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In recent years, a remarkably large number of inequalities involving the fractional $q$-integral operators have been investigated in the literature by many authors. Here, we aim to present some new fractional integral inequalities involving generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional $q$-integral operator due to Gaulué, whose special cases are shown to yield corresponding inequalities associated with Kober type fractional $q$-integral operators. The cases of synchronous functions as well as of functions bounded by integrable functions are considered.

1. Introduction

Let us start by considering the following functional (see [1]):

\[
T(f, g, p, q) = \int_a^b q(x) \, dx \int_a^b p(x) \, f(x) \, g(x) \, dx \\
+ \int_a^b p(x) \, dx \int_a^b q(x) \, f(x) \, g(x) \, dx \\
- \left( \int_a^b q(x) \, f(x) \, dx \right) \left( \int_a^b p(x) \, g(x) \, dx \right) \\
- \left( \int_a^b p(x) \, f(x) \, dx \right) \left( \int_a^b q(x) \, g(x) \, dx \right),
\]

(1)

where $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ are two integrable functions on $[a, b]$ and $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are positive integrable functions on $[a, b]$. If $f$ and $g$ are synchronous on $[a, b]$, that is,

\[
(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \geq 0,
\]

(2)

for any $x, y \in [a, b]$, then we have (see, e.g., [2, 3])

\[
T(f, g, p, q) \geq 0.
\]

(3)

The inequality in (2) is reversed if $f$ and $g$ are asynchronous on $[a, b]$, that is,

\[
(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \leq 0,
\]

(4)

for any $x, y \in [a, b]$. If $p(x) = q(x)$ for any $x, y \in [a, b]$, we get the Chebyshev inequality (see [1]).

Ostrowski [4] established the following generalization of the Chebyshev inequality.

If $f$ and $g$ are two differentiable and synchronous functions on $[a, b]$ and $p$ is a positive integrable function on $[a, b]$ with $|f'(x)| \geq m$ and $|g'(x)| \geq r$ for $x \in [a, b]$, then we have

\[
T(f, g, p) = T(f, g, p, p) \geq mrT(x - a, x - a, p) \geq 0.
\]

(5)

If $f$ and $g$ are asynchronous on $[a, b]$, then we have

\[
T(f, g, p) \leq mrT(x - a, x - a, p) \leq 0.
\]

(6)
If \( f \) and \( g \) are two differentiable functions on \([a, b]\) with
\[ |f'(x)| \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad |g'(x)| \leq R \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [a, b] \]
and \( p \) is a positive integrable function on \([a, b]\), then we have
\[ |T(f, g, p)| \leq MRT(x - a, x - a, p) \leq 0. \tag{7} \]

Here, it is worth mentioning that the functional (1) has attracted many researchers’ attention mainly due to diverse applications in numerical quadrature, transform theory, probability, and statistical problems. Among those applications, the functional (1) has also been employed to yield a number of integral inequalities. In particular, we will find some classical integral inequalities. In particular, we will use the generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral operator introduced by Galuè [17]. The main objective of this paper is to present some new fractional \( q \)-integral inequalities involving the generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional \( q \)-integral operator. We consider the case of synchronous functions as well as the case of functions bounded by integrable functions. The purpose of this paper is to find \( q \)-calculus analogs of some classical integral inequalities. In particular, we will find \( q \)-generalizations of the Chebyshev integral inequalities by using the generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional \( q \)-integral operator introduced by Galuè [17]. The main objective of this paper is to present some new fractional \( q \)-integral inequalities involving the generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional \( q \)-integral operator. We consider the case of synchronous functions as well as the case of functions bounded by integrable functions. Some of the known and new results are as follows, as special cases of our main findings. We emphasize that the results derived in this paper are more general results rather than similar published results because we established all results by using the generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional \( q \)-integral operator. Our results are general in character and give some contributions to the theory \( q \)-integral inequalities and fractional calculus.

2. Preliminaries

In the sequel, we required the following well-known results to establish our main results in the present paper. The \( q \)-shifted factorial \( (a; q)_n \) is defined by
\[ (a; q)_n := \begin{cases} 1, & (n = 0) \\ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), & (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases} \tag{8} \]
where \( a, q \in \mathbb{C} \) and it is assumed that \( a \neq q^{-m} (m \in \mathbb{N}_0) \).

The \( q \)-shifted factorial for negative subscript is defined by
\[ (a; q)_n := \begin{cases} 1, & (n = 0) \\ \prod_{k=0}^{-n} (1 - aq^k), & (n \in \mathbb{N}_0), \end{cases} \tag{9} \]
where \( a, q \in \mathbb{C} \) and it is assumed that \( a \neq q^{-m} (m \in \mathbb{N}_0) \).

We also write
\[ (a; q)_\infty := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k) \quad (a, q \in \mathbb{C}; |q| < 1). \tag{10} \]

It follows from (8), (9), and (10) that
\[ (a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^n; q)_\infty} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}), \tag{11} \]
which can be extended to \( n = \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) as follows:
\[ (a; q)_\alpha = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^\alpha; q)_\infty} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; |q| < 1), \tag{12} \]
where the principal value of \( q^\alpha \) is taken.

We begin by noting that F. J. Jackson was the first to develop \( q \)-calculus in a systematic way. For \( 0 < q < 1 \), the \( q \)-derivative of a continuous function \( f \) on \([0, b]\) is defined by
\[ D_q f(t) := \frac{d}{dq} f(t) = \left( \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{1 - q^t} \right), \quad t \in (0, b], \tag{13} \]
and \( D_q f(0) = \lim_{q \to 1^-} D_q f(t) \). It is noted that
\[ \lim_{q \to 1^-} D_q f(t) = \frac{df(t)}{dt}, \tag{14} \]
if \( f(t) \) is differentiable.

The function \( F(t) \) is a \( q \)-antiderivative of \( f(t) \) if \( D_q F(t) = f(t) \). It is denoted by
\[ \int f(t) \, dq_t. \tag{15} \]

The Jackson integral of \( f(t) \) is thus defined, formally, by
\[ \int f(t) \, dq_t := (1 - q) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(q^j t), \tag{16} \]
which can be easily generalized as follows:
\[ \int f(t) \, dq_t g(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(q^j t) \left( g(q^j t) - g(q^{j+1} t) \right). \tag{17} \]

Suppose that \( 0 < a < b \). The definite \( q \)-integral is defined as follows:
\[ \int_a^b f(t) \, dq_t := (1 - q) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(q^j b), \tag{18} \]
\[ \int_a^b f(t) \, dq_t = \int_0^b f(t) \, dq_t - \int_a^b f(t) \, dq_t. \tag{19} \]

A more general version of (18) is given by
\[ \int_a^b f(t) \, dq_t g(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(q^j b) \left( g(q^j b) - g(q^{j+1} b) \right). \tag{20} \]

The classical Gamma function \( \Gamma(z) \) (see, e.g., [18, Section 1.1]) was found by Euler while he was trying to extend the factorial \( n! = \Gamma(n+1) \) \((n \in \mathbb{N}_0)\) to real numbers. The \( q \)-factorial function \([n]_q! \) \((n \in \mathbb{N}_0)\) of \( n! \) is defined by
\[ [n]_q! := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \tag{21} \]
can be rewritten as follows:
\[
(1 - q)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{k+1})}{(1 - q^{k+1+n})} = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}}{(q^2;q)_{\infty}} (1-q)^{-n} \quad (0 < q < 1).
\] (22)

Replacing \( n \) by \( a - 1 \) in (22), Jackson [19] defined the \( q \)-Gamma function \( \Gamma_q(a) \) by
\[
\Gamma_q(a) := \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}}{(q^a;q)_{\infty}} (1-q)^{-a} \quad (0 < q < 1).
\] (23)

The \( q \)-analogue of \( (t - a)^n \) is defined by the polynomial
\[
(t - a)^{(n)} := \begin{cases} 1, & (n = 0) \\ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (t - qa^k), & (n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases},
\] (n \in \mathbb{N})).
\] (24)

More generally, if \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \), then
\[
(t - a)^{(\gamma)} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-(a/t)q^k)}{q^k} t^k, \quad t \neq 0.
\] (25)

**Definition 1.** Let \( \mathbb{R}(\beta), \mathbb{R}(\mu) > 0 \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \). Then a generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral \( {I^q_{\nu,\mu}} f \) for a real-valued continuous function \( f(t) \) is defined by (see, [17])
\[
{I^q_{\nu,\mu}} f(t) := \frac{\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}}{\Gamma_q(\mu)} \int_0^t \left( t^{\beta-1} - \tau^{\beta-1} \right)^{\mu-1} \left( t^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} - \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} \right) f(\tau) d\tau.
\] (26)

**Definition 2.** A \( q \)-analogue of the Kober fractional integral operator is given by (see, [20])
\[
{I^q_{\nu,\mu}} f(t) := \left( {I^q_{\nu,\mu}} f(\xi) \right) (t)
\] (27)
\[
= \frac{t^{-\nu} \Gamma_q(\mu)}{\Gamma_q(\mu)} \int_0^t \left( t^{\beta-1} - \tau^{\beta-1} \right)^{\mu-1} f(\tau) d\tau.
\] (28)

**Remark 3.** It is easy to see that
\[
\Gamma_q(\mu) > 0; \quad (q^k;q)_k > 0,
\] (29)

for all \( \mu > 0 \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N}_q \). If \( f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) \) is a continuous function, then we conclude that, under the given conditions in (26), each term in the series of generalized Erdélyi-Kober \( q \)-integral operator is nonnegative and thus
\[
{I^q_{\nu,\mu}} f(t) \geq 0,
\] (30)

for all \( \mu > 0 \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \).

On the same way each term in the series of Kober \( q \)-integral operator (27) is also nonnegative and thus
\[
{I^q_{\nu,\mu}} f(t) \geq 0,
\] (31)

for all \( \mu > 0 \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \).

**3. Inequalities Involving a Generalized Erdélyi-Kober Fractional \( q \)-Integral Operator for Synchronous Functions**

This section begins by presenting two inequalities involving generalized Erdélyi-Kober \( q \)-integral operator (26) stated in Lemmas 4 and 5 below.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) and \( g \) be two continuous and synchronous functions on \([0,\infty)\), and let \( u, v : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) \) be continuous functions. Then, the following inequality holds true:
\[
{I^q_{\nu,\mu}} f(t) + {I^q_{\nu,\mu}} g(t) \geq {I^q_{\nu,\mu}} (uf)(t) + {I^q_{\nu,\mu}} (vg)(t),
\] (32)

for all \( \mu, \beta > 0 \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \).

Proof. Let \( f \) and \( g \) be two continuous and synchronous functions on \([0,\infty)\). Then, for all \( \tau, \rho \in (0,t) \) with \( t > 0 \), we have
\[
(f(\tau) - f(\rho))(g(\tau) - g(\rho)) \geq 0,
\] (33)

or, equivalently,
\[
(f(\tau) - f(\rho))(g(\tau) - g(\rho)) \geq 0,
\] (34)

Now, multiplying both sides of (33) by \( (\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}) / \Gamma_q(\mu)(t^{\beta-1} - \tau^{\beta-1})^{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} \) integrating the resulting inequality with respect to \( \tau \) from 0 to \( t \), and using (26), we get
\[
{I^q_{\nu,\mu}} (uf)(t) + {I^q_{\nu,\mu}} (vg)(t) \geq {I^q_{\nu,\mu}} (uf)(t) + {I^q_{\nu,\mu}} (vg)(t),
\] (35)

Next, multiplying both sides of (34) by \( (\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}) / \Gamma_q(\mu)(t^{\beta-1} - \tau^{\beta-1})^{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} \) integrating the resulting inequality with respect to \( \rho \) from 0 to \( t \), and using (26), we are led to the desired result (31).
**Lemma 5.** Let $0 < q < 1$, let $f$ and $g$ be two continuous and synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$, and let $u, v : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be continuous functions. Then, the following inequality holds true:

\[ I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ v \} (t) I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ uf \} (t) + I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ v f \} (t) I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ u \} (t) \geq I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ v g \} (t) I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ u g \} (t) + I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ v \} (t) I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ u f \} (t) \geq I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ v g \} (t) I_q^{\eta, \nu_\delta} \{ u g \} (t), \tag{35} \]

for all $\mu, \nu, \delta > 0$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

**Proof.** Multiplying both sides of (34) by

\[ \frac{\delta t^{-\delta (\zeta + \eta)}}{I_q (v)} (t - \rho^{\delta(\zeta+\gamma)})^{-1} \rho^{\delta(\zeta+\gamma)+1} v(\rho), \tag{36} \]

which remains nonnegative under the conditions in (35), integrating the resulting inequality with respect to $\rho$ from 0 to $t$, and using (26), we get the desired result (35). \qed

**Theorem 6.** Let $0 < q < 1$, let $f$ and $g$ be two continuous and synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$, and let $l, m, n : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be continuous functions. Then, the following inequality holds true:

\[ 2 I_q^\eta \{ l \} (t) [I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ nfg \} (t)] + I_q^\mu \{ n \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ mfg \} (t) \geq I_q^\mu \{ l \} (t) [I_q^\nu \{ mf \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ ng \} (t)] + I_q^\nu \{ n \} (t) I_q^\mu \{ mfg \} (t) \geq I_q^\mu \{ l \} (t) [I_q^\nu \{ mf \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ mg \} (t)] + I_q^\nu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\mu \{ lfg \} (t), \tag{37} \]

for all $\mu, \beta > 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$.

**Proof.** By setting $u = m$ and $v = n$ in Lemma 4, we get

\[ I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ nfg \} (t) + I_q^\mu \{ n \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ mfg \} (t) \geq I_q^\mu \{ mf \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ ng \} (t) + I_q^\nu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\mu \{ mg \} (t). \tag{38} \]

Since $I_q^\mu \{ l \} (t) \geq 0$ under the given conditions, multiplying both sides of (38) by $I_q^\nu \{ l \} (t)$, we have

\[ I_q^\mu \{ l \} (t) [I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ nfg \} (t)] + I_q^\mu \{ n \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ mfg \} (t) \geq I_q^\mu \{ l \} (t) [I_q^\nu \{ mf \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ ng \} (t)] + I_q^\nu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\mu \{ lfg \} (t) \geq I_q^\mu \{ l \} (t) [I_q^\nu \{ mf \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ mg \} (t)] + I_q^\nu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\mu \{ lfg \} (t), \tag{39} \]

Similarly replacing $u, v$ by $l, m$ and $u, v$ by $l, m$, respectively, in (31) and then multiplying both sides of the resulting inequalities by $I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t)$ and $I_q^\mu \{ n \} (t)$ both of which are nonnegative under the given assumptions, respectively, we get the following inequalities:

\[ I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t) [I_q^\mu \{ l \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ nfg \} (t)] + I_q^\mu \{ n \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ mfg \} (t) \geq I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ lfg \} (t) \geq I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ lfg \} (t) + I_q^\nu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\mu \{ lfg \} (t), \tag{40} \]

Finally, by adding (39), (40), and (41), side by side, we arrive at the desired result (37). \qed

**Theorem 7.** Let $0 < q < 1$, let $f$ and $g$ be two continuous and synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$, and let $l, m, n : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be continuous functions. Then, the following inequality holds true:

\[ I_q^\mu \{ l \} (t) [2I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ l \} [I_q^\mu \{ nfg \} (t)] + I_q^\mu \{ n \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ mfg \} (t)] + I_q^\nu \{ n \} (t) I_q^\mu \{ lfg \} (t) \geq I_q^\mu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\nu \{ lfg \} (t) + I_q^\nu \{ m \} (t) I_q^\mu \{ lfg \} (t), \tag{41} \]

Finally, by adding (39), (40), and (41), side by side, we arrive at the desired result (37). \qed
respectively, and then multiplying both sides of the resulting inequality (37) in Theorem 6.

\[ (0,\infty) \]

are asynchronous on \( \eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \).

Remark 8. It may be noted that inequalities (37) and (42) in Theorems 6 and 7, respectively, are reversed if the functions are asynchronous on \([0,\infty)\). The special case of (42) in Theorem 7 when \( \beta = \delta = 1 \) in (37) and \( \beta = \delta = 1 \) in (42), we obtain interesting inequalities involving Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral operator.

Corollary 10. Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) and \( g \) be two continuous and synchronous functions on \([0,\infty)\), and let \( l, m, n : [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty) \) be continuous functions. Then, the following inequality holds true:

\[
2I_q^{\eta,\mu}[l](t) \left[ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[m](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \right] \\
+ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[m](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \\
+ 2I_q^{\eta,\mu}[m](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \\
\geq I_q^{\eta,\mu}[l](t) \left[ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[mf](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \right] \\
+ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[nf](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \\
+ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[mf](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t),
\]

for all \( \mu > 0 \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \).

Corollary 11. Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) and \( g \) be two continuous and synchronous functions on \([0,\infty)\), and let \( l, m, n : [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty) \) be continuous functions. Then, the following inequality holds true:

\[
I_q^{\eta,\mu}[l](t) \left[ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[m](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \right] \\
+ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[m](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \\
+ 2I_q^{\eta,\mu}[m](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \\
\geq I_q^{\eta,\mu}[l](t) \left[ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[mf](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \right] \\
+ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[nf](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t) \\
+ I_q^{\eta,\mu}[mf](t) I_q^{\eta,\mu}[ng](t),
\]

for all \( \mu > 0 \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \).

Remark 9. We remark further that we can present a large number of special cases of our main inequalities in Theorems 6 and 7. Here, we give only two examples: setting \( \beta = 1 \) in (37) and \( \beta = \delta = 1 \) in (42), we obtain interesting inequalities involving Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral operator.
Remark 12. If we take \( \eta = 0 \) and \( \beta = 1 \) in Theorem 6 and \( \eta = \zeta = 0 \) and \( \beta = \delta = 1 \) in Theorem 7, then we obtain the known results due to Dahnani [21].

4. Inequalities Involving a Generalized Erdélyi-Kober Fractional \( q \)-Integral Operator for Bounded Functions

In this section we obtain some new inequalities involving Erdélyi-Kober fractional \( q \)-integral operator in the case where the functions are bounded by integrable functions and are not necessary increasing or decreasing as are the synchronous functions.

Theorem 13. Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) be an integrable function on \([0, \infty)\), and let \( u, v : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be continuous functions. Assume the following.

\( (H_1) \) There exist two integrable functions \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \) on \([0, \infty)\) such that
\[
\varphi_1 (t) \leq f (t) \leq \varphi_2 (t), \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).
\] (48)

Then, for \( t > 0, \mu, \beta > 0, \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C}, \) we have
\[
I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u \varphi_2] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t)
+ I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{\varphi_1\} (t)
\geq I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u \varphi_2] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{\varphi_1\} (t)
+ I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t).
\] (49)

Proof. From \( (H_1) \), for all \( \tau \geq 0 \) and \( \rho \geq 0 \), we have
\[
(\varphi_2 (\tau) − f (\tau)) (f (\rho) − \varphi_1 (\rho)) \geq 0.
\] (50)

Therefore,
\[
\varphi_2 (\tau) f (\rho) + \varphi_1 (\rho) f (\tau)
\geq \varphi_1 (\rho) \varphi_2 (\tau) + f (\tau) f (\rho).
\] (51)

Multiplying both sides of (51) by \((\beta t^{-(\eta+\mu))/I_q (u)(t)}(t^\beta − \tau^\beta)^{(\mu-1)}\), \( \tau \in (0, t) \), and integrating both sides with respect to \( \tau \) on \((0, t)\), we obtain
\[
I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u \varphi_2] (t) f (\rho) + I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) \varphi_1 (\rho)
\geq I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u \varphi_2] (t) \varphi_1 (\rho) + I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) f (\rho).
\] (52)

Multiplying both sides of (52) by \((\beta t^{-(\eta+\mu))/I_q (u)(t)}(t^\beta − \rho^\beta)^{(\mu-1)}\), \( \rho \in (0, t) \), and integrating both sides with respect to \( \rho \) on \((0, t)\), we get inequality (49) as requested. This completes the proof.

As special cases of Theorems 13, we obtain the following results.

Corollary 14. Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) be an integrable function on \([0, \infty)\) satisfying \( m \leq f (t) \leq M, \) for all \( t \in [0, \infty) \), let \( u, v : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be continuous functions, and let \( m, M \in \mathbb{R} \). Then, for \( t > 0, \mu, \beta > 0, \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \), we have
\[
M I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t)
+ m I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (t)
\geq m M I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (t)
+ m^2 I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (t) + m I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t).
\] (53)

Corollary 15. Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) be an integrable function on \([1, \infty)\), and let \( u, v : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be continuous functions. Assume that there exists an integrable function \( q(t) \) on \([0, \infty)\) and a constant \( M > 0 \) such that
\[
q (t) - M \leq f (t) \leq q (t) + M,
\] (54)

for all \( t > 0, \mu, \beta > 0, \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \); we have
\[
I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u \varphi] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t)
+ I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (t)
+ M I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (t)
+ M^2 I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (t)
+ M I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (t)
+ M I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t).
\] (55)

Theorem 16. Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) be an integrable function on \([0, \infty)\), let \( u, v : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be continuous functions, and let \( \theta_1, \theta_2 > 0 \) satisfying \( 1/\theta_1 + 1/\theta_2 = 1 \). Suppose that \( (H_1) \) holds. Then, for \( t > 0, \mu, \beta > 0, \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{\theta_1} I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{u \varphi - f \varphi_1^\theta\} (t)
+ \frac{1}{\theta_2} I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{v\} (f - \varphi_1^\theta) (t)
+ I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u \varphi_2] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{\varphi_1\} (t)
+ I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t)
\geq I_q^{\mu, \beta} [u \varphi_2] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t)
+ I_q^{\mu, \beta} [uf] (t) I_q^{\mu, \beta} \{vf\} (t).
\] (56)
Theorem 18. Let \( \theta_1, \theta_2 > 0 \), \( \frac{1}{\theta_1} + \frac{1}{\theta_2} = 1 \), and setting \( x = \varphi_2(t) - f(t) \) and \( y = f(\rho) - \varphi_1(\rho) \), \( \tau, \rho > 0 \), we have

\[
\theta_1 (\varphi_2(t) - f(t)) + \theta_2 (f(\rho) - \varphi_1(\rho)) \geq (\varphi_2(t) - f(t))^{\theta_1} (f(\rho) - \varphi_1(\rho))^{\theta_2}.
\]

Multiplying both sides of (63) by

\[
\frac{\beta^{\tau-2\bar{\eta}}}{\Gamma_\eta^2(\mu)} (t^\beta - \tau^\beta)^{\mu-1} (t^\beta - \rho^\beta)^{\mu-1} \\
\times (\tau^\rho)^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} u(t) \nu(\rho),
\]

for \( \tau, \rho \in (0, t) \), and integrating with respect to \( \tau \) and \( \rho \) from 0 to \( t \), we deduce the desired result in (56).

Corollary 19. Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) be an integrable function on \([0, \infty)\) satisfying \( m \leq f(t) \leq M \), for all \( t \in [0, \infty) \), let \( u, v : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be continuous functions, and let \( m, M \in \mathbb{R} \). Then, for \( t > 0 \), \( \mu, \beta > 0 \), and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \), we have

\[
(M - m) I^{\eta, \mu, \beta}_q \{u(t) I^{\eta, \mu, \beta}_q \{v(t)\} + I^{\eta, \mu, \beta}_q \{u(t) \nu(f(t))\} (t)\} \geq (f(t)^{\eta} - f(t)^{\mu})^{(\eta+1)} (t^{\beta} - \rho^\beta)^{\mu-1} \\
\times (\tau^\rho)^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} u(t) \nu(\rho),
\]

for \( \tau, \rho \in (0, t) \), and integrating with respect to \( \tau \) and \( \rho \) from 0 to \( t \), we deduce inequality (61). \( \square \)

Lemma 20 (see [22]). Assume that \( a \geq 0 \), \( p \geq q \geq 0 \), and \( p \neq 0 \). Then,

\[
a^{q/p} \leq \left( \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} a + \frac{p-q}{p} K^{q/p} \right), \quad \text{for any } k > 0.
\]

Theorem 21. Let \( 0 < q < 1 \), let \( f \) be an integrable function on \([0, \infty)\), let \( u : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be a continuous function, and let constants \( p \geq q \geq 0 \), \( p \neq 0 \). In addition, assume that \((H_f)\) holds. Then, for any \( k > 0 \), \( t > 0 \), \( \mu, \beta > 0 \), and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \), the following two inequalities hold:

\[
(i) \quad I^{\eta, \mu, \beta}_q \{u(f - f_{\eta}^{\eta/p})\} (t) \leq \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} I^{\eta, \mu, \beta}_q \{u\} (t) \eta \]

\[
(ii) \quad I^{\eta, \mu, \beta}_q \{u(f - \varphi_1)^{\eta/p}\} (t) \leq \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} I^{\eta, \mu, \beta}_q \{u\} (t) \eta
\]

Proof. By condition \((H_f)\) and Lemma 20, for \( p \geq q \geq 0 \), \( p \neq 0 \), it follows that

\[
(q_2(t) - f(t))^{q/p} \leq \frac{q}{p} K^{(q-p)/p} (q_2(t) - f(t)) + \frac{p-q}{p} K^{q/p},
\]
for any $k > 0$. Multiplying both sides of (69) by $(βr^{β(η+µ)}/\Gamma_q(µ))(t^β − r^β(µ−1)r^{β(η+1)−1})u(τ), r \in (0,t)$, and integrating the resulting identity with respect to $r$ from 0 to $t$, one has inequality (i). Inequality (ii) is proved by setting $a = f(τ) − ϕ_1(τ)$ in Lemma 20.

Corollary 22. Let $0 < q < 1$, let $f$ be an integrable function on $[0,∞)$ satisfying $m ≤ f(t) ≤ M$, for all $t \in [0,∞)$, let $u, v : [0,∞) \to [0,∞)$ be continuous functions, and let $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for $t > 0$, $µ, β > 0$, and $η \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

(i) $2I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u\sqrt{M − f} \right\} (t) + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t)$

\[ \leq (M + 1) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t), \]  

(ii) $2I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u\sqrt{f − m} \right\} (t) + (m − 1) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t)$

\[ \leq I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t). \]  

Theorem 23. Let $0 < q < 1$, let $f$ and $g$ be two integrable functions on $[0,∞)$, and let $u, v : [0,∞) \to [0,∞)$ be continuous functions. Suppose that (H1) holds and moreover we assume the following.

(H2) There exist $ψ_1$ and $ψ_2$ integrable functions on $[0,∞)$ such that

$ψ_1(t) ≤ g(t) ≤ ψ_2(t) \ \forall t \in [0,∞).$  

Then, for $t > 0$, $µ, β > 0$, and $η \in \mathbb{C}$, the following inequalities hold:

(i) $I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uψ_2 \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vg \right\} (t)$

\[ + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vg \right\} (t) + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vg \right\} (t) \]

\[ ≥ I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vg \right\} (t), \]

(ii) $I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uψ_2 \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vf \right\} (t)$

\[ + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vf \right\} (t) \]

\[ ≥ I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vf \right\} (t), \]

(iii) $I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uψ_2 \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vψ_2 \right\} (t)$

\[ + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vψ_2 \right\} (t) \]

\[ ≥ I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vψ_2 \right\} (t), \]

(iv) $I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uψ_2 \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vψ_1 \right\} (t)$

\[ + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vg \right\} (t) \]

\[ ≥ I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vg \right\} (t) \]

\[ + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vψ_1 \right\} (t). \]

(72)

Proof. To prove (i), from (H1) and (H2), we have for $t \in [0,∞)$ that

$ψ_2(t) − f(t) \ (g(ρ) − ψ_1(ρ)) ≥ 0.$  

(73)

Therefore,

$ψ_2(t) (g(ρ) + ψ_1(ρ)) + f(t) \ (g(ρ).$  

(74)

Multiplying both sides of (74) by $(βr^{β(η+µ)}/\Gamma_q(µ))(t^β − r^β q(µ−1)q^β(η+1)−1)u(τ), r \in (0,t)$, and integrating both sides with respect to $r$ on $(0,t)$, we obtain

$\frac{g(ρ)}{\Gamma_q(µ)} I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uψ_2 \right\} (t) + ψ_1(ρ) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t)$

\[ ≥ ψ_1(ρ) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t) + g(ρ) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t). \]

(75)

Multiplying both sides of (75) by $(βr^{β(η+µ)}/\Gamma_q(µ))(t^β − ρ^β q(µ−1)q^β(η+1)−1)\ (ρ^β(η+1)−1)v(ρ), ρ \in (0,t)$, and integrating both sides with respect to $ρ$ on $(0,t)$, we get the desired inequality (i).

To prove (ii)–(iv), we use the following inequalities:

(i) $(ψ_2(t) − f(t)) (g(ρ) − ψ_1(ρ)) ≥ 0,$

(ii) $(ψ_2(t) − f(t)) (g(ρ) − ψ_2(ρ)) ≤ 0,$

(iii) $(φ_1(t) − f(t)) (g(ρ) − ψ_1(ρ)) ≤ 0.$

(76)

Theorem 24. Let $f$ and $g$ be two integrable functions on $[0,∞)$, and let $u, v : [0,∞) \to [0,∞)$ be continuous functions, and let $θ_1, θ_2 > 0$ satisfying $1/θ_1 + 1/θ_2 = 1$. Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then, for $t > 0$, $µ, β > 0$, and $η \in \mathbb{C}$, the following inequalities hold:

(i) $\frac{1}{θ_1} I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u (ψ_2 − f) \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ v \right\} (t)$

\[ + \frac{1}{θ_2} I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u (ψ_2 − g) \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t) \]

\[ + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vg \right\} (t) + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vψ_2 \right\} (t) \]

\[ ≥ I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ u \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vg \right\} (t) \]

\[ + I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ uf \right\} (t) I_q^{n,µ,β} \left\{ vψ_2 \right\} (t). \]

(77)
(ii) \[ \frac{1}{\partial_1} I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u(q_2 - f)^{\alpha} \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v(\psi_2 - g)^{\alpha} \right] (t) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\partial_2} I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u(\psi_2 - g)^{\alpha} \right] (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( v(q_2 - f)^{\alpha} \right) (t) \]
\[ \geq I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u(q_2 - f)(\psi_2 - g) \right] (t) \]
\[ \times I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v(\psi_2 - g)(\psi_2 - f) \right] (t), \]

(iii) \[ \frac{1}{\partial_1} I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u(f - q_1)^{\alpha} \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} [v] (t) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\partial_2} I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u(g - \psi_1)^{\alpha} \right] (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} [u] (t) \]
\[ + I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} [uf] (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} [v \psi_1] (t) \]
\[ + I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} [u \psi_1] (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} [vg] (t) \]
\[ \geq I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u(f - q_1)(g - \psi_1) \right] (t) \]
\[ \times I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v(g - \psi_1)(f - q_1) \right] (t). \]

(iv) \[ \frac{1}{\partial_1} I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u(f - q_1)^{\alpha} \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v(g - \psi_1)^{\alpha} \right] (t) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\partial_2} I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u(g - \psi_1)^{\alpha} \right] (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( v(f - q_1)^{\alpha} \right) (t) \]
\[ \geq I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u(f - q_1)(g - \psi_1) \right] (t) \]
\[ \times I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v(g - \psi_1)(f - q_1) \right] (t). \]

(77)

**Proof.** The inequalities (i)–(iv) can be proved by choosing the parameters in the Young inequality [3]:

\[ (i) \quad x = q_2 (r) - f (r), \quad y = \psi_2 (\rho) - g (\rho), \]
\[ (ii) \quad x = (q_2 (r) - f (r))(\psi_2 (\rho) - g (\rho)), \]
\[ y = (\psi_2 (r) - g (\rho))(q_2 (\rho) - f (\rho)), \]
\[ (iii) \quad x = f (r) - \psi_1 (r), \quad y = g (\rho) - \psi_1 (\rho), \]
\[ (iv) \quad x = (f (r) - \psi_1 (r))(g (\rho) - \psi_1 (\rho)), \]
\[ y = (g (r) - \psi_1 (r))(f (\rho) - \psi_1 (\rho)). \]

\[ (78) \]

**Theorem 25.** Let \( f \) and \( g \) be two integrable functions on \([0, \infty), \) let \( u, v : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be continuous functions, and let \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \geq 0 \) satisfying \( \theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1. \) Suppose that \((H_2)\) and \((H_2')\) hold. Then, for \( t > 0, \mu, \beta > 0, \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C}, \) the following inequalities hold:

(i) \[ \theta_1 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} [u \psi_2] (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} [v] (t) \]
\[ + \theta_2 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v \psi_2 \right] (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u \right] (t) \]
\[ \geq \theta_1 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u f \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v g \right] (t) \]
\[ + \theta_2 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u g \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v f \right] (t) \]
\[ + \theta_3 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u \psi_2 \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v \psi_2 \right] (t) \]
\[ + \theta_4 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( v \psi_2 \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u \psi_2 \right] (t) \]
\[ \geq \theta_1 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u f \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v g \right] (t) \]
\[ + \theta_2 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u g \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v f \right] (t) \]
\[ + \theta_3 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( u \psi_2 \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v \psi_2 \right] (t) \]
\[ + \theta_4 I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left( v \psi_2 \right) (t) I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ u \psi_2 \right] (t) \]
\[ \times I^\eta_{q \nu \beta} \left[ v(\psi_2 - g)^{\alpha} \right] (t), \]

(79)

**Proof.** The inequalities (i)–(iv) can be proved by choosing the parameters in the Weighted AM-GM [3]:

\[ (i) \quad x = q_2 (r) - f (r), \quad y = \psi_2 (\rho) - g (\rho), \]
\[ (ii) \quad x = (q_2 (r) - f (r))(\psi_2 (\rho) - g (\rho)), \]
\[ y = (\psi_2 (r) - g (\rho))(q_2 (\rho) - f (\rho)). \]
Theorem 26. Let \( f \) and \( g \) be two integrable functions on \([0, \infty)\), let \( u, v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) be continuous functions, and let constants \( p \geq q \geq 0, p \neq 0 \) be such that \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) hold. Then, for any \( k > 0, t > 0, \mu, \beta > 0, \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{C} \), the following inequalities hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \quad & I_q^{\eta,\mu,\beta} \left\{ u(\varphi_2 - f)^{q/p} (\psi_2 - g)^{q/p} \right\} (t) \\
& + \frac{q}{p} k^{(q-p)/p} I_q^{\eta,\mu,\beta} \left\{ u\varphi_2 g \right\} (t) \\
& + \frac{q}{p} k^{(q-p)/p} I_q^{\eta,\mu,\beta} \left\{ u\varphi_2 g \right\} (t) \\
& \leq \frac{q}{p} k^{(q-p)/p} I_q^{\eta,\mu,\beta} \left\{ u\varphi_2 g \right\} (t) \\
& + \frac{q}{p} k^{(q-p)/p} I_q^{\eta,\mu,\beta} \left\{ u\psi_2 g \right\} (t) \\
& + \frac{p - q}{p} k^{(q-p)/p} I_q^{\eta,\mu,\beta} \left\{ u \right\} (t),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
(iii) \quad x = f (r) - \varphi_1 (r), \quad y = g (p) - \psi_1 (p),
\]

\[
(iv) \quad x = (f (r) - \varphi_1 (r)) (g (p) - \psi_1 (p)), \quad y = (g (r) - \psi_1 (r)) (f (p) - \varphi_1 (p)).
\]

(80)

Proof. The inequalities (i)–(iv) can be proved by choosing the parameters in Lemma 20:

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \quad & a = (\varphi_2 (r) - f (r)) (\psi_2 (r) - g (r)), \\
(ii) \quad & a = (\varphi_2 (r) - f (r)) (\psi_2 (r) - g (r)), \\
(iii) \quad & a = (f (r) - \varphi_1 (r)) (g (p) - \psi_1 (r)), \\
(iv) \quad & a = (f (r) - \varphi_1 (r)) (g (p) - \psi_1 (r)).
\end{align*}
\]

(82)

5. Concluding Remark

We conclude our present investigation with the remark that the results derived in this paper are general in character and give some contributions to the theory of \( q \)-integral inequalities and fractional calculus. Moreover, they are expected to find some applications for establishing uniqueness of solutions in fractional boundary value problems and in fractional partial differential equations. In last, use of the generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional \( q \)-integral operator due to Gaulé is the advantage of our results because after setting suitable parameter values in our main results, we get known results established by number of authors.
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