Characterization of Fly Ash and a Protective Coating for Brazilian Thermal Power Plant Boilers
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Thermoelectric power plants that use mineral coal show high wear in heat exchanger due to the action of several damage mechanisms associated with the impact of hard particles from the residue of burnt coal, the ashes. The employment of coatings should be given into consideration particularly for critical components, which are subject to severe erosive conditions is one of the solutions. However, the choice of material will depend on several factors, including the properties of aggressive ashes. This paper aims to characterize ashes generated by a Brazilian coal-based power plant and a FeCrNbNi-based metallic coating obtained by the electric arc spraying process. No trace of sulfur content was found in fly ashes and it was defined that wear is mainly related to the impact and energy of hard particles are the leading causes of degradation in coal-fired boiler equipment. According to the assessment, applied coating showed (5 ± 2)% by volume of pores and cracks, with 1.6% of oxides after the spraying process and hardness 35% greater than ash particles. Preliminary results in field operation suggest that the material showed relatively low wear compared to the original substrate and showed great applicability in controlling material deterioration for this purpose.
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1. Introduction

In Brazil, electricity generation is mainly from hydroelectric power plants, representing 61% of total production. However, thermal units are still necessary as complementary energy. They operate to balance the lack of power supply during dry periods or high energy consumption. Despite recent investments in renewable energy sources, they play an important strategic role, as they represent only 2% of energy production1,2. In thermal units that use coal as a heat source, some mechanisms can lead to surface deterioration and other carbon steel components, reducing their lifespan. As already known, the main deterioration mechanisms in power plant boilers are creep damage, microstructural degradation, erosion by fly-ash and high-temperature fatigue, embrittlement, carburization, hydrogen damage, graphitization, thermal shock, liquid metal embrittlement, and high-temperature corrosion of various types3-5. These mechanisms are associated with long-term exposure to high-temperatures, strain generated by particle’s impact, and corrosive action of combustion products, which can be intensified due to high temperatures5,6. The expected service life of applied materials is 20 years for boilers operating under standard conditions. However, corrosion and various correlations between temperatures and particulate content, causing wear type changes, are the leading causes of unplanned shutdowns in power plants7. The main erosion agents are ash particles and small solid inorganic waste generated by unburned particles in fly ash8,9. One of the proposed solutions for the erosion problem is the use of materials more resistant than the aggressor agent with the use of protective coatings, which prevent unscheduled failures by maintenance10.

The number of water-tube boilers in thermoelectric power plants and related metallurgical situations that cause losses due to wear by particle’s impact promotes research to solve the problem. In addition, environmental impact is minimized through better boiler performance4. The reduction in unscheduled boiler shutdowns will be reflected in lower production costs and lower pollutant emissions11. Coatings can be an alternative to be used to protect these types of equipment and minimize the associated degradation effects12,13. Major commercial thermal spraying process technologies can be broadly grouped into two basic categories: those that use electrical energy and those that use chemical energy14. Thermal spraying consists of a material heating in a molten or superheated state, and these particles are accelerated to the substrate surface by a carrier gas. The arc spraying process has a high deposition rate and a lower operating cost than other similar processes and the possibility of application and maintenance in-field operation. The main mechanism of adhesion and cohesion of coating is the mechanical anchoring of the splats13-16. However, the spraying process has an intrinsic characteristic as the presence of pores and cracks formed in the solidification process of successive semi-molten particles by the raw material used17-19, and other internal defects, such as oxide inclusions and others. Many studies have already evaluated characteristics of coatings obtained by spraying process, including porosity, metallurgical and mechanical aspects11,13,20.
It is known that coatings for coal-fired boilers must meet the maximum of some requirements, mainly to be resistant enough against the attack of ash, which may change its characteristics according to the source materials\textsuperscript{17,18,21-25}.

The requirements for applied boiler coatings are: conductivity and coefficient of thermal expansion comparable to the substrate, high resistance to corrosion and abrasion, low porosity and oxide content as possible (<5%), as well as contamination of coating-substrate interface. The coating must also have a high adhesion strength to the substrate to ensure its use. Considering these requirements, it is known that the presence of porosity, especially interconnected ones, will promote higher corrosion rates and decrease the adhesion strength of the coating\textsuperscript{26,27}.

This work aimed to analyze the ashes generated in burning process of mineral coal used as an energy source in a thermoelectric plant in Brazil and considered the principal agent of erosive wear of pipes and equipment\textsuperscript{28}. Chemical, geometric, microstructural, and mechanical characteristics of ash were included. The characterization of particles determined the choice of a protective coating to be used in pipes and other parts affected by an abrasive attack of ashes. The contribution aims to complement the content available in the bibliography, which correlates properties of these particles with specific characteristics arising from Brazilian mineral coal and the abrasive effect on metallic parts in thermoelectric production. In addition, quantitative stereology applied to quantify coating defects contributes to process improvement and a better choice of materials.

The ashes were collected directly from the attack region, as well as secondary regions from a thermoelectric plant. The mineral coal from southern Brazil has fixed carbon (40%), ashes (41%), volatile matter (18%), sulfur (1%) and humidity (bal.), weight %. In Brazilian coals, it is observed at 800 °C. The choice of arc spraying process was due to the possibility of producing a coating on large surfaces and field operation, which is reflected in relatively low production costs compared to other similar deposition techniques\textsuperscript{6,7,30}. The metallic coating was selected from technical characteristics and mechanical properties of materials resistant to high-temperatures (boiler operating temperatures between 550-800 °C). The choice of arc spraying process was due to the possibility of producing a coating on large surfaces and field operation, which is reflected in relatively low production costs compared to other similar deposition techniques\textsuperscript{6,7,30}. This work also motivates evaluating alternatives alloys to be used for the thermoelectric boiler environment to optimize the cost-benefit ratio of applicable materials, since nickel-based alloys are relatively expensive\textsuperscript{24}.

### 2. Experimental Method

#### 2.1. Coal fly ash

High-resolution images were obtained in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) JEOL JSM 6510-LV operating at 20 kV equipped with an Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) detector. The fly ash particle’s average diameter was obtained through measurements made on images obtained in SEM with AxioVision Software 40V 4.8.2.0, supplied by Carl Zeiss. In this process, 403 individual particles were measured.

A granulometric distribution of fly ashes was analyzed by the sieve method, and particle size fractions, classified according to a Tyler sieve series, between 100 to 635 mesh were obtained. Preliminarily, 100 g of ashes were heated to 110 °C for 60 min by moisture removal. The ash-water system’s pH was determined using an Omega pH meter, model PHH 7000 (50.08 g of ash in 250.07 g of tap water, with initial pH between 7.0 and 7.5).

Quantitative determination of chemical elements was carried out by gravimetry and atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS). An Agilent Technologies model 240FS AA spectrophotometer was used. The particles were still subjected to X-ray diffraction in a Panalytical’s X’Pert Celerator diffractometer operating at 40 kV and 40 mA. The scanning range (2θ) was 10–140°. The measurements were performed with an angular step of 0.016° and an integration time of 20 seconds, considering the PANalytical’s X’Pert HighScore Database.

For microhardness (HV) measurements in individual ashes particles, a mixture of polymeric resin and fly ash particles was used. This composite was subjected to metallographic preparation techniques (sanding and polishing) so that the ash particle’s cross-sections could be exposed for measurements. Twenty-five massive particles of particulate were evaluated using a PANTEC MV-1000A microdurometer, with 0.9807 N load and 15 s application time. The average surface roughness Ra after polishing was 0.7 μm, measured using a Mitutoyo digital roughness tester, model SurfTest - S210.

#### 2.2. Coating preparation

The samples were made of FeCrNbNi-based alloy, thermally sprayed onto a low-carbon steel substrate with chemical composition and thickness similar to the ASTM A-178 grade A, originally used in boilers water wall tube\textsuperscript{31}. The coating was deposited using a TAFRA 8835 arc spraying system, manually operated, as typically worked on-site, with the following parameters: 30 V, 100 A, distance of 100 mm, 5 kg/h of deposition rate, and air pressure of 70 psi as atomizing gas were used. The chemical composition of wire used to obtain the coating is shown in Table 1. Hard phases generating elements such as borides, carbides and oxides as Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, usually are added to coating systems as NiCr and Fe-Cr to provide resistance to erosion\textsuperscript{32}.

The coating thickness layer was approximately 400 μm. The sample’s surface was previously sandblasted with Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, particles with an average diameter between 0.8 to 1.2 mm. This blasting promotes roughness and adequate cleaning of the substrate surface\textsuperscript{33}.

| Element | Cr | Nb | Ni | B | Al | Mn | Si | Fe |
|---------|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|
| wt.%    | 13.2 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | bal. |

Table 1. Chemical composition (wt.% of feedstock wire material.
2.3. Coating characterization

Cross-sectional samples from substrate–coating system were obtained by waterjet cutting and subjected to metallographic preparation by sanding and polishing steps with diamond pastes from 6 to ¼ µm.

Techniques of optical microscopy (OM), SEM, EDS, Digital Image Analysis (DIA) and Vickers microhardness (HV) were used in the polished cross-sections of coating samples. OM images were obtained through a ZEISS optical microscope, model Axio Imager M1m with digital image capture system. High-resolution images and semi-quantitative chemical analysis were made using a JEOL SEM, model JSM - 6510 LV, equipped with an EDS detector at 20 kV. Microhardness measurements were performed on a PANTEC MV-1000A microdurometer, with a load of 0.9807 N and a 15 s application time. Eighteen measurements were made, forming a 6 × 3 grid, covering three coating regions: lower (close to the substrate), intermediate and upper (away from the substrate).

Were processed 33 OM images with 500X magnification of cross-section samples to get porosity features in the coating by DIA. Fiji (Image J) software was used for the extraction of image attributes. After segmentation in binary images to separate the image objects of interest from the background, the defect fraction was calculated by the ratio of dark tones and selected square area.

Figure 1 exemplifies one of images used and its respective histogram (left and above) generated for quantification process. In the image, the principal elements and defects of coatings obtained by arc thermal spraying were also identified: lamellar structure generated by deposition of molten and solidified droplets on the substrate surface, unmelted particles, pores, and cracks.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Coal fly ash results

The ashes were predominantly massive and spherical, a few hollow or irregularly shaped (Figure 2a), in accordance with other studies. The diameters calculated by DIA varied between 5 and 45 µm (68% of analyzed particles). The granulometric distribution obtained by sieving (Figure 2b) revealed that 60% of the material was made up of particles between 60 and 90 µm in diameter. Thus, the result obtained by DIA showed only finer particles of material.

EDS’s semi-quantitative elemental results revealed the presence of silicon, iron, aluminum, potassium, and calcium (Figure 2c). The results of quantitative chemical analysis are in agreement, as they showed the presence essentially of silicon, iron, and aluminum, according to Table 2. In all analyses, not even traces of sulfur were found; this is considered to be strongly responsible for hot corrosion processes in steam boilers. Fuels used contain impurities and often tend to form ash deposits. In particular, vanadium, sulfur, and alkali metals cause corrosion when the metal surface temperature is 580–650 °C. The presence of iron can be associated with a probable oxidation of pyrite and/or related to the segregation of minerals containing this element during a probable pre-treatment of coal.

The pH 9.4 revealed a marked alkalinity. The presence of alkaline-earth oxides like magnesium oxide (MgO) promoted the alkaline character of the ashes. The absence

| Table 2. Elements in ashes (wt.%). Results obtained by gravimetry and atomic absorption spectrophotometry. |
| --- |
| Si | Fe | Al | Ca | Mg |
| 29.4 | 13.6 | 8.8 | 0.68 | 0.46 |

Figure 1. Coating cross-section. The dark tonal regions are pores and cracks. Unmelted particles were also observed.
of sulfur led to the conclusion that the problem of boiler pipe erosion was mainly due to ash impact wear and not sulfur-associated corrosion. Although the deleterious issues related to the presence of sulfur in ashes have been discarded, formations of SO₃ in flue gas and the presence of alkali metal have not been dismissed. Therefore, the choice of the chemical composition of the alloy was considered as a possibility.

Figure 3 exemplifies one of the many results obtained for X-ray diffraction (XRD). Phases of silicon dioxide (SiO₂ – peaks of higher intensities) and calcium aluminate (Ca₂Al₂O₅ – peaks of lower intensity) were identified. All analysis confirms the basic character of ashes and the absence of sulfur. The obtained results were in accordance with the literature, which essentially mentions sulfide’s presence, carbonates, and quartz as the most common elements in mineral coals.

Microhardness measurements were made on various solid particles of ashes. Figure 4 exemplifies a mark generated by Vickers penetrator on a particle embedded in resin. Only hardness values were found in literature for sintered ash briquettes or hard materials such as quartz, alumina, or silicon carbide, whose values differ from individual ash particles’ hardness.

The average microhardness was (825 ± 155) HV. The dispersion was due to internal porosity in some particles, as well as the variety of existing oxides. The value found was close to silica (quartz), with a hardness of about 800 HV.

3.2. Coating characterization

The micrographic aspects obtained from OM revealed the presence of regular layers that follow the substrate profile. This aspect was already expected since the impact of fused particles that solidify on the coated surface generates this aspect, being typical of the arc spraying process. The average coating thickness was (410 ± 36) μm.

The substrate’s surface preparation by blasting may have added some alumina at the substrate–coating interface. This contamination can affect the adhesion performance of the
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coating to the substrate. However, this parameter was not evaluated in this work.

Cracks and pores associated with coatings obtained by arc thermal spraying were also observed by SEM (Figure 6). Among the deposited metallic layers, some oxides were identified, which was expected, due to the oxidation of some elements with greater chemical activity and oxygen affinity in the deposited alloy\(^{45-47}\).

Moreover, the presence of interconnected pores and cracks can reduce corrosion resistance’s effectiveness, especially when this factor promotes the exposure of the substrate to some active electrolyte. In addition, the presence of pores and cracks can mean fewer points of contact and cohesion between layers coating and places for possible nucleation and corrosion processes\(^{41,45,48}\).

The volumetric fraction (Vv) of defects in coating, including cracks and pores by DIA for OM images, was \((5 \pm 2\)%). DIA was also performed for images obtained by EDS mapping to present elements more reactive when presence of oxygen. These regions contained high concentrations of aluminum (Vv = 1.4%) and silicon (Vv = 0.2%) formed between layers or concentrated in points of metallic matrix, as exemplified in Figure 7\(^{49,50}\).

There was a predominance of elongated defects (4%), that is, cracks with a shape factor of less than 0.7\(^{51,52}\). The value obtained for Vv of cracks and pores was within the expected porosity values for arc thermal spraying (between 2% and 14%)\(^{7,53-55}\). The smaller the presence of pores and cracks, the greater the cohesion between layers and the lower the residual stress in the coating\(^{13,48}\).

The chemical composition determined by EDS of sprayed alloy was as indicated by the manufacturer for the wires used (Table 1), where the significant presence of Fe, Cr, Nb, Ni, B, Al, Mn and Si was identified. Figure 8 exemplifies a qualitative microanalysis (spectrum) obtained in one of the several coating regions analyzed by EDS. Chemical reactions, such as metal alloy oxidation during heating, can change chemical compositions and phases of sprayed materials and modify coating’s functions\(^{56}\).

Microhardness measurements showed local variations in this property, most likely due to the presence of contaminating oxides, cracks, pores, and thermal gradients between layers sprayed during the process. Figure 9 shows a mapping of microhardness in the cross-section of the studied coating. According to the literature, hardness for coatings must be 20% higher than erosive particles resulting in a minimized erosion rate\(^{44}\). Although hardness is only one of the many desirable properties to mitigate erosion of water wall panels, it is one of the most important properties for wear protection against particle impact.

Analysis in high hardness coatings requires greater care than conventional ones. Surfaces can be influenced by the preparation, also by hardening generated by material hardenability. The quality and durability of coatings can be improved by parameter optimization of the spraying process, preparation of substrate surface for better adhesion, and automation of the process\(^{27}\). In addition, the melting conditions and structure of coatings by electric arc spraying depending on the pressure of spraying airflow, spraying angle, speed, work distance, and others, which can result

---

**Figure 4.** Cross-section of coal ash particle with Vickers microhardness penetration identification.

**Figure 5.** Coating cross-section on the substrate. OM, 100X. The presence of alumina used for surface preparation could be observed at the coating–substrate interface.

**Figure 6.** Coating cross-section. SEM, 700X. Cracks and pores (regions with more intense shades of gray) are defects typically associated with the spraying process used.
in controlling the amount of the oxide phase and porosity in coatings, and mechanical characteristics like hardness, residual tensile stresses, cohesion and adhesion.

It should be noted the ability of coatings to protect the substrate against wear depends not only on the composition and microstructure of coating but also on its physical and mechanical properties, which relates the choice of starting material, the type and control of processing and energy source used.

4. Conclusions

The ashes particles generated by Brazilian mineral coal used in boilers of thermoelectric plants presented a predominantly spherical morphological aspect with a higher frequency of particles (56 wt.%) for granulometric range between 75 and 90 µm, being essentially a combination of alumina, silica and iron oxide, of an alkaline character in terms of pH. No trace of sulfur was found in the ashes, which was previously considered to be strongly responsible for hot corrosion processes in boilers. The average microhardness was 825 HV0.1, and similar to quartz. This high hardness and absence of sulfur led to the conclusion that the problem of erosion is mainly caused by impact of ashes in different parts of boiler tubes.

In relation to the metallic coating proposed to cover the parts most impacted by fly ash, the volumetric fraction of cracks and pores was (5 ± 2)%. The coating showed 1.4% aluminum oxides and 0.2% silicon oxides dispersed by metallic matrix. These values are within the expected for metallic coatings obtained by arc spraying process. The average microhardness value of the coating was (1,115 ± 130) HV0.1, or 437% higher than the carbon steel substrate used (255 HV0.1).
which was similar to the steel used in the boiler pipes and 35% higher than the fly ashes (825 HV ). Coated samples were placed inside the boiler and returned intact after a one-year test, despite thermophysical differences between the coating and the substrate. As a preliminary result, the technical solution’s effectiveness is being evaluated, added to other tests, such as evaluation of abrasion wear, resistance to adhesion, complementary thermal analysis, and others. This result can lead to a lower wear rate for pipes that are coated on-site, compared to the original substrate used without any protection.

The technique of arc spraying was considered promising to be used in Brazilian thermal power plant boilers, given protection of carbon steel tubes and equipment susceptible to high friction wear and ash impact, and also due to their greater practicality of field application and lower cost as compared with other spraying techniques for application of advanced surface coatings.
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