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ABSTRACT
This research paper addresses the hypothesis that mineral supplementation (sodium chloride and magnesium oxide at 1:1 weight ratio) for dairy heifers grazing mature wheat in the spring-summer period will improve live weight gain compared with the non-supplemented group. In addition, the potential of mineral supplementation to reduce environmental pollution through diluting urinary nitrogen content was evaluated in this study. The grazing study was conducted at the Dookie Campus, The University of Melbourne, Australia between September and November 2017. The study comprised two temporal trial replications with two dietary treatments; graze wheat with (supplemented, SUP) or without mineral supplementation (control, CTR). The first and second trial replications used 22 and 24 heifers, respectively to conduct a 22-day and 21-day weight gain measurement. Heifers in the CTR group had 11% lower daily water intake than those in the SUP group. No differences were observed in heifers’ weight gain and urinary nitrogen content and excretion. The study indicates that protein deficiency may override the mineral deficiency when heifer grazed mature wheat, and this may have led to no mineral supplementation effect on heifer performance. The level of protein in grazing wheat needs to be considered in feeding minerals to heifers.

Introduction
Wheat is a common grazing crop for cattle and sheep in Australia due to its high nutritive value (Dove and McMullen 2009), which is comparable to mixed pastures like sub clover (Trifolium subterraneum), annual grass, phalaris (Phalaris aquatica) (Robertson et al. 2011). Early sown wheat can be used as a dual-purpose crop to support animal grazing and then harvest for grain or hay (Mcmullen and Virgona 2009). Dual-purpose wheat is generally grazed in the vegetative stage in late winter and early spring in Australia (Hersom et al. 2004), and then harvested for hay or grain in late spring/summer. Wheat grazing is also practiced in United States (Epplin et al. 2000), Argentina, Morocco, Pakistan, Syria, and Uruguay (Rodriguez et al. 1990).

Both dual-purpose winter and spring wheat crops may have a role as an important low-cost feed source that could provide green forage when annual pasture has not grown enough to be grazed (Doole et al. 2009; Moore 2009). However due to climate change, Northern Victoria, Australia is experiencing higher spring temperatures and more frequent heatwaves, less cool season rainfall, more time in drought, decreased water availability (Victoria’s Climate Science Report 2019). Those changes would lead to changes in wheat plant physiology and nutritional value. For example, high ambient temperature and water deficit slow photosynthesis and leaf area expansion, subsequently the flow of carbohydrates and other resources to the developing plant parts in wheat which may reduce dry matter production (Ferris et al. 1998).

It is well known that grazing wheat often can lead to sodium (Na) and magnesium (Mg) deficiency, as well as Na: Mg imbalance in the animal diet (CSIRO 2007). The Mg and Na deficiency is linked with a reduced animal Mg absorption, from wheat growing in soils with low pH and high potassium (K), which is the case in south-eastern Australia (Coventry et al. 1987; Gorhma et al. 1987). The consequence can be a high K: Na in the rumen, which further reduces Mg absorption and impairs animal performance (Berger 1992).

Monensin (Santos et al. 2019) and Zeolite (Khachlouf et al. 2019) have been extensively used to manipulate nitrogen (N) intake and mineral status in dairy cows. Supplementation of livestock grazing wheat with Na and Mg can increase sheep live weight gain (LWG) by up to 60% (Mulholland and Coombe 1979; Rowe et al. 1989; Dove and McMullen 2009). Little is known about how cattle will perform when grazing wheat and supplemented with Na and Mg. The optimal dietary requirement of Na for cattle is 0.8–1.2 g/kg dry matter (DM), which is higher than for sheep (0.7–1.0 g/kg DM; Blackburn and Duddy 2009). In addition, the animal response is
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also dependent on wheat mineral content at the time of grazing. The mineral content generally decreases in wheat as it matures (Cottle 1991), and this may raise the mineral demand for supplementation compared to feeding cattle with wheat at the vegetative stage. Therefore, the hypothesis of this study is that supplementation of Na and Mg for cattle grazing mature wheat in the spring-summer period will improve live weight gain (LWG) compared with the non-supplemented group.

In addition to the potential animal LWG benefit, the mineral supplementation could be a way to mitigate environmental pollution from grazing cattle. Mineral supplementation can increase cattle water intake and result in an increase in the urine output to dilute its N concentration (Spek et al. 2012). Under the grazing system, previous studies observed that supplementing cattle with salt increased urinary volume by 28% and reduced urinary N concentration by 36%, respectively (Liu and Zhou 2014; Ledgard et al. 2015). Therefore, it is logical to hypothesize that supplementation of Na and Mg, while cattle graze mature wheat in the spring-summer period, will lead to a lower urinary N concentration compared with the non-supplemented group.

The objectives of this study were to (1) measure the effects of mineral supplementation on LWG and urinary N concentration of heifers grazing mature wheat in early summer, and (2) analyse blood and urine samples for metabolism biomarkers to understand the potential mechanisms that underpin the animal performance difference due to mineral supplementation.

Materials and methods

Ethical statement

This study utilized dairy heifers from the Dookie Campus, The University of Melbourne. The study was undertaken with the approval of The University of Melbourne Animal Ethics Committee (#1714219.1 and #1714290.1).

Paddock and forage preparation

A 10-hectare (ha) paddock in Dookie (36.37°S, 145.70°E) was sown with canola in May 2016 and then followed by sowing wheat in April 2017. A portion of the paddock was subdivided into a 2.4 ha and a 4.8 ha block for grazing trial temporal replication 1 and 2, respectively. The grazing area difference in replication 1 and 2 reflects more feed was offered to heifers in temporal replication 2 than replication 1, due to increased heifer intake demand and reduced feed quality. The maximum and average rainfall were 22.2 and 3.17 mm/day; 39.4 and 1.97 mm/day for trial replication 1 and 2, respectively. The average of maximum temperature for trial replication 1 and 2 were 15.8°C and 20.6°C respectively.

The paddock was sprayed with glyphosate (1.2 L/ha), Logran-B-Power (40 g/ha), and Sakura 850WG (118 g/ha) prior to sowing on 19 April 2017 using a 3000 L Hardie 30 mt boom spray. Wheat (cv. Whister ASW; 85 kg seed/ha) was sown on 20 April 2017 with monoammonium phosphate (MAP) fertilizer applied at a rate of 100 kg/ha at a row spacing of 25 cm using a seeder (Gasen air cart seeder, with RFM seeder bar, Australia). Flutriafol 500 was also applied as a dressing on MAP with a rate of 300 mL/ha on 20 April 2017. To control weeds, Tigrex (0.75 L/ha), Clopyralid 600 (80 mL/ha), Clodinaftop 240EC (85 mL/ha) and BS1000 (0.10%) were applied through a water solution (70 L/ha) on 18 June 2017 with a 2006 3000 L Hardie sprayer. Urea was applied at a rate of 80 kg/ha on 2 August 2017 using a fertilizer spreader (2012 JCB precision spreader, Australia).

Grazing study design

The grazing study comprised two temporal trial replications with two grazing treatments. The two treatments were: grazing wheat only, which served as a control group (CTR) and grazing wheat with mineral supplementation (SUP). Each treatment group was allocated with half of the grazing block in temporal replication 1 (1.2 ha) and 2 (2.4 ha). Two minerals were (i.e. magnesium oxide and coarse salt) mixed at 1:1 ratio (weight: weight) and offered to grazing heifers ad libitum. Magnesium oxide was purchased from Amtrade International Pty Ltd, Melbourne, Australia while coarse salt was purchased from Copland & Sons, Lake Charm Salt Co., Australia. The minerals were offered to heifers using a feed trough and their intake was quantified by weighing the offered and refused mineral (kg) at the start and end of each trial replication.

The first and second trial replications used a total of 22 and 24 Holstein-Friesian heifers, respectively. The first replication was conducted from 7 September to 13 October 2017, and the second replication was conducted from 19 October to 22 November 2017. Each trial replication consisted of a 14-day adaptation period and a measurement period: the first and second trial replications had a 22-day and 21-day measurement period, respectively. Heifers were born in August-September 2016 and equally allocated into two treatment groups balanced for LW (mean ± SD: 319 ± 25.4 kg for trial replication 1; 365 ± 28.8 kg for trial replication 2) at the start of adaptation period. The heifers were managed under a set stocking system. Each group was provided with a portable water trough to allow ad libitum access to water.

Forage allocation

The forage allowance for each heifer was calculated according to the metabolizable energy (ME) requirements for maintenance plus a 0.8 kg daily LWG (Nicol and Brookes 2007), with an assumption that wheat ME content was 10 MJ ME/kg DM: heifer forage allowance (kg DM/heifer per day) = [0.65 × LW (kg)0.75 + 30 (MJ ME/heifer per day)] ÷ 10 (MJ ME/kg DM) (Nicol and Brookes 2007). Individual heifer forage allowance was then multiplied by the number of heifers in the group and the number of grazing days to calculate group forage allowance. To allocate feed, pre-grazing forage mass was determined by cutting 30 of 0.5 m rows of wheat randomly to ground-level per treatment group, with an assumption of a post-grazing forage mass was 1500 kg DM/ha.
Forage quality measurements

Forage quality samples were collected by cutting 15 samples (0.01 m²) per treatment group at random locations, to ground-level at the start and end of each replication. Forage samples were weighed fresh, oven-dried at 65°C for 48 h to determine DM content. The oven-dried wheat samples were then ground to 1 mm for the measurement of nutritive value at the New South Wales Department of Primary Industries (Australia) Laboratory Services. Near-infrared reflectance spectrophotometry (Foss NIRSystems 5000, FOSS NIRSystems Inc, USA) was used to estimate N, crude protein (CP; N × 6.25), the digestible organic matter content in the dry matter (DOMD), and neutral detergent fibre (NDF). The ME was calculated from predicted DOMD [ME (MJ/kg DM) = DOMD (%) × 0.203–3.001] (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food 1990). For mineral analysis [K, Na, calcium (Ca) and Mg], samples were digested with concentrated nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide at a maximum temperature of 125°C for 2 h. Digestate was then diluted and analyzed on a Perkin Elmer Optima 8300DV ICP-OES (PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, USA). This analysis was executed on a LECO Trumac CN (LECO Corporation, Michigan, USA) at a furnace temperature of 1350°C.

Dry Matter and Water Intake

Heifer dry matter intake (DMI) was estimated through back-calculation base on daily ME requirement (i.e. maintenance + LWG) ÷ forage energy content (MJ ME/kg DM) (Nicol and Brookes 2007):

- If LWG > 0
  \[ ME \text{ intake (MJ/day)} = (0.65 \times LWG^{0.75}) + (LWG \times 55 \text{ MJ ME/kg LW}) \]
- If LWG < 0
  \[ ME \text{ intake (MJ/day)} = (0.65 \times LWG^{0.75}) + (LWG \times 20 \text{ MJ ME/kg LW}) \]

Drinking water intake was quantified per treatment group by manual measurement of water disappearance every 3 days between 22 September and 12 October 2017 for the first repetition and between 7 November and 21 November 2017 for the second replication. A bucket with water was used to measure the evaporation rate at the start and end of each trial to correct drinking water intake. Feed water intake was calculated using DMI divided by DM content in the feed. Daily water intake was the sum of drink water intake and feed water intake.

Animal measurements

All heifers were weighed after a 12-hour overnight fasting at the start and the end of the measurement period. Heifers were sampled for urine and blood on measurement day 22 of the first replication and day 16 of the second replication. For each trial replication, 6 randomly selected heifers from each treatment group were herded into a cattle yard and sampled for urine and blood. Urine was collected mid-stream following vulva stimulation and acidified immediately to a pH <3.0 with concentrated sulfuric acid to prevent ammonia volatilization (Cheng et al. 2016). One 8-ml blood sample per heifer was collected from the coccygeal vein using sodium heparin vacuette tubes (BD Vacutainer, Beliver Industrial Estate, UK). The blood was then centrifuged at 3000 g at 4°C for 15 min to harvest plasma. The urine and plasma samples were then stored at −20°C for further analysis. Urinary N concentration was determined by N analyzer (Vario MAX CN, Elementar Analysenysteme, Hanau, Germany) while urinary salinity was determined using a hand-held refractometer (Opti Digital Range Life Science 38-28, Bellingham and Stanley, USA). Urinary creatinine and plasma urea were analyzed using a Cobas Integra 400 plus (Roche, Switzerland). Urinary N excretion (UN) during the measurement period was estimated from plasma urea N and LW using the equation published by Kohn et al. (2005): UN (g N/day) = 1.3 x plasma urea N (g/L) x LW (kg). The LW value used in these calculations was the average LW of the start and end of the measurement period.

Data analysis

This study was analyzed as two temporal trial replications by ANOVA using GenStat (VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, UK, version 16; Cheng et al. 2016). Diet measurements were taken in each replication and these measurements were nested in the temporal replications. The model for diet measurements was

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \gamma_k + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} + (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{ijk} + \epsilon_{ijk}, \]

where \( Y_{ijk} \) was ith measurement for the jth temporal replication for the kth diet treatment.

The model for animal-based measurements was

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ijk}, \]

where \( Y_{ijk} \) was the measurement for the kth animal in diet treatment i and temporal replication j. Urine and plasma data were averaged across sampling days before analysis.

Results

Forage allocation and nutritive value

The pre-grazing herbage mass was higher in the CTR group than in the SUP group (Table 1). Forage Na, Ca and Mg content did not differ between groups, but K was higher in forage wheat grazed by heifers in the CTR group than the SUP group (Table 1). No statistical differences were observed in NDF, ME, ADF, ASH, OM, DMD, DOMD and the water-soluble carbohydrate (WSC) content between two groups (Table 1).

Animal intake and growth performance

Estimated ME intake and CP intake were higher \( (P < 0.001; \text{Table 2}) \) in the CTR group than those in the SUP group. Heifers in the CTR group had 10% higher feed water intake than those in the
Table 1. Pre-grazing forage mass, dry matter content and nutritive value of forage wheat grazed by heifers in supplemented (SUP) and without (CTR) minerals.

| Parameters                               | CTR         | SUP         | SED          | P      |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| Pre-grazing forage mass (kg DM/ha)       | Mean        | SEM         | Mean         | SEM    |
| DM (%)                                   | 47.0        | 5.9         | 49.0         | 6.0    |
| NDF (%) (DM)                             | 54.1        | 1.4         | 55.5         | 1.9    |
| ADF (%) (DM)                             | 28.0        | 2.3         | 29.0         | 3.2    |
| CP (%)                                   | 7.1         | 1.5         | 6.6          | 2.2    |
| ASH (%)                                  | 5.0         | 0.9         | 5.0          | 1.4    |
| OM (%)                                   | 96.0        | 0.9         | 95.0         | 1.4    |
| DMD (%)                                  | 62.0        | 1.7         | 63.0         | 2.4    |
| ME (MJ/kg DM)                            | 9.2         | 0.3         | 9.0          | 0.28   |
| WSC (%)                                  | 19.0        | 2.8         | 21.0         | 4.0    |

Blood and urine Parameters

Heifers in the SUP group had a higher (P < 0.05; Table 2) urinary salinity content than those in the CTR group. Urinary N concentration did not differ significantly (P = 0.87) between the two groups. On the other hand, urinary creatine concentration tended to be higher (P = 0.11) in the CTR group compared with that in the SUP group. No differences were observed in plasma urea N and UN (P = 0.97, 0.37 respectively; Table 2).

Discussion

This study examined the effects of supplementing minerals on heifer LWG when grazing mature wheat in the spring-summer period. The ME and CP content were 9.0 MJ/kg DM and 7.1% on DM basis in CTR group while in SUP group those were 9.2 MJ/kg DM and 6.6% on DM basis, respectively. These nutritive characteristics are also low compared with a previous study (Mcgrath et al. 2015) that reported grazing wheat with ME 11.8 MJ/Kg DM and CP content of 22.9% on DM basis. In addition, Ca content was 0.09% on DM basis in SUP and CTR group while K content was 1.29, 1.41% on DM basis in CTR and SUP group, respectively in the current study which were also lower than those reported in a previous study of Mcgrath et al. (2015) with a Ca content of 0.28% on DM basis, and K content of 4.8% on DM basis. Average annual rainfall (502 mm) and wheat cultivar (EGA Wedgetail) used in that study (Mcgrath et al. 2015) may explain the nutritional variability. Additionally, in Mcgrath et al. (2015) study, nutritional analysis of wheat sample was conducted on June and July, while in the current study it was performed between September and November which may explain the variation in nutritional component. The DMI of heifers in the current study was similar to previous studies, which was in a range of 2.5–3% of heifer LW (Cheng et al. 2016, 2017a, 2017b). Mineral intake was 106 g/day/heifer for the SUP group, which is similar to a previous study of Bell and Dove (2012, p. 140 g/day/heifer).

The previous review from Dove et al. (2016) suggested that mineral supplementation should be provided to animals when the forage diet has less than 0.5% K, 0.07% Na, 0.3% Ca and 0.09% Mg on DM basis. Based on those suggested values, the mature wheat grazed in the current study was deficient in Na, Mg and Ca for cattle production. However, the mineral supplementation did not improve cattle LWG compared with their unsupplemented counterparts in this study. This is in contrast to many earlier studies where sheep and cattle offered vegetative wheat, showed an increase in LWG when minerals were supplemented (Dove and Mcmullen 2009; Bell and Dove 2012). Such discrepancy in LWG response to mineral supplementation was discussed in a recent review paper (Masters et al. 2019), which highlighted that the use of mineral to be considered in conjunction with energy and CP supply in animal production. Based on the leaky bucket theory, deficiency in major nutrient supply (energy or CP) is the first limiting factor to animal performance rather than mineral deficiency. The lack of LWG to mineral supplementation in this study may be due to inadequate CP supply to the animal. The CP content of the grazed wheat (6.6 and 7.1% on DM basis for the SUP and CTR groups, respectively) was substantially lower than growing heifer’s requirement (14% on DM basis; Pacheco and Waghorn 2008). Therefore, the CP deficiency most likely limited the cattle LWG in the current study (Nicol and Brookes 2007). This speculation is partly supported by the low LWG (0.5 kg/day/heifer for both groups) measured in this study, which is less than the target of 0.8 kg/day/heifer set at the start of the study. In addition, the current study also showed a lower LWG than observed in some earlier studies, 0.7 kg/day/heifer grazed vegetative wheat in Cheng et al. (2016) and 0.7–0.9 kg/day/heifer grazed herbal pasture in Cheng et al. (2017a, 2017b). Previous studies indicated that monensin (Santos et al. 2019) and Zeolite (Khachlouf et al. 2019) supplementation can improve the DM and CP efficiency. The lack of LWG to mineral supplementation was partly supported by the low LWG (0.5 kg/day/heifer for both groups) measured in this study, which is less than the target of 0.8 kg/day/heifer set at the start of the study. In addition, the current study also showed a lower LWG than observed in some earlier studies, 0.7 kg/day/heifer grazed vegetative wheat in Cheng et al. (2016) and 0.7–0.9 kg/day/heifer grazed herbal pasture in Cheng et al. (2017a, 2017b). Previous studies indicated that monensin (Santos et al. 2019) and Zeolite (Khachlouf et al. 2019) supplementation can improve the DM and CP efficiency. The current study also examined the potential of using mineral supplementation to mitigate environmental pollution in the grazing system. In the present grazing study, we used the spot urine sample technique to gain an understanding of potential urinary N concentration change due to mineral supplementation. It is important to note that caution is needed...
to interpret the urine measurements from spot samples, as diurnal variation in urinary N concentration is known to occur (Betteridge et al. 2013).

Urinary salinity (%) was higher in the SUP group than in the CTR group, which is likely to reflect the higher mineral intake achieved in the SUP group (Spek et al. 2012). The current study did not detect a significant change in urinary N concentration. Urinary creatinine predicts urine volume and it is negatively related to urine volume (Chizzotti et al. 2008). From the result of having 40% lower urinary creatinine in SUP group it can be speculated that SUP group had higher urine volume which is partly proved by an 11% higher daily water intake in that group. Unfortunately, the large variation between individual cattle and two trial periods reduced the statistical power to detect a significant difference in urinary creatinine (P = 0.11) in this study.

Nitrogen intake is a major driver of UN (Totty et al. 2013; Beukes et al. 2014), and these two are generally positively correlated with each other (Johnson et al. 2016). However, there was no difference in the UN between two treatments in this study, despite that the CTR group was estimated to have 18% more N intake than the SUP group. This is likely due to dietary CP content in the present study which was very low relative to heifer’s requirement. This could have led to a retention or conservation of N and kept urinary N to a minimum (Pacheco and Waghorn 2008).

Overall, the study has not observed any effect of mineral supplementation to heifers grazing mature wheat on LWG and reduction of urinary N concentration. A future indoor study is needed to confirm the result by establishing the inter-relationships between feed intake, water intake, urine volume and nutrient output in the urine.

Conclusion

Mature wheat CP content was substantially below the growing heifers’ requirements, which may be the cause of having insignificant differences in LWG and UN between two groups tested in this study. Therefore, the level of dietary CP should be considered by farmers when feeding minerals to animals. A future indoor study is needed to confirm the result by establishing the inter-relationships between feed intake, water intake, urine volume and nutrient output in the urine.
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