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ABSTRACT

This research is to study the mechanical properties of Self Compacting Concrete (SCC) as well as punching shear failure of SCC slabs. Self compacting concrete was first invited in 1988 to achieve durable concrete structures. Design of Reinforced concrete slab is often compromised by their ability to resist shear stress at punching shear surface area. The connection between slabs and supporting columns could be susceptible to high shear stress and might cause sudden and brittle failure. Punching shear failure takes the form of truncated pyramid shape. This program includes investigating the effect of SCC, slab thickness on the punching shear behaviour in terms of load-deflection response and ultimate failure load, failure characteristic of punching shear failure (shape of failure zone and size of failure zone) of simply supported slabs of 1000 x 1000 x 50 and 75mm under concentrated load at centre of slab. The slabs are made with both SCC and Conventional concrete (CC). Investigation included two way specimens with different thickness to evaluate the performance of specimen with different thickness and the effect of thickness on punching shear capacity and performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

Reinforced concrete slabs is an efficient economical structural component in any structural frames used in buildings. Modern structural systems are highly complex in configurations and are highly stressed due to heavy loadings and large spans. This results in larger sections for structural members and thus dense reinforcement to resist forces with normal strength concrete whichintern increases the dead load on the foundation. Therefore use of high strength self compacting concrete for concrete structures has proven very popular in recent years. Reinforced concrete slabs may be carried directly by the columns without using beam drop panel or column capital such slabs are described as flat plates. This type of structures has more space in addition to its pleasant appearance. Flat plates have been widely used due reduced construction cost. They are also economical in their form work lead to simpler arrangement of flexural reinforcement. An additional dead load is reduced on columns and foundations.

One of the major problems in such structure is punching shear failure also known as two way shear failure that takes place when a plug of concrete is pushed out from the slab immediately above the columns. The pushed plug takes the form of pyramid. Punching shear failure is sudden and leads to progressive failure of flat slab therefore attention should be given on punching shear failure during the design of flat slabs.

1.2 PAST RESEARCH ON SELF COMPACTION CONCRETE

The durability of concrete structures was a major topic of interest in Japan. The creation of durable concrete structures requires adequate compaction by skilled workers. The gradual reduction in the number of skilled labors construction industry has led to a similar reduction in the quality of construction work. Achievement of durable concrete structures independent of quality of construction work employment of self compacting concrete which can be compacted into every corner of a formwork, purely by means of its own weight and without the need for vibrating
The necessity of this type of concrete was proposed by Okamura in 1986. Studies to develop self compacting concrete including a fundamental study on the workability of concrete have been carried out by Ozawa and Maekawa at the University of Tokyo. The prototype of self compacting concrete was first completed in 1988 using materials already on market regard to drying and hardening shrinkage, heat of hydration, denseness after hardening and other properties. This concrete was named high performance concrete and was defined as follows at the stages of concrete:

1. Fresh: self compactable
2. Early age: avoidance of initial defects
3. After hardening: protection against external factors

At almost the same time high performance concrete was defined as a concrete with high durability due to a low water cement ratio. Since then, the term high performance concrete has been used around the world to refer high durability concrete.

1.3 COMPOSITION OF SELF COMPACTING CONCRETE

![Diagram showing the composition of self compacting concrete](image)

**Figure 1** Volumetric ratio proportion between CC and SCC
QUANTIFICATION OF THE PASSING ABILITY: It was already mentioned that SCC has to have the ability to flow through narrow openings without hindrance. This means that the so-called blocking of coarse aggregates through bridging has to be avoided.

![Blocking mechanism](image1.png)

**Figure 2** Mechanism of Blocking

To avoid this arching effect or blocking viscosity modifying agent is used in self compacting concrete

2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF PUNCHING SHEAR

Punching shear is a type of failure of reinforced concrete slabs subjected to high localized forces. In flat slab structures this occurs at column support points. The failure is due to shear.

**Punching Shear in Flat Slabs**

A typical flat plate punching shear failure is characterized by the slab failing at the intersection point of the column. This results in the column breaking through the portion of the surrounding slab. This type of failure is one of the most critical problems to consider when determining the thickness of flat plates at the column-slab intersection. Accurate prediction of punching shear strength is a major concern and absolutely necessary for engineers so they can design a safe structure.

![Punching shear failure](image2.png)

**Figure 3** Punching shear failure

3 OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

1. To study the basic properties of SCC- compressive strength split tensile strength and flexural strength.

2. Experimental investigation of self-compacting concrete

3. Analytical and Numerical investigation on Punching shear failure
4. Comparison of experimental and analytical investigation

4. FEM MODELING PROCEDURE

The basic concept of fem modelling is the subdivision of the mathematical model into disjoint components of simple geometry. The response of each element is expressed in terms of a finite number of degrees of freedom characterized as the value of an unknown function, or functions or a set of nodal points. The response of the mathematical model is then considered to be the discrete model obtained by connecting or assembling the collection of all elements. Within the framework of the finite element method reinforced concrete can be represented either by superimposition of the material models for the constituent parts, or by a constitutive law for the composite concrete, embedded steel as a continuum. To create the finite model to run properly. Models can be created using command prompt line input or the graphical user interface (GUI). For this model, the GUI was utilized to create the model. This section describes the different tasks and entries into used to create the FE calibration model.

5. MODELING OF SLAB

![Image of slab model](image)

**Figure 4** Loading method for slab

6. DISCRIPTION OF ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

Reinforced self compacting concrete slab of size 1m x 1m x 50mm and 75 mm is created by using ANSYS v14.5, and simply supported boundary condition is analysed using finite element method.

Slabs are modelled using 8 nodded solid 65 element and link 8 elements are modelled as reinforcements.

**Model 1 :**
Slab dimension 1000 x 1000 x 50 mm
Concrete grade M30
Wire mesh at 10 mm C/C distance of dia 5 mm

**Model 2 :**
Slab dimension 1000 x 1000 x 75mm
Concrete grade M30
Wire mesh at 10 mm C/C distance of dia 5 mm

7. RESULTS

7.1 STRESS PLOT MODEL 1

![Stress plot for slab 50mm thickness]

**Figure 5** Stress plot for slab 50mm thickness

STRESS PLOT MODEL 2

![Stress plot for slab 75mm thickness]

**Figure 6** Stress plot for slab 75mm thickness
7.2 DEFLECTION

MODEL 1

![Figure 7 Deflection for slab 50mm thickness](image)

MODEL 2

![Figure 8 Deflection for slab 75mm thickness](image)

8. NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION OF SCC SLABS

8.1 GENERAL

This chapter includes manual calculation of design mix for SCC, Ultimate failure load, shear crack zone, steel reinforcement ratio, critical section

**Analysis of beams according to ACI 318M-02**

The ACI 318M-02 [13] adopts a relation between concrete tensile strength (split test) and the square root of the compressive strength
ULTIMATE PUNCHING LOAD FOR SLABS

\[ P_u = \frac{(0.3221 X \sqrt{f_c} X b_o X d)}{10} \]

\( f_c \)- Characteristic strength of concrete

\( b_o \)- 4(c + d) – perimeter where punching shear occurs

\( d \)- Effective depth of slab

\( c \)- Column length

TABLE (2) DETAILS OF SLABS PRESENT IN THE INVESTIGATION

| GROUP NO | SLAB DESIGNATION | STEEL REINFORCEMENT | STEEL REINFORCEMENT RATIO | SLAB THICKNESS |
|----------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| GROUP ONE (CC SLABS) | S1 | Ø 4mm @ 100 mm c/c | 0.0033 | 50 |
| | S2 | Ø 4mm @ 100 mm c/c | 0.0033 | 75 |
| GROUP TWO (SCC SLABS) | S3 | Ø 4mm @ 100 mm c/c | 0.0033 | 50 |
| | S4 | Ø 4mm @ 100 mm c/c | 0.0033 | 75 |

8.2 CRITICAL SECTION

The distance of the critical section for the slabs tested in this investigation is considered as half the distance between the end of the failure surface and the face of the column. The calculated distances are based on the measured area in ACI 318M-11 code, the critical punching shear section is assumed to be located at distance d/2 and 1.5d from the column face, respectively the critical section equal to 2d for high strength concrete.

The critical section perimeter ranged from 1.16h to 1.5h for slabs.

\[ A = r^2 + 4rx + \pi x^2 \]

\[ x = \frac{-4r + \sqrt{(4r^2) - 4x \pi x (r^2 - A)}}{2\pi} \]

\( A \)-area of failure zone

\( r \)- Radius or side length of column
Figure (9) CRITICAL SECTION OF SLAB

$x$ - Distance between the end of failure surface and face of column

$x = 105.21 \text{mm}$

8.3 ULTIMATE FAILURE LOAD

The use of self compacting concrete in slabs leads to significant increases in ultimate failure load. The increases of slab thickness with steel reinforcement ratio show the good result in resisting the failure.

| Slab no | Concrete type | Slab thickness | Steel reinforcement ratio | Characteristic strength | Ultimate load (KN) |
|---------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| S3      | SCC           | 50             | 0.0033                   | 30                      | 58.5                |
| S4      | SCC           | 75             | 0.0033                   | 30                      | 78                  |

9. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

9.1 RESULT

LOAD DEFLECTION CHARACTERISTICS

In this study, these slabs are identical in size, different in concrete type (SCC and CC), flexural steel reinforcement ratio and slab thickness. According to these variables ultimate load, crack pattern, critical sections, angles of failure as well as modes of failure are different from one another.
TABLE (4) LOAD DEFLECTION CHARACTERISTICS

| SLAB NO | THICKNESS | CHARACTERISTIC STRENGTH | ULTIMATE LOAD (KN) | MID SPAN DEFLECTION (mm) |
|---------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| S3      | 50        | 30                       | 52.5              | 5.78                    |
| S4      | 70        | 30                       | 67.5              | 7.22                    |

OBSERVATION OF FAILURE

Punching shear failure had occurred suddenly in all slabs. There is no sign of warning before the occurrence of failure except the rapid movement.

FIG 10 LOAD VS DEFLECTION CURVE

10. CONCLUSION

1. From the study, it was observed that the load carrying capacity and deformation at ultimate load are high in case of SCC slabs.

2. The resistance of punching shear failure increases in increase of depth of slab.

3. Further, it is observed that there is reduction in the number of formation of cracks in case of SCC slab with high percentage of thickness.

4. The failure angle of SCC slabs was found to increase with increasing Vf. This indicates that the size of failure zone can be reduced by adding SCC slabs thus helping to prevent the disintegration of concrete cover under the flexural steel reinforcement.

5. Increasing slab thickness leads to increased length of such perimeter. The results of the present investigation show that the distance between the face of the column and the critical punching shear section is about (2d) for SCC slabs.
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