In situ x-ray diffraction study of epitaxial growth of ordered Fe₃Si films
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Molecular beam epitaxy of Fe₃Si on GaAs(001) is studied in situ by grazing incidence x-ray diffraction. Layer-by-layer growth of Fe₃Si films is observed at a low growth rate and substrate temperatures near 200°C. A damping of x-ray intensity oscillations due to a gradual surface roughening during growth is found. The corresponding sequence of coverages of the different terrace levels is obtained. The after-deposition surface recovery is very slow. Annealing at 310°C combined with the deposition of one monolayer of Fe₃Si restores the surface to high perfection and minimal roughness. Our stoichiometric films possess long-range order and a high quality heteroepitaxial interface.

PACS numbers: 81.15.Hi, 61.10.Nz, 68.35.Bs, 75.50 Cc

Combinations of magnetic and semiconducting materials lead to further development in the field of magnetoelectronics [1, 2]. Ferromagnet/semiconductor heterostructures should possess a rather perfect interface [3] to minimize the scattering of spins. High thermal stability is required for device processing and operation. Fe₃Si on GaAs is a promising candidate for magnetoelectronic applications [4, 5, 6]. The Curie temperature of Fe₃Si is as high as 567°C [7] and the Fe₃Si / GaAs interface is stable up to more than 400°C [8]. Spin injection through the Fe₃Si / GaAs interface at room temperature has been demonstrated recently [9]. The lattice misfit between stoichiometric Fe₃Si and GaAs is very small [10, 11] and allows for the formation of a perfect interface without strain releasing defects like misfit dislocations. The lattice match and the long range order of the as-grown thin epitaxial films depend on the stoichiometry [12]. Significant changes of the saturation magnetization and the sheet resistance with film stoichiometry have been observed [13].

The layer-by-layer growth mode allows for well controlled fabrication of epitaxial layer sequences with very sharp interfaces between them. The aim of the present work is the in situ characterization of the Fe₃Si epitaxial growth process in the layer-by-layer growth mode by x-ray surface diffraction methods. The surface and interface roughness and long range order in the films are monitored under ultrahigh vacuum conditions. We use grazing incidence x-ray diffraction (GID) which is ideally suited for thin film investigations. Fe₃Si films can be grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) at GaAs substrate temperatures near 200°C [10]. They were grown for the present study in an MBE chamber inserted onto the diffractometer at the wiggler beamline U125/2 KMC [14] at the storage ring BESSY in Berlin. A double crystal Si(111) monochromator was used. The energy of the radiation was 10 keV and the incidence angle was kept at 0.3°. The acceptance angle of the detector was 0.1° both perpendicular and parallel to the surface.

GaAs(001) templates were prepared in a separate III-V growth chamber using standard GaAs growth techniques. The sample was then capped by As and transferred into the MBE system at BESSY for the Fe₃Si deposition by means of an ultrahigh vacuum shuttle. The As cap was removed by annealing the sample in the preparation chamber at a temperature of 350°C before transferring it into the growth chamber. The Fe₃Si layers were then grown on the As-rich c(4 × 4) reconstructed GaAs surface at different substrate temperatures near 200°C with a growth rate of 3 monolayers (ML) per hour, similar to the procedure described in Ref. 10 (1 ML = 0.5α ≈ 0.28 nm, where α is the lattice parameter). The Si and Fe cell temperatures were tuned in order to obtain a perfect latt-

FIG. 1: (a) (Color online) X-ray intensity oscillations (gray line) observed by GID at the 1 1 0.05 reflection during MBE layer-by-layer growth of an Fe₃Si epitaxial film on a several nm thick Fe₃Si buffer layer on a GaAs(001) substrate. The growth temperature of the film and the buffer was 220°C. The oscillations are damped and the recovery of the x-ray intensity after deposition is slow. Fit (fine red line) of the interference function to the experimental curve. (b) Coverages obtained by this fitting.
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flat surface, the surface was annealed at 310°C to overcome this slow recovery and restore the initial diffracted intensity after growth is very slow. In order of the surface sensitive reflection \( \bar{L} \), the temperature profile together with the measured intensity is shown in (b). The positions of the maxima of the narrow GaAs peaks coincide with the centers of the broader Fe\(_3\)Si peaks indicating lattice matching. The maximum near 1 1 0.05 increases by more than a factor of ten thanks to annealing and the decay of the crystal truncation rod between the bulk reflections is considerably reduced because of lower surface roughness.

Layer-by-layer growth is observed by x-ray intensity oscillations during deposition of Fe\(_3\)Si, Fig. 1 (a). The x-ray intensity is measured in the reciprocal space point 1 1 0.05, close the bulk forbidden reflection 1 1 0. The oscillations are continuously damped, indicating gradual surface roughening. The oscillation period \( T \) is 1220 ± 10 s. Such a low growth rate facilitates the formation of a highly ordered Fe\(_3\)Si film. Recovery of the diffracted intensity after growth is very slow. In order to overcome this slow recovery and restore the initial flat surface, the surface was annealed at 310°C for about 20 min with simultaneous deposition of one monolayer of Fe\(_3\)Si. This procedure considerably improves the flatness of the Fe\(_3\)Si surface, as observed by the increase of the intensity of bulk forbidden surface sensitive reflections by a factor of about ten, see Fig. 2 (a). The same annealing procedure was also used for surface preparation before the measurement shown in Fig. 1 (a).

Crystal truncation rods (CTRs) presented in Fig. 2 (b) demonstrate the results of growth and the effect of annealing in more detail. A nearly perfect angular coincidence of the Fe\(_3\)Si and GaAs peaks is achieved by the fine tuning of the Fe and Si cell temperatures. An almost perfect lattice match of the films during and after growth is attained. The Fe\(_3\)Si peaks are broader and less intense due to small thickness of the film. The shape of the CTRs in the middle between bulk diffraction peaks is a measure of the surface roughness. High intensity indicates a well-ordered, highly planar surface. The intensity of the 1 1 L rod in Fig. 2 (b) in the vicinity of the bulk forbidden reflections 1 1 0 and 1 1 2 increase by an order of magnitude during annealing. Thus, the original high quality of the surface can be restored by the annealing cycle. Pronounced thickness fringes observed on the CTR imply smooth and parallel top and bottom interfaces. These fringes evidence not only a smooth surface but also a a high quality of the Fe\(_3\)Si / GaAs interface. We checked this by means of simulation of the corresponding x-ray reflectivity curves.

The kinematical diffraction theory from stepped surfaces describes the average surface shape during growth in terms of coverages \( \Theta_n(t) \) of successive levels. In the ideal case, growth starts (at \( t = 0 \)) from a perfectly flat surface with \( \Theta_0 = 1 \) and \( \Theta_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 1 \) (\( n \) is integer). During growth, the coverages run from 0 to 1 with \( \Theta_{n+1} < \Theta_n \), Fig. 1 (b). Two successive terrace levels interfere completely destructively under the antiphase diffraction condition. For the zinc blende structure, this condition is met for reflections \( HKL \) with odd \( H, K \) at \( L = 0 \), i.e., for simultaneously grazing incidence and grazing exit diffraction conditions. The destructive interference at \( L = 0 \) originates from the lateral shift of the successive crystalline layers in the zinc blende structure, which accompanies the vertical shift.

The diffracted intensity at the antiphase condition is proportional to the interference function

\[
S(q) = |F_{hkl}|^2 |C_0 - C_1 + C_2 - \ldots|^2, \tag{1}
\]

where \( F_{hkl} \) is the structure amplitude of the given reflection and \( C_n = \Theta_n - \Theta_{n+1} \) is the exposed coverage on the \( n \)th level of the surface. The time dependence of the layer coverage can be described by the continuity equation

\[
d\Theta_n/dt = (J_{n-1} - J_n)/T, \tag{2}
\]

where \( T \) is the time required for the deposition of one monolayer. For ideal layer-by-layer growth, the fluxes \( J_n(t) \) are the Heaviside step functions, \( J_n = H(t - nT) \). An imperfect layer-by-layer growth can be described by the smoothed functions

\[
J_n(t) = \tanh[(t - nT)/b_n]. \tag{3}
\]

The changes in the onset and completion of the formation of subsequent monolayers can be modeled by choosing appropriate widths \( b_n \). We assume according to Ref. [18],...
a power law dependence, \( b_n = \gamma n^\delta \). The layer coverages \( \Theta_i(t) \) shown in Fig. 1 (b) are obtained from a fit to measured growth oscillations with the parameters \( \gamma = 0.29 \) and \( \delta = 0.7 \). Figure 1 (b) presents the resulting time dependence of the coverages.

Intensities of the Fe\(_3\)Si reflections depend on the long-range order of the atoms in the sublattices. Fe\(_3\)Si has the face centered cubic \( \text{D}_0\text{fcc} \) structure that can be viewed as a fcc lattice with the basis consisting of four atoms A, B, C, and D with coordinates A(0, 0, 0), B(0.25, 0.25, 0.25), C(0.5, 0.5, 0.5), and D(0.75, 0.75, 0.75). In the ordered Fe\(_3\)Si crystal, Fe atoms occupy three sublattices A, B, and C, while Si atoms take the sublattice D. The disorder is described by two order parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), which are fractions of Si atoms occupying the Fe(B) and Fe(A,C) sites, respectively. The reflections from a partially disordered crystal can be divided into three types, fundamental reflections and two types of superlattice reflections. Fundamental reflections, that are not influenced by disorder, are given by \( H+K+L = 4n \), where \( n \) is an integer. The structure amplitude of such reflections is

\[
F_0 = 4(f_{\text{Si}} + 3f_{\text{Fe}}),
\]

where \( f_{\text{Si}} \) and \( f_{\text{Fe}} \) are atomic scattering factors of the respective elements. Reflections with odd \( H, K, L \) are sensitive to both types of disorder, the structure amplitude being

\[
F_1 = 4i(1-2\alpha - \beta)(f_{\text{Si}} - f_{\text{Fe}}).
\]

Reflections given by \( H + K + L = 4n - 2 \) are sensitive to disorder in the Fe(A,C) sublattice,

\[
F_2 = -4(1-2\beta)(f_{\text{Si}} - f_{\text{Fe}}).
\]

Figure 3 compares measured and calculated x-ray diffraction curves for the reflections that are sensitive to different types of disorder: 1 1 1 and 3 1 1 reflections are sensitive to disorder in both sublattices, see Eq. 5 while the 2 2 2 reflection is sensitive to disorder in the Fe(A,C) sublattice, see Eq. 6. The x-ray intensity distribution along each CTR was calculated as a sum of the amplitudes for two-beam dynamical diffraction problems corresponding to different reciprocal lattice points along the given CTR. 16 reflections were included in the sum. The Fe\(_3\)Si film thickness obtained from the intensity calculations is 29 ML. The calculations are performed for a fully ordered film, \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \). The experimental curves were scaled to the calculated ones by requiring equal integrated intensities of the substrate peaks. With this scaling, the intensities of the Fe\(_3\)Si peaks reach the theoretical values, thus proving the full order of the film. Similar results were obtained for other lattice matched films grown at low growth rate. In conclusion, we have found the layer-by-layer growth conditions during MBE of Fe\(_3\)Si films on GaAs (001) substrates at low growth rates (\( \approx 3 \text{ ML/h} \)) and performed in situ experiments using grazing incidence x-ray diffraction. An excellent surface and interface quality was achieved. The growth of lattice matched films at such a low rate leads to a high degree of long-range order. The authors thank Claudia Herrmann, Steffen Behnke, Hans-Peter Schönherr and Tatsuro Watahiki for support during the experiments, and Uwe Jahn and Achim Trampert for critical reading of the manuscript.
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FIG. 3: (Color online) Comparison of the experimental CTRs (circles) and the corresponding simulations (red lines) assuming a fully ordered 29 ML thick Fe$_3$Si lattice. The Fe$_3$Si peaks are superlattice reflections sensitive to disorder. The film was grown at a substrate temperature of 200$^\circ$C. The maxima of the narrow GaAs peaks coincide with the centers of the broader Fe$_3$Si peaks indicating lattice matching. The intensities of Fe$_3$Si peaks reach the theoretical values, supporting the assumption of a fully ordered film and indicating a stoichiometric composition of the film.