Muscle Evaluation and Hospital-Associated Disability in Acute Hospitalized Older Adults
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Abstract

OBJECTIVES: We aimed to examine the association of muscle evaluation, including muscle ultrasound, with hospital-associated disability (HAD), focusing on ADL categories.

DESIGN: A prospective observational cohort study.

SETTING AND PARTICIPANTS: We recruited patients aged 65 years or older who were admitted to the geriatric ward of an acute hospital between October 2019 and September 2021.

MEASUREMENTS: Handgrip strength, bioimpedance analyzer-determined skeletal muscle mass, bilateral thigh muscle thickness (BATT), and the echo intensity of the rectus femoris on muscle ultrasound were performed as muscle assessments. HAD was evaluated separately for mobility impairments and self-care impairments.

RESULTS: In total, 256 individuals (mean age, 85.2 years; male sex, 41.8%) were analyzed. HAD in mobility was more common than HAD in self-care (37.5% vs. 30.0%). Only BATT was independently associated with HAD in mobility in multiple logistic regression analysis. There was no significant association between muscle indicators and HAD in self-care.

CONCLUSION: A lower BATT was associated with a higher prevalence of HAD in mobility, suggesting the need to reconsider muscle assessment methods in hospitalized older adults. In addition, approaches other than physical may be required, such as psychosocial and environmental interventions to improve HAD in self-care.
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Introduction

Functional decline is common in older adults, and a strategy for preventing an activity of daily living (ADL) decline is required. In the International Classification of Functioning Disability and Health (ICF) framework established by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2001, ADLs are also considered a significant part of the “activities and participation” component (1). The evaluation of ADLs is important for independent daily living in older adults living in the community.

An ADL decline due to hospitalization is often referred to as hospital-associated disability (HAD). HAD is commonly defined as the new loss of one or more elements of basic ADLs (2). A recent meta-analysis reported a 30% prevalence rate for HAD (3), and this rate has not changed in the last three decades (4). Risk factors for HAD include multiple domains, such as background factors, acute illness, and factors during hospitalization (2, 5). For example, the reported risk factors for HAD include age, mobility, cognitive function, ADL and instrumental ADL (IADL) levels, comorbidities, geriatric syndromes, social factors, depression, malnutrition, polypharmacy, and illness severity. Older hospitalized patients are frailer and share multiple risk factors that would heighten the risk of HAD. HAD is associated with poor prognosis after discharge, including increased mortality, a non-return to pre-illness functional levels (6), an increased readmission rate (7), and institutionalization (8). Therefore, prevention and early intervention of HAD in hospitalized older adults is an urgent clinical task.

In recent years, a relationship between sarcopenia and HAD has also been indicated. Sarcopenia is defined as a progressive skeletal muscle disorder involving decreased muscle mass, muscle strength, and physical function (9). Low handgrip strength at acute hospitalization is associated with ADL dependency (10) and is a risk factor for newly developed ADL disability after discharge (11). Therefore, evaluation of muscle strength, muscle mass, and physical function in hospitalized older adults could be important for preventing HAD. However, it often has some limitations. Muscle strength can be restricted or underestimated by acute illness or comorbidities such as paralysis or cognitive dysfunction. Muscle mass is commonly assessed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) or bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), but these modalities are expensive, involve radiation exposure, and can be affected by hydration status. Moreover, the evaluation of physical function in hospitalized older adults is often restricted to bedridden individuals due to acute illness or comorbidity.

Muscle ultrasound of the quadriceps femoris has recently been found useful for evaluating muscle morphology and muscle quality (12). The muscle thickness of the quadriceps femoris shows strong correlations with muscle mass (13), and echo intensity (EI) is an indicator of skeletal muscle quality (14). Muscle ultrasound has been performed in clinical practice to diagnose sarcopenia (15) and to predict mortality (16), and worse recovery of ADLs (17). We have also previously reported that higher corrected EI of the quadriceps femoris was associated with hospital-associated complication (18), and also reported that the thigh muscle thickness tended to be associated...
with mortality within 3 months after discharge (19).

It would be meaningful to explore the relationship between muscle evaluation, including muscle ultrasound and HAD, but in clinical practice, it may be more useful to classify ADLs by category because changes in ADL during hospitalization are not uniform, and management needs to be changed accordingly. In particular, mobility (ICF chapter: d4) and self-care (ICF chapter: d5) are considered to be key points of ADL assessment by WHO (1). The former comprises four subdomains—changing and maintaining body position; carrying, moving, and handling objects; walking and moving; and moving around using transportation, while the latter comprises seven subdomains—washing oneself; caring for body parts; toileting; dressing; eating; drinking; and looking after one’s health. The classification of ADLs in hospitalized older adults can be used to set goals during hospitalization and to improve quality of daily life after discharge.

Therefore, in the present study of acute hospitalized older adults, we examined the association of muscle evaluation, including muscle ultrasound, with ADL categories. The hypothesis was that muscle thickness and EI would both be related to HAD but that the relationship would differ by ADL categories.

Materials and Methods

Setting and participants

We used data from a prospective observational cohort study conducted in a geriatric ward of an acute hospital, which was very similar to ACE unit (20). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. If participants were unable to provide consent, family members provided consent on their behalf. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine (approval number 2019-0260) and conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

We recruited patients aged 65 years or older who were admitted to the geriatric ward of Nagoya University Hospital between October 2019 and September 2021. Participants were excluded if (1) they were discharged within 48 h; (2) they or their family members did not provide written informed consent; (3) their estimated life expectancy was within 1 month, as determined by their attending physician; (4) they were readmitted within 3 months after discharge and were enrolled at the time of their previous admission; (5) they were transferred from other departments; and (6) there was any other reason for the patient’s participation to be reconsidered.

Data collection

Data were first registered in the medical charts within 48 h and also at discharge.

Data collection at admission

Background data were obtained from clinical records, including age, sex, type of admission (emergency or planned), residence before this hospitalization, height, weight, and body mass index (BMI). The attending geriatrician conducted a comprehensive geriatric assessment to determine the cognitive, functional, and nutritional status of each participant. Cognitive function was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), which is scored from 0 to 30, with a lower score indicating poorer cognitive status (21). The degree of depressive condition was assessed by the Geriatric Depression Scale-15 (GDS-15), which is scored from 0 to 15, with a higher score indicating more depression (22). A cutoff value of 6 or higher was considered to indicate depressive symptoms (23). Basic ADLs at baseline (2 weeks before admission) were assessed using the Barthel Index (BI) (24). The BI comprises 10 items (eating, transfers, grooming, toilet use, bathing, walking, stairs, dressing, bowels, and bladder) and is scored from 0 to 100, with a lower score indicating greater dependence. IADLs were assessed using the Lawton and Brody scale, which is scored from 0 to 8, with a lower score indicating greater dependence (25). Nutritional status was assessed using the Mini-Nutritional Assessment-Short Form (MNA-SF), which is scored from 0 to 14, with a lower score indicating poorer nutritional status (26). Comorbidity was evaluated using the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) (27).

Muscle ultrasound

Muscle ultrasound was performed within the first 7 days of admission by the same physician. The procedure was as described previously (18). A B-mode ultrasound system (GE LOGIQ e; GE Healthcare Japan, Tokyo, Japan) with a 5–10 MHz linear-array probe was used. The ultrasound settings were as follows: frequency, 8 MHz; gain, 70 dB; depth, 4.0–6.0 cm; and focus point 1 (top of the image). The depth was unchanged during the measurements of the same participants. The participants were instructed to lie in the supine position, and a sufficient amount of water-soluble transmission gel was applied to the skin to achieve acoustic coupling. Images of the rectus femoris (RF) and vastus intermedius (VI) were obtained at the midpoint between the greater trochanter and proximal border of the patella on both lower limbs. Three images of the quadriceps in each lower limb were taken perpendicularly to the femur bone in the transverse plane, and the mean muscle thickness and subcutaneous fat thickness were obtained. Bilateral thigh muscle thickness (BATT) was defined as the sum of the muscle thickness (right RF + right VI + left RF + left VI) (28). The EI of the RF was measured with ImageJ software, version 1.52k (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). EI was determined by 8-bit gray scale analysis and is expressed as arbitrary units (a.u.) in the range of 0–255. The EI of the RF was measured in the largest possible rectangular region of interest, avoiding the visible fascia. These methods for measuring BATT and the EI of the RF had high reliability (interclass correlation coefficients [1.1] = 0.995 [0.994–0.996]
for BATT and 0.989 [0.986–0.991] for the EI of the RF. Because the EI of the RF is attenuated by the subcutaneous fat thickness, the corrected EI of the RF was also calculated by the following formula: corrected EI = EI + 40.5278 × subcutaneous fat thickness (cm) (29).

**Other muscle assessments**

Handgrip strength and bioimpedance analyzer-determined skeletal muscle mass were also measured for comparison with muscle ultrasound.

Handgrip strength was measured by a Jamar-type hand-held dynamometer (Baseline Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer, Fabrication Enterprises Inc., Elmsford, NY). Two trials were taken with each hand, and the maximum value was recorded. The measurement was taken with the elbows fixed at 90° in the sitting position but, when the participant struggled to achieve the sitting position, it was taken in the supine position. Skeletal muscle mass (SMM) was measured by a portable bioimpedance analyzer (InBody S10; InBody Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and the skeletal muscle index (SMI) was calculated by dividing SMM by height squared (kg/m²).

**Data collection at discharge**

Discharge destination (including in-hospital death and transfer to another department), length of hospital stay, and the BI were obtained from medical records.

**HAD**

The BI was bi-classified into mobility and self-care categories through the application of the ICF[30]. BI (mobility) includes transfers, walking, and stairs (total score, 0–40), whereas BI (self-care) includes eating, grooming, toilet use, bathing, dressing, bowels, and bladder (total score, 0–60). In this study, HAD was evaluated separately for mobility impairments (HAD in mobility) and self-care impairments (HAD in self-care). A previous review using the BI determined that the minimal amount of functional decline was 10% (31). Therefore, in the present study, HAD in mobility and HAD in self-care were defined as a ≥10% decrease in the BI score at discharge compared with baseline (2 weeks before admission).

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS software, version 28 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Continuous variables are reported as the mean ± standard deviation or the median (interquartile range), whereas categorical variables are reported as absolute numbers and percentages. BI (mobility) and BI (self-care) were compared between admission and discharge and the prevalence of HAD was calculated. Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare muscle indicators (handgrip strength, SMI, BATT, EI, and corrected EI) in two groups (with HAD and without HAD). Multiple logistic regression analysis was conducted to clarify muscle indicators that were independently associated with HAD after adjustment for potential confounders. The confounding factors were age and sex in Model 1, age, sex, MMSE, CCI, and MNA-SF in Model 2, and age, sex, MMSE, CCI, MNA-SF, BI at admission, IADLs, and GDS-15 in Model 3. Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationships between muscle indicators and other related parameters. It was also used to examine the relationships between these related parameters and HAD by Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney U test (for continuous variables) and χ² test (for categorical variables). A P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant in all comparisons.

**Results**

The number of participants was 256, after excluding cases of in-hospital death (n=20), transfer to another department (n=6), and a missing value of the BI (n=18). The median length of hospital stay was 17 (11–28).

### Table 1. Background characteristics

| Age, years | 85.2 ± 5.9 |
| Male sex | 107 (41.8%) |
| Emergency admission | 169 (66.0%) |
| Main diseases | Neurological 59 (23.0%), respiratory 18 (7.0%), cardiovascular 12 (4.7%), gastrointestinal 9 (3.5%), musculoskeletal 9 (3.5%), dermatological 16 (6.3%), endocrinal 21 (8.2%), urinary 26 (10.2%), hematological 20 (7.8%), psychological 3 (1.2%), others 62 (24.2%), unknown 1 (0.4%) |
| Height, cm (n=243) | 152.7 ± 9.9 |
| Weight, kg (n=255) | 48.3 ± 11.2 |
| BMI, kg/m² (n=243) | 20.7 ± 3.9 |
| MMSE (n=245) | 21 (13–26) |
| CCI | 2 (1–3) |
| MNA-SF (n=237) | 8.6 ± 3.4 |
| BI | 85 (51.3–100) |
| IADLs | 4 (1–7) |
| Depressive symptoms (GDS ≥ 6) (n=210) | 93 (44.3%) |
| Handgrip strength, kg (n=209) | 15.4 ± 6.9 (Male 19.7 ± 6.5, Female 11.8 ± 4.9) |
| SMI, kg/m² (n=178) | 6.3 ± 1.5 (Male 7.1 ± 1.2, Female 5.7 ± 1.3) |
| Interval from admission to ultrasound, days | 2 (1–3) |
| BATT, cm (n=228) | 3.3 ± 1.0 (Male 3.5 ± 1.0, Female 3.1 ± 0.9) |
| EI, a.u. (n=228) | 94.0 ± 15.2 (Male 92.2 ± 15.2, Female 95.3 ± 15.1) |
| Corrected EI, a.u. (n=225) | 113.2 ± 13.5 (Male 109.2 ± 13.4, Female 116.1 ± 12.8) |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or number (percentage). a.u., arbitrary units; BATT, bilateral anterior thigh thickness; BI, Barthel Index; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; EI, echo intensity; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; IADLs, instrumental ADLs; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MNA-SF, Mini-Nutritional Assessment-Short Form; SMI, skeletal muscle index.

Table 1 shows the background characteristics of the participants at admission. The mean age was 85.2 ± 5.9 years, the percentage of men was 41.8%, the median MMSE value
was 21 (13–26), the median CCI value was 2 (1–3), the mean MNA-SF was 8.6 ± 3.4, and the median BI was 85 (51.3–100).

Table 2 shows the changes in the BI score (the difference from baseline to discharge) and the prevalence of HAD. The median BI (mobility) and BI (self-care) at baseline were 35 and 55, respectively, and were lower at discharge. HAD in mobility was more common than HAD in self-care (37.5% vs. 30.0%). On the other hand, in 19.9% of cases, the BI score at discharge was higher than at baseline.

Table 2. Changes in the BI score and the prevalence of HAD

| BI score at admission | Change in BI score | HAD |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----|
| BI (mobility)        |                   |     |
| 35 (20–40)           | −2.8 ± 9.8        | 93 (37.5%) (n=248) |
| BI (self-care)       | −3.2 ± 13.4       | 73 (30.0%) (n=243) |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or number (percentage). BI, Barthel Index; HAD, hospital-associated disability; Change in BI score means the difference from admission to discharge; HAD means that the BI score at discharge was 10% lower or more than at admission, except for cases where the score at admission was 0.

Table 3 shows the values of muscle indicators in the two groups (with and without HAD). Handgrip strength was lower in both the HAD in mobility and HAD in self-care groups than in the groups without HAD. BATT was lower only in the HAD in mobility group. In contrast, SMI, EI, and corrected EI were not significantly different between the two groups.

Table 5 shows the results of multiple logistic regression analysis to clarify the muscle indicators that were independently associated with HAD. BBI [odds ratio 0.57, 95% confidence interval 0.36–0.89, P=0.013] was independently associated with HAD in mobility in Model 3, whereas handgrip strength, SMI, EI, and corrected EI were not.

Table 5 illustrates the results of multiple logistic regression analysis to clarify the muscle indicators that were independently associated with HAD in self-care. No significant associations of HAD in self-care were seen for all muscle indicators, but especially in Models 2 and 3.

Table 6 shows the values of related parameters compared in groups with and without HAD. Both HAD groups showed a higher age, lower MMSE, and lower IADLs. The prevalence of depressive symptoms was higher in HAD in mobility, whereas the MNA-SF and BI were lower in HAD in self-care.

Discussion

In this study, we classified ADL declines during hospitalization into HAD in mobility and HAD in self-care and examined the association with muscle indicators. To our knowledge, this is the first study to classify HAD into mobility and self-care categories and to investigate their association with muscle indicators. Our results indicated that HAD in mobility was more common than HAD in self-care. In addition, a lower BATT was significantly associated with a higher prevalence of HAD in mobility, unlike handgrip strength and EI. Regarding HAD in self-care, no significant associations were found with muscle indicators.

With regards to the association between muscle mass and ADLs, a meta-analysis reported that a low muscle mass was associated with worsening ADLs in community-dwelling older adults (32), while the Position Statements of the Sarcopenia Definition and Outcomes Consortium (SDSC) concluded that lean muscle mass measured by DXA was not a good predictor of adverse health-related outcomes, including an ADL decline (33). A recent longitudinal study evaluating the annual assessment of ADLs in individuals who experienced hospitalization showed that pre-hospital muscle mass on DXA was not associated with new ADL disabilities at follow-up (11). Moreover, in a recent systematic review including inpatients, most longitudinal studies reported that muscle mass was not associated with ADL scores (34). In the present study of muscle mass assessment, a BIA-based muscle mass indicator (i.e., SMI) was not associated with HAD in mobility, unlike an ultrasound-based muscle mass indicator (i.e., BATT) (Table 4).

The following reasons might explain why the association between muscle mass and HAD in this study differed from that of previous studies. First, there are differences in the evaluation of ADLs. In contrast with the present study, previous studies used the BI as the entire ADL assessment or just a part of the assessment (transferring, bathing, and dressing). In this study, BATT was also associated with HAD in mobility and not associated with HAD in self-care. That may suggest improving muscle mass of lower limbs is essential for prevention of HAD in mobility, which is more closely associated with physical functional decline. BATT could prevent HAD in mobility, which reflects physical function rather than self-care. Second, previous studies targeted community-dwelling individuals or those in rehabilitation hospitals, whereas the participants in the present study were more frail acute inpatients, which may have affected the results by increasing the muscle changes caused by...
acute inflammation or disuse. Third, muscle mass evaluation using BIA is regarded as one of the standard methods in clinical settings (35), and many studies have used the SMI as an index of muscle mass, which is calculated from both muscles of the upper and lower limbs. Muscle mass evaluation by ultrasound was also reported to be a reliable and valid method for the assessment of muscle size in older adults (36). The anterior thigh muscles are more prone to muscle loss than other muscles and are more commonly and severely affected in sarcopenia (37). These muscles are fundamental to mobility skills. Therefore, BATT, which could directly evaluate them, may be more suitable for assessing mobility skills than SMI. In addition, the BIA method can be affected by hydration status (38), which may influence the results in the case of inpatients with dehydration or overhydration. Muscle ultrasound is a relatively simple and less invasive measurement method, and it is commonly available in clinical practice. The results of the present study may indicate the need for a reconsideration of the assessment of muscle mass or interventions in hospitalized older adults. However, BATT could also be temporarily increased by inflammation or vascular permeability (39). Thus, this method must be used properly and a cutoff value must be established.

Regarding the association between muscle strength and ADLs in hospitalized older adults, previous studies reported that a low handgrip strength at admission was associated with ADL dependency (10) and was a risk factor for newly developed ADL disability after discharge (11). In fact, in the present study, handgrip strength was associated with HAD in univariate analysis, but not in multivariate analysis. The participants of this study had a higher rate of undernutrition or cognitive decline that was related to low handgrip strength (Supplementary Table 1), thereby weakening the association between handgrip strength and HAD in mobility. Furthermore,

### Table 4. Association of muscle indicators with HAD in mobility in multiple logistic regression analysis

|                | Model 1          |         | Model 2          |         | Model 3          |         |
|----------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|                | Odds ratio       | P-value | Odds ratio       | P-value | Odds ratio       | P-value |
| Handgrip strength | 0.92 (0.87–0.98) | <0.01   | 0.95 (0.89–1.01) | 0.09    | 0.94 (0.88–1.01) | 0.11    |
| SMI            | 0.93 (0.72–1.19) | 0.54    | 0.94 (0.71–1.24) | 0.65    | 0.96 (0.70–1.33) | 0.81    |
| BATT           | 0.81 (0.59–1.10) | 0.18    | 0.71 (0.49–1.04) | 0.08    | 0.57 (0.36–0.89) | 0.013   |
| EI             | 1.01 (0.99–1.03) | 0.49    | 1.01 (0.99–1.03) | 0.48    | 1.00 (0.98–1.03) | 0.71    |
| Corrected EI   | 1.01 (0.99–1.04) | 0.25    | 1.02 (0.99–1.04) | 0.17    | 1.01 (0.99–1.04) | 0.38    |

Model 1 was adjusted by age and sex. Model 2 was adjusted by age, sex, MMSE, CCI, and MNA-SF. Model 3 was adjusted by age, sex, MMSE, CCI, MNA-SF, BI (at baseline), IADLs, and depressive symptoms. BATT, bilateral anterior thigh thickness; BI, Barthel Index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; EI, echo intensity; IADL, instrumental ADLs; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MNA-SF, Mini-Nutritional Assessment-Short Form; SMI, skeletal muscle index.

### Table 5. Association of muscle indicators with HAD in self-care in multiple logistic regression analysis

|                | Model 1          |         | Model 2          |         | Model 3          |         |
|----------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|                | Odds ratio       | P       | Odds ratio       | P       | Odds ratio       | P       |
| Handgrip strength | 0.93 (0.87–0.99) | 0.021   | 0.99 (0.91–1.07) | 0.74    | 0.97 (0.88–1.06) | 0.51    |
| SMI            | 0.73 (0.55–0.99) | 0.040   | 0.81 (0.56–1.16) | 0.25    | 0.84 (0.55–1.28) | 0.42    |
| BATT           | 0.95 (0.68–1.32) | 0.75    | 0.77 (0.48–1.24) | 0.28    | 0.73 (0.42–1.28) | 0.27    |
| EI             | 1.00 (0.98–1.02) | 0.75    | 1.00 (0.98–1.03) | 0.88    | 1.00 (0.97–1.03) | 0.86    |
| Corrected EI   | 1.01 (0.98–1.03) | 0.52    | 1.01 (0.98–1.04) | 0.70    | 1.00 (0.97–1.04) | 0.81    |

Model 1 was adjusted by age and sex. Model 2 was adjusted by age, sex, MMSE, CCI, and MNA-SF. Model 3 was adjusted by age, sex, MMSE, CCI, MNA-SF, BI (at baseline), IADLs, and depressive symptoms. BATT, bilateral anterior thigh thickness; BI, Barthel Index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; EI, echo intensity; IADL, instrumental ADLs; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MNA-SF, Mini-Nutritional Assessment-Short Form; SMI, skeletal muscle index.

### Table 6. The values of related parameters compared in groups with and without HAD

|                | Mobility | Self-care |
|----------------|----------|-----------|
|                | Without HAD (n=155) | With HAD (n=93) | P-value | Without HAD (n=170) | With HAD (n=73) | P-value |
| Age, years     | 84.5 ± 5.6  | 86.5 ± 5.6  | 0.010  | 84.4 ± 5.5  | 87.2 ± 6.1  | <0.01 |
| Female sex     | 86 (55.5%)  | 57 (61.3%)  | 0.37   | 95 (55.9%)  | 42 (57.5%)  | 0.81  |
| MMSE           | 23 (17–28)  | 19 (10.5–23) | <0.01  | 24 (18–28)  | 15 (3.5–20) | <0.01 |
| CCI            | 2 (1–3)     | 2 (1–3)     | 0.68   | 2 (1–3)     | 2 (1–3)     | 0.053 |
| MNA-SF         | 8.6 ± 3.5   | 8.7 ± 3.1   | 1.00   | 9.1 ± 3.2   | 7.8 ± 3.5   | <0.01 |
| BI             | 85 (60–100) | 85 (55–95)  | 0.17   | 90 (70–100) | 70 (50–90)  | <0.01 |
| IADLs          | 5 (1–8)     | 3 (1–6)     | <0.01  | 6 (2–8)     | 2 (0–3.5)   | <0.01 |
| Depressive symptoms (GDS ≥ 6) | 50 (37.6%) | 42 (56.8%) | <0.01  | 70 (42.7%) | 62 (51.2%) | 0.32  |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or number (percentage). BI, Barthel Index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; HAD, hospital-associated disability; IADLs, instrumental ADLs; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MNA-SF, Mini-Nutritional Assessment-Short Form.
handgrip strength could be underestimated due to acute illness, and it does not necessarily reflect lower limb muscle strength (40). A recent study showed that knee extension strength was decreased by 11% during hospitalization, while handgrip strength was unchanged (41). There may be challenges in the use of handgrip strength to assess mobility status in hospitalized older adults.

It has been reported that muscle EI is related to muscle strength in older adults (42), therefore, EI may become an important parameter for understanding the physical condition in older adults. Furthermore, in terms of EI, previous studies among subacute and convalescent rehabilitation wards reported that EI of the quadriceps was independently associated with motor Functional Independence Measure scores and was related to the recovery of ADLs (17, 43). In contrast with these results, EI was not associated with HAD in mobility in the present study. This is possibly because muscle quality could not be accurately evaluated by EI in the acute phase. A recent review reported that EI is affected by not only muscle damage, but also water balance or glycogen under acute conditions (14). It has been suggested that muscle intracellular hydration status is related to functional capacity (44) and that the glycogen level within skeletal muscle is related to exercise durability (45). It may be thought that factors other than muscle fibers affected EI and its relationship with HAD in the present study. However, in the intensive care unit, a change in EI was associated with intensive care unit-acquired muscle weakness or mortality (46, 47). Further research is required to explore the association between EI and clinical outcomes in various settings, such as home medical care and nursing homes.

In contrast to the results of HAD in mobility, no muscle indicators were associated with HAD in self-care. Self-care is commonly defined as the practice of activities that an individual initiates and carries out in order to maintain life, health, and well-being (48), and HAD in self-care has been associated with prolonged functional recovery and increased mortality (6). A previous study indicated that the risk factors for HAD in self-care were grouped into three main themes: patient factors, healthcare provision, and hospital environment (49). The authors suggested that a fear of falls and nurses’ work overload were barriers to functional self-care, while having a positive mindset and an age-friendly environment were facilitators of functional self-care. Another study reported that patients who received a higher amount of ADL/self-care training through rehabilitation and a nutritional intervention aimed at improving muscle indicators, was significantly associated with a higher prevalence of HAD in self-care. Thus, psychosocial and environmental intervention approaches may be required to prevent HAD in self-care, rather than physical training.
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