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ABSTRACT

Different viruses can be responsible for similar clinical manifestations of respiratory infections. Thus, the etiological diagnosis of respiratory viral diseases requires the detection of a large number of viruses. In this study, 6 duplex real-time PCR assays, using EvaGreen intercalating dye, were developed to detect 12 major viruses responsible for respiratory diseases: influenza A and B viruses, enteroviruses (including enterovirus spp, and rhinovirus spp), respiratory syncytial virus, human metapneumovirus, coronaviruses group I (of which CoV 229E and CoV NL63 are part) and II (including CoV OC43 and CoV HKU1), parainfluenza viruses type 1, 2, 3 and 4, human adenoviruses and human bocaviruses. The 2 target viruses of each duplex reaction were distinguishable by the melting temperatures of their amplicons. The 6 duplex real time PCR assays were applied for diagnostic purpose on 202 respiratory samples from 157 patients. One hundred fifty-seven samples were throat swabs and 45 were bronchoalveolar lavages. The results of the duplex PCR assays were confirmed by comparison with a commercial, validated, assay; in addition, the positive results were confirmed by sequencing. The analytical sensitivity of the duplex PCR assays varied from 10^3 copies/ml to 10^4 copies/ml. For parainfluenza virus 2 only it was 10^5 copies/ml. Seventy clinical samples (35%) from 55 patients (30 children and 25 adults) were positive for 1 or more viruses. In adult patients, influenza A virus was the most frequently detected respiratory virus followed by rhinoviruses. In contrast, respiratory syncytial virus was the most common virus in children, followed by enteroviruses, influenza A virus and coronavirus NL63. The small number of samples/patients does not allow us to draw any epidemiological conclusion. Altogether, the results of this study indicate that the 6 duplex PCR assays described in this study are sensitive, specific and cost-effective. Thus, this assay could be particularly useful to identify the main respiratory viruses directly from clinical samples, after nucleic acid extraction, and, also, to screen a large number of patients for epidemiological studies.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Viral respiratory acute infections are common and contribute significantly to morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Many different viruses can determine respiratory tract infections and most of them belong to the Orthomyxoviridae, Coronaviridae, Picornaviridae, Paramyxoviridae, Adenoviridae and Parvoviridae families. Viral respiratory infections may be either asymptomatic, or they may occur with mild symptoms or even cause severe diseases.

In patients with predisposing conditions, the outcome of these infections can be more severe and require hospitalization, sometimes even in intensive care units (ICUs), following the development of pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Respiratory viruses account for about 30% of pneumonia cases in adult patients, hospitalized in ICU, with mortality rates comparable to those of bacterial pneumonia [2]. In children, especially those younger than 2 years, they frequently cause pneumonia [3,4].

A rapid and accurate etiological diagnosis is essential for prompt patient management, ruling out non-viral infection, limiting the spread of infections and, when available, initiating
timely therapeutic treatments. For many years the diagnosis of viral respiratory tract infections has been made by non-molecular approaches such as antigen detection by direct immunofluorescence and viral culture. These methods, although effective and often complementary, are time-consuming, labour-intensive and, often, lack of sensitivity or specificity [5–7]. Compared to classical methods, molecular methods have significantly improved the diagnosis of acute respiratory tract infections as they offer high sensitivity and provide specific results within a shorter period of time and for a larger number of pathogens [8–14]. Consequently, a number of different molecular systems have become commercially available for fast and accurate detection of respiratory viruses [7–10,15–17].

Different viruses can be responsible for similar clinical manifestations of respiratory infections. Thus, the etiological diagnosis of acute respiratory tract infections as they offer high sensitivity and provide specific results within a shorter period of time and for a larger number of pathogens [8–14]. Consequently, a number of different molecular systems have become commercially available for fast and accurate detection of respiratory viruses [7–10,15–17].

Different viruses can be responsible for similar clinical manifestations of respiratory infections. Thus, the etiological diagnosis of acute respiratory tract infections as they offer high sensitivity and provide specific results within a shorter period of time and for a larger number of pathogens [8–14]. Consequently, a number of different molecular systems have become commercially available for fast and accurate detection of respiratory viruses [7–10,15–17].

Different viruses can be responsible for similar clinical manifestations of respiratory infections. Thus, the etiological diagnosis of acute respiratory tract infections as they offer high sensitivity and provide specific results within a shorter period of time and for a larger number of pathogens [8–14]. Consequently, a number of different molecular systems have become commercially available for fast and accurate detection of respiratory viruses [7–10,15–17].

Different viruses can be responsible for similar clinical manifestations of respiratory infections. Thus, the etiological diagnosis of acute respiratory tract infections as they offer high sensitivity and provide specific results within a shorter period of time and for a larger number of pathogens [8–14]. Consequently, a number of different molecular systems have become commercially available for fast and accurate detection of respiratory viruses [7–10,15–17].

Different viruses can be responsible for similar clinical manifestations of respiratory infections. Thus, the etiological diagnosis of acute respiratory tract infections as they offer high sensitivity and provide specific results within a shorter period of time and for a larger number of pathogens [8–14]. Consequently, a number of different molecular systems have become commercially available for fast and accurate detection of respiratory viruses [7–10,15–17].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Respiratory viruses and primers design

The viruses object of this study were type A and type B influenza viruses, EV (including hRV spp and EV spp), hRSV, hMPV, CoVI and CoVII, PIV1 and 3 (Respirovirus genus), PIV2 and PIV4, hAdV, HBoV. Twelve primer pairs (Table 1) were designed targeting a conserved and specific region in the genome of each virus included in this study. For this purpose, from 100 to 656 sequences of each virus have been downloaded from GenBank (NCBI) and aligned using ClustalW v1.4 included in BioEdit v7.0.0. The primer sequences were chosen in order to use the same assay for the amplification reactions. For some viruses, published primer sequences could be used [20–26], as shown in Table 1. In addition, Table 1 shows the gene target for each virus, the sequence of the chosen primers, the size of the amplicons and annealing temperature used.

2.2. Clinical samples

A total of 202 respiratory samples from 157 patients were analysed to detect respiratory viruses. From all patients (93 children and 64 adults) a throat swab was obtained. Of 64 adult patients 45 were hospitalized in intensive care unit (ICU) and a bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was also made available. The clinical samples were collected between September 2011 and February 2015 from patients with suspected influenza virus infection.

2.3. Viral nucleic acids extraction

Extraction of viral RNAs and DNAs from clinical samples was carried out using a commercially available kit (QIAamp MinElute Virus, Valencia, CA, USA) for the simultaneous purification of viral RNA and DNA from body fluids. Following the kit instructions, viral nucleic acids were extracted from 200 µl of body fluids. The elution was carried out in a final volume of 150 µl.

2.4. Duplex real time PCR assays

For the detection of respiratory RNA viruses, after retrotranscription (RT) with random examer, 5 duplex real-time PCR, using EVAGreen fluorescent dye, were developed, a first one to detect influenza A and influenza B viruses; a second one to detect PIVs belonging to Respirovirus genus (PIV1 and PIV3) and hRSV; the viruses target of the third one were PIV type 2 and hMPV; a fourth

Table 1

| Viruses       | Gene | Primer sequences                                      | Annealing | Amplicon size | Ref.   |
|---------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------|
| Influenza A   | M    | PF:TCAGGCCCCCTCAAGACCC                              | 55 °C     | 158           | This study |
| Influenza B   | M    | PF:TAGAGCCACGGTACCTGAAA                               | 55 °C     | 94            | [20]   |
| PIV1 and 3    | L    | PR:CGTGCACCGCTCATTCTGATTAAC                         | 55 °C     | 71            | This study |
| PIV2          | L    | PR:CTGGATGTGGTACATTGTTAATA                           | 55 °C     | 77            | This study |
| PIV4          |      | PR:CGCTAGCGCAAACTGATATGTTA                           | 55 °C     | 182           | This study |
| CoVI          |      | PR:CAAGCTTTTATCTGTGATGAGTG                           | 55 °C     | 74            | This study |
| CoVII         |      | PR:TCAGGGCTGATGAGTTTG                                | 55 °C     | 96            | [22]   |
| hRV/EV       | 5’UTR| PR:AGTCTTTCCGCGCCCAGG                                 | 55 °C     | 120           | [23]   |
| hRSV         | L    | PR:AATACGCCACCGCTAATCTCAATCAACCTTTA                   | 55 °C     | 94            | [24]   |
| hMPV         | F    | PR:GAAGCTTGGAAAGATTTGAGG                              | 55 °C     | 174           | This study |
| hAdV         |       | PR:CGAGCGCACCCACATGATG                                | 55 °C     | 167           | [25]   |
| HBoV         |       | PR:CCCTTTAAACCAGG                                    | 55 °C     | 120           | [26]   |
duplex was performed to detect CoV I and EV/hRV; and a fifth duplex was performed to detect CoVII and PIV4. A sixth duplex was performed to detect hAdV and HBoV. In particular, after RT of 10 μl of the extracted sample with random primers (QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit, Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) in a volume of 20 μl, 2× HRM PCR master mix (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) was used for the amplification reaction. The reaction volume was 25 μl (12.5 μl of master mix, 1.75 μl of each primer [10 μM], 5 μl of cDNA or of extracted DNA and H2O to reach the final volume). After the initial activation step, 30 cycles of amplification (95 °C for 10 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 10 s (acquiring Green)) were performed. For the melting analysis, a ramp from 65 °C to 95 °C was used, rising by 0.1 °C each step. The reaction was performed on Rotor Gene 6000 (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). The primers specific for each virus are listed in Table 1.

All duplex real time PCR assays were developed using standards prepared with reference strains obtained from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Controls (NIBSC) (CoV229E, hMPV, hRSVA2, PIV1, PIV2, PIV3, PIV4, hRVA) or already available in our laboratory (Influenza A and B strains, isolated and cultured in MDCK cells, and CoVOC43, HBoV, sequences obtained and characterised from clinical samples). The standards were prepared by cloning the selected sequences according to the standard protocol of pGEM-T Easy Vector System (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). The plasmid DNA was purified by QiAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). To obtain the RNA standard for each virus, each product of the cloning was transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). The results of the duplex PCR assays were confirmed by sequencing positive samples, using the primers reported in Table 2 and by comparison with a commercial, validated, assay (r-gene for respiratory viruses detection, BioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France).

### 2.5. Melting temperature of targets

The melting temperature for each target sequence, as could be calculated after in silico studies, are reported in Table 3. Altogether, the range of melting temperature of EV/hRV target sequence was comprised between 81 °C and 87.5 °C as resulted after the in silico study of 656 sequences downloaded from GenBank (NCBI). In particular, this analysis showed that the melting temperature of hRV can vary from 81 °C to 85.5 °C, while the melting temperature of EV is variable from 83.5 °C to 87.5 °C.

As hAdV is concerned, the study of the target region of about 500 sequences downloaded from GenBank (NCBI) showed that the melting temperature varies from 81.5 °C to 88 °C.

### 3. Results

Six real-time duplex PCR assays, using EvaGreen fluorescent dye, were developed to identify 12 common respiratory viruses directly from clinical samples, after nucleic acid extraction. The amplicon size of the target region of each virus varied from 71 to 182 bp, with a melting temperature ranging from 73 °C to 88 °C. Each duplex reaction was designed so that the melting temperatures of the 2 target viruses were clearly distinguishable (Fig. 1).

The analytical sensitivity of all duplex PCR assays was determined using serial dilutions of the cloned target sequences, quantified by NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) (Table 4). In Table 4, the highest dilution which was always positive when repeated 10 times is shown; a further dilution was always negative, or positive in less than 50% of the times. The duplex real-time PCR 1 was able to detect 10^3 copies/ml of influenza A virus and 10^4 copies/ml of influenza B virus. The sensitivity of the duplex 2 was of 10^5 copies/ml for PIV2 and 10^4 copies/ml for hMPV. Reaction 3 detected 10^4 copies/ml of CoVI and 10^3 copies/ml of hRV/EV; 10^2 copies/ml and 10^3 copies/ml of PIV1,3 and hRSV, respectively, were detected by reaction 4. The sensitivity of reaction 5 was 10^4 copies/ml for both targets. The sensitivity of reaction 6 to detect hAdV and HBoV was 10^3 copies/ml for both targets.

To evaluate the specificity of each duplex real-time PCR, each cloned target sequence (10^7 copies/μl) was tested individually by the 6 duplex reactions. In addition, a pool was prepared containing 10^7 copies/μl of each positive control. This pool was then tested...

### Table 2

| Primers used to perform sequencing reaction of positive samples. | Annealing | Amplicon size | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Influenza A | M | PF:GACTTCTTCAACMGAGTGCAGAAAGCTA | 55 °C | 597 | This study |
| | | PR:GCCTTGCTTCACCTGACTCC | | | |
| Influenza B | M | PF:CACGTTCCTCCTTGCTTCCA | 55 °C | 367 | This study |
| | | PR:ACAAAGGACGACGGCTCTTCT | | | |
| PIV2 | L | PF:CTCTCCACAAATCATGAGGTACT | 55 °C | 496 | This study |
| | | PR:GCTCATATACATCCTCTGG | | | |
| CoVI | polymerase | PF:GCGAYGCTGCTGTGGATCC | 55 °C | 534 | This study |
| | | PR:ACTGARACCATTTCTGACCGG | | | |
| hRV/EV | 5’UTR | PF:GGACCTTCCTGGCCC | 55 °C | 390 | [23] |
| | | PR:GAAACAGCGCAGCCCCAAGT | | | |
| hRSV | L | PF:TAAGGRATGCTAATCCGGAATTAGA | 55 °C | 501 | This study |
| | | PR:TCGCCGCTCCTACCTGAGTCC | | | |
| hMPV | F | PF:ACACATMGCCTAGACTTCGC | 55 °C | 418 | This study |
| | | PR:GATTCGCGTACAGCTTACAG | | | |
| hAdV | Exon 6 | PF:CAACACTGAGACATCTCAAA | 55 °C | 474 | [25] |
| | | PR:KATGGGGTARAGCATGTT | | | |

### Table 3

| Duplex PCR essays number | Target | Tm( °C) |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Influenza A | 83 |
| | Influenza B | 78 |
| 2 | PIV2 | 74 |
| 3 | hMPV | 80 |
| 4 | CoVII | 74.5–76 |
| | hRV/EV | 81–87.5 |
| 5 | PIV1 and 3 | 73 |
| 6 | hRSV | 77 |
| 7 | CoVI | 77.5 |
| 8 | PIV4 | 80 |
| 9 | hAdV | 81.5–88 |
| 10 | HBoV | 78 |
with each duplex real-time PCR. The results confirmed that each duplex could identify only its specific targets.

Furthermore, in order to assess the intra-assay and inter-assay variability of each duplex real-time PCR, each clinical sample was assayed 3 times in the same run and all the positive samples were assayed in 3 different runs with reproducible results. Table 5 shows the results of this evaluation performed with the positive controls. Altogether, in this study 202 clinical samples from 157 patients, collected between September 2011 and February 2015, were analysed. A total of 70 clinical samples from 55 patients (30 children and 25 adults) were positive for 1 or more viruses. Influenza virus (23/157; 14.7%) was the most frequently detected respiratory virus, followed by hRV (17/157; 10.8%). As regard hAdV, in particular, 17 patients were positive for influenza A virus and 6 for influenza B virus. Seven patients positive for influenza A virus were hospitalized in ICU. In these patients the virus was detected in both throat swab and BAL. From 4 others adult patients, influenza A positives, only a throat swab was available; the other 6 influenza A positive patients were children. Influenza B virus was detected in 5 children and in 1 adult hospitalized in ICU, in both throat swab and BAL. hRSV was detected in 8 (5%) patients, all children; CoVII was detected in 6 (3.8%) children while hAdV was detected in 5 (3.2%) patients, 4 children and 1 adult hospitalized in ICU. CoVII was detected only in the throat swab sample of 1 adult patient (0.64%). In 6 clinical samples 2 or more viruses were detected; in particular EV/hRV were the most common viruses detected in mixed infections (5/6) (Table 6). All patients with mixed infections were children.

Table 4
Analytical sensitivity of each duplex PCR expressed in copies number/ml.

| Target       | Copies number/ml |
|--------------|------------------|
| Influenza A  | 10^7             |
| Influenza B  | 10^6             |
| PIV2         | 10^6             |
| hMPV         | 10^6             |
| CoVII        | 10^6             |
| hRV/EV       | 10^6             |
| PIV1 and 3   | 10^6             |
| hRSV         | 10^6             |
| CoVII        | 10^6             |
| PIV4         | 10^4             |
| hAdV         | 10^6             |
| HBoV         | 10^5             |

The results of the duplex PCR assays, were confirmed by comparison with a commercial, validated, assay, (r-gene for respiratory viruses detection, Biomérieux, Marcy-l’Etoile, France) which gave similar results. In fact all the samples, positive with the duplex PCR assays, were positive also with the commercial assay. With reference to the 132 samples negative by the duplex PCR assays, 1 was positive for PIV with the commercial test. Thus, altogether, the sensitivity of the assay proposed was 98%. In addition, the positive results were confirmed by sequencing.

Concerning the 17 EV/hRV detected, their melting temperature varied from 82 °C to 85.90 °C. Four could be considered as hRV because of their melting temperature lower than 83.5 °C, 6 as EV, while 7 had a melting temperature ranging from 83.5 °C to 85 °C and could not be identified on this basis. The results of sequencing confirmed what had been inferred from the melting temperature data and allowed us to identify the 7 samples not previously

Table 5
Intra-assay and inter-assay variability of the results of the 6 duplex PCR assays performed with the positive controls (mean of the melting temperature of each amplicon ± standard deviation).

| Target       | Intra-assay variability | Inter-assay variability |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Influenza A  | 82.65 ± 0.04            | 82.78 ± 0.33            |
| Influenza B  | 78.18 ± 0.07            | 78.08 ± 0.09            |
| PIV2         | 74.10 ± 0.04            | 74.22 ± 0.40            |
| hMPV         | 80.50 ± 0.05            | 80.10 ± 0.50            |
| CoVII Z29E   | 76.40 ± 0.12            | 76.35 ± 0.37            |
| CoVII NL63   | 75.70 ± 0.07            | 75.83 ± 0.06            |
| EV           | 85.88 ± 0.14            | 85.90 ± 0.28            |
| hRV          | 84.35 ± 0.12            | 84.28 ± 0.25            |
| PIV1 and 3   | 73.05 ± 0.05            | 73.02 ± 0.20            |
| hRSV         | 76.88 ± 0.12            | 76.93 ± 0.62            |
| CoVII OC43   | 77.5 ± 0.02             | 77.2 ± 0.2              |
| PIV4         | 80.05 ± 0.12            | 80.02 ± 0.50            |
| hAdV         | 83.98 ± 0.02            | 84.07 ± 0.25            |
| HBoV         | 77.7 ± 0.2              | 78.02 ± 0.33            |

Table 6
Viruses detected in mixed infections.

| Sample | hRV | EV | hRSV A | Influenza A | CoVII NL63 | PIV2 | hAdV |
|--------|-----|----|--------|-------------|------------|------|------|
| 1      | +   | +  | +      | -           | -          | -    | -    |
| 2      | -   | -  | +      | +           | -          | -    | -    |
| 3      | -   | +  | +      | +           | -          | -    | -    |
| 4      | -   | +  | +      | -           | -          | -    | -    |
| 5      | +   | -  | -      | -           | -          | +    | -    |
| 6      | -   | -  | -      | -           | +          | -    | +    |

Fig. 1. Melting profile of influenza A and B amplicons obtained by amplification of the target sequence of the M gene, reported as an example.
determined as hRV. The sequencing of the hRSV showed that 7 were of type A and only 1 was of type B and all the CoV were NL63.

4. Discussion

The assay developed in this study can detect 12 different respiratory viruses, the most common viruses infecting the respiratory tract worldwide. The results indicate that the assay proposed gives sensitive, specific and reproducible results. In fact, the sensitivity of these duplex real-time PCR assays varies between 10^3 copies/ml and 10^5 copies/ml, which is comparable to that of other commercial and “in-house” assays. The thermal profile of each duplex allowed us to perform all the duplex real-time PCR assays simultaneously.

The assay takes about 2 h as most “home-made” assays described in the literature [8,11,13,19,27], whereas many commercial assays can be performed in 1 h or even less [28].

Regarding the cost of reagents (without the cost of the extraction) it can be calculated to be around 18 US dollars per sample to detect 12 different respiratory viruses while the cost of each duplex-PCR is about 3 US dollars. The costs of commercial assays may vary from about 10 US dollars to about 120 US dollars [15,17,29,30]. However, the costs are strongly dependent on the number of target viruses.

The use of the melting temperature to distinguish the amplicons produced in each duplex allows to identify small size amplicons better than by the electrophoretic analysis.

In addition, the analysis of the melting temperature allows to partially distinguish between hRVs and EVs. In fact in 4 clinical samples the virus could be identified as hRV because of its melting temperature lower than 83.5 °C; in 6 clinical samples, instead, the virus showed a melting temperature slightly higher than 85.5 °C, suggesting a positivity for EVs. All these results were confirmed by sequence analysis. However the melting temperature of the viruses detected in 7 other clinical samples was between 83.5 °C and 85 °C, in a temperature range that does not allow to distinguish between the 2 species. In these cases the sequencing results showed that these samples were positive for hRVs.

A total of 70 out of 202 clinical samples from 55 of 157 patients (30 children and 25 adults) were positive for 1 or more viruses. Since the respiratory samples of adult patients were collected mainly during an influenza epidemic seasons, the influenza A viruses were the most frequently detected respiratory viruses followed by hRV. With reference to ICU patients, influenza viruses were demonstrable in both upper and lower respiratory tract samples, while AdV was detected only in BAL and PIV2 and hMPV only in throat swab. In contrast, hRSV was the most common virus detected in children, followed by EVs, influenza A virus and CoV NL63. However, the small number of samples/patients does not allow us to draw any epidemiological conclusion. Altogether, the number of positive samples for non-influenza respiratory viruses here observed was small as it could have been expected considering the relatively small number of examined samples.

The results reported in this study suggest that this assay could be particularly useful to identify the main respiratory viruses directly, after nucleic acid extraction, from clinical samples and also to screen a large number of patients for epidemiological studies.

In conclusion, the main positive aspect of this test is its cost effective, good technical outcome in terms of sensitivity, specificity, reproducibility and running time. In addition, its flexibility, allows the analysis of respiratory samples from 1 to 12 viral targets depending on the clinical needs.

However, the analysis of a larger number of samples should allow to have positive results for each target virus and strengthen the evidence that this assay performs equally well for all clinical samples.
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