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Atomically thin topological materials are attracting growing attention for their potential to radically transform classical and quantum electronic device concepts. Among them is the quantum spin Hall (QSH) insulator—a 2D state of matter that arises from interplay of topological band inversion and strong spin–orbit coupling, with large tunable bulk bandgaps up to 800 meV and gapless, 1D edge states. Reviewing recent advances in materials science and engineering alongside theoretical description, the QSH materials library is surveyed with focus on the prospects for QSH-based device applications. In particular, theoretical predictions of nontrivial superconducting pairing in the QSH state toward Majorana-based topological quantum computing are discussed, which are the next frontier in QSH materials research.

1. Introduction

Since its first isolation in 2005, graphene—a single atomic layer of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice (Figure 1) is often regarded the prototypical 2D material.[1,2] It has become synonymous with atomic-thinness, high tensile strength,[1,4] and electrical mobility.[5,6] More importantly, it has also become a fertile hunting ground for unconventional electronic behavior, such as manifestations of "massless" Dirac fermions,[1] and unconventional Hall responses.[1,7–14] The graphene lattice was also the first material in which a time-reversal symmetry (TRS) protected topological insulating state in two dimensions[15,16] was predicted—the quantum spin Hall (QSH) insulator.[15,16]

Different from trivial semiconductors or insulators, in which valence and conduction bands are strictly separated by a (trivial) electronic bandgap, 2D topological insulators (2D TIs) have a gapped 2D bulk, but possess one or more gapless electronic states confined to their boundary. In the QSH insulator (Figure 1A,B), a subclass of 2D TIs, the boundary harbors a pair of symmetry protected, spin-momentum locked 1D boundary modes. This can be understood as the result of the orbital structure of certain crystal lattices similar to that of graphene, giving rise to an inversion of conduction and valence electron bands. Such band inversion in combination with spin–orbit coupling (SOC) leads to a net spin accumulation transverse to an applied electric field, which results in a quantized spin Hall voltage at zero net charge Hall voltage; hence, the quantum spin Hall effect.

Although the first experimental confirmation of the QSH state was realized in semiconductor heterostructures of HgTe/CdTe[17–19] and InAs/GaSb,[20–23] the iconic graphene lattice has remained a key model system for atomically thin QSH insulators. The recent emergence of atomically thin quantum spin Hall materials, with a plethora of related hexagonal lattice structures and strong spin–orbit coupling,[15,16,24–37] provides an ever growing, rich pool for scientific discovery and potential for technological applications in electronics, spintronics, and even quantum information processing.

Electrons within the 1D QSH edge modes (Figure 1B) are spin-momentum locked (helical) such that each spin polarity is tied to one momentum direction. Electronic states are thus protected against scattering from non-magnetic disorder by time-reversal symmetry.[15,24,26,27,34,35,38] QSH-based devices may be robust against localization even by strong disorder,[34] edge roughness,[19,40] or nonmagnetic impurities.[26,27] As spin and momentum are locked along the helical edge, QSH insulators may further be used for the generation and detection of spin currents by spin and charge interconversion[41,42] in spintronic devices.[43] Finally, controlling the topological phase itself by electric[40,43–45] and magnetic fields, or by strain,[43,46] may offer control over charge and spin currents at the helical edge with rapid switching rate[47] compared to the charge-based accumulation and depletion underlying complementary metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistor (MOSFET) devices.
One key requirement to harness the rich physics of QSH materials toward prospective electronic device applications remains that the size of the topological bandgap \( E_g \) must be substantially larger than the thermal broadening at the operating temperature, \( T > E_g \gg k_B T \), where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant. For room temperature operation, this will require a gap magnitude significantly larger than 90 meV, which exceeds that estimated for graphene by three orders of magnitude.\[48–50\] In Xenes—materials with graphene-like hexagonal lattice structure—the magnitude of \( E_g \) is primarily governed by the strength of the SOC, which motivates an ongoing search for materials with related hexagonal lattice structures but larger SOC. In addition, interface effects, lattice strain and distortions, as well as electric fields can have a profound influence on the band structure, including the band inversion itself and the magnitude of the topological gap. A detailed discussion of the role of SOC in QSH materials is included in Section 3, while we discuss the effects of strain and electric field in the context of topological phase transitions in Section 7. A substantial library of QSH materials with topological gaps ranging between \( E_g \approx 40 \mu \text{eV}^{[51]} \) to 800 meV\[52\] has now been realized, whose materials’ details and characterization we review in Section 5. The recent discovery of atomically flat bismuthene sets the record of the largest QSH gap with \( E_g \approx 800 \text{ meV}^{[52]} \) shortly followed by Na\(_2\)Bi with an electric field tunable gap of 0–300 meV\[53\] promising applications approaching room temperature. The highest temperature in which the QSH state has been demonstrated in a device is 100 K in atomically thin monolayers of WTe\(_2^{[54]}\).

It seems a matter of time until a QSH state is demonstrated at room temperature, forming a viable route toward QSH-based electronic devices\[40,43–46,54,55\] such as “topological field effect transistors” with fast switching rate and low-dissipation. We review proposals and recent experimental demonstrations of the control of the topological phase in Section 7.2. Meanwhile, at the extreme of low temperature, the interplay of topology and superconductivity\[56–58\] is predicted to lead to exotic quasiparticle excitations\[56,59\] including non-Abelian anyons. The much-coveted Majorana fermion, for example has known implications for fault-tolerant quantum information processing.\[56,57,60\] We review related developments in Section 7.4.

### 2. History of the Quantum Spin Hall State

The distinct properties of 2D TIs can be classified in the broader concept of topology—the study of properties which are preserved under smooth deformations—whereby topological properties are characterized by integer numbers, the so-called topological invariants.

Historically, characterizing the electronic properties of condensed matter systems by topology was first applied in 1982\[61\] to a 2D electronic gas in a strong magnetic field in order to explain the Landau Level quantization in the integer quantum Hall effect (QHE).\[62\] The corresponding topological invariant is the Chern number \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), where \( \mathbb{Z} \) is an integer, quantifying the total Berry flux in the Brillouin zone. Via the bulk-boundary correspondence,\[63\] the Chern number quantifies the number of states localized at the boundary between bulk and vacuum.

These ideas were further developed by Haldane\[64\] who considered a 2D crystal lattice with honeycomb lattice structure similar to graphene, but in which each atom has an on-site magnetic moment of opposite polarity to its neighbors such that the net macroscopic magnetic field was zero while the system remained topologically nontrivial. In the Haldane model, electrons may “hop” around the perimeter of the honeycomb lattice in one preferred direction, giving rise to a non-zero charge Hall voltage that is quantized. The result is equivalent to the QHE, but may exist in the absence of an applied magnetic field. This effect is hence known as the quantum anomalous Hall effect (QAHE).

The first realization of a material in which the QSH state was predicted was graphene. Within one year of its experimental isolation in monolayer and bilayer forms by Novoselov et al.\[1,2\] in 2004–2005, Kane and Mele\[15\] described the topology of this natural realization of a 2D honeycomb lattice in a manner similar to that of Haldane’s model, but in which spin–orbit coupling takes the role of the alternating magnetic order. As such,
two copies of the Haldane model were naturally realized giving rise to a vanishing charge Hall voltage but a non-zero spin Hall voltage. In this quantum spin Hall state, similar to the QHE, two counter-propagating states are localized at the edges. However, these states are spin-momentum locked such that different spin polarities have opposite direction of momentum, as illustrated in Figure 1B. Unlike the QHE or the QAHE, which break time-reversal symmetry due to the respective magnetic field or magnetic moments, QSH insulators inherently require time-reversal symmetry for its protection.[16,25] Due to the difference in the defining symmetry, the QSH insulator is described not by Chern number, but in terms of a $Z_2$ topological invariant.[18] Odd ($n = 1$) or even ($n = 0$) value of the $Z_2$ invariant indicates, respectively, whether a material possesses nontrivial topology or not.

Despite the immense importance of the graphene lattice in the development of the topological classification of band structures, it was soon realized that the weak spin–orbit coupling inherent to graphene would lead to a SO gap so small that bulk conduction channels would thermally activate at any reasonable experimental temperatures, precluding observation of QSH signatures.[48–50,65,66] In the search for materials with stronger spin–orbit coupling, Bernevig et al. subsequently made predictions[24] for the QSH state in semiconductor heterostructures of HgTe/CdTe, that were soon confirmed experimentally by König et al. in 2007.[17] Later in 2011, a QSH state was also confirmed in the related heterostructure of InAs/GaSb by Knez et al.[22]

In these carefully designed semiconductor heterostructures, band inversion arises from an intricate balance of thickness control and doping of the constituent semiconducting layers,[17,22,24,30,67] with spin–orbit gap of up to 55 meV in compressively strained HgTe.[68] Many of the predicted properties of the QSH insulator have subsequently been experimentally observed in heterostructure-based QSH insulators, including the concurrence of bulk gap and edge states,[69] quantized 1D conduction at the edge,[17,22] and the edge state’s spin-polarization.[19] However, the comparatively small QSH gap (4 to 55 meV[22,68]), and the fine balance of thickness control and doping required leaves semiconductor heterostructures susceptible to disorder,[70] which poses a challenge to both observation and application of the QSH state. This ultimately motivates an ongoing search of QSH materials having larger bandgaps, and in which band inversion is an intrinsic property of their crystal lattice rather than by virtue of heterostructure design.

### 3. Size Matters: The Role of the Spin–Orbit Coupling

The size of the QSH gap is critically determined by the strength of the SOC. SOC is the interaction between the spin and the orbital motion of an electron and is a purely relativistic effect. The coupling is inherent in the Dirac equation, and, when taking the non-relativistic limit to obtain the Pauli equation, SOC appears in the following explicit Hamiltonian form

$$H_{SOC} = \frac{\hbar}{4m^*e^2} \sigma (\nabla \times p)$$

Here, $U$ is the potential energy of the electron. In atomic physics, the SOC is usually cast into the form of $H_{SOC} = \zeta L s$, where $L$ and $s$ are respectively the orbital and spin angular momenta, and the coupling strength $\zeta \sim (1/\tau) (\partial U/\partial r)$ with $U$ being the ionic potential. The SOC strength increases with atomic number $Z$. As a rough guide, the coupling strength scales as $\zeta \sim Z^4$, resulting from a stronger on-site Coulomb potential of the increased proton count in the atomic nucleus. In 5D elements, for example, $\zeta$ can reach about 0.5 eV.

In crystalline solids, SOC is still a small perturbation on the overall energy scale. However, since the physical properties are mostly determined by a small energy window around the Fermi level, when zooming into this window, SOC can play an important role in shaping the low-energy band structure. SOC thus becomes an important consideration for materials engineering. In Figure 2, we compare density functional theory (DFT) predictions of the QSH gap for hexagonal lattices of the group-IV elements, reflecting the $Z^4$ dependence.

In theoretical treatment, the physics are usually captured by low-energy effective models. In such models, SOC could take different forms depending on the symmetry of the system. For example, in 2D electron gas based on III–V semiconductor quantum wells, one often encounters the Dresselhaus SOC and the Rashba SOC terms, given by

$$H_D = \beta (k, \sigma_+ - k, \sigma_-)$$

and

$$H_R = \alpha (k, \sigma_+ - k, \sigma_-)$$

respectively. The Dresselhaus SOC is due to the broken inversion symmetry in the bulk lattice structure, whereas the Rashba SOC originates from the interface-induced asymmetry. The Rashba type SOC also frequently appears in thin films and 2D materials from substrate effects. This can be readily observed

**Figure 2.** Size of the topological bandgap in group IVA Xenes. A) First principle calculation of the spin–orbit induced topological bandgap in group IVA Xenes. The data is compiled from refs. [49,50,106,111] The red line shows the expected the $Z^4$ dependence of atomic spin–orbit coupling in the Kane–Mele model. (Inset) $E_g$ versus $Z$ plotted on a double-logarithmic scale.
Table 1. Atomically thin quantum spin Hall materials.

| Substrate              | QSH gap [meV] | Substrate | QSH Gap [meV] | \( E_F \) position [meV] | Refs. |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Semiconductor heterostructures |              |           |               |                          |       |
| HgTe/CdTe-Cd\textsubscript{0.5}Zn\textsubscript{0.5}Te | ≈55          | GaAs      | ≈4           |                         | [68]  |
| InAs/GaSb              | ≈0.001–0.050 |           |              |                          | [22]  |

Xenes

| Substrate              | QSH gap [meV] | Substrate | QSH Gap [meV] | \( E_F \) position [meV] | Refs. |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Graphene               | 1.55–2.9     |           |              |                          |       |
| Silicon                | 23.9         |           |              |                          |       |
| Germanene              | ≈73, 100     |           |              |                          |       |
| (decorated)            | ≈270–340     |           |              |                          |       |
| (ultraflat) Cu(111)    | ≈220         | Cu(111)   | 300          | –1250                    | [107] |
| Plumbene               | ≈200         |           |              | +1250                    | [111] |
| Arsenene               | ≈200         |           |              |                          | [109] |
| (ultraflat) SiC(0001)  | ≈300–350     |           |              |                          |       |

Bismuth

| Substrate              | QSH gap [meV] | Substrate | QSH Gap [meV] | \( E_F \) position [meV] | Refs. |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|-------|
| (111) bilayer          | ≈200, ≈600   |           |              |                          |       |
| (100) bilayer          | 88.9         |           |              |                          |       |
| (ultraflat) SiC(0001)  | 506–560      | SiC(0001) | 800          |                          |       |
| (ultraflat) Si(111)    | 800          |           |              |                          |       |
| (ultraflat)            | Trivial      |           |              |                          |       |

Ultrathin Dirac semimetals

| Substrate              | QSH gap [meV] | Substrate | QSH Gap [meV] | \( E_F \) position [meV] | Refs. |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Na\textsubscript{0.5}Bi | 310          | Si(111)   | >300         |                          |       |
| 1T'-WTe\textsubscript{2} | 0, >100 | HOPG | 55, 62 | Approx. mid gap | [44,157,161,169] |
| 1T'-WSe\textsubscript{2} | 36, 116 | HOPG | 120, 129 | –130 | [44,166,167] |

Room temperature \((T = 298 \text{ K}): 3.53 k_B T = 90.6 \text{ meV}\)

4. Interactions on the Edge: The Helical Tomonaga–Luttinger Liquid (TLL)

Electron correlations are known to be strongly enhanced in low-dimensional electronic systems. In strictly 1D, for instance the helical edges of a QSH insulator, the Fermi surface is composed of only two Fermi points \( \pm k_F \) for forward and backward propagating electrons. The reduced dimensionality has the effect that electronic excitations become strongly correlated even at minimal interaction strength resulting in a breakdown of the Fermi liquid picture. Rather, such interacting 1D electron liquid is predicted to have a so-called TLL ground state, distinguishable from a Fermi liquid chiefly by spin and charge separation as well as the formation of spin and charge density waves, with plasmons and spin density waves being the fundamental low-energy excitations.

Interactions in a TLL are parameterized by Luttinger parameter \( K \), which characterizes the strength of the interactions. At low energies, \( K \) can be represented as

\[
K = \left( \frac{1 + y_1 - y_2}{1 + y_1 + y_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

where \( y_i = g_i (2\pi\hbar v_F)^{-1} \) denote the forward scattering amplitudes and the same (opposite) Fermi points, and \( \hbar v_F \) is the Fermi velocity. For the experimentally practical case of Coulomb interactions in 1D wire screened by a conducting plane, one can let
\[ g_2 = g_4 = V(q = 0) = \int V(x)e^{-q}dx \mid_{q=0} \]

(5)

where \( V(q = 0) \) is the Coulomb interaction between neighboring electrons in the long-wavelength \( (q = 0) \) limit. Then, \( K \) can be approximated as\[31,76]\]

\[ K = \left( 1 + \frac{V(q = 0)}{\pi n_{\text{FV}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{7}} \]

(6)

The Luttinger parameter \( K \) describes a noninteracting system, whereas \( 0 < K < 1 \) and \( K > 1 \) correspond to repulsive and attractive interactions, respectively.

Interactions strongly affect the tunneling conductance \( G \) of electrons into a TLL, which reduces from the quantized value \( G_0 = 2e^2/h \) (ballistic limit) by a characteristic power law dependence, simultaneously on temperature\[31\] at low tunneling bias \( (eV < k_B T) \), \( G \propto T^\alpha \), and tunneling energy \( eV \gg k_B T \).

The universal exponent \( \alpha \) depends on the number of 1D channels\[27\] and whether electrons tunnel into the TLL bulk or only a single end.\[28\] More fundamentally, is related to the Luttinger parameter \( K \) as \( \alpha = C(K + K^{-1} - 2) \). Depending on the class of 2D TI, spin degeneracy may further be broken, distinguishing spinful \( (C = 1/4, \text{quantum Hall}) \) and helical \( (C = 1/2, \text{quantum spin Hall}) \) TLLs (Figure 3A). For a helical TLL at the QSH edges\[59\] \( C = 1/2 \) due to tunneling of excitations with fractional charge \( e/2 \) between energy minima in the helical edge.\[31\] Chiral TLLs (Figure 3A) also exist, which possess a single, unidirectional, spin-degenerate edge mode and are found in quantum anomalous Hall insulators and fractional quantum Hall insulators.

Such electronic correlations in a helical TLL can be resolved in electron transport, or by local probe spectroscopy such as scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy (STM/STS), and can offer unprecedented insight into the electronic structure. Especially STM/STS offers direct access the tunneling differential conductance in real-space, which is discussed in detail in Section 6.3.

For an individual tunnel junction with a TLL, the temperature and bias dependencies of the differential tunneling conductance may be expressed in a single expression\[74,78,80,81\] as

\[ \frac{dI}{dV} = AT^\alpha \cos \left( \frac{eV}{2k_B T} \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{eV}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \]

(7)

where \( A \) is a constant and \( \Gamma(\alpha) \) is the gamma function. The above form describes a sharp suppression of the measured local density if states at \( e = 0 \) eV that can be directly probed as a function of STM junction temperature and STM bias \( V \) (see Section 6.3.2). More importantly, by plotting the measured differential conductance, normalized by the \( T^\alpha \), in dimensionless energy units \( (E - E_f)(k_B T)^{-1} \), a universal scaling plot can be achieved in which data points measured at different temperatures collapse onto a single trace. Such universal scaling is often regarding as a smoking gun signature of a TLL state.\[74,78\]

5. Atomically thin QSH Materials

Since the discovery of graphene as the first predicted atomically thin quantum spin Hall material, considerable effort has been devoted into isolating and synthesizing atomically thin materials with related crystal structures\[41,82\] and larger spin–orbit induced topological gaps. Indeed, material science now has access to a library of layered materials\[43,52,53,83\] with lattices of hexagonal symmetry that provide a vast pool for potential discovery of the QSH state.

5.1. Xenes

The physical reason for graphene’s small topological gap is its small atomic number combined with the presence of inversion symmetry of its lattice, both giving rise to weak spin orbit coupling. The former can be mitigated by considering honeycomb lattices composed of group IVA and group VA elements of higher atomic number, while inversion symmetry can be broken in certain buckled or puckered hexagonal lattices. Furthermore, the QSH gap may be modified by strain, electric and magnetic fields, and the chemical environment of the material. As a result, the Xenes show the widest range of intrinsic bulk topological gaps among all known QSH materials, ranging from likely \( \approx 42 \) μeV measured\[51\] in graphene (consistent with...
Figure 4. Atomic structure of different QSH materials. (Top) Basal plane and (bottom) side views of QSH lattices. A–C) Xene atoms coordinate with three nearest neighbors and adopt primarily three structural polymorphs: A) Flat, honeycomb lattice, B) buckled, wherein the inequivalent sublattices A and B (red and blue) are offset vertically by distance \( \delta > 0 \), and C) puckered, which has characteristic armchair ridges in the side view. D) In 1T' phase transition metal dichalcogenides, transition metal atoms (blue) dimerize along one direction, and each one coordinates with six chalcogen atoms (yellow). E) In a single layer of Na\(_2\)Bi, Bi atoms (violet) form a hexagonal sublattice wherein each Bi atom coordinates with nine Na atoms (yellow), resulting in three planar sublayers: i) Na, ii) Na/Bi, iii) Na.

5.1.1. Graphene and Group IVA Buckled Honeycomb Lattices

In ideal graphene, carbon atoms are arranged in a planar (\( \delta = 0 \)) honeycomb structure, as shown in Figure 4A. In addition, there may be vertical buckling of the lattice (\( \delta > 0 \), see Figure 4B), leading to an inequivalence of the A and B sublattices. Its atomic orbitals are sp\(^2\) hybridized with nearest neighbors strongly bonded by \( \sigma \)–\( \sigma \) bonds. Mobile electrons can hop to nearest neighbors via the lattice-commensurate, unsaturated \( p_x \) orbitals that hybridize to form a \( \pi \) band network. The low-energy physics of graphene is dominated by six inequivalent band crossings at the \( K \) (\( K' \)) points located at the corners of the hexagonal Brillouin zone, which exhibit a linear (Dirac) dispersion at low energy. The Kane–Mele model predicts SO gap at these Dirac nodes in the 2D bulk thus realizing a quantum spin Hall state.\(^{[15]}\) However, due to its planar structure, the spin–orbit coupling in graphene only occurs via inherently weak processes, such as virtual transitions to \( \sigma \) and \( d \)-orbitals.\(^{[15, 48–50, 65, 66]} \) SOC in graphene is unlikely to open a spin–orbit gap larger than \( E_{\text{SO}} \approx 50 \text{ \mu eV} \).\(^{[48–50, 65, 66]} \) While there have been predictions\(^{[80]} \) and reports\(^{[93, 110]} \) of much stronger induced SOC in graphene, no definitive measurement of graphene's intrinsic SO gap has been reported to date despite its experimental isolation over 15 years ago.

As argued above, for the simplest case of atomic spin–orbit coupling, the SOC strength is often considered to increase as the fourth power of the atomic number (\( \approx Z^4 \)). This would indicate that honeycomb lattices composed of larger \( Z \) atomic species may feature significantly larger SOC gaps. Indeed, a clear \( Z^4 \) trend appears to be supported by density functional theory calculations\(^{[49, 50, 106, 111]} \) of group IVA honeycomb lattices (Figure 2A). All freestanding, low-buckled group IVA Xenes with \( Z > 6 \) are predicted to possess an intrinsic spin–orbit gap that is measurable at reasonable experimental temperatures.\(^{[84, 85, 106, 112]} \) Freestanding stanene (Sn, \( Z = 50 \)), for example, is predicted to possess an intrinsic SO gap of \( \approx 73–100 \text{ \mu eV} \).\(^{[90, 106, 112]} \)

Additional SOC contributions may arise from buckling of the Xene lattice, effectively increasing the overall SOC strength. The \( Z > 6 \) (Si, Ge, Sn, and Pb) elements are predicted to stabilize in “low-buckled” (\( \delta > 0 \)) structures, even in their relaxed, freestanding forms.\(^{[85, 90, 106, 112–115]} \) The larger interatomic bond lengths in these materials weaken the \( \pi \)–\( \pi \) orbital overlap, which destabilizes the planar structure and causes the material to become slightly buckled.\(^{[85]} \) Buckling allows \( \sigma \) and \( \pi \) bands to mix, giving rise to a slight sp\(^3\) character of the bonds.\(^{[85]} \) While the intrinsic band structures of all higher-\( Z \) Xenes are predicted to be similar to that of graphene,\(^{[85, 90, 106, 112–115]} \) the increased orbital overlap due to buckling contributes to a slight increase in the SO gap.\(^{[85]} \)

As a consequence of their orbital structure, QSH behavior in Xenes may be tuned by orbital hybridization (for example, via substrate interactions\(^{[106, 117]} \)), or induced by lattice strain,\(^{[106]} \) as well as by chemical functionalization with impurities or functional groups.\(^{[90, 118]} \) In buckled stanene, for example, compressive strain leads to an increase of the \( p_{3/2} \) orbital energy above the Fermi level at \( \Gamma \), rendering the material metallic.\(^{[106, 119]} \) Furthermore, hybridization may either saturate \( p_x \)–orbitals or break A–B sublattice symmetry, moving the \( \pi \) bands far away from the Fermi level to open a gap at \( K(K') \).\(^{[106]} \) For the case of chemical functionalization, \( p_z \) orbitals may become saturated,
moving the spin–orbit gap at K(K') to far from the Fermi level, in which case the low-energy physics becomes dominated by a topologically nontrivial gap of ~250–300 meV at Γ.[90] Chemical functionalization of stanene by fluorine, for example, may saturate the p_orbitals and shift them away from the Fermi energy.[90] In contrast, stanene functionalized by hydrogen (stanene), is expected to possess a trivial bandgap as it should not have the necessary s–p band inversion to support a QSH state.[90,118]

Realizations and predicted topological gaps of group IVA Xenes are summarized in Table 1. For additional experimental details regarding lower-Z Xenes, the reader is directed to an excellent review by Molle et al.[43]

### 5.1.2. Group VA Puckered and Buckled Honeycomb Lattices

Aside from the group IVA elements, also the group VA elements like phosphorous,[120,121] arsenic,[122] antimony,[97,105,123,124] and bismuth,[84,89,125–129] can form honeycomb lattices. Usually, they adopt stable puckered and buckled lattices, as illustrated in Figure 4B,C. Individual units of group VA buckled and puckered 2D honeycombs are typically referred to as “bilayers,” which is a nomenclature reflective of their bulk crystal structure wherein each periodically repeatable unit in vertical direction is referred to as a “layer.” Importantly, group VA elements contain one additional electron compared to group IVA elements, giving them five valence electrons in total which saturate the valence molecular orbital,[37] as three electrons participate in bonds, while the remaining two electrons can then saturate the remaining molecular valence orbital. As such, most group VA honeycombs do not exhibit the same Dirac physics in their 2D bulk that governs group IVA honeycombs. Instead, conductance and valence bands are usually separated in unstrained lattices by a trivial bandgap between p_orbitals and p_orbitals of opposite parities[110] and is topologically nontrivial.

Phosphorous (Z = 15) and arsenic (Z = 33) honeycombs have been predicted[120,122] to adopt two different structural isomorphs; a buckled structure, similar to the group IVA Xenes wherein the two hexagonal sublattices are offset by δ > 0, as well as a puckered structure, which black phosphorous[131] and black arsenic[132] can both adopt. As predicted by DFT, both puckled arsenic honeycomb monolayers (arsenene) and stacked black phosphorus bilayers (phosphorene) are expected to undergo a QSH phase transition precipitated by strain-induced band inversion of p_orbitals,[121,133] with a gap up to E_g = 43 meV for arsenene[134] and E_g = 92.5 meV for stacked phosphorene.[121]

Buckled antimony (Sb) (Z = 51), or Sb(111), bilayers, are expected to exhibit a rich variety of thickness-dependent electronic phases.[123] Predicted to be trivially gapped for thicknesses between 1 and 3 bilayers, this material is expected to undergo a thickness-induced topological phase transition at 4–7 bilayers.[123] Increasing the material’s thickness further, from 8 bilayers up to 22 bilayers (~78 nm), it is predicted to result in a 3D topologically insulating state. Films above this thickness threshold are predicted to be a topological semimetal.[123]

The electronic structure of bismuth (Z = 83) surfaces have been extensively investigated, both theoretically,[84,86,87,89,97,103,125,130,134,135] and experimentally.[59,126–129,136–147] Recently, bismuth has been shown to be a higher order topological insulator (HOTI),[129] possessing helical “hinge” states that consist of 1D gapless Kramers pairs, like the QSH edge, around specific facets of the crystal, which exists even for 3D bulk samples. As a consequence, both monolayers of Bi(111) (buckled honeycomb structure, Figure 4B) and Bi(110) (puckered honeycomb structure, Figure 4C), have been predicted to host gapless edge modes, consistent with those in a quantum spin Hall insulator, tunable by strain and electric field.[84,86,87,103]

Different from the QSH states that host topologically nontrivial states on all edges, however, higher order topology in Bi(111) gives rise to hinge states only on every other zigzag edge of the hexagonal crystal facets.[129] The SO gap of a single, relaxed Bi(111) bilayer is predicted to be ~200–600 meV[84,86,87] whereas that of a single, relaxed Bi(110) bilayer is predicted to be E_g = 90 meV[103]

### 5.1.3. Group IVA and VA Multi-Orbital Honeycomb Lattices

Monolayer Xene phases having a molecular orbital structure with low-energy physics dominated by a network of p_x and p_y orbitals, rather than a p_z orbital network like in group IVA Xenes, have been predicted to offer an attractive paradigm for large-gap QSH insulators,[16,95,97,109,148] with predicted bandgaps up to ~1 eV.[148] Similar to the orbital network in freestanding group IVA Xenes, the multiorbital p_x,y network has six Dirac nodes at the K(K′) points in the absence of SOC,[52,109] However, strong on-site atomic SO coupling, a first-order interaction that is much stronger than, for example, the second-order, next-nearest neighbor SO interaction in freestanding group IVA Xenes[36,52,95,109,148] results in huge intrinsic QSH gaps.

In these materials, the p_orbitals are chemically saturated via bonds with an atomic-lattice-matched substrate or by attached functional groups to the Xene atoms such that each atom sees the same bonding environment, which “filters” the orbital away from E_F. These Xenes are ultraflat (δ > 0)[36,52,89,97,107,149] and hence usually possess larger lattice constants compared to their buckled (δ > 0) counterparts.[52,140,149,150] Such ultraflat Xenes have already been realized with several large Z, group IVA and VA elements including tin (Z = 50), antimony (Z = 51), lead (Pb = 82), and bismuth (Z = 83).[52,107,149,151,152] Ultraflat bismuth on silicon carbide (0001) substrate producing a measured bandgap of ~800 meV,[52] the largest intrinsic gap of any QSH insulator thus far.

### 5.2. Ultrathin Weyl and Dirac Semimetals

The quantum spin Hall state may also occur in 2D layered crystals with nonhoneycomb lattices, or in topologically nontrivial bulk semimetals, such as 3D Dirac[133,136] or Weyl[146] semimetals, in which quantum size effects can lead to a topological phase transition when the material is thinned down to a single (or a few) layers.

---

**Figure 4**

Individual units of group VA buckled and puckered 2D honeycombs are typically referred to as “bilayers,” which is a nomenclature reflective of their bulk crystal structure wherein each periodically repeatable unit in vertical direction is referred to as a “layer.” Importantly, group VA elements contain one additional electron compared to group IVA elements, giving them five valence electrons in total which saturate the valence molecular orbital, as three electrons participate in bonds, while the remaining two electrons can then saturate the remaining molecular valence orbital. As such, most group VA honeycombs do not exhibit the same Dirac physics in their 2D bulk that governs group IVA honeycombs. Instead, conductance and valence bands are usually separated in unstrained lattices by a trivial bandgap between p_x,y and p_orbitals of opposite parities and is topologically nontrivial.

**Figure 5**

Phosphorous (Z = 15) and arsenic (Z = 33) honeycombs have been predicted to adopt two different structural isomorphs; a buckled structure, similar to the group IVA Xenes wherein the two hexagonal sublattices are offset by δ > 0, as well as a puckered structure, which black phosphorous and black arsenic can both adopt. As predicted by DFT, both puckled arsenic honeycomb monolayers (arsenene) and stacked black phosphorus bilayers (phosphorene) are expected to undergo a QSH phase transition precipitated by strain-induced band inversion of p_orbitals, with a gap up to E_g = 43 meV for arsenene and E_g = 92.5 meV for stacked phosphorene.

Buckled antimony (Sb) (Z = 51), or Sb(111), bilayers, are expected to exhibit a rich variety of thickness-dependent electronic phases. Predicted to be trivially gapped for thicknesses between 1 and 3 bilayers, this material is expected to undergo a thickness-induced topological phase transition at 4–7 bilayers. Increasing the material’s thickness further, from 8 bilayers up to 22 bilayers (~78 nm), it is predicted to result in a 3D topologically insulating state. Films above this thickness threshold are predicted to be a topological semimetal.
5.2.1. 1T′-Phase Transition Metal Dichalcogenides (TMDCs)

TMDCs are a family of layered van der Waals materials with MX₂ stoichiometry, where M = transition metal and X = chalcogen, typically S, Se, or Te. Each monolayer is composed of an atomic M layer, sandwiched between X layers, whereby several structural polymorphs exist depending on M coordination symmetry and layer stacking. The different structural polymorphs, in combination with different combinations of transition metal group and chalcogen species, gives rise to a rich pool of different electronic structures, including large bandgap semiconductors, metals, and semimetals. In particular, the group-VI TMDCs (Mo, W) combined with chalcogens S, Se, and Te are predicted to be rich with topologically nontrivial phases when stabilized in their 1T′ structural polymorph.

The 1T′ phase is characterized by orthorhombic crystal structure belonging to the Pnmn2₁ space group, as shown in Figure 4D. The 1T′ phase is similar to the 1T (tetragonal) phase but distorts to form dimerized transition metal chains within each atomic layer resulting in a uniaxial doubling of the crystal unit cell. This lattice distortion inverts the chalcogen p and transition metal d orbitals, giving rise to a Dirac dispersion at the Fermi level in the absence of SOC. In the 3D bulk forms of 1T′-TMDCs, type-II Weyl physics, loop nodal band crossings, and higher order topological properties have all been predicted for the Te compounds.

The quantum spin Hall state was first predicted by Qian et al. in monolayers of 1T′-MX₂ (M = W, Mo and X = S, Se, Te) using DFT, with strain and electric-field tunable spin-orbit gaps =0.1eV in magnitude. The electric field tunability is facilitated via the Stark effect as transition metal and chalcogen sublattices are spatially separated in z-direction. A transverse electric field can thus induce a topological phase transition by breaking inversion symmetry and inducing a Rashba splitting of degenerate bands near Eₘbands.[44]

The quantum spin Hall state in monolayer WTe₂ has since been confirmed experimentally, where a QSH gap of =55 meV was discovered, and stable QSH transport signatures were observed up to T = 100 K. Despite overwhelming experimental evidence of the QSH state, several interesting questions remain, in particular with regard to the exact size and nature of the topological gap and its susceptibility to doping, local strain, and electric fields. DFT reports seem to disagree about the size of the QSH gap. Qian’s initial prediction reports a negative bandgap in freestanding WTe₂, while more recent calculations report a bandgap up to 141 meV. Several experimental studies have further revealed a small but finite density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level within the 2D bulk. Yet, stable QSH signatures with little bulk conduction have been found in electron transport experiments. The contrasting experimental evidence may highlight the sensitivity of the topological bandgap to strain, electric fields, and doping in different substrate environments.

An interesting aspect of 1T′-MX₂ is the role of strong electron correlations in 2D, possibly stabilizing the QSH phase. Several reports have claimed a weak pseudogap suppression in the density of states when the material is doped such that the Fermi level comes to lie in the conduction band. This pseudo-gap in this case is distinct from the QSH gap, and remains strictly pinned at the Fermi level, regardless of doping level. Such pseudogap has been argued to result from Coulomb correlations of carriers at the Fermi level, opening an Coulomb gap in the density of states. As both electron and hole pockets are present close to the Fermi energy, such Coulomb gap would likely be of excitonic nature as first pointed out by Song et al.

Although the largest volume of theoretical and experimental work published concerns 1T′-WTe₂, other TMDC chemical compositions may offer further advantages. WTe₂ is thermodynamically stable in the 1T′ polymorph, while other TMDCs have been shown to be bi-stable. WSe₂ crystals, for instance, have been shown to exhibit coexisting crystalline domains of 1T′ and 1H lattice structure, the latter being a trivial insulator with a large electronic bandgap (1.9 eV). This offers the tantalizing possibility to engineer ordered and atomically abrupt 1T′-2H crystal phase boundaries, whose interface can host 1D conducting boundary modes. Preliminary phase boundary engineering has already been demonstrated in 1T′/1H WSe₂ and in other MX₂ materials. A recent review by Li et al. provides a comprehensive summary of 1T′-MX₂ quantum spin Hall properties.

5.2.2. Na₃Bi and Cd₃As₂

Other 3D topological semimetals including Cd₃As₂ and Na₃Bi, have been predicted to undergo a thickness-dependent topological phase transition to a QSH insulator in the limit of a single or a few atomic layers. Of these two materials, Na₃Bi has been successfully synthesized and characterized at the ultrathin limit. The physics of the topological phase transition is similar in both materials.

The crystal structure of monolayer Na₃Bi has P6₃/mmc symmetry as shown in Figure 4E. Bulk Na₃Bi consists of Na–Na/ Bi–Na trilayers wherein each trilayer has a middle layer of interpenetrating hexagonal Na and Bi sublattices sandwiched between hexagonal layers of Na, and adjacent trilayers are rotated by 60° with respect to each other. This material hosts 3D Dirac fermions owing to a linear electronic dispersion in all momentum directions. Na₃Bi has been shown theoretically to undergo a topological phase transition to a QSH insulator at a thickness below seven layers due to quantum confinement. Monolayer and bilayer Na₃Bi have furthermore been shown to be electric field-tunable and monolayers are predicted to exhibit spin-valley polarization.

In the absence of SOC, monolayer Na₃Bi is predicted to be trivially gapped at Γ, with Na and Bi s orbitals forming the conduction band minimum (CBM) and Bi pₓy orbitals forming the valence band maximum (VBM). However, SOC drives s–p band inversion at Γ such that the VBM gains s orbital character and the CBM gains pₓy orbital character, giving rise to gapless edge modes in the monolayer and an SO bandgap within the 2D bulk, which has been measured to be as large as Eₘ = 360 meV. Consistent with the predicted SO gap of Eₘ = 310 meV. Similar QSH properties have also been predicted and measured in bilayers with a measured quasiparticle gap of about Eₘ = 300 meV.
6. Experimental Methods to Investigate the QSH State

The hallmark signatures of the QSH state in any material are the concurrence of a gapped 2D bulk band structure and a finite 1D density of states at the edge. Both features are experimentally accessible in a variety of experimental techniques, including optical, local-probe, and transport spectroscopies, as well as their combinations.[52,53,107,129,166,167] Usually, only a combination of the experimental techniques discussed, and the particular QSH signatures they provide, can be regarded as a comprehensive confirmation of the QSH state in a particular material.

6.1. Electron Transport

The first experimental confirmation of the quantum spin Hall state was demonstrated using electron transport spectroscopy in HgTe/CdTe[16,24,180] and later also in InAs/GaSb[22,23] heterostructures. Shortly after the initial theoretical prediction of the QSH state in HgTe/CdTe semiconductor heterostructures,[24] König et al.[17] confirmed the QSH state in Hall bar measurements of high-mobility 2D quantum wells with topological band inversion (Figure 5A). Being able to tune the electrochemical potential from n-type to p-type across a small energy gap, they were able to detect a small bandgap through a suppression of the Hall bar’s longitudinal conductance. However, different

Figure 5. Electron transport spectroscopy of QSH insulators. A) Conductance as a function of gate voltage for four different HgTe/CdTe semiconductor heterostructure Hall bar devices. A maximum of finite resistance in the longitudinal Hall bar resistance over a given gate voltage range indicates the QSH gap, a finite resistance within the gap indicates edge state conduction. The different traces show different Hall bar geometries with length \( L \), width \( W \), and thickness \( d \). For reference, I) \( d = 5.5 \text{ nm} \); II–IV) \( d = 7.3 \text{ nm} \), and I,II) \( L \times W = 20 \times 13 \text{ \( \mu \text{m} \)}^2 \), III) \( L \times W = 1 \times 1 \text{ \( \mu \text{m} \)}^2 \), and IV) \( 1 \times 0.5 \text{ \( \mu \text{m} \)}^2 \). In short Hall bar devices (III,IV), quantized edge state conductance with \( G_0 = 2e^2/h \) is realized, indicating ballistic transport. Inset: Device III at two different temperatures as indicated. A) Reproduced with permission.[17] Copyright 2007, AAAS. B) Temperature-dependent conductance of a 100 nm channel in a 1T’-WTe\(_2\) device,[54] showing quantized conductance up to \( T = 100 \text{ K} \). (Inset) Temperature dependence of the channel resistance as a function of back gate voltage \( V_C \). C) Length dependence of edge state resistance for five 1T’-WTe\(_2\) devices,[54] at \( T = 4 \text{ K} \), showing a linear dependence of the resistance on length, for devices \( L > 100 \text{ nm} \). B,C) Reproduced with permission.[54] Copyright 2018, The Authors, published by AAAS. D) Nonlocal transport in HgTe quantum well QSH insulators, patterned into H-bar devices (Inset). The two- and four-terminal resistances at \( T = 1.8 \text{ K} \) show a nonlocal resistance. Expected values based on the Landauer–Buttiker formalism are indicated by dashed lines. Reproduced with permission.[18] Copyright 2009, AAAS.
from the case of conventional semiconductor, a finite residual conductance was observed throughout the bandgap in the topological regime. Such residual conductance, independent of the Hall bar width, thus indicated that current was confined to the samples’ boundaries. Perfect ballistic conduction with a quantized conductance of $G_0 = 2 e^2/h$—consistent with two forward propagating modes, one each per edge—was observed for very short channel lengths ($=1 \mu m$), comparable to the elastic electron mean free path, and provided initial indications of the presence of helical edge modes. Furthermore, a strong suppression of the residual conductance upon application of a magnetic field was found consistent with time-reversal symmetry breaking and the opening of a Zeeman gap at the Kramers’ degenerate points in the edge. König et al.’s magnetic conductance measurements are shown in Figure 6A. All these signatures combined were strong initial evidence for the presence of a QSH state for the first time in a real material. The QSH state in HgTe/CdTe has since been further confirmed by non-local electrical measurements (see discussion below), as well as by measurements in HgTe/CdTe quantum point contacts (QPCs) in which opposite helical edges were found to interact by inter-edge tunneling and hybridization.

Ever since the first demonstrations by König et al., transport signatures as described remain the benchmark for any QSH claim, and have since also been applied to atomically thin QSH materials. As for any atomically thin material, the key-challenges in transport measurements of QSH insulators are usually nanofabrication related as materials are often temperature unstable or air-sensitive. This imposes some constraint on nanofabrication techniques employed and challenges the formation of low-Ohmic contacts to a highly confined QSH edge. Fei et al. [55] first demonstrated QSH transport signatures in $h$-BN encapsulated monolayer $1T’$-WTe$_2$, in which they observed a temperature-independent residual conductance at $T < 10 K$, with thermally activated conduction between $T = 10–100 K$. Wu et al. [54] further confirmed this, reporting an even weaker temperature dependence below $T < 100 K$, as shown in Figure 5B. This seemed to indicate a stable QSH state with a comparatively large topological gap, for the first time promising QSH-based applications above liquid helium temperatures.

Strong evidence for the QSH state in WTe$_2$ was obtained from magnetoconductance measurements allowing an accurate extraction of the spin–orbit enhanced electron $g$-factor. Both Fei et al. [55] and Wu et al. [54] observed thermally activated conductance (Figure 6B), across a small, magnetic field induced Zeeman gap at the Kramers degenerate (Dirac) points. From logarithmic scaling of the conductance $g$-factor (Figure 6C) a $g$-factor of $g = 4.8$ [54] and $g = 7.5$ [55] were found by Wu et al. [54] and Fei et al. [55] respectively.

A key advantage of atomically thin QSH materials is their versatility with regard to contact geometries. The atomically thin nature of the material lifts the constraint of edge contact to, for example, a semiconductor mesa structure. This had not only allowed to align a large number of electrodes with different probe spacing along the QSH edges to investigate the robustness of edge conduction against scattering, but also to align contacts on top of the 2D surface, facilitating new and more complex contact geometries to, e.g., disentangle contributions from bulk and edge to the total conductance. Using such multi-probe geometries, Fei et al. were able to demonstrate that bulk conduction is negligible in WTe$_2$ below $\approx 10 K$, while Wu et al. was able to obtain a length dependence of the conductance for different probe spacing (Figure 5C).

As a key experiment to prove the QSH state have been non-local electrical measurements. In transport measurements of conventional conductors, such as, e.g., a conventional 2D electron gas, the current versus voltage relationships would follow Ohm’s law in the linear response regime. This implies that current follows the distribution and direction of the applied electric field, and a voltage drop is only detected (local voltage) along the current path between the current injecting electrodes. In topological insulators—such as the quantum spin Hall insulator—these basic electrostatic concepts are fundamentally changed as the QSH bulk is insulating and current...
flow is confined to the QSH boundaries regardless of the direction of the electric field. Due to the helical nature of the edge, with current flow through the sample, a measurable voltage drop may arise far from (and not necessarily in between) the current-injecting leads (nonlocal voltage). Indeed, the strictly 1D nature of the QSH helical edges allows for a facile quantitative analysis of the nonlocal voltages in the framework of the Landauer– Büttiker formalism, as first shown by Roth et al. in HgTe/CdTe heterostructures[18] (Figure 5D). More recently, similar nonlocal transport signatures have also been demonstrated in atomically thin QSH insulators such as WTe$_2$[55] and Na$_3$Bi.[183]

To date, electron transport spectroscopies have provided the most direct access to electronic properties of 2D topological systems. However, they are also inherently limited to spatially averaged electronic information and about the helical edge can only be obtained indirectly—by evaluating the magnitude of the quantized residual conductance. However, the challenges concomitant with transport measurements, such as the required nanofabrication processes, have so far limited transport experiments to air-stable or encapsulated materials, or have restricted measurements to within vacuum environments.[184,185]

Another drawback of transport measurements is that certain electronic information, such as the confinement length of the helical edge to the sample’s boundaries, local variations in the disorder potential and electrochemical potential, and the microscopic origin of scattering remain inaccessible as they require techniques with real-space information.

A key remaining open question for any QSH materials is the strength long dependence[17] of the quantized conductance at the QSH edge obtained in transport experiments. Usually, rather large edge state resistances[183] are observed unless very short channels[17,54] are measured with lengths of the order of the 2D mean free path. From basic considerations, one should expect a strong suppression of backscattering from non-magnetic impurities at the helical edge and hence ballistic conduction over considerable length scales. This has however not been observed in any QSH material so far and raises questions about the coveted topological protection. The abundance of scattering observed has since triggered a substantial amount of theoretical investigation into the nature of backscattering mechanisms,[31,186–189] discussing dilute magnetic impurities [26,27,31,186–188] or metallic puddles carrying magnetic moments[26,27,189] as well as inelastic backscattering as possible mechanisms.

### 6.2. Optical Spectroscopy

Perhaps the most direct way to infer electronic structure information in solids is to measure the electronic band dispersion and Fermi surface by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES). ARPES measures the energy and momentum distribution of photoemitted valence electrons from a material’s surface, following illumination, thus being able to directly “visualize” the band structure. Due to strong advancement in light-source, detector, and cryogenics technologies, samples can be measured at temperatures down to ~4.2 K, with energy and momentum resolution better than 1 meV[190,191] and 0.0006 Å$^{-1}$[192] respectively.

Furthermore, ARPES is an extremely surface sensitive technique (typically 10 nm at incident photon energy $E = 10$ eV[193]), an attribute which has made ARPES the key analysis tool to resolve the surface band structures of 3D topological insulators.[34,194] Ironically, for the same surface sensitivity, ARPES measurements of the bulk band structure and bulk gaps in 2D topological insulators have only recently appeared, hand in hand with the emergence of atomically thin QSH materials. In particular, the authors are not aware of any reports on ARPES measurements in semiconductor-heterostructure-based QSH insulators. As possible reasons, we suspect that the active layer is covered by several tens of nanometer of an epitaxial capping layer[17] hindering ARPES access. This challenge is naturally overcome in atomically thin QSH insulators in which the surface is usually exposed, allowing access by surface sensitive techniques such as ARPES[52,53,107,117–119,152,161]

While several authors have used ARPES to resolve the band structure of QSH candidate Xenes,[191,128,136–140,143–145,195–198] including isolated reports of sizable bulk bandgaps,[17,118] confirmation of an edge state via an alternate experimental is usually required in order to confirm a QSH phase. The first ARPES measurements of a QSH insulator was reported only in 2017 by Tang et al.[195] for monolayer 1T’-WTe$_2$, who were able to resolve both electron and hole pockets close to the Fermi edge, offset in momentum space along the $\Gamma$–$Y$ direction.[163] From a direct comparison of ARPES data with scanning tunneling spectroscopy (Figure 7A) a bandgap of 55 meV could be confirmed. Similar ARPES measurements have since been reported also for the related 1T’-WSe$_2$ compound[166,167] ($E_g \approx 100$ meV).

In the Xene group of materials, Deng et al.[197] have later resolved the band structure of monolayer stanene on Cu(111) substrates and measured a topological gap of $\approx 300$ meV at the $\Gamma$-point, located $\approx 1.25$ eV below the Fermi energy. More recently, Reis et al.[152] were able to resolve the valence band edge of a Rashba-split energy band in the 2D bulk of ultraflat, monolayer bismuthene on SiC(0001), located 200 meV below $E_F$. As reproduced in Figure 7B, the valence band edge forms the lower energy bound to a massive $\approx 700$–800 meV topological bandgap, as verified using scanning probe spectroscopy and density functional theory calculations, which so far holds the record for largest fundamental gap in any QSH material.

An electrostatically tunable bandgap with maximum exceeding 300 meV at the Fermi energy has been demonstrated by Collins et al.[53] who investigated the band structure of atomically thin Na$_3$Bi. Using potassium (K) surface charge transfer doping, Collins et al. were able to tune the electrochemical potential in situ by as much as 400 meV (Figure 7C). A concomitant vertical displacement field created by the ionized K dopants was shown to further cause a bandgap narrowing to below $\approx 100$ meV[53]. Together with low-temperature local probe spectroscopy (see Section 6.3 below), this measurement has formed the first experimental demonstration of an electric field tunable topological phase transition and thus presents a major advance toward the implementation of QSH materials as active channels in topological electronic devices (see Section 7.2.1).

Despite ARPES’s great success in the study of topological phases of matter[34]—especially for atomically thin systems—it
also has its fundamental limitations. ARPES is usually regarded a surface sensitive technique. The escape depth of photoelectrons is in the range of several nanometers (depending on excitation energy). However, the thickness of atomically thin materials is only in the range of \( \approx 1 \, \text{nm} \). The information extracted from ARPES therefore necessarily also contains photoemission intensity arising from the electronic structure of the substrate. This has become apparent where the ARPES signal from the QSH insulator stanene is nearly outweighed by contributions of the Cu(111) electronic structure, enhancing the degree of difficulty in interpreting ARPES data. While this can be seen as a limitation, ARPES signals from the substrate may also be utilized to disentangle the influence of a stabilizing substrate on the electronic properties as done for both the Xenes stanene and bismuthene.\(^{52,107}\) Particularly in the case of bismuthene, ARPES was able to confirm band structure calculations for the combined effects of on-site and substrate induced Rashba spin–orbit coupling, both contributing to its immensely large bandgap.

Possibly a more severe limitation of conventional ARPES is its inability to obtain band structure information of electronic states above the Fermi level. This particularly limits APRES measurements of bandgaps positioned at or even above the Fermi energy. A possible way around his limitation can be two-photon photoemission spectroscopy (2PPE), in which short laser pulses populate unoccupied states before a second laser pulse excites electrons into the vacuum level, allowing unoccupied states to be visualized.\(^{199}\)
Finally, the ARPES energy and angular resolution highly depends on crystal quality and size. The presence of atomic defects and surface contamination[163] for example, as well as polycrystallinity[161,163] may decrease the ARPES energy and angular resolution beyond what could otherwise be achieved by state-of-the-art light-source and detector technology at cryogenic temperatures. Polycrystallinity with certain rotational symmetries of the individual crystal domains can still result in high resolution ARPES data[164] but the polycrystallinity needs to be taken into account when interpreting the photoemission spectra to obtain the shape of the Fermi surface. The limited lateral real-space resolution also limits ARPES in attempts to resolve the band dispersion of topological edge states as these typically constitute only a marginal fraction of the total area sampled for the incident light. The photoemission intensity arising from an edge state can thus be expected to be very weak and outweighed by a strong signal from bulk or substrate bands. There has been considerable progress in the development of micro- and nano-ARPES for smaller spot sizes down to ≈100 nm.[200] Takayama et al.[127] used spin-resolved ARPES to detect faint signatures of a spin-split band crossing in Bi(111) multi-bilayers, $E = 70$ meV below the Fermi energy and at $k = 0.7$ Å$^{-1}$ along the Γ-Y direction,[127] showing that spin-resolved APRES can in principle allow to observe edge state dispersions.

### 6.3. Scanning Tunneling Microscopy and Spectroscopy

Limitations of real-space resolution in ARPES may be overcome by complementing with the extremely high energy and spatial resolution offered by local probe microscopy and spectroscopy at cryogenic temperatures. STM/STS measures a current across a tunnel junction formed at an atomically-sharp metal probe, brought into close proximity (typically 1 nm or less) of a material’s surface. If a bias voltage $V$ is applied across the junction, electrons can preferentially tunnel from the tip into empty states in the surface, or vice versa. The resulting current $I$ can be expressed as

$$I = \frac{4\pi e}{h} \int \left[ |M|^2 \rho(E - eV) \rho_t(E) \left| f(E - eV) - f(E) \right| \right] dE$$

(8)

where $e$ is the electron charge, $h$ is the reduced Planck constant, $M$ is the tunneling matrix element connecting electronic states in tip and sample, $V$ is conventionally the voltage bias applied to the sample, with the tip grounded, $\rho$ and $\rho_t$ are the respective tip and sample DOS, and $f(E) = \left( 1 + \exp \left( \frac{E - E_F}{k_B T} \right) \right)^{-1}$ is the Fermi–Dirac distribution.

At low measurement temperature ($k_B T \ll eV$), the junction differential conductance can be shown to be directly proportional to the sample’s energy-dependent local density of states (LDOS)

$$\frac{dI}{dV}(E) \propto \rho_t(E) + \sum_{n=0} \left| \psi_{an} \right|^2 \delta(E - \epsilon_n(k)) dk$$

(9)

provided the tip DOS can be assumed featureless over the energy range of interest. Thus, STM/STS has direct access to the spatial dependence of electronic bandgaps and metallic edge states[52,163] via the quantum mechanical wave function detail, $\left| \psi_{an} \right|^2$ at the atomic-level.

#### 6.3.1. Probing QSH Gaps and Edge States by STM/STS

Although later interpreted to arise from the material's higher order topologically insulating (HOTI) nature,[129] the first claim of 1D helical states resolved by STM/STS was obtained on Bi(111) bilayers by Kim et al.[142] and Drozdov et al.[126] While STM had been used to study edges of Bi(111) as early as 2012,[140] Kim et al., first observed the concurrence of a bandgap of $E_g \approx 76$ meV and a metallic edge state in the 2D bulk of a single Bi(111) bilayer on a Bi$_2$Te$_3$Se$_2$[142] consistent with bulk-boundary correspondence.[84,86,87] Drozdov et al., further demonstrated spectral differences between the two edge terminations on the surface layer of a (111) terminated bulk Bi sample, visualizing the HOTI’s 1D helical hinge states.[126]

Representative bulk and edge spectra of a QSH helical edge were first demonstrated by Tang et al.[161] (Figure 8A). Sensitive measurements of the spatial dependence of the edge and bulk states are shown in Figure 8B,[161] where the transition between a gapped bulk and metallic edges that decay into the 2D bulk can be observed. Directly comparing with ARPES (Figure 7A),

$$\frac{d}{dV}(E) = \rho_t(E) + \sum_{n=0} \left| \psi_{an} \right|^2 \delta(E - \epsilon_n(k)) dk$$

allowed to determine a bulk bandgap of about $55 \text{ meV}$[165] in reasonable agreement with density functional theory predictions.[164]

Similar measurements by Ugeda et al.[166] and Chen et al.[167] show slightly larger bandgaps of $E_g \approx 0.1$ eV on 17$^-$WSe$_{2}$. Owing to well-defined crystalline phase boundaries between 2H- and 17$^-$WSe$_{2}$ in the same crystals,[166] the transition from metallic edge states to a gapped bulk can be even more clearly observed in ultratrace bismuthene (Figure 8C[128]), owing to bismuthene's enormous topological bandgap ($E_g \approx 800$ meV) roughly centered at $E_F$ ($V = 0$ V).[52]

Perhaps one of the strongest claims of a QSH state was recently put forth by Collins et al. who have demonstrated control of the topological phase in monolayer Na$_3$Bi by vertical electric fields applied locally by an STM tip.[53] From STM/STS they observed QSH bandgaps as large as 360 meV in monolayer Na$_3$Bi, which, measured by STM/STS, proved susceptible to the STM probe's distance from the surface. Collins et al. argue that substantial built-in potential exists across the STM’s tunnel junction arising from a difference in work function of tip metal and Na$_3$Bi. Such built-in potential results in an electric field that may be sensitively tuned by the tip-sample separation and may Stark shift bands and hence the topological band inversion. A completing closing of the topological gap at a certain critical field,[53] with subsequent reopening of the gap at higher electric field thus corroborates a topological phase transition.

#### 6.3.2. Probing Superconductivity, Quasiparticle Interference and Interactions by STM/STS

Beyond precise measurements of bulk gaps in atomically thin QSH insulators, STM’s extremely high spectral resolution also makes it particularly powerful in resolving the smallest energy gaps at cryogenic temperature, including...
superconducting quasiparticle gaps. In the limit $k_B T \approx eV$, thermal broadening needs to be considered in the expression for the tunneling current and the differential conductance becomes

$$dI/dV = \int \frac{df(E - eV)}{dE} \rho_s(E) dE$$

Limited by only thermal broadening, STS thus provides an energy resolution down to $3.5 k_B T \approx 1.4 \text{ meV}$ at $T = 4.5 \text{ K}$, or even $3.5 k_B T \approx 11 \text{ meV}$ at $T = 38 \text{ mK}$. This particularly allows STM/STS to resolve QSH materials' nontrivial topology in the superconducting state where it has very recently been able to confirm the emergence of Majorana bound states within the helical edge states of bismuth.

Aside from real-space information, STM/STS also has unique access to electronic information in momentum space. Fourier Transform scanning tunneling spectroscopy (FT-STS) can resolve reciprocal space information by resolving local density modulations in real space, arising from quasiparticle scattering and interference. Analysis of such quasiparticle interference (QPI) patterns in Fourier space allows to reconstruct equi-energy surfaces at a given quasiparticle energy, similar to ARPES. Different from ARPES, however, QPI allows to obtain information of both filled and empty electronic states and may yield information of scattering probabilities and thus the preservation of spin and pseudospin degrees of freedom. Among 2D topological insulators, this technique was first applied to Bi(111) bilayers in which the band dispersion of the topological edge state could be confirmed as confirmed.
well as to residual bulk states in WTe₂. Song et al. were able to resolve QPI in both electron and hole pockets at the Fermi level in 1T'-WTe₂ monolayers. In their samples, the two pockets appeared to be overlapping in energy with no apparent bandgap. Recently, QPI was also used to observe the backscattering dispersion in a topological edge of finite length originating from ferromagnetic (FM) clusters localized to the ends of the edge, thus demonstrating the spin-flip scattering enabled by TRS-breaking ferromagnetic clusters. Thus, QPI has proven a powerful tool in determining the band dispersion of 2D topological materials.

Finally, STM/STS has also been shown to detect the presence of strong Coulomb interactions in the QSH helical edge, in which the breakdown of Fermi liquid theory results in a highly correlated 1D electronic ground state—a helical Tomonaga–Luttinger liquid (TLL) as detailed in Section 3. A TLL becomes apparent by a sharp suppression at zero bias \(E - E_F = 0\) (pseudogap) in the local density of state at the QSH helical edge and has frequently been observed in scanning-probe-based confirmations of the QSH state, e.g. in 1T'-TMDCs and bismuthene and Na₃Bi. Most recently, a detailed study of the temperature and energy dependence of the zero-bias pseudo-gap feature on the edge of ultraflat bismuthene was obtained by Stühler et al. Figure 8D shows the temperature dependence of the STS spectra, acquired in a temperature range from \(T = 4.4\) to 110 K. Traces of \(dI/dV\) as functions of eV and \(T\) may be universally scaled and collapsed onto each other by dividing \(dI/dV\) by \(T^2\) and eV by \(k_B T\) (Figure 3B,C), constituting the smoking gun signature of a TLL and allowing to extract the Luttinger parameter \(K\).

### 6.3.3. Probing Helicity by STM

A remaining challenge in the study of 2D topological insulators is the unequivocal proof of spin-momentum locking, i.e., helicity at the edges of a QSH material. Theoretical proposals exist to detect helicity by spin-polarized STM (SP-STM). SP-STM is based on tunneling of spin-polarized electrons from a magnetic probe tip, offering a high degree of spin polarization at atomic resolution. Thus, SP-STM may be used as a detection tool for a given spin-polarization or as a source to inject spin-polarized currents into a helical edge.

Several publications have since proposed that fractionalized charge and spin excitations may be observable in spatially-separated electrical contacts on either side of a spin-polarized probe tip localized along the QSH edge. A schematic showing the proposed helicity detection method using injected spin-polarized electrons into a QSH edge is shown in Figure 9A. The difference in the tip and edge spin polarization, \(\theta\), generates a fractionalized spin current that may be selectively detected by different electrical contacts along the edge, as spin-momentum locking preferentially directs the spin current toward one of the two terminals. Figure 9B illustrates the time resolution of this charge fractionalization effect for two different \(\theta\), whereby time-resolved spin currents \(j_r\) and \(j_l\) are detected by the right and left electrodes, respectively.

Here, the largest peaks indicate the primary spin-polarized wave packet injected into the edge, and subsequent peaks and valleys indicate detection of spin packet reflections from the contacts. To date, there have been no experimental confirmations of this approach in any QSH system, possibly owing to the materials and nanofabrication challenges to align electrical contacts to the QSH edge. Another reason may be that, in practice, the electrical contacts can drastically affect the observation of spin fractionalization.

### 6.3.4. Other Local Probe Techniques

Aside from scanning tunneling microscopy, other local probe techniques have also been employed to investigate QSH systems, including scanning superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID), scanning gate microscopy, and scanning microwave impedance microscopy. While these techniques usually lack the extremely high spatial resolution of STM, they can provide additional information such as spatially-resolved current flow to disambiguate whether current predominantly flows through the 2D bulk or through the edges. Complementary to STM, this allows to investigate electronic materials on a comparatively large scale up to \(\approx 100\) μm, or when the QSH edge is protected by an insulating overlayer.
7. Potential Applications of Atomically Thin QSH Materials

The advent of 2D TIs offers device concepts that function radically differently from those based on semiconductors, presenting the opportunity to overcome some existing limitations of conventional semiconductor electronics. One key limitation in current semiconductor microelectronics is power dissipation, both in standby operation and during current switching, via subthreshold leakage currents through gate dielectrics or short channels and charging or discharging of capacitive loads, respectively.[214] Both dissipation sources could potentially be mitigated by alternative, non-charge-based switching mechanisms and by low-dissipation helical channels.

Modifying the electronic properties of a material for a targeted application is at the heart of microelectronics, which can be done in several ways. For example, in conventional semiconductors, one may use dopants to control charge carrier concentration and electronic band structure; external electric fields can dynamically control the band structure by band bending and charge accumulation or depletion; and even strain can be used to control charge carrier mobility. These control mechanisms are combined in MOSFETs,[215,216] where vertical electric fields to control charge carrier mobility. These control mechanisms are combined in MOSFETs,[215,216] where vertical electric fields induce charge at an oxide-semiconductor interface, gating current flow; and chemical doping defines metallic source and drain regions, allowing control over the electrostatic potential and carrier compensation in the channel region. Many of these concepts have been borrowed for QSH-based device proposals.[40,43–46]

Beyond the bounds of classical electronics, the inclusion of materials with “nonclassical” functionality, such as quantum mechanical phenomena like quantum coherence and entanglement, into electronic devices concepts can lead to entirely new paradigms superseding classical information processing.

7.1. Low-Power Electronics

Since the discovery of the quantum Hall effect in the 1980s[62] and its subsequent understanding as a topologically distinct phase of matter,[63] there has been excitement over the prospects for dissipation-less (low-power) electronics. In QSH materials, such proposals[44,45,47] have their origin in the suppression of scattering predicted within the helical edge modes.

Classically, the amount of power dissipated in an electronic device, \( P_D = VI = RI^2 \), is related to the degree of charge carrier scattering, as the resistance \( R \) is intimately tied to the carrier mobility in diffusive charge transport. However, within the QSH edge, carrier scattering should be strongly suppressed due to TRS-protected spin-momentum locking. Combined with a strictly 1D Fermi surface (consisting only of the two points at \( +k_F \) and \( -k_F \), respectively), momentum relaxation via single-particle backscattering requires a spin-flip that usually cannot occur in the absence of magnetic disorder or impurities.[44,45] The extent to which scattering is suppressed within the QSH edge, how the edge state’s resistance depends on its length,[17,54,55,18,189] and which mechanisms contribute to backscattering are thus being heavily scrutinized.[17,31,54,55,186–189] 7.2. Topological Switches: Control of the QSH State

There has been a lot of excitement over the prospects of manipulating the topological band structure of atomically thin QSH materials[17,18,27,54,55,189] to realize topological switches that can be used as transistor-like devices[40,43–47] for classical information processing.

State-of-the-art silicon MOSFETs are limited in their performance by a range of quantum and thermal effects, as well as capacitive and charge dynamics. One key performance metric of the MOSFET is its subthreshold swing, \( S \), which is the sensitivity with which a transistor’s drive current responds to an applied gate voltage at the turn-on. In a conventional transistor, this quantity is fundamentally limited by the thermal energy to \( S = \frac{k_T}{q} \ln(10) \approx 60 \text{ mV per decade of current at room temperature.} \)

Instead, reversible changes in the topology of atomically thin QSH materials may be achieved by strain and electric field, the latter of which may offer rapid controllability,[40,44] allowing alternative ways to manipulate charge or spin currents.[45] In particular, a reversible phase transition from a topologically nontrivial to a trivial insulating or metallic state—a topological phase transition (TPT)—may be used to manipulate charge or spin currents[45] in the bulk or at the helical edge, and thus may find application as a current switch in a topological field-effect transistors,[40,44,47] with the potential to overcome fundamental limitations in conventional semiconductor electronics, including threshold slopes, switching speeds, and power dissipation.[53]

7.2.1. Tunability by Electric Fields

Different from the field effect in conventional semiconductor electronics, the “topological field effect”[40,44,47,177] would rely on electric field control of the topological band structure by breaking inversion symmetry of the crystal lattice and/or change of the orbital energy by additional off- and on-site potentials. In certain atomically thin QSH systems, a vertical electric field can break inversion symmetry by changing the on-site potential when inverted orbitals are physically separated across different atomic planes.[45] This phenomenon usually precludes atomically flat or low-buckled materials such as the Xenes. If the applied electric fields are strong enough, a closing of the topological bandgap and reopening of a topologically trivial gap may be achieved, constituting a topological phase transition, concomitant with the disappearance of the 1D topological edge state. As this “topological current switch” would be based on a phase transition rather than charge accumulation or inversion like MOSFETs, it may not to be fundamentally limited by thermionic emission across a potential barrier or to the same extent by capacitive delays.[45,47]

Figure 10A shows an example of a topological field-effect device, based on stacked TMDC monolayers,[46] with a vertical gate electrode (related device proposals can be found in refs. [40,43,47] for atomically thin TIs). In 1T’-TMDCs, the transition metal d and the chalcogen p orbitals are separated across different vertical planes of the unit cell,[46] allowing field control of their electronic band structure as described above. For...
1T′-MoS$_2$, for instance, Qian et al.[44] predict critical electric fields of $\approx 1.4$ V nm$^{-1}$ to close the topological bandgap and induce a topological phase transition, as shown in the phase diagram of Figure 11A and the band structure illustration in Figure 11B. Another example is the case of Na$_3$Bi monolayers, in which the Na and Bi s-orbitals and Bi p$_{x,y}$-orbitals are spatially separated,[53] and for which critical fields of $E_C = 18.5$ V nm$^{-1}$[53] have been predicted. The comparatively large predicted $E_C$ for Na$_3$Bi may simply reflect the high atomic defect density used in the model, which could screen an external electric field.[53]

Recently, Collins et al. were able to demonstrate a field-induced topological phase transition for the first time in any QSH material, applying estimated electric fields in the range of $E_C \approx 1.2–2.2$ V nm$^{-1}$, both globally via displacement fields from surface charge transfer doping, and locally with an STM probe.[53] The topological field-effect was detected by a change

Figure 10. External control of the QSH topological state. A) Proposal of a topological field-effect device,[44] in which an externally applied vertical electric field $E$ controls a topological phase transition from a QSH-insulator to trivial insulator, switching the 1D helical modes on and off. Reproduced with permission,[44] Copyright 2014, AAAS. B,C) Schematics of strain-tunable QSH-insulators used as topological transistors. In (B) pressure applied to the basal plane of a thin Dirac semimetal (DSM). In (C) strain is imposed by flexure of a QSH material, which can apply both compressive and tensile strain. B) Adapted under terms of the CC-BY Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0).[46] Copyright 2017, The Authors, published by Springer Nature. C) Adapted with permission.[43] Copyright 2017, Springer Nature.

Figure 11. Demonstration of the topological field effect. A) First-principles calculation of the 1T′-MoS$_2$ topological bandgap as a function of a vertical electric field, predicting a topological phase transition from a topologically trivial ($Z_2 = 0$) to nontrivial ($Z_2 = 1$) insulator. B) Corresponding schematic band diagram of (A), showing band inversion and the emergence of helical edge states within the topological gap in the limit of no applied electric field ($E = 0$). C–E) The topological field effect demonstrated in Na$_3$Bi. C) A strong, built-in electric field is applied locally by an STM tip, given a mismatch of the sample and tip materials’ work function difference $\Delta \phi$.[53] The electric field strength at the sample depends on physical separation $Z_0$ between tip and sample. D) Measured topological phase diagram of monolayer and bilayer Na$_3$Bi as a function of electric field. E) Measured local density of states within the 2D bulk from which the data in (D) was extracted, showing the closing of the topological gap (at low field strength) and the re-opening of a trivial gap (at high field strength). A) Reproduced with permission,[44] Copyright 2014, AAAS. C–E) Reproduced with permission.[53] Copyright 2018, Springer Nature.
in the topological bandgap, measured in ARPES, as well as by a complete closing and reopening of the gap, as seen in tip-height-dependent local probe spectroscopy (Figure 11C–E). A confirmation of such topological field effect in other QSH materials, as well as by electrostatic gate-control in an electronic device, will be major milestones toward QSH-based topological field-effect transistors.

### 7.2.2. Tunability by Lattice Strain

Tuning of the topological band structure by electric fields is limited to certain classes of QSH materials with vertically separated orbitals constituting the low-energy band structure. An alternative way to tune topological phase is by lattice strain. Atomic lattice constants govern the electronic band structure via the amount of spatial overlap between neighboring atomic orbitals, determining orbital energy, their hybridization, and, thus, the size of the fundamental bandgap and degree of topological band inversion.[43,89,103,217]

The effect of strain on the topological band structure was first demonstrated in HgTe/CdTe semiconductor-heterostructure-based QSH as an effort to enhance the size of the bulk bandgap to increase the range of operating temperature.[68] For strained HgTe/CdTe heterostructures, the bandgap was found to increase from \( E_g = 10 \) to 55 meV owing to compressive strain imparted by the growth substrate lattice.

Similar effects have since also been observed for atomically thin QSH systems, however, with much more profound effects. For instance, lattice strain imparted by the substrate can cause the Xenes to undergo a phase transition from a QSH to metal, such as buckled monolayer stanene on Sb(111) or Bi\(_2\)Te\(_3\) substrates. Recently, in monolayer 1T’-WTe\(_2\) the amount of substrate-induced strain was able to tune the material from a gapless topological semimetal to a fully gapped topological insulator with a bulk bandgap up to about 62 meV. Other QSH materials have also been predicted to be sensitive to strain, including all 1T'-TMDCs, Bi(110), and low-buckled Xenes.[85,90,100,121]

Strain-induced topological phase transitions between QSH and trivial insulator have also been predicted for larger-gap 2D TIs like functionalized, low-buckled stanene[90] and thin films of Weyl and Dirac semimetals, such as 1T’-TMDCs, Na\(_3\)Bi,[46] and Cd\(_3\)As\(_2\).[46] The susceptibility of the topological band structure to strain in these materials has led to proposals[43,46] of a piezo-topological transistor, the schematics of which are shown in Figure 10B,C. In a piezo-topological transistor, switching is induced by dynamic strain applied to the channel of the transistor device by some external mechanism, which has included proposals of a mechanical pressure plate in physical contact with the QSHI channel (Figure 10B)[46] and by flexure of the entire device (Figure 10C).[43]

### 7.2.3. Tunability by Magnetic Fields

Magnetic fields universally break TRS and lead to the opening of a small Zeeman energy gap at the Kramers degenerate point (crossing point of the helical edge modes in the band structure) in crystals that lack additional protective symmetries.[16,178] The concomitant change in the edge state conductance has been demonstrated in transport experiments,[17,54,55] where it has been provided as supporting evidence of the QSH state,[17,54] and to determine the electron’s spin–orbit enhanced g-factor.[54,55]

If such TRS-breaking can be induced locally, it has the potential to create a localized “cut” the helical edge and define a set of two endpoints of the helical edge located on either side of the topologically trivial section. Combined with topological superconductivity, such a 1D system may carry Majorana bound states at the topological phase-boundary between trivial and helical parts of the edge,[219,220] as discussed in the following section. With relevance to atomically thin QSH insulators in particular, magnetic fields may be locally imposed by ferromagnetic materials[59,221] proximal to the QSH edge, such as ferromagnetic clusters[99] or even layered ferromagnets and ferromagnetic insulators.[221–228] The latter of which are fields that have burgeoned over the last three years. Layered ferromagnets exhibit intrinsic ferromagnetism in which each layer has a uniform magnetic polarization, even down to the monolayer limit, some of which are stable up to room temperature[223] and can be switched[223] between ferromagnetic and paramagnetic states with an applied gate voltage. A layered ferromagnet recently demonstrated[223] strong, polarization-dependent modulation of the edge conductance in the QSH material WTe\(_2\), holding great promise as a “topological switch.”

### 7.3. Spintronics

Spintronics aims to leverage the electron’s spin degree of freedom instead of its charge for information processing and storage, and has been considered[229] for nonvolatility, fast processing speed, low power consumption, and large integration densities. A typical spintronic transistor or logic device is illustrated in Figure 12A, in which the spin channel contains a pure spin current, with opposing spin polarities propagating in opposite directions, as in the helical edge of a QSH insulator. Spin currents may generally be injected and detected through ferromagnetic or large-atomic-number (Z) metallic electrodes.

The efficient generation and detection of majority spin populations by interconversion of spin and charge is a major challenge in spintronics.[41] In 3D and 2D topological insulators, spin and charge are inherently linked via spin-momentum locking through their band dispersion properties, and may, thus, directly and efficiently convert between the two. The helical edges of QSH insulators in particular have been predicted to exhibit extremely efficient spin-charge interconversion.[42,230] As the helical edge modes are spin-momentum locked, they may realize a pure spin current as illustrated in Figure 12B, where spin current (\( J_s \)) is injected into the QSH insulator via the magnetization dynamics of the FM having a precessing (time-varying) magnetization \( n(t) \), where it is converted to a charge current (\( I \)) on the edge of the QSH insulator edge. The metallic reservoir (\( I \)) represents metallic terminals that would be coupled to the junction in a real setup and allows the system to maintain a periodic steady state. Spins injected by a ferromagnet interfaced with a QSHI edge in this manner
are expected[42] to be efficiently converted into charge, up to two orders of magnitude larger than in 3D topological insulator or Rashba interfaces, even despite suppression due to exchange coupling with the ferromagnetic terminals.[42] On the other hand, charge injected into the QSH insulator edge can be expected to perfectly convert to spin without Joule heating[42] due to the inherent spin-momentum locking within the edge channel.

Further detail on the field of spintronics and its applications, spin and charge interconversion by other quantum materials like 3D topological insulators, Rashba interfaces, ultrathin Dirac and Weyl semimetals, superconductors, and non-collinear ferromagnets, as well as advances in 2D materials for spintronics applications can be found in these comprehensive reviews by Wolf et al.,[229] Han et al.,[41] Hirohata et al.,[230] Ningsrum et al.,[231] and Ahn.[232]

### 7.4. Topological Superconductivity

Beyond the bounds of classical electronics, radically different applications of QSH materials may arise at the lowest extreme of temperatures, arising from the interplay of topology and superconductivity.

Soon after Kane and Mele’s[15,16] seminal proposal for the quantum spin Hall effect in graphene, Fu and Kane started exploring superconducting ground states in 2D and 3D topological insulators.[56–58] Superconducting pairing at the helical edge of a quantum spin Hall insulator, for example,[56,219] was found to be a realization of Kitaev’s model for 1D topological superconductivity,[60] with implications for the realization of proposals for Majorana-based topological quantum information processing.[219,231,234]

Kitaev[60] first proposed harnessing Majorana fermions for solid-state-based quantum information processing in 2001. His model suggested the emergence of mid-gap (zero-mode) excitations that are localized at the ends of a finite 1D superconducting chain. Such Majorana bound states always occur in pairs—one on each end of the 1D chain—with their nonlocal “entanglement” topologically protected from decoherence.[219,220] This seemed to make Majorana fermions ideal candidates as carriers of quantum information and has made their realization ones of the most sought-after aspects in contemporary condensed matter research.[59,235–248] However, in spite of its simplicity, a central assumption in Kitaev’s model that made it hard to realize experimentally was the requirement for a spinless Hamiltonian. Furthermore, in 1D, superconducting correlations decay quickly as a power law, dissimilar to long-range correlations observed in 3D superconductors.

Just like ordinary Cooper pair wavefunctions in a superconductor, Majorana fermions can be described as superpositions of electron and hole states, or Bogoliubov quasiparticles. However, since the Bogoliubov quasiparticle operators are expressed as $γ = uc†v + vc†u$, in spin singlet superconductors, the mixing of spin components in the fermion operators $c_α$ implies that the Majorana condition $γ = γ^†$ (a Majorana is its own antiparticle) is not satisfied. This limitation can be overcome in equal spin pairing, odd-parity superconducting states where the Cooper pairs can be expressed in the so-called “spinless” form. However, materials showing an equal spin pairing, p-wave superconducting state are extremely rare.[249–251]

Alongside the emergence and increased theoretical understanding of topological matter around the turn of the century,[34,35] it was shown that an effective p-wave Cooper pairing can be realized by proximity-coupling on the surfaces and interfaces of 2D and 3D topological insulators, where spin degeneracy is lifted by spin-momentum locking (helicity). In Fu and Kane’s original proposal,[58] it was shown that Majorana fermions can be generated in cores of magnetic vortices by proximity coupling of a strong 3D topological insulator surface with an s-wave superconductor. Interestingly, such a junction could lead to formation of proximity induced, time reversal invariant, $p_x + ip_y$ superconductivity on the topological insulator even though the underlying superconductor has an s-wave superconducting state. Just a year later, Fu and Kane also considered 2D topological insulators[56,57] such as quantum spin Hall insulators, in which the inherent lifting of spin degeneracy due to topological “spin-filtering” within the helical edge naturally helps remove the fundamental “fermion doubling.”[232]

Quite a few theoretical proposals have been put forth to discover Majorana fermions in quantum condensed matter (see refs. [219,220] for reviews). To date, Majorana fermions have been demonstrated in spin–orbit coupled semiconducting nanowires,[235,236,248,253,254] atomic chains and islands,[237,238,240,255] the surface of iron-based superconductors,[244–247,256] as well as 2D and 3D topological insulators.[59,239,243,257] Among
these systems, topological insulators may hold particular promise for applications as a topological non-trivial phase exists intrinsically, in the absence of an external magnetic field, with large bulk gaps, and robust to disorder.

Since the first demonstration of the QSH state in HgTe/ CdTe and InAs/GaSb semiconductor heterostructures,[23,24] a few reports on proximity-induced superconductivity in QSH systems have been published.[20,258–265] The recent emergence of atomically thin QSH materials with intrinsic band inversion[44,52–55,161] and comparatively large topological gaps (a few tens to a few hundreds of meV) provides a fertile hunting ground for non-trivial superconductivity in these systems.

Particularly promising are the prospects of combining atomically thin QSH materials in van der Waals heterostructures with layered superconductors, ferromagnets, or ferromagnetic insulators,[222–227] to explore the interplay of topology, superconductivity, and magnetism. Indeed, atomically thin layered materials have been shown to yield high-quality vdW heterostructures with atomically abrupt, crystalline interfaces[168,262–264] and with minimal strain.[265] These attributes make them promising platforms to achieve stable proximity-induced superconductivity.[168,266–269] Recently, Lüpke et al. have demonstrated proximity-induced superconductivity in a superconducting vdW heterostructure of an atomically thin QSH material for the first time.[168]

Such ideas may by further combined with dynamical control of the topological state by local strain or electric fields[270–272] (see also references in Section 6.1 above). A very exciting prospect is the recent discovery of electric field controlled low-density superconductivity state in van der Waals heterostructures of WTe2,[273,274] which may ultimately allow for lateral homojunctions between QSH insulating and superconducting domains of the same material.

Majorana bound states are predicted to emerge at the boundaries between the 1D helical superconductor and a trivial insulator, defined either by physical end-points of a 1D wire or chain[233,275] or by virtue of a locally controlled topological phase transition. Such bound states, or Majorana zero modes (MZM) may be detected in either electron transport[235] or local probe spectroscopy.[147,237,243] Although no report exists to date demonstrating Majorana bound states along the helical edge of a QSH insulator, a very recent report has demonstrated MZMs along the 1D helical hinge of the HOTI Bi(111), proximitized by s-wave superconducting niobium.[59]

A schematic of the heterostructure is shown in Figure 13. Here, the magnetic exchange fields originating from a small cluster of ferromagnetic atoms (Fe) along the helical edge breaks TRS locally, thus leading to the formation of strongly confined Majorana bound states that were predicted via tight binding calculation and detected in local probe spectroscopy at \( T = 1.4 \) K (Figure 13). The local-probe detection of Majorana bound states in a 1D helical system promises similar discoveries in QSH materials in the future. Such discovery may ultimately provide a pathway to Majorana detection and braiding in QSH-based systems[211] toward scalable topological quantum computing.

8. Conclusion

A plethora of atomically thin QSH materials are now available to condensed matter research, promising transformative directions in materials and device engineering. This is owing to the stability and tunability of the topological state and the possibility to engineer novel electronic phases of matter, in a potentially scalable fashion, by van der Waals heterostructures with superconducting or magnetic layers, or both. As the most critical parameter, the spin–orbit driven QSH topological gap which limits a prospective device's operating temperature range keeps ever increasing as new materials are being discovered. With record values of topological bandgaps in the range of up to few hundred meV—approaching the size of the silicon bandgap—this has brought even room temperature operation of QSH-based electronics within reach.

Size matters. Yet, the range of potential applications of QSH materials is not restricted to the room temperature realm. The requirement of a large topological bandgap is much relaxed for applications at cryogenic temperatures at which an even
broader set of QSH materials with smaller topological gaps may find their place. In superconducting quantum devices, for instance, the operating temperature is necessarily limited to below the superconducting critical temperature. This has allowed topological energy gaps as small as 1 meV[235] to be sufficient for the detection and control of topological superconductivity in Majorana fermions. The prospects of larger topological bandgaps in atomically thin QSH materials that can conduct topological superconductivity and Majorana fermions. The prospects of larger topological bandgaps in atomically thin QSH materials that can carry topological superconductivity in the absence of an applied magnetic field and be dynamically tuned by strain or electric fields is shaping up to be an exciting next frontier in QSH materials research.
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