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ABSTRACT

Novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 was recently outbreak worldwide causes severe acute respiratory syndrome along with gastrointestinal symptoms for some infected patients. Information on detail pathogenesis, host immune responses and responsible biological pathways are limited. Therefore, infection specific host gut responses and dietary supplements to neutralize immune inflammation demand extensive research. This study aimed to find differences in global co-expression protein-protein interaction sub-network and enriched biological processes in SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected gut enterocytes cell line. Attempts have also been made to predict some dietary supplements to boost human health. The SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected differential express proteins were integrated with the human protein interaction network and co-expression subnetworks were constructed. Common hubs of these sub-networks reshape central cellular pathways of metabolic processes, lipid localisation, hypoxia response to decrease oxygen level and transport of biomolecules. The major biological process enriched in the unique hub of SARS-CoV-2 significantly differ from SARS-CoV, related to interferon signaling, regulation of viral process and influenza-A enzymatic pathway. Predicted dietary supplements can improve SARS-CoV-2 infected person’s health by boosting the host immunity/reducing inflammation. To the best of our knowledge this is the first report on co-expression network mediated biological process in human gut enterocytes to predict dietary supplements/compounds.

1. Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) first emerged in 2003 caused by coronavirus SARS-CoV (Drosten et al., 2003). In late December 2019, a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) epidemic happened from China and on 30th January 2020 World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 as a pandemic (Zhu et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). Coronaviruses (CoVs) are the single stranded RNA viruses that infects animals and humans causing respiratory, gastrointestinal and hepatic disease (Leibowitz and Weiss, 2013; Lamers et al., 2020). Till date, there have been seven human coronaviruses (HCoVs) identified, including HCoVs-NL63, HCoVs-229E, HCoVs-OC43, HCoVs-HKU1, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and novel SARS-CoV-2 (Ye et al., 2020). Despite some common clinical symptoms, SARS-CoV-2 has the highest pathogenicity with 106,125,682 confirmed cases and 2,320,497 deaths globally as of 10th February 2021 much more than SARS-CoV (8422 people infected in 26 countries, leading to 916 deaths) according to WHO. (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019). Along with their common clinical symptoms subset of patients showed severe gastrointestinal problems for SARS-CoV-2 (Lamers et al., 2020).

Although there are some reports of host responses on infected lung epithelial cells, less research has been done on human gut infection which is another important site for SARS-CoV-2 causing gastrointestinal problems. Early reports revealed that in SARS patients, there is a pulmonary infection and severe lung damage associated with elevated pro-inflammatory cytokines in serum (IL-6, IL-8, IFN-γ, IL-1β, TNF-α; Azkur et al., 2020; Prasad et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020).

There are some recent reports on RNA-Seq expression for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected lung epithelial and gut enterocytes cell line to characterize the differentially expressed genes and their responsible metabolic pathways, however, lacking the global co-expression profile of intestinal cells (Lamers et al., 2020; Lieberman et al., 2020). Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network from differentially expressed datasets and their co-expression profile may provide a global picture of cellular processes that can be used as a target to improve diagnostic, prognostic
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and therapeutic for HCoVs (Dhal et al., 2014; Krishnamoorthy et al., 2021; Prasad et al., 2020). To boost the patient’s health by neutralizing excessive immune response/inflammation proper dietary supplements/compounds also needed.

In this study a systematic approach was made to decipher the following objects 1) How SARS-CoV-2 specific human protein interaction co-expressed network differ from SARS-CoV in 60 h of gut enterocytes cells 2) What are different enriched biological processes are globally activated during SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infection 3) What are host immune responses and disease severity in the gut during SARS-CoV-2 infection 4) What are the top most hub proteins according to their topological importance in the network and their metabolic pathways 5) What are probable dietary supplements/compounds that improve patient’s health and reduce disease severity during SARS-CoV-2 infection.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 gut infection specific global PIN and host immune response during gastrointestinal tract infection as well as suggestions for dietary supplements/compounds based inflammation reduction to improve patient’s health of COVID-19 infected patients.

2. Methods

2.1. Protein-protein interaction network and gene expression data

The human binary protein-protein interaction dataset (Human Protein Reference Database) was visualized by importing the network to Cytoscape 3.8.0 v-3.8.0 (Shannon et al., 2003) from Network Data Exchange (NDEX) (www.ndexbio.org) named here as HPRD static protein protein interaction network (HPRD PIN) (Supplementary Fig. S1).

The RNA-Seq FASTQ files of human gut enterocytes cell line infected with SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 and their respective control gene expression datasets were downloaded from GEO database (Lamers et al., 2020). Details descriptions of all the collected datasets are given in Supplementary Table S1.

2.2. RNA-Seq data processing and identification of differentially expressed protein coding genes

SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected and control RNA-Seq datasets were processed to determine the differentially expressed protein coding genes (DEPCGs) following the established protocol with some minute modifications (Contreras-Lopez et al., 2018). Selected FASTQ files were trimmed using Trimomatic v-0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014) with a minimum length 36 and slidingwindow 10:30. Trimmed sequences were aligned using Hista2 v-2.2.9 (Kim et al., 2015) against human reference sequence (GRC38.p13; released date 2019.02.28) and only the protein coding genes were extracted for further analysis. The DEPCGs were enlisted using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) and their sequence reads were normalized through EBSeq package of Bioconductor (Leng et al., 2013) in R v-3.6.2 (Contreras-Lopez et al., 2018).

2.3. Determination of Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) of DEPCGs and construction of human-SARS-CoV and human-SARS-CoV-2 co-expression networks

Each DEPCGs data was formatted uniformly and their correlation profiles were measured by calculating the Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC) between each gene pair based on their expression profile using psych package of R (R Core Team, 2017). Now the infection specific DEPCGs data of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 were integrated with HPRD PIN and human-SARS-CoV and human-SARS-CoV-2 co-expression network were formed after removing the nodes which had less than 5 interactor partners. Nodes having greater than or equal to 5 interactor partners termed as a hub.

2.4. Common and unique hubs finding and disease specific sub-network construction

Common and unique hubs of human-SARS-CoV and human-SARS-CoV-2 co-expression network were determined by Venny v-2.1 (Olivers, 2007). Now, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infection specific co-expression subnetworks were constructed using the unique hubs of human-SARS-CoV and human-SARS-CoV-2 co-expression networks respectively. Statistical analyses for both of the networks were done by Cytoscape plugin NetworkAnalyzer v-4.4.6 (Assenov et al., 2008).

2.5. Functional group annotation and identification of enriched gene ontology of infection specific subnetworks

In this study, we identified the biological process (BP) represented by the unique hubs for infection specific co-expression subnetwork and dynamicity of common hubs for human-SARS-CoV, human-SARS-CoV-2 using CluGo functional analysis with medium network specificity and classification stringency (Bindea et al., 2009). Enriched gene ontology (GO) analysis of these hub proteins was determined with group P-value and P-value corrected with Bonferroni step down ≤0.05.

2.6. Identification of top 20 hub proteins from infection specific co-expression subnetworks and relevant pathway analysis

To identify the top 20 hubs from these co-expression subnetworks, we calculate four different topological parameters of all involved hubs from the infection specific unique SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 co-expression subnetwork using degree centrality (DC), closeness centrality (CC), betweenness centrality (BC), eigenvector centrality (EC) values. Next, we also computed the median ranking score for each of those proteins instead of exploring individual score. The most significant pathway (P-value ≤0.05) represented by these top 20 proteins were predicted in the Reactome database (Jassal et al., 2020).

2.7. Dietary supplement/anti-inflammatory compounds-protein interaction analysis

Dietary supplement/anti-inflammatory compounds-target interaction information for the selected 20 hub proteins were collected from the Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD) (Davis et al., 2019). The predicted dietary supplement/anti-inflammatory molecules for hub proteins through the protein-compound interaction databases were used for constructing the compound-protein network using STITCH database (Kuhn et al., 2008). The interactions in STITCH database is derived from three main sources, mainly by automated text-mining, high-throughput lab experiments and previous knowledge from databases with a high confidence score (0.7) (Prasad et al., 2020).

3. Results

3.1. RNA-Seq data and DEPCGs specific network for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2

The cumulative host cell response because of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infection can be conceptualized by the host-viral proteins-protein interactions. Beside mild to severe respiratory symptom, infected patients also reported having gastrointestinal problems with novel SARS-CoV-2 (Bojkova et al., 2020; Lamers et al., 2020). To better understand the difference in the global molecular mechanism of host defense response against these two viral infections we have used the 60 h of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 post infected RNA-Seq data of human gut enterocytes cell line and utilize them for DEPCGs specific SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 co-expression network based analysis. The RNA-Seq data were trimmed and aligned with the human reference genome to extract a total of 17198 protein-coding genes and by removing the proteins that
do not have any read total of 16409 proteins were selected. From this set of proteins, we got 1058 and 1037 DEPCGs (cutoff value log2FC ≥ 1 and adjusted p-value <0.01) for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 respectively. The correlations of each of the individual proteins with the rest of the enlisted proteins of these DEPCGs data sets were calculated by PCC. Finally, 8017 and 6877 correlation sets for differentially expressed protein-coding genes (DEPCGs-CR) were listed for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 respectively (Supplementary Table S2).

3.2. Human-SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 co-expression networks and infection specific SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 co-expression subnetworks

Condition-specific dynamic sub-network model allows us to identify the key regulatory protein concerning different infections (Dhal et al., 2014). To find the differences in host responses due to SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 respectively (Supplementary Table S2).

Finally, 8017 and 6877 correlation sets for differentially expressed Supplementary Table S3). Using these unique proteins we have enlisted proteins of these DEPCGs data sets were calculated by PCC. The correlations of each of the individual proteins with the rest of the enriched GO biological processes they involved for. The major enriched 3.3. Functional annotation of common and infection specific unique hub proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2

The Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was performed via CluGo plugin of Cytoscape for common and unique hub proteins to find out the main enriched GO biological processes they involved for. The major enriched biological processes were encoded by 436 common hubs were mainly

| Network                                      | No. of Nodes | No. of Edges | Clustering Coefficient | Network Density |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| HPRD (Release 9)                            | 9465         | 37,039       | 0.106                  | 0.001           |
| Human-SARS-CoV co-expression network (SARS-CoV + HPRD) with Degree ≥5 | 899          | 7814         | 0.604                  | 0.019           |
| SARS-CoV infection specific co-expression subnetwork (unique hubs of SARS-CoV HPRD) | 463          | 1762         | 0.588                  | 0.018           |
| Human-SARS-CoV-2 co-expression network (SARS-CoV-2 + HPRD) with Degree ≥5 | 834          | 6510         | 0.596                  | 0.019           |
| SARS-CoV-2 infection specific co-expression subnetwork (unique hubs of SARS-CoV-2 + HPRD) | 398          | 1394         | 0.573                  | 0.018           |

3.5. Dietary supplement/anti-inflammation compound-protein interaction analysis

The proposed network-based dietary supplements/anti-inflammatory compounds discovery depends on the hypothesis that the important hub proteins that functionally govern viral infection localized in the corresponding subnetwork would be the target for compounds or dietary supplements (Filyk et al., 2020). Using Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD), we identified the possible dietary supplements/anti-inflammatory compounds which known to have possible interaction with the hub proteins. Based on the chemical-protein interaction results, we used STITCH database for the final categorization of the compound-protein interaction network based on the high interaction score. In total, we have identified 10 compounds that can interact with a few of the top 20 hub proteins of SARS-CoV (JUNB, PDE3A and FOS). Within these 10 compounds, 7 compounds (arachidonic acid, omega-3-fatty acid, EGCG, calcitrol, lactate,
curcumin, and resveratrol) were dietary supplements/vitamin, 2 compounds (ginsenoside rh-1 and andrographolide) were anti-inflammatory/antioxidant and theophyline used against respiratory disease and anti-inflammation (Fig. 2). For SARS-CoV-2 we selected 3 dietary supplements/compounds which known to have interacted with the top 20 hub proteins of SARS-CoV-2 (DRD1, IL18 and LPAR2) (Fig. 3).

Within them resveratrol and lactate used as antioxidant and dietary supplements respectively and theophylline used for respiratory disease and anti-inflammation.
4. Discussion

Protein-protein interaction networks are static as they include all possible binary interactions without their expression profile. The integration of expression data with the PPI network allowed us to identify functionally important proteins (Dhal et al., 2014). Some RNA-Seq expression data are available for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected on lung epithelial and gut enterocytes cell line to characterize the differentially expressed genes, however, lacking the entire interconnected expression condition of the cells (Lamers et al., 2020; Lieberman et al., 2020). Any biological response is a multi-protein activity that can be predicted through dynamic co-expression PIN (Dhal et al., 2014; Prasad et al., 2020). Our analysis provides infection specific PPI network of the human to provide a map of involved host proteins affected by the viral infection. Importance has been given in finding the difference of host defense response when gut enterocytes cell line infected with SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (ex-vivo). We consider the human gut enterocytes cell line as a prototype of the human gastrointestinal tract because SARS-CoV-2 infects gut enterocytes cell as a primary target causing gastrointestinal problem including diarrhea. An effort was also made to predict the new targets related to dietary supplements and anti-inflammatory molecules to boost human heaths and immunity to neutralize the viral responses.

In the present work, the differentially expressed protein coding genes of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infection specific condition is determined. To measure whether DEPCGs are likely to be co-expressed, we use PCC in all the studied conditions and disease specific co-expression network (human-SARS-CoV and human-SARS-CoV-2) have been constructed.
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subnetwork and their related dietary supplements derived from Comparative

presenting cell function and impaired dendritic cell migration is the

modulation, cGMP-mediated signaling, positive regulation of cellular

membrane transporter, transcription by RNA polymerase I, tRNA

cess, lipid localization, transport of different kinds of molecules, hypoxia

higher clustering coefficient and same network density. So, host cell

CoV-2 have 65 fewer hub proteins and 1304 interactors with 0.008

Compare with human-SARS-CoV co-expression network, human-SARS-

network, we identified 436 common hubs while 463 and 398 hubs are

unique respectively. The major enriched biological processes involved in

the common hubs are related to metabolic processes, biosynthetic pro-

cess, lipid localization, transport of different kinds of molecules, hypoxia

and nuclear DNA replication. The metabolic process of the viral infected
cell is high because energy and biomolecules (small molecules and lipid)
may require for viral particle synthesis (Soliman et al., 2020). Those

biological processes altered in the host cell during SARS-CoV-2 infection

well indicated in the previous findings (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2021; Liu

et al., 2020; Soliman et al., 2020). It is also reported that during different

pathogenic infection those are the biological processes get changed

cauing diarrhea and hypoxia (Kunthamallia et al., 2011; Singh et al.,

2007). So these biological processes may responsible for SARS-CoV-2

infected diarrhea, gastrointestinal problems and hypoxia.

The unique hub proteins of SARS-CoV involved mainly in trans-

membrane transporter, transcription by RNA polymerase I, tRNA

modification, cGMP-mediated signaling, positive regulation of cellular

amine metabolic process and regulation of meiotic cell cycle indicating

the absence of any major immunological defense response in 60 h of

SARS-CoV infection. According to the previous investigation, the

combined induction of antibodies and virus-specific T cells provides optimal

protective immunity against SARS-CoV (Liang et al., 2020). After

infection SARS-CoV encodes multiple structural and non-structural

proteins that antagonize innate IFN response, alteration of antigen-

presenting cell function and impaired dendritic cell migration is the

possible reason for the delayed adaptive immune response (Totura and

Baric, 2012; Yoshikawa et al., 2009). For SARS-CoV infected patients

IgM and IgG production level peaked at approx 1 and 2–4 months,

respectively after symptoms (Liang et al., 2020). So, SARS-CoV activates

different types of biological processes of the human gut enterocytes cell

t line to create a suitable environment for them but the immunological

response still not activates at 60 h of post-infection, indicated in this

study.

Interestingly unique hub proteins of SARS-CoV-2 involved in the

human immune system through interferon alpha, beta and gamma

response and regulation, type I interferon production, negative regula-

tion of viral process and positive regulation of response to cytokine

stimulus. Based on our findings, it can be hypothesized that the immu-

nological response of SARS-CoV-2 includes innate and adaptive immune

responses. Infection of enterocytes cell by SARS-CoV-2 induces a robust

intrinsic immune response characterized by the production of type I

IFNs results in the reduction of viral replication and a significant

decrease in the production of infectious de novo virus particles. Pro-

duction of cytokine is associated with the severity of SARS-CoV-2 pa-

tients, which is characterized by increased interleukins. Therefore, the

body may have experienced a cytokine storm caused by excessive immu-

nity in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. It’s already reported that type I

and III IFNs induce antiviral state thereby restricting SARS-CoV-2

replication in cells (Manito et al., 2020; Stanifer et al., 2020). On the

other hand, at the later stages of the disease, the balance of the immune

system becomes impaired, leading to inflammatory over-reactions and
cytokine storm happens (Prasad et al., 2020). So, this study proposes the

immune response in gut enterocytes cell is in line with the previously

reported immune response of human against SARS-CoV-2 (Huang et al.,

2020; Liang et al., 2020; Mehta et al., 2020).

According to the host response and symptoms (Reactome pathways

based on the top 20 hub proteins of co-expression subnetworks against

SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, we propose dietary supplements and com-
pounds from compound-protein interaction analysis in STITCH data-

case. For SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected patients we predict
dietary supplements (curcumin, arachidonic acid, omega-3-fatty acid,

EGCG, calcitrol, lactate and resveratrol) and anti-inflammatory/

antioxidant drugs (ginsenoside rh-1, andrographolide and theophy-

line) to neutralize the viral response (Supplementary Table S9) (Kakh-

kahie et al., 2019; Arreola et al., 2016; Malaguarnera, 2019; Perdigon

et al., 2002; Allen and Diwari, 2019; Tallima and El Ridi, 2018).

All of the food supplements and compounds reduce the inflammation

(Cytokine storm) of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients by inhibition IL-1, IL-

6, IL-4, IL-12, IL-23, NF-κB and TNF-α. Along with it Omega-3 fatty acid,

EGCG, Calcitrol and Andrographolide induce innate immune responses

by regulating macrophage and monocyte (Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Hajan,

2014; Idrr et al., 2020; Prell et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2019). Gines-

noside rh-1 suppress the production of inflammatory enzymes, such as

inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) may

be helpful against SARS inflammation (Kim et al., 2015). Andro-

grapholide and Omega-3 fatty acid induce adaptive immune responses by

regulating macrophage and antigen-specific antibody production

through MAPK and PI3K pathways (Wang et al., 2010). Lastly, anti-

oxidative agent EGCG can be beneficial as immune restorative proper-

ties by maintaining the balance of Th1/Th2 system (Hajian, 2014; Kuo,

2014).

Our suggested dietary supplements and compounds can improve

SARS-CoV-2 infected as well as non-infected person’s health and reduce

mortality by boosting the host immunity, stress relieves, reducing the

inflammation (cytokine storm) and damage reduction of infected cells.

5. Conclusions

In summary, our integrative interactome and network topology analy-
ses showed that

1. Host cell expression may be more specific and stringent during SARS-

CoV-2 infection.
The human-SARS-CoV and human-SARS-CoV-2 specific co-expression network, total 436 common hubs, while 463 and 398 unique hubs are identified respectively, may be designated as disease specific hub proteins.

3. Major enriched biological processes for SARS-CoV-2 were related to interferon signaling, negative regulation of viral process and inflammatory cytokine response while SARS-CoV related to transmembrane transporter and cell cycle regulation.

4. During 60 h of post-infection SARS-CoV-2 developed a strong cytokine and low INF response while SARS-CoV response on host immunity not activated.

5. During host gut infection the balance of the immune system becomes impaired, leading to inflammatory over-reactions, cytokine storm, and possible autoimmune responses happened.

6. From the top 20 hub proteins JUNB, PDE3A, FOS of SARS-CoV and DRD1, IL18, LPAR2 of SARS-CoV-2 can be targeted to neutralize the inflammation of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-2.

7. Curcumin, arachidonic acid, omega-3-fatty acid, EGCG, calcitrol, lactate and resveratrol ginsenoside rh-1, andrographolide, theophylline and dopamine may be used to neutralize the viral response by inhibiting the cytokine response based inflammation and activating the INF response.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2021.104892.
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