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Abstract

Background: Patients hospitalized with hematologic malignancy are particularly vulnerable to infection. We sought to determine the risk of Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) in hospitalization with multiple myeloma (MM), as well as its outcomes and trends, using a nationally representative database.

Methods: The Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) from January 2010 to September 2015 was used for this study. We identified all patients aged 18 years or older with a diagnosis of MM using the International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes. We identified trends in the annual rates of CDI in MM using negative binomial regressions with robust error variance. We conducted multivariate logistic regression to determine the incidence and the associated risk factors of CDI in MM and compared the outcomes between those with and without CDI using the propensity score method inverse probability weighting to adjust for baseline covariates.

Results: In our cohort study of 114,249 MM patients, 45.96% were females and 54.04% were males. CDI was present in 3.1% of the MM patients. The number of CDI cases increased over the study period with an average rate of 3.27% per year. The mortality rate decreased over the same period with an average rate of 10% decrease per year. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), neutropenia, inflammatory disease, atrial fibrillation (AF), and chronic kidney disease (CKD) were significant associated risk factors of CDI in MM patients. After adjusting for covariates, patients with CDI had a prolonged hospital stay, inpatient mortality, and significantly increased odds of acute kidney injury (AKI) and AKI requiring hemodialysis, along with higher healthcare resources utilization with significantly higher hospital costs.

Conclusion: MM patients with CDI have significantly increased odds of inpatient mortality, AKI, and AKI requiring hemodialysis. They also have increased healthcare resource utilization compared with those without CDI. Despite the increased rate of the CDI over the years, the mortality rate is going down.

Introduction

Clostridium difficile infections (CDI) have become the most common cause of healthcare-associated infections in the United States (US) hospitals, and the excess healthcare costs related to CDI are estimated to be as much as 4.8 billion US dollars for acute care facilities alone [1]. Patients admitted for hematopoietic malignancy have an increased risk of CDI, and multiple risk factors have been reported, such as chemotherapy and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) [2]. Multiple myeloma (MM) accounts for more than 17% of hematologic malignancies in the US [3]. To our knowledge, no large sample study evaluating the association between MM and CDI has been reported. Furthermore, in a recent cohort study, CDI was reported as the most common bacterial infection, occurring in one-third of those patients post-HSCT [2]. CDI most often occurred before engraftment, suggesting that neutropenia, more intense exposure to antimicrobials, immunosuppression, and transmission in the hospital environment are likely to be major risk factors. The risk factors and the outcomes of CDI in patients with hematopoietic malignancies, such as leukemia and lymphoma, have been well-documented [4]; a single center study has shown that levofloxacin prophylaxis in MM patients undergoing autologous HSCT increased the risk of CDI [5]. However, only limited data have assessed the incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of CDI in patients with MM. This study evaluates the incidence, associated risk factors, outcomes, and trends of CDI in a cohort of MM patients utilizing the largest all-payer, inpatient database in the US.

Materials And Methods

This was a cross-sectional study of hospital admissions for patients with a diagnosis of MM between 2010 and September 2015. This study was conducted using the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and
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Quality (AHRQ). The NIS is a yearly survey of 20% of every admission from more than 4,000 hospitals across 30 states in the US and the District of Columbia [6]. The NIS has been validated in numerous studies to provide reliable estimates of admissions within the US [7-8]. Methods of data collection and administration of the NIS are detailed by the HCUP [9]. This study was considered exempt from Institutional Review Board approval of EHMC because the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project-NIS dataset contain de-identified patient information.

We identified hospitalizations for adults aged 18 years or older with a discharge diagnosis of multiple myeloma using the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes. We performed a comparative analysis of the outcomes of multiple myeloma patients with or without CDI on admission. Our primary outcome was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes included the length of stay, hospitalization costs, acute kidney injury, and acute kidney injury requiring dialysis. A list of ICD-9-CM codes used to define clinical outcomes and comorbidities is included in Table 1. We estimated the cost of each inpatient stay by multiplying the total hospital charges with cost-to-charge ratios [10]. To account for the effect of inflation on hospital charges, we used data from the Bureau of Labor Statistic’s medical care component of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) and presented the data in 2017 US dollars [11].

| Variables                      | ICD-9-CM diagnostic/procedural codes and CCS codes |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Patient Population             |                                                   |
| Multiple myeloma               | 203.0; 203; 203.00; 203.02                         |
| Clostridium difficile infection| 008.45                                            |
| Comorbidities                  |                                                   |
| Prior cerebrovascular disease  | V12.54; 438.x                                      |
| Coronary artery disease        | 412; 414.00 - 414.07; 414.2; 414.3; 414.8; 414.9   |
| Congestive heart failure       | 402.01; 402.11; 402.91; 404.01; 404.03; 404.11; 404.13; 404.91; 404.93; 428 |
| Smoking                        | V1562 and 305.1                                    |
| Dyslipidemia                   | CCS code 53                                       |
| Prior myocardial infarction    | V45.82                                            |
| Prior percutaneous coronary intervention | 412           |
| Prior coronary artery bypass grafting | V45.81     |
| Prior pacemaker                | V45.01                                            |
| Stem cell transplantation      | V42.82; V42.81                                     |
| Neutropenia                    | 288.0; 28801; 28802; 288.03; 28804; 284.1          |
| Chemotherapy                   | V58.1; V58.11; V67.2; V67.41                      |
| Inflammatory bowel disease     | 555.9; 560.89; 556.8; 556                          |
| In-hospital Clinical Outcome   |                                                   |
| Renal complications            |                                                   |
| Acute kidney injury            | 584.5 to 584.9                                     |
| Hemodialysis                   | 39.85                                              |
| Acute kidney injury requiring dialysis | 584.5 to 584.9, plus 39.85 |

**TABLE 1: International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) Codes**

CCS: Clinical Classifications Software
location, teaching status), and relevant comorbidities. The severity of comorbidities was quantified using the Elixhauser comorbidity index (ECI) [12].

**Statistical analysis**

All the data extraction and analyses were done with the Statistical Analysis System (SAS), V.9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), and P-values are two-sided with a significance threshold of < 0.05. The Bonferroni corrected p-value was reported for multiple comparisons. We used absolute standardized differences (ASD) to compare the baseline characteristics. ASD (calculated as the differences in means or proportions divided by a pooled estimated of the standard deviation (SD)) is not as sensitive to sample size compared with traditional significance testing and hence, is useful in identifying clinically meaningful differences. An ASD of > 0.1 is considered clinically meaningful [13].

To minimize the effect of confounding and obtain an unbiased estimate of the effect of CDI on clinical outcomes, differences in baseline characteristics of the patients with or without CDI were balanced using propensity score methods to estimate the average effect of CDI on clinical outcomes. First, we conducted multivariate logistic regression to estimate the propensity score representing the probability of developing CDI based on an individual’s covariates. The following data were not available for a percentage of patients: race (6.31%), primary expected payer (0.19%), socioeconomic status (2.02%), hospital bed size (0.29%), and teaching hospital status (0.29%). We excluded the patients with the missing observations from the model given that observations were missing at random, except for race, where the missing observations were replaced with the dominant category. The propensity scores were used to calculate the inverse probability of CDI-weighted estimations for each patient included in the study [14]. For inverse probability weighing regression, individuals with CDI were weighted by the reciprocal of their propensity score, and individuals without CDI were weighted by one, minus the reciprocal of their propensity score. Once the weights were applied, the balance was assessed by examining standardized differences between the two groups [15].

We used generalized estimating equations with an exchangeable working correlation matrix accounting for clustering of outcomes within the hospital to determine the factors associated with developing CDI in MM. The model included patient-level variables, such as age, sex, and comorbidities, as well as hospital-level variables, such as hospital size (number of beds), hospital region, and teaching status. Choice of covariates for the multivariate analyses was based on the plausibility that they could be associated with CDI. For outcome computation after the propensity score method, binary outcomes, including inpatient mortality, were computed with binomial logistic regressions. For the discrete numeric overdispersed count distribution variable (the length of stay), the generalized linear model regressions with log link function with negative binomial distribution were used; total hospital cost, a continuous variable, generalized linear model regressions with a gamma distribution, and log link function were employed. We reported the odds ratio (OR) for our binary outcome and mean ratios (MR) for the numeric outcomes. We evaluated a trend in mortality by fitting a Poisson regression model with a robust error variance to evaluate for changes in CDI per year (adjusting for demographics, comorbidities, and hospital characteristics) and inserting the year variable into the model assuming the association to be linear.

As recommended by HCUP, analyses were performed in SAS with appropriate statements to account for the complex clustered sampling methodology [10, 16].

**Results**

Table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of MM hospitalizations by CDI. An estimated 561,384 hospitalizations with MM were identified. Participants were predominantly white (65.25%) and males. They had mostly been admitted to urban teaching hospitals, and a large percentage of patients had an Elixhauser comorbidity score of 4 or more. Of these, 3.11 % had CDI. Compared with patients without CDI, patients with CDI were more likely to have CKD, AF, HSCT, neutropenia, inflammatory bowel disease, and a higher comorbidity index.

|                        | Multiple Myeloma | Total       | #ASD |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------|------|
| **No. of observation unweighted** |                  |             |      |
| Yes                    | 3,549 (3.11%)    | 110,700 (96.89%) | 114,249 |
| No                     |                  |             |      |
| **No. of observation weighted** | 17,470           | 543,915     | 561,384 |
| Age categories         |                  |             |      |
| 18-49                  | 5.42             | 5.80        | 5.79 |
| 50-59                  | 14.97            | 15.67       | 15.64 |
| 60-69                  | 31.38            | 27.88       | 27.99 |
| Age          | 29.97 | 29.16 | 29.18 |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| ≥ 80        | 18.26 | 21.50 | 21.39 |
| Female      | 48.67 | 45.87 | 45.96 |
|             |       |       | 0.06  |
| Race/Ethnicity |     |       | 0.05  |
| White       | 67.02 | 65.20 | 65.25 |
| Black       | 21.13 | 22.41 | 22.37 |
| Hispanic    | 7.40  | 7.66  | 7.65  |
| #Others     | 4.45  | 4.74  | 4.73  |
| Comorbidities |     |       |       |
| Dyslipidemia| 25.77 | 29.22 | 29.11 |
| Atrial fibrillation | 21.60 | 17.32 | 17.47 |
| Chronic obstructive lung disease | 17.21 | 16.69 | 16.71 |
| Hypertension | 59.22 | 58.82 | 58.83 |
| Peripheral vascular diseases | 5.45  | 4.84  | 4.85  |
| Stem cell transplantation | 13.94 | 9.88  | 10.01 |
| Neutropenia | 12.75 | 7.63  | 7.79  |
| Chemotherapy | 7.81  | 8.23  | 8.22  |
| Inflammatory bowel disease | 4.38  | 2.59  | 2.64  |
| Diabetes    | 25.37 | 25.39 | 25.39 |
| Obesity     | 7.30  | 7.37  | 7.39  |
| Deficiency anemia | 40.30 | 38.83 | 38.88 |
| Congestive heart failure | 19.56 | 20.99 | 19.60 |
| Chronic renal disease | 38.30 | 31.09 | 31.31 |
| Liver disease | 2.20  | 2.30  | 2.29  |
| Elixhauser score |       |       | 0.23  |
| 0           | 4.77  | 7.62  | 7.53  |
| 1 - 3       | 25.70 | 33.93 | 33.67 |
| ≥ 4         | 69.52 | 58.56 | 58.80 |
| Hospital bed size |     |       | 0.07  |
| Small       | 11.03 | 12.84 | 12.78 |
| Medium      | 21.87 | 23.36 | 23.31 |
| Large       | 67.09 | 63.80 | 63.90 |
| Hospital teaching status |     |       | 0.10  |
| Rural       | 5.58  | 8.26  | 8.17  |
| Urban, non-teaching | 27.88 | 30.31 | 30.23 |
| Urban, teaching | 66.54 | 61.44 | 61.60 |
| Expected primary payer |     |       | 0.07  |
| Medicare    | 66.42 | 67.19 | 67.16 |
| Medicaid    | 5.71  | 6.03  | 6.02  |
| Private     | 24.75 | 23.13 | 23.18 |
### Median household income in quartile

| Quartile | 1st  | 2nd  | 3rd  | 4th  | Median difference |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------------------|
|          | 24.95 | 22.80 | 25.24 | 27.01 | 0.07             |
| 1st      | 27.25 | 24.41 | 24.51 | 23.84 | 27.18            |
| 2nd      | 24.36 | 24.36 | 24.53 | 23.93 | 24.30            |

### Hospital region

| Region    | 1st  | 2nd  | 3rd  | 4th  | Median difference |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------------------|
| Northeast | 22.04 | 22.35 | 22.34 | 22.24 | 0.05             |
| Midwest   | 22.79 | 22.79 | 22.87 | 22.87 | 22.79            |
| South     | 38.36 | 38.36 | 38.28 | 38.28 | 38.32            |
| West      | 16.50 | 16.50 | 16.51 | 16.51 | 16.50            |

### Multiple Myeloma

| Multiple Myeloma | #ASD |
|------------------|------|
| Yes              | 3273 |
| No               | 3318 |

### Age categories

| Age category | 1st  | 2nd  | 3rd  | 4th  | Median difference |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|
| 18-49        | 5.38 | 5.38 | 5.38 | 5.38 | 0.09             |
| 50-59        | 14.36| 15.98| 15.98| 15.98| 15.98            |
| 60-69        | 31.16| 28.50| 28.50| 28.50| 28.50            |
| 70-79        | 30.13| 28.71| 28.71| 28.71| 28.71            |
| ≥ 80         | 18.97| 21.05| 21.05| 21.05| 21.05            |

### Female

| Gender | 1st  | 2nd  | 3rd  | 4th  | Median difference |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------------------|
| Female | 48.64| 48.69| 48.69| 48.69| 0.00             |

### Race/Ethnicity

| Race/Ethnicity | 1st  | 2nd  | 3rd  | 4th  | Median difference |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|
| White          | 67.31| 67.61| 67.61| 67.61| 0.05             |
| Black          | 20.99| 21.12| 21.12| 21.12|                  |
| Hispanic       | 7.27 | 6.99 | 6.99 | 6.99 |                  |
| #Others        | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.28 | 4.28 |                  |

### Comorbidities

| Comorbidities | 1st  | 2nd  | 3rd  | 4th  | Median difference |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|
| Dyslipidemia  | 26.09| 25.64| 25.64| 25.64| 0.01             |
| Atrial fibrillation | 21.84 | 21.53 | 21.53 | 21.53 | 0.01           |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 17.51 | 17.66 | 17.66 | 17.66 | 0.00           |
| Hypertension  | 60.10| 59.95| 59.95| 59.95| 0.00             |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 5.44 | 5.52 | 5.52 | 5.52 | 0.00           |
| Stem cell transplantation | 13.84 | 13.92 | 13.92 | 13.92 | 0.00           |
| Neutropenia   | 12.74| 12.85| 12.85| 12.85| 0.00             |
| Chemotherapy  | 7.82 | 7.63 | 7.63 | 7.63 | 0.01             |
| Inflammatory bowel disease | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 0.00           |
| Diabetes      | 25.76| 25.70| 25.70| 25.70| 0.00             |
| Obesity       | 7.42 | 7.54 | 7.54 | 7.54 | 0.00             |
| Deficiency anemia | 41.19 | 40.94 | 40.94 | 40.94 | 0.01           |
| Condition                          | ASD | IPW ASD | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|
| Congestive heart failure          | 21.81 | 21.92 | 0.00    |
| Chronic renal disease             | 38.89 | 38.30 | 0.01    |
| Liver disease                     | 2.17  | 2.24   | 0.01    |
| Smoking                           | 18.45 | 18.45 | 0.00    |
| Elixhauser score                  |      |        | 0.00    |
| 0                                 | 4.55  | 4.62   |         |
| 1 - 3                             | 25.73 | 26.04  |         |
| ≥ 4                               | 69.72 | 69.33  |         |
| Hospital bed size                 |      |        | 0.03    |
| Small                             | 11.34 | 11.68  |         |
| Medium                            | 22.12 | 21.91  |         |
| Large                             | 66.54 | 66.41  |         |
| Hospital teaching status          |      |        | 0.05    |
| Rural                             | 5.41  | 5.90   |         |
| Urban, non-teaching               | 29.48 | 29.74  |         |
| Urban, teaching                   | 65.11 | 64.36  |         |
| Expected primary payer            |      |        | 0.00    |
| Medicare                          | 67.74 | 67.69  |         |
| Medicaid                          | 5.47  | 5.48   |         |
| Private                           | 23.93 | 24.08  |         |
| Others                            | 2.87  | 2.75   |         |
| Median household income in quartile|      |        | 0.03    |
| 1st                               | 25.33 | 25.45  |         |
| 2nd                               | 22.40 | 22.53  |         |
| 3rd                               | 24.87 | 24.82  |         |
| 4th                               | 27.41 | 27.19  |         |
| Hospital region                   |      |        | 0.08    |
| Northeast                         | 22.71 | 23.57  |         |
| Midwest                           | 22.81 | 20.18  |         |
| South                             | 37.26 | 39.20  |         |
| West                              | 17.22 | 17.04  |         |

**TABLE 2: Baseline Characteristics of Participants with Multiple Myeloma by Clostridium difficile (C. diff) in the United States**

#ASD: number of absolute standardized differences

The result following propensity-derived inverse probability weighting is shown in Table 3. We checked the balance of the baseline characteristics between the two groups. A balance of more than 0.1 of the standardized difference between the two groups was considered significant [17]. The inverse probability weighting achieved similar baseline characteristics between the two groups (Table 3).
|                              | Multiple Myeloma | #ASD |
|------------------------------|------------------|------|
| No. of observation, weighted | Yes              | No   |
|                              | 3,273            | 3,318|
| Age categories               |                  | 0.09 |
| 18-49                        | 5.38             | 5.76 |
| 50-59                        | 14.36            | 15.98|
| 60-69                        | 31.16            | 28.50|
| 70-79                        | 30.13            | 28.71|
| ≥ 80                         | 18.97            | 21.05|
| Female                       | 48.64            | 48.69|
| Race/Ethnicity               |                  | 0.00 |
| White                        | 67.31            | 67.61|
| Black                        | 20.99            | 21.12|
| Hispanic                     | 7.27             | 6.99 |
| #Others                      | 4.43             | 4.28 |
| Comorbidities                |                  |      |
| Dyslipidemia                 | 26.09            | 25.64|
| Atrial fibrillation          | 21.84            | 21.53|
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 17.51 | 17.66 |
| Hypertension                 | 60.10            | 59.95|
| Peripheral vascular disease  | 5.44             | 5.52 |
| Stem cell transplantation    | 13.84            | 13.92|
| Neutropenia                  | 12.74            | 12.85|
| Chemotherapy                 | 7.82             | 7.63 |
| Inflammatory bowel disease   | 4.43             | 4.43 |
| Diabetes                     | 25.76            | 25.70|
| Obesity                      | 7.42             | 7.54 |
| Deficiency anemia            | 41.19            | 40.94|
| Congestive heart failure     | 21.81            | 21.92|
| Chronic renal disease        | 38.89            | 38.30|
| Liver disease                | 2.17             | 2.24 |
| Smoking                      | 18.45            | 18.45|
| Elixhauser score             |                  | 0.00 |
| 0                            | 4.55             | 4.62 |
| 1 - 3                        | 25.73            | 26.04|
| ≥ 4                          | 69.72            | 69.33|
| Hospital bed size            |                  | 0.03 |
| Small                        | 11.34            | 11.68|
| Medium                       | 22.12            | 21.91|
| Large                        | 66.54            | 66.41|
After a multivariate analysis, the following independent predictors were found to be associated with the rate of CDI: female gender (OR: 1.13; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.04 - 1.23, p = 0.003), chronic renal disease (OR: 1.19; 95% CI: 1.09 - 1.30, p < 0.0001), stem cell transplant (OR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.24 - 1.56, p < 0.0001), neutropenia (OR 1.77; 95% CI: 1.57 - 2.00, p < 0.0001), inflammatory bowel disease (OR 1.68, 95% CI: 1.40 - 2.10, p < 0.0001), and atrial fibrillation (OR 1.24, 95% CI: 1.12 - 1.37, p < 0.0001). Comorbid conditions were assessed using the ECI categories: ECI 1 - 3 (OR 1.47, 95% CI: 1.23 - 1.76, p < 0.0001) and category ECI ≥ 4 (OR 2.64, 95% CI: 2.19 - 3.19, p < 0.001) (Table 4).

### Table 3: Inverse Probability Weighting of Baseline Characteristics of Participants with Multiple Myeloma and Clostridium difficile (C. diff) in the United States

| Variables                  | aOR | CI (95%) | P value |
|----------------------------|-----|----------|---------|
| Year                       |     |          |         |
| 2010 (Ref.)                |     |          |         |
| 2011                       | 0.92| 0.71     | 1.17    | 1.000  |
| 2012                       | 1.14| 0.92     | 1.43    | 1.000  |
| 2013                       | 1.00| 0.79     | 1.25    | 1.000  |
| 2014                       | 1.11| 0.88     | 1.39    | 1.000  |
| 2015                       | 1.10| 0.87     | 1.39    | 1.000  |
| Age categories             |     |          |         |
| 18-49 (ref.)               |     |          |         |
| Age Group | 50-59 | 60-69 | 70-79 | ≥ 80 |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|------|
|            | 0.88  | 1.01  | 0.94  | 0.77  |
|            | 0.65  | 0.75  | 0.69  | 0.56  |
|            | 1.18  | 1.35  | 1.27  | 1.07  |
|            | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.242 |
| Race/Ethnicity |       |       |       |       |
| White (ref.) |       |       |       |       |
| Black       | 0.92  |       |       |       |
| Hispanic    | 0.90  |       |       |       |
| #Others     | 0.86  |       |       |       |
| Female      | 1.13  |       |       |       |
| Obesity     | 0.86  |       |       |       |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 1.04  | 1.04  | 1.04  | 1.04  |
| Chronic renal disease | 1.19  | 1.19  | 1.19  | 1.19  |
| Atrial fibrillation | 1.24  | 1.24  | 1.24  | 1.24  |
| Stem cell transplantation | 1.39  | 1.39  | 1.39  | 1.39  |
| Neutropenia | 1.77  | 1.77  | 1.77  | 1.77  |
| Chemotherapy | 0.96  | 0.96  | 0.96  | 0.96  |
| Inflammatory bowel disease | 1.68  | 1.68  | 1.68  | 1.68  |
| Congestive heart failure | 0.99  | 0.99  | 0.99  | 0.99  |
| Hypertension | 0.82  | 0.82  | 0.82  | 0.82  |
| Chronic liver failure | 0.84  | 0.84  | 0.84  | 0.84  |
| Elixhauser score |       |       |       |       |
| 0 (ref.)    |       |       |       |       |
| 1 - 3       | 1.47  | 1.47  | 1.47  | 1.47  |
| ≥ 4         | 2.64  | 2.64  | 2.64  | 2.64  |
| Hospital bed size |       |       |       |       |
| Small (ref.)|       |       |       |       |
| Medium      | 1.03  | 1.03  | 1.03  | 1.03  |
| Large       | 1.17  | 1.17  | 1.17  | 1.17  |
| Hospital teaching status |       |       |       |       |
| Rural (ref.)|       |       |       |       |
| Urban, non-teaching | 1.21  | 1.21  | 1.21  | 1.21  |
| Urban, teaching | 1.34  | 1.34  | 1.34  | 1.34  |
| Expected primary payer |       |       |       |       |
| Medicare (ref.) |       |       |       |       |
| Medicaid    | 1.03  | 1.03  | 1.03  | 1.03  |
| Private     | 1.07  | 1.07  | 1.07  | 1.07  |
| Others ¹   | 0.86  | 0.86  | 0.86  | 0.86  |
| Median household income in quartile |       |       |       |       |
| 1st (ref.)  |       |       |       |       |
Table 5 shows the impact of CDI on the clinical outcomes of MM patients. Patients with CDI had 67% increased odds of death in hospitalized patients compared with those without CDI (OR: 1.67; 95% CI: 1.49 - 1.88, p < 0.0001). In addition, there was significantly increased odds of AKI (OR: 1.35; 95% CI: 1.26 - 1.45, p < 0.0001) and AKI requiring hemodialysis (OR: 6.20 vs. 3.84, 95% CI: 1.42 - 1.92, p < 0.0001) among patients with CDI. Patients with CDI were also more likely to have higher healthcare resource utilization with significantly higher costs of hospitalization ($32,862 vs. $20,257, mean ratio (MR): 1.62; 95% CI: 1.54 - 1.70, p < 0.0001, and more prolonged length of stay (12.61 vs. 8.00, MR: 1.67; 95% CI: 1.52 - 1.64, p < 0.0001).

Table 6 shows the trend analysis. We observed significant changes in the rates of CDI and CDI mortality in MM patients with or without adjustment for demographics, comorbidities, and hospital characteristics. CDI incidence appears to have increased over the years (average rate of 3.27% per year), while the mortality rate is in the opposite direction with an average of 10% decrease per year.)

### TABLE 4: Odds Ratio for Clostridium Difficile Infections Among Multiple Myeloma Patients with Various Conditions and Status

| Condition | Yes | No | P-value |
|-----------|-----|----|---------|
| Inpatient mortality, % | 9.85 | 6.13 | 1.67 (1.49, 1.88) | < 0.0001 |
| Acute kidney injury, % | 36.24 | 29.59 | 1.35 (1.26, 1.45) | < 0.0001 |
| Acute kidney Injury requiring dialysis, % | 6.20 | 3.84 | 1.66 (1.42, 1.92) | < 0.0001 |
| Cost, average* | 32,862 | 20,257 | 1.62 (1.54, 1.70) | < 0.0001 |
| Length of stay, average ** | 12.61 | 8.00 | 1.58 (1.52, 1.64) | < 0.0001 |

### TABLE 5: Clinical Outcomes of Multiple Myeloma (MM) in Clostridium Difficile Infection (CDI) Patients

| Year | CDI (crude rate) | CDI (adjusted rate) | Mortality in CDI |
|------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 2010 | 2.95%            | 2.19%               | 16.23%           |
| 2011 | 2.85%            | 2.05%               | 19.69%           |
| 2012 | 3.10%            | 2.45%               | 13.44%           |
| 2013 | 2.98%            | 2.20%               | 15.52%           |
| 2014 | 3.46%            | 2.48%               | 10.90%           |

### TABLE 6: Trend of Clostridium Difficile Infection (CDI) in Multiple Myeloma Patients
Conclusions

causal relationship. Our study, by design, provides data that support strongly associated risk factors between CDI and MM but not a representative large sample size which involved multiple centers and populations across the US. Lastly, this study did not find an association between chemotherapy and CDI in hospitalized MM patients. It is worth noting that the well-documented chemotherapeutic agents, such as cisplatin, etoposide, bleomycin, paclitaxel, vinblastine, 5-fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, doxorubicin, and cytarabine-based regimens, are not the mainstay treatment agents in MM [21-22]. Immunomodulatory drugs used in MM stimulate T-cells and natural killer cells, thereby enhancing the immune response (anti-MM immune activity) and potentially may attenuate the risk of CDI [21].

Our study revealed an average incidence of 3.1% CDI in MM patients and this incidence increased from 2.95% in 2010 to 3.46% in 2014. We found that in addition to the well-established risk factors for CDI, such as female gender, CKD, HSCT, neutropenia, and inflammatory bowel disease, AF was also significantly associated with CDI in MM patients. CDI risk also increased with increased comorbidity index and connoted worse clinical outcomes.

Compared to the non-oncology population, CDI is more common in oncology patients [18]. The cumulative CDI rate in our study on MM is consistent with prior studies, although other studies reported a lower incidence in lymphoma patients [4] and a higher incidence in leukemia patients [19]. This discrepancy may reflect differences in risk based on the type of hematologic malignancy. The higher incidence of CDI in oncology patients is reported to be related to prolonged antibiotic use, chemotherapy, and prolonged proton pump inhibitor use [18-20]. Our study, however, did not find an association between chemotherapy and CDI in hospitalized MM patients. It is worth noting that the well-documented chemotherapeutic agents, such as cisplatin, etoposide, bleomycin, paclitaxel, vinblastine, 5-fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, doxorubicin, and cytarabine-based regimens, are not the mainstay treatment agents in MM [21-22].

Our study has some limitations that deserve to be emphasized. First, in the NIS database, variables are identified using a coding system that is subject to coding errors and documentation disparities. Second, this analysis did not permit us to directly attribute the associations to be causative for CDI; however, it did identify the more vulnerable population for CDI and provided a rationale for the development of more effective approaches to preventing CDI. Third, our study was limited to the in-hospital outcomes; follow-up data were not reported. Additionally, the ICD-9-CM does not code for long-term antibiotic use, and hence we could not identify these patients. We also could not identify the prolonged proton pump inhibitor use and the utility of immunomodulatory medications. However, the strengths of this study included the nationally-representative large sample size which involved multiple centers and populations across the US. Lastly, this study, by design, provides data that support strongly associated risk factors between CDI and MM but not a causal relationship.

Conclusions

Discussion

We report results from a large database on CDI incidence and CDI-related complications in hospitalized MM patients over a five-year period. CDI is the most common cause of healthcare-associated infections in US hospitals [1]. Our study revealed an average incidence of 3.1% CDI in MM patients and this incidence increased from 2.95% in 2010 to 3.46% in 2014. We found that in addition to the well-established risk factors for CDI, such as female gender, CKD, HSCT, neutropenia, and inflammatory bowel disease, AF was also significantly associated with CDI in MM patients. CDI risk also increased with increased comorbidity index and connoted worse clinical outcomes.

CDI is a well-recognized nosocomial infection and accounts for almost 15% to 25% of all cases of nosocomial diarrhea; up to 35% of patients develop recurrent CDI after the initial treatment [28]. Our data show that patients with MM and CDI had worse outcomes compared to those without CDI. Several hospital-based studies have previously demonstrated that CDI in hospitalized patients has been associated with adverse outcomes and increased mortality [19, 29]. Inpatient mortality rates from CDI as high as 4.2% – 6.9% were found in several centers in North America. We found a 9.9% mortality among patients with CDI and a 67% increased odds of death compared to those without CDI. Patients with CDI had a higher inpatient mortality rate and a longer length of hospital stay, resulting in higher hospital costs. In addition to increased mortality among CDI patients, we found an increased risk of acute kidney injury (AKI) and AKI requiring dialysis, which plays a profound role in prolonged hospitalization, hospital costs, and potentially increased mortality in these patients. In addition to volume depletion from prolonged diarrhea, C. diff toxins induced cell death and inflammation. It is, therefore, not surprising that AKI has been recognized by the Infectious Diseases Society of America as a marker of severe CDI. Strategies directed at preventing AKI in CDI patients may, therefore, represent a potential target at improving their outcomes. In a single-center study, patients with Stage 4 to 5 CKD had a significant risk of developing CDI [50]. In our study, we did not subclassify CKD patients according to their eGFR and stratify their association with CDI in MM patients. However, we believe it would be valuable to do so in the future to improve management in the outcome of MM patients with CDI.

Despite the increase rate the mortality rate caused by CDI trends down, it could be due to the better recognition and treatment. In addition, direct using a new diagnostic approach, e.g., polymerase chain reaction (PCR), may cause overdiagnosis of inpatient CDI.

Our study has some limitations that deserve to be emphasized. First, in the NIS database, variables are identified using a coding system that is subject to coding errors and documentation disparities. Second, this analysis did not permit us to directly attribute the associations to be causative for CDI; however, it did identify the more vulnerable population for CDI and provided a rationale for the development of more effective approaches to preventing CDI. Third, our study was limited to the in-hospital outcomes; follow-up data were not reported. Additionally, the ICD-9-CM does not code for long-term antibiotic use, and hence we could not identify these patients. We also could not identify the prolonged proton pump inhibitor use and the utility of immunomodulatory medications. However, the strengths of this study included the nationally-representative large sample size which involved multiple centers and populations across the US. Lastly, this study, by design, provides data that support strongly associated risk factors between CDI and MM but not a causal relationship.
In conclusion, we found that increased inpatient mortality and prolonged hospitalization, as well as costs in MM patients with CDI, compared to those without CDI. We also identified H SCT, neutropenia, inflammatory disease, AF, and CKD as associated risk factors for CDI in these patients. The results provide a rationale for the development of more effective approaches to preventing healthcare-associated CDI in MM patients.

**Additional Information**

**Disclosures**

**Human subjects:** Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. N/A issued approval N/A. This study is considered exempt from Institutional Review Board approval of the Englewood Hospital and Medical Center because the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project -NIS dataset contains de-identified patient information. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

**Acknowledgements**

Authors DRC and OA designed the study, analyzed the NIS database, and wrote the manuscript. Authors MA and AB wrote the paper and discussed the data. Authors AA and JHJ analyzed the data. Authors JS and KW advised the study design, discussed the data, and revised the writing. Every author knows of no conflicts of interest associated with this publication, and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

**References**

1. Banaei N, Anikst V, Schroeder LF: Burden of Clostridium difficile infection in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2015, 372:2568-69. 10.1056/NEJMct1505190
2. Schuster MG, Cleveland AA, Dubberke ER, et al.: Infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: results from the organ transplant infection project, a multicenter, prospective, cohort study. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017, 4:kox050. 10.1093/ofid/kox050
3. Palumbo A, Anderson K: Multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med. 2011, 364:1046-60. 10.1056/NEJMoa1101442
4. Bhandari S, Pandey RK, Dohal S, et al.: Risk, outcomes, and predictors of Clostridium difficile infection in lymphoma: a nationwide study. South Med J. 2018, 111:628-33. 10.14423/SMJ.00000000000008872
5. Satlin MJ, Vardhana S, Souve R, et al.: Impact of prophylactic levofloxacin on rates of bloodstream infection and fever in neutropenic patients with multiple myeloma undergoing autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2015, 21:1808-14.

6. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). Overview of the National (Nationwide) Inpatient Sample (NIS). (2018). Accessed: February 12, 2019: http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/nisoverview.jsp.
7. Newman WC, Kuhlits PS, Hoh BL: Validation of a neurovascular comorbidities index for retrospective database analysis. J Neurooncol. 2018, 150:275-77. 10.3171/2017.8.NS171415
8. DeShazo JP, Hoffman MA: A comparison of a multistate inpatient EHR database to the HCUP Nationwide Inpatient Sample, BMC Health Serv Res. 2015, 15:584. 10.1186/s12913-015-0125-7
9. HCUP Methods Series Calculating National Inpatient Sample (NIS) Variances for Data Years 2012 and Later. Report #2015-09. (2015). Accessed: February 12, 2019: http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/methods/2015_09.jsp.
10. Cost-to-Charge Ratio Files. (2018). Accessed: September 1, 2018: http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/db/state/costtocharge.jsp/files.
11. Consumer Price Index Data from 1913 to 2019. (2018). Accessed: February 8, 2019: http://www.usinflationcalculator.com/inflation/consumer-price-index-and-anual-percent-changes-from-1913-to-2008/.
12. Southern DA, Quan H, Ghali WA: Comparison of the Elixhauser and Charlson/Deyo methods of comorbidity measurement in administrative data. Med Care. 2004, 42:555-60. 10.1097/01.mlr.0000118861.56848.ee
13. Mamdani M, Sykora K, Li P, et al.: Reader’s guide to critical appraisal of cohort studies: 2. Assessing potential for confounding. BMJ. 2005, 330:960-62. 10.1136/bmj.330.7497.960
14. McI nally DF, Griffin BA, Almirall D, Slaughter ME, Ramchand R, Burgette LF: A tutorial on propensity score estimation for multiple treatments using generalized boosted models. Stat Med. 2015, 32:3588-414. 10.1002/sim.7575
15. Austin PC, Mamdani MM: A comparison of propensity score methods: a case-study estimating the effectiveness of post-AMI statin use. Stat Med. 2006, 25:2084-106. 10.1002/sim.2328
16. Khera A, Angraal S, Couch T, et al.: Adherence to methodological standards in research using the National Inpatient Sample. JAMA. 2017, 318:2011-18. 10.1001/jama.2017.17653
17. Normand ST, Landrum MB, Guadagnoli E, Ayanian JZ, Ryan TJ, Cleary PD, McNeil BJ: Validating recommendations for coronary angiography following acute myocardial infarction in the elderly: a matched analysis using propensity scores. J Clin Epidemiol. 2001, 54:587-98.
18. Shah K, Curtin BF, Chu C, Hwang D, Falasir MH, von Rosenvinge E: Characteristics of Clostridium difficile infection in patients hospitalized with myelodysplastic syndrome or acute myelogenous leukemia. World J Clin Oncol. 2017, 8:398-404. 10.5306/wjco.v8.i5.398
19. Luo R, Greenberg A, Stone CD: Outcomes of Clostridium difficile infection in hospitalized leukemia
20. Huang KG, Cluzet V, Hamilton K, Fadugba O: The impact of reported beta-lactam allergy in hospitalized patients with hematologic malignancies requiring antibiotics. Clin Infect Dis. 2018, 67:27-33. 10.1093/cid/ciy037

21. Quach H, Ritchie D, Stewart AK, Neeson P, Harrison S, Smyth MJ, Prince HM: Mechanism of action of immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDS) in multiple myeloma. Leukemia. 2010, 24:22-32. 10.1038/leu.2009.236

22. Krishna SG, Zhao W, Apewokin SK, Krishna K, Chepyala P, Anaissie EJ: Risk factors, preemptive therapy, and anti-peristaltic agents for Clostridium difficile infection in cancer patients. Transpl Infect Dis. 2013, 15:493-501. 10.1111/tid.12112

23. Keddis M, Khanna S, Noheria A, Baddour LM, Pardi DS, Qian Q: Clostridium difficile infection in patients with chronic kidney disease. Mayo Clin Proc. 2012, 87:1046-53.

24. Alexanian R, Barlogie B, Dixon D: Renal failure in multiple myeloma. Pathogenesis and prognostic implications. Arch Intern Med. 1990, 150:1693-95. 10.1001/archinte.1990.00040031693017

25. Thongprayoon C, Cheungpasitporn W, Phatharacharukul P, et al.: Chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease are risk factors for poor outcomes of Clostridium difficile infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Clin Pract. 2015, 69:998-1006. 10.1111/ijcp.12672

26. Keshavamurthy S, Koch CG, Fraser TG, et al.: Clostridium difficile infection after cardiac surgery: prevalence, morbidity, mortality, and resource utilization. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2014, 148:5157-65.e5.

27. Demir KK, Cheng MP, Lee TC: Predictive factors of Clostridioides difficile infection in hospitalized patients with new diarrhea: A retrospective cohort study. PLoS One. 2018, 3:e0207128. 10.1371/journal.pone.0207128

28. Wilcox MH, Gerding DN, Poxton IR, et al.: Bezlotoxumab for prevention of recurrent Clostridium difficile infection. N Engl J Med. 2017, 376:305-17. 10.1056/NEJMoa1602615

29. Bigazzi GE: Risk factors for Clostridium difficile infection. J Hosp Infect. 1998, 40:1-15.

30. Kim SC, Seo MY, Lee JY, et al.: Advanced chronic kidney disease: a strong risk factor for Clostridium difficile infection. Korean J Intern Med. 2016, 31:125-33. 10.3904/kjim.2016.31.1.125