The novel actin/focal adhesion-associated protein MISP is involved in mitotic spindle positioning in human cells
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Introduction

Centrosomes act as microtubule-organizing centers and function as mitotic spindle poles during mitosis, directing the formation of bipolar spindles.1,2 Centrosome amplification is frequent in both solid tumors and hematological malignancies and is linked to tumorigenesis and chromosomal instability.3-5 In mitosis, supernumerary centrosomes can lead to the formation of multipolar spindles, which is a hallmark of many tumor types.3,6,7 Multipolar cell division, however, is antagonistic to cell viability.8,9 In order to circumvent lethal multipolar divisions, many cancer cells cluster supernumerary centrosomes into two spindle poles, enabling bipolar division.3,8-12 The mechanisms of centrosomal clustering in tumor cells are incompletely understood. Recent genome-wide RNAi screens in cells with supernumerary centrosomes that have been performed by us and others suggest, among others, the involvement of spindle tension as controlled by the actin cytoskeleton and cell adhesion molecules as well as dynein and NuMA in this process.10,11,13 In our genome-wide RNAi screen we identified a previously uncharacterized protein, MISP (C19ORF21) as being involved in centrosome clustering, a process leading to the clustering of supernumerary centrosomes in cancer cells into a bipolar mitotic spindle array by microtubule tension. Here, we show that MISP is associated with the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions and is expressed only in adherent cell types. During mitosis MISP is phosphorylated by Cdk1 and localizes to retraction fibers. MISP interacts with the +TIP EB1 and p150glued, a subunit of the dynein/dynactin complex. Depletion of MISP causes mitotic arrest with reduced tension across sister kinetochores, chromosome misalignment and spindle multipolarity in cancer cells with supernumerary centrosomes. Analysis of spindle orientation revealed that MISP depletion causes randomization of mitotic spindle positioning relative to cell axes and cell center. Together, we propose that MISP links microtubules to the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions in order to properly position the mitotic spindle.

Accurate mitotic spindle positioning is essential for the regulation of cell fate choices, cell size and cell position within tissues. The most prominent model of spindle positioning involves a cortical pulling mechanism, where the minus end-directed microtubule motor protein dynein is attached to the cell cortex and exerts pulling forces on the plus ends of astral microtubules that reach the cortex. In nonpolarized cultured cells integrin-dependent, retraction fiber-mediated cell adhesion is involved in spindle orientation. Proteins serving as intermediaries between cortical actin or retraction fibers and astral microtubules remain largely unknown. In a recent genome-wide RNAi screen we identified a previously uncharacterized protein, MISP (C19ORF21) as being involved in centrosome clustering, a process leading to the clustering of supernumerary centrosomes in cancer cells into a bipolar mitotic spindle array by microtubule tension. Here, we show that MISP is associated with the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions and is expressed only in adherent cell types. During mitosis MISP is phosphorylated by Cdk1 and localizes to retraction fibers. MISP interacts with the +TIP EB1 and p150glued, a subunit of the dynein/dynactin complex. Depletion of MISP causes mitotic arrest with reduced tension across sister kinetochores, chromosome misalignment and spindle multipolarity in cancer cells with supernumerary centrosomes. Analysis of spindle orientation revealed that MISP depletion causes randomization of mitotic spindle positioning relative to cell axes and cell center. Together, we propose that MISP links microtubules to the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions in order to properly position the mitotic spindle.
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receptors involved in the assembly of focal adhesions, have also been demonstrated to play a role in orienting the mitotic spindle parallel to the substrate in tissue culture.21 While cells round up in mitosis, they remain connected to the adhesive substrate through actin-rich retraction fibers. Laser ablation experiments of cells on ECM micropatterns revealed that retraction fibers provide external cues necessary for the proper orientation of mitotic spindles.20 Interaction of astral microtubules with cortical structures is mediated by microtubule plus-end-binding proteins (+TIPs), which include EB1, adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) and dynein, with dynein being recruited by a complex containing NuMA.14,22-25

With regard to centrosomes, it has been shown that deletion of focal adhesion kinase (FAK), a tyrosine kinase that is recruited to focal adhesions and activated as an early consequence of integrin clustering upon ligand binding, results in multipolar mitotic spindles in endothelial cells.26,27 Also, depletion or inhibition of integrin-linked kinase (ILK), a serine-threonine kinase and scaffold protein at focal adhesions, leads to mitotic spindle defects and inhibition of centrosomal clustering in cancer cells with supernumerary centrosomes.28,29

In this study, we show that the previously uncharacterized protein MISP is predominantly expressed in adherent cell lines and colocalizes with the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions in interphase cells as well as with retraction fibers during mitosis. Furthermore, MISP interacts with FAK, the dynactin subunit p150glued and the +TIP protein EB1 and is phosphorylated during mitosis, most probably by Cdk1. Depletion of MISP caused mitotic arrest and impaired mitotic spindle positioning and orientation. Also MISP knockdown reduced tension across sister kinetochores and led to chromosome misalignment and spindle multipolarity in cancer cells with supernumerary centrosomes. In summary, we propose that MISP links microtubules to the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions in order to properly position the mitotic spindle.

Results

MISP is involved in centrosomal clustering. In a genome-wide siRNA screen in human cancer cells containing supernumerary centrosomes, we identified MISP as a protein required for centrosomal clustering.11 In UPCI:SCC114 cells, knockdown of MISP by MISP-1-siRNA resulted in 14.3 ± 3.3% multipolar spindles compared with 4.2 ± 1.0% multipolar spindles in cells treated with luciferase-siRNA (n = 600 mitoses per siRNA, p = 0.002; Fig. 1A and B). To investigate whether multipolar spindles in UPCI:SCC114 cells induced by depletion of MISP are a consequence of centrosomal decoupling UPCI:SCC114 cells stably expressing GFP-α-tubulin were immunostained with an antibody to the centriolar protein centrin (Fig. 1C). After MISP-1-siRNA transfection, in 77.2% of cells with multipolar spindles (n = 92), each spindle pole contained two centrin signals. Similarly, 79.5% of multipolar spindles (n = 44) contained two centrin signals at each pole after luciferase-siRNA treatment, arguing for the presence of complete centrosomes at the extra spindle poles. In MDA-MB-231 as well as DU145 cells, both of which also harbor supernumerary centrosomes, knockdown of MISP by MISP-1-siRNA leads to the formation of multipolar mitotic spindles as well (Fig. 1B). In contrast, siRNA-mediated depletion of MISP by MISP-1-siRNA in cells with a regular centrosome content (U2OS, DLD-1, hTERT-RPE-1) does not result in multipolar spindle induction (Fig. 1B). Depletion of MISP by a second siRNA (MISP-2) induced the formation of multipolar spindles in UPCI:SCC114 cells as well (12.7 ± 0.5% vs. 4.2 ± 1.0% multipolar spindles after transfection with luciferase-siRNA, n = 600 mitoses per siRNA, p = 0.0003; Fig. S1). In addition, transfection of a siRNA to the 3’UTR of MISP (MISP-3) also led to the induction of multipolar spindles in UPCI:SCC114 cells (16.3 ± 1.5% vs. 4.2 ± 1% multipolar spindles after transfection with luciferase-siRNA, n = 600 mitoses per siRNA, p = 0.006; Fig. S1) Together, these results demonstrate that MISP is involved in centrosomal clustering.

Mitotic arrest of MISP-depleted cells is due to loss of spindle tension. In addition to the formation of multipolar mitotic spindles siRNA-mediated depletion of MISP led to an enrichment of UPCI:SCC114 cells in G2/M phase of the cell cycle compared with cells transfected with luciferase-siRNA 72 h after transfection (luciferase-siRNA 16.6 ± 3.4, MISP-1-siRNA 34.5 ± 4.1; p = 0.0006). Accordingly, the mitotic index of UPCI:SCC114 cells was increased to 13.1 ± 1.8% and 12.5 ± 1.7% 48 h after depletion of MISP using two different siRNAs (n = 1,500 cells per siRNA; Fig. 1D), indicating that the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) is activated upon knockdown of MISP. To analyze for activation of the SAC in more detail, we immunostained UPCI:SCC114 cells with an antibody against BubR1 and observed an increase in BubR1-positive kinetochores in MISP-depleted cells as compared with luciferase-depleted cells 48 h after MISP-1-siRNA transfection (Fig. 1E). To confirm loss of tension between corresponding sister kinetochores as a cause for SAC activation, at least 200 interkinetochore distances per siRNA were measured in bipolar mitotic spindles of UPCI:SCC114 cells co-immunostained with Hecl- and Crest-antibodies 48 h after transfection with siRNAs specific for MISP or luciferase, respectively. Interkinetochore distances were significantly decreased after MISP depletion using three different siRNAs (luciferase-siRNA 1.97 ± 0.19 μm, MISP-1-siRNA 1.70 ± 0.22 μm, p = 1.2 × 10−36; MISP-2-siRNA 1.75 ± 0.22 μm, p = 4.7 × 10−34; MISP-3-siRNA 1.74 ± 0.23 μm, p = 1.9 × 10−27; Fig. 1F). We conclude that depletion of MISP leads to mitotic arrest due to loss of tension between sister kinetochores.

Generation and characterization of antibodies against MISP. To further elucidate the function of MISP, we generated two mouse monoclonal antibodies against the N- and C-terminus of the protein (N14 and C118; see “Materials and Methods”). Both antibodies detect a band with a molecular weight of 75 kDa corresponding to the calculated size of MISP, which is clearly reduced after treatment of UPCI:SCC114 cells with three different MISP-specific siRNAs (Fig. 2A). Also, immunostaining of UPCI:SCC114 cells after treatment with luciferase- and MISP-specific siRNAs with these antibodies led to a clear reduction in MISP signal intensity with both antibodies (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, we generated an U2OS cell line...
endogenous MISP levels are very low in U2OS cells (see results below), the endogenous protein is not reliably detectable in this cell line. Therefore, most of the experiments were performed conditionally expressing GFP-MISP (GFP-MISP-U2OS) and detected GFP-MISP by immunoblotting with both MISP antibodies as well as with an antibody against GFP (Fig. 2C). As

Figure 1. MISP is required for centrosomal clustering. (A) Depletion of MISP leads to the formation of multipolar mitotic spindles in UPCI:SCC114 cells. Cells were treated with luciferase- or MISP-1-siRNA for 48 h and immunostained with an antibody to α-tubulin. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) siRNA-mediated depletion of MISP results in multipolar mitotic spindles only in cells harboring supernumerary centrosomes (UPCI:SCC114, MDA-MB-231, DU145) but not in cells with a regular centrosome content (DLD-1, U2OS or hTERT-RPE1). Cells were treated with luciferase- or MISP-1-siRNA for 48 h and immunostained with an antibody to α-tubulin. The graph shows the average of three independent experiments; mean ± SD. (C) Depletion of MISP in UPCI:SCC114 cells constitutively expressing GFP-α-tubulin leads to spindle multipolarity with two centrioles at each spindle pole. Cells were transfected with luciferase- or MISP-1-siRNA for 48 h and subsequently immunostained with an antibody to centrin. Scale bar, 5 μm. (D) Depletion of MISP by two different siRNAs leads to mitotic arrest in UPCI:SCC114 cells. Mitotic indices were scored after immunostaining with an antibody to α-tubulin 48 h after siRNA transfection. The graph shows the average of three independent experiments; mean ± SD. (E) Depletion of MISP leads to loss of spindle tension in UPCI:SCC114 cells. Cells were immunostained with antibodies to BubR1 and α-tubulin 48 h after transfection with luciferase- or MISP-1-siRNA. Scale bar, 10 μm. (F) Depletion of MISP leads to significantly reduced interkinetochore distances in bipolar mitotic spindles of UPCI:SCC114 cells. Interkinetochore distances were measured 48 h after transfection of indicated siRNAs. Cells were co-immunostained with Hec-1 and Crest antibodies. Luciferase-specific siRNA served as a control. The graph shows the average of three independent experiments; mean ± SD.
MISP colocalizes with the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions. To determine the subcellular localization of MISP, UPCI:SCC114 cells were co-immunostained with an antibody to MISP (C118) and Phalloidin-TRITC to visualize the actin cytoskeleton, demonstrating a partial colocalization of MISP with actin structures, predominantly with the tips of actin filaments (Fig. 3A, upper panel). Co-immunostaining of UPCI:SCC114 cells with antibodies to MISP (C118) and paxillin (Fig. 3A, middle panel) or autophosphorylated focal adhesion kinase (FAKY397; Fig. 3A, lower panel), both of which mark focal adhesion sites, revealed that the filamentous structures of MISP direct toward focal adhesions. Similar results were obtained with GFP-MISP-U2OS cells, in which GFP-MISP demonstrated a more pronounced colocalization with the actin cytoskeleton, with less restriction to the tips of actin filaments (Fig. 3B, upper panel). The fiber-like structures of GFP-MISP, however, were also directed toward focal adhesions immunostained by antibodies to paxillin (Fig. 3B, middle panel) or FAKY397 (Fig. 3B, lower panel).

MISP is specifically expressed in adherent cancer cell lines. Next, we investigated MISP expression levels in various cell lines. Since MISP partially colocalizes with focal adhesions, we first asked whether MISP is differentially expressed in adherent (Fig. 3C, upper panel) vs. non-adherent cell lines (Fig. 3C, lower panel). Whereas MISP expression levels significantly varied between adherent cancer cell types (Fig. 3C, upper left panel), none of the non-adherent cancer cell lines tested contained detectable levels of MISP (Fig. 3C, lower left panel), in line with its localization to focal adhesions. In addition, we found that MISP is predominantly expressed in cancer cell lines but, except for SV80-transformed fibroblasts, not in the other non-transformed cell types tested (Fig. 3C, right panel).

MISP is phosphorylated during mitosis. To investigate whether MISP expression levels are regulated during the cell cycle, UPCI:SCC114 cells were synchronized by a double-thymidine block at the G1/S boundary and released for different time points. Cell cycle progression was monitored by cyclin B1 immunoblotting and FACS analysis (data not shown). As shown in UPCI:SCC114 cells, which express larger amounts of MISP (see results below). To further determine the specificity of the MISP-siRNAs used, we analyzed for depletion of GFP-MISP by western blotting as well as immunofluorescence microscopy in GFP-MISP-U2OS cells. RNAi-mediated knockdown of MISP in this cell line led to efficient depletion of GFP-MISP with siRNAs MISP-1 and MISP-2 but not with MISP-3-siRNA, which is directed against the 3’UTR of endogenous MISP (Fig. S2A and B).
Cdk1 kinase assay was performed using GFP-MISP from exponentially growing GFP-MISP-U2OS cells as a substrate, thereby demonstrating that GFP-MISP is phosphorylated by Cdk1 in vitro (Fig. 4D). In addition, employing immunofluorescence microscopy, we analyzed whether MISP is present at mitotic spindles. However, by co-immunostaining of both untreated and paclitaxel-treated UPCI:SCC114 cells in order to stabilize microtubules, we were unable to detect MISP at microtubules of the mitotic spindle using both antibodies to C- and N-terminus of the protein (Fig. S3A and B, left panels). Also, GFP-MISP does not localize to mitotic spindles (Fig. S3C, left panel).

in Figure 4A, a slower migrating band appears stepwise in G2/M phases (8–12 h after release) and persists until the end of mitosis. To confirm a mitotic modification of MISP, UPCI:SCC114 cells were synchronized in mitosis by nocodazole and collected by mitotic shake-off, thereby confirming the appearance of slower migrating bands in mitotic cells (Fig. 4B). MISP has been found in phosphoproteome analyzes of the mitotic spindle.30,31 Accordingly, incubation of lysates from mitotic UPCI:SCC114 cells with λ-phosphatase led to the disappearance of slower migrating bands, indicating that MISP is phosphorylated during mitosis (Fig. 4C). As Cdk1 is one of the major mitotic kinases, a Cdk1 kinase assay was performed using GFP-MISP from exponentially growing GFP-MISP-U2OS cells as a substrate, thereby demonstrating that GFP-MISP is phosphorylated by Cdk1 in vitro (Fig. 4D). In addition, employing immunofluorescence microscopy, we analyzed whether MISP is present at mitotic spindles. However, by co-immunostaining of both untreated and paclitaxel-treated UPCI:SCC114 cells in order to stabilize microtubules, we were unable to detect MISP at microtubules of the mitotic spindle using both antibodies to C- and N-terminus of the protein (Fig. S3A and B, left panels). Also, GFP-MISP does not localize to mitotic spindles (Fig. S3C, left panel).
panels). Also, no colocalization of GFP-MISP with microtubules was found in GFP-MISP-U2OS cells (Fig. S3C, right panel).

**MISP interacts with focal adhesion kinase.** Since we found that the filamentous MISP structures are directed toward focal adhesions by immunofluorescence microscopy, we next analyzed whether MISP interacts with FAK or paxillin. In GFP-trap experiments using GFP-MISP-U2OS cells, FAK clearly co-precipitated with GFP-MISP, whereas no interaction with paxillin was found (Fig. S3C and data not shown). To confirm the interaction of MISP with FAK, we additionally performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments using UPCI:SCC114 cells, demonstrating that endogenous MISP also co-immunoprecipitates with FAK (Fig. 5B).

Further supporting the association of MISP with focal adhesions, immunofluorescence microscopy revealed that MISP is present in retraction fibers, which are formed at former adhesion sites during mitosis (Fig. 5C). In addition, we observed that MISP is present at spicular membrane protrusions, most pronounced in re-attaching cytokinetic cells (Fig. 5D).

**MISP is necessary for directed migration and centrosome orientation.** Since focal adhesions are involved in cell migration, and focal adhesion turnover requires dynamic microtubules, we analyzed whether MISP plays a role in directed migration of cells employing a wound-healing assay. UPCI:SCC114 cells were reverse transfected with MISP-1- or luciferase-siRNA for 24 h and subsequently imaged by live cell video microscopy. A difference between cells transfected with luciferase-siRNA and MISP-depleted cells was already obvious after 6 h (Fig. 6A). The gap between the opposing cell borders was clearly wider in cells after MISP depletion. At 10 h, luciferase-siRNA-transfected cells had completely closed the wound gap, whereas a clear gap between the cell borders was still evident in MISP-depleted cells. Luciferase-siRNA-transfected control cells closed the 500 μm gap within approximately 8 h, whereas cells transfected with MISP-1-siRNA need more than 18 h to close the gap, as revealed by measurement of the gap width at single time points (Fig. 6B).

Next, we investigated, whether MISP-depleted interphase UPCI:SCC114 cells show defects in centrosome repositioning as a possible reason for impaired directed cell migration. If cells are forced to migrate in a defined direction—as is the case when using the wound-healing assay—centrosomes are reoriented toward the direction of migration in many cell types in a microtuble- and dynein-dependent manner. To analyze for centrosome repositioning, coverslips with wound-edged UPCI:SCC114 cells forced to migrate in a defined direction were fixed and stained with an antibody against pericentrin to mark centrosomes 2 h after wounding by manual scratching.
was more randomized in cells transfected with MISP-1-siRNA, with only 28% of cells (n = 137) having their mitotic spindle oriented along the hypotenuse with a deviation of less than 15°. Accordingly, significantly more mitotic spindles in MISP-depleted cells deviate for more than 15° from the hypotenuse of the L-pattern compared with cells transfected with luciferase-siRNA (p = 9 × 10−12).

In line with these defects in spindle orientation on micropatterns, we found that mitotic spindles in MISP-depleted UPCI:SCC114 cells are not located at the geometric center of the cells. In 90% of luciferase-siRNA-treated cells the mitotic spindle was located at the geometric cell center, whereas depletion of MISP resulted in a significantly increased percentage of cells with mitotic spindles whose localization deviated >10% from the cell center with all three siRNAs used (MISP-1-siRNA 50%, p = 3 × 10−20; MISP-2-siRNA 43%, p = 5 × 10−13; MISP-3-siRNA 39%, p = 2 × 10−11; n ≥ 50 cells per siRNA, Fig. 7C). Similar results were obtained when GFP-MISP-U2OS cells were used. Whereas in 91% of luciferase-siRNA-treated GFP-MISP-U2OS cells the mitotic spindle was located at the geometric cell center, after depletion of MISP in MISP-depleted cells deviate for more than 15° from the hypotenuse of the L-pattern compared with cells transfected with luciferase-siRNA (p = 9 × 10−12).
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In line with these defects in spindle orientation on micropatterns, we found that mitotic spindles in MISP-depleted UPCI:SCC114 cells are not located at the geometric center of the cells. In 90% of luciferase-siRNA-treated cells the mitotic spindle was located at the geometric cell center, whereas depletion of MISP resulted in a significantly increased percentage of cells with mitotic spindles whose localization deviated >10% from the cell center with all three siRNAs used (MISP-1-siRNA 50%, p = 3 × 10−20; MISP-2-siRNA 43%, p = 5 × 10−13; MISP-3-siRNA 39%, p = 2 × 10−11; n ≥ 50 cells per siRNA, Fig. 7C). Similar results were obtained when GFP-MISP-U2OS cells were used. Whereas in 91% of luciferase-siRNA-treated GFP-MISP-U2OS cells the mitotic spindle was located at the geometric cell center, after depletion of MISP by MISP-1- and MISP-2-siRNAs, targeting the coding region, localization of the mitotic spindle with less than 10% deviation from the geometric cell center was found in only 74% (p = 1 × 10−7) and 71% (p = 1 × 10−7) of cells, respectively (n ≥ 60 cells per siRNA, Fig. 7D). In contrast, in GFP-MISP-U2OS cells transfected with MISP-3-siRNA, which targets the 3'UTR of endogenous MISP, spindle positioning was rescued with 91% (p = 0.1) of the mitotic spindles being localized at the geometric cell center (Fig. 7D).

**Figure 5.** MISP interacts with FAK and localizes to mitotic retraction fibers. (A) Immunoblot of a GFP-trap showing that FAK co-precipitates with GFP-MISP (bound, GFP-trap) but not with control beads (bound, control-trap). (B) Co-immunoprecipitation (IP) demonstrating that endogenous MISP co-precipitates with FAK (bound), whereas no MISP is detectable in the bound fraction of the control IP. (C) Co-immunostaining with antibodies to MISP (C118) and α-tubulin demonstrates that MISP is present at retraction fibers in mitotic UPCI:SCC114 cells. White rectangles mark the position of the magnified inset. Scale bar, 10 μm; inset 2 μm. (D) In UPCI:SCC114 cells that re-attach during cytokinesis, MISP localizes to membrane protrusions at the cell cortex. White rectangles mark the position of the magnified inset. Scale bar, 10 μm; inset 2 μm.
correctly aligned, MISP depletion led to significantly increased chromosome misalignment rates with all three MISP-specific siRNAs used. Accordingly, mitoses with unperturbed metaphase chromosome alignment were reduced to 46.3 ± 1.5% (MISP-1-siRNA, p = 5.6 × 10⁻⁶), 62.0 ± 3.0% (MISP-2-siRNA, p = 0.0002) and 42.0 ± 6.0% (MISP-3-siRNA, p = 0.0003), respectively (n = 300 mitoses per siRNA, Fig. 8C and D).

MISP interacts with p150 glued. As the dynein/dynactin complex and EB1 are known to be critically important for spindle positioning and orientation as well as for directed migration and centrosome reorientation, we next analyzed whether MISP interacts with components of the dynein/dynactin complex or EB1. An interaction between endogenous MISP with EB1 could be clearly demonstrated by co-immunoprecipitation experiments in lysates from UPCI:SCC114 cells (Fig. 9A). Also, a weak interaction between GFP-MISP and p150 glued, a component of the dynein/dynactin complex, was detectable by GFP-trap technology in GFP-MISP-U2OS cells (Fig. 9B). In co-immunoprecipitation experiments using an antibody against p150 glued for precipitation, this weak interaction led to significantly increased chromosome misalignment rates with all three MISP-specific siRNAs used. Accordingly, mitoses with unperturbed metaphase chromosome alignment were reduced to 46.3 ± 1.5% (MISP-1-siRNA, p = 5.6 × 10⁻⁶), 62.0 ± 3.0% (MISP-2-siRNA, p = 0.0002) and 42.0 ± 6.0% (MISP-3-siRNA, p = 0.0003), respectively (n = 300 mitoses per siRNA, Fig. 8C and D).

Figure 6. MISP is involved in directed cell migration and centrosome re-orientation. (A) Live cell video microscopy of UPCI:SCC114 cells in a wound-healing assay shows that MISP-depleted cells close the wound gap more slowly than cells transfected with a siRNA against luciferase. Cells were transfected with luciferase- or MISP-1-siRNA for 24 h and imaged subsequently. Images were taken every 30 min. Scale bar, 100 μm. (B) Determination of the distances between opposing cell borders in UPCI:SCC114 cells transfected with siRNAs against luciferase or MISP from 24 to 42 h after transfection. The graph shows the average of two independent experiments. (C) Immunostaining of centrosomes by an antibody to pericentrin in UPCI:SCC114 cells forced to migrate in a defined direction reveals a defect in centrosome positioning to the side of the nucleus facing the migration direction upon MISP depletion. Cells were transfected with indicated siRNAs for 48 h. The scratch was set 2 h prior fixation. White line depicts scratch. Scale bar, 10 μm. (D) Graph showing centrosome positions relative to the wound edge in UPCI:SCC114 cells forced to migrate in a defined direction demonstrates a reduced frequency of cells in which the centrosome is positioned at the side of the nucleus facing the wound edge (toward) and an increased percentage of cells with centrosomes positioned at (mid) or behind the nucleus (away) after MISP depletion for 48 h. The graph shows the average of three independent experiments; mean ± SD.

As in nonpolarized cells in tissue culture, integrin-dependent, retraction fiber-mediated cell adhesion orients the spindle parallel to the substrate; we next determined whether MISP-depleted cells are also defective in orienting their spindle axis parallel to the tissue culture dish. Almost 80% of luciferase-siRNA-transfected GFP-α-tubulin-expressing UPCI:SCC114 cells harbored properly oriented mitotic spindles with less than 10° deviation relative to the substrate plane (79%, Fig. 8A and B). In contrast, depletion of MISP caused misorientation of spindles. In about half of MISP-depleted cells the angle of mitotic spindles deviated more than 10° relative to the substrate plane (MISP-1-siRNA 57%, p = 1 × 10⁻²⁰, MISP-2-siRNA 50%, p = 1 × 10⁻¹², MISP-3-siRNA 54%, p = 5 × 10⁻¹⁶; n = 100 cells per siRNA, Fig. 8A and B). Together, these experiments demonstrate that MISP is important for correct spindle positioning and orientation.

As MISP knockdown delays mitotic progression and causes defects in spindle tension, orientation and positioning, we analyzed MISP-depleted cells for further mitotic defects. Whereas 48 h after luciferase-siRNA transfection in 86.3 ± 1.5% of UPCI:SCC114 cells in metaphase all chromosomes were
could be confirmed (Fig. 9C). In line with these results, we also detected an impact of MISP on the intracellular distribution of the Golgi complex stained by the Golgi marker GM130. Compared with luciferase-siRNA-transfected UPCI:SCC114 cells, cytoplasmic dispersal of the Golgi complex with Golgi stacks scattered within the cytoplasm was significantly more frequent after MISP depletion using two different MISP-siRNAs (luciferase-siRNA 24 ± 0.5%, MISP-1-siRNA 43.3 ± 2.5%, \( p = 0.0002 \); MISP-2-siRNA 41.8 ± 2.4%, \( p = 0.0002 \); \( n = 600 \) cells per siRNA; Fig. 9D). As it has been described that an impaired dynein/dynactin function results in Golgi dispersal,\(^{40}\) these findings point to a role of MISP on dynein/dynactin function as well.

Discussion

In this study, we show that the previously uncharacterized protein MISP (C19orf21) plays an important role in spindle positioning and orientation by its association with the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions on the one hand and EB1 and the dynein/dynactin complex on the other hand, thereby allowing for the generation of spindle tension. In addition, MISP is required for clustering of supernumerary centrosomes into a bipolar spindle array in cancer cells via the same mechanism.

We identified MISP in a genome-wide RNAi screen as a protein involved in centrosomal clustering in cancer cells with extra centrosomes.\(^ {11}\) Centrosomal clustering allows cancer cells harboring supernumerary centrosomes to successfully divide in a bipolar manner and to avoid lethal multipolar divisions.\(^ {8,10,12}\) Bipolar spindle formation via centrosomal clustering, however, is associated with an increased frequency of lagging chromosomes during anaphase, thereby explaining the link between extra centrosomes and chromosomal instability.\(^ {8,41}\) Mechanistically, centrosomal clustering depends on the SAC, which provides the additional time required for the clustering process and spindle tension as controlled by the cortical actin cytoskeleton, cell adhesion molecules and kinetochore components.\(^ {11,13,42}\) Accordingly, dynein, NuMA and various other proteins involved in cell adhesion or associated with the actin or microtubule cytoskeleton have been identified to be required for centrosomal clustering in Drosophila S2 and human cancer cells.\(^ {10,13,13}\)

Here, we show that MISP is predominantly expressed in adherently growing cancer cell lines, and that depletion of MISP leads to spindle multipolarity only in cells with extra centrosomes, with complete centrosomes being present at each spindle pole. In addition, we found that MISP depletion causes a mitotic arrest by activation of the SAC. Importantly, this arrest was not restricted to cells harboring supernumerary centrosomes, pointing to a function of MISP in mitotic progression independent of the centrosome content of cells. Accordingly, interference with MISP caused SAC activation as a consequence of reduced spindle tension, as evidenced by persistent kinetochore BubR1 staining and reduced interkinetochore distances, irrespective of spindle polarity in both multipolar spindles after inhibition of centrosomal clustering as well as in bipolar spindle arrays in cells with a regular centrosome content. Together, these data demonstrate that MISP is required for spindle tension in general and centrosomal clustering into a bipolar spindle array in cancer cells with supernumerary centrosomes.

Despite that MISP has been found in phosphoproteome screens of the mitotic spindle,\(^ {30,31}\) we were unable to detect the protein—albeit heavily phosphorylated during mitosis—at either interphase or mitotic microtubules. Instead, MISP colocalizes with both the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions during interphase and retraction fibers during mitosis and interacts with FAK.

In addition, we have found interactions between MISP and EB1 as well as the dynactin subunit p150^<f150>glued. Astral microtubules are tethered to the cell cortex via microtubule +TIP proteins including CLASPs, EB1 and the dynein/dynactin complex.\(^ {22,25,43,44}\) In yeast, disruption of astral microtubule attachment to the cell cortex leads to activation of the SAC and a delay in anaphase onset as well as defects in chromosome congression.\(^ {45}\) Insufficient attachment of astral microtubule plus ends to the cell cortex leads to spindle misorientation.\(^ {27,18,21}\) In line with these findings, in addition to induction of spindle multipolarity in cells with extra centrosomes, ablation of MISP also causes defects in spindle orientation and positioning, processes known to be controlled by integrin-mediated cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix via actin-rich mitotic retraction fibers.\(^ {18,20,21}\) Attachment of astral microtubules to the cell cortex as well as EB1 and the dynein/dynactin complex have also been shown to be important for spindle positioning and orientation in mammalian cells.\(^ {14,15,18,21,24}\) Thus, our findings suggest that spindle positioning and orientation defects after MISP depletion are a consequence of deficient attachment of astral microtubules to the cell cortex, although by immunofluorescence microscopy we did not observe obvious abnormalities of astral microtubules (data not shown).

Directed cell migration and centrosome repositioning to the leading edge of migrating cells, processes that are focal adhesion- and microtubule- as well as dynein-dependent, respectively,\(^ {51-56,38}\) were defective after interference with MISP function as well. These findings therefore corroborate the proposed linker function of MISP between cell cortex and microtubule cytoskeleton, not only in mitosis but also during interphase.

In conclusion, we provide evidence that MISP localizes to cortical structures of adherent cells to bridge actin filaments and focal adhesions with astral spindle microtubules, thereby explaining how the interphase adhesion pattern of cells might impact on spindle positioning and orientation in rounded cells during mitosis. As, via the same mechanism, MISP, which is predominantly expressed in transformed adherent cell types, seems to be involved in centrosome clustering in cancer cells, targeting MISP might be a novel strategy to selectively eradicate malignancies with supernumerary centrosomes.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid generation. The cDNA of MISP was purchased from Imagenes (Clone ID: IRATp970D1068D). The cDNA was cloned into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech, 6084-1; for-primer: ctc gag tgg acc gcg tga cc, rev-primer: gga tcc tca gtc atc ctc gct g). To generate an inducible cell line these fusion construct was cloned...
Figure 7. For figure legend, see page 1467.
into pTRE2hyg (Clontech, 631014; for primer: gga tcc atg gtg acg aag gag gag gag; rev primer: ggc gcc gct cag tca tcc tca ctg).

**Cell culture.** UPCI:SCC114 cells (S.M. Gollin; oral squamous cell carcinoma) and U2OS cells (ATCC HTB-96, osteosarcoma) were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen, 31966-021) supplemented with 10% FCS (Biochrom AG, S0415). An inducible GFP-MISP cell line was generated using the U2OS-Tet-On-System (GFPMISP-U2OS) from Clontech (U2-OSE-Tet-On, 631143). The cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% Tetrycillin-free FCS (Clontech, 631106) in the presence of 200 μg/mL G418 (PAA Laboratories, P11-012) and 100 μg/mL Hygromycin B (Invitrogen, 10687010). UPCI:SCC114 cells stably expressing GFP-α-tubulin were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 500 μg/mL G418. MDAMB-231 (ATCC HTB-26; breast adenocarcinoma), DLD-1 (ATCC, CCl-221; colorectal adenocarcinoma) and DU145 cells (ATCC, HTB-81; prostate carcinoma) were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen, 61870-010) supplemented with 10% FCS (Biochrom AG), and hTERT-RPE-1 cells (ATCC, CRL-4000; retinal pigmented epithelium) were cultured in DMEM-F12 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FCS (Biochrom AG) and 75% sodium bicarbonate (Pan Biotech, P04-441000). When indicated, cells were treated with paclitaxel (Calbiochem, 580555) or nocodazole (Calbiochem, 487928). Cell lines or lysates only used for immunoblotting were kindly provided by M. Matuszewka, M. Schmidt-Zachmann and F. Liu.

**Generation of mouse monoclonal antibodies against MISP.** Antibodies were raised against N-terminal (aa 1–250) and C-terminal (aa 430–679) sequences of MISP. The correspond-}

**Figure 7 (See opposite page).** MISP is involved in spindle orientation and positioning. (A) Representative examples of mitotic UPCI:SCC114 cells transfected for 48 h with a siRNA against luciferase (left) or MISP (right) on an L-shaped fibronectin micropattern, stained with antibodies against pericentrin (red) and α-tubulin (green); DNA (Hoechst 33342) is shown in blue. Luciferase-siRNA-transfected cells orient their mitotic spindles along the hypotenuse of the L-shaped micropattern. In contrast, in MISP-1-siRNA-transfected cells the spindle orientation deviates from this orientation. Scale bar, 5 μm. (B) Frequency of angular deviations of spindle orientation away from the hypotenuse of L-shaped micropatterns for cells treated with siRNAs to luciferase or MISP. The graph represents the sum of all mitotic cells evaluated in three independent experiments. (C) Representative images (upper panel) of UPCI:SCC114 cells transfected with indicated siRNAs for 48 h and stained with antibodies against pan-cadherin to mark cell boundar-}

**Antibodies.** The following antibodies were used: mouse monoclonal antibodies to α-tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich, T6199), centrin-1 (J.L. Salisbury), cyclin B1 (Santa Cruz, sc-245), cyclin E (Santa Cruz, sc-198), GAPDH (Santa Cruz, sc-47724), GFP (Santa Cruz, sc-9996) and Hec-1 (Novus Biologicals, NB100-338) as well as rabbit polyclonal antibodies to actin (Santa Cruz, sc-1616-R), α-tubulin (Abcam, ab52866), FAK (Cell Signalling, 3285), FAKY397 (Abcam, ab4803), GM130 (Abcam, ab52649), paxillin (Sigma-Aldrich, SAB4400592), pan-cadherin (Abcam, ab10505), pericentrin (Abcam, ab4448) and p150^<sup>gel</sup> (Bethyl Laboratories, A303-072A-1). The actin cytoskeleton was visualized by Phalloidin-TRITC (Sigma-Aldrich, P1951). In addition, we used a human antiserum against CREST (ANA, Euroimmun, CA1161-0101) and a rat monoclonal antibody against EB1 (Abcam, ab53358). A sheep polyclonal antibody against BubR1 was kindly provided by S.S. Taylor.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy.** Immunofluorescence staining was performed as described before. The following fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies were used: anti-mouse Alexa-488 (Invitrogen, A11029), anti-rabbit Alexa-488 (Invitrogen, A11034), anti-human Alexa-488 (Invitrogen, A11013), anti-mouse Cy3 (Dianova, 115-165-166), anti-rabbit Cy3 (Dianova, 111-165-144) and anti-sheep Cy3 (Dianova, 313-165-045). For DNA counterstaining, Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, H1399) was used. Immunostained cells were examined using an Axiosvert 200 M microscope (Zeiss) or a Cell Observer (Zeiss) equipped with a Laser-Scan ultralinear 40×/0.6 NA, EC-Plan Neofluar 40×/1.30 NA, EC-Plan Neofluar 100×/1.30 NA and Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 NA objectives (Zeiss). Images were processed with AxioVision (Zeiss), Zen 2011 (Zeiss), ImageJ (NIH) and Photoshop software (Adobe).

**Spindle tension measurement.** Spindle tension measurement was performed as described before. Briefly, cells were reverse...
Spindle orientation assay using CYTOOchips. Spindle orientation was determined as described by Théry and coworkers. UPCI:SCC114 cells were reverse transfected with indicated siRNAs. Forty-five hours post-transfection cells were trypsinized, and 60,000 cells were plated onto L-shaped, fibronectin-coated CYTOOchips in a 35 mm culture dish. To allow initial attachment of the cells to the micropattern, culture dishes were incubated under the hood for 15 min and then moved to the incubator for 1 h. Floating cells that had not attached to micropattern within this hour were removed by gently washing the micropattern with medium. After an additional hour cells were fixed using 4% PFA.

Centrosome positioning. Centrosome repositioning was analyzed as described. Cells were reverse transfected on coverslips for 48 h. Two hours before fixation, a scratch using a sterile 10 μl pipette tip was set in the middle of the coverslip. Cells were stained with pericentrin and Hoechst to determine centrosome position in relation to the nucleus. Centrosome positions were grouped into toward (centrosome located at the side of the nucleus facing the scratch), away (centrosome located away from scratch relative to the nucleus) or mid (centrosome located at the height of the nucleus).
transfected with indicated siRNAs. After 48 h, cells were fixed and stained for α-tubulin and pan-cadherin to mark spindles and cell boundaries. GFP-MISP-U2OS cells were also transfected with indicated siRNAs for 48 h, and the expression of GFP-MISP was induced 24 h after siRNA transfection. After fixation, GFP-MISP-U2OS cells were stained with α-tubulin. To determine the spindle position, cell diameters were measured along the spindle pole axes. Along the same axis, the distance from the cell cortex to the mid of the metaphase plate was measured. From these values, the location of mitotic spindles was calculated, and a deviation of less than 10% was counted as centered position.

For determination of the spindle position relative to the substrate plane, GFP-α-tubulin-expressing UPCI:SCC114 cells were reverse transfected with indicated siRNAs. After 48 h, cells were fixed and immunostained for pericentrin to mark centrosomes. To determine the spindle position, z-stack (1 μm apart) images were taken and the angle of the mitotic spindles relative to the substrate plane was determined using inverse trigonometric functions. A deviation of less than 10° was counted as parallel to the substrate.

Flow cytometry. Cell cycle analysis was performed by propidium iodide (BD biosciences, 556463) staining according to manufacturer’s instructions. Measurements and analyzes were performed using a FACSscan (BD Biosciences) and Cell Quest software (BD Biosciences). At least 25,000 cells per experiment were measured.

Wound-healing assay. Healing assays were performed using culture inserts (Ibidi, μ-dishes, 80206). Cells were reverse transfected and plated at a density of 5 × 10^5 cells/ml. Inserts were removed 24 h after transfection and cells were imaged subsequently at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ using a Cell Observer (Zeiss). Pictures were taken every 30 min at eight positions per experiment for up to 20 h. For analysis, the distance between the opposing cell fronts at each position was measured at every time point using AxioVision (Zeiss).

GFP-trap. For GFP-trap (chromotek, gta-100) assays, 50 GFP-MISP-U2OS cells were induced by 0.5 μg/ml doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich, 44577) for 48 h. GFP-trap was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Chromotek). Negative controls were generated by trap with blocked agarose beads (Chromotek, bab-20). All washing steps were performed using dilution buffer (10 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA) supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Ultra (Roche, 04693116001) and Phos-Stop (Roche, 04906837001).

Immunoprecipitation. For immunoprecipitation, precipitating antibodies were preincubated with cell lysates for 1 h at room temperature. Afterwards the antibody/lysate-mixture was added to agarose beads coupled with protein G or protein A (50% slurry; Roche, protein A: 11719408001; protein G: 11719416001) and incubated overnight at 4°C on an end-over-end rotator. Negative controls were generated by immunoprecipitation with either mouse or rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz, mouse: sc-2025; rabbit: sc-2027). After three washing steps for 5 min each, proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting. All washing steps were performed using dilution buffer (10 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA) containing Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Ultra (Roche, 04906837001) and Phos-Stop (Roche).
Immunoblotting. Cell protein extracts were prepared by lysis of cells in an appropriate volume of RIPA buffer (50 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P40, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and Phos-Stop (Roche), followed by mechanical homogenization and collection of the supernatant after 15 min centrifugation at 20,000 × g. Immunoblotting was performed according to standard protocols. All used horseradish-peroxidase coupled antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz.

Kinase assay. Following GFP-trap using GFP-MISP-U2OS cells or U2OS cells stably expressing GFP as a control, the beads were incubated with 20 U Cdk1/cyclin B (NEB, P6020S) as well as 10 μCurie [γ-32P]-ATP for 30 min at 30°C in protein kinase buffer (NEB, B6022). After incubation, the sample was loaded on a SDS gel. Then, the gel was dried and examined by autoradiography.

Statistical analysis. If not indicated otherwise, results are given as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of at least three independent experiments. Significances were determined using the two-tailed Student’s t-test or the x²-test.

While this paper was in the review process, another paper appeared that also characterized C19ORF21 and designated it MISP (mitotic interactor and substrate of Plk1).
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