Weak interaction rates for Kr and Sr waiting-point nuclei under rp-process conditions
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Weak interaction rates are studied in neutron deficient Kr and Sr waiting-point isotopes in ranges of densities and temperatures relevant for the rp process. The nuclear structure is described within a microscopic model (deformed QRPA) that reproduces not only the half-lives but also the Gamow-Teller strength distributions recently measured. The various sensitivities of the decay rates to both density and temperature are discussed. Continuum electron capture is shown to contribute significantly to the weak rates at rp-process conditions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear physics is an essential piece in the present understanding of many astrophysical processes related to the energy generation and nucleosynthesis in stellar scenarios. The properties of both stable and exotic nuclei play different roles at different stages of stellar evolution. While the former are relevant to describe steady regimes, the latter are implicated in the description of explosive events. Network calculations and astrophysical models rely on the quality of the input information, in particular, on the quality of the nuclear input. Unfortunately, the experimental information available for exotic nuclei is still very short and thus, most of the astrophysical simulations of violent phenomena must be based on nuclear model predictions of limited quality and accuracy. This is the case of the X-ray bursts, generated by a thermonuclear runaway in the hydrogen-rich environment of an accreting compact object (typically a neutron star) which is fed from a binary companion (typically a red giant). The ignition takes place on the surface of the neutron star at high densities (\( \rho \)) and temperatures (\( T \)), and eventually peak conditions of \( T = 1-3 \) GK and \( \rho = 10^9-10^7 \) g cm\(^{-3} \) are reached \([1, 2]\). The mechanism leading to X-ray bursts is the rapid proton (\( rp \)) capture process \([1, 2, 3]\), which is characterized by proton capture reaction rates that are orders of magnitude faster than any other competing process, in particular \( \beta \)-decay. It produces rapid nucleosynthesis on the proton-rich side of stability toward heavier proton-rich nuclei and the energy observed in X-ray bursts.

Nuclear reaction network calculations (the set of differential equations for the various isotopic abundances) are performed \([1, 2, 3, 4]\) to follow the time evolution of the isotopic abundances, to determine the amount of energy released by nuclear reactions, and to find the reaction path for the \( rp \) process. In general, the reaction path follows a series of fast proton-capture reactions until further proton capture is inhibited. Then the reaction flow has to wait for a relatively slow \( \beta \)-decay and the respective nucleus is called a waiting point (WP). The half-lives of the WP nuclei along the reaction path determine the time scale of the nucleosynthesis process and the produced isotopic abundances. In this respect, the weak decay rates of neutron-deficient medium-mass nuclei under stellar conditions play a relevant role to understand the \( rp \) process. The nuclear structure problem involved in the calculation of these rates must be treated in a reliable way. In particular, this implies that the nuclear models should be able to describe at least the experimental information available on the decay properties (Gamow-Teller strength distributions and \( \beta \)-decay half-lives) measured under terrestrial conditions. Although these decay properties may be different at the high \( \rho \) and \( T \) existing in \( rp \)-process scenarios, success in their description under terrestrial conditions is a requirement for a reliable calculation of the weak decay evolution of more general conditions. With this aim in mind, we study in this letter the dependence of the decay rates on both \( \rho \) and \( T \), using a nuclear model that has been tested successfully and reproduces the experimental information available on both bulk and decay properties of medium-mass nuclei very reasonably. This model is the quasiparticle random phase approximation (QRPA). Here, we focus our attention to the deformed WP isotopes \(^{72,74}\)Kr and \(^{76,78}\)Sr, where the Gamow-Teller (GT) strength distributions have been measured with high accuracy by \( \beta \)-decay over most of the \( Q \)-window \([5, 6, 7]\) and are well reproduced by theoretical calculations based on deformed QRPA \([8]\). While the half-lives give only a limited information of the decay (different strength distributions may lead to the same half-life), the strength distribution contains all the information. It is the first time that the weak decay rates under stellar \( rp \) conditions can be studied using a nuclear structure model that reproduces the GT strength distributions and half-lives under terrestrial conditions \([8, 9]\).
There are several distinctions between terrestrial and stellar decay rates caused by the effect of high $\rho$ and $T$. The main effect of $T$ is related to the thermal population of excited states in the decaying nucleus, accompanied by the corresponding depopulation of the ground states. It has been shown that the weak decay rates of excited states can be significantly different from those of the ground state, enhancing the total decay rates. A case by case consideration is needed. Another effect related to the high $\rho$ and $T$ comes from the fact that atoms in these scenarios will be completely ionized and the electrons will be no longer bound to the nuclei, but forming a degenerate plasma obeying a Fermi-Dirac distribution. This opens the possibility for continuum electron captures ($\text{cEC}$). These effects make weak interaction rates in the stellar interior sensitive functions of $T$ and $\rho$, with $T = 1.5$ GK and $\rho = 10^6$ g cm$^{-3}$, as the most relevant conditions for the $rp$ process.

II. WEAK DECAY RATES IN STELLAR SCENARIOS

The general formalism to calculate weak interaction rates in stellar environments as a function of $\rho$ and $T$ was introduced in the pioneering work of Fuller, Fowler, and Newman. Further improvements have come mainly on the nuclear structure aspect, either from the Shell Model or from QRPA.

The decay rate of the parent nucleus is given by

$$\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_i \lambda_i \cdot e^{-E_i / (kT)}; \quad G = \sum_i (2J_i + 1) e^{-E_i / (kT)},$$

where $J_i$ ($E_i$) is the angular momentum (excitation energy) of the parent nucleus state $i$, and thermal equilibrium is assumed. In principle, the sum extends over all populated states in the parent nucleus up to the proton separation energy. However, since the range of $T$ for the $rp$-process peaks at $T = 1.5$ GK ($kT \sim 300$ keV), only a few low-lying excited states are expected to contribute significantly in the decay. Specifically, we consider in this work all the (collective) low-lying excited states below 1 MeV (exception made of the $E_{4^+} = 782$ keV in $^{78}$Sr), $E_{0^+} = 671$ keV and $E_{2^+} = 709$ keV in $^{72}$Kr; $E_{0^+} = 509$ keV and $E_{2^+} = 456$ keV in $^{74}$Kr; $E_{2^+} = 261$ keV in $^{76}$Sr; and $E_{2^+} = 279$ keV in $^{78}$Sr. Then, all these states are considered to be thermally populated although for $T = 1.5$ GK the population is negligible beyond 500 keV. In Fig. 1 one can see the population coefficients in Eq. (1) as a function of the excitation energy (left) and temperature (right). As an example, the population of the lowest of these states ($E_{2^+} = 261$ keV in $^{76}$Sr) at $T = 1.5$ GK is about 12%.

![Fig. 1: Population coefficients as a function of the excitation energy (left) and temperature (right). The energies of the low-lying excited states of the isotopes considered in this work are shown explicitly.](image)

The decay rate for the parent state $i$ is given by

$$\lambda_i = \sum_f \lambda_{if},$$

(2)
where the sum extends over all the states in the final nucleus reached in the decaying process. The rate \( \lambda_{if} \) from the initial state \( i \) to the final state \( f \) is given by

\[
\lambda_{if} = \frac{\ln 2}{D} B_{if} \Phi_{if}(\rho, T),
\]

where \( D = 6146 \text{ s} \). This expression is decomposed into a nuclear structure part \( B_{if} \) and a phase space factor \( \Phi_{if} \).

\( B_{if} \) contains the transition probabilities for allowed Fermi and GT transitions,

\[
B_{if} = B_{if}(GT) + B_{if}(F),
\]

with

\[
B_{if}(GT) = \frac{1}{2J_i + 1} \left( \frac{g_A}{g_V} \right)_{\text{eff}}^2 \langle f || \sum_k \sigma^k t^k_\pm || i \rangle^2, \]

\( (g_A/g_V)_{\text{eff}} = 0.74(g_A/g_V)_{\text{bare}} \) is an effective quenched value.

\[
\Phi_{if}(F) = \frac{1}{2J_i + 1} \langle f || \sum_k t^k_\pm || i \rangle^2 = t(t + 1) - t_z t_{zf},
\]

where \( t \) is the isospin, which is assumed to be a good quantum number. For \( \beta^+ \) transitions and very neutron deficient nuclei with \( Z > N \), the superallowed Fermi transition to the isobaric analog state has to be taken into account. However, for \( N > Z \) nuclei, Fermi transitions are not possible except for isospin impurities. The discussion is therefore limited to the decay via allowed GT transitions.

Theoretical formalism used here to calculate the GT strengths is based on the QRPA. The quasiparticle basis corresponds to a deformed selfconsistent Skyrme Hartree-Fock calculation with SLy4 [17] force and pairing correlations treated in BCS approximation. The residual interactions are spin-isospin forces in both particle-hole and particle-particle channels. The initial and final states in the laboratory frame are expressed in terms of the intrinsic states using the Bohr-Mottelson factorization [18], which is a very good approximation for well deformed nuclei. The effect of all these ingredients (deformation, pairing, residual forces) on the decay rates has been studied elsewhere [19] and their relevance in this mass region has been stressed. More details of the formalism can be found in Ref. [20].

The present formalism has been shown to provide a good description of the decay properties of nuclei in the mass region \( A \sim 70 \). In particular, the parameters for residual forces used here are the same used in Ref. [8], where good agreement was obtained with the experimental GT strength distributions and \( \beta \)-decay half-lives. The success of this theoretical formalism in reproducing terrestrial decay rates supports its application to the calculation of the weak interaction rates in stellar matter.

The \( \beta^+ \) and eEC phase space integrals are given by

\[
\Phi_{if} = \Phi_{if}^{EC} + \Phi_{if}^{\beta^+},
\]

where

\[
\Phi_{if}^{EC} = \int_{\omega_1}^{\infty} \omega \sqrt{\omega^2 - 1}(Q_{if} + \omega)^2 F(Z, \omega) \times S_\nu(\omega) \left[ 1 - S_\nu(Q_{if} + \omega) \right] d\omega,
\]

for continuum electron capture, and

\[
\Phi_{if}^{\beta^+} = \int_{1}^{Q_{if}} \omega \sqrt{\omega^2 - 1}(Q_{if} - \omega)^2 F(-Z + 1, \omega) \times [1 - S_p(\omega)] [1 - S_\nu(Q_{if} - \omega)] d\omega,
\]

for positron emission. In these expressions \( \omega \) is the total energy of the electron (positron) in units of \( m_e c^2 \) and \( F(Z, \omega) \) is the Fermi function [21] that takes into account the distortion of the \( \beta \)-particle wave function due to the Coulomb interaction.
\[ Q_{if} = \frac{1}{m_e c^2} (M_p - M_d + E_i - E_f) \] (10)

is the total energy available in the decay in units of \( m_e c^2 \). It is written in terms of the nuclear masses of parent \((M_p)\) and daughter \((M_d)\) nuclei and their excitation energies \(E_i\) and \(E_f\), respectively. In the \( cEC \) factor, the lower integration limit is given by \( \omega_l = 1 \) if \( Q_{if} > -1 \), or \( \omega_l = |Q_{if}| \) if \( Q_{if} < -1 \). \( S_e, S_p, \) and \( S_{\nu} \), are the electron, positron, and neutrino distribution functions, respectively. Its presence inhibits or enhances the phase space available. In \( rp \) scenarios the commonly accepted assumptions \([1, 11, 12, 13, 14]\) state that \( S_{\nu} = 0 \), since neutrinos can escape freely from the interior of the star without blocking their emission in the capture or decay processes. Positron distributions become only important at higher \( T (kT > 1 \text{ MeV}) \) when they appear via pair creation. At the temperatures considered here we take \( S_p = 0 \). The electron distribution is described by a Fermi-Dirac distribution

\[ S_e = \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{\omega - \mu_e}{kT} \right) + 1}, \] (11)
assuming that nuclei at these temperatures are fully ionized and the electrons are not bound to nuclei. The chemical potentials $\mu_e$ are determined from $\rho$ and $T$. Tables for them can be found in Ref. [11] for selected choices of $T$ and $\rho$.

Fig. 2 shows $S_e(\omega)$ for various temperatures and electron densities $\rho Y_e$ ($\rho$ is the baryon density and $Y_e$ stands for the ratio of the electron to the baryon numbers) around the peak rp conditions. One can see in Fig. 2 that $S_e$ becomes more important at higher densities, as well as the relevance of electrons with high energies as $T$ increases. These features establish the relative importance of the cEC rates as $\rho$ and $T$ change.

Fig. 3 contains the phase space factors for $\beta^+$ decay and electron capture as a function of the total energy available $Q_{if}$. In (a) one can see the usual phase factors at zero $T$, that is the $\beta^+$ in Eq. (9), which is independent of $T$ and $\rho$ and the orbital electron capture calculated as described in Ref. [21]. In (b) and (c) one can also see the cEC factors as a function of $\rho$ and $T$. In general, they increase with $Q_{if}$ and thus the decay rates are more sensitive to the strength $B_{if}$ located at low excitation energies of the daughter nucleus ($E_f$). It is also interesting to notice the relative importance of both $\beta^+$ decay and electron capture. The latter is always dominant at sufficiently low $Q_{if}$, or correspondingly at sufficiently high excitation energies $E_f$. In this work the effects of bound-state electron capture are not included since they are insignificant over most of the ranges of $T$ and $\rho$ considered.

Fig. 4 shows the decay rates versus $T$ on the example of $^{72}$Kr. In panel (a) one can see the decomposition of the total rate into their contributions from the decay of the ground state $0^+_gs \rightarrow 1^+$ and from the decay of the...

Fig. 5: Same as in Fig. 4 but for $^{76}$Sr.

### III. RESULTS

Fig. 4 shows the decay rates versus $T$ on the example of $^{72}$Kr. In panel (a) one can see the decomposition of the total rate into their contributions from the decay of the ground state $0^+_gs \rightarrow 1^+$ and from the decay of the...
excited states $0^+_2 \to 1^+$ and $2^+ \to 1^+, 2^+, 3^+$, which are negligible except at very high $T$. Panel (b) contains the decomposition of the rates into their $\beta^+$ and $cEC$ components evaluated at different densities. One can see that for densities larger than $\log_{10}(\rho) \sim 6.5$, $cEC$ becomes dominant at any $T$. For lower densities, $\beta^+$ rates are larger than $cEC$ at low $T$, but lower at sufficiently high $T$. Since the $\beta^+$ rate is practically independent of both $\rho$ and $T$, the total rate in panel (c) is determined by $\beta^+$ at low $T$ and $\rho$, and by $cEC$ otherwise. The gray area is the relevant range $T=1\text{-}3$ GK for the $rp$ process. Fig. 5 shows the same rates as in Fig. 4 but for $^{76}$Sr. The only difference worth to mention with respect to $^{72}$Kr is the relatively more important contributions of the $2^+$ excited state, as seen in panel (a), which is the result of a larger population of this state due to its lower excitation energy.

Finally, Fig. 6 contains the half-lives ($T_{1/2} = \ln 2/\lambda$), including $\beta^+$ and $cEC$ contributions, as a function of $T$ at a fixed $\rho = 10^6$ for the various isotopes. One can see the decrease of $T_{1/2}$ as $T$ increases. The decrease starts to be significant beyond $T = 3$ GK, which is outside of the relevant temperature range for the $rp$ process. One should notice that the orbital electron capture has been ignored in this work in favor of continuum electron capture, but both should be considered to obtain a smooth transition toward terrestrial conditions. The calculation at $T = 0$ with orbital electron capture contributions can be found in Ref. [8], where comparison with experiment is also shown.

![Graph showing half-lives as a function of $T$ for $^{72}$Kr, $^{74}$Kr, $^{76}$Sr, and $^{78}$Sr isotopes at a density $\rho = 10^6$ g cm$^{-3}$](image)

**FIG. 6**: Half-lives as a function of $T$ at a density $\rho = 10^6$ g cm$^{-3}$ for Kr andSr isotopes.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, the weak decay rates of Kr and Sr WP nuclei have been investigated at temperatures and densities where the $rp$ process takes place. It has been the first time that stellar weak rates have been calculated in this mass region with a nuclear structure model that reproduces both the half-lives and the more demanding GT strength distributions measured with high accuracy from $\beta$-decay experiments. In this letter we have analyzed the relevant ingredients to describe the rates in a reliable way. We have studied: 1) The contributions to the decay rate coming from excited states in the parent nucleus which are populated as $T$ raises. All the relevant states below 1 MeV have been included. It is found that below $T = 3$ GK their effect can be neglected, and thus, the decay from the ground state is already a good approximation for $rp$ processes. This conclusion is in agreement with previous studies [1, 12, 22]. Nevertheless, one should pay special attention to the cases where the $2^+$ excited states are particularly low in energy because their contributions can be competitive at these temperatures; 2) The effect of the continuum electron capture rates. It is found that $cEC$ rates are enhanced as $T$ and $\rho$ increase and they become comparable to the $\beta^+$ decay rates at $rp$ peak conditions. At slightly larger values of $T$ and $\rho$, $cEC$ dominates over $\beta^+$ decay. This point is important since $cEC$ contributions have been neglected [1] in earlier evaluations of weak decay rates at $rp$ conditions.
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