Effect of Agronomic Practices and Weed Management Practices on Weed Dry Weight and Weed Control Efficiency in Direct Seeded Rice under Rainfed Condition of Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India
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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was carried out in the year 2014 and 2015 at experimental field of Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, to evaluate the influence of mulching, nitrogen levels and weed management practices on direct seeded rice. Twenty-four treatment combinations consisting of two mulching viz. no mulch and live mulching (brown manuring with sesbania) and nitrogen levels (120 kg ha⁻¹, 150 kg ha⁻¹ and 180 kg ha⁻¹) in main plot and four weed management practices viz. weedy check, two hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS; azimsulfuron @ 30 g/ha + bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha at 10-15 DAS; pendimethalin @ 1 kg/ha at 1-3 DAS fb bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha 15-20 DAS were allocated to sub plots. It was observed that total weed dry weight at all the stages of observation was found to be significantly lower when brown manuring with sesbania was done under mulching. Under nitrogen levels, 180 kg ha⁻¹ recorded highest while 120 kg ha⁻¹ recorded lowest total weed dry weight. Treatment pendimethalin fb bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha recorded lowest total weed dry weight under weed management practices. Weed control efficiency was observed higher under live mulching, 150 kg ha⁻¹ and pendimethalin fb bispyribac- sodium @ 25 g/ha among mulching, nitrogen levels and weed management practices, respectively.
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Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the most important and extensively grown cereal crop in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. Globally, total rice consumption was recorded 477.4 million metric tonnes in 2013-14 (Anonymous, 2015). It is grown on approximately 163 m ha out of which 145 m ha is in Asia (Anonymous, 2013). Ninety per cent of the world’s rice is produced as well as consumed in Asia (Soluńke et al., 2006). The demand for food grains in India is increasing day by day and the requirement by the year 2025 is estimated to be increased by 40 per cent as compared to 2003-04. To sustain present food self-sufficiency and to meet future food requirements, there is need to increase rice productivity by 3 per cent per annum. The possibility of expanding the area under rice in near future is limited. Therefore, this extra rice production needed has to come from a productivity gain. The major challenge is to achieve this gain with less water, labour and chemicals, thereby ensuring long-term sustainability. To preserve natural resources
especially water, there is a need to replace puddled transplanted rice with the direct-seeding technology.

Direct seeded methods have several advantages over transplanting (Singh et al., 2005). In addition to higher economic returns, direct seeded rice crops are faster and easier to plant, less labour intensive, consumes less water (Jehangir et al., 2005; Bhushan et al., 2007) and are conducive to mechanization (Chauhan, 2012) generally flower earlier leading to shorter crop duration (Farooq et al., 2006), mature 7-10 days earlier and have less methane emissions (Balasubramanian and Hill, 2002) than transplanted rice.

High weed infestation is a major constraint for broader adoption of direct seeded rice (Rao et al., 2007). They are the prime yield limiting biotic constraints that compete with rice for moisture, nutrients and light. Weed competition reduced the grain yield by 50-60% in direct seeded rice.

Any delay in weeding will lead to increased weed biomass which has a negative correlation with yield. However, the magnitude of loss in yield in direct seeded rice depends upon the density of weeds, type of weed species and duration of presence of weeds.

The use of only one method of weed control in a DSR crop may not be successful for raising a good crop. Manual weeding has become difficult because of labour scarcity and increased cost. Chemical control is the most effective, economic and practical way of weed management (Marwat et al., 2006; Hussain et al., 2008) during periods of labour shortage when weeding coincides with other farm work. Application of pre-emergence herbicides is an effective method for controlling weeds in the early stages (Subbaiah, 2008). Post emergence herbicides will be required to tackle the weed flushes at later stages. Use of pre-emergence herbicides have been found effective in initial stages, but the second flush of weeds at 20- 25 days after sowing becomes a problem. Moreover, application of pre-emergence herbicides is very conditional and requires its application within 3-5 days of sowing, which is a very short and busy period for farmers and many times coincides with continuous rains or drought. Therefore, success of direct seeded rice depends largely on effective weed control especially with post-emergence chemical methods. Therefore crop management technologies that help to reduce the competitive effects of weeds on crops and economical are needed.

**Materials and Methods**

The experiment was laid out in split-plot design with three replications. The mulching (no mulch and live mulch i.e. brown manuring with *sesbania*) and nitrogen doses (120, 150 and 180 kg ha⁻¹) were assigned to main plots and weed management practices (weedy; two hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS; azimsulfuron @ 30 g/ha + bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha at 10-15 DAS; pendimethalin @ 1 kg/ha at 1-3 DAS fb bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha 15-20 DAS) in sub plots. Rice variety Sarjoo 52 was sown in the last week of June.

Seed of *sesbania* was sown in between rows just after seeding of rice for brown manuring and was knock down at 25 DAS with the help of 2,4 D. Spray of herbicides was done by using knap sack sprayer with flat fan nozzle with their respective doses at appropriate stages.

A uniform dose of 60 kg P₂O₅ and 60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ was applied in all the treatments through single super phosphate and mulate of potash, respectively. Nitrogen doses of 120, 150 and 180 kg/ha were given as per treatment.
through urea. Half of total nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus, and potassium were applied to rice crop as basal application before sowing. Remaining half dose of nitrogen in the form of urea was top dressed in two equal splits, at active tillering and panicle initiation stage during both the years.

Weed enclosed in a quadrate of 0.25 m² were cut at ground level and separated as grasses, sedges and broad leaved weeds at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and 90 DAS, washed with tap water to remove soil and unwanted particles adhering to them, sun dried followed by oven drying at 70°C for 48 hours, and then weighed. The total weed dry weight was obtained by adding the weight of these three groups. The dry weight was expressed in g m⁻².

Weed control efficiency (%) was calculated using the formula given by Tripathy and Mishra (1971).

\[
WCE (%) = \frac{DMC - DMT}{DMC} \times 100
\]

Where,

- **WCE** = Weed control efficiency
- **DMC** = Dry weight of weeds (g m⁻²) in unweeded control
- **DMT** = Dry weight of weeds (g m⁻²) in weed control treatment

WCE was expressed in percentage.

Data on weed dry weight have shown high degree of variation. A relationship between the means and variance was observed. Therefore, the data on weed count and weed dry weight were subjected to \(\sqrt{(x + 0.5)}\) transformation to make analysis of variance more valid.

**Results and Discussion**

**Total weed dry weight (g m⁻²)**

Overall, total dry weight of weeds (Table 1) increased gradually up to maturity under mulching, nitrogen levels and weed management practices. Mulching resulted in significantly lower total dry weight of weeds during both the years. This might be due to smothering effect of *dhaincha* on weed growth at initial growth stages.

Further, it was observed that total dry weight of weeds was found to be significantly highest with 180 kg ha⁻¹. This was statistically at par with 150 kg ha⁻¹ for the years, 2014 and 2015 respectively.

This might be due to application of higher dose of nitrogen which results in higher weed growth along with crop. Significantly lowest total dry weight of weeds at all growth stages during both the years of experiment was recorded with 120 kg ha⁻¹. Similar findings have been reported by Ravisankar et al., (2008).

Under different weed management practices, the best treatment in minimizing total dry weight of weeds was pendimethalin *fb* bispyribac- sodium @ 25 g/ha that was significantly better than rest of treatments at all the stages of crop growth in both the years.

Pre-emergence herbicide pendimethalin controlled the growth of weeds at initial stage while post-emergence herbicide bispyribac checked the weed growth at subsequent flushes. This was followed by two hand weeding (20 and 40 DAS) which was statistically at par with azimsulfuron @ 30 g/ha + bispyribac- sodium @ 25 g/ha treatment. All these treatments recorded significantly lower total dry weight of weeds over weedy check.
Table 1 Effect of mulching, nitrogen levels and weed management practices on total dry weight of weeds (g m\(^{-2}\)) at different growth stages of direct seeded rice

| Treatments                                      | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
|                                                | 2014   | 2015   | 2014   | 2015       | 2014   | 2015   |
| Mulching                                       |        |        |        |            |        |        |
| No mulch                                       | 5.58   | 5.85   | 12.63  | 13.39      | 15.04  | 15.73  | 16.48  | 16.49 |
|                                                | (30.61)| (33.70)| (159.02)| (178.91)  | (225.66)| (246.78)| (270.99)| (286.37) |
| Live mulch (Brown manuring with *Sesbania*)    | 4.51   | 4.54   | 12.10  | 12.38      | 14.22  | 14.68  | 15.48  | 16.04 |
|                                                | (19.88)| (20.11)| (145.98)| (152.66)  | (201.84)| (215.11)| (239.10)| (256.67) |
| SE±                                            | 0.09   | 0.05   | 0.06   | 0.05       | 0.09   | 0.06   | 0.07   | 0.07  |
| CD (P=0.05)                                    | 0.25   | 0.14   | 0.20   | 0.16       | 0.26   | 0.18   | 0.21   | 0.21  |
| Nitrogen level (kg ha\(^{-1}\))               |        |        |        |            |        |        |
| 120                                            | 4.71   | 4.86   | 11.68  | 12.17      | 14.18  | 14.67  | 15.18  | 15.75 |
|                                                | (21.66)| (23.11)| (135.88)| (147.68)  | (200.67)| (214.74)| (229.82)| (247.56) |
| 150                                            | 5.20   | 5.39   | 12.60  | 13.18      | 14.74  | 15.40  | 16.25  | 16.81 |
|                                                | (26.56)| (28.51)| (158.34)| (173.30)  | (216.79)| (236.76)| (263.65)| (282.05) |
| 180                                            | 5.29   | 5.44   | 12.80  | 13.30      | 14.98  | 15.55  | 16.44  | 16.90 |
|                                                | (27.52)| (29.11)| (163.29)| (176.38)  | (223.80)| (241.36)| (269.69)| (284.96) |
| SE±                                            | 0.09   | 0.05   | 0.06   | 0.05       | 0.09   | 0.06   | 0.07   | 0.07  |
| CD (P=0.05)                                    | 0.25   | 0.14   | 0.20   | 0.16       | 0.26   | 0.18   | 0.21   | 0.21  |
| Weed management practices                      |        |        |        |            |        |        |
| Weedy                                          | 6.96   | 7.22   | 15.46  | 15.98      | 17.94  | 18.40  | 19.04  | 19.87 |
|                                                | (47.99)| (51.70)| (238.41)| (254.99)  | (321.33)| (338.18)| (362.01)| (394.19) |
| Two hand weeding (20 and 40 DAS)               | 4.55   | 4.66   | 11.58  | 12.23      | 14.08  | 14.68  | 15.34  | 15.69 |
|                                                | (20.21)| (21.21)| (133.67)| (149.18)  | (197.82)| (215.04)| (234.84)| (245.75) |
| Azimsulfuron @ 30 g/ha +                       | 4.63   | 4.72   | 11.65  | 12.30      | 14.20  | 14.73  | 15.46  | 15.84 |
| Bispyribac- sodium @ 25 g/ha                   | (20.92)| (21.82)| (135.31)| (150.69)  | (201.03)| (216.40)| (238.45)| (250.48) |
| Pendimethalin + Bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha    | 3.52   | 3.66   | 10.15  | 10.43      | 11.63  | 12.44  | 13.62  | 14.01 |
|                                                | (11.87)| (12.89)| (102.62)| (108.28)  | (134.81)| (154.19)| (184.91)| (195.67) |
| SE±                                            | 0.04   | 0.03   | 0.04   | 0.04       | 0.05   | 0.04   | 0.06   | 0.05  |
| CD (P=0.05)                                    | 0.13   | 0.08   | 0.11   | 0.13       | 0.16   | 0.12   | 0.19   | 0.16  |

*Figures in the parentheses are original values*
Table 2: Influence of mulching, nitrogen levels and weed management practices on weed control efficiency (%) at different growth stages and weed index (%) of direct seeded rice

| Treatments                              | Weed control efficiency (%) |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                          |                            | 30 DAS   | 60 DAS   | 90 DAS   | At harvest |
|                          |                            | 2014     | 2015     | 2014     | 2015     | 2014     | 2015     | 2014     | 2015     |
| Mulching                  |                            | 2014     | 2015     | 2014     | 2015     | 2014     | 2015     | 2014     | 2015     |
| No mulch                  |                            | 36.22    | 34.82    | 33.30    | 29.84    | 29.77    | 27.03    | 25.14    | 27.35    |
| Live mulch (Brown manuring with *Sesbania*) |                            | 58.57    | 61.10    | 38.77    | 40.13    | 37.19    | 36.39    | 33.95    | 34.89    |
| Nitrogen level (kg ha⁻¹)   |                            | 120      | 150      | 180      |          |          |          |          |          |
| 120                       |                            | 54.87    | 55.31    | 43.01    | 42.08    | 37.55    | 36.50    | 36.09    | 37.20    |
| 150                       |                            | 44.66    | 44.86    | 33.59    | 32.04    | 32.53    | 29.99    | 27.08    | 28.45    |
| 180                       |                            | 42.65    | 43.70    | 31.51    | 30.83    | 30.35    | 28.63    | 25.48    | 27.71    |
| Weed management practices  |                            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Weedy                     |                            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Two hand weeding (20 and 40 DAS) |                            | 57.89    | 58.97    | 43.93    | 41.50    | 38.44    | 36.41    | 35.13    | 36.46    |
| Azimsulfuron @ 30 g/ha + Bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha |                            | 56.41    | 57.79    | 43.24    | 40.90    | 37.44    | 36.01    | 34.13    | 37.66    |
| Pendimethalin *fb* Bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha |                            | 75.27    | 75.07    | 56.96    | 57.54    | 58.05    | 54.41    | 48.92    | 50.36    |
Weed control efficiency

A thorough analysis of the data relevant to weed control efficiency (Table 2) clearly indicated that brown manuring with sesbania recorded highest weed control efficiency at all the stages of crop growth during both the years. This might be due to smothering effect of dhaincha against weeds plus inhibitory action of 2, 4-D against sedges and broad leaved weeds.

Under various nitrogen levels, nitrogen dose of 120 kg ha\(^{-1}\) recorded highest weed control efficiency at all the stages of crop growth. This might be due to lower dry matter accumulation of weeds. Lowest weed control efficiency at all the stages of crop growth was recorded with 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The findings are in agreement with the findings of Mishra and Singh (2007).

Under various weed management practices, treatment pendimethalin \( fb \) bispyribac-sodium @ 25 g/ha showed higher weed control efficiency than other treatments at all the stages of crop growth during both the years. The main reason behind this was high efficiency of pendimethalin and bispyribac against grassy weeds, sedges and broad leaved weeds.
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