A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720.

ABUNDANCES OF DEUTERIUM, NITROGEN, AND OXYGEN IN THE LOCAL INTERSTELLAR MEDIUM: OVERVIEW OF FIRST RESULTS FROM THE FUSE MISSION

H. W. MOOS, 1 K. R. SEMBACH, 1, 2 A. VIDAL-MADIAR, 3 D. G. YORK, 4 S. D. FRIEDMAN, 1 G. HÉBRARD, 3 J. W. KRUK, 1 N. LEHNER, 1 M. LEMOINE, 3 G. SONNEBORN, 5 B. E. WOOD, 6 T. B. AKE, 1, 7 M. ANDRÉ, 1, 3 W. P. BLAIR, 1 P. CHESTER, 1, 8 C. GRY, 9, 10 A. K. DUREE, 11 R. FERLET, 3 P. D. FELDMAN, 1 J. C. GREEN, 12 J. C. HOWK, 1 J. B. HUTCHINGS, 13 E. B. JENKINS, 14 J. L. LINKS, 6 E. M. MURPHY, 15 W. R. OEGERLE, 3 C. OLIVEIRA, 1 K. ROTH, 16 D. J. SAHNOW, 17 B. D. SAVAGE, 17 J. M. SHULL, 6, 12 T. M. TRIPP, 14 E. J. WEILER, 18 B. Y. WELSH, 19 E. WILKINSON, 12 and B. E. WOODGATE 5

Received 2001 May 31; accepted 2001 November 26

ABSTRACT

Observations obtained with the Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE) have been used to determine the column densities of D i, N i, and O i along seven sight lines that probe the local interstellar medium (LISM) at distances from 37 to 179 pc. Five of the sight lines are within the Local Bubble, and two penetrate the surrounding H i wall. Reliable values of N(H i) were determined for five of the sight lines from Hubble Space Telescope (HST) data, International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) data, and published Extreme Ultraviolet Explorer (EUVE) measurements. The weighted mean of D i/H i for these five sight lines is (1.52 ± 0.08) × 10⁻⁵ (1 σ uncertainty in the mean). It is likely that the D i/H i ratio in the Local Bubble has a single value. The D i/O i ratio for the five sight lines within the Local Bubble is (3.76 ± 0.20) × 10⁻². It is likely that O i column densities can serve as a proxy for H i in the Local Bubble. The weighted mean for O i/H i for the seven FUSE sight lines is (3.03 ± 0.21) × 10⁻⁴, comparable to the weighted mean (3.43 ± 0.15) × 10⁻⁴ reported for 13 sight lines probing larger distances and higher column densities. The FUSE weighted mean of N i/H i for five sight lines is half that reported by Meyer and colleagues for seven sight lines with larger distances and higher column densities. This result combined with the variability of O i/N i (six sight lines) indicates that at the low column densities found in the LISM, nitrogen ionization balance is important. Thus, unlike O i, N i cannot be used as a proxy for H i or as a metallicity indicator in the LISM.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The measurement of the ratio of deuterium to hydrogen is of particular importance because it provides a constraint on the primordial ratio of D/H, an indicator of the cosmic baryon density (Schramm & Turner 1998; Burles 2000). For three QSO sight lines through the intergalactic medium (IGM) O’Meara et al. (2001) report an average of D i/H i = 3.0 ± 0.4 × 10⁻⁵ and Ωbh² = 0.0205 ± 0.0018. (All uncertainties in this paper are 1 σ unless otherwise noted.) Recent cosmic microwave background measurements by the BOOMERANG experiment (Netterfield et al. 2002; de Bernardis et al. 2002) and the DASI experiment (Halverson et al. 2002; Pryke et al. 2002) have been used to determine the baryon physical density Ωbh² = 0.022 ± 0.004 (H₀ = 100 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹). Stompor et al. (2001) report a slightly higher value for the MAXIMA-1 experiment, Ωbh² ≳ 0.033 ± 0.013 (2 σ), but state that the data are consistent with the lower value. It is reassuring that two very different experimental approaches, the determination of D i/H i in the IGM and measurements of the cosmic background radiation, give such similar answers.

However, uncertainty remains because the IGM results show a larger dispersion than would be expected on the basis of the estimated experimental errors (see O’Meara et al. 2001; Pettini & Bowen 2001). The causes of this large dispersion are uncertain but may include unknown systematic errors and true cosmic scatter. A recent measurement of D i/H i in an IGM absorber along the sight line toward QSO HS 0105+1619 (O’Meara et al. 2001) samples gas with a size less than 1 kpc and density ~0.01 cm⁻³, conditions
not too different from those in gas in the halo of the Milky Way. The density is only an order of magnitude below that of the Local Interstellar Cloud (LIC). Even with large differences in physical conditions and the amount of stellar processing of the gas, it is reasonable to expect that transport and chemical mixing processes affecting gas in the Milky Way also operate to some (unknown) extent in IGM clouds. Thus, an important first step in understanding the $D / H$ ratio and its constancy are modified by galactic chemical determinations in the IGM is to determine how the $D / H$ ratio is distributed in the Galactic disk and halo.

The Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE) mission provides a unique capability for measuring the abundance of $D / H$ in the interstellar medium (ISM). The isotope shift of the $D / H$ Lyman series transitions with respect to those of $H / H$ is $-82$ km s$^{-1}$. FUSE detects light in the wavelength region $905–1187$ Å with a spectral resolution $\sim 15$ km s$^{-1}$ (FWHM), permitting access to all of the strong $H / H$ and $D / H$ Lyman series transitions except for Ly$\alpha$ (Moos et al. 2000; Sahnow et al. 2000a, 2000b). Except along very low column density sight lines, $D / H$ Ly$\alpha$ is blended with the strong radiation damping wings of $H / H$ Ly$\alpha$. Thus, observations of the higher Lyman transitions with weaker absorption strengths are necessary to measure $N(D / H)$ along most ISM sight lines. The far-UV wavelength region contains multiple transitions of $D / H$ with a range of absorption strengths. Measurements of several different transitions can often be used together to obtain an accurate deuterium column density along a line of sight. The use of several lines reduces the effects of instrumental uncertainties, the effects of blends with other ISM lines, and the confusion caused by weak stellar features that may overlap particular $D / H$ transitions.

In this paper we report the first $D / H$ results from the FUSE mission. The extensive analyses of the seven local ISM (LISM) sight lines studied to date can be found in the companion papers (Friedman et al. 2002; Hébrard et al. 2002; Kruk et al. 2002; Lehner et al. 2002; Sonneborn et al. 2002; Lemoine et al. 2002; Wood et al. 2002). Following an intensive program to focus and characterize the FUSE spectrographs, observations to measure $D / H$, $O / H$, $N / H$, and $H_2$ column densities began in early 2000. For $H / H$, we have relied on measurements by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE), and Extreme Ultraviolet Explorer (EUVE) for five sight lines; for WD 2211–495 and WD 0621–376 only EUVE measurements without published uncertainties were available. Because the seven sight lines described in the accompanying papers (see Table 1) probe the LISM, the structure of the absorbing gas is relatively simple. Additional studies of other LISM sight lines are underway, and collectively these studies will serve as a foundation for FUSE studies of $D / H$ in the Galactic disk and halo.

Measurements of the $D / H$ ratio have been reviewed by several authors (e.g., Schramm & Turner 1998; Lemoine et al. 1999; Tytler et al. 2000). We note here that the diffuse ISM is the simplest environment for studying the $D / H$ ratio in the Milky Way and the most appropriate for comparison with values of $D / H$ measured in high-redshift absorption-line systems. The Copernicus satellite provided the first measurements of the $D / H$ ratio in the diffuse ISM (Rogerson & York 1973; York & Rogerson 1976; for a survey of Copernicus results see Vidal-Madjar 1991). Later satellite observatories could access only the strong Ly$\alpha$ transition, and measurements were restricted to the very local ISM (see Linsky 1998; Lemoine et al. 1999).

The FUSE mission makes it possible to use the Milky Way as a laboratory to understand how the measured deuterium abundances are affected by a wide variety of physical processes. An important step in understanding the nature of such processes is determining whether there are significant variations in the $D / H$ (or $D / O$) ratios on scales of less than $\sim 100$ pc, the approximate dimension of the Local Bubble. The Copernicus $D / H$ measurements hinted at variations at larger distances (see, e.g., Timmes et al. 1997). Moreover, recent results from the interstellar medium absorption profile spectrograph (IMAPS) provide compelling evidence for variability on scales of a few hundred parsecs (Jenkins et al. 1999; Sonneborn et al. 2000). These results along with others are presented in § 5 and discussed in § 6.

In order to examine the possibility of variability in the LISM, Linsky (1998) reviewed the measurements made with GHRS on HST for 12 stars with ISM features at velocities consistent with the LIC. He reported that for the LIC, $D / H = (1.50 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}$. The data for clouds other than the LIC were more scattered. He concluded that the large uncertainties for many of the measurements precluded a definitive statement about the variation in $D / H$ for more distant sight lines and that higher quality measurements

| Object          | Spectral Type | $l$ (deg) | $b$ (deg) | $d$ (pc) | Reference |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| HZ 43A.......... | DA            | 54.11     | 84.16     | 68 ± 13a | 1         |
| Feige 110........ | sdOB          | 74.09     | -59.07    | 179$^{+259}_{-37}$ | 2         |
| BD +28°4211..... | sdO           | 81.87     | -19.29    | 104 ± 18b | 3         |
| G191-B2B........ | DA1           | 155.95    | 7.10      | 69$^{+196}_{-12}$ | 4         |
| WD 0621–376.... | DA            | 245.41    | -21.43    | 78 ± 23c | 5         |
| WD 1634–573.... | DO            | 329.88    | -7.06     | 37 ± 3b  | 6         |
| WD 2211–495.... | DA            | 345.79    | -52.62    | 53 ± 16c | 7         |

* Spectroscopic + parallax distance.
* Hipparcos parallax distance.
* Estimated, 30% error adopted.

References. —(1) Kruk et al. 2002. (2) Friedman et al. 2002. (3) Sonneborn et al. 2002. (4) Lemoine et al. 2002. (5) Lehner et al. 2002. (6) Wood et al. 2002. (7) Hébrard et al. 2002.
were necessary to address the issue. Because most of the \textit{HST} determinations of D/H relied upon absorption profiles observed against cool star emission lines, there has been a concern that there would be systematic differences between values obtained in this manner versus values obtained using hot star continua. In this paper we will show that the \textit{FUSE} D/H and D/O measurements suggest that the ratios are quite constant out to \(~100\) pc, the approximate dimension of the Local Bubble, whereas a comparison with \textit{Copernicus} and IMAPS data shows an increase in the dispersion of the measurements at longer distances. In addition, the \textit{FUSE} values obtained using hot stars as background sources are comparable to the \textit{HST} cool star values.

In this paper we consider sight-line-averaged valued of D/H obtained with \textit{FUSE} using hot star continua. We note that there have been detailed \textit{HST} investigations of D/H and the presence or absence of variations among the interstellar clouds along individual sight lines to several white dwarfs. These studies, some of which involve the same sight lines as those considered here, are worthy of further attention by the reader (Landsman et al. 1996; Lemoine et al. 1996; Vidal-Madjar et al. 1998; Sahu et al. 1999; Hébrard et al. 1999; Vennes et al. 2000). Rather than review the details of these works here, we refer the reader to the referenced papers, to the aforementioned review articles, and to the accompanying sight-line papers for further information.

The next section summarizes the properties of the seven \textit{FUSE} sight lines and compares the properties of the LISM for the various directions. Section 3 contains a discussion of the relevant instrumental properties and the data analysis procedures. Both random and systematic uncertainties have been a major concern of the \textit{FUSE} analyses and are discussed in § 4. Section 5 summarizes the results from the seven accompanying papers. A comparison of the results with other measurements and a discussion of the implications are presented in § 6.

\section{2. SUMMARY OF \textit{FUSE} TARGETS}

Table 1 lists the seven sight lines observed by \textit{FUSE}. The spectral types range from DA white dwarfs with almost featureless stellar continua (e.g., HZ 43A) to subdwarf O stars with a large number of photospheric spectral features (e.g., Feige 110, BD +28\,4211). White dwarfs generally have low values of projected rotational velocity \((v \sin i < 15 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{)}; Koester et al. 1998). The sight lines cover a large range in both Galactic longitude \((54^\circ -340^\circ)\) and Galactic latitude \((-59^\circ \text{ to } 84^\circ)\).

The ISM in the vicinity of the Sun has been studied extensively, although it is fair to say that our understanding of its content and structure is still incomplete (for reviews see Frisch 1995; Ferlet 1999). The Sun is immersed in the LIC, which has a temperature \(~7000\) K and density \(n(H) \sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}\). This cloud is the primary contributor to the absorption along the sight line to Capella \((l = 162^\circ 6, b = 47^\circ 6, d = 12.5 \text{ pc}; \text{Linsky et al. 1995})\), which is often used as a benchmark of D/H in the LISM. Figure 1 is a schematic representation of the local structures in the Milky Way plane near the Sun adapted from Cha et al. (2000). Approximate locations, sizes, and angles subtended by these structures are given by Linsky et al. (2000) and Lallement (1998). The seven \textit{FUSE} sight lines projected onto the Galactic plane are also shown. It is tempting to think of ISM clouds as simple homogeneous structures, but they clearly contain small-scale structure as demonstrated by Na I absorption line studies (Meyer & Blades 1996; Watson & Meyer 1996). Even in the limited region of space shown in Figure 1, there are additional clouds and gas structures (see Ferlet 1999 and references therein). Redfield & Linsky (2000) examined this issue for the LIC and concluded that the LIC appears to be inhomogeneous, but not by a large factor. The G191-B2B sight line is near the Capella sight line and also samples the LIC. The model presented by Redfield & Linsky (2000) predicts that, for the sight lines to HZ 43A, WD 1634–573, and WD 2211–495, the LIC contributes less than \(5 \times 10^{16} \text{ atoms cm}^{-2}\) to the total H I column density. For Feige 110 and BD +28\,4211, the contributions are higher but are still expected to be less than \(1 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). The contributions of the G cloud to the column densities of WD 1634–573 \((b = -7^\circ)\) and WD 2211–495 \((b = -53^\circ)\) sight lines are uncertain. High spectral resolution measurements by \textit{HST} are necessary to determine if there is evidence for a significant amount of material at the velocity of the G cloud.

Surrounding the Sun and outside the LIC and other nearby clouds is a cavity filled with hot low-density gas referred to as the Local Bubble (Breitschwerdt 1998; Snowden et al. 1998 and references therein). Denser gas having a lower temperature is also present within the cavity. A high spectral resolution Ca II \(\lambda 3933.663\) study by Crawford, Craig, & Welsh (1997) shows velocity structures in the Local Bubble with temperatures and (by implication) densities comparable to those in the LIC. The existence of nearby Na I structures (Hobbs 1978; Sfeir et al. 1999; Cha et al. 2000) suggests that the neutral gas has \(T \sim 100 \text{ K} \text{ and } n \sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) or larger, but the low values of Na I/Ca II (Welsh et al. 1991; Bertin et al. 1993) indicate that the temperatures and densities are likely to be comparable to the LIC for many of the clouds.
Na i absorption line studies by Sfeir et al. (1999) show that the boundary of the Local Bubble is delineated by a sharp gradient in the neutral gas column density with increasing radius. The cavity has a radius between 65 and 250 pc depending on direction and is surrounded by a dense neutral gas "wall." The distance of this neutral gas deduced from X-ray data is generally ~30% smaller (see Snowden et al. 1998). Sfeir et al. (1999) suggest that this discrepancy can be removed by a proportional adjustment in the X-ray distance scale with concurrent changes in the plasma parameters of the X-ray emission model. The wall is quite asymmetric so that sight lines in the first Galactic quadrant \((0^\circ \leq l \leq 90^\circ)\) traverse significant amounts of gas at smaller distances than sight lines in the other quadrants (especially the third).

Figure 2 shows the projections of the seven FUSE sight lines onto the Galactic plane and two other perpendicular planes compared to the 5 and 20 mA Na i \(\lambda\)5891.59 equivalent width contours derived by Sfeir et al. (1999). Discussing the FUSE sight lines in the context of these projections requires several notes of caution. The Na i results are preliminary, and improvements can be expected for more detailed studies with higher densities of stars per unit solid angle. The uncertainties in the distances to some of the FUSE targets can be significant (see Table 1). Furthermore, the boundaries of the cavity are complex. Sfeir et al. (1999) report only three planar cuts through the Galactic plane and two orthogonal meridian planes perpendicular to the plane. Bulges, extensions, and other small-scale structures could affect intermediate cuts. Still, it is possible to make definitive statements about most of the sight lines.

WD 1634−573 \((b = −7^\circ)\) and WD 0621−376 \((b = −21^\circ)\) are well inside the wall of the Local Bubble. G191-B2B \((b = 7^\circ)\) lies near the 5 mA Na i contour; the low value of the H i column density (see § 5) implies little or no penetration into the wall, although part of the measured column could come from the region near the wall. The sight line to BD +28°4211 \((b = −19^\circ)\) penetrates the wall. This is confirmed by examining the plot for the meridian plane containing the \(l = 90^\circ−270^\circ\) axis and the Galactic poles (Fig. 2, bottom panel). The same plot shows that Feige 110 \((b = −59^\circ)\) also lies beyond the wall. The large H i column densities observed toward these objects confirm this.

WD 2211−495 \((b = −53^\circ)\) is located at a distance of 53 pc, approximately 14° from the meridian plane containing the \(l = 0^\circ−180^\circ\) axis and the Galactic poles (Fig. 2, middle panel). Examining this plot shows that the sight line extends past the 5 mA Na i contour but falls short of the 20 mA contour by a factor of 2 in distance; hence, a moderate H i column density is expected. HZ 43A \((b = 84^\circ)\) is close to the north Galactic pole. The low H i column density measured argues that the star does not lie beyond the wall.

3. INSTRUMENTAL PROPERTIES

In this section we discuss the properties of the FUSE data and some of the instrumental considerations required to correctly interpret the observed absorption-line profiles. The design of the FUSE instrument provides multichannel wavelength coverage over the 912–1187 Å bandpass. A description of the FUSE mission and its performance as of early 2000 is given by Moos et al. (2000) and Sahnow et al. (2000a, 2000b). This section presents additional material that was not covered in those papers.
3.1. Wavelength Solution

The relative wavelength accuracy of FUSE data in the most recent version of the calibration pipeline used in this work (version 1.8.7) is approximately ±6 km s$^{-1}$ (1 σ) over most of the spectrum, with only a few spectral regions having greater uncertainties. The uncertainty in the absolute wavelength scale is larger, particularly for data obtained through the large (LWRS) spectrograph apertures. Pointing uncertainties and optical misalignments can produce zero-point shifts up to approximately ±100 km s$^{-1}$, although approximately ±30 km s$^{-1}$ is more typical. Thus, the FUSE absolute wavelength scale was determined independently by comparison of FUSE spectral features with related features measured by other instruments (e.g., HST, IUE, or ground-based telescopes). The zero-point uncertainties in the wavelength calibration for apertures other than the LWRS have been significantly improved in version 2.0 of the calibration pipeline released in the fall of 2001, but this was not available at the time of the work reported here.

3.2. Detectors

The FUSE delay line microchannel plate detectors are photon-counting devices. They have low readout noise, permitting long integrations and very good linearity over the dynamic range of the detectors. Data are recorded either as spectral images aboard the satellite for count rates above ∼2500 s$^{-1}$, or for lower count rates as photon address lists that are time stamped. The latter mode permits a higher level of filtering for spurious noise during processing on the ground and is used whenever onboard memory management considerations allow. At very high count rates, the detector response becomes nonlinear. Thus, at the present time, it is not possible to study the absorption toward any of the very bright stars studied with Copernicus. The FUSE Operations Team is exploring new techniques for increasing the dynamic range of the satellite, but these were not available at the time of this work.

3.3. Photometric Calibration and Noise Sources

The current relative photometric calibration of FUSE data is accurate to about 10%. Over the several angstrom intervals used to analyze individual ISM absorption features, the relative flux calibration is much better, with several caveats. First, detector artifacts and scattered light may affect the local flux estimates in the data (see below). Second, accurate flux calibration in the vicinity of the Lyman series H i lines is inherently difficult because of H i absorption in both the ISM and stellar atmospheres. Third, emissions from the upper atmosphere of the Earth can coincide with the absorptions by interstellar H i, O i, and N i. The effects of terrestrial airflow on the ISM profiles can be evaluated by comparing data obtained during orbital night with data obtained during both day and night. Most N i and O i emissions are negligible in the night-only data for these studies. However, H i airglow (particularly H i Ly/β) is always present.

Scattered light and other spurious signals are potential sources of nonrandom noise in the FUSE data. Broadband signals can usually be removed by a simple subtraction. (The effects of the line-spread function [LSF] are discussed below in § 3.4.) Although wavelength-dependent corrections are necessary for very deep exposures (e.g., Kriss et al. 2001), this was not necessary for the relatively bright targets discussed in the papers summarized here. In some cases, wavelength-dependent count bursts appear for brief, unpredictable times; the data sets have been carefully screened to remove this possible contamination whenever possible.

Airglow also can produce long-term changes in detector response, including geometric distortion, at the emission wavelengths. These effects depend on the line intensity, the size of the aperture, the proximity of the line to the end of the microchannel plate, and the exposure history of the plate. Such effects have been noted primarily for LWRS spectra taken in the second half of 2000. The effect was reduced by raising the voltage for both detectors on 2001 January 24 and again on 2001 July 31. Much of the data reported here were obtained before this date. In practice, such effects were noted and treated in a manner similar to fixed pattern noise artifacts as discussed in the next paragraph.

Fixed pattern noise associated with the detectors has the potential to distort profile shapes and the measured equivalent widths of spectral features. On occasion, the fixed pattern noise may result in small wavelength shifts as well as affect the observed flux. There are several ways to determine if such problems exist and to correct for them. The four-channel design of FUSE gives simultaneous but independent spectra at almost all wavelengths. Typically there are four independent spectra over the central third of the bandpass (~990–1080 Å) and two at other wavelengths. In addition, for each spectrograph, there are three entrance apertures that are physically separated in the y-direction (i.e., perpendicular to the dispersion). These are the large (30" × 30"), LWRS, medium (4" × 20"), MDRS, and small (1725 × 20", HIRS) apertures. Thus, it is possible to observe an object at different y-locations on the detector during different observations. In the D i/H i analyses discussed here, the spectra from different channels and through different slits were treated independently rather than simply co-added, allowing detector artifacts or other instrumental signatures to be identified.

Fixed pattern noise in FUSE data can be averaged out by motions of the spectrum in the dispersion direction during the course of an observation. Sometimes this is achieved solely by thermally induced motions of the instrument structure as the satellite moves about its orbit. In addition, programmed motions of the Focal Plane Assemblies that hold the entrance apertures can be used to guarantee that averaging will take place in the final co-added data sets. These motions average out most of the small-scale (6–10 pixel) detector artifacts when the individual exposures are aligned in wavelength and averaged. In such cases, signal-to-noise ratio levels are close to those expected from photon statistics, and values ~100 per resolution element have been achieved on the brightest sources (e.g., Sonneborn et al. 2002).

3.4. Backgrounds and Line-Spread Function

Moos et al. (2000) showed that the signal at the center of a broad, strongly saturated C ii absorption feature seen in the spectrum of HD 93129A is small, and hence the broadband scattered light is very low. However, the data reported in the accompanying D i/H i papers show signals at a few percent of the continuum at the centers of many of the Lyman series absorption features. Although they have high optical depths, the H i lines are much narrower than the
≥1 Å width of the C II line used to set a limit on the broadband scattered light. Preliminary modeling of this residual light in the cores of the lines indicates that it can be described by an LSF with two Gaussian components. One component represents the core of the line and has a width comparable to the instrumental resolution of ≈17 km s⁻¹. The other is about twice as broad as the core and is responsible for redistributing light into the center of optically thick lines if they are narrow enough. A comprehensive study of the FUSE LSF is not available at this time. However, tests indicate that the effects of the broad component of the LSF generally are small (it probably contains 20%–30% of the total LSF area) and a large part of the broad component is under the narrow component of the LSF. Additional information regarding the LSF is provided by Krüll et al. (2002). Both single-Gaussian LSFs and dual-component LSFs have been used in the analyses summarized here. See the individual papers for detailed descriptions of the adopted LSFs.

4. DATA REDUCTION AND POTENTIAL UNCERTAINTIES

Several techniques were used to determine the D i, N i, and O i column densities reported in this paper. These included profile fitting of the observed absorption-line profiles, single-component curves of growth fitted to the measured equivalent widths of the lines, and apparent optical depth analyses. These methods rely upon different sets of assumptions and provide complementary information about the strengths of the absorption lines, the presence of unresolved saturated structure, and the velocity structure of the lines. Descriptions of these methods and references to previous use and applicability can be found in the papers devoted to the analyses of the individual sight lines. Here we note that the various methods employed to analyze the interstellar lines for each sight line generally yield similar results. Furthermore, the data for each sight line were analyzed by at least two independent research teams. The results are an amalgamation of the individual analyses, and the errors adopted account for both the known systematic uncertainties in the analyses and the subjective differences between analyses.

Although all of the strong Lyman transitions except for Lyα fall in the FUSE wavelength region (905–1187 Å), only about 13 transitions down to Ly-14 at 915.82 Å are useful for making accurate absorption-line measurements of the D i column density. At shorter wavelengths, the overlap between adjacent H i Lyman lines makes the continuum placement highly uncertain. The flux calibration is also uncertain at these wavelengths for the same reason. The line strengths, $\lambda_\alpha$, for the D i transitions vary by a factor of 150 between Lyβ and Ly-14. Thus, there is access to optically thin transitions over a wide range of column densities. Typically, up to a half-dozen transitions may be useful for constraining the column density for a given sight line, although blends with other species, particularly H₂, often reduce that number.

A large effort went into determining the sources and magnitudes of the uncertainties in the FUSE column densities. The data reduction took place at six different laboratories with frequent reviews and comparisons of techniques and results. Usually, errors due to photon noise were not the dominant contribution. We refer the reader to the seven accompanying papers for comprehensive discussions of the sources of errors relevant for each particular sight line.

As a check on the validity of the error bars assumed in the individual sight-line studies, we constructed a simulated set of FUSE data for a mock sight-line investigation. This blind simulation was analyzed independently by six team members, and the results (and errors) were compared to each other and to the parameters of the simulation. The results of this simulation allowed us to assess the impact of known systematic errors on our quoted uncertainties; in some cases the error estimates were too small, in which case we expanded the allowance for the stated error estimates. Throughout this work we have adopted uncertainties that we believe are conservative and reliably account for known sources of systematic error.

4.1. Velocity Structure

For the profile fitting procedures used, it was necessary to treat partially saturated lines properly by employing an appropriate model for the velocity structure of the absorption. Partial knowledge of the velocity structure of the ISM was available from HST for some sight lines. Another approach was to rely only on unsaturated lines; see the discussion of WD 2211–495 (Hébrard et al. 2002). An associated issue is the effect of the uncertainties in the shape of the LSF (§ 3.4).

Very low column density H i absorption with a velocity of −82 km s⁻¹ relative to the primary H i absorption features may also be a source of potential confusion with the observed D i absorption. Such absorption would be undetectable in any of the metal lines (e.g., C i λ1036, O i λ1039) if the H i column density is low. Although this is a concern for extragalactic measurements, it is a much smaller concern for the LISM. Clouds with such high velocities are rare in the LISM. In addition, clouds with H i column densities $\sim 10^{15}$ cm⁻² are transparent to UV ionizing radiation, and thus they are expected to be highly ionized. If low column density clouds were prevalent in the LISM, they would be observed at all velocities, and a casual examination of HST, Copernicus, and FUSE spectra would commonly show interlopers at various relative velocities. There are rare exceptions (see, e.g., Gry & Jenkins 2001), but generally random H i interlopers are not a significant concern for the LISM studies discussed here. The only exception, discussed in § 4.4, is near the H i Lyα line where hot optically thin clouds displaced by modest velocities from the center of the line can have a disproportionate effect on the profile fit if the total H i column density is $\sim 10^{19}$ cm⁻² or less.

4.2. Continuum Placement

Properly estimating the continuum is a major consideration for accurate column density determinations, regardless of the analysis method used. Although the spectra of metal-poor white dwarfs are relatively simple, many white dwarf spectra contain photospheric lines. WD 0621–376 (Lehner et al. 2002) and WD 2211–495 (Hébrard et al. 2002) are extremely metal-rich DA white dwarfs that show many photospheric far-UV lines (Holberg, Barstow, & Sion 1998). BD +28°4211 is a subdwarf O star with a complex photospheric spectrum (Sonnenborn et al. 2002), and the intrinsic stellar spectrum of Feige 110 is also very complex (Friedman et al. 2002). Stellar models were used to guide the continuum placement. However, in the cases of BD +28°4211
and Feige 110, this was hindered by the complexity of the metal lines and the poorly known atomic data for some of the species arising in the photospheres of these stars.

4.3. Line Blends

A source of uncertainty in the D i line strengths is introduced by blending with other spectroscopic features. Interstellar H\(_2\) (e.g., Shull et al. 2000) is a common source of blends for several of the sight lines studied (see Sonneborn et al. 2002; Friedman et al. 2002). We made a careful examination of atomic and molecular ISM line lists (e.g., D. C. Morton 2002, in preparation; Abgrall, Roueff, & Drira 2000) for potential blends. There may also be blends with narrow stellar features that are not properly accounted for in the models. Fortunately, the availability of multiple D i transitions for determining the column densities significantly reduced the impact of this potential problem.

4.4. Determinations of N(H\(_i\))

Even though a large number of H\(_i\) transitions are contained in the FUSE bandpass, determinations of N(H\(_i\)) are often difficult. Most of the optimum sight lines for FUSE measurements of D i do not have accurate values of the H\(_i\) column available. For the H\(_i\) column densities reported here (\(\sim 10^{18}–10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\)), the higher order Lyman series lines are typically optically thick and hence lie on the flat part of the curve of growth. Accurate determinations of the H\(_i\) column density require measurements of the radiation damping wings of the Ly\(_\alpha\) profiles. We used data from GHRS and the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) on HST to estimate N(H\(_i\)) for BD +28\(^{\circ}\)4211, G191-B2B, and HZ 43A. In addition, HZ 43A is exceptional in that the H\(_i\) column density is low and the properties of the star permit accurate determinations from EUVE data. In this case, the GHRS and EUVE determinations were in good agreement and were combined. For the Feige 110 sight line, we used high-resolution IUE data. For three sight lines (WD 0621–376, WD 1634–573, and WD 2211–495), determinations of N(H\(_i\)) from the photoelectric continua (\(\lambda < 912 \text{ Å}\)) measured by EUVE were available. EUVE measurements of the H\(_i\) column density are sensitive to the stellar models, and only one of the three (WD 1634–573) has a published uncertainty (Napiwotzki et al. 1996; Jordan et al. 1997). The uncertainties for the two other sight lines are estimated to be \(\pm 40\%\) (Wolff et al. 1998; B. Wolff 2001, private communication). Understanding the average value of D/H and the magnitude of its variation in the LISM depends in large part on future HST measurements of the H\(_i\) Ly\(_\alpha\) profiles and, in some cases, better analysis of the EUVE measurements.

There are several subtle sources of uncertainty involved in measuring N(H\(_i\)) from the damping wings of the Ly\(_\alpha\) line. Uncertainties in the stellar Ly\(_\alpha\) profile can be important if either the column density is very low or the stellar parameters are uncertain. The presence of absorption from the "hydrogen wall" close to the solar heliosphere caused by H\(^+\)-H\(_0\) charge exchange with the ISM can have a noticeable effect on the weakest Ly\(_\alpha\) absorption profiles (Linsky & Wood 1996). Although the H\(_i\) column densities of this wall are low (\(\sim 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}\)), the velocity shifts and high effective temperatures of the material can affect the determination of the total H\(_i\) for some sight lines. Similar effects could also be caused by other optically thin high-temperature gas clouds present along the line of sight (see the discussion by Lemoine et al. 2002 for G191-B2B). Hydrogen walls have been noted for other late-type stars in addition to the Sun (Wood, Alexander, & Linsky 1996; Dring et al. 1997; Wood & Linsky 1998), but little is known about H\(_i\) in the vicinity of the white dwarf and subdwarf stars considered here. Finally, in the spectra of stars with many photospheric spectral features, the presence of undetected metal lines may lead to small increases in the value of the H\(_i\) column.

5. SUMMARY OF RESULTS FOR THE SEVEN SIGHT LINES

Table 2 summarizes the measured column densities for the seven sight lines listed in Table 1. Because we will compare ratios of column densities among different sight lines, 1 \(\sigma\) uncertainties (68\% probable) are given, while the papers referenced in Table 2 give 2 \(\sigma\) uncertainties (95\% probable). H\(_2\) column densities were measurable only for Feige 110 and BD +28\(^{\circ}\)4211. Molecular fractions are sufficiently small, \(f(H_2) \sim 10^{-4} \rightarrow 10^{-5}\), that they could be neglected in the subsequent discussions of the total hydrogen column densities in the neutral gas [(i.e., N(H\(_i\)) \(\approx\) N(H\(_i\)) + 2N(H\(_2\))].

Reliable H\(_i\) column density measurements are available for only five of the seven FUSE sight lines. This highlights the need for accurate measurements of H\(_i\) column densities by using observations outside the FUSE spectral range to obtain reliable values of D/H\(_i\). In some cases, it may be necessary to use a proxy for the average H\(_i\) column density (e.g., O\(_i\)) as discussed in § 6.

Table 3 lists ratios of D/H\(_i\), O\(_i\)/H\(_i\), D\(_i\)/O\(_i\), N\(_i\)/H\(_i\), D\(_i\)/N\(_i\), and O\(_i\)/N\(_i\). Also included is a measure of the aver-

---

**TABLE 2**

| Object       | log N(D\(_i\)) | log N(O\(_i\)) | log N(N\(_i\)) | log N(N\(_n\)) | log N(H\(_i\)) | H\(_i\) Data Source | References |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|
| HZ 43A....... | 13.15 \pm 0.02 | 14.49 \pm 0.04 | 13.51 \pm 0.03 | 13.6 \pm 0.1   | 17.93 \pm 0.03 | EUVE, GHRS          | 1          |
| Feige 110...... | 15.47 \pm 0.03 | 16.73 \pm 0.05 | ...            | ...            | 20.14 \pm 0.07 | IUE                 | 2          |
| BD +28\(^{\circ}\)4211.... | 14.99 \pm 0.03 | 16.22 \pm 0.05 | 15.55 \pm 0.06 | ...            | 19.85 \pm 0.02 | STIS                | 3          |
| G191-B2B..... | 13.40 \pm 0.04 | 14.86 \pm 0.04 | 13.87 \pm 0.04 | ...            | 18.18 \pm 0.09 | STIS, GHRS          | 4          |
| WD 0621–376... | 13.85 \pm 0.04 | 15.26 \pm 0.04 | 14.34 \pm 0.05 | ...            | 18.70          | EUVE                | 5          |
| WD 1634–573... | 14.05 \pm 0.03 | 15.51 \pm 0.03 | 14.62 \pm 0.04 | 14.9 \pm 0.2   | 18.85 \pm 0.06 | EUVE                | 6          |
| WD 2211–495... | 13.94 \pm 0.05 | 15.34 \pm 0.04 | 14.30 \pm 0.03 | 14.6 \pm 0.2   | 18.76          | EUVE                | 7          |

\(\sigma\) uncertainties (1\% probable).

References—(1) Kruk et al. 2002. (2) Friedman et al. 2002. (3) Sonneborn et al. 2002. (4) Lemoine et al. 2002. (5) Lehner et al. 2002. (6) Wood et al. 2002. (7) Hébrard et al. 2002.
6. DISCUSSION

In this section we discuss the mean column density ratios $D_{\text{H}}/H$, $O_{\text{H}}/H$, $N_{\text{H}}/H$, $D_{\text{O}}/O$, and $O_{\text{N}}/N$ for the seven sight lines observed with FUSE and compare these values with measurements for other sight lines observed previously. Finally, we discuss astrophysical processes that may affect the $D_{\text{H}}/H$ and $D_{\text{O}}/O$ ratios.

6.1. The $D_{\text{H}}/H$ Ratios

6.1.1. $D_{\text{H}}/H$ in and near the Local Bubble

For many years, there has been a controversy over the answer to the question, "does $D/H$ vary along different sight lines in the Galaxy?" (see York & Roigerson 1976; McCullough 1992; Linsky 1998). It is likely that the answer to this question is "yes." Recent IMAPS measurements show a factor of 3 difference between the sight lines to $\delta$ Ori A (Jenkins et al. 1999) and $\gamma^2$ Vel (Sonnenborn et al. 2000). (See also Fig. 3 and the discussion in the text presented later in this section.) These results provide strong evidence that the ratio does in fact vary. The issue now is not whether $D_{\text{H}}/H$ varies, but rather how the ratio varies, the

![Fig. 3.—$D_{\text{H}}/H$ vs. distance for the seven FUSE sight lines (squares) listed in Table 3. The two data points with $N(\text{H})$ determinations from EUVE with large (±40%) uncertainties have dashed error bars. Also shown are three high-confidence determinations of $D/H$ from IMAPS (circles), four determinations from HST (triangles), and three determinations from Copernicus (diamonds). Distances are from the Hipparcos catalog when available. All errors are 1σ estimates. See the text for references and details.](image-url)
| Parameters                      | Distance (pc) | \( \frac{D_{i}}{H_{i}} \) \( \times 10^{-5} \) | \( \frac{O_{i}}{H_{i}} \) \( \times 10^{-6} \) | \( \frac{D_{i}}{O_{i}} \) \( \times 10^{-2} \) | \( \frac{N_{i}}{H_{i}} \) \( \times 10^{-5} \) | \( \frac{D_{i}}{N_{i}} \) | \( \frac{O_{i}}{N_{i}} \) | Satellites | References          |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Survey of near ISM...............| ...           | 3.43 ± 0.15                   | ...                            | 7.5 ± 0.4                       | ...                            | 4.1 ± 0.3      | ...            | ...         | HST 1, 2          |
| Fractional standard deviation (%)| ...           | 11                            | ...                            | 12                             | ...                            | 12             | ...            | ...         | ...               |
| \( \epsilon \) Ind..................| 3.4           | 1.6 ± 0.2                     | ...                            | ...                            | 12                             | ...            | ...            | HST 3       |
| Capella                         | 12.5          | 1.60 ± 0.07                   | ...                            | ...                            | ...                            | ...            | ...            | HST 4       |
| HR 1099                         | 36            | 1.46 ± 0.05                   | ...                            | ...                            | ...                            | ...            | ...            | HST 5       |
| 31 Com                          | 80            | 1.5 ± 0.2                     | ...                            | ...                            | ...                            | ...            | ...            | HST 5       |
| \( \theta \) Car...................| 135           | 0.50 ± 0.16                   | ...                            | ...                            | ...                            | ...            | ...            | Copernicus 6 |
| \( \gamma \) Cas...............| 188           | 1.12 ± 0.25                   | 4.6 ± 1.0                      | 2.4 ± 0.3                      | ...                            | ...            | ...            | Copernicus 7 |
| \( \lambda \) Sco...............| 216           | 0.76 ± 0.25                   | ...                            | ...                            | ...                            | ...            | ...            | Copernicus 8 |
| \( \gamma \) Vel................| 258           | 2.18 ± 0.22                   | ...                            | ...                            | ...                            | ...            | ...            | IMAPS, IUE 9 |
| \( \zeta \) Pup................| 430           | 1.42 ± 0.13                   | ...                            | ...                            | ...                            | ...            | ...            | IMAPS, IUE 9 |
| \( \delta \) Ori A...............| 500           | 0.74 ± 0.05                   | 2.8 ± 0.4                      | 2.6 ± 0.5                      | 4.0 ± 0.3                      | 0.19 ± 0.03    | 7.1 ± 0.9      | IMAPS, IUE, HST 10 |

References.—(1) Meyer et al. 1997. (2) Meyer et al. 1998; Meyer 2001. (3) Wood et al. 1996. (4) Linsky et al. 1995. (5) Piskunov et al. 1997. (6) Allen et al. 1992. (7) \( H_{i} \) and \( D_{i} \) from Ferlet et al. 1980; \( O_{i} \) from Meyer et al. 1998; Meyer 2001. (8) York 1983. (9) Sonneborn et al. 2000. (10) Jenkins et al. 1999; \( O_{i} \) from Meyer et al. 1998.
magnitude of the variations, and the distance scales over which the variations occur.

The FUSE results show no evidence for significant variations of D I/H I from the mean value for the gas in the Local Bubble and its neutral wall. The five FUSE sight lines with reliable H I column densities in Table 2 span more than 2 orders of magnitude in H I column density. The two high column density sight lines (Feige 110 and BD +28°4211) almost certainly penetrate the wall of the Local Bubble. The weighted mean of D I/H I for the five FUSE sight lines with reliable H I column densities is (1.52 ± 0.08) × 10⁻⁵. The fractional standard deviation is 12%. The χ² value for the Local Bubble. The mean of the uncertainty (δ/C₁₄) is determined from EUVE data with a high uncertainty (≃40%) does not change these results appreciably. All of the results appear to be consistent with a single value for the Local Bubble. The mean of the FUSE values is consistent with the other determinations of D I/H I for the LIC and the Local Bubble. For the sight line to Capella (d = 12.5 pc), Linsky et al. (1995) determined D I/H I = (1.60 ± 0.07) × 10⁻⁵. As discussed in § 1, Linsky (1998) reported a mean value of D I/H I = (1.50 ± 0.10) × 10⁻⁵ for the LIC based on 12 sight lines observed with the GHRS. Thus, the FUSE studies support the idea that the D I/H I ratio is effectively constant out to a distance of ≃100 pc.

Clearly, small number statistics limit the strength of this conclusion. The number of FUSE sight lines with reliable H I column determinations is limited to five, three within the Local Bubble and two penetrating the wall. In addition, it is important to note that the FUSE data are not of sufficiently high spectral resolution to precisely assess possible variations in D I/H I between individual clouds along a sight line. The integrated values of D I/H I for each sight line are subject to a modest amount of averaging, since even very short lines of sight may have multiple components (see, e.g., Piskunov et al. 1997; Hebrard et al. 1999). However, the integrated values still provide important information about the global properties of the D I/H I ratio.

6.1.2. Comparison with Measurements at Larger Distances

In Figure 3 we plot the FUSE determinations of D I/H I as a function of distance along with the previously measured values of D I/H I from Copernicus, HST, and IMAPS listed in Table 4 that we believe are particularly well determined. An examination of Figure 3 shows that the variations in D I/H I appear to increase as a function of distance beyond 100 pc. We note that several of the Copernicus D I/H I data points for stars beyond the Local Bubble indicate that the D I/H I ratio is substantially smaller than the average local value of 1.5 × 10⁻⁵. For example, the data points for θ Sco (York 1983) and θ Car (Allen, Jenkins, & Snow 1992) both imply D I/H I ≤ 1 × 10⁻⁵. In addition, the low IMAPS result for the δ Ori A sight line is a confirmation of the low value measured by Laurent, Vidal-Madjar, & York (1979) with Copernicus.

A weighted mean of all of the points in Figure 3 except for WD 0621—376 and WD 2211—495, which have very large uncertainties, gives D I/H I = (1.39 ± 0.03) × 10⁻⁵. However, χ² = 7.1 (ν = 14), indicating that the probability of a single-valued population is extremely low, and hence a weighted mean of D I/H I is not appropriate. If the largest contributor to the χ² test, δ Ori A with D I/H I = (0.74 ± 0.12) × 10⁻⁵, is removed, the weighted mean increases to (1.45 ± 0.03) × 10⁻⁵, but χ² = 5.0 (ν = 13), still indicating that a single-valued population for D I/H I is improbable. θ Car has a very low value of D I/H I = (0.50 ± 0.16) × 10⁻⁵ and is the second largest contributor to the χ² test. If it is removed from the test instead, the weighted mean is (1.43 ± 0.03) × 10⁻⁵, but χ² = 5.1 (ν = 13), again indicating a low probability for a single-valued population. We are forced to conclude that although large variations do not appear at distances of ≃100 pc or less, it is likely that there are variations at larger distances. Additional FUSE measurements of D I and HST measurements of H I may help to better characterize this variation.

6.2. The O I/H I and D I/O I Ratios

6.2.1. O I/H I

Measurements of O I/H I are available for five of the FUSE sight lines. For the three sight lines in the Local Bubble, the weighted mean is (3.94 ± 0.35) × 10⁻⁴, the fractional standard deviation is 10%, and χ² = 0.9 (ν = 2). Including the two sight lines for which N(H I) is determined from EUVE data with large uncertainties (WD 0621—376 and WD 2211—495) would not change these results appreciably. However, including the two FUSE points outside the Local Bubble, BD +28°4211 and Feige 110 with log N(H I) of 19.9 and 20.2, respectively, changes the weighted mean to (3.03 ± 0.21) × 10⁻⁴, the fractional standard deviation to 30%, and χ² = 3.9 (ν = 4). Although the ratio is smaller, the fractional standard deviation and χ² are larger than the values for only the Local Bubble sight lines. The changes are dominated by one sight line, BD +28°4211. With the BD +28°4211 sight line removed, O I/H I = (3.93 ± 0.32) × 10⁻⁴, the fractional standard deviation is 12% and χ² = 0.6 (ν = 3).

Meyer et al. (1998) measured O I/H I for higher column densities, log N(H I) = 20.2—21.3 versus 17.9—18.9 for the Local Bubble points. They found a mean value of (3.43 ± 0.15) × 10⁻⁴ for the ISM and argued that the small variations from sight line to sight line indicated that the ratio was essentially constant in the ISM at distances of a few hundred parsecs. (In accordance with Meyer 2001, we have increased their published ratio to correct for a 7.6% decrease in the O I λ1356 oscillator strength recommended by Welty et al. 1999.) An evaluation of their data shows a fractional standard deviation of 11% and χ² = 0.5 (ν = 12) in agreement with this argument. Within the uncertainties, the FUSE weighted means discussed above are comparable with that of Meyer et al. (1998).

Meyer et al. (1998) use observations of a single transition, O I λ1356, and hence a single oscillator strength to determine N(O I), whereas the FUSE studies use several O I transitions of varying line strengths, fλ. With increasing column depth, different transitions are used to determine the value of the column density. In addition, transitions at different wavelengths appear at different locations on the detectors.
and may have slightly different systematic errors due to instrument artifacts of the type discussed in § 3.3. Thus, relative errors in the O i oscillator strengths and instrumental errors could increase the dispersion in the derived values of O i/H i from transition to transition. However, since several lines and multiple measurements of the same line at different parts of the detector are usually used in the calculations of N(O i) (see, e.g., Hébrard et al. 2002; Sonneborn et al. 2002), the errors in the resulting column densities are expected to be smaller than the potential dispersion due to instrument artifacts and errors in oscillator strengths.

Observed values of O i/H i and D i/O i could be affected by the incorporation of oxygen into interstellar dust. Oxygen in interstellar dust might exist in the form of various silicates such as pyroxene, (Mg, Fe)SiO3, or olivine, (Mg, Fe)2SiO4, or as oxides such as MgO, FeO, Fe2O3, or Fe3O4. Oxygen could also exist in grain mantles, but not in the form of H2O, since the 3.1 μm ice feature is not observed toward stars with low or moderate values of interstellar reddening with E(B−V) ≤ 1.0 (Whittet et al. 2001). For the Local Bubble, O i/H i = (3.91 ± 0.33) × 10−4. The level of oxygen depletion derived for the local diffuse ISM from this number depends on the correct reference value for the total ISM oxygen abundance (gas + dust). Here we adopt the solar abundance as the reference abundance. The solar abundance of oxygen has recently been revised. Allende Prieto, Lambert, & Asplund (2001) have measured O/H = (4.90 ± 0.59) × 10−4, while Holweger (2001) found O/H = (5.45 ± 1.09) × 10−4. If we adopt an average of these two numbers, 5.2 × 10−4, as the ISM total (gas + dust) reference abundance, it suggests that the amount of oxygen relative to hydrogen in the dust is ≈ 1.3 × 10−4. This estimate is similar to the value expected, ~(1.5–1.8) × 10−4, if the oxygen in the dust is mostly in silicate and oxide grains (Mathis 1996). Our estimate implies that ~25% of the interstellar oxygen in the Local Bubble could reside in interstellar dust grains. Variations in the amount of dust due to differences in the history and environment of a cloud could affect the measured values of O i/H i and D i/O i at a low level. For sight lines with high values of interstellar reddening larger effects are possible.

6.2.2. D i/O i

Because reliable H i measurements are not always available, it is desirable to inquire if the ratio D i/O i can be used instead of D i/H i to search for variability. Timmes et al. (1997) have argued that D i/O i and D i/N i may provide unique advantages over D i/H i for tracing the evolution of D as a function of metallicity, time, and redshift. Even though these arguments are aimed at studies of intergalactic gas, a similar approach is attractive for the Milky Way. The ionization balances of O i and D i are strongly coupled through charge exchange reactions with H i. Meyer et al. (1998) have shown that it is likely that the variations from sight line to sight line in O i/H i are small in the nearby ISM, and the FUSE results presented here support this for the Local Bubble. The weighted mean of D i/O i for the five FUSE sight lines within the Local Bubble is (3.76 ± 0.20) × 10−2. The fractional standard deviation is 12% and χ2 = 1.1 (ν = 4), indicating that the variability of the data is consistent with the uncertainties. A FUSE survey of D i/O i in the Local Bubble by Hébrard et al. (2001) that includes three additional sight lines also concludes that this ratio is constant. The constancy of the D i/O i ratio in the Local Bubble within the observational limitations is in agreement with the results for D i/H i presented in § 6.1. Thus, it is likely that, at least in an average sense, D i could serve as a proxy for H i in the Local Bubble and possibly beyond in the nearby ISM.

Although the D i/O i ratio appears to be constant in the Local Bubble, is it appropriate to use a single mean value to describe the D i/O i values at larger distances? If one includes the two more distant FUSE sight lines (Feige 110 and BD +28°4211) with the values inside the Local Bubble, D i/O i = (3.99 ± 0.19) × 10−2, the fractional standard deviation is 20% and χ2 = 2.6 (ν = 6). If the two additional values in Table 4 (γ Cas and δ Ori A) are included, the weighted mean is (3.63 ± 0.16) × 10−2, the fractional standard deviation is 26%, and χ2 = 3.8 (ν = 8). The increase in the value of χ2 indicates that the variability in the data is larger than would be expected from the reported measurement errors. Furthermore, the ionization balances of O i and D i are strongly coupled through charge exchange reactions with hydrogen, so the observed scatter is unlikely to be caused by a selection effect involving ionization of one species but not the other. Thus, although unknown systematic errors cannot be ruled out, the increases in fractional standard deviation and χ2 at larger column density and distance may be due in part to real variations in the value of D i/O i beyond the Local Bubble. These variations may result from changes in the relative concentrations of D i, similar to the variations of D i/H i with increasing distance displayed in Figure 3.

6.2.3. O i/H i versus D i/H i and D i/O i versus O i/H i

Even though the variations in O i/H i are expected to be small, it is important to investigate whether there is any anticorrelation between O i and D i. Because D is destroyed while O is produced by nucleosynthesis, there could be an anticorrelation between the column densities of the two atoms. If so, is such an effect observable over the limited metallicity range of the nearby ISM? Figure 4 displays values of O i/H i compared to D i/H i for the seven FUSE sight lines plus two additional points from Table 4. D i/H i varies over a factor of 2.9 from δ Ori A to Feige 110. As discussed in § 4.4, we have adopted 40% errors on N(H i) for the FUSE determinations of D i/H i and O i/H i for the WD 0621−376 and WD 2211−495 sight lines. Note that errors in the H i column density tend to move points along a diagonal line with positive slope whereas an anticorrelation would move the points along a line with negative slope. Figure 4 displays no evidence for anticorrelation.

The D i/O i ratio should be even more sensitive to anticorrelation effects than the data presented in Figure 4. Figure 5 shows the relationship between D i/O i and O i/H i. An anticorrelation of D i/O i and O i/H i would manifest itself as a trend running from the upper left to lower right in the figure. (Note that systematic errors in the O i columns will produce the same effect.) The data points show no clear trends over the limited metallicity range shown. A “scatter plot” is expected if D i is not anticorrelated with O i as implied by Figure 4, and Figure 5 appears to confirm this expectation. Over large ranges of metallicity, e.g., between the Milky Way ISM and the IGM, a strong anticorrelation between D and O should exist. It is not yet known whether a similar effect exists within the Milky Way ISM. However,
Fig. 4.—O/H vs. D/H for the seven FUSE sight lines, δ Ori A (IMAPS), and γ Cas (Copernicus). The two FUSE data points with N(H) determinations from EUVE with large uncertainties have dashed error bars. Visual inspection shows no evidence for an inverse relationship between O/H and D/H, which is contrary to expectations if D is destroyed as O is produced inside stars.

Fig. 5.—D/O vs. metallicity (O/H) for the seven sight lines for which accurate determinations of both N(D) and N(O) are available. There is no evidence for a dependence of D/O on O/H over the limited metallicity range sampled by these sight lines.

6.3. The N/H, O/H, and D/N Ratios

Local conditions in the ISM can affect the ionization balance of N with respect to H, D, and O. In environments where the self-shielding is small and the electron density significant, which is often true for LISM sight lines, the charge exchange coupling of N with H is much weaker compared to that of O with H. For the three FUSE sight lines where estimates of N(N) are available, the fraction of N in the form of N is roughly one-third (Table 3). Vidal-Madjar et al. (1998) measured a lower than average value of N/O along the sight line to G191-B2B, and all of the studies reported here show low values of N/O. Jenkins et al. (2000) performed a FUSE study of four white dwarfs in the LISM and noted similar effects. The observed deficiencies of N are most likely due to photoionization by radiation from hot stars and recombination of gas within hot conduction fronts. In the model discussed by Jenkins et al. (2000), the local N deficiency monotonically decreases to −0.07 dex with increasing N(H) at N(H) = 10^18 cm^−2. The actual deficiency measured for any given sight line will depend on the exact conditions encountered along the path, but the implication is that for low column density clouds in the LISM the ionization balance of N compared to H is expected to be more variable than that of O. As a result, at low column densities N is probably not a reliable metallicity indicator.

The abundance of N relative to H, D, and O appears to be lower in the nearby ISM probed by the FUSE sight lines compared to directions having larger neutral hydrogen column densities. Meyer et al. (1997) studied seven lines of sight with N(H) ranging from 20.18 to 21.15 and found N/O = (7.5 ± 0.4) × 10^−5, near the solar value of (9 ± 2) × 10^−5 (Holweger 2001). The weighted mean reported here for the five FUSE sight lines with high-quality H measurements is a factor of 2 less than that of Meyer et al. (1997). The average measured N column appears to be low. The FUSE value of O/N is twice as large as that calculated from the data of Meyer et al. (1997, 1998), leading to a similar conclusion. York (1983) found a similar deficiency of N compared to O in a sample of 53 sight lines observed by Copernicus. It is likely that the apparently lower N abundance along the FUSE sight lines is an ionization effect and not a metallicity effect. For D/O/N the FUSE weighted mean is 60% larger than that for the three IMAPS sight lines: γ Vel, ζ Pup, and δ Ori A (Sonnenborn et al. 2000), which suggests again that ionization of N is more important in the FUSE sight lines with lower total column density.

6.4. Causes of Variation in the Abundance Ratios

6.4.1. Implications for Interstellar Mixing

The results of §6.1 indicate that it is reasonable to approximate the value of D/H within ~100 pc of the Sun by a single value with a relatively small scatter. The validity of this assumption for other regions of the Galactic disk is less secure from an observational standpoint, as outlined earlier. A simple explanation for why differences in the D/H ratio may be seen in the Galaxy over distances separated by only a few hundred parsecs can be cast in terms of the efficiency of mixing processes within the ISM driven by supernovae (SNe). There are two relevant timescales: the mixing time and the time between SNe. Ideally, the first of these can be represented by the signal crossing time, or the time it takes for one parcel of gas to be influenced by another parcel of gas. In the simplest case, the second is the inverse of the SN rate.

Consider a spherical region 100 pc in radius, similar in size to the Local Bubble considered within this study. In a fully ionized ISM at ~10^6 K, the adiabatic sound velocity c_s ≈ 150 km s^−1. For r = 100 pc, the crossing time is
$t_x \approx 7 \times 10^5$ yr. This is roughly the crossing time expected for the rarefied regions of the Local Bubble. Other mechanisms are generally slower. The Alfvén velocity is the appropriate signal speed for travel through the cloudy ISM threaded by magnetic fields. The Alfvén velocity is $v_A = 17$ km s$^{-1}$ for a cloud with a magnetic field of 3 mG and $n \approx 1$ cm$^{-3}$; the Alfvén crossing time is $t_A \approx 6 \times 10^6$ yr. Furthermore, $v_A$ is proportional to $B$, so smaller magnetic fields would lead to longer mixing times. The LIC moves with a velocity of 19 km s$^{-1}$ LSR away from the Sco-Cen association. Other nearby clouds have similar velocities (see Lallement 1998). Breitschwerdt (1998), Egger (1998), and Breitschwerdt, Freyburg, & Egger (2000) have presented a model in which gas parcels with dimensions of a few parsecs are ejected from the region of the Local Bubble wall in the Sco-Cen direction and travel through the Local Bubble at ballistic velocities. Internal magnetic fields prevent the clouds from ablating during transit. For ballistic clouds, the crossing time is $t_B \approx 4 \times 10^6$ yr.

Assuming a uniform SN production rate of 0.02 yr$^{-1}$ (Cappellaro et al. 1993) spread over a galactic disk with a radius of 8.5 kpc, one expects roughly $8.8 \times 10^{-11}$ SNe pc$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. The typical time between SNe in a 100 pc radius region is $4 \times 10^5$ yr, so $t_{SN} \sim t_x$ for this idealized situation of uniform star formation. Of course, some regions form stars more actively than others; most Type II SNe occur in OB associations. Consequently, one expects that regions where $t_{SN} \ll t_x$ (e.g., spiral arm regions like Orion or Vela) may have considerable inhomogeneity compared to more quiescent regions (like the solar neighborhood) because astrated gas does not have a chance to mix completely before newly processed gas is expelled into the region. In addition, the correlated nature of SNe reduces the average frequency of mixing events. Assuming $\sim 40$ SN progenitors (OB stars) per association would increase the value of $t_{SN}$ by 40, where $t_{SN}$ now refers to the average time between each burst of star formation and SNe. However, each association has a “sphere of influence” with $r \sim 10^2$ pc so that the region for calculating the SN rate doubles to $\sim 200$ pc and $t_{SN} \sim 4 \times 10^6$ yr. Thus, the crossing times calculated above indicate that the ISM within $\sim 100$ pc should be well mixed during this interval. Conversely in the extreme case, if $t_{SN} \gg t_x$, the amount of energy injected into the ISM may be insufficient to thoroughly mix the gas.

More thorough discussions of the cycling of heavy metals between stars and the ISM generally conclude that the typical mixing times required to produce a homogeneous ISM are long, $t > 10^8$ yr (de Avillez 2000; Tenorio-Tagle 2000; de Avillez & Mac Low 2001). A variety of factors determine the mixing efficiencies, and many of these have not yet been studied observationally in sufficient detail to fully characterize the mixing timescales (e.g., diffusion, magnetic fields, small-scale interstellar structure, the transfer of energy between hot plasmas and cold clouds). We suggest that the D/H results contained herein provide an additional constraint on models seeking to explain the recycling and dispersal of elements in the ISM. Ongoing D t/H i observations with FUSE may shed additional light on this subject.

6.4.2. Nucleosynthetic Histories

The fact that D t/H i appears to have a single value for gas within 100 pc of the Sun, whereas at larger distances there appear to be variations in the ratio, may indicate that the different regions have had very different nucleosynthetic histories. This result is even more puzzling when one considers that O t/H i does not vary as much as D t/H i in the near ISM (see § 6.2). In addition, over the limited metallicity range available in the nearby ISM, the abundance of D i does not vary inversely with the abundance of O i. This contradicts the simple idea that metal production and D destruction occur together. Such a picture is probably valid over large (factor of 10–100) differences in metallicity, but there may exist nucleosynthetic mechanisms in the Milky Way that are more subtle. For example, the binding energy of D i is so low ($\sim 2.2$ MeV) that it is destroyed at temperatures much lower than those required for the production of O in massive stars. Thus, if D is destroyed in the outer layers of stars and is ejected before significant O production occurs, the average abundance of D could decrease without increasing the average metallicity. Such a process could be operative for early-type stars that shed their outer envelopes in massive stellar winds. The relative abundance of D, O, and H in the wind will vary with time (and final location), but the detailed spatial variation would depend on the stellar population in a given region. In addition, the average number of massive stars is small, so that the degree of astrosynthesis and metal production will fluctuate from location to location.

It is also possible that there may be sources of D that could provide variations from place to place in the galaxy. Mullan & Linsky (1999) suggest that contamination of the ISM by D-enriched material ejected from stellar flares is such a source. Other sources, such as spallation of heavier elements (Epstein, Lattimer, & Schramm 1976), have also been proposed although all have some drawbacks. Lemoine et al. (1999) summarize the plausibility that these and other processes contribute to the observed D abundances in the LISM.

7. Conclusions

The unique access of FUSE to the far-UV spectral region has been used to measure column densities of D i, N i, and O i for the seven sight lines listed in Table 1. The authors of the accompanying seven papers describe the care taken to assess the accuracy of the uncertainties in these measurements. Collectively, the sight lines probe the LIC, the G cloud, other clouds in the Local Bubble, and the wall of the Local Bubble. Although the sight lines have a range of a factor of $\sim 5$ in distance, the H i column density has a range of $\sim 160$, indicating the wide variety of environments sampled.

H i columns and uncertainties were obtained from HST data (two sight lines), IUE data (one sight line), one EUVE value, and in one case a combination of HST and EUVE results. For these five sight lines, the weighted mean of D i/H i $= (1.52 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$. This mean is similar to previous values obtained for the LIC. A $\chi^2$ test of the weighted mean and other tests show that it is likely that D i/H i can be represented by this single value out to $\sim 100$ pc, the approximate dimension of the Local Bubble. However, an examination of Copernicus and IMAPS data at larger distances shows an increased dispersion.

The mean value of O i/H i is similar to that reported by Meyer et al. (1998) for longer sight lines. For D i/O i within the Local Bubble, the dispersion is consistent with the esti-
and O i cannot be used as a proxy for H i in the Local Bubble and possibly in the nearby ISM. When data taken by FUSE and other missions for longer sight lines are included, the dispersion in D/H grows. Although unknown systematic errors cannot be ruled out, this may be due in part to variability in D i, similar to that observed for D i/H i.

These results are subject to small number statistics. FUSE is making additional measurements of D i and O i, but HST measurements of the H i column density and the gas velocity structure for many of these sight lines are critical for further progress.

An estimate of mixing times for the ISM shows that mixing of a region the size of the Local Bubble is consistent with the frequency of SNe. In regions that form stars more actively than others (e.g., spiral arm regions such as Orion or Vela), it may not be possible to mix the gas between bursts of stellar formation and they may show considerable inhomogeneity compared to the solar neighborhood. Although the nucleosynthetic destruction of D in stars is expected to be accompanied by an increase in O, comparisons of O i/H i versus D i/H i and D i/O i versus O i/H i do not show any evidence for an anticorrelation between D i and O i over the limited range of metallicity studied. This may require new stellar processing mechanisms such as the destruction of the weakly bound D nucleus without accompanying O i production in the outer layers of a hot star followed by ejection of the depleted material in massive stellar winds. The possibility of local sources of D also needs to be considered.

There also is a cosmic dispersion in the values of D/H measured at large distances (Pettini & Bowen 2001). As a consequence, determinations of baryon densities from D/H must be based on careful statistical studies. However, searches for suitable systems to study have a very low yield, and there is a danger of subtle selection biases. Thus, it is important to understand the cause(s) of the observed dispersion in D/H i. Typical gas volume densities in the damped Lyα systems are close to those in the outer parts of the Milky Way (O'Meara et al. 2001), and it is likely that future studies leading to a better understanding of the still unknown causes of the dispersion in the ISM will illuminate those of the IGMR.

This work is based on data obtained for the Guaranteed Time Team by the NASA-CNES-CSA FUSE mission operated by Johns Hopkins University. Financial support to US participants has been provided by NASA contract NAS 5-32985. French participants have been supported by CNES. This study of the LISM required the efforts of a number of people, and we acknowledge their efforts in assembling this study. We also acknowledge the effort of FUSE operations scientists and staff in planning the observations and preparing the data for analysis. D. Sfeir kindly provided the Na i profiles used in Figure 2. We thank Sharon Tiebert-Maddox for assistance with the preparation of the manuscript and figures.
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