Enhanced aquathermolysis of extra-heavy oil by application of transition metal oxides submicro-particles in relation to steam injection processes
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Abstract
In order to investigate the catalytic effects of transition metal oxides submicro-particles on aquathermolysis of Liaohe extra-heavy crude oil, the catalysts NiO, α-Fe₂O₃ and Co₃O₄ are used and evaluated during the experiments. The optimum mass fraction of the catalyst and water was determined to be 5.0 wt% and 30 wt%, respectively. The optimum reaction time for aquathermolysis was 24 h, and the optimum reaction temperature was 240 °C. The analysis results showed the heavy oil was upgraded dramatically by addition of the catalysts based upon viscosity reduction, saturate/aromatics/resins/asphaltenes analyses, elemental analysis, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and gas chromatography. All results show the heavy oil is in situ updated dramatically by catalytic aquathermolysis under the optimum operating conditions. A five-lump model is proposed for estimating kinetic parameters of aquathermolysis and agrees well with the experimental data.
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Introduction

Heavy crude oils represent a significant share of the total oil reserves, and steam injection is the most commonly used method for recovering heavy crude oils (Santos et al. 2014). In the 1980s, the researchers discovered chemical reactions called aquathermolysis leading to in situ upgrading of heavy oils during steam injection processes (Clark and Hyne 1984). Smaller fragments are produced from organosulphur compounds and subsequently take part in a series of reactions during aquathermolysis, resulting in generation of smaller components such as H₂S, CO₂, CH₄ and H₂. Increases in light components and decreases in heavy components and significant viscosity reduction of heavy crude oils are observed after aquathermolysis in most of the literature (Kapadia et al. 2015). It has been proven that transition metals exert beneficial effects on the aquathermolysis of heavy oils (Li et al. 2018a, b; Muraza 2015). Effects of nickel, iron and cobalt-based nanocatalysts on viscosity reduction of heavy oils were reported by Iskandar (2016), and the presence of surfactant (20 wt% ethylene glycol) shows no improvements on the catalytic performance. CuO nanoparticles were in situ prepared and showed 94.6% reduction in viscosity of Shengli heavy oil (Chen 2019). Li (2018) reported that ferric oleate was more efficient for heavy oil cracking than Co and Ni oleates in the aquathermolysis of Shengli heavy oils. Influences of hydrogen donors and formation minerals on the aquathermolysis of heavy oils have also been investigated by several authors in order to identify the additional chemicals apart from the transition metals for enhancing the reaction (Zhang et al. 2010; Zhao et al. 2011).

Perez-Perez et al. (2011) constructed a kinetic model to mimic H₂S and CO₂ emissions during aquathermolysis of Athabasca bitumen. A total of thirteen components are included in the model, and the prediction of gas emissions is in line with the results reported from field. However, some weaknesses of the kinetic models were identified by the authors, and the application of the model was limited to the idealized Athabasca bitumen. Kapadia et al (2012) proposed the aquathermolysis reaction scheme using an exponential decay model. The model was composed of seven reactions that represent production of non-condensable gases from Athabasca...
the properties of heavy crudes vary and kinetics is not representative. Further studies are needed since the properties of heavy crudes vary and kinetics is not representative.

In this paper, transition metal oxides submicro-particles of NiO, α-Fe₂O₃ and Co₃O₄ were proposed during aquathermolysis of Liaohe extra-heavy crude oil in order to investigate the effects of the catalysts and determine the optimum operating parameters. Due to the fact that particle size distribution is broad and there are some particles falling into nanocategory, a significantly different behavior of submicro-particles versus the published nanoparticles studies is not expected (Anderson et al. 2013; Galukhin et al. 2017; Lakhova et al. 2017). A five-lump model is proposed to estimate the kinetic parameters of the aquathermolysis, considering the conversions among different pseudo-components.

Materials and methods

Materials

The heavy crude oil sample was obtained from Liaohe Oilfield in China. The Liaohe heavy crude oils typically have high resin content in composition, usually greater than 30 wt% (Li et al. 2018a, b; Yi et al. 2009). Different from most of the other heavy oils around the world, they often present relatively high viscosity, low specific gravity, and low contents in sulfur and nickel. For saturates/aromatics/resins/asphaltenes (SARA) analysis, analytically pure chemicals such as methenyl chloride, n-hexane, methanol, methylene chloride, absolute ethyl alcohol, activated aluminum oxide and other additives were purchased from Haerbin Chemical Engineering Plant. The transition metal oxides NiO, α-Fe₂O₃ and Co₃O₄ (submicro-particles) were purchased from Shenyang Reagent Plant.

Experimental methods

Analysis of the properties of the heavy oils and the catalysts

The viscosity of the dehydrated heavy crude samples was measured at required temperatures on HAAKE RS 6000 Viscotester. The elemental analyses were performed on German Elementar Vario EL III analyzer where helium was used as the carrier gas. The heavy crude oil samples were also tested for SARA as per SY/T 5119–2016 standard. During SARA analysis, n-hexane was used for deasphalting, and the filtrate was eluted with different organic solvents in the subsequent separation for obtaining saturates, aromatics and resins, respectively.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) analyses of the oil samples were performed using Nicolet iS10 spectrometer. The samples were scanned in the wavenumber range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹ to record FT-IR spectra.

Gas chromatography (GC) was performed as per SY/T 5779-2008 standard on Agilent 6890 N with HP5 GC columns and flame ionization detector (FID). Helium was used as the carrier gas at the flow rate of 30 ml/min.

Experimental

The aquathermolysis experiments were carried out in a high temperature and high-pressure autoclave system, as is illustrated in Fig. 1. 100 g of the heavy crude oil and required amount of water, the catalysts were placed in WYF-I type autoclave (manufactured by Haian Petroleum Apparatus Factory) with required settings of temperature and reaction time. The air in the autoclave was displaced by nitrogen gas to establish inert atmosphere, and 4–10 MPa pressure was initiated before heating. The temperature and the pressure in the autoclave were recorded every 10 min during aquathermolysis reactions.

The samples post-aquathermolysis was analyzed as above-mentioned methods. The viscosity reduction ratio (Δμ) is calculated based on Eq. (1) as below:

\[ Δμ = 100% \times (μ_o - μ)/μ_o \]

(1)

where \(μ_o\) is the initial viscosity of the heavy crude oil, and \(μ\) is the viscosity post-aquathermolysis.

Results and discussion

Properties of the heavy crude oil

The properties of the original heavy crude oil are presented in Table 1, and the separation of SARA photographs is shown in Fig. 2. Resins and asphaltenes account for a large proportion in weight fraction. The oil sample was typically characterized by highest content of resins, low content of sulfur and low atomic H/C ratio.

The viscosity of the heavy oil is highly temperature-dependent and thus is measured at various temperatures.
The \( \mu - t \) relationship fitting curve is presented in Fig. 3. It conforms to Eq. (2) as below:

\[
\mu_o = 6891.86216 \cdot \exp(-0.07248t)
\]  

where \( \mu_o \) represents the viscosity in Pa·s, and \( t \) is the temperature in Celsius degree. Correlation coefficient \( R^2 (0.99199) \) is close to 1.0, demonstrating a good fitting result.

**Characterization of the submicro-catalysts**

The purity and the crystalline structure of the submicro-transition metal oxides were examined by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) using RINT2000 vertical goniometer with standard sample holder and fixed monochromator. Cu \( k\alpha_1 \) (40 kV, 40 mA) was employed for continuous scanning in the 2-theta range from 20° to 70° with 0.02 step and 1° DivSlit. The XRD patterns of the transition metal oxides submicro-particles are shown in Fig. 4. The diffraction peaks in terms of the peak positions and the relative intensity are matching the standard spectrum of NiO (PDF#44–1159), \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (PDF#80–2377) and Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) (PDF#42–1467), respectively. The purity of the samples was verified as single phase from the characteristic peaks of the XRD patterns.

Since the average particle size of each sample calculated by Scherrer formula is over 100 nm (generally considered as the critical size that defines whether Scherrer formula should be employed), the microstructure of the samples was also examined with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using Quanta FEG450, as is shown in Fig. 5. The size distribution of the transition metal oxides submicro-particles demonstrates that the average size of NiO/\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) is 118.1 nm/245.0 nm/268.7 nm, respectively.

**Influential factors on aquathermolysis**

As is well-known, aquathermolysis is essentially the breakage of the weak chemical bonds which requires certain
amount of energy. During steam injection processes, the injection temperature of the steam is higher than 300 °C, and the reservoirs can be heated up to 200 °C and higher. Therefore, the temperature range in the experiments was set from 180 to 300 °C. The influence of experimental parameters, including reaction temperature, catalyst usage, mass fraction of water and reaction time, was evaluated by analyzing viscosity reduction, as is illustrated in Fig. 6.

Elevation of reaction temperature resulted in viscosity reduction. When reaction temperature was below 240 °C, viscosity reduction was enhanced tremendously. The temperature was elevated above 240 °C, the extent of viscosity reduction became small, and the trend tended to be steady. This indicated that aquathermolysis was approaching to the end at the temperature. It was also noticed that the viscosity was reduced further at 300 °C characterized by an upsurge in the curve. This was caused by thermal pyrolysis at the relatively high temperature. Considering the actual process of steam injection, 240 °C
can be regarded as the optimum reaction temperature for aquathermolysis. Considering the operational applicability and the cost of the catalysts, the aquathermolysis approached toward the optimum state with 30 wt% mass fraction of water, 5.0 wt% catalyst usage and 24 h reaction time. The greatest catalytic activity was noticed in the presence of NiO, where oil viscosity was significantly decreased by 74.38%.

Fig. 5 SEM images of the transition metal oxides submicro-particles

Fig. 6 Influence of operating parameters on $\Delta \mu$ with different catalysts
Oil properties analysis

The variation of SARA post-aquathermolysis (240 °C, 24 h and 5.0 wt% catalyst usage) was examined and illustrated in Fig. 7. Compared to initial oil, aquathermolysis led to increase in saturates by 2.91 wt% and aromatics by 2.83 wt% and decrease in resins by 3.45 wt% and asphaltenes by 2.29 wt%. The enhancement was observed by addition of transition metal oxides microparticles. NiO also presented greatest catalytic effect on SARA variation during aquathermolysis, where saturates and aromatics increased by 8.01 wt% and 9.82 wt%, resins and asphaltenes decreased by 9.22 wt% and 8.61 wt%, respectively. The breakage of C–S bonds, C–N bonds and C–O bonds is the basic forms of thermal cracking during aquathermolysis, and these heteroatoms are primarily present in the heavy components (e.g., resins and asphaltenes) of the crude oil. Therefore, aquathermolysis resulted in increase in saturates and aromatics and decrease in resins and asphaltenes.

Elemental analyses were conducted, and the result is shown in Table 2. The content of carbon and heteroatoms decreased, while hydrogen content increased, leading to the increase of H/C atomic ratio. Among these heteroatoms, the content of sulfur element decreased to the greatest extent in the presence of NiO submicroparticles, which proves that desulfurization is one of the most dominating reactions for oil viscosity reduction and NiO effectively accelerates the aquathermolysis.

FT-IR analyses were carried out using the initial oil, converted oil by aquathermolysis (240 °C + 24 h + 30 wt% water) and converted oil by catalytic aquathermolysis (5.0 wt% NiO). The result is shown in Fig. 8. The FT-IR spectra of the initial oil exhibited absorptions at 2850 cm⁻¹ and 2920 cm⁻¹ (naphthene and C–H stretching), 1707 cm⁻¹(C=O stretching), 1457 cm⁻¹ and 1377 cm⁻¹ (C–CH₃ unsymmetrical and –CH₂– symmetrical stretching). The characteristic FT-IR absorptions near 3450 cm⁻¹ related to O–H stretching had weakened after aquathermolysis reactions. This may be caused by olefinic alcohol hydrolysis, aldehyde decomposition and water gas shift reaction (WGSR). After catalytic aquathermolysis, the characteristic FT-IR absorptions near 1610 cm⁻¹ were strengthened, indicating the reduction in aromatic ring number. Heteroatoms (e.g., S, O, N) are normally situated on condensed rings in the heavy crude oil. Hydrogenation and de-heteroatoms effects during aquathermolysis contributed to the reduction in aromatic ring number.

![Fig. 7 Variation in SARA fractions at 240 °C under different conditions](image)

**Table 2** Elemental analysis pre- and post-aquathermolysis

| Reaction                      | C (wt%) | H (wt%) | S (wt%) | O (wt%) | N (wt%) | H/C ratio |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Initial                       | 83.59   | 11.21   | 2.72    | 0.77    | 0.87    | 1.60      |
| Aquathermolysis               | 83.18   | 11.71   | 2.09    | 0.68    | 0.79    | 1.69      |
| NiO + aquathermolysis         | 82.93   | 12.62   | 1.24    | 0.45    | 0.63    | 1.79      |
A gas chromatograph where components of initial oil, converted oil by aquathermolysis (240 °C + 24 h + 30 wt% water) and converted oil by catalytic aquathermolysis (addition of 5.0 wt% NiO) were separated via a separation column and signals were recorded, as is shown in Fig. 9. There appear the peaks of C_6–C_9 after aquathermolysis and C_5–C_10 after catalytic aquathermolysis. Light hydrocarbons increase and heavy hydrocarbons increase after aquathermolysis. When the catalyst is added to the reaction system, light hydrocarbons (< C_{10}) further increase by 2.91% and heavy hydrocarbons (> C_{25}) decrease by 8.73%.

**Kinetics of aquathermolysis**

Breakage of carbon–sulfur bond is significant in aquathermolysis, resulting in conversion of large molecules to multiple small molecules. The contents of heteroatoms decrease in the order of asphaltenes, resins, aromatics and saturates in heavy oils. Therefore, a five-lump kinetic model is established to involve asphaltenes, resins, aromatics and saturates, and gases are also added to the model to accurately describe the aquathermolysis reaction processes. The reaction scheme of the proposed five lumps kinetic model for aquathermolysis is shown in Fig. 10.

The kinetic parameters of aquathermolysis including activation energy and pre-exponential factor are associated with the rate and the degree of the reaction. Based upon the assumption of first-order reaction, the aquathermolysis processes are described as follows:

\[
\frac{dx_1}{dt} = -(k_1 + k_11 + k_{10})x_1 + k_2x_2
\]

\[
\frac{dx_2}{dt} = -(k_2 + k_3 + k_7)x_2 + k_1x_1 + k_3x_3
\]

\[
\frac{dx_3}{dt} = -(k_4 + k_8 + k_9)x_3 + k_3x_2
\]

\[
\frac{dx_4}{dt} = -k_6x_4 + k_{10}x_1 + k_5x_2 + k_8x_3
\]

\[
\frac{dx_5}{dt} = k_{11}x_1 + k_7x_2 + k_9x_3 + k_6x_4
\]

The mathematical relationship between absolute temperature and the rate of the chemical reactions follows Arrhenius equation:
where \( k_i \) represents rate constant, \( R \) is universal gas constant, \( A \) denotes pre-exponential factor, \( E_i \) is activation energy, and \( T \) is absolute temperature.

Objective function is the minimum errors of sum of squares between the calculated results and experimentally measured data. Model parameters shall meet Arrhenius equation, and rate constant shall not be negative. The ordinary differential Eqs. 3–7 are solved by numerical Runge–Kutta method which produces better results in fewer steps (Burrage and Burrage 2000). The calculated rate constants, activation energy and pre-exponential factor are listed in Table 3. The estimated activation energies show good agreement with the similar work (Huang et al. 2017; Kapadia et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2004). The different reaction schemes adopted in the model and different oil properties could account for the variations in the estimated activation energies and pre-exponential factors. In most of the kinetic models, gases are divided into \( \text{H}_2\text{S}, \text{CO}, \text{CO}_2, \text{CH}_4, \text{H}_2 \) and high molecular weight gas (HMWG). In this five-lump kinetic model, we group all gases as an integral pseudo-component. This significantly simplifies the processes and the estimated kinetic parameters match the overall estimation results with the literature.

\[
k_i = A \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{E_i}{RT} \right)
\]

(8)

The comparison between the experimentally measured data and the calculated results is given in Fig. 11. The dots are the experimentally measured data, and the solid lines are the theoretically calculated results. As is seen, the calculated results agree with the experimental data, indicating the proposed five-lump model is fit for purpose in terms of estimating kinetic parameters of aquathermolysis.

### Conclusions

Based upon the experiments and the results from the literature, some resins and asphaltenes were consumed and converted to saturates and resins and other byproducts such as \( \text{H}_2, \text{CO}, \text{H}_2\text{S}, \text{CH}_4 \) during aquathermolysis. The FT-IR, GC and viscosity/SARA/elemental analysis results demonstrate in situ upgrading of the heavy crude oil. The catalyst NiO was selected to enhance aquathermolysis, and the optimum mass fraction was determined experimentally. The addition of the catalyst is beneficial to field implementation in relation to steam injection when designed properly. A five-lump model is proposed for estimating kinetic parameters of aquathermolysis and agrees well with the experimental data.

### Table 3 Estimated kinetic parameters of proposed five lumps kinetic model

| \( k_i \) (h\(^{-1}\)) | 200 °C | 220 °C | 240 °C | 260 °C | \( A \) (h\(^{-1}\)) | \( E \) (kJ/mol) |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|-------------|
| \( k_1 \)             | 0.0158 | 0.0886 | 0.6171 | 0.8152 | \( 6.11 \times 10^{10} \) | 145,4477    |
| \( k_2 \)             | 0.0057 | 0.0527 | 0.5562 | 0.8232 | \( 2.83 \times 10^{14} \) | 182,3933    |
| \( k_3 \)             | 0.0141 | 0.0817 | 0.1854 | 0.2666 | 9.94 \times 10^5  | 102,0378    |
| \( k_4 \)             | 0.0139 | 0.0841 | 0.1971 | 0.2819 | 1.98 \times 10^6  | 104,7947    |
| \( k_5 \)             | 2.7 \times 10^{-9} | 1.10 \times 10^{-8} | 9.0 \times 10^{-8} | 1.54 \times 10^{-7} | 2.79 \times 10^4  | 149,9427    |
| \( k_6 \)             | 2.63 \times 10^{-6} | 8.47 \times 10^{-6} | 2.26 \times 10^{-5} | 4.16 \times 10^{-5} | 4.60 \times 10^2  | 97,4601     |
| \( k_7 \)             | 2.56 \times 10^{-9} | 1.58 \times 10^{-8} | 9.31 \times 10^{-8} | 1.12 \times 10^{-7} | 1.96 \times 10^3  | 138,8278    |
| \( k_8 \)             | 0.0046 | 0.0091 | 0.0485 | 0.0881 | 1.69 \times 10^6  | 110,2795    |
| \( k_9 \)             | 4.27 \times 10^{-6} | 1.07 \times 10^{-5} | 5.58 \times 10^{-5} | 8.05 \times 10^{-5} | 1.64 \times 10^3  | 109,9909    |
| \( k_{10} \)          | 1.0 \times 10^{-12} | 7.41 \times 10^{-11} | 1.75 \times 10^{-10} | 2.66 \times 10^{-9} | 1.61 \times 10^{13} | 258,2649    |
| \( k_{11} \)          | 8.93 \times 10^{-8} | 1.95 \times 10^{-7} | 1.25 \times 10^{-6} | 1.71 \times 10^{-5} | 2.53 \times 10^9  | 183,1869    |
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