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Background

Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) is a method which can be used to image biological samples and can achieve both optical sectioning and super-resolution effects. Optimization of the imaging setup and data processing methods results in high quality images without artifacts due to mosaicking or due to the use of SIM methods. Reconstruction methods based on Bayesian estimation can be used to produce images with a resolution beyond that dictated by the optical system.

Findings

Five complete datasets are presented including large panoramic SIM images of human tissues in pathophysiological conditions. Cancers of the prostate, skin, ovary, and breast, as well as tuberculosis of the lung, were imaged using SIM. The samples are available commercially and are standard histological preparations stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

Conclusion

The use of fluorescence microscopy is increasing in histopathology. There is a need for methods which reduce artifacts when employing image stitching methods or optical sectioning methods such as SIM. Stitched SIM images produce results which may be useful for intraoperative histology. Releasing high quality, full slide images and related data will aid researchers in furthering the field of fluorescent histopathology.
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## Response to Reviewers:
Dear Editor:

We would like to re-submit our manuscript entitled “Artifact-free whole-slide imaging with structured illumination microscopy and Bayesian image reconstruction” for consideration in GigaScience as a data note. We would like to thank the reviewers for their comments about the paper. Reviewer 1 was very enthusiastic about the paper and we appreciate these positive comments about our work. Reviewer 2 was also
positive, but had a few comments about the paper which were concerned with the organization of the paper and the data.

1. The first comment was that we should upload all of the un-stitched images, and to provide them as individual image files rather than as a single large file. This has been accomplished and now all of the raw and processed data is available at GigaDB. We packed all of the tiles for a given sample into ZIP files so that users will be able to download all of the tiles as a single large file. Otherwise users would have to click and download each file separately. GigaDB will probably re-package these files into a different format such as TAR.

2. The reviewer noted that we did not include certain information about the actual dataset in the main paper. For example, the directory structure, file sizes and types, etc. This information is not normally included in GigaScience articles which I have read. The GigaScience Instructions to Authors do not require this information. This information will be present on the GigaDB page for this dataset. There will be a table, generated by GigaDB, which will contain the desired information.

3. The reviewer noted that an open access dedication should be included with the dataset. This has been done.

4. The reviewer noted that, because the tissue preparations are of human origin, that information about ethics and consent should not be overlooked. Thank you for reminding us of this important point. However, because the samples were obtained commercially, it is the responsibility of the supplying company to ensure that ethical and legal guidelines are followed. I have double checked this with the Institutional Review Board (IRB) here at the University of Colorado, Colorado Springs. Because the samples are acquired commercially, and because they are completely de-identified (meaning that there is no way to connect these particular samples to the original donor), this is not considered human subject research, and approval is not required to work with these samples.

5, 6. In points 5 and 6, the reviewer is asking us to rearrange the paper by putting the items in the supplementary information into the main paper, and then to eliminate figures 7-10. Respectfully, we do not plan to do this for several reasons. I feel that reorganizing the manuscript as suggested would not improve the paper. The whole point of the paper is to show the results of our research, in this case the results are the final, high resolution stitched images of the samples we examined. Eliminating these results from the paper would not be a good idea. For example, people working on breast cancer will be interested in the imaged breast cancer sample, people working on prostate cancer will be interested in the imaged prostate cancer sample, and so on. Further, the data re-use section was included in the supplementary material in our previous two papers in GigaScience. I believe this is the appropriate place for this information. Most GigaScience articles I have read do not include an actual, concrete example of data re-use like we do, and so this is a strength of our paper. Not everything can go into the main paper, and it is common practice today to publish supplementary information with additional experimental details, which can sometimes be quite lengthy.

Section 3 of the supplementary information is there for a specific reason. Almost all current research in structured illumination microscopy is performed on single cells using high magnification objectives. In the current paper we are imaging tissues over large areas, which is a quite different application. It is important for readers to realize that the methods presented here are widely applicable, including in the more typical application of SIM. Section 3 of the supplement is aimed at other people involved in the SIM field.

7. The structured illumination data processing steps are the same as were used in our previous publications. We noted this in the section ‘SIM data processing’ by stating that the SIM reconstructions were performed in the same was as previously described. What is new here is the image devignetting and stitching methods applied to microscopy images of this type. The steps described in the flow chart in Fig 3 are already described in the text. For example in the section ‘SIM data processing’ we state ‘SIM reconstructions were
performed using SIMToolbox...” and “We generated optically sectioned, enhanced resolution images using... MAP-SIM.” In the section ‘Vignetting correction’ we state “Following SIM reconstruction, ...We performed vignette removal by dividing each tile of the mosaic by an image representing the vignetting profile common to all tiles.” These are exactly the steps shown in the flowchart.

8. The reviewer noted that the quality of figures 1, 3, and 5 is very low. This is perfectly true, in the PDF file they look absolutely terrible and it is very disappointing. However the problem is with the PDF conversion process used by GigaScience. This is not something that authors can change. Please click on the links embedded in the PDF (in the upper right corner of the pages containing the figures) to download the original high resolution files for the figures. You will see that they are of high quality.

We hope that our paper will now be acceptable for publication in GigaScience.

Sincerely,

Guy M. Hagen
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Abstract

Background Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) is a method which can be used to image biological samples and can achieve both optical sectioning and super-resolution effects. Optimization of the imaging setup and data processing methods results in high quality images without artifacts due to mosaicking or due to the use of SIM methods. Reconstruction methods based on Bayesian estimation can be used to produce images with a resolution beyond that dictated by the optical system.

Findings Five complete datasets are presented including large panoramic SIM images of human tissues in pathophysiological conditions. Cancers of the prostate, skin, ovary, and breast, as well as tuberculosis of the lung, were imaged using SIM. The samples are available commercially and are standard histological preparations stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

Conclusion The use of fluorescence microscopy is increasing in histopathology. There is a need for methods which reduce artifacts when employing image stitching methods or optical sectioning methods such as SIM. Stitched SIM images produce results which may be useful for intraoperative histology. Releasing high quality, full slide images and related data will aid researchers in furthering the field of fluorescent histopathology.
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Data description
Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) is a method in optical fluorescence microscopy which can achieve both optical sectioning (OS-SIM) [1] and resolution beyond the diffraction limit (SR-SIM) [2,3]. SIM has been used for super-resolution imaging of both fixed and live cells [4–7] and has matured enough as a method that it is now available commercially. In SIM, a set of images is acquired using an illumination pattern which shifts between each image. As SIM has developed, diverse strategies have been proposed for creation of the SIM pattern [1,8–13]. Several different approaches for processing the data have also been introduced [3,7,8,14–16].

Recently, microscope systems capable of imaging with high resolution and a large field of view (FOV) have been developed [17–21], some using custom-made microscope objectives. However, stitching together images acquired with a higher magnification objective to create a large mosaic remains a valid and popular approach. Some published results involving stitched images suffer from pronounced artifacts in which the edges of the individual sub-images are visible, usually as dark bands which outline each sub-image [22–24]. On the other hand, several studies have proposed methods for stitching of microscope images with reduced artifacts [25–32].

The combination of SIM with image stitching methods allows collection of large FOV images with both optical sectioning and super-resolution properties. Here, we demonstrate methods and provide complete datasets for five different samples. The samples are hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained histological specimens which provide examples of human diseases (ovarian cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, skin cancer, and tuberculosis), and which are also available commercially for those who wish to reproduce our work. We used freely available optical designs [6,10,33] and open source software [33] for SIM imaging, along with freely available software for image stitching (Microsoft Image Composite Editor (ICE) [34], or a well validated plugin [26] for ImageJ [35]). Combining this with devignetted methods, we produced stitched images which are free of noticeable artifacts from stitching or from SIM reconstruction.

Fluorescence microscopy is becoming more important in histopathology. Traditional bright field microscopy diagnostic methods require a time-consuming process, involving chemical fixation and physical sectioning. The use of optical sectioning fluorescence microscopy allows high-quality images to be captured without the need for physical sectioning. Consequently, it has been shown that imaging can be performed on large human tissue samples within 1 hour after excision [36]. Additionally, other studies have shown the results of fluorescence
imaging to be usable and accurate in diagnosis of various medical conditions [37–42]. Previously, it was noted that obvious stitching artifacts significantly decrease the usability of large fluorescence images in medical diagnosis. In one case, such artifacts resulted in the rejection of over half of the images acquired [38]. The setup we describe here allows for fast, artifact-free, high-resolution imaging of fluorescent samples, and is compatible with samples stained with most fluorescent dyes.

Methods

Samples

All samples used in this study are available from Carolina Biological, Omano, or Ward’s Science. The samples are approximately 7 µm thick and are stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The commercial source, product number, and other SIM imaging parameters for each sample are detailed in Table 1. Table 2 details imaging parameters for acquisitions of each sample with a color camera.

Table 1: Imaging parameters for the SIM datasets

| Sample                | Source company and product no. | SIM pattern no. of phases | Exposure time, ms | No. of tiles | Objective mag/NA | Acquisition time, s | Stitching software |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Carcinoma of Prostate | Carolina, 318492               | 5                        | 50                | 23 × 11      | 20×/0.45         | 315                 | Microsoft ICE      |
| Basal Cell Carcinoma  | Ward’s Science, 470183-256     | 6                        | 75                | 29 × 18      | 30×/1.05         | 821                 | FIJI               |
| Adenocarcinoma of Ovary | Carolina, 318628           | 5                        | 100               | 25 × 14      | 10×/0.4          | 595                 | Microsoft ICE      |
| Adenocarcinoma of Breast | Carolina, 318766          | 8                        | 200               | 12 × 8       | 10×/0.4          | 278                 | FIJI               |
| Lung Tuberculosis     | Omano, OMSK-HP50             | 5                        | 100               | 20 × 16      | 30×/1.05         | 541                 | FIJI               |

Table 2: Parameters for the color images

| Sample                  | No. of tiles | Objective mag/NA |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Carcinoma of Prostate   | 6 × 5        | 4×/0.16          |
| Basal Cell Carcinoma    | 5× 5         | 4×/0.16          |
Microscope setup and data acquisition

We used a home-built SIM setup based on the same design as described previously [6,10,15] (Fig. 1). The SIM system is based on an IX83 microscope (Olympus) equipped with a Zyla 4.2+ sCMOS camera (Andor) under the control of IQ3 software (Andor). We used the following Olympus objectives: UPLSAPO 4×/0.16 NA, UPLSAPO 10×/0.4 NA, LUCPLFLN 20×/0.45 NA, and UPLSAPO 30×/1.05 NA silicone oil immersion. For color images we used an aca1920-40uc color camera (Basler) under control of Pylon software (Basler). We used a MS-2000 motorized microscope stage (Applied Scientific Instrumentation) to acquire tiled SIM images. In all datasets, the stage scanning was configured such that all image edges overlapped by 20%.

Briefly, the SIM system uses a ferroelectric liquid crystal on silicon (LCOS) microdisplay (type SXGA-3DM, Forth Dimension Displays). This device has been used previously in SIM and related methods in fluorescence microscopy [5,10,15,33,43–47] and allows one to produce patterns of illumination on the sample which can be reconfigured at will by changing the image displayed on the device. The light source (Lumencor Spectra-X) is toggled off between SIM patterns and during camera readout. Close synchronization between the camera acquisitions, light source, and microdisplay ensures rapid image acquisition, helps reduce artifacts, and reduces light exposure to the sample. The supplementary material contains more information about system integration.

INSERT FIGURE 1

SIM data processing

SIM reconstructions were performed in the same way as previously described using SIMToolbox, an open-source and freely available program that our group developed for processing SIM data [33]. We generated optically sectioned, enhanced resolution images using a Bayesian estimation method, maximum a posteriori probability SIM (MAP-SIM) [15]. MAP-SIM works using maximum a posteriori probability methods, which are well known in microscopy applications [48,49], to enhance high spatial frequency image information. We then combine this information, in the frequency domain, with low spatial frequency image information obtained by OS-SIM methods,
then produce the final image by an inverse Fourier transform [15]. We typically measure the final resolution obtained by analyzing the frequency spectrum of the resulting image, as is discussed below.

The illumination patterns used here are generated such that the sum of all positions in each pattern set results in homogenous illumination. As such, a widefield (WF) image can be reconstructed from SIM data simply by performing an average intensity projection of the patterned images. This can be described by

\[ I_{WF} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I_n, \]

where \( N \) is the number of pattern phases, \( I_n \) is the image acquired on the \( n \)th illumination position, and \( I_{WF} \) is the WF reconstruction. This is the method we used to generate WF images throughout this study.

**Vignetting correction**

Following SIM reconstruction, vignetting artifacts remain in each tile. If not removed prior to stitching, this vignetting introduces a distracting grid pattern in the final stitched image. We performed vignette removal by dividing each tile of the mosaic by an image representing the vignetting profile common to all tiles. Other studies have used an image of a uniformly fluorescent calibration slide as a reference for vignette removal [36], where information concerning non-uniform illumination is captured. However, we found that SIM processing introduces vignetting artifacts beyond those due to non-uniform illumination. Additionally, these artifacts vary depending on properties of the sample being imaged. As such, performing pre-acquisition calibration on a uniformly fluorescent slide is not sufficient to remove vignetting artifacts from SIM reconstructions. Instead, an estimate of the vignetting profile is found through analysis of the mosaic tiles after SIM reconstruction.

A blurred average intensity projection of the tiles is a good approximation of the vignetting profile, as an average intensity projection merges the tiles into a single image with reduced foreground information while preserving vignetting. Subsequent blurring with an appropriate radius and edge-handling method also eliminates the high spatial frequency foreground without impacting the low spatial frequency illumination profile. To eliminate errors during the blurring step due to the blurring area extending outside the original image, we used an edge handling method in which the blurring area is reduced near the edges of the image such that no values outside the image border are sampled. Unlike edge handling methods in which the image is padded with a uniform value (or
mirrored and tiled) to accommodate a blurring area which extends beyond the original image limits, this method is free from major artifacts, such as erroneous brightness of the image edges (see supplementary figure S1). This approximation of the illumination profile works especially well for histological samples, as such samples are non-sparse and require many tiles, factors which improve the accuracy this approach. We performed all steps of this devignetting process using built-in functions and the ‘Fast Filters’ plugin in ImageJ [50]. The effect of devignetting is illustrated in Fig. 2.

**INSERT FIGURE 2**

*Image Stitching*

With visible vignetting removed, we then stitched together a composite image from the tiles. The pre-processing allows for stitching to be done in various stitching applications; Microsoft ICE and Preibisch’s plugin for FIJI [26] were used to stitch the data presented here.

The data processing procedure is summarized in Fig. 3. The total time for processing each dataset was about 30 min.

**INSERT FIGURE 3**

*Color image data processing methods*

We created color overview images by stitching devignetted brightfield acquisitions. Devignetting was performed simply by adding the inverse of an empty brightfield acquisition to each color tile using ImageJ. For this method to produce optimal results, the empty brightfield image must be acquired in conditions identical to those of the raw tile data, such that the illumination profile in the empty image matches that of the unprocessed tiles. This simple operation removes nearly all visible vignetting and color balance artifacts within each tile. The results after devignetting were then stitched using Preibisch’s plugin for FIJI [26].

*Resolution measurement*

We evaluated our results by measuring image resolution using SR Measure Toolbox. SR Measure Toolbox [51] measures the resolution limit of input images through analysis of the normalized, radially averaged power spectral density (PSD$_{oa}$) of the images, as previously described [6]. Briefly, the resolution limit in real space
is determined by evaluating the cutoff frequency in Fourier space. The cutoff frequency is estimated by calculating the spatial frequency at which the PSDca (after noise correction) drops to zero.

Focusing on the basal cell carcinoma sample, we selected 125 (out of 522 total) image tiles, calculated the PSD and resolution for each tile, and averaged the results. We found that, in the case of this sample, the image resolution was $593 \pm 20$ nm for WF and $468 \pm 2.5$ nm for MAP-SIM (average ± standard deviation). This data was acquired with a UPLSAPO 30×/1.05 NA silicone oil immersion objective. Figure 4 shows an example measurement for one image tile. Figure 5 shows a plot of PSDca for this image tile.

Results

Figure 6 shows images of a prepared slide containing a human prostate carcinoma sample stained with H&E. Fig. 6a shows a stitched color overview, and Fig. 6d shows a zoom-in of the region indicated in Fig. 6a, acquired separately using a UPLSAPO 20×/0.75NA objective. Fig. 6b shows a stitched widefield fluorescence image, and Fig. 6c shows a stitched SIM image. Figs. 6e and 6f each show zoom-ins of the stitches shown in Figs. 6b and 6c, respectively. Using the acquisition and processing methods described, whole-slide images are produced without any visible stitching artifacts. Additionally, the MAP-SIM reconstruction method produces resolution superior to that of the widefield data.

Figures 7-10 show similar comparisons for basal cell carcinoma, ovary adenocarcinoma, breast adenocarcinoma, and tuberculosis of the lung, respectively.

The data shown in figures 6-10 is freely available through Giga DB [reference to be added]. This dataset includes all color overviews as well as WF and MAP-SIM stitches at full resolution. In addition, all image tiles (prior to devignetting) used to create the WF and MAP-SIM stitches of the basal cell carcinoma sample are provided.
Many past studies into stitching of SIM mosaics have suffered from noticeable image artifacts, arising from flaws in the optical setups used as well as imperfections in the SIM reconstruction and image stitching processes. While these artifacts are sometimes minimal enough to remain uncorrected, certain artifacts seriously inhibit the usefulness of the final stitched image. In [23], the authors note that issues in triggering and evenly illuminating the microdisplay being used for illumination resulted in striping and vignetting artifacts; similarly, in [22,24,36,52], stitching artifacts are apparent in the images. Here, optimization of the optical setup, camera-microdisplay synchronization, and image processing methods yielded whole-slide images free from visible SIM or image stitching artifacts. In addition to the elimination of artifacts, our use of SIMToolbox to perform SIM reconstruction on the data allows for a variety of reconstruction algorithms to be used, including super-resolution algorithms such as MAP-SIM. This too presents an improvement over previous works. Our methods also allow for stitching of high-magnification tiles into large-FOV images with subdiffractive detail (see supplementary Fig. S3).

Another advantage of the acquisition and processing methods demonstrated here is the minimization of user intervention, and in turn, reductions in acquisition and processing time. Firstly, the use of Andor IQ during acquisition allows for stage movement, sample focusing, image acquisition, and SIM pattern advancement to be controlled automatically. Loading of the sample, definition of the mosaic edges, and manual focus on 3-5 positions of the sample are the only steps needed to be taken by the user before acquisition can begin. Recent developments in autofocus technology for SIM may allow for the manual focus step to be shortened or omitted [52]. These automated steps during acquisition allow for large mosaics to be acquired. The quality of the final stitched images does not degrade for larger mosaics – in fact, the quality of the devignetting process improves with larger datasets, as more data is available to produce an accurate estimation of the illumination profile. SIMToolbox (version 2.0), which is capable of utilizing the processing power of modern consumer graphics cards during MAP-SIM processing, also reduces the time spent during the data processing phase. Finally, unlike other super-resolution reconstruction
methods such as SR-SIM, MAP-SIM is able to produce artifact-free results without tuning of reconstruction
parameters by the user, a process which is difficult to automate and requires significant user experience.

One drawback the method presented here is the inability to image the entire volume of samples thicker than
~0.5 mm. However, this limitation does not prevent large, unsectioned samples from being imaged, as is the case
with bright field microscopy, where samples must be thin enough for transmitted light to reach the objective. Rather,
as the light which illuminates the sample in fluorescence microscopy emanates from the objective, all surface
regions of a large sample may be imaged. Additionally, due to the optical sectioning exhibited by SIM, light from
out-of-focus regions of the sample is almost completely attenuated. Consequently, imaging the surfaces of large
samples with SIM produces high-contrast images of thin regions without the need for physical sectioning, as
previously demonstrated [23,36].

Here, we demonstrated our imaging techniques on traditionally prepared histopathological samples in order
to provide a comparison between bright field imaging and SIM, but the same techniques can be used to image a
wide variety of fluorescently labelled samples, as demonstrated in the supplementary material. The ability to
seamlessly image the entire surface region of large samples has multiple potential applications in histopathology.
SIM presents unique advantages in analyzing the surgical margins of large tissue excisions, as demonstrated by
Wang [36]. Briefly, due to the ability of SIM to image an unsectioned sample, analysis of surgical margins using
SIM requires imaging of far less surface area than that needed for bright field imaging. Confocal imaging of core
needle biopsy samples has been previously demonstrated to produce images suitable for medical diagnosis [42], a
practice easily adapted to SIM. The speed at which sample preparation and image acquisition can be performed in
fluorescence microscopy presents opportunities for intra-operative analysis of tissue samples using SIM techniques,
as mentioned by multiple other studies [23,36,53,54].

Reuse potential

The data provided here presents various opportunities for reuse. Firstly, the unstitched image tiles of the
basal cell carcinoma sample provided in the dataset, which still contain vignetting artifacts, may be used to
reproduce the results of our devignetting process, as well as to further develop more sophisticated devignetting
approaches suited for SIM. These tiles might also be used to create or modify existing stitching software for global
minimization of stitching artifacts. For example, the frequency-domain detection of periodic stitching artifacts
discussed in the supplementary material could be used to minimize such artifacts in developing new stitching software. Note that the image tiles from the other samples in the dataset are provided after devignetting. With the multiple high-resolution color overviews and stitched SIM images, comparison of structures visible in the brightfield and fluorescent images could be performed to further study the use of fluorescence microscopy in histopathology.

**Availability of source code and requirements**

Project name: SIMToolbox version 2.12

Project home page: [http://mmtg.fel.cvut.cz/SIMToolbox/](http://mmtg.fel.cvut.cz/SIMToolbox/)

Operating system: platform independent

Programming language: MATLAB

License: GNU General Public License v3.0

**Detailed software compatibility notes**

The SIMToolbox GUI was compiled with MATLAB 2015a and tested in Windows 7 and 8. The GUI is a stand-alone program and does not require MATLAB to be installed. To use the MATLAB functions within SIMToolbox (i.e., without the GUI), MATLAB must be installed. The functions were mainly developed with 64 bit MATLAB versions 2012b, 2014a, 2015a in Windows 7. When using SIMToolbox functions without the GUI, the MATLAB “Image Processing Toolbox” is required. SIMToolbox also requires the “MATLAB YAML” package to convert MATLAB objects to/from YAML file format. Note that this package is installed automatically when using the GUI.

**Availability of data**

All raw and analyzed data is available on GigaDB at [http://gigadb.org/site/index](http://gigadb.org/site/index).
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Simplified diagram of SIM system. LCOS, liquid crystal on silicon

Figure 2: Vignetting artifacts and their removal. (a) shows the result of stitching images without applying the devignetting process, while (b) shows a stitch of the same data after devignetting has been applied. (c) shows the average intensity projection of the images used to stitch (a), which estimates the vignette profile of each frame. This estimate can be refined by application of an edge-limited blurring filter, as shown in (d). (e) shows the average intensity projection of the data used in (b), after devignetting has been applied. The uniform brightness of (e) indicates that no major vignetting artifacts remain in the devignetted data.

Figure 3. Panoramic SIM data processing workflow. Devignetting was performed after SIM reconstruction. Note the vignette profile differs between reconstruction methods, necessitating separate projection, blurring and division steps. Av Int Proj refers to average intensity projection.

Figure 4. Evaluating image resolution. (a) and (b) show a tile from the data in Fig. 7 (basal cell carcinoma sample) after widefield and MAP-SIM reconstruction, respectively. (c) and (d) each show a zoom-in of (a) and (b), respectively. (e) and (f) each show the FFT of (a) and (b), respectively. The dotted lines in (e) and (f) indicate the resolution of each image according to the resolution measurement described.

Figure 5. Normalized, radially averaged power spectral density (PSD) and resolution analysis measured on the tiles shown in Figs. 4a and 4b.
Figure 6: Carcinoma of human prostate. (a) Color overview, (b) WF stitch, (c) MAP-SIM stitch. (d) shows a region of the sample indicated in (a). (e) and (f) each show a zoom-in of (b) and (c), respectively, in the region indicated in (a).

Figure 7: Basal Cell Carcinoma. (a) Color overview, (b) WF stitch, (c) MAP-SIM stitch. (d) shows a region of the sample indicated in (a). (e) and (f) each show a zoom-in of (b) and (c), respectively, in the region indicated in (a).

Figure 8: Adenocarcinoma of human ovary. (a) Color overview, (b) WF stitch, (c) MAP-SIM stitch. (d), (g) show a region of the sample indicated in (a), acquired separately from (a) using a 10× objective. (e) and (h) show a zoom-in of (b), while (f) and (i) show a zoom-in of (c), all in the regions indicated in (a).

Figure 9: Adenocarcinoma of human breast. (a) Color overview, (b) WF stitch, (c) MAP-SIM stitch. (d) shows a region of the sample indicated in (a), acquired separately from (a) using a 10× objective. (e) and (f) each show a zoom-in of (b) and (c), respectively, in the region indicated in (a).

Figure 10: Tuberculosis of human lung. (a) Color overview, (b) WF stitch, (c) MAP-SIM stitch. (d) shows a region of the sample indicated in (a), acquired separately from (a) using a 20× objective. (e) and (f) each show a zoom-in of (b) and (c), respectively, in the region indicated in (a).
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Dear Editor:

We would like to re-submit our manuscript entitled “Artifact-free whole-slide imaging with structured illumination microscopy and Bayesian image reconstruction” for consideration in *GigaScience* as a data note. We would like to thank the reviewers for their comments about the paper. Reviewer 1 was very enthusiastic about the paper and we appreciate these positive comments about our work. Reviewer 2 was also positive, but had a few comments about the paper which were concerned with the organization of the paper and the data.

1. The first comment was that we should upload all of the un-stitched images, and to provide them as individual image files rather than as a single large file. This has been accomplished and now all of the raw and processed data is available at GigaDB. We packed all of the tiles for a given sample into ZIP files so that users will be able to download all of the tiles as a single large file. Otherwise users would have to click and download each file separately. GigaDB will probably re-package these files into a different format such as TAR.

2. The reviewer noted that we did not include certain information about the actual dataset in the main paper. For example, the directory structure, file sizes and types, etc. This information is not normally included in GigaScience articles which I have read. The GigaScience Instructions to Authors do not require this information. This information will be present on the GigaDB page for this dataset. There will be a table, generated by GigaDB, which will contain the desired information.

3. The reviewer noted that an open access dedication should be included with the dataset. This has been done.

4. The reviewer noted that, because the tissue preparations are of human origin, that information about ethics and consent should not be overlooked. Thank you for reminding us of this important point. However, because the samples were obtained commercially, it is the responsibility of the supplying company to ensure that ethical and legal guidelines are followed. I have double checked this with the Institutional Review Board (IRB) here at the University of Colorado, Colorado Springs. Because the samples are acquired commercially, and because they are completely de-identified (meaning that there is no way to connect these particular samples to the original donor), this is not considered human subject research, and approval is not required to work with these samples.

5, 6. In points 5 and 6, the reviewer is asking us to rearrange the paper by putting the items in the supplementary information into the main paper, and then to eliminate figures 7-10. Respectfully, we do not plan to do this for several reasons. I feel that reorganizing the manuscript as suggested would not improve the paper.

The whole point of the paper is to show the results of our research, in this case the results are the final, high resolution stitched images of the samples we examined. Eliminating these results from the paper would not be a good idea. For example, people working on breast cancer will be interested in the imaged breast cancer sample, people working on prostate cancer will be interested in the imaged prostate...
cancer sample, and so on. Further, the data re-use section was included in the supplementary material in our previous two papers in GigaScience. I believe this is the appropriate place for this information. Most GigaScience articles I have read do not include an actual, concrete example of data re-use like we do, and so this is a strength of our paper. Not everything can go into the main paper, and it is common practice today to publish supplementary information with additional experimental details, which can sometimes be quite lengthy.

Section 3 of the supplementary information is there for a specific reason. Almost all current research in structured illumination microscopy is performed on single cells using high magnification objectives. In the current paper we are imaging tissues over large areas, which is a quite different application. It is important for readers to realize that the methods presented here are widely applicable, including in the more typical application of SIM. Section 3 of the supplement is aimed at other people involved in the SIM field.

7. The structured illumination data processing steps are the same as were used in our previous publications. We noted this in the section ‘SIM data processing’ by stating that the SIM reconstructions were performed in the same was as previously described. What is new here is the image devignetting and stitching methods applied to microscopy images of this type.

The steps described in the flow chart in Fig 3 are already described in the text. For example in the section ‘SIM data processing’ we state “SIM reconstructions were performed using SIMToolbox...” and “We generated optically sectioned, enhanced resolution images using... MAP-SIM.” In the section ‘Vignetting correction’ we state “Following SIM reconstruction, ...We performed vignette removal by dividing each tile of the mosaic by an image representing the vignetting profile common to all tiles.” These are exactly the steps shown in the flowchart.

8. The reviewer noted that the quality of figures 1, 3, and 5 is very low. This is perfectly true, in the PDF file they look absolutely terrible and it is very disappointing. However the problem is with the PDF conversion process used by GigaScience. This is not something that authors can change. Please click on the links embedded in the PDF (in the upper right corner of the pages containing the figures) to download the original high resolution files for the figures. You will see that they are of high quality.

We hope that our paper will now be acceptable for publication in GigaScience.

Sincerely,

Guy M. Hagen