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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The accuracy of radiographic caries detection is a multifactorial diagnostic task. One of the important factors are the Inter-observer variability. One of the suggested procedures to estimate the variations is the Multiple Intelligence (MI) theory of Howard Gardner.
Aim: The current research aims to study the relation among radiographic proximal caries detection accuracy and MI for dental undergraduate and new graduates (trainees).
Patients and Methods: Twenty dental students who have just terminated caries detection training and 19 interns were employed. The sample composed of seventeen men and twenty two women. Caries detection precision was estimated as the region under Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve (Az) scores, and MI scores were estimated by sing a modified, bilingual MIS self-recorded questionnaire. MS Excel software was applied to calculate means, percentages and correlation.
Results: There was little and mild positive correlation among Az and Spatial/Visual (0.29) and Intrapersonal (0.31) domains of MI respectively. The current correlation was mild for students (0.38) and (0.40), and it was lowered for interns (0.28) and (0.26) respectively.
Conclusions: There is positive weak relationship between Az and the domains of Spatial/Visual and Intrapersonal of MI. This relationship might be diminished by education and training.
Keywords: Caries; radiographic; proximal; multiple intelligence (MI).

1. INTRODUCTION

Inter-observer variation has been appeared as the significant agent for the accuracy of radiographic proximal caries detection, which is a multifactorial diagnostic task. One of the suggested procedures to estimate the variations is the Multiple Intelligence (MI) theory of Howard Gardner [1].

MI theory (or hypothesis or concept) has been proposed to explain differences between people with the same intelligence quotient (IQ) or even less IQ and better performance in different tasks and objectives. Intelligence as defined by Gardner is the ability to solve problems, or to create products, that are valued within one or more cultural settings. Based on this definition, he proposed 7 intelligences (Table 1). Other intelligences domains (like Naturalist, Spiritual/Existential and Moral) have been added later by him and others as a result of the continued debate and interpretation of the concept.

MI has been extensively studied in relation to academic achievement and specifically for university students [2-4]. MI has also been studied in relation to dental skills and competencies. Ahmad et al. [5] has studied MI in relation to amalgam class II, composite, crown and bridge, endodontic, problem-based learning and the last written test of undergraduate dental students.

Table 1. Howard Gardners model of the seven multiple intelligences

| Intelligence         | Capability and Perception                  |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Linguistic          | Using words in reading, writing and speaking |
| Logical/Mathematical| Logical thinking and mathematical problem-solving |
| Musical              | Using music, sound and rhythm               |
| Bodily/Kinesthetic   | Using physical body                        |
| Spatial/Visual       | Navigation and visualization                |
| Interpersonal        | Social skills and intuition about others    |
| Intrapersonal        | Accurate self-understanding                 |

To our knowledge, the relation of MI to radiological proximal caries detection has not been studied in the English literature. This research aims to test the correlation between radiological proximal caries detection accuracy and MI outcomes for dental undergraduates and new graduates (trainees).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fourteen radiographs of twenty-one extracted human premolar and twenty-one sprocket teeth were utilized in the current investigation. Sample preparation has been described elsewhere [6]. Briefly, teeth were mounted in dental stone models in rows of three with their proximal surfaces contacting. Two additional human posterior teeth that were not part of the sample were used to simulate proximal contacts on each side of the actual sample. Standardized bitewing projection geometry was obtained using a full-size (active area of 26mm x 34mm) CCD Sidexis sensor at 0.16 seconds, direct digital radiographs were obtained following manufacturer recommendations. Histological examination of the sample teeth was done after image acquisition in order to determine the presence and depth of the carious lesions. This sample was previously used in three different studies [6-8].

A total of 39 observers of dental students (20) and recent dental graduates (19 interns) from two different universities were selected. There were 17 male and 22 female observers. Verbal as well as written instructions were given to all observers at the start of the observation sessions. Observers were asked to evaluate the presence of interproximal carious lesions coronal to the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) regardless of their depth. Any radiolucencies apical to the CEJ were excluded. The viewing procedure consisted of two observation sessions that took place at least two weeks apart to allow for a washout period using a five-point confidence scale (1 = Caries definitely absent, 2 = Caries probably absent, 3 = Uncertain as to whether caries is present or absent, 4 = Caries probably present, 5 = Caries definitely present) to report the likelihood of caries presence in each surface. All sessions took place in quiet, dim-lighted rooms and images were displayed on MacBook Pro laptops set at a resolution of 1280 X 800 pixels using a Liquid Crystal Display (LCD). No alteration, but magnification, in the display settings was allowed.

Accuracy of caries detection (Az score) was measured using a procedure reported previously...
by Khan et al. [7], and MI scores were recorded by a modified, bilingual (to improve validity) MIS self-recorded questionnaire [9]. Microsoft Excel was applied to calculate means, percentages and correlation. The correlation coefficient was calculated using the Correlation function.

3. RESULTS

The little and mild positive correlation was shown among Az and Visual/Spatial (0.29) and Intrapersonal (0.31) domains of MI respectively (Table 2).

The current correlation was mild for students (0.38) and (0.40), and it was lowered for interns (0.28) and (0.26) respectively.

4. DISCUSSION

Radiological proximal caries detection is primarily a visual task. Visual acuity (and other visual skills including near point of convergence, amplitude of accommodation, accommodative facility, convergence break and recovery, divergence break and recovery, and developmental eye movement) are important when we consider Visual intelligence, and to find that radiological caries detection is correlated with spatial/visual intelligence is not surprising. We found a positive correlation between the spatial/visual domain and radiological caries detection accuracy. However, this is just a weak correlation which means that there are other factors that are more important in achieving better accuracy. Intrapersonal intelligence was found to be better correlated than spatial/visual intelligence. The moderate correlation of Intrapersonal domain might be related to the confidence of the observer, which is a factor in the statistical model calculating the Az from the ROC analysis [10]. It might be a positive finding that intrapersonal intelligence is correlated with radiological caries detection accuracy because confidence is critical in decision-making for diagnosis and treatment planning [11].

These two domains were the only ones that are correlated with radiological caries detection accuracy.

It is interesting that although we have found this correlation at the students level, it is diminished at the interns level. This indicates that education and training might have closed the MI gap and MI becomes less important in caries detection compared to other factors as the student/trainee progresses in experience.

One of the limitations of this study is the inherent flaw of self-reported questionnaires especially the ones that are affected by differences in understanding oneself (Intrapersonal Intelligence). To improve and increase validity we have modified and translated the MI inventory and piloted it on an independent sample of interns to get their feedback before distributing the questionnaire to the study group. Other common limitation is the sample size. These limitations are very difficult to overcome. However, we have to acknowledge and address them for future studies.

| Correlation with | Mean Az (± SD) |
|------------------|----------------|
|                  | Students | Interns | All   |
| Linguistic       | 0.06     | 0.07    | 0.07  |
| Logical/Mathematic | 0.06   | 0.10    | 0.09  |
| Musical          | 0.14     | 0.15    | 0.07  |
| Bodily/Kinesthetic | 0.05  | 0.01    | 0.09  |
| Spatial/Visual   | 0.38     | 0.28    | 0.29  |
| Interpersonal    | 0.25     | -0.05   | 0.17  |
| Intrapersonal    | 0.40     | 0.26    | 0.31  |
5. CONCLUSIONS

There is positive weak correlation among caries detection accuracy and of Spatial/Visual and Intrapersonal domains of MI. This correlation is gender sensitive and might be reduced by learning and practice.
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