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ABSTRACT. Surprisingly, the class numbers of cyclotomic fields have only been
determined for fields of small conductor, e.g., for prime conductors up to 67,
due to the problem of finding the “plus part,” i.e., the class number of the
maximal real subfield. Our results have improved the situation. We prove
that the plus part of the class number is 1 for prime conductors between 71
and 151. Also, under the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis,
we determine the class number for prime conductors between 167 and 241. This
technique generalizes to any totally real field of moderately large discriminant,
allowing us to confront a large class of number fields not previously treatable.

1. Introduction

The cyclotomic fields are among the most intensively studied classes of number
fields. Yet their class numbers remain quite mysterious. Surprisingly, for cyclo-
tomic fields of prime conductor, the class number has only been determined up to
conductor 67, and no further cyclotomic fields of prime conductor have had their
class numbers determined unconditionally since the results of Masley [2] more than
three decades ago. The difficulty lies in the calculation of the “plus part” of the
class number, i.e., the class number of the maximal real subfield, a problem that
has been described as “notoriously hard” [6]. For fields of larger conductor, their
Minkowski bounds are far too large to be useful, and their discriminants are too
large for their class numbers to be treated by Odlyzko’s discriminant bounds.

Our results have improved the situation. Following the method introduced in
the author’s earlier paper [3], we overcome the problem of large discriminants by
establishing nontrivial lower bounds for sums over the prime ideals of the Hilbert
class field, allowing us to obtain an upper bound for the class number. We have
the following main result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p$ be a prime integer, and let $Q(\zeta_p, \zeta_p^{-1})$ denote the maximal
real subfield of the $p$-th cyclotomic field $Q(\zeta_p)$. Then the class number of
$Q(\zeta_p, \zeta_p^{-1})$ is 1 for $p \leq 151$.

Furthermore, under the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the
class number $h$ of $Q(\zeta_p, \zeta_p^{-1})$ is

$$h = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } p \leq 241 \text{ and } p \neq 163, 191, 229, \\
4 & \text{if } p = 163, \\
11 & \text{if } p = 191, \\
3 & \text{if } p = 229.
\end{cases}$$
2. Upper bounds for class numbers using Odlyzko’s discriminant bounds

Odlyzko’s discriminant lower bounds can be applied to find upper bounds for class numbers. Further details can be found in [1], [2] and [4].

**Definition 2.1.** Let $K$ denote a number field of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $d(K)$ denote its discriminant. The *root discriminant* $\text{rd}(K)$ of $K$ is defined to be $$\text{rd}(K) = |d(K)|^{1/n}.$$ 

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $L/K$ be an extension of number fields. Then $$\text{rd}(K) \leq \text{rd}(L),$$ with equality if and only if $L/K$ is unramified at all finite primes.

*Proof.* The discriminants of $K$ and $L$ are related by the formula $$|d(L)| = N(d(L/K)) |d(K)|^{[L:K]},$$ where $d(L/K)$ denotes the relative discriminant ideal and $N$ denotes the absolute norm of the ideal, from which the first statement follows. A prime of $K$ ramifies in $L$ if and only if the prime divides the relative discriminant $d(L/K)$. Thus, $L/K$ is unramified at all finite primes if and only if $d(L/K)$ is the unit ideal, proving the second statement. $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** Let $K$ be a number field. Then the Hilbert class field of $K$ has the same root discriminant as $K$.

Now suppose $K$ is a totally real number field of degree $n$. Odlyzko constructed a table [5] of pairs $(A,E)$ such that the discriminant of $K$ has the lower bound $$|d(K)| > A^n e^{-E}.$$ 

Masley [2] and van der Linden [1] applied Odlyzko’s discriminant bounds to the Hilbert class field and used the corollary above to get $$\log \text{rd}(K) > \log A - \frac{E}{hn}.$$ 

If $\text{rd}(K) < A$, then we obtain an upper bound for the class number $h$, $$h < \frac{E}{n(log A - \log \text{rd}(K))}.$$ 

However, if the root discriminant of $K$ is larger than the largest $A$ in Odlyzko’s table, the above method cannot be applied. The largest value for $A$ in Odlyzko’s table is 60.704 (or 213.626 if the generalized Riemann hypothesis is assumed).

3. Upper bounds for class numbers beyond Odlyzko’s discriminant bounds

We may obtain an upper bounds for class numbers of number fields of root discriminant larger than 60.704 by establishing lower bounds for sums over the prime ideals of the Hilbert class field. The author’s earlier paper [3] treats this in detail. We repeat here two lemmas that will be useful.

If we do not assume the generalized Riemann hypothesis, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $K$ be a totally real field of degree $n$, and let

$$F(x) = \frac{e^{-(\frac{\pi}{2})^2}}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}}$$

for some positive constant $c$. Suppose $S$ is a subset of the prime integers which totally split into principal prime ideals of $K$. Let

$$B = \frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma + \log 8\pi - \log \text{rd}(K) - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}} \right) \, dx$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{p \in S} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} F(m \log p).$$

If $B > 0$, then we have an upper bound for the class number $h$ of $K$,

$$h < \frac{2c\sqrt{\pi}}{nB}.$$

On the other hand, if we assume the truth of the generalized Riemann hypothesis, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $K$ be a totally real field of degree $n$, and let

$$F(x) = \frac{e^{-(\frac{\pi}{2})^2}}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}}$$

for some positive constant $c$. Suppose $S$ is a subset of the prime integers which totally split into principal prime ideals of $K$. Let

$$B = \frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma + \log 8\pi - \log \text{rd}(K) - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}} \right) \, dx$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{p \in S} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} F(m \log p).$$

If $B > 0$, then we have, under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, an upper bound for the class number $h$ of $K$,

$$h < \frac{2c\sqrt{\pi}e^{(c/4)^2}}{nB}.$$

Given an element $x$ of a Galois number field $K$, we define its norm to be

$$N(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/Q)} \sigma(x).$$

Note that if $x$ is in the ring of integers of $K$, and if its norm is a prime integer which is unramified in $K$, then $p$ totally splits into principal ideals, and we can take $p$ to be in the set $S$ above. Once we find sufficiently many such prime integers which totally split into principal ideals, we can establish an upper bound for the class number.

Once the upper bound is established, we can frequently use various “push up” and “push down” lemmas [1][2] to pin down the exact class number. However, our preference will be to appeal to the results of Schoof [6]. In his “Main Table”, for each prime conductor less than 10,000, he gives a number $\tilde{h}$ such that the class number $h$ either equals $\tilde{h}$ or $h > 80000 \cdot \tilde{h}$. In particular, if our upper bound for $h$ is less than 80000, then we have $h = \tilde{h}$. 
Table 1. Integral elements of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{71} + \zeta_{71}^{-1}) \) and their norms

| Element                        | Norm  |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| \( b_0 + b_1 - b_6 \)         | 283   |
| \( b_0 + b_1 + b_8 \)         | 569   |
| \( b_0 + b_1 + b_4 - b_{22} \)| 709   |
| \( b_0 + b_1 - b_5 \)         | 853   |
| \( b_0 + b_1 + b_3 + b_{12} \)| 1277  |
| \( b_0 + b_1 - b_8 - b_{13} \)| 1279  |
| \( b_0 + b_1 + b_2 - b_{28} \)| 1847  |
| \( b_0 + b_1 - b_{13} \)      | 1987  |
| \( b_0 + b_1 - b_3 + b_{10} \)| 2129  |
| \( b_0 + b_1 - b_{27} \)      | 2131  |

4. THE CLASS NUMBER OF \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \) FOR PRIMES \( p = 71, 73, 79, 83 \)

We recall a few facts about real cyclotomic fields of prime conductor. Let \( p \) be a prime integer, and let \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \) denote the \( p \)th real cyclotomic field, i.e., the maximal real subfield of the cyclotomic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \), where \( \zeta_p \) is a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity. The degree \( n \) of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \) is \( n = (p - 1)/2 \), and its discriminant is given by

\[
\text{d}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})) = p^{\frac{n}{2}(p-3)}.
\]

Thus, its root discriminant is

\[
\text{rd}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})) = p^{\frac{n-3}{p}}.
\]

The prime integers which totally split in this field are precisely those which are congruent to \( \pm 1 \) modulo \( p \).

The ring of integers of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \) is \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}] \). Until otherwise noted, the integral basis that we will use is \( \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\} \), with \( b_0 = 1 \) and \( b_j = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{p} \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \).

For \( p = 71 \), the root discriminant is approximately 62.85, which is too large for the Odlyzko bounds to be useful. Our goal is to find sufficiently many algebraic integers \( x \) in \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{71} + \zeta_{71}^{-1}) \) such that \( N(x) \) is a prime integer congruent to \( \pm 1 \) modulo \( p \), and then apply Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 4.1.** In the real cyclotomic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{71} + \zeta_{71}^{-1}) \), there exist algebraic integers of norms 283, 569, 709, 853, 1277, 1279, 1847, 1987, 2129, and 2131, i.e., the ten smallest prime integers that are congruent to \( \pm 1 \) modulo 71.

**Proof.** Let \( b_0 = 1 \) and \( b_j = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi j}{71} \) for \( j \) from 1 to 34. Then \( \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{34}\} \) is an integral basis of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{71} + \zeta_{71}^{-1}) \). We search over “sparse vectors”, where almost all the coefficients are zero, and the remaining coefficients are \( \pm 1 \). We find the ten integral elements and their norms in Table 1. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.2.** The class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{71} + \zeta_{71}^{-1}) \) is 1.

**Proof.** Let \( F \) be the function

\[
F(x) = \frac{e^{-(x^2)}}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}}
\]
with \( c = 15 \). We have the following lower bound for the contribution of prime ideals,

\[
2 \sum_{p \in S} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} F(m \log p) > 2 \sum_{p \in S} \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} F(\log p) > 0.2448.
\]

The following integral can be calculated using numerical integration:

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}} \right) \, dx < 1.2964.
\]

We have

\[
B = \frac{\pi}{2} + \gamma + \log 8\pi - \log \text{rd}(K) - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}} \right) \, dx
\]

\[
+ 2 \sum_{p \in S} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} F(m \log p) > 0.1796.
\]

By Lemma 3.1 the class number is less than 9. Applying Schoof’s table [6], or using more elementary algebraic arguments, we find that the class number is 1. □

The proofs for conductors 73, 79 and 83 are entirely similar. It is straightforward to find algebraic integers with the 10 smallest prime norms congruent to \( \pm 1 \) modulo \( p \). This is sufficient to get an upper bound less than 80000. Using Schoof’s table, we find that each has class number 1.

5. The class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \), prime \( p \), 89 ≤ \( p \) ≤ 131

We first consider the conductor 131. The root discriminant of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{131} + \zeta_{131}^{-1}) \) is approximately 121.53. Quite a few prime ideals will be required in order to have a sufficiently large contribution to establish an upper bound for the class number.

Proposition 5.1. The class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{131} + \zeta_{131}^{-1}) \) is 1.

Proof. Let \( n \) denote the degree of the field. We calculate the norm of every element of the form

\[
x = b_0 + b_1 + a_1b_{j_1} + a_2b_{j_2} + a_3b_{j_3} + a_4b_{j_4} + a_5b_{j_5} + a_6b_{j_6},
\]

where \( 1 < j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < j_4 < j_5 < j_6 < n \) and \( a_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq 6 \). By searching these sparse vectors \( x \) and calculating their norms, we find 12,087 prime integers that are less than 20,000,000 and congruent to \( \pm 1 \) modulo 131.

Let \( S \) be the set of those 12,087 primes, and let

\[
F(x) = \frac{e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\log \frac{\pi}{2}}\right)^2}}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}}
\]

with \( c = 1000 \). We have the following lower bound for the contribution of prime ideals,

\[
2 \sum_{p \in S} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} F(m \log p) > 2 \sum_{p \in S} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} F(\log p) > 0.708.
\]

By numerical integration, we have

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{x}{2}} \right) \, dx < 1.264.
\]
We have $B > 0.015$, so by applying Lemma 3.1 we find that the class number is less than 3636. We can now use Schoof’s table [6] to find that the class number is 1.

The proof for prime conductors between 89 and 127 is entirely similar, and we find that all have class number 1.

6. The class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$ for primes $p = 137, 139, 149, 151$

As the root discriminant of the fields increases, so does the required contribution from the prime ideals. To find sufficiently many split primes in fields of larger discriminant, it is often quicker to additionally search over sparse vectors using an alternative basis. A useful alternative to the basis

\[ b_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_j, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1. \]

**Proposition 6.1.** The class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{151} + \zeta_{151}^{-1})$ is 1.

**Proof.** Let $n$ denote the degree of the field, and let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the ring of integers. We first consider all $x \in \mathcal{O}$ of the forms

\[ x = b_0 + b_1 + a_1 b_{j_1} + a_2 b_{j_2} + a_3 b_{j_3} + a_4 b_{j_4} + a_5 b_{j_5} + a_6 b_{j_6} \]

and

\[ x = b_1 + a_1 b_{j_1} + a_2 b_{j_2} + a_3 b_{j_3} + a_4 b_{j_4} + a_5 b_{j_5} + a_6 b_{j_6}, \]

where $1 < j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < j_4 < j_5 < j_6 < n$ and $a_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 6$.

We also search over the alternative basis,

\[ x = c_0 + a_1 c_{k_1} + a_2 c_{k_2} + a_3 c_{k_3} + a_4 c_{k_4} + a_5 c_{k_5} + a_6 c_{k_6}, \]

where $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < k_4 < k_5 < k_6 < n$ and $a_k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 6$.

Let $T$ denote the set of all such elements $x$.

The ideal $(p)$ is totally ramified. Thus, if $x \in \mathcal{O}$ has norm $N(x)$ divisible by $p$, we can divide $x$ by any element of norm $p$, say $2b_0 - b_1$, to get an algebraic integer $(2b_0 - b_1)^{-1} x$ with norm $N(x)/p$. Therefore consider the non-$p$ parts of all norms $N(x)$. Initially we search these sparse vectors to find norms which are less than $10^{15}$ and congruent to $\pm 1$ modulo 151. We define the set $U$ to be

\[ U = \{ \text{non}-p \text{ part of } N(x) | x \in T, N(x) < 10^{15} \}. \]

Let $S_1$ be the set of primes

\[ S_1 = \{ m : m \in U, m \text{ prime, } m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{151} \}. \]

Unfortunately, we find that primes of $S_1$ make an insufficient contribution. We could attempt to search over sparse vectors with more nonzero coefficients, but this is very time consuming. Instead we find elements of larger norm, and take quotients, as will be described below.

Let $S_2$ be the set of primes defined by

\[ S_2 = \{ pq : p, q \in U, p \text{ prime, } p \not\in S_1, q \in S_1 \}, \]

noting that if $N(x) = pq$ and $N(y) = q$, for $x, y \in \mathcal{O}$, then $\frac{x}{\sigma(y)}$ is in $\mathcal{O}$ with norm $p$ for some Galois automorphism $\sigma$. 

Now put \( S = S_1 \cup S_2 \) and \( c = 115 \). We have the following lower bound for the contribution of prime ideals,

\[
2 \sum_{p \in S} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} F(m \log p) > 2 \sum_{p \in S} \sum_{m=1}^{2} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}} F(m \log p) > 0.8745.
\]

Applying Lemma 3.1 we have \( B > 0.0316 \), so the class number is less than 171. We can now use Schoof’s table [6] to find the class number is 1.

The proofs for conductors 137, 139 and 149 are entirely similar. We determine that all have class number 1, and have proved the first statement of Theorem 1.1.

7. The class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \) for primes \( p, 167 \leq p \leq 193 \)

For prime conductors greater than 151, we will assume the generalized Riemann hypothesis. Using Odlyzko’s discriminant bounds, van der Linden proved (under GRH) that the class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \) is 1 for \( p < 163 \) and is 4 for \( p = 163 \) [1].

Although the question of the class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{167} + \zeta_{167}^{-1}) \) has remained open, we can find its class number as a direct consequence of Schoof’s results [6]. Indeed, the root discriminant of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{167} + \zeta_{167}^{-1}) \) is only 162.93…, so it is small enough for Odlyzko’s discriminant bounds to establish an upper bound for the class number, without any recourse to knowledge of the prime ideals. Choosing the pair \((A, E) = (170.633, 4790.3)\) from Odlyzko’s table “Table 3: GRH Bounds for Discriminants” [5], we get an upper bound for the class number \( h \),

\[
h < \frac{E}{n(\log A - \log \text{rd}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{167} + \zeta_{167}^{-1})))} < 1208.
\]

Since \( h < 80000 \), we can use Schoof’s table to prove \( h = 1 \) for \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{167} + \zeta_{167}^{-1}) \).

Similarly, we can use the Odlyzko GRH bounds together with Schoof’s table to determine the class numbers of prime conductor between 173 and 193, all of which are 1 except for \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{191} + \zeta_{191}^{-1}) \) which has class number 11.

8. The class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}) \) for primes \( p, 197 \leq p \leq 241 \)

The root discriminant of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{197} + \zeta_{197}^{-1}) \) is only 186.66…, so we can also apply Odlyzko’s GRH bounds here to get an upper bound of \( h < 152927 \). Unfortunately, this bound is not less than 80000, so we are not yet able use Schoof’s table. To get a better upper bound for \( h \), we will study the prime ideals of the field and apply Lemma 3.2.

**Proposition 8.1.** Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{197} + \zeta_{197}^{-1}) \) is 1.

**Proof.** Searching for an algebraic integer with small prime norm congruent to \( \pm 1 \) modulo 197, we find the element

\[
b_0 + b_1 + b_2 - b_{10} + b_{33} - b_70 - b_{83}
\]

which has norm 1181.

Assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis, we apply Lemma 3.2 using \( S = \{1181\} \) and \( c = 9.25 \) to prove that the class number is less than 1027. Now we use Schoof’s table [6] to find that the class number is 1. □
Proposition 8.2. Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{199} + \zeta_{199}^{-1})$ is 1.

Proof. As in the proof of the previous proposition, we find two algebraic integers of small prime norm congruent to $\pm 1$ modulo 199:

\[
N(b_0 + b_1 - b_{14} - b_{23} + b_{59} - b_{77}) = 29453
\]
and

\[
N(b_0 + b_1 + b_6 - b_{35} + b_{54} - b_{96} - b_{96}) = 26267.
\]

We apply Lemma 3.2 using $S = \{26267, 29453\}$ and $c = 11.5$ to show that the class number is less than 47719, and use Schoof’s table to prove that the class number is 1. \hfill $\Box$

Proposition 8.3. Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{211} + \zeta_{211}^{-1})$ is 1.

Proof. We find an algebraic integer of small prime norm congruent to $\pm 1$ modulo 211:

\[
N(b_0 + b_1 - b_8 - b_{60} + b_{64} + b_{67}) = 2111.
\]

We apply Lemma 3.2 using $S = \{2111\}$ and $c = 10.75$ to get that the class number is less than 13476, and use Schoof’s table to find the class number is 1. \hfill $\Box$

For fields of larger discriminant, it is more difficult to find split primes of small norm. Often the quickest approach is to additionally search over sparse vectors using a different basis, and then take quotients of appropriately chosen algebraic integers. We use the alternative basis

\[
c_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_j, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1,
\]
given earlier. This approach is used in the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 8.4. Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{223} + \zeta_{223}^{-1})$ is 1.

Proof. Searching over the alternative basis $(c_k)$, we find the algebraic integer

\[
\alpha = c_0 - c_6 - c_{26} - c_{77} + c_{99}
\]
which has norm 6689 $\cdot$ 42284369. Searching over the usual basis $(b_j)$, we find

\[
\beta = b_1 + b_{11} + b_{30} + b_{95}
\]
which has norm 42284369. For some Galois automorphism $\sigma$, the quotient $\gamma = \frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\beta}$ is an algebraic integer of norm 6689. We also can find the algebraic integer

\[
\delta = c_0 + c_6 - c_{11} - c_{15} + c_{25}
\]
which has norm 2677 $\cdot$ 6689. Taking quotients again using a (possibly different) Galois automorphism $\sigma$, we can find an algebraic integer $\frac{\sigma(\delta)}{\gamma}$ of norm 2677. Letting $S = \{2677, 6689\}$ and $c = 10.5$, we can apply Lemma 3.2 to find that the class number is less than 6762, and we use Schoof’s table [6] to find that the class number is 1. \hfill $\Box$

Proposition 8.5. Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{227} + \zeta_{227}^{-1})$ is 1.
Table 2. Integral elements of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{227} + \zeta_{227}^{-1}) \) and their norms

| Element | Norm          |
|---------|---------------|
| \( b_0 + b_1 - b_{21} + b_{75} - b_{96} - b_{112} \) | 4053311 |
| \( c_0 + c_4 + c_{35} - c_{56} + c_{83} \) | 4053311 \cdot 7717 |
| \( c_0 + c_{40} + c_{68} + c_{77} + c_{83} \) | 7717 \cdot 20431 |
| \( b_1 - b_{12} - b_{41} - b_{53} \) | 20431 \cdot 1361 |

Table 3. Integral elements of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{229} + \zeta_{229}^{-1}) \) and their norms

| Element | Norm          |
|---------|---------------|
| \( c_0 - c_4 - c_{10} - c_{41} + c_{106} + c_{112} \) | 4887317 |
| \( b_1 - b_6 + b_{54} + b_{107} \) | 4887317 \cdot 2699453 |
| \( c_0 + c_{10} + c_{53} + c_{71} - c_{79} + c_{87} \) | 2699453 \cdot 49463 |
| \( c_0 - c_{13} + c_{14} - c_{63} - c_{77} + c_{79} \) | 49463 \cdot 207017 |
| \( b_0 + b_1 - b_2 - b_{16} - b_{72} + b_{88} \) | 207017 \cdot 43051 |
| \( c_0 - c_3 - c_{41} - c_{45} + c_{67} \) | 43051 \cdot 6871 |
| \( c_0 - c_4 - c_{41} + c_{53} + c_{96} \) | 6871 \cdot 2749 |

**Proof.** Searching over sparse vectors, using our two bases \((b_j)\) and \((c_k)\), we find the integral elements and their norms in Table 2. By successively taking quotients by the appropriate Galois conjugates, we can find algebraic integers of norms 7717, 20431 and 1361.

Setting \( S = \{1361, 7717, 20431\} \) and \( c = 9.75 \), we apply Lemma 3.2 to get a class number upper bound of 1431. Using Schoof’s table, we find that the class number is 1.

**Proposition 8.6.** Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{229} + \zeta_{229}^{-1}) \) is 3.

**Proof.** Searching over sparse vectors, using our two bases \((b_j)\) and \((c_k)\), we find the integral elements and their norms in Table 3. By successively taking quotients by the appropriate Galois conjugates, we can find algebraic integers of norms 6871 and 2749.

Setting \( S = \{2749, 6871\} \) and \( c = 11 \), we apply Lemma 3.2 to show a class number upper bound of 12734. Using Schoof’s table, we prove that the class number is 3.

**Proposition 8.7.** Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{233} + \zeta_{233}^{-1}) \) is 1.

**Proof.** Searching over sparse vectors, using our two bases \((b_j)\) and \((c_k)\), we find the integral elements and their norms in Table 4. By successively taking quotients by the appropriate Galois conjugates, we can find algebraic integers of norm 467.

Setting \( S = \{467\} \) and \( c = 9.5 \), we apply Lemma 3.2 to find a class number upper bound of 1450. Using Schoof’s table, we prove the class number is 1.

**Proposition 8.8.** Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{239} + \zeta_{239}^{-1}) \) is 1.
Table 4. Integral elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{233} + \zeta_{233}^{-1})$ and their norms

| Element                          | Norm          |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| $b_0 + b_1 + b_{14} + b_{69}$    | 53591         |
| $b_1 + b_6 - b_{21} + b_{77} - b_{114}$ | 53591 · 76423 |
| $b_0 + b_1 - b_8 - b_{40} + b_{47} - b_{86}$ | 76423 · 174749 |
| $b_0 + b_1 + b_{20} + b_{95}$    | 174749 · 467  |

Table 5. Integral elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{239} + \zeta_{239}^{-1})$ and their norms

| Element                          | Norm           |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| $b_0 + b_1 - b_{10} - b_{18} - b_{106} - b_{107} + b_{116}$ | 4423479397     |
| $b_1 - b_2 - b_{33} - b_{60} + b_{83} - b_{84}$             | 4423479397 · 2389 |
| $b_0 + b_1 + b_{22} - b_{46}$                                 | 2389 · 271981  |
| $c_0 - c_{11} + c_{21} - c_93 + c_{104}$                    | 271981 · 10993 |

Table 6. Integral elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{241} + \zeta_{241}^{-1})$ and their norms

| Element                          | Non-241 part of norm |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| $b_0 + b_1 - b_{37} - b_{52} - b_{118}$ | 5926189              |
| $c_0 + c_8 - c_{12} + c_{43} - c_{47} + c_{99}$ | 5926189 · 87487819 |
| $b_0 + b_1 + b_{10} + b_{11} + b_{49} + b_{56} - b_{117}$ | 87487819 · 47237    |
| $c_0 - c_6 - c_{63} - c_{67} + c_{68}$                         | 47237 · 12049       |
| $b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - b_{17} - b_{44} + b_{61}$                  | 12049 · 68927       |
| $c_0 - c_{15} + c_{46} - c_{65} + c_{66}$                     | 68927 · 56393       |
| $c_0 + c_3 + c_{42} + c_{53} + c_{95} + c_{100}$              | 56393 · 5783        |
| $b_1 + b_2 + b_{61} + b_{76} - b_{104}$                       | 5783 · 1447         |

Proof. Searching over sparse vectors, using our two bases $(b_j)$ and $(c_k)$, we find the integral elements and their norms in Table 5. By successively taking quotients by the appropriate Galois conjugates, we can find algebraic integers of norms 2389, 271981 and 10993.

Setting $S = \{2389, 10993, 271981\}$ and $c = 11.5$, we apply Lemma 3.2 to establish a class number upper bound of 32486. Using Schoof’s table, we find the class number is 1. □

**Proposition 8.9.** Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{241} + \zeta_{241}^{-1})$ is 1.

Proof. Searching over sparse vectors, using our two bases $(b_j)$ and $(c_k)$, we find the integral elements and their norms in Table 6. Note that although the norm of $b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - b_{17} - b_{44} + b_{61}$ is actually 12049 · 68927 · 241, we can divide by any element of norm 241, such as $2b_0 - b_1$, to get an algebraic integer of norm 12049 · 68927.

By successively taking quotients by the appropriate Galois conjugates, we can find algebraic integers of norms 12049, 5783 and 1447.

Setting $S = \{1447, 5783, 12049\}$ and $c = 10$, we apply Lemma 3.2 to show a class number upper bound of 2153. Using Schoof’s table, we prove the class number is 1. □
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

9. Concluding remarks

It is remarkable to observe the power of analytic objects — the L-functions and their explicit formulae — when brought to bear on the essentially algebraic problem of determining the class number of a real cyclotomic field. For example, to unconditionally prove that \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{83} + \zeta_{83}^{-1}] \) is a principal ideal domain using the explicit formula, we only need to prove three prime ideals are principal, which stands in stark contrast to using the Minkowski bound, which require approximately \( 10^{20} \) primes to be checked.

The techniques used in this paper may be used to calculate upper bounds of class numbers of other totally real number fields of moderately large discriminant, allowing us to tackle the class number problem for a large group of number fields which previously had not been treatable by any known methods. For example, the calculation of the class numbers of the real cyclotomic fields of conductors 256 and 512 can be found in the author’s earlier paper [3].
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