X-ray emission for the hot corona, located above the inner cold disk region from $r_{in}$ to $r_{\text{corona}}$. A radius-independent fraction, $f_{\text{corona}}$, of the released energy is assumed to be dissipated to the corona, and then re-emitted as a power-law spectrum of $E_\nu (\nu) \sim \nu^{-1 - \Gamma}$, characterized by a photon index, $\Gamma$, and high (low) energy cutoff, $E_{\text{max},\text{cut}}$ ($E_{\text{min},\text{cut}}$). The normalization of $E_\nu (\nu)$ is then constrained by the energy conservation of each zone and is given by $\int E_\nu (\nu) d\nu = f_{\text{corona}} \int E_{\text{in},\text{cut}} (\nu) d\nu$. In this work, without affecting our conclusions, we simply assume $\Gamma = 1.9$, $f_{\text{corona}} = 0.5$, $E_{\text{min},\text{cut}} = 0.1$ keV, and $E_{\text{max},\text{cut}} = 100$ keV (Brandt & Alexander 2015; Molina et al. 2019).

Therefore, if the disk at redshift $z$ is viewed with an inclination angle $i$, the flux density observed at $\nu_{obs}$ per frequency per area is given by

$$F_{\nu} (\nu_{obs}, z, t) = (1+z) \frac{\cos i}{\pi D_L^2 (z)} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left[ \int_{r_{in}}^{r_{\text{corona}}} \left[ (1-f_{\text{corona}}) B_\nu^\text{col} (\nu_e, T_{NT}) + \bar{P}_{\nu} (\nu_e, r, t) \right] dr \right] d\phi$$

$$+ \int_{r_{\text{corona}}}^{r_{\text{out}}} \left[ (1-f_{\text{corona}}) B_\nu^\text{col} (\nu_e, T_{NT}(r, t)) \right] dr,$$

where fluctuating quantities are indicated with a tilde over them, $\nu_{e}$ is the luminosity distance, and $\nu_e = \nu_{\text{obs}} (1+z)$. We take $i = 45^\circ$ as a reference.

As illustrated above, a major assumption in the model extension is that, for any individual inner disk zone within $r_{in} \rightarrow r_{\text{corona}}$, the X-ray corona emission it contributes has the same variation pattern as the UV/optical emission, i.e., both modulated by an identical local independent fluctuation and the common large-scale fluctuation. This assumption is generally supported by the discovery of Kang et al. (2018) that the corona heating is closely associated with magnetic turbulence (see also, e.g., Liu et al. 2002, from a theoretical point of view). In fact, the essence of the assumption is that, the fluctuation of X-ray emission is similarly modulated by the common large-scale fluctuation (see Cai et al. 2018 for possible mechanisms responsible for the common large-scale fluctuation). An identical local corona and disk fluctuation in each zone is actually not a necessary condition. For example, in a patch"
Table 1

| Object      | Redshift | BH Mass $M_\ast/(10^6M_\odot)$ | Eddington Ratio $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ | Spin $a_*$ | Innermost Radius of Cold Disk $r_{\text{in}}/(r_g)$ | Campaign Duration $C_{\text{days}}$/days | Median Sampling Interval (days) | Simulated Time Step $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$/days |
|-------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| NGC 4151    | 0.00326  | 40                            | 0.02                            | 0.98      | 1.61                                    | 69.3                                | 0.22                            | 0.02                                        |
| NGC 4593    | 0.00834  | 7.5                           | 0.08                            | 0.01      | $\sim36.7^\dagger$                      | 175.3                               | 0.44                            | 0.04                                        |
| NGC 5548    | 0.01627  | 50                            | 0.05                            | 0.04      | $\sim53.7^\dagger$                      | 0.6                                 | 0.0023                          | 0.0002                                      |
| NGC 4395    | 0.00106  | 0.01                          | 0.04                            | 0.04      |                                        |                                      |                                 |                                             |

Note. Column 1: the name of the object. Column 2: the redshift from the SIMBAD database (http://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad/). Column 3: the BH mass consistent with Bentz & Katz (2015), except NGC 4395 which is from Woo et al. (2019). Column 4: the Eddington ratio $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} \equiv L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ consistent with McHardy et al. (2018), except NGC 4395 for which we have rederived its new Eddington ratio with $L_{\text{bol}}$ from McHardy et al. (2018) given the new BH mass. Column 5: constraint on the BH spin for NGC 4151 is given by Keck et al. (2017); NGC 4593: McHardy et al. (2018); NGC 5548: Edelson et al. (2015) and XMM-Newton (NGC 4395: McHardy et al. 2016). Column 6: the adopted innermost radius of the cold disk in our baseline model for each source. The value with a dagger is the only parameter subjectively selected according to the observed UV to X-ray lags. Columns 7 and 8: the observed-frame campaign duration and median sampling interval for sources monitored by Swift (NGC 4151: Edelson et al. 2017; NGC 4593: McHardy et al. 2018; NGC 5548: Edelson et al. 2015) and XMM-Newton (NGC 4395: McHardy et al. 2016). Column 9: the rest-frame time step adopted in our simulation, better than the real sampling interval for each source by about a factor of 10.

scheme, we could allow a certain fraction, say $f_{\text{corona}}$, of the individual inner disk zones within $r_{\text{in}}/r_{\text{corona}}$, to dissipate 100% of the energy into the corona while others contribute zero. In this way, the modeled local corona fluctuation is totally independent of that of the disk. Such a modification, however, does not affect any of the analyses in this work. This is because the X-ray emission region barely overlaps with the disk regions that dominate the observed UV/optical emission production, thus their local fluctuations are already independent of each other. Being numerically confirmed, such a modification for this “patch” scheme only results in slightly smaller correlations and subtly larger scatter of lags between the X-ray and UV/optical bands. To weaken the assumption of an identical local corona and disk fluctuation in each zone, we should present our results within this “patch” scheme in the following.

Another key point of the assumption is that the characteristic timescale of the corona fluctuation is the same as that of the underlying disk, i.e., proportional to $r$. This is expected if the corona and the innermost disk fluctuations are both driven by magnetic turbulences.

The illumination of the X-ray emission onto the underlying disk zone could also play a role in yielding coupled variation between the corona and disk emission. However, in the current model we ignore the illumination process (see Section 1 and Cai et al. 2018), and leave the modeling of both disk fluctuation and X-ray reprocessing to a future dedicated work. In this work, we focus on whether the fluctuation model alone can explain the observed lags between the X-ray and UV/optical bands for which the reprocessing model failed to account.

2.1. Simulation Approach and Basic Properties of Ideal Light Curves

In fine steps of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$ in the rest-frame (see Section 3 and Table 1), we first simulate the fluctuating spectral energy distributions (SEDs) from the X-ray to the UV/optical, from which ideal monochromatic light curves are extracted at 40 wavelengths sampled evenly in logarithm from 1 to 1 $\mu$m, besides two specific wavelengths at 2 keV (a typical X-ray wavelength) and 1928 Å (the pivot wavelength of the Swift/UVW2 band). Following Equation (2) of Fausnaugh et al. (2016; see also, e.g., Edelson et al. 1990; Rodriguez-Pascual et al. 1997; Vaughan et al. 2003), the fractional rms variability amplitude is defined by $F_{\text{var}} \equiv \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\bar{F}_i(t_i)/\langle F_i \rangle - 1)^2}/N$, where $\bar{F}_i(t_i)$ is the value of the light curve at epoch $t_i$, $\langle F_i \rangle$ is the mean value of the light curve, and $N$ is the total number of epochs. The maximal correlation coefficient, $r_{\text{cor}},$ and the centroid lag averaging correlation coefficients larger than 80% of the maximal one, $\tau_{\text{cen}},$ are obtained relative to the UV band (1928 Å) without detrending, by performing the linearly interpolated cross-correlation analysis (Peterson et al. 1998).

In total, we repeat the independent simulations 200 times.

Figure 1 shows an example of the simulated X-ray and UV light curves, where a clear correlation between them is intuitive. Compared to the UV, larger $F_{\text{var}}$ and more high-frequency power are found in the X-ray, as often seen in AGNs. Averaging 200 simulations, the high-frequency power density spectra for both the UV and the X-ray are similar to that of $\sim f^{-2}$, but there is a break at low frequency for the X-ray, qualitatively consistent with that found by Czerny et al. (1999). A more quantitative comparison is deferred to Section 4.2.

The lag of the X-ray relative to the UV is generally negative, indicating the UV variation lags behind the X-ray. Interestingly, the exact amount of lag may change from one monitoring period to another with the same cadence (see Section 5.2 for further discussion).

2.2. Increasing the UV to X-Ray Lag by Decreasing the Inner Edge of the Cold Accretion Disk

Comparing the different amounts of the UV to X-ray lags between NGC 4151 and NGC 5548, which have similar BH mass, Edelson et al. (2017) propose that an extreme-UV torus could enlarge the UV to X-ray lag for NGC 4151, but speculate that the smaller UV to X-ray lag for NGC 5548 is probably due to the lower single-to-noise ratio of its X-ray data.

Instead, we physically attribute the amount of UV to X-ray lag to the different inner edge of the cold accretion disk or the compactness of corona. This point is clearly illustrated in Figure 2. If the inner edge of the cold disk is smaller, the UV to X-ray lag increases. Note that in our model the characteristic timescale of local fluctuation is smaller at smaller radius; the UV to X-ray lag increases with increasing timescale difference of temperature fluctuations between regions emitting the X-ray and the UV. Therefore, once the disk extends more inward, the more inner disk regions with smaller timescales of temperature fluctuation would be involved in producing the X-ray emission.
and then the UV to X-ray lag would increase with decreasing inner disk radius.

Conversely, the UV to X-ray lag decreases with increasing the outer edge of the hot corona (see the right panel of Figure 2), but the effect is negligible due to weaker X-ray contribution from the outer disk regions. Considering this relative insensitivity of the UV to X-ray lag on $r_{\text{corona}}$, we fix $r_{\text{corona}} \approx 2 r_{\text{in}}$ in the following analysis.

Normalized by the UV light-crossing timescale, $\tau_{\text{UV}} \equiv r_{\text{UV}}/c$, where $r_{\text{UV}} = r_{\text{UV}}$ (1928 Å) is the flux-weighted UV emitting radius of the standard thin disk (see Equation (10) of Fausnaugh et al. 2016), the middle panel of Figure 2 directly illustrates that the UV to X-ray lag in our model could be larger than that of the reprocessing model by a factor of up to $\sim 20$, increasing with decreasing $r_{\text{in}}$. Note that the scatter of the UV to X-ray lag increases with increasing $r_{\text{in}}$ and becomes interestingly large when $r_{\text{in}}$ is comparable to $r_{\text{UV}}$ (see Section 5.2 for further discussion).

3. Modeling Local Seyfert Galaxies

To concisely illustrate that our model is capable of explaining the puzzling large UV to X-ray lags, we focus on four local Seyfert galaxies, discussed by McHardy et al. (2018), i.e., NGC 4151 (Edelson et al. 2017), NGC 4593 (McHardy et al. 2018), NGC 5548 (Edelson et al. 2015; Fausnaugh et al. 2016), and NGC 4395 (Cameron et al. 2012; McHardy et al. 2016), covering a broad range of BH mass and $\sim 1\%$–$8\%$ of Eddington ratio. NGC 2617 (Shappee et al. 2014) is currently excluded due to its large error on the derived UV to X-ray lag.

The most relevant quantities for these sources are tabulated in Table 1. The $M_*$ and $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ are consistent with those quoted by McHardy et al. (2018), except NGC 4395 for which we adopt the newest BH mass (Woo et al. 2019) and rederive the corresponding Eddington ratio.

Measuring the spin of supermassive BH is important but still challenging when using the relativistic X-ray reflection method (e.g., Reynolds 2014; Kammoun et al. 2018). Although most of these concerned Seyfert galaxies have been extensively studied in X-ray (e.g., Nandra et al. 1997, 2007; Shu et al. 2010; Walton et al. 2013), up to now, only the BH spin of NGC 4151 has been found to be near-maximal (Keck et al. 2015; Beuchert et al. 2017), while ambiguous spins are still assumed in the literature for the other sources (e.g., NGC 4593: Ursini et al. 2016b; NGC 5548: Kammoun et al. 2019b; NGC 4395: Kammoun et al. 2019a). Therefore, we assume zero spin for the later three sources. As demonstrated in Section 2.2, the UV to X-ray lag would increase with increasing spin if $r_{\text{in}} = r_{\text{ISCO}}(a_*)$. However, if $r_{\text{in}}$ is significantly larger than $r_{\text{ISCO}}$, as respectively discussed for NGC 5548 and NGC 4395 in Sections 4.2 and 4.3, the uncertain spin would have a negligible effect on the UV to X-ray lag.

3.1. Re-estimation of Observed Cross-correlation Properties

We intend to compare our model to observations on both $r_{\text{cc,max}}$ and $r_{\text{cent}}$ measured relative to the Swift-UVW2 band, but not all of them were available in the literature and $r_{\text{cc,max}}$ values are generally reported without errors. Therefore, we take the observed light curves from the literature for these sources (unavailable for NGC 4395) to re-estimate their interband correlations and lags, adopting the linearly interpolated cross-correlation function method (ICCF; e.g., Gaskell & Peterson 1987; Peterson et al. 1998, 2004; Edelson et al. 2019; Sun et al. 2019). The Swift-UVW2 band is always taken as reference, relative to which the correlations and lags of the other bands are measured, implemented through the “2-way” interpolation (see, e.g., Edelson et al. 2019). Their 1σ uncertainties are estimated as the standard deviations of 10$^3$ realizations generated using the standard flux randomization/ random subset selection method. Note that as discussed in Cai et al. (2018) no detrending has been applied. Our measurements of $r_{\text{cc,max}}$ and $r_{\text{cent}}$ are generally consistent with those published when available, and in the following we compare our model with both our own measurements from observations and those found in the literature.

3.2. Simulating “Real” Light Curves

In Section 2.1, we have introduced the simulation of the ideal monochromatic light curves in order to demonstrate their basic properties implied by our model. Furthermore, to faithfully compare with observations, we convolve our redshifted fluctuating SEDs with the Swift six UVOT transmission

---

**Figure 1.** Left panel: an example of the simulated X-ray (2 keV; light-gray line) and UV (1928 Å; light-blue line) light curves for $M_* = 5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, $\lambda_{\text{Edd}} = 0.05$, $a_* = 0$, $r_{\text{in}} = 6 r_{\text{corona}} \approx 2 r_{\text{in}}$, and a time length of $\sim 180$ days. Middle panel: the highly unsteady light-gray (X-ray) and light-blue (UV) lines show the power density spectra corresponding to the light curves shown by the same colors in the left panel, while the relatively smooth black (X-ray) and blue (UV) lines show the median power density spectra of 200 ideal simulations, compared to the power density spectrum of the reprocessing model (red dashed lines). Right panel: the cross-correlation function of X-ray relative to UV for the light curves shown in the left panel (orange dashed line) vs. those for each of the 200 simulations (light-gray lines).
curves and the corresponding specific X-ray bandwidths of *Swift* as reported in the relevant literature. For NGC 5548, we consider more UV/optical bands following Fausnaugh et al. (2016).

For each source, ideal broadband light curves are generated with an observed-frame time step of $\Delta t_{\text{rest}}(1 + z)$, which is better than, and an appropriate time length of $C_{\text{obs}}$, which is comparable to those of its real monitoring campaign, respectively (see Table 1). For each band, further taking into account the real sampling and randomly fluctuating fluxes according to the observed photometric error of each epoch, we obtain the mock “real” light curve (see Section 3.2 in Cai et al. 2018). Then, the interband cross-correlation properties are similarly derived as described in Section 3.1. Note that the host galaxy contamination has not been added to these “real” light curves, as our analyses of correlation functions are not affected by the constant host emission.

Analogous to the simulated ideal monochromatic light curves presented in Section 2.1, we also obtain 200 simulated “real” light curves for each source. To statistically compare with observations on the cross-correlation properties, i.e., $r_{\text{cc}, \text{max}}$ and $\tau_{\text{cent}}$, we present their median, 25–75th, and 10–90th percentile ranges inferred from simulations.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. The Puzzling Large UV to X-Ray Lags: NGC 4151 and NGC 4593

As introduced in Section 3.1, we have re-estimated the correlations, $r_{\text{cc}, \text{max}}$, and lags, $\tau_{\text{cent}}$, using the observed *Swift* light curves, and our measurements are generally consistent with those available in the literature. Relative to the UV band, i.e., *Swift*-UVW2, Figure 3 shows our measurements (along with those from the literature) of $r_{\text{cc}, \text{max}}$ (top panels) and $\tau_{\text{cent}}$ (bottom panels) as a function of the observed wavelength for NGC 4151 (left panels) and NGC 4593 (right panels).

The UV to X-ray lags of these two galaxies have recently been reported to be significantly larger than that implied by the reprocessing model (Edelson et al. 2017; McHardy et al. 2018). This new challenge against the reprocessing model is also illustrated in the lower panels of Figure 3, where shown are the lag-wavelength relations implied by the reprocessing model (the magenta dotted–dashed lines), using Equation (12) of Fausnaugh et al. (2016) and assuming the radiation efficiency $\eta_{\text{rad}} = 0.1$, the ratio of external to internal heating, $\kappa = 1$, the multiplicative scaling factor, $X = 5.04^{+3.4}_{-1.8}$ (Tie & Kochanek 2018), and 27 times larger $M_{\text{MPC}}$ (Zhu et al. 2018). Note that here $M_{\text{MPC}}$ has to be enlarged by a factor of 27 in order to match the observed UV/optical lags relative to *Swift*-UVW2. Even though the UV to X-ray lags implied by the reprocessing model still appear too small and significantly disagree with the observations for NGC 4151 and NGC 4593.

In Figure 3 we also plot our model predictions for NGC 4151 and NGC 4593 with default parameters (here, $r_{\text{in}} = r_{\text{ISCO}}$), using both simulated ideal light curves introduced in Section 2.1 and “real” light curves considering the monitoring sampling and flux uncertainties introduced in Section 3.2. The black dotted lines are the medians of 200 ideal simulations, while the thick black solid horizontal lines, the gray regions, and the light-gray regions are the median, 25–75th, and 10–90th percentile ranges of 200 “real” light curves, respectively. Note an important prediction of our model is that the interband correlations and lags may change from one simulated light curve to another due to the randomness of the turbulence, and such scatters are clearly visible with the plotted 25–75th, and 10–90th percentile ranges. After having considered the sampling and flux uncertainties, the medians for $r_{\text{cc}, \text{max}}$ decrease slightly, comparing with those from the ideal light curves. This is as expected as photometric errors were added to “real” light curves. The medians for $\tau_{\text{cent}}$ are more or less the same, thanks to the high cadence of *Swift* monitoring and the small flux uncertainty of $\sim 2\%$.

It is remarkable that our simulations, without fine tuning any of the default model parameters, appear nicely consistent with the overall trend of the observations. We quantitatively assess the differences between our model and observations using

$$
\chi^2(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{(x_i - \mu_i)}{\sigma_i^2} \right]^2,
$$

where $x_i$ is the observed value (our own measurements) for $r_{\text{cc}, \text{max}}$ or $\tau_{\text{cent}}$ in the $i$th band, $\mu_i$ and $\sigma_i^2$ are, respectively, the corresponding median value and 1σ scatter implied by simulations, and $N$ is the number of bands. Note that to access $\chi^2$ the *Swift*-U band (and the SDSS-$i$ band of NGC 5548) has been excluded owing to the prominent contamination of emissions from the broad line region (Fausnaugh et al. 2016; Edelson et al. 2019).
derived $\chi^2$($x$) are presented in Figure 3. Globally, good consistency between our model and observations is indicated by the small $\chi^2$($x$). In the top-left panel of Figure 3, a noticeably stronger correlation predicted by the baseline model for NGC 4151 can be found in the UV/optical bands. Since the correlation strength is strongly dependent on $f_{\text{com/indep}}$, we find that, a smaller $f_{\text{com/indep}}$ decreasing from the fiducial value of 1.5 -- 1.0, may indicate that the cold disk should extend more inwards and so a Kerr BH is more preferred for NGC 4151 as shown in Figure 4.

As shown in Section 2.2, the model predicted UV to X-ray lag decreases with the increasing inner edge of the cold accretion disk. In Figure 3, we also show the medians of ideal simulations (the dashed lines) implied by a truncated disk ($r_{\text{in}} > r_{\text{ISCO}}$). In the left panels of Figure 3 for NGC 4151 with $n_{\text{ISCO}} = 1.61 r_g$, the selected $r_{\text{in}} = n_{\text{ISCO}} f_{\text{thr}}^{14} \approx 6.1 r_g$ is equivalent to the ISCO radius of a Schwarzschild BH. Comparing to data, the smaller UV to X-ray lags implied by $r_{\text{in}} \approx 6.1 r_g$ may indicate that the cold disk should extend more inwards and so a Kerr BH is more preferred for NGC 4151. In the right panels of Figure 3 for NGC 4593 with $n_{\text{ISCO}} = 6 r_g$, $r_{\text{in}} = n_{\text{ISCO}} f_{\text{thr}}^{15} \approx 25.1 r_g$ is selected for a significant change of the UV to X-ray lag, while still smaller than the typical radius of the UV emission regions, $r_{\text{UV}}$. Although the cold disk for NGC 4593 is possibly truncated as well, the single measurement of the UV to X-ray lag and its large uncertainty hinder us rejecting the baseline model with $r_{\text{in}} = n_{\text{ISCO}}$ for NGC 4593.

On the correlation-wavelength relation predicted by our models in the top panels of Figure 3, there is a prominent dip at $\sim 10$--100 Å. Around these wavelengths, the flux contributions from disk and corona are comparable, and the Wien steep
portion of disk emission is supplied by only a few disk zones and thus poorly correlates with variation at other wavelengths. Consequently, the scatter of lag is larger. It is surprising to note that on the correlation-wavelength relation our current toy model predicts a quite similar shape to that observed around $\sim 1$ keV for NGC 4151 with $r_{\text{in}} = r_{\text{ISCO}} = 1.61 \ r_g$. However, currently we do not count this as an additional plus of our toy model, since our model simply deals with the corona by assuming a single power-law emission and has not considered the soft excess as well as the reflection component, which need to be improved in the future.

All in all, interestingly, considering the scatter, our model predicts the lag-wavelength relation in agreement with data of NGC 4151 and NGC 4593, which seriously challenges the reprocessing model.

### 4.2. A Truncated Cold Disk: NGC 5548

Analogous to Figure 3, the correlation- and lag-wavelength relations for NGC 5548 are shown in Figure 5, where the data are from McHardy et al. (2018) and our own measurements use the X-ray/UV/optical light curves of De Rosa et al. (2015), Edelson et al. (2015), and Fausnaugh et al. (2016). A quite large UV to X-ray lag is predicted by our model as a result of its truncated UV emitting region with $r_{\text{in}}$ approaching $r_{\text{UV}}$ (1928 Å).
using $\chi^2(\tau_{\text{cen}}) + \chi^2(\tau_{\text{cor}})$. As shown in Figure 6, the thin disk of NGC 5548 is potentially truncated at $r_{\text{in}} \approx 25-50~r_g$.

Accordingly, the baseline model for NGC 5548 with $r_{\text{in}} \approx 36.7~r_g$ is shown in Figure 5, and the baseline model for NGC 5548 is in quite good agreement with observations. Nevertheless, the baseline model for NGC 5548 seemingly implies a slightly stronger correlation in the X-ray while a weaker correlation in the UV/ optical. Interestingly, an even better agreement on the interband correlations can be expected if the relative contribution of the large-scale common variation over the local independent variation is smaller in the X-ray emitting regions while larger in the optical emitting regions. This scheme is easily achievable, for example, by changing the parameter $\gamma$. As shown in Figure 7, the agreement is indeed improved if $\gamma$ increases from $-1.0$ used in the baseline model to $-0.5$. Note that $f_{\text{com/indep}}$ accordingly decreases from 1.5 to 0.18 in order to get the same $\sigma_{\text{com}}$ at $70~r_g$, which is the typical UV (1928 Å) emitting radius for NGC 5548.

Comparing to sources shown in Figure 3, the scatter of lag at $\lesssim 100$ Å for NGC 5548 in Figure 5 is more significant, primarily attributed to its large $r_{\text{in}}$ comparable to $r_{\text{UV}}$ (see also the middle panel of Figure 2). Once the truncated radius approaches the typical UV emitting regions, the timescales of temperature fluctuations inducing both the UV and X-ray variations are similar and therefore may result in zero or even negative UV to X-ray lags, i.e., the UV leading the X-ray, owing to the random turbulence. The negative UV to X-ray lag is an intriguing prediction of our model (see Section 5.2 for further discussion).

As mentioned in Section 2.1, the median X-ray power density spectrum inferred from our model is qualitatively consistent with that found by Czerny et al. (1999). In Figure 8, we quantitatively compare them using the baseline model for NGC 5548 with $r_{\text{in}} \approx 36.7~r_g$. The fact that the agreement at high frequency is excellent is not surprising because we have assumed a power density spectrum of $\sim f^{-2}$ to model the X-ray fluctuations and Czerny et al. (1999) have also assumed almost the same slope to deduce the power density spectrum. Instead, at low frequency, although somewhat higher than that deduced by Czerny et al. (1999), the median power density spectrum implied by our baseline model is consistent with that directly measured by Uttley et al. (2002).

### 4.3. The Intermediate-mass BH Candidate: NGC 4395

Since its discovery (Filippenko & Sargent 1989), NGC 4395 is one of the few intermediate-mass BH candidates (Filippenko & Ho 2003; Dong et al. 2012; Greene et al. 2019). Based on the reverberation mapping of the C IV $\lambda 1549$ line, Peterson et al. (2005) estimate its BH mass as $M \approx (3.6 \pm 1.1) \times 10^5~M_\odot$ (see also, den Brok et al. 2015; Brum et al. 2019). However, its
Figure 8. Median X-ray power density spectrum (2 keV; black solid line) and its 25–75th percentile range (gray region) inferred from the baseline model for NGC 5548 with $f_{\text{med}} = 36.7$ keV, compared to the power density spectrum of $f^{-1.5}$ at high frequency (red dashed line), those deduced from the measured variances at three timescales by Czerny et al. (1999, orange dotted lines), and that measured by Uttley et al. (2002, open blue circles). Those later power density spectra have been normalized at one day to the median one inferred from our baseline model.

The large BH mass is assumed.

mass has recently been updated by Woo et al. (2019) to a remarkably lower value of $9.1^{+1.8}_{-1.6} \times 10^3 M_\odot$, based on the narrowband reverberation mapping of the H$\alpha$ line.

Thanks to its relatively low-mass BH and therefore expected small characteristic timescales of variations, suitable for intensive monitoring campaigns, NGC 4395 has been observed by XMM-Newton (Vaughan et al. 2005; McHardy et al. 2016), Chandra+Hubble Space Telescope plus ground optical telescopes (Desroches et al. 2006; O'Neill et al. 2006), and Swift (Cameron et al. 2012). Recently, McHardy et al. (2016) state a UVW1 to 0.5–10 keV lag of $7.9^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$ minutes. Since the light curves of NGC 4395 are generally unavailable, we only show this up-to-date UV to X-ray lag (see Table 3 of McHardy et al. 2018, for the corrected value relative to the UVW2 band) in the bottom panels of our Figure 9.

Considering the ongoing debate on the BH mass estimate, we show in Figure 9 the correlation- and lag-wavelength relations predicted by our model with a small BH mass of $M_\bullet = 10^4 M_\odot$ (see Woo et al. 2019) and a large BH mass of $M_\bullet = 3 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ (see Peterson et al. 2005). The Eddington ratio is then adjusted accordingly given the bolometric luminosity from McHardy et al. (2018). In both cases, the baseline models (solid thick lines) have a truncated cold disk with $r_{\text{in}} \approx 53.7 r_g$, which is selected to reduce the UV to X-ray lags when the large BH mass is assumed (see the dashed line in the bottom-right panel of Figure 9 for $r_{\text{in}} = r_{\text{ISCO}} = 6 r_g$). Instead, in the bottom-left panel of Figure 9, we find that the lag-wavelength relations are more or less the same for $r_{\text{in}} \approx 53.7 r_g$ and $r_{\text{ISCO}} = 6 r_g$ when the small BH mass is assumed.

Assuming a large BH mass for NGC 4395 (Peterson et al. 2005), McHardy et al. (2018) find that only in NGC 4395 the UV to X-ray lag predicted by the reprocessing model is consistent with that observed (see also the comparison between the magenta dotted–dashed line and the blue open star in the bottom-right panel of Figure 9). However, if NGC 4395 holds a BH with mass as low as that reported by Woo et al. (2019), the reprocessing model would be also challenged by the same puzzling large UV to X-ray lag of NGC 4395 (see the bottom-left panel of Figure 9). Based on our predictions shown in Figure 9, future intensive and sensitive X-ray/UV/optical monitoring on NGC 4395 would help discriminating its BH mass as well as the truncated radius of the cold accretion disk.

5. Discussions

5.1. Correlation Between the UV to X-Ray Lag and the Broadness of Fe K\(\alpha\)

Following McHardy et al. (2018) and tabulated in Table 2, we plot in Figure 10 the ratio of observed to reprocessing model UV to X-ray lags for these Seyfert galaxies. We directly adopt the observed and reprocessing model lags given by McHardy et al. (2018), the latter of which is rescaled according to our assumed BH mass and Eddington ratio tabulated in Table 1. The larger (than unity) the ratio, the more challenged the reprocessing model is. Similar to the illustrations in Figures 3, 5, and 9, our toy model presented in this work could yield UV to X-ray lags consistent with observations.

As introduced in Section 2.2, relatively larger UV to X-ray lag is expected for AGN with a smaller inner edge of the cold disk and thus more compact corona. A smaller inner edge of the cold disk would give rise to a broader Fe K\(\alpha\) line around $\sim 6.4$ keV.

We note that a relativistic broadened Fe K\(\alpha\) line is clearly resolved in NGC 4151 by Keck et al. (2015, see also Yaqoob et al. 1995; Wang et al. 1999; Zoghbi et al. 2012; Beuchert et al. 2017; however, see Zoghbi et al. 2019). A broad component of Fe K\(\alpha\) line is statistically required in NGC 4593 by Ursini et al. (2016, $\sigma_{\text{FeK}\alpha} = 0.30^{+0.13}_{-0.07}$ keV) using XMM-Newton + NuSTAR.

Meanwhile, the lack of a broad Fe K\(\alpha\) line is reported for NGC 5548 by Brenneman et al. (2012, $\sigma_{\text{FeK}\alpha} = 0.35^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ keV) using Suzaku and is confirmed by Cappi et al. (2016, $\sigma_{\text{FeK}\alpha} \sim 0.04$ keV from their Figure 7) using XMM-Newton + NuSTAR. Note that, fitting the X-ray spectral data of NGC 5548, Brenneman et al. (2012) estimate a disk truncation radius of $r_{\text{in}} \sim 12–90 r_g$, which is consistent with ours chosen to match the observed UV to X-ray lags. For NGC 4395, Iwasawa et al. (2010) only detect a weak Fe K\(\alpha\) line with $\sigma_{\text{FeK}\alpha} = 0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ keV using Suzaku.

Interestingly, Figure 10 illustrates a feasible correlation between the ratio and the broadness of the Fe K\(\alpha\) line, where sources with relatively larger UV to X-ray lags do have more relativistically broadened Fe K\(\alpha\) lines, indicative of more compact corona and smaller innermost disk radius.

If confirmed with more X-ray and UV monitoring campaigns, this interesting discovery would provide a new probe to the inner disk/corona, and potentially promote the studies of the broad Fe K\(\alpha\) line and the measurements of supermassive BH spin.

5.2. Randomness of the UV to X-Ray Lag and Interband Correlations?

As illustrated in Figure 5, the large scatter of the UV to X-ray lag for NGC 5548 implies that sometimes there could be no lag between UV and X-ray or even X-ray lagging behind
UV, akin to those found in Mrk 817 (Morales et al. 2019) and Mrk 509 (Edelson et al. 2019), respectively. This fluctuating property may also account for the failure of recovering lags for the vast majority of AGNs seen in most monitoring seasons by Yu et al. (2020), as well as for the uncorrelated optical and UV flux variations implied by Xin et al. (2020). A tight correlation between the UV/optical continuum variations and the UV/optical broad emission line variations is the foundation of the reverberation mapping technique (Blandford & McKee 1982), which assumes (1) the UV/optical broad emission lines arise from large-scale clouds photoionized by the largely unobservable intense ionizing continuum or extreme-UV radiation originating from the very inner regions of accretion disk, and (2) the variation of the UV/optical continuum radiation is a good proxy of that of the largely unobservable extreme-UV continuum radiation. Observationally, this correlation generally holds. However, analyzing the intensive UV/optical monitoring on NGC 5548 with a time baseline of \(\sim 180\) days in 2014, Goad et al. (2016) report a transient anomalous phenomenon lasting \(\sim 65–70\) days during the campaign. This transient anomalous phenomenon, called the UV anomaly, is indicated by a decorrelation between the UV continuum and emission line variations, characterized by a significant decrease of both flux and variation amplitude of the broad emission lines. Such an anomaly is also found in some emission lines of three high-z luminous quasars by Lira et al. (2018). Recently, it has been claimed to be very common and probably in every object by Gaskell et al. (2019).

Current explanations for this anomaly include a falling corona (Sun et al. 2018) or an equatorial obscurer (e.g., a disk wind) with increasing density (Dehghanian et al. 2019a, 2019b), obscuring the X-ray and extreme-UV emissions then inducing the spectral variations as observed by Mathur et al. (2017). Our scenario potentially provides a different explanation for this anomaly. As shown in Figure 5, sometimes the correlation between extreme-UV and UV emissions could be very weak, owing to the randomness of turbulence and, especially, a disk with truncated radius comparable to the typical radius of UV emitting regions. In other words, the aforementioned second assumption in the reverberation
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Table 2

The UV to X-Ray Lags and the Gaussian Width of Fe Kα Line for the Concerned Seyfert Galaxies

| Object   | X-Ray Band (keV—keV) | Observed (days) | Reprocessing Model (days) | Our Model (days) | The Gaussian Width \( \sigma_{\text{Fe K}\alpha} \) (6.4 keV) |
|----------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| NGC 4151 | 5–10                 | 3.58±0.46       | 0.178                     | 3.32±0.71       | 0.59±0.04                 |
| NGC 4593 | 0.5–10               | 0.66±0.15       | 0.089                     | 0.87±0.22       | 0.30±0.07                 |
| NGC 5548 | 0.8–10               | 1.12±0.49       | 0.281                     | 2.08±0.44       | 0.035±0.02               |
| NGC 4395 | 0.5–10               | 4.05±0.13×10⁻³ | 8.65×10⁻⁴               | 3.53±0.18±1.43×10⁻³ | 0.09±0.05               |

Note. Column 1: name of object. Column 2: the energy range of the X-ray band, relative to which the UV to X-ray lag is measured. Column 3: the energy range of the UV band, relative to which the UV to X-ray lag is measured. Column 4: the observed-frame UV to X-ray lag implied by our baseline model with parameters tabulated in Table 1. Column 5: The observed-frame UV to X-ray lags reported by McHardy et al. (2018), corrected by (\( M^2 \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \)) given our adopted \( M \) and \( \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) (see Table 1). Column 6: The Gaussian widths with 90% confidence level of the 6.4 keV Fe Kα line for NGC 4151, NGC 4593, NGC 4395, and NGC 5548 are from Keck et al. (2015, NuSTAR + Suzaku), Ursini et al. (2016a, XMM-Newton + NuSTAR), Iwasawa et al. (2010, Suzaku), and Brenneman et al. (2012, Suzaku), respectively.

When the effect of large-scale turbulence is considered, the lag for the variation at longer wavelength behind that at shorter wavelength is a result of the differential regression capability of local fluctuations when responding to the large-scale fluctuation.

Without the need of light echoing, our inhomogeneous turbulence model can well reproduce the lags and correlations between the X-ray and UV/optical bands. Moreover, relatively larger UV to X-ray lag is expected for AGN with smaller innermost disk radius and thus more compact corona. Interestingly, for the four local Seyfert galaxies studied in this work, sources with relatively larger UV to X-ray lags do have more relativistically broadened Fe Kα lines.

Due to the randomness of the turbulence, our scenario predicts that the measured lag could change from one monitoring campaign to another. Therefore, not only the mean of lags measured in several campaigns but also the scatter contain important information on the central engine of AGNs. Once combined with the measurement of Fe Kα line, constraints on the physics of the central engine of AGNs as well as the BH spin would be improved.
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6. Conclusions

In this work, we have further developed the inhomogeneous turbulence model to account for the puzzling large UV to X-ray lags in local Seyfert galaxies. In our scenario, the UV/optical as well as the X-ray variations are attributed to disk turbulences, so the UV/optical variations are intrinsic to the thermal disk, rather than the reprocessed X-ray irradiation.

mapping technique is sometimes out of reach. Our scenario directly predicts finding this anomaly with higher frequency in AGNs where there are truncated disks. Detailed studies on the frequency and characteristics of the anomaly will be presented in a separate paper of this series (Z. Y. Cai et al. 2020, in preparation).
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