Special Issue of First International Conference on Advancements in Management, Engineering and Technology (ICAMET 2020)

Grafting of Poly (acrylonitrile) on Cellulose to Synthesize and Characterize Potential Functional Polymers for Development of Metal Ion Sorbents

Dr Suresh Kumar
Associate Professor, Department of Chemistry,
Govt. P.G. College Una, Himachal Pradesh, 174303, Mobile: 09418945590
sureshchemistry2013@gmail.com

Abstract
Grafting of Acrylonitrile onto cellulose from different sources, using a variety of chemical initiators under various reaction conditions has been thoroughly investigated and reported. Hydrophobic nature of acrylonitrile hinders the partition of metal ions form aqueous phase thus narrows the application spectrum of its graft copolymers in separation and enrichment processes. However, this drawback can be improved by post functionalization of nitrile (-CN) group to generate hydrophilic/ionic moieties by simple reactions like hydrolysis or substitution. In present study, acrylonitrile (AN) was grafted onto commercial cellulose to produce graft copolymers which can be used as starting materials for development of efficient metal ions sorbents. Grafting was carried out by redox initiation method using Ce+4 ions following the earlier reported scheme at the optimum grafting conditions worked out for grafting of AN onto cellulose ether, Hydroxethyl cellulose(HEC). Graft copolymer thus obtained [hereafter called cell-g-poly (AN)] was characterized by Elemental analysis and FTIR. Both, cellulose and HEC belong to same backbone therefore, exhibited similar behaviour towards grafting. Percent grafting (P_g =50) obtained in case of cellulose was in close agreement with (P_g=55.5) that observed in case of HEC. Cell-g-poly (AN) served as useful precursor to develop reactive metal ion sorbing polymer supports as nitrile (-CN) moiety of poly (AN) is amenable to post functionalization and could be conveniently modified to targeted metal ion chelating amido-oxime group [poly (NH_2 -C=NHOH)] thus the resultant polymer could be used as a novel support for metal ion sorption.
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1. Introduction
Grafting is very common and convenient method for modification of properties by incorporation of desirable and targeted functionalities on the polymer backbone. A graft copolymer generally has side chains of some homopolymers. A monomer can be grafted as unitary or as binary system with other monomer(s). Graft copolymers are comb or brush shaped with graft chains as bristles and backbone as base where bristles are attached. Common methods of grafting are usually classified as induced by radiation source and induced by chemical initiation. Other less common methods are physical or mechanical treatment as thawing or beating of backbone polymer to induce active sites on backbone where grafting takes place. Grafting may proceed through ionic or free radical mechanism. In order to develop active metal ion sorbents, cellulose
was first graft copolymerised with suitable monomers amenable to polymer analogous reactions. These graft copolymers served as precursors and can be conveniently post reacted to obtain polymers of desirable functionalities. Natural polymers like cellulose are finding increased use in low cost technologies for removal of metal ion from water bodies. These polymers are of renewable origin; environment friendly and offer highly cost effective technologies. Grafting of suitable monomers onto cellulose provides high degree of selectivity and offers a wide field to develop reactive graft copolymers for use as sorbents for water technologies. Cellulose extracted from pine needles is a new backbone polymer. Chauhan and co-workers have used it for the first time to synthesize large variety of functional polymers by grafting as single or from binary monomer mixtures. They have also developed cellulose based hydrogels, and reported these as supports for enzyme immobilization, flocculents and metal ion sorption[1-9]. In present study an attempt has been made to report the synthesis of graft copolymers of acrylonitrile (AN) onto commercial cellulose backbone by redox initiation method using Ce+4 ions (from ammonium ceric nitrate, ACN). Both, cellulose and Hydroxyethyl cellulose (HEC) are similar backbones and are expected to exhibit similar chemical reactivity towards grafting thus grafting of AN was carried out following the earlier reported scheme at the optimum grafting conditions worked out for grafting of AN onto HEC[10]. Graft copolymers were extracted in water to remove any traces of unreacted initiator and then in dimethyl formamide (DMF) by stirring for 24 h to ensure complete removal of homopolymer. The extraction product was filtered and dried at 400C in an air oven. Extraction process was repeated to obtain a constant weight of the graft copolymer. The percent grafting (Pg) was then evaluated using the relation reported earlier[10-14].

2.3 Characterization of Polymers
Cellulose and cell-g-poly (AN) were characterized by FT-IR spectroscopy using KBr pallets on RKIN ELMER spectrophotometer. Grafted polymer was also analysed for C, H and N content (recorded on Carlo ErbaEA-1108) to investigate structural aspects.

3. Results and Discussions
Grafting by redox systems using transition metal ions with variable oxidation states is extensively studied and used technique. To initiate grafting, metal ion may directly oxidise cellulose to form free radicals and small free radical species may be formed. Chain transfer reactions of free radicals can also induce grafting of cellulose backbone. The transition metal ions such as Co+3, Mn+3, Fe+3Cr+6, V+5 and Ce+4, directly oxidise cellulose as a result of which free radicals sites are produced. Misra, et., al used metal chelates to induce grafting [11]. Amongst these, Ce+4 ions are successfully used for initiation of grafting by a number of co-workers [12-14]. Grafting possibly occurs at the hydroxyl groups present at positions 2, 3 and 6 of anhydroglucose unit from where H abstraction is easier.

2. Experimental
2.1 Materials
Acrylonitrile, Ammonium ceric nitrate (ACN) and Cellulose (Merck, Germany) were used as they received.

2.2 Method
Graft copolymerization of acrylonitrile onto cellulose was carried out by redox initiation method using Ce+4 ions. Cellulose (2g), ACN (6.59x10^-2 moles/L), distilled water (10.0mL) and acrylonitrile (3.792moles/L) were homogenized by stirring in a reaction vessel and reaction system was allowed to react at 700C for 30 minutes. After heating, grafted sample was first extracted in water to remove unreacted initiator, and then in dimethyl formamide (DMF) by stirring for 24 h to ensure complete removal of homopolymer. The extraction product was filtered and dried at 400C in an air oven. Extraction process was repeated to obtain a constant weight of the graft copolymer. The percent grafting (Pg) was then evaluated using the relation reported earlier[10-14].

2.3 Characterization of Polymers
Cellulose and cell-g-poly (AN) were characterized by FT-IR spectroscopy using KBr pallets on RKIN ELMER spectrophotometer. Grafted polymer was also analysed for C, H and N content (recorded on Carlo ErbaEA-1108) to investigate structural aspects.

3. Results and Discussions
Grafting by redox systems using transition metal ions with variable oxidation states is extensively studied and used technique. To initiate grafting, metal ion may directly oxidise cellulose to form free radicals and small free radical species may be formed. Chain transfer reactions of free radicals can also induce grafting of cellulose backbone. The transition metal ions such as Co+3, Mn+3, Fe+3Cr+6, V+5 and Ce+4, directly oxidise cellulose as a result of which free radicals sites are produced. Misra, et., al used metal chelates to induce grafting [11]. Amongst these, Ce+4 ions are successfully used for initiation of grafting by a number of co-workers [12-14]. Grafting possibly occurs at the hydroxyl groups present at positions 2, 3 and 6 of anhydroglucose unit from where H abstraction is easier.
3.1 Graft Copolymerization of Acrylonitrile
Acrylonitrile is a hydrophobic monomer and is expected to exhibit similar chemical reactivity towards grafting onto cellulose and its ether HEC. Thus the grafting of AN onto cellulose was carried out using Ce\(^{4+}\) ions following the earlier reported scheme at optimum grafting conditions [10],

\(\text{backbone}=2\text{g},\text{initiator}=6.59\times10^{-2}\text{ moles/L, monomer }= 3.792 \text{ moles/L, distilled water}=10\text{ mL; } P_g \text{ obtained } =55.5\) worked out for grafting of AN onto HEC. In present study, 2g cellulose afforded gravimetric value of \(P_g=50\), when charged with 3.792 moles/L of [AN] and 6.59\times10^{-2}\text{moles/L of } [\text{ACN}] \text{ in } 10\text{mL of water Percentage grafting (P}_g=50\) of AN onto Was found to be very close to that of AN onto HEC (\(P_g = 55.5\)) showing similar chemical behaviour of two backbones towards graft copolymerization.

| Table.1 Elemental analysis of cell-g-poly (AN) |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Polymer | \(P_g\) | Obs. %C | %H | %N | Calc. %N |
| Cell-g-poly(AN) | 50 | 28.78 | 5.81 | 8.71 | 8.80 |

Obs.= observed ,Calc.=calculated.

Table 3.2 FTIR analysis of Cellulose and Cell-g-poly (AN)

| \(P_g\) | Vibration frequencies(cm\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| OH Str. | C-O-C Str./OH Ben. -C≡N Str. |
| Cellulose | 1059.5 | 1113.3 | 1164.3 | 1281.7 | 1318.2 | 1373.4 | 1430.4 |
| -- | 3345.1 | -- |
| Cell-g-poly(AN) | 1024 | 1116 | 1164.2 | 1371.7 | 1452.3 | 2243.2 |
| 64.6 | 3403 |

Str. = Stretching, Ben.=Bending

The observed % N in the grafted polymer when quantified corresponded to 49% incorporation of poly (AN) on to cellulose which was in close agreement with the gravimetric \(P_g\) value of 50[10]. Results of elemental analysis of cell-g-poly (AN) are reported in Table.1.

3.2 Characterization of Graft Copolymerization of Acrylonitrile

3.2.1 Elemental analysis
Evidence in support of grafting of AN onto cellulose was Obtained from % N content. Elemental analysis of cell-g-poly (AN) revealed the presence of 28.28\% C, 5.81\% H and 8.71\%N.

3.2.2FT-IR analysis
FTIR spectra of cellulose and cell-g-poly (AN) are given in Fig.1 and 2 respectively and results are presented in Table.2. FTIR spectra of
cellulose exhibited prominent peaks around 3345.1 cm$^{-1}$, 2899.9 cm$^{-1}$, 1403.4 cm$^{-1}$, 1373.4 cm$^{-1}$, 1318.2 cm$^{-1}$, 1281.7 cm$^{-1}$, 1113.3 cm$^{-1}$, 1059.5 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to O-H, C-H stretching O-H bending and C-O-C stretching respectively. In FTIR spectra of cell-g-poly (AN), besides the peaks due to stretching and bending of cellulose functionalities, additional peak at 2243.2 cm$^{-1}$ due to -C≡N stretching of nitrile groups provided the evidence of grafting of acrylonitrile onto cellulose [10].

Fig: 1 FT-IR Spectrum of Cellulose

Fig: 2 FT-IR Spectrum of Cell-g-poly (AN)
Conclusions
In present study acrylonitrile was successfully grafted on to cellulose using the scheme worked out for grafting of the same onto hydroxyl ethyl cellulose, and the grafted polymer thus produced could be functionalized and further used to generate poly (amido-oxime) chelating group by post polymer reactions of the later to develop polymer based metal ion sorbents. Both, cellulose and its ether HEC exhibited similar reactivity towards graft copolymerization affording comparable values of $P_g$ (cellulose = 50, HEC = 55.5). Evidences of grafting were obtained from %N content in grafted sample and comparative analysis of FT-IR spectra of grafted and ungrafted cellulose.
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