The roles of acquired and innate immunity in human T-cell leukemia virus type 1-mediated diseases
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INTRODUCTION

Human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) causes adult T-cell leukemia (ATL) and HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis in small subsets of HTLV-1 carriers. HTLV-1-specific T-cell responses play critical roles in anti-viral and anti-tumor host defense during HTLV-1 infections. Some HTLV-1 carriers exhibit selective loss ornergy of HTLV-1-specific T-cells at an asymptomatic stage. This is also observed in ATL patients and may therefore be an underlying risk factor of ATL in correlation with elevated proviral loads. HTLV-1-specific T-cells often recognize the viral oncoprotein Tax, indicating expression of Tax protein in vivo, although levels of HTLV-1 gene expression are known to be very low. A type-1 interferon (IFN) response can be induced by HTLV-1-infected cells and suppresses HTLV-1 expression in vitro, suggesting a role of type-1 IFN response in viral suppression and pathogenesis in vivo. Both acquired and innate immune responses control the status of HTLV-1-infected cells and could be the important determinants in the development of HTLV-1-mediated malignant and inflammatory diseases.
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Human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) causes adult T-cell leukemia (ATL) and HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis in small subsets of HTLV-1 carriers. HTLV-1-specific T-cell responses play critical roles in anti-viral and anti-tumor host defense during HTLV-1 infections. Some HTLV-1 carriers exhibit selective loss or energy of HTLV-1-specific T-cells at an asymptomatic stage. This is also observed in ATL patients and may therefore be an underlying risk factor of ATL in correlation with elevated proviral loads. HTLV-1-specific T-cells often recognize the viral oncoprotein Tax, indicating expression of Tax protein in vivo, although levels of HTLV-1 gene expression are known to be very low. A type-1 interferon (IFN) response can be induced by HTLV-1-infected cells and suppresses HTLV-1 expression in vitro, suggesting a role of type-1 IFN response in viral suppression and pathogenesis in vivo. Both acquired and innate immune responses control the status of HTLV-1-infected cells and could be the important determinants in the development of HTLV-1-mediated malignant and inflammatory diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) causes adult T-cell leukemia (ATL) (Hinuma et al., 1981; Uchiyama, 1997) and HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP; Gessain et al., 1985; Osame et al., 1986) in a subset of infected individuals. While ATL is a malignant lymphoproliferative disease, HAM/TSP presents as a chronic inflammatory neurodegenerative disease. Since no disease-specific difference among HTLV-1 populations of HTLV-1 carriers. Identification of the determinant factors may allow the prediction of disease risks and also the development of prophylactic and therapeutic strategies.

One of the factors that are known to differ between ATL and HAM/TSP patients is the strength of HTLV-1-specific T-cell responses. T-cell responses are activated in HAM/TSP patients, but are weak in ATL patients, and are thus considered to be one of the most important determinants of the disease manifestation. Many investigators, including us, have been investigating HTLV-1-specific cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses, and demonstrated the importance of these CTLs on anti-viral and anti-tumor surveillance in HTLV-1-infected hosts (Jacobson et al., 1990; Satou et al., 2011). Based on these studies we concluded that a reduced HTLV-1-specific T-cell response can be an underlying risk factor for the development of ATL.

Another difference between HAM/TSP and ATL patients is the level of HTLV-1 gene expression. Although HTLV-1 mRNA levels are generally low in vivo, they are slightly higher in HAM/TSP patients compared with asymptomatic HTLV-1 carriers (ACs; Yamano et al., 2002). The mechanism causing low levels of HTLV-1 gene expression in vivo remains unknown. However, we recently demonstrated that HTLV-1 expression is suppressed by non-lymphoid stromal cells through type-1 interferon (IFN), indicating that innate immune responses can be another host determinant for HTLV-1-induced diseases (Kinpara et al., 2009). So far there have only been a limited number of studies reporting a type-1 IFN response during HTLV-1 infection.

The status of HTLV-1 expression is critical for host immune responses and viral pathogenesis. In particular, HTLV-1 Tax is a multipotent protein that is a main target of T-cell immunity (Jacobson et al., 1990; Kannagi et al., 1991) and can activate NF-kB, a characteristic transcriptional factor in ATL cells and a strong inducer of inflammatory cytokines (Yoshida, 2001; Jeang et al., 2004; Grassmann et al., 2003). The status of Tax expression in vivo has been controversial but would be an extremely important factor to measure in order to understand the mechanism of disease development in HTLV-1 infected host. Expression of HTLV-1 basic leucine zipper factor (HBZ) encoded by the minus-strand HTLV-1 genome is also an important factor for viral pathogenesis as HBZ elicits indirect effects on tumor development and inflammation (Satou et al., 2011).

In this review, we aim to understand the conflicting findings that have been reported in regard to HTLV-1 expression in vivo. We then describe recent findings that add to the knowledge about well-characterized host T-cell responses, followed by a description of the mechanisms that control viral expression. We finally discuss the relationship between HTLV-1 expression, host immune response and viral pathogenesis.
response, and HTLV-1-mediated malignant and inflammatory diseases.

### STATUS OF HTLV-1 EXPRESSION IN VIVO

The understanding of HTLV-1 expression in vivo has caused much confusion, largely owing to two reasons: (a) HTLV-1 proteins are not detectable in infected cells in the peripheral blood of HTLV-1 carriers; (b) two types of ATL cases exist, and while HTLV-1 expression in ATL cells is conserved in some cases, this expression is lost in other cases (Figure 1).

### EXPRESSION OF HTLV-1 PROTEINS IN VIVO

HTLV-1 mRNA but not proteins are detectable in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of HTLV-1-infected individuals including ATL patients (Kinoshita et al., 1989). The presence of HTLV-1 mRNA was also reported in other tissues such as muscle in myositis patients (Tangy et al., 1995; Oden et al., 2004) or the spinal cord in HAM/TSP patients (Lehky et al., 1995). Therefore, HTLV-1 gene expression does occur in vivo at least at a transcriptional level. Furthermore, based on the findings that HTLV-1-infected individuals maintain serum antibodies directed to the HTLV-1 structural Env and Core proteins as well as Tax-specific T-cells, HTLV-1 expression must be occurring also at a protein level in vivo. This notion is further supported by the emergence of Tax-specific CTL responses in ATL patients who received hematopoietic stem cells from HTLV-1-negative donors (Harashima et al., 2004, 2005). In these cases, the CTL responses are presumably triggered by the de novo exposure of donor-derived T-cells to Tax antigen in the recipients, and resemble an acute infection. These findings suggest that the sensitivity of T-cells recognizing HTLV-1 antigen may be much higher than the detection by serological means such as flow cytometry or immunoblotting, which are dependent on antibody binding. The conflicting arguments concerning HTLV-1 expression might thus continue until more sensitive protein detection methods are developed.

### TWO TYPES OF ATL WITH OR WITHOUT HTLV-1 EXPRESSION

HTLV-1 expression in ATL cells immediately after isolation from peripheral blood is very low, and becomes significantly induced only after in vitro cultivation (Hinuma et al., 1982). This phenomenon is observed in about half of the ATL cases, regardless of the severity of the disease (Kurihara et al., 2005). A similar induction of viral expression after in vitro culture has also been observed in PBMCs from HAM/TSP patients and ACs (Hanou et al., 2000). Recent analysis using quantitative RT-PCR methods confirmed this phenomenon in PBMCs from both ATL and HAM/TSP patients. The data further showed that levels of Tax/Rex mRNA were increased as early as 4 h after initiation of culture, and peaked at 8 h, followed by an increase in Env, Gag/Pol, and other mRNAs (Rende et al., 2011). This finding is consistent with the critical roles of Tax and Rex proteins for viral expression through
transcriptional transactivation, regulation of RNA splicing, and nuclear export of the mRNAs, which were described in previous studies (Yoshida, 2001; Yosim and Green, 2003). The rapid induction of viral expression in culture further suggests the presence of a common mechanism transiently suppressing HTLV-1 expression in vivo, irrespective of the disease.

In the remaining half of the ATL cases, however, such viral induction does not occur, even after in vitro culture. This may be due to genetic and epigenetic changes in the viral genome (Tamiya et al., 1996; Takeda et al., 2008). The malignant phenotype of ATL cells in these cases is presumably attributed to other mechanisms acquired at additional steps of leukemogenesis, independently of HTLV-1 expression.

EXPRESSION OF HBZ IN INFECTED CELLS

In uncultured PBMCs from HTLV-1-infected individuals, expression of the HTLV-1 genome is suppressed as noted above, whereas mRNA of HBZ, the minus-strand HTLV-1-encoded gene, is continuously expressed, irrespective of the disease (Satou et al., 2006). Transcription of HBZ in the absence of Tax implies an indispensable role of HBZ in HTLV-1-infected cells. Interestingly, mice carrying an HBZ transgene under the control of the CD4 promoter often develop lymphoproliferative disease with frequent Fopp3 expression and inflammatory skin lesions (Satou et al., 2011). These features partly resemble the characteristics of ATL.

However, expression of HBZ at a protein level is still controversial. In a study analyzing mRNA kinetics during the initial culture of PBMC from infected individuals, Tax/Bax and other positive-strand transcripts were promptly exported to the cytoplasm after transcriptional induction, while HBZ mRNA was mostly retained in the nucleus (Rende et al., 2011). In addition, HBZ-specific CTLs induced in human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-A2-transgenic mice lysed HBZ peptide-pulsed HLA-A2-positive target cells but not HTLV-1-infected HLA-A2-positive cells (Daimori et al., 2009). These observations suggest that expression of HBZ at a protein level in HTLV-1-infected cells might be limited, even though substantial amounts of HBZ mRNA are expressed. Nevertheless, the presence of T cells responding to HBZ peptides have been reported in HAM/TSP patients at a low frequency, implying a small amount of HBZ protein synthesis in vivo (Hillburn et al., 2011).

HTLV-1 EXPRESSION IN HAM/TSP PATIENTS

HTLV-1 expression is detectable at the transcriptional, but not the protein level in uncultured PBMCs, and such basal levels of mRNA differ among diseases. An early study showed that the pX mRNA/DNA ratio was lower in ATL patients compared with ACs (Yamano et al., 2002). This might partly reflect that in 50% of ATL cases the cells lost viral gene expression, as mentioned above. A recent study using a real-time quantitative PCR analysis indicated that HTLV-1 mRNA levels are significantly higher in HAM/TSP patients compared with ACs (Yamano et al., 2002). This is in agreement with high levels of serum antibodies to HTLV-1 in HAM/TSP patients (Delabas et al., 1994). The detection of HTLV-1-specific antibodies in the cerebrospinal fluid and the pX mRNA in the spinal cord were also reported in HAM/TSP patients (Lehky et al., 1995; Gessain, 1996). These observations indicate that HTLV-1 expression is elevated in HAM/TSP patients generally, and also in the spinal cord.

Little is known about the difference in the levels of HTLV-1 expression between tissues in humans. In transgenic mice carrying the pX gene driven by the HTLV-1 long terminal repeat (LTR), RNA expression of the transgene is detectable only in selected organs, including the central nervous system, eyes, salivary glands, and joints (Iwakura et al., 1991). Transgenic mice and rats with the pX gene often develop arthritis and other collagen-vascular inflammatory conditions (Iwakura et al., 1991; Yamazaki et al., 1997). This is partly explained by Tax-mediated activation of NF-κB, a key transcription factor for multiple inflammatory cytokine production. In addition, a certain WKAH strain exhibits HAM/TSP-like symptoms after HTLV-1 infection. This rat model of HAM/TSP shows increased Tax mRNA expression in the spinal cord before the manifestation of the symptoms, suggesting that viral expression in the spinal cord may be the primary event (Tomaru et al., 1996). Reduced IFN-γ production in the spinal cord has been suggested in this particular rat strain (Miyatake et al., 2006).

DIFFERENT HTLV-1-SPECIFIC T-CELL RESPONSES BETWEEN DISEASES

ANTI-TUMOR AND ANTI-VIRAL SURVEILLANCE BY HTLV-1-SPECIFIC T CELLS

The strength of the host T-cell response against HTLV-1 differs among diseases. CD8⁺ HTLV-1-specific CTL responses are activated in HAM/TSP patients but not in ATL patients (Jacobson et al., 1990; Parker et al., 1992; Arnulf et al., 2004; Takamori et al., 2011). These CTLs mainly recognize HTLV-1 Tax and kill HTLV-1-infected cells in vitro (Jacobson et al., 1990; Kannagi et al., 1991). The HTLV-1 envelope protein is also a major target, especially for CD4⁺ CTLs (Goon et al., 2004). Other viral antigens, including polymerase (Elovaara et al., 1993), TOF, ROF (Pague et al., 2001), and HRG, (Macnamara et al., 2010) have also been shown to be targets of CTLs. Elimination of CD8⁺ cells from the PBMCs from HAM/TSP patients induces HTLV-1 expression during subsequent cell culture (Asquith et al., 2005), clearly indicating that CD8⁺ HTLV-1-specific CTLs contribute to the control of HTLV-1-infected cells.

A series of experiments using a rat model of HTLV-1-infected T-cell lymphoma indicated that inhibition of the T-cell response accelerated tumor development (Hanabuchi et al., 2000), and further showed that vaccination with a Tax-encoding DNA or peptides corresponding to a major epitope for Tax-specific CTLs lead to the eradication of such tumors (Ohashi et al., 2000; Hanabuchi et al., 2001). In a different rat model of HTLV-1 infection, oral HTLV-1 infection induced HTLV-1-specific T-cell tolerance and caused an elevation in the proviral load, while re-immunization of these rats resulted in the recovery of HTLV-1-specific T-cell responses and caused a reduction in the proviral loads (Hasegawa et al., 2003; Kornori et al., 2006). Similarly, patients carrying HTLV-1 developed ATL after liver transplantation, when immunosuppressants were administered (Kawano et al., 2006; Sznuki et al., 2006). These findings suggest that HTLV-1-specific T cells, especially Tax-specific CTLs, play important roles in anti-tumor and anti-viral surveillance in HTLV-1 infection.
The pathological significance of HTLV-1-specific T-cells activated in HAM/TSP patients remains controversial (Jacobson, 1995; Osame, 2002). Activated CTLs produce IFN-γ or TNF-α, which might potentially participate in inflammation in HAM/TSP. However, activation of Tax-specific CTLs could also merely be a result of elevated viral expression in these individuals. HLA-A02-positive individuals in HAM/TSP patients are less frequent compared with the control population, indicating a protective role of HLA-A02 against HAM/TSP. Since HLA-A02 can present a major epitope of HTLV-1 Tax, the strong CTL response induced is thought to mediate the protective effect of HLA-A02 (Jeffery et al., 1999). The association of the protective HLA with epitopes favoring HBZ-specific CTLs has also been suggested (Macnamara et al., 2010).

SELECTIVE IMPAIRMENT OF HTLV-1-SPECIFIC T-CELLS IN EARLY STAGES OF ATL, A POTENTIAL RISK FOR ATL

We previously identified some major epitopes recognized by HTLV-1-specific CTLs presented by HLA-A2, -A11, or -A24 through analysis of CTLs collected from ATL patients after HSCT or collected from HAM/TSP patients (Kannagi et al., 1992; Harashima et al., 2004, 2005). The identification of these epitopes allowed us to monitor HTLV-1-specific CTLs and analyze their functions ex vivo by using antigen/HLA tetrameric complexes. In our study using Tax-specific tetramers on HLA-A2, -A11, or -A24-positive individuals, we detected Tax-specific CTLs in 100% of HAM/TSP patients, 87% of ACs, and 38% of chronic ATL (cATL) patients tested (Figure 2; Takamori et al., 2011).

It is interesting that Tax-specific CTLs were detectable also in cATL patients, although their frequency among peripheral CD8+ cells is low. However, these CTLs were anergic as they neither proliferated nor produced IFN-γ upon peptide stimulation. In contrast, Tax-specific CTLs in HAM/TSP patients were highly active even without stimulation, and their response was further enhanced by stimulation.

Amongst ACs, Tax-specific CTLs are detectable in the majority but not a small subset of individuals. Although Tax-specific CTLs detected in ACs are mostly functional, sporadic AC cases with impaired CTL responses to peptide-stimulation analogous to ATL patients have been identified. Interestingly, such functional impairment of CTLs seems selective to HTLV-1-specific responses, as cytomegalovirus (CMV)-specific CTLs remain functional (Figure 2; Takamori et al., 2011). Similar dysfunctions of Tax-specific but not CMV-specific CTLs are found in smoldering ATL, an early stage of ATL without clinically apparent lymphoproliferation. These findings suggest that the scarcity and/or dysfunction of Tax-specific CTLs are not merely the result of ATL, but represent host conditions in a subset of HTLV-1 carriers at risk for ATL.
Asymptomatic stages. A reduced number and/or dysfunction of Tax-specific CTLs could thus represent an underlying risk factor for the development of ATL.

Epidemiological studies indicated that increased numbers of abnormal lymphocytes or HTLV-1 proviral loads are risk factors for the development of ATL (Tajima, 1990; Hikada et al., 1995). However, elevated HTLV-1 proviral loads are also detected in HAM/TSP patients, and do not discriminate risks for ATL and HAM/TSP (Nagai et al., 1998). The immunological studies described above suggested that insufficiency in host T-cell responses against HTLV-1 might be another risk factor for ATL. We therefore suggest that the combination of elevated proviral loads and low HTLV-1-specific T-cell responses may represent a more selective indicator for the risk of developing ATL.

**MECHANISMS OF T-CELL SUPPRESSION IN HTLV-1 INFECTION**

It is known that ATL is often associated with severe immune suppression (Tashiro et al., 1992), and a small number of studies reported general immune suppression also in AcAs (Imai and Hinuma, 1983). The mechanism of general immune suppression in these individuals is not known. ATL cells are positive for CD4, CD25, CCR4, and frequently express FoxP3, all of which match the phenotype of regulatory T-cells. If ATL cells function as Treg cells, this would be a strong reason for the observed general immune suppression (Kurabe et al., 2004). There are reports of increased numbers of FoxP3-expressing Treg cells in the HTLV-1-negative cell population in HAM/TSP patients (Touzai et al., 2008). Recent studies reported that HZ2 is potentially involved in immune suppression by enhancing TGF-β signaling and suppressing Th1 cytokine production (Zhao et al., 2011; Sugata et al., 2012).

As mentioned above, the insufficient Tax-specific CTL response observed in the early stages of ATL and in a subpopulation of AcAs was selective for the response to HTLV-1, and did not affect CMV-targeting CTLs. From this differential effect we deduce that other HTLV-1-specific suppressive mechanisms are active, in addition to the general suppression. In general, antigen-specific T-cell suppression can be induced by immune tolerance and T-cell exhaustion. In HTLV-1 infection, vertical infection could be a reason for T-cell tolerance. In animal models, oral HTLV-1 infection induces T-cell tolerance to HTLV-1, resulting in increased levels of proviral loads (Hasagawa et al., 2003). Since vertical infection of HTLV-1 is established mainly through breast feeding (Kimishita et al., 1987), it may induce both new-born tolerance and oral tolerance. This might partly explain the immunological finding that vertical HTLV-1 infection is one of the risk factors for ATL (Tajima, 1990).

Besides immune tolerance, T-cell suppression can also be caused by T-cell exhaustion, which may be a consequence of continuous expression of HTLV-1 antigens in vivo. Expression of PD-1 in Tax-specific CTLs has been reported (Kotaka et al., 2009), although the involvement of this molecule in the suppression of CTLs in HTLV-1-infected individuals is still controversial (Yokumetsu et al., 2011). The relevance of other antigens remains unknown, as for example recent studies revealed that Tax-specific CTLs in HAM/TSP patients express reduced levels of Tim3, one of the T-cell exhaustion markers, despite high viral gene expression in these patients (Abdelbary et al., 2011; Nishio et al., 2011).

**IMPACT OF TYPE-I IFNs IN CONTROLLING HTLV-1 EXPRESSION**

**INDUCTION OF TYPE-I IFN RESPONSE BY HTLV-1 INFECTION**

Various viruses induce type-I IFN responses. In HTLV-1 infection, however, the number of studies investigating a putative HTLV-1-induced type-I IFN response is limited. One of the reasons is that efficient HTLV-1 infection is mediated mainly through cell-cell contact. A recent report indicated that addition of cell-free HTLV-1 particles propagated using an HTLV-1 molecular clone to plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) induced a type-I IFN response through Toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7; Colosson et al., 2010). pDCs are a major producer of type-I IFNs, and are reported to be susceptible to HTLV-1 infection (Hishizawa et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2008). In ATL patients, the number of pDCs is decreased, and the remaining pDCs lack the ability to produce IFN-α (Hishizawa et al., 2004).

At cell–cell contacts between HTLV-1-infected T-cells and stromal cells, we found that HTLV-1 induced a type-I IFN response in the stromal cells, suggesting an involvement of pattern recognition molecules other than TLR7. However, the precise mechanisms of HTLV-1-induced type-I IFN responses remain to be clarified.

**SUPPRESSION OF HTLV-1 EXPRESSION BY TYPE-I IFNs**

HTLV-1 mRNA and protein expression in HTLV-1-infected T-cells are markedly decreased when co-cultured with stromal cells such as epithelial cells and fibroblasts. This suppression of HTLV-1 expression is inhibited by blocking the IFN-α/β receptor, and is therefore thought to be mediated through type-I IFN responses (Figure 3; Kinpara et al., 2009).

Interestingly, when infected cells were re-isolated from the cultures, viral expression was restored to the original level over the following 48 h. (Figure 3). This phenomenon resembles the induction of HTLV-1 expression in freshly isolated ATL cells after culture in vitro. Type-I IFNs might therefore explain the long-puzzling observation that HTLV-1 expression is suppressed in ATL.
As is the case with many other viruses, HTLV-1 has developed static effects on infected cells. Another combination therapy, such as AZT/IFN-α, which is critical for the induction of inflammation or cell growth, does not affect HTLV-1-infected cells in vitro and is effective for the treatment of ATL (Hermine et al., 1995), and also shows proteolysis of Tax in HTLV-1-infected cells in vivo (El Hajj et al., 2010). AZT/IFN-α alone appears less effective for the treatment of HAM/TSP, but does show some therapeutic effects, especially during the early stages of HAM/TSP (Izumo et al., 1996; Naito et al., 2004).

TYPE-I IFN RESPONSES IN HTLV-1-INFECTED INDIVIDUALS
A recent study revealed up-regulation of SOCS1 in CD4+ cells of HAM/TSP patients, which caused enhanced viral expression through inhibition of type-I IFN signaling (Oliere et al., 2010). At the same time, a different study showed that HTLV-1 Tax up-regulates SOCS1 (Charoenthongtrakul et al., 2011). These findings indicate that up-regulation of SOCS1 might be a result and/or cause of enhanced viral expression in HAM/TSP. Another recent study using gene expression array analysis reported up-regulation of a subset of ISGs, including STAT1, CD64, FAS, and CXCL10, especially in the neutrophil and monocyte fractions from peripheral blood of HAM/TSP patients (Tattermusch et al., 2012). This suggests that type-I IFN responses were induced in these cell populations directly or indirectly by HTLV-1, although type-I IFN production in these cells was not clear. The strong HTLV-1-specific T-cell response in these patients might also cause such effects through IFN-γ production.

The signature of IFN responses in the peripheral blood of HAM/TSP patients left an unanswered question what enhances the basal level of viral expression in these patients. Increased inflammatory cytokines in HAM/TSP patients might be candidates to enhance viral expression, but again these could be a result and/or cause of enhanced HTLV-1 expression.

THE RELATIONSHIP AMONG VIRAL EXPRESSION, HOST IMMUNITY, AND VIRAL PATHOGENESIS
It is speculated that the status of viral expression and host immunity may differ among various tissues in vivo. Therefore, it is difficult to estimate HTLV-1 status in the entire body based on the information gained only from peripheral blood. Nevertheless, the recent findings about innate immunity described above provide clues as to how the current knowledge around HTLV-1 expression and host immunity can be integrated, especially when they so closely interact and have both causes and effects on each other.

Type-I IFNs are likely to be the representative factor to control HTLV-1 expression, and HTLV-1-specific T-cells survey infected cells to limit their growth. The suppression of viral expression might interfere with the efficacy of HTLV-1-specific T-cells by reducing the levels of target molecules, even in hosts with a functional HTLV-1-specific T-cell response. The resulting low efficiency of T-cell surveillance would be one of the mechanisms behind persistent HTLV-1 infection, although it seems that T-cells would still contribute to the control of HTLV-1-infected cell growth to some extent.

Despite the negative impact on T-cell surveillance, the suppression of viral expression is important for the host to reduce viral pathogenesis since Tax has a strong ability to activate NF-κB, which is critical for the induction of inflammation or cell growth signaling.
Supposing that the suppression of viral expression is the first barrier and T-cell surveillance of infected cells is the second barrier in the host defense, the balance of these barriers would influence the status of HTLV-1-infected cells in vivo. A conceivable scenario is as follows (Figure 4).

If viral expression is well controlled (barrier 1: intact), the viral pathogenesis may not be apparent until malignant cell clones appear through T-cell surveillance (barrier 2). If the T-cell defense is insufficient (barrier 2: weak), the emergence of such clones may occur earlier.

If viral expression is not well controlled (barrier 1: weak), virus-induced inflammation may become apparent, but it also activates HTLV-1-specific T-cells that limit further growth of infected cells.

CONCLUSION

The status of HTLV-1-specific T-cell response has been shown to be a determinant of HTLV-1-mediated diseases because of its anti-tumor and anti-viral effects. The selective impairment of HTLV-1-specific T-cell responses in early stages of ATL patients implies the presence of HTLV-1-specific suppressive mechanisms. The combination of insufficient HTLV-1-specific T-cell response and elevated proviral load may allow the identification of a group with a high risk for the development of ATL. In addition, vaccines that augment HTLV-1-specific T-cell responses may prove beneficial in reducing the risk in such a subpopulation.

The status of HTLV-1 expression can be another determinant of HTLV-1-mediated diseases. Suppression of viral expression contributes to reduced viral pathogenesis, although it may, at the same time, partially interfere with T-cell surveillance. Host innate immunity, especially type-I IFN, is a candidate for the regulation of viral expression.
Thus, both acquired and innate immunity can be host determinants that modulate HTLV-1-associated diseases. The involvement of the two control systems and their partially conflicting effects on one another may explain why the same virus can cause different diseases after a long incubation time. Further studies will elucidate the precise mechanisms for the regulation of host immunity and viral expression, and thereby provide insights for the prediction of disease risks, as well as new targets for the prevention of HTLV-1-mediated diseases.
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