Counter-propagating edge modes and topological phases of a kicked quantum Hall system
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Periodically driven quantum Hall system in fixed magnetic field is found to exhibit a series of phases featuring anomalous edge modes with the “wrong” chirality. This leads to pairs of counter-propagating chiral edge modes at each edge, in sharp contrast to stationary quantum Hall systems.

We show the pair of Floquet edge modes are protected by the chiral (sublattice) symmetry, and that they are robust against static disorder. The existence of distinctive phases with the same Chern and winding numbers but very different edge state spectra points to the important role played by symmetry in classifying topological properties of driven systems. We further explore the evolution of the edge states with driving using a simplified model, and discuss their experimental signatures.

Cyclic time-evolutions of quantum systems are known to have interesting topological properties [1][2]. Several groups recently showed that periodic driving can turn an ordinary band insulator (superconductor) into a Floquet topological insulator (superconductor) [3][11]. This provides a powerful way to engineer effective Hamiltonians that stroboscopically mimic stationary topological insulators [4][5][12]. Moreover, a large class of topological phenomena in periodically driven many-body systems are unique and have no stationary counterparts. An early example is Thouless’s one-dimensional charge pump, where he showed that the charge transport is quantized and related to a topological invariant [13]. Other topological invariants for the time evolution operator in two and three dimensions have been constructed recently [3][5][10]. Yet a systematic classification of these invariants analogous to the periodic table of symmetry protected topological phases [14][15] is still to be achieved.

In this paper, we identify new topological phenomena in a lattice integer quantum Hall (QH) system under cyclic driving with period $T$. For fixed magnetic field, variations of the driving parameter induce topological phase transitions where the Chern numbers of the quasienergy bands change. We find multiple phases of the driven QH system featuring counter-propagating chiral edge modes at the each edge, and show they are robust against disorder. In particular, there appear “π-modes”, pairs of edge modes with opposite chirality at quasienergy $\pi/T$. These anomalous edge modes differ from those found previously in other driven two-dimensional (2D) lattice models, where the edge modes at quasienergy $\pi/T$ all propagate in the same direction and subsequently their number can be inferred either from the Chern number or the winding number [3][10]. Here, these known topological invariants can not predict the number of edge modes of each chirality, but only their difference. For example, we find two phases (phase A and D below) having the same set of Chern and winding numbers but very different edge state spectra. Our analysis suggests that symmetry of the time evolution operator has to be included to fully characterize and understand the topological properties of driven systems.

Our work is motivated by recent experimental achievements of artificial magnetic field for ultracold atoms and temporal modulation of optical lattices. We consider a model consisting of (spinless) fermionic atoms loaded onto a square optical lattice. Each site is labeled by vector $\mathbf{r} = n\hat{x} + mj$, where $n$, $m$ are integers, $\hat{x}$ ($\hat{y}$) is the unit vector in the $x$ ($y$) direction, and the lattice spacing $a$ is set to be the length unit. The tight binding Hamiltonian has the form

$$H = -J_x \sum_{\mathbf{r}} |\mathbf{r}+\hat{x}\rangle \langle \mathbf{r}| - J_y \sum_{\mathbf{r}} |\mathbf{r}+\hat{y}\rangle e^{i\pi m\alpha} \langle \mathbf{r}| + h.c. \quad (1)$$

Here, $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ is the Wannier state localized at site $\mathbf{r}$, $J_x$ ($J_y$) is the nearest neighbor hopping along the $x$ ($y$) direction. We assume a uniform synthetic magnetic field $B$ is applied in the $-z$ direction, and work in the Landau gauge, $A_x = 0$, $A_y = -Bx$. The flux per plaquette, in units of the flux quantum $\Phi_0$, is $\alpha = -Ba^2/\Phi_0$. Field $B$ gives rise to the Peierls phase factor $e^{i\pi m\alpha}$ in the hopping. For static $J_x$, $J_y$, $H$ is the well known Hofstadter model [20].

We investigate a class of periodically driven quantum Hall systems described by $H$ above, but with $J_x$ and $J_y$ being periodic functions of time $t$. We will focusing on the following driving protocol

$$J_x(t) = J_x, \quad J_y(t) = 0, \quad 0 < \text{mod}(t,T) < \tau$$
$$J_x(t) = 0, \quad J_y(t) = J_y, \quad \tau < \text{mod}(t,T) < T \quad (2)$$

Namely, within one period $T$, the hopping along $x$ is turned on during the interval $(0,\tau)$, while the hopping along $y$ is turned on during the interval $(\tau,T)$. We then have two independent driving parameters, $\theta_x = J_x\tau/h$, $\theta_y = J_y(T - \tau)/h$. While it is hard to achieve in solid state systems, temporal modulation of $J_x$ or $J_y$ is straightforward to implement for cold atoms in optical lattices, e.g., by simply tuning the intensity of the laser. In the limit $\tau \to T$ and $(T - \tau)J_y \to \text{const}$, the driving protocol becomes

$$J_x(t) = J_x, \quad J_y(t) = J_y T \sum_j \delta(t - jT), \quad (3)$$
i.e., the $y$ hopping is only turned on when $t = jT$, with $j$ any integer. In this limit, $\theta_x = J_x T / \hbar$, $\theta_y = J_y T / \hbar$. We will simplify refer to systems described by (2) or (3) as kicked quantum Hall systems, because (3) resembles the well studied kicked rotors [11].

The time evolution operator of the system, defined by $| \psi(t) \rangle = U(t) | \psi(0) \rangle$, has the formal solution $U(t) = \mathcal{T} \exp[-i \int_0^t H(t') dt']$, where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes time-ordering and we set $\hbar = 1$ throughout. The discrete translation symmetry $H(t) = H(t + T)$ leads to a convenient basis $\{ | \phi_i \rangle \}$, defined as the eigenmodes of Floquet operator $U(T)$,

$$U(T) | \phi_i \rangle = e^{-i \omega_i T} | \phi_i \rangle.$$  

Here the quasienergy $\omega_i$, by definition, is equivalent to $\omega_i + 2 \pi n / T$ for any integer $p$ and lives within the quasienergy Brillouin zone (QBZ), $\omega \in (-\pi / T, \pi / T)$. For rational flux $\alpha = 1/q$, $U$ is a $q \times q$ matrix in momentum space and there are $q$ quasienergy bands. For convenience, we label the first band within the QBZ with $\ell = 1$, and the subsequent bands at increasingly higher quasienergies with $\ell = 2, 3, ..., q$. Correspondingly, we call the gap below the $\ell$-th band the $\ell$-th gap. For example, the gap around $\pm \pi / T$ is the first gap. The Chern number for the $\ell$-th quasienergy band can be defined analogous to the stationary case [21]

$$c_\ell = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y \left[ \partial_{k_x} \phi_\ell^\dagger(k) \partial_{k_y} \phi_\ell(k) - \text{c.c.} \right],$$

where the integration is over the magnetic Brillouin zone, and $\phi_\ell(k)$ is the $\ell$-th eigenfunction of $U(k, T)$.

Figure 1 displays four representative quasienergy spectra of a finite slab of length $L$ in the $x$ direction under periodic driving (2). As in static QH systems, we observe edge states forming within the quasienergy gaps. Consider the left edge ($x = 0$) and let us denote the number of chiral edge modes propagating in the $y$ ($-y$) direction by $n_y^+$ ($n_y^-$). For driven 2D systems, the Chern numbers are generally insufficient to predict $(n_y^+, n_y^-)$. Instead, as shown by Rudner et al. [10], the net chirality of the edge modes inside the $\ell$-th quasienergy gap, $w_\ell \equiv n_y^+ - n_y^-$, is given by the following winding number

$$w_\ell = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \frac{dk_x dk_y dt}{dt} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr} \left[ (u^{-1} \partial_\mu u)(u^{-1} \partial_\mu u) (u^{-1} \partial_\mu u) \right].$$

Here $\mu, \nu, \rho, \kappa = 1, 2, 3$ corresponds to $k_x, k_y, t$ respectively, and $u(k, t)$ is a smooth extrapolation of $U(k, t)$ [10]

$$u(k, t) = U(k, 2t) \theta(T/2 - t) + e^{-i \mathcal{H}(k)(T-T)/T} \theta(t - T/2),$$

where $\mathcal{H}(k) = -i/T \log U(T)$ is the effective Hamiltonian with the branch cut of the logarithm chosen at quasienergies within the $\ell$-th gap. Ref. [10] showed the Chern numbers can be inferred from the winding numbers by $c_\ell = w_{\ell+1} - w_\ell$.

The quasienergy spectra (Fig. 1) manifest a few nice symmetries of the Floquet operator $U(k, T)$. Related
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three gaps, while the spectrum in the rest of the phase
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-\infty to +\infty. This forces all the quasienergy
bands to have nonzero Chern numbers differing by multi-
plies of 3. Secondly, U is invariant under spatial inversion
k_y \rightarrow -k_y, x \rightarrow L-x (in the slab geometry). Thus, an
dge state solution \omega(k_y) implies another edge state at
-k_y with the same quasienergy \omega and localized at the
opposite edge. Thirdly, H has a discrete chiral (sublatt-
ce) symmetry \[15\]: \Gamma H \Gamma = -H, where \Gamma stands for
staggered gauge transformation, \Gamma : |r⟩ \rightarrow (-1)^{m+n}|r⟩,
with \Gamma^2 = 1. In reciprocal space, \Gamma amounts to a \pi shift
in k, \Gamma H(k_x, k_y)\Gamma = H(k_x + \pi, k_y + \pi) = -H(k_x, k_y)
\[22\]. It follows that for U in the slab geometry, \Gamma^u(k_y) =
\Gamma_x U(k_y + \pi)\Gamma_x, where operator \Gamma_x performs the local
gauge transformation |x⟩ \rightarrow (-1)^x|x⟩. Therefore, if
\omega(k_y) is a quasienergy eigenvalue, e.g. an edge state
solution, so is -\omega at shifted momentum k_y + \pi. Two
such edge states at \omega(k_y) and -\omega(k_y + \pi) reside at the
same edge. This will have a significant consequence for
dge modes at the QBZ boundary, where \omega = \pi/T and
-\omega = -\pi/T become equivalent to each other.

Applying the theoretical analysis outlined above, we
obtain Fig. 2, the “phase diagram” of the kicked quan-
tum Hall system in terms of two independent driving
parameters, \theta_x and \theta_y. It showcases four representative
phases \[24\], labelled by A to D, for flux \alpha = -1/3. All
of them feature three well defined quasienergy bands
and three gaps, while the spectrum in the rest of the phase
diagram is largely gapless. The corresponding spectrum
of each phase in the slab geometry can be found in Fig.
1. The table in Fig. 2 summarizes what we know about
each phase: the number of edge modes on the left edge
propagating in the \pm \hat{y} direction, (n_{\hat{y}}^+, n_{\hat{y}}^-), inside the
\ell-th gap; the winding number \nu_{\ell} of the \ell-th gap; and the
Chern number \epsilon_{\ell} of the \ell-th band. Note that \epsilon_{\ell} and
\epsilon_{\ell}' are calculated independently from the bulk spectrum.
At the phase transition points where the gap closes, the
Chern numbers always change by a multiple of 3, consist-
tent with the Diophantine equation \[23\]. In what follows,
we discuss in turn each of these phases.

(A). The main features of phase A can be understood
by considering the fast driving limit, \theta_1, \theta_2 \ll 1. The
effective Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}', takes the same form of H in Eq.
\[1\], only with the bare hopping replaced by the effective
hopping J_x \rightarrow J_x / \tau / T, J_y \rightarrow J_y (1 - \tau / T). The driven
system in phase A stroboscopically mimics a static QH
system with the same flux but renormalized hopping.
In particular, there is no edge state crossing the gap cen-
tered round \pm \pi/T.

(B). Phase B highlights a remarkable consequence of
periodic driving: there are now two chiral edge modes in-
side the second and third gap. This is in sharp contrast
to phase A, not only in the number of edge modes, but
also in their chirality. Thus, simple periodic modulations
of hopping proposed here is sufficient to change both the
number and the chirality of edge states, and the Chern
numbers of the bands. More importantly, phase B
contains a pair of counter-propagating edge modes, dubbed
"\pi-modes", inside the first gap at the QBZ boundary
\pm \pi/T. These two edge modes, shown in blue for the left
dge, have to come in pairs due to the chiral (sublattice)
symmetry defined above: an edge mode crossing the QBZ
boundary at k_y = k_y^a + \pi. They are guaranteed to have opposite group velocity because they are related by
\omega(k_y) \leftrightarrow -\omega(k_y + \pi). Such pairs of \pi-modes are
remiscent of, and of course fundamentally different from,
the counter-propagating edge modes protected by time-
reversal symmetry in quantum spin Hall effect \[25\]. The
dispersion of the two \pi-modes around quasienergy \pi/T, labelled by |\psi_\alpha⟩ = |↑⟩ and |\psi_\beta⟩ = |↓⟩, can be formally
described by a 1D Dirac Hamiltonian with chiral symmetry,
\mathcal{H}_x = \pi/T - \sigma_x v y \partial_y. Note that |\psi_{\alpha,\beta}\rangle = \Gamma|\psi_{\alpha,\beta}\rangle,
so \Gamma = \sigma_x in this basis. After a rotation to a basis where
\Gamma = \sigma_x is diagonal, \mathcal{H}_x = \pi/T - \sigma_x v y \partial_y, demonstrating that
\mathcal{H}_x belongs to class AIII_{(1)} of symmetry protected
gapless 1D Dirac Hamiltonians as classified systemati-
cally by Bernard et al \[24\]. Thus, perturbations obeying
the chiral symmetry, e.g. small variations in the hopping
or the magnetic flux, cannot open a gap \[25\].

We have further examined the robustness of the \pi-
modes against static on-site perturbations of the form
H' = \sum_x V(r)|r⟩⟨r|, which break the chiral symmetry.
Kinematically any potential V with a finite Fourier com-
ponent V(k_y = \pi) tends to mix the two modes. How-
ever, we find static perturbations including single impu-
ritv, staggered potential along y, and random disorder
potential V(r) \in (-\Delta, \Delta) do not open a gap around
quasienergy \pi. This is verified by numerically solving for
the spectra of finite lattices of dimension L_x \times L_y.
To resolve the number of edge states within the first gap,
we define spectral function \rho(k_y, \omega) = \sum_{\ell, x} \psi_n(x,y)e^{-ik_y y / L_y}^2, where the sum over x is re-
stricted to the left half of the slab, \psi_n and \psi_n are the
\ell-th quasienergy and the corresponding eigenfunction,
respectively. As shown in Fig. 3, \rho(k_y, \omega) for \Delta = 0.3J_x
is peaked at two different k_y values, with a separation by
\pi, suggesting two edge modes at and near \pi/T de-
spite the disorder. These results seem to indicate that
the stability of the \pi-modes has a topological origin. A
full understanding however is still lacking.

Previous work on driven 2D lattice models \[3\] \[10\] also
found chiral edge modes at \pm \pi/T. But those \pi-modes
all have the same chirality. As a result, the number of \pi-
modes can be predicted from the winding number \nu_1,
and the bulk-boundary correspondence \[10\].
In contrast, here the π-modes always come in pairs, so the net chirality is zero, $w_1 = n_1^+ - n_1^- = 0$. The knowledge of the winding or Chern numbers therefore is insufficient to predict the number or the chirality of the π-modes.

(C) Phase C is very similar to phase B. The only difference is that there are 4 (instead of 2 in phase B) chiral edge modes propagating in the same direction inside the second and third gap. This is yet another example that Chern numbers of the quasi-energy bands can be controlled by periodic driving.

(D) Phase D is qualitatively different from all other phases. Firstly, near the QBZ boundary, there are two pairs of counter-propagating π-modes, $n_1^+ = n_1^- = 2$. Secondly, the edge states within the second and third gap also contain counter-propagating modes: two of the edge modes propagate in the same direction, but the remaining one propagates in the opposite direction. For example, $n_2^+ = 1$, $n_2^- = 2$. Although phase D has exactly the same set of $\{w_i\}$ and $\{c_i\}$ as phase A, it has counter-propagating edge modes in all three quasienergy gaps that are robust against weak disorder.

The evolution of the edge states and the successive phase transitions as $\theta_y$ is varied can be captured by a simple model, a two-leg ladder extending in the $y$ direction. For flux $1/3$, the Floquet operator of the ladder is $U(k_y,T) = e^{i\theta_y} e^{-\sigma_y \sqrt{3} \sin k_y} e^{i\theta_x \sigma_x}$, where the $\sigma$'s are the Pauli matrices in the orbital space. It follows that the effective Hamiltonian of the ladder

$$\mathcal{H}(k_y)T = \theta_y \cos k_y + \sigma \cdot h(k_y),$$

with $h(k_y) = |h|$ =arccos$[\cos \theta_x \cos(\theta_y \sqrt{3} \sin k_y)]$. Thus, the quasienergy spectrum has two bands (branches),

$$\omega_{\pm}(k_y)T = \theta_y \cos k_y \pm h(k_y), \quad (\text{mod } 2\pi).$$

Figure 3 shows the ladder spectrum for $\theta_x = \pi/3$ and $\theta_y = \pi$ (phase B), which agrees remarkably with the edge modes shown in Fig. 1. As $\theta_y$ is increased, both the curvature and the width of the bands increase. Beyond a critical value $\theta_y \approx 0.57\pi$, the top of the $\omega_+$ band (and the bottom of the $\omega_-$ band) grows beyond the QBZ, and re-enters from the opposite side of the QBZ. Consequently, the number of states crossing the QBZ boundary jumps from 0 to 4, marking a transition from phase A to phase B. From this perspective, the pair of π-modes results directly from the winding of quasienergy across the QBZ boundary as driving in the $y$-direction ($\theta_y$) is increased. For $\theta_y > 1.33\pi$, both the top and bottom of $\omega_\pm$ exceed the QBZ, giving rise to two pairs of π-modes at each edge in phase D. When folded into the QBZ, they intrude into the second and third gap, leading to the anomalous edge modes propagating in the “wrong” direction.

The anomalous edge modes unique to periodically driven QH system can be detected experimentally by momentum-resolved radio-frequency spectroscopy [27], which measures the spectral function $\rho(k_y,\omega)$. Atoms occupying the π-mode at quasienergy $\omega$ absorb radio-frequency photon and undergo a vertical transition to an empty hyperfine state which can be subsequently imaged. For example, in phase B, the measured spectral function will feature peaks at $k_y^\pm$, and energy $E_n = (2n+1)\pi/T$. Alternatively, the edge currents can be probed by quantum quenches that convert them into density patterns [28] or following the recent proposal of Ref. [29].

We thank Brandon Anderson, Michael Levin, Xiaopeng Li, Takuya Kitagawa, and Mark Rudner for helpful discussions. This work is supported by AFOSR (FA9550-12-1-0079), NSF (PHY-1205504), and NIST (60NANB12D244). EZ also acknowledges the support by NSF PHY11-25915 through KITP.

[1] M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 392, 45 (1984).
[2] Y. Aharonov and J. Anandan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1593 (1987).
[3] T. Oka and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B 79, 081406 (2009).
[4] N. H. Lindner, G. Refael, and V. Galitski, Nature Physics 7, 490 (2011).
[5] T. Kitagawa, E. Berg, M. Rudner, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. B 82, 235114 (2010).
[6] N. H. Lindner, D. L. Bergman, G. Refael, and V. Galitski, Phys. Rev. B 87, 235131 (2013).
[7] T. Kitagawa, T. Oka, A. Brataas, L. Fu, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235108 (2011).
[8] L. Jiang, T. Kitagawa, J. Aicea, A. R. Akhmerov, D. Pekker, G. Refael, J. I. Cirac, E. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 220402 (2011).
[9] Z. Gu, H. A. Fertig, D. P. Arovas, and A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 216601 (2011).
[10] M. S. Rudner, N. H. Lindner, E. Berg, and M. Levin, Phys. Rev. X 3, 031005 (2013).
[11] M. Thakurathi, A. A. Patel, D. Sen, and A. Dutta, (2013), arXiv:1303.2300.
[12] M. C. Rechtsman, J. M. Zeuner, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, D. Podolsky, F. Dreisow, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, Nature 496, 196 (2013).
