Carotid Intima-Media Thickness but Not Carotid Artery Plaque in Healthy Individuals Is Linked to Lean Body Mass
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Background—Lean body mass has been identified as a key determinant of left ventricular mass and wall thickness. However, the importance of lean body mass or other body-size measures as normative determinants of carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT), a widely used early indicator of atherosclerosis, has not been well established.

Methods and Results—Carotid artery ultrasound measurements of cIMT and carotid artery plaque burden (derived from plaque number and maximum size) and measurements of body size, including height, body mass index, weight, body fat proportion, and lean body mass [(1− body fat proportion) × weight], were recorded in 25 020 participants from 10 regions of China. Analyses were restricted to a healthy younger subset (n=6617) defined as never or long-term ex-regular smokers aged <60 years (mean age, 50) without previous ischemic heart disease, stroke, diabetes mellitus, or hypertension and with plasma non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol <4 mmol/L. Among these 6617 participants, 86% were women (because most men smoked) and 9% had carotid artery plaque. In both women and men separately, lean body mass was strongly positively associated with cIMT, but was not associated with plaque burden: overall, each 10 kg higher lean body mass was associated with a 0.03 (95% CI, 0.03–0.04) mm higher cIMT (P=5×10−33). Fat mass, height, and other body-size measures were more weakly associated with cIMT.

Conclusions—The strong association of lean body mass with cIMT, but not with plaque burden, in healthy adults suggests a normative relationship rather than reflecting atherosclerotic pathology. Common mechanisms may underlie the associations of lean body mass with cIMT and with nonatherosclerotic vascular traits. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2019;8:e011919. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.118.011919.)
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Atherosclerosis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality globally, and there is a need to detect and treat it as early as possible.1 Carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) is a measure of hypertrophy of the wall of the carotid arteries involving thickening of the intima and media layers and is a widely used, noninvasive early indicator of subclinical vascular disease.2 Carotid plaque is a focal thickening of localized regions of the intimal layer of the arterial wall that may progress and cause stenosis of the affected artery or rupture and result in embolism to the brain.2,3 The burden of carotid atherosclerosis increases strongly with age, and measures of carotid plaque are stronger predictors than cIMT of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in middle-aged and older adults.2,4–6 In children and young adults, carotid plaque is rare...
and cIMT is an important earlier marker of atherosclerotic risk in individuals with comorbidities associated with high risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD).7–9

Mean cIMT increases progressively from childhood until adulthood with increasing age, height, and body mass index (BMI) and varies by ethnicity.7,10–12 In addition, recent studies of obese individuals have suggested that lean body mass is the strongest determinant of ethnic differences in cIMT.13,14 Whether such associations reflect normal vascular adaptation or disease-related changes is unclear, particularly in studies among older or less healthy individuals.7,10 Lean body mass has also been identified as the chief body-size risk factor for left ventricular mass and atrial fibrillation, raising the possibility of a mechanistic link.15–19

A systematic search for studies examining the relationship of cIMT to ethnicity, height, and lean body mass in younger, healthy populations11,13,14,20–33 (Data S1; Tables S1 and S2) found positive associations of height with cIMT in the 3 largest studies in young people (≈1700 children and adolescents).14,29–31 No studies have investigated associations of lean body mass with cIMT in healthy children, but 1 small study of young adults and 2 studies of overweight individuals reported positive associations of lean body mass with cIMT.13,14 There was strong evidence for variation in cIMT by ethnicity, with higher mean levels of cIMT in blacks than in whites. The 1 study also reporting on carotid artery plaque found that cIMT, but not plaque, was higher in blacks than whites, suggesting that ethnic differences in cIMT may not be indicators of early atherosclerosis.34 Further studies are needed to distinguish the determinants of normative values of cIMT from those of early atherosclerosis. The present report addresses this gap by examining the association of body-size measures with both cIMT and carotid artery plaque in a large number of healthy individuals.

This report aims to (1) investigate the relationship of lean body mass and other body-size measures to cIMT and carotid artery plaque in a healthy subset of 6617 participants aged <60 years from within a study of 25 020 adults recruited from 10 diverse and geographically distinct regions of China and (2) investigate whether lean body mass could account for regional variation in cIMT (as a proxy for variation attributable to ancestry).35

Methods

Procedures for requesting the analytical methods and data tables that support the findings of this study are available on the Nuffield Department of Population Health website (https://www.ndph.ox.ac.uk/files/about/ndph-data-access-policy.pdf).36

Study Population

Details of the design and methods of the China Kadoorie Biobank (CKB) have been previously reported.37 Overall, 512 891 adults aged 30 to 79 years were enrolled during 2004–2008 from 10 diverse regions in China. In the baseline survey, information was collected on demographic and socioeconomic status, lifestyle behaviors, and medical history using interviewer-administered questionnaires. Ethical approval for the CKB Study was obtained from the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee at the University of Oxford (OXTREC) and the Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Ethical Review Committee. Ethical approval for the 2013–2014 resurvey was obtained from both OXTREC and the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College Ethical Review committees. Approval for both the main study and the resurvey was also granted by the institutional boards at the CDCs in each of the survey sites. All participants provided written informed consent.

A resurvey of a random sample of 25 020 surviving participants conducted in 2013–2014 included a repeat of the baseline questionnaire and clinical measurements, plus additional clinical measurements not included at baseline. Measurements were recorded and blood samples collected in the nonfasting state. Body fat proportion was estimated using a bioelectrical impedance analyser (Data S1). Fat mass was calculated as body fat proportion multiplied by total body weight, and fat free mass (referred to as lean body mass in
this report) was calculated as \(1 - \text{body fat proportion}\) multiplied by total body weight.

Automated B-mode ultrasound screening of the extracranial carotid arteries (by sonographers using a Panasonic Cardio-Health Station) recorded measurements of the mean cIMT of the common carotid artery, the number of carotid plaques (defined as focal thickenings of intima-media > 1.5 mm, consistent with the Mannheim consensus\(^2\) and preplaques (>1.0 and ≤1.5 mm), and thickness of the largest plaque. Repeat measurements by a radiologist in a subset yielded an overall quality score of 85%, reflecting the extent to which the radiologists agreed with the sonographers (as previously reported\(^3\)). Plaque measurements were combined to form a plaque burden (in mm, interpretable as an enhanced estimate of the maximum plaque thickness), as previously described.\(^3\)\(^8\) Other measurements available included anthropometric and adiposity measures, blood pressure, blood total and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentrations, forced expiratory volume in 1 second, forced vital capacity, hand-grip strength, arterial stiffness, and heel bone mineral density (Data S1).

The study population for the present report was defined as the 6617 participants with carotid measurements who were aged < 60 years at resurvey and who had: no previous history of CVD (heart disease, stroke, or transient ischemic attack); no previous history of diabetes mellitus (reported diagnosis, detected from blood glucose at resurvey or identified from medical records during previous follow-up); no reported diagnosis of hypertension and with systolic blood pressure (SBP) < 160 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) < 100 mm Hg; were not regular smokers at either baseline or resurvey and had not stopped smoking because of disease; and who had non-HDL cholesterol at resurvey < 4 mmol/L. Age < 60 years

Table 1. Characteristics of the Study Population at Resurvey

| Characteristic at Resurvey | Women | Men | Total |
|---------------------------|-------|-----|-------|
| **Demography**            |       |     |       |
| N                         | 5676  | 941 | 6617  |
| Age, y                    |       |     |       |
| <50                       | 2971  | 485 | 3456  | (52%) |
| ≥50                       | 2705  | 456 | 3161  | (48%) |
| Mean age, y               | 49.7  | 49.8| 49.7  | (5.3) |
| Urban residence           | 2096  | 346 | 2442  | (37%) |
| Rural residence           | 3580  | 595 | 4175  | (63%) |
| **Major cardiovascular disease risk factors** | | | |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 124 (14.1) | 127 (12.6) | 124 (13.9) |
| Ex-regular smoker         | 7 (0%) | 208 (22%) | 215 (3%) |
| Non-HDL cholesterol, mmol/L | 2.43 (0.76) | 2.47 (0.72) | 2.43 (0.75) |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L   | 1.44 (0.44) | 1.24 (0.42) | 1.41 (0.44) |
| **Body-size measures**    |       |     |       |
| Height, cm                | 155 (5.8) | 166 (6.6) | 157 (7.1) |
| Weight, kg                | 57.4 (8.8) | 67.3 (10.4) | 58.8 (9.7) |
| Body mass index, kg/m²    | 23.9 (3.2) | 24.3 (3.0) | 23.9 (3.2) |
| Waist circumference, cm   | 81.6 (8.8) | 86.3 (9.0) | 82.3 (9.0) |
| Waist-hip ratio           | 0.91 (0.08) | 0.96 (0.08) | 0.92 (0.08) |
| Body fat, %               | 29.3 (6.4) | 18.8 (5.9) | 27.8 (7.3) |
| Fat body mass, kg         | 17.3 (6.0) | 13.1 (5.5) | 16.7 (6.1) |
| Lean body mass, kg        | 40.2 (4.0) | 54.2 (6.4) | 42.2 (6.6) |
| **Carotid measures**      |       |     |       |
| cIMT, mm                  | 0.59 (0.10) | 0.63 (0.11) | 0.60 (0.10) |
| Carotid plaque burden, mm | 0.20 (0.54) | 0.31 (0.65) | 0.22 (0.56) |
| Plaque (>1.5 mm) present  | 490 (9%) | 129 (14%) | 619 (9%) |

Data are mean (SD) or number (%) of participants. The 6617 participants included are never or long-term ex-regular smokers, aged < 60 years, without previous ischemic heart disease, stroke, diabetes mellitus, or hypertension, having plasma non-HDL cholesterol < 4 mmol/L. cIMT indicates carotid intima-media thickness; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.
was included as a criterion because age is a strong risk factor for atherosclerosis, even in the absence of the other risk factors. After exclusion of 49 participants missing body fat proportion or non-HDL cholesterol and 6 participants with extreme BMI (<15 or >40 kg/m²) or extreme waist circumference (<50 or >125 cm), 6617 healthy younger participants remained as the analysis population. Older and unhealthy participants without previous CVD were included in a sensitivity analysis.

**Statistical Analysis**

Analyses were based on measurements recorded at the resurvey in 2013–2014. For each of lean body mass, SBP, and non-HDL cholesterol, 5 groups were defined by quintiles of their respective distributions within each sex. Residuals of DBP were computed after adjustment for SBP (denoted “DBP given SBP”), in order to indicate any additional predictive value of DBP over SBP. In results presented per SD of cIMT and plaque burden, SDs computed over healthy participants aged <60 years were used (irrespective of the subset presented).

All regression models were linear and, where appropriate, were adjusted for the interaction of 5-year age group, sex and region, and cardiovascular risk factors (SBP and DBP, non-HDL cholesterol, and smoker status [former versus never]). Percentage of variation in carotid measures explained by various adjustments (from the factors listed in Table S3) was assessed using ANOVA type 1 sums-of-squares analyses. Correlations of the mean lean body mass levels within regions (after adjustment for age and smoking status) with the adjusted mean levels of the carotid measures and cardiovascular risk factors within regions were estimated separately for each sex using Pearson correlation coefficients. An indication of the robustness of the correlations was provided by the range (minimum and maximum) of the correlations when 1 region at a time was omitted. All analyses used SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) or R software (version 3.4.2; R Foundation for Statistical Analysis, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

**Characteristics of the Study Population**

In the analysis population of 6617 healthy participants aged <60 years, 86% were women (because most men were

---

### Table 1: Sex-specific associations of body-size measures with carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) and carotid plaque burden

|                  | Women (n=5676) |                   | Men (n=941) |                   |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
|                  | Carotid intima-media thickness difference per 1 SD higher body size measure | Carotid plaque burden difference per 1 SD higher body size measure |
| **SD**           | **5.8**        | **4.0**          | **6.6**    | **4.5**          |
| Standing height, cm | 0.008 (0.006, 0.011) | -0.006 (-0.021, 0.009) |
| Sitting height, cm  | 0.004 (0.001, 0.006) | -0.018 (-0.034, -0.003) |
| Leg length, cm     | 0.007 (0.004, 0.009) | 0.007 (0.007, 0.021) |
| Weight, kg         | 0.012 (0.010, 0.015) | -0.009 (-0.024, 0.006) |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 0.009 (0.006, 0.011) | -0.006 (-0.021, 0.008) |
| Waist circumference, cm | 0.010 (0.007, 0.012) | -0.002 (-0.017, 0.012) |
| Waist-hip ratio    | 0.004 (0.002, 0.007) | -0.000 (-0.015, 0.014) |
| Body fat percentage, % | 0.006 (0.003, 0.008) | -0.006 (-0.022, 0.009) |
| Fat body mass, kg   | 0.009 (0.007, 0.012) | -0.008 (-0.023, 0.007) |
| Lean body mass, kg  | 0.013 (0.011, 0.016) | -0.008 (-0.023, 0.007) |

---

**Figure 1.** Sex-specific associations of body-size measures with carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) and carotid plaque burden. Associations are adjusted for age, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, non-HDL cholesterol, smoking status and region. HDL indicates high-density lipoprotein.
smokers), mean age was 50 years, 63% lived in rural areas, and mean BMI was 24 kg/m² (Table 1). Mean cIMT was 0.60 (0.10) mm, 9% had carotid plaque, and mean carotid plaque burden was 0.22 (0.56) mm.

Body-Size Measures and Carotid Measures

After adjustment for age, sex, region, and cardiovascular risk factors (SBP, DBP, non-HDL cholesterol, and smoking status) most body-size measures were strongly positively associated with cIMT, but showed no association with carotid plaque burden (Figure 1). Among the measures considered, lean body mass had the strongest positive association with cIMT in both women (0.013; 95% CI, 0.011–0.016; mm cIMT per SD lean body mass, P=8×10⁻²⁷) and men (0.018; 0.010–0.025; P=2×10⁻⁶); weight had a similar strength of association, whereas other anthropometric measures, such as fat body mass, height, and BMI also had positive, but weaker, associations with cIMT (Figure 1). The associations of lean body mass and weight with cIMT remained strong after adjustment for each of the other body-size measures individually (all remaining P<0.01). By contrast, the associations of the other body-size measures after adjustment for lean body mass were all P>0.01.

This discordant pattern of association of the body-size measures with the 2 carotid measures was in marked contrast with the pattern of association of the cardiovascular risk factors, such as SBP and non-HDL cholesterol, which were positively associated with both of the carotid measures to a similar extent (Figure 2). This distinction between body-size measures and cardiovascular risk factors in their associations with the 2 carotid measures was also apparent in a sensitivity analysis considering both healthy and unhealthy participants (Figure S1).

Figure 2. Concordant associations of cardiovascular risk factors with carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) and carotid plaque burden, but discordant associations of body-size measures with cIMT and plaque burden. All associations are adjusted for age, sex, and region; associations of body-size measures are additionally adjusted for the cardiovascular risk factors. The size of each square is proportional to the amount of statistical information. SDs of body-size measures were computed separately in men and women. DBP indicates diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
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Variation in Carotid Measures

Analysis of variance was conducted to identify all factors contributing to variation in the carotid measures in this healthy population selected to have low levels of cardiovascular risk factors. In the 5592 women with complete data on all covariates, age explained 15.5% of the variance in cIMT, major cardiovascular risk factors explained a further 2.8%, and region explained a further 6.2%. After adjustment for these factors, lean body mass explained 2% of the residual variance; other body-size measures explained smaller amounts and were the only other factors explaining at least 0.5% of the residual variance (Table 2). The pattern in the 924 men was similar, with lean body mass explaining 2.3% of the residual variance; other body-size measures explained smaller amounts, but, in addition, arterial stiffness accounted for 1% of the variance. For carotid plaque burden, in women, age explained 4.7% of the variance, major cardiovascular risk factors explained a further 1.2%, and region explained a further 6.4%. In men, age explained 9.4% of the variance, major cardiovascular risk factors explained a further 0.7%, and region explained a further 8.3%. No other factors explained at least 0.5% of the residual variance of carotid plaque burden in either women or men.

Figure 2. Concordant associations of cardiovascular risk factors with carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) and carotid plaque burden, but discordant associations of body-size measures with cIMT and plaque burden. All associations are adjusted for age, sex, and region; associations of body-size measures are additionally adjusted for the cardiovascular risk factors. The size of each square is proportional to the amount of statistical information. SDs of body-size measures were computed separately in men and women. DBP indicates diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
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Table 2. Percentage of Variation in Carotid Intima-Media Thickness and Carotid Plaque Burden Explained by Factors

| Adjustment | Carotid Intima-media Thickness | Carotid Plaque Burden |
|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
|            | Percentage of Variation Explained | Percentage of Variation Explained |
| Women (n=5591) |                               |                        |
| Age        | 15.5%                         | 4.7%                  |
| +Major CVD risk factors | 18.3%           | 5.9%                  |
| +Region    | 24.5%                         | 12.3%                 |
| After adjustment for age, CVD risk factors, and region |             |                        |
| Lean body mass | 2.0%                      |                        |
| Weight     | 1.6%                          |                        |
| Waist circumference | 1.1%            |                        |
| Fat mass   | 0.9%                          |                        |
| Body mass index | 0.9%            |                        |
| Standing height | 0.7%          |                        |
| Leg length | 0.5%                          |                        |
| Men (n=924) |                               |                        |
| Age        | 13.6%                         | 9.4%                  |
| +Major CVD risk factors | 17.6%           | 10.1%                 |
| +Region    | 23.5%                         | 18.4%                 |
| After adjustment for age, CVD risk factors, and region |             |                        |
| Lean body mass | 2.3%                      |                        |
| Weight     | 1.7%                          |                        |
| Body mass index | 1.7%            |                        |
| Arterial stiffness | 1.0%          |                        |
| Waist circumference | 0.8%          |                        |

Percentages given in the age, major CVD risk factors, and region rows are of total variation in carotid measure; percentages given in other rows are of the remaining variation in the carotid measure after adjustment for age, major CVD risk factors, and region. The table includes variables that explain at least 0.5% of variation in carotid measure. The list of variables available for selection is given in Table S3. Eighty-five of the 6617 participants were excluded because of missing covariates. CVD indicates cardiovascular disease.

Quantitative Relationships Between Lean Body Mass and cIMT
The associations of lean body mass with cIMT correspond to a trend of 0.033 (95% CI, 0.027–0.038) mm higher cIMT per 10 kg higher lean body mass \( (P=5\times10^{-3}) \) and a lack of statistically significant association with plaque burden (Figure 3). There was no heterogeneity in the association with cIMT by region or sex (Figure 4).

Regional Variation in Carotid Measures
Region accounted for 6% of the variation in cIMT in both women and men and also for 6% and 8% of the variation in carotid plaque burden in women and men, respectively (Table 2). To investigate whether these percentages of variance could be attributable to the differences in lean body mass across regions, the means of lean body mass, carotid measures, and cardiovascular risk factors within each region are shown in Table 3. There was a strong positive correlation \( (r=0.79) \) between mean lean body mass and mean cIMT across regions in the 5676 women, which was much stronger than the correlation between mean lean body mass and mean plaque burden across regions \( (r=0.34) \). These correlations were robust when 1 region at a time was omitted (Table 3). In the 941 men, the correlation of mean lean body mass with mean cIMT was also positive, but weaker \( (r=0.40) \), and more similar to the correlation with carotid plaque burden \( (r=0.27) \). Correlation coefficients between the mean lean body mass in regions and the means of non-HDL cholesterol and SBP were also around this absolute level. The number of regions may be insufficient to draw an interpretation from the moderate correlations in the more-limited number of men.

Discussion
In healthy middle-aged adults aged <60 years, lean body mass was strongly positively associated with cIMT, but was not associated with carotid plaque burden. This suggests that the association of lean body mass with cIMT reflects a normative relationship rather than atherosclerotic pathology. Each 10 kg higher lean body mass was associated with a 0.03 (95% CI, 0.03–0.04) mm higher cIMT \( (P=5\times10^{-3}) \), after adjustment for age, sex, region, blood pressure, non-HDL cholesterol, and former versus never smoking.

This is the first study to examine the association of body-size measures with both cIMT and carotid plaque in healthy individuals. The findings in the present study of 6617 healthy Chinese adults (5676 women and 941 men) are supported by results in much smaller studies in overweight individuals. In a study of 421 overweight middle-aged European men and women, a 10 kg higher lean body mass was associated with approximately 0.05 mm higher cIMT, but was not associated with carotid plaque.13 In the present study, other body-size and adiposity measures were also associated with cIMT, but not with carotid plaque burden, showing that associations of adiposity with cIMT should not be interpreted as reflecting associations of adiposity with atherosclerosis.

Across the 10 ethnically diverse regions of China in the present study, in women there was a strong association of mean lean body mass with mean cIMT. This was not robustly confirmed in men, possibly because of inadequate data. The observations in women are consistent with a previous report in 120 overweight boys and girls in the United States, which found that variation in cIMT with black versus white ethnicity was accounted for by differences in lean body mass.14
Associations in less-healthy populations may be confounded by greater atherosclerotic effects of cardiovascular risk factors and reverse causal effects of smoking (such as weight loss) and so provide less-robust estimates of the relationship (as suggested by the results in the unhealthy or older participants in the present study; Figure S1).

Height was also associated with higher cIMT and not with greater carotid plaque burden, but the association with cIMT was weaker than that for lean body mass and was largely attenuated by adjustment for lean body mass. In this population of healthy adults, height accounted for only 0.7% of the variation in cIMT in women (and <0.5% in men), whereas lean body mass accounted for around 2% in both women and men (Table 2). The findings suggest that setting reference levels for cIMT according to lean body mass may be more appropriate than setting them according to height, but the quantitative relationships of lean body mass and height to cIMT require direct confirmation in healthy boys and girls at varying stages of growth, among whom lean body mass and height would be expected to explain a much greater proportion of the variation in cIMT than in the present population.

The present results parallel findings that lean body mass is the most relevant measure for determining reference levels of left ventricular mass in children, for which various lines of evidence likewise support the interpretation that the association reflects a normal adaptive response rather than a pathological effect.\textsuperscript{15,16,39} For example, when lean body mass increases through physical training, left ventricular mass and wall thickness also increase.\textsuperscript{19,40} Height was also more weakly associated than lean body mass with left ventricular mass. A further study reported that in obesity, lean body mass appeared to be the main driver behind total left ventricular mass increase.\textsuperscript{41} The physical effort of carrying around extra body fat has been suggested to act like physical training in its effect on lean body mass.\textsuperscript{19}

Lean body mass has also been identified as the chief anthropometric determinant of atrial fibrillation (stronger than the well-established risk factors, height and BMI).\textsuperscript{18} Furthermore, Mendelian randomization analyses have shown that both lean body mass and height-associated genetic variants are also associated with atrial fibrillation, suggesting that their relationships to atrial fibrillation are causal.\textsuperscript{42,43} There may be a common causal mechanism linking lean body mass to higher cIMT, higher left ventricular mass, and greater risk of atrial fibrillation, such as a link between lean body mass and arterial and cardiac wall thickness. Thus, although thickening of cIMT attributable to lean body mass does not appear to reflect the beginning of atherosclerosis, whether it is adversely associated with any nonatherosclerotic diseases remains unclear.

Evidence on whether changes to cIMT reflecting growth and healthy increases in lean body mass differ from those induced by cardiovascular risk factors, such as smoking, lipids, and blood pressure, is very limited. In a study among 70-year-olds that recorded separate measurements of the thicknesses of the carotid intima and media layers noninvasively, CVD was
associated with a thicker intima, but thinner media, layer.\textsuperscript{44} BMI was associated with a thicker intima layer, but lean body mass was not measured in this population. It would be valuable to assess the relationship of lean body mass to the thicknesses of the 2 layers in young adults. It might be hypothesized that healthy changes would affect the intima and media to a similar extent—or even increase the smooth muscle media layer to a greater extent. Previous studies have reported complex relationships between body dimensions and carotid artery dimensions.\textsuperscript{45,46} Dimensional measurements (such as distances and volumes) from imaging studies often have strong correlations with body-size measures.\textsuperscript{47,48}

**Limitations**

A limitation of the present study was that it only included adults, and the quantitative relationships of lean body mass and height to cIMT require direct confirmation in healthy boys and girls at varying stages of growth. However, it is unlikely to be feasible to reliably replicate the lack of association with carotid plaque in such populations because the prevalence of carotid plaque depends strongly on age and is likely to be too low in children. (Among healthy individuals aged 40 to 45 years in the present study, only 3% had at least 1 carotid plaque >1.5 mm.) Hence, extrapolation from older populations, as in the present analysis, is a valuable approach. A further limitation of the present study was that the healthy adults were predominantly women.

### Appendix

Members of the China Kadoorie Biobank Collaborative Group

**International Steering Committee:** Junshi Chen, Zhengming Chen (PI), Robert Clarke, Rory Collins, Yu Guo, Liming Li (PI), Jun Lv, Richard Peto, and Robin Walters. **International Coordinating Centre, Oxford:** Daniel Avery, Derrick Bennett, Ruth Boall, Fiona Bragg, Yumei Chang, Yiping Chen, Zhengming Chen, Robert Clarke, Huaidong Du, Simon Gilbert, Alex Hacker, Michael Holmes, Christiana Kartsonaki, Rene...
Table 3. Correlations of the Mean Lean Body Mass Within Regions With the Mean Levels of Carotid Measures and Risk Factors Within the Regions

| Region     | N  | Lean Body Mass, kg | cIMT, mm | Carotid Plaque Burden, mm | Non-HDL Cholesterol, mmol/L | Systolic BP, mm Hg |
|------------|----|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Women      | 5676 | 37.9 (0.2) | 0.578 (0.004) | 0.10 (0.02) | 2.67 (0.03) | 127.9 (0.6) |
| Suzhou     | 600  | 39.2 (0.2) | 0.591 (0.004) | 0.40 (0.03) | 3.02 (0.03) | 123.6 (0.7) |
| Hunan      | 584  | 39.2 (0.2) | 0.601 (0.004) | 0.06 (0.02) | 2.80 (0.03) | 125.1 (0.6) |
| Zhejiang   | 568  | 39.5 (0.2) | 0.573 (0.004) | 0.09 (0.02) | 2.28 (0.03) | 123.7 (0.6) |
| Sichuan    | 833  | 39.6 (0.1) | 0.558 (0.003) | 0.08 (0.02) | 2.37 (0.02) | 121.1 (0.5) |
| Haikou     | 383  | 40.5 (0.2) | 0.612 (0.005) | 0.38 (0.03) | 2.38 (0.03) | 123.2 (0.7) |
| Henan      | 803  | 40.1 (0.1) | 0.598 (0.003) | 0.32 (0.02) | 2.49 (0.02) | 124.1 (0.5) |
| Gansu      | 792  | 41.2 (0.1) | 0.613 (0.003) | 0.20 (0.02) | 1.66 (0.02) | 121.9 (0.5) |
| Harbin     | 451  | 41.9 (0.2) | 0.622 (0.004) | 0.36 (0.02) | 2.82 (0.03) | 126.2 (0.6) |
| Qingdao    | 244  | 43.8 (0.2) | 0.633 (0.006) | 0.20 (0.03) | 2.06 (0.04) | 122.5 (0.9) |

Correlation with lean body mass (range)*

-0.46 (−0.63, −0.32)  
-0.38 (−0.58, −0.03)

Men 941

| Region     | N  | Lean Body Mass, kg | cIMT, mm | Carotid Plaque Burden, mm | Non-HDL Cholesterol, mmol/L | Systolic BP, mm Hg |
|------------|----|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Sichuan    | 116 | 51.6 (0.5) | 0.601 (0.009) | 0.13 (0.06) | 2.34 (0.06) | 122.2 (1.1) |
| Hunan      | 102 | 51.6 (0.6) | 0.644 (0.010) | 0.11 (0.06) | 2.68 (0.06) | 130.0 (1.2) |
| Suzhou     | 65  | 51.7 (0.7) | 0.625 (0.015) | 0.16 (0.08) | 2.55 (0.08) | 131.7 (1.5) |
| Liuzhou    | 73  | 52.1 (0.7) | 0.651 (0.012) | 0.51 (0.07) | 3.02 (0.07) | 127.1 (1.4) |
| Zhejiang   | 75  | 53.5 (0.7) | 0.615 (0.012) | 0.19 (0.07) | 2.24 (0.07) | 123.9 (1.4) |
| Haikou     | 51  | 53.8 (0.8) | 0.658 (0.014) | 0.41 (0.09) | 2.58 (0.09) | 124.5 (1.7) |
| Gansu      | 88  | 54.1 (0.6) | 0.664 (0.011) | 0.46 (0.07) | 1.67 (0.07) | 125.2 (1.3) |
| Henan      | 214 | 55.4 (0.4) | 0.616 (0.007) | 0.37 (0.04) | 2.43 (0.04) | 126.7 (0.8) |
| Harbin     | 127 | 58.5 (0.5) | 0.655 (0.009) | 0.46 (0.05) | 2.89 (0.06) | 133.1 (1.1) |
| Qingdao    | 30  | 59.7 (1.1) | 0.654 (0.019) | 0.19 (0.11) | 1.85 (0.12) | 129.4 (2.2) |

Correlation with lean body mass (range)*

-0.40 (−0.27, 0.49)  
-0.27 (−0.60, 0.07)  
0.38 (0.12, 0.59)

Regions are ordered by the mean lean body mass within women and men. BP indicates blood pressure; cIMT, carotid intima-media thickness; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

*Pearson correlation coefficients between the lean body mass and other factor means and the range of correlation coefficients when 1 region is omitted.
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SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

Evidence before this study

Publications that examined the relationships of cIMT with ethnicity, height, and lean body mass were identified using a systematic search of the PubMed and Embase databases on 31 August 2017. The following search terms (free text [searched in title or abstract] and relevant MeSH terms [PubMed] or subject headings [Embase]) and operators were used: cIMT (free text: carotid intim*, carotid atherosclerosis, cIMT, IMT, arterial thickness, arterial wall thickness) AND (ethnicity OR height OR lean body mass) [free text: ethni*, race, racial, ancestry, African, black, Asian, Chinese, Japanese, height, lean bod*, lean mass, fat free mass, muscle mass]. Articles were restricted to full length articles in peer reviewed English language journals and excluded if the study population was old (mean age ≥50 years) or had prior cardiovascular disease (obesity was permitted). After duplicates were removed, 3754 articles were screened by title and abstract and 49 by full text; the final synthesis included 17 articles (Tables S1 and S2).1-17

Strong evidence for variation in carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) by ethnicity was found within the 11 studies (involving over 10 000 participants in total) investigating this (Table S1).1-11 Compared to white participants, black participants had higher cIMT and this finding was consistent in studies of children and adults. Two studies in the US found that Hispanic and Asian (Korean and Japanese) participants had lower cIMT compared to white non-Hispanics, although a study of UK children saw no difference between white and Asian participants.
A small number of studies (with a total of about 3000 participants) examined the associations of height or lean body mass with cIMT in young populations (Table S2).\textsuperscript{8,12-17} In children and adolescents, taller height was associated with increased cIMT, but no similar association was seen in Korean adults. Increased lean body mass was associated with greater cIMT in children and adults with very high BMI and in a small study of Korean adolescent males.

Further details of study measurements

Smoking (in cigarettes per day), alcohol consumption (in grams per day), physical activity (in metabolic equivalent task hours) and sedentary time (in hours) were calculated from answers on the interview-administered questionnaire, as previously described.\textsuperscript{18,19} Body fat proportion (BFP) was estimated using a Tanita BC-418MA analyser using tetrapolar bioelectrical impedance and proprietary algorithms.\textsuperscript{20} Non-fasting blood total cholesterol and high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol were measured using a portable station (Mission Cholesterol Monitoring System). Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV1) and Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) were measured by spirometry and the ratio FEV1/FVC calculated, as previously described.\textsuperscript{21} Grip strength in each hand was measured using a dynamometer and the average used. Heel bone mineral density (in g/cm\textsuperscript{2}) was estimated from ultrasound measurements using the Sahara Clinical Bone Sonometer. Arterial stiffness was measured using a CareFusion Pulsetrace PCA 2 instrument and finger probe which measured the time taken for a pulse wave to travel through the arterial system and derived a stiffness index based on this and the person's height.
Table S1. Summary of published studies of the associations of carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) with ethnicity in younger individuals. Studies are grouped by the age or mean age of participants, to allow focus on studies in younger participants, as these are more likely to reflect normative associations rather than associations through disease, ageing and risk factors.

| Author, year | Population | Study size | Mean age (SD and range where available, years) | Association of cIMT with ethnicity* |
|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|              |            |            | Non-Hispanic | Hispanic | Korean | Japanese |
| **Ethnicity (articles where all participants were under 50 years of age):** | | | | | |
| Tzou, 2007  | US adults  | 1203       | 36 (4) 25-40 | Ref       | ↑       |
| Whincup, 2012 | UK children | 939       | 10.8 (0.4) 25-40 | Ref       | ↑ ↔ |
| Li, 2007    | US adults  | 868        | 36.0 (4.4) 25-44† | Ref       | ↑ |
| Gao, 2016   | US children and adults | 784       | 18.0 (3.3) 10-24 | Ref       | ↑ |
| Breton, 2011 | US adults  | 768        | 19 18-25 | Ref       | ↓ ↑ ↓ |
| Hao, 2016   | US children and adults | 521       | 24 (3.2) 13-36 | Ref       | ↑ |
| Choo, 2008  | Korean and Japanese men | 352       | 45.1 (2.8) 40-49† | ↑ Ref |
| Chowdhury, 2014 | Europeans (BMI > 95th percentile) | 120 | 12.1 (3.4) 4-21† | Ref       | ↑ |
| **Ethnicity (articles where the mean age of participants was <50 years):** | | | | | |
| Wendell, 2017 | US adults  | 2270       | 47.7 (9.3) 30-64 | Ref       | ↑ |
| Adolphe, 2009 | US children and adults | 2268 | 42.0 (11.4) 14-79 | Ref       | ↓ ↔ ↓ |
| Hamer, 2011 | South African adults | 398       | 44.6 (9.7) 25-60† | Ref       | ↑ |

* Ref Reference group, ↑ positive association with cIMT, ↓ inverse association with cIMT, ↔ non-significant association with cIMT. †Mean and SD age were calculated from values provided in the article.
Table S2. Summary of published studies of the associations of carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) with taller height and greater lean body mass. Studies are grouped by the age or mean age of participants, to allow focus on studies in younger participants as these are more likely to reflect normative associations rather than associations through disease, ageing and risk factors.

| Author, year | Population | Study size | Mean age (SD) and range where available, years | Association of cIMT with:*
|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Height (articles where all participants were under 50 years of age):** | | | | |
| Doyon, 2013 | European and Turkish children and adolescents | 1155 | 6-18 | ↑ |
| Jourdan, 2005 | German and Polish healthy adolescents | 247 | 10-20 | ↑ |
| Finken, 2006 | Dutch adolescents (born very preterm) | 183 | 19 (0) 19-19 | ↑ |
| Chowdhury, 2014 | Europeans (BMI > 95th percentile) | 120 | 12.1 (3.4) 4-21† | ↔ |
| **Height (articles where the mean age of participants was <50 years):** | | | | |
| Song, 2011 | Korean adults | 706 | 45.0 (9.1) 30-74† | ↔ |
| **Lean body mass (articles where all participants were under 50 years of age):** | | | | |
| Chowdhury, 2014 | Europeans (BMI > 95th percentile) | 120 | 12.1 (3.4) 4-21† | ↑ |
| Kim, 2011 | Korean adolescent males | 92 | 17.0 (0.2) | ↑ |
| **Lean body mass (articles where the mean age of participants was <50 years):** | | | | |
| Moreno, 2015 | US adults (86% with BMI > 30 kg/m²) | 421 | 44.6 (9.8) 30-65† | ↑ |

↑ positive association with cIMT, ↓ inverse association with cIMT, ↔ non-significant association with cIMT. †Mean and SD age were estimated from values provided in the article.
Table S3. List of variables available for selection in analyses of variation in carotid measures.

| Variables available for selection |
|-----------------------------------|
| Alcohol consumption (0)           | Leg length (1) |
| Arterial stiffness (0)            | Physical activity (met hours) (3) |
| Body fat percentage (0)           | Sedentary time (3) |
| Body mass index (0)               | Sitting height (1) |
| Bone mineral density (22)         | Standing height (0) |
| FEV1 (74)                         | Waist circumference (0) |
| FEV1/FVC ratio (74)               | Waist-hip ratio (0) |
| FVC (74)                          | Weight (0) |
| Fat mass (0)                      |                      |
| HDL cholesterol (0)               |                      |
| Hand grip strength (3)            |                      |
| Lean body mass (0)                |                      |

FEV1=forced expiry volume in 1 minute. FVC=forced vital capacity.

The number of participants missing a covariate (from the total of 6617 participants) is shown in brackets.
**Carotid intima-media thickness difference per 1 SD higher cardiovascular risk factor or body size measure**

| Cardiovascular risk factors       | Carotid intima-media thickness difference per 1 SD higher cardiovascular risk factor or body size measure |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Non-HDL cholesterol               | 0.011 (0.010, 0.013)                                                                                     |
| SBP                              | 0.018 (0.016, 0.019)                                                                                     |
| DBP-given-SBP                    | -0.005 (-0.007, -0.004)                                                                                  |
| Diabetes                         | 0.012 (0.010, 0.013)                                                                                     |
| Amount smoked                    | 0.008 (0.006, 0.010)                                                                                     |

**Carotid plaque burden difference per 1 SD higher cardiovascular risk factor or body size measure**

| Cardiovascular risk factors       | Carotid plaque burden difference per 1 SD higher cardiovascular risk factor or body size measure |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Non-HDL cholesterol               | 0.068 (0.059, 0.077)                                                                                     |
| SBP                              | 0.088 (0.079, 0.096)                                                                                     |
| DBP-given-SBP                    | -0.056 (-0.065, -0.046)                                                                                  |
| Diabetes                         | 0.065 (0.054, 0.077)                                                                                     |
| Amount smoked                    | 0.066 (0.052, 0.080)                                                                                     |

**Body size measures**

| Body size measures               | Carotid intima-media thickness difference per 1 SD higher cardiovascular risk factor or body size measure |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Weight                            | 0.011 (0.009, 0.012)                                                                                     |
| Fat body mass                     | 0.008 (0.006, 0.010)                                                                                     |
| Lean body mass                    | 0.011 (0.010, 0.013)                                                                                     |
| SD units                          | -0.2 -0.1 0.1 0.2                                                                                          |

**Carotid plaque burden difference per 1 SD higher cardiovascular risk factor or body size measure**

| Body size measures               | Carotid plaque burden difference per 1 SD higher cardiovascular risk factor or body size measure |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Weight                            | -0.037 (-0.049, -0.025)                                                                                     |
| Fat body mass                     | -0.034 (-0.046, -0.022)                                                                                     |
| Lean body mass                    | -0.031 (-0.044, -0.019)                                                                                     |
| SD units                          | -0.2 -0.1 0.1 0.2                                                                                          |

**Figure S1. Sensitivity analysis including healthy and unhealthy participants, showing concordant associations of cardiovascular risk factors with carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) and carotid plaque burden but discordant associations of body size measures with cIMT and carotid plaque burden.** Analyses include 22,504 participants without prior cardiovascular disease. All associations are adjusted for age, sex and region; associations of body size measures are additionally adjusted for the cardiovascular risk factors. The size of each square is proportional to the amount of statistical information. For consistency, standard deviations (SDs) are as used in the main figures (i.e., computed in the healthy participants aged <60 years).
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