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Many applications using discrete dynamics employ either $q$-difference equations or $h$-difference equations. In this work, we introduce and study the Hyers–Ulam stability (HUS) of a quantum ($q$-difference) equation of Euler type. In particular, we show a direct connection between quantum equations of Euler type and $h$-difference equations of constant step size $h$ with constant coefficients and an arbitrary integer order. For equation orders greater than two, the $h$-difference results extend first-order and second-order results found in the literature, and the Euler-type $q$-difference results are completely novel for any order. In many cases, the best HUS constant is found.

1. Introduction

Recently, there has been much interest in questions of Hyers–Ulam stability for differential equations and $h$-difference equations, but little has been published specifically on $q$-difference (quantum) equations [1], in particular, on quantum equations of Euler type. In this work, we introduce a new and direct connection between Hyers–Ulam stability results for $h$-difference equations with constant coefficients, of first, second, and all higher orders, with Hyers–Ulam stability results for quantum equations of Euler type, of all integer orders, through a change of variables. First, we will connect the two types of equations and then introduce Hyers–Ulam stability.

The results in this paper connecting $h$-difference equations and $q$-difference equations of Euler type are novel. Even if we just consider the higher-order $h$-difference results independently, they extend first-order and second-order results found in [2, 3] to $n$th order and are not the same as the results in [4–6], where $h = 1$ and different techniques are used. For a great introduction to quantum calculus, see the monograph [1], which has sections on both $q$-calculus and $h$-calculus, but does not show the nexus that we do here.

The rest of the paper will develop as follows. In Section 2, we establish the connection between $q$-difference equations of the Euler type and $h$-difference equations, via a change of variable. We then define Hyers–Ulam stability (HUS) and prove for which the parameter values the first-order $q$-difference equation of the Euler type has HUS; in the case that it does exhibit HUS, a minimum HUS constant is found. In Section 3, the Hyers–Ulam stability of second-order quantum equations of the Euler type is established from known results for $h$-difference equations. In Section 4, the stability of both higher-order quantum equations of the Euler type and higher-order $h$-difference equations with constant coefficients is proven by mathematical induction; these results are new in each context. For some cases, the best HUS constant is found. In Section 5, higher-order perturbed quantum equations of the Euler type and higher-order perturbed $h$-difference equations with constant (complex) coefficients are analyzed, and HUS with specific HUS constants is established for each setting.

2. Connections and First-Order Stability

Lemma 1. Assume $q > 1$ and $h > 0$ and set

$$q^Z = \{\ldots, q^{-2}, q^{-1}, 1, q, q^2, \ldots\},$$

$$hZ = \{\ldots, -2h, -h, 0, h, 2h, \ldots\}. \tag{1}$$
Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{1/(q-1)\} \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1/h\} \) be given, and let \( I \) be the identity operator. Then, the (factored) quantum equation of the Euler type
\[
(sD_q - \alpha I)y(s) = g(s),
\]
has a solution \( y \) for \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \) if and only if the (factored) \( h \)-difference equation
\[
(\Delta_h - \lambda I)x(t) = f(t),
\]
has a solution \( x \) for \( t \in h\mathbb{Z} \), where
\[
t = h \log_q s \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{\lambda h}{q - 1},
\]
is a change of variables between \( s \) and \( t \) to \( \lambda \), while
\[
x(t) = \frac{h}{q - 1} y\left(\frac{t}{h}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) = g\left(\frac{t}{h}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]
is a change of functions between the variables.

**Proof.** Let \( y \) be a solution of \((sD_q - \alpha I)y(s) = g(s)\) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1/(q-1)\}\). Then, the change of variables (4) converts this equation to
\[
\frac{h}{q - 1} \left( y\left(\frac{t+1}{h}\right) - y\left(\frac{t}{h}\right) \right) = \frac{h \lambda}{q - 1} y\left(\frac{t}{h}\right) = g\left(\frac{t}{h}\right),
\]
where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1/h\}\). Now, make the change of functions (5). Then, the function \( x \) is a solution of \((\Delta_h - \lambda I)x(t) = f(t)\). Using (4) and (5), this process is reversible, yielding the converse.

**Definition 1.** Assume \( q > 1 \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1/(q-1)\}\). The Euler-type quantum equation
\[
(sD_q - \alpha I)y(s) = 0,
\]
has Hyers–Ulam stability (HUS) if and only if there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) with the following property. For an arbitrary \( \epsilon > 0 \), if a function \( \psi: q^\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfies
\[
\left| sD_q - \alpha I \right| \psi(s) \leq \epsilon,
\]
for all \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \), then there exists a solution \( y: q^\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \) of (7) such that
\[
\left| \psi(s) - y(s) \right| \leq K \epsilon,
\]
for all \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \). Such a constant \( K \) is called an HUS constant for (7) on \( q^\mathbb{Z} \).

**Remark 1.** If, given an arbitrary \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a function \( \psi \) such that (8) holds for \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \), then
\[
\left| (\Delta_h - \lambda I)\phi(t) \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in h\mathbb{Z},
\]
holds as well, where we have used the change of variable (4) and, similar to (5), the change of function
\[
\phi(t) = \frac{h}{q - 1} \psi\left(\frac{t}{h}\right),
\]
to rewrite (8) as (10).

**Lemma 2.** Assume \( q > 1 \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1/(q-1)\} \). If \( |\alpha(q-1) + 1| = 1 \), then the quantum equation of the Euler type given in (7) is not Hyers–Ulam stable.

**Proof.** Assume \( h > 0 \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1/h\} \). Using ([2], Remark 2.1), if \( |\lambda h + 1| = 1 \), then the \( h \)-difference equation,
\[
(\Delta_h - \lambda I)x(t) = 0,
\]
is not Hyers–Ulam stable. By the change of variables (4) and change of functions (5) and (11), which connect (7) to (12) and (8) to (10), the result follows.

**Remark 2.** Throughout the rest of the paper, let
\[
K(\tau, \theta) = \frac{\tau}{|1 - |\theta \tau + 1||},
\]
for \( |\theta \tau + 1| \neq 1 \).

**Theorem 1.** If \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1/(q-1)\} \) with \( |\alpha(q-1) + 1| \neq 1 \), then (7) has Hyers–Ulam stability with minimum HUS constant \( K(q-1, \alpha) = (q-1)/(1 - |\alpha(q-1) + 1|) \) on \( q^\mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** Using ([2], Theorem 2.6), if \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1/h\} \) with \( |\lambda h + 1| \neq 1 \), then (12) has Hyers–Ulam stability with minimum HUS constant \( K(h, \lambda) = h/(1 - |\lambda h + 1|) \) on \( h\mathbb{Z} \), for \( K(\cdot, \cdot) \) given in (13). Let an arbitrary \( \epsilon > 0 \) be given. Suppose \( \phi \) satisfies (10). By ([2], Theorem 2.5) there exists a solution \( x \) of (12) such that
\[
|\phi(t) - x(t)| \leq \epsilon K(h, \lambda), \quad t \in h\mathbb{Z},
\]
making the change using (4) and (11), we have
\[
\left| \frac{h}{q - 1} \psi(s) - \frac{h}{q - 1} y(s) \right| \leq \frac{he}{|1 - |\alpha(q-1) + 1||} \quad s \in q^\mathbb{Z},
\]
so that
\[
|\psi(s) - y(s)| \leq \frac{(q-1)e}{|1 - |\alpha(q-1) + 1||} = \epsilon K(q-1, \alpha), \quad s \in q^\mathbb{Z},
\]
where \( y \) is a solution of (7). Now from ([2], Lemma 2.3), we know there exists a specific function:
\[
\phi(t) := c(\lambda h + 1)^{\frac{\varepsilon h}{y - (\lambda h + 1)}} y^{\frac{\varepsilon h}{y - (\lambda h + 1)}},
\]
(17)

for \( y = (\lambda h + 1)/[\lambda h + 1] \) that satisfies (10); then, \( |y| = 1 \) and \( y - (\lambda h + 1) \neq 0 \), whereby it is proven that the minimum HUS constant for (12) is at least \( K(h, \lambda) \), for \( K(\cdot, \cdot) \) given in (13). By the change of variable (4) and the change of function (5), which connect (7) to (12) and (8) to (10) via (11), there exists a specific function \( \psi \) satisfying (8), whereby the minimum HUS constant for (7) is thus \( K(q - 1, \alpha) \), and the result follows. \( \square \)

3. Second-Order Quantum Equations of Euler Type

Let \( q > 1 \), \( h > 0 \), and \( \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}\{ -1/(q - 1) \} \) be given for \( j \in \{1, 2\} \).

Now, consider the second-order quantum equation of the Euler type, written in the factored operator form as

\[
\left(sD_q - \alpha_2 I\right)\left(sD_q - \alpha_1 I\right)y(s) = 0,
\]
(18)

\[
D_qy(s) = \frac{y(qs) - y(s)}{(q - 1)s}
\]

Definition 2. The second-order Euler-type quantum equation (18) has Hyers–Ulam stability (HUS) if and only if there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) with the following property: For an arbitrary \( \varepsilon > 0 \), if a function \( \psi : q^\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfies

\[
\left| \left(sD_q - \alpha_2 I\right)\left(sD_q - \alpha_1 I\right)\psi(s) \right| \leq \varepsilon,
\]
(19)

for all \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \), then there exists a solution \( y : q^\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \) of (18) such that

\[
|\psi(s) - y(s)| \leq K \varepsilon,
\]
(20)

for all \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \). Such a constant \( K \) is called an HUS constant for (18) on \( q^\mathbb{Z} \).

Theorem 2. If \( \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}\{ -1/(q - 1) \} \) with \( |\alpha_j(q - 1) + 1| \neq 1 \) for \( j \in \{1, 2\} \), then the second-order quantum equation of Euler type (18) has Hyers–Ulam stability with an HUS constant of

\[
K(q - 1, \alpha_j)K(q - 1, \alpha_j) = \frac{(q - 1)^2}{|1 - |\alpha_j(q - 1) + 1|||1 - |\alpha_2(q - 1) + 1||}
\]
(21)

on \( q^\mathbb{Z} \).

Proof. Let

\[
Y_1(s) := \left(sD_q - \alpha_2 I\right)y(s).
\]
(22)

As a result, (18) implies that \( (sD_q - \alpha_2 I)Y_1(s) = 0 \) so that

\[
(D_h - \lambda_2 I)X_1(t) = 0,
\]
(23)

\[
\Delta_hX_1(t) = \frac{X_1(t + h) - X_1(t)}{h},
\]

where we employ the change of variables

\[
\lambda_j = \frac{\alpha_j(q - 1)}{h},
\]
(24)

\[
X_1(t) = \frac{h}{q - 1}Y_1(q^\varepsilon).
\]
(25)

Then, we have

\[
X_1(t) = (\Delta_h - \lambda_1 I)x(t),
\]
(27)

and this implies

\[
0 = (\Delta_h - \lambda_2 I)X_1(t) = (\Delta_h - \lambda_2 I)(\Delta_h - \lambda_1 I)x(t)
\]
(28)

\[
= \Delta_h^2x(t) - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\Delta_hx(t) + \lambda_1\lambda_2 x(t).
\]

Take

\[
\alpha = -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2),
\]
\[
\beta = \lambda_1\lambda_2,
\]
(29)

to match the notation used in [2], Theorem 3.4.

By [2], Theorem 3.4, the second-order \( h \)-difference equation (28) has HUS, with an HUS constant

\[
K\left(\frac{h}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}\right)K\left(\frac{h}{\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}\right)
\]

\[
= K(h, \lambda_1)K(h, \lambda_2),
\]
(30)

on \( h\mathbb{Z} \), where \( K(\cdot, \cdot) \) is the constant expressed in (13). Given an arbitrary \( \varepsilon > 0 \), suppose there exists a function \( \psi \) such that

\[
\left| (sD_q - \alpha_2 I)\left(sD_q - \alpha_1 I\right)\psi(s) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad s \in q^\mathbb{Z}.
\]
(31)

Then, letting
\[
\phi(t) = \left( \frac{h}{q-1} \right)^2 \psi(q^j),
\]
\[
t = h \log_q s,
\]
\[
\lambda_j = \frac{\alpha_j (q-1)}{h},
\]
for \( j \in \{1, 2\} \), we have
\[
| (\Delta_h - \lambda_j I) (\Delta_h - \lambda_1 I) \phi(t) | \leq \varepsilon, \quad t \in h \mathbb{Z}.
\]
(33)

Therefore, by [2], Theorem 3.4, there exists a solution \( x \) of (28) such that
\[
| \phi(t) - x(t) | \leq \varepsilon K \left( h, -\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta} \right) K \left( h, -\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta} \right)
\]
\[
= K(h, \lambda_1) K(h, \lambda_2),
\]
(34)
which implies that
\[
K(q-1, \alpha_1) K(q-1, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{|\alpha_1 \alpha_2|},
\]
(39)

through simplification so that this constant is an HUS constant for (18) on \( q^Z \). Invoking [2], Theorem 3.4 (i) or
[3], Corollary 3.1 and the change of variables to the corresponding \( h \)-difference equation, the constant
\[
K(h, \lambda_1) K(h, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{|\lambda_1 \lambda_2|},
\]
(40)
is the minimum HUS constant for the second-order \( h \)-difference equation (28) on \( h \mathbb{Z} \). The result follows
on \( q^Z \) after a change of variables back.

(ii) If \( \alpha_j(q-1) + 1 \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, 0) \) for \( j \in \{1, 2\} \), then
\[
K(q-1, \alpha_1) K(q-1, \alpha_2) = \frac{(q-1)^2}{|\alpha_1(q-1) + 2| (\alpha_2(q-1) + 2)|}
\]
(41)
again through simplification of the expression, making this constant an HUS constant for (18) on \( q^Z \).

4. Higher-Order Quantum Equations of Euler Type

In this section, we extend the results in the previous two sections to higher-order quantum equations of the Euler type.

Let \( q > 1, h > 0, \alpha_j \in C \setminus \{-1/(q-1)\} \), and \( \lambda_j \in C \setminus \{-1/h\} \) be given for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). In this section, we consider the
nth-order quantum equation of the Euler type given in factored operator form by
\[(sD_q - \alpha_n I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) y(s) = 0,\]
\[D_q y(s) = \frac{y(qs) - y(s)}{(q - 1)s}\] (42)

**Definition 3.** The higher-order Euler-type quantum equation (42) has Hyers–Ulam stability (HUS) if and only if there exists a constant \(K > 0\) with the following property. For an arbitrary \(\varepsilon > 0\), if a function \(\psi: q^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) satisfies
\[\|sD_q - \alpha_n I \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I)\psi(s)\| \leq \varepsilon,\] (43)
for all \(s \in q^\mathbb{Z}\), then there exists a solution \(y: q^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) of (42) such that
\[|\psi(s) - y(s)| \leq K\varepsilon,\] (44)
for all \(s \in q^\mathbb{Z}\). Such a constant \(K\) is called an HUS constant for (42) on \(q^\mathbb{Z}\).

**Theorem 3.** If \(\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}|\mathbb{N}| - 1/(q - 1)\) with \(|\alpha_j(q - 1) + 1| \neq 1\) for \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), then the higher-order quantum equation of Euler type (42) has Hyers–Ulam stability with an HUS constant of
\[\prod_{j=1}^{n} K(q - 1, \alpha_j) = \frac{(q - 1)^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left|1 - \alpha_j(q - 1) + 1\right|},\] (45)
on \(q^\mathbb{Z}\), where \(K(\cdot, \cdot)\) is given in (13).

**Proof.** We proceed by mathematical induction on \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). For \(n = 1\), equation (42) is simply (7) so that by Theorem 1, (7) has Hyers–Ulam stability with minimum HUS constant
\[K(q - 1, \alpha_1) = \frac{q - 1}{\left|1 - \alpha_1(q - 1) + 1\right|},\] (46)
on \(q^\mathbb{Z}\).

Let \(n = 2\). For an arbitrary \(\varepsilon > 0\), suppose there exists a function \(\psi: q^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) that satisfies
\[\|sD_q - \alpha_2 I\| sD_q - \alpha_1 I\psi(s)\| \leq \varepsilon,\] (47)
for all \(s \in q^\mathbb{Z}\). If we let
\[\psi(s) = Y_2(s),\] (48)
then
\[\|sD_q - \alpha_2 I\| Y_2(s)\| \leq \varepsilon,\] (49)
for all \(s \in q^\mathbb{Z}\). Therefore, Hyers–Ulam stability for the first-order equation implies there exists a solution \(y_2\) of \((sD_q - \alpha_1 I) y_2(s) = 0\) such that
\[|\psi_2(s) - y_2(s)| \leq \varepsilon K(q - 1, \alpha_2).\] (50)
Let \(Y_2\) solve the equation:
\[(sD_q - \alpha_1 I) Y_2(s) = y_2(s).\] (51)

This is possible by converting the equation using Lemma 1 to the corresponding \(h\)-difference equation and using the variation of parameters formula and then converting back. In (50), substitute for \(\psi_2\) using (48) and for \(y_2\) using (51). Then, we can rewrite (50) as
\[\|sD_q - \alpha_1 I\| \psi(s) - (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) Y_2(s)\| \leq \varepsilon K(q - 1, \alpha_2),\] (52)
so that
\[\|sD_q - \alpha_1 I\| \psi(s) - (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) Y_2(s)\| \leq \varepsilon' K(q - 1, \alpha_2) = \varepsilon'.\] (53)

Again, Hyers–Ulam stability for the first-order equation implies there exists a solution \(y_0\) of (7) such that
\[|\psi - Y_2(s) - y_0(s)| \leq \varepsilon' K(q - 1, \alpha_1),\] (54)
which implies that
\[|\psi(s) - (Y_2 + y_0)(s)| \leq \varepsilon K(q - 1, \alpha_1) K(q - 1, \alpha_2).\] (55)

Note that
\[(sD_q - \alpha_1 I)(sD_q - \alpha_1 I) Y_2(s) + y_0(s)\] (56)
\[= (sD_q - \alpha_1 I)(sD_q - \alpha_1 I) \psi(s) + (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) y_0(s)\]
\[= (sD_q - \alpha_1 I)(sD_q - \alpha_1 I) Y_2(s) + y_0(s)\]
\[= 0,\]
making \((Y_2 + y_0)\) a solution of (42) with \(n = 2\). By Definition 3, with \(n = 2\), equation (42) has Hyers–Ulam stability with HUS constant \(K(q - 1, \alpha_1) K(q - 1, \alpha_2)\).

Let \(n = k\) for some \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). Without loss of generality, write (42) with \(n = k\) as
\[(sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I)(sD_q - \alpha_k I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) y(s) = 0,\] (57)
where we have reindexed parameters as necessary and make the induction assumption that this equation has Hyers–Ulam stability with HUS constant
\[\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} K(q - 1, \alpha_j) = \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} \frac{(q - 1)^n}{\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} \left|1 - \alpha_j(q - 1) + 1\right|},\] (58)
on \(q^\mathbb{Z}\).

Now, consider (42) with \(n = k + 1\), namely,
\[(sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I)(sD_q - \alpha_k I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) y(s) = 0.\] (59)

For an arbitrary \(\varepsilon > 0\), suppose there exists a function \(\psi: q^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) that satisfies
\[\|sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I)(sD_q - \alpha_k I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I)\psi(s)\| \leq \varepsilon,\] (60)
for all \(s \in q^\mathbb{Z}\). If we let
\[\psi(s) = \Psi_{k+1}(s),\] (61)

then
\[
|sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I| (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_2 I) \Psi_{k+1} (s) \leq \epsilon,
\]
for all \( s \in q^Z \). Therefore, by the induction assumption for \( n = k \), Hyers–Ulam stability for that equation implies there exists a solution \( y_{k+1} \) of \((sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I)(sD_q - \alpha_1 I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_2 I) y_{k+1} (s) = 0 \) such that
\[
|\Psi_{k+1} (s) - y_{k+1} (s)| \leq \epsilon \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} K(q-1, \alpha_j)
\]
(63)

Let \( Y_{k+1} \) solve the equation
\[
(sD_q - \alpha_1 I) Y_{k+1} (s) = y_{k+1} (s).
\]
(64)

Using this result, we can rewrite (63) as
\[
|sD_q - \alpha_1 I| \Psi (s) - (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) Y_{k+1} (s) \leq \epsilon \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} K(q-1, \alpha_j),
\]
so that
\[
|sD_q - \alpha_1 I| (\Psi - Y_{k+1}) (s) \leq \epsilon \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} K(q-1, \alpha_j) = \epsilon'.
\]
(66)

Again, Hyers–Ulam stability for the first-order equation implies there exists a solution \( y_0 \) of (7) such that
\[
|\Psi - Y_{k+1}) (s) - y_0 (s)| \leq \epsilon' K(q-1, \alpha_1),
\]
which implies that
\[
|\Psi (s) - (Y_{k+1} + y_0) (s)| \leq \epsilon \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} K(q-1, \alpha_j).
\]
(68)

Note that
\[
(sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_2 I)(sD_q - \alpha_1 I) (Y_{k+1} + y_0) (s)
= (sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_2 I)(sD_q - \alpha_1 I) Y_{k+1} (s) + (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) y_0 (s)
= (sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_2 I) [y_{k+1} (s) + 0]
= (sD_q - \alpha_{k+1} I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_2 I) y_{k+1} (s) = 0,
\]
(69)

by the choice of \( y_{k+1} \), making \((Y_{k+1} + y_0)\) a solution of (42) with \( n = k + 1 \). By Definition 3 with \( n = k + 1 \), equation (42) has Hyers–Ulam stability with HUS constant \( \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} K(q-1, \alpha_1) \). Consequently, by the principle of mathematical induction, the overall result holds.

We now use Theorem 3 and the connection between \( q \)-difference equations of Euler type and \( h \)-difference equations with constant coefficients articulated earlier, to extend known results about (28) to general higher-order equations.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( h > 0 \) be given. If \( \lambda_j \in C \setminus (-1/h) \) with \( |\lambda_j h + 1| \neq 1 \) for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \), then the higher-order \( h \)-difference equation with constant coefficients in factored form given by
\[
\left( \Delta_h - \lambda_1 I \right) \left( \Delta_h - \lambda_2 I \right) \cdots \left( \Delta_h - \lambda_n I \right) x(t) = 0,
\]
(70)
has Hyers–Ulam stability with an HUS constant of
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n} K(h, \lambda_j) = \frac{h^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} |1 - \lambda_j h + 1|},
\]
(71)
on \( hZ \), where \( K(\cdot, \cdot) \) is given in (13).

**Lemma 3.** Assume \( \alpha_j \in C \setminus (-1/(q-1)) \) with \( |\alpha_j (q-1) + 1| \neq 1 \) for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Then the following hold:

- (i) If \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \) are distinct, then the general solution to (42) is given by
\[
y(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j (q-1) \log_s^+, x
\]
(72)
where \( \alpha_j \) are arbitrary constants.

- (ii) If \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \) are distinct with multiplicities \( m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \) such that \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j = n \), respectively, then the general solution to
\[
\left( sD_q - \alpha_1 I \right)^{m_1} \left( sD_q - \alpha_2 I \right)^{m_2} \cdots \left( sD_q - \alpha_n I \right)^{m_n} y(s) = 0,
\]
(73)
is given by
\[
y(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j (q-1) \log_s^+ \left[ b_{j_0} + b_{j_1} \log_s^+ \right.
\]
\[+ b_{j_2} (\log_s^+)^2 + \cdots + b_{j_{m_j-1}} (\log_s^+)^{m_j-1} \]
(74)
where \( b_{j_i} \) \( (i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m_j-1\}) \) are arbitrary constants.

**Proof.** Let \( q > 1 \) and \( h > 0 \), and \( \alpha_j \in C \setminus (-1/(q-1)) \) with \( |\alpha_j (q-1) + 1| \neq 1 \) for \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). We now consider the change of variables and functions:
\[
t = h \log_s^s,
\]
(75)
\[
\lambda_j = \frac{\alpha_j (q-1)}{h},
\]
\[
x(t) = \frac{h}{q-1} y(q^{t/h}) = \frac{h}{q-1} y(s),
\]
for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Then, the quantum equation of Euler type (42) has a solution \( y \) for \( s \in q^Z \) if and only if the \( h \)-difference equation (70) has a solution \( x \) for \( t \in hZ \) by using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1.

First, we will prove case (i). Suppose that \( \alpha_j \) \( (j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}) \) are distinct. Since
\[ \Delta_h \left[ (\lambda_j h + 1)^{t/h} \right] = \frac{1}{h} \left[ (\lambda_j h + 1)^{(t+h)/h} - (\lambda_j h + 1)^{t/h} \right] = \lambda_j (\lambda_j h + 1)^{t/h}, \] (76)

holds, we have
\[ (\Delta_h - \lambda_j I) x_j(t) = 0, \] (77)

where \( x_j(t) = (\lambda_j h + 1)^{t/h} \). That is, \( x_j(t) \) (\( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots , n\} \)) are solutions to (70). Since \( \alpha_j \) (\( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots , n\} \)) are distinct, \( \lambda_j \) (\( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots , n\} \)) are also distinct. Consequently, the general solution of (70) is given by
\[ x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j (\lambda_j h + 1)^{t/h}, \] (78)

where \( c_j \) are arbitrary constants. Using connect (75), we can find the general solution
\[ y(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(q-1)c_j}{h} (\alpha_j(q-1) + 1)^{\log_q s}, \] (79)

of (73).

Corollary 1 is extended to the following result. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.** Assume \( \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1/(q-1)\} \) with \( |\alpha_j(q-1) + 1| \neq 1 \) for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots , n\} \).

(i) If \( \alpha_j(q-1) + 1 \in (0,1) \cup (1,\infty) \) for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots , n\} \), then the \( n \)-th-order quantum equation of Euler type (42) has Hyers–Ulam stability with minimum HUS constant
\[ K = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} |\alpha_j|}, \] (83)

on \( q^z \).

Proof. Assume \( \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1/(q-1)\} \) with \( |\alpha_j(q-1) + 1| \neq 1 \) for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots , n\} \).

(i) If \( \alpha_j(q-1) + 1 \in (0,1) \cup (1,\infty) \) for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots , n\} \), then (42) has Hyers–Ulam stability with an HUS constant
\[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} K(q-1, \alpha_j) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} |\alpha_j|}, \] (85)

on \( q^z \) from Theorem 3.

We will prove that any HUS constant for (42) is greater than or equal to this constant. Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. If we suppose that \( 0 < K_i < 1/\prod_{j=1}^{n} |\alpha_j| \), and for any function \( \psi \) satisfying
\[ \left| (sD_q - \alpha_j I)(sD_q - \alpha_{j-1} I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) \psi(s) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \] (86)
there exists a solution \( y \) of (42) such that 
\[ |\psi(s) - y(s)| \leq K_1 \varepsilon \]
for all \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \). Define
\[ y_1(s) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\prod_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|}. \quad (87) \]
Then, \( y_1 \) satisfies
\[
(sD_q - \alpha_n I)(sD_q - \alpha_{n-1} I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) y_1(s) \\
= (\alpha_n)(-\alpha_{n-1}) \cdots (-\alpha_1) y_1(s).
\]
This implies that
\[
\left| (sD_q - \alpha_n I)(sD_q - \alpha_{n-1} I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) y_1(s) \right| = \varepsilon,
\]
for all \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \). Thus, by the assumption, we can find a solution \( y_1 \) of (42) such that 
\[ |y_1(s) - y_1(s)| \leq K_1 \varepsilon \]
for all \( s \in q^\mathbb{Z} \). By Lemma 3, we can rewrite \( y_1 \) as
\[
y_1(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (\alpha_j (q-1) + 1)^{\log_q s}
\]
or
\[
y_1(s) = \sum_{j=1}^r \left( \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \right)^{\log_q s} \left[ b_{j_0} + b_{j_1} \log_q s + b_{j_2} (\log_q s)^2 + \cdots + b_{j_{m_j-1}} (\log_q s)^{m_j-1} \right],
\]
(90)
where \( a_j \) and \( b_{ji} \) (\( i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m_j - 1\} \)) are arbitrary constants so that we have
\[
\left| y_1(s) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (\alpha_j (q-1) + 1)^{\log_q s} \right| = |y_1(s) - y_1(s)| \leq K_1 \varepsilon
\]
\[
or \left| y_1(s) - \left( \sum_{j=1}^r \left( \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \right)^{\log_q s} \left[ b_{j_0} + b_{j_1} \log_q s + b_{j_2} (\log_q s)^2 + \cdots + b_{j_{m_j-1}} (\log_q s)^{m_j-1} \right] \right) \right| \leq K_1 \varepsilon.
\]
(91)
Since
\[
\lim_{s \to \pm \infty} \left( \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \right)^{\log_q s} = 0, \quad \text{if } \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \in (1, \infty)
\]
or
\[
\lim_{s \to 0^+} \left( \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \right)^{\log_q s} = 0, \quad \text{if } \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \in (0, 1),
\]
(92)
holds for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \), we conclude that \( a_j = 0 \) for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) and \( b_{ji} = 0 \) for all \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\} \) and \( i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m_j - 1\} \). That is, \( y_1(s) = 0 \). Hence, we see that
\[
K_1 \varepsilon \geq |y_1(s) - y_1(s)| = |y_1(s)| = \frac{\varepsilon}{\prod_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|} > K_1 \varepsilon.
\]
(93)
This is a contradiction. Thus, \( 1/\prod_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j| \) is the minimum HUS constant.

(ii) If \( \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, 0) \) for \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \), then (42) has Hyers–Ulam stability with an HUS constant
\[
(sD_q - \alpha_j I) y_2(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (\alpha_j (q-1) + 1)^{\log_q s} - \alpha_j y_2(s) = (-2(q-1) - \alpha_j) y_2(s).
\]
(97)
holds, we have
\[
(sD_q - \alpha_n I)(sD_q - \alpha_{n-1} I)\cdots(sD_q - \alpha_1 I)y_1 (s) = \left(\frac{-2}{q-1} - \alpha_1\right)\left(\frac{-2}{q-1} - \alpha_{n-1}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{-2}{q-1} - \alpha_n\right)y_2 (s).
\]

This implies that
\[
\left|(sD_q - \alpha_n I)(sD_q - \alpha_{n-1} I)\cdots(sD_q - \alpha_1 I)y_2 (s)\right| = \varepsilon,
\]
for all \(s \in q^\mathbb{Z}\). Thus, by the assumption, we can find a solution \(y_2\) of (42) such that \(|y_2 (s) - y_2 (s)| \leq K_2 \varepsilon\) for all \(s \in q^\mathbb{Z}\). By Lemma 3, we can rewrite \(y_2\) as

\[
\left|y_2 (s) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j (\alpha_j (q-1) + 1)^{\log_q s}\right| = \left|y_2 (s) - y_2 (s)\right| \leq K_2 \varepsilon
\]

or
\[
\left|y_2 (s) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(\alpha_j (q-1) + 1\right)^{\log_q s}\left[d_{j_0} + d_{j_1} \log_q s + d_{j_2} \left(\log_q s\right)^2 + \cdots + d_{j_{m-1}} \left(\log_q s\right)^{m-1}\right]\right)\right| \leq K_2 \varepsilon.
\]

Since
\[
\lim_{s \to -\infty} \left((\alpha_j (q-1) + 1)^{\log_q s}\right) = \infty, \quad \text{if } \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \in (-\infty, -1)
\]

or
\[
\lim_{s \to +\infty} \left((\alpha_j (q-1) + 1)^{\log_q s}\right) = \infty, \quad \text{if } \alpha_j (q-1) + 1 \in (-1, 0),
\]
holds for all \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), we conclude that \(c_j = 0\) for all \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) and \(d_{ji} = 0\) for all \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}\) and \(i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m_j - 1\}\). That is, \(y_2 (s) \equiv 0\). Hence, we see that
\[
K_2 \varepsilon \geq \left|y_2 (s) - y_2 (s)\right| = \left|y_2 (s)\right| = \frac{(q-1)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{n} |\alpha_j (q-1) + 2|}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} |\alpha_j (q-1) + 2|} > K_2 \varepsilon.
\]

This is a contradiction. Thus, \((q-1)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{n} |\alpha_j (q-1) + 2|\) is the minimum HUS constant.

Using Corollary 3 and connect (75), we can obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.** Assume \(\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}\) \([-1/h]\) with \(|\lambda_j h + 1| \neq 1\) for \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\).

(i) If \(\lambda_j h + 1 \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)\) for \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), then the \(n\)th-order \(h\)-difference equation with constant coefficients (70) has Hyers–Ulam stability with minimum HUS constant

\[
y_2 (s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j (\alpha_j (q-1) + 1)^{\log_q s}
\]

or
\[
y_2 (s) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(\alpha_j (q-1) + 1\right)^{\log_q s}\left[d_{j_0} + d_{j_1} \log_q s + d_{j_2} \left(\log_q s\right)^2 + \cdots + d_{j_{m-1}} \left(\log_q s\right)^{m-1}\right],
\]

where \(c_j\) and \(d_{ji}\) \((i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m_j - 1\})\) are arbitrary constants so that we have

\[
K = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j h + 2|},
\]

on \(q^\mathbb{Z}\).

(ii) If \(\lambda_j h + 1 \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, 0)\) for \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), then the \(n\)th-order \(h\)-difference equation with constant coefficients (70) has Hyers–Ulam stability with minimum HUS constant

\[
y_2 (s) = \frac{h^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j h + 2|},
\]

on \(q^\mathbb{Z}\).

### 5. Perturbed Quantum Equations of Euler Type

In this section, we consider the \(n\)th-order perturbed quantum equation of the Euler type:

\[
(sD_q - \alpha_n I)(sD_q - \alpha_{n-1} I)\cdots(sD_q - \alpha_1 I)y (s) = g (s),
\]

\[
D_q y (s) = \frac{y(qs) - y(s)}{(q-1)s},
\]

where \(g: q^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) is a perturbation. By using Theorem 3, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 4. If $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1/(q-1)\}$ with $|\alpha_j(q-1) + 1| \neq 1$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, then the higher-order perturbed quantum equation of Euler type (106) has Hyers–Ulam stability with an HUS constant of
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n} K(q-1, \alpha_j) = \frac{(q-1)^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} 1 - |\alpha_j(q-1) + 1|},
\]
on $q^Z$, where $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given in (13).

Proof. Let $w: q^Z \to \mathbb{C}$ be a solution of (106), and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Suppose that $\psi: q^Z \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies
\[
\left\{ (sD_q - \alpha_n I)(sD_q - \alpha_{n-1} I) \cdots (sD_q - \alpha_1 I) \psi(s) - g(s) \right\} \leq \varepsilon,
\]
$s \in q^Z$.

Using Theorem 3, we can find a solution $z: q^Z \to \mathbb{C}$ of (42) such that
\[
\left| (\psi(s) - w(s)) - z(s) \right| \leq \frac{(q-1)^n \varepsilon}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} 1 - |\alpha_j(q-1) + 1|}, s \in q^Z.
\]

Note here that $w(s) + z(s)$ is a solution of (106) because
\[
\left( sD_q - \alpha_n I \right) \left( sD_q - \alpha_{n-1} I \right) \cdots \left( sD_q - \alpha_1 I \right) (w(s) + z(s)) = g(s) + 0 = g(s),
\]
holds. This says that (106) has Hyers–Ulam stability.

Using Theorem 4 and connect (75) with $f(t) = g(q^t/h)$, we can establish the following result. \hfill \Box

Theorem 5. If $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1/h\}$ with $|\lambda_j h + 1| \neq 1$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, then the higher-order perturbed $h$-difference equation with constant coefficients
\[
(\Delta_h - \lambda_n I)(\Delta_h - \lambda_{n-1} I) \cdots (\Delta_h - \lambda_1 I) x(t) = f(t),
\]
has Hyers–Ulam stability with an HUS constant of
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n} K(h, \lambda_j) = \frac{h^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} 1 - |\lambda_j h + 1|},
\]
on $hZ$, where $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given in (13).

6. Conclusion

New results connecting $h$-difference equations with complex constant coefficients and $q$-difference equations of the Euler type are presented, for equations of all integer orders. For equation orders greater than two, the $h$-difference results extend first-order and second-order results found in the literature, and the Euler-type $q$-difference results are completely novel for any order. In many cases, the best HUS constant is found. The key idea introduced here is establishing results for one type of equation and then converting those results over to the other through a change of the variable.
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