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Abstract: Chromatography is a powerful and generally applicable method for the analytical separation and quantification of the chemical constituents in complex mixtures because chromatographic separation can provide high selectivity by isolating all analytes from interferences. Multiway analysis based on the multilinear model is an increasingly widely used method for interference-free and fast determination of the chemical constituents also in complex mixtures because multilinear mathematical separation can provide high selectivity by extracting the pure signal of the analyte from the mixed signal of a real sample. By combining chromatographic separation with mathematical separation, multiway calibration method, multiway standard additions method, and multiway internal standard method can be established. Chromatography assisted by multiway analysis can reduce the requirements for complete chromatographic separation, save elution time, and decrease the consumption of the mobile phase, particularly when the peak coelution problem is difficult to solve. This review presents the fundamentals and analytical applications of multilinear mathematical separation in chromatography.
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1. Introduction

There are very few analytical methods that are specific for quantitative analysis of an analyte in complex mixtures. Therefore, the treatment of interferences is often a vital step in an analytical procedure [1–4]. Because chromatographic separation can provide high selectivity by isolating all analytes from interferences, chromatography has been a powerful and generally applicable method for the analytical separation and quantification of the chemical constituents in complex mixtures [5,6]. Chromatography is an analytical separation technique in which the constituents of a sample are separated based on differences in migration rates at which they are carried through a stationary phase by a mobile phase [5]. With a proper detector at the outlet of the column, a chromatogram can be recorded. Traditionally, an analyte is identified by its elution time and is quantified by its peak height (or area) with the standard curve method, the standard addition method, or the internal standard method. All of the methods are based on the linear model \( y_i = mx_i + b \) and demand that the signal should be fully selective for the analyte.

For quantitative analysis of multiple analytes with widely different distribution constants in complex real samples, it is often difficult to achieve a complete chromatographic separation in proper time. In practice, analysts deal with this problem by decreasing the strength of the mobile phase, by using the gradient elution, or by changing the stationary phase [3,5]. A price paid for gradient elution is that the separation system needs time to be re-equilibrated between runs, and the price of purchasing optimally suitable chromatographic columns for different types of chemical compounds is expensive. Nevertheless,
the peak coelution problem may still remain. Alternatively, multiway analysis based on the multilinear model can be utilized for enhancing the selectivity of chromatographic separation by mathematical separation [7–12].

Multiway analysis originated in psychometrics in 1944 [13]. Pioneering studies on the parallel factor (PARAFAC) model were published independently by Harshman [14] and Carroll [15] in 1970. While multiway analysis has been rapidly developed in chemometrics, mainly because of the discovery of the second-order advantage in quantitative chemical analysis in 1978 [16], it allows the quantitative determination of analytes in a complex mixture even in the presence of unmodelled signal interferences. By contrast, quantitative chemical analysis is traditionally achieved based on the selective signal of the analyte; if there is any signal interference, it must be masked or removed (precipitation, distillation, extraction, or chromatographic separation) before measurement. Therefore, the revolutionary discovery of the second-order advantage provided a completely new strategy for quantitative chemical analysis and made multiway analysis find its practical value in analytical chemistry. During the decades that followed, the theory and practical application of multiway analysis matured considerably in chemometrics [17–21], on which a guiding theory of analytical chemistry was gradually established [22]. This theory can be used to guide analysts in optimizing existing analytical methods and developing powerful analytical methods. In recent years, multiway analysis has attracted special attention in mathematics [23,24].

The most commonly used model in the multiway analysis is the multilinear model [25–40]. Multiway analysis based on the multilinear model mainly includes the three-way analysis, and its fundamentals [41–46] and analytical applications [47–60] have been extensively studied; there is also an increasing number of research papers on four-way analysis [61–75]. In the three-way analysis based on the trilinear model (i.e., the PARAFAC model), the trilinear decomposition process can extract the signal profiles of each analyte from the mixed signal of the complex mixture. Thereafter, interference-free determination of each analyte can be achieved through a linear least-squares analysis step similar to the standard curve method. This is generally called the three-way calibration (also called second-order calibration) method. Analogously, the multiway standard additions method can be developed and used in cases in which matrix effects are a problem [76–78], and the multiway internal standard method can also be developed and used when instrument response varies from run to run or sample losses occur in sample preparation. Multilinear mathematical separation can provide an alternative to analytical separation, it can be expected that multiway analysis based on the multilinear model will have excellent potential in chromatography.

With the rapid development of analytical instrument technology, there are already many types of second-order or even higher-order chromatography instruments [1,5,8,10,11]. Chromatography instruments with a first-order detector (i.e., multichannel detector) can generate a second-order tensor (a matrix) of data per sample, such as high-performance liquid chromatography–diode array detector (HPLC–DAD), high-performance liquid chromatography–fluorescence detector (HPLC–FD), liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS), and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS). In a calibration method, multiple second-order tensors of data of the calibration and prediction samples can be arranged into a three-way data array for three-way analysis. Chromatography instruments with a second-order detector or comprehensive two-dimensional chromatography instruments with a first-order detector can even generate a third-order tensor of data per sample, such as high-performance liquid chromatography–excitation-emission matrix fluorescence detector (HPLC–EEMF), and comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography–diode array detector (LC\texttimes LC–DAD). Multiple third-order tensors of data of the calibration and prediction samples can be arranged into a four-way data array for four-way analysis.

The application of multiway analysis based on the multilinear model in chromatography is valuable and very convenient, for the following reasons: (1) multilinear decomposi-
tion is easy to interpret due to its uniqueness and can give out the chemically meaningful profiles (such as the normalized chromatogram and spectrum) for the analyte; (2) multilinear decomposition can solve the peak coelution problem by mathematically isolating the signal of the analyte from that of interferences; (3) multilinear decomposition can model and separate the drifted baseline, which is a common and difficult problem in chromatographic measurements; (4) multiway analysis can be conveniently and smoothly embedded in the traditional standard curve method in chromatography since there is no difference in their experimental designs and sample preparations. For example, when the coelution problem occurs in a specific range of elution times in a chromatographic separation by HPLC–DAD, one can directly construct the chromatogram–spectrum-sample (including the calibration and prediction samples) trilinear model for this range of elution times, and then one could utilize trilinear decomposition to resolve the coeluted peaks and use least-squares regression to achieve quantitative analysis; (5) when matrix effects are a problem, multiway analysis can be also conveniently and smoothly embedded in the traditional standard additions method; and (6) when instrument response varies from run to run or sample losses occur in sample preparation, multiway analysis can be also conveniently and smoothly embedded in the traditional internal standard method.

Therefore, by combining chromatographic separation with mathematical separation, chromatography assisted by multiway analysis can reduce the requirements for complete chromatographic separation, save the elution time, and decrease the consumption of the mobile phase, particularly when the peak coelution problem of a complex mixture is difficult to solve. In recent decades, there are already more and more analytical applications of chromatography assisted by multiway analysis to a broad range of fields such as food analysis, pharmaceutical analysis, environmental analysis, and biochemical analysis [79–81].

This review focuses on the analytical application of multiway analysis based on the multilinear model in chromatography. Firstly, the models, algorithms, and software will be introduced briefly. Secondly, analytical applications of multilinear mathematical separation in chromatography will be reviewed according to the type of instruments. Thirdly, the advantages and disadvantages of applying multiway analysis in chromatography will be summarized, and a future outlook will be provided.

2. Multilinear Models and Algorithms

2.1. Trilinear Model

In three-way quantitative analysis (also called second-order calibration), the trilinear model (i.e., the PARAFAC model) [14,15,21–23] is most commonly used [82–103]. A three-way data array $X_{I \times J \times K}$ can be considered as a trilinear model if every element $x_{ijk}$ can be expressed in terms of scalars,

$$x_{ijk} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{in} b_{jn} c_{kn} + e_{ijk} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, I; j = 1, 2, \ldots, J; k = 1, 2, \ldots, K),$$  

(1)

where $N$ denotes the number of components. $a_{in}$, $b_{jn}$, and $c_{kn}$ are the $ith$, $jth$, and $kth$ elements in the $n$th column vectors $a_n$, $b_n$, and $c_n$ of the three profile matrices $A_{I \times N}$, $B_{J \times N}$, and $C_{K \times N}$, respectively. $e_{ijk}$ is the element of the three-way residual array $E_{I \times J \times K}$.

At the matrix level, the trilinear model can be expressed in unfolded matrix form,

$$X_{I \times J \times K} = A (B \circ C)^T + E_{I \times J \times K},$$  

(2)

$$X_{J \times K \times I} = B (A \circ C)^T + E_{J \times K \times I},$$  

(3)

$$X_{K \times I \times J} = C (B \circ A)^T + E_{K \times I \times J},$$  

(4)

where $\circ$ represents the Khatri–Rao product.
In addition, the trilinear model can be expressed in slice form,

\[
X_{i..} = B \text{ diag } (a_i) \ C^T + E_{i..} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, I \\
X_{j..} = C \text{ diag } (b_j) \ A^T + E_{j..} \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, J \\
X_{k..} = A \text{ diag } (c_k) \ B^T + E_{k..} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K,
\]

where \(a_i), b_j), and \(c_k) indicate the \(i\)th, \(j\)th, and \(k\)th rows of \(A_{I \times J}, B_{J \times N_r}\), and \(C_{K \times N_r}\), respectively. \(X_{i..}, X_{j..}, \text{ and } X_{k..}\) represent the \(i\)th horizontal slice, \(j\)th lateral slice, and the \(k\)th frontal slice of \(X_{I \times J \times K}\), respectively.

One of these three expressions may be superior, depending on the circumstances. Nevertheless, the structure of the trilinear model is difficult to understand. In Figure 1, the unfolded matrixes and slices of the trilinear model are illustrated.
Irrespective of permutation and scaling, the trilinear decomposition of the trilinear model is unique, provided that \( k_A + k_B + k_C \geq 2N + 2 \), where \( k_A, k_B, \) and \( k_C \) are the \( k \)-ranks of \( A_{I \times N} \), \( B_{J \times N} \), and \( C_{K \times N} \), respectively [104,105]. The uniqueness of the trilinear decomposition is the basis of the second-order advantage. In Figure 2, the trilinear decomposition of the trilinear model is illustrated in profile matrix and outer product forms, respectively. The profile matrix form can exactly illustrate the trilinear decomposition, while the outer product form can more clearly and visually describe the function of the mathematical separation of the trilinear decomposition.

![Figure 2. Illustration of the trilinear decomposition in profile matrix and outer product forms.](image)

### 2.2. Trilinear Decomposition Algorithms

There are already many algorithms for accomplishing the trilinear decomposition of a trilinear model. The commonly used algorithms could be divided into four types. The first type is based on the eigenvalue–eigenvector decomposition, which mainly includes the generalized rank annihilation method (GRAM) [106] and direct trilinear decomposition (DTLD) [107]. The second type is based on the alternating least squares, which mainly includes the parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC) [14,15] and alternating trilinear decomposition (ATLD) [108] and its variants. The third type is based on the direct least squares, which mainly includes the bilinear least squares-residual bilinearization (BLLS/RBL) [109] and unfold partial least squares-residual bilinearization (U–PLS/RBL) [110]. The fourth type comprises a variety of other novel algorithms.

Every algorithm has analytical situations that are superior to others. However, the algorithms of the second type are used more commonly. The alternating least-squares procedure estimates a profile matrix by assuming the others as known and alternately estimates the others, then repeats the process until the preset termination criterion is satisfied. Here, the PARAFAC and ATLD algorithms are briefly introduced.
The PARAFAC algorithm is based on the unfolded matrix form, its objective functions
and least-squares solutions for the three profile matrices can be expressed as

$$\min_A \|X_{IJK} - A(C \odot B)^T\|_F^2 \Rightarrow A = X_{IJK}(C \odot B)^T +$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\min_B \|X_{IJK} - B(A \odot C)^T\|_F^2 \Rightarrow B = X_{IJK}(A \odot C)^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\min_C \|X_{IKJ} - C(B \odot A)^T\|_F^2 \Rightarrow C = X_{IKJ}(B \odot A)^T +$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $M^+$ is the Moore–Penrose generalized inverse of a matrix $M$.

The ATLD algorithm is based on the slice form, its objective functions and least-squares
solutions for the three profile matrices can be expressed as

$$\min_A \left[ \sum_{i=0}^I \|B^+E_i(C^T)^+\|_F^2 \right] \Rightarrow a(i) = \left[ \text{diagm} \left( B^+X_i(C^T)^+ \right) \right]^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$\min_A \left[ \sum_{j=0}^J \|C^+E_j(A^T)^+\|_F^2 \right] \Rightarrow b(j) = \left[ \text{diagm} \left( C^+X_j(A^T)^+ \right) \right]^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$\min_A \left[ \sum_{k=0}^K \|A^+E_k(B^T)^+\|_F^2 \right] \Rightarrow c(k) = \left[ \text{diagm} \left( A^+X_k(B^T)^+ \right) \right]^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Before the trilinear decomposition process, a proper preprocessing of preserving the
trilinearity needs to be used if there are some non-trilinear factors in the data array. For
example, the chromatographic three-way data array will need a preprocessing of peak
alignment when the time shift problem between runs occurs [11,111–116].

In the trilinear decomposition process, there are some solution constraints (such as
nonnegativity and unimodality) that could be used to improve the result. In the
chromatographic three-way data array, however, the nonnegativity constraint should be used
carefully since that part of a chromatogram may be negative due to baseline drift or sub-
traction of the method blank, and the unimodality constraint should also be used carefully
since a peak in a chromatogram may have a small shoulder peak.

By appropriately utilizing an efficient algorithm with a proper number of components,
the trilinear decomposition process will give the normalized profile matrices $A_{I \times N}$ and
$B_{J \times N}$ (qualitative information), in addition to the unnormalized profile matrix $C_{K \times N}$ (quan-
titative information), in which the $n$th column vectors correspond to the $n$th component.
This is the three-way mathematical separation that extracts the pure signal of an analyte
from the mixed signal of a mixture.

2.3. Three-Way Analysis

From the point of view of mathematical separation, multiway analysis based on the
multilinear model consists of multilinear decomposition and regression. Based on the
above mathematical separation of three-way analysis, qualitative information of an analyte
can be obtained by using a regression step, usually a simple univariate linear regression.

It is worth mentioning that whether it is based on a trilinear model or another multi-
linear model, the regression step is the same. As mentioned in the introduction, different
multiway quantitative analytical methods can be developed for different analytical situa-
tions, as shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3. Illustration of the regression steps in (a) the multiway calibration method, (b) multiway standard additions method, and (c) multiway internal standard method.

2.3.1. Three-Way Calibration Method

Generally, a three-way calibration method is used. In its regression step, as Figure 3a shows, the least-squares line for an analyte is computed by regressing its intensity value in the unnormalized profile matrix versus concentration, based on the calibration samples. Then, the concentration of the analyte in each prediction sample can be predicted by substituting its intensity value in the unnormalized profile matrix into the equation of the least-squares line.

2.3.2. Three-Way Standard Additions Method

When it is difficult to duplicate the sample matrix to eliminate matrix effects, a three-way standard additions method (multiple solutions with constant volume) can be established. In its regression step, as Figure 3b shows, the least-squares line for an analyte is computed by regressing its intensity value in the unnormalized profile matrix versus concentration, based on the standard additions’ samples and prediction sample. Then, the concentration of the analyte in the prediction sample can be predicted as $x_{\text{unk}} = a/k$.

2.3.3. Three-Way Internal Standard Method

When instrument response varies from run to run or sample losses occur in sample preparation, a three-way internal standard method (multiple solutions) can be developed. In its regression step, as Figure 3c shows, the least-squares line for an analyte is computed by regressing its relative signal (analyte intensity value in the unnormalized profile matrix/internal standard signal) versus concentration, based on the calibration samples. Then, the concentration of the analyte in each prediction sample can be predicted by substituting its relative signal into the equation of the least-squares line.
2.4. Quadrilinear Model

In four-way quantitative analysis (also called third-order calibration), the quadrilinear model [8,10,12,23,24] is most commonly used. The quadrilinear model can be considered as an extension of the trilinear model. A four-way data array $X_{I×JKL}$ can be considered as a quadrilinear model if every element $x_{ijkl}$ can be expressed in terms of scalars, scalars, scalars,

$$x_{ijkl} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{in} b_{jn} c_{kn} d_{ln} + e_{ijkl}$$  \hspace{1cm} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, I; j = 1, 2, \ldots, J; k = 1, 2, \ldots, K; l = 1, 2, \ldots, L), \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

where $N$ denotes the number of components, $a_{in}$, $b_{jn}$, $c_{kn}$, and $d_{ln}$ are the $ith$, $jth$, $kth$, and $lth$ elements in the $ith$ column vectors $a_i$, $b_j$, $c_k$, and $d_l$ of the four profile matrices $A_{I×N}$, $B_{J×N}$, $C_{K×N}$, and $D_{L×N}$, respectively. $e_{ijkl}$ is the element of the four-way residual array $E_{I×J×K×L}$.

Analogously, the quadrilinear model can be expressed in the unfolded matrix, partially unfolded matrix, and slice forms.

In unfolded matrix form, the quadrilinear model can be expressed as

$$X_{I×J×K×L} = A (D ⊗ C ⊗ B)^T + E_{I×J×K×L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

$$X_{J×K×L} = B (A ⊗ D ⊗ C)^T + E_{J×K×L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

$$X_{K×L×I} = C (B ⊗ A ⊗ D)^T + E_{K×L×I}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

$$X_{L×I×J} = D (C ⊗ B ⊗ A)^T + E_{L×I×J}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$

In partially unfolded matrix form, the quadrilinear model can be expressed as

$$X_{J×K×L×I}(;i,j,k,l) = B \text{diag}(a_{(l)}) \ (D ⊗ C)^T + E_{J×K×L×I}(;i,j,k,l)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

$$X_{K×L×J×I}(;i,j,k) = C \text{diag}(b_{(j)}) \ (A ⊗ D)^T + E_{K×L×J×I}(;i,j,k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

$$X_{L×I×J×K}(;i,j,k) = D \text{diag}(c_{(k)}) \ (B ⊗ A)^T + E_{L×I×J×K}(;i,j,k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)$$

$$X_{I×J×K×L}(;i,j,k) = A \text{diag}(d_{(l)}) \ (C ⊗ B)^T + E_{I×J×K×L}(;i,j,k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)$$

In slice form, the quadrilinear model can be expressed as

$$X_{J×K×L×I}(;i,j,k,l) = B \text{diag}(a_{(l)}) \ \text{diag}(d_{(l)}) \ C^T + E_{J×K×L×I}(;i,j,k,l)$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

$$X_{K×L×J×I}(;i,j,k,l) = C \text{diag}(b_{(j)}) \ \text{diag}(a_{(l)}) \ D^T + E_{K×L×J×I}(;i,j,k,l)$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

$$X_{L×I×J×K}(;i,j,k,l) = D \text{diag}(c_{(k)}) \ \text{diag}(b_{(j)}) \ A^T + E_{L×I×J×K}(;i,j,k,l)$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

$$X_{I×J×K×L}(;i,j,k,l) = A \text{diag}(d_{(l)}) \ \text{diag}(c_{(k)}) \ B^T + E_{I×J×K×L}(;i,j,k,l).$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)$$

2.5. Quadrilinear Decomposition Algorithms

There are also some algorithms for accomplishing the quadrilinear decomposition of a quadrilinear model. The commonly used algorithms include the four-way parallel factor analysis (four-way PARAFAC) [12,117], alternating quadrilinear decomposition (AQLD) [118], alternating penalty quadrilinear decomposition (APQLD) [119], alternating weighted residue constraint quadrilinear decomposition (AWRCQLD) [120], constrained alternating quadrilinear decomposition (CAQLD) [121], etc. The data preprocessing and solution constraints of quadrilinear decomposition are similar to those of trilinear decomposition.
By appropriately utilizing an efficient algorithm with a proper number of components, the quadrilinear decomposition process will give the normalized profile matrices $A_{1 \times N}$, $B_{1 \times N}$, and $C_{K \times N}$ (qualitative information), in addition to the unnormalized profile matrix $D_{L \times N}$ (quantitative information), in which the $n$th column vectors correspond to the $n$th component. This is the four-way mathematical separation that extracts the pure signal of an analyte from the mixed signal of a mixture.

2.6. Four-Way Analysis

Based on the above mathematical separation of four-way analysis, qualitative information of an analyte can be obtained by using a regression step. Similarly, the four-way calibration method, four-way standard additions method, and four-way internal standard method can be established for corresponding analytical situations. Expanding from three-way case to four-way case, these four-way quantitative analysis methods possess the second-order advantage and have higher sensitivity and more resolving power.

Theoretically, further expansion of a three-way case to a higher-way case may bring more advantages. However, the experimental workload will increase sharply and the model will become more complex. Therefore, there are currently very few applications of multiway analysis, which is higher than four-way. In the following section, we only introduce a general expansion of the trilinear model.

2.7. Multilinear Model

A general multiway data array $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times \ldots \times I_M}$ can be considered as a multilinear model [23,122] if every element can be expressed in terms of scalars,

$$\mathbf{X}_{i_1i_2\ldots i_M} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a^{(1)}_{i_1n} a^{(2)}_{i_2n} \cdots a^{(M)}_{i_Mn} + e_{i_1i_2\ldots i_M}, \quad (i_1 = 1,2,\ldots, I_1; i_2 = 1,2,\ldots, I_2; \cdots; i_M = 1,2,\ldots, I_M),$$

(27)

where $N$ denotes the number of components. $a^{(1)}_{i_1n}, a^{(2)}_{i_2n}, \ldots, a^{(M)}_{i_Mn}$ are the $i_1$th, $i_2$th, $\ldots, i_M$th elements in the $n$th column vectors of the profile matrices $A^{(1)}_{1 \times N}$, $A^{(2)}_{2 \times N}, \ldots, A^{(M)}_{M \times N}$, respectively. $e_{i_1i_2\ldots i_M}$ is the element of the residual array $\mathbf{e}_{i_1i_2\ldots i_M}$

2.8. Analytical Figures of Merit

Essential figures of merit, including the sensitivity (SEN), the limit of detection (LOD), and the limit of quantitation (LOQ) [10,11,34], were often utilized to characterize multiway analysis methods based on the multilinear model.

In a multiway analysis, the SEN of the $n$th analyte can be computed as follows [34,69]:

$$\text{SEN}_n = k_n/\|n\text{th row of } ((I - \mathbf{Z}_u\mathbf{Z}_u^+)^\dagger)\|$$

In three-way analysis: $\mathbf{Z}_c = [\mathbf{b}_{c1} \odot \mathbf{a}_{c1}] \lhd \cdots \lhd [\mathbf{b}_{cP} \odot \mathbf{a}_{cP}]$;

$\mathbf{Z}_u = [\mathbf{b}_{u1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_L \otimes \mathbf{a}_{u1}] \lhd \cdots \lhd [\mathbf{b}_{uQ} \otimes \mathbf{I}_L \otimes \mathbf{a}_{uQ}]$.

(28)

In four-way analysis: $\mathbf{Z}_c = [\mathbf{c}_{c1} \odot \mathbf{b}_{c1} \odot \mathbf{a}_{c1}] \lhd \cdots \lhd [\mathbf{c}_{cP} \odot \mathbf{b}_{cP} \odot \mathbf{a}_{cP}]$;

$\mathbf{Z}_u = [\mathbf{c}_{u1} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{u1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_L \otimes \mathbf{a}_{u1}] \lhd \cdots \lhd [\mathbf{c}_{uQ} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{uQ} \otimes \mathbf{I}_L \otimes \mathbf{a}_{uQ}]$,

where $\mathbf{Z}_c$ associates to the $P$ calibrated analytes and $\mathbf{Z}_u$ associates to the $Q$ unexpected interferents. These expressions for computing the SEN can be extended to higher-way cases in a similar way.

After the SEN was computed, the LOD and LOQ can be computed as follows [34,123]:

$$\text{LOD} = 3.3 \times s(0) = 3.3 \times \sqrt{h_0 s_c^2 + h_0 \frac{s_x^2}{\text{SEN}^2} + \frac{s_x^2}{\text{SEN}^2}}$$

(29)
LOQ = \( 10 \times s(0) = 10 \times \sqrt{h_0 s_c^2 + h_0 \frac{s_x^2}{\text{SEN}^2} + \frac{s_x^2}{\text{SEN}^2}} \), \quad (30)

where \( s(0) \) is the standard error in the predicted concentration of the blank sample, \( h_0 \) is the leverage of the blank sample, \( s_c^2 \) is the variance in the calibration concentration, and \( s_x^2 \) is the variance in the instrumental signal.

2.9. Software

Almost all of the algorithms described above are freely available. For example, some algorithm programs based on MATLAB were published in the supporting information of its reference or some books [9,122], and sometimes there are demonstration programs for analyzing its related data [10]. A software named the N-way Toolbox for MATLAB for multiway analysis was developed by Andersson and Bro [117] and is freely available at http://www.models.life.ku.dk/nwaytoolbox (accessed on 13 March 2021). A MATLAB graphical interface toolbox named MVC2 for three-way analysis was developed by Olivieri, Wu, and Yu [124] and is freely available at http://www.iquir-conicet.gov.ar/descargas/mvc2.rar (accessed on 13 March 2021). A similar MATLAB graphical interface toolbox named MVC3 for four-way analysis was developed by the same authors [125] and is freely available on the internet at http://www.iquir-conicet.gov.ar/descargas/mvc3.rar (accessed on 13 March 2021).

In addition, many MATLAB programs are available at many websites, which are too numerous to mention here.

3. Chromatography with Mathematical Separations

It is important to note that there also are other popular and powerful chemometric methods and strategies for analyzing chromatography data, such as the N-way partial least squares (NPLS), bilinear least squares/residual bilinearization (BLLS/RBL), parallel factor analysis 2 (PARAFAC2), and multivariate curve resolution–alternating least squares (MCR–ALS). Each type of these chemometric methods and strategies deserves an individual review to overview its applications in chromatography.

Therefore, in the following sections of this review, we will only introduce analytical applications of multiway analysis based on the multilinear model in chromatography, according to the type of chromatography instrumentation and its detector.

3.1. HPLC–DAD

HPLC–DAD can be widely used for analytical separation and quantitative determination of many inorganic, organic, and biological species that have ultraviolet–visible absorption signal, in complex mixtures such as pharmaceutical, environmental, food, biochemical, and biological samples.

For a single sample, HPLC–DAD can generate a liquid chromatogram–absorption spectrum (obtained by the diode array detector) (LC–DAD) second-order data array \( M_{I \times J} \). By arranging multiple second-order data matrices of the calibration and prediction samples, one can obtain a liquid chromatogram–absorption spectrum-sample (LC–DAD-S) three-way data array \( X_{I \times J \times K} \). Then, one can apply a three-way analysis to it to obtain the pure normalized liquid chromatogram, normalized absorption spectrum, and unnormalized intensity profile of each coeluted component. This mathematical separation function can enhance the selectivity of HPLC–DAD and therefore make a complicated analytical separation task easier.

Analytical applications of HPLC–DAD assisted by three-way analysis based on the trilinear model are listed in Table 1. Wu et al. [108] constructed the LC–DAD-S trilinear model by using HPLC–DAD, then achieved quantitative analysis of \( p \)-chlorotoluene and \( o \)-chlorotoluene in the presence of uncalibrated interference \( o \)-dichlorobenzene, by using three-way calibration methods based on ATLD and PARAFAC algorithms, respectively. Yu et al. [126] proposed an HPLC–DAD three-way calibration method based on the ATLD algorithm for the determination of 12 quinolones in honey samples with spike within a
short time in isocratic mode. Liu et al. [127] proposed an HPLC-DAD three-way calibration method for rapid determination of costunolide and dehydrocostuslactone in human plasma and Chinese patent medicine (Xiang Sha Yang Wei capsule) real samples. Zhao et al. [128] achieved chemometric resolution and quantification of coeluting peaks of 11 antihypertensives from multiple classes in human serum, health product, and Chinese patent medicine samples with spike. Zhang et al. [129] developed a fast HPLC-DAD three-way analytical method for determining nine polyphenols in honey samples. Yu et al. [130] achieved quantification of 11 antibiotics in tap water samples with spike by using three-way calibration based on the PARAFAC algorithm, after a chromatographic background drift correction. Xiang et al. [131] proposed an HPLC-DAD three-way calibration based on the ATLD algorithm for fast quantitative analysis of four tyrosine kinase inhibitors in human plasma samples with spike. Yin et al. [132] achieved quantification of six synthetic colorants in beverage samples by using three-way calibration based on the ATLD algorithm. Liu et al. [133] achieved rapid and simultaneous determination of five vinca alkaloids in Catharanthus roseus real samples and human serum spiked samples by using three-way calibration based on ATLD algorithm. Liu et al. [134] achieved direct and interference-free determination of 13 phenolic compounds in red wine samples with spike by using three-way calibration based on the ATLD algorithm. Ghafghazi et al. [135] evaluated and compared the performances of several three-way calibration methods based on PARAFAC, ATLD, SWATLD, and APTLD algorithms by interference-free determination of carbamazepine in human serum samples with spike. Wang et al. [136] proposed an HPLC–DAD three-way analytical method based on the ATLD algorithm for fast and simultaneous determination of 12 polyphenols in apple peel and pulp real samples. Liu et al. [137] proposed a rapid and interference-free HPLC-DAD three-way analytical method for simultaneous determination of 17 polyphenols in raw propolis real samples. Yin et al. [138] achieved fast and green quantification of eight preservatives in facial mask samples by using three-way calibration based on the ATLD algorithm. Mortera et al. [139] applied HPLC–DAD three-way calibration based on PARAFAC algorithm to identification and quantitative evaluation of 11 short chain organic acids in yoghurt, culture milk, cheese, and wine real samples. Teglia et al. [140] achieved quantification of 15 veterinary active ingredients in real samples of poultry litter from five farms by using HPLC–DAD three-way calibration based on PARAFAC algorithm. Zhang et al. [141] developed a HPLC–DAD three-way analytical method for rapid identification and quantitative analysis of 15 polyphenols in real samples of pu-erh tea, green tea, black tea, and Clinacanthus nutans tea.

Table 1. Analytical applications of high-performance liquid chromatography–diode array detector (HPLC–DAD) assisted by three-way analysis based on the trilinear model.

| Analyte | Sample Description | Model | Algorithm | Validation | Ref. |
|---------|--------------------|-------|-----------|------------|------|
| p-chlorotoluene, o-chlorotoluene | Mixtures of p-chlorotoluene, o-chlorotoluene, and o-dichlorobenzene | LC–DAD-S trilinear | PARAFAC, ATLD | Analysis of synthetic samples | [108] |
| Twelve quinolones | Honey samples with spike | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD | Analysis of spiked samples | [126] |
| Costunolide, dehydrocostuslactone | Human plasma and a Chinese medicine real samples | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD | By LC-MS/MS | [127] |
| Eleven antihypertensives | Human serum, health product, and Chinese patent medicine samples with spike | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD | Analysis of spiked samples | [128] |
| Nine polyphenols | Honey samples with spike | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD | Analysis of spiked samples | [129] |
| Eleven antibiotics | Tap water samples with spike | LC–DAD-S trilinear | PARAFAC | Analysis of spiked samples | [130] |
| Analyte                              | Sample                                           | Model         | Algorithm | Validation                | Ref.   |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------|
| Four tyrosine kinase inhibitors     | Human plasma samples with spike                  | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD      | Analysis of spiked samples | [131]  |
| Six synthetic colorants             | Beverage samples                                 | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD      | Analysis of spiked samples | [132]  |
| Five vinca alkaloids                | Catharanthus roseus real samples and human serum spiked samples | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD      | By HPLC                   | [133]  |
| Thirteen phenolic compounds        | Red wine samples with spike                      | LC–DAD-S trilinear | PARAFAC   | Analysis of spiked samples | [134]  |
| Carbamazepine                       | Human serum samples                              | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD      | Analysis of spiked samples | [135]  |
| Twelve polyphenols                  | Apple peel and pulp real samples                 | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD      | By HPLC                   | [136]  |
| Seventeen polyphenols               | Raw propolis real samples                        | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD      | By LC–MS/MS               | [137]  |
| Eight preservatives                 | Facial mask samples                              | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD      | Analysis of spiked samples | [138]  |
| Eleven organic acids                | Yogurt, culture milk, cheese, and wine real samples | LC–DAD-S trilinear | PARAFAC   | By HPLC                   | [139]  |
| Fifteen veterinary active ingredients| Real samples of poultry litter from five farms   | LC–DAD-S trilinear | PARAFAC   | Analysis of synthetic samples | [140] |
| Fifteen polyphenols                 | Real samples of pu-erh tea, green tea, black tea, and Clinacanthus nutans tea | LC–DAD-S trilinear | ATLD      | Analysis of spiked samples | [141]  |

### 3.2. HPLC–FD and HPLC–EEMF

HPLC–FD and HPLC–EEMF can be used for analytical separation and sensitive determination of organic compounds, which have autofluorescence or derivative fluorescence in mixtures such as food, environmental, and biological samples.

For a single sample, HPLC–FD can generate a liquid chromatogram-emission spectrum (LC–EM) second-order data array $M_{I \times J}$. By arranging multiple second-order data arrays of the calibration and prediction samples, one can obtain a liquid chromatogram–emission spectrum-sample (LC–EM-S) three-way data array $X_{I \times J \times K}$. Then, one can apply a three-way analysis to it to obtain the pure normalized liquid chromatogram, normalized fluorescence emission spectrum, and unnormalized intensity profile of each coeluted component. This mathematical separation function can enhance the selectivity of HPLC–FD.

For a single sample, HPLC–EEMF can generate a liquid chromatogram–excitation spectrum-emission spectrum (LC–EX–EM) third-order data array $M_{I \times J \times K \times L}$. Multiple third-order data arrays of the calibration and prediction samples can be arranged into a liquid chromatogram–excitation spectrum–emission spectrum-sample (LC–EX–EM-S) four-way data array $X_{I \times J \times K \times L}$. One can apply three-way analysis by reshaping this LC–EX–EM-S four-way data array $X_{I \times J \times K \times L}$ into an LC and S-EX–EM three-way data array $X_{I \times J \times L \times K}$. Actually, one can also directly apply four-way analysis on this four-way data array to obtain the pure normalized liquid chromatogram, normalized fluorescence excitation spectrum, normalized fluorescence emission spectrum, and unnormalized intensity profile of each coeluted component. These mathematical separation manners also can enhance the selectivity of HPLC–EEMF.

Analytical applications of HPLC–FD and HPLC–EEMF assisted by three-way analysis based on the trilinear model are listed in Table 2. Tan et al. [142] developed a novel analytical method by using HPLC–FD and three-way calibration with APTLD algorithm,
for simultaneously determining the contents of 20 free amino acids in real samples of green tea, oolong tea, black tea, and pu-erh tea. The authors validated their method by analysis of spiked samples. Wang et al. [143] proposed a novel strategy that combines HPLC–FD with three-way calibration based on the ATLD algorithm for simultaneous determination of seven phenolic antioxidants in six kinds of oil samples with spike. Teglia et al. [140] achieved quantitative analysis of difloxacin, enrofloxacin, flumequine, and albendazole in real samples of poultry litter from five farms by using HPLC–FD coupled with three-way calibration based on PARAFAC algorithm. Alcaráz et al. [144] achieved quantitation of three fluoroquinolones (ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and danofloxacin) in tap water, mineral water, and well water samples with spike by a new modeling strategy of HPLC–EEMF data. The authors obtained a third-order LC–EX–EM data per sample, then constructed an LC&S–EX–EM trilinear model to use three-way calibration based on the PARAFAC algorithm. Actually, they can also directly use four-way calibration based on a four-way PARAFAC algorithm to analyze their third-order LC–EX–EM data arrays by constructing an LC–EX–EM–S quadrilinear model. Bortolato et al. [145] achieved quantitation of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, pheophytin a, and pheophytin b in olive oil samples with and without spike by an augmented modeling strategy of HPLC–EEMF data. The authors also obtained a third-order LC–EX–EM data per sample and then constructed an LC&S–EX–EM trilinear model to use three-way calibration based on the PARAFAC algorithm.

Analytical applications of HPLC–EEMF assisted by four-way analysis based on the quadrilinear model are listed in Table 3. Bortolato et al. [146] also achieved quantitation of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, pheophytin a, and pheophytin b in olive oil samples with and without spike by using HPLC–EEMF coupled with four-way calibration based on a four-way PARAFAC algorithm. Montemurro et al. [147] proposed an HPLC–EEMF method for highly sensitive quantitation of six pesticides (carbendazim, fuberidazole, thiabendazole, carbofuran, carbaryl, and naphthol) in real samples of fruit juice from apple, pear, and plum. The authors constructed the LC–EX–EM–S quadrilinear model and then used four-way calibration based on a four-way PARAFAC algorithm to achieve quantitative analysis. Carabajal et al. [148] achieved on-line generation of third-order LC–EX–EM data, and they used these data to construct the LC–EX–EM–S quadrilinear model, on which a four-way calibration method with a four-way PARAFAC algorithm was proposed for the determination of eight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (fluoranthene, pyrene,

### Table 2. Analytical applications of high-performance liquid chromatography–fluorescence detector (HPLC–FD) and high-performance liquid chromatography–excitation-emission matrix fluorescence detector (HPLC–EEMF) assisted by three-way analysis based on the trilinear model.

| Analyte          | Sample                                                                 | Instrument     | Model              | Algorithm | Validation                      | Ref.     |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| Twenty amino acids | Real samples of green tea, oolong tea, black tea, and pu-erh tea      | HPLC–FD        | LC–EM–S trilinear  | APTLD     | Analysis of spiked samples      | [142]    |
| Seven phenolic antioxidants | Edible vegetable oil samples with spike | HPLC–FD        | LC–EM–S trilinear  | ATLD      | Analysis of spiked samples      | [143]    |
| Difloxacin, enrofloxacin, flumequine, albendazole | Real samples of poultry litter from five farms | HPLC–FD        | LC–EM–S trilinear  | PARAFAC   | Analysis of synthetic samples   | [140]    |
| Ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, danofloxacin | Tap water, mineral water, and well water samples with spike | HPLC–EEMF      | LC&S–EX–EM trilinear | PARAFAC   | Analysis of synthetic samples   | [144]    |
| Chlorophylls, pheophytns | Olive oil samples with and without spike | HPLC–EEMF      | LC&S–EX–EM trilinear | PARAFAC   | Analysis of synthetic samples   | [145]    |
benz[a]anthracene, chrysene, benzo[b]fluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene, benzo[a]pyrene, and dibenz[a,h]anthracene) in underground water and stream water samples with spike.

Table 3. Analytical applications of HPLC–EEMF assisted by four-way analysis based on the quadrilinear model.

| Analyte                                      | Sample                                      | Instrument   | Model              | Algorithm       | Validation                        | Ref.    |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Chlorophylls, pheophytins                    | Olive oil samples with and without spike    | HPLC–EEMF    | LC–EX–EEMF         | Quadrilinear    | Analysis of synthetic samples     | [146]   |
| Six pesticides                               | Real samples of fruit juice from apple, pear, and plum | HPLC–EEMF    | LC–EX–EEMF         | Quadrilinear    | Analysis of spiked samples        | [147]   |
| Eight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons       | Underground water and stream water samples with spike | HPLC–EEMF    | LC–EX–EEMF         | Quadrilinear    | Analysis of synthetic samples     | [148]   |

3.3. LC–MS

LC–MS is almost the most common and powerful analytical instrument for both qualitative and quantitative analysis of compounds (especially hard-to-volatile compounds) in complex mixtures such as pharmaceutical, food, and biological samples [5,149], due to the high selectivities of liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry.

For a single sample, LC–MS can generate a liquid chromatogram–mass spectrum (LC–MS) second-order data array $M_{I,J}$. By arranging multiple second-order data arrays of the calibration and prediction samples, one can obtain a liquid chromatogram-mass spectrum-sample (LC–MS-S) three-way data array $X_{I,J,K}$. Then, one can apply a three-way analysis to it to obtain the pure normalized liquid chromatogram, normalized mass spectrum, and unnormalized intensity profile of each analyte. This mathematical separation function can enhance the selectivity of LC–MS.

Analytical applications of LC–MS assisted by three-way analysis based on the trilinear model are listed in Table 4. Gu et al. [150] developed a smart strategy that combines LC–MS with a three-way calibration method based on the ATLD algorithm (see Figure 4) for simultaneous determination of 10 β-blockers (atenolol, sotalol, carteolol, metoprolol, celiprolol, esmolol, bisoprolol, labetalol, propranolol, and betaxolol) in human urine and plasma samples with spike (see Figure 5). The authors validated their method by LC–MS in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode, and $t$-test demonstrated that there are no significant differences between the prediction results of the two methods. Gu et al. [151] also proposed an LC–MS three-way calibration method based on the ATLD algorithm for simultaneous determination of six sulfonylurea oral anti-diabetic agents (glipizide, tolbutamide, gliclazide, glibenclamide, glimepiride, and gliquidone) in health tea and human plasma samples with spike. Liu et al. [152] proposed an LC–MS three-way calibration method based on the ATLD algorithm for the interference-free determination of multi-class mycotoxins in complex cereal samples with spike. Hu et al. [153] developed an LC–MS three-way calibration method based on ATLD or APTLD algorithms for rapid and interference-free quantitative analysis of nine B-group vitamins in real samples of different energy drinks samples. Sun et al. [154] achieved simultaneous determination of multi-class estrogens (estradiol, 17-α-estradiol, 17-beta-estradiol, estrone, ethinylestradiol, diethylstilbestrol, and bisphenol A) in infant milk powder samples with spike by using the LC–MS three-way calibration method with ATLD algorithm on LC–MS-S trilinear model. Long et al. [155] developed an LC–MS three-way calibration method based on ATLD algorithm for interference-free determination of 15 glucocorticoids in face mask samples with spike. Yan et al. [156] proposed a three-way calibration with the constrained
alternating trilinear decomposition (CATLD) algorithm on LC–MS-S trilinear model for quantitative analysis of coeluting aromatic amino acids in real samples of human plasma. The authors validated their method by an LC–MS one-way calibration and discussed how an additional selectivity mode is introduced by three-way calibration of LC–MS second-order data (Figure 6).

### Table 4. Analytical applications of liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS) assisted by three-way analysis based on the trilinear model.

| Analyte               | Sample                                      | Instrument | Model           | Algorithm   | Validation                        | Ref.   |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Ten β-blockers        | Human urine and plasma samples with spike   | LC–MS      | LC–MS-S trilinear | ATLD        | By LC–MS (MRM mode)              | [150]  |
| Six sulfonylurea oral antidiabetic agents | Health tea and human plasma samples with spike | LC–MS      | LC–MS-S trilinear | ATLD        | Analysis of spiked samples        | [151]  |
| Ten mycotoxins        | Maize and rice samples with spike           | LC–MS      | LC–MS-S trilinear | ATLD        | By LC–MS/MS                       | [152]  |
| Nine B-group vitamins | Real samples of energy drinks samples       | LC–MS      | LC–MS-S trilinear | ATLD        | Analysis of spiked samples        | [153]  |
| Seven estrogens       | Infant milk powder samples with spike       | LC–MS      | LC–MS-S trilinear | ATLD        | By LC–MS/MS                       | [154]  |
| Fifteen glucocorticoids | Face mask samples with spike               | LC–MS      | LC–MS-S trilinear | ATLD        | By LC–MS/MS                       | [155]  |
| Aromatic amino acids  | Real samples of human plasma                | LC–MS      | LC–MS-S trilinear | CATLD       | By LC–MS/MS                       | [156]  |

**Figure 4.** General procedures of LC–MS assisted with second-order calibration method based on alternating trilinear decomposition (ATLD) algorithm. 1. Generate a two-way matrix of responses of one sample by LC–MS in full scan mode; 2. stack the two-way data array corresponding to K samples into three-way data array; 3. build the trilinear model for second-order calibration; 4. decompose the three-way data array into underlying contributions of individual interest with ATLD algorithm; 5. univariate linear regression using the calibration equation with the ATLD resolved relative concentration to obtain the absolute concentration of a target analyte in an unknown sample. Reproduced with permission from Gu et al. [150], Analytica Chimica Acta; published by Elsevier, 2014.
3.4. GC–MS

GC–MS is a commonly used analytical instrument for both qualitative and quantitative analysis of volatile compounds in complex mixtures such as petrochemical and environmental samples.

For a single sample, GC–MS can generate a gas chromatogram–mass spectrum (GC–MS) second-order data array $M_{i,j,k}$. By arranging multiple second-order data arrays of the calibration and prediction samples, one can obtain a gas chromatogram-mass spectrum-
sample (GC–MS-S) three-way data array $X_{I \times J \times K}$. Then, one can apply a three-way analysis to it to obtain the pure normalized gas chromatogram, normalized mass spectrum, and unnormalized intensity profile of each analyte. This mathematical separation function can enhance the selectivity of GC–MS.

Analytical applications of GC–MS assisted by three-way analysis based on the trilinear model are listed in Table 5. Khayamian et al. [157] quantified bifenthrin and tetramethrin in a mixture of analytes by using GC–MS three-way analysis with PARAFAC algorithm. Yang et al. [158] developed a GC–MS three-way calibration based on the PARAFAC algorithm for determining $^{12}$C and $^{13}$C labeled 11 metabolites in *Methyllobacterium extorquens* AM1 samples. Oca et al. [159] achieved the determination of two bisphenols (bisphenol A, bisphenol B) and their diglycidyl ethers in polycarbonate tableware samples with spike by using GC–MS assisted by three-way calibration with PARAFAC algorithm.

### Table 5. Analytical applications of gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) assisted by three-way analysis based on the trilinear model.

| Analyte | Sample | Instrument | Model       | Algorithm | Validation | Ref. |
|---------|--------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------|
| Bifenthrin, tetramethrin | Mixture of analytes | GC–MS | GC–MS-S trilinear | PARAFAC | Analysis of mixture of analytes | [157] |
| Eleven metabolites | *Methyllobacterium extorquens* AM1 samples | GC–MS | GC–MS-S trilinear | PARAFAC | Analysis of spiked samples | [158] |
| Two bisphenols and their diglycidyl ethers | Polycarbonate tableware samples with spike | GC–MS | GC–MS-S trilinear | PARAFAC | Analysis of spiked samples | [159] |

3.5. *LC×LC–UV, LC×LC–DAD, and LC×LC–MS*

The peak capacity of LC×LC is much better than that of LC, therefore the separation capabilities of LC×LC–DAD and LC×LC–MS are better than that of LC–DAD and LC–MS, respectively.

For a single sample, LC×LC–DAD can generate a liquid chromatogram–liquid chromatogram–absorption spectrum (LC–LC–DAD) third-order data array $M_{I \times J \times K}$. By arranging multiple third-order data arrays of the calibration and prediction samples, one can obtain a liquid chromatogram–liquid chromatogram–absorption spectrum-sample (LC–LC–DAD-S) four-way data array $X_{I \times J \times K \times L}$. Then one can apply four-way analysis to it to obtain the pure normalized first liquid chromatogram, normalized second liquid chromatogram, normalized absorption spectrum, and unnormalized intensity profile of each analyte.

For a single sample, LC×LC–MS can generate a liquid chromatogram–liquid chromatogram–mass spectrum (LC–LC–MS) third-order data array $M_{I \times J \times K}$. By arranging multiple third-order data arrays of the calibration and prediction samples, one can obtain a liquid chromatogram–liquid chromatogram–mass spectrum-sample (LC–LC–MS-S) four-way data array $X_{I \times J \times K \times L}$. Then, one can apply a four-way analysis to it to obtain the pure normalized first liquid chromatogram, normalized second liquid chromatogram, normalized mass spectrum, and unnormalized intensity profile of each analyte.

Analytical applications of LC×LC–UV, LC×LC–DAD, and LC×LC–MS assisted by multiway analysis based on the multilinear model are listed in Table 6. Based on LC×LC–UV, Fraga et al. [160] analyzed three analytes in a simulated mixture of analytes by using three-way calibration with the PARAFAC algorithm. Based on LC×LC–DAD, Zhang et al. [161] used the ATLD algorithm on the LC–LC–DAD trilinear model of a single sample to achieve removal of background drift in its comprehensive two-dimensional chromatography data. Based on LC×LC–DAD, Porter et al. [162] used a four-way analysis with a four-way PARAFAC algorithm to analyze maize seedling digests, focusing on compounds related to the biosynthetic pathways of the primary growth regulator (indole-3-acetic acid) in plants. Based on LC×LC–MS, Navarro-Reig et al. [163] used the PARAFAC...
algorithm on the LC–LC–MS&S trilinear model to resolve triacylglycerol structural isomers in a corn oil sample.

Table 6. Analytical applications of comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography–ultraviolet detector (LC×LC–UV), comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography–diode array detector (LC×LC–DAD), and comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC×LC–MS) assisted by multiway analysis based on the multilinear model.

| Analyte sample | Analyte sample | Instrument | Model | Algorithm | Validation | Ref. |
|----------------|----------------|------------|-------|-----------|------------|------|
| Three analytes | Mixture of analytes | LC×LC–UV | LC-LC-S trilinear | PARAFAC | Analysis of mixture of analytes | [160] |
| Background drift | Samples of Chinese medicine *Rhizoma chuanxiong* | LC×LC–DAD | LC-LC-DAD trilinear | ATLD | / | [161] |
| Indoles | Maize seedling digests samples | LC×LC–DAD | LC-LC-DAD-S quadrilinear | Four-way PARAFAC | / | [162] |
| Triacylglycerol structural isomers | Corn oil samples | LC×LC–MS | LC-LC-MS&S trilinear | PARAFAC | / | [163] |

3.6. GC×GC–FID and GC×GC–MS

The peak capacity of GC×GC is much better than that of GC, therefore the separation capabilities of GC×GC–FID and GC×GC–MS are better than that of GC–FID and GC–MS, respectively.

For a single sample, GC×GC–FID can generate a gas chromatogram–gas chromatogram (GC–GC) second-order data array $M_{I×J}$. By arranging multiple second-order data arrays of the calibration and prediction samples, one can obtain a gas chromatogram–gas chromatogram-sample (GC–GC–S) three-way data array $X_{I×J×K}$. Then, one can apply a three-way analysis to it to obtain the pure normalized first gas chromatogram, normalized second gas chromatogram, and unnormalized intensity profile of each analyte.

For a single sample, GC×GC–MS can generate a gas chromatogram–gas chromatogram–mass spectrum (GC–GC–MS) third-order data array $M_{I×J×K}$. By arranging multiple third-order data arrays of the calibration and prediction samples, one can obtain a gas chromatogram–gas chromatogram–mass spectrum-sample (GC–GC–MS–S) four-way data array $X_{I×J×K×L}$. Then, one can apply a four-way analysis to it to obtain the pure normalized first gas chromatogram, normalized second gas chromatogram, normalized mass spectrum, and unnormalized intensity profile of each analyte.

Analytical applications of GC×GC–FID and GC×GC–MS, assisted by multiway analysis based on the multilinear model, are listed in Table 7. Based on GC×GC–FID, van Mispelaar et al. [164] applied three-way calibration with PARAFAC algorithm on the GC–GC–S trilinear model to quantitatively determine six essential-oil markers ($\gamma$-terpinene, citronellyl formate, dimethyl anthranliate, lavendulyl acetate, eucalypthol, and menthone) in perfume real samples. The authors found that, in terms of speed and possibilities for automation, the proposed three-way calibration method, in general, is far superior to traditional integration. Based on the GC×GC–MS, Hoggard et al. [165] proposed the third-order analysis with PARAFAC algorithm on the GC–GC–MS trilinear model of a single sample for automated resolution of nontarget analyte signals. Based on GC–GC–MS trilinear model of a single sample, Skov et al. [166] proposed the third-order analysis with PARAFAC algorithm for quantification of bromobenzene and dimethyl phosphite in a kerosene sample. Based on the GC–GC–MS trilinear model of a single sample, Hoggard et al. [167] proposed the third-order analysis with PARAFAC algorithm for automated peak resolution of 32 compounds in diesel and urine samples. Based on the GC–GC–MS trilinear model per sample, Hoggard et al. [168] developed a third-order analysis method with a PARAFAC algorithm to reveal and exploit the chemical impurity profiles from commercial dimethyl methylphosphonate samples to illustrate the type of forensic information that may be obtained from chemical-attack evidence. Snyder et al. [169] developed a third-order
analysis method with PARAFAC algorithm on the GC–GC–MS trilinear model per sample, for analysis of L-β-methylamino-alanine in human tissue samples.

Table 7. Analytical applications of comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography–flame ionization detection (GC×GC-FID) and comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC×GC–MS) assisted by multiway analysis based on the multilinear model.

| Analyte                              | Sample              | Instrument          | Model                      | Algorithm     | Validation           | Ref.   |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------|
| Six essential-oil markers            | Perfume real samples | GC×GC-FID           | GC-GC-S trilinear           | PARAFAC       | By conventional integration | [164]  |
| Nontarget Analyte                    | Kerosene sample     | GC×GC–MS            | GC-GC–MS trilinear          | PARAFAC       | /                    | [165]  |
| Bromobenzene, dimethyl phosphite     | Kerosene sample     | GC×GC–MS            | GC-GC–MS trilinear          | PARAFAC       | /                    | [166]  |
| Thirty-two compounds                 | Diesel and urine samples | GC×GC–MS           | GC-GC–MS trilinear          | PARAFAC       | /                    | [167]  |
| Twenty-nine chemical impurity        | Six dimethyl methylphosphonate samples | GC×GC–MS           | GC-GC–MS trilinear          | PARAFAC       | /                    | [168]  |
| L-β-methylamino-alanine              | Human tissue sample | GC×GC–MS            | GC-GC–MS trilinear          | PARAFAC       | /                    | [169]  |

4. Conclusions

Multiway analysis based on the multilinear model can introduce additional mathematical selectivity to enhance the selectivity of chromatography. When a complete chromatographic separation of a complex mixture is difficult to achieve in proper time, multiway analysis can be utilized to solve the peak coelution problem. By combining chromatographic separation with mathematical separation, chromatography assisted by multiway analysis can reduce the requirements for complete chromatographic separation of components in a complex real sample, save the elution time, decrease the consumption of the mobile phase, and deal with the drifted baseline. It could be expected to provide a simple and efficient separation tool for fast quantitative analysis in complex mixtures containing unknown interferents, especially for the situation where the general elution problem occurs. In addition to these advantages, chromatography assisted by multiway analysis has good compatibility with traditional quantitative analysis methods (the standard curve method, standard additions method, and internal standard method) in experimental design and sample preparation. There are already many analytical applications of multiway analysis based on the multilinear model in chromatography, and we can expect that there will be more and more applications.

5. Future Outlook

Although multiway analysis has already many successful analytical applications in the field of chromatography, there are still issues that need to be further studied. First, these applications are mainly carried out by chemometricians, not chromatographers. On the one hand, multiway analysis is an emerging and interdisciplinary research area that needs a long time to be accepted by the community of chromatographers; on the other hand, multiway analysis requires an appropriate computing platform, a certain degree of programming skills, and a sound understanding of optimizing algorithm parameters. Second, current applied research focuses mostly on chromatography assisted by multiway calibration method, there is little reported research on chromatography coupled with multiway standard additions method or multiway internal standard method.

In the future, as the accessibility and automation of related software increase, and with the cooperation between the societies of chemometrics and chromatography, there will be more applications of multilinear mathematical separation in chromatography. The combination of them will efficiently and intelligently solve increasing number of practical
chromatography separation problems in the fields of pharmaceutical, environmental, biochemical, biological, and food analysis.
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