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Abstract

Although great progress in supervised person re-identification (Re-ID) has been made recently, due to the viewpoint variation of a person, Re-ID remains a massive visual challenge. Most existing viewpoint-based person Re-ID methods project images from each viewpoint into separated and unrelated sub-feature spaces. They only model the identity-level distribution inside an individual viewpoint but ignore the underlying relationship between different viewpoints. To address this problem, we propose a novel approach, called Viewpoint-Aware Loss with Angular Regularization (VALSR). Instead of one subspace for each viewpoint, our method projects the feature from different viewpoints into a unified hypersphere and effectively models the feature distribution on both the identity-level and the viewpoint-level. In addition, rather than modeling different viewpoints as hard labels used for conventional viewpoint classification, we introduce viewpoint-aware adaptive label smoothing regularization (VALSR) that assigns the adaptive soft label to feature representation. VALSR can effectively solve the ambiguity of the viewpoint cluster label assignment. Extensive experiments on the Market1501 and DukeMTMC-reID datasets demonstrated that our method outperforms the state-of-the-art supervised Re-ID methods.

1 Introduction

Person re-identification (Re-ID) which targets at recognizing pedestrians across non-overlapping camera views, is an important and challenging problem in visual surveillance analysis and has drawn increasing research attention [Zheng et al. 2015] [Zhao et al. 2017] [Sun et al. 2018]. While Re-ID has gained considerable development in recent years, existing supervised person re-identification still faces some major visual appearance challenges, such as changes in viewpoint or poses, low resolution, illumination and etc.

Among these challenges, in this work, we focus on the problem of viewpoint variations, which is one of the most important and difficult challenges in Re-ID research and practical application. In practice, due to the effect of viewpoint variation, images from different viewpoints of the same identity usually have massive visual appearance differences, and it may even be possible that some images of different identities from the same viewpoints are more similar in visual appearance than images of the same identity from different viewpoints. Some examples are shown in Figure 1 (a)(b). This problem greatly limits the practical application of Re-ID.

A key problem for tackling viewpoint variations is to learn discriminative feature representations for body images with different viewpoints. However, there are some inadequacies of the existing viewpoint-based feature learning method [Chen, Xu, and Deng 2018] [Chen et al. 2018] [Qian et al. 2018] [Saquib Sarfraz et al. 2018]. 1) They treat viewpoint learning and identity discrimination as two separate progresses, In such a case, it is not a principle way to learn optimal identity classification under various viewpoint variations. 2) They cast viewpoints of persons as hard labels, while in reality the viewpoint of person is ambiguous.
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identity-level and viewpoint-level, and specially we im-
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viewpoint discrimination. The experimental results also
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• To overcome the ambiguity on viewpoint labelling, we de-
velop viewpoint-aware adaptive label smoothing, which
allows smooth transition between features of different dis-
crete viewpoints by assigning adaptive soft viewpoint la-
bel. The soft label will get self-adaption dynamically ac-
cording to the prediction probability and have better per-
formance against noise data and over-fitting

2 Related Work

Viewpoint-Aware Person Re-identification. Person Re-ID aims to recognize pedestrians across non-overlapping cam-
ena views. A recent survey (Leng, Ye, and Tian 2019) pro-
vides a detailed review and prospection of person Re-ID.
Existing studies on viewpoint variation mainly lie in four
aspects: pose-based methods (Su et al. 2017) [Saquib Sar-
fraz et al. 2018], segmentation-based methods (Kalayeh et
al. 2018), generation-based methods (Zhou and Shao 2018)
Qian et al. 2018] and viewpoint-based methods (Chen, Xu, and Deng 2018). Pose-based methods
and segmentation-based methods are common practices to
address this problem. Pose-based methods usually take ad-
vantage of pose information to pay attention to human body
parts while segmentation-based methods utilize human pars-
ing information to obtain position information of human
body parts. Then they can make align the body parts or ex-
tract the local feature of body parts. Generation-based meth-
ods usually use the generative model to generate images
Qian et al. 2018] or generate feature of other viewpoints
Zhou and Shao 2018]. Recently PersonX (Sun and Zheng
2019) utilizes a large-scale synthetic data engine to generate
pedestrian images with arbitrary rotation angle, and analy-
ses the important impact of viewpoints on Re-ID in detail.
Viewpoint-based methods use hard viewpoint label of image
directly and utilize them to help features learning. DVAML
(Chen, Xu, and Deng 2018) tries to learn two different fea-
ture sub-spaces for image pairs with similar and dissimilar
viewpoints but gets little improvement.
Loss Function for Identification. Metric learning targets
at learning a metric space in which the samples from dif-
cerent classes are far away while the samples from the
same classes are compact. The popular loss functions in-
clude the softmax-based loss, the contrastive loss and the
triplet loss. In face recognition field, amount of representa-
tive softmax-based methods (Salimans and Kingma 2016)
Liu et al. 2017] [Ranjan et al. 2018] [Deng et al. 2019] have been proposed. These improvements are mainly con-
centrated on two aspects: normalization and margin. The
former is applied for features and weight of fully connected
layer. As early as in (Salimans and Kingma 2016), the ad-
vantages of weight normalization, such as reducing computa-
tional complexity and making the network converge faster,
have been demonstrated. Crystal loss (Ranjan et al. 2018)
aposes feature normalization and verified the effective-
ness. Feature normalization is beneficial to increase angu-
lar discrimination in feature space direction. The combina-
tion of feature normalization and weight normalization can
achieve better results. Recent softmax-based work mainly
focused on the latter. The concept of angular margin is firstly
introduced to metric space in L-Softmax (Liu et al. 2016).
Later works such as SphereFace (Liu et al. 2017), ArcFace
(Deng et al. 2019) continue to make some improvements to
obtain better performance.
Figure 2: Overview of the proposed VA-reID method. Feature \( x_i \) is extracted by backbone network. The proposed viewpoint-aware angular loss projects features onto a hyper-sphere to form the identity-level cluster (light green and blue circle) and the viewpoint-level cluster (dark green and brown circle). Furthermore, our Adaptive Label Smoothing Regularization eliminates the hard margin between clusters by introducing adaptive soft labels.

3 Methodology

3.1 Problem Formulation and Overview

Given a query image, the target of Re-ID is to get a ranking list of images from gallery set across non-overlapping camera views. Define an image \( I_i = (x_i, y_i, v_i) \) where \( x_i \) denotes the feature extracted by a deep model of the \( i \)-th image, \( y_i \) is the identity label and \( v_i \) is the viewpoint label. Notice that each image \( x_i \) has only one viewpoint label \( v_i \in \{\text{front, side, back}\} \) and the deep feature \( x_i \) is a global ReID feature extracted by a CNN backbone (e.g., ResNet, DenseNet) denoted as \( F(\Theta; I_i) \) where \( \Theta \) denotes the parameters of CNN.

Now we briefly introduce the pipeline of the proposed Viewpoint-Aware ReID method (VA-reID). As shown in Figure 2, a CNN backbone extracts a global feature \( x_i \) for each image \( I_i \). We introduce two types of losses: the identity angular loss \( L_y \) and the viewpoint-aware angular loss \( L_v \). Integrating two angular-related losses into a unified framework of learning can build a two-level distribution for feature \( x_i \) on a unified hyper-sphere, including the identity-level distribution and the viewpoint-level distribution. For the identity-level distribution, features with the same identity can be assembled to form identity clusters by \( L_y \) (i.e., the large light green and the light blue circles in Figure 2). For the viewpoint-level, in each identity cluster, we pull the features of the same viewpoint close to form viewpoint clusters (i.e., the small-dark green and the brown circle inside the large circle) by angular loss \( L_v \) and center regularization term \( L_R \). As a result, the overall loss of our proposed method is:

\[
L_{VA} = L_y + L_v + \beta L_R. \quad (1)
\]

Furthermore, as shown in the right rectangle in Figure 2 at the viewpoint-level, a novel viewpoint-aware adaptive LSR regularization item is used in \( L_v \) to eliminate the hard margin between viewpoint clusters. At the identity-level, a small adaptive label smoothing regularization is explored to effectively increase the generalization ability of our model.

3.2 VA-reID for Person Re-Identification

Softmax loss is widely used for Re-ID feature learning. Let \( K \) denote the number of identities, \( x \) denote the deep learning ReID feature of a image, and \( W_y \) denote the \( y \)-th column of the weights \( W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times K} \). As a result, the prediction probability of \( I \) belongs the identity \( y \) is:

\[
q(y) = \frac{e^{x^T W_y}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{x^T W_k}}. \quad (2)
\]

We remove the bias and normalize the feature \( x \) and the weight \( W \) as advised in arcface loss (Deng et al. 2019). Generally, weight normalization helps to improve class imbalance, and feature normalization is instrumental in generalization of metric space. Therefore, all the features can be projected onto a hypersphere with the same length, and the probability of deep feature \( x \) belonging to identity \( y \) is equivalent to the cosine distance between \( x \) and \( W_y \):

\[
q(y) = \frac{e^{s \cos(\theta_y - m)}}{e^{s \cos(\theta_y - m)} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq y}^{K} e^{s \cos(\theta_j)}}. \quad (3)
\]

where \( \theta_y \) denotes the angle between the feature vector \( x \) and the \( y \)-th column of \( W_y \), \( \cos(\theta_y) = \frac{W_y^T x}{||W_y|| ||x||} \) \( m \) is a margin that is used to improve the discriminative ability of classification, and \( s \) is the scale factor used to promote convergence.

Based on previous experience (Liu et al. 2017; Deng et al. 2019), the angular loss helps to model a better discriminative distribution of identities. Analogously, we extend the identity-level angular loss to the proposed viewpoint-aware loss (VA-reID). From Eq.(3), we observe that the \( y \)-th column of the weight \( W_y \) can be viewed as the center of identity \( y \). To get a higher probability of image \( I \) belonging to \( y \), we need to pull the feature vector \( x_i \) closer to center \( W_y \). To model the distribution of different viewpoints, each identity class is further classified into \( V \) subclass, corresponding to \( V \) subclasses corresponding to \( V \) viewpoints (i.e., front viewpoint, side viewpoint and back viewpoint. \( V \) denotes the number of viewpoints and is assigned 3 in this paper).
Figure 3: (a) Illustration of adaptive soft label for identity-level learning. (b) Illustration of viewpoint-aware adaptive soft label for viewpoint-level learning.

We denote the viewpoint centers as \( U \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s \times K \times V} \). As a result, given a deep feature \( x \), we model the probability that \( x \) belongs to the identity \( y \) and viewpoint \( v \) as follows:

\[
r(y, v) = \frac{e^{s \cos(\phi(y,v) - m)}}{e^{s \cos(\phi(y,v) - m)} + \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{k=1}^{V} W_{yi} T \cdot E^l \cos(\phi(y,ai))}
\]

where \( \phi_{yv} \) denotes the angle between feature \( x \) and the center of the \( y \)-th identity and the \( v \)-th viewpoint \( U_{yv} \).

Given a training sample \( I_i \) with identity label \( y_i \) and viewpoint label \( v_i \), the identity classification loss \( L_y \) and viewpoints-aware loss \( L_v \) are:

\[
L_y = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} p_j \log(q(j)) \quad (5)
\]

\[
L_v = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{k=1}^{V} t_{jk} \log(r(j, k)) \quad (6)
\]

where \( p \) and \( t \) is the classification label. The traditional methods use the hard label for features learning, e.g.,

\[
p_j = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i = y_i \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (7)
\]

\[
t_{jk} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } j = y_i \text{ and } j = v_i \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (8)
\]

which ignore the ambiguity problem on viewpoint labelling. To overcome this problem, we introduce the soft label learning methods. Furthermore, to maintain the visual similarity between features from the same person but with different viewpoints, we propose to the center regularization term to connect identity and viewpoint discrimination. It helps to pull the \( V \) viewpoint centers \( W_{yi} \) closer to the corresponding identity center and the formula is:

\[
L_R = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{V} \frac{W_{yi} T \cdot U_{jk}}{||W_{yi}|| \cdot ||U_{jk}||} \quad (9)
\]

- **Adaptive Identity Label Learning.** In this section, we introduce the soft identity label to replace the conventional hard identity label. The soft label will get self-adaption dynamically according to the prediction probability and have better performance against noise data and over-fitting.

**Assumption 1.** The network tends to prioritize learning simple patterns of real data firstly and then the noise (Arpit et al. 2017).

As aforementioned, in cross entropy loss, the one-hot encoding is used to be ground-truth probability distribution and thus the model tends to maximize the expected log-likelihood of one label, which may result in over-fitting and harm the generalization ability of model. Label smoothing regularization (LSR) (Szegedy et al. 2016) is proposed to further address the problems. The probability distribution formula of LSR is

\[
p_j = \begin{cases} 
1 - \varepsilon & \text{if } j = y \\
\frac{\alpha(1-q(j))}{K-1} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (10)
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is a manual value. It replaces the one-hot hard label with the soft label by introduce a small manual parameter \( \varepsilon \) to adjust the probability distribution. This encourages the model to lower some confidence on other categories except the ground-truth. However, notice that \( \varepsilon \) is a fixed value, which results in the same expected probability of ground-truth category for every input sample and do so other categories. Actually input samples have different logits and applying the same expected probability for them is unsuitable. Considering a case that there exist noises in training set, an image \( f \) with the true identity label \( y_1 \) is annotated as \( y_2 \) wrongly. According the assumption (Arpit et al. 2017), the network tends to learn positive samples firstly and then noise samples. And usually noise samples have smaller logits of label category than that of positive samples.

\[
p_j = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1 - \alpha(1-q(j))}{K-1} & \text{if } j = y \\
\frac{\alpha(1-q(j))}{K-1} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (11)
\]

We develop a new smoothing parameter \( \varepsilon = \alpha(1-q(j)) \), which is related to the prediction probability of network. \( \alpha \) is a multiplicative scaling coefficient. When the output probability \( q(j) \) is large, we will get a small \( \varepsilon \) and get a large confidence on this category. In contrast, when the output probability \( q(j) \) is small, we will get large \( \varepsilon \) and a large confidence on other categories. The adaptive label smoothing regularization have better performance against noise data and over-fitting. An illustration of the adaptive soft identity label is in Figure 3(a). We apply the adaptive-LSR (ALSR) into the identity-level by substituting Eq.(11) into \( L_y \).

- **Viewpoint-Aware Adaptive Label Learning.**

**Assumption 2.** The viewpoint of person in reality is a continuous value rather than the hard one.

Based on this assumption, we extend the ALSR to a viewpoint-aware angular loss, i.e., Viewpoint-Aware ALSR (VALSR). As mentioned earlier, our proposed viewpoint-aware angular loss models two levels of distribution, i.e., the identity-level distribution (identity clusters) and the viewpoint-level distribution (viewpoint clusters). We split every identity label into three sub-categories according to the viewpoints (front, side, back) and thus each image is classified into \( 3K \) viewpoint-aware categories. We argue that, when assigning the soft label for viewpoint-aware angular loss, the degree of regularization will vary according...
evaluate our model in the two datasets. **Notice that we use the viewpoint label only for training.** During the test stage, we don’t use any viewpoint label.

**Market-1501** dataset contains 32,668 person images of 1,501 identities captured by six cameras. Training set is composed of 12,936 images of 751 identities while testing data is composed of the other images of 750 identities. In addition, 2,793 distractors also exist in testing data.

**DukeMTMC-reID** dataset contains 36,411 person images of 1,404 identities captured by eight cameras. They are randomly divided, with 702 identities as the training set and the remaining 702 identities as the testing set. In the testing set, for each identity in each camera, one image is picked for the query set while the rest remain for the gallery set.

**Evaluation Metrics.** Two widely used evaluation metrics including mean average precision (mAP) and matching accuracy (Rank-1/Rank-5) are adopted in our experiments.

### 4.2 Implementation Details.

We resize images to $384 \times 128$ as in many re-ID systems. In training stage, we set batch size to be 64 by sampling 16 identities and 4 images per identity. The SeResnext model with the pretrained parameters on ImageNet is considered as the backbone network. Some common data augmentation strategies include horizontal flipping, random cropping, padding, random erasing (with a probability of 0.5) are used. We adopt Adam optimizer to train our model and set weight decay $5 \times 10^{-4}$. The total number of epoch is 200 and the epoch milestones are 50, 100, 160. The learning rate is initialized to $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ and is decayed by a factor of 0.1 when the epoch get the milestones. At the beginning, we warm up the models for 10 epochs and the learning rate grows linearly from $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ to $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$. The parameters in the loss function are set as follows: $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = 0.2$.

### 4.3 Comparison to the State-of-the-art.

We evaluate our proposed VA-reID model with the state-of-the-art methods based on deep learning. These methods include: 1) the stripe based methods PCB, MGN; 2) the metric learning related methods SRB, Pyramid,HPM; 3) the human semantic parsing based methods SPReID,DSA-reID; 4) the attention mechanisms based methods HA-CNN,ABD-Net; 5) the pose/view related methods OSCNN, PDC, PN-GAN, PIE, PGR. We show the results in Table 1 and we can observe that our model achieves state-of-the-art.

- **Comparison to the Pose/View Related Methods.** Our model outperforms the pose/view-related methods. Without reranking, our model achieves an improvement over the best pose/view-related method PGR by 14.9%/2.36% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in Market-1501 and by 18.53%/7.98% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in DukeMTMC-reID.

- **Comparison to the Metric Learning Related Methods.** Our model outperforms the metric learning related methods. With reranking, our model achieves an improvement over the second best method SRB by 3.70%/1.23% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in Market-1501 and by 5.51%/3.21% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in DukeMTMC-reID.

- **Comparison to Other Methods.** Our model outperforms the stripe based, the human semantic parsing based and the...
afined loss item and $L$ the viewpoint-aware loss function for the viewpoint-aware adaptive soft label can complement each other. For each identity, viewpoint-aware adaptive label smoothing (VALSR) and the adaptive label smoothing (ALS) can achieve an improvement by 1.70%/0.67% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in Market-1501 and by 0.87%/0.99% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in DukeMTMC-reID. This is because VALSR uses the viewpoint-aware adaptive soft label for identity-viewpoint classification. For each identity, the viewpoint-aware adaptive soft label helps to learn the embedding of viewpoint-related compact features. This comparison demonstrates the effectiveness of viewpoint-aware adaptive label smoothing.

- **Effectiveness of Center Regularization.** Comparing results of the baseline model and baseline with $L_C$, we can observe that adding an center regularization to the baseline model can get a slight improvement. Comparing results of $L_y+L_C$ and VA-reID, we can observe an significant improvement by 3.00%/1.17% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in Market-1501 and by 3.45%/3.27% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in DukeMTMC-reID. This is because the center regularization and the viewpoint-aware adaptive soft label can complement each other. For each identity, viewpoint-aware

| Category          | Method                        | Market-1501                      | DukeMTMC-reID                     |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                   |                               | mAP  | Rank-1 | Rank-5 | mAP  | Rank-1 | Rank-5 |
| stripe based      | PCB (Sun et al. 2018)         | 77.4 | 92.3   | 97.2   | 66.1 | 81.7   | 89.7   |
|                   | PCB+RPP (Sun et al. 2018)     | 81.6 | 93.8   | 97.5   | 69.2 | 83.3   | 90.5   |
|                   | MGN (Wang et al. 2018)        | 86.9 | 95.7   |        | 78.4 | 88.7   |        |
| attention based   | HA-CNN (Li, Zhu, and Gong 2018)| 75.7 | 91.2   |        | 63.8 | 80.5   |        |
|                   | ABD-Net (Chen et al. 2019)    | 88.2 | 95.6   | 90.0   | 78.59| 89.00  |        |
| human parsing     | SP-RelD (Kalayeh et al. 2018) | 83.36| 93.68  | 97.57  | 73.34| 85.95  | 92.95  |
|                   | DSA-reID (Zhang et al. 2019)  | 87.6 | 95.7   | 98.4   | 74.3 | 86.2   |        |
| metric learning   | Pyramid (Zheng et al. 2019a)  | 88.2 | 95.7   | 98.4   | 79.0 | 89.0   |        |
|                   | SRB(ResNet50) (Luo et al. 2019)| 85.9 | 94.5   |        | 76.4 | 86.4   |        |
|                   | SRB(SeResNext) (Luo et al. 2019)| 88.0 | 95.0   |        | 79.0 | 88.4   |        |
|                   | HPM (Fu et al. 2019)          | 82.7 | 94.2   | 97.5   | 74.3 | 86.6   |        |
| pose/view related | OSCNN (Chen et al. 2018)      | 73.5 | 83.9   |        | -    | -      | -      |
|                   | PDC (Su et al. 2017)          | 63.41| 84.14  |        | -    | -      | -      |
|                   | PN-GAN (Qian et al. 2018)     | 72.58| 89.43  |        | 53.20| 73.58  |        |
|                   | PIE (Zheng et al. 2019b)      | 69.25| 87.33  | 95.56  | 64.09| 80.84  | 88.30  |
| pose/view related | PGR (Li et al. 2019)          | 77.21| 93.87  | 97.74  | 65.98| 83.63  | 92.95  |

| This work        | Ours                          | 91.70| 96.23  | 98.69  | 84.51| 91.61  | 96.23  |
|                  | Ours+reranking                | 95.43| 96.79  | 98.31  | 91.82| 93.85  | 96.50  |
adaptive soft label generates feature clusters of viewpoints while the center regularization makes centers of these clusters closer. This comparison demonstrates the effectiveness of center regularization.

- **Effectiveness of Jointing Global and Local Features.** Comparing results of the VA-reID model, without reranking, jointing VA-reID and local feature can get a significant improvement by 1.73%/0.36% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in Market-1501 and by 3.03%/0.50% on mAP/Rank-1 metrics in DukeMTMC-reID. VA-reID uses viewpoint-aware loss only for learning discriminative global features while the local branch adopts multi-strips structure to learning fine-grained features. Adding local features helps to improve performance further. This comparison demonstrates the effectiveness of jointing global and local features.

### 4.5 Further Evaluations.
Following evaluations and analysis are made to further verify the effectiveness of our methods including: (1) the influence of the noisy viewpoint label; (2) visualization of the influence of viewpoints variance to the retrieval; (3) the effect of hyperparameters; and (4) viewpoint based retrieval and complexity analysis (see supplementary material).

- **Influence of the Noisy Viewpoint Label.** We exam the influence of the noises in the viewpoint labels on the Re-ID performance. As show in Table 3, three different types of viewpoints labels and the performance comparison using different viewpoint labels:
  1) **VA-reID with P** uses viewpoints labels predicted by a simple Resnet-50 classifier trained on 600 images of extra viewpoints datasets.  2) **VA-reID with PC** uses viewpoints labels predicted by clustering pose information generated by Open-Pose.  3) **VA-reID with GT** directly uses ground-truth viewpoints labels annotated by human. The accuracy of viewpoints classification is 78.7% and the accuracy of pose clustering is 44.57%.

From Table 3 we observe that the amount of noises in the viewpoints labels have very little influence on our method. VA-reID with P achieves very similar performance to VA-reID with GT. What’s more, even with the viewpoints prediction accuracy of only 44.57%, the performance of VA-reID with PC does not drop much, our method still achieves state-of-art performance on DukeMTMC-reID. This experiments verify that our proposed VA-reID method is robust to viewpoints label noises and a simple classifier or clustering method is good enough to get the viewpoints information.

- **Visualization of Results.** Figure 5 shows examples of retrieval results by baseline method and VA-reID. We observe that, in the case of high viewpoints variance between query and gallery, our method has a much higher retrieval precision compared to baseline. Take the result in the first row as an example, for a query image in front viewpoint, baseline method only correctly retrieves two images with the same front viewpoint, while VA-reID is able to successfully retrieve images from all three viewpoints. Similar to the first row, the results in other rows further demonstrate excellent performance on cross-viewpoints images retrieval.

| Method               | DukeMTMC-reID | mAP | Rank-1 | Rank-5 |
|----------------------|----------------|-----|--------|--------|
| PN-GAN (Qian et al. 2018) | 53.20          | 73.58 |        |        |
| VA-reID with P       | 81.05          | 90.75 | 95.65  |        |
| VA-reID with PC      | 80.69          | 90.35 | 95.83  |        |
| VA-reID with GT      | 81.48          | 91.11 | 95.38  |        |

Figure 5: Visual results of the baseline method and the VA-reID method. The red box represents the wrong result while the green box represents the correct result.

- **Hyperparameters Analysis.** Figure 6 shows how the parameter $\alpha$ and $\beta$ affect the performance in Market-1501 dataset. The performance of our method is stable within a wide range for both parameters. It also presents the excellence of the adaptive label smoothing method.

Figure 6: Performance of VA-reID method on Market-1501 with different hyperparameters. In figure (a), we fix $\beta = 0.1$. In figure (b), we fix $\alpha = 0.2$.

### 5 Conclusion
This study proposes a novel method to address the viewpoint variation problem in Re-ID. Overall, we make two contributions to the community. Firstly, we propose the viewpoint-aware angular loss to learn the embedding of viewpoint-aware feature in a unified hyper-sphere, which effectively model the feature distribution on both identity-level and viewpoints-level. Secondly, we propose a novel viewpoint aware adaptive label smoothing method to relax the hard margin caused with adaptive soft labels. Experiments show the effectiveness our method.

---

2 Available at https://github.com/zzhsysu/VA-ReID
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