Large conductance voltage- and Ca$^{2+}$-activated K$^+$ (BK) channels associated with its auxiliary $\beta$ subunits show functional varieties in many native cells (1–13). The auxiliary $\beta 1$–$\beta 4$ subunits of BK channels are composed of two transmembrane segments and a large extracellular segment (5, 14–16). For $\beta 2$ subunits, three hydrophobic residues FIW at its N terminus produces a rapid inactivation of BK channels (15), whereas it does not follow a typical N-type inactivation mechanism (17). Recently, Zhang et al. (18) reported that trypsin can easily target the Arg$^4$ and Arg$^9$ of nine basic residues before the helix. In addition, its extracellular loop prevents the scorpion toxin charybdotoxin from approaching the channel pore (9) and induces the rectification of BK channels (19). It has been reported that the $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ subunits contain endocytic sorting signals at their C termini, which can down-regulate the association MaxiK channel surface expression levels (14, 20). The $\beta 1$ subunit can reach the cell membrane alone, whereas the $\beta 2$ subunit only appears underneath the plasma membrane (14, 20, 21). Obviously, the trafficking mechanism of $\beta 2$ subunits is still unknown so far.

There are many mechanisms for governing the surface expression of ion channels and thus the electrical activity of a cell. A channel protein in a misfolded or unfolded state can be trapped in the ER as demonstrated by measurements of the surface labeling, luminometry. Some retrieval/retention and anterograde signals determine the ER exit of proteins (22). The retention sequence RRK appearing in potassium inward rectifying channel (Kir) and its auxiliary SUR1 subunit is due to improperly folded or assembled channels (22, 23). Both the Kir and SUR1 subunits have the cytosolic RRK sequences, which must be masked by the assembly of octamer before channels can be transported to the cell surface (23). Zarei et al. (24) also report that a nonbasic hydrophobic retention/retrieval motif CVLF prevents the surface expression of mslo $\alpha$ and $\beta 1$-subunits.

With the help of immunofluorescence and patch clamp techniques, we find that the auxiliary $\beta 2$ subunit cannot traffic to the cell surface without associating with the mslo $\alpha$ subunit. Fortunately, the $\beta 2$ subunit can solely traffic to the cell surface only after demolishing or destroying a four-turn $\alpha$ helical segment of its N terminus (25), which may implicate a novel trafficking mechanism. We also find that the most accessible region by antibody is located at the middle position of the $\beta 2$ subunit, suggesting that it may be the important site in regulating the toxin sensitivity and rectification of inactivating BK currents.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Constructions and Mutagenesis—The full-length cDNA for each of the mslo $\alpha$ or $\beta 2$ were subcloned into pcDNA3.1/Zeo$^+$ (Invitrogen). For the construct h$\beta 2$-Tdimer2, h$\beta 2$ cDNA, and Tdimer2 cDNA were subcloned into pcDNA3.1/Zeo$^+$ (+). The BK pore-forming $\alpha$ subunit (mslo) was tagged with the c-Myc epitope (EQKLISEEDL) at the N terminus. To get a green fluorescence protein targeted ER, pEGFP-ER, the enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) was used to substitute the DsRed2 in pDsRed2-ER (Clontech). The human $\beta$ subunits (h$\beta 1$/h$\beta 2$) were tagged with epitope (c-Myc,
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EQKLISEEDL; hemagglutinin, YPYDVPDYA) at different positions of the putative extracellular loop by sequential overlap extension PCR. Series of constructs for deletions of the hβ2–137myc N terminus were made by deleting appropriate amino acids from hβ2–137myc and introducing a new start codon with PCR primers. The mutations on hβ2–137myc were created with the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). All of the constructs and mutations were verified by direct DNA sequence analysis.

Immunofluorescence Imaging in HEK293 Cells—HEK293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 IU/ml penicillin, and 100 IU/ml streptomycin. The cells were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After transfection, the live cells were transferred to a poly-D-lysine-coated chamber. The next day, the cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline for 5 min. For cell permeabilization, 0.2% Triton X-100 was added for 5 min. After blocked with 5% goat serum for 1 h, the cells were incubated with a monoclonal anti-human c-Myc antibody (1:200) for 3 h, washed, and incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (1:150) for 1 h. All of the experiments were performed at room temperature (22–25 °C). Mouse anti-human c-Myc and fluorescein isothiocyanate rabbit anti-mouse IgG (H+L) conjugate were purchased from Zymed Laboratories Inc.

We took advantage of the high numeric aperture objective (APO ×100 OHR, NA = 1.65, Olympus) to take high resolution fluorescence images of transfected cells. Excitation light from a fiber optical coupled monochromator (Polychrome IV; TILL Photonics GmbH, Germany) was passed through a shutter that opened only during camera exposure. The wavelength selection and switch were controlled by the image acquiring software (TILL vision 4.0; TILL Photonics GmbH). The images were acquired with a cooled CCD (PCO SensiCam; Germany) with pixel size of 0.067 μm at the specimen plane.

Image Analysis and Statistics—Images were viewed, processed, and analyzed in TILL Vision (TILL Photonics, Germany) and Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems) and IMAGE J (National Institutes of Health, Public Domain). The exposure time was 2000 ms. Control experiments were executed for each batch of experiments. All of the experiments were performed at there different batches. At each batch, every chamber is normalized to the control.

Solutions—HEK293 cells were bathed in ND-96 solution (pH 7.5) containing the following: 96 mm NaCl, 2 mm KCl, 1.8 mm CaCl2, 1 mm MgCl2, 2.5 mm C3H6O3Na (sodium pyruvate), and 10 mM HEPES. For current recording of BK channels, extracellular solution contained the following: 160 mM MeSO3K, 2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, titrated with MeSO3H2O; intracellular solution contained the following: 160 mM MeSO3K, 10 mM HEPES, 5 mM N-hydroxyethylendiaminetetraacetic acid with added Ca2+ for 10 μM free Ca2+ and 5 mM EGTA with no added Ca2+ for 0 μM Ca2+ solution, as defined by the EGTAETC program (McCleskey, Vollum Institute, Portland, OR), with the pH adjusted to 7.0, titrated by MeSO3H2O. All of the chemicals were attained from Sigma.

Electrophysiology—Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries with a resistance of 2–4 megohms when filled with pipette solution. Inside-out patches were used in experiments. During recordings, 0 and 10 μM Ca2+ solutions were applied onto the cell via a perfusion pipette containing seven solution channels. All of these experiments were performed at room temperature (22–25 °C). The experiments were performed using a PC2C patch clamp amplifier with its software (InBio). The currents were typically digitized at 100 kHz. Macroscopic records were filtered at 5 kHz. Patch clamp recording data were analyzed with IGOR (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR), Clampfit (Axon Instruments, Inc.), and Sigmaplot (SPSS, Inc.) software.

RESULTS

Auxiliary hβ2 Subunits Alone Reside in the ER—The hβ subunits of a four-member β family (hβ1–hβ4) have the similar structure, i.e. with two transmembrane segments, an extra large loop, and a few consensus glycosylation sites (26) (Fig. 1A). The hβ2 subunits like other β subunits have many ways to regulate the mslo BK channels. For example, its extracellular loop alters the conductance and toxin sensitivity of BK channels, but its N terminus introduces a rapid inactivation of BK channels (9, 17, 19). The hβ1 subunits have been reported and can traffic to the cell surface alone (14). However, it is unclear whether the auxiliary hβ2 subunits also traffic to the plasma membrane as hβ1 subunits do. To investigate the trafficking mechanism of auxiliary hβ2 subunits, a c-Myc epitope was tagged to the center of the loop for measuring the surface expression of hβ2 subunits (Fig. 1A). Considering that recognition signals (export and retention/retrieval) of proteins in the ER are mostly found at the N or C termini, we constructed a series of mutations at the N terminus to investigate the trafficking mechanism of the hβ2 subunits (Fig. 1B). A three-dimensional structure of the N-terminal helix from 18 to 31 is plotted in the Fig. 1C (25).

Because coexpression of mslo α and hβ2 subunits can make the inactivating functional BK channels, we expected that images for coexpression of Myc-mslo (green) and hβ2-Tdimer2 (red) should show their coexistence in HEK293 cells. In fact, images for Myc-mslo, hβ2-Tdimer2, and Myc-mslo + hβ2-Tdimer2 show green (left), red (middle), and yellow (right) brims, respectively, which indicates coexistence of two proteins on the cell surface (Fig. 2A). In contrast, there was no red contour distinctly appearing on the cell brim, whereas the hβ2-Tdimer2 was transfected alone in HEK293 cells. The image coexpression of pEGFP-ER with hβ2-Tdimer2 demonstrates that two proteins coexist in the ER (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, there was no detectable colocalization with coexpression of the hβ2-Tdimer2 with an EGF-tagged Golgi marker (data not shown) that meant the hβ2 subunit should be retained in ER. Because the Tdimer2 is a protein with more than 450 amino acids, it may influence the traffic of hβ2. To precisely recognize and quantify surface expression of hβ2 subunits, a c-Myc epitope was tagged at the loop. Similarly, the image for coexpression of mslo with hβ2–137myc revealed that the hβ2–137myc subunits were transported to the membrane surface (Fig. 2C, right panel). It is interesting that the surface signals (green) of the hβ2–137myc subunits alone could be detected only under permeabilized
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conditions (Fig. 2C, left and middle panels). In other words, the green signals were undetectable under non-permeabilized conditions, whereas the hβ2–137myc subunits were transfected alone in HEK293 cells (Fig. 2C, left panel). In conclusion, a surprising finding is that the hβ2 subunit cannot traffic to the plasma membrane alone except that it expresses with the mslo α subunits together.

The hβ2 Subunit Is Restricted in the ER by Four-turn α-Helical Segment—To look for the retention signals of hβ2 subunits, we deleted the C terminus (ΔC) first and then the initial three hydrophobic residues FIW (ΔFIW). In Fig. 3A, mutations ΔC and ΔFIW failed to relieve hβ2 from being retained in ER, but they did traffic to the cell surface after coexpressing with the mslo subunits (data not shown). After deleting the first 10, 20, and 30 amino acids of N terminus, we found that the construct Δ30A was the only one appearing on the cell surface, which illuminates that the retention signals of the hβ2 subunits must be concealed among the residues ranging from 20 to 29. Examining their fractional intensities plotted in Fig. 3D, we further confirmed that only Δ30A could traffic to the cell surface.

The constructs Δ23A, Δ26A, and Δ30A were designed to precisely determine the retrieval signal or domain. After gradually decreasing the intensity of retention signals, we determined that the retrieval signal is a motif of 23–29 amino acids (Fig. 3B). To further determine the exact sequence of retention signals, the mutations ΔKIR and ΔDHDL were designed to relieve the retention signal of ER. In Fig. 3B, the construct ΔKIR is clearly a better mutation than the construct ΔDHDL for that purpose. It indicated that the motif KIR played a major role in trapping hβ2 in the ER, which is also consistent with the statistical data of the fractional intensities shown in Fig. 3E.

Because alanine-scanning mutations from Q23A to L30A did not show any remarkable surface expression (data not shown), we thus inferred that the motif KIR might be only a core of an expanded sequence. Considering that the motif KIR is located within a four-turn α helical segment ranging from residues 18 to 31 (25), we tested whether the whole helix served as a retention signal. A series of mutations, i.e. 23A–26A, 24A–26A, 24A–27A, and 23A–27A, were made to flatten the helix (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, mutant Q23P,D27P was constructed to destroy the helix by two prolines, because proline residue is usually thought to promote distortions of transmembrane helices (27, 28) (Fig. 3C). In Fig. 3 (E and F), the expressions of 23A–27A is about 30% more than that of 24A–26A or ΔKIR, which indicates that the motif KIR plays a role in trafficking through its secondary structure.

FIGURE 1. Mutagenesis at the N terminus and extracellular loop of the auxiliary hβ2 subunits of BK channels. A, topology of hβ2 subunits exhibits a putative structure, which consists of a N terminus, two transmembrane domains (TM1 and TM2), a long extracellular loop, and a short C terminus. The N terminus contains three components: an inactivation “ball” domain, an α-helical segment (dark gray), and two flexible linkers. Four conserved cysteines (medium-dark gray) in the extracellular loop are located to positions 84, 113, 142, and 174, respectively. In most experiments, a c-Myc epitope (light gray) was inserted at position 137 of the extracellular loop. B, a series of N-terminal mutations and constructs of hβ2 subunits is shown in the list. The residues 18–31 of the α helical segment are labeled in light gray, and the substituting residues are in dark gray. C, a three-dimensional structure of the four-turn helix from 18 to 31 of hβ2 subunits gives more detailed orientation of residues. The hydrophobic residue is labeled in light gray, the negatively charged one is in dark gray, and the positively charged one is in medium-dark gray.
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FIGURE 3. Determination of retention motif of hβ2 at both the N and C termini by site-directed mutagenesis. A, images for HEK293 cells transfected with the constructs ΔC (a C-terminal deletion from Tyr14 to Arg27), ΔFIW, Δ10A, Δ20A, and Δ30A tagged with a c-Myc epitope at position 137 are shown. The scale bar indicates 5 μm. B, images for HEK293 cells transfected with the constructs and mutations Δ23A, Δ26A, Δ30A, ΔKIR, and ΔDHDL tagged with c-Myc epitope at position 137 are shown. The scale bar indicates 5 μm. C, images for HEK293 cells transfected with the mutations 24A–26A, 23A–26A, 24A–27A, 23A–27A, and Δ30A tagged with c-Myc epitope at position 137 are shown in the upper panels. The images for HEK293 cells co-transfected with Q23PD27P and QKIRD-hβ1 (a N-terminal mutation of hβ1) tagged a hemagglutinin epitope at position 98 are shown in the lower panels. The scale bar indicates 5 μm. D–G, the statistical value of fractional pixel intensity is plotted for each mutation as indicated. The fractional intensity of mutations was normalized to the controls mslo + Δ30A (D and E), Δ30A (G), and hβ1 (3G), respectively (see “Experimental Procedures”). The percentage intensities of ΔC, mslo + ΔC, ΔFIW, mslo + ΔFIW, Δ10A, mslo + Δ10A, Δ20A, mslo + Δ20A, Δ30A, and mslo + Δ30A are 15.2 ± 1.8 (n = 21), 89.5 ± 10.9 (n = 36), 17.7 ± 3.0 (n = 16), 99.5 ± 8.8 (n = 58), 13.4 ± 1.9 (n = 14), 92.1 ± 11.4 (n = 43), 10.7 ± 0.5 (n = 20), 101.9 ± 5.5 (n = 74), 100.0 ± 7.2 (n = 75), and 100.0 ± 0.0 (n = 68), respectively (O). The percentage intensities of Δ23A, mslo + Δ23A, Δ26A, mslo + Δ26A, Δ30A, mslo + Δ30A, ΔKIR, mslo + ΔKIR, ΔDHDL, and mslo + ΔDHDL are 41.9 ± 4.5 (n = 23), 104.7 ± 10.8 (n = 62), 79.0 ± 10.1 (n = 97), 96.4 ± 7.7 (n = 38), 103.5 ± 11.9 (n = 59), 100.0 ± 0.0 (n = 84), 51.9 ± 7.3 (n = 45), 94.3 ± 8.1 (n = 73), 15.8 ± 7.6 (n = 13), and 106.5 ± 10.0 (n = 41), respectively (O). The percentage intensities of 24A–26A, 23A–26A, 24A–27A, 23A–27A, Δ30A, and Q23PD27P are 51.9 ± 7.3 (n = 44), 68.8 ± 4.2 (n = 46), 68.1 ± 1.3 (n = 64), 84.2 ± 6.6 (n = 123), 100.0 ± 0.0 (n = 82), and 47.5 ± 9.2 (n = 46), respectively (F). The construct QKIRD-hβ1 is shown at the top inset in G. The substituting residues are labeled in gray. The percentage intensities of hβ1 and QKIRD-hβ1 are 100.0 ± 0.0 (n = 51) and 96.8 ± 1.6 (n = 44), respectively (G).

To further demonstrate whether the retention signal is a fixed sequence or a secondary structure, we inserted the QKIRD sequence into the N terminus of hβ1 subunits i.e. QKIRD-hβ1 (Fig. 3, C and G). The mutant QKIRD-hβ1 of hβ1 did not show any clear impact on the surface expression of hβ1 subunits. Therefore, the retention signal of hβ2 subunits is the four-turn helix itself rather than the primary sequence QKIRD.

Furthermore, the motif KIR also appears in the βE of the C terminus of mslo subunits (29), which is obviously not a retention signal of BK channels.

To test whether the retention signal at the N terminus was able to present at other locations of hβ2, we translocated the potential retention signal, i.e. the first 33 amino acids of N terminus, to the C terminus of hβ2 after Arg233 (C33). The C33 alone shows little expression, whereas the mslo + C33 only shows a little reduction compared with that of the wild type hβ2 (Fig. 4). It means that the same retention signal presents at the new location. We thus believe that the four-turn helix structure at the N terminus is a retention signal of hβ2.

Accessibility of the Extracellular Segment of hβ2 for Antibody Varies with Loci of c-Myc Epitope—We first define the c-Myc antibody accessibility at different positions in the outer segment/loop of hβ2. The differential fluorescence intensity of c-Myc antibody at positions in the hβ2 outer loop may arise from either the cysteine-rich and highly glycosylated structure of the loop or restrictions on access of the big antibody molecule to small volumes. The stronger fluorescence intensity means the c-Myc antibody molecule is easier to reach and bind with its antigen through a more spacious pathway. We thus examined the position dependence of antibody accessibility by inserting c-Myc antigen at different sites in the loop, which was used to provide the detailed structural conformation of the extracellular segment of hβ2.

In addition to the site Lys137, c-Myc epitope was also introduced either at the C terminus or at the sites 72, 76, 114, 126, 158, and 185 in the loop. However, Lys137 is the only site with the clear c-Myc signals in either hβ2 alone or hβ2 with mslo together (Table 1). It is abnormal that we cannot see the c-Myc signals at positions 76 and 158 with coexpression of mslo with hβ2, in the presence or absence of Triton X-100 (Table 1). This may suggest that the mslo α subunit can affect the c-Myc accessibility of hβ2.

To remove the interference of mslo, the construct Δ33A was selected for this purpose. In this construct, the c-Myc epitope...
was introduced at the positions 76, 100, 114, 126, 137, 158, and 185 in the loop, respectively. In this work, we found that the positions 126 and 137 are two easiest sites for access of antibody based on their fluorescence intensities, whereas β2 expressed alone (Fig. 5 and Table 1). It indicates that the fluorescence signal at position 126 disappears in the coexpression case. Thus, the c-Myc signal of the hβ2 loop can be covered by the α subunit, specially, by the P-loop of S5–S6 while coexpressed with α subunits.

Because the higher fractional intensity means the higher accessibility of the loop, the most accessible site was plotted at the highest level of the extracellular loop, the second was plotted at the second highest level, and so on. In this way, the “structural” profile of the extracellular loop can be depicted in a letter “W,” of which the apex was located around the middle of the loop (Fig. 5C). On the basis of its hydrophobicity plot (9), the hypothetical topology of hβ2 has two transmembrane domains with a large extracellular loop, of which positions 76 and 185 are just located at the N and C termini of the extracellular loop, respectively. Therefore, they should be the easier sites to be approached by antibody. Moreover, the central part of the loop is usually considered to be difficult to approach because it lays over the channel pore and deep into the cell surface (30, 31). According to the above rule of accessibility, the structural profile of the extracellular loop can be depicted in a letter “M,” of which the valley is around the middle too. To our great surprise,

**FIGURE 4.** Position dependence of the N-terminal retention signal of hβ2. A, the images for HEK293 cells transfected with hβ2–137myc or mslo+hβ2–137myc. B, the images for HEK293 cells expressed with C33 or mslo+C33 (The first 33 amino acids of hβ2 N terminus were translocated to its C terminus after Arg235). C, the percentage intensity of hβ2, mslo+hβ2, C33, and mslo+C33 are 12.8 ± 0.9 (n = 6), 100.0 ± 0.0 (n = 95), 13.0 ± 5.6 (n = 8), and 72.4 ± 13.9 (n = 63), respectively. The scale bar indicates 5 μm.

**TABLE 1**

Summary on locations in the P-loop of hβ2 accessible to the c-Myc epitope

| Position of c-Myc | 72 | 76 | 100 | 114 | 126 | 137 | 158 | 185 | C terminus |
|-------------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| hβ2 (no Triton)  | No | No | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No  | No        |
| hβ2 (Triton)     | Yes| Yes| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes       |
| mslo+hβ2 (no Triton) | No | No | No  | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes        |
| mslo+hβ2 (Triton) | Yes| Yes| Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes       |

**FIGURE 5.** Accessibility of the extracellular loop of hβ2 subunits. A, the images for HEK293 cells transfected with a c-Myc epitope tagged to a construct Δ33A at positions 76, 100, 114, 126, 158, and 185 in the extracellular loop are shown at the left panel. The scale bar indicates 5 μm. B, intensities normalized to that of Δ33A-137myc were plotted for mutations Δ33A with c-Myc epitope tags at different positions 76, 100, 114, 126, 137, 158, and 185 in the loop. The percentage intensities of Δ33A-137myc, Δ33A-100myc, Δ33A-114myc, Δ33A-158myc, Δ33A-126myc, Δ33A-185myc, and Δ33A-114myc are 8.8 ± 4.0 (n = 5), 44.5 ± 6.2 (n = 26), 14.5 ± 7.0 (n = 11), 97.2 ± 9.3 (n = 56), 100.0 ± 0.0 (n = 73), 36.6 ± 3.2 (n = 22), and 6.6 ± 4.8 (n = 7), respectively. C, the accessibilities of the extracellular loop by antibodies were plotted based on their fractional intensities indicated in B. Light gray circles represent the inserted c-Myc epitopes.
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**FIGURE 6.** All of the BK channels encoded with mslo and mutations of h\(\beta_2\) subunits make functional currents. All of the currents encoded by mslo and h\(\beta_2\) mutation subunits were recorded in inside-out patches in presence of intracellular 10 \(\mu\)M Ca\(^{2+}\). The traces of mslo+h\(\beta_2\)-mutations were evoked by a voltage step from a holding potential of -180 mV to voltages ranged from -150 to 150 mV in an increment 20 mV. The scale bars represent 30 ms (time) and 2 nA (current), respectively. The voltage protocol is plotted on the upper left.

they found that the h\(\beta_2\) alone appeared to reach the plasma membrane. We infer that the h\(\beta_2\) alone stays on the ER membrane just underneath the plasma membrane.

In this study, we were attempting to determine a retention motif in h\(\beta_2\) like the RXR in potassium inward rectifying channel (Kir) and its auxiliary SUR1 (22, 23) or the CVLF in BK splice variant (24). There are many reasons against KIR being a retention signal. For instance, the surface expression of \(\Delta 29A\) is more than that of \(\Delta 26A\); QKIRD-h\(\beta_1\) or Q23PD27P can traffic to membrane surface. Therefore, the second structure of the h\(\beta_2\) N-terminus is our exclusive choice.

Using NMR spectrocope, Bentrop et al. (25) investigated the solution structure of the h\(\beta_2\) N terminus (amino acids 1–45, BK\(\beta_2\)N). The BK\(\beta_2\)N structure comprises two domains connected by a flexible linker: the ball domain (formed by residues 1–17) and the chain domain (between residues 20–45) linking it to the membrane segment of h\(\beta_2\). The chain domain consists of a four-turn helix including a 3_10-helix (between residues 18–31) with an unfolded linker at its C terminus. The BK\(\beta_2\)N with a four-turn helix is a properly folded peptide as it can functionally inactivate BK channels when applied to the cytoplasmic side (25). Furthermore, our results suggest that the retention signal may be derived from protein-protein or protein-lipid mechanism. Because there is no report about the specific anchoring protein of h\(\beta_2\), we would rather consider a protein-lipid mechanism. From the three-dimensional structure of the four-turn helix shown in Fig. 1C (25), we found that the positively charged residues (Lys\(^{18}\), Arg\(^{19}\), and Arg\(^{26}\)) were clustering on one side, and the hydrophobic residues (Ile\(^{21}\)/Tyr\(^{22}\) and Leu\(^{19}\)/Leu\(^{13}\)) are lining on each side. Considering that the head group of phospholipid is negatively charged and the tail domain is hydrophobic, we infer that the resultant force coming from electric field and hydrophobic interaction may distort the helix itself to lead the h\(\beta_2\) subunit coming off the membrane of the ER completely. Furthermore, the positively charged residues Lys and Arg seem to play a crucial role, because the reduction in the number of positively charged residues would somehow weaken the protein-lipid interaction.

It is well known that trypsin can attack and cleave any exposed basic residue in the h\(\beta_2\) N terminus. Zhang et al. (18) reported that the first four basic residues, i.e. Arg\(^{9}\), Arg\(^{14}\), Lys\(^{18}\), and Arg\(^{19}\), had the highest trypsin accessibility of basic residue. During h\(\beta_2\) assembling with mslo in the ER, the C terminus of mslo \(\alpha\) subunit may somehow wrap the N-terminal helix of h\(\beta_2\) to avoid trypsin attack (18). Correspondingly, \(\beta\) subunits reciprocally modulate many properties of BK \(\alpha\) channels by the loop-loop interaction of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) subunits. For instance, the BK \(\alpha\)+h\(\beta_4\) channel is becoming very resistant to CTX or IbTX because of the glycosylation in the loop domain of h\(\beta_4\) subunits, which prevents the access of toxins to the channel vestibule (32). The outward rectification of BK \(\alpha\)+h\(\beta_2\) channels can be demolished completely by the c-Myc insert h\(\beta_2\)–137myc (data not shown). This study may provide us a new way to understand the interaction of the BK \(\alpha\) subunit and \(\beta\) subunit.

The extracellular loop of h\(\beta_2\) plays an important role in preventing the toxin from approaching the pore of BK channels (9) and producing the rectification of BK channels (19). However, the lack of the structural information about the extracellular...
loop prevents us from precisely determining the interacting or binding sites between the loop of hβ2 and the pore of BK channels. Our results reveal that three locations at 137, 100, and 185 represent the peak and valleys of accessibility of the extracellular loop, respectively, which may provide us with important clues to explore the interacting or binding sites in the future. In addition, four conserved cysteines influence the rectifying and pharmacological characteristics of BK channels via forming disulfide bridges to constitute a specific structure in the extracellular loop, that can be disrupted by 20 mM extracellular di-thiothreitol (19). Two cysteine mutations (Cys2 and Cys3) were constructed for this purpose, whereas we did not find any changes in accessibility. Hopefully, a complete removal of four conserved cysteines may provide more clues for re-evaluating the accessibility of c-Myc antibody to the extracellular loop in the future.
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