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Abstract

Sound symbolism, systematic associations between sounds and meanings, is receiving increasing attention in linguistics, psychology and related disciplines. One general question that is currently explored in this research is what sorts of semantic properties can be symbolically represented. Against this background, within the general research paradigm which explores the nature of sound symbolism using Pokémon names, several recent studies have shown that Japanese speakers associate certain classes of sounds with notions that are as complex as Pokémon types. Specifically, Japanese speakers associate (1) sibilants with the flying type, (2) voiced obstruents with the dark type, and (3) labial consonants with the fairy type. These sound symbolic effects arguably have their roots in the phonetic properties of the sounds at issue, and hence are not expected to be specific to Japanese. The current study thus addressed the question of whether these sound symbolic associations hold with native speakers of English. Two experiments show that these sound symbolic patterns patterns were very robustly observed when the stimuli were presented in pairs; when the stimuli were presented in isolation, the effects were also tangible, although not as robust. We conclude that English speakers can associate certain types of sounds to particular Pokémon types, with an important caveat that we observed a clear task effect. Overall the current results lend some credibility to the hypothesis advanced by Shih et al. (2019) that those attributes that play a role in a Pokémon’s survival are actively signaled by sound symbolism
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1 Introduction

1.1 Theoretical background

One of the most influential dictums that governed modern linguistic theories in the twentieth century was the thesis of arbitrariness—the relationships between sounds and meanings are essentially arbitrary (Hockett 1959; Locke 1689; Saussure 1916/1972). An increasing number of studies, however, have shown that there are many cases of systematic relationships between sounds and meanings observed in human languages, and as such the thesis of arbitrariness was too strong. Such sound-meaning associations are now actively studied under the rubric of sound symbolism, which is a topic of extensive exploration in linguistics, psychology, cognitive science, and other related disciplines (see Akita 2015; Dingemanse et al. 2015; Imai and Kita 2014; Kawahara 2020a; Lockwood and Dingemanse 2015; Nielsen and Dingemanse 2020; Nuckolls 1999; Perniss et al. 2010; Schmidtke et al. 2014; Sidhu and Pexman 2018; Svantesson 2017 for recent reviews).

There are various reasons why sound symbolism is now considered to be an important topic of exploration. A growing body of research has shown, for example, that sound symbolism may guide first and second language acquisition to a non-trivial degree (Asano et al. 2015; Imai and Kita 2014; Nielsen and Dingemanse 2020; Nygaard et al. 2009). Some scholars argue that it may have played an essential role in the origin and development of human languages (Cabrera 2012; Perlman and Lupyan 2018; Perniss and Vigiliocco 2014), while others claim that these sound-meaning connections may be a specific instance of more general synesthetic cross-modal perception, in which sensation in one modality can evoke sensation in another modality (Bankieris and Simner 2015; Cuskley and Kirby 2013; Ramachandran and Hubbard 2001; Spence 2011). Sound symbolism did not use to be a major topic of exploration in linguistics; however, for the reasons briefly outlined here, it has started receiving intensive attention in linguistics, psychology and neighboring fields (see Nielsen and Dingemanse 2020 for some quantitative evidence for this research trend).

On the one hand, languages are systems which can connect sounds and meanings in an arbitrary fashion; otherwise, we would expect all the languages to use the same/similar words to express the same meanings (Locke 1689; Saussure 1916/1972), and that languages would not have the immense expressive powers that they do (Lupyan and Winter 2018). At the same time, however, we are witnessing the accumulating body of evidence suggesting that speakers of various languages can systematically associate certain meanings with certain types of sounds. These studies have shown that whether sound-meaning connections are arbitrary or systematic is no longer the right question to ask—instead, the question that should be addressed is how arbitrariness and sound symbolism can coexist in the human language systems (Dingemanse et al. 2015); then an ensuing question is what kinds of semantic properties can be signaled via sound symbolism.

Two well-known semantic dimensions that are involved in sound symbolic associations are
size and shape, which have been shown to hold across different languages (e.g. Bremner et al. 2013, Sidhu and Pexman 2018 and Styles and Gawne 2017); for example, [a] is often judged to be larger than [i] (Sapir 1929) by speakers of different languages (Shinohara and Kawahara 2016), and voiceless obstruents tend to be associated with angular shapes, whereas sonorants tend to be associated with round shapes (Köhler 1947; Ramachandran and Hubbard 2001). There are other semantic properties which have been shown to be signaled via sound symbolism, including color, brightness, taste, weight, strength, etc (e.g. Jakobson 1978; Kawahara and Kumagai 2021; Lockwood and Dingemanse 2015; Westbury et al. 2018; Winter et al. 2019 among others), but it remains to be explored precisely what kinds of semantic concepts can be signaled via sound symbolism in natural languages, and relatedly, how complex such concepts can be (Lupyan and Winter 2018; Sidhu and Pexman 2019; Westbury et al. 2018).

Within this ever-growing body of studies on sound symbolism, one emerging research strategy is to explore the sound symbolic nature of natural languages using Pokémon names (Kawahara et al. 2018), a research paradigm that is now dubbed “Pokémonastics” (Shih et al. 2019). As discussed in detail by Shih et al. (2019), this approach to sound symbolism has several research advantages. First, since there are many Pokémon characters (N > 800) which all have numerical attributes such as weight and height, it allows researchers to conduct a quantitative assessment of sound symbolism in real words. Second, in natural languages, different languages assign names to a different set of real world attributes; for example, Japanese lexically distinguishes live rice (=ine), cooked rice (=gohan), and generic rice (=kome), a tripartite distinction that is absent in English. Japanese on the other hand does not distinguish between, for example, crying and moaning. This sort of cross-linguistic difference makes it difficult to compare the sound symbolic patterns in existing words in different languages (although it is not impossible: see e.g. Blasi et al. 2016, Johansson et al. 2020, Pitcher et al. 2013, Wichmann et al. 2010 for illustrative cases of such studies). On the other hand, in the Pokémon world, the set of denotations is fixed across all languages, thereby making the cross-linguistic comparison easier. The third advantage of the Pokémonastics research is that each Pokémon character has various attributes, such as weight, height, evolution levels, strengths and types. This feature allows researchers to explore what sorts of information can be expressed via sound symbolism (Kawahara and Kumagai 2021).

Within the framework of Pokémonastics research, this paper focuses on Pokémon types with the hope that it will (albeit modestly) contribute to the general issue addressed in the sound symbolism research discussed above. In the Pokémon game series, players collect fictional creatures called Pokémon, train them, and have them fight with other Pokémon characters. Pokémon characters are classified into several types, including, but not limited to, normal, fire, fairy, water, dragon,

---

1 However, Pokémonastics, which analyzes made-up names of fictional characters, is not meant to replace the studies of sound symbolism using real words; it is instead meant to complement other related studies on sound symbolism. See Kawahara and Breiss (2021) for some extended discussion on this point.
ghost, ground, grass, etc. Certain types of characters have (dis)advantage over other types during their battles; for example, water-type has advantages over fire-type.

Hosokawa et al. (2018) was the first study which examined whether Pokémon types are symbolically expressed in the Japanese Pokémon names. They found that labial consonants, such as [p] and [m], are overrepresented in the names of the fairy type Pokémon, whereas voiced obstruents, such as [d] and [z], are overrepresented in the villainous types (see also Uno et al. 2020). Kawahara and Kumagai (2019b) confirmed the productivity of these associations by an experimental study using nonce words. Extending on these two studies, Kawahara et al. (2020) further found that Japanese speakers associate the flying type with names containing voiceless sibilants, including [s] and [ʃ] (=voiceless alveo-palatal fricative). As discussed in further detail below, these connections are arguably grounded in the phonetic properties of these sounds, and as such they are not expected to be specific to Japanese. The current experiments therefore aim to test the cross-linguistic robustness of these sound symbolic connections with native speakers of English (see also Godoy et al. 2020 for a similar attempt with speakers of Brazilian Portuguese).

As discussed above, the Pokémonastics research can potentially provide a useful resource for cross-linguistic comparisons of sound symbolism in natural languages. While Japanese is actively studied via experimentation within the Pokémonastics paradigm (e.g. Kawahara 2020b, Kawahara and Kumagai 2019a,b, 2021, Kawahara et al. 2020, Kumagai and Kawahara 2019), we are yet to gather more data from other languages in order to more thoroughly address the cross-linguistic similarities and differences in sound symbolism. Kawahara and Breiss (2021), Kawahara and Moore (2021), and Godoy et al. (2020a) have gathered experimental data regarding sound symbolism signaling evolution status in English and Brazilian Portuguese, but other than these, experimental studies on languages other than Japanese are limited. It is thus hoped that the current experiments further contribute to expanding the Pokémonastics database, which should be useful for general sound symbolism research (cf. Shih et al. 2019).

### 1.2 The three sound symbolic connections

The three sound symbolic connections that were tested in this study are: (1) sibilants = flying, (2) voiced obstruents = dark, and (3) [p] (as a representative of labial consonants) = fairy. In this subsection we expand on each of these sound symbolic associations.

#### 1.2.1 Sibilants = flying

The investigation of the first sound symbolic association, sibilants = flying, was inspired by the remarks by two ancient writers. First, Socrates suggested that [s] and [z] are suited for words that represent wind and vibration (in Classical Greek), because the production of these sounds accom-
panies strong breath (Cratylus: 427). Second, the Upanishads suggested that sibilants represent air and sky. To reinterpret these remarks from the perspective of modern phonetics, sibilants (including [s] and [ʃ] in English) involve a large amount of oral airflow during their production (Mielke 2011), and this aspect of these sounds may be iconically mapped onto the image of wind, and, by extension, flying (see also Paraise et al. 2014 for the iconic relationship between high frequency sounds—which sibilants are typical examples—and the notion of elevation).

Kawahara et al. (2020) presented Japanese speakers with pairs of nonce words in which one member contained sibilants and the other did not (e.g. [saʃu] vs. [taɾokkuu]), and asked them to judge which member of the pairs was better suited for the flying type Pokémon. Their results suggest that Japanese speakers associate nonce names containing sibilants with the flying type above the chance level. One aim of the current study is to examine whether English speakers make the same sound symbolic association.

1.2.2 Voiced obstruents = dark

The second association was first identified as an existing sound symbolic pattern in the Japanese Pokémon lexicon by Hosokawa et al. (2018). Prior to their studies, it was already known that Japanese monster names and villainous characters’ names frequently contain voiced obstruents (= [b], [d], [ɡ] and [z]) (Kawahara 2017; Kawahara and Monou 2017). Building on these observations, Hosokawa et al. (2018) showed that voiced obstruents are overrepresented in villainous Pokémon characters, where they defined “villainous” as consisting of dark, ghost and poison types.

In general, voiced obstruents are associated with negative images in Japanese (Hamano 1998; Kawahara 2017; Kubozono 1999; Suzuki 1962), and arguably this sound symbolic connection may have its roots in the articulatory difficulty of producing voiced obstruents (Ohala 1983). In order to maintain vocal fold vibration, the airpressure level has to be lower in the oral cavity than in the subglottal cavity. However, airflow that is required to cause vocal fold vibration is trapped in the oral cavity due to obstruent closure/constriction, which raises the intraoral airpressure. This results in difficulty in maintaining vocal fold vibration, and speakers need to resort to various articulatory adjustments to expand their oral cavity (Ohala 1983; Proctor et al. 2010; Westbury and Keating 1986). Because of this articulatory challenge, many languages phonologically avoid voiced obstruents in favor of voiceless obstruents (Hayes 1999; Hayes and Steriade 2004). It would not be too surprising if this articulatory challenge is projected onto general negative images (Kawahara 2017; Uno et al. 2020).

In fact, this association between voiced obstruents and negativity manifests itself in English, as well as in Japanese. Shinohara and Kawahara (2009) presented pairs of pictures of the same object, one in its clean state and the other in its dirty state (e.g. a clean sponge vs. a dirty sponge). Along with these pictures, they presented nonce words containing voiced obstruents and those containing
voiceless obstruents (e.g. [zabe] vs. [sape]). Their results showed that both Japanese and English
speakers tend to associate nonce words containing voiced obstruents with dirty pictures. Another
relevant observation is the finding that in the set of Disney characters names in English, villains’
names are more likely to contain voiced obstruents than non-villains’ names (Hosokawa et al.
2018; Uno et al. 2020).

Building on these observations, the current study tests whether English speakers associate
voiced obstruents with villainous characters in the Pokémon world, taking the dark type as a repre-
sentative of villains. We used dark type as the representative, because the dark type literally means
the “evil” type (=aku) in the original Pokémon series in Japanese. It is explained as such in the
instructions of the experiments reported below.

1.2.3 [p] = fairy

The third hypothesis, like the second hypothesis, was also first identified by Hosokawa et al. (2018)
as one of the statistically reliable tendencies in the Japanese Pokémon names. The general obser-
vation that lies behind the hypothesis was that labial consonants—those that are produced by using
lips, including [p] and [m]—are generally associated with the image of babies, as evidenced by
the fact, for example, that labial consonants are overrepresented in baby diaper names in Japanese,
both in the set of existing names and in the new names elicited via experimentation (Kumagai and
Kawahara 2020). Labial consonants are also shown to be overrepresented in the names of PreCure
girls—a TV series that is popular among young girls in Japan—who are cute fighters (Kawahara
2019). Along the same line, Hosokawa et al. (2018) show that bilabial consonants are overrep-
resented in the fairy type Pokémon characters, which tend to be, like babies and PreCure girls,
cute. This association found by Hosokawa et al. (2018) was shown to be productive by a follow-up
nonce-word experiment (Kawahara and Kumagai 2019b): given a pair of non-existing names like
[parapiru] and [karakiru], Japanese speakers tend to choose the former for fairy type characters.

This sound symbolic association is hypothesized to arise from the observation that labial con-
sonants appear frequently in early speech and babbling (e.g. Jakobson 1941; MacNeilage et al.
1997; Ota 2015). The current study thus addresses the question of whether, like Japanese speak-
ers, English speakers also associate labial consonants with cute, fairy characters. The current
study used [p] as a representative of labials, because it is the consonant that has been judged to be
outstandingly cute (Kumagai 2019).

2A previous Pokémonastics experiment has shown that given pairs of nonce words containing labial consonants and those containing coronal consonants (e.g. Meepen vs. Neeten), English speakers tend to choose the former for pre-evolution characters more often than for post-evolution characters (Kawahara and Moore 2021).
To recap, the current experiment tested three sound symbolic associations that have been shown to hold for Japanese speakers: (1) sibilants = flying, (2) voiced obstruents = dark, and (3) [p] = fairy. In addition to testing these patterns, we also examined a task effect by conducting two experiments: in Experiment 1, the stimuli were presented in isolation, whereas in Experiment 2, the stimuli were presented in pairs.

Many experiments on sound symbolism present the stimuli in pairs (see Westbury et al. 2018 for a very comprehensive overview). For instance, Sapir (1929), one of the classic experimental studies on sound symbolism, presented two nonce words (mal vs. mil) and asked the participants which one means “a big table” and which one means “a small table.” In establishing the bouba-kiki effect, Ramachandran and Hubbard (2001) presented the two stimuli (bouba and kiki) in a pair, and asked which one corresponds to a round figure and which one corresponds to an angular figure. The same holds for Köhler (1947) who used takete vs. maluma. The two previous experimental Pokémonastics studies that the current study significantly builds upon (Kawahara and Kumagai 2019b; Kawahara et al. 2020) also deploy this format.

This format, more generally known as a 2AFC (2 Alternative Forced Choice) task—has been the common practice in sound symbolic research, but this raises the question of how robustly sound symbolic patterns hold when the stimuli are presented in isolation (again, see Westbury et al. 2018). Generally speaking, the task would be easier for the participants if the stimuli are presented in pairs than in isolation. Since many of the previous studies in Pokémonastics—as well as other studies in sound symbolism—use a 2AFC format, we took advantage of this opportunity to test examine whether sound symbolic associations under question hold even when the stimuli are presented in isolation.

2.1 Methods

2.1.1 The stimuli

The list of stimuli used in this experiment is shown in Table 1. For all the pairs, the target consonants appeared twice within each stimulus. The vowels and other target consonants were controlled between the two conditions.

3 In fact, in Signal Detection Theory, a quantitative measure of sensitivity (“d-prime”) is adjusted by $\sqrt{2}$ when the stimuli are presented in pairs in a 2AFC format (Macmillan and Creelman 2005).
Table 1: The list of stimuli used in Experiment 1.

| (a) Names with sibilants | (b) Control |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Silshin                  | Tiltin      |
| Salshim                  | Taltim      |
| Sulshur                  | Tulkur      |
| Shieshen                 | Kieten      |
| Shilsum                  | Kiltun      |
| Shalshick                | Kaltick     |
| Shelshim                 | Kelkim      |

| (c) Names with voiced obstruents | (d) Control |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Bringlin                         | Prinklin    |
| Branzlam                         | Pranslam    |
| Drinzlin                         | Trinslin    |
| Dramblum                         | Tramplum    |
| Grimblin                         | Krimplin    |
| Grenzlin                         | Krenslin    |
| Zegdum                           | Sektum      |
| Zumgul                           | Sumkul      |

| (e) Names with [p] | (f) Control |
|--------------------|-------------|
| Peepol             | Teetol      |
| Polpen             | Tolken      |
| Pafpil             | Tastil      |
| Pimpock            | Tintock     |
| Paapair            | Kaakair     |
| Pupmir             | Kukmir      |
| Pepmil             | Kekmil      |

For the sibilant condition, the target words contained two sibilants. There were 3 items that started with [s] and 4 items that started with [ʃ] (“sh”), but all of them had [ʃ] internally, because word-internal orthographic ‘s’ in English can be read as [z]. We focused on voiceless sibilants in this study because voiced sibilants can be produced as approximants, as the intraoral air pressure cannot be raised too much to maintain vocal fold vibration (Ohala 1983). The control condition had 3 items that started with [t] and 4 items that started with [k]. While the stimulus items were not directly paired in Experiment 1, [s] was matched with [t] and [ʃ] was matched with [k], because articulatorily speaking, [t] and [s] are front consonants, whereas [ʃ] and [k] are back consonants (Mann and Repp 1981).

For the voiced obstruent condition, the target items began with either [b], [d], [g] or [z] (2 items each), and contained one or more word-internal voiced obstruents. The control condition consisted of words that contained corresponding voiceless obstruents with the same manner and place of articulation. For the last condition, the target words started with [p] and contained an additional
word-internal \([p]\). The control consisted of words that contain either \([t]\) or \([k]\)^4

Since Pokémon names are often communicated in written forms, and since the previous Pokémonastics experiments used orthographic stimuli, the current experiment followed that methodology (Kawahara and Kumagai 2019a; Kawahara and Moore 2021). Yet, an experiment with auditory stimuli may be warranted in future studies given the possible influences of orthography on sound symbolism (Cuskley et al. 2017). We note, however, Sidhu et al. (2016) have demonstrated that sound symbolism holds beyond the influences of orthography. With this caveat in mind, the participants were nevertheless asked to read each name silently in their head before making their decision.

2.1.2 Procedure

The experiment was administered online using SurveyMonkey. The first page of the experiment was a consent form, which was approved by the first author’s institute. The second page presented our qualification questions, and only those who fulfilled all four of the following conditions were allowed to proceed: (1) they are a native speaker of English, (2) they are familiar with Pokémon, (3) they are not already familiar with sound symbolism, (4) and they have not participated in a Pokémonastics experiment before.

The entire experiment was blocked into three sections, each of which tested one sound symbolic effect on type, in the order of flying type, dark type, and fairy type. The first page within each section introduced a difference between one type of Pokémon, which was contrasted with a normal type of Pokémon, using a pair of pictures shown in Figure 1. The participants were asked to answer whether they understood the difference between the two types. The flying type was defined as those that fly in the sky. The dark type was defined as those that are villainous and evil. The fairy type was those that were cute.

Each name was presented in isolation, and the participants were asked to choose which type each name fits better. They were also told that there are no “right” or “wrong” answers, and were asked to provide their answer using their intuitive feelings. The order of the stimuli within each block was randomized per participant.

2.1.3 The participants

The responses were collected using the buy response function of SurveyMonkey. A total of 159 English speakers participated in the experiment. Eleven of them were excluded based on the ex-

---

^4The fact that the first and third hypotheses had \(7 \times 2\) items whereas the second hypothesis had \(8 \times 2\) items is due to the fact that SurveyMonkey maximally allows 50 questions in order for us to use the buy response function (see §2.1.3 below). It was necessary to include the consent form, the qualification questions, and illustrations of each type, which made it impossible to have \(8 \times 2\) items for all the three hypotheses.
normal Pokémon
flying Pokémon
normal Pokémon
dark Pokémon
normal Pokémon
fairy Pokémon

Figure 1: Pictures used to illustrate each of the three types of Pokémon in the current experiment. These are non-existing Pokémon characters drawn by a digital artist toto-mame. They are used in the experiment with the permission from the artist.

Thirteen participants were excluded because they responded that one or more difference in type was not clear. The data from the remaining 135 participants were analyzed. Among them, 56 of them were male, with one not reporting their gender.

2.1.4 Analysis

To statistically analyze the data, we fit a Bayesian mixed effects logistic regression model. There are various advantages of using Bayesian analyses instead of a more traditional frequentist approach; for accessible introduction to Bayesian analyses in psychology and linguistic research, see e.g. Franke and Roettger (2019), Nicemboim and Vasishth (2016), and Kruschke and Lid-
Bayesian analyses take into account both prior knowledge (if any) and the data at hand to yield a range of posterior estimates for parameter values that are of interest. In logistic regression analyses, we are primarily interested in the estimate of the slope coefficient ($\beta_1$) of a particular effect; i.e. for the case at hand, the slope coefficient of the sound symbolic effect.

One particular advantage of Bayesian analyses is that we can interpret the posterior distributions of $\beta$-coefficients as directly reflecting the degrees of our belief—or (un-)certainty—about the estimates of the parameter that we are interested in. One common heuristic to interpret these posterior distributions, which is roughly analogous to significance testing in a frequentist approach, is to examine its 95% Credible Intervals (CIs) of the distributions, which can be obtained by discarding the extreme 2.5% of the posterior samples at the upper and lower ends. If 95% CI does not include 0, we can be reasonably confident that the effect meaningfully impacts the responses, or put differently, $\beta_1$ at issue is not equivalent to 0.

However, one important advantage of Bayesian analyses is that we can move beyond the “significant vs. non-significant” dichotomy in a frequentist analysis (see e.g. [Franke and Roettger 2019, Kruschke 2014, Nicenboim and Vasishth 2016, Vasishth et al. 2018]). Instead, we can, for example, calculate the proportion of posterior values that are larger than a particular value. To be more specific, in order to examine whether a particular sound increases certain responses, we can analyze the whole posterior distribution of its $\beta_1$-coefficient, and calculate the proportion of posterior values that are above 0. A more conservative approach would be to examine the ROPE (Region Of Practical Equivalence) of a point hypothesis that $\beta_1 = 0$ ([Kruschke 2014, Kruschke and Liddell 2018]). To do so, we take the effect size of 0.1 ([Cohen 1988]) of a standardized parameter value to define the range of ROPE. In logistic regression model, the standardized parameter value can be conveniently approximated as $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} = 1.8$ ([Makowski et al. 2019]); thus, we calculated the proportions of posterior samples that are more extreme than 0.18.

In short, we calculated the 95% CI of $\beta_1$ as well as $p(\beta_1 > 0)$ and $p(\beta_1 > 0.18)$. All the posterior samples are available in the supplementary file, and interested readers are welcome to examine them in other ways—another virtue of a Bayesian approach.

The details of the actual implementation are as follows. Analyses were implemented using the `brms` package ([Bürkner 2017]) and R ([R Development Core Team 1993–]). The dependent variable was whether or not the response was the target type. The predictor contained a fixed effect of a sound type, a random intercept of items, and a random slope and intercept of participants. The weakly informative priors (the default setting for `brms`) were used. Four chains were run. The
$R$-values were generally 1.00 and maximally 1.01, suggesting that the chains mixed successfully. We first ran 2,000 iterations with 1,000 warmups. When the ESS values were too large, more iterations (e.g. 4,000) were run, and the last 1,000 iterations were interpreted. See the accompanying markdown file provided as the supplementary file for complete details.

2.2 Results

Figure 2 show violin plots which represent the normalized probability distributions of by-participant “flying response” ratios for those names with sibilants (right) and those names without (left). Transparent triangles represent data from each participant. The black circles within each violin plot represent the grand averages. On average, the names with sibilants were more likely to be judged to be the names of the flying type than the were control names (54.2% vs. 39.4%).

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2: The normalized probability distribution of “flying response” ratios. The black circles represent the grand means. The transparent triangles represent each individual point (jittered).

The mean of the slope coefficient ($\beta_1$) for the difference between the control condition and the sibilants condition was positive (0.77). The 95% CI of $\beta_1$ was [0.21, 1.35]. Since this interval does not include zero, we can be reasonably confident that names with sibilants meaningfully increase “the flying response” with respect to the control names. Examination of all the posterior samples shows that 99.6% of the posterior estimates of this slope coefficient were above 0, and 98.2% of them were above 0.18. We can thus be at least 98% confident that names with sibilants increase the flying responses with respect to the control names.

Figure 3 shows the violin plots of the normalized probability distribution of the by-participant “dark response” ratios for those names with voiced obstruents (left) and those names with voiceless obstruents (right). Overall, names with voiced obstruents were more likely to be associated with the dark type Pokémon characters than the control names with voiceless obstruents (58.8% vs. 46.8%).
Since the “voiced (vcd)” condition was the baseline and the coefficient tells how “voiceless (vls)” condition lowered “dark” responses, the mean value of the $\beta_1$ coefficient was -0.55 with its 95% CI being [-1.38, 0.26]. Since we are interested in how the voiceless condition lowers dark responses, we calculated the proportions of posterior estimates that are negative and those that are lower than -0.18. The results suggest $p(\beta_1 < 0) = 91\%$ and $p(\beta_1 < -0.18) = 84.2\%$.

![Figure 3: The normalized probability distributions of the “dark response” ratios.](image)

Figure 4 shows the results for the fairy condition. The names with [p] were more likely to be associated with the fairy type than the control names (55.1% vs. 46.3%). The mean of the $\beta_1$ coefficient is 0.42, with its 95% CI being [-0.24, 1.08]. The examination of all the posterior samples of the $\beta_1$ coefficient shows that 90% of them were positive, and 77% of them were above 0.18.

![Figure 4: The normalized probability distributions of the “fairy response” ratios.](image)
2.3 Discussion

All of the three conditions showed responses in the expected direction. None of the effects are deterministic; i.e. it was not the case that names with particular phonological properties are categorically associated with a certain Pokémon type, although we can identify individual responses that were categorical in the violin plots. Such a stochastic nature of sound symbolism, however, is the norm rather than the exception (Dingemanse 2018; Kawahara et al. 2019).

How confident we can be about these effects differed among the three conditions; i.e. the probability $\beta_1$ being larger/smaller than the ROPE threshold was 98% for the flying condition, 84% for the dark condition, 77% for the fairy condition; and the probability of $\beta_1$ being in the expected direction was 99.6%, 91%, and 90%, respectively. We took the advantage of Bayesian approach and offered several numerical indices of how confident we can be about these sound symbolic effects, rather than making a dichotomous “yes significant” vs. “not significant” decision often deployed in a frequentist approach. Heuristically, it seems safe to conclude that the sibilant=flying connection seems to be a very robust sound symbolic connection. On the other hand, the [p]=fairy connection may not be too reliable, although the result still seems encouraging. The connection between dark type and voiced obstruents lies somewhere in-between.

There are two possible interpretations regarding why we did not identify a robust effect of, say, the [p]=fairy connection in the current experiment. One interpretation is to posit that English speakers do not make this sound symbolic association at all. We hesitate to accept this interpretation because many of the posterior samples of $\beta_1$ were in the expected direction, and even if we take the more conservative approach, more than 75% were above 0.18.

An alternative possibility that we would like to explore next is that there is indeed a sound symbolic effect between [p] and the fairy type, but this effect was not very clearly observed in this experimental format. First, as stated at the beginning of this section, it is more challenging for the participants to make a judgment when stimuli are presented in isolation than in pairs—this is one crucial difference between the current experiment and Kawahara and Kumagai (2019b), who found a robust effect of labiality with Japanese speakers.

Second, it is possible that since the stimuli are presented in isolation, the participants’ responses were influenced by other segments that are contained in the stimuli. For example, Polpen was judged more likely to be the normal type than the fairy type, despite the fact that it contains two [p]s. This may be because the initial vowel [o] is the “large” vowel in English (Newman 1933), and hence may have been judged to be inappropriate for the fairy type. Likewise, Tintok was judged to be the fairy type almost as frequently as the normal type, which may be because of its initial

---

5The Bayesian approach we took suggests that this is too strong a conclusion. In order for the null hypothesis ($\beta_1=0$) to be true, the 95% CI of $\beta_1$ should be contained in the ROPE of that null hypothesis, which is [-0.18, 0.18] (Kruschke 2014; Kruschke and Liddell 2018; Makowski et al. 2019).
[i], which is the “small” vowel in English (Newman 1933). In order to further explore the sound symbolic effects under question, the next experiment presented the stimuli in pairs.

3 Experiment 2

3.1 Methods

The methods for Experiment 2 were almost identical to those for Experiment 1, unless otherwise noted. Table 2 lists the stimulus pairs used in Experiment 2. Most of the stimuli were the same as those used in Experiment 1, except that the first and the third conditions contained one additional test pair. In this experiment, all the conditions had 8 pairs.

As in Experiment 1, the responses were collected using the buy response function in SurveyMonkey. A total of 157 native speakers of English participated in the experiment. Thirteen of them were excluded because they did not fulfill all the participation requirements (see §2.1.2). One participant did not finish the experiment. Eight were not sure about at least one of the three type differences. The data from the remaining 135 participants entered into the following analysis. Among them 66 were male. One of the exclusion criteria (“have not participated in a Pokémonastics experiment before”) ensured no overlap between the participants for Experiment 1 and those for Experiment 2.

The procedure for the experiment was identical to that of Experiment 1, except that the stimuli were presented in pairs. As in Experiment 1, the participants were asked to read the stimuli and use their auditory impression to make their responses.

To fit a mixed effects model using the results obtained in a 2AFC format, we followed the methodology proposed by Daland et al. (2011), which has advantages over other possible alternatives (see their footnote 5)—this is also the methodology often used in other Pokémonastics experiments when analyzing data obtained using a 2AFC format (Kawahara and Kumagai 2019a,b; Kawahara et al. 2020). Specifically, one trial was split into two observations, each corresponding to one member of a stimulus pair. The other details are almost identical to those of Experiment 1, except the models did not include an item-specific random intercept, because each item contributes to both an expected response and an unexpected response. The fixed effect (“expectedness”) was sum-coded as -0.5 vs. 0.5. See the accompanying markdown file for complete details.

6This is truly a post-hoc speculation, but this name may have sounded too much like Tinker Bell or Tink. Since this is a post-hoc hypothesis, we will not re-run the statistics excluding this item to avoid p-hacking (Simmons et al. 2011).
Table 2: The list of stimuli used in Experiment 2.

(a) Sibilants = flying
- Silshin vs. Tiltin
- Salshim vs. Taltim
- Sulshur vs. Tulkur
- Surshum vs. Turkum
- Shieshen vs. Kieten
- Shilsun vs. Kiltun
- Shalshick vs. Kaltick
- Shelshim vs. Kelkim

(b) Voiced obstruents = dark
- Bringlin vs. Prinklin
- Branzlam vs. Pranslam
- Drinzlin vs. Trinslin
- Dramblum vs. Tramplum
- Grimblin vs. Krimplin
- Grenzlin vs. Krenslin
- Zegdum vs. Sektum
- Zumgul vs. Sumkul

(c) [p] = fairy
- Peepol vs. Teetol
- Polpen vs. Tolken
- Pafpil vs. Tastil
- Pimpock vs. Tintock
- Paapair vs. Kaakair
- Pupmir vs. Kukmir
- Pepmil vs. Kekmil
- Parpil vs. Karkil

3.2 Results

Figure 5 shows the normalized probability distribution of the by-participant expected response ratios for each condition, where “expected” indicates (1) sibilants = flying, (2) voiced obstruents = dark, (3) [p] = fairy. The grand averages are all above the chance level (flying: 0.57; dark 0.70; fairy: 0.69), although we observe that some speakers showed responses that were below chance.
The means of the $\beta_1$ coefficients were all positive (flying = 0.57, dark = 1.71, fairy = 1.58), and none of their Bayesian 95% CIs included zero (flying [0.40, 0.74]; dark [1.53, 1.89]; fairy [1.39, 1.76]), and in fact, none of the posterior samples of the $\beta_1$ coefficients were lower than 0.18. For this format of the experiment, we can be 100% confident that each sound symbolic principle meaningfully affected the participants’ responses.

3.3 Discussion

Experiment 2 has confirmed the productivity of all the three sound symbolic connections that were of interest. Taken together with the results of Experiment 1, we conclude that English speakers make similar sound symbolic connections between certain classes of sounds and particular types of characters in Pokémon games, just as Japanese speakers do, with an important caveat that we observed a clear task effect—the sound symbolic effects were more robustly observed in an experiment in which the stimuli were presented in pairs, i.e. in a 2AFC format.

Recall however that the previous experiments conducted with Japanese speakers deployed a 2AFC format (Kawahara and Kumagai 2019b; Kawahara et al. 2020), just like our Experiment 2. The current results were thus no less reliable than the previous Pokémonastic studies. With this said, how sensitive Japanese speakers are to these sound symbolic associations needs to be studied using an experimental format like Experiment 1 in future work. In fact, echoing Westbury et al. (2018), more generally speaking, this future task applies to many sound symbolic patterns that have been studied using a 2AFC format.

At this point we would like to address one potential general concern about the 2AFC format that was raised by Westbury et al. (2018) in the context of the current experiment. In the 2AFC format,
format that is deployed in Experiment 2, there is an alternative possibility that the normal type of Pokémons (those that were contrasted with the three types of Pokémons that we were interested in) were sound symbolically associated with the control names, which can explain the skews in response that were observed in Experiment 2. We doubt that this alternative possibility is viable, because the normal type of Pokémon was not associated with any particular feature, at least in this experiment. Neither do we have reasons to believe that voiceless obstruents, used in control names in all the comparisons, were associated with the normal type in terms of sound symbolism.

3.4 Inference from the existing patterns

One question that arises from these experimental results is whether these sound symbolic patterns hold in the existing set of English Pokémon names, or whether English speakers could infer Pokémon types based on their tacit knowledge about sound symbolism in the experiments. To address this question, we examined the dataset created by Shih et al. (2019), which includes all the data about English Pokémon names up to the 7th generation (total $N = 802$). Table 3 shows the distribution of names containing sibilants in the flying type and normal type; contrary to our experimental results, names containing sibilants were in fact more common for the normal type than for the flying type, although this difference was not significant ($\chi^2(1) = 1.22, n.s.$).

Table 3: The distributions of names containing voiceless sibilants in the flying type and normal type in the existing English Pokémon names.

|                  | Flying type | Normal type |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| contain sibilants| 19 (19%)    | 29 (26.4%)  |
| contain no sibilants | 81          | 81          |
| total             | 100         | 110         |

Table 4 shows the distribution of names containing voiced obstruents in the dark Pokémon and normal Pokémons. It shows that voiced obstruents are slightly more overrepresented in the dark Pokémon, but this difference was not significant ($\chi^2(1) = 1.29, n.s.$).

---

7We are grateful to Stephanie Shih and her colleagues for letting us use the database. Due to the data sharing agreement, this dataset cannot be publicly made available.
Table 4: The distributions of names containing voiced obstruents in the dark type and normal type.

|                        | Dark type | Normal type |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| contain voiced obstruents | 28 (59.6%) | 53 (48.2%) |
| contain no voiced obstruents | 19        | 57          |
| total                  | 47        | 110         |

Finally, Table 5 shows the distribution of names containing [p] in the fairy type and normal type, which shows that [p] is, contrary to the experimental results, more common in the normal type. This difference is not statistically significant, however ($\chi^2(1) = 0.62, \text{n.s.}$).

Table 5: The distributions of names containing [p] in the fairy type and normal type.

|                | Fairy type | Normal type |
|----------------|------------|-------------|
| contain [p]    | 9 (19.1%)  | 26 (23.6%)  |
| contain no [p] | 38         | 84          |
| total          | 47         | 110         |

Overall, none of the sound symbolic effects are visible in the existing English Pokémon names. This result reveals an interesting difference between English and Japanese, as recall that Hosokawa et al. (2018) showed that two of the three sound symbolic patterns under question hold in the existing Pokémon names in Japanese. (The connection between sibilants and the flying type is not observed in the existing Japanese names: Kawahara et al. [2020].) The reason why the existing English names do not exhibit these sound symbolic connections may be because Pokémon characters were created and named in Japan first, and they were translated into English sometimes by using real words to describe those characters; for instance, hitokage, a small lizard-like character which blows fire, is named Charmander, based on charcoal and salamander. After all, for many words, sound-meaning associations are arbitrary (Hockett [1959]; Saussure [1916/1972]); therefore, together with the semantic restrictions imposed during the translation process, the English names may have ended up not being very sound symbolic (although see Shih et al. [2019] who show that some sound symbolic effects are observable in the existing English Pokémon names as well).

Nevertheless we find it interesting that when English speakers are given nonce words with appropriate phonological properties, they are able to, albeit probabilistically, make the same sound-symbolic associations that Japanese speakers do. The overall results therefore support the thesis that arbitrariness and sound symbolic connections can co-reside within a single linguistic system,
or put differently, just because existing words are arbitrary, it does not mean that speakers do not have intuitions about possible sound-symbolic connections.

4 Conclusion

We started with a general question regarding sound symbolic effects in natural languages: what kinds of semantic properties can be signaled via sound symbolism, and how complex can these properties be? The current experiments have shown that notions as complex as Pokémon types can be symbolically represented. We find this result to be intriguing as they show that sound symbolism is not limited to simple semantic notions such as size and shape.

We also find it encouraging that those sound symbolic associations that are tested in the experiments have plausible bases in the phonetic and/or phonological properties of the sounds at issue. To recap, sibilants involve large amounts of oral airflow during their production which is required to cause frication (Mielke 2011), and this phonetic property may be iconically mapped onto the notion of wind, and by extension, flying. Voiced obstruents may be associated with general negative images, because of their articulatory challenge (Ohala 1983). Labial consonants, particularly [p], may be associated with the image of cuteness, because those are the typical sounds that are produced by babies (Jakobson 1941). It would not be surprising if such sound symbolic patterns, which are grounded in phonetics, are shared across different languages. We do not intend to pretend that testing these effects in just two languages—Japanese and English—suffices to establish the universality of sound symbolism, yet the current finding offers a good start for future cross-linguistic investigations (though see also Godoy et al. 2020b).

Having established that English speakers too can infer Pokémon types from sound symbolism, we would like to end this paper by briefly discussing what Shih et al. (2019) conclude based on an extensive cross-linguistic comparison of Pokémon names. In the real world, we observe various types of sound symbolic effects to signal gender differences (Sidhu and Pexman 2019); for instance, male names are more likely to contain obstruents than female names (Eric vs. Erin: Cassidy et al. 1999, Sidhu and Pexman 2019). On the other hand, we do not observe robust sound symbolic effects to signal gender differences in the Pokémon world. This difference between the real world and the Pokémon world arises maybe because finding a mate is important for reproduction, i.e. survival, in the real world, but not so much in the Pokémon world. This hypothesis is further supported by the fact that Pokémon strength status is sound symbolically signaled across languages, together with the fact that Pokémon characters fight with each other; i.e., Pokémon strengths are important for their survival.

Thus, sound symbolism may be actively deployed to signal those attributes that are important for their survival in that world (Uno et al. 2020). Types play a non-trivial role in Pokémon battles.
(e.g. fairy type has advantages over dark type), and therefore, it is predicted that types constitute an attribute that should be signaled by sound symbolism. While the current study lends further support to this idea, it also raises a few new questions. One is whether types other than flying, dark, and fairy can be symbolically represented. Another is whether the sound symbolic patterns tested in the current study also hold for speakers of languages other than English and Japanese. More generally, can we observe sound symbolic effects for any properties that are relevant for survival and reproduction in the real world? These questions can and should be tested via future experimentation.

All in all, the current experiments have shown that English speakers can associate certain types of sounds with certain Pokémon types, as do also Japanese speakers. This parallel may not come as too much of a surprise, to the extent that the sound-meaning associations are grounded in the phonetic and phonological properties of the sounds at issue. Finally, the fact that the sound symbolic associations are not observed in the existing English Pokémon names but yet can be identified by English participants with nonce words shows that arbitrariness and sound symbolism can co-exist within a single linguistic system.
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