INTRODUCTION

With 2 billion people vulnerable to dengue infections in tropical and subtropical countries where dengue is endemic, about 50 to 100 million dengue infections occur each year leading to 20000 to 30000 deaths annually. The World Health Organization (WHO) advocates the principle of harmonizing equitable cost-effective prevention measures via vaccine and behavioural adaptation with adequate entomological and epidemiological surveillance, coupled with good case management within existing health care systems. Regarding vaccines, several tetravalent dengue vaccine candidates are currently under various phases of evaluation. A recurring concern among these vaccine candidates is the inability to achieve balanced immune responses to all four serotypes. Of these candidates, Dengvaxia has been licensed in more than ten countries and is currently undergoing review in Malaysia. Taking a proactive approach, the authors aim to develop an equitable cost-effective mechanism for pricing dengue vaccine in Malaysia to achieve social equity and sustained vaccine adoption, although pricing issues are currently not an integral part of the licensing process. To provide an open and transparent mechanism for vaccine pricing to assist the policy decision process, various pharmacoeconomic models have been proposed. Following WHO guidelines, these pricing models invariably attempt to address the cost-effectiveness of dengue vaccine by relating the threshold price to the Gross Domestic Production (GDP) of the nation, where the vaccine is to be priced, taking into consideration other factors including the cost of dengue disease burdens and other costs such as vector control. The multitudes of factors involved in vaccine pricing render these models complicated to understand and complex to apply, as the database required are often incomplete and frequently inconsistent. Yet, the intensification of dengue virus (DENV) transmission outbreaks, with increasing frequency and magnitude, in the recent past decade has imposed a major strain on the public health and national wealth of Malaysia. The need to develop an in-country pricing model for dengue vaccine in Malaysia, following WHO guidelines, is therefore particularly urgent. A fundamental underlining fact is that dengue is caused by four distinct but related viruses (DENV 1-4), which are transmitted primarily by the Aedes aegypti mosquito. The Aedes mosquito ecology promotes the co-circulation of these four related virus serotypes, in densely populated and unhygienic urban conditions, causing a heightened risk of sequential infections with increased severity of the disease. The higher risk of severe disease with a second heterotypic DENV is thought to be caused by a phenomenon known as antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of infection. However, ADE has not been adequately addressed, and in some cases conveniently ignored, in currently available pricing models. A recurring concern regarding these vaccine candidates is the inability to achieve balanced immune responses to all four serotypes. The current version of Dengvaxia fails in preventing sequential infections with increased severity of the disease. The higher risk of severe disease with a second heterotypic DENV is thought to be caused by a phenomenon known as antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of infection.

However, ADE has not been adequately addressed, and in some cases conveniently ignored, in currently available pricing models. A recurring concern regarding these vaccine candidates is the inability to achieve balanced immune responses to all four serotypes. The current version of Dengvaxia fails in preventing sequential infections with increased severity of the disease. The higher risk of severe disease with a second heterotypic DENV is thought to be caused by a phenomenon known as antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of infection.
incidence of confirmed dengue was 335 per 100,000 populations. The recent trial experiment in Malaysia using genetically modified male mosquitoes OX513A produced by Oxitec to suppress wild mosquito population has proven to be unsustainable economically nor logistically [1]. Therefore, safe, efficacious and cost-effective dengue vaccine included with sustained vector control and behavioural adaptation are critically essential in the fight against DENV. Regarding vaccine adoption, it has been proven through various vaccine applications in lower income countries (LIC) that price is the most important factor influencing vaccine introduction and sustained adoption in LICs, once safety and efficacy of the vaccine is adequately assured. This paper proposes a vaccine price that will ensure ready and sustained adoption of dengue vaccine in Malaysia, given its middle-income country (MIC) status. The paper starts with a brief review on economic burdens of dengue disease worldwide to highlight the wide variability in dengue disease burdens within and across nations, due to the wide uncertainty and inconsistency in input parameters used. Because of the large variance in the mosquito populations and equally large variance in the cyclical prevalence of infection, it is a challenging task to conduct vector and dengue surveillance on a scale large enough to allow for meaningful conclusions on disease burden estimation, with and without vaccines. The review reveals that (a) most vaccines are readily accepted and sustainably adopted if they were priced below USD 1 in LIC and that (b) dengue vaccine can be sustainably priced at around USD 0.50 per dose. DENV imposes a major strain on the public health infrastructure that is disproportionately borne by lower middle-income countries (LMIC). Hence, any efforts to mitigate DENV transmission in LMIC should give due consideration to this issue of eliminating global inequity. We then review some pharmacoeconomic models that have been used, for example, in Singapore and in Malaysia to provide insights on dengue vaccine pricing methodology. We then propose our own in-country evaluation model in which we adopt the strategy of highly cost-effectiveness, above being merely cost-effective. The paper will end with our recommendation that a highly cost-effective threshold price of dengue vaccine for Malaysia is USD 5 per dose at the current GDP per capita of USD 11,000.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Economic burden of dengue disease

To quantify the economic burden of dengue disease, both monetary value and non-monetary public health metrics are used. The quantitative non-monetary measure is known as the Disability Adjusted Life Year (DALY) has been widely used in many studies. DALY is the sum total over the entire target population, of the years of life lost due to each premature mortality plus the years of each healthy life lost due to disability caused by the infection. DALY is typically expressed as DALY per 100,000 populations per year. For example, it has been estimated that the disease burden for Puerto Rico from 1984 to 1994, is estimated to be 65.8 DALY per 100,000 per year [2]. On the worldwide scale, WHO [3] estimated an average of 26.4 DALY is lost per 100,000 populations per year. The estimation of DALY is complicated by many uncertainties in the estimation of a myriad of input. Further, for every reported case of dengue, there are many cases that are not reported. Hence, an expansion factor (EF), with high uncertainty in the estimates, is often used to compensate for the unreported cases. For economic valuations, the estimated DALY is then converted into monetary values, via the nation’s GDP per capita, following WHO guidelines. For any country, it is the prerogative of the country government to choose the 1.0 DALY to be converted to 1.0 GDP times per capita. The valuation is called highly cost-effective if 1.0 DALY is converted to 1.0 GDP per capita. The valuation is called cost-effective if 1.0 DALY is converted to 3.0 GDP per capita. There are other economic direct and indirect costs involved too. Hence, the total economic burden of dengue includes loss due to deaths (DALY equivalent), plus direct and indirect medical costs.

Despite the multitude of uncertainty inherent in analysing dengue economic burden, Suaya [4] nevertheless attempted to estimate the average annual aggregate cost of dengue disease burdens over the period 2001-2005 for eight countries: Brazil, El Salvador, Guatemala, Panama, Venezuela, Cambodia, Malaysia and Thailand, based on dengue cases and deaths officially reported to the WHO. The years of premature life lost to dengue are the remaining life expectancies at the age of death based on the country-specific life tables. On average over the whole of the eight countries during the study period, there were a total of 574,000 (132,000) dengue cases, including 399 (92) deaths, leading to a total of 10,283 DALY, where the standard error is expressed in parentheses. The total cost is USD 238 (41) million, while the average cost per case is USD 417 (21). Average cost per case in terms of days of GDP per capita varied significantly across countries, from 8 to 56. The estimates also did not include unreported cases, implying the value of EF of 1.0. In most subsequent studies, expansion factors EF of more than 1.0 is used to account for under-reporting of cases, which had a major impact on overall aggregated cost estimates. Another study involving 12 countries in Southeast Asia, covering the decade of 2001 to 2010, recorded an annual average of 2.9 million dengue episodes and 5,906 deaths [5]. The annual economic burden estimated was USD 950 million or about USD 1.65 per capita. The annual number of DALY was 214,000 which is equivalent to 37.2 DALYs per year per 100,000 inhabitants. For Puerto Rico [2], from 2002 to 2010, the aggregate annual cost of dengue disease averaged USD 38.7 million. Including dengue surveillance and vector control annual cost of dengue disease averaged USD 44.5 million (USD 12.47 per capita). To adjust for under-reporting, an expansion factor of 2.42 was used for hospitalized and fatal cases and 1.0 for ambulatory cases. The average cost per death was USD 428,559 overall, with a GDP per capita of USD 16,300 in 2010 for Puerto Rico. This implies a value of 26.29 DALY per premature death due to dengue. These dengue disease economic burden valuations performed in the past will provide a sound theoretical foundation and a useful benchmark for the valuation of a highly cost-effective price for dengue vaccine in Malaysia in the subsequent sections. This price would also be affordable, fair and sustainable.

Towards affordable dengue vaccine for Malaysia

As discussed earlier, dengue vaccine remains an important strategy in the control of DENV transmission. Price is the most important factor influencing vaccine introduction and sustained adoption in LMICs, once safety and efficacy are assured. For example, priced at more than several USD per dose, vaccines for rotavirus, conjunctival pseudomucocoe and human papilloma virus had faced significant resistance from their adoption in LICs [6]. On the other hand, meningitis A vaccine, priced at USD 0.50 per dose, was readily adopted throughout the Africa meningitis belt. In the light of such encouraging experiences regarding the acceptance and availability of quality vaccine costing below USD 1, we anticipate a wide availability and ready acceptance of dengue vaccines at about USD 0.50 for LICs. In the meeting on December 2001 at Vietnam, the Pharmacoeconomics Working Group concluded that at a price per dose of USD 0.50 to the public sector, dengue vaccine would be cost effective for LMICs. Adjusting for inflation, this fig. could be revised to no more than USD 2.00. The resulting cost per DALY saved would be the same order of magnitude as other international public health programmes [7]. Since then, the list of dengue vaccine developers has increased, including GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), in Viragen, Merck, U. S. National Institutes of Health (NIH), and Sanofi Pasteur. Of these, the US-NIH has licensed its live attenuated dengue vaccine candidate to four developing country producers, including Institut Butantan in Brazil. The Butantan facility can reduce the production cost to about USD 0.20 per dose in 10-dose vials and about USD 0.70 per dose in single-dose vials [6]. Given this level of dengue vaccine production costs, it is clear that a price which is affordable to consumers and fair to producers for dengue vaccine would be USD 5.00 per dose. As we will see soon, this fig. of USD 5.00 per dose is also a highly cost-effective price threshold for Malaysia, given that its GDP per capita is USD 11,000.

The Developing Countries Vaccine Manufacturers Network (DCVMN) was established in 2000 with the vision and mission of increasing the availability and affordability of quality vaccines to protect against known and emerging infectious diseases. China, India and Brazil are leading the emerging initiatives in the pace of scientific and technological advances and innovations in vaccine developments. DCVMN played a significant role in supplying dengue vaccines at
affordable prices to UN agencies for the pentavalent vaccines against five diseases—diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, Hib and hepatitis B. The future offers new opportunities for building vaccine capacities in developing countries to supply high quality and affordable vaccines. However, many MICs, including Malaysia, continue to confront the challenge of being caught in between LIC and high-income countries (HIC).

The MIC is a group characterised by a wide range of GDP per capita (USD 1,026 to 12,475) [8]. The MICs are not sustainably introducing new life-saving vaccines at the same rate as donor-funded LICs or wealthier HICs. The prices available to MICs for new vaccines are significantly higher than those offered to LIC and will affect the rate of vaccine introduction and adoption. Without access to the lower prices paid by LICs and donors, MICs are burdened fiscally by the high vaccine prices paid by HICs. A more rapid and sustained uptake of new vaccines in MICs will increase the predictability of demand, increase the level of funding and reduce transaction cost. The MICs are starting, as a group, to lag behind significantly in the sustainable implementation of new life-saving vaccines such as dengue vaccine. This undesirable scenario has to change quickly, in the face of impending outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases such as dengue. With this in mind, we propose a new inclusive approach for pharmacoeconomic methodology for dengue vaccine pricing with the goal of making vaccine affordable to Malaysia leading to its sustained adoption.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

New model for dengue pharmacoeconomics

As the scopes and costs of new vaccines continue to grow in developed nations, and as the developing countries find it increasing difficult to cope, sound economic fundamental is essential in justifying decisions to introduce and sustain vaccination. The majority of studies illustrated that vaccines could be cost-effective at less than USD 100 per DAILY [1]. Given the low production cost of vaccines in DCVMN, a new analytical framework should be developed in LMIC to evaluate a cost-effective and affordable vaccine price based upon a comprehensive suite of factors that include R&D and production costs and overall economic burden of disease for transparent decision-making. A WHO study found the vaccine to be cost-effective in developed countries at the price of USD 7.64 per dose, weighted average for public and private sector including adminitrative costs [10]. The price for LMIC should therefore be much lower. Another recent study in Singapore concluded that a dengue vaccine involving three doses and conferring ten years of immunity would be cost-effective at a price threshold of USD 95 per dose, given Singapore GDP per capita of about USD 55,000. However, the authors admitted several limitations in the estimation of the economic and disease burdens [11]. The low efficacy and theoretical increase in risk due to vaccine-induced ADE have not been incorporated in their current pricing model. The low efficacy would require high rates of vaccine coverage to achieve herd immunity during episodes of high DENV transmission with high basic reproduction number $R_0$. With this backdrop, this paper attempts to propose a new pricing model framework for dengue vaccine, based upon five criteria. First, the pricing mechanism adopted in Singapore had arrived at the cost-effective threshold price of USD 95 for an assumed effective duration of 10 years*. Given a GDP per capita of about USD 11,000 in Malaysia versus USD 55,000 in Singapore, the GDP parity adjusted threshold price for Malaysia would be USD 95 × USD 11,000/USD 55,000 = USD 19.00. Second, as an MIC, Malaysia deserves and deserves to mandate that the dengue vaccine has to be highly cost-effective (1.0 DALY equal to 1.0 GDP) as compared to merely cost-effective (1.0 DALY equal to 3.0 GDP) as in the case with the Singapore paper. Given the further price reduction ratio of 3.0 GDP/1.0 GDP = 3.0, the highly cost-effective price for dengue vaccine would be reduced from USD 19.00 to USD 19.0/3.0 or USD 6.3, essentially equal to USD 5.00. In turn, would allow producers to fine-tune mass production to below 1 with a vaccine efficacy eris given as [11]:

$$f_r = 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{R_0} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Given a value of $\alpha = 0.5$ and the basic reproduction number $R_0 = 2$ during an epidemic, the theoretical vaccine coverage would be 1.0, which will require 100% vaccination in the entire population and thus would exert immense financial and administrative strains on the economy. Fifth, the estimated cost of dengue illness per capita for Malaysia was merely USD 2.0 (2007) [12]. This cost would imply dengue cost of less than USD 4.00 (2016) per capita, adjusted for GDP of USD 6830 in 2009 and the recent GDP of about USD 11,000 for Malaysia. This benchmark figure of USD 4.00 per capita should serve as a guide for highly cost-effective threshold for dengue vaccines in 2016. Using the fig. estimated above, this paper advocates that a highly cost-effective price threshold for dengue vaccine is about USD 5.00. Given that the production cost of dengue vaccine is USD 0.50 per dose, this threshold price of USD 5.00 per dose would confer adequate profits to producers to recover their R and D costs plus a handsome profit.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a pharmacoeconomic model for dengue vaccine price valuation based upon the WHO guidelines that link the in-country threshold price to the GDP per capita. We opted to mandate that the price should be highly cost-efficient, as opposed to merely cost-effective, reflecting the MIC status of Malaysia. We take into consideration a realistic mosquito biting rate of 0.33 per female mosquito per day and other credible input parameters that reflect the dengue ecology. Taking into consideration a combination of five criteria, this paper concludes that a highly cost-effective price threshold in Malaysia for dengue vaccine is USD 5.00 per dose. This price threshold would be widely affordable to and readily adopted by the Malaysian consumers, which will ensure sustained and predictable consumption. This, in turn, would allow producers to fine-tune mass production in a large, predictable manner to reduce the overall costs of production and distribution to less than USD 1.00 per dose. This will be a win-win situation for both producers and consumers. Further research to develop a transparent and comprehensive cost-effective pricing model for dengue vaccines will be pursued in the near future.
This pharmacoeconomic model will be based upon a comprehensive DENV dynamic transmission model that connects human SIR transmission framework [15] to mosquito ecology model [16] and that is linked to a pricing model as demonstrated in Shepard et al [12], Shafie [13] and Coudeville and Garnett [14].
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