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ABSTRACT

It is generally accepted that it is not possible to completely prevent vehicle crashes, but that some of the injuries and severity can be prevented or minimized by skilled traffic enforcer. The study used descriptive method as it describes the present condition. A total of 205 respondents composing of 155 commuters and 50 traffic enforcers were surveyed. Based on the findings of the study, the commuters perceived traffic enforcers to be knowledgeable, skilled and displaying good attitude in implementing traffic rules. Thus, it is recommended that the commuters also should educate themselves in traffic rules and regulations. The traffic enforcers perceived themselves to be knowledgeable, skilled and displaying good attitude in implementing traffic rules. Thus, it is recommended that the traffic enforcers should update their knowledge, skills, and attitude through seminars and trainings for them to improve continuously.
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INTRODUCTION

It is generally accepted that it is not possible to completely prevent vehicle crashes, but that some of the injuries and severity can be prevented or minimized by skilled traffic enforcer. Redhwan and Karim (2010) stated that most cause of road traffic collisions is drivers' lack of awareness about traffic regulations and laws, and drivers' failure to comply with traffic rules and regulations. Thus, Borlongan (2007) emphasized that traffic laws should be strictly enforced in the highly urbanized Philippine cities; hence, traffic enforcers.

According to Al Mazruii (2015), Traffic enforcing goals are unclear to officers in current traffic policing strategies and practices, and this appeared to have a negative impact on road safety achievement.

Al Khaldi (2006) concluded that negative attitudes and practice of a driver can only be addressed by the traffic enforcer with aggressive enforcement of the regulation. Mohlala (2017) further concluded that traffic enforcers’ presence must have high visibility, as defined, to deal with the lawlessness of the breach on all serious offences.

In light of the insights, the researcher would like to assess the capabilities of traffic enforcer/police/officers in Nueva Ecija in the implementation of Traffic Rules and Regulations.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

According to Pienaar (2007), the traffic officer during an investigation into an accident should not only have sound knowledge of the road and the environment but also the effect of factors on the road and the environment that must be brought into contention.

Espada et al. (2008) stated that the assessment of the technical know-how of the road staff responsible for transport planning and traffic management is crucial.

Ramavhunga (2018) to address the challenges were; training to improve traffic officers’ abilities; continuous training to improve efficiency; increased involvement by the state to increase efficiency and diversify training to make it effective.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

This paper described the perception of commuters on the capabilities of traffic enforcers in implementing rules and regulations be described in terms of knowledge, skills, and attitude. Likewise, the traffic enforcer’s self-assessment in implementing rules and regulations be described in terms of knowledge, skills, and attitude.

METHODOLOGY

The study used descriptive method as it describes the present condition. Descriptive Method is designed for the researcher to gather information about presenting existing conditions and to describe the nature of the situation as it exists at the time of the study and to explore the causes of particular phenomena (Camic et al., 2003). A total of 205 respondents composing of 155 commuters and 50 traffic enforcers were surveyed. The researcher used Likert-scale type questionnaire (Vagias, 2006) and analyzed it through statistical data treatment such as mean and weighted mean.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Perception of Commuters on the Capabilities of Traffic Enforcers in Implementing Traffic Rules and Regulations in Nueva Ecija

Table 1 Knowledge of Traffic Enforcers

| Traffic Enforcers have knowledge in... | WM   | VI   |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|
| 1. License familiarity.              | 3.77 | Agree|
| 2. Traffic signs.                    | 3.96 | Agree|
| 3. Safety gears.                     | 3.88 | Agree|
| 4. Coding scheme.                    | 3.72 | Agree|
| 5. Whistle code.                     | 3.80 | Agree|
| Average Weighted Mean                | 3.74 | Agree|

Table 1 presented the knowledge of traffic enforcers based on the perception of commuters. Statement 2 obtained the highest weighted mean of (3.96) with a verbal interpretation of “Agree” while statement 4 obtained the lowest weighted mean of (3.72) with a verbal interpretation of “Agree.”

Table 2 Skills of Traffic Enforcers

| Traffic Enforcers have skills in... | WM   | VI   |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|
| 1. Hand signals.                    | 3.73 | Agree|
| 2. Whistle manipulation.            | 3.78 | Agree|
| 3. Managing traffic.                | 4.12 | Agree|
| 4. Resolving traffic jam.           | 3.62 | Agree|
| 5. Assisting traffic accident.      | 3.82 | Agree|
| Average Weighted Mean               | 3.81 | Agree|

Table 2 presented the skills of traffic enforcers based on the perception of commuters. Statement 3 gained the highest weighted mean of (4.12) with a verbal interpretation of “Agree” while statement 4 gained the lowest weighted mean of (3.62) with a verbal interpretation of “Agree.”

Table 3 Attitude of Traffic Enforcers

| Traffic Enforcers know how to...    | WM   | VI   |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|
| 1. Approach violators in a proper way. | 3.54 | Agree|
| 2. Entertain queries about traffic directions. | 3.70 | Agree|
| 3. Assist pedestrians.              | 3.41 | Agree|
| 4. Apply maximum tolerance in managing the traffic. | 3.30 | Agree|
| 5. Maintain professionalism in conducting traffic by being fair and just. | 3.49 | Agree|
| Average Weighted Mean               | 3.49 | Agree|

Table 3 presented attitude of traffic enforcers based on the perception of commuters. Statement 2 got the highest weighted mean of (3.70) with a verbal interpretation of “Agree” while statement 4 got the lowest weighted mean of “Agree”.

Self-assessment of Traffic Enforcers on Their Capabilities of Implementing Traffic Rules and Regulations

Table 4 Knowledge of Traffic Enforcer

| As a traffic enforcer, I have knowledge in... | WM   | VI   |
|---------------------------------------------|------|------|
| 1. License familiarity.                     | 4.61 | Strongly Agree|
| 2. Traffic signs.                           | 4.44 | Strongly Agree|
| 3. Safety gears.                            | 4.44 | Strongly Agree|
| 4. Coding scheme.                           | 4.00 | Strongly Agree|
| 5. Whistle code.                            | 4.23 | Strongly Agree|
| Average Weighted Mean                       | 4.34 | Strongly Agree|

Table 4 presented the knowledge of traffic enforcers based on self-assessment. Statement 1 obtained the highest weighted mean of (4.61) with a verbal interpretation of “Strongly Agree” while statement 5 obtained the lowest weighted mean of (4.23) with a verbal interpretation of “Strongly Agree.”

Table 5 Skills of Traffic Enforcer

| As a traffic enforcer, I have skills in... | WM   | VI   |
|--------------------------------------------|------|------|
| 1. Hand signals.                           | 4.61 | Strongly Agree|
| 2. Whistle manipulation.                   | 4.02 | Agree|
| 3. Managing traffic.                       | 4.32 | Strongly Agree|
| 4. Resolving traffic jam.                  | 4.32 | Strongly Agree|
| 5. Assisting traffic accident.             | 4.41 | Strongly Agree|
| Average Weighted Mean                      | 4.34 | Strongly Agree|

Table 5 presented the skills of traffic enforcers based on self-assessment. Statement 1 got the highest weighted mean of (4.61) with a verbal interpretation of “Strongly Agree” while statement 2 got the lowest weighted mean of (4.02) with a verbal interpretation of “Strongly Agree.”

Table 6 Attitude of Traffic Enforcer

| As a traffic enforcer, I know how to...    | WM   | VI   |
|--------------------------------------------|------|------|
| 1. Approach violators in a proper way.     | 4.70 | Strongly Agree|
| 2. Entertain queries about traffic directions. | 4.52 | Strongly Agree|
| 3. Assist pedestrians.                     | 4.32 | Strongly Agree|
| 4. Apply maximum tolerance in managing the traffic. | 4.32 | Strongly Agree|
| 5. Maintain professionalism in conducting traffic by being fair and just. | 4.38 | Strongly Agree|
| Average Weighted Mean                      | 4.45 | Strongly Agree|

Table 6 presented the attitude of traffic enforcers based on self-assessment. Statement 1 gained the highest weighted mean of (4.70) with a verbal interpretation of “Strongly Agree” while statements 3 and 4 gained the lowest weighted mean of (4.32) with a verbal interpretation of “Strongly Agree.”

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of the study, the commuters perceived traffic enforcers to be knowledgeable, skilled and displaying good attitude in implementing traffic rules. Thus, it is recommended that the commuters also should educate themselves in traffic rules and regulations. The traffic enforcers perceived themselves to be knowledgeable, skilled and displaying good attitude in implementing traffic rules. Thus, it is recommended that the traffic enforcers should update their knowledge, skills, and attitude through seminars and trainings for them to improve continuously.
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