The Colored Oil Property Effect on Switching Behavior of Electro-Fluidic Display
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Abstract

The switchable oil layer driven by electrowetting gives visible color and light valve control, which is the basis of Electro-Fluidic Displays. The colored oil’s property is a key factor that influences the Electro-Fluidic Displays switching behavior. A purple oil was formulated by the oil-soluble purple dye in decane in this study. The dye molecule itself is nonpolar and it doesn’t dissolve in water. The concentration of colored oil influenced the oil/water interfacial tension and oil viscosity. The relationship of EFD switching behavior with oil/water interfacial tension, oil viscosity, and oil conductivity has been systematically investigated. The oil/water interfacial tension decreased with increasing oil concentration, in the meanwhile, the conductivity increased. Oil conductivity was one of the key factors that influenced the Electro-Fluidic Displays optical property. We found for the first time that at the lower oil concentration (2% - 10%), the interfacial tension plays a main role effect on the rupture voltage and response time, but as the conductivity of higher concentration of colored oil increased (at 20%), the rupture voltage-controlled both by conductivity and interfacial tension.
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1. Introduction

The electrowetting phenomenon was first described in 1875 by Lippmann [1]. Nowadays, a hydrophobic insulating layer on the electrode is normally used to reduce contact angle hysteresis to get better electrowetting behavior [2].
changing the electric field across the insulating layer, the contact angle of li-
quid/dielectric solid surface changes. Theoretically, the contact angle of a water
drop decreased by increasing the electric field, but because of free charge aggre-
gation at the three-phase contact line, the water contact angle can never be zero
[3] [4]. Electrowetting has application in lab-on-chip for micro-fluid manipula-
tion [5] [6], optical lens systems [7] [8], optical fibers [9], and displays [10] [11].

**Figure 1(a)** demonstrates the basic structure and principles of electro-fluid dis-
play cells.

For the electro-fluid display application, the colored oil layer acts as an optical
valve which was stabilized in the pixel due to the capillary and hydrophobic force (**Figure 1(b)**). The gravitational force can be neglected on this small-scale
(<100 μm). Without voltage, the colored oil forms a thin film between the hy-
drophobic insulator surface and the water, since the interfacial tension between
the water/insulator pair is larger than the interfacial tension between the insula-
tor/oil and oil/water layers. The colored oil layer can then be switched on and off
with an electric field. Once the voltage is applied, the equilibrium stage is dis-
turbed by the static electric force and the oil film subsequently breaks and
shrinks to one corner of the pixel, thus reducing the free energy [12]. The re-
ponse time can reach less than 10 ms, which provides an advantage over other
reflective display technologies that video frame rates are possible. Thibault et al.
reported the interfacial tension effect on electrowetting driven motion. They
concluded that the driving voltage decreases with increasing dye concentration
and the interfacial tension plays a significant role in the speed of the oil move-
ment in a large structure [13]. Although the dye used for electro-fluid display
should be nonpolar, yet organic molecules still can be polarized under a high
electric field, resulting in increased oil conductivity. A research group of Sam-
sung discovered the “flow back” defect. They found that the dyed oil film in the
pixel tends to reduce the contraction even if the voltage is maintained [14]. They
discussed that this flow back effect is mainly dependent on the structure of the
dye and that more symmetrical molecules provide shorter flow back time. But

![Figure 1. The composition of an electro-fluid display (a): on and off-state; (b): a filled single pixel for testing in this study and (c) schematic of opening ratio calculation.](image)
they did not consider the variation of the colored oil’s conductivity which also relates to the fundamental property of dye molecules. The papers published in our group recently showed that the dyes with a tertiary amino group can be protonated at the oil/water interface that will affect the electrowetting contact angle [15] [16]. In this work, we chose decane as the solvent because of its high dielectric constant, low viscosity and solubility in water. We investigated the relationship of the colored oil/water interfacial tension, colored oil viscosity, and conductivity of different oil concentrations and surfactant concentrations with the effect on electro-fluid display cell rupture voltage and switching behavior.

2. Electro-Fluid Display Cell Fabrication and Experimental Methods

The electro-fluid display cell under test was produced as previously reported from our group, using a 5.4 μm high pixel wall and 150 μm × 150 μm square pixel. Amorphous fluoropolymer (AF1600, Chemours, εr 1.934) was spun coated onto ITO glass to form an 850 nm hydrophobic insulator. A descum process, using reactive ion etching, was applied after lithography of the pixel wall to make sure no residue was left on the hydrophobic insulator surface, and a 640 nm fluoropolymer film was left after all production process. A nonpolar oil-soluble anthraquinone purple dye (purity ≥ 98%) was purchased from a local company (Jiaxing Evershine Chemical Co. Ltd.). 2%, 5%, 10% and 20% dye concentrations were formulated in decane (Aldrich, ≥99%) (dielectric constant εr 2). Water for interfacial tension measurement was double distilled. The colored oil was then filled into the pixels between water and hydrophobic insulating layer with the method described earlier [17].

Oil/water interfacial tension was determined by the pendant drop technique with the Detaphysics Contact Angle System (OCA 15Pro) at 25˚ [18]. A 1.67 mm diameter hook shape U tube syringe needle was applied for oil/water interfacial tension measurement because the density of oil is smaller than water [19]. Since the colored oil is not transparent, the interfacial tension was monitored in real-time, and the interfacial tension was determined when the data curve reached a plateau value. A testing cell with a 22 μm gap between two ITO electrodes on glass was made, and the cell was filled with colored oil by capillary force. A DC voltage was applied between the ITO electrodes, and the electric current was recorded by a Picoammeter (Keithley 6487) at −35 V for 25 s to compare the conductivities of oil. A lab-view program was utilized to record the current data. The same test cells were used to keep the gap between testing constant, and the cell was cleaned by petroleum ether and dried at 110 degrees each time after testing. Before testing, the filled cell was shorted for 120 s. Luminance was monitored on −45 V with 5 Hz (50% duty cycle) by a colorimeter (Admesy ages-45˚). The luminance was calculated into reflectance using the experienced equation $R = 0.058L + 0.913$. The visual response time was measured as the time from voltage-on until 90% reflectance is reached. The pixel was driven by a DC voltage cycle, from 0 V to −52 V back to 0 V, a video was recorded under a mi-
croscope. A homemade program automatically calculated the white area ratio (WAR = Open area/pixel area) using a proprietary routine in Visual Studio 2012.

3. Result and Discussion

The oil layer in the electro fluid display device has to have absorption equals to 1 for 5 µm thickness, the normal concentration for display purpose in our lab was 10%. The oil with concentration below 2% was colorless while due to the limitation of purple dye’s solubility 20% was the maximum concentration. As purple dye concentration increased from 2% to 20%, the interfacial tension dropped linearly from 42 mN/m to 23 mN/m. In the meanwhile, the viscosity increased from 0.4 mPas to 1.4 mPas (Figure 2(a)). The electrowetting follows the Young-Lippman equation, where the rupture related to oil/water interfacial tension, insulator’s dielectric constant and thickness. In the equation, the liquid conductivity, especially the insulator oil’s conductivity was not taken into consideration. We found that as the oil concentration increased, the oil’s conductivity changed. Even though liquid conductivity is customarily measured using AC at a specific frequency because liquid tends to form double-layers under a DC voltage. But for electro-fluid display devices, a DC voltage was used due to the presence of dielectric material. We used a homemade cell to measure the conductivity of different concentration oils. The current through the 22 µm thick oil layer between two ITO electrodes was recorded at −35 V. The current through the oil layer indicates the changing of the oil conductivity. The following equation calculated the conductivity:

\[ \rho = I \cdot d / V \cdot A \ (S/m) \]  

(1)

\( I \) is the measured current, \( d \) is the distance between two ITO electrode, \( A \) is the area of the electrode, \( V \) is the voltage.

As shown in Figure 2(b), the conductivity of pure decane was \( 3.5 \times 10^{-12} \) S/m. The conductivity of 2% and 5% dye solution increased from \( 5.7 \times 10^{-12} \) S/m to \( 1.4 \times 10^{-11} \) S/m. The 20% oil solution’s current increased with time under −35 V which indicates a strong polarization state of the dye molecules in the solution and the conductivity increased quickly to \( 1.1 \times 10^{-10} \) S/m. The dye molecule might be protonated under the high voltage, and the oil layer thus may have become more conductive compared to low concentration. The maximum conductivity at −35 V DC depends exponentially on the dye concentration.

The flow back phenomenon was first observed by R. Massard [20]. Flow back is the tendency of the pixel aperture and display brightness diminishing under continued addressing. Flow back also affects the switching speed and the power consumption of electro-fluidic displays [14]. In the past, it was found that the flow back has a strong dependence on the concentration of dye and dye’s chemical nature. Recently, Li et al. found that the dye with the amino group can be protonated result in changing the contact angle on the dielectric surface [16].
Here, we investigated the effect of colored oil conductivity on the flow back properties of the electro-fluidic display device.

The transmissivity was monitored by fiber optic spectrometer after the pixel opened at $-45$ V. Since the transmittance through different dye concentration oil layers was different, $(T_i - T_o)/\Delta T$ was used to normalize the deviation. Because charges will transfer from the oil/water interface to the dielectric insulator surface result in the colored oil's conductivity changes with time. The higher the conductivity of oil the faster the charge transfer process and the accumulation of charges on the dielectric surface. The electrostatic force is reduced due to charge neutralization on the contact line area and the oil drop flows back slowly into the pixel area, and eventually closes entirely, even with the voltage applied (Figure 3). As a result, the transmittance through pixels will decrease with time. The slope in Figure 2(d) indicates the velocity of flow back speed, and it shows the flow back occurs more rapidly with increasing dye concentration. The pure decane was also tested to compare the flow back time, but because decane is transparent,
this cannot be monitored by measuring transmissivity. The flow back time was observed under a microscope, and no apparent flow back occurred within 5 minutes. The colored oil-filled pixel was switched between 0 V to −52 V at a rate of −1 V/0.3 ms rate, and the video was recorded under a microscope. The white area ratio was then measured from this video using a proprietary program in visual studio. As the applied voltage increased, at a specific value the pixel opened, and the white area ratio suddenly enlarged, and this voltage was determined as the “rupture voltage” (Figure 4). The opening speed is reduced after the white area ratio reached about 55% for all test oil solution. After 55% opening ratio, the open area is increased linearly until reaching the largest percentage at −52 V. As Figure 4(a) shows, the maximum opening ratio increased from 60% to a maximum of 74% with 2% and 5% dye concentration, respectively. The maximum white area ratio dropped to 68% and even lower to 64% with 10% and 20% dye concentration which can be explained that as the conductivity increased under applied voltage the oil layer needs a higher potential to reach the same opening ratio. The rupture voltage decreased with the oil concentration of 2%, 5% and 10% due to the reduced oil/water interfacial tension. Only in one case when the concentration reached 20% instead of further decrease the rupture voltage increased to 30 V (Figure 4(a)). This suggested that at lower dye concentrations the conductivity did not determine the rupture voltage compared with oil/water interfacial tension. Only at a higher concentration (over 10%), the conductivity was one of the leading factors determining the rupture voltage together with interfacial tension, and thus a higher voltage was needed to reach a large opening ratio with a high conductivity oil solution.

According to the literature [21], the rupture voltage can be simulated by the following equation:

\[
V = \left(2\pi^2\gamma \frac{C'(\hat{h})}{C^*(h) L_x} \right)^{1/2}
\]

\( C'(\hat{h}) \) is the capacitance of an oil film of thickness \( \hat{h} \), \( \gamma \) is the oil/water interfacial tension, \( L_x \) is a short lateral dimension.

But this equation does not take oil conductivity into account and also there was not a discourse about the mechanism of charge transfer within the oil phase.
Figure 4. (a) The relation of opening ratio and rupture voltage with oil concentration; (b) the difference of response time of electro fluidic display cell with different concentration oil; (c) Comaparison of experimental rupture voltage with the theoretical value.

Figure 4(c) showed the deviation of the theoretical curve and the test data of the rupture voltage. As the interfacial tension is reduced with increased dye concentration, the theoretical curve showed the rupture voltage should decrease, but as Figure 4(c) shown, as soon as the dye concentration is over 10% the rupture voltage increases instead of decreasing due to the increased conductivity of the oil phase. The switch-on response time was recorded at −45 V, which is higher than the rupture voltage to completely open the pixel. According to the literature, the viscosity of the oil solution dominates the dynamics of oil motion after the oil film break [21]. As shown in Figure 3(b), the response time increases with the viscosity of the oil.

4. Conclusion

The colored oil was formulated by dissolving an oil-soluble, non-polar purple dye in decane with different concentrations and lauric acid was added to a 2% dye concentration in decane as an electrolyte. The interfacial tension decreased with increasing oil concentration, but the conductivity increased by a factor of...
10. At lower oil concentration, the interfacial tension was the primary element that influenced the rupture voltage, but when the concentration was higher than 10%, both the conductivity and interfacial tension of oil determined the rupture voltage. The strong polarizability of organic dye molecules under high DC voltage increased the oil solution conductivity. The lauric acid can increase the oil conductivity either by proper ions or by forming inverse micelles in the oil phase. Once the conductivity increases over 10E−11, both the conductivity and the interfacial tension determined the rupture voltage. Higher driving voltage would be needed to achieve the same maximum white area ratio with different oil concentrations due to the increased conductivity. However, the mechanism of charge transfer inside of the oil phase was not fully understood, and no simulation or equation could predict the rupture voltage. The response time was mainly decided by the viscosity of the oil solution.
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