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Abstract

In this study, we shed light on the interdependency of child growth, morbidity and life expectancy in the fisher-hunter-gatherers of the Jabuticabeira II shell mound (1214–830 cal B.C. E. - 118–413 cal C.E) located at the South Coast of Brazil. We test the underlying causes of heterogeneity in frailty and selective mortality in a population that inhabits a plentiful environment in sedentary settlements. We reconstruct osteobiographies of 41 individuals (23 adults and 18 subadults) using 8 variables, including age-at-death, stature, non-specific stress markers (cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, periosteal reactions, periapical lesions and linear enamel hypoplasia), as well as weaning patterns based on stable isotope data to examine how stress factors module growth and survival. Our results show that shorter adult statures were linked to higher morbidity around weaning age and higher chances of dying earlier (before 35 years) than taller adult statures. In addition, short juvenile stature was related to physiological stressors and mortality. The adult “survivors” experienced recurrent periods of morbidity during childhood and adulthood, possibly associated with the high parasite load of the ecosystem and dense settlement rather than to malnourishment. An association between early-stress exposure and premature death was not demonstrated in our sample. To explain our data, we propose a new model called “intermittent stress of low lethality”. According to this model, individuals are exposed to recurrent stress during the juvenile and adult stages of life, and, nevertheless survive until reproductive age or later with relative success.
Introduction

The examination of child growth, health, nutritional status, and life expectancy of ancient populations is among the main concerns of bioarchaeology. The study of juveniles in different contexts of population growth and subsistence is of first importance to assess the balance between nutrition, disease, and death, because population growth theoretically depends, among other factors, on low infant-mortality and long enough survival until reproductive age [1,2]. Therefore, studying juveniles is also useful to test how morbidity in early life may impact frailty and survival in later life [3,4]. This applies especially to nutritional and demographic transitions. Sedentism and the adoption of agriculture, for example, lead to a decrease in life expectancy and poor health conditions through population growth and malnutrition [5,6].

Bioarchaeological inferences about health in the past are modulated by the concepts of “heterogeneity in frailty” and “selective mortality”, under the interpretative limits imposed by the “Osteological Paradox” [7]. A key question to understand these concepts is: does a skeleton without detectable bone lesions represent a healthy person or a fragile individual who perished at a first exposure to disease? “Heterogeneity in frailty” suggests that variable susceptibility to disease from individuals do not allow reliable inferences about the populations’ health, since the factors involved (i.e. genetic, socioeconomic, environmental, chronologic, etc.) are not easily identifiable. “Selective mortality” means that skeletal lesions may not accurately reflect a living population at risk of death at any age. This is because deceased individuals integrate the age structure of the sample according to different morbidity experiences, frailty and death reasons [7–9].

Documenting the heterogeneity in frailty and selective mortality is critical to discussions about health in the past. Recent reviews have highlighted the importance of analyzing data within its historical/archeological context in order to examine causes, effects and correlations between heterogeneity in frailty and selective mortality [9]. In recent years, bioarcheological researches introduced new approaches to assess the relation between early-stress, later morbidity and mortality [10–14]. Focusing on the study of health conditions in past foraging societies, two hypotheses were tested: the “plasticity/constrain” model and the “predictive adaptive response” model. The first predicts that people trade-off in future growth and maintenance when early investment in growth and survival is required, thus, individuals with more stress episodes during childhood are more prone to higher morbidity and early mortality in adulthood [4,15,16]. The second suggests that processes by which organisms adapt to their environment can operate during early development and induce adjustments, by epigenetic mechanisms, in the mature phenotype. Individuals who experienced early-life stressors can improve their physiological competence to deal with future stress events [4,17].

The prehistoric shell mound builders from the Brazilian South Coast provide an unparalleled opportunity to test these models and discuss differences in the underlying causes of heterogeneity in frailty and selective mortality between fisher-hunter-gatherers around the world. The current archaeological evidence suggests that the groups who built the Brazilian shell mounds (sambaqui) lived for thousands of years in sedentary or semi-sedentary settlements located in plentiful environments (lagoons, mangroves or estuaries), with an abundant and stable marine-based subsistence, and experienced high population growth [18]. However, despite this “idyllic” context of affluence, sambaqui populations have shown high frequencies of non-specific stress markers [19,20] that suggest other biocultural factors involved.

Jabuticabeira II: Lifestyle, diet and health

Jabuticabeira II (UTM 22J - 0699479E; 6835488S) is located in the Laguna region, the highest density area of sambaquis from the Brazilian Southern coast (Fig 1; [21]). Jabuticabeira II is a
medium size shell mound (400 x 250 x 10 m in height), settled on a paleodune, 3 km from
Laguna do Camacho, one of several water sources associated with a barrier-lagoon geological
system formed during the Holocene [22,23]. The predominant ecosystems in the region are
restingas and dense ombrophilous forests rich in fauna, and subtropical weather [24]. Radiocarbon
dating indicates a long occupation period between 1214–830 cal B.C.E. and 118–413
cal C.E. [21:35; 25:260, 296].

Jabuticabeira II is one of 65 sambaquis mapped (located at equidistance of about 5 km,
some of them higher than Jabuticabeira II) around the lagoon system. This large number of
settlements and their overlapping occupation history attests to a fairly dense occupation
between 7500 and 900 cal BP, with groups of sambaquis interacting intensely in this stable and
plentiful area [26].

The analysis of faunal macroremains and stable isotopes in the site demonstrates higher
reliance on fishing than mollusks gathering, while some females exhibit diets of lower trophic
level than most adults [25,27]. Osteological activity markers also point to fishing as the most
remarkable feature of the Jabuticabeira lifestyle with some sex-related differences [28,29].
Anthracological analyses, microremains in dental calculus and oral pathology studies support
the idea of regular plant consumption at the site [30–32]. Nevertheless, its impact on nutrition
and health is still to be unraveled.

Stratigraphic studies suggest that Jabuticabeira II was formed by the incremental accumula-
tion of funerary areas [21]. A total of 204 burials and 282 individuals were excavated from dif-
ferent areas at the site. Between 2000- and 1500-years BP, several sambaquis of the Laguna

Fig 1. Setting of Jabuticabeira II. The star represents Jabuticabeira II, the triangles represent other sambaquis mapped for the Laguna Region (modified from Boyadjian et al. [31:137]).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229684.g001
region, including Jabuticabeira II, experienced a change in their depositional pattern. The predominant deposition of shells was replaced by the accumulation of a black superficial layer (0.50–1.50 m of thickness), composed mainly of intentionally burned fish bones and charcoal [33]. This depositional change (from shell-rich layers to fish-rich-layers) was not related to variations in diet, technology or constructive patterns [21,26,33]. Biodistance studies also did not detect biological differences among groups [34].

Our former bioarchaeological study on sambaqui dwellers at the Brazilian coast show that Jabuticabeira II people had shorter stature than several Amerindian groups [35], as well as high prevalence of bone lesions associated with non-specific physiological stress and infectious processes. These conditions were attributed to sedentary-dense settlements, as well as ecological factors [19–36].

**Osteobiographic approach and life-history**

In theory, an osteobiographic approach, a context-driven analysis of human remains of given individuals [37–39], can reveal life course processes of exposure to situations of homeostatic imbalance or stress and their impact. Integrated analyses of non-specific physiological stress markers, stature and weaning behaviors, allow the assessment of past health conditions to test hypotheses about morbidity, frailty and increased risk of mortality in ancient populations [4,13,40].

The study of growth can be particularly informative about adaptive responses of the body to the environment. The expression of stature is modulated by a complex interaction of genetic, socioeconomic and cultural factors [6,41,42]. According to available genomic data and several studies in twins from affluent societies, the expression of stature is highly controlled by genes. The estimated heritability ranges between 0.68 and 0.93 (with higher values in men, and lower values in poor populations: [43–45]). Apart from genetic factors, several environmental conditions can modulate height expression. The influence of those conditions on heritability rates is highest in early childhood, decreasing during adolescence and early adulthood [46].

The relevance of nutrition for linear growth has been widely recognized, and the mean height of a population can be considered a proxy for its nutritional condition [47–49]. Cumulative evidence suggests that patterns of development (in height and weight) of well-nourished and healthy children are similar during the first five years of life regardless of ethnic origin [50]. Irreversible retardation of child growth is associated with chronic malnutrition and recurrent infectious diseases, such as diarrhea, through negative effects on the metabolism, especially in contexts of population agglomeration, lack of resources and social stratification [40,51–54].

In contemporary populations of developing countries, subject to nutritional (mostly protein) deficiencies, mean height in children and adults is substantially lower than in developed countries [49,55,56]. Additionally, it is widely believed that the duration and intensity of factors that produce physiological stress may also have direct and indirect consequences on individual development and premature death [49,57,58]. In bioarchaeology, numerous authors have shown that short height-for-age (stunting) is a signal of poor health, being commonly associated with increased risk of morbidity and early mortality [4,13,40,42]. Therefore, a relative short adult stature is almost always associated with stress factors during the growth period (including the intrauterine period) and should undergo a detailed contextual analysis [10,12,59].

In this sense, weaning habits and juvenile diets influence morbidity and mortality, especially at the more vulnerable ages during childhood. Adequate breastfeeding and weaning
patterns are positively related with child health, growth and increasing chances of survival [60], whereas poor breastfeeding habits result in arrested growth, fragile health and increased infant mortality [61,62]. The early cessation of breastfeeding and the introduction of supplementary diet or adult diet, eventually in association with pathogens, makes weaning age an especially vulnerable period [53,63].

Stature is an informative marker of environmental insults experienced during the earlier phases of growth (in terms of occurrence, duration and timing) and can be contrasted to other markers to uncover whether individual growth (normal or delayed) is related to an individual’s exposure to situations of non-specific physiological stress. Physiological stress markers currently used in bioarchaeology such as enamel hypoplasia, cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, and periosteal reactions provide an overview of health disorders at certain ages [14,64]. Below we shortly describe these osteological markers.

Linear enamel hypoplasias (LEH) are horizontal defects located on the labial and buccal surface of the dental crown. Although other kinds of hypoplastic defects can occur (pits, vertical grooves, areas of missing enamel), LEH are the most common [54,65]. LEH is associated with disturbances in the perikymata. Perikymata are incremental layers of enamel (visible as “concentric ripples” on the tooth crown surface and extend horizontally around the circumference of the crown), the outer expression of striae of Retzius, underlying incremental growth layers. LEH result from accentuated perikymata during the enamel formation process followed by recovery periods [65]. The average secretion rate or periodicity of perikymata and striae of Retzius is 8–9 days. Therefore, the analysis of LEH allows evaluating the timing, duration and severity of short-term non-fatal stress events [66–69].

LEH have been used to infer metabolic insults related to multiple non-specific factors, including systemic infectious diseases and nutritional stress during childhood [10,13,70–72]. Although caries and dental attrition can destroy crowns affected by LEH, the enamel defects acquired during development periods do not remodel. Thus, LEH recorded in adults provide a record of metabolic stress during juvenile periods [65,69,70]. Such information can be contrasted to mortality profiles to test if exposure to child stress has a negative impact on survival rates, as well as other issues concerning heterogeneity in frailty and selective mortality [10].

Cribra orbitalia (CO) and porotic hyperostosis (PH) appear as increasing porosity in the orbital roof and the cranial vault, respectively. They are thought to be the effect of bone marrow expansions linked to the compensatory production of blood cells in response to increased physiological demand and have been mostly associated with non-specific anemia [64,73,74]. These two entities are not necessarily related, and a variety of newer studies indicate that they may have different etiologies such as local inflammation, scurvy, rickets [74] or different types of anemia [75]. These porosities are more common in coastal settings, possibly due to limited access to parasite–free water and frequent contact with chronic parasitic diseases associated with population growth, dense settlements and poor sanitation [54].

Periosteal Reactions (PR) are new bone formations associated with augmented activity of osteoblasts and osteoclasts in response to several stimuli over the periosteum. Their etiologies include trauma, local or systemic inflammation or infection, nutritional deficiencies [64,76–79], neoplasia and metabolic diseases [80]. Bone markers of specific infection include osteomyelitis, signs of bone remodeling and diagnostic patterns of localized and diffuse periosteal reactions typical of systemic infectious processes [14,64]. Several studies support that sedentism, population growth and aggregation are strongly related to increased prevalence of infections and periosteal reactions [54].

Finally, many clinical and epidemiological studies showed bi-directional relationships between poor oral health (mainly periodontal disease and oral infections) and chronic/systemic diseases with potential effects on mortality [81,82]. Periapical lesions (PL) located in
mandible and maxilla are potential sources of infection in joints, heart and kidneys (and vice versa) and are indirectly related to immunological depletion and other shared risk factors [83–85]. Periapical lesions result from acute or chronic infections (abscesses and granulomas, respectively) in alveolar bone, associated with caries, periodontal disease, pulp exposures due to severe occlusal wear, and also from sinus infections [86].

Aims and expectations

In this study we evaluate child stunting, malnutrition and morbidity as modulating factors for development and survival in the Jabuticabeira II sambaqui using several osteobiographic markers. These markers include adult and juvenile height, linear enamel hypoplasias, cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, periosteal reactions, and age-at-death. Finally, data from our earlier isotopic weaning study are used herein to evaluate the implications of nutrition during juvenile ages on morbidity [87].

Our aims are to identify periods of higher vulnerability and causes of frailty among Jabuticabeira II individuals and to examine how stress factors influence them, to answer the following questions: Is there evidence of early stress periods in Jabuticabeira II individuals? How does early-life exposure to physiological stress influence individual health and mortality risk in adulthood?

More specifically, six hypotheses about child development, health and survival expectations in Jabuticabeira II are tested: 1) higher early-stress factors during juvenile periods (presence, duration, and timing of LEH in relation to weaning) are associated with shorter adult stature; 2) higher early-stress factors during juvenile periods (presence, duration, and timing of LEH in relation to weaning) are associated with earlier adult age at death (<35 years); 3) higher juvenile morbidity (CO, PH, PR and LEH) is associated with higher juvenile mortality (>1 year and <1 year); 4) higher adult morbidity (CO, PH, PR, PL) is associated with earlier adult age at death (<35 years); 5) infant malnutrition (no breastfeeding or early weaning age) is associated with higher morbidity (CO, PH, PR and LEH) in juveniles >1 year; 6) infant malnutrition is associated with higher morbidity (CO, PH, PR and PL) in adults.

Materials and methods

The Jabuticabeira II collection is housed in the Museu de Arqueologia e Etnologia da Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil. No permits were required for the described study, which complied with all relevant regulations. As in the case of other archaeological contexts, this collection is seriously compromised by taphonomic damage. Due to the high degree of bone fragmentation, only 41 individuals (adults and juveniles), from a total of 282 evaluated records, met the following inclusion criteria: a) one or more long bones in good condition for measurements; b) availability of alveolar bone and teeth in order to assess dental age, enamel hypoplasia, and maxillary infections; c) previously studied isotopic values that allow for the evaluation of the weaning age and/or infant/adult diet estimations [87]. Because the focus of this work is child development, the first criterion was imperative.

Estimation of sex and age at death

Adult sex was estimated according to morphological characteristics of the skull and pelvis [88]. Due to the difficulties of sex determination in juveniles, all of them were classified as “undetermined” [89]. The age at death in juveniles was estimated based on dental formation-eruption sequences published by Ubelaker [90], based on Arikara Indians of North America, and by Gaither [91], based on indigenous populations from Central Andes. The degree of epiphyseal union and vertebral development [89] were also observed to confirm our estimations. Age at
death in adults was taken from our previous research [19,32] also based on standardized morphological markers [88]. Adults were classified into broad categories: Young Adult (YA) = 20–35 years; Middle Adult (MA) = 35–50 years; Old Adult (OA) > 50 years; Adult = Age not determined). Because a detailed demographic study in Jabuticabeira II is missing and the mean age-at-death of Jabuticabeira II individuals is unknown, the age of 35 years, was arbitrarily chosen as the cut-off to differentiate “early” from “late death”, assuming that Young Adults (<35 years) died at an unexpected early age.

**Osteometric recording**

The osteometric recording was based on Buikstra and Ubelaker ([88]: 46, 79–84) standards. All complete bones from either side were measured with a sliding digital caliper (Mitutoyo 150 mm) and a standard osteometric board by one of us (LPL). In cases of well-preserved bones on both sides, only left bones were considered for analysis [92]. Pathological long bones were excluded from this study.

**Stature estimation in adults**

Stature estimation in adults was based on regression equations from the measurement of long bones. Ideally, formulae should be developed on a subset of complete individuals from the same population and then applied for stature estimation in the rest of the sample. However, because our sample is inappropriate for that, other formulae that respect the allometric variation in the population were selected. A rather straightforward approach to evaluate similarities in body proportions between the population used for developing the method and the subject population is to test the consistency of results obtained with formulae based on different skeletal elements using the Delta of Gini method ([6,93], S1 File). This approach was used herein to decide which of two available methods should be used for Jabuticabeira II.

Between Pomeroy and Stock ([94], conceived from Andean archaeological populations), and Genoves ([95], corrected by Del Angel and Cisneros [96], based on Mesoamerican individuals), the first method provided more consistent Delta of Gini estimates (DG = 1.65 vs. DG = 2.37) in 4 individuals with complete set of long bones. Thus, we used the formulae by Pomeroy and Stock ([94]: Table 6), the most appropriate for our sample (S1 File).

When it was not possible to use the tibia and/or the femur measurements, height was estimated using any available long bone; we also calculated Z-scores (using the median group values of males and females from Jabuticabeira II) to analyze correlations between stature and other markers.

Adult height is the outcome of a complex process. Considering the high heritability of adult eight expression, classifying any adult as stunted or non-stunted based on bone measures is virtually impossible. We can only know if they were relatively smaller or taller than others. Conventionally, “short stature” is defined as a height ≤ -2 SD (standard deviations) of a specific population [45]. However, due to the small sample size, we were compelled to classify adult individuals by relative stature using the median of each group as classification parameter, arbitrarily. Thus, relative short stature in adults was classified as “below the median”.

**Stature estimation in juveniles**

As our goal was assessing if juvenile growth is compatible with stunting three strategies were applied:

1. Height estimation in juveniles between 1–17 years old was based on the long bone’s regression formulae postulated by Ruff ([92]: Tables 4 and 5) based on the maximum diaphyseal
length (without epiphysis) that is applicable to undetermined-sex juveniles. Stunting was diagnosed as height by age Z-scores (HAZ) less or equal to two (≤ -2 SD, moderate) or three (≤ -3 SD, severe) standard deviations. The Z-scores were calculated using the simplified formula proposed by the WHO [50]: \( Z = \frac{(X - M)}{SD} \), where \( X \) is the measured or estimated stature, \( M \) is the median and \( SD \) the standard deviation for each age-range (values provided in the Height-for-age tables of Child Growth Standards, [50]). For individuals <5 years old the calculation was performed by applying the package Anthro v.3.2.2 [97]. Considering that juvenile sex is unknown, and the WHO charts are divided by sex, we calculated HAZ for males and females.

2. The WHO growth charts [50], however, do not include long bone measurements (and therefore are not directly applicable to archaeological material). Thus, a second strategy to evaluate stunting consisted of comparing long bone lengths of each dental-age cohort to those of contemporary children from the Denver Growth Study [98]. This is considered a good parameter of normal growth in extant [99], as well as, archaeological juveniles from North America [90] and the Central Andes [100]. However, this method only aims to detect differences by age in the length of bones between populations. Because our juvenile individuals were not sexed and the Denver Growth Study tables are categorized by sex, we calculated the arithmetic mean and a range of variation of the entire sample (merging girls and boys) for each age-cohort, in order to create a comparative parameter for each bone. As the tables by Mares [98] only show average and values between 90th and 10th percentiles, “probable stunting” was arbitrarily attributed to diaphyseal lengths below the 10th percentile [99]. The diaphyseal measures of Andean individuals [100:37,74–79] are not divided by sex because they correspond to non-sexed juveniles of archaeological origin.

3. Another strategy to detect stunting was to calculate height from the length of upper and lower limbs. We expect upper limbs to provide more reliable height reconstruction according to dental age, because there are less sensitive to environmental conditions due to their slower growth [101,102]. In individuals with probable stunting, the estimated height based on lower limbs should be shorter than the estimated height based on upper limbs.

4. Finally, as our goal is to assess if Jabuticabeira II growth patterns are compatible with stunting, the percentage of adult femur length attained at different ages was calculated following the method suggested by Humphrey [103:Fig 2B] to avoid potential source of error using regression formulae and standards of other populations. The percentage was calculated using the children’s femur lengths divided by the average adult femur length (including epiphyses) of the pooled sample.

**Weaning and infant malnutrition**

The dietary data from Jabuticabeira II (approximate ages at the beginning and the end of weaning, supplementary and post-weaning diets) originates from nitrogen and carbon isotope values of dentin sections from adults, and bones from juveniles previously published [27,87]. These studies revealed an age range of weaning between 2 and 3 years (most likely 2.3 years old). According to those studies child diet was similar to adult diet, both concerning broad items as well as proportions, from around the age of 1.5 years on [87]. Breastfeeding and the approximate ages at the start and end of weaning were inferred from δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C values for each individual (S1 File). Malnourished individuals were diagnosed according to the following criteria: absence of signs of breastfeeding (δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C below the expected value for breastfeeding, around 2–3‰ above the mean value of women) and early weaning age (below 2 years).
Morbidity. The evaluation of morbidity included the recording of non-specific physiological stress markers:

Linear enamel hypoplasia (LEH) was observed directly under natural and artificial light with the help of an angled dental explorer and 10x magnifying glass [70]. In individuals with complete dentition every tooth was observed in order to record the LEH presence. In individuals with incomplete dentition, only incisors and canines were analyzed. Only cases with both antimeres affected by LEH were considered positives [88]. LEH was recorded in terms of presence/absence and quantity of affected teeth per individual. Teeth with carious lesions were not considered for analysis.

The approximate age of occurrence of LEH (in years and months) was estimated according to Reid and Dean [67], taking into account the loss of coronal height due to dental wear (only teeth with more than 70% of coronal height were considered). A “LEH incidence period”, that means the period of recurrent LEH suffered by one individual, was calculated as the time between the first and the last hypoplasia of a given individual.

Cribra orbitalia (CO) and porotic hyperostosis (PH), active or healed, were recorded in terms of presence/absence [88]. Localized and diffuse periosteal reactions and signs of acute or chronic bone infection, such as osteomyelitis, bone remodeling and fistula (all considered herein as PR) were recorded in terms of presence/absence in all available bones [64]. Periapical lesions (PL) of mandible and maxilla were recorded as presence/absence and number of lesions per individual [32].

All conditions were recorded by macroscopic inspection, aided by the use of 10x magnification lens, in each individual. Statistical analyses to test differences in the prevalence of markers between groups were considered unnecessary since this subject is a subsample of an entire
population analyzed in other bioarchaeological studies earlier \cite{19,32,35,104}. Furthermore, since the choice of the skeletons is based on their preservation, they may represent an age and sex-biased sample. To examine bivariate correlations, the Spearman’s coefficient ($\rho$) at $p < 0.05$ was used. For some frequency comparisons, we used Student’s t-test, Chi-square, and Mann Whitney U. To detect significant interactions between more than two variables or predictor variables we used the binary logistic regression analysis \cite{40}. The operationalization of markers in dichotomous variables appears in Table 1. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18.0 (IBM).

### Results

The sample for analyses is composed of 41 individuals, that is, 23 adults (16 males and 8 females, of different age categories) plus 18 juveniles of undetermined sex (S1 File).

#### Stature in adults

Stature was estimated in 23 adults (Table 2). The mean height for males is 156.2 cm (SD = 4.73; range: 150.1–166.8; n = 16) and 148.8 cm for females (SD = 5.45; range: 141.1–156.8; n = 7). Males were 9–10 cm taller than females ($t = 3.31; df = 21; p = 0.003$), with higher heterogeneity in females. Few individuals fit with the strict definition of “short stature” (height $\leq -2SD$: 2 females and no males; height $\leq -1SD$: 2 females and 4 males).

#### Stature in juveniles

Only 18 juveniles from Jabuticabeira II provided adequate preservation for osteometric recording. The estimated height-for-age of each juvenile is show in Table 3. In general, the length of long bones suggests short statures in all juveniles in comparison with reference populations (Table 4). All individuals younger than 1 year of age had femoral bone lengths below or near the 10th percentile of modern USA populations \cite{98}. With five exceptions (individuals 120-L2.05; 10A-L1.25; 115A-L6; 17D2-L1.05; 119A-L6), the femoral lengths of Jabuticabeira II

---

Table 1. Operationalization of stress markers in dichotomous variables for logistic regression analysis.

| Dichotomous variable | Infant malnutrition\(^1\) | Infant morbidity | Juvenile short height-by-age (stunting) | Adult relative short height | Adult morbidity | Early mortality |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Juveniles\(^2\)      | Adults\(^3\)              |                  |                                        |                             |                |               |
| No (0)               | Breastfed: $\delta^{13}$N $> 2\%$ above the female mean value and/or Mean weaning-age: 2–3 years old | No stress markers | No LEH | Long bone length into/above the range of variability and/or HAZ $> -2$ SD | Stature above the group median (in M, F) or Z-score $> 0$ | No stress markers | $> 1$ year old (1–20 years) | Late death: Adult older than 35 years |
| Yes (1)              | Non-breastfed: $\delta^{13}$N around or below the female mean value and/or Early weaning-age: $< 2$ years old | Presence of CO, PH, PR, PL, LEH and/or number of markers $\geq 1$ | Presence of LEH and/or $> LEH$ Incidence period (years with LEH) | Long bone length below the range of variability and/or HAZ $\leq -2$ SD | Stature below the group median (M, F) or Z-score $< 0$ | Presence of CO, PH, PR, PL and/or number of markers $\geq 1$ | $\leq 1$ year old | Early death: Young Adult (20–35 years) |

\(^1\) Lack of breastfeeding or early weaning.

\(^2\) Subadults (non-survivors, individuals who die early in childhood)

\(^3\) Adults (survivors, individuals who survive to later life-stages). CO: cribra orbitalia; PH: porotic hyperostosis; PR: periosteal reactions and other signs of bone infection; PL: periapical lesions; LEH: linear enamel hypoplasia; HAZ (height-for-age Z-score).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229684.t001

---
juveniles are closer to the lower limit of Arikara and within the range of Andean individuals (Fig 2).

In juveniles with estimated stature, the Z-scores are well below the mean and lower limits (≤ -2 SD) of contemporary growth curves [50]. That suggests an extremely low height-for-age

Table 2. Osteobiographic aspects in adults from Jabuticabeira II (n = 23).

| Nth | Individual | Sex | Age at death | Bone | Length (mm) | Estimated stature (cm) | Z score (according sex) | Age at the end of weaning | # LEH (age-range) | LEH incidence | CO | PH | PR | # PL |
|-----|------------|-----|--------------|------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1   | SEP 34 L2.05 | F   | YA femur    | 361  | 141.08 ± 1.844 | -2.738                | < 3 yr                  | 2 (4.0–4.8)               | 0.8 CO PH PR     | 0             |
| 2   | SEP 36A L2.05 | M   | YA tibia    | 327  | 150.12 ± 2.581 | -1.246                | ~2 yr                   | 6 (2.6–4.9)               | 2.3 CO PH PR     | 4             |
| 3   | SEP 37 L2.05 | M   | OA radius   | 236  | 158.05 ± 3.467 | 0.434                 | 2–3 yr                  | 6 (2.5–4.8)               | 2.3 CO PH PR     | 5             |
| 4   | SEP 40 L2.05 | F   | Adult humerus | 259  | 142.94 ± 2.975 | -2.396                | ~2 yr                   | 7 (2.2–5.6)               | 3.4 CO PH PR     | 2             |
| 5   | SEP 41A L2.05 | M   | Adult femur | 386  | 150.49 ± 2.627 | -1.167                | < 3 yr                  | 2 (4.0–4.8)               | 0.8 PH PR       | 3             |
| 6   | SEP 108 L2.05 | F   | MA ulna     | 239  | 151.44 ± 3.987 | 0.837                 | 2–3 yr                  | no hypoplasia             | 8               |
| 7   | SEP 110 prof L2 | M   | YA femur    | 425  | 159.32 ± 2.289 | 0.703                 | < 4 yr                  | 6 (1.5–5.1)               | 3.6 0           |
| 8   | SEP 11 L1.25 | M   | MA femur    | 403  | 153.27 ± 2.289 | -0.578                | 2–3 yr                  | 3 (2.4–5.6)               | 3.2 PH         | 2             |
| 9   | SEP 12B L1.25 | M   | YA humerus  | 295  | 154.30 ± 2.975 | -0.360                | 2–3 yr                  | no hypoplasia             | 0               |
| 10  | SEP 24 L1-1.20 | M   | Adult ulna  | 237  | 151.10 ± 3.615 | -1.038                | 2–3 yr                  | nr                       | nr             |
| 11  | SEP 14 L1.05 | F   | YA tibia    | 338  | 151.35 ± 1.963 | 0.853                 | ~2 yr                   | 2 (4.0–4.3)               | 0.3            |
| 12  | SEP 15A L1.05 | M   | MA radius   | 257  | 166.83 ± 3.467 | 2.294                 | < 3 yr                  | 2 (2.1–4.9)               | 2.8 PR 11      |
| 13  | SEP 17 A L1.05 | M   | MA femur    | 430  | 161.17 ± 2.289 | 1.095                 | < 4 yr                  | no hypoplasia             | PH PR 0        |
| 14  | SEP 41 L1 | M   | Adult humerus | 290  | 154.78 ± 3.522 | -0.259                | 2–3 yr                  | nr                       | nr             |
| 15  | SEP 102 L1.75 | F   | Adult humerus | 284  | 150.83 ± 2.975 | -0.949                | 2–3 yr                  | no hypoplasia             | CO PH PR 0     |
| 16  | SEP 123 L1.80 | M   | MA humerus | 299  | 157.91 ± 3.522 | 0.405                 | 2–3 yr                  | nr                       | nr PR nr       |
| 17  | SEP 107 L1-T1 | M   | OA humerus | 297  | 157.22 ± 3.522 | 0.256                 | 2–3 yr                  | no hypoplasia             | CO PH 3        |
| 18  | SEP 2A L6 | F   | MA radius   | 209  | 147.02 ± 3.012 | -0.648                | 2–3 yr                  | no hypoplasia             | CO PH 0        |
| 19  | SEP 114 L6 | M   | OA radius   | 246  | 162.23 ± 3.467 | 1.320                 | 2–3 yr                  | 2 (4.4–4.8)               | 0.8 nr PH nr  |
| 20  | SEP 115B L6 | M   | MA femur   | 398  | 151.09 ± 2.289 | -1.040                | ~2 yr                   | 2 (4.0–4.8)               | 0.8            |
| 21  | SEP 118 L6 | M   | YA femur   | 402  | 153.62 ± 2.289 | -0.504                | 2–3 yr                  | 7 (2.9–5.6)               | 2.7 CO PH PR 0 |
| 22  | SEP 121 L6 | M   | MA humerus | 298  | 157.56 ± 3.522 | 0.331                 | 2–3 yr                  | 2 (3.7–4.9)               | 1.2            |
| 23  | SEP 333A L6 | F   | YA radius  | 233  | 156.79 ± 3.467 | 0.145                 | 2–3 yr                  | nr                       | nr PR nr       |

* Individuals whose final weaning age was not possible to be estimated, were considered as having been weaned at age 2–3 years. CO: cribra orbitalia; PH: porotic hyperostosis; PR: periosteal reactions and bone infections; #PL: number of periapical lesions of maxilla and mandible. NR: not recordable.

† Maximum period between the first and last hypoplasias calculated in years according to Reid and Dean [67].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229684.t002
record in Jabuticabeira II (Fig 3), even when assuming an earlier dental eruption (around one year earlier) following the chart of Gaither [91]. The height estimated from upper limbs is greater than the height estimated from lower limbs in 3 out of 6 evaluated individuals (Table 3). Reduced growth in lower limbs can be interpreted as stunting. Finally, the percentage of adult femur length attained at different ages confirms our observations in most cases.

Physiological stress markers during childhood and adulthood in Jabuticabeira II

The physiological stress markers of the 23 adults assessed are shown in Table 2 and Fig 4. In adults, LEH, which develops during childhood, affected 13 (10/12 males and 3/6 females), among 19 individuals with available dentitions.

When we compare the timing of LEH to individual weaning trajectories (Fig 4), we observe that in Jabuticabeira II, among the 13 adults with LEH, 6 experienced the first LEH a few months before or immediately after the approximate age of the end of weaning, suffering events of physiological stress between 2.1 and 5.6 years of age. Other 6 individuals had the first LEH well after the end of weaning (with physiological stress events between 3.7 and 4.9 years old). Finally, only one individual suffered the first LEH more than one year before weaning (with physiological stress events from 1.5 to 5.1 years of age). Six individuals did not suffer LEH and 4 were not evaluated due to the absence of teeth.

The mean ages at the first and last LEH, despite their inter-individual variability, suggest that, in Jabuticabeira II, the individuals suffered metabolic stress after weaning (mean weaning age = 2.3 years; mean age of the first LEH = 3.02 years, SD = 0.83, n = 17; mean age of the last LEH = 4.90 years, SD = 0.52, n = 17). The time of exposure to etiological factors in individuals with LEH is variable (ranging between 0.3 and 3.6 years of age; mean = 1.9 years; SD = 1.10, n = 17), without differences between sexes (S2 File).

The statistically significant correlations between the age at the first LEH, “LEH incidence period” and the number of LEH (Table 4) suggest that individuals who start earlier with LEH after weaning suffered longer LEH incidence periods and had more LEH events in absolute numbers. All these significant correlations can be interpreted as long periods of recurrent stress during childhood.

The age-at-death in adults (the mean age of each age-range) does not correlate with early stress (age of weaning $\rho = -0.145$, $p = 0.508$; duration of the “period of LEH incidence” $\rho = -0.069$, $p = 0.824$; number of LEH $\rho = -0.231$, $p = 0.341$). Instead, it is possibly more related to acute or chronic aggressions experienced later in life, as the positive correlation between age-at-death and PL suggest ($\rho = 0.493$, $p = 0.038$).

Only 6 juveniles were available for enamel LEH analysis (those with mixed dentition or exposed teeth). As observed in adults, in all these juveniles LEH appears during weaning periods and lasts several months after the end of weaning (Fig 5).

Among 18 juveniles examined in Jabuticabeira II (Table 5), 10 presented CO (not-recordable = 6) and 4 show PH (not-recordable = 0). All individuals who died before 1 year old showed presence of CO (5/5 with available orbits). Among the 18 juveniles, 7 died after age 1 year and 5 out of these 7 show CO and PH. However, there was no significant difference between ages-at-death < 1 year and at > 1 year (CO: $X^2 = 1.397, p = 0.237$; PH: $X^2 = 2.822, p = 0.092$). PR and other signs of infection occurred in 3/18 individuals (not recordable = 0). Only two out of 18 juveniles showed periostitis in more than one bone (died at age 1 and 4 years, respectively) and both cases are consistent with subacute inflammatory processes.

Among the 23 adults, 9 individuals showed CO (5/16 males; 4/7 females; not-recordable = 3) and 14 showed PH (10/16 males and 4/7 females; not-recordable = 2). PR were observed in 11
Table 3. Dental age, long bone lengths and estimated stature in juveniles from Jabuticabeira II (n = 18).

| N   | Individual | Dental age* | Bone | Length (mm) | Range of long bones lengths (mm) | Estimated stature (cm) | % of adult femur length attained** | Compatible with stunting? |
|-----|------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
|     |            |            |      |             | EUA 20th century† | Arikara‡ | Andean§ | Ruff 2007 | Z-score |                      |
| 1   | SEP 35A - L2.05 | 6±3 m | 6±2 m | femur | 77 | 79.4–118.2 | 62.5–106.0 | 77.4–131.0 | 55.93 ± 1.7 | M = -6.07 | F = -4.89 | 0.19 (0.23) | Yes |
| 2   | SEP 17D1— L1.05 | 6±3 m | 6±2 m | humerus | 65 | 79.9–91.6 | 63.5–89.0 | 67.0–81.6 | ? | - | - | Yes |
| 3   | SEP 17B— L1.05 | 6±3 m | 6±2 m | humerus | 82 | 79.9–91.6 | 63.5–89.0 | 67.0–81.6 | ? | M = -2.03 | - | No |
|     |       |      |      | tibia | 83 | 81.6–98.1 | 59.5–94.0 | 65.6–103.0 | 64.70 ± 1.5 | F = -1.10 |                      |
| 4   | SEP 41B— L2.05 | 9±3 m | 6±2 m | humerus | 72 | - | 84.0–119.0 | 70.2–88.9 | ? | M = -5.56 | 0.21 (0.26) | Yes |
|     |       |      |      | femur | 83 | - | 92.5–161.0 | 92.0–110.2 | 57.75 ± 1.7 | F = -6.79 |                      |
| 5   | SEP 3F - L6 | 9±3 m | 6±2 m | humerus | 75 | - | 84.0–119.0 | 70.2–88.9 | ? | M = -5.66 | 0.23 (0.26) | Yes |
|     |       |      |      | femur | 91 | - | 92.5–161.0 | 92.0–110.2 | 60.33 ± 1.6 | F = -4.51 |                      |
|     |       |      |      | tibia | 76 | - | 81.0–131.5 | 77.4–93.0 |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| 6   | SEP 115A-L6 | 9±3 m | 6±2 m | humerus | 86 | - | 84.0–119.0 | 70.2–88.9 | ? | M = 0.11 | 0.27 (0.26) | No |
|     |       |      |      | femur | 108 | - | 92.5–161.0 | 92.0–110.2 | 73.52 ± 1.5 | F = 0.83 |                      |
| 7   | SEP 17D2— L1.05 | 9±3 m | 6±2 m | radius | 69 | - | 84.0–119.0 | 55.0–72.2 | 69.33 ± 2.0 | M = -1.72 | F = -0.87 | - | No |
| 8   | SEP 120— L2.05 | 12±4 m | 9±2 m | humerus | 100 | 97.3–112.1 | 84.0–119.0 | 83.8–98.7 | ? | - | - | No |
| 9   | FS30—L1.70 | 12±4 m | 9±2 m | femur | 100 | 128.0–143.0 | 92.5–161.0 | 104.5–140.9 | 62.90 ± 1.7 | M = -5.40 | F = -4.31 | 0.25 (0.28) | Yes |
| 10  | SEP 17D - L1.05 | 12±4 m | 9±2 m | femur | 105 | 128.0–143.0 | 92.5–161.0 | 104.5–140.9 | 64.42 ± 1.7 | M = -4.76 | F = -3.72 | 0.26 (0.28) | Yes |
| 11  | SEP BEBÊ— L1/L2 | 12±4 m | 9±2 m | humerus | 96 | 97.3–112.1 | 84.0–119.0 | 83.8–98.7 | ? | M = -2.98 | 0.29 (0.28) | No |
|     |       |      |      | femur | 116 | 128.0–143.0 | 92.5–161.0 | 104.5–140.9 | 68.55 ± 1.6 | F = -2.08 |                      |                      |
|     |       |      |      | tibia | 98 | 102.6–117.4 | 81.0–131.5 | 85.6–101.5 |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| 12  | SEP 35B— L2.05 | 4yr ±12 m | 3yr±11m | femur | 150 | 209.7–238.4 | 208.0–218.0 | 131.0–180.0 | 81.71 ± 1.8 | M = -5.32 | F = -5.32 | 0.37 (0.46) | Yes |
|     |       |      |      | tibia | 120 | 168.5–194.4 | 165.0–176.0 | 109.0–139.0 |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| 13  | SEP 101— L2.05 | 4yr ±12 m | 3yr±11m | humerus | 121 | 151.0–171.2 | 154.0–159.0 | 107.0–136.5 | 86.95 ± 2.0 | M = -5.05 | 0.38 (0.46) | Yes |
|     |       |      |      | femur | 153 | 209.7–238.4 | 208.0–218.0 | 131.0–180.0 | 82.84 ± 2.2 | F = -4.78 |                      |                      |

(Continued)
individuals (7/16 males and 4/7 females; not recordable = 0). The most frequent lesions found were periostitis in long bones, but there are three cases of osteomyelitis with fistulae or cloacae (two in a femur and one in a tibia). Abscesses and other maxillofacial infectious lesions (regardless of their etiology) occurred in 9 adults (7/12 males and 2/6 females; not recordable = 5).

There is a significantly higher proportion of juveniles (10/12) than adults (9/20) affected by CO ($X^2 = 4.569, p = 0.032$) in our sample. Curiously, PH was significantly more prevalent ($X^2 = 7.704, p = 0.005$) among adults (14/21) than juveniles (4/18).

Table 3. (Continued)

| N° | Individual | Dental age | Bone | Length (mm) | Range of long bones lengths (mm) | Estimated stature (cm) | % of adult femur length attained | Compatible with stunting? |
|----|------------|------------|------|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 14 | SEP 119A-L6 | 4yr ±12 m | 3yr±11m | humerus | 139 | 151.0–171.2 | [Arikara$^2$, Andean$^3$] | 94.27 ± 2.0 | M = -2.25 | 0.47 (0.46) | No |
|     |            |           |        | femur | 189 | 209.7–238.4 | | 94.59 ± 1.8 | F = -2.05 |
|     |            |           |        | tibia | 160 | 168.5–194.4 | | 90.83 ± 2.0 | M = -3.53 |
| 15 | SEP 16B-L1.05 | 4yr ±12 m | 3yr±11m | humerus | 133 | 151.0–171.2 | [Arikara$^2$, Andean$^3$] | 90.83 ± 2.0 | M = -3.53 | 0.44(0.46) | Yes |
|     |            |           |        | femur | 175 | 209.7–238.4 | | 89.21 ± 1.8 | F = -3.30 |
|     |            |           |        | tibia | 141 | 168.5–194.4 | | 89.21 ± 1.8 | F = -3.30 |
| 16 | SEP 38-L2.05 | 7yr ±24 m | 6yr±20m | humerus | 160 | 190.0–215.5 | [Arikara$^2$, Andean$^3$] | 104.4 ± 2.8 | M = -3.59 | 0.55 (0.59) | Yes |
|     |            |           |        | femur | 220 | 273.0–308.2 | | 103.08 ± 1.9 | F = -3.31 |
|     |            |           |        | tibia | 178 | 215.8–253.8 | | 103.08 ± 1.9 | F = -3.31 |
| 17 | SEP 10A-L1.25 | 7yr ±24 m | 6yr±20m | humerus | 190 | 190.0–215.5 | [Arikara$^2$, Andean$^3$] | 117.75 ± 2.8 | M = -1.10 | 0.65 (0.59) | No |
|     |            |           |        | femur | 261 | 273.0–308.2 | | 115.87 ± 1.9 | F = -0.90 |
|     |            |           |        | tibia | 216 | 215.8–253.8 | | 115.87 ± 1.9 | F = -0.90 |
| 18 | SEP 104A-L1.85 | 15yr±36m | 12yr ±21m | humerus | 250 | - | [Arikara$^2$, Andean$^3$] | 144.10 ± 3.8 | M = -3.67 | 0.89 (0.97) | Yes |
|     |            |           |        | femur | 355 | - | | 144.10 ± 3.8 | M = -3.67 |
|     |            |           |        | tibia | 297 | - | | 140.24 ± 2.9 | F = -3.11 |

The dental aging method by Ubelaker [90] was used to classify Arikara [90] and Andean [100] individuals. Dental aging of Gaither [91] figures in this study as reference due to the possibility of precocious dental eruption in our samples.

1Maresh [98] included bone lengths between 10th and 90th percentiles (in this case the mean value from males and females). The tables by Maresh do not include an age category for around 9 months, nor data of individuals older than 12 years. In this research, the Maresh’s age cohort of 6m includes individuals between 0–6 months.

2Ubelaker [98] includes the complete variability range for each bone

3Vega [100] includes the complete variability range for each bone. The Ubelaker’s phase NB-0.5 yrs equals the phases Nac±2m and 6m±3m by Vega [100]; the phase 0.5–1.5 yr equals the phases 9m±3m and 12m±4m. The tables by Vega [100] are applicable without sexing.

% femur length attained = individual femur length / average femur length of adults (pooled sample). In brackets the expected value calculated from Denver Growth Study data [98].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229684.t003
Adults (14/21) also show significantly higher proportions ($X^2 = 9.854 \ p = 0.001$) of PR than juveniles (3/18). This can be related to the cumulative effect of recurrent metabolic insults during adulthood, but also with more severe or chronic morbidity processes in juveniles that did not allow the development of PR [7,11,14].

The results from adults in Jabuticabeira II are not entirely compatible with the statement that individuals exposed to early-life stress and early weaning age present higher morbidity and lower survival expectations. The Spearman’s coefficient did not detect significant correlations between stress markers in any of the other comparative scenarios. Although this could be the result of a sample size bias, it also agrees with the non-specific etiology of stress markers outlined by other bioarchaeological studies [40,105].

Logistic Regression models performed in adults and juveniles were unable to demonstrate predictive relationships between variables. The only significant model (S3 File) shows that

Table 4. Correlations between variables in adults from Jabuticabeira II (females and males pooled).

| Z-scores of adult heights (by sex) vs. | n  | Spearman’s $\rho$ | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|--------------------------------------|----|-------------------|-----------------|
| First LEH                            | 13 | -.350             | .240            |
| LEH incidence period                 | 13 | .223              | .464            |
| # LEH                                | 19 | -.052             | .833            |
| # stress markers in adulthood        | 23 | -.259             | .232            |
| In females                           | 7  | -.764             | .046            |
| Age-at-death in adulthood            | 23 | .409              | .053            |
| First LEH (adults) vs.               |    |                   |                 |
| LEH incidence period                 | 13 | -.946             | .001            |
| in males                             | 10 | -.938             | .001            |
| in males                             | 10 | -.979             | .001            |
| # LEH                                | 13 | -.635             | .020            |
| # stress markers in adulthood        | 13 | -.093             | .763            |
| Age-at-death in adulthood            | 13 | -.003             | .992            |
| LEH incidence period (adults) vs.    |    |                   |                 |
| # LEH                                | 13 | .765              | .002            |
| # stress markers in adulthood        | 13 | .180              | .557            |
| Age-at-death in adulthood            | 13 | -.069             | .824            |
| # LEH (adults)                       |    |                   |                 |
| # stress markers in adulthood        | 19 | .367              | .122            |
| Age-at-death in adulthood            | 19 | -.231             | .341            |
| Age-at-death in adulthood vs.        |    |                   |                 |
| # Periapical lesions                 | 23 | .493              | .038            |
| Maximum number of stress markers vs. |    |                   |                 |
| Age-at-death in adulthood            | 23 | .152              | .489            |
| Age-at-death in childhood            | 18 | .384              | .115            |
| Weaning age vs.                      |    |                   |                 |
| # stress markers in adulthood        | 23 | -.203             | .304            |
| # stress markers in childhood        | 18 | -.617             | .140            |
| Age-at-death in adulthood            | 23 | .145              | .508            |
| Age-at-death in childhood            | 18 | .529              | .222            |

#: number of. # stress markers in adulthood = maximum number of stress markers present per individual (the sum of CO, HO, LEH, PR and PL).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229684.t004

Adults (14/21) also show significantly higher proportions ($X^2 = 9.854 \ p = 0.001$) of PR than juveniles (3/18). This can be related to the cumulative effect of recurrent metabolic insults during adulthood, but also with more severe or chronic morbidity processes in juveniles that did not allow the development of PR [7,11,14].

The results from adults in Jabuticabeira II are not entirely compatible with the statement that individuals exposed to early-life stress and early weaning age present higher morbidity and lower survival expectations. The Spearman’s coefficient did not detect significant correlations between stress markers in any of the other comparative scenarios. Although this could be the result of a sample size bias, it also agrees with the non-specific etiology of stress markers outlined by other bioarchaeological studies [40,105].

Logistic Regression models performed in adults and juveniles were unable to demonstrate predictive relationships between variables. The only significant model (S3 File) shows that
adult short height is a significant predictor of age-at-death < 35 years ($X^2 = 6.964; p = 0.008$; $R^2$ Nagelkerke = 0.350; OR = 14.400; CI (95%): 1.375–150.808).

Morbidity processes (CO, HP, PR and infectious diseases markers) in adults - “survivors”- are frequent and could, in theory, be associated with the causes of death. The association, however, is not so clear: among those who exhibited two or more stress markers (13/23 individuals), three deaths occurred before age 35, and seven after age 35, while in the remaining three cases it was not possible to define age of death.

Nevertheless, there is a significant negative correlation between adult height and adult morbidity (the total number of stress markers) in females ($\rho = -0.764 p = 0.046$), which supports
the idea of higher frailty in short height females (Table 5). This could be explained by sex-differences in the distribution of labor (as suggested by activity markers) less access to protein by women than men, among other unknown possible causes.

Discussion

Small data sets like the one used herein (41 juveniles and adults from the sambaqui Jabuticabeira II) may constrain data interpretation, and therefore undermine straightforward conclusions. However, they do not preclude valuable knowledge if used appropriately. The strength of the approach used in the present study lies in the detailed osteobiographic integration of multiple lines of evidence. However, because the sample is not large and complete enough to support extensive generalizations, our interpretations should be considered with caution for extrapolations.

In this study we aim to identify periods of higher vulnerability to health hazards and causes of differential frailty among fisher-hunter-gatherers from Jabuticabeira II. The results indicate that: 1) Jabuticabeira II adults are, in general, of relative short stature; 2) most of the juveniles show statures suggestive of stunting; 3) early stress (LEH in adults and juveniles) is mainly associated with the end of the weaning process or later childhood stages; 4) non-specific physiological stress markers affect high proportion of juveniles and adults suggesting recurrent periods of stress along lifetime; 5) a high proportion of individuals who died during the juvenile

| Height | Weaning | Early stress | Morbidity | Age-at-death |
|--------|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| 34 F   | 141.08±1.8* | LEH          | CO       | +            |
| 36A M  | 150.12±2.6* |             | PH       | +            |
| 37 M   | 158.05±3.3  |             | PR       | +            |
| 40 F   | 142.94±2.9* |             | PL       | +            |
| 41A M  | 150.49±2.6* | No hypoplasia| CO       | +            |
| 108 F  | 151.44±3.9  |             | PH       | +            |
| 110 M  | 159.32±2.2  |             | PR       | +            |
| 11 M   | 153.27±2.2* |             | PL       | +            |
| 128 M  | 154.30±2.9* | No hypoplasia| CO       | +            |
| 24 M   | 151.10±3.6* |             | PH       | +            |
| 14 F   | 151.35±1.9  |             | PR       | +            |
| 15A M  | 166.83±3.4  | NR           | PR       | +            |
| 17A M  | 161.17±2.2  | No hypoplasia| PL       | +            |
| 41L1 M | 154.78±3.5* | NR           | PR       | +            |
| 102 F  | 150.83±2.9  | No hypoplasia| CO       | +            |
| 123 M  | 157.91±3.5* |             | PH       | +            |
| 107 M  | 157.22±3.5* | No hypoplasia| CO       | +            |
| 2A F   | 147.02±3.0* |             | PH       | +            |
| 114 M  | 162.23±3.4  |             | PR       | +            |
| 115B M | 151.09±2.2* | No hypoplasia| CO       | +            |
| 118 M  | 153.62±2.2* |             | PH       | +            |
| 121 M  | 157.56±3.5* |             | PR       | +            |
| 333A F | 156.79±3.4  | NR           | PR       | +            |

*height below the grupal mean. (NR) not-recordable  (†) death  (BF) Breastfeeding (SD) supplementary diet  (W) weaning  LEH: Linear enamel hypoplasia  CO: cribra orbitalia  PH: porotic hyperostosis  PR: signals of periosteal reaction and/or bone infection  PL: abscesses or periapical lesions.  (Ad) adolescent  (YA) young adult  (MA) middle adult  (OA) old adult  (Adult) adult without estimated age

Fig 4. Physiological stress markers per individual in adults from Jabuticabeira II.
period (< 20 years) show cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis; 6) in adults shorter stature is correlated with higher morbidity; and finally, 7) shorter adult stature is a significant predictor of early age-at-death.

“Stunting” and early stress

Establishing causes for the “short stature” observed in adults from Jabuticabeira II is difficult because many South American indigenous groups (ethnographical and archeological) also show short stature and a high prevalence of stunting [49,56,100]. Several ecological-adaptive hypotheses (and several selective factors) have been postulated to explain the small body size observed in these groups [106–108]. Considering the high heritability of body height, only future paleogenetic research can clarify if the short stature observed was the result of population-wide stunting events or the outcome of genetic traits. However, our results suggest, given the high prevalence of bone stress markers, that non-genetic factors such as malnutrition and poor health conditions played an important role in the stature expression in Jabuticabeira II.

The juveniles of Jabuticabeira II show evidence consistent with stunting (short bone length, lower limbs shorter than upper limbs, and short stature), always associated with physiological stress. When we compare the lengths of the long bones of juveniles from Jabuticabeira II to those of modern affluent populations, all individuals, with no exception, are either shorter or at the lower end of the range of variability. When compared to Arikara and Andean individuals, our individuals cluster mainly at the lower limit of the range.
In the Jabuticabeira II individuals who died within their first year of life, height (and possibly also weight) is variable, but always well below the median of the WHO reference curve (HAZ $\leq -2$). This growth delay lasts until at least 3–4 years of age, the most sensitive period of growth, when it is more difficult to recover the normal growth trajectory by catch-up growth [49,56]. Some individuals died with more than one year of age, showing Z-scores inside the expected range, could be the evidence of catch-up growth processes [56]. If stunting occurs up to 4 years or later, which seems to be the case in most individuals from Jabuticabeira II, there was no possibility of catch up growth and short adult stature would be an expected consequence.

However, some issues need to be raised. With or without environmental influences, there will be variation in child growth when plotted by biological age, mainly in individuals below

| N°  | Individual   | Dental age* | Compatible with Stunting? | Subadult diet                      | Age at the end of weaning | # LEH (age range) | LEH incidence† | CO | PH | PR |
|-----|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----|----|----|
| 1   | SEP 35A - L2.05 | 6±3 m       | Yes                      | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | not weaned               | nr                | CO             |    |    |    |
| 2   | SEP 17D1— L1.05 | 6±3 m       | Yes                      | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | nd                       | nr                | nr             |    |    |    |
| 3   | SEP 17B— L1.05  | 6±3 m       | No                       | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | not weaned               | nr                | nr             |    |    |    |
| 4   | SEP 41B— L2.05  | 9±3 m       | Yes                      | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | weaned?                  | nr                | CO             |    |    |    |
| 5   | SEP 3F - L6     | 9±3 m       | Yes                      | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | not weaned               | nr                | nr             |    |    |    |
| 6   | SEP 115A-L6     | 9±3 m       | No                       | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | not weaned               | nr                | CO             | PH | PR |
| 7   | SEP 17D2— L1.05 | 9±3 m       | No                       | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | not weaned               | nr                | nr             |    |    |    |
| 8   | SEP 120— L2.05  | 12±4 m      | No                       | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | not weaned               | nr                | CO             | PR |    |    |
| 9   | SEP FS30— L1.70 | 12±4 m      | Yes                      | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | nd                       | nr                | nr             |    |    |    |
| 10  | SEP 17D— L1.05  | 12±4 m      | Yes                      | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | not weaned               | nr                | nr             |    |    |    |
| 11  | SEP BEBÊ - L1/L2 | 12±4 m      | No                       | breastfeeding, supplementary?     | not weaned               | nr                | CO             |    |    |    |
| 12  | SEP 35B— L2.05  | 4yr±12 m    | Yes                      | marine                            | –3yr                     | 2 (2.2–3.7)      | 1.5            | CO | PR |    |
| 13  | SEP 101— L2.05  | 4yr±12 m    | Yes                      | terrestrial                       | –3yr                     | 3 (2.8–4.0)      | 2.1            | CO | PH |    |
| 14  | SEP 119A-L6     | 4yr±12 m    | No                       | mixed                             | –3yr                     | nr                |    |    |    |
| 15  | SEP 16B - L1.05 | 4yr±12 m    | Yes                      | mixed                             | < 3yr                    | no hypoplasia     | CO             | PH |    |    |
| 16  | SEP 38—L2.05    | 7yr±24 m    | Yes                      | mixed                             | > 3yr?                   | 2 (3.7–5.6)      | 0.5            | CO |    |    |
| 17  | SEP 10A- L1.25  | 7yr±24 m    | No                       | mixed                             | > 3yr?                   | no hypoplasia     |    |    |    |
| 18  | SEP 104A - L1.85 | 15yr±36m    | Yes                      | mixed                             | < 3yr                    | 6 (2.8–5.6)      | CO             | PH |    |    |

*ND*: individuals without isotopic data for weaning. NR: not recordable or teeth not-erupted. Mixed diet means a different diet from the marine diet typical for Jabuticabeira II adults. CO: cribra orbitalia; PH: porotic hyperostosis; PR: periosteal reactions and bone infections.

†Maximum number of years between the first and last hypoplasia events according to Reid and Dean 2006 [67].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229684.t005
one year of age because of the high developmental variability within this age group. Reliable methods to estimate age at death in this age-range are based on histological approaches [109] that we did not use in this research, and caution is necessary when comparing archaeological groups with data retrieved from modern populations [110]. Underestimated stature in all age groups, especially in lower limbs, due to constraints of the methods is also possible [102].

On the other hand, the length of long bones from Jabuticabeira II juveniles, which are shorter in comparison to reference populations, could also indicate precocious dental development. Studies by Gaither [91] and Vega [100] have shown that the Ubelaker method [90] underestimates dental age of South American Indians (which would present an earlier than predicted dental eruption). Despite those considerations, the integration of so many different lines of evidence leads to claim that most Jabuticabeira II juveniles analyzed herein show statures compatible with stunting.

What are the possible causes of the high proportion of deaths in stunted juveniles? There are several factors related to stunting that could be among direct and indirect causes of death.

The number of juveniles with HAZ \( \leq 2\text{SD} \) in our sample is 72.7% (13/18). In most of the reported ethnographic groups of Amazonian fisher-hunter-gatherers and horticulturists analyzed with the same WHO parameters the frequencies of diagnosed stunted children (\( \leq -2\text{SD} \)) range from 20 to \( \sim 80\% \) [49,56]. In all those extant populations, with either more or less insertion to market systems, the HAZ mean was invariably negative in comparison to the reference standards [49, 56, 109], and lower prevalence of stunting was associated with greater protein intake [111]. In contrast, the available archaeological evidence does not support lack of protein in Jabuticabeira II [25, 27]. This could indicate a genetic background for shorter statures as possible cause. However, other markers suggest different explanations.

In Jabuticabeira II, the high prevalence of CO and PH (anemia-related markers), in children who died before 1 year of age and were very small compared to their counterparts from reference populations, suggest shortcomings in child feeding and parental investment strategies, as well as increased exposure to environmental threats [112]. On the other hand, since a proportion of all humans will die during the perinatal interval [53], the presence of bone response to environmental challenges and delayed death in Jabuticabeira II children >1 year old could be interpreted as a social ability to keep newborns alive despite stressors.

Adolescent or extremely malnourished pregnant women can generate low birth weight in infants, who then show an increased risk of neonatal, perinatal or infant morbidity and mortality [40, 113]. Although mothers with nutritional deficiencies could transmit such depletions during breastfeeding [72], in Jabuticabeira II these cases appear to be associated with extrinsic factors and not to breastfeeding restrictions. Despite an expected intra-population variation of isotope values, individuals \( \leq 1 \) year old revealed \( \delta^{15}\text{N} \) isotopic values compatible with breastfeeding (up to 2\( \sigma \) above the mean values of the female population: [87]). However, recent publications show that high \( \delta^{15}\text{N} \) values from collagen (particularly from dentine) do not necessarily reflect breastfeeding and may reflect maternal/infant stress [114–117]. Although occur more frequently in children rather than adults [54], the presence of CO in most of individuals \( \leq 1 \) year suggests a possible association between juvenile mortality and anemia-producing factors (or stress of any etiology) and makes this hypothesis also plausible. Our results do not suggest a unique etiology for CO and PH. Although both conditions appear in juveniles and adults, CO is highly age-specific for young children [54:41,73]. The hypothesis that CO is an early expression of the process that produces HP is disputable in Jabuticabeira II. Several cases of CO are not concomitant with HP. According to Rivera and Lahr [75:92], associations between CO and PH are likely related to anemias such as iron-deficiency, hereditary or some acquired anemias, whereas CO only is related with anemia of chronic disease, anemia of chronic renal failure (a very disputable etiology in this case), or scurvy.
Considering the proximity to the Atlantic rainforest ecosystem, an environment rich in fruiting trees, and anthracological evidence in Jabuticabeira II of many botanical species that provide edible fruits rich in vitamin C [30:156], the diagnosis of scurvy seems unlikely. Thus, the most plausible etiology is anemia of chronic disease, related to bacterial, viral and parasitic infections. The proposed etiology for PH, which appears mainly in adults, is anemia related with iron deficiency or megaloblastic anemia produced by vitamin B12 and folic acid depletions linked to chronic diarrheic diseases [72,75]. This etiology seems also plausible for the adults of Jabuticabeira II.

The introduction of a supplementary diet based on marine resources [87] should not be underestimated as a modulating factor for child morbidity-mortality. A marine diet could have represented important risks in terms of parasitic and bacterial infection [118–121], especially in pre-ceramic times. In addition, archaeological evidence suggests that sambaqui populations were able to exploit their ecosystem with remarkable efficacy, allowing the establishment of dense sedentary settlements [18,21]. Deficiencies in sanitation, agglomeration of people and consumption of contaminated drinking water therefore cannot be ruled out as explanations for stunting, anemia-related markers or premature death. That is due to the association of all these factors with chronic or recurrent gastrointestinal infections [47,51,121].

In Jabuticabeira II, the introduction of infectious agents along with the supplementary diet seems to have had an immediate lethal effect in some individuals [63]. Considering an expected delay between the introduction of infected foods and the period of effective deterioration of health, the age pattern of infant mortality approximately coincides with the introduction of the supplementary diet (between 4 and 6 months) and the adult diet (after 2–3 years), as estimated by isotopic analyses [87]. A slow height growth throughout the first 3 years of life can also be attributed to diets with low energetic quality, regardless of enough protein intakes [122].

Supporting these inferences, LEH observed in adults and juveniles indicate that children of both sexes were exposed to non-specific physiological stress factors between 1.5 and 5.6 years of age, more severely between 2.5 and 4.8 years. Although is complex correctly correlate age at death in juveniles (characterized by a 6 to 12 months of error) with the onset/end of a disruption of ameloblasts activity that is visible only after enamel maturation [67–69], a clear trend is noticeable. In the cases examined in this study, LEH either begins a few months around the end of weaning (10/17) or much later (7/17).

Considering that hypoplasias are more strongly associated with acute systemic infections (with fever episodes [123:186;124]) than with malnutrition [125], those physiological stressors possibly were infectious diseases such as diarrhea, gastrointestinal parasites, respiratory infections, and non-specific infant infections), maybe linked to the introduction of adult diet, poor hygiene and fatal outcomes [40,51]. Thus, although a link between morbidity and mortality is possible, the definitive causes of death in these children remain obscure, as in most archeological human remains.

Evidence of a relation between infant malnutrition and LEH coincident with weaning patterns has been reported for contemporary populations [71,126]. However, high frequencies of LEH have also been reported for post-weaning ages in historical populations, suggesting that hypoplasia reflect stress produced by the introduction of the adult diet or other nutritional threats [40, 72, 127].

In summary, apart from some reservations about the accuracy of isotopically-determined weaning ages and other potential confounding factors related with inter-individual variability and the constrains of the methods used for age estimations [69, 100, 117], our results show shared patterns among several individuals.
The “intermittent stress of low lethality” model

Our data suggest that the juveniles from Jabuticabeira II would have experienced recurrent periods of stress (possibly in the form of infectious, diarrheal and parasitic diseases) associated with the introduction of a “high risk” diet (possibly contaminated marine food in form of supplementary and adult diets). LEH recorded in adults indicate that the individual survived early-life insults, whereas in juveniles LEH suggest an association with (unknown) cause of death. In Jabuticabeira II, the “survivors” would have lived a life of recurrent periods of morbidity (anemia, infections, and non-specific stress), most likely associated with the parasitic burden of the ecosystem and limited sanitation as the main etiological factors, and less probably due to malnutrition.

It has been hypothesized that individuals with more stress episodes during childhood are more prone to higher morbidity and early mortality [4,10,11,13], in agreement with the “plasticity/constrain” model [4,15]. According to Temple [4], this model would be confirmed by a negative correlation between the age at the first LEH and the number of LEH episodes, and by a positive correlation between the age at the first LEH and age-at-death. In Jabuticabeira II the influence of early stress in adult mortality has not been categorically proven and only the first condition (recurrent early stress) was confirmed.

According to the Osteological Paradox, a positive correlation between high prevalence of bone lesions and increased age would reflect increased resilience and low underlying relative frailty [7,128]. CO and PH are most likely developed in the first few years of life, but their presence in adulthood (affecting between 70–90% of the entire population of Jabuticabeira II: [104]), as active or healed lesions, suggest incidence of metabolic stress possibly related to anemia.

In Jabuticabeira II, the only statistically significant correlation was detected between pericapical lesions and age-at-death. This suggests more frequent lesions in groups of older individuals, which is normally associated with less frailty [7]. However, pericapical lesions could be also interpreted as age-related effect of poor oral health, linked to several idiosyncratic and/or local conditions (carious lesions, severe dental wear, osteoporosis, underlying disease, etc. [32,85,86]). This pattern was associated with more frailty in older ages in medieval dense populations [129].

Based on our skeletal analyses, it is impossible to know if PR and infections were recurrent or a one-time event, but some of them (multifocal periosteal reactions related with specific infections such as treponematoses: [36]) suggest “chronicity” or “non-lethality” [7]. The cumulative effect of these metabolic insults could be related to the premature or delayed death of adults. However, we could also not categorically show this in our sample.

Adult mortality would also be modulated by life-threatening acute infections (for those adults who exhibit osteomyelitis or even some potentially fatal dental abscesses), and other imponderable causes, which are not necessarily traceable when analyzing bones. Infection could have happened at any point in individual’s life.

Because we could not categorically prove the relation between early stress and premature death, and higher morbidity (the sum of stress indicators in individuals) is apparently not related to younger ages-at-death, the data obtained in this study are not entirely consistent either with the “plasticity/constrain” model nor the “predictive adaptive response” model [4,15,17]. Instead our data best fits to a model of “intermittent stress of low lethality”: the adaptation to recurrent metabolic insults of low impact that allows survival to reproductive age with relative success, overcoming environmental aggressions in physiological, physical and cognitive terms. This “intermittent stress of low lethality” is the new model we propose as working hypothesis to be tested in populations similar to Jabuticabeira II.
Jabuticabeira II individuals survive significant growth disorders, recurrent juvenile stressors (possibly anemia-related factors more likely associated with infections linked to high parasitic loads rather than malnutrition), and repetitive infections along their adult life, but not necessarily die at earlier ages.

The adaptation to recurrent stressors of relative low lethality imposed by the environment, although generous, does not disagree with previous studies that conclude that *sambaqui* were constructed and inhabited by flexible, successful, stable and growing populations [18, 27]. The high amount of available protein, a stable environment and/or other unknown cultural buffers could explain their relative individual success and the enormous longevity of their culture.
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