Large-scale environments of $z < 0.4$ active galaxies
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ABSTRACT

Context. Properties of galaxies depend on their large-scale environment. As the influence of active galactic nuclei (AGN) in galaxy evolution is becoming more evident, their large-scale environments may help us understand the evolutionary processes leading to activity. The effect of activity can be seen particularly by showing whether different types of active galaxies are formed by similar mechanisms.

Aims. Our aim is to study the supercluster-scale environments of active galaxies up to redshift 0.4. Our data include quasars, BL Lac objects, Seyfert, and radio galaxies.

Methods. We used a three-dimensional, low-resolution luminosity-density field constructed of a sample of luminous red galaxies in the seventh data release of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. We calculated the average density of this field in a volume of a $3\,h^{-1}\,\text{Mpc}$ sphere around each AGN for estimating the environmental density levels of different types of AGN. This analysis gives us the distribution of AGN in the global environment of superclusters, filaments, and voids.

Results. Our results show that, while radio-quiet quasars and Seyfert galaxies are mostly located in low-density regions, radio galaxies have higher environmental densities. BL Lac objects usually have low-density environments, but some of them are also in very high-density regions.

Conclusions. Our results give support to the theory of galaxy evolution where galaxies are affected by two modes of AGN feedback: quasar mode that turns a blue star-forming galaxy into a red and dead one, and radio mode that regulates the growth of massive elliptical galaxies. We conclude that quasars are in lower density environments than radio galaxies, most likely because the galaxies in rich environments have evolved to a state suitable for radio-loud activity earlier. Galaxies in poor environment have evolved more slowly, and are still going through the earlier quasar-mode feedback in galaxy evolution.
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1. Introduction

Groups and clusters of galaxies are not distributed in space randomly, but form a network of superclusters, filaments, and voids (Jõeveer et al. 1978; Tarenghi et al. 1978; Gregory & Thompson 1978; Zeldovich et al. 1982). In the cosmic density field, voids represent large underdense regions, while superclusters constitute the highest density enhancements in the universe. From the dynamical point of view, the evolution in the void regions is slow and ends early, while in superclusters the dynamical evolution starts early and continues until the present day (Einasto et al. 2005).

Superclusters consist of a wide variety of galaxy systems ranging from individual galaxies to rich clusters of galaxies aligned to filaments. Superclusters are found to contain diverse environments of virialized systems and diffuse matter with differing ratios of dark and optically visible matter (Proust et al. 2006; Gilmour et al. 2007). In addition, numerical simulations and theoretical calculations by Cen & Ostriker (1999) predict that superclusters are large-scale reservoirs of so-called missing baryons. The XMM-Newton follow-up program for validating Planck cluster candidates report the detection of a supercluster through the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect (Sunyaev & Zeldovich 1972), indicating the distribution of gas on very large scales (Planck Collaboration et al. 2011).

On cluster scales, it is well known that the density of the environment affects the properties of galaxies. The effect is detected as the morphology-density relation (Dressler 1980) and in the star-formation rates (Gómez et al. 2003). Luminous galaxies are also in general more clustered than the faint ones (Hamilton 1988).

It is increasingly evident that the global environment (supercluster-void network) also plays an important role in determining galaxy properties. Also, galaxy activity and star-formation rate seem to be influenced by the large-scale environment in which they reside (e.g. Balogh et al. 2004; Einasto et al. 2005; Gao et al. 2005; Gilmour et al. 2007; Portet et al. 2008; Skibba et al. 2009; Lietzen et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2011). For example, galaxy morphology in superclusters seems to depend on the richness of the supercluster. According to Einasto et al. (2007), there are more early-type galaxies in rich superclusters than in the poor ones. Galaxies in the cores of superclusters are also more likely to be red, early-type galaxies, while blue late-type galaxies dominate the outskirts of the superclusters. Einasto et al. (2008) found that in equally rich groups there are more early-type galaxies in supercluster cores than in the outskirts of superclusters. Also, the galaxies that do not belong to any group are more often early-type if they are in the supercluster cores. Tempel et al. (2009, 2011) show that the luminosity function of elliptical galaxies depends strongly on global environment, indicating that the global environmental density plays an important role in the formation history of elliptical galaxies. Spiral galaxies are not as sensitive to environmental density as elliptical galaxies, suggesting that the formation mechanism of...
spiral galaxies is almost independent of the large-scale environment.

Presumably the properties and evolution of galaxies are closely connected to an interplay between galaxies and their environments on different scales. While the period of active galactic nuclei (AGN) may be a short but important phase in the evolution of all massive galaxies (Cattaneo et al. 2009), an interesting question is how the different types of AGN activity relate to the global environment. Based on this background, the goal of this paper is to study the environments of AGN on supercluster scales.

The standard model of an AGN assumes that the energy is produced by the accretion of matter into a supermassive black hole surrounded by a dusty torus. The unification scheme connects the different types of AGN in this model, stating that the differences in observational properties are caused by viewing the targets from different directions (Antonucci 1993). According to the unification model of radio-quiet AGN, Seyfert 2 galaxies are observed through the obscuring dust torus, while radio-quiet quasars and Seyfert 1 galaxies are seen without obscuration. For the case of radio-loud AGN, Urry & Padovani (1995) outline two separate unification schemes: BL Lac objects are viewed from the direction of the jets of low-luminosity radio galaxies, while radio-loud quasars are more luminous radio galaxies viewed from the direction of their jets. Radio luminosity divides radio galaxies in two morphologically different classes. High-luminosity radio galaxies belong to the FR II type, while the low-luminosity radio galaxies have FR I type morphology. In FR I type galaxies the intensity gradually declines when moving farther from the host galaxy, FR II galaxies, on the other hand, are edge-brightened and have luminous hotspots at the outer edges of their radio emission (Fanaroff & Riley 1974).

While orientation of the viewing angle can explain the observed differences of some types of AGN, it is obvious that there are also physical differences in some cases. Different types of AGN activity may represent different phases of galaxy evolution. The evolutionary model presented by Hopkins et al. (2008) states that galaxy mergers cause gas inflows, which then lead to the growth of the supermassive black holes. These mergers trigger the activity observed as quasars. Activity may also be triggered by secular processes, e.g. bar or disk instabilities. These processes are likely to produce lower luminosity Seyfert galaxies (Hopkins et al. 2007), but quasars can also form without a major merger (Bournaud et al. 2011).

Croton et al. (2006) add another type of AGN feedback to the models of galaxy evolution: besides the merger-driven “quasar mode”, galaxies are also affected by lower energy “radio mode”. The radio mode starts working when gas from a static hot halo flows to the center of a galaxy feeding its supermassive black hole. The radio mode affects the galaxy by heating the gas, thereby preventing cooling. While the quasar mode is responsible for stopping the star formation and turning the galaxy into a red and dead one in a short time, the radio mode warms the surroundings of the elliptical galaxy and regulates its growth with a longer timescale. Croton et al. (2006) do not make any prediction on the nature of radio feedback. However, Fanidakis et al. (2011) show that jets can cause suitable feedback and explain the observed luminosities of AGN.

There is very little connection between the theories based on the semi-analytic models that we described above and the observed AGN. For example, Cisternas et al. (2011) find no connection between AGN activity and merger remnants: the rate of morphological disturbances in AGN hosts was low and similar to that of normal galaxies. Similar results have also been found by Gabor et al. (2009) and Georgakakis et al. (2009), both of whom conclude that AGN are not likely to be triggered by mergers. Observing signs of a recent merger in an AGN host galaxy is difficult, since the active nucleus is very bright compared to the galaxy. Studying the large-scale environments is an indirect way of finding a connection between evolutionary models and the observed AGN population. It is also possible that the environment affects the triggering of AGN through different gas content of the surrounding intergalactic medium instead of mergers between galaxies.

Environments of different types of AGN have been studied earlier on different scales (e.g. Padmanabhan et al. 2009; Donoso et al. 2010; Hickox et al. 2009; Bornancini et al. 2010). Most of the previous studies have concentrated on the environments on a group or cluster scale. In one of the first studies dedicated to the large-scale structure of the Universe, Jöeveer et al. (1978) found that radio galaxies are abundant in superclusters. In a more recent work, Gilmour et al. (2007) have found the optically selected AGN are not found in the densest regions of supercluster cores. The same is found also by Kocevski et al. (2009) in supercluster CL1604. In this work, we study the environments of different types of AGN on a large scale.

In Lietzen et al. (2009) the environments of quasars were studied using the SDSS DR-5 galaxy and group catalogs. The main result was that the nearby quasars avoid the densest areas, and are more likely to be found at the edges of the superclusters. The DR-5 galaxy data reached the distances of 500 h⁻¹ Mpc, and there were 174 quasars in the same volume. To take full advantage of the current observations we extend our analysis in this paper to the SDSS seventh data release (DR-7). To increase the volume of our sample we concentrate on the sample of luminous red galaxies (LRGs), which is an extension of the main sample of galaxies.

As red elliptical galaxies, LRGs are typically the most luminous galaxies in the Universe. According to the morphology-density relation, they are usually concentrated in the centers of clusters (Dressler et al. 1997). This makes them good indicators of clusters of galaxies and the large-scale structures (Gladders & Yee 2000). Because LRGs are more luminous than normal galaxies, they can be detected from greater distances. This makes it possible to study the large-scale structure of the universe in a larger volume when using LRGs instead of normal galaxies.

The volume of the LRG sample contains over three thousand quasars, greatly improving the statistics. We constructed a luminosity-density field based on LRGs and calculated the average environmental density around each AGN. In addition to quasars, we now also extend our studies to Seyfert galaxies, BL Lac objects, and radio galaxies. This makes it possible to see if there are differences between the different types of AGN and to test the unification and evolutionary schemes. In this paper we study the distribution of AGN in the global environment of superclusters, filaments, and voids.

In this paper we assume a ΛCDM cosmology with ΩM = 0.27, ΩΛ = 0.73, and H₀ = 100 h km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹.

2. Data

2.1. Density field data

As a basis we used a luminosity density field of luminous red galaxies to study AGN in their global environments. We used data from the seventh data release (DR-7) of the SDSS (Abazajian et al. 2009). The LRGs were selected by an SQL query requiring the PrimTarget to be either
TARGET_GALAXY_RED or TARGET_GALAXY_RED_II. Reliable redshifts were required by the conditions SpecClass = 2 and zConf > 0.95. We used K and evolution corrections by Eisenstein et al. (2001) to calculate the absolute magnitudes. For limiting the errors in magnitudes of the galaxies in our sample, we required their absolute magnitudes to be $M_*$ > −23.4.

We also used the SDSS DR-7 main sample of galaxies at $z < 0.2$ as a comparison to the LRG sample. Our data contains 583,362 galaxies in the main area of SDSS DR-7. The magnitudes of the galaxies range from $r = 12.5$ to $r = 17.77$. The main sample of galaxies is complete to 565 $h^{-1}$ Mpc distance. To suppress the cluster finger-of-god redshift distortions, we used groups of galaxies based on the LRGs that were extracted using the method described in Tago et al. (2010). The method of constructing the luminosity-density fields based on these data samples is discussed in Sect. 3.

### 2.2. AGN data

The quasar catalog by Schneider et al. (2010) contains 105,783 quasars. The catalog is based on SDSS DR-7, and it consists of objects with luminosities higher than $L_\text{bol} = -22.0$ that are spectroscopically determined quasars. We required our targets to have a spectrum taken as a normal science spectrum (SCIENCEPRIMARY = 1) and photometric measurement designated PRIMARY in the BEST photometric database. The sample is volume-limited and complete to redshift $-0.4$ (corresponding distance 1000 $h^{-1}$ Mpc). At greater distances, the limiting magnitude $i = 19.1$ starts limiting the sample. This can be seen in Fig. 1, where the quasars are plotted by their $i$ band magnitudes vs. redshift. We take this 1000 $h^{-1}$ Mpc for the upper limit in our analysis. Due to the lack of very nearby quasars, we set a lower distance limit for our study at 225 $h^{-1}$ Mpc. To study the differences between radio-quiet and radio-loud quasars, quasars with radio luminosity more than $10^{23}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ in the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty cm (FIRST) catalog were determined to be radio loud. We use the same limit that was used by Donoso et al. (2010) in order to make our results comparable to theirs. The flux completeness limit of FIRST is 1 mJy, which corresponds to a luminosity of $2.2 \times 10^{23}$ WHz$^{-1}$ at 1000 $h^{-1}$ Mpc co-moving distance (corresponding luminosity distance 1362 $h^{-1}$ Mpc). This is considerably lower than our luminosity limit for radio-loud quasars. Therefore our sample of radio-loud quasars is complete in terms of radio luminosity. With this constraint, 26 of the quasars are radio loud. Radio-quiet quasars have a radio luminosity less than $10^{25}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ or have no radio detection at all. Our final sample contains 3338 radio-quiet quasars.

For the studies of BL Lac objects, we used the catalog by Plotkin et al. (2010). They present a sample of 723 BL Lac candidates in the SDSS DR-7, from which we chose those that are labeled high-confidence BL Lac candidates with reliable redshift. We limited the sample to the main area of the SDSS and to the distance range of our quasar sample. With these limitations, we get a sample of 81 BL Lac objects.

Our radio galaxies are from the unified catalog of radio objects by Kimball & Ivezić (2008). We use their sample 5, which contains galaxies that have been detected by three radio surveys, Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty cm (FIRST), NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS), Westerbork Northern Sky Survey (WENSS), and the SDSS. We calculated the spectral index $\alpha_{20}$ for galaxies in the catalog using the radio luminosities obtained in WENSS and NVSS, and defined samples of steep and flat spectrum radio galaxies with a limiting index of $-0.5$, which was also used by Kimball & Ivezić (2008). Limited between distance 225 $h^{-1}$ Mpc and 1000 $h^{-1}$ Mpc, we got samples of 1019 steep-spectrum, and 710 flat-spectrum radio galaxies. All these radio sources have been classified as galaxies by their optical spectra. The NVSS luminosities of all radio galaxies in the sample are plotted against redshift in Fig. 2. The flux completeness limit of NVSS is 2.5 mJy, which corresponds to the luminosity $5.5 \times 10^{23}$ WHz$^{-1}$ at the 1000 $h^{-1}$ Mpc comoving distance. For WENSS, the flux limit is 18 mJy, which corresponds to $3.9 \times 10^{24}$ WHz$^{-1}$ at the upper distance limit of our sample. We set a lower limit for NVSS luminosity at $10^{23.5}$ WHz$^{-1}$ for the radio galaxies used in our analysis. This limit is marked with a line in Fig. 2, which shows that the flux limit makes the sample incomplete at redshifts more than 0.25. We did not set a limit on FIRST or WENSS luminosities, and the flux limits of these measurements may also cause incompleteness. For these reasons our radio data are not complete and limited in volume. Our method of luminosity-density field handles each target independently of the others, reducing the effects of incompleteness. The only possible problem might be biases caused by luminosity-dependency in the environment. We studied these effects by calculating densities separately for subsamples of radio galaxies with different radio luminosities.

A flat radio spectrum is a sign of spatially concentrated radio emission, which is more typical of quasars than radio galaxies.
The high number of targets in the radio galaxy sample, which are optically determined galaxies but have flat radio spectra, raises questions as to their nature. Some of these targets could be gigahertz peaked-spectrum (GPS) or compact steep spectrum (CSS) radio sources. These sources typically have powerful compact radio emission that peaks at such low frequencies that their spectra may look flat between 92 and 20 cm. However, the GPS and CSS are rare objects that cannot explain the majority of flat-spectrum radio galaxies. Kimball & Ivezić (2008) suggest that the flat-spectrum radio galaxies could be radio-emitting Seyfert galaxies or LINERs. This could explain only the faintest end of these galaxies. Some of the flat-spectrum radio galaxies may also be distant FR I type radio galaxies in which the radio emission declines rapidly outside the central parts, resulting in more compact emission with flat spectral index in NVSS and WENSS. In this case we would expect to see some redshift dependency in the fraction of flat-spectrum sources in the radio galaxy sample, which we do not detect. None of these explanations is expected to produce as high number of flat-spectrum radio galaxies as found by Kimball & Ivezić (2008). In our study on the large-scale environments, we handled the flat-spectrum radio galaxies as one group, separately from the steep-spectrum radio galaxies.

We set a lower limit for the luminosity of all the radio galaxies at $10^{23.5}$ W Hz$^{-1}$. This limitation sets the number of flat-spectrum radio galaxies to 625. We divided the steep-spectrum radio galaxies by NVSS luminosity into FR I and FR II samples. FR I galaxies have luminosity less than $10^{25}$ W Hz$^{-1}$, and there are 793 of them in our sample. The luminosities of FR II galaxies are higher than this threshold and our sample contains 47 of them.

Seyfert galaxies were selected by the criteria presented by Kauffmann et al. (2003). We required Seyfert galaxies to be detected photometrically as galaxies and to have emission lines [O iii] $\lambda$5007, [N ii] $\lambda$6583, H$\alpha$, and H$\beta$ with equivalent widths more than 3 Å. Galaxies with line ratios [O iii]/H$\beta > 3$ and [N ii]/H$\alpha > 0.6$ were selected as Seyfert galaxies. According to Hao et al. (2005), the distribution of H$\alpha$ linewidths has a minimum at 1200 km s$^{-1}$. We adopted this value as the limit between broad line Seyfert 1 and narrow line Seyfert 2 types. With these criteria, we get 1095 Seyfert 1 and 2494 Seyfert 2 galaxies.

### 3. Methods

We study the environments of AGN in the supercluster scale by using a luminosity-density field constructed of LRGs. To see the supercluster-void network, the field is smoothed to appropriate scales. The smoothing length determines the characteristic scale of the structures under study (Einasto et al. 2003). A density field with a short smoothing length is suitable for studying cluster scales, while a longer smoothing length is needed for supercluster scales. We use a long smoothing length, so that voids, filaments, and superclusters have their own characteristic density levels, which can be used to distinguish between the details of the network.

To construct a luminosity density field, we assume that every galaxy is a visible member of a density enhancement, such as a group or a cluster. To compensate for the distance dependency of a magnitude-limited sample, we have to consider the luminosities of galaxies that drop out of the surveys magnitude window. Because of that the luminosities of galaxies are corrected by a weighting factor. This was done in the same way as in Lietzen et al. (2009).

The amount of unobserved luminosity is corrected by multiplying the observed luminosities of galaxies by weight factor $W_l(d)$, which is defined as

$$W_l(d) = \frac{\int_{L_1}^{L_2} \phi(L) dL}{\int_{L_1}^{L_2} \phi(L) dL},$$

where $L_1$ and $L_2$ are the luminosity limits of the galaxy sample and $\phi(L)$ is the galaxy luminosity function. The luminosity function was approximated by a double power law:

$$n(L) dL \propto \left( \frac{L}{L^*} \right)^{\gamma} \left( \frac{L^*}{L_1} \right)^{\delta} \left( \frac{L_1}{L} \right)^{\alpha},$$

where $\alpha = -1.42$ is the exponent at low luminosities $(L/L^*) \ll 1$, $\delta = -8.27$ is the exponent at high luminosities $(L/L^*) \gg 1$, $\gamma = 1.92$ is a parameter that determines the speed of the transition between the two power laws, and $L^* = 21.97$ is the characteristic luminosity of the transition (Tempel et al. 2011).

At distances over 400 h$^{-1}$ Mpc, the LRG sample is approximately volume-limited (number density is approximately constant) (Liivamägi et al. 2011). Because of this, weight correction is not needed in this region. At distances below 400 h$^{-1}$ Mpc (redshift 0.15), LRGs are fainter than LRGs at higher distances, and thus they are not real LRGs as defined by Eisenstein et al. (2001), and they do not form a volume-limited sample (Einasto et al. 2011). However, they are similar to LRGs by many properties, and it is likely that they are distributed in the large-scale structure in the same way as the actual LRGs. We need the low-distance part of the LRG sample for comparing the LRG superclusters and the superclusters based on the main sample of galaxies.

For the nearby LRGs, it is difficult to calculate the luminosity weights because the sample has no formal magnitude limits. In this region we found the observed comoving luminosity density $\rho(d)$ and defined the weight as

$$W_l(d) = \frac{d\rho_0}{dL},$$

where $d\rho_0 = 435.6$ h$^{-1}$ Mpc $\cdot$ (z = 0.15) is used as a reference point, above which the weights are known from the luminosity function in Eq. (2). After correcting the luminosities we define a Cartesian grid for the whole survey volume, and calculate the luminosity density field on the grid using the B3-spline kernel function. Details on constructing the density field are presented in Liivamägi et al. (2011).

In this work we use a 3 h$^{-1}$ Mpc grid and 16 h$^{-1}$ Mpc effective radius of the smoothing kernel for the density field in our AGN environment study. Figure 3 shows the LRG density field. In Lietzen et al. (2009) the smoothing kernel radius was 8 h$^{-1}$ Mpc. When using the LRG sample, a larger smoothing kernel is needed because the distances between the LRGs are longer than those between the main sample of galaxies. The volume of the LRG sample is considerably larger than the volume of the main sample of galaxies. The large size of the density field causes challenges to computing power and disk space. Because of this, we use a 3 h$^{-1}$ Mpc grid instead of the 1 h$^{-1}$ Mpc grid that was used in Lietzen et al. (2009).

Although our LRG sample extends to a 1346 h$^{-1}$ Mpc distance, the weighting factors are too high for the highest distances, which cause densities that are too high at the farthest edge of the field (Liivamägi et al. 2011). These problems occur at distances greater than 1000 h$^{-1}$ Mpc. To remove the possible errors caused by the incorrect weighting at far distances, we set the 1000 h$^{-1}$ Mpc (redshift 0.4) as an upper limit for our analysis.
Fig. 3. Luminosity-density field of LRGs. The red spots are the brightest centers of superclusters, while the dark blue areas represent voids. The coordinates show the pixel number in the grid, 1 pixel corresponding to 3 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc. The upper limits of the main sample of galaxies (565 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc) and the part of the LRG field we use in our analysis (1000 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc) are marked with white lines.

We also set a lower limit for distance at 225 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc because the volume, hence the number of AGN inclosed by this distance, is small. Therefore, our sample extends from 225 to 1000 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc. For a comparison to the LRG density field, we also construct a luminosity-density field of the main sample of galaxies using the same grid and smoothing kernel. The field of main sample of galaxies we use extends from 225 to 565 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc.

The lower limit for superclusters in the luminosity density field of the main galaxy sample with 3 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc grid and 8 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc smoothing kernel is 5.0 times the mean density (Tempel et al. 2011). This limit changes as we grow the kernel size to 16 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc. We determine the new limit so that the summed luminosity of all grid points in the superclusters is the same as in the field with 8 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc kernel. We get a limit of 2.5 times the mean density for the field of the main sample of galaxies with 16 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc kernel. The LRG sample consists of galaxies that are different from the main sample of galaxies. Because of this, the luminosities of the main galaxy sample and the LRG sample are not unambiguously comparable, and we cannot use the luminosities as a comparison between these two fields. Instead, we determine the supercluster limit so that the number of density points in superclusters is the same in the LRG field as in the main galaxy field with 16 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc kernel. In practice this means that the superclusters in the three-dimensional density fields of the LRG sample and the main sample overlap spatially. The supercluster limit we find for the LRG field this way is three times the mean density.

The limiting density for void areas in Lietzen et al. (2009) was 1.5 times the mean density. Following the same procedure as for the supercluster limit, we find that the limit corresponds to the density level of the mean density in the density fields with the 16 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc smoothing kernel. The void limit is the same for both, the LRG and the main sample density fields. We define the regions with density values between the void and supercluster limit filament. The same terminology was also used by Tempel et al. (2011). The limiting densities for void, filament, and supercluster areas in different fields are shown in Table 1.

For studying the environments of the AGN, we transform the coordinates of each AGN sample in the same cartesian coordinates as the density field. We then calculate the average density of the grid points of the density field at less than 3 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc from each object (i.e. the nearest grid points surrounding the target). This gives us the local value of the low-resolution density field.
Table 1. Definitions of voids, filaments, and superclusters in the luminosity-density fields (in units of mean density).

| Field | Void | Filament | Supercluster |
|-------|------|----------|-------------|
| Main sample, 8 h⁻¹ Mpc kernel | D < 1.5 | 1.5 < D < 5.0 | D > 5.0 |
| Main sample, 16 h⁻¹ Mpc kernel | D < 1.0 | 1.0 < D < 2.5 | D > 2.5 |
| LRG, 16 h⁻¹ Mpc kernel | D < 1.0 | 1.0 < D < 3.0 | D > 3.0 |

Table 2. Number of objects, average environmental density of the LRG field, and percentage of objects in voids, filaments, and superclusters of different types of AGN between the distances from 225 h⁻¹ Mpc to 1000 h⁻¹ Mpc.

| Type | N | Average density | Void (%) | Filament (%) | Supercluster (%) |
|------|---|----------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|
| Radio-quiet quasars | 3338 | 1.71 ± 0.03 | 38 | 46 | 15 |
| Seyfert 1 galaxies | 1095 | 1.73 ± 0.04 | 34 | 51 | 15 |
| Seyfert 2 galaxies | 2494 | 1.65 ± 0.03 | 35 | 52 | 13 |
| Radio-loud quasars | 26 | 1.8 ± 0.3 | 35 | 42 | 23 |
| BL Lac objects | 81 | 2.5 ± 0.2 | 26 | 42 | 32 |
| Flat-spectrum radio galaxies | 624 | 2.60 ± 0.07 | 13 | 55 | 32 |
| FR I radio galaxies | 793 | 3.01 ± 0.07 | 10 | 48 | 42 |
| FR II radio galaxies | 43 | 3.2 ± 0.4 | 19 | 28 | 53 |
| LRGs (nonactive) | 75 712 | 2.862 ± 0.007 | 8 | 54 | 38 |

4. Results

We calculated the average density of the environment for our samples of quasars, BL Lac objects, radio galaxies, and Seyfert 1 and 2 galaxies. The quasars were divided into radio-quiet and radio-loud subsamples, and radio galaxies into flat-spectrum radio galaxies, steep-spectrum FR I galaxies, and steep-spectrum FR II galaxies as discussed in Sect. 2.2. For comparison, we also calculated the densities in the environments of LRGs. We limited the LRGs between the distances 400 h⁻¹ Mpc and 1000 h⁻¹ Mpc. Between these distances the LRG sample is approximately volume-limited. Table 2 shows the average density of the LRG density field for all objects of each type. It also shows the fraction of objects in void areas (less than 1 times the mean density), filament areas (between 1 and 3 mean densities), and superclusters (more than 3 times the mean density). The average densities are shown in units of mean density of the whole density field, and the errors are standard errors of the average.

Fractional distributions of the environmental densities of different types of AGN are shown in Fig. 4. The top-left panel gathers together radio-quiet quasars, FR I radio galaxies and the non-active LRGs for comparison. The top-right panel gives the distributions of FR I radio galaxies and BL Lac objects, which are thought to be similar objects viewed from different directions. The panel also plots the distribution of flat-spectrum radio galaxies. The bottom-left panel shows the distributions of radio-loud quasars and FR II radio galaxies, which make another unified group of AGN types. The bottom-right plot shows the distributions of radio-quiet AGN, i.e. Seyfert galaxies and radio-quiet quasars. Error bars in the histograms show Poissonian errors.

Table 3 shows the distributions of AGN in the luminosity-density field of the main sample of galaxies. This density field reaches the distance of 565 h⁻¹ Mpc. The average densities are shown in units of mean density of the whole density field, and the errors are standard errors of the average. The distributions in the LRG field with the same distance limit are shown in Table 4. The differences in the distribution of AGN in voids, filaments, and superclusters between the main sample field and the LRG field are small. This increases the credibility of using LRGs as indicators of the large-scale structures.

Our results for the environments in the LRG density field indicate that radio galaxies are more likely found in supercluster areas than radio-quiet quasars, which are mainly in low-density regions. Radio galaxies are actually distributed very similarly to LRGs themselves. This can be seen in the top lefthand panel of Fig. 4. To test the similarities of different samples we performed the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for our original unbinned results. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test confirms that the radio-quiet quasars and FR I radio galaxies are not from the same population at a level of more than 99.9% significance.

The distribution of flat-spectrum radio galaxies is very similar to the distribution of steep-spectrum radio galaxies. This similarity can be seen in the top righthand panel of Fig. 4, which shows the distributions of flat-spectrum radio galaxies and steep-spectrum FR I radio galaxies. This panel also plots the distribution of BL Lac objects, which are believed to be FR I radio galaxies viewed from the direction of the jet. This unification scheme predicts BL Lac objects to be in similar environments to FR I galaxies. The fraction of BL Lac objects in void regions is higher than for FR I galaxies, but the difference is small when considering the error limits. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test gives a p-value of 0.06 for the likelihood that BL Lac objects and FR I galaxies are distributed similarly. This does not confirm that these samples are statistically different, but the higher fraction of BL Lac objects in the voids may suggest that at least some of them have different origins from the FR I galaxies.

The unification scheme of more luminous radio-loud AGN unifies radio-loud quasars with FR II radio galaxies. The distribution of these types in different environments is shown in the histograms plotted in the bottom lefthand panel of Fig. 4. The radio-loud quasars in our sample show a slightly similar distribution to BL Lac objects: approximately 30% of both of these types are in the voids, but also a considerable fraction is found in superclusters. Of the 26 radio-loud quasars, six are in supercluster areas. Their number is so small that random variation may play a role in their distribution. Because of this, we cannot say anything definitive about their distribution. FR II galaxies are less usual in void areas, and seem to be distributed like FR I galaxies. This similarity may be caused by our making the division between FR I and FR II types based on only radio luminosity. We do not have any information on the morphology of our radio galaxies, which is the primary criterion of classification. The luminosity-based classification may be less certain in separating the physically different types. This may also happen when dividing quasars into radio-quiet and radio-loud samples. If radio-loud and radio-quiet AGN are of different origins, the
Fig. 4. Distributions of AGN in different levels of environmental density in the luminosity-density field of LRGs. The top-left panel shows the distributions of radio-quiet quasars, FR I radio galaxies, and LRGs. FR I radio galaxies, BL Lac objects, and flat-spectrum radio galaxies are shown in the top-right panel and FR II radio galaxies and radio-loud quasars in the bottom-left panel. The bottom-right panel shows the distributions of radio-quiet quasars and Seyfert galaxies. Densities are shown in units of mean density of the density field. Error bars show Poissonian errors. The vertical lines separate the density levels of voids, filaments, and superclusters.

Table 3. Environmental properties of different types of AGN in the luminosity-density field of the main sample of galaxies at distances from $225 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ to $565 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$.

| Type                       | N  | Average density | Void (%) | Filament (%) | Supercluster (%) |
|----------------------------|----|-----------------|----------|--------------|------------------|
| Radio-quiet quasars        | 411| 1.52 ± 0.05     | 30       | 59           | 11               |
| Seyfert 1 galaxies         | 625| 1.58 ± 0.04     | 26       | 62           | 12               |
| Seyfert 2 galaxies         | 1701| 1.51 ± 0.02    | 28       | 60           | 11               |
| BL Lac objects             | 17 | 1.9 ± 0.3       | 12       | 65           | 24               |
| Flat-spectrum radio galaxies| 189| 1.81 ± 0.06     | 11       | 72           | 17               |
| FR I radio galaxies        | 174| 1.89 ± 0.07     | 14       | 66           | 20               |

Table 4. Environmental properties of different types of AGN in the luminosity-density field of LRGs at distances from $225 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ to $565 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$.

| Type                       | N  | Average density | Void (%) | Filament (%) | Supercluster (%) |
|----------------------------|----|-----------------|----------|--------------|------------------|
| Radio-quiet quasars        | 411| 1.65 ± 0.07     | 36       | 52           | 12               |
| Seyfert 1 galaxies         | 625| 1.74 ± 0.05     | 33       | 53           | 14               |
| Seyfert 2 galaxies         | 1701| 1.62 ± 0.03    | 35       | 53           | 12               |
| BL Lac objects             | 17 | 2.2 ± 0.5       | 24       | 53           | 24               |
| Flat-spectrum radio galaxies| 189| 2.19 ± 0.09     | 14       | 65           | 21               |
| FR I radio galaxies        | 174| 2.3 ± 0.2       | 17       | 57           | 26               |

division by luminosity is not necessarily as sharp as we have assumed.

The difference between the distributions of FR II galaxies and radio-loud quasars looks large in Fig. 4, but the small number of targets in these samples make the errors larger. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test gives $p$-value of 0.03 for the similarity of radio-loud quasars and FR II galaxies.

The difference between the environments of radio-loud quasars and radio galaxies has also been detected by Donoso et al. (2010). Using a cross-correlation function between AGN
and LRGs with photometric redshifts, they find that radio-loud quasars are in lower density environments than radio-loud AGN. Our results support theirs even though we study AGN at lower redshifts, which limits the number of high radio-luminosity AGN in our sample, and thus causes uncertainty in our results. Our results on radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars are also quite similar to the results of Donoso et al. (2010). There is no difference between the large-scale environments of radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars.

The distribution of Seyfert galaxies in different environments is close to that of radio-quiet quasars. We show their distribution in the bottom righthand panel of Fig. 4. Despite their apparent similarity, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests between these samples give low p-values: the probability is 0.001 for the similarity of radio-quiet quasars and Seyfert 2 galaxies, and between Seyfert 1 and 2 types 0.02.

Figure 5 shows the redshift dependence of the average environmental density of different types of AGN. The top panel of the figure shows that the densities of radio-quiet AGN keep constant at different redshifts, while the radio galaxies at higher redshift are in higher density environments. This redshift-dependency could be caused by evolution but it could also come from selection effects. If high-luminosity radio galaxies are intrinsically in higher density environments than the fainter ones, a redshift dependency is observed because we only detect the most luminous galaxies at the highest redshifts. For quasars this is not a problem because the sample is volume-limited, and it should represent the true number density of quasars at all redshifts. To test for possible observational selection effects we divided the radio galaxies into three luminosity bins: $10^{23.5} < L < 10^{24} \text{W Hz}^{-1}$, $10^{24} < L < 10^{24.5} \text{W Hz}^{-1}$, and $10^{24.5} < L < 10^{25} \text{W Hz}^{-1}$. The bottom panel of Fig. 5 shows the dependence for radio galaxies in these three luminosity bins. Both steep and flat spectrum radio galaxies are included in this analysis to increase the data. Figure 5 shows that the relation between the density of the environment and redshift does not depend on the radio luminosity. We conclude that the radio luminosity does not cause a selection effect that would make us detect radio galaxies only in high-density environments at higher redshifts. Unlike radio galaxies, quasars have similar environments regardless of their redshift. We find no correlation between the absolute optical magnitudes or radio luminosities of quasars and their environments.

5. Discussion

Our results indicate that quasars are found in low-density areas in the large-scale structure of the Universe. This is consistent with the results in Lietzen et al. (2009), as well as several other studies, such as Padmanabhan et al. (2009) and Donoso et al. (2010). Also our result that radio galaxies have denser environments than quasars is supported by Donoso et al. (2010). Similarly, Hickox et al. (2009) found that radio-selected AGN are in higher density regions than X-ray or infrared-selected AGN.

The high environmental densities of radio galaxies have also been found by Bornancini et al. (2010). However, unlike Bornancini et al. (2010), we do not find any difference between flat and steep-spectrum radio galaxies. We also did not find any luminosity dependence in the environments of radio-quiet AGN, like Strand et al. (2008) did. These differences may be due to the different scale. Both Bornancini et al. (2010) and Strand et al. (2008) have studied the densities on the scale of an individual cluster of galaxies. Our method shows the average density on a large scale, and the situation may be different on the scale of individual clusters.

On a larger scale, Mountrichas et al. (2009) determine an integrated correlation function up to scales of $20 \text{h}^{-1} \text{Mpc}$. They do not find any significant differences between quasars with different magnitudes. This supports our result that the large-scale environments of quasars do not depend on the optical magnitudes. The same method was used by da Ângela et al. (2008) to study the magnitude redshift dependency of quasar environments. Also their results suggest that the environments of quasars do not change as a function of magnitude or redshift.

While the radio-quiet quasars are mostly located in low-density environments, radio galaxies are more likely in high density environments. The high density environments of radio galaxies was also detected on cluster scales by Wake et al. (2008), who find that the central brightest cluster galaxies are often radio loud.

The unified scheme predicts that BL Lac objects would be in the same kind of environments as FR I radio galaxies, while radio-loud quasars should have environments that are similar to FR II galaxies. Our results suggest that FR I and FR II galaxies are both likely to be in high-density environments. BL Lac objects are more often in low-density regions, but a larger fraction of BL Lacs than radio-quiet AGN are in superclusters. Since the
number of radio-loud quasars and FR II galaxies is very small, we cannot say anything conclusive about their unification. A more detailed study on the smaller scale environment of these objects could tell us more about whether they can be unified.

There is controversy about whether a difference exists between radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars. According to Shen et al. (2009), radio-loud quasars have denser environments than radio-quiet quasars. On the other hand, Donoso et al. (2010) find no significant difference. Also our results show no dependency on the radio luminosity of quasars. Donoso et al. (2010) speculate that the disagreement with Shen et al. (2009) may have been due to different redshift ranges. When interpreting these results, one must bear in mind that the error limits are large in all these studies. In any case, the difference is small, and detecting it may depend on the data and methods used.

We have made the first extensive study of the large-scale environments of BL Lac objects. We find that the BL Lacs in our sample were more evenly distributed at different environmental densities than other types of AGN. Although they are more common in low-density regions, a third of them are clearly in superclusters. The earlier studies of small-scale environments by Pesce et al. (2002) and Wurtz et al. (1997) support this, as their findings were also that some BL Lac objects are in rich clusters, while others are located in poor environments. Wurtz et al. (1997) also conclude that the environments of BL Lac objects resemble more quasars than FR I radio galaxies. The density distribution we get on the supercluster scale seems to suggest that BL Lacs are not part of the same population as radio-quiet quasars, which are rarely in superclusters, but they do not avoid the void regions either, like the radio galaxies do. These results cause a conflict with the standard unification scheme that predicts BL Lac objects to be similar to FR I galaxies.

The differences between the environments of different types of AGN is supported by the properties of their host galaxies. According to the morphology-density relation (Dressler et al. 1997), galaxies in dense environments are more often red ellipticals, while galaxies in less dense environments tend to be blue spiral galaxies. This was recently confirmed observationally by Lee et al. (2010). They find that red galaxies have richer local environments than blue galaxies, and early-type galaxies have richer environments than late-type galaxies. Although the active nucleus may affect the general appearance of its host galaxy, our result seems to fit in this picture. According to Hyvönen et al. (2007), BL Lac host galaxies are on average slightly redder than the host galaxies of quasars, but slightly bluer than radio galaxies. They also find that the colors of BL Lac host galaxies have a wider distribution than the other types of AGN. Radio galaxies are elliptical galaxies but have bluer colors than inactive elliptical galaxies (Govoni et al. 2000). Also Best et al. (2005) have found that radio galaxies are usually old, massive galaxies, while optically selected emission-line AGN are more spread out in host galaxy mass. According to Crenshaw et al. (2003), Seyfert galaxies are nearly always spiral galaxies. These properties all fit the morphology-density relation: radio galaxies are red ellipticals in the high-density environments, while Seyfert galaxies are spirals in the low-density environments. As Tempel et al. (2011) point out, the relation can be detected not only on cluster scale, but also in the global supercluster-scale environment. The location in the large-scale environment where a galaxy resides especially affects elliptical galaxies. Based on this background, our results for the environments of AGN give support to their role in galaxy evolution.

In Sect. 2.2 we raised questions about the nature of flat-spectrum radio galaxies. The flat-spectrum radio galaxies are distributed in different environments in the same way as the steep-spectrum FR I galaxies. This result speaks for the idea that they would be FR I radio galaxies whose radio luminosity is more concentrated near the core and then fades at farther distances. When they are observed at a high distance, the spectrum may look flat.

We assume that the low-luminosity FR I radio galaxies may represent the “radio mode” feedback and quasars represent the “quasar mode” in the evolution model by Croton et al. (2006). Based on this model, we would expect radio galaxies to originate in the steady inflow of gas from a static hot halo to the center of a massive galaxy, causing accretion into a central supermassive black hole. The efficiency of the accretion depends on the mass of the black hole and the virial velocity of the halo. This suggests that radio galaxies should be massive galaxies in massive clusters of galaxies, and therefore in high-density environments. As LRGs are massive galaxies, it is possible that they could be radio galaxies in a silent phase. This would explain why the environments of radio galaxies are similar to those of LRGs. Quasars, on the other hand, are expected to be found in environments where mergers between galaxies may have happened. According to Hopkins et al. (2008), mergers occur in small groups of galaxies. This could explain why quasars have lower environmental densities than radio galaxies.

We found a redshift dependency in the environments of radio galaxies but not in the environments of quasars or Seyfert galaxies. This dependency does not depend on radio luminosity: radio galaxies of all luminosities have higher density environments at higher redshifts. This redshift dependence was detected on the cluster scale by Hill & Lilly (1991), but they were unable to separate the possible effects of luminosity from those of redshift. We detect the same redshift dependency in the radio galaxies of all luminosities, which suggests that it is the redshift that causes the difference, not luminosity.

The results of Kauffmann et al. (2008) suggest that the majority of radio-loud AGN in the local universe are found in massive elliptical galaxies with weak or absent emission lines and little star formation. Through galaxy counts, they also find that radio galaxies reside in high-density environments on scales of a few hundred kpc and that the density does not depend on radio luminosity. They conclude that only low-mass black holes are growing and accreting at rates close to Eddington at the present day. At higher redshifts radio jets are stronger and radio galaxies are more likely to have powerful emission lines. Kauffmann et al. (2008) suggest that, since radio galaxies occur in halos with hot gas, while emission lines require cold gas in the surroundings of the galaxy, galaxies with both radio emission and emission lines need both. In the low-redshift universe, cold gas is available only in low-mass galaxies in low-density environments. This would explain the changes in radio-galaxy-population from high to low redshifts. Also Best et al. (2005) conclude that, based on their results on host galaxy properties, optically selected AGN and radio galaxies trace different populations of galaxies. Radio galaxies have more massive black holes and the galaxies themselves are more massive than optically selected AGN. Since massive galaxies are more commonly in high-density regions, this could explain why radio galaxies have high-density environments also on a large scale.

In Lietzen et al. (2009) we speculated that low-redshift quasars have low-density environments because galaxies in dense environments evolve to a certain stage earlier in the Universe than galaxies in less dense regions (Tempel et al. 2009). Since quasars represent a relatively early phase in the life of a galaxy, the galaxies which show quasar-type activity at the
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