Mitral Leaflet Separation Index in Assessing the Severity of Mitral Stenosis
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Determining the severity of mitral stenosis (MS) is important for both prognostic and therapeutic reasons. The mitral valve area (MVA) can be measured by planimetry, pressure half-time, continuity equation, and proximal isovelocity surface area methods. In this study, we propose a novel yet simple, independent measure of MS severity—the mitral leaflet separation (MLS) index. This new index could be a useful surrogate measure of the MVA. This index would also help when there is a discrepancy between severities of MS estimated by existing methods, in the presence of atrial fibrillation and in the presence of mitral regurgitation.

1. Introduction

Rheumatic mitral stenosis is an acquired progressive valvular heart disease characterized by diffuse thickening of the mitral leaflets, fusion of the commissures, and shortening and fusion of the chordae tendineae, which occur as a sequel to acute rheumatic fever. Determining the severity of mitral stenosis (MS) is important for both prognostic and therapeutic reasons. Two-dimensional (2D) Doppler echocardiography is presently the gold standard method for assessment of severity of MS [1]. The mitral valve area (MVA) can be measured by planimetry, pressure half-time (PHT), continuity equation, and proximal isovelocity surface area methods [2, 3]. Direct measurement of MVA by planimetry is accurate but is highly operator dependent and sometimes laborious. The reliability of the pressure half-time method is affected by changes in preload or left ventricular compliance. The transmitral gradient is also well correlated with MS severity. Transmitral gradient and continuity equation depend on transvalvular flow and may be affected by cardiac output and presence of mitral regurgitation.

In this study, we evaluate a novel yet simple, independent measure of MS severity—the mitral leaflet separation (MLS) index. This new index could be a useful surrogate measure of the MVA.

2. Methods

Consecutive patients of all ages and both sexes with rheumatic mitral stenosis who underwent echocardiography at Medical College Hospital, Kottayam from January 1st to June 30th 2008 were enrolled for the study. Patients with suboptimal images and/or heavy mitral valvular calcification precluding the accurate measurement of cuspal separation were excluded from the study.

In the study population, the mitral valve area was estimated by the standard 2D echo planimetry and pressure half-time methods. The MLS index was estimated by measuring the maximal separation of tip of the mitral leaflets in end diastole in parasternal long axis (PLAX) view and in apical 4-chamber view (A4C). For patients in sinus rhythm, three measurements were obtained in PLAX and A4C view each. A mean of this was taken as MLS index. For patients in atrial fibrillation, five measurements were taken in PLAX view and five measurements in A4C view. A mean of this was considered as the MLS index.

MLS index was compared with MVA assessed by planimetry and PHT. Severe MS was defined as MVA of 1 cm².
or less by planimetry or pressure half-time. Moderate MS was defined as MVA between 1 cm$^2$ and 1.5 cm$^2$ by planimetry or pressure half-time method. Mild MS was defined as an MVA of more than 1.5 cm$^2$ by planimetry or pressure half-time. Echocardiographic parameters taken were mitral valve area from 2D and PHT, mitral mean and peak gradients, left atrial (LA) size, left ventricular (LV) size, ejection fraction (EF), and cuspal separation in PLAX and A4C view.

2.1. Cuspal Separation Measurement. The maximal separation of the mitral valve leaflet tips was measured from inner edge to inner edge in end diastole in the parasternal long-axis and apical 4-chamber views. These two parameters were averaged to yield the mitral leaflet separation index.

2.2. Statistical Analysis. Linear regression analysis was used to correlate MLS index against MVA by planimetry and PHT method. The MLS index for mild, moderate, and severe MS was analysed using analysis of variance to determine if the index could differentiate categories of MS. The value of MLS index which predicted mild and severe MS with best sensitivity and specificity was determined by receiver operating characteristics curve analysis. All statistical analysis was done using SPSS 11 software for windows XP.

3. Results

Of 87 patients studied, 19 were males and 68 were females. Age of patients ranged from 19 to 73 years. LA size ranged from 3.2 to 6.5 cm. The Mean LA size was 4.45 cm. There was a strong inverse correlation with cuspal separation ($r = -0.477$) and LA size. LV size ranged from 2.7 to 5.9 cm. Mean LV size was 4.54 cm. There was no correlation between LV size and cuspal separation. EF ranged from 38 to 79%. Mean EF was 67.97%. There was a strong correlation ($r = 0.3$) between EF and cuspal separation. Mean gradient ranged from 3.4 to 32.1 mm of Hg. Average mean gradient was 9.79 mm of Hg. There was a strong inverse correlation of the mean gradient with area detected by planimetry ($r = -0.643$) (Figure 1).

3.1. MVA by Planimetry and Mitral Leaflet Separation. Mean MVA by planimetry was 1.23 cm$^2$. MVA by planimetry ranged from 0.48 to 2.34 cm$^2$. There was strong correlation with the mitral leaflet separation ($r = 0.86$) and MVA by planimetry (Figure 2).

3.2. Correlation between MVA and Mitral Leaflet Separation/Mean Gradient. Even though there is a correlation between MVA by planimetry and mean gradient, the correlation between MVA by planimetry and cuspal separation was more statistically significant ($P < .01$) (Table 1).

The coefficient of correlation between index and mitral valve area by PHT was 0.866, and its $P$ value was highly significant ($P$ value .000).

3.3. Correlation between MVA and Mitral Leaflet Separation/Mean Gradient in Presence of Mitral Regurgitation (MR).

3.4. Correlation between MVA and Mitral Leaflet Separation Index in Presence of Atrial Fibrillation. The total number of patients with atrial fibrillation was 24. The coefficient of correlation between the index with mitral valve area in patients in sinus rhythm was 0.866 ($P$ value .000) and in patients with atrial fibrillation was 0.895 ($P$ value .000), respectively.

3.5. Assessing Severity of MS. Using Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC), mitral leaflet separation less than

![Figure 1: Mean gradient and MVA.](image1)

![Figure 2: Cuspal separation and MVA.](image2)

Table 1: Comparison of correlation of MVA with mean gradient and Cuspal separation in MS.

| Gradient | Cuspal separation | Z value | $P$ value |
|----------|------------------|---------|-----------|
| 2D       | −0.64            | 0.86    | 3.2       | <.01      |

Table 2: Comparison of correlation of MVA with mean gradient and cuspal separation in presence of MR.

| Gradient | Cuspal separation | Z value | $P$ value |
|----------|------------------|---------|-----------|
| 2D       | −0.66            | 0.83    | 3.1       | <.01      |

There is a strong inverse correlation between MVA and mean gradient in presence of MR, and the coefficient of correlation was −0.66 (Figure 3). There was also a significant correlation between MVA and cuspal separation in presence of MR and the coefficient of correlation was 0.83 (Figure 4). But the correlation between MVA and mitral leaflet separation was stronger than the correlation between MVA and mean gradient in presence of significant MR ($P < .01$) (Table 2).
7.8 mm can predict severe MS with 90% sensitivity and 82% specificity (Figure 5).

Using ROC curve, mitral leaflet separation more than 10 mm can predict mild MS with 88% sensitivity and 90% specificity (Figure 6).

4. Discussion

The echocardiography is currently the gold standard method for assessing MS severity. MLS as a measure of MS severity was first proposed by Fisher et al. in 1979 [4]. The study showed a good correlation between maximum diastolic separation distance of the mitral leaflets measured by M-mode and MVA obtained invasively using the Gorlins’ equation. Recently, the MLS index, measuring the distance between the tips of the mitral leaflets in parasternal long-axis and four-chamber views, was presented as a reliable measure of MS severity and as a surrogate for MVA [5].

The main advantage of the MLS index is its simplicity and ease of measurement in comparison with planimetry and PHT. It provides a quick estimate of MS severity from standard 2D echocardiographic views without having to resort to tedious measurements as it is technically easy to obtain. The MLS index could be especially useful in situations where there is disagreement between existing methods to the assessment of severity and hemodynamic significance of MS [6]. MLS index can thus be a useful supplement to the existing echo methods for assessment of MS.

5. Conclusion

MLS index demonstrates an excellent correlation with MVA by planimetry and the pressure half-time method. It is also significantly different for different grades of MS severity, demonstrating high discriminatory ability. It thus reliably differentiated patients with hemodynamically significant MS from those without. The MLS index showed very good correlation with MVA by planimetry in subgroup analysis of patients with AF. In presence of AF, at least five MLS readings in each view were taken and averaged. Thus it remains accurate even in the presence of AF.

It is a better indicator of MS severity than pressure gradient and can be used as a reliable tool to assess the severity of mitral stenosis in the presence of mitral regurgitation when mean gradient may overestimate the severity of mitral stenosis. Thus it is a better predictor of mitral stenosis severity in the presence of mitral regurgitation.
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