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Abstract

Background: The human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine has demonstrated efficacy in the prevention of cervical cancer when given in early adolescence. The recommendation of the vaccine by health care workers (HCW) is crucial to the uptake of the vaccine by adolescents and the process of this recommendation is important as it determines subsequent uptake of the vaccine. Understanding of the facilitators and barriers of recommendation of this vaccine can help in the development of strategies to improve its recommendation rates and uptake. This study therefore explored the facilitators and barriers for the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents by HCW in Ibadan, Nigeria using the Theoretical Domain Framework (TDF).

Methods: Key informant interviews were conducted with 14 purposively selected HCW who were in charge of vaccination. Content analysis was used after deductive coding of the data using the domains of the TDF. Relevant concepts for facilitators and barriers of HPV vaccine recommendation and quotes were then identified.

Results: Mean age of the HCW was 47.7 ± 6.5 years and they consisted of eight nurses, four medical doctors, one medical social worker and one health visitor. Ten domains of the TDF were represented among the facilitators and barriers against the recommendation of HPV vaccination by the HCW, except the goals; memory, attention, decision process; emotion; and behavioral regulation domains. The domains with the highest frequency of concepts were: knowledge, skills, social/professional role and identity, beliefs about capabilities, beliefs about consequences, intention and environmental context and resources. Domains with conflicting statements in the concept were: environmental context and resources, and beliefs about consequences. While those with perceived strength of concept were: social influences, reinforcement and optimism.

Conclusion: All the 10 identified domains of the TDF are potential areas of focus for strategies for improving the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents by health care workers in Nigeria and other countries with similar sociocultural settings.
Background

The human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination is a primary strategy for the prevention of cervical cancer, one of the leading female specific cancers globally [1]. The vaccine is to be given in early adolescence, before sexual debut for maximum effectiveness [2]. Therefore, the HPV vaccine is scheduled for early adolescence in most countries. While most developed countries have HPV vaccine as a routine vaccine for adolescents, the vaccine is just being introduced in African countries where most cases of cervical cancer are found [3]. Many African countries are able to access the HPV vaccine through the assistance of GAVI, The Vaccine Alliance [4]. Nigeria is currently in the pre-introduction era of the vaccine and it is important to make adequate preparation for the vaccine’s introduction for good uptake among adolescents. Presently, the vaccine is available in the country, being marketed by pharmaceutical companies but only rich families are able to afford it due to the exorbitant cost.

Health care workers (HCW) play strategic roles in guiding parents and adolescents in decision-making process about the uptake of health care services [5, 6]. This stems from the trust that both develop overtime with repeated interactions with the HCW while making important health related decisions. Health care workers provide both preventive and curative services and they are a ready source of health information for families. This endears them to the families they provide care for and they are able to influence decisions that families take regarding health issues. Parents have identified HCW as the most frequent source of information about vaccines [7] and African parents have indicated willingness to allow their adolescents to take HPV vaccines if it was introduced by HCW because they trust the source [5, 6]. Recommendations of HPV vaccine for adolescents is one of the services that HCW can provide for families.

There have been varying reports in the literature about HCW’s disposition towards the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents. While most HCW agreed that the vaccine protects against HPV which is responsible for most cases of cervical cancer, their role in the uptake of the HPV vaccine by adolescents can be positive or negative [8]. Studies from some African countries have reported that some HCW do not have adequate knowledge about HPV vaccine [9, 10]. This may make them shy away from discussing the HPV vaccine with families, and when they do, they may give wrong information [11] which could be misleading. In the United States, it was reported that some HCW actively recommend the HPV vaccine for adolescents and have many of the adolescents they see in their services vaccinated [12]. There were also instances in which HCW believed the HPV vaccine can be delayed because of the relationship between its recommended time of administration and the perceived onset of sexual activity by adolescents [13]. This makes them delay the introduction of the HPV vaccine to adolescents that they perceived have low risk of engaging in sexual activities and this sometimes results in non-uptake of the vaccine by adolescents subsequently. However, both HCW and parents of adolescents in a study admitted that it was difficult to predict the timing of onset of sexual activities in adolescents [13], making the delay of HPV vaccination for them to be dangerous. Obviously, this type of HCW disposition to the HPV vaccine contributes to the low uptake of HPV vaccine compared with other vaccines being given in adolescence [14, 15]. It is therefore important to understand the factors that encourage or deter HCW to recommend HPV vaccine for adolescents because this will provide a basis for training and provision of necessary guidance and support to increase their recommendation of the vaccine for adolescents.

The Theoretical Domain Framework (TDF) is a descriptive frame work that was developed by implementation researchers and behavioral scientist [16] initially from 33 behavioral theories that are relevant to implementation and 128 theoretical constructs which are relevant to behavioral change [16, 17]. These were then formulated into 12 domains which cover physical and social environment, as well as individual motivation and capability [17]. The framework was later validated and revised to create 14 domains from 84 constructs [18]. This framework can therefore be used to determine the cognitive, affective, social and environmental influences on behavior [16]. Each of these domains is a mediator for change in behavior and this makes the TDF important in implementation research as it is easy to monitor progress and address any issue that may arise directly. This framework is useful in examining the facilitators and barriers that HCW have in recommending HPV vaccine for adolescents as it will not only identify the associated problems and guide in the design of appropriate interventions to address the problems, but it can also provide the means of monitoring the impact of interventions. There are evidences that behavioral interventions with theoretical base are more effective than those that are not based on theories [19]. This study therefore explored the facilitators and barriers
for the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents by HCW who are directly in charge of vaccination in Ibadan, Southwest Nigeria.

**Methods**

**Study area**

This study was conducted in ward 3 of Ibadan North Local Government Area (LGA) in Southwest Nigeria. This LGA had a projected population of 432,900 in 2016 [20] and it houses both privately owned and government owned health care facilities where HPV vaccines could be obtained. There were 19 primary health centers and one secondary health center in the study area. Ibadan North LGA was also located near a government owned tertiary health facility where HPV vaccine was readily available. One of the primary health centers located in the study area was owned by this tertiary health center.

**Study design**

This was an exploratory cross sectional study using maximum variation approach and qualitative data was obtained using Key Informant Interviews (KII).

**Sampling**

The HCW who were involved in vaccination were selected purposively using maximum variation approach to ensure that different categories of healthcare workers were represented. The sampling was done across different levels of health care service provision (primary, secondary and tertiary health facilities) as well as job categories (Medicine, nursing, social work and health visitation). The health facilities selected were: two primary health centers, two secondary health centers in the study area. Ibadan North LGA was also located near a government owned tertiary health facility where HPV vaccine was readily available. One of the primary health centers located in the study area was owned by this tertiary health center.

**Study participants**

These were HCW who have been working at the immunization centers in the study area for at least a year. Therefore, the HCWs who were selected for this study were two pediatricians, two family physicians, eight nurses (two each for the two primary health centers, secondary and tertiary health centers). However, only one social worker (at tertiary health facility) who was involved in vaccination was available as at the time of data collection. Also, there was only one health visitor (also at the tertiary health facility) that was eligible to participate in the study. Each HCW was selected with the assistance of the different heads of health care facilities and heads of departments. All the selected HCW agreed to participate in the research.

**Study instrument and data collection**

An interview guide was developed based on the researchers’ experiences and previous research reports. The interview guide was designed to explore the extent of the knowledge of the HCW about HPV, HPV vaccine for adolescents, experiences with recommendation of the vaccine for adolescents, specifically the facilitators and barriers for its recommendation. Data was collected by two trained research assistants who had masters degree in Public Health, with one conducting the interview while the other took notes and timed the interview. Neither of the authors participated in the interviews in order to remove bias during the interview process because both were pediatricians with clinical experiences in vaccination procedures. Both were also working at the tertiary health facility where some participants were selected and so, were known by some of the participants. While FMB is a female, OOO is a male. Each interview session took place at conducive locations selected by the HCW at their work places and two audio recorders were used simultaneously for recording the interviews. The biodata of each HCW was taken at the beginning of the interview and each session lasted between 13 to 36 min. Data saturation (no new information was added compared to the content of the preceding interview) was reached with the eighth interview but all the preselected HCWs were interviewed to achieve the maximum variation sampling.

**Data analysis**

Data was analyzed using content analysis by FMB and two research assistants who first studied the TDF to be familiar with it. The data was coded deductively using the domains of the TDF independently. The codes were then reconciled in a common meeting to ensure the same codes were grouped under each domain of the TDF. Where there was disparity in the grouping, all three coders reviewed the code concerned until a consensus was reached. In cases where a code fitted into two or more domains, this was discussed and the code was added to all the relevant domains. Thereafter, specific facilitators and barriers were identified by grouping codes under each domain into core concepts which were identified as facilitator/barrier for HCW’s recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents. Each concept was counted once for each participant and there was a frequency count across all interviews [16]. These concepts were described using phrases that best described all the specific responses from the study participants that were relevant to the concept. FMB identified relevant quotes from the data which represented each of these concepts.
and the other two coders examined the quotes to determine if they correctly represented each concept. The relevant domain of TDF which were relevant to understand the HCW perception of facilitators and barriers for the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents were identified based on criteria used in earlier researches [21, 22]. These were: high frequency of concept (defined as greater than seven for this study, that is, more than half of the number of participants), presence of conflicting statements in the concept and perceived strength of the concept (jointly determined by FMB and OOO based on their expertise and experiences) to affect the recommendation of the vaccine.

**Ethical considerations**

The protocol for this study was approved by the University of Ibadan and University College Hospital Institutional Review Board. All HCW gave written informed consent after the study had been explained to them. The names of the HCW were not recorded in the biodata that was collected and in the interviews.

**Results**

In all 14 HCW were interviewed and they were made up of eight nurses, four medical doctors, one medical social worker and a home visitor. Their mean age was 47.7 ± 6.5 years. The other details about their demographic are as shown in Table 1. The responses of the HCW to questions about the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents fitted into 10 of the 14 domains of TDF. The domains that were represented are presented here in three groups: capability, motivation and opportunity as reported in earlier literature [16, 18, 21].

### Key concepts within the domains of TDF

The knowledge and skills domain constituted the *capability* group of facilitators and barriers for the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents. Regarding the knowledge domain, almost all the HCW had knowledge about the HPV vaccine and the females had more detailed knowledge about the different types of HPV vaccines, as well as their doses. Some nurses from the PHCs had little or no knowledge about the vaccine as shown in Table 2. For the skill domain, half of the HCW talked about the communication skills that they possessed which were being used while recommending HPV vaccine.

While some spoke about the trainings that were being organized to help HCW to develop skills in the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents.

Motivation group consisted of Social/professional role and identity, Beliefs about capabilities, Optimism, Beliefs about consequences, Reinforcement and Intention domains as shown in Table 3. Most of the HCW believed that it was their responsibility as health care professionals to recommend HPV vaccine for adolescents and two believed that their profession also motivates them to learn new things (including the principles behind the recommendation of HPV vaccine) through reading. While many believed that they could recommend the vaccine for adolescents, a nurse thought otherwise, because she did not have the required knowledge to do so. Optimism about the recommendation of the vaccine was seen in the responses of only two HCW and they believed that their continued recommendation of the vaccine will eventually lead to the acceptance of the vaccine for adolescents. All the HCW had positive belief about the recommendation

| Table 1 | Sociodemographic characteristics of health care workers included in the study |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Participants | Age (years) | Gender | Level of healthcare practice | Years of experience |
| Tertiary health facility nurse | 57 | Female | Tertiary | 27 |
| Pediatrician | 46 | Male | Tertiary | 9 |
| Pediatrician | 50 | Female | Tertiary | 16 |
| Primary health care nurse | 36 | Female | Primary | 6 |
| Secondary health facility nurse | 45 | Female | Secondary | 9 |
| Family Physician | 42 | Male | Tertiary | 5 |
| Family Physician | 50 | Female | Tertiary | 8 |
| Primary health care nurse | 55 | Female | Primary | 23 |
| Health Visitor | 56 | Female | Tertiary | 20 |
| Social Worker | 52 | Female | Tertiary | 11 |
| Secondary health facility nurse | 44 | Female | Secondary | 3 |
| Primary health care nurse | 39 | Female | Primary | 14 |
| Tertiary health facility nurse | 44 | Female | Tertiary | 4 |
| Primary health care nurse | 53 | Female | Primary | 20 |
of HPV vaccine for adolescents but some of them still felt that it could be a trigger for adolescents to indulge in indiscriminate sex. However, a nurse believed that the recommendation process usually comes with responsible sex education and that the process will actually curtail indiscriminate sex as well as improve the knowledge of the communities about the vaccine. The other consequence for the recommendation was the possibility of allergic reaction. Only the physicians mentioned things that can reinforce the recommendation of HPV vaccines by HCW. All the HCW except a nurse from a PHC had the intention to recommend HPV vaccine for adolescents freely. The nurse hinged her recommendation of the HPV vaccine to getting adequate knowledge about the vaccine. The other details about the specific facilitators/barriers in the reinforcement and intention domains are as shown in Table 3.

The opportunity group was made up of social influences and environmental context and resources as shown in Table 4. Some HCW have had opportunities to recommend the HPV vaccine for adolescents through interpersonal relationships and involvement in social groups. However, some traditional beliefs about cancers were identified as barriers for the recommendation of the vaccine. Other barriers in the environmental context and resources include vague government policies about HPV vaccine for adolescents and the high cost of the vaccine. However, the identified facilitators were inclusion of the vaccine in the national health insurance scheme to stem out of pocket payment and inclusion in the routine vaccination schedule so that parents will remember to vaccinate their adolescents.

**Domains of TDF that were relevant**

Based on high frequency of occurrence, the relevant domains are: knowledge, skills, social/professional role and identity, beliefs about capabilities, beliefs about consequences, intention and environmental context and resources. Those that are relevant based on conflicting statements in the concept are: environmental context and resources, and beliefs about consequences. While those that are relevant due to the perceived strength of the concept are: social influences, reinforcement and optimism. Social influences were considered relevant because the HCW build social relationships with the families and communities they work with and the recommendation of the HPV vaccine will be for the adolescents from these families and communities. So, though most of the HCW interviewed did not really mention the social influences, they are important. Also, reinforcement is required in the policies and action of the government and the HCW if HPV vaccine recommendation is to become a routine behavior for HCW. Optimism is also an important motivation which will encourage HCW to recommend HPV vaccine. Therefore, all the 10 identified domains were considered relevant among the studied HCW.

**Discussion**

The HPV vaccine is not yet included in the routine vaccination schedule in Nigeria but most of the HCW in this study were familiar with the vaccine and have been recommending it. They were able to provide useful insights into the facilitators and barriers that they encounter while recommending the HPV vaccine for adolescents in their practice and these were presented using the domains of TDF. Knowledge, belief about consequences and intention were the domains of the TDF with the highest frequency of concepts about the facilitators and barriers that these HCW encounter while recommending HPV vaccine for adolescents.

Knowledge and skill are prerequisite for carrying out any procedure and both of these determine the capability of an individual to recommend the HPV vaccine in the first place. Without these two, it is absolutely impossible for any

---

Table 2  Facilitators and barriers of Health care workers’ capability to recommend HPV vaccine using the TDF⁹

| Domains           | Specific facilitator/barrier                                      | Sample quotes                                                                 | Frequency out of 14 |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Knowledge         | Correct knowledge (F)                                           | ‘Vaccination…between the ages of 9 and 26…after the age of 26, people still develop immunogenicity’ Family Physician 1, Tertiary HF | 12                  |
|                   | Incorrect knowledge (B)                                         | ‘I think it can be given to those that are not sexually active’ Nurse 1, Primary HC | 1                   |
|                   | No knowledge (B)                                                | ‘I don’t know the content of the vaccine…I don’t know all those things’ Nurse 3, Primary HC | 1                   |
| Skills            | Communication skills with clients (F)                           | ‘we know how to explain it to them in the language they understand’ Nurse 3, Primary HC | 7                   |
|                   | Training for HPV vaccine administration (F)                     | ‘we have had several summits…’ Nurse 8, Tertiary HF                           | 1                   |
|                   | Precautions before vaccine uptake (F)                           | ‘if they are exposed…one can screen for HPV…and get vaccinated’ Family Physician 1, Tertiary HF | 1                   |

⁹ Theoretical Domains Framework. F Facilitator, B Barrier, HC Health facility
HCW to appropriately recommend HPV vaccine. It was obvious that the HCW have been improving their knowledge and skills through self-help, aside from the basic skills that they already had about presenting vaccines to parents. This could explain the varying level of knowledge and skills that they had about the recommendation of HPV vaccine. Earlier research in Nigeria and other African countries have shown that HCW have good knowledge of the HPV vaccine [24] but their skills in recommending this vaccine is under researched unlike their counterparts in developed countries [15, 25]. The process of recommendation of the HPV vaccine has been shown to be important in parents’ decision making about their adolescents as this determines if the vaccine will be taken, deferred or rejected [26]. The introduction of vaccines in countries is normally preceded by training of HCW to improve their knowledge about the vaccine, and this can bridge the gap for the HCW who did not have adequate knowledge, as well as those with the

| Table 3 Facilitators and barriers of Health care workers’ motivation to recommend HPV vaccine using the TDF<sup>a</sup> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Domains** | **Specific facilitator/Barrier** | **Sample quotes** | **Frequency out of 14** |
| Social/professional role and identity | Professional responsibility (F) | ‘I am a public health nurse, I give health talk to mothers’ Nurse 2, Tertiary HF | 6 |
| | Impetus for learning (F) | ‘I work in a hospital…I want to learn more…I went through the training’ Social worker, Tertiary HF | 2 |
| Beliefs about capabilities | Ability to recommend HPV vaccine and ensure uptake (F) | ‘most people I have recommended it to, they have taken it’ Family Physician 1, Tertiary HF | 8 |
| | Cannot recommend HPV vaccine (B) | ‘no, if the knowledge is…adequate, maybe I will recommend it’ Nurse 1, PHC | 1 |
| Optimism | Recommendation of HPV vaccine will lead to its uptake (F) | ‘and even with our own effort to health educate them, I know they will turn up’ Nurse 4, Secondary HF | 2 |
| Beliefs about consequences | Reduce cervical and other HPV associated cancers’ burden (F) | ‘…reduce the incidence of cervical cancer…cut down maternal mortality…would increase life expectancy’ Pediatrician 1, Tertiary HF | 13 |
| | Increased indiscriminate sex (B) | ‘they will go on with this false sense of security that they are protected against HPV, they are having indiscriminate sexual exposure’ Family Physician 1, Tertiary HF | 2 |
| | Adverse reactions (B) | ‘…watch that there is no allergy’ Family Physician 2, Tertiary HF | 1 |
| | Reduced promiscuity (F) | ‘It will caution some ladies from being promiscuous’ Nurse 6, Secondary HF | 1 |
| Reinforcement | Structured adolescent health program (F) | ‘…establish a well-structured adolescent program…how you will reach the people that are the recipients of the vaccine…’ Pediatrician 1, Tertiary HF | 1 |
| | HPV vaccine funding from health insurance (F) | ‘Government can…put it under NHIS…out of pocket payment will not be there’ Family Physician 2, Tertiary HF | 1 |
| | Inclusion in routine vaccine schedule (F) | ‘…including it in the NPI…it gives a mother a sense of obligation that I need to vaccinate my child Family Physician 1, Tertiary HF | 1 |
| Intention | Intention to recommend HPV vaccine freely (F) | ‘I will recommend it gladly’ Medical Social worker, Tertiary HF | 13 |
| | Intention to recommend when knowledge is adequate (F) | ‘if I have the knowledge now, I will know who it is for, the side effects, the mode of action and all those things…will make me to know who to recommend it’ Nurse 3, PHC | 1 |

<sup>a</sup> Theoretical Domains Framework. F Facilitator, B Barrier, HC Health facility
incorrect knowledge and skills to recommend HPV vaccine for adolescents. More attention however needs to be paid to the skills required to present this vaccine to parents and adolescents. When HCW have both the knowledge and skills to recommend HPV vaccine, the intention to recommend the vaccine will definitely improve [8].

The motivation of HCW is required in the successful recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents as it will be a thrust to ensure that the process is carried out correctly and more attention is paid to parents who have difficulties in making decisions about the vaccine for their adolescents. It is therefore a good observation that many of the HCW interviewed already perceived the recommendation of HPV vaccine as part of their responsibilities because this will make them to take the vaccine as an important one. This may be the drive for the self development that many of them already embarked on to get knowledge and skills to recommend the vaccine. This will most likely impact on the beliefs about their capabilities [8] as HCW to recommend this vaccine and boost their optimism that their continued recommendation will eventually lead to improvement in the uptake of the vaccine. However, those with inadequate knowledge of the HPV vaccine believed they could not recommend the vaccine for adolescents. This still underscores the importance of adequate knowledge and skill of HCW to recommend the vaccine.

Most beliefs about the consequences of the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents were positive with the most common being the reduction in the cases of cervical cancer. It has been shown that countries that have introduced the vaccine have started recording reduction in the incidence of cervical cancer [27, 28]. This will be a welcome development when this vaccine becomes routine in Nigeria as the over 9,000 women's deaths attributable to cervical cancer in the country will be overturned [29]. However, it is important to continue to stress that the vaccine can also protect against other cancers like head, neck, anal and penile cancers [30, 31]. These other cancers are commoner in males while the penile cancers are male specific [31]. This will make HCW and parents appreciate the need to also vaccinate male adolescents as well. It has been shown that the vaccination of both gender is cost effective if the burden of all HPV related cancers is considered [32]. However, the belief that the vaccine will give adolescents a false sense of security from other sexually transmitted diseases by engaging in indiscriminate sex was expressed by some of the HCW just as seen in earlier research [33, 34]. If this notion is not corrected with proofs, it may hinder the HCW from freely recommending the vaccine. Earlier studies have shown that the administration of the vaccine did not increase sexual activities of adolescents [35]. In contrast, there was a report of delay in the initiation of sexual activities among adolescents who received HPV vaccine similar to the belief of one of the HCW that the recommendation of the vaccine can be accompanied with the promotion of responsible sexuality education [36]. This type of pre-vaccination counselling may not be currently practiced by many of the HCW in this study as discussions about sex are still shrouded in secrecy in many African communities. Earlier research has also reported that HCW who find it difficult to talk about sex were less likely to discuss HPV vaccine with parents of adolescents [15].

One of the requirements for GAVI's support to help developing countries to access subsidized HPV vaccines for adolescents is that the countries must be able to demonstrate the ability to deliver repeat doses of vaccine to

| Domain                        | Specific facilitator/Barrier          | Sample Quote                                                                 | Frequency out of 14 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Social influences             | Interpersonal relationships (F)      | ‘personal relationship…with a particular family’ Pediatrician 1, Tertiary HF | 2                   |
|                               | Involvement in social groups (F)     | ‘I have a youth program…’ I have spoken to youths about it’ Medical Social worker, Tertiary HF | 2                   |
|                               | Traditional beliefs about cancers (B)| ‘People believe that cancer is a punishment from God…’ it is a spiritual problem so vaccine can never be a solution’ Nurse 1, Primary HC | 2                   |
| Environmental context and     | High cost of HPV vaccine (B)         | ‘it’s like it’s for elites…’ the price is high’ Health visitor, Tertiary HF   | 11                  |
| resources                     | Vague Government/ hospital policy (B)| ‘whether it is now a full policy by the federal government…’ I cannot say for now’ Family Physician 2, Tertiary HF | 3                   |
|                               | Availability and storage of HPV      | ‘…availability has been a challenge’ Family Physician 1, Tertiary HF          | 2                   |
|                               | vaccine (B)                          | ‘It is all the rumors and all the misconceptions about the vaccines, people have said it is a license to become promiscuous’ Pediatrician 2, Tertiary HF | 5                   |
|                               | Ignorance, rumors among the populace (B) | ‘some believe that my girl is not promiscuous now, so why would I?’ Family Physician 1, Tertiary HF | 4                   |

*Theoretical Domains Framework, F Facilitator, B Barrier, HC Health facility
adolescents. Most African countries that have introduced HPV vaccination in their routine vaccination schedule have adopted mainly school based vaccination strategy [37, 38]. This may be impossible in Nigeria as many of the country’s adolescents are out of school and adolescent health program is currently not well developed in the country [39]. It is therefore pertinent that a structure to reach adolescents effectively should be put in place to ensure a wide coverage of the HPV vaccine when it is eventually introduced. This may be a community based program which will provide access for both in and out of school adolescents. It is important that all stakeholders start thinking about the appropriate approach to reach adolescents with the HPV vaccine. It was not surprising that the high cost of HPV vaccine was stated as a barrier to recommending the vaccine as this has been a major concern to all stakeholders as seen in earlier research [40, 41]. The inclusion of the vaccine under the National Health Insurance scheme as suggested by some HCW will not only remove the burden of out of pocket payment from the parents, but HCW will be encouraged recommend the vaccine readily when necessary. Also, the inclusion of the vaccine in the routine vaccination schedule would be an offshoot of government policy and each hospital management board will adopt this policy. This will remove the ambiguity in the policy about the vaccine and give the HCW the confidence to recommend HPV vaccine for adolescents.

There were also facilitators and barriers against the opportunities to recommend the HPV vaccine by the HCW. The relationship between many HCW and families of adolescents is based on trust and this explains the reason why consistently, HCW have remained a major influence for parents to allow their adolescents to have the HPV vaccine. The HCW also have this influence outside the clinic in their various social groups and they can leverage on their influence to recommend the vaccine as well. This is because the same trust that parents and adolescent have in them in the clinic also exist in such circles. However, there were more barriers for the recommendation of HPV vaccine under the environmental concept and resources domain and more effort is required to address these barriers. Suggestions about how the high cost of the HPV vaccine and the vague policies about HPV vaccine can be addressed have been discussed earlier. The low risk perception by parents about their adolescents’ sexual activities has been reported in the literature [13]. Improving the skills of parents to understand how the vaccine works can allay their fears and encourage them not delay eligible adolescents from getting the vaccine. The HCW had real fears about the availability of optimal storage facilities for the vaccine, but, there have been a general improvement in the cold chain facilities available in Nigeria in the last decade [42]. This will help to ensure optimal storage conditions for the HPV vaccine when it becomes routine. The country was able to surmount the apathy toward the uptake of polio vaccine which was as a result of rumors and suspicions about the vaccine. The strategies employed to address the problem which resulted in Nigeria becoming polio free can also be used to ensure the acceptance of the HPV vaccine.

Conclusion
This study was able to explore the facilitators and barriers that HCW in charge of vaccination experienced in the recommendation of the HPV vaccine for adolescents using the TDF. While the knowledge, belief about consequences and intention domains had the highest frequencies of concept about the barriers and facilitators for recommending HPV vaccine, each of the identified relevant domains are potentially relevant in changing how HCW recommend HPV vaccine for adolescents. This is because of the conflicting concepts that were seen in some domains and the perceived strength of some other domains in influencing the recommendation of the vaccine. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in our region to explore the facilitators and barriers for the recommendation of HPV vaccine for adolescents by HCW using TDR. This offers a baseline to develop strategies to improve the capacity of HCW to recommend the vaccine in Nigeria and in countries with similar settings.
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