INTRODUCTION

The metabolic syndrome is composed of several metabolic changes including insulin resistance, impaired glucose tolerance, dyslipidemia, and central obesity. These metabolic changes provoke a risk of major health problems such as cardiovascular disease, Type 2 diabetes (Type 2 DM), and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [1]. Insulin resistance is proposed to be the main etiology of metabolic changes [2]. Chronic and excessive intake of a high-calorie fat and sugar diet can cause insulin resistance and fat accumulation in the liver (steatosis) [3]. Intrahepatic fat accumulation is the early stage of NAFLD and is the result of a high-fat intake, de novo lipogenesis or released lipids from adipose tissue [4]. Fructose is a monosaccharide found in many fruits, vegetables, honey, and soft drinks. It has been shown that overconsumption of fructose can enhance the risk of insulin resistance because fructose is predominantly metabolized in the liver where it promotes de novo lipogenesis and very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) biogenesis, and eventually intrahepatic fat accumulation [5].

The general recommendations for treatment of metabolic disorders are lifestyle modifications, such as caloric restriction, healthy foods, increased physical activity, medicinal herbs or nutraceutical supplementations, and eventually pharmacological intervention [3]. Various types of rice (Oryza sativa) are widely cultivated around the world. Rice is not only a major source of energy but also a source of many bioactive compounds and especially antioxidants including phenolic compounds [6-8]. Rice bran, a byproduct of rice grain milling process, is also full of many valuable bioactive compounds such as Vitamin E, γ-oryzanol, and anthocyanins [9] with health beneficial effects in decreasing blood cholesterol and reducing the incidence of atherosclerotic disease [10]. Interestingly, we have previously shown that the hydrolysate of white rice bran could decrease blood glucose, decrease hyperinsulinemia, improve dyslipidemia, and decrease the expression levels of the proinflammatory genes tumor necrosis factor-alpha, IL-6, Nos2, and Mcp-1 in high-fat high-carbohydrate-induced metabolic syndrome rats [11]. Those results indicated that the hydrolysate of white rice bran increases insulin sensitivity and improves metabolic changes in metabolic syndrome.

Tubtim-chumphae rice, a Thai rice cultivar Rd6/9 with a red pericarp, is a hybrid of white jasmine rice and colored Sanggod rice. This hybrid rice has a high content of phenolic compounds, Vitamin E (γ-tocopheral), and γ-oryzanol (www.Thairice.org). Thus, Tubtim-chumphae rice bran may be another source of nutraceuticals for persons with metabolic syndrome and also Type 2 D. However, it still lacks the experiment to prove the therapeutic effect of colored rice bran on insulin resistance and intrahepatic fat accumulation in insulin resistance animals.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of the ethanolic extract of Tubtim-chumphae rice bran on insulin resistance and intrahepatic fat accumulation as well as its molecular mechanism in high-fat-high-fructose diet (HFFD) induced insulin resistant rats.

METHODS

Chemicals

Pioglitazone (Piozone’s®, M&H Manufacturing Co. Ltd. Thailand), Trizol reagent and Diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water (Invitrogen, San Diego, California), iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix for RT-q polymerase
Determination of fat in liver and histological examination

The hepatic TG was examined as described by Naowaboot [15]. Briefly, 50 mg of liver was homogenized, and dissolved in 1 mL of isopropanol. Then, it was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected. The TG contents of the analyzed liver samples were determined using the Wako Assay kit for TG. The amount of hepatic TG was expressed as mg/g tissue.

A portion of liver was fixed in buffered neutral formalin (10%) and the fixed tissue was processed for paraffin embedding. Tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Microscopic histological examinations of liver were performed.

Determination of liver PPAR-α gene expression

Total RNA was extracted from frozen liver tissues by TRizol® reagents according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 1 mg of total RNA was reverse transcribed to single-stranded cDNA using the reverse transcriptase (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). PCR was carried out using specific primers as follows: β-actin forward 5'-GGGATACCTGGCTTGGATACC-3' and β-actin reverse 5'-GACAGCTGTCAGCTCTGC-3'; PPAR-α forward 5'-CTGGAGCCTAACGGACAGGTC-3' and PPAR-α reverse 5'-ACAAGGCGCACTAAGACT-3'. The reaction was performed with SosFast™ EvaGreen Supermix with low Rox (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using Light Cycler 480II/384 (Roche Applied Science) under the following conditions: Denaturation at 95°C for 3 min and amplification by cycling 40 times at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 31 s. The relative expression ratio of the target gene was calculated compared to the reference β-actin gene.

Statistical analysis

All results are presented as means±SEM. The effects of ERB on blood glucose, OGTT, serum lipid profile, liver TG, serum insulin, and expression of PPAR-α were analyzed by analysis of variance followed by Student Newman-Keuls tests to show specific group differences. The level of significance was uniformly set at p<0.05. Statistical analyses were carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 19.

RESULTS

Characteristic of ERB

Using a HPLC-DAD-based assay, gallic acid, catechin, and isorhamnetin were found at high amounts of 214.12, 212.60, and 201.64 mg/kg, respectively. Tannic acid, rutin, quercetin, and apigenin were found at the amounts of 189.92, 156.37, 113.13, and 6.12 mg/kg, respectively. Eriodictyol, kaempferol, and hydroquinone were not detectable at a 5 mg/kg limit of detection.

Effect of ERB on body weight gain

The body weights at the starting point of all experimental groups were similar (range 230–270 g). Feeding HFFD for the first 10 weeks did not affect the weight of the animals, however, continued feeding for a further 4 weeks caused a significant increase in body weight (Table 1). The HFFD rats that received ERB (250 or 500 mg/kg) in the past 4 weeks had lower body weights and growth rates (% increase in body weight) as compared to the control HFFD group receiving distilled water (Table 1). Poglitazone (10 mg/kg) did not show body weight reduction.

Effect of ERB on FBG and OGTT

At the end of week 10 of HFFD feeding, the average of FBG of each group was in the range of 82–88 mg/dL, which was not significantly different from normal diet fed rats. The OGTT results, however, showed that the AUC of blood glucose from 0 to 120 min (AUC showing the amount of glucose in blood from 0 min to 120 min) of HFFD fed animals was significantly higher than that of normal control rats; this indicated an impairment of glucose tolerance and possibly an insulin resistant situation. Continued feeding with HFFD for a further 4 weeks caused...
a significantly high FBG and AUC as compared to normal diet fed rats (Table 2). This indicated that the hyperglycemia and insulin resistance occurred in rats fed with HFFD for 14 weeks. Interestingly, the HFFD rats treated with ERB (250 and 500 mg/kg) or pioglitazone, had significantly lower FBG and AUC values than that of HFFD control rats (Table 2) indicating that ERB or pioglitazone could decrease FBG and improve OGTT in HFFD fed animals.

**Effect of ERB on insulin secretion and HOMA-IR values**

At the end of 14 weeks of HFFD feeding, the HFFD control rats had significantly increased in serum insulin levels (6.34±1.37 ng/ml) and high HOMA-IR values (43.63±9.63) as compared to normal control animals (serum insulin; 1.34±0.38 ng/ml, HOMA-IR value; 5.78±1.47) which indicated insulin resistance in HFFD control animals (Fig. 1). ERB administration at 250 and 500 mg/kg caused significant decreases in insulin secretion (3.21±0.64 and 2.60±0.76 ng/ml) and HOMA-IR values (18.69±3.75 and 14.29±4.41). Pioglitazone also decreased both insulin and HOMA-IR levels (Fig. 1).

**Table 1: Effect of ERB on body weight gaining of HFFD rats**

| Groups              | Body weight (g) | % increases of body weight |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
|                     | Week 10         | Week 14                   |
| Normal control      | 681.67±21.09    | 693.33±19.54              |
| Normal+ERB 250      | 613.00±9.03     | 663.00±1.73               |
| Normal+ERB 500      | 694.50±22.04    | 790.67±30.17*             |
| HFFD control        | 685.33±40.08    | 94.60±1.75*               |
| HFFD+ERB 250        | 638.67±15.75    | 86.17±2.24                |
| HFFD+ERB 500        | 867.62±10.52    | 93.75±1.43*               |
| Normal control      | 77.27±3.48      | 81.36±4.93                |
| Normal+ERB 250      | 80.25±3.12      | 79.00±2.43                |
| Normal+ERB 500      | 82.00±3.79      | 11.00±1.69*               |
| HFFD control        | 867.62±36.30    | 94.60±1.75*               |
| HFFD+ERB 250        | 861.72±23.4     | 92.67±3.80*               |
| HFFD+ERB 500        | 88.20±3.36      | 93.75±1.43*               |
| Normal control      | 1335±51         | 1332±50                   |
| Normal+ERB 250      | 1456±51         | 1450±50                   |
| Normal+ERB 500      | 1560±50         | 1560±50                   |
| HFFD control        | 1592±50         | 1592±50                   |
| HFFD+ERB 250        | 1641±50         | 1641±50                   |
| HFFD+ERB 500        | 1793±50         | 1793±50                   |

HFFD: High-fat-high-fructose diet, ERB 250 or 500: Ethanolic extract of rice bran at 250 or 500 mg/kg, Pio 10: Pioglitazone at 10 mg/kg, *p<0.05 as compared with normal control.

**Effect of ERB on FBG and OGTT**

**Table 2: Effect of ERB on FBG and OGTT**

| Groups              | FBG (mg/dL) | Area under curve (min.mg/dL) |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | Week 10     | Week 14                     | Week 10     | Week 14                     |
| Normal control      | 77.27±3.48  | 81.36±4.93                  | 1335±51     | 1332±50                     |
| Normal+ERB 250      | 80.25±3.12  | 79.00±2.43                  | 1456±51     | 1450±50                     |
| HFFD control        | 82.00±3.79  | 11.00±1.69*                 | 1560±50     | 1560±50                     |
| HFFD+ERB 250        | 867.62±36.30| 94.60±1.75*                 | 1592±50     | 1592±50                     |
| HFFD+ERB 500        | 861.72±23.4 | 92.67±3.80*                 | 1592±50     | 1592±50                     |
| Normal control      | 1335±51     | 1332±50                     | 1335±51     | 1332±50                     |
| Normal+ERB 250      | 1456±51     | 1450±50                     | 1456±51     | 1450±50                     |
| Normal+ERB 500      | 1560±50     | 1560±50                     | 1560±50     | 1560±50                     |
| HFFD control        | 1592±50     | 1592±50                     | 1592±50     | 1592±50                     |
| HFFD+ERB 250        | 1641±50     | 1641±50                     | 1641±50     | 1641±50                     |
| HFFD+ERB 500        | 1793±50     | 1793±50                     | 1793±50     | 1793±50                     |

HFFD: High-fat-high-fructose diet, ERB 250 or 500: Ethanolic extract of rice bran at 250 or 500 mg/kg, Pio 10: Pioglitazone 10 mg/kg, *p<0.05 as compared with normal control, n=6–8, FBG: Fasting blood glucose, OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test

**Fig. 1: Effect of ethanolic extract of rice bran (ERB) on serum insulin (a) and Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) scores (b) treatment of the high-fat-high-fructose diet (HFFD) rats with ERB at 250 and 500 mg/kg could significantly decrease the serum insulin levels and HOMA-IR scores. ERB, Pioglitazone 10 mg/kg, *p<0.05 as compared with normal control, †p<0.05 as compared with HFFD control**

**Effect of ERB on serum lipid profile and liver lipid content**

HFFD feeding caused significant increases in serum cholesterol LDL and TG levels, and a significant decrease in HDL levels (Table 3) as compared to the normal control group which indicated a dyslipidemic situation in HFFD rats. Interestingly, the treatment with ERB at 250 and 500 mg/kg significantly decreased the levels of LDL and TG but had no effect on the levels of HDL. Pioglitazone decreased LDL and TG and also increased HDL levels (Table 3). Determination of intrahepatic fat showed that the HFFD control group had a significant fat deposition in the liver (107.2±3.59 mg/g tissue) as compared to the normal control group (41.88±8.76 mg/g tissue), Fig. 2. The liver fat content of the ERB rats of the 250 and 500 mg/kg treated HFFD rats was significantly decreased (75.60±8.02 and 68.14±5.97 mg/g tissue) as compared to that of HFFD control group (Fig. 2) which indicated that ERB could decrease fat accumulation in livers of animals fed HFFD. ERB at 500 mg/kg, however, did not affect fat accumulation in livers of normal rats. Pioglitazone also decreased fat deposition in liver (47.03±3.47 mg/g tissue), Fig. 2.

Histological examination of liver tissue was performed to confirm the effects of ERB on liver fat accumulation. It showed that hepatocytes of HFFD control rats prominently contained one or more large fat droplets and displaced the nuclei to eccentric positions (Fig. 3b), whereas the HFFD rats that received ERB or pioglitazone showed a mild deposition of fat (Fig. 3c, d, and e).

**Effect of ERB on PPAR-α expression in liver**

To examine the possible mechanisms of lipid-lowering activity and insulin resistance improvement activity of ERB, this study examined the effects of ERB on the expression of fatty acid beta-oxidation regulating the PPAR-α gene in liver cells using PCR technique. It was found that HFFD animals had lower expressions of PPAR-α mRNA (fold change of 2.5) compared with normal control.
0.66±0.03) than that of normal control animals (Fig. 4). Treatment with ERB at 250 and 500 mg/kg or pioglitazone caused a significant increase in PPAR-α expression as compared to HFFD controls (fold changes of 1.09±0.05, 0.80±0.02, and 0.81±0.07, respectively). Fig. 4.

**DISCUSSION**

After 14 weeks of HFFD feeding, the rats had an insulin resistant situation; impaired OGTT, hyperinsulinemia, high FBG, high LDL, and TG levels, together with an accumulation of fat in the livers. These results showed that ERB could ameliorate all those metabolic changes in HFFD-induced insulin resistant rats and ERB did not affect glucose and lipid metabolism in normal rats.

A HFFD has been used to induce insulin resistance in animals [16]. A high-fat diet has been known to induce insulin resistance in both humans and animal models. Excess calorie intake can theoretically be stored in adipose tissue, but as the inflammatory process increases and insulin resistance develops in the fat cells the ability to safely store excess fat is compromised. One of the consequences of insulin resistance in the adipose tissue is that excess fat is released into the bloodstream and is sequestered by other organs such as liver and skeletal muscles causing cellular lipotoxicity and finally insulin resistance in those organs [17]. It has been proposed that the lipid-overload causes a build-up of diacylglycerides or free fatty acids or ceramides; these substances inhibit the intracellular signaling of insulin through serine/threonine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1 [18]. Fructose consumption has also been shown to enhance the risk of metabolic syndrome, including obesity, insulin resistance, and Type 2 D. Fructose is predominantly metabolized in the liver where it enhances de novo lipogenesis [5] probably resulting in enhanced insulin resistance.

Interestingly, for glucose metabolism, ERB decreased FBG and improved OGTT, and for lipid metabolism, ERB decreased LDL and TG levels in HFFD rats. In addition, ERB decreased hyperinsulinemia and the HOMA-IR score. All these beneficial changes indicate that ethanolic extract of Tubtim-chumphae rice bran could improve insulin resistance and may have antidiabetic activity. The obtained results correspond to an *in vitro* effect of an ethanolic extract of coded rice bran cultivated in Brazil on glucose levels and insulin activity; inhibiting α-glucosidase activity, stimulating glucose uptake and increasing expression of Glucose transporter type (GLUT)1 and GLUT4 mRNA in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. The

| Groups          | Lipid profile (mg/dL) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|
|                 | LDL                   | HDL                   | TG        |
| Normal control  | 11.92±1.21            | 31.67±1.79            | 74.00±10.46|
| Normal+ERB500   | 11.43±1.24            | 36.70±1.87            | 78.00±10.62|
| HFFD control    | 22.57±3.40*           | 21.55±2.38*           | 104.75±8.38*|
| HFFD+ERB 250    | 14.64±1.06*           | 27.82±3.62            | 49.50±6.94*|
| HFFD+ERB 500    | 11.31±1.03*           | 29.62±5.22            | 39.00±2.12*|
| HFFD+Pio 10     | 13.43±1.18*           | 38.64±5.46*           | 57.60±7.96*|

HFFD: High-fat-high-fructose diet, ERB 250 or 500: Ethanolic extract of rice bran at 250 mg/kg and 500 mg/kg; Pio 10: Pioglitazone 10 mg/kg.

Fig. 2: Effects of ethanolic extract of rice bran (ERB) on hepatic fat accumulation. ERB at 250 and 500 mg/kg could significantly decrease the fat accumulation in liver. Pioglitazone 10 mg/kg, *p<0.05 as compared with normal control, #p<0.05 as compared with high-fat-high-fructose diet control.

Fig. 3: Histological examination of liver tissue of (a) normal control, (b) high-fat-high-fructose diet (HFFD), (c, d) HFFD treated with ethanolic extract of rice bran (ERB) at 250 mg/kg and 500 mg/kg; and (e) pioglitazone groups using light microscopy with a magnification of 40X. HFFD control rats contained one or more large fat droplets that prominently displaced the nucleus to an eccentric position, whereas the HFFD rats that received ethanolic ERB or pioglitazone (Pio) showed a mild deposition of fat Pio 10 mg/kg.

Fig. 4: Effects of ethanolic extract of rice bran (ERB) on liver peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α (PPAR-α) expression in high-fat-high-fructose diet (HFFD) rats. ERB at 250 and 500 mg/kg could significantly increase the PPAR-α expression in liver cells of HFFD rats. Pioglitazone 10 mg/kg, *p<0.05 as compared with normal controls, #p<0.05 as compared with HFFD control.
mechanism of anti-diabetic and insulin resistance improving activities of ERB will be further investigated in Type 2 D animals.

Fat and fructose overconsumption has also been reported to cause intrahepatic fat accumulation promoting the development of NAFLD that is linked with metabolic disorders such as obesity and Type 2 D [5]. Liver lipid accumulation or steatosis is the early stage of NAFLD which can occur from the diet, from de novo lipogenesis or lipids released from adipose tissue. It is a phenomenon that develops rapidly when rats are fed a high-fat diet, but the accumulation does not increase linearly over time [1]. In the case of a chronic high-fat diet; however, the concurrent oxidative stress, inflammatory processes, mitochondrial dysfunction, and insulin resistance may finally cause the hepatocyte injuries leading to steatohepatitis or cirrhosis which is the second stage of NAFLD. This study also found lipid accumulation in the livers of HFFD rats as well. Interestingly, the liver lipid content which was examined both by biochemical and histological Methods, the results of these two methods were consistent showing that ERB treatment could decrease the accumulation of fat in the liver of HFFD rats. At the present state of knowledge, our report appears to be the first report demonstrating an in vivo anti-insulin resistance and intrahepatic fat decreasing activities of the ethanol extract of defatted colored rice bran in insulin-resistant animals.

PPAR-α is a ligand-activated transcription factor regulating genes in controlling fat metabolism. Many PPAR-α target genes are involved in fatty acid metabolism including genes involved in mitochondrial β-oxidation, peroxisomal β-oxidation, fatty acid uptake and binding, and lipoprotein assembly, and transport in tissues such as muscle, heart, and liver [20]. PPAR-α activation has been reported to improve steatosis, inflammation, and fibrosis in animal models of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [21]. As fatty acids or diacylglycerol or ceramides are all proposed to cause insulin resistance, and PPAR-α is transcription factor regulating gene in controlling fat metabolism, therefore further investigation of the effects of ERB on PPAR-α gene expression in liver was pursued. Interestingly, it was found that ERB could noticeably increase the expression of PPAR-α. Thus, it can be proposed that one of the possible mechanisms of action of ERB is that ERB increases PPAR-α expression resulting in a decrease of insulin resistance, LDL, and TG blood levels as well as fat accumulation in the liver. In the aspect of PPAR-α in regulating the body weight, it has been shown that PPAR-α agonists could reduce body weight and adiposity in animal models [22]. This probably may explain why the HFFD rats receiving ERB had lower body weights than those of control HFFD rats.

Pioglitazone is known to improve insulin sensitivity, glycemic control, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and microalbuminuria in patients with Type 2 D. Pioglitazone selectively stimulates the PPAR-γ and to a lesser extent PPAR-α [23]. It modulates the transcription of the genes involved in the control of glucose and lipid metabolism in the muscle, adipose tissue, and the liver. As a result, pioglitazone reduces insulin resistance in the liver and peripheral tissues, decreases gluconeogenesis in the liver, and reduces the levels of glucose and glycated hemoglobin in the bloodstream. This current study employed pioglitazone treatment as a positive control group in which pioglitazone showed effects as expected.

CONCLUSIONS

HFFD feeding for 14 weeks was found to cause insulin resistance and steatosis in rats. At the present state of knowledge, this is the first report demonstrated that the treatment with an ethanol extract of colored rice bran can decrease insulin resistance and fat accumulation in liver through stimulation of PPAR-α expression in HFFD rats. Thus, of ERB Tubtim-chumphae may possibly be used as neautraceutical for the metabolic syndrome and Type 2 diabetic patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Faculty of Medicine (grant number IN61216) and Cardiovascular Research Group, Khon Kaen University, Thailand, and by Chumphae Rice Research Center, Khon Kaen, Thailand. Jiraprapa Ponglong is a Master of Science in Pharmacology student, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Thailand. We would like to acknowledge Prof. James Will, University of Wisconsin-Madison for editing the MS through Publication Clinic KRU, Thailand.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS

We declare that this work was done by the authors named in this article. Patchareewon Pannangpetch conceived and designed the experiment, analyzed data, and edited the manuscript. Jiraprapa Ponglong performed the experiment, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. Ladawan Senggunprai and Panot Tungtudjai analyzed data. Ronnachai Changsri and Tunwaraporn Ponglong provided Tubtim-chumphae rice bran.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of financial or personal interests with any other organizations or people.

REFERENCES

1. Gauthier MS, Favier R, Lavoie JM. Time course of the development of non-alcoholic hepatic steatosis in response to high-fat diet-induced obesity in rats. Br J Nutr 2006;95:273-81.
2. Roberts CK, Hevener AL, Barnard RJ. Metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance: Underlying causes and modification by exercise training. Compr Physiol 2013;3:1-58.
3. Grundy SM. Metabolic syndrome update. Trends Cardiovasc Med 2016;26:364-73.
4. Fouret G, Gailllet S, Lecomte J, Bonafos B, Djoohan F, Barea B, et al. 20-week follow-up of hepatic steatosis installation and liver mitochondrial structure and activity and their interrelation in rats fed a high-fat-high-fructose diet. Br J Nutr 2018;119:368-80.
5. Dekker MJ, Su Q, Baker C, Rutledge AC, Adeli K. Fructose: A highly lipogenic nutrient implicated in insulin resistance, hepatic steatosis, and the metabolic syndrome. Ann J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2010;299:E685-94.
6. Vichit W, Saewan N. Antioxidant activities and cytotoxicity of thai pigmented rice. Int J Pharm Sci Pharm 2015;7:329-34.
7. Seththaraksa S, Madaka F, Charkree K, Charoenchai L. The study of anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity in cold press rice bran oil from rice in Thailand. Int J Pharm Sci Pharm 2014;6:428-31.
8. Sukrasno S, Tuty S, Fidrianny I. Antioxidant evaluation and phytochemical content of various rice bran extracts of three varieties rice from Semarang, central Java, Indonesia. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 2017;10:377-82.
9. Sabir A, Rafi M, Dasunman LK. Discrimination of red and white rice bran from Indonesia using HPLC fingerprint analysis combined with chemometrics. Food Chem 2017;221:1717-22.
10. Niu Y, Gao B, Slavin M, Zhang X, Yang F, Bao J, et al. Phytochemical compositions, and antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of twenty-two red rice samples grown in Zhejiang. LWT Food Sci Technol 2013;54:521-7.
11. Boonloh K, Kukongviriyapan V, Kongyingyoes B, Kukongviriyapan U, Thawornchinsombat S, Pannangpetch P, et al. Rice bran protein hydrolysates improve insulin resistance and decrease pro-inflammatory cytokine gene expression in rats fed a high carbohydrate-high fat diet. Nutrients 2015;7:6313-29.
12. Pellarritca JM, Alvarado JA, Akesson B, Bergenstahl B. Total antioxidant capacity and content of flavonoids and other phenolic compounds in canihua (Chenopodium palidicaule). An andean pseudocereal. Mol Nutr Food Res 2008;52:708-17.
13. Mungkhunthod S, Senggunprai L, Tangsucharit P, Sripui J, Assaneeboon T, Udornvitch Supaporn, et al. Antidesma thwaitesianum pomace extract improves insulin sensitivity via upregulation of PPARγ in high fat diet/streptozotocin-induced Type 2 diabetic rats. Asia Pac J Sci Technol 2016;21:63-76.
14. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC, et al. Homeostasis model assessment: Insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28:412-9.
15. Naowaboot J, Wannasiri S. Anti-lipogenic effect of Senna alata leaf extract in high-fat diet-induced obese mice. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2016;6:232-9.
16. Couturier K, Qin B, Batandier C, Awada M, Hining-Favier I, Canini F, et al. Cinnamon increases liver glycogen in an animal model of insulin
resistance. Metabolism 2011;60:1590-7.
17. Sears B, Perry M. The role of fatty acids in insulin resistance. Lipids Health Dis 2015;14:121.
18. Shulman GI. Cellular mechanisms of insulin resistance. J Clin Invest 2000;106:171-6.
19. Boue SM, Daigle KW, Chen MH, Cao H, Heiman ML. Antidiabetic potential of purple and red rice (Oryza sativa L.) bran extracts. J Agric Food Chem 2016;64:5345-53.
20. Hashimoto T, Cook WS, Qi C, Yeldandi AV, Reddy JK, Rao MS, et al. Defect in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha-inducible fatty acid oxidation determines the severity of hepatic steatosis in response to fasting. J Biol Chem 2000;275:28918-28.
21. Pawlak M, Lefebvre P, Staels B. Molecular mechanism of PPAR α action and its impact on lipid metabolism, inflammation and fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J Hepatol 2015;62:720-33.
22. Guerre-Millo M, Gervois P, Raspé E, Madsen L, Pouilain P, Derudas B, et al. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha activators improve insulin sensitivity and reduce adiposity. J Biol Chem 2000;275:16638-42.
23. Smith U. Pioglitazone: Mechanism of action. Int J Clin Pract Suppl 2001;121:13-8.