Dear Sir:

Carotid artery stenting (CAS) is a less invasive alternative to carotid endarterectomy (CEA) for treatment of symptomatic carotid stenosis. The risk of peri-procedural cerebral ischemia is greater with CAS than with CEA. However, evidence on the occurrence of new hemorrhagic brain lesions after CAS or CEA is sparse. We aimed to assess the frequency of clinically silent brain hemorrhage on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) after CAS and CEA in the MRI substudy of the International Carotid Stenting Study (ICSS), a registered clinical trial (RCTN25337470).

In ICSS, patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to CAS or CEA. In the ICSS-MRI substudy, patients were scanned with multi-modal MRI 1 to 7 days before, 1 to 3 days after and 30±3 days after revascularization, including gradient-recalled echo (GRE) T2*-weighted MRI. The primary outcome of the present study was the presence of at least one new hemorrhagic brain lesion on the 30-day scan, defined by any new signal hypointensity within the brain parenchyma on GRE T2* which was not present before treatment. Small, rounded hypointense lesions measuring ≤10 mm in diameter were classified as cerebral microbleeds (CMBs). New hemorrhagic lesions on the 1-month follow-up scan occurring at the site of ischemic brain lesions seen on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequences before treatment, or at the site of new diffusion-weighted imaging lesions in the scan done 1 to 3 days after treatment, were classified as hemorrhagic transformations of ischemic infarcts, and were not counted as part of the primary outcome.

Details on the CAS and CEA procedures have been described...
Table 1. Baseline characteristics, radiological, and clinical outcome events

| Characteristic                                      | CAS (n=84) | CEA (n=78) | Total (n=162) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| **Age (yr)**                                        | 70.4±8.9   | 69.4±8.5   | 69.9±8.7      |
| **Male sex**                                        | 65 (77.4)  | 53 (67.9)  | 118 (72.8)    |
| **Vascular risk factors**                           |            |            |               |
| History of hypertension                             | 57 (68.0)  | 56 (72.0)  | 113 (70.0)    |
| History of diabetes                                 | 18 (21.0)  | 19 (24.0)  | 37 (23.0)     |
| History of hypercholesterolemia                     | 54 (64.0)  | 54 (69.0)  | 108 (67.0)    |
| Smoking (past or present)                           | 66 (79.0)  | 54 (69.0)  | 120 (74.0)    |
| Coronary heart disease                              | 15 (18.0)  | 20 (26.0)  | 35 (22.0)     |
| Peripheral artery disease                           | 11 (13.0)  | 10 (13.0)  | 21 (13.0)     |
| **Blood pressure at randomization (mm Hg)**         |            |            |               |
| Systolic                                            | 158.6±28.3 | 158.2±25.1 | 158.4±26.8    |
| Diastolic                                           | 81.9±14.0  | 81.9±11.9  | 81.9±13.0     |
| Mean                                                | 107.5±17.2 | 107.4±14.9 | 107.4±16.1    |
| **Blood pressure at hospital discharge (mm Hg)**    |            |            |               |
| Systolic                                            | 135.1±19.8 | 134.7±17.1 | 134.9±18.4    |
| Diastolic                                           | 71.6±12.8  | 72.5±10.8  | 72.1±11.8     |
| Mean                                                | 92.8±13.0  | 93.3±11.3  | 93.0±12.1     |
| **Blood pressure at 1 month follow-up (mm Hg)**     |            |            |               |
| Systolic                                            | 157.9±21.7 | 152.4±23.5 | 155.2±22.7    |
| Diastolic                                           | 83.6±11.8  | 81.5±10.8  | 82.6±11.4     |
| Mean                                                | 108.4±13.5 | 105.1±13.5 | 106.8±13.5    |
| **Most recent ipsilateral event***                  |            |            |               |
| Amaurosis fugax                                     | 21 (25.0)  | 14 (18.0)  | 35 (22.0)     |
| Retinal stroke                                      | 5 (6.0)    | 1 (1.0)    | 6 (4.0)       |
| Transient ischemic attack                           | 24 (29.0)  | 30 (40.0)  | 54 (34.0)     |
| Hemispheric stroke                                   | 34 (41.0)  | 31 (41.0)  | 65 (41.0)     |
| **Score on modified Rankin Scale at randomization** |            |            |               |
| 0                                                   | 38 (45.0)  | 28 (36.0)  | 66 (41.0)     |
| 1                                                   | 19 (23.0)  | 19 (24.0)  | 38 (24.0)     |
| 2                                                   | 23 (27.0)  | 21 (27.0)  | 44 (27.0)     |
| 3                                                   | 4 (5.0)    | 8 (10.0)   | 12 (7.0)      |
| 4                                                   | 0 (0.0)    | 2 (3.0)    | 2 (1.0)       |
| **Degree of ipsilateral carotid stenosis at randomization*** | | | |
| Moderate (50%–69%)                                   | 13 (16.0)  | 7 (9.0)    | 20 (13.0)     |
| Severe (70%–99%)                                     | 71 (85.0)  | 71 (91.0)  | 142 (88.0)    |
| **Baseline MRI characteristics**                    |            |            |               |
| Presence of ischemic lesion on DWI before treatment  | 32 (38.0)  | 29 (37.0)  | 61 (38.0)     |
| Presence of CMB on GRE T2* before treatment         | 16 (19.0)  | 12 (15.0)  | 28 (17.0)     |
| Total ARWMC score                                   | 4 (2–7)    | 4 (2–8)    | 4 (2–7)       |
| **Radiological outcome events on 1-month MRI**      |            |            |               |
| New hemorrhagic brain lesions                       | 5 (6.0)    | 5 (6.4)    | 10 (6.2)      |
| Ipsilateral carotid territory                       | 4          | 4          | 8             |
| Contralateral carotid or vertebrobasilar territory  | 1          | 1          | 1             |
in detail before. In short, premedication for CAS was discretionary, although the combination of aspirin and clopidogrel was recommended. Use of heparin during the procedure was mandatory. All CEA patients received antiplatelet therapy before and after the procedure. Assessment of MRI scans was done through consensus reading by two experienced readers who were blinded to the allocated treatment and clinical outcome. In case of disagreement between the two, a third reviewer made the final decision.

We analyzed all patients who received the randomly allocated treatment (CAS or CEA) and both the pre-treatment and 30-day MRI scans including GRE T2* sequences in sufficient quality. We compared the proportion of patients with the primary outcome between the CAS and the CEA group using Fisher’s exact test. Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to study the association between each of the clinical and radiological baseline variables (Table 1) and the primary outcome in both treatment groups combined.

From 2005 to 2009, 260 patients were randomized at five ICSS-MRI substudy centers (CAS, n=129; CEA, n=131). Our
study population included 162 patients (CAS, n=84; CEA, n=78) who underwent treatment as randomly allocated and had complete MRI scans done before and 30 days after treatment. Patient flow and reasons for exclusion from the present analysis are detailed in Figure 1. Patients were well matched in baseline characteristics (Table 1). Thirty-one patients were studied in 3 Tesla scanners (CAS, n=15; CEA, n=16) and 131 in 1.5 Tesla scanners (CAS, n=69; CEA, n=62).

Seven patients in the CAS group (8.3%) and three patients in the CEA group (3.8%) suffered an ischemic stroke. All pre-existing hemorrhagic brain lesions seen on the pre-treatment scan were still visible on the 1-month follow-up scan. No clinically manifest hemorrhagic strokes occurred. Hemorrhagic brain lesions, exclusively in the form of a single CMB, occurred in five patients in the CAS group (6.0%) and in five patients in the CEA group (6.4%, P=0.88). CMBs were located in the territory supplied by the treated carotid artery in eight patients and in the contralateral carotid territory in two patients. In the CAS group, two CMBs were located in deep structures (basal ganglia), two in the frontal lobe, and one in the temporal lobe. In the CEA group, two CMBs were located in deep structures (external capsule and deep/periventricular white matter), two in the frontal lobe, and one in the temporal lobe. None of the patients with CMBs had symptoms attributable to the lesion or cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome (CHS). CMBs were not associated with any patient baseline characteristics including presence of CMBs before treatment, or baseline severity of white matter changes.

Our study has limitations. Due to the small number of patients exhibiting new hemorrhagic brain lesions on MRI after carotid revascularization, we are not able to draw any meaningful conclusions on the association between hemorrhagic brain lesions and CHS, nor any of the assessed baseline risk factors including pre-existing CMBs. Second, no information was available on blood pressure values directly after CAS or CEA, or within a few days thereafter. In addition, only about one fifth of our patients were imaged on 3 Tesla scanners, and susceptibility weighted imaging sequences were not done. Therefore, we might have underestimated the true frequency of CMBs to some degree.

In conclusion, we found that hemorrhagic brain lesions after carotid revascularization are rare, do not differ between CAS and CEA, and in our study exclusively occurred in the form of a single CMB, predominantly located in the territory supplied by the treated carotid artery.
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