Evidence of threat to European economy and biodiversity following the introduction of an alien pathogen on the fungal–animal boundary
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Recent years have seen a global and rapid resurgence of fungal diseases with direct impact on biodiversity and local extinctions of amphibian, coral, or bat populations. Despite similar evidence of population extinction in European fish populations and the associated risk of food aquaculture due to the emerging rosette agent Sphaerothecum destruens, an emerging infectious eukaryotic intracellular pathogen on the fungal–animal boundary, our understanding of current threats remained limited. Long-term monitoring of population decline for the 8-year post-introduction of the fungal pathogen was coupled with seasonal molecular analyses of the 18S rDNA and histological work of native fish species organs. A phylogenetic relationship between the existing EU and US strains using the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences was also carried out. Here, we provide evidence that this emerging parasite has now been introduced via Pseudorasbora parva to sea bass farms, an industry that represents over 400 M€ annually in a Mediterranean region that is already economically vulnerable. We also provide for the first time evidence linking S. destruens to disease and severe declines in International Union for Conservation of Nature threatened European endemic freshwater fishes (i.e. 80% to 90 % mortalities). Our findings are thus of major economic and conservation importance.
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INTRODUCTION

Recent years have seen a global and rapid resurgence of fungal diseases with direct impact on biodiversity and local extinctions of amphibian, coral, or bat populations1–3 as well as declines in crop production with direct impact on biodiversity with local extinctions of amphibian, coral, or bat populations. Despite similar evidence of population extinction in European fish populations and the associated risk of food aquaculture due to the emerging rosette agent Sphaerothecum destruens, an emerging infectious eukaryotic intracellular pathogen on the fungal–animal boundary, our understanding of current threats remained limited. Long-term monitoring of population decline for the 8-year post-introduction of the fungal pathogen was coupled with seasonal molecular analyses of the 18S rDNA and histological work of native fish species organs. A phylogenetic relationship between the existing EU and US strains using the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences was also carried out. Here, we provide evidence that this emerging parasite has now been introduced via Pseudorasbora parva to sea bass farms, an industry that represents over 400 M€ annually in a Mediterranean region that is already economically vulnerable. We also provide for the first time evidence linking S. destruens to disease and severe declines in International Union for Conservation of Nature threatened European endemic freshwater fishes (i.e. 80% to 90 % mortalities). Our findings are thus of major economic and conservation importance.

Evidence of threat to European economy and biodiversity following the introduction of an alien pathogen on the fungal–animal boundary.16 This novel pathogen caused mass mortality in a range of fish species including wild and farmed Chinook salmon in California where it caused mass mortality of smolt (>90%).24 Although there is evidence of isolation between S. destruens’ strain found in the United States of America (USA) and the one found in Europe and that the detected hosts are from different families (e.g. salmonids, cyprinids), further analyses have shown that it was in fact the same fungal pathogen species responsible for severe mortalities on both continents.17

Since these initial discoveries5 and in spite of evidence of P. parva status as a healthy carrier16,19 and further experimental evidence of the severe impact of this fungal pathogen on a range of European fish species,20 no monitoring of this disease distribution within P. parva populations has yet been put in place with the exception of the Netherlands.19 This painfully highlights the key limitations as often seen with other pandemics31,32 for experimental evidence on emerging pathogens to influence environmental agencies and policy makers responsible for the setting up of monitoring programs and disease prevention in aquaculture.11,23 In particular in the Mediterranean region, where there is a high level of fish endemicity for which 60% of these endemic species are classified as vulnerable, endangered, or critically endangered by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN).24 In Europe, two Chinese lineages of the healthy carrier P. parva have been introduced. One originating north of the Yangtze river and which colonized...
the whole western part of Europe including Southern England where \textit{S. destruens} was initially discovered and one originating south of the Yangtze river which colonized Bulgaria, Turkey, and Armenia.\textsuperscript{15}

Here, for the first time, we want to show that the emergence of \textit{S. destruens} is associated with severe declines in endemic fish species in the wild and that this pathogen has now escaped the freshwater ecosystem to include marine species of high commercial value such as European sea bass \textit{Dicentrarchus labrax}. With around 120 000 tonnes of \textit{D. labrax} produced annually across the Mediterranean region and for a value of about €700 M, the presence of \textit{S. destruens} would represent a major risk to European aquaculture production. Finally, we also looked for potential levels of geographical isolation across the different \textit{S. destruens} isolates in support of the role of ancestral association between \textit{P. parva} and \textit{S. destruens} during the rapid European invasion.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling**

A set of endemic freshwater fish species (\textit{Oxyoemacheilus sp.} not yet described, \textit{Petroleuciscus smyrnæus}, \textit{Squalius fellowesi}), a marine native species (\textit{D. labrax}) and two nonnative species (\textit{P. parva}, \textit{Lepomis gibbosus}) have been sampled monthly by backpack electrofishing (model SAMUS 725 MP) in the Sarıçay stream, Muğla, Turkey at three locations in the main river channel (site 1 37°20’57.15”N, 27°43’43.11”E; site 2 37°19’45.10”N, 27°42’45.73”E; site 3 37°18’8.87”N, 27°42’44.87”E). The sampling was started in July 2009 and ended in April 2013. All electrofishing sampling consisted of a team of three people, one operator (the same each time) with the anode and two others with hand nets walking through the river from downstream to upstream for a set time (circa 30 min). The catch per unit was then calculated as species abundance per unit of time (min). This catchment corresponds to the edge of \textit{P. parva} invasion in southeastern Europe, which was first detected in 2006 from a founder population with a genetic lineage originating south of the Yangtze river, China. Some specimens were brought back to the laboratory alive, where they were euthanized with an overdose of 2-phenoxethanol and severance of the spine.

**Diagnostic work-up**

A total of 112 fish were euthanased in the laboratory with an overdose of methane tricaine sulfate (MS-222, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis Missouri, USA) and processed. Postmortem examination included a note on general external appearance and the presence of any obvious lesions or abnormalities as well as a record of species, size, location, and date. Then, the operculum was removed to observe the gills and a note on general external appearance and the presence of any obvious lesions or abnormalities as well as a record of species, size, location, and date. The collected tissues were homogenized by pestle in lysis buffer and subsequent PCR amplification.

**DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification**

The collected tissues were homogenized by pestle in lysis buffer and incubated at 36°C overnight and DNA extracted using commercial kits (Thermo Scientific GeneJET Genomic DNA purification kit and Qiagen DNealys Blood & Tissue kit). All samples were subjected to nested PCR assay using the \textit{S. destruens} 18S rDNA primers.\textsuperscript{20} Cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation cycle at 95°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 45 s at 95°C, 45 s at 60°C, and 45 s at 72°C. A final elongation step at 72°C was performed for 7 min. For first amplification forward (\textit{Sd}-1F) and reverse (\textit{Sd}-1R) primer was 5'-CGA CTT TTT GGA AGG GAT GTA TT 3' and 5'-AGT CCC AAA CTC GAC GCA CAC T-3'. For second amplification forward (\textit{Sd}-2F) and reverse (\textit{Sd}-2R) primer was 5'-CCC TCG GTT TCT TGG TGA TTC ATA ATA ACT-3' and 5'-CTC GTC GGG GCA AAC ACC TC-3'. PCR products were electrophoresed with 1.5% agarose gel including 1 µL EtBr in TAE buffer and visualized under ultraviolet light analyzer. PCR products were sequenced by REFGEN laboratories. DNA sequences were compared to sequences of BLAST database. An \textit{S. destruens} DNA sample from the United Kingdom (UK) strain was used as a positive control and amplified by PCR in the same conditions.

As PCR tests are so sensitive, all PCR work was done with a negative control to address the measure of potential contamination. Some samples were also blind checked at Bournemouth University laboratory, which has ten years of expertise in \textit{S. destruens} detection using molecular analyses.

**Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence analysis**

The ITS sequence used for comparisons originated from isolates obtained from three North American outbreaks: (i) Chinook salmon in Washington (accession number: FJ440706); (ii) Atlantic salmon in California (accession number: FJ440708); (iii) winter-run Chinook salmon in California (accession number: FJ440702). The sources of these three American cultures are deposited in the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) under accession numbers 50643, ATCC 50644, and ATCC 50615, respectively. In addition, the ITS sequences for the UK isolates are deposited in GenBankTM under the accession numbers FJ440702–FJ440710.\textsuperscript{17} A nested PCR was performed to amplify the ITS1 region of the \textit{S. destruens} isolate from Turkey. PCR reactions were prepared in 50 µL vols. with Go-Taq Flexi DNA polymerase 5X Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), to a final concentration of 1X, containing 1.5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.2 mM dNTP, and 1.25 units Taq polymerase. PCR primers were used at a concentration of 0.25 µM each. The first reaction utilized the forward primer Sdes2F (5’-CTT CGG ATG GCC CCT GTA C-3’) and the NC13R (5’-GCT GCG TTC TTC ATC GAT-3’). Five microliters of template DNA were added to the first PCR reaction and a PCR was run on the C1000 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA), as follows: 95°C for 3 min, 35°C [95°C for 30 s, 52°C for 45 s, 62°C for 90 s], with a final extension at 62°C for 7 min.

Two microliters of the first round PCR were then used to perform the second round PCR. The second round PCR used the primers Sdes2F and SD-ITSR1 (5’-CGA TGG AGC CAA GAG GAG-3’) yielding a PCR product of approximately 765 bp.

Products were visualized on a 1.0% agarose gel containing 0.1 g mL\textsuperscript{-1} ethidium bromide run at 125 V for 30 min. Products were excised from the gel and purified using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR clean-up system (Promega). Purified fragments were cloned using the Topo TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Paisley, United Kingdom) and then sequenced with M13 forward and reverse. At least three clones were sequenced for each sample. Sequences were assembled manually using BioEdit\textsuperscript{26} and identity verified by alignment to existing \textit{S. destruens} ITS1 sequences and GenBank by performing a BLAST search.\textsuperscript{27} The amplified ITS1 sequence from the Turkish isolate was deposited in GenBank, accession numbers KT361608. For phylogenetic analysis, ITS1 sequences were aligned with Clustal X version 1 and examined by eye in BioEdit. We tested
for the best substitution model using MEGA 5 and performed the phylogenetic analysis using maximum likelihood and the Tamura 3-parameter model in MEGA 5 with bootstrap support calculated using 1000 replicates. The phylogenetic tree is unrooted as no appropriate outgroup is available due to the ITS sequence from other mycetozoan species being so different that no confidence can be placed on the homology of most nucleotide positions. Genetic distances between isolates were calculated using DNADIST in BioEdit.

RESULTS

Prevalence of *S. destruens* in fish population

All fish species tested were infected by *S. destruens* with prevalences ranging from 25% to 100% (Table 1). Prevalence is high despite the relatively low number of individuals randomly subsampled, although these samples sizes are comparable to other epidemiological studies on wild populations (Table 1). Vulnerable endemic species *S. fellowesii*, *Oxynoemacheilus sp.* (in the process of being described) and *P. smyrneus* show high prevalence of the pathogen throughout most seasons. Even the nonnative *L. gibbosus*, a centrarchid species showed prevalence as high as 86%. This is the first time that a centrarchid species has been found to be sensitive to *S. destruens*. Due to the commercial value of sea bass *D. labrax* only three specimens were sampled and showed a prevalence of 67%, which correspond to the values found in highly sensitive hosts.

All organs tested kidney, liver, spleen, and ovary consistently tested positive to *S. destruens*, which confirmed the non-organ specificity of the pathogen. The organ the most affected across all freshwater species and seasons was consistently the kidney (66, 8%) followed by the spleen (61, 6%) and the liver (56, 8%); the spores can infect further tissues or be excreted through the bile, urine, gut epithelium, and seminal and ovarian fluids. Similar prevalence is observed in the marine *L. labrax* with the highest prevalence in kidney and spleen.

A clear temporal increase in the level of infections with the freshwater fish community is observed in all four organs tested (Figure 1).

**Mortalities**

All three endemic species of high conservation status that have cohabited in the wild with *P. parva* have severely declined since 2009 with rates comprised of 80% to 90% (Figure 2). In light of increased temporal prevalence of *S. destruens* in the community during this period of time, it is likely that this vortex of extinction characterizes the emergence of this infectious disease in the wild. Although all fish species were severely affected, *Oxynoemacheilus sp.* which has not yet been described as a species, showed the lowest abundance, which puts this species in critical danger of extinction.

**Pathology**

The histological work-up did not present specifically novel findings and associated pathology in the various tissues of the different species was similar to that published previously (Supplementary Figure S1). Parasitized fish did not show any internal gross signs of disease and did not appear emaciated. However in *D. labrax*, hemorrhages on the liver and white nodules on the kidneys were observed similarly to what has previously been found on Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*, another example of a marine host. *Sphaerothecum destruens* infection was systemic in all species and was observed in all vital organs, including the gonads, kidney, liver, and spleen (Table 1). *S. destruens* is deeply eosinophilic with H&E. Here we observed the disseminated form of the disease where the agent is widely dispersed throughout the fish (Supplementary Figure S1a) with little host cell immune response. A nodular form of the disease also exists and is characterized by host cell immune response and the formation of distinct granulomas in visceral organs, which has not

### Table 1 Seasonal prevalence of *S. destruens* per organ for wild caught fish in 2012 and 2013 in Sançay stream detected by PCR

| Season | Organ | *Oxynoemacheilus sp.* | *P. smyrneus* | *S. fellowesii* | *P. parva* | *L. gibbosus* | *D. labrax* |
|--------|-------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| Spring | Gonads | 0% (4)               | 0% (4)        | 0% (4)         | 0% (7)    | 0% (7)       | –          |
|        | Kidney | 0% (4)               | 0% (4)        | 0% (4)         | 0% (7)    | 0% (7)       | –          |
|        | Liver  | 0% (4)               | 0% (4)        | 25% (4)        | 0% (7)    | 0% (7)       | –          |
|        | Spleen | 0% (4)               | 0% (4)        | 0% (4)         | 0% (7)    | 0% (7)       | –          |
| Summer | Gonads | 0% (1)               | 0% (6)        | 13% (8)        | 0% (3)    | 33% (6)      | –          |
|        | Kidney | 0% (1)               | 33% (6)       | 13% (8)        | 33% (3)   | 17% (6)      | –          |
|        | Liver  | 0% (1)               | 17% (6)       | 0% (8)         | 0% (3)    | 33% (6)      | 50% (6)    |
|        | Spleen | 0% (1)               | 50% (6)       | 25% (8)        | 33% (3)   | 50% (6)      | –          |
| Autumn | Gonads | 17% (6)              | 17% (3)       | 80% (5)        | 17% (6)   | 29% (7)      | –          |
|        | Kidney | 17% (6)              | 17% (3)       | 40% (5)        | 50% (6)   | 86% (7)      | –          |
|        | Liver  | 67% (6)              | 0% (3)        | 40% (5)        | 33% (6)   | 0% (7)       | –          |
|        | Spleen | 67% (6)              | 33% (3)       | 20% (5)        | 33% (6)   | 57% (7)      | –          |
| Winter | Gonads | –                   | –             | –              | 0% (2)    | 33% (3)      | 33% (3)    |
|        | Kidney | –                   | –             | 67% (3)        | 0% (3)    | 0% (5)       | 33% (3)    |
|        | Liver  | –                   | –             | 33% (3)        | 0% (3)    | 50% (4)      | 0% (3)     |
|        | Spleen | –                   | –             | 0% (2)         | 33% (3)   | 33% (3)      | 100% (3)   |
| Spring | Gonads | 50% (2)              | 100% (3)      | 33% (3)        | 25% (4)   | 50% (4)      | –          |
|        | Kidney | 50% (2)              | 67% (3)       | 67% (3)        | 75% (4)   | 75% (4)      | –          |
|        | Liver  | 50% (2)              | 67% (3)       | 67% (3)        | 50% (4)   | 50% (4)      | –          |
|        | Spleen | 50% (2)              | 100% (3)      | 33% (3)        | 50% (4)   | 75% (4)      | –          |
| Mean percentage | 46% | 50% | 40% | 33% | 45% | 44% |
| SE    | 6.85 | 13.72 | 7.55 | 6.23 | 7.92 | 29.4 |

*a* Species not yet described.

*b* Prevalence across all organs from start of contamination.

The number of fish analyzed are in bracket. Sea bass originated from a connected fish farm in the brackish part of the estuary, following fish mortalities report. The number of specimen analyzed were kept to a minimum due to IUCN status of the species.
been observed here. The disseminated form is expected to indicate a host more susceptible to S. destruens while granuloma formations are considered more able to contain the infection. Various isolated stages of spore development were observed, with sizes ranging between 4 μm and 7 μm in diameter. Most stages appeared to be intracellular but were also occasionally observed extracellularly (Supplementary Figure S1). In D. labrax’s liver, some inflammatory responses were present with phagocytic cells containing the spores and some lymphocytic infiltration in the parenchyma. However, in most tissues across all species, the parasites were present with minimal host cell response, most likely due to subclinical fish being collected.11 S. destruens’ life stages include spherical intra-cytoplasmic spore stages (Supplementary Figures S1a and S1b). Spores replicate asexually through fission and can infect epithelial, mesenchymal, and hematopoietic cells, eventually causing cell death.

Phylogeny
PCR amplification of 620 bp of the ribosomal ITS1 identified the Turkish isolate to be closely related to the UK isolate, but the two are not identical. Analysis of the ITS1 of the European isolates, when compared with the variability of the first reported isolates in the USA indicates genetic differences observed between geographically distinct isolates (Figure 3) highlighting a lack of intermixing between European and North American S. destruens populations. The genetic distance between the Turkish S. destruens isolate differs and the UK isolate was 1.7% compared to 2.5% with the US isolate. In the aligned sequences there are seven polynucleotide indels, which distinguish the North American and European (UK Turkey) isolates whereas one polynucleotide indel occurs between the European isolates. A further eight single and dinucleotide indels and seven-point mutations separate the two European isolates suggesting that there is no intermixing between these two isolates.

DISCUSSION
Our results show how the introduction of a freshwater fish in Europe 50 years ago could rapidly lead to a pan-continental disease risk to European endemic species in a region where 56% of endemic fish species are threatened and 18% critically endangered24 and spill over to marine species. Here we found that in the wild all fish species that cohabited with P. parva were highly infected with the pathogen S. destruens. We also show a severe decline of endemic fish species 3-year post P. parva introduction where catch per unit effort dropped by 80% to 90%. These levels are comparable to the declines due to S. destruens observed in the USA and the UK in salmonids and cyprinids respectively. Of course, correlation is not causation and there are indeed very few direct measures of animal disease outbreaks in the wild following pathogen introductions and the few iconic ones have always tried to link population decline and infectious pathogen introduction.1–4,21 Here, in addition there have been several experimental trials that have demonstrated the direct link between S. destruens introduction and mortalities (i.e. causation) as well as large-scale mesocosm ones. Thus, the conclusions do not rely on population decline alone but (i) on pathogen emergence in the populations since carrier introduction in this catchment; (ii) on quantitative measures of disease prevalence using specific PCR pre- and post-start of decline; (iii) on histological work-up showing disease in the declining species (Supplementary Figure S1). As everything else in the catchment remained constant during this long time series, there are limited additional ways to show historical wild emergence of disease in aquatic species11 and as such these results are stronger than the initial reports on chytrid emergence in amphibians or Aphanomyces astaci (crayfish plague) in native crayfish populations for example.

Figure 1 Monthly increase of Sphaerothecum destruens prevalence in communities of wild fishes (n = 119) for four separate organs. Details of specific prevalence are in Table 1. Regression lines were fitted (R² = 0.73, 0.74, 0.80, 0.87 for gonads, spleen, liver, kidney respectively).

Figure 2 Decline of three endemic species cohabiting in the wild since P. parva introduction in that catchment. Catch per unit efforts (CPUE) is calculated from species abundance per unit of time (min) and averaged over three locations on the main river channel. Standard errors are also included. Regression lines were fitted (R² = 0.85, 0.74, 0.72 for S. fellowesii, Oxynoemacheilus sp., and P. Smyrnaeus respectively).
The results highlight that the farming of marine fish in the brackish part of estuaries represents a risk of contamination to pathogens initially carried by freshwater hosts, a risk that has so far been overlooked.\(^{31}\) Despite previous cases of severe \textit{S. destruens} emergence in Californian Chinook and Atlantic salmon farms (c. >90% mortalities),\(^{32}\) it was believed due to the anadromous nature of these species that the contact with the pathogen occurred in freshwater.\(^{8,32}\) This understanding was further reinforced as zoosporulation of \textit{S. destruens} only occurred in fresh water.\(^{8,33}\)

It is now apparent that the risk of spill over from freshwater hosts to marine ones exists with levels of prevalence considerably higher than previously found in wild Chinook salmon \textit{Oncorhynchus tsawytscha} (32%)\(^{12}\) and \textit{Leucaspius delineatus} (2%).\(^{7,8}\) The location of \textit{D. labrax} farming in the brackish part of estuaries represents a front of contact with invasive infectious \textit{P. parva} populations, a species that has been previously shown to be tolerant to brackish conditions.\(^{34}\) It also shows that contaminations are likely to have occurred via direct predation of infected \textit{P. parva} or infected native species, as free-living zoospores are solely produced in freshwater.\(^{8,33}\) Currently, the extent of the risk posed by \textit{S. destruens} to \textit{D. labrax} farming remains unquantified but severe mortalities as observed previously in smolt cages in US farms is not to be ruled out.

In addition, the phylogenetic analysis of \textit{S. destruens} has revealed a higher level of relatedness between the UK and the Turkey strains compared to the North American ones (Figure 1). The reason may be found in the invasion history of the carrier that displayed two distinct routes of invasion in Europe. The first route started from a unique introduction into Romania, which colonized the Danube river and via fish movements from Germany ended up in the southern part of the UK where \textit{S. destruens} was first discovered. The second route corresponds to an initial introduction that took place in Bulgaria and which spread southward to colonize Turkish rivers.\(^{15}\) The original \textit{P. parva} source populations of \textit{S. destruens} in the UK, belongs to a native lineage that is distributed in China north of the Yangtze river, whilst those found in Turkey correspond to a lineage found south of this river. The relatedness of the UK and Turkish \textit{S. destruens} strains with different host lineages is a strong indication, as initially hypothesized,\(^{5,14,17}\) that \textit{S. destruens} and \textit{P. parva} association in Europe dates back to the original introductions. However, the fact that UK and Turkish ITS sequences are not identical may result from an ancient host–pathogen association that goes back prior to the segregation of the Chinese northern and southern \textit{P. parva} lineages.\(^{15}\)

The results have to be further confirmed but an ancient coevolution between \textit{P. parva} and \textit{S. destruens} is likely to show direct implications on the transmission and virulence of the pathogen and may have facilitated the rapid establishment of \textit{P. parva} during the invasion process, particularly due to the non-specificity of the pathogen (e.g. cyprinids, centrarchids, salmonids). A rapid screening of \textit{P. parva} populations in Europe and across the native range for the presence of \textit{S. destruens} and the phylogeny of the various strains should help clarify the origin of \textit{S. destruens}. It will also help us to check if the contamination of salmonid populations on the west coast of the USA by \textit{S. destruens},\(^{7,8}\) finds its origin in the North Pacific or across the bearing sea where Chinese, Russian, Japanese, and North American salmonids cohabit\(^{35,36}\) and where Asian salmonids have been cohabiting with \textit{P. parva} populations since the seventies due to fish translocations in China.\(^{15}\)

**Figure 3** Phenylogenetic tree resulting from maximum likelihood method based on the Tamura 3-parameter model\(^{29}\) analysis of the ribosomal ITS1 sequence from \textit{S. destruens}. The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 1000 replicates\(^{30}\) and bootstrap values exceeding 50% are shown at nodes. The phylogenetic tree was produced using MEGA 5.\(^{28}\) Isolate (and clone) origin, designations and GenBank accession numbers are: RA1-3 (FJ440707.1); RA3-1 (FJ440708.1); RA3-2 (FJ440709.1); RA3-3 (FJ440710.1); RA4-1 (FJ440702.1); RA4-3 (FJ440703.1); RA4-4 (FJ440704.1); and RA-T (to be deposited).
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