BULLDOG, A Useful Vascular Occlusion Tourniquet in Laparoscopic Liver Resection
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ABSTRACT

Aim: To compare the clinical effect of modified Pringle with traditional Pringle for vascular occlusion during laparoscopic hepatectomy.

Methods: 110 patients were retrospectively investigated in this research from December 2014 to January 2019 in the second hospital of An Hui Medical University, who underwent laparoscopic liver resection using bulldog (modified group, n=54) and cotton tourniquet (traditional group, n=56) for blocking the liver inflow-blood. Intraoperative blood loss, duration of the operation time, clamping time, postoperative outcomes were analyzed.

Results: All the operations were accomplished successfully without conversion to laparotomy, perioperative period clinical data were calculated. Intraoperative operative time, blood loss and resection sections had no statistical significance, but the clamping and declamping time (36.2±5.6 vs. 277.3±88.4 s, p<0.001) was significantly shorter in the bulldog group. ALB, ALT, AST and TBIL had no statistical differences in PODs 1 and 3, but POD 5 ALT (71.0±46.8 vs. 105.8±61.7 IU/L p=0.018) and AST (72.8±39.7 vs. 100.2±16.7 IU/L p=0.028). The postoperative hospital stay (7.0±1.5 vs. 8.5±2.3 days p=0.026) in bulldog group were lower than cotton group and differences had statistical significance. The C-reactive protein levels were significantly higher in the traditional group than in the modified group on POD 3 (46.3±19.2 vs. 57.7±23.9 mg/L p=0.019), and POD5 (13.3±4.2 vs. 17.5±7.3 mg/L p=0.001). There were 8 postoperative complications occurred in cotton group, while there was 5 in Bulldog group, All patients with complications were discharged after adequate drainage and symptomatic treatment.

Conclusion: Bulldog is an effectively performed approach for vascular occlusion during laparoscopic hepatectomy than traditional Pringle maneuver.

Introduction

Since the Louisville Statement in 2009, the use of laparoscopic liver resection (LLR) had gradually increased in recent years [1,2]. LLR was used for Benign tumors located at the Surface lateral with solitary lesions of 5 cm or less, located in liver segments 2 to 6, that could be resected more easily than segment VII VIII[3-7]. With the update of surgical instruments and the accumulation of surgeon experience, LLR has been expanded for Hepatocellular carcinoma treatment, major liver resections, complex hepatectomy and donor hepatectomies by experienced staff[8]. In 2016[9], the first Asia Pacific consensus meeting on HCC was held in conjunction with the 7th Asia-Pacific Primary Liver Cancer Expert Meeting in Hong Kong to declare the advantage of LH is Less intraoperative bleeding and faster postoperative liver function recovery if the procedure is performed by experienced surgeons. Laparoscopic major hepatectomy for HCC remained a challenging technique and should only be performed by experienced surgeons. However,
postoperative mortality, morbidity and recovery of liver function are associated with major blood loss[10] which is always the main cause of conversion to laparotomy[11] and remains a challenge for surgeons[12,13]. Pringle[14] first described the method to arrest the hepatic hemorrhage by compression of the porta hepatis and this procedure was widely spread as well as in laparoscopic field currently. Here, we described a new modified of Pringle maneuver using Bulldog to block vascular during LLR, and compared its effects with traditional pringle maneuver.

**Methods**

**Patient Population**

From Dec, 2014 to Jan, 2019, 200 patients underwent LLR in our department, at the 2nd hospital of Anhui medical university and in which 110 patients underwent LLR with vascular occlusion. There were 54 patients in the Bulldog group, and 56 patients in the traditional group. 38 female and 72 male participants were included in this study, with the mean age of (56.1±10.9 and 60.0±8.8) years old, Respectively. Clinical characteristics of patients, were summarized in Table 1.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

This study has been approved by the ethics committee of The Second Hospital of Anhui Medical University. Each participant in the study provided written informed consent. The inclusion criteria including:

a. All the participants were corresponded with the application of LLR, according to the Louisville Statement [2,8] and underwent laparoscopic liver resection.

b. The bulldog and cotton tape were applied in operation.

c. The tumor did not invade main vessels.

d. Pringle maneuver clamping time was unified as 15min every time and right Glission pedicles was clamped only once. The LLR was not limited to the disease, including benign tumor, malignance tumor, calculus disease and others (Table 1). The cases that did not agree with the inclusion criteria were excluded.

**Surgical Procedure**

General anesthesia was performed routinely. The patients were placed in the supine position, and a 10-mm trocar was placed 2cm right the umbilicus under direct vision(Figure1). Intraabdominal pressure was established and maintained around 14mmHg and Central Venous Pressure(CVP) was set below five cmH₂O[15]. The rest four trocars were placed based according to the tumor position. Intraoperative laparoscopic ultrasound was used as a requirement.

### Table 1: Patient basis characteristics (mean ±SD).

| Patient Group Characteristic | Bulldog (n=54) | Cotton (n=56) | T(2) | P     |
|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|------|-------|
| Age(years)                  | 56.1±10.9      | 60.0±8.8     | 2.683| 0.104 |
| Gender(male/female)         | 39/15          | 33/23        | 2.149| 0.143 |
| HBV                         | 42             | 47           | 0.673| 0.412 |
| HCV                         | 3              | 2            | 0.249| 0.617 |
| AFP                         | 0.015          |              |      | 0.903 |
| >7(ng/ml)                   | 38             | 40           |      |       |
| <7(ng/ml)                   | 16             | 16           |      |       |
| ALT                         | 44.2±33.1      | 40.2±38.7    | 0.585| 0.560 |
| AST                         | 40.2±23.4      | 39.4±27.4    | 0.410| 0.523 |
| ALB                         | 38.7±7.9       | 38.5±4.6     | 0.143| 0.887 |
| TBIL(umol/l)                | 16.5±9.5       | 16.9±8.1     | 0.01 | 0.972 |
| CRP(mg/L)                   | 4.1±2.2        | 4.5±2.7      | 0.90 | 0.370 |
| Liver cirrhosis             | 44             | 46           | 0.008| 0.928 |
| Child-Pugh(A/B)             | 52/2           | 55/1         | 0.381| 0.537 |
| Diagnoses                   |               |              | 0.388| 0.824 |
| Hepatic cancer              | 39             | 42           |      |       |
| hepatic benign tumor        | 10             | 8            |      |       |
| Calculus I intrahepatic duct| 5              | 6            |      |       |

Note: SD: standard deviation.AFP:Alpha fetoprotein ALT: alanine aminotransferase. AST: aspartate aminotransferase. TBIL: serum total bilirubin.HBV: hepatitis B virus.HCV:hepatitis C virus. CRP: C-reactive protein.
Liver parenchymal transection was performed using a combination of a harmonic scalpel, ultrasonic dissector (Cavitron), and bipolar forceps. For cases with Pringle maneuver, the cotton and bulldog method were applied. In the bulldog group, we routinely explored the abdominal cavity, dissociated the mucosal tissue around the hepatoduodenal ligament, exposed the hepatic portal as well as the right Glissionian pedicles. Bulldog was delivered into the abdominal cavity with the matched forceps through the 12-mm trocar to block hepatoduodenal ligament or the right Glissionian pedicles (Figure 2) to block the hepatic inflow. While, in the cotton clamping group, we need another 5-mm port trocar, which should be positioned in proper place to ensure the encircle of the hepatoduodenal ligament, A forceps went through the the hepatic pedicle and an 80-cm cotton tape was placed around it. Then, the ends of the cotton tape were pulled out through the 5-mm trocar port, and a tube was pushed inside the abdominal cavity close to the hepatic pedicle, and was fastened by pulling cotton tape through the tube [16](Figure 3).

**Figure 1:** Bulldog.
A. The different lengths of Bulldog, the longer was used to pringle maneuver, the other were used to the right Glission pedicles, the unique curved design fits better with the baseline than ordinary straight clamp, further ensuring complete vascular occlusion.
B. The matched forceps, non-working status.
C. Working status.

**Figure 2:** The Bulldog in intraoperative pictures.
Note: A and B: red arrows represent hepatoduodenal ligament, C and D: red arrows represent the anatomized right Glission pedicle. A: The forceps hold the Bulldog into the abdominal cavity and start to infibulate the hepatoduodenal ligament, it is easy to operate. B: the bulldog can securely clap the hepatoduodenal ligament. C and D: Bulldog was clamped the right Glissionian pedicle. All the black arrows represent bulldog.
Outcomes

All the patients underwent the operation by one team and received the same postoperative care. The postoperative complications were recorded and analyzed. The CRP and liver function markers including ALT, AST, TBIL, and ALB were checked at first, third, and fifth day after liver resection as well as the postoperative duration.

Statistical Methods

Data were analyzed with SPSS 17.0 (IBM Corporation, NY, USA). Continuous variables were described as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and subjected to Student’s t test. The x2 test (with continuity corrected x 2 if the expected count was <5) or the Fisher exact test was appropriate for categorical data. A p value of <0.05 was set as statistically significant.

Results

Clinicopathologic Characteristics

Baseline clinical characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1. 110 patients underwent the laparoscopic liver resection with vascular occlusion, the patients with vascular occlusion in laparoscopic liver resection with a bulldog(n=54) and cotton tape (n=56), included hepatic cancer combined liver cirrhosis, hepatic hemangioma and calculus of intrahepatic duct and so on. We blocked the right Glisson pedicles in right hepatectomies patients, and the rest were blocked with Pringle maneuver. The patients in the two groups were matched age, gender, BMI, HBV, liver cirrhosis, side of resection and diagnoses in Table 1. All the patients were received similar preoperative assessments and postoperative management.

Introperative Clinical Outcomes

Table 2: Paintraoperative detail patients(mean±SD).

|                          | Bulldog(54) | cotton(56) | T(x²) | P Value |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Type of resection, n     |             |            |       |         |
| Segment II+III           | 2           | 3          | 7.168 | 0.127   |
| Segment II+III+IV        | 10          | 18         | 2.689 | 0.101   |
| Segment V+VIII           | 13          | 12         | 0.11  | 0.741   |
| Segment VI+VII           | 18          | 17         | 0.112 | 0.738   |
| Segment V, VI, VII, VIII | 11          | 6          | 1.962 | 0.161   |
| Intraoperative blood loss (ml) | 371.8±216.2 | 411.3±216   | 0.958 | 0.34    |
| Left segment(ml)         | 179.2±39.6  | 196.9±73.7  | 0.768 | 0.448   |
| Right segment(ml)        | 430.4±209.5 | 532.8±178.9 | 2.279 | 0.025   |
| Patients transfused      | 4(7.4%)     | 8(14.2%)   | 1.338 | 0.247   |
| Clamping time (s)        | 36.2±5.6    | 216.9±68.2 | 1.76  | 0       |
Introperative clinical outcomes are summarized in Table 2. The number of bulldog group blocked the hepatic vessels was 126 and the cotton group was 156. No statistical differences were observed in the type of liver resection, the duration operation time and blood loss, but the clamping time was significantly shorter in the bulldog group (36.2±5.6 vs.277.3±88.4 s, p<0.001).

Postoperative Clinical Outcomes

The liver function on PODs 1, 3 and 5 as reflected by the postoperative changes of ALT, AST, TBIL and PT were shown in Table 3, the mean POD 1 PT,ALT,AST and TBIL showed no statistic differences in POD1 and POD3, but POD5 ALT (71.0±46.8 vs.105.8±61.7 IU/L, p=0.018) and AST(72.8±39.7 vs.100.2±16.7 IU/L, p=0.028). The postoperative hospital duration (7.02 ± 1.56 vs.8.50±2.35 days, p=0.026) in the bulldog group was lower than the cotton group and differences had statistical significance. The C-reactive protein levels were significantly higher in the traditional group than in the modified group on POD 3 (46.3±19.2 vs.57.7±23.9 mg/L p=0.019), and POD5 (13.3±4.2 vs.17.5±7.3 mg/L p=0.001). All of the manipulations are easily and quickly using Bulldog, There were eight postoperative complications occurred in cotton group, while there was five in Bulldog group, which were disappeared by drainage and anti-infection treatment for five-nine days.

Table 3: Postoperative clinical course(mean ±SD).

|                  | Bulldog(n=54) | Cotton(n=56) | T   | P-value |
|------------------|---------------|--------------|-----|---------|
| PMT              | 0(0)          | 0(0)         |     | 0.693   |
| PMB              | 5(9.26%)      | 8(14.3%)     |     | 0.282   |
| Hemorrhage       | 0(0)          | 0(0)         |     | 0.976   |
| Biliary fistula  | 1(1.85%)      | 2(3.57%)     |     | 0.742   |
| Celiac infection | 1(1.85%)      | 1(1.79%)     |     | 0.282   |
| Peritoneal effusion | 1(1.85%)    | 1(1.79%)     |     | 0.976   |
| Pleural effusion | 0(0)          | 0(0)         |     | 0.976   |
| Pulmonary infection | 1(1.85%)    | 1(1.79%)     |     | 0.976   |
| Venous hrombosis | 1(1.85%)      | 1(1.79%)     |     | 0.976   |
| ALB (g/dL)       | 30.9±4.91     | 31.5±4.53    | 0.156| 0.693   |
| ALT (IU/L)       | 346.9±267.2   | 387.8±276.0  | 1.089| 0.299   |
| AST (IU/L)       | 375.7±9284.1  | 413.6±257.8  | 0.001| 0.976   |
| TBIL (umol/L)    | 27.1±14.25    | 28.2±13.3    | 0.109| 0.742   |
| CRP (mg/L)       | 73.7±19.8     | 75.9±27.5    | 0.564| 0.454   |

POD3

| ALB (g/dL)       | 34.5±4.8      | 34.0±3.5     | 8.269| 0.445   |
| ALT (IU/L)       | 192.2±109.4   | 233.2±146.6  | 3.149| 0.079   |
| AST (IU/L)       | 182.7±138.1   | 230.9±177.8  | 3.313| 0.072   |
| TBIL (umol/L)    | 23.5±10.9     | 27.2±11.4    | 0.936| 0.336   |
| CRP (mg/L)       | 46.3±19.2     | 57.7±23.9    | 0.811| 0.019   |

POD5

| ALB (g/dL)       | 36.1±4.74     | 35.6±3.87    | 1.167| 0.282   |
| ALT (IU/L)       | 71.0±46.8     | 105.8±61.7   | 5.787| 0.018   |
| AST (IU/L)       | 72.8±39.7     | 100.2±16.7   | 4.979| 0.028   |
The Bulldog's superiority stands out in right segments, especially in terms of statistical difference occurred in left segments, which suggested that the right segment in bulldog group was shorter (p=0.003). There is no significant difference in operation time, the results show us that the operation time of the bulldog group compared to the cotton tape group was shorter.

In subgroup of blood loss and repair time, the results also showed us that the repair time of the bulldog group was shorter (p=0.001). This reflected by the clamping time and blood loss. In subgroup of blood loss play a significant role in liver function, which was reflected in the study. Ischemic reperfusion injury and amount of blood loss can be significantly decreased when using bulldog clamps.

In all the above-mentioned points, we prefer to use the bulldog clamps in laparoscopic hepatectomy for its validity, softness, and safe for vessel protection. It is easy to implement. Ischemic reperfusion injury and amount of blood loss can be significantly decreased when using bulldog clamps. It is easy to implement. Ischemic reperfusion injury and amount of blood loss can be significantly decreased when using bulldog clamps.

### Discussion

With the innovations of laparoscopic technique and specialized equipment, laparoscopic liver resection became the dominating resection surgery approach. From Dec 2014, laparoscopic hepatectomy was firstly carried out in our department, extracorporeal Pringle maneuver has been applied in most laparoscopic liver resections which need to block the hepatic inflow, the cotton tape was frequently applied. Also, there were many novel devices, Shin-ichiro recommended the smooth and effective way of Biliary Scope for Pringle's Maneuver in laparoscopic hepatectomy in 2007. Next year, Akihiro investigated 32 consecutive patients with Endo Retract Maxi for Pringle maneuver during laparoscopic hepatectomy and Dua MM used umbilical tape in 2015. We used to block the hepatic inflow by extracorporeal Pringle maneuver method with cotton tape for its validity, softness and safe for vessel protection, but it was always tricky for clamping in a two-dimensional view to encircle the hepatoduodenal ligament, and it delayed operation time for unexperienced surgeon. Bulldog has been widely used in urinary surgery for vascular occlusion, In gynecology, Yang suggested the bulldog clamp is a well crossover clamp with serrated blades that effectively occlude vessels without slippage or significant crush injury, is the laparoscopic instrument for minimizing blood loss during the surgical procedure. But bulldog in hepatic surgery has rarely been mentioned, this is the first report to formally demonstrate the clinical application in hepatic surgery. In this study, we compared the cotton and the bulldog for vascular occlusion during laparoscopic hepatectomy, all the tourniquets were clamped successfully, in spite of the position of the patient or the presence of cirrhosis.

The intermittent Pringle maneuver blocking time was consistently 15min in most laparoscopic liver resections which need to block the hepatic inflow. The cotton tape was frequently applied. Also, there were many novel devices. Shin-ichiro recommended the smooth and effective way of Biliary Scope for Pringle's Maneuver in laparoscopic hepatectomy in 2007. Next year, Akihiro investigated 32 consecutive patients with Endo Retract Maxi for Pringle maneuver during laparoscopic hepatectomy and Dua MM used umbilical tape in 2015. We used to block the hepatic inflow by extracorporeal Pringle maneuver method with cotton tape for its validity, softness and safe for vessel protection, but it was always tricky for clamping in a two-dimensional view to encircle the hepatoduodenal ligament, and it delayed operation time for unexperienced surgeon. Bulldog has been widely used in urinary surgery for vascular occlusion, in gynecology, Yang suggested the bulldog clamp is a well crossover clamp with serrated blades that effectively occlude vessels without slippage or significant crush injury, is the laparoscopic instrument for minimizing blood loss during the surgical procedure. But bulldog in hepatic surgery has rarely been mentioned, this is the first report to formally demonstrate the clinical application in hepatic surgery. In this study, we compared the cotton and the bulldog for vascular occlusion during laparoscopic hepatectomy, all the tourniquets were clamped successfully, in spite of the position of the patient or the presence of cirrhosis.

The intermittent Pringle maneuver blocking time was consistently 15min in most laparoscopic liver resections which need to block the hepatic inflow. The cotton tape was frequently applied. Also, there were many novel devices. Shin-ichiro recommended the smooth and effective way of Biliary Scope for Pringle's Maneuver in laparoscopic hepatectomy in 2007. Next year, Akihiro investigated 32 consecutive patients with Endo Retract Maxi for Pringle maneuver during laparoscopic hepatectomy and Dua MM used umbilical tape in 2015. We used to block the hepatic inflow by extracorporeal Pringle maneuver method with cotton tape for its validity, softness and safe for vessel protection, but it was always tricky for clamping in a two-dimensional view to encircle the hepatoduodenal ligament, and it delayed operation time for unexperienced surgeon. Bulldog has been widely used in urinary surgery for vascular occlusion, in gynecology, Yang suggested the bulldog clamp is a well crossover clamp with serrated blades that effectively occlude vessels without slippage or significant crush injury, is the laparoscopic instrument for minimizing blood loss during the surgical procedure. But bulldog in hepatic surgery has rarely been mentioned, this is the first report to formally demonstrate the clinical application in hepatic surgery. In this study, we compared the cotton and the bulldog for vascular occlusion during laparoscopic hepatectomy, all the tourniquets were clamped successfully, in spite of the position of the patient or the presence of cirrhosis.

The intermittent Pringle maneuver blocking time was consistently 15min in most laparoscopic liver resections which need to block the hepatic inflow. The cotton tape was frequently applied. Also, there were many novel devices. Shin-ichiro recommended the smooth and effective way of Biliary Scope for Pringle's Maneuver in laparoscopic hepatectomy in 2007. Next year, Akihiro investigated 32 consecutive patients with Endo Retract Maxi for Pringle maneuver during laparoscopic hepatectomy and Dua MM used umbilical tape in 2015. We used to block the hepatic inflow by extracorporeal Pringle maneuver method with cotton tape for its validity, softness and safe for vessel protection, but it was always tricky for clamping in a two-dimensional view to encircle the hepatoduodenal ligament, and it delayed operation time for unexperienced surgeon. Bulldog has been widely used in urinary surgery for vascular occlusion, in gynecology, Yang suggested the bulldog clamp is a well crossover clamp with serrated blades that effectively occlude vessels without slippage or significant crush injury, is the laparoscopic instrument for minimizing blood loss during the surgical procedure. But bulldog in hepatic surgery has rarely been mentioned, this is the first report to formally demonstrate the clinical application in hepatic surgery. In this study, we compared the cotton and the bulldog for vascular occlusion during laparoscopic hepatectomy, all the tourniquets were clamped successfully, in spite of the position of the patient or the presence of cirrhosis.

The intermittent Pringle maneuver blocking time was consistently 15min in most laparoscopic liver resections which need to block the hepatic inflow. The cotton tape was frequently applied. Also, there were many novel devices. Shin-ichiro recommended the smooth and effective way of Biliary Scope for Pringle's Maneuver in laparoscopic hepatectomy in 2007. Next year, Akihiro investigated 32 consecutive patients with Endo Retract Maxi for Pringle maneuver during laparoscopic hepatectomy and Dua MM used umbilical tape in 2015. We used to block the hepatic inflow by extracorporeal Pringle maneuver method with cotton tape for its validity, softness and safe for vessel protection, but it was always tricky for clamping in a two-dimensional view to encircle the hepatoduodenal ligament, and it delayed operation time for unexperienced surgeon. Bulldog has been widely used in urinary surgery for vascular occlusion, in gynecology, Yang suggested the bulldog clamp is a well crossover clamp with serrated blades that effectively occlude vessels without slippage or significant crush injury, is the laparoscopic instrument for minimizing blood loss during the surgical procedure. But bulldog in hepatic surgery has rarely been mentioned, this is the first report to formally demonstrate the clinical application in hepatic surgery. In this study, we compared the cotton and the bulldog for vascular occlusion during laparoscopic hepatectomy, all the tourniquets were clamped successfully, in spite of the position of the patient or the presence of cirrhosis.

### Advantages and Disadvantages

Cotton with Extracorporeal Pringle maneuver needs another incision, and the tourniquet need to vary following the tumor location to encircle easily. Besides, it is difficult to clamp with laparoscopic instruments and easy to wind. Most procedures required at least once blocking, so the cotton process in laparoscopic context were mess around for freshmen. The postoperative clinical outcomes also showed more complications occurred in traditional group. How about the Bulldog? First, it did not have any effect on operation field and easy to operate with low requirements of laparoscopic technique with less time and promoted the procedure. Second, small teeth covered the bulldog surface which can clamp the hepatoduodenal ligament or the right Glissonean pedicles toughly and no one creep down to guarantee the security. Third, took it out without any difficulties when you need to loosen it to avoid the overlong ischemia reperfusion injury, and reused it after.
disinfection. Fourth, its special material and easy to manipulate may reduce the risk of injury for vascular[30], bile duct and surrounding parenchyma which contributed to the earlier recovery of postoperative liver function. The last but not the least, They have less incidence of postoperative complications of 54 patients.

Conclusion

Bulldog for vascular occlusion is effective and time saving during laparoscopic liver resection with 54 patients than the traditional group, maybe the number is limited and more information are needed to witness its superiority, but we believe bulldog will be effectively performed approach for suitable patients undergoing laparoscopic liver resection with the advantages of simpleness, security and effectiveness.
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