The antioxidant activity and nitric oxide production of extracts obtained from the leaves of Chenopodium quinoa Willd
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ABSTRACT

Background: Most reports have indicated the antioxidant capacity of quinoa seeds. However, the leaves of Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) are usually worthless and little known about their biological activities. In this study, the antioxidant and immunomodulatory potential of the quinoa leaf extracts were explored.

Methods: The crude leaf extracts of quinoa were extracted using water, 50% ethanol or 95% ethanol as solvent, denoted WQL, 50% EQL and 95% EQL, respectively. The antioxidant activities of quinoa leaf extracts were assessed by the ability of 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) scavenging and iron chelating. The total phenolic content was determined. Inhibition of nitric oxide (NO) production in the lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced murine macrophage RAW 264.7 cells was examined to gauge the anti-inflammatory activity.

Results: The 95% EQL showed a higher level of total phenolic content (569.5 mg GAE/g extract) and better DPPH scavenging activity. The WQL exhibited a better iron chelating capacity (28.9% at 10 mg/ml). The iron chelating activity of the 95% EQL increased in a concentration-dependent manner, which ranged from 10.9% up to 53.9%. The 50% EQL and 95% EQL significantly inhibited NO production in the LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells.

Conclusion: We demonstrate that the extracts of quinoa leaves possess the biological activities of antioxidant and anti-inflammatory. Our finding suggests that the leaf extract of quinoa has potential to be utilized for natural health products.

1. Introduction

A large amount of scientific evidence suggests that oxidative stress is involved in the pathogenesis of numerous human diseases. The natural antioxidants from plant extracts are the subject of increasing scientific interest because of their function in the prevention of disease. Most of the research on the potential effects has been focused on free radical scavenging, metal chelating, anti-inflammation, and anticancer activities [1, 2]. All parts of plants, including their leaves, bark, roots, flowers, fruits, and seeds have been extensively studied for their antioxidant activity. The phenolic compounds are widely available in plants and have been reported as the main contributors to the antioxidative and diverse biological properties [3].

The genus Chenopodium includes more than 200 species and many of these are edible either whole plants or parts of the plant. The widely used of Chenopodium species in traditional medicine have resulted in its phytochemicals, such as flavonoids [4]. Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) is known as a pseudo-cereal and has been found to contain compounds like polyphenols, phytosterols, and flavonoids, all with possible nutraceutical benefits. It is traditionally consumed by the native population of the Andes region [5] and has been recognized as a complete food that is rich in nutrients [6]. With the increasing worldwide attention to its nutritional contents, the quinoa seeds have been reported to exhibit beneficial effects on human health. However, very few studies exist conducted on the biological activities of quinoa leaves. Quinoa leaves till now have been thought of as worthless waste but are actually edible and may serve as a valuable ingredient in functional food. The aim of this study was to evaluate the potential bioactivity of quinoa leaf extracts on antioxidant and anti-inflammation activities. The antioxidant activities of these extracts were determined by using DPPH scavenging and the chelation capacity of ferrous ion. The production of nitric oxide (NO) induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in RAW 264.7 cells was used to assess the anti-inflammatory effects.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and plant materials

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), Folin-Ciocalteu’s reagent, gallic acid, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), ferrozine, sodium carbonate, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). RPMI 1640, fetal bovine serum (FBS), antibiotic antimycotic solution, phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and 3-[4, 5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Gibco (Thermo Fisher Science, Inc.). Ethanol (analytical grade) was purchased from ECHO Chemical Co., Ltd. (Miaoli, Taiwan). Quinoa plants were grown in a temperature-controlled greenhouse. Fully expanded leaves of quinoa were harvested and freeze-dried for 24-h. The dried sample was ground into powder using a kitchen milling machine and stored at -20°C prior to extraction.

2.2. Preparation of crude extracts

The quinoa leaf powder (1 g) was extracted with 50 ml of distilled water, 50% and 95% ethanol for 16-h at room temperature, and the solid-liquid mixture was filtered through Whatman No.2 filter paper (ADVANTEC qualitative filter paper number 2). The resulting supernatant was concentrated under vacuum at 40°C (EYELA rotary evaporator N-1000, Japan) and freeze-dried for 24-h to obtain the crude extracts. The dried water extract was dissolved in PBS, denoted WQL. Dried extracts of quinoa leaves using 50% and 95% ethanol were dissolved in DMSO and named 50% EQL and 95% EQL, respectively. The stock solutions of 100 mg/ml were stored at -20°C prior to determining the antioxidation activity and the inhibition of NO production.

2.3. Determination of total phenolic content

The total phenolic contents of WQL, 50% EQL and 95% EQL were determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu assay [7]. Each diluted extract sample or gallic acid (0.5 ml) was mixed with 2.5 ml of Folin’s reagent (diluted with distilled water 1:10) and 2 ml of 7.5% (w/v) sodium bicarbonate solution. Their absorbance was determined spectrophotometrically at 760 nm using a microplate reader (Epoch 2, BioTek). The results were expressed as milligram gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per gram extract.

2.4. Free radical scavenging assay

The DPPH radical-scavenging activity of all crude extracts of quinoa leaves was determined according to the method of Shimada et al. [8] with slight modifications. The crude extract (50 μL) with varying concentrations (10, 50 and 100 mg/ml) was added to 100 μL of 1 mM DPPH in methanol. This mixture was shaken and allowed to stand for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm using a microplate reader (Epoch 2, BioTek). The percentage inhibition of radicals was calculated as [(Acontrol - Asample) / Acontrol] × 100%, where Acontrol is the absorbance of DPPH solution without extract and Asample is the absorbance of the sample with DPPH solution.

2.5. Iron chelating assay

The chelation of ferrous ions by quinoa leaf extracts was estimated using the method reported by Dinis et al. [9]. Briefly, 25 μL of 2 mM FeCl₂ was added to 0.25 ml of different concentrations of the crude extract (10, 50 and 100 mg/ml) and 0.05 ml of 5 mM ferrozine solution. The mixture was vigorously shaken and allowed to stand at room temperature for 10 min. The absorbance of the solution was thereafter measured at 562 nm and the inhibition percentage of ferrozine-Fe²⁺ complex formation was calculated as the same as the DPPH method described above.

2.6. Cell culture

The murine macrophage RAW 264.7 cell line was a gift from Dr. Yuan-Yen Chang. The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotic antimycotic solution at 37°C in a humidified incubator under 5% CO₂.

2.7. Cell viability assay

Cell viability was determined by the MTT assay. Briefly, cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/well and allowed to adhere for 24-h before treatment. Next, cells were treated with various concentrations of WQL, 50% EQL, and 95% EQL, respectively. After 24-h incubation, MTT was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml and the cells were incubated for 2-h at 37°C. The medium was then removed and 150 ml of DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan precipitate. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader (Epoch 2, BioTek). The cell viability was normalized to the medium control group and expressed as % of control. The non-cytotoxic dose of all crude extracts was determined to be 1-100 μg/ml, and 1, 10 and 100 μg/ml of all crude extracts were used for exposure in the subsequent experiments.

2.8. Inhibition of NO production

Cells in 96-well plates (5 × 10⁴ cells/well) were treated with WQL, 50% EQL, and 95% EQL at a final concentration as described in the previous section. After a 1-h treatment, cells were stimulated with 1 μg/ml of LPS for 24-h. Nitrite content in cell culture media was determined by the Griess reaction. Briefly, 100 μL of the conditioned media with an equal volume of Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide, 0.1% NED and 2.5% H₃PO₄) in a 96-well plate was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The absorbance at 540 nm was determined by a microplate reader (Epoch 2, BioTek). The production of nitrite was calculated from a sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) standard curve.

2.9. Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate and results were expressed as means ± SEM. The statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS version 12.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan’s test. Values were considered significantly different when p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Total phenolic content

The total phenolic content of the crude extract of quinoa leaves is shown in Table 1 and is expressed as milligrams of gallic acid.

---

*Note: The rest of the content is not provided as it seems to be a continuation of the previous sections.*
Table 1 – Total phenolic content of crude extracts of the quinoa leaves.

| Sample       | Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g extract) |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------|
| WQL          | 340.4 ± 26.6 ±                           |
| 50% EQL      | 413.6 ± 72.6 ab                          |
| 95% EQL      | 569.5 ± 69.5 b                           |

WQL = water extract of quinoa leaves; 50% EQL = 50% ethanolic extract of quinoa leaves; 95% EQL = 95% ethanolic extract of quinoa leaves; GAE = gallic acid equivalent. Mean values followed by different superscripts are significantly different (\( p < 0.05 \)).

3.2. DPPH radical scavenging activity

The radical scavenging ability of the crude extracts of quinoa leaves was measured using the DPPH scavenging assay. The results showed that the 50% EQL and 95% EQL were able to scavenge DPPH radicals in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 1). In the concentration of 50 and 100 mg/ml, the 95% EQL exhibited the highest level of DPPH radical scavenging activity, which were 50.7 ± 9.7% and 65.3 ± 4.7%, respectively.

3.3. Iron chelating activity

In the concentration of 10 mg/ml, the WQL showed a significantly higher iron chelating ability (28.9 ± 2.8%) than the 50% EQL and 95% EQL (Fig. 2). The level of iron chelating ability in the 95% EQL was observed in a concentration-dependent manner. The WQL and 50% EQL showed the highest iron chelating activity at 50 mg/ml than their counterparts at 10 mg/ml and 100 mg/ml.

3.4. Cell viability

The effects of pretreated with different concentrations (1, 10, 100 μg/ml) of WQL, 50% EQL, and 95% EQL for 1-h and then exposed simultaneously to 1 μg/ml LPS for 24-h, the cell viability was determined by MTT assay. Our result showed that no significant cytotoxicity on the RAW 264.7 cells was observed even up to 100 μg/ml of all crude extracts was treated.

3.5. Inhibition of NO production in RAW 264.7 cells

Pretreatment with the WQL, 50% EQL and 95% EQL at indicated concentrations (1, 10 and 100 μg/ml) for 1-h, the inhibition of the LPS-induced NO production ranged from 10.2% to 38.1% (Fig. 4). The WQL showed significantly higher NO inhibition at the concentration of 100 μg/ml as compared to its counterparts at 1 and 10 μg/ml, respectively. In a comparison of the three different extracts at 1 and 10 μg/ml, the 50% EQL and 95% EQL showed significantly higher inhibition of NO production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells than WQL.

4. Discussion

The antioxidant potential of plant extracts has been widely tested by using various assays in vitro. The radical-scavenging assay and chelate transition metals have gained acceptance among researchers for their capacity to rapidly screen materials of inter-
formation of ferrozine-Fe²⁺ complex. It has been known that iron is a powerful initiator for free radical oxidation and serves as a reagent for the Fenton reaction and lipid peroxidation that are the cause of many diseases, such as cancer [10]. In this study, the DPPH scavenging activity showed a correlation with the total phenolic content in the crude extracts, something that has been similarly reported by previous researches [1, 11]. Similar results have been reported by Ajayi et al. in aqueous leaf extracts of Chenopodium opulifolium leaves [12]. These indicate that phenolic compounds in the leaf extracts may be responsible for the antioxidant potential of Chenopodium species. Phenolics are powerful antioxidants and can delay or inhibit oxidative processes by chelating transition metals that play vital roles in the initiation of deleterious free radical reactions [13].

The results of the present study demonstrated that the crude leaf extracts of quinoa exhibited chelating activity by reducing the formation of ferrozine-Fe²⁺ complex. It has been known that iron was the determining factor for the initiation of lipid peroxidation [14]. Gawlik-Dzikzi et al. have observed that the 50% ethanolic extract from quinoa leaves prevented lipid oxidation which might be due to its ferrous ion chelating activity. Besides, the ethanolic extract of quinoa leaves showed significant inhibition of proliferation in prostate cancer cells (MAT-LyLu and AT-2) [15]. The quinoa leaf extracts used in our study acted as effective ferrous ion chelator which may consequently reduce the oxidative stress. Interestingly, the WQL showed a higher Fe²⁺ chelating potential in spite of its low DPPH activity. This observation suggests that the use of different methods is necessary to measure the antioxidant potentials of plant extracts.

Under normal physiological conditions, NO acts as a necessary component in the regulation of various physiological functions such as blood pressure, immune response, and neural communication [16]. However, overproduction of NO can induce tissue damage and is associated with inflammatory diseases including atherosclerosis and hypertension [17, 18]. Therefore, researchers have paid more attention to discovering natural antioxidants that may act as potent inhibitors of NO production in relation to the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases [17]. Bacterial LPS are known to be activators of murine macrophage RAW 264.7 cells, which are a suitable model for evaluating the potential inhibition of NO production. In this study, the 50% EQL and 95% EQL were found to evidence an inhibitory activity against NO production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. These data are related to the higher level of total phenolic content in the ethanolic extracts when compared to the water extract. The phenolic compounds have long been considered to have the potential to inhibit NO and peroxynitrite production [19], indicating that the presence of antioxidant molecules in all the crude quinoa leaf extracts is responsible for their inhibitory effect. Previous epidemiological studies have indicated an inverse relationship between the consumption of antioxidant-rich food and the reduction of risk factors of some human diseases [20]. In addition, the secondary metabolite of plants has also been reported to act as excellent anti-inflammatory agent in oxidative stress and inflammation [21].

5. Conclusion

The results of the present study indicated that the 95% EQL contained the highest amount of total phenolic content and provided the greatest DPPH scavenging activity among all extracts, as well as inhibited NO production in LPS-induced RAW 264.7 cells. Our results suggest that quinoa leaves are a valuable bioactive natural product for dietary supplementation. Further studies in vivo are required to confirm these findings.
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