Unraveling Brazilian Indian population prostate good health: clinical, anthropometric and genetic features
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To compare dietary, lifestyle, clinical, anthropometric, genetic and prostatic features of Brazilian Indians and non-Indians (Amazon).

Methods: 315 men, 228 Indians and 89 non-Indians, ≥40 years old were submitted to digital rectal examination, serum prostate specific antigen (PSA), testosterone, TP53 and GSTP1 genotyping, anthropometric, lifestyle, dietary, personal and familial medical history. Prostatic symptoms were evaluated with the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS).

Results: Macuxis and Yanomamis represented 43.6% and 14.5% of Indians respectively who spontaneously referred no prostate symptoms. Mean IPSS was 7, range 3-19, with only 15% of moderate symptoms (score 8-19); Mean age was 54.7 years, waist circumference 86.6 cm, BMI 23.9 kg/m². Yanomamis presented both lower BMI (21.4 versus 24.8 and 23.3, p=0.001) and prostate volume than Macuxis and “other ethnic groups” (15 versus 20, p=0.001). Testosterone (414 versus 502 and 512, p=0.207) and PSA (0.48 versus 0.6 and 0.41, p=0.349) were similar with progressive PSA increase with aging. Val/Val correlated with lower PSA (p=0.0361).

Indians compared to control population presented: - TP53 super representation of Arg/Arg haplotype, 74.5% versus 42.5%, p<0.0001. - GSTP1 Ile/Ile 35.3% versus 60.9%; Ile/Val 45.9% versus 28.7%; Val/Val 18.8% versus 10.3%; p=0.0003.

Conclusions: Observed specific dietary, lifestyle, anthropometric and genetic profile for TP53 and GSTP1 may contribute to Brazilian Indian population prostate good health.
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INTRODUCTION

The Indian populations in Brazil and in other countries worldwide are not well studied, with rare published reports. The study of culturally distinct indigenous populations, as well as their contact with and influence of non-indigenous groups in relation to their behavior and merging of new habits as risk factors for prostate diseases is intriguing.

Many studies have been reporting for many years the huge ethnical difference of the incidence of prostate diseases, including prostate cancer (PCA) as well as the concentration of prostatic specific antigen (PSA) in different populations around the World (1). It is discussed if these differences are derived from biological diversity of populations or from environmental factors that would modify their hereditary traits, mainly dietary habits and lifestyle (2).
Age, black race and familial history of cancer are well established factors for high risk of prostate cancer (1). Most studies suggest that the ingestion of fatty food, cooked food, selenium, exposure to pesticides and fertilizers, physical activity and socio-economic status are factors that can contribute to observed differences in many countries, regions or eventually races (3).

Variations of frequency of PCa among Caucasians, native Alaskans and Indians are well documented in the USA (4, 5). However, in the indigenous population of Brazil, data regarding incidence, prevalence and risk factors for prostate disease are rare and only a few studies addressed clinical and anthropometric data in that population (6-8).

In view of scarce information about the profile of male health of indigenous populations of extreme North of Brazil, we decided to analyze GSTP1 and TP53 polymorphisms, PSA and testosterone serum values and digital rectal exam, correlating the results with anthropometric characteristics, lifestyle, and especially dietary habits in order to elucidate the interaction of genetic background and environmental exposure in relation to prostatic diseases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

From March, 2010, to March, 2011, a total of 315 healthy men with 40 or more years old were prospectively evaluated by the same examiner, after signing a written consent form. 228 Indians were admitted to Indian House of Health in Boa Vista, Roraima, Brazil (CASAI/RR), through a campaign, and 87 non-indigenous healthy men that donated blood were included in the control group. They resided in the Boa Vista Region, Brazil. Those who presented a personal or family history of prostate cancer were excluded.

Polymorphisms of codon 72 of TP53 and GSTP1 were analyzed; they are involved in the detoxification and repair of DNA (9) (Figure-1). The data were correlated to anthropometric values, lifestyle habits, serum PSA and testosterone and digital rectal exam (DR) of the indigenous populations of northern Brazil. Prostatic symptoms were evaluated with the IPSS (International Prostate Symptom Score) questionnaire validated to Portuguese (10).

Genomic DNA was extracted using the standard protocol of phenol-chlorophorm, adap-
ted from the Cancer Genetic Molecular Laboratory of the University of Campinas-Unicamp, Brazil. In order to verify the DNA purity and quality of the extract, the samples were quantified using the UV-spectrophotometer Picodrop Limited (Cambridgshire, UK).

Genotyping of the two proposed polymorphisms GSTP1 codon 105 (rs1695) and TP53 codon 72 (rs1042522) was conducted using TaqMan® SNP Genotyping (7500 Real Time PCR Systems) essay.

In order to understand the impact of the introduction of new dietary habits, lifestyle and behaviors from the non-indigenous population, we identified and compared two different ethnic groups, with bigger and smaller distance from the urban centers, with consequent greater of smaller contact and merging of habits of non-indigenous people: respectively, Macuxis and Yanomamis.

All local authorities were officially notified (FUNASA and CASAI), and agreed with this research.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were compared using the exact Fisher test of Chi-square test, as appropriated. Non-normally distributed numerical variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney test (two groups) or Kruskal-Wallis (three of more groups).

The analysis of allelic and genotypic frequencies was made using the laws of equilibrium of Hardy-Weinberg. Values with P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

No Indian reported prostatic symptoms; median age was 54.7 years, abdominal circumference was 86.6 cm and BMI was 23.9 kg/m²; 64.8% had normal BMI (18.5 to 25.0 kg/m²), 29.5% overweight (25.0 to 30 kg/m²), 3.5% obesity (≥30.0 kg/m²) and 2.2% low weight (<18.5 kg/m²). Median PSA was 0.52 with values ≤2.5 ng/mL in 91.3%, >2.5 ng/mL in 9 cases (8.7%), and 5.8% with PSA ≥4 ng/mL. Values with P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

83 Indians completed the question form; mean age was 53 years. Most informed absence of symptoms or light symptoms (score ≤7) and only 15% moderate symptoms (score 8-19); IPSS varied from 3 to 19, median 7.

Cassava (manioc) (98.7%), fish (25.2%) and animal hunt meat (86.4%) were the most frequent food ingested during basic Indian meals, followed by flour (41.7%), fruits (28.9%) and vegetables (27.2%). Meat, chicken and pork were referred only by 17.1%, 16.7% and 5.7% respectively.

| Characteristic | Value or n |
|---------------|------------|
| **Table 1 - Clinical and laboratory characteristics of Indians (n=228).** |
| **Characteristic** | **Value or n** |
| Age, years | Interval 40 to 91 |
| | Mean±SD 54.69±12.06 |
| | Median 52.5 |
| BMI, Kg/m² | Interval 17.3 to 33.8 |
| | Mean±SD 23.94±3.11 |
| | Mean 23.9 |
| Abdominal circumference, cm | Interval 62 to 110 |
| | Mean±SD 86.64±8.25 |
| | Median 86 |
| Smoking | Yes 78 (34.2) |
| | No 150 (65.8) |
| Alcohol abuse | Yes 95 (41.7) |
| | No 133 (58.3) |
| Testosterone, ng/dL (n=65) | Interval 14 to 889 |
| | Mean±SD 495±1.78 |
| | Median 472 |
| Digital rectal exam, grams (n=224) | Interval 10 to 80 |
| | Mean±SD 21.5±8.74 |
| | Median 20 |
| PSA, ng/mL (n=103) | Interval 0.02 to 13.95 |
| | Mean±SD 1.10±1.89 |
| | Median 0.52
When the ethnic groups were compared, the Yanomamis were younger with lower values of BMI and prostate volume at DR. There were no differences between testosterone and PSA levels, and PSA increased with age (Table-3).

Indian and non-indigenous showed super-representation of haplotype Arg/Arg, 74.5% versus 42.5%, p<0.0001, polymorphism TP53 and Ile/Ile 35.3% versus 60.9%; Ile/Val 45.9% versus 28.7% and Val/Val 18.8% versus 10.3%, for GSTP1, p=0.0003, respectively (Table-4).

We observed an association of GSTP1 genotype and serum PSA, with predominance of polymorphic homozygous genotype Val/Val in individuals with lower PSA levels (p=0.0361).

Tables 5 and 6 show demographic and clinical characteristics, according to variants of TP53 and GSTP1, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Our data show that the indigenous population presents low prostate volume, BMI close to normality and healthy dietary habits, different from the Brazilian population. Also, Indians present lower incidence of prostatic symptoms (according to IPSS, only 15% moderate) in relation to non-indigenous Brazilians with same age evaluated in a screening program of prostate cancer (29%, with 24% of moderate symptoms and 5% with severe symptoms (11)).

Among the ethnic groups, the Yanomamis were younger, with lower values of BMI and prostatic volume, although with similar serum values of PSA and testosterone.

The analysis of polymorphism of TP53 codon 72 and GSTP1 demonstrated significant differences between Indians and non-indigenous and the homozigous polymorphic genotype Val/Val was related to significant lowers levels of serum PSA.

These differences may be related to age differences, but also due to behavior and dietary habits between the ethnic groups, although without clinical significant difference of age in regard to prostatic evaluation. The greater contact of the Macuxi population with non-indigenous populations may have merged new behavior and dietary habits similar to non-Indians.

In contrast, the greater geographic distance from urban centers may have contributed to preservation of dietary habits and lifestyle of the Yanomamis. In this group, all participants ate animal hunt meat and fish, none reported ingestion of bovine meat and only two reported chicken ingestion.

Also, genetic differences associated to racial and ethnical factors have influence on the predisposition to prostatic diseases. Recently our group identified genetic polymorphisms related to the metabolism of carcinogens that can distinguish patients with high or low risk of PCa or benign prostatic hyperplasia (12).

The incidence of prostate cancer in the Brazilian Indian population is unknown. At “Hospital Geral de Roraima (Saúde Indígena Hospitalar)”, reference to this ethnical group, there were 10 cases per year (median) in the last 5 years.

Several studies have demonstrated that American Indians present low risk for PCa (13–15). In one of the first studies that described the epidemiological profile of Indians compared to white Americans, Dunham et al. (1973) identified similar prevalence of PCa among groups (5). On the contrary, Gilland et al. (1998) found different patterns of incidence and mortality of PCa among American Indians, blacks, Hispanics from New

| Table 2 - Demographic characteristic of non-Indians (controls). |
|------------------|------------------|
| Characteristic   | n (%)            |
| Age, years (n=87)| 18 to 86         |
| Interval         | 41.1±15.3        |
| Median (IQR)     | 39 (30-51)       |
| Ethnical group   | 66 (75.9%)       |
| White            | 21 (24.1%)       |
| Non-white        | 9 (20.0%)        |
| Smoking (n=45)   | 36 (80.0%)       |

IQR= interval interquartis; SD= standard deviation
## Table 3 - Demographic and clinical characteristic of Macuxis, Yanomamis and “others ethnical groups”.

| Characteristic                                      | Macuxi n (%) | Yanomami n (%) | Other ethnical groups |  \( P \) (Macuxi x Yanomami) |  \( P \) (Macuxi x Yanomami x Other ethnical groups) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Age, years**                                       | n=99          | n=33           | n=95                   |                              |                                                      |
| Interval                                            | 40 to 91      | 40 to 73       | 40 to 81               |                              |                                                      |
| **Mean±SD**                                         | 57.7±13.1     | 50.0±9.7       | 53.3±11.0              | **0.002**                     | **0.0034**                                           |
| **Median (IQR)**                                    | 56 47-65      | 48 42.5-55.7   | 52 44-60               |                              |                                                      |
| **BMI, kg/m²**                                      | n=99          | n=33           | n=95                   |                              |                                                      |
| Interval                                            | 17.4 to 33.8  | 17.3 to 26.9   | 18.3 to 31.0           | **<0.001**                    | **<0.001**                                           |
| **Mean±SD**                                         | 24.8±3.2      | 21.4±2.3       | 23.3±2.9               |                              |                                                      |
| **Prostatic volume (DR) (g)**                       | n=99          | n=32           | n=93                   |                              |                                                      |
| Interval                                            | 10 to 45      | 10 to 30       | 10 to 80               |                              |                                                      |
| **Median (IQR)**                                    | 20            | 15             | 20                     | **<0.001**                    | 0.0014                                               |
| **Prostatic volume (DR) (g)**                       | n=99          | n=32           | n=93                   |                              |                                                      |
| ≤20                                                 | 52 (52.5)     | 27 (84.4)      | 57 (61.3)              | **0.0058**                    |                                                      |
| >20                                                 | 47 (47.5)     | 5 (15.6)       | 36 (38.7)              |                              |                                                      |
| **Total Testosterone (ng/dL)**                       | n=34          | n=8            | n=23                   |                              |                                                      |
| Interval                                            | 99-826        | 66-550         | 14-889                 |                              |                                                      |
| **Mean±DP**                                         | 502.2±177.7   | 414.4±155.6    | 512.2±185.0            | **0.207**                     | **0.390**                                            |
| **PSA (ng/mL)**                                     | n=54          | n=11           | n=38                   |                              |                                                      |
| Interval                                            | 0.1-13.9      | 0.03 and 6.2   | 0.02-5.1               |                              |                                                      |
| **Median (IQR)**                                    | 0.6           | 0.48           | 0.41                   | **0.349**                     | **0.3573**                                           |
| **PSA (ng/mL)**                                     | n=54          | n=11           | n=38                   |                              |                                                      |
| ≤2.5                                                | 49            | 10             | 35                     |                              |                                                      |
| >2.5                                                | 5             | 1              | 3                      |                              |                                                      |
| **Free PSA (ng/mL)**                                | n=54          | n=11           | n=38                   |                              |                                                      |
| <0.52                                               | 23            | 6              | 22                     | **0.3306**                    |                                                      |
| ≥0.52                                               | 31            | 5              | 16                     |                              |                                                      |

\( n= \) number of individuals with available data; **DR**=digital rectal exam; **IQR**=interval interquartis; **PSA**=prostatic specific antigen; **SD**=standard deviation
Table 4 - Frequency of variants TP53 and GSTP1 in non-Indians and Indias.

| Characteristic | Non-Indians | Indians | P* |
|----------------|-------------|---------|----|
| **TP53**       |             |         |    |
| Arg/Arg (GG)   | 37 (42.5%)  | 152 (74.5%) |    |
| Arg/Pro (GC)   | 39 (44.8%)  | 42 (20.6%)  | <0.0001 |
| Pro/Pro (CC)   | 11 (12.6%)  | 10 (4.9%)   |    |
| **GSTP1**      |             |         |    |
| Ile/Ile (A/A)  | 53 (60.9%)  | 73 (35.3%)  |    |
| Ile/Val (A/G)  | 25 (28.7%)  | 95 (45.9%)  | 0.0003 |
| Val/Val (G/G)  | 9 (10.3%)   | 39 (18.8%)  |    |

A=Adenin; Arg=Arginin; C=Citosine; G=Guanine; Ile=Isoleucin; Pro=Prolin; Val=Valin.

*Chi-square

Table 5 - Demographic and clinical characteristics according to P53 variant.

| Factors          | Arg/Arg n (%) | Arg/Pro n (%) | Pro/Pro n (%) | P |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---|
| Ethnical group   | n=151         | n=42          | n=10          |   |
| Macuxi           | 70 (46.4)     | 13 (30.9)     | 2 (20)        |   |
| Yanomami         | 21 (13.9)     | 7 (16.7)      | 0             | 0.0637* |
| Other ethnical groups | 60 (39.7) | 22 (52.4) | 8 (80)       |   |
| Smoking          | n=152         | n=42          | n=10          |   |
| No               | 55 (38.7)     | 15 (35.7)     | 4 (40.0)      | 0.9674* |
| Yes              | 97 (63.8)     | 27 (64.3)     | 6 (60.0)      |   |
| Alcohol abuse    | n=152         | n=42          | n=10          |   |
| No               | 65 (42.8)     | 16 (38.1)     | 7 (70)        | 0.1840* |
| Yes              | 87 (57.2)     | 26 (61.9)     | 3 (30)        |   |
| Age, years       | n=152         | n=42          | n=10          |   |
| Interval         | 40.0 a 91.0   | 40.0 a 81.0   | 40.0 a 78.0   |   |
| Median (IIQ)     | 52.0 (44.0-63.0) | 54.5 (45.0-61.0) | 56.0 (47.0-68.0) | 0.7303** |

IIQ=interval interquartil; Arg=Arginin; Pro=Prolin.

*Chi-square; **Kruskal-Wallis.

Mexico and non-hispanic whites, with the lower incidence among Indians (1). Although different incidences of PCa among ethnic groups exist due to different screening methods and access to health care (13), several exogenous risk factors may contribute to the disparity of risks, including dietary habits, lifestyle and genetic predisposition (16). The association of prostate cancer, ingestion of saturated fat, BMI and physical activity was observed in many ethnic groups (14), along with the association of the size of body, in particular waist-hip, with PCa in Chinese men (17). Several studies suggest that PCa incidence is related to the visited country. Changes in lifestyle and dietary habits have great impact, increa-
Table 6 - Demographic and clinical characteristic, according to GSTP1 variant.

| Factors                  | Ile/Ile n (%) | Ile/Val n (%) | Val/Val n (%) | P     |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Ethnical group           |              |              |              |       |
| Macuxi                   | n=73         | n=94         | n=39         |       |
|ethnic group              |              |              |              |       |
| Macuxi                   | 29 (39.7)    | 42 (44.7)    | 16 (41.0)    | 0.6513*|
| Yanomami                 | 12 (16.4)    | 14 (14.9)    | 3 (7.7)      |       |
| Other ethnical groups    | 32 (43.8)    | 38 (40.4)    | 20 (51.3)    |       |
| Smoking                  |              |              |              |       |
| No                       | n=73         | n=95         | n=39         |       |
| Yes                      | 27 (37.0)    | 33 (34.7)    | 14 (35.9)    | 0.9553*|
| Alcohol abuse            |              |              |              |       |
| No                       | n=73         | n=95         | n=39         |       |
| Yes                      | 46 (63.0)    | 62 (65.3)    | 25 (64.1)    |       |
| Age, years               |              |              |              |       |
| Interval                 | 40.0 a 88.0  | 40.0 a 91.0  | 40.0-84.0    | 0.3975**|
| Median (IIQ)             | 50.0 (44.0-63.0) | 53.0 (45.0-62.0) | 55.0 (45.2-64.7) |       |

IIQ=interval interquartil; Ile=Isoleucin; Val=Valin.

*Chi-square; **Kruskal-Wallis

Sing three to seven times the incidence of PCa in first generation of American Japanese and Chinese, whose fathers migrated to USA (18, 19). However, the impact of diet is still controversial and data related to Indian nutritional status are rare.

In men, testosterone is the main circulating androgen, with major role in male physiology, in particular prostate. We observed that Yanomamis present lower levels of testosterone (414 ng/dL) in relation to other ethnic groups (502/512 ng/dL), but still within normal limits (350–1000 ng/dL).

We have also observed a particular difference of size and consistency of prostate during DR in Indians in relation to non-indigenous patients. It was observed a “minimum” gland with a more fibroelastic consistency, sometimes not detectable during digital rectal exam in the Indian population. We think that, more than isolated hormonal factors, the ingestion of several plants and the genetic background are the principal causes of this characteristic of physical exam of Indians.

The analysis of serum PSA using different thresholds revealed that 5.8% of participants had total PSA ≥4.0 ng/mL and 8.7% >2.5 ng/mL. In accordance to other studies (20–23), we have observed a rise of PSA levels with age in our population, indicating that the rise of PSA with age of Brazilian Indians is in accordance to general population.

In our casuistic abdominal circumference (90 cm is the threshold value) did not correlate to lower urinary tract symptoms (obstructive and/or irritative), as well as to prostatic cancer, in accordance do European results (24, 25).

Genetically, our data show that none patients of Yanomami group presented the genotype Pro/Pro of p53, compared to 2.02% of Macuxi, 8.3% in other ethnic groups and 12.6% in the control group, highlighting the miscegenation of the genotype Pro/Pro of p53.

Wu et al. demonstrated that genotypes Arg/Pro and Pro/Pro related to the susceptibility to Pca in Chinese men (26), in accordance to Quiñones et al. in Chilean patients (27). However, Henner et al. reported that the genotype Pro/Pro could be related to protection against PCa in Caucasian men (28).
Genotypic divergence of Yanomami population may be explained by intercrossing of consanguineous marriages, and the genes being transmitted from only one ancestral. Another aspect is the subdivision of population in isolated groups, separated by geographic, political, socioeconomic, religious and cultural barriers, preventing the exchange of genes by interethnic marriages (29).

When we evaluated the genotypic profile results of GSTP1, again we observed difference between Indians and non-Indians. The frequency of variant genotypes Ile/Val and Val/Val is lower among Indians, raising again the hypothesis of the above factors for p53 being related to such genotypic profile. Among the Yanomami studied, the homozygous genotype Val/Val was observed in only three cases (7.7%).

A meta-analysis study of genotypes GSTM1, GSTT and GSTP1 including 11 studies (2,528 patients and 3,076 controls) concluded that these three polymorphisms are not important factors for the susceptibility to PCa (30) but Indian populations were not represented.

In our sample, the number of participants per ethnic group is relatively small and the estimates of race, ethnic group and comparison among groups were restricted to Macuxis, Yanomamis and “other ethnic groups” as a third group.

On the other hand, this study so far is one with the biggest casuistic of Amazon Indians evaluated urologically, anthropometrically and genetically (P53 codon 72 and GSTP1). Although with a restrict number of controls (n=87), the results suggest differences of the genetic profile of Indians, maybe related to risk-protection patterns that explain the low prostate volume and the relatively low incidence of prostatic diseases in these populations.

Limits of the study: although we had not compared dietary details with the ingestion of saturated fat or industrial products among ethnic groups, it is evident that hunt meat is the basis of Indian diet; although the detailed comparison between ethnic groups more or less culturalized was obtained, the comparison with the control group of non-indigenous individuals was limited to genetic, age and tobacco use.

New Indian population studies are necessary to measure and follow the epidemiological modifications due to contact among Indians and non-Indians, in order to establish health care guidelines, in particular preventive ones, directed to the new reality of the studied population. These new studies may reveal the impact of inheritance of detoxification genes as polymorphisms of GSTP1 and TP53, along with the recognition and elimination of toxic dietary products related to prostatic diseases.

CONCLUSIONS

Indians, in particular Yanomamis, present low prostatic volume, lower BMI and different genotypic profile of GSTP1 and TP53 codon 72, although with similar PSA and testosterone levels to other ethnic groups. These aspects may be related to good prostatic health of the studied indigenous population.
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