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Identification of colistin resistance and its bactericidal activity against uropathogenic gram negative bacteria from Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Identification of colistin resistance and its bactericidal activity against gram-negative bacteria isolated from urinary tract infection (UTI) patients.

Methods: This 6-month cross sectional study was conducted in Hayatabad Medical Complex Peshawar from January 2019-June2019.. A total of 2000 urine samples were collected and transported to the Health Research Institute, NIH, Research Centre, Khyber Medical College Peshawar. Samples were streaked on different media and incubated at 37°C for 24hrs. Gram negative bacteria were identified through gram staining and Analytical Profile Index (API) 10s. Gram negative bacteria were subjected under antibiotic sensitivity profile through Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. Colistin resistance was found through broth microdilution method. Minimum bactericidal activity was performed to find out the lowest concentration of colistin required to kill gram-negative bacteria.

Results: A total of 241(12.05%) uropathogenic gram negative bacteria were isolated and identified from 2000 urine samples while excluding intrinsically resistant bacteria. After broth microdilution, colistin resistance was found in 48(19.9%) Escherichia coli, 4(1.6%) Klebsiella pneumoniae and 3(1.3%) Pseudomonas aeruginosa respectively. Colistin resistant Escherichia coli were resistant to 77% Cephalosporins, 81% to Fluoroquinolones and 70% to Penicillin combinations. Colistin resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae were 100% resistant to Cephalosporins, Penicillin combinations and Fluoroquinolones while 75% were resistant to Carbapenems and Monobactams. Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates were sensitive to all used antibiotics. Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates were sensitive to all used antibiotics.

Conclusion: E.coli was the mainly responsible uropathogen causing UTIs. Colistin resistance was found in 22.8% gram negative uropathogens. Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates exhibited highest resistance to antibiotics.
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of urinary tract infection (UTI) caused by multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria is a key issue around the world, as these resistant bacteria developed the resistance to fluoroquinolone, cephalosporins and carbapenems.1 Different microorganisms can cause UTI but 95% of cases are caused by bacteria.2 Among uropathogenic bacteria, gram negative specially Enterobacteriaceae is mainly responsible for UTI. Among Gram Negative Bacteria, Escherichia coli (70-80%) is the major reason for UTI.3 Resistance to fluoroquinolones, β-lactams, aminoglycosides and carbapenems and the lack of development of new antibacterial drugs lead the
medical community to reconsider the colistin for the treatment of Multidrug resistant gram negative bacteria worldwide.  

Colistin is an old antibiotic, which also known as polymyxin E antibiotic which was approved by the FDA in 1959. It is widely used for the treatment of animals and now increasingly given worldwide to human for the treatment of multi drug resistant bacteria e.g. gram-negatives.  

Colistin have narrow spectrum activity, as it is active against gram negative bacteria mainly Enterobacteriaceae and some non-fermentative Gram-negative bacteria e.g., *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii*.  

Unfortunately development of resistance to colistin was also observed in gram negative bacteria. Even though the prevalence of colistin resistance is low around the world, and found in different multi drug resistant gram negative bacteria such as *E.coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii*. Several mechanisms are involved in resistance of colistin e.g. intrinsic, adaptive and mutational and horizontally acquired resistance via plasmid.  

The problem in phenotypic detection of colistin resistance is due to interactions of this antibiotic with materials e.g. cations, make it hindered for global surveillance of antimicrobial resistance of colistin. Colistin diffusion in agar media is uneven and irregular and interaction in media make it difficult to give the correct results through disc diffusion, E-test strips methods and agar dilution method. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) has recommended the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) by micro broth dilution method for phenotypic identification of colistin resistance.  

Increasing resistance of gram negative bacteria and emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance is the threat for this last-resort antibiotic which was also identified in Karachi and Peshawar, Pakistan. Therefore, this study was designed to identify the colistin resistance in uropathogenic gram negative bacteria from Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar.  

**METHODS**  

This cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out in Hayatabad Medical Complex (HMC), Peshawar from January to June 2019. Ethical clearance was taken from the institutional ethical review board (IREB) of HMC Peshawar with reference number.144/HEC/B&PSC/19 dated 16 January 2019.  

Intrinsically resistant bacteria such as *Proteus* spp., *Providencia* spp., *Morganella morganii*, *Pseudomonas mallei*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Chromobacterium* spp., *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Edwardsiella* spp., *Campylobacter*, *Brucella*, *Legionella*, and *Vibrio cholera* were excluded from study.  

The patients were fully informed of the nature and purpose of the study before taking written informed consent. Urine sample was collected after taking informed consent from the patient/attendant. Mid-stream urine samples were collected from infected patients in sterile urine collection bottles. Urine samples were transported to the Microbiology Laboratory of Health Research Institute, NIH, Research Centre, Khyber Medical College, Peshawar. Urine samples were streaked on Nutrient agar (Oxoid Limited, UK), MacConkey agar (Oxoid Limited, UK), SS agar (Oxoid Limited, UK), EMB agar (Oxoid Limited, UK), CLED (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), CLED with android indicator (Oxoid Limited, UK) media and incubated under aerobic condition at 37°C for 24hrs. Gram negative bacteria were identified through Gram staining and further confirmation was done through API 10s system (bioMérieux, France). Modified Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method was used for antibiotic sensitivity and resistance testing. The bacterial growth was adjusted in sterile saline water to 0.5 McFarland standard solutions and streaked on Muller Hinton agar (Oxoid Limited, UK) for antibiogram. Results were interpreted according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.  

Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was performed by broth microdilution method using 96-well round bottom microtiter plates (nest®, Wuxi NEST Biotechnology Co., Ltd). Bacterial suspension was made using 4-6 colonies of bacterial growth in cation adjusted Muller Hinton Broth (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). Bacterial suspension was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard which have bacterial concentration of 1 to 2 x10⁶ CFUml⁻¹. Bacterial suspension was diluted in 1:20 ratio to achieve the final concentration of bacterial growth to 1x10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹.  

Stock solution of Colistin sulphate (Sigma Aldrich St. Louis, MO, USA) was prepared according to CLSI, in sterile distilled water. Further serial two-fold dilutions of colistin were made in microtiter plate wells containing 50 μL sterile CAMHB medium ranging 0.25 μg ml⁻¹ to 64 μg ml⁻¹. Prepared 50 μL bacterial suspension was added to each well except negative control.  

No growth was recorded as lowest concentration of MIC. MBC was performed by sub-culturing the 10 μL of each well from MIC of microtiter plate on MHA (Oxoid Limited, UK) and incubated for 24hrs at 37°C.
Co under aerobic condition. MBC was considered as lowest concentration that no growth was found on MHA media. The MIC range for colistin resistance of Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas spp. was set as ≥4 μg ml⁻¹ according to CLSI breakpoints.¹⁴

**Quality Control:** To assure the colistin resistance through MIC, reference strains (mcr1-mcr5) were used as a positive control which were provided by the Rene S Hendriksen (Technical University of Denmark, Anker Engelunds Vej 1DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark CVR. No. 30 06 09 46).

**Statistical Analysis:** All the data was entered and analyzed using SPSS version 20. Qualitative data was analyzed as frequency and percentage.

**RESULTS**

A total of 2000 urine samples were collected, among which 281 (14%) samples showed significant growth of gram-negative bacteria. Forty isolates were intrinsically resistant to colistin therefore they were excluded from this study. Among 241 positive samples, 134 (55.6%) female and 107 (44.4%) male were infected with uropathogenic bacteria. The most prevalent uropathogenic gram negative bacteria were *E.coli* 179 (74.3%), followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 42 (17.5%) as shown in Fig.1. A total of 55 (22.8%) colistin resistant bacterial isolates were identified through broth micro-dilution, where *E.coli* (n=48) was predominant followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (n=4) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (n=3).

Antibiogram of colistin resistant bacteria revealed that *E.coli* (n=48) showed resistance to Levofloxacin (81.2%), Ciprofloxacin (81.2%), Cefotaxim (77.1%), Ceftrioxone (77.1%), Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (70.8%), Ceftazidim (66.7%) and Azteronam (62.5%). Colistin resistant *E.coli* showed emerging resistance to these antibiotics.

![Fig.1: Frequency of uropathogenic gram negative bacterial isolates (n=241).](image-url)
Table-I: Antibiotic sensitivity and resistant profile of colistin resistant bacteria (n=55).

| Antibiotics | Escherichia coli(n=48) | Klebsiella pneumoniae(n=4) | Pseudomonas aeruginosa(n=3) |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|             | R          | S          | R          | S          | R          | S          |
| Amikacin    | 10(20.9%) | 38(79.1%) | 2(50%)     | 2(50%)     | 0          | 3(100.0%) |
| Gentamyacin | 24(50%)   | 24(50%)   | 2(50%)     | 2(50%)     | 1(33.3%)   | 2(66.7%)  |
| Imepienum   | 8(16.7%)  | 40(83.3%) | 1(25%)     | 3(75%)     | 0          | 3(100.0%) |
| Meropenum   | 7(14.6%)  | 41(85.4%) | 3(75%)     | 1(25%)     | 0          | 3(100.0%) |
| Cefepime    | 24(50%)   | 24(50%)   | 3(75%)     | 1(20%)     | 1(33.3%)   | 2(66.7%)  |
| Cefazidim   | 32(66.7%) | 16(33.3%) | 4(100%)    | 0          | 1(33.3%)   | 2(66.7%)  |
| Ceftriaxone | 37(77.1%) | 11(22.9%) | 4(100%)    | 0          | 1(33.3%)   | 2(66.7%)  |
| Ceftaxim    | 37(77.1%) | 11(22.9%) | 4(100%)    | 0          | 1(33.3%)   | 2(66.7%)  |
| Aztetronam  | 30(62.5%) | 18(37.5%) | 3(75%)     | 1(20%)     | 1(33.3%)   | 2(66.7%)  |
| Amoxicillin and clavulanic acid | 34(70.8%) | 14(29.2%) | 4(100%) | 0 | 1(33.3%) | 2(66.7%) |
| Pipercillin/tazo | 11(22.9%) | 37(77.1%) | 1(25%) | 3(75%) | 0 | 3(100.0%) |
| Ciprofloxacin | 39(81.2%) | 9(18.8%) | 4(100%) | 0 | 0 | 3(100.0%) |
| Levofloxacin | 39(81.2%) | 9(18.8%) | 4(100%) | 0 | 1(33.3%) | 2(66.7%) |

Resistance to the Imepienum 16.7% and Meropenum 14.6% (Table-I).

Colistin resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (n=4) showed complete (100%) resistance to Cefazidim, Ceftaxim, Ceftriaxone, Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, Levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. Moreover, colistin resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae were resistant to Imepienum (25%), Meropenum (75%), Aztetronam(75%) and Pipercillin/Tazobactum (25%).

Colistin resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa(n=3) was completely (100%) sensitive to Amikacin, Imipenum, Meropenum, Pipercillin/Tazobactum and Ciprofloxacin. Colistin MIC was performed by broth micro-dilution for isolated bacterial samples. A total of 48 colistin resistant E.coli had MICs range of 4 μg/ml to 64 μg/ml. Klebsiella pneumoniae (n=4) showed MIC range of 4 μg/ml to 64 μg/ml. Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n=3) have MICs from 8 μg/ml to 64 μg/ml.

Minimum bacterial activity was observed in Escherichia coli (n=41), Enterobacter aerogens (n=1), Klebsiella pneumoniae (n=2), Klebsiella species (n=1) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n=10). Majority of colistin sensitive uropathogenic gram negative bacteria were killed below 4 μg/ml of colistin concentration.

DISCUSSION

Urinary tract infections(UTI) are mostly caused by bacteria, affecting 150 million people each year worldwide\textsuperscript{16} which needs more medical attention.\textsuperscript{17}

Table-II: Colistin MIC\textsubscript{BMD} (μg/ml) distributions among isolated uropathogenic Gram Negative Bacteria.

| Colistin MIC\textsubscript{BMD}(μg/ml) range |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Bacterial isolates** | **No. of isolate** | **0** | **.25** | **.50** | **1** | **2** | **4** | **8** | **16** | **32** | **64** |
| Enterobacter aerogens | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enterobacter cloacae | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Escherichia coli | 179 | 41 | 10 | 32 | 47 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 13 |
| Klebsella oxytica | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Klebsiella species | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 42 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Shigella spp | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
In our study it was found that prevalence of UTI was 14% associated with Gram Negative Bacteria. Our study results were similar with studies done in Kohat which showed the 11.6% prevalence and 12.06% of UTI prevalence in Karachi.17 According to present study female (55.6%) were more affected with UTI which is comparable to other study done in Pakistan.19 While other study done in Pakistan results were higher (87.94%) than this study.17

E.coli (74.3%) was the leading cause of UTI in this study. Similar kind of results was also observed in other studies in which E.coli was major cause of UTI. Results of this study revealed 22.8% prevalence of colistin resistance. A study done in Pakistan showed 15.9% resistance to colistin which is comparable to other study done in Peshawar.4 Another study done in Islamabad also showed similar results.20

In our study out of 55 colistin resistant bacteria, 87.2% E.coli and 7.3% Klebsiella pneumonia were found to be resistant to colistin while a study done in Karachi showed that 7.5% E.coli and 50% Klebsiella pneumonia were resistant to colistin.15 Another study done in Peshawar indicated that 40% Klebsiella pneumonia and 23% E.coli showed resistance to colistin.4

Colistin resistant E.coli showed resistance to ciprofloxacin 81.2%, Amoxicillin and clavulanic acid 70.8%, Ceftazidim 66.7%, Cefepime 50% and Cefotaxim 77.1% in this study. These results are comparable to other study done in china.21

Colistin resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates were 100% resistant to Ceftazidim, Cefotaxim, Ceftriaxone, Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, Levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. While resistance to Meropenum (75%), Azteronam (75%) and Piperacillin/tazobactum (25%) was also found in Colistin resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae. Similar results of high resistance were also observed in other studies.22,23 A research done in Peshawar revealed that resistance of colistin resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae to other antibiotics were much less than our study.4 Higher resistance to Fluoroquinolones, Cephalosporins, Monobactams and Penicillin combinations in our society could be due to irrational use of these antibiotics for the treatment of UTIs.

Isolated colistin resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa during this research showed susceptibility to all used antibiotics while other study showed higher resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa to cephalosporins and carbapenems.24

Colistin sensitive uropathogenic gram negative bacteria were resistant to Ceftazidim, Cefotaxim, Ceftriaxone, Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid, Levofloxacin and Ciprofloxacin according this study. Our results were in agreement to other study done in Pakistan.18 Uropathogenic Gram negative Bacteria that have 4ug/ml of MIC, most of them were not able to grow on MHA media plate at 4 ug/ml of MIC. Our results also corroborate with other study.25 The lowest level of colistin was 4ug/ml which kill/inhibit the majority of bacterial isolates of this study.

**Limitation:** This study was done only in one hospital of Peshawar and only targeted the UTI patients, which is limitation of this study. It might increase our knowledge about the causative bacterial agent pattern of UTI, amitotic resistant profile and most important colistin resistance if samples are selected from different hospitals and from other infections.

**CONCLUSION**

This study concluded that E.coli was the main causative agent of UTI. Colistin resistant E.coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates were resistant
to important antibiotics e.g. fluoroquinolones, cephalosporins, monobactams, carbapenems and penicillin combinations.
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