Brain training in progress: a review of trainability in healthy seniors

Jessika I. V. Buitenweg1*, Jaap M. J. Murre1 and K. Richard Ridderinkhof1,2

1 Department of Psychology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
2 Cognitive Science Center Amsterdam, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

The cognitive deterioration associated with aging is accompanied by structural alterations and loss of functionality of the frontostriatal dopamine system. The question arises how such deleterious cognitive effects could be countered. Brain training, currently highly popular among young and old alike, promises that users will improve on certain neurocognitive skills, and this has indeed been confirmed in a number of studies. Based on these results, it seems reasonable to expect beneficial effects of brain training in the elderly as well. A selective review of the existing literature suggests, however, that the results are neither robust nor consistent, and that transfer and sustained effects thus far appear limited. Based on this review, we argue for a series of elements that hold potential for progress in successful types of brain training: (1) including flexibility and novelty as features of the training, (2) focusing on a number of promising, yet largely unexplored domains, such as decision-making and memory strategy training, and (3) tailoring the training adaptively to the level and progress of the individual. We also emphasize the need for covariance-based MRI methods in linking structural and functional changes in the aging brain to individual differences in neurocognitive efficiency and trainability in order to further uncover the underlying mechanisms.
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INTRODUCTION

Given the continuously growing number of elderly and their increasing longevity expectation, there is a pressing need to prolong independent functioning and to sustain quality of life by delaying the effects of cognitive decline. Human aging is typically associated with a deterioration of cognitive functioning, which is seen in multiple domains, including memory, decision-making, and cognitive control (Fisk and Sharp, 2004; Luo and Craik, 2008; Brown and Ridderinkhof, 2009). Decline is associated with shrinkage of prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and basal ganglia (Raz et al., 2005) and alterations in their structural connectivity (O'Sullivan et al., 2001; Madden et al., 2009) along with a decrease in synthesis and binding of dopamine, serotonin and acetylcholine (Wang et al., 1995, 1998; Volkow et al., 1998; Bäckman et al., 2006; Schliebs and Arendt, 2010). Together, these structural changes cause neuromodulator levels to drop, affecting important functional pathways, principally in striatal and frontostriatal areas (Bäckman et al., 2006).

A number of interventions have been suggested to slow down this decline. Offering a motivational incentive has been demonstrated to have beneficial effects on cognitive performance (Harsay et al., 2010), and individual differences in this benefit were related to several frontostriatal white matter pathways (Harsay et al., 2011). Aerobic exercise has also been shown to aid in maintaining cognitive health by reducing age-related loss and adding to volume of grey and white matter in frontal and temporal cortices (Colcombe et al., 2003, 2006). Recent DTI studies suggest a relation between exercise and increased fractional anisotropy (FA) in white matter tracts (Marks et al., 2007; Voss et al., 2010). Another set of interventions concerns mental stimulation, collectively known as brain training: activities intended to challenge cognitive abilities and induce learning. Unfortunately, the many different brain training studies employ a range of varying methods and definitions, participants are not consistently subjected to tests of transfer and long-term retention, and evidence pointing to the trainings’ effectivity is inconsistent. These limitations notwithstanding, brain training is practiced by elderly on a large scale.

An important concept in the realm of cognitive training is that of transfer, the degree to which the learned skill is displayed in a different context, with near and far transfer referring to generalization of training effects to domains proximal to or more distant from the trained skill, respectively. Recent reviews of the current brain training literature on this topic conclude that training programs generally fail to display fundamental transfer, with the exception of process-based cognitive control tasks (Lustig et al., 2009; Noack et al., 2009; Papp et al., 2009). They comment on the limited methodology and arbitrary assignment of transfer tasks as either near or far, which make it difficult to draw conclusions on transferability. Furthermore, many studies do not make use of active controls, thus limiting the generalizability of results. Noack et al. (2009) also note that, given the fact that training programs mostly consist of no more than a few sessions of training, the transfer found in these cases is unlikely to be mediated by neural plasticity. In working-memory training, transfer effects are also seen to be small or nonexistent (Dahlin et al., 2009), although
long-term sustained gains are reported at least for the task trained. Concerning memory, Hertzog et al. (2008) proposed that interventions should engage multiple mechanisms closely related to executive control- and other functions used by elderly on various settings in daily life.

Although a good number of articles have been written reviewing some important domains in brain training literature, we feel the need to add to the current literature by drawing attention to a number of largely unexplored perspectives, in addition to emphasizing a few promising components that may make up an effective intervention. Given the current state of brain training research in elderly, the negative overall outlook notwithstanding, a number of aspects may potentially contribute to success of future studies, which motivates our discussion of these issues in the current article. First, much attention has been paid to interventions involving cognitive control, some of which (in particular those involving cognitive flexibility) seem very promising. A systematic analysis could therefore be useful in generating an overview of the types of tasks that result in meaningful transfer and long-term retention. Second, several avenues that might well prove to be effective have yet been largely ignored in brain training research. These include decision-making and -learning, which are affected by old age and could potentially benefit from training; novelty, which prepares the neuronal system for learning and could enhance ensuing synaptic plasticity; and memory strategy training, which could transcend the memory domain and lead to far transfer. Finally, and importantly, we believe future studies can profit from a stronger emphasis on inter-individual differences in trainability. The current literature largely fails to take such individual differences and their underlying determinants into consideration. Given the massive individual differences in performance and the rate of neurocognitive decline among the elderly population, future studies thus may benefit from incorporating individual fine-tuning and adaptation into the training programs, in particular from focusing on who does and who does not benefit from a given training program, and from using neuroimaging to connect inter-individual differences in performance to perceivable differences in brain structure as well as functional connectivity and/or activation.

We will first review the current evidence on training of executive functions, arguing that persistent training of cognitive control functions can, under certain conditions, enhance performance and lead to near and far transfer. We then focus on some additional perspectives which have not yet or only modestly been implemented as an intervention, but seem to hold promise in enhancing functioning. Finally, we address the importance of recognizing the inter-individual differences in brain and behavior between elderly and its impact on cognitive training possibilities.

TRAINING EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS

Executive functioning concerns the regulation and control of goal-directed actions. Due to the large functional dependence on prefrontal cortex and basal ganglia (Ridderinkhof et al., 2004, 2011), functions of executive control are especially prone to decline in old age (Treitz et al., 2007). It is thus reasonable to assume that training of executive functions can benefit elderly in daily life performance. This might pertain especially to tasks engaging cognitive flexibility (Buchler et al., 2008; Karbach and Kray, 2009), in other words, tasks that strengthen the general ability to adapt one’s responses to the demand of the current situation and stimulate creative, novel thought. For this reason we focus strongly on executive functioning training, notably those domains that hold greatest promise in inducing flexibility. The executive functions are often divided, according to a widely adopted classification model based on latent-factor analysis by Miyake and colleagues (2000), into three separate domains of functioning: shifting, updating, and inhibition, which we will follow here.

SHIFTING

Shifting involves the flexibility to switch one’s attention and one’s actions between relevant tasks or subtasks, thus also dealing with interference. This is often symbolized by task switching and by multitasking. In task switching a switch is made between different aspects or properties of a stimulus, different task rules, or different effectors, frequently relying on retrieval from working memory. Multitasking (dual-tasking) requires subjects to perform several tasks concurrently, putting a strain on information processing resources.

Task switching

Studies of cognitive switching commonly report a decline in older age (Wecker et al., 2005), although there is also some evidence to the contrary (Logie et al., 2004; Della Sala et al., 2010). Age effects have been seen to diminish after extensive training on a switching task (Kramer et al., 1999), even when requiring switching between four different tasks (Buchler et al., 2008). Kray and Lindenberger (2000) differentiate between mixing and switch costs. Switch costs refer to increased latencies and error rates on switch trials compared to non-switch trials. Mixing costs are slower or more error-prone responses occurring when performing non-switch trials in the context of a switch task compared to the context of a single task. Though both types of costs can be reduced by training, mixing costs are suggested to be more compromised by aging than switch costs (Kray and Lindenberger, 2000; Kray et al., 2008), implying that aging especially affects the ability to keep multiple sets in working memory rather than making the shift itself. At the same time, mixing costs are also most sensitive to improvement (Strobach et al., 2011). Kray et al. (2008) found that when subjects verbalized their task cues before shifting to a different task, a reduction of mixing costs was seen especially in older adults compared to younger adults, whereas switch costs did not benefit from verbalizations.

Not only does training in task switching demonstrate enhancement on the task itself, recent studies also show the possibility of near and far transfer. Elderly who have grown up as bilinguals, thus constantly needing to switch between the two languages during their lifetime, are found to have an advantage in inhibitory control compared to monolingual elderly (Bialystok et al., 2004, 2006). Older adults who received training in task switching showed a reduction in mixing and switch costs on a similar switch task (Karbach and Kray, 2009; Karbach et al., 2010), but also displayed reduced interference effects on a Stroop task, and improved spatial and verbal working memory and fluid
intelligence, in contrast to baseline and to elderly subjects receiving similar, non-switching related training (Krabach and Kray, 2009). In this study, demands were not only on task-set selection, but also on interference control and on goal maintenance, thus requiring use of multiple cognitive control mechanisms in one task. The fact that this intervention led to generalizable learning highlights the importance of engaging multiple mechanisms in training tasks.

**Multitasking**

Elderly adults generally experience greater dual-tasking costs compared to young, even when taking age-related general slowing into account (Verhaeghen et al., 2003; Bherer et al., 2005, 2008). Evidence from a recent fMRI study implies that during dual-tasking, elderly are unable to sufficiently disengage from the interruption by the second task and therefore fail to switch back to the appropriate functional network, which causes greater difficulty with dual-tasking (Clapp et al., 2011). Intervention studies show that elderly were able to benefit from dual-task training at the same rate as young, Bherer et al. (2005) trained elderly on a three-week long paradigm where visual identification and auditory discrimination were performed either concurrently or separately. Response latency was reduced in elderly to the same extent as in young adults, and accuracy improvement was even more pronounced among seniors, especially in the concurrent tasks. Near transfer was found on within-modality and cross-modality dual-task costs, and was as large (or larger) in old as in young. Assessment one month later suggested retention of the training effect. A follow-up study using two concurrent visual tasks reported similar training benefits among seniors (Bherer et al., 2008). This implies that improvement of multitasking can occur regardless of whether training consisted of same- or different-modality tasks.

Training on dual-tasking paradigms has also been suggested to transfer considerably to daily-life performance. When elderly and young subjects were trained on a driving simulation, which included a visual attention task and a visuomotor tracking task, elderly decreased their error count and response latencies to a greater extent than young adults (Hahn et al., 2010). On that same note, after computerized training on tasks combining working memory, attention, and manual control, older adults showed significant improvement in simulated driving performance (Cassavaugh and Kramer, 2009), where performance improvements on dual task effects were predictive of later driving performance improvements. Li et al. (2010) demonstrated transfer of visual discrimination multitasking to single- and double-support standing balance. Hence, multitasking interventions show generalization to activities that are directly relevant to elderly.

**UPDATING**

Updating, an essential aspect of working memory, refers to monitoring incoming information for its relevance and accordingly adapting the content of working memory storage, and has been linked to activation in frontopolar and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Salmon et al., 1996; Van Der Linden et al., 1999). Elderly performing updating tasks invest greater effort than young adults (Fiore et al., 2012). In one updating task, in which participants updated memory by remembering the smallest item on a list, four age groups (young, young-old, old, and old-old) were compared (De Beni and Palladino, 2004). Performance on this task declined more with increasing age, and older participants suffered more difficulty to suppress intrusions.

Despite age-related deficits, training of this paradigm in elderly has demonstrated opportunities for transfer. Near transfer to block-span performance was found after a 12-week training intervention (Buschkuehl et al., 2008) which included three different updating paradigms. Successful training on updating tasks was also done by Dahlin et al. (2008a, b) who trained older adults on letter-memory updating, which requires keeping a string of letters in working memory and recalling the last four letters upon ending of the task. Elderly displayed increased task performance which was maintained up to 18 months post-training, and training-related activation in striatum compared to controls.

One type of test often used to assess updating is the n-back paradigm, in which participants respond when the current stimulus matches that of n trials back. N-back tasks have been tested in elderly before, indicating the ability of elderly subjects to perform this task, even with increased working-memory demand (Verhaeghen and Basak, 2005; Van Gerven et al., 2008; Jaeggi et al., 2009). In young adults, training on this paradigm with a dual (visual and auditory) component is implied to lead to far transfer to fluid intelligence (Jaeggi et al., 2008; but see Moody (2009) for a critical evaluation).

To our knowledge, few longitudinal n-back training studies have been conducted in elderly. In one study, young and older adults were trained on a demanding spatial 2-back task (Li et al., 2008) which included blocks of regular spatial updating and trials which additionally required mental rotation. In old and young adults equally, near transfer to a more demanding spatial 3-back task and numerical 2-back and 3-back tasks was found. This performance was largely maintained 3 months after posttest. A 3-back spatial task has also been included as part of an effective multimodal training battery (Schmiedek et al., 2010). These results suggest that the older population might benefit from training on n-back tasks as well (although this claim has been contested by Engle and colleagues, see e.g., Shipstead et al., 2010). Further testing of this paradigm, including the possibility of transfer to untrained domains, seems a promising avenue for further research.

**INHIBITION**

Inhibition refers to the suppression of thoughts or actions, usually in favor of other thoughts or actions. Inhibition may be at play at various levels: preventing irrelevant sources of information from capturing attention, preventing irrelevant contents of information from entering working memory, pre-emting rash decisions, suppressing impulsive or undesirable actions, or overriding prepotent responses in favor of more appropriate ones. Inhibition at the levels of attention and working memory have been associated with the functionality of frontoparietal systems (Hasher and Zacks, 1988), whereas inhibition in relation to decision-making and action have been linked to the integrity of frontostriatal circuitry (Ridderinkhof et al., 2004). A variety of tasks and tests
have been proposed to assess inhibitory efficiency in older adults, but many of these tasks (and associated age effects) suffer from problems with task purity, methodological confounds, and other measurement issues that are characteristic of many so-called frontal-lobe tests (Rabbitt et al., 2001). Nonetheless, there appears to be consensus that the ability to inhibit spatial responses is relatively preserved, whereas the ability to actively inhibit prepotent responses shows more robust age effects (Nieuwenhuis et al., 2000; Andres et al., 2008) in the form of reduced inhibitory control over reflexive saccades in the antisaccade task (for review, see Eenshuistra et al., 2004) and a reduced ability to interrupt actions that have already been initiated in the stop task (Williams et al., 1999).

To our knowledge, although a number of brain training studies have included inhibitory tasks in one form or other, no studies have focused specifically and systematically on whether the effects of old age on inhibitory efficiency can be remedied by training. One study reported that inhibitory skills can be trained in children (Thorell et al., 2009). That such training is feasible at least in principle in adults was demonstrated in a recent study with young adults, whose Go/NoGo proficiency improved after only a single and brief training session (Manuel et al., 2010). Evidence ubiquitously suggests age-related increases in susceptibility to interference in the Stroop task. Training studies have examined the effects of Stroop-task training in elderly, reporting performance improvement but no transfer (Dulaney and Rogers, 2019). Unfortunately, the task impurity that characterizes the Stroop task (involving perceptual interference and task maintenance demands in addition to response inhibition, presumably leaving little age-related variance left to be explained by the latter) renders this task less suitable for studying the effects of age and training on inhibitory control. Using relatively more pure measures of response inhibition, age trends in inhibitory efficiency were reported in the Simon task (Maylor et al., 2011). Whether and to what extent these measures may benefit from training remains to be explored. Likewise, we are not aware of brain training studies using antisaccade tasks. Our own work has shown that antisaccade performance in the elderly may be improved considerably by motivational factors (such as reward anticipation; Harsay et al., 2010), suggesting that there may be substantial space for improvement using brain training.

SUMMARY

In sum, from the studies reviewed above it becomes evident that continuous training on cognitive control-based paradigms may not only lead to enhanced performance on the trained task, but may on occasion also extend to other, untrained, domains. This holds true in particular for tasks that capitalize in one way or other on cognitive flexibility, especially apparent in task switching paradigms (for instance, Karbach and Kray, 2009). Ideally, therefore, tasks should call on flexibility. They should engage multiple mechanisms of cognitive control at the same time, e.g., keeping a number of items in memory, shifting attention between tasks, inhibiting irrelevant stimuli while responding to another, and updating the memory trace. Subjects are thereby forced to divide their attention over a number of multimodal stimuli, creating a general state of alertness and preparedness for upcoming events that is likely to be generalized to functioning on other, nonrelated tasks.

ADDITIONAL PERSPECTIVES

A number of modalities that appear especially relevant to cognitive aging might be effectively trainable in this population, although so far there has been little investigation into these perspectives. First of all, decision-making and learning from mistakes are affected in old age, and a number of ideas to aid in dealing with these deficits are recounted below. Second, novelty can be an important key to add to training benefit in two separate ways, which will be argued here. Finally, memory strategy training has been shown to be effective in the elderly population. Though no evidence of far transfer currently exists, suggestions are given for ways to test this more thoroughly.

DECISION-MAKING

One domain that is also affected by age is decision-making and decision-learning (Brown and Ridderinkhof, 2009; Mohr et al., 2010). Older adults have more difficulty with stimulus-reward learning, taking longer to reach a criterion and displaying impaired feedback learning (Schmitt-Eliassen et al., 2007). Older individuals are generally more proficient at avoidance-learning compared to incentive-learning; they exhibit a bias to choose to avoid negative outcomes rather than gaining positive outcomes, thought to result from age-related loss of dopamine (Frank and Kong, 2008). Studies assessing learning abilities in elderly using the Iowa Gambling Task, where one needs to learn to choose cards from the most beneficial deck to optimize reward, have resulted in mixed findings. Some suggest that elderly do not sufficiently learn to pick the most profitable deck (Fein et al., 2007); others find that this impaired learning only applies to a subgroup of elderly (Denburg et al., 2006), illustrating the individual variation in this population. Increased age has also been found to be related to greater reward-related risk-taking (Cavanagh et al., 2012), in particular when learning has led to risk-avoiding behavior (Mata et al., 2011). Furthermore, it seems that elderly display an alternate activation pattern of the ventral striatum during reward anticipation and delivery. Although in elderly the ventral striatum is engaged to represent reward value, this region often fails to show activation when anticipating reward (Schott et al., 2007). Unlike in young, there is a failure to activate the insula during loss prediction (Samanez-Larkin et al., 2007), demonstrating their ability to process reward value but an inability to engage the necessary regions during anticipation.

Delay discounting refers to the preference for more immediate, smaller rewards relative to later, larger rewards. The ability to forego an immediate reward in favor of some future interest (a crucial aspect of decision-making, also in a variety of daily-life decisions) has been associated with striatal dopamine; hence, one might expect the proficiency of delay discounting to decline with age. Results are mixed and contradictory, however (e.g., Chao et al., 2009; Reimers et al., 2009; Jimura et al., 2011; Löffenhof et al., 2011), preventing us from drawing firm conclusions at this stage.

Given these patterns of aging-related deficits in decision-making and decision-learning, and the importance for
independently functioning elderly to be able to make essential decisions for themselves, one might expect decision-learning to be included in one way or other in brain training programs. We are not aware, however, of any training studies in the realm of outcome optimization. Yet, the success of such training seems feasible. For instance, anticipation of a rewarding outcome has been shown to motivate successful optimization strategies in elderly (Denburg et al., 2006; Harsay et al., 2010). Along another avenue, older decision makers appear to base their decisions on less information than younger decision makers, since this leads to only small losses in decision quality (Mata and Nunes, 2010). Thus, brain training programs might focus on training the ability to select target information economically. Moreover, aging appears to be associated specifically with deficits in rule-based decision-making processes (Mata et al., 2011) suggesting that training protocols can be targeted to learning simple and (as learning progresses) more complex decision rules in choice games, and to learning that rule-based decisions lead to favorable outcomes more often than, for instance, similarity-based decisions.

**NOVELTY**

Cognitive processes can be more adequately stimulated by including the important ingredient of novelty: an item, task, or activity that is unfamiliar and has not yet become subject to automatization. There are two ways in which novelty inclusion can benefit training studies and lead to reduced cognitive decline: to improve performance on existing tasks by direct inclusion of novel stimuli within training tasks, and to improve performance on new tasks by creating novel experiences and activities as the core of training. Along these lines, besides inducing novelty within tasks, an enriched environment can offer a similar effect, challenging the neuronal system to develop or protecting it from negative aging influences, as has been shown in aging animals (Winocur, 1998; Kempermann et al., 2002) as well as humans (Karp et al., 2006).

One type of intervention may contribute to protracted cognitive decline by adding features of novelty to an existing task. Stimulus repetition often leads to a decrease in neural activity as a result of more efficient neural processing (Ranganath and Rainer, 2003); by contrast, inclusion of novel stimuli is often followed by an increase in activity, and has been demonstrated to enhance synaptic plasticity, thereby posing an advantage for interventions.

Neuromodulation is believed to play an important role in the encoding of novel information into memory. Acetylcholine as well as norepinephrine have been shown to facilitate consolidation of novel stimuli by increasing the firing rate and enhancing responses to stimuli. This is also illustrated by administration of anticholinergics, which attenuates electrophysiological and hemodynamic expression of the effects of novel compared to familiar stimuli (Ranganath and Rainer, 2003).

Düzel et al. (2010) argue that novelty processing in the brain can enhance plasticity by boosting dopamine to benefit learning and memory and allow long-term consolidation to take place within the hippocampus. Dopaminergic neuromodulation occurs during and after exposure to novel stimuli, facilitating long-term potentiation and leading to consolidated synaptic plasticity. The authors suggest an integrative model of exploratory drive and neuronal plasticity to explain the connections between dopamine, novelty, and plasticity, specifically in old age. According to this model, an individual is motivated to perform exploratory behavior following novelty expectation. As dopaminergic neuromodulation is subject to deterioration with increased age, elderly generally receive less reinforcement from novelty and would naturally tend less towards seeking novel stimuli in their environments, thereby creating less opportunity for plasticity and learning to take place. Although older adults benefit less from inclusion of novelty compared to younger individuals, they are still thought to benefit from a boost of dopamine to create a better learning opportunity.

Few studies have examined the role of novelty in protracting neurocognitive decline directly. One line of studies used randomized trials to investigate training abilities in elderly participants by teaching and training skills in novel activities. For instance, Bugos et al. (2007) explored individual piano instruction as a cognitive intervention in the elderly population. A group of musically naïve elderly subjects were given weekly piano and music theory lessons and were required to practice independently for 3 h each week for a period of 6 months. Compared to a control group who received no training, transfer of training was seen on Digit Symbol (a subtest of the WAIS) and the Trail Making Test, suggesting that music training led to improvement of concentration, attention, and planning abilities. Likewise, Boyke et al. (2008) studied a group of healthy elderly learning to juggle. They were given 3 months to learn and practice, and MRI scans were made directly before and after training, and 3 months after training had ended. Changes included gray-matter increases in brain areas responsible for processing of complex visual movement, and did not appear in the control group. These structural changes occurred even in individuals who were not able to perform satisfactorily at the time of testing, suggesting neuronal plasticity even among seniors who take longer to learn a new ability. A follow-up study using a small sample of young adults suggests that these structural changes might be produced by learning of the novel skill per se, with little further contribution from the amount of practice or the eventual quantitative increases in performance (Driemeyer et al., 2008). Unfortunately, the latter study did not make use of any control group, so that further investigation of how much practice is needed to produce structural changes remains necessary. However, so far it seems that learning novel activities can lead to improvement and transfer to other tasks, as well as to structural brain changes in old age.

Novel and challenging experiences during the lifetime are thought to also benefit cognition in old age. Neurocognitive aging processes may speed up when individuals no longer engage in work-related or social activities and hence withdraw from stimulating environments that frequently present novel stimuli or challenges (Aichberger et al., 2010; Roberts et al., 2011), possibly through weakening of neuronal connections (Cerella and Hale, 1994). Actively taking part in cognitively challenging activities is thought to function as a protective factor against cognitive decline and even decreases the risk of development of age-related diseases (Karp et al., 2006; Bialystok et al., 2007; Yaffe et al., 2009). There appears to be a strong connection between involvement in complex and challenging work during early adult life, and subsequent
intellectual functioning in old age (Schooler et al., 1999). Job complexity is also believed to offer a protective factor against dementia (Potter et al., 2007). However, these studies were not able to control for confounds, leaving open the possibility that the mentioned relationship between complexity and novelty in early life and functioning in older age is bidirectional, that is, although a stimulating work environment probably affects workers’ cognitive wellbeing and challenges them to broaden their intellectual horizons, individuals’ already existing intellectual functioning also causes people to choose for more challenging and intellectual vocations to match their abilities. Bosma et al. (2003) analyzed the protective effects of work load on later cognitive functioning longitudinally, but controlled for a number of confounds including education and baseline intellectual abilities. When adjusting for these factors, individuals with higher workload showed a greatly decreased risk of developing later cognitive impairment.

These outcomes emphasize the promise held by the training of novel skills or the inclusion of novel stimuli in training programs. Novelty not only primes the neuronal system to prepare for learning, but the addition of continuous novel stimulation itself, be it in a standardized task or in learning a new ability, also helps build new connections and could add to individuals’ motivation, providing important benefits for maintaining cognitive wellness.

MEMORY STRATEGIES
Several aspects of working memory training, such as updating, have been reviewed above. One could argue that these concentrate on training processes. This approach must be distinguished from developing new memory strategies (Kliegl and Bürki, 2012). These have a long history (Yates, 1966) and there is a considerable body of literature that demonstrates their effectiveness (Higbee, 1993). This suggests that they may also be applicable as a successful form of brain training in the elderly. Memory strategies are often taught as part of a more general memory training, which can range from learning a simple mnemonic strategy to extensive practice with a wide range of memory techniques. Rebok et al. (2007) reviewed almost 300 memory training studies with older adults using explicit criteria to judge whether the improvements due to a certain type of training could be considered evidence-based. According to the criteria, a type of training showed a beneficial effect if more than 50% of the outcome measures were both statistically significant between-group treatment effects (within-group studies were compared with baseline) and had effect sizes of at least 0.20. Evidence criteria for a certain type of memory training stipulated, furthermore, that there be at least two such studies with beneficial effects, with a minimum of 30 participants in total. Of the 218 studies considered by Rebok et al. (2007), 39 studies contribute support to 16 types of memory training which effects could be considered evidence-based. In particular, studies involving instruction in multiple mnemonic techniques led to lasting improvements (e.g., Stigsdotter and Bäckman, 1989; Hill et al., 1990; Ball et al., 2002; Dunlosky et al., 2003). Also, training specific strategies such as visual memory support (Sharps and Price-Sharps, 1996), the story mnemonic (Hill et al., 1991), and the classic loci method (Kliegl et al., 1989; Hill et al., 1991) gave significant results that qualified on the evidence-based criteria. Rebok et al. (2007, p. 54) conclude that these findings suggest “…that there are potentially several evidence-based options for older adults who wish to improve their memory and reduce memory problems.”

Whereas there are clear benefits from certain types of strategy-based memory training, it is not clear at this point whether they also give rise to long-term benefits. Zelinski (2009), for example, concludes that training specific mnemonic strategies in isolation does not seem to lead to far transfer. Few studies have attempted extensive training on a variety of strategies. One example is a recent study by Craik et al. (2007) who instructed 49 older adults in a variety of mnemonic strategies (among other aspects of the training). The instruction sessions encouraged subjects to practice and find their own optimal combination, but there was no formal guidance, nor was there a computerized training that supported the optimization process. Craik et al. (2007) found no improvements on primary memory or working memory, but they did find a lasting improvement on episodic memory. A limitation of this study is that as part of the design, half the subjects had to wait three months after entering the study and initial orientation before they received the majority of the training. As the authors remark, this led a loss of motivation in the late group and hence to a loss of power in the experiment.

Craik et al. (2007) allowed subjects to control and combine their optimal strategies. Complete self-generation of strategies is thought to be a particularly effective method. In Lustig and Flegal (2008), subjects were shown individually presented words to encode and remember as well as possible. They were assigned to either a condition in which they learned to use a specific encoding strategy, or a condition in which they could choose their own strategy. Transfer to an unrelated task was found only in the strategy choice condition. This suggests that it is most beneficial to engage and train preserved albeit dormant functions in elderly by letting them initiate their own optimal strategy, in order for deep encoding processes to occur to lead to more generalizable results. Derwinger et al. (2005) also found that in older individuals, self generation of strategies is most optimal. Although subjects using learned mnemonic strategies and self-generated strategies to memorize four-digit numbers retained the same amount of information on the short-term memory tasks, long-term recollection was better in the strategy-choice condition.

We find evidence for beneficial effects of strategy-based memory training, though successful studies that yield far transfer are currently lacking. We suggest the use of a computerized approach in order to ensure that strategies are indeed being trained and to help subjects in their development and application.

SUMMARY
A number of additional modalities have been discussed that could potentially be used to add to effective training purposes, though more research is needed to confirm this. Given the aging-related deficits in decision-making and decision-learning, aspects of decision-learning might be included in training programs by focusing, for instance, on reward anticipation or rule-based decision-making. Novelty seems to be an important factor for more lasting effects of brain training, especially in elderly, and
inclusion of novel stimuli or tasks could motivate elderly to invest more effort and energy into learning. In strategy-based memory training, most success is to be expected from studies that employ a variety of memory strategies, allowing considerable freedom to select optimal combinations of these, and include extensive practice.

THE INDIVIDUAL PICTURE

VARIATION WITHIN THE AGING POPULATION

One major caveat in much of the literature on brain training research concerns individual differences in the aging population. First, aging studies frequently use a comparison of retired, independent elderly of various different backgrounds to young adults, often psychology students. Besides the fact that both groups are often recruited from different sources, which impacts the validity of these studies, students and retirees are likely to differ in several other ways than age alone (e.g., length and type of education or exposure to technology), making it more difficult to attribute any observed differences directly to age-related decline and skewing the implications of age-related cognitive decline as derived from these results.

Second, and perhaps even more important, in the current literature elderly individuals are often measured as a group, without paying attention to the existing and evident differences between individuals. Elderly are likely to differ more from each other than young adults do. Genetic and environmental, traumatic and advantageous influences have a lifelong effect on each person's brain and behavior (Christensen et al., 1999; Bialystok et al., 2004; Lindenberger et al., 2008), thus exaggerating inter-individual variability as the individuals grow older. To draw conclusions on trainability of a certain task based on the mean performance of a group of elderly does little justice to individuals' strengths and weaknesses and paints a picture of the potential effects of training that is not sufficiently representative as it tends to blend all nuances in the color palette into a single shade of grey. Certain individuals might have a larger rate of cognitive decline than others, while yet others might show little decline at all. Variability in cognitive performance may result also from, for instance, illness or depression (Christensen et al., 1999). Such variation is likely to cause inconsistencies within training studies, resulting in poor conclusions about the success of certain interventions or inaccurate rejections of training paradigms that could be helpful to some, but might not work for most. Some studies that attempt to take individual variation in baseline parameters (such as working-memory capacity or general processing efficiency) into account even arrive at the conclusion that age-related differences in cognitive performance can be reduced to age-related differences in these baseline parameters (Eenshuistra et al., 2004; Della Sala et al., 2010). Current brain training research is based on the question whether a paradigm is either successful or unsuccessful; instead, we might profit more from asking for whom the training works, and how these individuals vary from the rest, in terms of behavioral and neuroimaging measures. Each person is likely to benefit from different training approaches (Yaffe et al., 2009). Some might benefit more from some tasks than from others, and some people might need more intensive training, whereas others lose motivation because training tasks do not pose enough of a challenge. Adaptive training is tailored to the needs and abilities of the individual, increasing difficulty levels as one gets better and decreasing them as more errors occur. Adding an adaptive component to the training is therefore crucial to allow people to train at their own level and keep each person challenged and motivated. Most training studies do not pay attention to this aspect, though some have (Mahncke et al., 2006; Ball et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2009). Lustig and Flegal (2008) showed that memory training performance was most effective when subjects were allowed to explore and initiate their own latent optimal strategy. It seems crucial that, during training, subjects should experience success yet stay challenged enough to increase performance. Finally, the gains associated with working-memory training were found to depend on genotypes related to the expression of dopamine in the substantia nigra (Bellander et al., 2011).

For those individuals who benefit less from brain training, alternative intervention possibilities can be explored. An important challenge, then, lies in identifying predictors of individual differences in trainability. These predictors could consist of certain neurocognitive test results, but importantly also of data on individual neural hard-wiring: neuromodulation, regional brain volume, structural and functional connectivity, or functional activation patterns. In the next section we assess in more detail the benefits that covariance-based neuroimaging techniques might provide in helping us understand individual differences in cognitive decline and trainability.

IMAGING INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES

Recent progress has advanced our insight in functional and structural alterations in healthy aging as related to individual performance differences (independent of baseline structural volume or age per se). For instance, BOLD (de)activation patterns can illustrate associations with reduced or retained performance. Two groups of elderly who had shown similar IQ at age 11 but whose IQ scores diverged at age 70 were compared, thus forming a group of cognitive "sustainers" and "decliners" (Waiter et al., 2008). fMRI data of the elderly group was subsequently compared to that of a young subject group. Whereas neural activation for the sustainer group did not vary from the brain regions active in young during a visual inspection task, decliners showed deactivation in a number of these areas; neural activation was found to predict individual preservation of complex reasoning skills. Similarly, in the memory domain, increased neural activation during an emotional word judgment task was observed in young adults and in a subgroup of elderly with normal performance, but not in elderly with declined memory performance (Daselaar et al., 2003). Variance in episodic recall performance has also been linked to hippocampal volume and activation change (Persson et al., 2006). Evidence that fMRI results could be applied to predict clinical cognitive decline comes from O'Brien et al. (2010) demonstrating that individuals without signs of dementia at baseline but with a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score of 0.5 showed a decline in hippocampal activity on an associative memory task over a period of 2 years, whereas activation patterns of those with a CDR score of 0 remained the same.

At the structural level, more complex sulcal folding was correlated with higher maintenance of cognitive processing speed
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