Veterans have greater variability in their perception of binocular alignment
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Abstract

Introduction

A significant population of our wounded veterans suffer long-term functional consequences of visual deficit, disorientation, dizziness, and an impaired ability to read. These symptoms may be related to damage within the otolith pathways that contribute to ocular alignment. The purpose of this study was to compare perception of vertical and torsional ocular alignment between veterans and healthy controls in an upright and supine test position.

Materials and methods

Veterans (n = 26) with reports of dizziness were recruited from the East Orange Veterans Administration Hospital. Healthy controls (n = 26) were recruited from both Johns Hopkins University and the East Orange VA. Each subject performed 20 trials each of a novel vertical and torsional binocular alignment perception test. Veterans underwent semicircular canal and otolith pathway function testing.

Results

88% of the Veterans had an absent otolith response. Only the veterans had an abnormally large variability in perception of both vertical and torsional ocular alignment, and in both upright and supine position. Neither post-traumatic stress disorder, nor depression contributed to the misperception in binocular alignment.

Conclusions

Our novel method of measuring vertical and torsional misalignment distinguishes veterans with dizziness from healthy controls. The high prevalence of absent otolith function seems to explain this result. Further studies are needed to better understand the fundamental mechanism responsible for the increased variability of perception of binocular alignment.
Introduction

Brain injury via blast or blunt mechanisms disrupts multiple sensorimotor systems simultaneously and veterans from the Operation Iraqi Freedom/Operation Enduring Freedom campaigns report related physical, sensory, cognitive, and behavioral/emotional changes [1–44]. Typically, symptoms related to these damaged systems recover within weeks and significant improvement is often seen after three months [5]. However, a significant population of our wounded veterans suffer long-term functional consequences of visual deficit, postural and locomotor instability, disorientation, dizziness, and an impaired ability to read. Many of these symptoms are overlooked in patients with polytrauma [6]. Earlier descriptions of such symptoms reported a third of service members exposed to blast trauma had combined visual and hearing impairment, termed dual-sensory impairment [7,8]. However, more recent evidence suggests that within the population of these veterans exposed to traumatic brain injury (TBI), a clinical pattern of damage to the auditory, visual, and vestibular sensorimotor systems has emerged, which has collectively been given the name multi-sensory impairment (MSI) [9,10].

Evidence suggests nearly 20% of veterans diagnosed with the mild form of traumatic brain injury (mTBI) have MSI, as examined from a database of >13700 veterans [10]. Amongst a variety of predictors (i.e., older age, female gender, posttraumatic stress disorder), having a prior history of mTBI was the most robust for developing MSI. Among active duty service members, 15–25% deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan have sustained an mTBI during their tour, though other studies have reported a larger percentage (44%) [11–14]. Additionally, large numbers of US Gulf War era and OIF/OEF veterans with mTBI (>350K) are suffering MSI [15].

Intact vestibular function is essential for gaze and gait stability during rapid head movements, which is achieved via the vestibular systems’ exquisitely sensitive detection of angular and linear head motion. The vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) generates compensatory rotational and linear eye movements that are opposite in direction, but equal in magnitude, to the head motion. Additionally, spinal reflexes initiated from the vestibular afference ensure upright posture and righting responses. Most studies of vestibular damage in service members and veterans have measured the output of the horizontal semicircular canal, via the angular VOR. However, evidence suggests the utricular and saccular otolith end organs, not the semicircular canals (84% vs 29% respectively), are more vulnerable to blast-related injuries experienced in veterans [16].

The saccular maculae in particular may be more susceptible to injury as it is positioned close to the footplate of the stapes and thus subject to mechanical disruption [17, 18]. Damage to the utriculo-ocular pathway often causes the pathologic ocular tilt reaction (OTR). The OTR is a triad of signs that favor one side (i.e., damaged right utricle can cause rightward head tilt, the right eye to be lower than left eye, and the superior poles of both eyes to be rotated in roll toward the right). Central lesions in the lower brainstem (medulla and the peripheral vestibular afferent pathways) can cause an ipsilateral OTR while lesions in the upper brainstem may cause a contraversive OTR [19–21]. Therefore, patients with otolith pathology may have abnormal vertical or torsional ocular alignment; known to differ when positioned supine vs upright. A skew identified in sitting due to an otolith asymmetry may decrease when positioned supine [22].

We have developed a behavioral measure of the two oculomotor signs reported as part of the OTR–skew deviation and roll tilt. Known as the Vertical and Torsional Alignment Nulling tests (VAN, TAN), subjects view a tablet computer that displays one red and one blue line while viewing through color-matched red and blue filters; this provides separate visual information from each eye to the brain and prevents binocular fusion. One line, designated as the
stationary line, remains fixed on the screen, while the other line, designated as the moving line, is repositioned by the subject vertically during VAN and rotationally during TAN (Fig 1). The subject’s task is to adjust the moving line until it appears perfectly in-line with the stationary line, in other words to null any apparent vertical or rotational offset between the two lines. The test has been validated using prism diopters and video-oculography as well as during the altered gravitational environment of parabolic flight [23, 24]. The purpose of our study was to compare VAN and TAN between veterans and healthy controls in upright and supine test positions.

Materials and methods

Veterans (n = 26) with reports of dizziness were recruited from the East Orange Veterans Affairs Hospital. Healthy controls (n = 26) were recruited from both Johns Hopkins University and the East Orange VA. All subjects signed consent forms approved by the local Institutional Review Board (East Orange VA and Johns Hopkins) as well as the Human Research Protection Office of the Department of Defense. The individual pictured in this manuscript has given
written informed consent (as outlined in PLOS consent form) to publish these case details. Participants with hearing or visual impairment were excluded.

Vestibular function testing
Each of the veterans underwent cervical and ocular vestibular evoked myogenic potential (VEMP) testing to measure otolith function, as well as video head impulse testing (vHIT) to measure the horizontal semicircular canal and afferent pathways. The cervical VEMP (cVEMP) test measures function from the inferior vestibular nerve and saccular otolith. The ocular VEMP (oVEMP) measures function from the superior vestibular nerve and utricular otolith. vHIT of the horizontal semicircular canals measures function from the superior vestibular nerve. VEMP testing was considered abnormal for reduced sound threshold (dB) and/or latency of the positive and negative response being greater than the mean and 2SD above age-matched controls [25].

VAN and TAN
Every subject performed 20 trials each of VAN and TAN while seated in an upright position. The data was collected on an active-matrix organic LED (Samsung Galaxy TabPro S) tablet to ensure only the designated pixels on the tablet (i.e., the red and blue lines only) were illuminated. The tablet was fixed to an arm mounted to a table that was positioned 17.5” from lateral aspect of the subject’s eye. All subjects were trained on how to perform VAN and TAN prior to their data collection. Training included practicing the VAN and TAN tasks first in the light, in order to verify the test instructions were understood; training was repeated in complete darkness.

During testing, a trial counter is present for the subject and the software automatically switches to TAN testing after first completing 20 trials of VAN testing. If the subject enters an errant response, the trial can be deleted and then repeated. Measures collected are the amount in degrees by which the lines are separated from one another vertically (VAN) or rotated relative to one another (TAN), which provides a perceptual measure of vertical and torsional ocular misalignment, respectively. For example, if a subject orients the right line 5˚ counterclockwise relative to the left line during TAN, then we infer that this subject has a torsional misalignment such that the right eye is intorted 5˚ relative to the left eye. If a subject perfectly aligns the two lines during both VAN and TAN, then we infer that this individual has perfect vertical and torsional binocular alignment. For detail on the VAN and TAN method, please see Beaton et al. 2017 [24].

Statistical analysis
The first ten trials each of VAN and TAN were processed for mean and SD values in upright and supine conditions (scores stabilize after ten trials) [26]. The data was not normally distributed hence we used the Mann-Whitney U non-parametric tests to evaluate for differences between the veterans and control groups. Additionally, we applied a log transformation to create a normalized distribution (i.e., SD of the 10 trials of the individuals VAN and TAN). vHIT data was analyzed using dependent T-test. All levels of statistical comparison were set at significance $p \leq 0.05$. SPSS (version 24, Chicago, Il, USA) was used to complete the statistical analysis.

Demographic measures
The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Checklist (PCL)-17 is a standardized self-report rating scale for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) that consists of 17 items that
correspond to the DSM III-R diagnostic criteria for PTSD. The usual cut point suggesting PTSD is 37 in veteran populations [27–29].

The Vestibular Disorders Activities of Daily Living Scale (VADL) assesses level of functional limitation or disability in people with vestibular disorders. Scores between 1 and 3 suggest greater independence [30].

The Vertigo Symptom Scale (VSS) is a 15 item self-report questionnaire assessing imbalance, somatic anxiety, and autonomic arousal. Severe dizziness is indicated for scores greater than 12 [31].

The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ) -8 is an eight-item self-report diagnostic and severity measure for depression. Major depressive disorders are considered for PHQ-8 scores ≥10 [32].

The Timed Up and Go test (TUG) quantifies the time taken by an individual to stand up from a standard armchair, walk a distance of 3 meters, turn 180 degrees, walk back to the chair, and sit down. Scores greater than 13.5 seconds indicate fall risk in older adults with vestibular disorders [33] scores greater than 11.1 seconds indicate increased fall risk in community dwelling elderly [34].

The DGI is an 8-item scale that determines fall risk in older adults. Scores <20 indicate increased risk of falling in older adults and those with vestibular disorders [35].

The Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) measures a subject’s perception of how severe their dizziness is affecting their life. The index considers the emotional, physical, and functional aspects of their quality of life. For patients with peripheral or central pathological causes for dizziness, a total score greater than 16 indicates the responder believes the dizziness is of a significant handicap [36].

The Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale (ABC) is a self-report measure that asks subjects to rate their confidence performing 16 activities of daily living [37]. ABC scores above 80 are indicative of high confidence in balance [38].

The 10-meter walk test measures gait speed. Healthy controls, matched in age (55–59 years) with our veteran population, walked a mean 1.4 m/s [39].

The 2-minute walk test is a measure of endurance. Healthy controls, matched in age with our veteran population, walked a mean 191 meters [40].

Results

Our healthy control subjects were of similar age (50.3 ± 17.3) with our veteran subjects (55.4 ± 11.5). Demographic descriptions categorizing the severity of injury, subjective scales rating their perception of disability and dizziness, and functional scales of balance were collected in the veteran subjects. Of the measures collected, PCL, DHI and the 2-minute walk test were all significantly abnormal (Table 1). Each veteran reported to have dizziness.

VEMP and vHIT testing

We collected cervical and ocular VEMP testing data on twenty of the 26 veterans (e.g., Table). The other six veterans were unable to complete VEMP testing based on time constraints. Roughly one third of veterans had an absent cVEMP response (either right or left); another 1/3 had an inconclusive result. The final third of veterans subjects with a cVEMP response had mean (left and right) P1 latencies of 15.3 ± 1.72 ms and mean N1 latencies of 21.8 ± 1.6 ms. cVEMP responses were achieved at either the 93 or 97 dB threshold level. For oVEMP testing, more than half (~55%) had an absent response (either right or left) with the other 45% being either normal or inconclusive. The range of normal oVEMP scores were
mean 15.3 ± 2.4 for P1 latency and 22.2 ± 2.0 ms for N1 latency (left and right). Reasons for VEMP testing to be inconclusive include motion artifact or indeterminable waveforms.

We collected vHIT in 23 of the 26 veterans, as time availed. There was no difference in VOR gain between right (0.98 ± 0.12) and left (0.95 ± 0.1) passive yaw head rotations (p = 0.24).

VAN and TAN

Our data reveal that the Veterans have significantly greater within-subject variability, in both their VAN and TAN scores for both upright and supine positions compared to the healthy controls. In the upright test position, the variability of VAN was 285% higher (p = 0.002) and the variability in TAN was 169% higher (p = 0.027) in the veterans compared with the controls. In supine, the variability was 201% higher for VAN (p = 0.009) and 170% greater (p = 0.022) for TAN compared with the controls (Fig 2).

We found no difference in the mean VAN or TAN scores between the veterans or controls in either upright or supine position (Table 2).

Sixteen of our 26 veteran subjects (62%) have MSI. However, we found no difference in VAN or TAN between those veterans with or without MSI (p ≥ 0.484). Fifteen (58%) of our veteran subjects have PTSD (PCL score 54.36 ± 10.72) while 11 (29.20 ± 7.60, p < 0.001) did not, and there was no difference in VAN and TAN scores between the veterans with PTSD and those without (p ≥ 0.37).

Discussion

Our data reveal that veterans with dizziness have a significantly increased variability for their perception of vertical and torsional ocular alignment (VAN and TAN). In contrast, the healthy control group showed a consistent performance in their measures of VAN and TAN. Furthermore, neither PTSD nor MSI were a contributor towards this increased variability in perception of oculomotor alignment. We are uncertain of the precise mechanism responsible for this increased variability of abnormal ocular misalignment although the added symptom of dizziness, common in each of the veteran subjects, entices us to posit an abnormal processing of ocular alignment via central vestibular or peripheral vestibular pathways given 88% of the veterans had an absent VEMP (cervical or ocular) response. Our data support healthy horizontal semicircular canal function [41], equating with the results from Akin et al [16] that showed a majority of injuries to service members affect the central or peripheral vestibular otolith end organ pathways. Another possible explanation for the increased variability of the veterans

Table 1. Severity of injury, subjective ratings perception of disability and dizziness, and functional scales of balance in the Veterans.

| Physical Behavior Measures | Categorization Measures |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| DGI | TUG (sec) | 10M (m/s) | 2 min walk (m) | PCL | VADL | VSS | PHQ8 | ABC | DHI |
| 22.1 ± 2.2 | 9.7 ± 2.3 | 1.5 ± 0.4 | 145.7 ± 21 | 40.4 ± 15.6 | 2.1 ± 1.6 | 10.7 ± 10.4 | 7.6 ± 5.6 | 83.5 ± 13.9 | 30.3 ± 28.1 |

*Italicics denotes abnormal score; PCL—measure of PTSD; VADL—Vestibular Disorders Activities of Daily Living; VSS—vertigo symptom scale; PHQ8—measure of depression; ABC—activities-specific balance confidence scale; TUG—timed up and go; DGI—dynamic gait index; DHI—dizziness handicap inventory; M—meter

* scores > 37 are positive for suffering PTSD

* scores > 16 significant for perceiving a handicap from dizziness

^ abnormal compared with age matched controls

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209622.t001
might be limited compensation reserves. Sadeghi et al. [42] showed that bilateral coordination during walking in healthy subjects is preserved even though asymmetries in muscle activity exist at the individual lower limb joints (knees and hips). This suggests that a neural mechanism compensates for the local asymmetries, thereby ensuring a global bilateral gait coordination. It is possible therefore, that by providing monocular visual cues (as we did by preventing

Diff–mean difference moving from Upright to Supine. Negative VAN values denote subjects adjusted the moving line higher than the stationary line. Negative TAN values denote subjects adjusted the moving line counter-clockwise to the stationary line. Veterans have significantly greater within-subject variability, in both their VAN and TAN scores for upright and supine position.

Table 2. Mean and one standard deviations (SD) of VAN and TAN for veterans and controls.

| Group         | VAN Up  | VAN Supine | VAN Diff | TAN Up  | TAN Supine | TAN Diff |
|---------------|---------|------------|----------|---------|------------|----------|
| Veterans (n = 26) | 0.094 ± 0.23 | 0.277 ± 0.70 | -0.175 ± 0.61 | 0.427 ± 0.92 | 0.241 ± 0.32 | -0.047 ± 0.96 |
| Controls (n = 26) | 0.123 ± 0.37 | 0.157 ± 0.54 | 0.071 ± 0.20 | 0.275 ± 1.19 | 0.120 ± 0.15 | 0.777 ± 3.25 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209622.t002
fusion), we introduced a means that depleted their compensation reserves, impairing their perception of binocular alignment with a resultant increase in their variability.

To our knowledge, we are the first to report high variability in perception of binocular alignment for veterans with dizziness regardless of whether or not the veteran had a history of mTBI. This is a critical finding given symptoms of sensorimotor impairment may persist in the absence of subjective report [43]. Furthermore, we are not the first to show high variability in sensorimotor function in service members without TBI. Studying sub-concussive blast levels (blast waves below the known concussive thresholds) commonly experienced during heavy weapons training, Rhea et al [44] reported increased variability of stride time during “stepping-in-place” immediately after the training. The authors conclude that repeated exposure to low-level blast impairs neuromotor function. Others have shown patients with dizziness and TBI have increased variability in fall risk scores as measured by the dynamic gait index and Berg balance scale as well as worse static balance as measured from posturography [45]. Finally, those with a higher risk for falling (e.g. elderly) present with an increased variability of their gait pattern [46, 47]. Having sensitive tools onsite to accurately measure sensorimotor function in service members should be considered a high priority given that our data suggests veterans not only have impaired perception of binocular alignment regardless of having had a well-defined mTBI, but that this misalignment persists.

Limitations
Our data should not extend to patient populations outside of veterans with dizziness. It remains possible that the veteran subjects may have some psychological confound (excluding PTSD) impacting their variability while performing the VAN and TAN tests—a confound that we did not measure. While PTSD was present in 58% of veterans, it had no effect on ocular misalignment. Depression scores in the veterans were not abnormal. Finally, it remains possible that our veteran subjects were exposed to multiple low-level blasts that impaired their perception of ocular alignment but did not otherwise impair their ability to perform their daily activities while on active duty.

Conclusion
Our novel method of measuring vertical and torsional misalignment distinguishes veterans with dizziness from healthy controls. Further studies are needed to better understand the fundamental mechanism responsible for the increased variability of perception of binocular alignment, as well as to establish ranges of normal variability across unique patient populations.
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