Controlling hypoxia-inducible factor-2α is critical for maintaining bone homeostasis in mice
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INTRODUCTION
Bone mass is maintained by continuous bone remodeling, wherein preexisting bone is broken down by osteoclasts and rebuilt by osteoblasts. The balance between osteoclastic and osteoblastic activities is coordinately regulated by an interplay between the two cell types. Dysregulation of bone homeostasis causes pathophysiological bone diseases such as osteoporosis, which is the most common metabolic bone disease. This chronic disease of multifactorial etiology is characterized by a decrease in the density of bone. Studies seeking to identify novel regulators of bone homeostasis are very important in the efforts to understand and treat diseases associated with bone remodeling or osteoporotic bone loss.

The differentiation of osteoblasts from mesenchymal stem cells, which is an important step in bone formation, is controlled by RUNX2-mediated (runt-related transcription factor 2) and osteoprotegerin (OPG) control osteoclast development and function. OPG, which is a soluble decoy receptor for RANKL, is also produced by osteoblasts and acts to balance osteoclastogenesis in vivo. The bone microenvironments, such as the endosteal zones of bone marrow cavities and the epiphyseal growth plates, are particularly hypoxic, and hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) is believed to contribute to the functions of chondrocytes and osteoblasts, respectively, in these areas. HIF, which is a heterodimeric regulator of the adaptive response to hypoxia, is critical for bone vascularization through HIF-1α signaling. Earlier reports have shown that stabilization of HIF-1α and HIF-2α via osteoblast-specific deletion of the von Hippel–Lindau (VHL) gene enhances osteogenesis by increasing vascularization and endochondral differentiation.

Pathological bone loss is caused by an imbalance between bone formation and resorption. The bone microenvironments are hypoxic, and hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) is known to play notable roles in bone remodeling. However, the relevant functions of HIF-2α are not well understood. Here, we have shown that HIF-2α deficiency in mice enhances bone mass through its effects on the differentiation of osteoblasts and osteoclasts. In vitro analyses revealed that HIF-2α inhibits osteoblast differentiation by targeting Twist2 and stimulates RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis via regulation of Traf6. In addition, HIF-2α appears to contribute to the crosstalk between osteoblasts and osteoclasts by directly targeting RANKL in osteoprogenitor cells. Experiments performed with osteoblast- and osteoclast-specific conditional knockout mice supported a role of HIF-2α in this crosstalk. HIF-2α deficiency alleviated ovariectomy-induced bone loss in mice, and specific inhibition of HIF-2α with ZINC04179524 significantly blocked RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis. Collectively, our results suggest that HIF-2α functions as a catabolic regulator in bone remodeling, which is critical for the maintenance of bone homeostasis.
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ossification through VEGF activation. A marked decrease in trabecular bone volume has been reported in mice lacking HIF-1α. In contrast to the anabolic role of HIF-1α in bone modeling (ossification), several other studies have demonstrated that hypoxia and HIF-1α promote osteoclastogenesis and subsequent bone resorption during bone remodeling (metabolism). For instance, HIF-1α overexpression blocks BMP-2-induced osteoblast differentiation and promotes osteoclastogenesis. HIF-1α accumulates in the osteoclasts of ovariectomized (OVX) estrogen-deficient female mice and orchidectomized (ORX) testosterone-deficient male mice, and HIF-1α inhibition alleviates the osteoporosis normally observed in OVX or ORX mice. The reasons underlying discrepancies regarding the roles of HIF-1α in bone formation and remodeling are unclear but may reflect systemic effects on the multiple cell types found within the bone microenvironment in vivo.

In contrast to HIF-1α, HIF-2α is insufficient to regulate angiogenesis–osteogenesis coupling and osteoblast functions during the process of new bone formation. HIF-2α deficiency leads to a transient delay of endochondral bone ossification, which is attributable to effects on hypertrophic chondrocytes, not bone cells. Although hypoxia and HIF are increasingly being recognized as playing critical roles in bone biology, HIF-2α, which is closely related to HIF-1α, has not been well investigated in the contexts of bone remodeling and metabolism. There are many similarities between the two isoforms, but HIF-1α and HIF-2α display distinct cellular activities and show different sensitivities to hypoxia and HIF-1α-mediated by infection with Ad-HIF-2α and WT mice. We found that BMP-2-induced bone regeneration was enhanced in HIF-2α+/− mice and that adenosine infection with Ad-HIF-2α delayed the BMP-2-induced regeneration of calvarial defects (Fig. 1f). Next, we examined the bone structure of OVX mice (Fig. 1g). Estrogen deficiency in postmenopausal females leads to an imbalance between bone formation and resorption, subsequently resulting in net bone loss and osteoporosis.

Although, unexpectedly, sham-operated female mice had no significant changes in bone mass resulting from HIF-2α deficiency, and OVX-induced bone loss was alleviated in HIF-2α+/− mice compared to that in the WT littermates, as determined by μCT imaging and analyses of quantitative parameters, such as BV/TV, Tb.Th, Tb.Sp, and Tb.N (Fig. 1g). No differences in cortical thickness and only a modest increase in cortical volume were detected in all experimental HIF-2α−/− mice (Supplementary Fig. 1). HIF-2α appeared to have a more significant effect on trabecular bone than on cortical bone. Moreover, the turnover of trabecular bone was higher than that of cortical bone during age-related changes in skeletal mass and osteoporotic bone loss. In view of these findings, we focused on trabecular bone physiology. In addition, inconsistent with the results obtained using 4-month-old mice (Fig. 1), no significant changes in bone mass were observed in younger (4- or 8-week-old) mice (Supplementary Fig. 2). Although the reason underlying these differences has yet to be established, our findings suggest that HIF-2α contributes to the bone remodeling (metabolism) process of mature mice to a greater extent than the bone modeling (ossification) of growing young mice. Taken together, these data indicate that HIF-2α depletion may lead to increased bone mass through its effects on both osteoblasts and osteoclasts during the bone remodeling process.

### RESULTS

Heterozygous Hif-2α-KO mice show increased bone mass

To determine the regulatory function of HIF-2α in bone metabolism, we first examined the bone microarchitecture of 4-month-old heterozygous Hif-2α-KO (Hif-2α+/−) and wild-type (WT, Hif-2α+/+) mice using X-ray microcomputed tomography (μCT). The HIF-2α transcript level was markedly lower in the femoral bone of the Hif-2α−/− mice than in their WT littermates (Fig. 1a). The μCT images showed the presence of increased cancellous trabeculae in the HIF-2α−/− mice (Fig. 1b). Quantitative analyses revealed that the bone volume per tissue volume (BV/TV), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), and trabecular number (Tb.N) were greater and the trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) was smaller in heterozygous Hif-2α-KO mice than in WT littermates (Fig. 1b). H&E and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) staining revealed that HIF-2α−/− mice exhibited an increase in the bone trabecular percentage and a decrease in the number of osteoclasts (Fig. 1c). Bone histomorphometric analyses revealed that the BV/TV, the number of osteoblasts per bone perimeter (N.Ob.B/Pm) and the osteoblast surface per bone surface (Ob.S/BS) were greater in the Hif-2α−/− mice, whereas parameters associated with bone resorption, such as the number of osteoclasts per bone perimeter (N.Oc.B/Pm) and the osteoclast surface per bone surface (Oc.S/BS) were lower in the HIF-2α−/− mice (Fig. 1c). To evaluate dynamic bone formation, biochemical markers of bone turnover were measured in serum, and bone formation was visualized via calcein labeling in the femoral bone. Serum osteocalcin (OCN), a marker of bone formation, was elevated, while the serum level of the bone resorption-specific biomarker, C-terminal telopeptide (CTX), was lower in the HIF-2α-deficient mice than in their WT counterparts (Fig. 1d). To further establish the impact of HIF-2α depletion on the dynamically assessed mineral acquisition rate, we labeled HIF-2α+/− and WT mice with calcine 10, 3 days before sacrifice. Flourochrome labeling showed that there were significant increases in the distance between the calcine-labeled surfaces and the histomorphometric parameters of bone formation rate and mineral apposition rate in the HIF-2α−/− mice versus those in the WT mice (Fig. 1e). To further confirm the effects of HIF-2α on bone formation, we generated critical-size calvarial defect models using HIF-2α−/− and WT mice. We found that BMP-2-induced bone regeneration was enhanced in HIF-2α−/− mice and that adenosine infection with Ad-HIF-2α delayed the BMP-2-induced regeneration of calvarial defects (Fig. 1f). The overexpression of HIF-2α mediated by infection with Ad-HIF-2α inhibited the AA/β-Gp-
mediated osteoblast differentiation of mouse calvarial preosteoblasts, as determined by alkaline phosphatase and alizarin red S staining (Supplementary Fig. 3c), whereas increased osteoblast differentiation from Hif-2α−/− calvarial preosteoblasts was observed (Fig. 2c). The inhibitory effect of HIF-2α overexpression on osteoblast differentiation was confirmed via the determination of the expression of osteoblast-differentiation marker genes, such as Ocn and Runx2 (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 3d). Conversely,
Fig. 2  HIF-2α blocks osteoblast differentiation by inhibiting osteocalcin expression. a Primary calvarial preosteoblasts from WT mice were cultured in osteogenic differentiation medium containing 50 μg·mL⁻¹ L-AAA and 5 μmol·L⁻¹ β-GP for 24 days. The transcript and protein levels of HIF-2α on the indicated culture days were determined by qRT-PCR and western blotting, respectively. The expression levels of Hif-2α, Ocn, Runx2, Rankl, and Hif-1α were analyzed by qRT-PCR (n = 3). b Western blotting and quantification of protein levels of HIF-1α and HIF-2α in undifferentiated or differentiated osteoblasts under normoxia or hypoxia (n = 4). DM, differentiation media. c Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and alizarin red S (ARS) staining in primary calvarial preosteoblasts cultured in control media (CM) or differentiation media (DM). Calvarial preosteoblasts were obtained from WT or Hif-2α knockout mice (n = 3). d) Transcript levels of Hif-2α, Ocn, and Runx2 were detected by qRT-PCR in primary cultured calvarial preosteoblasts infected with 400 multiplicity of infection (MOI) of Ad-C or the indicated MOI of Ad-Hif-2α (n > 3). e Detection of the indicated mRNAs by qRT-PCR in osteoblasts transfected with control siRNA (si-C) or the indicated amounts (nM) of Hif-2α-siRNA (n = 6). f RUNX2-responsive luciferase reporters (6XOS-luc or OGS-luc) were transfected into primary calvarial preosteoblasts infected with Ad-Hif-2α or Ad-C. Luciferase assays were performed, and the data are presented as fold changes relative to each CM group (n = 3). g qRT-PCR analysis of Twist1 and Twist2 (n = 4). h TWIST2 immunostaining in osteoblasts of bone tissue from WT and Hif-2α knockout mice. Dotted lines indicate osteoblasts (scale bar: 10 μm). Quantification of TWIST2-positive osteoblasts is shown (n = 7). i Detection of the mRNA levels of Hif-2α, Twist2, Runx2, and Ocn following the siRNA-mediated silencing of Twist2 (Twist2-siR) in HIF-2α-overexpressing cells (n = 3). j ChIP assays were performed using primer pairs (1 and 2) designed to span the putative HIF-2α binding sites within the Twist2 promoter, along with an anti-HIF-2α antibody. k Representative µCT images and measurements of bone volume of calvarial defect models infected with Ad-C or Ad-Hif-2α and coinfected with adenovirus encoding Twist2 shRNA (Ad-shTwist2) (n = 3). Values are presented as the mean ± SEM (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.005).
knocking down HIF-2α using a specific siRNA led to the upregulation of Ocn and Runx2 (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 3e). Because RUNX2 is a well-known transcription factor of OCN, we investigated the effect of HIF-2α on RUNX2 activity. For a direct readout of RUNX2 activity, we used two types of RUNX2-responsive luciferase reporters (6xOSE-luc and OG2-luc)41,42. The increase in RUNX2 activity observed during AA/β-Gp-mediated osteoblast differentiation was dose-dependently inhibited by Ad-Hif-2α-mediated HIF-2α overexpression (Fig. 2f). We hypothesized that specific target genes of HIF-2α might inhibit RUNX2-mediated Ocn expression during osteoblast differentiation. Based on a previous report that Twist directly regulates RUNX2 expression and controls the osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells43, we examined the transcript levels of Twist isotypes in HIF-2α-overexpressing cells. Among the Twist isotypes, we found that Twist2 expression was notably increased by HIF-2α overexpression (Fig. 2g). To verify the in vivo association between HIF-2α and Twist2, immunohistochemical analysis was applied to examine Twist2 expression in HIF-2α+/− mice (Fig. 2h).

Moreover, siRNA-mediated silencing of Twist2 blocked the HIF-2α overexpression-mediated downregulation of Ocn and Runx2 (Fig. 2i). In an attempt to verify whether Twist2 is a specific target of HIF-2α, we identified two putative HIF-2α binding sites [5′-(A/G) CGTG-3′] within the promoter region of Twist2. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays performed using two primer pairs designed to span the putative binding sites revealed that HIF-2α directly binds to the promoter region of Twist2 (Fig. 2j). Strong binding was observed when HIF-2α was overexpressed, while a faintly visible band was detected in control cells during in vitro osteogenic differentiation. In addition, the effects of Twist2 suppression via siRNA-mediated silencing were evaluated in HIF-2α-induced blockade of bone regeneration. As shown in Fig. 2k, Twist2 knockdown significantly inhibited the actions of HIF-2α in osteoblasts in vivo. Indeed, the increase in BMP-2-stimulated bone regeneration was synergistically enhanced by Twist2 knockdown in HIF-2α+/− calvaria compared with the regeneration in the WT mice (Supplementary Fig. 4). These data indicate that HIF-2α is upregulated during osteoblast differentiation and that it inhibits osteoblast differentiation by increasing Twist2 expression to downregulate Ocn and RUNX2.

HIF-2α increases osteoblast-mediated osteoclastogenesis

As an alternative means to explain the reverse function of HIF-2α, even though its expression was increased during osteoblast differentiation, we paid attention to the upsurge in Rankl expression between days 6 and 15 of in vitro culture, which was similar to the upsurge in HIF-2α expression (Fig. 2a). RANKL critically enables osteoblasts to regulate osteoclast development and thereby maintain bone mass44. Thus, we hypothesized that HIF-2α modulates RANKL expression and RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis. We found that HIF-2α overexpression did not affect Opg (a decoy receptor for RANKL) but enhanced the transcript level of Rankl and the ratio of Rankl to Opg during the differentiation of calvarial preosteoblasts (Fig. 3a). The protein level of Rankl was increased on day 6 of osteoblastic differentiation, as determined by ELISA of the culture media (Fig. 3b) and immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 3c). siRNA-mediated silencing of HIF-2α in primary cultured calvarial osteoblasts confirmed that HIF-2α regulates Rankl expression but not Opg expression (Fig. 3d). The immunohistochemistry results consistently showed that RANKL is downregulated upon HIF-2α knockdown (Fig. 3e). To examine the ability of HIF-2α to induce osteoclast differentiation by mature osteoblasts and thus contribute to maintaining bone mass44, we cultured calvarial preosteoblasts with bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs) in the presence of Vitamin D. When cells were cocultured on the same glass covers, we observed more positive TRAP staining among BMMs and Ad-Hif-2α-infected preosteoblast cocultures than in cocultures performed with control virus-infected preosteoblasts (Fig. 3f). We also performed cocultures of osteoclasts derived from WT mice and osteoblasts from HIF-2α+/− or HIF-2α−/− mice. Osteoblast-mediated osteoclastogenesis was dramatically alleviated in HIF-2α-deficient osteoblasts relative to WT cells (Fig. 3g).

We further investigated whether RANKL is a specific target of HIF-2α. A ChiP assay using primer pairs designed to span the putative binding sites (−821→−815) within the RANKL promoter revealed that HIF-2α directly binds the RANKL promoter region (Fig. 3h). Taken together, these data suggest that the upregulation of HIF-2α in preosteoblasts increases the osteoclast differentiation of BMMs by increasing RANKL expression.

Osteoblast-specific depletion of HIF-2α increases bone mass by affecting both osteoblasts and osteoclasts

To further investigate the dual effects of osteoblast-derived HIF-2α on osteoblast differentiation and osteoclastogenesis in an in vivo system, we crossed HIF-2αfl/fl mice with Col1α1-Cre transgenic mice to obtain osteoblast-specific HIF-2α-deficient mice. Immunohistochemical staining with anti-HIF-2α and anti-OCN antibodies confirmed the osteoblast-specific depletion of HIF-2α in HIF-2αfl/fl, Col1α1-Cre mice and showed that these mice maintained HIF-2α expression in their osteoclasts (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 5a). Consistent with our findings in HIF-2α−/− mice (Fig. 1), the µCT images and quantitative results (BV/TV, Tb.Th, Tb.Sp, and Tb.N) indicated that the bone mass and trabecular bone percentages were higher in the 4-month-old HIF-2αfl/fl,Col1α1-Cre mice than in the age-matched HIF-2α−/− mice (Fig. 4b). H&E-stained images and bone histomorphometric analyses also showed that the trabecular percentage was increased in the HIF-2αfl/fl,Col1α1-Cre mice (Fig. 4c). Notably, TRAP staining and the values of the relevant quantitative parameters, such as N.Oc/B.Pm and Oc.S/BS, showed that bone resorption was decreased in osteoblast-specific HIF-2α-null mice (Fig. 4c). Thus, the depletion of HIF-2α from osteoblasts affected the number of osteoclasts. These phenomena were confirmed in OVX model mice. OVX-induced bone resorption was reduced in HIF-2α−/−,Col1α1-Cre mice versus that in HIF-2α−/− littermates, as determined by µCT analysis (Fig. 4d), H&E staining, TRAP staining, and bone histomorphometric analyses (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Moreover, the serum OCN level was significantly higher in the HIF-2α−/−,Col1α1-Cre mice, whereas serum CTX-1 was lower in osteoblast-specific HIF-2α−/− mice than in the control littermates (Fig. 4e). However, Vegf expression (Supplementary Fig. 5c) and the number of CD31-positive blood vessels (Supplementary Fig. 5d) were not altered in osteoblast-specific conditional KO bone, suggesting that HIF-2α in osteoblasts is not involved in the angiogenesis mediated by osteoblast-derived VEGF expression. Consistent with the results shown in Figs. 2 and 3, osteoblast differentiation (Fig. 4f) was enhanced by Ad-Cre infection in osteoblasts obtained from HIF-2αfl/fl mice. Upregulation of Ocn and Runx2 and downregulation of Twist2 and Rankl were noted in the osteoblast-specific HIF-2α KO conditions (Fig. 4g).

HIF-2α expression in osteoclasts promotes osteoclast differentiation and maturation

Next, we investigated the expression of HIF-2α during the osteoclast differentiation of BMMs. We used M-CSF and RANKL to induce the osteoclastogenesis of BMMs and monitored the increase in the osteoclast-related marker genes Trap, Ctsk, Nfatc1, DcstAMP, and OcstAMP. HIF-2α expression increased significantly on days 3–5 of in vitro differentiation culture, whereas HIF-1α expression was constantly low throughout the culture period (Fig. 5a). The protein level of HIF-1α in RANKL-treated osteoclasts was markedly increased under hypoxia but not normoxia, whereas HIF-2α was upregulated in RANKL-treated osteoclasts under both normoxia and hypoxia (Fig. 5b). Strong HIF-2α expression in osteoclasts was also observed during OVX-induced bone resorption, as assessed by anti-HIF-2α and TRAP immunostaining.
obtained from values are presented as the mean ± SEM (* expression following siRNA-mediated knockdown of HIF-2 Trap promoting the osteoclast differentiation of BMMs. Consistent with findings suggest that HIF-2α overexpression yielded very large osteoclasts with a very large cytoplasmic compartment, indicating that HIF-2α stimulates osteoclast maturation (Fig. 5d). Resorption pit analyses showed that HIF-2α overexpression was associated with a large area of mineral resorption (Fig. 5e), and actin ring formation was markedly increased in HIF-2α-overexpressing BMMs (Fig. 5f). These findings suggest that HIF-2α modulates bone resorption by promoting the osteoclast differentiation of BMMs. Consistent with this notion, HIF-2α expression enhanced the expression levels of osteoclast-marker genes, such as Trap, Ctsk, and Nfatc1, and osteoclast-fusion-related genes, such as Dcstamp and Ocstamp, during the M-CSF/RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis of BMMs (Fig. 5g). To further confirm the roles of HIF-2α in osteoclast differentiation and function, we examined the osteoclast differentiation and maturation of primary cultured BMMs isolated from HIF-2α+/− mice and WT littermates. The TRAP-positive multinucleated cells (Fig. 6a), mineral resorption (Fig. 6b), actin ring formation (Fig. 6c), and osteoclast-related marker gene expression (Fig. 6d) were all lower in cells from HIF-2α+/− mice than in those from HIF-2α+/+ littermates. In addition, we examined how the specific inhibition of HIF-2α with ZINC04179524 (CHEMBL2311967, https://www.ebi.ac.uk/chembl/target/results/keyword) affected osteoclast differentiation. The inhibitory potency of ZINC04179524 was verified by the use of a HIF-2α-responsive luciferase reporter (4XHRE-luc) in IL-1β-treated chondrocytes (Supplementary Fig. 6a) or Ad-HIF-2α-infected chondrocytes (Supplementary Fig. 6b). Inhibition of HIF-2α with ZINC04179524 significantly blocked the RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation of BMMs, as shown by TRAP staining (Fig. 6e). The number of nuclei in osteoclasts (Fig. 6e) and osteoclast-related gene expression (Fig. 6f) were also dose-dependently reduced by the inhibition of HIF-2α. We further elucidated the underlying molecular mechanism by examining tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 (encoded by Traf6). Traf6 is a pivotal component of the RANK signaling pathway, and Traf6-deficient mice exhibit severe osteopetrosis, defects in bone remodeling and tooth eruption caused by impaired osteoclast function. Indeed, we found that Traf6 expression was upregulated during RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation, and this increased expression of Traf6 was enhanced by HIF-2α overexpression (Fig. 6g). Reduced expression of Traf6 was observed in osteoclasts derived from HIF-2α+/− mice and HIF-2α inhibitor-treated BMMs (Fig. 6g). Moreover, inhibition of Traf6 with a Traf6 decoy peptide (T6DP) significantly blocked the HIF-2α-mediated enhancement of osteoclast differentiation and maturation, as evidenced by a decrease in TRAP-positive
Fig. 4 Osteoblast-specific depletion of HIF-2α increases bone mass. a Osteoblast-specific depletion of HIF-2α in Hif-2αfl/fl and Hif-2αfl/fl;Col1a1-Cre mice was determined by immunohistochemistry with anti-HIF-2α antibody. Scale bar: 10 μm. b, c Analyses of femoral trabecular bones from 4-month-old Hif-2αfl/fl and Hif-2αfl/fl;Col1a1-Cre mice. Representative images of µCT reconstructions of trabecular bones and H&E and TRAP staining (c). BV/TV, Tb.Th, Tb.Sp, and Tb.N were assessed based on the µCT measurements (n = 8). Scale bar: 100 μm. d, e Quantitative µCT analysis of femoral trabecular bones (n = 8) and ELISA-based measurement of the serum concentrations of OCN (n = 5) and CTX-1 (n = 9) in OVX- or sham-operated 3-month-old Hif-2αfl/fl and Hif-2αfl/fl;Col1a1-Cre mice. f, g Osteoblast differentiation was validated in primary calvarial preosteoblasts from Hif-2αfl/fl mice infected with Ad-C or Ad-Cre in the presence of differentiation medium. Osteoblast differentiation was examined by ALP and ARS staining (f), and its corresponding gene expression was determined by qRT-PCR (n = 4; g). Values are presented as the mean ± SEM (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.005). The effects of OVX and osteoblast-specific deletion of HIF-2α (CKO) as well as their interaction in mice were analyzed by two-way ANOVA (d) BV/TV; interaction = 0.0341, OVX < 0.0001, cKO < 0.0001; e OCN: interaction < 0.0001; OVX < 0.0001, cKO < 0.0001; f CTX-1: interaction = 0.0414; OVX < 0.0001, cKO = 0.0461)
multinucleated cells (Fig. 6h). A ChIP assay using three primer pairs designed to span the putative binding sites revealed that HIF-2α directly regulates the expression of Traf6 (Fig. 6i). To verify the in vivo association between HIF-2α and TRAF6, we initially examined the downregulation of TRAF6 expression in HIF-2α−/− mice using immunohistochemistry (Fig. 6j). Next, we evaluated whether HIF-2α inhibition is sufficient for RANKL-induced osteoclast activation and whether TRAF6 is essential for HIF-2α-mediated osteoclastogenesis in vivo. To this end, we examined the in vivo activity of HIF-2α and TRAF6 inhibitors on osteoclast activation using a RANKL-injection-induced bone resorption model in mouse calvaria. Administration of a HIF-2α inhibitor blocked RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis, which was observed as a decrease in TRAP-positive osteoclasts. HIF-2α overexpression via Ad-HIF-2α triggered significant bone resorption, whereas TRAF6 inhibition in vivo with T6DP blocked the HIF-2α-mediated stimulation of osteoclastogenesis (Fig. 6k). Together, our results show that HIF-2α is upregulated in osteoclasts and osteoblasts and promotes osteoclast differentiation/maturation and progressive bone loss.

Osteoclast-specific depletion of HIF-2α increases bone mass by affecting osteoclasts but not osteoblasts. To further confirm the effects of osteoclast-derived HIF-2α in vivo, we generated osteoclast-specific HIF-2α-deficient mice by crossing...
Fig. 6  HIF-2α promotes osteoclast function by upregulating TRAF6 expression.  a–d BMMs isolated from 8-week-old Hif-2α−/− and Hif-2α+/− mice were subjected to M-CSF/RANKL-induced differentiation in vitro. TRAP staining and quantitative analysis of multinucleated cells are shown (n = 4; a); mineral resorption pits (n = 4; b); F-actin ring formation (n = 4; c); and western blotting of HIF-2α and osteoclast-related genes (Trap, Ctsk, Nfatc1, Dcstamp, and Ocstamp) (n ≥ 4; d). e, f TRAP staining and quantification of multinucleated cells were performed in BMMs treated with 2.5 or 5 μmol·L−1 ZINC04179524, a potent inhibitor of HIF-2α, during RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation (n = 4; e), and the RNA expression levels of osteoclast-related genes were analyzed by qRT-PCR (n = 4; f). g Tra6 expression was determined by qRT-PCR during the RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis of BMMs in Ad-Hif-2α-infected BMMs isolated from WT mice, in BMMs isolated from Hif-2α+/− mice, and in BMMs treated with ZINC04179524 (n = 4). h BMMs infected with Ad-C or Ad-Hif-2α with or without 30 μmol·L−1 T6DP were analyzed by TRAP staining and quantification of multinucleated cell numbers (n = 3). i A ChIP assay of Ad-Hif-2α-infected osteoclasts was performed with anti-HIF-2α antibody and a primer pair designed to span the putative HIF-2α binding regions within the promoter of Tra6. j TRAF6 immunostaining in osteoclasts from the bone tissue of Hif-2α−/− and WT mice. k Mice calvaria were injected with RANKL (5 μg) and coinjected with 100 μmol·L−1 ZINC04179524 or 100 μmol·L−1 T6DP in the presence of Ad-Hif-2α (1 × 10⁹ CFU). Calvaria bone resorption was detected by μCT analysis and TRAP staining and quantified by bone volume measurement. Values are presented as the mean ± SEM (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005). Scale bar: 100 μm.
HIF-2α/Cre mice with Ctsk-Cre mice. Immunostaining confirmed that the osteoblasts of these mice stained positive for HIF-2α, whereas the osteoclasts did not (Fig. 7a). Indeed, double immunostaining of HIF-2α and CTSK revealed nuclear localization of HIF-2α in osteoclasts (Supplementary Fig. 7a). μCT and quantitative analyses revealed that this osteoclast-specific depletion of HIF-2α increased the BV/TV (Fig. 7b). Bone histomorphometric analyses with H&E and TRAP staining showed that N.Oc/B.Pm and O.cs/B.S were significantly decreased in the HIF-2α/Cre-Cre mice, whereas N. Ob/B.Pm and Ob.S/B.S were unaltered (Fig. 7c). This finding indicates that the specific depletion of HIF-2α in osteoclasts increased the relative bone volume by preventing bone resorption. We further found that O VX-induced bone resorption (Fig. 7d and Supplementary Fig. 7b) and the levels of serum CTX-1, but not serum OCN, were significantly lower in OVX HIF-2α/Cre mice than in OVX HIF-2α/Cre mice (Fig. 7e). From these data, we conclude that the expression of HIF-2α in osteoclasts promotes osteoclast activation in addition to its role in osteoblasts (Fig. 7f).

DISCUSSION

Pathological bone diseases are caused by dysregulation of the interplay between osteoblasts and osteoclasts, as well as by an imbalance between osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and osteoblast-mediated bone formation. In the current study, we show that HIF-2α deficiency increased bone mass by promoting osteoblast differentiation and inhibiting osteoclast differentiation. Moreover, coculture experiments and analyses of HIF-2α-conditional KO mice showed that HIF-2α-mediated RANKL expression in osteoblasts affected the differentiation and maturation of osteoclasts. The RANKL secreted by preosteoclasts infected with Ad-HIF-2α was sufficient to induce the differentiation of BMMs. The osteoblast-specific depletion of HIF-2α in HIF-2α/Col1a1-Cre mice increased bone mass by affecting both osteoblasts and osteoclasts, whereas the osteoclast-specific loss of HIF-2α in HIF-2α/Cre-Cre mice showed the reverse pattern, undergoing upregulation between days 18 and 24 when HIF-2α was downregulated. Based on this observation, we hypothesized that a target gene of HIF-2α reduces the expression levels of RUNX2 and OCN. Several lines of evidence suggest that TWIST negatively regulates RUNX2 expression and activity, which is followed by the sequential downregulation of OCN expression. In particular, hypoxia and HIF-1α inhibit the expression of type 1 RUNX2 via TWIST, which is a downstream target of HIF-1α; this inhibition further inhibits BMP-2 expression, type 2 RUNX2 expression, and osteoblast differentiation in human mesenchymal stem cells. In the present study, we determined that Twist2 acts as a direct target gene of HIF-2α to inhibit RUNX2 expression, which may decrease OCN expression and inhibit mineralization by osteoblasts, resulting in decreased bone mass. The role of HIF-2α in osteoblast-mediated osteoclastogenesis was additionally examined in the current study. Interestingly, we obtained results opposite to those of Wu et al. who previously reported that Opg is a target gene of HIF-2α but not Rankl; the reasons underlying the inconsistent findings between the studies are unclear. Based on our data, we propose that HIF-2α protein in osteoblasts directly binds the promoter of Rankl to promote osteoclast differentiation. This proposal is supported by our previous findings of HIF-2α-mediated upregulation of RANKL in fibroblast-like synoviocytes and abrogation of RANKL-induced bone resorption in the region of RA pannus in heterozygous HIF-2α KO mice.

Numerous hormones, cytokines, and growth factors play pivotal roles in osteoclast development. Based on our present results, we suggest that HIF-2α is a previously unrecognized catabolic factor of osteoclast differentiation and maturation. To address the molecular mechanism underlying HIF-2α-stimulated osteoclastogenesis, we used adenoviral infection to overexpress HIF-2α and analyzed molecular markers of osteoclast differentiation and cell fusion. We found that Ad-HIF-2α infection upregulated various genes involved in both osteoclast differentiation (e.g., Trap, Ctsk, and Nfatc1) and osteoclast fusion (e.g., Dcstamp and Ocstamp). Here, we suggest that TRAP6 acts as a key connecting protein in HIF-2α-induced gene expression because it acts as the crucial adaptor molecule of RANK, leads to the induction of Nfatc1, and is critical for osteoclastogenesis. This idea is supported by a previous report that Traf6 is a direct target gene of HIF-1 and by the results of our experiments using cell-permeable T6DP in the presence of Ad-HIF-2α.

Given the hypoxic nature of the bone microenvironment, it has been suggested that hypoxia and HIF play critical roles in bone formation. Of the three α subunits of HIF (HIF-1α, HIF-2α, and HIF-3α), HIF-1α has been intensively studied both in the normal physiology of bone homeostasis and in pathological bone diseases. The α subunit of the HIF proteins is hydroxylated on an oxygen-dependent degradation domain by oxygen-sensing PHD enzymes under normoxia; thereafter, the binding of E3 ligation von Hippel–Lindau protein (pVHL) to hydroxylated HIF-α subunits is followed by their polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. Wang and coworkers demonstrated that activation of the HIF-α pathway in osteoblasts of Vhl-KO (ΔVhl) mice produces high levels of Vegf, leading to the development of dense and heavily vascularized long bones. In addition, Shomente et al. observed decreased bone volume in mice lacking HIF-1α in osteoblasts, suggesting that HIF-1α is critical for coupling angiogenesis to osteogenesis during endochondral ossification. However, the potential role of HIF-1α in the regulation of osteoblast–osteoclast crosstalk during osteoporotic bone loss is controversial. Mice with genetic ablation of Pdh in osteoblasts showed crosstalk between osteoblasts and osteoclasts via overexpression of OPG, an HIF target gene that regulates bone homeostasis and protects against OVX-induced bone loss. This finding was supported by the observation that specific disruption of VHL in osteoblasts and the subsequent activation of HIF signaling in these cells could protect against OVX-induced bone loss. In osteoclastogenesis, in contrast, HIF is reportedly required for osteoclast formation and bone resorption, and osteoclast-specific HIF-1α depletion in mice was shown to antagonize O VX-induced (in female) or ORX-induced (in male) bone loss.

Distinct functions of HIF-2α and HIF-1α in bone development and osteoblast functions have been proposed. Specific deletion of either HIF-1α or HIF-2α in osteoblasts led to a similar increase in VEGF-mediated skeletal vascularity, whereas HIF-1α, but not HIF-2α, enhanced bone formation by regulating osteoblast differentiation and proliferation. In addition, only HIF-1α exerted tumorigenic effects on bone tissues, and genetic invalidation of HIF-2α in osteoblast-lineage cells did not significantly modulate the bone phenotype in young mice. Results distinct from those of other reports were obtained in the current study. Heterozygous HIF-2α KO and osteoblast-specific HIF-2α deletion in mice led to a significant increase in bone mass through modulation of both osteoblasts and osteoclasts. This discrepancy may be explained in two ways. First, we used a different Cre transgenic model, Col1a1-Cre, to generate osteoblast-specific conditional KO mice, rather than the Ocn-Cre or Osx-Cre transgenic mice used by the other groups. Col1a1 is expressed earlier than Ocn during osteogenesis. To further elucidate the expression patterns of...
osteogenic markers and HIF-2α, we examined HIF-2α, COL1A1, and OCN levels during osteogenesis in human mesenchymal stem cells (data not shown). Consistent with the results obtained using preosteoblast cells (Fig. 2a), the mRNA expression pattern of HIF-2α was very similar to that of Col1a1 but decreased at the Ocn-expression stage. In addition, it has been reported that different maturation stages of osteoblasts can be targeted by Osteoclast-specification factors. Figure 7 shows the effects of OVX and osteoclast-specific depletion of HIF-2α on bone mass and bone remodeling in mice. The effects of OVX and osteoclast-specific depletion of HIF-2α (cKO) as well as their interaction in mice were analyzed by two-way ANOVA (d BV/TV: interaction = 0.001 8, OVX < 0.000 1, cKO < 0.000 1; e OCN: interaction = 0.743 6, OVX < 0.000 1, cKO = 0.557 7; e CTX-1: interaction = 0.045 0, OVX < 0.000 1, cKO = 0.002 5)

Fig. 7 Osteoclast-specific depletion of HIF-2α increases bone mass. a Osteoclast-specific depletion of HIF-2α in Hif-2αfl/cm and Hif-2αfl/cm; Ctsk-Cre mice was determined by immunohistochemistry with anti-HIF-2α antibody (n = 3; scale bar: 10 μm). b, c Analysis of femoral trabecular bones from 4-month-old Hif-2αfl/cm and Hif-2αfl/cm; Ctsk-Cre mice. Quantitative µCT analysis of trabecular bones (n = 8; b), H&E and TRAP staining and bone histomorphometric analysis (n = 8; c). Scale bar: 100 μm. d, e Quantitative µCT analysis (n = 8; d) and measurement of serum OCN and CTX-1 concentrations (n = 6; e) in OVX or sham-operated Hif-2αfl/cm and Hif-2αfl/cm; Ctsk-Cre mice. f Schematic diagram depicting HIF-2α regulation of bone remodeling. Values are presented as the mean ± SEM (***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, NS not significant). Scale bar: 100 μm. The effects of OVX and osteoclast-specific depletion of HIF-2α (cKO) as well as their interaction in mice were analyzed by two-way ANOVA (d BV/TV: interaction = 0.001 8, OVX < 0.000 1, cKO < 0.000 1; e OCN: interaction = 0.743 6, OVX < 0.000 1, cKO = 0.557 7; e CTX-1: interaction = 0.045 0, OVX < 0.000 1, cKO = 0.002 5)
different phenotypes observed between our study and earlier studies. Another possible explanation for the inconsistent results is the differences in the ages of mice used for the experiments. While the other groups analyzed juvenile or young adult (6 to 8 weeks old) mice, we used mature mice to evaluate the regulatory role of HIF-2α in bone remodeling and osteoporotic bone loss. VEGF-mediated control of angiogenesis–osteogenesis coupling is a major critical factor in bone development. To elucidate this issue, we additionally analyzed bone volumes in younger mice (4 and 8 weeks old). Interestingly, no significant changes in bone mass were evident, suggesting that HIF-2α functions as a pivotal molecule in bone remodeling and not bone modeling (Supplementary Fig. 2). In the current study, HIF-2α induced only a modest increase in Vegf expression in osteoblasts compared to that induced by HIF-1α (data not shown). However, the potential angiogenesis-independent roles of HIF-2α in bone remodeling remain to be elucidated. Given the collective findings, we hypothesize that HIF-1α plays a predominant role in regulating angiogenesis–osteogenesis coupling under normal physiological conditions, while HIF-2α may contribute to bone remodeling, in part, by regulating interactions between osteoblasts and osteoclasts through modulation of their pivotal markers.

Several reports support distinct functions of HIF-1α and HIF-2α in selected tissues, even though they are homologous and share a conserved oxygen-dependent degradation domain55–58. Although the two α subunits are structurally similar and recognize the same DNA element, the target genes regulated by HIF-1α and HIF-2α are not identical59–62. A recent report showed that HIF-2α is essential for the endochondral ossification of cultured chondrocytes and embryonic skeletal growth independent of oxygen-dependent hydroxylation59. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that HIF-2α is an essential catabolic regulator of osteoarthritis cartilage destruction and RA pathogenesis32,60–62. In the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis, HIF-2α regulates subchondral bone sclerosis, the formation of osteophytes in joints, and RA; this process occurs via the direct or indirect (via IL-6 signaling) upregulation of matrix-degrading catabolic enzymes, such as MMP-3 and MMP-13, and the Fas-mediated apoptosis of chondrocytes60–62. HIF-2α also plays key roles in RA pathogenesis by regulating angiogenesis, IL-6-dependent11,17 cell differentiation, and fibroblast-like synovioyte functions63. Here, we show that HIF-2α, but not HIF-1α, accumulated during osteoblast differentiation and the RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis of BMMs under normoxia (Figs. 2b and 5b). Cytokines and hormones are known to affect the proliferation and accumulation of HIF-1α and may be involved in activating HIF-1α under normoxia64. Our previous studies suggested that cytokines (e.g., IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α) expressed in articular chondrocytes during the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis and RA increase HIF-2α expression and protein accumulation under normoxia32,60. In OVX-induced osteoporosis models, estrogen deficiency increases cytokine expression64, which may affect HIF-2α expression and HIF-2α-mediated osteoclast activation.

A number of drugs that inhibit bone resorption are currently used in the clinic. Bisphosphonates, which are the most widely used drugs against osteoporosis, are effective in slowing the progression of osteoporotic bone loss by inhibiting bone resorption. However, there is a limit to the recovery of osteoporosis that has already progressed65, and recent reports have demonstrated that rare but serious adverse effects may occur as a result of bisphosphonate therapy66. Parathyroid hormone-based drugs, such as teriparatide, are commonly used to treat osteoporosis by promoting osteogenesis. However, these drugs have the disadvantages of being costly and inconvenient to administer, and they may activate bone resorption67. To overcome these limitations, researchers have long sought to develop antosteoporosis drugs that affect bone resorption and prevent the reduction in bone formation. Our present results suggest that HIF-2α is a key regulator in the maintenance of bone homeostasis.

The potent HIF-2α inhibitor, ZINC04179524, blocked RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis, and osteoclast maturation but failed to block osteoblast differentiation (data not shown). We speculate that HIF-1α and HIF-2α cooperatively contribute to osteoblast differentiation, whereas HIF-2α, but not HIF-1α, has major functions in osteoclast differentiation and activation under normoxia, which can be associated with pathophysiological conditions. In addition, inhibition of TRAF6 with a T6DP completely blocked the effects of HIF-2α overexpression on RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation and maturation.

This study revealed an unanticipated molecular mechanism accounting for the regulation of bone remodeling by HIF-2α. In summary, our data suggest that HIF-2α inhibits osteoclastogenesis but drives osteoclastogenesis through direct regulation of TWIST2 or TRAF6. Moreover, HIF-2α appears to act as a critical regulator of the interplay between osteoblasts and osteoclasts by directly increasing RANKL expression (see Fig. 7f). Taken together, our present results suggest that HIF-2α may be a key factor in the maintenance of bone homeostasis, and its regulation may be an important therapeutic target in efforts to address bone fracture and pathological diseases associated with bone loss, including cancer, RA, and osteoporosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice and experimental models

HIF-2αfl/fl and HIF-2α−/− mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Sacramento, CA, USA), and Ctsk-Cre mice were obtained from the Rodent Model Resource Center (Taipei, Taiwan). Type I collagen promoter (2.3 kb) (Col1a1)-Cre mice were kindly provided by Dr Je-Yong Choi (Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea)68. To generate osteoblast- and osteoclast-specific HIF-2α-KO mice, HIF-2α−/− mice (containing IoxP sites flanking exon 2 of HIF-2α) were backcrossed against Col1a1-Cre and Ctsk-Cre mice, respectively. Male mice were used for all experiments except for the OVX models. The OVX models were generated by a 5-mm dorsal incision in 8-week-old female mice; a sham operation was performed as a control. After 4 weeks, the OVX mice were sacrificed for further analysis. For calvarial bone defect models69, a critical-sized calvarial defect was created using a 5-mm diameter trephine bur (Fine Science Tools, Foster City, CA, USA) in 6-week-old C57BL/6 mice. For calvarial bone defect models42, a critical-sized calvarial defect was created using a 5-mm diameter trephine bur (Fine Science Tools, Foster City, CA, USA). All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Chonnam National University (Gwangju, Korea).
comprising 300 total steps starting 30 steps away from the growth plate. Femoral morphometric parameters were determined with data analysis software (CTAn). Trabecular morphometry was analyzed by measuring the BV/TV, Tb.Th, Tb.N, and Tb.Sp. Three-dimensional surface rendering images were generated using Mimics 14.0 imaging software (Materialise, Plymouth, MI, USA).

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed independently at least three times. All quantified data in bar charts with scatter plots are presented as the mean ± SEM. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7 software. All quantified data were first tested for conformation to a normal distribution using the Shapiro–Wilks test and were then analyzed by two-tailed Student’s t-test (pairwise comparisons) or analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc tests (multicomparison), as appropriate. Changes in bone volume and the ELISA results of serum OCN and CTX-1 obtained from O VX mice were analyzed by two-way ANOVA for the effects of genetic deletion and O VX and their interactions. The n-value is the number of independent experiments or mice. Significance was accepted at the 0.05 level of probability (P < 0.05).
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