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ABSTRACT
The precise patterning of front-side mesas, backside vias, and selective removal of ternary alloys are all needed for power device fabrication in the various wide bandgap (AlGaN/GaN, SiC) and ultrawide bandgap (high Al-content alloys, boron nitride, Ga2O3, diamond) semiconductor technologies. The plasma etching conditions used are generally ion-assisted because of the strong bond strengths in these materials, and this creates challenges for the choice of masks in order to have sufficient selectivity over the semiconductor and to avoid mask erosion and micromasking issues. It can also be challenging to achieve practical etch rates without creating excessive damage in the patterned surface. The authors review the optimum choices for plasma chemistries for each of the semiconductors and acknowledge the pioneering work of John Coburn, who first delineated the ion-assisted etch mechanism.

I. INTRODUCTION
The two wide bandgap semiconductors that are commercialized are SiC and GaN, both of which are used in devices for power switching and power amplifier applications. There are also emerging markets for lidar sensors for autonomous vehicles, multi-level converters, and motion control for robotics. Power electronics are responsible for controlling and converting electrical power to provide optimal conditions for transmission, distribution, and load-side consumption. High-voltage switching transistors used in these applications are required to have small ON resistance while providing very high blocking voltages in the OFF state. Achieving high power conversion efficiency requires low loss power semiconductor switches. Power semiconductor devices are used in three-terminal switches or two-terminal rectifiers, when forward biased should have minimal resistance in the on-state, and should support a large blocking voltage, $V_{gb}$, in the off-state. Increasing the thickness, $L_{N}$, or decreasing the doping, $N_{d}$, of an n-drift region increases the on-resistance, as given by

$$R_{on} = \frac{L_{N}}{\varepsilon_{s} \mu_{n} N_{d}}$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the electronic charge and $\mu_{n}$ is the electron mobility. The relationship between on-resistance and blocking voltage is given by

$$R_{on} = \frac{4 V_{gb}^{2}}{\varepsilon_{s} \mu_{n} E_{c}}$$

where $\varepsilon_{s}$ is the dielectric constant of the semiconductor and $E_{c}$ is the critical electric field.

Ultrawide bandgap (UWB) materials have critical fields $>2$ MV/cm and enable the use of thinner, more highly doped voltage-blocking
layers, which can reduce on-resistance by an order of magnitude relative to equivalent Si devices.7–10 Figure 1 shows a pentagram diagram comparing some of the key properties related to device operation (critical electric field, thermal conductivity, electron mobility, saturation velocity, and energy bandgap) for the current wide bandgap (4H-SiC, GaN) and most developed ultrawide bandgap (β-Ga2O3 and Al0.7Ga0.3N) semiconductors.

The critical electric field scales as a power of the bandgap. The exponent has generally been reported to be between 1.8 and 2.5, depending on the model used.9,10 A recent re-examination of data from a variety of semiconductors concluded that \( E_c \sim E_g \),18 but noted the critical field is dependent on temperature and doping.10 Figure 2 shows approximately how the critical electric field scales with bandgap using the Hudgins model for SiC and various compositions of AlGaN.10 High breakdown electric field and low conduction losses mean that ultrawide bandgap devices can achieve the same blocking voltage and on-state resistance with a smaller form factor.

There is interest in extending the performance limits using ultrawide bandgap materials that could potentially outperform SiC/GaN technology for power switching and power amplifier applications, since the on-state resistance at a given voltage decreases with bandgap.3 There is interest in a number of less developed semiconductors with bandgaps larger than GaN or SiC16–34 for power switching and power amplifier applications. This is an area of rapid progress as new applications such as electric vehicles and lightweight systems like drones emerge. These materials include diamond,17–22 boron nitride (BN),23 high Al-AlGaN,10,24–28 and Ga2O3.29–34 The high Al-AlGaN technology looks highly suited to lateral power devices but lack of large area, cheap native substrates, and issues with vertical conductivity may limit its use in vertical power devices.24–28 The advantages of AlGaN are its ultrawide bandgap and corresponding high critical breakdown field, \( E_c \). As discussed earlier, since \( E_c \) has been proposed to scale as a power law with bandgap \( E_c \sim E_g^2 \), then moving to high aluminum content (70% and above) pushes the bandgap to \( \geq 5.7 \text{ eV} \) and the theoretical breakdown to 13.4 MV/cm in accordance with the power law. Drawbacks include a lower electron mobility than binary alloys and difficulty in doping high Al-AlGaN.10 The usual Si dopant ionization level becomes very deep in Al-rich AlGaN, and ion implantation activation efficiency is low. While the initial device performance on these so-called UWB semiconductors looks promising, many challenges exist, including growth maturity, thermal limits, cost, and reliability in these material systems.

Another of these materials is Ga2O3, particularly the \( \beta \)-polymorph,29–34 which is the most stable and most studied one. The \( \beta \)-polymorph of Ga2O3 has a large power figure-of-merit and is available in large area bulk and epitaxial layer form. Commercially available SiC and GaN power devices still have high cost and limited availability of the native substrates compared to Si, SiC and GaN cannot be grown from the melt like Si and the commercialized techniques for their growth, such as seeded sublimation (also known as physical vapor transport, ammonothermal and hydride vapor phase epitaxy), only produce relatively high cost substrates in limited sizes. By contrast, for Ga2O3, the bulk growth methods of Czochralski, float-zone, edge-defined film-fed growth, and vertical Bridgman methods all produce low cost, large crystals.29–31 Ga2O3 is best suited to high-voltage applications because of its large bandgap. Combining Ga2O3 with In2O3 or Al2O3 allows tuning of the atomic and electronic structure.

The other ultrawide bandgap semiconductors under development are diamond and BN. These suffer from high cost (diamond)
and lack of mature technology (BN), but continue to be investigated because of their favorable materials properties.

There is generally an absence of good wet etching options for most of the wide bandgap semiconductors, with molten KOH the only universal option. However, this is impractical for pattern transfer and can only be used for blanket etching. The strong bonding of these materials always requires a high ion-driven (physical) component to the plasma etching, where it is generally understood that without ion-driven reactions, the etch rates under low ion density/energy conditions are very low. The challenge is to achieve anisotropic pattern transfer without excessive mask degradation under ion-driven etch conditions. It is also common to observe different etch rates depending on whether the plasma conditions are “neutral-starved” (reactive neutrals or radical density low) or “ion starved” (limited ion-enhancement due to low ion density) regimes. There is usually a threshold ion energy for the initiation of etching, since the etch products often do not have sufficient volatility to leave the surface without assistance by the physical sputtering from the impinging ions. The etch rate is generally proportional to the square root of the ion energy.

In this review, which is dedicated to the memory of John Coburn, we will discuss the plasma chemistries, masking requirements, and current state-of-the art in plasma etching of wide bandgap and ultrawide bandgap semiconductors.

II. DRY ETCHING OF WIDE AND ULTRAWIDE BANDGAP SEMICONDUCTORS

The predominant advantage of dry over wet etching is anisotropy. Almost all semiconductors except Si in some plasma chemistries are etched by the ion-enhanced energetic mechanism. In the case of Si, there can be surface reactions with neutral radicals (such as passivation of Si by chlorine to make SiClx) but there is little etching until energetic ions enhance the reactivity of a substrate or product layer, allowing the formation of the volatile product. The particular advantage of this etching mode is anisotropy. The basic configuration for the etch reactors employed is a parallel plate, planar plasma etching geometry, referred to as reactive ion etching (RIE). There is a zone, referred to as the plasma sheath that separates the plasma from the sample electrode. The electrodes become negatively charged by the electrons from the plasma because the electrons have greater mobility than do positive ions in the plasma.

A convenient yardstick for determining the importance of the need for a strong physical component of the etching mechanisms is the cohesive energy per bond of the semiconductor. These are listed in Table I, along with that of Si. For low energy ions (<100 eV), there may be significant differences in the resultant physical sputtering rates; however, for high energies (e.g., 500 eV ion), the difference is not great. However, the requirement for minimizing mask degradation and also ion-induced damage in the semiconductor means that practical etch processes always use relatively low ion energies.

The use of high-density plasma etch systems including electron cyclotron resonance (ECR), inductively coupled plasma (ICP), and magnetron RIE, has resulted in improved etch characteristics for the wide bandgap and ultrawide bandgap semiconductors as compared to RIE. This is attributed to plasma densities (10^11–10^12 cm^-3), which are typically 2 orders of magnitude higher than RIE (10^9–10^10 cm^-3), thus improving the bond-breaking efficiency in these strongly bonded semiconductors and the sputter desorption of etch products formed on the surface. The magnitude of the ion flux to the wafer determines the rate of reaction. It does not determine the efficiency of reaction. The efficiency of reaction is more a function of ion energy. You can have the same ion energy in RIE and ECR, depending on the substrate bias. So the efficiency can be the same while the rate is different.

Additionally, since ion energy and ion density can be more effectively decoupled as compared to RIE, plasma-induced damage is more readily controlled. High-density ECR plasmas are formed at low pressures with low plasma potentials and ion energies due to magnetic confinement of electrons in the source region. The sample is located downstream from the source to minimize exposure to the plasma and to reduce the physical component of the etch mechanism. Anisotropic etching can be achieved by superimposing an rf bias (13.56 MHz) on the sample and operating at low pressure (<5 mTorr) to minimize ion scattering and lateral etching. However, as the rf biasing is increased, the potential for damage to the surface increases.

ICP offers another high-density plasma etch platform to pattern group-III nitrides. ICP plasmas are formed in a dielectric vessel encircled by an inductive coil into which rf power is applied. The alternating electric field between the coils induces a strong alternating magnetic field. In a well operating ICP, the electromagnetic skin depth is a few centimeters, so there is essentially no magnetic field on axis due to efficient absorption by the plasma of the electromagnetic wave launched by the antenna. The plasma is peaked on axis because the transport is diffusion dominated not because of magnetic fields. The plasma density is high because of the high efficiency of heating electrons in the inductive field. Since ion energy and plasma density can be effectively decoupled, uniform density and energy distributions are transferred to the sample while keeping ion and electron energy low. Thus, ICP etching can produce low damage while maintaining fast etch rates. Anisotropy is achieved by superimposing of rf bias on the sample. A schematic of a typical ICP chamber and the configuration of the electric and magnetic fields is shown in Fig. 3.

A comment can be made concerning the blanket etch uniformity for these technologies—given the fact that the wide bandgap and ultrawide bandgap wafers are small compared to Si
4 in. diameter or less for GaN and SiC and 2–3 in. diameter for the ultrawide bandgap wafers), the etch uniformities are excellent, with variations in etch depth over the entire wafer of <5%.

III. PLASMA CHEMISTRIES

A. Wide bandgap

The three basic members of the III-nitride family are GaN, InN, and AlN, and alloys of these are used in devices, e.g., AlGaN and InAlN. Microfabrication of vertical power devices in this materials system often involves a mesa isolation step to define the body of the device and provide electrical isolation from near neighbors. In light-emitting diodes, the uppermost p-layer must be removed by dry etching to expose the underlying n-side of the pn junction for contacting. Since most of the III-nitrides have a high resistance to wet chemical etching, mesa isolation is typically accomplished using chlorine-based plasma etching, which is the preferred technique for patterning GaN and the related members of the nitride family. Investigations have shown that Cl₂/Ar plasmas can yield lower surface roughness and damage when compared to plasmas with BCl₃ additives, although the latter is commonly added because of its ability to remove oxides that inhibit the initiation of etching. The etch products for GaN are typically GaCl₃ and N₂, meaning that the chlorine radical density and the ion density are key factors, since a limiting step is the bond-breaking to allow these etch products to form. An alternative plasma chemistry to the chlorine-based ones is CH₄/H₂/Ar, which produces much slower etch rates and may have issues with polymer formation distorting the pattern transfer, but has fewer safety issues than chlorine and is well-suited to university labs.

**FIG. 3.** Schematic of the configuration of a typical ICP reactor showing the direction of the magnetic field and the geometry of the chamber.

**FIG. 4.** Comparison of GaN etch rates in Cl₂/Ar plasmas for different etch techniques—ICP, ECR, RIE, and RIBE. The two high ion density methods produce the fastest etch rates.

What is clear is that the high ion density variants (ICP and ECR) produce much higher etch rates than their low ion density counterparts (RIE and reactive ion beam etching, RIBE). The other obvious trend is the strong dependence of etch rate on dc self-bias, corresponding to the energy of the incident ions, which enhance the production and removal of volatile etch products. This ion-enhanced etch mechanism, relying on a synergism between the chemical and physical etch components, was pioneered by Coburn and co-workers. Previous investigations have shown that Cl₂/Ar plasmas can yield lower surface roughness and damage when compared to plasmas with BCl₃ additives, although the latter is commonly added because of its ability to remove oxides that inhibit the initiation of etching. The etch products for GaN are typically GaCl₃ and N₂, meaning that the chlorine radical density and the ion density are key factors, since a limiting step is the bond-breaking to allow these etch products to form. An alternative plasma chemistry to the chlorine-based ones is CH₄/H₂/Ar, which produces much slower etch rates and may have issues with polymer formation distorting the pattern transfer, but has fewer safety issues than chlorine and is well-suited to university labs.

**Figure 4** summarizes a comparison of typical etch rates for GaN in Cl₂/Ar discharges in different types of reactors. What is clear is that the high ion density variants (ICP and ECR) produce much higher etch rates than their low ion density counterparts (RIE and reactive ion beam etching, RIBE). The other obvious trend is the strong dependence of etch rate on dc self-bias, corresponding to the energy of the incident ions, which enhance the production and removal of volatile etch products. This ion-enhanced etch mechanism, relying on a synergism between the chemical and physical etch components, was pioneered by Coburn and co-workers.

**Figure 5** shows a typical dependence of GaN etch rate on dc self-bias under ICP conditions in the common Cl₂/BCl₃/Ar plasma chemistry, which is a versatile and commonly applicable one for nitrides, since it combines the Lewis acid BCl₃, which is an effective remover of native oxides and tends to produce more consistent results. Harrison et al. demonstrated ultradeep (≥5 μm) ECR plasma etching of rows of GaN micropillars. Parametric studies on the influence of the applied rf power, Cl₂ content in a Cl₂/Ar plasma chemistry, and operating pressure on the etch depth, GaN-to-SiO₂...
selectivity, and surface morphology were performed. Figure 6 shows the variation in the micropillar etch height and selectivity plotted as a function of rf power. Etch depths were generally found to increase as the rf power or ion energy was increased due to the enhancement of the physical sputtering component of the etch plasma. High rf powers can promote faster etch rates from increased ion bombardment, which improves the Ga–N bond-breaking and sputter-assisted desorption of etch by-products. From 50 to 200 W, the etch rates between ~0.08 and 0.27 μm/min. Etch depths of >10 μm were achieved over a wide range of parameters. Etch rates and sidewall roughness were found to be most sensitive to variations in rf power and % Cl₂ in the etch plasma. Selectivities of >20:1 GaN:SiO₂ were achieved under several chemically driven etch conditions where a maximum selectivity of ~39:1 was obtained using a pure Cl₂ plasma.

The etch rates, surface morphology, and sidewall profiles of features formed in GaN/InGaN/AlGaN multiple quantum wells by Cl₂-based dry etching are a good indicator of how the change in composition alters etch rates and selectivity. The chlorine provides an enhancement in etch rate of over a factor of 40 relative to the physical etch provided by Ar and the etching is reactant-limited (also called neutral-starved) until chlorine gas flow rates of at least 50 standard cubic centimeters per minute (power 250 W, volume residence time approximately 30 s, and fractional dissociation 5%). Mesa sidewall profile angle control is possible using a combination of Cl₂/Ar plasma chemistry and SiO₂ mask. N-face GaN is found to etch faster than Ga-face surfaces under the same conditions.

Damage effects during etching of these structures alters the electrical characteristics of these InGaN multiquantum-well pn junctions. Structures of this type were exposed to either Ar or H₂ inductively coupled plasmas as a function of both rf chuck power (controlling incident ion energy) and source power (controlling ion flux), to simulate the ion bombardment effects that occur during etching. The forward turn-on voltage was increased by both types of plasma exposure and was a function of both the incident ion energy and flux. The reverse bias current in the pn junctions was much larger in the case of H₂ plasma exposure,
indicating that the preferential loss of nitrogen leads to increased surface leakage. The current transport in the junctions was dominated by generation-recombination (ideality factor ∼2) both before and after the plasma exposures.

Atomic layer etching of GaN has been reported by Kauppinnen et al.19,20 using sequential surface modification by Cl2 adsorption and removal of the modified surface layer by low energy Ar plasma exposure in an RIE system. It was possible to use a simple photore sist mask for patterning GaN(0001) films by Atomic Layer Etching (ALE). The etch rate was constant with the number of ALE cycles, and the etch rate saturated when increasing the Ar ion dose. Almost exactly 1 ML etch per cycle was achieved with longer purge times.

Selective etching in the nitride family is possible, especially for GaN over AlGaN, because of the higher bond strengths of the latter.53–56 Commonly used plasma chemistries include chlorine and oxygen gases, with selectivity a strong function of oxygen ratio and also by RIE power, which controls ion energy. Maximum selectivity between GaN and Al0.25Ga0.75N achieved was at least 68.5 to 1. Optimum selectivity was obtained with a low oxygen flow to inhibit Al0.25Ga0.75N etching while steadily etching GaN.

Almost exactly 1 ML etch per cycle was achieved with longer purge times.

An acceptable SiC etch process for through-wafer via formation will simultaneously realize etch rates in excess of 2500 Å/min, highly anisotropic profiles, smooth surface morphologies with minimal micromasking, and minimal trenching. So far, a variety of plasma chemistries based on fluorine, including NF3, NF3/O2, SF6/O2, SF6/He, and SF6/O2/Ar, have been used for SiC etching.32–105 The active etch species are then the F radicals, forming SiF4 and CF2. In addition, the use of O2 in the plasma may provide an additional volatilization path for the C in the form of CO, CO2, or COF2 while simultaneously increasing the density of F free radicals. The choice of mask and platen are important, since they are potential sources of nonvolatile etch products. Aluminum containing platings such as sapphire, aluminum nitride, anodized aluminum, and nickel-plated aluminum all create unacceptable levels of micromasking in the vias since they lead to particulate formation on the wafer. Use of silica and silicon is also inappropriate due to the rapid etch rate of these materials in F-based plasma. The solution settled upon was the use of graphite.72 For a practical SiC via process, etch rates of >2500 Å/min are a reasonable target since the via depth of ≥100 μm would correspond to a 400 min etch time. This etch time may even be longer, due to the presence of RIE-lag, i.e., where the rate slows significantly as via depth increases, due to increasing difficulty transporting the reactive gases to and volatile products from the SiC surface. Figure 8 shows results for via etching over time for SF6/O2, and either 750/100 W or 950/250 W ICP/rf power. While the 950/250 W powers display much higher etch rates, the plasma is not stable at these elevated powers for long periods because this power is close to the maximum available on this particular etch system. Thus, it is necessary to use the slower 750/100 W etch parameters. This problem could be alleviated with use of a higher power level rf supply. At these powers, an initial etch rate of ∼3500 Å/min at 100 min is achieved. The etch rate decreases to 3000 Å/min at 350 min. The addition of He or Ar into the SF6/O2
plasma was found to reduce the amount of micromasking.87–90,97 These additional gases serve the dual purpose of significantly increasing the SiC etch rate without adversely affecting the sidewall profile or Ni mask etch rate. In addition, an Ar cleaning step of the SiC surface prior to etching dramatically decreased the amount of pillar formation. The SF$_6$/O$_2$/Ar discharges allow the use of Al-containing or graphite platens without an increase in the amount of micromasking in the vias. Etch rates of almost 5000 Å/min were achieved with minimal micromasking and trenching at the bottom of the via holes. Figure 9 shows SEM micrographs of deep features etched into SiC using this optimized process. The profile has the required $\sim 86^\circ$ sloped profile sidewalls and the walls are smooth. The uniformity across the wafer was ±2.2%.

In terms of masking, SiO$_2$ is a common choice for shallow SiC etching, using SF$_6$/O$_2$ plasma chemistry. This relatively clean chemistry produces etching species with good volatility. Selectivity to SiO$_2$ is 2:1:1, highlighting the issue of mask selectivity. These levels of selectivity call for a relatively thick mask or suggest the use of alternative conditions and/or masking materials. The selectivity to Al is more than double the selectivity to SiO$_2$—around 5:1—and the quality of the resulting etches is directly comparable. Smooth sloped 87–88° profiles with no microtrenches and an aspect ratio of more than 5:1 can be produced using Ni masks at an etch rate of around 250 nm/min.97,102 Uniformity is $\pm 5\%$ across a 100 mm wafer. SU8 is another widely used epoxy-based photoresist. The selectivity of SU8 to the SiC etching process was low (0.55:1).

Voss et al.102 also reported using SF$_6$ reactive ion etching to produce nanotexturing of SiC. The effect of etching process power and time was optimized to demonstrate sub-1% specular reflectance and below 5% total reflectance over the 400–2000 nm spectral range.

Recent advances in etching SiC have included accelerated etch rates using femtosecond laser modification,103 damage-free finishing of chemical vapor deposition (CVD) SiC by a combination of plasma etching and plasma-assisted polishing and the revealing of dislocations using ICP etching.104,105

IV. ULTRAWIDE BANDGAP

A. High Al-AlGaN

High Al-content AlGaN has the potential to enable the next generation of power switching transistors, using its ultrawide bandgap to increase the output power and breakdown voltage relative to GaN and SiC.106–123 The bandgap of this system varies from 4.4 eV for GaN to 6.2 eV for AlN. A common device structure

FIG. 8. SiC etch rate for ICP discharges of SF$_6$/O$_2$ at 7 mTorr, as a function of ICP and rf power and etch time. Reprinted with permission from Voss et al., J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 26, 487 (2008). Copyright 2008, American Vacuum Society.

FIG. 9. SEM images of deep features etched into SiC using ICP SF$_6$/O$_2$ discharges and an Ni/Ti mask. Reprinted with permission from Voss et al., J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 26, 487 (2008). Copyright 2008, American Vacuum Society.
for this materials system is a high electron mobility transistor (HEMT), in which a 2-dimensional electron gas channel is formed at the interface between two layers of differing bandgap, e.g., an Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N channel may be used in conjunction with a wider-bandgap Al$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$N barrier layer. A typical device structure is shown in Fig. 10. To date, numerous groups have demonstrated high Al-content AlGaN/AlGaN HEMTs with good gate control and leakage current. Devices containing pure AlN barriers are limited at present by source/drain Ohmic contact resistance. Dry etching is employed for several steps of Al$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$N/Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N HEMT fabrication, including mesa isolation and recessed gate structures. For example, in an AlN/Al$_{0.85}$Ga$_{0.15}$N barrier/channel heterostructure, source and drain contacts are fabricated by employing a dry etch of the AlN barrier and then regrowth of n$^+$ GaN or ion implantation of Si. Douglas et al. reported a comparison of the dry etching characteristics of Al$_{0.71}$Ga$_{0.29}$N, Al$_{0.85}$Ga$_{0.15}$N, and AlN, all of which were grown on an AlN buffer layer on a 1.3 mm thick sapphire substrate. An etch chemistry of Cl$_2$/BCl$_3$/Ar was employed, under ICP conditions.

Figure 11 shows the etch rate for the three materials as a function of either bias power (top) or plasma composition (bottom). There are several key points from these data. The first is that the etch rates increase with bias power, suggesting that the etching is ion-driven and is proportional to incident ion energy. The desorption of AlCl$_x$ compounds from the surface is likely the rate-limiting step. The nonlinear trend in etch rate may result from an adsorption limited etch regime, in which reactive species are sputtered off the surface prior to desorbing from the surface as a volatile component. The second point is that as the percentage of BCl$_3$ increases above 10%, the etch rate for all three compositions behaves very similarly with the overall etch rate decreasing and approaching zero at 100% BCl$_3$. In this case, BCl$_3$ does not produce a high concentration of Cl radicals, especially at low ICP and bias powers. This was confirmed by optical emission spectroscopy. The surface morphology for the three compositions of Al$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$N was excellent surface morphology as long as a minimum of 30% BCl$_3$ was used in the plasma chemistry.

These results confirm that the Cl$_2$/BCl$_3$/Ar chemistry can produce practical etch rates and good surface morphology for Al$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$N/Al$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$N device fabrication. This is a common mixture to use under ICP conditions, with the BCl$_3$ removing aluminum oxide surface layers, the Cl$_2$ providing the chemical etch component and the Ar the physical component. It also has an acceptable selectivity to common mask materials. It is worth noting that the CH$_4$/H$_2$/Ar plasma chemistry produces extremely slow etch rates for high Al-content AlGaN and is not widely used.

**BN**

BN is an emerging wide bandgap semiconductor for power electronics and deep UV photonic device applications, with an energy bandgap of $E_g \sim 5.9$ eV for the hexagonal phase and 6.4 eV.
for the cubic phase.\textsuperscript{124–139} It also has potential applications in solid-state neutron detection through the fission reaction between the neutrons and \(^{10}\text{B}\) atoms.\textsuperscript{130,133} BN is isoelectronic with carbon (diamond) and can possess sp\(^2\)- and sp\(^3\)-bonded phases. The four primary crystalline BN phases are the most common phase, hexagonal BN (h-BN), as well as rhombohedral BN, cubic BN (c-BN), and wurtzite BN. h-BN is comprised of sp\(^2\) B–N bonds forming planar hexagonal networks stacked along the c-axis in an AA\textsuperscript{2}AA\textsuperscript{2}AA configuration.\textsuperscript{129,130} The in-plane and c-axis lattice constants of h-BN are 0.250 and 0.666 nm, respectively, close to the values of graphite. c-BN has a zincblende lattice. As is the case for diamond, c-BN is an sp\(^3\)-bonded phase, which exhibits very high values of thermal conductivity, hardness, strength, and radiation resistance. BAIN alloys have been suggested as heterobase barriers for BAIN/AlN structures, and as quantum barriers for AlN quantum wells, potentially enabling optoelectronics in the ultradeep-UV range.

Hexagonal BN may be synthesized by CVD employing boron trichloride, ammonia, and hydrogen precursors.\textsuperscript{132} Interestingly, the reverse reaction can be used for etching, i.e., the use of BCl\(_3\)/H\(_2\) plasma chemistry will form volatile etch products under ion-assisted conditions where the physical component is used to break bonds. h-BN is stable in air up to 1000 °C, under vacuum up to 1400 °C, and in an inert atmosphere to 2800 °C.\textsuperscript{132} An advantage of h-BN is its compatibility with AlGaN, and because of its good p-type doping capability and favorable band alignment, h-BN has advantages over AlN as a material for electron-blocking and hole-injector layers in AlGaN-based optoelectronics.\textsuperscript{132,133}

There have been a number of plasma chemistries reported for dry etching of BN,\textsuperscript{140} including CH\(_4\)/Ar at a rate of \(\sim 3\) nm min\(^{-1}\), Cl\(_2\)/Ar, and Ar/H\(_2\), selective vapor phase etching of h-BN over c-BN using NH\(_3\) or HCl at >600 °C, CF\(_4\)/H\(_2\), SF\(_6\), and Cl\(_2\)/BCl\(_3\)/Ar under ICP conditions reaching 1.25 \(\mu\)m min\(^{-1}\). The etch products are expected to be BCl\(_3\), N\(_2\) with chlorine-based chemistries and BF\(_3\), and NF\(_3\) and N\(_2\) in fluorine-based discharges. The dependence of etch rate on ICP power at fixed rf power and pressure are shown in Fig. 12—the rates and anisotropy are clearly acceptable for device fabrication schemes.\textsuperscript{140} At very high ICP powers, the dc self-bias on the sample decreases and this reduces the efficiency of etch product removal and also the initial bond-breaking that allows the etch products to form.

**C. Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)**

Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) is emerging as a viable candidate for power electronics, solar blind UV photodetectors, solar cells, and sensors with capabilities beyond existing technologies due to its large bandgap of \(\sim 4.8\) eV.\textsuperscript{141–159} The theoretical breakdown field is \(\sim 8\) MV/cm, and the electron saturation velocity is \(\sim 10^7\) cm/s. Combined with availability of excellent crystalline quality native substrates grown by the standard melt-growth methods, high quality epitaxial films, and existence of wide bandgap ternaries of (Al,Ga\(_{1-x}\))\(_2\)O\(_3\) that can be used as barrier layers in modulation doped field effect transistors, there is a basis for optimism about the technological prospects.\textsuperscript{59,90,146,147} Donor doping is available in bulk and epi growth methods, and it is possible to grow semi-insulating buffer layers for lateral transistors. There is a need to pattern Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) when fabricating UV solar blind photodetectors and transistors. In the specific case of vertical rectifiers, no direct etching of the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) is needed, but the rectifying contact and dielectric overlap (field plate) structures are defined by lithography and etching. The maximum etch rates reported to date are <150 nm min\(^{-1}\). The plasma chemistries tried have included Cl\(_2\)/BCl\(_3\), Cl\(_2\)/Ar, BCl\(_3\), BCl\(_3\)/SF\(_6\), BCl\(_3\)/Ar, SF\(_6\)/Ar, and CF\(_2\)/O\(_2\). The highest rates are achieved under high-density plasma conditions, such as ICP.\textsuperscript{140–143,156,157} For thinning of exfoliated flakes, SF\(_6\) provides a low and controllable rate.\textsuperscript{155} Once again, the CH\(_4\)/H\(_2\)/Ar plasma chemistry produces impractically slow etch rates.

The high bond strength of \(\beta\)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) suggests that ion-assisted etching will be the likely mechanism needed to achieve practical etch rates.\textsuperscript{153} This can be enhanced using Ar added to the chlorinated gases. The energy of ions striking the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) is basically determined by the dc self-bias on the sample electrode. This self-bias was \(-102\) to \(-820\) V for our set of conditions for Cl\(_2\)/Ar plasma etching. Figure 13(a) shows the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) etch rate as a function of ICP source power for different conditions of frequency of power applied to the sample electrode (13.56 or 40 MHz) and the BCl\(_3\)/Ar or Cl\(_2\)/Ar plasma chemistries. The corresponding dc self-bias are shown in Fig. 13(b). Note that as the ICP source power is increased, the dc self-bias on the sample position is suppressed because of the higher ion density in the plasma. The etch rate increases monotonically with ICP source power, due to the increase
increases due to $B^+$ and $BCl_3^+$ formation. In $Cl_2$-based discharges, the atomic and molecular chlorine species do not have the same ability to remove oxygen.\textsuperscript{123}

There is a threshold ion energy for the initiation of etching of $\sim 75$ eV for pure Ar, from fitting to the standard model for ion-assisted etching in a collision-cascade process.\textsuperscript{108,109} The etch rate will be proportional to $E^{0.5} - E_{\text{TH}}^{1.5}$, where $E$ is the ion energy and $E_{\text{TH}}$ is the threshold energy. Ion-assisted etching leads to anisotropic sidewalls because of the absence of the chemical etchings, which produces undercutting of patterned features.

Schottky barrier height measurements are a sensitive indicator of near-surface damage created by dry etching.\textsuperscript{157} Figure 14 shows the reverse I-V characteristics of diodes fabricated on the etched surfaces using either 40 MHz (a) or 13.56 MHz (b) rf chuck biases with different ICP powers and etch times, all with $BCl_3$/Ar discharges. The reference diodes that were not exposed to the plasma exhibited reverse breakdown voltages of $\sim 50$ V. In plasma exposed diodes, the reverse breakdown voltage was significantly reduced as a result of ion-induced damage and nonstoichiometry of the surface. The extent of this degradation depended on the self-bias, which controls the incident ion energy and hence the density of point defects created by the impinging ions. The damage induced by plasma exposure could be essentially be completely removed annealing at 450 °C.\textsuperscript{151,153}

Minority carrier diffusion lengths were also measured from the exponential decay of electron-beam-induced current (EBIC) as a function of distance from the gate.\textsuperscript{156} The EBIC data showed only a small reduction in minority carrier diffusion length from 350 $\mu$m in the control sample to 307 $\mu$m in the plasma exposed Ga$_2$O$_3$. It is also possible to simulate the maximum damage created by subjecting samples to a pure Ar ICP treatment. This plasma exposure caused the built-in voltage of Ni Schottky diodes deposited on the plasma treated surfaces to decrease from 1 to $\sim 0.02$ V due to the increased deep trap concentration in the near-surface region.\textsuperscript{151,153} There was an increase in the top $\sim 200$ nm of the plasma treated layer of the concentration of $E_2^+$ ($E_2^+$ $= 0.8$ eV) and $E3$ ($E_3^+$ $= 1.05$ eV) deep electron traps. There was also an increase in the upper $\sim 100$ nm of the film in the concentration of deep acceptors with optical threshold for ionization of $\sim 2.3$ and 3.1 eV. Such defects at the surface led to a significant increase in reverse current, an increase in the ideality factor in forward current, and a dramatic decrease in the diffusion length of nonequilibrium charge carriers from 450 to 150 nm. Figure 15 summarizes the change in deep trap concentration in the near-surface region as a result of Ar plasma exposure.

Similarly, exposure of the Ga$_2$O$_3$ surface to hydrogen plasmas produced a $\sim 2.5$ $\mu$m-thick surface region, depleted of electrons at room temperature.\textsuperscript{158,159} This thickness correlates with the hydrogen penetration depth based on previous experiments. The Fermi level pinning position in the H treated film was $E_H = -1.05$ eV. Annealing at 450 °C decreased the thickness of the depletion layer to 1.3 $\mu$m at room temperature and moved the Fermi level pinning position to $E_F = -0.8$ eV. Further annealing at 550 °C almost restored the starting shallow donor concentration and the spectra of deep traps dominated by $E_D = -0.8$ eV and $E_F = -1.05$ eV observed before hydrogen treatment. Hydrogen plasma exposure produces surface damage in the near-surface region and passivates or compensates shallow donors.

in source power producing higher ion and reactive neutral densities. The highest etch rate achieved was $\sim 1300$ Å/min$^{-1}$ using 800 W ICP source power and 200 W chuck power (13.56 MHz) with both plasma chemistries. Shah and Bhattacharya reported a selectivity of 2.7 over an SiN$_x$ mask under similar conditions.\textsuperscript{150}

Under some conditions, the $BCl_3$-based discharges produce higher etch rates than $Cl_2$-based.\textsuperscript{150} A possible explanation is that $BCl_3$ radicals (probably BCI) react with the oxygen in the Ga$_2$O$_3$ and the $BCl_3^+$ ions provide ion-enhanced sputtering, since it is a Lewis acid with a strong affinity for removing oxides. $BCl_3$ is known to increase the Cl radical density when added to chlorine-based discharges at low concentrations but also the ion density
We can conclude from the published I-V, PL and EBIC data that ICP plasma creates small densities of point defects in the near-surface region of Ga2O3. The O/Ga ratio in the etched region does not change from that in the reference material, at least to the sensitivity of Auger electron spectroscopy.

D. Diamond

The usual plasma chemistries for etching diamond are based on either O2 or H2.160–185 In the latter case, the hydrogen selectively reveals defects, with steps, etch pits, subgrains, and collapse of crystal grains appearing on the diamond films during the etching process.185 The different regions of diamond films are preferentially etched at different treatment stages by hydrogen plasma. The dielectric properties of nanocrystalline diamond can be improved by hydrogen plasma exposure, with dielectric constant close to intrinsic diamond and low dielectric loss. Kuroshima et al.175 reported the formation of atomically flat diamond (111) surfaces by anisotropic etching during hydrogen plasma treatment, a result of the different binding energies of the surface atoms. Figure 16 shows images of diamond (111) surfaces before and after hydrogen plasma etching. The trench structure of the diamond (111) surface was observed to change from hexagonal to square shape after hydrogen plasma etching for 60 h [Fig. 14(b)]. As shown in Fig. 16(c), the trench structure changed from triangular to hexagonal shape by increasing the duration of the hydrogen plasma etching treatment to 100 h.

Pure oxygen plasmas have been used to produce diamond micromechanical components, while the majority of etching processes are based on oxygen plasma in combination with a secondary gas, such as Ar, Cl2, CHF3, or CF4. The latter are used to reduce micromasking that originates in particles sputtered from the mask or surfaces of the etch chamber and balance the chemical etch component from the O2. The etch products are expected to be COx species. Figure 17 shows a deep set of features etched into single crystal diamond at a rate of 0.22 μm min−1 using an O2/Ar...
discharge. Under ICP conditions with 800 W source power, 100 W rf chuck power, 5 mTorr pressure, etch rates of polycrystalline diamond were in the range 0.8–3 μm min⁻¹. Muchnikov et al.⁴³¹ reported etch rates for single crystal diamond of 2 μm min⁻¹ at dc bias voltages of 240 V in Ar/Cl₂. Golovanov et al.⁴³³ used SF₆ plasma chemistry to achieve etch rates of 5 μm min⁻¹ at a dc bias of 250 V, with selectivities of 3 to Al₂O₃, 11 to Al, 75 to Ni, and 0.14 to Mo.

A key requirement for obtaining smooth surface morphologies is to first polish the diamond surface mechanically using the standard diamond grit process. It is also possible to cycle etch conditions to reduce the formation of micromasks.

A summary of the plasma chemistries, maximum achievable etch rates, and selectivities to common mask materials is shown in Table II. Note that selectivities well above the target value of 10 are readily achievable.

| Plasma chemistry | Max etch rate (μm min⁻¹) | Selectivity to mask |
|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| GaN Cl₂/Ar       | 0.9                      | 30 to SiO₂          |
| Cl₂/BCl₃/Ar      | 0.8                      | 15 to Ni            |
| CH₄/H₂/Ar        | 0.2                      | 10 to SiO₂          |
| SiC SF₆/O₂       | 0.5                      | 5 to Al             |
| NF₃/O₂           | 0.4                      | 3 to SiO₂           |
| Al₉₀Ga₁₀N Cl₂/BCl₃/Ar | 0.5              | 5 to PR             |
| BN SF₆           | 1.25                     | 4–7 to Al           |
| Cl₂/BCl₃/Ar      | 0.3                      | 8–10 to Ni          |
| Ga₂O₃ BCl₃/Ar    | 0.13                     | 2.7 to SiNₓ         |
| Cl₂/Ar           | 0.13                     | 3 to SiNₓ          |
| Diamond O₂/Ar    | 2–13                     | <1 to PR            |
| Cl₂/Ar           | 6                        | 5 to SiO₂           |
| SF₆/O₂           | 2–16                     | 75 to Ni            |
| CF₄/O₂           | 1–9                      | 50 to Al            |
| H₂               | 0.09                     | 10⁴ to any metal    |

FIG. 16. Optical images of diamond (111) surfaces on a 10 × 10 μm² trench structure: (a) before H₂ plasma etching treatment; (b) after a 60 h H₂ plasma etch; and (c) after a 100 h H₂ plasma etch. Reprinted with permission from Kuroshima et al., Appl. Surf. Sci. 422, 452 (2017). Copyright 2017, Elsevier.

FIG. 17. SEM of smooth etched features in diamond after an Ar/O₂ plasma etch. Reprinted with permission from Enlund et al., Carbon, 43, 1839 (2005). Copyright 2005, Elsevier.
V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A common theme with wide bandgap and ultrawide bandgap semiconductors is their generally high bond strengths. In terms of plasma etching, this translates to ion-assisted etch mechanisms. The advantage of operating in this mode is the anisotropy of the etched features, but a drawback is the increased attention that must be paid to mask selection. It is common to use metal or dielectric masks when etching deep features in these materials. Ohmic contacts are a significant challenge for these materials. In conventional semiconductors, Ohmic contacts are typically realized by metal-semiconductor junctions in which the potential barrier becomes very thin due to high doping in the semiconductor, leading to tunneling conduction. Achieving high levels of doping in wide bandgap semiconductors is problematic. An alternative way to ion implantation doping to get good Ohmic contacts in wide bandgap materials is the etch/regrowth approach. This requires low amounts of induced damage and changes to the stoichiometry of the surface that is processed and requires low damage etch conditions. Generally, the high-density plasma etching approaches, predominantly ICP, combined with polymer-free plasma chemistries, are employed for the semiconductors discussed here.
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