Performance Evaluation of Yield Monitoring System for Rice Combine Harvester in Selangor, Malaysia

Renny Eka Putri#, Azmi Yahya*1, Nor Maria Adam* and Samsuzana Abd Aziz*2

# Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty Agricultural Technology, University Andalas, West Sumatera, Indonesia
E-mail: renny.ekaputri@yahoo.co.id

*Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Faculty Engineering, University Putra Malaysia, 43400, Selangor, Malaysia
E-mail: 1azmiy@eng.upm.edu.my; 2samsuzana@upm.edu.my

+ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty Engineering, University Putra Malaysia, 43400, Selangor, Malaysia
E-mail: mariah@upm.edu.my

Abstract—Yield monitoring system constructed for combine harvester was able to monitor and store required, measured attributes during field tests. A rugged, wireless crop yield monitoring instrumentation system was employed on a rice combine harvester to measure instantaneous rice yield in this study. A mild steel chute mounted with SWR SolidFlow microwave type flow sensor and SWR M-Sens 2 microwave type moisture sensor were located at the end top of the clean grain auger of the combine harvester to measure the moisture content of the grain transferred by the auger. The objective of conducted performance test was to check both the operational and robustness of the instrumentation system under the actual harvesting operation with the combine in the paddy field. During harvesting, the embedded system, DGPS, router and all sensors within the instrumentation system functioned reliable. Instrumentation system records combined multiple data by following moisture content (%), cutting width (mm), elevator rotation speed (RPM) and combine speed (km/hr). Through yield monitoring and yield mapping, the rice farmers could correct soil nutrient deficiencies as indicated by the yield variabilities within the plot for the next cropping season.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A yield monitor system is installed on the combine harvester so that crop yield data can be collected automatically during the harvesting operation. Yield monitoring makes it possible to provide farmers a new information management tools to optimize the crop inputs and outputs, and better manage their farm operations [1]. By means of yield monitoring and mapping, farmers will investigate option for reducing the production cost while increasing crop yield. Using this technology, farmer will developed proficiency with chemicals and fertilizer application at the right amount, right time and right locations in the field. Farmers will realize the potential of greater profitability with lower input costs, and identification of poor producing areas of their fields.

Development and practical use of yield monitoring in grain combine harvesters has been conducted throughout the years [2-8]. The yield monitoring system was used during harvesting operation to determine the yield variability within the harvested paddy area. In yield monitoring, measurements of instantaneous flow of collected clean grain at the grain tank was collected and then plotted against spatial coordinate to generate a crop yield map. Relating the yield map with other input maps may reveal the root causes of low yield at the relevant location with the paddy. Variability of yield is quantified by the yield map to explain the reasons why certain areas only produce a low yield. Following from these analysis actions could be taken within critical regions of the paddy to improve yield for the upcoming crop cropping seasons.

Ju [9] developed a simple, portable and rugged instrumentation system that could be directly used on any rice combine harvester from different makes and models to monitor, measure and record in real-time the harvested crop yield. The basis of the technology, a microwave solid flow sensor was used in place of the more common force-impetus...
sensor. In the study, a mild steel chute mounted with SWR SolidFlow microwave type flow sensor and SWR M-Sen 2 microwave moisture sensor were positioned at the end of the clean grain auger measure to the mass flow rate and moisture content of the grain transferred by the auger into the grain tank. The objective of performance test was to check the operational of yield monitoring system under the actual harvesting operation with the combine in the paddy field.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The main components of instrumentation system for measuring and monitoring travel speed, cutting width, elevator speed, grain flow, grain moisture, and the geospatial position of the combine harvester (Fig. 1 and 2). The detail function of the related components of the instrumentation system is summarized in Table 1.

![Fig 1. Schematic diagram of the complete instrumentation system [10].](image)

![Fig 2. Combine harvester with instrumentation system [10].](image)

A special housing was constructed to contain the National Instrument CompactRio 9004 embedded system with NI 9221 I/O module, National Instrument 2016 touch panel component (TPC), Trimble AgGPS 132 DGPS receiver and two evaluation units for the SWR Solid flow sensor and SWR M-Sens 2 moisture sensor. The latitude and longitude position of each sampling point was recorded using Omron EP4A-LS200-M1-N ultrasonic displacement sensors. The SWR M-Sens 2 microwave type moisture sensor was located at the grain leveling auger at the top of the combine grain elevator while the SWR SolidFlow microwave type flow sensor was mounted to the elbow chute located at the end of the grain leveling auger. Data from the embedded system were wirelessly transferred through the router to the toughbook at the on-ground workstation. The system used a portable generator set for a power supply. Concurrently, data received by the toughbook displayed the operator at the on-ground workstation and subsequently stored to hard drive.

| Name of Component | Function |
|--------------------|----------|
| National Instrument CompactRio 9004 embedded system with NI 9221 I/O module | Controls for acquiring, conditioning, amplifying, and processing sensor signals and DGPS receiver output |
| National Instrument 2016 TPC | Displays the measured data to the combine operator. |
| Panasonic CF-19 toughbook with in-house National Instrument LabVIEW 8.6 software | Controls for receiving, displaying and saving the measured data. |
| D-link DIR-655 router with 3 D-link ANT24-0700 antennas and a D-link DWA-140 USB adapter | Provides wireless communication between the embedded system and the toughbook. |
| Trimble AgGPS 132 DGPS | Measures geospatial position of the combine harvester in the field. |
| Omron EP4A-LS200-M1-N ultrasonic displacement sensors | Measures the harvested cutting width of the combine. |
| ONO SOKKI MP-810 electromagnetic rotation detector | Measures the rotational speed of the combine grain elevator. |
| SWR SolidFlow microwave type flow sensor and evaluation unit | Measures the moisture content of clean grain going into the grain tank. |
| SWR M-Sens 2 microwave type moisture sensor and evaluation unit | Measures the flow of clean grain dropping into the grain tank. |
| HONDA EU20i generator set with the power distribution box on board | Provides the input power to run the system. |

Source: A. Yahya, et al (2012)
Both the TPC on the housing and the toughbook monitor were programmed to display the universal time coordinated (UTC) time, local time, latitude, north/south indicator, longitude, east/west indicator, position fix, satellites used, horizontal dilution of precision (HDOP), altitude, DGPS station ID and checksum of DGPS system, left crop position distance, right crop position distance, combine cutting width, grain flow, instantaneous crop yield, total grain, combine elevator rotational speed, grain moisture content and combine travel speed. Data were safe to the Toughbook hard drive in ASCII format.

This study was conducted in the rice fields at Blok E5 Parit Timur 5 of Sungai Besar, Selangor at latitude 3° 41’ 30.187” N and longitude 101° 01’ 41.877” E location. The rice area is located on a flat coastal plain under the Integrated Agricultural Development Authority (IADA) Rice Granary within the district of Kuala Selangor and Sabak Bernam. The district of Sungai Besar is well known as one of the main rice growing area in Malaysia. Three rice plots with an individual size of 1.09 ha were randomly selected from the 40 available rice plots within the Parit 5 rice area of Sungai Besar. Field observations and data collection on the selected rice plots were done in two consecutive rice growing seasons.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The yield monitoring instrumentation system constructed for combine harvester was able to monitor and store required, measured attributes during field tests. During harvesting, the embedded system, DGPS, router and all sensors within the instrumentation system functioned reliably. During the harvesting process, instrumentation system records combined multiple data by following cutting width (mm), elevator rotation speed (RPM) and combine speed (km/hr).

A. Instantaneous Yield

The instantaneous yield by the instrumentation system on-board the combine was recorded in ton per hectare. The tonnage rate was calculated from flow rate sensor in kilograms per hour and the area of cut in m² which was calculated from the cutting width in meter multiplied by the travelled speed of the combine. Although using same seeds, uniform fertilizer application and pest control system were used within each lot, yield variability within the rice plot. It is possible as mentioned by Blackmore [11] as he stated that this might be caused by two factors which are permanent features and variable features. The permanent features of the field include soil type, topography, streams, and high trees. The variable features include ununiformed distribution of seed, fertilizer application, and pest control.

Harvested rice yield from instrumentation system for the rice plots were interpolated using kriging implementation of Arc GIS 10.1. The purpose of interpolation was to produce a surface coverage or spatial distribution of the yield parameter. ESRI [12] introduced a classification technique of smart quartiles. This method was selected through visualized variability based on the natural grouping of data values. The entire yield map was divided into five zone areas of yield. The kriged maps were able to show the variations in yield within each individual field plots, and at the same time able to indicate which locations within the field plots had low yields. These maps will become important management tool for remedial agronomic actions to improve crop yield during the following growing season.

B. Instantaneous Moisture Content

The SWR M-Sens 2 microwave type moisture sensor was used to determine the instantaneous moisture content during harvest operations. The most dominant distribution of the instantaneous moisture content was the high moisture content range of 24 to 26% which covered 26.41% and 44.70% of the total area in first and second growing season, respectively. Figure 4 show the kriged map of moisture content distribution in paddy plot.

C. Combine Track

The combine harvester was operated in circuitous round corner patterns around field border followed by the headland pattern from boundaries to finish harvesting of the middle area for all plots (figure 5).
D. Cutting Width

The combine harvester was consistently operated at the maximum cutting width ranging from 3601 to 4000 mm. As shown in the plot maps, the combine harvester was operated at a greater cutting width at the beginning of the harvesting operation especially along the sub plot side length boundary and at the lower cutting width as the combine harvester began harvesting in a headland pattern. Figure 6 show combine cutting width maps of associated paddy lots.

E. Elevator Speed

Combine elevator speed map is displayed in Figure 7, as shown in krigged maps that associated rice plot have dominant high combine elevator speed range of 230 to 240 rpm which covered up to 86.11% of the total area for both growing season (figure 7).

F. Combine Speed

The most dominant combine speed of associated plots was moderate speed range of 2.6 to 3.4 km/hr which covered up to 71.61 % of the total area (figure 8). The combine harvester unloaded periodically into the lorry for transport of the grain to the collection point.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the field test on the yield monitoring system has been successfully to monitor harvested crop yield and combine operating parameters. The results have showed that all the sensors on the instrumentation system were able to measure, display and record all the parameters using the instrumentation system during the harvesting operation. The instantaneous crop yield plotted maps were able to show the variations in instantaneous crop within each individual field plots and at the same time able to indicate which area locations within the individual field plots having low yields for any possible remedial in-situ agronomic actions to be taken to improve the crop yield of the field plot for the next coming growing season.
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