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Abstract

An investigation to find out if entomopathogenic fungi are found on insects at the University of Guyana Turkeyen Campus, Guyana, was carried out. A total of 42 insects were collected from the Campus. These forty two insects belonged to 8 different orders. They are Orthoptera, Hemiptera, Lepidoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, Blattaria, Coleoptera and Odonata. Among these, Order Hymenoptera was found to be the most diverse. However, from these eight orders 11 different fungal species were obtained. They are Cladosporium, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus, Penicillium, Curvularia, Rhizopus, Pyricularia, Phytophthora, Mucor, Bipolaris, and Alternaria. None of these fungal species were entomopathogenic. Among them two (2) were opportunistic fungi and the other 9 was classified as other fungi. Aspergillus flavus was the most dominant fungal isolate obtained with an isolation rate of 29.5%. Mucor, Alternaria, Bipolaris, and Pyricularia was found to be the least.

Introduction

Insects have proven to be the most successful class of Arthropods today and the number of insect species outnumbers that of any other group of invertebrates. The insect group makes up 85 percent of the animal kingdom and there are more than 920,000 identified species [1]. Over the years, insects have developed many methods of obtaining and digesting food, some have chewing mouthpart and feed by tearing or pinching off plant parts, others have sucking mouthpart that are used to pierce the stems of plants or the skin of animals [2]. Insects are important to the ecosystem by providing services to plants such as pollination, and seed dispersal, within the context of mutualistic interactions. Plants use domatia or attract insects with volatile emissions, and use them as a defense from herbivores [3]. Apart from being beneficial to plants, they are beneficial to us by removing various nuisances and deformities from the face of nature, destroy other harmful insects and supply food to other animals such as fish and birds [4].

Despite their importance, insects can act as vectors and cause disease in human or animals. They are classified as major pest to rice crops and in so doing have created large economic crisis for rice farmers in Guyana, since they have a negative effect on the quantity of rice being produced. For example the leaf miner (Hydrellia sp.) larvae tunnel and feed on cell sap and caterpillar (Spodoptera frugiperda) cut leaf tips. Apart from rice, insects damage many other crops in Guyana such as pineapple, cabbage and pepper [5].

Many chemicals are used in Guyana to control pest populations. Even though, these chemicals are effective in controlling insects, it defeats the purpose of the green revolution since it affects the health of other organisms when applied. Thus, there is need for a control mechanism such as biological methods, which are effective and much safer to use. Entomopathogenic fungi are a biological agent that is present in environments worldwide and is safer to use in the control of insect pest. However, much research is not done on these fungi and their use or presence in Guyana. As such, this project will investigate if entomopathogenic fungi are found on insects at the University of Guyana Turkeyen Campus, Guyana.

Materials and Methods

Sampling method

Stratified random sampling was used to collect insects that were both dead and alive. Using this method the campus was divided into four non-overlapping subpopulations or strata. A random sample was extracted from each stratum once a week for a period of seven weeks (From the 20th of April to the 1st of June) [6]. However, a sampling effort of one hour was given to each stratum.
Collection and Storage of insects
The dead insects were collected and placed in paper bags. The paper bags were left open so that the cadavers were air dried for 3-4 days. However, to capture live insects tools such as the areal net and sweeping net was used. Foliage sampling was also done by shaking the plants. The insects were kept in sterile vial containing fragments of host plant material, until streaking was ready.

Identification of insects
During storage the insects were identified by order using previously described keys by Dunn in 1996.

Isolation of Entomopathogenic fungi from insects
Potato dextrose agar (PDA) was used for streaking.

Method of Preparation [7]
1. 250g of sliced potato, 20g of dextrose and 15g of agar was weighed.
2. The sliced potato was boiled in half the quantity of distilled water for 20 minutes, until the potatoes soften.
3. After boiling, the mixture was filtered through cotton to remove most of the particulate matter.
4. The weighed amount of dextrose was then dissolved in about 200ml of distilled water and mixed with the potato infusion. The remaining quantity of distilled water needed to make up the volume of 1L was added.
5. The agar was then added to the mixture and dissolved on a hot plate.
6. The medium was autoclaved at 121 degree for 25 minutes in order to be sterilized.

In the laboratory, the insects were surface sterilized. They were washed in 0.5% sodium hypochlorite for 60 seconds, rinsed three times in sterile distilled water and dried with sterile absorbent paper. Sterilized cotton swabs were used for streaking onto the potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates. First, the insects were swabbed externally and streaked onto the agar. Using a sterile razor, each insect was cut longitudinally from mouth to anus. The inside of the insect was swabbed and streaked also on another plate. After streaking the fungi was allowed to grow. Every fungal species that emerged was streaked onto potato dextrose agar (PDA) in order to obtain pure cultures.

Identification of Entomopathogenic Fungi
Using the pure culture, the spores from each fungal species and guidance from Dr. Subramanian Gomathinayagam, was used to identify it.

Method of Preparation of Slides [8]
1. Two to three drops of distilled water was placed onto a clean microscope slide using an inoculating loop.

Results and Discussion
The aim of this project was to investigate if entomopathogenic fungi [9-13] are found on insects at the University of Guyana Turkeyen Campus, Guyana. However, a total of 43 insects were collected from Campus. These insects belonged to eight different Orders. They are Orthoptera, Hemiptera, Lepidoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, Blattaria, Coleoptera and Odonata. Some were identified up to the species level while others up to genus.

Also, from the table it can be seen that none of the fungal isolates were entomopathogenic. However, two were opportunistic fungi [14] were present and nine was neither entomopathogenic or opportunistic. None of the isolated fungal species were entomopathogenic. Reasons being that, entomopathogenic fungi [15,16] are distributed in a wide range of habitats from aquatic forest, agricultural, pasture, desert and urban habitats. Campus will not be a most suitable area to find entomopathogenic fungi. Moreover, soil is considered to a very excellent shelter for these fungi since it protects them from UV radiation and other adverse abiotic and biotic influences [9].

From the graph it can be seen that Aspergillus flavus had the greatest isolation percentage and then Aspergillus niger, Curvularia, Cladosporium, Penicillum, Rhizopus, Phytophthora, Mucor, Bipolaris, and Alternaria which had the same and least percentage.
It can be seen that Order *Coleoptera* had the greatest diversity of fungal species and *Odonata* had the least. Even though Order *Hymenoptera* was the richest *Coleoptera* was more diverse in the number of fungal isolates. Beetles are found in dry, moist, hot, cold but also deep in the ground, in trees, inside fruits, inside seeds, inside leaves, inside dead wood, inside live plants and in carcasses. The habitat of beetles is very diverse and this can account for the great diversity of fungal isolates (Graph 3).

### Objective 3: To determine the type of habitats associated with entomopathogenic fungi

Strata 1 were mostly a field area with little vegetation most of it was grass. It had a few buildings and a lot of sunlight. Strata 2 were the most vegetated area with a pond most of the strata was covered by trees. Strata 3 was the least exposed to sunlight because it was the strata occupied mostly by buildings. Finally, Strata 4 had some amount of sunlight, anthropogenic activities and a few buildings (Table 2).

#### Table 2: Showing some parameters that was common among the four strata.

| Parameters          | Strata 1 | Strata 2 | Strata 3 | Strata 4 |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Vegetation          | Very little | A lot | Very little | Moderate |
| Pond                | No       | Yes      | No       | No       |
| Buildings           | Moderate | Not much | A lot   | Moderate |
| Cracks or crevices  | Very little | Very little | A lot   | Very little |
| Dustbins            | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      |
| Canteen             | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      |
| Sunlight            | A lot    | Moderate | Allittle | Moderate |

The Simpson index is a dominance index. It is denoted by the letter ‘D’ and it gives more weight to common or dominant species [11]. D was calculated for the eight different insect orders as well as the species. It can be seen from the graph above that, in Strata 1 and 4 Order *Hymenoptera* was the most dominant insect order and *Hymenoptera Wasp* is the most dominant species. In Strata 2, Order *Lepidoptera* was the most abundant insect order and *Lepidoptera (moth)* is the dominant species. And finally in Strata 3, Order *Coleoptera* was the most dominant and *Coleoptera (beetles)* was the most abundant species. Moreover, it can be seen also that strata 2 is the most diverse, since it have more insect species (Graph 3).

According to Pielou [11], Shannon Wiener Diversity Index is denoted by H’ and calculates the diversity of the community and combines richness with how many individuals are in each taxa. According to the calculations done in excel, Shannon Wiener index is equal to 1.75 for Strata 1, 1.97 for strata 2, 1.64 for strata 3 and 1.77 for strata 4 (See appendix C for formula used). This information can be seen in the graph above. Strata 2 were the most diverse and a stratum 3 is the least. Which means that from the data collected strata 2 had the most insect species, followed by strata 1 and 4 and finally strata3 had the least.
Strata 2 had the most insects order because of its location. There was a lot of vegetation present in these strata. Strata 1 and 4 had the same amount being that they had some amount of vegetation but not as much as strata 2. However, strata 3 had the least probably because it is a more populated area with students and there is less vegetation [17-20] (Graph 4).

ANOVA was done to see how significantly different these strata were from each other. From the table, it can be seen that the p-value is greater than 5% or 0.05% this means that the four strata were not significantly different from each other; Even though strata 2 was found to be the most diverse (Table 3).

Graph 4: Showing the most dominant insect order and dominant insect in the four strata.

| Source of variable | F    | p-value | F crit | Significance   |
|--------------------|------|---------|--------|----------------|
| Insect Orders      | 1.394687 | 0.205621 | 1.980528 | Not significant |
| Different strata   | 0.468691 | 0.705819 | 2.845068 | Not significant |

From the graph, it can be seen that Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus, Penicillium and Curvularia was found in all four strata. Cladosporium and Rhizopus was found in three of the Strata, Phytophthorain two and Pyricularia, Mucor, Bipolaris and Alternariain one. It can also be seen that, Strata 3 and 2 had the greatest diversity, while Strata 1 and 4 had the least [20-27] (Graph 5 & 6).

Graph 5: Showing how diverse the four strata are in terms of insects.

Alternaria was found only in Strata 3 this genus is very ubiquitous in the environment and is a normal agent of decay and decomposition. It was isolated from a beetle in Strata 3 since beetles have a vast majority of habitats. Genus Bipolaris and Pyricularia are pathogenic to grasses; they were in Strata 2 and Strata 3 respectively. They were found in the two strata that had a lot of grass species. Mucor are found in decaying vegetables, foods, and animal excreta. Strata 4 had a lot of decaying vegetation and Mucor was isolated from a grasshopper found on the vegetation (US Micro-Solutions).

Conclusion

It can be concluded that among the eight different insect orders obtained, Order Hymenoptera was found to be the most diverse. Moreover, out of the four different strata that were investigated, Strata 2 had the greatest diversity of insects. Eleven different fungal isolates were obtained from these eight orders. Among the fungal isolates Aspergillus flavus was the most dominant fungal species obtained. However, A. flavus, A. niger, Penicillium and Curvularia were present in all four strata investigated. There was no entomopathogenic fungi isolated from the investigated insect population however, opportunistic fungi and other insect fungi were isolated. As such, the null hypothesis which states that “Entomopathogenic fungi are not present on insects found at the University of Guyana Turkeyen Campus” is accepted.
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