Radiative transfer modeling of the observed line profiles in G31.41+0.31
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Abstract

An inverse P-Cygni profile of H\textsuperscript{13}CO\textsuperscript{+} (1 $\rightarrow$ 0) in G31.41+0.31 was recently observed, which indicates the presence of an infalling gas envelope. Also, an outflow tracer, SiO, was observed. Here, exclusive radiative transfer modelings have been implemented to generate synthetic spectra of some key species (H\textsuperscript{13}CO\textsuperscript{+}, HCN, SiO, NH\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{3}CN, CH\textsubscript{3}OH, CH\textsubscript{3}SH, and CH\textsubscript{3}NCO) and extract the physical features to infer the excitation conditions of the surroundings where they observed. The gas envelope is assumed to be accreting in a spherically symmetric system towards the central hot core region. Our principal intention was to reproduce the observed line profiles toward G31.41+0.31 and extract various physical parameters. The LTE calculation with CASSIS and non-LTE analysis with the RATRAN radiative transfer codes are considered for the modeling purpose. The best-fitted line parameters are derived, which represents the prevailing physical condition of the gas envelope. Our results suggest that an infalling gas could explain the observed line profiles of all the species mentioned above except SiO. An additional outflow component is required to confer the SiO line profile. Additionally, an astrochemical model is implemented to explain the observed abundances of various species in this source.
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1. Introduction

Ultra-Compact HII (UC HII) regions are often used as a tracer of high-mass (>8 $M_\odot$) star-forming regions (HMSFRs). However, the evolutionary tracks of high-mass star formations are poorly constrained. Specifically, the effects of fragmentation and formation of multiple systems in HMSFRs and mass accumulation processes toward the cores are not yet well-established (Bosco et al., 2019; Palau et al., 2014; Beuther et al., 2018). Generally, Hot Molecular Cores (HMCs) are characterized by high gas temperature ($\geq$ 100 K), high density ($\geq$ 10\textsuperscript{6} cm\textsuperscript{-3}) and small size ($\leq$ 0.1 pc), which show numerous simple and complex organic molecular emissions (Kurtz et al. 2000). The hot molecular phase is believed to occur in the early stage of high-mass star formation. G31.41+0.31 (hereafter G31) is an exciting HMC due to its kinematics and chemical diversity. The dust continuum emission of G31 revealed that it has two components: the Main core and NE core (Beltrán et al., 2018). It looks featureless and homogeneous, suggesting no hint of fragmentation in the Main core (Beltrán et al., 2018). But the dull nature of the central core may arise from the significant opacity of the dust emission, which prevents a precise observation of the central core. The presence of the red-shifted absorption, rotational spin-up, and the existence of two embedded free-free emitting sources at the center suggest that this core might be undergoing fragmentation with infall and differential rotation due to conservation of angular momentum.

An UC HII region is located in a $\sim$ 5\arcsec distance from the main-core of G31 (Cesaroni et al., 2010). This HMC is situated at a distance of 7.9 kpc away from the Sun. The luminosity of this source is $\sim$ 3 x 10\textsuperscript{5} $L_\odot$ (Churchwell et al. 1990). The new parallax measurements suggest that it is located at a distance of 3.7 kpc and luminosity would be $\sim$ 4 x 10\textsuperscript{4} $L_\odot$ (Reid et al. 2019 Beltrán et al. 2019). The systematic velocity ($V_{\text{LSR}}$) of this source is $\sim$ 97 km s\textsuperscript{-1} (Cesaroni et al. 2010, Rivilla et al.)
Girart et al. (2009) observed inverse P-Cygni profile of low excitation line (C$^{34}$S, J=7-6) in G31 and discussed the infalling matter in it. Cesaroni et al. (2011) observed C$^{17}$O and CH$_3$CN, and also gave a hint of infall in this source. Later, Beltrán et al. (2018) observed this source with high angular and spatial resolution using Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) and discussed the associated kinematics. They identified J=5-4 transitions of SiO and discussed the possible presence of molecular outflow and their directions in this source. They also observed the inverse P-Cygni profile in H$_2$CO and CH$_3$CN lines with various upper state energy ($E_{up}$) and found the accelerating infall nature and the rotation present in the HMC. Recently, Gorai et al. (2021) reported different transitions of SiO, HCN, and H$^{13}$CO$^+$ in G31, which trace outflow and infall signatures in this source.

It is not straightforward to explain the time variability of the infalling activity. The infall may proceed inside-out (Foster & Chevalier, 1993) or outside-in (Osorio et al. 2009) in the context of molecular outflow and their directions in this source. They modeled the observed P-Cygni profile in H$_2$CO and CH$_3$CN lines with various upper state energy ($E_{up}$) and found the accelerating infall nature and the rotation present in the HMC. Recently, Gorai et al. (2021) reported different transitions of SiO, HCN, and H$^{13}$CO$^+$ in G31, which trace outflow and infall signatures in this source.

It is not straightforward to explain the time variability of the infalling activity. The infall may proceed inside-out (Shu. 1977) or outside-in (Foster & Chevalier. 1993). Osorio et al. (2009) modeled the observed spectral energy distribution (SED) in detail for G31. They fitted the SED using different models and extracted the fundamental physical parameters responsible for the obtained spectral profile. They modeled the source using density and temperature profiles obtained from the singular log-gathropic sphere solution. Along with the other relevant physical properties, they estimated the core mass $\sim 25 M_\odot$, the outer radius of the envelope $\sim 30000$ AU by considering a distance of 7.9 kpc (continuum model of van der Tak et al. (2013) used an outer radius $\sim 119000$ AU). Mayen-Gijon et al. (2014) identified the presence of infall motion in G31. This infall is identified from the spectral signatures of the red and blue asymmetries present in blue-shifted and red-shifted regions concerning the rest of the frame. Along with the infall motion in the source, Mayen-Gijon et al. (2014) also reported the inversion transitions of ammonia ((2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), (6,6)). They introduced a new signature called ‘central blue spot’ in first-order moment maps, confirming the infalling motion. Recently, using the central blue spot signature, Estella et al. (2019) estimated the range of the central masses of G31 $\sim 70 - 120 M_\odot$.

Radiative transfer code is frequently used to explain the observed line profiles. For example, Wyrowski et al. (2016) modeled the observed HCO$^+$ line profile by using the RATTRAN radiative transfer code. They targeted nine massive molecular clumps (region of a molecular cloud where density is high, and there reside much dust and many gas cores) of the ATLASGAL submillimeter dust continuum survey of our Galaxy with the Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA) and identified multiple NH$_3$ transitions and some transitions of HCO$^+$, HCN, and HNC. They extracted several physical parameters and obtained the infall signature from red-shifted absorption. Absorption lines of ammonia (NH$_3$) were identified in all nine sources, which signify the presence of infall with an infall rate between 3 $\sim 10 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot/yr$. Hervás-Caimapo et al. (2019) used the one-dimensional radiative transfer code, MOLLIE (Keto & Rybicki 2010) to explain their massive molecular outflow in G331.512-0.103 (a compact radio source in the center of an energetic molecular outflow and an active and extreme high-mass star-forming environment in our Galaxy). In this source, Hervás-Caimapo et al. (2019) reported SiO with the band 7 data of ALMA. They used the radiative transfer model to reproduce the observed SiO spectral feature and obtain the source’s outflow parameters. They classified the observed emission lines into two categories: the relatively narrow component tracing the emission from the core and the comparatively broad component that traces the massive outflow.

Earlier, many observations were carried out to explore the chemical composition of G31. Identifying numerous complex organic molecules (COMs) in this source makes it a fascinating target for astronomers. Using the IRAM facility, Beltrán et al. (2009) identified glycolaldehyde (CH$_2$OHCHO), methanol (CH$_3$OH), and methyl formate (HCOOCH$_3$). Recently, Rivilla et al. (2017) spotted dimethyl ether (CH$_3$OCH$_3$), glycolaldehyde, methyl formate, ethylene glycol ((CH$_2$OH)$_2$), and ethanol (C$_2$H$_5$OH) using Green Bank Telescope (GBT), IRAM 30 m telescope and Submillimeter Array (SMA) interferometric observations toward the main molecular core of G31. Subsequently, Gorai et al. (2021) observed peptide bond containing molecule (methyl isocyanate, CH$_3$NCO) and complex sulfur-bearing species (methanethiol, CH$_3$SH) for the first time in G31.

This paper reports a modeling attempt to explain the line profiles observed in G31, using chemical and radiative transfer codes. A chemical model is prepared to explain the observed abundances and radiative transfer codes to explain the observed spectral signatures. The physical properties (infall, outflow, temperature, etc.) associated with G31 are also deduced by examining the observed line profiles of H$^{13}$CO$^+$, HCN, SiO, and NH$_3$. The line profiles of some COMs such as CH$_3$SH, CH$_3$NCO, and CH$_3$OH are also modeled. The same observed spectral data that were presented in Gorai et al. (2021) is used. For the NH$_3$, the spectral data from Osorio et al. (2009) is used. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the computational methodology used to obtain the physical and chemical parameters for our radiative transfer model. Results and discussions related to our models are presented in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4 we draw our conclusions.

2. Computational Details and Methodology

2.1. Observations

Here, the cycle 3 archival data of the Atacama Large millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) (#2015.1.01193.S) is analyzed. The dataset consists of four spectral windows (86.559-87.028 GHz, 88.479-88.947 GHz, 98.977-99.211 GHz, and 101.076-101.545 GHz). Figure 1 shows the continuum emission images at these four spectral windows. The data cube has a spectral resolution of 244 kHz ($\sim 0.84$ km s$^{-1}$) with a synthesized beam of $\sim 1.19'' \times 0.98''$ ($9402 \times 7743$ AU considering 7.9 kpc distance and 4403 $\times$ 3636 AU considering 3.7 kpc distance) with a position angle 76$^\circ$. Unless otherwise stated, 3.7 kpc distance is used for the calculations. The systematic velocity of this source is 97 km s$^{-1}$. Gorai et al. (2021) reported a peak intensity of dust continuum $\sim 158.4$ mJy/beam, the integrated
flux $\sim 242.4 \text{ mJy}$, and the RMS noise of the continuum map $\sim 5 \text{ mJy/beam}$. They obtained the molecular hydrogen column density of $\sim 1.53 \times 10^{25} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

Osorio et al. (2009) modeled the observed spectral energy distribution and ammonia emission of the G31 hot core. They considered the hot core has an infalling envelope in an intense accreting phase. They derived the physical properties of the envelope and stellar part by fitting the observed spectral energy distribution. There are numerous observations of the G31 region. Still, most of the observations are indistinguishable from the G31 HMC and UCHII region, whose peaks are only differ by $5^\circ$. Cesaroni et al. (1994, 1998) had the VLA data where the combined spectral resolution was $\sim 0^\prime.63$. Osorio et al. (2009) used this high spatial resolution data to carry out the spectral line data analysis. Osorio et al. (2009) also used low angular resolution data ($40^\prime$) of Churchwell et al. (1990) to test their model prediction. They obtained a best-fit when they used a central mass $\sim 25M_\odot$ by considering a distance of 7.9 kpc, a mass accretion rate $\sim 3 \times 10^{-3}M_\odot$, and index of the power-law that describes the dust absorption coefficient ($\beta$) $\sim 1$.

### 2.2. Physical condition

The infalling region of the cloud envelope is divided into 23 grids. van der Tak et al. (2013) considered the envelope beyond 156 AU. The reason behind this choice is that Osorio et al. (2009) obtained a dust temperature $\sim 1200$ K around this radius. It is the sublimation temperature of the dust. So our model has considered the infalling envelope region ranging between 156 AU - 119000 AU ($7.56 \times 10^{-3} - 5.769 \times 10^{-1}$ pc). A cartoon diagram of the modeled region is shown in Figure 2.

It depicts an infalling gas envelope towards the central protostar. An outflow component is also present in the cloud. Marselle et al. (2010) identified a cold foreground cloud in the line of sight of G31. But these foreground clouds are at entirely different velocities. Thus, any foreground cloud component is not considered to be associated with this source. A logarithmic spacing is applied to select the grid spacing. The density distribution of the envelope is defined by using a density exponent ($p$) $\sim 1.40$ obtained with the dust continuum model of van der Tak et al. (2013). The spatial distribution of the temperature is also taken from van der Tak et al. (2013). The kinetic gas temperature and dust temperature are considered equal, assuming they are well coupled. The spatial distribution of the physical parameters is shown in Figure 3.

The infalling matter is in the free-fall state (Beltrán et al. 2018), which is estimated as:

$$v_{\text{inf}}(r) = v_{1000}\left(\frac{r}{1000 \text{ AU}}\right)^{-0.5},$$

where $r$ represents the radius and $v_{1000}$ is the infall velocity at 1000 AU. Osorio et al. (2009) fitted the observed spectral energy distribution towards this hot core. As the resolution of most of the observations were $> 5^\prime$, and an angular distance separates the central emitting region of G31 and nearby HII region $\sim 5^\prime$, the emission had contaminated with the emission of the HII region. Thus, Osorio et al. (2009) considered the SED fitting by considering many data points from archival data and predicted the upper limit of different physical parameters from their fitting. Unless otherwise stated, $v_{1000} \sim 4.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is used from Osorio et al. (2009). The rotational motion of the infalling gas envelope is not considered here for simplicity, which might cause some discrepancies in the result.

### 2.3. Chemical Modeling

Detailed chemical modeling has been carried out to study the abundances of the species observed in G31. Our Chemical Model for Molecular Cloud (CMMC) (Das et al. 2015a, b 2016 Gorai et al. 2017a, b, Sil et al. 2018; Gorai et al. 2020; Sil et al. 2021 Das et al. 2021) is considered for this modeling.
The gas-phase pathways are mainly adopted from the UMIST database (McElroy et al., 2013), whereas the ice phase pathways and binding energies (BEs) of the surface species are considered from the KIDA database (Ruaud et al., 2016) and Das et al. (2018) and Sil et al. (2017). The time evolution of the physical parameters (H$_2$ density and temperature) are considered in three significant steps: isothermal collapsing phase, warm-up phase, and post-warm-up phase. This type of straightforward model is best suited to study the chemical evolution of hot cores (Gorai et al., 2020; Sil et al., 2021).

As discussed in Section 2.2, the gas cloud envelope is divided into 23 spherical shells. In the first phase (i.e., isothermal phase), all the shells are kept at a constant temperature $\sim 15$ K. During this time interval ($\sim 10^5$ years), the H$_2$ number density of the cloud is allowed to evolve from $5 \times 10^2$ cm$^{-3}$ to a final value. The shorter collapsing time scale is used to represent the high mass star formation scenario. The final density of each shell is taken from the results presented in Figure 3. For example, in this phase, the outermost shell’s density is allowed to evolve up to $4 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$, and in the innermost shell, it is up to $4 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$. All the shells are allowed to evolve from their initial temperature to the final temperature within $5 \times 10^5$ years in the warm-up stage. This fast warm-up time scale is chosen to represent the high mass star formation. The final temperature of each shell is defined from the results presented in Figure 3. For example, the outermost shell’s temperature is assumed to evolve up to 23 K, and in the innermost shell, it is up to 1593 K. After the completion of the warm-up phase, the post-warm-up phase starts. All the shells are allowed to remain at the same density and temperature of their earlier stage. A time scale of $10^5$ years is considered for the post-warmup phase. Thus, our total simulation time scale (i.e., collapsing time + warm-up time + post-warm-up time) is $\sim 2.5 \times 10^5$ years. The density and temperature variation of our three-phase model is shown in Figure 4. The obtained abundances of various chemical species concerning H$_2$ molecules are shown in Figure 5. The left panel of Figure 5 depicts the peak abundance obtained beyond the collapsing time scale, whereas the right panel displays the abundances obtained at the end of the simulation time scale ($\sim 2.5 \times 10^5$ years). For a better understanding, in Table A1, the final and peak abundances of these species are noted at different radius. It is interesting to note that deep inside the cloud, where the temperature is higher, abundances of the complex organic molecules (especially CH$_3$SH and CH$_3$NCO) achieve peak abundance during the end of the simulation. For the rest of the molecules (NH$_3$, HCO$^+$, HCN, and SiO), peak abundance appears during the intermediate time. Detailed discussions regarding the obtained abundance of these species are discussed in the respective section.

2.4. Radiative transfer models

In G31, Gorai et al. (2021) reported the identification of several COMs (CH$_3$SH, CH$_3$NCO, and CH$_3$OH). They further showed that H$^{13}$CO$^+$, HCN, and SiO exhibited certain unique spectral features. Here, CASSIS spectrum analyzer (developed by IRAP-UPS/CNRS, Vastel et al., 2015), http://cassis.irap.omp.eu and 1D RATRAN program (Hogerheijde & van der Tak, 2000) together with the spectroscopic database ‘Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy’ (CDMS, Müller et al., 2001, 2005; Endres et al., 2016) (https://cdms.astro.uni-koeln.de) and Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) (Pickett et al., 1998) (http://spec.jpl.nasa.gov/) are used to model the observed line profiles.
The MCMC algorithm was developed in constraining the probabilistic behavior of a collection of atomic particles. It was challenging to do this analytically. This stochastic model describes a sequence of possible events in which each event’s probability depends only on the state attained in the previous event (Gagniuc, 2017). The MCMC method is an interactive process that goes through all of the line parameters (e.g., excitation temperature, source size, line width) with a random walk and heads into the solution’s space, and $\chi^2$ minimization gives the final solution. Here, the MCMC fitting is mainly implemented for those species for which more than two transitions are identified. Like the rotational diagram method, the MCMC method is also helpful for species where multiple transitions covering a wide range in energy have been detected (Vastel et al. 2018). Since the MCMC method is an iterative method that heads toward the solution by the $\chi^2$ minimization process over parameter space. Statistically, it will be beneficial to estimate the physical parameters from the fitting of multiple transitions.

Since $^{13}$CO$^+$, HCN, and SiO show some unique line profiles and not many transitions are identified, Markov Chain Monte Carlo Method is not used. Two slab model of Myers et al. (1996) and further improved by Di Francesco et al. (2001) is used to explain the unique characteristics (inverse P-Cygni profile, a representative of the infalling envelope) of $^{13}$CO$^+$. This model considers the infalling gas envelope in two different spherically symmetric regions of different excitation temperatures. Along the line of sight, it places two parallel slabs having different excitation temperatures. In the front layer, the excitation temperature is $T_f$, and in the rear layer, it is $T_r$, respectively. It considers a peak optical depth for both the region, $\tau_0$. An infall velocity, $V_{in}$ is regarded throughout the contracting envelope. Some fraction of the beam is filled by the continuum temperature ($T_c$, the brightness temperature corresponding to the continuum image’s peak flux density). This beam filling factor is denoted by $\Phi$. The rear layer is illuminated by the background radiation of temperature ($T_b = 2.7$ K), and the core is considered a black body. Myers et al. (1996) defined the radiative transfer solution in terms of $T_f$, $T_r$, $T_b$, and $T_B$ (brightness temperature). Later Di Francesco et al. (2001) introduced the continuum source into account. There are two main limitations to the two slab model: the simplicity and a large number of free parameters. However, this model is beneficial for reproducing the line profile of the infalling envelope. The CASSIS program is utilized to fit the observed spectral signature based on this two slab model.

1D RATRAN model is utilized to explain all the observed line profiles. Various input parameters applied for RATRAN modeling (inner and outer radius of the envelope, dust opacity, distance from the Sun, dust to gas mass ratio, background radiation, and bolometric temperature) are listed in Table 1. The dust emissivity ($\kappa$) is considered by assuming a power-law emissivity model. The index $\beta$ can vary between $1 - 1.4$. It is noticed that the velocity components present in a collapsing gas envelope can strongly affect the line profiles. The thermal broadening of lines is automatically considered in RATRAN. The other factors affecting the line profile are infall motion, expansion, and non-thermal turbulent motion. These contributions are con-

Fig. 5. The spatial distribution of the peak abundances (left panel) and final abundances (right panel) of some key interstellar species are shown. The peak abundances are taken beyond $10^5$ years, whereas the final abundances are taken at the end of the simulation time scale ∼ $2.5 \times 10^5$ years.
sidered in our model. The Doppler ‘b’ parameter (characterizes the spectral line’s width) is regarded as a constant throughout the envelope to assess the effects of turbulence present in the envelope affecting the line profile. This parameter is related to the line broadening and directly related to the FWHM of the line transition by,

\[
b = \frac{1}{2 \times \sqrt{\ln(2)}} \times \frac{\text{FWHM}}{c}
\]

In our RATRAN model, a constant linewidth throughout the entire region of the cloud is considered. But in reality, this parameter would radially vary. Increasing this parameter would decrease the line intensity, whereas reducing this parameter would increase the line intensity.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. The Markov Chain Monte Carlo model

Recently, Gorai et al. (2021) reported the observation of complex sulfur-bearing species, methanethiol (CH$_3$SH) and methyl isocyanate (CH$_3$NCO) in G31. They also reported various transitions of methanol (CH$_3$OH) in G31. Their observations extracted the excitation temperature, column density, FWHM, etc., using a rotation diagram and LTE model analysis. Here, the MCMC method is implemented to estimate those parameters and compare them with the results of Gorai et al. (2021).

Initial constraints used for this fitting procedure and the best-fitted values of the physical parameters and the uncertainties obtained from the $\chi^2$ minimization are noted in Table 2. All the fitted spectra of CH$_3$SH, CH$_3$NCO, and CH$_3$OH are shown in Figures 6, 7, and 8 respectively. With the best-fitted line parameters shown in Table 2, it is noticed that all the transitions of CH$_3$SH and CH$_3$NCO are optically thin. In contrast, all the transitions of A-CH$_3$OH and two transitions of E-CH$_3$OH are optically thick. A column density of $1.4 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$, $1.2 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$, respectively are obtained for CH$_3$SH and CH$_3$NCO. The transitions of CH$_3$SH are fitted well with an excitation temperature $\sim$ 77 K, whereas for CH$_3$NCO it is $\sim$ 205 K. For the methanol, it is not possible to fit all the transitions simultaneously. Thus following Gorai et al. (2021), we separate the transitions arising from A/E methanol. A column density of $2.1 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$, and $8.2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$ are obtained for A-CH$_3$OH, and E-CH$_3$OH, respectively. In the case of A-CH$_3$OH, comparatively a higher temperature ($\sim$ 173 K) is associated than E-CH$_3$OH ($\sim$ 82 K). The peak abundance profile of CH$_3$OH shown in Figure 5 and noted in Table A1 depicts that deep inside the envelope (< 5840 AU), the abundance of methanol gradually decreases. Thus, obtaining a comparatively higher abundance with the cold component (i.e., transitions of E-CH$_3$OH) of methanol is valid. At the footnote of the table, these column densities with that was obtained by Gorai et al. (2021) by the LTE analysis and rotational diagram method are also noted.

3.2. Two slab model

An inverse P-Cygni profile shows a red-shifted absorption lobe along with a blue-shifted emission lobe. The detection of H$^{13}$CO$^+$ towards G31 suggest the infalling gas envelope towards the center of the source (Gorai et al., 2021). To explain the emission and absorption nature of the inverse P-Cygni profile, here, two slab model is used which was introduced by Myers et al. (1996) and further modified by Di Francesco et al.
Table 2. Summary of the best fitted line parameters obtained by using MCMC method.

| Species      | Quantum numbers | Frequency (GHz)          | FWHM (K) | Best fitted density$^a$ (cm$^{-2}$) | Best fitted source size (′) | Optical depth (τ) |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| CH$_3$SH     | 4(0,1)-3(0,0), m=0 | 88.68056                 | 3.08     | 0.4 (0.4±0.2)×10$^{17}$            | 0.95                       | 0.546            |
|              | 4(0,2)-3(0,1), m=1 | 88.68056                 | 3.08     | 0.4 (0.4±0.2)×10$^{17}$            | 0.95                       | 0.546            |
|              | 7(0,0)-6(0,0), m=0 | 88.68056                 | 3.08     | 0.4 (0.4±0.2)×10$^{17}$            | 0.95                       | 0.546            |
|              | 7(0,1)-6(0,1), m=0 | 88.68056                 | 3.08     | 0.4 (0.4±0.2)×10$^{17}$            | 0.95                       | 0.546            |
|              | 7(0,2)-6(0,2), m=0 | 88.68056                 | 3.08     | 0.4 (0.4±0.2)×10$^{17}$            | 0.95                       | 0.546            |

$^a$ With the LTE analysis, Gorai et al. 2021 obtained the column density of 4.13×10$^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$, 3.72×10$^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$, and 1.84×10$^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$ respectively for CH$_3$SH, CH$_3$NCO, and CH$_3$OH.

With the rotational diagram analysis, Gorai et al. 2021 obtained it 2.85×10$^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$, 1.58×10$^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ and 2.94×10$^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ respectively.

Fig. 7. The MCMC method used to fit the observed transitions of CH$_3$NCO in G31. The red lines represent the modeled spectral profile, whereas the observed spectra are shown in black. The horizontal blue line represents the 3σ(3.2K) RMS noise level.

Fig. 8. MCMC method used to fit the observed transitions of methanol (CH$_3$OH) towards G31. The red lines represent the modeled spectral profile to the observed spectra in black. The horizontal blue line represents the 3σ(9.4K for A-CH$_3$OH and 7K for E-CH$_3$OH) RMS noise level.
Table 3. Best fitted parameters obtained with the two slab model for H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$. The input variables used to fit the inverse P-Cygni profile are listed in Table 3 along with the best-fitted parameters. These parameters are extracted by minimizing the value of $\chi^2$. HCO$^+$ is widely used as a useful tracer of the envelope region. Gorai et al. (2021) recently reported an inverse P-Cygni profile for the 1$\rightarrow$0 transition of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$ in G31. Their analysis of this profile had suggested a clear indication of the presence of infalling gas envelope towards the core of G31. Inverse P-Cygni line profiles ease extract the dynamic behavior (infall velocity, mass infall rate, velocity dispersion, etc.) of the infalling envelope. Here, two slab model is utilized to explain the observed inverse P-Cygni profile of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$. Altogether, there are eight parameters involved in the solution. This freedom can produce a lot of best-fit solutions. Some parameters are fixed to a realistic estimation to avoid any misleading results. The best fitted parameters are noted in Table 3.

From the best-fitted infall velocity, the mass infall rate is further used by using the method described in Klaassen & Wilson (2007). Here, the source is considered to be spherically symmetric. This crude approximation is often violated and it is required to consider the outflow component for the computation of the mass infall rate. However, this approximation can present a good educated estimation of the mass infall rate. The mass infall rate is described by the following relation taken from equation 3 of Klaassen & Wilson (2007),

$$\dot{M} = \frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{M}{t} = \frac{\rho V_{in}}{r_{gm}} = \frac{4}{3} \pi n_{H_2} \mu m_{H_2} r_{gm}^2 v_{in},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $v_{in}$ is the infall velocity, $m_{H_2}$ is the mass of a hydrogen atom, $n_{H_2}$ is the ambient source density ($=5.4 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$), $\mu$ represents the mean molecular weight of the gas ($=2.35$) and $r_{gm}$ is the geometric mean radius of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$ emitting region derived from the relation $r_{gm} = \sqrt{r_{beam}}$. Here, $f$ is the beam filling factor (0.62 from Table 3 and $r_{beam}$ is the beam radius (1.1$''$ from Gorai et al. (2021)). Here, a best-fitted infall velocity of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+ \sim 2.5$ km s$^{-1}$ is obtained. Using this value in the above equation, a mass infall rate of $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$yr$^{-1}$ with the 3.7 kpc distance consideration and $\sim 5.9 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$yr$^{-1}$ with the 7.9 kpc distance consideration is used. These values are consistent with the previous prediction $\sim 3 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$yr$^{-1}$ by Osorio et al. (2009) for their best-fitted model with a central mass 25M$_\odot$. The infall rate indicates that the source is in the state of a high accretion phase. The best-fitted spectra along with the reported inverse P-Cyg profile of Gorai et al. (2021) is shown together in Figure 9.

3.3. 1D spherically symmetric RATRAN model

The observed inverse P-Cygni profile of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$ and the feature of SiO, HCN, and NH$_3$ are further modeled with the RA-
TRAN code to explain the physical properties of the envelope and surrounding medium in more detail. Moreover, the transitions of COMs are also modeled with this code.

3.3.1. H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$

The observed inverse P-Cygni profile of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$ is an indication of the collapsing envelope of a star-forming region. The synthetic spectra of the 1$\rightarrow$0 transition (86.753970 GHz) of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$ is modeled using the 1D RATRAN code. The collisional rates of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$ with H$_2$ are taken from the LAMDA database. The physical parameters of the model are described in Section 2.2. Initially, a constant abundance of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$ is considered throughout the region. Figure 10 depicts the comparison between the observed (black) and modeled (orange) line profile. The constant abundance of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$,FWHM, and $\beta$ are varied to find out the best fit line profile. The best fit is obtained from the two slab model when an FWHM of 0.97 km/s is used (see Table 3). With the 1D RATRAN modeling, the best fit is obtained when an FWHM of 1.42 km s$^{-1}$ is used. For the best fit line profile, $\beta = 1$ and a constant abundance of $\sim 7.07 \times 10^{-11}$ is used. A fractionation ratio of H$_{13}^{3}$CO$^+$ : HCO$^+$ = 1 : 65 (Hogerheijde & van der Tak. 2000) is used, which yields the best-fitted HCO$^+$ abundance 4.6 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$. The best fitted HCO$^+$ abundance is well within the limit of our modeled abundance noted in Table A1 and shown in Figure 5.

Figure 10 shows that when the envelope is completely static (i.e., infall velocity is zero, red curve), it gives a symmetric line profile, whereas the inclusion of the infall velocity induces the asymmetry. Increasing the infall velocity shows an increase in the red-shifted absorption and blue-shifted emission nature. From Figure 10, it is noticed that the modeled line profile considering $v_{1000}$ = 2.45 (green line), 4.9 (blue line), and 9.8 (orange line) km/s gradually increases the asymmetry. The best fit is obtained when an infall velocity of $\sim 4.9$ km/s (blue line) at 1000 AU is considered, which agrees well with Osorio et al. (2009). It is noticed that the spectral profile is heavily dependent on the choice of $\beta$. Since Osorio et al. (2009) obtained $\beta = 1$ from their analysis, here also $\beta = 1$ is used.
The obtained abundance distribution of HCO$^+$ from our chemical model discussed in Section 2 is further used. Figure 9 shows that the peak abundance of HCO$^+$ varies in between ($\sim 7.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ – } 10^{-8}$) beyond 10,000 AU. In the innermost grid, the peak abundance of HCO$^+$ heavily increased. Beyond 10,000 AU, the peak abundance of HCO$^+$ and final abundance roughly matches. Inside the 10,000 AU, the final abundance of HCO$^+$ drastically decreased. Since our chemical code does not consider carbon fractionation, no abundance of H$^{13}$CO$^+$ is obtained from our code. However, as a guess, an atomic fractionation ratio between $^{13}$C and $^{12}$C $\sim 1 : 65$ is used to generate the spatial distribution of H$^{13}$CO$^+$. Thus, the HCO$^+$ abundance is reduced by a factor of 65 to have an educated estimation of the abundance of H$^{13}$CO$^+$. Figure 10 shows the comparison between our observed and modeled line profile by considering the abundances from our chemical model. The red curve represents the modeled line profile when the peak abundance (taken during the warmup to post-warmup time scale) obtained from our chemical model is used. The green curve represents the modeled line profiles when considering the final abundance from our chemical model. Figure 11 depicts that the observed absorption profile is well reproduced with our chemical model’s abundance profile. However, this abundance profile shows a strong emission feature compared to the observed blue-shifted emission nature. It is evident from the deuterium fractionation of molecule that the initial atomic D/H ratio is not always reflected in the molecules (Caselli et al., 2002; Das et al., 2013a 2015a, 2016; Majumdar et al., 2014a). So there might have some discrepancies in considering the abundances of H$^{13}$CO$^+$. It is also noticed that our generated absorption nature in Figure 10 and Figure 11 is narrower compared to the observation. This inconsistency might be due to the absence of rotational motion in our radiative transfer model.

To visualize the difference between our generated line profile and the actual observation, our modeled ‘fits’ file of H$^{13}$CO$^+$ is further processed to simulate the interferometric observations with the Common Astronomy Software Applications package (hereafter, CASA, McMullin et al. 2007). Here, instead of convolving the model with a Gaussian beam, it is simulated what ALMA would observe with the same array configuration as for the observation. Here, the model image is produced (for simplicity, here the line profile generated with the constant abundance $\sim 7.07 \times 10^{-9}$ is only shown) to represent the sky brightness distribution and have generated UV data using the ‘simobserve’ task available in CASA. Then for the convolution, the ‘clean’ task in CASA is further used. The velocity channel maps obtained with the actual observation and the model are shown in Figure 12. To understand its distribution in G31, in the left panel of Figure 12 the observed spatial distribution with a few velocity channels are shown, which include both red-shifted (channels that are having velocity greater than the systematic velocity) and blue-shifted (channels that are having a velocity less than the systematic velocity) velocity channels together. The channel map of the observed velocity channels represent negative contour (i.e., 0, 1, 2, and 3 km s$^{-1}$), which mimics the absorption profile of the H$^{13}$CO$^+$. All other channels show emission signatures for the observed channel maps.

Our simulated velocity channel maps (see right panel of Figure 12) are in good agreement with the real observation. Similar to the observed channel maps, modeled channel maps at 0 km s$^{-1}$ and 1 km s$^{-1}$ show the absorption. However, the absorption is more extended in the observation (i.e., absorption at 2 and 3 km s$^{-1}$ is missing in our simulated image). It is also noted in the context of Figure 10 and Figure 11. A more realistic physical model, including the rotational motion and inclusion of outflow in the physical model may explain these mismatches.

Since H$^{13}$CO$^+$ traces the envelope region, with the present resolution, the inverse P-Cygni profile of H$^{13}$CO$^+$ is observed. Due to the higher resolution data (0.22′′), Beltrán et al. (2018) observed the source’s more inner region, and thus no such profile of H$^{13}$CO$^+$ was expected. Interferometric observations with too high angular resolution create the risk of filtering out the extended emission features. On the other hand, a shallow resolution will cause beam dilution. Infall and outflow present in an astrophysical source is a property of the envelope. So,
studying the infall and outflow property using interferometric data is tricky. So molecules with extended emission are sensitive to the case that interferometric data filtered out the emission. Cesaroni et al. (2011) imaged the same transition using VLA (interferometer) and IRAM-30M (single dish) to recover the emission filtered out by the VLA data. But in our observation (Gorai et al. 2021), the observation’s angular resolution is approximately 1.1”, which is not well resolved by the source or at best marginally resolved. For example, Zhu et al. (2011) reported the results on infall and outflow in the star-forming region W3-SE (it is not related to G31, but the linear resolution of these two sources is comparable), analyzing the line profile of HCN, HCO+, and N2H+ using the interferometric (SMA-1, SMA-2) data of ~ 2.5” resolution.

In Figure 13, a comparison between the low resolution (4” green line and 1.1”, black line) and high resolution (0.22”, red line) modeled spectra of H13CO+ are shown. It is noticed that the emission peak gradually diminishes with the increase in the resolution. Figure 13 depicts that the inverse P-Cygni nature is only visible when 1.1” resolution is used. It justifies the relevance of considering the extended emission profile of H13CO+ in our comparatively low-resolution data of ALMA.

With the higher resolution (0.22”), Beltrán et al. (2018) was able to observe various transitions of CH3CN and its isotopologues having different upper state energies. CH3CN is mainly formed on the grain surface and populates the gas phase when it is warmer. Thus, it mainly traces the hot inner region (Hung et al., 2019). The shift of the red-shifted absorption peak from the systematic velocity represents the infall velocity. Beltrán et al. (2018) noticed that this shift is increased with the increase in the up-state energy (K < 10). It indicates the presence of an accelerated infall in this source. Though molecules with extended emission (like H13CO+) heavily suffer from interferometric filtering, in our case, the angular resolution is comparatively lower (1.1”) than the resolution of Beltrán et al. (2018) (0.22”). Previously, Olmi et al. (1996a b c; Cesaroni et al. 2011) observed CH3CN transitions towards the main core of G31 using single dish (IRAM-30m) and interferometric (SMA). Here, the 1D RATRAN radiative transfer model is used to reproduce the observed line profile of CH3CN for J=12 - 11 (K=2) transition (220.7302 GHz) by Beltrán et al. (2018). It is important to note that our observational resolution greatly differs from Beltrán et al. (2018). Figure 14 shows a comparison between the high resolution and low resolution modeled spectrum with the observed profile of CH3CN. Beltrán et al. (2018) obtained the abundance of of CH3CN ~ 1.0 × 10^{-8}. The best-fit is obtained when a constant abundance of ~ 6 × 10^{-8}, FWHM of 2.5 km/s, and β ~ 1 is used. From our chemical modeling (Figure 5 and Table A1), it is noted that the peak abundance of CH3CN can reach up to ~ 3.8 × 10^{-8}.

From Figure 14, it is evident that high resolution (0.22”) modeled data show inverse P-Cygni profile, which is consistent
3.3.2. HCN

Gorai et al. (2021) observed three hyperfine transitions of HCN, which appeared in absorption and were blended. The observed spectral profile of HCN was broad. They identified multiple transitions of HCN (F = 1→1, 2→1, and 1→0 hyperfine components of the J=1→0 transition). For the 1D RATRAN model, the physical input parameters described in Section 3.3 are considered. In Figure 15, a good match between the modeled profile (orange line) and the observed profile (black line) is obtained when an FWHM of ~ 10 km s⁻¹, constant abundance ~ 7.6 × 10⁻⁸, and β = 1.4 are used. The collisional rate between HCN and H₂ is used from the LAMDA database for the hyperfine transitions of HCN. It is noticed that among the three hyperfine transitions of HCN, the F = 2→1 (88.63184 GHz) transition is more robust. The obtained abundance distribution of HCN from our chemical model is further utilized to study. Figure 5 shows a slight jump in the abundance of HCN. Its peak abundance varies in between 2.8 × 10⁻¹⁰ – 5.4 × 10⁻⁷. Our best-fitted constant abundance ~ 7.6 × 10⁻⁸ is well within this limit. Inside the cloud, the final abundance of HCN shows a steady decreasing trend. With the peak and final abundance obtained from the chemical modeling, the line profile of F = 2→1 transition of HCN is generated. These are shown in Figure 15 with the red and green curves, respectively. The red curve shows an excellent match with the observed line profile of HCN. Figure 16 shows the comparison of channel map emission between the observation and modeling (by considering best-fitted constant abundance). A similar method is used in generating this simulated emission mentioned in Section 3.3.1.

3.3.3. SiO

The 5-4 (Beltrán et al., 2018) and 2-1 (Maxia et al., 2001; Gorai et al., 2021) transitions of SiO were identified in G31. SiO is an excellent tracer of outflow present in the source. From the various SiO emissions, it is now known that there are at least three outflows associated with the G31 core: E-W outflow to the south of the main core’s dust continuum emission peak and is not associated with the two free-free embedded sources; N-S outflow, which could be related to the main core or related to one of the free-free embedded sources; NE-SW outflow to the south of the main core, and it is not associated with it. Gorai et al. (2021) calculated the dynamical timescale from the velocity obtained of red-shifted and the blue-shifted lobe of SiO spectra. They estimated the average dynamical timescale from the SiO observation ~ 4.1 × 10³ years (by considering ~ 7.9 kpc distance) and 1.92 × 10³ years (by considering ~ 3.7 kpc distance). Previously the molecular outflow present in the hot molecular core G31 is studied by Olmi et al. (1996c) in CO molecule. Other studies (Araya et al., 2008; Beltrán et al., 2018) in the literature hint at multiple outflow directions.

Here, the observed 2-1 transition (Gorai et al. 2021) of SiO is modeled by using the 1D RATRAN radiative transfer model. The collisional rates of SiO with H₂ is used from the LAMDA database. The physical structure considered for the modeling is described in Section 2.2. An additional outflow component in the modeling is also considered as the outflow highly influences SiO spectra. It is to be noted that no outflow is considered in our physical model. Instead, a broad Gaussian component is con-
Fig. 16. Comparison of channel map emission of HCN between observation in left and model (constant abundance \( \sim 7.6 \times 10^{-8} \)) in right. Contour levels are at -5%, -10%, -15%, -20%, 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80% of the peak observed intensity (0.719 mJy/beam). Solid and dashed contours represent the emission and absorption, respectively.

The intensity of the outflow component of \( \sim 10 \) K and the FWHM of \( \sim 20 \) km s\(^{-1}\) for the Gaussian outflow component are considered. In Figure 17, the observed line profile of the SiO (2-1) transition is shown in black, and modeled (constant abundance) line profile is shown in orange. It depicts that the modeled spectra can successfully reproduce the observed absorption. However, the emission is a little stronger than this was observed. The left panel of Figure 5 shows the radial distribution of the SiO abundance. It shows that the peak abundance of SiO is increased from 156 AU to 287 AU very rapidly, and beyond this, its peak abundance increased at a prolonged rate (\(2.2 \times 10^{-10} \sim 9.2 \times 10^{-9}\)). Our best fitted constant abundance (\(9.5 \times 10^{-10}\)) of SiO is falling in between our modeled peak abundance range. The final abundance shows ups and downs in the abundance profile and significantly differs from its peak abundance. In Figure 17, modeled spectra with the peak abundance profile (red line) and final abundance profile (green line) are shown. Figure 17 depicts that with the modeled peak abundance profile, the shape of the observed line profile can be reproduced. Our chemical model does not include shock, which may be vital for SiO-related chemistry.
Fig. 18. A comparison between the observed line profile (black) and synthetic line profiles (orange) of (a) 1,1, (b) 2,2, (c) 4,4, (d) 5,5 transitions of NH$_3$ are shown. These line profiles are generated with an FWHM of $\sim$ 4.9 km/s, $\beta$ = 1.4 and a constant abundance of $\sim$ 2 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ for 1,1 and 2,2 transitions, a constant abundance $\sim$ 1.7 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ for 4,4 transition and $\sim$ 5.7 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ for 5,5 transition. For 4,4 and 5,5, the best line profiles (red curves) with little higher FWHM (8.33 km/s) appeared with the constant abundance 2.7 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ and 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$, respectively.
the region of temperature falling below 93 K. Inside, a sudden
jump in the abundance profile is noticed and varies in between
\(3.4 \times 10^{-8} - 6.0 \times 10^{-7}\). Inside 300 AU, the peak abundance
of NH\(_3\) heavily decreased. The final abundance and peak abun-
dance of NH\(_3\) show more or less similar trends. It is exciting to
note that a striking match between our obtained peak abundance
profile and that used in Osorio et al. (2009) is obtained when
the gas and grain temperature at the initial stage of our model
is kept at 20K instead of 15K reported here. When an initial
temperature \(\sim 20\) K is used, a peak abundance \(\sim 1.7 \times 10^{-6}\)
is obtained, which is more closer to the \(X_{\text{peak}} = 3 \times 10^{-6}\) used
in Osorio et al. (2009). Osorio et al. (2009) did not carry out any
chemical model to obtain this abundance. So a complete match
with Osorio et al. (2009) is not expected.

Churchwell et al. (1990); Cesaroni et al. (1992) used 100 m
telescope for the identification of NH\(_3\)(1,1), NH\(_3\)(2,2),
NH\(_3\)(4,4), and NH\(_3\)(5,5) transitions. Here, all these transitions
are modeled by considering a collapsing envelope. Since Cesar-
oni et al. (1992); Churchwell et al. (1990) observed the
transitions of NH\(_3\) with a 100 m single dish, the obtained spectra
is convolved by using a 40\(^\prime\) beam size and have compared it
with the observed one. Figure 18 shows the modeled (1,1), (2,2),
(4,4), and (5,5) transitions of NH\(_3\) along with their observed
(black) line profiles. The collisional rate of p–NH\(_3\) is taken
from the LAMDA database.

The obtained line profile is convolved with the 40\(^\prime\) beam
size of the GBT 100 m telescope to compare it directly with the
observed spectra. In Figure 18 the modeled spectra (with con-
stant abundance, orange) along with the observed one (black) is
shown. It is noticed that a constant abundance can not able to
explain the four observed line profiles simultaneously. For the
(4,4) transition, Cesaroni et al. (1992) obtained an FWHM of
\(\sim 4.9 \pm 0.1\) km s\(^{-1}\). Here also, an FWHM of \(\sim 4.9\) km s\(^{-1}\) yields
a good fit. The best fit with the observed spectrum is obtained
when a constant NH\(_3\) abundance of \(\sim 2 \times 10^{-9}\) for the (1,1)
and (2,2) transitions is used. The good fit for the (4,4) and (5,5)
transitions is obtained when a constant abundance of \(1.7 \times 10^{-8}\) and
\(5.7 \times 10^{-8}\) is used, respectively. It is noticed that with a higher
FWHM (\(\sim 8.33\) km/s), the (4,4) and (5,5) transitions shows a
better fit with \(2.7 \times 10^{-8}\) and \(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\), respectively. As in
our case, Osorio et al. (2009) were also unable to match all the
peak intensities simultaneously with one abundance profile (see
Figure 6 of Osorio et al. (2009)). Here, comparatively, a higher
constant abundance is needed to explain the (4,4) and (5,5)
transitions. It is because the (1,1) and (2,2) transitions have
comparatively lower upper state energies (1.14 K and 42.32 K re-
spectively) compared to the (4,4) and (5,5) transitions (178.39
K and 273.24 K). Due to these differences in upper state en-
ergies, it is expected that the (4,4) and (5,5) transitions would
originate comparatively from the warmer (i.e., inner) region of
the envelope. From Figure 5 we can see that NH\(_3\) abundance is
higher in the inner area of the envelope compared to the outer
part. So the usage of the higher abundance for (4,4) and (5,5)
transition is justified.

In addition to the constant abundance, the peak abundance
variation obtained from our chemical model is further used. It
is noticed that our modeled line profiles are unable to fit the

\section{NH\(_3\)}

The UC HII region is situated beside the G31 core and is
separated by an angular distance of 5\(^\prime\). Due to this small sepa-
ration, the observations having angular resolution > 5\(^\prime\), often
contaminated with the HII region. Cesaroni et al. (1992) used
a single dish 100 m telescope (GBT) having a beam size of \(\sim 40\)\(^\prime\)
to study the G31 HMC region. Multiple inversion transitions of
ammonia were observed by Cesaroni et al. (1992) and Church-
well et al. (1990). Osorio et al. (2009) had modeled all these in-
version transitions with the radiative transfer model. They first
modeled these transitions starting with a constant abundance of
NH\(_3\). However, they also parameterized the abundance profile
depending upon the condensation and sublimation temperature
of NH\(_3\) and water. Osorio et al. (2009) used the following relation
to generate the abundance profile of NH\(_3\),

\begin{equation}
X_{\text{mod}} = \frac{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}}{1 + \eta} + X_{\text{min}},
\end{equation}

Here, \(\eta\) represents the ratio of the species in the solid phase with
that in the gas phase. The total amount of the molecular species
was assumed to be constant. They considered that the variation
of \(\eta\) depends on the condensation and sublimation temperature
and the density. They considered \(\eta \gg 1\) for the minimum gas-
phase abundance \(X_{\text{min}}\), whereas \(\eta = 0\) is considered for the
maximum gas-phase abundance \(X_{\text{max}}\). The sublimation effect
of NH\(_3\) was also considered. Osorio et al. (2009) obtained a
good correlation with the observational results when they used
\(X_{\text{max}} = 3 \times 10^{-6}\) and \(X_{\text{min}} = 2 \times 10^{-8}\). Figure 5 shows a jump
profile for the abundance of NH\(_3\). A peak abundance variation
in between \(3.2 \times 10^{-8} - 6.4 \times 10^{-7}\) is obtained from our model.
Its abundance is increasing deep inside the cloud. Osorio et al.
(2009) used a minimum constant abundance \(X_{\text{min}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-8}\)
when the temperature is roughly below 90 K. In the inner en-
velope, the temperature gradually increases, and they used a
constant maximum abundance \(X_{\text{max}}\) of \(\sim 3 \times 10^{-6}\) for temper-
ature greater than 100 K. From our chemical model (see the left
panel of Figure 5), in the outer part of the envelope, peak NH\(_3\)
abundance varies in between \(5.0 \times 10^{-8} - 2.3 \times 10^{-7}\). This
abundance is obtained beyond \(\sim 8000\) AU, corresponding to

\section{3.3.4. NH\(_3\) Transitions}

It is noticed that our modeled line profiles are unable to fit the

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure}
\caption{A comparison between the high spatial resolution (0.63\(^\prime\)) VLA observation of the (4,4) transition (black) of NH\(_3\) and modeled line profile (orange) of NH\(_3\). The synthetic line profile is generated with an FWHM of \(\sim 4.9\) km/s, \(\beta = 1.4\), and a constant abundance \(\sim 1 \times 10^{-7}\). With a little higher FWHM (8.33 km/s, red curve), the best fitted abundance is \(1.6 \times 10^{-7}\).}
\end{figure}
observed transitions. The intensity of all the transitions is over-produced with our modeled abundance profile.

In Figure 19 our modeled (4,4) transition is compared with that obtained with the VLA observation (angular resolution 0.63”) (Cesaroni et al., 1998). The best fit is obtained when a constant abundance of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-7}$, $\beta = 1.4$, and FWHM 4.9 km/s is used. With a little higher FWHM ($\sim 8.33$ km/s), the best fit is obtained with an abundance $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$.

3.3.5. Complex organic molecules

Recently, Gorai et al. (2021) reported several transitions of some complex organic molecules in G31. Here, the observed emission features of CH$_3$OH, CH$_3$SH, and CH$_3$NCO are modeled. Araya et al. (2008) observed the transition of CH$_3$OH in G31, and they used this molecule to understand the outflow present in this source. Figure 5 shows that the peak abundance of CH$_3$OH shows a jump at $\sim 10000$ AU and having a maximum value ($\sim 1.9 \times 10^{-6}$). The final abundance of methanol greatly differs from its peak abundance. In contrast to its peak value, it drastically decreased deep inside the cloud. Gorai et al. (2021) identified a transition of H$_2$CO and estimated its column density. In Figure 5 the abundance variation of H$_2$CO is shown. It also shows a jump profile like the methanol and has a peak abundance $1.2 \times 10^{-6}$. This is the first exclusive modeling result in explaining the observed abundance of CH$_3$SH and CH$_3$NCO in G31. Here, for the chemical evolution of CH$_3$NCO and CH$_3$SH, the pathways mentioned in Gorai et al. (2020) and Gorai et al. (2017a) are used. The peak abundance profile of CH$_3$SH shows a similar trend as the CH$_3$OH. It shows a jump at $10000$ AU from its minimum value and having its maximum abundance of $\sim 1.4 \times 10^{-8}$. Like CH$_3$OH, the final abundance of CH$_3$SH drastically decreased in the inner region. The peak and final abundance of CH$_3$NCO show similar value because of its formation during the simulation’s late stages by the reaction between HNCO and CH$_3$ in the gas phase (with a rate $\sim 5 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$) cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. Here, the activation barrier for the reaction between H and NH$_2$CHO is used at its highest value (3130 K), as mentioned in Gorai et al. (2020). A peak abundance of $\sim 3.3 \times 10^{-8}$ for CH$_3$NCO is obtained at around 6000 AU.

A comparison between our simulated and observed (obtained from Gorai et al. (2021) by the LTE fitting and rotation diagram and MCMC fitting carried out here) abundance is shown in Figure 20. It shows that the obtained abundance of CH$_3$OH, H$_2$CO, CH$_3$SH, and CH$_3$NCO is consistent with the observation. In deriving the molecular abundance from the column density, a molecular hydrogen column density $\sim 1.53 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Gorai et al., 2021) is used.

CH$_3$OH and CH$_3$SH are mainly formed on the interstellar grain surface (Das et al. 2008a; 2010; Das & Chakrabarti 2011; Gorai et al., 2017a). These species can quickly transfer to the gas phase in the warmer region (temperature $> 100$ K). Significant production of gas-phase CH$_3$NCO can be processed after the release of grain phase HNCO (Gorai et al., 2020). So the gas-phase abundance of these three species depends mainly on the chemical process related to the grain. In our simulation, the entire cloud is divided into 23 shells. For example, the outermost shell’s temperature is allowed to evolve up to 23 K. In the innermost shell, it is up to 1593 K. So, in the case of the outer grids (beyond grid number 13), it remains below 100 K. For these shells, the peak value of these complex organic molecules appear during the end of the simulation. The peak value gradually appears in a shorter time for the inner grids (inside the 13th grid). So, in brief, since the peak values which are noted in Table A1 and plotted in Figure 5 is beyond the collapsing time scale, our time uncertainty in predicting the peak value is $\sim 1.5 \times 10^5$ years. There are many other uncertainties (physical and chemical parameters) that may influence these time scales.

Initially, observed line profile of these COMs are modeled with the constant spatial abundances. As like the observation, all the transitions of these complex organic molecules are found in emission (see Figure 21). The collisional data file for the E-CH$_3$OH and A-CH$_3$OH with H$_2$ is taken from the LAMDA database. For the methanol transitions shown in Figure 21, a best-fit is obtained when an A-CH$_3$OH abundance of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$ and an FWHM of 8 km/s is used. It is consistent with the methanol (A-CH$_3$OH) abundance obtained from the MCMC fit ($\sim 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$) of these transitions shown in Table 2. The A-CH$_3$OH transitions at 88.594787 GHZ and 88.939971 GHZ shows a good fit with the observations. However, the transitions shown in the first two panels of Figure 21 do not fit well. With the peak abundance profile, the intensities of all the transitions are under produced. Table 2 shows a 3.9 times higher column density is needed for E-CH$_3$OH than A-CH$_3$OH. Here, following this, an abundance $\sim 7.8 \times 10^{-6}$ is used for E-CH$_3$OH. As with A-CH$_3$OH, an FWHM of 8 km/s is used. However, with the 1D RATRAN model, we cannot fit all the three transitions (the last three panels of Figure 21) of E-CH$_3$OH. As like A-CH$_3$OH, here also, with the peak abundance profile, the intensities of all the three transitions are under produced. These three transitions (101.126857 GHZ, 101.293415 GHZ, and 101.469805 GHZ) are slightly off-centered. Moreover, at 101.1269 GHZ, 101.2927 GHZ, and 101.2935 GHZ, HOCH$_2$CN, CH$_3$OCN, and s-propanol may appear. Since Gorai et al. (2021) did not find any other transitions of these species in the other part of their spectrum, they excluded these from their transitions.
Fig. 21. The modeled transitions of $A{-}\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ (first four transitions) and $E{-}\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ (last three transitions) along with their observed transitions (black lines) are shown. The line profile obtained with the constant abundance is displayed with the orange lines. The best fit for $A{-}\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ is obtained when a methanol abundance of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$, an FWHM $\sim 8$ km/s, and $\beta = 1.4$ are used. For $E{-}\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$, the FWHM and $\beta$ are kept the same as $A{-}\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$, but a constant abundance of $\sim 7.8 \times 10^{-6}$ is used. The red lines in the figure show the modeled line profiles when the peak spatial distribution of the methanol abundances from Fig. 5 is used.

Fig. 22. The modeled line profiles of $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}$ along with its observed line profiles are shown. The best fit is obtained when a constant abundance $\sim 1.9 \times 10^{-8}$, an FWHM $\sim 9.45$ km/s, and $\beta = 1.4$ are used. Observed line profiles are shown in black, whereas the modeled line profiles with the constant abundance are shown in orange. The line profiles obtained with the peak spatial distribution of the abundance profile are shown in red.
analysis.

Only three out of the seven observed transitions of CH$_3$SH are shown in Figure 22 and five out of the six observed transitions of CH$_3$NCO shown in Figure 23. It is because of the adopted collisional data files for these two species. The collisional data file is essential for the non-LTE calculation. The collisional data files were available for the modeled molecules for H$^{13}$CO$^+$, HCN, NH$_3$, SiO, and E-CH$_3$OH, A-CH$_3$OH. Since no such collisional data were available for CH$_3$NCO and CH$_3$SH, the collisional data of HNCO and A−CH$_3$OH with H$_2$ are considered in their place. These files are prepared in the prescribed format of the RATRAN modeling. We must admit that these are very crude assumptions, but these are used here to check the educated guess of the line profiles. Since collisional rates for only a few levels were available, our file does not contain all the transitions of the CH$_3$SH and CH$_3$NCO.

Figure 22 shows a comparison between the observed and modeled line profiles of CH$_3$SH. For the best-fitted model, a constant abundance of $\sim 1.9 \times 10^{-8}$ and an FWHM 9.45 km/s for CH$_3$SH is used. Figure 22 shows that constant abundance does not fit well, and peak abundance profile underproduces the intensity. This is because three transitions of CH$_3$SH are blended among each other (see Figure 6). Similarly, Figure 23 shows a comparison between the observed and modeled line profiles. For the best-fitted model, a constant abundance of $\sim 5.0 \times 10^{-9}$ and an FWHM 7.5 km/s for CH$_3$NCO is used. Further, the radial distribution of the abundance profiles (from Figure 5) is used in our radiative transfer model to determine the simulated line profiles of CH$_3$OH, CH$_3$SH, and CH$_3$NCO. The line profiles obtained with the peak values are shown in red. The intensity of the transitions of CH$_3$NCO is overestimated with the peak abundance profile. The peak CH$_3$NCO abundance appears during the latter stages of our simulation. Several studies with the RATRAN model are performed by considering various abundance profiles extracted at different times. The best fit is obtained when the peak abundance profile of CH$_3$NCO is extracted at $1.25 \times 10^5$ years (corresponding to a warmup time of $2.5 \times 10^4$ years after the isothermal collapse phase).

4. Conclusions

This paper has carried out an extensive chemical and radiative transfer model to explain several observed line profiles in G31. The physical conditions obtained in this region were coupled with our CMMC model to mimic a realistic situation. This coupled model has yielded a spatial distribution of abundances of some key interstellar species in G31. Our coupled model was successful in explaining the obtained quantities. Various physical properties such as infall velocity, mass infall rate, FWHM, etc., are extracted from our radiative transfer models. A summary of our modeled and observed parameters is shown in Table A2. The major highlights of this work is reported below:

- With the LTE model, Gorai et al. (2021) obtained an abundance $4.72 \times 10^{-8}$ and $2.7 \times 10^{-8}$, respectively for CH$_3$NCO and CH$_3$SH. With the rotational diagram analysis, they obtained $\sim 1.04 \times 10^{-9}$ and $1.86 \times 10^{-9}$, respectively for CH$_3$NCO and CH$_3$SH. The MCMC model reported here yields $7.84 \times 10^{-8}$ and $9.15 \times 10^{-9}$ abundance, respectively, for these two species. Our CMMC model has reported a maximum peak abundance of CH$_3$NCO and CH$_3$SH $\sim 3.3 \times 10^{-8}$ and $1.4 \times 10^{-8}$, respectively.

- Gorai et al. (2021) reported an infall velocity of 2.3 km/s from the H$^{13}$CO$^+$ observation. Here, from the two slab model
of $^{13}$CO, an infall velocity of 2.5 km/s is reported. Using this infall velocity, a mass infall rate of $1.3 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ is estimated by considering a distance of 3.7 kpc of G31. With the 1D RATRAN model, the best fit is obtained when an infall velocity of 4.9 km/s at 1000 AU is used, which is consistent with what was obtained by Osorio et al. (2009).

- It is noticed that dust emissivity plays a crucial role in defining the line profile. All the observed line profiles can be explained by the power-law emissivity relation of dust emission. $\beta = 1$ is needed to reproduce the observed line profiles of $^{13}$CO, SiO, and CH$_3$CN, whereas $\beta = 1.4$ is required in generating the observed line profiles of other species. In Table A2, abundance, FWHM, and $\beta$ used to explain the observed line profile are summarized.

- 1D RATRAN code is employed to explain the observed line profiles of $^{13}$CO, HCN, SiO, NH$_3$, CH$_3$CN, CH$_3$OH, CH$_3$SH, and CH$_3$NCO. An infalling envelope can successfully explain all the line profiles. Only for the SiO, it is required to include an additional outflow component in our model.

- The line profile of $^{13}$CO and CH$_3$CN is modeled for different resolutions. It is noticed that with the higher angular resolution, an inverse P-Cygni nature of CH$_3$CN and with the lower angular resolution, an inverse P-Cygni nature of the $^{13}$CO could be expected. These findings are consistent with the observation of Gorai et al. (2021) and Beltrán et al. (2018).
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Appendix A. Abundances
Table A1. Final and peak abundances at di

|     | HCO$^{-15}$ | HCO$^{-17}$ | HCO$^{-13}$ | HCO$^{-11}$ | HCO$^{-10}$ | HCO$^{-9}$ |
|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Final value | 2.41 | 1.56 | 4.12 | 1.67 | 4.22 | 1.04 |
| Peak value | 2.33 | 3.27 | 7.35 | 1.69 | 7.76 | 3.11 |
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Table A2. Abundance, linewidth, and $\beta$ obtained from our best-fitted RATRAN model are noted. For the comparison, we have reported the abundances obtained by the other methods (i.e., MCMC method discussed in section[S7] and LTE and rotational method carried out by Gorai et al. (2021)). Moreover, the abundances obtained from our chemical model are also noted (peak abundance noted in Table A1).

| Species        | RATRAN        | LTE$^f$ | Rotational diagram$^g$ | MCMC$^h$ | Chemical model | Line width (km.s$^{-1}$) | $\beta$ |
|----------------|---------------|---------|------------------------|----------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| H$^13$CO$^+$   | 7.08x10$^{-11}$ | -       | -                      | -        | 1.16x10$^{-10}$ | 1.42                      | 1.0     |
| HCN           | 7.6x10$^{-8}$  | -       | -                      | -        | 2.76x10$^{-10}$,5.35x10$^{-7}$ | 10.00                      | 1.4     |
| SiO            | 9.5x10$^{-10}$ | 9.54x10$^{-11}$ | -                      | -        | 1.46x10$^{-13}$,9.22x10$^{-9}$ | 4.67                      | 1.0     |
| A-CH$_3$OH     | 2.0x10$^{-6}$  | 1.2x10$^{-6}$ | 1.92x10$^{-6}$       | 1.37x10$^{-6}$ | 5.93x10$^{-11}$,1.88x10$^{-6}$ | 8.00                      | 1.4     |
| E-CH$_3$OH     | 7.8x10$^{-6}$  | 1.2x10$^{-6}$ | 1.92x10$^{-6}$       | 5.36x10$^{-6}$ | 5.93x10$^{-11}$,1.88x10$^{-6}$ | 7.67                      | 1.4     |
| CH$_3$SH       | 1.9x10$^{-8}$  | 2.7x10$^{-8}$ | 1.86x10$^{-9}$       | 9.15x10$^{-9}$ | 2.41x10$^{-16}$,1.39x10$^{-8}$ | 9.45                      | 1.4     |
| CH$_3$NCO      | 5.0x10$^{-9}$  | 4.72x10$^{-8}$ | 1.04x10$^{-9}$       | 7.84x10$^{-8}$ | 9.02x10$^{-11}$,3.27x10$^{-8}$ | 7.49                      | 1.4     |
| NH$_3$ (100M)  | 2.0x10$^{-7}$-1.0x10$^{-7}$ | -       | -                      | -        | 3.04x10$^{-10}$,7.53x10$^{-7}$ | 4.90-8.33                  | 1.4     |
| NH$_3$ (VLA)   | 1.0x10$^{-7}$-1.4x10$^{-7}$ | -       | -                      | -        | 3.04x10$^{-10}$,7.53x10$^{-7}$ | 4.90-8.33                  | 1.4     |
| CH$_3$CN       | 6.0x10$^{-8}$  | -       | -                      | -        | 6.72x10$^{-15}$,3.81x10$^{-8}$ | 2.5                       | 1.0     |

$^f$ Gorai et al. (2021)

$^g$ Using $N_{H_2} = 1.53x10^{25}$ from Gorai et al. (2021)