Phytosociological Survey of Weeds in Coffee Plants Irrigated Under Different Systems
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Abstract
The objective was to identify the species and quantify the importance value index of weeds in the cultivation of arabica coffee in two irrigation systems, at different times of the year, in the northern region of Minas Gerais. A phytosociological survey was carried out in each season of the year (spring, summer, autumn and winter) in an area cultivated with the species Coffea arabica, subjected to two irrigation systems (sprinkling and dripping). The coffee crop was implanted at a spacing of 3.5 m between rows and 0.7 meters between plants. The collection of weeds was performed using the standard method of the square inventory, which was launched between the lines of the crop. The identification of the species was carried out, the number of individuals was quantified, the dry mass, frequency, density, abundance, importance value index and coverage, and the similarity index. 33 weed species were identified, being the species with the highest IVI Euphorbia hirta, Brachiaria plantaginea, Digitaria horizontalis, Cyperus rotundus and Amaranthus spp. It was observed a higher occurrence of weeds from the monocot group in the sprinkler irrigation system while in the drip there were predominance of dicot plants.
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1. Introduction
The cultivation of coffee in Brazil has a high socioeconomic importance, since the country occupies the first place in the ranking of world production. Among the cultivated species, arabica coffee (Coffea arabica L.) it is the most commercially exploited, representing 72% of national production. The acreage of arabica coffee in the country amounts to about 1.7 million hectares, which corresponds to 81% of the total coffee area in Brazil. The largest area cultivated with the species is concentrated in the State of Minas Gerais, which is about 1.22 million hectares, corresponding to 69.6% of the area occupied with arabica coffee nationwide (CONAB, 2019).

The estimate of coffee production in Brazil in the 2019 harvest was 50.92 million bags, which represents a reduction of 17.4% in relation to the previous harvest, which was a positive biennial cycle (CONAB, 2019). Despite the biennial effect, the history of coffee production in Brazil shows an increase, this increase being certainly related to the increase in areas of coffee crops in production, many of them in new cultivation regions and in irrigated areas.

Irrigated coffee culture has grown in Brazil in recent years and has often been responsible for enabling the expansion of culture to marginal regions for the cultivation of the species, as is the case in the North of Minas Gerais. However, within an irrigated production system, weed problems are accentuated due to water availability in the soil throughout the year.

It is known that the establishment of a weed community depends on local conditions, such as soil type, climate, cultural practices used, seed bank, management adopted, among other factors (Adegas et al., 2010). Thus, both the climatic variations of the region throughout the seasons, and the use of different irrigation systems can influence the occurrence of weed weeds, making it difficult to manage in coffee plantations.
The weed interference is one of the main biotic factors influencing the production of cultivated plants, due to the allelopathic effects, competition for light, nutrients and water, in addition to being hosts of pests and diseases and hindering the performance of operations such as harvesting, fertilizers and applications of phytosanitary products (Pitelli, 1985). The basis for the definition of an efficient strategy in the control of weeds is the knowledge of the weed flora of the cultivation areas (Maciel et al., 2010), thus, the phytosociological method can be seen as an important tool that allows making several inferences about the weed flora in question so that it is possible to define what will be done, in the appropriate form and time (Gomes et al., 2010), since it provides specific data on the species occurring, such as frequency, density and abundance, in addition to its relationship with the total weed population.

Several phytosociological studies have already been carried out for different cultures, however we do not know the flora of weeds in irrigated coffee in semi-arid regions, mainly because they are marginal regions for coffee growing, characterizing a new productive system. Thus, the objective of the present work was to identify the species and quantify the importance value index of weeds in an arabica coffee area, under two irrigation systems, in different seasons of the year, in the North of Minas Gerais.

2. Material and Methods

The study was carried out in an experimental area located in the irrigated perimeter of Jaíba-MG, at the coordinates 15°06′48″S latitude and 48°05′29″W of longitude, at an altitude of 460 m. The region’s climate is “AW” (rainy tropical, savannah with dry winter) according to the Köppen classification, with an average annual rainfall of 750 mm and an average temperature of 26 °C. The soil was classified as Quartossenic Latosol. The air temperatures and rainfall patterns during the period of the experiment are shown in Figure 1.

The work was carried out in two two-year-old arabica coffee plants, one irrigated by sprinkling and the other irrigated by drip. The crops were implanted at a spacing of 3.5 × 0.7 m, with one plant per hole corresponding to a density of 4,081 plants per hectare being installed following the recommendations for planting, training and cultural treatments as usually used in the region. Soil correction and NPK fertilization were carried out as a function of soil and leaf analysis of the area according to the recommendations of Guimarães et al. (1999).

For the drip irrigated area, the irrigation system consisted of main and secondary PVC lines and a 16 mm diameter low density polyethylene drip tube with emitters spaced 0.30 m apart. The nominal flow of the emitters is 5.5 L h⁻¹ with service pressure of 100 kPa. A side line per row of plants was used, forming a continuous wet strip with an average width of 0.60 m.

In the sprinkler irrigated area, conventional Rain Bird low flow sprinklers were used, with a gray nozzle and green ballerina. Applying for 24 meters of water column, 850 L h⁻¹ with a range of 10.8 meters. The sprinklers were installed in the spacing of 12 m × 14 m, with a water application rate of 5.05 mm h⁻¹.

Figure 1. Precipitation (mm), Maximum, Average and Minimum Temperature (°C) in Mocambinho-MG, during the conduction of the experiment
The management of water in irrigation was carried out considering the water balance in the soil, based on crop and meteorological data, collected in an automatic station, installed close to the areas.

Phytosociological surveys of weeds were carried out over a year, from September/2015 to August/2016. The infesting weeds in the areas were collected between the rows of the crop, and one collection was carried out in each season of the year (spring, summer, autumn and winter). All collections were made before weed control, which was carried out through mechanical mowing.

For the collection of weeds, the standard method of the square inventory was used (0.5 m × 0.5 m), this being launched between the lines of culture 10 times, totaling 2.5 m² of sampled area. The weeds were collected with complete structure, with root system and aerial part. In each sample, the plants were quantified and classified according to family, gender and species. Then, the samples of each species were packed in paper bags and taken to the oven with forced air circulation at 65 °C for 72 hours, for later weighing of the dry mass on a precision scale, the result being expressed in grams.

From the identification and counting of species, phytosociological variables were calculated: number of individuals per species, frequency (occurrence of species in each square), density (number of individuals of the same species in each square), abundance (concentration of species in different points in the area), dominance (determines the dominance of each species in relation to biomass production), relative frequency, relative density and relative abundance (relates one species to all others found in the areas), the importance value index, which represents the sum of the relative frequency, relative density and relative abundance, the coverage value index that expresses the species coverage in relation to their biomass production, and the similarity index, in percentage, which represents how similar the weed population between the compared areas.

In the calculation of phytosociological variables, the equations described below, proposed by Braun-Blanquet (1979) were used.

- Frequency (F):
  \[ F = \frac{\text{Square containing species}}{\text{Total square used}} \]  

- Density (D):
  \[ D = \frac{\text{Total individuals by species}}{\text{Total area collected}} \]  

- Abundance (A):
  \[ A = \frac{\text{Total individuals by species}}{\text{Total square containing the species}} \]  

- Relative frequency (FR):
  \[ FR = \frac{\text{Species frequency}}{\text{Total frequency of all species}} \times 100 \]  

- Relative density (DR):
  \[ DR = \frac{\text{Species density}}{\text{Total density of all species}} \times 100 \]  

- Relative abundance (AR):
  \[ AR = \frac{\text{Species abundance}}{\text{Total abundance of all species}} \times 100 \]  

- Relative dominance (DOR):
  \[ DOR = \frac{\text{Species biomass}}{\text{Total biomass of all species}} \times 100 \]  

- Importance value index (IVI):
  \[ IVI = FR + DR + AR \]  

- Coverage value index (IVC):
  \[ IVC = DOR + DR \]

The floristic similarity index proposed by Sorensen (1972), was determined using the following formula:

- Similarity index (SI):
  \[ SI = \frac{2a}{b+c} \times 100 \]  

In which a is the number of species common to both areas, b and c are the total number of species in the two compared areas.
3. Results and Discussion

They were found 33 species of weeds belonging to 16 families throughout the evaluation cycle. The families with the largest number of species were Poaceae, Asteraceae, Malvaceae and Euphorbiaceae (Table 1). Maciel et al. (2010) also observed a great predominance of weed species from the Poaceae and Asteraceae families in a phytosociological survey in coffee plantations.

The poaceae family still stands out in crops of several other crops, both annual and perennial, being observed in bean varieties (Silva et al., 2017), cassava (Cardoso et al., 2013; Soares et al., 2015), sugar cane (Soares et al., 2011) papaya (Costa et al., 2019), pineapple (Sarmento et al., 2017), orange (Santos et al., 2015) and mango (Sena et al., 2019). This wide distribution of weeds from the poacea family is directly related to the large amount of seeds produced, which provides an increase in the dissemination power of these species (Maciel et al., 2010).

Table 1. Common names, scientific names and families of weeds identified in the phytosociological surveys carried out in *Coffea arabica* L. irrigated crops in the North of Minas Gerais

| Common name | Scientific name | Family            |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Caruru      | *Amaranthus* spp. | Amaranthaceae     |
| Sheep burr  | *Acanthospermum hispidum* | Asteraceae |
| Bulva       | *Conyza bonariensis* | Asteraceae |
| Milkweed    | *Emilia sonchifolia* | Asteraceae |
| White pick  | *Galinsoga parviflora* | Asteraceae |
| Bull Weed   | *Tridax procumbens* | Asteraceae |
| Burr brave  | *Xanthium strumarium* | Asteraceae |
| Trapoeraba  | *Commelina benghalensis* | Commelinaceae |
| Jitirana    | *Ipomoea hederifolia* | Convolvulaceae |
| Viola String| *Ipomoea* spp. | Convolvulaceae |
| Tiririca    | *Cyperus rotundus* | Cyperaceae |
| Bravo coffee| *Croton lobatos* | Euphorbiaceae |
| Milkman     | *Euphorbia heterophylla* | Euphorbiaceae |
| Santa Luzia herb | *Euphorbia hirta* | Euphorbiaceae |
| Forest pasture | *Senna obtusifolia.* | Fabaceae |
| Bear Ear    | *Stachys arvensis* | Lamiaceae |
| Ground mallow | *Pavonia acancellata* | Malvaceae |
| White mallow | *Sida glaziovii* | Malvaceae |
| Malva       | *Sida* spp. | Malvaceae |
| Grass carpet | *Mollugo virticillata* | Molluginaceae |
| Catch chick | *Boerhavia difusa* | Nyctaginaceae |
| Brachiaria  | *Brachiaria decumbens* | Poaceae |
| Marmalade grass | *Brachiaria plantaginea* | Poaceae |
| Carrapicho grass | *Cenchrus echinatus* | Poaceae |
| Frog hand grass | *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* | Poaceae |
| Grass mattress | *Digitaria horizontalis* | Poaceae |
| Bitter grass | *Digitaria insularis* | Poaceae |
| Chicken foot grass | *Eleusine indica* | Poaceae |
| Colonião grass | *Panicum maximum* | Poaceae |
| Grass offered | *Pennisetum setosum* | Poaceae |
| Beldoegra | *Portulaca oleracea* | Portulacaceae |
| Poaia       | *Richardia brasiliensis* | Rubiaceae |
| Black mallow | *Lantana* spp. | Verbenaceae |

In the sprinkler irrigated area, the greatest diversity of species was registered, with 30 of the 33 species identified in the set of the four surveys (Table 2). This diversity of weed species in the area irrigated by the sprinkler system is directly related to the best growing conditions of the plants between the coffee lines, provided by the greater water availability due to the system’s water distribution pattern, as the constant water supply in the lines and between the lines of planting tends to favor the development of weeds (Andres et al., 2012).
Among the species found in the area irrigated by sprinkling, the highlights were the *Euphorbia hirta*, *Cyperus rotundus* and *Digitaria horizontalis* which presented a greater number of individuals per species in two of the four seasons (Table 2), indicating that these species are present in the area for most of the year. In addition to presenting the largest number of individuals per species, the species *C. rotundus* and *D. horizontalis* stood out for presenting a higher dry mass value in the summer and autumn seasons (Table 2), characterizing them as species that have greater capacity for extracting soil resources.

Table 2. Number of individuals per species (NIE) and dry mass (MS) of weeds identified in blond *Coffea arabica* L. irrigated by sprinkling in the spring (Sprin.), summer (Sum.), autumn (Aut.) and winter (Win.) seasons, in the North of Minas Gerais

| Weed           | NIE | Sprin. | Sum. | Aut. | Win. | MS (g) | Sprin. | Sum. | Aut. | Win. |
|----------------|-----|--------|------|------|------|--------|--------|------|------|------|
| *Euphorbia hirta* | 266 | 251    | 46   | -    | -    | 11.7   | 98.8   | 28.3 | -    | -    |
| *Brachiaria plantaginea* | 152 | -      | -    | 129  | -    | 3.0    | 4.0    | 2.7  | -    | -    |
| *C. rotundus* | 129 | 3      | -    | 22   | -    | 163.5  | 2.7    | -    | 20.8 |
| *Portulaca oleracea* | 102 | 14     | 5    | -    | -    | 15.9   | 4.4    | 6.4  | -    | -    |
| *Amaranthus* spp. | 47  | 5      | 2    | 34   | -    | 4.0    | 16.4   | 16.4 | -    | 46.9 |
| *Commelina benghalensis* | 41  | 35     | 15   | 31   | 0    | 21.6   | 42.2   | 56.3 | 57.6 |
| *Cyperus rotundus* | 13  | -      | 2    | 37   | -    | 1.5    | 13.0   | 9.2  | -    | -    |
| *Mollugo virgillata* | 9   | 22     | 331  | 15   | 0    | 0.4    | 2.6    | 189.4 | -    |
| *Galinsoga parviflora* | 6   | 1      | -    | -    | -    | 0.5    | 0.2    | -    | -    |
| *Sida* spp. | 4   | 3      | 4    | -    | -    | 1.3    | 1.8    | 1.1  | -    | -    |
| *Xanthium strumarium* | 3   | -      | -    | -    | -    | 0.1    | -      | -    | -    |
| *Euphorbia heterophylla* | 2   | 3      | 3    | -    | 0.7  | 9.8    | 1.9    | -    | -    |
| *Tridax procumbens* | 2   | 20     | -    | -    | 0.8  | 24.7   | -      | -    | -    |
| *Panicum maximum* | -   | 1      | -    | 27   | -    | 0.8    | -      | 142.9 | -    |
| *Richardia brasiliensis* | -   | -      | 51   | 117  | -    | -      | -      | 71.2 | 111.5 |
| *Eleusine indica* | -   | 5      | 24   | 10   | -    | 17.6   | 106.2  | 98.6 | -    | -    |
| *Emilia sonchifolia* | -   | 27     | 4    | 50   | -    | 19.6   | 0.8    | 41.3 | -    | -    |
| *Sida glavizavi* | -   | 4      | 4    | 42   | -    | -      | -      | 2.7  | 39.2 |
| *Digitaria horizontalis* | -   | 225    | 199  | 20   | -    | 223.1  | 283.6  | 25.5 | -    | -    |
| *Stachys arvensis* | -   | -      | 7    | -    | -    | -      | -      | 16.6 | -    | -    |
| *Acanthospermum hispidum* | -   | -      | -    | 2    | -    | -      | -      | 10.0 | -    | -    |
| *Digitaria insularis* | -   | 2      | 17   | -    | -    | 4.2    | 62.2   | -    | -    | -    |
| *Cenchrus echinatus* | -   | 27     | 9    | -    | -    | 18.9   | 40.8   | -    | -    | -    |
| *Pavonia cancellata* | -   | -      | 18   | -    | -    | -      | -      | 33.6 | -    | -    |
| *Ipomoea* spp. | -   | 2      | 13   | -    | -    | 3.2    | 27.1   | -    | -    | -    |
| *Ipomoea hederifolia* | -   | 15     | 1    | -    | -    | 28.2   | 5.0    | -    | -    | -    |
| *Senna obtusifolias* | -   | 21     | -    | -    | -    | 13.2   | -      | -    | -    | -    |
| *Brachiaria decumbens* | -   | 4      | -    | -    | -    | 8.0    | -      | -    | -    | -    |
| *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* | -   | 7      | -    | -    | 4.2  | -      | -      | -    | -    | -    |
| **Total** | **801** | **816** | **1,073** | **514** | **253.2** | **656.6** | **1,628.9** | **700.9** |

The species of the genus *Cyperus* have a great competitive capacity, which can be found affecting different cultures, these weeds have wide adaptation, being found in all types of soil and climate, in addition, it has good dissemination with both sexual and asexual reproduction (Monquero, 2014). The importance of *C. rotundus* is even more evident as it is a kind of difficult chemical and/or mechanical control (Moreira et al., 2013).

In the drip irrigated area, 27 of the 33 species identified were found throughout and all surveys, of these, highlighted the *Amaranthus* spp. and the *E. hirta* that were present in the field throughout the year with a greater number of individuals per species in all seasons. These same species still showed high dry matter values in the seasons and spring, autumn and winter (Table 3).
Table 3. Number of individuals per species (NIE) and dry mass (MS) of weeds identified in blond Coffea arabica L. drip irrigated in the spring (Sprin.), summer (Sum.), autumn (Aut.) and winter (Win.) seasons, in the North of Minas Gerais

| Weed                | NIE | MS (g) |
|---------------------|-----|--------|
|                     | Sprin. | Sum. | Aut. | Win. | Sprin. | Sum. | Aut. | Win. |
| Amaranthus spp.     | 45   | 313   | 17   | 27   | 83.0   | 4.6  | 91.3 | 69.0 |
| Euphorbia hirta     | 24   | 161   | 123  | 93   | 19.1   | 3.2  | 94.9 | 45.5 |
| Tridax procumbens   | 24   | -     | 11   | 10   | 17.1   | -    | 24.4 | 39.9 |
| Sida spp.           | 13   | 12    | 2    | 1    | 25.1   | 1.5  | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| Portulaca oleracea  | 3    | 805   | 9    | 10   | 1.6    | 14.4 | 1.0  | 21.9 |
| Commelina benghalensis | 3    | -     | 14   | 5    | 3.9    | -    | 67.2 | 11.8 |
| Brachiaria plantaginea | 2   | -     | -    | 2    | 6.1    | -    | -    | 18.5 |
| Conyza bonariensis  | 2    | -     | -    | -    | 3.8    | -    | -    | -    |
| Brachiaria decumbens | 1   | -     | -    | -    | 0.8    | -    | -    | -    |
| Ipomoea spp.        | 1    | -     | -    | -    | 0.3    | -    | -    | -    |
| Digitaria horizontalis | -   | 13    | 54   | 68   | -      | 0.5  | 129.3 | 71.9 |
| Cenchrus echinatus   | -    | -     | 5    | 6    | -      | -    | 11.9 | 49.3 |
| Panicum maximum      | -    | -     | -    | 5    | -      | -    | -    | 37.8 |
| Sida glaziovii       | -    | -     | 1    | 3    | -      | -    | 1.6  | 35.2 |
| Richardia brasiensis | -    | -     | 3    | 13   | -      | -    | 23.3 | 31.0 |
| Boerhavia difusa     | -    | -     | 4    | 5    | -      | -    | 70.0 | 11.9 |
| Cyperus rotundus     | -    | -     | 9    | 4    | -      | -    | 5.9  | 6.5  |
| Pennisetum setosum   | -    | -     | 3    | -    | -      | -    | -    | 6.4  |
| Dactyloctenium aegyptium | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3.3 | 3.9 |
| Euphorbia heterophylla | -   | -     | -    | 1    | -      | -    | -    | 2.7  |
| Croton lobatos       | -    | -     | -    | 1    | -      | -    | -    | 2.1  |
| Eleusine indica      | -    | -     | 17   | -    | -      | -    | 59.4 | -    |
| Pavonia cancellata   | -    | -     | 5    | -    | -      | -    | 28.2 | -    |
| Molugo verticillata  | -    | -     | 2    | -    | -      | -    | 10.0 | -    |
| Lantana spp.         | -    | -     | 6    | -    | -      | -    | 4.3  | -    |
| Galinsoga parviflora | -    | 7     | -    | -    | -      | 16.2 | -    | -    |
| Ipomoea hederifolia  | -    | 32    | -    | -    | -      | 9.5  | -    | -    |
| Total               | 118  | 1,343 | 286  | 258  | 160.8  | 49.8 | 643.4 | 475.6 |

The conditions of a drip irrigated production system can favor the greater development of weeds in the dicotyledonous group, this due to the lower water availability, whereas in the sprinkler irrigated system, monocotyledonous weed infestation tends to be greater between the lines due to continuous and uniform water supply in the area.

Mendes et al. (1995), working with coffee, they also state that in the dry period, with less surface water availability, there is a greater predominance of dicotyledonous weeds because they have a deeper root system, as in the case of Amaranthus spp., while in the rainy season there is a greater predominance of monocotyledonous weeds, whose root system, which is more superficial, is stimulated by the greater availability of water. Thus, these authors corroborate with the information that weed infestation in the group of dicots and monocots is closely related to the availability of water resources in the soil.

In all the surveys carried out, both in coffee plants irrigated by sprinkling and dripping, the presence of E. hirta among the species with the highest IVI (Figure 2) phytosociological parameters, relative density and relative abundance, which contributed most to this index.
Figure 2. Relative Frequency (FR), relative density (DR), relative Abundance (AR) and importance value index (IVI) of weed species in weeds *Coffea arabica* L. irrigated by sprinkling and dripping in the North of Minas Gerais.
The *E. hirta* it is a herbaceous plant, prostrate in size, measuring 10-50 cm in length. It is a weed with wide distribution and is found in annual crops, seedling nurseries and perennial crops. Its propagation occurs through seeds having a plant with a production capacity of up to 3,000 seeds. The establishment of this species occurs in general in dry soils with high sun exposure since it has the need for light for its germination, which justifies the high value of IVI of this species, especially in the drip irrigated area. In addition to the competition of this species with other plants, for water, light and nutrients, the *E. hirta* it is also an alternative host of nematodes, characteristics that can directly influence the potential of the crop (CABI, 2019).

The occurrence of species *Brachiaria plantaginea* and *D. horizontalis* it was also common to areas irrigated by both sprinkling and dripping throughout the year, with a high importance value index (Figure 2). These same species have also been identified by Silva et al. (2013) assessing the occurrence of weeds in the arabica coffee culture intercropped with walnut-macadamia, with great relative importance in both single and intercropping.

Relative importance is a parameter that indicates which species are most important in terms of infestation, taking into account their distribution, the number of individuals and the concentration in the area. Kuva et al. (2007) explain that in a weed-infested community, not all species present will have the same degree of importance as interference in crop development and productivity. Within the infesting community there will be dominant species, responsible for most of the interference, the secondary species, present in a lower density and coverage, and the companions, which occur occasionally and hardly cause economic problems to the crops. Thus, before determining a control strategy, it is necessary to establish an order of priorities among the species present in the area for the control to take place efficiently.

In the drip irrigated area, the species *Amaranthus* spp. was present in the phytosociological survey during the 4 seasons of the year among the species with the highest IVI (Figure 2). This fact is mainly due to the morphophysiological characteristics of this plant. The C4 metabolism, as well as the aggressive growth habit and the prolific seed production, offer Amaranthus plants a high competitive capacity for light, water and nutrients, managing to establish themselves in more adverse edaphoclimatic conditions. According to Klink and Joly (1989), generally, species that have a C4 type carbon assimilation cycle are more adapted to environments with high light radiation as well as conditions of limited water availability, which implies high competition with the crop of interest.

The species *Conyza bonariensis* and *Richardia brasiliensis* stood out only in the spring and winter seasons, respectively among the species with the highest IVI in the sprinkler irrigated area. Similarly, the species *Portulaca oleracea* and *Tridax procumbens* presented high IVI only in the spring and summer seasons, respectively, in the drip irrigated area. This diversification in the establishment of weeds throughout the year can be influenced by weather conditions, cultural practices and seed bank (Adegas et al., 2010). In addition, they still have different cycles and behavior, which justifies their appearance in specific periods.

In general, the species that presented the highest importance value index (IVI) also presented a higher coverage value index (IVC), highlighting the *Amaranthus* spp. for all seasons of the year in the drip irrigated area and the *E. hirta* and *D. horizontalis* which was common for both irrigation systems being among the species with the highest IVC value (Figure 3). However, in the sprinkler irrigated area in the winter season it was found that the species *Panicum maximum* and *Eleusine indica* stood out among the species with the highest IVC although they had lower IVI values. This result is explained by the high dry matter value obtained by these species, which is not considered in the determination of the IVI while the IVC takes into account the species’ biomass production as a function of the number of individuals per area. This parameter highlights the ability of weeds to compete due to their ability to cover the soil and their interference in the incidence of other species.

The data obtained in the present study allow us to infer that the weed flora in the coffee crop changes over the seasons, as well as between different irrigation systems (Figures 2 and 3). Thus, the recognition of weed species becomes essential to understand the dynamics of the weed flora and to determine prevention and management programs and strategies to be adopted efficiently (Adegas et al., 2010; Dangwal et al., 2011; Salomão et al., 2012).
Figure 3. Relative density (DR), relative dominance (DOR) and coverage value index (IVC) of weed species in weeds *Coffea arabica* L. irrigated by sprinkling and dripping in the North of Minas Gerais
As for the weed similarity index between the areas irrigated by sprinkling and dripping throughout the year, it found a high value suggesting that 81.36% of the species identified in the survey are common to the two irrigation systems evaluated (Table 4).

Table 4. Similarity index of weed communities occurring in *Coffea arabica* L. sprinkled and drip irrigated in northern Minas Gerais at different seasons

| Comparison Criteria | Similarity index (%) |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| Sprinkling × Dripping | 81.36 |

According to Felfili and Venturoli (2000), similarity index values greater than 50% are considered high. However, it is noteworthy that the calculation fins were considered throughout the evaluation period, disregarding the effect of the seasons, or that justifies a high similarity between areas. Kuva et al. (2007) still explain that the floristic similarity index is a parameter that considers only the absence or presence of the species or set of plants, and is usually calculated according to the individual species present in the areas, neglecting density, biomass and distribution pattern information.

4. Conclusion

In the conditions of the North of Minas Gerais, the weed flora in weeds in irrigated coffee is mostly constituted by species belonging to the families Poaceae, Asteraceae and Euphorbiaceae, with greater diversity of weed species in a sprinkler irrigation system when compared to the drip system. In sprinkler-irrigated coffee plants there is a greater predominance of monocotyledonous weeds, while in drip-irrigated areas the infesting flora is predominantly composed of dicotyledonous species.
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