Collimated ultra-bright gamma-rays from electron wiggling along a petawatt-laser-irradiated wire in the QED regime
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Even though high-quality X and gamma-rays with photon energy below mega-electron-volt (MeV) are available from large scale X-ray free electron lasers and synchrotron radiation facilities, it remains a great challenge to generate bright gamma-rays over ten MeV. Recently, gamma-rays with energies up to MeV level were observed in Compton scattering experiments based on laser wakefield accelerators, but the yield efficiency was as low as 10⁻⁶, owing to low charge of the electron beam. Here, we propose a scheme to efficiently generate gamma-rays of hundreds of MeV from sub-micrometer wires irradiated by petawatt lasers, where electron accelerating and wiggling are achieved simultaneously. The wiggling is caused by the quasistatic electric and magnetic fields induced around the wire surface, and these are so high that even quantum electrodynamics (QED) effects become significant for gamma-ray generation, although the driving lasers are only at the petawatt level. Our full three-dimensional simulations show that directional, ultra-bright gamma-rays are generated, containing 10^{12} photons between 5 and 500 MeV within 10 femtosecond duration. The brilliance, up to 10^{27} photons s⁻¹ mm⁻² mm⁻² per 0.1% bandwidth at an average photon energy of 20 MeV, is the second only to X-ray free electron lasers, while the photon energy is 3 orders of magnitude higher than the latter. In addition, the gamma-ray yield efficiency approaches 10%, i.e., 5 orders of magnitude higher than the Compton scattering based on laser wakefield accelerators. Such high-energy, ultra-bright, femtosecond-duration gamma-rays may find applications in nuclear photons, radiotherapy, and laboratory astrophysics.
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Bright gamma-rays with energy above MeV are highly demanded in broad applications ranging from laboratory astrophysics (1), emerging nuclear photonics (2), photon-photon colliders (3), fine measurement of atomic nuclei (4), to radiotherapy (5). Even though diverse X and gamma-rays sources below MeV are available from large scale X-ray free electron lasers (XFEL) (6) and synchrotron radiation facilities (7, 8) as well as laser-driven compact synchrotron light sources (9) and high harmonic generation (10), it remains a great challenge to generate gamma-rays of ten MeV and beyond. These applications can potentially benefit from gamma-ray sources based upon laser wakefield acceleration (LWFA) (11). Via LWFA, giga-electron-volt (GeV) electron beams typically with duration of tens of femtoseconds (fs), transverse size of micrometers, and divergence of a few mrad are generated from gas plasma. Through betatron radiation (12–15) or Compton scattering (16–22) the beams are wiggled by electrostatic or/laser fields and then emit gamma-rays basically with similar duration, size, divergence to the beams. These cause high peak brilliance 10^{19} – 10^{23} photons s⁻¹ mm⁻² mm⁻² per 0.1% bandwidth. Mainly limited by wiggling field strengths, most gamma-ray photons are distributed in sub-MeV range. By increasing the scattering laser strength (19, 22) or frequency (18), the Compton photon energy can be enhanced to multi-MeV. However, both the energy conversion efficiency from the pulse to the gamma-rays and the resulting photon number are not high, typically around 10⁻⁶ for the conversion efficiency (17) and 10⁶ – 10⁷ photons (14, 15, 19⁷), respectively, due to low charges of ~pico-coulombs (pC) in LWFA beams and limited wiggling strengths.

To overcome these limits and further enhance the photon energy to the GeV range, we propose a scheme in which a currently-available petawatt (PW) laser pulse (23, 24) propagating along a petawatt-laser-irradiated wire in the QED regime.
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gates along a wire of sub-wavelength in transverse dimension, as shown in the schematic diagram in Fig. 1A. Note that such a target can be fabricated easily now by three-dimensional laser writing (25). Making use of the high density of the wire, a directional GeV electron beam with tens of nano-coulombs (nC) charge is generated along the wire surface. Meanwhile, electrostatic and magnetostatic fields induced at the surface are strong, which intensively wiggles the beam electrons. This leads to significant QED parameters of electrons (26) given by

\[ \chi = \gamma_e \sqrt{(E + v_e \times B)^2 - (v_e \times E)^2} / E_{Sch} \]

where \( \gamma_e \) and \( v_e \) represent electron relativistic factor and velocity normalized by the light speed \( c \), respectively, and \( E_{Sch} = 1.32 \times 10^{28} \text{V/m} \) is the Schwinger field strength. By QED synchrotron radiation from the GeV, nC beam, near 10% laser energy (10^5 higher than that based upon LWFA) is converted to directional gamma-rays, containing 10^{12} photons with energy near GeV according to our three-dimensional (3D) particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations. With the laser power \( P_0 \) ranging from 0.5 PW to 5 PW available currently, this scheme can robustly produce gamma-rays peaked at 1 GeV with the photon energy and number roughly scaling with \( P_0 \) and \( P_0^{1.2} \), respectively. Due to inheriting the fs-laser duration and wire width of sub-micron, the gamma-rays have a high brilliance second only to XFEL, while the average photon energy of 20 MeV is 3 orders of magnitude higher than XFEL, as shown in the chart of photon energy and brilliance of gamma-rays in Fig. 1B and Refs. (6–8).

![Fig. 1. Schematic of the wire scheme. (A) Schematic as a laser pulse propagates along a sub-wavelength wire and approaches its focusing plane (a distance behind the wire front to allow electron to guide and accelerate), electrons along the wire surface are gradually accelerated with reduced divergent angles, meanwhile, the electrons are wiggled perpendicularly to the surface, which causes gamma-rays emitted with increased photon energies and decreased divergent angles. (B) Chart of photon energy and brilliance (photons s^{-1} mrad^{-2} mm^{-2} per 0.1% bandwidth) of gamma-rays generated from our wire scheme, XFEL, synchrotron radiation facilities, and betatron radiation and Compton scattering based on LWFA.](image)

We show for the first time that the PW-laser-irradiated sub-wavelength wire drives both wiggling and accelerating of collimated electron beams of nC. Our scheme embraces both the merits of high directionality comparable to those based upon LWFA and high charge comparable to those based upon laser-solid interaction. Note that the wire accelerator has been studied (27, 28) and its application for terahertz radiation considered (29). Here, we show unique electron wiggling in the QED regime caused by the electrostatic and magnetostatic fields. This is different from nonlinear Compton scattering (30–32) or resonance acceleration (33) in the QED regime, which is driven directly by laser fields with powers above 10 PW. In a previous channel-like-target scheme with a PW laser pulse (34), the wiggling electrons are across the whole channel with the transverse size near the laser spot diameter and therefore the generated photons have emission angles of 40°, which results in not high brilliance. In our scheme the wiggling electrons are restricted around the wire surface, which enables the emitted photons to be peaked at small angles around 1° and thereby leads to extremely high brilliance. Very recently a scheme to generate GeV photons was proposed (35), where 12 laser pulses totally at 40 PW with proper pulse duration are required to reach the brilliance of 9 × 10^{24} photons s^{-1} mrad^{-2} mm^{-2} per 0.1% bandwidth.

![Fig. 2. Generated gamma-rays. Three-dimensional isosurfaces of (A) the laser field (\( mc觉醒/c \)) and (B) gamma-ray photon density (n_\gamma) at the time of 30 \( \tau_0 \), as well as the slices at the planes with respective peak values, where a 0.6\( \mu \)m-wide wire is taken. Note that the laser pulse peak arrives at the focusing plane at about 30 \( \tau_0 \). (C) Angular distributions and (D) energy spectra of gamma-rays emitted from the wire and a flat slab target, respectively.](image)

**Directional gamma-rays emitted from a sub-micron wire.** We first demonstrate the scheme sketched above (Figs. 1 and 2A) through 3D PIC simulations with the KLAPS code (36) including photon and pair generation via QED processes (32). The pulse propagates along the +x direction with \( y \)-direction polarization, wavelength \( \lambda_0 = 1\mu \text{m} \) (laser period \( \tau_0 = 2/\omega_0 = 3.333\text{fs} \)), peak power 2.5 PW, and duration 20 fs in full width at half maximum (FWHM). With an initial spot radius \( r_{init} = 6.12\mu \text{m} \) and amplitude \( a_{init} = 56 \) normalized by \( mc觉醒/c \) (the corresponding intensity 4.3 \times 10^{21} \text{W cm}^{-2} \), the pulse is located at 5 Rayleigh lengths (22.6 \text{\mu m}) ahead of the focusing plane. The spot radius at the focusing plane are expected to be \( r_0 = 1.2\mu \text{m} \) with \( a_0 = 285 \) in the vacuum. An aluminium wire of cuboid is taken with 50 \( \mu \text{m} \) long in the x direction and 0.6 \( \mu \text{m} \) wide, which is placed 2.4
which induces magnetostatic fields ($m_e c_0 e/\alpha$). Electrons along $+z$, with $\alpha > 0$, and negative at $\alpha < 0$. For the electrons along $+z$ direction, the magnetic force is opposite to the electric force, which can result in electron wiggling along the $y$ direction with the force $-eE_y$. With $v_{ce} \approx 1$, the wiggling field around the surfaces $y \approx \pm 0.3 \mu m$ can be written by $F_{wigg} \approx E_y - B_z$. Note that contributions of laser electric and magnetic fields to $F_{wigg}$ and resulting $\chi$ are counteracted (37) when $v_{ce} \approx 1$. Similarly one can write $F_{wigg} \approx E_y^2 + B_z^2$ around the surfaces $z \approx \pm 0.3 \mu m$. To clarify further whether $F_{wigg}$ can lead to effective wiggling motion, we analyze its distribution across the wire. Formation of the electrostatic and magnetostatic fields can be described by $\partial E_y/\partial y + \partial E_y^2/\partial z = 2\pi(n_i - n_e)$ and $\partial B_z^2/\partial y - \partial B_z^2/\partial z = 2\pi J_x$, where $E_y$, $B_z$, static $J_y$, and $J_z$ are relatively weak as observed in our PIC simulation. Here $n_i$ and $n_e$ are normalized by $n_c$, $J_x$ by $c e n_c$, and fields by $m_e c_0 e/\alpha$. According to our PIC simulation, we find that $E_y$, $B_z$, $E_y^2$, $B_z^2$, static $J_y$, and $J_z$ are roughly constant at the surface with a given $z$ since the wire width are much smaller than the laser spot diameter (similarly, one can see in Figs. 3A and C that $E_y^2$, $B_z^2$, $E_y^2/\partial y$, $E_y^2/\partial z$, and $B_z^2/\partial y$, and $B_z^2/\partial z$ are roughly constant at the surface with a given $y$). Then, $E_y/\partial z \approx 2\pi(n_i - n_e)$, and $B_z^2/\partial y = 2\pi(J_x - \alpha_2)$ at a given $z_0$, where $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ satisfy $E_y^2/\partial z \approx \pm 2\alpha_1$ and $B_z^2/\partial z \approx -2\alpha_2$. One can obtain:

$$\partial F_{wigg}/\partial y \approx 2\pi(n_i - n_e - J_x - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = 2\rho_{eff}.$$  \[1\]

According to this equation, one can understand Figs. 3E and F, where we simply take $\alpha_1 = 40$ and $\alpha_2 = 30$ to satisfy neutrality at $y = 0$ (at the wire center). Note that basically $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|$ is far smaller than $|n_i - n_e|$ and $|J_x|$, so that the effective charge density $\rho_{eff}$ is mainly determined by $n_i - n_e - J_x$. Around the wire center, $\rho_{eff} \approx 0$; Increasing $|y|$ electrons are piled up by laser radiation pressure with $n_i > n_e$ and return currents are mainly located this region with $J_x > 0$, and consequently $\rho_{eff} < 0$; Further increasing $|y|$ and close to the surface, wire electrons are stripped with $n_i \sim 0$, there are well-guided beams in the ion channel with $J_x < 0$, and thus $\rho_{eff} \approx n_i - J_x > 0$ (Fig. 3F).

Such $\rho_{eff}$ generates effective wiggling fields $F_{wigg}$ shown in...
with $\nu_{e,x} \simeq 1$ can be simplified as
\[ \chi \simeq \gamma_e|E^S_y - B^S_z|/E_{Sch}, \]
for the wiggling along the y direction. According to Eq. \(2\),
with $|E^S_y - B^S_z| \simeq 50$, $\gamma_e \simeq 1957$ read from Figs. \(4A\) and \(C\),
one can calculate $\chi = 0.23$ in agreement with Fig. \(4C\).

Fig. 4. Trace of typical electrons. Evolution for an electron from the 0.6 $\mu$m (A and C) and 0.3 $\mu$m wires (B and D), respectively, is shown of the transverse position $y$ ($\mu$m), $E_y - B_z|/\max(E_y, B_z)$, divergence angle $\theta$ (units of 30°), energy $\varepsilon$ (units of 5 GeV), and QED parameter $\chi$, where we plot $y + 0.3$ in (A) since the electron wiggles around $-0.3 \mu$m.

**Electron wiggling motion around the wire surface.** The trajectory and energy evolution for an electron located around the wire surface $y \simeq -0.3 \mu$m are plotted in Figs. \(4A\) and \(C\). One can see in Fig. \(4A\) that the field $E_y - B_z$ experienced by the electron significantly varies as $y$ slightly changes.

Note that the electron moves along with the laser pulse at $\nu_{e,x} \simeq 1$. Therefore, its wiggling motion is driven by the static fields rather than the laser fields. As the pulse moves to the focusing plane around $x = 26 \mu$m, the electron energy $\varepsilon$ grows gradually to $>1$ GeV with increasing QED parameter $\chi$ and decreasing emission angles $\theta$ (Fig. \(4C\)). Around the focusing plane, the strongest emission arises with the largest $\chi \simeq 0.2$ accompanied with the smallest $\theta \simeq 1^\circ$ and therefore the gamma-rays have the angle peak around $1^\circ$ (see Fig. \(2C\)) and angular distributions of beam electrons in Figs. \(6A\) and \(C\). One can notice that $\varepsilon$ significantly jumps down a few times around $x = 26 \mu$m when high-energy photons are emitted. At later, both $\varepsilon$ and $\chi$ decrease while $\theta$ increases. From Fig. \(4C\), one can also calculate the effective wiggler strength: $K = 61$ around $x = 10 \mu$m; it increases to 123 as the energy is enhanced to 1 GeV around $x = 26 \mu$m; then it decreases.

To optimize the gamma-ray emission for efficient yield and directionality, we take the laser focusing plane a distance behind the wire front-end. This allows a distance to accelerate and generate well-guided GeV beam before reaching the highest laser intensity, where the largest $\chi$ is achieved and a small emission angle $\theta$ maintained. The QED parameter $\chi = \gamma_e \sqrt{(E + \nu_e \times \mathbf{B})^2 - (\nu_e - \mathbf{E})^2}/E_{Sch}$ (26) of an electron

Fig. 5. Dependency of gamma-ray generation on laser powers and wire widths. Angular distributions of gamma-rays with different wire widths (A) and under different laser powers (B), where \(\times 10\) in the legend means the photon number multiplied by a factor of 10. Energy conversion efficiency of the gamma-rays versus wire widths (C) and laser powers (D). (E) Energy spectra of gamma-rays at 50 $\gamma_e$ under different laser powers. In (A and C), the laser power is fixed at 2.5 PW. In (B, D, and E), the wire width is fixed at 0.6 $\mu$m.

**Scaling laws of photon energy and number.** We examine the dependence of photon emission on the wire width and laser power. Figure 5 indicates that our scheme works well with the width ranging from 0.4 $\mu$m and 1 $\mu$m and the power from 0.5 PW to 5 PW available currently (23, 24) (note that the angular distributions in the 0.4 $\mu$m and 1 $\mu$m cases are similar to 0.5 $\mu$m and 0.8 $\mu$m, respectively, shown in Fig. 5A). In particular, even at 0.5 PW the gamma-ray brilliance can reach $1.2 \times 10^{26}$ photons s$^{-1}$ nrad$^{-2}$ mm$^{-2}$ per 0.1% bandwidth at 6 MeV. The conversion efficiency is decreased to 1.6% and photon energy is lowered in this case (Fig. 5E) because $\chi$ is decreased. Besides, when the wire width is changed from 0.5 $\mu$m to 0.8 $\mu$m, very similar angular distributions and conversion efficiency are achieved, suggesting that this scheme is robust.

While the width is too small, e.g., 0.1 $\mu$m, the wire is completely destructed by the laser fields and electrons move like in the vacuum. Hence, the gamma-rays have high divergence and low conversion efficiency. When increasing the width to 0.3 $\mu$m, the wire structure can be kept before the pulse approaches its focusing plane and therefore, electrons are first wiggled around the wire surface (Fig. 4B). At later electrons cross the wire center with large angles when strongest radiation occurs due to $\varepsilon$ and $\chi$ at the maximums (Figs. 4B and D).
This causes the gamma-rays peaked at a larger angle than the 0.6 µm wire case (Fig. 5A). These can be seen more clearly in Fig. 6, where spatial, angular, energy distributions of electrons are plotted. In the 0.6 µm case (Figs. 6A and C), the higher-energy electrons are distributed around the wire surface and peaked at 1°, which have nC charge (we circle these electrons in Figs. 6A and C). They are wiggled on one side of the surface and then strongly emit gamma-rays around 1°.

In the 0.3 µm case (Figs. 6B and D), however, the electrons are peaked around 10° and mainly located at the wire center.

Figure 5C shows that the conversion efficiency decreases with the wire width when it is larger than 0.3 µm. With the wire width above 0.3 µm, the wire structure can be kept even at the laser focusing plane. However, the laser pulse can be considerably blocked by the wire since it cannot enter the wire interior, which becomes more significant with the increasing width. This leads to the decrease of the laser absorption and conversion efficiency.

Fig. 6. Generated electron beams. The number (units of $10^{10}$) of electrons with energies above 10 MeV as a function of $(\theta, \phi)$ at 30 $\mu m$, where insets in each plot show number distributions at angles of 1°, 10°, 30°, 120° corresponding to curves in different colors. The left and right columns correspond to 0.6 µm and 0.3 µm wires, respectively. In (A and C) the electron beam at the angle about 1° is circled.

To further understand Figs. 5D and E, we analyze the scaling of the photon energy and number with the laser intensity or amplitude $a_0$. The electron beam energy can roughly be given by $\langle \gamma_e \rangle \approx 3.13a_0\exp(-\lambda_0^2/16\alpha_0^2)$ according to Ref. (28), which predicts the value 437 MeV close to the peak energy 650 MeV shown in Fig. 6C. Then, Eq. 2 can be rewritten by $\langle \chi \rangle \approx 3.13a_0\exp(-\lambda_0^2/16\alpha_0^2)/F_{\text{wigg}}^2/E_{\text{wigg}}$. In our case with the peak intensity around $10^{22} - 10^{23}$ W cm$^{-2}$ and the wire width below $\lambda_0$, the electrons on the wire surface are completely stripped and therefore, the static field strength or $F_{\text{wigg}}^2$ depends strongly upon the wire charge density and weakly upon the laser intensity. When the wire parameter is fixed and the laser power $P_0$ is adopted within 0.5 to 5 PW, one can roughly take $F_{\text{wigg}}^2$ as a value about 50 according to our simulations and then $\langle \chi \rangle \approx 0.00037a_0$. To obtain photon data, one can use the theory of synchrotron radiation (30, 37), which is general when the acceleration field of an electron is given in its rest frame, i.e., $\chi$. The emitted photons have an average energy $\langle \varepsilon_{\text{ph}} \rangle \approx 0.44\langle \chi \rangle \gamma_{e}\mu m C^2 \approx 0.000245a_0^2$ [MeV] and the photon generation rate per electron is $1.4 \times 10^{13}\langle \varepsilon_{\text{ph}} \rangle \approx 4.2 \times 10^{13}a_0$. With $P_0 = 5, 2.5, 1, 0.5$ PW, $\langle \varepsilon_{\text{ph}} \rangle$ is calculated as 40, 20, 8, 4 MeV, respectively, which reasonably agrees with our simulation results: 31, 20, 13, 6 MeV. To obtain the photon number, we count the number $N_p$ of electrons above 10 MeV in our simulations and find a rough scaling $N_p \propto a_0^2$. We assume that beam electrons have nearly the same efficient radiation time with $P_0$ ranging from 0.5 PW to 5 PW. This is because the laser spot size is much larger than the wire width, therefore, the wire slightly affects the evolution of the pulses with different high powers. Then, the photon number follows $N_p \propto a_0^2$ which agrees with our simulation results: $2.8 \times 10^{12}, 1.24 \times 10^{17}, 3.6 \times 10^{11},$ and $1.6 \times 10^{12}$ photons with 5, 2.5, 1, and 0.5 PW, respectively. Then, one can obtain the conversion efficiency $\eta \propto a_0^2$, which is in reasonable agreement with the results shown in Fig. 5D.

Discussion. We propose a scheme to provide a compact ultrabright gamma-ray source with photon energy ranging to GeV. This is achieved with a PW-laser-irradiated sub-wavelength solid wire, which can drive both accelerating of nC, GeV electron beams and their wiggling in the QED regime. The electrostatic and magnetostatic fields induced by the incident laser pulse around the wire surface are responsible for the wiggling of energetic beam electrons. Due to high density of the wire, the quasistatic fields are so high that the GeV electrons are with QED parameters $\chi \sim 0.1$ even with laser power of 0.5 PW. Therefore, the synchrotron radiation is produced uniquely in the QED regime, leading to ultra-bright, high-energy, few-mrad-divergence gamma-rays peaked at 1°. The average photon energy scales with $a_0^2$ as well as the photon number and conversion efficiency scale with $a_0^2$. The results are supported by 3D PIC simulations and theoretical analysis. Our scheme embraces the merits of high directivity, high charge, small transverse size, and short duration in generated electron beams, which are inherited by the gamma-rays.

We have taken the laser focusing plane behind the wire fore-end, allowing an acceleration distance to generate a well-guided GeV beam before the largest $\chi$ appears at the focusing plane. This puts forward a requirement on proper alignment between the wire and the laser in experiments (see the Supplemental Material). To fully apply this scheme and generate gamma-rays with energy ranging to 100 MeV, the laser intensity on the focusing plane needs to reach $10^{22}$ W cm$^{-2}$. Therefore, we have taken a spot radius of 1.2µm. Although such tight focusing can be achieved in experiments (38), it is a challenge for most PW-class laser systems. With a larger spot radius, our scheme still works, even though the photon energy will be reduced (see the Supplemental Material). Additionally, another possible challenge is the laser contrast. If the contrast ratio is low, the laser prepulses may damage the wire front. Since our scheme requires the pulse to be focused behind the front-end, one could take a relatively long wire and shift backwards the focusing plane along the wire according to the contrast condition.

Note that our scheme is different from the betatron radiation in a gas target (12–14). Our scheme involves a solid target with much higher density, which causes that the effective wiggling field is several orders of magnitude higher and the generated beam charge is also much higher. Then, the radiation can enter the QED regime, which is not the case with the normal betatron scheme. Therefore, both energies and yield efficiency of photons are much higher in our scheme. Besides,
in the betatron scheme the electrons are juggled mainly by an electrostatic field and they cross the target center. In our scheme, the electrons are juggled by electrostatic and magnetostatic fields within a small space around the target surface and do not cross the target center.

Methods

Numerical simulation design. To guide electron beams, the laser pulse propagates along a subwavelength (along the +z direction) and the laser spot center coincides with the wire section center. We take a cuboid wire to reduce the computation. The laser focusing plane is located 22 μm behind the front-end of the wire. When the laser approaches its focusing plane, the electrons are gradually accelerated and their divergence angles are reduced. Around the focusing plane, the electron energies become the highest and the angles turn the divergence angles are reduced. The numerical simulation design is taken to calculate photon and pair generation with enough accuracy. The numerical noise in our simulations is well controlled. When the laser approaches its focusing plane, the electrons are gradually accelerated and their divergence angles are reduced. Around the focusing plane, the electron energies become the highest and the angles turn.
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