Repertitive Pain in Neonatal Male Rats Impairs Hippocampus-Dependent Fear Memory Later in Life
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Preterm infants in neonatal intensive care units are inevitably subjected to numerous painful procedures. However, little is known about the consequences of early pain experience on fear memory formation later in life. We hypothesized that exposure to repetitive pain in early life triggered hippocampal synaptic plasticity and resulted in memory deficiency in prepubertal and adult rats. From the day of birth (P0) to postnatal day 7 (P7), neonatal male rat pups were randomly assigned to either needle pricks or tactile touches repetitively every 6 h. Trace fear conditioning was performed on rats on P24–P26 and P87–P89. On P24 and P87, rats were sacrificed for molecular and electrophysiological studies. On P24–26 and P87–89, rats that experienced neonatal needle treatment showed a significant reduction in freezing time in the contextual fear conditioning ($P < 0.05$) and trace fear conditioning tests ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, repetitive neonatal procedural pain caused a significant decrease in the magnitude of hippocampal long-term potentiation induced by high-frequency stimulation. Furthermore, rats that experienced neonatal needle treatment demonstrated sustained downregulation of NR1, NR2A, NR2B, and GluR1 expression in the hippocampus. Therefore, neonatal pain is related to deficits in hippocampus-related fear memory later in life and might be caused by impairments in hippocampal synaptic plasticity.
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INTRODUCTION

Premature infants worldwide, especially those hospitalized in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs), underwent many painful procedures every day because of medical necessity (Chen et al., 2012; Cruz et al., 2016). The literature indicated that untreated pain during the critical development period might produce unfavorable neurodevelopmental outcomes, not only altering pain sensitivity but also impairing cognitive, emotional, and psychosocial function later in life (Provenzi et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016; Walker et al., 2018).

Evidences have showed that early-life pain might lead to persistent somatosensory processing changes in both animal models and clinical studies. In young animals, basal hyperalgesia has been observed to be caused by increased input in nociceptive primary afferent axons exposed to early pain (Ruda et al., 2000; Knaepen et al., 2013; Schwaller and Fitzgerald, 2014;
van den Hoogen et al., 2018), while in extremely preterm children who underwent surgery in the neonatal period, thermal sensitivity was also decreased in later life (Walker et al., 2009). Moreover, the repetitive exposure to painful procedures during the maturation of the central nervous system may certainly produce deficits in cognition in later life. Higher frequent exposure to skin-breaking procedures was associated with poorer cognition at 18 months of corrected chronological age (CCA) and even last to school age in children born very preterm (Grunau et al., 2009; Ranger et al., 2014; Vinall et al., 2014). It was also confirmed that repetitive neonatal pain in rats led to impaired spatial learning (Chen et al., 2016).

Additionally, numerous lines of evidence suggested repetitive pain occurred in neonatal period influenced the emotional development in later life. High neonatal pain experience was associated with attentional problems in toddlers born preterm (Gaspadro et al., 2018), while the rats with neonatal painful experience developed anxiety and depression-like behaviors in later life (Ranger et al., 2018; Zuke et al., 2019). However, fewer data tell us how the neonatal painful experiences influence the emotional cognition in later life, especially the fear learning.

Fear learning is a highly adaptive, evolutionarily conserved process that allows one to respond appropriately to threats in the environment. Abnormalities in fear learning are likely involved in the development and/or maintenance of neuropsychological dysfunction. Excessive fear memory is related to emotional disorders, including posttraumatic stress disorder (Chocyk et al., 2014; Villain et al., 2018); on the contrary, a lack of fear memory is usually associated with cognitive deficiency (Connor and Gould, 2016).

Pavlovian fear conditioning pairs the environment or changes in the environment (conditioned stimulus, CS) with a fearsome stimulus (unconditioned stimulus, US), providing a classical method to study fear learning. Subjects in the associative learning process rapidly learn the association between CS and US, forming the acquisition and consolidation stage of memory. After conditioning, an autonomic response to CS alone can be measured to explore memory retention. In trace fear conditioning (FC), however, a short temporal gap is placed between the CS and US, which requires additional processing by the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex (Jackson et al., 1998; Takehara et al., 2003; Yoon and Otto, 2007). Huerta found that mice with N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptors (NMDARs) knocked out specifically in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells displayed deficits in trace FC (Huerta et al., 2000). Additionally, Kesner showed that CA1 lesions disrupted trace FC, whereas CA3 lesions did not (Hunsaker and Kesner, 2008; Hunsaker et al., 2008). These findings indicate that hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells are crucial for trace FC.

Long-term potentiation (LTP) at synapses is widely believed to be a core mechanism of neuronal plasticity. In the hippocampus, LTP occurs, and its persistence is correlated with cognitive function (Whitlock et al., 2006). In particular, LTP in CA1 is the initial and intrinsic mechanism of memory consolidation, followed by lasting biochemical changes involving molecules such as NMDARs and α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic receptors (AMPARs) to facilitate long-term maintenance of learning (Izquierdo et al., 2016; Pattwell and Bath, 2017). Interestingly, spinal LTP is also activated by C-fiber afferent activity after noxious stimulation (Ruscheweyh et al., 2011), indicating that pain perception and fear memory share some neuronal networks. Evidence showed that needle pricks in the neonatal period increased C-fiber terminal density and induced hypersensitivity of adult spinal sensory neurons (van den Hoogen et al., 2018). Likewise, neonatal tissue damage also reduced the dependence of spike-timing-dependent LTP on NMDAR activation and unmasks a novel contribution of Ca	extsuperscript{2+}-permeable AMPARs (Li and Baccei, 2016). However, it is unknown whether neonatal pain affects hippocampal LTP, NMDARs, and AMPARs in later life.

To investigate the effects of neonatal repetitive pain during early life on fear memory in later life, we chose the needle-prick procedural pain animal model that we previously used to mimic a clinical situation (Chen et al., 2016). We examined the trace FC behavior and hippocampal synaptic plasticity through electrophysiological and molecular studies in prepubertal and adult rats that formerly experienced neonatal pain.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Animals**

This study was performed strictly in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health publication 86-23. The experimental protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Nanjing Medical University (Permit Number: NJMU/IACUC201113001). All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering, to reduce the number of animals used.

Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats at the 14th day of pregnancy were obtained from Vital River (Beijing, China). All rats were housed individually in polycarbonate cages under a standard condition at room temperature (22 ± 3°C) under a 12/12-h light/dark cycle, with food and water available ad libitum.

**Experimental Design**

A total of 18 pregnant SD female rats were purchased and delivered at term. On postnatal day (P) 0, the gender of rat pups was distinguished according to the ano-genital distance and only male pups (N = 135) were kept for future study in order to rule out the gender difference. Meanwhile, pups were standardized to N = 10 per dam and individual identifications were made by labeling numbers on their backs. Then they were randomly assigned into two groups, to receive different neonatal treatments from P0 to P7, either a needle prick (the needle group) or a gentle tactile stimulus (the tactile group). Litters were stayed with their mothers until weaning at P21 and after that, were housed 3 to 4 per cage. Two cohort of animals were used for the behavioral, electrophysiological and biochemical analyses on P22–26 (the tactile group: N = 31, the needle group: N = 32) and P85–89 (the tactile group: N = 37, the needle group: N = 35). The experimental protocol is showed in Figure 1.
P23–27 (N = 16 in the untreated, tactile and needle group, respectively). Supplementary Figure S1A provided an overview of the animals used in the preliminary study.

Each behavioral test was performed by one investigator who was blinded to the group assignment and the test was also in random order. Supplementary Figure S1B provided an overview of the animals used in the present study.

**Experimental Protocol**

**Neonatal Repetitive Procedural Treatments**

To model repetitive pain exposure in the NICU, according to our previous study (Chen et al., 2016) and Anand’s study (Anand et al., 1999), pups in the needle group received four needle-prick stimulations at 6-h intervals per day from P0 to P7. Each stimulation was conducted in turns of the mid-plantar surface of the four paws by a blood glucose sampling device (Accu-Chek®Softclix, Roche, Germany) set to “4,” with a sterile 28-gauge lancet (Accu-Chek®Softclix, Roche, Germany). After each stimulation, the sterile lancet was replaced with a new one to prevent local inflammation. At the same time, a tactile stimulus was applied to the corresponding paws of pups in the tactile group by a cotton-tipped swab. Notably, to avoid maternal separation, the time of the pups away from their dams was controlled no more than 5 min.

In the preliminary experiment, rats in the untreated group were reared routinely without any disturbance during the neonatal period.

**Trace Fear Conditioning Test (Trace FC)**

Trace FC was performed on P24–P26 (the tactile group: N = 12, the needle group: N = 15) and P87–89 (N = 17 in the tactile and needle group, respectively) using a protocol similar to that of Anagnostaras et al.’s (1999) and Raineki et al.’s (2010) (Figure 2A). Firstly, the rats were allowed to habituate in the testing room for 3 min on 5 consecutive days prior to the beginning of the formal experiments. On the first day (training), they were trained by 4 paired training blocks after acclimating to the chamber for 5 min. Each block consisted of a 30 s, 1 kHz, 80 dB tone (CS), followed by a 20 s trace interval of silence, followed by a 2 s 0.5 mA (P24 rats) or 1.0 mA (P87 rats) foot shock (US), followed by a 28 s inter-trial interval (ITI). After each trial, the chambers were scented with a paper towel dabbed with mint solution, replaced the underneath floor and then cleaned with 75% ethanol. Freezing behavior, defined as the cessation of all but respiratory movement, was acquired by means of a time-sampling procedure using the automated motion detection software (FreezeFrame, Coulbourn Instruments, United States).

On the second day (contextual FC testing), the rats were placed in the former training chamber for 180 s to investigate the freezing behavior in the fear-conditioned context. On the third day (trace FC testing), the rats were firstly placed in a novel chamber which was distinct from the training one, with identical parameters in the first day. In detail, prior to the tone presentation, the rats were required to acclimate the novel chamber for 150 s. If the rats showed indiscriminate freezing behavior (>50%) by the end of adaptation stage, they were excluded from further trace FC analysis. Next, the rats were presented with a 30 s baseline, followed by 2 presentations of a 30 s, 1 KHz, 80 dB tone. There was a 20 s trace interval of silence as well as a 30 s ITI between each tone presentation.

**Electrophysiological Recordings and LTP Induction**

Hippocampal LTP was recorded on P24 (the tactile group: N = 45 slices/9 animals, the needle group: N = 28 slices/7 animals) and P87 (the tactile group: N = 40 slices/10 animals, the needle group: N = 35 slices/8 animals). The rats were randomly selected from each group and decapitated for electrophysiological recording as described by Nabavi et al. (2014). The coronal hippocampal slices (350 μm) were made using a vibrating-blade microtome (Leica VT1200S) and prepared in ice-cold artificial CSF (ACSF) containing (in mM) 124 NaCl, 26 NaHCO₃, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 2.8 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 2 MgSO₄, and 10 d-glucose, oxygenated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Fresh slices were incubated in a chamber with carbogenated ACSF and allowed to recover at 30°C for at least 2 h.

Field excitatory postsynaptic potential (fEPSP) responses were evoked at 0.05 Hz with a 125 μm electrode placed in the
FIGURE 2 | Traced fear conditioning (FC) performance. (A) Experimental protocol. A repeated ANOVA was used to determine the significance of differences in freezing behavior between the two groups during the training phase (B,C) and trace FC phase (F,G). Group differences at certain time points were determined by the least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test. An independent t-test was used to detect group differences in the contextual FC phase (D,E). Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 for needle group vs. tactile group. P24: n (tactile group) = 12, n (needle group) = 15; P87: n = 17. ITI, intertrial interval.

middle of the stratum radiatum of CA1. A 2–3 MΩ glass recording electrode filled with 2 mM NaCl was positioned 200 µm orthodromic to the stimulating electrode. Evoked synaptic responses were elicited using 0.2–0.5 mA constant-current pulses through a metal electrode (MCE-100/200) placed in the Schaffer collateral pathway. LTP was induced by a 100 Hz high-frequency stimulation (HFS) protocol (3 trains, 100 bursts in 100 Hz with 5 s interburst intervals) at a stimulus intensity that evoked a fEPSP slope of approximately 60% of the maximum response (Nabavi et al., 2014). The averages over the last 10 min during the recording were used to calculate the magnitude of LTP.

Reverse Transcription Quantitative Real-Time PCR (RT-qPCR)
The rats were sacrificed by decapitation for later biochemical analyses on P24 (N = 10 in the tactile and needle group, respectively) and P87 (N = 10 in the tactile and needle group, respectively). The brains were immediately removed from the skull and the whole bilateral hippocampal tissues were quickly
TABLE 1 | Primer sequences used for mRNA quantification by real-time PCR.

| Forward primer 5′-3′ | Reverse primer 5′-3′ |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| NR1                  | ACT CCC AAC GAC CAC TTC AC |
| NR2A                 | ACT GGC ATC ATC TCA AAC CA |
| NR2B                 | ACT TGC CCA TGT GTA TTT ATT TGT TT |
| GluR1                | AGG TGG TGA CQA TGG AAA AG |
| GAPDH                | ACG ACG CTC ACT CCA ATG TA |

Western Blotting

For Western blotting, 6 left side hippocampi per group at each point time were homogenized by a tissue homogenizer (SCILOGEX D160 Homogenizer, Thomas Scientific, United States) in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.2, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% Na deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet P-40) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). After a 30 min lysis on ice, samples were centrifuged at 13,800 × g for 15 min at 4°C and the supernatant was collected. Protein concentrations were determined using a Pierce bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit with bovine serum albumin as the standard (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, United States). A total of 40 µg of protein was loaded into each well of a 7.5% SDS–PAGE gel, separated and transferred onto PVDF membranes (0.45 mm; Millipore) at 4°C. Then the membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk for 1.5 h at room temperature, followed by incubation overnight at 4°C with a primary antibody against NR1 (1:500, Santa Cruz), NR2A (1:500, Santa Cruz), NR2B (1:500, Santa Cruz), GluR1 (1:1000, Millipore), or β-actin (1:1000, Santa Cruz). With 3 washings for 15 min each in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST), they were incubated peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 h (1:3000, ZSGB-BIO, China). Then washed 3 times again with PBST, blots were scanned using a ChemiDoc XRS+ Imaging System (Bio-Rad Molecular Image, United States) with electrochemiluminescence (ECL) detection reagent (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). The results were expressed as the ratio of the intensity of the target protein to that of β-actin from the same membrane.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). The results are presented as the mean ± SEM. For behavioral tests involving multiple trials/days per animal and body weight measurements, repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted. Group differences at certain time points were determined by independent t-test. Two-way ANOVA was used to detect the difference in LTP and MWT. A value of P < 0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In the preliminary experiment, an untreated control group was added to assess the effect of repetitive tactile stimulations on behavior and gene expression. However, no differences were observed in weight gain (Supplementary Table S1), the mechanical withdrawal thresholds (Supplementary Figure S2) and fear-memory behaviors (Supplementary Figure S3) as well as the synapse-related gene expression of the hippocampi (Supplementary Figure S4) when we compared the untreated and the tactile group on P22–26. Therefore, only the tactile and needle group were set in the formal experiment to evaluate the effect of neonatal repetitive pain exposure during early life on fear memory and the underlying neurological mechanisms.

Effects of Neonatal Pain on Later-Life Fear Conditioning in Rats

On P24–26 and P87–89, the rats were trained and tested according to protocol (Figure 2A). In the training phase, freezing behavior acquired quickly along with the consecutive tones in both group, but no differences were observed in freezing time between the needle and tactile group during the training phase, either on P24 (F = 0.124, P = 0.884, Figure 2B) or on P87 (F = 2.290, P = 0.116, Figure 2C).

Next, we observed contextual FC behavior in the training context (day 2) and the altered context (day 3). Compared to the tactile group, the needle group showed significantly reduced freezing time in the training context (t = 3.869, P = 0.001,
Figure 2D) on P25, but there was no difference in the novel context \( (t = 0.836, P = 0.411, \text{Figure 2D}) \) on P26. However, the needle group exhibited reduced freezing time of contextual FC both in the training context \( (t = 2.490, P = 0.018) \) on P88 and in the novel context \( (t = 2.887, P = 0.007) \) compared to the tactile group on P89, as shown in Figure 2E.

Meanwhile, trace FC behavior in the altered context was examined on the third day. As shown in Figure 2F, the needle group exhibited a significant decrease in freezing time in the trace \( (t = 2.111, P = 0.045) \) and ITI phases \( (t = 2.668, P = 0.013) \) compared to that in the tactile group \( (F = 5.025, P = 0.015) \) on P26. Moreover, the needle group also showed a robust decrease in freezing time with trace FC \( (F = 13.091, P < 0.001, \text{Figure 2G}) \), especially during the tone \( (t = 2.282, P = 0.029) \), trace \( (t = 5.096, P < 0.001) \), and ITI phases \( (t = 5.168, P < 0.001) \) on P89. These results indicated that neonatal pain might cause memory retention deficits in later life.

**Effects of Neonatal Treatments on LTP Induced by HFS**

The potentiation of the fEPSP is associated with the formation and enlargement of dendritic spines (Pickering and O’Connor, 2007). We examined the effects of neonatal treatments on synaptic plasticity by recording LTP of the hippocampal CA1 regions. Three trains of 100 pulses at 100 Hz induced LTP of fEPSPs in the CA1 neurons of both groups on P24 (Figures 3A,B) and on P87 (Figures 3C,D). According to the basal fEPSP slope, HFS-induced LTP was maintained, with the tactile and needle groups reaching 193.16 ± 11.69% and 125.73 ± 7.43% on P24 (Figure 3A) and 209.09 ± 10.67% and 128.54 ± 4.63% on P87, respectively (Figure 3C). Statistical analysis showed significant differences in group and phase both on P24 \( [F(\text{group}) = 19.122, P < 0.001; F(\text{phase}) = 53.248, P < 0.001] \) and P87 \( [F(\text{group}) = 37.280, P < 0.001; F(\text{phase}) = 123.039, P < 0.001] \). Moreover, the intensity of LTP in the needle group was significantly lower than that in the tactile group both on P24 \( (t = 4.222, P < 0.001, \text{Figure 3B}) \) and on P87 \( (t = 6.536, P < 0.001, \text{Figure 3D}) \), indicating neonatal pain impaired hippocampal LTP from prepuberty to adulthood.

**Effects of Neonatal Pain on Synapse-Related mRNA and Protein Expression in the Hippocampus**

To fully analyze the effects of neonatal pain on synaptic plasticity, we measured the mRNA and protein levels of an AMPAR subunit (GluR1) and three NMDAR subunits (NR1, NR2A, and NR2B) in...
whole hippocampal tissue. As shown in Figures 4A–D, mRNA levels of NR2A, NR2B, GluR1, and NR1 in the hippocampus were decreased in the needle group compared to the tactile group on both P24 (NR2A: \( t = -3.052, P = 0.007 \); NR2B: \( t = -2.305, P = 0.033 \); GluR1: \( t = -2.139, P = 0.046 \); NR1: \( t = -2.317, P = 0.032 \)) and P87 (NR2A: \( t = -4.501, P < 0.001 \); NR2B: \( t = -3.208, P = 0.005 \); GluR1: \( t = -2.484, P = 0.023 \); NR1: \( t = -3.009, P = 0.008 \)). Similarly, the NR2A, NR2B, GluR1, and NR1 protein expression levels were reduced in needle group rats compared with tactile group rats (P24: NR2A: \( t = -2.539, P = 0.029 \); NR2B: \( t = -2.382, P = 0.039 \); GluR1: \( t = -2.850, P = 0.017 \); NR1: \( t = -4.047, P = 0.002 \)); P87: NR2A: \( t = -2.764, P = 0.020 \); NR2B: \( t = -2.245, P = 0.049 \); GluR1: \( t = -9.134, P < 0.001 \); NR1: \( t = -5.504, P < 0.001 \), shown in Figures 4E,F), indicating neonatal pain caused synapse-related molecules to change in the hippocampus.

**DISCUSSION**

Early life is a critical period of brain development due to its plasticity and vulnerability. Stressful neonatal events such as exposure to repetitive pain, light, noise, and maternal separation have long-term adverse effects on children’s brain development, including emotional and behavioral disorders. In our study, we found that neonatal pain impaired contextual and trace fear conditioning, causing sustained deficits in synaptic plasticity related to glutamate signaling from early life to adulthood.
Our study expands on current knowledge regarding the impact of neonatal pain on later-life fear memory formation and its underlying mechanism.

Firstly, we demonstrated that neonatal pain had a long-term effect on fear memory. We chose both trace and contextual FC because these two types of conditioning are related to hippocampal function. Our previous study has showed that repetitive neonatal needle pricks impaired hippocampal-dependent spatial memory in the Morris water maze (Chen et al., 2016); thus, we hypothesized that the same cognitive dysfunction might occur in hippocampal emotion-related memory.

In Pavlovian cued FC, an initially non-threatening sensory stimulus, such as a tone or light, is rapidly transformed into a CS after being paired with a US that naturally evokes a defensive response (unconditioned response, such as freezing). However, CS and US information does not overlap during trace conditioning; thus, the hippocampus is engaged to bridge the interval and facilitate the formation of a CS-US association (Connor and Gould, 2016). In the present study, there was no difference between the two groups in freezing behavior during the training session, indicating that the acquisition of the CS-US association in the needle group was not affected; thus, the memory acquisition function that was dependent on the amygdala and hippocampus was not grossly impaired by neonatal stimulation.

In trace FC, there is a stimulus-free interval of several seconds – a “trace” period – between the CS and the US (Lugo et al., 2014). During this period, the CA1 of the hippocampus is believed to play an important role because lesions to the hippocampus or pharmacological agents used to block receptor function in the hippocampus result in deficits in trace conditioning and contextual conditioning (McEchron et al., 1998). Therefore, trace FC is a good model to investigate hippocampal-dependent memory that is not spatially dependent. In the present study, rats that experienced neonatal needle pricks showed impaired contextual learning behavior in the training context during prepuberty; this impairment lasted until adulthood and even increased in severity, given that the deficits occurred not only in the training context but also in the novel context in adulthood. Fear memory retrieval is tested by presenting the CS again, without the US, in a new environment. In an organism that has properly stored trace fear memory, presentation of the CS can trigger a conditioned response (CR). Our study showed that repetitive neonatal needle pricks blocked the retrieval of hippocampal-dependent trace fear memory from prepuberty to adulthood.

Until now, there have been a few published reports addressing the relationship between early-life pain and later-life fear memory. Davis’s work (Davis et al., 2018) found that needle prick produced a disruption in auditory fear conditioning when collapsed across age and sex. However, it did not show any difference in the training context and novel context. The discrepancy between our studies and Davis’s work might be due to the differences in neonatal stimulation pattern (Davis: one stimulation at an every-2-h interval only on the left hindpaw and four times per day; our study: one stimulation at an every-6-h interval on four hindpaws in turns) and in the type of fear conditioning (paradigm between cued FC and trace FC).

In addition, several studies regarding early-life stress and fear memory have yielded diverse results. Chocky et al. (2014) showed that early-life stress, modeled by maternal separation (MS), impaired fear learning during adolescence independent of sex. The effect of MS on the expression of contextual FC (both in males and in females) and auditory FC (only in males) persisted into adulthood. In our previous study, repetitive neonatal needle pricks impaired hippocampus-dependent learning and memory in the Morris water maze (Chen et al., 2016). Moreover, early-life stress impairs FC in adult rats, whereas stress experienced in adulthood has the opposite effect (Kosten et al., 2006). However, Pillai et al. (2018) found that early-life stress (induced by raising mouse pups with limited nesting and bedding material on postnatal days 2–9) did not affect context and auditory fear memory in adulthood. Therefore, there was a compelling need to examine whether neonatal pain affected fear memory later in life. More interestingly, we found that the defects in contextual FC and trace FC worsened from prepuberty to adulthood, manifested by decreased freezing behavior in contextual FC and multiple phases of trace FC.

Regarding the mechanisms of memory impairment, recent studies have suggested that LTP is crucial for memory formation (Park et al., 2016; Sachser et al., 2017). Learning involves alterations to the intrinsic excitability of hippocampal neurons and enhancement of synaptic plasticity (Disterhoft and Oh, 2006; McKay et al., 2013; Sehgal et al., 2013). There was an increase in the amplitude of synaptic potentials recorded in the hippocampus soon after contextual FC (Selig et al., 1999). Likewise, field recordings from the hippocampus of freely moving animals suggest that acquisition of FC is accompanied by a facilitation of basal synaptic transmission (Doyere et al., 1995). Specifically, mutant mice with deficient LTP in the hippocampus also exhibited deficits in contextual (but not tone) FC and trace (but not delayed) FC (Abeliovich et al., 1993; Bourtchuladze et al., 1994; Huerta et al., 2000). Therefore, LTP played an important role in facilitating contextual FC and trace FC. We found that the rats with neonatal pain experience had an impairment of maintained HFS-induced LTP at the CA1 synapses of the hippocampus both in prepuberty and adulthood. This effect might explain the deficits in fear memory in our study. Other studies reported that neonatal stress caused a reduction in hippocampal LTP (Kamal et al., 2014; Sousa et al., 2014; Lesuis et al., 2019); to our knowledge, this is the first report about the effect of neonatal pain experience on hippocampal LTP.

Pain perception and hippocampal LTP share many molecular mechanisms but also have a notable and very important distinction. A study on a different but related topic demonstrated that neonatal surgical injury relaxed the timing rules governing LTP at mouse primary afferent synapses onto mature lamina I projection neurons, which serve as a major output of the spinal nociceptive network and are essential for pain perception (Wang et al., 2019). However, it is still controversial as to whether spinal LTP and hippocampal LTP are parallel processes. For example, low-frequency afferent stimulation causes LTD at most synapses in the brain; however, low-frequency stimulation of C-fibers is sufficient to evoke LTP at a subset of dorsal
found exposure to maternal isolation produced increase in serum corticosterone and decrease in glutamate levels, and elevated corticosterone suppressed hippocampal glutamatergic synapses and NMDA receptors (Mikasova et al., 2017).

However, in the trace FC, the different shock levels set for young and adult rats prevented the possible comparisons across the ages. Concerning the neurodevelopmental process, future studies needed to conduct under the same shock level. In addition, the duration between the shock offset and the next tone onset was only 28 s, which limited the animals with more fearful associations to the context than to the tone. Although our results indeed presented the impairments of CS-US memory retrieval in the rats experienced neonatal needle pricks, future observation are urgent to conduct under the longer ITI duration (more than 40 s). Besides, optogenetics and conditioned gene knockout in mice are promising method to clarify the causal link between neuroendocrine alternation and neonatal pain.

Overall, this study revealed that neonatal pain caused deficits in hippocampus-related fear memory and impaired hippocampal synaptic plasticity later in life, which expands the current understanding of the long-term impairment of fear memory in young and adult rats after repetitive neonatal procedural pain. The changes in synaptic plasticity and neurotransmitter metabolism in the hippocampus caused by early repeated pain stimulation in neonatal rats are important pathophysiological mechanisms for long-term behavioral development, which shed light on the significance of reducing neonatal pain in the NICU to prevent learning-process deficits in later life.
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