SUMMARY

The study aims to investigate (evaluate) the effect of laser acupuncture on musculoskeletal spine dysfunctions.

Material and Methods: 35 people (participants) with musculoskeletal spine dysfunctions were recruited. Anamnesis, somatoscopy, Schober test, Ott test, Lasegue test and VAS scale were used for assessment before and after treatment. The participants received MLS-laser therapy (laser acupuncture) daily for 10 days with a duration of 10 minutes per procedure. The results (data) were analyzed using Prism 3.02.

Results: 35 participants, including 15 women and 20 men, were examined. The mean age of the observed contingent ($\bar{X} \pm SD$) in females is 48 ± 6.3 years and in males 53 ± 4.3 years. Median values of the Ott test before and after the MLS treatment were as follows: 2.46 ± 0.44 cm, and 4.27 ± 0.56 cm. Shober’s test shows 1.60 ± 0.43 cm before and 2.83 ± 0.63 cm after treatment. The test of Lasegue shows 67.43 ± 4.27° before and 82.57 ± 4.09° after. The average of pain measured before and after treatment was 8.91 ± 1.01 mm and 1.71 ± 0.79 mm, respectively. There were statistically significant differences before and after treatment ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusions: Data demonstrate the efficacy and positive effect of applied MLS therapy in patients with musculoskeletal spine dysfunctions. Treatment significantly reduces pain and muscle spasm after application and improves the quality of life of patients with this dysfunction. Laser therapy not only helps the reduction of painful symptoms in musculoskeletal pathologies but is also a valuable tool for rehabilitation.
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INTRODUCTION

Pain in the thoracic and lumbar region, which is defined as a non-specific, epidemiological condition, may be the result of a variety of injuries. It is not a disease but a symptom in which, in many cases, etiology is unknown. Pain in the thoracic and lumbar region is a common problem in kinesitherapeutic practice. The percentage of people affected reaches 80% [1].

Musculoskeletal disorders are the most common cause of severe and persistent pain. In Europe, 20-30% of the population is affected by this problem [2].

Over the past century, global health priorities were largely focused on communicable diseases. With the world’s population growth, increased average age and decreased death rates, people are now living longer and becoming increasingly susceptible to non-communicable diseases, including musculoskeletal (MSK) disorders. The recent Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Study estimated the burden disability in 187 countries and 21 regions of the world for the years 1990, 2010 and 2013 of all MSK disorders - osteoarthritis (OA), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), gout, low back pain (LBP), neck pain (NP) and all other musculoskeletal disorders. Throughout the world, the prevalence and burden from MSK conditions were exceptionally high. All MSK disorders combined caused 21.3% of the total years lived with disability (YLDs) globally - second to mental and behavioural problems (23.2%) [3].

Three of the most common causes of musculoskeletal spine dysfunctions associated with (cervical, thoracic or lumbar spine) are chronic cervical pain without additional complications, back pain and lumbar pain, with a frequency of 18% 17.7% and 36% [4, 5]. These three conditions adversely affect the quality of life of the patient, creating a significant economic and social burden [6].

Epidemiological data show a strong correlation between cervical pain and lumbar pain, which can be considered one of the causes [7]. Although these conditions occur in different parts of the body, we can summarize these as chronic musculoskeletal spine pain in accordance with pathogenesis. Treatment includes medicines, physiotherapy, patient training and other interventions, but the effect of treatment is unsatisfactory [8, 9, 10].

There are a number of studies on the effect of using different physiotherapeutic methods and devices that
would have a positive effect on limiting factors (pain, stiffness, reduced ability to work, etc.) and would improve the quality of life of such patients. In recent years complaints of back pain have increased. Studies show that it may be related to modern lifestyle.

Laser MLS therapy is an innovative therapy for the treatment of pain, inflammation and efflux, as well as for the regeneration of soft tissues. This technique is based on the work of diode lasers and has strong anti-inflammatory, analgesic and anti-edematous effect. MLS Laser therapy has better homogeneity and energy distribution. Through the syncing action specific to laser MLS therapy, a complex of a refined and light pulse can be obtained that has the ability to transfer energy to the target anatomical structures with very high efficiency. The emitted energy is directed through an optical group and distributed evenly over a large area set for the purpose of the procedure. This energy facilitates the activity of photoreceptors in the treated area, the patient quickly overcomes pain in the long term and can get back to normal activity in daily life - work, sports, social activity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
The study includes 35 patients (15 females and 20 males) mean age (± SD) for women 48 ± 6.3 years, and 53 ± 4.3 g for males randomly chosen and according to the indications for the application of the experimental methodology. Functional tests and physiotherapy procedures were conducted after informed written consent. The study methodology includes conducting functional tests – anamnesis (including physical exercise data, motor behavior, etc.), somatoscopy (view), Shober Test, Ott Test, Lasegue test and Visual Analog Scale (VAS).

The examination of the pain was done through experimentally induced palpation pain (applying the same pressure, by the same person) in trigger point (TP) and evaluated with VAS. Values of pain were measured before MLS-laser (laser acupuncture) therapy and after treatment. VAS is a scale to evaluate pain perception with a length of 100 mm. The left end of the scale reflects a level of “no pain”, and the right - “very strong pain”. After palpation in PT, the patient indicates the point on the scale that he thinks reflects the strength of his pain perception at the moment. The intensity of pain is recorded as the length in millimeters, measured from the left end of the scale to the point indicated by the patient. MLS laser therapy (laser acupuncture) is conducted daily for 10 days, with an application for a thoracic dose of C7 to T9 and a lumbar fraction of L2 to S2 for no more than 10 minutes per procedure. The first 3-4 procedures at a frequency of 500-700Hz (at a dose of 1.3 J / cm²); in the last 7-10 procedures, the frequency is increased to 1500 Hz (dose 1.3 J / cm²). The results are statistically processed with variance analysis using Prism 3.02. Wilcoxon test was applied to prove statistically significant differences of obtained data before and after treatment [11, 12].

RESULTS
35 people, including 15 women and 20 men, were recruited. The mean age of the observed contingent (± SD) in females is 48 ± 6.3 g, and in men 53 ± 4.3 years, mean values of height and weight are as follows for women 170 ± 3.2 cm and 74 ± 8.0 kg., in men 170 ± 3.8 cm and 100 ± 7.5 kg. The mean values (± SD) of the Ott test measured before treatment in patients with musculoskeletal spine dysfunctions were 2.46 ± 0.44 cm. At the end of the procedures, the range of movement in the thoracic spine increased to 4.27 ± 0.56 cm. The differences in the range of motion values in the two measurement periods were statistically significant (p <0.05).

Table 1. Ott test data before and after the MLS-therapy in patients with musculoskeletal spine dysfunction.

| Ott test N - 35 | \( \bar{x} \) | St. Deviation | X max. | X min. | Std. Error | V % |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------|--------|------------|-----|
| Before MLS-therapy | 2.46 | 0.44 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0.08 | 18.05% |
| After MLS-therapy | 4.27 | 0.56 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 0.56 | 13.12% |

The mean values (± SD) of the Shober test presented in Table 2 measured before and after the MLS therapy in patients with musculoskeletal spine dysfunctions were respectively 1.60 ± 0.43 cm and 2.83 ± 0.63 cm. So the range of movement in lumbar spine increase with statistically significant difference (p <0.05).

Table 2. Shober test data before and after the MLS-therapy in patients with musculoskeletal dysfunction in the spine.

| Shober N - 35 | \( \bar{x} \) | St. Deviation | X max. | X min. | Std. Error | V % |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------|--------|------------|-----|
| Before MLS-therapy | 1.60 | 0.43 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.07 | 27.12% |
| After MLS-therapy | 2.83 | 0.63 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 0.11 | 22.25% |

The mean values (± SD) of the Lasegue test presented in Table 3 measured before therapy were 67.43 ± 4.27°. At the end of the procedures, the range of motion of the hip increased to 82.57 ± 4.09°. The differences in the range of motion values in the two measurement periods were statistically significant (p <0.05).
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Table 3. Lasègue test data before and after the MLS-therapy in patients with musculoskeletal dysfunction in the spine

| Lasseg test N - 35 | \(\bar{x}\) | St. Deviation | \(X_{max}\) | \(X_{min}\) | Std. Error | V % |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Before MLS-therapy | 67.43 | 4.27 | 75.00 | 60.00 | 0.72 | 6.33% |
| After MLS-therapy | 82.57 | 4.09 | 90.00 | 70.00 | 0.69 | 4.95% |

The mean value (± SD) of the pain measured before MLS therapy in patients with the musculoskeletal spine was 8.91 ± 1.01 mm. After treatment, the mean value of pain was reduced to 1.71 ± 0.79 mm. Data differences in the two measurement periods were statistically significant (p < 0.05). The Visual-Analog scale data of pain is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Visual analogue scale (VAS) data before and after the MLS-therapy in patients with musculoskeletal dysfunction in the spine

| VAS N - 35 | \(\bar{x}\) | St. Deviation | \(X_{max}\) | \(X_{min}\) | Std. Error | V % |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Before MLS-therapy | 8.91 | 1.01 | 10.00 | 6.00 | 0.17 | 11.34% |
| After MLS-therapy | 1.71 | 0.79 | 4.00 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 46.00% |

DISCUSSION

Our results show a high reduction of the pain and increased the range of motion in the cervical and lumbar area of the spine. MLS-therapy (laser acupuncture) in patients with spinal musculoskeletal dysfunctions has proven effectiveness and positive effect reducing pain symptoms and muscle spasm in the course of the treatment. The effectiveness of acupuncture as a method of treating various diseases has also been reported in many high-quality clinical trials [13, 14, 15].

More and more clinical studies have reported that acupuncture is effective for musculoskeletal dysfunctions, including chronic neck pain, thoracic and lumbar pain [16].

Laser therapy is a non-invasive, painless method of treatment and helps overcome the painful symptoms typical of musculoskeletal pathologies.

Light has healing properties and has been considered a source of life for centuries. No living organism can survive without light. It regulates biological rhythms of most living creatures that can absorb light due to specific photoreceptors. It has been scientifically proven that certain types of radiation can efficiently transfer energy to cells and tissues as a result of which the metabolism normalizes in the problem areas and the damaged tissues recover.

The synergistic effect of MLS therapy is achieved through the physical characteristics of the MLS pulse. This is a special complex type of radiation that transmits the energy of the electromagnetic field into tissues in the most effective way. Thus, this light pulse has maximum biological and therapeutic properties and is generated by a synchronized combination of impulse and continuous waves of varying lengths. Laser therapy plays a very important role in physiotherapy and is used daily in clinics.

CONCLUSION

Data presented demonstrate the efficacy of MLS therapy in patients with musculoskeletal spine dysfunctions. The strong reduction in pain symptoms and muscle spasm in the course of the treatment improves the quality of life of patients. Laser therapy not only helps overcome the painful symptoms typical of musculoskeletal pathologies but is also a valuable tool for rehabilitation.
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