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Abstract

Introduction

Anti-carbamylated protein (anti-CarP) antibodies have been described in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and arthralgia patients at risk to develop RA. To what extent these auto-antibodies are specific for RA is unknown. Therefore, we investigated the diagnostic performance of the presence of anti-CarP antibodies for RA in a setting of early arthritis.

Method

Anti-CarP antibodies were detected using carbamylated-fetal calf serum as substrate. Anti-CCP2 antibodies were measured using ELISA and IgM rheumatoid factor (RF) as part of routine care. Sera were derived from patients in the Leiden Early Arthritis Clinic (EAC) cohort obtained at inclusion. Test characteristics were determined using the fulfillment of the 2010 RA criteria after 1 year as outcome.

Results

In total 2,086 early arthritis patients were studied regarding the presence of anti-CarP antibodies. We observed that the sensitivity and specificity of the presence of anti-CarP antibodies for RA were respectively 44% and 89%. As a reference sensitivity and specificity of the presence of anti-CCP2 antibodies were respectively 54% and 96% and of IgM-RF 59% and 91%. Patients harboring anti-CarP antibodies not classified as RA were mainly diagnosed with undifferentiated arthritis and less frequently reactive arthritis and psoriatic arthritis.

Conclusion

Anti-CarP antibodies are predominantly present in RA but can also be detected in other forms of arthritis.
Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic, systemic autoimmune disease affecting synovial joints. RA can be classified using the 2010 American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism classification criteria (2010 ACR/EULAR criteria) for rheumatoid arthritis [1]. In this quantitative system points can be obtained from: joint involvement, auto-antibodies, acute phase reactants and duration of symptoms [1]. Anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) and rheumatoid factor (RF) are included in the 2010 ACR/EULAR criteria because of their high sensitivity and specificity in RA patients [1]. The presence of either ACPA or RF contributes 2 points and a 'high' level of either ACPA or RF contributes 1 extra point. The sensitivity of ACPA (~67%) for RA is comparable to IgM-RF (~69%) while their specificity (~95%) is higher than that of IgM-RF (~85%) [2]. Recently, we identified anti-carbamylated protein (anti-CarP) antibodies in both anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide 2 (anti-CCP2) antibody positive and negative RA patients [3-5]. Anti-CarP antibodies target proteins which are modified through a post-translational modification named carbamylation [6]. Carbamylation is mediated by cyanate which mainly modifies lysine residues. The level of cyanate is in equilibrium with urea and can be increased for example during renal failure, during smoking and during inflammation through a mechanism depending on myeloperoxidase (MPO) [7], the level of which is increased in RA patients [8]. The process of carbamylation is not restricted to RA but the formation of antibodies against these modified proteins is. Since the presence of anti-CCP2 antibodies is strongly associated with the HLA-Shared Epitope (SE) alleles and smoking, there is no association between anti-CarP antibodies and smoking after the correction for anti-CCP2 antibodies [4]. Anti-CarP antibodies are also not associated with HLA-SE following the correction for anti-CCP2 antibodies but possibly with HLA-DR*3 [4]. How anti-CarP antibodies would contribute to arthritis is unknown but may involve immune complex formation between anti-CarP antibodies and carbamylated proteins in the joint.

The presence of anti-CarP antibodies in anti-CCP2 antibody negative RA patients was associated with increased disease activity [5,9] and with a more severe joint damage [3,9,10]. Anti-CarP antibodies were also found in about 40% of RF and/or ACPA positive arthralgia patients, who have joint pain without clinically detectable arthritis [11]. Comparable to ACPA, also anti-CarP antibodies are independently associated with the risk of developing RA in these arthralgia patients [11]. Anti-CarP antibodies can be detected in serum many years before the clinical diagnosis of RA [10,12,13] and are independently associated with increased joint damage at the baseline of RA diagnosis [10].
Since anti-CarP antibodies have prognostic value in RA patients we are interested in their diagnostic performance for RA in comparison to ACPA and RF in a clinically relevant setting of early arthritis.

Patients and methods

Patients

We analyzed baseline sera of patients included in the Leiden Early Arthritis Clinic (EAC) cohort, which contains patients with arthritis of at least one joint and a symptom duration less than 2 years [13]. We measured the presence of anti-CarP, anti-CCP2 antibodies and IgM-RF in the sera of 2,086 unselected consecutive EAC sera that were collected between 1993 and 2011. The outcome was the diagnosis after 1 year of disease, we classified RA by strictly applying the 2010 ACR/EULAR criteria. Disease categories containing less than 20 patients were merged as “other” rheumatic diseases. The control sera were collected from healthy, non-arthritic, inhabitants of the Leiden area. The protocols were approved by the Leiden University Medical Center ethics committee and informed consent was obtained.

Anti-CarP, anti-CCP2 and IgM-RF measurements

Anti-CarP antibodies were detected using carbamylated Fetal Calf Serum as antigen as described before [3,10,12]. IgM-RF was determined as part of routine care and anti-CCP2 ELISA (Euro-Diagnostica) was performed following the manufacturer’s instructions. The cut-offs for the anti-CarP antibody ELISA was set as the mean plus two times the standard deviation (SD) of the healthy controls.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Dunn’s multiple comparison test were performed to compare the levels of anti-CarP antibodies between diagnoses. Chi-square test with multiple testing correction was performed to compare the percentages of anti-CarP antibodies, anti-CCP2 antibodies and IgM-RF positive patients in different types of arthritis. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), positive likelihood ratio (LR+) and negative likelihood ratio (LR-) of anti-CarP antibodies for RA were calculated. The area under the receiver operator characteristic curve (AUC) of anti-CarP antibodies for RA was calculated. P-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
Results

Sensitivity and specificity of anti-CarP antibodies for RA

The Leiden EAC cohort comprises patients with several forms of recent onset arthritis which can be encountered in the setting of an outpatient clinic [14]. Of the 2,086 patients analyzed 969 patients (47%) were classified with RA and 493 (24%) patients as undifferentiated arthritis (UA). A complete overview of the diagnoses is presented in Figure 1. We observed that anti-CarP antibodies were present in 26% of all patients analyzed and in 2% of the healthy controls. The test characteristics were subsequently determined with RA according to the 2010-criteria as outcome. The sensitivity of detection of anti-CarP antibodies in RA patients was 44% and the specificity of anti-CarP antibodies for RA 89%. In the ACPA negative stratum the sensitivity and specificity were respectively 12% and 91%.

Figure 1. Distribution of anti-CarP antibodies in sera of patients suffering from early arthritis The number of controls and patients in each disease and the levels of anti-CarP antibodies in the serum of each individual are shown. Horizontal dashed line indicates cut-off. Abbreviations used: RA; Rheumatoid Arthritis, UA; Undifferentiated Arthritis, PsA; Psoriatic Arthritis, OA; inflammatory Osteo Arthritis, ReA; Reactive Arthritis (bacterial and viral), SpA; Spondylarthropathy with peripheral arthritis, RS3PE; Remitting Seronegative Symmetrical Synovitis with Pitting Edema, Sarc; Sarcoidosis, Ps-Gout; Pseudo Gout.
Diagnostic performance of anti-CarP antibodies in relation to anti-CCP2 and RF for diagnosing RA

The performance of detecting anti-CarP antibodies for diagnosing RA was compared to that of anti-CCP2 and RF. We observed a sensitivity for RA of respectively 44%, 54% and 59% for anti-CarP, anti-CCP2 and RF, with a specificity of respectively 89%, 96% and 91% (Table 1). The LR+ of anti-CarP antibodies for RA was 4.2 which was lower than the LR+ of anti-CCP2 antibodies (12.9) and IgM-RF (6.9). The LR- for anti-CarP antibodies (0.62) was slightly higher compared to anti-CCP2 antibodies (0.48) and IgM-RF (0.44). Within the total study population, the AUC of anti-CarP positivity was 0.67 (95%CI 0.64-0.69) (Figure 2A). In anti-CCP2 negative early arthritis patients it was 0.52 (95%CI 0.48-0.55) suggesting that knowledge on anti-CarP auto-antibody status added only limited information for diagnosing RA.

Table 1. The test characteristics of different autoantibodies in RA

|                          | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | LR+ | LR- |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| anti-CarP                | 44%         | 89%         | 78% | 65% | 4.2 | 0.62|
| anti-CCP2                | 54%         | 96%         | 94% | 64% | 12.9| 0.48|
| IgM-RF                   | 59%         | 91%         | 86% | 72% | 6.9 | 0.44|
| Anti-CarP in anti-CCP–neg pt | 12%    | 91%         | 37% | 71% | 1.3 | 0.97|

A comparison is provided on the test characteristics of anti-CarP, anti-CCP and IgM RF for diagnosing RA. In addition the performance of anti-CarP in the CCP2 negative stratum is analyzed. Abbreviations used: PPV; positive predictive value, NPV; negative predictive value, LR+; positive likelihood ratio, LR-; negative likelihood ratio.

Figure 2. Anti-CarP antibodies in relation to ACPA and IgM-RF in RA and other forms of early arthritis (A) Receiver Operator Curves and AUC analyses of dichotomous data of anti-CarP antibodies in the whole cohort. (B) Distribution of positivity for anti-CarP antibodies, anti-CCP2 antibodies and IgM-RF in the patients diagnosed with RA. (C) Distribution of these autoantibodies in the patients diagnosed with the non-RA forms of early arthritis. Both for all individuals for whom data on anti-CarP and CCP2 and RF were available (n=934 for RA and n=780 for non-RA). (D) Odds Ratio's for having the diagnosis RA based on the presence of one, two or three autoantibodies relative to having zero autoantibodies.
Occurrence of anti-CarP antibodies in other forms of arthritis

In figure 1 the level of anti-CarP antibodies in the sera of individual types of early arthritis are depicted. Anti-CarP antibodies were most prevalent in RA, but were also detected in other forms of early arthritis (table 2), similar to ACPA and RF. This does not seem to be restricted to certain forms of early arthritis, possibly with the exception of pseudogout (table 2). Analyzing the anti-CarP positive non-RA early arthritis patients (n=120) separately revealed that these patients were mainly diagnosed as undifferentiated arthritis (42%), reactive arthritis (9%), psoriatic arthritis (9%) or peripheral spondyloarthritis (8%).

Comparing the levels of the anti-CarP antibodies in anti-CarP-positive patients across the different forms of early arthritis revealed that the levels were significantly higher in RA compared to the non-RA conditions (p<0.05 in all conditions). However, also in other forms of arthritis high levels were detected occasionally (Figure 1).

| Table 2. Prevalence of different autoantibodies in early arthritis patients with various diagnoses |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| RA | UA | ReA | Gout | Ps-gout | PsA | OA | Sarc | SpA | RS3PE | other |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| anti-CarP 44% 10% 16% 8% 0% 9% 11% 9% 15% 4% 17% |
| anti-CCP2 54% 3% 8% 0% 0% 6% 7% 5% 8% 0% 7% |
| IgM-RF 59% 5% 7% 6% 11% 10% 20% 7% 4% 7% 20% |

Abbreviations used: RA; Rheumatoid Arthritis, UA; Undifferentiated Arthritis, PsA; Psoriatic Arthritis, OA; inflammatory Osteo Arthritis, ReA; Reactive Arthritis (bacterial and viral), SpA; Spondylarthropathy with peripheral arthritis, RS3PE; Remitting Seronegative Symmetrical Synovitis with Pitting Edema, Sarc; Sarcoidosis, Ps-Gout; Pseudo Gout. The percentages indicate the proportion of patients positive for one of the three autoantibodies analyzed in all samples available for each of the diagnoses.

Even though anti-CCP2 antibodies and IgM-RF are both part of the 2010 classification criteria, some patients positive for these autoantibodies had diagnoses other than RA (table 2). We did not observe a non-RA condition that harbored significantly more anti-CarP, anti-CCP2 antibodies or IgM-RF positive patients compared to any other non-RA conditions (p>0.05 in all conditions). The distribution of anti-CarP, anti-CCP2 antibodies and IgM-RF in RA and other patients is shown in figure 2 B and C. In the RA patients the three antibodies analyzed frequently occur together or as combinations of two autoantibodies, whereas in the non-RA group, there are less patients that display double or triple positivity.

Presence of one, two or three autoantibodies and diagnosis RA

When comparing the presence of one, two or three autoantibodies to the patients with zero autoantibodies we observed that with increasing numbers of autoantibodies the OR of
having the diagnosis RA highly increased (Figure 2D). For the group with one autoantibody the OR is 3.8 (CI 2.9-5.0), for two autoantibodies this is 20.9 (CI 12.7-34.3) and increases for three autoantibodies to 112.2 (CI 52.4 – 240.5), with all the groups significantly different from the zero autoantibodies reference group (p<0.0001).

The high OR's observed for the double and triple positives may be expected as ACPA and RF are part of the 2010-criteria. However, it is important to note that comparing the ACPA and RF double positive patients (OR 36.7 (CI 16.9-79.9)) to the ACPA, RF and anti-CarP, triple positive group (112.2 (CI 52.4 – 240.5)), there is an additional effect of OR 3.0 (1.1-8.9) p= 0.04.

**Discussion**

Here we have analyzed the sensitivity and specificity of detecting anti-CarP antibodies for RA in a setting of early arthritis encountered at the rheumatology outpatient clinic. The sensitivity of the presence of anti-CarP antibodies for RA patients is slightly lower than that of anti-CCP2 antibodies and IgM-RF. The specificity of detection of anti-CarP antibodies is similar to IgM-RF and is slightly lower than anti-CCP2 antibodies. Even though anti-CCP2 antibodies and IgM-RF are both part of the 2010 classification criteria, still individuals positive for these autoantibodies are also identified in the non-RA arthritis groups, as has also been reported before [15-17]. Therefore, it may not be surprising that anti-CarP antibodies, not being part of the 2010 classification criteria, can also be present in arthritic conditions other than RA. We have adhered very closely to definition when the 2010-classification criteria may be applied and have not considered patients for the possible diagnosis RA if their synovitis was more likely explained by another diagnosis [1]. Comparing the data on the sensitivity and specificity of detection of anti-CarP antibodies for RA using either the 2010-criteria to the 1987-criteria (data not shown) gave almost identical results. With the only exception that when using the 1987-criteria the presence of anti-CarP antibodies in undifferentiated arthritis (UA) patients was significantly associated with future development of RA independent of ACPA and RF, similar to what we observed before for arthralgia patients [11]. When the 2010-criteria were used this was not the case (data not shown). Patients are frequently double positive for anti-CCP and anti-CarP antibodies and since anti-CCP has a more prominent role in the 2010-criteria this may explain why anti-CarP antibodies are associated with conversion of UA to RA using the 1987-criteria but not when using the 2010-criteria.

So far we have applied a cut-off for positivity defined as the mean plus two times the standard deviation of a set of healthy control sera for anti-CarP antibody ELISA [3,11,13]. At the current cut-off for positivity, the AUC of anti-CarP antibodies in the total group
was 0.67 whereas in the anti-CCP2/RF negative group it was only 0.52. This indicates that for purely diagnostic purposes the presence of anti-CarP antibodies is not discriminating in the anti-CCP2/RF negative stratum. However, we have previously been able to identify a prognostically relevant group of RA patients who were clinically distinct from the double negative patients regarding disease activity and especially joint destruction using our current cut-off [3], an observation now confirmed in other studies [9,10]. In our view the current definition of the cut-off is reasonable and we welcome replication of these findings in other cohorts.

The diagnosis of RA is predominantly based on clinical observations with possible supportive information from serology [18]. However, as we are moving forward to identifying persons in the pre-RA phase where the full clinical picture of RA is not yet apparent, biomarkers will become more important. We have previously shown anti-CarP antibodies can already be present years prior to clinical onset of RA [10,12,13] and that the presence of anti-CarP antibodies in addition to RF and ACPA provided relevant information on future development of RA [11]. The high OR for RA in triple positive early arthritis patients observed in the current study may suggest that triple positivity may also be used to identify future RA patients among individuals that do not yet display clinical symptoms.

In the current study we observed that anti-CarP antibodies occur in almost all forms of early arthritis. Although at lower frequencies, also anti-CCP antibodies were detected in many other forms of arthritis (Table 2) [15-17]. Whether or not anti-CarP positive patients with diagnoses other than RA have a different disease course than the anti-CarP negative patients the same diagnosis is a subject of future studies.

Conclusions

Anti-CarP antibodies are predominantly present in RA but can also be detected in small subsets of patients suffering from other forms of early arthritis.
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