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Abstract

Knowledge about the three-dimensional stepping of motor proteins on the surface of microtubules (MTs) as well as the torsional components in their power strokes can be inferred from longitudinal MT rotations in gliding motility assays. In previous studies, optical detection of these rotations relied on the tracking of rather large optical probes present on the outer MT surface. However, these probes may act as obstacles for motor stepping and may prevent the unhindered rotation of the gliding MTs. To overcome these limitations, we devised a novel, impact-free method to detect MT rotations based on fluorescent speckles within the MT structure in combination with fluorescence-interference contrast microscopy. We (i) confirmed the rotational pitches of MTs gliding on surfaces coated by kinesin-1 and kinesin-8 motors, (ii) demonstrated the superiority of our method over previous approaches on kinesin-8 coated surfaces at low ATP concentration, and (iii) identified MT rotations driven by mammalian cytoplasmic dynein, indicating that during collective motion cytoplasmic dynein side-steps with a bias in one direction. Our novel method is easy to implement on any state-of-the-art fluorescence microscope and allows for high-throughput experiments.

Introduction

Motor proteins from the kinesin- and dynein-superfamilies fulfill essential mechano-chemical functions in eukaryotic cells. Recently, the one-dimensional motion of these motors along microtubules (MTs) has been studied in great detail using in vitro stepping motility assays, for example by tracking single fluorescently labeled motors [1,2] as well as motors coupled to microbeads [3–5], quantum dots (QDots) [6–8] or DNA origami [9,10]. However, MTs are three-dimensional, cylindrical structures (diameter of 25nm), which consist of about 13 adjacent protofilaments forming a parallel array of tracks. While some kinds of processive motors follow the axes of individual protofilaments, others take stochastic off-axis steps potentially with or without bias in one specific direction. One simple experimental method to distinguish between these modes of movement is based on in vitro gliding motility assays where MTs glide...
over motor-coated surfaces in the presence of ATP. Functionalizing the MTs with optical markers, which have the potential to report on longitudinal rotations of individual MTs during forward movement, then allows inference of the helicity by which the motors walk on the MT surface. Using this strategy, it has previously been shown that kinesin-1 (conventional kinesin) follows the axis of individual protofilaments [11,12], while kinesin-8 (Kip3) switches protofilaments with a bias to the left [13]. Furthermore, non-processive motor proteins like kinesin-14 [14], axonemal dynein [15,16] and single monomeric kinesin-1 [17] have been shown to exhibit an inherent torsional component in their power strokes.

In early experiments, inference of MT rotation relied on supercoiling of partially stuck MTs [16,18], deflection of short side arms [12,17] or curvatures at the ends of deformed MTs [14,15]. In more recent studies, QDots coupled to MTs were used as optical probes in combination with three-dimensional tracking techniques based on dual-focus imaging [17,19] or fluorescence-interference contrast (FLIC) microscopy [12,13]. While QDots (diameter of about 20-30nm) are significantly smaller than previously used structures (e.g. MT side arms with lengths of about 1 μm) it cannot be ruled out that their presence limits the accuracy of the rotation measurements, especially in cases where torque generation by the motor proteins is weak.

To overcome this limitation, we devised a novel, impact-free method to measure the longitudinal rotations of gliding MTs without the necessity of large markers external to the structure of MTs. In particular, we reconstituted fluorescent MTs with rhodamine speckles acting as optical probes. We detected the rotational movements of individual speckles during MT movement on a reflective surface by FLIC microscopy, where a modulation in the height of a fluorescent probe above the surface is converted into a modulation of the detected fluorescence intensity [20]. Periodic intensity variations in the individual speckles then report on the rotational pitch of the gliding MTs and thus the helical movement of motors on the MTs (see Fig 1).

Methods

Protein expression and purification

Histidine-tagged full-length Drosophila melanogaster kinesin-1 and histidine-eGFP tagged Saccharomyces cerevisiae kinesin-8 (Kip3-eGFP-6xHis, in the text referred to as Kip3-eGFP) were expressed and purified using established protocols as described previously [21,22]. Porcine cytoplasmic dynein and porcine tubulin were purified from porcine brain (Vorwerk Podemus, Dresden, Germany) using established protocols as described previously [23,24].

Speckled MTs

Guanylyl-(α,β)-methylene-diphosphonate (GMP-CPP) speckled MTs (S-MTs) were grown in a two-step process. 25μl of BRB80 solution (80mM Pipes [Sigma], pH 6.9, with KOH [VWR], 1mM EGTA [Sigma], 1mM MgCl2 [VWR]) supplemented by a high concentration (12μM) of porcine tubulin (S-MTs: 99.44% unlabeled and 0.56% rhodamine-labeled; biotinylated S-MTs [B-S-MTs]: 94.44% unlabeled, 5% unlabeled biotinylated (Cytoskeleton Inc., Denver, CO) and 0.56% rhodamine-labeled), 1 mM GMP-CPP (Jena Bioscience, Jena, Germany) and 4mM MgCl2 were incubated on ice for 5min and then for 20-30min at 37°C to grow a large number of short, dimly-labeled MT seeds. In parallel, an elongation mix consisting of a 100μl BRB80 solution supplemented by a low concentration (0.8μM) of porcine tubulin (96.67% unlabeled and 3.33% rhodamine-labeled), 1mM GMP-CPP and 4mM MgCl2 was heated to 37°C for 30s (after a 5min incubation on ice). Afterwards, 10μl of the dimly-labeled seed solution (containing S-MT or B-S-MT seeds) was added to the elongation mix (resulting total tubulin concentration ≈ 2μM) and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. During this incubation period, bright extensions grew off the dim seeds and formed the speckles in the resulting S-MT and B-S-MT lattices after
Fig 1. Analysis of the rotational pitch of a speckled MT (S-MT) gliding on kinesin-1. (A) S-MTs glide on a reflective silicon substrate coated with kinesin-1 motor proteins. Due to fluorescence interference contrast (FLIC), the recorded intensities of the speckles vary as a function of height above the surface. Torsional motion of gliding S-MTs leads to periodic variations in the intensity of each speckle providing information about the rotational pitch. (B) Typical kymograph (space-time intensity plot) of a gliding S-MT. Each individual
speckle shows a periodic intensity variation. **(C)** After background correction, the kymograph is straightened by shifting every time frame by the distance the S-MT moved. **(D)** Averaged intensity profile along the straightened kymograph from Fig 1C. The red line shows the mean intensity and only speckles with peaks above this line (indicated by the arrows) are analyzed. **(E)** Relative intensity profile (centered around zero) over distance for the speckle along the red line in Fig 1C and indicated by the red arrow in Fig 1D. This profile is obtained by translating the intensity profile over time to distance in order to account for velocity variations of the gliding S-MT. **(F)** Auto-correlation for the intensity plot in Fig 1E. **(G)** Combined power spectral density (PSD) curve for the seven speckles selected in Fig 1D (see S1 Fig for details on the other speckles), with peak at about 8μm. **Inset:** Power spectrum of the auto-correlation data in Fig 1F, with peak at about 8μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136920.g001

In vitro motility assays

All motility assays were performed in microfluidic flow channels constructed from 22mm x 22mm glass coverslips (Menzel, Braunschweig, Germany; #1.5) and 10mm x 10mm silicon wafers having a 30nm (4nm in case of dynein gliding assays) thermally grown oxide layer (GESIM, Grosserkmannsdorf, Germany) with NESCO (Azwell Inc., Osaka, Japan) film as spacer. Typically, the flow channels have the dimensions of 10mm x 1.5mm x 100μm.

**Kinesin-1 gliding motility assays.** Flow channels (constructed of easy-cleaned [25] glass coverslips and silicon wafers with an oxide layer of 30nm) were flushed with a sequence of: (i) casein solution consisting of 0.5mg/ml casein (Sigma) in BRB80 in order to block the flow-channel surfaces (incubation time 5min), (ii) motor solution consisting of 150nM kinesin-1, 1mM MgATP (Roche), 0.2mg/ml casein, 10mM DDT (Sigma) in BRB80 in order to bind kinesin-1 proteins unspecifically to the casein surface (incubation time 5min), (iii) S-MT solution consisting of S-MTs diluted in BRB80T supplemented with 1mM MgATP, 0.2mg/ml casein, 10mM DDT (incubation time 2 min) and (iv) imaging solution consisting of 1mM MgATP, 0.2mg/ml casein, 10mM DDT, and an oxygen scavenger mixture (consisting of 40mM glucose [Sigma], 110μg/ml glucose oxidase [SERVA], 22μg/ml catalase [Sigma]) in BRB80T to remove the excess MTs. For experiments using QD-SA-B-S-MTs instead of S-MTs, 10-20pM streptavidin conjugated QDot 655 (Lifetechnologies) solution was added to the B-S-MT solution and after an incubation time of 2 min this mixture was diluted and used as stated in (iii).

**Kinesin-8 gliding motility assays.** Flow channels (constructed of dichlorodimethylsilane-coated glass coverslips [26] and silicon wafers with an oxide layer of 30nm) were flushed with a sequence of: (i) fab fragment solution consisting of 20μg/ml F(ab’)_2 fragments (anti-mouse IgG [Fc specific] antibody developed in goat; Sigma) in PBS in order to promote anti-body binding (incubation time 5min), (ii) Pluronic F127 (Sigma, 1% in PBS) in order to block the surface from unspecific protein adsorption (incubation time 30-60min), (iii) antibody solution consisting of 0.5mg/ml anti GFP monoclonal antibodies (mouse, MPI-CBG antibody facility) in PBS in order to allow specific binding of the antibodies to the F(ab’)_2 fragments (incubation time 10min), (iv) motor dilution buffer consisting of 112.5mM KCL, 1mM ATP (10μM ATP for the low ATP experiments), 0.1% Tween 20, 0.2mg/ml casein and 0.2mg/ml DTT in BRB80 to remove unbound antibodies, (v) motor solution consisting of 120nM Kip3-eGFP in motor dilution buffer in order to bind the Kip3 proteins specifically to the antibodies (incubation time 10min), (vi) S-MT solution consisting of S-MTs in motor dilution buffer (incubation time 5min) and (vii) imaging solution consisting of the oxygen scavenger system in motor dilution buffer to remove excess MTs. For assays with QD-SA-B-S-MTs the previously mentioned strategy (see Kinesin-1 gliding motility assays) was used in (vi).
Cytoplasmic dynein gliding motility assays. Flow channels (constructed of dichlorodimethylsilane-coated glass coverslips and silicon wafers with an oxide layer of 4nm) were flushed with a sequence of: (i) antibody solution consisting of 100μg/ml (20μg/ml and 10μg/ml for the lower motor density experiments) anti dynein antibody (74kDa Intermediate chains, cytoplasmic, clone 74.1, Millipore) in PBS (incubation time 5min), (ii) Pluronic F127 (Sigma, 1% in PBS) in order to block the surface from unspecific protein adsorption, (iii) motor dilution buffer consisting of 20mM KCl, 1mM ATP, 0.1% Tween 20, 0.2mg/ml casein and 0.2mg/ml DTT in BRB35 (35mM Pipes, pH 6.9, with KOH, 1mM EGTA, 1mM MgCl₂) to wash the channel, (iv) motor solution consisting of 80nM dynein in motor dilution buffer in order to bind dynein protein to the antibodies (incubation time 5min), (v) S-MT solution consisting of S-MTs in motor dilution buffer (incubation time 5min) and (v) imaging solution with a diluted oxygen scavenger system (consisting of 40mM glucose, 55μg/ml glucose oxidase, 11μg/ml catalase) in motor dilution buffer to remove excess MTs.

Image acquisition
Optical imaging was performed using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 200M, Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) with a 63x water immersion 1.2 NA objective (Zeiss) in combination with an Andor Ixon DV 897 (Andor Technology, Belfast, UK) EMCCD camera controlled by Metamorph (Molecular Devices Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) providing a pixel size of 0.254μm. A Lumen 200 metal arc lamp (Prior Scientific Instruments Ltd., Cambridge, UK) was used for epifluorescence excitation. Rhodamine-labeled S-MTs gliding on the silicon-wafer surfaces were imaged “through the solution” (i.e. on the far side of the flow channels) using a TRITC filterset (Ex 534/30x, DC BC R561, EM BL593/40, all Chroma Technology Corp., Rockingham, VT) with an exposure time of 400ms per frame. Images were recorded in time-lapse or continuous acquisition mode depending on the velocity of the MT gliding. For experiments with QD-SA-B-S-MTs, an argon-krypton mixed gas laser at 488nm (Innova 70 Spectrum, Coherent, Dieburg, Germany) was used to visualize the QDots attached to gliding MTs using a bandpass filter (Ex z488/10x, DC z488rdc, EM BL660/40, all Chroma Technology Corp., Rockingham, VT).

Image processing
The rotational pitch of S-MTs was obtained from their kymographs (space-time intensity plots), which were generated in Metamorph. The kymographs were then analyzed with MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA) using the speckle analysis method described in the Results section. QDots were tracked with FIESTA [27], an open-source tracking software based on MATLAB. To obtain the rotational pitch, QDot intensity profiles were analyzed analogous to the evaluation of the intensity profiles of individual speckles.

Results
Speckle analysis provides the rotational pitch of gliding MTs
Speckled MTs (S-MTs) gliding on motor-coated surfaces (Fig 1A, using the example of kinesin-1) were imaged and for every S-MT without a crossing event a kymograph was generated (typical example kymograph in Fig 1B). To extract the periodicity of the individual speckles, which exhibit periodic intensity variations over time, we used the following procedure: (i) Background correction was performed by subtracting the minimum pixel intensity along the kymograph column from all pixel intensity values in the respective column. For MTs moving significantly larger distances than the MT length over a rather inhomogeneous background the
The average intensity of each kymograph column (instead of the minimum) was subtracted. (ii) The kymograph was "straightened" by taking the intensity of the first horizontal line of the kymograph (which refers to the first time-frame) as a reference and cross-correlating it with the remaining lines in order to find how many pixels each time-frame needs to be shifted (Fig 1C). This pixel shift information for each time-frame also yields the instantaneous velocity. (iii) Speckles on the "straightened" kymograph were chosen by averaging the intensity along the MT over time and selecting the peaks from the averaged intensity profile (Fig 1D). In order to avoid noisy data, extremely dim speckles were ignored by selecting only peaks that were above the mean value of the averaged intensity profile. (iv) For each selected speckle, the intensity profile (averaged over a width of three pixels to overcome any inaccuracy due to pixelation of the 'straightened' kymograph) over time was interpolated to an intensity profile over distance using the instantaneous velocity information (especially important for MTs gliding with variable velocities). The resulting intensity profiles of the speckles showed a periodic variation in the intensity (Fig 1E and S1 Fig). (v) Performing an auto-correlation on the intensity profile for individual speckles (Fig 1F and S1 Fig) and subsequently obtaining the power spectral density (PSD) (using the smoothed periodogram method with a Hamming window) of the auto-correlation data yields the peaks corresponding to the spatial frequencies in the intensity signal for the speckles. In the example of Fig 1, the highest peak in the PSD curve for each speckle was approximately at 8μm (inset Fig 1G and S1 Fig) indicating that each speckle completed one rotation approximately every 8μm. This strategy is preferred over directly obtaining the PSD of the intensity profile of the speckles since the latter would give significance to bright speckles rather than to speckles with a pronounced periodicity. Use of the PSD of the auto-correlation removes the contribution of the intensity of the speckle and emphasizes only the periodicity of the intensity variation. (vi) The pitch of rotation of the gliding S-MT under investigation is then obtained by summation of the PSD curves for all individual speckles. The highest peak in the combined PSD curve (Fig 1G) yields the average rotational pitch of the specific S-MT. Kymographs from different S-MTs in the same experiment can then be used to determine the statistical error for the rotational pitch. Further details on the characteristics of the combined PSD curves are provided in the S1 Text.

**Kinesin-1 driven MT rotation data**

We analyzed a total of 107 kymographs of S-MTs gliding on kinesin-1 motors (typical kymograph examples in addition to the one presented in Fig 1 are provided in S2 Fig). Only six kymographs needed to be rejected either because 'straightening' the kymographs was inaccurate and/or the combined PSD curve had too many significant side peaks (the same rejection criteria being applied for all following measurements). The pitch of rotation was found to be 8.4μm (median; interquartile range [iqr] 8.0–9.2μm; N = 101; Fig 2A). This value (i) matches previous electron microscopy data which suggested that about 95% of MTs grown in the presence of GMP-CPP are composed of 14 protofilaments with an inherent left-handed supertwist (pitch of 8.95 ± 1.36μm, calculated from the Moiré pattern periodicities [28]) and (ii) confirms earlier studies that kinesin-1 walks along single MT protofilaments [11,12]. However, the distribution of the rotational pitches was slightly skewed towards longer pitches. When plotting the rotational pitches of the individual MTs as function of MT length, we were able to attribute the longer pitches (> 10μm) to short MTs (< 12μm) exclusively (Fig 2B).

In order to cross-check our speckle-based approach to the previously employed method based on QDot tracking [25], we generated biotinylated S-MTs (B-S-MTs) to be conjugated to streptavidin-coated QDots (QD-SA). The rotational pitch of the uncoupled B-S-MTs was found to be 8.1μm (median; iqr 7.9–9.0μm; N = 34 out of 37 imaged B-S-MTs, Fig 2C), not...
significantly different from the rotational pitch of S-MTs ($p = 0.19$, Mann-Whitney U test). Likewise, the rotational pitches of QD-SA-B-S-MTs obtained by speckle analysis (8.1 μm, median; iqr 7.7–9.0 μm; $N = 31$ out of 35 total events; $p = 0.09$ with respect to S-MTs; Fig 2D) and QDot tracking (8.4 μm, median; iqr 8.0–9.2 μm; $n = 34$, where $n$ is the number of QDots; $p = 0.83$) did not show any significant differences. These results indicate that QDots have negligible impact on the torsional motion of MTs gliding on kinesin-1 coated surfaces.

Kinesin-8 driven MT rotations

We analyzed a total of 86 kymographs of S-MTs gliding on kinesin-8 (Kip3-eGFP) motors specifically coupled to silicon-wafer surfaces by antibodies (Fig 3A). The pitch of rotation was found to be 1.4 μm (median; iqr 1.3–1.4 μm; $N = 76$ out of 86 imaged S-MTs; Fig 3D; typical example kymograph and evaluation in Fig 3B and 3C, S3 Fig). This value is significantly shorter than the rotational pitch on kinesin-1 coated surfaces and matches earlier results obtained by QDot tracking [13]. Again, when comparing rotation data from uncoupled B-S-MTs with rotation data obtained from QD-SA-B-S-MTs we find negligible differences in the rotational pitches (S4 Fig).

However, when plotting the QDot intensity as function of traveled distance about 40% of the intensity peaks exhibited asymmetric profiles (in contrast to the peaks obtained from tracking the speckles, Fig 4A). This observation hints towards a hindered motion of the QDots, due to surface interactions (i.e. torsional friction). In particular, the peaks in the QDot intensity...
Fig 3. Kinesin-8 driven MT rotations. (A) S-MTs glide on a reflective silicon substrate coated with kinesin-8 (Kip3-eGFP) motor proteins specifically attached to the surface via GFP antibodies. (B) Typical kymograph of a gliding S-MT. (C) Combined PSD curve obtained from five speckles, with peak at about 1.2 μm (data from B). (D) Histogram of the rotational pitches. Median pitch of rotation is 1.4 μm (iqr 1.3–1.4 μm; N = 76, where N is the number of MTs).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136920.g003
profiles show shoulders (indicated by small arrows, Fig 4A) that could imply that the QDots get pressed against the surface and that the build up of sufficient torque is necessary to snap the QDots to the other side of the MTs. At reduced ATP concentration (10 μM instead of 1 mM), torsional friction of the QDots appeared to become dominant. Whereas uncoupled B-S-MTs rotated smoothly (see typical kymograph in Fig 4B) with a median pitch of 1.0 μm (median; iqr 0.9–1.1 μm; N = 35 out of 44 imaged B-S-MTs; Fig 4C) the QDots obstructed the torsional motion of the QD-SA-B-S-MTs with only a few MTs rotating periodically at all. In contrast to

**Fig 4. Influence of QDots coupled to MTs gliding on Kinesin-8.** (A) Comparison of a speckle intensity profile from the S-MT shown in Fig 3B (red) and the intensity profile of a QDot on a QD-SA-B-S-MT (blue). In the speckle intensities of the S-MT, the rotational periods are symmetric and regular, in contrast to the QDot signal, which is asymmetric with regular shoulders (indicated with black arrows), suggesting that the QDot interacts with the glass substrate before it can pass between the MT and the surface. (B) Typical kymograph of a B-S-MT gliding on Kip3-eGFP in low (10 μM) ATP conditions. (C) Histogram of the rotational pitches of B-S-MTs gliding on Kip3-eGFP in low ATP conditions. Median pitch of rotation is 1.0 μm (iqr 0.9–1.1 μm; N = 35, where N is the number of MTs). (D) Intensity profile of the speckle on a B-S-MT indicated by the red line in the kymograph in Fig 4B and three example QDot intensity tracks obtained from QD-SA-B-S-MTs gliding on Kip3-eGFP under low ATP conditions. The FLIC intensity data indicates that the speckle on the uncoupled B-S-MT rotates with a pitch of about 1 μm while the QDots rotate differently: Track 1 (blue) ≈ 1.1 μm, Track 2 (green) = 3 μm, Track 3 (orange) ≈ 2.3 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136920.g004
the smooth, symmetric and periodic rotations of the B-S-MTs, the intensity profiles of the few QD-SA-B-S-MTs that rotated showed variable, often hindered and asymmetric rotations (QDot tracks 1–3 in Fig 4D) without any significant reduction in the gliding velocities (gliding velocity of B-S-MTs: 13.3 ± 2.8 nm/s [mean ± s.d.; N = 41] and gliding velocity of QD-SA-B-S-MTs: 13.5 ± 3.2 nm/s [mean ± s.d.; N = 21]; p = 0.85).

Cytoplasmic dynein driven MT rotations

We then applied the speckle method to S-MTs gliding on porcine cytoplasmic dynein motors specifically coupled to silicon-wafer surfaces by anti dynein antibodies (Fig 5A). Interestingly, we found the S-MTs rotating with rotational pitches much shorter than the MT supertwist (antibody concentration = 100 μg/ml, Fig 5B and 5C, S5 Fig). This observation suggests that

![Diagram](image)

**Fig 5. Cytoplasmic dynein driven MT rotations.** (A) S-MTs glide on a reflective silicon substrate coated with cytoplasmic dynein motor proteins specifically attached to the surface via anti-dynein antibodies. (B) Typical kymograph of a gliding S-MT. (C) Combined PSD curve obtained from nine speckles, with peak at about 1.1 μm. (D) Histogram of the rotational pitches, median pitch of rotation is 1.4 μm (IQR 1.1–1.8 μm; N = 131, where N is the number of rotation events from 75 kymographs), which indicates that the gliding MTs rotate with shorter pitches than the supertwist of the employed GMP-CPP MTs. (E) Typical kymograph of a gliding S-MT with varying rotational pitch. The S-MT motion is divided into four sections as can be seen in (F), which shows the FLIC intensity versus time for one of the speckles on the MT lattice (indicated by the red line in E). Initially the MT had a rotational pitch of 1.1 μm (i), then the rotational pitch reduced to 0.9 μm (ii), followed by a switch to 1.1 μm (iii), and finally, a reduction to 0.7 μm (iv).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136920.g005
dynein motors during collective transport switch protofilaments with a bias to a particular direction. We analyzed 118 kymographs of gliding S-MTs and observed that the rotational pitches were often not constant. 30 of the kymographs were rejected because the rotational pitches were too variable and no clear peaks were obtained in the PSD corresponding to the rotational pitch of the MT. Moreover, in a number of other kymographs, two (or more) sharp peaks were observed in the PSD indicating that the gliding MT rotated with a certain constant rotational pitch for a while before switching to another rotational pitch. In such cases the kymographs were sectioned into rotation events corresponding to different regions where the MTs rotated with fairly constant pitches for longer than four periods. The distribution in rotational pitches varied between 0.5\( \mu \)m and 3\( \mu \)m, with a median rotational pitch of 1.4\( \mu \)m (iqr 1.1–1.8\( \mu \)m; \( N = 130 \) rotation events from 75 kymographs, Fig 5D). A typical kymograph of a S-MT switching its rotational pitch over time is shown in Fig 5E, along with the intensity-time plot of one particular speckle shown in Fig 5F. Here, the S-MT motion could be divided into four sections: initially the rotational pitch was 1.1\( \mu \)m (i), reduced to 0.9\( \mu \)m (ii), switched back to 1.1\( \mu \)m (iii), and finally reduced to 0.7\( \mu \)m (iv).

As mentioned above, about 25% of the kymographs were rejected from the speckle analysis because our approach assigns a single rotational pitch to each gliding MT and in case of dynein the MT rotational pitches were often too irregular. Therefore, data from all kymographs were reanalyzed in more detail by choosing the clearest speckle (having the clearest intensity variation) of each S-MT and obtaining the pitches for individual rotation manually [12]. The rotational pitch obtained from the individual rotations (Fig 6A; median 1.3\( \mu \)m; iqr 1.0–1.8\( \mu \)m; \( N = 984 \) rotations from 105 MTs) was not significantly different from the MT rotational pitch that was analyzed automatically with the PSD (Fig 5D; \( p = 0.24 \)).

To understand the large variability in rotational pitches of MTs gliding on cytoplasmic dynein we performed gliding assays at lower dynein surface densities by lowering the antibody concentration (10\( \mu \)g/ml and 20\( \mu \)g/ml) to which the motors are attached. At the resulting motor densities, the gliding of MTs was far less uniform (see examples of typical kymographs in S6 Fig). Also, the rotational pitches were highly variable and our speckle analysis approach did not reveal any clear peaks in the PSD except for one kymograph (S6A Fig). However, for most of the MTs (14 out of 16 MTs at antibody concentration 20\( \mu \)g/ml and nine out of 16 MTs at antibody concentration 10\( \mu \)g/ml) it was possible to obtain the individual rotational pitches by manual selection. The histograms plotted for the individual rotations (Fig 6B) reveals that the rotational pitches varied from 0.8\( \mu \)m to 8.5\( \mu \)m (at antibody concentration 20\( \mu \)g/ml: median 2.6\( \mu \)m; iqr 1.6–4.0\( \mu \)m; \( N = 97 \) rotations from 14 MTs; at antibody concentration 10\( \mu \)g/ml: median 2.9\( \mu \)m; iqr 1.9–5.3\( \mu \)m; \( N = 37 \) rotations from nine MTs). From Fig 6B and 6C it is clear that both the median of the rotational pitch as well as the variation in the pitch was significantly higher for MTs gliding on a lower density of cytoplasmic dynein.

**Discussion**

We present a novel, FLIC-based method to analyze the torsional motion of MTs gliding on surfaces coated with motor proteins. Specifically, our method uses non-homogenously distributed fluorescence speckles as optical probes incorporated in the MT lattice. This way, we circumvent the previous necessity of external, often bulky probes and provide an impact-free analysis method with negligible torsional friction on MT rotations. While our method cannot readily be used to determine the handedness of the torsional motion (as it only reports on the height but not the sideward motion of the speckles), this general information can—if desired—be obtained by adding a small number of QD-SA-B-S-MTs into the same assays [12].
To benchmark our novel method, we used kinesin-1 gliding motility assays. In these experiments, we observed a rotational pitch of 8.4 μm (Fig 2A), which was higher than the rotational pitch of 14 protofilament GDP-taxol MTs observed in previous works [11,29]. This implies

**Fig 6. Cytoplasmic dynein driven MT rotations at different motor densities.** (A) Histogram of individual rotations of S-MTs gliding on cytoplasmic dynein (antibody concentration 100 μg/ml, analysis of the same kymographs as in Fig 5D except that the individual rotations were picked manually). (B) Histograms of individual rotations of S-MTs gliding on cytoplasmic dynein at different antibody concentrations and thus different motor densities (grey: antibody concentration 100 μg/ml, same data as in A, y-axis on the right; blue: antibody concentration 20 μg/ml, y-axis on the left; orange: antibody concentration 10 μg/ml, y-axis on the left). (C) Box plot of the individual rotations for the three different antibody concentrations (grey: median 1.3 μm, iqr 1.0–1.8 μm, N = 984; blue: median 2.6 μm, iqr 1.6–4.0 μm, N = 97, p = 6.6 x 10^{-26} with respect to grey data; orange: median 2.9 μm, iqr 1.9–5.3 μm, N = 37, p = 1.6 x 10^{-13} with respect to grey data). **** corresponds to p < 0.0001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136920.g006

To benchmark our novel method, we used kinesin-1 gliding motility assays. In these experiments, we observed a rotational pitch of 8.4 μm (Fig 2A), which was higher than the rotational pitch of 14 protofilament GDP-taxol MTs observed in previous works [11,29]. This implies
that 14 protofilament GMPCPP MTs have a supertwist higher than the supertwist of 14 protofilament GDP taxol MTs (≈6μm), which has been already suggested in an earlier electron-microscopy study of MT lattice structures [28].

Unlike Nitzsche et al. [12] we did not observe MTs with rotational pitches of about 4μm (about half the average pitch). While we do occasionally identify individual speckles with a peak at half of the rotational pitch (presumably due to the collection of two or more speckles in close proximity, see S1 Text) these rare clusters did not significantly influence the combined PSD obtained from multiple speckles per S-MT and thus did not impact the rotational pitch of the S-MT. On the other hand, QDot clusters may yield similar peaks at half the rotational pitch but—due to the sparse QDot labeling of the MTs—would have a much stronger impact on the measurement.

Our results indicate that short MTs (<12μm) have a higher variation in the measured rotational pitches (up to more than 10μm for individual S-MTs) than longer ones (Fig 2B). This variation may originate from the lower measuring accuracy since only few speckles are available for analysis on short S-MTs. An additional contribution could come from lattice defects, which may lead to the generation of S-MTs with varying protofilament numbers and reduced growth rates. However, even though we identified variations in the rotational pitches of different S-MTs, no significant differences in the rotational pitches of different parts of the same S-MT (i.e. no differences in the peak values for different speckles) were observed (S1 Table). These observations indicate (i) that there was no build-up of torsional tension in the MT lattice and (ii) that—even if MT lattice defects lead to a different supertwist in small regions of the MT—kinesin-1 motors are forced to follow the dominant supertwist of the lattice.

To investigate if the torsional motion of MTs conjugated with QDots is influenced by interactions of the QDots with the surface, we compared data from B-S-MTs gliding on kinesin-1 with QDots (QD-SA-B-S-MTs) and without QDots (B-S-MT) attached. We did not find a statistically significant difference (p = 0.56) in the rotational pitches, suggesting that kinesin-1 motors allow easy passage of QDots between the surface and the MT without significant hindrance of motion. For kinesin-1 gliding assays it was measured that the distance between the surface and the MT lattice is about 17nm [20]. Because the diameter of QDots (with functionalization about 25-30nm) is larger than this value, to allow the QDots to pass kinesin-1 motors may upon QDot encounter stretch (note that kinesin-1 has two hinge domains, which can readily uncoil in gliding assays [30]) or detach (note that obstacles trigger the detachment of kinesin-1 motors from the MT [31]).

When investigating the gliding of S-MTs on surfaces coated by kinesin-8 motors we found rotational pitches much shorter than the supertwist of the employed S-MTs. In consistence with previous work, this result likely originates from the capability of kinesin-8 to make stochastic side-steps to the left while moving along the MT [13]. However, in contrast to kinesin-1, coupling QDots to MTs gliding on kinesin-8 did influence their rotational pitch (Fig 4). In particular, the QDots appeared to have difficulties to pass between the surface and the MT, often getting momentarily stuck as suggested by the shoulders in Fig 4A. We see a number of possible reasons for this behavior: Kinesin-8 motors (i) are presumably rather rigid (as they do not have the hinge domains present in kinesin-1), (ii) are highly processive ([22], i.e. they have a lower detachment rate than kinesin-1), (iii) are significantly weaker than kinesin-1 [32], and (iv) are capable of switching protofilaments towards the left and right [33]. Moreover, in contrast to kinesin-1, kinesin-8 has additional MT binding sites in the motor domain [34] as well as in the neck and tail regions [35,36], tethering kinesin-8 diffusively to the MT (even in the absence of ATP [37]). Therefore, QDots can presumably only pass between the surface and the MT if sufficient torsional force is generated to force the kinesin-8 motors to detach. Then, the QDots will snap from one side of the MT to the other, resulting in the asymmetric intensity
variations seen in Fig 4A. Moreover, at low ATP concentration the QDots coupled to the MT lattice partially suppressed the rotation of the QD-SA-B-S-MTs, whereas S-MTs rotated smoothly under the same conditions. This may imply that at low ATP conditions, most kinesin-8 motors are inactive and diffusively attached to the MT lattice while the few active motors that drive the MT forward do not generate sufficient torque to overcome the QDot torsional friction due to the inactive motors. This observation allows us to speculate about two things: (i) The ratio between active and inactive kinesin-8 may influence the torsional friction due to the inactive motors and/or the torsional forces that are generated by the active motors and (ii) kinesin-8 motors can sometimes be prevented from switching protofilaments towards left or even be forced to switch protofilaments towards the right. Taken together, these results show that the speckle method is superior in quantitatively detecting weak torsional motions of gliding MTs, thereby allowing the exploration of collective properties of molecular motors with a weak and/or stochastic side-stepping behavior.

Considering the aforementioned advantages of the speckle method, we used this technique to investigate the rotation of S-MTs gliding on surfaces coated with mammalian cytoplasmic dynein. In these experiments, we found rotations with a pitch of 1.4 µm (Fig 5). At first sight, this result is not surprising, as previous work with non-processive axonemal dynein [15,16] and yeast cytoplasmic dynein [38] also showed torsional motion of gliding MTs and multi-motor coated beads, respectively. All these results imply a torsional component in the motor’s power stroke, which might be a characteristic feature of all dyneins. However, our results contradict single-molecule studies reporting that cytoplasmic dynein (both yeast [4,7,38–40] and mammalian [8]) steps erratically with varying step sizes and random switches to adjacent protofilaments in either direction. We hypothesize, that the torsional motion seen in multi-motor assays is not detected for single motors, because the motor domains of an individual dynein are only loosely coupled with respect to each other [39,40]. However, it is known that the collective transport by multiple dyneins can generate higher forces (at least along the longitudinal axis) [41]. This coordination could also lead to a collective torsional motion, where multiple motors are biased to side-step in the same direction.

In our experiments, MTs changed their rotational pitches while gliding on cytoplasmic dynein (Fig 5E and 5F). We hypothesize that these changes were induced by local variations of the dynein density on the surface. In support of this idea we found the rotational pitches to indeed depend on the motor density when globally varying the dynein density (Fig 6B and 6C). Smaller numbers of dynein motors propelling a MT did produce less pronounced rotations in an often quite irregular manner. These results hint towards an increasing collective effect when an increasing number of dyneins interact with the MT. How this coordination is achieved is not clear and will need further investigation.

In conclusion, the speckle method described in this paper can be applied to precisely and robustly measure the torsional motion of gliding filaments in vitro. The intrinsic probes introduced by the non-homogenously distributed speckles eliminate the need for bulky external probes that would potentially hinder or restrict the torsional motion, especially when investigating motor proteins with a low torque component. Additionally, potential problems associated with the crosslinking of external probes to MTs can be avoided. Application of this impact-free method to the measurements of rotations driven by kinesin-1, kinesin-8, and mammalian cytoplasmic dynein demonstrates the versatility of the approach, which can easily be transferred to the investigation of other MT-based motors.
Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Analysis of the individual speckles of the typical kymograph in Fig 1. (A) Straightened kymograph (as seen in Fig 1C) with line scans over the seven individual speckles numbered 1 to 7. The 4th speckle (line scan marked in green) is already analyzed in Fig 1. The line scans over the other speckles are marked in red. (B) The intensity profiles over distance, the auto-correlation of the intensity profiles and the power spectra of the auto-correlation for the speckles 1,2,3,5,6,7. The corresponding peaks for the power spectra are at 8.1μm, 7.9μm, 8.0μm, 7.8μm, 8.0μm and 7.9μm. (EPS)

S2 Fig. Four typical kymographs of S-MTs gliding on kinesin-1. The combined power spectra for the individual kymographs have their main peak at 8.0μm, 8.1μm, 7.8μm and 7.9μm. The power spectra also have a prominent peak at half distance from the main peak. This contribution is due to some speckles being in close proximity to one another. There are also peaks at higher distances that are mainly due to variable background noise in the kymographs. Vertical scale bars represent 10s and horizontal scale bars represent 5μm. (EPS)

S3 Fig. Three typical kymographs of S-MTs gliding on kinesin-8. The combined power spectra analysis of the individual kymographs yields rotational pitches of 1.5μm (A), 1.2μm (B), and 1.3μm (C). Vertical scale bars represent 20s and horizontal scale bars represent 5μm. (EPS)

S4 Fig. Influence of QDots on kinesin-8 driven MT rotation. (A) Histogram of the rotational pitches of S-MTs. Median pitch of rotation is 1.4μm (iqr 1.3–1.4μm; N = 76, where N is the number of MTs). The same figure as shown in 3D. (B) Histogram of the rotational pitches obtained from the speckle signal of QD-SA-B-S-MTs. Median pitch of rotation is 1.3μm (iqr 1.3–1.4μm; N = 30; p = 0.49 with respect to S-MTs). (C) Histogram of the rotational pitches obtained from tracking the QDots attached to QD-SA-B-S-MTs. Median pitch of rotation is 1.4μm (iqr 1.3–1.5μm; N = 48, where N is the number of QDots; p = 0.81). (EPS)

S5 Fig. Three typical kymographs of S-MTs gliding on cytoplasmic dynein (at 100μg/ml antibody concentration). The combined power spectra analysis of the individual kymographs yields rotational pitches of 1.1μm (A), 2.9μm (B), and 1.3μm (in the beginning) as well as 1.1μm (in the end) (C). Vertical scale bars represent 25s and horizontal scale bars represent 5μm. (EPS)

S6 Fig. Three typical kymographs of S-MTs gliding on cytoplasmic dynein (at 20μg/ml antibody concentration). The combined power spectra analysis of the individual kymographs yields a rotational pitch of 1.1μm (A), but no combined peak was visible for (B-C). Vertical scale bars represent 25s and horizontal scale bars represent 5μm. (EPS)

S1 Table. Comparison of results from combined power spectra and individual speckles for ten S-MTs gliding on kinesin-1. Rotational pitch obtained from the combined power spectra does not differ from the weighted mean of the rotational pitches from the individual speckles (weighted by the heights of their peaks obtained in the PSD). The variation of the rotational pitch for individual speckles is less than 0.2μm, which is much smaller than the variation of the kinesin-1 rotational pitch distribution (iqr 8.0–9.2μm). The last column specifies the number.
of speckles that showed clear periodicities and the total number of speckles (in brackets).

(SI Text)

Details of the combined power spectral density (PSD) curve.

(SI Text)

Acknowledgments

We thank the protein expression facility at the MPI-CBG for help in the expression and purification of kinesin-8, Wilhelm Walter for the purification of the cytoplasmic dynein, Corina Bräuer for technical support and the whole Diez-Lab for fruitful discussions. The authors acknowledge financial support from the European Research Council (starting grant 242933, NanoTrans), the European Social Funds (grant 100111059, MindNano), the German Research Foundation (Cluster of Excellence Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden, Heisenberg programme grant DI 1226/4 and research unit SFG 877 grant DI 1226/5) as well as the Max Planck Society and the Technische Universität Dresden.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AM FR BN SD. Performed the experiments: AM. Analyzed the data: AM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: FR. Wrote the paper: AM FR BN SD.

References

1. Vale RD, Funatsu T, Pierce DW, Romberg L, Harada Y, Yanagida T. Direct observation of single kinesin molecules moving along microtubules. Nature. 1996; 380: 451–3. doi: 10.1038/380451a0 PMID: 8602245
2. Yildiz A, Tomishige M, Vale RD, Selvin PR. Kinesin walks hand-over-hand. Science. 2004; 303: 676–8. doi: 10.1126/science.1093753 PMID: 14684828
3. Gelles J, Schnapp BJ, Sheetz MP. Tracking kinesin-driven movements with nanometre-scale precision. Nature. 1988; 331: 450–3. doi: 10.1038/331450a0 PMID: 3123999
4. Wang Z, Khan S, Sheetz MP. Single cytoplasmic dynein molecule movements: characterization and comparison with kinesin. Biophys J. 1995; 69: 2011–23. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(95)80071-8 PMID: 8580344
5. Svoboda K, Schmidt CF, Schnapp BJ, Block SM. Direct observation of kinesin stepping by optical trapping interferometry. Nature. 1993; 365: 721–7. doi: 10.1038/365721a0 PMID: 8413650
6. Seitz A, Surrey T. Processive movement of single kinesins on crowded microtubules visualized using quantum dots. EMBO J. 2006; 25: 267–77. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600937 PMID: 16407972
7. Reck-Peterson SL, Yildiz A, Carter AP, Gennerich A, Zhang N, Vale RD. Single-molecule analysis of dynein processivity and stepping behavior. Cell. 2006; 126: 335–48. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.046 PMID: 16873064
8. Ori-McKenney KM, Xu J, Gross SP, Vallee RB. A cytoplasmic dynein tail mutation impairs motor processivity. Nat Cell Biol. 2010; 12: 1228–34. doi: 10.1038/nclmbiol.2010.239
9. Derr ND, Goodman BS, Jungmann R, Leschziner AE, Shih WM, Reck-Peterson SL. Tug-of-war in motor protein ensembles revealed with a programmable DNA origami scaffold. Science. 2012; 338: 662–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1226734 PMID: 23065903
10. Furuta K, Furuta A, Toyoshima YY, Amino M, Oiwa K, Kojima H. Measuring collective transport by defined numbers of processive and nonprocessive kinesin motors. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2013; 110: 501–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1213901110 PMID: 23267076
11. Ray S. Kinesin follows the microtubule’s protofilament axis. J Cell Biol. 1993; 121: 1083–1093. doi: 10.1083/jcb.121.5.1083 PMID: 8099076
12. Nitzsche B, Ruhnow F, Diez S. Quantum-dot-assisted characterization of microtubule rotations during cargo transport. Nat Nanotechnol. 2008; 3: 552–556. doi: 10.1038/nnano.2008.216 PMID: 18772917
13. Bormuth V, Nitzsche B, Ruhnow F, Mitra A, Storch M, Rammner B, et al. The highly processive kinesin-8, Kip3, switches microtubule protofilaments with a bias toward the left. Biophys J. 2012; 103. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2012.05.024

14. Walker RA, Salmon ED, Endow SA. The Drosophila claret segregation protein is a minus-end directed motor molecule. Nature. 1990; 347: 780–2. doi:10.1038/347780a0 PMID: 2146510

15. Vale RD, Yano Toyoshima Y. Rotation and translocation of microtubules in vitro induced by dyneins from Tetrahymena cilia. Cell. 1988; 52: 459–469. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(88)80038-2 PMID: 2962478

16. Mimori Y, Miki-Noumura T. Extrusion of rotating microtubules on the dynein-track from a microtubule-dynein gamma-complex. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton. 1995; 30: 17–25. doi:10.1002/cm.970300104 PMID: 7728865

17. Yajima J, Cross RA. A torque component in the kinesin-1 power stroke. Nat Chem Biol. 2005; 1: 338–41. doi:10.1038/ncb1462 PMID: 16408073

18. Nishizaka T, Yagi T, Tanaka Y, Ishiwata S. Right-handed rotation of an actin filament in an in vitro motile system. Nature. 1993; 361: 269–71. doi:10.1038/361269a0 PMID: 7728865

19. Yamaguchi S, Saito K, Sutoh M, Nishizaka T, Toyoshima YY, Yajima J. Torque Generation by Axonemal Outer-Arm Dynein. Biophys J. Biophysical Society; 2015; 108: 872–879. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2014.12.038

20. Kerssemakers J, Howard J, Hess H, Diez S. The distance that kinesin-1 holds its cargo from the microtubule surface measured by fluorescence interference contrast microscopy. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006; 103: 15812–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.0510400103 PMID: 17035506

21. Hancock WO. Processivity of the Motor Protein Kinesin Requires Two Heads. J Cell Biol. 1998; 140: 1395–1405. doi:10.1083/jcb.140.6.1395 PMID: 9508772

22. Varga V, Helenius J, Tanaka K, Hyman AA, Tanaka TU, Howard J. Yeast kinesin-8 depolymerizes microtubules in a length-dependent manner. Nat Cell Biol. 2006; 8: 957–62. doi:10.1038/ncb1462 PMID: 16906145

23. Walter WJ, Koonce MP, Brenner B, Steffen W. Two independent switches regulate cytoplasmic dynein’s processivity and directionality. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2012; 109: 5289–93. doi:10.1073/pnas.1116315109 PMID: 22411823

24. Castoldi M, Popov AV. Purification of brain tubulin through two cycles of polymerization-depolymerization in a high-molarity buffer. Protein Expr Purif. 2003; 32: 83–8. doi:10.1016/S1046-5928(03)00218-3 PMID: 14680943

25. Nitzsche B, Bormuth V, Bräuer C, Howard J, Ionov L, Kerssemakers J, et al. Studying kinesin motors by optical 3D-nanometry in gliding motility assays. Methods in cell biology. 2010. doi:10.1016/S0091-679X(10)95014-0

26. Korten T, Nitzsche B, Gell C, Ruhnow F, Leduc C, Diez S. Fluorescence imaging of single Kinesin motors on immobilized microtubules. Methods Mol Biol. 2011; 783: 121–37. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-282-3_7 PMID: 21909886

27. Ruhnow F, Zwicker D, Diez S. Tracking single particles and elongated filaments with nanometer precision. Biophys J. 2011; 100: 2820–8. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2011.04.023 PMID: 21641328

28. Hyman AA, Chrétien D, Arnal I, Wade RH. Structural changes accompanying GTP hydrolysis in microtubules: information from a slowly hydrolyzable analogue guanylyl-(alpha,beta)-methylene-diphosphonate. J Cell Biol. 1995; 128: 117–25. doi:10.1083/jcb.128.1.117 PMID: 7822409

29. Brunnbauer M, Dombi R, Ho T-H, Schliwa M, Rief M, Ökten Z. Torque generation of kinesin motors is governed by the stability of the neck domain. Mol Cell. 2012; 46: 147–58. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2012.04.005 PMID: 22541555

30. Crevenna AH, Madathil S, Cohen DN, Wagenbach M, Fahmy K, Howard J. Secondary structure and compliance of a predicted flexible domain in kinesin-1 necessary for cooperation of motors. Biophys J. 2008; 95: 5216–27. doi:10.1529/biophysj.107.132449 PMID: 18775962

31. Schneider R, Korten T, Walter WJ, Diez S. Kinesin-1 Motors Can Circumvent Permanent Roadblocks by Side-Shifting to Neighboring Protofilaments. Biophys J. 2015; 108: 2249–2257. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2015.03.046 PMID: 25954882

32. Jannasch A, Bormuth V, Storch M, Howard J, Schäffer E. Kinesin-8 is a low-force motor protein with a weakly bound slip state. Biophys J. 2013; 104: 2456–2464. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2013.02.040 PMID: 23746518

33. Bugiel M, Elisa B, Schäffer E. The Kinesin-8 Kip3 switches protofilaments in a sideward random walk asymmetrically biased by force. Biophys J. 2015; 108:2019–2027. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2015.03.022 PMID: 25902441
34. Peters C, Brejc K, Belmont L, Bodey AJ, Lee Y, Yu M, et al. Insight into the molecular mechanism of the multitasking kinesin-8 motor. EMBO J. 2010; 29: 3437–3447. doi:10.1038/emboj.2010.220 PMID: 20818331

35. Mayr MI, Storch M, Howard J, Mayer TU. A non-motor microtubule binding site is essential for the high processivity and mitotic function of kinesin-8 Kif18A. PLoS One. 2011; 6. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027471

36. Su X, Qiu W, Gupta ML, Pereira-Leal JB, Pellman D. Mechanisms Underlying the Dual-Mode Regulation of Microtubule Dynamics by Kip3/Kinesin-8. Mol Cell. 2011; 43: 751–763. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.06.027 PMID: 21884976

37. Bormuth V, Varga V, Howard J, Schäffer E. Protein friction limits diffusive and directed movements of kinesin motors on microtubules. Science. 2009; 325: 870–873. doi: 10.1126/science.1174923 PMID: 19679813

38. Can S, Dewitt MA, Yildiz A. Bidirectional helical motility of cytoplasmic dynein around microtubules. eLife. 2014; e03205. doi: 10.7554/eLife.03205 PMID: 25069614

39. Qiu W, Derr ND, Goodman BS, Villa E, Wu D, Shih W, et al. Dynein achieves processive motion using both stochastic and coordinated stepping. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2012; 19: 193–200. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.2205 PMID: 22231401

40. DeWitt MA, Chang AY, Combs PA, Yildiz A. Cytoplasmic dynein moves through uncoordinated stepping of the AAA+ ring domains. Science. 2012; 335: 221–5. doi: 10.1126/science.1215804 PMID: 22157083

41. Rai AK, Rai A, Ramaiya AJ, Jha R, Mallik R. Molecular adaptations allow dynein to generate large collective forces inside cells. Cell. 2013; 152: 172–82. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.11.044 PMID: 23332753