The Variational Sequence on Finite Jet Bundle Extensions and the Lagrangian Formalism

D. R. Grigore¹

Dept. of Theor. Phys., Inst. Atomic Phys.
Bucharest-Măgurele, P. O. Box MG 6, ROMÂNIA

Abstract

The geometric Lagrangian theory (of arbitrary order) is based on the analysis of some basic mathematical objects such as: the contact ideal, the (exact) variational sequence, the existence of Euler-Lagrange and Helmholtz-Sonin forms, etc. In this paper we give new and much simpler proofs for the whole theory using Fock space methods. Using these results we give the most general expression for a variationally trivial Lagrangian and the generic expression for a locally variational system of partial differential equation.
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1 Introduction

The modern Lagrangian theory is formulated in the language of jet bundle extensions. There are two different, but closely related approaches in this framework. One is based on infinite jet bundle extensions \([3], [2], [27]\) (and uses the so-called variational bicomplex) and the other is based on finite jet bundle extensions \([17] - [24]\) (and uses the so-called variational sequence). The second approach is more in the spirit of the original Lagrangian theory as studied in physical literature corresponding to a Lagrangian theory of arbitrary, but finite order. On the other hand, the study of the finite order seems to be much more complicated from the combinatorial point of view as it is apparent from the proofs appearing in the references quoted above. In some recent papers we have tried to prove that the simplest way to disentangle the combinatorial proofs is to use the observation that the various tensors appearing in the line of argument have some symmetry or antisymmetry properties which make them as elements in some Fock space. Then most of the relations to be solved can be written with the help of the creation and annihilation operators. Using elementary properties of these Fock space operators one can significantly simplify the proofs. This is one of the main motivation of writing this paper, i.e to clarify the technical aspects. It appears that it is convenient to write the paper in a self contained style so it will also serve as a pedagogical introduction to this field of interest.

In Section 2 we provide the basic construction - the (finite) jet bundle extension idea and give some relevant formulæ. In Sections 3 and 4 we study the contact and respectively the strong contact forms on a jet bundle extension and obtain with our method the structure of the contact ideal. In section 5 we establish the existence of the Euler-Lagrange and of Helmholtz-Sonin forms adapting to the finite jet bundle extension approach some ideas of Anderson and collaborators. The proof of the existence of the Helmholtz-Sonin form is new. In Section 6 we sketch the proof for the exactness of the variational sequence and we clarify some points about the characterization of the elements of the variational sequence by forms. In Section 7 we give the most general expression for a variationally trivial Lagrangian and in Section 8 we provide the generic expression for a locally variational partial differential system of equations using the methods developed in the preceding sections. These problems have been under intensive study for sometime in the literature but many of the results obtained here are new. In particular, we obtain in Section 8 an if and only if type of result. Section 9 is devoted to some final comments.
2 Finite Order Jet Bundle Extensions

The content of this section is standard and is included mainly to fix the notations. For the sake of completeness, we give however some proofs which are not trivial.

The kinematical structure of a classical field theory is based on fibre bundle structures. Let \( \pi : Y \mapsto X \) be fibre bundle, where \( X \) and \( Y \) are differentiable manifolds of dimensions \( \dim(X) = n \), \( \dim(Y) = m + n \) and \( \pi \) is the canonical projection of the fibration. Usually \( X \) is interpreted as the “space-time” manifold and the fibres of \( Y \) as the field variables. An adapted chart to the fibre bundle structure is a couple \((V, \psi)\) where \( V \) is an open subset of \( Y \) and \( \psi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \) is the so-called chart map, usually written as \( \psi = (x^i, y^\sigma) \) \( (i = 1, ..., n; \sigma = 1, ..., m) \) such that \( (\pi(V), \phi) \) where \( \phi = (x^i) \) \( (i = 1, ..., n) \) is a chart on \( X \) and the canonical projection has the following expression: \( \pi(x^i, y^\sigma) = (x^i) \). If \( p \in Y \) then the real numbers \( x^i(p), y^\sigma(p) \) are called the (fibred) coordinates of \( p \). For simplicity we will give up the attribute adapted sometimes in the following. Also we will refer frequently to the first entry \( V \) of \((V, \psi)\) as a chart.

Next, one considers the \( r \)-jet bundle extensions \( J^r_nY \mapsto X \) \( (r \in \mathbb{N}) \).

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( x \in X \), and \( y \in \pi^{-1}(x) \). We denote by \( \Gamma_{(x,y)} \) the set of sections \( \gamma : U \rightarrow Y \) such that: (i) \( U \) is a neighbourhood of \( x \); (ii) \( \gamma(x) = y \). We define on \( \Gamma_{(x,y)} \) the relationship “\( \gamma \sim \delta \)” iff there exists a chart \((V, \psi)\) on \( Y \) such that \( \gamma \) and \( \delta \) have the same partial derivatives up to order \( r \) in the given chart i.e.

\[
\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^{i_1}...\partial x^{i_k}} \psi \circ \gamma \circ \phi^{-1}(\phi(x)) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^{i_1}...\partial x^{i_k}} \psi \circ \delta \circ \phi^{-1}(\phi(x)), \quad k \leq r. \quad (2.1)
\]

Then this relationship is chart independent and it is an equivalence relation.

**Proof:** (i) We first prove the chart independence. Let \((V, \psi), (\bar{V}, \bar{\psi})\) be a two (adapted) chart on this bundle such that \( V \cap \bar{V} \neq \emptyset \) and let \((\pi(V), \phi), (\pi(\bar{V}), \bar{\phi})\) the corresponding charts on \( X \). If we define \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) by:

\[
f \equiv \bar{\phi} \circ \phi^{-1}
\]

then the function \( \bar{\psi} \circ \psi^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) has the following expression:

\[
\bar{\psi} \circ \psi^{-1} = (f^i, F^\sigma) \quad (2.2)
\]

where \( F \) is a smooth function from \( \mathbb{R}^n \) into \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \). Let \( \gamma \in \Gamma_{(x,y)} \); then in adapted coordinates we have the following expression:

\[
\psi \circ \gamma \circ \phi^{-1}(x^i) = (x^i, g^\sigma(x)). \quad (2.3)
\]

Similarly we have in the other chart:

\[
\bar{\psi} \circ \gamma \circ \bar{\phi}^{-1}(x^i) = (x^i, \bar{g}^\sigma(x))
\]
where:
\[ \bar{g}^\sigma(x) = F^\sigma(f^{-1}(x), g^\sigma(f^{-1}(x))) \]  

(2.4)

We must show that if \( g^\sigma \) and \( g'^\sigma \) have the same partial derivatives up to order \( r \) then \( \bar{g}^\sigma \) and \( \bar{g}'^\sigma \) have the same property. To prove this one firstly gets from the expression of \( \bar{g}^\sigma \) above that:
\[ \frac{\partial \bar{g}^\sigma}{\partial x^i} = (\Delta_i F^\sigma)(f^{-1}(x), g^\sigma(f^{-1}(x))) \]  

(2.5)

where the differential operator \( \Delta_i \) acts in the space of smooth functions \( f : \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \to \mathbb{R} \) according to:
\[ \Delta_i \equiv P^j_i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g^\sigma}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\sigma} \right) \]  

(2.6)

and \( P^j_i \) is the inverse of the matrix \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^p} : \)

\[ P^j_i \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^p} = \delta^j_p. \]  

(2.7)

From (2.5) we obtain by recurrence:
\[ \frac{\partial^k \bar{g}^\sigma}{\partial x^{i_1} \cdots \partial x^{i_k}} = (\Delta_{i_1} \cdots \Delta_{i_k} F^\sigma)(f^{-1}(x), g^\sigma(f^{-1}(x))). \]  

(2.8)

But it is clear that the expression \( \Delta_{i_1} \cdots \Delta_{i_k} F^\sigma \) contains the derivatives of the function \( g^\sigma \) only up to order \( r \). So, the chart independence of the relation \( \sim \) follows.

(ii) Using the chart independence proved above, it easily follows that \( \sim \) is an equivalence relation.

A \( r \)-order jet with source \( x \) and target \( y \) is, by definition, the equivalence class of some section \( \gamma \) with respect to the equivalence relationship defined above and it is denoted by \( j^r_x \gamma \).

Let us define \( J^r_{(x,y)} \Gamma = \Gamma_{(x,y)}/\sim \) Then the \( r \)-order jet bundle extension is, set theoretically \( J^r Y = \bigcup_{x \in X} J^r_{(x,y)} \Gamma \).

Now we define the following projections: \( \pi^{r,s} : J^r Y \to J^s Y \) \( (0 < s \leq r) \) by
\[ \pi^{r,s}(j^r_x \gamma) = j^s_x \gamma, \]  

(2.9)

\( \pi^{r,0} : J^r Y \to Y \) given by
\[ \pi^{r,0}(j^r_x \gamma) = \gamma(x). \]  

(2.10)

(this is consistent with the identification \( J^0 Y \equiv Y \)) and finally \( \pi^r : J^r Y \to X \) by
\[ \pi^r(j^r_x \gamma) = x. \]  

(2.11)

These projections are obviously surjective.

Let \( (V, \psi) \), \( \psi = (x^i, y^\sigma) \) be a chart on \( Y \). Then we define the couple \( (V^r, \psi^r) \), where: \( V^r = (\pi^{r,0})^{-1}(V) \) and
\[ \psi = (x^i, y^\sigma_j, y^\sigma_{j_1}, \ldots, y^\sigma_{j_1 \ldots j_k}, \ldots, y^\sigma_{j_1 \ldots j_r}), \quad j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r \]
where

\[ y^\sigma_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}(j_\gamma^r) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_k}} y^\sigma \circ \gamma \circ \phi^{-1} \bigg|_{\phi(x)}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r \]

\[ x^i(j_\gamma^r) = x^i(x), \quad y^\sigma(j_\gamma^r) = y^\sigma(\gamma(x)). \] (2.12)

Then \((V^r, \psi^r)\) is a chart on \(J^rY\) called the associated chart of \((V, \psi)\).

**Remark 2.1.1** The expressions \(y^\sigma_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}(j_\gamma^r)\) are defined for all indices \(j_1, \ldots, j_k = 1, \ldots, n\), and the restrictions \(j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_k\) in the definition of the charts are in order to avoid over-counting and are a result of the obvious symmetry property:

\[ y^\sigma_{j_{P(1)}, \ldots, j_{P(k)}}(j_\gamma^r) = y^\sigma_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}(j_\gamma^r), \] (2.13)

for any permutation \(P \in P_k, \quad k = 2, \ldots, r\).

Now we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.2** If a collection of (adapted) charts \((V, \psi)\) are the elements of a differentiable atlas on \(Y\) then \((V^r, \psi^r)\) are the elements of a differentiable atlas on \(J^r_n(Y)\) which admits a fibre bundle structure over \(Y\).

**Proof:**

As in theorem 2.1, we consider two non-overlapping charts \((V, \psi)\), \((\tilde{V}, \tilde{\psi})\) and let \((\pi(V), \phi)\), \((\pi(\tilde{V}), \tilde{\phi})\) the corresponding charts on \(X\). If we define \(f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n\) and \(F : \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \to \mathbb{R}^m\) as in the proof of this theorem, then we have

\[ \tilde{\psi}^r \circ (\psi^r)^{-1} = (f^i, F_j^\sigma, F_j^\sigma, \ldots, F_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma) \] (2.14)

where \(F_j^\sigma, j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r\) are functions depending on the variables \((x^i, y^\sigma, y_j^\sigma, \ldots, y_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma, \ldots, y_{j_1, \ldots, j_r}^\sigma)\).

We must prove that these functions are smooth. To this purpose we obtain an rather explicit formula for them. Inspecting the notations from theorem 2.1 it is clear that

\[ y^\sigma_{j_1, \ldots, j_k} = \frac{\partial g^\sigma}{\partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_k}} \bigg|_{\phi(x)} \] (2.15)

and

\[ \tilde{y}^\sigma_{j_1, \ldots, j_k} = \frac{\partial \tilde{g}^\sigma}{\partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_k}} \bigg|_{\tilde{\phi}(x)}. \]

But we have an explicit formula for the last expression, namely (2.8). By induction one proves that the expression \(\Delta_{i_1} \cdots \Delta_{i_k} F_l^\sigma\) is a polynomial in the expressions

\[ g^\sigma_{j_1, \ldots, j_l} = \frac{\partial g^\sigma}{\partial x^{j_1} \cdots \partial x^{j_k}} \bigg|_{\phi(x)}, \quad l = 1, \ldots, k \]
with coefficients depending only on \((x^i, y^\sigma)\). But we immediately have
\[
\Delta_j g_{j_2,\ldots,j_l}^\sigma = P_{j_1}^i g_{j_2,\ldots,j_l}^\sigma, \quad l = 1, \ldots, k.
\]
So one can replace \(\Delta_i \to \tilde{\Delta}_i\) where \(\tilde{\Delta}_i\) is an operator acting on polynomials in \(g_{j_1,\ldots,j_l}^\sigma, \quad l = 1, \ldots, k\) with coefficients depending only on \((x^i, y^\sigma)\) according to
\[
\tilde{\Delta}_j g_{j_2,\ldots,j_l}^\sigma = P_{j_1}^i g_{j_2,\ldots,j_l}^\sigma, \quad l = 1, \ldots, k.
\]
and
\[
\tilde{\Delta}_j f = \Delta_j f
\]
on the coefficients which are functions depending only on \((x^i, y^\sigma)\).

Taking into account (2.15) it follows that \(F_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}^\sigma, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r\) is a polynomial in \(y_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}^\sigma, j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \ldots \leq j_k \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, r\) according to the formula (2.18) and we make a similar convention for the partial derivatives \(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}^\sigma}\).

Then we define on the chart \(V^r\) the following vector fields:
\[
\partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^\sigma \equiv \frac{r!}{k!} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^\sigma}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r \tag{2.16}
\]
for all values of the indices \(j_1, \ldots, j_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\). Here \(r_l, \quad l = 1, \ldots, n\) is the number of times the index \(l\) enters into the set \(\{j_1, \ldots, j_k\}\).

One can easily verify the following formulæ:
\[
\partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^\sigma y_{j_1,\ldots,j_l}^\nu = 0, \quad (k \neq l) \tag{2.17}
\]
\[
\partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^\sigma y_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}^\nu = S_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}^+ \delta_{i_1}^j \ldots \delta_{i_k}^j \tag{2.18}
\]
where \(S_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}^+\) is the symmetrization projector operator in the indices \(j_1, \ldots, j_k\) defined by the formula (10.8) from the Appendix.

Also we have for any smooth function \(f\) on the chart \(V^r\):
\[
df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i + \sum_{k=0}^r (\partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^\sigma f) dy_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^\sigma = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i + \sum_{|J| \leq r} (\partial_J^f) dy_J^\sigma. \tag{2.19}
\]
In the last formula we have introduced the multi-index notations in an obvious way. This formula also shows that the coefficients appearing in the definition (2.16) are exactly what is needed to use the summation convention over the dummy indices without overcounting.

We now define the expressions
\[
d_i^\sigma \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} y_{i,j_1,\ldots,j_k}^\sigma \partial_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^\sigma \tag{2.20}
\]
called formal derivatives. When it is no danger of confusion we denote simply \(d_i = d_i^\sigma\).
Remark 2.2.1 The formal derivatives are not vector fields on \( J^r Y \).

Next one immediately sees that
\[
d_i y_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma = y_{i, j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma, \quad k = 0, \ldots, r - 1
\]  
and one can obtain from theorem 2.2 a recurrence relation for the chart transformation formulæ:

Lemma 2.3 The following recurrence relation are valid for the chart transformation expressions (2.14):
\[
F_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma = Q_{j_1}^l d_l F_{j_2, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma, \quad k = 1, \ldots, r - 1.
\]  
In particular, the functions \( F_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma \) are polynomial expressions in the variables \( y_j^\sigma, \ldots, y_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma \) (with smooth coefficients depending on \((x^i, y^\sigma)}) for all \( k = 1, \ldots, r \).

From the definition of the formal derivatives it easily follows by direct computation that:

\[
\left[ \partial_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma, d_i \right] = 1 \quad k \sum_{l=1}^k \delta_{j_l}^i \partial_{j_1, \ldots, j_k}^\sigma, \quad k = 0, \ldots, r
\]

where we use Bourbaki conventions \( \sum_\emptyset \equiv 0, \prod_\emptyset \equiv 1 \).

Based on this relation one gets:
\[
[d_i, d_j] = 0
\]
so one can consistently define for any multi-index
\[
d_J \equiv \prod_{i \in J} d_i.
\]

If \( \gamma \) is a section of the fibre bundle \( Y \) then the map \( X \ni x \mapsto j^r_x \gamma \in J^r Y \) is section of the fibre bundle \( J^r Y \) called the \( r \)-extension of \( \gamma \). We denote it by: \( j^r \gamma : V \to J^r Y \).

Then one can define a map \( h : T(J^{r+1}Y) \to T(J^r Y) \) called horizontalization by
\[
h = h_{j^{r+1}_x} \equiv (j^r \gamma)^*_x \circ (\pi^{r+1})^*_x j^{r+1}_x \gamma.
\]

If \( \xi \in T_{j^{r+1}_x} (J^{r+1}Y) \) then \( h \xi \) is called its horizontal component. We will also define
\[
p \xi \equiv (\pi^{r+1})^* \xi - h \xi.
\]

Let \( \pi_i : Y_i \to X_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \) be two fibre bundles. Then a map \( \phi : Y_1 \to Y_2 \) is called a fibre bundle morphism if there is a map \( \phi_0 : X_1 \to X_2 \) such that
\[
\pi_2 \circ \phi = \phi_0 \circ \pi_1;
\]
one also says that \( \phi \) covers \( \phi_0 \).

In this case, one can define the \( r \)-order jet extension of \( \phi \) as the the map \( j^r \phi : J^r Y_1 \to J^r Y_2 \) given by
\[
(j^r \phi)(j^r_x \gamma) \equiv j^r_{\phi_0(x)} \phi \circ \gamma \circ \phi_0^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in X_1.
\]
If $\xi$ is a projectable vector field on the fibre bundle $Y$ i.e. there is a vector field $\xi_0$ on $X$ such that $\xi_0 = \pi_* \xi$, then the flow $\phi^\xi_t$ associated to $\xi$ covers the flow $\phi^{\xi_0}_t$ associated to $\xi_0$ so we can define the \textit{$r$-order extension} of $\xi$ by

$$
j^r\xi \equiv \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} j^r \phi^\xi_t. \quad (2.29)$$

The vector field $j^r\xi$ is projectable.

A $\pi$-vertical vector field on the fibre bundle $Y$ is called an \textit{evolution}. In the chart $(V, \psi)$ an evolution has the expression:

$$\xi = \xi^\sigma \partial_\sigma \quad (2.30)$$

with $\xi^\sigma$ smooth functions on the chart $V$. One denotes the set of evolutions by $\mathcal{E}(J^rY)$; this set is a fibre bundle over $J^rY$.

We denote the forms of degree $q$ on $J^rY$ by $\Omega^*_q$. A form $\rho \in \Omega^*_q$ is called $\pi^r$-\textit{horizontal} (or \textit{basic}) if $i_\xi \rho = 0$ for any $\pi^r$-vertical vector field on $J^rY$. In local coordinates such a form has the following expression:

$$\rho = B_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} \quad (2.31)$$

with $B_{i_1,\ldots,i_q}$ smooth symmetric functions on $V^r$. We denote the set of basic forms of degree $q$ by $\Omega^r_{q,X}$. The elements of $\lambda \in \Omega^r_{n,X}$ are called \textit{Lagrange forms}. They have the local expression

$$\lambda = L \theta_0 \quad (2.32)$$

where $L$ is a smooth function on $V^r$ and

$$\theta_0 \equiv dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n. \quad (2.33)$$

We also define some generalisation of the horizontal forms for $q > n$. We say that $\rho \in \Omega^r_q$ with $q > n$ is \textit{horizontal} if

$$i_{\xi_1} \cdots i_{\xi_{q-n+1}} \rho = 0 \quad (2.34)$$

for any vector fields $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{q-n+1}$ which are $\pi^r$-vertical. The local expression of such a form in the chart $(V^r, \psi^r)$ is:

$$\rho = \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_{q-n}| \leq r} A^{J_1,\ldots,J_{q-n}}_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_{q-n}} dy^{\nu_1}_{J_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\nu_{q-n}}_{J_{q-n}} \wedge \theta_0 \quad (2.35)$$

with $A^{J_1,\ldots,J_{q-n}}_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_{q-n}}$ smooth functions on $V^r$ with appropriate symmetry properties. We conserve the notation $\Omega^r_{q,X}$ for these forms.
3 Contact Forms

In this section we will give a new proof for the structure formula of the contact forms. This problem was solved by different methods in [23] (a sketch of the proof also appears in [21]). Our proof is based on some Fock space methods, as said in the Introduction. These kind of methods have been employed recently for related problems [10], [11]. We start with the basic definitions and some elementary properties.

3.1 Basic Definitions and Properties

By a contact form we mean any form \( \rho \in \Omega^r_q \) verifying
\[
(j^r \gamma)^* \rho = 0
\]
for any section \( \gamma \). We denote by \( \Omega^r_q(c) \) the set of contact forms of degree \( q \leq n \). If one considers only the contact forms on an open set \( V \subset Y \) then we emphasize this by writing \( \Omega^r_q(c)(V) \).

One immediately notes that \( \Omega^r_0(c) = 0 \) and that for \( q > n \) any \( q \)-form is contact. It is also elementary to see that the set of all contact forms is an ideal, denoted by \( \mathcal{C}(\Omega^r) \), with respect to the operation \( \wedge \). Because the operations of pull-back and of differentiation are commuting this ideal is left invariant by exterior differentiation:
\[
d\mathcal{C}(\Omega^r) \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega^r).
\]

By elementary computations one finds out that for any chart \((V, \psi)\) on \( Y \), every element of the set \( \Omega^r_1(c)(V) \) is a linear combination of the following expressions:
\[
\omega_{j_1,\ldots,j_k} = dy_{j_1}^{\sigma} \cdots dy_{j_k}^{\sigma} - y_{\sigma_{i,j_1,\ldots,j_k}}^{\sigma}dx_i, \quad k = 0,\ldots,r-1
\]
or, in multi-index notations
\[
\omega_{J}^{\sigma} = dy_{J}^{\sigma} - y_{\sigma_{I,J}}^{\sigma}dx_i, \quad |J| \leq r - 1.
\]

From the definition above it is clear that the linear subspace of the 1-forms is generated by \( dx^i, \omega_{J}^{\sigma}, \quad (|J| \leq r - 1) \) and \( dy_{J}^{\sigma}, |I| = r \).

Formula (2.19) can be now written as follows: for any smooth function on \( V \) we have
\[
df = (d_if)dx^i + \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} (\partial_{j_1}^\sigma f)\omega_{J}^{\sigma} + \sum_{|I|=r} (\partial_{j_1}^\sigma f)dy_{J}^{\sigma}.
\]

We also have the formula
\[
d\omega_{J}^{\sigma} = -\omega_{J}^{\sigma} \wedge dx^i, \quad |J| \leq r - 2.
\]

Let us now consider an arbitrary form \( \rho \in \Omega^r_q, \quad q > 1 \). For any \( k = 0,\ldots,q \) we define its contact component of order \( k \) to be the form \( p_k\rho \in \Omega^r_{q+1} \) given by:
\[
p_k\rho(j^{r+1}\gamma)(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_q) = \frac{1}{k!(q-k)!} \varepsilon^{j_1,\ldots,j_q} \rho(j^{r}\gamma)(p\xi_{j_1},\ldots,p\xi_{j_k},h\xi_{j_{k+1}},\ldots,h\xi_q).
\]
One usually calls \( h\rho \equiv p_0\rho \) and \( p\rho \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{q} p_k\rho \) the horizontal component and respectively the contact component of \( \rho \). It is useful to particularize the definition above for the case \( k = 0 \):

\[
h\rho(\xi_1, ..., \xi_q) = \rho(h\xi_1, ..., h\xi_q).
\]  

(3.8)

A form \( \rho \in \Omega_q^r \) is called \( k \)-contact if \( p_j\rho = 0 \), \( \forall j \neq k \) and one says that it has the contact order greater that \( k \) if \( p_j\rho = 0 \), \( \forall j \leq k - 1 \).

Now we have a decomposition property, namely

**Proposition 3.1** For any \( \rho \in \Omega_q^r \) the following formula is valid:

\[
(\pi^{r+1,r})^* \rho = \sum_{k=0}^{q} p_k\rho.
\]

(3.9)

**Proof:** One starts from the definition of the pull-back and use (2.27) to get

\[
(\pi^{r+1,r})^* \rho(j^{r+1,x}\gamma)(\xi_1, ..., \xi_q) = \rho(j^r x\gamma)(h\xi_1 + p\xi_1, ..., h\xi_q + p\xi_q).
\]

Then one derives the formula in the statement if one uses the definition (3.7) and the following combinatorial lemma:

**Lemma 3.2** Let \( L \) and \( M \) be linear finite dimensional spaces and \( \omega : \underbrace{L \times \cdots \times L}_{q\text{-times}} \to M \) a linear and antisymmetric map. Then the following formula is true:

\[
\omega(a_1 + b_1, ..., a_q + b_q) = \varepsilon^{i_1, ..., i_q} \sum_{k=0}^{q} \frac{1}{k!(q-k)!} \omega(a_{i_1}, ..., a_{i_k}, b_{i_{k+1}}, ..., b_{i_q})
\]

(3.10)

for all \( a_1, ..., a_q, b_1, ..., b_q \in L \).

**Proof:** Is straightforward by induction on \( q \). \( \square \)

One can use the proposition above to deduce that \( \rho \) is contact iff it verifies \( h\rho = 0 \) and that the expressions \( p_1\rho, ..., p_q\rho \) are contact forms in the sense of the definition given at the beginning of this Section. Finally we remind the fact that the horizontalization operation \( h : \Omega^r \to \Omega^{r+1} \)

verifies the properties:

\[
h(\mu + \nu) = h\mu + h\nu, \quad h(\mu \land \nu) = h\mu \land h\nu
\]

(3.11)

for any forms \( \mu, \nu \in \Omega^r \),

\[
hdx^i = dx^i, \quad hdy^g_j = y^g_{j*}dx^i \quad (|J| \leq r)
\]

(3.12)

and also

\[
hf = (\pi^{r+1,r})*f = f \circ \pi^{r+1,r}
\]

(3.13)

for all smooth functions on \( V^r \). Moreover, these three properties given above determine uniquely the map \( h \).
3.2 The Structure Theorem for Contact Forms

In this subsection we give a new proof to the following fact.

**Theorem 3.3** Let \((V,\psi)\) an adapted chart on the fibre bundle \(Y\) and let \(\rho \in \Omega^r_q(Y), \ q = 2,\ldots, n\). Then \(\rho\) is contact iff it has the following expression in the associated chart:

\[
\rho = \sum_{|J|\leq r-1} \omega^J \wedge \Phi^J + \sum_{|I|=r-1} d\omega^I \wedge \Psi^I
\]

(3.14)

where \(\Phi^J \in \Omega^{r-1}_q\) and \(\Psi^I \in \Omega^{r-2}_q\) can be arbitrary forms on \(V^r\). (We adopt the convention that \(\Omega^r_q \equiv 0, \forall q < 0\).

**Proof:** (i) If \(\rho\) has the expression (3.14) one uses (3.11) to obtain that it is a contact form. We now concentrate on the converse statement. Firstly we need a canonical expression for any \(\rho \in \Omega^r_q\). We start from the fact that the forms \(dx^i, \ \omega^J \ (|J| \leq r - 1)\) and \(dy^I \ (|I| = r)\) are a basis in the linear space of 1-forms. The form \(\rho\) is a polynomial of degree \(q\) in these forms (with respect to the product \(\wedge\)). We separate all the terms containing at least a factor \(\omega^J\) and get a decomposition

\[
\rho = \rho_0 + \rho'
\]

(3.15)

where \(\rho_0\) has the structure

\[
\rho_0 = \sum_{|J|\leq r-1} \omega^J \wedge \Phi^J
\]

and \(\rho'\) is a polynomial of degree \(q\) only in \(dx^i\) and \(dy^I\) \((|I| = r)\). It is clear the one can write it as follows:

\[
\rho' = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_s|=r} A^{I_1,...,I_s}_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q} dy^\sigma_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^\sigma_{I_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q}
\]

(3.17)

where \(A^{I_1,...,I_s}_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q}\) are smooth functions on \(V^r\) and can be assumed to verify the following symmetry property:

\[
A^{I_{P(1)},...,I_{P(s)}}_{\sigma_{P(1)},...,\sigma_{P(s)},i_{Q(s+1)},...,i_{Q(q)}} = (-1)^{|P|+|Q|} A^{I_1,...,I_s}_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q}
\]

(3.18)

for any permutations \(P \in \mathcal{P}_s, \ Q \in \mathcal{P}_{q-s}\).

One must impose the condition \(h\rho = h\rho' = 0\). Using the relations (3.11) and (3.12) one gets

\[
h\rho' = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_s|=r} A^{I_1,...,I_s}_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q} y_{I_{i_1}}^{\sigma_1} \cdots y_{I_{i_s}}^{\sigma_s} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q}
\]

so the relation above is equivalent to

\[
S_{i_1,...,i_q}^{i_{s+1},...,i_{s+1}} \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_s|=r} A^{I_1,...,I_s}_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q} y_{I_{i_1}}^{\sigma_1} \cdots y_{I_{i_s}}^{\sigma_s} = 0, \ s = 0,...,q.
\]

(3.19)
Here $S^{-}_{i_{1},...,i_{q}}$ is the antisymmetrization operator in the indices $i_{1},...,i_{q}$ defined by the formula (10.8) from the Appendix.

We apply to the relation above derivative operators of the type $\partial_{\nu}^{I_{\nu}}$, $|I_{\nu}| = r + 1$ and obtain, taking into account the symmetry property (3.18) the following relations (see [21], [23]):

$$A_{i_{1},...,i_{q}} = 0 \quad (3.20)$$

$$S^{-}_{i_{1},...,i_{q}} S^{+}_{I_{1}p_{1}} \cdots S^{+}_{I_{s}p_{s}} A^{I_{1}...,I_{s}}_{\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{s},i_{s+1},...,i_{q}} \delta_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots \delta_{i_{s}}^{p_{s}} = 0, \quad s = 1, ..., q. \quad (3.21)$$

(ii) We analyse in detail the relation above. This is the point where we depart from the idea of the proof from [23]. Let us introduce the following tensor spaces:

$$H_{s} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{-}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(+)}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(+)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{(+)}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ are the symmetric (corresp. +) and the antisymmetric (corresp. −) Fock spaces (see the Appendix). We have the well known decomposition in subspaces with fixed number of “bosons” and “fermions”:

$$H_{s} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{r} \bigoplus_{l_{1},...,l_{s} \geq 0} H_{k,l_{1},...,l_{s}}.$$

We make the convention that $H_{k,l_{1},...,l_{s}} \equiv 0$ if any one of the indices $k, l_{1}, ..., l_{s}$ is negative or if $k > n$. Then we can consider $A^{I_{1}...,I_{s}}_{\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{s},i_{s+1},...,i_{q}}$ as the components of a tensor

$$A_{\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{s}} \in H_{q-s,r_{1},...,r_{s}} \quad (s \text{ times})$$

We can write in an extremely compact way the relation (3.21) if we use the creation and the annihilation fermionic operators $a^{*vi}, a_{i}$, $(i = 1, ..., n)$ and the corresponding creation and annihilation bosonic operators $b^{*}_{(\alpha)i}, b_{(\alpha)}(\alpha = 1, ..., s; i = 1, ..., n)$. We will using conventions somewhat different from that used in quantum mechanics (see the Appendix). One introduces the operators

$$B_{\alpha} \equiv b^{*}_{(\alpha)i} a^{*vi}, \quad (\alpha = 1, ..., s) \quad (3.22)$$

and proves by elementary computations that the relations (3.21) are equivalent to:

$$B_{1} \cdots B_{s} A_{\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{s}} = 0, \quad (s = 1, ..., q). \quad (3.23)$$

Indeed, it is elementary to check that we have for instance:

$$(B_{1}A)^{j_{0},...,j_{k}}_{\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{s},i_{0},...,i_{l}} A^{j_{1},...,j_{k}}_{\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{s},i_{0},...,i_{l}} = S^{+}_{j_{0},...,j_{k}} S^{-}_{i_{0},...,i_{l}} A^{j_{1},...,j_{k}}_{\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{s},i_{0},...,i_{l}} \delta_{i_{0}}^{j_{0}}. \quad (3.24)$$

and similarly for $\alpha = 2, ..., s$.

(iii) Equations of the type appearing in the (3.23) can be completely analysed with the help of two lemmas, which are the backbone of our paper. Firstly we analyse the case $s = q$. In this case $A_{\sigma_{1},...,\sigma_{q}} \in H_{0,r_{1},...,r_{q}} \quad (q \text{ times})$.
Lemma 3.4 Let $X \in \mathcal{H}_{0,r_1,\ldots,r_q}$, $(r_1, \ldots, r_q \in \mathbb{N}; \ q = 2, \ldots, n)$ verifying the relation
\[ B_1 \cdots B_q X = 0. \] (3.25)
Then $X = 0$.

Proof: We use complete induction over $n$. For $n = 2$ we have only the case $q = 2$. The generic form of $X$ is
\[ X = \sum_{i=0}^{r_1} \sum_{j=0}^{r_2} c_{i,j} (b^*_{(1)1})^i (b^*_{(1)2})^{r_1-i} (b^*_{(2)1})^j (b^*_{(2)2})^{r_2-j} \Omega; \]
here $\Omega$ is the vacuum vector in $\mathcal{H}$. We extend the coefficients $c_{i,j}$ to all integer values of the indices by taking $c_{i,j} = 0$ if $i$ (or $j$) is outside the set $\{1, \ldots, r_1\}$ (or $\{1, \ldots, r_2\}$). One computes that the relation $B_1 B_2 X = 0$ is equivalent to $c_{i,j} - 1 = c_{i-1,j}$; this relation gives by recurrence that $c_{i,j} = 0$, $\forall i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$ i.e. $X = 0$.

We assume the assertion from the statement to be true for a given $n$ and we prove it for $n + 1$. In this case the indices will take the values $0, 1, \ldots, n$ and we must make the substitution $B_\alpha \to \tilde{B}_\alpha \equiv B_\alpha + b^*_{(\alpha)0} a^* \alpha$, $(\alpha = 1, \ldots, q)$.

By hypothesis we have
\[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{q} \tilde{B}_\alpha X = 0. \]
One immediately shows this to be equivalent to the following two equations:
\[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{q} B_\alpha X = 0 \] (3.26)
and
\[ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{q} (-1)^{\alpha-1} b^*_{(\alpha)0} B_1 \cdots \tilde{B}_\alpha \cdots B_q X = 0. \] (3.27)

The generic expression for $X$ is
\[ X = \sum_{t_1=0}^{r_1} \cdots \sum_{t_q=0}^{r_q} (b^*_{(1)})^{t_1} \cdots (b^*_{(q)})^{t_q} X_{t_1,\ldots,t_q} \] (3.28)
where $X_{t_1,\ldots,t_q} \in \mathcal{H}_{0,r_1-t_1,\ldots,r_q-t_q}$ are tensors obtained from the vacuum by applying only polynomials in the creation operators $b^*_{(\alpha)}$, $(\alpha = 1, \ldots, n; 1 = 1, \ldots, n)$.

Then the equation (3.26) is equivalent to
\[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{q} B_\alpha X_{t_1,\ldots,t_q} = 0, \forall t_1, \ldots, t_q \in \mathbb{Z}. \] (3.29)

If $q \leq n$ we can apply the induction hypothesis and obtain $X_{t_1,\ldots,t_q} = 0, \forall t_1, \ldots, t_q \in \mathbb{Z}$ i.e. $X = 0$. So it remains to study only the case $q = n + 1$. In this case one notices that the
equation (3.26) becomes an identity because \( \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} B_\alpha = 0 \); indeed, in the left hand side, at least one of the operators \( a^*_i \), \( (i = 1, \ldots, n) \) appears twice. So, in this case we are left with the second equation (3.27):

\[
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{\alpha-1} b^{*0}_\alpha B_1 \cdots \hat{B}_\alpha \cdots B_{n+1} X = 0. \tag{3.30}
\]

One must substitute here the generic expression for \( X \) (3.28) and the following relation is produced:

\[
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{\alpha-1} b^{*0}_\alpha B_1 \cdots \hat{B}_\alpha \cdots B_{n+1} X_{t_1,\ldots,t_{\alpha-1},t_{\alpha-1},t_{\alpha+1},\ldots,t_{n+1}} = 0, \forall t_1,\ldots,t_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.31}
\]

This relation can be used to prove that \( X = 0 \). We outline the argument. First we take \( t_1 = t + 1 \) \( (t \geq 0) \), \( t_2 = \cdots = t_{n+1} = 0 \) in the relation above and get

\[
B_2 \cdots B_{n+1} X_{t,0,\ldots,0} = 0.
\]

The induction hypothesis can be applied and we get \( X_{t,0,\ldots,0} = 0 \), \( \forall t \in \mathbb{Z} \). Similarly, one can obtain \( X_{0,\ldots,0,t,0,\ldots,0} = 0 \), \( \forall t \in \mathbb{Z} \) where the index \( t \) can be positioned anywhere.

Now one can prove, by induction on \( p \) that \( X_{t_1,\ldots,t_{n+1}} = 0 \) if at least \( n - p + 1 \) indices are equal to zero. For \( p = 1 \) this statement has just have been proved above. We accept it for a given \( p \) and prove it for \( p + 1 \). We take in (3.31) \( t_{p+2} = \cdots t_{n+1} = 0 \) and obtain

\[
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{\alpha-1} b^{*0}_\alpha B_1 \cdots \hat{B}_\alpha \cdots B_{n+1} X_{t_1,\ldots,t_{\alpha-1},t_{\alpha-1},t_{\alpha+1},\ldots,t_{n+1}} = 0. \tag{3.32}
\]

If \( t_1 = t, t_2 = t_3 = \cdots = t_{p+1} = 1 \) one can use the induction hypothesis to get:

\[
X_{t,1,\ldots,1,0,\ldots,0} = 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Now one uses the relation above and (3.32) to prove that

\[
X_{t_1,\ldots,t_{p+1},0,\ldots,0} = 0; \tag{3.33}
\]

this is done by recurrence on \( t_2 + t_3 + \cdots + t_{p+1} \).

Evidently the argument leading to the relation (3.33) works in the same way for any positioning of the \( p + 1 \) indices. The induction is finished and we get \( X_{t_1,\ldots,t_{n+1}} = 0 \), \( \forall t_1,\ldots,t_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \) i.e. \( X = 0 \). \( \nabla \)

If we apply this lemma to the equation (3.23) for \( s = q \) we get

\[
A_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_q}^{t_1,\ldots,t_q} = 0. \tag{3.34}
\]
(iv) We still have to analyse the case $0 < s < q$ of the equation \((3.23)\). We can analyse immediately the case $s = 1$ i.e. the equation
\[ B_1 X = 0 \] 
if we use \((10.16)\); we get that
\[ (n + r - q + 1)X = BB^* X \]
i.e. $X$ is of the form
\[ X = BX_1 \] 
for some $X_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{q-2,r-1}$.

(v) We generalize this result to all $s = 1, \ldots, q - 1$.

**Lemma 3.5** *Let $X \in \mathcal{H}_{k,r_1,\ldots,r_s}$, $0 \leq k < n$, $0 < s \leq n$, $s + k \leq n$ Then $X$ verifies the equation
\[ B_1 \cdots B_s X = 0 \] iff it is of the form
\[ X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_\alpha X_\alpha \] for some $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{k-1,r_1,\ldots,r_{\alpha-1},r_{\alpha-1},r_{\alpha+1},\ldots,r_s}$.*

**Proof:**
From \((10.13)\) the implication \((3.38) \Rightarrow (3.37)\) is obvious. We prove now the converse statement, as before, by induction on $n$. For $n = 2$ we can have $k = 0, 1$. In the first case the statement is true according to lemma 3.4 and the second case was analysed before at (iv). We suppose that the statement is true for a given $n$ and we prove it for $n + 1$. With the same notations as in lemma 3.4 we have an equation of the type:
\[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{s} \tilde{B}_\alpha X = 0. \] 
where
\[ \tilde{B}_\alpha \equiv B_\alpha + b_{(\alpha)0} a^{*0}, \quad (\alpha = 1, \ldots, q). \]
The generic form for $X$ is
\[ X = X_0 + a^{*0} Z \] with $X_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{k,r_1,\ldots,r_s}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{H}_{k-1,r_1,\ldots,r_s}$ tensors obtained from the vacuum by applying only polynomials in $a^{*i}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) and $b_{(\alpha)\mu}$ ($\mu = 0, \ldots, n; \alpha = 1, \ldots, s$).
The equation \((3.39)\) becomes equivalent to the following two equations:
\[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_\alpha X_0 = 0 \]
and
\[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} Z = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} (-1)^{s-\alpha} b^{*}_{(\alpha)} B_{1} \cdots \hat{B}_{\alpha} \cdots B_{s} X_{0}. \] \tag{3.42}

As in lemma 3.4, the generic form of \( X_{0} \) is
\[ X_{0} = \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{r_{1}} \cdots \sum_{t_{q}=0}^{r_{q}} \left( b_{(1)}^{*0} \right)^{t_{1}} \cdots \left( b_{(q)}^{*0} \right)^{t_{q}} X_{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{q}}. \] \tag{3.43}

Then the equation (3.41) is equivalent to
\[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} X_{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{q}} = 0, \quad \forall t_{1}, \ldots, t_{q} \in \mathbb{Z}. \] \tag{3.44}

We have two distinct cases:

(a) \( k < n \) and \( s \leq n \)

In this case we can apply the induction hypothesis to the relation above and obtain in the end that \( X_{0} \) is of the following form:
\[ X_{0} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}. \]

If we introduce this expression into the equation (3.42) we easily get:
\[ \prod_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} \left( Z - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} b^{*}_{(\alpha)} X_{\alpha} \right) = 0 \]
so again we can apply the induction hypothesis to obtain that \( Z \) has the following structure:
\[ Z = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} b^{*}_{(\alpha)} X_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}. \]

Now we define
\[ \tilde{X}_{\alpha} \equiv X_{\alpha} - a^{*0} Z_{\alpha} \]
and obtain from the previous relations that
\[ X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \tilde{B}_{\alpha} \tilde{X}_{\alpha} \]
which finishes the proof.

(b) If \( k = n \) then from the restrictions on \( s \) and \( k \) we necessarily have \( s = 1 \) and we can use (iv). If \( s = n + 1 \) then the same restrictions fix \( k = 0 \) and we can apply lemma 3.4.

We can apply the lemma above to the equation (3.23) for the cases \( s = 2, \ldots, q - 1 \) and obtain that the tensors \( A_{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{s}} \) have the following structure
\[ A_{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{s}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} X_{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{s}}^{\alpha} \]
for some tensors $X_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s}^\alpha$.

Now it is the moment to use again full index notation. The relation above means that the expression $A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q}$ is a sum of terms such that every term has at least a factor of the type $\delta_j^i$ where the index $j$ belongs to some $I_p$ and the index $i$ is one of the indices $i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q$. That’s it if, say, $I_1 = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ then

$$A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q} = \sum_{u=1}^r \sum_{v=s+1}^q \delta_{i_u}^{j_v} A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_u, \ldots, i_q} + \cdots.$$ 

If we substitute the preceding relation into the expression of $\rho'$ (see (3.17)) we obtain a sum of contributions of the type

$$\tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q} dy_{\{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}} \wedge dy_{\{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_u} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q}$$

i.e. a sum of terms containing the expression $dy_{\{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}} \wedge dx_{i_u} = -d\omega_{\{j_1, \ldots, j_u, \ldots, j_r\}}$.

So the contribution $\rho'$ to the contact form $\rho$ form gives the second terms from the statement of the theorem (v. the formulæ (3.15) and (3.14)).

Let us note for further use that one can combine lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 in a single result:

**Lemma 3.6** Let $X \in \mathcal{H}_{k,r_1, \ldots, r_s}$ Then $X$ verifies the equation (3.37) iff it is of the form

$$X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s B_\alpha X_\alpha$$

(3.45)

for some $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{k-1, r_1, \ldots, r_\alpha-1, r_\alpha-1, r_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, r_s}$.

**Remark 3.6.1** One can show in fact that the decomposition of an arbitrary form given by the formulæ (3.13), (2.17) and (3.17) can be refined with the help of the so called trace decomposition identity [24]. Although we do not need this more refined decomposition we will provide an alternative proof of this fact, based on the same tricks, in the Appendix. This will emphasize once more the power of our method.
3.3 Some Properties of the Contact Forms

We start with the transformation formula for the contact forms. We have:

**Proposition 3.7** Let \((V, \psi)\) and \((\bar{V}, \bar{\psi})\) be two overlapping charts on \(Y\). Then on \(V^r \cap \bar{V}^r\) the following formula is true:

\[
\bar{\omega}^r_I = \sum_{|J| \leq |I|} (\partial^J y_I^\sigma) \bar{\omega}^r_{\bar{J}} \quad (|I| \leq r-1).
\]

(3.46)

**Proof:** The proof is based on simple manipulations of the formula (2.19), the definition (3.3) of the 1-contact forms and use is also made of lemma 2.3.

An element \(T \in \Omega^n_{n+1,X}\) is called a differential equation if \(i_\xi T = 0\) for any \(\pi^*\)-1-vertical vector field. In the chart \(V^s\) the differential equation \(T\) has the following expression:

\[
T = T_\sigma \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0.
\]

(3.47)

(see (2.35)). Using (3.46) one can indeed see that \(T\) has this form in any chart; explicitly, the transformation formula is:

\[
T_\sigma = \mathcal{J}(\partial_\sigma y^r) \bar{T}_\nu
\]

(3.48)

where \(\mathcal{J}\) is the Jacobian of the chart transformation on \(X\):

\[
\mathcal{J} \equiv \det \left( \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^j} \right).
\]

(3.49)

If \(\gamma\) is a section of the fibre bundle \(\pi: Y \to X\) then on says that it verifies the differential equation \(T\) iff we have

\[
(j^s \gamma)^* i_Z T = 0
\]

(3.50)

for any vector field \(Z\) on \(J^s Y\). In local coordinates we have on \(V^s\):

\[
T_\sigma \circ j^s \gamma = 0 \quad (\sigma = 1, \ldots, m).
\]

(3.51)

Another important property of the contact ideal is that it behaves naturally with respect to prolongations. More precisely, let \(\pi_i : Y_i \to X_i, \quad i = 1, 2\) be two fibre bundles and \(\phi : Y_1 \to Y_2\) a fibre bundle morphism. Then the prolongation \(j^r \phi\) (defined in the end of section 2) verifies:

\[
(j^r \phi)^* C(\Omega^r(Y_1)) \subset C(\Omega^r(Y_2)).
\]

(3.52)

The proof follows directly from the definition of a contact form. As a consequence, if \(\xi\) is a projectable vector field on the fibre bundle \(Y\), then the Lie derivative of \(j^r \xi\) leaves the contact ideal invariant:

\[
L_{j^r \xi} C(\Omega^r(Y)) \subset C(\Omega^r(Y)).
\]

(3.53)

This formula can be used to find out the explicit expression of \(j^r \xi\). Indeed, if in the chart \((V, \psi)\) we have

\[
\xi = a^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + b^\sigma(x, y) \partial_\sigma
\]

(3.54)
with $a^i$ and $b^\sigma$ smooth function, then $j^r \xi$ must have the following expression in the associated chart $(V^r, \psi^r)$:

$$j^r \xi = a^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{|J| \leq r} b^\sigma_J \partial^J_\sigma.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.55)

One imposes an equivalent form of (3.53), namely

$$L_j^r \xi \omega^\sigma_J \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega^r(Y)), \quad |J| \leq r - 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.56)

The left hand side of this relation can be computed explicitly:

$$L_j^r \xi \omega^\sigma_J = (d_i b^\sigma_J - b^\sigma_J y^\sigma_i d_i a^l) dx^i + \sum_{|I|=r} |J| \leq r (\partial^I_\nu b^\sigma_J) dy^\nu_I + \text{contact terms}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.57)

The following recurrence formula for the coefficients $b^\sigma_J$ follows:

$$b^\sigma_{J_1} = d_i b^\sigma_J - y^\sigma_i d_i a^l, \quad |J| \leq r - 1;$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.58)

we also have:

$$\partial^I_\nu b^\sigma_J = 0, \quad |I| = r.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.59)

In particular, if $\xi$ is an evolution i.e. it has the local expression (2.30), then we have

$$j^r \xi = \sum_{|J| \leq r} (d_j \xi^\sigma) \partial^J_\sigma.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.60)

One may wonder what is the expression of the prolongation $j^r \phi$ (where $\phi$ is a bundle morphism of the fibre bundle $Y$). One can proceed in complete analogy with the computations above. If $\phi$ has the following expression in the chart $(V, \psi)$

$$\phi(x^i, y^\sigma) = (f^i, F^\sigma)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.61)

then we must have in the associated chart: $(V^r, \psi^r)$:

$$j^r \phi(x^i, y^\sigma, y^\sigma_j, ..., y^\sigma_{j_1}, ..., y^\sigma_{j_k}) = (f^i, F^\sigma, F^\sigma_j, ..., F^\sigma_{j_1}, ..., F^\sigma_{j_k})$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.62)

where $F^\sigma_{j_1, ..., j_k}$, $j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_k$, $k = 1, ..., r$ are smooth functions on the chart $V^r$. One starts from an equivalent form of (3.52), namely:

$$(j^r \phi)^* \omega^\sigma_J \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega^r(Y)), \quad |J| \leq r - 1$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.63)

and computes the left hand side:

$$(j^r \phi)^* \omega^\sigma_J = \left( d_i F^\sigma_J - F^\sigma_{J_1} \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^i} \right) dx^i + \sum_{|J|=r} |J| \leq r - 1 (\partial^I_\nu F^\sigma_J) dy^\nu_I + \text{contact terms},$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.64)

The condition (3.62) above gives us a recurrence formula for the functions $F^\sigma_J$:

$$F^\sigma_{J_1} = Q^i_1 d_i F^\sigma_J, \quad |J| \leq r - 1;$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.65)
we also have

$$\partial^I_\nu F^\nu_J = 0 \quad |I| = r.$$  \tag{3.64}

Let us note that the recurrence formula above formally coincide with the recurrence formula from lemma 2.3.

We close this subsection reminding another important construction appearing when one considers the so-called variationally trivial Lagrangians (they will be defined in section 7). We introduce the following subset of the space of basic forms:

$$\mathcal{J}^r_q \equiv \{ \rho \in \Omega^r_{q,X} | \exists \nu \in \Omega^{r-1}_q, \text{ s.t. } \rho = h\nu \}.$$  \tag{3.65}

One notes that this subspace is closed with respect to the wedge product $\wedge$ and also that the operator $D : \mathcal{J}^r_q \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^r_{q+1}$ given by

$$Dh\nu \equiv hd\nu$$  \tag{3.66}

is well defined \[3\]. The operator $D$ is called total exterior derivative. We list some elementary properties of this operator directly deductible from the definition.

$$D \circ D = 0$$  \tag{3.67}

and

$$Dh = hD.$$  \tag{3.68}

Moreover, $D$ is a derivation completely determined by the following relations:

$$Df = (d_i f)dx^i, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{J}^r_0,$$  \tag{3.69}

and

$$D(dx^i) = 0, \quad Dhdy^a_J = 0, \quad |J| \leq r.$$  \tag{3.70}

We remind the reader that we have remarked before that the operators $d_i$ are not vector fields. However, we have the following relation, which is the next best thing except a vector field. If $f \in \Omega^{r-1}_0$ and we have two overlapping charts $(V, \psi)$ and $(\bar{V}, \bar{\psi})$ on $Y$ then we have on the intersection $V^r \cap \bar{V}^r$ the following relation:

$$\bar{d}_j f = Q^j_i d_i f$$  \tag{3.71}

(where $\bar{d}_j$ are the formal derivatives in the chart $\bar{V}^r$ and the matrix $Q$ has been defined previously: it is the inverse of the Jacobian matrix of the chart transformation - see (2.7)). The proof is elementary and consists in expressing the (globally defined) operator $D$ in both charts.
4 Strongly Contact Forms

The concept of strongly contact form has been introduced by Krupka [21]. The idea is to observe that the definition of the contact forms is trivially satisfied if the degree of the form is \( q \geq n + 1 \). So, it is natural to try a generalization of the contact forms in this case. It seems plausible to use instead of the horizontalization operator \( h \) some other projection \( p_k \) from those introduced in the beginning of the preceding section. The proper definition is the following. Let \( q = n + 1, \ldots, N \equiv \dim(J^rY) = m\binom{n+r}{n} \) and let \( \rho \in \Omega_q^r \). One says that \( \rho \) is a strongly contact form iff its contact component of order \( q - n \) vanishes i.e.

\[
p_{q-n}\rho = 0. \tag{4.1}
\]

For a certain uniformity of notations, we denote these forms by \( \Omega_q^r(\rho) \). We need a structure formula for strongly contact forms, i.e. an analogue of theorem 3.3. First we need some properties of the projections \( p_k \) [21] - [23].

Lemma 4.1 If \( \rho \in \Omega_q^r(\rho) \) and \( \rho' \in \Omega_q^r(\rho) \) then the following formula is true:

\[
p_k(\rho \wedge \rho') = \sum_{l+s=k} p_l\rho \wedge p_s\rho' \quad \forall k \geq 0 \tag{4.2}
\]

where we make the convention that

\[
p_k\rho \equiv 0, \quad \text{if} \quad k > \deg(\rho), \quad \text{or} \quad k < 0. \tag{4.3}
\]

Proof: Is based on induction on \( q \). For \( q = 1 \) one starts from the definition (3.7) of the operator \( p_k \) and from the definition for the wedge product, which in our case is:

\[
(\rho \wedge \rho') (\xi_0, \ldots, \xi_t) = \sum_{i=0}^{t} (-1)^i \rho(\xi_i)\rho' (\xi_0, \ldots, \hat{\xi}_i, \ldots, \xi_t).
\]

Then, one supposes the formula true for \( 1, 2, \ldots, q \) and proves it for \( q+1 \). One does not lose generality if one supposes that \( \rho \) is of the form \( \rho = \rho_1 \wedge \rho_2 \) with \( \deg(\rho_1) = 1 \) and \( \deg(\rho_2) = q \) and the result for \( q+1 \) is obvious.

As a corollary, we have

Corollary 4.2 If \( \rho_i \in \Omega_q^{r_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, l \) then the following formula is true:

\[
p_k(\rho_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \rho_l) = \sum_{s_1+\ldots+s_l=k} p_{s_1}\rho_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge p_{s_l}\rho_l. \tag{4.4}
\]

In particular, if the order of contactness of the forms \( \rho_i \in \Omega_q^{r_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, l \) is equal to 1 and if \( \rho' \in \Omega_q^r \) is arbitrary, then the following formula is true:

\[
p_k(\rho_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \rho_l \wedge \rho') = \left(\pi^{r+1,r}\right)^* \rho_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \left(\pi^{r+1,r}\right)^* \rho_l \wedge p_{k-l}\rho', \quad \forall k \geq l. \tag{4.5}
\]

If \( k < l \) then the right hand side is zero, according to the convention from the preceding lemma.
Lemma 4.3 Let \( q \geq 1 \) and \( \rho \in \Omega_q^r \). Then in the associated chart \((V^{r+1}, \psi^{r+1})\) the following formula is valid:

\[
(\pi^{r+1,r})^* \rho = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q - s)!} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s| \leq r} B^{I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} \omega^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} \wedge dx^{i_1} \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} \tag{4.6}
\]

where the coefficients \( B^{I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} \) are smooth functions on the chart \( V^r \) and verify symmetry properties of the type \((3.18)\). Moreover, the form \( p_k \rho \) is given by the terms corresponding to \( s = k \) in the sum above.

Next, we have

Lemma 4.4 Let \( q \geq 1 \) and \( \rho \in \Omega_q^r \). Suppose that in the associated chart \((V^r, \psi^r)\) the form \( \rho \) has the generic expression:

\[
\rho = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q - s)!} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s| \leq r} A^{I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} dy^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} \wedge dx^{i_1} \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} \tag{4.7}
\]

where \( A^{I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} \) are smooth functions on \( V^r \) verifying the symmetry property \((3.18)\). Then on the chart \((V^{r+1}, \psi^{r+1})\) we have

\[
p_k \rho = \frac{1}{k!(q - k)!} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_k| \leq r} B^{I_1, \ldots, I_k}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{k,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} \omega^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{\sigma_k}_{I_k} \wedge dx^{i_1} \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} \tag{4.8}
\]

where

\[
B^{I_1, \ldots, I_k}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{k,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} = S^-_{k,i+1, \ldots, i_q} \sum_{s=k}^{q} \left( \frac{q - k}{q - s} \right) \sum_{|I_{k+1}|, \ldots, |I_s| \leq r} A^{I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} y^{\sigma_{k+1}}_{I_{k+1}} \cdots y^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} \tag{4.9}
\]

Proof: We use the definition \((3.4)\) to write

\[
(\pi^{r+1,r})^* \rho = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q - s)!} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s| \leq r} A^{I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} \]

\[
(\omega^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} + y^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} dx^i_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\omega^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} + y^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} dx^i_s) \wedge dx^{i_1} \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} \tag{4.10}
\]

and now we can apply lemma \((3.2)\) with \( L \mapsto \Omega^r \), \( M \mapsto \Omega_q^r \) and \( \omega \mapsto \Lambda \) where

\[
\Lambda(\omega^{\sigma_1}_{I_1}, \ldots, \omega^{\sigma_s}_{I_s}) = \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s| \leq r} A^{I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{s,i+1}, \ldots, i_q} \omega^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \cdots \wedge \omega^{\sigma_s}_{I_s}.
\]

Then simple rearrangements leads to the formula from the statement. ■

Now we can give the structure theorem for strongly contact forms. As in the preceding section, the proof will be based on Fock space machinery and will differ from the original proof from \([21]\).
Theorem 4.5 Let \( n + 1 \leq q \leq N \) and \( \rho \in \Omega^r_q \). Let \((V, \psi)\) be a chart on \( Y \) Then \( \rho \) is a strongly contact form iff it has the following expression in the associated chart \((V^r, \psi^r)\):

\[
\rho = \sum_{p+s=q-n+1} \sum_{|J_1|,\ldots,|J_p| \leq r-1} \sum_{|I_1|,\ldots,|I_s| = r-1} \omega^\sigma_{J_1} \cdots \omega^\sigma_{J_p} \wedge d\omega^\nu_{I_1} \cdots \wedge d\omega^\nu_{I_s} \wedge \Phi_{J_1,\ldots,J_p,I_1,\ldots,I_s}^{J_1,\ldots,J_p,I_1,\ldots,I_s}
\]

where \( \Phi_{J_1,\ldots,J_p,I_1,\ldots,I_s} \) are differential forms of degree \( n - 1 - s \) on \( V^r \). (This imposes that the first sum runs in fact only for \( s \leq n-1 \)).

Proof: If \( \rho \) has the expression from the statement, the corollary above gives us \( p_1 \rho = 0 \).

We prove the converse statement by induction on \( q \).

(i) Let \( q = n + 1 \) and \( \rho \in \Omega^r_{n+1} \) such that \( p_1 \rho = 0 \). We start from the same decomposition of the form \( \rho \) as in theorem 3.3 i.e. that given by the formulæ (3.15)-(3.17). Using (3.15) and the corollary above we have from the preceding equation:

\[
\sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \omega^\sigma_J \wedge h\Phi^J + p_1 \rho = 0. \tag{4.12}
\]

But the preceding lemma gives us the following very explicit formula for the second contribution:

\[
p_1 \rho' = \frac{1}{(q-1)!} \sum_{|I|=r} B^I_{\sigma_1,i_2,\ldots,i_q} \omega^\sigma_I \wedge dx^{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q}
\]

where

\[
B^I_{\sigma_1,i_2,\ldots,i_q} = S^I_{i_2,\ldots,i_q} \sum_{s=1}^q \left( \frac{n}{n+1-q} \right) \sum_{|I_2|,\ldots,|I_s| = r-1} A^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_s+1,\ldots,i_q,y_1^{I_2},\ldots,y_s^{I_s}}
\]

Because the 1-form \( \omega^\sigma_J \) appears only in the second term of (4.12) the two terms must vanish separately i.e. we have

\[
p_1 \rho' = 0. \tag{4.13}
\]

and

\[
\sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \omega^\sigma_J \wedge h\Phi^J = 0. \tag{4.14}
\]

From (4.13) we get

\[
B^I_{\sigma_1,i_2,\ldots,i_q} = 0
\]

which can be transformed, as in the proof of theorem 3.3 into

\[
B_2 \cdots B_s A^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s} = 0 \quad (s = 1,\ldots,q).
\]

In fact, because of the symmetry property (3.18) we have:

\[
B_1 \cdots B_s A^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s} = 0 \quad (\alpha = 1,\ldots,s; s = 1,\ldots,q). \tag{4.15}
\]

A consequence of this relation is

\[
B_1 \cdots B_s A^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s} = 0 \quad (s = 1,\ldots,q)
\]
which implies (see lemmas 3.4 and 3.5) that

\[ A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q} = 0 \]  

(4.16)

and

\[ A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q - 1) \]  

(4.17)

for some tensors \( A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s}^{\alpha} \).

If we substitute the expression of \( A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} \) above into the initial equation (4.15) we get immediately

\[ B_1 \cdots B_s A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s}^{\alpha} = 0 \]

so lemma 3.5 can again be applied to produce the following expression

\[ A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} B_{\beta} A_{\alpha \beta}^{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q - 1) \]  

(4.18)

for some tensors \( A_{\alpha \beta}^{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} = 0 \). The last expression identically verifies the equation (4.15) so it is the general solution of it. As in the end of theorem 3.3 it this the time to revert to full index notations. Because in the formula above we have two \( B \)-type operators we will obtain that the functions \( A_{I_1, \ldots, I_q}^{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q} \) are sums of terms containing two delta factors, so in the end two factors of the type \( d\omega^{\nu} \) we show up. Explicitly, \( \rho' \) must necessarily have the following structure:

\[ \rho' = \sum_{|I_1|=|I_2|=r-1} d\omega_{I_1}^{\nu_1} \wedge d\omega_{I_2}^{\nu_2} \wedge \Phi_{I_1 I_2}^{\nu_1 \nu_2}. \]  

(4.19)

On the other hand, it is easy to see that (4.14) is equivalent to

\[ h \Phi_{I}^{J} = 0 \]

and theorem 3.3 can be applied. Combining with the formula above, we obtain the structure formula from the statement (4.11) for \( q = n + 1 \).

(ii) We suppose that if \( p_q - n \rho = 0 \) then \( \rho' \) has the expression (4.11) for \( q' = n + 1, \ldots, q - 1 \) and we prove the same statement for \( q \). So we have

\[ p_{q-n} \rho = 0. \]

Because \( \rho \) is a polynomial of degree \( q \) (with respect to the wedge product \( \wedge \)) in the differentials \( \omega^{\sigma}_{J} \mid |J| \leq r - 1, \quad dy^{\sigma}_{i}, \mid |I| = r \) and \( dx^{i} \) one can write it uniquely as follows:

\[ \rho = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_s| \leq r-1} \omega_{J_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots \omega_{J_s}^{\sigma_s} \wedge \Phi_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} \]  

(4.20)

where \( \Phi_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} \) are polynomials of degree \( q - s \) in the differentials \( dy^{\sigma}_{i}, \mid |I| = r \) and \( dx^{i} \). Using the corollary above one obtains the following equation:

\[ \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_s| \leq r-1} \omega_{J_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots \omega_{J_s}^{\sigma_s} \wedge p_{q-n-s} \Phi_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} = 0 \]
which is equivalent to:

\[ p_{q-n-s} \Phi^{J_1 \ldots J_s} = 0 \quad (s = 0, \ldots, q). \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.21)

For \( s = 1, \ldots, q \) one can apply the induction hypothesis and obtain that the forms \( \Phi^{J_1 \ldots J_s} \) are sums of the type (3.11). It remains to analyse the case \( s = 0 \) i.e. the equation

\[ p_{q-n} \Phi = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.22)

with \( \Phi \) having a structure similar to (3.17):

\[ \Phi = \sum_{s=q-n}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|=\ldots=|I_s|=r} A^{I_1 \ldots I_s}_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_s} dy_1^{\sigma_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dy_s^{\sigma_s} \wedge dx_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_i. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.23)

Using the preceding lemma one obtains that

\[ p_{q-n} \Phi = \frac{1}{(q-n)!n!} \sum_{|I_1|=\ldots=|I_{q-n}|=r} B^{I_1 \ldots I_{q-n}}_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_{q-n}, \alpha_{q-n+1}, \ldots, \alpha_q} \sigma_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \sigma_{q-n} \wedge dx_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_i \]

where

\[ B^{I_1 \ldots I_{q-n}}_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_{q-n}, \alpha_{q-n+1}, \ldots, \alpha_q} = S_{\alpha_{q-n+1}, \ldots, \alpha_q} \sum_{s=q-n}^{q} \left( \frac{n}{q-s} \right) \sum_{|I_{q-n+1}|=\ldots=|I_s|=r} A^{I_1 \ldots I_s}_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_s, \alpha_{q-n+1}, \ldots, \alpha_q} y_1^{\sigma_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge y_{i+1}^{\sigma_{q-n+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge y_i^{\sigma_s}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.24)

The condition on \( \Phi \) translates into

\[ B^{I_1 \ldots I_{q-n}}_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_{q-n}, \alpha_{q-n+1}, \ldots, \alpha_q} = 0 \]

and this can be shown to be equivalent to

\[ B_{q-n+1} \cdots B_s A_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_s} = 0 \quad (s = q - n, \ldots, q). \]

In fact, because of the symmetry property (3.18) we have more generally:

\[ B_{\alpha_1} \cdots B_{\alpha_{q-n+1}} A_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_s} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{q-n+1}, (s = q - n, \ldots, q). \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.25)

This relation can be investigated following the ideas from (i) (see rel. (4.13)) and the general solution of (4.25) can be found in the form:

\[ A_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_s} = \sum_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{q-n+1}=1}^{s} B_{\alpha_1} \cdots B_{\alpha_{q-n+1}} A_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_s}^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{q-n+1}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.26)

for some tensors \( A_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_s}^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{q-n+1}} \).

If we use full index notations, this time \( q - n + 1 \) factors of the type \( d\omega^\nu_I \), \( |I| = r - 1 \) will appear in every term of \( \Phi \). Collecting all terms we get for \( \rho \) the formula (4.11).
5 Euler-Lagrange and Helmholtz-Sonin forms

An interesting problem in differential geometry is the following one. Suppose we have a differential form $\rho$ on a given manifold $Y$. What other (globally defined) differential forms can be attached to it? This problem can be rigorously formulated [19] and the answer is that there is essentially only one possibility, namely the exterior differential $d\rho$ of $\rho$. In other words the condition of correct behaviour with respect to all possible charts transformations limits drastically the possible solution to this kind of problem. But what happens when the manifold $Y$ has a supplementary structure, say is a fibre bundle? Then, there will be some restrictions on the charts transformation so other solutions can appear. In this section we will prove that in this case indeed new possibilities can appear, as for instance the Euler-Lagrange and Helmholtz-Sonin form. We will follow essentially [2] making the observation that much of the line of the argument can be adapted from infinite jet bundle extensions to our case i.e. finite bundle extensions.

5.1 Lie-Euler Operators

The central combinatorial trick used in [2] to prove the existence of the Euler-Lagrange form is the concept of total differential operator which, by definition, is any linear map $P : \mathcal{E}(J^rY) \to \Omega^s$ covering the identity map $id : J^rY \to J^rY$ with $s \geq r$. (One considers, of course, $\mathcal{E}(J^rY)$ and $J^rY$ as fibre bundles over $J^rY$). We will consider in the following that $s$ is sufficiently great; in fact one needs that $s > 2r + 2$. Suppose that $\xi$ is an evolution having the local structure (2.30) in the chart $(V^r, \psi^r)$. Then the image $P(\xi) \in \Omega^r$ must have the expression:

$$P(\xi) = \sum_{|I| \leq r} (d_I \xi^\sigma) P^{I}_\sigma = \sum_{k=0}^{r} (d_{j_1} \cdots d_{j_k} \xi^\sigma) P^{j_1, \ldots, j_k}_I,$$

(5.1)

where $P^{I}_\sigma$ are (local) differential forms in the chart $(V^s, \psi^s)$ and, as usual, $d_j = d_j^s$ (see (2.20)).

Then one has the following combinatorial lemma [2]:

**Lemma 5.1** In the conditions above, the following formula is true:

$$P(\xi) = \sum_{|I| \leq r} d_I(\xi^\sigma Q^I_\sigma)$$

(5.2)

where

$$Q^I_\sigma \equiv \sum_{|J| \leq r - |I|} (-1)^{|J|} \binom{|I| + |J|}{|J|} d_J P^{I,J}_\sigma$$

(5.3)

and one assumes that the action of a formal derivative $d_j$ on a form is realized by its action on the function coefficients.

**Proof:** One starts from the right hand side of (5.2) and uses Leibnitz rule:

$$\sum_{|I| \leq r} d_I(\xi^\sigma Q^I_\sigma) = \sum_{|I| \leq r} \sum_{(J,K)} (d_J \xi^\sigma)(d_K Q^I_\sigma)$$
where the sum over \((J, K)\) is over all partitions of the set \(I\). One can rearrange this as follows:

\[
\sum_{|I| \leq r} d_I (\xi^\sigma Q^I_\sigma) = \sum_{|J| + |K| \leq r} \left(\frac{|J| + |K|}{|J|}\right) (d_J \xi^\sigma)(d_K Q^{JK}_\sigma) = \sum_{|J| \leq r} \sum_{|K| \leq r - |J|} \left(\frac{|J| + |K|}{|J|}\right) d_K Q^{JK}_\sigma.
\]

Now one proves by elementary computations that

\[
P^I_\sigma = \sum_{|J| \leq r - |I|} \left(\frac{|J| + |K|}{|J|}\right) d_J \xi^\sigma Q^I_\sigma.
\]

and that finishes the proof. \(\square\)

**Remark 5.1.1** One notices that the relation (5.2) uniquely determines the forms \(Q^I_\sigma\).

We proceed now to formulate the main result of this subsection. The proof is an easy adaptation to the finite jet bundle extension case of the proof from [2].

**Theorem 5.2** Let \(q \geq n\) and \(P : \mathcal{E}(J^r Y) \to \Omega^s_{q, X}\) a total differential operator. Let \((V, \psi)\) and \((\bar{V}, \bar{\psi})\) two overlapping charts on \(Y\) and let us construct on the intersection of the corresponding associated charts the forms \(Q^I_\sigma\) and \(\bar{Q}^I_\sigma\) according to the preceding lemma. Then the following relation is true on the intersection \(V^s \cap \bar{V}^s\):

\[
Q_\sigma = (\partial_\sigma \tilde{y}^\nu) \bar{Q}_\nu.
\]

In particular, there exists a globally defined form, denoted by \(E(P)(\xi)\) such that in the chart \((V^r, \psi^r)\) we have

\[
E(P)(\xi) = Q_\sigma \xi^\sigma.
\]

**Proof:** The generic expression for \(Q^I_\sigma\) in the chart \(V^s\) is (see (2.35)):

\[
Q^I_\sigma = \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_l| \leq s} Q_{\sigma, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l}^I dy_{J_1}^{\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{J_l}^{\nu_l} \wedge \theta_0.
\]

Here \(l = q - n\) and \(Q_{\sigma, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l}^I\) are smooth functions on \(V^s\) having appropriate antisymmetry properties.

In the other chart \(\bar{V}^s\) we have a similar expression:

\[
\bar{Q}^I_\sigma = \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_l| \leq s} \bar{Q}_{\sigma, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l}^I dy_{J_1}^{\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{y}_{J_l}^{\nu_l} \wedge \bar{\theta}_0 = \mathcal{J} \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_l| \leq s} \tilde{Q}_{\sigma, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l}^I dy_{J_1}^{\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{J_l}^{\nu_l} \wedge \theta_0
\]

(5.8)
where $J$ is the Jacobian of the chart transformation on $X$ (see (1.47)).

If we define for any $I$ with $|I| \leq r - 1$

$$R^I_\sigma \equiv i_d Q^I_\sigma$$

then, using the formula above, it is easy to prove that:

$$Q^{j_1,\ldots,j_k}_\sigma = S_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}^+ dx^{j_1} \wedge R^{j_2,\ldots,j_k}_\sigma = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=1}^k dx^{j_p} \wedge R^{j_1,\ldots,j_{p-1},j_{p+1},\ldots,j_k}_\sigma. \quad k = 0, \ldots, r.$$

As a consequence one can rewrite the local formula (3.71) as follows:

$$P(\xi) = \xi^\sigma Q_\sigma + dx^i \wedge d_i R(\xi),$$

(5.9)

where we have defined

$$R(\xi) \equiv \sum_{|I| \leq r-1} d_I(\xi^\sigma R^I_\sigma).$$

(5.10)

So, in the overlap $V^s \cap \tilde{V}^s$ we have

$$\xi^\sigma Q_\sigma - \tilde{\xi}^\sigma \tilde{Q}_\sigma = dx^i \wedge d_i \tilde{R}(\xi) - dx^i \wedge d_i R(\xi).$$

Let us remark now that from the definition of the forms $Q^{j_1,\ldots,j_k}_\sigma$ (see (5.3)) it follows that its function coefficients depend only on the variables $(x^i, y^\sigma, y^\gamma_j, \ldots, y^\sigma_{j_1,\ldots,j_{2r-1}})$; as a consequence, the function coefficients of the form $R(\xi)$ depend only on the variables $(x^i, y^\sigma, y^\gamma_j, \ldots, y^\sigma_{j_1,\ldots,j_{2r-1}})$. In this case we can apply formula (3.71) to the preceding relation and we obtain:

$$\xi^\sigma Q_\sigma - \tilde{\xi}^\sigma \tilde{Q}_\sigma = dx^i \wedge d_i \tilde{R}(\xi)$$

where

$$\tilde{R}(\xi) = \tilde{R}(\tilde{\xi}) - R(\xi) = \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \tilde{R}^{i_1,\ldots,i_{r-1}}_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_{r-1}}(\xi) dy^\nu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^\nu_{r-1} \wedge \theta_i;$$

here we have defined

$$\theta_i \equiv (-1)^{i-1} x^i \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^i \wedge dx^{i+1} \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

(5.11)

and $\tilde{R}^{i_1,\ldots,i_{r-1}}_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_{r-1}}(\xi)$ are smooth functions on the overlap $V^s \cap \tilde{V}^s$.

If we also use (2.30) we obtain

$$\xi^\sigma \left[ Q^{0,J_1,\ldots,J_l}_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_l} - \mathcal{J}(\partial_\sigma \tilde{y}^\gamma) \tilde{Q}^{0,J_1,\ldots,J_l}_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_l} \right] = d_i \tilde{R}^{i_1,\ldots,i_l}_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_l}(\xi).$$

Now one proves that both sides are zero in a standard way: one picks a section $\gamma$ with support in $W \subset \pi(V) \cap \pi(\tilde{V})$ such that the closure $\bar{W}$ of $W$ is compact, takes $\xi^\sigma$ with support in the open set $U \subset \bar{W}$ and integrates on $\bar{W}$ the following relation (which follows from the preceding one):

$$\xi^\sigma \left[ Q^{0,J_1,\ldots,J_l}_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_l} - \mathcal{J}(\partial_\sigma \tilde{y}^\gamma) \tilde{Q}^{0,J_1,\ldots,J_l}_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_l} \right] \circ j^* \gamma = d_i \tilde{R}^{i_1,\ldots,i_l}_{\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_l}(\xi) \circ j^* \gamma.$$
Use of Stokes theorem is made and of the arbitrariness of \( \gamma \) and it follows that:

\[
Q^{\theta, J_1, \ldots, J_l} = \mathcal{J} (\partial_\sigma \bar{y}^\zeta) \xi^\sigma Q^{\theta, J_1, \ldots, J_l}
\]

If we introduce this equality in (5.7) and (5.8) we obtain the relation (5.3).

The operator \( E(P) \) defined by (5.6) is called the Euler operator associated to the total differential operator \( P \); it has the local expression:

\[
E(P)(\xi) = \xi^\sigma E_\sigma(P)
\]

where

\[
E_\sigma(P) = \sum_{|I|=0}^r (-1)^{|I|} d_I P^I_\sigma.
\]  

Now one takes \( \lambda \in \Omega^r_{\overline{n}, X} \) and constructs the total differential operator \( P_\lambda \):

\[
P_\lambda(\xi) \equiv L_{pr}(\xi) \lambda.
\]

Suppose that \( \lambda \) has the local expression (2.32). Then lemma 5.1 can be applied and gives the following formula:

\[
P_\lambda(\xi) = \sum_{|I|=0}^r \xi^\sigma E^I_\sigma(L) \theta_0
\]

where

\[
E^I_\sigma(L) \equiv \sum_{|J| \leq r-|I|} (-1)^{|I|} d_J \partial^{IJ}_\sigma L
\]

are the so-called Lie-Euler operators.

In particular,

\[
Q_\sigma = E_\sigma(L) \theta_0
\]

and the Euler operator associated to \( P_\lambda \) has the following expression:

\[
E(P_\lambda) = \xi^\sigma E_\sigma(L) \theta_0
\]

where

\[
E_\sigma(L) \equiv \sum_{|J| \leq r} (-1)^{|J|} d_J \partial^J_\sigma L
\]

are the Euler-Lagrange expressions.

The theorem above leads to

**Proposition 5.3** If \( \lambda \in \Omega^r_{\overline{n}, X} \) is a Lagrange form, then there exists a globally defined \( n+1 \)-form, denoted by \( E(\lambda) \) such that we have in the chart \( V^s \):

\[
E(\lambda) = E_\sigma(L) \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0.
\]
Proof: Indeed, one combines the expression (5.17) with the transformation properties (3.46) and (3.4) to obtain
\[ E_\sigma(L) = J(\partial_\sigma \bar{y}^\nu) \bar{E}_\nu(\bar{L}); \] (5.21)
the globallity of \( E(\lambda) \) follows immediately.

One calls this form the Euler-Lagrange form associated to \( \lambda \) and notes that it is a differential equation (see the beginning of subsection 3.3 more precisely the formula (3.47)). In general, a differential equation \( T \in \Omega_{n+1,X}^s \) is called (locally) variational or of Euler-Lagrange type iff there exists a (local) Lagrange form \( \lambda \in \Omega_{n,X}^r \) \( (s \geq 2r) \) such that
\[ T = E(\lambda). \] (5.22)

One notices that in this case the general form of a differential equation (3.51) coincides with the well-known form of the Euler-Lagrange equations.

Remark 5.3.1 There are other ways of proving the globallity of the Euler-Lagrange form. One can use the existence of the so-called Lepagean equivalents [18], but the combinatorial analysis seems to be more complicated. Also, an argument based on the connection between the action functional and the Euler-Lagrange expression is available [21].

5.2 Some Properties of the Euler-Lagrange Form

We collect for further use some properties of the Euler-Lagrange form. We follow, essentially [4], with the appropriate modifications.

First, one finds rather easily from (5.21) that the Euler-Lagrange form behaves naturally with respect to bundle morphisms. More precisely, if \( \phi \in Diff(Y) \) is a bundle morphism, then one has:
\[ (j^s \phi)^* E(\lambda) = E((j^r \phi)^* \lambda) \] (5.23)
for any Lagrange form \( \lambda \). From here, we obtain by differentiation:
\[ L_{j^s \xi} E(\lambda) = E(L_{j^r \xi} \lambda) \] (5.24)
for any projectable vector field \( \xi \) on \( Y \).

Now we have

Lemma 5.4 If \( f \) is a smooth function on \( V^r \) then we have in \( V^s, \ s > 2r + 2 \) the following formulæ:
\[ E^{Ij}_{\sigma}(df) = S^r_{\delta I} \delta^I_{\sigma} E^{I}(f), \quad |I| = 0, ..., r \] (5.25)
and
\[ E_{\sigma}(d_I f) = 0. \] (5.26)

Proof: From lemma 5.4 we have in \( V^s \):
\[ \sum_{|I| \leq r} (d_I \xi^\sigma)(\partial^I_\sigma L) = \sum_{|I| \leq r} d_I \left( \xi^\sigma E^I_{\sigma}(L) \right) \]
for any smooth function $L$ on $V^r$. We make $r \to r + 1$ and $L \to d_j f$ and we have:

$$\sum_{|I| \leq r+1} (d_j \xi^\sigma) \left( \partial^I_\sigma d_j f \right) = \sum_{|I| \leq r+1} d_I \left( \xi^\sigma E^I_\sigma (d_j f) \right).$$

The left hand side can be rewritten using the commutation formula (2.23) and one obtains:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{r+1} d_{i_1}...d_{i_k} \left( \xi^\sigma S^+_{i_1,...,i_k} \delta^i_j E^{i_2,...,i_k}_\sigma (f) \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} d_{i_1}...d_{i_k} \left( \xi^\sigma E^{i_1,...,i_k}_\sigma (d_j f) \right).$$

Using remark 5.1.1 we obtain the relations from the statement.

**Corollary 5.5** Let $A^I$, $|I| = l \geq 1$ be some smooth functions on $V^r$ and $f \equiv d_I A^I$. Then we have on $V^s$, $s > 2(r + l)$:

$$E^I_\sigma (f) = 0, \quad |J| \leq |I| - 1. \quad (5.27)$$

**Corollary 5.6** Let $\xi$ be an evolution on $Y$ and $\lambda \in \Omega^r_n, X$ a Lagrange form. Then the following formula is true:

$$E (L_j^r \xi \lambda) = E (i_j^r \xi E(\lambda)). \quad (5.28)$$

**Proof:** One has by direct computation and use on lemma 5.1:

$$E (L_j^r \xi \lambda - i_j^r \xi E(\lambda)) = \sum_{|I|=1}^r E^I_\nu \left( d_I (\xi^\sigma E^I_\sigma (L)) \right) \omega^\nu \wedge \theta_0;$$

but the right hand side is zero, according to the preceding corollary.

**Corollary 5.7** Let $\lambda \in \Omega^r_n, X$ a Lagrange form. Then the following formula is true:

$$L_j^r \xi E(\lambda) = E (i_j^r \xi E(\lambda)). \quad (5.29)$$

Indeed, one combines the preceding corollary with (5.24) and obtains this formula.
5.3 Helmholtz-Sonin Forms

In this section, we follow an idea of [5] to prove the existence of the (globally) defined Helmholtz-Sonin form. We have the following central result.

**Theorem 5.8** Let $T \in \Omega^s_{n+1,X}$ be a differential equation with the local form given by (3.47). We define the following expressions in any chart $V^t$, $t > 2s$:

\[
H^J_{\sigma \nu} \equiv \partial^J_\sigma T_\nu - (-1)^{|J|} E^J_\sigma (T_\nu), \quad |J| \leq s.
\]

Then there exists a globally defined $(n+2)$-form, denoted by $H(T)$ such that in any chart $V^t$ we have:

\[
H(T) = \sum_{|J| \leq s} H^J_{\sigma \nu} \omega^\nu_\sigma \wedge \theta_0.
\]

**Proof:** (i) We begin with a construction from [5]. Let $\xi$ be an evolution; we define a (global) $n$-form $H_\xi(T)$ according to:

\[
H_\xi(T) \equiv L^J_{j^\sigma \xi} T_j - E (i^J_{j^\sigma \xi} T_j).
\]

Elementary computations and use of corollary 5.5 leads to the following local expression:

\[
H_\xi(T) = \sum_{|I| \leq s} (d^I_\xi \omega^\nu_\sigma) H^I_{\sigma \nu} \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0.
\]

(ii) Now one determines the transformation formula at a change of charts for the expressions $d^I_\xi \omega^\sigma$. One considers the evolution $\xi$ on $Y$ and writes the expression of the vector field $pr(\xi)$ on the overlap $V^t \cap \tilde{V}^t$; the following formula easily emerges:

\[
\bar{d}^I_\xi \omega^\sigma = \sum_{|J| \leq |I|} \left( \partial^J_\nu \bar{y}^\sigma_\nu \right) (d^I_\xi \omega^\nu_\sigma), \quad |I| = 0, \ldots, s.
\]

(iii) Using the transformation formula (5.34) one can obtain the transformation formula for the expressions $H^I_{\sigma \nu}$; one has in the overlap $V^t \cap \tilde{V}^t$:

\[
H^J_{\mu \xi} = J \sum_{|I| \geq |J|} \left( \partial^J_{\mu} \bar{y}^\sigma_\nu \right) (\partial^I_\xi \bar{y}^\sigma) \bar{H}^I_{\sigma \nu}.
\]

This transformation formula should now be combined with (3.46) and one obtains that $H(T)$ has an invariant meaning; we have

\[
\sum_{|I| \leq s} \bar{H}^I_{\sigma \nu} \bar{\omega}^\nu_\sigma \wedge \bar{\theta}_0 = \sum_{|J| \leq s} H^J_{\mu \xi} \omega^\nu_\sigma \wedge \theta_0
\]

on $V^t \cap \tilde{V}^t$ and the proof is finished. ■

$H(T)$ is called the *Helmholtz-Sonin form* associated to $T$ and $H^I_{\sigma \nu}$ are the *Helmholtz-Sonin expressions* associated to $T$.

A well-known corollary of the theorem above is:
Corollary 5.9  The differential equation $T$ is locally variational iff $H(T) = 0$.

Proof:  (i) If there exists a Lagrange form $\lambda$ such that locally $T = E(\lambda)$, then from (5.29) it follows that $H_\xi(T) = 0$ for any evolution $\xi$. This implies that the Helmholtz-Sonin expressions associated to $T$ are zero i.e. $H(E(\lambda)) = 0$.

(ii) The converse of this statement is done by some explicit construction. Suppose that $T$ is such that $H(T) = 0$. Then we define the following Lagrangian on the chart $V^s$:

$$L = \int_0^1 dt y^\sigma T_\sigma \circ \chi_t^s, \quad \chi_t^s(x^i, y^\sigma, y_j^\sigma, ..., y_{j_1, ..., j_s}^\sigma) = (x^i, ty^\sigma, ty_j^\sigma, ..., ty_{j_1, ..., j_s}^\sigma).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.36)

Then one proves by direct computation that $E_\sigma(L) = T_\sigma$ so, $T$ is locally variational. \hfill \blacksquare

The (local) expression (5.36) is called the Tonti-Vainberg Lagrangian.
6 The Exact Variational Sequence

This section is divided in two parts. The first one includes in a brief way the standard proof of the exactness of the variational sequence following the lines of [21]. The second part is devoted to the characterization of some elements of the variational sequence by (globally) defined forms. As it is pointed out in [21], this can be done using the Euler-Lagrange and the Helmholtz-Sonin forms defined previously. In this part more details are given because the proofs from the literature are rather sketchy. In particular, the proof we offer for the characterization of the $n + 1$ term in the variational sequence by the Helmholtz-Sonin form is new.

6.1 The Exactness of the Variational Sequence

If $\pi : Y \to X$ is a fibre bundle and $U, V \subset Y$ are charts such that $U \subset V$, we denote by $\iota_{U,V} : U_{\pi} \to V_{\pi}$ the canonical inclusion. Then, the collection $\{\Omega^r_q(V)\}$ $(q \geq 0)$, $\{\iota_{U,V}^*\}$ is a presheaf denoted by $\Omega^r_q$. Next, one introduces the subspaces $\theta^r_q \in \Omega^r_q(c)$ by:

$$\theta^r_q \equiv \Omega^r_q(c), \quad \theta^r_q \equiv d\Omega^r_{q-1} + \Omega^r_q \quad (q = 2, \ldots, N = \dim(J^rY)).$$

(6.1)

This is also a presheaf and one can easily verify that:

$$\theta^r_q = \Omega^r_q \quad (q = 2, \ldots, n), \quad \theta^r_q = 0 \quad (q > P), \quad d\theta^r_q \subset \theta^r_{q+1}; \quad P \equiv m\left(\frac{n + r - 1}{n}\right) + 2(n - 1).$$

(6.2)

Next, one introduces the so-called contact homotopy operator. The construction is the following. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp. $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$) an open set (resp. a ball centred in $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$) and $W = U \times V$. One considers the operator $\chi_r$ as a map $\chi_r^r : [0, 1] \times J^rW \to J^rW$ given by:

$$\chi_r(t, (x^i, y^\sigma, y^\sigma_j, \ldots, y^\sigma_{j_1,\ldots,j_s})) = (x^i, ty^\sigma, ty^\sigma_j, \ldots, ty^\sigma_{j_1,\ldots,j_s}).$$

(6.3)

Then for any $\rho \in \Omega^r_q(W)$ we have the $\text{unique}$ decomposition

$$(\chi_r^r)^* \rho = dt \wedge \rho_0(t) + \rho_1(t)$$

(6.4)

where $\rho_0(t)$ (resp. $\rho_1(t)$) are $q - 1$ (resp. $q$) forms which do not contain the differential $dt$. Then the $\text{contact homotopy operator}$ is by definition the map $A : \Omega^r_q(V) \to \Omega^r_{q-1}(V)$ given by:

$$A \rho \equiv \int_0^1 \rho_0(t).$$

(6.5)

Moreover one has:

$$\rho_1(1) = \rho, \quad \rho_1(0) = (\tau_r)^*(\zeta_0)^* \rho$$

(6.6)

where $\tau_r : V^r \to V$ is the canonical projection on the first component:

$$\tau_r(x^i, y^\sigma, y^\sigma_j, \ldots, y^\sigma_{j_1,\ldots,j_s}) \equiv (x^i)$$

and $\zeta_0 : U \to J^0W$ is the zero section given by:

$$\zeta_0(x^i) \equiv (x^i, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

Then we have (see [21]):
Lemma 6.1 (i) Let $\rho \in \Omega^r W$ be arbitrary. The following formula is true:
\[ \rho = Ad\rho + dA\rho + (\tau_r)^*(\zeta_0)^*\rho \]  
(6.7)

(ii) If $\rho$ is contact, then:
\[ \rho = Ad\rho + dA\rho \]  
(6.8)

and
\[ p_{k-1}A\rho = Ap_k\rho \quad (k = 1, \ldots, q). \]  
(6.9)

Proof: (i) The proof of the first formula is standard. First one finds out from (6.4) that:
\[ (\chi_r)^*d\rho = d_0\rho_1 + dt \wedge \left( \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} - d_0\rho_0 \right) \]
where $d_0$ is the exterior differentiation with respect to all variables except $t$. This produces by integration:
\[ Ad\rho = \rho_1(1) - \rho_1(0) - dA\rho \]
and using (6.6) we find the formula.

(ii) For the first relation one uses the structure theorem 3.3 for the contact forms and sees that last term in (6.7) is zero. For the second relation one applies $(id \times \pi^{r+1})^*$ to the relation (6.4) and after some simple manipulations one gets:
\[ (\pi^{r+1})^*\rho_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{q} (p_k\rho)_0 \Rightarrow (\pi^{r+1})^*A\rho = \sum_{k=0}^{q} p_kA\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{q} Ap_k\rho. \]

Now use is made of lemma 4.4 and the second formula follows.

The central result of [21] follows. We will insist only on the part of the proof which can be simplified with the Fock space tricks.

Theorem 6.2 We consider the maps $d : \theta_q^r \longrightarrow \theta_{q+1}^r$; then the long sequence of sheaves
\[ 0 \longrightarrow \theta_1^r \xrightarrow{d} \theta_2^r \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \theta_p^r \longrightarrow 0 \]  
(6.10)

is exact.

Proof:
(i) The exactness in $\theta_1^r$ is elementary [21]. If $\beta \in \theta_1^r = \Omega_{1(c)}^r$ then the structure theorem 3.3 can be used to write in $V^r$:
\[ \beta = \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \Phi_J^\sigma \omega_J^\sigma \]
with $\Phi_J^\sigma$ some smooth functions on $V^r$. Then
\[ d\beta = - \sum_{|J| = r-1} \Phi_J^\sigma dy_J^\sigma \wedge dx^i + \cdots \]
where by $\cdots$ we mean terms without the differentials $dy_I^\sigma$ ($|I| = r$); so, the closedness of $\beta$ gives us $\Phi^J_\sigma = 0$ ($|J| = r - 1$). This means that, in fact, in the expression above of $\beta$ the sum finishes at $r - 2$. Continuing by recurrence we arrive at $\beta = 0$.

(ii) We prove the exactness in $\theta_q^r$, $(q = 2, \ldots, q)$. If $\beta \in \theta_q^r = \Omega^r_q(c)$ then again we can apply the structure theorem 3.3 to write (after simple rearrangements):

$$
\beta = \sum_{|J| \leq r - 1} \omega_J^\sigma \wedge \Phi^J_\sigma + \sum_{|I| = r - 1} d\omega_I^\sigma \wedge \Psi^I_\sigma = \sum_{|J| \leq r - 1} \omega_J^\sigma \wedge \Phi^J_\sigma + \sum_{|I| = r - 1} d(\omega_I^\sigma \wedge \Psi^I_\sigma)
$$

so the closedness condition is

$$
\sum_{|J| \leq r - 1} d\omega_J^\sigma \wedge \Phi^J_\sigma - \sum_{|J| \leq r - 1} \omega_I^\sigma \wedge d\Phi^I_\sigma = 0.
$$

Applying $p_1$ to this relation we find out with lemma 1.1

$$
\sum_{|J| \leq r - 1} d\omega_J^\sigma \wedge h\Phi^J_\sigma - \sum_{|J| \leq r - 1} \omega_I^\sigma \wedge hd\Phi^I_\sigma = 0. \quad (6.11)
$$

One uses now for $\Phi^J_\sigma$ the standard form

$$
\Phi^J_\sigma = \chi^J_\sigma + \zeta^J_\sigma
$$

where $\chi^J_\sigma$ is generated by $\omega_K^\sigma$, $(|K| \leq r - 1)$ and $\zeta^J_\sigma$ is a polynomial of degree $q - 1$ in $dx^i$ and $dy_I^\sigma$, $(|I| = r - 1)$:

$$
\zeta^J_{i_1} = \sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|I_2|, \ldots, |I_s| = r} A^I_{i_2, \ldots, i_s} dy_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{i_s} \wedge dx^{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^q
$$

where the symmetry properties of the type (3.18) leave out the indices $I_1$ and $\nu_1$. Then (6.11) becomes:

$$
\sum_{|J| = r - 1} dy_J^\sigma \wedge dx^i \wedge h\zeta^J_\sigma + \cdots = 0
$$

where by $\cdots$ we mean an expression which does not contain the differentials $dy_I^\sigma$, $(|I| = r)$. This relations leads to

$$
S^+_{i_1} dx^i \wedge h\zeta^J_\sigma = 0;
$$

like in the proof of theorem 3.3 this is equivalent to

$$
S^+_{i_1} \cdots S^+_{i_s} S^-_{i_1, \ldots, i_q} \delta^{p_1}_{i_1} \cdots \delta^{p_s}_{i_s} A^I_{i_{p_1}, \ldots, i_{p_s}} = 0 \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q),
$$

or, in tensor notations:

$$
B_1 \cdots B_s A_{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s} = 0 \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q).
$$

Using the usual argument, it follows that the forms $\zeta^J_\sigma$ $(|I| = r - 1)$ are generated by the differentials $d\omega_K^\sigma$, $(|K| = r - 1)$. It follows that $\Phi^J_\sigma$, $(|J| = r - 1)$ is are contact forms. By
recurrence it follows that $\Phi^I_{\sigma}$, \(|J| \leq r - 1\) is are contact forms. This information must be inserted back in the initial expression of the form $\beta$; one has that

$$\beta = \beta_0 + d\gamma$$

where $\beta_0$ (resp. $\gamma$) are 2-contact (resp. contact) forms. The closedness condition reduces now to $d\beta_0 = 0$ and (6.8) can be applied; one gets $\beta_0 = dA\beta_0$ so finally it follows that $\beta$ is given by $\beta = d(A\beta_0 + \gamma)$. But, using (6.8) one gets that $h(A\beta_0 + \gamma) = Ap_1\beta_0 = 0$ i.e. $A\beta_0 + \gamma \in \theta^r_{q-1}$.

In other words $\beta \in \text{Im}(d)$.

(iii) The proof of the exactness in $\theta^r_q$ ($q > n$) is also standard [21]. Let $\beta \in \theta^r_q$ i.e. $\beta = \beta_0 + d\gamma$ where $\beta_0 \in \Omega^r_{q(c)}$ and $\gamma \in \Omega^r_{q-1(c)}$ such that $d\beta = 0$. Then $d\beta_0 = 0$ and we can apply (6.8) to obtain $\beta_0 = dA\beta_0$. As a consequence $\beta = d(A\beta_0 + \gamma)$. But formula (6.9) also implies: $p_{q-1-n}(A\beta_0 + \gamma) = Ap_{q-n}\beta_0 = 0$ so in fact $A\beta_0 + \gamma \in \theta^r_{q-1}$; this gives $\beta \in d\theta^r_{q-1} \subset \text{Im}(d)$.

This theorem has the following consequence.

**Theorem 6.3** Let $E_q : \Omega^r_q/\theta^r_q \to \Omega^r_{q+1}/\theta^r_{q+1}$ be given by

$$E_q([\rho]) \equiv [d\rho]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.12)

where $[\rho]$ is the class of $\rho$ modulo $\theta^r_q$. Then the quotient sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \Omega^r_0 \xrightarrow{E_0} \Omega^r_1/\theta^r_1 \xrightarrow{E_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{E_{P-1}} \Omega^r_P/\theta^r_P \xrightarrow{E_P} \Omega^r_{P+1} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^r_N \to 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.13)

is a acyclic resolution of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{R}$. In particular it is exact.

One calls this the *variational sequence of order $r$ over $Y$* and denotes for simplicity: $\mathcal{V}^r_q \equiv \Omega^r_q/\theta^r_q$.

Some special classes have distinct names. So, if $\lambda \in \Omega^r_n$ then the class $[\lambda] \in \Omega^r_{n+1}/\theta^r_{n+1}$ is called the *Euler-Lagrange class* of $\lambda$. If $T \in \Omega^r_{n+1}$ then $[T] \in \Omega^r_{n+2}/\theta^r_{n+2}$ is called the *Helmholtz-Sonin class* of $T$.

Let us note in the end of this subsection that for any $q = n + 1, \ldots, P$, $s > r$ there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$i_{s,r} : \Omega^r_q/\theta^r_q \to \text{Im} \left( \tau^s_q \circ (\pi^{s,r+1})^* \circ p_{q-n} \right),$$

where $\tau^s_q : \Omega^s_q \to \Omega^s_q/\theta^s_q$ is the canonical projection. The explicit expression is

$$i_{s,r}([\rho]) = \tau^s_q \circ (\pi^{s,r+1})^* \circ p_{q-n}(\rho)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.14)

and this definition is consistent [21].
6.2 Characterisation of the Variational Sequence by Forms

In this subsection we give characterizations of $V_r^q$, $q = n, n + 1$ using Euler-Lagrange and Helmholtz-Sonin forms. First we have

**Theorem 6.4** If $\lambda \in \Omega_{n,X}^r$ is any Lagrange form, then we have for any $s > 2r$

$$i_{s,r}(E_n([\lambda])) = [E(\lambda)]$$

(6.15)

where $E(\lambda)$ is the Euler-Lagrange form associated to $\lambda$ (see (6.19) and (5.20)).

**Proof:** We take the proof from [21]. If in the chart $(V_r^q, \psi_r^q)$ the expression of $\lambda$ is given by (2.32), then we have on $(V_s^q, \psi_s^q)$:

$$(\pi_{s,r})^*d\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^r \left( \partial_{\sigma}^{j_1\ldots j_k} L \right) \omega_{j_1\ldots j_k}^\sigma \wedge \theta_0.$$  

Now we note that using (5.11) we have

$$d(\omega_{j_1\ldots j_{k-1}}^\sigma \wedge \theta_{j_k}) = -\omega_{j_1\ldots j_k}^\sigma \wedge \theta_0$$

for $k \geq 1$ so the preceding relation can be rearranged as follows:

$$(\pi_{s,r})^*d\lambda = (\partial_{\sigma} L) \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^r \left( d_{j_k} \partial_{\sigma}^{j_1\ldots j_k} L \right) \omega_{j_1\ldots j_{k-1}}^\sigma \wedge \theta_0 + dF_1 + G_1$$

where $F_1$ (resp. $G_1$) is a contact (resp. 2-contact form).

One can iterate the procedure and proves by induction on $l$ that:

$$(\pi_{s,r})^*d\lambda = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^l (-1)^k \left( d_{j_1} \cdots d_{j_k} \partial_{\sigma}^{j_1\ldots j_k} L \right) \right] \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0 +$$

$$+ (-1)^l \sum_{k=l+1}^r \left( d_{j_k-l+1} \cdots d_{j_k} \partial_{\sigma}^{j_1\ldots j_k} L \right) \omega_{j_1\ldots j_{k-1}}^\sigma \wedge \theta_0 + dF_l + G_l \quad (l = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$$

where $F_l$ (resp. $G_l$) is a contact (resp. 2-contact form).

In particular if we take $l = r$ we get

$$(\pi_{s,r})^*d\lambda = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \left( d_{j_1} \cdots d_{j_k} \partial_{\sigma}^{j_1\ldots j_k} L \right) \right] \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0 + dF_r + G_r = E_{\sigma}(L) \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0 + dF_r + G_r$$

i.e.

$$(\pi_{s,r})^*d\lambda = E_{\sigma}(L) \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0 \pmod{\theta_{n+1}.}$$

This is exactly the formula from the statement.
Theorem 6.5 Let $T \in \Omega_{n+1,\mathbb{X}}^s$ be a differential equation. The for any $t > 2s$ the following formula is true:

$$i_{t,s}(E_{n+1}([T])) = \left[\frac{1}{2}H(T)\right]$$

(6.16)

where $H(T)$ is the Helmholtz-Sonin form associated to $T$.

Proof:

Suppose that in the chart $(V^s, \psi^s)$ we have the local expression $\text{[3.47]}$ for $T$; then we have on $(V^t, \psi^t)$:

$$(\pi^{t,s})^*dT = \sum_{k=0}^{s} \left( \partial_{t_1}^{j_1} \cdots \partial_{t_k}^{j_k} T_{\sigma} \right) \omega_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}^\nu \wedge \omega^\sigma \wedge \theta_0.$$  

Using the same trick as in the preceding theorem we can rewrite this as follows:

$$(\pi^{t,s})^*dT = \partial_{t_1} T_{\sigma} \omega^\sigma \wedge \omega^\nu \wedge \theta_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left( d_{j_1} \partial_{t_1}^{j_1} \cdots \partial_{t_k}^{j_k} T_{\sigma} \right) \omega^\sigma \wedge \omega^\nu_{j_1,\ldots,j_k-1} \wedge \theta_0$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left( \partial_{t_k} \cdots \partial_{t_1} T_{\sigma} \right) \omega^\sigma_{j_k,\ldots,j_1} \wedge \omega^\nu_{j_1,\ldots,j_k-1} \wedge \theta_0 + dF_1 + G_1$$

where $F_1$ (resp. $G_1$) is 2-contact (resp. 3-contact).

We want to use the same idea as in the preceding theorem. We have to find the proper induction hypothesis. After some thought this proves to be:

$$(\pi^{t,s})^*dT = \sum_{k=0}^{l} (-1)^k \sum_{p=k}^{l} \left( \delta_{p-k} \right) \left( d_{j_{p+1}} \cdots d_{j_k} \partial_{t_{p+1}}^{j_{p+1}} \cdots \partial_{t_k}^{j_k} T_{\sigma} \right) \omega^\sigma_{j_{p+1},\ldots,j_k} \wedge \omega^\nu \wedge \theta_0 +$$

$$+ (-1)^l \sum_{k=l+1}^{s} \sum_{k-l}^{k} \left( \delta_{p-k} \right) \left( d_{j_{p+1}} \cdots d_{j_k} \partial_{t_{p+1}}^{j_{p+1}} \cdots \partial_{t_k}^{j_k} T_{\sigma} \right) \omega^\sigma_{j_{p+1},\ldots,j_k} \wedge \omega^\nu \wedge \theta_0 + dF_l + G_l$$

where $F_l$ (resp. $G_l$) is 2-contact (resp. 3-contact) and $l = 1, \ldots, s$.

The induction from $l$ to $l+1$ is accomplished with the same trick only the computations become much more involved. For $l = s$ the preceding formula gives:

$$(\pi^{t,s})^*dT = \sum_{k=0}^{s} (-1)^k \sum_{p=k}^{l} \left( \delta_{p-k} \right) \left( d_{j_{p+1}} \cdots d_{j_k} \partial_{t_{p+1}}^{j_{p+1}} \cdots \partial_{t_k}^{j_k} T_{\sigma} \right) \omega^\sigma_{j_{p+1},\ldots,j_k} \wedge \omega^\nu \wedge \theta_0 + dF_s + G_s$$

where $F_s$ (resp. $G_s$) is 2-contact (resp. 3-contact). Using the definition of the Lie-Euler expressions $\text{[5.16]}$ we can write this formula in a more compact way:

$$(\pi^{t,s})^*dT = \sum_{|\mathbf{j}| \leq s} (-1)^{|\mathbf{j}|} E_{\mathbf{j}}(T_{\sigma}) \omega^\sigma_{\mathbf{j}} \wedge \omega^\nu \wedge \theta_0 + dF_s + G_s.$$  

We add this result to the initial expression for $(\pi^{t,s})^*dT$ and divide by 2. If we use the definition for the Helmholtz-Sonin forms $\text{[5.30]}$ we obtain:

$$(\pi^{t,s})^*dT = \frac{1}{2}H(T) + dF_s + G_s = \frac{1}{2}H(T) \pmod{\theta_0^{r_{n+2}}}.$$  
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7 Variationally Trivial Lagrangians

A variationally trivial Lagrange form of order \( r \) is any \( \lambda \in \Omega^r_{q,X} \) such that \( E(\lambda) = 0 \). In other words, the corresponding Euler-Lagrange expressions are identically zero, or the Euler-Lagrange equations are identities for any section \( \gamma \). Here we give the general form of such a Lagrange form. We follow, essentially [22] and [3] but as before we simplify considerably the proofs using the techniques developed in Section 3.2.

First, we remind the reader that \( \rho \in \Omega^{r+1}_q \) is called \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectable iff there exists \( \rho' \in \Omega^r_q \) such that
\[
\rho = (\pi^{r+1,r})^* \rho'.
\]
(7.1)

One can easily see that locally this amounts to the condition that if \( \rho \) is written as a polynomial in \( dx^i \) and \( dy^r_J \) (\(|J| \leq r+1\)) then, the differentials \( dy^r_J \) (\(|J| = r+1\)) must be absent and moreover, the coefficient functions must not depend on \( y^r_J \) (\(|J| = r+1\)).

We start with the following result [21]:

**Proposition 7.1** Let \( \eta \in \Omega^r_q \) (\( q = 1, \ldots, n-1 \)) such that \( h d \eta \) is a \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectable \((q+1)\)-form. Then one can write \( \eta \) as follows:
\[
\eta = \nu + d\phi + \psi
\]
where \( \nu \in \Omega^r_{q,X} \) is a basic \( q \)-form and \( \psi \in \Omega^r_{q(e)} \) is a contact \( q \)-form.

**Proof:**

Let \((V, \psi)\) be a chart on \( Y \). Then in the associated chart \((V', \psi')\) one can write \( \eta \) in the standard form
\[
\eta = \eta_0 + \eta_1
\]
(7.3)
where in \( \eta_0 \) we collect all terms containing at least one factor \( \omega^r_q \ (|J| \leq r-1) \) and \( \eta_1 \) is a polynomial only in \( dx^i \) and \( dy^r_J \) (\(|J| = r+1\)):
\[
\eta_1 = \sum_{s=0}^q \sum_{|I_1|,\ldots,|I_s|=r} A^{I_1,\ldots,I_s}_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_q} dy^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q},
\]
(7.4)
where the coefficients \( A^{I_1,\ldots,I_s}_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_q} \) have antisymmetry properties of type \((3.18)\).

In particular \( \eta_0 \) is a contact form so we have \( h d \eta = h d \eta_1 \) i.e. the form \( h d \eta_1 \) is, by hypothesis, \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectable. One first computes in general:
\[
d\eta_1 = \sum_{s=0}^q \sum_{|I_1|,\ldots,|I_s|=r} \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} (\partial_{\nu} A^{I_1,\ldots,I_s}_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_q}) \omega^r_J \wedge dy^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q}
\]
\[+ \sum_{s=0}^{q+1} \sum_{|I_1|,\ldots,|I_q|=r} A^{I_1,\ldots,I_q}_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_q,i_{q+1},\ldots,i_q+1} dy^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\sigma_q}_{I_q} \wedge dx^{i_{q+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{q+1}},
\]
where

\[ \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} \equiv \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=1}^{s} (-1)^{k-1} \partial_{\sigma_k} I_1 A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{k-1}, \sigma_{k+1}, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} + \]

\[ \frac{1}{q+1-s} \sum_{k=s+1}^{q+1} (-1)^{k-1} d_{ik} A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_k, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} . \]

or, equivalently

\[ \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} \equiv S_{(I_1, \sigma_1), \ldots, (I_s, \sigma_s)}^{-} \partial_{\sigma_1} I_1 A_{\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_2, \ldots, I_s} + \]

\[ (-1)^s S_{i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{-} d_{i_{s+1} A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+2}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s}} \] (7.5)

where \( S_{(I_1, \sigma_1), \ldots, (I_s, \sigma_s)}^{-} \) is the antisymmetrization projector in the corresponding couples of indices.

Then one gets

\[ h\eta = h\eta_1 = \sum_{s=0}^{q+1} \sum_{I_1, \ldots, I_s} \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} y_{I_1 i_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots y_{I_s i_s}^{\sigma_s} dx^{i_1} \land \cdots \land dx^{i_{q+1}} \]

which is \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectable iff the coefficient functions do not depend on \( y_I^\sigma \) \( (|I| = r + 1) \).

(ii) By repeatedly applying derivative operators, one obtains, as in [12], that the condition of \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectability is equivalent to

\[ S_{i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{-} S_{I_1 p_1}^{+} \cdots S_{I_s p_s}^{+} \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} \delta_{i_1}^{p_1} \cdots \delta_{i_s}^{p_s} = 0, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q + 1) \]

or, using a familiar argument from [12]:

\[ B_1 \cdots B_s \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} = 0, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q + 1). \] (7.6)

It is possible to apply lemma [3, 4] and one obtains the following generic expression:

\[ \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_\alpha \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s}^\alpha \]

or, in index notations, this means the function \( \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} \) is a sum of terms containing at least a factor of the type \( \delta_I^j \) where \( j \) belongs to one of the multi-indices \( I_p, \quad p = 1, \ldots, s \) and \( i \in \{i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}\} \).

If we insert back into the expression of \( d\eta_1 \) we get after minor prelucrations that

\[ d\eta_1 = \nu_1 + d\phi + \sum_{|J| \leq r - 1} \omega_J^\sigma \land \Phi_J^\sigma \] (7.7)

with \( \nu_1 \in \Omega_q, X \) a basic \( q \)-form, \( \phi \in \Omega_r^{q(c)} \) and \( \Phi_J^\sigma \) a polynomial of degree \( q \) in \( dx^i \) and \( dy_I^\sigma \) \( |I| = r \).

This relation implies that

\[ d\nu_1 + \sum_{|J| \leq r - 1} \left(d\omega_J^\sigma \land \Phi_J^\sigma - \omega_J^\sigma \land d\Phi_J^\sigma\right) = 0. \] (7.8)
One applies the operator $p_1$ to this equality and uses (7.1); a new relation is obtained, namely:

$$p_1d\nu_1 + \sum_{|J|\leq r-1} \left( d\omega^\sigma_J \wedge h\Phi^J_\sigma - \omega^\sigma_J \wedge hd\Phi^J_\sigma \right) = 0. \quad (7.9)$$

One must consider now the generic forms for $\nu_1$ and $\Phi^J_\sigma$ namely:

$$\nu_1 = A_{i_1,...,i_{q+1}}dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{q+1}} \quad (7.10)$$

and

$$\Phi^J_\sigma = \sum_{s=0}^q \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_s|=r} \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...}^{J,I_1,...,I_s} dy_{I_1}^{\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{I_s}^{\nu_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q}; \quad (7.11)$$

here we can assume a (partial) symmetry property of the type (3.18):

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...}^{J,I_1,...,I_s} = (-1)^{|I|+|Q|} \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...}^{J,I_1,...,I_s} \quad (7.12)$$

and we make the convention that

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...}^{J,I_1,...,I_s} = 0, \quad \forall J \text{ s.t. } |J| \geq r. \quad (7.13)$$

These expression must be plugged into the equation (7.9). One finds out from this equation the following consequence:

$$S^+_{i_1,...,i_{q+1}}S^+_{i_1p_1} \cdots S^+_{i_sp_s} \left[ \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...}^{J_1,...,J_k,I_1,...,I_s} + (-1)^s S_{j_1,...,j_k}\delta^1_{j_{s+1}} \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...}^{J_2,...,J_k,I_1,...,I_s} \right] \times \delta^{p_1}_{i_1} \cdots \delta^{p_s}_{i_s} = 0, \quad (s = 1,...,q+1,k = 0,...,r). \quad (7.14)$$

Here we have defined, in analogy with (7.3):

$$\tilde{A}_{\sigma_0,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...}^{I_0,...,I_s} \equiv S^+_{I_1,...,i_{q+1}}S^+_{I_1p_1} \cdots S^+_{I_sp_s} \left[ \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...}^{J_1,...,J_k,I_1,...,I_s} + \tilde{A}_{\sigma_0,\sigma_0,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...}^{I_0,I_0,...,I_s} \right] \times \delta^{p_1}_{i_1} \cdots \delta^{p_s}_{i_s}. \quad (7.15)$$

To simplify the analysis of the relation (7.14), one observes that it is possible to define a map

$$\Delta': \oplus_{s=0}^q \mathcal{H}_{q-s,k,r,...} \rightarrow \oplus_{s=0}^{q+1} \mathcal{H}_{q+1-s,k,r,...}$$

according to

$$(\Delta'\Phi)^{J,I_1,...,I_s}_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...} = \Phi^{J,I_1,...,I_s}_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...} \quad (7.16)$$

Then the equation above takes the form:

$$B_1 \cdots B_s \left( (\Delta'\Phi)^k + B_0 \Phi^{k-1} \right) = 0, \quad (s = 1,...,q+1,k = 0,...,r) \quad (7.17)$$

where $\Phi^k_s \in \mathcal{H}_{q-s,k,r,...}$ is the tensor of components $\Phi^{J,I_1,...,I_s}_{\sigma,\nu_1,...,\nu_s,i_{s+1},...} \quad |J| = k.$
We remind the reader that we have made the convention
\[ \Phi_s^{-1} = 0, \quad \Phi^r_s = 0. \] (7.18)

To solve the preceding equation one first establishes by direct computation that
\[ \{ \Delta', B_0 \} = 0. \] (7.19)
and
\[ (\Delta')^2 = 0. \] (7.20)

Now we can solve the system (7.17) by a procedure similar to the descent procedure applied in the BRST quantization scheme.

We take in (7.17) \( k = r \) and, taking into account the convention (7.18), we obtain:
\[ B_0 \cdots B_s \Phi^{r-1}_s = 0, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q). \] (7.21)

Lemma 3.6 can be applied and it follows that we have a generic expression of the following form:
\[ \Phi^r_s = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s} B_\alpha \Phi^{r-1,\alpha}_s, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q). \]

If we substitute this expression into the initial expression (7.11) of \( \Phi^J_\sigma \), \( |J| = r - 1 \) we find out that the contributions corresponding to \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, s \) are producing contact terms. So, it appears that we can redefine the expressions \( \Phi^J_\sigma \) such that instead of (7.7) we have
\[ d\eta_1 = \nu_1 + d\phi + \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \omega^\sigma_j \wedge \Phi^J_\sigma + \psi \] (7.22)
with \( \psi \) some 2-contact form and moreover
\[ \Phi^r_s = B_0 C^r_s, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q) \]
for some tensors \( C^r_s \in \mathcal{H}_{q-s-1,r-2,r, \ldots, r} \).

The procedure can now be iterated taking into (7.17) \( k = r - 1 \), etc. The result of this descent procedure is the following: one can redefine the tensors \( \Phi^J_\sigma \) such that we have (7.22) and
\[ \Phi^k_s = B_0 C^k_s + (\Delta C^{k+1})_s, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q; \quad k = 0, \ldots, r - 1) \] (7.24)
for some tensors \( C^k_s \in \mathcal{H}_{q-s-1,k-1,r, \ldots, r} \); by convention
\[ C^0_s = 0, \quad C^r_s = 0, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q). \]

In full index notations this means that we have
\[ \Phi^J_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_q} = (-1)^s S^+_J_{j_1 \ldots j_k, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q} S^-_{i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q} \delta^1_{j_1} C_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_s,i_{s+2},\ldots,i_q} + \tilde{C}^a_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_q} (s = 1, \ldots, q; k = 0, \ldots, r). \] (7.25)
Now one can substitute this expression for the tensors $\Phi^i$ into the original expression for the forms (7.11). After some algebra one obtains that
\[
\sum_{|J| \leq r-1} |J| \Phi^i_J \leq \sigma \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \Phi^i_{J,i_0,\ldots,i_q} \omega^J_j \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} + \phi_1
\]  
(7.26)
where $\phi_1 \in \Omega^r_{q(c)}$ is a contact form.

It emerges that the relation (7.22) becomes:
\[
d\eta_1 = \nu_1 + d\phi_1 + \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \Phi^i_{J,i_1,\ldots,i_q} \omega^J_j \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} + \psi
\]  
(7.27)
where $\phi_1$ (resp. $\psi$) is some contact (resp. 2-contact) form of degree $q$ (resp. $q + 1$).

(iii) The last step of our proof consists in using the contact homotopy operator $A$ (see the definition contained in the relations (6.3) - (6.5)). We apply the relation (6.7) to the form $\rho = \eta_1 - \phi_1$:
\[
\eta_1 - \phi_1 = A \left( \nu_1 + \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \Phi^i_{J,i_1,\ldots,i_q} \omega^J_j \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} + \psi \right) + dA(\eta_1 - \phi_1) + \eta_1(0) - \phi_1(0).
\]  
(7.28)

One proves by direct computation the following facts:
- $A\nu_1 = 0$;
- if $\psi$ is 2-contact form, then $A\psi$ is a contact form;
- $A(\sum \cdots)$ and $\eta_1(0)$ are basic forms;
- if $\phi_1$ is a contact form, then $\phi_1(0)$ is also a contact form.

Inserting this information in the preceding relation one obtains that the result from the statement of the proposition is true for the form $\eta_1$. Taking into account (7.3) we obtain the same result for the form $\eta$.

Let us note that the converse of this statement is not true. In fact the condition of $\pi^{r+1,r}$-projectability imposes additional constraints on the basic form $\nu$ which will be analysed in the next lemma. A complete proof of the following result appears in [3]; here we offer an alternative proof which seems to be much more simpler.

**Proposition 7.2** Let $\nu \in \Omega^r_{q,X}$ ($q = 1, \ldots, n-1$) Then the basic form $h\nu$ is $\pi^{r+1,r}$-projectable iff there exists for any chart $(V, \psi)$ a form $\check{\nu}_V \in \Omega^{r-1}_q$ such that we have in the associated chart $(V^r, \psi^r)$ the equality $\nu = h\check{\nu}_V$.

**Proof:** According to (2.31) we have in the associated chart $(V^r, \psi^r)$:
\[
\nu = A_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q}.
\]
Then one obtains by direct computation that:
\[
h\nu = \left( d_{i_0} A_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} + \sum_{|I|=r} \left( \partial^l_{i_0} A_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} \right) y^l_{i_0} \right) \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q}.
\]
(here $d_j$ is the formal derivative on $V^r$).

This form is $\pi^{r+1}r$-projectable *iff* the square bracket does not depend on $y^I$ ($|I| = r + 1$), i.e.

$$ S_{i_0,\ldots,i_q}^- S_{I_0}^+ \delta_{i_0}^I \left( \partial_{\sigma}^I A_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} \right) = 0. \quad (7.29) $$

It is clear that this relation is very similar to those already analysed. To be able to apply the central result contained in lemma 3.6 one must do a little trick. From the previous relation we obtain by derivation:

$$ S_{i_0,\ldots,i_q}^- S_{I_0}^+ \delta_{i_0}^I \left( \partial_{\sigma_0}^I \partial_{\sigma_q}^I A_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} \right) = 0. \quad (7.30) $$

If we define the tensor $A_{\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_q} \in H_q, r,\ldots,r$ by

$$ A_{\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_q,i_1,\ldots,i_q} = \partial_{\sigma_0}^I \partial_{\sigma_q}^I A_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} $$

then the preceding equation (7.30) can be compactly written as follows:

$$ B_0 A_{\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_q} = 0. $$

In fact, due to symmetry properties of the type (3.18), namely:

$$ A_{\sigma(I_0),\ldots,\sigma(I_s)} \equiv (\sigma(I_0),\ldots,\sigma(I_s)) \equiv (-1)^{|Q|} A_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_q,i_1,\ldots,i_q} \quad (7.31) $$

we have

$$ B_0 A_{\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_q} = 0 \quad (\alpha = 0,\ldots,q). \quad (7.32) $$

As a consequence we obtain:

$$ B_0 \cdots B_q A_{\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_q} = 0 $$

and lemma 3.6 can be applied; it follows that $A_\sigma$ has the generic form

$$ A_\sigma = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{q} B_\alpha A_\sigma^\alpha $$

for some tensors $A_\sigma^\alpha \in H_{q,r,\ldots,r-1,r,\ldots,r}$ where the entry $r - 1$ is on the position $\alpha$.

One can plug this relation into the initial relation (7.32) and a similar relation is obtained for the tensors $A_\alpha^\alpha$. By recurrence, one gets:

$$ A_\sigma = \sum_{\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_s=0}^{q} B_{\alpha_0} \cdots B_{\alpha_s} A_{\sigma_0^\alpha_0,\ldots,\sigma_s^\alpha_s} \quad (s = 0,\ldots,q) $$

with $A_{\sigma_0^\alpha_0,\ldots,\sigma_s^\alpha_s}$ some tensors in $H_{q-s-1,r_0,\ldots,r_q}$. In particular, for $s = q$ we obtain that in fact: $A_\sigma = 0$ i.e.

$$ \partial_{\sigma_0}^I \partial_{\sigma_q}^I A_{i_1,\ldots,i_q} = 0. \quad (7.33) $$
In other words, the functions \( A_{i_1, \ldots, i_q} \) are polynomials in \( y_i^q \) \((|I| = r)\) of maximal degree \( q \). So, the generic form of these functions is:

\[
A_{i_1, \ldots, i_q} = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s|=r} C_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1, \ldots, i_q}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} y_{I_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots y_{I_s}^{\sigma_s} \tag{7.34}
\]

with \( C_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1, \ldots, i_q}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} \) some smooth functions on \( V^r \) having symmetry properties of the type (7.31). Remark the fact that these functions do not depend on the variables \( y_i^q \) \((|I| = r)\) and this justifies the fact that they live on the chart \( V^{r-1} \).

Now we insert this generic expression into the projectability condition (7.29) and we get, in the same way as before

\[
S_{i_0, \ldots, i_q}^- S_{I_1 p_1}^+ \delta_{i_0}^{p_1} C_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1, \ldots, i_q}^{I_1, \ldots, I_s} = 0 \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q - 1) \tag{7.35}
\]

or, in tensor notations:

\[
B_1 C_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q} = 0 \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q - 1).
\]

In fact, due to the symmetry properties one has from here:

\[
B_{\alpha} C_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q} = 0 \quad (\alpha = 1, \ldots, s; \quad s = 1, \ldots, q - 1). \tag{7.36}
\]

Using an argument familiar by now we get from here:

\[
C_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q} = B_1 \cdots B_s \tilde{C}_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q} \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q - 1).
\]

In full index notations this means that we have the following generic expression:

\[
C_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1, \ldots, i_q}^{J_1 p_1, \ldots, J_s p_s} = S_{J_1 p_1}^+ \cdots S_{J_s p_s}^+ S_{i_1, \ldots, i_q}^- \delta^{p_1}_{i_1} \cdots \delta^{p_s}_{i_s} A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1, \ldots, i_q}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} \quad (s = 1, \ldots, q) \tag{7.37}
\]

where \(|J_1| = \cdots = |J_s| = r - 1\) and \( A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1, \ldots, i_q}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} \) are some smooth function on \( V^{r-1} \) completely antisymmetric in the indices \( i_1, \ldots, i_q \).

Inserting this expression in (7.34) one immediately obtains

\[
A_{i_1, \ldots, i_q} = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_s|=r-1} S_{i_1, \ldots, i_q}^- A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1, \ldots, i_q}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} y_{J_1 i_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots y_{J_s i_s}^{\sigma_s} \tag{7.38}
\]

Let us remark that the expression \( S_{i_1, \ldots, i_q}^- y_{J_1 i_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots y_{J_s i_s}^{\sigma_s} \) is completely antisymmetric in the couples \((I_1, \sigma_1), \ldots, (I_s, \sigma_s)\) so one can consider that the tensors \( A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s; i_1, \ldots, i_q}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} \) have the same property. In the end, it follows that they have the symmetry property (3.18).

The expression for the form \( \nu \) becomes

\[
\nu = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_s|=r-1} A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1+1, \ldots, i_q}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} y_{J_1 i_1}^{\sigma_1} \cdots y_{J_s i_s}^{\sigma_s} dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q} = h\tilde{\nu}_V \tag{7.39}
\]

where

\[
\tilde{\nu}_V = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|J_1|, \ldots, |J_s|=r-1} A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_1+1, \ldots, i_q}^{J_1, \ldots, J_s} dy_{J_1}^{\sigma_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{J_s}^{\sigma_s} \wedge dx^{i_1+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q}. \tag{7.40}
\]
This finishes the proof if we take into account that the relation (7.29) is equivalent to the projectability condition.

Now we are ready to obtain the most general form of a variationally trivial Lagrangian. First we note that we have

**Proposition 7.3** The Lagrange form \( \lambda \in \Omega^r_{n,X} \) is variationally trivial iff on has:

\[
E_n([\lambda]) = 0. 
\]

(7.41)

**Proof:** It is an immediate consequence of the definition of a variationally trivial Lagrange form and of theorem 6.4.

The central result now follows:

**Theorem 7.4** Let \( \lambda \in \Omega^r_{n,X} \) be a variationally trivial Lagrange form. Then for every point \( j^r_x \gamma \in J^rY \) there exists a neighbourhood \( V \) of \( \gamma(x) \in Y \) and a \( n \)-form \( \rho_V \) defined in the chart \((V^{r-1}, \psi^{r-1})\) which is basic and we also have: (1) \( \lambda = h\rho_V \) in the chart \((V^r, \psi^r)\); (2) \( d\rho_V = 0 \). Conversely, if such a local form \( \rho_V \) exists, then the form \( \lambda \) is variationally trivial.

**Proof:** (i) According to the previous proposition and applying the exactness of the variational sequence (theorem 6.3), there exists \( \eta \in \Omega^r_{n-1} \) such that

\[
[\lambda] = [d\eta],
\]

or, equivalently

\[
\lambda - d\eta \in \Theta^r_{n-1} = \Omega^r_{n-1}(c).
\]

(7.42)

As a consequence we have

\[
(\pi^{r+1,r})^* \lambda = h\lambda = h\eta.
\]

In particular, it follows that the \( n \)-form \( h\eta \) must be \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectable. We can apply proposition 7.1 and rewrite as follows:

\[
\lambda - d\nu \in \Omega^r_{n-1}(c),
\]

(7.43)

for some basic form \( \nu \). From here we get

\[
h\lambda = h\nu
\]

(7.44)

or using (3.1)

\[
(\pi^{r+1,r})^* \lambda = h\nu.
\]

(7.45)

Let us note that that this relation is completely equivalent to the initial condition of variationally triviality.

(ii) Next, one sees that from (7.44) it follows in particular that the \( n \)-form \( d\nu \) is \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectable. We can apply proposition 7.2 and obtain that \( \nu = h\tilde{\nu}_V \) for some basic form in the chart \((V^{r-1}, \psi^{r-1})\). If we define

\[
\rho_V \equiv d\tilde{\nu}_V
\]

(7.46)

then we obtain after some computation that

\[
(\pi^{r+1,r})^* (\lambda - h\rho_V) = 0
\]

and (1) from the statement follows. The definition (7.46) guarantees that we also have (2).
Remark 7.4.1 A statement of the type appearing in this theorem is, in fact, valid for every
\( \lambda \in \Omega^r_{q,X} \quad (q \leq n) \) such that \( E_q([\lambda]) = 0. \)

The theorem we just have proved has the following consequence (see also \[3\] thm. 4.3)

**Corollary 7.5** Any variationally trivial Lagrange form \( \lambda \in \Omega^r_{n,X} \) can be locally written as a
total exterior derivative of a local form \( \omega_V \in \mathcal{J}^r_{n-1} : \)

\[
\lambda = D \omega_V. \tag{7.47}
\]

**Proof:** In the proof of the preceding theorem we restrict, eventually, the chart \( V^{r-1} \) and
we have \( \rho_V = d\eta_V \) for some \((n - 1)\)-form on \( V^{r-1} \). Then, according to the definition (3.66)
of the total exterior derivative we have the formula from the statement with \( \omega_V \equiv h\eta_V \in \mathcal{J}^r_{n-1}. \)

One can now obtain the most general form of a variationally trivial local Lagrangian.

**Theorem 7.6** Any variationally trivial local Lagrangian of order \( r \) has the following form in
the chart \((V^r, \psi^r) : \)

\[
L = \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{1}{s!(n-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|,\ldots,|I_s| = r-1} L^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n} \mathcal{J}^{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n}_{I_1,\ldots,I_s}. \tag{7.48}
\]

Here we have defined

\[
\mathcal{J}^{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n}_{I_1,\ldots,I_s} \equiv \varepsilon_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} \prod_{l=1}^s y^s_{I_{l1}} \quad (s = 0, \ldots, n) \tag{7.49}
\]

and the function \( L^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n} \) are given by

\[
L^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^s (-1)^{k-1} A_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_k,i_{k+1},\ldots,i_s} I_{1,\ldots,k} + \sum_{k=s+1}^q (-1)^{k-1} d_{i_k} A_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n}; \tag{7.50}
\]

the expressions \( A_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{n-1}} \) are smooth functions on \( V^{r-1} \) verifying the symmetry property (3.18) and \( d_j = d_j^{r-1} \) is the corresponding formal derivative on \( V^{r-1}. \)

**Proof:** It is convenient to introduce the following forms:

\[
\chi \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s!(n-1-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|,\ldots,|I_s| = r-1} A_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{n-1}} dy^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{n-1}}
\]

and

\[
\theta \equiv \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{1}{s!(n-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|,\ldots,|I_s| = r-1} L^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n} dy^{\sigma_1}_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\sigma_s}_{I_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_n}.
\]

Then one finds out by direct computation that

\[
\theta = d\chi + \text{contact terms}.
\]

Next, one takes in theorem \[7.4\] \( \rho_V = \chi \) and it follows that \( \rho_V = \theta + \text{contact terms}. \) Finally,
by direct computation one discovers that \( \lambda = h\rho_V \) has the expression (7.48).
Remark 7.6.1 Let us note that the expressions (7.50) are of the same type as those given by (7.4).

The expressions (7.49) defined above are called hyper-Jacobians [4, 15] (see these references for similar results). It is immediate that they have antisymmetry properties of the type (3.18).

Now we give another argument for the converse statement from the preceding theorem is true. First we have:

Corollary 7.7 The local expression of a variationally trivial Lagrangian (7.48) can be rewritten as follows:

\[ L = d_j V^j \]  
(7.51)

where \( V^j \) are some smooth functions on \( V_r \).

Proof: Using the notations introduced in the proof above let us define the local expressions on \( V_r \):

\[ V^j \equiv \varepsilon^{j,i_1,...,i_{n-1}} \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{1}{s!(n-1-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_s|=r-1} A_{I_1,...,I_s;I_{r+1},...,I_{n-1}}^I f_{I_1i_1}^\sigma_1 \cdots f_{I_si_s}^\sigma_s. \]

One checks now that the formula from the statement is true. □

Now we indeed have:

Theorem 7.8 The expression (7.48) is variationally trivial.

Proof: We have according to the preceding corollary \( E_\sigma(L) = E_\sigma(d_j V^j) = 0 \) because we can apply (5.5). □

Remark 7.8.1 Some globalisation of the results above can be found in [22] (see theorem 5 and corollary 1 from this reference). In particular, for \( r = 1 \) one obtains known results [22], [8], [7], [14].

We close this Section with the analysis of the following problem. We have discovered that a variationally trivial Lagrangian depends on the highest order derivatives through some very particular polynomial expressions. The problem is to obtain a system of partial differential equations which is compatible only with this structure. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 7.9 Let us suppose that the local Lagrangian \( L \) on \( V_r \) verifies the system of partial differential equations:

\[ S_{p_1,...,p_r;j_r}^{\rho_1,...,\rho_r} \partial_{\rho_1}^{p_1} \cdots \partial_{\rho_r}^{p_r} \partial_{\sigma_1}^{j_1} \cdots \partial_{\sigma_r}^{j_r} L = 0. \]  
(7.52)

Then \( L \) is a polynomial in \( y_\sigma^\sigma \), \( |I| = r \) of the following form:

\[ L = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{1}{s!(n-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_s|=r-1} \mathcal{L}_{I_1,...,I_s;I_{r+1},...,I_{n-1}}^{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s;I_{r+1},...,I_{n}} \mathcal{J}_{I_1,...,I_s}^{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s} \]  
(7.53)

where \( \mathcal{L}_{I_1,...,I_s;I_{r+1},...,I_{n-1}}^{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s;I_{r+1},...,I_{n}} \) are some smooth functions on \( V_r^{r-1} \) verifying symmetry properties of the type (3.18). Conversely, if \( L \) is of the form above, then the system (7.52) is identically fulfilled.
Proof:
(i) We will first prove that if the local Lagrangian \( L \) verifies the identities:

\[
S_{p_1,\ldots,p_{r-l+1},j_{k-l+1},\ldots,j_r}^+ \partial_{\sigma}^{p_{r-l+1}\ldots p_l} \partial_{\sigma}^{j_{k-l+1}\ldots j_r} L = 0 \quad (1 \leq l \leq k \leq r)
\]  

(7.54)

and

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^k d_{j_1}^r \cdots d_{j_k}^r \partial_{\sigma}^{j_{k-l+1}\ldots j_r} L = 0
\]  

(7.55)

then we have \( E_{\sigma}(L) = 0 \) in \( V^s \) (\( s > 2r \)).

Indeed one starts directly form the definition

\[
E_{\sigma}(L) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^k d_{j_k}^r \cdots d_{j_1}^r \partial_{\sigma}^{j_{k-l+1}\ldots j_r} L = \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^k (d_{j_k}^r + \sum_{l=r}^{2r-1} y_{p_1,\ldots,p_l,k} \partial_{\nu}^{p_{r-l+1}\ldots p_l}) d_{j_{k-l+1}}^r \cdots d_{j_1}^r \partial_{\sigma}^{j_{k-l+1}\ldots j_r} L
\]  

(7.56)

and commutes \( \partial_{\nu}^{p_{1}\cdots p_l} \) over \( d_{j_{k-l+1}}^r \cdots d_{j_1}^r \).

We must use the hypothesis \( (7.54) \) and a generalization of the formula \( (2.23) \), namely

\[
[\partial_{\sigma}^{p_{1}\cdots p_k}, d_{j_1} \cdots d_{j_l}] = S_{p_1,\ldots,p_k}^+ S_{j_1,\ldots,j_l}^+ \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_{k,l,t} \delta_{j_1}^{p_1} \cdots \delta_{j_l}^{p_{l-1}} d_{j_{l+1}}^{p_l} \cdots d_{j_1}^{p_1} \partial_{\sigma}^{p_{l+1}\cdots p_k} \quad (k \geq l)
\]

(7.57)

where \( c_{k,l,t} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \); this formula can be proved by induction on \( l \).

Now we easily obtain that in fact the terms corresponding to the sum over \( l \) in \( (7.56) \) give a null contribution, so we are left with:

\[
E_{\sigma}(L) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^k d_{j_k}^r \cdots d_{j_1}^r \partial_{\sigma}^{j_{k-l+1}\ldots j_r} L.
\]

We continue in the same way by recurrence and finally get

\[
E_{\sigma}(L) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-1)^k d_{j_k}^r \cdots d_{j_1}^r \partial_{\sigma}^{j_{k-l+1}\ldots j_r} L
\]

which is zero, according to \( (7.53) \).

It appears that \( (7.54) \) and \( (7.55) \) imply that the Lagrangian \( L \) is variationally trivial. According to theorem \( 7.4 \) \( L \) has the form \( (7.53) \) from the statement, but with some restrictions on the functions \( L^+ \). On the other hand, it is clear that the dependence on the highest order derivatives must follow only from the equations containing only the partial derivatives of order \( r \) i.e. \( (7.54) \) for \( k = r \) and \( l = 1 \) i.e. the system \( (7.52) \) from the statement.

(ii) The converse statement follows rather easily. One shows by direct computations that if \( L \) is given by the formula \( (7.53) \), then:

\[
\partial_{\sigma_1}^{l_1} L = \sum_{s=1}^{n} (-1)^{s-1} \frac{1}{(s-1)!(n-s)!} \sum_{|I_2|,\ldots,|I_s|=r-1} \frac{1}{|I_2|,\ldots,|I_s|=r-1} (B_1 \mathcal{L})_{\sigma_1^{l_1},\ldots,\sigma_s^{l_s+1},\ldots,\sigma_s^{l_s+1},\ldots,\sigma_n^{l_n}} \mathcal{J}_{I_1,\ldots,I_s}.
\]

Iterating the derivation procedure we have

\[
\partial_{\sigma_1}^{l_1} \partial_{\sigma_2}^{l_2} L = -\sum_{s=2}^{n} \frac{1}{(s-2)!(n-s)!} \sum_{|I_3|,\ldots,|I_s|=r-1} \frac{1}{|I_3|,\ldots,|I_s|=r-1} (B_1 B_2 \mathcal{L})_{\sigma_1^{l_1},\ldots,\sigma_s^{l_s+1},\ldots,\sigma_s^{l_s+1},\ldots,\sigma_n^{l_n}} \mathcal{J}_{I_1,\ldots,I_s}
\]

and one proves that \( (7.52) \) is fulfilled by direct computation.

\[ \square \]
8  Locally Variational Differential Equations

The definitions for a general differential equation and for a locally variational differential equation have been given previously (see the formulæ (3.47) and resp. (5.22).) We want to analyse the general structure of a locally variational differential equation along the same lines of argument as in the previous Section. We will not be able to obtain the most general expression for such an object (as we have been able to obtain in the case of variationally trivial Lagrangians) but we will produce a generic expression of the same type as (7.53). We mention again that this result has been already obtained in [2] with a completely different method.

Our starting point is a analogue of the proposition 7.1 for the case $q = n$; it is natural to also make the replacement $h \rightarrow p_1$.

**Proposition 8.1** Let $\eta \in \Omega^r_n$ such that $p_1 d\eta$ is a $\pi^{r+1,r}$-projectable $(n+1)$-form. Then one can write $\eta$ as follows:

$$\eta = \nu + \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \Phi^J_{\sigma,i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}} \omega^J_\sigma \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{n-1}} + d\phi + \psi$$  (8.1)

where $\nu \in \Omega^r_{n,X}$ is a basic $n$-form, $\psi \in \Omega^r_{n+1}$ is a 2-contact form and $\Phi^J_{\sigma,i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}}$ are smooth functions on $V^r$ completely antisymmetric in the indices $i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}$.

**Proof:**

We proceed in analogy with proposition 7.1. Let $(V, \psi)$ be a chart on $Y$. Then in the associated chart $(V^r, \psi^r)$ one can write $\eta$ in the standard form

$$\eta = \eta_0 + \eta_1$$  (8.2)

where in $\eta_0$ we collect all terms containing at least one factor $\omega^J_\sigma$ ($|J| \leq r - 1$) and $\eta_1$ is a polynomial only in $dx^i$ and $dy^J_\sigma$ ($|J| = r + 1$):

$$\eta_1 = \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{|I_1|,\ldots,|I_s|=r} A^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n} dy^J_{I_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^J_{I_s} \wedge dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_{s+1}},$$  (8.3)

where the coefficients $A^I_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n}$ have antisymmetry properties of type (3.18).

The generic form of $\eta_0$ is

$$\eta_0 = \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \omega^J_\sigma \wedge \Phi^J_\sigma$$  (8.4)

with $\Phi^J_\sigma$ some $(n-1)$-forms. It follows then that

$$d\eta = d\eta_1 + \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \left[ (d\omega^J_\sigma) \wedge \Phi^J_\sigma - \omega^J_\sigma \wedge (d\Phi^J_\sigma) \right].$$  (8.5)

One applies the operator $p_1$ to this equality and uses (1.1); a new relation is obtained, namely:

$$p_1 d\eta = p_1 d\eta_1 + \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} \left[ (d\omega^J_\sigma) \wedge h\Phi^J_\sigma - \omega^J_\sigma \wedge (hd\Phi^J_\sigma) \right].$$  (8.6)
The expression of $d\eta_1$ has been computed quite generally before and is given by (7.3). Applying the operator $p_1$ and using (7.3) one obtains:

$$p_1 d\eta_1 = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_r|=r} \sum_{|J|=r-1} (\partial_\nu A_{I_1,...,I_s}^{I_1,...,I_s}) y_{I_1}^{\nu_1} \cdots y_{I_s}^{\nu_s} \omega_{I_1}^1 \wedge dx_{i}^{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i}^{i_n}$$

$$+ \sum_{|I_1|=r} \tilde{B}_{I_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}} \omega_{I_1}^1 \wedge dx_{i}^{i+2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i}^{i_{n+1}},$$

where

$$\tilde{B}_{I_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}} = A_{i_2,...,i_{n+1}} \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} s \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_r|=r} \tilde{A}_{I_1,...,I_s}^{I_1,...,I_s} y_{I_2}^{\nu_1} \cdots y_{I_s}^{\nu_s}. \quad (8.7)$$

The expression (8.6) becomes

$$p_1 d\eta = - \sum_{|J|=r-1} \omega_{J}^1 \wedge dx_{i}^1 \wedge h\Phi_{\sigma} + \sum_{|I_1|=r} \tilde{B}_{I_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}} \omega_{I_1}^1 \wedge dx_{i}^{i+2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i}^{i_{n+1}} + \cdots, \quad (8.8)$$

where by $\cdots$ we mean contributions which do not contain the differentials $\omega_{J}^1$, $|I| = r$.

The first term has the generic form

$$\sum_{|I_1|=r} C_{I_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}} \omega_{I_1}^1 \wedge dx_{i}^{i+2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i}^{i_{n+1}}$$

where $C_{I_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}}$ are some polynomials in $y_{J}^1$, $|I| = r + 1$ of maximal degree $(n - 1)$; because of the presence of the combination $\omega_{I_1}^1 \wedge dx_{i}^1$ these polynomials are of delta-type i.e. they are obtained by applying $B_1$ on some other tensors; it follows that we have in compact tensor notations:

$$B_1 C_{\sigma} = 0. \quad (8.9)$$

The expression (8.8) does not depend on $y_{J}^1$, $|I| = r + 1$ by hypothesis. This is equivalent with the independence of $\tilde{B}_{I_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}} - C_{\sigma}$ on $y_{J}^1$, $|I| = r + 1$. In particular, the same thing must be true for $B_1(\tilde{B}_{I_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}} - C_{\sigma}) = B_1 \tilde{B}_{I_1,i_2,...,i_{n+1}}$ where use of (8.9) has been made.

If the expression (8.7) is used one obtains

$$S_{i_2,...,i_{n+1}} (B_1 \tilde{A})_{I_1,...,I_s}^{I_1,...,I_s} y_{I_2}^{\nu_1} \cdots y_{I_s}^{\nu_s} = 0, \quad (s = 2, ..., n + 1)$$

or, in compact tensor notations:

$$B_2 \cdots B_s B_1 \tilde{A}_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s} = 0, \quad (s = 2, ..., n + 1).$$

One can apply lemma 3.4 and obtains that $\tilde{A}_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s}$ has the following form

$$\tilde{A}_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} B_{\alpha} A_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s}^{\alpha}, \quad (s = 2, ..., n + 1).$$

This expression must be substituted into the formula for $d\eta_1$ and, after some elementary preliminaries, the following generic form is produced:

$$d\eta_1 = \nu_1 + d\phi + \sum_{|J|\leq r-1} \omega_{J}^1 \wedge \Phi_{\sigma}^{J}. \quad (8.10)$$
Here $\phi \in \Omega_{n(c)}^r$ is a contact form, $\nu_1$ has the form

$$\nu_1 = \sum_{|J| = r} A_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^I dy_{I_1}^{\sigma_1} \wedge dx^{j_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{n+1}}$$

(8.11)

and $\Phi_I^J$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ in $dx^i$ and $dy_I$, $|I| = r$. Explicitly:

$$\Phi_I^J = \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{|J| = r} \Phi_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s} dy_{I_1}^{\sigma_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{I_s}^{\sigma_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{n+1}};$$

(8.12)

here we can assume a (partial) symmetry property of the type (7.12) and we make the convention

$$\Phi_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s} = 0, \quad \forall J \quad \text{s.t.} \quad |J| \geq r.$$  

(8.13)

(ii) From the expression (8.10) one obtains after exterior differentiation and application of the operator $p_2$ the following condition:

$$p_2 d\nu_1 - \sum_{|J| \leq r-1} (\omega_I J d^i \wedge p_1 \Phi_I^J - \omega_I J \wedge p_1 d\Phi_I^J) = 0.$$  

(8.14)

As in the proof of the proposition 7.1, one finds out from this equation the following consequences:

$$S_{i_2,\ldots,i_{n+1}}^1 \cdots S_{i_{2s}p_s}^1 \left[ \Phi_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s} + (-1)^s S_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s} \delta_{i_{s+1}}^{j_1} \Phi_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s} \right] \times$$

$$\delta_{i_2}^{p_2} \cdots \delta_{i_s}^{p_s} = 0, \quad (s = 2, \ldots, n+1, k = 0, \ldots, r-1)$$

(8.15)

and

$$S_{i_2,\ldots,i_{n+1}}^1 \cdots S_{i_{2s}p_s}^1 S_{j_2,\ldots,j_{n+1}}^1 \left[ \Phi_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s} + \Phi_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s} \right] \times$$

$$\delta_{i_2}^{p_2} \cdots \delta_{i_s}^{p_s} = 0, \quad (s = 2, \ldots, n+1).$$

(8.16)

(Here use have been made of the definition (7.15).)

In compact notations, these two relations can be written in a similar way and with similar notations (using, in particular, the convenient operator (7.11)):

$$B_2 \cdots B_s \left( (\Delta \Phi)_s^k + B_0 \Phi_{s}^{k-1} \right) = 0, \quad (s = 2, \ldots, n+1, k = 0, \ldots, r-1)$$

(8.17)

and

$$B_2 \cdots B_s \left( B_0 \Psi_{s}^{r-1} + B_1 \Psi_{r}^{-1} \right) = 0, \quad (s = 2, \ldots, n+1);$$

(8.18)

here we have defined:

$$\Psi_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s} = \Phi_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r+1}}^{I_1,\ldots,I_s}.$$  

(8.19)

It is clear that we must apply again the descent procedure from the proof of the proposition 7.1 in a case which is a little more complicated. We first deal with the equation (8.18) by applying the operator $B_1$; then one gets:

$$B_0 \cdots B_s \Psi_{s}^{r-1} = 0, \quad (s = 2, \ldots, n+1)$$

(8.19)
from where one obtains, with lemma 3.6

\[ \Phi_{s}^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{s} B_{\alpha} \Phi_{s}^{-1,\alpha}. \quad (s = 2, \ldots, n + 1). \]

As before, one can redefine \( \Phi_{s}^{-1} \) such that, instead of the previous formula we have a generic expression of the type:

\[ \Phi_{s}^{-1} = B_{0} C_{s}^{-1}, \quad (s = 2, \ldots, n + 1) \] (8.20)

and, instead of (8.10) we have

\[ d\eta_{1} = \nu_{1} + d\phi + \sum_{|J|\leq r-1} \omega_{\sigma}^{J} \wedge \Phi_{\sigma}^{J} + \psi \] (8.21)

where \( \psi \) is a 2-contact form.

One can take from the beginning the previous argument, based on acting on this relation with the operator \( p_{2}d \), and obtains this time only the relation (8.17) together with (8.20); the tensors \( C_{s}^{-1} \) stay arbitrary. Now we are back in the same case we have previously analysed in proposition 7.1. The descent procedure can be applied and instead of (8.21) one gets the following expression:

\[ d\eta_{1} = \nu_{1} + d\phi + \sum_{|J|\leq r-1} \omega_{\sigma}^{J} \wedge \Phi_{\sigma}^{J} + \psi + \sum_{|J|\leq r-1} \sum_{|I_{1}|=r} \Phi_{\sigma,i_{1},\ldots,i_{r}}^{J_{1}} \omega_{\sigma}^{J_{1}} \wedge dy_{i_{1}}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{n}}^{n} \] (8.22)

where the notations have the same meaning as before.

Now we apply for the third time the argument based on acting on this relation with the operator \( p_{2}d \), and obtain instead of (8.17) and (8.18) only the equation

\[ B_{2}(\Delta' \Phi_{k})_{2} = 0, \quad (k = 0, \ldots, r - 1). \]

From symmetry considerations we also have the same relation with \( B_{2} \to B_{1} \) so, we can obtain as in the proof of proposition 7.2, that the following equality stays true:

\[ \Delta' \Phi_{k}^{1} = B_{1} B_{2} C_{k}, \quad (k = 0, \ldots, r - 1) \] (8.23)

where \( C_{k} \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1,k-2,r-1,r-1} \).

(iii) We concentrate on the analysis of the previous equation (8.23). The key observation is that there exists a homotopy operator for \( \Delta' \). Indeed, we have

**Lemma 8.2** Suppose that the tensor \( A = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{q} A_{s} \) verifies the equation:

\[ \Delta' A = 0. \] (8.24)

Then there exists a tensor \( C = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{r-1} C_{s} \) such that

\[ A = \Delta'C. \] (8.25)
Proof:
An explicit formula for the tensor $C$ (suggested by [22]) is the following one. One defines
the map $\chi : \mathbb{R} \times V^r \to V^r$ by

$$\chi(u, (x^i, y^j, \ldots, y^j_{j_1, \ldots, j_r})) = (x^i, y^j, \ldots, u y^j_{j_1, \ldots, j_r})$$

and afterwards:

$$C^{J,I_1,\ldots,I_s}_{\nu,\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{q-1}} \equiv (s + 1) \sum_{|I_0|=r} y^0_{I_0} \int_0^1 u^s A^{J,I_0,\ldots,I_s}_{\nu,\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{q-1}} \circ \chi \ du, \ (s = 1, \ldots, q - 1).$$

By elementary computations one finds out that:

$$(\Delta' C)^{J,I_1,\ldots,I_s}_{\nu,\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{q}} = A^{J,I_1,\ldots,I_s}_{\nu,\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{q}}, \ (s = 1, \ldots, q)$$

and this gives us the desired homotopy formula. ∇

The previous lemma has a generalization.

**Lemma 8.3** Let us suppose that we have the equation:

$$\Delta' A = D. \quad (8.26)$$

Then tensor $D$ verifies the consistency relation:

$$\Delta' D = 0 \quad (8.27)$$

and a particular solution of the equation above is of the form:

$$A^{J,I_1,\ldots,I_s}_{\nu,\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{q}} = (s + 1) \sum_{|J|=r} y^0_{I_0} \int_0^1 u^s D^{J,I_0,\ldots,I_s}_{\nu,\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{q-1}} \circ \chi \ du, \ (s = 1, \ldots, q - 1). \quad (8.28)$$

**Proof:** The consistency condition follows from (7.20) and the last equality by direct computations. ∇

(iv) We need the preceding two lemmas only in the case $q = n$. One finds out that the generic solution of the equation (8.23) is

$$\Phi^k = \Delta' \Psi^k + B_1 A^k, \quad (k = 0, \ldots, r - 1) \quad (8.29)$$

where the first term is an arbitrary solution of the homogeneous equation (obtained with the first lemma) and the second term is a particular solution of the non-homogeneous equation (obtained with the second lemma for $D = B_1 B_2 C^k$.)

Now we must substitute this result in the last sum from the formula (8.22); it is not very hard to regroup the result with the first sum such that one obtains a more simple expression:

$$d\eta_1 = \nu_1 + d\phi + \psi + \sum_{|J|\leq r} A^J_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} dy^\sigma_J \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_n}. \quad (8.30)$$
(v) The formula above can be prelucrated, as in proposition (7.1), using the contact homotopy operator $A$. Indeed, if we apply to the form $\eta - \phi_1$ the formula (6.7) we obtain:

$$\eta - \phi_1 = A \left( \nu + \sum_{|J| \leq r} A_J^{i_1, \ldots, i_n} dy_J^{i_1} \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_n} + \psi \right) + dA(\eta - \phi_1) + \eta_1(0) - \phi_1(0). \quad (8.31)$$

As before we easily establish the following facts:
- $A\nu_1 = 0$;
- $A(\sum \cdots )$ and $\eta_1(0)$ are basic $n$-forms;
- if $\phi$ is contact, then $\phi_1(0)$ is contact;
- if $\psi$ is a 2-contact form, then $A\psi$ is a contact form.

These results, gives us the following generic expression for $\eta$:

$$\eta = \nu + \eta_0 + d\phi \quad (8.32)$$

where $\nu$ is a basic $n$-form, $\phi$ is a contact $(n - 1)$-form and $\eta_0$ is a $n$-contact form of the type (8.4). It is obvious that the same type of expression stays true for $\eta$ also.

(vi) It is useful to notice that the forms $\Phi_J^\sigma$ from (8.4) can also be decomposed into a contribution having at least a factor $\omega_j^\sigma$ and a polynomial of degree $(n - 1)$ in the differentials $dx^i$ and $dy_i$, $|I| = r$. In this way, the formula for $\eta$ takes a more convenient form, namely:

$$\eta = \nu + \eta_0 + d\phi + \psi \quad (8.33)$$

where $\nu$ and $\phi$ have the same properties as above, $\psi$ is a 2-contact form and $\eta_0$ has the expression of the type (8.4) but the forms $\Phi_J^\sigma$ are polynomials (of degree $n - 1$) only in the differentials $dx^i$ and $dy_i$, $|I| = r$. Explicitly:

$$\Phi_J^\sigma = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{|I|, |J| = r} \Phi_{J,I_1,\ldots,I_s}^{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_n} dy_{I_1}^{s_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{I_s}^{s_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{n-1}} \quad (8.34)$$

where symmetry properties of the type (7.12) can be imposed and we admit that:

$$\Phi_{J,I_1,\ldots,I_s}^{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_n} = 0, \quad \forall J \quad \text{s.t.} \quad |J| \geq r. \quad (8.35)$$

We must impose on this expression for $\eta$ the condition from the statement of the proposition. The local expression for $\nu$ is given by (2.32). We obtain instead of (8.8):

$$p_1 d\eta = \sum_{|J| \leq r} (\partial^J \omega_J^\sigma) \wedge \theta_0 + \sum_{|J| = r-1} \left[ (d\omega_J^\sigma) \wedge h\Phi_J^\sigma - \omega_J^\sigma \wedge (h\Phi_J^\sigma) \right]. \quad (8.36)$$

The condition of $\pi^{r+1,r}$-projectability for this expression amounts to a set of relation similar to (8.15), namely:

$$S_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}^{1,\ldots,p_1} \cdots S_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}^{1,\ldots,p_s} \left[ \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n,j_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}}^{j_{j_1,\ldots,j_k},i_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}} + (-1)^s \delta_{i_{s+1}}^{j_{1,s+1}} \Phi_{\sigma,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_s,i_{s+2},\ldots,i_n,j_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}}^{j_{j_1,\ldots,j_k},i_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}} \right] \times \delta_{i_1}^{p_1} \cdots \delta_{i_s}^{p_s} = 0, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, n, k = 0, \ldots, r) \quad (8.37)$$
In compact notations this amounts to
\[ B_1 \cdots B_s \left( (\Delta' \Phi)_s^k + B_0 \Phi_{s}^{k-1} \right) = 0, \quad (s = 1, \ldots, n, k = 0, \ldots, r) \] (8.38)
which can be analysed with the, by now familiar, descent technique. Some terms can be grouped into the exterior differential of a contact form and in this way the formula from the statement emerges.

The converse of this proposition is not true. We proceed nevertheless to the study of locally variational differential equations by proving an analogue of proposition 7, namely:

**Proposition 8.4** Let \( T \) be a differential equation. Then \( T \) is locally variational iff
\[ E_{n+1}([T]) = 0. \] (8.39)

**Proof:** It is an immediate consequence of the definition of a variationally trivial Lagrange form and of theorem 6.5.

Then we have the central result of this section:

**Theorem 8.5** Let \( T \) be a locally variational differential equation. Then \( T \) has the following local form in \( V^r \) given by (3.47) where:
\[ T_\sigma = \varepsilon^{i_1, \ldots, i_n} \sum_{s=0}^{n} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s|=r-1} T_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_n} \omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_n} \] (8.40)
where \( T_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_n} \) are some smooth functions on \( V^{r-1} \) verifying symmetry properties of the type (3.18); this symmetry property leaves aside the index \( \sigma \).

**Proof:** (i) According to the previous proposition and applying the exactness of the variational sequence (theorem 6.3), there exists \( \lambda \in \Omega^r_n \) such that
\[ [T] = [d\lambda], \]
or, equivalently
\[ T - d\lambda \in \theta^r_{n+1}. \]

If we use (6.1) we obtain that
\[ T - d\lambda = \alpha + d\beta \]
where \( \alpha \in \Omega^r_{n+1(c)} \) and \( \beta \in \Omega^r_{n(c)} \). We can redefine \( \lambda \rightarrow \lambda - \beta \) and we obtain
\[ T - d\lambda = \alpha \in \Omega^r_{n+1(c)} \] (8.41)
or, equivalently:
\[ p_1 T = p_1 d\lambda. \] (8.42)

(ii) In particular, it follows that the \((n+1)\)-form \( p_1 d\lambda \) must be \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectable. We can apply proposition 8.1 and obtain that \( \lambda \) has the following expression:
\[ \lambda = \nu + \sum_{|J|\leq r-1} \Phi^J_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}} \omega_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{n-1}} + d\phi + \psi \] (8.43)
where \( \nu \in \Omega^r_{n, \mathcal{X}} \) is a basic \( n \)-form, \( \psi \in \Omega^r_{n+1} \) is a 2-contact form and \( \Phi^{J}_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}} \) are smooth functions on \( V^r \) completely antisymmetric in the indices \( i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} \); for uniformity of notations we will extend the sum to \( |J| = r \) with the convention:

\[
\Phi^{J}_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}} = 0, \quad |J| = r. \tag{8.44}
\]

One computes the exterior differential of this form and imposes the condition of projectability. As in the proposition 7.2 one finds out that \( p_1 d\lambda \) is \( \pi^{r+1,r} \)-projectable iff the following equations are fulfilled:

\[
S^{-}_{1, \ldots, i_n} \delta^p_{J, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, i_n} = 0 \tag{8.45}
\]
or:

\[
S^{-}_{1, \ldots, i_n} S_{J, \nu_1} \delta^p_{J, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, i_n} = 0. \tag{8.46}
\]

This equation is of the same type as \( \text{(7.34)} \) and the analysis performed there can be applied (one notices that the index \( q \) can take the value \( n - 1 \)). As a result, \( \Phi^{J}_{\sigma} \) has a polynomial of the type \( \text{(7.38)} \)

\[
\Phi^{J}_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}} = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s| = r-1} S^{-}_{1, \ldots, i_n} \delta^{I_s}_{\sigma, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, i_n} C^{J, I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, i_n} y^{\nu_1}_{I_1} \cdots y^{\nu_s}_{I_s} \tag{8.47}
\]

where one can suppose that the tensors \( C^{J, I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, i_n} \) have (partial) symmetry properties of the type \( \text{(7.42)} \).

(iii) Now one inserts the expressions \( \text{(3.44)} \) and \( \text{(8.43)} \) for \( T \) and respectively for \( \lambda \) into the projectability condition \( \text{(8.42)} \) and obtains after some algebra the following two relations:

\[
T_{\sigma} = \partial_{\sigma} L + \varepsilon^{i_1, \ldots, i_n} \Phi^0_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_n} \tag{8.48}
\]

and

\[
\partial^{J}_{\sigma} L = \varepsilon^{i_1, \ldots, i_n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s| = r-1} (B_0 C)^{J, I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, i_n} y^{\nu_1}_{I_1} \cdots y^{\nu_s}_{I_s} + \Phi^{J}_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_n} \tag{8.49}
\]

One must substitute into the last equation the expressions \( \text{(8.47)} \) for \( \Phi^J_{\sigma, i_1, \ldots, i_n} \) and after some computations one arrives at

\[
\partial^{J}_{\sigma} L = F^{J}_{\sigma} \equiv \varepsilon^{i_1, \ldots, i_n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s| = r-1} \left[ \left( B_0 C \right)^{J, I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, i_n} + \left( \Delta' C \right)^{J, I_1, \ldots, I_s}_{\sigma, \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, i_n} \right] \times y^{\nu_1}_{I_1} \cdots y^{\nu_s}_{I_s} \tag{8.50}
\]

It is clear that Frobenius conditions of integrability should be fulfilled:

\[
\partial^{K}_{\zeta} F^{J}_{\sigma} = \partial^{J}_{\sigma} F^{K}_{\zeta}, \quad |J|, |K| = 1, \ldots, r. \tag{8.51}
\]
Instead of trying to solve directly these equations we proceed as follows. We admit that the integrability conditions (8.51) are fulfilled for \(|J| = |K| = r\). Then one can obtain from (8.50) with a well-known homotopy formula:

\[
L = L_0 + \int_0^1 \sum_{|J|=r} y_J^\sigma \tilde{F}_\sigma^J(x^i, y_j^\sigma, \ldots, y_{j_1,\ldots,j_{r-1}}, \ldots, uy_{j_1,\ldots,j_r}) du \tag{8.52}
\]

where \(L_0\) does not depend on the highest order derivatives. Using the explicit expression for \(F_J^\sigma\) from (8.50) one arrives at:

\[
L = L_0 + \varepsilon^{i_1,\ldots,i_n} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{1}{S(I_1,\ldots,I_s)} A_{I_1,\ldots,I_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n}^{I_0,\ldots,I_s} y_{I_1 i_1}^{\nu_1} \ldots y_{I_s i_s}^{\nu_s} \tag{8.53}
\]

where

\[
A_{I_0,\ldots,I_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{n-1}}^{I_0,\ldots,I_s} = \mathcal{S}^{-1}(I_0,\nu_0)\ldots(I_s,\nu_s) C_{I_0,\ldots,I_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_{n-1}}, \quad (s = 0, \ldots, n-1) \tag{8.54}
\]

is constructed from \(C_{\ldots}\) such that it verifies the complete symmetry property (3.18). Now one computes from (8.52) the partial derivatives of \(L\) and, considering the highest order ones, obtains by comparison with the relation (8.50)

\[
B_0 \cdots B_s (C_s - A_s) = 0, \quad s = 0, \ldots, n-1.
\]

If we apply lemma 3.6 one obtains, as usual, that

\[
C_{I_1,\ldots,I_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n} = A_{I_1,\ldots,I_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n} + \delta - \text{terms}.
\]

If we substitute this expression for \(C_{\ldots}\) into the equation (8.47) for \(|J| = r-1\) we obtain that the delta terms give a null contribution; as a consequence, it follows that the expressions \(C_{I_1,\ldots,I_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n}\), \(|I_1|, \ldots, |I_s| = r-1\) can be considered to have the symmetry property (3.18) without loosing the generality. Then the integrability condition (8.51) is fulfilled for \(|J| = r\).

(iv) Finally, we develop the expression (8.48) for \(T_{\sigma}\) using the expression (8.47) for \(\Phi_{\sigma,i_1,\ldots,i_n}\) and the expression (8.52) for \(L\). It is elementary to prove that both terms in (8.48) are polynomials in the hyper-Jacobians of the type appearing in the statement of the theorem.

Remark 8.5.1 The functions \(T_{I_1,\ldots,I_s,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n}\) appearing in the statement cannot be completely arbitrary because we did not use all the integrability conditions (8.54).

Remark 8.5.2 For the case \(r = 2\) analysed in detail in [17] it is possible to use completely the Helmholtz-Sonin equations involving the highest order derivatives. One obtains that \(T_{\sigma}\) has a expression as in the statement of the theorem, but the coefficients \(T_{\sigma,i_1,\ldots,i_{s+1},\ldots,i_n}\) can be chosen to be completely symmetric in the indices \(\sigma, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_s\) and completely antisymmetric in the indices \(l_1, \ldots, l_s\).
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9 Conclusions

We have succeeded to give a fairly complete presentation of the most important results connected with the existence of the variational sequences. Many of the proofs have been essentially simplified using Fock space techniques. We also have been able to give very explicit expression for the most general form of a variationally trivial Lagrangian and the generic expression of a locally variational system of partial differential equations, completing in this way known results from the literature.

Some criticism of the approach to the Lagrangian formalism accepted in this paper is necessary. We think that the weak point of this approach, from the physical point of view, is in fact, the definition of a differential equation. The definition of such an object as a special type of differential form leads at the following problem. Let \( T_\sigma, \ T'_\sigma \) be the components of two differential equations in a given chart. It is possible (and examples can be provided) that one can arrange such that: (1) the hyper-surface \( T_\sigma = 0 \quad \sigma = 1, \ldots, m \) coincides with the hyper-surface \( T'_\sigma = 0 \quad \sigma = 1, \ldots, m \) (in this way the two sets of functions are describing in fact the same set of physical solutions of the equation of motion) and (2) \( T_\sigma \) are locally variational and \( T'_\sigma \) are not locally variational. So, in a certain sense, the property of being locally variational is not intrinsically defined. (See on this point also [6]). In this sense, the most reasonable definition (from the physical point of view) of a differential equation would be certain hyper-surfaces in the jet bundle extension with some regularity properties (guaranteeing a well posed Cauchy problem). The problem would be to attach in an intrinsic way the property of being locally variational to such a hyper-surface.

Another interesting and open problem is to find, if possible, a physical meaning for the elements of the variational sequence of index \( q \geq n + 2 \) and eventually some representatives by forms.

One would also be interested to see to what extent the results of this approach to the Lagrangian formalism can be extended to the case when \( Y \) is not a fibre bundle over some “space-time” manifold \( X \) (the typical case being a relativistic system with \( Y \) the Minkowski space). Although it is clear that the line of argument from this paper depends essentially on the existence of the fibre bundle structure, some steps in this direction exists in the literature [3], [13]; the proper substitute for jet extensions of a fibre bundle are the higher order Grassmann bundles.

Finally, there exists some physical interest to extend this formalism to the situation when anticommuting variables are present. This case appears when one is studying, for instance, BRST-type symmetries.
10 Appendix

In this appendix we give the basic definitions of the Fock space concepts we have used in this paper and provide a fairly simple proof of the so-called trace decomposition theorem [24]. One can simplify somehow all the proofs in this paper if one uses this more refined decomposition of tensors; however this simplification is rather modest.

10.1 Fock Space Notions

To avoid unnecessary complications we consider $\mathcal{H}$ to be a finite dimensional real Hilbert space. Then the associated Fock space is, by definition:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \equiv \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_n \equiv \mathcal{H}^\otimes n, \quad n > 0, \quad \mathcal{H}_0 \equiv \mathbb{R}.$$

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \sim \mathcal{H}_1$ is called the one-particle space and the element $(1, 0, \ldots) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is called the vacuum.

We can introduce the symmetrization and the antisymmetrization operators in $\mathcal{H}_n$ according to

$$S^\pm \phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_n \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \epsilon_\pm(P) \phi_{P(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{P(n)}$$

(10.1)

where

$$\epsilon_+(P) \equiv 1, \quad \epsilon_-(P) \equiv (-1)^{|P|}, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$  (10.2)

Here $\mathcal{P}_n$ is the permutation group of the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $|P|$ is the signature of the permutation $P$. One can prove easily that these operators are in fact orthogonal projectors.

We also define the following projector operators acting in the Fock space:

$$S^\pm \equiv \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^\pm_n.$$  (10.3)

Next, one defines the following subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_n$:

$$\mathcal{H}_n^\pm \equiv S^\pm_n \mathcal{H}_n$$  (10.4)

and of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$:

$$\mathcal{F}_n^\pm \equiv S^\pm \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^\pm_n \mathcal{H}_n.$$  (10.5)

The subspace $\mathcal{F}_n^\pm \equiv \mathcal{F}^\pm(\mathcal{H})$ is called the bosonic (or symmetric) Fock space for the sign $+$ and the fermionic (or antisymmetric) Fock space for the sign $-$.

One can define in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ the so-called particle number operators according to

$$N\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_n \equiv n\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_n.$$  (10.6)

It is clear that these operators can be restricted to the bosonic and to the fermionic Fock spaces.
To simplify the presentation according to our specific needs, we consider an orthonormal basis in the $\mathcal{H} : e_1, \ldots, e_k$, $k \equiv \dim(\mathcal{H})$. Then every element of the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ can be represented as a collection

$$(f, f^i, \ldots, f^{i_1\cdots i_n}, \ldots)$$

where $f^{i_1\cdots i_n}$ are the elements of a (real) tensor of degree $n$.

The operators $S^\pm$ are represented by the following formulæ:

$$(S^\pm f)^{i_1\cdots i_n} \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \epsilon_\pm(P) f^{i_1P(1)\cdots i_nP(n)}, \quad \forall n > 0. \quad (10.7)$$

Sometimes it is convenient to indicate explicitly the indices affected by the operation of symmetrization, or antisymmetrization, by writing the preceding formula as follows:

$$S^\pm_s f^{i_1\cdots i_n} \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \epsilon_\pm(P) f^{i_1P(1)\cdots i_nP(n)}, \quad \forall n > 0. \quad (10.8)$$

This notation is important because we have the following formulæ which are used many times in the proofs:

$$S^+_t S^+_j = S^+_t, \quad \forall J \subseteq I. \quad (10.9)$$

The bosonic (resp. fermionic) Fock space is formed by symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) tensors.

We are ready to introduce now the so-called creation and annihilation operators. We will not use the usual convention form quantum mechanics textbooks, but another one more suitable for our purposes. We also use consistently Bourbaki conventions:

$$\sum_{\emptyset} \equiv 0, \quad \prod_{\emptyset} \equiv 1.$$ 

Consider first the bosonic case. We define in the bosonic Fock space the creation and annihilation operators $b^*_l$, $b^l$, $l = 1, \ldots, n$ by:

$$(b^*_l f)^{i_1\cdots i_n} \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p=1}^n \delta^p_l f^{i_1\cdots i_p\cdots i_n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad (10.10)$$

(where we prefer to specify explicitly the indices on which the operation of symmetrization is performed; evidently, for $n = 0$ the right hand side must be considered 0) and respectively by:

$$(b^l f)^{i_1\cdots i_n} \equiv (n+1)f^{li_1\cdots i_n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad (10.11)$$

It is easy to see that, in fact, $b^*_l$ is the adjoint of $b^l$. The essential property of these operators is contained in the so-called canonical commutation relations (CCR):

$$[b^l, b^m] = 0, \quad [b^*_l, b^*_m] = 0, \quad [b^l, b^*_m] = \delta^l_m, \quad \forall l, m = 1, \ldots, k \quad (10.12)$$

where by $[,]$ we are designating, obviously, the commutator of the two entries.
We now consider the fermionic case. The definitions are in complete analogy with the previous ones. We define in the fermionic Fock space the *creation* and *annihilation* operators \(a_l^*\), \(a_l\), \(l = 1,\ldots,n\) by:

\[
(a_l^*f)^{i_1\ldots i_n} \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{p-1} \delta_i^{p} f^{i_1\ldots i_p \ldots i_n} = \mathcal{S}_{i_1\ldots i_n}^{l} \delta_i^{l} f^{i_2\ldots i_n}, \quad l = 1,\ldots,k, \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{10.13}
\]

(where again we specify explicitly the indices on which the operation of antisymmetrization is performed; for \(n = 0\) the right hand side must be considered 0) and respectively by:

\[
(a_l f)^{i_1\ldots i_n} \equiv (n+1)f^{i_1\ldots i_n l}, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{10.14}
\]

Again one sees that \(a_l^*\) is the adjoint of \(a_l\). We have analogously to (10.12) the *canonical anticommutation relations* (CAR):

\[
\{a_l, a_m\} = 0, \quad \{a_l^*, a_m^*\} = 0, \quad \{a_l, a_m^*\} = \delta_m^l, \quad \forall l, m = 1,\ldots,k \tag{10.15}
\]

where by \(\{\cdot, \cdot\}\) we are designating the anticommutator of the two entries.

**Remark 10.0.3** It is not very complicated to find the abstract definitions for the creation and the annihilation operators, i.e. basis independent definitions, but we will not need them here. It is also noteworthy that the whole formalism works for any Hilbert space, even infinite dimensional, defined over an arbitrary commutative division field.

It is obvious how to extend the definitions of the particle number operator and of the creation and annihilation operators to the more general case of the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}_s\) appearing in the proof of theorem 3.3. In particular, we have more particle number operators: \(N_f\) corresponding to the fermionic degrees of freedom and \(N_\alpha\), \(\alpha = 1,\ldots,s\) corresponding to the bosonic degrees of freedom and and giving \(k\) (respectively \(r_\alpha\)) when applied on \(\mathcal{H}_{k,r_1,\ldots,r_s}\).

In this case, if we define the operators \(B_\alpha\), \(\alpha = 1,\ldots,s\) according to (3.24) then it is easy to establish the following anticommutation relations:

\[
\{B_\alpha, B_\alpha^*\} = N_f - N_\alpha + n1, \quad \alpha = 1,\ldots,s \tag{10.16}
\]

and

\[
\{B_\alpha, B_\beta\} = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1,\ldots,s; \tag{10.17}
\]

in particular we have:

\[
B_\alpha^2 = 0, \quad \alpha = 1,\ldots,s. \tag{10.18}
\]

We also have the commutation relations:

\[
[B_\alpha, N_f - N_\alpha + n1] = 0, \quad \alpha = 1,\ldots,s. \tag{10.19}
\]
10.2 The Trace Decomposition Formula

A tensor of the form $A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q}$ is called traceless iff it verifies:

$$A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s, i_{s+1}, \ldots, i_q} = 0$$

(10.20)

for all indices left free. If we use compact tensor notations, this can be written as follows:

$$B_1^* A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} = 0.$$ 

(10.21)

Because of the symmetry properties (3.18) we have in fact:

$$B_\alpha^* A_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s} = 0 \quad (\alpha = 1, \ldots, s).$$

(10.22)

Then we have the following result

**Lemma 10.1** Let $X \in \mathcal{H}_{p, r_1, \ldots, r_s}$ ($r_1, \ldots, r_s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $s \leq n - p$). Then the following decomposition formula is valid:

$$X = X_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} B_\alpha X_\alpha$$

(10.23)

where the tensor $X_0$ is traceless and it is uniquely determined by $X$.

**Proof:**

It follows elementary from the relations at the end of the preceding subsection that the operators

$$P_\alpha \equiv (N_f - N_\alpha + n1)^{-1} B_\alpha B_\alpha^*,$$

$$Q_\alpha \equiv (N_f - N_\alpha + n1)^{-1} B_\alpha^* B_\alpha,$$ 

where $\alpha = 1, \ldots, s$ (10.24)

are orthogonal projectors and we have

$$P_\alpha + Q_\alpha = 1, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, s.$$ 

(10.25)

We consider now the corresponding subspaces

$$V_\alpha \equiv \text{Span}(P_\alpha \mathcal{H}_s), \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, s.$$ 

(10.26)

It is well known that the set of all linear subspaces in a finite dimensional Hilbert space is an orthomodular lattice if the order relation $<$ is given by the inclusion of subspaces $\subset$ and the orthogonalization operator is constructed using the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. It follows that one has the following formulae for the infimum and supremum operations:

$$\bigwedge_{i \in I} V_i \equiv \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i, \quad \bigvee_{i \in I} V_i \equiv \sum_{i \in I} V_i.$$ 

(10.27)

The property above can be transported for the set of orthogonal projectors acting in this Hilbert space. In this new representation, the orthogonalization operation looks very simple:

$$P^\perp \equiv 1 - P.$$ 

(10.28)
Now we decompose the generic element $X$ as follows:

$$X = X_0 + X_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.29)

where

$$X_0 \equiv (\wedge Q_\alpha) X, \quad X_1 \equiv X - X_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.30)

One immediately establishes that $X_0$ is traceless and that

$$X_1 = (\wedge Q_\alpha)^\perp X = \vee Q_\alpha^\perp X = \vee P_\alpha X$$

so $X_1$ has the form:

$$X_1 = \sum_\alpha B_\alpha X_\alpha.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.31)

This finishes the proof.

**Remark 10.1.1** One can prove that the lattice property is valid in a more general case of linear spaces of Hilbertian type \[23\].

**Remark 10.1.2** A more general trace decomposition formula appears in \[24\] in the sense that one can give up the hypothesis that the Hilbert space is of Fock type.

As a consequence we have

**Theorem 10.2** Let $\rho \in \Omega^r_q$ and let $(V, \psi)$ be a chart on $Y$. Then the form $\rho$ admits in the chart $(V^r, \psi^r)$ the following unique decomposition:

$$\rho = \rho_0 + \rho'$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.32)

where $\rho_0 \in \Omega^r_q(c)$ is a contact form, and $\rho'$ has the expression

$$\rho' = \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{1}{s!(q-s)!} \sum_{|I_1|,...,|I_s|=r} A^I_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q} dy^{\sigma_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{\sigma_s} \wedge dx^{i_{s+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_q},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10.32)

where the tensors $A^I_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q}$ verify the antisymmetry property (3.18) are traceless.

**Proof:**

We use the standard decomposition given by (3.13) - (3.17) and we refine it.

We apply the preceding decomposition to the tensors $A^I_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q}$ appearing in the expression of $\rho'$ (see (3.17)). So, this tensor splits into a $\delta$-contribution and a traceless contribution. The first contribution leads to terms containing at least a factor $d\omega^J_{|J|=r-1}$ so it is a contact form and can be combined with $\rho_0$; the second contribution is traceless. This means that we have the desired decomposition. The uniqueness assertion is easy to prove.

**Remark 10.2.1** It immediately follows that the equivalence classes $\Omega^r_q(V) / \Omega^r_q(c)(V) (q = 1,\ldots,n)$ (for any chart $V$ on $Y$) are indexed by sets of traceless tensors

$$A^I_{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_s,i_{s+1},...,i_q} (|I_1| = \cdots = |I_s| = r, \quad s = 0,\ldots,q).$$

For the proof of the isomorphism $\Omega^r_q(V) / \Omega^r_q(c)(V) \cong J^r_{q+1}(V) (q = 1,\ldots,n)$ see the end of \[23\].
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