Rotator cuff troublemakers: pitfalls of MRI and ultrasound
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Abstract: Rotator cuff pathology is routinely evaluated in many imaging centers with both magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and ultrasound. Despite good diagnostic accuracy using each of these modalities, certain limitations persist. In this pictorial essay, we describe five potential “troublemakers” of rotator cuff pathology which are recurrent themes in our busy shoulder referral center. The comparison of imaging findings on MRI and ultrasound are discussed. An awareness of these potential pitfalls will help improve radiologists’ diagnostic accuracy of rotator cuff pathology, and allow the clinician to optimize imaging referral and better interpret the subsequent report.
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Introduction

Rotator cuff pathology is a common source of shoulder pain. Ultrasound (US) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are two of the most widely used imaging tools to investigate such symptoms. Despite comparable diagnostic accuracy, limitations of each modality exist.

This pictorial essay illustrates five rotator cuff pathologies, or rotator cuff “troublemakers”, where the diagnosis can be problematic. The advantages and shortcomings of both MRI and US in these specific examples will be outlined.

Calcific tendinopathy

Calcium deposition is easily seen on plain film as a density comparable to bone (Figure 1). Calcium deposits are also readily identified on US as lobular hyperechoic foci within the tendon, with strong acoustic shadowing in 79%, faint acoustic shadowing in 14% and less commonly, no shadowing in 7% of rotator cuff calcifications.1 As calcium reflects the US beam, the shadows produced deep to the deposits increases their conspicuity (Figures 2a and 2b). MRI is not suitable for first line imaging of calcific tendinopathy, as calcium deposits appear as vague regions of low signal intensity, similar to that of adjacent tendons, and are generally not visible (Figures 2c, 2d).2 However, sometimes, the posterior acoustic shadowing caused by calcium deposition with US can be large enough to obscure an underlying rotator cuff tear (Figures 3a). If there is a strong clinical suspicion for a rotator cuff tear in a patient with calcific tendinopathy, MRI is recommended for further evaluation (Figures 3b and 3c). Another advantage of US is that it can be used for image-guided therapy, such as barbotage, in the treatment of calcific tendinopathy (Figures 4a and 4b).
Figure 1 AP radiograph of the shoulder. Calcium deposition (arrow) is seen within the expected location of the supraspinatus tendon.

Abbreviation: AP, anteroposterior.

Figure 2a Ultrasound probe in short axis of the supraspinatus tendon.

Figure 2b Short axis sonographic view of the supraspinatus tendon. Calcium deposition is seen as a hyperechoic focus (thin arrow) creating marked posterior acoustic shadowing (thick arrows).

Abbreviation: BT, biceps tendon.

Figure 2c and d Coronal T1 (c) and coronal T2 fat-saturated (F5) (d) MR images in the same patient as 2b. The calcium seen on the previous US is not appreciated.

Abbreviations: HH, humeral head; AC, acromion; GL, glenoid; SS, supraspinatus; US, ultrasound.
Figure 3a Short axis sonographic view of the supraspinatus tendon demonstrates extensive calcium deposition (arrows) with marked posterior acoustic shadowing. An underlying rotator cuff tear cannot be excluded in this case.

Figure 3b and c Coronal T2FS MR images in the same patient as 3a reveal a focus of calcification (b) adjacent to a partial thickness articular sided tear of supraspinatus (c). Abbreviation: FS, fat saturated.

Figure 4a Ultrasound probe in long axis of the supraspinatus tendon.

Figure 4b Long axis of US-guided barbotage of calcific tendinopathy. The needle tip (thin arrows) can be seen penetrating the calcium deposit (thick arrows). Abbreviation: US, ultrasound.
Teaching point
US is the imaging modality of choice for diagnosing and potentially treating calcific tendinopathy. MRI can be useful in excluding an underlying rotator cuff tear in the setting of calcium deposition.

Partial thickness tears
Partial thickness tears are typically the most challenging rotator cuff pathology to diagnose, as they can be small and are not associated with tendon retraction. Ultrasound has excellent spatial resolution, which helps in the visualization of partial thickness tears; however, accurate sonographic evaluation requires proper technique, with the beam placed perpendicular to the tendon being examined. Even slight angulation of the beam can lead to hypoechoic defects which can be misinterpreted as tears. This artifact is known as anisotropy and is one of the most common causes for false-positive readings on US.\(^3\)

With MRI, a partial thickness tear is diagnosed when T2 fluid signal intensity extends into either the bursal or the articular surface of the tendon, with the latter being more common.\(^4\) Intrasubstance extension of these tears can occur, as can isolated intrasubstance tears. Unfortunately, US and MRI do not always correlate with respect to diagnosing these tears (Figures 5a, 5b, 5c; 6a, 6b). With US, the sensitivity for detecting partial tears is variable, ranging from 36% to 93%

Figure 5a and b Short axis (left) and long axis (right) sonographic images of the supraspinatus tendon. A hypoechoic defect in the tendon is identified (calipers) suggesting a focal articular sided partial thickness tear.

Figure 5c Coronal T2FS MR image in the same patient as 5a and b. Tendinopathy, but no tear, is identified.
Abbreviation: FS, coronal fat saturated.
and the specificity from 75% to 94%. With conventional MRI, the sensitivity for detecting partial tears is relatively low, ranging from 35% to 50%, while the specificity ranges from 85% to 97%. In the older population, partial thickness tears are usually managed conservatively. In younger patients, partial thickness tears are increasingly seen as a surgically treatable source of pain. If it will affect patient management, MRI arthrography is recommended in the diagnosis of partial thickness tears as it has an overall accuracy of 95% for the most common articular-sided tears. One of the reasons why articular sided partial thickness tears may be better appreciated on MRI arthrography than conventional MRI is that a coapted tear is spread apart by the administration of intra-articular contrast under pressure. (Figures 7a, 7b).

Teaching point
US, based on its superior spatial resolution and being a dynamic examination, is the best non-invasive modality to generally assess for partial thickness tears. MRI arthrography is the most accurate imaging modality to evaluate partial thickness articular-sided tears.

Full thickness tears
Full-thickness tendon tears are generally easy to diagnose with both US and MRI, especially when there is associated tendon retraction. These tears are characterized by focal defects with fluid replacing the segment of torn tendon. However, certain conditions make the evaluation of full thickness tears more difficult to appreciate. For instance, full-thickness defects may occasionally be replaced by granulation tissues or

Figure 6a Short axis sonographic views of the rotator cuff demonstrating a thickened inhomogeneous supraspinatus tendon, compatible with tendinopathy (arrows).

Figure 6b Coronal T2FS weighted MR images in the same patient as 6a demonstrates a high grade bursal sided and intrasubstance partial thickness tear (arrow). Abbreviations: FS, coronal fat saturated; MR, magnetic resonance.

Figure 7a Coronal T2FS MR image. The supraspinatus tendon is mildly thickened with increased signal, compatible with tendinopathy. Otherwise, it appears intact. Abbreviation: FS, fat saturated.

Figure 7b Coronal T1FS MR arthrogram in the same patient as 7a, demonstrates a partial thickness articular sided and intrasubstance tear of the supraspinatus tendon. Abbreviations: FS, fat saturated; MR, magnetic resonance.
hypertrophied synovium, rather than fluid. This can cause the tear to appear heterogeneous on US and be misinterpreted as tendinopathy (Figure 8a). If there is doubt regarding the diagnosis on US, MRI can help in making the distinction between tendinopathy and a tear (Figure 8b). In addition, the presence and degree of muscle atrophy and tendon retraction are optimally visualized on MRI (Figure 8c). Although fatty infiltration can be visualized on ultrasound as increased muscle echogenicity as well as a decreased pennate pattern, there is still no universal grading system to assess these secondary features of full thickness tears, and visualization of the retracted muscle under the acromion may be difficult.

Tendon retraction and muscle atrophy are important to identify as they can affect suitability for surgical repair.

**Teaching point**

Full thickness tears can sometimes be obscured by granulation tissue making them difficult to appreciate on US. The degree of tendon retraction and the assessment of muscle volume loss are best assessed by MRI.

**Subscapularis tears**

Subscapularis is often considered the most difficult rotator cuff tendon to evaluate on both US and MRI. With US, a patient with subscapularis pathology may have limited external rotation which can make exposure of the tendon, and therefore identification of a tear, difficult. However, with careful examination tears can be demonstrated on US (Figure 9). With MRI, it is the location of the tear itself, rather than patient...
positioning, which can cause them to be overlooked. Tears of the subscapularis usually begin on the articular surface of the superior fibers, near the insertion into the lesser tuberosity. These superior fiber tears may be missed because the inferior portion of the tendon appears normal. Our experience has shown that relying on the axial images alone is insensitive for these tears. The incorporation of fat saturation on the sagittal oblique T2 images improves the diagnostic accuracy for subscapularis tears (Figures 10a, 10b).

Sensitivity for subscapularis tears can also be improved by looking for secondary signs associated with subscapularis pathology, such as cysts in the lesser tuberosity (Figure 11). Full-thickness and intrasubstance tears of subscapularis are easily identified with either MRI or US, if there is associated medial dislocation of the long head of biceps tendon (Figure 11).

Teaching point
Subscapularis tears can be challenging to visualize on US because of limited patient positioning. With MRI, subscapularis tears are best visualized on oblique sagittal fat-suppressed sequences.

Biceps tendinopathy
The request to “exclude biceps tendinopathy” often accompanies the standard requisition for rotator cuff evaluation on MRI. Although full and split thickness tears can be accurately diagnosed on MRI, biceps tendinopathy is exquisitely illustrated on ultrasound. Ultrasound confers the benefit of a dynamic exam with excellent spatial resolution and the availability of color Doppler. Findings include tendon thickening, increased tendon echogenicity, excessive fluid within the sheath, and increased peritendinous vascularity (Figures 12a, 12b).
The potential pitfall of MRI in the diagnosis of biceps tendinopathy is the “magic angle” effect. This is created when structures that have uniformly arranged collagen, like the biceps tendon, yield falsely hyperintense signals, mimicking tendinopathy or a tear, on MRI when they are imaged at an angle of 55 degrees to the main magnetic field. This artifact is seen with short transposable element (TE) sequences (TE $\leq$ 37 ms), such as T1, proton density, and gradient echo sequences. Therefore any signal change on a short TE sequence needs to be corroborated by signal change on a T2 weighted sequence (long TE), where the magic angle does not occur.

It should also be remembered that simply seeing fluid in the long head of biceps tendon sheath on MRI does not constitute tendinopathy. The tendon sheath normally communicates with the glenohumeral joint. However, if synovitis or tendon thickening/signal change is seen in the tendon sheath, biceps tendinopathy is likely.

**Teaching point**

Ultrasound with color Doppler exquisitely evaluates biceps tendinopathy. On MRI, false positives may occur due to the magic angle effect, so correlation with T2 sequences is needed. Ancillary findings like synovitis and signal changes within the tendon may indicate underlying tendinopathy.

**Conclusion**

US and MRI each have their advantages in the evaluation of rotator cuff pathology. Knowledge of the aforementioned pitfalls will allow the radiologist to understand the limitations of each test and to understand when to suggest further imaging in relation to the clinical question, as well as allow the clinician to optimize their imaging referral.
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