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ABSTRACT

Objective: Aim of the study was to assess drug utilization among pediatric patients in both private practice and hospital settings in Rajshahi city, Bangladesh.

Methods: This observational study was conducted during a period of two months (March to April) in 2017. Prescriptions were randomly collected from patients and recorded in a predesigned questionnaire form. The data analysis was carried out by using a statistical software package GraphPad Prism.

Results: The study involved a total of 185 patients, of which 62.70% were male and 37.30% were female. The patient's age ranges from 1 mo-12 y and highest number of patients visited physicians belong to group 1 mo-1 y (47.57%). Most commonly occurring disease conditions were pneumonia (24%), the leading cause of hospitalizations among the children's age group of 1 mo-1 y. The results indicated that physician's handwriting was not clear and legible in 50 (27.03%) prescriptions. A total of 468 drugs were prescribed with an average of 2.53 per prescription. However, none of the drugs were prescribed by generic name. The most commonly prescribed drugs were antibiotics 173 (93.5%). About 78% patients were exposed to antibiotics, of which single antibiotic was prescribed in 116 (62.7%) and two antibiotics in 52 (12.4%) prescriptions. Among the drugs, NSAIDs 65 (35.14%), anti-histamine 57 (30.81%), anti-asthmatic 49 (26.49%) drugs were assigned in prescriptions followed by vitamin and minerals 51 (27.57%). Steroids 57 (30.81%) and hypnotics 26 (14.05%) were also accounted in many prescriptions. Interestingly, antibiotics were indiscriminately prescribed in private practices without any bacteriological examinations, whereas in hospital settings, most of the treatment was initiated after culture and sensitivity tests.

Conclusion: Children were highly exposed to antibiotics, steroids and hypnotics in both private practice and hospital settings. So Medical practitioners should be aware of current guidelines for prescriptions of antibiotics and drugs in child.
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INTRODUCTION

Children are the most vulnerable population and susceptible to microbial infections. The pharmacokinetic characteristic of the drug differs with sex and age. Drug metabolizing capacities of children is lower than that of adults and are frequently encountering adverse drug reactions [1, 2]. Several drug utilization studies were carried out around the globe; however, a very few study provides information on drug use pattern in infants and children [3, 4]. Pediatric are frequently suffering from common illnesses such as cold, fever and diarrhea. Although most of the conditions are self-limiting but are often managed by drugs, which are inappropriate and practice of polypharmacy were also observed [5]. Currently, antibiotics are the most commonly prescribed and lifesaving drugs in hospitals and clinics [6]. However, there are growing evidences of developing antimicrobial resistance due to misuse or overuse of antibiotics in Bangladesh [7, 8]. Studies reported that in both developed and developing countries more than 50% of children received antibiotics as recommended by the physicians [9]. In many cases, antibiotics were unnecessarily prescribed without the evidence of bacterial infections [10]. Thus, prescription of antibiotics increases [30-50%] of the total medical costs as reported in studies conducted in India and Bangladesh [11, 12]. In fact, irrational and inappropriate prescribing of drugs is a recognized problem of the health care delivery system worldwide [13].

Now a day, drug utilization studies are found to be a useful tool for the implementation of rational use of drugs in health care settings. To ensure the rational use of drugs, a drug must be safe, effective and prescribed for specific therapeutic purpose with the correct dose, dosage form and regimen. In addition, it must be easily available at low and affordable cost. International Network on the Rational Use of Drugs (INRUID) in collaboration with WHO, developed indicators that provides guidelines for the assessment of drug use practices and thus, suggesting remedial measures and improvement of policies [14]. In fact, safe and effective drug therapy is possible only when patients are well informed about disease, drugs and the purpose of medication [15]. Recently, it has been reported that children are most commonly encountering the problem of multiple drug therapy with inappropriate drugs, dose and dosage regimens [16]. Unfortunately, children are rarely involved and/or participate in clinical trials due to ethical issues and in some cases, the effect of drugs in children are often inadequate [4, 17]. Therefore, studies are required to assess the attitude of the physician’s prescribing pattern of drugs among child and infants. The aim of the present study was to investigate drug use pattern in pediatrics by the physicians practicing in both private and hospital settings in Rajshahi city of Bangladesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

The prospective study was conducted in a divisional city, Rajshahi in the northern part of the country, whereby a number of child specialists practices in private chamber and also in Rajshahi Medical College and Hospitals (RMCH), one of the largest tertiary care teaching hospital in Bangladesh.
Study period
Prescriptions were randomly collected from patients and/or their guardians during the period from March 2017 to April 2017.

Study population
Patients with age ranges from 1 month-1 2 years visiting physicians in a private chamber and/or RMCH during the period were included in the study.

Development of patient data entry form
A self-designed data entry form consisting of a patient’s demographic information, clinical diagnosis, medical history was used for the collection of primary data.

Evaluation parameters
Demographic characteristics of the patients, common diseases from which patients suffering, diagnostic tests, the average number of drugs per prescription, percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name, percentage of prescription with antibiotics, types of antibiotic prescribed, the average age range of patient utilizing antibiotics, the average number of antibiotics per prescription were evaluated.

Selection of patients

Inclusion Criteria
Patients under the treatment and supervision of a consultant physician, and patient’s personal and prescription information were recorded.

Exclusion Criteria
Prescriptions with incomplete information were excluded.

RESULTS
A total of 185 (out of 201) prescriptions were evaluated of which 135 (54%) were from private practices and 50 (46%) from a public hospital. Among the total prescriptions, 116 (62.70%) were of male and 69 (37.30%) of female. It was observed that majority of the patients 88 (47.57%) belongs to the age group of 1 mo-1 y followed by 67 (36.22%) patients from 1 y-5 y age group and 30 (16.22%) patients from 5 y-12 y age group (table 1).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of patients

| Variables                          | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Prescriptions from private practitioner | 135                | 54%            |
| Prescriptions from public hospital  | 50                 | 46%            |
| Gender distribution                | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
| Male                               | 116                | 62.70%         |
| Female                             | 69                 | 37.30%         |
| Age distribution                   | Number of patients | Percentage (%) |
| 1 mo-1 y                           | 88                 | 47.57%         |
| 1-5 y                              | 67                 | 36.22%         |
| 5-12 y                             | 30                 | 16.22%         |

The results of the study demonstrated that the ages were not mentioned in 4.3% and body weights were not written in 40% of the prescriptions. According to the study, physician’s handwriting was not clear and legible in 50 (27.03%) prescriptions. None of the drugs were prescribed by generic name rather, proprietary (brand) name were used. In our study, sign and symptoms and chief complaints were mentioned in 107 (57.84%) prescriptions, whereas only 67 (36.22%) patient’s diseases were confirmed. The attitudes and behavior of physicians varies greatly depending on the settings. In hospital practices, patients’ diagnosis of disease conditions were diagnosed on the basis of the chief complaints and diagnostic examinations; whereas in private practices, although chief complaints were written only in few cases diagnosis was confirmed. Pediatric patients were mainly suffering from pneumonia, septicaemia and meningitis. Pneumonia was the most commonly occurring disease (24%) in the age group of 1 mo-1 y and was the leading cause of hospitalizations. Children between the age of 5 y-12 y were mostly (18%) suffering from massive pleural effusion, acute glomerulonephritis, acute watery diarrhea, enteric fever and gastritis (table 2). This was probably due to the ingestion of contaminated food, drinking impure water and lack of proper nutrition at this age.

Table 2: Diagnosis pattern in different age group

| Diagnosis                        | 1 mo-1 y | 1 y-5 y | 5 y-12 y | No. |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|-----|
| S. Pneumonia                     | 7        | 2       | 1        | 10  |
| S. Pneumonia with other Diseases | 5        | 1       | 0        | 6   |
| Septicaemia                      | 6        | 0       | 0        | 6   |
| Meningitis                       | 3        | 2       | 0        | 5   |
| Combinations                     | 1        | 3       | 4        | 8   |
| Others                           | 3        | 2       | 9        | 14  |

Among 185 patients, a total 468 drugs were prescribed with an average of 2.53 drugs per prescription. The result of this study showed that more than 77% of the patients were exposed to multiple drug therapy of which two drugs were prescribed in 31.89%, three drugs in 20%, and even four drugs were advised in 20.54% prescriptions. Thus, it is evident that multiple drug therapy and overdosing are common in pediatric patients and the records were high in private practices. Different therapeutic classes of drugs such as NSAIDS 65 (35.14%), anti-histamine 57 (30.81%), anti-asthmatic 49 (26.49%) were accounted in prescriptions followed by vitamins and minerals 51 (27.57%), steroids 57 (30.81%) and hypnotics 26 (14.05%) as shown in fig 1.

The results showed that, the percentage of prescriptions with antibiotics was 93.5% of which 62.70% of prescriptions include a single antibiotic. Two antibiotics in 12.43%, and three antibiotics in 2.16% of prescriptions were also given (fig 2).

Further, analysis of prescriptions revealed that pediatric patients were exposed to 23.5% and 50% of antibiotics in private practices and hospitals respectively. Among antibiotics, cephalosporin (45%) was the most frequently prescribed by private practitioner followed by quinolone (16%). On the other hand, in public hospital, penicillin (42%) was the most frequently prescribed antibiotic, followed by cephalosporin (37%).
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**Fig. 1:** Most common groups of drug prescribed

**Fig. 2:** Prescribing frequency of antimicrobials

**Table 3:** Assessment of WHO core drug use indicators

| Characteristics                                      | Value  |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Total number of prescriptions                        | 185    |
| Total number of drugs prescribed                     | 468    |
| Average number of drugs per prescription             | 2.53   |
| % of drugs prescribed by generic name                | 0      |
| % of diagnosis for the disease                       | 67 (36%)|
| % of prescriptions containing antimicrobial agents   | 93.5% (173)|
| % of prescriptions with anti-inflammatory drugs      | 65 (35%)|
| % of prescriptions with drugs for acid-related disorder | 0      |

**DISCUSSION**

Irrational use of drugs is commonly observed in healthcare practices around the world and the prevalence is high in developing countries [18]. Inappropriate prescription not only increases the cost of therapy but also increases morbidity and mortality. Further, misuse or overuse of antibiotic can lead to the emergence of drug resistance. Irrational uses of antibiotics are highly prevalent among pediatric patients in Bangladesh. A prescription order written by a physician reflects his attitude towards the management of disease condition [19]. Therefore, the study was designed to analyze drug use patterns in pediatrics by physicians in private practice and public hospitals in Bangladesh.

The data revealed that none of the drugs were prescribed by generic name rather in the brand name. According to Bangladesh National Formulary (BDNF) physicians has the freedom to use either generic and/or brand name for prescriptions. However, the physicians mostly preferred brand name, possibly due to the aggressive marketing policies by the pharmaceutical manufacturer that offers physicians to write brands instead of generics. A study in India showed that 76% of the drugs were prescribed by generic name [20]. It has been recognized that the substitution of brands by cheaper generic medicines can save up to 90% of the costs of medicine [21]. In fact, generic prescribing has to be encouraged in both private and hospital practices as it offers cheaper and affordable price for the patient and the chances of medication error would be less. According to WHO, the number of drugs per prescription should be 2.0 [22] and which is very important in terms of effectiveness, safety and costs of therapy. In this survey, the average number of drugs prescribed was 2.53 and this finding was similar to that of the studies reported by Sturkenboom et al, which was 2.27 [23]. Recently, we conducted a survey and reported a higher rate 3.36 drugs per prescription in a government hospital in Bangladesh [24]. Although our present research represented a lower average drug per prescriptions, it was not ideal.

The results of our study indicated that more than 77% of the patients were exposed to multiple drug therapy and 40% of prescriptions advised three or four drugs, which was much higher than that reported earlier [20]. The multi-drug prescriptions resulted may be due to the fact that the treatment was initiated on the basis of symptoms rather than diagnostic tests. Our study reported 35% of NSAID drugs were used by patients and also
multivitamins were advised in large quantities without any symptoms of nutritional deficiencies. Moreover, prescriptions of steroids and hypnotics could not be rationalized with the disease diagnosed. The physicians have to be careful while advising drugs for children since multi-drug prescriptions can lead to increased risk of drug interactions, development of resistance and increased cost of therapy [25, 26].

We found that the percentage of prescriptions with antibiotics was 93.5%, which was very higher than that of WHO recommendations [27]. Previously, we reported that the use of antibiotics was 54% in Bangladesh whereas in India it varied from 40-80% [28, 29]. In private practices, antibiotics like penicillins, cephalosporins, macrolides and quinolones were prescribed without any specific diagnosis; whereas in hospital settings, most of the treatment was initiated after culture and sensitivity tests. Penicillin (42%) was the most frequently prescribed in public hospitals and cephalosporin (45%) was mostly prescribed by private practitioners, and thus, increased costs of treatment. We believe that the empirical use of antibiotics by the physicians resulted due to the lack of confidence, poor consulting time, misuses of bacteriological laboratory service and absence of policies on antimicrobial use. Further, antibiotics cost has been increased dramatically over the years with an overall trend to prescribe expensive broad-spectrum rather than narrow-spectrum antibiotics for an extended period of time, resulting in bacterial resistance accompanied by therapeutic failure [30-32].

CONCLUSION

This study indicated that children and infants are at risk of receiving multiple courses of antibiotics and drugs. The main challenge in the prescription of antibiotics is to achieve a rational choice and appropriate use of antibiotics. Consequently, physicians must keep a clear understanding of the needs of bacteriological examination for diagnosis, use of antimicrobials and make a good judgment in clinical practice. The results of this study will help in rationalizing drug use, decreasing medication errors, improving therapeutic outcomes and ultimately form the basis for the development and implementation of effective policies to ensure appropriate medication in pediatric patients.

LIMITATIONS

The limitations of the study includes the data collected over a short period of time; the sample size was small, in very few cases culture/sensitivity tests were advised; and finally, no follow-up data could be obtained. Seasonal variation should be considered as it might have affected disease patterns and antibiotic use. The finding of the study is representative one and cannot be generalized to the whole population of Bangladesh. However, the results provided future direction for examining trends in occurrence of infectious disease and therapeutic strategies for child populations.
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