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ABSTRACT
This paper is a continuation of the research work on the reuse of worn-out electric insulators. The aim of this analysis was to check the possibility of using this waste matter in composites in which the bonding phase is made of orthophthalic polyester resins. In order to test the thesis, a transparent resin composite was designed, which contained the recycled aggregates from worn-out electrical insulators. Aggregate with a grain size of 1–2 mm was prepared from the elements obtained during the renovation works. Unsaturated orthophthalic polyester resin was used as binder. The initiator – methyl ethyl ketone peroxide – was used to cure the resin. Aluminum cement was used as a fine filling phase. A synthetic stone was produced from the substrates prepared in this way, which was subjected to the basic tests carried out for concretes used in construction. The density of the composite, absorbability, and strength parameters such as flexural tensile strength and compressive strength were examined. The results showed that from the described components it is possible to obtain a resin composite which, apart from interesting aesthetics, meets the requirements for construction materials used in the building industry.
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INTRODUCTION
The current requirements of the European Union require that each manufactured product should have the processing and recycling technology that takes into account the principles of environmental protection [Parliament Directive, 2008]. As this issue is being implemented recently, it is important to develop a number of new technologies for the disposal of products which have not been subject to rational secondary management so far. Ceramic products are an example of such products. The term “ceramics” [Awgustinik, 1980] comes from the Greek word “keramos” – clay, and it is used to describe all the products that are made from this raw material [Kieliski, 1980, Maksymiuk, 1997]. Clay [Węgrowski, Przeździecka, 1979], which is a clay sedimentary rock due to the variety of materials it contains, takes on a wide range of forms reflected in its various properties. Electrical insulators are classified as the products made of noble-white clay. Their production process consists of several stages: forming, pre-firing, proper firing, glazing, as a result of which the ceramic material receives its unusual features.

Ceramic electrical insulators are elements used in power engineering to support and insulate conductive elements [Dictionary of Terminology, 2003]. Insulators may have a full structure – they are then called full-fledged, they may also have an empty space inside – the so-called hollow space. Due to the place of application insulators are divided into linear, standing, supporting, captive, extraction, etc.

Despite the fact that insulation is the main function of electrical insulators [Zegardło, Ogrodnik, Woźniński, 2016], it should be remembered that their additional function is to transmit the force created from hanging wires.
Apart from transmitting the tension force, insulators must also transmit side loads such as additional load of wind, ice or cold. Therefore, the materials used in their production have very high strength parameters. These materials are insensitive to the weather conditions, high temperatures and chemically aggressive environments. Since electric insulators, as a product, are connected in systems with other elements, e.g. steel, they have a limited service life. Despite the technical possibilities of a very long period of failure-free operation of ceramic elements, it is estimated that the period of failure-free operation of these ceramic-steel systems is about 30 years. After this period of time, the worn out electric insulators are replaced with new ones during the repair works. According to market surveys and the information obtained from the companies disposing of electric insulators, the most frequently undertaken method of disposal is their use in the branches of transport construction [Ogrodnik, Zegardło, 2016, Ogrodnik et al. 2016] Due to the specificity of the place where the waste is used, the role and purpose of the disposal of the substances, two main criteria for the division of their applications are being used.

The first area that has been functioning on the industrial market for quite a long time is the so-called broadly defined land levelling. The construction, in particular, of linear communication facilities (roads, railways) requires the movement of large earth masses. It often happens that the mass balance for the planned section is negative. In such cases, the most convenient solution is to reach the local deposits of natural aggregates. However, if there are no such deposits or the parameters of the aggregates present in them do not meet the expected requirements, recycling aggregates are used. The most popular waste substance in this place is the so-called concrete destruction resulting from the crushing of concrete elements [Jarmrozy, 2006]. It is also common to use worn-out, waste, building ceramic materials more and more often in these places. The talks with entrepreneurs have proven that nowadays, electric insulators received from electric companies are disposed of in industrial crushers. They are crushed and the steel elements are separated. The resulting aggregate is used to harden the surface of ground roads or as a foundation for concrete or paving stones.

Another direction of utilization, which is expected to be used on a large scale also in the transport construction industry, has emerged relatively recently on the wave of wide public interest in the subject of environmental safety. This is the so-called production of “green concretes”, i.e. organic concrete [De Brito et al., 2005, Senthamarai et al., 2011, Halicka et al., 2014, Lopez et al., 2007, Senthamaaria et al., 2005]. It is not directly driven by the market needs, but is a search for a way to reduce the negative environmental impact of industry and construction. There are a few papers that address this issue and focus exclusively on the waste insulation ceramics.

In the paper [Senthamarai et al., 2005] the authors used crushed electrical ceramic insulators as a substitute for natural stone gravel. The concrete was prepared using portland cement, traditional stone aggregate with a grain size of up to 20 mm, aggregate obtained from crushed electrical insulators (grain diameter of up to 20mm) and water. Stone aggregate was not combined with ceramic, but either stone or ceramic aggregate was used in two groups of mixtures. On the basis of the research, the authors found that the properties of the concretes made on the basis of the aggregate from crushed electrical insulators do not differ more than a few percent from those of the concretes made on the basis of traditional aggregates (except for modulus of elasticity). Depending on the type of prepared mix, the compressive strength was 1–3% lower or higher, while the modulus of elasticity for the mix on ceramic aggregate was 4 times higher, on average. On this basis, the authors found the suitability of the aggregate made of ceramic electric insulators for use in concrete mixes.

The effects of continuing research on the concrete based on the aggregates from electric ceramic insulators are presented in the paper [Senthamaraei et al., 2011]. It focuses on the comparison of the concretes made with the aggregate from crushed ceramic insulators with concretes on navy blue aggregate in terms of: water absorption, pore volume and chloride diffusion, with a changing w/c index. The results of the concrete density and strength tests showed that there are no clear differences between the concretes being compared, and that the above mentioned characteristics differ more with regard to the water-cement ratio than with regard to the type of aggregate used.
The co-author’s research work of the author of this article [Zegardło, et al., 2016] presents the results of concrete research, in which also the only aggregate used in the investigations were crushed electrical insulators. In these studies, high grade cement CEM I 42.5N - SR 3/NA and admixture - ISOFLEx 7130 superplasticizer were used to prepare the concrete. The purpose of using these components was to obtain high grade concrete composites. The presented results obtained from the tests of strength parameters of the recycling composites compared to traditional composites proved that waste electrical insulators can also be used in this way. The characteristics of the aggregates obtained from them met the requirements for aggregates for concrete, and the concretes made with their participation had the parameters allowing classifying them as special high-strength concretes. Attention was also drawn to the fact that these composites had higher strength parameters than those obtained with the use of special basalt aggregates, which are used especially for these purposes. This was proof that the aggregates from electrical insulators could replace not only traditional aggregates – gravel, but also special aggregates – for composing high-grade concrete.

The results of the research above prompted the author of this paper to check the possibility of using waste electrical insulators to produce synthetic composites prepared on the basis of orthophthalic polyester resins with their participation. In spite of the works on the utilization of insulators, there were no results of the studies in which insulators would appear as a substrate in resin composites. An additional aspect was the planned filling of the mixture with aluminum cement. These observations proved the novelty of the analyses undertaken and motivated the research described below.

MATERIALS

The basic raw material for the production of the synthetic composite involved the electric insulators obtained by an electrical company during the renovation works of overhead electrical lines. The waste obtained in this way was transported in containers to a landfill (Fig. 1). Afterwards, the waste in the presented form was transported to the laboratory, initially crushed and cleaned of steel elements. Then it was subjected to a proper crushing process using impact devices. The aggregate obtained in this way was subjected to the process of screening through 2 mm and 1 mm mesh screens. The aggregate obtained in this way is presented in Figure 2.

The condition for obtaining a composite material which is both decorative and characterized by high strength parameters required the use of a suitable binder. It was considered that the material should be a transparent resin, which remains transparent after curing and has high strength parameters. This goal was dictated by the desire to prepare a permanent composite, in which ceramic aggregate filling will be exposed. Taking into account the above factors, a colorless, transparent unsaturated Crystal Clear Synolite 1881 polyester resin made by Scott Bader Finland was used. The basic parameters of the resin from its technological chart are presented in Table 1.
during operation to chemically aggressive environments and high temperatures. The main features of the cement are presented in Table 3.

Ultimately, the composition of the composite was designed using an experimental method consisting of iterative dosing of the binder to the connected fillers until all the components obtained a liquid consistency suitable for the mixture in molds. The final composition of the composite is presented in Table 4.

### METHODOLOGY

The program of the planned research works assumed the assessment of the composite aesthetics and conducting the basic tests to which the construction materials used in industry are subjected. The specific density of the composite, absorbability and strength parameters such as

---

**Table 1. Basic properties of Butanox M-60 hardener**

| No. | The property                  | Value                        |
|-----|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1   | The character                 | Transparent liquid           |
| 2   | Density                       | 1170 kg/m³                   |
| 3   | Viscosity                     | 25 mPa.s                     |
| 4   | Solubility in water           | Insoluble                    |
| 5   | Solubility in flats           | Soluble                      |
| 6   | Auto-ignition temperature     | 220°C                        |
| 7   | Hazardous reactions           | Do not mix with the accelerators |
| 8   | Skin irritation               | Corrosive                    |
| 9   | Eye irritation                | Heavily irritating/corrosive  |
| 10  | Ames test                     | Not mutagenic                |

For curing of the resin the initiator – methyl ethyl ketone peroxide Butanox M-60 – was used, according to the manufacturer’s recommendation, in the amount of 3% by weight of the resin used. The basic initiator properties are presented in Table 2.

As an additional component of the composite, which was to fill the spaces between the grains of the recycling aggregate, the Górkal high alumina cement – class 70 – was used. This snow-white cement is recommended by the manufacturer for use in concretes and mortars which are exposed

---

**Table 2. Basic properties of Butanox M-60 hardener**

| No. | Feature                                | Value                        |
|-----|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1   | Mixing ratio by weight                 | 100A : 90B                  |
| 2   | Viscosity after mixing (mPa·s)         | 600                          |
| 3   | Specific gravity (g/cm³)               | 1.04                         |
| 4   | Colour                                 | clear                        |
| 5   | Plastic preservation time              | 20 minutes.                  |
| 6   | Disassembly time                       | 16 hours                     |
| 7   | Hardness (Shore D)                     | 80                           |
| 8   | Breaking strength (N/mm²)              | 17.2                         |
| 9   | Modulus of elasticity (N/mm²)          | 504                          |
| 10  | Elongation at break (%)                | 10                           |
| 11  | Bending strength (N/mm²)               | 73.35                        |
| 12  | Modulus of elasticity at bending (N/mm²)| 1377                        |
| 13  | Compressive strength (N/mm²)           | 43.98                        |
| 14  | (N/mm²)                                | 275                          |
| 15  | Shrinkage (%)                          | 0.1                          |
| 16  | Heat resistance (°C)                   | 50                           |
| 17  | Refractive index                       | 1.49962 at 20°C              |

---

**Table 3. Characteristics of the Górkal 70 cement declared by the manufacturer**

| Features                              | Declared performance | Method of testing     |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Dry mix appearance                     | White powder         | N-EN 196-6:2006       |
| Blaine specific surface area           | 4000 ÷ 5000          | N-EN 196-6:2011       |
| Aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) content, %     | 69 ÷ 71              | N-EN 196-2:2006       |
| Sulphide content (S²⁻), %              | ≤ 0.10               | N-EN 196-2:2006       |
| Chloride content (Cl⁻), %             | ≤ 0.10               | N-EN 196-2:2006       |
| Alkali content (Na₂O+0.658K₂O), %      | ≤ 0.50               | N-EN 196-2:2006       |
| Sulphuric acid anhydride (SO₃) content, % | ≤ 0.50             | N-EN 196-2:2006       |
| Chromium, Cr (VI) content, %           | ≤ 0.0002             | N-EN 196-10:2008      |
| Specific density, g/cm³                | 2.96 ÷- 10%          | N-EN 196-6:2011       |
| Beginning of the binding time, min. marking on the leaven | ≥ 90 | N-EN 196-3+1A:2011   |
| Start of setting time, min. marking on the standard mortar at w/c=0.5 | ≥ 75 | PN-EN 480-2:2008     |
| Compressive strength (pry w/c=0.5), MPa after 6h | ≥ 18 | N-EN 196-1:2006      |
| Compressive strength (pry w/c=0.5), MPa after 24 hours | ≥ 30 | N-EN 196-1:2006      |
| Ordinary fire resistance, cone bending temperature, OC | ≥ 1560 | NYPD 993-13:2002    |
compressive and bending tensile strength, were examined.

The volumetric density was tested on rectangular samples of 4x4x16 cm. Six samples of the composite tested were prepared. The samples were measured and weighed according to the guidelines of [EN 12390-7:2009]. Volumetric density was calculated as the ratio of the volume of the tested samples to their mass.

Water absorption was tested on the same samples as volumetric density. Six samples of composites were tested as well. The samples were immersed in water and remained in it to determine their mass. Absorbency was calculated according to [Neville, 2012] as the ratio of the amount of water the composite was able to absorb to the dry mass of the composite expressed as a percentage.

The bending tensile strength was tested according to [EN 12390-5:2005]. The largest diameter of the aggregate used was 2 mm, so 4x4x16 cm specimens were prepared for testing. The test was also carried out on 6 specimens.

The compressive strength test was carried out in accordance with [EN 12390-3:2006]. The test was carried out on 4x4x4 cm specimens formed after breaking the specimens during the bending strength test. The final value was obtained from 12 results.

RESULTS

The aesthetic evaluation of the product proved that both the binder and the fillers met the author’s expectations. The resin was transparent. The ceramic filler grains were clearly visible despite mixing the binder with white cement filler. The liquid mixture is shown in Figure 3. A close-up of the product cast in a plastic form is shown in Figure 4.

The results of the research: volumetric density and absorbability are presented in Table 5. The table above also presents the results of research taken from the works [Zegardło, et al., 2016] and [Ogrodnik, Zegardło, 2018] in which a team of authors examined the same characteristics for high quality cement concretes with traditional fillers, i.e. gravel and basalt as well as recycling fillers from electrical insulators and resin composites with river sand.

The results of the tests of resin composites both for fillers with recyclate from electrical insulators and sand were very similar. These values did not differ by more than 1%. It was

| No. | Substrate                                           | Quantity in kg/m³ | Density kg/m³ | Volume in dm³ | Weight |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| 1   | Polyester resin Crystal Clear Synolite 1881        | 614.7             | 1.1           | 286.09        | 27.50  |
| 2   | Butanox initiator M-60                             | 9.441             | 1.17          | 8.07          | 0.41   |
| 3   | Aggregate of electrical insulators. 1-2 mm grit size | 966.4             | 2.63          | 481.52        | 42.50  |
| 4   | Highlander cement 70                               | 698.3             | 3.1           | 225.26        | 30.51  |
| Sum |                                                    | 2288.841          |               | 1000.94       | 100    |

Figure 3. Liquid mixture

Figure 4. Proximity to the surface of a product cast in a plastic mould
probably caused by very similar parameters of ceramic material and sand and the same, identical form of fillers used (grains with diameter from 1 to 2 mm). When comparing the results of the presented tests for resinous concretes to those for cement concretes, the differences in absorbability can be observed. Despite the fact that the density value was similar, resinous concretes had six-fold lower absorbability. These results were relatively easy to predict because this parameter is much more influenced by the quality of the binder used than the aggregate itself.

The results of strength tests are presented separately for the composite with a filler of electrical insulators (Table 6) and with the filler in the form of sand [Ogrodnik, Zegardło, 2018] (Table 7). A sample of the composite during the compressive strength test is shown in Figure 5.

Table 5. Results of tests of synthetic composite against the results obtained for similar composites containing other components [Zegardlo, et al., 2016, Ogrodnik, Zegardlo, 2018]

| Parameter          | Composite type                                      | Resin composite with recylate from electrical insulators | Resin composite with river sand | Cement concrete with basalt and gravel aggregates | Cement concrete with ceramic aggregates |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Specific density   |                                                     | 2028 kg/m³                                             | 2031 kg/m³                     | 2021 kg/m³                                    | 1996 kg/m³                            |
| Absorbency         |                                                     | 0.57%                                                  | 0.48%                           | 3.34%                                         | 4.43%                                  |

Table 6. Strength parameters tested for resin composite with filler from electrical insulators and aluminum cement

| Sample number | Strength of the i-th sample, MPa | Medium strength, MPa | Standard deviation, MPa | Volatility rate, % |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Flexural tensile strength |                                  |                      |                         |                    |
| 1             | 13.10                            | 12.82                | 0.46                    | 3.55               |
| 2             | 12.40                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 3             | 12.80                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 4             | 12.60                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 5             | 13.90                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 6             | 12.10                            |                      |                         |                    |
| Compressive strength |                                | 93.98                | 0.74                    | 0.79               |
| 1             | 92.80                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 2             | 93.60                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 3             | 94.40                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 4             | 95.70                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 5             | 92.40                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 6             | 93.50                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 7             | 94.30                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 8             | 93.20                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 9             | 94.10                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 10            | 93.90                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 11            | 95.40                            |                      |                         |                    |
| 12            | 94.40                            |                      |                         |                    |

The results obtained from the strength tests of the composite were satisfactory and allowed to classify it as useful for making structural elements. The parameters for the composite with filler from electrical insulators were definitely higher than for the composite with sand filler. Tensile strength was higher by 34% and compressive strength was about twice higher. The presented correlation of the results probably showed that fillers played a significant role in the composition of both composites. The sand filler has round grains which do not wedge between each other, as it was the case with composite with insulator aggregate. The clear tight arrangement of the recylcate grains visible in Figure 4 could significantly increase its technical parameters. Moreover, the fact that no additional filler with a smaller grain size was used in
the strip composite could cause that most of the stress was carried by the resin. Well-chosen proportions of fine and coarse (recycling) filler and its form of angular grains could cause the aggregate to form a tight load-bearing framework. The task of the binder was only in this case to bond the grains of this aggregate skeleton.

The results obtained from the tests of resin composites were also referred to the results from the strength tests for cement composites presented in [Ogrodnik, Zegardło, 2018] (Table 8).

The results of the tensile strength test of cement composites were significantly lower than those recorded for resin bonded composites. The value for a composite with recyclate from the insulators prepared on the basis of Portland cement was 7.20 MPa, while for a resin composite almost twice as much as 12.82 MPa. As it is known, cement binders do not allow obtaining composites with high tensile strength. As confirmed by the study, resin binders can be used in this case. Despite the use of the same type of filler, the value of this parameter increased significantly.

The compressive strength of the composite with recyclate made of insulators based on polyester resins was also higher, although only by 8%, than that of the composite with cement binder. Here, the quality of the binder used did not play such a significant role as in the tensile strength.

| Sample number | Strength of the i-th sample, MPa | Medium strength, MPa | Standard deviation, MPa | Volatility rate, % |
|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Flexural tensile strength | | | | |
| 1 | 9.40 | 9.05 | 0.32 | 3.52 |
| 2 | 9.35 | | | |
| 3 | 9.20 | | | |
| 4 | 8.90 | | | |
| 5 | 9.22 | | | |
| 6 | 8.25 | | | |
| Compressive strength | | | | |
| 1 | 38.50 | 37.77 | 0.70 | 1.85 |
| 2 | 35.90 | | | |
| 3 | 36.70 | | | |
| 4 | 39.40 | | | |
| 5 | 37.50 | | | |
| 6 | 38.00 | | | |
| 7 | 37.50 | | | |
| 8 | 38.20 | | | |
| 9 | 37.90 | | | |
| 10 | 37.40 | | | |
| 11 | 38.80 | | | |
| 12 | 37.40 | | | |

Table 8. Results of the research on the cement composites presented in [Ogrodnik, Zegardło, 2018]

| Feature | Unit | Aggregate concrete from electrical insulators | Cement concrete on basalt aggregate | Cement concrete on traditional gravel aggregate |
|---------|------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Tensile strength | MPa | 7.20 | 6.70 | 4.30 |
| Compressive strength | MPa | 86.40 | 76.50 | 49.90 |
Referring to the results obtained for the concrete composites with other aggregates traditionally used in construction, it was noted that the presented composite has all technical parameters higher than the traditional composites. The compressive strength of the recycling composite was almost twice higher than that of traditional gravel composites and 18% higher than that of high quality composites based on high quality basalt aggregates.

CONCLUSIONS

The market analyses have shown that after the period of about 30 years, overhead electrical lines need to be overhauled due to the need to replace electrical insulators. This type of waste material is suitable for crushing and producing a substitute for natural aggregate from it. The research works presented in the article proved that crushed electrical insulators can be used to produce a synthetic composite. The composite, which consisted of electric insulators crushed to 1-2 mm grains, orthophthalic polyester resin, filler in the form of aluminum cement and resin hardener had unusual features and high technical parameters. The aesthetics of the manufactured composite resembled resin conglomerates, which are popularly used to make window sills and kitchen worktops. However, the technical parameters of the obtained composite were higher than those of cement composites used in the construction industry. The density, water absorption, tensile and compressive strength values were higher than those of traditional composites. Special attention was paid to the fact of high tensile strength, which was almost two times higher than that of cement concretes.

In view of the above, it is considered reasonable to use an aggregate made of electrical insulators for the manufacture of synthetic composites, with particular attention paid to the possibility of using them in structural elements, which should both have high tensile strength and unusual aesthetics.
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