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Introduction

Colorectal cancer is a common malignancy and second leading cause of cancer mortalities in United States and other developed countries (Azadeh et al., 2007; Siegel et al., 2012). Surgery and chemotherapy are currently the primary treatment options for colorectal cancer and radiotherapy serves as a complementary therapeutic option (Chen et al., 2010). Radiotherapy has the ability to shrink and kill cancer cells by bombardment of them with ionizing radiation and causing DNA damage by direct action or through production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Hall and Giaccia, 2006). In order to induce sufficient damages in targeted cancer cells, it is crucial to increase the radiation dose (Hendee, 2006). However, radiations can also induce biological damages in normal tissues due to little discrimination of ionizing radiations between normal and malignant tissues (Hainfeld et al., 2008; Anijdan et al., 2013; Cooper et al., 2014). Therefore, the doses must be limited below the curative level to protect normal surrounding tissue (Hainfeld et al., 2008). For this reason, the recurrence of colorectal cancer is observed in more than 50% of cases (Arab-Bafrahi et al., 2015). Using appropriate radiosensitizer is one of the interesting approaches to increase radiation dose only at the site of tumor. Electroporation (EP) technique can be used to induce radiosensitivity in tumor cells (Serša et al., 2000; Kranjc et al., 2005; Shil et al., 2006). EP is a physical process to increase the permeability of cell membrane in response to short high-voltage electric pulses (Gehl, 2003; Yarmush et al., 2014; Robert et al., 2015). This technique has been used to transport different molecules such as chemotherapeutic drugs, proteins, DNA, and dyes through cell membranes (Gothelf et al., 2003; Davalos et al., 2005; Miklavcic et al., 2010; Kotnik et al., 2015; Lamichhane et al., 2015; Meglic et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2015; Bianchi et al., 2016; Rezaee et al., 2017). Moreover, delivering short intense electric pulses ranging nano- to micro-second pulse duration to cell leads to the production of ROS in the electroporated side of the membrane (Gabriel and Teissie, 1994). ROS can sensitize the cells to ionization radiation. Therefore, EP with its noninvasive nature can be employed prior to radiotherapy to selectively enhance of dose in the targeted tumor cells. Previous studies have shown different protocols of EP can induce radiosensitizing effects in different tumor cells. The common protocols of EP comprise of microsecond pulse duration in the frequencies ranging 1 to 100 Hz applied in the intensities of 1 to 1.5 kV/cm. There is no published study that investigated the radiosensitizing
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effect of single microsecond electric pulse on colorectal cancer cells. Therefore, the present study was aimed to investigate the radiosensitizing effect of a single microsecond electric pulse (100-µs pulse duration) in colorectal cancer cells using colony formation assay through survival curve. The sensitizer enhancement ratios of HT-29 cell lines irradiated with 6 MV X-ray photons under two irradiation conditions ionizing radiation alone and EP at 10 min prior to ionizing radiation were comparatively investigated.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture: Human colorectal (HT-29) cells were purchased from National Cell Bank of Pasteur Institute of Iran (NCBI, C466) and cultured in Roswell park memorial institute (RPMI) 1640 medium (BIO-IDEA, B11031) supplemented with 10% Fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Bio-Idea, Iran). The cells were routinely subcultured every 4 days and kept at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5 % CO₂ in incubator (RS Biotech Galaxy R).

Electroporation Setup

Following trypsination, the cells were centrifuged and resuspended in the growth media. A 30 µl of cell suspension containing known cell number (100, 200, 400, 1,000 and 2,000 cells in accordance with radiation doses of 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 Gy) was added into a 1-mm gap EP cuvette. A single square pulse with electric field intensity of 1200 V/cm and pulse duration 100 µs was delivered to the sample using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser Xcell™ EP system. Then, the samples were transferred to a 6-well plate and the fresh medium was added to each well. Finally, the HT-29 cells were exposed to ionization radiation after 10 min.

Irradiation Setup and Colony Formation Assay

Exposure of cells to 6 MV X-ray photons were performed at the room temperature using Varian 2100 C/D linear accelerator (LINAC, Golestan hospital, Ahvaz, Iran) with a dose rate of 3 Gy/min. the cells were exposed to individual total doses 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 Gy with a field size of 20×20 cm². 1.5 cm thickness of a Plexiglass sheet (water equivalent) was placed on top of the six wells-plate and five centimeters of a Plexiglass sheet was utilized under the bottom of plate as a source of backscatters. After completion of irradiation, the cells were incubated for 14 days at 37°C in humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Then, the cells were fixed and stained by 0.4% crystal violet and visible colonies with more than 50 cells were counted. The plating efficiency (PE) was calculated based on the survival of non-treated group (0 Gy) and survival fraction (SF) of treatment group was obtained by following formula: SF = colony number / (plating cell number × PE). The survival curve was estimated by linear quadratic model with equation of $SF = \exp (-\alpha D - \beta D^2)$ using MATLAB software. The sensitizer enhancement ratio (SER) was calculated by ratio of radiation dose that resulted in 50% cell survival ($LD_{50}$) in the absence or the presence of EP.

Statistical Analysis

Each of our experiments was repeated at least three times and results were expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). All data were examined for normality of distribution by Kolmogorov Smirnove test. Statistical analyses were assessed by t-test and SigmaStat statistical software (SPSS Inc.). The P-values level of less than 0.05 was set as significant.

Results

Effect of electroporation on HT-29 cell Survival Curve and sensitizer enhancement ratio

In order to evaluate the radiosensitizing effect of EP, HT-29 cells were treated either with irradiation in the presence and absence of EP. The survival curve between these two groups was significantly different and the combination of EP with irradiation resulted in greater decreases in survival fraction (p-value<0.05) (Figure 1). Moreover, applying electric pulse prior to irradiation increased both α and β parameters of survival curve. The value of LD50 was decreased from 3.97 Gy in radiation alone group to 2.9 Gy in tumors were received EP before irradiation. Finally, the sensitizer enhancement ratio (SER) of 1.36 was obtained (Table 1).

Discussion

In the present study, the radiosensitizing effect of EP was investigated in HT-29. Our results confirmed the previous studies (West, 1992; Kranjc et al., 2005). The first study on radiosensitizing effect of EP has been reported...
by West (1992). They used one exponential decaying electric pulse to sensitize CHO cells to 137Cs- gamma radiation. Their results revealed that electric pulse can change the α and β parameters and sensitize cells by factor of 1.19 (West, 1992). Similarly, we delivered one electric pulse but with square pulse shape. One square electric pulse could sensitize HT-29 cells by factor of 1.36. The probably mechanism of radiosensitization is generation of ROS in electroporated site of membrane (Bonnafous et al., 1999). When the cell is exposed to electric pulse, the oxidative jump is induced in electroporated sites of membrane and ROS is generated (Gabriel and Teissie, 1994; Bonnafous et al., 1999). ROS generation is restricted to the electroporated part of membrane (Gabriel and Teissie, 1995) and can enhance the effect of ionsizing radiation. Later, the level of generated ROS in the Ehrlich Ascites Carcinoma (EAC) cells after delivering electric pulse has measured by Shil et al., (2006). ROS level in the case of electroporation and irradiation was significantly higher than irradiation alone group. In vivo study that has been performed by Shil et al., (2006) demonstrated that the average tumor volume of group that were treated by electroporation and irradiation was significantly (51%) smaller than this volume in irradiation alone group. In another in vivo study, electroporation decreased the tumor blood flow reversibly and induced tumor hypoxia. However, electroporation could improve response of tumor to irradiation by factor of 1.25 due to generation of ROS during the electroporation procedure (Kranjc et al., 2005).

Kranjc et al., (2005) and Sersa et al., (2000) have reported that the effect of 220KV radiation can be improved by electric pulses. Indeed, Previous studies have used orthovoltage unit to deliver 220KV x-rays (Serša et al., 2000; Kranjc et al., 2005) or radioisotope sources of Cs137 (West, 1992) and Co60 (Shil et al., 2006) to generate γ-radiation. But, because of the extensive uses of MV photons to treat deep seated tumors as well as spare skin of patients in clinic, we used a LINAC as a radiation source to exposure mega-voltage x-ray.

We suggest that electroporation can be combined with radiosensitizing drug such as gold (Jain et al., 2011) and silver (Liu et al., 2013) nanoparticles, chemotherapeutic agents (Kranjc et al., 2009), and drugs with low toxicity such as melatonin (Najafi et al., 2017a; Najafi et al., 2017b), metformin (Koritzinsky, 2015) and celecoxib (Gore, 2004). In this way, EP as a drug delivery system can increase the uptake of drugs and due to its intrinsic radiosensitizing effect, synergistic effect is seen.

In conclusion, based on our result electric pulse can sensitize colorectal HT-29 cancer cell to mega-voltage radiation and has a potential to use as a physical radiosensitizer for treatment of radio resistance tumor cells.
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