The nuclear pore complex–associated protein, Mlp2p, binds to the yeast spindle pole body and promotes its efficient assembly
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The two yeast proteins Mlp1p and Mlp2p (homologues of the vertebrate protein Tpr) are filamentous proteins attached to the nuclear face of nuclear pore complexes. Here we perform a proteomic analysis, which reveals that the two Mlps have strikingly different interacting partners, testifying to their different roles within the cell. We find that Mlp2p binds directly to Spc110p, Spc42p, and Spc29p, which are three core components of the spindle pole body (SPB), the nuclear envelope–associated yeast spindle organizer. We further show that SPB function is compromised in mlp2 mutants. Cells lacking Mlp2p form significantly smaller SPBs, accumulate aberrant SPB component-containing structures inside the nucleus, and have stochastic failures of cell division. In addition, depletion of Mlp2p is synthetically lethal with mutants impaired in SPB assembly. Based on these data, we propose that Mlp2p links the SPB to the peripheral Mlp assembly, and that this linkage is required for efficient incorporation of components into the SPB.

Introduction

In eukaryotes a double-membraned coat termed the nuclear envelope (NE) separates the nucleoplasm from the cytoplasm. Exchange between these two compartments is mediated by nuclear pore complexes (NPCs), octagonally symmetric cylindrical structures that span pores in the NE. During mitosis, the spindle microtubules must gain access to the nucleoplasm in order to segregate the daughter genomes. This can be achieved either by breaking down the NE (open mitosis), or by assembling the spindle inside the nucleus (closed mitosis). In the budding yeast *Saccharomyces*, mitosis is closed and the spindle is assembled by spindle pole bodies (SPBs). SPBs are the sole yeast microtubule-organizing centers (MTOCs), and like NPCs are directly embedded within pores in the NE throughout the cell cycle. The SPB consists of a multilayered disk structure made of hundreds of copies of each of its components (for review see Jaspersen and Winey, 2004; see also Fig. 8). The electron-dense central plaque is assembled around a two-dimensional crystal of Spc42p (Bullitt et al., 1997), which is an anchor for the other core components of the SPB: Spc110p, Cnm67p, Spc29p, and Cmd1p (the yeast homologue of calmodulin; Adams and Kilmartin, 1999). At least 14 other proteins forming the bulk of the cytoplasmic and nuclear plaques and other SPB structures associate with this core. On the cytoplasmic side, Cnm67p connects Spc42p to the nucleation site of the astral microtubules, responsible for nuclear positioning and spindle alignment (Schaeerer et al., 2001). The filamentous protein Spc110p acts as a spacer between the central and the inner plaques, from where the nuclear microtubules emanate to form the mitotic spindle responsible for SPB separation and for chromosome segregation (Rout and Kilmartin, 1990; Kilmartin et al., 1993). The spindle also plays a critical role in ensuring that nuclear migration and division occur in concert with DNA replication and bud formation. Despite the observation that the SPB cores are extremely resistant to disruption in vitro, recent results indicate that they are dynamic in vivo. Both the newly synthesized and the old SPB continue to grow in size from G2 to telophase (Bullitt et al., 1997; Yoder et al., 2003). At the same time, the mother SPB is continuously renewed by the exchange of up to 50% of old Spc110p with newly made subunits (Yoder et al., 2003). Several observations also suggest a functional relationship between SPBs and NPCs. For example, some components are shared between...
the two structures, and proteins known to regulate spindle function are found to reside at the NPC (Chial et al., 1998; Rout et al., 2000; Iouk et al., 2002). Although these results suggest a possible role for the NE in aiding SPB function, they have not provided an explanation for the mechanism underlying this connection.

The yeast proteins Mlp1p and Mlp2p belong to a well-conserved family of NE proteins, which in vertebrates is represented by the protooncogenic protein Tpr (Kuznetsov et al., 2002). The Mlps are large, coiled-coil filamentous proteins projecting from the nucleoplasmic side of the NPC (Strambio-de-Castillia et al., 1999; Kosova et al., 2000) and they are nonessential in budding yeast. The Mlps have been proposed to form a peripheral nuclear network whose function is still not clear (Strambio-de-Castillia et al., 1999; Feuerbach et al., 2002; subsequent studies have called these roles into question, although they did indicate that the Mlps might be implicated in telomere maintenance [Andrulis et al., 2002; Hediger et al., 2002a,b]).

In this study we performed a biochemical analysis, which revealed that Mlp2p binds directly to the SPB core. In the absence of Mlp2p, SPB components are not efficiently targeted to the nuclear face of the SPB, leading to smaller SPBs and to delays and errors of cell division. These results show that the NE is an integrated unit whose structures function coordinately to perform essential nuclear functions.

**Figure 1. Mlp2p interact with the core of assembled SPBs.** (a) Mlp2p, but not Mlp1p, strongly interacts with SPB proteins. PrA-containing complexes were affinity purified from cell lysates expressing Mlp1p–PrA, Mlp2p–PrA, or PrA alone as a control. The complexes were separated by SDS-PAGE, visualized by Coomassie staining, and identified by tandem mass spectrometry. Open circles indicate the position of rabbit IgG heavy chain from the Dynabeads. (b) Mlp2p directly binds Spc110p, Spc42p, and Spc29p, but not Cnm67p. Mlp2p–PrA-associated SPB components were affinity purified as described above and eluted from IgG-Dynabeads using 1 M MgCl2 leaving Mlp2p–PrA attached to the beads. Proteins in the eluate were then resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. The blot was stained with amido black, cut into strips, and probed with either purified Mlp1p–PrA or Mlp2p–PrA, or with blocking buffer alone. Bound PrA probes were detected with rabbit IgG–HRP conjugate followed by chemiluminescence. The identity of the minor bands has not been determined. (c e) Mlp2p interacts with assembled SPB cores. Dynabeads carrying Mlp1p–PrA (c) or Mlp2p–PrA (d f) containing complexes were prepared exactly as described above from either haploid (c e) or diploid (f) cells and visualized by TEM. Small arrows, haploid SPBs. The electron-dense globular particles visible in panels c and d are also present in empty control beads and are the magnetic material within the Dynabeads. (e) Three representative examples of haploid SPBs bound to Mlp2p–PrA beads at higher magnification. (f) Three examples of diploid SPBs bound to Mlp2p–PrA beads. The diameter and thickness of the central plaque of both haploid and diploid Mlp2p–PrA bound SPBs were measured on calibrated digital images using the ImageJ software and are indicated as the mean value ± 1 SD at the bottom right. Also indicated (***) is the t test P value obtained for the comparison of the distribution of diameters of haploid versus diploid SPBs. Large arrows, filaments attaching the SPBs to the beads. Bars: (c and d) 500 nm; (e and f) 100 nm.

Haploid SPBs
- Diameter** = 77 nm +/- 12
- Thickness = 36 nm +/- 5
- n = 54

Diploid SPBs
- Diameter** = 93 nm +/- 9
- Thickness = 35 nm +/- 4
- n = 55

**P < 0.0001
**Results**

Mlp2p, but not Mlp1p, interacts with key components of the SPB

We set out to identify the interacting partners of Mlp1p and Mlp2p by biochemically purifying these proteins from yeast lysates. Using a variety of extraction conditions, we found striking differences between the proteins copurifying with Mlp1p and Mlp2p (Fig. 1 a). Mlp1p–protein A (PrA) could be reproducibly isolated in a complex exclusively with Mlp2p, indicating that the two proteins are able to bind directly to each other as previously proposed (Strambio-de-Castillia et al., 1999). By contrast, in addition to binding Mlp1p, Mlp2p–PrA formed a tight complex with at least six major proteins, which by mass spectrometry were identified as key SPB components. Five of these represent the components of the SPB core: Spc110p, Cnm67p, Spc42p, Spc29p, and Cmd1p. The sixth, Cdc31p, is a calmodulin-like calcium-binding protein that helps form the bridge structure involved in SPB duplication (Spang et al., 1993). With the exception of Nud1p and the addition of Cdc31p, these proteins match those identified as core components in a previous proteomic study (Adams and Kilmartin, 1999). Lower abundance bands present in the complex were identified to be the inner plaque components Spc98p, Spc97p, and the outer plaque’s Spc72p, in addition to Mps2p and Bbp1p, SPB-associated proteins with important roles in SPB duplication. When mild extraction conditions were used we found that both Mlp1p interact with a number of NPC proteins, as well as components of the mRNA processing machinery and nuclear transport factors, in agreement with previously published functions of the Mlps (Strambio-de-Castillia et al., 1999; Kosova et al., 2000; Green et al., 2003; Galy et al., 2004; and unpublished data).

To determine which of the SPB components are capable of directly binding Mlp2p, we performed an in vitro blot binding experiment (Fig. 1 b). The Mlp2p-associated SPB proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, immobilized on nitrocellulose, and probed either with purified Mlp1p–PrA or Mlp2p–PrA. Whereas Mlp1p–PrA did not bind any SPB components, Mlp2p–PrA bound specifically to three SPB proteins (Spc110p, Spc42p, and Spc29p), but failed to interact with a fourth (Cnm67p). These results are consistent with the immunopurification data above, and indicate that Mlp2p interacts directly with at least three SPB components, all of which are exposed on the nuclear side of the SPB and so accessible to the Mlp2p in vivo.

Mlp2p binds to intact SPB cores

To test if the interaction between Mlp2p and the SPB is limited to unassembled subcomplexes of the SPB or to certain stages during the spindle assembly cycle, we visualized the complex by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Thin sections of beads from the Mlp1p–PrA immunoprecipitation (Fig. 1 c) and empty control beads (unpublished data) were devoid of any visible protein structures. However, the magnetic beads on which the Mlp2p–PrA complex was immobilized were covered with numerous discrete structures, ~80 nm in diameter (Fig. 1 d, small arrows), which, at higher magnification, resembled isolated SPB cores (Fig. 1 e).

To confirm that Mlp2p-associated structures were indeed bona fide SPB cores, we also compared the isolated structures from either haploid or diploid cells (Fig. 1 f), as diploid SPBs are characteristically larger than haploid SPBs in diameter but not in thickness, and the layered morphology of diploid SPBs is more easily discernible than that of haploid SPBs (Byers and Goetsch, 1975; Bullitt et al., 1997). The structures on the beads isolated from diploid cells were revealed to be morphologically identical to chemically extracted diploid SPB cores (Adams and Kilmartin, 1999). The layered appearance of SPBs was clearly visible in these structures, with the electron-dense central plaque flanked on either side by filamentous protein layers. Structures resembling the bridge, which connects recently duplicated SPBs during early S phase, were also visible in some examples, accounting for the presence of Cdc31p in the Mlp2p–SPB complex (Fig. 1 a; Spang et al., 1993). Although the average width of the diploid layered structures was significantly larger than that of the haploid structures, their average thicknesses were the same; all measurements are consistent with previously published values for SPBs. Together, these data establish that the structures associated with Mlp2p are SPB-derived cores.

Core components of the SPB coimmunoprecipitate Mlp2p, but not Mlp1p

To test the specificity of the Mlp2p–SPB interaction, we performed reciprocal coimmunoprecipitation experiments fol-
Mlp proteins localize to the same nuclear hemisphere as the SPB

With their C-shaped distribution around the rim of the nucleus, the Mlps define a specific hemisphere of the NE, which only partially overlaps with the even distribution of NPCs around the rim of the nucleus and is excluded from the region juxtaposed to the nucleolus (Fig. S1 available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200504140/DC1; Strambio-de-Castillia et al., 1999; Kosova et al., 2000; Galy et al., 2004). First, to directly compare the localization of the Mlp proteins with respect to each other, we used CFP–Mlp1p and YFP–Mlp2p to visualize their position within the same cell (Fig. 3 a). Though the Mlp proteins occupied the same general area of the nuclear periphery, CFP–Mlp1p was distributed fairly evenly along a C-shaped portion of the nuclear periphery; YFP–Mlp2p was concentrated into fewer foci covering less area.

Next, as a control for our biochemical data, we investigated whether Mlp2p and SPBs colocalize in vivo (Fig. 3 b). When we expressed Spc42p–CFP in combination with Mlp1p–YFP or YFP–Mlp2p, we found that the SPB occupies the Mlp’s hemisphere in over 90% of the cases (n = 500). This result is highly significant as testified by the result of the chi-squared test we performed under the test hypothesis that the Mlp proteins are excluded from 20% of the NE surface area (chi-squared value = $2.268 \times 10^{-4}$). To further investigate the association between the Mlp distribution and the SPBs, we followed the localization of YFP–Mlp2p and Spc42p–CFP at different stages of the cell cycle. We observed that the connection between the Mlp-containing hemisphere and the SPBs was always conserved (Fig. 3 c). In particular, after S phase, the SPBs migrated to opposite ends of the Mlp hemisphere. At anaphase the YFP–Mlp2p signal appeared to trail behind Spc42p–CFP while remaining in contact, as the spindle and the nucleus extended. The close association of Mlp2p with the SPB in vivo is in complete accordance with their direct interaction revealed by our biochemical analyses (Fig. 1). In addition, this result is consistent with published work showing that in yeast the SPB lies opposite the nucleolus (Yang et al., 1989; Bystricky et al., 2005).

**mlp2Δ** cells display significant delays in proceeding past metaphase

To explore the functional significance of the interaction between the SPB and Mlp2p, we determined the position and morphology of the spindle in asynchronous haploid cells by following GFP-tagged Tub1p. In this test, 52% of cells lacking Mlp2p showed a short spindle, characteristic of cells in late S phase or metaphase, compared with 38% for wild-type cells and 39% for mlp2Δ cells. This result represents a 38% increase of cells in metaphase for **mlp2Δ** versus wild type (Fig. 4 a), consistent with Mlp2p having a role in the efficient progression of cells past G2 or early M phase. To assess whether Mlp2p could have a role in promoting spindle migration to the bud neck, we measured the spindle migration index in late S to early M cells (Fig. 4 b). We observed no significant difference between wild-type, **mlp2Δ**, and **mlp2Δ** cells in this assay. These results suggest that Mlp2p is not involved in the regula-
tion of the activity of cytoplasmic microtubules, consistent with its interaction with SPB components localized only to the nuclear face of the central plaque. To further investigate the function of Mlp2p, we examined wild-type and mlp mutant cells expressing Spc42p–GFP. Cells lacking Mlp2p showed an excess number of cells displaying SPB-“doublets” (i.e., cells with two SPBs per cell body) as compared with wild-type and mlpΔ cells, consistent with the results we obtained with GFP–Tub1p-expressing cells (Fig. 4 c). To assess whether Mlp2p might have a role in promoting the formation of a fullyformed metaphase spindle, we measured the distance between duplicated SPBs in G2 to early M cells (Fig. 4 d). We found that the average SPB-to-SPB distance was significantly reduced in cells lacking Mlp2p with respect to wild type (i.e., 1.58 µm for wild-type cells; 1.10 µm for mlp2Δ cells). Taken together, these results point to a role for Mlp2p in facilitating SPB separation and in promoting the formation of a complete metaphase spindle, but not in astral microtubule-directed nuclear migration to the bud neck.

A significant fraction of cells lacking Mlp2p display aberrant intranuclear microtubule organizers and cytokinesis defects

When looking at cells expressing Spc42p–GFP we noticed that, though we did not find any wild-type and mlpΔ cells with more than two SPBs, one of every 12 cells lacking MLP2 carried abnormally high numbers of Spc42p–GFP-containing foci (3–6 per cell; Fig. 5 a). Cells that displayed this defect were predominantly found in chains of multiple cell bodies that had failed to complete cytokinesis (hereafter referred to as multicellular chains). Similar results were obtained with cells labeled with Spc110p–GFP or GFP–Tub1p (unpublished data). These results indicated that the aberrant Spc42p–GFP-containing foci found in cells lacking Mlp2p also contain Spc110p and are able to nucleate microtubules. To further study the nature of this defect, we enriched for multicellular chains and large-budded cells by elutration (Fig. S2 available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200504140/DC1). When late elutration fractions were stained with DAPI and examined by fluorescence microscopy, we found that mlp2Δ cells were enriched in multicellular chains, which also displayed abnormal numbers of microtubule organizers (Fig. 5 b). In contrast, equivalent wild-type and mlpΔ elutration fractions contained no abnormal cell types. In all cases, the generation of the spurious microtubule organizers appeared to be coupled with the formation of an extra bud before completion of cell division (Fig. 5 b, mlp2Δ, inset).

The presence of additional SPB protein containing microtubule organizers could indicate either a defect in the regulation of SPB duplication (Haase et al., 2001) or a defect in SPB assembly and structural integrity (Sundberg et al., 1996). To distinguish between these two possibilities, we performed a detailed ultrastructural analysis on enriched defective cells using thin section TEM (Fig. 5 c). For comparison, an equivalent elutration fraction from a wild-type culture was also analyzed (unpublished data). In the nuclei of mlp2Δ cells we found numerous examples of aberrant amorphous, electron-dense structures that are absent from the control and are reminiscent of the intranuclear microtubule organizers found in

Figure 4. mlp2Δ cells display a significant delay in proceeding past metaphase and accumulate with short spindles at the bud neck. All experiments were done on asynchronous cell cultures. (a) Images of haploid wild-type, mlp1Δ, and mlp2Δ cells expressing GFP–Tub1p. Images were obtained as described for Fig. 3. Asynchronous cells (n = 300–400) were scored for short and stubby spindles (i.e., cells in S or early M phase) in two independent experiments, to assess their ability to progress through the cell cycle. The numbers of 38%, 39%, and 52% have SDs of 0.3%, 3.0%, and 4.0%, respectively. (b) Tukey plots displaying the spindle migration index distributions for wild-type and mutant cells lacking either Mlp1p or Mlp2p. Only cells with a metaphase spindle appearance were used for this analysis [n > 60]. The top and bottom of each rectangle represent the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively; the center bar of the rectangle marks the median spindle migration index value. The top and bottom horizontal marks show the 90th and 10th percentiles, respectively. Black dots represent outlying data points. The distributions were not found to be significantly different based on individual pairwise comparisons using the t test. (c) Images of haploid wild-type, mlp1Δ, and mlp2Δ cells expressing Spc42p–GFP. (d) Tukey plots displaying the SPB-to-SPB distance distributions in wild-type and mutant cells lacking either Mlp1p or Mlp2p. Only cells between S and early M were used for this analysis. The median SPB-to-SPB distance was 1.58 µm (n = 60) in wild-type cells, 1.35 µm (n = 54) in mlp1Δ cells and 1.10 µm (n = 120) in mlp2Δ cells. Comparisons of the distributions using the t test showed a high degree of significance in all cases: wild type versus mlp1Δ, P < 0.0003; wild type versus mlp2Δ, P < 0.0001; mlp1Δ versus mlp2Δ, P < 0.0025. Bar, 2 µm. 
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spec110-220 mutant cells (Sundberg et al., 1996). These masses lacked the well-defined architecture characteristic of SBPs and appeared to reside primarily inside the nucleoplasm, although on occasion they were connected to an SPB at the NE. In addition they appeared to nucleate microtubules (Fig. 5 c, top left, arrowheads). We also observed instances in which multiple microtubule bundles appeared to be emanating from MTOCs elsewhere in the nucleus and not forming a normal spindle (Fig. 5 c, bottom left, arrows). The mlp2Δ nuclei often appeared to be fragmented and lobulated and sometimes displayed deep invaginations of the NE carrying what appeared to be fully formed SBPs. These results likely explain the abnormal appearance of the DAPI stained nuclei shown in Fig. 5 b.

To demonstrate that the abnormal electron-dense structures we observed by thin-section TEM are indeed amorphous intranuclear assemblies of un-incorporated SBP components, we performed a post-embedding labeling immuno-EM experiment on enriched defective haploid cells carrying the mlp2Δ allele and expressing Spc42–GFP (Fig. 5 d). Gold particles were specifically found in association either with fully formed SBPs at the NE (Fig. 5 d, top left inset, arrowhead) or with intranuclear amorphous structures resembling the ones shown in Fig. 5 c (Fig. 5 d, arrows). This result underscores the specificity of the labeling and demonstrates that the intranuclear electron-dense bodies found in cells lacking Mlp2p contain Spc42p moieties, which failed to be incorporated in the central plaque of fully formed SBPs. Overall, the ultrastructural data are consistent with the results we obtained by fluorescent microscopy and suggest that Mlp2p is involved in the proper incorporation of SBP components into fully formed SBPs.
MLP2 interacts genetically with a mutant affecting SPB assembly

To further investigate the functional interactions between the SPB and Mlp2p, we tested temperature-sensitive mutations of various SPB components for genetic interactions with MLP1 and MLP2. When a strain containing the spc110-220 mutation was mated with the mlp2Δ strain we were unable to recover spores carrying both mutations, although we could generate double mutant strains from all other crosses tested (see Fig. S4, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200504140/DC1). The spc110-220 strain carries a C911R mutation in the Cmd1p-binding domain of Spc110p, which reduces the ability of Spc110p to bind Cmd1p at the restrictive temperature and impairs the incorporation of Spc110p into the central plaque of the SPB, leading to slow growth and the formation of intranuclear mitotic organizers (Sundberg et al., 1996). To study this genetic interaction, we created spc110Δ strains covered by plasmids expressing either SPC110 or spc110-C911R (hereafter referred to as spc110-220). In addition, we introduced a repressible promoter upstream of either a PrA-tagged MLP1 or MLP2 gene. When grown in dextrose this promoter repressed expression to levels below the immunoblotting detection limit (Fig. S3 available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200504140/DC1).

Although the SPC110 control strains exhibited equal viability at all temperatures tested, the strains carrying spc110-220 had inhibited growth at 37°C (Fig. 6 a). The additional deletion of Mlp2p from spc110-220 cells led to them being inviable at this temperature. Even at permissive temperatures the deletion of Mlp2p decreased the viability of spc110-220 strains significantly. The repression of MLP1 also reduced viability in conjunction with spc110-220, but to a lesser extent than MLP2. We found that the depletion of MLP2 led to a nearly fourfold increase in aberrant nuclear morphology in the spc110-220 mutant at the restrictive temperature (Fig. 6 b). The nuclei appeared enlarged or fragmented with multiple intense DAPI-stained areas distributed throughout the cell, in contrast to the discrete, round wild-type nuclei. This morphology of the nucleus was reminiscent of the one we observed in multicellular chains present in mlp2Δ strains (Fig. 5 b). Other described defects associated with spc110-220 at the restrictive temperature were found to be independent of the expression level of MLP2 (unpublished data). We compared the effects of Mlp depletion in the spc110-220 background with the effect of MLP deletion in other temperature-sensitive SPB protein mutants (Fig. S4 available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200504140/DC1; Fig. 6 c; Geiser et al., 1991; Davis, 1992; Sundberg and Davis, 1997; Elliott et al., 1999). We find that the other mutants we tested showed either mild or no synthetic lethal interactions with MLP2. These results confirm that a specific functional interaction exists between spc110-220, a mutant in a central plaque component affecting SPB assembly, and the Mlps via Mlp2p.
Incorporation of components into the SPB is faulty in mlp2Δ cells

Our data are consistent with a model in which the connection of SPBs to the Mlp assembly via Mlp2p is necessary for the efficient incorporation of SPB components into the SPB core. This model accounts for the binding of Mlp2p to the SPB core, the stochastic failures in cell division in cells lacking Mlp2p, the appearance of intranuclear microtubule organizers in mlp2Δ cells, and the synthetic interaction of Mlps with spec110-220. A prediction of this model is that cells lacking Mlp2p would have a defect in incorporating newly made components into SPBs, resulting in smaller SPBs. We tested this prediction by quantitating the fluorescence signal intensity associated with Spc42p–GFP labeled SPBs in wild-type and mutant cells (Fig. 7 a). We observed a ~10% decrease in Spc42p–GFP signal intensity in mlp2Δ cells with respect to wild type. A smaller but equally significant reduction in SPB-associated fluorescence was observed in cells lacking Mlp1p (unpublished data). To exclude the possibility that the observed decrease in Spc42p–GFP fluorescent signal is due to a reduction of the Spc42p–GFP expression level in cells lacking Mlp2p, we performed an immunoblot analysis on whole cell lysates from mutant and wild-type strains (Fig. 7 b). We did not observe any significant variation in Spc42p–GFP expression due to the lack of Mlp2p. These results suggest that the anchoring of SPBs to the Mlp assembly via Mlp2p promotes the ability of components to be incorporated into the SPB.

Discussion

Traditionally, SPBs and NPCs have been considered as functionally separate entities. However, recent observations that NPCs and SPBs share a small number of components point to potential functional links between the two. Our finding that Mlp2p physically interacts with the SPB core is therefore interesting, suggesting that Mlps, SPBs, and NPCs are interconnected as part of a continuous functional unit at the nuclear periphery.

Several lines of evidence suggest that one reason for the connection of SPBs to the peripheral Mlp assembly is to aid the incorporation of new components into the nuclear face of the SPB (Fig. 8). First, new SPBs are inserted normally into the NE in cells lacking Mlp2p, suggesting that the protein has no role in the initial stages of SPB assembly. Second, the nucleus migrates normally, a process associated with the cytoplasmically nucleated SPB microtubules, likely excluding Mlp2p from roles associated with the cytoplasmic face of the SPB. Third, Mlp2p is exclusively nuclear, and attaches to the SPB on its nuclear face exclusively via nucleoplasmically oriented SPB components, suggesting that Mlp2p is intimately involved in the function of the SPB, but only after it has inserted into the NE. Fourth, mlp2Δ cells have an increased failure rate in SPB separation and in progressing past early mitosis, indicating that the nuclear face of SPBs is occasionally compromised in forming proper spindles. Fifth, a significant fraction of the mlp2Δ population accumulates aberrant intranuclear microtubule organizers, indicating a failure in the proper targeting of components to the SPB. This is similar to the phenotype of the spec110-220 mutant, which also fails to properly target SPB components. Here the defect is in the COOH terminus of the Spec110p protein, where it tightly interdigitates with the Spc42p crystalline layer (Kilmartin et al., 1993; Adams and Kilmartin, 1999). The synthetic lethality we observe in cells lacking Mlp2p and carrying the spec110-220 allele suggests that Mlp2p and the COOH terminus of Spec110p act synergistically in the maturation and maintenance of the SPB. Sixth, SPBs in the mlp2Δ mutant are smaller on average in comparison to SPBs of a wild-type strain, as indicated by relative SPB fluorescence intensity. Because the thickness of the individual SPB layers is constant, this reduction in fluorescence intensity represents roughly a 10% decrease in surface area. Even though this appears to be only a minor reduction, the surface area of the SPB in yeast limits the number of microtubules emanating from it. A haploid wild-type SPB can nucleate the 16 required kinetochore microtubules and approximately 2 to 4 pole-to-pole microtubules (O’Toole et al., 1999). Because we neither observe chromosome loss nor increased lethality with spindle checkpoint mutants in the mlp2Δ strain (unpublished data), it appears that capturing of the kinetochores is unimpaired. Thus, the 10% reduction in SPB size might lead to a greater than 50% reduction in the number of pole-to-pole microtubules, consistent with an increase in the number of cells with duplicated but not completely separated SPBs in the mlp2Δ strain. The requirement for Mlp2p in SPB function is not absolute, as mlp2Δ cells are viable. Yet, a significant number of mlp2Δ cells fail to execute mitosis normally. Because loss of Mlp2p can lead to suboptimal SPBs, each new round of SPB duplication might cause the introduction of additional defects due to the dynamic nature of the SPB during S phase. This in turn would lead to stochastic failures of individual SPBs at different stages of the cell cycle. Equally diverse mitotic defects are observed with other (more penetrant) mutants involved in SPB assembly, such as cmdl-1 and SPC110 COOH-terminal mutants (Stirling et al., 1996; Stirling and Stark, 2000).

We hypothesize that Mlp2p helps to incorporate new SPB components into both the mother and daughter SPBs, by recruiting them for exchange or by facilitating their integration into the central plaque. It may be that Mlp2p does this either by providing additional binding sites for SPB components at the SPB; or by anchoring the edges of the SPB to the surrounding Mlp layer, providing the necessary tension to keep the central plaque crystal...
“open” for the exchange and addition of proteins. This close connection might also reflect a mutual cooperation between these two structures, in which SPBs actively promote segregation of the MlpPs to mother and daughter nuclei. Because the MlpPs are located asymmetrically along the nuclear periphery, a random bisection of the nucleus does not guarantee their equal distribution at karyokinesis. However, at metaphase the SPBs line up the Mlp layer perpendicular to the axis of nuclear division. This configuration may not only facilitate the segregation of the MlpPs; the nucleolar components, which are also closely connected, might be a universal feature of the cell division process.

Our work also shows that Mlp1p and Mlp2p have overlapping but different distributions at the nuclear periphery, and are functionally distinct. So far, yeasts are the only eukaryotes for which two different MlpPs have been identified in the same organism (Kuznetsov et al., 2002). Although in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and its close relatives the second copy arose because of a whole-genome duplication event (Kellis et al., 2004), detailed sequence analyses reveal that in fission yeast this second copy of the Mlp protein arose independently (Ding et al., 2000). Alm1p/TC80, the Schizosaccharomyces pombe MLP2 paralog, localizes to the nuclear rim and appears to accumulate at the spindle organizer may facilitate closed mitosis. Alternatively, it may be necessary for any large structure associated with the NE and spindle assembly may facilitate closed mitosis. This configuration may not only facilitate the segregation of the MlpPs; the nucleolar components, which are also closely connected, might be a universal feature of the cell division process.

Materials and methods

Plasmids and strains

Strains are isogenic to W303 unless otherwise specified. All yeast strains were constructed using standard genetic techniques (Table S1 available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200504140/DC1). COOH-terminal genomically tagged strains were generated using the PCR method previously described (Rout et al., 2000; Reid et al., 2002). For the NH2-terminal YFP or CFP tagging of Mlp1p and Mlp2p we used the “pop-in/pop-out” method previously described (Reid et al., 2002). In all cases correct integration was verified by PCR analysis, immunoblotting, and, in the case of fluorescent tags, by direct in vivo fluorescence microscopy. The GAL1-10 promoter was inserted upstream of MLP2-PrA in strain YC511S using the vector pFA6a-kanMX6-PSA1 (Longtine et al., 1998) to create yMN437. Wild-type SPC110 [pHS29] and spc110-220 [CYP11R; pH538] expressing plasmids (Sundberg et al., 1996) were transformed into yMN290 to create yMN443 and yMN444. yMN443 and yMN444 were crossed with yMN437 and sporulated to create strains yMN439-442. A similar strategy was followed to construct the corresponding Mlp1p strains.

pRS314-DRsRed-Nop1 (Gadal et al., 2001) and pXYNup49-CFP were used in strains expressing either Mlp1p–YFP or YFP–Mlp2p. For pXYNup49-CFP, the enhanced-CFP (eCFP) open reading frame was PCR amplified from pECFP-N1 (BD Biosciences) and inserted into the HindIII and SalI sites of pYX242 (Novagen) to produce pYX242-CFP. The NUP49 open reading frame was PCR amplified from the pET28b-NUP49 plasmid (gift from S. Dokudovskaya, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY) and inserted into the unique BamHI and HindIII sites of pYX242-CFP. In all cases the plasmid sequence was verified by DNA sequencing.

Affinity PrA purification

The affinity protocol for the purification of PrA-containing complexes was modified from published methods (Atchison et al., 1996; Schultz et al., 1997). In brief, frozen cells were ground with a motorized grinder (Retsch) and 1 g (PrA control, Mlp1p–PrA) or 2 g (Mlp2p–PrA) were thawed into 9 ml of extraction buffer 1 (EB1; 20 mM Na-Hepes, pH 7.4, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 4 μg/ml pepstatin, 0.2 mg/ml PMSF) supplemented with 300 mM NaCl. Different amounts of frozen cell powder had to be used in order to recover comparable amounts of PrA–PrA. Cell lysates were homogenized with a Polytron for 25 s (PT 10/35; Brinkman Instruments) and cleared by centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 10 min. 7.5 mg of epoxy-activated Dynabeads (Dynal) cross-linked to rabbit IgG (ICN Biomedicals) were added to each lysate and rotated for 2 h at 4°C. The IgG-Dynabeads were washed with a magnet, washed five times with 1 ml of EB1 and once with 1 ml of 100 mM ammonium acetate, pH 7.4. 0.1 mM MgCl2. The PrA-containing complexes were eluted off the beads in 1 ml of 0.5 M NH4OH/20 μg/ml pepstatin/O.5 mM EDTA at 25°C for 20 min and lyophilized in a SpeedVac (Thermo Savant). For protein samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE with Novex 4–20% Tris-glycine polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen) and visualized by Coomassie blue staining.

In vitro blot binding assay

For the preparation of purified Mlp1p and Mlp2p–PrA for use as probes in the in vitro blot binding assay, the procedure described above was modified as follows. The ground cell powder (1.2 g for Mlp1p–PrA and 4 g for Mlp2p–PrA) was resuspended in 10 ml of extraction buffer 2 (EB2; 20 mM Hepes/KOH, pH 7.4, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 0.3% sodium N-lauryl-sarcosine, 0.1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 4 μg/ml pepstatin, 0.2 mg/ml PMSF) per gram. After sonication and clarification, the lysate was incubated over night at 4°C with IgG-Sepharose resin (10 μl of bed volume per gram of cell powder). After extensive washing in EB2 without EDTA, bound material was eluted off the resin using a biotinylated derivative of a previously published PrA-mimicking peptide (Biotin-DCAWHLEVYWWT; DeLano et al., 2000). The eluting peptide was removed on a G25 sizing column (Amersham Biosciences) and the probe was quantified by running an aliquot on SDS-PAGE alongside BSA standards.

Identification of proteins by mass spectrometry

Protein bands were excised and tryptic digestion were prepared according to standard protocols (Krutchinsky et al., 2001). Extracted peptides were analyzed by a modified matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization ion trap mass spectrometer (Krutchinsky et al., 2001) based on a LCQ Deca XP ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan). An automated protocol was used to perform tandem mass spectrometry and proteins were identified searching the National Center for Biotechnology Information nonredundant protein database with the program Sonar (Genomic Solutions) to identify proteins from the trypptic peptide fragmentation masses (Field et al., 2002).

In vitro blot binding assay

The Mlp2p complex was immobilized on IgG-Dynabeads as described for affinity PrA purification. After binding, Dynabeads were incubated using 1 M MgCl2, 20 mM Hepes/KOH, pH 7.4, 0.5% Tween 20 in order to
preserve the binding of Mlp2p–PrA to IgG and selectively elute the Mlp2p–PrA bound proteins off the beads. The eluate was subsequently incubated with fresh IgG-Dynabeads to remove contaminating Mlp2p–PrA and with PrA-Sepharose beads to remove IgG that might have bled through from the IgG-Dynabeads. Proteins were then recovered by TCA precipitation, separated on SDS-PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose. After avida black staining the blot was cut into three 0.2-cm wide identical strips. The strips were blocked for 2 h at 4°C and subsequently treated as described for affinity PrA purification. Samples of each cell lysate and each isolated complex were resolved in duplicate by SDS PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose. The presence of PrA and Myc in the samples was detected by immunoblotting using a 1:1,000 dilution of a rabbit IgG (ICN Biomedicals) and a mouse monoclonal anti–Myc antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Microscopy
For in vivo fluorescence experiments cells expressing DsRed, GFP, CFP, or YFP-tagged proteins were grown overnight inYPD or the appropriate selective medium containing 200 μg/ml adenine to reduce autofluorescence. The next morning cells were diluted in the same medium and grown to mid-log phase for 4—5 h. When ready, cells were harvested and concentrated in SC medium with 200 μg/ml adenine. A small drop (1–2 μl) of the concentrated cell suspension was spotted on a poly-lysine-coated slide before immobilization using a coverslip. Cells were observed immediately after immobilization at 25°C. Cell were visualized with a 100× 1.4 numerical aperture Planachromat objective using an inverted Carl Zeiss Axiovert 200 wide-field confocal microscope fitted with a Perkin-Elmer Ultra-View spinning disk confocal head on side-port optimized for real-time confocal imaging. The microscope was equipped with a Hamamatsu Orca ER-cooled CCD camera. Image analysis was performed using the MetaMorph software provided by Universal Imaging Corp. For three-dimensional volume and surface reconstruction we used the Imaris and Imaris-Perusas (Bitplane AG) software.

For DAPI staining, cells were grown to mid-log phase in YPD containing adenine as above. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, 3.4% and Imaris-Surpass (Bitplane AG) software. In three-dimensional volume and surface reconstruction we used the Imaris software. The next morning cells were diluted in the same medium and grown to mid-log phase for 4—5 h. After four brief washes with the same buffer at 25°C, the strips were incubated with 200 μl of IgC-HRP conjugate Jackson Immunotechnology Laboratories, Inc.) in binding buffer for 1 h at 25°C. The presence of bound HRP was detected by chemiluminescence.

Quantitative image analyses
All the quantitative analyses were performed on digital images. Scoring of SPB numbers on DAPI-stained nuclei was performed on two-dimensional projections of three-dimensional image stacks containing 8 0.35 μm optically sectioned images. After overlaying these differential interference contrast images for clearer positioning of SPB signals and nuclei. Scoring of the colocalization between Spc42p–CFP signal versus YFP–Mlp1p was performed on two-dimensional maximum projections of three-dimensional image stacks containing 10—15 0.27 μm optical sections. Only nuclei that presented with a typical C-shaped Mlp distribution (i.e., nuclei in which the nucleolus was positioned around the mid-plane of the stack) were scored for the localization of the Spc42p–CFP signal. All other analyses were performed directly using Z-calibrated three-dimensional digital image stacks, which contained 15—20 0.27 μm optical sections. Scoring of spindle morphology frequencies in live cells expressing GFP–Tub1p was performed using the Manually Count Objects module included in the MetaMorph software. All length measurements were obtained using the Measure XYZ Distance module of the same software. The spindle migration index of a cell is proportional to the proximity of its spindle to the bud neck and is calculated as the minimal distance between the neck and the nearest edge of spindle, divided by the maximal mother cell axis (i.e., distance between the bud neck and the most distal edge of the mother cell; Jacobs et al., 1988).

Differential elution
Haploid strains yMN291 and yMN293 and diploid strains yCS101 and yCS251 were subjected to differential elution as previously described (Miller and Cross, 2001). 12 fractions were collected from each experiment. Cells were harvested by filtration and prepared for either DAPI staining and fluorescence microscopy (yMN291 and yMN293), for thin section EM analysis (yCS101 and yCS251) or for postembedding-labeling EM (yMN291 and yMN293). Progression of cells through the cell cycle was monitored by budding index and by FACS (Becton Dickinson) as described previously (Epstein and Cross, 1992).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows the distribution of YFP–Mlp2p in comparison to the nucleolus and the NPCs by fluorescence microscopy. Fig. S2 shows the budding state and the DNA content of the elution fractions used in Fig. 5. Fig. S3 shows the repression of PrA-tagged Mlp2p in dextrose containing medium to levels below the detection limit by immunoblotting. Fig. S4 shows the growth effects of depleting or deleting the Mlp proteins in a series of SPB temperature sensitive mutant backgrounds. Table S1 shows the S. cerevisiae strains used in this study. Online supplemental materials are available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200504140/DC1.
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