Human norovirus is a leading cause of gastroenteritis and is efficiently transmitted between humans and around the globe. The burden of norovirus infections in the global community and in health-care settings warrant the availability of outbreak prevention strategies and control measures that are tailored to the pathogen, outbreak setting and population at risk. A better understanding of viral and host determinants of transmission would aid in developing and fine-tuning such efforts. Here, we describe mechanisms of transmission, available model systems for studying norovirus transmission and their strengths and weaknesses as well as future research strategies.
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The norovirus (NV) genus can be subdivided in seven genogroups, of which genogroups GI, GII and GIV have been detected in humans, and can be further subdivided into more than 40 genotypes [10]. These genotypes are not equally prevalent as causes of disease in humans: currently most gastroenteritis outbreaks are caused by the GII.4 genotype, although in some parts of Asia GII.17 recently emerged as the predominant genotype [11–13]. While the dynamics of GII.4 circulation are thought to be influenced by virus evolution and population immunity, it is not clear why this particular genotype is more successful than others in causing outbreaks and spreading around the globe. This is partly because much of our knowledge on NV transmission is based on epidemiological observations, rather than on controlled in vitro or in vivo experiments. Similarly, many other questions have remained unanswered. For example, what is the effect of antigenic evolution or recombination on norovirus fitness and transmissibility? What is the size and nature of genetic bottlenecks during transmission events? And what proportion of viruses that we can detect in a clinical or environmental setting are actually infectious and able to transmit? As major advances have been made in recent years, we review the currently available tools and models to study norovirus transmission in vitro and in vivo.

**Evidence from epidemiological studies and outbreak investigations**
Epidemiological studies have demonstrated that the contributions of the individual genogroups and genotypes can vary among outbreak settings and transmission routes (Figure 1) [14]. For example, norovirus outbreaks caused by the GII.4 genotype are more common in health-care facilities than outbreaks caused by GI and non-GII.4 genotypes. Within health-care facilities GII.4 strains are more often associated with outbreaks in adult wards and GII.3 strains with outbreaks in children wards. In hospitals the dominant transmission route is from patient-to-patient followed by patient-to-health-care worker and is related to level of dependency [15,16]. In community outbreaks young children (<5 years) are more likely to infect other people compared to older children, possibly because they have relatively high rates of contact and low levels of hygiene [17–19]. Persons can be infected with HuNV without the presentation of symptoms [20], but the relationship between shedding and disease is not clear with some conflicting evidence in literature [4,21].

However, in health-care settings, symptomatic patients were found to be responsible for the majority of transmission events [22]. In all, epidemiological studies have also provided some information on differences in transmission efficiency between genotypes, but it is difficult to
obtain conclusive evidence without the use of *in vitro* and *in vivo* (transmission) model systems.

**In vitro cell culture systems**

Historically, norovirus transmission studies have been hindered by the lack of cell culture models. Noroviruses attach to human cells through the (co)-receptor histo-blood group antigens (HBGA) and a recent study demonstrated that HuNV productively infects B cells, in the presence of exogenous HBGA or HBGA-like molecules on specific intestinal bacteria [23,24**]. In biopsies obtained from HuNV infected immunocompromised persons, the major capsid protein (VP1) was detected in enterocytes, macrophages, T cells and dendritic cells [25]. The inset shows the detection of RdRp and VP1 (yellow arrows) in the same duodenal biopsy from a HuNV positive patient (adapted from [25]).

**HuNV tropism and transmission.** After shedding from the host via vomitus or feces HuNV is transmitted to the next host. Transmission can occur through several routes, with differences in association between genogroup and transmission route [68]. During transmission, viruses encounter multiple environmental barriers and as well as structural, functional and immunological barriers within the host that can potentially restrict or prevent transmission. After infection of the new host HuNV replicates in the intestine. In immunocompromised patients HuNV antigens can be detected in the ileum, jejunum and duodenum in enterocytes, macrophages, T cells and dendritic cells [25]. In agreement with these findings successful cultivation of multiple HuNV strains in human intestinal enteroid
monolayers was recently reported [26–28]. Bile was required for replication of some strains, while the lack of appropriate HBGA restricted replication. *Ex vivo* inoculation of human duodenal tissues with GII.4 isolates also resulted in an increase of viral genomic RNA over time and expression of both structural and non-structural proteins in glandular epithelial cells [27]. These HuNV cell culture models can be used study HuNV replication kinetics, virus–host interactions and other aspects of NV biology and will finally allow researchers to address many of the unanswered questions listed above.

But what are the minimal requirements for a cell culture system to be a valuable tool for HuNV transmission studies? The HuNV cell culture system has to support attachment, internalization, replication and release of the viral particles, but is it necessary to include the microbiome? To study the role of bacteria, transwell cultures can be used where the viruses and bacteria are added to the apical or basolateral sides of the cell culture [28]. However, these infection models are not suitable for coculturing with a living microbiome for prolonged periods of time, because of rapid bacterial overgrowth, which is a major limitation to their use. In the future, the gut-on-chip system could potentially mimic the normal epithelial differentiation in the gut ecosystem, in which peristalsis and flow of intestinal content restrain microbial overgrowth *in vivo* [29].

**Experimental transmission models**

HuNV infection and transmission events can be studied *in vivo* by the use of human volunteers, experimental animals or animal calciviruses in their natural hosts. Several experimental animal models support HuNV replication; chimpanzees, immunocompromised mice, gnotobiotic pigs and gnotobiotic calves [30–34]. Most of these animal models can be infected with HuNV by oral inoculation. Despite the apparent stability of NV in an acidic environment [35], for most of these studies sodium bicarbonate is orally administered prior to virus inoculation to neutralize stomach acids and increase infection efficiency. The immunocompromised BALB/c Rag-2−/− mice are an exception as they require an intraperitoneal route of infection, which is not ideal for transmission studies [32].

Pigs are natural hosts for NV genotypes GII.11, GII.18 and GII.19 [10,36,37], while bovine species are natural hosts for GIII strains [38]. One study reports the detection of GII.4 in pigs and cattle from farms [37] and inoculation of both gnotobiotic pigs and calves with GII.4 results in replication [31]. However, these animal models are challenging due to size of the animals and costs. Replication in the gnotobiotic pig model occurs in the small intestine and results in virus shedding and diarrhea [39]. Contaminated oysters can be a source of foodborne HuNV infection in humans and this can be mimicked in gnotobiotic pigs as they can be infected by feeding them HuNV seeded oyster homogenates [40]. In immunocompromised patients, HuNV infection can result in prolonged shedding and more severe disease, raising questions about the role of such persons in the emergence and transmission of HuNV [41]. Recently an immunocompromised gnotobiotic pig model was developed; these RAG2/IL2RG deficient pigs were characterized by depletion of lymphocytes and either absence of or structurally abnormal immune organs [42]. Similar to what was observed for immunocompromised patients, infection with GII.4 led to increased viral titers and prolonged virus shedding compared to wild-type pigs. An intriguing observation was that the use of a common treatment with cholesterol lowering drugs affected severity in humans, and HuNV replication in pigs [43,44]. These results suggest that the gnotobiotic pig model is a suitable model to study foodborne transmission and transmission events involving immunocompromised patients. Co-infections with HuNV and HBGA-expressing *Enterobacter cloacae* were also investigated in the gnotobiotic pig model, but surprisingly and in contrast to the *in vitro* observations [45], co-inoculation with *Enterobacter cloacae* inhibited HuNV infectivity in pigs [46].

Chimpanzees can be infected with G1.1 HuNV by the intravenous and oral route [33,34]. Infection does not result in diarrhea or histopathological changes of the gut tissue, although the duration and titers of HuNV shedding in feces resembles that in humans [34]. The virus could be passaged from chimpanzee-to-chimpanzee by feeding of fecal filtrate [33]. Notably, chimpanzees that were not challenged but were located in the same and adjacent rooms developed antibody responses, although HuNV antigen could not be detected in their feces [33]. However, chimpanzees are no longer available for biomedical research due to ethical reasons. Human GII.4 strains also have been detected in dogs and, surprisingly, canine seroprevalence to different HuNV genotypes resembles the seroprevalence in the human population [47,48]. However, to date experimental infections of dogs with HuNV have not been documented.

The murine norovirus (MNV) model has been used to study many aspects of the NV replication cycle [49]. MNV belongs to genogroup GV and replicates to high titers *in vitro* and *in vivo* in its natural host [49,50]. MNV and other cultivable calciviruses such as Tulane virus (genus Reoivirus) and feline calcivirus (genus Vesivirus) have been used as HuNV surrogates for inactivation studies, to either prevent transmission and control outbreaks or to increase food safety [51]. However, the value of these model organisms needs to be assessed on a case by case basis, depending on the question addressed as there can be differences in, amongst others, receptor usage and transmission routes [51]. These studies can also be performed with HuNV; the degradation of virus particles can be assessed by determining the change in viral RNA copies...
Mice model for contact transmission Mice, deficient in INF-α/β and IFN-γ receptors were infected with MNV (green). Uninfected sentinel mice (purple) were placed with the infected donor mice (a) or in a contaminated environment in absence of the infected donor animals (b), both settings resulted in infection of the sentinel mice. Donor animals were treated with 2CMC (syringe) prior to inoculation with MNV and placed with sentinel animals, seven days post inoculation (dpi) 2CMC treatment was discontinued and both groups were placed in separate cages (c). The 2CMC treatment impacted on disease and transmission [53].
or binding properties [52], but such assays do not always accurately represent infectious titers. A transmission model for MNV was developed by Rocha-Pereia et al. [53**] (Figure 2). Donor animals were inoculated with MNV and placed into the same cage as uninfected sentinel animals. Alternatively, the sentinel animals were placed in a contaminated environment in absence of the infected donor animals (Figure 2a and b). In the absence of antivirals, both strategies resulted in infection of the sentinel animals. Using this model, it was demonstrated that treatment of the donor or sentinel animals with the antiviral 2’-C-methylcytidine (2CMC) prevented transmission and reduced disease severity (Figure 2c). Thus, the mice model provides a valuable tool for future transmission studies and could be useful to address many of the current ‘unknowns’ of NV transmission, such as the relation between replication kinetics and transmission or the size and nature of genetic bottlenecks during NV transmission.

Clinical symptoms and transmission

It is likely that in the absence of clinical symptoms such as vomiting and diarrhea, transmission events are infrequent due to the lack of environmental contamination. Vomiting and toilet flushing can result in the formation of droplets and aerosols [54], and several studies have been dedicated to elucidate the role of vomiting in transmission through the airborne route and by environmental contamination. Initial indication for the possible role of vomiting in transmission came from outbreak investigations where the secondary attack rate of NV was inversely correlated to the distance of the contact to a person vomiting inside a confined space [55]. Human challenge studies with GL1, GI.I2 and GI.I1 strains demonstrated that 40–100% of the infected subjects vomited at least once. Most of the emesis samples contained detectable virus titers with mean titers of 8.0 × 10^2 and 3.9 × 10^3 genomic equivalent copies/ml for GI and GI.II viruses, respectively. A second factor is the severity of vomiting, described as projectile vomiting with abrupt onset. The force of emesis may affect the dispersal of droplets and aerosols and thereby the severity of environmental contamination. To assess the extent to which an episode of projectile vomiting can contaminate the environment a simulated vomiting system named Vomiting Larry was developed [56†]. The model is based on the intragastric pressures, that reaches on average 10.93 kPa and can be as high as 38.66 kPa during vomiting, as measured during episodes of vomiting induced in volunteers by drinking Ipecac syrup [57]. Simulation studies with Vomiting Larry indicated that during an episode of projectile vomiting splashes and droplets can spread >3 m forward and 2.6 m lateral and that an area of at least 7.8 m² should be decontaminated [56]. Others were able to generate aerosols with the HuNV surrogate bacteriophage MS2 [58]. More importantly aerosolized HuNV genomes could be detected during outbreaks in health-care facilities with concentrations ranging from 1.4 × 10^4 to 2.4 × 10^5 genome copies per m³ of air. That infectivity and integrity of NV particles can be preserved during aerosolization was shown with MNV [59]. Considering the low infectious dose needed to infect volunteers [3], these concentrations would likely be high enough to infect new hosts after inhalation and swallowing of the viral particles.

Stability in the environment

After shedding from the host NV particles have to remain stable in the environment prior to infecting a new host. The presence of bacteria can affect viral stability, for example binding of poliovirus to bacterial surface polysaccharides enhances virion stability [45,60]. In the presence of bacteria MNV was more stable to electrical breakdown in water [61†], while HuNV was found to be more stable to acute heat stress. Thus, the presence of bacteria might facilitate transmission owing to an increase in stability in the environment.

GI.I4 strains have the highest prevalence in the winter season in temperate regions. For influenza it is thought that seasonality is related to stability and humidity as humidity can negatively affect transmission efficiency in vivo [62]. High humidity also resulted in a decrease of infectivity and binding capacity of MNV and HuNV, respectively [63], while low humidity, like observed during the winter, was beneficial to NV survival. Although it should be noted that for non-GI.I4 genotypes and in non-temperate regions seasonality is less clear [64]. Despite its sensitivity to humidity, HuNV is very stable in water. It was demonstrated that ground water spiked to a final concentration of ~6.5 × 10^7 GL1 HuNV genomic equivalent copies/ml remained infectious to humans for at least 61 days. Remarkably, HuNV genome copies remained detectable in groundwater for over three years, although it was not assessed whether these represented infectious virus [65]. In this study the infectivity was evaluated using human volunteers. The availability of the HuNV cell culture system will make it easier to determine what proportion of viruses that we can detect in the environment are infectious. Of interest, GI noroviruses have a higher association with waterborne infections compared to GI.II viruses and it is hypothesized that this is the result of a higher stability in water [8,66], and limited removal efficiency during sewage treatment [67].

Conclusion

Despite major hurdles in culturing HuNV and the development of animal models, considerable progress has been made in understanding NV transmission. However, it is anticipated that the recent availability of cell culture systems and animal models will uncover many of the current ‘unknowns’ and will boost the development of vaccines, antivirals and treatment strategies. A better understanding of HuNV transmission and the development of outbreak control protocols and HuNV inactivation techniques will likely improve food safety and health-care.
Acknowledgements
This work was supported by the EU H2020 grant COMPARE under grant agreement number 643476, the Virgo Consortium, funded by Dutch government project number FE80908, and the ZonMW TOP grant under grant number 91213058.

References and recommended reading
Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

● of special interest
●● of outstanding interest

1. Hall AJ: Noroviruses: the perfect human pathogens? J Infect Dis 2012, 205:1622-1624.
2. Lopman BA, Steele D, Kirkwood CD, Parashar UD: The vast and varied global burden of norovirus: prospects for prevention and control. PLoS Med 2016, 13:e1001999.
3. Teunis PF, Moe CL, Liu P, Miller SE, Lindesmith L, Baric RS, Le Pendu J, Calderon RL: Norwalk virus: how infectious is it? J Med Virol 2008, 80:1468-1476.
4. Atmar RL, Opekun AR, Gilger MA, Estes MK, Crawford SE, Neilh FH, Graham DY: Norwalk virus shedding after experimental human infection. Emerg Infect Dis 2008, 14:1553-1557.
5. Marks PJ, Vipond IB, Carlisle D, Deakin D, Fey RE, Caul EO: Evidence for airborne transmission of Norwalk-like virus (NLV) in a hotel restaurant. Epidemiol Infect 2000, 124:481-487.
6. Verhoel J, Hewitt J, Barclay L, Ahmed SM, Lake R, Hall AJ, Lopman B, Kroneman A, Vennema H, Vinje J et al.: Norovirus genotype profiles associated with foodborne transmission, 1999-2012. Emerg Infect Dis 2015, 21:592-599.
7. This study provides the estimated proportion of foodborne infections caused by HuNV on a global scale and the genotypes associated with these.
8. Wikiswo ME, Hall AJ: Outbreaks of acute gastroenteritis transmitted by person-to-person contact—United States, 2009-2010. Morbidity and mortality weekly report. Surveillance Summaries 2012, 61:1-12.
9. Lysen M, Thorhagen M, Brytting M, Hjertqvist M, Andersson Y, Hedlund K: Genetic diversity among food-borne and waterborne norovirus strains causing outbreaks in Sweden. J Clin Microbiol 2009, 47:2411-2418.
10. Liu P, Escudero B, Jaykus LA, Montes J, Goulter RM, Lichtenstein M, Fernandez M, Lee JC, De Nardo E, Kirby A et al.: Laboratory evidence of norwalk virus contamination on the hands of infected individuals. Appl Environ Microbiol 2013, 79:7875-7881.
11. Vinje J: Advances in laboratory methods for detection and typing of norovirus. J Clin Microbiol 2015, 53:373-381.
12. de Graaf M, van Beek J, Vennema H, Podkolzin AT, Hewitt J, Bucardo F, Templeton K, Mans J, Nordgren J, Reuter G et al.: Emergence of a novel GII.17 norovirus - end of the GII.4 era? Euro Surveillance 2015:20.
13. Fu J, Ai J, Jin M, Jiang C, Zhang J, Shi C, Lin Q, Yuan Z, Qi X, Bao C, et al.: Emergence of a new GII.17 norovirus variant in patients with acute gastroenteritis in Jiangsu, China, September 2014 to March 2015. Euro surveillance: bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin 2015, 20.
14. Lu J, Sun L, Fang L, Yang F, Mo Y, Lao J, Zheng H, Tan X, Lin H, Rutherford S et al.: Gastroenteritis Outbreaks Caused by Norovirus GII.17, Guangdong Province, China, 2014-2015. Emerging Infect Dis 2015:21.
15. de Graaf M, van Beek J, Koopmans MP: Human norovirus transmission and evolution in a changing world. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016, 14:421-433.
16. Heijn JC, Rondy M, Verhoel L, Wallinga J, Kretzschmar M, Low N, Koopmans M, Teunis PF: Quantifying transmission of norovirus during an outbreak. Epidemiology 2012, 23:277-284.
17. Simmons K, Gambhir M, Leon J, Lopman B: Duration of immunity to norovirus gastroenteritis. Emerg Infect Dis 2013, 19:1260-1267.
18. Sukhrie FH, Beersma MF, Wong A, van der Veer B, Vennema H, Bogeraman J, Koopmans M: Using molecular epidemiology to trace transmission of nosocomial norovirus infection. J Clin Microbiol 2011, 49:602-606.
19. Mossong J, Hens N, Jit M, Beutels P, Auranen K, Mikolajczyk R, Massari M, Salmaso S, Sadja GS, Wallinga J et al.: Social contacts and mixing patterns relevant to the spread of infectious diseases. PLoS Med 2008, 5:e74.
20. Saito M, Goel-Apaza S, Espetia D, Cabrera L, Loli S, Crabtree D, Black RE, Kosek M, Checkley W et al.: Multiple norovirus infections in a birth cohort in a Peruvian perinatal community. Clin Infect Dis 2014, 58:493-499.
21. Teunis PF, Sukhrie FH, Vennema H, Bogeraman J, Beersma MF, Koopmans MP: Shedding of norovirus in symptomatic and asymptomatic infections. Epidemiol Infect 2015, 143:1710-1717.
22. Sukhrie FH, Teunis P, Vennema H, Copra C, Thijs Beersma MF, Bogeraman J, Koopmans M: Nosocomial transmission of norovirus is mainly caused by symptomatic cases. Clin Infect Dis 2012, 54:931-937.
23. Jones MK, Grau KR, Costantini V, Kolawole AO, de Graaf M, Freiden P, Graves CL, Koopmans M, Wallinga J et al.: Human norovirus culture in B cells. Nat Protocols 2015, 10:1939-1947.
24. Jones MK, Watanabe M, Zhu S, Graves CL, Keyes LR, Grau KR, Gonzalez-Hernandez MB, Lovine NM, Wobus CE, Vinje J et al.: Enteric bacteria promote human and mouse norovirus infection of B cells. Science 2014, 345:755-759.
This study demonstrates that HuNV can replicate in B-cells in the presence of bacteria.
25. Karandikar U, Crawford SE, Ajami NJ, Murakami K, Kour B, Eyteyki K, Papanicolaou GA, Jongwutiwes U, Perez MA, Shia J et al.: Detection of human norovirus in intestinal biopsies from immunocompromised transplant patients. J Gen Virol 2016.
26. HuNV antigens are detected in entericocytes, macrophages, T cells and dendritic cells of HuNV infected immunocompromised patients.
27. Eyteyki K, Crawford SE, Murakami K, Broughman JR, Karandikar U, Tenge VR, Neilh FH, Blutt SE, Zeng XL, Puri L et al.: Replication of human noroviruses in stem cell-derived human enteroids. Science 2016.
This study describes the cultivation of multiple HuNV strains in intestinal enteroid monolayers.
28. Leung WK, Chan PK, Lee NL, Sung JJ: Development of an in vitro cell culture model for human noroviruses and its clinical application. Hong Kong Med J 2010, 16:18-21.
29. Hendricks MR, Laatua LP, Fischer DK, Fitter BA, Eichinger KM, Durbin JE, Sarkar SN, Coyne CB, Empey KM, Bomberger JM: Respiratory syncytial virus infection enhances Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm growth through dysregulation of nutritional immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016, 113:1642-1647.
30. Benham KH, Dauth S, Hassell B, Herland A, Jain A, Jant KJ, Karalis K, Kim HJ, Macqueen L, Mahmoudian Ret al.: Engineered in vitro disease models. Annu Rev Pathol 2015, 10:195-262.
31. Zhou C, Li M, Yuan K, Deng S, Peng W: Pig Ascaris: an important source of human ascariasis in China. Infect Genet Evol 2012, 12:1172-1177.
32. Souza M, Azevedo MS, Jung K, Cheetham SA, Sall L: Pathogenesis and immune responses in gnotobiotic calves after infection with the genogroup II.4-HS66 strain of human norovirus. J Virol 2008, 82:1777-1786.
33. Taube S, Kolawole AO, Hohne M, Wilkinson JE, Handley SA, Pery JH, Thackray LB, Akkina R, Wobus CE: A mouse model for human norovirus. mBio 2013:4.
Emerging viruses: interspecies transmission

33. Wyatt RG, Greenberg HB, Dalgaard DW, Allen WP, Sly DL, Thornhill TS, Chanock RM, Kapikian AZ: Experimental infection of chimpanzees with the Norwalk agent of epidemic viral gastroenteritis. J Med Virol 1978, 2:89-96.

34. Bok K, Parra GI, Mitra T, Abente E, Shaver CK, Boon D, Engle R, Yu C, Kapikian AZ, Sosnowske SV et al.: Chimpanzees as an animal model for human norovirus infection and vaccine development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011, 108:325-330.

35. Tung-Thompson G, Gentry-Shields J, Fraser A, Jaykus LA: Persistence of a genogroup II human norovirus in gnotobiotic pigs. J Clin Virol 2014, 61:453-455.

36. Cheetham S, Souza M, Funk JA, Saif LJ: Norovirus persistence and transmission in pigs with severe combined immunodeficiency. J Clin Virol 2015, 70:252-258.

37. Mattison K, Shukla A, Cook A, Pollari F, Friendship R, Kelton D, Cheetham S, Souza M, Meulia T, Grimes S, Han MG, Saif LJ: Norovirus infectivity in gnotobiotic pigs with severe combined immunodeficiency. J Clin Virol 2015, 61:10372-10381.

38. Lou F, Ye M, Ma Y, Li X, DiCaprio E, Chen H, Krakowka S, Murk JL, de Vries AC, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Aron G, Osterhaus AD, Jaykus LA: Norovirus infectivity in gnotobiotic pigs. J Clin Virol 2016, 2016, 81:669-6677.

39. Karst SM: The influence of commensal bacteria on infection with enteric viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016, 14:197-204.

40. Lei S, Samuel H, Twitchell E, Bui T, Ramesh A, Weiss M, Li G, Samuel H, Clark-Deener S et al.: Increased and prolonged human norovirus infection in RA02/IL2RG-deficient gnotobiotic pigs with severe combined immunodeficiency. Sci Rep 2016, 6:25222.

41. Rondy M, Koopmans M, Rotsaert C, Van Loon T, Beljaars B, Van Dijk G, Siebenga J, Svraka S, Rossen JW, Teunis P et al.: Norovirus disease associated with excess mortality and use of statins: a retrospective cohort study of an outbreak following a pilgrimage to Lourdes. Epidemiol Infect 2011, 139:453-463.

42. Jung K, Wang Q, Kim Y, Scheuer K, Zhang Z, Shen Q, Chang KO, Saif LJ: The effects of simvastatin or interferon-alpha on infectivity of human norovirus using a gnotobiotic pig model for the study of antivirals. PLoS One 2012, 7:e14169.

43. Karst SM: The influence of commensal bacteria on infection with enteric viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016, 14:197-204.

44. Lei S, Samuel H, Twitchell E, Bui T, Ramesh A, Weiss M, Li G, Yang X, Jiang X et al.: Enterobacter cloacae inhibits human norovirus infectivity in gnotobiotic pigs. Sci Rep 2016, 6:25017.

45. Caddy SL, de Rougemont A, Emmett E, El-Attar L, Mitchell JA, Hollinshead M, Belliot G, Brownlie J, Le Pendu J, Goodfellow I: Evidence for human norovirus infection in the United kingdom. J Clin Microbiol 2015, 53:1873-1883.

46. Summa M, von Bonsdorff CH, Maunula L: Pet dogs—a transmission route for human noroviruses? J Clin Virol 2012, 53:244-247.

47. Thorne LG, Goodfellow IG: Norovirus gene expression and replication. J Gen Virol 2014, 95:278-291.

48. Karst SM, Wobus CE, Lay M, Davidson J, Virgin HW: STAT1-dependent innate immunity to a Norwalk-like virus. Science 2003, 299:1575-1578.

49. Vashist S, Bailey D, Putics A, Goodfellow I: Model systems for the study of human norovirus Biology. Future Virol 2009, 4:353-367.

50. Knight A, Haines J, Stals A, Li D, Uyttendaele M, Knight A, Jaykus LA: A systematic review of human norovirus survival reveals a great persistence of human norovirus in environmental samples. J Public Health 2016, 58:456-464.

51. Wyatt RG, Greenberg HB, Dalgaard DW, Allen WP, Sly DL, Thornhill TS, Chanock RM, Kapikian AZ: Experimental infection of chimpanzees with the Norwalk agent of epidemic viral gastroenteritis. J Med Virol 1978, 2:89-96.

52. Bok K, Parra GI, Mitra T, Abente E, Shaver CK, Boon D, Engle R, Yu C, Kapikian AZ, Sosnowske SV et al.: Chimpanzees as an animal model for human norovirus infection and vaccine development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011, 108:325-330.

53. Tung-Thompson G, Gentry-Shields J, Fraser A, Jaykus LA: Persistence of a genogroup II human norovirus in gnotobiotic pigs. J Clin Virol 2014, 61:453-455.

54. Cheetham S, Souza M, Meulia T, Grimes S, Han MG, Saif LJ: Norovirus infectivity in gnotobiotic pigs. J Clin Virol 2016, 2016, 81:669-6677.

55. Lou F, Ye M, Ma Y, Li X, DiCaprio E, Chen H, Krakowka S, Murk JL, de Vries AC, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Aron G, Osterhaus AD, Jaykus LA: Norovirus infectivity in gnotobiotic pigs with severe combined immunodeficiency. Sci Rep 2016, 6:25222.

56. Rondy M, Koopmans M, Rotsaert C, Van Loon T, Beljaars B, Van Dijk G, Siebenga J, Svraka S, Rossen JW, Teunis P et al.: Norovirus disease associated with excess mortality and use of statins: a retrospective cohort study of an outbreak following a pilgrimage to Lourdes. Epidemiol Infect 2011, 139:453-463.

57. Jung K, Wang Q, Kim Y, Scheuer K, Zhang Z, Shen Q, Chang KO, Saif LJ: The effects of simvastatin or interferon-alpha on infectivity of human norovirus using a gnotobiotic pig model for the study of antivirals. PLoS One 2012, 7:e14169.

58. Karst SM: The influence of commensal bacteria on infection with enteric viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016, 14:197-204.

59. Lei S, Samuel H, Twitchell E, Bui T, Ramesh A, Weiss M, Li G, Samuel H, Clark-Deener S et al.: Increased and prolonged human norovirus infection in RA02/IL2RG-deficient gnotobiotic pigs with severe combined immunodeficiency. Sci Rep 2016, 6:25017.

60. Caddy SL, de Rougemont A, Emmett E, El-Attar L, Mitchell JA, Hollinshead M, Belliot G, Brownlie J, Le Pendu J, Goodfellow I: Evidence for human norovirus infection in the United kingdom. J Clin Microbiol 2015, 53:1873-1883.

61. Summa M, von Bonsdorff CH, Maunula L: Pet dogs—a transmission route for human noroviruses? J Clin Virol 2012, 53:244-247.

62. Thorne LG, Goodfellow IG: Norovirus gene expression and replication. J Gen Virol 2014, 95:278-291.

63. Karst SM, Wobus CE, Lay M, Davidson J, Virgin HW: STAT1-dependent innate immunity to a Norwalk-like virus. Science 2003, 299:1575-1578.

64. Vashist S, Bailey D, Putics A, Goodfellow I: Model systems for the study of human norovirus Biology. Future Virol 2009, 4:353-367.

65. Knight A, Haines J, Stals A, Li D, Uyttendaele M, Knight A, Jaykus LA: A systematic review of human norovirus survival reveals a great persistence of human norovirus in environmental samples. J Public Health 2016, 58:456-464.