Factors associated with poor glycemic control among type-2 diabetes mellitus patients in Yemen
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Abstract

Purpose: To determine the status of glycemic control and its associated factors among adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in Hodeidah City, Yemen.

Methods: This cross-sectional study involved T2DM patients attending an outpatient clinic at the Military Hospital in Hodeidah, from January to March 2017. Relevant socio-demographic characteristics, clinical factors and self-management behaviours were recorded in face-to-face interviews. Blood pressure, body weight, and height measurements were also obtained. Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and lipid profile were evaluated. Urine samples were also obtained and analysed by albuminuria assay.

Results: Of 246 participants, 73.2 % showed poor glycemic control (HbA1c ≥ 7 %). Female patients, those aged ≥ 40 years, the illiterate, and Khat chewers were more likely to have poor glycemic control. Moreover, longer disease duration, insulin administration and albuminuria were significantly associated with poor glycemic control. In contrast, a healthy diet, physical exercise, proper self-monitoring of blood glucose levels and taking medicines as prescribed significantly increased the likelihood of good glycemic control.

Conclusion: A majority of the Yemeni diabetic patients have poor glycemic control. To achieve better awareness, diabetes educational programs that highlight the benefits of self-management are recommended.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) affects more than 422 million people around the world. By the year 2040, the number of people with diabetes is expected to rise to 642 million, most of who are going to reside in low- or middle-income countries [1]. The numbers of diabetics in the Middle East make for a great concern [2,3]: Yemen (10.4 %) [4], Saudi Arabia (23.7 %) [5].
and Jordan (17 %) [6]. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) accounts for 90 % of diabetes cases worldwide [1]. It is considered a major cause of morbidity and mortality especially in low and middle-income countries [7].

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) holds that good glycemic control is essential for the management of DM; and that glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) is the best indicator for the monitoring of blood glucose levels [8]. HbA1c gives an indication of the average blood glucose levels maintained in the past 3 months [9]. Hence, it is important when estimating the risk of complications associated with the disease [10]. For instance, maintaining HbA1c levels below or around 7 % (i.e., having good glycemic control) contributes to the reduction, delay and prevention of microvascular and macrovascular complications [8], particularly those involving the eyes, kidneys and nerves [1,3]. Therefore, diabetic patients are advised to maintain the recommended level of HbA1c to avoid rapid disease progression [10].

Despite the lack of ambiguity about the importance of optimal glycemic control, most of those with diabetes fail to achieve the target level [2]. Poor glycemic control (HbA1c ≥ 7 %) is rampant in the diabetic populations of Jordan (67 %) [11], and Saudi Arabia (76 %) [2]. Literatures showed that there are many factors underlie the problem and the better knowledge regarding these factors can enhance health care services and disease management options for patients [2,6,10].

Unfortunately, as far as our knowledge goes, no studies have been conducted in Yemen to explore the factors influencing glycemic control in T2DM. Therefore, this study was intended to determine the factors associated with and the rate of poor glycemic control among T2DM patients in Hodeidah city, Yemen.

METHODS

Study design and setting

A cross-sectional study was conducted among T2DM patients who attended the diabetes outpatient clinic at the Military Hospital in Hodeidah, between January and March 2017.

Ethical consideration

Permission for data collection was obtained from the administration of the intended hospital. The ethical approval was obtained from the research ethics committee at Hodeidah University (Human Ethics Approval: 166/2-17). Helsinki Declaration guidelines were followed in this study [12]. The participants received illustration of the purpose of the study and they were made aware that their participation in the study would be on voluntary basis and that they were able to withdraw any time. Then, from each participant a written consent was obtained before enrolling in the study.

Participants

A convenience sample of 246 T2DM patients was selected in this study. Only those aged 18 years or older and have had T2DM for more than 1 year; were included. Newly diagnosed, very sick patients, and those with physical or mental handicaps were excluded from the study. The sample size was calculated using Eq 1, and based on a confidence level of 95 % and a margin of error of 5 %.

\[ N = Z^2 \frac{P \times q}{d^2} \]

\[ \text{where } N = \text{sample size}, Z = \text{standard deviation}, P = \text{prevalence of disease}, q = (1 - p) \text{ or percentage of failure which is } 100 - 1 = 99 \%, \text{ and } d = \text{sampling error which is equal to 0.05} \]

Data collection

Data related to socio-demographic characteristics, clinical factors, and self-management behaviors was collected through face-to-face interviews. Blood pressure, body weight, and height were measured during every interview. Blood samples were collected to assess patients’ HbA1c levels and lipid profiles. Urine samples were also collected for albuminuria analyses. Laboratory investigations pertaining to the lipid profile were carried on using a BS-200 Chemistry Analyzer (Mindray Bio-Medical Electronics Co., Ltd , Shenzhen, China), HbA1c levels were determined using SD A1c Care Analyzer (SD Biosensor Inc, Korea); and urine albumin concentrations were measured using a Uri SCAN Strip (YD Diagnostics Com, Korea).

Measurements and variables

The socio-demographic characteristics recorded included the patient's sex, age, marital status, residence, educational level, occupation, smoking habits, and khat chewing habits. For the purposes of this study, the educational level referred to the overall years spent in public/private educational organizations as follows: 0 years (illiterate), 1-12 years (school) and ≥ 12 years (diploma, bachelor,
postgraduate...etc). A patient was considered to be a smoker if he/she regularly smoked at least one cigarette/daily [9].

The clinical and diabetes-related variables recorded included: the type of current treatment, disease duration, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, albuminuria and lipid profile levels [(total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and Triglyceride (TG)]. The duration of disease was presented in two categories (≤ 7 and > 7 years) considering the date of first diagnosis [12]. Body mass index (BMI) values were categorized according to the WHO classification system into three categories: normal (< 25 kg/m²), overweight (25 - 29.9 kg/m²), and obese (≥ 30 kg/m²) [13]. Abnormal blood pressure values and lipid profiles were determined relying on the 2013 guidelines of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) [8].

The patients with systolic/diastolic blood pressure levels above 130/80 mmHg and those using antihypertensive medications were considered to be hypertensive patients. The definitions of abnormal lipid profile parameters were as follows: TC ≥ 200 mg/dl and HDL < 40 mg/dl in males or > 50 mg/dl in females; or LDL ≥ 100 mg/dl; or TG ≥ 150 mg/dl. Diabetic dyslipidemia is characterized by the presence of one or more of these serum abnormalities [8].

Data on self-management behaviors were collected to assess patients’ adherence to diabetic control measures during the 7 days prior to the interview. These measures included following a proper diet, engaging in physical exercise, taking proper medications, self-monitoring of blood glucose levels, and practicing proper foot care. A patient was considered to have adequate diabetic control when he/she followed a recommended diet plan for ≥ 3 days; engaged in physical exercise (walking or exercising) lasting at least 30 min for ≥ 3 days; performed self-monitoring of blood glucose levels using a home glucose analysis tool for ≥ 5 days; and never missed a medication at all [13]. Good glycemic control was defined as having a HbA1c level < 7 %, whereas poor glycemic control was defined as having a HbA1c level ≥ 7 % [8].

Statistical analysis

The data was organized, verified, and analyzed by using version 21 of the statistical package for social sciences (SSPS) software. Categorical and continuous variables were described as proportions and means (standard deviation, SD), respectively. Pearson’s Chi-square and fisher exact test were used to assess the correlation between poor glycemic controls against the recorded variables. All variables showing significant correlations with the univariate analysis were fitted into multiple logistic regression models to determine the independent predictors of all domains. Differences were considered statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS

Socio-demographic characteristics

A total of 246 participants were recruited in this study. Male participants were 52.4 % of the study population. Half of the participants were in the age category of 40 – 60 years (mean = 49.5 ± 11.8 years). The majority of the participants were married (76.8 %), urban residents (69.5 %) and khat chewers (59.3 %). More than one-third (36.2 %) were employed as opposed to 17.1 % who were not. Almost one third of the participants (29.3 %) were illiterate and smokers (Table 1).

Clinical and diabetes-related characteristics

The majority of the participants (65.0 %) had diabetes for less than 7 years (mean = 7.1 ± 5.2 years). Almost two-thirds (67.5 %) were on oral hypoglycemic agents, while a quarter of the participants (25.2 %) were using insulin. Over a third of the participants (38.6 %) were overweight (BMI mean = 25.8 ± 4.9 kg/m²). The results pertaining to the lipid profile showed that 61.0 % of the participants had dyslipidemia; 23.6 % had high TC levels; 45.1 % had elevated LDL levels; 46.3 % had high TG levels; and 45.0 % of the males and 54.7 % of the females had low HDL levels. Lastly, about one-fifth (20.3 %) were found to have albuminuria; and less than half (45.9 %) were hypertensive (Table 2).

Poor glycemic control and underlying factors

The data indicated that poor glycemic control (HbA1c ≥ 7 %) was rampant among the participants (73.2 %) and the mean HbA1c was 8.8 ± 2.2. Multivariate logistic regression revealed that the odds ratio related to poor glycemic control was significantly higher among females (OR = 1.9, 95 % CI 1.1 - 3.3); those aged 40 years and above (40 - 60 years: OR = 2.4, 95 % CI 1.2 - 4.6; > 60 years : OR = 2.6, 95 % CI 1.2 - 5.7); the illiterate (OR = 3.2, 95 % CI 1.3 - 7.7 ); and khat chewers (OR= 1.8, 95 % CI 1.0-3.2). Furthermore, the results of our study showed that poor glycemic control was significant correlated with disease duration (≥ 7 years: OR = 2.2, 95 % CI 1.2 - 4.2); insulin usage...
(OR = 2.5, 95 % CI 1.1 - 5.4); and the incidence of albuminuria (OR = 2.7, 95 % CI 1.1 - 6.2) (Table 3).

**Self-management behaviors and glycemic control**

The findings of the current study showed that the patients who adhered to a healthy diet as suggested by dietitians (OR = 0.4, 95 % CI 0.2 - 0.7); engaged in physical exercise (OR = 0.5, 95 % CI 0.2 - 1.0); self-monitored their blood glucose levels (OR = 0.5, 95 % CI 0.3 - 0.9); and took medicines as prescribed (OR = 0.6, 95 % CI 0.3 - 1.0) were less likely to have poor glycemic control (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the majority of the T2DM patients observed (73.2 %) had poor glycemic control (HbA1c ≥ 7 %). Similar results have been reported across the Middle East and the Horn of Africa: Jordon (61.1 % and 65.1 % as showcased in [12,14], respectively), Saudi Arabia (50 %) [2], respectively) and Ethiopia (48.7%) [15].

The high rate of poor glycemic control observed in this work was not very surprising considering that most of the participants failed to assume adequate self-management behaviors, with 67.9 % not following a healthy diet, 83.7 % not participating in regular physical exercise, 76.4 % refraining from self-monitoring their blood glucose levels, 77.2 % failing to take proper care of their feet, and 43.9 % skipping some of their medicines. Bad self-management practices have been repeatedly shown to be mendable through health education [16].

However, generally in Yemen, there is a lack of health-education efforts and programs to help patients learn about the risks of diabetes. Consequently, Yemeni diabetic patients have a very poor knowledge and bad attitudes towards disease management, which may be an additional factor contributing to poor glycemic control in the population.

Then again, there are financial limitations in Yemen that make it difficult to provide the necessary healthcare services for patients and prevent patients from accessing such services, which further contributes to the proliferation of inadequate self-management practices. Almost half of the participants in this study (47.6 %) were found to be unemployed.

**Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the patients (n = 246)**

| Characteristic          | Category         | No. of patients | %  |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----|
| Sex:                    |                  |                 |    |
|                         | Male             | 129             | 52.4|
|                         | Female           | 117             | 47.6|
| Age (years), mean (SD)  | 49.5 (11.8)      |                 |    |
| < 40                    | 61               | 24.8            |
| 40-60                   | 123              | 50.0            |
| > 60                    | 62               | 25.2            |
| Marital status          |                  |                 |    |
| Single                  | 21               | 8.5             |
| Married                 | 189              | 76.8            |
| Divorced                | 19               | 7.7             |
| Widowed                 | 17               | 6.9             |
| Present residence       |                  |                 |    |
| Urban                   | 171              | 69.5            |
| Rural                   | 75               | 30.5            |
| Education (years)       |                  |                 |    |
| 0                       | 72               | 29.3            |
| 1-12                    | 130              | 52.8            |
| > 12                    | 44               | 17.9            |
| Occupation              |                  |                 |    |
| Employed                | 89               | 36.2            |
| Manual/worker           | 40               | 16.3            |
| House wife              | 75               | 30.5            |
| Unemployed              | 42               | 17.1            |
| Khat chewing            |                  |                 |    |
| Khat chewers            | 146              | 59.3            |
| Non-khat chewers        | 100              | 40.7            |
| Smoking                 |                  |                 |    |
| Smokers                 | 72               | 29.3            |
| Non-smokers             | 174              | 70.7            |
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Table 2: Clinical and diabetes related variables of the patients (n=246)

| Variable                  | Category | N  | (%) | Mean (SD) |
|---------------------------|----------|----|-----|-----------|
| Duration of diabetes (years) | < 7      | 160| 65.0| 7.1 (5.1) |
|                           | ≥ 7      | 86 | 35.0|           |
| Type of treatment         | Diet alone | 18 | 7.3 |           |
|                           | OHA      | 166| 67.5|           |
|                           | Insulin  | 62 | 25.2|           |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | Normal   | 110| 44.7|           |
|                           | Overweight| 95 | 38.6|           |
|                           | Obese    | 41 | 16.7|           |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | < 200    | 188| 76.4|           |
|                           | ≥ 200    | 58 | 23.6|           |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl), male | ≥ 40    | 71 | 55.0|           |
|                           | < 40     | 58 | 45.0|           |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl), female | ≥ 50    | 53 | 45.3|           |
|                           | < 50     | 64 | 54.7|           |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)   | < 100    | 135| 54.9|           |
|                           | ≥ 100    | 111| 45.1|           |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl)     | < 150    | 132| 53.7|           |
|                           | ≥ 150    | 114| 46.3|           |
| Dyslipidemia              | Yes      | 150| 61.0|           |
|                           | No       | 96 | 39.0|           |
| Albuminuria               | Positive | 50 | 20.3|           |
|                           | Negative | 196| 79.7|           |
| Blood pressure            | Normotensive | 133| 54.1|           |
|                           | Hypertensive| 113| 45.9|           |

Table 3: Logistic regression analysis of socio-demographic and clinical variables associated with poor glycemic control

| Variable                  | Category               | Poor glycemic control, N (%) | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Sex                       | Male                   | 87 (67.4)                   | 1.0         |         |
|                           | Female                 | 93 (79.5)                   | 1.9 (1.1-3.3) | <0.05'  |
| Age (years)               | < 40                   | 36 (59.0)                   | 1.0         |         |
|                           | 40-60                  | 96 (77.4)                   | 2.4 (1.2-4.6) | <0.01'  |
|                           | > 60                   | 48 (78.7)                   | 2.6 (1.2-5.7) | 0.021'  |
| Education (years)         | 0                      | 61 (84.7)                   | 3.2 (1.3-7.7) | <0.01'  |
|                           | 1-12                   | 91 (70.0)                   | 1.3 (0.7-2.7) | >0.05   |
|                           | > 12                   | 28 (63.6)                   | 1.0         |         |
| Khat chewing              | Khat chewers           | 114 (78.1)                  | 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) | <0.05'  |
|                           | Non-khat chewers       | 66 (66.0)                   | 1.0         |         |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | < 7       | 109 (68.1)                  | 1.0         |         |
|                           | ≥ 7                    | 71 (82.6)                   | 2.2 (1.2 – 4.2) | <0.05'  |
| Type of treatment         | OHA                   | 117 (70.5)                  | 1.0         |         |
|                           | Insulin               | 53 (85.5)                   | 2.5 (1.1 -5.4) | <0.05   |
| Albuminuria               | Positive              | 43 (86.0)                   | 2.7(1.1 – 6.2) | <0.05'  |
|                           | Negative              | 137 (69.9)                  | 1.0         |         |

OR : Odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, OHA: oral hypoglycemic agent, *significant at p < 0.05
It goes without saying that one’s income has a great impact on the type of diet he/she consumes. Thus, the critical economical situation and the absence of facilities and good environment will have strong effects on his/her ability to perform physical exercise, adhere to a medication regimen, and continuously monitor his/her blood glucose levels.

In agreement with other studies [9,17], the present study revealed that females with diabetes were more likely to have poor glycemic control than male patients. Females were shown to be more prone to neglect illnesses as compared with males [18]. Moreover, in some parts of the Yemeni community, women might not have complete freedom to dictate the family’s diet, exercise outside, acquire medicines in person and/or commit to monitoring their glucose levels regularly.

In addition, Arabic women usually have to attend to the needs of all family members, which can be taxing and negatively affect their ability to pursue the self-management targets in diabetes [19]. In the present work, older diabetic patients were found to be prone to have poor glycemic control. However, the evidence pertaining to age and its impact on glycemic control has been conflicting. While some reports agree with our findings [2,20], others do not [13,19]. Older patients normally have low medication adherence rates as they are more likely to have other chronic diseases or age-related physical and/or mental problems [21,22].

Besides, the classic symptoms of hyperglycemia tend to decrease or disappear in old age due to physiological changes associated with aging and complications arising from other co-morbidities [22]. According to West and Goldberg [23], mean knowledge scores decrease in diabetic patients by 3.0 % every 10 years. Trying to explain why self-management practices and medication adherence rates worsened as age progressed Huang and Davis cited a number of factors, including functional restriction, cognitive impairment, social isolation, diminished health literacy, and financial struggle [24].

The results obtained in this work in relation to diabetes-related factors were consistent with other studies [15,16] as longer duration of diabetes had previously been shown to be significantly associated with poor glycemic control. One explanation for this result is that, over time, diabetic patients become exhausted and stop caring about uncontrolled glucose levels or develop a lack of interest in pursuing optimal control. Moreover, both males [18] and females [2] are more likely to have other chronic complications arising from other co-morbidities [22]. Therefore, the critical economical situation and the absence of facilities and good environment will have strong effects on his/her ability to perform physical exercise, adhere to a medication regimen, and continuously monitor his/her blood glucose levels.

The results of the present study indicated that illiterate patients were at greater risk of having poor glycemic control when compared to those having a high level of education. This finding is consistent with other studies [2,16,23]. The lack of formal education seems to contribute to poor glycemic control in diabetic patients. This may be due to illiteracy leading to a low level of knowledge regarding disease management, which may result in bad self-management practices [24]. A high level of education, on the other hand, can allow a patient to acquire special skills related to problem-solving and may enhance his/her ability to cope with the disease, manage it and better control his/her blood glucose levels [2]. The present study revealed that the diabetic patients who chewed Khat were more likely to have poor glycemic control than male patients. Females were shown to be more prone to neglect illnesses as compared with males [18]. Moreover, in some parts of the Yemeni community, women might not have complete freedom to dictate the family’s diet, exercise outside, acquire medicines in person and/or commit to monitoring their glucose levels regularly.

In agreement with other studies [9,17], the present study revealed that females with diabetes were more likely to have poor glycemic control than male patients. Females were shown to be more prone to neglect illnesses as compared with males [18]. Moreover, in some parts of the Yemeni community, women might not have complete freedom to dictate the family’s diet, exercise outside, acquire medicines in person and/or commit to monitoring their glucose levels regularly.

The results obtained in this work in relation to diabetes-related factors were consistent with other studies [15,16] as longer duration of diabetes had previously been shown to be significantly associated with poor glycemic control. One explanation for this result is that, over time, diabetic patients become exhausted and stop caring about uncontrolled glucose levels or develop a lack of interest in pursuing optimal control. Moreover, both males [18] and females [2] are more likely to have other chronic complications arising from other co-morbidities [22]. Therefore, the critical economical situation and the absence of facilities and good environment will have strong effects on his/her ability to perform physical exercise, adhere to a medication regimen, and continuously monitor his/her blood glucose levels.

The results obtained in this work in relation to diabetes-related factors were consistent with other studies [15,16] as longer duration of diabetes had previously been shown to be significantly associated with poor glycemic control. One explanation for this result is that, over time, diabetic patients become exhausted and stop caring about uncontrolled glucose levels or develop a lack of interest in pursuing optimal control. Moreover, both males [18] and females [2] are more likely to have other chronic complications arising from other co-morbidities [22]. Therefore, the critical economical situation and the absence of facilities and good environment will have strong effects on his/her ability to perform physical exercise, adhere to a medication regimen, and continuously monitor his/her blood glucose levels.

Table 4: Association between self-management behavior and poor glycemic control

| Variable                        | N (%) | Poor glycemic control, N (%) | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Follow a healthy diet           |       |                             |             |         |
| Adequate                        | 79 (32.1) | 47 (59.5)                | 0.4 (0.2-0.7) | <0.001  |
| Inadequate                      | 167(67.9)| 133 (79.6)                | 1.0         |         |
| Engaging in physical exercise   |       |                             |             | <0.05   |
| Yes                             | 40 (16.3) | 24 (60.0)                 | 0.5 (0.2-1.0) |         |
| No                              | 206 (83.7)| 156 (75.7)                | 1.0         |         |
| Self-monitoring blood glucose   |       |                             |             | <0.05   |
| Yes                             | 58 (23.6) | 36 (62.1)                 | 0.5 (0.3-0.9) |         |
| No                              | 188 (76.4)| 144 (76.6)                | 1.0         |         |
| Adherence to medication         |       |                             |             | <0.05   |
| Highly adherent                 | 138 (56.1)| 94 (68.1)                 | 0.6 (0.3-1.0) |         |
| Non adherent                    | 108 (43.9)| 86 (79.6)                 | 1.0         |         |
| Foot care                       |       |                             |             |         |
| Yes                             | 56 (22.8) | 37 (66.1)                 | 0.7 (0.4-1.4) | 0.307   |
| No                              | 190 (77.2)| 143 (75.3)                | 1.0         |         |

The results obtained in this work in relation to diabetes-related factors were consistent with other studies [15,16] as longer duration of diabetes had previously been shown to be significantly associated with poor glycemic control. One explanation for this result is that, over time, diabetic patients become exhausted and stop caring about uncontrolled glucose levels or develop a lack of interest in pursuing optimal control. Moreover, both males [18] and females [2] are more likely to have other chronic complications arising from other co-morbidities [22]. Therefore, the critical economical situation and the absence of facilities and good environment will have strong effects on his/her ability to perform physical exercise, adhere to a medication regimen, and continuously monitor his/her blood glucose levels.
Several social, clinical and behavioral factors underlie the problem. Health professionals and decision makers should direct their efforts and interventions towards the empowerment of patients by providing appropriate educational sources that highlight the benefits of self-management in the context of disease treatment, control, and elimination of risk factors.
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