Fe$_{0.986}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ Thin Films, Having Desired Properties for Photovoltaic Application, Synthesized by Spray Pyrolysis Technique
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Abstract: - A good choice of the ruthenium doping percentage has given high-quality Fe$_{0.986}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ thin films having desired properties for several applications, such as photovoltaic. These layers have been grown by a simple and non toxic technique: spray pyrolysis. In a first step, for an adequate molar ratio of (FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O : RuCl$_3$.3H$_2$O), amorphous Ru-doped iron oxide thin films were deposited on glass substrate pre-heated at 350°C. Then, a heat treatment at 450°C under sulfur atmosphere (~10$^4$ torr) in sealed-tube transformed the as obtained films into Fe$_{0.986}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ films. XRD analysis confirmed the FeS$_2$ phase. The estimated compositions were tested by the EDX analysis. Surface morphology is studied by SEM and AFM and the obtained images showed an inhomogeneous granular structure and a rough surface. However, the granular size varies from 150 nm to 300 nm. The optical measurements showed a high absorption coefficient ($\alpha > 3.5 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-1}$ for wave lengths lower than 800 nm). A direct band gap corresponding to a photon energy of 1.55 eV was obtained after plotting $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ versus the photon energy (h\nu); which is an estimated value for photovoltaic application. The electron mobility in Fe$_{0.986}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ films has been obtained from Hall Effect measurement where an initial current of 1µA and a magnetic field, B = 0.554T, are applied. Our layers show n-type conduction. Electrical measurements (bulk concentration $=1.0209 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, Sheet Concentration=$2.7665 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$) encourage their use as good low cost materials for solar cells. Indeed, the carrier mobility was of about 0.21215 cm$^2$/V.s, the resistivity was of 2.8821 $\Omega$.cm, and the conductivity was of 0.34696 $\Omega^{-1}$.cm$^{-1}$. The bulk concentration was of 1.0209$\times 10^{19}$cm$^{-3}$.
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1. INTRODUCTION:

Nowadays, researchers are of great interest to innovate new low cost materials for solar cells [1-14]. Several research works focused on the Pyrite films fabrication because of their low cost, but unconvincing results were obtained. However, for their use in this area, it needs to improve their properties (optical and electrical). Thus, researchers thought about their doping in the aim to improve their optical and electrical properties to make it more effective as a photovoltaic material. Different dopants were chosen As, Ni, Co, Cu, Al, Ni, Zn, Os, Ru, Mg, Ba, etc [6, 15-24].

Knowing that, the ruthenium has been treated as a good candidate for doping FeS$_2$ thin films in the aim to improve their properties since they were chosen among the low cost materials for solar cells. Indeed, in a previous work [19], a good study was done concerning the Ru-alloyed Fe$_2$S$_2$ thin films for solar cells application wherein estimated optical results were obtained for the ratio RuCl$_3$.3H$_2$O:FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O of about 1.56% (high absorbance and a direct band gap corresponding to photon energy of 1.48 eV). In order to fabricate Ru-doped FeS$_2$ films having good properties for solar cells application, which means a good choice of the ratio: RuCl$_3$.3H$_2$O:FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O; we tried with different ratios close to 1.56% [19]. Hence, a well chosen ratio: RuCl$_3$.3H$_2$O:FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O of 1.46% allowed us to fabricate Fe$_{0.986}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ thin films having desired optical and electrical properties for solar cells application.

2. GROWTH OF THE Fe$_{0.986}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ THIN FILMS:

Using the simple technique: spray pyrolysis, and non toxic and non cost precursors, amorphous Ru-doped iron oxide films were obtained by spraying FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O (0.03 M)-based aqueous solution onto pre-heated glass substrates (at 350°C) during 10 min; on which was sprayed, immediately, another layer of an aqueous solution of RuCl$_3$.3H$_2$O (density=3.11 g/mL at 25 °C), during 1 min with the chosen molar ratio: RuCl$_3$.3H$_2$O:FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O=x:1-x (x $\equiv$ 0.0146).
The as-obtained amorphous Ru-doped iron oxide films were transformed into Fe\(_{1-x}\)Ru\(_{x}\)S\(_2\) films after heat treatment under sulfur atmosphere (~10\(^{-4}\) torr) at \(T_{\text{sulfuration}} = 450^\circ\text{C}\) for 3h. Dark films with good adherence to the substrate were obtained. Therefore, the prepared films are treated by XRD to identify the obtained phase, and then their optical properties are obtained after reflectivity and transmittance measurements. Electrical properties are obtained by Hall Effect technique. The surface morphology is investigated by SEM and AFM.

3. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS:

3.1 Structural properties

a-X-ray diffraction

The adequate molar ratio (33 : 65 : 2) of (FeCl\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O : S : RuCl\(_3\)·3H\(_2\)O) was used to synthesize Ru-doped FeS\(_2\) material. The obtained phase was investigated by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) with a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer equipped with a lynxeye detector. The dichromatic copper radiation (CuK\(_{\alpha1}\)) was used by the 0–20 scan in the 20 range of 5-70\(^\circ\). Full diffraction power of 40mA/40kV with 0.018\(^\circ\) A0 step and 2s time-step were used to record the diffraction pattern represented in Fig.1. The search-match phase identification procedure was applied using the Powder Diffraction File (PDF) database and the PanAlytical XpertPro Highscore software. Two phases were identified in the diffraction pattern: FeS\(_2\) (JCPDS card n°: 00-024-0076) and Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). The sulfuration of the pre-deposited Ru-doped iron oxide layers under vacuum (~10\(^{-4}\) torr) takes 3h at 450°C.

Compared to the initial pyrite peak position, the observed shift towards the low 2\(\theta\) values of the obtained thin film proofs the ruthenium doping of the pyrite material and the synthesis of Ru-doped FeS\(_2\). Since the ruthenium atomic radius is larger than that of the iron, replacing Fe by Ru will increase the cubic cell parameter explaining the observed shift of the Bragg peaks position. The refined cubic cell parameter of the Ru-doped FeS\(_2\) is \(a = 5.4180\) Å.

b-Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX or EDS) analysis:

To determine the compositions of the Ru-doped FeS\(_2\) stacked layers, EDS analysis were carried out using a Thermo Scientific UltraDry Detector. The measured values are summarized in Table.1.

Table.1: EDS analysis of the Ru-doped FeS\(_2\) films obtained after heat treatment under sulfur atmosphere (~10\(^{-4}\) torr) at \(T_{\text{sulfuration}} = 450^\circ\text{C}\) for 3h of the amorphous Ru-doped iron oxide films pre-deposited by spray pyrolysis according to the molar ratio: FeCl\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O:RuCl\(_3\)·3H\(_2\)O=x:1–x (x = 0.0146).

| Element | Net Counts | Weight % | Atom % | (Fe/S %) | (Ru/S %) |
|---------|------------|----------|--------|----------|----------|
| S       | 42682      | 33.27    | 26.25  | ~0.4396 < 0.5 | ~0.0293 |
| Fe      | 6104       | 25.49    | 11.54  |          |          |
| Ru      | 1655       | 3.08     | 0.77   |          |          |
Since the ratio \( \frac{Fe}{S} = 0.5 \), the pyrite phase is stoichiometric represented by FeS\(_2\). In this work, the observed ratio is slightly less than 0.5 (\( \frac{Fe}{S} = 0.44 \)) in the obtained thin films. Using this ratio, the \( x \) value in the proposed formula \((Fe_{1-x}Ru_0S_2)\) can be calculated from:

\[
\frac{Ru}{S} = 2x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{0.77}{26.25} = 2x \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = 0.01465
\]

However, the new obtained Ru-doped FeS\(_2\) phase formula is \( Fe_{0.986}Ru_{0.014}S_2 \).

### 3.2 Surface morphology

**Scanning-electron-microscopy**

The surface morphology of the \( Fe_{0.986}Ru_{0.014}S_2 \) films is visualized by a Thermo Scientific Q250 SEM. Fig. 2 shows SEM micrographs surface views at different magnifications of Ru-alloyed pyrite films annealed at 450 °C under vacuum (\( \sim 10^{-4} \) torr) for 3h.

![SEM views at different magnifications of the \( Fe_{0.986}Ru_{0.014}S_2 \) films obtained after sulfuration under vacuum (10^{-4} torr) at 450°C for 3h of amorphous Ru-doped FeS\(_2\) films deposited on pre-heated glass substrates (at 350°C) for 10 min according to the adequate molar ratio (33 : 65 : 2) of (FeCl\(_3\).6H\(_2\)O : S : RuCl\(_3\).3H\(_2\)O).](image)

Porous surface and inhomogeneous granular structure were shown. The grain size could reach 300 nm. These properties encourage more their application as solar cells.

**Atomic-Force-Microscopy**

To further investigate the morphology of the obtained films (\( Fe_{0.986}Ru_{0.014}S_2 \)) a characterization by AFM (Dimension 3100 controller Nanoscope IIIa, Digital Instruments, Veeco, Metrology Group) in contact mode at ambient temperature was done. Root mean square (rms) surface roughness value was determined on an area of 2\( \mu \)m x 2\( \mu \)m for the studied films. The rms was calculated using the roughness analyses software provided by the instrument manufacturer. Fig. 3 shows AFM surface morphology of the \( Fe_{0.986}Ru_{0.014}S_2 \) film. A granular structure was observed confirming the SEM observation (Fig. 2).

![AFM surface images of the \( Fe_{0.986}Ru_{0.014}S_2 \) films obtained after sulfuration under vacuum (10^{-4} torr) at 450°C for 3h of amorphous Ru-doped FeS\(_2\) films deposited on pre-heated glass substrates (at 350°C) for 10 min according to the adequate molar ratio (33 : 65 : 2) of (FeCl\(_3\).6H\(_2\)O : S : RuCl\(_3\).3H\(_2\)O).](image)
The AFM images of the obtained films show porous and rough surface (Fig. 3), a strong roughness was observed. AFM images (Fig. 3) are in agreement with SEM views, when irregular spherical crystallites and a large grain size dimensions were observed.

### 3.3 Optical Properties

Optical absorption spectra of the as-prepared non-doped and Ru-doped pyrite thin films were recorded using a SHIMADZU 3100S spectrophotometer. Fig.4 depicts the optical absorption spectra versus photon energy (hv). The transmission and reflectivity variations versus the wavelength (400 nm–1800 nm) of the obtained films are shown in Fig.4 (a,b).

![Optical absorption spectra](image1)

![Optical absorption spectra](image2)

![Optical absorption spectra](image3)

![Optical absorption spectra](image4)

It appears that the transmission and reflectivity coefficients measurements of the Fe$_{0.98}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ films (Fig.4(a)) have shown a remarkable decrease comparing to those of the non doped FeS$_2$ films (Fig.4 (b)). However, the obtained Fe$_{0.98}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ films showed a high absorption coefficient ($\alpha > 2 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-1}$ for $\lambda < 1900$ nm) (Fig.4(c)). Plots of $(\alpha h\nu)^{1/2}$ versus the photon energy $h\nu$, with $n=1$ and $n=4$ for these obtained layers are given simultaneously in Fig.4(d) and Fig.4 (e). Three transitions are depicted: an indirect one corresponding to photon energy of about 2.8 eV and two direct ones corresponding to the energies 1.55 eV and 3.72 eV. Thus, the lower energy is attributed to a direct band gap of the Fe$_{0.98}$Ru$_{0.014}$S$_2$ phase comparing to the values range of the Fe$_{1-x}$Ru$_x$S$_2$ band gaps obtained previously [13]. The two other transitions, direct and indirect, corresponding to the energies 2.8 eV and 3.72 eV could be attributed to the iron oxide phases.
3.4 Electrical transport properties

To assess the electrical quality of the Ru-doped FeS$_2$ thin films, especially of the Fe$_{0.996}$Ru$_{0.004}$S$_2$ ones, Hall mobility measurements were performed and analyzed to extract carrier mobility and carrier concentration. The obtained carrier density (n) and mobility ($\mu$) of an n-type semiconductor can be determined from the measured Hall coefficient ($R_H$) and the resistivity ($\rho$) using the relations:

$$n = \frac{I_H}{q \cdot R_H(B)}$$  
\hspace{1cm} (1)

and

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n \cdot q \cdot \rho(B)}$$  
\hspace{1cm} (2)

Where q is the electronic charge, B is the applied magnetic field, and $I_H$ is the Hall factor.

In fact, the Hall coefficient $R_H$ is given by the following equation:

$$R_H = \frac{V_H}{B} = \frac{1}{n \cdot e}$$  
\hspace{1cm} (3)

Recall that when electrons are the charge carriers, $R_H$ is negative and when holes are the charge carriers, $R_H$ is positive.

In the present work the electron mobility in the as-obtained Fe$_{0.996}$Ru$_{0.004}$S$_2$ films has been obtained from Hall Effect measurement where an initial current of 1µA and a magnetic field, B = 0.554T are applied. Our layers show n-type conduction. The electrical measurements such as: the bulk concentration that is about 1.0209x10$^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$, and the sheet concentration that is of about 2.7665x10$^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$, encourage their use as good low cost materials for solar cells. Indeed, the carrier mobility was measured of about 0.21215 cm$^2$/V.s, the resistivity was of 2.8821 $\Omega$.cm, and the conductivity was of 0.34696 $\Omega^{-1}$.cm$^{-1}$.

4. CONCLUSION:

An adequate molar ratio was chosen to fabricate Fe$_{0.996}$Ru$_{0.004}$S$_2$ thin films having good properties for solar cells application. The morphology study showed a granular structure with grain sizes reaching 300 nm, which means that our layers present a good porosity. A strong roughness was observed. The optical properties of these layers showed a high absorption coefficient ($\alpha$ >2x10$^4$ cm$^{-1}$ for $\lambda$ < 1900nm) and a direct band gap corresponding to photon energy of 1.55 eV, which is considered as a desired band gap value for solar cells application. In addition, the obtained electrical properties of the Fe$_{0.996}$Ru$_{0.004}$S$_2$ layers were optimal for the intended application. Thus, one can say that the obtained Fe$_{0.996}$Ru$_{0.004}$S$_2$ thin layers could be used as a material for low cost solar cells application. It could be considered as an interesting plus in the field of low cost materials for solar cells application.
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