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The presence of gaseous hydrocarbons (from methane to n-pentane) in the seabed sediments and the bubbling of methane may suggest the presence of gas accumulations in the substrate of the NW Weddell Sea, Antarctica. The release of methane from the frozen ocean substrate adjacent to Seymour Island would be linked to climate instability during Late Cenozoic, when vast areas of the Antarctic continental shelf were flooded during the marine transgression that occurred c. 18,000 years ago, after the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). As the ice melted, the sea again occupied the regions which it had abandoned. As the transgression was relatively rapid, the sub-air relief was not destroyed but was submerged and the ground had frozen (permafrost) along with it. Thus, the heat flow from the sea to the marine substrate, now flooded, would have destabilized frozen gas accumulations, which were originally formed into terrestrial permafrost during the LGM, similarly to what would have happened in the Arctic.

1. Introduction

Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere are called greenhouse gases, and methane is one of them. Methane emissions cause c. 25% of the current global warming. While methane does not linger as long in the atmosphere as carbon dioxide, it is initially far more devastating to the climate because of how effectively it absorbs heat. In the first two decades after its release, methane is 84 times more powerful than carbon dioxide [1].

1.1. Objectives. This paper is aimed at briefly presenting and discussing the occurrence of methane gas in Antarctica under two facts: (I) the concentrations of methane (C1) together with other alkane aliphatic hydrocarbons of single bonds, from ethane (C2) to pentane (C5), found in seabed sediments off the northern coast of Seymour Island (Isla Marambio) and the NW extreme of the Weddell Sea, Antarctica (Figure 1), and (II) the natural bubbling of methane observed off the Seymour Island coast (Bouchard Zone, Figure 1; see the supplemental video in Supplementary Material available online at https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5952916).

1.2. Working Area. The research was conducted in two marine sectors of Antarctica with different characteristics: one of shallow waters, located in Admiralty Sound (Estrecho Bouchard), off the northern coast of Seymour Island, and the other characterized by deeper waters located offshore at about 70 km to the northeast of the island (Figure 1).

The area named “Bouchard zone” extends about 100 km² and the area called “Weddell zone” about 3,000 km². Field activities were developed during austral summers of 1994, 1995, 1997, 1998, 2011, and 2015, when the region was largely covered by sea ice and icebergs, which hampered systematic sampling in both sectors. For this reason, the distribution of samples at seabed became completely irregular (Figure 1) and the density of samples actually is quite low.

Alkane aliphatic hydrocarbons of single bonds were analyzed at Bouchard and Weddell zones, 88 and 54 samples, respectively (Table 1), spread over 100 km² and 3,000 km², resulting in meager 0.88 samples/km² and 0.018 samples/km², respectively.

1.3. Geological Frame. The working area (Figure 1) is located within an Antarctic site of sedimentary accumulation, James
Table 1: Summary of analyses of C1 to C5 of sediment samples from the Antarctic seabed.

(a) Bouchard zone (Figure 1)

| Number of samples | C1 (ppm) | C2 (ppm) | C3 (ppm) | C4 (ppm) | n-C4 (ppm) | C5 (ppm) | n-C5 (ppm) |
|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 88                | 9,995.63 | 49.25    | 36.71    | 11       | 6.4       | 2.32     | 0.28      |
| Minimum           | 115.82   | 0.03     | 4.81     | 0.17     | 2.77      | 0.07     | 0.06      |
| Average           | 423.31   | 21.14    | 10.49    | 4        | 2.77      | 0.66     | 0.25      |

\[^1\] R Maximum = 41 Minimum = 2 Average R = c. 23 
\[^2\] % C1 Maximum = 99.89% Minimum = 63.44% Average % C1 = c. 89%

(b) Weddell zone (Figure 1)

| Number of samples | C1 (ppm) | C2 (ppm) | C3 (ppm) | C4 (ppm) | n-C4 (ppm) | C5 (ppm) | n-C5 (ppm) |
|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 54                | 1,753.87 | 86.65    | 64.06    | 22.75    | 19.28     | 14.06    | 4.91      |
| Minimum           | 65.27    | 4.72     | 5.28     | 1.70     | 0.37      | 0.15     | 0.03      |
| Average           | 369.60   | 32.40    | 26.40    | 7.55     | 6.49      | 2.90     | 1.66      |

\[^1\] R Maximum = 24 Minimum = 2 Average R = c. 7 
\[^2\] % C1 Maximum = 95.15% Minimum = 70% Average % C1 = c. 82%

\[^1\] R = C1/C2 + C3; \[^2\] % C1 = C1 * 100/C1 + C2 + C3 + C4 + C5.

Figure 1: Working areas at the NW Weddell Sea, Antarctica. Small triangles (red triangle) indicate sampling places at Bouchard and Weddell zones. Bouchard zone is enlarged due to the small scale of the map, while Weddell zone is in the right scale.
Ross Basin [2], which is a “subbasin” comprising the northern part of a larger basin named Larsen Basin [3] that was developed behind a volcanic arc (back-arc basin) during most of the Mesozoic and Cenozoic periods. Within this basin, over 6,000 meters of thick clastic sediments, mostly of volcanic origin, were deposited.

Cretaceous and Paleogene sediments, which belong to Marambio and Seymour stratigraphic groups, respectively, crop out at Seymour Island. These sediments correspond to the so-called “shallow play zone” described by Macdonald et al. [4]. He inferred, by indirect geophysical methods (i.e., seismic reflection profiles), that here shallow hydrocarbon reservoirs would potentially be small and contain mainly gas, although the quality of these reservoirs would be higher than that at other deeper levels.

Basaltic rocks of the Miocene-Recent James Ross Island Volcanic Group (JRIVG, [5]) crop out at most of the neighboring islands of James Ross Island Group.

The permafrost layer reaches a thickness of c. 250 m at Seymour Island [6]. Fukuda et al. [7] defined, at this place, three levels of marine/glacimarine terraces: (1) La Meseta, (2) Sub-Meseta, and (3) Larsen Terrace. The former is the lowest, with 30–35 m asl and an age of 2,910 ± 120 years BP, and was obtained in a layer of algae from the bottom of the terrace at c. 3 m asl.

According to Sloan et al. [8], two seismostratigraphic units would be present at the deep marine substrate of Weddell zone (Figure 1): (1) the Unit “U3” (reflections dipping 3–5° E-SE, Cretaceous-Oligocene) and (2) the Unit “U4” (chaotic reflections, Jurassic vulcanites?). Samples from the deeper seabed (289–556 m below sea level) analyzed in this study were obtained in the domain of these two units of the substrate, inferred by high resolution seismic reflection surveys.

The study area, from a structural point of view, is placed to the north of a major counterclockwise strike-slip fault zone, located c. 25–35 km SE of the eastern coast of Snow Hill and Seymour islands [8, 9]. This fracture zone is parallel to the eastern edge of Antarctic Peninsula and its origin is assigned to tectonic movements which probably occurred during the Oligocene [8]. Due to its relatively old age, this structure would have no influence in the present study.

1.4. Materials and Methods. The morphology of seabed at Bouchard zone was carried out using a portable side-scan sonar EdgeTech Discover 4150, and profiles of the substrate up to c. 210 m depth below seabed were obtained with a portable subbottom profiler EdgeTech Discover SB-3200-XS. Both instruments were towed and operated using small rubber boats (Zodiac Mark III), while the sampling at Weddell zone was done onboard the Icebreaker Irizar.

Sediment samples were obtained with snapper and gravity and piston corer Benthic of 10/20 kg and packaged in sealed containers. Benzalkonium chloride 2% was added to inhibit bacterial action and forwarded to the laboratory [10], where all the sediment samples were analyzed for their contents in gaseous alkanes: methane (C1), ethane (C2), propane (C3), butane (C4), and pentane (C5).

Additionally, samples of gas exhalations, found at Bouchard zone, were obtained with gas trap; they were packaged in sealed containers of 4 liters’ capacity and sent to laboratory in order to be analyzed for their contents in methane, H2S, and CO2.

2. Results

Sediment samples from the seabed in the surroundings of the NE Antarctic Peninsula (Figure 1) contain small amounts of methane (CH4), besides other aliphatic hydrocarbons of simple bond and ice. Most of the samples analyzed in this paper (Table 1) are fine grained and generally muddy (silty clay) and exhibit dark grey colour, except those obtained at shallow areas next to the coast (Bouchard zone, Figure 1), where they include sandy fractions and show lighter shades. Also, some samples from deeper water (c. 300/450 m) in Weddell zone (Figure 1) show high contents of sand and gravel materials. The latter are composed of fragments of basalts identified to belong to James Ross Island Volcanic Group (JRIVG) and plutonic rocks (mainly granites), all of them were probably transported by icebergs (dropstones).

At Bouchard zone, 88 samples from the seabed, obtained at depths between 0.5 m and 37 m, were analyzed (Table 1, Figures 2 and 11). The C1 (CH4) content ranges between 115 ppm and 9,995 ppm, with 423 ppm in average (Table 1, Figure 2). Methane (C1) is the dominant gaseous alkane hydrocarbon in all the samples (Figure 3). Four samples show high values of methane ranging between 513.89 ppm and 9995.93 ppm and they are considered as strongly positive anomalies (Figure 2). Also, in all samples, small amounts of more complex hydrocarbons from ethane (C2) to pentane (C5) (Table 1) were detected.

The hydrocarbons ratio \( R = \frac{C1}{C2 + C3} \) exhibits values ranging between 2 and 41, whereas the percentage of C1 to total gaseous hydrocarbons (%) \( C1 = \frac{100}{C1 + C2 + C3 + C4 + C5} \) fluctuates between 63.44% and 99.89% (Table 1, Figure 3).

Many gas emanations (bubbling) were detected at Bouchard zone, where the composition of a total of six (6) gas samples yielded c. 160,000 ppm as an average of CH4, with traces of H2S and CO2 (Table 2). These gas samples were collected in shallow waters ranging 10–15 m in depth, mainly during low tides, when gas emissions occur more frequently, suggesting that free gas might accumulate in shallow submarine reservoirs during high tides and escape as water pressure decreases below certain level.

The supplemental video shows the coastal environment during low tide in the vicinity of Seymour Island (at Bouchard zone, Figure 1), located at the NW extreme of the Weddell Sea. Images of various natural bubbling of methane are also shown. The water depth was about 1.5 m and the water temperature was –1.6°C. The images were obtained during the Antarctic summer campaign of the year 2012. The density of the methane leaks was estimated at approximately 1 methane vent every 20 square meters.

At Weddell zone (Figure 1), 54 sediment samples, obtained at water depths of 289–556 m, were analyzed (Table 1, Figures 4, 5, and 12). Methane (C1) content ranging...
Table 2: Analyses of gas emanations (bubbling) at Bouchard zone (Figure 1).

| Samples | Depth      | C1 (ppm) | Analyzed gases | SH₂ | CO₂ |
|---------|------------|----------|----------------|-----|-----|
| 1       | 10–15 m    | 165,240  | Traces         | Traces | Traces |
| 2       | 10–15 m    | 169,263  | Traces         | Traces | Traces |
| 3       | 10–15 m    | 167,806  | Traces         | Traces | Traces |
| 4       | 10–15 m    | 166,570  | Traces         | Traces | Traces |
| 5       | 10–15 m    | 158,172  | Traces         | Traces | Traces |
| 6       | 10–15 m    | 156,808  | Traces         | Traces | Traces |

Figure 2: Distribution of methane (CH₄) detected in samples of seabed sediments along Bouchard zone (Figure 1). Samples 7, 54, 25, and 28 are considered as strongly positive anomalies; they contain the maximum values of methane: 9,995 ppm, 1,753 ppm, 858 ppm, and 837 ppm, respectively.

Figure 3: Percentage of methane (CH₄) related to total hydrocarbons content (% HD = C₁ * 100/C₁ + C₂ + C₃ + C₄ + C₅) detected in all analyzed samples along Bouchard zone (Figure 1). C₁: methane, C₂: ethane, C₃: propane, C₄: butane, n-C₄: n-butane, C₅: pentane, and n-C₅: n-pentane.

with high values (i.e., Sample 20: 1,753.87 ppm) are considered as strongly positive anomalies. Small amounts of other alkane hydrocarbons, from ethane (C₂) to pentane (C₅), were also detected (Table 1, Figure 5). Values of R vary between 2 and 24, while the values of C₁ vary between 70% and 95% (Figure 5). No gas vents (flares) were observed along this sector.

Reflectors compatible with lenses and discontinuous bands, next to the sea floor, were detected by geophysical surveys (see “Section 1.4, Materials and Methods”) in the substrate of Admiralty Sound, Antarctica (Bouchard zone) (Figures 6, 7(a), 7(b), and 8). Instrumental limitations in the survey only allowed for recognizing reflectors into the substrate up to a maximum of 210 m depth below the seabed (Figure 8), but it is estimated that the presence of such reflectors still continues below this range. In this area, the maximum content of CH₄ was obtained (Sample 7: 9,995.93 ppm, Table 1, Figure 2) from all samples analyzed in this paper.

The distribution of the reflectors detected in the substrate at Bouchard zone is heterogeneous and apparently random (Figures 6 and 8). The horizontal trace of the geophysical surveys was fragmentary, quite irregular, and discontinuous due to the obstacle presented by sea ice and icebergs.

Images of the seabed, obtained with side-scan sonar in shallow waters of Bouchard zone, show a dense network of tracks and drags caused by the intense traffic of icebergs.
Figure 4: Distribution of methane (CH\textsubscript{4}) detected in seabed sediments along Weddell zone (Figure 1). Samples 20, 49, 1, and 8 are considered as strongly positive anomalies; they contain the maximum values of methane: 1,753 ppm, 717 ppm, 709 ppm, and 592 ppm, respectively.

Figure 5: Percentage of methane (CH\textsubscript{4}) related to total hydrocarbons content (% HD = C\textsubscript{1} \times 100/(C\textsubscript{1} + C\textsubscript{2} + C\textsubscript{3} + C\textsubscript{4} + C\textsubscript{5})) detected in all analyzed samples along Weddell zone (Figure 1). C\textsubscript{1}: methane, C\textsubscript{2}: ethane, C\textsubscript{3}: propane, C\textsubscript{4}: butane, n-C\textsubscript{4}: n-butane, C\textsubscript{5}: pentane, and n-C\textsubscript{5}: n-pentane.

3. Discussion

Methane (C\textsubscript{1}) predominates widely in sediments of the Antarctic seabed, analyzed in this paper. The average content of C\textsubscript{1} to total gaseous hydrocarbons (C\textsubscript{1} + C\textsubscript{2} + C\textsubscript{3} + C\textsubscript{4} + C\textsubscript{5}) in Bouchard and Weddell zones is c. 89% and c. 82%, respectively, indicating a certain abundance of more complex hydrocarbons, predominantly ethane (C\textsubscript{2}) and propane (C\textsubscript{3}) at both zones. This is also reflected in the relatively low values of R (ratio of [C\textsubscript{1}]/[C\textsubscript{2} + [C\textsubscript{3}]) which is 2–41 (average value equals 23) at Bouchard zone and 2–24 (average value equals 7) at Weddell zone (Table 1).

Although there is not a definite design in the distribution of methane concentrations, at Bouchard zone, a gradual increase of methane content occurs as sampling stations migrate northwards from the coast of Seymour Island (Figure 2).

At Bouchard zone, there is no relationship between methane content and sea depth (Figures 11 and 12). Methane concentrations are lower near the coasts of Seymour Island, where sandy sediments predominate, while they are higher in seabed sediments rich in mud, generally away from this coast. This situation is also reflected in Fossil Bay ("Bahía Fósil") (Figures 1 and 2), where muddy clay facies predominate and gas concentrations are relatively high. In the proximities of...
Seymour Island, low methane concentrations are probably due to faster gas escapes from sandy sediments, which prevail there. This fact contrasts with the highest content of gaseous hydrocarbons in the muddy facies far from the island. In Weddell zone (Figures 1 and 4), the relationship between higher contents of gaseous hydrocarbons and muddy facies is also manifested, while coarse-grained facies (sand and gravel) are poorer in these gases.

According to Mienert et al. [11], in shallow water, methane is transferred to the water column from the sea bottom and may be incorporated into the atmosphere. This situation coincides with observations done between years 1994 and 2015 in shallow waters at Bouchard zone where numerous gas vents occur, reaching the atmosphere and being predominantly methane (c. 160,000 ppm, c. 16%) and traces of CO$_2$ and H$_2$S (Table 2). These gas vents and the presence of mainly methane gas in seafloor sediments are compatible with the speculation of Macdonald et al. [4] about the existence of gas reservoirs in the substrate of the “shallow play zone” of Larsen Basin (James Ross Basin) (see “Section 1.3, Geological Frame”).

Another research directly related to this paper, which supports the existence of a frozen substrate or at least the presence of significant amounts of ice, was conducted by Silva Busso et al. [12]. They detected by geoelectric methods (i.e., 1D and 2D vertical electrical sounding: resistive electrical tomography) the presence of criopeg sectors (interbedded fresh and salty ice) and sectors with relict and discontinuous permafrost in the coastal and intertidal substrate at the L´opez de Bertodano Bay, Seymour Island (Bouchard zone, Figure 1). Here, the tidal range was c. 2 m, reaching c. 3 m during tides of syzygy. Sands and silts with high to moderate ice content were found in the substrate up to a depth of c. –85 m bsl (maximum range of the instruments). The maximum ice content was found between –65 and –85 m bsl.

The abundant presence of ice within the substrate provides a possible mechanism to explain natural bubbling methane observed in the area (Figure 1, Bouchard zone). Methane would be contained in underground reservoirs at relatively shallow depths (c. 100–300 m bsl), which remain stable while the ice box remains frozen, being released when certain conditions vary, mainly increase in temperature.
4. Conclusions

The presence of gaseous hydrocarbons (from C1 to C5) in seabed sediments (Table 1) and the bubbling of methane (Table 2) suggest the presence of gas accumulations in the substrate of the marine areas investigated in this study. It is in part compatible with the ideas supported by Macdonald et al. [4], who inferred that in this area shallow hydrocarbon reservoirs would contain potentially mainly gas.

The release of methane from the frozen ocean substrate, adjacent to Seymour Island, would be linked to climate instability during Late Cenozoic, when vast areas of the Antarctic continental shelf were flooded during a marine transgression which occurred c. 18,000 years ago, after the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). Thus, the heat flow from the sea to the marine substrate, now flooded, would have destabilized frozen gas accumulations, which were originally formed into terrestrial permafrost during the LGM, a similar process that occurred in the Arctic [13].

Methane vents bubbling from seafloor were observed off shore some Antarctic islands coasts located at the NW extreme of Weddell Sea (i.e., Seymour Island). Methane emanations (bubbles) were more strong and abundant mainly during low tides, when gas emanations occurred more frequently, suggesting that free gas might accumulate in some shallow submarine reservoirs during high tides, venting as water pressure decreases below certain level.

Additionally, the extraordinary rapid climate warming which is occurring in the northern tip of the Antarctic Peninsula [14–18] would have helped to accelerate the destabilization and melting of the frozen gas contained into the shallow marine substrate of the area. The consequences of this warming can largely be seen in the reduction of land ice along West Antarctica and the ice shelves destruction in the surrounding seas (e.g., [19, 20]). Recent temperatures are extremely high, setting a new record of +16.5°C in March 2015 (Meteorological Station at Esperanza Base, Argentinean Antarctic station), this is an event without precedent during the Holocene [14].
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