Development of a Fuzzy Variable Rate Irrigation Control System Based on Remote Sensing Data to Fully Automate Center Pivots
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Abstract—Growing agricultural demands for the global population are unlocking the path to developing innovative solutions for efficient water management. Herein, an intelligent variable rate irrigation system (fuzzy-VRI) is proposed for decision-making to achieve optimized irrigation in various delimited zones. The proposed system automatically creates irrigation maps for a center pivot irrigation system for a variable rate application of water. Primary inputs are satellite imagery on remotely sensed soil moisture (SSM), soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI), canopy temperature (CT), and nitrogen content (NI). The system relates these inputs to set reference values for the rotation speed controllers and individual openings of each central pivot sprinkler valve. The results showed that the system can detect and characterize the spatial variability of the crop and further, the fuzzy logic solved the uncertainties of an irrigation system and defined a control model for high-precision irrigation. The proposed approach is validated through the comparison between the recommended irrigation and actual irrigation at two field sites, and the results showed that the developed approach gives an accurate estimation of irrigation with a reduction in the volume of irrigated water of up to 27% in some cases. Future research should implement the fuzzy-VRI real-time during field trials in order to quantify its effect on irrigation use, yield, and water use efficiency.

Note to Practitioners—This work is motivated by the objective of managing irrigation more efficiently. It will be a site-specific irrigation management tool and we proposed a theoretical framework that aims an artificial intelligence approach to automatically create optimal control maps for a center pivot irrigation system. At the heart of this system will be the fuzzy logic, which will define the reference values for the rotation speed controllers and the individual opening of each center pivot sprinkler valve. Currently, there is a lack of these types of systems which ends up generating an increase in demand for more intelligent, automated, and accurate systems. The proposed system will be based on decision-making - whether to apply more or less water - and will use remote sensing data, therefore, the innovative irrigation system will efficiently describe the spatial variability of the crop. The results indicate that edaphoclimatic variables, when well combined with fuzzy logic, can resolve uncertainties and non-linearities of an irrigation system and define a control model for high precision irrigation. However, it will not always be possible to reduce water consumption, but this technology has many uses to increase farm profitability.

Index Terms—Remote sensing, variable rate irrigation, irrigation management, fuzzy systems, decision support tools, intelligent center pivot.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE challenge of increasing agricultural production [1], especially irrigated agriculture which represents a major contribution to food security [2], may be overcome using new technologies. Historically, irrigation development has been shown to improve crop water productivity, and advancements in technology have increased irrigation application efficiency [3]. Moving forward, continued advancements in technology will be important, including those which seek to optimize input use efficiency at a subfield scale; in this context, spatiotemporal yield variability has been found to be driven largely by location, vegetation condition, soil water content, and topography [4]. For example, variable rate irrigation (VRI) technology is a tool employed in crop areas, which are divided into management zones (MZs), to provide optimal water volumes based on field variability [5].

Recent innovations in wireless sensor and data communication network technologies, as well as advances in mobile technologies, provide crucial opportunities to develop
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management tools and decision-support systems for improving irrigation efficiency and productivity [6].

VRI was recently developed commercially to control the speed and sprinklers of center pivots to apply water differentially in each MZ [7]. Center pivot irrigation systems (CPISs) are highly automated, rendering them ideal for site-specific management. These systems were modified for controlling the lateral rotation speed and sprinkler flow rate in applying water and agricultural inputs according to field variability [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]. Effective VRI systems collect different types of information, including hydrological and meteorological data, as well as crop and soil type, to accurately determine the amount, time, and location of irrigation [16].

Remote sensing data can be used to measure the parameters that affect the spatial variability of a crop [17], [18], [19]. Model-based VRI methods are relatively accurate and easy to implement in different fields once the model is calibrated, hence, advancement in the adoption of crop models for irrigation scheduling may require additional intervention and interpretation by qualified experts, who are in short supply [20], [21].

One approach for irrigation zone delineation is remote sensing data-based, and to facilitate VRI applications, farmland is divided into Management Zones (MZs) represented as maps. MZ delineation can be classified based on the methods used, such as on-the-go sensors [22] yield maps, and satellite images, which produce static MZs. Recent studies in agronomy [15] and [23]) emphasize the importance of identifying MZs dynamically. This requires the estimation of MZs based on physical parameters, using periodic measurements of event-based data logging from on-site deployed sensors, which is an emerging trend. An “event” in this context refers to a significant change in one or more parameters of interest.

However, the ultimate challenge of an environmental and agricultural decision-support system is overcoming the uncertainty associated with data quality, automatic knowledge validation, and improved efficiency of the decision-making process [24]. Additionally, the information obtained can be used to improve crop quality, monitor irrigation and fertilization processes, and manage weeds.

Thus, new technological approaches are required for effective water management decision-making to optimize water use. Therefore, tools that use knowledge representation and reasoning to address inaccuracies are a viable alternative. Even if simplified models are used, it is expensive to perform the experiments to get the data needed for identification purposes [25].

In real-life situations, a decision-maker (DM) is often faced with the unavailability or costly acquisition of information necessary to build a classic decision model, leading to the adoption of simplified models. A useful approach for dealing with knowledge representation and reasoning to address inaccuracies is the fuzzy set theory. This theory has been considered an effective way to model real-life decision problems [26].

A fuzzy rule system is useful in irrigation control and can be easily adapted and programmed in commercial microcontrollers. This system has been used to estimate daily reference evapotranspiration with fewer parameters for irrigation planning [27]. In [28], a crop water stress index based on fuzzy logic rules was modeled and tested on real data obtained using traditional methods. A fuzzy inference system (FIS) such as those developed by [29], [30], [31] and [32] use fuzzy logic to mimic human operators and monitor and control the duration of irrigation, yielding results that are superior to those of traditional control systems. One of the advantages of using fuzzy decision-making systems, especially in this context, is that only the main data used to determine irrigation are necessary, rather than all the available information [33].

Studies have generally focused on developing VRI technologies and assessing their performances. Meanwhile, there is potential for improving strategies for control equipment used for applying agricultural inputs, for example, the outputs of a decision-support system and the input information of the controller. The actual irrigation volume is based on water requirements; nonetheless, this parameter is not the only practical factor in irrigation.

Therefore, to yield accurate results, we propose an intelligent variable rate irrigation system (fuzzy-VRI) for efficient decision-making to achieve optimized irrigation. Further, the main component of the proposed system is fuzzy logic, which can set reference values for the rotation speed and degree of opening of each sprinkler valve of a center pivot. This model makes decisions on irrigation requirements using available remote sensing data. The crop irrigation amount is determined based on the center pivot rotation speed and the percentage of the valves opening. Therefore, it is expected that the system will aid intelligent irrigation systems to efficiently create optimal control maps for a center pivot.

The main goal of this paper is to developed decision-support system is to estimate the amount of water to be applied using input variables that are common in irrigation systems, including climate data, soil moisture, and SAVI that informs about the crop development.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The proposed fuzzy-VRI system was validated using two case studies, both performed in a crop area using a conventional irrigation management strategy. The remote sensing and actual data for comparison were acquired through partnerships with commercial companies and the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

A. Study Areas

A partnership was established with Sistema Irriga®-https://www.sistemairriga.com.br/ - to access actual irrigation data of commercial crops. The first case study (geographic coordinates 12° 03’ 30.7” S, 56° 06’ 58.5” W) was a commercial farm with approximately 100 hectares located in Ipiranga do Norte, Mato Grosso, Brazil. This site was sown with beans on June 15, 2018, and harvested on September 15, 2018, which was periodically irrigated (Table 1).

The irrigation prescription for this study area was based on the water balance method and used the Penman-Monteith...
TABLE I

DATES AND AMOUNTS OF IRRIGATION EVENTS AT THE STUDY SITE LOCATED IN IPIRANGA DO NORTE, MATO GROSSO, BRAZIL, ALONG WITH DATES OF SATELLITE IMAGERY (RETRIEVED FROM THE I-EKBASE DATABASE) SOURCE: IRRIGATION MANAGEMENT COMPANY – IRRIGA® SYSTEM, FOR THE 2018 GROWING SEASON

| Satellite overpass | Dates of irrigation | Actual irrigation depth [mm] | Duration of one full turn [h] |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| June 15            | June 16             | 6.1                          | 14                          |
| June 17            | June 18             | 6.1                          | 14                          |
| June 23            | June 24             | 6.1                          | 14                          |
| June 25            | June 26             | 6.1                          | 14                          |
| June 27            | June 28             | 6.1                          | 14                          |
| July 5             | July 7              | 8.7                          | 20                          |
| July 10            | July 11             | 8.7                          | 20                          |
| July 12            | July 13             | 8.7                          | 20                          |
| July 14            | July 15             | 8.7                          | 20                          |
| July 16            | July 17             | 7.2                          | 16                           |
| July 19            | July 20             | 7.2                          | 16                           |
| July 25            | July 26             | 10.2                         | 24                          |

Variable irrigation depth values found in management zones. Source: Adapted from [17].

model [34] to estimate evapotranspiration. With the information taken from column 4 of Table I, the equipment operator can define a speed and valve opening (with fixed rate) for the central pivot, since the equipment was standard and did not have an automatic controller for opening and closing of sprinkler valves. Hence, the estimates were generalized for the entire area, and irrigation was performed uniformly with the same depth across the crop. As the irrigation depth increased, the speed decreased, therefore the time to complete one full turn increased.

This type of control is common in commercial irrigation systems in Brazil. Therefore, as the crop developed, the water requirements and volume of irrigation increased, consequently increasing the time that it took the pivot to complete a full turn. This approach resulted in irrigation events that lasted more than one day, i.e., started on a specific date and finished on the following day.

Another partnership was established with the University of Nebraska-Lincoln to access field data from [17]. The cultivated area belongs to the University’s Water Resources Field Laboratory and is located near the city of Brule, Nebraska, United States. The second case study was an experimental area (geographic coordinates: 41° 01’ 44.4” N, 101° 58’ 15.6” W) with approximately 50 hectares. The site has a model 8500 Zimmatic (Lindsay Corporation, Omaha, NE, USA) center pivot equipped with a VRI system, including an individual nozzle control [17], [21]. More details about the description of two sites are provided as supplement information. The irrigation data provided by the University of Nebraska research team are summarized in Table II.

To perform a validation of the control system developed, only irrigation volume data at the study site located in Ipiranga do Norte, Mato Grosso, Brazil were used. Due to the experimental nature of the crop area in Brule, Nebraska, United States, these data were not used. When comparing the results of the irrigation depth between the conventional method and the variable rate application method, it is noted that there are regions with less water requirements, which would lead to a reduction in water use, and thus decrease costs.

B. Remote Sensing Data

Remote sensing data from the cultivation areas in each study site were carried out by a private company, that specialized in this type of analysis. Thus, a partnership was established with the Intelligent Environmental Knowledgebase (i-ekbase™), which allowed the free but limited use of an online tool [24]. However, it is not the scope of our work to detail how the I-ekbase system processed these images; thus, the input variables were previously processed by the company. Based on the crop location and the dates of analysis, I-ekbase acquired the images using Landsat 7 and Landsat 8 both with 30m resolution and Sentinel-2A with 10m resolution satellites, stored them in a database, and processed them using algorithms.

The study variables were selected with the help of field specialists based on data available on the i-ekbase system platform that would best describe the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum for the intelligent irrigation system. Therefore, the selected parameters to characterize the development and hydric status of the crop were Soil-adjusted vegetation index, SA VI (%); Canopy temperature, CT (°C); Surface soil moisture, SSM (%) and Nitrogen index, NI (%). As correct data selection was...
fundamental at this stage for accurate results, the company
provided remote sensing data from satellite images via the
online tool (https://www.iekbase.com/hot-spots-monitoring).

While the crop water stress index (calculated from canopy
temperature and other micrometeorological data) is often used
in irrigation research instead of directly using canopy tem-
perature, calculating crop water stress index was outside the
scope of this research. Recent research has invested in sim-
pler canopy temperature indices for triggering irrigation [35].
Future research should investigate incorporating the crop water
stress index or other thermal indices into the fuzzy VRI
system.

The vegetation index (SAVI) was higher in irrigated areas
than in non-irrigated areas. This type of spectral response was
expected because irrigated areas tend to have greater vegetative
vigor due to their higher gas exchange between leaves and the
atmosphere, which results in a higher absorption of visible
light for photosynthesis and healthier behavior [36], [37].
Remote sensing can also provide soil moisture data only from
soil layers near the surface (0–5 cm) [38]. While it is certainly
ideal to measure soil moisture for the entire root zone, this
typically requires in situ sensors while remote sensing data is
more readily available.

Other research has used remotely sensed surface soil
moisture to determine effective hydraulic soil parameters
and estimate soil moisture content for the entire root zone
[39], [40]. The development of the plant root system is
strongly affected by the nitrogen content of the soil. Therefore,
nitrogen detection using remote sensing enables a superficial
but quick detection of water deficit [41], [42], [43], [44], [45].

C. Fuzzy System

To create a control map for each study site, an artificial
intelligent (AI) system was developed to infer the rotation
speed of the center pivot and degree of opening of the valves
in each irrigation area. The AI technique chosen to integrate
the decision-making system was a fuzzy system (Figure 1).

D. Fuzzification

Fuzzification is the conversion of numerical values into
fuzzy linguistic quantifiers [46], and it is performed using
membership functions (MFs). Each MF evaluates the ade-
quacy of a specific fuzzy qualifier for describing a linguistic
variable. MFs were used to fuzzify the input data and were
defined by interpreting the satellite data provided by i-ekbase.
Triangular or trapezoidal MFs were chosen for construction
simplicity [47].

Five linguistic variables were chosen for each input variable
(NI, SAVI, SSM, and CT) as follows: very low (VL), low (L),
medium (M), high (H), and very high (VH). The triangular
MF was divided equally into grid partitions [48]. The method
described in [49] and advice of a field specialist were used to
describe the linguistic variables of each input variable.

E. Fuzzification of Input Variables of a Commercial
Farm in Mato Grosso, Brazil

Remote sensing data were requested from i-ekbase after
obtaining irrigation data from the irrigation system. The input
variables (Table III) were analyzed for eight dates.

There were 192 fuzzy rules created after making sys-
tem adjustments and reducing the number of linguistic
variables. Thus, making these adjustments manually was time-
consuming. A similar fuzzification approach has been applied
for input variables of the other experiment (Brule, Nebraska,
United States) and provided in supplement material.

F. Fuzzification of Output Variables: Control of Speed and
Degree of Opening of the Solenoid Valves in the Sprinklers

We do not discuss the output variables because the sprin-
kler design is complex and not within the scope of this
study. This procedure was replaced with a simpler approach
developed jointly with the engineering team from Fockink
(https://www.fockink.ind.br/#), a center pivot manufacturer in
Brazil. This company did not provide information regarding
the project but provided relevant information to simplify data
comparison. In this case, the pivot had no valve controller,
and the Brazilian manufacturer does not commercialize center
pivots with a valve controller.

| Date       | Nitrogen index (%) | Soil-adjusted vegetation index (%) | Surface soil moisture (%) | Canopy temperature (°C) |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| June 17    | 0.01               | 4.19                              | 0.1                       | 32.9                   | 8.3                    | 25.6                    | 10.9                    | 24.3                   |
| June 27    | 0.01               | 4.19                              | 0.1                       | 32.9                   | 8.3                    | 25.6                    | 10.9                    | 24.3                   |
| July 5     | 2.11               | 3.98                              | 8.5                       | 48.6                   | 0.4                    | 12.5                    | 27.8                    | 33.0                   |
| July 29    | 1.84               | 2.60                              | 31.7                      | 70.9                   | 0.1                    | 9.9                     | 24.2                    | 29.4                   |
| August 6   | 1.85               | 2.54                              | 33.4                      | 73.7                   | 0.1                    | 9.6                     | 21.9                    | 24.9                   |
| August 14  | 1.82               | 2.96                              | 23.8                      | 80.1                   | 0.9                    | 14.3                    | 25.2                    | 31.0                   |
| August 22  | 1.83               | 2.48                              | 30.9                      | 77.1                   | 8.7                    | 14.3                    | 22.4                    | 27.3                   |
| August 27  | 1.83               | 2.79                              | 26.7                      | 75.4                   | 7.5                    | 18.1                    | 23.3                    | 32.0                   |
Center pivot speed is measured in percentage, this means that at maximum speed, the motor of the last tower moves continuously, and at 50% of the maximum speed, the delay time of the motor is equal to the corresponding movement time. The longer the center pivot takes to complete a full turn, the greater the depth reached by the water. Therefore, to increase the depth reached per cycle, the pivot rotation speed needs to decrease, and vice versa [50], [51].

Given the lack of information in the literature regarding specific characteristics of what constitutes high or low speed, speed ranges were established based on expert knowledge for the pivots installed in the experimental area of the University of Nebraska (Figures 3). The fuzzy outputs for commercial farm in Mato Grosso, are in the supplement information.

The following linguistic variables were selected given that speed variation was conditioned to a range from 0% to 100%: very high (VH), 90–100%; high (H), 70–80%; moderate (M), 50–60%; and low (L), 0–40%. These values were initially chosen based on information from expert knowledge as no information was found in the reviewed literature on this type of distribution of values. Pivots generally have valves with fixed openings, but variable openings have been used in more specific studies [15], [17], [52], [53], [54], [55], [56], [57], [58]. However, no previous study has described approaches for controlling these valves as we propose in this study.

Therefore, based on expert knowledge, we established ranges for the degree of opening of the sprinkler valves of the center pivots installed in the selected commercial farm in Mato Grosso and an experimental area of the University of Nebraska. Four linguistic variables were used: large (L), 90–100%; medium (M), 60–80%; small (S), 30–50%; and very small (VS), 0–20%. After tuning the fuzzy system, the differences between the fuzzy output sets for this parameter were significant for L, M, and S. These differences impacted the water volume to be used for irrigation.

G. Rule Base

The “if” part of the “x is A” rule is called the antecedent or premise, whereas the “then” part of the “y is B” rule is designated the consequent or conclusion. An auxiliary tool
TABLE IV
EFFECT OF INPUT VARIABLES ON THE CONTROL OF ROTATION SPEED AND VALVES OPENING DEVELOPED BY EXPERTS

| Input variables       | Effect on center pivot speed | Effect on opening of center pivot valves |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                       | Low | Moderate | High | Very high | Small | Medium | Large | Very large |
| Nitrogen index [%]    | Very low | X | X |
|                       | Low | X | X |
|                       | Medium | X | X |
|                       | High | X |
| Soil-adjusted vegetation index [%] | Very low | X | X |
|                       | Low | X | X |
|                       | Medium | X | X |
|                       | High | X |
| Surface soil moisture [%] | Very low | X | X |
|                       | Low | X | X |
|                       | Medium | X | X |
|                       | High | X |
| Canopy temperature [°C] | Normal | X | X |
|                       | High | X |

Fig. 4. Mamdani System for fuzzy-VRI.

developed by experts for constructing the 192 rules is shown in Table IV.

A fuzzy rule is a simple if-then rule with one condition and one conclusion. Therefore, fuzzy rules assume the form: if x is A, then y is B, where A and B are linguistic values defined by fuzzy sets at the intervals (universe of discourse) X and Y, respectively.

The proposed fuzzy system is multivariable, and both the antecedent and consequent have several components. Although there are several strategies to build this type of system [37], [59], [60]. The rules were created according to the effect (Table IV) of each input variable on water stress; the building elements of the center pivot were also considered. The application rules did not change in both study sites, and the same rule base was used in the proposed fuzzy system.

H. Fuzzy Inference

A Mamdani method [45] was chosen for the inference engine (Figure 4) because it is simpler and more intuitive than the Takagi-Sugeno-Kang inference method [61], [62]. In addition, Mamdani models are commonly used in studies related to irrigation systems [34], [63], [64], [65]. The logical connector “and” modeled by t-norm ∧ (minimum) was used in this present study.

The following steps are required to build a fuzzy system with a Mamdani method: (1) crisp inputs are converted into fuzzy inputs using MFs, (2) the necessary fuzzy rules are created, (3) a rule-based inference is determined, (4) the rule consequent is determined by combining the power of the rule with the MF output, (5) all consequents are combined to obtain the output distribution, and (6) the output distribution is defuzzified.

Mapping provides the basis for making decisions and identifying patterns. In Mamdani models, MF outputs are also fuzzy sets. After each if-then rule that generates a modified fuzzy set as output, the aggregation method is used to combine the fuzzy sets that represent the outputs of the rules into a single fuzzy set to make decisions. The final combined fuzzy set is the output of the aggregation process, and each output variable of the FIS has a corresponding combined fuzzy set for reference [66].

I. Defuzzification

The output generated in Mamdani models is a fuzzy subset. Furthermore, the actual value represented by this output needs to be indicated. The final step in the fuzzy inference is defuzzification, whereby the combined fuzzy set of the aggregation process produces a single scalar quantity. As the name implies, defuzzification is the opposite of fuzzification and assigns a crisp amount from the fuzzy set range to the output variable. Among the many defuzzification methods described in the literature, the centroid method (also known as the center of area or center of gravity) is the most widely used [48]. The centroid of the area generated by the combination of all rules is calculated using Equation (1).

\[

\mu^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i \times \mu_{out}(\mu_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{out}(\mu_i)}

\]

where \(\mu_{out}\) is the area of an MF modified by the result of the fuzzy inference, and \(\mu_i\) is the centroid of the MF.

III. Results

A. Results for the Commercial Farm in Ipiranga Do Norte, Mato Grosso, Brazil

Based on the irrigation dates provided by Irriga®System and the satellite overpass (Table I), it was possible to create a complete dataset to test the developed Fuzzy-VRI approach. By combining and analyzing both data (satellite and irrigation), four events are identified. In the first event, four out of eight dates in which satellite data were acquired coincided with dates in which irrigation was scheduled to start. In the second event, remote sensing data were acquired on the day before the beginning of irrigation. In the third event (July 5), data were acquired on the day following the end of irrigation. In the fourth event, data were acquired on the last day of irrigation.
B. Results for June 17, 2018

To illustrate the potential variability in the fuzzy-VRI output in different zones, 30° divisions are shown in Figures 5. The results should be interpreted as follows: the analysis began in quadrant I and ended in quadrant IV, with a counterclockwise direction. For this event, it should be noted that this was also the scheduled date for the actual irrigation provided by the Irriga® system. The results for this date showed that the speed ranged from 77% to 90% (Figure 5b).

The speed of the center pivots varied, resulting in different irrigation depths. Two distinct zones were detected: one in the upper semicircle (0° to 180°) where the estimated speed was close to 90%, and another in the lower semicircle (180° to 360°) where the speed decreased to an average of 77%. The proposed methodology for the fuzzy-VRI depends on the number of chosen parcels and MZs given. Based on the average value in each parcel, the setpoints will be determined.

The crop area was divided radially into five zones, from the center (Z1) to the edge (Z5) (Figure 6-a). Note that with this division, the small spots detected were contained within parcel 6 (between radial zones 1 and 2), parcel 7 (radial zone 5), and one region between parcels 9 and 10 (radial zone 5).

There was a weak distinction between the areas and higher uniformity in the distribution of the valve control. This lack of sensitivity may be attributed to the small number of inputs because some variables may better indicate spatial variability. The control of valve opening in each zone is shown in Figure 6.

The same zones displayed in Figure 5 are shown in Figure 6. Given that valve control is based on the average estimates provided by the fuzzy system, there was no significant variation in zones 3 and 4. To compare the actual irrigation system in use with the setpoint estimates for speed and valve opening control generated by the fuzzy-VRI, the setpoints should be associated with a pivot construction specification.

The results obtained when speed and valve opening setpoints were sent to a pivot adjusted to irrigate at a depth of 6.1 mm are shown in Figure 7. The average depth was 5 mm, with some zones indicating less penetration than determined by the irrigation management company.

C. Results for June 27, 2018

The remote sensing data analyzed by the FIS indicated variations in speed (Figures 8). It is worth highlighting that the study area was partitioned manually, and the best division to separate the MZs was achieved with 20° parcels. The analysis of the valve opening control, as signaled by the setpoint, showed that the system could recommend VRI practices with a significant range in degree of valve opening (Figures 9). Furthermore, the center of the study area required more water.
Fig. 8. System output for rotation speed of the center pivot (a), average rotation speed in each parcel (b).

(a)

(b)

Fig. 9. System output for degree of solenoid valve opening in each management zone (a), average degree of solenoid valve in each parcel (b).

There was significant variability in the degree of valve opening in the MZs (shown in orange) located in the lower semicircle. Although there was no information on potential problems in these zones in the field, the fuzzy-VRI was sensitive to input data and detected spatial variability. The significant variability in the degree of valve opening in all five zones indicates that there are considerable differences in the water volume to be applied.

Therefore, upon receiving the setpoint signals the CPIS applies a water volume close to that estimated by the water balance method adopted by the partner company. Figure 10 indicates that the recommended irrigation volume was over-estimated in some regions and close to the applied volumes in other regions. Despite this variation, the system fulfilled its objective by recommending spatially variable irrigation.

The estimated pivot speed was relatively lower on this date than on previous dates, which might suggest a higher irrigation

D. Results for August 27, 2018

The last irrigation event was performed in the study area on August 28, 2018. However, the i-ekbase system only provided the images from August 27, 2018, i.e., data were analyzed one day before actual irrigation. It is expected that the FIS indicates the speed and degree of the valve opening based on the amount of water required to replace water losses on that date. The results obtained using a conventional method can be compared with the inferred values.

The estimated pivot speed was relatively lower on this date than on previous dates, which might suggest a higher irrigation
Fig. 11. System output for rotation speed of the center pivot (a), average rotation speed in each parcel (b).

Fig. 12. System output for degree of solenoid valve opening in each management zone (a), average degree of solenoid valve in each parcel (b).

volume. The region located in quadrant IV in a previous analysis is highlighted in Figure 11a.

The FIS had sufficient sensitivity to predict possible problems in the cultivated areas. However, there was no additional information to corroborate this hypothesis, and the irrigation management company did not report problems in this study area. Therefore, this problem was treated as a variation in water requirements, as was the case in other studies. The output signals for the valve opening (Figures 12) had a uniform spatial distribution and indicated that the zones with lower variability in the speed of the center pivot had a higher irrigation requirement.

It is worth noting that the characteristics of zone 1 from all study sites were not relevant for this analysis because these regions were not cultivated. However, the results are presented for analytical purposes. Spatial variability in the valve opening was absent in zone 1 and high in zone 5 (located at 320°–340°) according to estimations from the fuzzy-VRI system. Spatial variability in speed control was similar in the valve opening. The variability in zone 5 was significantly higher than that in the other zones. The values reached approximately 73% of the maximum in zones 1 – 4 and 79% of the maximum in zone 5, indicating that the proposed FIS detected spatially distributed regions, potentially with different irrigation requirements.

To confirm the sensitivity of the fuzzy-VRI in estimating irrigation requirements, the comparison between the actual irrigation volumes applied by a conventional uniform system and those estimated by the FIS is shown in Figure 13. The region located at 320°–340° in zone 5 was considered an attention zone (irrigation is highly recommended), and the estimated irrigation depth was smaller than the applied volume, demonstrating that the system could monitor the water requirements of crops over time. Therefore, it is safe to claim that the fuzzy-VRI could infer spatial and temporal variability in irrigation volumes.

E. Results for the Experimental Area in Brule, Nebraska, United States

The fuzzy-VRI system analyzed the dates of four irrigation events (events that started one day after satellite image data acquisition and ended the following day). June 24, 2016, which was the date provided by i-ekbase, corresponded to the day following the irrigation event on June 23, for which the full turn was completed by the CPIS on June 25. Also analyzed
remote sensing data on the day after the irrigation event and made inferences on the day before the start of irrigation. July 26, 2016 was the last day of the irrigation event. These samples were used to determine the degree of sensitivity of the proposed system.

F. Results for June 24, 2016

The intelligent system determined the variation in center pivot speed (Figure 14) and the degree of opening of the sprinklers’ solenoid valve (Figures 15). Pivot rotation speed varied widely between regions (from 46% to 59% of the maximum speed the pivot could reach), and it was higher in the regions shown in red and lower in the regions shown in blue. This result corresponds to reference values, which should be sent to the center pivot controller to evaluate the percentage rotation speed.

The fuzzy-VRI system estimates the speed for each pixel. However, this information cannot be sent to the controller because the pivot has truss rods that move at the same speed as the center pipe. Hence, the speed data from each parcel were averaged and sent to the controller. This methodology is not available in the literature.

Valve openings varied by parcel in each zone (1 – 3) (Figure 15a). The regions shown in red had a higher degree of opening, whereas the regions shown in blue had a lower degree of opening. The colors changed in the controls because the higher the speed, the lower was the irrigation volume, whereas the higher the degree of valve opening, the higher was the amount of water used for irrigation.

The center pivot regulated speed and valve opening according to the signals sent by the intelligent CPIS and applied the required water volume. The irrigation depth setpoints depend on the construction or specifications of the pivot (Figure 16). The chosen sprinkler size had an application depth of 15 mm, i.e., if the solenoid valve received the signal to open completely and the rotation speed was set to 100%, the pivot would apply a water depth of 15 mm in that zone.

The irrigation depths measured in [17] presented in Table II were identified as uniform irrigation distribution or remote sensing-based VRI (VRI-RS). The latter uses remote sensing and water balance measurements, whereas the former uses a neutron probe to measure SSM [67]. There was spatial variability in irrigation depths estimated indirectly by the fuzzy-VRI between the MZs.

At an angle of $300^\circ$–$360^\circ$ in MZ-2, the estimated volume was approximately 23% higher than that in Barker’s study, indicating that this region had a higher water requirement. On this date, there were regions already irrigated by the CPIS (pointed out by the fuzzy-VRI for parcels between...
Fig. 17. System output for rotation speed of the center pivot (a), average rotation speed in each parcel (b).

0° and 120°) and regions that were not yet irrigated (between 150° and 360°), confirming that the proposed system distinguished between regions with different irrigation requirements.

The fuzzy-VRI system obtained the best results in the zone located between 300° and 330° (Figures 12 – 13), and the water volume was different from that estimated by the neutron probe and VRI-RS model. This region was detected by the FIS and might have water deficit problems that should be addressed.

The VRI model proposed by [17] did not accurately detect regions with a greater need for irrigation. In contrast, the analyzed FIS detected spatial variability in water requirement, and the estimated values were close to those found by the neutron probe, which is the standard equipment used for measuring water requirement in crops.

These results show that poorly managed agricultural practices can waste water. Also, the required irrigation volume depends on the type of crop. The amount of water consumed in this area is also linked to the type of crop, and it is worth noting that different plants require different amounts of water. The characteristics of the experimental area were different from those of commercial areas. The discussion on irrigation efficiency did not apply because this study area was experimental.

G. Results for September 12, 2016

Given the limitations in the number of analyzed dates, there was a 24-day difference between September 12, 2016 and previous dates (August 19, 2016). September 12, 2016 was a special case for which it was concluded that the FIS needed a local input variable to balance the other input variables (offset measurement). The results of speed control from the fuzzy-VRI system are shown in Figure 17a. Two outputs in the fuzzy-VRI system mutually interfered with the irrigation volume. Hence, the valve opening setpoints were evaluated (Figures 18).

The same critical MZs detected on the other dates were found on September 12. There was a 28% decrease in speed in the zone located between 240° and 270°, indicating that this zone had a higher demand for irrigation.

The analysis by the FIS showed that this zone presented a possible water deficit. Valve opening increased in the zone located between 240° and 270°, confirming the trend for higher irrigation requirements (Figure 18a). The values estimated by the proposed system were similar to those estimated by the VRI-RS model, confirming that the system tuning (and possibly these input variables) was unbalanced and made the FIS as insensitive as the model proposed by [17].

The center pivot regulated speed and valve opening according to the signals sent by the intelligent CPIS and applied the required water volume. The irrigation depth setpoints depend on the construction or specifications of the pivot (Figure 19).
Therefore, to confirm the hypothesis that the proposed system required local input variables to balance remote sensing input variables, the FIS was adjusted only for this date. It is worth highlighting that the same manually tuned system was used on all dates without further adjustments. The use of fuzzy logic was proposed to enable the new precision irrigation system to interpret ambiguous, vague, or inaccurate environmental data. Therefore, it was not necessary to perform manual adjustments to balance input variables on all dates or create a FIS for each date (Table V). September 12 was an exception.

The modified system did not change the number of input and output MFs; hence, it did not introduce new rules. The proposed changes included tuning and changing the type of MF of the fuzzification step.

Fine tuning was based on the observations and analysis of remote sensing data. Moreover, the maximum, minimum, and average values of each variable were considered for this date only. This approach enabled making a smaller adjustment to the input variable that measured leaf development or SA VI. However, the adjustments carried out for CT, SSM, and NI were the most significant.

For CT, the MFs and their parameters changed from a triangular to a trapezoidal function. Therefore, the inference of CT became less sensitive to small variations. Further, the temperature changed from high to normal, which changed the interpretation of the input variations and pushed the system to use the rules for this new temperature level. The range of values corresponding to a high SSM also changed, which made the system more sensitive to small increments in SSM. The same type of recalibration was performed for the NI variable, making the FIS more sensitive to detecting intermediate NI values.

Furthermore, the recalibrated fuzzy system was tested on the input data from September 12, 2016, and the result showed a decrease in the number of regions with variabilities and the existence of water deficit problems in regions near the border of zone 3 (Figure 20).

Another feature was the increase of the pivot speed, which had not been detected previously; maximum values ranged from 64% to 92%, indicating that the irrigation volume was low. The number of regions with variations in valve control output also decreased (Figure 21), demonstrating that the adjustments made the FIS less sensitive to temperature changes and more sensitive to changes in SSM. Despite confirming a slight increase in the degree of valve opening in zone 3, the

| Input variables | Triangular function | Linguistic variables | Vertices | Modified fuzzy system | Triangular and trapezoidal functions | Linguistic variables | Vertices |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Temperature     | low                 | 14                  | 19      | low                   | 16                                 | 20                  |         |
|                 | normal              | 20                  | 25      | normal                | 16                                 | 20                  |         |
|                 | high                | 20                  | 25      | high                  | 28                                 | 30                  |         |
| Soil-adjusted   | very low            | 15                  | 30      | very low              | 15                                 | 30                  |         |
| vegetation      | low                 | 20                  | 30      | low                   | 20                                 | 32                  | 47      |
| index           | intermediate        | 30                  | 45      | intermediate          | 36                                 | 52                  | 68      |
|                 | high                | 50                  | 60      | high                  | 60                                 | 70                  |         |
| Surface soil    | very low            | 4                   | 9       | very low              | 5                                   | 8                   |         |
| moisture        | low                 | 4                   | 11      | low                   | 5                                   | 11                  | 15      |
|                 | intermediate        | 13                  | 20      | intermediate          | 12                                 | 17                  | 21      |
|                 | high                | 21                  | 28      | high                  | 18                                 | 22                  |         |
| Nitrogen index  | very low            | 0                   | 1       | very low              | 0                                   | 1                   | 3       |
|                 | low                 | 8                   | 6       | low                   | 0.7                                | 1.5                 | 2.1     |
|                 | intermediate        | 3                   |         | intermediate          | 1.6                                | 2.3                 | 3       |
|                 | e                   | 2                   | 3       | e                     | 1.6                                | 2.3                 | 3       |
|                 | high                | 3                   | 7       | high                  | 2.5                                | 3                   |         |

* change in the type of membership function from triangular to trapezoidal.
= value above the maximum value of the axis.
percentage value for this variable was not higher than 20%, confirming that the water volume to be applied was low.

The analysis of the two output signals — speed and degree of sprinkler valve opening — showed that the irrigation volumes estimated by the fuzzy-VRI were low (Figure 22). The estimated values were close to those estimated by the neutron probe, demonstrating the sensitivity of calibrated FISs to irrigation depth measurement models. The choice of inputs that can faithfully represent local events is critical. In the proposed model, the adjustment in MFs for CT, SSM, and NI was decisive to infer that it was unnecessary to continue irrigating using the same amount of water.

The hypothesis assumed in this study was the rainfall that occurred a few days earlier. Rainfall in the Brule region was 274 mm from May to October and 37.08 mm (1.46 inches) in September. Rainfall was 31 mm on September 6 and 7, according to the United States Climate data website. The irrigation event that occurred between August 31 and September 2 further increased the water level in the soil. Therefore, this hypothesis may explain the results found on this date.

H. Results for September 20, 2016

The FIS used on this date was the same used on the other dates, without further adjustments. The estimated speed varied from 46% to 76%, according to the FIS (Figure 23a). In the zone located between 90° and 120°, the variation decreased from 73% to 53%. It is worth noting that on previous dates, there was no rainfall, and satellite images were cloudless.

This marked variability raises an issue, which had already been detected in Ipiranga do Norte, MT, and emphasizes the need to assess whether the CPIS could estimate rotation speeds in small areas. On September 20, 2016, the degree of valve opening was negatively correlated with rotation speed, and several zones presented variability in this parameter (Figures 24).

Therefore, when the two outputs were sent to the controller, the estimated irrigation depth was expected from systems using spatially and temporally variable rates. The estimated irrigation volumes in each management zone are shown in Figure 25.

The recommendations made the fuzzy-VRI system indicate that quadrant III required the highest water volume. Therefore, the sensitivity of the FIS was similar to that of the neutron probe. This result is positive because the SSM measurements made by the neutron probe are more reliable. Thus, the proposed system was successful in inferring that the cultivated area had a lower variability in SSM and therefore required a lower water volume relative to the amount estimated by the VRI-RS method proposed by [17].

IV. VALIDATION OF THE FUZZY-VRI APPROACH

The water volume (in m³) used for irrigation is shown to improve the performance visualization of the FIS, the volume used for each parcel decreased by 5–20%. The comparison between estimated and observed irrigation volumes for each
sector and for each date of analysis is presented in figure 26. If crop yield remained the same, it might be possible to claim that the fuzzy-VRI could decrease costs and expenses, such as potable water.

The estimates for irrigation depth were slightly lower than the actual irrigation values. It is worth mentioning that the fuzzy-VRI detected regions with water deficits and distributed water accordingly, without significant differences from the actual values obtained by the commercial platform. For the analysis of the date of June 27, 2018, the strong impact of the overestimation of irrigation depth on the volume of water used is shown in Figure 26-b.

The significance of this comparison is to verify whether the low estimate in the irrigated volume generated by the fuzzy-VRI system is within an expectation. In a way, it is possible to verify that the developed system has sufficient sensitivity to infer a smaller volume. For the analysis of the date of August 27, 2018, the values per m$^3$ of the irrigation theoretically applied by the system and the actual volume that was applied are shown in Figure 26-c. The regions of the angular range between $320^\circ$ to $340^\circ$ present values that are very close to the real ones. Although the FIS has few inputs and rules, system tuning was adequate and produced significant results.

V. DISCUSSION AND LIMITATION

This study faced to some limitations. To begin with, information regarding crop characteristics was limited due to the commercial nature of the study area at the Ipiranga do Norte field site. For instance, it was not possible to determine why some regions displayed high variability and a large number of MZs, i.e., it was difficult to assess whether the MZs detected by the FIS had water deficits. This limitation will be addressed in future studies. However, it was possible to confirm that the FIS was able to detect variability in crop conditions, indicating regions with different water requirements, and showing less differences between estimated and actual amount of irrigation measured by the commercial platform. The advantage of analyzing regions that are monitored by commercial irrigation systems is the high crop yield, as well as the expected and predictable development, thus demonstrating the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed methodology.

Further, regarding the commercial farm, the exclusive use of satellite images had disadvantages, such as a low frequency of cloudless images and limited use of the data from the remote sensing commercial tool based on the agreement with i-ekbase. Therefore, the analysis of and comparison between the MZs in Ipiranga do Norte were restricted to only eight dates. Additionally, regarding the results for June 17, 2018, the strategy of selecting the size of irrigation zones and subzones by a counterclockwise quadrant division was a disadvantage because partitioning was performed visually with the help of an operator.

A better approach would be a cluster-based approach to divide the study area automatically without human intervention. However, no commercial system currently uses this approach. This theme could be addressed in future studies. Further, the limited number of input variables was a disadvantage of the proposed system due to the lack of

---

Fig. 25. Estimated irrigation depth after adjustments for each management zone.

Fig. 26. Comparison between estimated and observed irrigation volumes for each sector and for each date in the study site located in Ipiranga do Norte: on June 17 (a), 2018; on June 27 (b), 2018, and on August 27, 2018 (c).
sensitivity likely caused by insufficient variables to indicate special variability. Additionally, in the experiments for the study area in Brule, Nebraska, United States, there were problems related to the presence of clouds at the time of data collection, which restricted the analysis and comparison of MZs to only seven dates.

The results of these experiments showed that the experimental area located in Brule presented favorable and promising characteristics, which could be analyzed in studies that adopt precision irrigation technologies. It is clear that the proposed method has typical VRI characteristics, including the spatial and temporal variability of the study sites. The fuzzy logic system appeared to be well tuned and was effective in 1) capturing spatial variability in the crop, and 2) developing a VRI prescription map. The results underscore the need for analyzing more input variables from the study sites, allowing the FIS to infer the overall environmental characteristics of the study areas accurately, and another relevant point is the use of satellite images.

Finally, the promising outputs of this study highlighted the interest of the adoption of the suggested fuzzy-VRI approach by the farmers in order to analyze if there is any effect on crop yield although some reductions in crop irrigation depth. Therefore, additional studies and on farm tests are necessary to assess production efficiency and improve the proposed methodology.

VI. CONCLUSION

The careful and efficient use of water in agriculture is critical and thus requires the use of new technologies. However, there is a lack of research, and less attention has been paid on testing the combinations of available techniques to determine the location and time of irrigation controlled by a center pivot using AI methods.

An important aspect of the proposed system is that it accurately detected management zones (MZs) using limited data. MZs are accurately detected when the system can measure the spatial and temporal variability of crop areas. The adopted method was successful given that the results met VRI criteria, and the fuzzy-VRI provided control maps for rotation speed and opening cycles for the solenoid valves of the CPIs. Several MZs were detected using a limited number of variables, including CT, SAVI, NI, and SSM. If a large amount of spatial and temporal data was available, the number of MZs could be optimized. Nonetheless, the biggest challenge when working with this type of technology is obtaining this type of data from crops at a low cost.

A relevant finding of this study is that the use of the proposed system could optimize the irrigation water supply in the study areas, which need on farm tests. However, it was not possible to assess whether this method increased crop yield given that field studies were not performed. Notwithstanding, the results demonstrated that Fuzzy VRI can make easy-to-use, automatic decision support systems to fully automate center pivots.

These results warrant further studies on the development of control maps for irrigation, which are more similar to field conditions. Our proposed system requires improvement by incorporating other input variables that enable interpreting the characteristics of crop areas, thus enabling fuzzy-VRI to accurately provide the spatial and temporal variability of the irrigation supply and increasing water productivity.
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