The X-ray emission of Lyman break galaxies
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ABSTRACT
We present an analysis of the X-ray emission of a large sample of z ∼ 3 Lyman break galaxies (LBGs), based on Chandra/ACIS observations of several LBG survey fields. A total of twenty-four LBGs are directly detected in the X-ray, approximately doubling the number of known detections. Thirteen of the LBGs have optical spectroscopic signatures of AGN activity, but almost all the other X-ray detections are also likely to host an accreting black hole based on their X-ray properties. The AGN exhibit a wide range in X-ray luminosity, from weak Seyferts to bright QSOs. Optical spectroscopy identified approximately 1/3 of the X-ray detected sources as broad line QSOs, 1/3 as narrow line AGN and 1/3 as normal star forming LBGs. The fraction of X-ray detected LBGs is 3 per cent, much lower than has been found for submm selected galaxies. Two galaxies have X-ray luminosities, spectra and f_X/f_{opt} values that are consistent with emission from star formation processes and are identified as candidate X-ray bright, pure starburst galaxies at z ∼ 3. If powered solely by star formation the sources would have SFRs of 300–500 M⊙ yr⁻¹. X-ray spectral analysis of the LBGs shows a mean photon index of Γ = 1.96, similar to local AGN. There is evidence for absorption in at least 40 per cent of the objects. Significantly more absorption is evident in the narrow line AGN, which is consistent with AGN unification schemes. After correction for absorption the narrow and broad line objects show the same average luminosity. X-ray detected LBGs spectroscopically classified as normal galaxies, however, are less luminous in both soft and hard X-ray bands indicating that the host galaxy is outshining any optical AGN signature. Turning to the X-ray emission from LBGs without direct detections, stacking the X-ray flux in the two deepest Chandra fields under consideration (the HDF-N and GWS) produced significant detections in each, although the GWS result was marginal. The detection in the HDF-N gives an X-ray derived SFR of 42.4 ± 7.8M⊙ yr⁻¹ per LBG and, by comparing with the UV SFR, the implied UV extinction correction is 4.1 ± 0.8. The LBG sample was split into three bins based on UV magnitude to examine the correlation between UV and X-ray emission: for the limited statistics available there was no evidence of any correlation.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Observations of distant galaxies are an essential component in our understanding of the development of the Universe. Most high-redshift galaxies have been identified in the UV via the Lyman break technique (e.g. Steidel et al. 1996; Lowenthal et al. 1997; Steidel et al. 2003). There is therefore considerable interest in constraining properties of LBGs such as the star-formation rate (SFR), dust content, stellar mass and metallicity. Another effective way of identifying high-z galaxies is by observations at sub-mm wavelengths with SCUBA on the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (e.g. Ivison et al. 2002; Smail et al. 2002; Chapman et al. 2003). The relation between LBGs and SCUBA galaxies is still not entirely clear but studies have shown that up to 50 per cent of SCUBA galaxies have similar rest-frame UV colours as LBGs (Reddy et al. 2004; Steidel et al. 2003). LBGs and SCUBA galaxies may simply form a continuous distribution in SFR and dust content, with SCUBA galaxies occupying the upper end of the distribution (Reddy et al. 2004; 2003).
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Indeed a recent study of IR luminous LBGs showed that while LBGs have a diverse range of IR properties, at least some LBGs have the same IR properties as SCUBA galaxies and are likely to be the progenitors of today’s massive giant ellipticals (Huang et al. 2005). The proposed starburst-AGN connection that arises out of merger-driven galaxy formation scenarios (e.g. Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Hopkins et al. 2005), and which is a natural explanation for the observed $M_{BH} - \sigma$ relation between the mass of dormant black holes and galaxy bulges in local galaxies (e.g. Magorrian et al. 1998; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Ferrarese & Merritt 2000), also leads to an interest in the AGN content of high-z star forming galaxies. The incidence of AGN in SCUBA sources has attracted considerable attention (e.g. Fabian et al. 2000; Barger et al. 2001). Most recently, Alexander et al. (2005) have found an extremely high rate of X-ray detection of radio-identified SCUBA galaxies, inferring that at least 40 per cent, and perhaps as many as 75 per cent of submm-selected galaxies contain an AGN. Much less work has been done on the properties of AGN in the LBG population. To date most work including X-ray data has focussed on SFMs and extinction corrections of LBGs without AGN (e.g. Brandt et al. 2001; Nandra et al. 2002; Lehmer et al. 2005). Steidel et al. (2002) and Hunt et al. (2003) analysed rest-frame UV spectra of LBGs to identify AGN based on emission lines and to calculate the faint end of the AGN luminosity function at high-z. They found that 3 per cent of LBGs harbour AGN, which contribute 8 per cent to the integrated 1500 Å UV luminosity at $z = 3$. Nandra, Laird, & Steidel (2002) used LBG selection in two fields (the HDF-N and GWS) as a method of efficiently identifying the redshift of AGN in X-ray surveys. The results were used to estimate the space density of moderate luminosity AGN at $z = 3$, finding them to be ten times more common than high luminosity QSOs.

In this paper the X-ray emission of $z \sim 3$ LBGs in six of the survey fields presented by Steidel et al. (2003) are analyzed. This work extends the analysis of LBGs in the 1 Mpc CDF-N by Nandra et al. (2002; hereafter N02) and Nandra, Laird, & Steidel (2003) by using more LBG fields and including X-ray spectral analysis of the LBGs. Following on from the stacking analysis of LBGs by Brandt et al. (2001), N02 and Lehmer et al. (2003), the X-ray emission from non-AGN dominated LBGs in the two deepest fields is also analyzed.

Throughout, a standard, flat $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $\Omega = 0.7$ and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ is assumed.

2 DATA AND SOURCE SAMPLE

2.1 Optical LBG data

Our sample of $z \sim 3$ LBGs is culled from the Steidel et al. (2003) survey fields that have archived Chandra ACIS imaging data. These fields are the Hubble Deep Field-North (HDF-N), Groth-Westphal Strip (GWS), SSA22, SSA22a, SSA22b, B20902+34 and 3C 324. The areas of overlap between the LBG fields and the Chandra data, along with the number of LBGs in the overlap areas are shown in Table 1. The LBG data for fields B20902+34 and 3C 324, for which the targets of both were radio galaxies, covers a small area and therefore contain relatively few LBG candidates. Q1422+2309, which was centred on a $z = 3.620$ gravitationally lensed QSO, has the deepest data of all the Steidel et al. (2003) survey fields.

In the HDF-N region, imaging for LBG selection and spectroscopy has recently been extended by the Steidel team from the original survey area to now cover the larger GOODS-N region. The catalogue of HDF-N LBGs used in this paper consists of all the LBGs from Steidel et al. (2003) plus the LBGs from the extended survey area. The latest imaging and photometry is of better quality than the original data and is therefore used for any LBG candidates in the original list that are also covered by the new data.

Of the LBGs considered in this work 43 per cent have confirmed spectroscopic identifications as $z > 2$ galaxies. Over the whole LBG survey (containing more than 2300 objects) the redshift distribution of candidates is $z = 2.96 \pm 0.29$ and the fraction of low-redshift interlopers is only 4 per cent. Given the tightly peaked redshift distribution and the low interloper fraction we are confident that the remainder of our sample without spectroscopic confirmation are indeed high-redshift star-forming galaxies.

2.2 X-ray data and reduction

The majority of the results in this paper come from the deep X-ray observations of the HDF-N and GWS, with the remaining fields acting as a supplementary data set. The Chandra ACIS-I observation of the HDF-N (the Chandra Deep Field-North, CDF-N), with a total exposure time of approximately 2 Ms, is the deepest X-ray observation taken to date. A full description of the data and point source analysis was presented by Alexander et al. (2003; hereafter A03). For this work we used the raw data available from the public archives. Details of the data reduction can be found in Laird et al. (2003). The 200 ks Chandra ACIS-I survey of the GWS is currently the third deepest blank-field, extragalactic Chandra survey field, after the HDF-N and Chan-

![Figure 1. Survey area versus the minimum effective exposure in the soft band exposure maps for all of the LBG fields included in this work. The hatched and shaded sections show the survey area versus minimum effective exposure for the LBG regions in the GWS and HDF-N fields, respectively.](image-url)
Table 1. Fields included in analysis. Col.(1): LBG field name; Col.(2): Type of Chandra observation; Col.(3-4): Nominal Right Ascension and Declination of Chandra pointings; Col.(5): Galactic column density; Col.(6): Exposure time after GTI, background filtering etc; Col.(7): Area of overlap between LBG survey and Chandra observations; Col.(8): Number of LBGs in combined X-ray–LBG survey area; Col.(9) Number of spectroscopically identified LBGs with z > 2.

| Field     | Chandra observation (J2000) RA   | Dec (J2000)   | N_H (10^{20} cm^{-2}) | Filtered Exposure (ks) | Survey area (arcminutes^2) | No. of LBGs | No. of LBGs with z_{spec} > 2 |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| HDF-N     | ACIS-I 12:36:47.59 +62:14:08.06 | 6.1^a        | 1862.9                 | 149.1                  | 295                       | 93          |
| GWS       | ACIS-I 14:17:43.04 +52:28:25.20 | 1.3^b        | 190.6                  | 239.0                  | 334                       | 200         |
| Q1422+2309| ACIS-S 14:24:35.61 +22:55:43.76 | 2.7^b        | 28.4                   | 78.1                   | 292                       | 103         |
| SSA22     | ACIS-S 22:17:28.24 +00:15:09.59 | 4.7^b        | 77.8                   | 105.5                  | 184                       | 86          |
| B20902+34 | ACIS-S 09:05:33.28 +34:09:07.83 | 2.3^b        | 9.78                   | 41.8                   | 76                        | 38          |
| 3C 324    | ACIS-S 15:49:46.41 +21:25:19.58 | 4.3^b        | 38.5                   | 36.9                   | 45                        | 9           |

^a Stark et al. 1992
^b Dickey & Lockman 1990

dra Deep Field-South (CDF-S). A description of the data and reduction, including a point source list, is detailed in Nandra et al. 2005.

The remaining LBG fields – Q1422+2309, SSA22, B20902+34 and 3C 324 – were each observed once with the Chandra ACIS-S instrument at prime focus, with exposure times ranging from ~10 ks to ~80 ks. Details of the observations are summarised in Tables 2 and 3. The data were reduced using the Chandra X-ray Center (CXC) Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO) software, version 3.1, and the Chandra calibration database (CALDB) version 2.27. The reduction procedure was very similar to that employed with the HDF-N and GWS data, but more straightforward due to each target being observed just once. Images and event files were created for the same four analysis bands as used in the HDF-N and GWS analyses: 0.5–2 keV (soft band), 2–7 keV (hard) and 4–7 keV (ultra-hard). Exposure maps for the LBG survey areas of the fields were made using MERGE_ALL with the same representative energies that were used for the HDF-N and GWS data – namely 1 keV, 2.5 keV, 4 keV and 5.5 keV for the soft, full, hard and ultra-hard bands respectively. Filtering to exclude periods of unstable background using ANALYZE and Galactic column density (Table 1) was performed. Upper and lower confidence regions for the background subtracted counts are calculated for 3σ statistical errors (according to equations 7 and 14 of Gehrels 1980). If the Poisson probability in a band is greater than or equal to 1.3 × 10^{-3} (equivalent to a Gaussian 3σ detection) then we calculate the upper limit to the counts based on that same probability.

The PSFs were calculated at the representative energies of each of the four bands, according to the procedure described in Nandra et al. (2005). In the HDF-N we use exposure weighted averages of the individual PSFs (see Laird et al. 2005). To convert count rates to fluxes we assume a power-law source spectrum with photon index Γ = 1.4 and Galactic column density (Table 1). Fluxes in the full, hard and ultra-hard bands were extrapolated to the standard upper limit of 10 keV. The effects of the Chandra ACIS quantum efficiency (QE) degradation (Marshall et al. 2004) have been taken into account in all fluxes quoted in this paper.

Table 2. Table of ACIS-S observations of LBG fields. Each field was observed only once. Col.(1): LBG field name; Col.(2): Chandra observation identification number; Col.(3): Date of beginning of observation; Col.(4): Nominal roll angle of satellite (degrees East of North).

| Field     | Obs. ID | Date  | Roll Angle |
|-----------|--------|-------|------------|
| Q1422+2309| 367    | 2000-06-01 | 218.6 |
| SSA22     | 1694   | 2001-07-10 | 120.3 |
| B20902+34 | 1596   | 2000-10-26 | 71.0  |
| 3C 324    | 326    | 2000-06-25 | 221.1 |

2.3 Source detection, photometry and matching

Source detection and photometry were carried out using our own procedure which is described in Nandra et al. 2005. As this work is only interested in the X-ray counterparts to the LBGs we set the detection threshold to the low value of 10^{-4}. This is a less stringent value than one which would be acceptable for analysis of the general X-ray source population of our fields. Source detection was carried out in the four bands described in §2.2 and a band merged catalogue produced. A detection with Poisson probability less than 10^{-4} is required in at least one band. For all the sources in the band merged catalogue accurate source photometry is determined using 90 per cent EER apertures in the full, hard and ultra-hard bands and 95 per cent EER apertures in the soft band. Upper and lower confidence regions for the background subtracted counts are calculated for 3σ statistical errors (according to equations 7 and 14 of Gehrels 1980). If the Poisson probability in a band is greater than or equal to 1.3 × 10^{-3} (equivalent to a Gaussian 3σ detection) then we calculate the upper limit to the counts based on that same probability.

The PSFs were calculated at the representative energies of each of the four bands, according to the procedure described in Nandra et al. 2005. In the HDF-N we use exposure weighted averages of the individual PSFs (see Laird et al. 2005). To convert count rates to fluxes we assume a power-law source spectrum with photon index Γ = 1.4 and Galactic column density (Table 1). Fluxes in the full, hard and ultra-hard bands were extrapolated to the standard upper limit of 10 keV. The effects of the Chandra ACIS quantum efficiency (QE) degradation (Marshall et al. 2004) have been taken into account in all fluxes quoted in this paper.

The X-ray catalogues were cross-correlated to the LBG candidates using the following procedure. For each field we first matched the catalogues using a search radius of 2.0 arcsec to identify possible counterparts. Any astrometric offsets between the X-ray and LBG reference frames were then identified and the LBG positions corrected accordingly. Astrometric offsets were present in all the fields, with the neces-
sary shifts varying from 0.16 arcsec (HDF-N) to 2.06 arcsec (GWS). After correcting for the overall offsets the Chandra and LBGs catalogues were re-matched using a radius of 1.5 arcsec.

The false detection and match rate was assessed by creating false LBG catalogues for each field. Using 200,000 random ‘galaxy’ positions and matching to the X-ray catalogues using a radius of 1.5 arcsec we find that the probability of detecting an X-ray source at the position of a known LBG at random is 0.004, 0.001, 0.002 and 0.001 for the HDF-N, GWS, SSA22 and Q1422+2309 fields, respectively. Given the number of LBGs and X-ray sources in the fields this corresponds to an expected 0.92, 0.42, 0.34 and 0.33 false matches in the HDF-N, GWS, SSA22 and Q1422+2309 fields, respectively.

3 BASIC PROPERTIES OF X-RAY DETECTED LBGs

A total of 24 LBGs in the six fields were found to have significant X-ray emission – ten in the HDF-N, six in the GWS, three in Q1422+2309 and five in SSA22a. We did not find any X-ray counterparts to the LBGs in fields SSA22b, B20902+34 and 3C 324. Twenty of the LBGs have confirmed redshifts with $2.211 < z < 3.630$. All of the LBGs that were identified as QSO or AGN by their rest-frame UV spectra or spectroscopic redshift could be obtained. Steidel et al. (2003) classified this LBG as a normal galaxy at $z = 2.981$.

The remaining four LBGs, CXO J123618.4+621139 (=HDF-D7), CXO J1236704.2+624446 (=HDF-oMD49), CXO J123645.0+621653 (=HDF-M35) and CXO J123651.5+621041 (=HDF-M9), were not in the A03 catalogue which covered the whole of the CDF-N area and had a more conservative detection threshold. As discussed in §2.3, less stringent detection thresholds, which result in lower flux limits, can be used when searching for the counterparts to limited numbers of known sources as is the case in this work.

The 0.5–2 keV flux of the LBGs varies over two orders of magnitude from $< 3 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ to $7.4 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The $R$ band flux (corresponding to rest frame $\sim 1800 \AA$ emission) covers as large a range, from the bright $R = 20.48$ QSO, HDF-MD39, to very faint $R = 25.27$ galaxy, SSA22a-M14, associated with the Ly$\alpha$ nebula.

Figure 2 shows $R$ magnitude versus 0.5–2 keV flux for the detected LBGs. $R$ magnitudes were converted to Kron-Cousins $R$ using the conversion in Steidel & Hamilton (1995). Lines of constant X-ray to optical flux ratios are also shown, according to the relation from Hornschemeier et al. (2001):

$$\log \left( \frac{f_X}{f_R} \right) = \log f_X + 5.50 + \frac{R}{2.5} \quad (1)$$

The ratio of X-ray to optical flux is a commonly used technique for determining the nature of X-ray sources (e.g. Maccacaro et al. 1988; Stocke et al. 1991; Schmidt et al. 1998). Over several decades in flux classic AGN, including luminous narrow line AGN (NLAGN) as well as broad line AGN (BLAGN), exhibit X-ray to optical flux ratios of $-1 < \log (f_X/f_{opt}) < +1$ in both soft and hard bands (e.g. Schmidt et al. 1998; Akivama et al. 2004; Lehmann et al. 2001; Alexander et al. 2003). At lower X-ray to optical flux ratios, mainly in the soft band at $f_{0.5-2keV} \lesssim 10^{15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, starburst galaxies, normal galaxies, low luminosity and heavily absorbed AGN emerge (e.g. Giacconi et al. 2001; Alexander et al. 2001; Barger et al. 2002; Barger et al. 2003). Starburst galaxies and AGN populate the $-2 < \log (f_X/f_{opt}) < -1$ range (e.g. Barger et al. 2002; Alexander et al. 2002) while normal galaxies which have very weak X-ray emission dominate at $\log (f_X/f_{opt}) < -2$ (Hornschemeier et al. 2003).

The X-ray to optical flux of the majority of the LBGs falls within the region populated by classic, luminous AGN (shaded region). Two of the QSOs and one AGN are sub-luminous in X-ray compared to their optical emission for typical active galaxies. Half of the LBGs identified as nor-
mal galaxies based on their UV spectra have X-ray to optical flux ratios typical of NLAGN and BLAGN. Two LBGs, HDF-M9 and HDF-M35, exhibit ratios typical of starburst galaxies (see §6 for further discussion). As a cautionary note, the location of the LBGs in Figure 2 could be affected by K-corrections which have been shown to have a redshift dependent effect on log (fX/fopt) values for Seyfert galaxies (Peterson et al. 2006).

4 X-RAY SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

4.1 Hardness Ratios

To assess the X-ray spectral slope of the LBGs, based on broad band X-ray photometry, the effective photon index (Γ) was calculated for each LBG using the observed soft-to-hard band ratio. The calculations were made using PIMMS v3.6 and assuming only Galactic absorption. The results for sources with meaningful soft-to-hard band ratios (i.e. excluding sources with only full band detections or those with no hard band detections and soft band fluxes close to the detection limit) are shown in Table 3. As an alternative measure of the X-ray spectrum, the intrinsic column density required to produce the observed band ratio for an underlying assumed power-law spectrum with Γ = 2 was also calculated (Table 3). A Γ ≈ 2 power-law is the canonical spectrum of unobscured AGN (e.g. Nandra & Pounds 1994; George et al. 2000) and additionally well represents the average 2–10 keV spectrum of star forming galaxies (Ptak et al. 1999).

The effective Γ of the LBGs covers a wide range from 2.2 for SSA22a-D13 (indicating a soft, unabsorbed spectrum) to < 0.6 for SSA22a-M14 (representative of a flat or absorbed spectrum). Alternatively, assuming an underlying continuum with a Γ = 2 power-law, the LBGs have intrinsic column densities of N_H ≈ 0.6 – 3.0 × 10^{23} cm^{-2}.

4.2 Spectral fitting

To investigate further the effects of absorption, and see if there is a correlation between X-ray spectral properties and the optical spectral classifications, X-ray spectral analysis was carried out. CIAO v3.2.1 and CALDB v3.0.1 were used for the spectral extraction and XSPEC v11.3.1 software used for the spectral analysis. The energy range of all of the analysis was restricted to 0.5–7 keV.

For sources in the GWS, SSA22 and Q1422 fields, the source and background spectra were extracted using the CIAO tool PSEXTRACT. The source spectra were extracted from a circular aperture with a 95 per cent EER calculated at 2.5 keV. Local background regions were manually selected to avoid contamination by nearby X-ray sources. Typically, the background was extracted from three to four large regions surrounding the source.

As a result of the different aim points, roll angles and observing modes of the HDF-N observations the spectra in this field could not be extracted using PSEXTRACT in one step. Instead, source and local background regions were defined as before and spectra were extracted for each of the individual observations. The spectra were then summed using the standard FTOOLS (Blackburn 1995) routine MATHPHA.

The instrument response and matrix files were coadded using the FTOOLS routines ADDARF and ADDRMF, weighted by exposure time. The response files for the first three HDF-N observations (IDs 580, 966 and 967) are different to those of the GWS observations taken at similar exposure times. Therefore when combining the individual spectra only the 17 observations (IDs 580, 966 and 967) were grouped to have a minimum of twenty counts per point. The response files for the remaining observations taken at different exposure times were included, reducing the total exposure of the spectra by 161.7 ks.

Most of the sources have limited counting statistics (< 200 counts) so the spectral analysis was performed using the C-statistic (Cash 1979), which was specifically developed to extract information from spectra with low numbers of counts. The sample was limited to sources that had a minimum of 10 total counts in the extracted spectra, resulting in the exclusion of SSA22a-M8, SSA22a-M14, SSA22a-MD14 and Q1422+2309b from the spectral analysis. All of the spectra analysed using the C-statistic were grouped into fixed width bins of 4 channels (~ 700 eV), which improves the processing times in XSPEC. For those sources with > 100 counts in their spectra (HDF-oC34, HDF-MD34, HDF-MD12, HDF-MD39 and GWS-MD106) standard χ^2 spectral fitting was also performed. In this case the spectra were grouped to have a minimum of twenty counts per bin, required for approximately Gaussian statistics.

The LBG spectra were also searched for the presence of iron Kα line emission by looking for evidence of an excess of counts at rest frame 6.4 keV, as compared to that expected from the best fitting model for each source. None of the LBGs showed significant evidence of Fe K line emission.

| LBG          | Γ   | N_H (for Γ = 2) |
|--------------|-----|----------------|
|              | (1) | (2)            |
| HDF-D7       | < 1.0 | > 2.7          |
| HDF-C14      | 1.3 ± 0.3 | 2.0 ± 0.1     |
| HDF-oC34     | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 1.3 ± 0.5     |
| HDF-C10      | 1.6 ± 0.2 | 1.0 ± 0.7     |
| HDF-MD34     | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 0.7 ± 0.4     |
| HDF-MD12     | 1.9 ± 0.1 | 2.4 ± 0.3     |
| HDF-MD39     | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1     |
| Q1422-C73    | 1.4 ± 0.4 | 2.5 ± 1.8     |
| SSA22a-D13   | > 2.2 | No abs.        |
| SSA22a-D12   | 1.3 ± 0.4 | 2.5 ± 1.9     |
| SSA22a-M14   | < 0.8 | > 5.6          |
| GWS-MD106    | 1.6 ± 0.2 | 0.8 ± 0.4     |
| GWS-D54      | 1.4 ± 0.2 | 1.9 ± 0.9     |
| GWS-M47      | 1.1 ± 0.3 | 3.0 ± 1.0     |
| GWS-C50      | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 2.4 ± 1.6     |

Table 3. Effective photon indices (Γ) and, alternatively, effective intrinsic column densities (N_H) calculated from the hard-to-soft band ratios, for sources with meaningful limits. Col.(1): LBG name; Col.(2): Effective Γ over 0.5–10 keV assuming only Galactic absorption. Col.(3): Intrinsic column density calculated for an underlying power-law spectrum with Γ = 2 in units of 10^{23} cm^{-2}.
Table 4. X-ray spectral fits using the C-statistic \(^{2}\) for sources with greater than 10 counts. All errors correspond to the 90 per cent confidence level. Col.(1): Optical spectral classification; Col.(2): LBG name; Col.(3): Number of counts in spectral fitting; Col.(4): Effective Γ for fits assuming only Galactic absorption; Col.(5): Intrinsic column density, \(N_H\), in units of \(10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), for fits assuming a fixed intrinsic Γ = 2 power-law spectrum with Galactic absorption; Col.(6): Improvement in the C-statistic for an intrinsic Γ = 2 spectrum by allowing the absorption shown in Col.4 compared to zero intrinsic absorption; Col.(7): Intrinsic column density of each LBG obtained from the spectral fitting is given in Table 4, column 7. The values along with the reduced \(\chi^2\) and probability are shown in Table 4, column 4.

| Optical Class | LBG         | Counts | Fixed \(N_H\)=0 | Fixed Γ=2 | Simultaneous fit, Γ=1.96 |
|---------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|
|               | (1)         | (2)    | (3)             | (4)       | (5)        | (6)           | (7)       |
| QSO           | HDF-oC34    | 198    | 2.0\(^{+0.3}_{-0.3}\) | < 0.39    | 0.1 | < 0.43 |
|               | HDF-MD39    | 3018   | 1.8\(^{+0.1}_{-0.1}\) | 0.08\(^{+0.04}_{-0.04}\) | 11.3 | 0.06\(^{+0.04}_{-0.04}\) |
|               | SSA22a-D13  | 28     | 1.5\(^{+0.5}_{-0.5}\) | 1.52\(^{+2.06}_{-1.18}\) | 5.5 | 1.40\(^{+2.22}_{-1.11}\) |
|               | SSA22a-D12  | 24     | 1.1\(^{+0.6}_{-0.6}\) | 1.75\(^{+1.14}_{-1.25}\) | 25.1 | 1.67\(^{+1.91}_{-1.11}\) |
|               | GWS-MD106   | 111    | 1.7\(^{+0.4}_{-0.4}\) | < 0.65 | 1.2 | < 0.68 |
|               | GWS-D54     | 62     | 2.0\(^{+0.7}_{-0.7}\) | < 1.06 | 0.3 | < 1.08 |
|               | GWS-oMD13   | 21     | 2.5\(^{+0.8}_{-0.8}\) | < 0.68 | 0.0 | < 0.68 |
| AGN           | HDF-oMD49   | 21     | 0.2\(^{+1.50}_{-2.0}\) | < 13.2 | 2.4 | < 13.1 |
|               | HDF-MD12    | 481    | 1.1\(^{+0.2}_{-0.2}\) | 1.60\(^{+0.43}_{-0.38}\) | 95.1 | 1.56\(^{+0.49}_{-0.49}\) |
|               | Q1422-MD109 | 15     | 0.6\(^{+0.7}_{-0.7}\) | 1.77\(^{+1.14}_{-1.15}\) | 15.8 | 1.69\(^{+1.49}_{-0.93}\) |
|               | Q1422-C73   | 19     | 1.0\(^{+0.9}_{-0.9}\) | 2.36\(^{+1.44}_{-1.20}\) | 1.5 | 2.19\(^{+2.09}_{-1.28}\) |
|               | GWS-M47     | 31     | 0.9\(^{+0.6}_{-0.6}\) | 1.95\(^{+1.37}_{-2.20}\) | 7.3 | 1.93\(^{+1.39}_{-1.39}\) |
| GAL           | HDF-D7      | 40     | < -0.5 | > 6.70 | 3.6 | > 6.33 |
|               | HDF-C14     | 52     | 1.2\(^{+1.0}_{-0.9}\) | < 5.93 | 0.5 | < 5.91 |
|               | HDF-M9      | 32     | 2.1\(^{+2.5}_{-2.5}\) | < 5.36 | 0.4 | < 5.35 |
|               | HDF-M35     | 21     | 4.8\(^{+5.2}_{-5.2}\) | < 340 | 0.0 | < 272 |
|               | GWS-C50     | 20     | 1.2\(^{+1.1}_{-1.1}\) | < 6.45 | 1.6 | < 6.46 |

\(\chi^2\) fitting of individual LBGs

For each of the LBGs the data were fitted in several ways using the C-statistic to assess the level of intrinsic absorption and the effective power-law photon index, Γ. First, to determine the effective photon index Γ of the spectra, the data were fitted with a power-law model with Galactic absorption using the \(N_H\) values in Table 4 and zero intrinsic absorption (column 4 of Table 4). Secondly, the data were fitted with a power-law model absorbed by both an intrinsic column density at the source redshift and a Galactic column density (Table 4 column 5). In this case the photon index was fixed to Γ=2 in order to constrain the intrinsic \(N_H\) required to produce the observed spectra. Adopting a fixed Γ=2 spectrum (the canonical spectrum of unobscured AGN) is an approximation used to assess the intrinsic column density. For sources with a flatter intrinsic spectrum this will result in an overestimation of \(N_H\). The errors in the fitted values of both Γ and \(N_H\) correspond to the 90 per cent confidence level for 1 interesting parameter (ΔC= 2.7). As a further measure of the intrinsic absorption, the data were also fitted with fixed Γ=2 with only Galactic absorption and the improvement in the C-statistic between this fit and the previous fit allowing intrinsic absorption was calculated (Table 4 column 6). Large values of ΔC-stat indicate that the inclusion of an intrinsic column density produced substantial improvement to the fits.

In addition to the individual C-statistic fits, simultaneous fitting of the sample was also performed to determine the mean photon index of the LBGs as a whole. In this fit both the photon index and intrinsic column density were free parameters, but Γ was fixed to be the same for all the LBGs. HDF-MD39 and HDF-MD12 were excluded from the simultaneous fitting to prevent the signal being dominated by these two very bright LBGs. The best fitting photon index for the LBG sample was found to be Γ = 1.96\(^{+0.31}_{-0.22}\). The intrinsic column density of each LBG obtained from the spectral fitting is given in Table 4, column 7. The values of \(N_H\) found from the simultaneous fitting are very similar to those that were found when assuming a fixed Γ = 2 spectrum.

The spectra of the five LBGs with >100 counts were fitted using the \(\chi^2\) statistic. Each were fitted with a fixed Γ = 2 power-law spectrum with both intrinsic and Galactic absorption, as was carried out with the C-statistic. The derived \(N_H\) values along with the reduced \(\chi^2\) and probability are shown in Table 4, columns 2–4. The three LBGs with \(\geq 200\) counts were also fitted with Γ as a free parameter. The results are shown in Table 4, columns 5–8. Strong constraints were able to be placed on the values of Γ and \(N_H\) for HDF-MD39 (Figure 4) and HDF-MD12 (Figure 4).

The results of the various spectral fits show that the majority (∼60 per cent) of the LBGs have spectra consistent with an unobscured AGN, although several sources (particularly those classed as galaxies optically) are too faint
to be able to place reliable constraints. Seven LBGs show evidence of significant obscuration (SSA22a-D13, SSA22a-D12, HDF-MD12, Q1422-MD109, Q1422-C73, GWS-M47 and HDF-D7). Of the seven LBGs classified as broad line AGN, two show evidence of intrinsic absorption (SSA22a-D13, SSA22a-D12). The brightest LBG in the sample, the QSO D12 and Q1422-MD109, Q1422-C73, GWS-M47 and HDF-D7, classified optically as narrow line AGN exhibit evidence for significant intrinsic absorption, including HDF-MD12 (Figure 3). The remaining AGN classified LBG, HDF-oM49, may also be obscured but is too faint to provide reliable constraints. Longer wavelength data lend support to and obscured hypothesis – the UV spectrum shows evidence of self-absorption, the source has a very bright 24 micron flux (∼300 μJy) and a power-law SED in the IR (C. C. Steidel, priv. communication).

4.4 Simultaneous fitting of LBG subsets

To examine the average spectral properties of the LBGs as a function of optical spectral classification, simultaneous fitting was carried out for separately for objects classified as broad or narrow line AGN, and galaxies. For each class two simultaneous fits were performed: the first with a fixed Γ = 1.96 power-law spectrum with intrinsic absorption, and the second with a power-law spectrum with intrinsic absorption, allowing Γ to be a free parameter. Again, the two brightest LBGs were excluded from the fits to prevent the signal being dominated by these individual sources. The results are shown in Table 5. The mean spectrum of the QSOs is consistent with a Γ ∼ 1.9 power-law with at most a small amount of absorption (1.96±0.51×10^{22} cm^{-2}). The mean spectrum of the AGNs shows more absorption (N_{H}=1.5±1.2×10^{23} for a Γ = 1.8 power-law), consistent with the results of the individual LBGs in §4.3. The mean spectrum of the galaxy class is poorly constrained.

Table 5. X-ray spectral fits using the χ²-statistic. For all sources, fits were performed assuming Galactic absorption and a fixed intrinsic Γ = 2.0 power-law spectrum. For HDF-oC34, HDF-MD39 and HDF-MD12, additional fits were performed where Γ was also a free parameter. Col.(1): LBG name; Col.(2): Fitted intrinsic column density, N_{H}, in units of 10^{23} cm^{-2}. Uncertainties refer to Δχ² = 2.71, corresponding to the 90 per cent confidence level for one interesting parameter; Col.(3): Reduced χ²; Col.(4) χ² probability; Col.(5): Fitted photon index, Γ; Col.(6): Fitted intrinsic column density (units of 10^{23} cm^{-2}). The uncertainties inCols. 5 and 6 refer to Δχ² = 4.61, corresponding to the 90 per cent confidence level for two interesting parameters; Col.(7): Reduced χ²; Col.(8) χ² probability.

| LBG        | N_{H}      | χ²       | Prob. | Γ            | N_{H} | χ²       | Prob. |
|------------|------------|----------|-------|--------------|-------|----------|-------|
| HDF-oC34   | <0.96      | 2.10     | 0.05  | 1.69±0.67    | <1.10 | 2.25     | 0.047 |
| HDF-MD34   | <1.55      | 0.08     | 0.93  |              |       |          |       |
| HDF-MD12   | 1.78±0.13  | 0.76     | 0.76  | 1.92±0.31    | 1.65±1.15 | 0.75 | 0.77  |
| HDF-MD39   | 0.08±0.05  | 1.15     | 0.14  | 1.80±0.07    | <0.04 | 0.99     | 0.50  |
| GWS-MD106  | <5.41      | 0.02     | 0.98  |              |       |          |       |

Figure 3. The X-ray spectrum of CXO J123622.9+621526 (=HDF-MD39), binned to 20 counts per bin. The fitted model of Galactic absorbed power-law emission with intrinsic Γ = 1.80±0.09 and negligible intrinsic absorption is shown. Data-to-model residuals are shown in the bottom panel in units of σ. The spectrum is consistent with no intrinsic absorption.

Figure 4. The X-ray spectrum of CXO J123719.8+620955 (=HDF-MD12), with a minimum of 20 counts per bin. The fitted model of Galactic absorbed power-law emission with intrinsic Γ = 1.92±0.51 and intrinsic column density N_{H} = 1.65±0.45×10^{23} is shown (90 per cent confidence range for two interesting parameters). The bottom panel shows the data-to-model residuals in units of σ.
the radius from the Chandra aim point within which to include LBG positions, affects the strength and accuracy of the stacking signal. We adopt an empirical approach to determining the optimal extraction and inclusion radii by testing 10 fixed extraction radii between 0.75 and 3.0 arcsec and 6 inclusion radii between 5 and 10 arcmin off-axis and selecting the radii yielding the maximum signal-to-noise ratio. In the HDF-N we chose an extraction radius of 1.5 arcsec and an inclusion radius of 9 arcmin (Figure 5). In the GWS an extraction radius of 1.5 arcsec and inclusion radius of 7 arcmin produced the strongest signal-to-noise ratio (Figure 6). In both fields the shuffled and random background methods produced similar results and in this paper we quote all results using the shuffled positions, which should better account for local variations in the background level.

In converting the stacking count-rates to fluxes a power-law spectrum with $\Gamma = 2.0$ and Galactic absorption was assumed, appropriate for sources with high star formation activity (e.g. Ptak et al. 1999).

5.2 Stacking Results

The results of the stacking in the HDF-N and GWS are shown in Table 6. Stacking the soft band emission from the 277 undetected LBGs within 9 arcmin from the Chandra aimpoint produces a highly significant detection with a signal-to-noise ratio of 5.9 (where signal-to-noise ratio is defined as $S/\sqrt{S+B}$ and S and B are the net source counts and background counts, respectively). An average of 0.58 net counts per galaxy are detected, with 2.51 mean background counts in each extraction cell. Figure 7(a) shows the distribution of total counts (S+B) in each LBG extraction cell. The distribution ranges from zero to ten counts per LBG and is not dominated by a few sources, but rather is well represented of the full sample. The mean flux per HDF-N LBG is $2.36 \pm 0.43 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. Stacking the soft band emission from 226 LBGs in the GWS also produced a detection, albeit a marginal one. An average of 0.12 net counts per LBG were detected, with 0.31 background counts in each detection cell, resulting in a signal-to-noise ratio of 2.81. The total count in cell distribution for the GWS is shown in Figure 7(b) and covers a much smaller range than the HDF-N, as would be expected given the shorter exposure time. The mean soft band flux per LBG was found to be $4.34 \pm 1.54 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (0.5–2 keV). The mean flux in the GWS is 84 per cent larger than in the HDF-N, but consistent within the errors at the 1.2σ level. However, a raw comparison of the two results is misleading because of the different flux limits in the GWS and HDF-N. In order to provide a fairer comparison between the two samples the HDF-N stacking was repeated with the inclusion of the six detected LBGs with fluxes below the flux limit in the GWS. The mean flux per LBG in this sample was found to be $3.23 \pm 0.44 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (0.5–2 keV), completely consistent with the GWS result.

---

1 We note the N02 contains an error regarding the optimal extraction radius used. The paper states that the optimal extraction radius found was 2.5 arcsec; in fact, 2.5 arcsec was the optimal extraction diameter.
5.3 X-ray and UV correlations for stacked LBGs

The soft band stacking signal in the HDF-N is sufficiently strong to allow the sample to be split into bins according to optical properties and the mean X-ray properties determined for each bin. This has been shown to be very effective in the HDF-N for BBGs at \( z \sim 1 \), allowing correlations between X-ray and rest-frame UV emission to be examined (Laird et al. 2005). In order to achieve reasonable signal-to-noise ratios the LBG sample was split into three bins of approximately 90 galaxies according to \( R \) magnitude, which corresponds to rest-frame \( \sim 1800 \) Å emission at \( z \sim 3 \). The stacking was performed according to the procedure described above, using a 1.5 arcsec extraction radius and only including galaxies within 9 arcmin.

The subset stacking results are shown in Table 7 and Figure 8. A significant detection was found for the brightest \( R \) bin and marginally significant detections found for the remaining bins. In each case the flux was sufficiently constrained to allow an assessment of any correlations. As can be seen from Figure 8 within the limited statistics and dynamic range of this LBG sample, we find no evidence for a correlation between \( R \) magnitude and soft X-ray flux, corresponding to rest-frame 1800 Å and 2–8 keV emission.

6 DISCUSSION

We have presented results from Chandra X-ray observations of 6 fields which have been surveyed with deep optical photometric and spectroscopic observations designed to select Lyman break galaxies at \( z \sim 3 \) (Steidel et al. 2003). These observations have approximately doubled the number of known X-ray detections of LBGs to a total of 24 (c.f. Brandt et al. 2001; N02; Nandra, Laird, & Steidel 2005; Lehmer et al. 2005). The raw fraction of X-ray detected LBGs in the entire sample is 2 per cent, but it should be borne in mind that many of the Chandra observations presented here are very shallow, and not sufficiently sensitive to probe deep into the X-ray luminosity function. Taking the HDF-N alone, which has the deepest data, we estimate an X-ray detection fraction in LBGs of \( 3 \pm 1 \) per cent, similar to that found by Steidel et al. (2002).

It is therefore immediately interesting to note that the fraction of LBGs hosting an AGN is much lower than that of submm selected galaxies (Alexander et al. 2005), in which more than half the sources are likely to harbour an actively accreting black hole. The importance of this result is at least twofold. Firstly, there remains considerable uncertainty about the contribution of AGN to the bolometric luminosity of FIR selected galaxies at the highest luminosities (e.g. Veilleux et al. 1995; Farrah et al. 2002). No such uncertainty would appear to be pertinent for the LBGs. Sec-
Table 7. Stacking results of undetected LBGs in the HDF-N and GWS, including results for sub-samples based on rest-frame 1800 Å emission in HDF-N. Col.(1): Field. Col.(2): Galaxy sample. Col.(3): Observed-frame energy band. Col.(4): Number of galaxies included in stacking sample, taking into account rejected galaxies as described in §2.5. Col.(5): Mean \( z \) magnitude. Col.(6): Mean redshift. Col.(7): Signal-to-noise ratio \( [S/V S + B] \), where \( S \) and \( B \) are the net source and background counts respectively. Col.(8): X-ray flux per galaxy in units of \( 10^{-18} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \); 0.5-2 keV for soft band, 2-10 keV for hard band. Col.(9): X-ray luminosity per galaxy in the 2-10 keV band, derived from soft band flux assuming \( \Gamma = 2.0 \) and Galactic N\textsubscript{H}. Col.(10): 10^{-50} keV luminosity is given for hard band, derived using \( \Gamma = 1.4 \). Col.(11): SFR from 2-10 keV luminosity (Ranalli et al. 2003). Errors are statistical only. Col. (11): Ratio of X-ray derived SFR to UV SFR, uncorrected for attenuation.

| Field   | Sample       | Band | N   | \( \langle z \rangle \) | \( \langle z \rangle \) | S/N | F\textsubscript{X} \( (10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \) | \( \langle SFR \rangle \) \( (M\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}) \) | SFR\textsubscript{X}/SFR\textsubscript{UV} |
|---------|--------------|------|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| HDF-N   | All undetected | Soft | 277 | 24.82 | 3.00 | 5.9 | 2.36 ± 0.43 | 2.12 ± 0.39 | 42.4 ± 7.8 | 4.1 ± 0.8 |
| HDF-N   | All undetected | Hard | 273 | 24.83 | 3.00 | 0.9 | 2.71 ± 2.96 | 2.09 ± 2.29 | ... | ... |
| HDF-N   | 22.74 < \( \delta \) < 24.65 | Soft | 91  | 24.20 | 2.99 | 3.52 | 2.72 ± 0.77 | 2.34 ± 0.66 | 46.8 ± 13.2 | 2.0 ± 0.7 |
| HDF-N   | 24.65 < \( \delta \) < 25.15 | Soft | 96  | 24.93 | 3.01 | 2.98 | 2.11 ± 0.71 | 1.90 ± 0.64 | 37.9 ± 12.8 | 4.0 ± 1.4 |
| HDF-N   | 25.15 < \( \delta \) < 25.63 | Soft | 90  | 25.33 | 3.01 | 2.96 | 2.31 ± 0.78 | 2.07 ± 0.70 | 41.4 ± 15.6 | 6.3 ± 2.4 |
| HDF-N   | GWS flux limit* | Soft | 283 | 24.81 | 3.00 | 7.3 | 3.23 ± 0.44 | 2.78 ± 0.38 | 55.6 ± 7.6 | 5.4 ± 0.7 |
| GWS     | All undetected | Soft | 226 | 24.70 | 2.94 | 2.81 | 4.34 ± 1.54 | 3.60 ± 1.28 | 72.0 ± 25.6 | 6.4 ± 2.3 |
| GWS     | All undetected | Hard | 229 | 24.69 | 2.94 | <0.0 | ... | ... | ... | ... |

* Includes directly detected LBGs with fluxes below the flux limit of the GWS.

Figure 7. Soft band counts distribution for the undetected LBGs in (a) the HDF-N and (b) GWS. The vertical dashed line denotes the mean background counts per extraction cell, derived via the shuffle background method.

Figure 8. X-ray stacking results for undetected LBGs in the HDF-N split into subsets based on \( \delta \) band magnitude. At \( z \sim 3 \) \( \delta \) corresponds to rest-frame \( \sim 1800 \text{ Å} \) emission. The x-axis error bars are the standard deviation of values in a given bin and the y-axis error bars are the Poisson errors from the stacked soft band counts. There is no correlation between soft X-ray flux and \( \delta \) magnitude.

The X-ray–to–optical flux ratios of the LBGs cover most the full range observed in X-ray selected samples. Objects with the highest X-ray-to-optical ratio (e.g. EXOs; Koekemoer et al. 2004) are not present but this is clearly not surprising given this is an optically selected sample. Most of the X-ray detected LBGs fall within the range expected for AGN. A few objects show lower X-ray-to-optical flux ratios, but four of these are clearly AGN also, based either on their optical spectroscopic properties, X-ray luminosity, or X-ray spectral properties. LBGs hosting AGN therefore display a range in X-ray to-optical flux ratio of at least two orders of magnitude.

There are two X-ray detected LBGs, HDF-M9 and HDF-35 which exhibit very low X-ray-to-optical flux ratios, typical of starburst galaxies. Furthermore, both objects have soft X-ray spectra, low X-ray luminosity (\( \sim 1 - 3 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \)) and have no indication of AGN activity in their optical spectra. It is possible that their X-ray emission is...
simply due to low luminosity AGN activity. It also seems quite plausible, however, that the X-rays from these two objects are powered by intense star forming activity, with the emission being predominantly from X-ray binaries and hot gas (David, Jones, & Forman 1992). Using the standard conversions between X-ray luminosity and star-formation rate (N02; Ranalli et al. 2003; Grimm, Gilfanov, & Sunyaev 2003), we infer star formation rates for the two objects of \(292^{+111}_{-83}\) \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) (HDF-M9) and \(521^{+189}_{-142}\) \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) (HDF-M35). Clearly these objects would then be considered quite extreme, with SFRs comparable to bright submm selected galaxies (e.g. Hivon et al. 2000) or Hyper luminous IRAS galaxies (Rowan-Robinson 2000). These high SFRs should be compared to the typical, extinction-corrected average values for LBGs, which we find in this work to be \(\sim 40\) – \(50\) \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) (see also Steidel et al. 1999; N02). Given the large sample under consideration, and the fact that we expect a wide range of SFRs in the LBGs, it seems quite reasonable that there are extreme objects with SFRs a factor of several higher than the mean. Subsequent analysis of Spitzer IRAC and MIPS 24\(\mu\)m observations yielded SFRs of \(\sim 170\) \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) (HDF-M9) and \(\sim 360\) \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) (HDF-M35) and supports a star formation hypothesis for both these LBGs (C. C. Steidel, priv. communication).

Whatever the origin of the X-ray emission in these two objects, our analysis has revealed new information about the AGN population at high-redshift. We find that the X-ray detected LBGs display a wide range of luminosities from \(\log L_X = 42 - 45\). This is typical of the AGN population at lower redshift (e.g. Cowie et al. 2002; Rosati et al. 2002). The optical spectral classifications of the X-ray detected objects are quite diverse, comprising approximately 1/3 broad line AGN, 1/3 narrow line AGN and 1/3 with spectra typical of normal star forming LBGs. These proportions are again very similar to AGN in X-ray selected samples in general (Barger et al. 2003). The implication is that the range of properties of AGN in the LBG population at \(z \sim 3\) are very similar to those at lower redshift. In particular, our results support the idea that there is a large population of low luminosity “Seyfert” level AGN of all optical types at \(z \sim 3\) (Steidel et al. 2002; Nandra, Laird, & Steidel 2003).

X-ray spectral analysis of the LBGs shows evidence for significant absorption in several objects. This may be considered surprising given both the limited photon statistics in the spectra, and the fact that at \(z \sim 3\) we sample the spectra only at \(E > 2\) keV in the rest frame, so that only the heaviest absorption is detectable. Formally, we find evidence for absorption in about half the sample, but given the above considerations the true fraction could be higher. Splitting the objects according to optical spectroscopic class and fitting simultaneously, we find the clearest evidence for absorption in the narrow line objects, consistent with standard unification schemes where the same material obscures both the X-rays and the optical BLR (Antonucci & Miller 1985). The columns are typically \(10^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\), a little lower than those for type II Seyferts in the local universe (Awaki et al. 1991). Once the X-ray fluxes are corrected for absorption (Table 8), a number of the narrow line objects fall into the luminosity and obscuration range where they would be classified as candidate “type II QSOs”. For example, four objects have \(L_X > 10^{44}\) and \(N_H > 10^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\). These have been used as typical dividing lines (e.g. Mainieri et al. 2002; Brusa et al. 2003) although it should be noted that absorbing columns of \(10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\) should be considered on the very low side for bona fide Seyfert 2s (Awaki et al. 1991). The nature and origin of the absorption in these lightly-obscured objects, and hence in the LBGs, remains uncertain and could easily be related to galactic-scale dust and gas rather than a standard torus (Maiolino & Rieke 1995). It should further be noted that at least two objects show QSO luminosity and heavy obscuration in excess of \(10^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\), but have broad emission lines in their optical spectra. These would be classified as candidate type II QSOs based solely on their X-ray properties (e.g. Mainieri et al. 2002) but are clearly not type IIs by definition. How these objects fit into the unification schemes is currently unclear, but it is possible either that the obscuration is purely nuclear, affecting the X-rays only, or that the torus is relatively warm and ionized, and hence dust-free.

Taking a naive flux-luminosity conversion we find that the narrow line AGN sample is less luminous in the X-rays than the broad line QSOs (Table 8). This effect seems to be primarily due to the more common incidence of heavy absorption in the AGN. After correction for obscuration we find the average luminosity of the broad and narrow line objects to be very similar. Objects classified as galaxies from optical spectroscopy (i.e. without AGN signatures) are, on the other hand, roughly an order of magnitude less luminous.

| Table 8. X-ray luminosities of the LBGs. Col.(1): LBG name; Col.(2): Optical classification; Col.(3): Rest-frame 2–10 keV luminosity, in units of \(10^{43}\) erg s\(^{-1}\); Col.(4): Absorption-corrected rest-frame 2–10 keV luminosity, for sources with evidence for absorption in their spectrum. |
| LBG | Optical type | \(L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}\) uncorrected | \(L_{2-10\,\text{keV}}\) corrected |
|---|---|---|---|
| HDF-D7 | GAL | \(4.2^{+0.9}_{-0.7}\) | \(11.45^{+6.89}_{-11.04}\) |
| HDF-C14 | GAL | \(6.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}\) | \(1.02^{+1.14}_{-0.32}\) |
| HDF-oC34 | QSO | \(5.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4}\) | \(6.4^{+0.4}_{-0.4}\) |
| HDF-C10 | ... | \(1.13^{+0.19}_{-0.18}\) | \(1.13^{+0.19}_{-0.18}\) |
| HDF-MD34 | ... | \(2.24^{+0.27}_{-0.26}\) | \(2.24^{+0.27}_{-0.26}\) |
| HDF-oMD49 | AGN | \(0.67^{+0.22}_{-0.21}\) | \(4.43^{+8.63}_{-3.24}\) |
| HDF-MD12 | AGN | \(6.84^{+0.44}_{-0.42}\) | \(16.81^{+11.24}_{-6.80}\) |
| HDF-MD39 | QSO | \(49.7^{+1.05}_{-1.04}\) | \(49.7^{+1.05}_{-1.04}\) |
| HDF-M35 | GAL | \(0.17^{+0.07}_{-0.06}\) | \(0.17^{+0.07}_{-0.06}\) |
| HDF-M9 | GAL | \(0.26^{+0.07}_{-0.06}\) | \(0.44^{+0.92}_{-0.17}\) |
| Q1422+2039b | QSO | \(10.75^{+5.82}_{-3.96}\) | \(19.43^{+9.08}_{-5.79}\) |
| Q1422-MD109 | AGN | \(8.31^{+3.56}_{-2.58}\) | \(24.29^{+24.29}_{-24.29}\) |
| Q1422-C73 | AGN | \(17.83^{+2.45}_{-4.87}\) | \(47.64^{+6.79}_{-6.79}\) |
| SSA22a-D13 | QSO | \(14.13^{+3.93}_{-3.14}\) | \(28.90^{+14.03}_{-9.02}\) |
| SSA22a-M8 | ... | \(1.75^{+0.19}_{-0.19}\) | \(1.75^{+0.19}_{-0.19}\) |
| SSA22a-D12 | QSO | \(7.51^{+2.85}_{-1.89}\) | \(16.58^{+8.19}_{-5.13}\) |
| SSA22a-M14 | GAL | \(1.93^{+0.83}_{-0.83}\) | \(1.93^{+0.83}_{-0.83}\) |
| SSA22a-M14 | GAL | \(2.76^{+1.49}_{-1.02}\) | \(2.76^{+1.49}_{-1.02}\) |
| GWS-MD106 | QSO | \(16.78^{+2.20}_{-2.19}\) | \(21.06^{+7.77}_{-2.71}\) |
| GWS-D54 | QSO | \(12.09^{+2.37}_{-2.01}\) | \(12.09^{+2.37}_{-2.01}\) |
| GWS-M47 | AGN | \(4.88^{+1.50}_{-1.17}\) | \(12.50^{+5.63}_{-6.34}\) |
| GWS-M10 | AGN | \(2.31^{+0.88}_{-0.66}\) | \(2.31^{+0.88}_{-0.66}\) |
| GWS-oMD13 | QSO | \(4.26^{+1.26}_{-0.99}\) | \(4.26^{+1.26}_{-0.99}\) |
| GWS-C50 | GAL | \(2.36^{+0.92}_{-0.70}\) | \(5.99^{+4.91}_{-3.95}\) |
Table 9. Mean 2–10 keV luminosity of LBG optical classes.

| Optical Class | Uncorrected \(L_{2-10\text{keV}}\) \((10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1})\) | Corrected \(L_{2-10\text{keV}}\) \((10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1})\) |
|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| QSO          | 15.05 ± 14.69                    | 18.56 ± 15.03                    |
| AGN          | 7.71 ± 6.35                      | 20.16 ± 16.38                    |
| GAL          | 1.22 ± 1.09                      | 3.39 ± 4.06                      |

A final note regarding the X-ray spectral properties of the directly detected LBGs is that their mean continuum spectral index of \(\Gamma = 1.96\) is remarkably similar to that of low Seyferts (Nandra & Pounds 1994). We therefore find no evidence for evolution of the continuum-generating mechanism with redshift.

The mean properties of the LBGs that are not directly detected in the deep HDF-N and GWS observations have been determined by stacking. This technique has been successfully applied in the past to constrain LBG X-ray emission which is thought to be dominated by star-forming processes, rather than AGN activity (Brandt et al. 2001; N02; Lehmer et al. 2005). The other data sets in this study are too shallow to constrain the properties of such sources and, indeed even the 200 ks GWS observation is of limited value. Analysis in the deeper HDF-N field shows a population of LBGs, the majority of which are LBGs, with X-ray fluxes just below the flux limit of the GWS observation. When these sources are included in the HDF-N stacking sample they dominate the average stacked flux. This shows that there is a clear risk of contamination in the GWS signal by X-ray sources that are just below the flux limit for direct detection, and whose emission is likely dominated by black hole accretion rather than star formation. The best estimate of the stacked flux in the GWS, while poorly constrained, is 80 per cent higher than that of the HDF-N, probably due to this contamination. This shows the limited usefulness of the 200 ks GWS observations in determining the properties of star-forming LBGs and henceforth we restrict our discussion to the HDF-N.

We find a highly significant signal in the soft band when stacking all 277 undetected LBGs in the HDF-N. There is no detection in the hard band. This is primarily due to the lower ACIS sensitivity in the hard band, but it shows at least that the stacked objects are consistent with a relatively soft X-ray spectrum expected from star formation. The inferred flux per object is entirely consistent with previous stacking analysis, and specifically that inferred by N02 using the 1 Ms data. This is important because it shows that the signal detected by N02 was not dominated by a few bright, AGN-dominated sources. It should be noted, however, that at least one clear X-ray AGN (HDF-C14) has been detected in the 2 Ms data that was not detected in 1 Ms. The case of HDF-oMD-49 is particularly interesting because this object has already been identified by Steidel et al. (2003) as an AGN based on optical spectroscopic signatures, but was not detected in the 1 Ms Chandra observation. While we have now found a detection in the 2 Ms observation this highlights the very wide range of X-ray–to–optical flux ratio in the LBG AGN discussed above.

The mean star formation rate inferred from the X-rays for the LBGs is found to be \(42 ± 8 \text{ M}_\odot\text{yr}^{-1}\). Using the ratio of the X-ray derived SFR (which should be roughly independent of extinction) to the UV derived SFR (uncorrected for extinction), the inferred UV extinction correction at 1800 Å is found to be \(4.1 ± 0.8\) (Table 7). This is in good agreement with the extinction correction inferred previously by N02, and with that determined for galaxies at \(z ≈ 2\) (Reddy & Steidel 2004; Reddy et al. 2005). It also agrees with, and validates, the extinction corrections employed by Steidel et al. (1999), which were calculated using the Calzetti (1997) reddening law with \(E(B - V) = 0.15\), typical for the LBGs. It also agrees well with the analysis of Pettini et al. (1998), which used Hβ luminosity as a comparison SFR measure, and the UV/X-ray analysis of Seibert, Heckman, & Meurer (2002). This extinction correction is however on the lower end of an estimate by Vlah, Witt, & Gordon (2003), who found the UV attenuation factor for a large sample of \(z ≈ 3\) LBGs to be luminosity dependent and in the range 5.9 to 18.5.

Our sample is now large enough, and the stacking signal strong enough to move beyond the average properties. The LBG sample has therefore been split according to optical magnitude in a manner similar to that employed by Laird et al. (2005) for \(z ≈ 1\) galaxies. With the limited statistics of only three bins and large error bars we find no evidence for a direct correlation between \(B - V\) and soft X-ray flux (Figure 5 and Table 7), which corresponds to rest-frame 1800 Å and 2–10 keV emission at \(z ≈ 3\). This is possibly in contrast to that seen for \(z ≈ 1\) star forming galaxies where a linear relation between \(L_X\) and \(L_{\text{UV}}\) was found (Laird et al. 2004). While it is difficult to draw conclusions from this data, one interpretation of this result is that at the higher SFRs under consideration here, the effects of dust attenuation are stronger and act to remove the direct correlation between UV luminosity and SFR (Adelberger & Steidel 2000). As can be seen in Table 7, the extinction estimates actually decrease for the more UV luminous LBGs, although within the large errors they are consistent with being constant. This suggests that UV attenuation may not be a direct function of SFR over a small range in SFRs.

7 SUMMARY

Using six Stage Chandra/ACIS imaging, the X-ray properties of a large sample
of UV-selected z ∼ 3 LBGs have been examined. The X-ray data were used to identify and study AGN within the sample, as well as to provide a high-energy perspective on the star formation in the galaxies that do not harbour an AGN. The main results are:

(i) 24 LBGs have been detected, approximately doubling the number of known X-ray detections. The increased sample of X-ray detected LBGs results from new analysis of Chandra archive data covering four of the [Steidel et al. 2003] survey fields and the doubling of the exposure of the Chandra data in the HDF-N, compared to the analysis of N02. The AGN fraction in LBG surveys is approximately 3 per cent, much lower than submm galaxies.

(ii) Around 1/3 of the X-ray detected LBGs were identified as broad line AGN, 1/3 as narrow line AGN and 1/3 as normal star forming galaxies at z ∼ 3. The X-ray luminosities of the LBGs ranged from 1.5 × 10^{+2+2} to 5 × 10^{+44-44} erg s^{-1} (2–10 keV), therefore spanning Seyfert to quasar luminosities. The range in luminosity and the breakdown of spectral types is similar to that seen in X-ray surveys at lower redshift. Deep and comprehensive optical spectroscopy is therefore a reasonably good way of identifying AGN at z ∼ 3 (Steidel et al. 2003), in this case missing about 30 per cent of the population.

(iii) The X-ray to optical flux ratio of the LBGs covers two orders of magnitude, ranging from classic AGN to low luminosity AGN and starburst values. Two LBGs (HDF-M9 and HDF-M35) have low X-ray to optical flux ratio, soft X-ray emission, low X-ray luminosity and may be powered in the X-ray not by an AGN but by starburst activity. If powered solely by star formation, these LBGs have X-ray derived SFRs in the range 300 to 500 M⊙ yr^{-1} and would represent the upper tail of the LBG SFR distribution. Strong MIPS 24 μm detections and similar FIR derived SFRs lend support to the star formation hypothesis.

(iv) The X-ray spectra were analyzed for each of the LBGs with greater than 10 counts in the extracted spectrum. The mean photon index of the X-ray detected LBGs, allowing for intrinsic absorption in each of the sources, was found to be Γ = 1.96^{+0.31}_{-0.21}.

(v) Significant obscuration was detected in 40 per cent of the LBGs. All but one of the narrow line AGN have N_H > 10^{23} cm^{-2}. Four luminous, obscured type 2 QSO candidates are found after the X-ray luminosities have been corrected for the observed column densities, although definitive confirmation of the type II nature of these objects is impossible without rest-frame optical Balmer line spectroscopy.

(vi) A naive conversion from flux gives a mean luminosity for the narrow line AGN LBGs approximately half that of the QSOs. However, as a group the narrow line AGN were found to have significantly higher N_H than the QSO group and after correcting the luminosities for absorption, the mean luminosity of the AGN and QSO classes were similar. The LBGs classified optically as normal galaxies are approximately an order of magnitude less luminous than the optically identified AGN. The optical light in these weak AGN is probably dominated by the host galaxy.

(vii) Stacking the soft band flux of 277 undetected LBGs in the 2 Ms HDF-N produces a highly significant detection. The resulting average luminosity of L_{2–10 keV} = 2.12^{+0.39}_{-0.10} × 10^{41} erg s^{-1} and X-ray derived SFR of 42^{+8}_{-3} M⊙ yr^{-1} are in excellent agreement with that found by N02 using the 1 Ms data. The implied UV extinction correction is a factor 4.1 ± 0.8, consistent with that found in several previous studies. Stacking the hard band flux did not produce a detection.

(viii) Stacking the soft band flux of 226 undetected LBGs in the 200 ks GWS produced a marginally significant, 3.3σ detection. The derived average flux, luminosity and SFR were larger than, but consistent with those found for the HDF-N. There is some evidence that the elevated flux is due to the presence of AGN within the stacking sample.

(ix) Splitting the LBG sample into three subsets based on observed frame magnitude and stacking the X-ray flux produced marginally significant results in each bin, allowing the correlation between X-ray and UV emission of LBGs to be examined. Unlike for UV-selected galaxies at z ∼ 1, there is no evidence for a correlation between rest-frame X-ray and UV flux.
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X-ray emission of LBGs 13
Table 10. X-ray detected LBGs in the Steidel et al. (2003) fields. Col.(1): Chandra designation (J2000). Col.(2): LBG survey name from Steidel et al. (2003), except HDF-MD39 which is from new LBG survey. Col.(3): $\theta$ magnitude. Col.(4): Spectroscopic redshift. Col.(5): Positional offset in arcseconds after applying astrometric shift as described in §2.3. Col.(6): Full band background subtracted photons detected in 90 per cent EEV area. Col.(7): Lowest false detection probability found for the detection bands. Probability of $10^{-8}$ is assigned if Poisson probability is less than this value. Col.(8): Full band flux (all fluxes $10^{-16}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Col.(9): Soft band flux. Col.(10): Hard band flux. Col.(11): Rest-frame 2–10 keV luminosity in units of $10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$, converted from soft band flux. Col.(12): Hardness ratio, HR = ($H - S$)/($H + S$), where H and S are the net hard and soft band counts, corrected to on-axis values. Col.(13): Optical spectral classification. Col.(14): Previous identification as X-ray detected z$\geq$3 LBGs: (a) N02, (b) Basu-Zych & Scharf (2004), (c) Nandra et al. (2003)

| X-ray ID | LBG Name | $\theta$ | $z$ | offset (") | Net Counts (0.5–7 keV) | $p_{min}$ | $F_{0.5–10keV}$ | $F_{0.5–2keV}$ | $F_{2–10keV}$ | $I_{2–10keV}$ | HR | Optical Type | Ref |
|---------|----------|---------|-----|-----------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|----|-------------|-----|
| J132618.4+621139 | HDF-D7 | 24.55 | 2.394 | 0.66 | 9.37 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 2.25 x 10$^{-4}$ | 4.18 x 10$^{-5}$ | GAL |
| J132622.5+621306 | HDF-C14 | 24.92 | 2.981 | 0.34 | 26.37 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 3.00 | 4.57 x 10$^{-5}$ | 6.86 x 10$^{-5}$ | GAL |
| J132633.4+621418 | HDF-oC34 | 25.32 | 3.413 | 0.29 | 184.95 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 5.00 | 6.41 x 10$^{-5}$ | 9.34 x 10$^{-5}$ | GAL |
| J132655.8+621201 | HDF-C10 | 24.36 | ... | ... | 8.50 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 0.50 | 1.28 x 10$^{-5}$ | 1.81 x 10$^{-5}$ | ... | a |
| J132702.6+621244 | HDF-MD34 | 25.32 | ... | ... | 104.73 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 1.00 | 1.14 x 10$^{-5}$ | 1.78 x 10$^{-5}$ | a |
| J132704.2+621446 | HDF-oMD49 | 24.78 | 2.211 | 0.30 | 8.50 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 0.50 | 1.28 x 10$^{-5}$ | 1.81 x 10$^{-5}$ | ... | a |
| J132719.8+620955 | HDF-MD12 | 24.84 | 2.647 | 0.13 | 407.31 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 1.00 | 1.14 x 10$^{-5}$ | 1.78 x 10$^{-5}$ | AGN |
| J132622.9+621526 | HDF-MD39 | 20.48 | 2.583 | 0.16 | 3170.66 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 1.00 | 1.14 x 10$^{-5}$ | 1.78 x 10$^{-5}$ | AGN |
| J132645.0+621653 | HDF-M35 | 24.05 | 3.229 | 1.15 | 2.75 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 1.00 | 1.00 x 10$^{-5}$ | 1.28 x 10$^{-5}$ | a |
| J132651.5+621041 | HDF-M9 | 24.41 | 2.975 | 0.49 | 5.43 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 1.00 | 1.00 x 10$^{-5}$ | 1.28 x 10$^{-5}$ | a |
| J142440.7+225542 | QJ1422+2309b | 22.09 | 3.630 | 0.09 | 7.18 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J142442.7+225446 | QJ1422-MD109 | 23.69 | 2.229 | 0.21 | 14.47 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | AGN |
| J142446.5+225554 | QJ1422-C73 | 24.88 | 3.367 | 0.18 | 18.41 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | AGN |
| J221722.3+001640 | SSA22a-D13 | 20.84 | 3.353 | 0.68 | 25.64 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J221725.2+001155 | SSA22a-M44 | 27.42 | 3.733 | 0.60 | 7.18 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J221736.6+001622 | SSA22a-D12 | 21.61 | 3.084 | 0.45 | 22.37 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J221738.1+001344 | SSA22a-MD14 | 24.14 | 3.094 | 0.81 | 4.13 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J221739.1+001331 | SSA22a-M14 | 25.47 | 3.091 | 0.58 | 5.85 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J141747.4+523510 | GWS-MD106 | 22.64 | 2.754 | 0.82 | 87.04 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J141755.5+523532 | GWS-D54 | 22.77 | 3.199 | 1.18 | 40.30 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J141757.4+523506 | GWS-M47 | 24.30 | 3.026 | 0.75 | 29.41 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J141800.9+523225 | GWS-M10 | 25.31 | ... | ... | 7.69 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J141801.1+522941 | GWS-oMD13 | 23.33 | 2.914 | 0.80 | 18.88 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |
| J141811.2+523011 | GWS-C50 | 23.96 | 2.910 | 0.33 | 15.60 x 10$^{-6}$ | < 2.00 | 1.28 x 10$^{-6}$ | 1.87 x 10$^{-6}$ | qSO |