Management of stored grain pest with special reference to *Callosobruchus maculatus*, a major pest of cowpea: A review
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Bruchids are most pernicious pest of stored grain pulses, especially in the tropical and subtropical areas. They penetrate into the fully grown matured pods, grains in fields and also during post-harvest storage. Among bruchids, *Callosobruchus maculatus* is the prominent pest having ubiquitous distribution. Chemical/synthetic insecticides provides adequate control against the *C. maculatus* on the pulses. However, the use of synthetic insecticides induces adverse health outcomes in agricultural workers and many causes various diseases such as cancers, genomic damage, oxidative stress, neurological disorders and respiratory, metabolic and thyroid effects. Therefore, alternative effective, safe and sustainable pest control, integration of different compatible methods should be taken into considerations. One of the possible managements might be use of traditional as well modern pest management practices. Traditional techniques include sealed containers, inert materials, harvesting time, alternate host, intercropping, storing un-threshed pulses, cleanliness, vegetable oil etc. Modern techniques such as temperature, freezing and heating, radiation treatments, resistance varieties, natural control, botanical extracts, chemical and microbial, transgenic approach, cold plasma treatments etc. thus integrated pest management might be alternative approach to combat the effect of pest. Therefore, present review aims to considers various measures for the handling of bruchids with special reference to *Callosobruchus maculatus* and integrated molecular inventions to decrease bruchids populations and enhance pulse productivity in pulses.
1. Introduction

Farming is the principal source of livelihood in the entire world. More than 70% population of Indian population relies on agricultural sector. Due to advancement in technology, our country has rapidly increased the production of pulses and grain in the past few decades. However, after post-harvesting period causes 10% loss in crops. Damage at the time of storage accounts for approximately 6% as appropriate storage services have not been available (Prakash et al., 2016). So, storage of grain facilities contributes important role to avoid damage caused by bruchid pests, disease-causing agents as well other animals. Various enemies such as rodents and insects attack the stored grains and infest them directly or indirectly. Hence, causes significant damages and other than storage losses.

2. *Callosobruchus maculatus*

Several pests mostly belong to order coleoptera (60%) and Lepidoptera (100%) (FAO, 2009; Atwal and Dhaliwal, 2008). Among all pulse beetles, *C. maculatus* causes severe damage to most pulses and a significant pest of Cowpea. Kergoat et al. (2008) taxonomically categorized this beetle in family Chrysomelidae and subfamily Bruchinae. Although several stored pulses are infested by this beetle and commonly recognized as cowpea weevil/bean beetle (Onyido et al., 2011). Females lay eggs on seed coat following the hatching first instar larvae enter into the seeds, developed by feeding on embryo grains and endosperm turned into pupae and complete its lifecycle by emerging as adult beetle (Figure 1). The first instar larva was characterized by a pair of pro-thoracic plates. These plates had capability to cut the hard seed coat and the larva penetrates into the seeds vertically from short distance (Augustine and Balikai, 2019).

The infested and damaged seeds become perforated and lead to loss of seed weight. Adult insects come out from through the emergence window following the completion of larval-pupal growth cycle. During the post-harvest storage, *C. maculatus* attacks the pulses and grains, causing significant loss one best example is cowpea on which *C. maculatus* becomes dominant (Park et al., 2003; Sanon et al., 2010; Adedire et al., 2011).

*C. maculatus* are the principal destructor of the pulses that account for 10–20% storage losses (Phillips and Throne, 2009). There are approximately 600 coleopteran species, which causes damage to grains in stores (Rajendran and Sriranjini, 2008). The insects causing damage to the stored grains often get access from the field and establish at the storage site due to micro-climate and retained during processing and storage (Hagstrum and Phillips, 2017). The initial infestation can be minimized during the postharvest handling of the storage structures by properly harvesting and drying the grains. These insect pests moved from one region to another through the commodity. Most of the problems spread in different places because of their flight habit (Mahroof et al., 2010). In India, crop damage has been estimated because insect pests range from 10-30% per year, out of which 26% is due to insect pests (Ridley et al., 2011).

Pulses infested by *C. maculatus* directly or indirectly, thus causing severe damage other than storage losses. Most of the storage part is mainly concentrating on grain storage either at a domestic or commercial scale. Several structures were used for storage of grains ranging from a small metal bin to tall grain elevators. Such stored commodities for a long-time cause contamination and damage by biotic and abiotic factors. Among the biotic agents, insects, mites, rodents, birds and microorganisms are causing colossal loss to store grains.

In addition to the harm by insect pests and diseases, there are insufficient and very poor storage facilities, causing enormous losses yearly. Various insect pests have modified themselves according to the diet of dried feeding material; some are important pests of grain that can make a hole in the healthy kernels. Initially, these insect pests make their path through the episperm of seed. It is estimated that all the activities of insect pests like feeding on grain, their presence in the cereal grains and products, and the expenditure for the strategies used to destroy them have caused a significant economic loss. If we can save these losses, our stockpiles of food grains could grow enormously, thus enabling us to feed millions of hungry people worldwide.

The losses caused by insect pests and diseases are less apparent than those caused by erratic monsoons, fluctuations in global weather, improper storage and postharvest procedures. However, much could be done at various levels to reduce and possibly eliminate insect pests in the process of storage. In early 1960s, with the origin of Green Revolution
such varieties having more productivity were introduced. It helped India to emerge as one of the leading developing countries, resulting in increased food grain production, touching more than 230 million tons per year (Anon, 2009). In India, there are approximately 22–25 million hectares of areas where pulse legumes are sown. There is overall increase in pulse production from the financial year (FY) 2002–2021 in South Asian Country (Figure 2).

3. Emerging risks of climate change

Despite surplus food production, there is still hunger and poverty, as million tons of valuable food grains amounting to more than 2000–3000 crores of rupees either gets damaged due to improper scientific methods of storage each year. Moreover, cereals and their processed byproducts are also prone to deterioration by diversifying biotic and abiotic factors. Together, these account for the loss of about 25% of food grains worldwide. These include heat, moisture content, relative humidity, microorganisms, insect pests and rodents. The climate alterations show its serious influence directly as well indirectly on farming, agriculture as well their related pests.

All these factors are directly associated with reproductive rate, development, fitness and migration of pest, while indirectly the global climate alterations influence the correlation between different pests, habitat, natural enemies as well their environment (Prakash et al., 2014). According to Fuhrer (2003); Kocmankova et al. (2009); Fand et al. (2012), temperature affects the various activities of insects such as life cycle, biology, growth as well reproduction because their body depends upon the surrounding temperature and environment. So, increased CO₂, increased temperature in atmosphere also affects the survival of insect population as well crop losses percentages. Alterations in climate provide the new ecological niches which create chances for pests to grown up in changed habitats. This is the reason that farmers face the problem of new pests and year wise the problem get intense. The migration of these pulses and crop pests by crossing geographical boundaries becomes a global reason for food insecurity to various countries (FAO). Even, global warming also increases the population of pests, so increase in number of these pest species also disturbs the population balance (Menendez et al., 2007).

4. Importance of pulses

Pulses belonging to the Fabaceae family and are grown all over the globe for their proteinaceous seeds. Moreover, a rich protein source and human nutrition, these are also helpful for its fiber-rich diet, including carbohydrates, starch, vitamins, minerals etc. Product of these pulses could also utilize as low-valued animal feed and wood for the fire. Pulses also maintain the process of nitrogen fixation and increase the nitrogen and phosphorous content in the soil. These pulses could also enhance our living and nonliving surrounding (Patterson et al., 2009; Sardana et al., 2010).

Pulse grains are the main protein source in the developing society. Pulse proteins contain various essential amino acids (methionine, threonine, cysteine, tryptophan and lysine) So, these pulses provide important amino acids in combination with other minerals having high food value when complemented with other cereal grains. It provides the principal protein diet for vegetarians (Reddy, 2010; Saxena et al., 2010; Asif et al., 2013). Thus, pulses contribute a perfect mixture of crucial amino acids and other minerals having high biological value when complemented with cereals and considered the principal protein diet for vegetarian. According to dietary perspectives, pulses comprise a greater part of healthy as well as balanced diet and contribute the dominant portion of energy-rich substrate. Therefore, utilization of these pulses has increased during several decades. There was shortfall in pulses production compared to its demand by the world’s growing population. Beetles belong to the family bruchidae have been closely related to legumes, and most of the species are the main pests of stored grains. The attack of bruchids is high in cowpea because of less natural resistance to insect in storage.

Figure 2. Financial Year (FY) wise India’s pulse production during (2002–2021) (Source: Minister of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare (India), Statista, 2021).
In addition to its deficiency of pulse production, the net productivity and its economic value are perpetually affected by the storage pests, especially the bruchids. Pulse beetles are the bruchids beetle that initiates their attack in the fields, and sometimes during harvesting and cause 1–5% infestation. Most of the times, decreased population of bruchid can maintain developing larval and pupal population in the stored grain pulses during storage. Larval stage consumes the grains of cowpea and other pulses which affect the seed weight and its germination ability (Murdock et al., 2003; Deshpande et al., 2011). To save pulses from Callosobruchus spp. Infestation and achieve better results, there is a need for a systematic organization of the outcomes and a reframing of the research, which prove helpful and practical—the present review based on the potential of different control measures against Callosobruchus.

5. Methods

We searched for article published from year 2005–2021 in Google Scholar. Most of the articles related to bruchid (Callosobruchus spp.) and their control. Few old citations have to be mentioned wherever needed. We searched for article Science Direct, Elsevier and Springer for each management strategy: Cultural control, sealed containers, fine ash, intercropping, harvesting time, alternate host, effect of vegetable oil, heating and freezing effect, solar treatment, radiation treatments, different bacteria and fungus for microbial control, natural parasitoids and predators, botanical extracts, chemicals, resistance varieties of pulses and also their efficacy for pest management. The latest article related to biotechnological techniques and cold plasma treatments were also searched Nature Portfolio, and data bases used (SCOPUS and WoS). We excluded study regarding other grains, agriculture and horticulture field pests. Integrated pest management was also focused through the search of recent innovations and applicability.

6. Results

In the present time, bruchids cause enormous loss to pulses and a severe issue of concern. Several strategies have been developing for the prevention of vibrations from insect infestation, especially Callosobruchus maculatus. There are many methods and control measures taken against storage beetles are (Figure 3).

6.1. Traditional pest management approaches

The host environment is manipulated in traditional cultural control, which eradicates the prevalence of stored grain pests. It includes sealed containers, ashes, managing harvesting time, and discarding infested grains before storing fresh grains. Fumigation, painting and white-washing the walls of storehouses act as an insect repellent. These strategies are less attractive, but suitable to control developing bruchid. All the traditional practices are shown in Table 1.

6.1.1. Storage in sealed containers

Storage beetles can enter into plastics up to 0.18mm thickness. Therefore, in various regions of world, to store grains, plastic bags with a cotton lining and the jute bags are commonly used for packing. It has average cost as well better capacity to resist the bruchid pets. Moreover, it can be used several times because of its good quality and also the less risk of the tearing. Hermetically sealed triple-layer plastic bags were also used in some countries like Niger (Baoua et al., 2012a, b). In these bags, beetles will consume all the existing oxygen immediately and thus choked. So, their development suffers However, even a minute hole in the storage bag will show negative results because air can enter the bag. Storage bags should also be protected from rodents, while iron containers should be prevented from rust and treated with care to prevent destruction from pest. In various countries like Brazil proper airtight storage containers were used for storing cowpea seeds which protect them against C. maculatus and C. analis. Polythene sacs were also used for grain storage and also important because it asphyxiates the pest. It is a simple and more effective physical method in which altered atmosphere were provided to several developing instars of bruchids(O2 < 1%, CO2 = 9–10%). 100% mortality was obtained within two days for adults. At the same time, other developmental stages such as egg, larva and pupa were also affected (Mohapatra et al., 2014).
6.1.2. Sand/ash

An inert material such as sand and ash are mixed with stored beans, preventing them from stored beetles. This inhibits the sexually mature insects to find the mate and copulate as well laying eggs on seeds. Fine ash or powders, can be effectively asphyxiating the adults, and also lethal to egg or larval stages inside the seed (Bamaiyi et al., 2007; Tabu et al., 2012). Sometimes, it also inhibit 100% adult emergence (Karimzadeh et al., 2020). Paddy husk ash, could be also used against Callosobruchus maculatus (Ashamo et al., 2021; Atewoja et al., 2021). This method of seed protection is practically less used because a large amount of inert material is required, particularly during the large number of grains. Conventionally, various sand and soil components are used as inert dust. In some cases, products of diatomaceous earth were used and applied with different doses in lab conditions and found effective against various bruchids (such as *C. maculatus, A. obtectus* infesting cowpea, kidney beans etc. It also causes high adult mortality (Stathers et al., 2004).

6.1.3. Harvesting time

The harvesting time of cowpea and another pulse should be managed, which results in the decline of 50–90% of damage alone done by Cowpea beetle. The pulse crop should stay behind in the field until it is completely matured and developed. Adults choose to detain their attack to the uncovered seeds. Pre- and post-harvest losses were also found due to *C. maculatus*. Immediate harvesting of fully grown pulse pods would decrease the infestation of *C. maculatus* and also damage to grains. So, it should be ensured that during harvesting there was low pest population. Significantly, more damage was noted for the seeds during the harvest after 80 days than the 60 and 70 days (Baidoo et al., 2010).

6.1.4. Alternate host

Sometimes, the alternate plant which can be host for the particular pest grown side wise. It meets the requirements of pest when actual pulse grains plants were not available. The pest survives and also completes generations sidewise. In some regions of Costa Rica, Phaseolus lunatus was the host plant of pest *Zabrutos subfasciatus* in wild conditions (Pimbert, 1985). They maintained their life cycle on *Phaseolus lunatus* wild plant. So, infestations can be reduced by eliminating such kind of plants from cultivated land.

6.1.5. Intercropping

Growing two compatible crops at one time is known as intercropping. It was also found that bruchid (Acanthoscelides obtectus) infestation of bean can be minimized with the help of maize crop. In Nigeria, the intercropping system were incorporated and found that it decreases the cowpea infestation by bruchid pests during pre and post-harvest storage. During experiment four different and susceptible pulse varieties of cowpea (Borno brown, Kanann ado, ITY93-637-l and IT89KD-374-5) were taken. These were grown with pearl millet both as intercropping system and singly without pearl millet. This intercropping help in reducing *Callosobruchus* infestation and high crop yield (Kabeh and Lale, 2008). Earlier, when cowpea sown with millet, there has been negligible effect of bruchids beetle (*Bruchidius arrolineatus*) (Alzouma, 1987).

**Storing unthreshed pulses:** during the storage of unthreshed pulses the bundles of pulses plants were tied with plastic rope, sometimes ropes made up of other plant branches. Then these bundles were stored on the tree or other safest place (Ofor, 2011). The pulse grains remain in the open air and become fully dried. Farmers used them when they need for utilizations and commercialization. In various developing countries the most of cereal grains (unthreshed/unthreshed) are reserved at home with the traditional methods (Niduku et al., 2013).

Un-threshed grain means to which stalks or other parts of the parent body are not removed. Van Huis, 1991 found un-threshed pulses or seeds inside the pods comparatively decreased infestation. Pod walls act as a barrier against insect pest infestation. If the pod wall of grain/seed is thick, there would be minor infestation and high larval mortality. The author proved that the first instars larva gets physically exhausted during the cutting and entry through the pod wall. The pods should be attached for a long time with the plants because unbroken pods provide better protection against pests. This practice is compatible with IPM and provides a better alternate strategy to suppress bruchid population as well maintain seed quality. Although there are some limitations such as screening of specific proteins in the seeds that are toxic to bruchids as well identification of resistant germplasm (Throne et al., 1990).

6.1.6. Cleanliness

Cleanliness is most important during the pulse grain delivery from field to warehouses. Old sheds for storage, carriage vehicle should be cleaned; probably it eliminates infestation to some extent. The insect infestation rates are high in the tropics. So, there is a need for a good and clean grain storage environment. After harvesting, grains have been protected in granaries, decreasing the pest infestation. Proper sun drying and repeated sieving of pulses could also prevent bruchid infestation (Mohapatra et al., 2014). If the bruchids present in storehouses move towards the adjacent field and cause an infestation in the field also. Therefore, damaged or egg-bearing seeds should be properly disposed of from the granaries.

6.1.7. Smoulder

Cow dung cakes and various plants produce smoke. It was found fatal to insect pests without showing any side effects on germination as well post germination of pulse seeds. This is being easily available, cost effective, biodegradable, un-harmful and simple to proceed by small
holders. It can be an alternate for chemical insecticides as well fumigants for storing grain (Yadav and Tiwari, 2018). Cow dung smoke even be more effective to control pulse beetle and also cause 50% mortality within 96–120 h (Kishor and Tiwari, 2021).

In Africa, cowpea seeds are occasionally stored for a short time above the kitchen fire in homes. It is advantageous because the developmental growth of the pest is maintained and also preventing re-infestation. High temperature, as well as smoke, also has repellent action. Gilbert (1984) also demonstrated that blacklight traps could be more helpful in controlling and trapping C. maculatus, especially females.

6.1.9. Vegetable oils

The kitchen

6.1.8. Gaseous effect

The oxygen is sole responsible for respiration to all organism including insects. If anytime concentration of carbon dioxide extremely increased in storing conditions. This makes the atmosphere unsuitable and also acts as poison to insects (Navarro, 2012). The high carbon dioxide and low oxygen levels kill adult beetles and oxygen-free environment or 100% carbon dioxide is more toxic to developing stages of pests. Overall mortality of insects was found with increased carbon dioxide levels. It should be used for favorable time and ensure that most of insects must die. The most affected stage was egg and early developing stages. Even 18% carbon dioxide concentration was helpful in insect pest (C. maculatus, A. obtectus and Z. subfuscatus etc.) mortality (Cheng et al., 2013; Wong-Corralt et al., 2013). When oxygen, carbon dioxide and nitrogen, the three superior gases were used against C. maculatus in mixed combination then it found effective because of the surroundings were altered. More efficient results were found with reduced nitrogen and enhanced carbon dioxide level. This can eliminate synthetic insecticides and provide chemical free food (Ingabire et al., 2021). Recently some authors also used ozone gas against C. maculatus and C. chinensis (Gad et al., 2021).

6.1.9. Vegetable oils

In some parts of Asia, vegetable oil (Approximately 1–15 ml per kg seed) against insect pests is a widespread practice. Various authors have verified the effectiveness of oil against C. maculatus; C. chinensis and Zabrotes subfuscatus. Full-time oil protects pulses from pest attack. Crude and non-edible oils gave better protection to seed grain in comparison to purified and non-edible oils. These oil coatings have ovicidal property. It causes poor oviposition and inhibits the egg laying and high larval and adult mortality without affecting germination and cooking quality of seed (Boeke et al., 2004; Wanderley et al., 2020). Sometimes different edible and non-edible oils were also used against insect pests (C. maculatus) to prevent its infestation. The oils at different concentrations were also used to check their efficacy on egg laying and adult longevity (Ake, 2011).

These cultural practices are considered the cheapest, easy to use methods, for small holders, during pre- and post-harvest time. However, these methods are less used, because of several disadvantages like, need for preterm planning and appropriate and proper timing as well species-specific methods (Kananji, 2007; Gupta et al., 2016).

7. Modern pest management approaches (Table 2)

7.1. Physical control/control by temperature, freezing and heating

This method primarily depends on the management of the grains and insect pests with the help of physical agents, such as temperature, heating, humidity and pressure (Sahadia and Aziz, 2011). Every insect species has a suitable environment, including ambient temperature, relative humidity, and photoperiod, which help its growth, proper development, and high reproduction. Therefore, alteration to the temperature and relative humidity from its optimum period, then the developmental growth of insect pests can be reduced and stopped. In addition, the different developmental stages such as egg, larva and pupa of various Callosobruchus spp. have been killed by allowing the pulse grain to remain in the temperature up to 60–65 °C for a few minutes or reducing the temperature to below 12 °C (Sahadia and Aziz, 2011). Upadhyay and Ahmad (2011) studied the two pulse seeds (cowpea and moth bean) and found that the lowering of humidity below 9% can also prevent the fecundity and developmental growth. Eggs and larvae of C. maculatus can also be killed by lowering the pressure and increasing temperature. In some cases, the solar heating method has also been a good option against bruchid beetles infesting green gram and cowpea and other pulses. It can make pulses 100% free from infestations (Chauhan and Ghaffar, 2002; Mbata et al., 2005; Moumouni et al., 2014). The temperature of seeds can be increased to 52 °C to 65 °C, when these were kept on black polythene sheet under the sun. This method causes the death eggs and developing stages of Callosobruchus effectively. Disinfestation unit can be driven with the heat of solar energy (Gbaye et al., 2011; Baoua et al., 2012a, b; Ajayi et al., 2021). The treatment with solar heat was found that the pest outbreak become negligible during storage conditions. It also increased germination capacity of seeds (Maina and Lale, 2004; Fawki et al., 2014). This treatment can also be used for various stored grain pests (Hansen et al., 2011).

| Sr no. | Strategies | Materials and methods | Mode of action | Stage affected | References |
|-------|------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| 2.1   | Physical Control | Temperature, freezing and Heating | Inhibit developmental growth | Egg, larva, pupa, adults | Sahadia and Aziz, 2011; Moumouni et al. (2014) |
| 2.2   | Radiation Treatments | Beets and Gamma radiations | Inhibit developmental growth | Egg, larva, pupa, adults | Valizadegan et al. (2009); Fawki et al. (2014) |
| 2.3   | Resistance Varieties | Resistant grain wall | Inhibit developmental growth | egg | Mioso et al. (2016); Swaney et al. (2019). |
| 2.4   | Natural control | Biological agents, parasitoids | Lethal to developmental stages | Egg, larva, pupa | Eliopoulos, (2006); Cortesero et al. (1997); Kananji, (2007). |
| 2.5   | Phyto-chemical control | Botanical extracts/powders/ Nanopesticides | Repellent, deterrent and lethal action | Egg, larva, pupa, adult | Elamalu et al. (2010); Areva et al. (2017); Elango et al., 2016; Andy and Edema, 2019 |
| 2.6   | Chemical control | carbamates, organophosphates, organochlorines, and pyrethroids. | Lethal action | Developing stages and adults | Talukder (2009); Parmar and Patel (2016) |
| 2.7   | Microbial control | Microorganism | Lethal Action | Developing stages | Phillips and Throne (2009); Kavallieratos et al. (2012a), b; Gahukar (2014) |
| 2.8   | Transgenic approach | Alteration of DNA, Genetic mapping | acetylcholine, phyto-hemagglutinins and α-amylase as bruchid inhibitors | Larva | Collins et al. (2006); Liu et al. (2006); Qaim (2010); Razcolizadeh et al. (2016) |
| 2.9   | Cold Plasma Treatments | high-voltage air-based atmospheric cold plasma | Reduces respiration rate and cause asphyxiation and lethal | Egg, Larva, pupa and Adults | Ziuzina et al. (2021); Pathan et al., 2021 |
7.4. Natural control strategies

It involves living organisms, which are identified as biocontrol agents (predators, parasitoids, pathogens etc.). These are used to retain the insect pest populations below the destruction level, and most of the time; no loss will occur (Altieri et al., 2005; Mahr et al., 2008). It is regarded as a practical or satisfactory technique where important natural agents have been used against stored pests. These natural enemies effectively control the insect pest population. These mainly include the egg, larval and pupal parasitoids. Egg parasitoids lay their eggs on the eggs of developing bruchids beetles, for example, Uscana larisploga. While larval and pupal parasitoids lay their eggs on developing larva and pupa such as Dinarmus basalis and Eupelmus vaillati. This strategy can control bruchids infestation up to 82% under optimized laboratory conditions (Cortesero et al., 1997). These parasitoids may suppress beetle populations in the field, but not up to 100% (Gahukar, 1994). Soundararajan et al. (2012) reported that a hymenopteran parasitoid (Dinaramus spp.) had been used against C. maculatus population infesting urdu bean. Similarly, Brachus Chinensis (bean weevil pest) has been controlled by a parasitoid (Apaneles flavipes) (Eliopoulos, 2006). Various authors Schmale et al. (2003); 2006; Campan and Benrey (2004); Sood and Pajini (2006); Velten et al. (2007), 2008 provide the details of natural control agents for bruchids. Such as Dinarmus basalis, Stenoscolusbruchivora used to prevent infestation of C. maculatus and Pteromalus cerealella respectively Schmale et al. (2003); Velten et al. (2007), 2008; Sanan et al. (2002); Campan and Benrey (2004). This biological control method has some limitations when used by small scale farmers. Peoples don’t know to maintain the parasitoid culture, nutrition to parasitoid larvae and timing to release the parasitoid (Kanani, 2007).

7.5. Phytochemical/botanical control

Secondary metabolites (such as alkaloids, phenolics, and terpenoids) in plants which possess the property that affect the sense organs of plant-feeding insects, preventing them from egg-laying and feeding (Ake, 2011). Huang et al., (1997) demonstrated and found that nutmeg (Myristica) oil has antifeedant property against Sitophilus oryzae and Tribolium castaneum. Various medicinal plants contain different essential oils that were used against stored product insects (Elumalai et al., 2010). Andy and Edema (2019) evaluated that the five plant materials, main spices such as African nutmeg, Manjack, Ginger, Galic, and Negro Pepper, were effective against cowpea weevil. This resulted that Ginger extract inhibits feeding in C. maculatus. Fawkes et al., in 2014 found the potential of lemon and orange peel powder on Callosobruchus maculatus and lemon peel powder shows more effectiveness. Arora et al., 2017 found various hurdles for providing these botanical extracts to small scale farmers. Such as the non-availability of plant’s extracts at the commercial level. So, farmers are unable to replace chemically synthetic pesticides. Moreover, the production and distribution problem of plant-based pesticides are the main challenges. Therefore, there is a need to start an awareness campaign that discusses the use of plant-based insecticides with local people and farmers (Grzywacz et al., 2014).

Several studies found that Piper nigrum had better insecticidal potential, which controls bruchids infestation and protects stored cowpea seeds. Various authors agreed with the results that members of the Piperaceae family possess various bioactive agents such as piperine and chavicine, which show high insecticidal activity against various crop pests (Lale, 2002; Okonkwo and Okoye, 1996; Adedire and Lajide, 1999). Although, powder extract of Afronormum melegueta did not affect fecundity, egg-laying capacity as well as egg hatchability of C. maculatus (Ofuya, 1990). Onekutu et al. (2015) studied and found that if any plant extracts did not control C. maculatus within 24 h, a particular concentration is not considered suitable botanical extracts. Contact and fumigation are the main modes of action in plant material extracts (Asawalam and Emosireue, 2006; Asawalam et al., 2007; Frangcetti et al., 2009; Ukele et al., 2010). Manji et al. (2019) also evaluated the efficacy of twelve botanicals (Ipomoea sp., Ocimum sanctum, Pongamia pinnata, Vitex negundo, Adhatodaeap., Zingiber officinale, Allium sativam, Curcuma longa, Acorus calamus, Capsicum annum, Piper nigrum and neem seed kernel powder were found effective to control bruchid pest, C. maculatus in green gram seed storage. The essential oil of Chenopodium ambrosioides were used against C. maculatus and shows high toxic as well repellent effect on it. It also affects mortality rate, fecundity and reproductive capacity. Most of the plants are edible and nonhazardous unlike other synthetic pesticides (Elbourri et al., 2021).
Raja and Ignacimuthu (2000) found the efficacy of groundnut or coconut oil against *C. maculatus* and have a toxic effect on fecundity and development. Tobacco plant powder extract is found to be more effective in hindering fecundity and hatching of eggs of *C. maculatus* infesting cowpea. The leaves, stem, roots and flowers of *Moringa oleifera* had been considered botanicals against bruchids. This was studied under ambient temperature conditions (30°C) and humidity (72%) in the Biological Oxygen Demand Incubator. These extracts reduce fecundity, hatching and adult emergence (Ojuya and Akhidue, 2005; Adeneke et al., 2013). Idoko and ileke (2020) studied insecticidal property of five botanical seed oil used against *C. maculatus* and essential oil from *Aframomum meleguetz* was found most effective. Various nanoparticles were synthesized from the extracts of different plant parts (bark, leaves, stems, roots etc.). Nano-particles prepared from *Alternanthera dentate* leaf extracts, pine, persimmon, ginkgo, magnolia and platanus, *Annona squamosa*, *Cortiandrum sativum*, *Cocos nucifera*, *Scadoxus multiflorus*, *Pongamia pinnata* and many others (Kumar et al., 2014; Song and Kim, 2009; Senthamilselvi et al., 2013; Sathyavathi et al., 2010; Elango et al., 2016; Malakozhundan and Vinodhini, 2018).

Harshani and Karunaratne (2021) studied the efficacy of citrus fruit peel powder against *C. maculatus* and also found effective. Kosini et al. (2021) evaluated the insecticidal property of *Gnidia kraussiana* extracts. It also showed toxicity on egg and larval stages of *C. maculatus*. Various plant leaf, seed, bark, root powders as well their fumigant property are used in various countries to inhibit seed/grain damage and have negligible effect on human health (Kestenholz et al., 2007; Lehman et al., 2003; Geetha et al., 1999). Biochemical research found that the pulses have specific proteins that generally present in the seed and contain plant defensive properties against bruchids. The most representative components against bruchids are various inhibitors (such as arcelins, phyto-hemagglutinins and α-amylase) (Peumans and Van Damme, 1995; Loi et al., 2003).

Ryan (1990) studied that when lectins, α-amylase and protease inhibitors were taken with diet by the bruchid pest, it affected the larval midgut and retard growth and development of the pest. These findings were also supported at later stage by other authors (Ishimoto and Chrispeels, 1996; Ussuf et al., 2001). This is a safe option because these α-amylase inhibitors are easily destroyed during food preparation. So, with this technique GM crops can be developed having bruchids resistant properties. Various bruchids resistant transgenic pulses were developed, such as azuki bean, pea, mung bean etc (Sonial et al., 2007; Rasoolizadeh et al., 2016). This type of transgenesis is an effective strategy and has disadvantages, such as insecticidal proteins may affect even non-target organisms. Some studies found that when these trans-genetically modified peas and other grains fed by rats, chickens, etc., it also shows side effect on the development of those organisms (Collins et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2006; Qaim, 2010). So, the application of such crops is controversial that transgenic pulse crop is suitable for human or animal utilization.

Some varieties of cowpea have recombinant inbred lines which are multi multi-parent and advanced-generation intercross. Scientists identify such type of variants which possess resistant against seed beetles. The gene-to-genome interaction concept was also associated with resistance. Recently specific type of protein (arcelin) was identified which were present in wild bean and shows insecticidal actions against bruchids and affect their metabolism. The alterations in their own enzymes against the arcelin shows defence mechanism of insects (Messina et al., 2021; Hilda et al., 2021).

7.4. Cold plasma treatments

Cold plasma methods mostly used for food preservations by killing food bacteria and freezing temperature. It also has potential to control stored grain pests. Resistance is common problem in insects that can be developed against chemically synthesized pests. Cold plasma treatments were studied with chick pea seeds for about four years. During this study it was found that chickpea seeds were perfectly resistance against *Callosobruchus* sp. It also be used to inhibit various stored grain pests and also supports sustainable stored grain pest control. Further studies were also needed regarding to this concept and also to develop such machinery which can be used at commercial level (Pathan et al., 2021). Various problems related to control of insect pests can be solved with the cold environment could be effective for its control. Microbial control includes the use of microorganisms (bacteria, fungus, viruses etc.), pheromones, growth regulators etc (Kavalleratos et al., 2012a). Natural predators are also considered in this strategy. This is also an environmentally friendly, effective and relatively simple technique. Besides its advantages, this strategy there is less expenditure in microbial research; defined space; less infrastructure, as well few awareness campaign in developing nations (Gahukar, 2014). *Trichoderma harzianum* and various strains of entomopathogenic fungi also possess insecticidal potential against *C. maculatus* and *C. chinensis* (Abdelgaleil et al., 2021; Kordali et al., 2021).
8. Integrated pest management (IPM) and their usage

The most important strategies and methods are those which can be operative. Even this can be applied for large as well small holders. It is the management strategy which incorporates the old as well modern strategies. The IPM in whole a management system which integrates the pest control measures. This mainly focuses on such practicable methods which reduce the pest population in environment friendly and at low cost. Most suitable strategies were accepted and that can be either simple or complex but should be according to the IPM system. IPM is widely used to control pests especially of stored grain pests (Kavallieratos et al., 2017; Hagstrum and Phillips, 2017). It provides the knowledge about the different methods, that at what time these were incorporated for bruchid and other stored grain pest control. It can be improved by making better decisions. Many industrial applications can also influence the progress of pest management program in raw and also advanced as well processed commodities ((Hagstrum et al., 1999; Trematerra and Fleurat-Lessard, 2015). They are important during the designing of a pest management program. It also encourages new method to incorporate existing methods for the betterment of pest management (Hagstrum and Athanassiou, 2019).

9. Future perspectives

Since time immemorial, bruchid pest management is essential. Stored grain pulses were protected for many years. Various synthetic insecticides were incorporated with several bruchid pests of stored seeds, but considerable these were hazardous. Some practices have some limitations affecting non-target organisms, resistance, pollution etc. While considerable these were hazardous. Some practices have some limitations of pest management (Hagstrum and Athanassiou, 2019).
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