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Abstract: Contraception is essential in reproductive health service. Through proper contraception, various risks related to pregnancy and birth can be avoided. Long acting reversible contraception (LARC) is deemed as the most efficient contraceptive method. However, it faces significant refusal among women worldwide. This study aimed to determine the reasons of LARC refusal among reproductive age women. A scoping review was done using a guideline from Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) on studies performed on Asian developing countries. The results showed that a total of seven articles were analyzed. The most common reason for LARC refusal was the disagreement of husband or other family members. Prior knowledge of LARC might increase the acceptance of LARC. In conclusion, since the most common reason for LARC refusal was the disagreement of husband or other family members, education of LARC method on pregnant women, their spouses, and their families is essential to reduce that rate of LARC refusal.
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INTRODUCTION

Contraception is one of the most important efforts in ensuring the best quality of reproductive health services. Following appropriate application of contraceptive method, risky pregnancies and deliveries, such as pregnancy at young or old age can be reduced. These populations are also the largest contributor to maternal deaths due to postpartum hemorrhage and unsafe abortions done by non-medical practitioners. Increasing the coverage of contraception in the female population of productive age may minimize both maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality.

Long-term contraceptive methods (LARC), including reversible methods in the form of implants and intrauterine devices (IUD) are the methods of preventing pregnancy with the highest success rate compared to other methods, namely more than 95%. Unfortunately, only 13.2% of women of childbearing age in Indonesia use LARC. This figure is much lower compared to short-term contraception, namely combined pill and injectable contraception, which accounts for more than 40% of all contraception used by women in Indonesia.

Similar to conditions in India and other developing Asian countries, the minimal use of long-term contraception is a health problem experienced by many people. A study in India found that only 9.1% of women were willing to use the IUD after delivery. This phenomenon is due to various multi-sectoral problems, namely low education, lack of information, even counseling, and the participation of husbands and parents. Interestingly, the use of long acting reversible contraception among women in developed countries is much higher. Sweden, for example, has a total percentage of 72.1% women using contraception, 24.3% of whom use LARC.

This condition is also similar to the conditions that occur in Indonesia. In order to improve the coverage of LARC at childbearing age woman in Indonesia, the research...
team would like to find the reasons for LARC refusal, especially in Indonesia and Asian countries with similar cultural features to Indonesia.

**METHODS**

This was a scoping review study done in March 2022 to June 2022 aimed to determine the reasons of long acting reversible contraception (LARC) refusal in women of childbearing age. This scoping review was done in accordance to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR).

This study used population, concept, and context (PCC) framework recommended by Joanna Briggs Institute for Scoping Reviews. The framework of this study was stated in Table 1.

The literature search was done on five online databases, namely EBSCO, SciELO, Google Scholar, Pubmed, and Portal Garuda. Eligibility criteria for the study were, as follows: publications done in the form of original research, systematic review, or literature review; published in the last 5 years (2018–2022); not an experts’ opinion or correspondence study; written in English or Indonesian; complete article could be fully accessed; and no duplication of study. The literature search for this study was done with the keywords shown in Table 2.

**Table 1. Research Study PCC Framework**

| Population | Childbearing age women |
|------------|------------------------|
| **Concept** | LARC                   |
| **Context** | Long-acting reversible contraception Published in 2018 – 2022 Study setting: developing Asian countries |

**Table 2. Keywords and database used in the study**

| No | Database | Keywords |
|----|----------|----------|
| 1  | Pubmed   | ("long acting reversible contraception"[All Fields] OR ("contracept"[All Fields] OR "contracepted"[All Fields] OR "contracepting"[All Fields] OR "contraception"[MeSH Terms] OR "contraception"[All Fields] OR "conceptions"[All Fields] OR "contraceptive agents"[Pharmacological Action] OR "contraceptive agents"[MeSH Terms] OR ("contraceptive"[All Fields] AND "agents"[All Fields]) OR "contraceptive agents"[All Fields] OR "contraceptives"[All Fields] OR "contraceptive devices"[MeSH Terms] OR ("contraceptive"[All Fields] AND "devices"[All Fields]) OR "contraceptive devices"[All Fields] OR "contraceptive"[All Fields] OR "contraceptive s”[All Fields] OR "contraceptively"[All Fields] AND ("embryo implantation"[MeSH Terms] OR ("embryo"[All Fields] AND "implantation"[All Fields]) OR "embryo implantation"[All Fields] OR "implantation"[All Fields] OR "implant"[All Fields] OR "implant s”[All Fields] OR "implantability"[All Fields] OR "implantable"[All Fields] OR "implantables"[All Fields] OR "implantate"[All Fields] OR "implantated"[All Fields] OR "implantates"[All Fields] OR "implantations"[All Fields] OR "implanted"[All Fields] OR "implanter"[All Fields] OR "implanters"[All Fields] OR "implanting"[All Fields] OR "implantion"[All Fields] OR "implantitis"[All Fields] OR "implants"[All Fields]) OR "intrauterine device"[All Fields] OR "IUD"[All Fields]) AND ("refusal"[All Fields] OR "rejection"[All Fields]) AND (2018:2022[pdat])) |
Study selection was carried out by the first and second authors using the appropriate eligibility criteria. The initial analysis was carried out by assessing the title and abstract of the study. Then, both of the authors proceeded with reading the complete article obtained. Next, the first author checked to make sure the article was in accordance with the research objectives. If the appropriate articles had been obtained, data collection was carried out in tabular form and data extraction was carried out by including the author's name, year of publication, title and research location, type of research, design, and research results.

RESULTS

After the literature search process was carried out, 715 articles were obtained using the keywords. However, after the duplicate assessment, only 696 different articles were obtained. Next, the title and abstract of the studies were screened. A total of 689 studies were excluded due to inappropriateness of topic, inappropriateness of research location, or other language. All articles related to the research topic were available in full-text form, so an analysis of seven studies was carried out. The study search diagram can be seen in Figure 1. Afterward, studies fulfilling the criteria were analyzed. The analysis result can be found at Table 3.

DISCUSSION

Long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) is one of the most effective and efficient methods of preventing and planning pregnancy. The use of contraception has a direct impact on the rate of population growth, community welfare, and maternal and infant mortality rates. However, in both developing and developed countries, there is a high level of LARC rejection. Based on the results of the studies that have been collected, there is a rejection range of 67.59%-93.7% for LARC, especially the installation of a post-partum IUD as one of the easiest and has the best coverage LARC worldwide. Similar conditions are found in Indonesia, where national data states that LARC has only been carried out on 9.4% of women of childbearing age, or 21.05% of all women who use contraception. Research by Syahidah et al (2019) in Indonesia showed that only 21.05% of women of childbearing age used LARC of all women who used contraception. This figure is similar to studies in other countries, such as the study of Ghafoor et al (2020) in Pakistan which showed 12.96% of subjects received post-partum IUD, studies of Subedi et al (2020) in Nepal which showed postpartum IUD acceptance of 6.3%, Nigam et al (2018) in India which showed postpartum IUD insertion of 9.1%, and MacQuarrie and Aziz (2022) in Pakistan which showed 3.3% of women of child-bearing age used IUD. These studies show that the use of LARC is still rare in Indonesia and other Asian countries.

Clinicians often suspect that a pregnant woman's fear of various complications that occur after the insertion of LARC is the most influential factor in the acceptance or rejection of the LARC user. However, previous studies have shown that the decision of a pregnant mother is not the main determining
factor in refusing or using LARC such as the postpartum IUD, but the decisions of the husband and even of the in-laws are the most important ones. In addition, religious reasons are also known to only play a small role in determining the rejection of the LARC. However, the studies are limited to Moslem and Hindi religions, whereas studies regarding the use of LARC among different religions are very limited.

Previous studies have shown that the reasons for refusing or discontinuing the use of LARC in various countries in Asia can be divided into internal and external reasons. Yu et al (2021) in Myanmar showed that refusal due to internal factors most commonly was due to fear of side effects. In a study done by Kumar et al (2019), the most common reason for discontinuing the use of LARC among users was the presence of side effects. Meanwhile, external factors that are known to influence the use and rejection of LARC are opinions from husbands and families. Research by Ghafoor et al (2020) in Pakistan, Subedi et al (2020) in Nepal and Nigam et al (2018) showed that the absence of husband and family consent is the most important factor in LARC refusal.

Previous studies have shown that education is important in accepting or rejecting the use of LARC. However, it is not only the knowledge of pregnant women that is important in determining the use of LARC, but also the knowledge of husbands and other family members who are considered influential. Research by Subedi et al (2020) showed that refusal from the husband and family played a more important role than the prospective acceptor factor itself. Although there was a relationship between maternal educational level and the use of LARC, it was also heavily influenced by the educational level of the husband. This phenomenon encourages health care facilities to include husbands or other family members in the patient education process in order to reduce the level of LARC rejection due to family factors. This is also more important especially in patients who have

---

**Figure 1. Study search flowchart**
never given birth before and are still confused about the process of pregnancy and childbirth.\textsuperscript{7} Contraception counseling timing also affects the acceptance of the use of LARC. In the use of the postpartum IUD as LARC, it was found that 80.7\% of pregnant women only received education at the beginning of the labor process.\textsuperscript{7} In a previous qualitative study, it was found that women experienced pressure to choose a postpartum IUD after giving birth. In addition, it was stated that women prefer accurate information available from the antenatal period to give them more time to ask questions and think about decisions to be made.\textsuperscript{16} The educational process in early labor is a less than optimal choice in convincing the mother and husband or other family members to choose the right contraceptive method.

CONCLUSION
The use of long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) in the population of women of childbearing age in Indonesia and Asia is still not widely practiced and accepted. Meanwhile, the use of LARC in Indonesia is higher than other developing Asian countries, but lower than developed countries.

The reasons for refusing LARC are divided into internal factors and external factors. Most of the studies showed that the internal factors that influence the rejection of LARC are the presence of side effects and lack of familiarity with the method. Meanwhile, the external factors of LARC rejection are mainly based on rejection factors from husbands and other families. Education for pregnant women, husbands, and families during the pregnancy process is important in increasing LARC coverage in the community.
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