Efficacy and safety of intravenous tranexamic acid administration in patients undergoing hip fracture surgery for hemostasis
A meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: Patients undergoing hip fracture surgery frequently require blood transfusion. Tranexamic acid (TXA) has been widely used to decrease blood loss and transfusion rates in joint replacement surgery. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate efficacy and safety of intravenous TXA administration in patients suffering from hip fractures.

Methods: Electronic databases were searched before December 2016 by 2 independent reviewers, including Cochrane Library, EMBASE, PubMed, Web of Science, the Chinese Biomedical Literature database, and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure databases. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving the efficacy and safety of intravenous (IV) TXA in patients who underwent hip surgery were included in our meta-analysis. The endpoints included total blood loss, hidden blood loss, postoperative hemoglobin decline, transfusion rates, the rate of thrombotic events, and operative time. Current meta-analysis was performed following the guidelines of the Cochrane Reviewer’s Handbook and the PRISMA statement. The pooling of data was carried out using STATA V.12.0 software.

Result: Eight RCTs were included, involving 598 participants. Current meta-analysis indicated that the IV TXA group had less total blood loss (weighted mean difference [WMD] = -277, 95%CI: -335 to -220, P = .000), less hidden blood loss (WMD = -246, 95% CI: -252 to -241, P = .000), lower postoperative hemoglobin decline (WMD = -1.36, 95% CI: -1.84 to -0.88, P = .000), and lower transfusion rates (risk difference [RD] = -0.19, 95% CI: -0.27 to -0.11, P = .000) compared to the control group. No significant differences were found regarding the rate of thrombotic events (RD = 0.02, 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.05, P = .262) and operative time (WMD = -0.7, 95% CI: -3.3 to 1.9, P = .6).

Conclusion: It was well established that systemic administration of TXA could reduce blood loss and transfusion rates in hip fracture surgery. But the optimal regimen, dosage, and timing still need a further research. In addition, more large and high-quality randomized controlled studies are needed to focus on the safety of IV TXA application before its wide recommendation for use in hip fracture surgery.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, IV = intravenous, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, RD = risk difference, TXA = tranexamic acid, WMD = weighted mean difference.
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1. Introduction
With the aging of society and the increasing number of old people with osteoporosis, the incidence rate of hip fractures is increasing.\(^1\) Hip fracture as a common type of fractures frequently results in considerable blood loss,\(^2\) exposing patients to postoperative anemia which could lead to a reduced functional recovery and a detrimental effect on long-term mortality.\(^3\) Blood transfusion could correct anemia. However, blood transfusion is associated with increased incidence rates of adverse effects, such as infectious diseases, hemolytic reaction, cardiovascular dysfunction, and postoperative infection.\(^4\)\(^–\)\(^7\) Therefore, to traumatic orthopedists, it is an important issue to reduce perioperative blood loss during the treatment of hip fractures.

Tranexamic acid (TXA) as a type of synthetic amino acid analog could block the lysine-binding sites on plasminogen to inhibit the activation of plasminogen and finally interfere with fibrinolysis.\(^8\) Now, TXA is widely used in urological, gynecological, and thoracic surgery,\(^9\)\(^–\)\(^12\) and numerous previous studies have proved that intravenous (IV) TXA could decrease blood loss and transfusion rates without increasing thrombotic events in joint arthroplasty.\(^13\)\(^–\)\(^15\) However, there is limited data on the efficacy and safety of IV TXA in hip fractures, and whether IV TXA should be used in hip fracture remains controversial. So, we performed this meta-analysis to investigate the efficacy and safety of IV TXA in patients suffering from hip fractures.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Literature search
Electronic databases were searched before December 2016 by 2 independent reviewers, including Cochrane Library, EMBASE, PubMed, Web of Science, the Chinese Biomedical Literature database, and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure databases. We also checked the references of the included literatures for potentially relevant studies. There were no language restrictions. The keywords used included “randomized controlled trials,” “tranexamic acid,” and “hip fracture.” They were combined with Boolean operators. The search results are shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Trials could be eligible for inclusion if they met the following criteria: RCTs involved the comparison of the efficacy and safety of IV TXA use in hip fracture patients; and studies included at least one of the outcome measures. Studies were excluded if: not RCTs; studies with other types of fractures included; studies with incomplete information; and duplicate publication.

2.3. Data extraction
Two investigators scanned the studies to extract data independently using a predefined data extraction form. The following

![Image](image-url)
data were collected: first author names, published year, sample size, mean age, anesthesia methods, fracture type, surgical management, intervention, control, thromboprophylaxis, transfusion criteria, and follow-up. Disagreement was resolved by consulting the reviewer. The outcomes of current meta-analysis were: total blood loss, hidden blood loss, postoperative hemoglobin decline, transfusion rates, thrombotic events, and operative time.

2.4. Assessment of methodological quality
Two investigators independently assessed the quality of the RCTs according to the methods of the 12-item scale.[16] Each item was scored “Yes,” “Unclear,” or “No.” If a trial with a score of more than 7 “Yes” (including 7) was considered high quality, more than 4 but no more than 7 was considered moderate quality, and no more than 4 was considered low quality. Agreement on the outcome was assessed by the means of a kappa test. Any different opinions were resolved by a third reviewer.

2.5. Data analysis and statistical methods
The meta-analysis was conducted with STATA V.12.0 software. For continuous outcomes, the weighted mean difference (WMD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was used. For dichotomous data, the risk difference (RD) with 95% CI was calculated as the summary statistics. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the value of $P$ and $I^2$. If $P > .1$ and $I^2 < 50\%$, the fixed-effect model was used; otherwise, the random-effect model was used to for analysis. The assessment of publication bias and meta-regression could not be conducted, because there were just 8 studies included in our meta-analysis, and tests for them are generally performed only when at least 10 studies are involved. But subgroup analyses were conducted on mean age, hip fracture type, and surgical management. The studies which did not meet the requirements of subgroup analysis were excluded. If necessary, sensitivity analysis was conducted to identify the origins of the significant heterogeneity. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach was used to determine the quality of each outcome.[17]

3. Results

3.1. Search result
A total of 64 potentially relevant references were founded. After the process of finding duplicates, 18 studies were excluded. By scanning the titles and abstracts, 35 studies were excluded from analysis. After full texts carefully read for eligibility, 3 studies were excluded, 1 was not a RCT, and another was involved with other type of fracture, and another was with incomplete information. Finally, the data of 8 RCTs were pooled to make current meta-analysis. The characteristics of all included RCTs are shown in Table 1.

3.2. Study quality
The quality of them is shown in Table 2. The value of weighted kappa for the agreement on these studies between reviewers was excellent ($K = 0.71$). Six studies were of high quality and 2 studies were of moderate quality. The randomization methods were explicitly introduced in 6 studies. Randomization allocation was concealed adequately in 3 studies.[19,21,22] Five RCTs provided the information of double blinding. None of them reported a binding of outcome assessment. But all of included studies were reported with complete outcome data.

3.3. Total blood loss(ml)
Four studies compared the total blood loss. The data of them were pooled to do analysis. There was a significant heterogeneity between the studies ($P < .1$; $I^2 = 78.8\%$); therefore, the random-effect model was used. The pooled results manifested that the IV TXA group had a significant decrease in the total blood loss ($WMD = -277$, $95\% CI: -335$ to $-220$, $P = .000$; Fig. 2).

3.4. Hidden blood loss(ml)
Two studies compared the hidden blood loss. So, we included them as the data of the meta-analysis. No significant heterogeneity was detected between the studies ($P > .1$; $I^2 = 0\%$); therefore, the fixed-effect model was used. The pooled results showed that the IV TXA group had a significant decrease in the hidden blood loss ($WMD = -246$, $95\% CI: -252$ to $-241$, $P = .000$; Fig. 3).

3.5. Postoperative hemoglobin decline(g/dl)
Three articles reported the outcomes of postoperative hemoglobin decline. No significant heterogeneity was detected between the studies ($P > .1$; $I^2 = 0\%$); therefore, the fixed-effect model was used to do analysis. The pooled results demonstrated that the IV TXA groups had a lower postoperative hemoglobin decline ($WMD = -1.36$, $95\% CI: -1.84$ to $-0.88$, $P = .000$; Fig. 4).

3.6. Transfusion rate
Six studies involved the comparison of transfusion rates. No significant heterogeneity was detected between the studies ($P > .1$; $I^2 = 0\%$). Therefore, the fixed-effect model was used to do analysis. The results showed the IV TXA groups had a lower transfusion rate ($RD = -0.19$, $95\% CI: -0.27$ to $-0.11$, $P = .000$; Fig. 5).

3.7. The rate of thrombotic events
Seven studies reported thrombotic events. No significant heterogeneity was detected between the groups ($P > .1$; $I^2 = 33.6\%$), so the fixed-effect model was performed. It showed no significant difference in the rate of thrombotic events between the groups ($RD = -0.02$, $95\% CI: -0.01$ to $0.05$, $P = .262$; Fig. 6).

3.8. Operative time(min)
The operative time was reported in 4 studies. There was no significant heterogeneity between the 2 groups ($P > .1$; $I^2 = 23.4\%$), therefore, the fixed-effect model was used. The result manifested that no significant difference was detected ($WMD = -0.7$, $95\% CI: -3.3$ to $1.9$, $P = .6$; Fig. 7).

3.9. Subgroup analysis
Due to a lack of related studies, subgroup analysis was just conducted in transfusion rates and thrombotic events. Concerning transfusion rates, subgroup analysis showed no significant
### Table 1
The characteristics of each study.

| Study (year)                 | No. I VS C | Mean age, years: I vs C | Anesthesia                      | Fracture type                                      | Surgical management                          | Intervention                                 | Control               | Thromboprophylaxis | Transfusion criteria | Follow-up |
|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Sadeghi and Mehr-Aein (2007) | 32/35      | 51.8/44.4              | Spinal anesthesia             | Intrascapular, extracapsular fractures             | Hemarthroplasty plating and nailing           | 15 mg/kg before surgery                      | Normal saline         | NR                  | NR                  | NR        |
| Zhuflerey et al (2008)       | 57/53      | 81/82                  | General anesthesia            | General only trochanteric, transcortical fractures | Total hip arthroplasty, hemarthroplasty,      | 15 mg/kg before surgery, a second 15 mg/kg  | Placebo              | Fondaparinux       | Hb < 9 g/dL         | 1 year    |
| Wang et al (2013)            | 29/29      | 75/75                  | Epidural anesthesia general   | Intertrochanteric fracture                        | Hemarthroplasty                               | 20 mg Ag in operation                        | Normal saline         | Low-molecular-weight heparin calcium | NR          | 4 weeks   |
| Emara et al (2014)           | 20/20      | 56.5/66                | General anesthesia            | Hip fracture                                       | Hemarthroplasty                               | 20 mg Ag in operation                        | Placebo              | Low-molecular-weight heparin         | NR          | 4 weeks   |
| Ji et al (2015)              | 35/35      | 76.2/76.4              | Epidural anesthesia general   | Intertrochanteric fracture                        | Intramedullary nail                           | 20 mg/kg in operation                        | Normal saline         | Low-molecular-weight heparin calcium | NR          | 4 weeks   |
| Mohib et al (2015)           | 50/50      | 69.0/70                | NR                            | Intertrochanteric fracture                        | NR                                            | 10 mg/kg before surgery, a second 10 mg/kg  | Placebo              | Enoxaparin         | Hb < 7 g/dL         | NR        |
| Zhu et al (2015)             | 43/40      | 83.5/82.79             | NR                            | Intertrochanteric fracture                        | Intramedullary nail                           | 10 mg Ag on the day of admission and before | Normal saline         | NR                  | Hb < 7 g/dL         | NR        |
| Tengberg et al (2016)        | 33/39      | 78.5/75.0              | Epidural anesthesia           | Trochanteric fracture                             | Intramedullary nail                           | 1g just before surgery 3 g postoperatively  | Placebo              | Low-molecular-weight heparin        | Hb < 0.67 g/dL | 90 days   |

C = control group, I = intravenous TXA group, NR = no report, TXA = tranexamic acid.

### Table 2
Study quality.

| Study                        | Randomized adequately | Allocation concealed | Patient blinded | Care provider blinded | Outcome assessor blinded | Acceptable drop-out rate | ITT analysis | Avoided selective reporting | Similar baseline | Similar or avoided confounder | Patient compliance | Similar timing | Quality |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Sadeghi and Mehr-Aein (2007) | Yes                   | Unclear              | Yes             | Yes                  | Unclear                 | Yes                      | Yes          | Yes                        | Yes               | Yes                    | Yes               | Unclear       | High    |
| Zhuflerey et al (2008)       | Yes                   | Yes                  | Yes             | Yes                  | Unclear                 | Yes                      | Yes          | Yes                        | Yes               | Yes                    | Yes               | Yes           | High    |
| Wang et al (2013)            | Unclear               | Unclear              | Unclear         | Unclear              | Unclear                 | Yes                      | Yes          | Yes                        | Yes               | Yes                    | No                | Unclear       | Moderate |
| Emara et al (2014)           | Unclear               | Unclear              | Unclear         | Unclear              | Unclear                 | Yes                      | Yes          | Yes                        | Yes               | Yes                    | Yes               | Yes           | High    |
| Ji et al (2015)              | Unclear               | Unclear              | Unclear         | Unclear              | Unclear                 | Yes                      | Yes          | Yes                        | Yes               | Yes                    | Yes               | Yes           | Moderate |
| Mohib et al (2015)           | Yes                   | Yes                  | Yes             | Yes                  | Unclear                 | Yes                      | Yes          | Yes                        | Yes               | Yes                    | Yes               | Yes           | High    |
| Zhu et al (2015)             | Yes                   | Unclear              | Unclear         | Unclear              | Unclear                 | Yes                      | Yes          | Yes                        | Yes               | Yes                    | Yes               | Yes           | High    |
| Tengberg et al (2016)        | Yes                   | Yes                  | Yes             | Yes                  | Yes                     | No                       | Yes          | No                         | Yes               | Yes                    | Yes               | Yes           | High    |

1 Only if the method of sequence made was explicitly introduced could get a “Yes.”
2 Drop-out rate <20% could get a “Yes,” otherwise “No.”
3 ITT only if all randomized participants were allocated to could receive a “Yes.”
4 ITT=Intention-to-treat.
Figure 2. Forest plot for the total blood loss.

Figure 3. Forest plot for the hidden blood loss.

Figure 4. Forest plot for the postoperative hemoglobin decline.
difference regarding mean age less than 65 years (RD = −0.19; 95% CI: −0.33 to −0.04; P = .011) and mean age more than 65 years (RD = −0.19; 95% CI, −0.29 to −0.09; P = .0000), and there was no significant difference in transfusion rates with TXA identified for extracapsular hip fractures (RD = −0.18; 95% CI, −0.29 to −0.07; P = .001). However, transfusion rates with TXA identified for intramedullary nail fixation showed there was no statistical difference between TXA group and control group (RD = −0.13; 95% CI: −0.26 to 0.01; P = .076). The small size of the studies and the lack of related trials may contribute to it.

To the rate of thrombotic events, there were no significant differences in the rate of thrombotic events with TXA identified for extracapsular hip fractures (RD = −0.01; 95% CI, −0.04 to 0.02; P = .472) and intramedullary nail fixation (RD = −0.01; 95% CI: −0.05 to 0.02; P = .435)

3.10. Sensitivity analysis
As significant heterogeneity was detected in the outcome “total blood loss,” thus, we deleted 1 single study from the overall pooled analysis each time to check the influence of the removed dataset to the overall data. No matter which study was deleted, the outcome still kept stable. In addition, we also made sensitivity analysis on other outcomes, they were also stable. The limit data concerning IV TXA use in hip fracture surgery may contribute to the significant heterogeneity, and the difference in clinical measures should also be taken into consideration.

3.11. GRADE analysis
According to the results of GRADE analysis, the quality of the evidence was moderate for postoperative hemoglobin decline, the
rate of complications, transfusion rates, and operative time and low for hidden blood loss and total blood loss.

4. Discussion

The most important finding of our meta-analysis was that systemic administration of TXA could reduce blood loss and transfusion rates without increasing thrombotic events in hip fracture surgery. These findings were similar to evidence from other meta-analysis investigating IV TXA in hip and knee arthroplasty.[13] However, significant heterogeneity was detected in our outcome. The limit data concerning IV TXA use in hip fractures may contribute to it. The difference in clinical measures should also be taken into consideration.

As the elderly account for a large proportion of hip fractures, accompanying with more medical comorbidities, the patients suffering hip fractures were more susceptible to thrombotic events compared with patients undergoing elective total joint arthroplasty.[26,27] Therefore, there is clinical uncertainty regarding IV TXA application in hip fracture patients. However, the potential benefits of TXA in significantly decreasing blood loss and transfusion rates following hip fracture surgery are overwhelming.[18-25,28,29] In addition, TXA could bring improved functional recovery, shorter length of stay, and lower cost.[20,28] Therefore, the potential benefits of TXA in hip fracture patients may outweigh the risk of TXA.

Mohib et al.[19] randomized 100 patients to 2 groups which demonstrated that TXA could decrease blood loss and transfusion rates without increasing rate thrombotic events during the treatment of intertrochanteric fractures. The conclusions of Wang et al.[24] Ji et al.[23] and Zhu et al.[25] were similar to them. In addition, Lee et al.[28] even suggested that TXA should be widely used in all patients undergoing hip arthroplasty for hip fractures through a retrospectively study. However, Zufferey et al.[22] reported that TXA reduced the risk of erythrocyte transfusion in hip fracture surgery, but there was a trend in an increased risk of vascular events with the use of TXA. They found a 3-fold increased risk of vascular events with the use of TXA when compared with placebo ($P = 10$). Although the result was not statistically significant, it was in contrast with the previous findings of hip or knee arthroplasty where the use of TXA was without any increase in thrombotic events. Emara et al.[18] used thromboelastogram as a monitor for coagulation (a significant decrease in r and k and a significant increase in MA and α-angle), which favored relative hypercoagulable state in IV TXA group, and they considered that IV TXA was associated with a statistically significant increase in the incidence of thrombotic events.

Blocking the lysine-binding sites on plasminogen to inhibit activation of plasminogen and finally interfering with fibrinolysis is the mechanism of TXA. TXA itself does not increase the synthesis of fibrin, so TXA in theory does not lead to a high coagulation state after surgery, and certainly not an increase of thrombotic events. The CRASH-2 study which included 20,000 patients, most of them suffering from significant hemorrhage, reported that TXA safely reduced the risk of death in bleeding trauma patients.[10]

Regarding anesthetic methods, Urwin et al.[31] through a meta-analysis of RCTs indicated that the regional anesthetic group had a trend toward a lower incidence of myocardial infarction, confusion, and postoperative hypoxia. They made a conclusion that there were marginal advantages for regional anesthesia compared to general anesthesia for hip fracture patients in terms of early mortality and risk of deep vein thrombosis. However, a latest meta-analysis reported that types of anesthesia might not be a risk factor for hip fracture surgery.[32] Due to a lack of related studies, we could not conduct a subgroup analysis to investigate it. The better anesthetic methods in hip surgery still need a further research.

The key aspects for future research: it was well established that systemic administration of TXA could reduce blood loss and transfusion rates in hip fracture surgery. The thrombotic risk is of vital importance to its recommendation; large and high-quality studies should focus on the safety of IV TXA application, especially in elderly patients. Topical TXA in hip fractures should be taken into consideration. Drakos et al.[33] reported that topical TXA around the fracture site in elderly patients undergoing intertrochanteric fractures surgery was safe and cost-effective, and a significant reduction in blood loss and transfused blood units, and health care cost could be achieved. In addition, Emara
et al.[10] demonstrated that topical TXA as an effective way to decrease blood and transfusion rates was safer compared with IV TXA. A lack of systemic absorption with topical TXA, surgeons should put more emphasis on the effects and safety of TXA in such high-risk blood loss patients. As hip fractures are frequently accompanied by a high initial blood loss, especially unstable extracapsular hip fractures, early TXA may decrease the incidence rate of perioperative anemia without increasing of thrombotic events, like the CRASH-2 study, which reported TXA incidence rate of preoperative anemia without increasing of extracapsular hip fractures, early TXA may decrease the incidence rate of perioperative anemia without increasing of thrombotic events. [10] Earlier surgery has been reported with a lower risk of death and lower rates of postoperative pneumonia and pressure sores among elderly patients with hip fracture.[34] Early TXA may decrease the incidence rate of perioperative anemia, which may bring an earlier surgery.

Limitations identified with this study: due to a lack of clinical guideline for TXA use, the included studies showed different TXA dosage and timing still, therefore, it was impossible to make subgroup analysis regarding the dose and timing of TXA across studies. Significant heterogeneity was detected in total blood loss, the differences in fracture type, and surgical measurements and transfusion criteria may contribute to it. The GRADE analysis showed that the quality of some outcomes was low which reduced the credibility of the results. The publication bias exists.

5. Conclusions
It was well established that systemic administration of tranexamic acid could reduce blood loss and transfusion rates in hip fracture surgery. But the optimal regimen, dosage, and timing still need a further research. In addition, more large and high-quality randomized controlled studies are needed to focus on the safety of IV TXA application before its wide recommendation for use in hip fracture surgery.
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