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Nowadays, hundreds of consumer products contain metal and metal oxide nanoparticles (NP); this increases the probability of such particles to be released to natural waters generating a potential risk to human health and the environment. This paper presents the development of efficient carbonaceous nanofibrous membranes for NP filtration from aqueous solutions. Free-standing carbon nanofiber (CNF) mats with different fiber size distribution ranging from 126 to 554 nm in diameter were produced by electrospinning of polyacrylonitrile (PAN) precursor solution followed by thermal treatment. Moreover, tetraethoxyorthosilicate was added to provide flexibility and increase the specific surface area of the CNF. The resulting membranes are bendable and mechanically strong enough to withstand filtration under pressure or vacuum. The experimental results of filtration revealed that the fabricated membranes could efficiently reject nanoparticles of different types (Au, Ag, and TiO$_2$) and size (from 10 to 100 nm in diameter) from aqueous solutions. It is worth mentioning that the removal of Ag NP with diameters as small as 10 nm was close to 100% with an extremely high flux of 47620 L m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ bar$^{-1}$.

1. Introduction

Nanotechnology is having a large impact in manufactured products in most major industry sectors, including electronic, cosmetic, automotive, and healthcare sectors. According to a recent survey [1] over 1,300 nanotechnology-related products are currently on the market. Free nanoparticles (NP) are likely to enter the aquatic environment in all stages of nanomaterials life cycle (production, processing, use, recycling, and disposal). These particles can persist in natural bodies and are not fully removed by drinking water treatment systems, thereby posing a potential public health concern [2, 3]. Therefore, the development of new barrier materials is needed to reduce the potential risks related to human and environmental exposure to nanomaterials [4]. Nanofiber webs, due to their very large specific area, very small pore size, and high porosity, have been shown to improve the efficiency of conventional materials used for the filtration and separation of particulate materials [5, 6].

Electrospinning is a well-established and versatile process that has been used to produce ultrafine fibers including microfibers (>1 μm) or nanofibers (<1000 nm) [7, 8]. The main advantage of electrospinning process among other techniques is the relative quick, simple, and economical way to fabricate a variety of materials into nanofibrous structures [9].

Particularly, electrospun carbon nanofibers (CNF) have attracted considerable attention in the field of water filtration as they exhibit a number of unique features [10]. While the development of free-standing ceramic nanofibrous mats is still a technological challenge due to the intrinsic brittleness of the material, large area CNF sheets with high mechanical strength can be easily fabricated. In addition, their higher chemical resistance, compared to polymeric filters, makes them suitable for filtration [11, 12].

In this study, the development of efficient nanofiber membranes for the removal of nanoparticles from aqueous solutions is presented. Free-standing carbon nanofibrous
membranes were fabricated by electrospinning of a polyacrylonitrile (PAN) precursor solution followed by a thermal treatment of the electrospun fibers. Moreover, tetraethoxysilicate (TEOS) was added to provide flexibility and to increase the specific surface area of the CNF [13, 14].

To the best of our knowledge, CNF derived from PAN/TEOS have not been used for such filtration purposes. Unlike carbonized PAN mats, which were too brittle to tolerate high vacuum filtration process, the PAN/TEOS derived CNF mats were bendable and showed sufficient mechanical strength to withstand filtration under pressure or vacuum. The experimental results demonstrate that the membranes could efficiently reject various NP (Au, Ag, and TiO₂) of different sizes and natures from the aqueous solution.

2. Experimental Part

2.1. Materials and Methods. PAN (Mw = 150,000 g/mol) powder, N-N dimethylformamide (DMF), and TEOS (98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. CNF precursor solutions were prepared by dissolving PAN at concentrations ranging from 4 to 12 wt.% in DMF at 60°C. PAN/TEOS precursor solutions were prepared by the addition of TEOS to the PAN solutions in DMF to achieve the proper PAN/TEOS weight ratios, as shown in Table 2. All polymer solutions were bathed in solution stirring for a sufficiently long time until they became homogeneous. The viscosity and electrical conductivity of polymer solutions were measured by a digital viscometer (DV-E, Brookfield Co.) and an electric conductivity meter (CRISON EC-meter BASIC) at 25°C. To produce nanofibers, the solutions were electrospun onto an aluminum foil by using commercially available electrospinning equipment (MECC Co. LTD., model NF-103). Plastic syringes fitted with metal needles were used as electrospinning nozzles. Typical operating conditions were the following: flow rates of 1-2 mL/h, applied voltages between 25 and 30 kV, and working distance of 9–16 cm. For carbonization, the as-spun polymer fibers were first placed in a chamber furnace and stabilized at 800–1000°C; in both cases the ramp rate was 1°C/min [15].

2.2. Preparation of the NP Solutions. The filtration performance of carbonized PAN/TEOS nanofibers was evaluated with different types of NP, including metallic NP, such as Ag and Au, and metal oxide NP, such as TiO₂. TiO₂ dispersions were prepared by the sonication of proper amounts of NP in milliQ water for 10 min at 50% of the total power (750 W). Au and Ag aqueous nanoparticle solutions were kindly provided by ICN (Catalonia Institute of Nanotechnology). The concentrations of the NP solutions were adjusted to have a high ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorbance without signal saturation.

2.3. Characterization. The surface morphology of the nanofiber mats was examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Zeiss Evo MA-10) after coating with carbon to minimize the charging effect. Images taken by the SEM were analyzed to obtain the fiber diameter by the ImageJ software. At least four pictures were used to calculate the mean values of the diameter of the fibers.

The clean water permeance (CWP) of CNF membranes was determined using a bench-scale dead-end filtration setup. The system consists of a reservoir tank containing ultrapure water (Type 1) connected to a 200 mL Amicon stirred ultrafiltration cell (Merck Millipore). The stirred cell houses a 63.5 mm diameter flat CNF sheet with an effective area of 28.7 cm². Pressure in the feed tank was provided by compressed air. The applied pressure measured during the CWP experiments was 3 kPa (0.43 psi).

The pore size of the CNF membranes was determined using a simple particle removal method. Solutions containing 20 mg/L of polystyrene latex beads (from Magsphere Corp.) with diameter between 0.1 μm and 3 μm were passed through the membrane at the pressure of about 0.1 bar. The absorbance of the feed and filtrate latex solutions was measured using UV-vis spectrometer and the removal rate was calculated by the following formula, where A_feed and A_filtrate are the absorbance at 250 nm of feed and filtrate solutions, respectively:

\[
\text{Removal rate} \% = \left[ 1 - \frac{A_{\text{feed}}}{A_{\text{filtrate}}} \right] \times 100. \quad (1)
\]

A latex removal curve was drawn by plotting the removal rates against the beads diameter size (Figure 7). The nominal pore size is defined as the diameter where 90% of latex beads are removed.

For filtration experiments, disc filter was cut out of the nanofibrous mats by using a hollow punch and placed on the metallic support of the filtration setup. A solution of nanoparticles was passed through it and UV-vis spectrometer was used to measure the absorbance of the feed and filtrate solutions. The filtration efficiency was calculated using the following formula, where A_feed and A_filtrate are the absorbance at λ_max of feed and filtrate solutions, respectively:

\[
\text{Filtration efficiency} \% = \left[ 1 - \frac{A_{\text{feed}} - A_{\text{filtrate}}}{A_{\text{feed}}} \right] \times 100. \quad (2)
\]

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. CNF Membranes. It is known that the morphology of electrospun fibers depends on various processing parameters, solution properties, and environmental conditions. Through control of the spinning conditions, the resulting fibers can range from about 20 nm to a few micrometers. To gain control over the properties of the obtained nanofibers, the viscosity and conductivity were measured as a function of the PAN concentration. Figure 1 shows an overlay plot of the viscosity and conductivity as function of the PAN weight percentage in DMF. By increasing the PAN concentration from 4 to 12 wt.% the viscosity rises exponentially from 31 to 2053 cP, as a result of the higher molecular entanglement. In fact, at higher precursor concentration the polymer chains are more tangled, which leads to the production of broader fibers. The electric conductivity also increases from 48 to 86 μs/cm by
Table 1: Process parameters used for the electrospinning of solutions C-1 to C-5 and the average diameters of the PAN nanofibers and CNF mats.

| Solution code | PAN (wt.%) | Feed rate (mL/h) | Voltage (kV) | Distance (cm) | Applied electric field (kV/cm) | PAN nanofibers diameter (nm) | Carbon nanofibers diameter (nm) |
|---------------|------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| C-1           | 4          | 2                | 30           | 7             | 4.2                           | 96 ± 24                     | —                             |
| C-2           | 6          | 2                | 30           | 10            | 3.0                           | 189 ± 47                    | 126 ± 19                      |
| C-3           | 8          | 2                | 29           | 13            | 2.2                           | 282 ± 51                    | 184 ± 31                      |
| C-4           | 10         | 2                | 29           | 15            | 1.9                           | 351 ± 78                    | 249 ± 24                      |
| C-5           | 12         | 2                | 27           | 16            | 1.7                           | 620 ± 39                    | 554 ± 107                     |

Table 2: Characterization of the PAN/TEOS solutions C-Si-1 to C-Si-3 and the average diameters of the PAN/TEOS nanofibers and CNF/Si mats.

| Solution code | PAN (wt.%) | PAN/TEOS (w/w) | Viscosity (cP) | Conductivity (μS/cm) | PAN/TEOS nanofibers diameter (nm) | CNF/Si diameter (nm) |
|---------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| C-Si-1        | 6          | 7/3            | 132            | 96                   | 244 ± 31                          | 158 ± 38             |
| C-Si-2        | 8          | 7/3            | 285            | 93                   | 300 ± 33                          | 193 ± 36             |
| C-Si-3        | 10         | 7/3            | 647            | 102                  | 487 ± 65                          | 387 ± 33             |

Figure 1: Solution viscosity and electrical conductivity as function of the polymer solution concentration.

Increasing the concentration from 4 to 12 wt.%. As shown in Table 1, for each solution, different operating conditions were set to produce nanofibers in a continuous and stable electrospinning process. When the electrical conductivity of the solution was higher, a lower electrical field (voltage/distance) was needed for the formation of nanofibers. For example, for solution C-1, having the lowest viscosity of 31 cP, the electrospinning process was not sufficiently stable, and a high voltage had to be applied to form fibers. In fact, the fibers were formed by the application of the highest electrical field, and this led to the formation of beads and defects. Moreover, solution C-5, with a polymer concentration of 12 wt.%, although it possessed the highest viscosity of 2653 cP, could be electrospun by the application of the lowest electrical field. This means that the most critical parameter to be controlled for a continuous and stable process is the solution conductivity. For instance, at low conductivities, the addition of salts is commonly used to improve process stability [16]. The SEM micrographs and diameter distribution of PAN nanofibers as function of polymer concentration are shown in Figure 2. The average fiber diameter increases gradually with polymer concentration going from 189 nm at 6 wt.% to 620 nm at 12 wt.%. This indicates that the morphology of the nanofibers depends on polymer concentration which effects viscosity. At higher viscosity there are more chain entanglements and less chain mobility, resulting in less extension during spinning, therefore producing thicker fibers.

The pyrolysis process of PAN based nanofibers generally consists of stabilization process in air followed by carbonization at higher temperatures in inert atmosphere. The optimal stabilization temperature for PAN has been reported to be around 280°C; at this temperature several reactions, that is, cyclization, dehydrogenation, aromatization, and oxidation, take place, which generates the formation of conjugated ladder-type structures [15]. These structures are thermally stable and might be able to withstand high temperature to prevent melting of the polymer and loss of nanofiber morphology during carbonization. Moreover, stabilization changes the color of PAN nanofibrous mats from white to brown. The trend of color change from light to dark results from the increment of carbon content and formation of dense structure of polymer in thermal cyclization and dehydrogenation. Large area CNF mats could be obtained after the thermal treatment process. These sheets, however, were quite rigid and could be easily broken by manipulation or bending.

Figure 3 shows the SEM micrographs and diameter distribution of the CNF after the thermal treatment of the as-spun nanofibers at different concentrations. As expected, remarkable weight loss and shrinkage occurred during the carbonization of the PAN fibers. However, the heat-treated fibers were still long and retained their cylindrical and uniform shape. In fact, at high temperatures, some small molecules may have broken down into highly volatile gases.
or carbon char. In addition, during the carbonization, the disruption of the molecules occurred with the loss of carbon oxides and the formation of a structure with a higher carbon assay, which resulted in significant weight loss and shrinkage. In other words, when the PAN nanofibrous mats are subjected to the stabilization temperature, the dense ladder-polymer structures react with oxygen and prevent melting during carbonization. The carbonization process of stabilized PAN mats involves thermal treatment to remove noncarbon elements. Then, denitrogenation takes place and thus results in the formation of a network structure [17].
A comparison between the average fiber diameters of the as-spun and carbonized nanofibers is presented in Figure 4. The as-spun nanofibers thermally treated at 800 °C shrink in diameter and lose approximately 25–30% of their weight. In this step, the CNF mats with fiber diameters ranging from 126 to 554 nm were successfully obtained. However, because of the rigidity of the CNF, a more flexible material was needed to reduce any potential accidental damage of the membrane that might occur during filtration generating preferential pathways for contaminants and consequently loss of retention capacity.

3.2. CNF/Si Membrane. Recently, Kim et al. [13] reported the fabrication of flexible CNF mats by the incorporation of TEOS into PAN via electrospinning for supercapacitor electrodes. They also concluded that the new mats had high capacitance and energy/power density values because of the formation of ultramicropores and the introduction of heteroatoms. We hypothesized that the same approach could be successfully applied to produce flexible CNF mats with high surface areas for NP filtration from aqueous solutions. For this purpose, different solutions were prepared by varying the concentration of PAN in DMF (C-Si-1, C-Si-2, and C-Si-3), as shown in Table 2. For the PAN/TEOS solutions presented in this table, the optimum concentrations of PAN (obtained from Table 1) were chosen to produce the CNF/Si mats. TEOS was added to the PAN solutions on the basis of a specific ratio of 3 : 7, respectively. According to data reported in the literature [14], through the application of this specific ratio of PAN/TEOS, the highest mesoporous structures could be formed, and this could enhance the filtration efficiency of the fibers. As described in the previous section for the CNF, the average fiber diameter grew gradually with the polymer concentration.

In fact, at a constant PAN/TEOS weight ratio, the fiber diameter went from 244 nm at 6 wt.% PAN (for the solution of C-Si-1) to 487 nm when the concentration was 10 wt.% (for the solution of C-Si-3). The PAN/TEOS mats were thermally treated with the stabilization-calcination procedure previously described. The diameter of the carbonized PAN/TEOS nanofibers (CNF/Si) after carbonization at 800°C reduced roughly to 35% of its initial diameter regardless of the PAN concentration in DMF (see Table 2) [18].

To investigate the effect of higher carbonization temperatures on the fiber morphology, the PAN/TEOS nanofibers were also carbonized at 1000°C. It is notable that stabilization is critical in obtaining morphological stability. These kinds of oxidative stabilizations include complex chemical reactions and time-consuming steps. It was reported previously that the stabilization processes of TEOS-incorporated nanofibers were kinetically higher than those of pure polymeric electrospun nanofibers because of the catalytic ability of TEOS [14]. The trapped TEOS in the nanofibers could be transformed into hydrated forms. During the stabilization process, silanol [Si(OH)x] groups tended to be produced by sequence hydrolysis reactions. Further condensation of Si–OH led to the formation of SiOx and to gas formation (e.g., CO, CO2, H2, CH4, and H2O). Figure 5 shows the SEM micrographs and diameter distribution diagrams of CNF/Si after the thermal treatment of the as-spun nanofibers produced by the solution C-Si-3 at 800 and 1000°C. As shown, all of the resulting samples were smooth and exhibited cylindrical morphologies. The average diameter of the nanofibers decreased from 487 to 387 nm and then to 289 nm as the carbonization temperature increased from 800 to 1000°C. Moreover, when the nanofibers were thermally treated at temperatures greater than 800°C, a color change from brownish black to black was observed due to a higher percentage of graphite [19].

The resulting CNF/Si mats showed good robustness and were more flexible than the CNF mats obtained by the thermal treatment of PAN. In addition, no broken fibers were visible; this indicated that the mats were exceptionally strong with long nanofibers having diameters ranging from 158 to 387 nm (see Table 2). The observations indicated that the CNF/Si membranes are mechanically strong enough and they can be bent completely without breaking (Figure 6). The robustness of the CNF/Si membranes and the ability to be produced in an easy and cost-efficient way by electrospinning make these carbonaceous nanofibers potential candidates for the filtration of NP from aqueous solutions.

3.3. Pore Size and Water Flux. Nanofiber membranes have been shown to provide dramatic increases in filtration efficiency at relatively small reduction in permeability [20]. Moreover, in many laboratory tests and actual operating environments, nanofiber filter media have also enabled new levels of filtration performance and more capacity to retain pollution compared to traditional fibers thanks to their open porous structure. Several methods have been used for pore size measurement of nanofibrous membranes [21]. Each of them has different measuring theory and it is often seen that
different results are obtained by measurement of the same membrane using different methods.

In this study, the nominal pore size of CNF/Si membrane is determined using a simple method based on the filtration of latex beads of well-established size. The graph in Figure 7 shows that the CNF/Si membrane was able to retain almost completely particles of approximately 1 μm or larger, resulting in an extrapolated nominal pore size of 0.8 μm. Polystyrene beads of different sizes trapped on the surface of the nanofiber filter are clearly visible in the SEM image of Figure 7. Although most of the 1 μm beads and larger are trapped onto the membrane top surface, smaller beads are visible not only onto the membrane surface but also throughout the whole membrane section.

As consequence of the large porosity and the hydrophilicity, the CNF/Si membrane possesses an extremely large water flux of 47620 L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹. This is almost 4 times higher than the carbonaceous nanofiber membranes recently reported by Liang et al., which were 12250 L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹ and 80 times higher than commercially available membranes with cut-off of 0.05 μm being 757 L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹ [22]. This difference is due to the low porosity of the commercially available membranes and the low porosity of vertical cylindrical channels which can easily get blocked by particles [22, 23].

3.4. Filtration of Aqueous NP Dispersions. Recently, Lin et al. [24] reported the preparation of a mechanically robust and thermally tolerant nanofibrous membrane via electrospinning of Nomex solution. They concluded that the preparation of membranes in the form of nanofibers dramatically enhanced the specific surface area of the membranes compared to the commercial Nomex fibers. In addition, their nanofibrous membranes demonstrated a highly efficient rejection of SiO₂ NP from aqueous solution. This observation significantly proved the high potential of polymeric nanofibers for filtration due to their larger surface area. Here, the membranes produced by the carbonization at 1000°C of electrospun nanofibers from solution C-Si-3 were initially used to assess the filtration ability of CNF/Si mats. The filtration performance of the CNF/Si membrane was evaluated with different types of NP, including metal NP, such as Au and Ag, and metal oxide NP, such as TiO₂.
Figure 7: Latex beads removal percentage curve as function of beads diameter for CNF/Si membrane (a) and SEM image of the CNF/Si membrane top surface after filtration with beads with diameter going from 0.1 μm to 3 μm (b).

Figure 8: UV-vis spectra of feed solution and filtrate showing the filtration of Au NP (a) and Ag NP (c) and the filtration efficiency as function of particle size for Au NP (b) and Ag NP (d).
Metal NP visualization of the filtration can easily be achieved by following the characteristic intense color that is yellow for Au NP and red for Ag NP dispersions. In the case of the Au NP solutions, the filtration efficiency was determined by measurement of the absorption at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ in the range 520–540 nm of the feed and filtrate solutions using NP with diameter of 100, 50, 25, and 10 nm. As an example, a typical UV-vis spectrum is shown in Figure 8, as well as the retention as function of NP size. The nanostructured CNF/Si filters were able to retain about 95% of the Au NP of 100, 50, and 25 nm. However, when smaller NP were used, the filtration efficiency dropped to about 66% for the 10 nm NP.

For the Ag NP dispersions, the UV-vis absorption at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values around 400–420 nm was used, and solutions with NP of average diameters of 60, 30, and 10 nm were used. As shown in Figure 8, the nanofibrous membranes could almost completely remove the Ag NP regardless of their particle sizes. In fact, for all three NP sizes, the retention was around 99%. Interestingly, in contrast to what was observed for the Au NP, in this case, the filtration efficiency did not decrease when smaller NP (e.g., 10 nm) were used.

The demand of TiO$_2$ NP is significantly increasing due to its wide range of applications such as environmental technologies, paints, cosmetics, paper, and solar cells [25]. The world production of TiO$_2$ NP is an order of magnitude greater than the next most widely produced nanomaterial, ZnO. TiO$_2$ may reach high concentrations in surface waters and pose a significant threat to aquatic ecosystems [26]. Therefore, the filtration efficiency of CNF/Si membranes against dispersions of TiO$_2$ NP with average diameter of 10–15 nm in water is examined. The UV-vis spectra of the feed solution and filtrate are shown in Figure 9. The filtration efficiency for the TiO$_2$ NP was very high, being 94.1%. Figure 9(b) shows the typical SEM micrograph of the top surface of the CNF/Si membrane after filtration of the TiO$_2$ NP solution.

Small and large NP aggregates are clearly visible on the surface of single nanofibers. The SEM image evidencing that the pore size of the nanofiber filter is too large to retain NP based on sieving model. Therefore, the high NP retention capacity of the membrane might be attributed to strong electrostatic interaction of metal and metal oxide NP with the CNF/Si membranes resulting in a good retention capacity of the filters.

4. Conclusion

CNF membranes were fabricated by the electrospinning of PAN precursor solutions followed by the thermal treatment of the electrospun fibers. TEOS was added to the polymer solution to increase the specific surface area of the CNF and to provide flexibility and mechanical strength needed to withstand filtration under pressure or vacuum. These developed filters were capable of efficiently rejecting NP of different sizes and natures (e.g., Au, Ag, and TiO$_2$) from aqueous solution. The results described herein demonstrate the great potential of these membranes for the filtration of NP from water, mainly because of their tunable pore size, very high permeability, and ability to produce nanofibers in an easy and cost-efficient way by electrospinning. Moreover, they can be proposed for the promising system recovery of valuable nanomaterials from complex matrices.
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