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Synopsis  Climate change is increasing both environmental temperatures and droughts. Many ectotherms respond behaviorally to heat, thereby avoiding damage from extreme temperatures. Within species, thermal tolerance varies with factors such as hydration as well as ontogenetic stage. Many tropical anurans lay terrestrial eggs, relying on environmental moisture for embryonic development. These eggs are vulnerable to dehydration, and embryos of some species can hatch prematurely to escape from drying eggs. Warmer temperatures can accelerate development and thus hatching, but excess heat can kill embryos. Thus, we hypothesize that embryos may show a behavioral thermal tolerance limit, hatching prematurely to avoid potentially lethal warming. If so, because warming and drying are often associated, we hypothesize this limit, measurable as a voluntary thermal maximum, may depend on hydration. We manipulated the hydration of the terrestrial eggs of Agalychnis callidryas, in intact clutches and egg-groups isolated from clutch jelly, then warmed them to assess if embryos hatch early as a behavioral response to high temperatures and whether their thermal tolerance varies with hydration or surrounding structure. We discovered that heating induces hatching; these embryos show a behavioral escape-hatching response that enables them to avoid potentially lethal warming. Hydrated eggs and clutches lost more water and warmed more slowly than dehydrated ones, indicating that hydration buffers embryos from environmental warming via evaporative cooling. Embryos in hydrated clutches tolerated greater warming before hatching and suffered higher mortality, suggesting their behavioral Thermal Safety Margin is small. In contrast, lower thermal tolerance protected dry embryos, and those isolated from clutch jelly, from lethal warming. Heat-induced hatching offers a convenient behavioral assay for the thermal tolerance of terrestrial anuran embryos and the interactive effects of warming and dehydration at an early life stage. This work expands the set of threats against which embryos use hatching in self-defense, creating new opportunities for comparative studies of thermal tolerance as well as integrative studies of self-defense mechanisms at the egg stage.

Resumen  El cambio climático está aumentando tanto las temperaturas ambientales como las sequías. Muchos ectotermos responden conductualmente al calor, evitando así los daños por temperaturas extremas. Dentro de las especies, la tolerancia térmica varía con factores como la hidratación y la ontogenia. Muchos anuros tropicales depositan huevos terrestres que dependen de la humedad ambiental para el desarrollo embrionario. Estos huevos son vulnerables a la deshidratación y los embriones de algunas especies pueden eclosionar prematuramente para escapar de la desecación de los huevos. Las temperaturas más cálidas pueden acelerar el desarrollo y, por lo tanto, la eclosión, pero el exceso de calor puede matar a los embriones. Por lo tanto, planteamos la hipótesis de que los embriones pueden mostrar un límite de tolerancia térmica conductual, eclosionando prematuramente para evitar un calentamiento potencialmente letal. Si es así, debido a que el calentamiento y la desecación a menudo están asociados, planteamos la hipótesis de que este límite, medible como una tolerancia térmica voluntaria, puede depender de la hidratación. Manipulamos la hidratación de los huevos terrestres de Agalychnis callidryas, en posturas intactas y grupos de huevos aislados de la gelatina de la postura. Luego, los calentamos para evaluar si los embriones eclosionan temprano como respuesta conductual a las altas temperaturas y si su tolerancia térmica varía con la hidratación o la estructura circundante. Descubrimos que el calentamiento induce la eclosión. Estos embriones muestran una respuesta conductual de eclosión de escape que les permite evitar un calentamiento potencialmente letal. Los huevos y las posturas hidratadas perdieron más
Introduction

Global warming is exposing organisms to changed climatic conditions, including higher environmental temperatures and more frequent droughts (less frequent rainfall) in some regions of the world (Allan and Soden 2008; Dai 2012; Trenberth et al. 2014). Anurans are particularly sensitive to these environmental changes due to their ectothermic condition and use of water bodies for reproduction (Lips et al. 2005; Pounds et al. 2006). Several studies show that increased environmental temperatures limit their dispersal capacity and influence their phenology, physiological functions, and behavior (Wygoda and Williams 1991; Navas et al. 2008, 2013; Parmesan 2007; Duarte et al. 2012). The effects associated with climate change interact with other factors such as emerging diseases (Pounds et al. 2006; Lips et al. 2008), invasive species (Miaud et al. 2016), and habitat loss (Schneider-Maunoury et al. 2016), increasing the extinction risk for anuran species (Stuart et al. 2004).

Tropical anuran species with terrestrial oviposition are among the most strongly affected by changes in climate because they deposit their eggs on land where they are exposed to high environmental temperatures and desiccation risk (Donnelly and Crump 1998; Mitchell 2002). While adults can avoid these conditions by changing body posture and seeking shelter and/or water to rehydrate (Wolcott and Wolcott 2001; Mitchell and Bergman 2016; Anderson and Andrade 2017), terrestrial egg clutches cannot move to avoid thermal stress or dehydration. During development, embryos facing high heat and/or dehydration may endure such conditions for some time, escape by hatching early, or die if these conditions persist and they cannot or do not hatch. While embryos themselves may exhibit some tolerance to heat and dehydration, the structural characteristics of clutches (e.g., well-hydrated jelly or foam surrounding eggs) may also play an important role to moderate or buffer these harsh conditions, at least for a time (Mendez-Narvaez et al. 2015).
Conditions outside the egg can impact the morphology, physiology, and biochemistry of the developing embryos (Mueller et al. 2015a,b; Mueller et al. 2019). For example, warmer environmental temperatures can increase the development rate of ectotherms (e.g., amphibians: McLaren and Cooley 1972; Kuramoto 1975; Harkey and Semlitsch 1988; Bradford 1990; Mitchell and Seymour 2000; Mueller et al. 2019) or, in worse cases, decrease hatching success (Ji and Du 2001; Mueller et al. 2011; Ojanguren and Brana 2003; Eme et al. 2015). However, we do not know if embryos can hatch early in response to heating, showing limits to behavioral thermal tolerance, evidenced as an escape response. One parameter to quantify such limits, the Voluntary Thermal Maximum (VT$_{\text{Max}}$, Cowles and Bogert 1944), can be measured in warming experiments as the highest temperature an animal tolerates before moving away. Behavioral escape from heat enables animals to avoid exposure to temperatures closer to their physiological tolerance limit (measurable as their critical thermal maximum, CT$_{\text{Max}}$). VT$_{\text{Max}}$ provides a nonlethal method to estimate the vulnerability of ectotherms to stressful temperature conditions (Camacho et al. 2018). It has been studied in reptiles (lizards and snakes: Cowles and Bogert 1944; Cadena and Tattersall 2009; Díaz-Ricaurte and Serrano 2020, 2021; Díaz-Ricaurte et al. 2022b), and recently in adult anurans (Díaz-Ricaurte et al. 2020, 2022b; Guevara-Molina et al. 2020) and crickets (Díaz-Ricaurte et al. 2022a). The VT$_{\text{Max}}$ can be affected by diel cycles, being lower at night than during the day (Díaz-Ricaurte et al. 2020), and in frogs (Guevara-Molina et al. 2020) the VT$_{\text{Max}}$ is reduced in response to dehydration. Specifically, the decrease of VT$_{\text{Max}}$ in response to dehydration shows an increase in Thermal Safety Margin to avoid reaching the physiological lethal limit of temperature, i.e., CT$_{\text{Max}}$. The Thermal Safety Margin is used to estimate the difference between an organism’s maximum physiological heat tolerance and the warmest temperature it experiences (Sunday et al. 2014).

In embryos, drying-induced hatching is known from three frog clades that independently evolved terrestrial eggs, deposited in gelatinous egg masses on leaves above water, and from four species, including Dendropsophus ebraccatus (Touchon and Warkentin 2010; Touchon et al. 2011), Hyalinobatrachium fleischmanni (Delia et al. 2014), and two species of Agalychnis (A. callidryas, Salica et al. 2017; A. spurrelli, Gonzáles et al. 2021). However, we do not know whether these or any terrestrial frog embryos hatch early as a direct response to high temperatures, showing a behavioral thermal tolerance limit or, if so, whether dehydration alters this limit. Red-eyed treefrogs, A. callidryas, hatch early in response to multiple threats, including a fungal pathogen (Warkentin et al. 2001), predator attack (Warkentin 1995, 2000), and flooding (Warkentin 2002), as well as dehydration (Salica et al. 2017). This species’ robust escape hatching response offers a tractable model for experimental studies of embryo behavior. Here, we used A. callidryas embryos to test for the expression of early hatching as an immediate behavioral response to high temperatures and to determine if the hydration level of eggs and egg-clutch jelly affects the response of embryos to warming. Our hypotheses were that: (1) embryos hatch early in response to warming (i.e., express a VT$_{\text{Max}}$); (2) dehydration reduces the thermal tolerance of embryos; and (3) the natural clutch structure and materials associated with eggs increase the thermal tolerance of embryos.

Materials and methods

Clutch collection and hydration treatments

We conducted this research at the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (STRI) in Gamboa, Panama, during the 2018 and 2019 rainy seasons (June–August) with approval from the STRI Animal Care and Use Committee (2017-0601-2020-2) and research permits from the Panamanian Ministry of the Environment (SC/A-10-18 and SE/A-42-19). We collected clutches, on the leaves where they were laid, from STRI’s Experimental Pond (9.120894 N, 79.704015 W; 45 m asl; WGS 84) when they were less than 1 day old. We took them to a laboratory at ambient temperature, where we duct-taped the leaves with clutches to plastic support cards and hung them over dechlorinated water in plastic cups. We randomly assigned clutches to wet and dry treatments and maintained them in covered, screened plastic boxes with hydration controlled at two levels. In the wet (hydration) treatment, clutches were automatically misted with rainwater every hour for 20 s using a MistKing system (www.mistking.com). With no external hydration, egg diameter decreases and embryos eventually die by drying (Salica et al. 2017). Therefore, in the dry (dehydration) treatment, clutches were monitored for excess dehydration three or four times daily and manually misted for 5 s when judged necessary for embryo survival (i.e., when the size of the eggs decreased to ≤4.0 mm diameter) (Salica et al. 2017). We maintained embryos in differential hydration treatments until age 5 days, midway through their plastic hatching period (age 3–7 days), when they are highly responsive to environmental cues and also highly discriminating, with few embryos hatching spontaneously (Warkentin 2017; Warkentin et al. 2017). We monitored developmental stages daily using a detailed staging table for A. callidryas (Warkentin 2017). We tested embryos’ responses...
to heating in two different contexts of the surrounding structure: in intact clutches (henceforth clutches) and in small groups of eggs isolated from jelly and leaf (henceforth egg-groups). Pairs of wet and dry clutches were set up at age 4 days, while eggs for paired wet and dry egg-groups were isolated from clutch jelly at age 3 days (see below). In both cases, embryos were tested for VT_max at age 5 days (stages 32–34, Warkentin 2017). We attempted two warming trials per day, testing wet and dry treatments in random order starting at 8:00 am. In a few cases, a prepared clutch or egg-group hatched before we could test it, resulting in a single trial that day. Each sibship was used in only one trial.

**Heating clutches**

We tested embryos' responses to heating in six hydrated clutches and eight dehydrated clutches. We set up these clutches for experiments at age 4 days, after the onset of mechanosensory-cued hatching but before they became too difficult to handle without inducing hatching (Warkentin et al. 2017). We first checked for undeveloped (possibly unfertilized) eggs and, if possible, carefully removed them without altering the clutch structure. Then, we mounted each clutch on an individual rectangular support stand with a scale for subsequent measurements, placing two Omega Type T thermocouples inside the jelly, among the eggs, and two more touching the surface of the leaf. To minimize moving eggs on their test day, these assemblies were placed in a covered plastic box on the table where warming trials were conducted. The next day, for testing, we set an assembly in a tray of water, to catch hatchlings (Fig. 1A), and connected the thermocouples to a Pico Log TC-08 data logger, which was connected to a computer that recorded temperature every 10 s throughout the trial. We took initial digital photographs (Fig. 1B and C) of the clutch to measure egg size, using Image J (NIH) software, counted the number of eggs and measured the jelly thickness at the thickest point by inserting a fine probe orthogonally through the jelly, between the eggs, to the leaf surface. After this set-up procedure, we left embryos undisturbed for 5 min to allow for any mechanosensory-cued hatching, counting hatchlings or recounting embryos if necessary. We then observed embryos for 1 h at ambient temperature (mean 26.19°C ± 0.56 SD, range = 25.6–27.5°C, N = 14) to measure a baseline hatching rate. For each clutch, we subtracted its baseline hatching rate from the hatching rate measured during heating trials to calculate its heating-induced increase in hatching rate.

After recording the baseline hatching rate, we began heating the clutch using a rheostatically controlled 60 W ceramic bulb (Fig. 1A). Our goal was to heat clutches gradually and continuously without exposing the embryos to heat shock, thus preventing them from reaching their CT_max quickly, following the dynamic heating method (Lutterschmidt and Hutchison 1997). We set initial bulb distance at 10 cm and rheostat setting at a moderate value based on pilot experiments, then continuously monitored the egg-temperature data, adjusting the distance (±3 cm) to limit the variation in heating rates. Specifically, if clutches warmed >0.5°C in a minute we increased the distance to reduce heat input, and if 3–4 min passed without an increase in tempera-
ture we reduced the distance to increase heat input. To prevent hatching tadpoles from contacting the bulb, the minimum clutch-to-bulb distance was 7 cm. However, wet clutches at this distance sometimes maintained a stable temperature, especially early in their trial; in this case, we increased the rheostat setting to achieve measurable warming. The mean egg-heating rate for each trial was calculated as the difference between initial and final mean temperatures (averaged across two thermocouples for clutches and four thermocouples for egg-groups) divided by trial duration. For clutches, we also calculated the mean heating rates of leaves using the difference between initial and final leaf temperatures (averaged across two thermocouples) divided by trial duration. We calculated individual mean heating rates for embryos as the difference between initial the mean egg temperature in the trial and the individual VT_{Max}, divided by the duration of heating before the embryo hatched.

For each embryo hatched, we recorded its hatching time and the temperature of the nearest thermocouple. We stopped each heating trial when the clutch reached 40°C because the highest rainy season temperature recorded so far at the Experimental Pond is 39.90°C (Brandon Güell, personal communication) and exposure to 41°C was lethal to embryos in our pilot observations. After heating, we took a final photograph to count and measure the eggs remaining in the clutch, re-measured jelly thickness at the location of the original maximum thickness, and carefully removed the thermocouples. Undeveloped and visibly less-developed eggs, out of synchrony with their siblings, were not considered test subjects and excluded from all counts and measurements. At the end of experiments, we checked all remaining embryos for movement, heartbeat, or blood circulation in the external gills. We then used a blunt probe to prod and jiggle any motionless individuals lacking visible blood circulation, using methods that reliably induce mechanosensory-cued hatching (Warkentin et al. 2017); all were behaviorally unresponsive and considered to be dead. We monitored all hatchlings and any embryos that remained unhatched for 24 h to determine survival after heating, checking several times for signs of life and death as above. After 24 h, we jiggled any remaining live embryos to induce hatching and released all tadpoles at the Experimental Pond.

**Heating egg-groups**

We tested embryos’ responses to heating using eggs from five hydrated clutches and seven dehydrated ones set up in isolation from their clutch jelly and leaf. We randomly assigned and applied hydration and dehydration protocols to whole clutches, as above, then removed eggs from their clutches just before the onset of mechanosensory-cued hatching at age 3 days, stages 25–27 (Warkentin 2017). For each trial, we removed 9–12 eggs from the same clutch, using forceps, and assembled them on a frame constructed from a glass arch mounted on a glass base, with monofilament nylon line stretched between the vertical posts at three levels to support the eggs (Fig. 1D and F). Eggs were thus in contact with each other in the plane of the frame but had greater air-exposure than in clutches at the front and back. Note that because dry eggs are smaller, the frame accommodated more of them (Fig. 1D and F). For each assembly, we distributed four Omega Type T thermocouples among the eggs to record temperature and placed a ruler at the base for scale (Fig. 1E and F). Each glass assembly with eggs and thermocouples was taped, for stability, to a plastic support stand and placed in a shallow tray of water to catch hatchlings. To maintain the desired egg size and hydration level, we covered the assemblies with an inverted plastic cup that was moistened inside to maintain high humidity (Fig. 1D). We monitored egg-groups and manually misted them with rainwater for 5 s three times at age 4 days. As above, we tested paired wet and dry egg-groups in random order each day, at age 5 days, but in some cases only one assembly had sufficient unhatched eggs to test (criteria: at least 8 wet eggs or 10 dry eggs). For heating trials, we removed the plastic cups, counted the eggs, and connected the thermocouples to the datalogger and computer for recording, then waited for five undisturbed minutes to allow for any mechanosensory-cued hatching as above. We positioned a Canon EOS 5D camera with an EF 100 mm macro lens on a tripod in front of the assembly with a time-lapse controller set to photograph the eggs and scale every 2 min. We first observed the embryos for 1 h at ambient temperature (mean 26.29°C ± 0.70 SD, range = 24.9–27.8°C, N = 12) to measure a baseline hatching rate, then heated the assemblies with a 60 W ceramic bulb located behind the eggs. Based on pilot experiments, we began trials at a moderate rheostat setting and a 15 cm egg-to-bulb distance. We continuously monitored temperature data, adjusting the distance (±5 cm) and, if need be, the rheostat to limit variations in heating rate as above. When an embryo hatched, we recorded its hatching time and the temperature of the nearest thermocouple. Trials ended when all embryos hatched or the temperature reached 40°C, as above. We checked for mortality and monitored all test subjects for 24 h after trials, as above, then released the tadpoles, as well as their siblings that remained in the clutch and were not used in heating trials, at the Experimental Pond.
Egg-volume measurements

To assess evaporative water loss, we used ImageJ (NIH) to measure egg diameters from initial and final clutch and egg photographs, then calculated egg volumes based on spherical geometry, using the formula \( V = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \). For clutches, we measured up to 20 randomly selected, fully visible eggs in the initial photo. Some eggs were partially obscured behind siblings, thus not initially measurable, but became visible when siblings hatched. We measured all fully visible, unhatched eggs in the final photo (never >20). Thus, initial and final measurements from clutches are of only partly overlapping subsets of eggs, and sample sizes are smaller for final measurements. For egg-groups, we measured every egg in the initial photo, then used the last pre-hatching photo in which an egg appeared intact to measure its final size. Thus, we obtained final sizes for more eggs, but at different times depending on when embryos hatched. An analysis of egg-volume loss rates indicated that our final measurements for a few individuals \( (N = 7 \text{ outliers, from three dry egg-groups}) \) likely occurred after the embryos had already ruptured their eggs, rapidly losing volume in the hatching process. We therefore excluded these individuals from our analysis of evaporative volume loss.

Statistical analysis

To assess hydration treatment effectiveness, we compared egg size (diameter) between dry and wet treatments separately for clutches and for egg-groups using t-tests. We also compared jelly thickness (for clutches) and heating trial durations across hydration treatments, within structures, using t-tests. We used linear models to test for effects of hydration (dry and wet) and structure (clutches and egg-groups) on heating-induced increase in hatching rate, hatching temperature \( (V_{T_{\text{Max}}}) \), and changes in egg volume during heating. For hatching-rate increase and egg volume, initial residual plots revealed heteroscedasticity, so we log-transformed the data to correct this for the final analysis. Shapiro–Wilk tests determined that response variables (log-hatching rate increase, \( V_{T_{\text{Max}}} \), log-egg-volume) were normally distributed. For \( V_{T_{\text{Max}}} \) and egg volume, we fitted linear mixed effects models (lme4 package, “lmer” function; Bates et al. 2015), including sibship (trial) identity as a random factor to account for multiple measurements within a trial. This random factor was never significant, therefore we pooled eggs across replicates for graphical representation of data. For egg volume, we included time-point (coded as a factor with two levels, initial and final) to assess changes across the heating trial; because of the possible large number of interactions with three fixed factors, we used the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike 1973; Bozdogan 2000) to select the best fit model, based on the lowest AIC value (Wang and Qun 2006).

Neither trial-mean heating rates nor embryo mortality rates (proportion that died during heating) met parametric assumptions. Therefore, we used Kruskal–Wallis tests to compare across our four hydration \( \times \) structure categories (i.e., wet and dry clutches and wet and dry egg-groups), then used Wilcoxon tests for pairwise comparisons between categories. To compare the heating rates of leaves and the clutches attached to them, we applied paired-samples Wilcoxon tests to data from wet and dry treatments separately. Because trial-mean heating rates varied across hydration \( \times \) structure categories, we also assessed the effects of individual egg-heating rates on the \( V_{T_{\text{Max}}} \) of individuals by including it as a covariate in a linear mixed effects model along with structure and hydration as fixed factors and the random factor of sibship. Here, because of the many possible interactions between the covariate and fixed factors, we also used an AIC-based model-selection approach to choose the best model. Finally, to assess if variation in heating rate might account for the effects of hydration and structure in our initial analysis of \( V_{T_{\text{Max}}} \), we repeated that analysis on a restricted dataset, excluding all individuals with heating rates over 0.2°C/min (61 individuals from 3 clutches and 4 egg-groups, including all individuals in the dry clutch with the highest heating rate). All analyses were performed in R V.4.0.2 (R Core Team 2020) and plotted in Sigmaplot version 14.5 from Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA, www.systatsoftware.com.

Results

Hydration treatment effectiveness

Our treatments successfully generated differences between wet and dry eggs and clutches. At the start of trials, eggs were smaller in dry than in wet clutches \( ( t = -13.52, df = 12, P < 0.001; \text{Table 1}) \) and smaller in dry than in wet egg-groups \( ( t = -9.71, df = 10, P < 0.001; \text{Table 1}) \). In addition, the maximum jelly thickness was greater in wet than in dry clutches \( ( t = -6.399, df = 12, P < 0.001; \text{Table 1}) \). More details can be found in Supplementary data, Table S1.

Heating trial durations

The mean duration of trials was shorter for dehydrated clutches and longer for hydrated clutches \( ( t = -3.86, df = 12, P = 0.002; \text{Table 1}) \). Only two clutches, both dry, had complete hatching before reaching 40°C. Similarly, the mean duration of trials was shorter for dry egg-groups and longer for wet egg-groups \( ( t = -7.95, df = 10, P < 0.001; \text{Table 1}, \text{Supplementary data}) \).


Table 1 Differences between wet and dry Agalychnis callidryas in intact clutches on leaves and in egg groups isolated from jelly and leaf.

| Structure                  | Hydration | Mean ± SD | Range     | N  |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----|
| Initial egg diameter (mm) | Clutches  | 6.43 ± 0.53 | 5.21 – 7.47 | 110|
|                           | Dry       | 4.14 ± 0.42 | 2.78 – 4.70 | 147|
| Egg-groups                | Wet       | 6.10 ± 0.55 | 5.01 – 7.46 | 45 |
|                           | Dry       | 3.93 ± 0.35 | 3.07 – 4.95 | 85 |
| Jelly thickness (mm)      | Clutches  | 7.66 ± 2.06 | 5.0 – 10   | 6  |
|                           | Dry       | 2.06 ± 1.20 | 0.5 – 4.0  | 8  |
| Baseline hatching rate (% embryos/h) | Clutches | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 – 0.00 | 6  |
|                           | Dry       | 1.65 ± 1.64 | 0.00 – 5.00 | 8  |
| Egg-groups                | Wet       | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 – 0.00 | 5  |
|                           | Dry       | 1.39 ± 2.31 | 0.00 – 8.33 | 7  |
| Hatching rate during heating (% embryos/h) | Clutches | 8.58 ± 2.57 | 4.71 – 13.22 | 6  |
|                            | Dry       | 43.40 ± 24.31 | 21.88 – 109.09 | 8  |
| Egg-groups                | Wet       | 21.77 ± 1.11 | 20.62 – 23.26 | 5  |
|                            | Dry       | 66.13 ± 24.01 | 34.48 – 107.14 | 7  |
| Heating trial duration (min) | Clutches | 286 ± 68.23 | 197 – 391 | 6  |
|                            | Dry       | 146 ± 65.42 | 55 – 229  | 8  |
| Egg-groups                | Wet       | 276 ± 16.04 | 258 – 291 | 5  |
|                            | Dry       | 107 ± 45.18 | 56 – 174 | 7  |
| Mean heating rates (°C/min) | Clutches  | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 0.03 – 0.06 | 6  |
|                           | Dry       | 0.10 ± 0.05 | 0.05 – 0.19 | 8  |
| Leaves                    | Wet       | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 0.06 – 0.13 | 6  |
|                            | Dry       | 0.18 ± 0.09 | 0.09 – 0.34 | 8  |
| Egg-groups                | Wet       | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 – 0.02 | 5  |
|                            | Dry       | 0.06 ± 0.04 | 0.02 – 0.12 | 7  |
| Voluntary thermal maximum (°C) | Clutches  | 37.77 ± 0.88 | 36.12 – 39.83 | 80 |
|                            | Dry       | 36.13 ± 1.69 | 29.91 – 39.28 | 225|
| Egg-groups                | Wet       | 34.21 ± 0.58 | 33.14 – 35.48 | 45 |
|                            | Dry       | 31.37 ± 0.92 | 28.92 – 32.93 | 85 |

Table S1). In all trials with egg-groups, all embryos had hatched before reaching 40°C, except for one individual that died.

Hatching rate
Hydrated eggs in both structures had a baseline hatching rate of zero at ambient temperature (Table 1) and, across structures, dehydration elevated the baseline hatching rate (Wilcoxon rank sum test: $X^2 = 4.30, df = 1, P = 0.038$; Table 1). Across every context of hydration × structure, heating increased hatching from baseline levels (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Hydration and structure both affected the extent to which hatching rate increased under heating, with no significant interaction (Fig. 2; Table 2 and Supplementary data, Table S1). The heat-induced increase in hatching rate was much greater for dry than for wet eggs, and somewhat greater for eggs removed from their jelly and leaf than for eggs in their natural clutch structure (Fig. 2; Table 2).

Heating rates
The mean heating rates differed among structure-hydration categories (Kruskal–Wallis test: $X^2 = 13.13, df = 3, P = 0.004$; Fig. 3; Table 1 and Supplementary data, Table S1). Dry clutches had higher heating rates than wet clutches ($P = 0.012$) and wet egg-groups
Fig. 2. Heating-induced increase in the hatching rate of Agalychnis cal\-lidryas for wet and dry embryos (dark and light bars, or blue and yellow online, respectively) in two structures (clutches and egg-groups). Data points show the increase in hatching rate for individual clutches or egg-groups, above their baseline values, jittered for visibility; box plots show the median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers show the 5th and 95th percentiles of the data.

Table 2. Analyses of the heating-induced increase in hatching rate, hatching temperature of embryos (VT_{\text{max}}), and variation in egg volume for Agalychnis cal\-lidryas embryos under different conditions of hydration (wet, dry) and structure (clutches and egg-groups) and, for egg volume, at two time-points (initial and final measurements).

|                      | Values | Std. error | t-value | P-value |
|----------------------|--------|------------|---------|---------|
| **Hatching rate increase:** |        |            |         |         |
| Intercept            | 3.543  | 0.160      | 22.182  | 2.0E–16*** |
| Hydration            | −1.455 | 0.244      | −5.963  | 5.31E–06*** |
| Structure            | 0.554  | 0.234      | 2.369   | 0.027*  |
| Hydration \times structure | 0.437  | 0.360      | 1.214   | 0.237   |
| **Voluntary thermal maximum:** |        |            |         |         |
| Intercept            | 36.280 | 0.236      | 153.745 | 2.0E–16*** |
| Hydration            | 1.560  | 0.376      | 4.154   | 0.0003*** |
| Structure            | −4.896 | 0.358      | −13.677 | 1.8E–12*** |
| Hydration \times structure | 1.269  | 0.568      | 2.233   | 0.0344* |
| **Egg volume:**      |        |            |         |         |
| Intercept            | 3.540  | 0.057      | 62.385  | 2E–16*** |
| Time-point           | −0.447 | 0.033      | −13.572 | 2E–16*** |
| Hydration            | 1.301  | 0.088      | 14.854  | 2.94E–14*** |
| Time-point \times hydration | −0.436 | 0.049      | −8.914  | 2E–16*** |

* $P < 0.05$

*** $P < 0.001$

Fig. 3. Relationship between the hatching temperatures of embryos (VT_{\text{max}}) and their individual egg-heating rates. Circles represent embryos in clutches and triangles represent those in egg-groups, with hydration status color-coded (dark/blue for wet, light/brown for dry).

Fig. 4. Mean heating rates for wet and dry clutches, the leaves to which they were attached, and wet and dry egg-groups. Data points are mean heating rates calculated across each trial; box plots show the median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers show the 5th and 95th percentiles of the data. Different letters indicate significantly different egg-heating rates across contexts (all $P < 0.05$); asterisks indicate different heating rates of leaves and their attached clutches ($^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$).

($P = 0.004$), and wet egg-groups also warmed slower than wet clutches ($P = 0.008$). However, heating rates of dry egg-groups were similar to those of wet egg-groups and wet and dry clutches (all $P$ values > 0.1; Fig. 3; Table 1). Comparing the heating rates of leaves to clutches, wet leaves warmed faster than their attached clutches ($V = 21, P = 0.031$; Fig. 4; Table 1) and dry leaves warmed much faster than their attached clutches ($V = 36, P = 0.007$; Fig. 4; Table 1).
Heating, Hydration, Structure

Table 3 Best fit model to explain hatching temperatures (VT<sub>Max</sub>) of individual embryos based on individual egg-heating rates (as a covariate), hydration (wet and dry), structure (clutch and egg-groups), their interactions, and the random factor of sibship.

|                      | Values     | Std. error | t-value | P-value       |
|----------------------|------------|------------|---------|---------------|
| Intercept            | 39.2053    | 0.4817     | 81.392  | 2E–16***      |
| Heating rate         | −22.714    | 1.5847     | −14.33  | 2E–16***      |
| Hydration            | 0.6454     | 1.0747     | 0.601   | 0.55          |
| Structure            | −7.6771    | 0.692      | −11.09  | 2.12E–11***   |
| Heating rate × hydration | −13.766 | 14.9849    | −0.919  | 0.359         |
| Heating rate × structure | 21.652   | 1.955      | 11.075  | 2E–16***      |
| Hydration × structure | 1.749      | 1.5446     | 1.132   | 0.261         |
| Heating rate × hydration × structure | 23.2289 | 27.932     | 0.832   | 0.406         |

*** P < 0.001

Fig. 5 Voluntary thermal maximum (VT<sub>Max</sub>) showing limits to behavioral thermal tolerance of Agalychnis callidryas embryos. VT<sub>Max</sub> values are the last recorded temperatures from the nearest thermocouple, just before embryos hatched during heating in two structures (clutches and egg-groups), following development in two hydration treatments (dark/blue indicates wet, light/yellow dry). Data points are values for individual embryos; box plots show the median and first and third quartiles, and whiskers show the 5th and 95th percentiles of the data.

Voluntary thermal maximum

Hydration, structure, and their interactions all significantly affected the temperature at which embryos hatched (Fig. 5; Table 2). Embryos in clutches expressed higher VT<sub>Max</sub> than did embryos in egg-groups, and in both structures, wet embryos had a higher VT<sub>Max</sub> than dry embryos (Fig. 5; Table 1 and Supplementary data, Table S2). However, the effect of hydration on VT<sub>Max</sub> was weaker in clutches, with substantial variation within the dry treatment and overlap across hydration levels; the effect of hydration was stronger for egg-groups (Fig. 5). All embryos that hatched during heating trials successfully survived 24 h after exposure; the tadpoles were mobile and appeared healthy. Moreover, there was no post-trial mortality of embryos that remained unhatched; the only mortality was of individuals that died in ovo during heating.

Because trial-mean heating rates varied among structure-hydration categories, we also analyzed embryo VT<sub>Max</sub> with individual egg-heating rate included as a covariate (Fig. 3; Table 3). The best model included all main effects and interactions, with significant effects of heating rate, structure, and a heating-rate by structure interaction; the effect of hydration was not significant (AIC = 1273.79; 22.37 units below the next best model with AIC = 1296.16; Table 3).

When individuals showing the highest heating rates (>0.2°C/min) were excluded from analysis, VT<sub>Max</sub> was still affected by hydration, structure, and their interaction in a model without heating rate, and by structure, heating rate and their interaction, but not by hydration, in a model including heating rate as a covariate (Supplementary data, Table S3). Thus, analyses excluding individuals with the highest heating rates, which occurred only in dry treatments, mirrored those of the complete data set (compare Tables 2 and 3 and Supplementary data, Table S3), indicating that the substitution of hydration by heating rate as explanatory variables for VT<sub>Max</sub> does not depend on extreme heating rate values.

Egg-volume loss

The egg volume was significantly affected by time-point, hydration, and the time-point by hydration interaction, but the best model included no main or interaction effects of structure (AIC = 259.05; 2.65 units below the next best model with AIC = 261.70; Table 2 and Supplementary data, Table S2). During heating, large well-hydrated eggs, both in intact clutches and in egg-groups isolated from jelly, lost more volume than dry eggs, which started out smaller (Fig. 6A). Clutches lost volume from both eggs and jelly (Table 1), and the mean...
egg-volume lost was closely correlated with the jelly thickness lost (Pearson correlation: $r = 0.75$, $P = 0.006$; Fig. 6B).

**Embryo mortality during heating**

Embryo mortality differed among structure-hydration categories (Kruskal–Wallis test: $X^2 = 13.10$, df = 3, $P = 0.004$; Fig. 7). Wet clutches had greater mortality compared to dry clutches ($P = 0.010$), wet egg-groups ($P = 0.017$) and dry egg-groups ($P = 0.024$), none of which differed from each other (all $P$ values $\geq 0.5$). Based on an examination of end-of-trial photographs, the embryos that died in wet clutches all had large amounts of perivitelline fluid remaining. Embryos that died in dry treatments (one clutch and one egg-group), while much more spatially constrained, still had sufficient egg volume to allow position changes. There were no obvious differences between embryos that died and their siblings that survived unhatched.

**Discussion**

We discovered that *A. callidryas* embryos hatch early as a rapid, behavioral response to heating, and this escape behavior enables them to avoid lethal egg temperatures. We also found that embryos’ microenvironmental context, including hydration and clutch structure, affects both the risk of warming to which they are exposed and their behavioral thermal tolerance. These results expand our understanding of the vulnerability and self-defense mechanisms of terrestrial anuran embryos facing hydric and thermal stresses.

In addition to temperature, terrestrial frog embryos are sensitive to dehydration (Salica et al. 2017; Rudin-Bitterli et al. 2020). The evidence that drying changes thermal tolerance indicates that our understanding of potential general patterns in the thermal sensitivity of terrestrial anuran embryos is severely limited. Such information may be especially important for terrestrial-breeding tropical species, whose eggs are considered particularly vulnerable to climate change (von May et al. 2017; Hoffmann et al. 2021). Moreover, while hatching is well-documented as an embryo defense against egg predators, pathogens, and the abiotic threats of hypoxia and dehydration (Warkentin 2011a, b), to our
knowledge, our study is the first to investigate if hatch-
ing occurs as an embryo response to potentially lethal
warming.

**Effect of hydration on hatching temperature**

Warming and drying conditions are typically associ-
ed; rainfall both lowers temperatures and provides
hydration, and high temperatures increase dehydr-
ation risk, especially for egg clutches on land (Méndez-
Narváez et al. 2015). Thus, it is important to consider
the effects of temperature in a hydric context, and of
hydration in a thermal context, to understand both the
eco-physiology of embryos and their potential for adap-
tive behavioral responses. We found that *A. callidryas*
embryos hatched at lower temperatures and in less time
when their eggs were drier; that is, their VT\textsubscript{Max} de-
creased under dehydration. This was evident for em-
byos in intact clutches and particularly clear for eggs
removed from their clutch jelly and the leaf on which
they were laid.

Dehydration also decreases VT\textsubscript{Max} in juvenile anu-
rans, and this effect is stronger than the effect of dry-
ing on CT\textsubscript{Max} suggesting that dry frogs maintain a
greater Thermal Safety Margin (Guevara-Molina et al.
2020). The reduction in embryo VT\textsubscript{Max} with egg de-
hydration might, similarly, reflect a change in Thermal
Safety Margin. However, we do not know if or how hy-
dration affects the temperature that is lethal to embryos
or how temperature affects the level of dehydration that
is lethal. To further understand variation in the Ther-
mal Safety Margin of *A. callidryas* embryos, it will be
necessary to determine dangerous levels of dehydra-
tion and temperature (i.e., CT\textsubscript{Max}) to compare with our
VT\textsubscript{Max}-hydration data. Moreover, the effect of hydra-
tion on VT\textsubscript{Max} was weaker in clutches, with substantial
variation within the dry treatment and overlap across
hydration levels (see Fig. 5); in contrast, embryos in wet
and dry egg-groups showed distinctly different VT\textsubscript{Max}.
This difference suggests that the clutch structure and/or
oviposition substrate (jelly + leaf) reduce the effect of
hydration level on embryo thermal tolerance, but this
buffering varies substantially under dry conditions.

**Effect of heating rate on hatching temperature**

Heating rates differed across structure-hydration cate-
gories (Figs. 3 and 4) and including heating rate as a
covariate (Table 3) revealed its influence on VT\textsubscript{Max} in
both main and interaction effects, replacing the effect of
hydration. Analyses excluding individuals with the
highest heating rates, which occurred only in dry treat-
ments, mirrored those of the complete data set (com-
pare Tables 2 and 3 and Supplementary data, Table S3),
indicating that the exchange of heating rate for hydra-
tion as an explanatory variable for VT\textsubscript{Max} does not de-
pend on these extreme values. Thus, at least part of
the variation in thermal tolerance in our warming tri-
als seems attributable to an effect of heating rate on
VT\textsubscript{Max}. In particular, higher heating rates were associ-
ated with lower VT\textsubscript{Max}, and the embryos in dry clutches
and egg-groups, which had higher heating rates, had
lower VT\textsubscript{Max} compared to those in wet clutches and egg-
groups (Figs. 3–5). While we do not yet know how these
embryos sense temperature or process that information
for hatching decisions, it is possible that heating rate in-
teracts with these underlying mechanisms to alter be-
havioral thermal tolerance (Tattersall et al. 2012).

Other studies have found that heating rates can affect
ectotherms’ thermal tolerances, but the existence and
direction of these effects vary. For instance, in bullfrogs,
*Lithobates catesbeianus*, higher heating rates do not al-
ter VT\textsubscript{Max} although they do increase CT\textsubscript{Max} (Guevara-
Molina et al. 2020). In two foam frogs (*Physalae-
mus cuvieri* and *P. nattereri*; Diaz-Ricaurte et al. 2020)
and a snake (*Bothrops pauloensis*; Diaz-Ricaurte and
Serrano 2021), higher heating rates are associated with
higher VT\textsubscript{Max}. For *A. callidryas* embryos, experiment-
ally separating the effects of heating rate and hydra-
tion will require better control of heating rate across
hydration levels. Nonetheless, at similar low heating
rates, where *A. callidryas* embryos in clutches showed
the most overlap in VT\textsubscript{Max}, embryos in egg-groups still
showed distinctly lower VT\textsubscript{Max} when dry (Fig. 3). This
is evident statistically in the interaction effect and sug-
gests some role of hydration, *per se*, in changing em-
byro thermal tolerance. The fact that drying alone,
without warming, can induce early hatching in *A. cal-
lidryas* is well-documented (Salica et al. 2017; Tippett
and Warkentin 2017) and also evident in our baseline
hatching rates (see Table 1). The mechanism under-
lying this response is unknown but might involve in-
creased osmolality of perivitelline and body fluids or the
increased concentration of specific molecules, such as
ammonia (Méndez-Narvaez and Warkentin 2022).
In addition, these embryos are known to combine infor-
mation across cue properties and across sensory modal-
ities for their hatching decisions (Warkentin and Cal-
dwell 2009; Güell and Warkentin 2018; Jung et al. 2020).
Thus, it is certainly plausible that embryos adjust their
VT\textsubscript{Max} in direct response to their hydration state.

**Structure, hydration, and heating rate**

The clutch structure (e.g., hydrated jelly) and oviposi-
tion site provided by parents can directly influence em-
byros’ susceptibility to environmental threats, including
drying and predation (Touchon and Warkentin 2009;
Delia et al. 2017, 2020). They also influence warm-
ing. First, dehydration substantially reduced both jelly
thickness and egg diameter, as reported previously for
A. callidryas (Salica et al. 2017). In this species, females deposit water into the jelly at oviposition, enabling the initial expansion of the perivitelline space (Salthe 1965; Pyburn 1970; Salica et al. 2017), and further egg and jelly hydration depends on rainfall. The large eggs and thick jelly core of well-hydrated clutches provide both a hydric reserve and a thermal buffer for embryos. Under our testing conditions, it was surprisingly hard to warm up wet clutches and egg-groups, and also difficult to avoid warming dry clutches and egg-groups too quickly. Although the need to adjust heat input to reduce this variation in warming rates in itself reveals differences in thermophysics, it also precludes accurate empirical assessment of their resistance to warming. Measuring warming rates under equal heating could clarify the magnitude of the thermal buffering effects provided by clutch structure and hydration.

There are two potential mechanisms by which hydration could slow warming: adding water (1) increases the thermal mass and so increases the energy required for a given temperature increase, and (2) increases the amount of evaporative cooling that can occur by water loss. If we assume that the specific heat of eggs falls between freshwater and seawater (4.18 and 3.99 J/g°C, respectively, at 30°C), then based simply on their average sizes, we estimate that wet eggs require about 3.7 times as much energy per unit of warming than as dry ones (ca. 5.61 J versus 1.51 J, respectively, for a 10°C increase). Considering that dry eggs have higher osmolality and density (i.e., closer to seawater), they may take even less energy to warm (ca. 1.47 J for 10°C), magnifying the difference. Similarly, based on the average egg volume lost (76 versus 14 μL), we estimate that wet eggs lost about 5.6 times more heat by evaporation than did dry eggs. Based on the heat of vaporization for freshwater, this would be ca. 185 versus 33 J, although the higher density of dry eggs may reduce their evaporative heat loss slightly (ca. 32 J). Thus, the effects of evaporative cooling on egg warming appear both absolutely and relatively larger than those of thermal mass. Even with greater heat input, our results indicate that volume loss from wet eggs and hydrated jelly slowed temperature rise, and the dry eggs heated up faster. This suggests that hydrated eggs and intact gelatinous clutches have substantial thermal buffering capacity via evaporative cooling.

Survival and mortality during heating

All embryos that hatched during heating successfully survived 24 h after exposure, indicating that tadpole viability was not compromised by the heating they experienced before hatching or by the heat-induced hatching process. Thus, heat-induced hatching is an effective way for embryos to escape from a dangerously warm-
and away from the heat source. Oxygen availability and uptake capacity can limit thermal tolerance, and embryos may be particularly strongly affected (Frederich and Pörtner 2000; Smith et al. 2015; Vorsatz et al. 2021). There are strong oxygen gradients within A. callidryas eggs, and, to maintain high oxygen uptake, embryos typically position their external gills in the high-oxygen region at the air-exposed surface (Warkentin et al. 2005; Rogge and Warkentin 2008). This air-facing orientation also ensures that embryos will hatch into the air and can fall to the water rather than hatching into the jelly where they can be trapped between their siblings and the leaf (Güell and Warkentin 2018). In addition to orienting in oxygen gradients (Rogge and Warkentin 2008), A. callidryas embryos may, like turtle embryos (Zhao et al. 2013; Ye et al. 2021), position themselves in thermal gradients within their eggs. We observed that as embryos were heated, especially wet ones, they moved more frequently inside the egg, while those in dry eggs seemed to spend more time stationary. Video recordings of embryos during VT_max tests would allow quantification of their activity under different conditions. In our clutch warming set-up, embryos moving away from the heat would also move away from the oxygen, and into a position from which they should not hatch. This behavioral conflict between oxygen-oriented and potentially heat-oriented positioning in ovo may have delayed hatching. It may also have increased the risk of embryo mortality via a combination of thermally elevated oxygen demand and reduced oxygen supply, as embryos spent more time away from the air-exposed egg surface. Embryos in egg-groups, in contrast, had two air-exposed patches of egg-surface, one toward and one away from the heat source, alleviating any potential behavioral conflict or elevated risk. Future work should test the hypothesis that, before hatching, embryos first attempt to move away from heat within their eggs. If so, then both the directionality of experimental heating and potential existence of natural thermal gradients in ovo matter; these should be assessed for clutches in their natural environment to better understand embryo behavior and inform future experimental designs.

Future directions

The discovery of heat-induced hatching opens new questions; for example, what mechanisms enable this response? In turtles, thermoregulatory behavior in ovo is enabled by molecular thermal sensors that allow embryos to detect thermal gradients (Ye et al. 2021). It would be worth testing if A. callidryas embryos have homologous or convergent thermal sensors and, if so, when in development they are expressed. Moreover, the proof-of-concept demonstration of an embryo VT_max in one frog raises the question of how widespread this is, motivating comparative research on thermosensitive embryo behavior across anurans. It would be particularly interesting to compare species with different oviposition strategies (e.g., clutches with different amounts of jelly, with/without leaf-wrapping, and with/without parental care) to assess how this variation affects the thermo-hydric environment of embryos and their behavioral responses to warming. This could help elucidate which species are more vulnerable to increasing temperature and desiccation risk as climate change continues.
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