Abstract: Members of the Monoctonina subtribe have long been neglected in applied studies of the subfamily Aphidiinae, due to their low economic importance, as they do not parasitize pests of cultivated plants. Consequently, data about this group are scarce, including its taxonomy and phylogeny. In the present study, we explore inter- and intraspecific genetic variation of Monoctonina species, including genera Monoctonus Haliday 1833, Monoctonia Starý 1962, Falciconus Mackauer 1959 and Harkeria Cameron 1900. We employ two molecular markers, the barcode region of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and the D2 region of the 28S nuclear gene (28S rDNA), to analyze genetic structuring and phylogeny of all available Monoctonina species, and combine them with morphological data for an integrative approach. We report one new species, and three potentially new species which can be formally described when further specimens are available. Analysis of phylogenetic relationships within the subtribe shows a basal position for the genera Falciconus and Monoctonia, and the close relatedness of Harkeria and Monoctonus.
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1. Introduction

The aphidiine subtribe Monoctonina, comprising genera Monoctonus Haliday 1833, Monoctonia Starý 1962, Falciconus Mackauer 1959, Harkeria Cameron 1900, and Quadrictonus Starý and Remaudière 1982, has long been omitted from taxonomic and phylogenetic studies. This is probably due to low economic importance of its members, since they do not parasitize pests of cultivated plants, and as a consequence are not commonly used in biological control attempts [1]. Until recently, there was only one revision of European species available [2], while the current identification keys are focused on small geographic regions [1–3]. Recently, Čkrkić et al. [1] provided a review of the world Monoctonina based on morphology with descriptions of five new species.

A study based on molecular analysis of the Monoctonina subtribe has not been conducted so far, but some species have been used in molecular studies, mostly those focusing on the entire subfamily Aphidiinae. Most of these studies were focused on relationships between tribes and on determining the
basal tribe within the Aphidiinae, rather than relationships within the tribes. A phylogenetic study of Aphidiinae [4] based on elongation factor-1α, cytochrome b and the second expansion segment of the 28S ribosomal subunit supported the grouping of Monoctonina with Trioxina, although only Falciconus pseudoplatani (Marshall 1896) was used in the analysis. Smith et al. [5] showed the same relationship between Monoctonina and Trioxina, although with relatively low statistical support, based on the analysis of the mitochondrial NADH1 dehydrogenase gene sequence, with three species from the subtribe used in the analysis (F. pseudoplatani, Monoctonus paulensis (Ashmead 1902), and H. angustivalva (Starý 1959)). Sanchis et al. [6] used only Monoctonia vesicarii Tremblay 1991 in their analysis of Aphidiinae based on 18S rDNA; a species of the genus Monoctonus was also used, but it was later removed from the analysis due to ambiguous results. Monoctonia vesicarii was suggested as basal in the clade comprised of Trioxini and Aphidiini. Shi and Chen [7] also used only M. vesicarii in their analysis of Aphidiinae based on 16S rRNA, 18S rDNA, and ATPase 6, and their results suggested the same position of the species as in Sanchis et al. [6]. In a study of Aphidiinae based on the 16S rRNA gene by Kambhampati et al. [8] five species from the Monoctonina subtribe were examined—M. vesicarii, Monoctonus crepidis (Haliday 1834), M. paulensis, F. pseudoplatani, and H. angustivalva. As in previous studies, these species grouped with species of Trioxina and together formed a clade that is basal in the Aphidiini+Trioxini cluster. In a study based on the cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene (COI) by Derocles et al. [9], the three Monoctonina species used (F. pseudoplatani, M. crepidis, and M. caricis (Haliday 1833)) again grouped with Trioxina, but with bootstrap support under 65%. Falciconus pseudoplatani appeared closest to Lipolexis gracilis Förster 1962; M. crepidis grouped with Binodoxys Mackauer 1960 species, while M. caricis formed a separate branch in the cluster. Rakhshani et al. [10] used the COI gene to analyze the genus Monoctonia, while M. crepidis, M. ligustri van Achterberg 1989, H. angustivalva, and F. pseudoplatani were also included in the analysis. The analysis showed that genetic distances between species of Monoctonina far exceed what is considered to be a species boundary within the Aphidiinae [9,11]. A new species, Monoctonia japonica Rakhshani and Tomanović 2015 was described based on morphological and molecular analyses [10].

The current study aims to further knowledge of the taxonomy and phylogeny of the subtribe Monoctonina, using molecular data from two gene markers (mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and nuclear large subunit 28S rDNA) combined with morphological measurements of relevant characters. We describe one new species, and report three potentially new species which can be described when more data are available. We also discuss the phylogenetic relationships of genera and species within the subtribe Monoctonina.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Insect Material

Specimens belonging to the subtribe Monoctonina were collected across Holarctic between 1995 and 2017. Samples were collected with Malaise traps in Canada and USA or by collecting parasitized aphids (Serbia, Montenegro, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Belgium, Spain, France, Russia, UK (Wales), USA and Japan) (Supplementary Materials Table S1). Leaves with parasitized aphids were collected from various host plants and kept in plastic boxes covered with nylon mesh for 3–4 weeks or until parasitoid emergence under laboratory conditions (22.5 °C, 16 h L: 8 h D). Emerged parasitoids were immersed in 96% ethanol and kept for later examination. Samples of aphids were kept in 96% alcohol for identification. Parasitoid specimens used in this study are deposited in the collection of Institute of Zoology, Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade (FBUB) and the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids, and Nematodes, Ottawa (CNC).

2.2. Molecular Analysis

Monoctonina specimens belonging to 22 species were used in the molecular analysis (Table S1). DNA was extracted from 52 individual adult parasitoids using the KAPA Express Extract kit (Kappa
Biosystems Inc., Boston, MA, USA) or QIAGEN Dneasy® Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The barcode region of the COI gene was amplified using the universal primers LCO1490 and HCO2198 [12]. In the case of museum specimens, where universal primers were unable to amplify the barcode region due to DNA degradation, specific primer pairs designed to amplify the region as three shorter fragments were used [13]. The D2 region of the nuclear gene for 28S (28S rDNA) was amplified from DNA of 33 specimens using the primers 28SD2f [14] and 28SD2r [15].

DNA amplification of COI and 28S was performed in a final volume of 20 µL containing 1 µL of DNA, 11.8 µL of H2O, 2 µL of High Yield Reaction Buffer A with 1x Mg, 1.8 µL of MgCl2 (2.25 mM), 1.2 µL of dNTP (0.6 mM), 1 µL of each primer (0.5 µM) and 0.2 µL of KAPATaq DNA polymerase (0.05 U/µL) (Kapa Biosystems Inc., Boston, MA, USA). DNA amplification with specific primers was performed in the same volume, only with 2 µL of DNA. PCR amplification was conducted in an Eppendorf Mastercycler® (Hamburg, Germany) using the following thermal profile for COI: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 60 s, 54 °C for 60 s, 72 °C for 90 s (30 s when specific primers were used) and a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min. The PCR protocol for 28S was: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 32 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 48 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 60 s and a final extension step at 72 °C for 10 min. Purification of PCR products was done either with QIAquick PCR purification Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) or by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea), while DNA sequencing in both directions was performed by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea). Additional 132 sequences of COI gene were obtained from BOLD database.

Sequences were edited using FinchTV ver. 1.4.0 (www.geospiza.com). Alignment of sequences was conducted using CLUSTAL W algorithm integrated in MEGA 5 software [16]. Sequences of COI gene were trimmed to 663 bp, while those of 28S rDNA were trimmed to 690 bp. All sequences are deposited in GenBank and BOLD (accession numbers and BOLD codes in Table S1).

Calculation of average genetic distances was performed using the Kimura two-parameter method (K2P) of base substitution [17] integrated in MEGA 5 [16].

Phylogenetic relationships among Monoctonina species were assessed using Bayesian inference. MrBayes 3.1.2 software [18] was used to obtain phylogenetic trees based on sequences of COI and 28S rDNA genes, as well as a combined tree using sequences for both genes and coded morphological characters. For all three analyses, the best-fitting model of sequence evolution based on the Akaike Information Criterion was the General Time Reversible model (GTR), as determined by Model Test integrated in MEGA 5. Bayesian inference analysis was conducted by running two Markov Chain Monte Carlo searches, each with one cold and three heated chains. For the tree based on COI, the analysis ran for eight million generations, for the 28S tree for two million generations, and for the combined tree for five million generations. In all cases, sampling was conducted every 1000 generations, while the first 25% of trees were discarded as a burn-in. Convergence of parameters was confirmed by Tracer 1.5.0 program [19], while FigTree 1.3.1 [20] was used to view the consensus tree with posterior probabilities. Haplotype diversity was calculated using DNAsp version 6 [21]. Haplotype networks were constructed using the software Network, version 5.0.0.1 (http://www.fluxus-engineering.com).

The following nine morphological characters or ratios of characters were coded based on original coding strategies or following Tomanović et al. [22,23]:

1. Host range: 0 = Eriosomatinae; 1 = Drepanosiphinae; 2 = Macrosiphini; 3 = Aphidiini
2. Number of antennomeres: 0 = 13; 1 = 14; 2 = 15; 3 = 16; 4 = 17; 5 = 18
3. Flagellomere 1 length/width ratio: 0 = <3; 1 = 3–4; 2 = >4
4. Number of maxillary palpomeres: 0 = 4; 1 = 3
5. Number of labial palpomeres: 0 = 3; 1 = 2; 2 = 1
6. Fore wing pterostigma length/width ratio: 0 = <3; 1 = 3–4; 2 = 4–5; 3 = 5–6; 4 = >6
7. Fore wing pterostigma length/R1 (metacarpus) length ratio: 0 = <3; 1 = 3–4; 2 = >4
8. Fore wing m-cu vein: 0 = fully sclerotized; 1 = partially missing or colorless; 2 = absent
9. Ovipositor sheaths length/width ratio: \(0 = <3; 1 = 3-4; 2 = >4\)

Since the coded morphological characters are based on female specimens, for species where only male specimens were available, the number of antennomeres and ovipositor sheath length/width ratio were coded as missing data.

3. Results

3.1. Molecular Analysis

Analysis of COI sequences obtained from 183 specimens of Monoctonina revealed 267 variable sites, with 243 of those parsimony informative, while 24 were singleton positions. Among these specimens, 172 were identified to a species, based on morphological and molecular data. Eleven sequences that were initially identified to genus level represent four distinct taxonomic units, based on genetic differences between them and other species in the analysis. Based on the COI sequences, one new species is described below, and three potentially new species are reported (Appendix A).

Seven of 22 species were represented by just one individual, but more than one haplotype was present in all 15 species represented by two or more specimens. The highest number of haplotypes was detected in *M. washingtonensis* Pike and Starý 1995 (17) in 74 analyzed specimens. Over 50% of analyzed mitochondrial barcode sequences belong to haplotypes H7 and H8 (52.6%, Figure 1a). In 25 sequences of *M. paulensis*, 12 haplotypes were detected (Figure 1b).
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**Figure 1.** Haplotype networks based on cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences. Size of circles indicates the number of specimens with a haplotype; black dots represent one nucleotide substitution: (a) *M. washingtonensis* (yellow—west haplotypes, green—east haplotypes); (b) *M. paulensis*.

By comparison, only three haplotypes were detected in *M. crepidis* (17 specimens, Figure 2a) and *M. caricis* (47 specimens, Figure 2b). Five haplotypes were detected among 12 sequences from *M. brachyradius* Čkrkić, Petrović and Tomanović 2019 (Figure 2c), while ten haplotypes were detected among 12 specimens of *F. pseudoplatani* (Figure 2d). Specimens initially identified as *M. ligustri* and *M. mali* van Achterberg 1989 share five haplotypes (8 analyzed sequences, Figure 3).
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**Figure 2.** Haplotype networks based on cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences. Size of circles indicates the number of specimens with a haplotype; black dots represent one nucleotide substitution: (a) *M. crepidis*; (b) *M. caricis*; (c) *M. brachyradius*; (d) *F. pseudoplatani*. 

Appendix A
Phylogenetic tree constructed in MrBayes based on sequences of the COI gene is shown in Figure 4. Number of specimens used for every species is shown in parentheses.

The topology of the tree shows two main groups of Monoctonus species, joined by H. angustivalva, while F. pseudoplatani and Monoctonia species form separate branches on the tree. Monoctonus leclanti Tomanović and Starý 2002, M. allisoni Pike and Starý 2003, M. luteus Čkrkić, Petrović and Tomanović 2019, M. parvipalpus Čkrkić, Petrović and Tomanović 2019, and Monoctonus sp. n. 1 cluster closely and are joined by H. angustivalva as a sister species, while M. ligustri and M. mali (shown in the tree as M. cerasi (Marshall 1896)), M. canadensis Čkrkić, Petrović and Tomanović 2019 and M. crepidis are on separate branches to form one Monoctonus clade. In the second clade, M. washingtonensis, M. caricis, and Monoctonus sp. n. 3 cluster together, as do M. nervosus (Haliday 1833), M. paulensis, M. brachyradius, and M. inexpectatus Čkrkić, Petrović and Tomanović 2019. Monoctonus indiscretus, sp. n. and Monoctonus sp. n. 2 form a separate branch in this clade.

Table 1 shows interspecific genetic distances for COI, while distances between genera are shown in Table 2. With the exception of low distances between M. nervosus and M. paulensis (2.7%), M. allisoni and Monoctonus sp. n. 1 (3.5%), and specimens initially identified as M. ligustri and M. mali (0.4%), interspecific distances range from 4.5% between Monoctonia vesicarii and M. japonica, to 23.2% between Monoctonus parvipalpus and M. japonica.

Sequences for 28S rDNA were obtained from 33 specimens and aligned to 690 bp. Figure 5 shows the phylogenetic tree constructed in MrBayes. As expected for a tree based on this gene, more species are clustered. Specimens identified as M. ligustri and M. mali (=M. cerasi) have identical sequences. Monoctonus crepidis, M. nervosus, and M. cerasi all group together, with M. leclanti and H. angustivalva joining as a sister clade. Falciconus pseudoplatani and Monoctonia species make up a separate clade on the tree.

Genetic distances for 28S rDNA between genera are similar to those for COI, with the exception between Monoctonus and Harkeria (4.3%) (Table 2). Distances calculated based on the same gene within Monoctonus are much lower than those for COI, ranging from 0% between specimens initially identified as M. ligustri and M. mali, to 4.9% between M. leclanti and M. paulensis (Table 3).
Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree constructed based on sequences of COI. The number of specimens of each species is shown in parentheses. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown above branches.
Table 1. Genetic distances between Monoctonina species based on COI sequences.

| Species            | M. ligustri | M. mali | M. washingtonensis | M. crepus | M. nervosus | M. paulensis | M. leclanti | M. allisoni | M. caricis | M. brachygradus | M. inexpectatus | M. canadensis | M. luteus | M. parvipalpus | Monoctonus sp.n3 | Monoctonus sp.n2 | Monoctonus sp.n1 | H. angustivalva | F. pseudoplatani | M. vesicarii | M. japonica |
|--------------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| M. ligustri        | 0.004       |         |                   |           |             |              |             |             |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. mali            |             | 0.163   | 0.165             |           |             |              |             |             |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. washingtonensis | 0.163       | 0.165   |                   |           |             |              |             |             |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. crepus          | 0.124       | 0.127   | 0.151             |           |             |              |             |             |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. nervosus        | 0.149       | 0.151   | 0.127             | 0.151     |             |              |             |             |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. paulensis       | 0.150       | 0.149   | 0.114             | 0.142     | 0.027       |              |             |             |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. leclanti        | 0.181       | 0.182   | 0.193             | 0.191     | 0.198       | 0.199        |             |             |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. allisoni        | 0.175       | 0.177   | 0.198             | 0.173     | 0.195       | 0.199        | 0.143       |             |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. caricis         | 0.152       | 0.152   | 0.069             | 0.153     | 0.128       | 0.117        | 0.214       | 0.202      |           |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. brachygradus    | 0.138       | 0.145   | 0.112             | 0.122     | 0.094       | 0.095        | 0.202       | 0.191      | 0.105     |                |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. inexpectatus    | 0.155       | 0.158   | 0.133             | 0.146     | 0.070       | 0.065        | 0.200       | 0.214      | 0.122     | 0.094        |                |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. canadensis      | 0.142       | 0.143   | 0.136             | 0.138     | 0.131       | 0.137        | 0.181       | 0.184      | 0.134     | 0.149        | 0.157        |               |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. lutus           | 0.178       | 0.176   | 0.206             | 0.181     | 0.201       | 0.199        | 0.143       | 0.063      | 0.213     | 0.201        | 0.210        | 0.188        |           |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. parcipulphus    | 0.194       | 0.191   | 0.208             | 0.177     | 0.218       | 0.209        | 0.156       | 0.058      | 0.220     | 0.205        | 0.218        | 0.197        | 0.062      |               |                 |                |                 |                |               |              |             |
| M. indiscretus     | 0.159       | 0.164   | 0.126             | 0.156     | 0.131       | 0.137        | 0.216       | 0.209      | 0.134     | 0.123        | 0.138        | 0.140        | 0.221      | 0.219        |               |                |                 |                |               |             |
| Monoctorus sp.n3   | 0.150       | 0.151   | 0.069             | 0.153     | 0.115       | 0.099        | 0.214       | 0.198      | 0.046     | 0.097        | 0.110        | 0.140        | 0.204      | 0.209        | 0.129        |                |                 |                |               |             |
| Monoctorus sp.n2   | 0.152       | 0.159   | 0.124             | 0.152     | 0.117       | 0.120        | 0.201       | 0.184      | 0.121     | 0.105        | 0.123        | 0.167        | 0.205      | 0.201        | 0.129        | 0.112        |                 |                |               |             |
| Monoctorus sp.n1   | 0.186       | 0.186   | 0.199             | 0.187     | 0.206       | 0.203        | 0.141       | 0.035      | 0.199     | 0.191        | 0.206        | 0.196        | 0.069      | 0.213        | 0.197        | 0.185        |                 |                |               |             |
| H. angustivalva    | 0.144       | 0.146   | 0.189             | 0.146     | 0.186       | 0.183        | 0.159       | 0.153      | 0.181     | 0.192        | 0.185        | 0.155        | 0.173      | 0.183        | 0.165        | 0.181        | 0.165        | 0.183        | 0.165        |             |
| F. pseudoplatani   | 0.161       | 0.168   | 0.152             | 0.155     | 0.157       | 0.206        | 0.214       | 0.159      | 0.156     | 0.171        | 0.130        | 0.221        | 0.224      | 0.156        | 0.146        | 0.165        | 0.217        | 0.180        |                 |               |             |
| M. vesicarii       | 0.158       | 0.159   | 0.167             | 0.166     | 0.169       | 0.200        | 0.196       | 0.161      | 0.173     | 0.182        | 0.167        | 0.201        | 0.207      | 0.205        | 0.173        | 0.175        | 0.209        | 0.189        | 0.160        |                 |             |
| M. japonica        | 0.155       | 0.164   | 0.178             | 0.167     | 0.167       | 0.174        | 0.205       | 0.207      | 0.171     | 0.164        | 0.183        | 0.156        | 0.214        | 0.232       | 0.191        | 0.183        | 0.184        | 0.225        | 0.176        | 0.158        | 0.045        |                 |             |
| M. pistacicola     | 0.194       | 0.196   | 0.177             | 0.179     | 0.168       | 0.169        | 0.202       | 0.201      | 0.179     | 0.178        | 0.193        | 0.194        | 0.219        | 0.223       | 0.201        | 0.174        | 0.190        | 0.201        | 0.192        | 0.193        | 0.132        | 0.127        |                 |             |
Table 2. Genetic distances between genera of Monoctonina based on COI sequences (below diagonal) and 28S rDNA sequences (above diagonal).

|        | Monoctonus | Harkeria | Monoctonia | Falciconus |
|--------|------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Monoctonus | 0.043      | 0.180    | 0.180      | 0.156      |
| Harkeria | 0.176      | 0.163    | 0.165      | 0.141      |
| Monoctonia | 0.175      | 0.187    | 0.174      | 0.165      |
| Falciconus | 0.159      | 0.180    | 0.174      | 0.165      |

Combined phylogenetic tree based on COI and 28S DNA sequences and morphological characters constructed in MrBayes is shown in Figure 6. Falciconus and Monoctonia are separated from the clade comprised of Monoctonus and Harkeria. Monoctonus crepidis, M. cerasi, and M. canadensis form separate branches in this clade, while other species form two groups. One group consists of M. allisoni, M. luteus, M. parvipalpus, and Monoctonus sp. n. 1 grouped together, with M. leclanti and H. angustivalva as sister species. The second group is comprised of two clades, one containing M. nervosus, M. paulensis, M. brachyradius, and M. inexpectatus, and the other one containing M. washingtonensis, M. caricis, M. indiscretus sp. n., Monoctonus sp. n. 2, and Monoctonus sp. n. 3.

Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree based on 28S rDNA. The number of specimens of each species is shown in parentheses. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown above branches.
Figure 6. Phylogenetic tree based on COI, 28S rDNA and coded morphological characters. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown above branches.
Table 3. Genetic distances between species of Monoctonina based on 28S rDNA.

| Species            | M. ligustri | M. mali | M. crepidis | M. leclanti | M. nervosus | M. paulensis | H. angustivalva | F. pseudoplatani | M. vesicarii | M. japonica | M. pistaciaecola |
|--------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| M. ligustri        | 0.000       |         |             |             |             |              |                 |                 |             |             |                 |
| M. mali            | 0.032       | 0.032   |             |             |             |              |                 |                 |             |             |                 |
| M. crepidis        | 0.036       | 0.036   | 0.040       |             |             |              |                 |                 |             |             |                 |
| M. leclanti        | 0.026       | 0.026   | 0.036       | 0.041       |             |              |                 |                 |             |             |                 |
| M. nervosus        | 0.044       | 0.044   | 0.048       | 0.049       | 0.004       |              |                 |                 |             |             |                 |
| M. paulensis       | 0.041       | 0.041   | 0.044       | 0.048       | 0.057       |              |                 |                 |             |             |                 |
| H. angustivalva    | 0.149       | 0.149   | 0.154       | 0.143       | 0.165       | 0.200        | 0.141           |                 |             |             |                 |
| F. pseudoplatani   | 0.158       | 0.158   | 0.155       | 0.150       | 0.171       | 0.192        | 0.143           | 0.150           |             |             |                 |
| M. vesicarii       | 0.164       | 0.164   | 0.160       | 0.153       | 0.168       | 0.200        | 0.153           | 0.154           | 0.003       |             |                 |
| M. japonica        | 0.199       | 0.199   | 0.196       | 0.192       | 0.211       | 0.197        | 0.178           | 0.178           | 0.022       | 0.019       |                 |

3.2. Description of a New Species

Monoctonus indiscretus Čkrkić, Petrović and Tomanović, sp. n. Figure 7.

Diagnosis. This species is morphologically very similar to M. nervosus and M. paulensis. It cannot be easily distinguished from these two species, as most character states overlap. The analyzed female specimens of the new species have a yellow F1. In contrast, M. paulensis has only a yellow narrow basal ring of F1 and the remainder brown, and M. nervosus usually has a yellow F1 and basal third of F2. Ratio of length and width of F1 is 3.0–3.2 in M. indiscretus, while it ranges between 3.4 and 4.3 in M. nervosus and 3.6–4.7 in M. paulensis. Pterostigma length/R1 length is 2.4 in M. indiscretus, 1.8–2.3 in M. nervosus and approx. 2.5 in M. paulensis, although the range of variation in M. paulensis (2.1–3) overlaps with M. indiscretus measurements.

3.2.1. Female

Head (Figure 7a). Eyes oval, medium sized, sparsely setose. Malar space equal to 0.23 of longitudinal eye diameter. Tentorial index approx. 0.2. Clypeus oval with 10–11 setae. Maxillary palps with four palpomeres, labial palps with three palpomeres. Antenna with 16 antennomeres, filiform, setae on flagellomeres semi-erect, subequal to half of segment diameter. Flagellomere 1 (F1) 3.0–3.2 times as long as wide, with 0–2 longitudinal placodes. F2 approx. 2.5 times as long as wide, without longitudinal placodes. F3, F4, and F5 with 4–5, 3–4, and 3–5 longitudinal placodes, respectively. F1 length equal to F2 length (Figure 7b).

Mesosoma. Mesoscutum without notaulices (Figure 7c), dorsal surface scarcely setose. Head width/ mesoscutum width ratio 1.3. Propodeum areolated, with narrow central pentagonal areola (Figure 7d).

Fore wing. (Figure 7g). Wing length approx. 2 mm, width approx. 0.7 mm. Pterostigma narrow, 6.8 times as long as wide and 2.4 times as long as distal abscissa of R1. Vein m-cu visible, vein 2RS visible, colorless in second half. Veins r and 3RS distinct.

Metasoma. Petiole (= first tergite) 2 times as long as wide at spiracles (Figure 7e). Dorsal disc of petiole moderately rugose, especially in middle third, and with 7–8 long setae on the sides. Ovipositor sheath distinctly plough-share shaped (Figure 7f). Ovipositor sheath length/width ratio 2.7.

Color. Head brown, eyes black. Scapus and pedicel brown, F1 yellow, remainder of antenna brown. Mesonotum, propodeum, and legs brown. Wings hyaline with brown venation. Petiole and rest of metasoma brown, ovipositor sheaths light brown. Body length 2.6 mm.
Figure 7. *Monoctonus indiscretus* sp. n., female: (a) head; (b) flagellomeres 1–3 (F1–F3); (c) mesoscutum; (d) propodeum; (e) petiole; (f) ovipositor sheath; (g) fore wing.
3.2.2. Male

Head. Eyes oval, medium sized, sparsely setose. Malar space equal to 0.3 of longitudinal eye diameter. Tentorial index approx. 0.4. Clypeus oval with 9–10 setae. Maxillary palps with four palpomeres, labial palps with three palpomeres. Antenna damaged, flagellomeres 1–5 present; filiform, setae on flagellomeres semi-erect, subequal to segment diameter. Flagellomere 1 (F1) 2.6 times as long as wide, with 4 longitudinal placodes. F2 2.4 times as long as wide, with 4 longitudinal placodes. F3, F4, and F5 with 3–5, 3 and 4 longitudinal placodes, respectively. F1 length equal to F2.

Mesosoma. Mesoscutum without notaulices, scarcely setose. Head width/mesoscutum width ratio approx. 1. Propodeum areolated, with central pentagonal areola.

Fore wing. Wing length approx. 1.4 mm, width approx. 0.5 mm. Pterostigma narrow, approx. 6.2 times as long as wide and 2.6 times as long as distal abscissa of R1. Vein m-cu visible in first third, the rest visible but colorless. 2RS visible in first half. Veins r and 3RS distinct.

Metasoma. Petiole approx. 2.1 times as long as wide at spiracles. Dorsal disc of petiole moderately rugose, with 2–3 long setae on the sides.

Color. Head and antenna brown, mouthparts light brown. Mesonotum, propodeum and legs brown. Wings hyaline with brown venation. Petiole and rest of metasoma light brown. Body length 2.1 mm.

Host: Unknown

Distribution: Eastern Canada, United Kingdom, Norway

Etymology: The name of the new species, indiscretus, comes from Latin for indistinguishable, since it cannot easily be differentiated morphologically from related species *M. nervosus* and *M. paulensis*.

Holotype. Female. Canada, Prince Edward Island, Prince Edward Island National park, Woodland Trail/Long Point, 15 V 2013, coll. P. Ayles, Malaise trap. Holotype slide mounted and deposited in the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids and Nematodes, Ottawa (CNC). Paratypes: 1 female, Canada, Ontario, Cloyne, North Addington Education Centre, 8 V 2015, coll. Melissa Randle, Malaise trap; 1 male, Canada, Ontario, Mississauga, Lorne Park Public School, 2 X 2015, coll. P. Kossowski, Malaise trap. Paratypes slide mounted and deposited in the collection of Institute of Zoology, Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade (FBUB).

Descriptions of three potentially new species of Monoctonina are given in Appendix A.

4. Discussion

Species in the subtribe Monoctonina have generally been neglected in phylogenetic and taxonomic studies, due to their low economic importance and lack of use as biological control agents, as well as the mostly high montane distribution of their populations [1]. Phylogenetic studies of the subfamily Aphidiinae generally examined only a few Monoctonina species, or entirely excluded them from the analysis [4–8]. In this study, we used sequences of the barcode region of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I, a gene commonly employed in phylogenetic and taxonomic studies of the Aphidiinae wasps [9–11,24–27]. The second marker used in this study, the nuclear large subunit 28S rDNA, has been used in studies examining phylogenetic relationships within the Braconidae [4,26,28–31]. This gene is useful for inferring phylogenies above the species level, since it has a lower rate of evolution than the mitochondrial genes used for species delimitation. It can, in fact, be used to analyze evolutionary events in Paleozoic and Mesozoic, while some of its faster-evolving regions can reconstruct more recent events [32]. Analysis of the two molecular markers, combined with morphological data, helped to clarify relationships among genera and species of the subtribe Monoctonina. The genera *Falciconus* and *Monoctonia* are separated from the rest of the subtribe, while *Monoctonus* and *Harkeria* are closely related. Average genetic distances for both analyzed genes are high, implying that the subtribe is phylogenetically old (Tables 1–3).
There have been numerous studies showing a relationship between genetic distances based on mitochondrial genes and species ages. The most often cited study [33] suggests 2.3% divergence per 1 million years. However, this is often cited uncritically in studies employing only the COI gene, while the mentioned study only examined a partial sequence of COI, and the majority of the data was for cytochrome c oxidase subunit II [34,35]. Studies using only the COI gene show different results, ranging from <1% to >3% per 1 my, depending on the methods used for molecular clock calibration [35]. Calibrating based on habitat and analyzing Tetropes Dalman (Coleoptera, Cerambycidae), Farell [36] suggested a 1.5% divergence per 1 my, and similar results were obtained by Quek et al. [34], analyzing codiversification between Crematogaster Lund ants and Macaranga sp. The study by Machado et al. [37], analyzing phylogenetic relationships and biogeography of Agaonidae wasps (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea), reported a substitution rate of 1.9% per 1 my. By comparison, Papadopoulou et al. [35] reported 3.5% divergence per 1 my, based on biogeographical calibrations for island populations of Tenebrionidae. As with the study by Brower [33], these data should be compared with caution. Although the same gene was examined, the studies targeted different groups of organisms, habitats, and employed different calibration methods and substitution models, making it difficult to reach general conclusions. Furthermore, analyses employing a molecular clock approach, in the absence of fossil data and reliably determined time distances, often include a set of assumptions that can greatly influence the results and their interpretation [38–41]. However, if we compare COI distances obtained here with results from previous studies, it is probable that the separation of Monoctonina genera occurred sometime in Miocene, which is concordant with the fossil finding of Promonoctonia quievreuxi (Quillis 1940) from the Oligocene.

Genetic distances based on the 28S rDNA between Falciconus and the other genera are very high, comparable with those based on COI (Table 2). Based on the COI gene, F. pseudoplatani differs from almost all other species by over 15% (Table 1). Molecular results combined with morphological data, especially the ovipositor shape which differs from all other Monoctonina species [1], indicate that Falciconus is a separate clade of Monoctonina. In all phylogenetic trees, F. pseudoplatani has a basal position. Another important characteristic of this species that separates it from the rest of the tribe is its host range. While other members of Monoctonina parasitize aphids from the subfamilies Aphidinae (tribes Aphidini and Macrosiphini) and Eriosomatinae, F. pseudoplatani is a specialized parasitoid of Drepanosiphinae aphids on Acer spp. Haplotype analysis of F. pseudoplatani revealed high diversity with 10 haplotypes among 12 analyzed sequences (Figure 2d), separated geographically. Haplotypes H2, H5, H6 and H7 are recorded in Serbia, H3 and H4 in Czech Republic, H1 in Montenegro, H8 in Germany, while H9 and H10 are known from France. Since all specimens were reared from the same hosts, Drepanosiphum spp., it is evident that geographical factors play a role in the diversification of F. pseudoplatani populations. There is a growing number of studies showing a similar situation, highlighting the importance of inclusion of geographical aspects in population diversity studies [24,42–44].

The genus Monoctonia includes three species, all specialized parasitoids of gall forming aphids. A comprehensive revision of the genus is given by Rakhshani et al. [10]. The molecular data used in this study show that Monoctonia has a basal position within the subtribe (Figures 4–6). This is also supported by some of the plesiomorphic morphological characters, such as a subquadrate petiole, triangular pterostigma and short and thick flagellomeres [10]. Furthermore, the aphid hosts parasitized by Monoctonia species are considered basal within Aphididae [45,46].

Most morphological characters of H. angustivalva are shared with Monoctonus, except for the ovipositor shape, which is more similar to Falciconus [1,3]. Another character used for the distinction of Harkeria from Monoctonus is the absence of the propodeal areola in Harkeria, although M. hispanicus, known only from type material, shares this character state with H. angustivalva [47]. The 28S rDNA results indicate a close relatedness between H. angustivalva and species of Monoctonus, with distances similar to interspecific distances within Monoctonus (Tables 2 and 3). Based on COI, H. angustivalva is closest to the group containing M. leclanti, M. allisoni, M. parvipalpus, M. luteus, and M. indiscretes.
Monoctonus leclanti was the first species of Monoctonina with a narrower ovipositor sheath to be described, and as such was considered transitional between the two genera [3,48]. Other species (M. allisoni, M. luteus) have an even narrower ovipositor sheath [1]. Although still high, genetic distances for COI between H. angustivalva and Monoctonus species are not significantly higher than Monoctonus interspecific distances. Based on morphological and molecular analyses, as well as aphid hosts that are shared with M. crepidis and M. hispanicus (Nasonovia spp.), H. angustivalva should probably be placed within Monoctonus, in the group of species with narrow ovipositor sheaths. It should be noted that Harkeria contains another species, H. rufa Cameron 1900, known from the UK (holotype), Finland, and USA. Unfortunately, specimens of H. rufa were not available for analysis.

The genus Monoctonus includes most species of Monoctonina. Genetic distances based on the COI gene are very high (Table 1). Until recently, an average distance higher than 2–3% was considered to be enough for the separation of species within the Aphidiinae [11,25]. Recent studies show that a high genetic distance based on COI in Aphidiinae is not uncommon [24,27], and that the divergence rate probably depends on the age of the analyzed group.

The recently described M. canadensis is positioned at the base of the combined phylogenetic tree (Figure 6), although with statistical support of 63%. Interestingly, this species has the lowest distance based on COI with F. pseudoplatani (13%, Table 1). However, morphological characters undoubtedly place it in the genus Monoctonus, specifically in the nervosus group s.l. [1]. Further research is needed to determine the host range of this species, to better understand its position within the subtribe.

M. paulensis is only known from the Palaearctic and M. paulensis from the Nearctic, sequences of M. indiscretus sp. n. were recovered from specimens collected in Canada, United Kingdom and Norway. Even though it
cannot be easily distinguished morphologically, the high genetic distance from known taxa warrants its recognition as a new species. With the development of DNA sequencing technologies in recent years, gene sequences are becoming an important tool in taxonomic studies. An increasing number of studies have shown the importance of including DNA barcode sequences in species descriptions, whether as the sole diagnostic character when morphological differences are not apparent, or as an additional character coupled with morphological analysis, highlighting the importance of an integrative approach in taxonomy [51–53]. Cross-breeding experiments could give a definite answer about the status of those three related species.

*Monoctonus brachyradius* and *M. inexpectatus* are members of the *nervosus* group s.l., differing from *M. nervosus* by a shorter R1 vein, and in the case of *M. inexpectatus*, by labial palps with two palpomeres [1]. Both group with *M. nervosus* and its closely related species in the constructed phylogenetic trees, and show slightly lower genetic distances based on COI—*M. inexpectatus* differs from *M. nervosus* by 7% (6.5% from *M. paulensis*), while in the case of *M. brachyradius* the distance is 9.4% (9.5%) (Table 1). The two species have so far only been recorded from North America, as *M. paulensis*. Since Monoctonina is considered an old group within Aphidiinae, it is probable that these North American species separated early in the evolution of the genus and had enough time to accumulate current levels of interspecific differences. All *M. brachyradius* specimens were collected in eastern Canada, with nine of ten specimens from Quarry Island (ON), a boreal forest habitat. Among these specimens, five COI haplotypes were recovered (Figure 2c), making it likely that further diversity would be detected with more specimens. The habitat in which the specimens were found matches the general habitat requirements for *Monoctonina*, which are usually found in forest habitats and on high mountains [1].

Another clade on phylogenetic trees includes *M. caricis, M. washingtonensis, and Monoctonus* sp. n. 3, species whose labial palps have two palpomeres. *Monoctonus caricis* and *M. washingtonensis* also share aphid hosts, both parasitizing aphids common on grasses and cereals. For example, both species are parasitoids of *Rhopalosiphum padi; M. caricis* has been found on *Hyalopteroides humilis* (Walker) and *Sitobion fragariae* [2], while *M. washingtonensis* has been recorded from *Diuraphis noxia* (Kurdjumov) [54]. The aphid host and female specimens of *Monoctonus* sp. n. 3 are unknown. Genetic distances based on COI between the three species are lower than average for the subtribe (Table 1). *Monoctonus caricis* occurs in the western Holarctic [2,55], while the other two species are so far only known from North America [54]. Starý [55,56] noted that *M. caricis* probably has a European origin, and that it likely colonized to North America from Iceland, where it is often recorded. Haplotype diversity within *M. caricis* is very low, as it is represented by only three haplotypes (Figure 2b). Because 45 of the 47 specimens collected in Canada, France, Germany and Norway share the same haplotype (H2), North American populations of *M. caricis* may well represent a recent human-mediated range extension of a species formerly restricted to Europe. The other two specimens belong to haplotypes H1 and H3, and were collected in Germany and Canada, respectively. It seems unlikely that *M. washingtonensis* and *Monoctonus* sp. n. 3 occur in Europe as there have been numerous studies of Aphidiinae associated with cereals in Europe [50,57–61]. It is more difficult to rule out the possibility that all three species have a North American origin. *Monoctonus washingtonensis* exhibits very high intraspecific diversity, with 17 haplotypes among 74 sequences (Figure 1a). Two haplotypes comprise >50% of the total (H7—19 specimens, H8—20 specimens), while others are represented with fewer specimens (H2—11 specimens, H12—8, H13—3, H1—2, remaining haplotypes—1). The two dominant haplotypes are geographically separated—H7 was encountered in several populations in western Canada, while H8 was found in one population in eastern Canada. Haplotypes H2, H3, H4, H5, H11, H12, and H17 were found in eastern populations, while H1, H6, H9, H10, H13, H14, H15, and H16 were only recorded in the west of Canada. The structuring of haplotypes shows a clear geographic differentiation, and it is probable that all haplotypes are derived from the two most frequent ones, H7 and H8.

The second large clade comprises the remaining *Monoctonus* species (Figures 4 and 6) and *H. angustivalva*. *Monoctonus allisoni* and *Monoctonus* sp. n. 1 have a low genetic distance based on
the COI gene (3.5%), compared to much higher average distance for the whole subtribe (Table 1). Both have only been recorded in North America [62], so a Nearctic origin can be assumed. However, female specimens of Monoctonus sp. n. 1 and host data should be included in the analysis for more concrete conclusions. Monoctonus luteus and M. parvipalpus are also reported only from the Nearctic, again without host data [1], and exhibit somewhat lower genetic distances between themselves and M. allisoni (Table 1). Species from this group, M. luteus, M. parvipalpus, M. allisoni and M. leclanti as a sister species, possess a more narrow ovipositor sheath. The first one to be described, M. leclanti, has already been proposed as a transition species between Harkeria and Monoctonus [48], and the discovery of new species with this character state supports this conclusion.

Two Monoctonus species form separate branches on the phylogenetic trees, and are positioned as sister species to different groups, depending on the data employed for tree construction. Monoctonus crepidis and M. cerasi join the group with narrower ovipositor sheaths in the tree based on COI sequences (Figure 4), while they group with the nervosus group in the tree based on molecular and morphological data (Figure 6). Monoctonus crepidis is a relatively common parasitoid of Nasonovia spp. aphids on Hieracium spp. and related plants in deciduous forests. It often occurs in mixed populations with H. angustivalva, where it is usually dominant [63]. Although it is abundant, this species exhibits very low haplotype diversity, with only three haplotypes recorded in this study (Figure 2a). It appears this species represents a separate evolutionary line within the subtribe, based on its very high genetic distance from other members (Table 1) and certain morphological characters, such as 13-segmented antennae and a distinctly wide pentagonal areola on the propodeum [1].

Monoctonus ligustri and M. mali were described from specimens previously identified as M. cerasi [2]. A recent study [1] showed that the two species are morphologically indistinguishable and that the examined specimens morphologically correspond to M. cerasi. In this study, molecular analyses of the two species showed identical sequences for both molecular markers and haplotype distribution independent of aphid hosts (Figure 3). Based on these results, M. ligustri and M. mali are designated as junior synonyms of M. cerasi.

Genetic distances for COI between members of the Monoctonina subtribe are very high (Table 1), much higher than what is considered enough for the separation of species [11,25], suggesting that the group is an old one within the subfamily Aphidinae. While evolutionary younger genera, such as Aphidius Nees 1818 and Lysiphlebus Förster 1862, show considerably lower interspecific distances at COI [11,25,26], recent studies show high interspecific distances within older genera, such as Ephedrus Haliday 1833 [27], and within groups closely related to the Monoctonina, such as the genera Binodoxys and Trioxys [24]. These results show that species cannot be delimited based on a fixed value determined for a subfamily. Instead, when analyzing potentially different species, it is best to use an integrative approach, including evolutionary, biological and ecological specificities of analyzed genera, subtribes, or tribes.

This study has revealed some cases where morphological and molecular analyses provide differing perspectives, a situation that is commonly encountered in the Aphidiinae [26,64–66]. Prior research on the Aphidiinae wasps has revealed some cases of discordance between patterns of diversification in the barcode region and morphological/ecological traits. For example, molecular data does not support separation of different phenotypes into species in the fabarum group of Lysiphlebus, which has sexual and asexual populations, even though those phenotypes have clear morphological and ecological differences [26,66,67]. Moreover, the COI distances between L. testaceipes (Cresson 1880) and L. fritzmuelleri Mackauer 1960 are similar to intraspecific distances for L. testaceipes, even though these two species have differing morphology, ecology and geographical origins [26]. Tomanović et al. [65] did not find a clear correlation between morphological and molecular data when they analyzed fore wing shape and the COI gene in three closely related species of Aphidius. Work on the Aphidius urticae s.s. group revealed its separation into three distinct phylogenetic lines (A. urticae Haliday 1834, A. rubi Stary 1962 and A. silvaticus Starý 1962), but morphological differences were not apparent [68]. Another example of molecular data not being informative involved A. microlophi Pennacchio and Tremblay 1987 and A. ervi...
Haliophius microlophii has only been reported from two aphid hosts, Microlophium carnosum (Buckton) and Wahlgreniella ossianilssoni Hille Ris Lambers [69,70], while A. ervi parasitizes numerous aphids, but not the hosts of A. microlophii. While it has been established that the two species are separate [71], their COI sequences are identical [9]. These differences between morphological and molecular results in Aphidiinae merit further investigation. It is possible that the analysis employing only two molecular markers may not be sensitive enough to detect differences in traits that are probably determined by a bigger number of genes, such as fore wing shape [72,73]. Within Monoctonina, exceptions can be found in groups consisting of M. nervosus, M. paulensis, M. inexpectatus, and M. brachyradius on one hand, and M. allisoni, M. luteus, M. parvipalpus, M. leclanti, and H. angustivalva on the other. These groups show general concordance between morphological and molecular results. However, the overlap between molecular and morphological results suggests that it would be best to employ additional molecular markers and host data for these species to reach more definite conclusions. Future molecular work concerning Aphidiinae should focus on developing a multi-locus genotyping approach by targeting the most informative genes and regions, to gain a clearer insight into evolutionary history and phylogenetic relationships.

5. Conclusions

The subtribe Monoctonina represents an old group within the subfamily Aphidiinae, based on both the deep genetic distances among its members and some morphological characters. Genetic distances between Monoctonina species are higher than what was, until recently, considered a species boundary within Aphidiinae. The genera Falciconus and Monoctonia are basal within the subtribe, showing greater genetic distances than average for the subtribe, some plesiomorphic morphological characters and different aphid host spectrum than Monoctonus and Harkeria. The current results, both molecular and morphological, indicate that H. angustivalva should be placed in Monoctonus. Monoctonus, comprising most species of Monoctonina, can be roughly separated into three clades based on analyzed molecular markers, although morphological differences do not follow this separation in all cases. Most examined species show a high level of intraspecific diversity based on the COI gene.

A new species (M. indiscretus sp. n.) is described based on molecular data, but it is currently almost indistinguishable morphologically from related species. Three potentially new species are reported, and detailed descriptions can be made when female specimens become available. Based on morphological and molecular data, M. ligustri and M. mali are given the status of junior synonyms of M. cerasi, despite differences in their biology.
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Appendix A

The following material represents potentially new species of Monoctonina, based on genetic distances of COI gene sequences. However, since only male specimens are available for analysis, we refrain from describing new species at this time, and give relevant morphological measurements which could facilitate future formal descriptions when female specimens are found.

*Monoctonus* sp. n. 1

Material examined: Canada, Alberta, Jasper National Park, 27 VI 2012, 1 male. BOLD specimen ID: CNJAC589-12.

**Male**

*Head.* Eyes oval, medium sized, sparsely setose. Malar space equal to approx. 0.2 of longitudinal eye diameter. Tentorial index approx. 0.4. Clypeus oval with 9 setae. Maxillary palps with four palpomeres, labial palps with three palpomeres. Antenna with 18 antennomeres, filiform, setae on flagellomeres semi-erect, subequal to half of segment diameter. F1 approx. 3.7 times as long as wide, with 3 longitudinal placodes. F2 approx. 2.7 times as long as wide, with 3–5 longitudinal placodes. F3, F4 and F5 with 4, 4–6, and 4–5 longitudinal placodes, respectively. F1 1.2 times longer than F2.

*Mesosoma.* Mesoscutum without notaulices, with sparsely setose dorsal surface. Head width/mesoscutum width ratio approx. 1.3. Propodeum areolated, with narrow central pentagonal areola and irregular oblique carinae.

*Fore wing.* Wing length approx. 2 mm, width approx. 0.8 mm. Pterostigma narrow, 6 times as long as wide and 4.1 times as long as distal abscissa of R1. Vein m-cu visible and colorless in first half, vein 2RS not visible. Veins r and 3RS distinct.

*Metasoma.* Damaged.

*Color.* Head and antenna brown, mouthparts light brown. Mesonotum, propodeum and legs brown. Wings hyaline with brown venation. Petiole light brown, rest of metasoma brown. Body length 2.3 mm.

*Host:* unknown.

*Distribution:* Western Canada, USA (Alaska).

*Monoctonus* sp. n. 2

Material examined: Canada, Alberta, Banff National Park, Baker Creek picnic area, 20 VI 2012, 1 male. BOLD specimen ID: SSBAB025-12.

**Male**

*Head.* Eyes oval, medium sized, sparsely setose. Malar space equal to approx. 0.2 of longitudinal eye diameter. Tentorial index approx. 0.5. Clypeus oval with 7 setae. Maxillary palps with three palpomeres, labial palps with three palpomeres. Antenna with 16 antennomeres, filiform, setae on flagellomeres semi-erect, subequal to half of segment diameter. F1 approx. 1.6 times as long as wide, with 6 longitudinal placodes. F2 approx. 1.6 times as long as wide, with 5–6 longitudinal placodes. F3, F4, and F5 with 5–6, 6–7, and 5–6 longitudinal placodes, respectively. F1 length equal to F2.

*Mesosoma.* Mesoscutum without notaulices, with smooth dorsal surface. Head width/mesoscutum width ratio approx. 1.2. Propodeum areolated, with narrow central pentagonal areola and irregular oblique and postmedian carinae.

*Fore wing.* Wing length approx. 1.9 mm, width approx. 0.8 mm. Pterostigma narrow, 6.8 times as long as wide and approx. 3 times as long as distal abscissa of R1. Vein m-cu and 2RS not visible. Veins r and 3RS distinct.

*Metasoma.* Petiole 1.4 times as long as wide at spiracles. Spiracular tubercles prominent. Dorsal disc of petiole moderately rugose in basal third, with 6–7 long setae on the sides.
**Colour.** Head, antenna and mouthparts brown. Mesonotum, propodeum and legs brown. Wings hyaline with brown venation. Petiole light brown, rest of metasoma brown. Body length 2 mm.

**Host:** unknown.

**Distribution:** Western Canada.

### Monoctonus sp. n. 3

Material examined: Canada, Yukon Territory, Kluane National Park and Reserve, 15 VII 2014, 1 male. BOLD specimen ID: SSKUB5220-15; 24 VII 2014, 1 male. BOLD specimen ID: SSKUB1168-15.

**Male**

**Head.** Eyes oval, medium sized, sparsely setose. Malar space equal to approx. 0.2 of longitudinal eye diameter. Tentorial index approx. 0.4. Clypeus oval with 12 setae. Maxillary palps with four palpomeres, labial palps with two palpomeres. Antenna with 17–18 antennomeres, filiform, setae on flagellomeres semi-erect, subequal to half of segment diameter. F1 approx. 3.1 times as long as wide, with 6–7 longitudinal placodes. F2 approx. 2.7 times as long as wide, with 6–7 longitudinal placodes. F3, F4 and F5 with 7–8, 7–8, and 5–6 longitudinal placodes, respectively. F1 length equal to F2.

**Mesosoma.** Mesoscutum without notaulices, with smooth dorsal surface. Head width/mesoscutum width ratio approx. 1. Propodeum areolated, with narrow central pentagonal areola.

**Fore wing.** Wing length approx. 2 mm, width approx. 0.8 mm. Pterostigma narrow, 7.3 times as long as wide and 2.8 times as long as distal abscissa of R1. Vein m-cu visible and colorless, vein 2RS visible in first half. Veins r and 3RS distinct.

**Metasoma.** Petiole approx. 2.1 times as long as wide at spiracles. Spiracular tubercles prominent. Dorsal disc of petiole moderately rugose in middle third, with 5–6 long setae on the sides.

**Colour.** Head and antenna brown, mouthparts light brown. Mesonotum brown, propodeum and legs light brown. Wings hyaline with brown venation. Petiole light brown, rest of metasoma brown. Body length 2.4 mm.

**Host:** unknown.

**Distribution:** Western Canada.

### References

1. Čkrkić, J.; Petrović, A.; Kocić, K.; Kavallieratos, N.G.; Hebert, P.D.N.; Tomanović, Ž. Review of the world Monoctonina Mackauer 1961 (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Aphidiinae): Key for their identification and descriptions of five new species. *Zootaxa* 2019, 4691, 359–385. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Van Achterberg, C. Revision of the subtribe Monoctonina Mackauer sensu stricto (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *Zool. Meded.* 1989, 63, 1–22.
3. Tomanović, Ž.; Petrović, O.; Starý, P.; Kavallieratos, N.G. *Monoctonus* Haliday, *Falliconus* Mackauer and *Harkeria* Cameron (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Aphidiinae) in Serbia and Montenegro: Tritrophic associations and key. *Acta Entomol. Serbica* 2007, 12, 45–54.
4. Belshaw, R.; Quicke, D.L.J. A molecular phylogeny of the Aphidiinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Mol. Phylogen. Evol.* 1997, 7, 281–293. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Smith, P.T.; Kambhampati, S.; Volkl, W.; Mackauer, M. A phylogeny of aphid parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae) inferred from mitochondrial NADH1 dehydrogenase gene sequence. *Mol. Phylogen. Evol.* 1999, 11, 236–245. [CrossRef]
6. Sanchis, A.; Latorre, A.; González-Candelas, F.; Michelena, J.M. An 18S rDNA-based molecular phylogeny of Aphidiinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Mol. Phylogen. Evol.* 2000, 14, 180–194. [CrossRef]
7. Shi, M.; Chen, X. Molecular phylogeny of the Aphidiinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) based on DNA sequences of 16S rRNA, 18S rDNA and ATPase 6 genes. *Eur. J. Entomol.* 2005, 102, 133–138. [CrossRef]
8. Kambhampati, S.; Völkl, W.; Mackauer, M. Phylogenetic relationships among genera of Aphidiinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) based on DNA sequence of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene. *Syst. Entomol.* 2000, 25, 437–445. [CrossRef]
28. Dowton, M.; Austin, A.D. Phylogenetic relationships among the Microgastrid wasps (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): Combined analysis of 16S and 28S rDNA genes and morphological data. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 1998, 10, 354–366. [CrossRef]

29. Sharanowski, B.J.; Dowling, A.P.G.; Sharkey, M.J. Molecular phylogenetics of Braconidae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonoidea), based on multiple nuclear genes, and implications for classification. *Syst. Entomol.* 2011, 36, 549–572. [CrossRef]

30. Mitrović-Bogdanović, A.; Petrović, A.; Mitrović, M.; Ivanović, A.; Žišić, V.; Starý, P.; Vorburger, C.; Tomanović, Ž. Identification of two cryptic species within the *Praon abjectum* group (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae) using molecular markers and geometric morphometrics. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 2013, 106, 170–180. [CrossRef]

31. Milošević, M.I.; Petrović, A.; Stanković, S.S.; Čkrkić, J.; Starý, P.; Žišić, V.; Tomanović, Ž. Taxonomic position and phylogenetic relationships of the genera and species *Euaphidius* and *Remaudierea* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae) analyzed using molecular markers and geometric morphometrics. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 2015, 108, 435–445. [CrossRef]

32. Hillis, D.M.; Dixon, M.T. Ribosomal DNA -molecular evolution and phylogenetic inference. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 1991, 66, 411–453. [CrossRef]

33. Brower, A.V.Z. Rapid morphological radiation and convergence among races of the butterfly *Heliconius erato* inferred from patterns of mitochondrial DNA evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1994, 91, 6491–6495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Quek, S.-P.; Davies, S.J.; Itino, T.; Pierce, N.E. Codiversification in an ant-plant mutualism: Stem texture and the evolution of host use in *Crematogaster* (Formicidae: Myrmicinae) inhabitants of *Macaranga* (Euphorbiaceae). *Evolution (N. Y.)* 2004, 58, 554–570. [CrossRef]

35. Papadopoulou, A.; Anastasiou, I.; Vogler, A.P. Revisiting the insect mitochondrial molecular clock: The mid-aegean trench calibration. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2010, 27, 1659–1672. [CrossRef][PubMed]

36. Farrell, B.D. Evolutionary assembly of the milkweed fauna: Cytochrome oxidase I and the age of *Tetraopes* beetles. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 2001, 18, 467–478. [CrossRef][PubMed]

37. Machado, C.A.; Jousselin, E.; Kjellberg, F.; Compton, S.G.; Herre, E.A. Phylogenetic relationships, historical biogeography and character evolution of fig-pollinating wasps. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2014, 281, 20132770. [CrossRef][PubMed]

38. Castro, L.R.; Austin, A.D.; Dowton, M. Contrasting rates of mitochondrial molecular evolution in parasitic Diptera and Hymenoptera. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2002, 19, 1100–1113. [CrossRef][PubMed]

39. Hipsley, C.A.; Müller, J. Beyond fossil calibrations: Realities of molecular clock practices in evolutionary biology. *Front. Genet.* 2014, 5, 1–11. [CrossRef]

40. Ho, S.Y.W.; Tong, K.J.; Foster, C.S.P.; Ritchie, A.M.; Lo, N.; Crisp, M.D. Biogeographic calibrations for the molecular clock. *Biol. Lett.* 2015, 11, 20150194. [CrossRef]

41. Bromham, L.; Duchêne, S.; Hua, X.; Ritchie, A.M.; Duchêne, D.A.; Ho, S.Y.W. Bayesian molecular dating: Opening up the black box. *Biol. Rev.* 2018, 93, 1165–1191. [CrossRef]

42. Baer, C.F.; Tripp, D.W.; Bjorksten, T.A.; Antolin, M.F. Phylogeography of a parasitoid wasp (Diaeretiella arbusculae): No evidence of host-associated lineages. *Mol. Ecol.* 2004, 13, 1859–1869. [CrossRef]

43. Roderick, G.K.; Lozier, J.D.; Mills, N.J. Molecular markers reveal strong geographic, but not host associated, genetic differentiation in *Aphidius transcapiscus*, a parasitoid of the aphid genus *Hyalopterus*. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 2009, 99, 83–96. [CrossRef]

44. Mitrović, M.; Petrović, A.; Kavallieratos, N.G.; Starý, P.; Petrović-Obradović, O.; Tomanović, Ž.; Vorburger, C. Geographic structure with no evidence for host-associated lineages in European populations of *Lysiphlebus testaceipes*, an introduced biological control agent. *Biol. Control* 2013, 66, 150–158. [CrossRef]

45. Heie, O.E. Paleontology and phylogeny. In *Aphids: Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control*; Minks, A., Harrewijn, P., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1987; Volume 2A, pp. 367–391.

46. Novakova, E.; Hyšpa, V.; Klein, J.; Footit, R.G.; Von Dolen, C.D.; Moran, N.A. Reconstructing the phylogeny of aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae) using DNA of the obligate symbiont *Buchnera aphidicola*. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 2013, 68, 42–54. [CrossRef][PubMed]

47. Tizado, E.J. *Monoclonus hispanicus* sp. n. (Hymenoptera, Braconidae: Aphidiinae), a parasitoid of *Nasonovia* (Neokakimia). *An. Biol.* 1992, 18, 53–57.
43. Tomanović, Ž.; Starý, P.; Petrović-Obradović, O. *Monoctonus leclanti* sp. n. (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *Entomol. Fenn.* 2002, 13, 159–162. [CrossRef]

44. Petrović, A.; Kočić, K.; Kos, K.; Plečaš, M.; Žikić, V.; Kavallieratos, N.G.; Tomanović, Ž. High genetic diversity and a new cryptic species within the *Ephedrus persicae* species group (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *Biologia* 2016, 71, 1386–1394. [CrossRef]

45. Kos, K.; Petrović, A.; Starý, P.; Kavallieratos, N.G.; Ivanović, A.; Tosevski, I.; Jakše, J.; Trdan, S.; Tomanović, Ž. On the identity of cereal aphid parasitoid wasps *Aphidius uzbekistanicus*, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*, and *Aphidius avenaphis* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae) by examination of COI mitochondrial gene, geometric morphometrics, and morphology. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 2011, 104, 1221–1232. [CrossRef]

46. Areekul, B.; Smith, M.A.; Sharkey, M.J.; Quicke, D.L.J. A turbo-taxonomic study of Thai Aleiodes (Aleiodes) and Aleiodes (Arcalioidea) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Rogadinae) based largely on COI barcoded specimens, with rapid descriptions of 179 new species. *Zootaxa* 2012, 3457, 1–232.

47. Cook, L.G.; Edwards, R.D.; Crisp, M.D.; Hardy, N.B. Need morphology always be required for new species descriptions? *Invertebr. Syst.* 2010, 24, 322–326. [CrossRef]

48. Meierotto, S.; Sharkey, M.J.; Janzen, D.H.; Hallwachs, W.; Hebert, P.D.N.; Chapman, E.G.; Smith, M.A. A revolutionary protocol to describe understudied hyperdiverse taxa and overcome the taxonomic impediment. *Disch. Entomol. Z.* 2019, 66, 119–145. [CrossRef]

49. Pike, K.S.; Starý, P. New species of parasitic wasps attacking cereal aphids in the Pacific Northwest (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *J. Kans. Entomol. Soc.* 1995, 68, 408–414.

50. Starý, P. Host range and distribution of *Monoctonus nervosus* (Hal.) (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). *Z. Für Angew. Entomol.* 1974, 75, 212–224. [CrossRef]

51. Starý, P. *Biology of Aphid Parasites (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae) with Respect to Integrated Control*; Junk, W., Ed.; Springer: The Hague, The Netherlands, 1970.

52. Starý, P. Biosystematic synopsis of parasitoids on cereal aphids in the western Paleartic (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae: Homoptera: Aphidiidae). *Acta Entomol. Bohemoslov.* 1981, 78, 382–396.

53. Powell, W. The identification of hymenopterous parasitoids attacking cereal aphids in Britain. *Syst. Entomol.* 1982, 7, 465–473. [CrossRef]

54. Sigsgaard, L. A survey of aphids and aphid parasitoids in cereal fields in Denmark, and the parasitoids’ role in biological control. *J. Appl. Entomol.* 2002, 126, 101–107. [CrossRef]

55. Kavallieratos, N.G.; Tomanović, Ž.; Athanassiou, C.G.; Starý, P.; Žikić, V.; Sarlis, G.P.; Fasseas, C. Aphid parasitoids infesting cotton, citrus, tobacco, and cereal crops in southeastern Europe: Aphid-plant associations and keys. *Can. Entomol.* 2005, 137, 516–531. [CrossRef]

56. Traugott, M.; Bell, J.R.; Broad, G.R.; Powell, W.; Van Veen, F.J.F.; Vollhardt, I.M.G.; Symondson, W.O.C. Endoparasitism in cereal aphids: Molecular analysis of a whole parasitoid community. *Mol. Ecol.* 2008, 17, 3928–3938. [CrossRef]

57. Pike, K.S.; Starý, P.; Graf, G. Description of a new species of Braconidae, *Monoctonus allisoni* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae), and discussion of the aphid-parasitoid guild for *Nasonovia* spp. (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in Northwestern United States. *Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash.* 2003, 105, 380–387.

58. Starý, P. A revision of the European species of the genus *Monoctonus* Haliday (Hymenoptera, Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *Acta Soc. Entomol. Čechosloveniae* 1959, 56, 237–250.

59. Sandrock, C.; Schirrmeister, B.E.; Vorbürger, C. Evolution of reproductive mode variation and host associations in a sexual-asexual complex of aphid parasitoids. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 2011, 11, 348. [CrossRef]

60. Tomanović, Ž.; Kos, K.; Petrović, A.; Starý, P.; Kavallieratos, N.G.; Žikić, V.; Jakše, J.; Trdan, S.; Ivanović, A. The relationship between molecular variation and variation in the wing shape of three aphid parasitoid species: *Aphidius uzbekistanicus* Luzhetski, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* De Stefani Perez and *Aphidius avenaphis* (Fitch) (Hymenoptera: Bra. *Zool. Anz.* 2013, 252, 41–47. [CrossRef]

61. Petrović, A.; Mitrović, M.; Ivanović, A.; Žikić, V.; Kavallieratos, N.G.; Starý, P.; Bogdanović, A.M.; Tomanović, Ž.; Vorbürger, C. Genetic and morphological variation in sexual and asexual parasitoids of the genus *Lysiphlebus*—An apparent link between wing shape and reproductive mode. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 2015, 15, 1–12. [CrossRef]
67. Starý, P.; Kavallieratos, N.G.; Petrović, A.; Žikić, V.; Rakhshani, E.; Tomanović, S.; Tomanović, Ž.; Havelka, J. Interference of field evidence, morphology, and DNA analyses of three related Lysiphlebus aphid parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *J. Insect Sci.* 2014, 14, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Jamhour, A.; Mitrović, M.; Petrović, A.; Starý, P.; Tomanović, Ž. Re-visiting the *Aphidius urticae* s. str. group: Re-description of *Aphidius rubi* Starý and *A. silvaticus* Starý (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *Zootaxa* 2016, 4178, 278–288. [CrossRef]

69. Pennacchio, F.; Tremblay, E. Biosystematic and morphological study of two *Aphidius ervi* Haliday (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) “biotypes” with the description of a new species. *Boll. Lab. Entomol. Agrar. Filippo Silvestri* 1986, 43, 105–117.

70. Petrović, A.; Tomanović, Ž.; Žikić, V. *Wahlgreniella ossiannilssoni* Hille Ris Lambers, a new host for *Aphidius microlophii* Pennacchio and Tremblay (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Aphidiinae). *Arch. Biol. Sci.* 2006, 58, 41–42. [CrossRef]

71. Tremblay, E.; Pennacchio, F. Speciation in Aphidine Hymenoptera (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). In *Advances in Parasitic Hymenoptera Research*; EJ Brill: Leiden, The Netherlands, 1988; pp. 139–146.

72. Zimmermann, E.; Palsson, A.; Gibson, G. Quantitative trait loci affecting components of wing shape in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 2000, 155, 671–683.

73. Mezey, J.G.; Houle, D.; Nuzhdin, S. Naturally segregating QTL for *Drosophila* wing shape. *Genetics* 2005, 169, 2101–2113. [CrossRef]

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).