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Curvature of higher direct images\(^(*)\)

PHILIPP NAUMANN\(^{(1)}\)

**Abstract.** — Given a holomorphic family \(f : X \to S\) of compact complex manifolds and a relatively ample line bundle \(L \to X\), the higher direct images \(R^{n-p}f_\ast \Omega^p_X/L\) carry induced hermitian metrics. We give an explicit formula for the curvature tensor of these direct images. This generalizes a result of Schumacher in [11], where he computed the curvature of \(R^{n-p}f_\ast \Omega^p_{X/S}(K_{X/S} \otimes L^m)\) for a family of canonically polarized manifolds. For \(p = n\), the formula coincides with a formula of Berndtsson obtained in [3]. Thus, when \(L\) is globally ample, we reprove his result on the Nakano positivity of \(f_\ast(K_{X/S} \otimes L)\).

**Résumé.** — Étant donné une famille holomorphe \(f : X \to S\) de variétés complexes compactes lisses et un fibré en droites \(L \to X\) relativement ample, les faisceaux images directes \(R^{n-p}f_\ast \Omega^p_{X/S}(L)\) possèdent des métriques hermitiennes induites. Nous donnons une formule explicite pour le tenseur de courbure de ces images directes. Ceci généralise un résultat de Schumacher dans [11], où il a calculé la courbure de \(R^{n-p}f_\ast \Omega^p_{X/S}(K_{X/S}^m)\) pour une famille de variétés canoniquement polarisées. Dans le cas \(p = n\), la formule coïncide avec la formule de Berndtsson obtenue dans [3]. Donc si \(L\) est globalement ample, nous prouvons à nouveau son résultat sur la positivité de \(f_\ast(K_{X/S} \otimes L)\) dans le sens de Nakano.

1. Introduction

For a proper holomorphic submersion \(f : X \to S\) of complex manifolds and a line bundle \(L \to X\) which is positive along the fibers \(X_s = f^{-1}(s)\), we consider the higher direct image sheaves \(R^{n-p}f_\ast \Omega^p_{X/S}(L)\) whose fibers are canonically isomorphic to the cohomology groups \(H^{n-p}(X, \Omega^p_X(L))\) by
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Kodaira–Nakano vanishing and the cohomology and base change theorem. The understanding of this situation has applications to moduli problems. In his article [11] Schumacher studies the case $L = K_{X/S}$ where the fiberwise Kähler–Einstein metrics are used to construct a hermitian metric on the relative canonical bundle which turned out to be semi-positive on the total space. A compact curvature formula is given in this case. At first glance, the method of computation seems to be restricted to the Kähler–Einstein situation. In the general case, there is the result [2] of Berndtsson about the Nakano (semi-) positivity of the direct image $f_*(K_{X/S} \otimes L)$ in the case where $L$ is (semi-) positive. His proof relies on a careful choice of representatives of sections. Relying on this method of computation, Mourougane and Takayama studied in [9] the higher direct images $R^q f_* \Omega^n_{X/S}(E)$ for a Nakano (semi-) positive vector bundle $E$ over $X$. The proof given there relies on an embedding of the higher direct image into a zero’tth direct image in order to apply the method of computation given in [2].

In the present work we compute the curvature of the higher direct images $R^{n-p} f_* \Omega^n_{X/S}(L)$ under the assumption of local freeness, where $(L, h) \to X$ is a hermitian line bundle which is positive along the fibers. The main motivation for this is the observation that Berndtsson’s formula given in [3, Thm. 1.2] coincides with Schumacher’s formula [11, Thm. 6] in the case $L = K_{X/S}$. This fact suggests that Schumacher’s method of computation can be carried over to the more general setting. By putting this into practice, the main technique consists of taking Lie derivatives of line bundle valued forms along horizontal lifts. We give a detailed presentation of the technical computation, which can be useful in different curvature computations.\(^{(1)}\)

### 2. Differential geometric setup and statement of results

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ be a proper holomorphic submersion of complex manifolds with connected fibers and $L$ a line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ with hermitian metric $h$. The curvature form of the hermitian line bundle is given by

$$\omega_X := 2\pi \cdot c_1(L, h) = -\sqrt{-1} \partial \overline{\partial} \log h.$$ 

We consider the case where the hermitian bundle $(L, h)$ is relatively positive, which means that

$$\omega_{X_s} := \omega_X|_{X_s}$$

are Kähler forms on the $n$-dimensional fibers $X_s$. Then one has the notion of the horizontal lift $v_s$ of a tangent vector $\partial_s$ on the base $S$ (see Section 4.1 for

\(^{(1)}\) The same curvature formula was also proved in [4] using a different method of computation.
the precise definition) and we get a representative of the Kodaira–Spencer class by

\[ A_s := \bar{\partial}(v_s)|_{X_s}. \]

Furthermore, one sets

\[ \varphi := (v_s, v_s) \omega_X, \]

which is called the geodesic curvature. The coherent sheaf \( R^{n-p}f_*\Omega^p_{X/S}(L) \) is locally free on \( S \) outside a proper subvariety. In the case \( n = p \) and \( L \) ample, the sheaf \( f_*(K_X/S \otimes L) \) is locally free by the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem (see [2]). We assume the local freeness of

\[ R^{n-p}f_*\Omega^p_{X/S}(L) \]

in the general case, hence the statement of the base change theorem holds (see Section 3.2). Now Lemma 2 of [11] applies, which says that we can represent local sections of \( R^{n-p}f_*\Omega^p_{X/S}(L) \) by \( \bar{\partial} \)-closed \((0, n-p)\)-forms with values in \( \Omega^p_{X/S}(L) \) on the total space, whose restrictions to the fibers are harmonic \((p, n-p)\)-forms.

When applying the Laplace operator to \((p, q)\)-forms with values in \( L \) on the fibers \( X_s \), we have

\[ \Box_{\bar{\partial}} - \bar{\Box}_{\partial} = (n - p - q) \cdot \text{id} \]

due to the definition \( \omega_{X_s} = \omega_X|_{X_s} \) and the Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano identity (see also the proof of Corollary 2.5). Thus, we write \( \Box = \Box_{\bar{\partial}} = \bar{\Box}_{\partial} \) in the case \( q = n-p \). By considering an eigenform decomposition and using the above identity, we obtain that all eigenvalues of \( \Box \) are 0 or greater than 1, hence the operator \((\Box - 1)^{-1}\) exists (see Lemma 4.12). We use the notation \( \psi^\ell := \bar{\psi}^\ell \) for the sections \( \psi^\ell \) and write \( g \, dV = \omega_{X_s}/n! \). The main result is
Theorem 2.1. — Let \( f : \mathcal{X} \to S \) be a proper holomorphic submersion of complex manifolds and \((L, h) \to \mathcal{X}\) a relatively positive line bundle. With the objects described above, the curvature of \(R^{n-p}f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/S}(L)\) is given by

\[
R^{\bar{\partial}k}_i(s) = \int_{X} \phi_{ij} \cdot (\psi^k \cdot \psi^\bar{l}) g \, dV
+ \int_{X} (\Box + 1)^{-1}(A_i \cup \psi^k) \cdot (A_{\bar{j}} \cup \psi^\bar{l}) g \, dV
+ \int_{X} (\Box - 1)^{-1}(A_i \cup \psi^\bar{l}) \cdot (A_{\bar{j}} \cup \psi^k) g \, dV
\]

If \((L, h) \to \mathcal{X}\) is non-negative, the only contribution which may be negative originates from the harmonic parts in the third term

\[
-\int_{X} H(A_i \cup \psi^\bar{l}) \cdot H(A_{\bar{j}} \cup \psi^k) g \, dV.
\]

Remark 2.2. — The same method of computation gives a formula for a relatively negative line bundle \((L, h)\), where we set \(\omega_{\mathcal{X}} = -2\pi \cdot c_1(L, h)\) in this case.

Corollary 2.3 (compare [2, Thm. 1.2] and [3, Thm. 1.2]). — If \( L \to \mathcal{X} \) is a (semi-)positive line bundle, which is positive along the fibers, then \( f_*(K_{\mathcal{X}/S} \otimes L) \) is Nakano (semi-)positive.

Proof. — Because of degree reasons, the third term in Theorem 2.1 vanishes for \( p = n \). The operator \((\Box + 1)^{-1}\) is positive. Furthermore, we have

\[
\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{n+1} = \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^{n} \sum \sqrt{-1} \phi_{ij} \cdot ds^i \wedge ds^\bar{j} = \sum \sqrt{-1} \phi_{ij} \cdot ds^i \wedge ds^\bar{j} g \, dV
\]

modulo higher order terms in \( s^i, s^\bar{j} \).

Hence, the matrix \((\phi_{ij})\) is positive definite if \( L \) is positive. \(\square\)

Corollary 2.4 ([11, Thm. 6]). — If \( \mathcal{X} \to S \) is a family of canonically polarized compact complex manifolds, then the curvature tensor of \(R^{n-p}f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/S}(K_{\mathcal{X}/S})\) is given by

\[
R^{\bar{\partial}k}_i(s) = \int_{X} (\Box + 1)^{-1}(A_i \cdot A_{\bar{j}}) \cdot (\psi^k \cdot \psi^\bar{l}) g \, dV
+ \int_{X} (\Box + 1)^{-1}(A_i \cup \psi^k) \cdot (A_{\bar{j}} \cup \psi^\bar{l}) g \, dV
+ \int_{X} (\Box - 1)^{-1}(A_i \cup \psi^\bar{l}) \cdot (A_{\bar{j}} \cup \psi^k) g \, dV
\]
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Proof. — The Kähler–Einstein metrics \( \omega_{X_s} = \sqrt{-1}g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z,s)dz^\alpha \wedge \bar{dz}^\bar{\beta} \) on the fibers induce a hermitian metric on the relative canonical bundle \( g^{-1} = (\det g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})^{-1} \) with curvature form \( \omega_X \). The Kähler–Einstein condition gives \( \omega_X|_{X_s} = \omega_{X_s} \). Furthermore, we have the elliptic equation (see [10])

\[
(\Box + 1)\varphi_{ij} = A_i \cdot A_j.
\]

Note also that the representatives \( A_i \) are harmonic in this special case. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.5. — The direct images \( R^{n-p}f_*\Omega^p_X(L) \) are all Nakano positive if \( L \) is positive and \( X \to S \) everywhere infinitesimal trivial. In particular, we obtain positivity if the family \( X \to S \) is locally trivial.

Proof. — If \( X \to S \) is infinitesimal trivial, we have \( A_i = \bar{\partial}(b_i) \) for a differentiable vector field \( b^\alpha_\alpha \partial_\alpha \) on the fiber \( X_s \), because \( A_i \) represents the Kodaira–Spencer class and hence needs to be \( \bar{\partial} \)-exact. The Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano identity says (on the fiber \( X_s \))

\[
\Box \bar{\partial} - \bar{\partial} \Box = [\sqrt{-1}\Theta(L), \Lambda].
\]

But by definition, we have \( \omega_{X_s} = \sqrt{-1}\Theta(L)|_{X_s} \). Furthermore, it holds (see [5, Cor. VI.5.9])

\[
[L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega]u = (p + q - n)u \quad \text{for} \quad u \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X_s, L|_{X_s}).
\]

Thus, the \( \Box \bar{\partial} \)-harmonic \( (p, n - p) \)-form \( \psi^l \) is also harmonic with respect to \( \bar{\partial} \), in particular \( \partial \)-exact. Therefore,

\[
A_i \cup \psi^l = \bar{\partial}(b_i) \cup \psi^l = \bar{\partial}(b_i \cup \psi^l),
\]

so the harmonic part of \( A_i \cup \psi^l \) must vanish. \( \square \)

Note that for a trivial fibration \( X \times S \to S \), the pullback of an ample line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \to X \) and a family of positive metrics on \( L \) which give a semi-positive metric on the pullback, we obtain Nakano semi-positivity of the trivial vector bundle \( H^{n-p}(X, \Omega^p_X(L)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \) on \( S \) equipped with a (possibly) non-trivial metric.

After introducing Lie derivatives of line bundle valued forms (see also Appendix B), we can use the method of computation given in [11, 12] in the more general setting. The point is that the computation given there carries over verbatim if one sets \( m = 1 \) and replaces \( K_X/S \) by \( L \). One has to check that there is no point where the \( \partial^* \)-closedness of \( A_s \) is used, which is a crucial fact. Moreover, there is no elliptic equation for \( \varphi_{ij} \) in general. Thus, we must not replace \( \varphi_{ij} \) by \((\Box + 1)^{-1}(A_i \cdot A_j)\). Finally note that by definition

\[
\omega_{X_s} = \iota_{X_s}^* \omega_X,
\]

where \( \iota_{X_s} : X_s \hookrightarrow X \) is the inclusion. Then the computation works without the Kähler–Einstein condition. We give the details of the computation in the general setting in the rest of the article.
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3. Preparations

3.1. Fiber integrals and Lie derivatives

Given a family \( f : \mathcal{X} \to S \) of compact complex manifolds \( X_s \) of dimension \( n \) and a \( C^\infty \) differential form \( \eta \) of degree \( 2n + r \), the fiber integral

\[
\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \eta
\]

is a differential form of degree \( r \) on \( S \) (see [11, §2.1] and [6, Ch. VII] for the general definition). In our case \( \eta \) will be a relative \((n,n)\)-form on the total space, so that the fiber integral gives just a function on the base \( S \). If \( s_1, \ldots, s^r \) are local holomorphic coordinates on the base, we need to compute the derivatives

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial s^k} \int_{X_s} \eta \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s^l} \int_{X_s} \eta, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq l \leq r.
\]

This can be done by using Lie derivatives:

**Lemma 3.1** ([11, Lemma 1]). — For \( 1 \leq k \leq r \), let \( w_k \) be a differentiable vector field whose projection to \( S \) equals \( \partial/\partial s^k \). We write \( \partial/\partial s^l \) for \( \partial/\partial s^l \) and \( w_l \) for \( \overline{w_l} \). Then

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial s^k} \int_{X_s} \eta = \int_{X_s} L_{w_k}(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s^l} \int_{X_s} \eta = \int_{X_s} L_{w_l}(\eta),
\]

where \( L_{w_k} \) and \( L_{w_l} \) denotes the Lie derivative in the direction of \( w_k \) and \( w_l \) respectively.

**Proof.** — By Cartan’s formula, we have

\[
L_{w_k} = d \circ \delta_{w_k} + \delta_{w_k} \circ d,
\]

where \( d \) means exterior derivative on \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \delta_{v_k} \) contraction with the vector field \( v_k \). Because \( d \) commutes with the fiber integration and

\[
\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial s^k} \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \eta = \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \delta_{w_k}(\eta),
\]

the assertion follows and analogous for the second identity. (See [6, Ch. VII, Prop. X] for the basic properties of fiber integrals.) □
3.2. Direct images and differential forms

Let \( f : \mathcal{X} \to S \) be a smooth proper family of Kähler manifolds \( X_s \) and \((\mathcal{E}, h) \to \mathcal{X}\) a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle on \( \mathcal{X} \). We assume that the direct image \( R^q f_* \mathcal{E} \) is locally free and furthermore that for all \( s \in S \) the cohomology \( H^{q+1}(X_s, \mathcal{E} \otimes O_{X_s}) \) vanishes. Thus the base change theorem holds for \( R^q f_* \mathcal{E} \) and we can identify the fiber \( R^q f_* \mathcal{E} \otimes O_S \) with \( H^q(X_s, \mathcal{E}_s) \) (see [1, Cor. 3.5]). The sections of the q-th direct image sheaf \( R^q f_* \mathcal{E} \) can locally, after replacing \( S \) by a neighborhood of a given point, be represented in terms of Dolbeault cohomology by \( \partial \)-closed \((0, q)\)-forms with values in \( \mathcal{E} \). But on the fibers \( H^q(X_s, \mathcal{E}_s) \) the Kähler forms and the hermitian metrics on the fibers give rise to harmonic representatives of cohomology classes. The next Lemma of Schumacher is crucial for the later computations:

**Lemma 3.2 ([11, Lem. 2]).** — Let \( \tilde{\Psi} \in R^q f_* \mathcal{E} \) be a section and \( \psi_s \in A^{0,q}(X_s, \mathcal{E}_s) \) the harmonic representatives of the cohomology classes \( \tilde{\Psi}|_{X_s} \). Then locally with respect to \( S \) there exists a \( \partial \)-closed form \( \Psi \in A^{0,q}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \), which is a Dolbeault representative of \( \tilde{\Psi} \) and whose restrictions to the fibers \( X_s \) are \( \psi_s \).

**Proof.** — For the sake of completeness, we recall the simple argument from [11]. Let \( \Phi \in A^{0,q}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) \) be a Dolbeault representative of \( \tilde{\Psi} \). We denote by \( \Phi_{\mathcal{X}/S} \) the induced relative \((0, q)\)-form. The harmonic representatives \( \psi_s \), which depend smoothly on \( s \) by a theorem of Kodaira and Spencer, give rise to a relative form \( \Psi_{\mathcal{X}/S} \). There exists a relative \((0, q-1)\)-form \( \chi_{\mathcal{X}/S} \) on \( \mathcal{X} \), such that the exterior derivative in fiber direction \( \partial_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\chi_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \) satisfies

\[
\Psi_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \Phi_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \partial_{\mathcal{X}/S}(\chi_{\mathcal{X}/S}).
\]

A relative form can locally be extended to a genuine form on \( \mathcal{X} \). Denote by \( \{U_i\} \) a covering of \( \mathcal{X} \), which possesses a partition of unity \( \{\rho_i\} \) such that all the restrictions \( \chi_{\mathcal{X}/S}|_{U_i} \) can be extended to \((0, q-1)\)-forms \( \chi^i \) on \( U_i \). Then we set

\[
\chi := \sum \rho_i \chi^i.
\]

Because of \( \chi_{\mathcal{X}/S} = \chi_{\mathcal{X}/S}|_{U_i} \) and the property of the partition of unity, we have that the induced relative form of \( \chi \) is indeed given by \( \chi_{\mathcal{X}/S} \). Thus the form

\[
\Psi := \Phi + \partial \chi
\]

satisfies the requirements of the lemma. \( \Box \)
4. Computation of the curvature

Computing the curvature of the $L^2$-metric on $R^{n-p} f_* \Omega^p_{X/S}(L)$ requires taking derivatives in the base direction of fiber integrals, which can be realized by taking Lie derivatives of the integrands. These Lie derivatives can be split up by introducing Lie derivatives of $(p, n-p)$-forms with values in $L$. They are computed in terms of covariant derivatives with respect to the Chern connection on $(X_s, \omega_s)$ and the hermitian holomorphic bundle $(L, h)|_{X_s}$. We use the symbol $\;\!$ for covariant derivatives and $\,\!$ for ordinary derivatives. Greek letters indicate the fiber direction, whereas latin indices stand for directions on the base. Because we are dealing with alternating $(p,q)$-forms, the coefficients are meant to be skew-symmetric. Thus every such $(p,q)$-form carries a factor $1/p!q!$, which we suppress in the notation. These factors play a role in the process of skew-symmetrizing the coefficients of a $(p,q)$-form by taking alternating sums of the (not yet skew-symmetric) coefficients.

4.1. Setup

As above, we denote by $f : X \to S$ a proper holomorphic submersion of complex manifolds, whose fibers $X_s$ have dimension $n$. We choose coordinates $z^\alpha$ on the fibers and coordinates $s^i$ on the base $S$, which together give coordinates on $X$. We write $\partial_i = \partial/\partial s^i$ and $\partial_\alpha = \partial/\partial z^\alpha$. With respect to these coordinates, the coefficients of $\omega_X$ will be denoted by $g_\alpha\bar{\beta}; g_\alpha\bar{j}; g_i\bar{\beta}$ and $g_i\bar{j}$. The horizontal lift $v_i$ of a tangent vector $\partial_i$ is a differentiable lift of $\partial_i$ to $X$ which is orthogonal to the fibers with respect to the sesquilinear form $\omega_X$:

$$\langle v_i, \partial_\alpha \rangle_{\omega_X} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha = 1 \ldots n.$$ 

This is well defined, since the form $\omega_X$ is positive when restricted to the fibers. In terms of the coefficients of $\omega_X$, it is given by

$$v_i = \partial_i + a_i^\alpha \partial_\alpha,$$

where

$$a_i^\alpha = -g_\bar{\beta}\alpha g_i\bar{\beta}.$$ 

Denoting the Kodaira–Spencer map for the family $f : X \to S$ at a given point $s \in S$ by $\rho_s : T_s S \to H^1(X_s, T_{X_s})$, the corresponding $\bar{\partial}$-closed representative of the Kodaira–Spencer class $\rho_s(\partial_i)$ is given by

$$A_i := \bar{\partial}(v_i)|_{X_s} = A_i^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}(z,s) \partial_\alpha dz^\bar{\beta}.$$
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with $A^{\alpha}_{\beta} = a^{\alpha}_{\beta}$. From this and the local expression of $a^{\alpha}_{\beta}$, it follows from the closedness of $\omega_X$ that these Kodaira–Spencer forms induce symmetric tensors:

**Corollary 4.1.** — Let $A^{\alpha}_{\beta} = g^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\alpha}_{\beta}$. Then

$$A^{\alpha}_{\beta} = A^{\alpha}_{\beta}.$$

By polarization, it is sufficient to treat the case where $\dim S = 1$ for the computation of the curvature, which simplifies the notation. Therefore, we set $s = s_1$, $v_s = v_1$, etc. We write $s, s$ for the indices $1, 1$ so that $v_s = \partial_s + a^{\alpha}_{s} \partial_{\alpha}$ and $A_s = A^{\alpha}_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha} dz^\beta$.

We assume local freeness of the sheaf $R^{n-p} f_* \Omega^p_{X/S}(L)$. According to Lemma 3.2, we can represent local sections of this sheaf by $\overline{\partial}$-closed $(0, n-p)$-forms with values in $\Omega^p_{X/S}(L)$, which restrict to harmonic $(p, n-p)$-forms on the fibers. We denote such a section by $\psi$. In local coordinates, we have

$$\psi|_{X_s} = \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p} \overline{\beta}_{p+1...\beta_n} dz^\alpha_1 \wedge ... \wedge dz^\alpha_p \wedge dz^{\beta}_{p+1} \wedge ... \wedge dz^{\beta_n}$$

where $A_p = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_p)$ and $\overline{B}_{n-p} = (\overline{\beta}_{p+1}, ..., \overline{\beta}_n)$. The further component of $\psi$ is

$$\psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p} \overline{\beta}_{p+1...\beta_{n-1}} s dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge ... \wedge dz^\alpha_p \wedge dz^{\beta}_{p+1} \wedge ... \wedge dz^{\beta}_{n-1} \wedge ds.$$

The $\overline{\partial}$-closedness of $\psi$ means

$$\psi_{A_p \overline{\beta}_{p+1...\beta_{n-1}} s; \beta_n} = \psi_{A_p \overline{\beta}_{p+1...\beta_{n-1}} \beta_n; s}.$$

**4.2. Cup product**

**Definition 4.2.** — Let $s \in S$ and $A = A^{\alpha}_{s\beta}(z, s) \partial_{\alpha} dz^\beta$ be the Kodaira–Spencer form on the fiber $X_s$. The wedge product together with the contraction define maps from the space of $L$-valued $(p, n-p)$-forms

$$A \cup _-: A^{p, n-p}(X_s, L) \to A^{p-1, n-p+1}(X_s, L)$$

$$A \cup _+: A^{p, n-p}(X_s, L) \to A^{p+1, n-p-1}(X_s, L),$$
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where $0 \leq p \leq n$, which can be described locally by
\[
\left(A^\gamma_{s\delta} \partial_\gamma dz^\delta\right) \cup \left(\psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\alpha_p} \wedge dz^{\beta_{p+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\beta_n}\right)
\]
\[
= A^\gamma_{s\beta_p} \psi_{\gamma \alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{p-1} \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} dz^{\beta_p} \wedge dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\alpha_{p-1}} \wedge dz^{\beta_{p+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\beta_n},
\]
\[
\left(A^\gamma_{s\delta} \partial_\gamma dz^\delta\right) \cup \left(\psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\alpha_p} \wedge dz^{\beta_{p+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\beta_n}\right)
\]
\[
= A^\gamma_{s\alpha_1} \psi_{\alpha_2 \ldots \alpha_{p+1} \beta_{p+2} \ldots \beta_n} dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\alpha_{p+1}} \wedge dz^{\beta_{p+2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\beta_n}.
\]

4.3. Lie derivatives

Now we choose a local frame $\{\psi^1, \ldots, \psi^r\}$ according to Lemma 3.2. The components of the metric tensor $H^{lk}$ for $R^{n-p} f_s \Omega^p_{X/S}(L)$ on the base space $S$ are given by $(q = n - p)$
\[
H^{lk}(s) := \langle \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle := \langle \psi^k |_{X_s}, \psi^l |_{X_s} \rangle = \int_{X_s} \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \psi^l_{C_q D_p} g^{D_p A_p} g^{B_q C_q} h \: g \: dV,
\]
which are integrals of inner products of harmonic representatives of the cohomology classes. We also write
\[
\psi^k \cdot \psi^l = \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \psi^l_{C_q D_p} g^{D_p A_p} g^{B_q C_q} h
\]
for the pointwise inner product of $L$-valued $(p, q)$-forms, which is the pointwise inner product of the harmonic $L$-valued $(p, n - p)$-forms $\psi^k |_{X_s}$ and $\psi^l |_{X_s}$. When we compute derivatives with respect to the base of these fiber integrals, we apply Lie derivatives with respect to differentiable lifts of the tangent vectors according to Lemma 3.1. Here we choose the horizontal lifts, which are in particular canonical lifts in the sense of Siu [13]. This simplifies the computation in a considerable way. In order to break up the Lie derivative of the pointwise inner product (which is a relative $(n, n)$-form), we need to introduce Lie derivatives of relative differential forms with values in a line bundle. This can be done by using the hermitian connection $\nabla$ on $\mathcal{A}^{(p,q)}(X_s, L |_{X_s})$ induced by the Chern connections on $(T_{X_s}, \omega_{X_s})$ and $(L, h)$. We define the Lie derivative of $\psi$ with respect to the horizontal lift $v$ by using Cartan’s formula
\[
L_v \psi := L_v (\psi |_{X/S}) := \left(\delta_v \circ \nabla + \nabla \circ \delta_v\right) \psi |_{X/S} \quad (4.2)
\]
and similar for the Lie derivative with respect to $\overline{v}$. It is important to note that here we only consider the fiberwise part $\psi |_{X/S} = (\psi |_{X_s})_{s \in S}$ of the form $\psi$ given by Lemma 3.2, since only this component contributes to the integral. We note that this definition extends the usual Lie derivative for ordinary tensors, which can as well be computed by using covariant differentiation. We
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refer to Appendix B for properties of Lie derivatives and a short discussion
of this concept.

Taking Lie derivatives is not type-preserving. We have the type decom-
position for $\psi = \psi^k$ or $\psi = \psi^l$ and $v = v_s$

$$L_v \psi = L_v \psi' + L_v \psi'' ,$$

where $L_v \psi'$ is of type $(p, n - p)$ and $L_v \psi''$ is of type $(p - 1, n - p + 1)$. In
local coordinates, we have

$$L_v \psi' = \left( \psi_{ApB_{n-p};s} + a_s^\alpha \psi_{ApB_{n-p};\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^p a_s^{\alpha_j} \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p B_{n-p}} \right) dz^A P \land dz^{\bar{B}_{n-p}} (4.3)$$

$$L_v \psi'' = \sum_{j=1}^p A_{s_{\bar{B}_{n-p};\alpha}...\alpha_p B_{n-p}} dz^{\alpha_1} \land ... \land dz^{\bar{\beta}_p} \land ... \land dz^{\alpha_p} \land dz^{\bar{\beta}_{p+1}} \land ... \land dz^{\bar{\beta}_n} (4.4)$$

Similarly we have a type decomposition for the Lie derivative along $\bar{v} = v_{\bar{s}}$

$$L_{\bar{v}} \psi = L_{\bar{v}} \psi' + L_{\bar{v}} \psi'' ,$$

where $L_{\bar{v}} \psi'$ is of type $(p, n - p)$ and $L_{\bar{v}} \psi''$ is of type $(p + 1, n - p - 1)$. In
local coordinates, this is

$$L_{\bar{v}} \psi' = \left( \psi_{ApB_{n-p};\bar{s}} + a_{\bar{s}}^{\bar{\beta}_p} \psi_{ApB_{n-p};\bar{\beta}} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n a_{\bar{s}\bar{\beta}_j} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n} \right) dz^{\bar{A}_P} \land dz^{\bar{B}_{n-p}} (4.5)$$

$$L_{\bar{v}} \psi'' = \sum_{j=p+1}^n A_{\bar{s}\alpha_p+1...\alpha_p B_{n-p}} dz^{\bar{\beta}_1} \land ... \land dz^{\bar{\beta}_{p+1}} \land ... \land dz^{\alpha_p} \land dz^{\bar{\beta}_{p+1}} \land ... \land dz^{\bar{\beta}_n} (4.6)$$

The type decomposition can be verified using definition 4.2. (At first glance,
one would expect more terms, but there is a cancelation in the computation.
We also refer to Corollary B.3 in the appendix.) For the readers convenience
we give a proof for $L_v \psi$:
Proof. — First, we have

\[ \nabla (\psi \chi / S) = \left( \psi_{A_p \bar{B}_{n-p} : s} \, ds + \psi_{A_p \bar{B}_{n-p} : a} \, dz^\alpha + \psi_{A_p \bar{B}_{n-p} : \bar{\beta}} \, d\bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} \right) \]

and

\[ \delta_v (\psi \chi / S) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j+1} a_s^\alpha \psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p}} \, dz^\alpha \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{z}^j \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{z}^p \wedge dz_{B_{n-p}}. \]

Moreover, we get

\[ \delta_v \left( \nabla (\psi \chi / S) \right) = \left( \psi_{A_p \bar{B}_{n-p} : s} + a_s^\alpha \psi_{A_p \bar{B}_{n-p} : \alpha} \right) \, dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}_{B_{n-p}} \]

and

\[ \nabla \left( \delta_v (\psi \chi / S) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j+1} a_s^\alpha \psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p} : s} \, ds \wedge dz^\alpha \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{z}^j \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{z}^p \wedge dz_{B_{n-p}} \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j+1} a_s^\alpha \psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p} : \alpha} \, dz^\alpha \wedge dz^\alpha \wedge d\bar{z}^j \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{z}^p \wedge dz_{B_{n-p}} \]

\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j+1} a_s^\alpha \psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p} : \bar{\beta}} \, d\bar{z}^\beta \wedge dz^\alpha \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{z}^j \wedge \ldots \wedge d\bar{z}^p \wedge dz_{B_{n-p}} \].
We now split up the covariant derivative of the product in each line of $\nabla(\delta_v(\psi_X/S))$. According to definition (4.2), we have to add the expressions for $\delta_v(\nabla(\psi_X/S))$ and $\nabla(\delta_v(\psi_X/S))$. We see that the first line of $\delta_v(\nabla(\psi_X/S))$ together with the first summand in the second line of $\nabla(\delta_v(\psi_X/S))$ gives the formula for $L_v\psi'$, the $(p, n-p)$-component of $L_v\psi$. Furthermore, the first summand in the last line of $\nabla(\delta_v(\psi_X/S))$ gives the expression for $L_v\psi''$. All the remaining terms either cancel each other or contain a $ds$ or $d\bar{s}$ respectively, hence do not contribute to the relative part. \hfill $\Box$

We need the following lemma

**Lemma 4.3.** — *The Lie derivative of the volume element $g\,dV = \omega^n_s/n!$ along the horizontal lift $v$ vanishes, i.e.*

$$L_v(g\,dV) = 0.$$ 

**Proof.** — It suffices to show that the $(1, 1)$ component of $L_v(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ vanishes, which implies $L_v(\det(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})) = 0$. We have

$$L_v(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta},s} + a^\gamma_{s;\alpha\gamma} + a^\gamma_{s;\alpha\beta} = -a_{s;\beta,\alpha} + a^\gamma_{s;\alpha\gamma\beta} = 0. \hfill \Box$$

### 4.4. Main part of the computation

We start computing the curvature by computing the first order variation. Using Lie derivatives, the pointwise inner products can be broken up:

**Proposition 4.4.**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle = \langle L_v\psi^k, \psi^l \rangle + \langle \psi^k, L_{\bar{v}}\psi^l \rangle,$$

where $\partial/\partial s$ denotes a tangent vector on the base $S$ and $v$ its horizontal lift.

**Proof.** — By Lemma 3.1 we have $(q = n-p)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle = \int_X L_v \left( \psi^k_{A_p\bar{B}_q} \psi^l_{C_q\bar{D}_p} g_{C_q\bar{D}_p} g_{A_p\bar{B}_q} g_{C_q\bar{D}_p} g_{\bar{D}_p\bar{A}_p} h \right) g\,dV.$$

The integrand is now a Lie derivative of an ordinary $(n, n)$-form. We have

$$L_v \left( \psi^k_{A_p\bar{B}_q} \psi^l_{C_q\bar{D}_p} g_{C_q\bar{D}_p} g_{A_p\bar{B}_q} g_{C_q\bar{D}_p} g_{\bar{D}_p\bar{A}_p} g_{\bar{B}_q\bar{C}_q} h \right) g\,dV = L_v \left( \psi^k_{A_p\bar{B}_q} \psi^l_{C_q\bar{D}_p} g_{A_p\bar{B}_q} g_{\bar{D}_p\bar{A}_p} g_{\bar{B}_q\bar{C}_q} h \right) g\,dV,$$
because $L_v(g\,\mathrm{d} V)$ vanishes. Now the Lie derivative of a function is just the ordinary derivative in the direction of $v$, so we get (by using Einstein’s summation convention and, for ordinary derivatives)

\[
L_v \left( \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \psi^\ell_{C_q D_p} \bar{g}^{B_p A_p} \bar{g}^{B_q C_q} h \right)
= \left( \partial_s + a_s^\alpha \partial_\alpha \right) \left( \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \psi^\ell_{C_q D_p} \bar{g}^{B_p A_p} \bar{g}^{B_q C_q} h \right)
+ \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \left( \psi_{C_q D_p}^\ell \partial_s (\bar{g}^{\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}_{\alpha^1} \alpha^2} \bar{g}^{\bar{\beta} \gamma_\alpha} \bar{g}^{\bar{\alpha} \gamma_\beta} \bar{g}^{\bar{\beta} \gamma_\alpha} h)ight)
+ \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \left( a_s^\alpha \psi_{C_q D_p}^{\ell, \alpha} \right) \left( g^{\bar{D}_p A_p} g^{\bar{B}_q C_q} h \right)
+ \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \left( a_s^\alpha \psi_{C_q D_p}^{\ell, \alpha} \right) \left( g^{\bar{D}_p A_p} g^{\bar{B}_q C_q} h \right)
\]

Now we use the identities $\partial_s \bar{g}^{\bar{\alpha} \gamma} = g^{\bar{\alpha} \gamma_\beta} \bar{g}^{\bar{\beta} \gamma_\alpha} + g^{\bar{\alpha} \gamma_\beta} \bar{g}^{\bar{\beta} \gamma_\alpha}$ and $\partial_\alpha \bar{g}^{\bar{\alpha} \gamma} = -g^{\bar{\alpha} \gamma_\beta} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta \gamma}$ (see Lemma A.1) as well as the Christoffel symbols for the Chern connection on $(L, h)$ which are $\Gamma^h_{\alpha \beta} = \Gamma^h_{\alpha \beta} s$ and $h^{-1} \partial_\alpha h = \Gamma^h_{\alpha \beta}$. The above somewhat lengthy expression can then be written as (now we use ; for indicating covariant derivatives)

\[
\left( \psi^k_{A_p B_q, s} + \Gamma^h_{\alpha \beta} \psi^k_{A_p B_q} + a_s^\alpha \left( \psi^k_{A_p B_q, \alpha} - \sum_{j=1}^p \Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \psi^k_{A_p, \alpha_{\gamma \beta} \cdots \alpha_{p \beta} B_q} + \Gamma^h\psi^k \right) \right)
\times \psi_{C_q D_p}^\ell \bar{g}^{B_p A_p} g^{B_q C_q} h
\]

\[
+ \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \left( a_s^\alpha \psi_{C_q D_p}^{\ell, \alpha} \right) \left( g^{\bar{D}_p A_p} g^{\bar{B}_q C_q} h \right)
+ \left( \sum_{j=1}^p \psi_{A_p \alpha_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{p+1} \cdots \alpha_{p \beta} B_q}^\ell a_s^\alpha_{\alpha_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j}} \right) \psi_{C_q D_p}^\ell \bar{g}^{B_p A_p} g^{B_q C_q} h
\]

\[
+ \psi^k_{A_p B_q} \left( \sum_{j=p+1}^n \psi_{A_p \alpha_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{p+1} \cdots \gamma_{n \beta} B_q}^\ell a_s^\alpha_{\alpha_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j}} \right) g^{\bar{D}_p A_p} g^{B_q C_q} h
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
&= \left( \psi^k_{ApBq;s} + a^\alpha \psi^k_{ApBq;\alpha} \right) \psi^I_{CqDp} g^{\bar{D}_pA_p} g^{\bar{B}_qC_q} h \\
&+ \psi^k_{ApBq} \left( \psi^I_{CqDp;s} + a^\alpha \psi^I_{CqDp;\alpha} \right) g^{\bar{D}_pA_p} g^{\bar{B}_qC_q} h \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^p \psi^k_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p B_q \bar{A}_q} a^\alpha_{s;j} \psi^I_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p B_q} g^{\bar{D}_pA_p} g^{\bar{B}_qC_q} h \\
&+ \psi^k_{ApBq} \left( \sum_{j=p+1}^n \psi^I_{\gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_n \bar{D}_p} a^\alpha_{s;j} \right) g^{\bar{D}_pA_p} g^{\bar{B}_qC_q} h
\end{align*}
\]

The \((p, q)\)-components of the forms \(L_v \psi\) and \(L_{\bar{v}} \psi^j\) are given by

\[
(L_v \psi^j)(p, q) = \left( \psi^k_{ApBq;s} + a^\alpha \psi^k_{ApBq;\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^p a^\alpha_{s;j} \psi^k_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p B_q} \right) dz^A_p \wedge dz^{\bar{B}_q}
\]

and

\[
(L_{\bar{v}} \psi^j)(p, q) = \left( \psi^j_{D_p \bar{C}_q;\bar{s}} + a^\gamma_{s} \psi^j_{D_p \bar{C}_q;\bar{\gamma}} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n a^\gamma_{s;j} \psi^j_{D_p \bar{C}_q;\bar{\gamma}_j} \right) dz^{\bar{D}_p} \wedge dz^{\bar{C}_q},
\]

thus the statement of the proposition follows.

The above proposition is a main reason for the use of Lie derivatives. A second justification for using Lie derivatives is given by the following lemma, which allows us to express some components of the Lie derivatives as cup products with the Kodaira–Spencer form or the horizontal lift respectively:

**Lemma 4.5.**

\[
\begin{align*}
L_v \psi &= A_s \cup \psi, \quad (4.7) \\
L_{\bar{v}} \psi &= (-1)^p A_s \cup \psi, \quad (4.8) \\
L_{\bar{v}} \psi^j &= (-1)^p \bar{\partial}(v \cup \psi). \quad (4.9)
\end{align*}
\]

We note that here and in the following the operators \(\partial, \bar{\partial}, \partial^*\) and \(\bar{\partial}^*\) mean the fiberwise operators, because we are always dealing with relative forms.

**Proof.** — First, we prove (4.7): We have

\[
L_v \psi''
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^p A^\alpha_{s;\beta_p} \psi^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p}} dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_p} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\alpha_p} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_{p+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_n}
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^p A^\alpha_{s;\beta_p} \psi^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{p-1} \bar{B}_{n-p} \bar{\beta}_p} dz^{\bar{\beta}_p} \wedge dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\alpha_{p-1}} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_{p+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_n}.
\]
Similarly, we have

\[
L_{\psi} \psi = \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} A_{s_{\alpha_j+1}}^{p} \psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_j \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} \\
\left| \right|_{j}^{d \alpha_1 \ldots \wedge d \alpha_p \wedge d \beta_{p+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \beta_n}
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} A_{s_{\alpha_j+1}}^{p} \psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_j \beta_{p+2} \ldots \beta_n}
\]

\[
d \alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d \alpha_p \wedge d \beta_{p+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \beta_n
\]

Finally, we prove (4.9): We have

\[
\psi \cup \psi = \left( \psi_{\beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} A_{p} + a_{s}^{p} \psi_{\beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} \right) d \alpha_p \\
\left| \right|_{j}^{d \alpha_1 \ldots \wedge d \alpha_p \wedge d \beta_{p+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \beta_n}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{n-p-1} \left( \psi_{\beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} A_{p} + a_{s}^{p} \psi_{\beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} \right) d \alpha_p \\
\left| \right|_{j}^{d \alpha_1 \wedge d \alpha_p \wedge d \beta_{p+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \beta_n}
\]

which is a relative form, and hence

\[
\bar{\partial}(\psi \cup \psi) = (-1)^{n-p-1} \left( \psi_{\beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} A_{p} + a_{s}^{p} \psi_{\beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} \right) d \alpha_p \\
\left| \right|_{j}^{d \alpha_1 \wedge d \alpha_p \wedge d \beta_{p+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \beta_n}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{p} (-1)^{n-p-1} \left( \psi_{\beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} A_{p} + a_{s}^{p} \psi_{\beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} \right) d \alpha_p \\
\left| \right|_{j}^{d \alpha_1 \wedge d \alpha_p \wedge d \beta_{p+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \beta_n}
\]

The skew-symmetrized coefficients of \((a_{s}^{p} \psi_{A_{p} \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n} d \alpha_p \wedge d \beta_n \wedge d \beta_{p+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \beta_n)\) are given by

\[
\left[ \bar{\partial}(a_{s}^{p} \psi_{A_{p} \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n}) \right]_{A_{p} \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_n}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{p} \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} (-1)^{j-p-1} a_{s}^{p} \psi_{A_{p} \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_j} \beta_{j}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{p} \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} (-1)^{j-p-1} \left( a_{s}^{p} \psi_{A_{p} \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_j} \beta_{j} + a_{s}^{p} \psi_{A_{p} \beta_{p+1} \ldots \beta_j} \beta_{j} \right)
\]
Remember that we have
\[
L_\bar{v} \psi' = \left( \psi_A p \beta p + 1 \ldots \beta n ; \beta + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} a_s^{\beta_j} \psi A p \beta p + 1 \ldots \beta n ; \beta \right) + 1 \ldots \beta n ; \beta + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} a_s^{\beta_j} \psi A p \beta p + 1 \ldots \beta n ; \beta \right) + 1 \ldots \beta n ; \beta + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} a_s^{\beta_j} \psi A p \beta p + 1 \ldots \beta n ; \beta \right) + 1 \ldots \beta n ; \beta + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} a_s^{\beta_j} \psi A p \beta p + 1 \ldots \beta n ; \beta \right) \]
\[
dz^A p \wedge dz^{B n - p}.
\]

Now the identity (4.9) follows from the \(\bar{\partial}\)-closedness of \(\psi\), that means equation (4.1) and the \(\bar{\partial}\)-closedness of \(|X_s\psi\) along the fibers. We note that it is only here where we use Lemma 3.2, the fact that \(\psi\) is globally \(\bar{\partial}\)-closed, in order to cook up the relative form \(\nu \cup \psi\) which fulfills (4.9).

Now we can simplify the expression for the first order variation of the metric tensor. Because of (4.9) and the harmonicity of \(\psi^k|X_s\), we have
\[
\langle \psi^k, L_\bar{v} \psi^l \rangle = \langle \psi^k, L_\bar{v} (\psi^l)' \rangle = \langle \psi^k, (-1)^p \bar{\partial} (\nu \cup \psi^l) \rangle = \langle \bar{\partial}^* (\psi^k), (-1)^p (\nu \cup \psi^l) \rangle = 0
\]
for all \(s \in S\). Thus by Lemma 4.4, we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H^k = \langle L_\nu \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle + \langle \psi^k, L_\bar{v} \psi^l \rangle = \langle L_\nu \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle = \langle L_\nu (\psi^k)', \psi^l \rangle.
\]

For later computations, we need to compare Laplacians:

**Lemma 4.6.** — We have the following relation on the space \(A^{p,q}(X_s, L|X_s)\)
\[
\Box_\partial - \Box_{\bar{\partial}} = (n - p - q) \cdot id \tag{4.10}
\]
In particular, the harmonic forms \(\psi \in A^{p,n-p}(X_s, L|X_s)\) are also harmonic with respect to \(\partial\), which is the \((1,0)\)-part of the hermitian connection on \(A^{p,n-p}(X_s, L|X_s)\).

**Proof.** — The Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano identity says (on the fiber \(X_s\))
\[
\Box_{\bar{\partial}} - \Box_{\partial} = [\sqrt{-1} \Theta (L), \Lambda].
\]
But by definition, we have \(\omega_{X_s} = \sqrt{-1} \Theta (L)|X_s\). Furthermore, it holds (see [5, Cor. VI.5.9])
\[
[L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega] u = (p + q - n) u \quad \text{for } u \in A^{p,q}(X_s, L|X_s).
\]

Next, we start to compute the second order derivative of \(H^k\) and begin with
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H^k = \langle L_\nu \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle.
\]
Now by using Proposition B.4 from the appendix we obtain
\[
\partial_{\bar{s}} \partial_s \langle \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle \\
= \langle L_{\bar{v}} L_v \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle + \langle L_v \psi^k, L_v \psi^l \rangle \\
= \langle (L_{[\bar{v}, v]} + \Theta(L)_{[\bar{v} v])} \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle + \langle L_v L_{\bar{v}} \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle + \langle L_v \psi^k, L_v \psi^l \rangle \\
= \langle (L_{[\bar{v}, v]} + \Theta(L)_{[\bar{v} v])} \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle + \partial_{\bar{s}} \langle L_{\bar{v}} \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle - \langle L_{\bar{v}} \psi^k, L_{\bar{v}} \psi^l \rangle + \langle L_v \psi^k, L_v \psi^l \rangle.
\]
Because of \( \langle L_{\bar{v}} \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle \equiv 0 \) for all \( s \in S \) as we just saw, we get
\[
\partial_{\bar{s}} \partial_s \langle \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle \\
= \langle (L_{[\bar{v}, v]} + \Theta(L)_{[\bar{v} v])} \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle + \langle L_v \psi^k, L_v \psi^l \rangle - \langle L_{\bar{v}} \psi^k, L_{\bar{v}} \psi^l \rangle. \tag{4.11}
\]
Now we treat each term on the right hand side of (4.11) separately. For the first summand, we have

**Lemma 4.7.**
\[
L_{[\bar{v}, v]} + \Theta(L)_{[\bar{v} v] = -\varphi^\alpha \partial_\alpha + \varphi^\beta \partial_\beta, \bar{v}} - \varphi \cdot \text{id}, \tag{4.12}
\]
where the bracket \([v, \bar{v}]\) stands for a Lie derivative along the vector field \(w\).

**Proof.** — We first compute the vector field \([\bar{v}, v]\):
\[
[\bar{v}, v] = [\partial_\bar{s} + \bar{a}_s^\beta \partial_\beta, \partial_s + a_s^\alpha \partial_\alpha] \\
= (\partial_\bar{s}(a_s^\alpha) + \bar{a}_s^\beta a_{\alpha|\beta}) \partial_\alpha - (\partial_s(a_s^\alpha) + a_s^\alpha \bar{a}_s^\beta) \partial_\beta.
\]
Now we have
\[
\partial_{\bar{s}}(a_s^\alpha) = -\partial_s(g^{\beta\alpha} g_{s\beta}) = g^{\beta\sigma} g_{s\beta|\bar{\sigma}} g^{\bar{\sigma} \alpha} g_{s\bar{\beta}} - g^{\beta\alpha} g_{s\bar{\beta} |\bar{s}} \\
= g^{\bar{\beta} \sigma} a_{s\bar{\sigma}}; \bar{\beta} + g^{\bar{\beta} \alpha} a_{s\bar{\beta}} - g^{\bar{\beta} \alpha} g_{s\bar{\beta} |\bar{s}}.
\]
Because of \( \varphi = g_{s\bar{s}} - g_{\alpha s} g_{s\bar{\beta}} g^{\bar{\beta} \alpha} \) the coefficient of \( \partial_\alpha \) is \( g^{\beta\alpha} \varphi_{s\bar{\beta}} = \varphi^{\alpha}. \) In the same way the get the coefficient of \( \partial_\beta \). Next, we need to compute the contribution of the connection on \( L \). Because of \( \sqrt{-1}[\partial, \bar{\partial}] = \sqrt{-1} \Theta(L) = \omega_X \), we have
\[
\Theta(L)_{[\bar{v} v]} = -\Theta(L)_{[\bar{v} v]} \\
= - \left( g_{s \bar{s}} + a^\beta_s g_{s \bar{\beta}} + a^\alpha_s g_{\alpha s} + a^\beta_s a^\alpha_s g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \right) \\
= -\varphi.
\]

**Lemma 4.8.**
\[
\langle (L_{[\bar{v}, v]} + \Theta(L)_{[\bar{v} v])} \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle = - \langle \varphi \cdot \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle = - \int_{X_s} \varphi \psi^k \cdot \psi^l \frac{g}{dV}. \tag{4.13}
\]
Proof. — The $\partial$-closedness of $\psi^k$ means that

$$\psi^k_{\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \psi^k_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \beta_{n-p} \alpha_j}. $$

Thus

$$[\varphi^\alpha \partial_{\alpha}, \psi^k_{A_p \beta_{n-p}}]' = \varphi^\alpha \psi^k_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \beta_{n-p}} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \varphi^\alpha_{\alpha_j} \psi^k_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \beta_{n-p}}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( \varphi^\alpha \psi^k_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p \beta_{n-p}} \right)_{\alpha_j}$$

$$= \partial \left( \varphi^\alpha \partial_{\alpha} \cup \psi^k \right).$$

This leads to

$$\langle \left[ \varphi^\alpha \partial_{\alpha}, \psi^k_{A_p \beta_{n-p}} \right], \psi^l \rangle = \langle \left[ \varphi^\alpha \partial_{\alpha}, \psi^k_{A_p \beta_{n-p}} \right]', \psi^l \rangle$$

$$= \langle \partial \left( \varphi^\alpha \partial_{\alpha} \cup \psi^k \right), \psi^l \rangle = \langle \varphi^\alpha \partial_{\alpha} \cup \psi^k, \partial^* \psi^l \rangle = 0.$$

In the same way we get

$$\langle \left[ \varphi^\beta \partial_{\beta}, \psi^k_{A_p \beta_{n-p}} \right], \psi^l \rangle = 0. \qed$$

The following proposition contains important identities that allow to obtain an intrinsic expression for the curvature:

**Proposition 4.9.**

$$\tilde{\partial}(L_v \psi^k)' = \partial(A_s \cup \psi^k), \quad (4.14)$$

$$\tilde{\partial}^*(L_v \psi^k)' = 0, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^k) = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

$$(-1)^p \tilde{\partial}^*(L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)' = \partial^*(A_{\tilde{s}} \cup \psi^k), \quad (4.17)$$

$$\tilde{\partial}(L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)' = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\partial(A_{\tilde{s}} \cup \psi^k) = 0. \quad (4.19)$$

The proof is given in Appendix A. Now we look at the second term in (4.11) and decompose it into its two types:

$$\langle L_v \psi^k, L_v \psi^l \rangle = \langle (L_v \psi^k)', (L_v \psi^l)' \rangle - \langle (L_v \psi^k)'', (L_v \psi^l)'' \rangle$$

$$= \langle (L_v \psi^k)', (L_v \psi^l)' \rangle - \langle A_s \cup \psi^k, A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle.$$
because of (4.7). At this point, one might wonder about the minus sign. The reason for this is as follows: We have

\[
\partial_s \partial_s \langle \psi^k, \psi^l \rangle = \partial_s \langle (L_v \psi^k)', \psi^l \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle L_\bar{\psi} (L_v \psi^k)', \psi^l \rangle + \langle (L_v \psi^k)', (L_v \psi^l)' \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle L_\bar{\psi} (L_v \psi^k), \psi^l \rangle - \langle (L_\bar{\psi} (L_v \psi^k)''', \psi^l \rangle + \langle (L_v \psi^k)', (L_v \psi^l)' \rangle
\]

where for the last line we used the following lemma

**Lemma 4.10.**

\[
\langle (L_\bar{\psi} (L_v \psi^k)''', \psi^l \rangle = \langle (L_v \psi^k)'', (L_v \psi^l)'' \rangle
\]

**Proof.** — Because of (4.4) we have for the pointwise inner product of \((p - 1, n - p + 1)\)-forms

\[
(L_v \psi^k)'', (L_v \psi^l)'' = A_{s\beta \gamma}^\alpha \psi^k_{\alpha \gamma} \alpha_{p - 1} \beta_{p + 1} ... \tilde{\gamma}_n \tilde{s}_{p - 1} \tilde{\gamma}_{p + 1} ... \tilde{\gamma}_n
\]

\[
g^\gamma \gamma_1 \gamma_2 ... g_{\tilde{s} \gamma_1 \gamma_2} \tilde{\gamma}_{p + 1} \tilde{s}_{p + 1} ...
\]

On the other hand, we get by using (4.4) and (4.6) for the pointwise inner product of \((p, n - p)\)-forms

\[
(L_\bar{\psi} (L_v \psi^k)''', \psi^l \rangle = (-1)^{p-1} A_{s\beta \gamma}^\alpha \psi^k_{\alpha \gamma} \alpha_{p - 1} \beta_{p + 1} ... \tilde{\gamma}_n \tilde{s}_{p - 1} \tilde{\gamma}_{p + 1} ... \tilde{\gamma}_n
\]

\[
g^\gamma \gamma_1 \gamma_2 ... g_{\tilde{s} \gamma_1 \gamma_2} \tilde{\gamma}_{p + 1} \tilde{s}_{p + 1} ...
\]

Now we take the term \(A_{s\beta \gamma}^\alpha \psi^k_{\alpha \gamma} \alpha_{p - 1} \beta_{p + 1} ... \tilde{\gamma}_n \tilde{s}_{p - 1} \tilde{\gamma}_{p + 1} ... \tilde{\gamma}_n \) and rewrite it as

\[
A_{s\beta \gamma}^\alpha \psi^k_{\alpha \gamma} \alpha_{p - 1} \beta_{p + 1} ... \tilde{\gamma}_n \tilde{s}_{p - 1} \tilde{\gamma}_{p + 1} ... \tilde{\gamma}_n \]

Thus both expressions coincide. \(\square\)

Now let \(G_\beta\) and \(G_{\bar{\beta}}\) be the Green operators on the spaces \(A^{p,q}(X_s, L|X_s)\) with respect to \(\square_\beta\) and \(\square_{\bar{\beta}}\) respectively. According to Lemma 4.6 they coincide for \(p + q = n\). Now we use normal coordinates (of the second kind) at a
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given point \( s_0 \in S \). The condition \((\partial/\partial s)H^{\tilde{k}}|_{s_0} = 0\) for all \( k, l \) means that for \( s = s_0 \) the harmonic projection

\[
H((L_v \psi^k)'') = 0
\]

vanishes for all \( k \). Thus, using the identity \( \text{id} = H + G_{\tilde{\partial}} \Box_{\tilde{\partial}} \) we can write

\[
(L_v \psi^k)' = G_{\tilde{\partial}} \Box_{\tilde{\partial}} (L_v \psi^k)' = G_{\tilde{\partial}} \tilde{\partial}^* \tilde{\partial}(L_v \psi^k)' = \tilde{\partial}^* G_{\tilde{\partial}} \partial (A_s \cup \psi^k)
\]

by (4.15) and (4.14). Because the form \( \tilde{\partial}(L_v \psi^k)' = \partial (A_s \cup \psi^k) \) is of type \((p, n - p + 1)\), we have \( G_{\tilde{\partial}} = (\Box_{\tilde{\partial}} + 1)^{-1} \) on such forms by Lemma 4.6. We proceed by

\[
\langle (L_v \psi^k)', (L_v \psi^l)' \rangle = \langle \tilde{\partial}^* G_{\tilde{\partial}} \partial (A_s \cup \psi^k), (L_v \psi^l)' \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle G_{\tilde{\partial}} \partial (A_s \cup \psi^k), \partial (A_s \cup \psi^l) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle (\Box_{\tilde{\partial}} + 1)^{-1} \partial (A_s \cup \psi^k), \partial (A_s \cup \psi^l) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \partial^* (\Box_{\tilde{\partial}} + 1)^{-1} \partial (A_s \cup \psi^k), A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle.
\]

Now using (4.16) gives

\[
\langle (L_v \psi^k)', (L_v \psi^l)' \rangle = \langle (\Box_{\tilde{\partial}} + 1)^{-1} \Box_{\tilde{\partial}} (A_s \cup \psi^k), A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle (\Box_{\tilde{\partial}} + 1)^{-1}(\Box_{\tilde{\partial}} + 1 - 1)(A_s \cup \psi^k), A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle A_s \cup \psi^k, A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle - \langle (\Box_{\tilde{\partial}} + 1)^{-1}(A_s \cup \psi^k), A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle.
\]

Altogether, we have

**Lemma 4.11.**

\[
\langle L_v \psi^k, L_v \psi^l \rangle = -\int_X (\Box + 1)^{-1}(A_s \cup \psi^k) \cdot (A_s \cup \psi^l) \, g \, dV \quad (4.20)
\]

(We write \( \Box = \Box_{\tilde{\partial}} = \Box_{\tilde{\partial}} \) when applied to \((p - 1, n - p + 1)\)-forms.)

Finally, we look at the third term in (4.11) and decompose it into its two types:

\[
\langle L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k, L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^l \rangle = \langle (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)', (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^l)' \rangle - \langle (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)'', (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^l)'' \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)', (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^l)' \rangle - \langle A_s \cup \psi^k, A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle,
\]

where we used (4.8). The identity (4.9) implies that the harmonic projection of \((L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)'\) vanish. Hence we can write

\[
\langle (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)', (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^l)' \rangle = \langle G_{\tilde{\partial}} \Box_{\tilde{\partial}} (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)', (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^l)' \rangle
\]

\[
\overset{(4.18)}{=} \langle (G_{\tilde{\partial}} \Box_{\tilde{\partial}} \tilde{\partial}^* L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)', (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^l)' \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle G_{\tilde{\partial}} \tilde{\partial}^* (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^k)', \tilde{\partial}^* (L_{\tilde{v}} \psi^l)' \rangle
\]

\[
\overset{(4.17)}{=} \langle G_{\tilde{\partial}} \tilde{\partial}^* (A_s \cup \psi^k), \partial^* (A_s \cup \psi^l) \rangle.
\]

Now we have
Lemma 4.12. — Let $\sum_{\nu} \rho_{\nu}$ be the eigenfunction decomposition of $A_s \cup \psi^k$. Then all the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\nu} > 1$ or $\lambda_0 = 0$. In particular $(\Box - 1)^{-1}(A_s \cup \psi^k)$ exists.

Proof. — We consider $\partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^k) = \sum_{\nu} \partial^*(\rho_{\nu})$, which is a $(p, n - p - 1)$-form, for which we have $\Box_\partial = \Box_\partial + \text{id}$ according to lemma 4.6. Hence

$$\lambda_{\nu} \partial^*(\rho_{\nu}) = \Box_\partial \partial^*(\rho_{\nu}) = \Box_\partial \partial^*(\rho_{\nu}) + \partial^*(\rho_{\nu}).$$

Form this equation we can read off that $\sum_{\nu} \partial^*(\rho_{\nu})$ is the eigenfunction decomposition of $\partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^k) = \tilde{\partial}^*(L_{\bar{\theta}} \psi^k)'$ with respect to $\Box_\partial$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{\nu} - 1 \geq 0$. But this form is orthogonal to the space of $\tilde{\partial}$-harmonic functions so that $\lambda_{\nu} - 1 = 0$ does not occur. The harmonic part of $A_s \cup \psi^k$ may be present though. (If $\partial^* \rho_{\nu} = 0$, then also $\partial \rho_{\nu} = 0$, hence $\rho_{\nu}$ is harmonic. This is because by 4.19 we have $\partial(A_s \cup \psi^k) = 0$, hence $\partial \rho_{\nu} = 0$ for all $\nu$, because $\sum_{\nu} \partial(\rho_{\nu})$ is the eigenfunction decomposition of $\partial(A_s \cup \psi^k)$.) □

Now we can proceed as follows. The form $\partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^k) = \tilde{\partial}^*(L_{\bar{\theta}} \psi^k)'$ is orthogonal to both spaces of $\tilde{\partial}$- and $\partial$-harmonic forms. Hence we can write

$$G_{\partial} \partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^k) = (\Box_\partial - 1)^{-1} \partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^k),$$

so that we have

$$\langle (L_{\bar{\theta}} \psi^k)', (L_{\bar{\theta}} \psi^l)' \rangle = \langle (\Box_\partial - 1)^{-1} \partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^k), \partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^l) \rangle$$

$$= \langle (\Box_\partial - 1)^{-1} \partial^*(A_s \cup \psi^k), A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle$$

$$= \langle (\Box_\partial - 1)^{-1} \partial \rho(A_s \cup \psi^k), A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle$$

$$= \langle (\Box_\partial - 1)^{-1} (\Box_\partial - 1 + 1)(A_s \cup \psi^k), A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle$$

$$= \langle A_s \cup \psi^k, A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle + \langle (\Box_\partial - 1)^{-1}(A_s \cup \psi^k), A_s \cup \psi^l \rangle.$$

Alltogether we get for our last term

Lemma 4.13.

$$\langle L_{\bar{\theta}} \psi^k, L_{\bar{\theta}} \psi^l \rangle = \int_{X_s} (\Box - 1)^{-1}(A_s \cup \psi^k) \cdot (A_s \cup \psi^l) \, g \, dV. \quad (4.21)$$

(We write again $\Box = \Box_\partial = \Box_\partial$ when applied to $(p + 1, n - p - 1)$-forms.)

Now our main result Theorem 2.1 follows from (4.11), (4.13), (4.20), (4.21) and the fact that $R^{ik}_{ij}(s_0) = -\partial_j \partial_i H^{ik}(s_0)$ in normal coordinates at the point $s_0 \in S$.  

- 192 -
Appendix A. Proof of Proposition 4.9

Proof of Proposition 4.9. — We start with (4.14): We drop the superscript $k$ and note that the tensors are skew-symmetrized as coefficients of alternating forms. We start with the identities

$$
\psi_s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1} = \psi_s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1} - g_s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1} \psi = a_s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1} \psi, \\
\psi_s;\alpha\bar{\beta}_{n+1} = \psi_s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1} - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} \psi
$$

(A.1)

Starting from (4.3) we get using

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\partial}L_v \psi' &= \left( \psi_s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1} + A_{s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1}}^\alpha \psi_s;\alpha + a_s^\alpha;\bar{\beta}_{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^p a_s^\alpha;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} \psi_s;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} \right) dz^{\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} \wedge dz^{A_p} \wedge dz^{B_{n-p}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^p a_s^\alpha;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} \psi_s;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} \psi_s;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} dA_{B_{n-p}}^{n+1} \\
&= (A_{s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1}}^\alpha \psi_s;\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^p A_{s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1};\bar{\alpha}_{j+1}}^\alpha \psi_s;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} \psi_s;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} ) dz^{\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} \wedge dz^{A_p} \wedge dz^{B_{n-p}}.
\end{align*}
$$

Note that we also used the symmetries of the curvature 4-tensor and the $\bar{\partial}$-closedness of $\psi$. Because of the fiberwise $\bar{\partial}$-closedness of $\psi$ this equals

$$
\sum_{j=1}^p (A_{s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1}}^\alpha \psi_s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1} \psi_s;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} ) dz^{\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} \wedge dz^{A_p} \wedge z^{B_{n-p}}
$$

$$
=(-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^p (A_{s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1}}^\alpha \psi_s;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} ) dz^{\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} \wedge dz^{A_p} \wedge z^{B_{n-p}}
$$

$$
= \partial \left( (-1)^n A_{s;\bar{\beta}_{n+1}}^\alpha \psi_s;\bar{\alpha}_{j+1} \right) dz^{A_p} \wedge dz^{B_{n+1}} = \partial (A_s \cup \psi).
$$

This proves (4.14). Next, we prove (4.15). For this, we need the following

Lemma A.1. — Let $\Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma \beta} = g^\beta \sigma \partial_a g_{\alpha \beta}$ be the Christoffel symbol for the metric $(g_{\alpha \beta})$ in $T_X/S$ in fiber direction. Then we have

$$
\partial_s (\Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha \gamma}) = -a^\sigma_{s;\alpha \gamma}
$$
Proof. — We start with
\[ \partial_s g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} = -g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} g^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\delta}} \partial_s g_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\delta}} = g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} a^\sigma_{s;\bar{\alpha}}, \]
hence
\[ g^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \partial_s g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} = a^\sigma_{s;\bar{\alpha}}. \]
This gives
\[ a^\sigma_{s;\alpha\gamma} = g^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}(\partial_\gamma \partial_s g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} + \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^{\sigma} \partial_s g^{\bar{\delta}\bar{\alpha}}) \]
\[ = g^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \partial_\delta \partial_\gamma g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} + (g^{\bar{\delta}\bar{\beta}} g^{\bar{\beta}\gamma}) g^{\bar{\delta}\bar{\alpha}} \partial_s g^{\bar{\delta}\bar{\alpha}} \]
\[ = g^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \partial_\delta \partial_\gamma g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} + \partial_\gamma g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} \partial_s g^{\bar{\delta}\bar{\alpha}} \]
On the other hand, we have
\[ \partial_s (\Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{\sigma}) = \partial_s (\Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^{\sigma}) = \partial_s (-g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_\gamma g^{\bar{\beta}\sigma} - g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_s \partial_\gamma g^{\bar{\delta}\bar{\alpha}}) \]
This gives the statement. \(\Box\)

Now we have
\[ \psi_{;s} = \psi_s + \Gamma_s^h \psi \]
and
\[ \psi_{;\gamma} = \psi_s + \Gamma_s^h \psi - \sum_{j=1}^p \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha_j}^{\sigma} \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p}} \]
This gives
\[ \psi_{;s\gamma} = (\psi_s + \Gamma_s^h \psi)_{;\gamma} + \Gamma_s^h (\psi_s + \Gamma_s^h \psi) - \sum_{j=1}^p \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha_j}^{\sigma} (\psi_s + \Gamma_s^h \psi)_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p}} \]
and
\[ \psi_{;\gamma s} = \left( \psi_s + \Gamma_s^h \psi - \sum_{j=1}^p \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha_j}^{\sigma} \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p}} \right)_s \]
\[ + \Gamma_s^h \left( \psi_s + \Gamma_s^h \psi - \sum_{j=1}^p \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha_j}^{\sigma} \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p}} \right) \]
Hence, it follows
\[ \psi_{;s\gamma} - \psi_{;\gamma s} = \sum_{j=1}^p (\partial_s \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha_j}^{\sigma}) \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p}} \]
and by the preceding lemma
\[ \psi_{;\gamma s} = \psi_{;s\gamma} + \sum_{j=1}^p a^\sigma_{s;\alpha_j \gamma} \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_p \bar{B}_{n-p}} \quad (A.3) \]
Now by differentiating the equation $g^\beta n\gamma \psi_{;\gamma} = 0$ in the direction of $s$, by using $\partial_s g^\beta n\gamma = g^\beta n\sigma a^\gamma_{s;\sigma}$ as well as (A.3) it follows

$$g^\beta n\gamma \psi_{;s;\gamma} = -\psi_{;\gamma}g^\beta n\sigma a^\gamma_{s;\sigma} - \sum_{j=1}^p g^\beta n\gamma a^\sigma_{s;\alpha_j\gamma} \psi_{\alpha_1...\sigma...\alpha_p B_{n-p}}. \quad (A.4)$$

Next, since fiberwise $\psi$ is $\bar{\partial}^s$-closed,

$$g^\beta n\gamma (a^\alpha_{s,\psi};_{\alpha})_{;\gamma} = g^\beta n\gamma a^\alpha_{s;\gamma} \psi_{;\alpha} \quad (A.5)$$

and with the same argument

$$g^\beta n\gamma \left( \sum_{j=1}^p a^\sigma_{s;\alpha_j} \psi_{\alpha_1...\sigma...\alpha_p B_{n-p}} \right)_{;\gamma} = g^\beta n\gamma \sum_{j=1}^p a^\sigma_{s;\alpha_j} \psi_{\alpha_1...\sigma...\alpha_p B_{n-p}}. \quad (A.6)$$

Now $\bar{\partial}^s (L_v \psi') = 0$ follows from (A.4), (A.5) and (A.6). We come to the $\partial^*\psi$-closedness (4.16) of $A_s \cup \psi$. We need to show that

$$\left( A^\alpha_{s,\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} \psi_{\alpha_2...\alpha_p B_{n-p}} \right)_{;\gamma} g^\delta \alpha_p$$

vanishes. Since $\partial^*\psi = 0$ fiberwise, the above equality equals

$$A^\alpha_{s,\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} \psi_{\alpha_2...\alpha_p B_{n-p}} g^\delta \alpha_p.$$

Because of the $\bar{\partial}$-closedness of $A_s$ this equals

$$A^\alpha_{s,\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} \psi_{\alpha_2...\alpha_p B_{n-p}}$$

However,

$$A^\alpha_{s,\bar{\beta}_{n+1}} = A^\alpha_{s,p}$$

whereas $\psi$ is skew-symmetric so that also this contribution vanishes.

Next, we proof (4.17). We have

$$L_{\bar{\gamma}} \psi' = \psi_{;\bar{\gamma}} + a^\beta_{s,\psi;\bar{\beta}} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n a^\beta_{s,j;\bar{\beta}} \psi_{A_p \bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n}$$

Furthermore,

$$\psi_{;\bar{\gamma}} = \psi_{;\gamma} + g_{\gamma\bar{\gamma}} \psi = \psi_{;\gamma} - a_{\bar{\gamma} \gamma} \psi,$$

$$\psi_{;\bar{\beta}} = \psi_{;\bar{\beta}} + g_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\beta}} \psi$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{\alpha_1...\sigma...\alpha_p B_{n-p}} R^\sigma_{\alpha_j \gamma \bar{\beta}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=p+1}^n \psi_{A_p \bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\tau}_n R^\tau_{\bar{\beta}_{j} \gamma \bar{\beta}}}$$

and

$$a^\beta_{s,j;\bar{\beta}} = A^\beta_{s,\gamma;\bar{\beta}_j} - a^\tau_{s} R^\beta_{\tau \gamma \bar{\beta}_j}$$
This gives
\[-(-1)^p (-1)^{n-p-1}\overline{\partial}^* (L_{\bar{\psi}} \psi')\]

\[= \left( A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{j\bar{\beta}} + \sum_{j=p+1}^{n} A_{s\gamma;j\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n} \right) g^{\beta_n \gamma} dz^A_{p+1} \wedge ... \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_{n-1}} \]

Now, because of the fiberwise \(\overline{\partial}\)-closedness of \(\psi\) this equals

\[\sum_{j=p+1}^{n} (A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n})_{j\bar{\beta}} g^{\beta_n \gamma} dz^A_{p+1} \wedge ... \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_{n-1}} \]
\[= (-1)^{n-p-1}(A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_{n-1}\bar{\beta}})_{j\bar{\beta}} g^{\beta_n \gamma} dz^A_{p+1} \wedge ... \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_{n-1}} \]
\[= -(-1)^{n-p-1}\overline{\partial}^* (A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_{n-1}\bar{\beta}} dz^A_{p+1} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}_{n-1}}) \]

Here we used that for \(j \neq n:\)

\[ (A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n})_{j\bar{\beta}} g^{\beta_n \gamma} = (A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} g^{\beta_n \gamma} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n})_{j\bar{\beta}} \]
\[= (A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n})_{j\bar{\beta}} \]
\[= (A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n})_{j\bar{\beta}} \]
\[= -(-1)^{n-p-1}\overline{\partial}^* (A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n})_{j\bar{\beta}} g^{\beta_n \gamma} \]
\[= -(-1)^{n-p-1}\overline{\partial}^* (A_{s\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+1}...\bar{\beta}_n})_{j\bar{\beta}} g^{\beta_n \gamma}, \]

hence only the last summand in the above sum contributes.

Equation (4.18) follows from (4.9). It remains to show (4.19): We have

\[ (A_{s\alpha p+1}^{\delta} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+2}...\bar{\beta}_n})_{;\gamma} = A_{s\alpha p+1;\gamma}^{\delta} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+2}...\bar{\beta}_n} + A_{s\alpha p+1}^{\delta} \psi_{Ap\bar{\beta}_{p+2}...\bar{\beta}_n;\gamma} \]

Now the \(\partial\)-closedness of \(A_{\bar{s}} \cup \psi\) follows from the \(\partial\)-closedness of \(A_{\bar{s}}\) and the \(\partial\)-closedness of \(\psi\). Note that we have to consider the skew-symmetrized coefficients of \(A_{\bar{s}} \cup \psi\). Alternatively, we can write

\[ \partial (A_{\bar{s}} \cup \psi) = \partial A_{\bar{s}} \cup \psi - A_{\bar{s}} \cup \partial \psi = 0, \]

because \(\partial A_{\bar{s}} = 0 = \partial \psi\).
Appendix B. Lie derivatives of line bundle valued forms

The most important technical ingredient for the computation is the notion of a Lie derivative for \((p, q)\)-forms with values in a hermitian line bundle. Thus we take the opportunity to discuss this concept in more detail. We consider more generally a real manifold \(X\) together with a hermitian vector bundle \((E, h)\) over \(X\). Let further \(\nabla\) be a hermitian connection with respect to \(h\) on \(E\). We denote the contraction of a \(E\)-valued form with a vector field \(V\) by \(\delta_V\).

**Definition B.1.** — Let \(V\) be a complex vector field on \(X\) and \(\psi \in \mathcal{A}^k(X, E)\). We define the Lie derivative in the direction of \(V\) by the Cartan formula

\[
L_V(\psi) := (\delta_V \circ \nabla + \nabla \circ \delta_V)(\psi)
\]

We note that this definition extends the usual Lie derivative for tensors of the form \(T^{b_1 \ldots b_s}_{a_1 \ldots a_r}\), which can as well be computed by using covariant differentiation on a Riemannian manifold. Because for the lack of an appropriate reference, we collect some properties for this generalized Lie derivative:

**Proposition B.2.** — Given a section \(s \in \mathcal{A}^0(E)\) and a form \(\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^k(X)\), we have for the Lie derivative of \(\alpha \otimes s \in \mathcal{A}^k(E)\):

\[
L_V(\alpha \otimes s) = (L_V \alpha) \otimes s + \alpha \otimes (L_V s)
\]

**Proof.** — First, we have

\[
\nabla(\alpha \otimes s) = d(\alpha) \otimes s + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge \nabla(s)
\]

and

\[
\delta_V(\alpha \otimes s) = \delta_V(\alpha) \otimes s + (-1)^k \alpha \otimes \delta_V(s) = \delta_V(\alpha) \otimes s.
\]

This gives

\[
L_V(\alpha \otimes s) = (\delta_V \nabla + \nabla \delta_V)(\alpha \otimes s)
\]

\[
= \delta_V(d(\alpha) \otimes s + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge \nabla(s)) + \nabla(\delta_V(\alpha) \otimes s)
\]

\[
= \delta_V(d(\alpha)) \otimes s + (-1)^k \delta_V(\alpha) \wedge \nabla(s) + (-1)^k(-1)^k \alpha \otimes \delta_V(\nabla(s))
\]

\[
+ d(\delta_V(\alpha)) \otimes s + (-1)^{k-1} \delta_V(\alpha) \wedge \nabla(s)
\]

\[
= \delta_V(d(\alpha)) \otimes s + \alpha \otimes \delta_V(\nabla(s)) + d(\delta_V(\alpha)) \otimes s.
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
(L_V \alpha) \otimes s + \alpha \otimes (L_V s) = [\delta_V(d(\alpha)) + d(\delta_V(\alpha))] \otimes s + \alpha \otimes [\delta_V(\nabla(s)) + \nabla(\delta_V(s))]
\]

This proposition allows us to give a local expression for the Lie derivative:
Corollary B.3. — Let $\psi = \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_k} dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\alpha_k}$ be the local expression for a form $\psi \in \mathcal{A}^k(F)$ with respect to local coordinates $x^1, \ldots, x^n$, where $(F, h)$ is a hermitian line bundle together with a hermitian connection $\nabla$. Let $v = v^i (\partial / \partial x^i)$ be a smooth vector field. Then we have in local coordinates

$$L_v(\psi) = (v^\alpha \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_k;\alpha} + v_{\alpha_1}^\alpha \psi_{\alpha_2...\alpha_k} + v_{\alpha_2}^\alpha \psi_{\alpha_1\alpha_3...\alpha_k} + \ldots + v_{\alpha_k}^\alpha \psi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_k-1\alpha}) dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\alpha_k},$$

where the symbol $; \alpha$ means $\nabla_\alpha$ and , stands for an ordinary derivative. The ordinary derivatives can be replaced by covariant derivatives with respect to the Levi-Civita connection if $X$ is a Riemannian manifold.

Note that we have $L_v d = d L_v$ for the Lie derivative of an ordinary form $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^k(X)$, which follows easily from the classical Cartan formula $L_v = \delta_v d + d \delta_v$.

Proposition B.4. — For two vector fields $v, w$ and a $k$-form $\psi \in \mathcal{A}^k(F)$, we have

$$L_v L_w(\psi) - L_w L_v(\psi) = L_{[v,w]}(\psi) + \Omega_{vw} \cdot \psi,$$

where $\Omega$ is the curvature form of $(F, \nabla)$.

Proof. — By the Ricci identity we have the expression

$$L_v L_w(s) - L_w L_v(s) = L_{[v,w]}(s) + \Omega_{vw} \cdot s$$

for sections $s \in \mathcal{A}^0(F)$. By the properties of the ordinary Lie derivative for $k$-forms $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^k(X)$ (see for example [8, p. 140]), we have

$$L_v L_w(\alpha) - L_w L_v(\alpha) = L_{[v,w]}(\alpha).$$

Thus for tensor products $\alpha \otimes s \in \mathcal{A}^k(F)$, we get by using Proposition B.2

$$L_v(\alpha \otimes s) = (L_v \alpha) \otimes s + \alpha \otimes (L_v s),$$

that

$$L_w(L_v(\alpha \otimes s)) = L_w((L_v \alpha)) \otimes s + L_v(\alpha) \otimes L_w(s) + L_w(\alpha) \otimes L_v(s) + \alpha \otimes L_w(L_v(s))$$

and analogously

$$L_v(L_w(\alpha \otimes s)) = L_v((L_w \alpha)) \otimes s + L_w(\alpha) \otimes L_v(s) + L_v(\alpha) \otimes L_w(s) + \alpha \otimes L_v(L_w(s)),$$

Hence we get

$$(L_v L_w - L_w L_v)(\alpha \otimes s) = (L_{[v,w]} \alpha) \otimes s + (L_{[v,w]} - \alpha \otimes \Omega_{vw})(s)$$

$$= L_{[v,w]}(\alpha \otimes s) - \Omega_{vw} \cdot \alpha \otimes s. \quad \Box$$
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Given two sections $\varphi \in A^k(E)$ and $\psi \in A^l(E)$ of the form

$$\varphi = \alpha \otimes s \text{ and } \psi = \beta \otimes t$$

for $\alpha \in A^k, \beta \in A^l$ and differentiable sections $s, t \in \Gamma(X, E)$, we can define a pointwise inner product by

$$h(\varphi, \psi) := (\alpha \wedge \beta) \cdot h(s, t),$$

which is an element of $A^{k+l}$. The main point for the computation is the following

**Proposition B.5.** — $L_V(h(\varphi, \psi)) = h(L_V(\varphi), \psi) + h(\varphi, L_V(\psi))$.

**Proof.** — By writing $\varphi = \alpha \otimes s$ and $\psi = \beta \otimes t$ as well as $\nabla(s) = \alpha' \otimes s'$ and $\nabla(t) = \beta' \otimes t'$ for 1-forms $\alpha', \beta'$ and sections $s', t'$ of $E$, we can check easily

$$d(h(\varphi, \psi)) = h(\nabla(\varphi), \psi) + (-1)^kh(\varphi, \nabla(\psi))$$

and

$$\delta_V(h(\varphi, \psi)) = h(\delta_V(\varphi), \psi) + (-1)^kh(\varphi, \delta_V(\psi)).$$

Because $h(\varphi, \psi)$ is a genuine form on $X$, we have $L_V(h(\varphi, \psi)) = (\delta_V \circ d + d + \delta_V)h(\varphi, \psi)$. We compute

$$(\delta_V \circ d)h(\varphi, \psi) = \delta_V(h(\nabla(\varphi), \psi) + (-1)^kh(\varphi, \nabla(\psi)))$$

$$= h(\delta_V \nabla \varphi, \psi) + (-1)^k h(\varphi, \delta_V \nabla \psi) + h(\delta_V \varphi, \delta_V \psi) + (-1)^k(-1)^k h(\varphi, \delta_V \varphi, \nabla \psi).$$

and

$$(d \circ \delta_V)h(\varphi, \psi) = d(h(\delta_V(\varphi), \psi) + (-1)^kh(\varphi, \delta_V(\psi)))$$

$$= h(\nabla \delta_V \varphi, \psi) + (-1)^{k-1} h(\delta_V \varphi, \delta_V \psi) + (-1)^k h(\varphi, \delta_V \varphi, \delta_V \psi) + (-1)^k(-1)^k h(\varphi, \nabla \delta_V \psi).$$

The summation of both expressions leads to a cancelation of four summands. The remaining sum is $h(L_V(\varphi), \psi) + h(\varphi, L_V(\psi))$ as required. \qed

Because we apply Lie derivatives for hermitian line bundle valued forms on compact complex Kähler manifolds, the statements in this section need to be adapted to the complex case. For example the type decomposition given by (4.3)–(4.6) follows directly from Corollary B.3 by splitting off the complex expressions into its real and imaginary parts. We end this section by giving a few remarks, which relate this concept to curvature computations in related contexts.

**Remark B.6.** — If $\varphi = s$ and $\psi = t$ are just sections of a vector bundle, the Lie derivative is nothing else but the covariant derivative. This is used in [14] in their computation of the curvature. The preceding proposition
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means that the Lie derivative of the metric \( h \) vanishes, which just means that the covariant derivative vanishes.

**Remark B.7.** — We now discuss a result obtained in [13] and [10] resp., which says that in the context of Kähler–Einstein metrics of constant Ricci curvature described (for the negative case) in the introduction, the Lie derivative of the Kähler–Einstein metric in the direction of the horizontal lift vanishes:

\[
L_v (\omega^n_{X,s}) = 0.
\]

Following [10], the proof is briefly written down as:

\[
(L_v (g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}) )_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} = [ \partial_i + a^\gamma_i \partial_{\gamma} \cdot g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} - \partial_i g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} + a^\sigma_{i : \alpha}_{\sigma \bar{\beta}} = g_{i \beta : \alpha} + a_{i \beta : \alpha} = 0.
\]

Here we used the ordinary definition of Lie derivatives. The expression \( \partial_i (g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}) + a^\sigma_{i : \alpha} g_{\sigma \bar{\beta}} \) can be read as a covariant derivative \( g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} ; i \), because

\[
a^\sigma_{i : \alpha} = -(\delta^\beta_{\sigma} g_{i \beta : \alpha}) = -g^\beta_{\sigma} g_{i \beta : \alpha} = -g^\beta_{\sigma} g_{\alpha \bar{\beta} , i} = -\Gamma^\sigma_{i \alpha}
\]

is the Christoffel symbol for the connection on \( (K_{X/s}, g^{-1}) \). This interpretation agrees with our extended concept of a Lie derivative which says that \( L_v (g) = \nabla_v (g) = 0 \), where we read \( g = \det (g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}}) \) as a hermitian metric on the abstract line bundle \( K^{-1}_{X/s} \) (forget about the indices).

**Remark B.8.** — In the computation of the curvature of the Weil–Petersson metric for a family of Kähler–Einstein manifolds, one needs to compute the Lie derivative of

\[
A_i \cdot A_j = A^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta}} A^\beta_{j \bar{\gamma}} g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} g^\bar{\beta} \bar{\gamma}
\]

By taking classical Lie derivatives, this equals

\[
(L_v k A_i )^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta}} = \partial_k (A^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta}} ) + a^\sigma_k A^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta} ; \sigma} - a^\alpha_{k : \sigma} A^\sigma_{i \bar{\beta}}
\]

If we view the elements \( A^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta}} \) as \((0,1)\) forms with values in the (abstract) hermitian vector bundle \( (T_{X_s}, (g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}})) \), we obtain for the Lie derivative

\[
(L_v k A_i )^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta}} = ( \nabla_v k A_i )^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta}} = A^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta} ; k} + a^\sigma_k A^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta} ; \sigma} = A^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta} , k} + \Gamma^\alpha_{k \sigma} A^\sigma_{i \bar{\beta}} + a^\sigma_k A^\alpha_{i \bar{\beta} ; \sigma}
\]

where \( \Gamma^\alpha_{k \sigma} = g^\beta_{\sigma} \partial_k g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} = -a^\alpha_{k : \sigma} \) is the Christoffel symbol for the Chern connection on the hermitian vector bundle \( (T_{X_s}, (g_{\alpha \bar{\beta}})) \). Indeed, both expressions coincide.
Curvature of higher direct images
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