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ABSTRACT

Mergers and the spin of the dark matter halo are factors traditionally believed to determine the morphology of galaxies within a \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology. We study this hypothesis by considering approximately 18,000 central galaxies at \( z = 0 \) with stellar masses \( M_* > 10^9 M_\odot \) selected from the Illustris cosmological hydrodynamic simulation. The fraction of accreted stars – which measures the importance of massive, recent and dry mergers relative to \textit{in situ} star formation – increases steeply with galaxy stellar mass, from less than 5 per cent in dwarfs to 80 per cent in the most massive objects, and the impact of mergers on galaxy morphology increases accordingly. For galaxies with \( M_* > 10^{11} M_\odot \), mergers have the expected effect: if gas-poor they promote the formation of spheroidal galaxies, whereas gas-rich mergers favour the formation and survivability of massive discs. This trend, however, breaks at lower masses. For objects with \( M_* < 10^{11} M_\odot \), mergers do not seem to play any significant role in determining the morphology, with accreted stellar fractions and mean merger gas fractions that are indistinguishable between spheroidal and disc-dominated galaxies. On the other hand, halo spin correlates with morphology primarily in the least massive objects in the sample \( (M_* < 10^{10} M_\odot) \), but only weakly for galaxies above that mass. Our results support a scenario where (1) mergers play a dominant role in shaping the morphology of massive galaxies, (2) halo spin is important for the morphology of dwarfs, and (3) the morphology of Milky Way-sized objects, at the transition between these two regimes, shows little dependence (at least in a straightforward way) on galaxy assembly history or the angular momentum content of the dark matter halo.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Since Hubble (1926) proposed his galaxy classification scheme, numerous studies have investigated the physical mechanisms that lead to the formation of spiral and elliptical galaxies. Given the nonlinearity of the physical processes involved, many such studies have used numerical simulation as their main tool, with Toomre & Toomre (1972) and White (1978) being some of the first examples. To this day, one of the main questions in the field of galaxy formation remains to understand which properties of a halo (or its environment) determine the morphology of the galaxy formed at its centre (e.g. Parry, Eke & Frenk 2009; Stinson et al. 2010; Sales et al. 2012; Teklu et al. 2015; Zavala et al. 2016).
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Galactic discs are believed to form through the dissipationless collapse of gas in dark matter (DM) haloes, which acquire their angular momentum through tidal torques in the early Universe (Peekles 1969; Doroskevich 1970). Despite the fact that galactic outflows, misaligned gas accretion, and mergers tend to complicate the detailed conservation of specific angular momentum during the formation of a galaxy (e.g. van den Bosch et al. 2002; Brook et al. 2011; Zjupa & Springel 2016), it is nevertheless expected that the largest galactic discs form preferentially in haloes with higher angular momentum (e.g. Fall & Efstathiou 1980; Mo, Mao & White 1998). Initial attempts to verify this prediction in cosmological simulations suffered from numerical issues leading to a ‘catastrophic’ loss of angular momentum (Navarro, Frenk & White 1995), but more recent studies have been able to verify such a trend (e.g. Teklu et al. 2015).

On the other hand, elliptical galaxies are believed to be the remnants of repeated galaxy mergers (Toomre 1977). This picture gained support as the hierarchical nature of structure formation (White & Rees 1978) started to become recognized, although a number of objections (e.g. Ostriker 1980) indicated that the situation was more complicated than this. Subsequent studies using idealized merger simulations have shown that the outcome of a galaxy merger is significantly affected by the masses, gas fractions, and morphologies of the progenitors, as well as by their orbital parameters (e.g. Barnes 1992; Hernquist 1992, 1993; Barnes & Hernquist 1996; Naab & Burkert 2003; Cox et al. 2006). Because of this, it is necessary to place such merger simulations in a ‘cosmological context’ in order to evaluate the impact of galaxy mergers from a statistical perspective.

A relatively inexpensive way of doing this consists in deriving simple prescriptions from such idealized merger simulations and then combining them with semi-analytic models (SAMs) of galaxy formation (e.g. Khochfar & Silk 2006; Parry, Eke & Frenk 2009; De Lucia et al. 2011; Zavala et al. 2012; Avila-Reese, Zavala & Lacerda 2014; Fontanot et al. 2015; Kannan et al. 2015) or semi-empirical halo occupation models (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2009b; Stewart et al. 2009; Hopkins et al. 2010; Moster, Macciò & Somerville 2014). A more straightforward approach, although far more computationally expensive, is to run a hydrodynamical simulation on a large comoving volume and then examine the morphologies of the resulting galaxies directly. With this technique, it has been found that both the numerical treatment of hydrodynamics and the feedback implementation can have a dramatic effect on galaxy morphology (e.g. Brook et al. 2004; Okamoto et al. 2005; Governato et al. 2007; Scannapieco et al. 2008, 2012; Ceverino & Klypin 2009; Sales et al. 2010; Agertz, Teyssier & Moore 2011; Torrey et al. 2012; Ubler et al. 2014; Snyder et al. 2015a; Agertz & Kravtsov 2016; Dubois et al. 2016). Despite all the inevitable uncertainties in the modelling of feedback, the latest generation of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations (e.g. Vogelsberger et al. 2014a; Dubois et al. 2014; Schaye et al. 2015) has been able to produce galaxy populations displaying a ‘morphological mix’ that agrees reasonably well with observations.

The latter approach, i.e. using hydrodynamical cosmological simulations to directly investigate the origin of galaxy morphology, has presented some serious puzzles for galaxy formation. For example, by studying a sample of Milky Way-sized simulated galaxies, Sales et al. (2012) found no correlation between galaxy morphology and properties such as halo spin and merging history, challenging the ‘standard’ model of the formation of discs and spheroids. Instead, Sales et al. (2012) proposed that a disc forms when the angular momentum of freshly accreted gas is aligned with that of earlier gas accretion. Furthermore, Zavala et al. (2016) found that galaxy morphology is correlated with the assembly history of the inner DM halo. In particular, they found that the ‘angular momentum loss’ of a galaxy’s stellar component is strongly linked to that of the inner DM halo, whereas the angular momentum history of a galaxy’s cold gas is statistically correlated with that of the whole DM halo.

In this work we explore the origin of discs and spheroids using the Illustris simulation (Vogelsberger et al. 2014a,b; Genel et al. 2014; Sijacki et al. 2015), a hydrodynamic cosmological simulation that has been shown to reproduce several galaxy observables reasonably well, including quantitative optical morphologies (Torrey et al. 2015; Snyder et al. 2015b), over a wide range of stellar masses. This makes the Illustris simulation a powerful tool to study the physical mechanisms that shape galaxy morphology in a cosmological context. Here, in particular, we will focus on how the morphology of a central galaxy at $z = 0$ depends on its merging history and the spin of its host halo.

The current paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly describe the set of cosmological simulations known as the Illustris Project, along with their merger trees and other post-processed catalogues, and present the galaxy sample considered for this study. An overview of galaxy morphology in the Illustris simulation, covering a wide range of stellar masses, is presented in Section 3. In Section 4 we investigate the dependence of galaxy morphology on merging history (Section 4.1), halo spin (Section 4.2), and a combination of both (Section 4.3). Finally, we summarize and discuss our results in Section 5.

## 2 METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 The Illustris and Illustris-Dark simulations

We use hydrodynamic and $N$-body simulations from the Illustris Project (Vogelsberger et al. 2014a,b; Genel et al. 2014; Sijacki et al. 2015), a set of cosmological simulations of a periodic cube of $\sim 106.5$ Mpc on a side, carried out with the moving-mesh code AREPO (Springel 2010). The main simulation considered in this study, simply known as the Illustris simulation, evolves $1820^3$ DM particles with a mass of $6.26 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$, along with approximately $1820^3$ baryonic resolution elements (stellar particles or gas cells) with an average mass of $1.26 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$. The simulation features a physical model of galaxy formation (Vogelsberger et al. 2013; Torrey et al. 2014) which has been shown to reproduce various galaxy observables, including several which were not used in the calibration of the model (e.g. Sales et al. 2015; Wellons et al. 2015; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2015; Mistani et al. 2016, Cook et al. 2016, in prep.).

In addition, we consider an analogous DM-only (DMO) cosmological simulation, known hereafter as Illustris-Dark, which follows the evolution of $1820^3$ DM particles with a mass of $7.52 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ in a cosmological box of the same size, with the same initial conditions. In Section 2.3 we describe a method for matching haloes between the Illustris and Illustris-Dark simulations.

For each simulation snapshot, DM haloes are identified using the friends-of-friends (FoF) algorithm (Davis et al. 1985), which links together all particle pairs separated by less than 0.2 times the mean interparticle separation. Within each halo, gravitationally bound substructures are identified with the SUBFIND algorithm (Springel et al. 2001; Dolag et al. 2009). For the remainder of this paper, FoF groups will be referred to as haloes and SUBFIND haloes.
as subhaloes. The latter category includes a central subhalo (also known as ‘background’ subhalo) which, by definition, contains all of the gravitationally bound material in the FoF group which is not gravitationally bound to any satellite.

We define a galaxy as being composed of the stellar and star-forming (i.e. ‘cold’) gas components of a subhalo. Here, a gas cell is considered to be star-forming if its hydrogen particle density exceeds 0.13 cm$^{-3}$ (Springel & Hernquist 2003). Unless otherwise noted, we measure all properties of a galaxy (e.g. stellar mass or angular momentum) throughout the entire SUBFIND object – namely, without truncating the particles found outside of a fiducial aperture such as twice the stellar half-mass radius.

Our simulations were run with a Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) cosmological model with parameters $\Omega_{\text{m}} = 0.2726$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7274$, $\Omega_b = 0.0456$, $\sigma_8 = 0.809$, $n_s = 0.963$, and $h = 0.704$, consistent with the nine-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe measurements (Hinshaw et al. 2013).

### 2.2 Merger trees and stellar assembly catalogues

We use the SUBFIND algorithm (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2015) to connect galaxies across the 136 snapshots produced by our simulations, resulting in data structures known as a merger trees. First, for each galaxy from a given snapshot, a descendant is identified in the next snapshot by matching the stellar particles and star-forming gas cells in a weighted fashion, putting more weight on elements which are more tightly bound. In some cases, a small galaxy is allowed to ‘skip’ a snapshot when finding a descendant, in order to avoid losing track of it while it is passing through a larger, denser structure. After assigning all the descendants, the main progenitor of each galaxy is defined as the one with the ‘most massive history’ behind it (De Lucia & Blaizot 2007). This information is enough to construct the merger trees, which are stored in a ‘depth-first’ fashion (Lemson & Springel 2006) in order to allow fast retrieval of the full evolutionary histories.

These merger trees have been used to determine the ‘accretion origin’ of every stellar particle in the Illustris simulation, which results in our so-called stellar assembly catalogues (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016). These datasets provide a precise measurement of the ex situ stellar mass fraction of each galaxy, denoted by $f_{\text{sacc}}$, which measures the fraction of a galaxy’s mass contributed by stars that formed in other galaxies and which were subsequently accreted.

### 2.3 Matched halo catalogues

In order to isolate (or exclude) the effects of baryons on DM, it is often useful to match subhaloes from a hydrodynamic simulation to their counterparts in an analogous N-body simulation. We carry out such a task for the Illustris and Illustris-Dark simulations in the following way. For each subhalo in Illustris, we define ‘matched’ subhalo candidates in Illustris-Dark as those which have at least one DM particle in common. For each candidate, we evaluate the

\[
\chi = \sum_j \left( R_{j,\text{FP}} - R_{j,\text{DMO}} \right),
\]

where $R_{j,\text{FP}}$ and $R_{j,\text{DMO}}$ are the binding energy ranks of the $j$-th DM particles in the ‘full-physics’ (FP) and DMO runs, respectively, and the sum is carried out over all common DM particles. The exponent is chosen to be $\alpha = 0$ for subhaloes which are centrals in the FP run, and $\alpha = 1$ for satellites. This choice maximizes the probability that a central is matched to a central, and a satellite to a satellite.\(^2\) As a final step, the same matching procedure is applied in reverse, i.e. by matching subhaloes from the DMO simulation to their counterparts in the FP run. Only those subhaloes with a bidirectional match are ultimately stored in the catalogue.

### 2.4 The galaxy sample

We consider all central galaxies at $z = 0$ with stellar masses $M_\ast > 10^8 M_\odot$. After removing a small spurious component, as explained below, our sample ultimately consists of 18,076 (17,650 in some calculations) central galaxies at $z = 0$. We do not consider satellite galaxies because a connection with the spin parameter of their host haloes would be difficult to interpret.

In order to account for two common problems that arise when connecting simulated galaxies across cosmic time, we apply the following small corrections to our galaxy sample. Firstly, we remove ‘orphan’ galaxies by making sure that all galaxies in the sample can be tracked back in time to at least $z = 2$. This removes 16 out of the 19,375 central galaxies originally included in the sample. Secondly, as a consequence of the halo identification procedure, a central and a satellite can sometimes ‘swap’ identities during close interactions (Srisawat et al. 2013; Avila et al. 2014). In order to minimize the effects from such spurious identifications, we only consider central galaxies which have never been classified as satellites during ten or more consecutive snapshots. This restriction removes a further 1,283 galaxies from our sample, or nearly 7 per cent, leaving a total of 18,076 central galaxies at $z = 0$. We find that these corrections have a negligible effect on the statistical trends shown in this paper.

Finally, when considering a DMO-matched quantity (namely, the spin of the DM halo), 426 galaxies are further removed because a bidirectional match was not found (see Section 2.3), reducing our sample to 17,650 central galaxies in these cases.

### 3 GALAXY MORPHOLOGY REVISITED

Galaxy morphology is known to correlate with various galactic properties such as the amount of rotation, star formation, gas content, and the spatial distribution of light (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 2003). In Snyder et al. (2015b), non-parametric optical morphologies were calculated for thousands of Illustris galaxies, and they were found to be in good agreement with their observational counterpart (Lotz et al. 2008). This provided evidence that the Illustris simulation can be a powerful tool to study galaxy morphology in a cosmological context. In the current work, however, we will exclusively consider kinematic morphologies rather than optical ones, as

\(^1\) The ‘default’ version of the SUBFIND algorithm, included in the Illustris public data release (Nelson et al. 2015b), tracks only DM particles. However, the ‘baryonic’ version of merger trees is better suited for this study, as well as for most other galaxy formation applications (see Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2015, for details).

\(^2\) Equation (1) is very similar to the merit function used in Rodriguez-Gomez et al. (2015) to construct merger trees, except for the symmetry with respect to the two sets of subhaloes being considered (in this case those from the FP and DMO simulations) and the flexible choice of the $\alpha$ exponent.
the former seem to be somewhat easier to interpret from a theoretical perspective. A detailed comparison between different measures of galaxy morphology in hydrodynamic simulations is deferred to future work.

### 3.1 The amount of rotational support

Throughout this work we quantify the morphology of a galaxy using the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ parameter, a measure of rotational support, defined as the fraction of kinetic energy invested in ordered rotation (Sales et al. 2012):

$$\kappa_{\text{rot}} = \frac{K_{\text{rot}}}{K} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} m_i \left( \frac{j_{z,i}}{R_i} \right)^2,$$

where $m_i$ represents the mass of a particle, $j_{z,i}$ is the $z$-component of the specific angular momentum, $R_i$ is the projected radius, and the sum is carried out over all stellar particles in the galaxy. By definition, the $z$-direction coincides with the total angular momentum of the stellar component of the galaxy. Following Genel et al. (2015), the calculation frame is centred at the position of the most bound stellar particle, while the velocity of the frame coincides with that of the stellar centre of mass.

We note that $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ is strongly correlated with other measures of kinematic morphology (Sales et al. 2012). In fact, throughout this paper $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ will represent a whole class of kinematic morphological parameters, most of which are based on the distribution of the ‘orbital circularity’ parameter $\epsilon$. This parameter is usually defined as $\epsilon = j_z / j(E)$, where $j(E)$ is the maximum specific angular momentum possible for a star with specific binding energy $E$ (Abadi et al. 2003), or as $\epsilon_V = j_z / r v_c(r)$, where $v_c(r) = \sqrt{GM(<r)/r}$ is the circular velocity at the distance $r$ (Scannapieco et al. 2009, 2012). Some examples of such circularity-based morphological parameters include the disc-to-total ratio, defined as the fraction of stars with sufficiently circular orbits, typically $D/T = f(\epsilon > 0.7) \approx f(\epsilon_\text{V} > 0.8)$ (Aumer et al. 2013; Marinacci, Pakmor & Springel 2014), or the bulge-to-total fraction, usually defined as $B/T = 2 \times f(\epsilon < 0)$ (but see Martig et al. 2012; Zavala et al. 2016, for an improved B/T measurement). We have found that $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ is strongly correlated with any of these alternative measures of kinematic morphology. Furthermore, $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ has the advantage of being independent from particular definitions of what constitutes a ‘circular’ orbit, or from the assumption that the bulge component is symmetric with respect to the $\epsilon$-parameter.

As expected, the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ parameter is also connected to the specific angular momentum of the stars in a galaxy. We caution, however, that most of the angular momentum in massive galaxies is found at very large radii, which makes angular momentum a poor indicator of galaxy morphology. The relationship between $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and angular momentum is discussed in more detail in Appendix A.

### 3.2 Visual impression

Fig. 1 shows images of randomly selected disc-like galaxies at $z = 0$ in different stellar mass bins, ranging from $\log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) = 9$–9.5 (first column) to $\log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) = 11$–11.5 (last column). The upper and lower panels show edge-on and face-on projections of the same galaxies, respectively. These disc-like galaxies have been selected as those with $\kappa_{\text{rot}} > 0.6$ (typically $\kappa_{\text{rot}} \approx 0.6$–0.65), which constitutes the upper tail of the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ distribution, as we will see in Section 3.3.

Each image is a composite of Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) $g, r, i$ filters, calculated using stellar population synthesis models (e.g. Bruzual & Charlot 2003). The scaling of the images is logarithmic (or, equivalently, linear on a magnitude scale) as described in Lupton et al. (2004), and the light from each stellar particle has been smoothed using a Gaussian kernel with a standard deviation equal to the gravitational softening length of baryonic resolution elements (0.7 kpc at $z = 0$). In principle, these images could also be generated with adaptive softening as described in Torrey et al. (2015).
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4 WHAT DRIVES GALAXY MORPHOLOGY?

In this section we examine the connection between galaxy morphology, merging history, and halo spin across a wide range of stellar masses.

4.1 Merging history

Idealized merger simulations have shown that mergers can play an important role in determining the morphology of a galaxy (e.g. Toomre 1977; White 1978; Barnes & Hernquist 1996). However, the ‘cosmologically averaged’ effect of mergers on galaxy morphology, as well as the relative impact on morphology produced by major versus minor mergers, or gas-rich versus dry mergers, are still the subject of significant discussion (e.g. Khochfar & Burkert 2003; Naab, Khochfar & Burkert 2006; Hopkins et al. 2009a, 2010; Fiacconi, Feldmann & Mayer 2014). Here we explore these topics using a large, cosmologically representative sample of simulated galaxies at $z = 0$ (defined in Section 2.4), with the help of merger trees and other useful data products described in Section 2.

4.1.1 The ex situ stellar mass fraction

The main parameter that we use to quantify the importance of merging history is the ex situ stellar mass fraction, denoted by $f_{acc}$ and defined as the fraction of a galaxy’s stellar mass contributed by stars that formed in other galaxies and which were subsequently accreted. This quantity has already been measured to great precision in the Illustris simulation (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016), where it was found to strongly correlate with stellar mass and other galaxy properties.

3.3 Distribution of galaxy morphologies

In Fig. 3, the two-dimensional histogram (effectively a scatter plot, given the small bin size) shows the distribution of galaxies with respect to $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and stellar mass, with darker shades of grey indicating a larger number of galaxies per bin. The use of a two-dimensional histogram to represent a scatter plot reduces the loss of information that is inevitable in the most densely populated regions of a ‘normal’ scatter plot. The thick cyan line shows the median value of $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ as a function of stellar mass, while the thin cyan lines indicate the 16th to 84th percentile range, or 1$\sigma$. The horizontal magenta line is located at $\kappa_{\text{rot}} = 0.5$. Hereafter, we refer to galaxies with $\kappa_{\text{rot}} \geq 0.5$ as rotation-dominated and to those with $\kappa_{\text{rot}} < 0.5$ as dispersion-dominated.

We note that the distribution of kinematic morphologies shown in Fig. 3 is remarkably similar to the one found by Dubois et al. (2016) using the Horizon-AGN simulation (Dubois et al. 2014), despite the different (but also kinematic) definition of galaxy morphology used in their study, and the obviously different numerical methods used to model the hydrodynamics and other physical processes. In particular, the simulated galaxies from Dubois et al. (2016) as well as those from the current study are more likely to be disc-like at $z = 0$ if their stellar masses are around $M_*$ $\approx$ $10^{10.5}$ – $10^{11}$ $M_\odot$.

Similarly, Fig. 2 shows projected stellar density maps of randomly selected spheroidal galaxies at $z = 0$ in the same stellar mass bins. These spheroidal systems have been selected as those satisfying $\kappa_{\text{rot}} < 0.3$ (typically $\kappa_{\text{rot}} \approx 0.25$–0.3). We can see that, as their stellar mass increases, spheroidal systems become more concentrated toward their centres, and some of them even display signs of shells and tidal streams.

Figs. 1 and 2 demonstrate that discs and spheroids arise naturally in the Illustris simulation over a wide range of stellar masses. We note, however, that these galaxy images merely represent the tails of the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ spectrum. In general, there is a smooth transition from disc-like to spheroidal systems.

3.3 Distribution of galaxy morphologies

In Fig. 3, the two-dimensional histogram (effectively a scatter plot, given the small bin size) shows the distribution of galaxies with respect to $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and stellar mass, with darker shades of grey indicating a larger number of galaxies per bin. The use of a two-dimensional histogram to represent a scatter plot reduces the loss of information that is inevitable in the most densely populated regions of a ‘normal’ scatter plot. The thick cyan line shows the median value of $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ as a function of stellar mass, while the thin cyan lines indicate the 16th to 84th percentile range, or 1$\sigma$. The horizontal magenta line is located at $\kappa_{\text{rot}} = 0.5$. Hereafter, we refer to galaxies with $\kappa_{\text{rot}} \geq 0.5$ as rotation-dominated and to those with $\kappa_{\text{rot}} < 0.5$ as dispersion-dominated.

We note that the distribution of kinematic morphologies shown in Fig. 3 is remarkably similar to the one found by Dubois et al. (2016) using the Horizon-AGN simulation (Dubois et al. 2014), despite the different (but also kinematic) definition of galaxy morphology used in their study, and the obviously different numerical methods used to model the hydrodynamics and other physical processes. In particular, the simulated galaxies from Dubois et al. (2016) as well as those from the current study are more likely to be disc-like at $z = 0$ if their stellar masses are around $M_*$ $\approx$ $10^{10.5}$ – $10^{11}$ $M_\odot$.

4 WHAT DRIVES GALAXY MORPHOLOGY?

In this section we examine the connection between galaxy morphology, merging history, and halo spin across a wide range of stellar masses.

4.1 Merging history

Idealized merger simulations have shown that mergers can play an important role in determining the morphology of a galaxy (e.g. Toomre 1977; White 1978; Barnes & Hernquist 1996). However, the ‘cosmologically averaged’ effect of mergers on galaxy morphology, as well as the relative impact on morphology produced by major versus minor mergers, or gas-rich versus dry mergers, are still the subject of significant discussion (e.g. Khochfar & Burkert 2003; Naab, Khochfar & Burkert 2006; Hopkins et al. 2009a, 2010; Fiacconi, Feldmann & Mayer 2014). Here we explore these topics using a large, cosmologically representative sample of simulated galaxies at $z = 0$ (defined in Section 2.4), with the help of merger trees and other useful data products described in Section 2.

4.1.1 The ex situ stellar mass fraction

The main parameter that we use to quantify the importance of merging history is the ex situ stellar mass fraction, denoted by $f_{acc}$ and defined as the fraction of a galaxy’s stellar mass contributed by stars that formed in other galaxies and which were subsequently accreted. This quantity has already been measured to great precision in the Illustris simulation (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016), where it was found to strongly correlate with stellar mass and other galaxy properties.
It is important to note that \( f_{\text{acc}} \) does not measure the merging history per se, but instead quantifies the relative importance of dry merging with respect to dissipative processes such as in situ star formation (e.g. Oser et al. 2010). Because of star formation quenching in massive galaxies, the ex situ fraction \( f_{\text{acc}} \) displays a much stronger variation with stellar mass than the merger rate itself, which shows a positive but relatively mild dependence on stellar mass (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2015).

In Fig. 4 we show the median ex situ stellar mass fraction as a function of stellar mass \( M_* \), distinguishing between dispersion-dominated (\( \kappa_{\text{rot}} < 0.5 \), red) and rotation-dominated (\( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \geq 0.5 \), blue) central galaxies at \( z = 0 \). The shaded regions indicate the 16th to 84th percentile ranges.

Fig. 4 shows that mergers play an increasingly important role at higher masses, where dispersion-dominated galaxies have larger ex situ stellar mass fractions than rotation-dominated galaxies of similar masses, as expected within the framework of ΛCDM cosmology and the merger hypothesis. A less expected result is that the correlation between \( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \) and \( f_{\text{acc}} \) becomes very weak at \( M_* \lesssim 10^{11}M_\odot \). Interestingly, this is consistent with Sales et al. (2012), who found a null correlation between \( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \) and \( f_{\text{acc}} \) for galaxies in a similar mass range using the GIMIC simulation (Crain et al. 2009).

In Fig. 5 we further explore the statistical relationship between galaxy morphology and the ex situ stellar mass fraction. The scatter plots (or, more precisely, two-dimensional histograms with very small bins) show the galaxy distribution with respect to \( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \) and \( f_{\text{acc}} \), in different stellar mass bins. The upper panels show the marginal distribution with respect to \( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \), separating between dispersion-dominated (\( \kappa_{\text{rot}} < 0.5 \), red) and rotation-dominated (\( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \geq 0.5 \), blue) systems. This figure shows even more explicitly that \( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \) and \( f_{\text{acc}} \) are correlated at high masses, and that the trend fades out at lower masses.

### 4.1.2 Other merger statistics

In addition to the ex situ stellar mass fraction, which we consider to be a ‘first order’ indicator of a galaxy’s merging history, we also define and explore the following merger statistics:

- **Mean merger gas fraction**: the mean ‘cold’ (i.e. star-forming) gas fraction of all the objects that have merged with the galaxy in question, weighted by their maximum stellar masses. The cold gas fraction of each secondary progenitor is measured at the time when it reached its maximum stellar mass.

- **Mean merger lookback time**: the mean lookback time of all the mergers that a galaxy has undergone, weighted by the maximum stellar mass of the secondary progenitors. Each merger is assumed to have taken place at the time when the two branches of the merger tree merged with each other.

- **Mean merger mass ratio**: the mean stellar mass ratio of all the mergers that a galaxy has undergone, weighted by the maximum stellar mass of the secondary progenitors. Following Rodriguez-Gomez et al. (2015), each merger mass ratio is measured at the time when the secondary progenitor reached its maximum stellar mass.

In Fig. 6, the two-dimensional histograms in the different panels are coloured according to the median value of the three merger statistics described above, with the horizontal and vertical axes corresponding to \( M_* \) and \( f_{\text{acc}} \), respectively. For reference, the thick and thin black lines show the median and 1σ scatter of \( f_{\text{acc}} \) as a function of stellar mass.

Apart from the evident trend between \( f_{\text{acc}} \) and stellar mass, which has already been discussed in Rodriguez-Gomez et al. (2016), Fig. 6 shows that – at any fixed stellar mass – \( f_{\text{acc}} \) is negatively correlated with the mean merger gas fraction and the mean lookback time (i.e. gas-rich mergers, as well as those which happened a longer time ago, tend to be associated with lower ex situ fractions) and positively correlated with the mean merger mass ratio (i.e. major mergers lead to higher \( f_{\text{acc}} \) values). Therefore, as a first approximation, we consider \( f_{\text{acc}} \) to be a good measure of the importance of dry, recent, and massive mergers (relative to in situ star formation). This justifies the ‘encapsulation’ of merging history – at least the kind of merging history that leads to spheroid formation – into a single number, i.e. the ex situ stellar mass fraction \( f_{\text{acc}} \).

Besides the correlation between \( f_{\text{acc}} \) and each of the three additional merger statistics, a closer inspection of Fig. 6 reveals other interesting trends. First, dry mergers are prevalent for all galaxies with \( M_* > 10^{11}M_\odot \). An implication of this is that two galaxies with the same \( f_{\text{acc}} \) value but with different stellar masses need not have ‘equivalent’ merging histories. For example, Fig. 6 shows that galaxies with \( f_{\text{acc}} \approx 0.4 \) at the low-mass end of our sample are extreme outliers which appear to have undergone a single, recent, gas-rich major merger (note that these galaxies also have mean merger mass ratios around 0.4). On the other hand, the typical merging history of a very massive galaxy with \( f_{\text{acc}} \approx 0.4 \) consists of numerous dry, minor mergers. Therefore, although we often use \( f_{\text{acc}} \) as a simplified measure of the importance (relative to in situ star formation)
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Figure 5. Correlation between galaxy morphology and the ex situ stellar mass fraction $f_{\text{acc}}$ in different stellar mass bins at $z = 0$. The scatter plots (or two-dimensional histograms with very small bins, using the colour scale from Fig. 3) in the lower panels show the overall galaxy distribution, while the histograms in the upper panels show the corresponding marginal distributions for early- and late-type galaxies, which have been classified according to the horizontal magenta line in the lower panels. This figure demonstrates that galaxy morphology is clearly correlated with $f_{\text{acc}}$ in the most massive bin, but less so in the case of smaller galaxies.

Figure 6. The ex situ stellar mass fraction $f_{\text{acc}}$ as a function of stellar mass $M_*$ for central galaxies at $z = 0$. The two-dimensional histograms are coloured according to the median value of different merger statistics (different panels) of the galaxies that fall into each bin. Such merger statistics are the mean (mass-weighted) cold gas fraction of the secondary progenitors from all mergers (left), the mean (mass-weighted) lookback time of all mergers (centre), and the mean (mass-weighted) stellar mass ratio of all mergers (right). In each panel, the thick black line shows the median trend of $f_{\text{acc}}$ as a function of stellar mass, while the thin lines show the 16th to 84th percentile range. At any fixed stellar mass, there is a positive correlation between $f_{\text{acc}}$ and each of the merger statistics shown in the different panels. This demonstrates that, to a first approximation, $f_{\text{acc}}$ is a good proxy for massive, recent, and dry merging history. Also note that dry mergers become ubiquitous at $M_* > 10^{11} M_\odot$.

Another observation about Fig. 6 worth mentioning is that, for the ‘bulk’ of the galaxy population (say, the galaxies contained within the thin black lines, or $\pm 1\sigma$), the mean merger mass ratio is essentially independent of stellar mass (Fig. 6, right panel). In other words, the ‘isochromes’ in the two-dimensional histogram are parallel to the median trend as a function of stellar mass. This is consistent with Rodriguez-Gomez et al. (2015), where it was found that the mass ratio dependence of the galaxy merger rate is approximately universal.

Among the three different merger statistics described above, perhaps the one that has been the topic of most discussion in the literature, at least regarding its effects on galaxy morphology, is the gas content of the merger, quantified here as the mean merger gas fraction. It is generally accepted that dry mergers are more effective at creating spheroids than gas-rich mergers (e.g. Khochfar...
Fig. 7. Correlation between galaxy morphology and the cold gas fraction of the baryonic material brought in by mergers, shown for different stellar mass bins at $z = 0$. The scatter plots in the lower panels show the overall galaxy distribution, while the histograms in the upper panels show the corresponding marginal distributions of early- and late-type galaxies. In agreement with results from idealized merger simulations, dry mergers tend to produce dispersion-dominated systems, while remnants from gas-rich mergers can possess a significant disc component. This trend is only significant in the right-hand panel, which corresponds to the mass range in which mergers have a definite impact on galaxy morphology (compare with Fig. 5).

& Burkert 2003; Naab, Khochfar & Burkert 2006; Hopkins et al. 2010).

Fig. 7 shows the correlation between galaxy morphology and mean merger gas fraction, using the same plotting style as Fig. 5. This figure shows that gas-poor mergers are indeed more effective in forming dispersion-dominated systems, while remnants from gas-rich mergers can possess a significant disc component. This trend is only significant in the right-hand panel, which corresponds to the mass range in which mergers have a definite impact on galaxy morphology (compare with Fig. 5).

4 Defined as $\sum M_{\text{gas}}/\sum (M_{\text{gas}} + M_*)$, where the sum is carried out over the galaxy's main branch in the merger tree.

4.2 Halo spin

Now we test the well-known hypothesis that, through conservation of specific angular momentum, the spin of a halo has an important effect on the morphology of the galaxy formed at its centre (Fall & Efstathiou 1980; Fall 1983). These ideas were later developed in more detail by a number of authors. In particular, the analytic arguments presented in Mo, Mao & White (1998) suggest that galactic discs that form in haloes with large spin should have large sizes and low surface densities, a prediction that has been shown to work reasonably well in cosmological hydrodynamic simulations (Sales et al. 2009). However, a systematic comparison between the spin of the DM halo and the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ parameter over a wide range of stellar masses has not been done before.

We parametrize halo spin using the definition from Bullock et al. (2001),

$$\lambda' \equiv \frac{J_{200}}{\sqrt{2} M_{200} V_{200} R_{200}}, \quad (3)$$

where $R_{200} \equiv R_{200,\text{crit}}$ is the radius enclosing an average density equal to 200 times the critical density of the Universe, $M_{200} \equiv M_{200,\text{crit}}$ is the total mass within $R_{200}$, $J_{200}$ is the magnitude of the total angular momentum within $R_{200}$, and $V_{200} = \sqrt{GM_{200}/R_{200}}$. For our analysis we use the angular momentum vectors from the Illustris halo catalogue extension presented in Zjupa & Springel (2016). In this extension, $J_{200}$ is calculated including all resolution elements contained within $R_{200}$, rather than only those which are also members of the FoF group in question.

The halo spin parameter defined by equation (3) is approximately mass-independent and its statistical distribution is well fitted by a log-normal distribution (e.g. Barnes & Efstathiou 1987; van den Bosch 1998; Bullock et al. 2001),

$$P(\lambda') = \frac{1}{\lambda'\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left( -\frac{\ln^2(\lambda'/\lambda_0)}{2\sigma^2} \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda_0 = 0.028$ is the median spin parameter, and $\sigma = 0.32$ is the dispersion.
where \( \lambda' \) and \( \sigma \) are the best-fitting values.\(^5\) A detailed study of halo spin in the Illustris and Illustris-Dark simulations has already been carried out in Zjupa & Springel (2016).

In order to study the effect of halo spin on the morphology of the galaxy hosted at its centre, while at the same time removing the influence of the baryons themselves on halo spin, we match each subhalo from Illustris to its counterpart in Illustris-Dark as described in Section 2.3. We denote these DMO-matched halo spin parameters by \( \lambda'_{DMO} \), and we will use them exclusively throughout the rest of this paper. We note, however, that none of our results would change appreciably if we had used the halo spin parameters from the hydrodynamic simulation.

In Fig. 8 we show the correlation between morphology and halo spin. As before, the two-dimensional histograms (coloured using the same range of greys as in Fig. 3) are effectively scatter plots showing the overall galaxy distribution in different stellar mass bins, while the histograms in the upper panels show the marginal distributions for dispersion-dominated (\( \kappa_{\text{rot}} < 0.5 \)) and rotation-dominated (\( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \geq 0.5 \)) systems. The data in the upper panels have been fitted with a log-normal distribution, with best-fit parameters specified in Table 1.

Fig. 8 reveals that there is a trend in the lower mass bin (\( M_* \lesssim 10^{10} M_\odot \)): galaxies with higher (lower) \( \kappa_{\text{rot}} \) are more likely to be found at the centres of haloes with higher (lower) spin parameter, although there is significant overlap in the \( \lambda' \) distributions of dispersion-dominated and rotation-dominated systems. This trend disappears at \( M_* \gtrsim 10^{11} M_\odot \), in agreement with several previous results in this mass range (e.g. Scannapieco et al. 2009; Sales et al. 2012).

Recently, however, Teklu et al. (2015) studied the halo spin parameter of disc- and spheroid-dominated galaxies with \( M_{\text{halo}} > 5 \times 10^{13} M_\odot \) (approximately \( M_* \gtrsim 10^{10} M_\odot \)), finding a mild preference for disc-dominated galaxies to form in haloes with larger spin (see their figure 15). This is unlikely to be in contradiction with our work or with previous ones. In fact, their trend is similar to the one found in our sample, but only when we consider galaxies with \( M_* \lesssim 10^{10} M_\odot \). Because low-mass galaxies dominate in number compared to more massive systems, the signal prevails when the whole galaxy sample is considered at stellar masses \( M_* \gtrsim 10^{10} M_\odot \), which is the case in Teklu et al. (2015). Nevertheless, we highlight that, at least in our sample, the trend between morphology and halo spin does exist in dwarf galaxies, disappearing later for more massive systems, likely as a combination of more complex assembly histories and the increasingly important role of mergers.

### Table 1. Best-fitting parameters of the log-normal fits shown in Fig. 8.

| \( M_* \) range | Dispersion-dominated | Rotation-dominated |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| \( 10^9-10^{10} M_\odot \) | \( \lambda_0 = 0.029, \sigma = 0.62 \) | \( \lambda_0 = 0.045, \sigma = 0.50 \) |
| \( 10^{10}-10^{11} M_\odot \) | \( \lambda_0 = 0.031, \sigma = 0.63 \) | \( \lambda_0 = 0.036, \sigma = 0.55 \) |
| \( 10^{11}-10^{12} M_\odot \) | \( \lambda_0 = 0.033, \sigma = 0.60 \) | \( \lambda_0 = 0.032, \sigma = 0.56 \) |

\(^5\) A slightly more accurate fitting formula was later proposed by Bett et al. (2007).

---

**Mergers and halo spin in galaxy morphology**

Here we expand on our results from Sections 4.1 and 4.2, but now focusing on the idea that merging history and halo spin play complementary roles in shaping galaxy morphology. We know from Fig. 5 that mergers are more effective at shaping the morphology of massive galaxies \( (M_* = 10^{11}-10^{12} M_\odot) \), while Fig. 8 suggests that halo spin plays a more important role at lower masses \( (M_* = 10^{9}-10^{10} M_\odot) \). However, the effect from any of these two factors alone is relatively unimportant for medium-sized galaxies \( (M_* = 10^{10}-10^{11} M_\odot) \). Here we explore the idea that a combination of both merging history and halo spin could be useful in understanding what determines the morphology of galaxies with masses similar to that of the Milky Way.

Fig. 9 shows how the morphologies of central galaxies at \( z = 0 \) depend on halo spin (x-axis), ex situ stellar mass fraction.
(y-axis), and stellar mass (different panels). Each two-dimensional histogram is coloured according to the median $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ value of the galaxies that fall into each two-dimensional bin, while the black and dark grey contours contain 68 and 95 per cent of the galaxies in each panel. The black arrows indicate the direction of increasing $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$, obtained from a linear fit to the data in each panel, weighted by the number of galaxies. This figure shows that, in general, $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ depends on a combination of halo spin and ex situ fraction, as manifested by the ‘diagonal’ $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradient seen in most panels: galaxies with violent (quiet) merging histories which are also found at the centres of slowly (fast) rotating haloes are more likely to be dispersion-dominated (rotation-dominated).

However, we had demonstrated in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 that the effect of merging history and halo spin on galaxy morphology depends on the stellar mass of the galaxies considered. This mass-dependent behaviour is manifested in Fig. 9 by the fact that the direction of the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradient changes with stellar mass. At low masses (top-left panels), the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradient is almost horizontal, which implies that the morphology of galaxies with ‘similar’ merging histories (i.e. with the same $f_{\text{acc}}$ value) is mostly determined by the spin parameter of their parent haloes. On the other hand, the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradient becomes approximately vertical at $M_* \gtrsim 10^{11}\,M_\odot$ (bottom-right panels), which means that mergers have a major impact on the morphology of massive galaxies, erasing any ‘pre-existing dependence’ on halo spin.

In order to interpret the changing direction of such gradients in Fig. 9 correctly, one must consider the following factors. Firstly, the stellar mass of a galaxy is strongly correlated with its gas fraction, as well as with the gas fraction of other galaxies that have merged onto it (Fig. 6, left panel). In general, more gas is involved in galaxy interactions at low stellar masses. Secondly, $f_{\text{acc}}$ does not measure the merging history per se, but rather the impact of dry mergers relative to in situ star formation. Therefore, a low-mass galaxy with high $f_{\text{acc}}$ (an indication of a recent, gas-rich major merger, as implied by Fig. 6) will nevertheless possess a considerable amount of gas, a higher specific star formation rate, and therefore the ‘ability’

---

**Figure 9.** Dependence of galaxy morphology on the halo spin parameter (x-axis) and the ex situ stellar mass fraction (y-axis), shown for central galaxies at $z = 0$ in different stellar mass bins (different panels). The two-dimensional histograms are coloured according to the median value of $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ of the galaxies that fall into each two-dimensional bin. The black arrows indicate the direction of increasing $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$, while the contours contain 68 and 95 per cent of the galaxy population in each stellar mass bin. At low stellar masses (upper-left panel), the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradient becomes almost horizontal, while at high stellar masses (bottom-right panel) the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradient becomes approximately vertical. For intermediate masses (second to fifth panels), galaxy morphology is correlated with a combination of the ex situ stellar mass fraction and the halo spin parameter, such that the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradient becomes approximately diagonal. The variation in the direction of this $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradient once again shows that galaxy morphology is mostly determined by halo spin at low masses, while mergers have a dominant effect on the morphology of more massive galaxies.

---

6 We excluded galaxies with $f_{\text{acc}} < 0.02$, since they are not well described by a plane in the upper left panel of Fig. 9. This has negligible effects in the other five panels, i.e. for galaxies with $M_* > 10^{9.5}\,M_\odot$.  

---
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to regrow its stellar disc (a quite direct demonstration of this effect is presented in Sparre et al., in prep.). The $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ values of these low-mass discs are ultimately determined by the halo spin. Finally, there might be other factors driving the mass-dependent trends in Fig. 9, but whose effects are more challenging to quantify. For example, it has been argued that a large fraction of the gas accreted onto small galaxies at $z < 1$ comes from a ‘galactic fountain’ of recycled gas (e.g. Nelson et al. 2015a, and references therein). This effect could introduce or enhance correlations between the angular momentum of the halo and that of the galaxy formed at its centre (e.g. Genel et al. 2015).

We finalize this section by making a more subtle observation about Fig. 9, which is that the ex situ stellar mass fraction $f_{\text{acc}}$ and the halo spin parameter $\lambda_{\text{DMO}}$, usually assumed to be independent from each other, actually appear to be somewhat correlated, as evidenced by the mildly diagonal orientation of the contour lines. This implies that a larger fraction of accreted stellar mass is associated with higher halo spin, as previously speculated by a number of investigations (e.g. Aubert, Pichon & Colombi 2004; Peirani, Mohayee & de Freitas Pacheco 2004; Bailin & Steinmetz 2005; Bett & Frenk 2012; Welker et al. 2014), although it is unclear whether this correlation implies causation (i.e. mergers directly increasing the spin of the DM halo), or whether haloes with higher spin simply happen to form in environments which are also favourable to galaxy mergers, such as galaxy filaments. A full discussion of this curious effect is beyond the scope of this paper.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the connection between galaxy morphology, halo spin, and merging history in the Illustris cosmological simulation, considering approximately 18,000 central galaxies at $z = 0$ over a wide range of stellar masses ($M_* = 10^9$–$10^{12}\, M_\odot$). We showed that disc-like and spheroidal galaxies arise naturally in the Illustris simulation over the mass range considered (Figs. 1 and 2), and then proceeded to investigate the effects of mergers and halo spin on galaxy morphology as a function of stellar mass.

Throughout this work, we quantified galaxy morphology using the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ parameter (Sales et al. 2012), defined in equation (2) as the fraction of kinetic energy invested in ordered rotation. Naturally, this quantity is related to the angular momentum of a galaxy’s stellar component, although the latter is not a reliable indicator of galaxy morphology because of the disproportionately heavy influence of stars orbiting at very large radii (as demonstrated in Appendix A). We employed the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ parameter to classify galaxies as rotation-dominated ($\kappa_{\text{rot}} \geq 0.5$) or dispersion-dominated ($\kappa_{\text{rot}} < 0.5$), as illustrated in Fig. 3.

In order to test the idea that mergers play an important role in determining galaxy morphology (e.g. Toomre 1977; White 1978; Barnes & Hernquist 1996; Naab, Jesseit & Burkert 2006), we used the ex situ stellar mass fraction $f_{\text{acc}}$ to measure the overall impact of galaxy mergers (relative to in situ star formation). We found that mergers are indeed an important transformational mechanism in massive galaxies ($M_* \gtrsim 10^{11}\, M_\odot$), but their importance diminishes at lower masses (Figs. 4 and 5). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that a statistical demonstration of the ‘merger hypothesis’ has been carried out with a cosmological hydrodynamic simulation over such a wide range of stellar masses (however, see De Lucia et al. 2011 for a study using SAMs).

Beyond the ex situ stellar mass fraction, $f_{\text{acc}}$, we also considered three additional merger statistics: the mean merger gas fraction, the mean merger lookback time, and the mean merger mass ratio. By comparing these quantities to $f_{\text{acc}}$ we find that – at any fixed stellar mass – a higher $f_{\text{acc}}$ is associated with a larger number of massive, recent, and dry mergers (Fig. 6), which happen to be the kind of mergers that are believed to contribute most to the formation of spheroidal galaxies. In fact, in Fig. 7 we showed explicitly that gas-poor mergers contribute more to spheroid formation than gas-rich mergers, although the trend is strong only for massive galaxies ($M_* \gtrsim 10^{11}\, M_\odot$).

Having investigated the role of merging history in shaping galaxy morphology, we proceeded to test the hypothesis that, through conservation of specific angular momentum, the spin of a halo also plays a major role in determining the morphology of the galaxy formed at its centre (e.g. Fall & Efstathiou 1980; Fall 1983). In order to rule out baryonic effects on halo spin, we matched each Illustris halo to its counterpart in Illustris-Dark and used the spin parameter of the latter for all our analyses. We showed in Fig. 8 that $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ is somewhat determined by halo spin at $M_* \lesssim 10^{10}\, M_\odot$, but the correlation between these two quantities becomes weaker at higher masses and eventually disappears, presumably due to the increasing impact of galaxy mergers.

Finally, we investigated the joint effect of halo spin and merging history on galaxy morphology. We found that, in general, galaxies with high (low) $f_{\text{acc}}$ which are also located at the centres of slowly (fast) rotating haloes are more likely to be dispersion-dominated (rotation-dominated) systems, as manifested by the ‘diagonal’ $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradients in Fig. 9. However, the relative importance between halo spin and $f_{\text{acc}}$ is mass-dependent, as evidenced by the varying direction of the $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ gradients: halo spin is the dominant driver of galaxy morphology at low masses, even for galaxies which have undergone major mergers, while mergers are more important in determining the morphology of more massive systems. The morphology of Milky Way-sized galaxies, at the transition between these two mass regimes, depends on a combination of $f_{\text{acc}}$ and halo spin, as described above, but shows a weak dependence on any of these two factors alone. We argued that the physical origin of this mass-dependent response of galaxy morphology to mergers is largely due to the nature of the mergers undergone by galaxies in different mass ranges.

Indeed, as discussed in Section 4.1, two galaxies of different masses with the same $f_{\text{acc}}$ value need not have ‘equivalent’ merging histories. For example, Fig. 6 shows that low-mass galaxies are more likely to have gas-rich mergers, while the merging history of a more massive system usually consists of numerous dry mergers. This has crucial consequences for galaxy morphology. In fact, it has been proposed that dry mergers are important in the formation of spheroidal systems (e.g. Khochfar & Burkert 2003; Naab, Khochfar & Burkert 2006), while gas-rich major mergers have been shown to produce disc galaxies (e.g. Springel & Hernquist 2005; Robertson et al. 2006; Governato et al. 2007). Both of these ideas seem to be manifestly statistically in the different panels of Fig. 9, where the ‘likelihood’ of galaxy mergers producing a spheroid increases with stellar mass, along with the ‘dryness’ of the galaxy mergers in each mass range (Fig. 6). Furthermore, we know that low-mass galaxies undergo major mergers somewhat less frequently than massive galaxies, by about an order of magnitude (Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2015). This reduced merger frequency, along with the higher gas...
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APPENDIX A: GALAXY MORPHOLOGY AND STELLAR ANGULAR MOMENTUM

Since $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and the specific angular momentum $j_z$ both measure in some way the rotation of the galactic stellar component, and yet only the former is considered to be a good indicator of galaxy morphology, it is natural to investigate what are exactly the differences between these two quantities, as we briefly do in this appendix.

Fig. A1 shows that the correlation between $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and $j_z$ is stronger at low masses (left-hand panels), while the two quantities decouple from each other in more massive galaxies (right-hand panels). At high masses, $M_*$ $\gtrsim 10^{11.5} M_\odot$, the galaxy sample is composed of dispersion-dominated spheroids with low $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ values, but which nevertheless exhibit a wide range of specific angular momenta. This suggests that, in general, galaxy mergers might not decrease the angular momentum of the stellar component, but merely redistribute it to larger radii. This inside-out transport of angular momentum had already been observed for DM (Zavala et al. 2016). Here, we consider the galaxy sample, and we thus look only at the galaxy component.

Fig. A2 is similar to Fig. A1, except that $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and $j_z$ have been calculated by considering only stars within two stellar half-mass radii, a region which, for practical purposes, is often considered to be ‘the galaxy’ in many simulation studies. Within such an inner region, $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and $j_z$ appear to be well correlated even in the most massive galaxies. Nevertheless, the specific angular momentum of massive, dispersion-dominated (low $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ values) galaxies appears to have decreased by an order of magnitude, suggesting that a large fraction of their angular momentum must be found beyond two stellar half-mass radii.

In order to show such radial trends more clearly, Fig. A3 shows cumulative radial profiles of stellar mass $M_*$ (blue), kinetic energy invested in ordered rotation $K_{\text{rot}}$ (magenta, as defined in equation 2), and angular momentum along the $z$-axis (red), considering galaxies in the same stellar mass bins as the previous two figures. The solid lines and shaded regions correspond to the median trends and 1$\sigma$ ranges, respectively. In each panel, the vertical dotted line is located at two stellar half-mass radii. This figure illustrates perhaps the most important difference between $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and $j_z$: the former quantity is essentially ‘mass-weighted,’ as seen from the fact that the blue and magenta lines follow each other quite closely, whereas angular momentum is to some extent ‘distance-weighted,’ such that a few stars orbiting at large radii can have a substantial impact on the galaxy’s angular momentum.
Figure A1. Correlation between the amount of rotational support $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and specific angular momentum $j_*$ for galaxies in different stellar mass bins (different panels). In each panel, the contours contain 20, 40, 60, and 80 per cent of the galaxies, while the greyscale shows the overall galaxy distribution. This figure shows that rotational support becomes decoupled from angular momentum in massive galaxies.

Figure A2. Same as Fig. A1, but measuring $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and $j_*$ within a fiducial aperture corresponding to twice the stellar half-mass radius, $r_\odot \equiv 2r_{\text{half, }*}$. In order to have a fair comparison with Fig. A1, the galaxies are also binned according to their total stellar mass $M_*$. This figure shows that $\kappa_{\text{rot}}$ and $j_*$ are always reasonably well correlated if measured within the central region of the galaxy that contains most of the light and stellar mass. However, this comes at the cost of excluding most of the angular momentum in massive galaxies.

Figure A3. Cumulative radial profiles showing stellar mass (blue), kinetic energy invested in ordered rotation (magenta), and angular momentum along the z-axis (red), calculated for galaxies in different stellar mass bins (different panels). Each line shows the median trend for galaxies in the corresponding stellar mass bin, while the shaded regions indicate the 16th to 84th percentile ranges, or 1 $\sigma$. Note that most of the angular momentum in massive galaxies is found beyond two stellar half mass radii, i.e. outside of the region that is usually regarded as ‘the galaxy.’