The fungus “Fuling” has been used in Chinese traditional medicine for more than 2000 years, and its sclerotia have a wide range of biological activities including antitumour, immunomodulation, anti-inflammation, antioxidation, anti-aging etc. This prized medicinal mushroom also known as “Hoelen” is resurrected from a piece of pre-Linnean scientific literature. Fries treated it as Pachyma hoelen Fr. and mentioned that it was cultivated on pine trees in China. However, this name had been almost forgotten, and Poria cocos (syn. Wolfiporia cocos), originally described from North America, and known as “Tuckahoe” has been applied to “Fuling” in most publications. Although Merrill mentioned a 100 years ago that Asian Pachyma hoelen and North American P. cocos are similar but different, no comprehensive taxonomical studies have been carried out on the East Asian Pachyma hoelen and its related species. Based on phylogenetic analyses and morphological examination on both the sclerotia and the basidiocarps which are very seldomly developed, the East Asian samples of Pachyma hoelen including sclerotia, commercial strains for cultivation and fruiting bodies, nested in a strongly supported, homogeneous lineage which clearly separated from the lineages of North American Wolfiporia cocos and other species. So we confirm that the widely cultivated “Fuling” Pachyma hoelen in East Asia is not conspecific with the North American Wolfiporia cocos. Based on the changes in Art. 59 of the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants, the generic name Pachyma, which was sanctioned by Fries, has nomenclatural priority (ICN, Art. F.3.1), and this name well represents the economically important stage of the generic type. So we propose to use Pachyma rather than Wolfiporia, and subsequently Pachyma hoelen and Pachyma cocos are the valid names for “Fuling” in East Asia and “Tuckahoe” in North America, respectively. In addition, a new combination, Pachyma pseudococos, is proposed. Furthermore, it seems that Pachyma cocos is a species complex, and that three species exist in North America.
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INTRODUCTION

In the subkingdom Dikarya, many fungi can produce dense aggregations called sclerotia to survive challenging environmental conditions and to provide reserves for fungi to germinate (Coley-Smith and Cooke, 1971; Willets and Bullock, 1992; Smith et al., 2015). Sclerotia, as persistent fungal structures commonly contain biologically active secondary metabolites, are used as a functional food (Wong and Cheung, 2009; Lau and Abdullah, 2016). Large subterranean sclerotia of different mushroom species are traditionally consumed by indigenous people around the world (Oso, 1977; Aguiar and Sousa, 1981; Bandara et al., 2015; Lau et al., 2015). In North America, the hypogeous sclerotia of a mushroom species, known as “Tuckahoe” or “Indian bread,” are utilized as a traditional food by native Americans (Gore, 1881; Weber, 1929). The first valid scientific description of this fungal sclerotia was given by Schweinitz (1822), who named it Sclerotium cocos Schwein. This name was accepted by Fries (1822), when he proposed the genus Pachyma Fr. Subsequently, the name Pachyma cocos (Schwein.) Fr. became the most popular binomial of the Tuckahoe mushroom (e.g., Currey and Hanbury, 1860; Gore, 1881; Prilleaux, 1889; Elliot, 1922). However, the sexual stage of P. cocos had remained unknown for a 100 years, until its whitish resupinate poroid fruiting body was discovered by Wolf (1922). At that time, the generic name Poria Pers. was widely used for all light-colored and resupinate polypores (Murrill, 1920, 1923), thus the sexual stage was named as Poria cocos (Schwein.) F. A. Wolf by Wolf (1922). The classification of Poria cocos was revised by Johansen and Ryvarden (1979), who transferred this species to their new genus Macrohyporia I. Johans. & Ryvarden, typified by M. dictyopora (Cooke) I. Johans. & Ryvarden. Later, Ryvarden and Gilbertson (1984) established the genus Wolfiporia Ryvarden & Gilb. typified by Poria cocos, based on its different spore morphology similar to M. dictyopora. However, Ginns and Lowe (1983) placed Poria cocos in synonymy with the earlier teleomorphic name Daedalea extensa Peck, and transferred this species to Macrohyporia. Subsequently, Ginns (1984) accepted the generic revision of Ryvarden and Gilbertson (1984) and corrected the name of the species by publishing the binomial, Wolfiporia extensa (Peck) Ginns. Nevertheless, because of the research and the preference of the traditional medical community to continue using the familiar name “cocos,” Redhead and Ginns (2006) proposed to conserve the name Poria cocos (syn. Wolfiporia cocos) over Daedalea extensa (syn. Wolfiporia extensa). Finally, the conservation of Poria cocos was recommended by the Nomenclature Committee for Fungi (Norrvell, 2008).

The name Poria cocos is also commonly applied to a fungal sclerotium, known as “Fuling” in China, which has been used in Chinese traditional medicine for more than 2000 years for relieving coughs, inducing diuresis, alleviating anxiety, relieving fever, antitumor; adjustment of intestinal bacterial flora, antihyperlipidemic activity, antioxidant, anti-hepatitis B virus, anti-inflammation, anti-metastasis, anti-tyrosinase, hypoglycemic activity, improvement of cardiac function, improvement of learning and memory abilities, improvement of liver fibrosis, prevention of diabetic nephropathy, and sedative and hypnotic activities (Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2019). Pharmacological studies have confirmed these properties (e.g., Sun, 2014; Zhang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). The edible sclerotia of “Fuling” is widely cultivated in China (Wang et al., 2013), and the products are exported to more than 40 countries (Chi et al., 2018). This prized medicinal mushroom is also known as “Hoelen” (e.g., Xu et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016), a name erected from a piece of pre-Linnean scientific literature published posthumously by Rumphius. Merrill (1917) noted that Pachyma hoelen as a little-known medicinal species from China, under the genus Pachyma. Merrill (1917) noted that Pachyma hoelen Fr. was cultivated on pine trees in various parts of China and that it had been referred to as Poria cocos. In order to clarify the identity of P. hoelen, he sent a Chinese specimen (received from a drug store) for examination to W. A. Murrill. Murrill stated that the Chinese sclerotia showed similarity to the samples collected from different localities in America, but he thought “that Pachyma hoelen Fries is distinct from P. cocos Fries” (Merrill, 1917).

In recent years, molecular studies have shown that several traditionally used and widely cultivated East Asian medicinal mushrooms (e.g., Auricularia hemuer F. Wu, B.K. Cui & Y.C. Dai, Flammulina filiformis (Z.W. Ge, X.B. Liu & Zhu L. Yang) P.M. Wang, Y.C. Dai, E. Horak & Zhu L. Yang, Ganoderma lingzhi Sheng H. Wu, Y. Cao & Y.C. Dai) are different at the species level from their European or North American relatives (Cao et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2014; Dai et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). Currently, “Fuling” is widely identified with Poria cocos (syn. Wolfiporia cocos, syn. Pachyma cocos), a species originally described from North America, and no comprehensive taxonomical studies have been carried out on the East Asian Pachyma hoelen since it was described by Fries almost 200 years ago. Therefore, in this study we aim to typify the forgotten species P. hoelen and clarify the taxonomy of the “Fuling” mushroom, based on morphological features and phylogenetic evidence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Morphological Studies

Specimens and isolates of Pachyma “cocos” originating from East Asia (China, Japan) and North America were examined, including wild collections and commercially cultivated strains. Voucher specimens are deposited at the herbarium of the Institute of Microbiology, Beijing Forestry University (BJFC) and Herbarium Mycologium, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China (HMAS). The designated neotype of Pachyma hoelen (Dong 897, HMAS 248370) is registered in MycoBank (Robert et al., 2013). Macro-morphological descriptions are based on field notes and dry herbarium specimens. Microscopic measurements and drawings were made from slide preparations of dried specimens stained with Cotton Blue and Melzer’s reagent following Dai (2010). In presenting spore size variation, 5% of measurements were excluded from each end of the range and this value is given in parentheses. The following abbreviations were
used: KOH = 2% potassium hydroxide, CB– = acyanophilous, 
KI– = neither amyloid nor dextrinoid in Melzer's reagent, L = mean 
spore length (arithmetic average of all spores), W = mean 
spore width (arithmetic average of all spores), Q = variation 
in the L/W ratios between specimens studied, n (a/b) = number 
of spores (a) measured from given number of specimens (b).

Molecular Phylogenetic Study

Total genomic DNA was extracted from dried specimens 
using a CTAB rapid plant genome extraction kit (Aidlab 
Biotechnologies Company, Limited, Beijing, China) according 
to the manufacturer’s instructions. To generate PCR amplicons, 
the following primer pairs were used: ITS4 and ITS5 (White et al., 
1990) for the internal transcribed spacer (ITS), and 983F and 
1567R (Rehner and Buckley, 2005) for a region of the translation 
ellongation factor alpha-1 (tef1), LR0R and LR7 (Vilgalys and 
Hester, 1990) for the 28S gene region (LSU) and bRPB2-6F 
and bRPB2-7.1R (Matheny, 2005) for partial RNA polymerase 
II, second largest subunit (rpb2). The PCR procedures followed 
Song and Cui (2017). PCR products were purified and sequenced 
at the Beijing Genomics Institute with the same primers. The 
sequences generated during this study are deposited in NCBI 
GenBank under the accession numbers MW251858-MW251879 
(ITS and LSU), MW250253-MW250273 (tef1 and rpb2) and 
listed in Table 1.

Two datasets were used in the phylogenetic analyses. 
The multigene dataset was used to gain information about 
the phylogenetic position of the genus. The second ITS 
dataset represented sequences of only Wolfiporia cocos-related 
specimens. In the multigene phylogenetic analyses, the highly 
divergent ITS regions of the Wolfiporia s. str. (syn. Pachyma) 
specimens were removed. Sequences were aligned with the online 
version of MAFFT v. 7 using the E-INS-i algorithm (Katoh 
and Standley, 2013), under default settings. Each alignment 
was checked separately and edited with SeaView 4 (Gouy 
et al., 2010). Subsequently, the concatenated ITS + LSU + 
tef1 + rpb2 dataset alignment was subjected to Maximum 
Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) phylogenetic 
alyses, which were performed in RaxmlGUI (Silvestro and 
Michalak, 2012) and MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 
2003), respectively. ML analysis was done using 1,000 rapid 
ML bootstrap searches. Four partitions (ITS, LSU, tef1, rpb2) 
were set and the GTR+Γ model of nucleotide substitution model 
was selected for each partition. Rapid bootstrap analysis with 
1,000 replicates was applied for testing branch support. BI was 
performed with the GTR + I model of evolution. The same 
partition scheme was used as for the ML analysis (see above). 
The BI settings were: four Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) 
over 5 million generations, sampling every 1000th generation, 
two independent runs, and burn-in of 20% (the first 1,000 
trees were discarded). Post burn-in trees were used to compute a 50% 
majority rule consensus phylogram. Phylogenetic trees from both 
ML and BI analyses resulted in largely congruent topologies. The 
best scoring ML tree from the RAxML analysis was edited with 
MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013). ML bootstrap values (BS) > 70% 
and Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) > 0.9 were considered 
evidence for statistical branch support.

RESULTS

Molecular Phylogeny

The multigene and ITS phylogenetic analyses were carried out 
using two datasets comprising 46 taxa and 3,160 characters, 
and 19 taxa and 1,698 characters including gaps, which were 
treated as missing data. The phylogenetic tree topology of the 
concatenated ITS-LSU-tef1-rpb2 dataset (Figure 1) is largely 
congruent with previously published phylogenies (e.g., Ortiz- 
Santana et al., 2013; Justo et al., 2017; Hussein et al., 2018) and 
the genus Pachyma (syn. Wolfiporia) clustered in the Laetiporaceae 
Jülich (syn. Phaeolaceae Jülich) within the antrodia clade. At 
the species level, the neotype of Pachyma hoelen (Dong 897, 
HAMAS 248370) and other studied specimens from East Asia 
(incl. Dong 750, which is the widely cultivated strain now in 
China) represent a well-supported (ML/BA 100/1.00), relatively 
homogeneous clade. Analysis of ITS sequences (Figure 2) also 
shows that all newly sequenced strains from East Asia are nested 
in a strongly supported clade (ML/BA 100/1.00). This clade is 
clearly separated from the other clades in the phylogeny where 
P. cocos strains from North America and the holotype of W. 
 pseudococos (GenBank no. KX354451) are nested (Figure 2). In 
the ITS phylogenetic tree, the Wolfiporia cocos and Macrohyporia 
cocos samples from the United States separated into three distinct 
clades and they are not closely related to Pachyma hoelen 
in phylogeny. Our phylogenetic reconstruction of the ITS sequences 
indicates that the North American samples identified as W. 
cocos and deposited in GenBank cover more than one species. 
The newly sequenced P. cocos isolate (CBS 279.55), originating 
from South Carolina (Southeastern United States), forms a 
well-supported (ML/BA 100/1.00) lineage with two sequences 
originating from the United States (GenBank no. MT241733 and 
KT693239). The W. cocos specimen collected from hardwood 
species (Alnus) from the United States (Lindner and Banik, 2008) 
formed a separate lineage within a moderately supported clade 
(ML/BA 63/0.91) and grouped with the type of W. pseudococos 
and two unpublished sequences of Macrohyporia cocos (GenBank 
no. MN392911 and MN392912). Based on the above single-
locus and multigene molecular data, the forgotten East Asian 
species, Pachyma hoelen, which is widely cultivated in China and 
Japan, is not conspecific with the North American P. cocos (syn. 
Wolfiporia cocos).

TAXONOMY

Pachyma Fr., Syst. mycol. 2(1): 242 (1822)

Synonyms. Gemmularia Raf. per Steud., Nomencl. bot. P1. 
crypt.: 183 (1824); Tucathus Raf., Anal. Nat. Tabl. Univ. 2: 270 
(1830) nom. illegit. (ICN; Art. 52.); Rugosaria Raf., Anal. Nat. 
Tabl. Univ. 1: 181 (1833) nom. illegit. (ICN; Art. 52.).

Wolfiporia Ryvarden and Gilb., Mycotaxon 19: 141 (1984)

Generic type species: Pachyma cocos (Schwein.) Fr., Syst. 
mycol. 2(1) 242 (1822) (Basionym. Sclerotium cocos Schwein., 
Schr. naturf. Ges. Leipzig 1: 56. 1822), selected by Donk 
(1962: 94).

Description. Sclerotium globose or irregularly shaped, when 
fresh, outer crust reddish brown, inner context white and corky;
| Species name            | Collection number | Origin       | ITS          | LSU            | tef1          | rpb2          | References                          |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| Antrodia serpens        | Dai 7465          | China        | KR605813     | KR605752       | KR610742      | KR610832      | Han et al., 2016                     |
| Antrodia serpens        | Rivoire 3576 (LY) | France       | KC543169     | –              | KC543191      | –             | Spirin et al., 2013                  |
| Antrodia tanakae         | Kajander 270 (H)  | Finland      | KC543165     | –              | KC543190      | –             | Spirin et al., 2013                  |
| Antrodia tanakae         | Spirin 3968 (H)   | Russia       | KC543164     | –              | KC543193      | –             | Spirin et al., 2013                  |
| Antrodia heteromorpha    | Dai 12755         | USA          | KP715306     | KP715322       | KP715336      | KR610828      | Chen and Cui, 2015                   |
| Antrodia heteromorpha    | Gaarder 1665 (O)  | Norway       | KC543150     | –              | KC543186      | –             | Spirin et al., 2013                  |
| Antrodia heteromorpha    | CBS 200.91        | Canada       | DG491415     | –              | –             | DG491388      | Kim et al., 2007                     |
| Fomitopsis betulina      | Dai 11449         | China        | KR605798     | KR605737       | KR610726      | KR610816      | Han et al., 2016                     |
| Fomitopsis betulina      | Miettinen 12388   | Finland      | JX109856     | JX109856       | JX109913      | JX109884      | Binder et al., 2013                  |
| Fomitopsis pinicola      | Dai 11449         | China        | KR605798     | KR605737       | KR610726      | KR610816      | Han et al., 2016                     |
| Fomitopsis pinicola      | Miettinen 12388   | Finland      | JX109856     | JX109856       | JX109913      | JX109884      | Binder et al., 2013                  |
| Fomitopsis durescens     | Overholt’s 4215   | USA          | KF937293     | KF937293       | –             | –             | Han et al., 2014                     |
| Fomitopsis durescens     | O 10796           | Venezuela    | KF937292     | KF937294       | KR610669      | KR610766      | Han et al., 2014                     |
| Kusaghiporia usambarensis| JV 1109/31        | USA          | KF951929     | KF951306       | KX354630      | KX354671      | Song and Cui, 2017                   |
| Kusaghiporia usambarensis| CA 13             | USA          | EU402549     | EU402527       | AB472666      | –             | Lindner and Banik, 2008              |
| Laetiporus montanus      | Dai 15888         | China        | KX354466     | KX354494       | KX354619      | KX354662      | Song and Cui, 2017                   |
| Laetiporus sulphureus    | JV 1106/15        | Czech Republic | KF951296   | KF951303       | KX354609      | KX354654      | Song and Cui, 2017                   |
| Pachyma cocos            | MD-106            | USA          | EU402594     | EU402527       | AB472666      | –             | Lindner and Banik, 2008              |
| Pachyma cocos**          | JV0506_4J         | USA          | MN392911     | MN392911       | –             | –             | unpublished                          |
| Pachyma cocos**          | JV1608_23J        | USA          | MN392912     | MN392912       | –             | –             | unpublished                          |
| Pachyma cocos**          | CFMR-MD-275       | USA          | KU689964     | –              | –             | –             | unpublished                          |
| Pachyma cocos**          | Batch3_14064_14098| USA          | K769329      | –              | –             | –             | Raja et al., 2017                    |
| Pachyma cocos***         | MR011             | USA          | MT241733     | –              | –             | –             | unpublished                          |
| Pachyma hoelen           | CGMCC 5.908       | China        | MW251870     | MW251859       | MW250253      | MW250264      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | Dai 20041         | China        | MW251878     | MW251867       | MW250262      | MW250273      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | Dai 20036         | China        | MW251877     | MW251866       | MW250261      | MW250272      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | Dai 20034         | China        | MW251879     | MW251868       | MW250263      | –             | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | Dong 750          | China        | MW251873     | MW251862       | MW250257      | MW250268      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | Dong 830          | China        | MW251874     | MW251863       | MW250258      | MW250269      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | Dong 829          | China        | MW251875     | MW251864       | MW250259      | MW250270      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | Dong 897          | China        | MW251871     | MW251860       | MW250255      | MW250266      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | Dong 906          | China        | MW251872     | MW251861       | MW250256      | MW250267      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen           | KCTC6480          | Japan        | MW251876     | MW251865       | MW250260      | MW250271      | This study                           |
| Pachyma hoelen*          | XJ-28             | China        | KX268225     | –              | –             | –             | unpublished                          |
| Pachyma hoelen*          | Taikong           | China        | KX268226     | –              | –             | –             | unpublished                          |
| Pachyma hoelen*          | CBK-1             | China        | KX354453     | KX354889       | KX354888      | KX354685      | Song and Cui, 2017                   |
| Pachyma pseudococos      | Dai 15269, type   | China        | KX354451     | –              | –             | –             | Tibpromma et al., 2017              |
| Phaeolus schweinitzi     | AF07-ID 702       | USA          | –            | DQ028602       | –             | DQ408119      | Matheny et al., 2007                |
| Phaeolus schweinitzi     | OKM-4435-T        | USA          | –            | KCS85199       | –             | –             | Ortiz-Santana et al., 2013          |
| Rhodofomes cajanderi     | Cui 9879          | China        | KS07157      | KS07167        | KR610663      | KR610763      | Han et al., 2016                     |

(Continued)
outer crust becomes hard corky and inner context becomes fragile when dry. Basidiocarp annual, resupinate; pore surface cream to ash gray when fresh; hyphal system dimitic, generative hyphae with simple septa, skeletal hyphae thick-walled, distinctly thicker than generative hypha; cystidia absent, but cystidioles occasionally present; basidia clavate, with four sterigmata and a simple basal septum; basidiospores cylindrical, ellipsoid, hyaline, thin-walled, IKI–, CB–.

**Nomenclatural remarks.** Fries (1822) described the anamorphic genus *Pachyma* and distinguished three species. Later, Donk (1962) designated the first species, *P. cocos* (Schwein.) Fr. (syn. *Sclerotium cocos* Schwein.) as the generic type of *Pachyma*. The teleomorphic genus *Wolfiporia* was typified with *Poria cocos* F. A. Wolf by Ryvarden and Gilbertson (1984), which was a species derived from *Sclerotium cocos* Schwein., hence it was cited as a basionym by Wolf (1922). Therefore, both *Pachyma* and *Wolfiporia* are typified with *Sclerotium cocos* Schwein., thus these genera are considered as synonyms. Based on the changes in Art. 59 of the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (ICN; Turland et al., 2018), all legitimate fungal names are treated equally for the purposes of establishing priority, regardless of the life history stage of the type (Art. F.8.1). In the case that the sexually typified generic name does not have priority it is recommended that it can either be formally conserved (e.g., Braun, 2013), or included on a list of protected names (Rossman, 2014). The generic names *Pachyma* and *Wolfiporia* are both listed by Kirk et al. (2013) for protection as a result of changes in Art. 59. However, the earlier name *Pachyma* is sanctioned by Fries (ICN, Art. F.3.1) and well represents the economically important stage of the generic type. For this reason, currently we consider that it is unnecessary to conserve the name *Wolfiporia* over *Pachyma*. Consequently, based on nomenclatural priority, the use of the earlier and sanctioned generic name *Pachyma* is recommended over *Wolfiporia*.

*Pachyma hoelen* Fr., Syst. mycol. (Lundae) 2(1): 243 (1822) (Figures 3, 4).

**Description.** Sclerotia globose, subglobose, oval to irregularly shaped, up to 28 cm long and 22 cm wide, weighing up to 20 kg; when fresh, outer crust reddish brown, inner context white and corky; outer crust becomes hard corky and inner context becomes fragile when dry. Basidiocarp annual, resupinate, soft corky and without odor or taste when fresh, hard corky to fragile when dry, up to 20 cm long, 10 cm wide, 5.5 mm thick at center. Margin thin, usually pores extend to the very edge. Pore surface cream to ash gray when fresh, becoming pinkish buff to cinnamon buff when dry, not glancing; pores round, angular or sinuous, 1–2 per mm; dissepiments thick, slightly lacerate to distinctly dentate. *Subiculum* cinnamon buff, hard corky, up to 1.5 mm; tubes hard corky to fragile, buff, up to 4 mm long. *Hyphal system* dimitic in all parts, generative hyphae with simple septa, skeletal hyphae dominant, all hyphae IKI–, CB–, weakly inflated in KOH. *Subicular hyphal structure* homogeneous, hyphae strongly interwoven; generative hyphae occasionally present, hyaline, thin-walled, occasionally branched, frequently simple septate, 4–6 μm in diam.; *skeletal hyphae* dominant, hyaline, thick-walled with a distinct wide lumen, usually flexuous, frequently branched, occasionally simple septate, 6–12 μm in diam. *Tramal generative hyphae* frequent, hyaline, thin-walled, occasionally branched, frequently simple septate, 3–5 μm in diam.; *tramal skeletal hyphae* frequent, hyaline, thick-walled with a wide lumen, flexuous, occasionally frequently branched.

### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Species name         | Collection number | Origin | ITS    | LSU    | tef1  | rpb2 | References                  |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|-----------------------------|
| Rhodofomes cajanderi| JV 0410/14a,b-J    | USA    | KF605768 | KF605707 | KF610664 | –   | Han et al., 2016            |
| Rhodofomes rosea     | JV 1110/9         | Czech Republic | KF605783 | KF605722 | KF610694 | KF610785 | Han et al., 2016            |
| Rhodofomes rosea     | Cui 10633         | China  | KF605782 | KF605721 | KF610693 | KF610784 | Han et al., 2016            |
| Wolfiporia cartilaginea| Dai 3764         | China  | KX354456 | –       | –     | –   | Ortiz-Santana et al., 2013  |
| Wolfiporia cartilaginea| 13122            | Japan  | KC885405 | –       | –     | –   | Ortiz-Santana et al., 2013  |
| Wolfiporia cartilaginea| O 913120         | Japan  | KX354455 | –       | –     | –   | unpublished                |
| Wolfiporia dilatohypha| S.D. Russell MycoMap 7010 | USA | MK564607 | –       | –     | –   | unpublished                |
| Wolfiporia dilatohypha| FP94089          | USA    | EU402554 | EU402516 | –     | –   | Lindner and Banik, 2008     |
| Wolfiporia dilatohypha| CS-63            | USA    | KC885401 | KC885236 | –     | –   | Ortiz-Santana et al., 2013  |
| Wolfiporia dilatohypha| FP-94089-R       | USA    | KC885401 | KC885236 | –     | –   | Ortiz-Santana et al., 2013  |
| Wolfiporia dilatohypha| CS-63-59-13-A-R  | USA    | KC885400 | KC885234 | –     | –   | Ortiz-Santana et al., 2013  |
| Trametes suaveolens | Cui 11586         | China  | KF605823 | KF605766 | KF610759 | KF610848 | Han et al., 2016            |
| Polyporus tuberaster | Dai 11271        | China  | KU189769 | KU189800 | KU189914 | KU189983 | Zhou et al., 2016           |

* as *Wolfiporia cocos*; ** as *Macrohyporia cocos*; *** as *Wolfiporia aff. extensa*.

Sequences produced in this study are indicated in bold.
FIGURE 1 | Phylogeny of the genus Pachyma (syn. Wolfiporia) within the antrodia clade inferred from RAxML and MrBayes analyses of the combined ITS–LSU–tef1–rpb2 sequences. Topology is from the best scoring Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree. *Polyporus tuberaster* and *Trametes suaveolens* served as the outgroup. Bayesian Posterior Probabilities (BPP) > 0.9 and ML bootstrap values > 70% are shown above or below branches. The bar indicates 0.05 expected change per site per branch.
and simple septate, 4–8 μm in diam. Cystidia and cystidioles absent; basidia clavate, with four sterigmata and a simple basal septum, 25–32 × 7–8 μm, basidia in shape similar to basidia but slightly smaller. Basidiospores oblong-ellipsoid to cylindrical, tapering at apiculus, hyaline, thin-walled, IKI−, CB−, (6–)7–9.6(−11) × (2.5–)2.9–4(−4.1) μm, L = 8.24 μm, W = 3.2 μm, Q = 2.49–2.66 (n = 90/3). Rot type brown.

**Specimens examined.** China, Yunnan Province, Yongsheng County, Renhe, Yina, 21 Dec 2018, CH Dong 897 (HMAS 248370, neotype, designated here, MycoBank MBT394794); Guangxi Auto Region, Baise, Baise Park, on stump of *Pinus massoniana* 1 July 2019, Dai 20034 (BJFC031708), Dai 20036 (BJFC031710), Dai 20041 (BJFC031715).

**Nomenclatural remarks.** The name “Hoelen” is derived from Rumphius (1750), and frequently cited as *Pachyma hoelen* Rumph. in scientific literature (e.g., Saccardo et al., 1889; Hino and Katô, 1930; Takeda, 1934). In the work of Rumphius (1750) it is mentioned under the species *Tuber regium* Rumph. [nom. inval., Art. 32.1(a); current name is *Pleurotus tuber-regium* (Fr.) Singer], but without the name *Pachyma*, the genus which was introduced by Fries (1822). Although, Fries (1822) presumably adopted the description of *P. hoelen* from Rumphius (1750), this work was not cited by him. Therefore, the names *P. hoelen* Rumph. and *P. hoelen* Rumph. ex Fr. are incorrect interpretations. However, “Hoelen” formally was not clearly discussed by Fries (1822) as a binomial like the other two taxa, i.e., *P. cocos* (Schwein.) Fr. and *P. tuber-regium* Fr. (see also Donk, 1962). This nomenclatural uncertainty is supported by the index of the same work (Fries, 1822, p. 608), where *P. hoelen* was not listed under the genus *Pachyma* like the other two species. However, in his later work Fries (1832) clearly indicated that he accepted *P. hoelen* as a distinct species in the genus *Pachyma*. When Fries (1822) proposed the new genus *Pachyma*, he noted that “Hoelen” is a little-known species and marked it with a separate serial number (like the other two species) under the genus. Given that the epithet “Hoelen” can be assigned to the generic name *Pachyma*, and the species has a short diagnosis, the name *Pachyma hoelen* Fr. was published validly by Fries (1822) and sanctioned by the ICN (Art. F.3.1).

**Pachyma pseudococos** (F. Wu, J. Song & Y.C. Dai) F. Wu, Y.C. Dai & V. Papp, **comb. nov.**
FIGURE 3 | Sclerotia and basidiome of Pachyma hoelen. (a,b) Dry sclerotium of P. hoelen (neotype Dong 897, HMAS 248370). (c–e) Fresh sclerotia of P. hoelen. (f) Basidiome of P. hoelen (Dai 20036). Photos (a,b): SJ. Li, (c–f): Y.C. Dai.

Basionym. Wolfiporia pseudococos F. Wu, J. Song & Y.C. Dai, Fungal Diversity 83: 237 (2017)

MycoBank MB838018.

Description. For the description, see Tibpromma et al. (2017) Specimen examined. CHINA, Hainan Province, Ledong County, Jianfengling Nature Reserve, on dead angiosperm tree, 1 June 2015, Dai 15269 (BJFC019380, holotype).

Remarks. New combination is proposed for Wolfiporia pseudococos in Pachyma based on molecular data and morphological features of the basidiocarp. Ecologically, P. pseudococos grows on angiosperm trees in tropical China, while P. hoelen has a distribution in temperate areas and usually grows on conifers. Phylogenetically, the two species are closely related, but P. pseudococos forms a separate lineage based on the analyses of ITS sequences (Figure 2). The basidiocarps of P. hoelen shares similar morphological characteristics with P. pseudococos, but differs by the absence of cystidioles, and longer and thinner basidia (25–32 × 7–8 µm vs. 16–25 × 10–14 µm in P. pseudococos).

DISCUSSION

Before the introduction of the One Fungus-One Name (1F1N) concept, the correct name was the earliest legitimate name typified by the perfect state (= teleomorph). However, based on the changes in Art. 59 of the ICN (Turland et al., 2018), the legitimate generic names typified by anamorphic fungal stages are treated equally for the purposes of establishing priority. The generic names Pachyma and Wolfiporia have types that represent the same species and are thus synonyms. Since Pachyma is the
samples of these taxa were studied, so we currently treat them as *Pachyma cocos* I, *Pachyma cocos* II and *Pachyma cocos* III. Further studies are needed to clarify the taxonomy of this species.

The other two validly described species formerly discussed in *Pachyma* are excluded from the genus, namely *P. tuber-regium* Fr. and *P. woermannii* J. Schrötr. (Fries, 1822; Cohn and Schröter, 1891). The current name of the former species is *Pleurotus tuber-regium* (Fr.) Singer, a well-known edible and medicinal mushroom (Dai et al., 2009, 2010; Wu et al., 2019) native to the tropics, including Africa, Asia, and Australasia (Karunarathna et al., 2016). *Pachyma woermannii* presumably represents the same species and is identical with *Pleurotus tuber-regium*. The sclerotia (as *Pachyma woermannii*) and the lamellate basidiocarps (as *Lentinus woermannii* Cohn and J. Schröt.) of the same fungus were described at the same time by Cohn and Schröter (1891), based on specimens collected from Cameroon (Central Africa). Further study is needed to confirm if the both are interspecific.

The teleomorphic genus *Wolfiporia* contains eight legitimate names (Index Fungorum 2020), from which six species are accepted (He et al., 2019; Wijayawardene et al., 2020). However, amongst these, only two species (*Pachyma hoelen* and *P. pseudococos*) are confirmed in *Pachyma* by phylogenetic data so far (Figures 1, 2). Therefore, further phylogenetic and type studies are needed to clarify the systematic position of those *Wolfiporia* species, which are currently not accepted in *Pachyma*. *Wolfiporia castanopsis* Y.C. Dai was described by Dai et al. (2011) from Southwest China (Yunnan Province, Zixishan Nature Reserve), based on a specimen growing on the wood of *Castanopsis orthacantha* Franch. Morphologically, this species is closely related to *Pachyma cocos*, the type species of the genus *Pachyma*. The two species have similar poroid and resupinate basidiocarps, but *Wolfiporia castanopsis* has broadly ellipsoid basidiospores (7.6–10 × 5–7 µm, Dai et al., 2011). *Wolfiporia curvispora* Y. C. Dai was described from Northeast China (Jilin Province), based on a single collection growing on *P. koriensis* Siebold & Zucc. Morphologically, *W. curvispora* differs from other species in *Wolfiporia* by its biennial habit, small pores (4–5 per mm), small, curved and cylindrical basidiospores (3.3–4.1 × 1.2–1.8 µm, Dai, 1998). *Wolfiporia cartilaginea* Ryvarden was described from Northeast China (Jilin Province, Changbaishan National Nature Reserve) (Ryvarden et al., 1986) and phylogenetically found to be closely related to *W. dilatohypha* Ryvarden & Gilb. (syn. *Poria inflata* Overh.); these two species formed a separate lineage that is closely related to, but distinct from the core *Laetiporus* clade (Banik et al., 2010; Hussein et al., 2018; Figure 1). *Wolfiporia sulphurea* (Burt) Ginns (syn. *Merulius sulphureus* Burt) has similar morphological characteristics to *Pachyma cocos*, but it causes a white rot (Ginns, 1968; Ginns and Lowe, 1983).

The primary fungal barcoding marker, ITS, is quite useful to separate most fungal species (Xu, 2016), but it is not enough for some groups if we only use ITS in their phylogeny (Lücking et al., 2020). Unusually, the ITS sequence of *Pachyma* is at least twice as long as the sequences for most taxa in the antrodia clade, which is presumably due to the insertions in the ITS1 and ITS2 regions (Lindner and Banik, 2008). Although, in general the thresholds ranging from 97.0 to 99.5% sequence
similarly were the most optimal values for delimiting species in the Agaricomycetes (Blalid et al., 2013; Garnica et al., 2016; Nilsson et al., 2019). Raja et al. (2017) believed that a larger threshold value (~97%) is acceptable in the case of P. cocos specimens, due to the presence of introns. The difference in the sequences of the neotype of P. hoelen compared with the P. cocos specimen from the USA (CBS 279.55) was 8.0% for ITS. In the comparison of P. hoelen and P. cocos secondary barcoding markers (incl. protein-coding genes) we found moderate, but significant differences between the two species: tef1 (97.8%), rpb2 (98%). Therefore, both nuclear ribosomal RNA genes (ITS) and protein-coding genes (tef1, rpb2) showed remarkable differences between P. cocos and P. hoelen with low intragroup heterogeneity in the later. This confirms the separation of the two species and suggests that the inclusion of additional markers (i.e., protein-coding genes) should be necessary for further studies on the genus Pachyma.

In conclusion, Poria cocos (syn. Wolfiporia cocos) has been applied to the prized Chinese medicinal mushroom “Fuling,” according to changes in Art. 59 of the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi and plants, its correct binomial name is Pachyma hoelen Fr. which was validly published by Fries and sanctioned by the ICN. The wild teleomorphic stage (basidiocarps) of Pachyma hoelen is found and collected as the first time in China, and both tested wild specimens and commercial cultivars known as “Fuling” represent a single species. The illustrated description of Pachyma hoelen is given based on wild fruiting bodies and cultivated sclerotia, and its neotype is designated. The Chinese “Fuling” Pachyma hoelen is different from North American “Tuckahoe” Pachyma cocos (syn. Wolfiporia cocos), and Pachyma is recommended over Wolfiporia because it is the earliest and sanctioned generic name. Accordingly, Pachyma cocos (Schwein.) Fr. is the valid name for “Tuckahoe” in North America, and three taxa are existed among Pachyma cocos sensu lato. Currently five taxa are accepted in Pachyma: P. hoelen, P. pseudococos, P. cocos I, P. cocos II and P. cocos III. The phylogeny of other taxa previously described or combined in Wolfiporia are not analyzed, and their taxonomy is uncertain without molecular data.
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