Effects of fertilizer application schemes and soil environmental factors on nitrous oxide emission fluxes in a rice-wheat cropping system, east China
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Abstract

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a potent greenhouse gas (GHG) with agricultural soils representing its largest anthropogenic source. However, the mechanisms involved in the N₂O emission and factors affecting N₂O emission fluxes in response to various nitrogenous fertilizer applications remain uncertain. We conducted a four-year (2012–2015) field experiment to assess how fertilization scheme impacts N₂O emissions from a rice-wheat cropping system in eastern China. The fertilizer treatments included Control (CK), Conventional fertilizer (CF), CF with shallow-irrigation (CF+SI), CF with deep-irrigation system (CF+DI), Optimized fertilizer (OF), OF with Urease inhibitor (OF+UI), OF with conservation tillage (OF+CT) and Slow-release fertilizer (SRF). N₂O emissions were measured by a closed static chamber method. N₂O emission fluxes ranged from 0.61 μg m⁻² h⁻¹ to 1707 μg m⁻² h⁻¹, indicating a significant impact of nitrogen fertilizer and cropping type on N₂O emissions. The highest crop yields for wheat (3515–3667 kg ha⁻¹) and rice (8633–8990 kg ha⁻¹) were observed under the SRF and OF+UI treatments with significant reduction in N₂O emissions by 16.94–21.20% and 5.55–7.93%, respectively. Our findings suggest that the SRF and OF+UI treatments can be effective in achieving maximum crop yield and lowering N₂O emissions for the rice-wheat cropping system in eastern China.

Introduction

Following carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane, nitrous oxide (N₂O) is the 3rd most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) and contributes up to 6–10% in global warming [1]. N₂O is a long-lived GHG with a lifespan of over 114 years in the atmosphere [2]. N₂O has 298 times global warming potential (GWP) as compared to CO₂ and it also has a great potential for O₃ destruction [2–4]. From 1750 to 2011, the atmospheric N₂O concentration has increased from 271 parts per billion (ppb) to 324.2 ppb [5]. Agricultural soils contributed about 60% of the anthropogenic N₂O emissions, and this was mostly due to increased chemical
fertilizer application [3,6]. In addition, humankind’s increased fossil fuel combustion and continuous use of nitrogen based fertilizer in agriculture affects the global nitrogen biogeochemical cycle [7,8]. Due to increases in food demands, emissions of N₂O from agricultural soil are expected to rise to 6–7 Tg N/year by 2030 [9,10]. In most agricultural soils, N₂O is formed biologically via nitrification and denitrification, and these microbial processes are strongly affected by natural conditions and agricultural management practices [11]. Greenhouse gas emissions intensity (GHGI) is defined as GWP (global warming potential) per unit crop yield. It is suitable for determining N₂O emission factors and for checking the impact of different kinds of agricultural practices on the environmental ecosystem and global climate change [12,13].

Worldwide, China ranks first in agricultural output, and is critically important for meeting global food demand [14,15]. To increase crop yield, several new agricultural management practices such as improved irrigation, fertilization and crop rotation systems are used for intensive agricultural production in China [11]. The annual summer rice/winter wheat crop rotation system is an important double cropping system widely used in eastern part of China [9,13]. Over the past few years, the fertilizer application rate has been increased to maximize crop production, but this has had adverse effects on the terrestrial environment as well as the atmosphere. Agricultural practices, especially the application of nitrogenous fertilizers (N-Fertilizer), have a major influence on soil N₂O emissions [2,11,16]. The excessive use of nitrogenous fertilizers are pervasive and have resulted in many environmental problems, including soil acidification, pollution of water, soil salination and emission of GHGs [11,17]. The annual application rate of nitrogenous fertilizer in vegetable fields is around 1000 to 1500 kg N per hectare (ha) [2,18], but some agricultural fields in China use more than 2800 kg N per ha per year [19]. As a result, the overuse of nitrogenous fertilizer with low N use efficiency in agricultural fields has resulted in multiple environmental and agricultural issues [20,21].

The rice-wheat crop rotation cycle is a very important agricultural practice for increasing land use efficiency and crop yield in east China. In a rice-wheat cropping system, increases in the application of nitrogenous fertilizer could lead to the emergence of N₂O emission peaks (in the range of 0~225kg N ha⁻¹). Previous studies have reported that fertilization enhances N₂O emission from agricultural soils [9,11]. In general, there is a strong increase in the emission of N₂O associating with nitrogen application rates in agricultural soils [22,23]. A researcher reported a non-linear exponentially elevating N₂O emissions response to nitrogen application rates from a soybean-corn rotation [24] with N₂O emissions not significantly decreasing with reductions in nitrogen fertilizer application rates in a wheat-maize rotation cycle [25]. Comparatively, there were very few studies that measured N₂O emission fluxes from rice-wheat cropping systems, especially in Chaohu Basin, China [26]. However, the mechanisms involved in the N₂O emission under various agricultural practices, their flux in response to various nitrogenous fertilizer (N-fertilizer) applications and factors affecting N₂O emission fluxes remain unclear.

In this study, we investigated four-year N₂O emissions from soil and their responses to different N fertilizer application schemes in a rice-wheat cropping system in east China. Additionally, we also studied the impact of environmental factors (soil temperature, precipitation, air temperature, soil conductivity and water-filled pore space (WFPS)) on N₂O emission fluxes and crop yield. GWP and Greenhouse gas emission intensity (GHGI) under different fertilization treatments were also measured. The main objectives and aims to run this research experiment include: 1) To determine the level of GWP of GHGs emissions around the research station and the community where they were sited, 2) To illustrate the level and extent of environmental hazards and disasters caused by GHGs emissions in the catchment area of the research site, and 3) Determination the anthropogenic sources that were involved in the GHGs emissions and climate change. This study was helpful to overcome the GWP of GHGs from rice-wheat cropping system in eastern China.
Materials and methods

Description of study site

This study was undertaken in a research facility center of Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China. The long-term monitoring point of this experiment is located in Xi Song Village, Chaohu, Anhui province, China. The specific location is 117˚ 40’ 48 “east longitude and 31˚ 39 ’57” north latitude, and is 17 m above sea level. The climate in this area is characterized by a subtropical humid monsoon climate. The annual average temperature is 15.7˚C and the average annual rainfall is 1039.4 mm. From 1986 to 2005, the mean seasonal temperature was 16.29˚C, which was similar to our findings [27]. A rice-wheat crop rotation pattern is typically practiced in this area. A rice-wheat rotation cycle was undertaken in this experimental farm from 2008 prior to initiating this experiment in 2012. Soil Electrical conductivity (EC) was also measured by using EC meter. The soil type at the monitoring site is clay loam (sand 30%, silt 35%, and clay 35%) that having maximum water holding capacity. The physical and chemical properties of soil (0–20 cm) were: pH (H₂O) 6.18; organic matter 23.64 g kg⁻¹; total nitrogen 1.30 g kg⁻¹, respectively. During the whole experimental period, no animals were used or harmed.

Experimental design and field management

The 2012–2015 of rice-wheat rotation field experiment was conducted with a randomized complete block design (RCBD). This experiment was started on 25 May 2012 and completed on 20 May 2015. Eight different fertilization treatments were used over the course of the experiment (S1 Table). Three replications of each fertilizer treatment were performed with an experimental plot area of 30 m². The names of all fertilizer treatments were: Control (CK), Conventional fertilizer (CF), CF with shallow irrigation (CF+SI), CF with deep irrigation (CF+DI) system, Optimized fertilizer (OF), OF with Urease inhibitor (OF+UI), OF with conservation tillage (OF+CT) and slow release fertilizer (SRF). Urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and Potassium chloride (KCl) was used as a source of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K), respectively. The amount of irrigation water for DI and SI treatments were 822.7 mm and 655.2 mm, respectively. UI hydroquinone, also known as hydroquinone with molecular formula C₆H₄(OH)₂ or C₆H₆O₂, was used with urea during the experiment and was purchased from Wuxi City Pharmaceutical production Co., Ltd. UI hydroquinone was applied at the rate of 112.09 kg ha⁻¹ of soil. Polymer coated fertilizer (PCF) was used for all SRF experimental treatments (Anhui Di Yuan Biotechnology Co. Ltd). Zero/no-tillage practice was used as a conservation tillage practice.

Every year, the rice crop was planted in May and harvested in early October, while the wheat crop was sown in mid-October and harvested at the end of May. Rice and wheat cultivars named “Longping0293” and “Ningmai16” were bought from Wuhan Comega Seed Co., Ltd. These are both high yielding cultivars, and are mainly cultivated in Anhui province. Rice plants were transplanted to the main field at a density of 20 hills per m² on May 25/26 and harvested on October 10/11 for the entire experimental period. The application rate of nitrogen fertilizer was 225 kg ha⁻¹, and was applied at a ratio of 5:3:2 (w/w/w) at the basal, tillering and heading stages. Basal fertilizer was applied to the rice crop after transplanting into the main field, and the topdressing was applied at the tillering and heading stages. Whole Phosphorous (P₂O₅) fertilizer and 45% potassium (K₂O) fertilizer was applied at the basal stage, but the remaining K₂O fertilizer was applied at the heading stage in the form KCl. For the wheat crop, basal fertilizer was applied at the time of sowing and further fertilizer was applied at the tillering and panicle stages. The complete fertilizer application plan used during the experiment is shown in S1 Table.

Fertilization has an important impact on crop yield and its composition, as well as greenhouse gas emissions. In order to analyze the specific effect of different fertilizer treatments on
crop yield, the crop yield was measured in the plot. At the same time, some plant samples were used to calculate the number of grains per spike and the 1000-grain weight. Over the entire experimental period, the application rates of N-fertilizer for each treatment were the same and ranged from 0 to 225 kg ha\(^{-1}\). WFPS was calculated based on the determined volumetric water content (VWC), soil bulk density of 1.17 g cm\(^{-3}\) and soil particle density of 2.65 g cm\(^{-3}\). Air temperature and precipitation were recorded at a nearby metrological station.

**Sample collection and \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) fluxes measurement**

A static closed chamber was constructed with polyester material, and was used to measure the \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) fluxes [9,28]; the height of the static chamber was 1 m along with 0.5 m width and length. The base of the chamber was made of PVC material (0.5 m × 0.5 m × 0.15 m) that was installed to a depth of 10 cm in the soil. There were three manual static chambers used in each plot for sample collection. All chambers were wrapped with aluminum foil to control chamber air temperature and equipped with a circulating fan to ensure complete gas mixing throughout the sampling period. We collected three different gas samples (n = 3) using a 50-mL plastic syringe from each static chamber at six minutes time intervals after closing the chamber.

For the rice-wheat cropping seasons, \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) fluxes were calculated between 25 May to 10 October and 15 October to 20 May (2012–2015), respectively. \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) gas samples were collected between 8:00 and 11:00 am from the experimental field. The measurements were taken at intervals of 3, 5 or 7 days used to estimate seasonal \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) emission values. After collection, the gas samples were immediately taken from the field to the laboratory for analysis. The gas samples were analyzed for their \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CH}_4\) contents using a gas chromatograph (Bruker 450-GC, USA) after 24 h sample collection. \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) was detected with the Ni63ECD detector and a 300˚C detector temperature; the flow rate of nitrogen was 300 mL min\(^{-1}\). \(\text{CH}_4\) was analyzed on the FID channel with 300 detector temperature and helium gas was used to measure the \(\text{CH}_4\) emission flux. We measured \(\text{CH}_4\) fluxes only to calculate the GWP. GHG emission fluxes (\(\text{N}_2\text{O}/\text{CH}_4\) flux) from farmland were determined by using the following equation.

\[
F = \frac{p \cdot V}{A} \cdot \frac{dc}{dt} \cdot \frac{273}{(273 + T)}
\]

Where: F is the rate of \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) flux (mg m\(^{-2}\)h\(^{-1}\)), p is the \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) density (\(\text{N}_2\text{O}: 1.25 \text{~kg~m}^{-3}\)) under standard conditions, V is the volume of the chamber (m\(^3\)), A is the area of the chamber base (m\(^2\)), V/A for the chamber height, dc/dt is the change rate of GHG concentration in the sampling chamber (mL m\(^{-3}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and T is the mean temperature inside the chamber.

The contribution of GHG emissions to global warming is estimated in terms of CO\(_2\) equivalents based on the integrated global warming potential (GWP) [29]. The total equivalent CO\(_2\) for \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CH}_4\) flux emissions were estimated by using following equation.

\[
\text{CO}_2 - \text{eq} = 25 \cdot \text{RCH}_4 + 298 \cdot \text{RN}_2\text{O}
\]

Where \(\text{CO}_2 - \text{eq}\) is the total emission of CO\(_2\) equivalent (kgCO\(_2\)-eq ha\(^{-1}\)) per unit area during the growing season, and RCH\(_4\) and RN\(_2\text{O}\) are the total amounts of \(\text{CH}_4\) and \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) emissions (kg ha\(^{-1}\)), 25 and 298 refer to the respective multiples of GWP for \(\text{N}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CH}_4\) flux emission over a given time horizon (typically 100 years).

In order to reflect the environmental and economic benefits of crops, the greenhouse gas emission intensity (GHGI) was proposed as a comprehensive index, which is the corresponding CO\(_2\)-eq of per unit crop yield [30].

\[
\text{GHGI} = \frac{\text{CO}_2 - \text{eq}}{\text{crop yield per unit area}}
\]
Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS, Inc., USA) and EXCEL 2010 for Windows. Average fluxes and standard deviations of N$_2$O were calculated based on data from triplicate plots. Differences in seasonal cumulative N$_2$O emissions and rice-wheat crop yields as affected by nitrogen fertilizer were examined. Differences in seasonal N$_2$O emissions and grain yields between treatments were analyzed with two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and least significant difference (LSD) test at a significance level of $P<0.05$. Finally, Origin 8.0 (Origin Lab Corporation, USA) was employed to construct the figures.

Results
Environmental factors
During the 2012–2015 study period, the mean annual precipitation ranged between 931.7 and 1039.4 mm (Fig 1). Most of the precipitation occurred from July to November each year. Mean annual air temperature varied from 15.6˚C to 15.7˚C (Fig 1). WFPS contents ranged from 35.1% to 58.6% and average soil temperature varied from 7.1˚C to 27.9˚C (Fig 2A). During the 2013–14 and 2014–15 experimental period, the percentage of WFPS ranged from 34.9% to 59.2% and 38.7% to 58.6%, respectively; similarly, the soil temperature ranged from 7.1˚C to 25.8˚C and 7.1˚C to 25.9˚C, respectively (Fig 2A). The annual average soil electrical conductivity (EC) ranged from 1.0 to 1.1 dS m$^{-1}$ during the experimental period (Fig 2B).

Nitrous oxide fluxes
The fluxes of N$_2$O emissions from rice-wheat cropping fields ranged between 0.61 μg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ to 1707.08 μg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ over the entire experiment (Fig 3). Negative N$_2$O fluxes (range –0.5 μg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ to –378.55 μg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) were also observed mostly during the wheat cropping season (Fig 3). As shown in our results, the N$_2$O emission peaks occurred from 0 to 7 days after fertilization in the rice-wheat cropping. Mostly peak fluxes were observed in wheat cropping seasons. Taking the OF treatment as an example, emission peaks occurred on the 2$^{nd}$ and 6$^{th}$ days after applying basal fertilizer and tillering stage fertilizer in wheat crop, respectively; for rice, peak emissions occurred on the 2$^{nd}$, 5$^{th}$ and 7$^{th}$ day after application of basal fertilizer, tillering fertilizer and panicle fertilizer, respectively.

The patterns in the timing of N$_2$O emission fluxes from different treatments to the rice-wheat cropping system were approximately the same. In the rice season, the greatest emission peaks were observed after the transplanting and tillering stage, while in the wheat season, most of the peaks were observed at the tillering, booting and grain filling stages. The mean N$_2$O emission fluxes were 21.44 ± 1.4, 77.42 ± 6.2, 68.35 ± 5.5, 70.77 ± 6.0, 62.88 ± 7.1, 71.02 ± 6.2, 72.93 ± 7.0, 66.38 ± 5.8 μg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ for CK, CF, OF, SRF, OF+UI, OF+CT, CF+SI and CF+DI, respectively. The distribution patterns of N$_2$O emissions were different during different growth stages (tillering, booting and grain filling) in both cropping seasons. The vegetative growth stage (germination to panicle initiation) was the main stage of N$_2$O emission in the rice-wheat cropping system. In this stage, the proportion of N$_2$O emissions from rice and wheat was 57~69% and 76~81%, respectively.

The values of cumulative N$_2$O emissions differed during the whole experimental period within the same treatments. During the wheat season, the cumulative N$_2$O emissions for OF, SRF and OF+UI were 115.90 ± 12.9 mg m$^{-2}$, 96.44 ± 5.3 mg m$^{-2}$ and 79.73 ± 4.4 mg m$^{-2}$, and in the rice season, cumulative N$_2$O emissions were 92.23 ± 9.67 mg m$^{-2}$, 71.99 ± 5.43 mg m$^{-2}$ and 54.87 ± 4.33 mg m$^{-2}$, respectively. The highest GWP of N$_2$O emissions were 0.21 ± 0.02 kg ha$^{-1}$ (OF) in wheat and 1.20 ± 0.02 kg ha$^{-1}$ (OF) in rice season (Table 1).
Overall, the CK treatment showed the lowest peaks of seasonal N\textsubscript{2}O emissions in the rice-wheat cropping system. The CF treatment had the highest emissions during the wheat cropping season, whereas the OF treatment had the highest emissions during the rice cropping season. Compared with the CF treatment, the annual N\textsubscript{2}O emissions of the OF, SRF, OF+UI, CF+SI and CF+DI treatments showed highly significant reductions of 12.87%, 16.94%, 21.20%, 18.05% and 22.15% during the wheat cropping season, respectively (\textit{P}<0.05, Table 1). In the rice cropping season, the annual N\textsubscript{2}O emissions of the SRF treatment were significantly reduced by 5.55%, and the reduction of OF+UI was extremely significant at 7.93%. The greenhouse gas emission reductions of SRF and OF+UI were the best among all treatments.

**Crop yield and equivalent CO\textsubscript{2} emissions (CO\textsubscript{2-eq}) under different fertilization treatments**

Application of higher amounts of nitrogen fertilizer enhanced crop yield. Relative to CK, the yield of wheat was increased by more than 120% for all treatments; similarly, the rice yield was increased by more than 40%, while the grain numbers and 1000-grain weights were also
significantly increased. During the entire experimental period, the crop yields of CF+SI and CF+DI were increased by up to 12.11% (wheat), 5.51% (rice) and 11.32% (wheat), 2.98% (rice), respectively. The SRF treatment also had significantly increased crop yield over the

Fig 2. Seasonal variation in (a) daily soil temperature °C (0–10 cm), and water-filled pore space (WFPS %), and (b) daily changes in soil electrical conductivity (EC, dS/m) in the rice-wheat cropping system from 2012–2015.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202016.g002
The OF+UI treatment resulted in significant yield increases of up to 15.59% (wheat), 7.32% (rice) for the rice-wheat crop rotation cycle. OF+UI had the highest crop yield relative to other treatments. It can be seen that increasing the proportion of P and K fertilizers,

Fig 3. Seasonal variation of nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) (μg m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1}) emission fluxes from rice-wheat cropping systems in three annual cycles during the period of 2012–2015. The error bars show standard errors of the mean (n = 3) and arrows indicate fertilizer application times.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202016.g003
Table 1. Cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes and estimated GWP (global warming potential) under different fertilization treatments in the rice-wheat cropping system.

| Treatment | Total emission mg m\textsuperscript{-2} | N\textsubscript{2}O Greenhouse effect kg CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} | Integrated greenhouse effect kg CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} | GWP CO\textsubscript{2}-eq kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Wheat     |                                 |                                             |                                 |                                 |
| CK        | -33.7±2.43b                     | -100.51±12.1b                               | -33.95±2.98b                    | -0.03±0.01b                     |
| CF        | 102.41±14.8a                    | 305.18±19.9a                                | 473.72±9.43a                    | 0.26±0.03a                      |
| OF        | 115.90±12.9a                    | 345.39±15.7a                                | 504.41±13.5a                    | 0.21±0.02a                      |
| SRF       | 96.44±5.3a                      | 287.40±11.3a                                | 379.22±7.65a                    | 0.17±0.01a                      |
| OF+UI     | 79.73±4.4a                      | 237.61±9.5a                                 | 384.17±5.98a                    | 0.15±0.01a                      |
| OF+CT     | 99.3±3.45a                      | 295.8±14.6a                                 | 395.98±12.87a                   | 0.8±0.02a                       |
| CF+SI     | 89.4±9.2b                       | 277.18±7.41b                                | 359.43±8.98b                    | 0.16±0.01b                      |
| CF+DI     | 97.43±16.3a                     | 290.76±9.72a                                | 390.98±14.65a                   | 0.20±0.02a                      |
| Rice      |                                 |                                             |                                 |                                 |
| CK        | 19.99±13.2b                     | 59.57±3.89b                                 | 612.02±22.98a                   | 1.04±0.01a                      |
| CF        | 74.55±5.76a                     | 222.16±8.90a                                | 877.66±36.12a                   | 1.17±0.03a                      |
| OF        | 92.23±9.67a                     | 274.85±11.3a                                | 910.25±43.32a                   | 1.20±0.02a                      |
| SRF       | 71.99±5.43a                     | 214.53±9.43a                                | 817.03±28.65a                   | 1.15±0.01a                      |
| OF+UI     | 54.87±4.33a                     | 163.51±5.87a                                | 790.01±22.36a                   | 1.00±0.01a                      |
| OF+CT     | 86.98±5.98a                     | 265.89±7.43a                                | 799.57±27.98a                   | 1.18±0.02a                      |
| CF+SI     | 62.67±5.98a                     | 203.67±9.98a                                | 806.91±32.65a                   | 1.14±0.01a                      |
| CF+DI     | 85.32±9.76a                     | 240.98±12.87a                               | 897.64±28.98a                   | 1.15±0.01a                      |

Lowercase letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P<0.05), and while capital letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P<0.01); ± show the standard errors (n = 3) of the replications.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202016.t001

Optimizing fertilizer application and combining fertilizer with urease inhibitor can increase the grain number per spike and 1000-grain weight of the crop, thereby increasing the yield (Table 2).

Table 2. Total crop yield kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} for the rice-wheat cropping system.

| Treatment | Grains per spike | 1000 grain weight g | Yield kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} | Yield% |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Wheat     |                  |                     |                                 |        |
| CK        | 26±2             | 31.7±1.3            | 1438.9±68.3C                    | -      |
| CF        | 31±2             | 42.2±1.3            | 3172.2±53.0B                    | 11.56  |
| OF        | 33±2             | 46.3±0.7            | 3538.9±192.8AB                  | 10.79  |
| SRF       | 32±4             | 44.1±1.1            | 3514.6±51.8AB                   | 15.59  |
| OF+UI     | 34±2             | 46.2±1.2            | 3666.7±48.1A                    | 9.98   |
| OF+CT     | 31±2             | 38.3±0.9            | 3031.7±52.8AB                   | 12.11  |
| CF+SI     | 32±3             | 40.1±1.9            | 3287.1±81.8A                    | 11.32  |
| CF+DI     | 31.9±2           | 41.2±1.2            | 3266.5±51.3B                    |        |
| Rice      |                  |                     |                                 |        |
| CK        | 151±8            | 20.3±0.9            | 5966.7±135.6C                   | -      |
| CFT       | 220±6            | 25.5±0.9            | 8376.7±189.6B                   | 6.14   |
| OPT       | 239±7            | 26.1±1.3            | 8891.1±111.1A                   | 3.06   |
| SRF       | 229±11           | 25.9±1.6            | 8633.3±155.0AB                  | 7.32   |
| OF+UI     | 244±7            | 26.7±0.6            | 8990.0±140.1A                   | 3.87   |
| OF+CT     | 239±7            | 25.9±1.6            | 8697.9±121.3A                   | 5.51   |
| CF+SI     | 204±9            | 22.1±1.7            | 7287.2±103.9B                   | 2.98   |
| CF+DI     | 230±8            | 26.1±1.3            | 8981.1±141.1A                   |        |

Lowercase letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P<0.05), and while capital letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P<0.01); ± show the standard errors (n = 3) of the replications.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202016.t002
Table 3. Greenhouse gas emission intensity (GHGI) under different fertilization treatments in the rice-wheat cropping system.

| Treatment | Total CO\(_2\)-eq kg ha\(^{-1}\) | Yield kg ha\(^{-1}\) | GHGI kg kg\(^{-1}\) | Reduction % |
|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
|            |                                  |                      |                 |             |
| Wheat      |                                  |                      |                 |             |
| CK         | 341.02±24.46dC                   | 1438.9±68.3Cc        | 0.24±0.03aA     | ——          |
| CF         | 749.25±14.09aA                   | 3172.2±53.0dB       | 0.23±0.01aA     | ——          |
| OF         | 650.61±0.45bB                    | 3538.9±192.8aAB     | 0.19±0.01bAB    | 17.39       |
| SRF        | 603.77±10.09bC                   | 3514.6±51.8aAB      | 0.17±0.01bB     | 26.09       |
| OF+UI      | 592.56±0.59aC                    | 3666.7±48.1aA       | 0.16±0.01bB     | 30.43       |
| OF+CT      | 690.61±3.45aB                    | 3031.7±52.8aAB      | 0.22±0.01bAB    | 20.39       |
| CF+SI      | 529.17±19.2bC                    | 3287.1±81.8aA       | 0.16±0.01aA     | 13.12       |
| CF+DI      | 729.69±28.03aA                   | 3266.5±51.3bB       | 0.22±0.01bB     | 12.98       |
| Rice       |                                  |                      |                 |             |
| CK         | 5884.31±351.48dC                 | 5966.7±135.6cB      | 0.99±0.07cAB    | 15.38       |
| CF         | 9801.49±699.27abA                | 8376.7±189.6bA      | 1.17±0.06aA     | ——          |
| OF         | 10273.82±476.31aA                | 8891.1±111.1aA      | 1.16±0.04aB     | 0.85        |
| SRF        | 8631.85±273.50bCbAB              | 8633.3±155.0abA     | 1.00±0.05bcAB   | 14.53       |
| OF+UI      | 7543.01±74.38CbC                 | 8990.0±140.1aC      | 0.84±0.02cB     | 28.21       |
| OF+CT      | 9923.72±396.77bB                 | 8697.9±121.3aB      | 1.14±0.05aA     | 12.43       |
| CF+SI      | 7678.89±534.47CCc                | 7287.2±103.9bA      | 1.05±0.04cAB    | 14.98       |
| CF+DI      | 10863.92±516.34aBaB              | 8981.1±141.1aA      | 1.20±0.02cB     | 11.67       |

Lowercase letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P<0.05), and while capital letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P<0.01); ± show the standard errors (n = 3) of the replications.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202016.1003

Interestingly, there were significantly differences in CO\(_2\)-eq emissions among the treatments (P<0.05, Table 3). Over the experimental period, the total CO\(_2\)-eq emissions ranged from 5884 ± 351 CO\(_2\)-eq kg ha\(^{-1}\) to 10864 ± 516 CO\(_2\)-eq kg ha\(^{-1}\) and 341 ± 24 CO\(_2\)-eq kg ha\(^{-1}\) to 749 ± 14 CO\(_2\)-eq kg ha\(^{-1}\) for rice and wheat, respectively. During the rice season, the highest CO\(_2\)-eq emission was observed in the CF+DI treatment and the lowest CO\(_2\)-eq emission was found in the CK treatment; whereas, during the wheat season, the highest and lowest CO\(_2\)-eq emission concentrations were observed in CF and CK, respectively (Table 3). In all treatments, the emissions of CO\(_2\)-eq were higher during the rice season as compared to the wheat season, which could be due to the reducing environment of paddy fields, which favors methanogenesis. The emissions of CO\(_2\)-eq varied considerably between rice growing seasons.

Greenhouse gas emission intensity (GHGI) under different fertilization treatments. Different greenhouse gas emission intensities (GHGI) were measured over the entire year to year under the same treatments (Table 3). In the 3\(^{rd}\) crop rotation cycle, respective GHGI values were 0.16 ± 0.01 kg kg\(^{-1}\) and 0.23 ± 0.01 kg kg\(^{-1}\) for OF+UI and CF for the wheat cropping season, and 0.84 ± 0.02 kg kg\(^{-1}\) (OF+UI) and 1.17 ± 0.06 kg kg\(^{-1}\) (CF) for the rice cropping season (Table 3). By comparing the fertilization treatments with the CF treatment, the percentage of GHGI in different fertilization treatments were found to be lower than that of the local traditional fertilization method. The GHGI of the CK treatment was significantly reduced by up to 15.38% for the rice cropping season, though there was no reduction detected with the wheat cropping season relative to the CF treatment; this indicated that the application of nitrogen fertilizer during the rice season led to a significant increases in GHGI, which resulted in a very significant increase in greenhouse gas emissions. Compared with CK, the GHGI of the OF treatment was significantly decreased by 17.39% for the wheat season, but there was no significant reduction with the rice season. The GHGI values of the SRF treatment were reduced by 14.53% and 26.09% over the rice and wheat seasons, respectively; this indicated that the use of controlled fertilizer could achieve significant emission reductions and yield increases with...
rice-wheat rotation farmland in Chaohu. The CF+SI and CF+DI treatments resulted in GHGI emission reductions of up to 14.98% and 11.6% for the rice season, respectively. Under the same treatment, GHGIs achieved a significant reduction in the wheat season.

**Discussion**

Several previous studies have shown that application of nitrogen fertilizer increases the N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from agricultural soils [16,24,31]. N\textsubscript{2}O emission fluxes from rice-wheat cropping fields ranged between 0.61 µg m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1} to 1707.08 µg m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1} over the experimental period, which agreed with results from previous studies (0.6 µg m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1} to 1516.2 µg m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1}) conducted in different regions [11,32,33]. In this study, a negative N\textsubscript{2}O emission flux was also observed in October to March, which may have been due to decreased soil temperatures. Another study reported a negative N\textsubscript{2}O emission flux from November to January [11]. In a terrestrial environment, there are numerous factors affecting N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from denitrification, nitrification, chemodenitrification, heterotrophic nitrification, codenitrification and oxidation of ammonia; these processes are directly affected by the application of nitrogen fertilizer in the soil [13,34,35]. The results of this study also support this conclusion. In the same way, we analyzed the effects of nitrogen application on N\textsubscript{2}O emissions and emission peaks during the rice and wheat cropping seasons. In this study, nitrogen fertilizer was not used in the CK treatment, and both its seasonal and annual N\textsubscript{2}O accumulated emissions were significantly lower than the other fertilization treatments. Seasonal N\textsubscript{2}O emissions fluxes observed by Zou et al. [31] averaged a very low 2.26 µg m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1} with nitrogen fertilizer applied at 150 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1}. Similarly, with reduced nitrogen fertilizer application to different agricultural fields [36–38], lower N\textsubscript{2}O emissions fluxes were reported. This study found that increasing the application of nitrogen fertilizer could promote N\textsubscript{2}O emission from soil into the atmospheric environment.

This study demonstrated that the crop rotation cycle significantly affected the emission of N\textsubscript{2}O in the soil, whereas reduced application of nitrogen fertilizer can decrease N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. Similarly, previous studies also reported that a proper crop rotation cycle can significantly reduce N\textsubscript{2}O emissions [13,26,33,39]. N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from rice and wheat were balanced during the rice-wheat cropping seasons, accounting for 55% -61% over the wheat season and 39% -44% over the rice season; this indicated that rice and wheat were the main N\textsubscript{2}O emission sources. Liu et al.[11] showed similar results for a wheat-maize crop rotation system. Over the entire experimental period, dry land and flooded paddy fields were the main sources of N\textsubscript{2}O emission. The results showed that N\textsubscript{2}O emissions could be significantly reduced up to 12.44% and 15.82% in rice and wheat compared with conventional fertilization, respectively; this could serve as the primary method for reducing N\textsubscript{2}O emission in the rice-wheat cropping systems in Chaohu. Our results were similar to those estimates observed by Hu et al.[28] in rice-wheat crop rotation cycle.

Emissions of CO\textsubscript{2}-eq in the rice-wheat cropping system ranged from 341.02 ± 24.48 CO\textsubscript{2}-eq kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} to 10863.92 ± 516.34 CO\textsubscript{2}-eq kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Table 3), which was within the 295.65 ± 12.54 CO\textsubscript{2}-eq kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} to 9710.12 ± 474.98 CO\textsubscript{2}-eq kg ha\textsuperscript{-1} range observed in recent studies performed in the same region [23,40–42]. Consistent with previous recent studies [42–44], rice fields had greater contributions towards total CO\textsubscript{2}-eq emissions than wheat fields in the rice-wheat cropping system.

Factors such as soil temperature, soil water content, rainfall and soil EC influence N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from agricultural soils [45,46]. Soil temperature and moisture affect the functional activity of denitrifiers and nitrifiers, the production of substrates and the transport of produced N\textsubscript{2}O within the soil [47]. During the entire experiment, soil temperature and WFPS were considered the main factors influencing N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. Generally, N\textsubscript{2}O is emitted during soil
denitrification and nitrification processes [48,49], which are highly related to soil temperature [32,49,50]; thus, soil temperature can greatly influence N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. Increased emissions of N\textsubscript{2}O as soil temperature increased from 25°C to 30°C showed that production of N\textsubscript{2}O was sensitive to soil temperature [6]. In this study, the average soil temperature was 15.6°C with a range of -3.1°C to 34.5°C (Fig 2A). Maximum N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were observed at 27.5°C, which was similar to results from recent studies [6,51]. Chang et al. [52] had examined the response of N\textsubscript{2}O and CO\textsubscript{2} emissions fluxes to elevated soil temperature and showed that the rates of N\textsubscript{2}O and CO\textsubscript{2} emissions enhanced exponentially with increases in soil temperature. Consistent with recent researches [53–55], WFPS also greatly influenced the production and emission of N\textsubscript{2}O from terrestrial environments. In this experiment, WFPS values ranged from 34.9% to 59.2% for both rice and wheat cultivation (Fig 2A), which fell within the range of values (12.7 to 53.8%) observed in agricultural fields in Tennessee [38]. Different studies also reported that optimum WFPS for N\textsubscript{2}O emission was within the range of 48%-85% [56][57][58].

In this experimental study, the values of GHGI with different nitrogen fertilizer treatments ranged from -0.03 ± 0.01 kg CO\textsubscript{2}-eq ha\textsuperscript{-1} to 1.18 ± 0.02 kg CO\textsubscript{2}-eq ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Table 3), which was similar (0.02 ± 0.02 kg CO\textsubscript{2}-eq ha\textsuperscript{-1} to 1.15 ± 0.05 kg CO\textsubscript{2}-eq ha\textsuperscript{-1}) to a previous study [44]; these were higher than values obtained from maize cropping fields in central Nebraska where the GHGI was 0.8±0.02 kg CO\textsubscript{2}-eq ha\textsuperscript{-1} [59], but lower than the 8.3 kg CO\textsubscript{2}-eq ha\textsuperscript{-1} previously estimated in China [60].

Excessive use of chemical nitrogen fertilizer application rates in rice-wheat cropping systems in China is well documented, and leads to substantial emissions of N\textsubscript{2}O. Future reductions of N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from rice-wheat cropping systems will require come critical measurements; firstly, we can reduce GHG emissions generated from nitrogen fertilizer by optimizing the application rate [61,62]. Secondly, emissions of N\textsubscript{2}O can also be decreased by using polymer coated fertilizers [63] and/or nitrification inhibitors [64]. In our study, among all the nitrogen fertilizer treatments, the OF+UI treatment showed maximum crop yield as well as the lowest N\textsubscript{2}O emissions in a rice-wheat cropping system in China. Nevertheless, with excessive use of the rice-wheat crop rotation cycle in China, there is an urgent need for proper rice-wheat cropping system specific fertilizer management optimization approaches in order to simultaneously improve crop yield and mitigate GHGs in China.

**Conclusion**

In this experiment, we studied the seasonal annual N\textsubscript{2}O emission fluxes and crop yields under different nitrogenous fertilizer treatments (N-fertilizer) in rice-wheat cropping system from 2012–2015 in eastern China. Excessive use of N-fertilizer in rice-wheat cropping season for maximizing crop yield in China has been responsible for N\textsubscript{2}O emission. We also determined that different environmental factors were also involved in the emission of N\textsubscript{2}O. The emission fluxes of N\textsubscript{2}O in rice-wheat cropping season were ranged from 0.61 μg m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1} to 1707.08 μg m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1}. We analyzed that N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes were increased by increasing the N-fertilizer application rate (0–225 kg ha\textsuperscript{-1}). During this experiment, we also analyzed that by increasing the utilization rate of NPK fertilizers were significantly reduced the greenhouse gas emission (57.14% to 68.38%). Among all the treatments, SRF and OF+UI were found the best treatments for obtaining higher yield with less N\textsubscript{2}O emissions, and thus the great greenhouse gas emission reduction was also found in these treatments. The present study emphasizes that the improved management of N-fertilization significantly mitigated the emission of greenhouse gases especially, nitrous oxide form terrestrial environment to atmospheric environment and increased the crop yield.
Supporting information
S1 Table. Fertilizer application plan (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) for the rice-wheat cropping system (2012–2015).
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