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ABSTRACT

Radio transients are sporadic signals and their detection requires that the backends of radio telescopes be equipped with the appropriate hardware and software to undertake this. Observational programs to detect transients can be dedicated or they can piggy-back on observations made by other programs. It is the single-dish single-transient (non-periodical) mode which is considered in this paper. Because neither the width of a transient nor the time of its arrival is known, a sequential analysis in the form of a cumulative sum (cusum) algorithm is proposed here. Computer simulations and real observation data processing are included to demonstrate the performance of the cusum. The use of the Hough transform is here proposed for the purpose of non-coherent de-dispersion. It is possible that the detected transients could be radio frequency interferences (RFI) and a procedure is proposed here which can distinguish between celestial signals and man-made RFI. This procedure is based on an analysis of the statistical properties of the signals.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Radio astronomy signals received by radio telescopes have noise-like waveforms which have a normal (Gaussian) probability distribution function (pdf) $N(0, \sigma_s)$, i.e., with zero mean and variance $\sigma_s^2$. Background radio emission and radio receivers also produce normal noise $N(0, \sigma_{sys})$. Basically, radio astronomy observations consist of detecting and measuring $\sigma_s^2$ at the background of $\sigma_{sys}^2$. This is valid for total power radiometry (spatial distribution of signal noise power), spectrography (temporal coherence measurements) and interferometry (spatial coherence measurements). Total power radiometry is involved with the intensity variability of radio sources and, in particular, with sporadic phenomena - transient radio emissions. The time scale of radio transients can span nanoseconds to days. Traditional total power radiometers are not equipped with backends (both hardware and software) designed to detect transients. Many transients were found in a serendipitous way and the discoveries of pulsars and RRAT are the most prominent examples of such discoveries. Systematic searching for non-periodical transients began only recently (in the last decennia). Future radio telescopes (ATA, MWA, LOFAR, SKA) will be able to provide more opportunities for the detecting of transients. (Cordes et al. 2004).

Several works dedicated to single radio transient detection have been published. Interstellar scattering and scintillation, effective time resolution, de-dispersion methods, matched filtering and thresholding have been considered in (Cordes, & McLaughlin 2003). A transient surveys strategy and search processing have been studied (Cordes 2009). The importance of single-pulse detection vs. many-pulse detection for highly modulated pulse trains is demonstrated in this work and also in (McLaughlin & Cordes 2003). The influence of the amplitude probability distribution of transients was studied in detail in this latter article.

The search for transients consists of two main operations:

1. De-dispersion aimed at removing the effects of interstellar dispersion. There are two methods of de-dispersion:
   a) Coherent de-dispersion which is made before employing a total power detector, i.e., with “voltage” signals, shifted to the baseband frequency domain. As a rule, the signals are digitized and digitally processed. The processing performs phase rotation opposite to phase rotation undergone during propagation through interstellar media. Realization of the filter can be made in the frequency domain using FFT or in the time domain using finite-impulse response filter (FIR filter).
   b) Non-coherent (post-detection) de-dispersion operates after total power detection. The total bandwidth of the received signal is divided on many narrow-band sub-bands as in a spectral analyzer and the voltage signals at the outputs of this partial filters are squared. These digitized “intensity” signals are time-shifted (with respect to each other) to compensate for the frequency-dependent delay produced by the interstellar media.
Both de-dispersion methods require some a priori knowledge of the dispersion measure (DM). This information about DM is usually not available in the search for radio transients. Therefore, when using either method of de-dispersion, several trials of DM must be performed.

2. Duration matching of transients.

To obtain the maximum possible signal-to-noise ratio which is necessary for reliable detection matched filtering must be performed: “intensity” signals must be correlated to the template of the expected transient. This is especially important for weak pulses. Usually, neither the form nor even the duration of transient are known. The wide range of time scales of radio transients and the absence of information about their form requires the making of multiple trials in order to “guess” at least the duration of a transient. Integration intervals of “intensity” signals are tuned to find the optimal interval which coincides with the duration of the transient. This is an iterative approximation to the matched filtering.

Both DM trials and iterative matched filtering require considerable computational efforts, especially taking into consideration other search parameters: observational sky frequency and celestial coordinates. In the following sections an algorithm alleviating this computational burden will be proposed.

Essentially the detection of transients is the detecting of abrupt changes in \( \sigma^2 \). This means that a permanent monitoring of \( \sigma^2 \) at different time scales should be performed. The algorithm implementing this monitoring should detect strong and weak changes in amplitude and short and long changes in time. This task is akin to the detection of change points in stochastic processes \( \text{[Basseville & Nikiforov 1993]} \).

Two problems arising in the single-dish single transient observational situation are considered in this paper:

1) The amplitudes, time intervals (duration) and moments of arrival of transients are not known. It is therefore difficult to design a matched filter to detect transients with unknown parameters. The time scale of transients is very wide. Very strong transients, like Crab Giant Pulses or Jupiter radio bursts, can be detected with simple threshold techniques but weak and rare transients can be missed if all possible parameter values are tried. This deficiency can be crucial in the detection of the non-periodical sporadic unique transients. In radio astronomy often the signals-of-interest \( \sigma^2_2 \) and a considerable amount of raw data samples \( n \gg 1 \) are required in order to detect transients.

2) Man-made radio frequency interferences (RFI) very often produce signals similar to those of natural transients and the algorithms of transients detection must include procedures for distinguishing between RFI and cosmic signals-of-interest. On a level with the spatial-temporal check (when a transient must be simultaneously registered at the distant antennas pointed to the same radio source in the sky and taking into account geometrical delay), a control check at one radio telescope is proposed which allows a distinction to be made between the natural transient (Gaussian pdf, pure random noise) and the man-made transients (RFI with non-Gaussian pdf and non-random behaviour).

### 2 THE DETECTION ALGORITHM

Raw input data after amplification, filtering and digitization are presented as a sequence of random numbers \( x_i, i = 1..n \), statistically independent and identically distributed, having normal distribution with zero mean \( p_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-k)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \) \( k = 0, 1 \), where \( \sigma_0 \) corresponds to the absence of a transient, \( \sigma_1 \) corresponds to the presence of a transient. The \( n \) numbers are stored in the buffer. Starting...
from \(x_r, 1 \leq r \leq n\), pdf \(p_0\) is changed to the pdf \(p_1\). The task is to find this change of noise variance from \(\sigma_0\) to \(\sigma_1\).

As elsewhere in the detection theory there are two hypotheses: \(H_0\) - the absence of a change of variance at the interval \(1 \leq i \leq n\) and \(H_1\) - the presence of the change point \(x_i\) inside the interval \(1 \leq r \leq n\). The probability that the volume of data \(x\) belongs to the case of \(H_0\) is equal to \(P_{H_0} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_0(x_i)\), whereas the probability that part of the samples \(x_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\), belongs to \(H_0\) and the other part of \(x_i, r \leq i \leq n\), belongs to \(H_1\) is equal to \(P_{H_1,r} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_0(x_i) \prod_{i=r}^{n} p_1(x_i)\). Therefore, the ratio of these two probabilities (the likelihood ratio) is

\[
\Lambda_n = \frac{P_{H_1,r}}{P_{H_0}} = \frac{\prod_{i=r}^{n} p_1(x_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_0(x_i)} = \prod_{i=r}^{n} \frac{p_1(x_i)}{p_0(x_i)}
\]  

(1)

This value has its maximum at \(r\) if there is a change of variance in the data.

### 2.1 Detection with a known change moment and duration

Let us suppose that the change moment \(r\) and the duration of transient \(n-r = N\) are known, then the matched filter can be applied for the detection of such a transient. The indexes in sums in this subsection will span from 1 to \(N\) limited only the length of the transient. \(\Lambda_N\) is compared with the threshold \(A\) and if

\[
\Lambda_N = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_1(x_i)}{p_0(x_i)} \geq A,
\]  

(2)

\(H_1\) is chosen, i.e., the change in \(\sigma\) is detected. The value of threshold \(A\) is chosen to minimize two kind of errors: the error of the first type is to give a false alarm, i.e., to make the decision in favor of \(H_1\) when \(H_0\) is valid, and the error of the second type is to miss the change point, i.e., to make the decision in favor of \(H_0\) when \(H_1\) is valid. The error probability of the first type is denoted by \(\alpha\) and that of the second type is denoted by \(\beta\). The value \(1 - \beta\) is the probability of detection. One of the conventional ways of choosing \(\Lambda_N\) is to maximize \(1 - \beta\) for a given \(\alpha\) (Neyman-Pearson criterion. (Whalen 1971)).

Logaritm of (2) gives:

\[
\ln(\Lambda_N) = \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_1(x_i)}{p_0(x_i)}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln[p_1(x_i)] - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln[p_0(x_i)] =
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1} + \frac{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 \geq \ln(A),
\]  

(3)

or:

\[
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 \geq \frac{\ln(A) - \ln \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}}{\frac{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2}{2\sigma_0^2}}
\]  

(4)

The left part of (3) corresponds to the usual radiometric output (the averaged sum of the sample’s squares), or the energy detector when the moment and duration of the transient are known. The threshold in the right part of (3) depends on \(\sigma_0\) and \(\sigma_1\), but for the signal increment \(\Delta \sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2 \ll \sigma_0^2\) and large \(N\) \(> 1\) which is the typical case in radio astronomy, we can compare \(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2\) with the value \(h = \sigma_0^2 + k_0 \sigma_0^2 \sqrt{2/\pi N}\), where the coefficient \(k_0\) is chosen to satisfy the probability of false alarms \(\alpha\) to be equal to the prescribed value. For example, for \(\alpha = 0.05, k_0 = 1.645\), for \(\alpha = 0.01, k_0 = 2.33\), for \(\alpha = 0.001, k_0 = 3.09\).

The probability of detection for the given threshold \(h\) and the signal-plus-system noise variance \(\sigma_n^2\) is

\[
P_{det}(h, \sigma_1) = 0.5 - 0.5 erf\left(\frac{h - \sigma_1^2}{2\sigma_0^2 \sqrt{1/N}}\right),
\]  

(5)

where \(erf(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x \exp(-t^2)dt\).

Fig. 1 shows the probabilities of detecting transients: the top figure corresponds to \(\alpha = 0.01\) and the duration (the number of transients samples \(N\)) is the parameter, \(N = 10^4, N = 10^4\) and \(N = 10^5\), the horizontal axis is the transient amplitude \(\Delta \sigma^2 - \sigma_1^2\); the middle figure shows the probabilities of detection \(1 - \beta\) when \(\alpha\) is the parameter and \(N = 10^4\); the lower figure demonstrates the dependence of \(1 - \beta\) of the transient duration \(N\) when \(\sigma_1 = 1.0245\) and \(\alpha\) is the parameter.

All these curves show the best possible outcome of the testing of the hypothesis when the moment of arrival of the transient and its duration are known. In real life this is not the case, and a bank of matched filters is necessary, each tuned to the particular \(r\) and \(N\) in the range of expected values. These trials on \(r\) and \(N\) correspond to the generalized likelihood ratio test which are additional computational burdens on the inevitable trials on the dispersion measure.

Choosing the wrong \(N\) may significantly worsen the probability of detection. Let us consider the situation when the “rectangular” signal with the amplitude \(a\) and duration number \(N\) of samples must be detected at the background of noise with rms = \(\sigma\). For the known \(\sigma\), the signal-to-noise ratio after the averaging of \(N\) samples of the mixture “signal+noise” is \(snr_N = \frac{a \sqrt{N}}{\sigma \sqrt{N}}\).

For \(N_1 > N\) the averaged signal is \(\frac{a N_1}{\sqrt{N}}\) and the rms of the noise is \(\sigma \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{N_1}}\). Therefore, the signal-to-noise ratio is \(snr_{N_1} = \frac{a \sqrt{N_1}}{\sigma \sqrt{N_1}}\).

For \(N_1 < N\) the signal-to-noise ratio is \(snr_{N_1} = \frac{a \sqrt{N}}{\sigma \sqrt{N_1}}\). The ratio \(snr_{N_1}/snr_{\infty}\) is shown in Fig. 2 as the function of \(k_{N_1} = N_1/N\) gives an impression about losses due to the mismatch in the duration of the impulse. The decrease in the number of detectable radio sources is proportional to \(k_{N_1}^{-3/4}\) for \(k_{N_1} < 1\) and to \(k_{N_1}^{-3/4}\) for \(k_{N_1} > 1\).

In order to deal with the more realistic situation of unknown \(r\) and \(N\), we will now consider another approach.

### 2.2 Sequential analysis

Until now the test with a fixed sample size \(N\) (transient duration) has been considered. The sequential probability ratio test does not require the sample size to be fixed a priori but instead uses the data available at each particular moment. (Wald 1947). The main idea of SPRT is to compare the likelihood ratio calculated for each \(i = 1...n\) with two thresholds:

- accept \(H_0\) if \(\Lambda_n \leq B\),
- accept \(H_1\) if \(\Lambda_n \geq A\),

continue to observe if \(B \leq \Lambda_n \leq A\).

The thresholds \(A\) and \(B\) are chosen as

\[
A = \frac{1}{\gamma(1-\beta) (1-\alpha)}
\]  

and

\[
B = \frac{1}{\gamma(1-\beta) (1-\alpha)}
\]  

(6)

where \(\gamma = \exp\left(\frac{\ln(\alpha)}{\ln(1-\beta)}\right)\).
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\[ A = \frac{1 - \beta}{\alpha}, \quad B = \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha}. \] (6)
where \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are the error probabilities of the first and
second type, respectively, see section 2.1.

Fig. 2 (the upper panel) shows the probabilities of detection
and average decision time for SPRT tuned for \(\alpha = 10^{-3}\)
and \(\beta = 10^{-3}\) and for matched detection: the upper panel
- the probability of detection of a noise-like signal for the
sequential test (solid line) and the duration-matched test
(dotted line) as functions of input variance (\(\sigma_1^2\), \(\sigma_0 = 1.0,\)
\(\alpha = 10^{-3}\), the number of the samples of the signal \(N = 7200\).
There is no big difference between these two curves, whereas
the middle panel shows the average decision time for the
sequential test (solid line) as the function of the input variance,
the dotted line - number of signal samples (\(N = 7200\)).

There are three regions in the middle panel of Fig. 3: weak
signals (left side), strong signals (right side) and intermedia-
te signals. The average number of samples which is neces-
sary to make the decision is less than that of the matched
detector for weak and strong signals and higher in the inter-
mediate case. In the area of interest where the probability of
detection is close to 1 the gain in the decision time for SPRT
is obvious. Therefore, the number of observations before a
decision is variable and depends on the observational situa-
tion. The mean of this random value is the average sample
number.

The operative characteristic introduced by Wald for
SPRT is a useful performance parameter. In our case it is
the probability of accepting hypothesis \(H_0\) as a function of
\((\sigma_1^2)^2; L(\sigma_1^2)\). When \(\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_0^2\), i. e., in the absence of signal,
\(1 - L(\sigma_0^2) = \alpha\) (probability of false alarms). In the presence
of signal, \(\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_0^2\), \(L(\sigma_1^2) = \beta\) (probability of missing the
signal) and \(1 - L(\sigma_1^2) = P_{det}\) (probability of signal detec-
tion). It is visible from the lower panel of Fig. 3 that the
detector provides \(\alpha\) for \(\sigma_1^2 = 1.0\) and \(\beta\) for \(\sigma_1^2 = 1.103\) both
equal to \(10^{-3}\) as was planned and the quality of detection
improves with the growth of the signal. The average deci-
sion time in the middle panel also reduces with the signal’s
amplitude. Therefore, if SPRT is tuned for \(\sigma_1^2\) which is cho-
se for the minimal expected signal, no deviation \(\sigma^2 > \sigma_1^2\)
is detrimental.
2.3 Cumulative sum method

The next improvement of the detection algorithm is the following modification of SPRT. Let us return to the expression (3) and denote

$$k = \frac{2 \ln\left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}\right) \sigma_1^2 \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2}.$$  (7)

The sum in (3) is rewritten in the following sequence of recursive cumulative sums:

$$S_i^r = S_{i-1}^r + x_i^2 - k, \quad i = 1..n$$  (8)

The behavior of $S_i^r$ is shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3 shows $n = 10^4$ samples of Gaussian noise. Samples numbered under $r = 4000$ have variance $\sigma_0^2 = 1.0$ and samples numbered above $r = 4000$ have variance $\sigma_1^2 = 1.49$.

Fig. 4 shows the cumulative sum (cusum) $S_i^r, i = n..1$, i.e., the calculation of cusum goes from the end of the data block to the beginning. The change point sample $\hat{r}$ can be found as

$$\hat{r}_{\text{end}} = \arg \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (S_i^r).$$  (9)

The order of summation can be reversed: from the beginning to the end, i.e., to calculate cusum $S_i^r, i = 1..n$. In this case cusum looks as in Fig. 4 and the change point sample $\hat{r}$ can be found as

$$\hat{r}_{\text{end}} = \arg \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (S_i^r).$$  (10)

The change point detection rule is the comparison of $S_i^r, i = 1..n$ with the two thresholds $h_0$ and $h_1$: when $S_i^r < h_0$ the hypothesis $H_0$ is accepted and when $S_i^r > h_1$ the hypothesis $H_1$ is accepted. The intermediate values of $S_i^r$ dictate the continuation of testing (cusum calculation). This is the philosophy of sequential analysis (Wald 1947).

Page proposed restarting the algorithm as long as the previously taken decision is $H_0$, and also proposed making the lower threshold $h_0 = 0$, (Page 1954). It was shown later (Shiryaev 1963, Lorden 1971) that this is the optimal value for $h_0$. Taking this into consideration, the change point detection rule can be modified in the following manner:

$$\hat{r} = \min\{r : (S_i^r)^+ \geq h_1\},$$  (11)

where

$$(S_i^r)^+ = \max(0, S_i^r).$$  (12)

Fig. 4 shows this modified cusum with the visible change point at $r = 4000$. The large amplitude of change has been chosen deliberately to better illustrate the behavior of cusum.

If a change does not exactly correspond to $\sigma_1$, the algorithm is not optimal. In radio astronomy practice, the value of $\sigma_1$ in (7) can be chosen as a minimum expected change of variance which basically can be estimated from the knowledge of the system parameters: the antenna's effective area, the system temperature. For values larger than $\sigma_1$ the detection procedure is not optimal but is acceptable, because the growth of the change value yields a reduction in ARL and the advantage of an optimal procedure is not great.

The detection performance of cusum is characterized by the probability of false alarm. The second kind of error $\beta$ is

![Figure 3. The upper panel: probability of detection of the noise-like signal for the sequential test (solid line) and duration-matched test (dotted line) as functions of input variance ($\sigma_1^2$, $\sigma_0^2 = 1.0$, $\alpha = 10^{-3}$), the number of the samples of the signal $N = 7200$; the middle panel: average decision time for the sequential test (solid line) as function of input variance, the dotted line - number of signal samples; the lower panel: operative characteristic of SPRT, $\sigma_1^2 = 1.103$, $\alpha = 10^{-3}$, $\beta = 10^{-3}$.](image-url)
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Figure 4. a) input data, \( n = 10^4 \), variance before change
\( \sigma_0^2 = 1.0 \), variance after change at point \( r = 4000, \sigma_1^2 = 1.49 \); b) backward cumulative sum \( S_{nr} \); c) forward cumulative sum \( S_{r1} \); d) modified forward cusum.

Figure 5. Upper panel: probability of detection for cusum and matched detector for the number of samples \( N = 10^4, \alpha = 0.01 \); lower panel: the average run length for cusum.

not considered in the cusum analysis because hypothesis \( H_0 \)
is never accepted: each time the cusum is equal to zero, the al-
gorithm restarts. The cusum test will eventually reject the
hypothesis \( H_0 \). The number of samples up to this rejection
of \( H_0 \) is also a random variable, as in SPRT, and is called
the run length. Similarly to SPRT, the following parameter
is introduced for cusum: the average number of samples
from the starting point up to the point at which the deci-
sion threshold \( h = h_1 \) is crossed is called the average run
length, ARL. The ARL, the average sample number \( \hat{n} \) and
the operative characteristic \( L \) are related by

\[
ARL(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) = \frac{\hat{n}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)}{1 - L(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)}
\]  

A theoretical calculation of ARL is a complicated pro-
cedure. Details of these calculations and tables with useful
practical results can be found in \cite{VanDobben1968},
\cite{Basseville1993} and \cite{Hawkins1998}. Here we are interested in comparing cusum perfor-
mane with the matched detector and the computer
simulation was performed for the purpose of demonstration.

2.4 Computer simulation

The following computer simulations were made to compare
cusum with width-matched detection. The number of sam-
...
amples of noise with normal pdf \( N(0, \sigma) \) is \( N = 10^4 \). In the absence of signal \( \sigma = 1.0 \) for all \( N \) samples. A change of \( \sigma > 1.0 \) must be detected. The width-matched algorithm integrates all \( N \) samples and the decision about the presence of a signal (any change in \( \sigma > 1.0 \)) is made when the estimate of variance exceeds the threshold \( h = \sigma_0^2 + k_0\sigma_0^2\sqrt{2/N} \), where \( \sigma_0 = 1.0 \) and \( k_0 = 3.09 \) is chosen to keep the probability of false alarm at \( \alpha = 10^{-3} \).

The cusum algorithm (11) and (12) is tuned with \( \sigma_0 = 1.0 \) and \( \sigma_1 = 1.05 \). The threshold \( h \) was also chosen to keep \( \alpha = 10^{-3} \). Both tests (matched detection and cusum detection) were repeated 100 times. Averaged results are given in Fig. 5. The upper panel shows that the probabilities of detection as functions of the signal increment for cusum are slightly lower in the region of uncertain detection and are close to 1.0 at the same value as for the width-matched detector. The lower panel shows the dependence of the average run length on the signal power. The number of samples which are necessary for signal detection decreases and at the point of \( P_{det} \approx 1.0 \) is four times less than \( N = 10^4 \). ARL continues to decrease further with the growth of the signal, while \( N \) remains constant being preset for the matched detector. This is the important property of cusum. It must also be mentioned that the comparison of different types of transient detectors made in [Wang & Willet 2000] showed the advantage of using cusum.

### 2.5 Examples from observations

The pulsar machine PUMA-2 installed at WSRT allows raw data to be stored in the 20MHz bandwidth. The radio telescope works in tied-array mode in which all 14 signals from antennas are added in phase, i.e., there is one output as for a single dish. The 20MHz baseband signals are digitized (8bit, \( 4 \cdot 10^7 \) samples/sec) and stored in the mass storage system which has sufficient capacity to support 24 h of continuous observations. Signal processing can therefore be undertaken offline.

A block of data corresponding to \( \approx 10 \text{sec} \) observation of pulsar B0329+54 at the sky frequency 1420 MHz is used here for demonstrating transient detection. Fig. 6 shows the sequence of pulsar impulses: total power detector and integration at \( 5 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{sec} \) (20000 samples). The raw data corresponding to the time interval from 0.7 sec till 0.77 sec (an area around the second impulse in Fig. 6) is given by the total number of samples \( 2.8 \cdot 10^8 \) which is too large to be represented in one figure. Therefore, only one sample from each 50 is shown in Fig. 7 (upper panel), i.e., there is decimation equivalent to a 50 times reduction of the effective bandwidth: to 0.4MHz.

The cumulative sum calculated with these decimated samples is shown in Fig. 8 (lower panel) and clearly indicates the pulsar impulse, with no assumption made about its duration.

Fig. 8 shows another way of using cusum. The output of the total power detector (TPD) with integration over 50 samples is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 8. This waveform corresponds to the second and third impulses in Fig. 6 (from 0.7sec till 1.9sec). Insufficient integration reveals some small increase in noise variance at the positions of impulses. The lower panel shows the cumulative sum calculated using the data represented in the upper panel of Fig. 8. And again, no assumption was made about the duration of impulses. The ability to detect these two transients is clear.

Looking at the lower panel of Fig. 8 one could imagine that this waveform could equally well be obtained with a smoothing algorithm or with a low-pass digital filtering procedure. But the principal advantage of cusum is the freedom from any choice of matching integration parameters: the smoothing interval or the cut-off frequency of the digital filter.

Until now the one-dimensional approach was considered. The usual practice includes the spectral analysis of the data with FFT or filter banks. It means that there is a two-dimensional array: a time-frequency plane. This multi-narrow-band filtering is useful for flagging of RFI and de-dispersion. In principle, cusum can be calculated in each frequency channel, if an expected transient is strong enough. Otherwise, the channels free from RFI after de-dispersion can be averaged and analyzed with cusum algorithm.
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**Figure 6.** Impulses of pulsar B0329+54 observed at WSRT with PUMA-2. Sky frequency=1420MHz, bandwidth=20MHz, integration time=5 \( \cdot 10^{-4} \text{sec} \).

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** The upper panel: raw data corresponding to the area of the second impulse in Fig. 6. The lower panel: cusum calculated with the samples of raw data shown in the upper panel.
2.6 Transient detection in the presence of dispersion

Transients are broadened by propagation effects and their amplitude decreases. To compensate this effect trials of different values of dispersion measures are usually made in order to concentrate all possible pulse energy into one narrow burst. After each DM trial the time integration (duration matching) is performed to detect the transient. Cusum can be used at this stage to reduce the number of trials of transient’s durations.

In the ideal case of phase-only distortions during propagation, the total energies of the broadened pulse and the initial narrow pulse (without dispersion) are equal. When the duration $W_i$ of a pulse is known and its amplitude is equal to $AMP_i$, the probability of detection can be estimated by the well-known formula, Whalen (1971):

$$P_{\text{det}} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-u^2/2\right) du,$$

where $E = AMP_i^2 W_i$ is the pulse energy and $N_0 = \sigma^2/\Delta f = \sigma^2/\tau_{\text{cor}}$ is the spectral density of noise, $\Delta f$ is the bandwidth of noise, $\tau_{\text{cor}}$ is the noise correlation interval. We see that the probability $P_{\text{det}}$ depends only on the parameter $q = E/N_0 = \frac{(AMP_i^2/\sigma^2)}{\tau_{\text{cor}}}$. If the energy is the same both for broad and narrow pulses then $P_{\text{det}}$ will also remain unchanged. For example, the propagation effects result in broadening the pulse from $W_i$ to $mW_i$ and the amplitude is reduced to $AMP_i/\sqrt{m}$ (to keep energy $E$ constant) and then we get $\frac{(AMP_i^2/m) \times mW_i}{\sigma^2/\tau_{\text{cor}}} = q$.

Taking this into consideration, it can be conjectured that the cusum algorithm may detect a pulse broadened due to moderate dispersion. Only detection is considered here. Exact reproduction of the transients’s form, of course, requires the knowledge of DM and de-dispersion. However, conventional practice in the search for transients includes de-dispersion before time integration.

Here we consider another effective method of non-coherent de-dispersion: the application of the Hough transform (HT) which is widely used in image processing for line detection, see [Duda & Hart (1973)] and the survey of [Illingworth & Kittler (1988)]. A transient’s track without de-dispersion on the time-frequency $\tau - f$ plane is the second order curve which can often be approximated by a straight line if the bandwidth is sufficiently small. Here we show how HT can be used to detect a straight line on the time-frequency $\tau - f$ plane. Details of HT and example of computer simulations are given in Appendix A.

The following example will demonstrate the application of HT to LOFAR observational data. Fig. 9 represents the three-dimensional image of the $\tau - f$ plane with the pulsar B0329+54 impulses, 800 frequency channels, each channel’s bandwidth is $\delta f = 0.01220703125 \text{MHz}$, time sample interval $\delta t = 0.00131072s$. The left channel frequency is $f_0 = 175.29296875 \text{MHz}$. Several RFI are also visible on the left and right side of the image. The $800 \times 800$ pixels fragment shown in Fig. 10 around the 8.0s area is chosen for processing in the following way. The threshold equal to $\approx \text{mean} + \text{rms}$ of the data’s values is applied to the array of numbers represented in Fig. 10 and the corresponding binary image is shown in Fig. 11. This binary image is then Hough-transformed and the result is shown in Fig. 12.

There are two distinct peaks on the left side of this figure corresponding to the two pulsar’s tracks in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11. The angular coordinate $\theta$ of these peaks which is the slope of the pulsar’s tracks is the same and is equal to $\theta = -69.75^\circ$. The module of this value can be recalculated to the dispersion measure: $DM = 26.8$ which is not far from the tabulated value for this pulsar (26.7). The distances from the center of the initial image to the straight lines are measured by another coordinate $\rho$. So, HT gives the value of DM which can be used in delay alignment between frequency channels, similar to non-coherent de-dispersion. There are several other peaks in the center of Fig. 12 (corresponding to RFI, but their angle coordinates $\theta \approx 0$ because the tracks of these narrow-band RFI are perpendicular to the frequency axis (no dispersion). This is a convenient way to distinguish the transient’s tracks with nonzero DM from RFI on the $\tau - f$ plane.

When a transient’s track on the $\tau - f$ plane cannot be approximated by the straight line, HT can be modified to detect the second order curve. There are many versions of HT adapted to detection of particular curves, see [Rao & Li (1992)].

The additional useful property of the HT is the accumulating of a number of points belonging to the same straight line, which is, in essence, averaging. Having the slope of the track of a transient on the $\tau - f$ plane it is not only possible to estimate its dispersion measure with HT, but also to detect a weak transient using this averaging property of HT. The computer simulation example in Appendix A shows that even for a weak signal (a line on the time-frequency plane) the distinct peak can be detected after HT.

The cusum algorithm can also be applied to data on the $\rho - \theta$ Hough plane. Tracks belonging to the same transient form the set of parallel adjacent lines or the strip. The width of the strip is proportional to the duration of the transient. After HT this strip will be represented by the vertical set of adjacent points on the $\rho - \theta$ plane: coordinate $\theta$ is determined by the slope of the strip and the range of coordinate $\rho$ is proportional to the width of the strip or the duration of the

Figure 8. The upper panel: TPD data corresponding to the area around the second and third impulses in Fig. 6 integration time $= 1.25 \times 10^{-6} \text{sec}$. The lower panel: cusum calculated with samples of the data shown in the upper panel.
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Figure 9. Three-dimensional presentation of the pulsar B0329+54 impulses, LOFAR observational data, 800 frequency channels, left channel frequency is \( f_0 = 175.2926875 \text{MHz} \), channel’s bandwidth is \( \delta f = 0.0122073125 \text{MHz} \), time sample interval is \( \delta t = 0.00131072 \text{s} \). RFI are also visible.

Figure 10. Pulsar tracks from Fig. 9 chosen for the dispersion measure analysis.

Figure 11. Binary 800 × 800 image corresponding to Fig. 10.

Figure 12. Hough transform image of Fig. 11.

3 STATISTICAL TESTS AFTER CHANGE DETECTION

The detected signal may be of natural (celestial) origin or be RFI. To distinguish between these two hypotheses some statistical tests can be applied to the input data. Three of them were studied here:

1. The Wald-Wolfowitz (W-W) test (runs test) which checks the randomness of the data (Bradley 1968);
2. The single-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test of the goodness-of-fit to the normal cumulative distribution function (cdf) (Eadie et al. 1971);
3. The Jarque&Bera (J-B) goodness-of-fit test using sample skewness and sample kurtosis (Jarque & Bera 1980).

Stationarity of the random process “inside” a transient is assumed. The test data were divided into 2 groups: the first group - noise with normal pdf \( N(0, \sigma_{sys}) \), and the second group - noise with normal pdf \( N(0, \sigma_{sys}) \) plus BPSK (binary phase-shift keying) signal which was modelled by \( s_i = \text{amp} \times \sin(2\pi F_i + \phi_i) \), \( \phi_i = \phi_{i-1} + \pi \times \text{psn}(\lambda) \), where \( F = 0.1 \) and \( \text{psn}(\lambda) \) is the random value with Poisson pdf, parameter \( \lambda = 0.1 \). The amplitude \( \text{amp} \) is the parameter in the following tests. All three statistical tests were tuned on the significance level (false alarm probability) \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Each test was repeated \( N_1 = 100 \) times with the data (number of samples \( M = 10^4 \) and \( M = 10^3 \)) corresponding to \( \text{amp} = 0 \), no change, and then \( N_2 = 100 \) times for \( \sigma_r^2 > 0 \) (noise-like signal) or \( \text{amp} > 0 \) (RFI), i.e., in the case of change.

The results of the first part (system noise + noise-like signal with normal pdf) are similar for all three tests: the probabilities of a wrong decision (the signal is non-random or non-Gaussian) are at the level of 0.05, i.e., at the level of false alarms.

The results of the second part of testing are shown in Fig. 13. The upper figure shows the probabilities of correct decision as functions of the input RFI (‘signal’)-to-noise-ratio \( \text{snr} = (\text{amp}^2/2)/\sigma_{sys}^2 \) for three tests. The number of samples is equal to \( M = 10^4 \).
Table 1. Results of statistical testing of data (signal or RFI) for the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in the case of exponential pdf for two numbers of samples $M = 10^4$ and $M = 10^3$.

| snr  | $M = 10^4$ | $M = 10^3$ |
|------|------------|------------|
| 0.00 | 0.05       | 0.05       |
| 0.01 | 0.17       | 0.14       |
| 0.02 | 0.49       | 0.16       |
| 0.03 | 0.78       | 0.20       |
| 0.04 | 0.92       | 0.24       |
| 0.05 | 1.0        | 0.30       |
| 0.06 | 1.0        | 0.44       |
| 0.07 | 1.0        | 0.62       |
| 0.08 | 1.0        | 0.69       |
| 0.09 | 1.0        | 0.77       |
| 0.10 | 1.0        | 0.81       |
| 0.15 | 1.0        | 0.97       |
| 0.20 | 1.0        | 1.0        |

The lower figure shows the probabilities of correct decision as functions of the input RFI (‘signal’)-to-noise-ratio for these tests when the number of samples is equal to $M = 10^3$.

The main conclusion is that the runs test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test detect signals with non-normal pdf earlier than the Jarque&Bera test. The K-S test uses the whole empirical pdf for comparison with the normal pdf, whereas the J-B test uses empirical kurtosis (the modeled RFI does not alter the skewness), which has considerable sample variance: $\approx 24/M$ for normal pdf. Therefore, weak non-Gaussian transients can be better detected by W-W and K-S tests.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was also applied in the case of exponential pdf which is the pdf of the power spectrum at each frequency in the absence of RFI. Any significant deviation from exponential pdf at a particular frequency indicates that the signal at this frequency does not correspond to pure noise with a normal pdf (Fridman [2001]), i.e., can contain RFI. Table 1 shows the results of statistical tests similar to those shown in Fig. 13 but only for the K-S test.

A comment must be made here. In practice, even system
noise rarely has ideal normal pdf. There are always slight deviations due to digitization and digital filtering. The deviations which are visible in histograms are also easily detected by statistical tests. This pdf is usually stable enough to be used as a reference function for the two-sample K-S test, etc., and changes in the distribution function produced by RFI must be compared with this reference system noise non-normal pdf.

Figures 14 - 17 illustrate the application of these statistical tests to real observational data. Signals at the LOFAR pulsar backend were used. There are four 992-channel filter banks, each frequency channel having the bandwidth equal to $\approx 12.2\, KHz$. Some of these channels contain RFI. The channel #029 (the central frequency=139.3066 MHz) without RFI was chosen as the reference channel (see the waveform of $10^6$ samples of noise in this channel in Fig. 14 the upper panel). The waveform of the channel #018 (the central frequency=139.1724 MHz) with RFI is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 15. All above-mentioned tests show non-Gaussian and non-random (runs test) behaviour of noise in channel #018.

The two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was also applied to distinguish the difference in the empirical pdf of the noise in the reference channel and in the channel with RFI. The Gaussian property was not tested here, only the deviation from the reference pdf. Fig. 15 shows these two empirical pdf. The distinction between the two curves is clearly visible.

Figures 16 and 17 show another example: the reference channel being #500, (the central frequency=181.3965 MHz) and the channel with RFI #512, (the central frequency=181.5430 MHz). In this case too all tests indicate the presence of RFI.

All known and relevant methods of RFI mitigation can be used during observations but I have here considered only the case of when a transient has been detected and it is necessary to distinguish it from RFI.

4 CONCLUSIONS

1. Searches in several dimensions (time, frequency, dispersion measure) are a considerable computational burden during transients detection observations and processing. The cumulative sum method which is the modification of Wald's sequential analysis can be helpful in this situation. Computer simulation and the processing of real observational data show that the time-consuming search into the duration of a transient (duration-matching) can be reduced using the cusum algorithm. Cusum can be used both after coherent and non-coherent de-dispersion. The number of computer instructions required by cusum grows linearly with the number of data samples $n$. The benefit of using cusum is proportional to the number of trials which would be undertaken with the conventional iterative matching procedure. One of the trial procedures in the search for transients can therefore be eliminated.

2. The number of trials during non-coherent de-dispersion can be reduced using the Hough transform which measures the slopes of the transient’s tracks on the time-frequency plane and, as a result, gives estimates of DM. The averaging property of HT contributes to the detection of weak transients. The combination of cusum algorithm and HT provides both an estimation of DM and a duration matching.

Due to parallelism and the “binary” character of HT many fast HT algorithms were developed in recent years to be implemented in FPGA and multi-core computing systems. Cusum can also be easily mapped on FPGA. In the situation of the a priori uncertainty both of DM and duration this property promises to be useful, including in real-time searches for transients.

3. Cusum is also a good tool for RFI detection [Fridman 1994]. Burst-like RFI, erroneously identified as natural transients, can be distinguished from the noise-like signal-of-interest by the difference in statistics: as a rule, RFI are non-random and non-Gaussian. The proposed statistical tests allow RFI and transients of natural origin to be distinguished from one another. The tests are based on the statistical analysis of the random numbers representing a transient. They are recommended to be used after the detection of a transient and require moderate computational efforts: only data suspected as being that of a transient are analyzed and the number of computer instructions is proportional to $\approx n \log(n)$.

There are, of course, many other methods of RFI mitigation which can be applied during the entire observation in order to prevent false alarms. Everything depends on the type of RFI which is hindering observations.

4. The methods proposed in this article do not exclude the use of the most powerful likelihood criterion of the transient’s celestial origin: simultaneous observations of the transient signal coming from the same area of the sky to several radio telescopes which are far distant from each other.
APPENDIX A: THE HOUGH TRANSFORM AS A TOOL FOR THE DETECTION OF A DISPERSIVE TRANSIENT

The Hough Transform (HT) is a useful algorithm for straight line detection in binary images when amplitudes of pixels are equal to two numbers, for example, 1 or 0. Each straight line on a plane can be parameterized by the angle $\theta$ of its normal to the horizontal axis and its distance $\rho$ from the origin of coordinates. The equation of a line is

$$y = -x \cos(\theta) + \frac{\rho}{\sin(\theta)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

This equation can be rewritten for $\rho$ as a function of $(x, y)$:

$$\rho = x \cos(\theta) + y \sin(\theta).$$ \hspace{1cm} (A2)

A line can then be transformed into a single point in the parameter space $(\rho, \theta)$ which is called the Hough space. For any pixel in the image with position $(x, y)$, an infinite number of lines can go through that single pixel. By using equation (A2) all pixels belonging to the line can be transformed into the Hough space. A pixel is transformed into a sinusoidal curve that is unique for this pixel. Doing the same transformation for another pixel gives another curve that intersects the first curve at one point in the Hough space. This point represents the straight line in the image space that goes through both pixels. This operation is repeated for all pixels of the image. The pixels belonging to the same straight line have the same point of intersection in the Hough space. For each point in the Hough space the HT program assigns a counter which accumulates the number of these intersections. So if there is a straight line with the parameters $(\rho_1, \theta_1)$ consisting of $N$ pixels the counter corresponding to the point $(i, j)$ in the Hough space will contain number $N$. This is correct only for the ideal case when there is no noise in the image. In the case of a noisy image the situation is the following.

Let the time-frequency $\tau - f$ plane being the image on which the search for transients is performed. Each line of pixels along the time axis represents “intensity” samples at the $i$-th output of FFT or a filter bank. In the absence of transients, these samples are random numbers - often with a Gaussian pdf due to preliminary averaging. A binary image is created using the threshold $thr$ equal to $thr \approx \sigma + m$ where $\sigma$ is the rms of the noise samples and $m$ is the mean value. If the amplitude of a sample is less than $thr$ it is converted to 0, otherwise it is equal to 1. Now the binary image is covered with the random $0$ or $1$ and the probability of $1$ is equal to $\approx 0.16$. For the size of the image $M \times M$ the number of 1’s is $\approx p \times M^2$. It is difficult to calculate precisely noise pdf on the $(\rho, \theta)$ plane. The approximate estimates of the first two moments, confirmed by computer simulation, are given here: $mean \approx p \times M$, $rms \approx \sqrt{p(1-p)M}$.

The image on the $\tau - f$ plane of a transient after dispersion due to wave propagation in the interstellar media is a second-order curve line. In a narrow range of frequencies the curve can be approximated as a straight line. The width of the band for which this approximation is valid depends on the observational sky frequency.

To better understand the HT a computer simulation example is given here. Let the $400 \times 400$ image in Fig. A1 represent the “noisy” $\tau - f$ plane with zero mean and $\sigma = 1.0$. There is also the straight line imitating the track of a dispersed transient. Equation of this line in the coordinates $(x, y)$ is $y = ax + b$ where $a = -2.0$ and $b = 400$. The amplitude of the line is $A_T = 1.0$. After the threshold $thr = \sigma$ we get the binary image shown in Fig. A2. The Hough transform of the image in Fig. A2 is represented in Fig. A3 where the peak corresponds to the straight line in Fig. A1. The search for the maximum amplitude of the peak gives the estimate $\theta = -63.22^\circ$ while the exact number is $\theta = -63.43^\circ$. The origin of coordinates in the Hough plane is positioned at the center of the image.
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Figure A1. Image of Gaussian noise with zero mean and \( \text{rms} = \sigma = 1.0 \) and superposed straight line \( y = ax + b \), \( a = -2.0 \) and \( b = 400 \). The amplitude of the line is \( A_T = 1.0 \).

Figure A2. Binary version of image in Fig. A1 with threshold \( \text{thr} = \sigma \).

The useful property of the HT is in the accumulation of the number \( N \) of coincident points in each cell of the Hough image (belonging to the same straight line), thus averaging samples along the line on the primary image. If the initial \( \text{SNR} = A_T/\sigma \approx 1.0 \), as in our example, the expected \( \text{SNR} \) in the Hough plane will be \( \approx \sqrt{N} \).

Therefore, it is not only possible to estimate the dispersion measure with the help of HT by the slope of a transient’s track, but also to detect a weak transient, using the averaging property of HT.

Transition from the primary image (\( \tau - f \) plane) to the binary image leads to some loss. It is convenient to estimate this loss by comparing the probability of detection \( P_{\text{det}} \) after averaging along the transient track with and without binarization. Fig. A3 shows these \( P_{\text{det}} \) as functions of the normalized amplitude \( A_T/\sigma \) of the track for four cases: without binarization and binarization with three different thresholds \( \text{thr} = 0; \sigma; 1.5 \sigma \). The number of averaged samples along the track is \( M = 100 \). The probability of false alarms for all four curves is \( \alpha = 10^{-3} \).

Comparing these curves the following conclusions can be made:

a) there is a certain loss (\( \approx 1.5 \text{dB} \)) due to binarization;
b) there is no practical differences between the curves with binarization in the area \( P_{\text{det}} \approx 1.0 \).

Figure A3. Three-dimensional presentation of the Hough transform of the image in Fig. A2. Coordinates [0, 0] are in the centre of the image. Peak corresponding to the straight line is at \( \theta = -63.22^\circ \) and \( \rho = -90 \).

Figure A4. The \((\rho, \theta)\) plane of the Hough transform of the image in Fig. A2. Coordinates [0, 0] are in the centre of the image. The peak indicated by the arrow is at \( \theta = -63.22^\circ \) and \( \rho = -90 \). Precise value of \( \theta = -63.43^\circ \).
Figure A5. Probability of detection after averaging along the transient track, the number of samples in the track $M = 100$: without binarization and binarization with different thresholds $thr = 0; \sigma; 1.5\sigma$. The probability of false alarms $\alpha = 10^{-3}$. 
B0329+54, fo=1420MHz, bandwidth=20MHz