Pufferfish (*Tetraodon cutcutia*) Sampled from a Freshwater River Serves as an Intermediate Reservoir of a Sucrose Nonfermenting Variant of *Vibrio cholerae* PS-4
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ABSTRACT We describe the genomic characteristics of *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4 that is unable to ferment sucrose on a thiosulfate citrate bile salt sucrose (TCBS) agar medium. This bacterium was isolated from the skin mucus of a freshwater pufferfish. The genome of strain PS-4 was sequenced to understand the sucrose nonfermenting phenotype. The gene encoding the sucrose-specific phosphotransferase system IIB (sucR) was absent, resulting in the defective sucrose fermenting phenotype. In contrast, genes encoding the glucose-specific transport system IIB (ptsG) and fructose-specific transport system IIB (fruA) showed acid production while growing with respective sugars. The overall genome relatedness indices (OGRI), such as *in silico* DNA-DNA hybridization (isDDH), average nucleotide identity (ANI), and average amino acid identity (AAI), were above the threshold value, that is, 70% and 95 to 96%, respectively. Phylogenomic analysis based on genome-wide core genes and the nonrecombinant core genes showed that strain PS-4 clustered with *Vibrio cholerae* ATCC 14035T. Further, genes encoding cholera toxin (*ctx*), zonula occludens toxin (*zot*), accessory cholera enterotoxin (*ace*), toxin-coregulated pilus (*tcp*), and lipo-polysaccharide biosynthesis (*rfb*) were absent. PS-4 showed hemolytic activity and reacted strongly to the R antibody. Therefore, the *Vibrio cholerae* from the pufferfish adds a new ecological niche of this bacterium.

IMPORTANCE *Vibrio cholerae* is native of aquatic environments. In general, *V. cholerae* ferments sucrose on thiosulfate citrate bile salt sucrose (TCBS) agar and produces yellow colonies. *V. cholerae* strain PS-4 described in this study is a sucrose nonfermenting variant associated with pufferfish skin and does not produce yellow colonies on TCBS agar. Genes encoding sucrose-specific phosphotransferase system IIB (sucR) were absent. The observed phenotype in the distinct metabolic pathway indicates niche-specific adaptive evolution for this bacterium. Our study suggests that the nonfermenting phenotype of *V. cholerae* strains on TCBS agar may not always be considered for species delineation.
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is chemoorganotrophic and mesophilic, and usually has motile rods. Vibrios are either pathogenic (6) or nonpathogenic (7). *Vibrio cholerae* O1, O139, and non-O1/non-O139 are indigenous water-living microorganisms. To date, approximately 200 serogroups of *V. cholerae* are known. Only O1 and O139 serogroups are associated with cholera epidemics and pandemics. However, *V. cholerae* reported from the aquatic environment acquired virulence genes or homologs with low or no pathogenicity (8). Thus, the emergence of pathogenic *Vibrio* strains in the environment is due to the exchange of genetic elements (9). Two virulence genes, cholera toxin (*ctx*) and toxin-coregulated pilus (*tcp*), found in O1 and O139 serotypes, are essential for the pathogenicity of *V. cholerae* (10). However, other non-O1/non-O139 strains are causing diarrhea due to the presence of secretion systems (type III secretion system [T3SS] and type VI secretion system [T6SS]) and other accessory toxins, such as zonula occludens toxin (Zot) (11). Additionally, they have other genes encoding hemolysin, helping to colonize the intestine (12). The absence of cholera enterotoxin in *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 strains was also reported. Under favorable conditions, antigenic translation of *V. cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 to *V. cholerae* O1 has been demonstrated (13). Analysis of genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) is a widely accepted procedure for evaluating phylogenetic relations of *V. cholerae* pandemics (14). Additionally, genomic data can characterize endemic strains and evaluate *V. cholerae* transmission routes (15).

Previously, *Vibrio mimicus* was considered a biotype of *V. cholerae*. Subsequently, *V. mimicus* was recognized as a distinct species, as this organism was negative for sucrose fermentation (16). Few reports exist on sucrose nonfermenting or late-fermenting variants of *Vibrio cholerae* (17). Further, a phosphotransferase system (PTS) sucrose-specific IIB component mutation in *Vibrio cholerae* O1 strain IEC224 has exhibited a sucrose nonfermenting phenotype on thiosulfate citrate bile salt sucrose (TCBS) agar (18). Thus, whole-genome sequencing analysis may differentiate the sucrose fermentative and nonfermentative strains of *V. cholerae* for species delineation. Mutations in these metabolic pathways indicate different ecological adaptations of *V. cholerae*.

*V. cholerae* mostly inhabits aquatic environments. *Vibrio* spp. are often isolated from fish and fish products, and many species are pathogenic to different hosts. Recent evidence supports that fish can be the intermediate reservoirs and vectors of *V. cholerae* (19, 20). Indeed, fish and fish diet consumption cause cholera in different parts of the world (21, 22). We performed the culturable approach to isolate bacteria associated with skin mucus of freshwater pufferfish. Pufferfish belong to *Tetraodontiformes*, with regional names patka fish and fugu (23). Very little is known about freshwater pufferfish found in the rivers of the eastern part of India. Pufferfish produce tetrodotoxin (TTX) that leads to physiological disorders to human health, and several deaths were reported from Bangladesh (24, 25). The reason could be the presence of tetrodotoxin-producing bacteria (26). The presence of *V. cholerae* in the skin mucus of freshwater pufferfish is not known so far. Here, we described the biochemical characteristics, genomic analysis, and virulence properties of *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4 isolated from freshwater pufferfish.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Isolation and identification of pufferfish skin-associated bacteria. Fish mucosal surfaces are one of the most nutrient-rich sources of aquatic microorganisms. *Vibrio* was dominant in fish skin collected from estuaries, lakes, and rivers. Several species, such as *V. cholerae*, *V. fischeri*, *V. vulnificus*, *V. furnissii*, and *V. metoecus*, were identified as the dominant vibrios from fish skin (27). During this investigation, 26 bacterial strains were identified from the pufferfish skin. 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis identified these bacteria under 12 taxa belonging to *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Betaproteobacteria*, *Bacilli*, and *Flavobacteria*. The majority were assigned to the class *Gammaproteobacteria*. Bacteria identified from the mucus layer of pufferfish represent the genera *Acinetobacter*, *Shewanella*, *Bacillus*, *Aeromonas*, *Serratia*, *Moraxella*, *Delftia*, *Staphylococcus*, *Chryseobacterium*, *Exiguobacterium*, *Chromobacterium*, and *Vibrio*. All the strains were closely related to the respective bacterial
taxa, with a 16S rRNA sequence similarity of more than 98% (Table S1 at https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Supplementary_data-Table_S1_Figure_S1_pdf_txt-Supplementary_table_1/18865445). The mucosal skin surface and the associated microbiota protect the host against pathogens, contributing to host immune maturity (28), and serve as a natural niche for aquatic mucosal pathogen evolution (20). The diversity of *Vibrio* from clinical and environmental sources and its phylogenetic relationships are available. However, the presence of *Vibrio cholerae* species from the skin mucosal surfaces of pufferfish has not been reported so far (29). Like many other fish, no studies of the microbes associated with the skin mucosal surfaces of pufferfish and their distinction between potentially virulent versus nonvirulent strains are available. Thus, we used *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4 for detailed studies.

**Phenotype and serogroup of *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4.** The cells of strain PS-4 were Gram negative and positive for oxidase and catalase. PS-4 showed hemolytic activity on blood agar. Typically, *V. cholerae* produces yellow colonies on TCBS agar. In contrast, strain PS-4 was sucrose fermentation negative and had green colonies on this medium. In addition, PS-4 showed yellow colonies on Luria-Bertani agar medium supplemented with either glucose or fructose, similar to the *Vibrio cholerae* strain N16961 (Fig. 1). Genome analysis of the strain PS-4 revealed that the PTS system specific for sucrose IIB (sucR) was absent, accounting for the defective sucrose-fermenting phenotype. In contrast, genes encoding glucose-specific transport system IIB (ptsG) and fructose-specific transport system IIB (fruA) were present and showed acid production while growing in the presence of respective sugars. Our study based on biochemical characterization and genomic analysis suggested that the nonfermenting phenotype of *Vibrio cholerae* on TCBS agar may not always be considered for its species identification.

The serotyping result showed that strain PS-4 reacted strongly to the R (rough) antibody. Each antiserum was absorbed with the R antigen. Moreover, BLAST analysis of strain PS-4 scaffold sequences with the O antigen region of all O serogroups available in the NCBI database showed high homology with the part of the sequence of O127 antigen. Thus, the phenotype of the O antigen of strain PS-4 is R, but the genotype seems to be O127 (Table S1 at https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Supplementary_data-Table_S1_Figure_S1_pdf_txt-Supplementary_table_1/18865445).

**Genomic features of *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4.** The sequence of the *V. cholerae* strain PS-4 comprised two circular chromosomes, in which chromosome I contained 2,784,636 bp, while chromosome II contained 984,931 bp. The overall GC content was 47.61%. The genome consisted of 3,364 protein-coding sequences, of which 3,304 had a homologous function, 205 were predicted as hypothetical proteins, 31 were rRNA genes, and 104 were tRNA genes. The predicted open reading frames (ORFs) were further classified into clusters of orthologous genes (COGs) functional groups (Fig. 2).

**Genome-based analysis and phylogeny of *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4.** Prokaryotic systematics is essential for the identification of microorganisms. Therefore, we evaluated the *in silico* DNA-DNA hybridization (isDDH) similarity, the average nucleotide identity (ANI), and average amino acid identity (AAI) values. Additionally, we conducted SNP-based phylogenetic analysis with the validly named type species to justify strain PS-4 belonging to *V. cholerae*. The ANI and AAI values between strain PS-4 and the type species of *V. cholerae* ATCC 14035 were higher than the threshold values (95 to 96%), justifying that both strains belong to the same species (30). Further, the isDDH similarity value was more than the cutoff value (70%) to define bacterial species (31). Thus, ANI, AAI, and isDDH data indicated that the strain PS-4 belongs to the same species of *V. cholerae* (Table 1). SNP-based phylogeny revealed that strain PS-4 clustered with non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains (Fig. 3). The maximum-likelihood (ML) tree constructed on genome-wide core genes showed that strain PS-4, which clustered with *V. cholerae* ATCC 14035 (Fig. 4), should be considered now as belonging to *V. cholerae*. In addition, in the nonrecombinant core genome-based phylogenetic tree, strain PS-4 clustered with *V. cholerae* ATCC 14035 (Fig. S1 at https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Supplementary_data-Table_S1_Figure_S1_pdf_txt-Supplementary_table_1/18865445), as found with the tree generated using core genomes (Fig. 4), indicating the robustness of tree topology.

**Figure S1_pdf_txt-Supplementary_table_1/18865445**

**Table S1_Figure_S1_pdf_txt-Supplementary_table_1/18865445**
Virulence properties. Virulence genes identified in the genome of strain PS-4 are listed in Table 2. We compared 28 virulence-associated genes with 71 V. cholerae strains altogether. The individual gene sequences were compared with the reference toxigenic V. cholerae O1 El Tor strain N16961. Hierarchical clustering analysis illustrated that strain PS-4 shared maximum sequence similarity with nontoxigenic Vibrio isolates, viz., HE-16, HE-09, VCC19, SIO, and 490 93, in a monophyletic clade (Fig. 5). Gene hlyA, responsible for the hemolytic activity, is occasionally reported from nontoxigenic non-O1/non-O139 serogroups (32, 33). The hlyA gene of strain PS-4 showed
97% sequence similarity to *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor strain N16961. However, other non-toxigenic strains (HE-16, HE-09, VCC19, SIO, and 49093) of the same clade were showing sequence divergence (<98% nucleotide identity). The non-O1/non-O139 strains are mostly devoid of the *ctx*, tcpA, zot, accessory cholera enterotoxin (ace), and lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis (*rfb*) genes (10). Genome analysis revealed that *ctx*, *zot*, *ace*, *tcp*, and *rfb* were absent in PS-4; hence, this organism could be regarded as a non-O1/non-O139 serogroup. In *V. cholerae*, the type VI secretion system plays a critical role in delivering toxins into adjacent target cells and competing against other bacteria with toxins, disordering lipid membranes, actin cytoskeletons, and cell walls (34). The type VI secretion system consists of many virulence-associated secretion (*vas*) genes and *vgrG* effector protein (35). In this regard, the type VI secretion system of strain PS-4 encoded 15 genes. These genes showed sequence similarity of more than 94%, viz., *vasL* (97.86%), *vipA* (97.83%), *vasG* (99.15%), *vasD* (99.37%), *vasA* (99.09%), *vasI* (97.71%), *vasK* (97.57%), *vasF* (97.02%), *vasJ* (98.29%), *vasC* (98.85%), *vasB* (98.42%), *vasH* (98.43%), *vasE* (98.05%), *vgrG2* (97.53%), and *vgrG3* (94.49%) with *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor strain N16961. In addition, thermolabile hemolysin (*tlh*) is also considered a signature molecular marker for the species (36). This gene is rarely reported from nonclinical strains. The DNA sequence of *tlh* identified in the strain PS-4 showed 60% similarity with *Vibrio para-haemolyticus*. Thus, the *Vibrio cholerae* strain from the pufferfish skin adds a new ecological niche to this bacterium.
TABLE 1 Comparison of the genomic characteristics with closely related species of *Vibrio*

| Sl. No. | Strain                        | Accession no.a | Size (Mb) | 16S rRNA similarity (%) | ANIb (%) | ANIu (%) | isDDH (%) | AAI (%) |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1      | *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4 | CP077197 (Chr. I) | 3.6       | 100                     | 100      | 100      | 100       | 100    |
|        |                               | CP077198 (Chr. II) |           |                         |          |          |           |        |
| 2      | *Vibrio cholerae* ATCC 14035T | NZ_JHXR000000000 | 4.0       | 99.93                   | 96.4     | 70.2     | 97.3      |        |
| 3      | *Vibrio mimicus* CAIM 602     | NZ_AOM0000000000 | 4.3       | 99.59                   | 86.4     | 31.6     | 91.8      |        |
| 4      | *Vibrio metoecus* OP2H        | JJMN0000000000   | 3.9       | 99.27                   | 85.9     | 29.8     | 92.3      |        |

| Chr. I, chromosome I; Chr. II, chromosome II. |

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strain and growth medium. The pufferfish (*Tetraodon cutcutia*) samples were collected from Mahanadi River, India (coordinates: 20°26′46.6″N 85°44′28.3″E), in August 2018 and transported to the laboratory in a plastic container with river water. Mucus on pufferfish skin was taken using sterile cotton swabs and transferred into 1 mL of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, to isolate bacteria. The bacteria from the cotton swabs were suspended in PBS by vigorous vortexing. The suspension was used as a master mix (37) for the isolation of bacteria. An aliquot (100 μL) of master mix sample was serially diluted using PBS and plated onto nutrient agar (BD, Difco). All plates were incubated at 30°C corresponding to the river water temperature for 2 days. Several colonies developed at 30°C were picked and purified by repeated streaking on the same medium. Cultures were maintained on nutrient agar (BD, Difco) and stored at 4°C for short-term preservation. For long-term preservation, the culture was kept at −80°C in 15% (vol/vol) glycerol.

Phenotypic features and serogroup identification of *V. cholerae* strain PS-4. Gram staining was carried out using the commercial kit (Becton, Dickinson, USA). Oxidase activity was tested with discs impregnated with dimethyl p-phenylenediamine (Hi-Media, India). Catalase activity was performed by mixing a freshly centrifuged culture pellet with a drop of hydrogen peroxide (10% [vol/vol]). Growth and reaction to ferment sucrose were tested on TCBS agar medium (BD, Difco). Utilization of sugars was tested separately by adding 0.5% concentration of glucose or fructose in Luria-Bertani agar medium (BD, Difco) containing bromothymol blue (2.0 mg/L) as a pH indicator at 37°C for 48 h. To ascertain hemolytic activity, strain PS-4 was streaked on Columbia blood agar base supplemented with 5% (vol/vol) defibrinated sheep blood followed by incubation at 37°C for 48 h (37). Preparation of O antisera and slide agglutination were performed as previously described (38).

Identification of *bacteria by 16S rRNA sequencing*. Genomic DNA was extracted following the methods of Sambrook and Russel (39), and PCR was carried out using the universal bacterial primers 27F (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and 1525R (5′-AAGAGTTTGATCCTTCAGGC-3′) (40). The PCR product was purified using a QiAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) and sequenced in a capillary DNA analyzer (3500, Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The 16S rRNA gene sequences were assembled using the sequence alignment editor program BioEdit (41) and compared with those in GenBank after BLAST searches (42) and using the EzBioCloud Database (43).

Whole-genome sequencing and annotation. The genomic DNA of *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4 was isolated using standard methods by Sambrook and Russel (39). DNA quality was measured using a NanoDrop 8000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). A combination of both short-read Illumina and long-read Oxford Nanopore sequencing platforms was used to generate the high-quality complete genome sequence of *V. cholerae* strain PS-4. Illumina short-read DNA sequencing was carried out as described earlier (37). For long-read Nanopore sequencing, a genomic library was prepared using the Nanopore ligation sequencing kit (SQK-LSK109; Oxford Nanopore, Oxford, UK). The library was sequenced with an R9.4.1 MinION flow cell (FIO-MIN106) using MinKNOW v2.0 with the default settings. Barcode and adapter sequences from Nanopore long reads were trimmed using Porechop v2.0, (https://github.com/rwick/Porechop), and reads with a minimum of 1 kb in length were filtered using seqtk v1.2 (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) for downstream analysis. The hybrid genome assembly was performed using Unicycler version 0.4.9 (44) in hybrid assembly mode. The highly accurate Illumina short reads were aligned against the long Nanopore reads to sort out random sequencing errors (44). The assembled genomes were annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP; version 4.9) with default parameters (45). Completeness and contamination of the whole-genome sequence were measured using CheckM (46). Genomic G + C content and assembly statistics were determined using Perl script (https://github.com/tomdeman-bio/Sequence-scripts/blob/master/calc_N50_GC_genomesize.pl).

Comparative genomics. We used bioinformatics tools to compare the genome relatedness of strain PS-4 with reference genomes of validly published 131 type strains of *Vibrio* available in the NCBI database (last accessed 25 March 2021). The advent of next-generation sequencing and bioinformatics tools made it possible to compare genomic data by isDDH, ANI, and AAI values. The ANI was calculated using the Python module pyani (https://github.com/widdowquinn/pyani) with the ANIb method. In silico DDH similarity was measured with the help of the genome-to-genome distance calculator (formula 3) (31). Average amino acid identity (AAI) was estimated using the "aai_wf" function implemented in the compareM program (https://github.com/tdparks1134/CompareM).

Genome-wide SNP determination and phylogenetic analysis. For SNP-based phylogenetic analysis, 70 complete or draft genome sequences of *V. cholerae* strains were retrieved from the NCBI database. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified from genome assemblies using V.
cholerae strain N16961 as a reference for alignment using Snippy version v4.6.0 (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy). The recombinant region was removed using the default parameters of Gubbins version 2.3.4 (47). Core SNPs were extracted with the help of SNP sites (48), and a maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree was constructed using RAxML version 8.2.4 (49) with GTR+GAMMA model (50) for nucleotide substitution with gamma-distributed rate heterogeneity.

In addition, the use of whole-genome sequences has been regarded as a promising avenue to determine the phylogenetic position of microorganisms. Analysis of evolutionary phylogeny based on core genomes is the gold standard for strain identification, superior to those found on a single gene marker or concatenated sequences of a few genes. Therefore, we performed the phylogenomic analysis based on genome-wide core genes of the available whole-genomes of 131 type strains of all species with

![FIG 3 Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based on genome-wide SNPs.](image-url)
correct validly published names of *Vibrio* with more than 95% genome completeness. We retrieved the genome sequence of the type strains from the NCBI database (https://github.com/kblin/ncbi-genome-download/). The core genes were extracted by the up-to-date bacterial core gene (UBCG) pipeline (51). The genes were concatenated, and a maximum-likelihood tree was reconstructed with the genetic testing registry (GTR) model using the RAxML tool (52). Further, the nonrecombinant core genome-based phylogenetic tree was constructed following Mateo-Estrada et al. (53).

**Comparative analysis of virulence genes.** Virulence-associated proteins of strain PS-4 were identified using the blastp program against the virulence factor database (VFDB) (54) with the following parameters: identity cutoff of 75%, coverage cutoff of 70%, and E value cutoff of $1 \times 10^{-5}$. The virulence-related genes of strain PS-4 were compared with the O1/O139 type of *Vibrio cholerae* and non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* serogroup strains using the blastn algorithm (55). The heat map was generated from nucleotide percentage identity employing Manhattan distance and average clustering method using the heatmap2 function of the gplots package (56) in R (57).

**Data availability.** The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the genome and 16S rRNA gene sequences of *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4 are CP077197 (chromosome I), CP077198 (chromosome II), and MW926953, respectively.

---

**FIG 4** Core genome-based phylogenetic tree based on the alignment of core genes from 131 type strains of *Vibrio* of all species with correct validly published names. The phylogenetic position of strain PS-4 is highlighted in red.
| Virulence factor | Chr. I | Chr. II | Locus position |
|------------------|--------|---------|----------------|
| Secretion system | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasA) | 44800–46569 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasB) | 43820–44836 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasC) | 42333–43817 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasD) | 41854–42330 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasE) | 40513–41847 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasF) | 39737–40510 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (cpB/vasG) | 37102–39711 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasH) | 35507–37099 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasI) | 34854–35537 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasJ) | 33435–34844 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasK) | 32974–33419 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (vasL) | 28561–29826 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system tubule-forming protein A (vIpA) | 48534–49040 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system tubule-forming protein B (vIpB) | 47015–48493 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system substrate Hcp-2 (hcp-2) | 168375–168893 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (VCA0109) | 46575–47012 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system protein (VCA0122) | 28268–28510 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system substrate (vgrG-2) | 28315–28360 |
|                  | -      | Type VI secretion system substrate (vgrG-3) | 25333–28308 |
| Toxin            | -      | Thermolabile hemolysin (tlh) | 932592–932858 |
|                  | -      | Cytolysin VCC (hlyA) | 927910–932858 |
|                  | -      | Type I secretion C-terminal target domain-containing protein (rtx) | 1262235–1264250 |
| Adherence        | Aldehyde dehydrogenase (aldA) | 1092438–1093958 |
|                  | N-Acetylneuraminate lyase (nanA) | 1107734–1108630 |
|                  | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein (VC0512) | 1974220–1976571 |

*–, not detected.
FIG 5 Conservation in nucleotide sequences of orthologous virulence genes in *Vibrio cholerae* strain PS-4 with reference strains. The top bar represents percent nucleotide sequence identity. Gray boxes show missing genes. The hierarchical clustering of the strains was based on average linkage method and Manhattan distance similarity metric.
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