Reduced 10-Year Risk of Coronary Heart Disease in Patients Who Participated in a Community-Based Diabetes Prevention Program

The DEPLOY pilot study
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OBJECTIVE — We evaluated whether participation in a community-based group diabetes prevention program might lead to relative changes in composite 10-year coronary heart disease (CHD) risk for overweight adults with abnormal glucose metabolism.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — We used the UK Prospective Diabetes Study engine to estimate CHD risk for group-lifestyle and brief counseling (control) groups. Between-group risk changes after 4 and 12 months were compared using ANCOVA.

RESULTS — Baseline 10-year risk was similar between treatment groups (P = 0.667). At 4 and 12 months, the intervention group experienced significant decreases in 10-year risk from baseline (−3.28%, P < 0.001, and −2.23%, P = 0.037) compared with control subjects (−0.78%, P = 0.339, and +1.88%, P = 0.073). Between-group differences were statistically significant and increased from the 4- to 12-month visits.

CONCLUSIONS — Community-based delivery of the Diabetes Prevention Program lifestyle intervention could be a promising strategy to prevent both CHD and type 2 diabetes in adults with pre-diabetes.

A ndults with pre-diabetes have increased cardiometabolic risk, and intensive lifestyle interventions have been shown to improve their risk factor control (1–3). In this article, we evaluate the hypothesis that the Diabetes Education & Prevention with a Lifestyle Intervention Offered at the YMCA (DEPLOY) pilot study reduces the composite 10-year coronary heart disease (CHD) risk in overweight adults with abnormal glucose metabolism.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — The DEPLOY study’s design, participant characteristics, and results have been published separately (4). Briefly, of 131 individuals who met screening criteria at two Greater Indianapolis YMCA facilities, 92 interested adults enrolled. All participants had an elevated BMI (≥24 kg/m²), an American Diabetes Association risk questionnaire score of 10 or higher (5), and an abnormal whole-blood glucose concentration determined by a finger stick (110–199 mg/dl or 100–199 mg/dl if fasting ≥9 h). Exclusion criteria included an existing diagnosis of diabetes or any comorbidity that could limit lifespan to <3 years or contraindicate light-moderate physical activity. Before implementation, one of the two matched YMCA sites was randomly assigned to receive training and support for delivering a formal, group-based adaptation of the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) lifestyle intervention. The control site only provided information about existing YMCA wellness programs.

Indiana University School of Medicine research staff measured body weight, systolic blood pressure (SBP), A1C, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol at baseline and at 4–6 and 12–14 months after enrollment. A One-Touch Ultra handheld glucose meter was used to determine the whole-blood glucose concentration (6), and A1C was assessed from a finger-stick capillary blood sample using a DCA 2000 analyzer (7,8). Total and HDL cholesterol were measured from capillary blood using an LDX lipid analyzer (9,10). While seated and relaxed for at least 5 min, participants’ SBP was assessed once at each visit with an aneroid sphygmomanometer. The Indiana University/Purdue University Indianapolis Institutional Review Board approved the study.

Ten-year risk of CHD was predicted using the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) risk engine and setting diabetes duration to zero. The model is based on CHD events from UKPDS participants with type 2 diabetes; it incorporates A1C, age at diagnosis, time since diagnosis, sex, smoking, SBP, total cholesterol–HDL cholesterol ratio, and race (11). Although the UKPDS engine has not yet been validated for risk prediction in pre-diabetes, it is the only nonproprietary engine we are aware of that incorporates blood pressure, cholesterol, and A1C. Moreover, A1C has been shown to predict cardiovascular disease risk even at the lowest range
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of values among patients with diabetes (11,12). We chose this approach to max-imize sensitivity for estimating the relative impact of a lifestyle intervention on changes in all three risk factors combined, not to provide absolute risk estimates.

Intervention and control group baseline CHD risk scores were compared using Student’s t test. Change in CHD risk was calculated as 4- or 12-month risk minus baseline risk. Between-group risk changes were compared using ANCOVA with the baseline CHD risk as a covariate. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS — The mean baseline 10-year risks for intervention and control groups were similar (11.9% [95% CI 9.3–14.6] and 11.1% [8.2–14.0], respectively; P = 0.667). At 4 and 12 months (Table 1), the intervention group experienced significant decreases in 10-year risk from baseline; between 4 and 12 months, the CHD risk increased, but not back to baseline levels. After 4 months, the control group experienced an insignificant decrease in predicted 10-year CHD risk; at 12 months, mean CHD risk increased ~2% over baseline (P = 0.073). Compared with the control group, the intervention group experienced greater reductions in predicted CHD risk at each follow-up visit. Between-group differences increased from the 4- to 12-month follow-up visits.

Secondary analyses of individual risk factor changes showed either no change or minimal improvement in A1C and SBP at 4 and 12 months for both groups. However, the total cholesterol–to–HDL cholesterol ratio decreased significantly in the intervention group, whereas control sub-jects showed either no change or signifi-cant increase, suggesting that changes in the total cholesterol–to–HDL cholesterol ratio explained much of the between-group difference in predicted CHD risk.

CONCLUSIONS — In the DEPLOY study, a DPP lifestyle intervention delivered in YMCA facilities by centrally trained lay group instructors had a statistically significant and clinically meaningful impact on composite cardiometabolic risk as estimated by the UKPDS risk engine. This is consistent with effects of the original DPP lifestyle intervention, i.e., improved blood pressure, triglycerides, LDL cholesterol, fasting plasma glucose, and HDL cholesterol levels (13).

Although the UKPDS risk engine is specific to people with type 2 diabetes, baseline CHD risk estimates for our study population were similar to those of newly diagnosed participants in the UKPDS study (11). Compared with the UKPDS sample, DEPLOY participants were on average 6 years older and more often female (56 vs. 42%), with lower A1C (5.6 vs. 6.7 mg/dl), comparable SBP, lower total cholesterol (188 vs. 209 mg/dl), and higher HDL cholesterol (46 vs. 43 mg/dl).

This was a small study with notable limitations. First, we used the diabetes-specific UKPDS risk engine to predict relative probabilities for CHD in persons with pre-diabetes. Because we set duration of diabetes to zero and it may take several years for diabetes to develop, the predicted risk may be overestimated. However, intervention and control groups were enrolled using identical cri-teria; thus, any possible overestimate of risk should occur similarly in both groups and should not affect comparisons. Second, at 12 months there was an approxi-mate 33% overall dropout rate; however, it was similar between groups. Furthermore, baseline 10-year CHD risk and all risk factors included in the CHD risk calcu-lation were similar for dropouts and nondropouts in each group. Third, the control group experienced a greater and more rapid increase in CHD risk between 4 and 12 months mediated largely by increases in the total cholesterol–to–HDL cholesterol ratio compared with the intervention group, contributing significantly to the between-group difference.

In conclusion, significant reductions in estimated 10-year CHD risk suggest that this model for community-based del-ivery of the DPP lifestyle intervention could have a significant effect on preven-tion of both CHD and type 2 diabetes in overweight adults with abnormal glucose metabolism.
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