Caspase-1 is a novel target of p63 in tumor suppression
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p63 is a transcriptional factor, which together with p73 belongs to the p53 family.1,2 It is expressed as two different isoforms: the full length transactivation domain (TA) isoforms (TAp63), which contain the N-terminal transactivation domain, and the ΔN (amino terminal truncated protein) isoforms (ΔNp63), which, generated by an alternative internal promoter, produces a N-terminally truncated isoform, lacking the N-terminal transactivation domain.3,4 In addition, alternative splicing at the C-terminus produce at least three further isoforms, giving rise to α, β and γ isoforms.5 ΔNp63 also has transcriptional activity owing to a second downstream transactivation domain, TA2.6

The ΔNp63 isoform is a master regulator of epithelial development. It is mainly expressed in the basal layer of the epidermis and other epithelia. The full p63-null and the ΔNp63 selective-null mice die shortly after birth, with loss of stratified epithelia and truncated limbs and cleft palate.7–10 Like p53,11–13 TAp63 isoforms can have a role in promoting DNA damage-dependent cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. TAp63 isoforms, indeed, are expressed in response to DNA damage; conversely, ΔNp63 is degraded in response to genotoxic stress.14 TAp63 shares with p53 several target genes15,16 involved in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, such as PUMA,17 BAX18–20 and CDKN1A (p21);13,21 thus, TAp63 isoforms exert tumor suppressor activity.22 In addition, TAp63 is highly expressed in oocytes and has a unique role as guardian of the germ line.23,24 Oocytes from TAp63 knockout mice, indeed, do not undergo cell cycle arrest and apoptosis upon DNA damage.2,25 p63 is expressed in a wide range of human cancers, such as prostate,26 bladder,27 lung,28 breast29–31 and cervix.32,33 p63 is rarely mutated in cancer, although frequently altered expression and function has been observed.34 In epithelial cancer cells, p63 counteracts TGF-β-mediated invasiveness and metastasis. Mutant-p53 can antagonize this p63 metastasis suppressor activity,40 forming a ternary complex with Smad and p63.37–39 This highlights the complexity of p63 function in tumorigenesis due to its different N-terminal isoform roles, tissue-specific expression and interaction with other paralogue genes, p53 and p73.

Caspases are a class of cysteine-proteases involved in regulation of inflammation and apoptosis.40,41 Caspase-1, also known as interleukin-1β-converting enzyme, is activated by inflammosomes, multiprotein complexes formed by caspase-1, several members of the NOD-like receptors family and the adaptor protein ASC. Active caspase-1 catalyzes the proteolytic maturation of cytokine substrates pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18, respectively into IL-1β and IL-18 active forms.
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In addition to its well-established proinflammatory role, caspase-1 can also execute a program of cell death, termed pyroptosis, to kill infected macrophages. However, caspase-1 retains a direct role in non-infectious cell death processes. Caspase-1, indeed, also acts as a tumor suppressor regulating proliferation and apoptosis of epithelial cells. Caspase-1-deficient mice show enhanced tumor formation in the azoxymethane and dextran sodium sulfate colitis-associated colorectal cancer models. Moreover, in human cancers, caspase-1 is frequently downregulated, especially in prostate cancer.

In the present study, we report that p63 is a positive regulator of caspase-1 expression. We demonstrated that p63 directly regulates caspase-1 protein and RNA levels, through a direct binding to the caspase-1 promoter. Strikingly, our data are supported by the finding that positive correlation between p63 and caspase-1 expression represents a positive predictor of survival outcome in different human cancer data sets. Our work highlights a novel p63 target, which contributes to p63 tumor suppressor function.

**Results**

**TAp63α and ΔNp63α drive caspase-1 induction.** p63 is a transcriptional factor involved in cancer and metastasis. In many cancer types, the loss of p63 expression is associated with increased tumorigenesis and metastasis. Caspase-1 knockout mice show enhanced tumor formation associated with increased cell proliferation and reduced apoptosis. Therefore, we decided to evaluate a possible association between p63 function and caspase-1 expression. To this end, we used SaOs-2 Tet-On cell lines, which carry inducible expression systems for TAp63α or ΔNp63α. As shown in Figures 1a and b, 4 μg/ml doxycycline (Dox) treatment strongly induced expression of both p63 isoforms. Western blot analysis showed that in both TAp63- and ΔNp63-expressing cell lines caspase-1 protein was induced in a time-dependent fashion, in parallel with the expression of the transcriptional factor (Figures 1a and b). To evaluate whether caspase-1 upregulation was associated with induced transcription of the casp-1 gene, we performed additional analysis by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) in SaOs-2 Tet-On cell lines. qPCR confirmed upregulation of caspase-1 mRNA with a kinetics consistent with the well-known p63 transcriptional target, p21 (Figures 1c and d). Collectively, this data demonstrate that both p63 isoforms can regulate caspase-1 expression and also suggest that p63 might directly act on the caspase-1 promoter regulating its expression at the transcriptional level.

**p63 transactivates the caspase-1 promoter.** As the above results indicated a transcriptional effect of TAp63α and ΔNp63α on the caspase-1 promoter, we next explored whether p63 isoforms were able to directly bind a responsive element (RE) in the promoter region. As a first approach, we performed a bioinformatics analysis to identify putative consensus p53 REs in the promoter sequence of human caspase-1. We used MatInspector Professional software, which allows identification of p53-like REs. Within the first 1600 bp, we identified one putative binding site, which was located between −94 bp and −117 bp from the transcriptional start of the caspase-1 gene (Figure 2a). To experimentally validate our hypothesis, we utilized a reporter gene vector, which contained this promoter region upstream of the p63 controls caspase-1 expression

**Figure 1** p63 upregulates protein and mRNA levels of caspase-1. (a and b) Caspase-1 protein is induced by both p63 isoforms in a time-dependent manner. TAp63α (a) and ΔNp63α (b) SaOs-2 inducible cell lines were treated with 4 μg/ml Doxy to induce p63 expression. After 3, 6, 12, 24 (h) of p63 induction, a western blot analysis was performed using the indicated antibodies. GAPDH levels were evaluated as a control. The experiment shown is representative of three independent experiments. (c and d) mRNA expression of caspase-1 was induced by p63. Levels of caspase-1 were evaluated by real-time qPCR following TAp63α (c) and ΔNp63α (d) induction at the same time indicated for western blot analysis. p21 was used as positive control. Relative expression of caspase-1 and p21 were normalized against TBP and calculated as fold induction. Data represent mean ± S.D. of three different experiments analyzed in triplicate. *P < 0.05.
Figure 2  p63 directly transactivates the caspase-1 promoter. (a) Schematic map of the human caspase-1 promoter region with the p53-like RE. The insert shows the sequence of the p53-RE, located between −94 and −117 bp upstream of the transcription-start site. (b) Both TAp63x and ΔNp63x isoforms transactivate the caspase-1 promoter at 48 h. Caspase-1 promoter activity was evaluated after co-transfection with pcDNA vector, TAp63x, ΔNp63x plasmids. The luciferase assay was performed after 48 and 72 h of co-transfection in 293T cells and was normalized by co-transfection of Renilla vector. The graphs show a mean ± S.D. of three different experiments. *P<0.001; **P<0.05; P=0.1. (c) Western blot analysis from the same lysates as the luciferase assay performed as control to show the p63 protein expression. (d) SaOs-2 cells were induced with 4μg/ml Doxy for 24 h. The sonicated chromatin was bound to TAp63-αHA and amplified by PCR with caspase-1 primer that recognizes the p53-response element (from −94 to −117 bp). ChiP on MDM2 promoter was performed as a positive control. Mouse IgG antibody was used as a negative control of the ChiP procedure.

Luciferase reporter gene. 293T cells were then co-transfected with reporter plasmid and TAp63x or ΔNp63x isoform expressing plasmids. To verify transfection efficiency, western blotting for TAp63x and ΔNp63x was performed on the same protein extracts as used in the luciferase assays (Figure 2c). Consistent with our hypothesis, after 48 and 72 h of transfection the luciferase assays showed significant increase of luciferase activity in presence of one of the two p63 isoforms (Figure 2b), suggesting a direct ability of p63 to affect transcriptional regulation of caspase-1. These data strongly suggest that caspase-1 might represent a transcriptional target of p63.

p63 physically binds the caspase-1 promoter. To finally confirm that a transcriptional factor directly regulates expression of a downstream target, it is crucial to assess the direct binding on the promoter RE. Hence, to address this question, we performed a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP) for the p53-like RE included in the caspase-1 promoter, in p63 overexpressing cells. We induced TAp63x expression by Doxy treatment in SaOs-2 TET cells for 24 h. The immunoprecipitated chromatin was then utilized to perform a PCR with a primer pair designed to amplify the p53-RE region included in the caspase-1 promoter (Figure 2d). The specific PCR band was specifically detected only in the anti-HA immunoprecipitated chromatin, when compared with anti-IgG immunoprecipitated control chromatin. Hence, the ChiP demonstrated the physical interaction of p63 with the p53-like RE in the caspase-1 promoter. We, therefore, conclude that caspase-1 is a de novo transcriptional target gene of p63.

p63 correlates with caspase-1 expression in human cancers. We next performed bioinformatic correlation analysis between caspase-1 and p63 expression in three different human cancer data sets. Identification of a correlation between p63 and caspase-1 in human cancers would lend clinical relevance to our findings. To perform the bioinformatic analysis we selected two prostate cancer data sets and one bladder cancer data set. Prostate cancer data sets, Arredouani et al. comprised 21 and 19 patients, respectively (Figure 3a), while the ‘Stransky’ bladder dataset comprised 57 patients (Figure 3b). Coexpression analysis showed direct association between p63 and caspase-1 mRNA levels. The Arredouani prostate data set presented a correlation factor of 0.446, and Varambally prostate data set of 0.859 (Figure 3a). Consistently a positive association with a correlation factor of 0.368 was also found in the Stransky bladder dataset (Figure 3b). Plotting the expression level of both prostate and bladder data sets on a graph clearly showed the positive linearity of the association. Samples with low p63 levels also showed reduced caspase-1, whereas samples with high p63 levels showed caspase-1 upregulation (Figures 3c and d). Strikingly, these data lend important biological relevance to our findings. In human epithelial cancers, dysregulation of p63 can result in a consequent dysregulation of caspase-1, thus potentially affecting tumorigenesis.

Positive correlation between p63 and caspase-1 is a predictor of better survival outcome in breast cancer patients. To further investigate clinical relevance of p63-dependent caspase-1 regulation, we evaluated the biological
Consequence of p63/caspase-1 axis disruption in human cancers. We used three publicly available breast cancer gene expression data sets annotated with patient survival data. In contrast to the standard approach, where patients are grouped based on high/low expression of a gene (or a gene signature which is a weighted sum of expression of several genes), we implemented a statistical procedure, which splits patients into two cohorts based on a different principle. Namely, in the first cohort we selected patients so as to maximize positive correlation between p63 and caspase-1, while all the other patients formed the second cohort (Figures 4a–c left and central panels). Thus, we clustered the samples into two biological groups: one where the p63/caspase-1 axis was present, and the second in which it was absent. To identify statistical differences in survival outcome between the two groups of patients, the R statistical package was used to perform statistical tests and to derive the P-value (for full details of the procedure see method section). Each data set showed that the cohort of patients with a strong positive correlation between p63 and caspase-1 showed improved survival outcome compared with the cohort where the p63/caspase-1 axis was disrupted (Figure 4c right panels). Notably, each of the considered data sets with low/high expression of either p63 or caspase-1 alone did not correlate with survival (data not shown). Overall, these results suggest that the presence/absence of p63/caspase-1 interactions could serve as a promising cancer biomarker of patient survival. In conclusion, our findings further elucidate p63 tumor suppressor function and also provide additional knowledge for identification of novel cancer biomarkers.

Discussion

Our analysis of expression levels in human cancer data sets shed light on a novel correlation between p63 and caspase-1 expression in cancers. In addition, retention of the p63/caspase-1 axis in human breast cancer represents a predictor of good survival outcome. p63 is rarely mutated in human cancers, but frequently the expression levels are altered. Its function in epithelial development might suggest the relevance of p63 in tumors of epithelial origin, such as bladder, prostate and breast. p63 is essential for development of the ventral part of the urinary bladder and it is usually expressed in bladder urothelial carcinoma cells. In agreement with our findings, ΔNp63 and TAp63 are frequently downregulated in bladder cancer and this reduction correlates with poor prognosis. Similarly to bladder, prostate cancer also requires p63 expression for its development and it is used diagnostically to differentiate prostate cancer from benign mimickers. The majority of prostate cancers show loss of p63, but it is overexpressed in some poorly differentiated tumors and correlates with a poor prognosis. In addition, loss of p63 results in enhanced metastasis in prostate cancer.

Caspase-1 can represent a crucial downstream mediator of p63 tumor suppression function. In our clinical data sets, lack of a p63/caspase-1 correlation predicts poor survival outcome. Our in vitro studies show evidence of a physical interaction between p63 and the caspase-1 promoter, by which p63 can act as a direct transcriptional factor for caspase-1. Furthermore, we demonstrated that both TAp63α and ΔNp63α isoforms transactivate the promoter of
caspase-1, resulting in an increase of luciferase activity. Much evidence has shown that p63 is related to tumor progression, but the underlying molecular mechanisms are still under investigation. Here, we report that caspase-1 is a transcriptional target of p63, working at downstream level in the cell death pathway induced by p63 to counteract carcinogenesis. Indeed, although caspase-1 is the main mediator of a proinflammatory response and chronic inflammation, it is also associated with tumor development. There is also direct evidence that caspase-1 is an apical activator of cell death, and its loss is associated with enhanced tumor formation in a colitis-associated colorectal mouse cancer model. Furthermore, caspase-1 is downregulated in the majority of human prostate cancers, and its reintroduction induces higher sensitivity to radiation-induced cell death. These findings are consistent with the downregulation of p63 found in human prostate cancer.

In conclusion, our data shed light on the functional interaction between p63 and caspase-1, thus providing additional evidence on the tumor suppressor mechanism of p63 and suggesting potential future clinical biomarkers for epithelial human cancers.

Materials and Methods
Cell cultures. All cell lines used were maintained at 37 °C in 5% CO2 in culture medium. 293T cells were grown in RPMI, 250 μM sodium pyruvate (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA), 250 μM L-glutamine (Gibco), penicillin/streptomycin 1 unit/ml (Gibco), 10% (vol/vol) FCS (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA); TAp63α and ΔNp63α SaOS-2–Tet–On cells were grown in RPMI, 250 μM L-glutamine (Gibco), penicillin/streptomycin 1 unit/ml (Gibco) and 10% (vol/vol) Tet-free FCS (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA). To generate SaOS-2 clones with the inducible expression of p63 isoforms (Tet–On system), we used a clone of SaOS-2 cells expressing the Tet-responsive transcriptional activator rtTA.

Cell transfection and luciferase assay. A total of 1 × 10^5 293T cells were seeded in 12-well dishes 24 h before transfection. 300 ng/well of pGL3 vector, HA-tagged TAp63α and ΔNp63α plasmids, 100 ng/well caspase-1 luciferase reporter plasmid and 2 ng/well pRL-cytomegalovirus vector were co-transfected using Effectene reagent (Qiagen, Manchester, UK). Luciferase activities of cellular extracts were measured 48 h and 72 h after transfection using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Genecopoeia, Rockville, MD, USA). Light emission was measured over 5 s using a luminometer (with GloMax 96 Microplate Luminometer, Promega (Madison, WI, USA). Efficiency of transfection was normalized using Renilla luciferase activity.

Analysis of promoter region. A region 1317 kb upstream of the transcription-start site of the human caspase-1 gene was analyzed using Math-Inspector Professional software and the TRANSFAC database. The analysis revealed the presence of a p53-like RE located at ~94 bp upstream of the transcription-start site. The caspase-1 promoter plasmid was purchased from Genecopoeia (vector: pEZX-PG02).
Immunoblot analysis. Proteins from SaOs-2 cells were extracted with RIPA buffer containing inhibitor cocktails (Roche, Madison, WI, USA) and homogenized by using QIAshredder (Qiagen). Concentration was determined using a Bradford dye-based assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Total proteins were loaded on 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Hybond; GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Membranes were blocked and incubated with primary and secondary antibodies in PBS (PBS with Tween 20) and 5% non-fat dry milk. The antibodies against caspase-1 (1: 500) and GAPDH (1: 10,000) were from Sigma-Aldrich; (St Louis, MO, USA). The anti-HA (1: 100) antibody was from Covance, (Princeton, NJ, USA). Following incubation with the relevant horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies, detection was carried out with ECL (Amer sham). 

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR. Total RNA was extracted from SaOs-2 cells by using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and quantified by spectrophotometric analysis. One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed using the iN Promil kit (Promega) and 1/5 of the reaction was used for PCR. Real-time PCR was performed using the SYBR green ready mix (Applied Biosystems). The primers used were: Caspase-1 (TGCCCTGTTCCTGTGATGTGG, GTGTTTGGAGTGGTAGAAATC); TBP (CCTGATCTATCCAAGGGCTGGTG, TTTCTCTT GTTTGACTCAGCTTTTCCTTG, GAAGA AATGACGTGGTTAATAGGCC).

Bioinformatics analyses. Gene expression data sets were GSE3494, GSE11121, GSE2034 were downloaded from the GEO omnibus repository. Gene expression rank reflects relative mRNA expression level and is more consistent as it requires no normalization and thus introduces no normalization bias. 2^(-ΔΔCt) described in the User Bulletin no. 2 and the Relative Quantification software version 1.3 of Applied Biosystems.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. SaOs-2 cells were treated for 24h with 4 μg/ml Doxy to induce p63 expression. Cells were collected at 80% confluency and fixed in 37% formaldehyde. Chromatin was sheared by sonication and the Relative Quantification software version 1.3 of Applied Biosystems.
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