Glycine receptor in rat hippocampal and spinal cord neurons as a molecular target for rapid actions of 17-β-estradiol
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Abstract

Glycine receptors (GlyRs) play important roles in regulating hippocampal neural network activity and spinal nociception. Here we show that, in cultured rat hippocampal (HIP) and spinal dorsal horn (SDH) neurons, 17-β-estradiol (E2) rapidly and reversibly reduced the peak amplitude of whole-cell glycine-activated currents ($I_{\text{Gly}}$). In outside-out membrane patches from HIP neurons devoid of nuclei, E2 similarly inhibited $I_{\text{Gly}}$, suggesting a non-genomic characteristic. Moreover, the E2 effect on $I_{\text{Gly}}$ persisted in the presence of the calcium chelator BAPTA, the protein kinase inhibitor staurosporine, the classical ER (i.e. ERα and ERβ) antagonist tamoxifen, or the G-protein modulators, favoring a direct action of E2 on GlyRs. In HEK293 cells expressing various combinations of GlyR subunits, E2 only affected the $I_{\text{Gly}}$ in cells expressing α2, α2β or α3β subunits, suggesting that either α2-containing or α3β-GlyRs mediate the E2 effect observed in neurons. Furthermore, E2 inhibited the GlyR-mediated tonic current in pyramidal neurons of HIP CA1 region, where abundant GlyR α2 subunit is expressed. We suggest that the neuronal GlyR is a novel molecular target of E2 which directly inhibits the function of GlyRs in the HIP and SDH regions. This finding may shed new light on premenstrual dysphoric disorder and the gender differences in pain sensation at the CNS level.

Background

Studies over the last several decades have demonstrated that estrogen plays an important role in not only reproduction, but also regulation of early CNS development [1] and in synaptic plasticity of the mature hippocampus [2]. The classical estrogen actions in the CNS are primarily mediated by activating nuclear estrogen receptor α and β (ERα/β), causing long-term genomic effects [3,4]. Nevertheless, it is becoming increasingly clear that estrogen can activate cytoplasmic signaling events at or near the plasma membrane [5,6], presumably through either membrane-localized classical ERs [7,8] or novel ERs [9-11]. Moreover, estradiol is reported to directly bind to and modulate certain ion channels, like the Maxi-K channels [12], indicating the existence of additional estrogen targets besides ERs. In the hippocampus, both in vivo [13] and in vitro [14-16] studies have focused on the inhibitory GABAergic machineries, and suggested that estradiol alters neuronal
activity by suppressing GABAergic synaptic transmission. A recent study also indicated that estradiol inhibits human recombinant rho1 GABA<sub>C</sub> receptor [17].

Like GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs), the major receptor mediating central inhibition, GlyRs contribute to neuronal inhibition in hippocampus [18-20] and spinal cord [21,22]. GlyRs are pentamers and composed of α(1–4) and β subunits [21]. In hippocampal (HIP) neurons, GlyRs are thought to be primarily the homopentamer of α2 subunits that function extrasynaptically to produce tonic inhibition [21]. Tonic activation of GlyRs leads to cross-inhibition of GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs [23], and influences synaptic activity [18,24,25] and short-term plasticity [19]. In adult spinal dorsal horn (SDH), GlyRs are important in regulating nociception and motor function. For example, α3-containing GlyRs regulate inflammatory pain sensitization [26]. Interestingly, during the development of the spinal cord, there is a switch of GlyR subunit composition from α2 in the fetus to α1 predominance in the adult [21,27], suggesting a role of the α2 subunit in neuronal development. Indeed, two recent studies showed that GlyRs play an important role in rod photoreceptor development of the vertebrate retina [28] and regulate spinal interneuron differentiation in zebrafish [29]. On the other hand, estrogen is locally synthesized in the CNS [30] and the level of estrogen is under regulation [1,31]. A recent study showed that estradiol enhances the spontaneous synaptic release of glycine in hypoglossal motoneurones [32]. However, the estradiol effects on GlyRs remain unexplored. In this study, therefore, we examined the modulatory effects of 17β-estradiol (E<sub>2</sub>), the most prevalent and potent form of endogenous estrogen, on native GlyRs in HIP and SDH neurons, and on recombinant GlyRs expressed in HEK293 cells. This study will add a new dimension for understanding the multifaceted estrogenic effects in the CNS.

Results

**17β-estradiol rapidly inhibits glycine-activated current (I<sub>Gly</sub>) in cultured rat SDH and HIP neurons**

At a holding potential (V<sub>H</sub>) of -50 mV under whole-cell voltage clamp, application of glycine (100 μM) to the cultured HIP or SDH neurons elicited an inward current. The strychnine sensitivity and chloride dependence of the I<sub>Gly</sub> suggests that it was mediated by GlyR chloride channels (data not shown). After recording a stable control I<sub>Gly</sub>, we pre-superfused the neurons with E<sub>2</sub> at various concentrations for 30 s, and then recorded I<sub>Gly</sub> in the presence of E<sub>2</sub>. The peak amplitude of I<sub>Gly</sub> was rapidly reduced by E<sub>2</sub> application (Figure 1A), while it was not further inhibited when the pre-perfusion time was prolonged (data not shown). In neurons derived from neonatal rats of both sexes, E<sub>2</sub> exerted a similar inhibitory effect on I<sub>Gly</sub> therefore data from both sexes were pooled for comparison. As shown in Figure 1B, E<sub>2</sub> concentration-dependently inhibited the peak I<sub>Gly</sub>. On average, E<sub>2</sub> at 1, 3, 6 and 10 μM significantly reduced peak I<sub>Gly</sub> to 95.0 ± 0.8% (P < 0.05 compared with control, n = 4), 85.8 ± 2.2% (P < 0.01 compared with control, n = 5), 80.2 ± 4.0% (P < 0.01 compared with control, n = 5) and 63.4 ± 2.2% (P < 0.001 compared with control, n = 8). Paired Student’s t-test for all of control in HIP neurons, respectively; and in SDH neurons, peak I<sub>Gly</sub> was reduced to 97.0 ± 0.9% (P < 0.05 compared with control, n = 6), 89.1 ± 2.0% (P < 0.01 compared with control, n = 7), 83.1 ± 3.0% (P < 0.01 compared with control, n = 8) and 75.3 ± 2.1% (P < 0.01 compared with control, n = 7). P < 0.01 compared with the inhibition of 10 μM E<sub>2</sub> produced in HIP neuron of control, respectively. The IC<sub>50</sub> values of E<sub>2</sub> for I<sub>Gly</sub> of the HIP neuron and SDH neuron are 16.5 ± 2.7 μM and 33.2 ± 3.4 μM (P < 0.01 compared with that in HIP neuron), respectively. Therefore E<sub>2</sub> has stronger inhibitory effect on I<sub>Gly</sub> mediated by hippocampal GlyR. To assess possible contaminations of endogenous steroids derived from glial cells [30,33], we examined the E<sub>2</sub> effect in cultures grown without blocking the proliferation of glial cells and found that E<sub>2</sub> still inhibited I<sub>Gly</sub> under such a condition (data not shown).

The concentration-effect relationships for E<sub>2</sub> shown in Figure 1B indicate that E<sub>2</sub> inhibited I<sub>Gly</sub> more markedly in cultured HIP neurons. In the following experiments, therefore, we used HIP neurons to study the mechanisms underlying E<sub>2</sub> inhibition. To explore whether a genomic mechanism is responsible for E<sub>2</sub> inhibition on I<sub>Gly</sub> we first examined the effect of E<sub>2</sub> in large outside-out patches excised from cultured HIP neurons. The patches were exposed to rapid changes of glycine or glycine plus E<sub>2</sub>. As shown in Figure 1C, the peak amplitude of I<sub>Gly</sub> of the HIP neuron and SDH neuron are 16.5 ± 2.7 μM and 33.2 ± 3.4 μM (P < 0.01 compared with that in HIP neuron), respectively. Therefore, E<sub>2</sub> has stronger inhibitory effect on I<sub>Gly</sub> mediated by hippocampal GlyR. To assess possible contaminations of endogenous steroids derived from glial cells [30,33], we examined the E<sub>2</sub> effect in cultures grown without blocking the proliferation of glial cells and found that E<sub>2</sub> still inhibited I<sub>Gly</sub> under such a condition (data not shown).

No involvement of intracellular signaling pathways and classical ERs

Previous studies have indicated that the acute effect of E<sub>2</sub> occurring within a time course of milliseconds to minutes is attributed to the activation of intracellular signaling pathways mediated by presumably membrane-bound classical ERs [7,8,34,35] or novel ERs [9,10]. Additionally,
E2-induced inhibition of $I_{\text{Gly}}$ in cultured SDH and HIP neurons. (A) Representative traces of current induced by 100 μM glycine in the presence or absence of E2 at various concentrations in cultured HIP (upper) and SDH (bottom) neurons. The neurons were pre-treated with E2 for 30 s before E2 and glycine were co-applied. (B) Summarized data illustrating the concentration dependence of E2 inhibition (n = 4–8) as shown in A. (C) E2 significantly inhibited $I_{\text{Gly}}$ recorded from the outside-out patches (n = 5). The upper traces show the representative $I_{\text{Gly}}$ recorded from outside-out patches in the presence and absence of E2. ***$P < 0.001$, Paired Student’s t-test, compared with control without adding E2. (D) The concentration-response curves of $I_{\text{Gly}}$ in the presence and absence of 10 μM E2. For each neuron recorded, the current was normalized to the peak amplitude of $I_{\text{Gly}}$ induced by 100 μM glycine alone ($P$) from the same neuron and each point represents the average value of 5–9 neurons.
E2 can modulate calcium channels and affect the intracellular calcium level [36,37]. To explore the possible involvement of any intracellular pathways in mediating E2 inhibition of \( I_{\text{Gly}} \), following experiments were conducted. We first examined the role of the intracellular Ca\(^{2+} \). When neurons were loaded with 15 mM BAPTA via the recording pipette, E2 reduced the peak \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) to 62.7 ± 2.0% of the control, which was not significantly different from that obtained in the absence of BAPTA (Figure 2A1 and 2B1, \( P > 0.05 \), Unpaired Student’s \( t \)-test). In order to test the role of protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation in E2 inhibition, we loaded the neurons with staurosporine (5 \( \mu \)M), a nonselective protein kinase inhibitor, to disrupt the balance between phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. Likewise, the inhibitory effect of E2 on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) was not altered (Figure 2A2 and 2C1, \( P > 0.05 \), Unpaired Student’s \( t \)-test). A previous study [38] demonstrated that the GlyR is a target of the G protein \( \beta \gamma \) dimer. To examine the role of G proteins, we loaded the neurons with GTP-\( \gamma \)-S (500 \( \mu \)M) or GDP-\( \beta \)-S (500 \( \mu \)M) to activate or block the G protein, respectively. Neither of these treatments affected the inhibition induced by E2 on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) (Figure 2A3, A4, and 2C, \( P > 0.05 \), Unpaired Student’s \( t \)-test). Thus, it is unlikely that E2 exerts its inhibition on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) through intracellular signaling pathways.

We further employed tamoxifen, a classical ER antagonist in the hippocampus [15], to examine whether membrane-localized classical ERs were involved in E2 inhibition of \( I_{\text{Gly}} \). After incubation of 1 \( \mu \)M tamoxifen for 2 h, we examined the effects of E2 on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) in the continued presence of tamoxifen. Though, consistent with the previous study [32], the peak amplitude of \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) induced by 100 \( \mu \)M glycine was decreased after the treatment with tamoxifen (Figure 2A5, note the difference in the scale bar), the inhibitory effect of E2 on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) was not affected (Figure 4A5 and 4B1, \( P > 0.05 \), Unpaired Student’s \( t \)-test). Moreover, 17-\( \alpha \)-estradiol (17-\( \alpha \)-E2, 10 \( \mu \)M), the inactive stereoisomer of E2, mimicked the inhibitory effect of E2 on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) (Figure 2A6 and 2B1, \( P > 0.05 \), Unpaired Student’s \( t \)-test), suggesting that E2 inhibition on GlyR is independent of classical ERs.

**Regulatory sites for E2 and pregnanolone on GlyRs are separate**

A previous study showed that another neurosteroid, pregnanolone (PGN) directly inhibited \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) in a competitive manner [39]. We were interested to know whether E2 and PGN share a common binding site on GlyRs. If the sites are separate, the inhibitory effects should be additive when E2 and PGN were co-applied. As shown in Figure 3A, PGN at 1 \( \mu \)M and 10 \( \mu \)M significantly inhibited the peak amplitude of \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) by 25.1 ± 7.6% and 49.0 ± 5.9% of control, respectively. In the presence of 10 \( \mu \)M E2, additional inhibition of \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) was observed by PGN at both 1 \( \mu \)M (Figure 3B, 51.3 ± 5.3% of control), and 10 \( \mu \)M (Figure 3B, 70.4 ± 7.3% of control). These data suggest that distinct binding sites may mediate the inhibition of E2 and PGN on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \).

**Subunit selectivity of E2 inhibition on GlyRs**

To determine which GlyR subunits are responsible for the E2-induced inhibition, we investigated the E2 effects on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) in HEK293 cells expressing various recombinant GlyRs (Figure 4A). In GlyR subunit-untransfected cells, no glycine responses were observed (data not shown). As shown in Figure 4, E2 selectively inhibited the peak \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) mediated by homomeric \( \alpha 2 \)-GlyRs to 75.1 ± 4.3% of control (\( P < 0.001 \), \( n = 6 \), Paired Student’s \( t \)-test), but had no significant effect on homomeric \( \alpha 1 \)- and \( \alpha 3 \)-GlyRs (99.8 ± 3.1% of control and 99.0 ± 1.2% of control for \( \alpha 1 \)- and \( \alpha 3 \)-GlyRs, respectively, \( P > 0.05 \), \( n = 10 \), Paired Student’s \( t \)-test). To further examine whether co-expression of \( \beta \) subunit affected the E2 inhibition, we tested the inhibitory effect of E2 on heteromeric GlyRs. We found that E2 inhibited the peak \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) mediated by \( \alpha 2 \beta \) – and \( \alpha 3 \beta \)-GlyRs to 78.9 ± 2.9% (\( P < 0.001 \), \( n = 9 \), Paired Student’s \( t \)-test) and 71.0 ± 3.0% of control (\( P < 0.001 \), \( n = 11 \), Paired Student’s \( t \)-test), respectively. However, \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) mediated by \( \alpha 1 \beta \)-GlyRs was not significantly affected. Thus, it is likely that either \( \alpha 2 \)- or \( \alpha 2 \beta \) – or \( \alpha 3 \beta \)-GlyRs mediate the E2 effect in SDH and HIP neurons.

**The developmental changes of E2 inhibition of GlyRs in spinal cord neurons**

The GlyR subunit expression is developmentally regulated in some areas of CNS such as spinal cord. To test whether E2 effects are different in different times in culture, we investigate the effects of E2 on GlyR in spinal cord and HIP neurons from different days in culture. We first recorded \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) in cultured HIP neurons after different days of *in vitro* (DIV) differentiation. As shown in Figure 5, the inhibitory extent of E2 on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) did not change with time in vitro (\( P > 0.05 \), comparing DIV6–8, 13–15 and 20–23, \( n = 5–7 \), one-way ANOVA). We next examined the effects of E2 on \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) induced by 100 \( \mu \)M glycine of spinal cord neurons in cultures after DIV6–8, 13–15 and 20–23. Interestingly, E2 significantly inhibited \( I_{\text{Gly}} \) of the neurons at DIV6–8 and 13–15, but the inhibitory effects declined in the neurons at DIV20–23 (\( P = 0.012 \), comparing between DIV20–23 and DIV6–8; \( P = 0.023 \), comparing between DIV20–23 and DIV13–15. \( n = 6–8 \), one-way ANOVA).

**Inhibitory effect of E2 on GlyR-mediated tonic current in HIP slices**

In the developing and mature hippocampus, \( \alpha 2 \) subunit represents the primary component of the functional GlyRs [21]. This unique property enables us to examine the action of E2 on GlyRs from pyramidal neurons in CA1 region of HIP slices. Glycine concentration in cerebrospi-
E₂-induced inhibition of I_Gly is independent of intracellular signaling pathways and classical estrogen receptors (ERs). (A) Sample traces illustrating the inhibitory effects of E₂ on the peak I_Gly under the conditions of intracellular application 15 mM BAPTA (A1), 5 μM staurosporine (A2), 0.5 mM GTP-γ-S (A3) and 0.5 mM GTP-β-S (A4), respectively. A5, Effect of E₂ on I_Gly after incubation of neurons with tamoxifen for 2 h. A6, Effect of 17-α-E₂ on I_Gly. (B) Pooled data summarizing the effect of E₂ on I_Gly under various conditions shown in A. Each column represents the average values from 4–6 neurons, ***/p < 0.001, Paired Student’s t-test, compared with control without adding E₂ or 17-α-E₂ (dashed line). NS indicates no significant difference in this and the following figures.
Figure 3
Interactions of E₂ and PGN on I_{Gly}. (A) Sample traces illustrating the additive effect of E₂ and PGN on I_{Gly}. (B) Summary of results from all experiments similar to those shown in A (n = 4–5). Sum (1) is the expected linear summation of the inhibition induced by 10 μM E₂ and 1 μM PGN; Sum (2) is the expected linear summation of the inhibition induced by 10 μM E₂ and 10 μM PGN. P > 0.05, Unpaired Student’s t-test.
Figure 4

Inhibitory effect of E2 on recombinant GlyRs. (A) Sample traces demonstrating the effects of 10 μM E2 on various homomeric and heteromeric GlyRs. (B) Summary of results from all experiments similar to those shown in A. E2 selectively inhibited the peak amplitude of $I_{Gly}$ mediated by $\alpha_2$-containing GlyRs and $\alpha_3\beta$ heteromorphic GlyR. Each column represents the average value of 6–11 neurons. *** $p < 0.001$, Paired Student’s t-test, compared with the control without E2 treatment (dashed line).
ated tonic current in HIP slices was reduced by E2. Thus, phosphovaleric acid (APV) and 3- NNQX, and 10 μM bicuculline, 10 μM DL-2-amino-5-phosphovaleric acid (APV) and 3 μM 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) were added to block GABA_A and ionotropic glutamate receptors, respectively. After recording a control period in the cocktail solution, E2 at 10 μM was superfused (Figure 6A). As shown in Figure 6B, the GlyR-mediated tonic current was significantly reduced to 69.3 ± 5.8% of control by E2 perfusion (P = 0.043, n = 8, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test), a level compatible with that obtained in the cultured neurons (Figure 1B) or HEK 293 cells expressing recombinant α2- and α2β-GlyRs (Figure 4B).

Discussion
Here, we present evidence that in cultured HIP and SDH neurons, E2 directly inhibited GlyRs which are likely composed of α2 or α3 subunit. Furthermore, the GlyR-mediated tonic current in HIP slices was reduced by E2. Thus, in addition to the well-known signaling pathways of E2 via ERs, the GlyR may be an additional central target of E2. Since GlyRs are important regulators of spinal nociception [26,41,42], and affect HIP network activity [19,20], our findings may shed new light on the gender differences in pain sensation at the CNS level.

Mechanisms underlying E2 inhibition of GlyRs
Estrogen exerts effects in the CNS primarily by activating ERs [3]. In this study, however, several lines of evidence suggest that E2 inhibited I_Gly through a direct interaction with plasma membrane GlyRs. First, the effects of E2 are most likely non-genomic as the inhibition occurred within seconds following E2 application and persisted in large outside-out patches devoid of nuclei. Second, it is unlikely that E2 physically disrupts the plasma membrane via a nonspecific way as E2 only affected α2 subunit-containing and heteromeric α3β-GlyRs. Moreover, when E2 was applied, the membrane capacitance remained unchanged, indicating that the membrane structure was unaltered (see Materials and Methods). Third, E2 inhibitory effect persisted in the presence of tamoxifen, the antagonist of classical ERs [43], or 17α-E2, the inactive stereoisomer of E2 [44]. In addition, E2 inhibited the recombinant GlyRs expressed in HEK293 cells which are devoid of classical ERs [45]. Finally, in the presence of the calcium chelator, the protein kinase inhibitor or G-protein modulators, the E2-induced inhibition remained unchanged. These results strongly support the notion that E2 inhibits GlyRs independent of any intracellular signaling pathways activated by either classical ERs or novel ERs [9-11].

Our data suggest that E2 inhibited I_Gly in a noncompetitive manner because E2 reduced I_Gly independent of glycine concentrations, and the effect can be additive with that of PGN, a competitive steroid inhibitor of GlyR without changing the membrane viscosity [39,46]. Two general mechanisms may underlie the noncompetitive inhibition of E2 on GlyR, open-channel blocker or allosteric modulation. Nevertheless, E2 is not charged at physiological pH and inhibited I_Gly independent of membrane potentials (P.J. and T.L.X., unpublished data), which makes it unlikely that E2 acts as an open-channel blocker. Thus, we propose that E2 directly binds to and allosterically inhibits GlyRs.

Functional implications
Generally, the physiological concentration of estradiol in plasma is in the nanomolar range [6]. In the present study, the concentrations at which E2 exerted significant effects on GlyRs were much higher than its physiological level. However, in the CNS of both male and female rats, estrogen can be de novo synthesized and accumulated locally [30,47], leading to E2 concentration in the cerebrospinal fluid far surpass those measured in plasma. For example, a previous study has demonstrated that the concentration of estradiol in the hippocampus of male rats is six-fold higher than that in plasma [48]. More interest-
ingly, a recent study indicates that forebrain estradiol levels in zebra finches are also acutely increased during social interactions [49]. In addition, certain cofactors, like sexual hormone-binding proteins, can make E$_2$ to achieve an effect even at low concentrations [50]. Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that, under certain physiological or pathological condition, locally elevated endogenous estrogen may have an impact on GlyRs through the process revealed in this study.

In HEK293 cells expressing recombinant GlyRs, we found that E$_2$ significantly inhibited a2-GlyRs. Accumulative evi-
dence demonstrates that the α2-GlyRs distribute throughout the developing CNS and in the mature hippocampus [21,51,52]. In CA1 region of HIP slices, we observed that GlyR-mediated tonic currents, which are likely mediated by α2-GlyRs [51-53], were reduced to a level compatible with that measured in cultured neurons or HEK 293 cells expressing recombinant GlyRs. The tonic activation of the α2 subunit-containing GlyRs is functionally important in the development of the cortex [54], the retina [28] and the spinal cord [29]. Furthermore, previous studies have shown that GlyR subunit composition of spinal cord neurons changes during development from α2 to α1 predominance both in cultures and in in vivo conditions [27,55]. Because of this switch of GlyR subunit composition in the spinal cord during development, a previous study [56] suggested that the selective inhibition of progesterone on α2-GlyRs endows an important role of progesterone in the developing spinal cord. Since the levels of estrogen fluctuate in both males and females during brain development [31], E2-induced inhibition of GlyRs may contribute to the modulation of estrogen on the early CNS development. It remains unexplored whether GlyRs also participate in regulation of neuronal excitability, through E2-induced disinhibition in the mature hippocampus [14].

Under certain disease conditions, for example, premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD), anxiety is enhanced when neuronal excitability is disturbed during the ovarian cycle [57]. A recent study reported that the periodic alterations of the GABAAR-mediated tonic inhibition, which resulted from the fluctuation of hormones in the hippocampus during estrous cycle, play a crucial role in altered seizure susceptibility and anxiety during PMDD [58]. Similar to the tonic GABAergic inhibition, GlyRs-mediated tonic currents modulate neuronal excitation and network function [18,19,24,25]. Our present data showed that E2 can inhibit more than 30% of the tonic I_cly in hippocampal slices. Therefore, the significant inhibition of I_cly produced by E2 may have strong effect on the neuronal excitability, suggesting an alternative cellular mechanism by which periodic sex hormone fluctuations affect CNS neuronal excitation and cause mood disorders. Considering the ubiquitous distribution profiles of GABAARs in the CNS and the hypnotic side-effect of GABA-mimic drugs, the present finding suggests new directions for treatment of PMDD by using GlyR-enhancing drugs and/or glycine reuptake inhibitors [25].

Besides, sex-related difference in pain perception has been revealed by previous studies which indicate that noxious stimuli are perceived as more painful by women than by men [13,43]. Although sex hormones have been shown to be involved in this difference, the underlying mechanisms are still unknown. Functional α3β-GlyRs exist in inhibitory synapse of SDH neurons, which is the first site for integration, relay and modulation of nociceptive information from nociceptor [59]. Moreover, previous studies have suggested that αβ-GlyRs in the SDH neurons regulate inflammatory pain sensitization [26,41]. In this study, we noted that E2 significantly inhibited I_cly in cultured SDH neurons and the current mediated by αβ-GlyRs expressed in HEK293 cells, providing important insights into the mechanisms underlying gender differences in pain sensation at the CNS level.

Finally, several studies indicate that the expression of high affinity GlyR subunit α2192L and α3185L produced by RNA editing [60] was increased in the brain after experimentally induced brain lesion of rat [61] and in temporal lobe epilepsy patient with a severe course of disease [62]. According to the selectivity of E2 inhibition on α2 and α3-containing GlyR, E2 thus may play a role through modulating these high affinity GlyRs in some pathological situations such as temporal lobe epilepsy and brain damage.

Conclusion
We demonstrated that the neuronal GlyR is a novel molecular target of E2 which directly inhibits the function of GlyRs in the HIP and SDH regions. Through their impact on GlyRs in the CNS, sex hormones may regulate neuronal excitability and contribute to premenstrual dysphoric disorder and sex-related pain sensation under both physiological and pathological conditions.

Methods
The care and use of animals in these experiments followed the guidelines of the Institutional Animals Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Neuroscience, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

Cell culture
Cultures of HIP neurons were prepared as previously described [63] with some modifications. Briefly, hippocampus from neonatal (< 24-hour-old) Sprague-Dawley rats with identified sex were dissociated in Ca2+-free saline with sucrose (20 mM) and hippocampal neurons were isolated using a standard enzyme treatment protocol. Cultures of SDH neurons were prepared from embryonic day 15 (E15) Sprague-Dawley rats as previously described [39]. The neurons were plated (1–5 x 10^5 cell/ml) on poly-L-lysine (Sigma, USA) coated cover glasses and grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Gibco, USA) with L-glutamine plus 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco). The neurons were allowed to attach the cover glasses for 24 h, after which the medium was changed to neuron-basal medium (1.5 ml, Gibco) with 2% B27 (Gibco) and replaced every 3–4 days. Treatment with 5-fluoro-5’-deoxyuridine (20 μg/ml, Sigma, St.
Louis, MO) on the fourth day after plating was used to block cell division of non-neuronal cells, which helped to stabilize the cell population. The cultures were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. Cells were used for electrophysiological recordings 7–23 days after plating.

Slice preparation
Experiments were performed on 400 μm transverse hippocampal slices from 14- to 17-day-old Sprague-Dawley rats. After decapitation, the brain was removed and placed in oxygenated (95% O₂/5% CO₂) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) at 4°C. Slices were cut from the dorsal hippocampus with a vibratome (Leica VT 1000S) and maintained at room temperature (23–25°C) in a holding chamber filled with oxygenated ACSF. After an equilibration period of at least 2 h, a single slice was transferred to the recording chamber, where it was continuously perfused with oxygenated ACSF (23–25°C) at a flow rate of 2.5–3 ml/min.

Expression of recombinant GlyRs
All constructs were expressed in HEK293 cells as previously reported [39]. In brief, HEK293 cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air. Cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 10% fetal bovine serum, and 100 units/ml penicillin/streptomycin (all from Invitrogen). Transient transfection of HEK293 cells was carried out by using the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to the supplied protocol. Co-transfection with a green fluorescent protein expression vector, pEGFP-N1, was used to enable identification of transfected cells for patch clamping in some experiments. When co-transfecting the GlyR α and β subunits, their respective cDNAs were combined in a ratio of 1:2 to ensure the formation of functional heterooligomers. Taking advantage of the insensitivity of αβ heteromeric GlyR to picrotoxin [64], we further tested the picrotoxin sensitivity to ensure the formation of heterooligomers. After exposure to transfection solution for 6 h, cells were washed twice using the culture medium and used for electrophysiological recordings over following 16–48 h.

Solutions and drugs
The standard external solution for cultured neurons recording contained (mM): 150 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 10 N-hydroxyethylpiperazine-NV-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 10 Glucose (pH 7.4; osmolarity 310–320 mOsm/l). The patch pipette solution for cultured neurons recording was (mM): 120 KCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 MgSO₄, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 2 CaCl₂, and 10 D-glucose, aerated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ at a final pH of 7.4. The osmolarity of the ACSF was 289–295 mOsm/l. The ionic composition of the internal solution for slice recording was (mM): 140 CsCl, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, 4 NaCl, 2 MgATP and 0.3 NaGTP, adjusted to pH 7.2. Drugs used in the present experiments were purchased from Sigma. Steroidal agents were initially dissolved as concentrated stock solutions in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) and subsequently diluted to the desired concentration in standard external solution. The final concentration of DMSO employed in the experiments was always ≤ 0.1%, which had no detectable effect on I_Gly in vehicle control experiments. Other drugs were first dissolved in ion-free water and then diluted to the final concentrations in the standard external solution or ACSF just prior to use. Unless otherwise indicated, drugs were applied using a rapid application technique termed the ‘Y-tube’ method throughout the experiments. This system allows a complete exchange of external solution surrounding a neuron within 20 ms [65].

Electrophysiological recordings and data analysis
Conventional whole-cell patch-clamp recording was performed under voltage-clamp conditions. Patch pipettes were pulled from glass capillaries with an outer diameter of 1.5 mm on a two-stage puller (PP-830, Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). The resistance between the recording electrode filled with pipette solution and the reference electrode was 4–6 MΩ. Membrane currents were measured using a patch-clamp amplifier (Axon 200B, Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA, USA), sampled and analyzed using a Digidata 1320A interface and a personal computer with Clampex and Clampfit software (Version 9.0.1, Axon Instruments). In most experiments, 70–90% series resistance was compensated. To make outside-out patch, after obtaining the whole-cell recording configuration, the patch was excised by carefully withdrawing the patch pipette from the cell [66]. Additionally, in some experiments that drugs were applied in pipette, the current was measured at least 5 minutes after whole-cell configuration was established to ensure cell dialysis [67]. Unless otherwise indicated, the membrane potential was held at -50 mV throughout the experiment. All experiments were carried out at room temperature (22–25°C).

Membrane capacitance measurements
Whole-cell voltage clamp was used to step membrane potential from -70 to +20 mV. A cesium based pipette solution was used to block voltage-gated potassium channels. The capacitance transient was recorded in HEK293 cell expressing α2-GlyRs in the absence or presence of 10 μM E₂. According to the calculation method of capacitance provided by the previous study [46], the capacitance of HEK293 cell expressing α2-GlyRs was estimated to be
physiological experiment in slices and revised the manuscript. WW participated in cell culture. TLX and CFL conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
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