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Abstract—Location-based services are witnessing a rise in popularity owing to their key features of delivering personalized digital experience. The recent developments in wireless sensing techniques make the realization of device-free localization (DFL) feasible within wireless sensor network (WSN) architectures. The DFL is an emerging technology that utilizes radio signal information for detecting and positioning a passive movable target without attached devices. However, determining the characteristics of the massive raw signals and extracting meaningful discriminative features relevant to the localization are highly intricate tasks due to the different patterns associated with different locations. To overcome these issues, deep learning (DL) techniques can be utilized here owing to their remarkable performance gains in similar practical problems. In this direction, we propose a DFL framework consists of multiple convolutional neural network (CNN) layers along with deep autoencoders based on the restricted Boltzmann machines (RBM) to construct a convolutional deep belief network (CDBN) for features recognition and extracting. Each CNN layer has stochastic pooling to sample down the feature map and reduced the dimensions of the required data without losing important information. This dimensionality reduction can alleviate the heavy computation while ensuring precise localization. The proposed framework is validated using real experimental dataset. The results show that the proposed model is able to achieve a high accuracy of 98% with reduced data dimensions and low signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs).

I. INTRODUCTION

Contextualization and personalized services are of the most feature applications envisioned in the forthcoming sixth-generation (6G) wireless systems. Communication perception has been steadily growing to become one of the essential business application scenarios [1]. Therefore, it is particularly important to enhance the location-based services to provide context-aware and personalized solutions for mobile users in diverse applications as well as for some critical scenarios such as patient tracking, intruder detection, and elderly surveillance [2]. Additionally, the precise localization of devices is fundamental in the context of Internet of Things (IoT) applications and future Industry 4.0 technologies. However, traditional localization techniques such as radio frequency identification (RFID) and global positioning system (GPS) are not always applicable because they need a tracking device attached to the target. To solve this issue, the concept of a passive wireless monitoring system, also known as device free localization (DFL), is introduced based on wireless sensor networks (WSNs) [3], [4].

In DFL systems, wireless sensor nodes, also known as anchor points (APs), are used to sense and accumulate target positions via collaborative communications with other neighbor-

ing APs. Specifically, targets existence, absence, or appearing at different locations will definitely change the transmitting-receiving correspondences among the APs. Accordingly, the position of the target can be analyzed/determined through the variations in the radio frequency (RF) signals. For instance, human movements have different effects on the received signal strength (RSS), which can be utilized to locate the target [5].

In this setting, when a target enters the DFL system’s tracking area, certain RSS metrics are obtained and analyzed to estimate the target location. Namely, the tracking area is discretized into grids and the variations in RSS measurements due to the target movements can be regarded as a class of possibilities inside each grid cell, and hence, the DFL can be viewed as a classification problem. This observation has motivated the researchers to utilize deep learning (DL) techniques to improve DFL performance [6].

RSS signals in WSNs are easily affected by the surrounding environment and the target movements, which add random noise to the collected RSS measurements and make them fluctuating as multimodal signals. Additionally, indoor localization is more challenging than outdoor localization due to the multi-path interference, scattering and reflecting from different sources such as walking people, furniture, walls, and other objects [7]. Therefore, attaining a satisfactory localization accuracy requires deploying an adequate number of APs and collect large amounts of RSS measurements, which impose heavy computational burdens to the DFL process, especially in the emergency scenarios when the positioning speed is necessary. In this context, various prior studies have considered machine learn (ML) approaches and the most popular DL algorithms such as K-nearest-neighbor (KNN) and support vector machines (SVM) to tackle the DFL problem [8].

Although conventional DL algorithms are effective in solving the classification problems, their performance within DFL systems diminishes with the high-dimensional data and occasionally fail to provide an accurate localization in a timely fashion [9]. Inspired by this observation, this paper aims at developing a DFL framework to efficiently process high-dimensional data and obtain high levels of location accuracy in noisy environments. To this end, a combination of convolutional deep belief network (CDBN) with feature autoencoding algorithm is applied for layer-wise learning. Specifically, CDBN is a hierarchical generative model that is used with probabilistic max-pooling to extract high-level features by replacing the restricted Boltzmann machine (RBM) in the
deep belief networks that are used for the individual layers by convolutional RBMs, which has been proved as a useful algorithm for dimensionality reduction, collaborative filtering, feature learning and classification [10]. In this framework, pooling layers are added between the convolutional layers and the training of the network is divided into two successive stages in order to reduce the dimension of upper layers. Specifically, first the pre-training stage where CDBN is used as a generative model to extract the features automatically from the RSS dataset, then the training stage is conducted by fine-tuning the parameters through the autoencoder, where the contrastive divergence is employed. Finally, the learned features are merged into the deep autoencoder of the neural network to locate the target by using the training parameters. The main contributions in this work can be summarized as follows:

- Formulating the DFL problem as a classification problem with aiming at significantly reducing the required RSS measurements while increasing the DFL system’s capacity for real-time operations.
- Designing a multi-layer convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture with a deep autoencoder based on the restricted Boltzmann machines (RBM) to construct a CDBN for features recognition/extracting and dimensionality reduction. Then, the trained CDBN can yield the locations of DFL targets based on RSS features seamlessly.
- The localization performance of the proposed framework is validated using a real-world dataset and compared to other state-of-the-art DL algorithms.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The system model with the DFL problem formulation and the proposed approach are presented in Section II. Section III demonstrates the results of the performance evaluation. This paper is then concluded in Section IV.

II. DFL PROBLEM FORMULATION

We consider a DFL system consists of $N$ APs that are covering the area of interest $D$, and these APs are communicating with each other through wireless links, as shown in Fig. 1. In this system, the $R_{ij}^{\text{target}}$ represents the RSS measurements transmitted from the $j$-th AP to the $i$-th AP when there is a target within $D$, while $R_{ij}^{\text{vacant}}$ represents the RSS measurements when there is no target. Accordingly, the difference in RSS measurements is denoted by $\Delta R_{ij}$ and can be formulated as [3], [11]:

$$\Delta R_{ij} = R_{ij}^{\text{target}} - R_{ij}^{\text{vacant}},$$

(1)

In this setting, the transmitted signals can be absorbed and scattered in all directions, and hence, when the target is moving the RSS measurements will be changed accordingly, which produce a different RSS matrix, i.e. each matrix represents a different pattern of RSS measurements. These resulted different patterns can be described as classification problem and could be solved using DL algorithms [12]. This is also applied when tracking multiple moving targets. To this end, $D$ is subdivided into $L$ grid cells and each cell is represented as a class and each class will be treated as a potential class; namely, for each grid cell $l \in \{1, \cdots, L\}$, we perform $p \in \{1, \cdots, P\}$ trails and vectorizing the dimensional into one dimensional shape as one input data with location information and trait as $V = [v_{11}, v_{12}, \cdots, v_{1L}, \cdots, v_{p1}, v_{p2}, \cdots, v_{pL}]$.

The overall framework of proposed approach to solve the DFL problem is depicted in Fig. 2. First step is to collect the DFL data, and then, divide it into grid cells in order to be fed the deep learning architecture. In this framework, the CDBN used as feature recognizing and extractor in the pre-training stage that will be merged with an autoencoder for fine-tuning. Afterwards, the extracted features are employed for classification via softmax regression block to determine the potential grid. The RBM is probabilistic joint distribution with bipartite graph with visible input variable $v = [v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_N]^T$ of dimension $D$ and set of hidden random variables $h$ with dimension $K$, $h = [h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_N]^T$, it has symmetrical connections between the visible and hidden layers defined by a weight matrix $W \in R^{(D \times K)}$. The RBM can be represented as Markov random field, where the visible units represent the input data, and the hidden units are the latent factors. Hence, the weights represent the statistical relationship encoding between the visible nodes and hidden nodes. In term of Bernoulli distribution, the formal probabilistic of RBM is defined by its energy function as follows:

$$p(v, h) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-E(v, h)),$$

(2)

where $Z$ represents the normalization constant. The energy function is defined as follows

$$E(v, h) = -\sum_{i=1}^{D}\sum_{j=1}^{K} v_i W_{ij} h_j - \sum_{j=1}^{K} b_j h_j - \sum_{i=1}^{D} c_i v_i,$$  

(3)

![Fig. 1. A schematic diagram of a device-free localization system.](image-url)
Fig. 2. Illustration of the proposed framework for device free localization (DFL) using convolutional deep belief networks (CDBN).

where $c_i$ account for the visible unit biases, $b_j$ represent the hidden unit biases, and $W_{ij}$ are the connection weights between the hidden and visible layers. Since the input layer have a real value, the energy function can be defined by adding quadratic term as expressed below:

$$E(v, h) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{D} v_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j=1}^{K} v_i W_{ij} h_j - \sum_{j=1}^{K} b_j h_j - \sum_{i=1}^{D} c_i v_i. \quad (4)$$

The energy function in (4) represents the conditional probability distribution and joint probability distribution, which leads to define the hidden unit as conditionally independent with visible layer. The binary hidden layers are conditionally independent on visible layers and can be found by using Bernoulli random variables as follows:

$$p(h_j = 1 \mid v) = \sigma \left( \sum_i W_{ij} v_i + b_j \right), \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma$ stands for sigmoid function. Likewise, if the visible layer is conditionally independent on hidden layer and binary valued the Bernoulli random variable becomes:

$$p(v_i = 1 \mid h) = \sigma \left( \sum_j W_{ij} h_i + c_i \right). \quad (6)$$

A. Convolutional Restricted Boltzmann Machine (CRBM)

The CRBM consists of RBMs integrated with CNNs that have convolutional and pooling layers. In CRBM, the weights of all locations are shared by the convolutional layer. Meanwhile, the translation-invariant representations are extracted by pooling layer via aggregating the features resulted from the convolutional layer. For probabilistic maximum pooling, the energy function takes the form in (6), which allows the bidirectional inference [13], and can be defined as:

$$E(v, h) = - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_v} \sum_{r,s=1}^{N_h} h_{ij}^k W_{rs}^k v_{i+r-1,j+s-1}$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^{K} b_k \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_h} h_{ij}^k - c \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_v} v. \quad (7)$$
In (7), \( v \) denotes the input dataset, \( c \) and \( b_k \) account for the shared weights of the location \((i, j)\) over all the hidden layers within the same group. Each CRBM consists of a visible layer \((V)\) that consist of \( N_v \times N_v \) array, a hidden layer \((H)\) that consist of \( N_H \times N_H \) array, and a pooling layer as presented in Fig. 3. The CRBM has \( K \) convolutional kernels of size \( N_w \times N_w \) array such that \( N_V \triangleq N_v - N_H + 1 \) resulting in hidden unit. In this context, the Gibbs sampler can be applied to approximate the conditional distribution by a discrete set of points, similar to the standard RBM, as detailed below.

\[
E(v, h) = - \sum_{k=1}^{K} h_k^k \left( \tilde{W}_k^k \ast v \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{K} b_k \sum_{i,j} h_{i,j}^k - c \sum_{i,j} v_{i,j}, \quad (8a)
\]

\[
P \left( h_{i,j}^k = 1 \mid v \right) = \sigma \left( \left( \tilde{W}_k^k \ast v \right)_{i,j} + b_k \right), \quad (8b)
\]

\[
P \left( h_{i,j} = 1 \mid h \right) = \sigma \left( \left( \sum_{k} W_k^k \ast h_k \right)_{i,j} + c \right). \quad (8c)
\]

**B. Convolutional deep belief network (CDBN)**

The CDBN is a multi-layer model extracts high-level features from the low-level ones using a hierarchical structure: lower layers extract low-level features and feed into the higher layers [10], [13]. In other words, the CDBNs are hierarchical generative models composed of multiple stacked CRBMs. This architecture is based on a novel concept called probabilistic max-pooling. In general, convolutional networks frequently require two kinds of alternating layers: “convolutional” and “pooling” layers, that will shrink the performance of the detection layer by a constant factor, where each unit in pooling layer estimates the maximum value of the detection layer in small region and that will reduce the computational complexity. In our implementation, the detection and pooling layers consist of \( K \) groups, the pooling partitioned the detection layer into two or three blocks, where each block \((\alpha)\) is connected to the same pooling layer \( P^k_{\alpha} \). In other words, the sampling of the visible layer \( V \) produces the hidden layer \( H \), which takes place in a parallel manner as a multimodal distribution by sampling the \( h_{i,j}^k \) for each block \( \alpha \). Next, each block will be sampled independently as a multimodal distribution, where \( h_{i,j}^k \) is contained as block \( \alpha \), i.e., \((i, j) \in B_\alpha \), and that leads to increase the energy by turning on unit \( h_{i,j}^k \), and the conditional probabilities are

\[
P \left( h_{i,j}^k = 1 \mid v, h^G \right) = \frac{\exp \left( I \left( h_{i,j}^k \right) + I \left( p_{i,j}^k \right) \right) \left( \sum_{(i',j') \in B_\alpha} \exp \left( I \left( h_{i',j'}^k \right) + I \left( p_{i',j'}^k \right) \right) \right)}{1 + \sum_{(i',j') \in B_\alpha} \exp \left( I \left( h_{i',j'}^k \right) + I \left( p_{i',j'}^k \right) \right)}, \quad (9a)
\]

\[
P \left( p_{i,j}^k = 0 \mid v, h^G \right) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{(i',j') \in B_\alpha} \exp \left( I \left( h_{i',j'}^k \right) + I \left( p_{i',j'}^k \right) \right)}. \quad (9b)
\]

As an alternative way to represent the block Gibbs sampling, which will be used to estimate the posterior distribution. In our model, the overcomplete of the data representation is much larger than the input. Since the first hidden layer contains roughly \( K \) groups of units, models with unnecessary or overly detailed features risk learning trivial solutions. A frequently implemented solution is to require a sparse to activate only a tiny fraction of the available units. To encourage each hidden unit group to have a mean activation near a small constant, we regularize the objective function (log-likelihood). Thus, we have determined the following update, followed by contrastive divergence update, appears to be an effective strategy in the field:

\[
\Delta b_k^{\text{sparsity}} \propto p - \frac{1}{N_H} \sum_{i,j} P \left( h_{i,j}^k = 1 \mid v \right), \quad (10)
\]

where \( p \) represents the target sparsity, and each input data is treated as a mini-batch. The learning rate for sparsity update is chosen to make the hidden group’s average activation close to the target sparsity. Since this gradient required a high computational cost, a contrastive-divergence learning is used to address this issue, [14] proved that the approximate log-likelihood gradient is sufficient as a replacement to calculate the second term of log-likelihood gradient that needs only a few iterations that modeled by using Gibbs sampling distribution, based on the mentioned theory, the key parameter is derived as follows:

\[
\Delta W^k \propto \frac{1}{N_H^2} \left( Q^{(0),k} \ast V^{(n)} - Q^{(n),k} \ast V^{(n)} \right), \quad (11a)
\]

\[
\Delta b_k \propto \frac{1}{N_H^2} \sum_{i,j} \left( Q_{ij}^{(0),k} - Q_{ij}^{(n),k} \right) + \Delta b_k^{\text{sparsity}}, \quad (11b)
\]

\[
\Delta c \propto \frac{1}{N_H^2} \sum_{i,j} \left( V_{ij}^{(0)} - V_{ij}^{(n)} \right), \quad (11c)
\]

where \( Q^{(0)} \) represents the posterior computation for (9a) and (9b), \( k \) represents the number of hidden layers, by updating rules of (11a), (11b), and (11c), CDBN block can be trained efficiently, the unsupervised pre-training process presented in Algorithm 1.

After a series training of CDBN, the data will be unrolled as an autoencoder which constrits of two phases: encoder and decoder. The encoder output of CDBN can be written as

\[
a(v) = f \left( c_j + \sum_i w_{ij} \frac{v_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right). \quad (12)
\]

The decoder output after unrolling can be reconstructed as

\[
d(v) = f \left( c_j + \sum_i \sigma_i^2 w_{ij} a(v) \right). \quad (13)
\]

Next, fine-tuning for optimal reconstruction of mean square error (MSE) is:

\[
\text{MSE}(V) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} (d(v) - v)^2 \quad (14)
\]

where \( s \) represents the input dataset \( v \). In this context, the deep autoencoder is able to learn features from input data without any class labels. Afterwards, a softmax regression is applied to estimate the optimal parameters for the output layer to predict the output class by using labeled data. Subsequently, cross entropy error function is used to estimate the prediction error between predicted data \( y' \) and the real data \( y \), with a cost function that is defined below:

\[
J(\theta) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} y_i \times \log \left( y'_i(\theta) \right), \quad (15)
\]

where \( \theta \) represents the parameters of the encoder network.
Algorithm 1: The proposed algorithm

Input: \( V \), epoch number, RBM number \( N \)
Output: \( \nabla_a w_{ij}, \nabla_a c_j \)
1. Initialize \( \nabla w_{ij}, \nabla b_i, \nabla c_j \) randomly, \( v_{dm}^{(1)} \leftarrow v \)
2. Calculate the posterior \( Q^{(0)} \) using (9a) and (9b)
3. Sample \( H^{(0)} \) from \( Q^{(0)} \)
4. while for each epoch do
5. while \( n \leq N \) do
6. Sample \( V^n \) from \( P(v|H^{(n-1)}) \) in (8b)
7. Calculate the posterior \( Q^{(n)} = P(H|v^n) \) using (9a) and (9b)
8. Sample \( H^{(0)} \) from \( Q^{(0)} \)
9. Update biases and weight with sparsity regularization and contrastive divergence using (11a), (11b), and (11c), respectively.
10. Repeat until convergence
11. Unsupervised of autoencoder
12. Initialize: \( \Delta W^k, \Delta b_k, \) and \( \Delta c \) from (11a), (11b), and (11c), respectively.
13. for \( n = 1 : N \) do
14. Compute the decoder output using (13)
15. Compute MSE using (14)
16. Fine-turning via backpropagation until convergence

III. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

In this study, a real experimental dataset is used from the sensing and processing across networks (SPAN) Laboratory at the University of Utah [15]. The area of interest \( D \) in the experiment setup is divided into 36 grid cells and covered by a WSN consists of 28 wireless sensor nodes (APs). This WSN operates within 2.4 GHz frequency band based on the IEEE 802.15.4 protocol. Each AP is placed in three feet apart in a square area with heights of three feet above the ground to construct 36 grid cells. In this setup, 30 different RSS measurements were collected and sent to the computer within a short period of time, where the data will be partitioned into training and test samples. The data dimension for the training consists of \( 784 \times 900 \), while the testing data includes \( 784 \times 1 \). Localization accuracy is used as a metric to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm. Furthermore, a random Gaussian noise is added to each collected RSS in order to examine our algorithm robustness against noise and signal distortions.

The proposed algorithm has many parameters need to be optimized and set such as number of hidden layers, number of epochs, and number of each group in every hidden layer. First, the number of hidden layers versus classification accuracy is evaluated using the proposed algorithm, and the results are shown in Table I. Clearly, using three layers gives the highest accuracy, that is 98.02\%, which will be considered in the rest of the running experiments. Next, for the three hidden layers, different number of groups are tested to compute the accuracy of CDBN, the highest accuracy is obtained when the number of groups for the first, second and third layers are 28, 36 and 36, respectively.

The dimensionality reduction of the proposed algorithm is evaluated by scaling down the RSS data dimensions from 784 dimensions to 150, 50, 25, and 10 dimensions. The classification accuracy of our approach using the new data dimensions is shown in Fig. 4. Clearly, the highest classification accuracy (98.02\%) is achieved when 150 dimensions with around 35 epochs. Afterwards, the accuracy starts to increase with the increased epoch number. Thereafter, data dimensions above 25 achieve classification accuracy of 97.8\% after 100 epochs. The 10 data dimensions has the lower classification accuracy comparing to the other dimensions even with high epoch numbers. More importantly, Fig. 4 reveals that the classification accuracy during the training stage can reach 97.8\% for 25 dimensions with a slight increase in the number of epochs. This indicates that the proposed CDBN-based autoencoder (CDBN-AE) algorithm has the ability to efficiently perform feature extraction while reducing the dataset size.

Intuitively, using large data dimensions during the testing stage increases the computational complexity, which delays localization decision. Therefore, dimensionality reduction can be employed here to decrease the required computational resources and expedite the DFL process. In our simulation, after completing the training procedure, reduced data ranging from 3 to 150 dimensions is used for the testing stage, and the results are shown in Fig. 5, which presents the classification accuracy versus testing data dimensions. It can be seen that the
The CDBN-AE method and increasing the data dimensions to
the location accuracy can be improved to reach 96% by using
dB and 5 dB, respectively. Furthermore, at lower SNR values,
when SNR is higher than 10 dB. In addition, the accuracy
CDBN-AE method reaches 98% with 25 data dimensions and
to-noise ratios (SNRs). It is also compared to the autoencoder
the CDBN coder and the autoencoder without CDBN in Fig.

The execution time of testing a single RSS matrix using the
proposed algorithm with 25 dimensions is 0.5 milliseconds,
suitable for DFL even at low SNR values.

In this paper, a DL-based DFL approach is proposed using
the variations in RSS measurements in WSNs. Specifically,
The DFL problem is treated as a classification problem having
multiple dynamic classes and modeled based on the CDBN
with stacked autoencoders. In the proposed framework, pool-
ing layers are added between the convolutional layers of the
CDBN, which allow for dimensionality reduction in the data
required for localizing a target. The proposed approach offers
high levels of accuracy and robustness against the random
noise from the surrounding environment and target move-
ments. The experiment results have showed that with noiseless
data the localization accuracy can reach 98% with only 25 data
dimensions. More importantly, considering dataset with noise
has proved that the proposed algorithm is resilient to low SNR
values around 5 dB with reduced data dimensions. This proves
the applicability of the developed approach to real-world DFL
scenarios.
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