Catastrophic failure of 4 cobalt-alloy Omnifit hip arthroplasty femoral components
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Background Femoral component neck fracture is an uncommon type of failure in total hip arthroplasty. We present a report on 4 retrieved cobalt-chrome femoral components that fractured at the neck, where we investigated the mechanisms of failure.

Methods The 4 retrieved implants were analyzed with regard to their macro- and microstructures and the fracture surfaces were examined using electron microscopy. The medical record of each patient was also examined for any history of complications prior to failure of the implant.

Results These fractures occurred immediately adjacent to the base of the modular head. Skirted modular heads were used in 3 of the 4 failed components. This construct promotes corrosion. Cyclic fatigue-loading in combination with the material factors of coarse grain microstructure and extensive carbide precipitation along the grain boundaries were also identified as the cause of implant failure.

Interpretation Our findings suggest that a solution annealing step could be introduced into the manufacturing process to improve the microstructure of the cobalt chrome alloy. We also advise caution in using a skirted modular head in combination with a device of known suboptimum microstructure, for a greater margin of safety.

Patients and methods

4 patients who underwent uncemented total hip arthroplasty for osteoarthritis of the hip presented with fracture of the femoral component between 1999 and 2005. The time the implants had been in situ ranged from 10 to 17 years. 2 of the patients had no complications prior to failure of the
loosening of the acetabular component prior to failure of the femoral stem (Table).

All of the fractured devices were routinely recorded via the Royal Perth Hospital (RPH) Implant Tracking protocol. Similar devices with skirted heads were obtained from the RPH retrieval collection for comparison. Patient records were reviewed during the investigation.

Examination of the fractured stems included identification of the operative failure mechanisms, macroanalysis of the retrieved components by stereomicroscopy (Leica MZ6; Leica, Oberkochen/Wurttemburg, Germany), scanning electron microscopy of the fracture surfaces (CamScan CS3200; CamScan, Cambridge, UK) and microstructural analysis (Leitz Orthoplan; Leica, Oberkochen/Wurttemburg, Germany).

Results

3 of the 4 fractured devices had skirted modular heads. In all cases, the fractures had occurred immediately adjacent to the base of the modular head (Figure 1). Both surfaces of the taper of head and neck showed evidence of crevices and intergranular corrosion.

All the fractures had started at the superior tensile surface of the neck adjacent to the femoral head stem junction (Figure 2). Fractography with scanning electron microscopy revealed that the ultimate cause of failure had been initiated by intergranular corrosion, crack growth through fatigue, and catastrophic failure subsequent to tensile overload. Microstructural analysis revealed a coarse grain structure with grain sizes up to 8 mm in length (ASTM E112-96, 2004) and the presence of grain boundary carbide precipitates (Figures 3 and 4).

Discussion

There have been several case reports of femoral components failing from fracture at the region of implant. 1 patient had suffered recurrent dislocations necessitating revision of the acetabular liner and a change of the modular femoral head 3 years after the primary hip replacement. In the other patient, repeated dislocations had been treated with revision of the acetabular liner. This revision procedure was further complicated by infection, which was treated with washouts and antibiotics. This same patient had had 2 further revision procedures for aseptic loosening of the acetabular component prior to failure of the femoral stem (Table).

All of the fractured devices were routinely recorded via the Royal Perth Hospital (RPH) Implant Tracking protocol. Similar devices with skirted heads were obtained from the RPH retrieval collection for comparison. Patient records were reviewed during the investigation.

Examination of the fractured stems included identification of the operative failure mechanisms, macroanalysis of the retrieved components by stereomicroscopy (Leica MZ6; Leica, Oberkochen/Wurttemburg, Germany), scanning electron microscopy of the fracture surfaces (CamScan CS3200; CamScan, Cambridge, UK) and microstructural analysis (Leitz Orthoplan; Leica, Oberkochen/Wurttemburg, Germany).
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The neck caused by corrosion at the morse taper (Gilbert et al. 1994), design faults (Vatani et al. 2002), laser etching (Lee and Kim 2001), or material factors (Aspenberg et al. 1987). In addition, patient factors such as weight, body mass index, activity levels, and traumatic incidents have been implicated in failures of this type (Charnley 1975, Wroblewski 1982, Vatani et al. 2002).

In 3 of our 4 cases, the modular femoral heads used were skirted. Inspection of the tapered surfaces revealed intergranular and crevice corrosion. Skirted heads present a geometrical construct that promotes crevice corrosion via a mechanism of oxygen depletion. The microenvironment of the taper interface becomes locally depleted of oxygen and breakdown of the oxide-passive (Cr$_2$O$_3$) layer that imparts corrosion resistance occurs. In our cases, this subsequently led to the initiation of intergranular corrosion. We observed an almost complete network of intergranular cracking on the superior, tensile surface of the trunnion in 3 of the 4 femoral components matched with skirted modular heads. Furthermore, 2 other devices in our retrieval collection of Omnifit stems (n = 53) had advanced intergranular corrosion and fatigue cracking, but they had not fractured and were revised for other reasons prior to catastrophic failure. The remainder of the devices with non-skirted femoral heads in our collection showed varying degrees of corrosion; however, none had advanced intergranular corrosion/cracking. Our finding is consistent with laboratory studies that have shown that head and neck extensions are associated with increased susceptibility to corrosion (Lieberman et al. 1994, Brown et al. 1995).

Poor microstructures, featuring extensive carbide precipitation at the grain boundaries, have been implicated in the fracture of cobalt-chrome devices (Swarts et al. 2001). Carbide distribution is important in the failure of cobalt-chrome stems in at least three ways. Firstly, carbides may act as crack-like discontinuities on the surface of the stem. Secondly, they present an easy crack path. Finally, and most importantly in the present failures, is the concentration of carbon in the carbides—which has an effect on the localized corrosion potential, leading to preferential attack at the grain boundaries and inter-dendritic regions where the carbides precipitate.

Poor microstructures, featuring extensive carbide precipitation at the grain boundaries, have been implicated in the fracture of cobalt-chrome devices (Swarts et al. 2001). Carbide distribution is important in the failure of cobalt-chrome stems in at least three ways. Firstly, carbides may act as crack-like discontinuities on the surface of the stem. Secondly, they present an easy crack path. Finally, and most importantly in the present failures, is the concentration of carbon in the carbides—which has an effect on the localized corrosion potential, leading to preferential attack at the grain boundaries and inter-dendritic regions where the carbides precipitate.

Suboptimal macro- and microstructure of the implants also predisposed them to failure. Macroscopically, we found that the grain size was large.

Figure 2. Intergranular cracking on the superior tensile surface of the trunnion. Note the large grains.

Figure 3. Extensive carbide precipitation at the grain boundary.

Figure 4. Macrostructure of a cross section taken through the neck of a fractured stem. Note the large grains and the presence of precipitates at the grain boundaries.
Grain size is a factor that has a direct effect on the fatigue life of an orthopedic device. In general terms, the larger the grain size, the lower the materials yield and fatigue strength. Microscopically, the extensive carbide precipitates along the grain boundaries also predispose the device to failure.

Patient factors may also have contributed to implant failure. 2 of the patients were obese with BMIs greater than 30; however, a recent study (McLaughlin and Lee 2006) has demonstrated little clinical or radiographical effect with patients > 30 kg/m² compared to non-obese patients of < 30 kg/m². One of our patients had a single revision procedure whilst another had 3 revision procedures where the femoral trunnion may have been damaged. In these cases, it would have been even more important to have an optimum microstructure to resist crack initiation and failure.

The mechanism of failure for all of these implants was tensile overload with crack propagation caused by fatigue crack initiation via a combined crevice/intergranular corrosion mechanism. A suboptimum microstructure contributed to ultimate failure.

This report is the largest series of fractures in the neck region of uncemented cobalt-alloy femoral components that we are aware of. In light of our findings, attention should be paid to the manufacturing process—as we believe that the structure of the device could be improved by introducing a solution-annealing step that would help to prevent intergranular carbide precipitation. We also advise caution when using a skirted head in combination with a device of suboptimal microstructure.
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