Self-activation of slag-cements with glass waste powder
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ABSTRACT: The aim of this study is to evaluate the behavior of glass powder waste as alkaline activator of slag when both are used in blended cement. The slag blended cement was prepared with 70% slag, and then the slag was replaced with 10-20% glass powder by mass. The self-activation concept is here introduced. Ground glass releases alkalis through dissolution in a slow and sustained way over time. This would activate slag. Ground glass improves fluidity of mortars and the compressive strength overcomes dilution and increases with age due to the combined pozzolanic action of slag and glass. The proposed blended cement has better mechanical properties than slag-cement. The proposed blended cement has better mechanical properties than slag-cement and due to less use of slag, it becomes more sustainable and it contributes to waste management.
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RESUMEN: Autoactivación de cemento de escorias con polvo de vidrio de descarte. El objetivo del presente trabajo es evaluar el comportamiento de un residuo molido de vidrio como activador interno de la escoria cuando ambos son usados en un cemento mezcla. El cemento de escoria fue preparado con un 70% de escoria y luego la escoria se reemplazó con un 10 y 20% en masa por vidrio molido. Este trabajo introduce el concepto de autoactivación. El vidrio molido libera álcalis por disolución, en forma lenta y sostenida en el tiempo que activarían la escoria. La presencia de vidrio molido mejora la fluididad de los morteros y la resistencia a compresión supera la dilución y crece con la edad por la acción puzolánica combinada del vidrio y el vidrio. El cemento mezcla propuesto tiene mejores propiedades mecánicas que el cemento de escorias pero con menor contenido de escoria por lo que es más sustentable y contribuye al manejo de residuos.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Slag-cements appear as an alternative material to Portland cement. Although they have similar physical and mechanical properties, slag cements are more sustainable. Granulated blast furnace slag is a by-product of iron production and widely used as an active addition to Portland cement. It is classified as a latent hydraulic material with pozzolanic properties (1). When using slag at replacement ratios greater than 50%, the main disadvantage is the low strength gain at early ages due to the slow hydration rate of slag. However, this behavior is reverted at later ages with better mechanical and durable properties than those of Portland cement based materials (2).

The incorporation of large volumes of slag into cement generates a less hydration product volume because of the small amount of calcium hydroxide (CH) from the hydration of clinker, which is the chemical activator of slag. Therefore, mechanical strength that is directly related to the degree of cement hydration advancement falls, especially at early ages.

An alternative is thermal treatment, which is an effective means to accelerate slag hydration. Nevertheless, to improve strength of blast-furnace cements, the water/binder (w/b) ratio and the curing temperature should be properly designed according to the proportion of slag in cement (3).

Alkaline-activated slag-cement has been widely used since 1940s (1). Other alternative cements are alkaline-activated cements and/or geopolymers, which use 100% of their industrial by-products (e.g., 100% slags) with alkali activators such as sodium hydroxide, sodium silicate, and sodium carbonate.

Alkaline-activated blended cement or hybrid cement is the more recent and innovative option. This material uses a high ratio of industrial by-products, such as slag and fly ash, with less than 30% Portland cement or clinker, and the mixture is alkali activated (4-6).

On the other hand, glass is a 100% recyclable material. It does not lose its physical properties or quality in the recycling process (7). For each ton of recycled glass, 130 kilos of fuel and 1200 kilos of raw material are saved. However, the percentage of glass recycled and reincorporated into the productive circuit is very low.

As glass is amorphous and it contains a relatively large amount of silica and calcium, it would become pozzolanic when finely ground (8, 9). Thus, an interesting way of reusing these wastes would be involved.

Using DTA techniques Federico et al. (10), showed that glass reactivity is similar to ground-granulated blast slag and lower than silica fume at comparable particle size. Zhang et al. (11) analyzed the feasibility of a waste glass powder residue as partial mineral precursor to produce alkali-activated materials. It was reported that, compared with powder coal fly ash, glass powder is better involved in the alkali activation process due to higher silica and Ca dissolution.

In order to extend this use, the aim of this study is to analyze the self-activation role of glass powder in the slag-glass system by the incorporation of different percentages of ground glass powder without previous treatment of slag-cement.

### Table 1. Chemical composition and physical characteristics of materials

| Chemical composition | \( \text{C} \) | \( \text{S} \) | \( \text{G} \) |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( \text{SiO}_2 \) (%) | 21.5            | 34.07           | 70.91           |
| \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) (%) | 3.8             | 11.95           | 1.55            |
| \( \text{CaO} \) (%) | 64.3            | 38.58           | 10.75           |
| \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) (%) | 3.8             | 1.04            | 0.26            |
| \( \text{Na}_2\text{O} \) (%) | 0.1             | 0.15            | 13.80           |
| \( \text{K}_2\text{O} \) (%) | 1.1             | 0.41            | 0.89            |
| \( \text{SO}_3 \) (%) | 2.6             | 0.84            | 0.21            |
| \( \text{MgO} \) (%) | 0.8             | 9.54            | 0.10            |
| \( \text{LOI} \) (%) | 2.1             | 1.30            | 1.14            |

| Physical characteristics | \( \text{C} \) | \( \text{S} \) | \( \text{G} \) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Density \( \text{m}^3/\text{kg} \) | 3.15            | 2.95            | 2.50            |
| Absorption (%) | -               | 0.90            | 0.40            |
| Specific surface, Blaine | 315             | 460             | 609             |
| Retained on sieve (%) \( 75 \mu \text{m} (#200) \) | -               | 0.00            | 1.44            |
| Retained on sieve (%) \( 45 \mu \text{m} (#325) \) | -               | 0.90            | 7.98            |
| Particle size distribution (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | \( d_{30} \) | 63.5            | 41.6            |
|                          | \( d_{50} \) | 19.0            | 14.5            |
|                          | \( d_{10} \) | 2.7             | 2.3             |
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2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

A type I ordinary Portland cement, ASTM C150 (C), a ground granulated blast-furnace slag (S) and white bottle glass (G) powder were used to prepare blended cement for this study. The chemical composition of C, obtained by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry, is reported in Table 1. The mineralogical composition of C, calculated by Bogue formulas, was C₃S: 60 %, C₂S: 16.4 %, C₃A: 3.8 %, C₄AF: 11.5%. The chemical composition of S and G are also given in Table 1 and determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) analysis. The mineralogical composition was identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and FTIR spectroscopy (FTIR). XRD analysis was performed using a Philips PW 3710 diffractometer operating with Cu Kα radiation at 40 kV and 20 mA and the FTIR spectra were performed on NICOLET Magna 550 using KBr technique.

The physical characteristics of all materials (density, absorption, specific surface, retained on sieve and particle size distribution d10, d50, and d90) also appear in Table 1. According to Table 1 and Figure 1, C has high density and particle size distribution with large volume of particles, bigger than the S and the G. Glass powder has the smallest ones. Having different particle size distribution favors packaging of mixtures, reducing empty spaces and increasing packing density (12). Management of these parameters is vital to obtain more resistant and more durable concretes.

XRD (Figure 2a) analysis of S and G shows absence of crystalline compounds. Only a diffuse band in 15-40º 2θ was observed assigned to amorphous silicate from G and another diffuse band centred at 2θ = 30º assigned to melilitte, from S. Characterization of G and S through FTIR techniques can be seen in Figure 2b.

![Figure 1. Particle size distribution a) partial, b) cumulated.](image)

![Figure 2. a) DRX and b) FTIR spectra of G and S.](image)
FTIR spectra of S show a broad band in 962 cm⁻¹ characteristic of asymmetric stretching vibration bands of Si-O in the silicate tetrahedrons, broadening and shifted to lower frequency, typical of IR spectra of glass phases (13). Vibration bands in 508 cm⁻¹ are assigned to deformation vibration of silicate O-Si-O and the other between 600-800 cm⁻¹ at asymmetric stretching vibration of Al-O bonds of AlO₄ (14). The bands near 1400 cm⁻¹ were assigned to CO₃²⁻ group present by the partial carbonation of slag.

FTIR assignment of G is also performed on the basis of SiO₄ tetrahedron, which in this case has symmetry smaller than Td. The Si-O-Si stretch vibration, coupled with other vibrators of the structure, is between: 700-1200 cm⁻¹. The vibration of deformation O-Si-O is in the region 400-500 cm⁻¹ (15).

2.2. Mixtures and Test methods

The reference slag blended cement was in proportion 30:70 (C:S) and then the S was replaced in 10 and 20% by mass with G. Table 2 shows the name given to each sample and its composition. Slag cement, hydrated in the presence of Na(OH) solution, was used as comparison in order to establish the alkaline activation capacity of the ground glass at early age.

The rate of heat evolution and the cumulative heat released during early hydration (first 48 hours) of different pastes (w/b = 0.5) were registered by isothermal conduction calorimetry at 20 ºC.

The workability of mortar was determined using the flow table test according to ASTM C1437 (16) as the diameters of spread mortar were measured along four lines and were expressed in percentage. Mortars were cast in 40 x 40 x 160 mm moulds and then compacted in two layers, covered with plastic sheet to prevent water loss and stored at 20 ± 2 ºC. Standard siliceous sand and w/b= 0.50 were used. After 24 h, specimens were removed from the moulds and tightly covered with plastic sheets and stored until the test age was reached. From different blended cements, compressive strength was determined at 2, 7, 28 and 90 days. The reported results are the average of six compression tests.

The hydration of the pastes at 2, 7 and 28 days was analyzed by FTIR spectroscopy and the identification of hydration phases was carried out. Pozzolanic activity was determined by Frattini test according to the procedure described in EN196-5 at 2, 7 and 28 days (17). This test evaluates the pozzolanic activity of blended cements measuring their reaction with the Ca(OH)₂ released during cement hydration. The result is considered positive when the points are located below the calcium hydroxide solubility isotherm at 40 ºC.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Heat released

Figure 3 shows the heat release curves (mW/g) and the total heat (J/g) for C and blended cement pastes with addition of 10 and 20% powder glasses (CG10 and CG20). Table 3 shows information on singular points of the curves.

The rate of heat release declined and the intensity of the heat signal declined as the glass content grew.

| Blended cements | C (%) | S (%) | G (%) |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| C               | 100   | 0     | 0     |
| CG10            | 90    | 0     | 10    |
| CG20            | 80    | 0     | 20    |
| CSG0            | 30    | 70    | 0     |
| CSG10           | 30    | 60    | 10    |
| CSG20           | 30    | 50    | 20    |
| CS-1 (NaOH solution 1%) | 30 | 70 | 0 |
| CS-3 (NaOH solution 3%) | 30 | 70 | 0 |
| CS-5 (NaOH solution 5%) | 30 | 70 | 0 |

Table 2. Identification of tested samples

Table 3. Singular points of heat hydration curves of C, CG10 and CG20

| Blended cements | 1st minimum | 2nd peak | 3rd peak |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------|
|                 | Age, min    | Int., mW/g | Age, min | Int., mW/g | Age, min | Int., mW/g |
| C               | 60          | 0.23      | 880      | 1.42       | 995      | 1.46       |
| CG10            | 70          | 0.15      | 920      | 1.01       | 1160     | 1.11       |
| CG20            | 80          | 0.18      | 890      | 0.91       | 1150     | 1.03       |
An increase in the dormant period and a delay in the occurrence of the second and third peaks in approximately 180 minutes were also observed. As a consequence, the total heat released in the first 48 hours of hydration was lower and corresponded to 82 and 75% of the C heat (133.75 J/g) for the CG10 and CG20 blended cements, respectively.

Figure 4 shows the heat release curves (mW/g) and the total heat (J/g) for CSG0 and blended cement pastes with addition of 10 and 20% powder glasses (CSG10 and CSG20). Table 4 shows information on singular points of the curves.

Replacement of cement by 70% slag (CSG0) produced a general reduction of the heat release and extended the dormant period, generating a total heat equivalent to 35% of the reference C (133.75 J/g) at 48 hours of hydration. (Figure 4)

When the slag was replaced with 10 and 20% ground glass (CSG10 and CSG20), there were no significant differences in the dormant period compared to CSG0; but the intensity of the main peak increased (from 0.39 for CSG0 to 0.50 and 0.47 mW/g for CSG10 and CSG20, respectively).

The total heat release in the first 48 hours for CSG10 and CSG20 was the same and 45% of the total heat equivalent to C (133.75 J/g).

In Figure 5, the heat release velocity curves of blending cement with 70% replacement with S (CSG0) are shown. These samples were hydrated with NaOH solutions at different concentrations: 1, 3 and 5% (CS-1, CS-3 and CS-5 respectively). Table 5 shows the singular points of hydration curves.

The maximum intensity peak increased between 1 and 3% NaOH and it decreased between 3 and 5% NaOH. Therefore, in the first 48 hours of hydration the sample with 3% NaOH generated the highest heat of hydration (52.35 J/g). This value was 9.5% higher than that of blended cement (CSG0) hydrated with water and ~13.5% lower than those with 10 and 20% ground glass (CSG10 and CSG20) and with a considerable reduction of the dormant period, in agreement with the already reported results (18, 19).

3.2. Flow and compressive strength

For different mortars, the results of the flow test (in %) are shown in Table 6. Fluidity of mortars CG10 and CG20 was less than that of C. When incorporating 10 and 20% glass (CSG10 and CSG20) in slag cement, the percentage of slag was reduced and the effect tended to be reversed. The use of 3% NaOH solution slightly reduced the fluidity compared to that of C, but it improved considerably with respect to CSG0.

Figure 6 shows the results of compressive strength of mortars in MPa. The compressive strength grew with age for all samples.

![Figure 4. Heat release rate and total heat for CSG0, CSG10 and CSG20.](image)

![Figure 5. Heat release rate and total heat for CS-1, CS-3 and CS-5.](image)

| Blended cements | 1st minimum | 2nd peak | 3rd peak |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------|
|                 | Age min    | Int., mW/g | Age, min | Int., mW/g | Age, min | Int., mW/g |
| CSG0            | 160        | 0.10      | 810      | 0.39      | 1020     | 0.38      |
| CSG10           | 160        | 0.12      | 800      | 0.50      | 1170     | 0.57      |
| CSG20           | 170        | 0.11      | 800      | 0.47      | 1170     | 0.65      |
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At 2 days, the compressive strength of CG10 and CG20 mortars was less than C, but these samples contained less cement than the reference sample. The compressive strength of CG10 and CG20 at 7 and 28 days reached or exceeded C at the same ages. The effect was moderated at 90 days.

At 2 days, CSG0, CSG10 and CSG20 mortars showed the effect of dilution on their compressive strength values. At 7 days, the effect was moderated at 90 days.

At 2 days, CSG0, CSG10 and CSG20 mortars showed the effect of dilution on their compressive strength values. At 7 days, there was a considerable increase in the compressive strength overcoming the dilution; all mortars had a compressive strength greater than 70% of the pattern (C). At 28 days, although the CSG0 mortar reached 82.5% of the compressive strength of the pattern (C); CSG10 and CSG20 mortars reached 93% of the pattern. This means approximately 13% above CSG0 due to the joint action of slag and ground glass. Table 7 summarizes the previous information and shows the evolution of the compressive strength with the age of the samples studied, compared with the reference. At 90 days the same trend continued and compressive strength increased with the percentage of G.

### 3.3. Monitoring of hydration

The study of hydration and the identification of the phases formed were carried out by FTIR on pastes at different ages. The main vibrational bands identified for hydrated blended cements were: the wide bands located between 3450-3300 cm⁻¹, and 1640 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the stretching and bending vibrations respectively of the O-H bond in the water (6). The phases associated with hydrated calcium aluminates (which had bound water) could be analyzed in this area. Thus, in the samples with slag (with and without glass), these bands became wider as a consequence of the alumina of slag, since cement has a low C₃A content.

The peak in 3645 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the O-H bond of CH (20). The SiO₄ groups in the anhydrous materials (cement and slag) shifted to 996 cm⁻¹ with the hydration age in the pastes (ν3 Si-O bond) due to the formation of calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) and calcium aluminosilicate hydrate (C-A-S-H) (21). The advancement of hydration was related to with the shift and widening of these bands.

The band at 460 cm⁻¹ and the signal at 669 cm⁻¹ were attributed to ν₂ and ν₄ (Si-O-Si) bond vibrations, respectively. Another significant band was at 1420 cm⁻¹, attributed to ν₃; CO₃²⁻ and the band detected in 872 and 713 cm⁻¹ were associated with ν₂, CO₃²⁻ and ν₄, CO₃²⁻, respectively (22, 23). These vibrations were characteristic of calcite present as a minor component of cement and by pastes carbonation.

Figure 7 shows the infrared spectra of C, CG20, CSG0 and CSG20 mortars at 2, 7 and 28 days of hydration. It can be seen that the silicate bands moved to a greater number of waves in all cases. The peak assigned to the CH (3645 cm⁻¹) appeared in the CG20 in the first ages and, as age increased, its intensity decreased. This peak is absent in CSG0 and CSG20 from the 2 days.

### 3.4. Pozzolanic activity

Figure 8 shows the pozzolanicity results of blended cements obtained by the standard Frattini test. The samples tested were CG10, CG20, CSG0, CSG10 and CSG20 at 2, 7 and 28 days.
### Table 7. Compressive strength relative to the reference

| Bended cements | Reference C |  |  | Reference CSG0 |  |  |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
|                | 2 days      | 7 days      | 28 days     | 2 days          | 7 days      | 28 days     |
| CSG0           | 24.6        | 73.1        | 82.5        | 100.0           | 100.0       | 100.0       |
| CSG10          | 24.6        | 74.9        | 93.2        | 100.0           | 102.4       | 113.1       |
| CSG20          | 26.0        | 77.5        | 92.9        | 105.7           | 106.1       | 112.7       |

**Figure 7.** FTIR spectra of: a) C, b) CG20, c) CSG0 and d) CSG20 at 2, 7 and 28 days.
For CG10 and CG20 at 2 days, there was stimulation by G because the values of \([\text{CaO}]\) and \([\text{OH}^-]\) resulted in the same order despite the increase in the percentage of replacement. At 7 and 28 days, the pozzolanic reaction occurred due to the decrease in \([\text{CaO}]\). However, \([\text{OH}^-]\) increased instead of decreasing with \([\text{CaO}]\), by the alkalis release by G.

For CSG0, stimulation was observed at 2 days and pozzolanic activity at 7 and 28 days by simultaneously decreasing \([\text{CaO}]\) and \([\text{OH}^-]\). For CSG10 and CSG20 samples, pozzolanic activity was observed at 2 days by decreasing \([\text{CaO}]\) with the increase of the percentage of G replacement. However, \([\text{OH}^-]\) increased slightly (much less than for samples CG10 and CG20) despite it had the same content of G. Alkaline activation of slag by ground glass in CSG10 and CSG20 could be observed by the significant decrease of \([\text{OH}^-]\) compared with those released in CG10 and CG20.

4. DISCUSSION

At early age, the incorporation of G as partial replacement of C modifies the initial hydration reactions. By isothermal conduction calorimetry it was observed that, the total heat released in the first 48 hours of hydration was lower than C heat (133.75 J/g) and corresponded to 82 and 75% for CG10 and CG20, respectively. It was expected due to the dilution generated by the addition and to the higher effective w/c ratio (0.50, 0.55 and 0.625 for C, CG10 and CG20, respectively).

The incorporation of G to CSG0 accelerates and stimulates the reactions, advancing the appearance of the third maximum and increasing its intensity. This maximum appeared at around 1170 minutes (19.5 hours) with intensities of 0.57 and 0.65 mW/g for CGS10 and CGS20, respectively. This shows the effect of stimulation of G, especially of the aluminum fraction of the slag when the main peak is split, since the first corresponds to the hydration of the silicates and the second to that of the aluminates (24). This effect can be interpreted as a selective stimulation of glass powder on the aluminum phase of slag since cement is a minor component and it has a low content of C\(_3\)A.

Hydration with NaOH solution generally shows an acceleration of the reactions as the concentration increases. Shi et al (18) found that the initial pH of the activator solution has an important role in dissolving the slag and in promoting the early formation of some hydration products; in all cases decreasing the time when the dormant period occurs and when the maximum appears. The results obtained for the hydration of CSG0 in this study are consistent with those reported.

Fluidity of mortars CG10 and CG20 was less than that of C. This behavior may be due in part to the fact that glass particles replacing those of cement have greater fineness and lower density. Thus, there are a greater total number of particles (see Table 1) which need more water to wet all surfaces. In slag mortars a similar event occurs, but it is exacerbated by the high percentage of replacement and the absorption of S (see Table 1). However, the replacement of S with G improves the fluidity of the mortars.

In hard state, at 2 and 7 days, compressive strength of CG10 and CG20 mortars showed that the stimulation effect generated by the addition compensates and overcomes the dilution generated by the replacement. By this stimulation the compressive strength of CG10 and CG20 at 28 days exceeds that reached by C at the same age. This effect can be attributed to the pozzolanic reaction of the glass (see Figure 8), the presence of more nucleation sites for cement hydration products and the greater packing achieved by mixtures since glass has smaller particles than those of the cement.

At 2 days CSG0, CSG10 and CSG20 mortars show the effect of dilution on their compressive strength values; this is deepened due to the increase of the effective w/c ratio that changes from 0.5 to the standard to 1.66 for mortars with slag and with slag-glass. A 7 days, there was a considerable increase in the compressive strength overcoming the dilution; all mortars had a compressive strength greater than 70% of the pattern (C). This effect can be attributed to the best packaging by the incorporation of particles of sizes smaller than cement (see table 1), to the auto-pozzolanic reaction of slag and pozzolanic of glass (see Figure 8) and confirmed by FTIR.

Finally, at 28 days, although the CSG0 mortar reached 82.5% of the compressive strength of the pattern (C), CSG10 and CSG20 mortars reached 93% of the pattern, approximately 13% above the compressive strength of CSG0. This fact may be due to an additional stimulation of glass on slag
as a natural alkaline activation and its synergy. In the same way, at 90 days, compressive strength increases with the increase of the percentage of incorporated glass. This effect can be also followed by FTIR, through the intensity decreasing of the 3645 cm⁻¹ peak and the continuous widening of the silicate band. The absence of the peak of 3645 cm⁻¹ in CSG0 and CSG20 at any age shows the complete consumption of CH generated by the hydration of cement by the combined action of G and S, which results in a greater compressive strength.

5. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the incorporation of ground glass into the slag cement at early age stimulated the reactivity of silicates and alumina phases of the slag and it also improved the flow of mortars. After 7 days, compressive strength exceeded dilution due to the combined auto- pozzolanic and pozzolanic action of slag and glass, respectively. Ground glass powders have good pozzolanic properties and can be used as cement replacement in cements mixed with slag. The presence of glass powder improves compressive strength of slag cement and it is attributed to the alkali released by G.
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