A common enzyme connects Notch signaling and Alzheimer’s disease
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Biology in its broadest sense is a multifaceted endeavor aimed at solving a puzzle with a finite, but extremely large, number of pieces. Often it is hard to predict how wide the gap separating two individual bits of knowledge within the larger picture would be. Therefore, when researchers working in diverse fields simultaneously realize that their fragments of the puzzle fit together, what appeared as an insurmountable distance is bridged rapidly as the remaining pieces are fitted into place. Several studies published recently by developmental biologists, neuroscientists, and researchers who are interested in the identification of therapeutic targets and treatments for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) have tied together diverse phenomena into one coherent paradigm. As a result, a new signal transduction paradigm has emerged that provides compelling evidence for the existence of a novel class of enzymes that associate in a high-molecular-weight complex with other proteins that can include the presenilin proteins (PS).

PS are conserved proteins

PS are found primarily within intracellular membranes, including the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the trans-Golgi network as well as the plasma membrane (Selkoe 1998; Ray et al. 1999a). PS are also expressed in most cell types throughout development. In mammals there are two PS genes, referred to as PS1 and PS2, that share 65% identity. The spatial patterns of expression of PS1 and PS2 are overlapping, but PS1 is expressed at a higher level during early development than is PS2 (Lee et al. 1997; Berezovska et al. 1997). Because no detailed developmental analysis of the temporal and spatial patterns of expression of the PS genes has been conducted, it is difficult to explain why PS1 deficiency in mice is embryonic lethal, whereas PS2-deficient mice show no obvious phenotype. There is, however, some functional redundancy, as the PS1 null (Shen et al. 1997; Wong et al. 1997) is not as severe as the combined PS1 and PS2 null (see below; Donoviel et al. 1999, Herreman et al. 1999). Sequence alignments have identified PS homologs in species as diverse as Drosophila melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans, and Arabidopsis thaliana, but not in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). Indeed, human PS can rescue C. elegans mutants that carry a mutation in sel-12, one of the nematode PS homologs that shows functional and sequence homology [Levitan et al. 1996; Baumeister et al. 1997]. The primary sequence of PS has 10 hydrophobic regions (HRs); experimental evidence suggests a protein that has six to eight HRs, experimental evidence suggests a protein that does not appear to traverse the membrane but is likely to be membrane associated [Fig. 1; Li and Greenwald 1996, 1998, Nakai et al. 1999].

PS are synthesized as a single polypeptide (FL–PS) that rapidly undergoes endoproteolysis within the cytoplasmic loop, generating two stable fragments [NTF and CTF] that associate in a high-molecular-weight complex with other proteins that can include the β-amyloid precursor protein (APP), Notch, and β-catenin (Haass and Baumeister 1999; Selkoe 1999; Yu et al. 2000a). PS endoproteolysis is tightly regulated such that overexpression of PS leads to the appearance of full-length PS, but does not result in an increase in the levels of the fragments (Thinakaran et al. 1996). The overexpressed protein gradually replaces the endogenous pool of PS fragments. This observation contributed to the hypothesis that the cleaved form of PS represents the functional molecule.

APP and the production of β-amyloid

Mutations in PS1 and PS2 are the most common known cause of autosomal dominant familial Alzheimer’s disease (FAD; for review, see Lendon et al. 1997; Ray et al. 1998). More than 75 FAD mutations have been reported in PS1, whereas only eight FAD mutations have been
reported in PS2 (http://www.alzforum.org). The mutations in PS1 are located primarily within the TM domains and in the N-terminal portion of the cytoplasmic loop, close to the endoproteolytic cleavage site. All mutations analyzed to date increase levels of Aβ42, the primary species of Aβ deposited in senile plaques (Selkoe 1999). These mutations affect the metabolism of the APP, a type I TM protein that is the precursor of the β-amyloid [Aβ] peptides that aggregate in senile plaques in AD (for a review of APP metabolism, see Selkoe 1999; De Strooper and Annaert 2000). The N terminus of Aβ is generated when the APP ectodomain is released by β-secretase, producing a 99-amino-acid membrane-associated C-terminal fragment (C99, Selkoe 1999). C99 is then a substrate for γ-secretase, the enzymatic activity (or activities) that generates the C terminus of Aβ by cleaving at one of several positions within the TM domain. γ-secretase cleavage releases Aβ peptides that are predominantly 40 amino acids long (Aβ40), but also include longer species of 42 or 43 residues (Aβ42). FAD mutations in APP are clustered within the Aβ sequence and around the proteolytic cleavage sites that release Aβ (Ray et al. 1998). These mutations also lead to elevated levels of Aβ42, which suggests that this change in APP metabolism is central to AD pathogenesis (the "β-amyloid hypothesis"). The APP ectodomain can also be released into the extracellular space by another activity (or activities), α-secretase, generating a smaller membrane-associated fragment (C83). C83 is also a substrate for γ-secretase, but the resulting N-terminal product (called p3) does not contain the complete Aβ region.

Expression-cloning studies have recently shown that β-secretase is a novel membrane-associated aspartyl protease that has been termed BACE or Asp2 (Hussain et al. 1999; Sinha et al. 1999; Vassar et al. 1999; Yan et al. 1999, Lin et al. 2000). α-Secretase activity shows both constitutive and regulated cleavage (by protein kinase C) of APP. Preliminary studies suggest that two metalloproteases, tumor necrosis factor α converting enzyme [TACE or ADAM-10] and Kuzbanian [ADAM-17] possess α-secretase activity (Buxbaum et al. 1998; Lammich et al. 1999). Inhibitor studies have shown that γ-secretase has pharmacological properties of an aspartyl protease (Wolfe et al. 1998).

The function and the purpose of the extensive proteolytic processing of APP remain a mystery. Although it was originally thought to be a receptor, no ligand for APP has yet been identified. APP-deficient mice are viable with no obvious phenotype. This is likely to be attributable to the presence of two APP homologs in mammals, APLP1 and APLP2 (von Koch et al. 1997). The Aβ region of APP is poorly conserved in these homologs, which suggests that Aβ is not essential to APP function. However, both homologs do undergo proteolytic cleavage, which results in ectodomain shedding.

Analysis of PS1-deficient animals has revealed that PS1 is required for the γ-secretase processing of APP and the APLPs (De Strooper et al. 1998; Naruse et al. 1998). Levels of Aβ are dramatically reduced in these mice and the γ-secretase substrates accumulate. In contrast, Aβ levels are normal in neurons from PS2-deficient mice. However, in PS1/PS2 double knock-out cells there is no detectable Aβ, indicating that there is no PS-independent γ-secretase activity (Herrman et al. 2000; Zhang et al. 2000).

PS in Notch signaling

The role of PS in Notch signaling was first revealed when loss of Sel-12, a presenilin homolog from C. elegans, was shown to suppress an activating point mutation in the Notch homolog Lin-12 (Levitan and Greenwald 1995). Notch loci, first described in Drosophila (for a historical perspective, see Wu and Rao 1999), code for a family of large (2500 amino acids) type I TM receptors with an extracellular domain containing <36 EGF repeats and a membrane-proximal, cysteine-rich region [Lin Notch repeats, LNR]. The Notch intracellular domain [NICD] features nuclear localizing signals, a multitude of protein–protein interaction domains [including Ankyrin repeats, found in both nuclear factors and cytoskeletal interacting proteins] and a C-terminal cluster of charged amino acids [PEST and OPA repeats] that are often found in transcription factors. Notch receptors are activated by type I TM ligands known collectively as DSL proteins [Delta, Serrate and Lag 2] and are therefore involved in
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**Figure 1.** A speculative representation of the γ-secretase complex. Endoproteolysis is required to convert presenilins (PS) from a zymogen [A] to the active enzyme [B]. A multiprotein complex, of which PS is an obligatory component, forms γ-secretase [B]. The identity of the proteins within the complex is unknown. [The dependence of Notch and APP cleavage on a preceding ectodomain shedding is not reflected in the illustration.] [For additional details, see text and the references within.]
receiving short-range signals. Notch is a “dual address” protein that contains two intrinsic signals. The first directs it to the cell surface where, in response to ligand binding, Notch undergoes intramembranous proteolysis. Proteolysis releases the NICD, which carries a second intrinsic signal and results in transport to the nucleus where it interacts with a CSL protein (CBF, Su(H), and Lag1; for review, see Mumm and Kopan 2000). Notch-mediated signals permit equivalent cells to acquire the proper fate during development and in adult tissues in many metazoans (for review, see Artavanis-Tsakonas et al. 1999; Milner and Bigas 1999). Notch receptors are cleaved between Gly1743 and Val1744 (Schroeter et al. 1999) at a site (termed site 3 or S3) that lies near the cytoplasmic side of the lipid bilayer (Schroeter et al. 1998; J.S. Mumm and R. Kopan, unpubl.). Proteolysis is regulated by ligand binding (Schroeter et al. 1998; Mumm et al. 2000). Nuclear access of NICD occurs in a ligand-dependent manner in Drosophila, presumably via proteolysis (Kidd et al. 1998; Lecourtis and Schweiguth 1998; Struhl and Adachi 1998). The importance of proteolysis for Notch signaling is shown by a new homomorphc allele of Notch1. A Notch1 allele with a single point mutation at the S3 site that significantly reduced proteolysis in cultured cells [V1744G], was homologously “knocked-in” to the Notch1 locus. Mice that are homozygous for this allele display all the phenotypes seen in Notch-null embryos, albeit some with variable penetrance (Huppert et al. 2000).

Genetic analysis of gain-of-function Notch alleles has resulted in the hypothesis that the membrane proximal region, which contains the LNRs and the two conserved cysteines, negatively regulates S3 cleavage (Greenwald 1994). Active Notch molecules that contain mutations in this region or that contain sequence other than Notch at the extracellular surface reveal the appearance of a novel proteolytic fragment. Peptide sequencing shows that cleavage occurs between Ala1710 and Val1711 residues, ~12 amino acids outside the TM domain (at site 2 or S2). This same peptide bond is cleaved in vitro by the metalloprotease TACE (α-secretase; Brou et al. 2000). The product of this Notch extracellular truncation (NEXT) is an intermediate, much like activated Notch proteins that lack the extracellular domain [NαE]. NEXT is a substrate for the proteolytic apparatus that cleaves within the TM domain. Biochemical observations thus posit that a proteolytic cascade regulates this intramembranous cleavage [Mumm et al. 2000]. This sequence of events is reminiscent of APP proteolysis: β/α-secretase cleavage precedes γ-secretase cleavage.

Notch, like APP, interacts physically with PS1 [Ray et al. 1999b] and is found in a complex at the cell surface [Ray et al. 1999a]. Several groups have shown the importance of PS proteins for intramembranous proteolysis of Notch1 [De Strooper et al. 1999; Song et al. 1999, Struhl and Greenwald 1999]. In cells that lack both PS proteins, no γ-secretase activity is observed and NαE is no longer able to signal [Herreman et al. 2000; Zhang et al. 2000]. Of particular interest is the ability of γ-secretase inhibitors, designed to mimic the APP cleavage site, to block APP and Notch proteolysis with an identical IC-50 [De Strooper et al. 1999], which suggests that a common activity mediates the proteolysis of both proteins. In addition, the phenotype of total loss of PS genes in C. elegans [Li and Greenwald 1997; Westlund et al. 1999], mices (Donoviel et al. 1999; Herreman et al. 1999), and Drosophila [Struhl and Greenwald 1999; Ye et al. 1999] bears a striking resemblance to the phenotype of complete loss of Notch signaling [Oka et al. 1995; de la Pompa et al. 1997]. Although most research has focused on the role of PS in Notch cleavage, all members of the Notch family of proteins undergo PS-dependent cleavage [M.T. Saxena and R. Kopan, unpubl.].

PS in the UPR

The UPR mediates a cellular response to stress in the ER by regulating transcription of target genes. ER stress often involves the accumulation of unfolded proteins, and UPR targets include ER-resident chaperone proteins and proteins involved in ER-associated protein degradation [Friedlander et al. 2000; Travers et al. 2000]. UPR can also induce apoptosis in mammalian cells [Wang et al. 1998]. Interestingly, PS1 may be required for a normal UPR, uncleaved PS1 interacts physically with Ire1p, a mammalian homolog of a TM kinase/endoproteolytic sensor of ER stress in yeast [Katayama et al. 1999]. FAD-associated mutations in PS1 lead to a reduction in Ire1p phosphorylation, which in turn reduces Ire1p-mediated activation of the chaperone GRP78/Bip. An alternative explanation for the involvement of PS1 in UPR is provided by Niwa et al. [1999]. They report that C-terminal fragments of Ire1p enter the nucleus in a PS1-dependent manner in mammalian cells. Although the biochemical evidence presented is incomplete, this intriguing hypothesis may add Ire1p to the growing list of PS1-dependent, γ-secretase substrates. However, these observations remain controversial because others have failed to observe any effect on UPR in PS1/PS2–double null cells or in cells expressing PS1–FAD mutations [Sato et al. 2000].

Despite the wealth of evidence implicating PS in Notch and APP cleavage events, one important question remained unsolved by these studies: What is the precise role of PS in these proteolytic events? Are PS members of a new class of proteases that hydrolyze peptide bonds embedded within a membrane [Wolfe et al. 1999a,b,c] or are they involved in trafficking of γ-secretase substrates to the site of cleavage [Nishimura et al. 1999] or in presentation of substrates to γ-secretase?

PS are γ-secretases

Recent work has suggested that PS may be a novel class of aspartyl protease in which the catalytic aspartyl residues are embedded in the membrane and are contained on separate proteolytic fragments of the mature protein [Wolfe et al. 1999c]. Mutation of either of two aspartyl residues, predicted to be embedded in TM domains 6 and 7 based on the model proposed by Li and Greenwald [1996], inhibits endoproteolysis and leads to a loss of PS.
function (Ray et al. 1999a; Steiner et al. 1999; Wolfe et al. 1999c). The next significant step came when Li et al. (2000a) successfully solubilized γ-secretase from HeLa cells. They discovered that the soluble activity was inhibited by the same high-affinity inhibitors as the cellular γ-secretase. Gel exclusion chromatography revealed that the γ-secretase activity eluted as a macromolecular complex with an apparent molecular weight of 2 × 10^6 daltons. The γ-secretase activity coeluted with soluble heterodimeric PS1. Moreover, γ-secretase activity coimmunoprecipitated with PS1 from the soluble extract. Importantly, the immunoprecipitated activity generated the same Aβ40 to Aβ42 ratio as the cellular activity, arguing that a single, multiprotein complex is able to hydrolyze residues at both sites. This appears to contradict an earlier finding that cleavage at Aβ40 shows a different inhibitor sensitivity to cleavage at Aβ42. Copurification of PS1 and γ-secretase activity suggests that PS is a critical constituent of the γ-secretase complex (Wolfe et al. 1999c; Li et al. 2000a), present at the site and time of substrate cleavage (Ray et al. 1999a). However, there was no biochemical evidence that PS1 contains the catalytic center of γ-secretase.

Using conceptually similar approaches, three groups have now provided this evidence (Esler et al. 2000; Li et al. 2000b; Seiffert et al. 2000). All groups modified their γ-secretase inhibitors to allow photoactivated covalent cross-linking to the enzyme. Biotin tagged inhibitor and 3H-labeled inhibitors were used to allow identification of γ-secretase (for details of the chemical structure, see Esler et al. 2000; Li et al. 2000b; Seiffert et al. 2000). Using transition state analogs, which should bind to the active site of γ-secretase, Li et al. (2000b) biotinylated inhibitors that bound specifically and exclusively to the CTF of PS1 and PS2 in solubilized γ-secretase. By reversing the position of the photoactivated cross-linker group, PS1 NTF could also be labeled. The biotinylated inhibitors labeled full-length protein only in cells expressing the FAD associated PSΔE9, a functionally active variant of PS that lacks exon 9 and does not undergo endoproteolysis. The failure of these inhibitors to label full-length PS suggests that active, solubilized γ-secretase is the heterodimeric form of PS, and that the full-length form is azymogen [see also, Yu et al. 2000a]. Esler and colleagues (2000) made similar observations with a transition-state analog generated from a substrate-based lead. The DuPont group (Seiffert et al. 2000), following a screen lead, used a benzophenone analog of a 3H-labeled γ-secretase inhibitor derived from succinate and identified the specifically cross-linked polypeptides by immunoprecipitation, using antibodies to PS1 and PS2. Specific cross-linking was observed to the N- and C-terminal fragment of PS1 and to the C-terminal fragment of PS2. Because three different chemistries labeled heterodimeric PS specifically, these results strongly support the hypothesis that γ-secretase activity is intrinsic to the PS proteins (Fig. 1).

Although both PS1 and PS2 appear to be γ-secretases it is not clear whether the two enzymes normally have similar or distinct substrates in vivo, as they reside in different complexes (no detectable PS2 was coimmunoprecipitated with PS1 by using anti-PS1–NTF antibody, Y. Li, pers. comm.). The expression pattern of PS1 and PS2 is broad and shows considerable overlap, which suggests that the two enzymes may have distinct substrate or sequence preferences rather than performing the same cleavages in different tissues or cellular locations. This rapid rate of discovery culminated with the definition of the PS1 active site, centered around a glycine-aspartyl pair in the putative TM domain 7 (G/AX GDX′′′ where X′ is not conserved and X′′ is hydrophobic; the site in TM6 is less well defined, H. Steiner and C. Haass, pers. comm.; Fig. 2). This observation enabled the investigators to perform a database search and to identify a family of bacterial membrane-bound type 4 preprolin peptidases (TFPP; LaPointe and Taylor 2000), polytopic membrane proteins with an active site containing the consensus G/AX GDX′′′. Thus, PSs belong to a large family of nonconventional aspartyl proteases (Fig. 2).

The emerging class of intramembrane-cleaving proteases (I-CLiPs; Wolfe et al. 1999a) includes other proteins. S2P, a polytopic membrane protein that regulates cho-

---

**Figure 2.** A hypothetical “founding class” of intramembrane-cleaving proteases (I-CLiPs). Depicted at left are Kyte-Doolittle hydropathy plots, on the right are speculative structures. Proteins are not drawn to scale. On each plot the putative catalytic center is marked with arrows. The diaspartyl proteases (red transmembrane [TM] domains) presenilin (PS) and TFPP and the Zn++ coordinating protease (green TM domains) S2P represent vertebrate and bacterial members of their respective families. (Details about the PS and TFPP are in the text, for S2P, see Brown et al. 2000.) Note that the active center of each protein is present in different TM domains that are predicted to come closer together in the folded protein. Also, at least one half of the center is embedded in the middle of a hydrophobic domain, possibly within the lipid bilayer for PS and S2P but not for TFPP which therefore may not be an I-CLiP.
Sterol metabolism by intramembranous cleavage of the sterol regulatory element–binding protein [SREBP], was, in fact, the first documented intramembrane protease. Cleaved SREBP releases a fragment containing a basic-helix-loop-helix motif that translocates to the nucleus and modifies gene expression by directly binding to cognate sites. Although it performs a similar function to PS, S2P shares no sequence similarity with PS and, indeed, is a metalloprotease rather than an aspartyl protease [Fig. 2, for review, see Brown et al. 2000].

Unresolved issues

How do enzymes hydrolyze peptide bonds in an apparently hydrophobic environment and what regulates cleavage? Models have been proposed postulating that the multiple hydrophobic domains found in PS and S2P may serve to create a water channel around the substrate, relaxing the a-helical structure of its TM domain and exposing the peptide bond to hydrolysis [Wolfe et al. 1999a]. Another possibility may be that the intracellular domains of Notch and APP may assume a nonhelical conformation that is supported by hydrogen bonds with side chains present in the TM domains of PS protein or other members of the high-molecular-weight complex. The key to the regulation of cleavage may lie in the characterization of other proteins that are present in the high-molecular-weight complex that contains γ-secretase activity. The high-molecular-weight complexes have been shown to contain, in addition to PS, several substrates of γ-secretase as well as β-catenin. Although β-catenin binds PS, it is not thought to undergo proteolytic cleavage by PS. The possibility that β-catenin may regulate PS activity in some way has not been explored. However, considering the reported changes in Ire1p phosphorylation in FAD PS-expressing cells [Katayama et al. 1999], PS proteins may be multifunctional and their interactions with β-catenin may involve this other hypothetical activity.

Each PS protein resides within its own complex and possibly associates with its own adapters. Furthermore, adapters may recognize specific substrates. This possibility may explain another observation that arises from the characterized cleavage sites attributed to γ-secretase activity. Although Notch and APP are both cleaved by γ-secretase, the specificity of cleavage seems to be quite different. There is no primary sequence similarity between the γ-secretase cleavage sites of Notch and APP, which suggests that the enzyme may recognize a similar conformation, rather than recognizing the primary amino acid sequence. Site-directed mutagenesis of the mouse Notch1 cleavage site has shown that a single amino acid substitution at residue 1744 can inhibit cleavage dramatically [Schroeter et al. 1998; Huppert et al. 2000]. In contrast, mutations around the APP cleavage site alter the ratio of Aβ40 to Aβ42 but none lead to a dramatic decrease in γ-secretase cleavage. It is not clear whether the residual NICD detected is cells that express Val1744 mutant Notch protein results from cleavage at alternative sites in a manner that is analogous to Aβ40/Aβ42 production from APP. Several scenarios were envisioned to explain these differences. First, different γ-secretase activities may catalyze these reactions. An inhibitor has been reported that shows significant differences in its capacity to inhibit APP and Notch [Molinoff et al. 2000]. However, the inhibition coefficient of many γ-secretase inhibitors for Notch and APP proteolysis is similar [De Strooper et al. 1999], arguing against this possibility. A possible alternative explanation evokes the existence of specific adapters that impose a specific, rigid conformation on the Notch/γ-secretase complex, thus determining the cleavage site, whereas other adapters allow a more permissive conformation of γ-secretase with APP. This latter model is consistent with the differential inhibition of Notch and APP cleavage by D257A mutation in PS1 [Capell et al. 2000] and may offer hope for successful pharmacological distinction between Notch and APP proteolysis via targeting of adapter/enzyme interface. It is worth reemphasizing that, because Notch signaling is active in the adult [e.g., in renewing epithelia, in the modulation of neuronal plasticity, and in hematopoiesis], blocking γ-secretase activity could have undesirable consequences even if Notch and APP are the only substrates of γ-secretase.

Another unsolved mystery relates to the proteolysis of truncated proteins that are thought to be preferred substrates of γ-secretase. Cleavage of both APP C99/C83 and N384 by PS appears to be regulated. In the case of Notch, cleavage by PS is activated by ligand binding and most likely occurs at the cell surface. However, PS/Notch coimmunoprecipitation has shown that PS can bind Notch early in the secretory pathway and that it is transported with Notch to the cell surface [Ray et al. 1999a]. It has been postulated that ligand binding followed by S2 cleavage may lead to a change in Notch conformation that allows PS to release NICD [Mumm et al. 2000; Parks et al. 2000]. However, the constitutively active form of Notch, N42, also binds to PS early in the secretory pathway [Ray et al. 1999a], but is not cleaved to form NICD until it exits the trans-Golgi [Schroeter et al. 1998]. PS also binds immature APP, presumably in the ER, but γ-secretase cleaves APP in the trans-Golgi network only after α- or β-secretase cleavage of full length APP. It is possible that α- or β-secretase cleavage of APP may also cause a conformational change that enables subsequent cleavage by γ-secretase. C99, the APP equivalent of N42, can be coimmunoprecipitated with PS from the ER, however γ-secretase cleaves C99/C83 in the trans-Golgi network, similar to full-length APP [Xia et al. 2000]. These observations suggest that formation of the substrate/PS complex is not sufficient for cleavage to occur. One possibility is that an unknown negative regulator [or regulators] blocks PS cleavage of substrates until the complex is in the correct cellular location. Alternatively, Notch, APP, or PS may not be in the proper TM conformation, or PS may not be cleaved in these complexes until it reaches the proper location.

In summary, the finding that PS are γ-secretase provides further support for the β-amyloid hypothesis of AD pathogenesis. We now know that FAD mutations occur...
in the substrate (APP) and one of the enzymes (γ-secretases) that generates Aβ, and that all mutations result in elevated levels of Aβ42, a highly amyloidogenic form of the Aβ peptide. This suggests that other FAD genes may include molecules that cleave APP to generate Aβ and those that are involved in the clearance or degradation of Aβ. The effectiveness of γ-secretase [or β-secretase] inhibitors as a treatment for AD will depend on how many γ-secretase substrates other than Notch exist and if inhibitors can be developed that decrease Aβ production without causing severe side effects because of the inhibitory effects on other substrates. The biological importance of proteolysis is well-documented, from formation of neuropeptides and antigen presentation through zymogen activation, NfκB signaling and ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis. The general importance to biology of the PS story is the emergence of a new signaling paradigm, regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP; Brown et al. 2000), which utilizes a novel class of enzymes and is widely used in development from fertilization to senescence. This method of signaling utilizes fragments of dual address proteins instead of secondary messengers, with one address sending the protein to a cellular site where a stimulus (e.g., ligand binding) results in proteolysis and translocation to a second cellular site—in the case of Notch and SREBP, the nucleus. How many other as yet unidentified dual address substrates are cleaved to release signaling molecules? Are serine and cysteine I-CliPs to be discovered next? It is impossible to predict, but at the pace of current research in this field it will not be long before we know the answers to many of these questions.
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Note

A novel protein, nicastrin (Yu et al. 2000b), a type I transmembrane glycoprotein, binds to the C-terminal derivatives of APP and modulates generation of Aβ. The evidence suggests that nicastrin (Aph-2 in C. elegans), may be an integral component of a putative multimeric complex (the “secretasome”) required for intramembrane proteolysis of both APP and Notch (Yu et al. 2000b). Investigation of Aph-2 function in C. elegans previously established Aph-2 as a novel member of the Notch signaling pathway, however, chimeric analysis suggests that Aph-2 can act non-cell autonomously in either the signaling or the receiving cell (Goutte et al. 2000), a result potentially in conflict with the secretasome proposal made by Yu et al. (2000b).
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