Investigation of Cutting Temperature during Turning Inconel 718 with (Ti,Al)N PVD Coated Cemented Carbide Tools
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Abstract: Physical Vapor Deposition (PVD) Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coated cemented carbide tools are commonly used to cut difficult-to-machine super alloy of Inconel 718. The Al concentration x of Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coating can affect the coating microstructure, mechanical and thermo-physical properties of Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coating, which affects the cutting temperature in the machining process. Cutting temperature has great influence on the tool life and the machined surface quality. In this study, the influences of PVD (Ti,Al)N coated cemented carbide tools on the cutting temperature were analyzed. Firstly, the microstructures of PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N and Ti\textsubscript{0.55}Al\textsubscript{0.45}N coatings were inspected. The increase of Al concentration x enhanced the crystallinity of PVD Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coatings without epitaxy growth of TiAlN crystals. Secondly, the mechanical and thermo-physical properties of PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N and Ti\textsubscript{0.55}Al\textsubscript{0.45}N coated tools were analyzed. The pinning effects of coating increased with the increasing of Al concentration x, which can decrease the friction coefficient between the PVD Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coated cemented carbide tools and the Inconel 718 material. The coating hardness and thermal conductivity of Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coatings increased with the increase of Al concentration x. Thirdly, the influences of PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N coated tools on the cutting temperature in turning Inconel 718 were analyzed by mathematical analysis modelling and Lagrange simulation methods. Compared with the uncoated tools, PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N coated tools decreased the heat generation as well as the tool temperature to reduce the thermal stress generated within the tools. Lastly, the influences of Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coatings on surface morphologies of the tool rake faces were analyzed. The conclusions can reveal the influences of PVD Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coatings on cutting temperature, which can provide guidance in the proper choice of Al concentration x for PVD Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coated tools in turning Inconel 718.
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1. Introduction

Inconel 718 has been widely used in aeronautical applications due to its high temperature strength and high corrosion resistance. However, great heat is generated in the cutting process of Inconel 718 by cutting tools due to its low thermal conductivity and high hardness. The heat can decrease the tool life and impair the machined surface quality of a workpiece [1–5]. Coating technology was commonly used to protect the tool substrate, which was an effective way to increase the tool life [6,7]. At present, coated cemented carbide tools account for 80% of total tool production [8]. Physical Vapor Deposition (PVD) Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coatings were widely used in machining Inconel 718 alloys due to the high hardness [9], excellent wear resistance [10,11] and super heat stability [12]
of the coatings. The thermo-physical properties of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating were closely associated with the Al concentration $x$ [13–17]. It is necessary to study the influences of Al concentration on the microstructure, mechanical properties and thermo-physical properties of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings. This can provide some guidance when choosing proper Al concentration $x$ of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coated tools in turning Inconel 718.

Researchers commonly carried out experimental observation to analyze the microstructures, mechanical properties and thermo-physical properties of Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings. Hultman [15] found that PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating was a combination of the mostly metallic character of cubic TiN with the semi-conducting behavior of hexagonal AlN. The Al was insoluble in TiN, and Ti was insoluble in AlN. The PVD deposition conditions were far from the thermodynamic equilibrium that was required to synthesize the supersaturated metastable Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings. Wahlström et al. [16] analyzed the microstructures of polycrystalline Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N alloy coatings, which were made by ultra-high-vacuum dual-target magnetron sputtering technologies. They found that coatings with an AlN concentration $x \leq 0.40$ were single-phase with a face-centered cubic structure of Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating. The interplanar distance and intergranular void density decreased with the increase of AlN concentration $x$. As detected by selected-area electron diffraction (SAED), the coatings with an AlN concentration $0.4 < x \leq 0.9$ consisted of wurtzite-structure AlN-rich grains and face-centered cubic structure Al depleted Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N grains. Plan-view transmission electron microscopy (TEM) also revealed a dramatic decrease in the average grain size from 65 nm to 30 nm and an increase in the intergranular void density to accompany the phase separation. Yoon et al. [17] researched the influences of Al concentration $x$ on the microstructure and mechanical properties of WC-Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N super hard composite coatings. With the increase of Al concentration, the crystal grain interfaces of WC-Ti$_{0.47}$Al$_{0.53}$N coatings showed a complete nano-crystalline structure with a grain size of 10 nm. The highest hardness value of WC-Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings was obtained from the WC-Ti$_{0.43}$Al$_{0.57}$N nano-composite coating. Paldey et al. [13] found that the hardness, oxidation resistance and thermal conductivity of Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating rose with the increase of the Al atom replacing Ti atom within the TiAlN cubic cell. Ding et al. [14] adopted time domain reflectometry to measure the variation curve of the thermal conductivity of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating with the increase of Al concentration $x$ at room temperature. They found that the thermal conductivity of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating decreased with the increase of Al concentration $x \leq 0.42$, but the thermal conductivity of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating increased with the increase of Al concentration $x > 0.42$. The thermal conductivity 4.63 W/(m·K) of PVD Ti$_{0.38}$Al$_{0.42}$N coating was the lowest value among the PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings. The research [14] can offer evidence to determine the thermal conductivities of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coatings in the current study. The research showed that the coating microstructures were closely associated with the thermal conductivities of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings. Barsoum et al. [18] measured the thermal conductivity of Ti$_4$AlN$_{2.9}$ coating made by the reactive hot isostatic pressing method. The thermal conductivity of Ti$_4$AlN$_{2.9}$ was 12 W/(m·K), which increased with the temperature to 20 W/(m·K) (1300 K). Rachbauer et al. [19] found that the thermal conductivity of Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating initially increased, then decreased with increasing temperature. The temperature dependent thermo-physical properties of Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings were closely associated with the crystallinity states of coating and the scattering effects of the grain boundaries.

Researchers commonly utilized the mathematical analysis method [20], experimental test method [13,21] and numerical simulation method [22,23] to analyze the influences of tool coating on the cutting temperature. Du et al. [20] used the single domain and multi domain boundary element methods to calculate the temperature distribution within the coated tool. The internal temperature distribution within the tools can be influenced by the coating material and coating thickness. The calculated temperature values of the tool substrate for the TiN coated tool were slightly lower than those of the corresponding point within the uncoated tools. Paldey et al. [13] indicated that the thermal barrier effect of Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating was due to its lower thermal conductivity than that of the substrate during a high speed dry machining process. Müller et al. [21] applied a
two-color pyrometer to measure the cutting temperature in machining 42CrMo4V by uncoated tools, TiN and TiAlN coated tools. For the cutting speed 150 m/min, feed 0.12 mm/rev and cutting depth 2.5 mm, the maximum temperature of chip formed by uncoated tool was about 480 °C. The maximum temperatures in chips formed by TiN and TiAlN coated tools were about 520 °C and 500 °C, respectively. Davoudinejad et al. [22] proposed 3D finite element modeling of micro end-milling Al6082-T6 to analyze the temperature distribution under different cutting conditions. The simulation results were verified by infrared thermal camera. Grzesik [23] found that the microstructure of coating can be optimized to reduce the friction coefficient between the coated tools and workpiece materials. The microstructure of coatings can be optimized to decrease the heat generated in the cutting zone. For cutting easy-to-machine materials with coated tools, the generated heat can be easily dissipated into the chip by the coatings with lower thermal conductivity. For cutting the difficult-to-machine materials with coated tools, the generated heat can be quickly dissipated into the tool substrate by the coatings with higher thermal conductivity.

However, the influences of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coated tools with different Al concentration $x$ on the cutting temperature in machining Inconel 718 were not clear. In this study, Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N and Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coatings with the coating thickness 2 µm were deposited on WC-Co cemented carbide substrate. The deposition technique was arc ion plating deposition technology. The microstructure and grain orientation of the two coatings were observed by high resolution transmission electron microscopy/focused ion beam (HRTEM/FIB) techniques. The mechanical properties of the two coatings were researched by measuring the coating hardness, critical loads between the coating and substrate, and the friction coefficient between the coating and Inconel 718. The influences of Al concentration $x$ on the thermal conductivity of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings were analyzed with the finite element method. The cutting temperatures of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coated tools were measured with the buried thermocouples. The influences of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating on heat generation in the cutting zone were analyzed with mathematical analysis models.

2. Mathematical Analysis Model of Cutting Temperature in Turning Inconel 718 by PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N Coated Tools

The orthogonal mathematical analysis models proposed by Komanduri-Hou [24,25] were used to investigate the cutting temperature of the primary cutting zone in turning Inconel 718 with PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coated cemented carbide tools. Figure 1 shows the mathematical analysis model of the primary and imaginary shear heat sources in orthogonal cutting of Inconel 718 by PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coated tools.

The separating point of the tool tip and workpiece is assumed as the coordinate origin $O$ as shown in Figure 1. The direction parallel to the cutting speed is assumed as the direction of the $x$ axis, and the direction perpendicular to the cutting speed is assumed as the direction of the $z$ axis. The two-dimensional planar coordinate $xOz$ is established. $\varphi$ is the shear angle. $V$ is the cutting speed. $L$ is the length of shear heat source. $R_1$ and $R_2$ are the polar coordinates of point $M(x, z)$. The imaginary heat source was introduced into the model to compensate for the heat loss due to the assumption of the semi-infinite medium for the workpiece [24,25].

![Figure 1. Mathematical analysis model of the primary and imaginary shear heat sources.](image-url)
The temperature rise at any point M(x, z) was due to the combined effects of the primary and imaginary shear heat sources. Each of these heat sources can be considered as a combination of numerous infinitesimal segments dlᵢ, with each again as an infinitely long moving line heat source. lᵢ is the location of the differential small segment of the shear band heat source dlᵢ relative to the upper end of it and along its width. K₀ is the modified Bessel function of second kind of order zero. The temperature of point M(x, z) within the workpiece induced by the primary and imaginary shear heat sources can be calculated by Equation (1):

\[
T_{\text{shear}}^{\text{M(x,z)}} = T_{\text{primary}} + T_{\text{imaginary}}
\]

\[
= B \frac{\lambda q_{\text{shear}}}{2\pi \lambda} \int_{l_i=0}^{L} e^{-\frac{(x-l_i \cos(\varphi)) - V/2a}{2\alpha}} \left\{ K_0 \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\lambda} \sqrt{(x-l_i \cos(\varphi))^2 + (z - l_i \sin(\varphi))^2} + K_0 \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\lambda} \sqrt{(x-l_i \cos(\varphi))^2 + (z + l_i \sin(\varphi))^2} \right] \right\} dl_i
\]

where \(T_{\text{primary}}\) and \(T_{\text{imaginary}}\) are temperatures generated by the primary and imaginary shear heat sources, respectively. The temperatures can be calculated with Equations (2) and (3). \(B\) is the coefficient under specific cutting parameters in machining Inconel 718, which can be calculated with Equation (4). \(q_{\text{shear}}\) is the heat liberation intensity of a moving shear plane heat source, which can be calculated with Equation (5). \(\lambda\) is the thermal conductivity of Inconel 718. \(\alpha\) is the thermal diffusivity of Inconel 718. \(K_0\) can be calculated with Equation (6).

\[
T_{\text{primary}} = B \frac{\lambda q_{\text{shear}}}{2\pi \lambda} \int_{l_i=0}^{L} e^{-\frac{(x-l_i \cos(\varphi)) - V/2a}{2\alpha}} \times K_0 \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\lambda} \sqrt{(x-l_i \cos(\varphi))^2 + (z - l_i \sin(\varphi))^2} dl_i
\]

\[
T_{\text{imaginary}} = B \frac{\lambda q_{\text{shear}}}{2\pi \lambda} \int_{l_i=0}^{L} e^{-\frac{(x-l_i \cos(\varphi)) - V/2a}{2\alpha}} \times K_0 \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\lambda} \sqrt{(x-l_i \cos(\varphi))^2 + (z + l_i \sin(\varphi))^2} dl_i
\]

\[
B = 0.60361 \times N_{\text{th}}^{-0.37101} = 0.60361 \times \left( \frac{t_c \times V}{\alpha} \right)^{-0.37101}
\]

\[
q_{\text{shear}} = \frac{(F_c \cos(\varphi) - F_i \sin(\varphi)) \cdot V \cdot \cos(\gamma_0)}{1000 t_c \cdot w \cdot \csc(\varphi) \cdot \cos(\varphi - \gamma_0)}
\]

where \(F_c\) is the tangential force, \(F_i\) is the radial force, \(\gamma_0\) is the rake angle, \(t_c\) is the undeformed chip thickness, and \(w\) is the width of shear plane heat source.

\[
K_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} e^{-\frac{\omega - \omega^2}{2\lambda}}
\]

where \(\omega\) is the variable of cutting time, which can be calculated by Equation (7), and \(u\) is the integral variable of shear band length, which can be calculated by Equation (8).

\[
\omega = \frac{\sqrt{2} \circ}{4 \alpha}
\]

\[
u = \frac{V}{2 \alpha \cdot l_i \cdot \cos(\varphi)}
\]
3. Experimental Procedure

3.1. Characterization of Surface and Microstructure for PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N Coatings

The surface roughness of PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coating was obtained with a laser confocal microscope VK-HIXMC (Keyence, Osaka, Japan). The tool rake face was set perpendicular to the measuring lens. The amplification of the lens used was 10×, which could cover the valid area of the tool rake face.

PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coatings were characterized structurally by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Shimadzu, Kanagawa, Japan) and HRTEM/FIB techniques. For the XRD analyses, a wide-range goniometer with a proportional-counter detector was used, with a 2θ accuracy of 0.0001°. Non-monochromatic Cu radiation was used and K$_\alpha$ peaks were numerically stripped from the spectra using an EVA and TOPAS 4.2 software package. The pole figures were obtained using a X-ray diffractometer with the type of D8 advance. The FIB preparation was performed with a FEI Helios 600 Dual Beam, consisting of a liquid gallium ion source operating at 30 kV for sample milling and a field emission electron source operating at 5 kV for secondary electron imaging. The thin lamellae were imaged with a FEI S-TWIN TECNAI G² F20-TEM (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, America) operating at 200 kV, to carry out experiments with HRTEM, selected area electron diffraction (SAED), and dark-field imaging.

The cross sections of PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coatings were observed with the field emission scanning electron microscope JSM-7610F (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). The accelerating voltage was 10 kV. The detailed cross sections of PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coatings were observed at the magnification 20,000×.

3.2. Characterization of Mechanical Properties for PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N Coated Tools

As referred to in [14,15], the hardness of PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coating was measured with the Vickers hardness tester FM-800. The applied method was the indentation method. It was noted that the indentation depth of the indenter cannot exceed 10–15% of the coating thickness to assure the validity of the measured results. The applied load of the indenter was 50 g.

The Anton Par Revetest was used to apply the scratching tests to obtain the critical loads between the PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coating and carbide substrate. The tool rake faces were set perpendicular to the Rockwell diamond indenter C, then the tools were fixed. The cone angle of the Rockwell diamond indenter C was 120°, the curvature radius of which was 200 μm. The applied load was in the range of 0–60 N for the Rockwell diamond indenter C. The scratching tests met the requirements of ASTM C1624-05 standard [26]. The scratching speed was 10 mm/min, and the loading rate was 100 N/min. The rupturing sound of the coating and the three-dimensional topography of the scratch were used to find the critical loads between the PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coating and carbide substrate. The detailed measuring process can be referred to the research [27].

The friction coefficient between the PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coated tool and workpiece material Inconel 718 was measured with pin-on-disc testing experiments. The experimental setup was with a type of UMT-TriboLab (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA). The Inconel 718 was made as the disc with the diameter of 80 mm. The loads applied on the tool were a constant of 5 N at the room temperature.

3.3. Cutting Experiment Procedure

The experimental setup of orthogonal cutting Inconel 718 by PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coated tools without cutting lubricant is shown in Figure 2. The used machine tool was CNC PUMA 200 M. The PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coated cemented carbide tools with the type NG3125R KC5025 (PVD Ti$_{0.35}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tool) and KC5010 (PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool) were obtained from the KENNAMETAL company. As referred to the methodologies of research [15,17], the thickness of the PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coatings were measured as 2 μm from the cross-sectional views of the coated tools using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) techniques. PVD Ti$_1$–xAl$_x$N coated tools were compared with the uncoated cemented carbide tools with the NG3125R K313. The three type cutting tools had the same rake angle $\gamma_0$ 0°, relief angle $\beta$ 11° and geometric dimensions. PVD Ti$_{0.35}$Al$_{0.45}$N and Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tools had the same substrate material as the uncoated tool. The cutting tool arbor with the type of NSR 3232P3 was shown
in the illustration in the Figure 2. The workpiece of Inconel 718 was a cylindrical bar with the diameter of \( \phi 24 \) mm. The ring grooves had been machined on the cylindrical bar. The depth of the ring grooves was 4 mm. The distance was 2 mm between two approached ring grooves.

The applied cutting parameters of PVD Ti\(_{1-x}\)Al\(_x\)N coated tool and uncoated tool were the same. The cutting speed used was 20 m/min. The feed used was 0.025, 0.05 and 0.075 mm/rev, respectively. As shown in Figure 2, the cutting forces were measured with the Type 9129A of 3-Component Measuring System. The cutting temperature of tools was measured with the buried K type thermocouple, which was combined with a multiple channel USB data acquisition module OM-DAQ-2401. The schematic of temperature measurement was plotted in Figure 3. As shown in Figure 3, the diameter of the K-type thermocouple was \( \phi 0.5 \) mm. The diameter of the un-displayed drilled hole was \( \phi 0.75 \) mm, which was made by electric discharge machining. The response time of the multiple channel USB data acquisition module OM-DAQ-2401 was 2 ms. The collected electric signals were transferred into the personal computer. Thus, the temperature profiles of tools were obtained accurately during the Inconel 718 turning process.

4. Finite Element Simulation of Cutting Temperature in Turning Inconel 718 with PVD Ti\(_{1-x}\)Al\(_x\)N Coated Tools

The schematic diagram of the experimental setup of the orthogonal cutting of Inconel 718 by PVD Ti\(_{1-x}\)Al\(_x\)N coated tools is plotted in Figure 4a and the schematic diagram of the related simulation model is plotted in Figure 4b. As in [28–31], the finite element simulation models were established
by AdvantEdge software V5.0 without lubricants. As shown in Figure 4b, the cutting tool tip was set at a distance away from the top surface of workpiece. The distance was equal to the feed value. The workpiece was fixed and the tool moved in the cutting direction. The moving speed was equal to the cutting speed value. The feed and cutting speed values were set as shown in Table 1. The influences of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tools on the cutting temperature in turning Inconel 718 were analyzed by applying the coatings on the cutting tool. The specific thermo-physical properties of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coatings and the carbide substrate materials were assumed to be the values shown in Table 2. The initial temperature was set to be 20 °C, the same as room temperature. The friction coefficient between PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coated tools and Inconel 718 was assumed to be the values shown in Table 1.

![Schematic diagram of experimental set-up and the related simulation models.](image)

**Figure 4.** Schematic diagram of experimental set-up and the related simulation models. (a) Experimental model; (b) simulation model.

**Table 1.** The mechanical properties of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings at the room temperature.

| x    | HV$_{0.025}$ (GPa) | Critical Loads (N) | Roughness Ra (µm) | Friction Coefficient (Dry) |
|------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| 0.45 | 13.18             | 31.67 ± 2.37      | 0.561 ± 0.003    | 0.35                      |
| 0.59 | 15.05             | 38.27 ± 0.67      | 0.516 ± 0.023    | 0.33                      |

As shown in Figure 5, the two-dimensional finite element simulation model of cutting temperature field in turning Inconel 718 by a PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool at feed 0.05 mm/rev and cutting speed 20 m/min were given. $T_{\text{max-workpiece}}$ is the maximum temperature of workpiece. $T_{\text{max-tool}}$ is the maximum temperature of tool. $T_{\text{max-substrate}}$ is the maximum temperature of tool substrate. $T_{\text{tool-corresponding measured point}}$ is the temperature at the measured point of the buried K type thermocouple.

![Two-dimensional finite element simulation model of cutting temperature field in turning Inconel 718 with PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool at feed 0.05 mm/rev and cutting speed 20 m/min.](image)

**Figure 5.** Two-dimensional finite element simulation model of cutting temperature field in turning Inconel 718 with PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool at feed 0.05 mm/rev and cutting speed 20 m/min.
5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Microstructure of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N Coatings

Figure 6 illustrates the XRD patterns from PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coatings. PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coatings were all face-centered cubic structure Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N with cubic lattice. The space groups of two type PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings were the same as Pm-3m (211). For the thin coating thickness and high penetrating capacity of Cu-Ka radiation used in the XRD experiment, the diffraction peaks for the WC-Co carbide substrate were identified clearly. In this research, the crystals of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings grew in preferred orientations (111) and (200). The grain preferred orientations (111) and (200) for the PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating became more evident with the increase of Al concentration.

As shown in Figure 7, the interface areas between the PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating and carbide substrate were observed with SEM and HRTEM. Differences between cross-sectional SEM topographies were not evident for the Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) coated tool and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coated tool. Plan-view HRTEM images showed that the disordering areas and lattice distortions existed around the interface areas between the PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating and carbide substrate. The lattice fringe orientations of two PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings were not consistent with the lattice fringe orientations of the WC phase and Co phase in the WC-Co carbide substrate. It was illustrated that the epitaxy growth of TiAlN crystal did not exist in the physical vapor deposition process. The deposition temperature of physical vapor deposition process was lower than that of the chemical vapor deposition process. Thus, the re-nucleation and growth of TiAlN crystals occurred independently in the physical vapor deposition process of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings without the influences of the WC phase and Co phase.

Attention was paid to the internal microstructures of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings. As shown in Figure 8, the internal microstructures of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coatings were observed by HRTEM and SAED. The crystallizations of Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coatings were all nano-crystalline. The corresponding SAED patterns showed that the continuity of diffraction facula for Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) coating was not as good as that of Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coating. As referred to in [16,17], this can be explained by the nano-crystalline of Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) coating being larger than that of Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coating. The crystallization states of Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) coating were better than that of Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coating.

![Figure 6](image_url)  
**Figure 6.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns from PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coatings.
Figure 7. Cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM) topographies and plan-view high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images from the interface areas between the PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating and carbide substrate for Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) coated tool and Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coated tool.

Figure 8. Plan-view HRTEM images and corresponding selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns from PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N (KC5010) coating and PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N (KC5025) coating.

5.2. Mechanical and Thermo-Physical Properties of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N Coatings

The mechanical properties of PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings were listed in Table 1. Each experiment was conducted more than three times to obtain average values. As referred to in [32,33], the replacement of Ti atoms by Al atoms induced the lattice distortion of Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating. The lattice distortion induced internal stress within the Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coatings. The increase of Al concentration increased the pinning effect in the PVD Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating. The pinning effects prevented the dislocation movement of Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N crystals. The friction coefficients between the Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$N coating and Inconel 718 decreased
slightly [34]. Thus, the friction forces between the PVD Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N (KC5010) coated tools and Inconel 718 decreased slightly. The temperature-dependent mechanical and thermo-physical properties of tungsten-based cemented carbide are referenced to Akbar et al. [29]. Barsoum et al. [18] and Finkel et al. [34] found that the thermal conductivity of Ti_{4}Al_{3}N increased linearly with temperature. Ti_{4}Al_{3}N is another expression of (Ti,Al)N material, defined by the Ti/Al atomic ratio. Akbar et al. [29] summarized the research results of Barsoum et al. [18] and Finkel et al. [34] and obtained the temperature-dependent mechanical and thermo-physical properties of Ti_{1-x}Al_{x}N coating. To investigate the influences of Ti_{1-x}Al_{x}N coating on the cutting temperature in turning Inconel 718, the temperature-dependent mechanical and thermo-physical properties of Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coating were assumed to be the same as the research results of Akbar et al. [29]. According to the research of Ding et al. [14], the thermal conductivity of PVD Ti_{0.55}Al_{0.45}N coating is referred to as 4.6 W/(m·K) at room temperature. The thermal conductivity of Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coating was inferred as 6.6 W/(m·K) at room temperature. Thus, the thermal conductivity of PVD Ti_{0.55}Al_{0.45}N coating was assumed to be less than that of PVD Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coating about 2 W/(m·K) with the variation of temperature. The temperature-dependent mechanical and thermo-physical properties of Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coating, Ti_{0.55}Al_{0.45}N coating and tungsten-based cemented carbide are given as Table 2.

### Table 2. Temperature dependent mechanical and thermo-physical properties of Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coating, Ti_{0.55}Al_{0.45}N coating and tungsten-based cemented carbide.

| Properties of Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coating [14,27,33] | 100 °C | 300 °C | 500 °C | 700 °C | 900 °C |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Young’s modulus, GPa                             | 370    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 370    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 370    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Poisson’s ratio                                   | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Density, kg/m³                                    | 1892   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 1892   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 1892   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)                    | 12.61  | 14.01  | 15.41  | 16.81  | 18.21  |
| Specific heat, J/(kg·K)                          | 639.89 | 727.28 | 769.46 | 794.29 | 810.67 |

| Properties of Ti_{0.55}Al_{0.45}N coating [14,27,33] | 100 °C | 300 °C | 500 °C | 700 °C | 900 °C |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Young’s modulus, GPa                             | 370    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 370    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 370    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Poisson’s ratio                                   | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Density, kg/m³                                    | 1892   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 1892   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 1892   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)                    | 10.61  | 12.01  | 13.41  | 14.81  | 16.21  |
| Specific heat, J/(kg·K)                          | 639.89 | 727.28 | 769.46 | 794.29 | 810.67 |

| Properties of tungsten-based cemented carbide [27] | 100 °C | 300 °C | 500 °C | 700 °C | 900 °C |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Young’s modulus, GPa                             | 534    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 534    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 534    | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Poisson’s ratio                                   | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 0.22   | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Density, kg/m³                                    | 11900  | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 11900  | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) | 11900  | (assumed as unchanged with temperature) |
| Thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)                    | 40.15  | 48.55  | 56.95  | 65.35  | 73.75  |
| Specific heat, J/(kg·K)                          | 346.01 | 370.01 | 394.01 | 418.01 | 442.01 |

5.3. Influences of PVD Ti_{1-x}Al_{x}N Coated Tools on Cutting Temperature

As shown in Figure 9, the cutting temperature profiles with variations of cutting time of Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coated tool (KC5010), Ti_{0.55}Al_{0.45}N coated tool (KC5025), uncoated tool (K313) were measured by the buried K-type thermocouple at feed 0.05 mm/rev and cutting speed 20 m/min. Compared with the uncoated tools, the existence of PVD Ti_{1-x}Al_{x}N coating increased the measured cutting temperature. As referred to in the research of Grezskik [23], the heat generated in the cutting zone can be prevented from dissipating from the tool body into the environment quickly by PVD Ti_{1-x}Al_{x}N coated tools. Thus, the heat that accumulated within the tool body increased the measured temperature. The measured temperatures of PVD Ti_{0.55}Al_{0.45}N coated tool were higher than those of the PVD Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coated tool. This was associated with the thermal conductivity of Ti_{1-x}Al_{x}N coating...
being increased with the increase of Al concentration [18,19]. The Al concentration of Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coating was higher than that of the Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coating. Thus, the thermal conductivity of Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coating was higher than Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coating as referred to in [14,18,19]. Compared with the PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tools, the heat generated can be dissipated quickly from the tool body into the environment and thus decrease the measured temperature for PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tools.

**Figure 9.** Cutting temperature profiles with variations of cutting time of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool (KC5010), Ti$_{0.45}$Al$_{0.55}$N coated tool (KC5025) and uncoated tool (K313) measured by the buried K-type thermocouple at feed 0.05 mm/rev and cutting speed 20 m/min.

Comparisons between the actual temperature measured by the buried K-type thermocouple and the corresponding finite element simulation temperature at the same point within the substrate of tools with variations of feed are illustrated in Figure 10. The variations of finite element simulation temperature were consistent with the measured temperatures at the same point within the tool substrates. The finite element analysis model can show the temperature field in the cutting zone directly. Compared with the uncoated tools, the existence of PVD Ti$_{(1-x)}$Al$_x$N coating increased the steady temperature in turning Inconel 718. The measured temperature of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tools was lower than that of PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tools. The Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coating with higher thermal conductivity accelerated the heat generated in the cutting zone dissipating from the tool body into the environment.

**Figure 10.** Comparisons between the actual temperature measured by the buried K-type thermocouple and the corresponding finite element simulation temperature at the same point within the substrate of Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool (KC5010), Ti$_{0.45}$Al$_{0.55}$N coated tool (KC5025) and uncoated tool (K313) with variations of feed at cutting speed 20 mm/min.
To analyze the phenomenon, the tangential forces ($F_t$) and radial forces ($F_c$) of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool (KC5010), PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tool (KC5025), uncoated tool (K313) with variations of feed at a cutting speed 20 mm/min were obtained, as shown in Figure 11. The tangential forces ($F_t$) and radial forces ($F_c$) increased with the increase of feed. The incremental rate of radial force ($F_c$) was bigger than that of the tangential force ($F_t$). The radial forces decreased with the increase of Al concentration in PVD Ti$_{(1-x)}$Al$_x$N coating in turning Inconel 718. The tangential forces of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tools were lower than that of the PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tools at low feed 0.025 mm/rev. The difference between the tangential forces of the PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool and the tangential forces of the PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tool were not evident at higher feeds.

Figure 11. Tangential forces ($F_t$) and radial forces ($F_c$) of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool (KC5010), Ti$_{0.45}$Al$_{0.55}$N coated tool (KC5025), uncoated tool (K313) with variations of feed at cutting speed of 20 mm/min.

To analyze the influence of PVD Ti$_{(1-x)}$Al$_x$N coated tools on the heat generation in turning Inconel 718, the special parameters of adiabatic shear fracture in the cutting zone were calculated with the measured cutting forces, according to Equations (4)–(8). The special parameters of adiabatic shear fracture in the cutting zone for PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool (KC5010), Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tool (KC5025) and uncoated tool (K313) tools are shown in Table 3. The confidence intervals of the obtained values were 95%. According to the variation of heat liberation intensity $q_{\text{shear}}$ of a moving shear plane heat source with feeds, the existence of PVD Ti$_{(1-x)}$Al$_x$N coating increased the heat generation in the cutting zone to dissipate more heat into the tool body. This was consistent with the phenomenon that the actual measured temperatures of PVD Ti$_{(1-x)}$Al$_x$N coated tool were higher than the uncoated tools. Compared with the PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tools, PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tools decreased the heat liberation intensity $q_{\text{shear}}$ of a moving shear plane heat source and decreased the heat dissipating into the tool under the same cutting parameters. This was consistent with the phenomenon of the actual measured temperatures of the PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool being lower than that of PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tools. The thermal conductivity of PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coating was higher than that of the PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coating [35]. PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tools generated more heat in the cutting zone and dissipated more heat from the tool body into the environment. Thus, the cutting temperatures of the PVD Ti$_{0.41}$Al$_{0.59}$N coated tool measured by the buried K type thermocouple were lower than that of the PVD Ti$_{0.55}$Al$_{0.45}$N coated tool.

As shown in Figure 12, the influences of PVD Ti$_{(1-x)}$Al$_x$N coatings on the maximum temperature of the workpiece ($T_{\text{max-workpiece}}$), the maximum temperature of the tool ($T_{\text{max-tool}}$) and the maximum temperature of the tool substrate ($T_{\text{max-substrate}}$) in the finite element simulation models were plotted with variations of feed at a cutting speed of 20 m/min. Compared with the uncoated tool, the existence of PVD Ti$_{(1-x)}$Al$_x$N coatings increased the maximum temperature of the workpiece and increased
the maximum temperature of the tool. This phenomenon was different from the former research results of Grezsik et al. [36]. Grezsik et al. [36] found that coated tools can decrease the cutting temperature during cutting 45 steel with calculation and simulation methods. Compared with 45 steel, Inconel 718 was used as the hard-to-machine material for its low thermal conductivity and the difficult deformation characteristics. The deformation of Inconel 718 can generate massive heat in the cutting zone. The generated heat cannot be quickly dissipated by chips like the 45 steels. The generated heat in the cutting zone accumulated to increase the maximum temperature of the workpiece in machining Inconel 718 by PVD Ti\(_{(1-x)}\)Al\(_x\)N coated tools.

Table 3. The special parameters of adiabatic shear fracture in the cutting zone for Ti\(_{0.41}\)Al\(_{0.59}\)N coated tool (KC5010), Ti\(_{0.45}\)Al\(_{0.55}\)N coated tool (KC5025) and uncoated tool (K313) tools with variations of feed at a cutting speed of 20 m/min [32].

| Type       | f (mm/rev) | \(\phi\) (°) | L (mm)  | B    | \(q_{\text{shear}}\) (J/(mm\(^2\)·s)) |
|------------|------------|---------------|---------|------|--------------------------------------|
| KC5010     | 0.025      | 28.11 ± 0.22  | 0.0843 ± 0.0105 | 1.129 | 67.2738 ± 0.0351                    |
|            | 0.050      | 31.04 ± 0.15  | 0.1522 ± 0.0078 | 0.873 | 63.3973 ± 0.0530                    |
|            | 0.075      | 32.23 ± 0.11  | 0.2203 ± 0.0156 | 0.751 | 50.6745 ± 0.0236                    |
| KC5025     | 0.025      | 26.21 ± 0.19  | 0.0838 ± 0.0245 | 1.129 | 70.0889 ± 0.2371                    |
|            | 0.050      | 29.13 ± 0.15  | 0.1538 ± 0.0218 | 0.873 | 65.4005 ± 0.1052                    |
|            | 0.075      | 30.52 ± 0.24  | 0.1891 ± 0.0027 | 0.751 | 54.8375 ± 0.0032                    |
| K313       | 0.025      | 27.84 ± 0.11  | 0.0831 ± 0.0178 | 1.129 | 66.6349 ± 0.0261                    |
|            | 0.050      | 29.53 ± 0.21  | 0.1564 ± 0.0205 | 0.873 | 63.4259 ± 0.0331                    |
|            | 0.075      | 29.21 ± 0.15  | 0.2143 ± 0.0137 | 0.751 | 60.5648 ± 0.0082                    |

Figure 12. Comparisons of the maximum temperature of the workpiece (\(T_{\text{max-workpiece}}\)), the maximum temperature of the tool (\(T_{\text{max-tool}}\)) and the maximum temperature of the tool substrate (\(T_{\text{max-substrate}}\)) in the finite element simulation models with variations of feed at a cutting speed of 20 m/min for Ti\(_{0.41}\)Al\(_{0.59}\)N coated tool (KC5010), Ti\(_{0.45}\)Al\(_{0.55}\)N coated tool (KC5025) and uncoated tool (K313).

Compared with the uncoated tools, the existence of PVD Ti\(_{(1-x)}\)Al\(_x\)N coating also increased the maximum temperature of the coated tool. Compared with the uncoated tools, PVD Ti\(_{(1-x)}\)Al\(_x\)N coated tools decreased the maximum temperature of the tool substrate to reduce the thermal stresses within the tools. This was due to the thermal barrier effects of PVD Ti\(_{(1-x)}\)Al\(_x\)N coating [23]. Compared with PVD Ti\(_{0.55}\)Al\(_{0.45}\)N coated tools, PVD Ti\(_{0.41}\)Al\(_{0.59}\)N coated tools decreased the maximum temperature of the workpiece, the maximum temperature of the tool, and the maximum temperature of the tool substrate to reduce the heat generated in the cutting zone. The heat dissipated from the cutting zone into the
tool body also decreased in turning Inconel 718 by the PVD Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coated tool. The decreased maximum temperature of tool substrate for machining Inconel 718 by the PVD Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coated tool also decreased the generated thermal stresses in the tools under the same cutting parameters.

5.4. Influences of PVD Ti_{1-x}Al_{x}N Coating on the Surface Topographies of the Tool Rake Faces

The surface topographies of the tool rake face for the Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coated tool (KC5010), Ti_{0.45}Al_{0.55}N coated tool (KC5025) and uncoated tool (K313) were characterized with a laser confocal microscope of the type VK-H1XMC. Surface topographies of the tool rake faces for Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coated tool (KC5010), Ti_{0.45}Al_{0.55}N coated tool (KC5025) and uncoated tool (K313) with variations of feed at a cutting speed of 20 m/min were obtained as shown in Figure 13a–i. It was seen that the shiny components were the Inconel 718 workpiece material adhered on the tool rake face.

The adhesion of workpiece material on the tool rake face increased with the increase of feed. The existence of PVD Ti_{(1-x)}Al_{x}N coating can increase the wear resistance of tools. The wear of uncoated tools (K313) were evident at the feed 0.075 mm/rev and a cutting speed of 20 m/min. The wear of uncoated tools increased the cutting forces and increased the heat liberation intensity \(q_{\text{shear}}\) of a moving shear plane heat source. As shown in Table 2, the heat liberation intensity \(q_{\text{shear}}\) of a moving shear plane heat source of an uncoated tool was higher than that of the coated tools at a feed 0.075 mm/rev and cutting speed 20 m/min. As shown in Figure 11, the tangential forces and radial forces of uncoated tool (K313) increased severely from the feed 0.05 mm/rev to 0.075 mm/rev compared with that of the coated tools. But the cutting temperature of the uncoated tool (K313) did not increase severely from the feed 0.05 mm/rev to 0.075 mm/rev compared with the coated tools in the simulation models. This phenomenon can be explained by that the critical wear of the uncoated tools (K313) at feed 0.075 mm/rev was not considered in the finite element simulation. The results were consistent with the research of Devillez et al. [37].

Figure 13. Surface topographies of the tool rake faces for PVD Ti_{0.41}Al_{0.59}N coated tool (KC5010), Ti_{0.45}Al_{0.55}N coated tool (KC5025) and uncoated tool (K313) with variations of feed at a cutting speed of 20 m/min. (a) KC5010, \(f = 0.025\) mm/rev, (b) KC5010, \(f = 0.05\) mm/rev, (c) KC5010, \(f = 0.075\) mm/rev, (d) KC5025, \(f = 0.025\) mm/rev, (e) KC5025, \(f = 0.05\) mm/rev, (f) KC5025, \(f = 0.075\) mm/rev, (g) K313, \(f = 0.025\) mm/rev, (h) K313, \(f = 0.05\) mm/rev, (i) K313, \(f = 0.075\) mm/rev.

6. Conclusions

The influences of PVD Ti_{(1-x)}Al_{x}N coated tools on the cutting temperature in turning Inconel 718 were analyzed with mathematical analysis model and a finite element simulation model. The results were verified with an orthogonal cutting experiment. The cutting forces and the heat generation in the cutting zone were obtained to analyze the influences of PVD Ti_{0.55}Al_{0.45}N coating...
and PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N coating on the cutting temperature. The main conclusions can be drawn as follows:

1. The grain preferred orientations (111) and (200) of PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N coating were more evident compared with that of PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.55}Al\textsubscript{0.45}N coating. The epitaxy growth of TiAlN crystals did not exist in PVD Ti\textsubscript{1−x}Al\textsubscript{x}N coated cemented carbide tool for low deposition temperature. PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N coating had better crystallinity than PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.55}Al\textsubscript{0.45}N coating.

2. The pinning effect of coating increased with the increase of Al concentration, which can help to decrease the friction coefficient between cutting tool and Inconel 718 materials. Compared with PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.55}Al\textsubscript{0.45}N coated tools, PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N coated tools increased the coating hardness, critical loads and thermal conductivity.

3. Compared with PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.55}Al\textsubscript{0.45}N coated tools, PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N coated tools increased the maximum temperature of the workpiece and the maximum temperature of the coated tool compared with the uncoated tool. PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N coated tools decreased the heat generation and the temperature of the tool body to reduce the thermal stresses generated in the tools.

4. In this experiment, the PVD Ti\textsubscript{0.41}Al\textsubscript{0.59}N and Ti\textsubscript{0.55}Al\textsubscript{0.45}N coated tools used can improve the wear resistance of tools.
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**Nomenclature**

- **B** Fraction of the shear plane heat conducted into the workpiece material
- **q_{shear}** Heat liberation intensity of a moving shear plane heat source, J/(mm\textsuperscript{2}·s)
- **α** Thermal diffusivity, m\textsuperscript{2}/s
- **x, y, z** Spatial coordinate axis, mm
- **X,Y,Z** Spatial coordinate axis of machine tool, mm
- **l_i** Location of the differential small segment of the shear band heat source
- **d_{li}** Relative to the upper end of it and along its width, mm
- **t** Time, s
- **V** Cutting speed, m/min
- **f** Feed, mm/rev
- **a_p** Depth of cut, mm
- **t_c** undeformed chip thickness, mm
- **w** Width of shear plane heat source, mm
- **L** Length of shear plane heat source, mm
- **F_t** Radial force or feed force, N
- **F_c** Tangential force, N
- **K_0** Modified Bessel function of second kind of order zero
- **T** Temperature, ºC
- **T_{max-workpiece}** Maximum temperature of workpiece during cutting process, ºC
- **u** Integral variable of shear band length
- **T_{primary}** Temperature generated by the primary shear heat source, ºC
- **T_{imaginary}** Temperature generated by the imaginary shear heat source, ºC
- **T_{max-tool}** Maximum temperature of tool during cutting process, ºC
- **T_{max-substrate}** Maximum temperature of substrate during cutting process, ºC
Greek symbols

$\varphi$  
Shear angle, $^\circ$

$\gamma_0$  
Rake angle, $^\circ$

$\lambda$  
Thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)

$\rho$  
Density, kg/m$^3$

$\omega$  
Function of the time variable $t$

$\beta$  
Relief angle, $^\circ$
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