Human Surfactant Protein D Binds Spike Protein and Acts as an Entry Inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 Pseudotyped Viral Particles
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Human SP-D is a potent innate immune molecule whose presence at pulmonary mucosal surfaces allows its role in immune surveillance against pathogens. Higher levels of serum SP-D have been reported in the patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV). Studies have suggested the ability of human SP-D to recognise spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV; its interaction with HCoV-229E strain leads to viral inhibition in human bronchial epithelial (16HBE) cells. Previous studies have reported that a recombinant fragment of human SP-D (rfhSP-D) composed of 8 Gly-X-Y repeats, neck and CRD region, can act against a range of viral pathogens including influenza A Virus and Respiratory Syncytial Virus in vitro, in vivo and ex vivo. In this context, this study was aimed at examining the likely protective role of rfhSP-D against SARS-CoV-2 infection. rfhSP-D showed a dose-responsive binding to S1 spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 and its receptor binding domain. Importantly, rfhSP-D inhibited interaction of S1 protein with the HEK293T cells overexpressing human angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (hACE2). The protective role of rfhSP-D against SARS-CoV-2 infection as an entry inhibitor was further validated by the use of pseudotyped lentiviral particles expressing SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein; ~0.5 RLU fold reduction in viral entry was seen following treatment with rfhSP-D (10 µg/ml). These results highlight the therapeutic potential of rfhSP-D in SARS-CoV-2 infection and merit pre-clinical studies in animal models.
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INTRODUCTION

Human surfactant protein D (SP-D), a collagen-containing C-type lectin and a member of the collectin family, is known to be involved in pulmonary surfactant homeostasis and immunity (1). SP-D is primarily synthesized and secreted into the air space of the lungs by alveolar type II and Clara cells (2, 3). Its primary structure is organized into four regions: a cysteine-rich N-terminus, a triple-helical collagen region composed of Gly-X-Y triplets repeats, an α-helical coiled neck region, and a C-terminal C-type lectin or carbohydrate recognition domain (CRD) (1). As a versatile innate immune molecule, SP-D can interact with a number of pathogens, triggering clearance mechanisms against viruses, bacteria, and fungi, as well as and apoptotic cells (4).

Direct interaction of SP-D with a range of viruses results in viral neutralization, and induction of phagocytosis in vitro (5, 6). Anti-viral activity of human SP-D against Influenza A Virus (IAV) infection has been reported. SP-D binds haemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) glycoproteins of IAV, and inhibits hemagglutination at initial stages of the infection (7). A recombinant fragment of human SP-D (rfhSP-D), composed of homotrimeric neck and CRD region, was also shown to bind HA, NA and Matrix 1 (M1) protein of IAV, and act as an entry inhibitor of IAV infection on A549 lung epithelial cells (8). Furthermore, SP-D binds to gp120 and inhibits HIV-1 infectivity and replication (9) in U937 monocytic cells, Jurkat T cells and PBMCs, in addition to suppressing HIV-1 triggered cytokine storm (10). Higher levels of serum SP-D have been detected in patients infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus (SARS-CoV) (11). SARS-CoV spike glycoprotein is recognized by SP-D (12). Interaction between SP-D and HCoV-229E, another coronavirus strain, leads to inhibition of viral infection in human bronchial epithelial (16HBE) cells (13).

SARS coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is an enveloped β-coronavirus, belonging to the Coronaviridae family of viruses, and is genetically close to SARS-CoV (~80% sequence similarity) and bat coronavirus RaTG13 (96.2%) (14, 15). The envelope of SARS-CoV-2 is coated by the spike (S) glycoprotein, a small envelope (E) glycoprotein, membrane (M) glycoprotein, nucleocapsid (N) protein, and several putative accessory proteins (15–17). The SARS-CoV-2 mediates its entry into the host cell using the S1 sub-unit of the S glycoprotein by binding to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor (18). However, viral entry into the host cells requires not only binding to the ACE2 receptor, but also priming of the S protein by a transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) via cleavage of the S protein at S1/S2 sites (19). This cleavage is very crucial for the virus-host cell membrane fusion and cell entry (20). Following viral replication, assembly, and release, the infected host cells undergo pyroptosis, thus, releasing Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs) (21). DAMPs are then recognised by surrounding macrophages and monocytes that respond to viral infection by inducing cytokine storm (22). However, in some cases, an impaired or dysregulated immune response can also occur, causing an Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) (23, 24).

Designing and developing new anti-viral therapeutic strategies are crucial for treating SARS-CoV-2. The likely anti-viral effects of immune-sentinelle molecules like SP-D have not been well investigated in SARS-CoV-2 infection. Since rfhSP-D has been shown to inhibit viral infection and replication of IAV and HIV-1, this study was aimed at investigating whether rfhSP-D can interfere with the binding of SARS-CoV-2 S1, and receptor binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 with ACE-2. The ability of rfhSP-D to inhibit infection of SARS-CoV-2 was examined using pseudotyped lentiviral particles expressing SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Expression and Purification of rfhSP-D

DNA sequences coding for 8 Gly-X-Y repeats of collagen region, α-helical neck and CRD region of human SP-D were cloned under T7 promoter and expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS using construct pUK-D1 (25, 26). Primary bacterial inoculum (25 ml) was grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (500 ml) with 34 μg/ml chloramphenicol and 100 μg/ml ampicillin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C until an OD600 of 0.6 was reached. Following isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) (0.5 mM) induction, the transformed E. coli cells were grown further for another 3 h at 37°C on a shaker. The bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000 rpm, 4°C, 10 min), and the cell pellet was re-suspended in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% v/v Triton X-100, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Sigma-Aldrich), and 50 μg Lysozyme/ml (Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C for 1 h. The lysed cell lysate was then sonicated at 60 Hz for 30 sec with an interval of 2 min (12 cycles) using a Soniprep 150 (MSE, London, UK), followed by centrifugation (12,000 rpm, 15 min). The inclusion bodies were denatured using buffer (50 ml) containing 0.5 M Tris–HCl, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.5 and 8 M urea for 1 h at 4°C. The soluble fraction was dialysed against the same buffer containing varied concentration of urea (4 M, 2 M, 1 M, 0 M) for 2 h each. The refolded material was then dialysed against affinity buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM CaCl2) for 2 h at 4°C. The affinity buffer dialysed supernatant was then loaded on to a maltose-agarose column (5 ml) (Sigma-Aldrich); the bound rfhSP-D was eluted using elution buffer (500 ml) with 34 mg/ml chloramphenicol and 100 mg/ml ampicillin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C until an OD600 of 0.6 was reached. Following isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) (0.5mM) induction, the transformed E. coli cells were grown further for another 3 h at 37°C on a shaker. The bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000 rpm, 4°C, 10 min), and the cell pellet was re-suspended in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% v/v Triton X-100, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Sigma-Aldrich), and 50 μg Lysozyme/ml (Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C for 1 h. The lysed cell lysate was then sonicated at 60 Hz for 30 sec with an interval of 2 min (12 cycles) using a Soniprep 150 (MSE, London, UK), followed by centrifugation (12,000 rpm, 15 min). The inclusion bodies were denatured using buffer (50 ml) containing 0.5 M Tris–HCl, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.5 and 8 M urea for 1 h at 4°C. The soluble fraction was dialysed against the same buffer containing varied concentration of urea (4 M, 2 M, 1 M, 0 M) for 2 h each. The refolded material was then dialysed against affinity buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM CaCl2) for 2 h at 4°C. The affinity buffer dialysed supernatant was then loaded on to a maltose-agarose column (5 ml) (Sigma-Aldrich); the bound rfhSP-D was eluted using elution buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl, 100 mM NaCl, and 10 mM EDTA. Purified rfhSP-D was run on SDS-PAGE to assess its purity. LPS was removed using Endotoxin Removal Resin (Sigma-Aldrich). LPS level was determined using QCL-1000 Limulus amebocyte lysate system (Lanza) and found to be < 5 pg/µg of rfhSP-D.

ELISA

Polystyrene microtiter plates (Sigma-Aldrich) were coated with SARS-CoV-2 spike S1 protein (NativeAntigen S1. NCBI accession number YP_009724390.1 AA1-674, produced in HEK 293 cells; Acro, AA Val 16 - Arg 685, accession #
HEK293T and HEK293T-ACE2 cells (0.5 \times 10^5) were lysed by RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing protease inhibitor (AMRESCO VWR life sciences) on ice for 15 minutes and then centrifugation (13000 rpm, 4°C, 15 min). 30 \mu g/mL samples were resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer (10 \mu l) and heated at 100°C for 10 minutes. The samples were loaded into an SDS-PAGE (8% acrylamide) gel and then electrophoretically transferred onto the PVDF membrane (320mA for 2h) (Sigma-Aldrich) in transfer buffer [25mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 190 mM glycine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 20% v/v methanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific)]. The membranes were blocked by 5% w/v dried milk powder (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in TBS+ 0.05% v/v Tween 20 (TBST) for 1h at room temperature and incubated with anti-SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Spike antibody (GeneTex, GTX135356; 1:1000) or anti-ACE2 antibody [SN0754] (GeneTex, GTX101160; 1:1000) at 4°C overnight. The membranes were washed three times and probed with secondary Goat anti-rabbit IgG horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugate (1:10000; Fisher Scientific) for 1h at room temperature. Following TBST washes, the protein expression was measured by Western Lightning Plus ECL (PerkinElmer) and chemiluminescent detection was performed using FluorChem R system (ProteinSimple, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Cell Culture and Treatments**

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T or HEK293T cells overexpressing ACE2 receptor (HEK293T-ACE2) were cultured in complete Gibco Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 100 \mu g/ml streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), and left to grow at 37°C in the presence of 5% v/v CO₂ for approximately 48 h before passaging. ACE2-stably expressing HEK-293T cells were selected by Blasticidin S HCl (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Since HEK293T cells were adherent, they were detached using 2x Trypsin-EDTA (0.5%) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 10 min at 37°C. Cells were then centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 5 min, followed by re-suspension in complete DMEM medium. To determine the cell count and viability, an equal volume of the cell suspension and Trypan Blue (0.4% w/v) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) solution were vortexed, followed by cell count using a hemocytometer with Neubauer rulings (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were then re-suspended in complete DMEM for further use.

**Generation of ACE2-Stably Expressing HEK-293T Cells**

Human ACE2 gene was amplified from MGC library (cDNA clone MGC:47598) by using Kapa HiFi PCR kit (Kapa Biosystems), and sub-cloned into NheI and EcoRI sites of pLAS2w.Pbsd and pMD.G were transiently transfected into HEK-293T cells by using TransIT®-LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus). The culture medium was harvested to infect HEK-293T cells, and then the infected cells were selected with 5 \mu g/ml blasticidin for one week to generate HEK-293T-ACE2 stable cells.

**Flow Cytometry**

ACE2 expression was assessed between HEK293T cells overexpressing ACE2 receptor (HEK293T-ACE2) and HEK293T cells alone using flow cytometry. Briefly, both ACE2-transfected and non-transfected HEK293T cells (1x10⁶ cells) were incubated with ACE2 antibody [N1N2, N-term (GeneTex, GTX101395), (1:250)] for 1 h at room temperature. Following PBS washes, the cells were probed with Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody linked to Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (0.6 µl/100 µl per tube) for 1 h at room temperature in dark. After washing with PBS, the cells were resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS containing 2% FBS) and subjected to flow cytometry.
For binding experiment using rhfSP-D (27), SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein containing a C-terminal His-tag (Aco; S1N-C52H3) (5 µg/ml) was tagged with anti-His antibody (Genetex; GT359) (1:100) at 4°C for 1 h, followed by pre-incubation with a series of two-fold dilutions of rhfSP-D (10 µg/ml) or mock (medium only) at 4°C for 1 h. HEK293T-ACE2 cells (1x10^5 cells) were incubated in DMEM incomplete medium with the mixture of SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein, anti-His antibodies and rhfSP-D at 37°C for 2 h. The cells were collected and washed with FACS buffer twice and incubated with anti-mouse IgG-PE conjugate (Genetex, GTX25881) (1:100) for 30 min and washed three times. The live cells were gated from FSC vs. SSC dot plot in order to determine the PE positive cells containing S1 on their surface by CytoFLEX.

**Fluorescence Microscopy**

HEK293T and HEK293T-ACE2 cells (0.5 x 10^5) were grown on coverslips in complete DMEM medium overnight under standard culture conditions, as mentioned above. Next day, cells were washed with PBS three times, the coverslips were fixed with 4% v/v paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 minutes, and then washed twice. The coverslips were permeabilized with 0.25% v/v Triton-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min. After washing, coverslips were blocked with 2% w/v BSA for 1h and incubated with ACE2 antibody (SN0754 (1:250) (GeneTex, GTX01160)), followed by Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) cross-adsorbed secondary antibody [SN0754 (1:100)] (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 h at room temperature in dark. After incubation with secondary antibody, the cells were washed twice with PBS and mounted in the medium with DAPI (Abcam) on the slides to visualize under an upright fluorescence microscope (BX51; Olympus).

**Production of SARS-CoV-2 Pseudotyped Lentivirus**

The pseudotyped lentivirus carrying SARS-CoV-2 spike protein was generated by transiently transfecting HEK293T cells with pCMV-DR8.91, pLAS2w.Fluc.Ppuro and pcDNA3.1-nCoV-SD18 (SARS-CoV-2 spike gene with 54 nucleotides deletion at its C-terminus was synthesized and cloned into pcDNA3.1 expression vector). HEK293T cells were seeded one day before, and then transfected with the indicated plasmids using TransIT-LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus). The culture medium was replenished at 16 h and harvested at 48 h and 72 h post-transfection. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 4,000 x g for 10 min, and the supernatant was passed through 0.45-mm syringe filter (Pall Corporation). The pseudotyped lentivirus was aliquoted and stored at -80°C until further use. The transduction unit (TU) of SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped lentivirus was estimated using cell viability assay in response to the limited dilution of lentivirus. In brief, HEK293T cells, stably expressing human ACE2, were plated on 96-well plate one day before lentivirus transduction. For titrating, different amounts of lentivirus particles were added to the culture medium containing polybrene (final concentration 8 mg/ml). Spin infection was carried out at 1,100 x g in 96-well plate for 30 min at 37°C. After Incubating cells at 37°C for 16 h, the culture medium containing virus particles and polybrene was removed and replaced with fresh complete DMEM containing 2.5 µg/ml puromycin. After treating with puromycin for 48 h, the culture media was removed, and the cell viability was assessed using 10% AlamarBlue reagents, according to manufacturer’s instruction. The survival of uninfected cells (without puromycin treatment) was set as 100%. The virus particle titer (TU) was determined by plotting the survival of cells versus diluted viral dose.

**Pseudotyped Virus Neutralization Assay**

HEK293T cells in 10 cm petridishes were transfected with pCMV-DR8.91, pcDNA nCoV-SD18 and pLAS2w.FLuc.Ppuro plasmids (5, 2, 8 µg, respectively). Next day, cells were washed with PBS gently, and replaced with 10 ml of fresh medium (RPMI containing 10% FBS). The medium at 48 and 72 h were collected and stored in -80°C for future use. HEK293T-ACE2 cells (HEK293T cells overexpressing ACE2 receptor) (0.5x10^5 cells) were pre-incubated with rhfSP-D (0, 5, 10 and 20 µg/ml) for 24 h and then washed twice with PBS. The SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped lentiviral particle containing medium (500µl/well) was added on to the cells, followed by incubation at 37°C under standard culture conditions. After 2 h, fresh complete DMEM medium (500 µl) was added on to the cells and incubated at 37°C. Following 72 h incubation, the cells were washed with PBS twice, and incubated with lysis buffer at 37°C for 10 min. Firefly luciferase activity (RLU) was measured using ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay System (Promega) and FlexStation.

**Statistical Analysis**

GraphPad Prism 6.0 software was used to generate all the graphs. Unpaired t test was used for the statistical analysis. The significance values were considered between rhfSP-D treated and untreated conditions, based on *p<0.05. Error bars show the SEM (figure legends).

**RESULTS**

**Interaction of rhfSP-D and Recombinant Human Full-Length SP-D (hFL-SP-D) With S1 Protein and Its RBD**

In SARS-CoV, S-protein is the predominant surface glycoprotein recognized by the host innate immune system. The S protein of SARS-CoV-2 has almost 76% identity to SARS-CoV. Previous studies indicated that SP-D bound S protein of SARS-CoV which required Ca2+; the binding was inhibited by maltose. Therefore, the first part of this study was aimed at examining the interaction of LPS-free rhfSP-D and hFL-SP-D with spike protein (S1) using direct binding ELISA. It was found that rhfSP-D/hFL-SP-D bound SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A); this interaction was inhibited by maltose and EDTA (Figure 2A). Among varied concentrations of rhfSP-D tested, a strong and maximum binding of rhfSP-D with SARS-CoV-2 S1 (5 µg/ml) was observed at 10 µg/ml.

The binding of SARS-CoV-2 to its cellular receptor, ACE2, is mediated by the RBD region of the S protein. A higher binding
affinity has been reported for RBD of SARS-COV-2 to ACE2 receptor compared to SARS-CoV (28). Furthermore, RBD of SARS-CoV-2 has been suggested to have a crucial role in spike protein-induced viral attachment, fusion, and entry into the host cells (29). In this context, this study was also aimed at determining the ability of rfhSP-D/hFL-SP-D to bind RBD of SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 1B) via direct ELISA (RBD coated, incubated with two-fold dilutions of rfhSP-D (100 µg/ml), and probed with anti-SP-D antibody; R&D Systems). rfhSP-D bound RBD in a dose-dependent manner. It reduced the binding affinity by maltose, but chelation of Ca²⁺ by EDTA did not significantly affect the interaction between rfhSP-D and RBD region (Figure 2B). No rfhSP-D binding was observed in the absence of RBD, indicating a lack of non-specific interaction in this assay. To further evaluate the dose response of Maltose and EDTA (5, 10 and 20mM), rfhSP-D was coated and probed with S1 and RBD (at 2.5 and 5 µg/ml) (Figure 2B). These results suggest that the protein-protein interaction may occur between the CRD region of rfhSP-D and the RBD region of S protein in a calcium-independent manner.

rfhSP-D Inhibits Interaction of SARS-CoV-2 S1 With Membrane Expressed ACE2 on HEK293T Cells

The S1 spike protein of the SARS-CoV-2 contains RBD that can recognise and interact with its cellular receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) (30, 31), thus mediating viral entry into the host cells. Since rfhSP-D was found to interact with the spike protein and its RBD at the protein level, we also tested the ability of rfhSP-D to interact with HEK293T cells overexpressing ACE2 receptor. Successful transfection of the ACE2 receptor gene into HEK293T cells was verified by measuring the expression levels of ACE2 receptor via immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 3A), flow cytometry (Figure 3B) and western blotting (Figure 3C). Quantitative and qualitative analysis of the ACE2 receptor using ACE2 antibody (SN0754) revealed a higher signal for ACE2 on HEK293T-ACE2 cells when compared to HEK293T cells alone (Figures 3A, B). This study also focused on examining whether rfhSP-D treatment can inhibit the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 S1 and ACE2 receptor on HEK293T cells (Figure 4). Pre-incubation of SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein (2 µg/ml) with a varied concentration of rfhSP-D (0.625 -10 µg/ml) was found to reduce S1 binding to HEK293T cells overexpressing ACE2 receptor in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4). The rfhSP-D at 10 µg/ml was found to reduce the binding of S1 to ACE2 receptor on HEK293T cells by approximately 7.95% when compared to the control (S1 + 0 µg/ml rfhSP-D) (Figure 4).

rfhSP-D Acts as an Entry Inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 Infection

After confirming the ability of rfhSP-D to prevent the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein and HEK293T cells...
overexpressing ACE2 receptor, we investigated whether rhfSP-D modulated viral entry using a luciferase reporter assay with pseudotyped lentiviral particles expressing SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein (Figure 5). SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped lentiviral particles were produced as a safe strategy to study the involvement of S1 glycoprotein in the recognition and neutralization of the virus by a varied concentration of rhfSP-D. The production of lentiviral particles pseudotyped with envelope protein S1 was carried out by co-transfecting HEK293T cells with plasmid containing the coding sequence of the indicated pcDNA3.1-nCoV-SD18 (SARS-CoV-2 spike gene), pLAS2w.Fluc.Ppuro, and pCMV-DR8.91. Purified pseudotyped particles and cell lysate harvested at 48 and 72 h were analyzed via western blotting, and the expression level of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein was determined using anti-SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Spike polyclonal antibody (Figure 5A). Cells pre-incubated rhfSP-D (5 and 10 µg/ml) showed a significant ~ 0.5 RLU fold reduction in luciferase activity (1.0 × 10^5 RLU) compared to the cells+SARS-CoV-2 (1.5 × 10^5 RLU) (Figure 5B). The reduced luciferase activity, following treatment with rhfSP-D, indicated that the interaction between rhfSP-D and SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein interfered with S1-containing viral particle binding to ACE2, and hence, prevented the entry of the virus into the HEK323T-ACE2 cells (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

The innate immune system has evolved cellular and molecular defense mechanisms critical for the recognition and restriction of SARS-CoV-2-mediated respiratory tract infections, and for the activation of subsequent adaptive immune responses (32). SARS-CoV-2 infection is responsible for a higher transmissibility, mortality and morbidity rates that has caused the current global pandemic (33–35). Given that the SARS-CoV-2 is a newly emerged pandemic infection, it is fundamentally crucial to understand the role of host innate and adaptive immune response, which in turn, is likely to have profound impact on designing and developing effective anti-SARS-CoV-2 therapies.

The primary target for SARS-CoV-2 is the alveolar epithelial type II cells (36), and the viral entry to the host cell is mediated by the ACE2 receptor (37). Furthermore, viral entry into the host cells also depends on the activity of TMPRSS2 protease as it facilitates S protein cleavage into S1 and S2 portions. This enables S-mediated targeting and receptor-mediated early fusion pathway driven by the S2 subunit (19). The present study confirmed the ability of rhfSP-D to act as an entry inhibitor of pseudotyped lentiviral particles expressing SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein in hACE-2 overexpressing HEK293T cells mimicking the human SARS-CoV-2 infection.
Human SP-D is a lung collectin, synthesized by Clara cells (38) and alveolar type-II cells (1). Anti-viral role of SP-D has been reported against HIV-1 and IAV infection (5, 6, 8, 39, 40). In addition, increased serum SP-D has been observed in SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 patients (11, 41). Interaction between SP-D and the S protein of SARS-CoV leads to enhanced phagocytosis (11, 12). Furthermore, SP-D can also inhibit viral infection of 16HBE cells infected with HCoV-229E (13). However, SP-D-mediated inhibition of SARS-CoV infection and its subsequent immune response is not fully studied. Therefore, this study was aimed at examining the ability of rhSP-D to act as an entry inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 infection using pseudotyped lentiviral particles expressing SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein. Being a potent innate immune molecule present in

**FIGURE 3** | Expression of ACE2 receptor on HEK293T cells by immunofluorescence microscopy (A), flow cytometry (B) and western blotting (C). (A) HEK293T (0.5x10^5 cells) and HEK293T-ACE2 cells (0.5x10^5 cells) were seeded on coverslips, followed by incubation at 37°C under standard culture conditions. After washing the cells with PBS twice, the ACE2 expression was detected in both cell lines using the ACE2 antibody [SN0754](1:250), followed by incubation for 1 h at room temperature. Following PBS washes, Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) cross-adsorbed secondary antibody (1:500) was added. Following PBS washes, the coverslips were mounted in medium with DAPI on a microscopy slide and viewed under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus). (B) Flow cytometric analysis of ACE2 expression was determined by the shift in the fluorescence intensity using ACE2 antibody [N1N2], N-term (GeneTex) (1:250). The ACE2 expression was detected by CytoFLEX. (C) The ACE2 expression was examined by western blotting using ACE2 antibody [SN0754] (GeneTex) (1:1000).
Figure 4 | rhSP-D treatment inhibits the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 S1 and ACE2 receptor on HEK293T cells. Protein complex was made by tagging SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein (5 µg/ml) with anti-His antibody (10 µg/ml), followed by incubation with rhSP-D (0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5 or 10 µg/ml) for 2h at room temperature. This complex (S1+ anti-His+rhSP-D) was added on to HEK293T-ACE2 cells (1x10^5 cells) at 37°C for 2 h. The cells were collected and washed with FACS buffer twice and incubated with anti-mouse IgG PE conjugate (Genetex, GTX25881) (1:100) for 30 min and washed three times. The cells stained with S1 were detected by CytoFLEX. Significance was determined using the unpaired t test statistical analysis. All groups compared to S1. The error bars show SEM. M=mock (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0001) (n = 3).
the lung surfactant, SP-D is expected to play an important protective role in the pathogenesis of COVID-19. Owing to a significant similarity in the S proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, it was hypothesized that SP-D may interact with S protein of SARS-CoV-2. The results confirmed that affinity purified, and LPS-free rhSP-D interacted with S1 protein of SARS-CoV-2 and its RBD in a dose-dependent manner akin to the recombinant hFLSP-D. Inhibition of rhSP-D binding to S protein by EDTA or maltose suggested that rhSP-D bound to the carbohydrate moieties on S protein of SARS-CoV-2 (12). We also examined whether rhSP-D treatment can inhibit the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 S1 with ACE2 receptor on HEK293T cells. SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein (5 µg/ml), pre-incubated with a varied concentration of rhSP-D (0.625-10 µg/ml), showed reduced binding to HEK293T cells overexpressing ACE2 receptor in a dose-dependent manner. Targeting viral entry into a host cell is an emerging approach for designing and developing anti-viral therapies as viral propagation can be either restricted or blocked at an early stage of viral cycle, diminishing drug resistance by released viral particles. In this study, we examined the entry inhibitor role of rhSP-D against SARS-CoV-2 by luciferase reporter assay. Pseudotyped lentiviral particles were generated as a safe alternative method to mimic the structural surface of SARS-CoV-2, and to test whether rhSP-D treatment can promote or prevent viral entry into the host cells. Approximately 0.5 RLU fold reduction was seen with rhSP-D (5 or 10 µg/ml) treatment when compared to untreated sample (1 RLU fold; Cells + SARS-CoV-2). A significantly reduced luminescent signal following rhSP-D treatment indicated that the interaction of rhSP-D with SARS-CoV-2-S1 restricted the binding and entry of the virus, suggestive of an entry inhibitory role of rhSP-D against SARS-CoV-2 infection. SARS-CoV-2 mediated lung injury is correlated with diffuse alveolar damage and air space oedema, thus, accompanied by interstitial infiltration of inflammatory cells, triggering of coagulation, and fibrin deposition (42–45). Potential biomarkers to be considered during SARS infection include increased levels of inflammatory plasma makers, coagulation, and fibrinolysis (46, 47). Damage to the alveolar epithelial barrier

**FIGURE 5**  rhSP-D acts as an entry inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 infection. (A) The SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped lentiviral particle and pseudotyped lentiviral particle containing medium were determined the S1 expression by western blotting. (B) Luciferase reporter activity of rhSP-D treated HEK293T cells (overexpressing ACE2 receptor) transduced with of SARS-CoV-2 S1 pseudotyped lentiviral particles. Significance was determined using the unpaired t test statistical analysis. All groups compared to VSV-S1. The error bars show SEM. M=medium (****p<0.0001) (n = 3).
is a characteristic feature of an acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and acute lung injury (ALI); levels of plasma surfactant proteins such as SP-A and SP-D may have a prognostic value (48–50). Thus, this study prompts further investigation into the role of pulmonary surfactant in COVID-19.

In summary, rhfSP-D, containing homotrimeric neck and CRD regions, acts as an entry inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 infection by restricting the viral entry into HEK293T cells overexpressing ACE2 receptor. Time is ripe for taking the knowledge about the involvement of rhfSP-D and its associated anti-viral effects forward to develop a novel therapeutic approach to target multiple cellular signaling pathways. The mechanisms which enable rhfSP-D to trigger anti-viral effect are virus-specific due to the differential effect and variation in terms of the cell types and putative receptors. There is a clear therapeutic potential of rhfSP-D against SARS-CoV-2; although increased glycosylation of spike protein leads to evasion of antibody susceptibility, it is expected to enhance the susceptibility of the virus to soluble pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as SP-D. Having established the specific nature of interactions between rhfSP-D and SARS-CoV-2, we hope to examine host response in the murine models of infection using wild type and SP-D knock-out mice.
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