Crystal Structure and RNA Binding Properties of the RNA Recognition Motif (RRM) and AlkB Domains in Human AlkB Homolog 8 (ABH8), an Enzyme Catalyzing tRNA Hypermodification*
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**Background:** Human ABH8 is a tRNA-hypermodifying enzyme paralogous to the DNA repair enzyme AlkB.

**Results:** Crystal structures of the RRM/AlkB domains of ABH8 were determined in conjunction with thermodynamic assays.

**Conclusion:** Substrate specificity and catalytic activity are modulated by conformational adaptations in and around the active site.

**Significance:** These results provide insight into the functional expansion of the AlkB enzyme family in higher eukaryotes.

Humans express nine paralogs of the bacterial DNA repair enzyme AlkB, an iron/2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase that reverses alkylation damage to nucleobases. The biochemical and physiological roles of these paralogs remain largely uncharacterized, hampering insight into the evolutionary expansion of the AlkB family. However, AlkB homolog 8 (ABH8), which contains RNA recognition motif (RRM) and methyltransferase domains flanking its AlkB domain, recently was demonstrated to hypermodify the anticodon loops in some tRNAs. To deepen understanding of this activity, we performed physiological and biophysical studies of ABH8. Using GFP fusions, we demonstrate that expression of the Caenorhabditis elegans ABH8 ortholog is widespread in larvae but restricted to a small number of neurons in adults, suggesting that its function becomes more specialized during development. In vitro RNA binding studies on several human ABH8 constructs indicate that binding affinity is enhanced by a basic α-helix at the N terminus of the RRM domain. The 3.0-Å resolution structure of a construct comprising the RRM and AlkB domains shows disordered loops flanking the active site in the AlkB domain and a unique structural Zn(II)-binding site at its C terminus. Although the catalytic iron center is exposed to solvent, the 2-oxoglutarate co-substrate likely adopts an inactive conformation in the absence of tRNA substrate, which probably inhibits its uncoupled free radical generation. A conformational change in the active site coupled to a disorder-to-order transition in the flanking protein segments likely controls ABH8 catalytic activity and tRNA binding specificity. These results provide insight into the functional and structural adaptations underlying evolutionary diversification of AlkB domains.

Humans express nine recognized paralogs of the Escherichia coli DNA repair enzyme AlkB, which directly reverses alkylation damage to nucleobases using an iron-catalyzed oxidation reaction. All nine of the human AlkB homologs (ABHs) are conserved in vertebrate organisms, while five are also conserved in metazoans (1). Variations in the sequence or expression of several of them have been associated with cancer and obesity (1–3). The expansion of the protein family in metazoans and vertebrates suggests that diversification of AlkB domain function has contributed to the evolution of greater developmental complexity in these organisms. However, although this inference is supported by some experimental observations (4–6), the substrate specificity and physiological function remain unknown for most of them. In this context, many questions remain unresolved concerning the structural and functional diversification of the AlkB domain family.

E. coli AlkB is a member of the iron/2-oxoglutarate (Fe(II)/2OG)-dependent dioxygenase enzyme superfamily. E. coli encodes two recognized representatives of this superfamily (AlkB and TauD), whereas humans encode 24, nine of which belong to the AlkB family (7). The enzymes in this superfamily utilize an Fe(II)-catalyzed reaction mechanism to monohydroxylate substrates using molecular oxygen (O_2). Oxidation of the 2OG substrate to succinate functions effectively as a molecular sink for the second oxygen atom in O_2.
E. coli AlkB directly repairs S$_{n2}$ alklyation damage on endo cyclic nitrogen atoms in DNA and RNA bases by hydroxylating the covalently bound carbon atom, resulting in spontaneous release of an aldehyde product to regenerate the unmodified nucleobase (8, 9). Human ABH1, ABH2, and ABH3 have been demonstrated to possess similar nucleobase repair activities although with different substrate specificities (6, 10). Extensive structural and enzymological studies performed on E. coli AlkB have demonstrated that it has remarkably broad substrate specificity. It is active in repairing methyl, ethyl, and etheno lesions on adenine and cytosine bases in single- and double-stranded DNA as well as RNA (8, 9, 11–13). The intrinsic flexibility of several loops flanking the active site has been demonstrated to play an important role in mediating promiscuous recognition of substrates of varying molecular structure. Although the nucleotide recognition lid formed by these loops is well ordered in all 2OG- or succinate-bound crystal structures of E. coli AlkB, variations in its conformation enable accommodation of diverse substrates in an efficient catalytic geometry (14).

In contrast to the broad substrate specificity that is the hallmark of E. coli AlkB, the human paralog ABH8 has been demonstrated recently to catalyze covalent hypermodifications of the wobble nucleotide base in the anticodon loops of specific tRNAs (Fig. 1B) (15–18). The MTase domain in complex with the accessory protein Trm112 methylates 5-carboxymethyluridine (cm5U) in both yeast and mammalian tRNAs (15, 17, 19). In mammalian tRNA-Gly, the AlkB-like domain hydroxylates cm5U to generate α-$[$m-$]$-5-methoxycarbonylhydroxymethyluridine (α-$[$S-$]$-mchm5U) (16, 18). These observations provide the first example of an AlkB-like domain having a biochemical function other than oxidative repair of alkylated nucleotide bases and expand the functional repertoire of the AlkB protein family.

In addition to providing insight into the functional expansion of the AlkB protein family in higher eukaryotes, analysis of the physiological and biochemical function of ABH8 should contribute to a deeper understanding of tRNA modification and its physiological importance. Over 80 covalent modifications have been detected in tRNAs (20). Although some seem to modulate their folding and stability (21), the modifications in the anticodon loop and particularly in the wobble base have received increasing attention for their influence on protein translation (22–24) and biological phenotype (25–27). Disease-associated single nucleotide polymorphisms have been mapped to tRNA modification enzymes in humans (28), whereas genetic perturbations in these enzymes have been associated with metazoan developmental defects (29, 30). The observation that ABH8 is
frequently overexpressed in human bladder cancers provides a link between this tRNA modification enzyme and a biological process critically influenced by DNA repair (1). A more direct link comes from the observation that Trm9, the yeast ortholog of the ABH8 MTase domain, up-regulates translation of a wide variety of proteins involved in DNA repair whose transcripts are enriched in specific codons (19). This connection places ABH8 at the center of questions concerning the evolution of the catalytic activities of AlkB proteins and the relationship between their DNA repair activity and translational regulation of the DNA damage response and of other cellular processes.

To address these questions, we undertook in vivo genetic investigations of the Caenorhabditis elegans ortholog C14B1.10 (35% identical to the human ortholog over all three domains; Fig. 2) in addition to characterizing the RNA binding properties of human ABH8 and the crystal structure of its RRM/AlkB domains. These multidisciplinary studies clarify the relationship between the structural evolution of the AlkB domain and the biological functions of AlkB family enzymes.
**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Protein Expression and Purification**—Most proteins were expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta2 cells (Novagen), which were grown in LB at 20 °C until A$_{600}$ reached 0.6–0.8 and then induced overnight at the same temperature with 1 mM isopropyl-$\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside (0.0075 mM for the constructs in complex with Trm112). For SeMet labeling, the 25–354-His$_6$ construct was expressed in *E. coli* B834(DE3) cells, which are auxotropic for methionine. An overnight culture from a single colony was grown overnight at 37 °C in LB and diluted 1:100 into M9 minimal medium containing 50 mg/liter DL-SeMet (Sigma-Aldrich) for a final overnight growth at 37 °C. This medium was supplemented with 5 mg/liter tryptophan and tyrosine, 50 mg/liter each remaining amino acid other than methionine, 1% (v/v) Kao and Michayluk Vitamin Solution (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.005% (w/v) kanamycin. The concentrated tryptophan/tyrosine stock was made in 200 mM HCl, whereas the other amino acids were dissolved in water. The overnight culture in supplemented M9 medium was diluted into the same medium, grown at 37 °C until A$_{600}$ reached 0.6–0.8, and induced with 1 mM isopropyl-$\beta$-D-galactopyranoside for 16 h at 20 °C. Cells were washed and then lysed by sonicication, and the supernatant from a low speed spin was purified by Ni-NTA chromatography (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The protein-containing eluate from this column was concentrated in a Centricron (Millipore Inc., Billerica, MA), purified by Sephacryl S200 gel filtration chromatography in storage buffer (typically 100–150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5), concentrated, and snap frozen in liquid N$_2$ for storage in small aliquots at −80 °C. When the hexahistidine tag was cleaved, tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease was added to the Ni-NTA eluate at a 1:30 weight ratio during overnight dialysis at 4 °C to remove the imidazole, and the reaction was passed through a second Ni-NTA column to remove the cleaved tag and hexahistidine-tagged TEV protease (31) prior to concentration for gel filtration chromatography. The buffers used for purification were optimized for each construct (supplemental Table S1). The constructs in complex with Trm112 were purified using the published protocol (17) except for the substitution of 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5 for phosphate buffer and the addition of a final gel filtration chromatography step.

**In Vitro tRNA Transcription**—Human tRNA-Gly and tRNA-Glu were transcribed using T7 RNA polymerase (Stratagene, San Diego, CA). Double-stranded DNA coding-sequence templates (Genomic tRNA Database) with added T7 promoter sequences were constructed by PCR using three overlapping primers (supplemental Table S2) (32) and purified by precipitation via addition of 300 mM NaOAc, 2.5 volumes of EtOH, and 0.1 mg/ml glycogen (Fermentas-Thermo Fisher Scientific). *In vitro* transcription reactions contained 0.2–1.0 µg of precipitated PCR product, 2 µl of 10× transcription buffer, 5 mM NTPs, 10 mM DTT, and 1 µl of T7 polymerase in a total volume of 20 µl. For radioligand binding assays, 1 µl of [γ-32P]GTP was added to the reaction mixture. Transcripts were purified on an 8% polyacrylamide gel containing 7 M urea, and bands identified by UV shadowing were excised and extracted overnight with 300 mM NaOAc. Prior to each binding assay, tRNAs were heated at 65 °C for 10 min and then cooled at room temperature to promote proper folding.

**Filter Binding Assays**—Radiolabeled RNA (5 nM) was mixed with 0.05–4.0 µM protein in 50 µl of RNA binding buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5) and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Triplicate reactions were applied to a 96-well Minifold Dot-Blot system (Millipore, Billerica, MA) containing two membranes equilibrated in RNA binding buffer, a protein-binding 0.45-µm Whatman Protran nitrocellulose membrane and a nucleic acid-binding Hybond-N+ nylon membrane (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). The filters were washed three times with the same buffer and then allowed to dry. Signals from the protein-binding and RNA-binding membranes were quantified using a Storm phosphorimaging system (GE Healthcare). The ratio of protein-bound versus total RNA was analyzed as a function of protein concentration using the curve fitting procedure described in the supplemental Methods.

**Fluorescence Anisotropy Assays**—Synthetic HPLC-purified RNAs (supplemental Table S2) with 5′-fluorescein labels (Invitrogen) were dissolved at a 200 µM concentration in RNase-free water (Ambion, Austin, TX) and stored in 10 µl aliquots at −80 °C. RNA samples were thawed immediately prior to use and diluted to 60 nM (17-mer stem-loop) or 73 nM (aptamer ABH8-2.2) concentration in 1.1 ml of freshly degassed RNA binding buffer in diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water (Sigma-Aldrich; 97% NMR grade). Protein titrations were performed in a jacketed cell holder maintained at 25 °C by a water bath with temperature monitored by a Digi-Sense T-type thermocouple thermometer. Fluorescein anisotropy at 523 nm was measured at a 17-mer stem-loop concentration using a Storm phosphorimaging system for 100 s per point, which is long enough for a large fraction of RNAs (typically 100–150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5) to move in and out of the measuring volume. Fluorescence anisotropy vs. protein concentration was plotted, and the 50% fluorescence anisotropy (F50%) concentration was determined from this graph. Curve fitting was performed as described in the supplemental Methods.

**Protein Crystallization**—Although lead crystals were obtained for an RRM/AlkB construct containing the intact N terminus (i.e. 1–354), excision of the first 24 N-terminal residues and retention of the C-terminal hexahistidine tag yielded much stronger diffraction. This 25–354-His$_6$ construct was crystallized in 2:1 (protein:precipitant) microbatch reactions under paraffin oil at 20 °C using a 5 mg/ml protein stock solution containing 2.8 mM MnCl$_2$ and 8.6 mM 2OG that was mixed with precipitant containing 28.5–30.5% (w/v) PEG 4000, 15% (v/v) glycerol, 170 mM NH$_4$(CH$_3$COO), 85 mM sodium citrate, pH 5.6. Crystals of the SeMet-labeled construct were obtained in a different space group using the same protocol with a precipitant containing 30% PEG 3350, 0.15 M DL-malic acid, pH 7. Both crystal forms grew to useful dimensions in 4 days and were cryoprotected with 15% (w/v) ethylene glycol.

**X-ray Structure Determination**—A single wavelength anomalous diffraction data set at 3.2 Å resolution was collected from a SeMet-labeled crystal at 100 K at the anomalous peak wavelength of selenium on the X4C beamline of the National Synchrotron Light Source at Brookhaven National Laboratory. The diffraction images were processed and merged using the HKL package (33). Although the selenium site pattern was clear,
attempts to solve the structure using the single wavelength anomalous diffraction method were unsuccessful. The structure was solved from this data set using the molecular replacement method as implemented in the program PHASER (34). A dual search model was used comprising the NMR structure of the RRM domain of human ABH8 (Protein Data Bank code 2CQ2) after removal of the flexible backbone segments (i.e. retaining residues Tyr40–Asp125) and a homology model of the AlkB-like domain covering residues Lys169–Val337 generated by the program PHYRE from the crystal structure of E. coli AlkB (Protein Data Bank code 2FDI (35); 31% identity to human ABH8). The initial refinement of the solution from PHASER using CNS 1.2 (36) resulted in $R_{\text{work}}$ and $R_{\text{free}}$ values of 40.1 and 52.4%, respectively. However, the resulting electron density map was of sufficient quality to complete the structure (supplemental Table S1) using iterative cycles of manual rebuilding in XtalView (37) and computational refinement in CNS. This model from the SeMet crystals was used to solve the structure of the non-SeMet-labeled crystals via molecular replacement using the program MOLREP (38) followed by refinement in CNS maintaining strong non-crystallographic symmetry restraints (300 kcal/Å and $\sigma_n = 2$) throughout the RRM domains in all four protomers in the asymmetric unit. The final cycle of refinement for both crystal structures included all structure factors (i.e. without applying any $\sigma$ cutoff). A diffraction data set collected on the non-SeMet-labeled crystals at the Zn(II) anomalous edge (9671.7618 eV) on the X4A beamline showed 5–6- $\sigma$ peaks at the Zn(II) position in the C-terminal structural Zn(II)-binding site in the A, B, and D subunits and a 3- $\sigma$ peak at this position in the C subunit (data not shown).

RESULTS

C. elegans ABH8 Ortholog Displays Developmentally Regulated Expression—Previous genomic studies demonstrated that knockdown of ABH8 in Drosophila melanogaster produces a fatal defect in cardiac development (30) and that an internal deletion in the gene encoding C. elegans ABH8 (designated C14B1.10) causes embryonic lethality or sterility in animals surviving to adulthood (39). We observed that a $P_{C14B1.10}\text{gfp}$ promoter fusion to the GFP protein is expressed broadly in early stage C. elegans larvae but only in a small number of cells, primarily neurons, in adults (Fig. 3, A–D). This progressive restriction in its expression pattern suggests that the physiological function of ABH8 may change and become more specialized during the course of development and that it may play a role in neuronal function in adults (see “Discussion”).

A C14B1.10::GFP protein fusion is localized to the cytoplasm of the neurons in which it is expressed in adult worms (Fig. 3, E).
and F). Notably, similar expression and localization patterns were observed in *C. elegans* for ELPC-1 and ELPC-3, proteins that are required for the synthesis of mcm^5U* (i.e. the substrate for the AlkB domain of ABH8); mutations in these enzymes produce neurobehavioral defects (29, 40). ELPC-3 is a radical S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) enzyme homologous to MiaB, an *E. coli* enzyme that catalyzes a nucleobase modification in tRNA (41). ELPC-1 and ELPC-3 were identified previously as components of “Elongator,” an RNA polymerase II-associated histone acetyltransferase complex (42, 43). In this context, it is noteworthy that the *C. elegans* ABH8 ortholog is expressed in an operon (WormBase) that includes a subunit of a conserved histone acetyltransferase complex (42, 43). In this context, it is noteworthy that the *C. elegans* ABH8 ortholog is expressed in an operon (WormBase) that includes a subunit of a conserved histone acetyltransferase complex (42, 43). In this context, it is noteworthy that the *C. elegans* ABH8 ortholog is expressed in an operon (WormBase) that includes a subunit of a conserved histone acetyltransferase complex (42, 43).

**Protein Constructs for Biophysical Analyses of Human ABH8**—To dissect the *in vitro* biophysical and structural properties of ABH8, we expressed and purified from *E. coli* a series of protein constructs derived from the human ABH8 ortholog: three RRM single domain constructs (1–133, 12–125, and 25–125), two RRM/AlkB double domain constructs (1–354 and 25–354), an AlkB single domain construct (132–354), an AlkB/MTase double domain construct (126–663), an MTase single domain construct (352–663), and the full-length protein (1–663) (Fig. 1A). Constructs containing the MTase domain were only soluble in the presence of the accessory protein Trm112 as reported previously (15, 17). A basic N-terminal protein segment predicted to form an amphipathic α-helix spanning residues 13–32 in human ABH8 (44) was included in some protein constructs but not others.

Hydrodynamic analyses of the concentrated protein stocks were conducted using analytical gel filtration chromatography monitored by refractive index and light scattering detectors (supplemental Fig. S1), which provide an accurate measurement of mass-averaged molecular weight. Many constructs showed some tendency to aggregate, especially those containing the MTase domain and Trm112. However, all constructs showed a substantial population of monomers during elution from the gel filtration column. The full-length human ABH8-Trm112 complex eluted as a rapidly interconverting monomer and dimer (supplemental Fig. S1E). After His tag cleavage, the 25–354 RRM/AlkB double domain construct eluted as a monodisperse monomer (supplemental Fig. S1A), although the construct retaining the tag had a tendency to form a varying amount of a stable tetramer (~10–40% in different preparations; supplemental Fig. S1, B–D).

Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) assays indicated co-purification of 1.5 mol of Zn(II)/mol of the AlkB domain construct. Combined with additional data presented below, this observation supports formation of a structural Zn(II)-binding site by the conserved cysteine-rich sequence at the C terminus of the AlkB domain in ABH8 (Fig. 1A and supplemental Fig. S2A); omission of this motif from constructs containing the AlkB domain produced insoluble protein (data not shown).

**The AlkB Domain of Human ABH8 Is Stabilized by a C-terminal Structural Zn(II)-binding Site**—Thermal stability assays were used to assess ligand interaction with ABH8 constructs containing the AlkB domain (Fig. 4 and supplemental Fig. S3). Based on the observed behavior of other Fe(II)/2OG dioxygenases, this domain would be expected to bind the Fe(II) cofactor and 2OG co-substrate cooperatively in the active site even in the absence of tRNA substrate. However, the presence of a pos-
possible structural Zn(II)-binding site at the C terminus of the AlkB domain complicated efforts to verify divalent cation binding in the active site. To dissect the influence of divalent cation interaction at the two possible binding sites, thermal stability assays were performed on a variety of constructs, including a C341A/C349A double mutant lacking two of the three invariant Cys residues in the putative structural Zn(II)-binding site. Assays were conducted in the absence or presence of excess EDTA (5 mM), which should remove all divalent cations from the AlkB domain, as well as varying concentrations of Mn(II). This divalent cation has been widely used as a catalytically inactive analog of Fe(II) because it generally binds to the active site in Fe(II)/2OG dioxygenases with an affinity similar to Fe(II). Although Mn(II) might also interact with the structural Zn(II)-binding site, it would be expected to do so with substantially lower affinity than Zn(II).

Thermal denaturation of AlkB domain-containing constructs of human ABH8 was monitored using the fluorescent reporter dye SYPRO Orange. The 1–354 RRM/AlkB double domain construct exhibits a single unfolding transition with a midpoint \( T_m \) of \(-58^\circ C\). An equivalent change in thermal denaturation behavior is observed upon introduction of the C341A/C349A double mutation, which should block the binding of Zn(II) to the C-terminal Cys-rich sequence motif in the AlkB domain, or upon addition of 5 mM EDTA to the wild-type construct. Both of these variations split the single transition with \( T_m \) of \(-58^\circ C\) into two sequential unfolding transitions with midpoints at \(-40\) and \(-61^\circ C\) (Fig. 4A). The observations that metal chelation and the Cys mutations have the same effect demonstrate that the AlkB domain is destabilized by removal of a Zn(II) ion bound to its C-terminal Cys-rich sequence motif and that this destabilization thermodynamically decouples the unfolding of the AlkB domain from that of the RRM domain. When 1 mM Mn(II) and 10 mM 2OG co-substrate are added to the RRM/AlkB double domain construct harboring the C341A/C349A mutations, the \( T_m \) of the lower unfolding transition shifts up by \(-7^\circ C\) to \(-48^\circ C\) without changing the \( T_m \) of the higher transition (Fig. 4B). (Binding of 2OG to Fe(II)/2OG dioxygenases generally requires binding of the directly interacting metal cofactor.) In contrast, at most, a minimal upshift in the \( T_m \) of this transition is observed in the presence of 1 mM Mn(II) in the absence of 2OG. These results demonstrate that the AlkB domain in this construct functionally interacts with the metal cofactor and 2OG co-substrate even without occupancy of its structural Zn(II)-binding site. Moreover, they confirm the inference that the first thermal transition reflects unfolding of the AlkB domain in the double domain construct. The isolated AlkB domain construct (residues 132–354) similarly binds 2OG in an Mn(II)-dependent manner (supplemental Fig. S3).

An N-terminal α-Helix Critically Contributes to RNA Binding by ABH8—Assays evaluating the binding of different protein constructs to different RNA species were used to dissect the energetic contributions of regions of ABH8 to its affinity and specificity for the tRNA substrate. Filter binding assays were used to analyze the binding of ABH8 constructs to \textit{in vitro} transcribed tRNAs identified previously as possible ABH8 substrates (15, 17, 18) (Fig. 5, A and B, supplemental Fig. S4B, and Table 1), whereas fluorescence anisotropy assays were used to analyze their binding to shorter synthetic RNA species (Fig. 5, C and D, supplemental Fig. S4B, and Table 1). The synthetic RNAs included a 17-mer matching the anticodon stem-loop of tRNA-Gly (18), a control 17-mer with a randomly chosen sequence, and a 44-base aptamer called ABH8-2.2 selected to bind to the 1–354 construct using systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX) (45). Aptamer ABH8-2.2, which had the highest affinity of the aptamers isolated by SELEX, has 41 and 53% identity to the anticodon stem-loops of tRNA-Glu and tRNA-Gly, respectively, including a perfect match in the anticodon loop of tRNA-Gly (supplemental Fig. S4A). The 5′-region of this aptamer is enriched in A bases as was the equivalent region in aptamers of slightly lower affinity (data not shown).

All of the ABH8 protein constructs containing the basic N-terminal α-helix bind RNA with significant affinity but low sequence specificity (Fig. 5, A–D, and Table 1). The full-length 1–663 construct in complex with Trm112 binds to all assayed RNA species, including the nonspecific control 17-mer, with similar 200–800 nM affinities (Fig. 5, supplemental Fig. S4B, and Table 1). RRM single domain and RRM/AlkB double domain constructs both bind RNA with slightly weaker 1–4 μM affinities and an ~3-fold preference for the tRNA-related species compared with the nonspecific control 17-mer (Fig. 5, supplemental Fig. S4B, and Table 1). The structural Zn(II)-binding site at the C terminus of the AlkB domain does not contribute to this RNA binding affinity based on the results observed with the C341A/C349A double mutant (supplemental Fig. S2B). However, removal of the basic N-terminal α-helix preceding the RRM domain reduces binding affinity for the tRNA-related species by at least 10-fold (Table 1). Constructs lacking this protein segment retain strong affinity only for the selected ABH8-2.2 aptamer, which is most remote from the physiological tRNA substrate. Moreover, the isolated AlkB domain does not show detectable interaction with any of the RNA species tested (Table 1 and supplemental Fig. S4B). Therefore, fairly strong but mostly nonspecific binding of RNA is mediated by the RRM domain of ABH8 in conjunction with its basic N-terminal α-helix, which makes a critical contribution to the binding energy.

\textit{X-ray Crystal Structures Show That RRM and AlkB Domains in ABH8 Form a Continuous Surface Likely to Mediate RNA Interaction}—We solved crystals that grew in two different space groups, both containing the 25–354 RRM/AlkB double domain construct with a C-terminal TEV-protease-cleavable hexahistidine tag (Fig. 6A, supplemental Figs. S5–S7, and Table 2). These structures with bound Mn(II) and 2OG were refined to working \( R \)-factors of 22 and 21.7% and free \( R \)-factors of 27.7 and 28.3% at 3.0 and 3.2 Å, respectively. Other constructs, including the equivalent construct retaining the basic N-terminal α-helix, failed to yield high quality crystals. The diffraction power of the 25–354 crystals declined significantly upon removal of the hexahistidine tag.

Both crystal structures show the RRM and AlkB domains connected by a well ordered loop (Fig. 6A and supplemental Fig. S7, A and B). The structural Zn(II)-binding site at the C terminus of the AlkB domain is adjacent to its active site, positioned above a wide groove formed at the interface between the AlkB domain and the RRM domain. Each protein domain forms a large surface that is contiguous with the other, with individual \( R \)-factors of 22.7 and 21.0% and free \( R \)-factors of 27.6 and 26.5% at 2.5 and 2.4 Å, respectively (Table 2). The structural Zn(II)-binding site of the AlkB domain is adjacent to its active site, positioned above a wide groove formed at the interface between the AlkB domain and the RRM domain.
and RRM domains. (As discussed in more detail below, the well ordered C-terminal tag, omitted in most figures, directly participates in a crystal-packing contact.) Comparing the two crystal structures of the same construct in different space groups shows preservation of the interaction geometry of the two domains with only a small degree of flexibility in the linkage between them (supplemental Fig. S7B). This observation, combined with the observation of a single thermal unfolding transition for the 1–354 construct (Fig. 4), suggests a relatively tight interaction between the RRM and AlkB domains in ABH8. However, there could be more flexibility between the domains in solution than suggested by the comparison of the crystal structures because their interaction geometry in the crystal lattices might be stabilized by a shared tetramer structure that is unlikely to represent a physiological interaction (see below).

**FIGURE 5.** RNA binding properties of ABH8 protein constructs. A and B, filter binding assays in which increasing concentrations of protein were titrated at room temperature onto 5 nM radiolabeled tRNA-Gly (A) or tRNA-Glu (B) in 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5. The mean and standard deviation of the fraction of protein-bound RNA in triplicate assays are plotted for an RRM domain construct (1–133; black), an RRM/AlkB double domain construct (1–354; blue), and the entirety of ABH8 in complex with the Trm112 protein (1–633; red). C and D, fluorescence anisotropy assays in the same buffer in which increasing concentrations of protein were titrated at 25 °C onto 5’-fluorescein-labeled synthetic 17-mer step-loop matching the anticodon loop of tRNA-Gly (C) or aptamer ABH8-2.2 (D), which was selected in vitro to bind to the 1–354 RRM/AlkB double domain construct. Results from a single titration are plotted for an RRM domain construct (1–133; black), RRM/AlkB domain constructs either with (1–354; dark blue) or without (25–354; light blue) the first 24 N-terminal residues, an MTase domain construct in complex with Trm112 (MT; orange), and the entirety of ABH8 protein in complex with Trm112 (1–633; red).

**TABLE 1**

Dissociation constants for binding of RNA species to ABH8 domains

See supplemental Methods and the legend to Fig. 5 for buffer conditions.

| Protein constructs | tRNA-Gly | tRNA-Glu | 17-mer stem-loop | Aptamer ABH8-2.2 | Control 17-mer | tRNA-Gly | tRNA-Glu | 17-mer stem-loop | Aptamer ABH8-2.2 | Control 17-mer |
|--------------------|----------|----------|------------------|------------------|---------------|----------|----------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1–133              | 3.0 ± 0.9 μM | 830 ± 330 nM | 1.3 ± 0.4 μM | 3.9 ± 0.2 μM | ND*          | 40 ± 70 nM | 30 ± 30 nM | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | ND*           |
| 1–354              | 2.9 ± 0.8 μM | 2.3 ± 1.8 μM | 1.4 ± 0.2 μM | 2.3 ± 0.4 μM | 6.3 ± 1.0 μM | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.3 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM |
| 1–354(C341A/C349A) | ND        | ND        | 808 ± 151 nM | 2 ± 0.2 μM | ND           | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.3 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM |
| 25–354-His6        | ND        | ND        | 26 ± 5 μM   | 4.8 ± 0.3 μM | ND           | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.3 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM |
| 352–663+Trm112     | ND        | ND        | 9.1 ± 0.9 μM | 61 ± 6 μM  | ND           | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.3 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM |
| 1–663+Trm112*      | 490 ± 290 nM | 380 ± 151 nM | 240 ± 29 nM | 240 ± 50 nM | ND           | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.3 ± 0.1 μM | 3.0 ± 0.2 μM | 1.2 ± 0.1 μM |

* Values calculated from radiolabeled filter binding assays at room temperature.
* Values calculated from fluorescence anisotropy assays at 25 °C.
* ND, not determined.
* Filter binding assays on the same RNA species without a fluorescent label gave equivalent binding affinity for the 1–663+Trm112 construct (350 ± 20 nM) but higher affinity for the 1–354 construct (290 ± 90 nM). Either the fluorescent label reduces aptamer affinity for the 1–354 construct or a conformational change in this construct upon filter binding increases its binding affinity.
* Assays on constructs containing the MTase domain (352–663) displayed reduced binding at the highest protein concentrations, suggesting aggregation, limiting the accuracy of the dissociation constants measured for these constructs.
The electrostatic potential on the proximal surface of the protein (as shown in Fig. 6A) is strongly basic near the active site in the AlkB domain but otherwise not consistently charged (Fig. 6B). Nonetheless, based on the location of the active site and additional analyses presented in this study, tRNA substrates are likely to bind to this surface and interact simultaneously with the catalytically active AlkB domain and the RRM domain.

A short α-helix observed at the start of the RRM domain likely represents the end of a basic N-terminal α-helix formed by residues 13–32 in ABH8. This α-helix, which is demonstrated above to contribute to nonspecific RNA binding (Fig. 5, supplemental Fig. S4B, and Table 1), was truncated in the 25–354 construct to promote crystallization. When present, it will project over the groove at the interdomain interface between the RRM and AlkB domains, i.e. in an ideal position to interact with tRNAs bound to the putative interaction surface spanning both of these domains (Fig. 6A).

The same tetramer (supplemental Fig. S7C) is observed in both crystal structures of the 25–354 construct with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag. Despite the fact that these crystals grew from different mother liquors and otherwise have different intermolecular packing interactions, this tetramer seems unlikely to have physiological relevance given the observations that the 25–354 construct without the C-terminal tag is a monodisperse monomer and that the full-length ABH8-

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
Trm112 complex equilibrates between monomer and dimer forms in solution (supplemental Fig. S1). The crystallographic tetramer (supplemental Fig. S7C) represents a dimer of dimers. One interprotomer dimer interface, which buries 960 Å² of solvent-accessible surface area per protomer, involves formation of a two-stranded antiparallel β-sheet by the protein segment linking the RRM and AlkB domains together. The other interprotomer dimer interface, which buries 990 Å² of solvent-accessible surface area per protomer, involves contacts with the C-terminal structural Zn(II)-binding site and segments of the uncleaved hexahistidine tag. The crystallographic tetramer therefore could represent the stable oligomer observed to varying extents in analytical gel filtration chromatography of different preparations of the construct retaining the C-terminal hexahistidine tag but not observed after tag cleavage (supplemental Fig. S1).

The Crystal Structure of the RRM Domain of ABH8 Suggests Novel RNA Interaction Mode—The α/β fold (46) of the RRM domain is identical to that in an NMR structure of this single domain deposited previously in the Protein Data Bank (code 2CQ2). The x-ray and the lowest energy NMR structures superimpose with a root mean square deviation (r.m.s.d.) of 0.9 Å, and there is close correspondence between the regions with elevated B-factors in the x-ray structure and significant backbone conformational dispersion in the NMR structure (supplemental Fig. S6).

These regions are limited to the short α-helix at the start of the domain and a turn in the β-hairpin formed by the final two β-strands in the domain. This β-hairpin is longer compared with most homologous domains and forms part of the putative tRNA interaction surface in ABH8 (Figs. 6, A and B, and 7A).

The RRM domain of ABH8 contains three residues (Lys80, Tyr82, and Phe84) that form the so-called RNP1 consensus sequence motif (Figs. 6A and 7A), one of the signature motifs of canonical RRM domains (46). However, it is missing an additional RNA-interacting aromatic residue often found on the first β-strand of RRM domain (46, 47). In some but not all homologous structures, the RNP1 motif interacts with RNA (supplemental Fig. S8); in these cases, a nucleotide base generally stacks with one of its aromatic residues (46, 47). In ABH8, the residues in this motif are covered by the RRM/AlkB interdomain loop and by the short α-helical segment at the N terminus of the RRM domain. Together, these structures form a cavity that could accommodate

| Crystal parameters | Unlabeled |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Space group | I222 | C2 |
| Cell dimensions | 68.3, 81.7, 144.7 | 150.2, 73.3, 149.8 |
| a, b, c (Å) | 90, 90, 90 | 90, 112.7, 90 |
| Matthews coefficient (Å³/Da) | 2.7 | 2.5 |

| Data quality | |
|---------------|---|
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.97885 | 0.97912 |
| Resolution (Å) | 40-3.2 | 40-3.0 |
| Rₚ (%) | 15.4 (43.7) | 8.2 (30.4) |
| No. of reflections | 94,671 | 105,161 |
| No. of reflections in R_free set | 12,770 | 29,876 |
| Mean redundancy | 7.4 (6.4) | 3.5 (3.4) |
| Completeness, overall (%) | 99.9 (99.6) | 99.5 (98.6) |
| Mean I/σ | 14.3 (3.7) | 16.4 (3.7) |

| Refinement residuals | |
|----------------------|---|
| R_free (%) | 28.3 | 27.7 |
| R_work (%) | 21.7 | 22.0 |

| Model quality | |
|---------------|---|
| r.m.s.d. bond lengths (Å) | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| r.m.s.d. bond angles (°) | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Ramachandran plot | |
| Favored (%) | 81.7 | 84.3 |
| Allowed (%) | 17.9 | 15.2 |
| Generously allowed (%) | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Disallowed (%) | 0 | 0 |
| Mean B-factors (Å²) | Protein | 44.2 | 48.7 |
| | Metals | 35.0 | 39.5 |
| | Ligand | 48.9 | 49.7 |

| Model contents | |
|-----------------|---|
| Protomers in asymmetric unit | 1 | 4 |
| Protein residues | 18–156, 174–181, 192–306, 309–360 | A: 28–157, 174–180, 199–363; B: 28–158, 174–183, 189–304, 308–362; C: 28–158, 174–181, 100–306, 356–362; D: 28–159, 173–181, 200–360 |
| Ligands | 1 | 4 |
| No. of atoms | Protein | 2,413 | 9,613 |
| | Metal ion | 2 | 8 |
| | Ligands | 10 | 40 |
| | Water molecules | 0 | 100 |
| Protein Data Bank code | 3THP | 3THT |

### TABLE 2

Data collection and refinement statistics

Standard definitions were used for parameters (61). Entries in parentheses report data for the limiting resolution shell. All observations with I ≥ 3σ were merged and included in the calculation of Rmerge and all resulting structure factors were used in refinement. Data collection and refinement statistics come from SCALEPACK (33) and CNS (36), respectively.
without steric clash a pyrimidine nucleotide, which would base
stack with Tyr$^{32}$ in the RNP1 motif. The orifice of this cavity
is located on the protein surface hypothesized to bind tRNA, directly
below the groove at the interdomain interface. This location places
the cavity in an ideal position to contribute to tRNA binding (Fig.
6C). Binding of a nucleotide base into such a sequestered cavity
would represent a novel RNA interaction mode for an RRM
domain (see “Discussion”).

**Unique Conformational Features in AlkB Domain of ABH8**—The
topology of the AlkB domain in ABH8 is identical to that of
other AlkB family enzymes (Fig. 7, B and C, and supplemental
Fig. S9). Its core comprises a double-stranded β-helix with eight
β-strands organized in a jellyroll fold (35, 48–50). It bears the
strongest structural similarity (51) to human ABH3 (supple-
mental Fig. S9B; Z-score of 16 and 2.4-Å r.m.s.d. for alignment
of 153 of 194 Ca atoms with 19% sequence identity in Protein
Data Bank code 2IUW). It bears a nearly equivalent level of
similarity to *E. coli* AlkB (Fig. 7B; Z-score of 15 and 2.5-Å
r.m.s.d. for alignment of 149 of 194 Ca atoms with 23% sequence
testimony in Protein Data Bank code 2FDH), and it has
only slightly lower similarity to the most remote known mem-
ber of the AlkB family, human FTO (Fig. 7C; Z-score of 12 and
2.6-Å r.m.s.d. for alignment of 147 of 194 Ca atoms with 15%
sequence identity in Protein Data Bank code 3LFM).

Three significant structural differences are observed in the
AlkB domain of ABH8 compared with other AlkB family mem-
ers. First, invariant residues Cys$^{341}$, Cys$^{343}$, and Cys$^{349}$ in the
15-residue C-terminal extension unique to ABH8 ligate a single
Zn(II) ion, which is also ligated by His$^{342}$ from the AlkB domain
(supplemental Figs. S2 and S5B). This His residue is located
between strands A-β3 and A-β4 in the core region of the
domain shared by all Fe(II)/2OG dioxygenases. The bridging
interaction to this residue made by the Zn(II) ion explains the
observed thermodynamic stabilization of the AlkB domain by
this C-terminal structural Zn(II)-binding site (Fig. 4).

The second structural adaptation in the AlkB domain of
ABH8 is a longer and partially disordered loop between strands
A-β8 and A-β9 (residues 299–324). This loop could mediate
regulatory interactions remote from the active site.

The third and final structural adaptation occurs in the pro-
ten loops corresponding to the nucleotide recognition lid in
*E. coli* AlkB; these loops are completely disordered in both of
our crystal structures of the RRM and AlkB domains of ABH8
(Fig. 7B). In *E. coli* AlkB, these protein segments mediate most
contacts to the DNA substrate, and they have been shown by
amide $^1$H/$^2$H exchange mass spectrometry to be more dynamic
prior to DNA binding (35). The corresponding loops in ABH8
are somewhat shorter (22 and 21 versus 26 and 28 residues) and
more highly charged (six acidic and two basic residues versus
two acidic and two basic residues). Based on their close prox-
imity to the active site in ABH8, they are likely to undergo a
disorder-to-order transition upon binding specific tRNA
substrates.

The Z-score is a standard measure of statistical significance defined in this
case as the ratio of the structural similarity score to the standard deviation
of that score on randomly related structures. Z-scores above 2 in the pro-
gram DALI (42) are generally interpreted to indicate significant similarity in
backbone fold.

**FIGURE 7. Structural alignments of human ABH8 domains.** A, structural
alignment (51) of the RRM domains from ABH8 and *S. cerevisiae* Rna15 (56).
The backbone of the ABH8 RRM domain is colored red and orange as in Fig. 6A,
and the residues in its RNP1 motif are highlighted and shown in gray in stick
representation (with black labels except for Tyr$^{32}$, which is unlabeled). The N
terminus of the ABH8 domain is indicated by the black label "N." The back-
bone of Rna15 is colored cyan; its crystallographically observed side chains
interacting with RNA (magenta with blue labels), the consensus residues in its
RNP1 motif (light blue), and its bound RNA ligand (gray) are all shown in stick
representation. Note that this RNA ligand binds to Rna15 on the ridge of the
RRM domain proximal to the AlkB domain in the structure of ABH8. The resi-
dues in the RNP1 motif are solvent-exposed in Rna15 but partially buried by
the N-terminal α-helical segment in ABH8. B, structural alignment of the ABH8
AlkB domain with *E. coli* AlkB (35). ABH8 is colored as in Fig. 6A with gray
spheres added to highlight the termini of its disordered backbone segments.
Its bound Mn(II) and Zn(II) cations are shown, respectively, as purple and yel-
low spheres, whereas its bound 2OG co-substrate is shown in purple in stick
representation (adjacent to the Mn(II) cofactor). The core of E. coli AlkB is
colored green, its nucleotide-recognition lid (NRL) is colored red, and its bound
dNA substrate (TmAT) is colored orange. C, structural alignment of the
AlkB domains from ABH8 and human FTO with the latter colored like E. coli
AlkB in B (50). The secondary structure elements that differ between ABH8
and its homologs are colored in magenta in B and C.

Given the disordered state of these loops, the active site in
ABH8 is completely solvent-exposed (Fig. 6A). Although this
structural variation is probably necessary to accommodate the
folded macromolecular tRNA substrate, it could potentially
promote promiscuous catalysis of 2OG oxidation in the
absence of tRNA, resulting in adventitious release of reactive
oxygen species. However, ABH8 shows lower basal 2OG oxida-
tion than *E. coli* AlkB in the absence of the nucleic acid sub-
ment induces a modest increase in the expression level of ABH8 methyl methanesulfonate and bleomycin, and bleomycin treatment increases in their sensitivity to the DNA-damaging agents expression in human tissue culture cells produces a modest enzyme. Consistent with this hypothesis, knockdown of ABH8 enzyme to the DNA repair activity of the bacterial AlkB codons (19). This hypothesis for ABH8 function is appealing DNA repair enzymes encoded by mRNAs enriched in specific to the MTase domain in ABH8 and that catalyzes the same complexes of E. coli AlkB (14, 35) and other superfamily members (48–50). The electron density in this region of ABH8, including after high temperature simulated annealing omitting the metal cofactors and 2OG co-substrate (supplemental Fig. S10), suggests that there may be some minor variation in the exact conformation of the bound 2OG. However, its conformation is inconsistent with adoption of the canonical catalytically active conformation. The catalytically essential residue Arg334 also adopts an alternative conformation with its guanidino group failing to make the typical bridging interaction between the Fe(II)-ligating Asp and 2OG groups (Fig. 6D and supplemental Fig. S10). These variations from canonical catalytic geometry likely explain the lower uncoupled turnover of 2OG by the AlkB domain of ABH8. A conformational change in the active site will be needed upon tRNA binding to generate a catalytically active complex; this activation mechanism likely represents an important adaptation to having a solvent-exposed Fe(II)/2OG active site acting on a folded macromolecular substrate.

DISCUSSION

Implications of in Vivo Expression Pattern of ABH8 for Its Physiological Function—In this study, we present data on the evolutionary diversification of AlkB domain function in the multifunctional enzyme ABH8, and we elucidate the structural adaptations underlying this diversification. We demonstrate that, in the nematode C. elegans, the ABH8 ortholog C14B1.10 is expressed in a tissue-specific pattern that changes during the lifespan of the animal, suggesting that its tRNA modification activity may play a role in regulating metazoan development (30). Such a role would be consistent with studies showing substantial differences in ABH8 expression level in different human tissues (4).

An alternative hypothesis for ABH8 function is that it regulates the translation level of proteins involved in DNA damage repair. This hypothesis was based on a study showing that Trm9, a Saccharomyces cerevisiae enzyme that is homologous to the MTase domain in ABH8 and that catalyzes the same tRNA methylation reaction, enhances the translation of a set of DNA repair enzymes encoded by mRNAs enriched in specific codons (19). This hypothesis for ABH8 function is appealing because it ties the altered catalytic activity of the metazoan ABH8 enzyme to the DNA repair activity of the bacterial AlkB enzyme. Consistent with this hypothesis, knockdown of ABH8 expression in human tissue culture cells produces a modest increase in their sensitivity to the DNA-damaging agents methyl methanesulfonate and bleomycin, and bleomycin treatment induces a modest increase in the expression level of ABH8 (17). The widespread expression that we observe for the ABH8 ortholog in C. elegans larvae but not adults (Fig. 3) could potentially reflect a greater need for DNA repair activity in dividing cells. Overexpression of ABH8 in human bladder cancer cells (1) similarly could be related to such an effect, because the genome is often destabilized in cancer cells.

The data presented in this study showing very restricted expression of the ABH8 ortholog in C. elegans adults provide evidence that ABH8 could additionally play a role in the development of this multicellular organism. There are well-established examples of proteins mediating basic metabolic processes in unicellular organisms being adapted in metazoans to regulate different processes related to the development of multicellular organisms. For example, translational control of the GCN4 transcription factor regulates the response to amino acid deprivation in yeast, whereas translational control of the murine homolog ATF4 plays a role in regulating long-term memory formation (52). The C. elegans expression data presented in this study suggest that ABH8 may similarly have been adapted to play a role in animal development, possibly in neuronal cells, as inferred by the similar expression pattern of the ABH8 ortholog and the Elongator complex in C. elegans. The ELPC-1 and ELPC-3 proteins in this complex are involved in the production of mc5mU at position 34 in tRNA, the substrate for ABH8, and mutations in these proteins impair neural function and development in worms (29). Notably, mutations in the human homologs of these proteins can cause the neurological diseases familial dysautonomia and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (53, 54). Finally, a C. elegans paralog of the methyltransferase domain in ABH8 (gene C35D10.12) is expressed in the nervous system of both larval and adult worms, providing yet another association between tRNA modification and worm neurodevelopment (55). In summary, the available data on the proteins producing the substrate for ABH8, combined with the conservation of ABH8 in all metazoa and our data on ABH8 expression in adult worms (Fig. 3), suggest that ABH8 has a specialized, developmentally regulated physiological function. Further research will be required to elucidate its exact physiological functions, whether these vary in different developmental states, and the molecular mechanism(s) connecting these functions to its tRNA modification activity.

Structural and Functional Adaptations in the RRM Domain of ABH8—Our thermodynamic and crystallographic studies on ABH8 provide insight into the molecular adaptations enabling the AlkB domain in ABH8 to catalyze a highly specific covalent modification of tRNA instead of promiscuously catalyzing repair of alkylation lesions in DNA. The first such adaptation is the fusion of the AlkB domain to an RRM domain (55). In summary, the available data on the proteins producing the substrate for ABH8, combined with the conservation of ABH8 in all metazoa and our data on ABH8 expression in adult worms (Fig. 3), suggest that ABH8 has a specialized, developmentally regulated physiological function. Further research will be required to elucidate its exact physiological functions, whether these vary in different developmental states, and the molecular mechanism(s) connecting these functions to its tRNA modification activity.
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Structure and RNA Binding of RRM/AlkB Domains in ABH8

RNP1 motif, which are solvent-exposed in other RRM domains, are partially buried in ABH8 by the protein segment connecting the RRM and AlkB domains and by the end of the N-terminal basic α-helix (i.e. its C-terminal segment that is retained and visualized in the crystallized protein construct). These structural interactions create a cavity whose orifice is located just below the interface between the RRM and AlkB domains (Fig. 6, A–C). This cavity could accommodate a pyrimidine base, which would form a base-stacking interaction with Tyr82 from the RNP1 motif. Further research will be required to determine whether such an interaction takes place during tRNA binding to ABH8 and whether it contributes primarily to nonspecific binding energy or specific substrate recognition.

If a pyrimidine base does bind in this cavity in the RRM domain of ABH8, it would provide yet another paradigm for the structural and functional adaptation of RRM domains, which are broadly associated with RNA-binding proteins but display diverse structural interactions (supplemental Fig. S8, A–D). Yeast Rna15, a subunit of cleavage factor 1A involved in mRNA maturation, represents one of the RRM domains with closest structural similarity to ABH8 (Z-score of 11 and 1.4-A r.m.s.d. for alignment of 75 of 103 Cα carbons with 23% sequence identity in Protein Data Bank code 2X1A) (51, 56). A mononucleotide interacts with the region of Rna15 equivalent to that lining the interdomain groove in ABH8 (Figs. 6A and 7A), a likely region of tRNA interaction in ABH8. In other RRM domains, the RNP1 motif is solvent-exposed and directly binds RNA (46, 47). However, in the RRM domain of the exon-junction complex, this motif is buried in an intersubunit interface and therefore unable to participate directly in binding RNA (supplemental Fig. S8D) (57). The putative pyrimidine-binding cavity in the RRM domain of ABH8 presents a hybrid stereochromical paradigm in which the RNP1 motif is partially buried but still able to participate in RNA binding.

Structural and Functional Adaptations in AlkB Domain of ABH8—Another striking characteristic of human ABH8 is that its AlkB domain has an extremely narrow substrate specificity, contrary to bacterial AlkB DNA repair enzymes whose hallmark is a broad substrate specificity. Bacterial AlkB s efficiently dealkylate 1-methyladenine, 3-methylcytosine, and 1,6-diaminopurine while different nucleobases slide into the slot to enable preservation of their interactions with the polynucleotide backbone while different nucleobases slide into the slot to differing degrees as optimal for catalysis of their oxidation (14). The equivalent structural elements in the AlkB domain in ABH8 are disordered in the absence of the nucleic acid substrate. A disorder-to-order transition in these loops is likely responsible for forming the binding pocket that specifically recognizes the modified nucleobase mcm5U in folded tRNAs. Therefore, the structural properties of these loops represent the second key evolutionary adaptation contributing to the altered catalytic activity of the AlkB domain in ABH8 (with the other being the fusion of the RRM domain as discussed above).

The binding studies presented in this study indicate that the RRM domain of ABH8 contributes primarily to nonspecific RNA binding affinity, which is likely to facilitate the search for correctly modified tRNAs in vivo. However, mcm5U-containing tRNAs probably bind to ABH8 with substantially higher affinity given its observed co-purification with substrate tRNAs (17). Nucleic acid modifications often make critical energetic contributions to substrate binding by enzymes operating on modified RNA and DNA substrates (41). Notably, E. coli AlkB shows ~50-fold higher affinity for methylated DNA substrates compared with equivalent unmodified DNAs (58). The methoxy substituent in mcm5U, which is added by the MTase domain of ABH8 (15, 17), has been inferred to have a similarly strong influence on the binding affinity of the AlkB domain of ABH8 based on the observation that a model anticodon substrate containing mcm5U can be hydroxylated, whereas the equivalent RNA containing cm5U cannot (18). This exquisitely specific recognition of mcm5U-containing tRNAs must be derived at least in part from interactions of the modified nucleobase with the 22- and 21-residue disordered loops that flank the active site in its AlkB domain. These loops are topologically equivalent to the 26- and 28-residue segments that form most of the promiscuous nucleobase-binding cavity in E. coli AlkB. In ABH8, they also likely contribute to maintaining its solvent-exposed Fe(II)/2OG center in a catalytically inactivated conformation prior to binding the macromolecular substrate. The dramatic alterations in the catalytic properties of the AlkB domain based primarily on remodeling of these two short loops provide a remarkable example of the structural plasticity underlying protein evolution.
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