Microneedle for transdermal drug delivery: current trends and fabrication
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Abstract

Background Transdermal delivery has the advantage of bypassing the first-pass effect and allowing sustained release of the drug. However, the drug delivery is limited owing to the barrier created by the stratum corneum. Microneedles are a transdermal drug delivery system that is painless, less invasive, and easy to self-administer, with a high drug bioavailability.

Area covered The dose, delivery rate, and efficacy of the drugs can be controlled by the microneedle design and drug formulations. This review introduces the types of microneedles and their design, materials used for fabrication, and manufacturing methods. Additionally, recent biological applications and clinical trials are introduced.

Expert opinion With advancements made in formulation technologies, the drug-loading capability of microneedles can be improved. 3D printing and digital technology contribute to the improvement of microneedle fabrication technology. However, regulations regarding the manufacture of microneedle products should be established as soon as possible to promote commercialization.

Keywords Drug delivery · Microneedles · Transdermal · Fabrication techniques · Clinical trial

Introduction

The efficacy of pharmaceuticals depends on not only the properties of the active drug component but also the mechanism underlying its delivery to the body (Tibbitt et al. 2016). Therefore, it is critical to investigate an optimal method for drug delivery in accordance with the characteristics of the drug. Oral administration is a simple and convenient drug delivery method because the patient can self-administer the drug; however, its application to biopharmaceuticals is challenging (Homayun et al. 2019). Injections result in high bioavailability and rapid onset of drug action. However, expertise is required for administration and patient compliance is low (Prausnitz 2017). Therefore, the ideal drug delivery method should be as simple as oral administration and should exhibit high bioavailability as with injection. Transdermal delivery has the advantage of bypassing the first-pass effect and allowing sustained release of the drug. However, drug delivery is difficult due to the barrier created by the stratum corneum (Dharadhah et al. 2019). Microneedles are a platform for transdermal drug delivery; it is easy to self-administer, and it exhibits a high drug bioavailability (Prausnitz 2017). In addition, it is a painless and less invasive method that enables the drug to directly pass through the stratum corneum, which is the largest barrier of the skin (Ye et al. 2018; Kim et al. 2012; Ma and Wu 2017; Prausnitz et al. 2008). The advantages and disadvantages of microneedles as a transdermal delivery system are summarized in Table 1. The dose, delivery rate, and efficacy of the drugs can be controlled by the microneedle design and drug formulation. Till date, studies have been conducted on microneedles formulated using various manufacturing methods and materials for delivering drugs and cosmetics (Donnelly et al. 2010; Ma et al. 2017). The efficacy and safety of microneedles have been demonstrated through animal experiments and clinical trials (Bhatnagar et al. 2017; Queiroz et al. 2020). In this review, we summarize the types of microneedles required for microneedle design, materials used for fabrication, and manufacturing methods.
Additionally, recent biological applications and clinical trials are introduced.

**Types of microneedles**

Although the microneedle design varies depending on the delivery method, type of microneedle, and action of the drugs to be delivered, most patches have certain common features. A typical microneedle has the shape of a tapered sharp tip with a length of 150–1500 μm, a width of 50–250 μm, and a tip thickness of 1–25 μm (Waghule et al. 2019). Microneedles are usually made of metal, silicon, polymer, glass, or ceramic. The drug is generally placed in or on the microneedle tip, which is fixed to the base substrate underneath to form an array. The microneedle array is attached to the patch backing for ease of use; this backing includes a skin adhesive to improve contact with the skin. The microneedles are typically classified into four types (Fig. 1). Solid microneedles are primarily made of metal and silicon, which provide strong mechanical properties and do not contain drugs. Therefore, after applying the microneedles, it is necessary to further apply the drug to the area. In contrast, when coated microneedles are applied on the surface of the skin, the drug is delivered simultaneously with the application. In dissolving microneedles, the drug can be included in the biodegradable matrix, in which case no sharp waste is produced after microneedle application. Hydrogel microneedles allow drugs to be delivered slowly because the drug is contained in all areas such as the tip of the microneedle and the patch backing. Since the characteristics of microneedles vary with the type, a suitable design should be selected for the microneedles according to the drug dose, onset of action, delivery period, delivery efficiency, packaging, sharp waste, and patch-wearing time (Table 2).

**Solid microneedles**

Solid microneedles are an array containing microscale tapered sharp tips composed of a single material without any drugs or excipients. They are inserted into the skin, creating micron-sized pores on the skin surface (Fig. 2a). When the drug is placed on the treated area, the drug passes through the stratum corneum, the largest barrier of the skin, through these pores; it is easily transferred to the capillaries in the superficial dermis, increasing the bioavailability of the drug (Henry et al. 1998). The agent may be formulated
as a conventional transdermal patch or topical skin formulation (Hoang et al. 2015). Drugs can be delivered over and extended time by including reagents that keep the pores open for a longer duration (Brogden et al. 2013).

Table 2  Decision matrix for suitable microneedle design

| Microneedle type | Solid microneedle | Coated microneedle | Dissolving microneedle | Hydrogel microneedle |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| **Drug dose**    | ○                 | ×                  | ×                      | ○                   |
| **Onset of action (Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics)** | × Slow release by diffusion | ○ Rapid dissolution | ○ Dependent on the formulation | × Slow release by diffusion |
| **Delivery period** | △ Several hours (agents that keep the pores open longer are additionally needed) | × Several minutes | ○ Several minutes to weeks (depending on the formulation) | △ Several hours |
| **Delivery efficiency (Expensive drugs require high delivery efficiency)** | × Some drug remains in the patch or formulation | ○ | ○ | × Some drug remains in the patch |
| **Sharp waste generation** | ○ | ○ | △ Swollen hydrogel microneedle tip | ○ |
| **Packaging** | △ Separate packaging for microneedles and formulation | ○ | ○ | ○ |
| **Patch-wearing time** | × Several hours | ○ Several minutes | × Several hours | ○ Several hours |

Fig. 2  a Silicon solid microneedles (i (Henry et al. 1998) and ii (Park et al. 2010)), b coated microneedles (i, ii (Shakya et al. 2017) and iii (Chen et al. 2017)), c dissolving microneedles (i (Tas et al. 2017) and ii (Du et al. 2019)*), and d hydrogel microneedles (i (Yu et al. 2015) and ii (He et al. 2020). *Reprinted with permission from (Du H et al. (2019) ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 11:43,588–43,598). Copyright (2019) American Chemical Society
Coated microneedles

In coated microneedles, the surface of a solid microneedle is coated with a water-soluble matrix so that the drug dissolves rapidly into the skin after microneedle insertion (Fig. 2b) (Haj-Ahmad et al. 2015; Jiang et al. 2007). The coating formulation should form a film on the surface of the microneedle and maintain adhesion during storage and insertion into the skin. To achieve this purpose, the coating formulation should have adequate viscosity. The location where the coating formulation is placed should be considered. Generally, it is economical to place the drugs only at the tip where the microneedle enters the actual skin. In the case of dip coating, the drug-coated area can be controlled via regulating the depth to which the microneedle is dipped into the coating formulation (Gill et al. 2007a; Gill et al. 2007b; Shakya et al. 2019). The drug-coated area can be determined by controlling the surface tension of the coating formulation, thus regulating the spreading of the microneedle. In coated microneedles, the drug can quickly dissolve in the skin, resulting in a fast onset of drug action. The thickness of the coating can be increased by repeating the formulation coating; however, it is not suitable for drug delivery as it requires a large dose due to dose limitations (Chen et al. 2017; Waghule et al. 2019).

Dissolving microneedles

Microneedles themselves can be made of water-soluble or biodegradable materials that contain the drugs and possess sufficient mechanical strength to penetrate the skin (Fig. 2c) (Sullivan et al. 2010). Insertion of a dissolving microneedle into the skin does not generate sharps waste because it rapidly dissolves or disintegrates upon contact with the skin fluid (Edens et al. 2015; Hirobe et al. 2015; Quinn et al. 2015). Dissolving microneedles are primarily manufactured using a water-soluble biodegradable polymer via a solvent casting method. Biodegradable, cellulose-based polymers such as carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and methyl cellulose are frequently used. Saccharides (e.g. trehalose and sucrose) are also included in the microneedles; they promote disintegration of the formulation and stabilize biomolecules (Mistillis et al. 2015; Raphael et al. 2016). The formulation of the drug-containing tip should exhibit compatibility with the drug, provide mechanical strength, and have a sufficiently low viscosity for filling the microscale mold space well without air bubbles. The base substrate containing no drug may have a higher viscosity than the tip, may be mechanically weak, or may be a water-insoluble material (Prausnitz 2017).

Recently, several studies have been conducted for shortening the microneedle patch-wearing time via separating the microneedle tips rapidly from the base substrate without needing the tips to fully dissolve in the skin. Li et al. reported a microneedle patch capable of rapidly separating after skin insertion by shearing force. The mechanical strength of the microneedle was controlled by trapping a droplet on the microneedle (Li et al. 2019a). In addition, the microneedle tip was separated within 2 min from the base substrate, which was composed of a foamable material (Li et al. 2019b). Jun et al. developed insertion-responsive microneedles for immediate separation of the microneedle after skin application (Jun et al. 2018). A small single wall was designed on the side of the microneedle base; the structure enabled rapid mechanical separation of the tip from base. However, as with dissolving and coated microneedles, this system is disadvantageous for delivering large doses; studies are being conducted for increasing the amount of drug that can be incorporated in these microneedles.

Hydrogel microneedles

In hydrogel microneedles, the drug is contained in all areas of the microneedle tip, base substrate, and patch backing and is released at a slow rate while the patch is applied to the skin (Fig. 2d). The microneedle patches are primarily composed of hydrogel, and when they encounter fluids in the skin, they are hydrated but not dissolved (Al Sulaiman et al. 2019; He et al. 2020; Yu et al. 2015). A high amount of the drug in the hydrogel is delivered to the skin through diffusion (Migdadi et al. 2018; Courtenay et al. 2020). Since the drug can be incorporated in the entire microneedle patch, this system is suitable for large dose delivery; however, its disadvantage is that the patch-wearing time is long because the drug delivery rate is slow.

Materials for microneedles

Various materials, from metal to polymer, are used in microneedles, depending on the design or components of the patch. Generally, microneedle materials should have sufficient mechanical strength for skin insertion (Dharadhur et al. 2019). Non-dissolving microneedles are inert, biocompatible, and sufficiently strong for skin insertion without causing an immune response. In contrast, the matrices of the coated and dissolving microneedles should generally be water-soluble and biocompatible. In addition, it should dissolve or disintegrate in the body without inducing toxicity. Compatibility between the matrices and drugs is critical during the manufacturing process, storage, and transportation of the microneedle patches. The characteristics of various materials used in microneedles are described below.
Silicon

Silicon has sufficient mechanical strength for skin insertion; therefore, it is often used for manufacturing solid and coated microneedles (Hoang et al. 2015; McGrath et al. 2011). Silicon microneedles can be precisely manufactured with small sharp tips with lengths of 100 μm or less using deep reactive ion etching and photolithography (Donnelly et al. 2009; Henry et al. 1998; Li et al. 2019c). However, the equipment used is expensive, the process is expensive, and the production speed is slow (Banga 2009). The silicon microneedle can cause safety problems when it breaks from the skin and fragments remain in the tissue (McGrath et al. 2011). Recently, silicon is being used in reverse master molds rather than in solid microneedles (Lutton et al. 2015).

Metal

Metal materials exhibit high mechanical and tensile strength; therefore, they can easily pass through the skin. They are used to produce solid, coated, and hollow microneedles. In general, stainless steel (Gupta et al. 2011) and titanium (Ti) (Choi et al. 2013; McCarthy et al. 2011; Skoog et al. 2015) are typical metal materials used in microneedles. Stainless steel is the most used metal material for microneedle production; however, it exhibits a faster corrosion rate than Ti alloy (Amalraju et al. 2012). Ti alloys possess stronger mechanical strength than stainless steel; however, they are more expensive (Amalraju et al. 2012).

Polymer

The polymers used for microneedle manufacture should be water-soluble, biocompatible, and mechanically strong for skin insertion (Praustniz 2017). The most common method for producing polymer microneedle is the solvent casting method. This method involves obtaining an inverse mold from the microneedle structure, pouring a polymer formulation on it, drying it, and peeling it from the inverse mold. Dissolving or hydrogel microneedles are manufactured using the solvent casting method with various types of polymers such as hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (Kim et al. 2016), hyaluronic acid (Du et al. 2019), CMC (Mistillis et al. 2015), polyvinyl pyrrolidone (Caffarel-Salvador et al. 2015; Tang et al. 2018; Tas et al. 2017), and poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) (Li et al. 2019c).

Glass

Glass microneedles are primarily hollow and prepared using wet etching or micropipette puller (Dharadhar et al. 2019; Martanto et al. 2006). It exhibits sufficient strength for skin insertion, enabling easy processing of the tapered shape. It is easy to sterilize because it is stable at high temperature and pressure; the material itself is biocompatible. However, it breaks easily; specifically, if the tip of the microneedle is broken and it remains in the skin tissue, it can cause inflammation or granulomas.

Ceramic

Since ceramic materials such as alumina, calcium phosphate, and calcium sulphate exhibit biocompatibility and provide sufficient mechanical strength, studies have explored their use in the preparation of microneedles (Ita 2018) (Figure. 3).

Microneedle fabrication techniques

When designing a microneedle, the objective of the microneedle is considered first. The drug type and dose, desirable pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics, and targets for use are considered. Next, the most optimized microneedle design and materials are determined. The manufacturing method for microneedles varies depending on the design or material. When focusing on the economic aspect, a method such as solvent casting, which is easy to set up, is used. In contrast, if the focus is on the accuracy, precision, and reproducibility of needle production, production of metal or silicon microneedles based on MEMS technology can be considered. We have summarized various methods reported till date for microneedle manufacture.

Laser-mediated fabrication techniques

Laser cutting

Laser cutting is primarily used for manufacturing a metal or polymer microneedle; the most used material is stainless steel (Banks et al. 2010; Martanto et al. 2004; McAllister et al. 2003). The 2D shape of a microneedle is generated through cutting on a flat metallic sheet using a laser. The size and orientation of the microneedle array is designed through a computer-aided design (CAD) software. The microneedle drawn in 2D is bent by 90 degrees to create a 3D microneedle. Needle tips or rough surfaces can be cleaned using electropolishing (Gill et al. 2007a; Gill et al. 2007b; Shakya et al. 2019).

Laser ablation

Laser ablation is also used for fabricating metal or polymer microneedles (Nejad et al. 2018). Laser cutting involves cutting a metal or polymer plate into a 2D shape, whereas laser ablation engraves the plate into a 3D shape. Basically, when the substrate is irradiated with a laser beam (e.g., CO2 laser
It absorbs the laser energy and heats, resulting in its evaporation or sublimation. Through this process, an inverse mold can be produced by generating a microneedle pattern. Photolithography

Photolithography is used to elaborately create solid or hollow microneedles. This method is used to manufacture...
silicon microneedles or dissolving/hydrogel microneedles via making an inverse mold based on the microneedle structure. When fabricating silicon microneedles using photolithography, a sacrificial layer is deposited in the form of a thin film on cleanly treated silicon. Subsequently, a photoresist, a photosensitive polymer, is coated on the silicon via spin coating. If the photomask with desirable pattern is aligned on the substrate and exposed to strong UV radiation, the desired pattern is generated in the part exposed or not exposed. The pattern is generated in the photoresist through the development process; subsequently, the exposed substrate without the photoresist is etched through the etching step. Consequently, a desirable pattern is transferred from the photomask to the photoresist to the silicon (Dardano et al. 2015; Dharadhar et al. 2019).

**Etching**

When a microneedle is fabricated using general photolithography, etching is an important process for determining the tapered shape of the microneedle tip. Before the etching process, the size of the microneedle base and the gap among the microneedles are determined. Subsequently, the length and shape of the microneedles are determined through the etching process (Wilke et al. 2005). The etching process is classified as dry etching and wet etching. It results in isotropic or anisotropic etching, depending on the method utilized.

**Dry etching**

Dry etching is primarily used to create solid or hollow microneedles. It is classified into physical methods and chemical methods. Physical methods include ion milling and sputtering (Indermun et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2012). In dry etching, an inert gas (e.g., Ar or SF6) is ionized by high energy and unidirectional electrodes. Because the ions strike the silicon substrate at a high speed in a single direction, anisotropic etching is performed. In the manufacturing process, the area protected by the oxide film (sacrificial layer) or photoresist is hardly etched, while the area exposed on the silicon is etched. Chemical methods include high pressure plasma etching, in which a chemically reactive plasma gas is generated using strong energy. The plasma reacts with the surface of the substrate, and it is converted into a volatile material, which is blown away, thereby resulting in isotropic etching of the substrate. Reactive ion etching combines physical and chemical methods; both plasma and sputter etching can be used to control isotropic and anisotropic etching (McAllister et al. 2003). Through the optimization of this process, a precise microneedle sharp tip can be manufactured (Henry et al. 1998).

**Wet etching**

Wet etching is also used for fabrication of metal or silicon microneedles (Wilke et al. 2005). In this process, a pattern is produced on the substrate using a chemical etchant. In the case of a silicon wafer, a potassium hydroxide aqueous solution is used; a sharp tip shape can be produced by applying different rates of etching, depending on the direction of the silicon crystals (Henry et al. 1998; Indermun et al. 2014). Wet etching is primarily isotropic etching via a chemical reaction; the etching rate is significantly faster than that in dry etching. Although the cost required for the entire process is low, the poor accuracy of this method is a disadvantage for the fabrication of fine patterns (Figure 4).

**3D printing (additive manufacturing)**

3D printing is an additive processing technology that rapidly prototypes a design at low cost and high throughput (Ogununde et al. 2017). Recently, the 3D printing technology has been expanded to include the production of microstructures such as microneedles (Park et al. 2019; Economidou et al. 2018). The existing manufacturing technology is limited to the production of a simple structured microneedle, while the new 3D printing technology can produce a more sophisticated and complex-shaped microneedle structure (Han et al. 2020). Microneedles are manufactured using high precision stereolithography (SLA), digital light processing (DLP) method, or fused deposition modeling (FDM) (Krieger et al. 2019; Johnson et al. 2019; Luzuriaga et al. 2018).

**Microstereolithography (μSL)**

μSL has been widely used in the production of tissue scaffolds, nerve guidance conduits, and cardiovascular stents in biomedical and tissue engineering (Dharadhar et al. 2019). The manufacturing of 3D objects using the μSL method is based on the photopolymerization of a liquid resin using a light source such as UV radiation and the process of controlling the space to manufacture the 3D object. The building stage and laser beam or digital light projector are precisely controlled by a computer so that the light is illuminated on the resin surface. A layer-by-layer is created on the surface of the building platform, forming the structure (Krieger et al. 2019; Melchles et al. 2010). A microneedle based on poly(propylene fumarate) was prepared using μSL technology for the treatment of skin cancer. To improve mechanical strength, a biodegradable polymer, poly(propylene fumarate), was mixed with diethyl fumarate (Lu et al. 2015). This microneedle system enabled controlled release.
of dacarbazine, an anti-cancer drug, for 5 weeks through modification of the drug dose and molecular weight of the polymer monomer (Lu et al. 2015).

**Continuous liquid interface production (CLIP)**

CLIP is different from the traditional layer-by-layer approach to additive manufacturing. CLIP fabricates an object through photopolymerization of a photoreactive resin using the light reflected from a general DLP chip (Johnson et al. 2016). The basic principle of the CLIP is the same as that for the DLP method; however, CLIP addresses the problem of peeling of the cured resin layer. Because the separation and rearrangement steps, which are rate limiting in the conventional process, have been eliminated, the microneedle could be produced in approximately 2 to 10 min (i.e., reducing the output time by approximately 25 to 100 times compared to that for the conventional method) (Schmidleithner et al. 2018). Johnson et al. reported CLIP-based production of microneedle arrays using biocompatible polymers (e.g., trimethylolpropane triacrylate, polyethylene glycol dimethacrylate, polycaprolactone trimethacrylate, and polyacrylic acid) (Johnson et al. 2016).

**Two-photon polymerization (TPP)**

TPP is a sophisticated additive manufacturing method with a resolution of approximately 100 nm (Takada et al. 2005). TPP initiates polymerization of the resin through multiphoton absorption, which occurs through excitation of the photoinitiator. TPP employs a near-infrared wavelength laser, such as a titanium-sapphire laser, instead of UV light. In the TPP method, unlike in the conventional SLA method, the curing reaction does not occur in the illumination path of the entire laser beam but only at the focal point (Serbin et al. 2003). Therefore, it is possible to manufacture elaborate and complex 3D structures (Balmert et al. 2020; Park et al. 2009) (Figure. 5).

**Drug delivery by microneedles**

**Proteins**

Protein drugs can be applied to various cancer treatments, vaccinations, and treatment of genetic diseases. Rapid development is expected; however, drug delivery is limited due to the problems of low stability and absorption. For
example, during dosing and storage, protein denaturation, drug absorption efficiency, and cellular permeability related to molecular size can lead to limited therapeutic efficiency. Microneedle research is being conducted for improving the delivery efficiency of protein drugs. For example, microneedle technology has been developed for proteins including insulin, desmopressin, erythropoietin, lysozyme, glucagon, glucagon-like peptide-1, parathyroid hormone, and growth hormone. The selection of materials and formulations for preserving protein drug stability remains a difficult task, especially in large-scale storage planning and production chains for clinical use. Chen et al. reported a microneedle with glucose response and temperature stability that was developed using phenylboronic acid for insulin drug delivery in diabetes treatment (Chen et al. 2020). Lahiji et al. evaluated the effects of microneedle manufacturing parameters including manufacturing and storage temperature and drying conditions so that the combination of low temperature during manufacture, mild drying conditions, polymer concentration, and addition of protein stabilizer maintains lysozyme activity up to 99.8 ± 3.8%. Additionally, they reported the importance of optimizing manufacturing parameters (Lahiji et al. 2018).

**Vaccines/antibodies**

Current vaccines are usually limited to subcutaneous injection. Microneedles containing vaccines have been studied recently for induction of an antibody immune response. The advantage of microneedle vaccines is that they enable stronger local immunity compared to injectable formulations because they induce antigen presentation to dendritic cells residing in the skin. Currently, the availability of vaccines is often dependent on cold storage and transportation. Vaccine development using microneedles can preserve the long-term antigenic immunogenicity of the patch and allow flexible storage conditions. Additionally, monoclonal antibodies target specific cells and modulate the immune system, rendering them useful in a wide range of diagnostic and therapeutic applications. Local delivery of monoclonal antibodies using microneedles was performed for alleviating excessive stimulation of autoreactive T cells and addressing side effects (Xu et al. 2017). Antibody delivery can pose various challenges, including loss of efficacy and risk of immunogenicity due to protein inactivation. To address this problem, stability of the antibody in the microneedle is important.
Zhu et al. investigated the stability of a vaccine-loaded microneedle and observed that trehalose, during the microneedle manufacturing process, showed significantly higher stability compared to sucrose and that 80% of the initial antigenicity was retained under stress conditions (60 °C/3 months) (Zhu et al. 2019). To further increase immunogenicity, an immunomodulatory cytokine, granulocytemacrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), was applied to microneedles to induce a long-lived antibody response. For stable delivery of GM-CSF, a microneedle using trehalose, CMC, or gelatin was used (Littauer et al. 2018). A third-generation hepatitis vaccine microneedle containing 15% trehalose exhibited increased stability compared to conventional liquid formulations, including stability for 7 days at 40 °C and improved stability during freeze–thaw cycles (Nguyen et al. 2019).

**Clinical studies**

Till date, studies on various aspects of microneedles, ranging from manufacturing technologies to in vitro and in vivo transdermal drug delivery, have been widely performed, demonstrating the potential applicability of microneedles in medicine. In addition, the safety and efficacy of microneedles have been proved in recent clinical trials performed under various conditions.

Griffin et al. (2017) conducted a clinical trial evaluating uncoated microneedles and excipient-coated microneedles in 18 healthy volunteers aged 18–45 years. This trial utilized a 10 × 10 mm silicon microneedle system with microprojection arrays of 250 µm length. The results showed that the microneedles did not induce any unexpected side effects and only resulted in a low level of pain. (Arya et al. 2017) conducted a clinical trial on microneedles alone in 15 healthy volunteers aged 18–57 years. The trial used dissolving microneedles containing 100 conical microneedles with a height of 650 µm and base diameter of 200 µm. The microneedle was well tolerated in the skin with no pain or swelling and only mild erythema. (Ono et al. 2017) conducted a clinical trial on a microneedle system alone in 10 healthy volunteers aged 20–60 years. Two types of microneedles were used: microneedles composed of polyglycolic acid and Nylon-6 with a patch area of 0.785 cm². The results demonstrated that the microneedles were safely applied to human skin as no broken microneedles or significant irritation was observed after application.

Fernando et al. (2018) conducted clinical trials comparing the immunogenicity of inactivated influenza virus-coated microneedles and intramuscular (IM) injections of the same inactivated influenza virus against an influenza vaccine in 61 healthy volunteers aged 18–45 years. The trial used a 10 × 10 mm coated silicon microneedle with microprojection arrays (10,000/cm²) of 250 µm length. The microneedle vaccination was demonstrated to be safe and acceptable, and it elicited an immune response similar to that induced by the IM injection. Side effects were mild or moderate. Rouphael et al. (2017) conducted a phase 1 trial in human subjects using microneedles for the transdermal delivery of inactivated influenza vaccine. No serious side effects were associated with microneedle treatment, and the mean titers reported in participants who received the microneedle patch were similar to that in individuals who received the IM injection. Several clinical studies on microneedle-mediated vaccine delivery have been completed (Zheng et al. 2018). However, the number of clinical trials on microneedle vaccines was considerably less than that for other routes of administration. The side effects of microneedle-mediated vaccine delivery have been shown to be mild and transient after administration, and patients have shown increased neutralizing antibody titers, serum conversion, and serum protection levels, similar to that with IM injection. However, vaccines for microneedles have not been approved till date (Zheng et al. 2018).

Lee et al. (2018) evaluated microneedles for the treatment of psoriatic plaques in 10 volunteers aged 21–69 years of age. They used a hyaluronic acid-based 2.6 × 2.6 mm microneedle system with 76 circular cone-shape microneedles 650 µm in height. In this study, the application of the microneedle significantly improved the resolution of psoriasis plaques resistant to topical ointments.

Petukhova et al. (2017) studied microneedle-assisted photodynamic treatment of actinic keratoses using a microneedle roller in 33 volunteers. They utilized a single-use sterile array with a length of 200 µm. In this study, photodynamic treatment through pretreatment using the microneedle device showed efficacy similar to that with the conventional treatment. An additional advantage was that there was little pain.

Akilov et al. (2018) studied the treatment of warts using doxorubicin-loaded microneedles in 11 volunteers. The purpose of this study was to establish a safe dose of doxorubicin when delivered through the microneedles. Through this phase I clinical trial, they made good progress in setting the dose for the microneedles as 100 mg of doxorubicin.

Ryu et al. (2018) evaluated the treatment of mycosis fungoides using bleomycin-coated polylactic acid microneedles in 42 volunteers. According to the results, there was no significant difference between the microneedle treatment and the conventional treatment regimen. In addition, the decrease in the average size of all warts was approximately the same at 8 and 16 weeks after initial treatment. Therefore, the therapeutic efficacy of the microneedle was similar to that of the conventional treatment. However, the microneedle treatment resulted in significantly less pain than the conventional treatment (p < 0.001).

Tan et al. (2019) studied the treatment of keloids using in 28 volunteers in a phase 1 trial and 17 volunteers in
a phase two trial. They utilized hyaluronic acid-based microneedles with 14 × 14 arrays of 600 µm length and showed that the application of microneedles containing triamcinolone once a day significantly reduced the number of keloids. It has been reported that treatment with a microneedle system can serve as an alternative when conventional treatments are unsuitable for the patient.

Spierings et al. (2018) conducted a clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of zolmitriptan microneedles for the treatment of migraine headaches. The study was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group phase 2b/3 study conducted at 36 locations in the United States. Zolmitriptan-loaded microneedles provided effective relief against migraine-related headaches and related symptoms compared to the placebo and demonstrated excellent tolerability.

In the cosmetics field, several clinical studies have been conducted on microneedles. Yang et al. (2019) evaluated skin restoration and wrinkle improvement using 5 × 5 arrays of dissolving microneedles containing horse oil (HOS) and/or adenosine (AD). The study showed that the HOS–AD-microneedle significantly improved skin elasticity, hydration, skin density, and wrinkles compared to the AD microneedle, without any side effects. Kang et al. (2019) evaluated skin parameters such as wrinkles, dermal density, elasticity, and hydration following combinatorial application of dissolving microneedles and cream with adenosine (AD). They used 7 × 7 arrays of dissolving microneedles in this study. The combination therapy showed statistically significant efficacy in improving the average wrinkle depth, skin density, elasticity, and hydration. No adverse effects on the skin were observed during the trial period. Avcil et al. (2020) studied restoration of skin properties including hydration, wrinkle reduction, density, and thickness using hyaluronic acid-based microneedle containing bioactive peptides in 20 volunteers aged 40–71 years. The microneedles showed excellent resistance and effectiveness; no primary or cumulative skin reactions were reported in any of the subjects. Fine lines/ wrinkles were noticeably reduced by 25.8%. Skin hydration measurements demonstrated a 15.4% improvement. Dermal skin density and thickness increased by 14.2% and 12.9%, respectively. In addition to these studies, clinical trials over the past few years have demonstrated the application of microneedles beyond the cosmetic field, including chemical keratos, pigment disorders, hyperhidrosis, and striae (Iriarte et al. 2017).

The application of microneedles in human subjects showed no signs of redness, erythema, or edema. The safe and painless microneedle technology offers an interesting opportunity for transdermal delivery compared to injections.

**Limitations and perspectives**

Microneedles are a transdermal drug delivery system that is rapidly growing in research owing to the benefit of increasing patient access to drugs through replacing other routes of administration. Microneedles have been proven to improve drug stability and drug delivery efficacy through non-clinical and clinical studies. However, microneedles as a tool for drug delivery has limitations.

**Limited drug dose**

Because of their small size, microneedles can deliver only a limited amount of drugs. Therefore, their application is difficult when a large dose or continuous drug release is required. To overcome this limitation, the immediate limitations can be overcome through applying several patches at once or periodically changing the microneedle patch. However, for expanding the scope of microneedles in medicine, research is needed on increasing the drug dose that can be incorporated in the microneedles.

**Solubilizing technology for poorly soluble drugs**

Solubilization of poorly soluble drugs is an essential technology for solving the problem of small-dose microneedles. Basically, sufficient drug solubility in an aqueous solution is required to apply the drug to a microneedle. However, since several drugs show low water solubility, only a small proportion of the drugs can be delivered (Kearney et al. 2019). Increasing the solubility of a poorly soluble drug allows a large dose of the drug to be contained in the same formulation, enabling the incorporation of higher amounts of drugs in microneedles of limited size. Use of prodrugs for increasing solubilization is a representative technique for solubilizing poorly soluble drugs. In addition, there has been consistent research on improving the solubility of poorly soluble drugs using surfactants or liposomes, salt preparation of the drug, pH adjustment, and nanoparticle control technology.

**Sustained drug-releasing technology**

Till date, research on microneedle-based drug delivery has focused on demonstrating rapid dissolution of drug formulations from the microneedles into the body. Thus, although microneedles are effective for single drug administrations, they have limitations in continuous drug delivery. To demonstrate sustained drug release using microneedles, separable microneedles have been developed. Since Chu et al. first developed separable microneedles (Chu et al. 2011), various studies on separable microneedles have been conducted for
minimizing the patch-wearing time through rapidly separating the formulation from the microneedle. (Choi et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019a, 2019b). In addition, research is being conducted on introducing a sustained-release formulation technology for enabling long-term drug delivery of drugs separated from the microneedle to the body. Li et al. have developed a separable microneedle to release contraceptive hormones and maintain their levels within the therapeutic range for approximately a month (Li et al. 2019a, 2019b). Through research on formulation technology for long-term drug delivery, various drugs can be applied to microneedle patches and various incrementally modified drugs can be developed by enabling effective drug delivery. In addition, it is necessary to develop an adhesive patch that does not cause toxicity even when wearing a microneedle patch for a long duration.

Fabrication technology

Microneedle master molds are primarily manufactured by deep reactive ion etching for fabricating the small microneedle tips, the size of which ranges over several tens of micrometers with high accuracy and reproducibility. Because the instrument and maintenance are expensive, the barrier to enter the field of microneedle research is high, and the technology of mass production has been limited to certain companies.

3D printing

As the technology for 3D printing advances, microneedle manufacturing has been conducted using entry-level 3D printers. Because the price and maintenance of 3D printers are inexpensive, they can be easily utilized for various applications. CAD software enables the design of novel shapes of microneedles. 3D printing can significantly shorten the product development time due to rapid fabrication and modification of the prototypes. However, there is a limit to the materials that can be used, and the low resolution of entry-level 3D printers remains a problem. Although there are high-resolution 3D printers, the instrument price is high. Nevertheless, 3D printing studies have continued to overcome the limitations. It is expected that the 3D printing technology will enable us to produce customized microneedle patches depending on individual symptoms.

Regulations

Currently, the licensing of microneedle products is processed for each application rather than for a specific microneedle system (product-specific approval). Therefore, the licensing of microneedle products is delayed, which is a factor restricting the commercialization of microneedles. To address this problem, a microneedle-based licensing regulation including the shape, formulation, sterilization, and packaging of the microneedle must be defined. Through the unification of cGMP and quality control, a microneedle licensing method based on quality by design should be established to promote the commercialization of microneedle products as pharmaceuticals.

Convergence with digital technology

Current microneedles are designed as simple patches for delivering drugs; however, in the future, they can be developed as digital medicine through fusion with information technology. Convergence systems that provide information on the drug-loading amount, patch-changing time, or rate of controlled drug release can be developed. The convergence technology can contribute to maximizing the drug delivery application of microneedles and diversifying the products.

Conclusion

Microneedles are a transdermal drug delivery system that is rapidly growing in research owing to the benefit of increasing patient access to drugs through replacing other routes of administration. Microneedles can be classified as solid, coating, dissolving, and hydrogel formulations. They are composed of various materials such as silicon, metal, polymer, glass, and ceramic. Various manufacturing techniques are utilized for imparting unique shapes, sizes, and properties. Microneedles continue to evolve through clinical trials and utilize various drugs. Most studies have demonstrated favorable results using this system. This technique has the potential to provide therapeutic effects in multiple fields.
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