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Abstract
Women at high risk of preterm birth (either a previous spontaneous preterm birth and/or sonographic short cervix) with a singleton gestation should be offered daily vaginal progesterone or weekly 17-OHPC treatment to prevent preterm birth. Benefit is most significant in those with prior history of preterm birth and a short cervix. For women with a previous spontaneous preterm birth and a cervix ≥30 mm the effectiveness of progesterone is uncertain. In asymptomatic women with no prior history of previous preterm birth, no mid-trimester loss, or no short cervical length, progesterone therapy is not recommended for the prevention of preterm birth. For those with unselected multiple pregnancies, progesterone therapy is not recommended for the prevention of preterm birth. Daily vaginal progesterone or weekly 17-OHPC treatment can be used for the prevention of preterm birth. The preparation used should be decided by the woman and her clinician. There is no evidence of neurological or developmental benefit or harm in babies whose mothers use progestogens for preterm birth prevention antenatally.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Endogenous progesterone is essential for the maintenance of pregnancy, and local decline in progesterone activity is thought to have a role in labor induction. Therefore, progestogens have been increasingly used in women at high risk of preterm birth as they are believed to counter this functional decline and provide anti-inflammatory effects. Several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses have been undertaken to help provide an evidence-based approach to prevent preterm birth and determine the optimal regimes and populations to target.

Types of progestogens:

1. Natural progesterone, similar to that produced by the body and commonly administered as a vaginal gel or pessary
2. Semisynthetic progestogens, which have a different chemical structure and include 17-hydroxyprogesterone caproate (17-OHPC), given as a weekly intramuscular injection.

2 | ASYMPTOMATIC WOMEN WITH A SINGLETON GESTATION AT HIGH RISK OF PRETERM BIRTH

The EPPPIC meta-analysis included individual patient data from randomized trials of progestogens to prevent preterm birth, including 31 trials and 11,644 participants. It demonstrated that both vaginal progesterone and 17-OHPC reduced the risk of preterm birth before 34 weeks for a high-risk population with singleton gestations. In addition, a benefit was seen among included participants who were only eligible for the original trials due to short cervical length (defined by different thresholds in different trials) or history of preterm birth (vaginal progesterone: 9 trials, 3769 women; relative risk [RR] 0.78, 95% CI 0.68–0.90; 17-OHPC: 5 trials, 3053 women; RR 0.83, 95% CI 0.68–1.01).

Recommendation: Women at high risk of preterm birth (either a previous spontaneous preterm birth and/or sonographic short cervix) with a singleton gestation should be offered daily vaginal progesterone or weekly 17-OHPC treatment to prevent preterm birth. Whether progesterone is effective in women with previous spontaneous preterm birth and a normal length cervix (>30 mm at midtrimester ultrasound) is uncertain.

3 | ASYMPTOMATIC WOMEN WITH A SINGLETON GESTATION WITHOUT A PRIOR HISTORY OF PRETERM BIRTH OR SHORT CERVICAL LENGTH

In the EPPPIC meta-analysis, the effect of progestogens on preterm birth reduction did not statistically differ based on the history of preterm birth or the presence of a short cervix. However, few women enrolled in any of the included trials that did not have either of these risk factors. As such, it remains uncertain whether and to what extent progestogens will or will not benefit this population.

Recommendation: In asymptomatic women with no prior history of previous preterm birth, no mid-trimester loss, or no short cervical length, progesterone therapy is not recommended for the prevention of preterm birth.

4 | ASYMPTOMATIC WOMEN WITH A MULTIPLE PREGNANCY

The EPPPIC meta-analysis shows that progestogen administration does not reduce preterm birth before 34 weeks in women with unselected multiple pregnancies (16 trials; vaginal progesterone: RR 1.01, 95% CI 0.84–1.20; 17-OHPC: RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.92–1.18). The majority of women included in the meta-analysis had no other risk factors for preterm birth. This is consistent with the 2019 Cochrane review, which included 16 trials and 4548 women. A recent additional study came to the same conclusion for unselected multiple pregnancies.

Recommendation: For women with unselected multiple pregnancies, progesterone therapy is not recommended for the prevention of preterm birth. For women with multiple pregnancies and a risk factor such as previous preterm birth, it is unknown whether progesterone therapy is effective.

5 | OTHER ISSUES

5.1 | Type of progestogen

In the EPPPIC meta-analysis, there were only two trials that provided direct data comparing vaginal progesterone and 17-OHPC, and these showed no statistical difference between the two types of progestogen (preterm birth <34 weeks RR 1.18, 95% CI 0.69–2.03).

Recommendation: Daily vaginal progesterone or weekly 17-OHPC treatment can be used for the prevention of preterm birth. The preparation used should be decided by the woman and her clinician.

5.2 | Long-term effects of progestogens

Only two studies have examined the long-term effects of progestogens in those with singleton gestations. The follow-up study to the Meis et al. 2003 trial of 17-OHPC showed no difference between 17-OHPC and placebo groups in any of the developmental domains of children assessed at approximately two years. A childhood developmental assessment was one of the three primary outcomes in the OPPTIMUM study, which showed no difference in cognitive composite score between the active and the placebo groups. A recent systematic review comprising a
multitude of developmental measurements with a broad age range at assessment did not find evidence of benefit or harm in offspring prenatally exposed to progesterone treatment for the prevention of preterm birth (5 trials, 4222 measurements of children between 6 months and 8 years). 6

Recommendation: There is no evidence of neurological or developmental benefit or harm in babies whose mothers use progestogens for preterm birth prevention antenatally.
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