Grape Phylloxera Gallicole and Radicicole Activity on Grape Rootstock Vines
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Abstract. The objective was to explore temporal distribution of grape phylloxera, Daktulosphaira vitifoliae (Fitch), gallicoles on grapevine rootstocks (Vitis sp.) and determine how this distribution arose. We tested the hypothesis that leaf galls are created by individuals arising from the soil in the spring. Galls begin to appear at budbreak and gall averages per leaf increase variably as the season progresses. Initial galling appears to be associated with dry conditions and gall populations decline with rain. Emergence-trap catches show that phylloxera maintain populations on rootstock roots and that these populations disperse above ground. Aerial trap data demonstrated wind dispersal of crawlers and alates. Shielding of canes from soil-emerging phylloxera largely prevented early gall formation. These results suggest alternative testable hypotheses for explaining overwintering of phylloxera in rootstock vineyards.

The life cycle of grape phylloxera is predominantly asexual and may occur on leaves and roots of grapevines (Granett et al., 2001). However, male and female eggs may be laid by alates arising from the asexual populations on roots (radicicole) (Riley, 1876) or by non-winged asexual females in leaf galls (gallicoles) (Downie and Granett, 1998). The sexuals from radicicole alates are thought to lay eggs capable of surviving winter when laid on vine canes or trunks above ground. The overwintering egg hatches into the fundatrix in spring, and this individual is thought to be responsible for initiating the gallicole populations on leaves (Davidson and Nougaret, 1921; Riley, 1876). The role of eggs arising from leaf sexuals (Downie and Granett, 1998) is not known but they too may have an overwintering function. Portions of this complex, holocyclic life cycle are bypassed in various parts of the insects’ extended geographical range. For example, in viticultural regions of California, gallicoles are generally not found and sexuals seem nonviable, whereas on native Vitis of Arizona, radicicoles are absent and leaf sexuals are common. In Hungary, as in other parts of Europe, alates arising from the roots produce sexuals but leaf sexuals have not been observed (L. Horvath and L. Kocsis, personal observations). Hungarian observations of the above ground overwintering egg, however, are lacking. In addition, observations of the spatially and temporally sporadic distribution of gallicole populations in Hungary stimulated questions about this portion of the life cycle. Granett and Kocsis (2000) studying gallicole populations in a rootstock nursery found that gallicoles were sparse and unevenly distributed in early summer but spread and became more evenly distributed as the season progressed. By the end of the summer, gallicoles were throughout the observation area and if overwintering eggs were being laid, they should have been ubiquitous. Yet the following spring, the populations were sparse and uneven again.

The purpose of our research was to explore temporal distribution of gallicoles and determine how this distribution arose. We sought to test the hypothesis that leaf galls are created by individuals arising from the soil in the spring.

Materials and Methods

Studies were done in the rootstock nursery and mother vine block of Veszpréum University, Georgikon Faculty of Agronomy, Cserszegtomaj (near Keszthely), Hungary, with a long established grape phylloxera infestation. We made observations on two V. berlandieri Planch. × V. riparia Michx. cultivars, Teleki 8B and Teleki 5C. Both cultivars were head pruned and canes grew up wires arranged at a 45° angle from the vine head and along a horizontal wire at 2 m height above the ground. Each vine had five canes which were pruned of side canes at about three-week intervals. Vine rows were clean cultivated.

We counted grape phylloxera galls on canes of Teleki 8B vines in 1999. At intervals from the first leaf at budbreak (1 May 1999) until canes stopped growing (August 1999) we counted grape phylloxera galls on each leaf noting the leaf position with the first leaf on the cane being number one and the leaf at the growing cane tip being the highest number. Observations were made on a single cane on each of 10 randomly selected vines. For each cane we determined the proportion of the total gall count for the season that was...
found on each leaf of that cane. Because our observations were made periodically through the season, we were able to estimate the date that each leaf developed by dividing the number of leaf positions by the interval of time since the previous count. We did 5-d averages of the proportion data using canes as replicates and attempted to normalize the data by a power transformation. We ignored galling on side shoots because of their erratic appearance, impermanence due to the normal vineyard management practices, and because they might not be physiologically similar or exposed to the elements in a manner similar to the main canes. Because grape phylloxera galls only develop on newly expanding leaves, our observations of leaves along canes became a calendar of galling. We regressed the total 5-d average gall values over time to determine a count average for each date. Based on rain data we determined periods of the season that were wet or dry (arbitrarily we set 10 mm of rain as an indication of a wet period) and determined whether actual gall count values were different from the expected during each rainy or dry period, analyzing results by a chi-square analysis.  

From the same row of vines (different vines) we excavated roots from the top about 0.05 m of soil within 30 cm of the trunks and counted phylloxera as first instar nymphs (also known as crawlers; determined as those individuals being roughly the size of eggs), nymphs between the second and fourth instars (individuals larger than first instars and without associated oviposition), and adults (individuals associated by position with recent oviposition). We determined population per gram dry root weight.  

We trapped phylloxera emerging from the soil and moving in the air. The soil emergence trap was a box 30 cm long × 9 cm wide × 15 cm tall made from hard plastic, about 0.5 mm thick and spray-painted black (Fig. 1). Inside the box we placed vertically oriented baffles to allow grape phylloxera emerging from the soil to crawl upward. The top of the box was closed with inward facing adhesive paper that was replaced at about 2-week intervals. The traps were deployed regularly from early spring to late fall at eight locations in a Teleki 5C row under vines. To count emergence, the adhesive papers were removed from the trap box, covered with clear plastic film and brought to the laboratory where trapped grape phylloxera were identified by form and size, and counted. When grape phylloxera were counted we noted their position relative to the enclosed space of the trap to ascertain whether they were on the portion of the adhesive paper indicating that they had emerged from the soil covered by the trap or on the portion of the adhesive paper outside the trap wall indicating that they could have crawled or blown onto the adhesive paper from outside the trap or come from the soil.  

Aerial traps consisted of 6-cm-wide two-sided adhesive tape wrapped around a 9-cm-diameter cylinder. These traps were deployed on 1.5-m stakes between vine rows randomly in the vineyard. The adhesive tape was removed at about 2-week intervals and adhering grape phylloxera crawlers and alates were counted.  

Lastly, a manipulative exclusion experiment was conducted to determine whether shielding newly growing canes from wind or soil borne grape phylloxera crawlers protected them from galling. Before budbreak on 27 Apr. 2000, three treatments were made on Teleki 5C vines. For the first treatment, a plastic cone shaped baffle was placed around the vine trunk so that phylloxera crawlers that might be emerging from the soil could not crawl up to the growing cane. The second treatment had a clear plastic sheet around the growing cane so that grape phylloxera crawlers blowing in the wind could not reach the growing cane, but crawlers emerging from the soil could. The third treatment had neither the soil emergence protection nor the wind distribution protection. These treatments were randomly replicated in experimental vines. Formed leaf galls were counted on all test vines.
11 May 2000. We used separate chi-square tests to determine whether each treatment produced results different from the control results.

Monitoring stations at the Veszprém University, Georgikon Faculty of Agronomy provided all weather data.

**Results and Discussion**

The total numbers of galls that developed per cane for the season were 6.5, 50, 51, 513, 435, 345, 145.5, 863, 105, and 2268. The total numbers of galls that developed on canes did not regress with the date of the first galls to appear ($y = 7.04x + 584$; $R^2 = 0.035$; Fig. 2). A large population can develop on a cane with a late date of first infestation suggesting that the cane population is less dependent on date of infestation than it is on plant or weather factors. However, the dates when galling first appeared occurred in early May, late May, and late July. These days were characterized by relatively dry weather conditions (Fig. 3).

When gall proportions were averaged by date across all canes, populations did not appear to grow smoothly through the season; there were distinct peaks and valleys of populations (Fig. 3). Galls first occurred at budbreak and large proportions of galls appeared about days 30, 60, 90, and 104. Valleys in galling numbers occurred between these peaks. Though phylloxera populations may have generational times of about 30 d (Granett et al., 1983), this seems an unlikely cause of the peaks because of generational overlap caused by the relatively long period of oviposition within generations (Granett and Kocsis, 2000). However, periods of rainfall >20 mm/5 d correlated with low values for the gall data suggesting rainfall as a limiting factor for gall formation ($df = 5$; chi-square = 0.98; $p < 0.05$).

Soil emergence- and aerial trap data show initial appearance of grape phylloxera crawlers in late April (Fig. 4) corresponding to the first leaf galls (Fig. 3). Catch of grape phylloxera from the soil and from the air occurred throughout the season. In addition, populations of all stages were observed on roots from June through October (Fig. 5). These results demonstrate that even a strongly resistant grape rootstock such as Teleki 8B maintains grape phylloxera radicicoles at least from spring through autumn. These trap data and in situ observations indicate that populations of phylloxera moving in this nursery block were from both roots and leaves and suggest that inocula for leaf infestation could have mixed origins.

The manipulative exclusion experiment showed that when vines were neither shielded from wind movement of crawlers nor shielded from soil emergence, 22% of test vines became infested (Table 1). This outcome was similar to the situation where wind distribution was prevented but soil emergence was not. On the other hand where soil emergence was prevented with no protection from wind blown individuals, 2% of the vines were infested. The chi-square analysis indicated that the trunk baffle galling was significantly different from the control ($df = 1$; chi-square = 0.0023; $p < 0.05$).

Our experiments suggest that leaf galling in the spring is primarily caused by phylloxera emerging from soil. In addition, the initial leaf

| Wind protection | Trunk baffle | Galled (%) | Vines (no.) |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| No              | Yes          | 2          | 41          |
| Yes             | No           | 19         | 76          |
| No              | No           | 22         | 27          |

Fig. 4. Soil emergence and aerial trap catch, 2-week average per trap.

Fig. 5. Population of grape phylloxera crawlers, nymphs, and adults on Teleki 8B roots during Summer 1999.
gall of the year does not appear to come from wind blown or other above ground sources. Therefore, the insects forming the leaf galls at this time must have spent the winter within the soil. Our data do not indicate whether they are offspring of radicicole or of the sexual generation (i.e. the fundatrix). If the galls are from the sexually arising fundatrix we can hypothesize that they derived nutrients from roots. If this hypothesis is proven correct, this feeding would require a selectable fitness to utilize rootstock roots and might with time lead to rootstock adapted strains.

Previously it was thought that phylloxera could survive the winter above ground as the sexual egg (Riley 1876). In rootstock mother blocks such as this one where the bulk of above ground wood is removed each year, the likelihood of the overwintering form surviving at such sites seems low.

If the galls arise from radicicole, spatial and temporal patterns for galls will be contingent on the distributions of radicicole, though other factors (e.g. microclimate, vine physiology) may be critical as well. Resistant rootstock roots tend not to support radicicole on mature storage roots, but our data show that populations can occur on immature and feeder roots and can arise from the soil. These root populations are low and unevenly distributed and could account for similar distribution patterns of galls in early spring. In addition, the underground winter venue accounts for the lack of vine protection afforded by pruning and vineyard sanitation. Last, this underground haven of pre-gallidule phylloxera, whether they are radicicole or arising from the overwintering egg, suggests the hypothesis that early season and dry weather insecticidal applications to trunks might hinder gallidule formation early in the season, but would not impact pre-gallidules arising to start new cane infestations during dry periods later in the summer.
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