Calculation of shallow polymer shell taking the creep into account

Levon Mailyan¹, Anton Chepurnenko*, Serdar Yazyev¹ and Batyr Yazyev¹
¹Don State Technical University, 344022, Sotsialisticheskaya, 162, Rostov-on-Don, Russia

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain the equations allowing the calculation of shallow shells taking the creep into account under an arbitrary law of relationship between creep deformations and stresses. We also consider the methodology of calculation of polymeric membranes, the material of which is subject to a nonlinear equation of Maxwell-Gurevich.

Introduction

Shallow shells are widely used in the technics and particularly in the construction, so their consideration is of great interest in itself. The geometry of the middle surface of shallow shells can be identified with the geometry of the plane on which they are projected. Curvilinear coordinates, along the lines laid by the principal curvatures can be considered to coincide with the Cartesian coordinates in the plane. Problems of calculation of shallow shells in the elastic stage are fairly well understood, but many materials are characterized by not only the elastic, but also viscoelastic properties [1-4]. When creep is taken into account geometrical and static equations of shallow shells theory remain unchanged. Changes occur only in the physical equations.

Derivation of resolving equations

Let the median surface of the shell, shown at Fig.1 be described by the equation:

\[ z = f(x, y). \]  

(1)

Full shell deformations are represented as the sum of the middle surface deformations and deformations caused by the change of curvature [5]:

\[ \varepsilon_x = \varepsilon_x^0 + \sigma_{y}, \]

\[ \varepsilon_y = \varepsilon_y^0 + \sigma_{y}, \]

\[ \gamma_{xy} = \gamma_{xy}^0 + 2\sigma_{y}. \]  

(2)

The deformations of the middle surface are defined as follows [6]:
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\[ \varepsilon_x^0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + k_x w, \quad \varepsilon_y^0 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + k_y w, \quad \gamma_0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)  

where \( k_x \approx -\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}, k_y \approx -\frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} \) - principal curvatures.

**Fig. 1.** Shallow rectangular in plan shell

The changes of the curvatures of the middle surface are determined by the same formulas as in the plates:

\[ \chi_x = -\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}, \quad \chi_y = -\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}, \quad \chi_{xy} = -\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Differentiating the first equation in (3) on \( x \), and the second - on \( y \) and then taking their sum we will get:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_x^0}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_y^0}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \gamma^0}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}(k_x w) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(k_y w). \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

If \( k_{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x \partial y} = 0 \), that is \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) are the principal curvatures, the equation of strain compatibility (5) takes the form:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_x^0}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_y^0}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \gamma^0}{\partial x \partial y} + k_x \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} + k_y \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Equilibrium equation in the case when only the vertical load is acting can be written as \[5]:
\[ \frac{\partial N_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} = 0; \]
\[ \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_y}{\partial y} = 0; \]
\[ \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} - Q_x = 0; \] (7)
\[ \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} - Q_y = 0; \]
\[ \frac{\partial Q_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial y} - k_x N_x - k_y N_y + q = 0, \]

where \( N_x, N_y \) – longitudinal forces, \( S \) – shear force, \( M_x, M_y \) – bending moments, \( H \) – torque, \( Q_x, Q_y \) – lateral forces, \( q \) – surface load.

We exclude from equations (7) lateral forces:
\[ \frac{\partial^2 M_x}{\partial x^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 M_y}{\partial y^2} - k_x N_x - k_y N_y + q = 0. \] (8)

Physical equations are written in the form:
\[ \varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{E} \left( \sigma_x - \nu \sigma_y \right) + \varepsilon_x^*; \]
\[ \varepsilon_y = \frac{1}{E} \left( \sigma_y - \nu \sigma_x \right) + \varepsilon_y^*; \]
\[ \gamma_{xy} = \frac{\tau_{xy}}{G} + \gamma_{xy}^* = \frac{2(1+\nu)}{E} \tau_{xy} + \gamma_{xy}^*, \] \] (9)

where \( \varepsilon_x^*, \varepsilon_y^*, \gamma_{xy}^* \) – creep strains.

We express from (9) stresses by the deformations:
\[ \sigma_x = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} (\varepsilon_x^0 + \nu \varepsilon_y^0 + z(\chi_x + \nu \chi_y) - (\varepsilon_x^* + \nu \varepsilon_y^*)); \]
\[ \sigma_y = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} (\varepsilon_y^0 + \nu \varepsilon_x^0 + z(\chi_y + \nu \chi_x) - (\varepsilon_y^* + \nu \varepsilon_x^*)); \] \] (10)
\[ \tau_{xy} = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} (\gamma^0 + 2z \chi_{xy} - \gamma_{xy}^*). \]

Bending moments and torque are defined as follows:
\[ M_x = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_x z dz = D(\chi_x + v\chi_y) - M^*_x, \]
\[ M_y = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_y z dz = D(\chi_y + v\chi_x) - M^*_y, \]
\[ H = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \tau_{xy} z dz = D(1-v)\chi_{xy} - H^*, \]

where \( D = \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-v^2)} \) – cylindrical rigidity,

\[ M^*_x = \frac{E}{1-v^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\varepsilon_x + v\varepsilon_y) z dz, \quad M^*_y = \frac{E}{1-v^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\varepsilon_y + v\varepsilon_x) z dz, \quad H^* = \frac{E}{2(1+v)} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \gamma_{xy} z dz. \]

Longitudinal and shear forces are determined as follows:

\[ N_x = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_x z dz = \frac{Eh}{1-v^2} (\varepsilon_x^0 + v\varepsilon_y^0) - N^*_x; \]
\[ N_y = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_y z dz = \frac{Eh}{1-v^2} (\varepsilon_y^0 + v\varepsilon_x^0) - N^*_y; \]
\[ S = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \tau_{xy} z dz = \frac{Eh}{2(1+v)} \gamma_0 - S^*, \]

where \( N^*_x = \frac{E}{1-v^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\varepsilon_x^0 + v\varepsilon_y^0) z dz, \quad N^*_y = \frac{E}{1-v^2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\varepsilon_y^0 + v\varepsilon_x^0) z dz, \quad S^* = \frac{E}{2(1+v)} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \gamma_{xy} z dz. \)

The first two of the equilibrium equations (7) can be satisfied if we introduce stress function by formulas:

\[ N_x = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2}, \quad N_y = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2}, \quad S = -\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x \partial y}. \]

We express from (12) the middle surface deformations:

\[ \varepsilon_x^0 = \frac{1}{Eh} (N_x - vN_y + N^*_x - vN^*_y) = \frac{1}{Eh} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} - v \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} + N^*_x - vN^*_y \right); \]
\[ \varepsilon_y^0 = \frac{1}{Eh} (N_y - vN_x + N^*_y - vN^*_x) = \frac{1}{Eh} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} - v \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} + N^*_y - vN^*_x \right); \]
\[ \gamma^0 = \frac{2(1+v)}{Eh} (S + S^*) = \frac{2(1+v)}{Eh} \left( -\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x \partial y} + S^* \right). \]

Substituting (13) into equation of strain compatibility (6) we obtain the first allowing equation:

\[ \frac{1}{Eh} \nabla^4 \Phi - k_x \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} - k_y \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{Eh} \left( 2(1+v) \frac{\partial^2 S^*}{\partial x \partial y} + v \left( \frac{\partial^2 N^*_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 N^*_y}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\partial^2 N^*_x}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 N^*_y}{\partial x^2} \right). \]
The second governing equation we obtain by substituting the bending moments (11) in the equilibrium equation (8):

\[
DV^4 w + k_x \frac{\partial^4 \Phi}{\partial y^4} + k_y \frac{\partial^4 \Phi}{\partial x^4} = q \left( \frac{\partial^2 M_x^*}{\partial x^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 H^*}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 M_y^*}{\partial y^2} \right). \tag{15}
\]

Thus, the problem of calculation of a shallow shell has reduced to a system of two differential equations of fourth order.

**Solution of the problem**

The system of equations (14) and (15) can be solved numerically by the method of finite differences. To determine the creep strain the linear approximation with respect to time can be applied. This approach is used in the papers [7-14]. For polymeric materials we have the nonlinear equation of Maxwell-Gurevich, which for plane stress has the form:

\[
\frac{\partial \epsilon^*_y}{\partial t} = \frac{f^*_y}{\eta}, \quad i = (x, y), j = (x, y), \tag{16}
\]

where \( \epsilon^*_y \) – creep deformation, \( f^*_y \) – stress function, \( \eta^* \) – relaxation viscosity.

\[
f^*_y = \frac{3}{2} (\sigma_y - p \delta_y) - E_\infty \epsilon^*_y;
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{\eta_0} \exp \left( \frac{f^*_y}{m^*} \right),
\]

where \( p = (\sigma_x + \sigma_y)/3 \) – mean stress, \( \delta_y \) – Kronecker symbol, \( E_\infty \) – high elasticity modulus, \( m^* \) – speed modulus, \( \eta_0 \) – the initial relaxation viscosity.

It is necessary to note, that \( \epsilon^*_y = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^*_{xy} \).

If the material of shell is subject to the equation of Maxwell-Gurevich, it is possible to determine the deflection of the shell at the end of the creep process, without resorting to numerical methods. To do this, we analyze the Maxwell-Gurevich equation for \( t \to \infty \). If the creep is damped, at the end of the process the growth rate of all components of creep deformation must be equal to zero:

\[
\frac{\partial \epsilon^*_x}{\partial t} = 0 \Rightarrow f^*_x = \frac{3}{2} \left( \sigma_x - \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{3} \right) - E_\infty \epsilon^*_x = \sigma_x - \frac{\sigma_x}{2} - E_\infty \epsilon^*_x = 0; \tag{17}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \epsilon^*_y}{\partial t} = 0 \Rightarrow f^*_y = \frac{3}{2} \left( \sigma_y - \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{3} \right) - E_\infty \epsilon^*_y = \sigma_y - \frac{\sigma_y}{2} - E_\infty \epsilon^*_y = 0;
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \epsilon^*_{xy}}{\partial t} = 0 \Rightarrow f^*_{xy} = \frac{3}{2} \tau_{xy} - E_\infty \epsilon^*_{xy} = 0.
\]

From the relations (17) we can find maximal creep strains:
The relationship between the total strains and stresses at \( t \to \infty \) takes the form:

\[
\varepsilon_x = \sigma_x \left( \frac{1}{E_x} + \frac{1}{E} \right) - \sigma_y \left( \frac{1}{2E_x} + \frac{\nu}{E} \right) = \alpha \sigma_x - \beta \sigma_y, \\
\varepsilon_y = \sigma_y \left( \frac{1}{E_y} + \frac{1}{E} \right) - \sigma_x \left( \frac{1}{2E_y} + \frac{\nu}{E} \right) = \alpha \sigma_y - \beta \sigma_x, \\
\gamma = \tau_{xy} \left( \frac{1}{G_x} + \frac{1}{G} \right).
\]

These relations can be rewritten as:

\[
\varepsilon_x = \frac{1}{\bar{E}} (\sigma_x - \bar{\nu} \sigma_y), \\
\varepsilon_y = \frac{1}{\bar{E}} (\sigma_y - \bar{\nu} \sigma_x), \\
\gamma = \frac{2(1+\bar{\nu})\tau_{xy}}{\bar{E}},
\]

where \( \bar{E} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \) – long modulus, \( \bar{\nu} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \) – long-term Poisson's ratio.

The system of resolving equations at \( t \to \infty \) takes the form:

\[
\frac{1}{\bar{E}h} \nabla^2 \nabla^2 \Phi - k_x \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} - k_y \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = 0; \\
D_x \nabla^2 \nabla^2 w + k_x \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} + k_y \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} = q,
\]

where \( D_x = \frac{\bar{E}h^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} = \frac{\alpha h^3}{12(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)} \) – long cylindrical rigidity, which was first introduced in [14].

Next we will give an example of the calculation of shallow rectangular in plane shell, the middle surface of which is elliptic paraboloid (Figure 1). The equation of this surface can be written as:

\[
z = f \left[ \frac{f_1}{f} \left( \frac{x}{a} - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{f_2}{f} \left( \frac{y}{b} - 1 \right)^2 - 1 \right],
\]

where \( f = f_1 + f_2 \).

In the calculation it was assumed that at the edges the shell is connected to the diaphragms completely rigid in their plane and flexible out of it. The calculation was performed under the following initial data: \( h = 0.01 \) m, \( a = b = 1 \) m, \( f_1 = f_2 = 0.05 \) m, the shell material - secondary PVC, \( E = 1480 \) MPa, \( E_x = 5990 \) MPa, \( m^* = 12.6 \) MPa, \( \eta_0^* = 9.06 \times 10^5 \) MPa-min, \( \nu = 0.3 \) [15]. The shell was loaded by a permanent, uniformly distributed...
over the area load \( q = 0.5 \) kPa. Fig. 2 is a plot of distribution of stresses \( \sigma_x \) depending on \( x \) and \( y \) at \( z = -h/2 \). Net surface corresponds to the time \( t = 0 \), shaded \( t = 10 \) h.

Fig. 2. The stress distribution at \( z = -h/2 \): net surface \( t = 0 \), shaded surface \( t = 10 \) h.

Fig. 3 - \( \sigma_x \) stress distribution as a function of \( x \) and \( y \) at \( z = h/2 \). Net surface is the result at \( t = 10 \) h, shaded \( t = 0 \).

From Fig. 2 and 3 we can see that the stress in the bottom surface of the shell increases and in the upper surface stress decreases. The maximum stress at the beginning and end of creep process differ by 19%.

Fig. 3. The stress distribution at \( z = h/2 \): net surface \( t = 10 \) h, shaded surface \( t = 0 \).
Summary

Obtained equations allow calculation of shallow shells of any form on any load at an arbitrary law of the connection between stresses and creep strain. For polymers, obeying the Maxwell-Gurevich law it is possible to calculate deflections and stresses in the end of the creep process, having only the solution of the elastic problem.
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