Correlation Between Memory Ability and Grades Achievement in Different Learning Methods Among Medical Students at Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar

Syatirah Jalaluddin¹ Trisnawaty² * Rista Suryaningsih³ Rosdianah Rahim⁴

¹ Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar
² Medical Education Unit, School of Medicine, Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar
³ Medical Education Unit, School of Medicine, Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar
⁴ Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar

*Corresponding author. Email: trisna.waty@uin-alauddin.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Memory is a part of humans’ potential intelligence, which can be measured by using a memory test. Memory test is designed to understand an individual's ability to repeat given information and to analyze them into complex and valuable information. This study is aimed at discovering the correlation between students’ memory ability and their grades achievement in different learning methods at the School of Medicine, Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Alauddin Makassar. This research was conducted by involving 147 students out of 248 students. The final grades were achieved in three types of learning methods namely offline, online, and blended learning. The memory ability was assessed by using the Intelligenz Struktur Test (IST) instrument, in which a memory subtest was given to each student at the beginning of the semester. The study showed no correlation between the test result and blended learning or online learning, with a p-value of 0.526 and 0.827, respectively. However, a significant correlation was found between the test result and offline learning with a p-value of 0.000 (correlation coefficient 0.406). In addition, a correlation was also found between the test result with the year of students’ registration and their entrance route, with p-value 0.000 and 0.009, respectively. The results of this study indicated two things. The first, offline learning method is still really needed by the medical students. The second, blended learning method has significantly increased the students' grades. Assessing the memory ability of the medical students and understanding their needs for appropriate learning method positively influence the learning outcomes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Memory is the ability to record, to combine and to store information from every sense, thought, feeling and action to be recalled when they are necessary. In addition, learning method refers to any activity which is deliberately carried out to help the learning process of a group of students to achieve certain learning objectives [2, 4]. In this regard, there are at least three kinds of learning methods, i.e. offline learning, online learning as well as blended learning.

Through the learning methods, students are given knowledge which is reconstructed as new knowledge and
is finally stored in their memory. This means that the process of gaining new knowledge involves a memory system referred to as the cognitive system. In transferring knowledge to a group of students in pedagogical activities, teachers ideally need to consider the students’ memory ability in planning and determining the most appropriate teaching method since employing such a method plays an essential role in achieving the learning outcomes, especially in a higher education level [5]. The outbreak of covid-19 pandemic has caused enormous changes in our daily activities, including the pedagogical process, in which the conventional face to face method cannot be used anymore due to the lockdown of almost all educational institutions in Indonesia since March 2020. Until today, the government of Indonesia still prohibits the use of the face to face teaching method at schools and universities, but recommends the use of online one instead.

1.1 Memory Ability

According to Shiffrin and Atkinson (1969) [20], memory is divided into three categories; (1) sensory memory that is the main component which receives sensory information. This memory transforms information in the form of stimuli or signals which initially function to provide perception and identification of information. The memory consists of recipients and information transmitters which can only process a limited amount of information. (2) short term memory or working memory is responsible for organizing information, providing the interpretation of information and forming knowledge stored in long-term memory. The capacity of this memory can only store or hold information for a short period of time. Besides, Fielding (1999) [9] asserts that working memory can only store approximately 5 to 9 elements of information at one time. In other words, it has limited capacity and ability to process information simultaneously. Nevertheless, the working memory will have longer storage capacity when the incoming information is mixed that it can quickly be well received by the sensory memory. (3) long-term memory (LTM) is a tool for storing knowledge permanently. It means that knowledge can be stored for a long period of time and can be recalled. This memory can store declarative, procedural and conditional information [6]. Hence, memory ability plays an important role in the learning process for medical department students, especially those who learn during the Covid-19 pandemic, where they are required to learn online with the support of internet access and sufficient technological devices.

In a nutshell, the learning method used in the medical study program requires adaptation and development. Face-to-face learning method in the classroom which involves teachers and students in one classroom has to be shifted to a more adaptive and relevant method to the current pandemic situation. Consequently, the use of technology and internet-based learning method is a must, in which the internet serves as a learning environment, computers serve as learning media, and learning management system is adapted the current situation for the sake of increasing the learning outcomes.

1.2 Intelligenz Structure Test (IST)

Intelligenz Structure Test (IST) is an intelligence test instrument developed by Rudolf Amthauer at Frankfurt Main Germany in 1953 and has been adapted in Indonesia. The test is used for those 12 up to 60 years old. The IST is developed based on the theory of intelligence which states that intelligence is a gestalt consisting of significantly interconnected [11, 12]. Intelligenz Structure Test (IST) contains 9 subtests, among other things; Satzerganzung (SE), given to complete sentences, Wortauswahl (WA), given to complete words, Analogien (AN), given to identify synonyms for words, Gemeinsamkeiten (GE), given to share characteristic, Rechhenaufgaben (RA ) given to assess ability to count, Zahlenreihen (SR) given to set series of numbers, Figurenwaesule (FA) given to test ability to choose a shape, Wurfelaufgaben (WU) given to complete a block exercise, and Merkauflagen (ME) given to complete a symbol exercise. Presentation of the IST test takes approximately 90 minutes, which can be done individually or classically. The final score will show the amount of long term memory, and correlate well with the intelligence. IST can be used for measuring specific intelligence, such as verbal intelligence in subtest 1 to 4, numerical intelligence in subtest 5 and 6, figural intelligence in subtest 7 and 8, also memory intelligence in subtest 9 [1].

1.3 Learning Methods: offline learning, online learning and blended learning

In the last decade, the learning methods have gone through gradual development, in which some learning methods are combined to form alternative methods which are relevant to the students’ needs and the current situations. One form of the combination is the alignment between face-to-face learning method and virtual learning method which is here called as blended learning method [19]. Blended learning provides not only pedagogical instructions (e.g. learning activities, tasks, assignments, and tests) via the internet to students, but also the administration of attendance records from which teachers, students as well as school staffs interact one another by using the Information Technology (IT) system. School staffs in this context are responsible for ensuring the continuity of learning via the internet and repair all technical problems during teaching [7]. In addition, Blended learning method is also supported by virtual facilities such as online peer discussions, web engine searches, information from blogs, learning management system's software, and various learning media, from the use of animation, teaching videos, or face-to-face online meetings. In short, this method provides diverse supporting facilities which enable interactions between students and teachers in pedagogical practices virtually and this interactions.
potentially stimulates students to learn. Learning theory reveals that the more senses stimulated during the learning process, students’ memory will also increase [16].

During the Covid 19 pandemic, many educators in medical department face considerable challenges in using face to face (offline) teaching method because of social distancing and other health protocols to protect people from the virus spread [8]. In this regard, the most challenging thing as for the educators is the process of carrying out courses in clinical skills trainings. In such situation, the shift from offline to online method is considered as a possible alternative to do the courses [3]. We already have a learning management system which is used before the pandemic, but sudden disruption due to the pandemic has forced teachers and students to change their pedagogical habits such as teaching and learning methods. Such a change must certainly affect the student’s ability in learning, including their memory ability to gain knowledge. Therefore, it is essential to study whether or not memory has a significant relationship to the three learning methods. This study specifically examines the correlation between students’ memory ability and their grades’ achievement after taking a plenty of courses which are presented using the three learning methods at School of Medicine of Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Alauddin Makassar.

2. METHOD

The study was conducted from March to December 2020, involving all undergraduate students from the second until the fourth year in our School of medicine. The correlational quantitative was used as the method of this study. 147 medical students were randomly selected. They consisted of 29 male and 118 female students. The data were collected by testing their memory ability based on the test results obtained from the IST test. The results of IST were divided into 5 categories, namely: very poor LTM (70-79), poor LTM (80-89), moderate LTM (90-99), well LTM (100-109), and very well LTM (> 110). The students’ final grades were determined by the end of the semester, by selecting three courses from each class, that represent three different learning methods used during the Covid-19 pandemic. Those learning methods were compared by using the data which were analyzed by using Pearson correlation analysis technique available in SPSS version 22. All results of the data analysis were presented in the form of tables.

3. RESULTS

Among the 147 participants, most of them are female students, 118 (80.3%), only 29 students (19.7%) are male. Fifty respondents (35%) are fourth-year medical students. They majority took entrance exam for admission in the university which was widely recognized as Joint Entrance Selection of State Universities (SBMPTN), which account for 67 students (45.4 %). Most of the students came from families whose income are higher than 5 million Rupiah per month. Our respondents mostly came from the village and rural area in South Sulawesi and the eastern parts of Indonesia. The characteristics of the respondents in this study can be seen in Table 1 as follows.

| Table 1. The Characteristics of Respondents |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Characteristics                             | N (%) |
| Year                                        |       |
| - Second                                    | 49 (33,3) |
| - Third                                     | 48 (32,7) |
| - Fourth                                    | 50 (34) |
| Gender                                      |       |
| - Male                                      | 29 (19,7) |
| - Female                                    | 118 (80,3) |
| Entrance examination                        |       |
| - SNMPTN                                    | 38 (25,9) |
| - SBMPTN                                    | 67 (45,6) |
| - UMM                                       | 42 (28,5) |
| Parent’s income per month                   |       |
| - ≤ 5 million Rupiah                        | 67 (45,6) |
| - > 5 million Rupiah                        | 80 (54,4) |
| Origin                                      |       |
| - Rural area                                | 88 (59,9) |
| - Urban area                                | 59 (40,1) |

The memory test and the students’ final grades of the courses presented in three learning methods during the Covid-19 pandemic, can be seen in table 2 as follows.

| Table 2. Intelligenz Structure Test Result and the Final Score of Each learning Method |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Results                         | Minimal value    | Maximal value    | Mean             |
| IST                             | 79               | 128              | 100.47           |
| Blended learning                | 22               | 96               | 69.42            |
| Online learning                 | 35               | 87               | 65.69            |
| Offline learning                | 18               | 86               | 70.14            |

Primary Data, 2020
### Table 3. The Correlation Between Students’ Characteristics and IST (Memory Test)

| Characteristics | Very Poor | Poor | Moderate | Well | Very Well | P-value |
|-----------------|-----------|------|----------|------|-----------|---------|
| Year            | 1         | 2    | 9        | 14   | 28        | 0.000   |
| - Second        | 0         | 0    | 1        | 3    | 3         | 0.043   |
| - Third         | 0         | 0    | 2        | 6    | 13        | 0.015   |
| - Fourth        | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0    | 0         | 0.817   |
| Gender          |           |      |          |      |           | 0.000   |
| - Male          | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0    | 0         | 0.000   |
| - Female        | 2         | 2    | 9        | 14   | 28        | 0.000   |
| Parents education |          |      |          |      |           | 0.000   |
| - High income   | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0    | 0         | 0.817   |
| - Low income    | 1         | 1    | 9        | 14   | 28        | 0.000   |
| Origin          |           |      |          |      |           | 0.000   |
| - Urban area    | 0         | 0    | 1        | 3    | 3         | 0.043   |
| - Rural area    | 2         | 2    | 9        | 14   | 28        | 0.000   |

### Table 4. The Correlation Between Memory Ability and Types of Learning Methods

| Results         | p-value  | r      | Interpretation |
|-----------------|----------|--------|----------------|
| Blended learning| 0.526    | -0.053 | No correlation |
| Online learning | 0.827    | -0.018 | No correlation |

4. DISCUSSION

Just like other medical institutions in Indonesia, most students are female. Therefore, gender difference in learning processes has always become a great interest to be investigated in a variety of fields. Hill et al. (2014), in one of their studies describes a consistent working memory network across genders. They concluded that females consistently activate more limbic (e.g., amygdala and hippocampus) and prefrontal structures (e.g., right inferior frontal gyrus). On the other hand, the males activate an inclusive distributed network of more parietal regions [10].

Before the Covid-19 pandemic, the students learned through face-to-face teaching methods. While, the institutions simply provided a learning management system which is specifically focused on managing the administration of the teaching process. There was no special attention given to online learning method. Few students were stimulated to watch tutorial videos or online lectures which has made this method regarded as a supplementary learning method at the time. The courses which require medical skill and competence were taught using the face-to-face method, direct performances and practices. However, the Covid 19 pandemic has changed the education system in medical institutions significantly not only how the courses are done, but also how the teaching-learning methods employed.

Based on the results of analysis, it was found that there was a positive correlation between the use of offline methods and the improvement of students’ memory ability. Its significant value is p = 0.000 (p < 0.05). The correlation coefficient value is 0.406, indicating that the relationship between the two variables is moderate. Online and blended learning methods have no relationship with the improvement of the students’ memory ability (p > 0.05). The p-value for the online method is 0.827 (p > 0.05) and the blended method is 0.526 (p > 0.05).

This analysis indicates that the offline method is the students’ most preferable method of learning. In the offline learning method, the intrinsic cognitive load and extrinsic cognitive load are lighter and allow short-term memory and long-term memory to work more efficiently. The ability of sensory memory to accept auditory and visual perception is better in comparison to other methods. This result is obtained because most medical students are not familiar to online learning and blended learning methods yet. This has resulted in the perception that blended learning and online learning still becomes a cognitive load for them. This cognitive load has not been processed by short term memory yet and only becomes information or knowledge stored in long term memory. The result is in line with Retnowaty’s research report.
increase the students' memory ability and enable us to reach the learning outcome as expected.

5. CONCLUSION

The learning method in a medical institution still requires face-to-face teaching, especially for medical skill based courses. However, blended learning has widely developed during the pandemic and became more creative with the use of advanced technological devices. The institution needs to assess the students' memory ability, understand their needs, adapt to new technologies, and create innovative teaching methods which enable the improvement of the learning outcomes.
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