Review

Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy

Kieran Longley, Tuan Tran and Vincent Ho*

School of Medicine, Western Sydney University, Campbelltown, NSW 2560, Australia; kieran.longley@health.nsw.gov.au (K.L.); tuan51195@hotmail.com (T.T.)
* Correspondence: V.Ho@westernsydney.edu.au

Abstract: Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (CCM), cardiac dysfunction in end-stage liver disease in the absence of prior heart disease, is an important clinical entity that contributes significantly to morbidity and mortality. The original definition for CCM, established in 2005 at the World Congress of Gastroenterology (WCG), was based upon known echocardiographic parameters to identify subclinical cardiac dysfunction in the absence of overt structural abnormalities. Subsequent advances in cardiovascular imaging and in particular myocardial deformation imaging have rendered the WCG criteria outdated. A number of investigations have explored other factors relevant to CCM, including serum markers, electrocardiography, and magnetic resonance imaging. CCM characteristics include a hyperdynamic circulatory state, impaired contractility, altered diastolic relaxation, and electrophysiological abnormalities, particularly QT interval prolongation. It is now known that cardiac dysfunction worsens with the progression of cirrhosis. Treatment for CCM has traditionally been limited to supportive efforts, but new pharmacological studies appear promising. Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in CCM can be improved by targeted heart rate reduction. Ivabradine combined with carvedilol improves left ventricular diastolic dysfunction through targeted heart rate reduction, and this regimen can improve survival in patients with cirrhosis. Orthotopic liver transplantation also appears to improve CCM. Here, we canvass diagnostic challenges associated with CCM, introduce cardiac physiology principles and the application of echocardiographic techniques, and discuss the evidence behind therapeutic interventions in CCM.
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1. Introduction

Cirrhosis is associated with multiple cardiovascular changes including the development of a hyperdynamic circulation and cardiac dysfunction. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy, a form of cardiac failure associated with cirrhosis of any cause, is the end result of complex circulatory and humoral changes. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy may have a prevalence of up to 60% [1]. It is present in both adult and paediatric patients with cirrhosis and a major cause for morbidity and mortality [2]. The Gastroenterology World Congress 2005 defined a triad (Table 1) of clinical features associated with cirrhotic cardiomyopathy, such as systolic dysfunction, seen as a blunting of the usual cardiac response to stress; diastolic dysfunction; and electrical dysfunction [3,4]. The technical definition [5] (Table 1) has not kept pace with recent advances in cardiac imaging and the diagnosis of systolic and diastolic dysfunction. Izzy et al. have proposed new technical definitions [6] (Table 2) based on recent advances in cardiac imaging. In this review, we will comprehensively outline up to date research on the pathophysiology of CCM and address the latest advances in diagnostic imaging and management.
Table 1. Diagnostic criteria for cirrhotic cardiomyopathy, proposed by the Gastroenterology World Congress.

| Systolic Dysfunction                                                                 | Diastolic Dysfunction                  | Supportive Criteria                        |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Blunted increase in cardiac output on exercise, volume challenge or pharmacological stimuli | E/A ratio <1.0 (age-corrected)          | Prolonged Q-T interval                      |
| Resting ejection fraction <55%                                                      | Prolonged deceleration time (<200 ms)   | Enlarged left atrium                        |
|                                                                                        | Prolonged isovolumetric relaxation time (<80 ms) | Increased myocardial mass                  |
|                                                                                        | Electrophysiological abnormalities     | Increased BNP and pro-BNP                   |
|                                                                                        | Abnormal chronotropic response         | Increased troponin I                        |
|                                                                                        | Electromechanical uncoupling/dys-synchrony |                                            |

Reported by Møller et al. [5].

Table 2. Proposed updated criteria for cirrhotic cardiomyopathy.

| Systolic Dysfunction | Advanced Diastolic Dysfunction | Areas for Future Research Which Require Further Validation |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Any of the following LV ejection fraction ≤50 % | ≥3 of the following | Abnormal chronotropic or inotropic response |
| Absolute GLS <18% or >22% | Septal é velocity <7 cm/s | Electrocardiographic changes |
|                        | E/é ratio ≥15 | Electromechanical uncoupling |
|                        | LAVI >34 mL/m² | Myocardial mass change |
|                        | TR velocity >2.8 m/s | Serum biomarkers |
|                        |                  | Chamber enlargement |
|                        |                  | CMRI |

Modified from Izzy et al. [6].

2. Pathophysiology

Cirrhosis and portal hypertension lead to a hyperdynamic circulatory state, defined by an increase in total blood volume, a reduction in circulating volume due to splanchnic blood pooling, reduced systemic vascular resistance, and an increased cardiac output. In addition to these circulatory changes, there is direct injury to cardiac myocytes due to a combination of pro-inflammatory cytokines, elaboration of vasoactive peptides, and reduced response to sympathetic stimulation. A rat cirrhotic model has revealed that alterations in collagen configuration and titin modulation can lead to changes in diastolic function [7].

Early dynamic changes are largely driven by humoral responses. Splanchnic arterial vasodilation and a reduced hepatic capacity to metabolize vasoactive agents leads to a reduced effective arterial circulating volume and the activation of the central baroreceptors, leading to the activation of the sympathetic nervous system and the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) (see Figure 1) [4]. This leads to the development of a hyperdynamic circulation, which is defined by an increased cardiac output, reduced arterial blood pressure, reduced vascular resistance, and increased heart rate [8].
Late dynamic changes result from macroscopic anatomical alteration in cardiac structure and histopathological changes in myocytes.

2.1. Endocannabinoids

Endocannabinoids such as anandamide (AEA) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) bind to the cannabinoid-1 (CB-1) receptor. The endocannabinoid system is significantly up-
regulated in the setting of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy [9,10] due to increased liver production of endocannabinoids, as well as increased expression of cannabinoid receptors. Activation of the endocannabinoid system can lead to reduced peripheral vascular resistance and increased splanchnic blood volume, worsening the effects of portal hypertension [11,12]. In animal models, improvements in peripheral vascular resistance, arterial blood pressure, and in cardiac β adrenergic response have been seen after treatment with cannabinoid receptor antagonists [11].

2.2. Tumor Necrosis Factor

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α has been shown to induce the formation of endocannabinoids [10,12], and to promote a pro-inflammatory state, which further depresses cardiac function via activation of inducible nitric oxide synthase and elaboration of nitric oxide [13]. Nitric oxide has a direct negative inotropic effect, causing a cardio-depression similar to sepsis. This effect contributes to the early development of hyperdynamic circulation resulting from endeavors to compensate through other mechanisms. In a bile duct ligated mouse model, use of inducible nitric oxide inhibitors has been shown to reverse cardiac depression [13].

2.3. Haem Oxygenase

Haem oxygenase catalyzes the oxidation of haemoglobin into carbon monoxide and other products [14]. Carbon monoxide is thought to be commensurable with nitric oxide in biological systems in regulation and production, and, correspondingly, is thought to have a role as a secondary messenger [14].

Haem oxygenase has been shown to be up-regulated in cirrhotic rats [15], and to correlate with higher cardiac haem oxygenase levels. Haem oxygenase mRNA expression increases with worsening Child-Pugh status [16]. Exhaled carbon monoxide is also increased in patients with cirrhosis. In vitro research has demonstrated that the impaired cardiac contractility in a bile duct ligated mouse model can be reversed by regulating the haem oxygenase-carbon monoxide system [15].

2.4. Bile Acid

Animal models have demonstrated the negative inotropic effect of elevated bile acid levels [17]. Elevated bile acid levels cause cardiac arrhythmia and disruption of channels, leading to cardiotoxicity [17,18] Elevated bile acids are thought to have cardiotoxic effects in human hearts, although ursodeoxycholic acid has been shown to have a cardioprotective effect [19]. There is a need for more in vitro studies before the effects of bile acids on human cardiac system can be fully comprehended.

2.5. β Adrenergic Responsiveness

β receptors in cardiac tissue respond to noradrenalin (norepinephrine) released from sympathetic innervation, resulting in an increased heart rate (positive chronotropy), and an increase in cardiac contractility (positive inotropy). Chronic activation of the sympathetic nervous system in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy due to activation of systemic baroreceptors leads to constant stimulation of the cardiomyocytes with noradrenalin. Results in animal models have demonstrated reduced β receptor expression in this setting [20], resulting in an inability to raise cardiac chronotropy and inotropy, and blunted cardiac responsiveness to stress [21].

2.6. Myocardial Fibrosis and Myocyte Hypertrophy

Prolonged exposure to β-adrenergic stimulation and an adverse biochemistry profile inadvertently leads to the remodeling of myocytes. In contemporary settings, this has been remarkably absent, possibly due to advances in the early recognition of cirrhotic patients, and to medical attempts to correct the biochemistry and reverse potential anatomical pathophysiology. Historical studies done in the 1950s by Lunseth et al. [22] showed
features of ventricular hypertrophy in patients with liver cirrhosis. Hearts from patients with cirrhosis weighed much more than standard populations at that time. Given diagnostic criteria at that time, those patients would meet criteria for CCM (Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy). Further histopathology showed remarkable features of ventricular hypertrophy, with larger nuclear vacuole and increased cytoplasmic depositing of fibrin (see Figure 2). This study also showed a quantitative relationship between the degree of disease severity and degree of cardiac hypertrophy. In twelve cases in these studies, there were features of cardiac fibrosis, with features of fibrous tissues, and these were associated with a longer duration of liver disease [22]. Studies done by Jellis et al. [23] also show similar features of cardiac fibrosis in late-stage liver cirrhosis. In addition to that, the latest contemporary study performed by Wiese et al. [24] shows features of increased fibrogenesis and increased extracellular volume in myocardium in cirrhotic, both with biochemical and anatomical evidence, further substantiating proof that cirrhosis does lead to permanent scarring of the myocardium through an inflammatory process.

![Figure 2. Early and late histopathological changes in CCM (Image courtesy of Marian and Braunwald, 2017) [25].](image)

2.7. Altered Cardiomyocyte Membrane Fluidity and Ion Channel Defects

Ion flux, particularly calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)), potassium (K\(^+\)), and sodium (Na\(^+\)), plays a substantial role in effective contractility. Calcium influx is required to maintain the plateau of the cardiac action potential and to allow for the uncovering of binding sites along the myosin filament. Calcium influx is also critical in calcium-induced calcium release, whereby a small amount of extra-cellular calcium influx is magnified by calcium release from the endoplasmic reticulum.

Potassium and sodium are both required for the generation of cardiac action potentials. Electrocardiograph changes are common in patients with cirrhosis. Corrected QT (QTc) intervals have been shown to correlate with the degree of cirrhosis [26], and up to 60 percent of patients with cirrhosis have a prolonged QTc interval [27].

Results from animal models of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy have shown a diminished capacity for ion transport across the cell membrane [28,29]. These changes contribute to both impaired cardiac contractility and electrophysiological abnormalities [21], including prolonged QTc. An increase in membrane cholesterol content has been associated with these changes, although the underlying mechanism is unclear.
3. Investigations

3.1. Electrocardiogram

QTc prolongation (defined as a corrected QT interval of more than 440 milliseconds) is common in cirrhotic patients [29]. Although prolonged QTc is associated with torsades de pointes, the occurrence of this is rare in cirrhotic patients. The underlying pathophysiology is unclear, but the endocannabinoid system and pro-inflammatory state are thought to play a role. Some studies have shown a progressive prolongation of QTc interval correlating with Child-Pugh status [28].

3.2. Echocardiography

The transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) is a non-invasive investigation that can provide anatomical information about heart structure, as well as dynamic information about heart function. TTE can measure four chamber sizes, cardiac muscle hypertrophy, valvular flow gradients, and estimations of pulmonary pressure [30]. Stress echocardiography, in which either exercise or a pharmacological agent is used to measure resting and stressed parameters, has been suggested as a potential approach for investigating a blunted cardiac response [27]. (see Figure 3).

Figure 3. Demonstration of advanced echocardiography findings (Image courtesy: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transthoracic_echocardiogram) (All images have creative license).

3.3. Diastolic Dysfunction

Diastolic dysfunction is defined by impaired relaxation of the left ventricle during diastole. Left ventricle relaxation leads to decreased left ventricular pressure, allowing for the passive, rapid flow of blood from the left atrium into the left ventricle. Impairment in this relaxation reduces passive left ventricular filling and leads to increased left ventricular filling pressures. The e′ velocity, E/e′ ratio, left atrium maximum volume index, and peak tricuspid regurgitation velocity are the recommended measures of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction [31].

3.3.1. E/A Ratio

The filling pattern can be measured with the mitral E/A ratio. The E/A ratio is a comparison of the mitral E wave velocity and the mitral A wave velocity. The mitral E wave velocity is a measure of the pressure difference between the left atrium and left ventricle during early, passive filling due to left ventricular relaxation. The mitral A wave is a measure of the ‘atrial kick’, or forceful left ventricular filling by atrial contraction [31]. The E/A ratio cannot be assessed in patients with atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter and is affected by changes in preload. Preload varies substantially in patients with cirrhosis, due to changes in weight, and following procedures such as paracentesis or TIPS [32].

3.3.2. e′

The mitral annulus is a connective tissue ring which holds the mitral valve. The mitral annulus moves with ventricular filling and can be measured with tissue doppler imaging
Mitral annular velocity, as measured with TDI, is denoted as $e'$. $e'$ is influenced by left ventricular relaxation and is a measure of left ventricular compliance [31].

3.3.3. E/e′

The E/e′ ratio corrects the E wave velocity for changes in left ventricular relaxation, to determine an accurate measure of left ventricular filling pressure [31]. The E/e′ ratio is a reliable measure for determining elevated left ventricular filling pressure and therefore left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, even in patients with reduced preload [33].

3.4. Systolic Dysfunction

Systolic dysfunction in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy has traditionally been difficult to diagnose due to the preservation of the ejection fraction (EF%) [27], the most common measure of left ventricular systolic function. Instead, systolic dysfunction in cirrhotic cardiomyopathy has been most readily apparent as a reduced capacity to respond to stress, both psychological and chemical.

Speckled tracking echocardiography, a modern imaging technique, follows cardiac tissue movement during systole, and allows for another assessment of left ventricular function beyond ejection fraction.

Global Longitudinal Strain

Global longitudinal strain (GLS) is a measurement of change, expressed as a percentage, in length divided by the original length of the speckle pattern over the cardiac cycle [34]. The lower the GLS, the more abnormal the systolic function is in terms of 3D twisting movement. Current investigations aim to determine whether GLS can be used as a new diagnostic criterion for reduced systolic function. For patients with known heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, reduced GLS is a predictor of adverse events [35].

3.5. Cardiac MRI

The increased resolution and availability of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) machines has allowed for the widespread use of cardiac MRI to investigate heart failure. As a cross-sectional imaging modality, it has benefits over TTE including reduced intra-operator variability, and is not impacted by intervening structures (e.g., the ribs). Cardiac MRI is susceptible to motion artifact, and patients must be able to lie flat within a confined space for a prolonged period of time.

Compared to traditional echocardiography, cardiac MRI is known to have better sensitivity in detecting advanced image markers, including longitudinal strain, cardiac index, and bilateral ventricular hyperdynamic changes [36]. Additionally, gadolinium enhanced cardiac MRI can demonstrate features of myocardial fibrosis and oedema [36]. There is strong evidence that the degree of myocardial oedema is directly related to the degree of cirrhosis severity [36]. The findings on cardiac MRI in CCM are summarized in Table 3.

| Parameter          | Units       | Normal Range | Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy | Definition                                           |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Cardiac Index      | L/min/m²²   | 2.9          | 3.7                      | Cardiac output per surface area. Elevated in CCM due to hyperdynamic circulation |
| Left atrial volume | mL/m²²      | 34           | 53                       | End-diastolic left atrial volume. Increased in CCM and is load independent |
Table 3. Cont.

| Parameter                        | Units          | Normal Range | Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy | Definition                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Late Gadolinium Enhancement      |                | No enhancement | Enhancement present      | Gadolinium deposition within areas of myocardium disruption                |
| Longitudinal Strain              | %              | −22.5        | −18.5                    | Reduced in CCM due to reduced deformation of the LV during systole          |
| T1 Relaxation time               | msec           | 958          | 1008                     | Indicator of ventricle tissue integrity                                   |
| T2 Relaxation time               | msec           | 53           | 59                       | Indicator of oedema within ventricular tissue                              |
| Extracellular volume fraction    | %              | 23           | 34                       | Measurement of the extracellular volume within the myocardium. Marker of peri-cellular oedema |

Cardiac MRI is increasingly being used to diagnose CCM. In addition, the cardiac MRI independently correlates with the Child-Pugh status and survival (Table 4).

Table 4. Correlation between Child-Pugh Score and Cardiac MRI findings. Extra-cellular gadolinium deposition increases with Child-Pugh score, reflecting increased myocardium disruption. Relaxation time increases with reduced cardiac compliance.

| Parameters                                      | Child-Pugh A | Child-Pugh B | Child-Pugh C |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Late gadolinium enhancement                     | 1.6%         | 4.3%         | 13.1%        |
| Amount of gadolinium deposited in extracellular space |              |              |              |
| Control (0%)                                     |              |              |              |
| T1 relaxation time                               | 978 ms       | 1006 ms      | 1044 ms      |
| Colored mapping during T1 phase, Indicator of ventricle tissue integrity |              |              |              |
| Control (958 ms)                                 |              |              |              |

Given its utility, increasing availability, and robust ongoing research, cardiac MRI is likely to become part of the standard workup for cirrhotic cardiomyopathy and should be incorporated in the new diagnostic criteria.

4. Treatment of CCM

Studies on CCM treatment are extremely limited. This may be largely because CCM was recognized as a temporary phenomenon that could be corrected with a definite treatment plan of liver transplantation [37]. However, studies emerging within recent decades indicate a paradigm shift as CCM is being recognized as a major co-morbidity that requires expert evaluation with specific treatment end goals that must to be met to improve morbidity and mortality outcomes [38].

Treatment for cirrhotic cardiomyopathy is an area of ongoing research and includes a number of challenges. Patients recruited to clinical trials tend to have less severe cirrhosis [34]; however, most patients diagnosed with cirrhotic cardiomyopathy will have advanced cirrhosis. This creates a dilemma of whether treatment outcomes could be extrapolated and applied to other patients with CCM. Despite this challenge, investigation into the basic mechanisms of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy has uncovered some potential treatment targets, including the pro-inflammatory system and the endocannabinoid system [39]. Other treatments under investigation include traditional heart failure medications, with some trials showing positive results [40].

4.1. β Blockade

β blockers are the standard of care for many forms of cardiac failure, and their use improves mortality in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction [41]. However, cirrhosis is often an exclusion criterion in these trials. In patients with cirrhosis, β-blockers have
been studied in the treatment of portal hypertension [33]. Randomized controlled trials of metoprolol and carvedilol in patients with cirrhotic cardiomyopathy showed no significant differences in mortality, hospitalizations, or cardiac function [37]. It was postulated that negative results may have been due to inadequate heart rate suppression in these patients [37].

A trial performed by Silvestri et al. [37] managed to suppress the heart rate to less than 65 beats per minute, which is the standard for other clinical trials in cardiology. Despite this, the trial failed to show any differences in mortality, hospitalizations, or cardiac function [37]. This trial was limited to a small sample size, and lacked variation in β blockers being tested, limiting the possible inferences and increasing the likelihood of type II errors [37].

4.2. Ivabradine

Ivabradine, the Inward sodium potassium channel within sinoatrial node (If, or ‘funny channel’) inhibitor, has been shown to improve mortality in combination with beta blockers when used in patients with reduced ejection fraction [42]. The combination of ivabradine and carvedilol improves mortality in patients with cirrhotic cardiomyopathy with diastolic dysfunction compared with standard care [40]. This was demonstrated by Premkumar et al. [40] in a trial where a combination of low dose ivabradine and carvedilol were compared head-to-head with standard cirrhotic liver care. There was an improvement in mortality and a reduction in liver cirrhosis-related events in patients who were able to achieve a heart rate of 55 to 65 beats per minute.

Despite this positive outcome, it is important to recognize that these are early studies of the application of anti-congestive cardiac failure medication in CCM patients. The dose utilized by the Premkumar et al. [40] trial was low, with none of the known potential side effects, such as atrial fibrillation due to ivabradine, observed in patients in the treatment arm. One interpretation of these findings is that the boundary was perhaps not pushed hard enough out of an overabundance of caution. It will be critical to conduct more extensive studies, particularly on dosing, before β blockers and ivabradine become more routinely used in CCM.

4.3. Liver Transplantation

Patients with cirrhotic cardiomyopathy face significant risk in the pre-operative, intraoperative, and peri-operative period [43]. Liver transplantation has been thought of as the definitive intervention for cirrhotic cardiomyopathy [4,44]. Self-control studies have shown a significant reversal of cardiac changes post-transplant [43]. There is hope that the underlying process leading to improvement can be elucidated, and lead to future targeted therapies [4]. Following transplantation, there are improvements in QTc, exercise tolerance, echocardiography parameters, and biochemistry such as troponin and BNP. A summary of the issues for this discussion is shown in Figure 4.
In the pre-transplantation period, patients with CCM have a high prevalence of atrial fibrillation and QTc prolongation, along with cardiac failure-related complications including biventricular failure, pulmonary hypertension and cardio-renal diseases.

While it remains true that transplantation will reverse the majority of the pathology, some pathological processes may remain active beyond the peri-transplant period. For that reason, it is now routine practice for the screening of CCM to be done in all liver transplant candidates. Screening methods involve traditional transthoracic echocardiography or stress echocardiography. The application of transthoracic echocardiography in the paediatric population has been shown to significantly improve care by identifying high risk patients prior to transplantation [45]. Less favorable transthoracic echocardiography parameters are associated with a prolonged ICU length of stay in the post-transplant period, making it an invaluable pre-operative assessment tool [46]. We suggest that cardiac MRI should also be routinely performed where possible, given that it provides valuable information regarding cardiac function as well as for risk-stratifying the patient [36].

During the intra-operative state, patients with CCM have a much higher adverse outcome due to complex fluid status as well as significant negative inotropic effects of general anesthesia on a cardiovascular system already under stress [47]. Most transplant cases last for hours, and data is emerging which shows that, for patients with CCM, invasive blood pressure monitoring does not truly reflect perfusion [48]. There is thus a growing consensus that transesophageal echocardiography should be performed to help titrate inotropic support intra-operatively [49]. The application of echocardiography intra-operatively, however, is currently limited to specialized institutions, given that not all anaesthetists are trained in evaluating the cardiac output on invasive echocardiography. There is emerging evidence that comprehensive cardiac output assessment, such as pulse contour cardiac output, should be standard of care in all liver transplantations [47].

During the post-operative period, there are major changes in vascular resistance along with portal venous system that generate major stresses on the cardiovascular system [47]. These patients already face a challenging transplantation journey from a significant pre-transplant disease burden [50]. Unsurprisingly, a significant number of cardiac complications has been observed in transplant patients, with the most common being refractory hypotension [51]. The current literature suggests that transesophageal echocardiography should be done immediately post-transplant as standard of care, because it provides invaluable information relevant to the required level of post-transplant cardiac support [46] (see Figure 4).

In the peri-transplant setting, patients with CCM have a high prevalence of atrial fibrillation and QTc prolongation, along with cardiac failure-related complications including biventricular failure, pulmonary hypertension and cardio-renal diseases.

While it remains true that transplantation will reverse the majority of the pathology, some pathological processes may remain active beyond the peri-transplant period. For that reason, it is now routine practice for the screening of CCM to be done in all liver transplant candidates. Screening methods involve traditional transthoracic echocardiography or stress echocardiography. The application of transthoracic echocardiography in the paediatric population has been shown to significantly improve care by identifying high risk patients prior to transplantation [45]. Less favorable transthoracic echocardiography parameters are associated with a prolonged ICU length of stay in the post-transplant period, making it an invaluable pre-operative assessment tool [46]. We suggest that cardiac MRI should also be routinely performed where possible, given that it provides valuable information regarding cardiac function as well as for risk-stratifying the patient [36].

During the intra-operative state, patients with CCM have a much higher adverse outcome due to complex fluid status as well as significant negative inotropic effects of general anesthesia on a cardiovascular system already under stress [47]. Most transplant cases last for hours, and data is emerging which shows that, for patients with CCM, invasive blood pressure monitoring does not truly reflect perfusion [48]. There is thus a growing consensus that transesophageal echocardiography should be performed to help titrate inotropic support intra-operatively [49]. The application of echocardiography intra-operatively, however, is currently limited to specialized institutions, given that not all anaesthetists are trained in evaluating the cardiac output on invasive echocardiography. There is emerging evidence that comprehensive cardiac output assessment, such as pulse contour cardiac output, should be standard of care in all liver transplantations [47].

During the post-operative period, there are major changes in vascular resistance along with portal venous system that generate major stresses on the cardiovascular system [47]. These patients already face a challenging transplantation journey from a significant pre-transplant disease burden [50]. Unsurprisingly, a significant number of cardiac complications has been observed in transplant patients, with the most common being refractory hypotension [51]. The current literature suggests that transesophageal echocardiography should be done immediately post-transplant as standard of care, because it provides invaluable information relevant to the required level of post-transplant cardiac support [46] (see Figure 4).
5. Conclusions

CCM is a perplexing yet fascinating phenomenon that is not well-understood and is not yet well-treated in the clinical setting. Current diagnostic criteria for CCM need to be updated to include the most up-to-date imaging techniques. The pathophysiology of CCM is slowly becoming more apparent with advances in molecular medicine and an improved understanding of endocannabinoid receptors and the heme oxygenase system. A better understanding of pathophysiology and molecular targets will help guide the development of drug therapy for CCM. The safety and efficacy of such drugs will need to be established through rigorous clinical trials. Ivabradine is one example of a drug that has shown early promise. Finally, given the potential reversibility of CCM with liver transplantation, there is a pressing need for more clinical studies into optimal transplantation perioperative and postoperative management.
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