Genetic Transformation of *Iris germanica* Mediated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*
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**ABSTRACT.** A protocol was developed for production of transgenic iris plants (*Iris germanica* L. ‘Skating Party’) from regenerable suspension cultures via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. We tested a series of selection agents, and identified hygromycin and geneticin as the most suitable for selecting transformed iris cells. Suspension cultures of iris were cocultured for 3 days with *A. tumefaciens* LBA 4404(pTOK233) carrying an intron-interrupted uidA (GUS) gene encoding β-glucuronidase, and hpt (hygromycin) and nptII (geneticin) selectable marker genes. Hygromycin- or geneticin-resistant calli having GUS enzyme activity were identified and used to induce plant regeneration. More than 300 morphologically normal transgenic iris plants were obtained in ~6 months. About 80% of the transformants were GUS-positive and NPTII-positive (paromomycin-resistant). Integration of transgenes into the nuclear genome of iris plants was confirmed by Southern blot analysis. We have, therefore, developed an efficient *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation system for *Iris germanica*, which will allow future improvement of this horticulturally important ornamental monocot via genetic engineering.

*Iris germanica* is one of the most horticulturally important tall, bearded irises (Waddick and Zhao, 1992). Hundreds of valuable cultivars of this species have been developed and cultivated commercially as perennial ornamental plants. In addition to their ornamental value, rhizomes of some *I. germanica* cultivars contain an essential oil composed partly of irones (Jéhan et al., 1994; Kohlein, 1987). These violet-scented ketonic compounds are expensive materials commonly used in cosmetics and perfumes (Gozu et al., 1987).

Strong consumer demand means increased challenges in developing new iris cultivars with novel characteristics. Unfortunately, most efforts in iris breeding have been primarily intraspecific because of the high degree of incompatibility between species. Thus, the search for an alternative breeding method is imperative. Genetic transformation offers an alternative approach for introducing desirable traits, such as resistance to herbicides, diseases, and insects; or developing new floral colors (van Marrewijk, 1994).

Plant regeneration from somatic tissues is generally considered a prerequisite for genetic transformation. Unfortunately, low efficiency of plant regeneration in *I. germanica* and other *Iris* species has hindered development of a suitable transformation system. We recently established a stable suspension culture of *Iris germanica* ‘Skating Party’ and developed an efficient system for plant regeneration (Wang et al., 1999a, 1999b).

Three major approaches for plant transformation include *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation, microprojectile bombardment (biolistic method), and direct gene transfer to protoplasts (electroporation and polyethylene glycol-mediated transformation). Microprojectile bombardment and direct gene transfer to protoplasts are used commonly to transform a variety of monocotyledonous plants (Vain et al., 1995). However, stable (integrative) transformation of only two horticulturally important ornamental monocots, *Dendrobium* Swartz orchid (Kuehnlne and Sugii, 1992) and *Gladiolus* L. (Kamo et al., 1995), by microprojectile bombardment have been reported.

*Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation has significant advantages over other approaches such as integrating a few copies of T-DNA with defined border sequences and minimal rearrangement in the plant genome, preferential integration into transcriptionally active regions of the chromosome, high quality and fertility of resultant transgenic plants, and easy manipulation (Komari et al., 1998; Tingay et al., 1997).

Methods for transforming dicotyledonous species with *Agrobacterium* are well established. In contrast, until recently monocotyledons were considered beyond the range of *A. tumefaciens* transformation methods. Various attempts to infect monocots with *Agrobacterium* were made in the 1970s and 1980s, but no conclusive evidence of integrative transformation was reported (Conner and Dommsis, 1992; Smith and Hood, 1995). Successful *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation, however, is now possible in several agronomically important monocots including corn (*Zea mays* L.), wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), and sugar cane (*Saccharum* spp. L.) (Areniclia et al., 1998; Cheng et al., 1997; Hiei et al., 1994; Ishida et al., 1996; Tingay et al., 1997). The utility of *A. tumefaciens* for stable (integrative) transformation of ornamental monocots was demonstrated only in *Anthurium scherzerianum* Schott ‘Rudolph’ and ‘UH1060’ (Chen and Kuehnlne, 1996). We report here on the development of an efficient *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation method for *Iris*.

**Materials and Methods**

**SuspenSion Cultures.** Cell suspension cultures of *Iris germanica* ‘Skating Party’, capable of plant regeneration, were established in our laboratory (Wang et al., 1999a, 1999b). Cultures were maintained in MS-L medium [MS basal medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962), containing 50 g·L⁻¹ sucrose, 290 mg·L⁻¹ proline, 0.5 μM kinetin (Km), and 5.0 μM 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D); pH 5.9 (Wang et al., 1999a, 1999b)] in the dark at 25 °C on a gyratory...
shaker (120 rpm), and were subcultured every 3 to 4 weeks.

**Evaluation of Selection Agents.** There is no information available on agents that are suitable for selection of stable iris transplants. To determine the efficacy of several commonly used agents for selecting transformed iris cells, we tested five antibiotics: methotrexate, hygromycin, genicin (G418), gentamycin, and phleomycin; three herbicides: glyphosate [N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine] (Monsanto, St. Louis, Mo.), chlorosulfuron [2-chloro-N-[[methoxy-6-methyl-3,5-triazin-2-yl]amino]carbonyl] benzensulfonylamine] (E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Agricultural Products Dept., Wilmington, Del.) and glufosinate-ammonium (Basta; Hoechst Canada, Inc., Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada); and one amino acid analog (4-methyl-tryptophan) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.). These agents were chosen because genes conferring resistance to those compounds have been cloned (reviewed by Schrott, 1995). The efficacy of each selection agent was evaluated by its ability to suppress growth of nontransformed iris cells on media containing increasing levels of the selection agent.

The liquid MS-L medium was removed from a 3-week-old iris suspension culture and the cells were spread on Whatman No. 1 filter papers (42.5 mm diameter) in small culture plates (60 × 15 mm), over MS-C medium [MS-L medium with 3 g L⁻¹ Phytigel (Sigma) (Wang et al., 1999a, 1999b)] containing increasing amounts of the selection agent. Plates were incubated for 3 weeks in the dark at 25 °C. In preliminary experiments, five concentrations of each selection agent were tested and inhibition of cell growth was scored visually. Among the nine compounds tested, 4-methyl-tryptophane, gentamycin, phleomycin, and glyphosate did not clearly inhibit growth, and were excluded from further testing.

The five most effective selection agents from preliminary experiments were reassayed. This time, fresh weights were measured and mean values expressed as a percentage of growth by controls (no selection agent). At least five plates were used for each concentration of selection agent.

**Bacterial strain and plasmid vector.** In preliminary studies, three A. tumefaciens strains were tested to identify the one giving the highest transient transformation rates. The strains tested were: LBA 4404 (pTOK233), LBA4404(pCAMBIA1201), and EHA105 (pCAMBIA1201). The A. tumefaciens strain LBA4404 harboring the superbinary vector, pTOK233, was obtained from Japan Tobacco, Inc., Shizuoka, Japan (Hiei et al., 1994). pCAMBIA1201 binary vector (CAMBIA, Canberra City, Australia) was transformed into A. tumefaciens LBA4404 (Hoekema et al., 1983) and EHA105 (Hood et al., 1993) according to the procedure described by Walkerpeach and Velten (1994). All strains contained a hygromycin resistance gene (PCaMV35S-hpt-T35S) and an intron-interrupted GUS gene (PCaMV35S-uidA-TNOS) within the T-DNA borders. pTOK233 also contained a genicin resistance gene (PNOS-nptII-TNOS). They were grown on solid AB medium (Chilton et al., 1974), containing appropriate antibiotics, at 28 °C for 3 d. The bacteria were harvested and resuspended in AAM medium (Hiei et al., 1994) to give an absorbance of 1.8 at 600 nm.

**Transformation.** Three-week-old iris suspension cultures grown in MS-L medium were used for transformation experiments. The MS-L medium was removed from the culture and A. tumefaciens suspension (25 mL) was added, the flask was gently shaken, and left to stand for 5 min. The liquid phase was removed and cells were spread onto MS-C-AS medium (MS-C medium with 10 g L⁻¹ glucose, 100 μM acetylsyringone; pH 5.2), then incubated in the dark at 25 °C for 3 d.

**Selection of transformants.** After 3 d cocultivation, the cells were collected with a spatula and rinsed thoroughly with 250 mg L⁻¹ cefotaxime (Claforan; Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Somerville, N.J.) dissolved in sterile water. Half the washed cells were spread on MS-C medium containing 250 mg L⁻¹ cefotaxime and 50 mg L⁻¹ hygromycin, the other half on MS-C medium containing 250 mg L⁻¹ cefotaxime and 50 mg L⁻¹ G418, then cultured in the dark at 25 °C for 3 weeks. The cell clumps that proliferated on these selection media were transferred to the second selection media (MS-C containing 250 mg L⁻¹ cefotaxime and either 100 mg L⁻¹ hygromycin or 100 mg L⁻¹ G418) and cultured for another 3 weeks under the same conditions.

The cell clumps that continued to grow on the second selection media were assayed for expression of the GUS gene as described below. Only those clumps that tested GUS-positive were transferred individually to small culture plates containing 10 mL MS-I medium [MS basal medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962), with 50 g L⁻¹ sucrose, 10 mg L⁻¹ pantothenic acid, 4.5 mg L⁻¹ niacin, 1.9 mg L⁻¹ thiamin, 250 mg L⁻¹ casein hydrolysate, 250 mg L⁻¹ proline, 0.5 μM 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA), 12.5 μM Kin, 2.0 g L⁻¹ Phytigel; pH 5.7 (Wang et al., 1999a, 1999b)] containing 250 mg L⁻¹ cefotaxime and either 50 mg L⁻¹ hygromycin or 50 mg L⁻¹ G418 to induce plant regeneration. They were cultured in the dark at 25 °C for 3 weeks. The cell clumps that displayed typical morphogenetic changes were selected and transferred to MS-D medium [MS-I medium without NAA and Kin but supplemented with 1.25 μM 6-benzyladenine (BA) (Wang et al., 1999a, 1999b)] containing 250 mg L⁻¹ cefotaxime and either 50 mg L⁻¹ hygromycin or 50 mg L⁻¹ G418, in Magenta GA-7 vessels (Sigma) and cultured for 2 to 3 weeks at 23 °C with a 16 h photoperiod of 50 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ provided by cool-white fluorescent lamps. Irradiance was measured on the tops of Magenta GA-7 vessels with a quantum–radiometer–photometer (LI-189; LICOR, Inc., Lincoln, Nebr.).

Shoots and plantlets (rooted shoots) were transferred to MS-D medium without selection agents to facilitate growth and development for another 2 to 3 weeks. Both shoots and plantlets were then transferred to MS-R medium [MS-I medium without NAA and Kin (Wang et al., 1999a, 1999b)] in Magenta GA-7 vessels for root induction and development. They were subcultured every other week on this medium.

Well-rooted plantlets (4 to 6 cm shoot length) were transferred to a growing medium 3 peat:2 pumice:1 sandy loam (v/v) in 250-mL pots and acclimatized on a mist bench (relative humidity = 95% to 98%) in a greenhouse maintained at 16 h days/8 h nights of 25 ± 3/20 ± 3 °C with a 16 h photoperiod. Light was supplemented by high-pressure sodium lamps (Energy Technics, York, Pa.) providing photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 400 to 500 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at the surface of growing medium. Four to five weeks later the plants were moved to a nonmisted bench and fertilized with a controlled-release fertilizer [Nutricot-Type 100 (16N–4.4P–8.3K); Chisso-Asahi, Fertilizer Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan].

**Assay for GUS activity.** To determine transient transformation rates, a few cells were collected with a spatula 3 d after cocultivation with A. tumefaciens, and washed thoroughly with 0.1 M sodium-phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) to remove surface bacteria. Cells were spread on filter paper in a small culture plate and 1 mL of the GUS-staining solution [0.1 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.2, 5 μM K₃[Fe(CN)₆]₄, 5 μM K₄[Fe(CN)₆]₄, 10 mM EDTA, 20% methanol (v/v), 0.01% Triton X-100 (v/v), and 1 mg mL⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide] was added. Plate was then sealed with Parafilm and incubated overnight at 37 °C.

To identify GUS-positive cell clumps from the second selection media, a small piece (3 to 4 mm diameter) of each clump was placed
were scored. Stained overnight at 37 °C. Chlorophyll from green leaves was bleached out with several changes of 95% ethanol before results were scored. The samples were vacuum-infiltrated for 10 min. The plates were then sealed with Parafilm and incubated for 5 d at 23 °C with a 16 h photoperiod of 50 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ provided by cool-white fluorescent lamps. In preliminary assays we also tested G418 and hygromycin, the latter one for the functional expression of the hpt gene. The response to all three antibiotics was very similar (data not shown), so we chose paromomycin to assay the rest of the putative transgenic iris plants because it was least expensive.

DNA ISOLATION AND SOUTHERN HYBRIDIZATION ANALYSIS. DNA was extracted from 4 g of young leaves using the protocol of Rawson et al. (1982) modified by Davis et al. (1998). The leaf tissue was homogenized in 40 mL grinding buffer (100 mM Tris, 25 mM EDTA, 0.35 M sucrose, 50 mM KCl, 5% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 10 mM diethyldithiocarbamic acid, and 0.2% mercaptoethanol), using a Waring 250 mL stainless steel blender for 15 s. The homogenate was filtered through cheesecloth and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min (at 4 °C). The pellet was resuspended in 6 mL lysis buffer (100 mM EDTA; pH 8.0; 50, 100, or 200 mg·L⁻¹ paromomycin (Sigma)). The response to all three antibiotics was very similar (data not shown), so we chose paromomycin to assay the rest of the putative transgenic iris plants because it was least expensive.

Southern blot analysis was performed as described by Sambrook et al. (1989). Briefly, the method involved digesting 20 mg genomic DNA with HindIII, resolving on a 0.8% agarose gel, then blotting onto a nylon membrane (Zetaprobe, Bio-Rad, Richmond, Calif.). Identically prepared blots were probed with radio-labelled GUS or hpt DNA fragments. A 250-bp fragment in the GUS coding region and a 200-bp fragment in the hpt coding region were PCR-amplified according to Gould et al. (1991) and Abedinia et al. (1997), respectively. PCR-amplified fragments were labelled with [³²P]dCTP by random priming (Feinberg and Vogelstein, 1983) and used as probes. The blots were first washed at low stringency (2× SSC, 0.1% SDS) twice at 65 °C (30 min each) followed by two washes (30 min each) at moderate stringency (0.5× SSC, 0.1% SDS) at 65 °C. Blots were autoradiographed with an intensifying screen at –85 °C for 5 d.
Results and Discussion

Evaluation of selection agents. Increasing the concentration of hygromycin and geneticin (G418) resulted in a gradual decrease in the percentage of iris cell growth. We chose to use hygromycin and geneticin separately for our transformation experiments because pTOK233 contains both hpt and nptII selectable marker genes for plant cells, rendering them resistant to either hygromycin or geneticin. Both hygromycin and geneticin at concentrations of 50 to 100 mg·L⁻¹ caused 40% to 50% growth inhibition (Fig. 1A). We chose to apply a two-step selection, first 50 mg·L⁻¹ and then 100 mg·L⁻¹ for both selection agents. The two-step selection (3 + 3 weeks) allowed recovery of a large enough mass of each independent callus line for efficient induction of multiple shoots in subsequent regeneration experiments. Higher concentrations of either antibiotic were not used because there was the possibility of inhibiting plant regeneration from transgenic callus tissue.

Cell growth, however, was greatly inhibited at 0.05 mg·L⁻¹ methotrexate (=80%), 10 mg·L⁻¹ Basta (=70%), and 0.5 mg·L⁻¹ chlorsulfuron (=90%) (Fig. 1B, C, and D). In a preliminary study on the use of microparticle bombardment for iris transformation, transgenic calli selected on 10 mg·L⁻¹ Basta showed very low regeneration potential (Jeknic and Chen, unpublished results).

Transformation and regeneration of transgenic iris plants. Agrobacterium strain LBA4404 (pTOK233) gave remarkably higher transient transformation rates than either LBA4404 (pCAMBIA1201) or EHA105 (pCAMBIA1201) (data not shown), and was therefore used for the stable transformation experiments. This plasmid belongs to a class called superbinary vectors because it carries the virB, virC, and virG genes of A281, a highly efficient strain for transforming higher plants (Komari, 1990). Introduction of a DNA fragment from the virulence region of Ti-plasmid into a binary vector or into a separate plasmid has been shown to lead to the increased virulence of A. tumefaciens and much higher transformation rates in several plant species (Arias-Garzón and Sarria, 1995; Hiei et al., 1994; Li et al., 1996; Liu et al., 1992; Wenck et al., 1999).

After 3 d cocultivation on MS-C-AS medium with A. tumefaciens, the infected cells were transferred to the first two selection media (MS-C containing 250 mg·L⁻¹ cefotaxime and either 50 mg·L⁻¹ hygromycin or 50 mg·L⁻¹ G418). At that time a sample of cells was stained for expression of the GUS gene. Many cells and small cell aggregates stained dark blue (Fig. 2A), confirming that T-DNA transfer occurred. GUS expression most likely occurred in the transformed cells and not in pTOK233-containing Agrobacterium because the presence of an intron in the GUS coding region efficiently prevented its expression in bacterial cells (Ohata et al., 1990). After 10 d, several of the cell clumps that proliferated on the first selection media (Fig. 2B) were stained for GUS activity. Most clumps were stained uniformly dark blue (Fig. 2C), but some clumps also contained unstained patches.

After 3 weeks on the first two selection media, ~300 independent clumps were selected from each medium and transferred to the second two selection media, which contained an increased concentration of selection agents. Most calli transferred to a medium containing G418 continued to grow much more slowly than those transferred to a medium containing hygromycin. The slower growth of transformed callus tissue on G418-containing media may be due, at least in part, to the difference in promoter strength. In pTOK233, the hpt and nptII genes are driven by CaMV35S and NOS promoters, respectively. In our preliminary experiments using microparticle bombardment, we found that...
transient expression of PCaMV35S-uidA-TNOS was much higher than that of PNOS-uidA-TNOS (Jeknic and Chen, unpublished results).

Independent callus lines obtained through the two-step selection (175-hygromycin resistant, 50-G418 resistant) were then assayed for expression of GUS. About 61% of hygromycin-resistant and 46% of the G418-resistant callus lines tested GUS-positive. After overnight incubation in the GUS-staining solution, most of the GUS-positive cell clumps were stained dark blue, indicating very strong expression of the GUS gene (Fig. 2D). All callus lines that tested GUS-negative were discarded; only GUS-positive lines were transferred to MS-I media to induce plant regeneration. A total of 98 tested GUS-negative were discarded; only GUS-positive lines were assayed for expression of GUS. About 61% of hygromycin-resistant and G418-resistant, GUS-positive callus lines were transferred to MS-I medium containing 250 mg·L⁻¹ cefotaxime and 50 mg·L⁻¹ hygromycin. Twenty-two G418-resistant, GUS-positive callus lines had developed numerous shoot primordia (Fig. 2E). Upon histochemical assay for expression of the GUS gene, ≈80% stained dark blue (Fig. 2F) indicating that GUS activity was not affected by shoot morphogenesis.

Green shoots and plantlets (10 to 20 from each transgenic line) that developed on MS-D media were transferred to MS-R medium to induce and facilitate root development. More than 90% of the shoots rooted readily and were transferred eventually to growing medium (Fig. 2G). About 80% to 90% of plants survived transfer to the greenhouse and developed into morphologically normal plants (Fig. 2H).

**ANALYSES OF TRANSGENIC PLANTS.** Putative transgenic plants were assayed for expression of the GUS and NPTII genes. A total of 92 plants from 36 independent lines were assayed for GUS activity. About 80% of those plants were GUS-positive (Table 1). Expression of the GUS gene was very strong in both leaves and root systems (Fig. 2J, K, and L).

Expression of the NPTII gene was assessed by a leaf-bleach assay in 60 transgenic plants from 33 independent lines. About 85% of those plants were resistant to paromomycin (NPTII⁺) (Table 1). Leaf samples from resistant transgenic plants remained green, except on the cut edges at higher paromomycin concentrations (Fig. 2I). The leaf samples from control (nontransgenic) plants, however, were almost completely bleached at 200 mg·L⁻¹ paromomycin.

In total, 58 plants from 26 independent lines were assayed for coexpression of the GUS and NPTII genes. About 78% of them coexpressed both genes (Table 1).

To demonstrate stable transformation of iris plants with the hpt and GUS genes, four independent transgenic plants were subjected to Southern blot analysis. In pTOK233, the hpt gene is located next to the left border of the T-DNA region. The first HindIII site inside the T-DNA from the left border cuts at the 3’-end of the of the hpt cassette. Digestion of genomic DNA with HindIII, and subsequent hybridization with the hpt probe for the coding sequence identifies border fragments between the integrated T-DNA and plant DNA, thus giving rise to different fragment lengths, depending on location of insertion in the genome. HindIII also cleaves the entire GUS coding region from the T-DNA as a 3.1 kb fragment. DNA blot analysis of HindIII-digested genomic DNA from our GUS-positive/ hygromycin-resistant plants, using the GUS probe, identified several banding patterns (Fig. 3A). Some of the samples (Fig. 3A, lanes 3 and 4) indicate the presence of a truncated GUS insert (i.e., inserted GUS cassette slightly smaller than expected). Additional bands with larger sizes may be due to incomplete digestion of genomic DNA or possibly deletion of the flanking HindIII site(s). Despite the GUS gene size polymorphisms, β-glucuronidase activity was readily detectable. The GUS probe did not hybridize to any DNA from nontransformed plants.

Stable integration of the hpt gene into the iris genome was detected by a ³²P-labeled DNA fragment from the coding region of the hpt gene. Figure 3 (Panel B) illustrates examples of both single and multiple hpt copy insertion into different loci of the iris nuclear

---

### Table 1. Expression of the GUS and NPTII genes in hygromycin (Hyg) and geneticin (G418) resistant Iris plants as determined by the histochemical staining and the leaf-bleach assay, respectively.

| Selection agent | No. plants (lines) assayed | GUS⁺ | GUS⁻ | No. plants (lines) assayed | NPTII⁺ | NPTII⁻ | No. plants (lines) assayed | GUS⁺ | GUS⁻ | NPTII⁺ | NPTII⁻ | NPTII⁺ | NPTII⁻ |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------|------|---------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Hyg             | 72 (30)                   | 61   | 11   | 51 (27)                   | 45    | 6     | 50 (23)                   | 40   | 1    | 4     | 5     |
| G418            | 20 (6)                    | 13   | 7    | 9 (6)                     | 6     | 3     | 8 (3)                     | 5    | 0    | 0     | 3     |
| Total           | 92 (36)                   | 74   | 18   | 60 (33)                   | 51    | 9     | 58 (26)                   | 45   | 1    | 4     | 8     |
| Percent         | 80                        | 20   |      | 85                        | 15    |        | 78                        | 2    | 7    | 14    |        |

---

**Fig. 3. Southern blot analysis of HindIII-digested genomic DNA from wild type (nontransformed) and transgenic iris plants. DNA blot probed with (A) ³²P-labeled GUS or (B) hpt DNA fragment. Lane: 1, positive control (HindIII-digested pTOK233); 2, nontransformed; 3 to 6, transgenic plants: H177-1, H206-2, H231-05, and H244-1.**
genome. Some inserted hpt fragments (Fig. 3, Panel B, lanes 3 and 4) were smaller than the minimum expected size (4.8 kb; Fig. 3, Panel B, lane 1). Those smaller sized bands may be due to rearrangement in the integrated genes but none of the tested plants showed loss of tolerance to hygromycin. No hpt sequence was detected in the nontransformed sample.

We obtained over 300 putative transgenic plants in $\approx$6 months. About 80% of tested plants were deemed transgenic based on GUS-positive staining and their antibiotic-resistant phenotype. The Southern blot data confirmed stable integration of the transgenics into the iris genome. GUS-positive and paromomycin-resistant phenotypes of those plants are indicative of the functional transgene expression. The CaMV35S promoter seems to be a strong promoter for iris plants, as indicated by the intensive color development during GUS staining. Thus, this promoter should be a good choice for the expression of gene(s) of interest in iris plants.

Our results confirmed that Agrobacterium-mediated transformation could be applied to horticulturally important monocotyledonous ornamentals, such as Iris. The newly developed Agrobacterium-mediated transformation method can be used to complement conventional breeding for improvement of Iris.Transferring genes from heterologous species provides a means of introducing new traits into the Iris L. genome, thus expanding the gene pool beyond what has been available in traditional iris breeding systems.
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