CD40- and CD95-specific antibody single chain-Baff fusion proteins display BaffR-, TACI- and BCMA-restricted agonism
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ABSTRACT

Antibodies that target a clinically relevant group of receptors within the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily (TNFRSF), including CD40 and CD95 (Fas/Apo-1), also require binding to Fc gamma receptors (FcγRs) to elicit a strong agonistic activity. This FcγR dependency largely relies on the mere cellular anchoring through the antibody’s Fc domain and does not involve the engagement of FcγR signaling. The aim of this study was to elicit agonistic activity from αCD40 and αCD95 antibodies in a myeloma cell anchoring-controlled FcγR-independent manner. For this purpose, various antibody variants (IgG1, IgG1m297A, Fab2) against the TNFRSF members CD40 and CD95 were genetically fused to a single-chain-encoded B-cell activating factor (scBaff) trimer as a C-terminal myeloma-specific anchoring domain substituting for Fc domain-mediated FcγR binding. The antibody-scBaff fusion proteins were evaluated in binding studies and functional assays using tumor cell lines expressing one or more of the three receptors of Baff, BaffR, transmembrane activator and CAML interactor (TACI) and B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA). Cellular binding studies showed that the binding properties of the different domains within the fusion proteins remained fully intact in the antibody-scBaff fusion proteins. In co-culture assays of CD40- and CD95-responsive cells with Baff, BCMA or TACI expressing anchoring cells, the antibody fusion proteins displayed strong agonism while only minor receptor stimulation was observed in co-cultures with cells without expression of Baff-interacting receptors. Thus, our CD40 and CD95 antibody fusion proteins display myeloma cell-dependent activity and promise reduced systemic side effects compared to conventional CD40 and CD95 agonists.

Introduction

With the approval of immune checkpoint inhibitors, immunotherapy has broadly entered clinical practice in the treatment of tumor diseases. In addition to the abrogation/neutralization of protumoral-acting immunosuppressive mechanisms by checkpoint inhibitors, the activation of immune cells, in particular T cells, is a major aim of immunotherapeutic approaches. Immune cell stimulatory approaches typically target T-cell activity either directly or indirectly. In the case of direct T cell receptor (TCR) activation, e.g., by use of bispecific T-cell engagers and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cells, the stimulatory effect is tumor-specific or at least highly tumor-associated. Tumor specificity is here of overwhelming importance to avoid severe or even deadly off-tumor effects. There are also immunotherapeutic attempts that aim to improve anti-tumor T-cell activity indirectly, by engagement of T-cell co-stimulatory receptors or dendritic cell (DC)-activating receptors of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily (TNFRSF).1-4 Additionally, attempts have been made to use the induction of apoptosis by TNFRSF receptors (TNFRs) for tumor therapy.5,6 The goal here is to directly exploit the increased sensitivity of some tumors for apoptosis, or to benefit from enhanced tumor cell apoptosis to increase cross-presentation of tumor antigens, and thus antitumoral immune response.

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignancy of differentiated B-cells, which localize to the bone marrow and cause osteolysis, bone pain and impaired hematopoiesis. Treatment of MM includes chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation, but also immunotherapy with daratumumab and elotuzumab, which are antibodies that enhance alloreactive natural killer cell cytotoxicity against CD38- or SLAMF7-expressing MM cells, respectively.7 Moreover, there are promising results from clinical trials with CAR-T cells and antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) targeting B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA), which seems to be more selectively expressed and expressed at higher levels on MM cells than alternative MM targets such as CD38 and SLAMF7.8 Furthermore, published studies with lucatumab and dacetuzumab, two antibodies targeting the DC-stimulatory TNFR CD40, revealed manageable adverse effects and modest clinical activity in Phase 1 studies with MM patients.9,10

Besides five decoy receptors, the TNFRSF comprises 24 different signaling-competent TNFR types in humans.11 Receptors of the TNFRSF interact with ligands of the TNFSF. The latter are typically expressed as trimeric transmembrane proteins, but also occur, after proteolytic processing, as soluble
likewise trimeric molecules. The signaling-competent TNFRs can be assigned to one of two categories depending on their response to soluble TNFL trimers and anti-TNFR (αTNFR) antibodies.\textsuperscript{12,13} TNFRs of the first category, e.g., TNFR1 or lymphotoxin beta receptor, bind soluble ligand trimers and are fully activated this way. Similarly, bivalent IgG antibodies are usually sufficient to activate category I TNFRs. In contrast, TNFRs of the second category bind soluble ligand trimers, but are only limitedly, or not at all, activated thereby. Likewise, category II TNFRs are not or only poorly stimulated by receptor-specific IgG antibodies. Category II TNFRs include the T-cell costimulatory receptors 4-1BB, CD27 and OX40, as well as the DC-stimulatory CD40 receptor and the death receptors CD95 (Fas/Apo-1), TRAILR1 and TRAILR2.\textsuperscript{12,13}

Research in the past decade revealed that antibodies specific for category II TNFRs regularly acquire strong agonism after FcγR binding, even if they otherwise block ligand binding and act as antagonists.\textsuperscript{12,13} In view of the overwhelming translational potential of the category II TNFRs, it is important to realize that FcγR binding of anti-category II TNF antibodies, which is required to make them strongly agonistic, comes with severe limitations. First, optimal agonistic activity is limited \textit{in vivo} due to the poor availability of FcγR-expressing cells and/or low cellular FcγR expression levels. Second, FcγR-mediated activities triggered by the antibody-FcγR interaction can counteract the therapeutic effects. Third, large antibody doses are typically required to overcome competition with serum IgGs for FcγR binding. Last, but not least, there can be dose-limiting side effects caused by the systemic activation of the targeted TNFR type (e.g., CD40: cytokine release/storm; CD95: hepatotoxicity).\textsuperscript{14–16} Using antibody fusion proteins with an anchoring domain (AD) enabling FcγR-independent binding to a cell surface-exposed anchoring target (AT), we could show that it is the sheer cell surface attachment that constitutes the agonism of anti-category II TNFR antibodies.\textsuperscript{12}

Here, we used this principle finding to construct antibody fusion proteins displaying strong CD40 and CD95 agonism upon myeloma cell binding instead of FcγR binding. Thus, we demonstrate that derivatives of aCD40 and aCD95 antibodies devoid of FcγR-binding and harboring a scBaff anchoring domains show strong CD40- and CD95-activation in the presence of Baff receptor-expressing myeloma cells. This novel type of antibody fusion protein not only has the potential to overcome limitations that arise from FcγR engagement but also promises to reduce off-tumor activity of the targeted TNFRs.

Results

\textbf{Fusion proteins of aCD40 and aCD95 with scBaff bind with high affinity to Baff-interacting receptors}

IgG antibody-mediated activation of CD95 or CD40 typically requires IgG cross-linking or antibody binding to FcγRs.\textsuperscript{12,17–21} In accordance with the idea that it is the sheer cell surface anchoring that confers agonistic activity to FcγR-bound aCD40 and aCD95 antibodies, we recently demonstrated that aCD40 and aCD95 fusion proteins harboring a CD20-specific scFv domain at the C-terminus of the heavy chain acquire strong agonism upon binding to CD20-expressing cells.\textsuperscript{12} Therefore, we wondered whether aCD40 and aCD95 fusion proteins with an MM-specific anchoring domain allow in a similar fashion FcγR-independent MM cell-mediated activation of CD40 and CD95. To investigate this question, we genetically fused a single-chain encoded trimer of soluble Baff protomers (scBaff) to the C-terminus of the heavy chain of IgG1\textsubscript{N297A} and Fab\textsubscript{2} variants of the aCD40 antibody G28.5 and the aCD95 antibody E09\textsubscript{22,23} resulting in the antibody fusion proteins aCD40\textsubscript{N297A}–scBaff, aCD95\textsubscript{N297A}–scBaff, aCD40\textsubscript{Fab2}–scBaff and aCD95\textsubscript{Fab2}–scBaff (Figure 1). Baff is a ligand of the TNFSF and interacts with three receptors of the TNFRSF: TACI, BCMA and Baff receptor (BaffR).\textsuperscript{24} Expression of all three Baff-interacting receptors is restricted to cells of the B-cell compartment, and especially BCMA is highly expressed on plasma cells and myeloma cells.\textsuperscript{24,25} Indeed, BCMA was successfully targeted in clinical trials with ADCs, CAR-T cells and bispecific T-cell engagers.\textsuperscript{25}

Cellular binding studies with transiently TACI-, BCMA- and BaffR-expressing transfectants (supplemental data Fig. S1) and \textit{Gaussia princeps} luciferase (GpL)-modified variants of soluble Baff and the four antibody-scBaff fusion proteins revealed comparable affinities for the three types of Baff receptors in the range of 0.5 to 2.6 nM (Figure 2a, Table 1). This indicates that the antibody parts of the antibody-scBaff fusion proteins have no major effect on the interaction of the scBaff domain and the Baff receptors. Vice versa, there was binding of the GpL-tagged aCD40- and aCD95-scBaff fusion proteins with nM affinity to CD40- and CD95-expressing transfectants (Figure 2b, Table 1).

![Figure 1](image_url) Domain architecture of the antibodies and antibody fusion proteins investigated in this study.
Figure 2. Equilibrium binding of Gpl-tagged αCD40- and αCD95-scBaff fusion proteins to CD40 and CD95 and the Baff-interacting receptors BaffR, TACI and BCMA. (a,b) HEK293 transfectants transiently expressing the Baff-interacting receptors (a) or CD40 or CD95 (b) were used to determine total binding. Empty vector (EV) transfected cells were used to determine unspecific binding. Specific binding was calculated by subtraction of the unspecific binding values from the corresponding total binding values. Binding of Gpl fusion proteins of TNC-Baff and TNC-APRIL was analyzed for comparison. Data of one representative experiment for each of the investigated interactions are shown. Averaged $K_0$-values of 4 independent experiments are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Affinity of Gpl-tagged TNC-Baff and CD40- and CD95-specific antibody-scBaff fusion proteins for cell-expressed receptors.

| Construct | $K_0$ values (nM) of independent experiments | Averaged $K_0$ (nM) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| BaffR     |                                             |                     |
| TNC-Baff  | 0.6, 0.6, 0.5, 0.5                          | 0.5 ± 0.1           |
| αCD40N297A-scBaff | 0.5, 0.4, 0.2, 1.3 | 0.6 ± 0.5           |
| αCD95N297A-scBaff | 0.5, 0.5, 0.2, 1.2 | 0.6 ± 0.4           |
| αCD95Fab2-scBaff | 0.4, 0.6, 0.3, 0.8 | 0.5 ± 0.2           |
| BCMA      |                                             |                     |
| TNC-Baff  | 2.2, 4.0, 1.1, 2.3                          | 2.4 ± 1.2           |
| αCD40N297A-scBaff | 1.6, 2.5, 3.7, 3.6 | 2.8 ± 1.0           |
| αCD95N297A-scBaff | 1.9, 2.9, 2.1, 2.1 | 2.4 ± 0.5           |
| αCD95Fab2-scBaff | 1.4, 3.6, 4.3, 1.8 | 2.8 ± 1.4           |
| TACI      |                                             |                     |
| TNC-Baff  | 2.9, 3.0, 2.2, 2.1                          | 2.6 ± 0.5           |
| αCD40N297A-scBaff | 1.5, 2.0, 2.8, 2.9 | 2.3 ± 0.7           |
| αCD95N297A-scBaff | 3.3, 1.6, 3.7, 2.0 | 2.6 ± 1.0           |
| αCD95Fab2-scBaff | 1.5, 1.7, 3.0, 2.7 | 2.2 ± 0.7           |
| αCD95Fab2-scBaff | 2.0, 1.5, 1.8, 1.8 | 1.8 ± 0.2           |
| CD40      |                                             |                     |
| αCD40-WT  | 1.2, 1.3, 1.1, 1.0                          | 1.1 ± 0.1           |
| αCD40N297A-scBaff | 1.4, 1.2, 1.7, 2.0 | 1.6 ± 0.3           |
| αCD95Fab2-scBaff | 1.8, 2.5, 4.1, 2.6 | 2.7 ± 1.0           |
| CD95      |                                             |                     |
| αCD95-WT  | 1.7, 1.9, 2.5, 3.8                          | 2.5 ± 0.9           |
| αCD95N297A-scBaff | 1.7, 2.1, 3.0, 2.0 | 2.2 ± 0.5           |
| αCD95Fab2-scBaff | 4.6, 4.3, 4.9, 4.4 | 4.5 ± 0.3           |

**Binding to Fcγ receptors or Baff-interacting receptors unleashes the agonistic activity of αCD40 and αCD95 antibodies and antibody fusion proteins**

Next, we analyzed the effect of the inhibitory Fcγ-receptor FcyRIIb (CD32B), which has a low affinity for human IgG1, of the stimulatory Fcy receptor FcyRIa, which has a high affinity for human IgG1, and of BaffR on the receptor stimulatory activity of the antibodies αCD40-IgG1 and αCD95-IgG1 and the antibody fusion proteins αCD40N297A-scBaff, αCD95N297A-scBaff, αCD40Fab2-scBaff and αCD95Fab2-scBaff. The experiments were performed with supernatants containing the antibodies and antibody fusion proteins of interest. To assess CD40 activation by αCD40-IgG and the αCD40 fusion proteins, cell culture supernatants containing these reagents were added to HT1080-CD40 cells along with HEK293 cells transiently transfected with expression plasmids encoding FcyRIIb, FcyRIa and BaffR or, as a negative control, with empty vector (EV). Since HT1080-CD40 cells produce much higher amounts of interleukin-8 (IL8) than HEK293 cells in response to CD40 activation, production of IL8 was monitored the next day as an easily quantifiable indicator of CD40 activation.

Similarly, activation of CD95 by the αCD95 antibody and its derivatives was evaluated by adding them, along with the various HEK293 transfectants, to HT1080 cells. Cell death induction in the latter was then monitored the next day by crystal violet staining of the remaining plastic-adhered surviving cells. As observed before for the murine FcyRIIb, FcyRIa, but also FcyRIa, enabled αCD40-IgG1 and αCD95-IgG1 to trigger robust TNFRSF receptor (TNFR) signaling (Figure 3a, b). Similarly, FcyRIIb and FcyRIa enabled αCD40-IgG1-scBaff and αCD95-IgG1-scBaff to robustly stimulate CD40/CD95 signaling (Figure 3a, b). Not unexpectedly, the presence of BaffR-transfected cells had no effect on the ability of αCD40-IgG1 and αCD95-IgG1 to stimulate TNFR signaling (Figure 3a, b). In accordance with the fact that the N297A mutation strongly reduces the ability of IgG1 to bind FcyRs, there was no or only a minor enhancing effect of FcyRIIb- and FcyRIa-expressing cells on the ability of αCD40N297A-scBaff and αCD95N297A-scBaff to stimulate induction of IL8 and apoptosis (Figure 3a, b). In the presence of BaffR-expressing transfectants, however, both antibody fusion proteins acted as strong TNFR agonists (Figure 3a, b). Similarly, αCD40Fab2-scBaff and αCD95Fab2-scBaff, which lack a FcyR-interacting Fc domain, elicited strong CD40 and CD95 activation in cultures with BaffR-expressing cells, but showed only minor activity in the presence of FcyRIIb and FcyRIa transfectants (Figure 3a, b). Thus, with respect to conferring robust agonistic activity, scBaff domain-mediated anchoring to BaffR seems to be as efficient as Fc domain-mediated anchoring to FcyRs.

To verify that the properties of the antibody fusion proteins in the supernatants do not differ from the properties of the purified proteins, we exemplarily purified and
reanalyzed aCD40\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff and aCD40\textsubscript{Fab2}-scBaff. The fusion proteins were purified by aFlag affinity chromatography on aFlag mAb M2 agarose by the help of a N-terminal Flag tag contained in the antibody chains (Figure 4a,b). Similar to the aCD40-scBaff fusion protein-containing supernatants, purified aCD40\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff and purified aCD40\textsubscript{Fab2}-scBaff induced with high-efficiency IL8 production in HT1080-CD40 cells in the presence of BaffR-transfected HEK293 cells (Figure 4c, supplemental data Fig. S2A). Not unexpectedly, BCMA- and TACI-expressing transfectants, but not EV-transfected control cells, also enabled purified aCD40\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff and purified aCD40\textsubscript{Fab2}-scBaff to trigger strong IL8 production in HT1080-CD40 cells (Figure 4c, supplemental data Fig. S2A). Moreover, pretreatment of the BaffR-, BCMA- and TACI-expressing transfectants with a high concentration of soluble Baff strongly reduced IL8-induction by the purified aCD40-fusion proteins (Figure 4d, supplemental data Fig. S2B). This confirmed the hypothesis that binding of the antibody-scBaff fusion proteins via their scBaff domain to BaffR, BCMA and TACI was the crucial factor for the strongly enhanced CD40-stimulatory activity of these reagents. Thus, purification did not affect the FcγR-independent agonistic activity of BaffR-, TACI- and BaffR-bound aCD40\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff and aCD40\textsubscript{Fab2}-scBaff.

To verify that endogenous expression levels of BaffR, BCMA and TACI and of CD40 and CD95 are also sufficient to ensure efficient agonism of aCD40\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff, aCD95\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff, aCD40\textsubscript{Fab2}-scBaff and aCD95\textsubscript{Fab2}-scBaff, we also performed...
coclure experiments with cells expressing the relevant molecules endogenously. For this purpose, we used U2OS cells, which express CD40 endogenously, as CD40 responder cells producing robust amounts of IL8 in response to CD40 stimulation but lack expression of Baff receptors (supplemental data Figs. S3 and S4). HT1080 cells expressing endogenous CD95 were furthermore used as CD95 responder cells. The MM cell lines MM.15 and L363 expressing BCMA and TACI and the B-cell lymphoma cell line BJAB, which primarily express BaffR, were furthermore used as anchoring cells that do not produce IL8 in response to TNFR activation (supplemental data Figs. S3 and S4). As a negative control Jurkat cells, which express none of the three Baff-interacting receptors and display no IL8 induction, were used. In the presence of Jurkat cells, the purified αCD40-scBaff fusion proteins showed only a very minor stimulatory effect on U2OS cells at concentrations >500 ng/ml (Figure 4e, supplemental data Fig. S2C). In the presence of the cell lines that express one or two of the Baff-interacting receptors, however, the αCD40 fusion proteins triggered strong IL8 production in U2OS cells already at low concentrations of 10–25 ng/ml (Figure 4e, supplemental data Fig. S2C). CD40-mediated IL8 induction triggered by the αCD40scBaff fusion proteins was again inhibited in cocultures supplemented with soluble Baff (Figure 4f, supplemental data Fig. S2D).

The Baff receptor anchoring-dependent mode of action also overcomes the inhibitory effect that irrelevant IgG species have on anti-TNF receptors with FcyR-dependent agonistic activity. Thus, high but physiological relevant concentrations of an irrelevant IgG1 strongly inhibited IL8 induction by FcyR-anchored αCD40-IgG1-scBaff, but showed no effect on the ability of BaffR-anchored αCD40-IgG1-scBaff to stimulate IL8 production (supplemental data Fig. S5).

The αCD95-scBaff fusion proteins displayed a similar behavior as the αCD40-scBaff fusion proteins. The αCD95-scBaff fusion proteins triggered cell death in H1080 cells only at higher concentrations (>100 ng/ml) in the presence of Jurkat cells, but in the presence of BJAB and L363 cells the same constructs triggered cell death at ~100-fold lower concentrations (Figure 5a). Cell death-induction by αCD95N297A-scBaff and αCD95Fab2-scBaff was inhibited in cocultures supplemented with soluble Baff (Figure 5b). CD95 also has the ability to trigger IL8 production, especially when apoptosis induction is prevented by caspase inhibition. Accordingly, in the presence of the caspase inhibitor ZVAD, αCD95N297A-scBaff and αCD95Fab2-scBaff induced IL8 production in HT1080 cells cocultured with BJAB, MM.1S and L363 cells in a scBaff-dependent fashion (Figure 5c,d). Again, high concentrations of an irrelevant IgG1 showed no effect on the scBaff-mediated αCD95 agonism, but inhibited FcyR anchoring-dependent CD95 activation by αCD95-IgG1-scBaff (supplemental data Fig. S5). Baff receptor expressing cell lines typically also express CD95 and some of them are sensitive for CD95-mediated cell death. Accordingly, we found that BJAB cells alone are killed by low concentrations of αCD95N297A-scBaff and were partly rescued by cotreatment with a soluble Baff construct as competitor for BaffR binding (supplemental data Fig. S6).

**Discussion**

Targeting CD40 and CD95 has been considered for the treatment for MM. In the case of CD40, two therapeutic goals are plausible: 1) Destruction of myeloma cells with the help of antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC)-inducing αCD40 antibodies, exploiting the fact that CD40 is expressed on many MM cells; and 2) agonistic αCD40 antibodies could be used to enhance the body’s immune response against MM by stimulating DC and other antigen-presenting cells. Several αCD40 antibodies have been tested in vivo in preclinical studies and showed good anti-myeloma activity. In most of these studies, ADCC was observed and claimed as the mode of action. Since antibodies against human CD40 had been tested, the experiments were performed in mice lacking T- and B-cells. Therefore, the studies have no relevance regarding the question to what extent FcyR-bound, and therefore agonistic, αCD40 antibodies favor a myeloma-specific immune response. Early clinical studies in MM patients with lucatumumab, a human αCD40 IgG1 antibody blocking the interaction with the CD40 ligand CD154 (CD40L), and dacetuzumab, a humanized αCD40 IgG1, which does not interfere with the CD154–CD40...
interaction, revealed dose-limiting but manageable adverse events.\textsuperscript{9,10} However, there was also only modest clinical activity in these Phase 1 studies. Importantly, since FcyR-binding of these αCD40 antibodies not only results in triggering of ADCC but presumably also converts them into potent CD40 agonists, it is unclear to which extent these two mechanisms contributed to the dose-limiting adverse effects. In any case, it appears plausible that the adverse effects could be reduced by myeloma cell-restricted CD40 activation. αCD40 antibody-scBaff fusion proteins, as described here, promise such myeloma-associated CD40 activation. While the use of antibody variants (e.g., N297A-mutated IgG1 or Fab2) devoid of FcyR-binding ability prevents systemic effects, the scBaff anchoring domain ensures high-affinity binding to Baff receptors and strong CD40 activation on neighboring cells.

Future preclinical studies in vivo must now show whether Baff receptor-restricted myeloma cell-associated activation of CD40 has the power to mount a relevant immune response against myeloma. It is worth noting that binding of the αCD40-scBaff antibody fusion proteins to BCMA, BaffR or TACI can result in the activation of these receptors. In this respect, we observed that αCD40\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff stimulates IKK\textalpha phosphor-ylation, a hallmark event in the classical NF-kappaB pathway, in MM.1S cells with an efficiency comparable to the strongly agonistic hexameric Baff and CD40L variants (supplemental data Fig. S7). Despite this activity, αCD40\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff showed no major effects on MM.1S cell growth/proliferation at normal and low serum conditions (supplemental data Fig. S7). Stimulatory effects of Baff receptors on proliferation and cell survival in myeloma cells have been reported.\textsuperscript{8,25} Thus, future in vivo studies evaluating the therapeutic immunostimulatory activity of αCD40-scBaff antibody fusion proteins must also consider direct effects of αCD40\textsubscript{N297A}-scBaff on MM cells that may antagonize the anticipated immunotherapeutic activity.

As is the case for many tumors, myeloma cells are often sensitive to apoptosis induction by CD95. Accordingly, a number of studies have shown that the growth of cells in mice is strongly inhibited when these cells have been transfected with CD95L.\textsuperscript{30,31} In view of the prominent cell death-inducing activities of CD95 in vitro, it has been assumed that the reduced growth of CD95L transfected cells in vivo is primarily related to cell death induction. It was shown, however, that the growth inhibitory effects of CD95L in these models are less due to apoptosis induction, and more related to CD95-induced release of chemokines and cytokines and subsequent recruitment of immune cells.\textsuperscript{30,31} Thus, as in the case of CD40, a paracrine mode of action appears most relevant for the potential anti-myeloma activity of αCD95-scBaff antibody fusion proteins. Of course, this does not rule out that autocrine cell death induction might have an additional beneficial effect.

Expression of BCMA is very specific for terminally differentiated B cells and plasma cells.\textsuperscript{8,24} BaffR is expressed on most B cell populations and TACI expression has been reported for activated B cells.\textsuperscript{24} There is furthermore a contradictory data situation regarding TACI expression on T cells.\textsuperscript{24} All three receptor types, especially BCMA, are also expressed on MM cells.\textsuperscript{8,24,32,34} Since the B cells, especially differentiated B cells and plasma cells, are dispensable, BCMA, TACI and BaffR appear to be excellent targets for cytotoxic therapeutics. Indeed, high response rates have been reported in clinical trials with BCMA-targeted CAR-T cells and BCMA-specific ADCs applied to patients with relapsed and refractory MM.\textsuperscript{35-40}

The anti-myeloma activity of BCMA-targeted CAR-T cells and ADCs, however, is often only temporary due in part to the loss of BCMA expression in response to therapy. The use of scBaff as an MM-targeting domain has the potential to reduce the efficacy of this escape mechanism. Since Baff not only interacts with BCMA but also with high affinity with TACI and BaffR,\textsuperscript{24} it is tempting to speculate that CD40- and CD95-specific antibodies with a scBaff anchoring domain have the potential to kill myeloma cells that had escaped from BCMA-targeted CAR-T cells or BCMA-specific ADCs. CAR-T cells only affect cells that express the CAR-recognized antigen, thus sparing antigen-negative cells. In contrast, αCD40 and αCD95 antibodies with a scBaff anchoring domain should also be able to enhance the immune response against a Baff receptor-negative subfraction of MM cells, due to DC stimulation or enhanced cross-presentation of tumor antigens released by apoptotic tumor cells. Therefore, although initially triggered by antigen-expressing cells, this response type also affects antigen-negative tumor cells. αCD40 and αCD95-antibody fusion proteins with Baff receptor-restricted activity thus have the potential to synergistically act with BCMA-specific CAR-T cells. In favor of this idea, it has been reported that BCMA-specific CAR-T cells that have been genetically engineered to express CD40L are superior to the corresponding conventional CAR-T cells and also affect BCMA-negative myeloma cell subpopulations.\textsuperscript{41,42}

Only preliminary and incomplete conclusions can be drawn from the experience with BCMA-targeted CAR-T cells and BCMA-specific ADCs due to the paracrine mode of action of αCD40 and αCD95-scBaff fusion proteins. The side effects of BCMA-targeted CAR-T cells or anti-BCMA ADCs are likely related to the depletion of the B-cell compartment; however, αCD40 and αCD95-scBaff fusion proteins might elicit side effects due to stimulation of CD40 and CD95 on non-B cells in the neighborhood of B-cells. CD40- and CD95-expressing normal cells, such as fibroblasts, endothelial cells, epithelial cells and hepatocytes, have limited contact with B cells. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that B cell associated mode of CD40/CD95 activation may result in fewer side effects compared with the use of intrinsically, and thus systemically, active agonists for these receptors (e.g., oligomeric ligand trimers). Notably, direct clinical targeting of CD95 with agonists cannot be considered because high liver toxicity has been reported for several systemically active CD95 agonists.\textsuperscript{15,16} The αCD95-antibody scBaff fusion proteins with B cell- and myeloma cell-dependent CD95 agonism (Figures 3, 5) potentially have strongly reduced liver toxicity compared to conventional CD95 agonists. Thus, the construct type described in our study could have the potential to make a new effector activity, CD95-induced cell death, available for myeloma treatment.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and reagents

BJAB, HEK293, HT1080, Jurkat, L363, MM.1S and U2OS cells were obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms
and Cell Cultures (DSMZ) (Braunschweig, Germany) or the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Rockville, MD, USA). HT1080-CD40 cells have been described elsewhere. With the exception of U2OS cells, all cell lines were cultured in RPMI1640 medium (#R8758, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (#10500064, Gibco, Schwerte, Germany). U2OS cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (#D5796, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS. pCMV-SPORT6-based expression plasmids encoding FcyRα, FcyRIIb and BafR were obtained from SourceBioScience (Nottingham, UK). Expression plasmids encoding CD40, CD95, BCMA and TACI were a kind gift from Pascal Schneider (Lausanne, Switzerland). Production and characteristics of Fc-CD40L were described elsewhere.

**Molecular cloning, production and purification of ligands, antibodies and antibody fusion proteins**

The light and heavy chain variants of the various antibodies and antibody fusion proteins were cloned into the pCR3 expression plasmid (Invitrogen). For cloning of the light and heavy chains, synthetic DNA fragments (Geneart, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) encoding the VH and VL domains of αCD40 and αCD95 were used; the fragments were designed according to the publicly accessible sequences of the human CD40-specific antibody G28.5 (acc. no. AJ853736) and the human CD95-specific antibody E09 (PDB entry 3TJE), respectively. We furthermore used DNA fragments encoding the constant part of the human IgG1 heavy (acc. no. AFR78282.1) and light chain (acc. no. AAD29610.1). All light and heavy chain-encoding expression plasmids comprise a N-terminal Flag-encoding sequence (DYKDDDDK) for simple purification and detection. The expression plasmids for the heavy chains of the various scBaff antibody fusion proteins encode for three copies of aa 137–285 of human BAFF (acc. no. Q9Y275.1), which were connected by glycine-serine linkers fused to the C-terminus of the heavy chain of the corresponding antibody. Expression plasmids for Gpl-tagged light chains were obtained by fusing a Gpl-encoding DNA fragment to the 3’ end of the light chain-encoding DNA fragment of the antibodies. The expression plasmids for TNC-Baff, Gpl-TNC-Baff and Gpl-TNC-APRIL encoding soluble Baff/APRIL variants containing the small trimer stabilizing tenascin-C trimerization domain and partially a Gpl domain have been described elsewhere. An expression plasmid encoding the hexameric Baff variant Fc-TNC-Baff was obtained by genetic fusion of the human IgG1 Fc domain to the N-terminus of TNC-Baff.

For the production of the ligand variants and the various antibodies and antibody fusion proteins, Hek293 cells were transiently transfected as described elsewhere. In brief, 12 µg plasmid DNA of either the ligand-encoding expression plasmids or 1:1 mixtures of the heavy and light chain-encoding plasmids of interest were pre-incubated with 36 µl of a 1 mg/ml solution of polyethylenimine (PEI) (#23966-1, Polysciences Europe GmbH, Eppelheim, Germany) in 2 ml serum-free RPMI1640 medium. Medium of Hek293 cells seeded the day before in 15 cm tissue culture plates were changed with medium to serum-free RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin and then supplemented with the plasmid/PEI mixture. The next day, medium was changed to RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 2% Fbs and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. After an additional 5–6 d, cell culture supernatants were collected and cleared by centrifugation. The concentration of the produced proteins was determined by anti-Flag Western Blotting and comparison with a Flag-tagged standard protein or, where applicable, by measuring luciferase activity. Proteins were purified by affinity chromatography on anti-Flag agarose beads (#A2220, Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

**SDS-PAGE, silver staining and gel filtration of purified proteins**

To analyze purity, the various recombinant proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. Samples and molecular weight markers of known concentrations (#17-0446-01, Amersham LMW calibration Kit, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) were boiled in Laemmli sample buffer containing 5% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol for 5 minutes at 95°C and subjected to SDS-PAGE on 12.5% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels. Gels were stained using the Pierce Silver Stain Kit (#24612, Thermo Fisher) according to the protocol of the supplier. Purified antibodies and antibody fusion proteins (50–200 µg) were further analyzed for their native weight and potential protein aggregation by gel filtration on a MabPac SEC-1 column (#088460, Thermo Fisher) using the Ultimae 3000 HPLC system (Thermo Fisher) and the aqueous SEC-1 column performance check standard (#AL0-3042, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA).

**Western blotting**

Proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were wet electrotransferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The recombinant proteins were detected using the αFlag antibody M2 as primary antibody (#F1804, Sigma-Aldrich) and an IRDye 800 labeled antimouse IgG from goat as secondary antibody (#925-32210, LICOR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) and subsequent detection of fluorescence with a LICOR Odyssey phosphorimagery (LICOR Biosciences).

**Transient expression of receptors and flow cytometry**

For binding studies, HEK293 cells were PEI-transfected with expression plasmids encoding the receptor of interest (BafR, BCMA, TACI, FcyRα, FcyRIIb) as described above for antibody production. One or two days after transfection, cells were harvested and 0.3–1.0 × 10⁶ cells were washed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated at 4°C with PE-labeled antibodies of the specificity of interest or with corresponding isotype-matched control antibodies at the dilution recommended by the supplier. When a non-labeled primary antibody was used, cells were washed after 30 minutes and incubated with a PE-labeled secondary antibody at 4°C. After 30 minutes, the cells were again washed with ice-cold PBS to remove unbound antibodies. Finally, cells were analyzed using
a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, GER) according to standard protocols. The following antibodies were used: PE-conjugated anti-hBaffR clone 11C1 (#558097, BD Biosciences), PE-conjugated anti-hBCMA clone 19F2 (#357504, Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA), PE-conjugated anti-hCD40 clone HB14 (#130-094-135, Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, DEU), anti-hCD95 clone DX2 (#MAB142, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), PE-conjugated anti-hTACI clone 165604 (#FAB1741P, R&D Systems), PE-conjugated anti-mlG1 clone 11711 (#IC002P, R&D Systems), PE-conjugated anti-mlG2a clone 20102 (#IC003P, R&D Systems), PE-conjugated anti-mlG2b clone 133303 (#IC0041P, R&D Systems), PE-conjugated polyclonal anti-mlG (#P9670, Sigma-Aldrich).

**Equilibrium binding studies**

Cells (5 x 10^5 cells in a microcentrifuge tube) transiently transfected with the TNFRSF receptor (TNFR) of interest or EV were incubated with increasing concentrations of the GpL-tagged antibody fusion proteins or ligands for 1 hour at 37°C. Cells were then washed three times with 1 ml ice-cold PBS, transferred to black 96-well plates and the cell-bound GpL activity was measured using the BioLux *Gaussia Luciferase* Assay Kit (#E3300, New England Biolabs) and a LUMO luminometer (Anthos Mikrosysteme GmbH, Friesoythe, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. GpL activity of fusion proteins interacting with TNFR-transfected cells was considered as total binding, whereas the GpL activity associated with the EV-transfected cells was considered as nonspecific binding. Specific binding was calculated by subtraction of the nonspecific binding values from the corresponding total binding values. K_D values were fitted to a one-side specific binding plot by nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

**Analysis of IL8 induction**

CD40/CD95 responder cells (HT1080, HT1080-CD40, U2OS) were seeded in 96-well plates (2 x 10^4 cells/well) and were supplemented the next day with anchoring cells (Baff receptor or FcγR transfectants, Baff receptor-expressing cell lines) along with fresh medium containing the antibodies or antibody fusion proteins of interest. After an additional day, cell culture supernatants were analyzed with respect to their IL8 content using a human IL8 ELISA kit (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) according to the instructions of the supplier. In experiments where TNC-Baff was used as competitor, this compound was added to the cells 30 minutes prior to the antibody constructs. When CD95-induced IL8 production was evaluated, cells were pre-incubated with the caspase inhibitor zVAD-fmk (20 μM; #4026865, Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland) to prevent cell death induction and with CHX (2.5 μg/ml) to enhance CD95 signaling.

**Analysis of cellular viability**

For evaluation of CD95-mediated induction of apoptosis, HT1080 cells were seeded in 96-well tissue culture plates (2 x 10^4 cells/well) and were treated the next day in triplicates with different concentrations of the various antibodies and antibody fusion proteins as well as the indicated anchoring cell population. If not indicated differently, stimulation was performed in the presence of CHX (2.5 μg/ml). After 20–24 hours, the cellular viability of cells in suspension was determined by means of the MTT assay and the viability of adherent cells was quantified by crystal violet staining as previously described by Lang et al. Viability values of untreated control cells (100%) and cells that were challenged with a toxic mixture (0%) were used for normalization.

**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| AD           | anchoring domain |
| AT           | anchoring target |
| ADC          | antibody-drug conjugate |
| ADCC         | antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity |
| Baff         | B-cell activating factor |
| BaffR        | Baff receptor |
| BCMA         | B-cell maturation antigen |
| DC           | dendritic cell |
| DMEM         | Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium |
| EV           | empty vector |
| FBS          | fetal bovine serum |
| FcγRs        | Fc gamma receptors |
| GpL          | Gaussia princeps luciferase |
| IL           | interleukin |
| MM           | multiple myeloma |
| scBaff       | single-chain encoded B-cell activating factor |
| TCR          | T cell receptor |
| TNF          | tumor necrosis factor |
| TNFRSF       | tumor necrosis factor superfamily |
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