Conservation of a DNA Replication Motif among Phylogenetically Distant Budding Yeast Species
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Abstract

Eukaryotic DNA replication begins at genomic loci termed origins, which are bound by the origin recognition complex (ORC). Although ORC is conserved across species, the sequence composition of origins is more varied. In the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the ORC-binding motif consists of an A/T-rich 17 bp “extended ACS” sequence adjacent to a B1 element composed of two 3-bp motifs. Similar sequences occur at origins in closely related species, but it is not clear when this type of replication origin arose and whether it predated a whole-genome duplication that occurred around 100 Ma in the budding yeast lineage. To address these questions, we identified the ORC-binding sequences in the nonduplicated species Torulaspora delbrueckii. We used chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing and identified 190 ORC-binding sites distributed across the eight T. delbrueckii chromosomes. Using these sites, we identified an ORC-binding motif that is nearly identical to the known motif in S. cerevisiae. We also found that the T. delbrueckii ORC-binding sites function as origins in T. delbrueckii when cloned onto a plasmid and that the motif is required for plasmid replication. Finally, we compared an S. cerevisiae origin with two T. delbrueckii ORC-binding sites and found that they conferred similar stabilities to a plasmid. These results reveal that the ORC-binding motif arose prior to the whole-genome duplication and has been maintained for over 100 Myr.
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Significance

DNA replication is the process by which the genome doubles in preparation for cell division. In organisms such as animals, plants, and fungi, replication starts at multiple locations throughout the genome, ensuring efficient doubling. These initiation sites are defined differently across species, and in budding yeast, which are single-celled fungi, a specific DNA sequence is required. This study reveals that this DNA sequence can be remarkably stable over evolutionary time. We found that the same sequence is used in two yeasts, Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Torulaspora delbrueckii, whose last common ancestor existed over 100 Ma.

Introduction

DNA replication is an essential step in the cell cycle that is required for the inheritance of genetic information. Replication begins at genomic loci termed origins of replication, which are demarcated by the origin recognition complex (ORC) in eukaryotes. ORC is a six-subunit protein complex that binds directly to DNA (Bell and Stillman 1992). Although ORC is conserved across eukaryotes, the DNA sequence to which it binds varies. For example, in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe, ORC binds stretches of A/T-rich sequences in a stochastic manner (Segurado et al. 2003; Dai et al. 2005; Patel et al. 2006), whereas in the...
budding yeast. *S. cerevisiae*, ORC binds a specific A/T-rich consensus sequence (Theis and Newlon 1997). Although this ORC-binding motif is conserved among closely related *Saccharomyces* species (Müller and Nieduszynski 2012), it is not known when it arose or how stable it has been over evolutionary time.

In the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae*, replication origins were initially identified by their ability to support replication of plasmids and were consequently termed autonomously replicating sequences (ARSs) (Hsiao and Carbon 1979; Struhl et al. 1979; Chan and Tye 1980). ARSs in *S. cerevisiae* are characterized by a 17-bp A/T-rich motif termed the extended ARS consensus sequence (ACS) (Theis and Newlon 1997; Theis et al. 1999) coupled with an adjacent element termed B1 (Marahrens and Stillman 1992). The entire consensus sequence spans 33 bp and is termed the ORC-ACS (Xu et al. 2011). Although this signature of the B1 element (Descorps-Decleire et al. 2015). In contrast, the more evolutionarily distant budding yeast species *Lachancea kluyveri* and *Lachancea waltii* have similar but shorter ACS-like elements, and a B1 element with a different consensus sequence (Liachko et al. 2011; Di Rienzi et al. 2012). Another budding yeast, *Kluyveromyces lactis*, has considerably different origins, with an unusually long 50 bp ACS composed of short patches of A/T nucleotides (Liachko et al. 2010). However, the evolutionary distance between these species and *S. cerevisiae* limits our understanding of how and when replication origins have evolved. To enhance evolutionary comparisons, we have now identified ORC-binding and when replication origins have evolved. To enhance evolutionary comparisons, we have now identified ORC-binding sites because DNA replication begins with the ATP-dependent binding of ORC to the origin DNA. Therefore, to determine the locations of origins of replication throughout the *T. delbrueckii* genome, we coupled chromatin immunoprecipitation of three subunits of the ORC complex with high-throughput DNA sequencing. We identified 190 ORC-binding sites across the eight chromosomes in the *T. delbrueckii* genome. We then used these 190 sequences to predict an ORC-binding motif. We identified a conserved motif that is strikingly similar to the *S. cerevisiae* ORC-ACS. We further found that several representative ORC-binding sites function as ARSs when placed on a plasmid and that the conserved motif is required for this ARS function. Finally, we compared the stabilities of plasmids bearing an *S. cerevisiae* origin and two *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites and found that they were similar. Overall, this study reveals that the consensus sequence that supports ORC binding and DNA replication in *S. cerevisiae* predates the whole-genome duplication and was likely contributed by one of the two parents.

**Results**

**Identification of *T. delbrueckii* ORC-Binding Sites**

In eukaryotes, the first step of DNA replication is ATP-dependent association of the six-subunit ORC with chromosomal replication origins. To identify regions of the *T. delbrueckii* genome that are potential replication origins, we mapped ORC-binding sites across the genome using chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing (ChiP-Seq). We immunoprecipitated chromatin fragments associated with three epitope-tagged subunits of the ORC complex, Orc1, Orc2, and Orc4 (fig. 1B). Orc1 had a 3XMYC epitope tag, whereas Orc2 and Orc4 had V5 epitope tags. Using two different tags could reduce false signal due to nonspecific immunoprecipitation. We also included a mock immunoprecipitation from an untagged strain. Sequence reads from each ChiP sample were aligned to the *T. delbrueckii* genome (fig. 1C), and then 1 million randomly selected high-quality reads were used to identify sites of higher enrichment (peaks) using MACS2 (Zhang et al. 2008). We identified a total of 216 Orc1 binding sites, 264 Orc2 binding sites, and 227 Orc4 binding sites that were present in replicate ChiP-Seq samples from two independently tagged strains for each subunit (Supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Finally, we identified 190 sites associated with all three ORC subunits (fig. 1D). These ORC-binding sites averaged 670 bp in length and had P-values of $10^{-3}$ or better (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Binding sites were named systematically based on their location, including the chromosome number and linear coordinate divided by 1,000.
**Locations of T. delbrueckii** ORC-Binding Sites

The genome of *T. delbrueckii* is 9.22 Mb and is distributed across eight chromosomes with 4,972 open reading frames (Gordon et al. 2011; Gomez-Angulo et al. 2015). To determine how replication origins are distributed across the genome, we plotted the positions of the 190 *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites (fig. 2, supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). As described in more detail below, most ORC-binding sites were intergenic. The average distance between sites was 47 kbp. We also examined the proximity of ORC-binding sites to features reported to be near origins in other yeast. Specifically, centromeres (Koren et al. 2010; Di Rienzi et al. 2012; Descorps-Decëre et al. 2015; Müller and Nieduszynski 2017) and histone genes (Raghuraman et al. 2001; Müller and Nieduszynski 2017) replicate early in other yeast species. Seven of the eight *T. delbrueckii* centromeres are within 11.4 kbp of an ORC-binding site, and `CEN8` is 23.6 kbp away. The histone genes are an average of 3.5 kbp and no more than 9.8 kbp from an ORC-binding site.

**Identification of Motif Associated with T. delbrueckii ORC-Binding Sites**

Origins of replication in *S. cerevisiae* and other budding yeast contain a consensus sequence that is essential for ORC binding and origin function. In *S. cerevisiae*, the core 11-bp sequence is termed the ACS (Theis and Newlon 1997; Theis et al. 1999), and the full 33-bp sequence is the ORC-ACS (Xu et al. 2006; Eaton et al. 2010). To identify a functionally similar sequence required for ORC binding in *T. delbrueckii*, we searched for a consensus motif among the 190 ORC-binding sites identified by ChIP-Seq. We first used the motif finder

---

**Fig. 1.** Identification of Orc1, Orc2, and Orc4 binding sites in *T. delbrueckii*. (A) Evolutionary relationship of the species discussed in the paper. A species tree was built using a maximum-likelihood approach on a concatenated alignment of ten single-copy genes. Node support values (aLRT) are shown. WGD denotes the whole-genome duplication. ZT denotes the *Zygosaccharomyces* and *Torulaspora* clade (tan box), and KLE denotes the *Kluyveromyces*, *Lachancea*, and *Eremothecium* clade (green box). (B) Immunoblot of tagged ORC subunits TdOrc1-3XMYC (LRY3204, LRY3211), TdOrc2-V5 (LRY3142, LRY3143), and TdOrc4-V5 (LRY3138, LRY3139). The untagged parent strain (JRY10156) was included as a control. An endogenous 3-phosphoglycerate kinase (Pgk1) was detected as a loading control. (C) A representative ORC-binding site on Chromosome VII, ARS VII_228, is depicted. Each line represents the read depth of sequences generated by ChIP-Seq from one tagged ORC strain. The bottom line shows the positions of genes flanking the ORC-binding site. (D) Venn diagram indicating the overlap between 216 Orc1 binding sites (coral), 264 Orc2 binding sites (blue), and 227 Orc4 binding sites (purple).
MEME (Bailey et al. 2009) without specifying the motif length and obtained a 17 bp conserved motif that was present in 187 of 190 ORC-binding sequences (fig. 3A). Interestingly, this motif is nearly identical to the published extended ACS for S. cerevisiae and C. glabrata (Eaton et al. 2010; Descorps-Decré et al. 2015) but less similar to motifs from nonduplicated yeast in the KLE clade (fig. 3B). For example, L. kluyveri has a shorter 9-bp ACS (Liachko et al. 2011), and L. waltii has a 13-bp ACS (Di Rienzi et al. 2012).

We next fixed the motif length to 33 bp to investigate if there is a B1-like element in T. delbrueckii, as in S. cerevisiae. Indeed, when a longer motif was specified, MEME returned a sequence that included the extended ACS as well as two shorter motifs resembling the S. cerevisiae B1 element (fig. 3B). This motif occurred in 188 of the 190 ORC-binding sites when a first-order Markov model of the background was built. One binding site, TdARS_VI_530, did not have a match to the consensus motif in this analysis, but did have a match when a second-order Markov model of the background was built. For another binding site, III_1257, no match to the conserved motif was detected, although inspection of the genome browser indicated that ORC was associated with this site (supplementary fig. S4A, Supplementary Material online). As described below, this site had low replication activity on a plasmid. Overall, the motif we detected was more similar to the S. cerevisiae ORC-ACS than motifs described in nonduplicated species from the KLE clade. In these species, the consensus sequence of the B1 element is longer than in S. cerevisiae and T. delbrueckii. In contrast, the duplicated species C. glabrata has a weak match to the B1 element (Descorps-Decré et al. 2015). Thus, the motif associated with T. delbrueckii ORC-binding sites is highly similar to the ORC-ACS motif known in S. cerevisiae, suggesting that this sequence arose in a common ancestor of the two species and prior to the genome duplication.

A Tyrosine in Orc4 That Contacts DNA Is Found in Species with an Extended ACS

Cryo-EM studies of the S. cerevisiae prereplication complex indicate that a loop in Orc2 and an alpha-helix in Orc4 make direct contacts with DNA in the extended ACS (Yuan et al. 2017). Moreover, genetic studies pinpoint tyrosine 486 in ScOrc4 as conferring specificity for nucleotides 14 and 15 in the ACS (Hu et al. 2020). These positions harbor A/T and G/T in S. cerevisiae, C. glabrata, and T. delbrueckii, but no particular nucleotide is found at these positions in the KLE ORC-binding sites (fig. 3B). To determine whether having defined nucleotides at these positions within the ORC-binding motif (fig. 3B: red boxed nucleotides [S. cerevisiae positions 14 and 15 and T. delbrueckii positions 12 and 13]) correlates with the presence of this tyrosine, we aligned the Orc4 sequences from the same species (fig. 3C). Indeed, the tyrosine residue
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**Fig. 2.**—Genome-wide distribution of ORC-binding sites. Dots represent the 190 ORC-binding sites on each of the eight T. delbrueckii chromosomes. Thick vertical black lines indicate the right end of each chromosome, and Δ indicates the centromere. The histone genes, HTA, HTB, HHF, and HHT, are indicated with black arrows. The mating-type loci, MAT, HML, and HMR, and the rDNA repeat locus (dashed line) are genomic landmarks shown for reference. Every major unit on the scale represents 100,000 base pairs.
Conservation of a DNA Replication Motif

**Fig. 3.**—Comparison of sequence motifs associated with *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites and other known origins of replication. (A) A 17-bp motif was found in 187 of 190 *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites by MEME (Bailey et al. 2009) when the motif length was not specified. (B) A longer motif was found in 189 of 190 predicted *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites when the motif length was fixed to 33. This motif is compared with known motifs from *S. cerevisiae*
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**GBE**
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**Fig. 3.**—Comparison of sequence motifs associated with *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites and other known origins of replication. (A) A 17-bp motif was found in 187 of 190 *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites by MEME (Bailey et al. 2009) when the motif length was not specified. (B) A longer motif was found in 189 of 190 predicted *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites when the motif length was fixed to 33. This motif is compared with known motifs from *S. cerevisiae*
occurred in *S. cerevisiae*, *C. glabrata*, and *T. delbrueckii* but not in the KLE species.

**ORC-Binding Motifs Coincided with the Peak of ORC Enrichment**

If the ACS-like motif, we detected by MEME is the ORC recognition site, it should coincide with the peak of ORC binding at each site. We therefore generated aggregate plots and heat maps of the ChIP-Seq signals from the three ORC subunits centered around the 33-bp motif (fig. 4C). For this analysis, the motifs were all oriented the same way. As predicted, the peak of ORC binding did coincide with the motif. Interestingly, we observed that the maximum enrichment was at slightly different positions for the three subunits. The peak for Orc1 was ~60 bp upstream of the center of the motif (nucleotide 17), for Orc4 it was ~20 bp upstream, and for Orc2 it was ~10 bp downstream of the center of the motif. This result is consistent with crosslinking studies of *S. cerevisiae* ORC that show a differential arrangement of the ORC subunits across the ACS (Lee and Bell 1997).

**Torulaspora delbrueckii** ORC-Binding Motifs Were Preferentially Located in Intergenic Regions

In other yeasts, replication origins are primarily located in intergenic regions (Nieduszynski et al. 2007; Liachko et al. 2010; Di Rienzi et al. 2012; Descors-Declère et al. 2015). To determine if this is also true in *T. delbrueckii*, we determined the positions of the 189 ORC-binding motifs relative to the flanking genes. We found a strong preference for intergenic regions, with 170 of 189 motifs (90%) located within an intergenic region (fig. 4A). The average length of these 170 intergenic regions was 784 bp. In contrast, intergenic regions without an ORC-binding site were shorter, with an average length of 357 bp (fig. 4B). We also examined the orientations of the genes flanking intergenic regions with ORC-binding sites (fig. 4A). There was a slight bias for ORC-binding motifs to be positioned with one gene transcribing toward the motif and the other transcribing away from it. This arrangement was observed for 98 out of 170 motifs (57.6%), which is slightly higher than the 50% expected with no bias. Approximately equal numbers of motifs were located between divergently transcribed genes (37) and convergently transcribing genes (34). Thus, most of the *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites are located within intergenic regions, where they are less likely to interfere with transcription, but there is no favored orientation of the flanking genes.

**ORC-Binding Sites Enabled Plasmids to Replicate**

An ARS is a sequence that is sufficient to allow a plasmid to replicate. To test whether the *T. delbrueckii* ORC-binding sites are functional ARSs, we cloned six representative binding sites into a plasmid lacking an origin and assessed whether the plasmid was stable in *T. delbrueckii*. These six ORC-binding sites (TdARS_VII_22, 69, 228, 525, 681, and 734) were 330–580 bp in length, and each had a fold enrichment of three or more for all three ORC subunits (fig. 5). We focused on ORC-binding sites on chromosome VII because we wanted to identify ORC-binding sites adjacent to the cryptic mating-type locus *HMR1* (unpublished data). The ORC-binding sites were cloned onto a plasmid with no other origin sequences, a hygromycin (antibiotic) resistance gene, and a *T. delbrueckii* centromere to ensure segregation. We also created a similar plasmid containing *S. cerevisiae* ARS209, which is known to have ARS activity in *T. delbrueckii* (Ellahi and Rine 2016). Once plasmids were taken up by *T. delbrueckii* cells, efficient replication was required for growth on medium with hygromycin; therefore, only plasmids bearing a functional ARS yielded robust colonies (fig. 6A). We observed that all six ORC-binding sites had strong ARS function, producing robust colonies similar to those produced with the *S. cerevisiae* ARS. In contrast, a control plasmid lacking an ORC-binding site produced only tiny colonies. To quantify this difference, we measured the average colony area (fig. 6B) and found that colonies produced with plasmids containing strong ORC-binding sites were ≥1 mm², whereas the control plasmid yielded colonies of less than 0.09 mm².

**Conserved Motif Associated with ORC-Binding Sites Was Required for ARS Activity**

In *S. cerevisiae* and other yeast, the ACS is required for ARS activity. To determine whether the motif we detected using MEME behaves as an ACS, we deleted the consensus sequence from TdARS_VII_681, generating TdARS_VII_681Δ (fig. 5B). Plasmids carrying this modified ORC-binding site produced only tiny colonies, significantly smaller than those colonies harboring the plasmid with an intact motif (fig. 6A and B). We also tested the one ORC-binding site that did not have a match to the consensus motif in our MEME analysis, site III_1257. All three ORC subunits were present at position III_1257 (supplementary fig. S4A, Supplementary Material online). However, plasmids carrying site III_1257 produced significantly smaller colonies compared with plasmids bearing an ORC-binding site with the motif (supplementary fig. S4B and...
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Fig. 4.—The ORC-binding motif is preferentially located between genes and coincides with the peak of ORC binding. (A) Position and number of ORC-binding motifs relative to the flanking genes. The arrows indicate the transcription direction of the genes. Motifs included within the vertical gray bars span the junction between the gene and the intergenic region. One ORC-binding motif occurs between the last gene and the end of the chromosome (top line). (B) Box and whisker plot representing the sizes (kb) of intergenic regions with and without an ORC-binding site. Each box shows the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles. The vertical line in each box represents the median size. The whiskers show 10th and 90th percentiles. (C) Aggregate plot (middle) and heat maps (bottom) of ChIP-Seq signals for Orc1, Orc2, and Orc4 are shown centered on the 33-bp motif (top) generated using MEME. Data for each binding site are oriented with the ACS to the left and B1 element to the right. The y axis for the aggregate plot and heat maps represents the average read depth of sequences generated by ChIP-Seq. The x axis represents ChIP-Seq signals across 1 kb upstream and downstream of the 33 bp motif.

C, Supplementary Material online). These findings indicate that the detected motif is required for replication and therefore behaves as an ACS.

Sequences Matching the ACS but Lacking ORC Binding Had No ARS Activity

Although the ACS is required for DNA replication in S. cerevisiae and other yeasts, it is not sufficient for origin activity. In fact, the S. cerevisiae genome contains many more matches to the ACS than ORC-binding sites or functional replication origins (Belsky et al. 2015). This observation prompted us to test whether sequences that match the ACS motif but lack ORC binding would display ARS activity. To do so, we cloned three genetic loci with potential ACSs but low or questionable ORC enrichment. One site, VII_55, had a match to the ORC-binding motif using FIMO (Bailey et al. 2009), but no ORC enrichment. Another site, VII_591, had low enrichment (≤3) of Orc2 and no enrichment for Orc1 and Orc4. A third site, VII_6, was subtelomeric and had a broad peak of Orc1 that likely reflects subtelomeric heterochromatin. However, Orc2 and Orc4 had a different pattern of association, with narrow peaks of low enrichment (≤3) (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). To determine whether VII_6 represents a subtelomeric origin, we included it in the ARS assay. Plasmids containing the three ACS-like sequences produced only tiny colonies with an average area of less than 0.09 mm² (fig. 6A and B). This finding indicates that the identified ACS motif alone is not sufficient for replication and that additional features are needed to recruit ORC and promote DNA replication.

Plasmids Bearing S. cerevisiae and T. delbrueckii ARSs Were Lost at Similar Rates in T. delbrueckii

The predicted T. delbrueckii ACS is similar to, but not exactly the same as, the S. cerevisiae ACS (fig. 3), which could indicate slight differences in the specificity of ORC in the two species. To compare the abilities of ScARS209 and T. delbrueckii origins to promote replication, we used a plasmid loss assay (Marahrens and Stillman 1992), which is more sensitive than the transformation assay. We compared the relative stabilities of plasmids bearing TdARS_VII_228 and TdARS_VII_681, which have high fold enrichment of ORC
subunits (fig. 5B), with a plasmid bearing ScARS209. To measure the retention of the plasmid, yeast containing plasmids were grown for ~15 generations in nonselective medium (YPD), and the fraction of hygromycin-resistant cells was calculated at the start and end of the nonselective growth. Both the *T. delbrueckii* and the *S. cerevisiae* ARS-containing plasmids showed a similar extent of plasmid loss (fig. 6C), consistent with the sequence features necessary for origin function being similar in the two species. As an aside, we note that the loss rate for the plasmid we used in *T. delbrueckii* is higher than for the plasmids that have been studied in *S. cerevisiae* (Marahrens and Stillman 1992). This higher loss rate could be due to differences in centromere-mediated segregation.

**Discussion**

In this study, we mapped ORC-binding sites and characterized their consensus sequences in the nonduplicated budding yeast *T. delbrueckii*. Using ChiP-Seq, we identified binding sites for three of the six subunits of the ORC. Out of the 216 Orc1, 264 Orc2, and 227 Orc4 binding sites, 190 sites were associated with all three subunits. We also found a consensus motif in 189 of the 190 ORC-binding sites. In addition, we found that six of six tested ORC-binding sites with a consensus motif had autonomous replication function on a plasmid, supporting the expectation that the ORC-binding sites are genomic origins of replication.

Our approach of using ChiP-Seq to identify potential origins of replication was less labor-intensive than screening genomic libraries for ARS activity and homed in on consensus sequences more precisely than measuring replication timing across the genome. This ChiP-Seq strategy takes advantage of the foundational role of ORC in recruiting other proteins to the prereplication complex. In addition, we used two different epitope tags to reduce technical biases. Validating this approach, all six ORC-binding sites tested for ARS function supported plasmid replication. In contrast, sites that matched the consensus sequence but had low or no association of the three subunits showed no ARS function. For example, VII_55 had a match to the ORC-binding motif but no ORC enrichment, consequently, had no replication function on a plasmid.

The locations of ORC-binding sites across the *T. delbrueckii* genome are consistent with observations in previously studied...
FIG. 6. ORC-binding sites act as ARSs on plasmids. (A) To test for ARS activity, a hygromycin-sensitive T. delbrueckii strain (JRY10156) was transformed with plasmids bearing various ORC-binding sites and a hygromycin-resistance gene. Hygromycin-resistant colonies were imaged after 2 days. Controls were plasmids lacking an ARS (empty vector) and bearing S. cerevisiae origin known to function in T. delbrueckii. (B) The average area of the colonies shown in panel A was calculated using Image J (Schneider et al. 2012). Error bars represent standard deviations between three replicate experiments. (C) Plasmid loss assays were performed on TdARS_VII_228, TdARS_VII_681, and ScARS209. The fraction of cells able to grow on hygromycin, and therefore containing plasmids with the hygromycin-resistance gene, was determined before and after growth in nonselective medium (YPD) for 30 h. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean of three replicate experiments.
budding yeasts. For example, in many yeast species, centromeres and histone genes replicate early and hence are near origins (Koren et al. 2010; Di Rienzi et al. 2012; Descorps-Declère et al. 2015; Müller and Nieduszynski 2017). Early replication of the centromeres may promote kinetochore assembly or proper orientation of sister chromatids (McCarroll and Fangman 1988). Similarly, early replication of histone genes may enhance the production of histones prior to duplication of the remaining genome (Mei et al. 2017). Consistent with observations in other yeast, we found that T. delbrueckii ORC-binding sites are close to centromeres and histone genes. Each of the two copies of histone H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 genes are located within 9.8 kbp of ORC-binding sites. Similarly, seven of the eight T. delbrueckii centromeres were within 11.4 kbp of an ORC-binding site. CEN8 is farther from the nearest ORC-binding site (23.6 kbp). An examination of the ChiP-Seq read depth in the vicinity of CEN8 did not reveal an ORC-binding site that had been missed. Thus, it is either not essential for centromeres to be close to origins, or CEN8, which has not been examined functionally, may not be the actual centromere. Although our work did not explicitly examine replication timing, these findings are consistent with the importance of early replication for centromere and histone gene function.

The average distance between adjacent ORC-binding sites in the T. delbrueckii genome is approximately 47 kbp, which falls in the middle of the range for budding yeasts. The average distance is shorter for the 269 origins in S. cerevisiae (30 kbp), longer for the 124 origins in K. lactis (70 kbp), but about the same for the 195 origins in L. waltii (52 kbp) and the 275 origins in C. glabrata (45 kbp). Interestingly, we identified fewer ORC-binding sites in T. delbrueckii (190) than there are in S. cerevisiae (269). Given that only a fraction of origins is used in any given cell division in S. cerevisiae (Friedman et al. 1997), it would be interesting to explore the fraction of active origins and replicon size in T. delbrueckii. Alternatively, the difference in origin number and spacing may indicate that additional origins are yet to be discovered in T. delbrueckii, which has not been as extensively studied as S. cerevisiae.

We also found that a majority (90%) of the T. delbrueckii ORC-binding sites occur in intergenic regions, although there was no bias for a particular orientation of flanking genes. This lack of bias is similar to the nonduplicated species K. lactis (Liachko et al. 2010), but different from S. cerevisiae (Nieduszynski et al. 2007) and L. waltii (Di Rienzi et al. 2012) where ARSs are biased for intergenic regions between convergently and divergently transcribed genes, respectively. We also found that intergenic regions with ORC-binding sites are slightly larger than those without. This arrangement could favor replication initiation by reducing transcription interference with ORC binding.

We noticed in aggregate plots that the peaks of the three ORC subunits were slightly offset from one another with respect to the consensus motif. The peak of Orc1 was the most 5’ relative to the T-rich strand, and Orc2 was the most 3’. This offset binding is similar to previously reported crosslinking positions (Lee and Bell 1997). Moreover, it is possible that Orc1 contacts DNA upstream of the ORC-ACS motif through its nucleosome-binding BAH domain. However, the shifted peak of Orc1 could also be a technical artifact, as the Orc1 ChiP-Seq data were collected at a different time using a different antibody. In addition, single-end sequencing was used for Orc1 and paired-end sequencing was used for Orc2 and Orc4. Therefore, whether the skewed enrichment of the three ORC subunits is a functional aspect of ORC–DNA interaction requires further investigation.

The consensus sequence we found to be associated with ORC-binding sites was essential but not sufficient for replication of a plasmid. In the ARS assay, deletion of the 17-bp ACS-like motif resulted in small colony sizes, reflecting little replication of the plasmid. Additionally, an ORC-binding site that had no consensus ORC-binding motif replicated poorly. On the other hand, matches to the 17 bp consensus sequence that were not occupied by ORC produced only tiny colonies, indicating poor or no ARS function. Hence, there are most likely additional features beyond the consensus motif that are important for ORC binding and DNA replication. In S. cerevisiae, additional B elements downstream of the ORC-ACS are required for origin function. For example, A-rich B2 elements facilitate helicase loading and unwinding (Zou and Stillman 2000; Lipford and Bell 2001), and the B3 element recruits Abf1, thereby positioning nucleosomes (Lipford and Bell 2001). Presumably, similar sequences exist near ORC-binding sites in T. delbrueckii.

Although specific sequences define replication origins in all Saccharomycetaeae yeasts that have been examined, the consensus sequence varies across species. This variability is particularly pronounced among the nonduplicated yeast from the KLE clade. A comparative study of ten Lachancea species revealed a variety of motifs at replication origins (Agier et al. 2018), and K. lactis has an unusually long 50-bp ARS which barely resembles the consensus motifs from other budding yeast (Liachko et al. 2010). In contrast T. delbrueckii, belonging to the Zygosaccharomyces, Torulaspora (ZT) clade, has a motif that is highly similar to the ORC-ACS found in duplicated species such as S. cerevisiae and C. glabrata. We also observed that an S. cerevisiae origin and two T. delbrueckii origins confer similar stability to a plasmid in T. delbrueckii cells. It is striking that the ORC-ACS motif is so similar in T. delbrueckii and S. cerevisiae, which diverged over 100 Ma, whereas origin motifs have shifted on a shorter time scale among KLE species.

The similarity between the ORC-ACS motifs in S. cerevisiae and T. delbrueckii raises questions about the early events in the whole-genome duplication. In the initial hybridization event, it seems likely that the ZT parent contributed replication origins of the ORC-ACS type, whereas the KLE parent contributed another type of origin. Did having two different
mechanisms for recognizing origins lead to genomic instability? How was this conflict ultimately resolved in favor of the ORC-ACS type of origin? One possibility is that ORC subunits from the ZT parent were retained, favoring the use of the ORC-ACS sequence. Once the ORC proteins favored the ORC-ACS type of origin, DNA derived from the KLE parent may have been replicated less efficiently than DNA derived from the ZT parent. Did this poor replication mimic DNA damage and trigger recombination between the homologous KLE and ZT sequences? Did this lead to loss of heterozygosity in favor of the sufficiently replicated ZT sequences? If so, this uneven replication may have contributed to duplicated species retaining more genetic material from the ZT parent compared with the KLE parent (Marcet-Houben and Gabaldon 2015).

In summary, this work reveals that origin sequences can be stable over long periods of evolutionary time and sets the stage for future studies on how origin selection mechanisms impact DNA retention after hybridization events.

Materials and Methods

Tree Construction

To construct the species tree, we selected ten protein-coding genes (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online) found in single copy across the nine species considered (Aguijela et al. 2008). Their amino acid sequences were obtained from the yeast gene order browser (Byrne and Wolfe 2005) and the candida gene order browser (Maguire et al. 2013) and then concatenated, resulting in a combined alignment of 9925 positions. The concatenated sequences were aligned in MAFFT v7.475 using E-INS-i with default settings (Katoch and Standley 2013). MAFFT alignment was input into IQTree (Trifinopoulos et al. 2016) to determine the best amino acid substitution model: LG+F+I+G4 (Hoang et al. 2018). Finally, a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed using PhyML v3.1 using the LG+F+I+G4 model as implemented in Seaview v5.0.4 (Gouy et al. 2010). Node support was assessed with approximate likelihood ratio tests (aLRT). Subtree pruning and regrafting was used as a search algorithm with five random starts.

Yeast Growth and Transformation

Yeast strains used in this study (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online) were derived from JRY10156 (Ellahi and Rine 2016), a Torulaspora delbruecki ORC1 (TDEL0D03510), ORC2 (TDEL0D03510), and ORC4 (TDEL0H00550) reading frames along with ~500 bp flanking sequence were amplified from genomic DNA (JRY10156) and ligated into pRS414. To tag Orc2 and Orc4, the V5 epitope tag and hygromycin resistance marker were amplified from plasmid pFA6a-6xGLY-V5-hphMX4 (Funakoshi and Hochstrasser 2009) and stitched into the 3’ end of each gene. For Orc1, the 3XMYC tag was inserted in the linker between the BAH and AAA+ domains, and the hygromycin resistance marker was added downstream of the gene. The 3XMYC epitope tag was amplified from plasmid pWZV87 (Brand et al. 1985), and the hygromycin resistance gene was amplified from pFA6a-6xGLY-V5-hphMX4 (Funakoshi and Hochstrasser 2009). To integrate Orc1-3XMYC, Orc2-V5, and Orc4-V5 into T. delbruecki, tagging constructs were excised from the plasmids by restriction digestion and used to transform JRY10156 (Ellahi and Rine 2016). To confirm an intact reading frame, the tagged genes were sequenced, and to confirm protein expression, immunoblots were used.

Plasmid and Yeast Strain Construction

Plasmids used in this study are listed in supplementary tables S4 and S5, Supplementary Material online. Plasmids for tagging ORC subunits were derived from pRS414 (Sikorski and Hieter 1989). Torulaspora delbruecki ORC1 (TDEL0D03510), ORC2 (TDEL0D03510), and ORC4 (TDEL0H00550) reading frames along with ~500 bp flanking sequence were amplified from genomic DNA (JRY10156) and ligated into pRS414. To tag Orc2 and Orc4, the V5 epitope tag and hygromycin resistance marker were amplified from plasmid pFA6a-6xGLY-V5-hphMX4 (Funakoshi and Hochstrasser 2009) and stitched into the 3’ end of each gene. For Orc1, the 3XMYC tag was inserted in the linker between the BAH and AAA+ domains, and the hygromycin resistance marker was added downstream of the gene. The 3XMYC epitope tag was amplified from plasmid pWZV87 (Brand et al. 1985), and the hygromycin resistance gene was amplified from pFA6a-6xGLY-V5-hphMX4 (Funakoshi and Hochstrasser 2009). To integrate Orc1-3XMYC, Orc2-V5, and Orc4-V5 into T. delbruecki, tagging constructs were excised from the plasmids by restriction digestion and used to transform JRY10156 (Ellahi and Rine 2016). To confirm an intact reading frame, the tagged genes were sequenced, and to confirm protein expression, immunoblots were used.

Plasmids for the transformation frequency assay were derived from pRS41H (Ellahi and Rine 2016), which has a T. delbruecki centromere (CEN3), an S. cerevisiae origin (ARS209), and a hygromycin resistance gene. To facilitate insertion of potential origins, the S. cerevisiae ARS was deleted and replaced with Spht and Nhel cut sites, generating pLR1275. Next, ORC-binding sites to be tested for ARS activity were amplified from T. delbruecki genomic DNA (JRY10156) using primers with flanking Spht and Nhel sites. Saccharomyces cerevisiae ARS209 was also amplified from pRS41H using primers with flanking Spht and Nhel sites. III_1257 was amplified using primers with flanking Spht and Xbal sites. Digested PCR products were ligated into plasmid pLR1275 using NEB instant sticky-end ligase master mix (NEB M03705). Additionally, the 17 bp ORC-binding motif was
deleted from pLR1278 and replaced with Xbal cut site using PCR-mediated mutagenesis to generate pLR1287.

**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblots were performed as previously described (Hickman and Rusche 2010). Cells were grown to an OD600 of ~1.0 and fixed with a final concentration of 10% TCA. For lysis, 40 OD equivalents of fixed cells were vortexed 5 min in the presence of silica beads (0.5 mm dia. #11079105z, BioSpec Products) in 40 µl lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 150 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 5 µg/ml chymostatin, 2 µg/ml pepstatin A, 156 µg/ml benzamidine, 35.2 µg/ml TPCK, 174 µg/ml PMSF, and 1 x Complete Protease Inhibitor [Roche]). Proteins were denatured by the addition of 1/3 volume 3X sample buffer (30% glycerol, 15% β-mercaptoethanol, 6% SDS, 200 mM Tris pH 6.8, 0.08 mg/ml bromophenol blue) and incubation at 95°C for 5 min. Finally, samples were clarified by centrifugation. Aliquots (10 µl) of protein extract were resolved on a 7.5% acrylamide gel, transferred to membrane (Amersham 45004008), and probed to detect Orc1 protein (anti-MYC, Millipore 06-549), Orc2 or Orc4 proteins (anti-V5, Millipore AB3792) or Pgk1 (ab113687, Abcam) as a loading control.

**Transformation Frequency and Plasmid Loss Assay**

For the transformation frequency assay, T. delbrueckii cells were transformed with 5 ng plasmid and plated on selective medium (YPD + 400 µg/ml hygromycin). Three replicate transformations were performed, and colony images were transformed with 5 ng plasmid and plated on selective (YPD) plates to determine the fraction of cells resistant to the presence of BSA and salmon sperm DNA. Library preparation (Takara Bio SMARTer ThruPlex DNA seq kit) and sample barcoding were done at the Next-Generation Sequencing facility at University at Buffalo. The samples were then sequenced on an Illumina 1.9 sequencer using 76 bp single-end sequencing for Orcl-3XMYC (LRY3204 and LRY3211) and 151 bp paired-end sequencing for Orc2-V5 and Orc4-V5 (LRY3142, LRY3143, LRY3138, and LRY3139).

**Bioinformatics Analysis Pipeline**

Prior to sequence alignment, the paired-end sequence reads were processed to remove 3’ adapter sequences (“-a AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCA -A AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT”) using Cutadapt/2.10 (Martin 2011) with the parameters “--nosextrim —20, -m 10.” Trimmed paired-end reads and raw single-end reads were aligned to the T. delbrueckii genome (CBS11146 [GCA_000243375.1]) (Gordon et al. 2011) using Bowtie2/2.3.4.1 (Langmead et al. 2009). Samtools/1.9 (Li et al. 2009) was used to convert .sam output files from Bowtie2/2.3.4.1 to .bam, -sorted.bam and index files. To identify ORC-binding sites, Model-based Analysis of ChIP-Seq, MACS2/2.2.7.1 (Zhang et al. 2008) was used to call peaks using 1 million randomly selected high-quality reads with mock IP as control for Orc2 and Orc4 and genomic input as control for Orc1 samples; for all samples, –nomodel was used, –extsize 200 was used for single-end reads only, and -f BEDPE was used for paired-end reads only. To determine intersecting peaks for Orc1, Orc2, and Orc4, Bedtools/2.23.0 (Quinlan and Hall 2010) was used with the parameters “-f 0.4, -r” which requires at least 40% overlap among all peaks. Finally, to determine the ORC-binding motif, MEME Suite 5.3.0 (Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation) (Bailey et al. 2009) was used with the 190 ORC-binding sites as input.

To compare the ORC-binding motifs of various species, the coordinates of replications origins were retrieved from published literature for S. cerevisiae (Belsky et al. 2015), K. lactis (Liachko et al. 2010), C. glabrata (Descorps-Decle`re e et al. 2015), L. kluyveri (Liachko et al. 2011), and L. waltii (Di Rienzi et al. 2012). Reference genomes for S. cerevisiae (GCF_000146404.2), K. lactis (GCF_000002515.2), C. glabrata (GCF_000002545.3), and L. kluyveri (GCA_000149225.1) were downloaded from NCBI. The reference genome for L. waltii was provided by M. K. Raghu ram (Di Rienzi et al. 2009). MEME (Bailey et al. 2009) was used to search for consensus motifs in 269 S. cerevisiae, 124 K. lactis, 275 C. glabrata, 84 L. kluyveri, and 194 L. waltii sequences. The search assumed the motif is present zero or once per sequence (the ZOOPS model) and enriched over the background. A first-order Markov model of the background was built for T. delbrueckii, a second-order Markov model of the background was built for S. cerevisiae and L. waltii, a fourth-order Markov model of the background.
was built for *K. lactis* and *L. kluyveri*, and a zero-order Markov model of the background was built for *C. glabrata*. A 33-bp motif was specified for *S. cerevisiae*, *C. glabrata*, *L. waltii*, and *T. delbrueckii*, a 9-bp motif was specified for *L. kluyveri*, and a 50-bp motif was specified for *K. lactis*.

Aggregate plot and heat maps for ChIP-Seq signals for Orc1, Orc2, and Orc4 were generated using DeepTools/3.5.0 (Ramirez et al. 2014). For this analysis, bed files of two replicates for each ORC subunit were combined using MACS2/2.2.7.1. DeepTools/3.5.0 “computeMatrix reference-point” was used to calculate scores per genome region using the parameters “--sortRegions no --binSize 10.” For both aggregate plot and heat map, the ChIP-Seq signals from the three ORC subunits were centered around the 33-bp motif generated from MEME and extended 1-kb base pairs upstream and downstream of the motif.

### Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.
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