The need for a public forecast of stellar activity to optimise exoplanet radial velocity detections & transmission spectroscopy
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ABSTRACT
Advances in high-precision spectrographs have paved the way for the search for an Earth analogue orbiting a Sun-like star within its habitable zone. However, the research community remains limited by the presence of stellar noise produced by stellar magnetic activity. These activity phenomena can obscure the detection of Earth-mass exoplanets and can create parasitic signals in transmission spectra. In this paper, we outline the need for a public forecast of stellar activity, and produce a proof of principle. Using publicly available spectra we are able to forecast stellar minima several years ahead and reach a typical uncertainty on the timing of these minima of $0.5$ year, similar to the precision reached on our own Sun’s magnetic cycle. Furthermore, we use our toy model to show that knowing when to observe can improve the sensitivity of HARPS-North’s Solar telescope to low mass planets by up to an order of magnitude, and we show that the majority of exoplanets selected for Early Release Science and Guaranteed Time Observations on the James Webb will be observed close or during stellar maxima, incurring a higher risk of stellar contamination. We finish our paper by outlining a number of next steps to create a public forecast usable by teams around the globe, by telescope time allocation committees, and in preparation for spacecrafts such as Ariel.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Debates around detected exoplanets continue to flourish due to possible confusion between the radial velocity signal produced by exoplanets and the induced shift in radial velocity created by variations in stellar activity. Signals intrinsic to the star give rise to radial velocity variability occurring at a wide range of time scales from minutes (e.g. asteroseismic p-modes; Chaplin et al. 2019) to hours (e.g. stellar granulation Del Moro et al. 2004; Bastien et al. 2013). While signals created by stellar p-modes are efficiently averaged out by using longer exposure times (Chaplin et al. 2019; Dumusque et al. 2011; Kunovac Hodžić et al. 2021), stellar granulation can add substantial noise to radial velocity time series because of a larger amplitude and longer timescales than p-modes (Schrijver & Zwaan 2000; Kjeldsen et al. 2005; Kunovac Hodžić et al. 2021). Granulation noise is often reduced by observing the target several times per night separated by several hours (e.g. Dumusque et al. 2011). The more challenging stellar activity signals for the detection and characterisation of exoplanets are produced by active regions on stellar surfaces producing variability on time scales related to stellar rotation (typically 10s of days, e.g. Dumusque et al. 2011).

Signals in radial velocity can be caused both by dark stellar spots and by bright plages/faculae (Meunier et al. 2017, and references therein). Additionally, most stars also experience variability on timescales of several months to years called magnetic activity cycles (Lindegren & Dravins 2003). Over a full cycle, the number of active regions increases and decreases, leading to a periodic increase and decrease in stellar variability.

Signals produced by stellar activity hinder exoplanet detection in two ways: by completely drowning out or by mimicking the radial velocity signals of genuine exoplanets (Saar & Donahue 1997, Queloz et al. 2001, Desort et al. 2007). While there is abundant literature describing how to distinguish a change in radial velocity produced by a planet from that produced by stellar activity, regularly stellar activity trumps observers. For example, the announcement of planets orbiting α Centauri (Dumusque et al. 2012; Hatzes 2013), Kapteyn’s star (Anglada-Escude et al. 2014; Robertson et al. 2015; Anglada-Escudé et al. 2016), and Barnard’s star (Ribas et al. 2018; Lubin et al. 2021) were artefact of stellar activity. More recently work has had successes modelling stellar activity using photometry to predict the effect of stellar activity on radial velocities (e.g. Aigrain et al. 2012), or by using non-parametric models such as Gaussian Process (e.g. Rajpaul et al. 2015; Lalitha et al. 2019; Suárez Mascareño et al. 2020). However, these methods tend to require sampling radial velocities at a very frequent cadence, shorter than the frequency of most activity effects. For example, new observing campaign of Proxima Centauri as part of guaranteed time on ESPRESSO by Suárez Mascareño et al. (2020) was densely sampled. Similarly the future observations with HARPS-3 will be sampled at frequencies shorter than the associated activity periods (Hall et al. 2018).

The Sun, has an activity cycle of 11 years, the solar chromospheric activity indicator log(R'HK) varies from -4.75 during maxima to -
5.0 during minima (Dumusque et al. 2011). The amplitude of radial velocity perturbation can vary between 40 cm s$^{-1}$ and 140 cm s$^{-1}$ during minimum and maximum solar activity phases (Meunier et al. 2010). Hence, having a forecast would offer the opportunity to mitigate activity signals by focusing data intakes only when the activity signal is reduced, and where inaccuracies in modelling are less noticeable.

Another aspect of exoplanetary research affected by stellar activity is the atmospheric characterisation of exoplanets, particularly transmission spectroscopy, which is affected by changes in the host star spectrum on timescales of a planetary orbit (Agol et al. 2010, Sing et al. 2011). The varying presence of flares, plages and starspots, etc, and their distribution on the surface can modify a planet’s transit depth. The planet-to-star radius can be underestimated by 10% in visible wavelengths due to an unocculted starspot (Oshagh et al. 2014, McCullough et al. 2014).

Furthermore, if unocculted stellar surface features (such as spot) are sufficiently cool they can introduce false molecular features (e.g. H$_2$O) into an exoplanet’s spectrum (Barstow et al. 2015; Rackham et al. 2018). Contamination has been suspected for several planets such as TRAPPIST-1 (Zhang et al. 2018), WASP-19 b (Espinoza et al. 2018) and K2-18 b (Barclay et al. 2021) but with increasingly precise spectra from James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) and Atmospheric Remote-Sensing Infrared Exoplanet Large-survey (Ariel, Tinetti et al. 2016), this list is bound to increase and contamination set to become a major hindrance to interpret these spectra and extract robust molecular and elemental abundances. Here too they are notable efforts to mitigate these effects (e.g. Wakeford et al. 2019), but a forecast can also inform when the most indicated time to collect data is.

Since the late 1980s (Latham et al. 1989) there has been a logarithmic improvement in the smallest exoplanet mass detected, linearly with time; until about a decade ago (see Figure 1). Since sensitivity has plateaued: Corrections in stellar activity have struggled to improve exoplanet detection. With a few exceptions, for example, Faria et al. (2022) announced the discovery of 0.26 M$_\oplus$ planet orbiting around our nearest neighbour Proxima Centauri. Langellier et al. (2021) argued that a successful discovery of an exo-Earth requires a more sophisticated stellar variability model, longer-term instrumental stability and dense sampling of observations. This spawns a requirement of high-resolution spectra taken at a high signal-to-noise ratio with high cadence observations. Most spectrographs are already oversubscribed. Obtaining high signal-to-noise ratio a high cadence, high-resolution spectra is not sustainable.

In this paper, we argue that there is another way to help solve the stellar activity problem: to forecast when stellar minima happen. This will optimise the observing strategy of radial-velocity campaigns by focusing efforts on epochs when stars are quiet and activity can be more accurately modelled. In parallel, both JWST and Ariel are spacecraft with a limited lifespan. They require the most optimal and scientifically interesting targets. We argue that this philosophy should also apply to observing strategy: JWST and Ariel should observe at the most optimal and scientifically interesting times, hence the need to target low activity period, and thus the need for a stellar activity forecast shared amongst astronomers.

In §2 we demonstrate how different the sensitivity to small planets is affected by stellar activity by using publicly available solar radial-velocities obtained by HARPS-North at the different moments over a solar cycle. In §3, we present a simplified forecast and show how it can accurately predict stellar minima several years ahead. Then, we use publicly available data to forecast the stellar activity for JWST’s Early Release Science (ERS) and guaranteed time objects (GTO) in

![Figure 1. Mass of known radial velocity planets (green filled circles) and transiting planets (grey filled diamonds) as a function of the discovery year. The horizontal dashed lines represent the mass of Earth, Venus, Mars and Mercury (from top to bottom). The black sloped line represents the plateaued sensitivity of exoplanet detection.](https://dace.unige.ch)
the number of planets, $N_p$, within a system. $N_p$ is sampled like any other free parameters (Brewer & Donovan 2015; Faria et al. 2018). When there are no planets within a particular dataset, and if KIMA is forced to find some, it then returns a posterior that includes all solutions that are compatible with the data but remain formally undetected. This posterior can be used to compute a 99% detection limit. We generally follow the procedure detailed in Standing et al. (2021) to analyse HARPS-N’s solar radial velocities and produce detection limits.

Compared to Standing et al. (2021) who forced $N_p = 1$ to create detection limits, instead, we let $N_p$ free with an upper limit of $N_p = 3$, which allows KIMA to explore the whole parameter space instead of converging on solar signals such as rotation. Like in Standing et al. (2021), we set KIMA’s priors as log-uniform for the radial-velocity semi-amplitude ($K$), and the orbital period ($P$). We use a Kumaraswamy distribution for eccentricity (Kumaraswamy 1980). Our prior on eccentricity is $\alpha=0.867$ and $\beta=3.00$ (Kipping 2013), while priors on the systemic velocity ($\gamma$) and the argument of periastron ($\omega$) are uniform. We perform three independent runs with KIMA, each resulting in > 20 000 effective posterior samples for data at solar minimum and repeat the procedure for solar maximum. Since our fit finds $N_p = 0$, all signals (planetary or stellar) remain statistically undetected and we can use the posterior distribution to compute a detection threshold.

We plot the combined posteriors of all three runs in Figure 2, shown as a log-log distribution of semi-amplitudes and the orbital periods during solar minimum (left panel) and maximum (right panel). We extract the detection limit for each of the three individual runs and plot them with yellow lines. The median of individual runs are depicted as orange lines. Doing so provides information about the uncertainty on the location of the detection limit. The orbital periods of terrestrial solar system planets are depicted as grey vertical lines. The green dotted-dash lines represent the expected semi-amplitudes for Jupiter, Neptune and Earth-mass planets as a function of orbital periods.

From Fig. 2, we take the following lessons: the likelihood of detection of planets with orbits < 1 day during activity minimum and maximum appears to be similar. However, for orbital periods > 10 days the detection limit is an order of magnitude greater at solar activity maximum compared to solar activity minimum. For instance, at $P_{\text{orb}} \sim 100$ days, the detection remains at Jupiter mass during activity maximum.

For solar minimum, the KIMA posterior shows an approximately flat detection limit until $P = 180$ days, which corresponds to the time span of the data we analyse. The posterior is denser around $P = 22$ days, which likely corresponds to the solar rotation. Beyond $P = 180$ days, sensitivity decreases rapidly with orbital period, which is expected and also seen by surveys computing detection limits at orbital periods exceeding the survey’s time span (e.g. Howard et al. 2010; Mayor et al. 2011; Bonfils et al. 2013; Standing et al. 2021). The main point to take from this analysis is that during solar maximum, at $P = 180$ days the sensitivity to planets is ~ 100x worse than during minimum. While some of the activity signals can be modelled, not having to correct means that modelling efforts can be focused on reducing stellar noise still present during minima instead.

### 3 CONSTRUCTION OF A SIMPLE STELLAR ACTIVITY CYCLE FORECAST

We now detail two toy models forecast the stellar activity cycle, the first using spectroscopic data, and the second using photometry. In principle, a forecast model should use all stellar activity indicators at once but for demonstration purposes we preferred isolating those. In future publications, we plan to improve our forecast model and include other spectroscopic indices (such as $H\alpha$), and combine them with photometric time series, and UV/X-ray observations.

#### 3.1 Chromospheric activity cycle forecast

To produce a forecast we here focus only on the widely used Ca II H & K lines. We follow the Mount Wilson Observatory procedure to investigate the stellar activity. We define two triangular pass bands with a full-width half maxima of 1.09 Å for the Ca II H & K lines centred at 3968.47 Å and 3933.66 Å. Additionally, we define two continuum bands with 20 Å at 3901.070 Å and 4001.070 Å (Noyes et al. 1984). The S-index is defined as

$$S = \frac{\alpha H + K}{R + \nu}$$

(1)
where H and K represent the total flux at the line centres, R and V represent the total flux in the continuum band pass, and α is a calibration constant adjusted at 2.3 (Duncan et al. 1991).

The calcium S-index is related to the activity scale $R_{HK}$. We define $R_{HK}$ as the total flux at the stellar surface in the narrow band H and K lines normalised by the bolometric flux of the star. We converted the estimated S index to $R_{HK}$ using the prescription given by Lorenzo-Oliveira et al. (2018) and references therein.

We then carry out an analysis of the activity time series using a generalised Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Zechmeister & Kürster 2009) and compute false alarm probabilities to determine the significance of the observed signal. The individual point on the periodogram with N degrees of freedom and a frequency $\omega$ is calculated as

$$\text{power} = z(\omega) = \frac{N - 3}{2} \times p(\omega)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

with:

$$p(\omega) = \frac{x_0^2 - x^2(\omega)}{x_0^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and where $x^2$ is the squared difference between the observed activity indicator $y_i$ and the model $y(t_i)$ is calculated as

$$x^2 = \sum \frac{[y_i - y(t_i)]}{\sigma_i^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

To estimate the false alarm probability (FAP) associated with every point on the periodogram we use

$$\text{FAP} = 1 - \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{2P}{N - 1} \right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} \right]^M$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where P is the power of the significant period detected, N is the size of the dataset and M is the number of independent frequencies.

Using the rotation - activity cycle period relationship by Suárez Mascareño et al. 2016 (henceforth SM2016), we obtain a prior on the expected activity cycle period ($P_{cyc, prior}$). The minimum frequencies for the periodogram are chosen to be $f_{min} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha P_{cyc, prior}}$. The factor $\alpha = 1.5$ chosen arbitrarily.

We fit a simple sinusoidal model to the data with Markov Chain Monte Carlo using the emcee package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). We fit four parameters: the amplitude of the activity cycle ($A_{cyc}$), period of the cycle ($P_{cyc}$), the mean level of activity indicator ($\mu_{cyc}$) and the epoch of minimum activity level.

### 3.1.1 Case study 1 - Solar activity cycle

We use our Sun as a benchmark star to test our method of the forecasting activity cycle. We use the Mount Wilson solar s-index data Egeland et al. (2017) covering multiple activity cycles. Based on sunspot cycle numbers given in (McIntosh et al. 2020) and (Hiremath 2022), we divide the solar s-index data into five subsets to predict the cycle period and forecast the next activity minima. In Figure 3, the s-index data of all the subsets are shown. We search for periodic signals in each of these subsets using a generalised Lomb Scargle periodogram and fit a sinusoidal model using MCMC. At each step we include the previous subset to improve the cycle prediction. Table 1 provides the s-index cycle length and the forecasted next activity minima. The results indicate that the uncertainty on the forecasted minima epochs ranges between 0.5 and 0.8 years. However, in Appendix B, we treat each subset individually to obtain the cycle length and activity minima epochs.

In Figure 4, we compare the STACATTO forecasted minima epochs with the epochs of sunspot-less days in the literature (Nandy et al. 2011). Our predictions are consistent with the literature value.

| Subsets   | Cycle length | Forecasted minima epoch |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Subset 1 (Cycle 20-21) | 3259±151 | 2.442121 ± 241d |
| Subset 2 (Cycle 21-22) | 3736±93 | 2.446274 ± 227d |
| Subset 3 (Cycle 22-23) | 3725±25 | 2.449917 ± 186d |
| Subset 4 (Cycle 23-24) | 3716±13 | 2.453776 ± 174d |
| Subset 5 (Cycle 24-25) | 4038±14 | 2.458204 ± 177d |

### 3.1.2 Case study 2 - HD 51608, a star with an obvious activity cycle

HD 51608 is a 0.8 M$_\odot$ star known to host at least two Neptune-like planets with masses 12.7 and 14.3 M$_\oplus$ orbiting every 14.1 and 95.9 days, respectively (Udry et al. 2017). The host star is a moderately active star with log $R'_{HK}$ = −4.98 ± 0.02 and a stellar rotation period, $P_{rot}$ = 40 ± 4 days (Udry et al. 2017). Using the SM2016 rotation-cycle period relationship, we predict the length of the magnetic activity cycle to be ~ 1556 days.

We collect public data from the HARPS ESO public archive. HD 51608’s HARPS data spans 2570 days. We used the already reduced spectra for HD 51608 and performed our own measurement
of the Ca II H&K index using Equation 1, producing a time series of activity indicators. In Figure 5 (left panel), we plot the periodogram with five significant peaks. The signal with period $P \approx 38$ days corresponds to the rotation period of the stars ($P_{rot} = 40 \pm 4$ days). The longest period is likely induced by magnetic activity of the star. The Ca II H&K index shows a strong peak at $P \approx 1400$ days. The modulation in Ca II H&K shows a clear modulation with false alarm probabilities smaller than 0.1%. On fitting the longest period signal to the activity index and subtracting it, except the 40 day periods all the intermediate periods disappear. Furthermore, our MCMC run yields the cycle period of $1439 \pm 89$ days ($3.94 \pm 0.24$ years) and forecasts the next epoch of activity minima JD $\approx 2460485 - 2461011$ (2024-06-23 to 2025-12-01) in Figure 5 (right panel). We interpret that previous observations cover at least two activity minima phases.

3.1.3 Case study 3 - stellar activity cycle of LHS 1140

LHS 1140 is an M4.5 star hosting at least two transiting planets with $6.48 M_\oplus$ and $1.77 M_\oplus$ orbiting every 24.7 and 3.77 days (Dittmann et al. 2017; Ment et al. 2019), respectively. LHS 1140 has been intensely monitored by instruments such as HARPS and ESPRESSO. The ESO archive provided in total 159 spectra spanning over 1368 days (116 HARPS spectra spanning over 671 days and 43 ESPRESSO spectra spanning over 303 days). The host star LHD 1140 is an inactive slow rotating star. Using HARPS and ESPRESSO radial velocity datasets Lillo-Box et al. (2020) found the rotation period of LHS 1140 to be $\approx 131$ days. Using the SM2016 rotation-cycle period relationship, we predict the length of the magnetic activity cycle to be $\approx 1850$ days.

We begin by analysing the HARPS dataset obtained only between JD $\approx 2457349 - 2458020$ (2015 November 22 - 2017 September 23), a span of nearly two years. The Ca II S-indices for HARPS observations are depicted in Figure 6 top panel. Our best fit MCMC model yields a period of $\approx 3.74 \pm 0.48$ years with the next minimum activity epoch at JD $= 2458805 \pm 175$ which is represented with a grey sinusoidal curve in Fig. 6 (top panel). Although a large period trend is present in the data, the nature of the signal still remains uncertain and might originate from an incompletely sampled activity cycle.

We then add the first season of ESPRESSO observations (22 spectra from JD $\approx 2458416 - 2458510$) and recalculate a forecast model to verify our earlier forecast of the next activity minima. We now find $P = 4.13 \pm 0.36$ years, and the next minimum at JD $= 2458712 \pm 132$, consistent with the first forecast. Finally, we add a second season of ESPRESSO data (JD $= 2458674 - 2458719$) and this time, we obtain a cycle with $P = 4.04 \pm 0.28$ years with a predicted minimum at JD $= 2458734 \pm 104$, consistent with the two previous attempts demonstrating that our toy model is predictive.

3.2 Photometric activity cycle forecast

For stars with sparse chromospheric/spectral observations, there exists publicly available photometric observations such as the All-Sky Automated Survey2 (ASAS; Pojmanski 2002) that can be employed for forecasting stellar activity cycle.

In our case study, we demonstrate such a forecast for a young active star HD 174429. This star is also called as PZ Telescopii (PZ Tel) a well known BY Draconis variable with a projected rotational velocity of $80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and rotation period of $\approx 0.943 \pm 0.002$ day (Maire et al. 2016). The ASAS data covers nearly 3000 days of observations. We search for periodic signals in the photometric data by computing the power spectrum using a generalised Lomb Scargle periodogram. We are able to detect a long-term period of $1228 \pm 39$ days. Figure 7 shows the phase fit for the bona fide long term signal. We fit this detected signal with a sinusoidal model using MCMC. Depicted as a grey line is the predicted activity cycle with a length of $3.4 \pm 0.1$ years. Based on our analysis we think HD 174429 is currently transitioning from activity minima towards maxima. However, we forecast the next activity minima between JD $\approx 2460436 - 2460832$ (2024-05-05 to 2025-06-05) and is expected to produce lower RMS in radial velocities and photometry, convenient for an eventual exoplanet detection survey focused on active stars.

4 APPLICATION OF A STELLAR ACTIVITY FORECAST TO PLANNED JWST TRANSIT OBSERVATIONS

Recently James Webb launched. The spacecraft is due to begin science operation around summer 2022. There are two sets of exoplanetary systems we already know are planned to be observed, those selected by Guaranteed Time Observations (GTO) and those from the Early Release Science programme (ERS).

During the first months of JWST science operation, nearly 500 hours of director’s time have been allocated for ERS of which 135 hours are dedicated for exoplanetary science (80.2 hours are allocated for Transiting Exoplanet community and 54.8 hours for High contrast imaging; Bean et al. 2018)3. The objective of the ERS program will be a) to provide quick first-look data for wide community b) performance verification of instruments c) prepare the community for open time proposals.

The transiting exoplanet ERS community will exercise the time series modes of all four instruments on board JWST. Three targets are to be observed as part of JWST’s ERS program are WASP-43, WASP-18 and WASP-79.

WASP-43 is the most active star in the JWST ERS sample with a measured $\log R'_{HK} = -4.17 \pm 0.10$ (Staab et al. 2017) and rotation

\footnote{http://www.astrouw.edu.pl/asas/}
\footnote{https://www.stsci.edu/jwst/science-execution/approved-ers-programs}
period of 15.6 days (Hellier et al. 2011). The log $R'_{\text{HK}}$ of WASP-18 is -5.43 (Knutson et al. 2010) with rotation period of 5.6 days (Hellier et al. 2009). The rotation period of WASP-79 is 4.0 ± 0.8 day (Smalley et al. 2012). Our analysis of HARPS archival data for WASP-79 yields log $R'_{\text{HK}} = -4.68 \pm 0.10$ (see Appendix A for details).

Using the SM2016 relationship we estimate the activity cycle lengths to be ~1390 days for WASP-43, ~1117 days for WASP-18 and ~1870 days for WASP-79. Initially, WASP-39 was planned to be observed however, a delayed launch for JWST forced a switch to WASP-79. Using these estimated cycle lengths and combining them to archival photometric data to find the phase of the activity cycle, using the method described in section 3.2. Our results are shown in Figure 8. Based on the activity forecast, WASP-79 (depicted in green) is better suited to be observed between June and December 2022 due to its predicted activity minima phase which corresponds well to its planned ERS observations. However, for WASP-43b (purple) and WASP-18b (purple), the current period of observation will, unfortunately, coincide with the highest part of their host star’s magnetic cycle. Any exoplanetary atmospheric observations carried out during this phase will have to be interpreted cautiously due to likelier stellar activity contamination. This is particularly important since those ERS observations are meant to inform the community which JWST observation modes are best suited.

Furthermore, we identify 21 unique exoplanet hosts to be observed spectroscopically with JWST as part of its GTO, to characterise the atmosphere of these planets. Most of these targets have been monitored spectroscopically and photometrically with public data covering a large timescale. They also have a well-defined rotation period meaning we can once more use Suarez-Mascareño relation. We forecast the evolution of the activity cycles over the next 10 years. In Figure 9, we show the optimal observing time for JWST’s GTO targets over the next 10 years. The redder the region indicates that the star is in higher activity state (activity maxima) leading to a more likely contamination and less optimal for observation. The ideal observing times for each of the star is indicated in blue, during low activity state (activity minima). Currently, WASP-127, WASP-52, WASP-121, GJ 1132, GJ 3470, WASP-107 and HD 149026 (from the top of Fig. 9) are in a high activity state (maxima). We forecast at least 6 more targets heading towards activity maximum in the next 6-8 months. The GTO will be scheduled amongst the first observations carried out by JWST. Indicating potential contamination of observations due to high levels of stellar activity.

Within the GTO lists, the planet more ideally observable for transmission spectroscopy are at the bottom of Fig. 9 are and WASP-69, TOI-193, HAT-P-26, WASP-77, WASP-17 and ROSS 458. We note that these forecasts are indicative only at this stage since they are based on a limited number of repeat visits. With additional activity indices/observations, and a more dedicated monitoring, there is scope to improve the forecasting accuracy.

### 5 Conclusions and Future Developments

First, we show how activity cycle affects the detection efficiency of planets in the solar case. The detection of a low mass planet decreases by an order of magnitude during solar activity maximum. Hence the successful discovery of Earth analogues and their characterisation would be improved with a more optimal observing strategy based on a forecast of stellar activity.

We then present a novel method to characterise the magnetic activity cycle of a few stars using archival data and use this to accurately forecast when the next stellar minimum will be, years ahead. This allows to a more optimal schedule of observations, be they for exoplanet detection or for atmospheric transmission spectroscopy. Even when the amount of available archival data is limited, we can use them in combination to the relationship between the rotation period and activity cycle periods from SM2016 to obtain the phase of the magnetic activity cycle for any star and thus produce a first forecast of the next minimum. Like for any forecast we expect that its accuracy would increase with increasing amount of data and proximity to the target date (here stellar minima). We note however that the solar maxima is only predicted to about ±0.5 – 1 year (~ 5 – 10%; Kitiashvili 2016; Chowdhury et al. 2021), a precision that other stars are likely to match.

Our current method for forecasting stellar activity cycles has a number of simplifications. For instance it assumes the SM2016 ro-
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Figure 6. The Ca II H&K index time series for LHS 1140. Top panel: The activity indicator observed by HARPS along with the sinusoidal model depicting the long-term trend in the dataset. Middle and bottom panel: The HARPS and ESPRESSO data season-wise along with their sinusoidal models are depicted in grey, orange and blue, respectively. The red circles depict the monthly average s-indices. The green region indicates the activity minima forecast from each observing run. The blue dashed line indicates the launch date of JWST in December 2021. Depicted in cyan is the next forecasted activity minima for LHS 1140.

The rotation/magnetic period relation holds true for all stars, and relies on these stars having regular activity cycles. Despite its simplicity, our method nonetheless could produce activity cycle forecasts for stars from G to M types surprisingly well.

Following this proof of concept, we envisage several obvious subsequent steps to improve our forecasting model and broadcast its results, which we expect to detail and test in forthcoming papers.

(i) Whilst, Ca II H and K lines have been the most widely used chromospheric indicator, they are of decreasing use for low-mass K and M stars. that are redder and intrinsically fainter than Sun-like stars producing severely reduced signal-to-noise ratios. Therefore, other activity sensitive lines such as H\(\alpha\), the Na I doublets, and the He I lines are obvious elements of an improved and more widely applicable forecasting model.

(ii) So far we have only used photometry sparsely, however photometric measurement are usually more easily available (i.e. TESS and PLATO) and are a natural next step to improve the accuracy and reach for our model.

(iii) Our model only applies sine curves to the amplitude of the variability. An improved model should also extract information from the periodic variability of the scatter of spectroscopic and photometric measurements, since rotational variability is more pronounced during activity maxima.

(iv) Our model uses literature values for the rotation period. An improved model should measure that rotation period directly and apply the Suarez Mascareño relation simultaneously.

(v) A useful forecast is an available forecast. We also plan to open a searchable web service providing forecast for all stars data can be gathered for. Forecasts will regularly be updated as new data become periodically available.

(vi) For stars with multi-year activity time series applying deep-
learning algorithms might help forecast the amplitude of upcoming activity cycles.

While forecast can be effective in increasing the efficiency of detection of exoplanets as well as their chemical species on ongoing projects, the method has potential for application in other uncharted areas of exoplanetary science. Two possible prospective applications of such forecast are

(i) A transition in radial velocity survey from inactive to active stars: Because of their age, young active stars are ideal candidates providing a novel environment within which the consequence of planet formation can be witnessed, enabling us to deepen our understanding of the evolution of planetary systems. Due to challenges posed by stellar activity, ongoing RV surveys do not include young active stars. Activity cycle-based forecast of the optimal observing time of young active stars will produce lower root mean square scatter in radial velocity. Hence, increasing the probability of detecting radial velocity planets around these stars.

(ii) Systematic detection of auroras in exoplanets: Since auroras are generated by solar events like bright flare and coronal mass ejection, we see more auroral activity on Earth during solar maxima. Hence, forecasting the activity maxima for host stars could present a good prospect for auroral detection in exoplanets.

Collecting all these stellar activity data is also an opportunity to open new lines of enquiry, extending the Mount Wilson survey to thousands of systems. With this information it might be possible to establish a correlation between the photometric cycle and chromospheric activity level. This relationship between the basal chromospheric activity level and the photometric activity cycle is crucial for stars with low cadence data and without any measured rotation period. Secondly, only about 30 solar cycles have been properly recorded in human history and the probability of events such as the Maunder minimum are unknown. Cycles collected for thousands of stars might reveal how regularly such patterns occur.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data we used is available for download at the ESO public archive\(^4\) and the Data & Analysis Center for Exoplanets (DACE), which is a facility based at the University of Geneva\(^5\).
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APPENDIX A: METHOD DESCRIPTION FOR $\log R'_{\text{HK}}$ CALCULATION

We used the method described in (Schröder et al. 2009) for removing the colour dependence and contribution of photospheric component in the activity indicator. The S index is transformed into $R_{\text{HK}}$ as follows:

$$R_{\text{HK}} = 1.34 \times 10^{-4} \ C_{\text{cf}} \ S.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

For main sequence stars with $0.3 \leq B - V \leq 1.6$, the conversion factor $C_{\text{cf}}$ is given by (Middelkoop 1982; Rutten 1984)

$$\log C_{\text{cf}} = 0.25(B - V)^3 - 1.33(B - V)^2 + 0.43(B - V) + 0.24$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

The correction for the photospheric contribution to the Ca II line core fluxes were applied using (Noyes et al. 1984) relationship

$$\log R_{\text{phot}} = -4.898 + 1.918(B - V)^2 - 2.893(B - V)^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

The photospheric corrected $R'_{\text{HK}}$ is given by

$$R'_{\text{HK}} = R_{\text{HK}} - R_{\text{phot}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

APPENDIX B: SOLAR S-INDEX CYCLE

The solar s-index data (Egeland et al. 2017) is divided into subsets and modelled individually to obtain the activity cycle lengths and activity minimum epochs (Figure B1). The predicted minimum epochs and lengths are consistent within 0.5-1 year.