Maternal Exposure to Fine Particulate Matter and Its Chemical Components Increasing the Occurrence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnant Japanese Women
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Abstract:
Introduction: PM_{2.5} exposure is a suspected risk factor for diabetes. It is hypothesized that maternal PM_{2.5} exposure contributes to the development of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). The association between PM_{2.5} exposure and GDM is controversial and limited evidence is available for the exposure to PM_{2.5} chemical components. We investigated the association between maternal exposure to total PM_{2.5} mass and its components, particularly over the first trimester (early placentation period), and GDM.

Methods: Data were obtained from the Japan Perinatal Registry Network database, which includes all live births and stillbirths after 22 weeks of gestation at 39 cooperating hospitals in 23 Tokyo wards (2013-2015). At one fixed monitoring site, we performed daily filter sampling of fine particles and measured daily concentrations of carbon and ion components. The average concentrations of total PM_{2.5}, and its components over the 3 months before pregnancy and the first (0-13 gestational weeks) and second (14-27 gestational weeks) trimesters were calculated and assigned to each woman. We estimated the odds ratios (ORs) of GDM in a multilevel logistic regression model.

Results: Among 82,773 women (mean age at delivery = 33.7 years) who delivered singleton births, 3,953 (4.8%) had GDM. In the multiexposure period model, an association between total PM_{2.5} exposure and GDM was observed for exposure over the first trimester (OR per interquartile range (IQR = 3.63 μg/m³) increase = 1.09; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.02-1.16), but not for the 3 months before pregnancy or the second trimester. For PM_{2.5} components, only organic carbon exposure over the first trimester was positively associated with GDM (OR per IQR (0.51 μg/m³) increase = 1.10; 1.00-1.21).

Conclusions: This is the first evidence that exposure to total PM_{2.5}, and one of its components, organic carbon, over the first trimester increases GDM occurrence in Japan.
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Introduction

Although the underlying mechanisms have not been fully elucidated, a causal association between exposure to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}, which passes through a size-selective inlet with a 50% cut-off level of 2.5 μm in aerodynamic diameter) and cardiorespiratory disease seems to be internationally accepted (9). PM_{2.5} exposure is also associated with glycemia and insulin resistance and is a suspected risk factor for diabetes (2). It is hypothesized that maternal exposure to PM_{2.5} contributes to the development of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). However, the association between PM_{2.5} exposure and GDM is controversial (3), (4), and there is limited evidence about whether exposure to specific chemical components of PM_{2.5} leads to GDM (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10).

There are inconsistent findings regarding the periods dur-
ing which PM$_{2.5}$ exposure is related to GDM etiology. One systematic review and meta-analysis including relevant studies published until August 2019 reported that PM$_{2.5}$ exposure in the second trimester increased the occurrence of GDM (10). Another meta-analysis including studies published until September 2019 reported that there was no specific exposure period and that the point estimates of the association between exposure in each period (prepregnancy, the first trimester, and second trimester) and GDM showed nonsignificant elevated odds (10). GDM is considered to precede the occurrence of preeclampsia related to abnormal placentation in early pregnancy (11,12). In an experiment using a human trophoblast cell line from the first trimester, hyperglycemia triggered trophoblast secretion of inflammatory cytokines, suggesting that excess glucose leads to trophoblast dysfunction and inhibits adequate placentation (13). Therefore, if exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ was causally associated with GDM, we hypothesized that exposure during early pregnancy (i.e., the first trimester) would be important.

Based on this background, we investigated the association between maternal exposure to total PM$_{2.5}$ and its components, particularly over the first trimester, and GDM among pregnant Japanese women who resided in Tokyo, an international megalcity.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study population**

This study was conducted in 23 Tokyo wards that generally fell within a circle with a radius of 20 km, with approximately 9.3 million people (as of 2015) (14) living in a land area of roughly 627 km$^2$. The average background PM$_{2.5}$ concentration over the study period (2013-2015) was 15.9 μg/m$^3$, which was slightly higher than the annual air quality standard in Japan (15 μg/m$^3$) (14,15). The Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology manages the Japan Perinatal Registry Network database, a voluntary ongoing registry of obstetrical facilities (mainly university hospitals and local general hospitals) (17). Each collaborating facility submits data annually, which include anonymized information on maternal age, height, weight, parity, gestational age, smoking and alcohol consumption patterns, infertility treatment, medical history, diagnosis of obstetric complications, mode of delivery, and neonatal records, on all the live births and stillbirths after 22 weeks of gestation via a standardized electronic form. The Perinatal Committee of the Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology checks the quality of the submitted data and requests data correction when needed.

From the Japan Perinatal Registry Network database, we obtained the data on 89,417 registered births (including multiple births) from 39 cooperating facilities in 23 Tokyo wards between January 2013 and December 2015. These registered births accounted for roughly 40% of the total births in the 23 studied wards. Initially, we selected 85,476 singleton births (85,476 women) without missing information on maternal age at delivery. Then, we excluded 366 women with overt diabetes during pregnancy including some cases of pregestational diabetes, 1,658 women who delivered at hospitals near their parents’ home, rather than near their own home (a Japanese custom called satogaeri) to avoid exposure misclassification, and 679 women who delivered at 22-27 weeks of pregnancy to define exposure over the second trimester. Finally, 82,773 women were included in this study. The PM$_{2.5}$ chemical component measurements only began on 1 April 2013 (3 months after the beginning of the study); therefore, component exposure was only assigned to 67,136 women whose first trimester fell within this later period.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Toho University [A20024_A18049].

**PM$_{2.5}$ exposure**

The PM$_{2.5}$ measurement method and locations of the monitoring sites and hospitals are described in our previous publications (16,17). Briefly, PM$_{2.5}$ components were measured at the Tokyo Metropolitan Research Institute for Environmental Protection (TMRIEP) (35.7°N, 139.8°E). The measurements from there likely reflect the typical urban background concentrations of air pollutants in the 23 studied Tokyo wards (20). On a rooftop of the TMRIEP, we used an FRM-2000 sampler (Rupprecht & Patashnick, Albany, NY, USA) and a quartz-fiber filter (47 mm diameter, 2500 QAT-UP; Pall Life Sciences, Port Washington, NY, USA) to collect fine particles daily (from 10:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. of the next day) between April 2013 and the end of the study period. This followed the Federal Reference Methods of the US Environmental Protection Agency (21). According to the standardized protocol of the Ministry of Environment, Japan (22), the daily concentrations of total carbon, including organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC), were determined by thermal-optical reflectance methods with a thermal-optical carbon analyzer (OCEC Carbon Aerosol Analyzer; Sunset Laboratory Inc., Tigard, OR, USA). In addition, ions, including nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, chloride, sodium, potassium, and calcium, were analyzed using an ion chromatograph ( Dionex ICS-5000; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), and their daily concentrations were estimated.

Hourly measurements of total PM$_{2.5}$ mass (β-ray absorption method) and ozone concentrations (ultraviolet absorption method) at an urban background monitoring station (Harumi monitoring station, 35.4°N, 139.5°E, roughly 5 km west of the TMRIEP) were obtained from the Japan National Institute for Environmental Studies’ atmospheric environment database. The daily mean concentrations of total PM$_{2.5}$ were estimated when there were daily measurements more than or equal to 20 h, and the daily mean concentrations of ozone for a maximum of 8 h were also estimated. As we previously reported (10), the daily mean concentrations of total PM$_{2.5}$
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and maximum 8 h mean concentrations of ozone at the Harumi monitoring station well correlated with those at eight other urban background monitoring stations within the 23 Tokyo wards. We, then, estimated the coefficient of divergence to check the similarities between the measurements at the Harumi station and those at the other stations within the 23 wards. The coefficient of divergence was defined as \((xib−xik)/(xib+xik)\) \((25)\), where \(xib\) and \(xik\) represent the average concentrations of total \(PM_{2.5}\) or ozone \((i)\) at the Harumi station \((h)\) and other stations \((k)\). If the concentration at the Harumi station was in absolute agreement with that at another station, the coefficient of divergence was zero. Over the study period, the average of eight coefficients of divergences was 0.04 for total \(PM_{2.5}\), and 0.05 for ozone, suggesting little divergence. Therefore, we assumed that the concentrations of total \(PM_{2.5}\), and ozone were spatially homogenous within the 23 Tokyo wards. Additionally, we collected the daily mean ambient temperature from the database of the Japan Meteorological Agency.

Based on the assumption that pollutant concentrations were homogenous within the 23 Tokyo wards, we assigned the daily pollutant concentrations measured at the Harumi station and TMRIEP to all the women. Depending on the period of the first trimester (0-13 weeks of gestation), which was estimated from the birth date and gestational age based on ultrasound findings during early pregnancy, the average concentration of each pollutant over the first trimester was calculated. We also calculated the average concentrations over the 3 months before pregnancy and the second trimester (14-27 weeks of gestation), and used these as exposure variables, similar to previous studies \((6,24)\). Incidentally, the obtained data did not include the residential addresses (e.g., postal codes) of the women.

**GDM**

Data obtained from the Perinatal Registry Network database included information on GDM diagnosed by attending physicians. In Japan, a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test was performed in the second or third trimester, and GDM was defined if the plasma glucose level at three time points was higher than the following cut-off values: fasting \(\geq 92\) mg/dL (5.1 mmol/L), 1 h \(\geq 180\) mg/dL (10.0 mmol/L), and 2 h \(\geq 155\) mg/dL (8.5 mmol/L) \((25)\). Although the revised diagnostic criteria of hyperglycemic disorders in pregnancy were presented in August 2015 (the final year of the study period), the criteria for GDM were not changed \((26)\). GDM was treated as a dichotomous variable.

**Statistical methods**

We examined the association between exposure to total \(PM_{2.5}\) and its components and GDM using a multilevel logistic regression model with the hospital as a random effect. The majority of women were assumed to reside near their delivery hospitals \((27)\); therefore, participants who delivered at the same hospital were considered to potentially have similar social environmental characteristics, and the hospital was chosen as a neighborhood-level social environmental factor. An assumption of a linear association between PM\(_{2.5}\) exposure and GDM was not inferior to another assumption of a nonlinear association. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) per interquartile range (IQR) increase in the average pollutant concentrations were estimated. We explored the association between total \(PM_{2.5}\) exposure over three periods (the 3 months before pregnancy, and the first and second trimesters) and GDM separately (single-exposure period model), after adjustment for maternal age at delivery (<25, 25-29, 30-34, ≥35 years), the season of conception (spring, summer, autumn, winter), and potential confounding factors related to diabetes and/or GDM \((28,29)\), as follows: parity (0, ≥1, missing), smoking habits (yes, no, missing), alcohol drinking (yes, no, missing), prepregnancy body mass index (BMI) (<18.5, 18.5-24.9, ≥25 kg/m\(^2\), missing), past history of GDM (yes, no), and infertility treatment (no, ovarian stimulation/artificial insemination of sperm from husband, assisted reproductive technology). Infertility treatment is treated as a variable related to polycystic ovary syndrome, which is a suspected risk factor for GDM \((30)\). To evaluate which exposure period showed a clear association with GDM, we simultaneously included three exposure periods in the model (multiexposure period model). Moreover, we performed several sensitivity analyses to check whether the observed association was robust. First, we restricted the analysis to nonsmokers during pregnancy, nonalcohol drinkers during pregnancy, women with prepregnancy BMI <25 kg/m\(^2\), and women with spontaneous pregnancy, to minimize residual confounding. Second, we adjusted for ozone concentrations and ambient temperature \((30)\) (a 5-knot natural cubic spline) over the same period as the \(PM_{2.5}\) exposure. Finally, we restricted the analysis to women who delivered in hospitals within 10 km from the monitoring sites to minimize exposure misclassification.

This study focused on exposure over the first trimester. Therefore, we explored whether exposure to \(PM_{2.5}\) components over the first trimester was associated with GDM in the single-component model (target component only). After constructing a multiexposure period model, we further adjusted for total \(PM_{2.5}\) concentration over the first trimester. For sensitivity analysis, we created a multicomponent model that included other components, one by one. In addition, we examined the association between ozone exposure and GDM in the single-exposure and multiexposure period models, and in the total \(PM_{2.5}\) and ambient temperature-adjusted model. All analyses were conducted using the Stata version 16 for Windows (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

**Results**

The characteristics of the 82,773 women (mean age at delivery = 33.7 years), of whom 3,953 (4.8%) were diagnosed with GDM, are shown in Table 1. The summary statistics of pollu-
tant exposure are presented in Table 2. The median concentration of total PM$_{2.5}$ over the first trimester was 16.1 μg/m$^3$ (IQR = 3.63 μg/m$^3$). Five components, namely, OC, EC, nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium, contributed to approximately 60% of the total PM$_{2.5}$. Table S1 provides the Pearson’s correlation coefficients of the concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and its components over the different exposure periods (the 3 months before pregnancy, and the first and second trimesters).

The association between exposure to total PM$_{2.5}$ and GDM is shown in Table 3. In the single-exposure period model, exposure over both the first and second trimesters was associated with GDM. However, when we applied the multiexposure period model (which simultaneously included exposure over the 3 months before pregnancy and the first and second trimesters), an association was observed only between exposure over the first trimester and GDM. The ORs per IQR increase in the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration were 1.09 (95% CI = 1.02-1.16) for the first trimester, 0.98 (0.93-1.04) for the 3 months before pregnancy, and 1.04 (0.98-1.11) for the second trimester. For the association between exposure over the first trimester and GDM, sensitivity analyses revealed that the point estimates of ORs were comparable with the main result in the multiexposure period model.

The association between exposure to a single PM$_{2.5}$ component over the first trimester and GDM is shown in Table 4. In the multiexposure period model, the OR per IQR increase in the OC concentration (0.51 μg/m$^3$) was 1.10 (95% CI = 1.00-1.21). Even after adjustment for exposure to total PM$_{2.5}$ over the first trimester, this positive association persisted (OR = 1.10, 1.00-1.21). Although there was a dispersion of the point estimates of the association between OC exposure and GDM in the sensitivity analyses, the tendency of OC exposure to increase the odds of GDM did not change (Figure 1).

Exposure to ozone over the first and second trimesters was associated with GDM (Table S2). However, when we adjusted for the total PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and ambient temperature over the same period, the lower values of the 95% CI were below unity (OR per IQR (13.40 ppb) increase = 1.11, 95% CI = 0.85-1.45 for the first trimester; OR = 1.17, 0.91-1.51 for the second trimester).

Table 1. Characteristics of 82,773 Women Who Delivered at the Cooperating Hospitals in the 23 Tokyo Wards in 2013-2015.

| Variables                                      | n*  | %   |
|------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Maternal age at delivery (years)               |     |     |
| <25                                            | 3,205 | 3.9 |
| 25-29                                          | 13,420 | 16.2|
| 30-34                                          | 28,359 | 34.3|
| ≥35                                            | 37,789 | 45.6|
| Parity                                         |     |     |
| 0                                              | 49,978 | 60.4|
| ≥1                                             | 32,778 | 39.6|
| Smoking during pregnancy                       |     |     |
| No                                             | 65,218 | 96.4|
| Yes                                            | 2,416  | 3.6 |
| Alcohol drinking during pregnancy              |     |     |
| No                                             | 57,553 | 96.0|
| Yes                                            | 2,395  | 4.0 |
| Prepregnancy body mass index (kg/m$^2$)        |     |     |
| <18.5                                          | 13,772 | 19.6|
| 18.5-24.9                                      | 51,449 | 73.2|
| ≥25.0                                          | 5,066  | 7.2 |
| Infertility treatment                          |     |     |
| No                                             | 69,624 | 84.1|
| Ovarian stimulation/artificial insemination by sperm from husband | 5,276 | 6.4 |
| Assisted reproductive technology                | 7,873 | 9.5 |
| Past history of gestational diabetes mellitus   |     |     |
| No                                             | 82,712 | 99.9|
| Yes                                            | 61     | 0.1 |
| Season of conception                           |     |     |
| Spring (March-May)                             | 19,969 | 24.1|
| Summer (June-August)                           | 20,285 | 24.5|
| Autumn (September-November)                    | 21,443 | 25.9|
| Winter (December-February)                     | 21,076 | 25.5|

*Numbers in subgroups do not equal the overall number because of missing data.
Among pregnant Japanese women, exposure to total PM$_{2.5}$ over the first trimester was positively associated with GDM. Our analyses of PM$_{2.5}$ components showed that OC exposure was consistently associated with elevated ORs for GDM. We found that an increase in the total PM$_{2.5}$ concentration over the first, but not the second, trimester was associated with an increased occurrence of GDM. Some studies had observed the association with first trimester exposure. A register-based study conducted in Florida between 2004 and 2005 reported that exposure to total PM$_{2.5}$ during the first trimester (mean: 9.7 μg/m$^3$) was positively associated with GDM (30). A birth cohort conducted in Wuhan between 2013 and 2015 showed that the OR of GDM per 10 μg/m$^3$ increase in total PM$_{2.5}$ exposure during the first trimester (mean: 103.1 μg/m$^3$) was 1.07 (95% CI = 1.02-1.14) (33). It is understandable that exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ during early pregnancy increases the occurrence of GDM. GDM likely adversely affects placental development, which starts in the first trimester (34, 35). Abnormal placentaation seems to be involved in the occurrence of preeclampsia and GDM is a suspected risk factor for preeclampsia (11, 36). Placental vascular indices assessed by ultrasound imaging during the first trimester were lower in women with GDM than in those without GDM (37). Our previous findings suggested that PM$_{2.5}$ exposure affected maternal and fetal health from early pregnancy (38). However, our findings in the multieposure period model were inconsistent with those of some previous epidemiological studies in terms of the susceptible period for PM$_{2.5}$ exposure associated with GDM. One study reported that exposure in all the periods examined (preconception, and the first and second trimesters) was associated with GDM (6). Another study reported an association between preconception PM$_{2.5}$ exposure and GDM (39). Other studies reported that exposure during the second trimester was associated with a higher occurrence of GDM (40, 41). The pathway linking PM$_{2.5}$ exposure with GDM may not necessarily involve the placenta.

We found that the adverse effects of total PM$_{2.5}$ exposure in terms of GDM development were partly explained by OC. This finding was consistent with the results of a study conducted in the Pearl River Delta region of China, which collected the birth records of 1,148 pregnant women between 2015 and 2016, and reported that exposure to OC over the first trimester, as analyzed by filter samples, elevated GDM occur-

### Table 2. Summary Statistics of Pollutant Exposures in the 23 Tokyo Wards.

|                      | Exposure over the first trimester (0-13 weeks of gestation) | Exposure over the 3 months before pregnancy | Exposure over the second trimester (14-27 weeks of gestation) |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      | No. of women Mean (SD) Percentile 25 50 75 Mean (SD)       | Mean (SD)                                   | Mean (SD)                                   |
| Total PM$_{2.5}$ (μg/m$^3$) | 82,773 16.8 (2.6) 14.9 16.1 18.5 16.7 (2.8) 16.8 (2.6) |                                             |                                             |
| PM$_{2.5}$ components (μg/m$^3$)
| Total carbon         | 67,136 4.0 (0.5) 3.7 4.1 4.3 3.9 (0.6) 3.9 (0.6) |                                             |                                             |
| OC                   | 67,136 2.7 (0.4) 2.4 2.7 2.9 2.6 (0.5) 2.6 (0.4) |                                             |                                             |
| EC                   | 67,136 1.3 (0.2) 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.3 (0.2) 1.3 (0.2) |                                             |                                             |
| Nitrate              | 67,136 1.4 (0.8) 0.6 1.3 2.1 1.2 (0.8) 1.3 (0.8) |                                             |                                             |
| Sulfate              | 67,136 2.8 (1.0) 1.9 2.8 3.6 2.8 (1.1) 2.9 (1.0) |                                             |                                             |
| Ammonium             | 67,136 1.5 (0.3) 1.2 1.5 1.8 1.4 (0.3) 1.5 (0.3) |                                             |                                             |
| Chloride             | 67,136 0.20 (0.13) 0.08 0.15 0.34 0.19 (0.15) 0.19 (0.13) |                                             |                                             |
| Sodium               | 67,136 0.15 (0.03) 0.12 0.15 0.17 0.15 (0.03) 0.15 (0.03) |                                             |                                             |
| Potassium            | 67,136 0.07 (0.01) 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.07 (0.02) 0.07 (0.01) |                                             |                                             |
| Calcium              | 67,136 0.07 (0.02) 0.06 0.07 0.09 0.07 (0.02) 0.07 (0.02) |                                             |                                             |
| Ozone (ppb)          | 82,773 35.9 (7.4) 29.3 35.0 42.7 35.7 (7.5) 36.7 (7.6) |                                             |                                             |
| Ambient temperature (°C) | 82,773 16.5 (7.0) 9.7 16.7 23.3 16.9 (7.2) 16.7 (6.9) |                                             |                                             |

EC, elemental carbon; IQR, interquartile range; OC, organic carbon; SD, standard deviation.

*The PM$_{2.5}$ chemical component measurements only began on 1 April 2013 (3 months after the beginning of the study); therefore, we only assigned these measurements to the 67,136 women whose first trimester fell within this later period.

### Discussion

Among pregnant Japanese women, exposure to total PM$_{2.5}$ over the first trimester was positively associated with GDM. Our analyses of PM$_{2.5}$ components showed that OC exposure was consistently associated with elevated ORs for GDM.
OC is one of the main components of PM$_{2.5}$ and is derived from combustion, particularly from residential sources (41). Although the biological mechanisms underlying the association between OC exposure and GDM are unclear, oxidative stress and inflammation induced by OC exposure may contribute to GDM development (42), (43), (44). Epidemiological studies reported that a systemic inflammatory marker, C-reactive protein, was associated with an increase in glucose intolerance and insulin resistance (45), (46). In experimental studies using mice, oxidative stress and/or inflammation appeared to increase vascular insulin resistance and/or impair hepatic glucose metabolism (47), (48). EC (or black carbon), another carbon component, likely leads to the inflammatory response (42), (43), (44). However, EC was not associated with GDM in the present study. Some studies reported a positive association between EC exposure over the first trimester and GDM (7), (10), but other studies did not (5), (8), (49).

In this study, ozone exposure over any period was not associated with GDM after adjustment for the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and temperature. Some studies reported that the ORs of GDM decreased with an increase in ozone concentration before and during pregnancy (5), (50), (51). One study conducted in the United States and two studies conducted in China reported a positive association between ozone exposure during the first and second trimesters and GDM (32), (52), (53). However, they did not construct a multi-trimester model including both PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and temperature which were associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes (54). Ozone concentrations differ among studies and the association between ozone exposure and GDM merits further investigation.

The limitations of this study should be acknowledged. Firstly, our exposure assessment relied on measurements from a fixed monitoring site with the assumption that air pollutant concentrations were spatially homogenous within the 23 Tokyo wards studied. Although this assumption seems reasonable for total PM$_{2.5}$ and ozone, it is not always true for all PM$_{2.5}$ components. However, we performed a sensitivity analysis based on the distance of the delivery hospital from the monitoring sites and confirmed the reliability of the positive association between OC exposure and GDM. Secondly, exposure misclassification due to lack of personal exposure measurements was possible. Nevertheless, such misclassification was unlikely to be associated with GDM and, therefore, would tend to result in the underestimation of the association between pollutants exposure and GDM. Thirdly, there was no information on pregestational diabetes in the Perinatal Registr-
try Network database. Although we excluded women with overt diabetes in pregnancy including some cases of pregestational diabetes, the non-GDM population in this study might include women with pregestational diabetes. Finally, because the university and local general hospitals cooperated in registering their birth records in the database, the frequency of GDM tended to be higher in this population (4.8%) than in the general population (2.1% in the Japanese nationwide birth cohort study, which recruited more than 100,000 general pregnant women between 2011 and 2014 [55]). Our target population likely consisted of a vulnerable population that was sensitive to exposure to PM$_{2.5}$. We might have overestimated the odds of development of GDM in relation to PM$_{2.5}$ exposure, although this does not repudiate the association between

### Table 4. Association between Exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ Components over the First Trimester (0-13 Weeks of Gestation) and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in the Single-component Model.

| Model                      | OR per IQR increase (95% CI)* |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Total carbon               |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.09 (1.04-1.14)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 1.07 (0.98-1.16)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.06 (0.97-1.16)               |
| OC                         |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.10 (1.04-1.16)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 1.10 (1.00-1.21)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.10 (1.00-1.21)               |
| EC                         |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.06 (1.00-1.12)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 1.04 (0.96-1.12)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.03 (0.94-1.12)               |
| Nitrate                    |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.16 (1.05-1.28)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 1.06 (0.92-1.23)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.03 (0.88-1.21)               |
| Sulfate                    |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.01 (0.91-1.12)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 0.97 (0.87-1.09)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 0.93 (0.78-1.10)               |
| Ammonium                   |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.15 (1.04-1.28)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 1.02 (0.87-1.19)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.10 (0.88-1.38)               |
| Chloride                   |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.20 (1.07-1.34)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 1.12 (0.98-1.28)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.19 (0.99-1.43)               |
| Sodium                     |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 0.99 (0.89-1.10)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 0.97 (0.84-1.13)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 0.99 (0.83-1.15)               |
| Potassium                  |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.05 (0.99-1.10)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 1.03 (0.96-1.09)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.02 (0.96-1.09)               |
| Calcium                    |                                |
| Single-exposure period     | 1.03 (0.96-1.10)               |
| Multieposure period**      | 1.01 (0.93-1.10)               |
| Additionally adjusted for total PM$_{2.5}$ | 0.99 (0.90-1.09)               |

CI, confidence interval; EC, elemental carbon; IQR, interquartile range; OC, organic carbon; OR, odds ratio.

*Adjusted for maternal age, season of conception, parity, smoking, alcohol intake, prepregnancy body mass index, infertility treatment, and past history of gestational diabetes. IQRs were 0.62 μg/m$^3$ for total carbon, 0.51 μg/m$^3$ for OC, 0.22 μg/m$^3$ for EC, 1.48 μg/m$^3$ for nitrate, 1.77 μg/m$^3$ for sulfate, 0.57 μg/m$^3$ for ammonium, 0.26 μg/m$^3$ for chloride, 0.06 μg/m$^3$ for sodium, 0.02 μg/m$^3$ for potassium, and 0.03 μg/m$^3$ for calcium.

**We simultaneously included three exposures in the model.
PM$_{2.5}$ exposure and GDM. Despite these limitations, this study reports the association between maternal exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and GDM in Japan for the first time. Additionally, we used continuous filter-based measurements of PM$_{2.5}$ components in a megacity, Tokyo, which allowed us to analyze a relatively large sample size.

In conclusion, we found an association between exposure to total PM$_{2.5}$ and one of its components, OC, and GDM. Our findings suggest that PM$_{2.5}$ exposure over the first trimester is linked with GDM.

**Article Information**

This article is based on the study, which received the Medical Research Encouragement Prize of The Japan Medical Association in 2021.

**Conflicts of Interest**

None

**Sources of Funding**

This work was supported by the Medical Research Encouragement Prize of The Japan Medical Association in 2021, and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI grant number 18H03388 and 21H03615. The funding sources had no role in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data or in the decision to submit the article for publication.
Acknowledgement
The authors thank Ms. Masami Matsushita and Ms. Emi Yamazaki (Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, School of Medicine, Toho University) for their research assistance. The PM$_{2.5}$ components data were provided by Type II joint research between the National Institute for Environmental Studies and the local environmental research institutes in Japan.

Author Contributions
T.M. had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. T.M. and S.M. participated in the study conception and design. S.M., K.N., and K.K. participated in the outcome data collection/management. A.Y., S. Sugata, and A.T. participated in the exposure data collection/management. S. Saito and J.H. collected the results. T.M. drafted the manuscript. All the authors participated in the critical revision of the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Approval by Institutional Review Board (IRB)
The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Toho University [A20024_A18049].

Data Availability
Data used in this study were obtained from the Japan Perinatal Registry Network database, which is managed by the Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology. This society allows members to use the data for scientific research but does not allow anyone to share original data publicly.

References
1. World Health Organization. WHO global air quality guidelines 2021. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 23]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345329.
2. Yang BY, Fan S, Thiering E, et al. Ambient air pollution and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Res. 2020;180:108817.
3. Zhang H, Wang Q, He S, et al. Ambient air pollution and gestational diabetes mellitus: a review of evidence from biological mechanisms to population epidemiology. Sci Total Environ. 2020;719:137349.
4. Tang X, Zhou JB, Luo F, et al. Air pollution and gestational diabetes mellitus: evidence from cohort studies. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care. 2020;8(1):e000937.
5. Robledo CA, Mendola P, Yeung E, et al. Preconception and early pregnancy air pollution exposures and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Environ Res. 2015;137:316-22.
6. Rammah A, Whitworth KW, Symanski E. Particle air pollution and gestational diabetes mellitus in Houston, Texas. Environ Res. 2020;190:109988.
7. Yu G, Ao J, Cai J, et al. Fine particulate matter and its constituents in air pollution and gestational diabetes mellitus. Environ Int. 2020;142:105880.
8. Zheng Y, Wen X, Bian J, et al. Associations between the chemical composition of PM$_{2.5}$ and gestational diabetes mellitus. Environ Res. 2021;198:110470.
9. Sun Y, Li X, Benmarhnia T, et al. Exposure to air pollutant mixture and gestational diabetes mellitus in Southern California: results from electronic health record data of a large pregnancy cohort. Environ Int. 2021;158:106888.
10. Mai D, Xu C, Lin W, et al. Association of abnormal-glucose tolerance during pregnancy with exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ components and sources. Environ Pollut. 2022;292(Pt B):118468.
11. Yang Y, Wu N. Gestational diabetes mellitus and preeclampsia: correlation and influencing factors. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022;9:831297.
12. Lokeswara AW, Hiksa R, Irwinda R, et al. Preeclampsia: from cellular wellness to inappropriate cell death, and the roles of nutrition. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:726513.
13. Han CS, Herrin MA, Pitruzzello MC, et al. Glucose and metformin modulate human first trimester trophoblast function: a model and potential therapy for diabetes-associated uteroplacental insufficiency. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2015;73(4):362-71.
14. Statistics Bureau, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, Japan. Population Census 2015. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 23]. Available from: http://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/kokusai/index.htm.
15. Tokyo Metropolitan Government. Statistics of Tokyo. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 23]. Available from: https://www.toukei.metro.tokyo.lg.jp/tnenkan/tn-eindex.htm.
16. Ministry of the Environment, Japan. Environmental Quality Standards in Japan - Air Quality. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 23]. Available from: https://www.env.go.jp/en/air/aq/aq.html.
17. Matsuda Y, Hayashi K, Shiozaki A, et al. Comparison of risk factors for placental abruptio and placenta previa: case-cohort study. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2011;37(6):538-46.
18. Michikawa T, Morokuma S, Yamazaki S, et al. Exposure to chemical components of fine particulate matter and ozone, and placenta-mediated pregnancy complications in Tokyo: a register-based study. J Exp Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2022;32(1):134-45.
19. Saito S, Hoshi J, Iemoto F, et al. Comparison of water-soluble organic carbon in PM$_{2.5}$ obtained by filter analysis and continuous dichotomous aerosol chemical speciation. J Jpn Soc Atmos Environ. 2020;55(3):150-8. Japanese.
20. Yoshino A, Nakashima Y, Miyazaki K, et al. Air quality diagnosis from comprehensive observations of total OH reactivity and reactive trace species in urban central Tokyo. Atmos Environ. 2012;49:51-9.
21. US Environment Protection Agency. Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for particulate matter 2019. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 23]. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/isa/
integrated-science-assessment-isa-particulate-matter.
22. Ministry of the Environment, Japan. Measurement manual of fine particulate matter in ambient atmosphere. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 23]. Available from: http://www.env.go.jp/air/osen/pm/ca/manual.html.
23. Wongphatarakul V, Friedlander SK, Pinto JP. A comparative study of PM$_{2.5}$ ambient aerosol chemical databases. Environ Sci Technol. 1998;32(24):3926-34.
24. Cheng X, Ji X, Yang D, et al. Associations of PM$_{1}$ exposure with blood glucose impairment in early pregnancy and gestational diabetes mellitus. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2022;232:113278.
25. Minakami H, Hiramatsu Y, Koresawa M, et al. Guidelines for obstetrical practice in Japan: Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology (JSOG) and Japan Association of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (JAOG) 2011 edition. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2011;37(9):1174-97.
26. Hiramatsu Y, Haneda M, Yasuhi I, et al. Joint Committee of the Japan Diabetes and Pregnancy Society and the Japan Diabetes Society, Hyperglycaemic disorders in pregnancy and unification of diagnostic criteria. Tonyoobyo. 2015;58(10):801-3. Japanese.
27. Michikawa T, Morokuma S, Nitta H, et al. Comparison between air pollution concentrations measured at the nearest monitoring station to the delivery hospital and those measured at stations nearest the residential postal code regions of pregnant women in Fukuoka. Environ Health Prev Med. 2017;22(1):55.
28. Uusitupa M, Khan TA, Viguilloue E, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle changes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2019;11(11):2611.
29. Donazar-Ezcurra M, Lopez-Del Burgo C, Bes-Rastrollo M. Primary prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus through nutritional factors: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017;17(1):30.
30. Mills G, Badeghiesh A, Suarthana E, et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome as an independent risk factor for gestational diabetes and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: a population-based study on 9.1 million pregnancies. Human Reprod. 2020;35(7):1666-74.
31. Preston EV, Eberle C, Brown FM, et al. Climate factors and gestational diabetes mellitus risk - a systematic review. Environ Health. 2020;19(1):112.
32. Hu H, Ha S, Henderson BH, et al. Association of atmospheric particulate matter and ozone with gestational diabetes mellitus. Environ Health Perspect. 2015;123(9):853-9.
33. Kang J, Liao J, Xu S, et al. Associations of exposure to fine particulate matter during pregnancy with maternal blood glucose levels and gestational diabetes mellitus: potential effect modification by ABO blood group. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2020;198:110673.
34. Ehlers E, Talton OO, Schust DJ, et al. Placental structural abnormalities in gestational diabetes and when they develop: a scoping review. Placenta. 2021;116:58-66.
35. Caniggia I, Winter J, Lye SJ, Et Al. Oxygen and placental development during the first trimester: implications for the pathophysiology of pre-eclampsia. Placenta. 2020;21 Suppl A:S25-30.
36. Billionnet C, Mitanchez D, Welll A, et al. Gestational diabetes and adverse perinatal outcomes from 716,152 births in France in 2012. Diabetologia. 2017;60(4):636-44.
37. Wong C, Chen C, Sun F, et al. Comparison of placental three-dimensional power Doppler indices and volume in the first and the second trimesters of pregnancy complicated by gestational diabetes mellitus. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2019;32(22):3784-91.
38. Michikawa T, Morokuma S, Takeda Y, et al. Maternal exposure to fine particulate matter over the first trimester and umbilical cord insertion abnormalities. Int J Epidemiol. 2022;51(1):191-201.
39. Ho H, Eckel SP, Chen JC, et al. Associations of gestational diabetes mellitus with residential air pollution exposure in a large Southern California pregnancy cohort. Environ Int. 2019;130:104933.
40. Choe SA, Eliot MN, Savitz DA, et al. Ambient air pollution during pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes in New York City. Environ Res. 2019;175:414-20.
41. Meng J, Martin RV, Li C, et al. Source contributions to ambient fine particulate matter for Canada. Environ Sci Technol. 2019;53(17):10269-78.
42. Delfino RJ, Stainer N, Tjoa T, et al. Airway inflammation and oxidative potential of air pollutant particles in a pediatric asthma panel. J Exp Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2013;23(5):466-73.
43. Chen XC, Chuang HC, Ward TJ, et al. Indoor, outdoor, and personal exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and their bioactivity among healthy residents of Hong Kong. Environ Res. 2020;188:109780.
44. Pei L, Zhao M, Xu J, et al. Associations of ambient fine particulate matter and its constituents with serum complement C3 in a panel study of older adults in China. Environ Pollut. 2019;252(Pt B):1019-25.
45. Lowe LP, Metzger BE, Lowe Jr WL, et al. Inflammatory mediators and glucose in pregnancy: results from a subset of the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95(12):5427-34.
46. Herder C, Faerch K, Carstensen-Kirberg M, et al. Biomarkers of subclinical inflammation and increases in glycaemia, insulin resistance and beta-cell function in non-diabetic individuals: the Whitehall II study. Eur J Endocrinol. 2016;175(5):367-77.
47. Haberzettl P, O’Toole TE, Bhatnagar A, et al. Exposure to fine particulate air pollution causes vascular insulin resistance by inducing pulmonary oxidative stress. Environ Health Perspect. 2016;124(12):1830-9.
48. Zheng Z, Xu X, Zhang X, et al. Exposure to ambient particulate matter induces a NASI-like phenotype and impairs hepatic glucose metabolism in an animal model. J Hepatol. 2013;58(1):148-54.
49. Choe SA, Kauderer S, Eliot MN, et al. Air pollution, land use, and complications of pregnancy. Sci Total Environ.
50. Pan SC, Huang CC, Lin SJ, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus was related to ambient air pollutant nitric oxide during early gestation. Environ Res. 2017;158:318-23.
51. Hehua Z, Yang X, Qing C, et al. Dietary patterns and associations between air pollution and gestational diabetes mellitus. Environ Int. 2021;147:106347-0.
52. Liu WY, Lu JH, He JR, et al. Combined effects of air pollutants on gestational diabetes mellitus: a prospective cohort study. Environ Res. 2022;204(Pt D):112393.
53. Yao M, Liu Y, Jin D, et al. Relationship between temporal distribution of air pollution exposure and glucose homeostasis during pregnancy. Environ Res. 2020;185:109456.
54. Bekkar B, Pacheco S, Basu R, et al. Association of air pollution and heat exposure with preterm birth, low birth weight, and stillbirth in the US: a systematic review. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(6):e208243.
55. Tatsuta N, Iwai-Shimada M, Nakayama SF, et al. Association between whole blood metallic elements concentrations and gestational diabetes mellitus in Japanese women: the Japan environment and children’s study. Environ Res. 2022;212(Pt B):113231.

Supplement

Supplementary File