Fibrogenic fibroblasts increase intercellular adhesion strength by reinforcing individual OB-cadherin bonds
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Summary
We have previously shown that the switch from N-cadherin to OB-cadherin expression increases intercellular adhesion between fibroblasts during their transition from a migratory to a fibrogenic phenotype. Using atomic force microscopy we here show that part of this stronger adhesion is accomplished because OB-cadherin bonds resist ~twofold higher forces compared with N-cadherin junctions. By assessing the adhesion force between recombinant cadherin dimers and between native cadherins in the membrane of spread fibroblasts, we demonstrate that cadherin bonds are reinforced over time with two distinct force increments. By modulating the degree of lateral cadherin diffusion and F-actin organization we can attribute the resulting three force states to the single-molecule bond rather than to cadherin cluster formation. Notably, association with actin filaments enhances cadherin adhesion strength on the single-molecule level up to threefold; actin depolymerization reduces single-bond strength to the level of cadherin constructs missing the cytoplasmic domain. Hence, fibroblasts reinforce intercellular contacts by: (1) switching from N- to OB-cadherin expression; (2) increasing the strength of single-molecule bonds in three distinct steps; and (3) actin-promoted intrinsic activation of cadherin extracellular binding. We propose that this plasticity adapts fibroblast adhesions to the changing mechanical microenvironment of tissue under remodeling.
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Introduction
Differentiation of fibroblasts into contractile myofibroblasts is a key event during the development of pathological contractures that characterize organ fibrosis and tissue reconstruction after wounding (Hinz, 2007). This transition occurs in two phases. The first phase involves the release of inflammatory cytokines and the altered mechanical properties of the extracellular matrix (ECM) following tissue injury initiate the formation of cytoplasmic actin stress fibers which hallmark the ‘proto-myofibroblast’ (Hinz and Gabbiani, 2003b); most fibroblastic cells acquire this phenotype in standard culture (Tomasek et al., 2002). The second phase occurs in the presence of pro-fibrotic cytokines, such as transforming growth factor β1 (TGFβ1) and mechanical stress, when proto-myofibroblasts further develop into ‘differentiated myofibroblasts’ by de novo expression of α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA). Integration of α-SMA into stress fibers significantly augments myofibroblast contraction that is transmitted to the ECM at sites of specialized focal adhesions (Hinz et al., 2001; Hinz et al., 2003).

In addition, myofibroblasts couple stress fibers intercellularly via adherens junctions (AJs) (Hinz and Gabbiani, 2003a) by binding to the cytoplasmic domain of transmembrane cadherins through a catenin-containing complex (Gumbiner, 2005; Nagafuchi, 2001; Weis and Nelson, 2006; Wheelock and Johnson, 2003). Recently, we demonstrated that development of stress fibers in migratory proto-myofibroblasts of early wound tissue and in culture coincides with the initiation of N-cadherin (cadherin-2) containing AJs. In cells of mesenchymal origin including smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts (Hazan et al., 2000; Jones et al., 2002), during epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (Thiery, 2002) and during transformation of epithelial cells in cancer (De Wever and Mareel, 2003), expression of N-cadherin is associated with acquisition of a migratory cell phenotype and rather transient contacts. By contrast, OB-cadherin expression in fibroblastic cells appears to be correlated with elevated levels of mechanical stress, such as in subbrothelial myofibroblasts (Kuijpers et al., 2007) in pericryptal myofibroblasts (Cristia et al., 2005) and in differentiated myofibroblasts of contractile wounds (Hinz et al., 2004). Neo-expressed OB-cadherin (cadherin-11) gradually replaces N-cadherin in late contractile wounds and during TGFβ1-induced myofibroblast differentiation in culture. A similar switch to OB-cadherin expression is observed in stromal myofibroblasts surrounding epithelial tumors (Tomita et al., 2000). Inhibition of OB-cadherin, but not of N-cadherin, with specific peptides reduces the contraction of myofibroblast-populated collagen gels, indicating the importance of intercellular contacts in regulating ECM remodeling by myofibroblasts (Hinz et al., 2004). In a very recent work, we show that OB-cadherin-type AJs coordinate Ca2+ signaling and contraction between differentiated myofibroblasts; this is in contrast to N-cadherin, which plays no significant role in coordinating these activities between connected proto-myofibroblasts (L. Folloniier, S. Schaub, J.-J.M., and B.H., unpublished results). Consistently, AJs of differentiated myofibroblasts exhibit higher mechanical resistance than AJs between proto-myofibroblasts as demonstrated by subjecting cell pairs to hydrodynamic force in a flow chamber. This stronger attachment is partly due to AJ reinforcement by α-SMA-generated high contractile activity; blocking this activity specifically reduces cell-cell adhesion (Hinz et al., 2004). It remains to be shown whether...
in myofibroblasts OB-cadherin promotes stronger adhesion than N-cadherin on the single-bond level.

N- and OB-cadherin are classical cadherins characterized by five Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent extracellular cadherin (EC) domains (Nollet et al., 2000; Patel et al., 2003; Troyanovsky, 2005; Williams et al., 2000). The number of EC domains contributing to trans-adhesion specificity and binding strength remains controversial (Chappuis-Flament et al., 2001; Troyanovsky, 2005; Zhu et al., 2003), although a primordial role of the EC1 domain is generally assumed (Harrison et al., 2005). Competitive inhibition of cell adhesion recognition sequences in the EC1 domain was shown to block cadherin-mediated adhesion (Blaschuk et al., 1990; Noe et al., 1999; Williams et al., 2000). Classical cadherins are further classified into type I and type II, according to the presence and absence of the His-Ala-Val (HAV) sequence in the EC1 domain (Blaschuk and Rowlands, 2002; Nollet et al., 2000); structural differences between the EC1 domains seem to prevent heterophilic interaction between the groups (Patel et al., 2006).

In the present study, we have evaluated whether the differential expression of N-cadherin (type I) in proto-myofibroblasts and of OB-cadherin (type II) in differentiated myofibroblasts contributes to the higher intercellular adhesion described for differentiated myofibroblasts. Using atomic force microscopy (AFM) we measured the interaction strength between N- and OB-cadherin dimer-coated surfaces (cadherin-cadherin set-up), between cadherin dimers and myofibroblasts grown in monolayers (cadherin-cell set-up), and between spread proto- and differentiated myofibroblasts (cell-cell set-up), respectively. In all experimental setups OB-cadherin junctions exhibited higher adhesion strength than N-cadherin junctions. Our data further support the idea that the forces measured with AFM resolution correspond to single-molecule cadherin dimer interactions, which can exhibit three distinct force states. In the cell-cell set-up, cytoplasmic interaction with the actin cytoskeleton further increases the intrinsic binding strength of OB-cadherin. We propose that mechanically stable OB-cadherin containing cell-cell contacts play an important role in coordinating the contraction of differentiated myofibroblasts to achieve efficient tissue remodeling.

Results

OB-cadherin junctions are stronger than N-cadherin bonds

Using western blotting and immunofluorescence, we have previously shown that the differentiation of contractile proto-myofibroblasts into highly contractile and strongly adherent differentiated myofibroblasts is correlated with a clear shift from N- to OB-cadherin expression (Hinz et al., 2004). To compare cadherin surface-expression levels in both cell types with flow cytometry, we analyzed rat subcutaneous fibroblasts attaining a proto-myofibroblast phenotype in control culture conditions and after treatment with TGFβ1, which generates differentiated myofibroblasts. Myofibroblast differentiation was assessed with antibodies against the differentiated myofibroblast marker α-SMA (supplementary material Fig. S1A). N-cadherin expression was significantly higher in α-SMA-negative proto-myofibroblasts than in α-SMA-positive differentiated myofibroblasts (supplementary material Fig. S1B). By contrast, expression of OB-cadherin was low in proto-myofibroblasts but high in differentiated myofibroblasts (supplementary material Fig. S1C).

Thus, we worked with a physiologically relevant cell model in which a change in stress-fiber-connecting cadherins may be associated with the contractile function of the cell.

In a flow-chamber assay, differentiated myofibroblasts exhibit higher intercellular adhesion than proto-myofibroblasts (Hinz et al., 2004). Here, we wanted to elucidate whether this difference in

Fig. 1. Adhesion of differentiated myofibroblasts is stronger than that of proto-myofibroblasts. Adhesion forces are measured with AFM between cadherin dimer-coated cantilevers and similarly coated substrates (A-C), between cadherin dimer-coated cantilevers and myofibroblasts (D-E), and between myofibroblasts grown on cantilevers and myofibroblasts grown in monolayers (G-I). In all conditions, cantilever approach-retraction velocities were set to 0.1 μm/second, loading force to 3 nN and contact time to 2 seconds. (B,E,H) Typical force-distance curves under different conditions are displayed for each configuration; arrows indicate positions where bond rupture occurs in a ‘jump’. Red profiles indicate typical interaction between OB-cadherins or differentiated myofibroblasts in the different set-ups, green lines represent controls in the absence of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) (EGTA) and pink lines show controls performed with IgG-coated cantilevers (B,E) or contacts formed in the presence of OB-cadherin-blocking peptides (H). (C,F,J) Rupture forces displayed as histograms (n>5000 in each condition), normalized for the total number of rupture events in every configuration and fitted with Gaussian curves. Results obtained with proto-myofibroblasts and OB-cadherin are displayed in blue, whereas results with differentiated myofibroblasts and OB-cadherin rupture forces are indicated in red. Note the different scale in H, which allows for the significantly higher bond strength in the cell-cell set-up compared with set-ups in B and E. Cadherin specificity of interactions was controlled by coating cantilevers with human IgG (C,F,pink), by using EGTA (green) and by applying anti-cadherin peptides (I, dashed lines).
overall adhesion relates to different adhesion strengths of N- and OB-cadherin on the single-molecule level. For this, we first coated AFM cantilever tips with N- or OB-cadherin:Fc dimers (10 μg/ml) that were put into contact with coated coverslips (Fig. 1A). Contact was established for 2 seconds with 0.1 μm/second approach velocity and 3 nN loading force and the bonds were then separated with the same retraction speed. Detachment events were determined from rapid changes in AFM cantilever deflection (‘jumps’) in force-distance curves (Fig. 1B arrows, supplementary material Fig. S2). The height of a jump was proportional to the force needed to separate one adhesive bond (supplementary material Fig. S2). For statistical evaluation, we assembled all measured forces in histograms and fitted the data with Gaussian curves. When putting recombinant cadherin-dimer-coated surfaces into contact, we obtained one major force peak for OB-cadherin (95±20 pN) (Fig. 1C, red) in Gaussian-fitted histograms of rupture forces, which was about twice the force obtained with N-cadherin (44±19 pN) (Fig. 2C, blue). A second peak appeared in histograms at 161±31 pN for OB-cadherin and at 120±44 pN for N-cadherin rupture forces (Fig. 1C). Controls with human IgG-coated cantilever tips excluded nonspecific interaction between the Fc domains of cadherin:Fc fusion proteins (Fig. 1B,C, green) and cadherin adhesion was abolished in the absence of Ca2+ (Fig. 1B,C, pink); heterotypic cadherin pairs did not interact. Hence, homotypic interaction between OB-cadherin bonds is stronger than that of N-cadherin, and both bond types formed with recombinant dimers exist in two principal force states after 2 seconds of contact.

To test the significance of N- and OB-cadherin adhesion in living myofibroblasts, we measured the interaction strength between cells grown on coverslips in monolayer and AFM cantilever tips coated with 10 μg/ml cadherin:Fc dimers (Fig. 1D). After 2 seconds of contact time using 0.1 μm/second approach-retraction velocities and 3 nN loading force, multiple rupture jumps preceded complete detachment (Fig. 1E, arrows). From the jump heights, we extracted that N-cadherin-coated cantilevers adhered to proto-myofibroblasts with a main average force of 54±9 pN, a secondary force of 79±8 pN and a third shoulder with a peak at 112±54 pN for N-cadherin as seen in Gaussian-fitted histograms of all measured forces; virtually no interaction was observed between proto-myofibroblasts and OB-cadherin:Fc tips (Fig. 1F, dashed red fit). OB-cadherin:Fc adhered to differentiated myofibroblasts with higher forces of 80±12 pN (main peak), 108±21 pN (secondary peak) and 152±56 (third shoulder peak) (Fig. 1F, red). We occasionally observed adhesion between N-cadherin:Fc and differentiated myofibroblasts, occurring ~20 times less frequently, with a mean force of ~55 pN (Fig. 1F, dashed blue fit). IgG-coated cantilevers never promoted adhesion with cells (Fig. 1E,F, green) and EGTA completely inhibited cadherin-mediated interactions (Fig. 1E,F, pink). These results confirm that N-cadherin is the predominant cadherin in proto-myofibroblasts and that OB-cadherin is specific for differentiated myofibroblasts; the latter promoted higher adhesion.

Then, we assessed the strength of the respective cadherin bonds in their physiological context, i.e. including cis-interaction between monomers and/or dimers, their functional cytoplasmic tail and their cytosolic partners. For this we put myofibroblasts spread on tipless AFM cantilevers in contact with myofibroblasts grown in confluent monolayer (Fig. 1G). After 2 seconds of contact time using 0.1 μm/second approach-retraction velocities and 3 nN loading force, cells detached completely, undergoing multiple rupture events (Fig. 1H, arrows). Gaussian-fitted histograms of all rupture forces show that cadherins in myofibroblast plasma membranes adhered with three main forces: 141±21 pN, 200±48 pN and 274±130 pN in proto-myofibroblasts (Fig. 1I, blue) and 190±17 pN, 242±54 pN and 381±99 in differentiated myofibroblasts (Fig. 1I, red). No adhesion was measured in the absence of Ca2+ (Fig. 1H,I, EGTA, green). Rupture analysis in the cell-cell setup produced one additional low peak at ~100 pN, which may be due to membrane-related tethering effects because this population of rupture events is associated with particularly long movements of the AFM cantilever (data not shown). Considering each force peak separately, differentiated myofibroblasts always exhibited significantly stronger adhesion than proto-myofibroblasts. To corroborate the implication of N- and OB-cadherin in the cell-cell set-up, we added inhibitory peptides directed against the respective EC1 domain (Blaschuk et al., 1990; Hinz et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2000). Both anti-cadherin peptides significantly reduced the occurrence of rupture events (Fig. 1H, pink, supplementary material Fig. S3A-D). Anti-OB-cadherin reduced the frequency of binding events between differentiated myofibroblasts by ~85% (Fig. 1I, anti-OB-cad, red dashed line, supplementary material Fig. S3C); a similar reduction by ~90% was observed for proto-myofibroblasts in the presence of anti-N-
cadherin (Fig. 11, anti-N-cad, blue dashed line, supplementary material Fig. S3D). Adding anti-N-cadherin peptide to differentiated myofibroblasts (supplementary material Fig. S3A,E) and anti-OB-cadherin peptide to proto-myofibroblasts (supplementary material Fig. S3B,F) as well as control peptides (data not shown) was without effect. Together these results show that OB-cadherin bonds promote higher adhesion between differentiated myofibroblasts than N-cadherin bonds between proto-myofibroblasts. In all experimental set-ups with a 2 second contact time, we recorded a maximum of three force states for each cadherin type, of which the second and third were more pronounced when put into contact with native cadherins in living cells.

**Differentiated myofibroblasts exhibit fewer but stronger intercellular bonds**

In addition to the force of cadherin bonds (represented by the height of rupture jumps), the number of rupture events preceding separation of two surfaces contributes to overall adhesion strength. Quantifying the number of rupture jumps preceding total detachment in force-distance profiles after 2 seconds of contact (Fig. 1B,E,H arrows) demonstrated that the average number of rupture events preceding detachment of AFM cantilevers and surfaces coated with recombinant cadherins was 2.0±1.4 for both cadherin types (Fig. 1B, Fig. 2A,B). De-adhesion of N-cadherin-coated cantilevers from proto-myofibroblasts in monolayer occurred with an average of 3.7±1.4 rupture events (Fig. 1E, Fig. 2C), which was similar for separating recombinant OB-cadherin from differentiated myofibroblasts (Fig. 2D). The number of bonds formed and ruptured between N-cadherin and differentiated myofibroblasts as well as between OB-cadherin and proto-myofibroblasts was negligible (Fig. 2E,F). Complete separation of two cells occurred with a significantly higher number of jumps that differed between both cell types. On average, 8.9±4.3 rupture events preceded detachment of proto-myofibroblasts (Fig. 1H, Fig. 2G) and 5.9±2.4 rupture events occurred before differentiated myofibroblasts were separated (Fig. 2H). Hence, the higher total adhesion previously measured between differentiated myofibroblasts (Hinz et al., 2004) is achieved with a higher number of jumps that differed between both cell types. On average, 8.9±4.3 rupture events preceded detachment of proto-myofibroblasts (Fig. 1H, Fig. 2G) and 5.9±2.4 rupture events occurred before differentiated myofibroblasts were separated (Fig. 2H). Hence, the higher total adhesion previously measured between differentiated myofibroblasts (Hinz et al., 2004) is achieved with a lower number of OB-cadherin bonds, which are however, stronger than the higher number of N-cadherin bonds in proto-myofibroblasts. At present, we cannot explain why the low levels of N-cadherin expressed on the surface of differentiated myofibroblasts as well as OB-cadherin on proto-myofibroblasts represent such a small fraction (~1%) of all bonds. It is conceivable that each cadherin type exhibits different activities depending on the cellular background of its expression.

**OB-cadherin junctions exhibit three distinct force states**

At this stage, the nature of the cadherin bond rupture jumps in the force-distance profiles are not defined. Two interpretations are possible: (1) each jump can correspond to the separation of one single-molecule cadherin bond which exists in three different force states after 2 seconds of contact time and (2) one jump can represent the simultaneous rupture of one to three cadherins that laterally (cis-) cooperate. To evaluate each possibility, we performed a series of additional experiments using recombinant OB-cadherin dimers and differentiated myofibroblasts. First, we analyzed only the heights of the last rupture events in cell-cell detachment profiles (supplementary material Fig. S2) and found three main force peaks in Gaussian-fitted histograms (Fig. 3A), which is comparable with the analysis of all rupture events (Fig. 3B). Occurrence of multiple force peaks in the last rupture analysis was unexpected if one jump comprises simultaneous rupture of multiple cadherin bonds, because only one single-molecule bond should remain under maximal tension after ‘unzipping’ two cell surfaces.

We tested whether interaction of the cytoplasmic portion of cell cadherins with the actin cytoskeleton augments the probability of multiple peaks in force histograms. The contractile actin cytoskeleton has been shown to increase intercellular adhesion by supporting lateral clustering of cadherins (Bershadsky, 2004; Chan et al., 2004; Chu et al., 2004; Delanoe-Ayari et al., 2004; El Sayegh et al., 2007; Gumbiner, 2000; Mege et al., 2006). To block this action, we put into contact differentiated myofibroblasts in the presence of cytochalasin D, which disassembled stress fibers of myofibroblasts on AFM cantilevers (Fig. 4A,B). Probing the topography of monolayer myofibroblasts with AFM in imaging mode (Fig. 4C) demonstrated cell surface smoothing as a result of disassembly of stress fibers and cell heightening due to cell relaxation (Fig. 4D). We then measured how cytochalasin D influences the strength of OB-cadherin bonds and displays all rupture forces in Gaussian-fitted histograms (Fig. 4E). Compared with results obtained from two intact differentiated myofibroblasts that had been put into contact (Fig. 4E, black dashed line), actin depolymerization reduced the amplitude of the second force peak and reduced the third shoulder (Fig. 4E, black line). This result appears to suggest that the second and third force peaks are due to clustering of two and three cadherins, respectively. Most notably however, disrupting actin filaments also reduced the strength of native OB-cadherin bonds in the plasma membrane; this force-peak-position shift produced a force distribution profile very similar to that obtained from recombinant OB-cadherin dimers that had been put into contact (Fig. 4E, red line). Hence, it is possible that interaction of the cytoplasmic cadherin tail with actin filaments regulates the extracellular binding strength of single-molecule OB-cadherin, independently of clustering events.

Next, we increased the contact time between differentiated myofibroblasts, hypothesizing that longer contact times should increase the number of force peaks in histograms if force increase is due to cadherin clustering. Statistical analysis of force-distance curves in Gaussian histograms revealed that the average height of rupture jumps increased with longer contact times (Fig. 5A, supplementary material Fig. S4). Compared with 2 seconds of contact time (Fig. 5A, black, supplementary material Fig. S4),
contacts of 3 seconds augmented the occurrence of 242 pN (second peak) rupture events at the expense of decreased 190 pN adhesions (first peak) without altering the position of force peaks (Fig. 5A red, supplementary material Fig. S4). With contact times ≥3 seconds, the third peak at 381±78 pN became more prominent, further increasing after 10 seconds (Fig. 5C blue, supplementary material Fig. S4) and becoming most prominent after 60 seconds of contact (Fig. 5A orange, supplementary material Fig. S4). Notably, we never observed more than three significant force peaks, even after 60 seconds of contact time (Fig. 5A). By measuring the ratio of the areas under each Gaussian-fitted peak (supplementary material Fig. S4, dotted lines) and the total Gaussian curve area (supplementary material Fig. S4, solid lines), we obtained the statistical probability for the occurrence of each distinct force state at 190 pN, 242 pN and 381 pN (Fig. 5B). The probability for OB-cadherin junctions to obtain the higher force states increased with increasing contact time (Fig. 5B). When further analyzing the number of rupture events preceding complete cell separation we measured a moderate twofold increase from 5.9±2.4 after 2 seconds to 10.1±5.2 after 60 seconds of contact time (Fig. 5C). Finally, to investigate how contact time changes total cell-cell adhesion in the AFM setup, we determined the total work of (de-)adhesion from the surface included by the force-distance profile and the baseline; this reflects the total energy that needs to be invested to separate two myofibroblasts (supplementary material Fig. S2). Increasing contact times from 2 to 60 seconds significantly increased the work of total cell adhesion ~fivefold, reaching a maximum after 60 seconds (Fig. 5D). The fact that increasing contact time augments the force needed to induce single-bond ruptures, rather than increasing the number of engaged bonds, favors the existence of different force states of the single-molecule bond.

From the results above, it appears unlikely that multiple force peaks in Gaussian-fitted histograms correspond to simultaneously rupturing cadherin clusters. To finally eliminate cadherin diffusion and clustering, we put cantilever tips for 2-60 seconds into contact with glass surfaces, both provided with covalently bound recombinant OB-cadherin dimers (10 μg/ml) (Fig. 6). Comparable with the cell-cell experimental set-up (Fig. 5), we obtained a second
to states of the single-molecule cadherin dimer bond and not to that three distinct force peaks correspond to three discrete force of total rupture events (Fig. 6C inset). Together, these data suggest bond formation at low cadherin densities, i.e. the decreased number reduction of the main peak indicates the decreasing probability of force peaks in Gaussian-fitted histograms (Fig. 6C). The amplitude of cadherin dimer oligomerization did not reduce the number of contact. Decreasing cadherin density, i.e. decreasing the probability where we have obtained three force peaks after 10 seconds of densities of recombinant OB-cadherin for 10 seconds: a condition coated cantilevers into contact with surfaces coated with decreasing times (Fig. 6A,B). The number of rupture events leading to complete de-adhesion was largely independent of the contact time (Fig. 6B inset). We then put 10 μg/ml recombinant OB-cadherin were put into contact with surfaces that exhibited recombinant OB-cadherin coatings in decreasing concentrations of 20, 10, 8, 5, 2, and 1 μg/ml (n=5000 in each condition), using a constant approach velocity (0.1 μm/second), loading force (3 nN) and a contact time of 60 seconds. Inset in C shows the average number of rupture jumps (±s.d.) that precede complete separation of two recombinant OB-cadherin bonds as a function of cadherin density. Note that lowering cadherin concentration does not reduce the number of force peaks but rather decreases the average number of rupture events leading to complete bond separation.

and third force peak whose amplitudes increased with longer contact times (Fig. 6A,B). The number of rupture events leading to complete de-adhesion was largely independent of the contact time (Fig. 6B inset). We then put 10 μg/ml recombinant OB-cadherin-coated cantilevers into contact with surfaces coated with decreasing densities of recombinant OB-cadherin for 10 seconds: a condition where we have obtained three force peaks after 10 seconds of contact. Decreasing cadherin density, i.e. decreasing the probability of cadherin dimer oligomerization did not reduce the number of force peaks in Gaussian-fitted histograms (Fig. 6C). The amplitude reduction of the main peak indicates the decreasing probability of bond formation at low cadherin densities, i.e. the decreased number of total rupture events (Fig. 6C inset). Together, these data suggest that three distinct force peaks correspond to three discrete force states of the single-molecule cadherin dimer bond and not to simultaneous rupture of cadherin oligomers.

Higher force states of OB-cadherin junctions exhibit longer bond lifetimes

In addition to the force sustained by the single-molecule bond, its lifetime contributes to the stability of a junction. To evaluate the kinetics of OB-cadherin bond stability as a function of the force state, we estimated the equilibrium bond lifetime from the force shift in bond strength, occurring with increasing loading rate. This relation of bond lifetime and loading rate, or inversely of the unloading (separation) rate, is described by Bell’s Model (Baumgartner et al., 2000; Bell, 1978; Evans and Ritchie, 1997; Panorchan et al., 2006a). By increasing the tip retraction velocity \( \nu_f \) from 0.1 to 1.0 μm/second at 2 seconds of contact time, we obtained force peak position shifts from 190 to 244 pN, from 242 to 313 pN and from 381 to 486 pN in Gaussian-fitted histograms of rupture forces (Fig. 7A). The obtained OB-cadherin bond rupture forces \( f_m \), were then related to the loading rate \( r_f \), defined as the product of the curve slope just before rupture and cantilever retraction velocity (supplementary material Fig. S2) (Panorchan et al., 2006a):

\[
 f_m = \frac{k_BT}{\chi_B} \ln \left( \frac{\chi_B \chi_f}{k_BT} \right). \tag{1}
\]

The equilibrium dissociation rate (\( k_{off}^0 \)), the bond lifetime (1/\( k_{on}^0 \)) and its reactive compliance (\( \chi_f \)) were determined by fitting rupture force as a function of loading rate \( r_f \) (\( k_B=\text{Boltzmann’s constant,} \), \( T=\text{absolute temperature} \) (Fig. 7C). The OB-cadherin first OB-cadherin force peak yielded reactive compliance of 0.17 nm and bond lifetime of 3.1 seconds (\( k_{off}^0=0.32 \text{ second}^{-1} \)); the second force state yielded reactive compliance of 0.13 nm and bond lifetime of 4.0 seconds (\( k_{off}^0=0.25 \text{ second}^{-1} \)) and the third state exhibited a reactive compliance of 0.09 nm and a bond lifetime of 7.0 seconds (\( k_{off}^0=0.14 \text{ second}^{-1} \)). These results are consistent with the fact that the formation probability of the strongest (third) force state is highest for contact times longer than 3 seconds (Fig. 5B).
Discussion
Cadherins promote specific cell recognition and sorting in a variety of different biological processes, tissues and cell types (Gumbiner, 2005; Hinz and Gabbiani, 2003a; Perez-Moreno et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2007; Tepass et al., 2000; Wheelock and Johnson, 2003). In addition, cadherins receive and transmit mechanical forces, which is evident from their association with the contractile actin cytoskeleton. Our data suggest that fibroblastic cells can increase intercellular adhesion by: (1) switching to a mechanically stronger cadherin type; (2) maturation of single-molecule cadherin bonds; (3) intrinsically increasing cadherin extracellular binding strength through cytoplasmic interaction with the actin filament system; and (4) cadherin clustering (which we do not assess in our study).

The physiological relevance of mechanically stronger AJs is suggestive considering the role of fibroblasts in the changing mechanical conditions during tissue repair and remodeling. The switch from N- to OB-cadherin expression is associated with the transition from low contractile and migratory proto-myofibroblasts, characterizing the proliferation phase of wound healing, to highly contractile differentiated myofibroblasts that promote wound contraction (Hinz et al., 2004). Forces of 10-50 nN are transmitted by N-cadherin-type junctions of cultured fibroblasts to deformable substrates (Ganz et al., 2006). To withstand the significantly higher stress generated by neo-incorporation of α-SMA into stress fibers (Hinz et al., 2001), AJs of cultured differentiated myofibroblasts increase in size; this maturation is blocked by inhibiting α-SMA contraction (Hinz et al., 2004). We have recently demonstrated that focal adhesions of differentiated myofibroblasts sustain ~fourfold higher stress of 12 nN/μm² compared with focal adhesions of α-SMA-negative proto-myofibroblasts (Goffin et al., 2006). Because the same stress is principally transmitted at sites of AJs it is conceivable that weaker N-cadherin bonds do not resist. Hence, the change in AJ molecular composition by de novo engagement of OB-cadherin appears to be analogous to the different integrin subsets and cytosolic proteins involved in myofibroblast focal adhesion maturation in response to enhanced mechanical challenge (Goffin et al., 2006).

Using AFM, we demonstrate that OB-cadherin bonds are always stronger than N-cadherin bonds; this is independent of whether we probed adhesion between cadherin dimers, between cadherin dimers and myofibroblasts, or between cadherins in the membrane of myofibroblasts. One possible explanation for this stronger adhesion is the structural difference between type II (OB-) and type I (N-) cadherins (Nollet et al., 2000; Patel et al., 2006). Other AFM studies demonstrated that type II VE-cadherin (cadherin-5) promotes stronger adhesion than type I N-cadherin; however, similar differences were reported in the same study between type I N- and E-cadherin (Panorchan et al., 2006b). No major differences were found between N- and VE-cadherin in another work using AFM and laser tweezers (Baumgartner et al., 2003), whereas dual-pipette assays revealed significantly stronger adhesion of cells expressing transfected type I E- and N-cadherin compared with type II cadherin-7 and OB-cadherin (Chu et al., 2006). One common finding of these studies is the absence of heterotypic interactions between type I and II cadherins (Patel et al., 2006). Hence, classification of cadherins into type I and II appears to play a role in homotypic recognition, but not in mediating a particular level of adhesion strength.

One important contribution of our study is the establishment of a physiologically relevant AFM setup to resolve single-molecule cadherin bond strength in the presence of the cytoplasmic tail, and the fact that we measure forces between native cadherins in their undisturbed (e.g. by cadherin overexpression) environment. Recombinant constructs lacking the cytoplasmic tail are widely used to measure interaction strengths of cadherins, that are grafted to AFM cantilevers, to microbeads in the biomembrane force probe (Baumgartner et al., 2000; du Roure et al., 2006; Perret et al., 2004), and hydrodynamic flow experiments (Pierres et al., 1998) or to the surface force apparatus (Leckband and Prakash, 2006; Sivasankar et al., 1999). These methods assess function and attachment force of individual EC domains but cannot account for inside-out signaling (Bershadsky, 2004; Mege et al., 2006). On the other hand, estimating the force mediated by full-length cadherins between suspended cells in a dual-pipette assay (Chu et al., 2004) cannot resolve the single-bond strength. To measure the single-molecule interaction between native surface proteins of Dictyostelium (Benoit et al., 2000) and more recently of VE-, N- and E-cadherin, cells grown on AFM cantilevers put into contact with cells grown in a monolayer (Panorchan et al., 2006a; Panorchan et al., 2006b). In principle, this method allows the study of maturation of single-molecule bonds in the presence of inside-out regulation of cadherin activity, but this potential has not been explored because previous studies kept contact times extremely short (1 millisecond) (Panorchan et al., 2006b).
By using a similar cell-cell set-up with longer contact times we demonstrate that the weakest interaction forces between N- and OB-cadherins in the membrane of living cells (140-190 pN) are several times greater than those in recombinant cadherin dimers of the same type (44-80 pN) and as reported elsewhere for single E-cadherin dimers. Our calculations imply a lifetime of ~3-4 seconds for the two lower force states. The force profiles are consistent with the use of a hyperbolic distribution of rupture forces that is in agreement with our data (Fig. 8A). Here, we present three similar force states using cadherin dimers, which could be explained by zipping of cadherin dimers rather than monomer intercalation. However, neither ‘zipper’ models are supported by recent structural data, as discussed below (Koch et al., 2004; Shapiro et al., 2007; Troyanovsky, 2005). Moreover, several of our findings suggest that three force states may exist at the level of the single-molecule cadherin bond, rather than representing cadherin clustering. (1) Our results do not corroborate a quantum adhesion force and higher force peaks are no multiples of the first. (2) Even the highest rupture jumps that compose the third force peak occurred without any intermediate steps; that is, three single-molecule bonds should rupture simultaneously, which is unlikely to occur at the measured rate of events. (3) We never observed more than three statistically relevant force peaks even after increasing the contact time between two differentiated myofibroblasts up to 60 seconds. In this set-up, lateral diffusion of cadherin monomers and/or dimers is unlimited and the wide range of possible cis-interactions should produce multiple force peaks. (4) Minimizing the probability of cis-dimer cooperation by restricting the space for recombinant cadherins on the AFM cantilever tip and reducing cadherin concentration also produced a quantum adhesion force and higher force peaks are no multiples of the first. (5) The three mean forces we obtained increased with increasing alignment, this model predicts increasing single-bond strength and shortening of the junction. Owing to the rigid conformation of the cadherin molecules, more than three force states may be energetically unfavorable, but still possible. In all models, EC domains that contribute to trans binding are highlighted in red.

Although it is clear from our data that OB-cadherin bonds are stronger than N-cadherin bonds, the nature of these bonds, represented by one single rupture jump in AFM force-distance profiles, is less obvious. For both cadherin types, we resolved three predominant rupture jump heights in different experimental conditions. Three distinct rupture forces have previously been measured between recombinant VE-cadherin monomers in AFM experiments. Because the second and third force peaks were multiples of the first, the authors suggested simultaneous rupture of the respective number of cooperative monomers (Baumgartner et al., 2000) (Fig. 8A, here presented for dimers). Here, we observed three similar force states using cadherin dimers, which could be explained by zipping of cadherin dimers rather than monomer intercalation. However, neither ‘zipper’ models are supported by recent structural data, as discussed below (Koch et al., 2004; Shapiro et al., 2007; Troyanovsky, 2005). Moreover, several of our findings suggest that three force states may exist at the level of the single-molecule cadherin bond, rather than representing cadherin clustering.
force states and more than 7 seconds for the third and strongest force state of OB-cadherin bonds. This is higher, but in the same order of magnitude, of single-bond lifetimes measured for VE-cadherin (2.2 seconds), N-cadherin (0.98 seconds) and E-cadherin (0.92 seconds) in a cell-cell set-up (Panorchan et al., 2006a).

One possible model to explain the three force states at the single-molecule bond level proposes homotypic EC domain interdigitation of cadherin dimers, based on distance measurements between cadherin-coated surfaces (Bayas et al., 2006; Chappuis-Flament et al., 2001; Leckband and Prakash, 2006; Zhu et al., 2003). This model suggests a hierarchy of strengths, with the outer EC1-EC1 bond being the weakest, followed by medium EC2-EC2 adhesion and the strongest interaction between the inner EC3-EC3 pairs (Fig. 8B). However, this view of interdigitating EC domains between cadherin dimers appears to contradict structural data obtained with the entire extracellular region of the type I C-cadherin monomer (Boggon et al., 2002), which supports the 'strand-dimer' model (Fig. 8C).

Several studies suggest that during trans interactions of type I cadherin monomers, a flexible Trp2 residue of one EC1 domain inserts into a hydrophobic pocket in the opposing EC1 domain and vice versa (Boggon et al., 2002; Parisini et al., 2007; Patel et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2007); a comparable but distinct mechanism has been proposed for type II cadherins (Patel et al., 2006). Structural data further suggest a lateral (cis-) exchange between hydrophobic residues in EC1 and EC2; this interaction includes the linker region between EC2 and EC3 (Boggon et al., 2002; Parisini et al., 2007) (Fig. 8C). It remains elusive how the strand-dimer model can explain the three force states obtained in our experiments, and that of others, with recombinant dimers – a conformation that does not exist in this model but that has been shown to be important for cadherin function (Chen et al., 2005). Very recently, force data obtained with the highly sensitive 'intermolecular force microscopy', revealed three to four force peaks for the single-molecule interaction between E-cadherin:Fc chimera (Tsukasaki et al., 2007). The authors present an alternative model that may be congruent with both the ‘strand-dimer’ model and the EC interdigitization model (Fig. 8D). A future challenge will be to match structural information with functional data obtained with native cadherins in a physiological cell environment.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and drugs

Primary rat subcutaneous fibroblasts expressing low levels of α-SMA (proto-myoﬁbroblasts) were differentiated into α-SMA-positive myoﬁbroblasts by adding TGFβ1 for 5 days to the culture medium (5 ng/ml, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) (Hinz et al., 2004). Cell-surface proteins were preserved by trypsinization in the presence of 2 mM Ca²⁺ and by using DMEM, 10% FCS, 20 mM HEPES experimental medium, containing a final concentration of 2 mM Ca²⁺. Controls demonstrating Ca²⁺ specificity of interactions were performed without Ca²⁺ and with 2 mM EGTA. Actin was depolymerized with 1 μM Cytochalasin D (Sigma Chemical, Buchs, Switzerland), Inhibitory peptides directed against OB- and N-cadherin (mIgG1, Transduction Laboratories Lexington, KY, rb, Santa Cruz, Heidelberg, Germany), OB-cadherin (mIgG1, J. A. Schalken, University Hospital Nijmegen, The Netherlands (Tomita et al., 2000); rb, R. M. Mége, INSERM U440, Paris, France (Marthiens et al., 2002)) and α-SMA (mIgG2a). As secondary antibodies, we used anti-mIgG2a-Alexa Fluor 647, anti-rb-Alexa Fluor 405 (Molecular Probes) and anti-mIgG1 (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Birmingham, AL).

AFM force measurements

Adhesion strength between single-molecule cadherins was evaluated by force-distance measurements using AFM (Cappella and Dietler, 1999) equipped with a liquid cell (XE-120, PSIA, Suwon, South Korea) and a self-developed program to control measurements using AFM (Cappella and Dietler, 1999) equipped with a liquid cell. Adhesion strength between single-molecule cadherins was evaluated by force-distance measurements (supplementary material Fig. S2).

To assess the strength of native cadherins in living cells, we micromanipulated single myoﬁbroblasts onto tipless triangular silicon nitride cantilevers (200×50 μm, spring constant: 0.124±0.03 N/m, Veeco Instruments SAS, Dourdan, France). To promote cell spreading for 1-3 days, the cantilever was silanized with 2% (3-aminopropyl)triethoxy-silane (Sigma Chemical, Buchs, Switzerland), functionalized with 0.2% glutaraldehyde and coated with a 10 μg/ml fibronectin (Invitrogen AG, Basel, Switzerland). To measure recombinant cadherin adhesion, pyramidal tip triangular cantilevers (spring constant: 0.01±0.004 N/m, Veeco Instruments SAS) were equally functionalized and coated with 10 μg/ml Fc-fusion protein of N- or OB-cadherin dimers (R&D Systems). Insertion of a ﬂexible linker between cadherins and the functionalized surface does not alter cadherin interaction force (du Roure et al., 2006), as conﬁrmed with our experiments. As a control coating, we used human IgG (Sigma) at 10 μg/ml. Cell-, cadherin-Fe- and IgG-coated cantilevers were put into contact with a confluent monolayer of cells, grown for 5 days on glass coverslips and with similarly cadherin-coated surfaces.

Immunofluorescence and flow cytometry

Cells were ﬁxed with 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS and stained for α-SMA (anti-αSMA-1) (Skalli et al., 1986), followed by Alexa Fluor 568 secondary anti-mouse antibodies (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (Sigma). Images were acquired with a 40×/1.25 NA objective on a confocal microscope (DM RXX2 with TCS SP2 AOBS, Leica, Glattbrugg, Switzerland). For FACS (FACScan, CyanADP, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark), cells were trypsinized in the presence of 2 mM Ca²⁺, processed as above and stained against N-cadherin (mIgG1, Transduction Laboratories Lexington, KY, rb, Santa Cruz, Heidelberg, Germany), OB-cadherin (mIgG1, J. A. Schalken, University Hospital Nijmegen, The Netherlands (Tomita et al., 2000); rb, R. M. Mége, INSERM U440, Paris, France (Marthiens et al., 2002)) and α-SMA (mIgG2a). As secondary antibodies, we used anti-mlgG2a-Alexa Fluor 647, anti-rb-Alexa Fluor 405 (Molecular Probes) and anti-mlgG1 (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Birmingham, AL).
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