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Abstract - The volume of cyber-attack targeting network resources within the cyberspace is steadily increasing and evolving. Network intrusions compromise the confidentiality, integrity or availability of network resources causing reputational damage and the consequential financial loss. One of the key cyber-defense tools against these attacks is the Intrusion Detection System. Existing anomalous intrusion detection models often misclassified normal network traffics as attacks while minority attacks go undetected due to an extreme imbalance in network traffic data. This leads to a high false positive and low detection rate. This study focused on improving the detection accuracy by addressing the class imbalanced problem which is often associated with network traffic dataset. Live network traffic packets were collected within the test case environment with Wireshark during normal network activities, Syncflood attack, slowhttppost attack and exploitation of known vulnerabilities on a targeted machine. Fifty-two features including forty-two features similar to Knowledge Discovery in Database (KDD '99) intrusion detection dataset were extracted from the packet meta-data using Spleen tool. The features were normalized with min-max normalization algorithm and Information Gain algorithm was used to select the best discriminatory features from the feature space. An anomalous intrusion detection model was formulated by a cascade of k-means clustering algorithm and random-forest classifier. The proposed model was simulated and its performance was evaluated using detection accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity as metrics. The result of the evaluation showed 10% higher detection accuracy, 29% sensitivity, and 0.2% specificity than the existing model.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The digital age has influenced the way business is transacted and government operations are carried out. These activities now rely on an interdependent network of information technology infrastructures called cyberspace. Cyberspace is the collection of computing devices connected by networks in which electronic information is stored and utilized, and communication takes place (Hughes, 2010). Cyberspace also called cyber environment (Phister, 2010), includes users, networks, devices, all software, processes, information in storage or transit, applications, services, and systems that can be connected directly or indirectly to networks. Cyberspace allows the interdependent network of information technology infrastructures, telecommunications networks, such as the Internet, computer systems, integrated sensors, system control networks and embedded processors and controllers common to global control and communications.

As the systems that support human daily life such as security, healthcare, electricity, financial services, transportation and communication services become increasingly interconnected in the cyberspace, the volume of the targeted attack is steadily increasing and evolving forcing businesses to revamp their network security systems to avert network intrusions and the consequential financial loss. It seems “every organization is at the risk of a cyber-attack” (Arabo, 2015). According to a recent survey, attacks within cyberspace has risen to 80 to 90 million plus per year and more than 50 percent of cyber intrusions on companies, corporations, government and campus networks are going undetected (Kirk, 2015).

Existing anomalous intrusion detection models often misclassified normal network traffics as attacks while minority attacks go undetected due to extreme imbalance in network traffic data. This leads to a high false positive and low detection rate. Therefore, an effort is made in this work to develop an improved anomalous intrusion detection model that would effectively detect both majority and minority attacks based on anomalous network traffic in cyberspace. The rest of this paper is arranged as follows: Section 2 discusses the related works, Section 3 described the modelling process while Section 4 described the prediction algorithm and the conclusions are discussed in Section 5.

2 RELATED WORKS

Several algorithms have been developed for intrusion detection using the traditional solutions, but traditional Intrusion Detection System (IDS) algorithms are not optimized for public cloud environments. A traditional IDS inspects network traffic looking for known attack signatures and either alerts on the traffic or stops it from proceeding into the network, depending on how it has been deployed. However, the current state of the art seeks to further provide extensive coverage of network protocols to detect a wider range of attacks. In a cloud environment, malicious attacks and intrusions are dynamic and are needed to perform intrusion detection in a real-time environment with data streams, thus, Data mining methods such as clustering, classification, and association rule mining are often used to obtain valuable information of network intrusion through analysing the network data.

Minnesota Intrusion Detection System (MINDS) developed by Ertoz et al., (2004), efficiently used data mining techniques for anomaly detection. It contains two major modules namely the anomaly detection module and association pattern analysis. The anomaly detection module uses Local Outlier Factor (LOF) to detect
In Gong et al. (2005), Genetic algorithm was used to detect intrusion in networks through effective feature selection. Features were extracted using information gain to reduce the dataset dimensionality. Then, they formed a linear structure rule from the selected features in order to classify network behaviours into normal and anomalous behaviour. However, their approach considers only discrete features. In Wang et al. (2010), campus network security problems were analyzed. An intrusion detection structure that can detect attacks using a data mining algorithm was designed. Associated rules were created in intrusion detection to find association relation in the network data stream in the algorithm. The system could detect the intrusion attack behaviour in the campus network, especially the internal attacks on a campus network. It was, however a host-based intrusions detection system and limited its data set to only applications on the network without considering other features and elements on the network.

In Winter et al. (2011), the inductive network intrusion detection system was proposed. The system operates on lightweight network flows and uses One-Class Support Vector Machines (OCSVM) for analysis. But the system was trained with malicious rather than with normal network data. Evaluations brought satisfying results. They achieved a 0% false alarm with detection rate around 98%. The drawbacks of this work that the attack variations are unlimited, this leads to having big differences in class density which affect the detection performance of the OCSVM. Also, it is impossible to have a representative dataset of all possible attacks that could happen in the future.

A new Real Time Unsupervised Network Intrusion Detection System (NIDS) which monitor network flows in two windows with different sizes and detect network attacks by correlating outliers from multiple clusters was proposed in Amoli, & Hamalainen, (2013). The proposed NIDS has the ability to detect different types of intrusions in real-time such as DOS, DDoS, scanning, distribution of worms and any other network attacks which produce a huge amount of network traffic and in the meanwhile, it detects Bot-Master if the detected attack launched by Bots. The authors didn't mention how to distinguish between normal and abnormal packets, also the limitation of this approach is the use of DBSCAN algorithm which fails when the density is varied in normal instances. Also, it can't detect attacks other than flood attacks.

In Almutairi, and Parish (2014), a predictive intrusion detection model that is based on usage of classification techniques such as decision tree and Bayesian techniques was proposed. The model was trained using KDD’99 intrusion detection dataset. The results showed that the decision tree algorithm J48 based on C4.5 provides 99.95% of correctly classified instances and was better than the Naïve Bayes technique. It was also found that false positives using Naïve Bayes were high for Probing and Remote to Local attack categories. The shortcoming of their model is the inability to detect novel attacks with a different distribution than those attacks in the training dataset based on classifier used. The feature selection also may eliminate some features which are relevant to future attacks. Also, it is a problem to have labelled data in real environment. A software (Spleen) to obtain KDD compatible features from live network traffic packets was proposed by Guillon et al., (2012), with the possibility of getting additional features information in order to construct an updated data set with multiple networking scenarios. The work established the usefulness of the additional features in improved detection of remote to local attack.

In Hussein, (2014), a Very Fast Decision Tree (VFDT) classification was used to build an anomalous intrusion detection system. VFDT is a high-performance data mining system based on decision trees. It is fast and the description of classifiers that it derives is easily understood. VFDT deals with data streams. As data arrives, this data stream grows gradually while the data is classified (Nguyen and Choi, 2008). VFDT does not accumulate the examples in main memory, because it can gradually grow without waiting for the arrival of all the examples. The VFDT gradually grows as examples are received to create leaf nodes, which grow into branches from only the root node. In Maglaras et al., (2016), ensemble methods and social network metrics for improving the accuracy of once class Support Vector Machine for intrusion detection system in SCADA system was proposed. The authors modelled interaction among network nodes using Social Network Analysis (SNA). The assumption is that those network anomalies are the patterns of interaction that significantly differ from the normal interaction. Detection accuracy was improved than previous models but at a very high computational and time complexity. In this paper, an attempt was made to develop an extensive algorithm that would alleviate false alarm rates due to extreme imbalance in network traffic data.
3 METHODOLOGY

The conceptual model description and the detailed algorithm of the proposed model formulation are presented as follows.

3.1 PROPOSED MODEL ARCHITECTURE

In this study, the model of Hussein, (2014) shown in Fig 1 was improved. In this existing system, Network packets were captured; basic features were extracted from the network packet headers and the content payloads. Features with high information gain were selected, normalized and converted into linear discrete integer values in order to avoid the impact of overpowering the large-scale features on the other features. The pre-processed data were fed into the VFDT classification algorithm to classify the traffic as normal or attack.

The proposed model flow is as shown in Figs 2 and 3. It follows a sequential architecture from the extraction of network traffic packet features to classification of network traffic connection as normal or attack. In the proposed model, network traffic packets are clustered before applying a classification algorithm on each of the clusters. The aim of this enhancement is to increase detection accuracy, especially on non-dominant network attack traffics.

The proposed model uses a cascade of two machine learning algorithm: K-means, an unsupervised machine learning algorithm and Random Forest, a supervised machine learning algorithm. The detection was in two stages. In the first stage, k-Means clustering algorithm was applied to the training dataset to obtain k disjoint clusters based on the attributes. The packets in the same cluster are similar in term of Euclidean distance measurement between the instances and their cluster centroids. Cascading the decisions from the k-Means and Random Forest classifier was done in two phases: 1) the Selection phase, and 2) the Classification phase. In the selection phase, the closest cluster i.e., the nearest neighbour cluster to the test instance was selected. In the selected cluster the Random Forest classifier corresponding to that cluster was generated. In the Classification phase, the test instance was classified into normal or anomaly using the Random Forest classifier result.

3.2 MODEL FORMULATION

The proposed model formulation is detailed in Algorithm1. The model starts from the identification of network domain within the cyberspace and selected hosts or gateways to be monitored by the intrusion detection system. Fig 4 shows the entire process in a block diagram.

3.3 STAGES OF MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The processes involved in model development are as follows:

3.3.1 Data Collection

The network traffic training dataset used in this study were collected in the Cyber Security Laboratory environment within OAUnet.
i. Identify a network environment within the cyberspace (problem domain)

ii. Identify one or more hosts/gateways to be monitored for cyber intrusion

iii. Monitor, log and clearly label inbound and outbound network traffic on the network interfaces using network sniffer (packet capture)

iv. Extract and aggregate packet headers, protocol, services, source port, destination port and other attributes using Spleen tool.

v. Encode categorical attributes into numeric attributes using the Categorical attribute encoding algorithm.

vi. Export/Save Spleen report as .arff (attribute relation file format) file.

vii. Normalize each packet attributes using min-max normalization:

\[
Q = \frac{(P - \text{Min}(P)) \cdot (N - M) + M}{\text{Max}(P) - \text{Min}(P)}
\]

where value of feature P needs to be normalized into value Q. Min(P) and Max(P) represents minimum and maximum values in the feature P respectively. M and N represents the Lower and Upper Value respectively in the new range. Q is set to zero if the maximum is equal to the minimum.

viii. Calculate the relevance of each feature (Information Gain) to the classification

ix. Select optimal feature subset from the feature space using SelectOptimalFeature Algorithm

x. Divide the dataset into training and testing subset in ratio 7:3, 70% for training an 30% for testing the model.

(a) Cluster the training dataset by applying k-Means clustering algorithm

(b) For each cluster in (a), apply Random forest classification algorithm on each cluster instance of training data using Random Forest classification algorithm.

xi. For each instance Zi in testing data

(a) Calculate the instance distance from the centroid of each training data cluster using euclidean distance measurement.

\[
D(Z_i, r_j) = \sqrt{\sum_{z_k} (z_{ik} - r_{jk})^2}
\]

Where D(Zi, rj) is the distance between instance Zi and cluster Zrk is attribute k of centroid rj and p is the number of attributes extracted from the packet

(b) Classify the instance using the closest cluster rj to instance Zi

Iterate through the dataset until all instances have been classified.

Algorithm 1. Proposed model formulation

Real normal traffic is not always guaranteed to be free from intrusion traces, however, data collection from a controlled environment gave us higher percentage guarantee that inbound and outbound traffics are intrusion-free than the uncontrolled environment. The controlled environment also provides the opportunity to execute real life attack script against some targeted machine which will be absolutely illegal if run against production machine. The environment also makes it easy to filter out unwanted packets during network attack traffic collection.

Fig 5 shows the Cyber Security Laboratory network and server environment. The web server hosts all web applications being developed in the laboratory with support for Apache server and Windows server running in VMware virtual machine. All security tools including Kali Linux and Metasploitable (Beggs, 2014) were installed on a security application server in a virtualized environment. Normal network traffics were collected at random period within 24hours of the day for a whole week. All the network packets collected within the period were pre-processed and labelled as normal traffic for model training. Attack traffics were also collected at a specific time and day during the process. Attack scripts gathered from related works and certified security specialists for performing reconnaissance, root access attempts, denial of service attacks, probe attacks etc. were executed to exploit vulnerabilities in targeted nodes within the lab. The IP address of attack source and destination were noted during the process in other to filter out non-attack traffic from other nodes. The network traffic generated during this process were collected, pre-processed and labelled as attack traffic for model training.
3.3.3 Feature Reduction

Feature reduction process is used to reduce the original feature space and select the most important features for the classification. Information Gain criteria is used for feature selection in this study for feature selection. An entropy value of each attribute of dataset instance is calculated, the attributes are then ranked in descending order of information gain. Optimal features were selected with Algorithm 2. Fifty-three (53) network traffic features were originally extracted including the class label. Information Gain algorithm was applied on the dataset to rank the features according to their effectiveness in distinguishing normal classes from abnormal classes. All features having information gain less not less than 0.0000249, 0.000167, 0.00000332 and 0.00000282 were selected for DoS, probe, user-to-root and remote-to-local attacks respectively; other features were ignored because of negligible information gain.

Algorithm 2: Optimal Features Subset Selection

```
SelectOptimalFeature (Dataset, Information gain threshold)
INPUT: X (dataset), T (Information gain threshold)
OUTPUT: Topmost n attributes according to information gain weight
BEGIN
Sort features by descending order of Information Gain
\[ \text{InformationGainWeight}(x_i) = \frac{\text{Gain}(x_i)}{\text{TotalGain}} \]
for each feature \( x_i \) in dataset X
end
Select minimum n top features, where the sum of the weights of these features is greater than the Information Gain threshold
\[ W^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W(x_i) \]
if \( W^* \geq T \)
GOTO Step 13
else
Increment I and GOTO step 8
Select top n features as new feature set
END
```

3.3.4 Classification

The classification was done by a cascade of K-means clustering algorithm and Random forest classifier. The cascade of K-means algorithm and Random Forest classifier was used to overcome the class imbalance problem which is prevalent in intrusion detection data. The detection is in two stages. In the first stage, k-Means clustering algorithm is applied to the training dataset to obtain k disjoint clusters based on their attributes. The packets in the same cluster are similar in term of Euclidean distance measurement between the instances and their cluster centroids.

The dataset was divided into training and testing subset in ratio 7:3, 70% for training and 30% for testing the model as widely used in literatures (Hussein, 2014). K-means clustering algorithm was applied to the training data set to obtain k disjoint clusters of the traffic connection. Each k-Means cluster represents a region of similar traffic connection in terms of Euclidean distances between the instances and their cluster centroids. For each k-means cluster, Random Forest classification algorithm was applied on each k-means cluster. During testing phase, the distance between each testing instance and the centroid of each k-means cluster are calculated. The Random forest tree of the closest instance is then used to classify the instance as a normal or attack instances.

3.4 Simulation

The simulation was done in WEKA knowledge flow environment. The network traffic dataset collected were divided into training and test dataset in ratio 70 to 30 percent respectively. Tables 2 and 3 present the statistics of training and test dataset and the number of traffic type sessions present for each group of intrusion. The two attribute relation format file loaders (arff loader) were used to load the training and test dataset into the model. “Class assigners” and “Dataset Maker (training and test)” were used to indicate the class label and distinguish between training dataset and test dataset. K-means clustering algorithm and Random Forest classification algorithm were cascaded as a filtered classifier. The datasets were fed into the existing model and the performance evaluation parameters were recorded.

4 RESULTS

In this section, the results of the study are presented as follows.

4.1 DATA COLLECTION RESULTS

Table 1 presents the statistics of traffic collected within a one-week period. Normal network traffics were for 6 days were abnormal traffics were generated, collected, and random interval on the 7th day. The data confirmed the massive generation of the massive network packet in cases of DoS attacks like Sync flood and slowhttptest. Remote to local and user to root attacks generated the...
least amount of packets despite being the deadliest attacks of the four categories, hence the reason for the difficulty in detecting them.

Table 1. Network Traffic Type and Their Capture Periods (Time in 24 Hour Format)

| Traffic Type       | Start Time | Stop Time | Duration (minutes) | Number of Packet Captured | Number of Session (Connection) |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| DoS                | Day 1: 15:50 | Day 2: 4:17:04 | 302.05 | 301845 | 3049 |
| Port Scanning      | Day 1: 22:04 | Day 2: 13:34 | 4,075.67 | 381806 | 17200 |
| Slow LPI  Port     | Day 1: 11:00 | Day 2: 11:00 | 4.06 | 30077 | 1360 |
| FTP Flooding       | Day 1: 20:00 | Day 2: 15:30 | 152.24 | 18173 | 123 |
| UDP Flooding       | Day 1: 20:00 | Day 2: 15:30 | 152.24 | 18173 | 123 |

Table 2. Partitioning of Network Traffic Dataset for Simulation

| Intrusion Category | Partition | No of Instances (Connections) | No of Reduced Features |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| DoS                | Training Set | 47,023 | 34 |
|                    | Testing Set | 20,183 | 34 |
| Probe              | Training Set | 16,492 | 36 |
|                    | Testing Set | 7,051 | 36 |
| User to Root       | Training Set | 15,498 | 36 |
|                    | Testing Set | 6,642 | 36 |
| Remote to Local    | Training Set | 14,788 | 19 |
|                    | Testing set | 6,338 | 19 |

Table 3. Test Dataset Statistics

| Class               | Total No of Datasets | Testing Set | Attack Instances | Normal Instances |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| DoS                 | 67,179               | 20,154      | 13,841           | 6,313            |
| Probe               | 23,903               | 7,031       | 740              | 6,311            |
| User to Root        | 22,140               | 6,642       | 331              | 6,311            |
| Remote to Local     | 21,126               | 6,338       | 336              | 6,002            |

4.2 MODEL EVALUATION RESULT

Tables 4 and 5 presents the simulation results of existing and proposed model for each type of attack detailing the number of true positives, true negatives, false positive and false negatives. The model performance is evaluated using detection accuracy, sensitivity and specificity as metrics. The evaluation metrics are basically derived from the four basic attributes of the confusion matrix depicting the actual and predicted classes. These parameters were used to derive the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of the existing model (Hussein, 2014) and the proposed model as shown in Tables 6 and 7.

Figs 6, 7 and 8 present a comparison of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of the existing and the proposed anomalous intrusion detection model. Fig 9 shows the Receiver Operating Curve of the model with 0.8521969 Area Under the Curve (ROC_AUC). Since the curve drawn closer to the left border of the graph, then it denotes a higher accuracy.
The proposed model has higher sensitivity in all attack groups. This implies that the proposed model is more sensitive in detecting intrusion than the existing model. This reduces the false acceptance of an intrusion network session as a normal session. Also, the proposed model has 2.16% higher specificity for UserToRoot attack category and slightly higher (0.02%) specificity for DoS attack group. This implied a decrease in the false alarm rate in the proposed model by approximately 2%.

5 CONCLUSION
An anomalous intrusion detection model was developed in this study to increase detection accuracy. The proposed model has a higher detection accuracy of 91.42%, 86.57%, 94.14% and 85.89% for DoS, Probe, UserToRoot, and RemoteToLocal intrusion respectively when compared with the detection accuracy of the existing model which are 78.23%, 86.24%, 89.92% and 84.00% for DoS, Probe, UserToRoot, and RemoteToLocal intrusion respectively. The study concluded that the developed model proved to have higher detection accuracy, sensitivity and specificity than the existing model and could be implemented to solve the identified problems cyberspace intrusion detection.

Further work could be done by expanding the scope of attacks for generating abnormal network traffic to include advanced persistent threat which takes months or possibly years to materialize. This will require monitoring and collecting the network traffic dataset for a longer period, running to months and years. Other open areas of work include deep inspection of packet payload content in addition to packet meta-data extracted in this work. Other feature reduction algorithms could be exploited aside information gain to select optimal features for the classification model.
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