The Co-occurrence of NDM-5, MCR-1, and FosA3-Encoding Plasmids Contributed to the Generation of Extensively Drug-Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae
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The rise and global dissemination of extensively drug-resistant (XDR) bacteria are often related to plasmid-borne mobile antimicrobial resistance genes. Notably, isolates having multiple plasmids are often highly resistant to almost all the antibiotics available. In this study, we characterized an extensively drug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae 1678, which exhibited high-level resistance to almost all the available antibiotics. Through whole-genome sequencing (WGS), more than 20 resistant elements and 5 resistant plasmids were observed. Notably, the tigecycline resistance of K. pneumoniae 1678 was not related to the plasmid-borne tetA gene but associated with the overexpression of AcrAB and OqxAB efflux pumps, according to the susceptibility results of tetA-transformant and the related mRNA quantification of RND efflux pumps. Except for tigecycline resistance, three plasmids, mediating resistance to colistin, fosfomycin, and ceftazidime–avibactam, respectively, were focused. Detailed comparative genetic analysis showed that all these plasmids belonged to dominated epidemic plasmids, and harbored completed conjugation systems. Results of conjugation assay indicated that these three plasmids not only could transfer to E. coli J53 with high conjugation frequencies, respectively, but also could co-transfer to E. coli J53 effectively, which was additionally confirmed by the S1-PFGE plasmids profile. Moreover, multiple insertion sequences (IS) and transposons (Tn) were also found surrounding the vital resistant genes, which may form several novel mechanisms involved in the resistant determinants’ mobilization. Overall, we characterized and reported the uncommon co-existence and co-transferring of FosA3-, NDM-5, and MCR-1-encoding plasmids in a K. pneumoniae isolate, which may increase the risk of spread of these resistant phenotypes and needing great concern.
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INTRODUCTION

Carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) has recently emerged as a major class of bacterial pathogens that pose a significant threat to global public health, since it can cause high-fatal infections, and the treatment choices are very limited (Chen et al., 2014). The emergence of antibiotic resistance arises the development of several new antibiotics, such as tigecycline (Chopra, 2002) and ceftazidime–avibactam (Zhanel et al., 2013), and the re-evaluation of old antibiotics such as Fosfomycin and polymyxin as a potential regimen for treating such multidrug-resistant bacteria (Doi, 2019). Tigecycline, colistin, Fosfomycin, and ceftazidime–avibactam were considered as the most effective agents for the CRKP infection treatments, and were even regarded as the last “trump card” to defend against CRKP (Dai, 2019). However, clinical isolates resistant to those four antibiotics emerged frequently (Petrosillo et al., 2019; Fang et al., 2020; Yabav et al., 2020; Zurfluh et al., 2020; Yusuf et al., 2021). Hence, verifying the related mechanism and demonstrating the potential of the spread of these resistant phenotypes in clinical isolate are urgent, which are the vital clues to solve antibiotic resistance.

The acquisition of antibiotic resistance was always associated with mobile genetic elements (MGEs) such as conjugative and mobilizable plasmids and transposons (Partridge et al., 2018). In Enterobacteriaceae, Fosfomycin-modifying enzymes are the important factors to inactivate the Fosfomycin, genes encoding these enzymes (fosA) are frequently found on plasmids, transposons, or within integrons (Zurfluh et al., 2020). Lipopolysaccharide modifications are the key issues to reduce the antibiotic effect of polymyxin. In addition to the two-component systems (TCSs) PhoP/PhoQ, PmrA/PmrB, and CrrA/CrrB (Mcconville et al., 2020), the plasmid-mediated mcr genes (such as mcr-1) mediated enzymes are the most noteworthy way to modify lipopolysaccharides, which not only result in polymyxin resistance, but also result in the transferring of this antibiotic-resistant-phenomena worldwide (Xiaomin et al., 2020). The tigecycline resistance is sometimes associated with the overexpression of the efflux pumps AcrAB and OqxAB (Bialek-Davenet et al., 2015). Meanwhile, mutations in tetracycline resistance factors, including efflux pumps (tetA, tetB, and tetK) (Foong et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021) and other plasmid-borne tigecycline resistance genes, tet(X) (Sun et al., 2019) and tmexCD-topRF (Hirabayashi et al., 2021), have also been reported to contribute to K. pneumoniae resistance to tigecycline. Although ceftazidime–avibactam (CAZ/AVI) exhibited remarkable inhibition to KPC carbapenemase, it is not active against Metallo-β-Lactamasers (MBL)-producing bacteria, such as blaNDM-positive isolates (Yang et al., 2020). Overall, since almost all the antibiotic resistance could be carried by various MGEs, once the bacteria obtain multiple resistant elements simultaneously, they would become resistant to those antibiotic agents, and the therapeutic options would be very limited.

The co-occurrence of multiple resistant plasmids in one isolate often results in the resistance to almost all available antibiotics, and also promotes the dissemination of resistance determinants. Several studies also reported some co-existence of resistant-genes in Enterobacteriaceae, like fosA3 and blaKPC-2 (Tang et al., 2020), or mcr-1 and blaNDM-5 (Sun et al., 2016), these co-existences make the strain become extensively drug-resistant to multiple antibiotics. Notably, although there are several reports about the co-existence of mcr-1 and blaNDM-5 in one plasmid or two separated plasmids, most of these plasmids were harbored by E. coli strains of animal origin or environmental origin, which is uncommon in K. pneumoniae (Yang et al., 2016; Quan et al., 2017; Mao et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018, 2021; Chen et al., 2019; Liu and Song, 2019; Han et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2021).

In this study, our aim was to characterize an XDR K. pneumoniae isolated from a clinical patient, which is not only highly resistant to carbapenems, but also resistant to all the alternative antibiotics, including tigecycline, ceftazidime–avibactam, Fosfomycin, and polymyxin. We applied whole-genome-sequencing (WGS) to explore the potential molecular mechanisms mediating this multidrug-resistance, and observed three key resistant plasmids. We also made a detailed analysis of the plasmid-backbone and the conjugation region to evaluate the potential movability, and applied the conjugation assay to further determine the dissemination risk of these resistant determinants. In addition to the plasmids, we described other related MEGs through the genetic comparisons as well. Overall, our goal was to report and describe a clinical multi-drug resistant K. pneumoniae clearly, and emphasize the possible risk of these strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains

To explore the molecular epidemic feature of carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae in China mainland, we randomly collected 137 carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae isolates from blood samples of individual patients at nine hospitals in eight Chinese provinces, from January 2015 to December 2018. The isolates were cultivated with LB medium. We applied WGS to analyze the presence of resistance elements among these isolates, and observed K. pneumoniae strain 1678 co-harboring multiple resistance determinants including fosA3, mcr-1, and blaNDM-5, that were uncommon in other K. pneumoniae. K. pneumoniae strain 1678 was isolated from the blood samples of a 71-year-old patient in 2018, in a tertiary hospital in Shanghai, China. Plasmid transformation and conjugation were performed with Escherichia coli TOP10 and J53 (sodium-azideR) used as recipients for the selection of tetA-, fosA3-, blaNDM-5, or mcr-1-positive transformants and related transconjugants, respectively.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the original isolate 1678 and all the transformants and transconjugants were determined by both broth microdilution and the polymyxin MIC was determined by the E-test methods following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines. Briefly, for the broth microdilution and agar dilution method, pick 1–2 bacterial clones diluted with saline to 0.5McF, and then dilute such bacterial suspension to 0.5 × 10^{-2} McF with CAMHB broth. The cells were inoculated in prefabricated commercial 96-well antibiotic culture plates or antibiotic agars, 100 µL
per well, and incubated overnight for 18 h at 37°C. E-test method using a colistin strip (concentration range, 0.016–256 µg/ml) (bioMérieux) was performed with Mueller–Hinton agar (MHA) (BD) plates in accordance with recommendations of the manufacturers. Notably, the Fosfomycin MIC was tested by the agar dilution using agar media supplemented with 25 µg/mL of glucose-6-phosphate. Escherichia coli ATCC25922 was used as a quality control strain for MIC determination. The interpretative breakpoints were based on CLSI2021 (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2021a; Clinical and Laboratory Standards Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2021b).

Quantitation of mRNA Expression
To explore whether the tigecycline-resistant phenotype was related with the overexpression of AcrAB and OqxAB efflux pumps, we applied q-RT-PCR (quantitative-real-time-PCR) to measure the relative gene expression. All the primers were listed in Supplementary Table 1. RNA manipulation and real-time PCR were performed as described previously (Zheng et al., 2018). All bacterial samples were cultured in LB medium that did not contain any antibiotics. RNA was isolated as per the protocol of the MiniBEST Universal RNA extraction kit (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). RNA samples for real-time PCR were pre-treated with DNase I (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). Real-time PCR was conducted on a 7,500 system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) using SYBR Premix ExTag (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). The expression of target genes was standardized relative to the 16S rRNA housekeeping gene rrsE. The expression levels of the target genes were compared with those of K. pneumoniae ATCC 13,883 (tigecycline susceptible). The relative expression levels of genes were calculated using the ΔΔCT method. All assays were performed in triplicate with three independent RNA preparations.

Whole Genome Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analysis
The genomic DNA of 1678 was extracted using a commercial DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Germany) and was sequenced using short- and long-read massively parallel sequencing. The paired-end short Illumina reads were used to correct the long PacBio reads utilizing proovread, and then the corrected PacBio reads were assembled de novo utilizing SMARTdenovo1. Resistant plasmid replicons were identified using the PlasmidFinder database using the minimum coverage and minimum identities of 90%. Acquired antibiotic resistance genes were identified using ResFinder2 with the default threshold. To determine whether the plasmids could self-transmission, we used the oriTfinder4 to conduct a detailed analysis of the conjugation module, including the origin of transfer site (oriT), relaxase gene, type IV coupling protein (T4CP) gene, and the type IV secretion system gene cluster (T4SS). The related insertion sequences (IS) and transposons (Tn) were determined through the ISFinder5. BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG) was used to compare key resistant plasmids with other representative plasmids to further generate circular plasmid maps. Easyfig software was used to generate comparison of gene environment surrounding the vital resistant genes.

Transformation Assay
In order to test whether these plasmids could mediate the corresponding resistant phenotype, we extracted and transformed each single resistant plasmid to E. coli Top 10, and then tested the antibiotic susceptibility of all transfectants. The plasmid extraction and transformation processes were performed as previously described (Yin et al., 2017).

We used the phenol–chloroform extraction method to extract the plasmids in 1678. Then, we mixed 4 µl extracted plasmids and E. coli Top 10 competent cells together, placed it on ice for 30 min, put it in a 42°C water bath for 90 s, and then took it out and placed it on ice for 2 min. After that, we used LB broth to resuscitate the strain, and screened the transfectants on appropriate antibiotic plates. Successful transformants were determined by PCR. All the transformants were selected in appropriate antibiotics [Amp, 100 mg/L (blaNDM−3); Fosfomycin, 16 mg/L (fosA3); colistin, 4 mg/L (mcr-1); tetracycline, 30 mg/L (tetA)].

Conjugation Assay
We applied conjugation assay (Zhou et al., 2020) to evaluate whether these resistant plasmids could be transferred or co-transferred from K. pneumoniae 1678 (donor isolate) to E. coli J53 (recipient isolate). The donors and recipients were cultured to the logarithmic phase, mixed in 1:1 ratio, centrifuged at 8,000 × g for 1 min, and then resuspended in 20 µl MgSO4 (10 mM). The resuspension was spotted on the Luria Bertani (LB) plate and incubated at 37°C overnight. Subsequently, the serial dilutions were plated in media with appropriate antibiotics [Amp, 100 mg/L (blaNDM−3); Fosfomycin, 16 mg/L (fosA3); colistin, 4 mg/L (mcr-1); sodium azide, 100 mg/L (J53 recipient)]. The conjugation frequency was calculated as the number of transconjugants per donor. All transconjugants were confirmed by PCR for the presence of fosA3, blaNDM−3, and mcr-1 genes. All the primers were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

S1-Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis Assay
The S1-pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (S1-PFGE) was performed to further determine the existence of plasmids in the original isolate K. pneumoniae 1678, and its transconjugants and transconjugants. PFGE plugs of all these strains were prepared and digested as previously described (Ai et al., 2021). Briefly, the isolates were embedded in 10 g/L of Seakem Gold gel, and digested with endonuclease S1 nuclease (Takara, Dalian, China). PFGE analysis was performed with a CHEF mapper system (Bio-Rad). The digested DNA fragments were separated for 19 h at 6 V/cm, 14°C on a 1.0% agarose gel (Bio-Rad) with
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1https://github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo
2https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/PlasmidFinder/
3https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ResFinder/
4https://tool-mml.sjtu.edu.cn/oriTfinder/oriTfinder.html
5https://www-is.biotoul.fr/
pulse times of 4–40 s. XbaI-digested Salmonella H9812 DNA was used as the DNA marker. The nucleic acid dye Gel-red (Yeasen, China) was used to stain the DNA in the gels.

**Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers of Klebsiella pneumoniae 1678**
The complete nucleotide sequences of the chromosome and plasmids p1678-2, p1678-3, p1678-4, p1678-5, and p187–6 were submitted to GenBank under accession numbers CP080445, CP080446, CP080447, CP080448, CP080449, and CP080450, respectively.

**RESULTS**

*Klebsiella pneumoniae 1678 Was a Typical Extensively Drug-Resistant Isolate*

In order to clarify the antibiotic-resistant phenotype of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 1678, we tested the susceptibility of 26 antibiotics in this strain (Table 1), especially including Fosfomycin, tigecycline, colistin, and cephalazidime–avibactam that were known for their robust bactericidal effect against CRKP. Our results indicated the *K. pneumoniae* 1678 was a representative multi-drug resistant strain, which not only exhibited high-level resistance to all β-lactam antibiotics and carbapenems, but was even resistant to tigecycline, Fosfomycin, colistin, and cephalazidime–avibactam (Table 1). These resistance profiles indicated the treatment option for the infection caused by *K. pneumoniae* 1678 would be limited.

**Klebsiella pneumoniae 1678 Co-harbouring fosA3, bla<sub>NDM</sub>–5, and mcr-1**

To further investigate the related mechanism that mediated the extensively drug-resistant resistant (XDR) characteristic of *K. pneumoniae* 1678, we used WGS to deeply describe the genomic information of the XDR bacteria. According to the MLST analysis, the *K. pneumoniae* 1678 was typed as ST485. We found more than 20 resistant elements and 5 resistant plasmids in this isolate (Table 2). Moreover, three key resistance genes were focused, which played a significant role in the formation of resistance to Fosfomycin (fosA3), carbapenems, cephalazidime–avibactam (*bla<sub>NDM</sub>–5)*, and colistin (mcr-1). In addition to the molecular detection of these crucial resistant elements, we also extracted and transformed each resistant plasmid to *E. coli* Top 10 (Figure 1A) and tested whether these plasmids could mediate the corresponding resistant phenotype. Antibiotic susceptibility results of all transformants well proved the role of the resistant plasmids (Table 2).

**Tigecycline Resistance Was Mediated by the Overexpression of RND-Type Efflux Transporters**
The mechanisms underlying tigecycline resistance are complex. Previous studies demonstrated the mutation of plasmid-borne tet(A) could be an important factor causing tigecycline resistance in *K. pneumoniae*. Accordingly, we compared the amino-acid sequence of the Tet(A) protein of *K. pneumoniae* 1678 and the mutated Tet(A) confirmed before (Supplementary Figure 1). We found the Tet(A) carried by *K. pneumoniae* 1678 owned the same mutated characteristic which Xu (Xu et al., 2021) described (Supplementary Figures 1, 3). Moreover, we also detected the mRNA expression of the tet(A) gene in the *E. coli* transformants to ensure the tet(A) gene could be expressed normally (Supplementary Figure 2). However, we could not detect the tigecycline resistant phenotype, but only the resistant to tetracycline in the tet(A)-transformants (Table 2), which indicated that this mutation may not contribute to tigecycline resistance in *K. pneumoniae* 1678.

In addition to the mutation of Tet(A) protein, the overexpression of the RND-type efflux pumps AcrAB and OqxAB has been shown to play a crucial role in tigecycline resistance in *K. pneumoniae* (Bialek-Davenet et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017). Our qRT-PCR experiments indicated that *K. pneumoniae* 1678 overexpressed the AcrAB–TolC pathway genes acrA/B and tolC (>sixfold greater than the tigecycline susceptible *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 13883 reference strain) (Figure 2A), and was also observed to overexpress *oqxA* and *oqxB* (range 6.432–10.435-fold compared with the reference strain levels) (Figure 2B). Moreover, the activating regulator of AcrAB (*ramA*) and OqxAB (*araA*) also exhibited the same expression level (Figure 2). What is more, the mutation in RamR protein was also analyzed, for it is the negative regulator of RamA (Zheng et al., 2018), and we found an amino acid mutation (L44M) compared with the reference sequence (Accession number: AD149705.1), but the phenotype is unproved. We assumed this may do a potential favor for the overexpression of AcrAB. These results showed the overexpression of RND-type efflux transporters contributed to the tigecycline resistance of *K. pneumoniae* 1678.

**Comparative Genomics of the Plasmids Carrying Resistance Genes**

We have confirmed that the key resistant genes were all located on plasmids. As plasmids are often transmissible between bacteria, and some have spread globally, we made detailed analysis of these resistant plasmids, aiming to further clarify the resistance mechanism and potential dissemination threats of *K. pneumoniae* 1678. p1678–3 was a typical IncFII-type plasmid, harboring a completed conjugation system, and shared 81% identity with p2B8067 (5.2–6.432-fold greater than the tigecycline susceptible *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 13883 strain) (Figure 2A), and was also observed to overexpress *oqxA* and *oqxB* (range 6.432–10.435-fold compared with the reference strain levels) (Figure 2B). Moreover, the activating regulator of AcrAB (*ramA*) and OqxAB (*araA*) also exhibited the same expression level (Figure 2). What is more, the mutation in RamR protein was also analyzed, for it is the negative regulator of RamA (Zheng et al., 2018), and we found an amino acid mutation (L44M) compared with the reference sequence (Accession number: AD149705.1), but the phenotype is unproved. We assumed this may do a potential favor for the overexpression of AcrAB. These results showed the overexpression of RND-type efflux transporters contributed to the tigecycline resistance of *K. pneumoniae* 1678.

The wide dissemination of *bla<sub>NDM</sub>* genes is largely mediated by certain plasmids, particularly those of the IncX3 type, which p1678–4 plasmid belonged to. Moreover, the genetic context of the p1678–4 plasmid (Figure 4A) was nearly identical to that of the human *K. pneumoniae* plasmid pNDM-MGR194 (2015, IncX3, *bla<sub>NDM</sub>*–5, KF220657.1) previously reported in India (Krishnaraju et al., 2015) and was also highly similar to p2B8067...
**TABLE 1** | Antimicrobial drug susceptibility profiles.

| Antibiotics | Transforms | MIC (mg/L)/antimicrobial susceptibility |
|-------------|------------|----------------------------------------|
|             | p1678-6 | p1678-3 | p1678-4 | p1678-5 | p1678-3 | p1678-4 | p1678-5 | p1678-3 | p1678-4 | p1678-5 | p1678-3 | p1678-4 | p1678-5 |
|             | TOP10 | Top10 | Top10 | (MCR-1) | Top10 | (MCR-1) | Top10 | (NDM-5) | Top10 | (NDM-5) | Top10 | (NDM-5) | Top10 | (NDM-5) |
| MEM         | >16/R   | ≤0.06/S | ≤0.06/S | ≤0.06/S | 16/R   | ≤0.06/S | 16/R   | ≤0.06/S | 16/R   | ≤0.06/S | 16/R   | ≤0.06/S | 16/R   | ≤0.06/S |
| IPM         | 16/R    | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | 4/R    | ≤0.25/S | 4/R    | ≤0.25/S | 8/R    | ≤0.25/S | 8/R    | ≤0.25/S | 8/R    | ≤0.25/S |
| ETP         | >2/R    | ≤0.015/S | ≤0.015/S | ≤0.015/S | >2/R   | ≤0.015/S | >2/R   | ≤0.015/S | >2/R   | ≤0.015/S | >2/R   | ≤0.015/S | >2/R   | ≤0.015/S |
| Caz/AVI     | >16/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S |
| TGC         | 8/R     | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S |
| POL         | 16/R    | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S | 16/R   | ≤0.25/S |
| FOS         | >256/R  | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >256/R | ≤0.25/S | >256/R | ≤0.25/S | >256/R | ≤0.25/S | >256/R | ≤0.25/S | >256/R | ≤0.25/S |
| AMP         | >32/R   | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S |
| CZO         | >16/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S |
| CAZ         | >16/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S |
| FEP         | >16/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S |
| CSM         | >64/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S |
| SAM         | >32/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S |
| FOX         | >32/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S | >32/R  | ≤0.25/S |
| CMX         | >16/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S | >16/R  | ≤0.25/S |
| CTX         | >64/R   | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S | >64/R  | ≤0.25/S |
| TGP         | >128/R  | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S |
| AMC         | >32/R   | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S | >32/R  | ≤0.12/S |
| LUX         | >2/R    | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S |
| NFX         | >2/R    | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S |
| TGY         | >2/R    | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S | >2/R   | ≤0.12/S |
| GEN         | >16/R   | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S |
| AMK         | >16/R   | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S |
| ATM         | >16/R   | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S |
| NIT         | >128/R  | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S | >128/R | ≤0.25/S |
| SXT         | >16/R   | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S | >16/R  | ≤0.5/4/S |

The other resistance phenotype like LVX, MFX, GEN, NIT, or SXT resistance did not present in these transformants or transconjugants in table because these elements are located on p1678-2 plasmid, not p1678-3(fosA3), p1678-4(blaNDM−), p1678-5(mcr-1), and p1678-6(tetA). The bold values indicated important resistance genes and resistance phenotypes.
Table 2 | General features, antimicrobial resistance genes of plasmids in K. pneumoniae 1678.

| Characteristics | Results |
|-----------------|---------|
| p1678-2         | p1678-3 | p1678-4 | p1678-5 | p1678-6 |
| Accesion number | CP080446 | CP080447 | CP080448 | CP080449 | CP080450 |
| Length(bp)      | 90,943  | 76,526  | 46,161  | 33,309  | 24,774  |
| GC content (%)  | 54      | 52      | 47      | 42      | 54      |
| No. of ORF      | 116     | 92      | 59      | 42      | 29      |
| Incompatibility group | IncFIIK(IncQ1) | IncFII | IncX3 | IncX4 | IncR |
| Conjugal ability | No      | Yes     | Yes     | Yes     | No      |
| Resistant genes | blacok−1 | fosA3 | blanDM−5 | mcr−1 | TetA |
|                 | aac(3)-id | aac(6)-id | aac(6)-id | aac(3)-id | aac(3)-id |
|                 | aph(3′)-la | aph(3′)-la | aph(3′)-la | aph(3′)-la | mph(A) |
|                 | su2, su1 | su2, su1 | su2, su1 | su2, su1 | aadA16 |
|                 | qnrB52 | qnrB52 | qnrB52 | qnrB52 | qnrB52 |
|                 | ARR-3 | ARR-3 | ARR-3 | ARR-3 | ARR-3 |
|                 | catB3 | catB3 | catB3 | catB3 | catB3 |

The bold values indicated important resistance genes and resistance phenotypes.

Table 2: General features, antimicrobial resistance genes of plasmids in K. pneumoniae 1678. (2021, IncX3, blanDM−7, CP070442.1). These results indicated that no matter the variants of blanDM, the IncX3 plasmid was a major vehicle in mediating the dissemination of blanDM. Similar to the IncFII plasmids described before (Bi et al., 2018), IncX3 plasmid also could be self-transferred among Enterobacteriaceae, supported with the results of the conjugation mode analysis of p1678-4 plasmid (Table 2).

p1678-5 plasmid was a 33,309-bp circular molecule with repA belonging to IncX4, harboring mcr-1 resistance element (Figure 5A). Previous studies have demonstrated that plasmids carrying mcr-1 are transferable, and IncX4 was dominant mcr-1-carrying plasmid types (Xiaomin et al., 2020). According to the genomic comparison, we found p1678-5 was almost identical to both pQDFD216-1 (CP053212.1) plasmid identified in E. coli and plasmid 16BU137 (MT316509.1) from K. pneumoniae. These results showed IncX4 plasmids harboring mcr-1 could disseminate in different species of Enterobacteriaceae, and the completed conjugative element also can be found in p1678-5 plasmid (Table 2). In addition, we also make a comparison between p1678-5 and the first mcr-1 plasmid pHSHP45 (NZ_KP347127.1, IncI2) (Liu et al., 2016), and found low identity between two plasmids (Supplementary Figure 4).

Resistant Plasmids Could Be High-Efficient Self-Transferred or Co-transferred

We have known these three resistance plasmids were all bioinformatic predicted to carry essential conjugative modules (oriT, Relaxase, T4CP, and T4SS) and most of these types of plasmids have proved to be movable (Partridge et al., 2018). However, it is not common for such three plasmids to co-exist in one K. pneumoniae, and the transferring and co-transferring pattern was unclear. Here, we applied conjugation assay to imitate and evaluate the dissemination ability of these three plasmids in K. pneumoniae 1678. We found all these three plasmids could transfer to E. coli J53 with high conjugation frequencies (1.42 × 10−4 ~ 7.9 × 10−3), especially for the mcr-1 plasmid (p1678-5) (Table 3). In addition to the self-transferring of a single plasmid, we also observed co-transfer of two plasmids and even three plasmids and the co-conjugation frequencies of two plasmids only decrease 1-log compared to a single plasmid (Table 3). Although the co-conjugation frequencies of three plasmids was low, the potential clinical threat could not be ignored, since the clonal spread will accelerate the spread of these resistance genes. Moreover, the plasmid pattern of S1-PFGE further proved the transferring profile of the K. pneumoniae 1678 (Figure 1B), and the antibiotic MICs of these transconjugants also confirmed the spread of resistance phenotype of K. pneumoniae 1678 (Table 1).

Mobile Genetic Elements Associated With fosA3, blanDM−5, and mcr−1

The capture, accumulation, and dissemination of resistance genes are not only due to the spread of plasmids, but also to the actions of other MGEs, such as IS and Tn. To comprehensively evaluate the dissemination potential of these resistance genes in K. pneumoniae 1678, we also analyze the MGEs surrounding them. IS26 seems to be the key element in the mobilization of fosA3, since it not only composes a composite transposon surrounding fosA3 in the p1678-3 plasmids, but also surrounding the fosA3 of pFOS-HK151325 plasmid (Yang et al., 2019) (2013, JX627737, E. coli, China), of pFOS18 plasmid (Yang et al., 2019) (2015, KJ653815, K. pneumoniae, China), and of p06607 plasmid (Yang et al., 2019) (2010, AB522970, first fosA3 plasmid emerged in the world). Moreover, the same IS26-composite transposons were also observed to frequently contain additionally a blacG−−M gene. Compared to the first fosA3 plasmid, there are more than 10 ORFs inserted around fosA3 in p1678-3 plasmid, with some new IS, some elements associated with transcriptional regulation, and other resistance elements (Figure 3B).

The genetic contexts of blanDM share two common features. The insertion sequence ISAba125 (intact or truncated) is always upstream of blanDM, while a bleomycin resistance gene, blcMBL, is always downstream. Further downstream of blcMBL, there are usually located trpF and dsbC genes (Figure 4B). Although the blanDM-1 in Acinetobacter spp. is located within ISAba125-based composite transposon Tn125(pNDM-BJ01, JQ001791) (Wu et al., 2019), it was always interrupted or truncated in Enterobacteriaceae. In p1678-4, Tn125 was truncated by IS5, IS26, and IS300 (Figure 4B). These new genetic contexts in p1678-4 may form a new mechanism involved in the mobilization of blanDM-5.

Similar to other mcr-1-carrying IncX4-type plasmids (Xiaomin et al., 2020), the typical IS26-parA-mcr-1.1-pap2
FIGURE 1 | SI-PFGE profiles of original *K. pneumoniae* 1678 and its transformants (A) and transconjugants (B). Lane marker was XbaI-digested DNA of Salmonella Braenderup H9812; Lane 1678 and Lane J53 were used as positive reference and negative control, respectively; transformants: p1678-6-Top10 (*tetA*), p1678-3-Top10 (*fosA3*), p1678-4-Top10 (*blaNDM−5*), and p1678-5-Top10 (*mcr-1*). Transconjugants: p1678-3-J53(*fosa3*), p1678-4-J53(*blaNDM−5*), p1678-5-J53(*mcr-1*), p1678-3 and 5-J53 (*fosa3 and mcr-1*), p1678-4 and 5-J53 (*blaNDM−5 and mcr-1*), and p1678-3 and 4 and 5-J53 (*fosa3, blaNDM−5 and mcr-1*).

FIGURE 2 | Overexpression of AcrAB and OqxAB in clinical *K. pneumoniae* 1678 isolate. The expression levels of AcrA/B (A), OqxA/B (B), and related transcriptional regulators was determined by qRT-PCR. The *K. pneumoniae* ATCC13883 is used as the reference strain (expression = 1.0). The data represent the mean standard deviation for three independent biological replicates. Differences between different strains, regarding related gene expression, were statistically analyzed using a two-tailed Student’s *t*-test with Bonferroni correction. **** *p* < 0.0001.

cassette was identified in p1678-5, with *pap2* gene partitional truncated (Figure 5B). Although ISAp1 has been described as the most common IS element adjacent to *mcr-1* at one or both ends, we did not observe it surrounding the *mcr-1* gene.

**DISCUSSION**

Extensively drug-resistant *K. pneumoniae* constitutes the major sources of nosocomial infections with extraordinary drug resistance. The prevalent resistance plasmids are responsible for the sudden increase in the population of multidrug resistance among *K. pneumoniae* isolates (Tzouvelekis et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014). In this study, we described a multi-drug resistant *K. pneumoniae* 1678 co-harboring three self-transmissible resistant plasmids, which mediated the resistance for carbapenems, Fosfomycin, colistin, and ceftazidime–avibactam. The co-existing of these plasmids not only conferred the multi-drug resistant phenotype to *K. pneumoniae*
1678, but also held the potential threat to co-transfer to other isolates.

Tigecycline has been considered as an effective antibiotic against CRKP in vitro, and is also considered as one of the last-resort antibiotics against CRKP infections (Chopra, 2002; Doi, 2019). Unfortunately, tigecycline resistance has been reported frequently in clinics (Fang et al., 2020). In this study, we found K. pneumoniae 1678 was resistant to tigecycline. We identified the tet(A) gene in this isolate, and also confirmed the mutations on Tet(A) protein (Supplementary Figure 1). Several studies had verified the plasmid-borne mutated tet(A) gene or the synergy of TetA and RND-type efflux transporters play an important role in causing tigecycline resistance (Bialek-Davenet et al., 2015; Foong et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021). However, we found the
p1678-6 plasmid, harboring mutated tet(A) gene, could not result in tigecycline resistance. According to the genetic comparison of p1678-6 plasmid with other tet(A)-plasmid (tigecycline-resistant), we found p1678-6 plasmid shared low identity with them. Further to analyze the genetic components surrounding tet(A) gene, we found the IS and Tn elements in p1678-6 plasmid also different. As the IS elements sometimes would affect promoter activity (Partridge et al., 2018), we assumed the expression discrepancy of tet(A) gene in p1678-6 plasmid with other tigecycline-resistant-tet(A) plasmids may be accounted for in this antibiotic-susceptibility phenomenon. Although the Tet(A) protein did not contribute to the tigecycline resistance, we observed the overexpression of the efflux pumps AcrAB and OqxAB in K. pneumoniae 1678, another key factor mediating the tigecycline resistance (Bialek-Davenet et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017). Hence, in this study, the overexpression of RND-type efflux pumps played a crucial role in tigecycline resistance in K. pneumoniae 1678.

Except for resistant to tigecycline, the resistant to Fosfomycin, colistin, carbapenems, and ceftazidime–avibactam in K. pneumoniae 1678 were all associated with the typical resistant plasmids. Both fosA3 and blaNDM−5 gene were located on p1678-3 plasmid, a typical IncFII plasmid. Previous studies have demonstrated that Fosfomycin-modifying enzymes were present on plasmids belonging to the IncF, IncN, IncA/C, IncH2, and IncX1 family, whereas IncF was the predominant plasmid incompatibility type (Yang et al., 2019; Zurfluh et al., 2020). Although there existed several FosA variants, FosA3 was the most frequently found Fosfomycin-modifying enzyme worldwide, and many studies have confirmed the dissemination of the fosA3 gene is closely associated with that of the ESBL gene blaCTX−M (Yang et al., 2019), which was consistent with the findings in the p1678-3 plasmid. Our results also showed the p1678-3 plasmid had the completed conservative 35-kb conjugation module of IncF plasmids (Bi et al., 2018), and the self-transmissibility of this plasmid was also confirmed through conjugation assay. As we know, Fosfomycin was a potential donor like the observation in our study (Liang et al., 2021). Therefore, according to the related genetic analysis and in vitro high conjugation rate, the threat of each single resistant plasmid would become limited.

**Table 3** Conjugation frequency of resistant plasmids identified in K. pneumoniae 1678.

| Plasmid | Resistance gene | No. of independent determinations | Conjugation frequencies |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| p1678-3 | fosA3           | 3                                 | 2.25 × 10^{-4} – 1.97 × 10^{-4} |
| p1678-4 | blaNDM−5        | 3                                 | 1.84 × 10^{-4} – 1.42 × 10^{-4} |
| p1678-5 | mcr-1           | 3                                 | 5.41 × 10^{-3} – 2.94 × 10^{-3} |
| Co-transfer of plasmids | | | |
| Co-transfer of p1678-3 and p1678-5 | fosA3 + mcr-1 | 3 | 1.78 × 10^{-5} – 1.29 × 10^{-5} |
| Co-transfer of p1678-4 and p1678-5 | blaNDM−5 + mcr-1 | 3 | 2.26 × 10^{-5} – 1.62 × 10^{-5} |
| Co-transfer of p1678-3 and p1678-4 and p1678-5 | fosA3 + blaNDM−5 + mcr-1 | 3 | 9.63 × 10^{-8} – 8.08 × 10^{-8} |

Plasmid, which sometimes makes it easier for movement. p1678-4 plasmid belongs to IncX3 type, the major vehicle in mediating the dissemination of blaNDM (Wu et al., 2019). Several NDM variants have been reported, which commonly contain between 1 and 5 amino acid substitutions compared to NDM-1. Notably, NDM-5 variant, containing the V88L substitution has repeatedly been reported to exhibit enhanced carbapenemase activity (Wu et al., 2019). MICS of etrapenem against strains producing NDM-5 were 4- or 8-fold higher than those against strains producing NDM-1 (Wu et al., 2019). Moreover, the novel antibiotic agent ceftazidime–avibactam used alone also makes no defense against NDM-5 carbapenemase (Yang et al., 2020). All this information indicated that the spread and pandemic of such IncX3-type plasmid could pose a huge risk to public health.

Like tigecycline, Fosfomycin, and ceftazidime–avibactam, colistin also is a robust antibiotic against infections caused by CRE (Doi, 2019). However, CRKP 1678 also harbored the pandemic IncX4 mcr-1 plasmid (p1678-5), which conferred resistance to colistin. Most plasmids carrying mcr-1 are reported to be transferable, and IncI2 and IncX4 are dominant mcr-1-carrying plasmid types. In previous studies, IncI2 and IncX4 plasmids harboring mcr-1 were detected in different species of Enterobacteriaceae, owing to the high transfer rate (10^{-1} – 10^{-3}) of mcr-1 plasmid (Xiaomin et al., 2020). In this study, we also confirmed the p1678-5 plasmid could be transferred from K. pneumoniae to E. coli in high in vitro transfer rate.

According to the related genetic analysis and in vitro high conjugation rate, the threat of each single resistant plasmid was verified clearly in K. pneumoniae 1678. Once clinical isolate, especially for CRE, uptake one of these plasmids, the infection treatment would be tougher. Previous studies had reported the co-transfer of resistant element, but it is usually associated with one conjugative plasmid (Costa et al., 2021; Gu et al., 2021; Magi et al., 2021). Previous studies found the mcr-1 plasmid also could co-transfer with blaNDM plasmid to one recipient, but these plasmids did not transfer from the same donor like the observation in our study (Liang et al., 2021). Notably, in this study we found the mcr-1 plasmid (p1678-5) could be co-transferred with fosA3 plasmid (p1678-3) or blaNDM−5 plasmid (p1678-4) in 10^{-5} conjugation frequency. Moreover, we also observed these three plasmids could be transferred together, though the transfer rate was not high, the potential risk should be taken seriously. Furthermore, as...
the overexpression of RND-type efflux transporters (mediating tigecycline resistance) were not rare in *K. pneumoniae* (Bialek-Davenet et al., 2015), once these movable resistant plasmids co-transmit to such isolate, like *K. pneumoniae* 1678 in this study, the therapeutic option would be extremely limited. Tigecycline, Fosfomycin, colistin, carbapenems, and ceftazidime–avibactam are considered as the most effective antibiotics to defend XDR isolates, the co-transferring and co-existing of these typical high-risk plasmids would arise a huge peril to clinical treatment since these antibiotics may all be useless.

The dissemination of resistance genes is not only via plasmids, but also via other mobile structures like transposons and...
insertion elements. IS26 plays a key role in the dissemination and mobilization of fosA3. This IS26-array forms two composite transposons and several IS26-based transposition units, and both conformations are capable of transposition and exhibit multiple movement modes. In addition to transposition, gene excision and rearrangement of gene modules via homologous recombination between IS26 scattered in the plasmid and/or genome, also drive the evolutionary process of bacteria (Partridge et al., 2018), which could explain the structure of multiple ORF insertions observed in p1678-3 plasmid. The insertion sequence ISAba125 is always upstream of blaNDM, providing the −35 region of a promoter for the expression of blaNDM (Wu et al., 2019). In p1678-4, the Tn125 was truncated by IS26 and IS3000, forming other composite transposons. The mobilization of blaNDM associated with IS26 or IS3000 transposons was also common (Wu et al., 2019). These results indicated that although Tn125 transposon
was interrupted, the movability of \textit{bla}_{\text{NDM-5}} remained. Previous studies have indicated that ISApI (always associated with the IncI2 plasmid) is a highly active insertion element and a key component required for the mobilization of the gene-cassette containing the \textit{mcr-1} gene (Xiaomin et al., 2020). However, the ISApI was absent in the p1678-5 plasmid, and in all the \textit{mcr-1}-carrying IncX4-type plasmids, ISApI in front of \textit{mcr-1} was lost (Du et al., 2020). The loss of the composite transposon ISApI might increase the stability of the \textit{mcr} gene in IncX4 plasmids, and promote the widespread dissemination of the \textit{mcr-1} gene.

In this study, we report the coexistence and co-transferring of \textit{FosA3}-, \textit{NDM-5}, and \textit{MCR-1}-encoding plasmids in a \textit{K. pneumoniae} isolate. The co-occurrence of \textit{FosA3}, \textit{bla}_{\text{NDM-5}}, and \textit{mcr-1}, and the overexpression of RND-type efflux pumps caused 1678 to be highly resistant not only to commonly used antibiotics (e.g., carbapenems, cephalosporins), but also to Fosfomycin, colistin, cefazidime–avibactam, and tigecycline, which were considered as the last line for defending XDR Gram-negative organisms. Moreover, the high rate of transmission or co-transmission of these plasmids and various mobile elements surrounding resistant genes greatly increased the risk of spread of these resistant phenotypes. The main limitation in this study is we did not apply the conjugation assay between clinical isolates, which means we could not evaluate the dissemination ability of plasmids more accurately, and the \textit{K. pneumoniae} 1678 did not exhibit any hyper-virulent phenotype. However, future studies are still necessary to evaluate the prevalence of such multi-drug resistant isolates.
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