Experimental Study of CO₂ Conversion into Methanol by Synthesized Photocatalyst (ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂) Using Visible Light as an Energy Source
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Abstract: Ozone layer depletion is a serious threat due to the extensive release of greenhouse gases. The emission of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from fossil fuel combustion is a major reason for global warming. Energy demands and climate change are coupled with each other. CO₂ is a major gas contributing to global warming; hence, the conversion of CO₂ into useful products such as methanol, formic acid, formaldehyde, etc., under visible light is an attractive topic. Challenges associated with the current research include synthesizing a photocatalyst that is driven by visible light with a narrow band gap range between 2.5 and 3.0 eV, the separation of a mixed end product, and the two to three times faster recombination rate of an electron–hole pair compared with separation over yield. The purpose of the current research is to convert CO₂ into useful fuel i.e., methanol; the current study focuses on the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ into a useful product. This research is based on the profound analysis of published work, which allows the selection of appropriate methods and material for this research. In this study, zinc ferrite (ZnFe₂O₄) is synthesized via the modified sol–gel method and coupled with titanium dioxide (TiO₂). Thereafter, the catalyst is characterized by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), FE-SEM, UV–Vis, and XRD characterization techniques. UV–Vis illustrates that the synthesized catalyst has a low band gap and utilizes a major portion of visible light irradiation. The XRD pattern was confirmed by the formation of the desired catalyst. FE-SEM illustrated that the size of the catalyst ranges from 50 to 500 nm and BET analysis determined the surface area, which was 2.213 and 6.453 m²/g for ZnFe₂O₄ and ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂, respectively. The continuous gas flow photoreactor was used to study the activity of the synthesized catalyst, while titanium dioxide (TiO₂) has been coupled with zinc ferrite (ZnFe₂O₄) under visible light in order to obtain the maximum yield of methanol as a single product and simultaneously avoid the conversion of CO₂ into multiple products. The performance of ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ was mainly assessed through methanol yield with a variable amount of TiO₂ over ZnFe₂O₄ (1:1, 1:2, 2:1, 1:3, and 3:1). The synthesized catalyst recycling ability has been tested up to five cycles. Finally, we concluded that the optimum conditions for maximum yield were found to be a calcination temperature of ZnFe₂O₄ at 900 °C, and optimum yield was at a 1:1 w/w coupling ratio of ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂. It was observed that due to the enhancement in the electron–hole pair lifetime, the methanol yield at 141.22 µmol/gcat·h over ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ was found to be 7% higher than the earlier reported data.
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1. Introduction

Depletion of the ozone layer is not only a serious threat due to the extensive release of greenhouse gases; the emission of CO₂ is also a major reason for global warming. Current global demand for energy is almost 17 TW (Terawatt) and is forecasted to be doubled in upcoming years [1]. The climate change committee i.e., the IGP (Intergovernmental Panel), recommends that emissions of CO₂ must be reduced by up to half of the total 85% reduction target by 2050 [2]. Reports of the Kyoto Protocol revealed that the countries under the Kyoto Protocol produce 22.5% less CO₂ compared to production back in 1990. The carbon capture and storage techniques (CCS) are highly uneconomical for medium and small plants, and that is a major drawback [3].

For the effective conversion of CO₂ into useful products such as methane, methanol, formic acid, etc., many researchers/scientists suggested different techniques from electrochemical cell to thermal decomposition. Thus, electrochemical cells have a superior advantage over thermal decomposition due to sustainable energy utilization i.e., visible light/sunlight, etc.

There are several processes where CO₂ can be converted into market useful products; CO₂ can be captured by using following techniques: (a) before the combustion capturing of CO₂, (b) in-process capturing by adjusting the oxygen–fuel ratio, and (c) after combustion capturing of CO₂. Considering all of the said techniques, the most researched and discussed option is post combustion CO₂ capturing and conversion. Many catalytic/photocatalytic materials have been utilized for the conversion of CO₂ into useful products i.e., TiO₂/SB (SB/Antimony) used for the conversion of carbon dioxide into CH₃OH, Ag Br/CNT (CNT, or carbon nanotubes) used for the conversion of carbon dioxide into CH₂OH and C₂H₂OH, CdSe/Pt/TiO₂ used for CH₄, CH₃OH, etc. Factors such as photo corrosion resistance, valance and conduction band positions, accessibility, cost, surface area, ease of availability, etc. are the most important factors in the selection of photocatalysts, in which our selected photocatalyst i.e., ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ is ahead of the other visible light-induced photocatalysts. The most viable catalyst is ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ in terms of valance and conduction band position, resistance toward corrosion, cheap cost, and stability; all of these above-mentioned factors make this photocatalyst most suitable for conversion within a visible range and maximum yield of the specific product i.e., methanol.

Different products can be obtained through the reduction of CO₂, as shown in Table 1. Despite the market demanding products from the CO₂ reduction reactions, still much work needs to be done in this field.

| Product          | Potential of CO₂ Reduction (ton CO₂/ton of Product) | Market Scale (per Year) | References |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Dimethyl ether (DME) | 1.9                                                 | 6.3                     | 3.2        |
| Dimethyl carbonate (DMC) | 1.47                                               | 0.24                    | 280        |
| Polycarbonate     | 0.5                                                 | 3.6                     | 14.4       |
| Methanol          | 1.38                                                | 75                      | 36         |
| Urea              | 0.735-0.75                                          | 198.4                   | 59.5       |

The utilization of value-added products from CO₂ conversion such as methanol seems to be a most promising technique to reduce the effect of major greenhouse gases (CO₂) on the atmosphere. The photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ depends upon the hydrogen produced during water splitting, because water is the most common reductant used for this reaction. During the reduction reaction, more than one product obtained formaldehyde or formic acid. The photocatalytic splitting of water is a simple process because only two products are obtained by this reaction: hydrogen and oxygen. On the other hand, the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ is a complex reaction because more than one product is obtained during the reaction, and still, no full complete explanation for the product selectivity is available. Researchers found that during the reduction of CO₂, the first product that was formed was...
formic acid, which converts into formaldehyde, and the formaldehyde finally converts into methanol. However, on the other hand, some studies indicated that product selectivity can be controlled by the position of the conduction band of the photocatalyst. Other products can also be produced during the reaction such as formaldehyde, hydrogen, ethanol, and CO₂ but they are not detected in this study.

The purpose of the current research is to convert CO₂ into useful fuel i.e., methanol. For this objective to be achieved, we first synthesize the ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ photocatalyst, and afterwards characterize a ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ heterojunction photocatalyst to check whether our desired specified catalyst has been synthesized or not. Then, we evaluate the activity of the prepared photocatalyst for CO₂ conversion into methanol under visible light (initially, a tungsten bulb is used, but we aim to get conversion through sunlight/renewable energy). Starting from the energy of +805 kJ/mol is required for the bond breakage of chemically stable CO₂ due to its carbon–oxygen bonds. A carbon-free energy source such as solar light can be used for this purpose under the presence of a photocatalyst. The photocatalysis process continues only if there is suitable photon energy of light UV/visible light. Research works in the literature reported that the obtained yield and selectivity of the product was very low in CO₂ photocatalysis under visible light [7]. The conversion of carbon into useful fuel required a sustainable amount of hydrogen i.e., some suitable source of hydrogen such as H₂ and H₂O, etc. The cheapest and most easily available source is water. During the photocatalysis of CO₂ with water, water splitting also competes with CO₂ reduction reaction because water splitting is much simpler than CO₂ reduction. This is because the splitting of water into H₂ and O₂ is a two-electron process, but CO₂ conversion into methanol required six electrons and six protons [8]. Moreover, the position of the conduction band plays an important role for CO₂ conversion into the desired product. Different types of photocatalysts were prepared and tested by various research groups in the last few decades for the conversion of CO₂ into methanol such as metal oxides, layered double hydroxides and metal ferrite [9,10]. ZnFe₂O₄ works under visible light due to its smaller band gap and transferred electrons to TiO₂ because it is a p-type semiconductor and TiO₂ is n-type based; based on the previous study, that the ZnFe₂O₄ coupled with TiO₂ can be synthesized as an efficient visible light drove the photocatalyst for CO₂ reduction. However, TiO₂ is identified as one of the best photocatalysts due to its cost and stability [3]. The major problem in TiO₂ is its large band gap of approximately 3.2 eV [11]. The large band gap of TiO₂ makes it a UV-Vis-driven photocatalyst.

In order to obtain the high selectivity of a given product and the maximum yield of the desired product, water and CO₂ used as raw feed, oxidation also has the same importance as CO₂. Both components are stable, and their bond breakage is possible by using a carbon-free sustainable source of energy as a solar light in the presence of a photocatalyst. The maximum Faradaic efficiency of hydrocarbons was observed at −1.9 V with 40.3%; similarly, hydrogen formation could be depressed to 34.7%. During the reduction of CO₂ with water, the most favorable reaction is water reduction instead of CO₂ due to this huge difference in their reduction potential. This problem of water reduction instead of CO₂ can be solved by the oxidation of water instead of reduction. For water oxidation, the photocatalyst must have a valence band edge that is more positive than water oxidation, which is +0.82 eV. The most common reaction that happened during the reduction of CO₂ with water at 7pH is tabulated below in Table 2.

| Sr. No. | Reaction                          | Thermodynamic Potential V vs. NHE (Normal Hydrogen Electrode) | Reference |
|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1      | CO₂+ e⁻ → CO₂⁻                  | −1.9                                                        | [3]       |
| 2      | CO₂+ 2H⁺ → HCOOH                 | −0.61                                                       | [11]      |
| 3      | 2H⁺ + e⁻ → H₂                   | −0.41                                                       | [3]      |
The most common problem associated with photocatalysis by these catalysts is the conversion of CO\textsubscript{2} to more than one product [12]. Thus the reaction mechanism, the effect of the amount of catalyst loading, the influence of calcination temperature, and the effect of the recycled catalyst during photoactivity are investigated thoroughly.

2. Results

2.1. Characterization

The following characterization techniques have been used on order to confirm the exact formation of the desired photocatalyst, but these techniques have been limited to some extent, as our main focus is to study the experimental effects of the different parameters.

2.1.1. FTIR (Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy)

A Shimadzu spectrometer in the range of 4000–450 cm\textsuperscript{-1} used for recording the FTIR spectra. The catalyst was in powdered form, and the formation of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} was confirmed by using FTIR data as a preliminary result. The FTIR spectra recorded using a spectrometer in the range of 4000–450 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, which is comparable to previously reported results [13]. The catalyst was in powdered form, and the formation of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} was confirmed i.e., the spectrum band at 592.5 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 487.3 cm\textsuperscript{-1} represents Zn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ions, as shown in Figure 1. Moreover, the FTIR spectrum of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}–TiO\textsubscript{2} exhibits peaks having main characteristics of TiO\textsubscript{2} at 487.3 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} at 592.5 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. In the same way, the band at 1488.6 cm\textsuperscript{-1} points toward the sample containing a slight amount of water. The band at 1253.1 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is an indication of the presence of nitrates. The presence of water is mainly due to the modified method of synthesis; nitrates were present because the synthesis starts from the nitrates of metals. The last peaks at 2401.7 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 3248.8 cm\textsuperscript{-1} indicate the presence of CO\textsubscript{2} that was absorbed into the catalyst synthesized from the environment. Some peaks can be witnessed, which are major and show good agreement with those of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}–TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites, ensuring that the presence of functional groups on the surface of ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}–TiO\textsubscript{2} are due to octane residues, as evident in Figure 1.

![Figure 1](image-url). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analysis of the ZnFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}/TiO\textsubscript{2} photocatalyst performed on 4000–450 cm\textsuperscript{-1} range spectrophotometer.
2.1.2. UV–Vis Spectroscopy

The UV–Vis spectroscopy of synthesized catalysts ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂, ZnFe₂O₄, and TiO₂ was done at a wavelength range of 200–800 nm. This absorption spectrum of the catalyst revealed that the synthesized catalyst is the product of visible light active range of the catalyst. A wavelength of 200–800 nm has been used for studying the UV–Vis spectroscopy of the synthesized catalysts ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ (1:1), ZnFe₂O₄, and TiO₂. The absorption spectrum of the synthesized catalyst revealed that it is a visible light active photocatalyst; the UV–Vis spectra of synthesized ZnFe₂O₄, TiO₂, and ZnFe₂O₄ coupled with TiO₂ in different w/w ratios in the wavelength range of 200–800 nm is shown in Figure 2. ZnFe₂O₄ shows a good absorbance pattern from 400–800 nm, which reveals that it is an excellent photocatalyst [14]. TiO₂ illustrates absorbance only from 200 to 400 nm, which concludes that it is a UV active photocatalyst. When ZnFe₂O₄ is coupled with TiO₂ in a 1:1 weight/weight ratio, it clarifies visible light region maximum absorbance from 400 to 800 nm. The absorbance pattern of the remaining two ratios is also illustrated in Figure 2. Moreover, another two ratios also display absorbance under visible light region, but the absorbance is comparatively lower than a 1:1 ratio. These three ratios show transparency above 516 nm, 442 nm, and 427 nm, which indicates that all three ratios have shifted the band gap of commercialized TiO₂ from an ultraviolet region to visible light. The most suitable ratio that covers the majority of the visible light region is 1:1. The coupling of a low band gap ZnFe₂O₄ with TiO₂ reduces the band gap, and the proper ratio of both semiconductors is 1:1. This ratio efficiently minimizes the band gap of coupled semiconductors and absorbs a maximum portion of visible light. TiO₂ shows an absorbance pattern only under the UV region. After extrapolation, a line has been produced that shows the photon energy axis and produces the semiconductor band gap.

![Figure 2. UV–Vis spectra of the synthesized photocatalyst; the wavelength set was 200–800 nm.](image)

The band gaps of TiO₂, ZnFe₂O₄, and ZnFe₂O₄ coupled with TiO₂ (1:1) are 3.15, 1.86, and 2.79 eV, respectively. ZnFe₂O₄ shows maximum absorbance at 624 nm and is well matched with previously reported results. The coupling of ZnFe₂O₄ with TiO₂ in a proper ratio shifts the band gap of TiO₂ from the UV region to the visible region and is used efficiently for the reduction of CO₂ into methanol under visible light irradiation.

2.1.3. FE-SEM Analysis

The possible accumulation and morphologies due to the tiny crystallites contact of synthesized catalysts were determined by using FE-SEM (field emission electron scanning electron microscope). This technique also pointed out the particle size of the synthesized catalyst. The magnification was done from 10 to 150. The following results shows that the ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ nanocomposites are regularly shaped nanoparticles. The ZnFe₂O₄ synthesized formed well-defined crystalline irregular spherical shapes with particle sizes in the range of 200–400 nm (Figure 3a,b). The anatase TiO₂ is also observed
to be more homogeneous with high crystallinity. The particle size of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles is found to be in the range of 50–300 nm (Figure 3c,d). However, the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$ was attributed to the magnetic property of ZnFe$_2$I$_2$O$_4$ particles. It is observed that the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$ heterojunction with a (1:1) ratio shows high homogeneity and porosity (Figure 3e,f). It is observed that a hydrothermally developed ZnFe$_2$O$_4$;TiO$_2$ (1:1) heterojunction followed by annealing at 500 °C instigates higher homogeneity in the photocatalyst. The corresponding elements of the heterojunction such as Ti, O, Zn, and Fe show better homogeneity in the structure, while post annealing may promote the interfacial contact between ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and TiO$_2$ nanostructures (Figure 3). Moreover, an interface/boundary is witnessed between two nanoparticles, indicating the presence of a heterojunction between ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and TiO$_2$ which can further enable the charge transfer more freely to expressively reduce electron–hole pairs recombination in addition to enhancing the visible light-driven photocatalytic activity of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$;TiO$_2$ nanocomposites. It illustrates the importance of the development of a heterojunction by hydrothermal modification followed by post-sintering treatment.

![Images (a,b) ZnFe$_2$O$_4$. (c,d) and (e,f) ZnFe$_2$O$_4$;TiO$_2$ (1:1).](image)

**Figure 3. Images (a,b) ZnFe$_2$O$_4$, (c,d), and (e,f) ZnFe$_2$O$_4$;TiO$_2$ (1:1).**

**2.1.4. XRD Spectra**

The synthesized catalyst is characterized by XRD for the identification of crystallinity. CuK$_\alpha$ (1.542Å) radiation is used. The XRD scan range is 10–80°, the accelerating potential was 40 KVA, and the scan step size was 0.02°. The XRD pattern of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$, TiO$_2$, and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$ (1:1 w/w ratio) is shown in Figure 4. The XRD pattern of TiO$_2$ illustrates that it is available in anatase phase and all the peaks are well matched. ZnFe$_2$I$_2$O$_4$ peaks prove that it is in the spinel phase. No peak shift or extra peak are observed after coupling, which means that no impurity is induced into it after the coupling of both semiconductors, and the pure catalyst retained its previous phase. The crystalline sizes of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$, TiO$_2$, and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$ (1:1) are 44.8, 65.2, and 46.9 nm.

**2.2. Conversion of CO$_2$ into Methanol Using Photocatalyst**

Approximately 7 h has been taken in order to study the photocatalytic conversion of CO$_2$ into methanol by using ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$ photocatalysts. The ratio used here is the one that gives the maximum yield of methanol, i.e., ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$ (1:1 w/w); moreover, 7 h has been taken by considering previous research and literature [2,15]. The experimental results reveal that methanol is a major product in...
liquid, while the photoactivity of metal ferrite decreases, which is a clear indication of the exhaust of active sites due to photo corrosion. The other catalysts such as metal, non-metal, or S-S doped TiO2 or other than TiO2 also show the deactivation after 7 h. Hence, a 7 h irradiation time was chosen based on the previous work. In a current study, the catalyst has shown deactivation after 5.5 h. The highest yield is obtained after 5.5 h, which is 531.6 μmol/L·gcat, but after that, the yield of methanol decreases, which is due to the conversion of CO2 into other products or the occurrence of a backward reaction [16,17].

The Figure 5 indicates that the yield is increasing with a continuous passage of time, but after 5.5 h, the yield declines suddenly. Methanol oxidation occurred instead of water oxidation, which is one of the major reasons for yield diminution [17]. Similarly, as Figure 5 indicates, after of visible light irradiation, the photoactivity of metal ferrites reaches its high point, indicating that all the active sites are exhausted [18].

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** XRD spectra (a) TiO2, (b) ZnFeI2O4, and (c) ZnFeI2O4:TiO2 (1:1).

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** The activity of the ZnFeI2O4/TiO2 (1:1 w/w) photocatalyst.
2.3. Effect of Different Coupling Ratios of ZnFe₂O₄ with TiO₂

A different coupling ratio effect has been studied and finally plotted on the graph as shown in Figure 6, which reveals that ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ in a 1:1 w/w ratio produces a higher yield. This has also been evident from the FTIR analysis when 1:1 coupling shows maximum absorbance, too. Other coupling ratios have also been tested i.e., ZnFe₂O₄ is coupled with TiO₂ in a 1:2 ratio, while the yield of methanol is decreased upto 30% as compared to a 1:1 ratio. In the case of a 1:2 ratio, it can be suggested that the majority of the catalyst is TiO₂, which can work only under UV light such that only a small portion ofZnFe₂O₄is sensitized to TiO₂. This is the main reason behind the yield depression. Similarly, when ZnFe₂O₄ is coupled with TiO₂ in a 2:1 ratio, then the yield is much less. Correspondingly, the yield for the ratios of 1:3 and 3:1 has also been so minimal that it has also vanished. We can say the optimum methanol yield in comparison with the 1:1 ratio is 702.11µmol/gcat, and the yield is decreased up to 30% by using a 1:2 ratio. Up to 70% less methanol is obtained when a 2:1 ratio is used. Moreover, the yield has drastically decreased i.e., by 86.9% and 87.3% for 1:3 and 3:1, respectively.

![Figure 6. Effect of different coupling ratios on methanol yield.](image)

2.4. Variable Calcination Temperature of ZnFe₂O₄ Effects

For synthesized photocatalysts calcined at different temperatures, the effect of different calcination temperatures on the methanol yield is illustrated in Figure 7. The catalyst was exposed under visible light irradiations for 5.5 h to check the photoactivity. The trend reveals that the amount of methanol is directly proportional to calcination temperature from 600 to 1000 °C. However, a sudden decrease in yield is observed when the calcination temperature is increased from 900 to 1000 °C. The increase in methanol yield with the increase in calcination temperature from 700 to 900 °C is due to the removal of all the impurities and volatile matters. The methanol yield obtained at 900 °C calcination temperature is 51% higher in comparison to a catalyst calcined at 700 °C and 36% greater than the catalyst calcined at 800 °C. Moreover, as the calcination temperature raise from 900 to 1000 °C, an 85% decline in the yield
is achieved in comparison with 900 °C calcination temperature. It is recommended that at >900 °C, the ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ obtains impurities and the majority of active sites are deactivated, which results in a sudden dispersion in yield. As the temperature increases, the peak intensity increases and negligible peaks become clearer such that the crystallinity and shape of the crystals change [19,20].

Figure 7. Effect of calcination temperature on yield.

2.5. Effect of Different Catalyst Loading Ratios

To understand the effect of catalyst loading on the reduction of CO$_2$ into methanol, different loading ratios were used ranging from 0.5 to 3 g/L, and the results are represented in Figure 8. It is apparent that the amount of methanol (μmol/L) increases as the amount of loaded catalyst increases from 0.5 to 3 gm/L.

Figure 8. Effect of different catalyst loading ratios on the yield of methanol.
Table 3 will further clarify that at which loading ratio quantity, Methanol yield will be optimum etc.

**Table 3. Methanol yield with respect to loading ratios.**

| Experiments | Loading Ratio (g/L) | Methanol Yield (µmol/g<sub>cat</sub>) |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1           | 0.5                 | 240.6                                |
| 2           | 1                   | 575.2                                |
| 3           | 1.5                 | 710.5                                |
| 4           | 2                   | 901.6                                |
| 5           | 2.5                 | 1087.9                               |
| 6           | 3                   | 1251.8                               |

The trend in Figure 8 shows an incremental increase; however, it is not exactly proportional to the amount of the catalyst loading ratio. The yield of methanol is 240.60 µmol/g<sub>cat</sub> by using 0.5 g/L catalyst. As the amount of catalyst is increased from 1 to 2 g/L, the amount of methanol should be doubled theoretically. However, only 36.2% higher methanol is obtained by using 2 g/L and vice versa. Moreover, the ratio percentage shows that the maximum yield is at 1 g/L, i.e., at 2 g/L, it must be double or near to that, but in the original, it is much lesser than that of 1 g/L, and the reverse is true until 3 g/L. Thus, at 1 g/L, the yield is 57.5%; at 2 g/L, the yield is 45.8%; and at 3 g/L, the yield is 41.7%. So, the optimum loading ratio is 1g/L, as the maximum yield has been obtained as per the loading ratio.

2.6. Effect of Catalyst Recycling

The catalyst has been recycled five times, and experimentation afterwards shows the trend of the recycling effect, as illustrated in Figure 9. After the first run, the whole solution present in a reactor including the catalyst was centrifuged at 1700 rpm for 18 min, and the catalyst was separated during the centrifuged process. The obtained catalyst was dried in an oven for 14 h at 120 °C. It is observed that activity of the catalyst decreases almost up to 55% during the second run. During the third run, a further decrease in the yield is observed, but this is not a straight-line depression in yield. For further standing, calculations of the trend of the decrease in the fourth and fifth run of the catalyst have also been done, which show that the yield has exponentially dropped by the fifth time run. It can be suggested that after 5.5 h, all the active sites are deactivated, and after recycling again and again, only a few sites are able to become active. It can be concluded that the catalyst is not useable again efficiently. Secondly, it is not possible to separate all the catalysts; however, the major portion is separated effectively. The deficiency of the catalyst is fulfilled by adding a fresh catalyst. The results clearly indicate that the catalyst can be effectively used only for one run. The metal ferrites do not work efficiently after recycling, because photo corrosion is a basic problem associated with this class [21,22].

Thus, it can be said that a similar trend has been observed in the current study. Methanol production via a CO<sub>2</sub> reduction mechanism through a ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst is illustrated in Figure 10.

It can be clearly understood from the yield experiment that after 5.5 h, the active sites become deactivated and after recycling, only just a few sites become active; thus, the main sites remain deactivated. Finally, it can be concluded that the catalyst is non-useable again and again effectively and efficiently. Thus, we can conclude from the said findings that the catalyst could only be used once efficiently. Moreover, the ferrites of metal did not work efficiently after recycling, the reason behind which could be the photo corrosion, etc.
Thus, it can be said that a similar trend has been observed in the current study. Methanol production via a CO₂ reduction mechanism through a ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ photocatalyst is illustrated in Figure 10.

It can be clearly understood from the yield experiment that after 5.5 h, the active sites become deactivated and after recycling, only just a few sites become active; thus, the main sites remain deactivated. Finally, it can be concluded that the catalyst is non-usable again and again effectively and efficiently. Thus, we can conclude from the said findings that the catalyst could only be used once in 5 runs.

2.7. Proposed Reaction Mechanism

In Figure 10, a reaction mechanism of the carbon conversion into methanol has been proposed, the pH was 6.2 during the experimentation such that CO₂ could not only exist as dissolved CO₂ but also in the form of HCO₃⁻. The most abundant form of CO₂ at this pH is the dissolved CO₂ and HCO₃⁻.

\[
[H^+] = [OH^-] + [HCO_3^-] + [2CO_3^{2-}]
\]

The coefficient 2 in above expression indicates that 2 moles of H⁺ are required for the conversion of CO₃²⁻ into HCO₃⁻, which is considered as a reference level. The pH during the reaction was
maintained at 6.2, which is acidic, such that all the bicarbonates that can be produced in CO₂ and water mixture can be neglected.

The conversion of CO₂ occurs on this surface of TiO₂ and water oxidizes on the surface of ZnFe₂O₄ because holes are transferred from TiO₂ to ZnFe₂O₄ due to the position of its valence band.

The CB (Conduction Band) position of ZnFe₂O₄ is more negative than the CB of TiO₂, and its position is also close to the reduction potential of CO₂ into methanol. So, under the presence of visible light irradiation, the excited electrons are transferred from the VB (Valance Band) of ZnFe₂O₄ to the CB of ZnFe₂O₄. These excited electrons are easily transferred to TiO₂ because of the formation of a heterojunction between these two semiconductors. Secondly, TiO₂ is also available in the Ti³⁺ state, which is more active than the Ti⁴⁺ state. Therefore, the efficiency also increases due to this reason. During the photoexcitation process, the holes are also generated that are transferred to the VB of ZnFe₂O₄, as illustrated in Figure 10. The heterostructure increases the e⁻ and h⁺ separation time. Therefore, the recombination rate of e⁻ and h⁺ is very slow, which is very suitable for photocatalytic reactions. The conversion of CO₂ occurs on this surface of TiO₂, and water oxidizes on the surface of ZnFe₂O₄ because holes are transferred from TiO₂ to ZnFe₂O₄ due to the position of its valence band. The yield of methanol is slightly higher than the recently reported results, which are 651 μmol/gcat. The increase in yield is suggested due to the proper alignment of ZnFe₂O₄ with TiO₂. Moreover, the constant temperature during the reaction and higher intensity of light are other reasons to get a higher yield.

2.8. Comparison with Previous Results

As TiO₂ cannot be used only for visible light because it has a higher band gap and its electron–hole pair recombination is much faster than the reduction, another catalyst must be added in order to make it a visible light-induced photocatalyst; some previously used photocatalyst results have also been shown with the current research in the following Table 4.

| Photocatalyst       | Rate of Methanol Formation (μmol/gcat·h) | Solvent/Electrolyte                  | Light Source             | Reference |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂        | 141.22                                  | Na₂S, Na₂SO₃, KOH in Water          | 500 W Xenon Lamp         | This Study |
| 15% Bi₂S₃/CdS       | 122.6                                   | NaOH and Na₂S in Water              | 500 W Xenon Lamp         | [23]      |
| CeF₃/TiO₂           | 80                                      | Water                               | 500 W Xenon Lamp         | [14]      |
| Cu₂O/SiC            | 39                                      | NaOH and Na₂SO₃ in Water            | 500 W Xenon Lamp         | [16]      |

The above table shows that the current research shows a maximum yield of 141.22 μmol/gcat·h of methanol as compared to other catalysts using the same source of light i.e., a 500-W tungsten bulb.

Photocatalyst used for the conversion of carbon dioxide into useful fuel with enhanced yield by using visible light could be a most important technique of current era because it can give us Carbon reduction with a fuel. Moreover selective product could be achieved by controlling conduction band position as illustrated by previous research [23,24]. Researchers also suggest that maximum yield still couldn’t be achieved due to the reason of formation of other products like formaldehyde, formic acid as well as backward reaction [25].

3. Materials and Method

3.1. Synthesis Procedure

Altered process conditions were used to synthesized the ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ photocatalyst for which initially Zinc nitrate, Zn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O, and iron nitrate Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O were dissolved in 2.5 M of HNO₃ and nutrient agar solution, at continuous stirring and heating continuously until a brown–blackish gel
was formed. A similar procedure was followed with TiO$_2$, which was dissolved in 2.5 M of HNO$_3$ and nutrient agar solution, stirred, and heated continuously until an orange gel was formed. Then, to form the desired band gap, the photocatalyst ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$ was sonicated and calcined at different temperatures for approximately 4.5 h in the presence of N$_2$ gas. Figure 11 explains the steps involved in the synthesis of the photocatalyst.

Figure 11. (a) Steps involved in synthesis of zinc ferrite, (b) steps involved in synthesis of titanium dioxide, (c) coupling of zinc ferrite and titanium dioxide, (d) detailed steps involved in synthesis of the photocatalyst.

3.2. Electron and Hole Pair Formation

The following equations represent concepts of electron and hole pair formation.

\[
\text{Photocatalyst} + h\nu \rightarrow h^+ + e^- \quad (1)
\]

\[
h^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{Heat} \quad (2)
\]

\[
E_g \rightarrow E_c - E_v \quad (3)
\]

where

\(E_g\) = band gap energy;  
\(E_c\) = energy of the conduction band; and  
\(E_v\) = energy of the valance band.
The photocatalyst when exposed under light irradiation produced the excited electrons and holes, as shown in Equation (1). The electrons start recombining with each other if no absorbed species are present, as shown in Equation (2). This indicates that electron and hole pairs can combine with each other without taking part in oxidation and reduction reactions. As a result, reaction heat is released. If electron and hole pairs have enough energy, they move toward the surface and take part in the reaction. The electron and hole pairs can also be formed on the catalyst surface and produce unproductive heat. Equation (3) represents the band gap calculations as a difference between the valence band and conduction band. The activity of the catalyst depends upon the arrangement of the reaction medium, the absorption capacity of chemicals on the semiconductor’s surface, the type of semiconductor and its morphology, and the capacity of the catalyst to absorb UV–Vis light irradiation. Surface improvement and reducing the band gap of a photocatalyst are two major factors.

Methanol production via a CO$_2$ reduction mechanism through a ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$ photocatalyst is described as shown in Equations (4)–(8). pH has been maintained around 5.9–6.2 such that CO$_2$ could not only exist as dissolved CO$_2$ but also in the form of HCO$_3^−$. The most abundant form of CO$_2$ at the said pH is dissolved CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^−$. The proton balance for this is shown by Equation (4).

$$[H^+] = [OH^-] + [HCO_3^-] + 2[CO_3^{2-}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The coefficient 2 in Equation (4) indicates that 2 moles of H$^+$ are required for the conversion of CO$_3^{2−}$ into HCO$_3^−$ and is considered as a reference level.

The pH of the reaction and during the whole reaction was kept and maintained at around 5.9–6.2, which are acidic values such that all the bicarbonates that can be produced in CO$_2$ and water mixture can be deserted.

So, it results in another relation as expressed by Equation (5).

$$[H^+] \rightarrow [HCO_3^-]$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Potassium hydroxide was used to increase the absorbance of CO$_2$ into water, so the proton balance is shown as per Equation (6).

$$[HCO_3^-] + [H^+] \rightarrow [OH^-] + [CO_3^{2-}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The pH of the solution was very close to a neutral value, so the [H$^+$] and [OH$^-$] can be ignored easily.

So, it results in another relation as expressed by Equation (7).

$$[HCO_3^-] = [CO_3^{2-}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The conversion of CO$_2$ occurs when the reduction reaction on the surface of the synthesized catalyst is done as per Equation (8).

$$CO_2 + 6H^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The reduction potential of CO$_2$ into methanol falls between the conduction band and valence band of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$/TiO$_2$, which means that the coupled semiconductor can reduce CO$_2$ into methanol. The band gap of ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ is lower, that is, 1.9 eV; hence, it works under visible light irradiation in comparison with TiO$_2$, which has a band gap of approximately 3.2 eV.

3.3. Experimental Setup and Operating Conditions

An experimental setup includes a continuous flow reactor followed by a 500 Watt Xenon lamp, which is used as a visible light/radiation source. NaNO$_2$ (2 M) solution was used to cut the UV light. First of all, 0.1 M sodium sulfite, potassium hydroxide, and 0.1 M sodium sulfide were added in 500 mL of distilled water. In the next step, CO$_2$ fizzed from the solution in the reactor for 1 h to assure that O$_2$
(dissolved) is approaching toward elimination and the pH of the solution is maintained at 6.2. Moreover, the catalyst should be mixed to the solution, making the concentration of catalyst 1 g/L. Afterwards, the photoreaction started as soon as the 500-watt bulb was switched on. The reactor temperature was maintained at 25 °C with the help of a chiller during the reaction. The CO₂ continuously flowed through the solution during irradiation. Three liquid samples were collected and analyzed in GC-FID for product detection. The photocatalytic reaction was carried out for 6 h. The experimental results of the methanol yield have been confirmed by repeating it three times, and the average yield was taken.

Methanol was analyzed by GC-FID, and a DB-WAX 123-7033 column was used for the detection of methanol. Helium gas was introduced as the shipper gas, and the flow rate was 35 cm/s. The oven temperature was adjusted at 40 °C, and inlet temperature was fixed at 200 °C. The split ratio was 1:50 and the FID temperature was 300 °C. Nitrogen gas was used as the makeup with a flow rate of 30 mL/min at the FID detector. The yield was calculated by using the following equation.

\[ Y = \frac{(C \times W)}{V} \]

4. Conclusions

The primary object of the research was to synthesize a photocatalyst (ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂) as a visible light-induced photocatalyst for the conversion of CO₂ into methanol under visible light irradiation. ZnFe₂O₄ and ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ heterojunction photocatalysts were synthesized and used for the reduction of CO₂ into methanol. The most optimized catalyst loading amount was 1g/L to get a maximum yield of methanol. The maximum yield observed was 141.22 µmol/g cat·h. The prominent feature of this study is to analyze the effect of different parameters on the methanol yield such as the effect of calcination temperature, the intensity of light, the catalyst loading ratio, the effect of different coupling ratios, and the effect of recycling the catalyst. However, as the pH of the reaction solution was maintained at 5.9–6.2; hence, the pH and the most dominant species were 2 and HCO₃⁻. The reported yield of methanol obtained by ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ is quite better because of e⁻ and H⁺ having a slow recombination rate due to the formation of the heterojunction. A 7% increase in the yield indicates that the coupling of ZnFe₂O₄ with a TiO₂ increases the photocatalytic activity. The coupling of ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ resulted in a new band gap and made it visible light-responsive. Moreover, the maximum yield has been obtained at a calcination temperature of 900 °C and the coupling ratio is 1:1 w/w. Hence, after considering all the essential parameters, the results indicate that coupling of ZnFe₂O₄ with TiO₂ is effectively enhanced under visible light irradiation. Thus, the following recommendations still need to be considered for future studies.

5. Recommendations

There are some suggestions for the future studies on the synthesis of ZnFe₂O₄ and ZnFe₂O₄/TiO₂ for the reduction of CO₂ into methanol or some other photocatalytic applications. Despite the fact that ZnFe₂O₄ is a visible light-induced catalyst and forms a heterojunction with TiO₂, which proved itself a very suitable technique for CO₂ reduction, other metal ferrites should also be tested.

Photoreactors of different types should be designed and tested in order to identify the most suitable photoreactor.
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