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Abstract

**Background:** Hereditary multiple exostoses (HME) is a rare autosomal dominant skeletal disorder that can cause a variety of clinical manifestations. We aimed to evaluate the general clinical phenotypic severity of HME by using a scoring system and correlate the genotypes with different clinical phenotypes in Chinese patients.

**Methods:** Forty-six patients from different families were prospectively enrolled. The mutations were identified by direct sequencing of PCR-amplified genomic DNA or by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA). Patients’ demographic data, height, age of onset, number of anatomical sites, forearm deformity, and lower extremity alignment were analysed according to genotype and gender. A scoring system was used to assess the severity of the clinical phenotype.

**Results:** Thirty (60%) patients presented mutations in the **EXT1** gene, and 16 (32%) presented mutations in the **EXT2** gene. The mean age of onset was 2.96 years. The mean number of involved anatomic sites was 15.35. Male patients had more lesion sites than female patients (15.97 vs. 13.77, *p* = 0.046). The height evaluation illustrated that 67% of the patients (31 of 46) were below the 50th percentile, and the patients with **EXT1** mutations were shorter than those with **EXT2** mutations (*p* = 0.005). Forearm deformity showed a significant correlation with the number of involved anatomical sites (*r* = 0.382, *p* = 0.009). Moreover, a higher total score was found in patients with **EXT1** mutations (*p* = 0.001).

**Conclusions:** The clinical manifestations of 46 Chinese HME patients were similar to those in previous reports of Western populations. Patients with **EXT1** mutations have a more severe clinical phenotype than patients with **EXT2** mutations.
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Background

Hereditary multiple exostoses (HME) is a rare autosomal dominant condition that is characterized by multiple benign cartilage-capped tumours, primarily at the juxta-epiphysial region of long bones. The clinical manifestations of HME include short stature, limb length discrepancies, forearm deformities and valgus deformities of the knee and ankle [1]. Two genes, **EXT1** and **EXT2**, located at 8q24.11 and 11p11.2, respectively, have been identified as causing HME [2–4]. These two genes may play different roles in heparan sulfate (HS) biosynthesis.

Several English language publications on genotype-phenotype correlation have indicated that patients with **EXT1** mutations have more severe clinical manifestations [5–7]. However, there are few reports about clinical manifestations of HME in mainland Chinese patients. In this study, we describe the clinical features of HME patients according to genotype and gender and analyse the severity of clinical phenotypes by using a scoring system.
Methods
From 2013 to 2015, a prospective database was established for patients with multiple exostoses. According to this protocol, patients were initially diagnosed on the basis of radiology. Subsequently, a geneticist performed a genetic analysis of the patients. All patients’ parents and guardians gave written informed consent, and the ethics committee of our hospital approved the study protocols.

Clinical phenotype study
Patients’ characteristics, including sex, age of onset, and height, were recorded. Because deformities of the spine, hands, and feet were not obvious, the radiological assessment consisted of only a bilateral upper-extremity anteroposterior view with the elbow extended and the forearm supinated, a lateral view of the elbow flexed at 90°, and long standing anteroposterior radiographs of the lower extremities, including the pelvis. On these plain films, exostoses at the proximal and distal ends of the long bones were recorded at 24 anatomic locations (12 on each side of the body). Stature percentiles were determined according to national growth charts [8].

Forearm deformities and lower-extremity malalignments were the most common and obvious deformities of appearance. When assessing forearm deformities, we noted that forearms with exostoses at both the distal radius and ulna without obvious deformities or proportional shortening of the radius and ulna cannot be classified according to the Masada classification [9]. Therefore, we defined these deformities as type 0 and established the following ordinal grading system for forearm deformities: Grade 1, no exostoses of the distal forearm; Grade 2, exostoses of the distal radius or ulna without shortening of either bone or proportionate shortening; Grade 3, exostoses of the distal ulna or radius resulting in a relatively shortened ulna and a bowing radius; and Grade 4, exostoses of the distal ulna, a relatively shortened ulna, and a dislocated radial head, described as Masada type IIa and type IIb (Fig. 1).

A scoring system was used to assess the severity of the clinical phenotype (Table 1). The scores for the age of onset, height, number of anatomical sites, forearm deformity, and lower extremity alignment were added up to produce the total score. The higher the total score was, the more severe were the clinical manifestations.

Genetic study
Genomic DNA was extracted from patient peripheral blood samples using a QIAamp Blood DNA Mini kit® (Qiagen GMBH, Hilden, Germany). Primers for the amplification of the EXT1 and EXT2 genes (GenBank accession numbers NM_000127.2 and NM_207122.1, respectively) were designed using Primer3 online software (primer sequences are available upon request). All exons and exon–intron boundaries of each gene were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Takara Bio, Dalian, China). The amplified products were purified from an agarose gel using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen GMBH) and sequenced with an ABI3730XL sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). For PCR-
negative patients, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) analysis was performed using the SALSA MLPA probe mix P215-B2 EXT kit (MRC Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Data analysis and interpretation were performed using GeneMarker® software (Softgenetics, State College, PA, USA).

Statistical methods
The statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 17.0. Descriptive statistics were described as the means ± SD and ranges (minimum to maximum). Categorical data were analysed with Pearson’s chi-squared test or Student’s t-test. For nonparametric data, the Mann–Whitney U test was performed to check for significant differences between groups. A Spearman’s rho or Kendall’s tau correlation analysis was used to assess relationships between ordinal variables. Differences were considered significant at a p-value of <0.05.

Results

General clinical aspects
Patients who were unwilling to undergo further X-rays were excluded from the study. Fifty subjects from different families were prospectively enrolled, including 30 (60%) with EXT1 mutations and 16 (32%) with EXT2 mutations (Table 2); four patients (8%) with no identifiable mutations were excluded from the study. Thus, the study cohort consisted of 46 patients, including 33 males (EXT1/EXT2, 22/11) and 13 females (EXT1/EXT2, 8/5) (Table 3), with a mean age of 9.3 years (range 5.3–15.6 years, SD = 3.06). The mean age of onset was 2.96 years (range 1–12.5 years, SD = 2.237). The mean number of involved anatomical sites was 15.35 (range 7–20, SD = 3.38).

The height evaluation illustrated that 67% of the patients (31 of 46) were below the 50th percentile. Knee valgus deformity was observed in 41 limbs. No varus deformity was observed in this study. Forearm deformities are more common, and only seven forearms were not involved (grade I), 36 forearms were grade II, 34 forearms were grade III, and 15 forearms were grade IV. There is no correlation between forearm deformity and stature (p = 0.499). However, forearm deformity had a significant correlation with the number of involved anatomical sites (r = 0.382, p = 0.009, Spearman’s rho) at a 0.05 level. Moreover, a strong relationship with lower limb malalignment (r = 0.885, p < 0.001, Kendall tau b test) was observed in our data. A comparison of deformities of the left forearm to those of the right side revealed no significant difference in the body side distribution of forearm deformity (p = 0.987, Mann–Whitney test).

Gene mutation-related analysis
We found no significant differences in age (p = 0.658) or age of onset (p = 0.957) with regard to the EXT mutation. There was also no significant difference between the genotypes in gender distribution (p = 1.000, chi-squared). The EXT1 patients were shorter than the EXT2 patients (p = 0.005, Mann–Whitney test) (Fig. 2); 46% (14 of 30) of the patients with EXT1 mutations were below the 10th percentile compared with 12.5% (2 of 16) of the patients with EXT2 mutations. The mean number of involved anatomical sites was slightly greater in patients with EXT1 mutations compared with those with EXT2 mutations, but this difference was not significant (p = 0.110, t-test). We also found no significant differences between the two genotypes in forearm deformities or lower extremity malalignment (p = 0.432 and p = 0.403, respectively) (Table 4). However, we observed a higher total score in the patients with EXT1 mutations (p = 0.001, t-test) (Fig. 3).

| Parameters | Score |
|------------|-------|
| Age of onset (years) | |
| >3* | 1 |
| <=3 | 2 |
| Height stature | |
| >=P50 | 0 |
| <P50 | 1 |
| <P25 | 2 |
| <P10 | 3 |
| <P3 | 4 |
| Number of involved anatomical sitesb | |
| <15 | 1 |
| > = 16 | 2 |
| Forearm deformity | |
| I No exostosis involvement of the distal forearm | 0 |
| II With exostosis involvement of the distal radius or ulna without shortening of either bone or proportionate shortening | 1 |
| III With exostosis involvement of the distal ulna or radius results in relative shortening of the ulna and a bowed radius or relative shortening of the radius, include Masada type I and type III | 2 |
| IV With exostoses in the distal ulna, a relatively shorter ulna and dislocated radial head described as Masada type Ila and Iib | 3 |
| Alignment of low extremity | |
| Normal | 0 |
| Valgus/Varus | 1 |

*HME has penetrance of 50% by the age of three and a half [8]; in this study less than three years was defined as 1 point
bThe mean number of involved anatomical sites in this study was 15
Gender-related analysis
Male patients had more lesion sites than female patients (15.97 vs. 13.77), \( (p = 0.046, \text{t-test}) \); however, we found no significant differences in other parameters between male and female patients (Table 4).

Age-related analysis
By contrast, with gender, we observed that several parameters showed progressive tendencies with increasing age, including the number of involved anatomical sites (\( r = 0.439, p = 0.002 \)), forearm deformities (\( r = 0.316, p = 0.032 \)), and lower extremity alignments (\( r = 0.438, p = 0.002 \)). Similarly, we also found a linear correlation between age and total score (\( r = 0.346, p = 0.018 \), as shown in Fig. 4.

Discussion
The prevalence of HME in Western populations is estimated at 1:50,000 [10], whereas it is uncertain in mainland China. Only one publication on the clinical manifestations of Chinese HME patients was found when searching the PubMed databases, and it was based on a literature review. Most publications in Chinese are case reports and mutation screenings [11]. Our study is the

### Table 2
EXT1 and EXT2 mutations in patients

| Case Number | Mutant gene | EXT1 | EXT2 | Variant type |
|-------------|-------------|------|------|--------------|
| 1           | c.1567delC  |      |      | Small deletion(frameshift) |
| 2           | c.1722 + 2 T > G, |      |      | Splice site |
| 3           | c.651-664delinsTTT |      |      | Frameshift |
| 4           | c.680delG  |      |      | Small deletion(frameshift) |
| 5           | c.1016G > A |      |      | Missense |
| 6           | c.544C > T  |      |      | Nonsense |
| 7           | c.1879_1881delCAC |      |      | Small deletion(codon) |
| 8           | c.1108G > T |      |      | Nonsense |
| 9           | c.335delA  |      |      | Small deletion(frameshift) |
| 10          | EXT2 ALL EXON del |      |      | |
| 11          | c.755 + 1G > T |      |      | Splice site |
| 12          | c.1075A > T |      |      | Nonsense |
| 13          | c.942_944delAG |      |      | Small deletion(frameshift) |
| 14          | c.1784_1786delGC |      |      | Small deletion(frameshift) |
| 15          | c.1469delTT |      |      | Splice site |
| 16          | c.67C > T   |      |      | Nonsense |
| 17          | c.678C > A  |      |      | Nonsense |
| 18          | c.624_630delinsT |      |      | Splice site |
| 19          | c.1705delG  |      |      | Small deletion(frameshift) |
| 20          | c.1501G > A |      |      | Nonsense |
| 21          | c.1019G > A |      |      | Missense |
| 22          | c.1930A > T |      |      | Missense |
| 23          | c.1165-1G > T |      |      | Splice site |
| 24          | c.1469delTT |      |      | Small deletion(frameshift) |
| 25          | c.925_928dupCCAC |      |      | Small duplication(frameshift) |
| 26          | c.1883 + 1G > A |      |      | Splice site |
| 27          | c.382C > T  |      |      | Missense |
| 28          | EXT1 E4 del |      |      | |
| 29          | c.1182delG  |      |      | Small deletion(frameshift) |
| 30          | c.910C > A  |      |      | Nonsense mutation |
| 31          | EXT1 E2-E11 del |      |      | Small duplication(frameshift) |
| 32          | c.247dupC  |      |      | Small duplication(frameshift) |
| 33          | EXT1 E4 del |      |      | |
| 34          | c.1930A > T |      |      | Missense |
| 35          | c.200C > A  |      |      | Missense |
| 36          | c.1181G > A |      |      | Nonsense |
| 37          | EXT1 ALL EXON del |      |      | |
| 38          | EXT1 ALL EXON del |      |      | |
| 39          | c.659G > A  |      |      | Missense |
| 40          | c.354dupA  |      |      | Small duplication(frameshift) |
| 41          | c.1911C > A |      |      | Nonsense |

### Table 3
The different EXT mutations according to gender

| Gender | EXT1 | EXT2 |
|--------|------|------|
| Male   | 22   | 11   |
| Female | 8    | 5    |

\( p = 1.000, \text{Chi-squared} \)

**Gender-related analysis**

**Age-related analysis**

By contrast, with gender, we observed that several parameters showed progressive tendencies with increasing age, including the number of involved anatomical sites (\( r = 0.439, p = 0.002 \)), forearm deformities (\( r = 0.316, p = 0.032 \)), and lower extremity alignments (\( r = 0.438, p = 0.002 \)). Similarly, we also found a linear correlation between age and total score (\( r = 0.346, p = 0.018 \), as shown in Fig. 4.

**Discussion**

The prevalence of HME in Western populations is estimated at 1:50,000 [10], whereas it is uncertain in mainland China. Only one publication on the clinical manifestations of Chinese HME patients was found when searching the PubMed databases, and it was based on a literature review. Most publications in Chinese are case reports and mutation screenings [11]. Our study is the...
first prospective genotype-phenotype analysis of HME in Chinese patients.

Hereditary multiple exostoses (HME) is one of the most common benign musculoskeletal disorders. The majority of HME cases are caused by loss-of-function mutations in EXT1 or EXT2, and linkage occurs in approximately one-half and one-third of HME families, respectively. Mutations in EXT1 and EXT2 were responsible for 60 and 32% of the cases in this study, respectively. These mutation frequencies are similar to those in Western populations but are quite different from those in a mainland China population in a previous study (14% EXT1 and 33% EXT2) [12]. These findings suggest that regional differences in EXT1 and EXT2 mutation frequencies occur in China. Moreover, the use of MLPA has dramatically increased the detection of mutations: it can identify large-fragment deletions and duplications based on hybridization and ligation, followed by the amplification of the ligation products.

The EXT genes encode homologous Golgi-associated glycosyltransferases that are involved in the chain elongation step of HS biosynthesis. Studies have indicated that HS influences various important processes in skeletogenesis and skeletal growth and morphogenesis. Loss-of-function mutations in the EXT1 and EXT2 genes lead to HS deficiency [13, 14]. EXT2 forms a complex with EXT1, and this complex is believed to be the functional HS polymerase. However, the EXT1 and EXT2 proteins have different roles: EXT1 exerts a predominantly biological function, whereas EXT2 is speculated to assist in the folding and transport of EXT1 to the Golgi complex instead of elongation of the HS backbone [15]. Therefore, the variable clinical phenotypes of HME might result from the different gene mutations.

Many researchers have reported the genotype–phenotype correlation in HME [5, 7, 16, 17]. Francannet et al. divided their subjects into severe or moderate groups by using five factors, and they found that most severe forms of the disease and malignant transformation were associated with EXT1 mutations [5]. Pedrini et al. classified their patients based on the presence of deformities and functional limitations and reported that being male, having more than 20 affected skeletal sites, and having an EXT1 mutation were each correlated with increased risk of a severe phenotype [17].

In this study, we observed that 67% of patients were below the 50th percentile, confirming reports that patients with HME have a shorter stature and that patients with EXT1 mutations are shorter than patients with EXT2 mutations. However, we found no significant difference in the number of involved anatomical sites between genotypes, and these data are in contrast to those of previous studies [5–7, 17]. An explanation for this finding may be that we did not evaluate exostosis involvement of flat bones, whereas some authors have reported a higher degree of anatomical burden and more flat bone involvement in EXT1 patients than in EXT2 patients [7, 16]. Thus, we speculate that the different functions of the EXT genes in HS biosynthesis may lead to different exostosis involvement of the flat bones.

The forearm deformities classified by Masada are a characteristic trait of patients with HME. The prevalence of forearm deformities is as high as 30–60% [9, 10]. Our data are in accord with this prevalence; we found that 53% (49 of 92) of forearms showed obvious deformities. Tani-guchi et al. [18] found that increasing forearm involvement was associated with HME diagnosis at an earlier age, a greater number of generalized exostoses, a shorter stature, a greater number of exostoses affecting the knee, and increased valgus deformity of the ankle. By contrast, we found no correlations between the grade of forearm deformity and the age of onset or stature. However, strong associations with the number of involved anatomical sites and lower limb malalignment were observed; the more severe the forearm deformity, the higher the number of anatomical sites involved and the greater the lower limb malalignment. Meanwhile, we found no predominant side when comparing the forearm deformities; the degree of
forearm deformity on both sides was similar, which is in contrast to Jäger et al. who reported more exostoses affecting the right side [19].

In this study, we used a scoring system to assess the severity of the clinical phenotype. Forearm deformity and lower extremity malalignment were selected to represent limb deformities, and the age of onset, stature, and number of involved anatomical sites represented the general aspect of exostoses. After the grade of the deformities was defined, it was easier to evaluate the severity of the clinical phenotype according to the total score: the higher the score, the more severe the phenotype. Although there were no significant differences between the two genotypes regarding these parameters except for height, the total score of patients with EXT1 mutations was higher than that of patients with EXT2 mutations. This result is consistent with previous investigations indicating the more severe clinical phenotype of EXT1 mutations.

Some authors have reported sex-dependent differences in HME; the prevalence seems to be higher in males [20], and male patients usually present with more exostoses and shorter stature than females [7, 17, 19]. Our data corroborate these reports; 72% (33 of 46) of our patients were male, and we observed more lesion sites in male patients. However, there were no gender-related differences in any other parameters.

Our results demonstrated the age-related phenotypic penetrance of HME. As the exostoses continue to enlarge until epiphyseal fusion occurs, the number of involved anatomical sites increased with age; forearm deformities and lower extremity malalignments became more severe in older children; and the total score showed a strong association with age (Fig. 4). We did not observe the most severe complication, malignant transformation, in this study because this complication is also age-related; it is usually diagnosed at an average age of 31 years and seldom occurs in the first decade of life [1, 21]. However, Schmale et al. [22] reported two cases of skeletally immature patients who presented with malignant transformation. Therefore, adolescent patients should be followed carefully to detect signs of early malignant transformation.

We note several limitations of this study. First, this was a cross-sectional study; as most clinical manifestations are age-related, a longitudinal observational study would decrease the risk of confounding factors. Second, the sample size limitation may have led to some bias. Third, the study was based on radiographic measurements and did not include an evaluation of functional impairment. Finally, the scoring system used in this study may be arbitrary; however, there are still no universally accepted criteria to categorize phenotype severity, although several methods have been mentioned to grade severity. The five factors used to evaluate severity in this study are characteristic traits of HME, but they need to be further verified by statistics.

Conclusions
In this study, we have confirmed that several clinical features of HME in Chinese patients are similar to those in most Western populations. Patients with HME are frequently of short stature; in this study, patients with EXT1 mutations were shorter in stature compared with EXT2 patients, but we did not observe any significant differences between other parameters including age of onset, number of involved anatomical sites, forearm deformities and lower limb malalignment. However, it would seem that patients with EXT1 mutations have a more severe clinical phenotype compared with those with EXT2 mutations, which we demonstrated in terms of the total score by our scoring system. Although this study has some limitations, it may be helpful for further research on HME.
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