Abstract: Introduction: Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is a standard procedure at the Oncology Institute of Vojvodina since 1999 and during this period we have done more than 1700 biopsies. The aim of this study was to present our results in SLNB in breast cancer surgery.

Materials and methods: This retrospective study was performed at the Department for Surgical Oncology at the Vojvodina Institute of Oncology in the period from January 1999 to December 2019. The study included 1762 patients who had undergone SLNB. The mean duration of the follow-up period was 121.89 months. All patients were women with clinically T1-2N0-1M0 breast cancer. Preoperatively, all patients were administered dual contrast media, radiotracer, and blue dye.

Results: The majority of tumors were T1c (955 (54.18%). The mean number of extirpated sentinel lymph nodes (SLN) in both groups was 1.84. In 199 (36.72%) patients SLN was the only metastatic lymph node in the axilla. Micro metastases were found in 113 (21.03%) patients. The overall diagnostic accuracy of SLNB was 96%.

Conclusion: Axillary SLN can serve as a reliable predictor of negativity of other ipsilateral axillary nodes. Micro metastases in the SLN are not an indication for complete axillary lymph node dissection.
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INTRODUCTION

Between the Halsted and Fisher concept in breast cancer treatment, almost 100 years had passed. During that period of time, it has been recognised that breast cancer biology, is a major risk factor in the determination of both systematic and locoregional recurrence (1,2).

Giuliano has introduced the concept of sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) in breast cancer in 1997. After that, the use of complete axillary lymph node dissection (cALND) decreased from 94% to 36% (3,4).

Sentinel lymph node biopsy in breast cancer has been a standard procedure at the Oncology Institute of Vojvodina since 1999 and we have done more than 3500 biopsies.

Complete cALND is an effective method of maintaining regional control of the disease but it is associated with a significant risk of complications like lymphedema.

The aim of this study is to present our results of SLNB in breast cancer surgery at the Department of Surgical Oncology, Oncology Institute of Vojvodina.
All patients were women with clinically T1-2N0-M0 breast cancer.

Preoperatively, all patients were administered dual contrast media, radiotracer (antimony sulfide nano colloid marked with technetium 1.56 mg/ml; 0.3 mCi (11.1 MBq) – Institute for nuclear science „Vinča“. Laboratory for radioisotopes. Belgrade, Republic of Serbia) and blue dye (Blu metilen® 1% – S. A. L. F. S. p. A. Laboratorio farmacologico® Cenate Soto, Republic of Italy). Both contrasts were administered subcutaneously above the primary tumor and in some cases periareolar when the localisation of the primary tumor was near to the ipsilateral axilla and in cases of multifocal or multicentric tumors. The application was made with thin needles (25 G). The radiotracer was administered 2-16 hours preoperatively, and blue dye 15 minutes before the surgery.

For the detection of the accumulated radioactivity in the sentinel lymph node (SLN), we used an intraoperative handheld (wired or Bluetooth) gamma probe (10 mm) to identify nodes with the greatest numerical and sound activity (Figure 1) Europrobe® 3.2, Eurorad order for the reason of not contaminating the SLN with tumor cells from the primary tumor and to obtaining false-positive results.

Immediately after the extirpation of the SLN specimen was sent for intraoperative frozen section evaluation (ex tempore). Depending on the results of the extempore SLN analysis dissection of other axillary lymph nodes was omitted or performed. In cases of micrometastases in the SLN axillary dissection was omitted.

RESULTS

Solitary tumors were found in 1662 (94.33%) and multifocal tumors in 100 (5.67%) patients. The most common histological type in both groups was ductal carcinoma found in 1089 (61.79%) patients. The majority of tumors were T1c (955 (54.18%). In the T2 group with positive SLN was 158 (8.96%) and negative SLN was 199 (11.29%) patients. SLN was negative in 1220 (69.24%) and positive in 542 (30.76%) patients (Table 1).

The mean number of extirpated SLNs in both groups (SLN positive and SLN negative) was 1.84 (median 1, range 1-6). The mean number of dissected axillary lymph nodes in the group with positive SLN on paraffin-embedded tissue sections was 18.49 (median 17, range 8-28 after cALND) (Table 2).

In 199 (36.72%) patients SLN was the only metastatic lymph node in the axilla after cALND. In the

| Tumor          | Positive SLN | Negative SLN | Total  |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
|                | Number  | Percent (%) | Number | (%)     | Number   | (%)     |
| Tumor          | Solitary  | 773         | 43.87  | 889     | 50.46    | 1662    | 94.33  |
|                | Multifocal| 74          | 4.21   | 26      | 1.46     | 100     | 5.67   |
| Histological type | Ductal  | 566         | 32.13  | 523     | 29.66    | 1089    | 61.79  |
|                | Lobular   | 266         | 15.08  | 323     | 18.35    | 589     | 33.43  |
|                | Other     | 76          | 4.33   | 61      | 3.45     | 137     | 7.78   |

Table 1. Tumor characteristic in groups with positive and negative SLN
group with positive SLN, micrometastases were found in 113 (21.03%) patients, and in these cases, we have omitted cALND. The overall diagnostic accuracy of SNB was 96% (Table 3).

In 65 patients tumors metastasized to bones in the SLN positive and negative groups. In the SLN negative group, we did not find metastases in the lungs and brain. Metastases were found in 133 patients (7.55% of the total number of patients). Fifteen patients died due to distant metastases in the SLN positive group during the follow-up period (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

From the introduction of the SLNB into clinical practice in breast cancer surgery the use of cALND has decreased and the use of SLNB increased.

Histopathological classification of SLN defines three subtypes: macro metastases larger than 2 mm, micrometastatic foci smaller than 2 mm, and isolated tumor cells (5, 6). Novel studies have shown that only in cases where macrometastases are found in SLN, cALND is justified, in other cases, cALND should be omitted (6, 7).

The findings from the ACOSOG Z0011 study showed that at a median follow-up of 9.3 years, SLNB was non-inferior to cALND in both overall and disease-free survival, and routine use of cALND is not indicated (HR: 1.3) (5).

Some studies claimed several tumor factors indicated an early risk of recurrence, in first-line hormone-receptor negative and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive and triple-negative tumors but ACOSOG Z0011 study in these cases (5, 6, 8). But in this last-mentioned study, high-risk patients were treated with neoadjuvant systemic therapy and the impact of it was significant.

Age of patients is also one of the respected criteria to omit cALND (patients older than 70 years). Younger patients with HER2-positive/triple-negative breast cancer would likely become cALND (5-8).

The role of the regional nodal irradiation for patients with breast cancer remains controversial, particularly based on nodal involvement. The study from Moreno et al concluded that whole breast irradiation with regional nodal irradiation did not affect 5-year overall survival rates for women with high-risk, early-stage breast cancer undergoing breast-conserving surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy, regardless of nodal status (9). On the opposite, the MA20 trial data showed that combined regional radiotherapy and cALND improved disease outcomes compared to stand-alone cALND (10).

The overall diagnostic accuracy of SNB in our study was 96% including patients with or without

| Positive SLN | Negative SLN | Total |
|--------------|--------------|-------|
| T1a: 0.1 - 0.5 | 33 (1.90) | 99 (5.57) | 132 (7.47) |
| T1b: 0.5 - 1.0 | 69 (3.89) | 249 (14.21) | 318 (18.10) |
| T1c: 1.0 - 2.0 | 282 (16.01) | 673 (38.17) | 955 (54.18) |
| T2: 2.0 - 3.0 | 158 (8.96) | 199 (11.29) | 357 (20.25) |
| **Total** | 542 (30.76) | 1220 (69.24) | 1762 (100.00) |

| Positive SLN | Negative SLN | Total |
|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Bones | 56 (38.35) | 9 (6.77) | 65 (48.87) |
| Liver | 32 (24.06) | 8 (6.02) | 40 (30.08) |
| Lungs | 21 (15.79) | 0 (0.00) | 21 (15.79) |
| Brain | 7 (5.26) | 0 (0.00) | 7 (5.26) |
| **Total** | 116 (83.46) | 17 (12.79) | 133 (100.00) |

**Table 2. Tumor characteristics (T) in groups with positive and negative SLN**

**Table 3. Distant metastases according to SLN status**

**Figure 3. Death due to metastases**
neoadjuvant chemotherapy. A meta-analysis from El Hage Chehade et al after neoadjuvant systemic therapy show a false-negative rate of 13%, an SLN identification rate of 91%, and a pathological complete response (pCR) of 47% (11). The pathological complete response is defined as the ‘absence of vital tumor cells. The SLNB is, therefore, a viable alternative to cALND provided that at least two nodes are sampled and there is radiological evidence of response to neoadjuvant systemic therapy. The high rate of PCR suggests that ALND may be over-treatment for these node-positive patients if performed routinely and SLNB after neoadjuvant therapy can lead to the omission of ALND in a significant proportion of patients who are node-positive prior to the initiation of therapy, resulting in reduced morbidity (especially lymphedema) and hospitalisation and better quality of life for patients (12, 13).

Our results in SLNB are constant and in correlation with our results from 2012 in terms of identification end extirpation of SLN using booth contrast media (14).

The question is, could we omit the use of SLNB and cALND in patients with node-positive disease after neoadjuvant systemic therapy? The MARI procedure is a novel technique where the largest (positive) node in the ipsilateral axilla is marked with an iodine-125 seed which is placed underultrasound guidance. This study found that 74% of patients could have avoided cALND (15). The negative side of this procedure is the cost of using positron emission tomography, computed tomography and it is not applicable in most countries around the world.

CONCLUSION

To avoid a high rate of false-negative results, SLNB should be performed using the double-contrast method (radiotracer and color). The first performed should be SLNB and then breast-conserving surgery or mastectomy to avoid false-positive results due to the transportation of cancer cells from the primary tumor to SLN. Axillary SLN can serve as a reliable predictor of negativity of other ipsilateral axillary nodes, and cALND could be omitted to avoid postoperative complications associated with this procedure. Micrometastases in the SLN (real micrometastases or groups of isolated cells) are not an indication for cALND.

Abbreviations

SLNB — sentinel lymph node biopsy
cALND — complete axillary lymph node dissection
SLN — sentinel lymph node
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