VIP 2: Experimental tests of the Pauli Exclusion Principle for electrons
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Abstract

The Pauli Exclusion Principle (PEP) was famously discovered in 1925 by the austrian physicist Wolfgang Pauli. Since then, it underwent several experimental tests. Starting in 2006, the VIP (Violation of the Pauli Principle) experiment looked for 2p to 1s X-ray transitions in copper, where 2 electrons are present in the 1s state before the
transition happens. These transitions violate the PEP, and the lack of detection of the corresponding X-ray photons lead to a preliminary upper limit for the violation of the PEP of \(4.7 \times 10^{-29}\). The follow-up experiment VIP 2 is currently in the testing phase and will be transported to its final destination, the underground laboratory of Gran Sasso in Italy, in autumn 2015. Several improvements compared to its predecessor like the use of new X-ray detectors and active shielding from background gives rise to a goal for the improvement of the upper limit of the probability for the violation of the Pauli Exclusion Principle of 2 orders of magnitude.

1 Introduction

The Pauli Exclusion Principle states that two fermions cannot be in the same quantum state \([1]\). It is, according to current knowledge, a consequence of the Spin Statistics connection. Wolfgang Pauli was awarded the Nobel Prize in physics in 1945 for its discovery. It is a fundamental principle in nature, mainly where many-fermion systems are concerned. Therefore, it needs to be tested thoroughly. At present, an intuitive explanation for the PEP is still missing \([2]\).

To the best of our current understanding, there are only 2 spin-separated classes of particles: fermions with half-integer spin and bosons with integer spin. The PEP is only fulfilled for fermions. Several experiments have tested the Pauli Exclusion Principle in the past. Some of them have put very stringent limits on the probability for the violation of the PEP in stable systems. These results have for example been put forward by the DAMA collaboration \([3]\). This kind of experiments looked for forbidden transitions in stable systems. But those transitions violate the Messiah-Greenberg superselection rule \([4]\), which forbids the change of the symmetry state of a system, which is not interacting with its surroundings. To circumvent this rule, the idea was introduced to use “new” electrons for testing the Pauli Exclusion Principle.

2 Experimental Method

The idea of the experiments with “new” electrons was to introduce these electrons into a target. There they interact with the atoms of the material and have a certain chance to be captured by them. In the course of this process, the absorbed electron forms a new electronic state with the electrons in the atom. This state potentially has a new symmetry, which distinguishes this method from investigations on stable systems. During the cascading process the electrons undergo while they relax into the ground state, they emit radiation, which can be detected. If the PEP is violated in the atom with the newly formed symmetry
state, transitions like on the right side of figure 1 can happen. The important point of the
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Figure 1: Normal (allowed) 2p - 1s transition with an energy of 8.05 keV for copper (left) and non-Paulian (forbidden) transition with an energy of around 7.7 keV for copper (right).

transition on the right hand side of this figure is, that it has a slightly lower transition energy than the one on the left, due to the enhanced shielding caused by the additional electron in the ground state prior to the transition. An excess of detected X-ray photons in the energy range of around 7.7 keV, where the forbidden transition is expected in copper, would be a hint for the violation of the Pauli Exclusion Principle.

One of the first experiments that used “new” electrons was conducted by Ramberg and Snow [5]. The scheme of the experiment is shown in figure 2. They introduced an electric current to a copper strip with the help of a power supply. The current electrons have a chance to be captured by the copper atoms. The experimenters then detected the X-rays, which are emitted during the cascading process of these electrons. The spectrum was measured with and without circulating current. The same measurement principle was applied in the VIP

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{X-ray detector} \\
\text{Cu strip} \\
\text{Power Supply} \\
\text{DAQ} \\
\end{array} \]

Figure 2: Scheme of the experiment of Ramberg and Snow.
The VIP experiment. It first took data in late 2005 at the Frascati National Laboratories of INFN in Italy for about 10 days without current and for 10 days with a current of 40 A. In figure 3, the results of this data taking period are shown. The non-Paulian transitions are only expected in the spectrum with current in the energy “region of interest”, which is marked in blue. In the residual spectrum on the right hand side of figure 3, it can be seen that there was no excess found in the spectrum with current compared to the spectrum without current. Thereby an upper bound on the probability for the violation of the PEP could be calculated [6]:

\[ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \leq 4.5 \times 10^{-28} \] (1)

The factor \( \frac{\beta^2}{2} \) represents the probability, that the Pauli Exclusion Principle is violated in an atom. The VIP experiment furthermore took data in the underground laboratories in Gran Sasso, Italy (LNGS-INFN) until 2010. A preliminary value for \( \frac{\beta^2}{2} \) was published in [7, 8]:

\[ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \leq 4.7 \times 10^{-29} \] (2)

### 3 The VIP 2 experiment

The follow-up experiment is currently under preparation at the laboratory of the Stefan Meyer Institute in Vienna. Compared to the VIP experiment, one of the major upgrades is the use of Silicon Drift Detectors (SDDs) as X-ray detectors, whereas the predecessor experiment was using Charge-Coupled Devices (CCDs). On the one hand, SDDs have a
better energy resolution, with around 150 eV (FWHM) at 6 keV, compared to around 320 eV for CCDs. On the other hand, they have a time resolution of around 400 ns (FWHM), which enables the possibility of an active shielding of background events, which are caused by cosmic rays. For the purpose of active shielding, 32 scintillators read out by Silicon Photomultipliers are arranged around the copper target. All signals recorded by the SDDs, which are in coincidence with signals recorded by the scintillators, can be marked as background events, because both signals are caused by the same cosmic ray. A schematic drawing of the setup is shown in figure 4. Further improvements of the current setup compared to the VIP setup are shown in table 1. Another prominent improvement factor besides the active shielding and the enhanced energy resolution is the increased current through the copper bar, which will result in an improvement of the limit for the violation of the PEP of around $\frac{1}{2}$. All the improvements mentioned above make us confident to aim for a reduction of the limit for the violation of the PEP to around $10^{-31}$. Or else, the violation of the Pauli Exclusion Principle for electrons will be discovered.

First measurements have been conducted in the laboratory at the Stefan Meyer Institute. In figure 5, a preliminary X-ray spectrum of around 35 hours of data taking without current is shown together with a spectrum of around 35 hours of data taking with a current of 50 A. The spectra are summed up over all 6 used SDDs, which are energy calibrated with the help of a Fe-55 source and a titanium calibration foil. In the plot, only the part of the spectrum
Table 1: List of improvements of VIP 2 compared to VIP [9].

| Changes in VIP2                  | value VIP2 (VIP) | expected gain |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| acceptance                      | 12 %             | 12            |
| increase current                | 100 A (40 A)     | > 2           |
| reduced length                  | 3 cm (8.8 cm)    | 1/3           |
| total linear factor             |                  | 8             |
| energy resolution               | 170 eV (320 eV) @ 8 keV | 4 |
| reduced active area             | 6 cm² (114 cm²)  | 20            |
| better shielding and veto        |                  | 5-10          |
| higher SDD efficiency           |                  | 1/2           |
| background reduction            | 200 - 400        |               |
| overall improvement             |                  | > 120         |

Figure 5: SDD spectra recorded without current and with a current of 50 A, with the region of interest of the non-Paulian transition marked in green.

including the copper Ka-line (around 8 keV) and the region of interest (around 7.7 keV) is shown. The region of interest is marked in green. In this small data set, no excess of counts in this energy region in the spectrum with current could be found compared to the spectrum without current. The calculation of the probability for the violation of the PEP, which corresponds to the parameter $\frac{\beta^2}{2}$, from these data is work in progress.
4 Outlook

In autumn 2015, the experiment will be transported to the underground laboratory INFN-LNGS in Gran Sasso, Italy. After implementing the setup and completing stability checks in the new environment, long term data taking of 2-3 years will start.
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