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Abstract

The existence of inertial manifolds for higher-order Kirchhoff type equations with strong damping terms is studied. The Hadamard graph norm conversion method is used for obtaining the existence of inertial manifolds for this kind of equations under certain spectral intervals.
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1. Introduction

In the study of the long-term dynamic behavior of infinite dimensional dynamical systems, the inertial manifold occupies an important position. It is a finite dimensional invariant Lipschitz manifold and attracts all solution orbitals with exponential rate in the phase space of the system [1-3]. It plays an important role in both finite dimensional dynamical systems and infinite dimensional dynamical systems. Because it occupies an important position, many scholars have studied the existence and attraction of inertial manifolds, the finite-dimensional properties, and the related problems of approximate inertial manifolds and delay. Guoguang Lin and Jingzhu Wu [4] studied the existence of inertial manifolds of the low order Bousinesq equation with strongly damped term at that time, and the equation is

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_{tt} - \alpha \Delta u_t - \Delta u + u^{2k+1} &= f(x,y), (x,y) \in \Omega, \\
  u(x, y, 0) &= u_0(x, y), (x, y) \in \Omega, \\
  u(x, y, t) &= u(x + \pi, y, t) = u(x, y + \pi, t) = 0, (x, y) \in \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

Zhicheng Zhang and Guoguang Lin [5] study the following fourth order strongly damped time-delay wave equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_{tt} - \varepsilon \Delta u_t - \Delta u + \Delta^2 u &= f(u_t), t > 0, \\
  u(\Theta) &= u^0(\Theta), \Theta \in [-r, 0], \partial \Theta|_{t=0} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The inertial manifolds of the above equations under the assumption of delay term from distribution are studied. In this paper, based on this, will rise again, order space optimization, joined the high order structural damping, under certain assumptions prove Kirchhoff type generalized high order equation of inertial manifolds exist. More on the Kirchhoff equation of inertial manifolds, see reference[7-12].

This paper study the initial boundary value problems of the following Kirchhoff type equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_{tt} + (1 + \int_{\Omega} |D^m u|^{q} dx)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\Delta)^{m} u + \Delta^{2m} u + \beta (-\Delta)^{m} u_{t} &= f(x), \\
  u(x, t) &= 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2m - 1, x \in \partial \Omega, t > 0, \\
  u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{align*}
\]

Where \( r \geq 0, m \geq 1, \beta > 0 \). \( \Omega \) is the bounded region with smooth boundary \( \partial \Omega \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). \( f(x) \) is the external force term. \( \nu \) is the external normal vector, \( \Delta^m u \) is the structural damping term, \( \beta (-\Delta)^{m} u_{t} \) is the structure damping term. \( 1 + \int_{\Omega} |D^m u|^{q} dx \) \((\Delta)^{m} u \) is the rigid term. And the assumptions about the rigid term will be given later.

2. Prepare

For the convenience, this paper defines Spaces and symbols as follows:

\[ f = f(x), D = \nabla, H = L^2(\Omega), H^{2m} = H^{2m}(\Omega), V_1 = H^m_0(\Omega) \times H(\Omega), V_2 = H^{2m+k}_0(\Omega) \times H^k_0(\Omega)(k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2m), C_0 \] is constant. Respectively, \((\cdot, \cdot)\) and \( \| \cdot \| \) represent the inner product and norm of \( H \). That is \( (u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx, (u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx \)
Equation (1) is equivalent to the following first-order evolution equation

\[ U_t + AU = F(U). \] (10)

where \( U = (u, v), v = u_t, \)

\[ \bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ (-\Delta)^{m-1} & \beta(-\Delta)^m \end{pmatrix}. \] (11)

\[ F(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f(x) - (1 + ||D^m u||^p)\gamma (-\Delta)^m u \end{pmatrix}. \] (12)
\[
D(\tilde{A}) = \{ u \in H^{2m+k} | u \in L^2, (-\Delta)^m u \in H^{2m+k} \} \times H^m.
\] (13)

The graph norm defined in \( X \) by the dot product
\[
(U, V)_X = ((-\Delta)^m u, (-\Delta)^m \tilde{v}) + (v, \tilde{z})
\] (14)
where \( U = (u, v), V = (y, z) \in X, u, v \in H^{2m+k}(\Omega); v, z \in H^{2m+k}(\Omega) \). \( \tilde{v}, \tilde{z} \) respectively represent conjugate of \( y, z \). Obviously, the operator defined in equation (11) is monotone. For \( U \in D(\tilde{A}), \) have
\[
(\tilde{A}U, U)_X = -((\Delta)^m v, (-\Delta)^m u) + ((\Delta)^m u + \beta (-\Delta)^m v, \tilde{v}) = \beta \| D^m v \|^2 \geq 0.
\] (15)

So \((\tilde{A}U, U)_X\) is a non-negative real number.

To determine the eigenvalues of \( \tilde{A} \), consider the following eigenvalue equation
\[
\tilde{A}(U) = \lambda U, U = (u, v) \in X.
\] (16)

That is
\[
\begin{cases}
-\nu = \lambda u; \\
(-\Delta)^m u + \beta (-\Delta)^m v = \lambda v.
\end{cases}
\] (17)

By substituting the first equation of formulor (17) into the second equation of equation (17), we obtained
\[
\begin{cases}
\lambda^2 u + (-\Delta)^m u - \beta (\Delta)^m u = 0; \\
u_{\Omega} = (\Delta)^m u_{\Omega} = 0.
\end{cases}
\] (18)

Used for the inner product of the first expression in equation (18), we get
\[
\lambda^2 \| u \|^2 + \| (\Delta)^m u \|^2 - \beta \| (\Delta)^m u \|^2 = 0.
\] (19)

Equation (19) is regarded as a quadratic equation with one unknown about \( \lambda \), so there is
\[
\lambda_k^2 = \frac{\beta \delta_k + \sqrt{\beta^2 \delta_k^2 - 4 \delta_k^2}}{2}.
\] (20)

Where \( \delta_k \) is the eigenvalue of \((\Delta)^m \) in \( H^{2m}(\Omega) \). If \( \beta^2 \geq 4 \), then all the eigenvalues of \( \tilde{A} \) are positive real numbers, and the corresponding eigenvector has the form of \( \tilde{U}^+ = (u_k, -\lambda_k^2 u_k) \). As for formula (13), in order to facilitate the use of the following, the following marks will be made. For all \( k \geq 1 \), we get
\[
\| D^m u_k \| = \sqrt{\delta_k}, \| u_k \|^2 = 1, \| (\Delta)^m u_k \|^2 = \delta_k.
\] (21)

**Lemma 3.1** Remarkig \( g(u) = 1 + \int_\Omega |D^m u|^p dx (\Delta)^m u, g : H^{2m+k}_0(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{2m}_0(\Omega) \) is uniformly bounded, and globally lipschitz continuous.

**Proof.** For all \( u_1, u_2 \in H^{2m+k}_0(\Omega) \)
\[
\begin{align*}
g(u_1) - g(u_2) &= (1 + \| D^m u_1 \|^p - (1 + \| D^m u_2 \|^p) (\Delta)^m u_1 + (1 + \| D^m u_2 \|^p) (\Delta)^m (u_1 - u_2). \\
\| D^m (g(u_1) - g(u_2)) \| &\leq g'(\xi) \cdot \| D^{2m+k} u_1 - D^{2m+k} u_2 \| \cdot \| D^{2m+k} u_1 + g(u_2) \| D^{2m+k} (u_1 - u_2) \| \\
&\leq g'(\xi) \cdot \lambda_1^2 \| D^{2m+k} (u_1 - u_2) \| \| D^{2m+k} u_1 \| \| g(u_2) \| D^{2m+k} (u_1 - u_2) \| \\
&\leq C_0 \| D^{2m+k} (u_1 - u_2) \|.
\end{align*}
\] (22)

where \( \xi = \theta D^m u_1 + (1 - \theta) D^m u_2, \theta \in [0, 1]. \) Let \( l = C_0 \), then \( l \) is the lipschitz coefficient of \( g(u) \).

**Theorem 3.1** When \( 0 < \beta \leq 2 \), \( l \) is the lipschitz coefficient of \( \| D^m u \|^p \), let \( N_1 \in N \) make that \( N \geq N_1 \), we have
\[
\beta (\delta_{N_1} - \delta_N) \geq 8l.
\] (23)

Then the operator \( \tilde{A} \) satisfies the (7) spectral interval condition.
Proof. According to equations (12) and (14), writing $U = (u, v), V = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in X,$ then 
\[
\|F(U) - F(V)\|_X = \|g(||D^n u||^\rho_p) - g(||D^n \bar{u}||^\rho_p)\| \leq \|u - \bar{u}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta - 2}}\|U - V\|_X. \tag{24}
\]
That is $l_F \leq l.$ According to equation (19), the necessary and sufficient condition for $\lambda^+_k$ to be $\lambda^+_k$ real number that is $\beta > 2.$ By assuming that $0 < \beta \leq 2,$ $\Lambda$ have at most $\lambda^+_k$ finite number of $2N_0$ as eigenroots, when $N_0 = 0,$ $0 < \beta \leq 2,$ then $\Lambda_0 = \max\{\lambda^+_k\}k \leq N_0.$ When $k \geq N_0 + 1,$ the eigenvalue is complex, and the real part is taken
\[
\Re \lambda^+_k = \frac{\beta}{2}\delta_k. \tag{25}
\]
So there is $N_1 \geq N_0 + 1$ make $\Re \lambda^+_k > \Lambda_0, k \geq N_1.$

Let make (22) be true. Decompose the dot spectrum of $\tilde{A}$
\[
\sigma_1 = \{\lambda^+_k | k \leq N\}, \sigma_2 = \{\lambda^-_k | k \geq N + 1\}. \tag{26}
\]

Let’s set the corresponding subspace
\[
X_1 = \text{span}\{\lambda^+_k | k \leq N\}, X_2 = \text{span}\{\lambda^-_k | k \geq N + 1\}. \tag{27}
\]

Inexistence $k$ make $\delta^-_k \in \sigma_1$ and $\delta^+_k \in \sigma_2,$ which means it can’t exist $U^+_k \in X_1$ and $U^-_k \in X_2.$ Therefore, $X_1$ and $X_2$ are the normal subspace of $\tilde{X}.$ According to (5) and (25), we get
\[
\Re(\lambda^-_{N+1} - \lambda^+_N) = \frac{\beta}{2}(\delta_{N+1} - \delta_N). \tag{28}
\]

Therefore, it can be known from (23) that $\tilde{A}$ satisfies the spectral interval condition.

Theorem 3.2 When $\beta \geq 2,$ $l$ is the Lipschitz coefficient of $g(||D^n u||^\rho_p)$ let $N_1 \in N$ be sufficiently large, so that $N \geq N_1$ and
\[
(\delta_{N+1} - \delta_N)(\beta \frac{\beta^2 - 4}{2} > \frac{4l}{\sqrt{\beta - 2}} + 1 \tag{29}
\]

Then operator $\tilde{A}$ satisfies the spectral interval condition of (7).

Proof. When $\beta > 2,$ all eigenvalues of $\tilde{A}$ are positive real numbers, and we know that the sequences $\{\lambda^+_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ and $\{\lambda^-_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are increasing. The following are four steps to prove Theorem 3.2. Step 1: Because $\lambda^+_k$ is non-subtractive, according to Lemma 2.1, $N$ is given so that $\lambda^+_N$ and $\lambda^+_{N+1}$ are adjacent values, and the eigenvalue of $\tilde{A}$ is decomposed to
\[
\sigma_1 = \{\lambda^-_j, \lambda^+_j | \max\{\lambda_j^-, \lambda_j^+\} \leq \lambda^+_N\}, \tag{30}
\]
\[
\sigma_2 = \{\lambda^-_j, \lambda^+_j | \lambda^-_j \leq \lambda^+_N \leq \min\{\lambda_j^-, \lambda_j^+\}\}. \tag{31}
\]

Step 2: Corresponding $X$ can be decomposed into
\[
X_1 = \text{span}\{U^-_j, U^+_k | \lambda^-_j, \lambda^+_k \in \sigma_1\}, \tag{32}
\]
\[
X_2 = \text{span}\{U^-_j, U^+_k | \lambda^-_j, \lambda^+_k \in \sigma_2\}. \tag{33}
\]

The goal is to make these two subspaces orthogonal and satisfy the interspectral expression (7). $\Lambda_1 = \lambda^+_N, \Lambda_2 = \lambda^+_{N+1}.$ Further decomposition $X_2 = X_C \oplus X_R.$
\[
X_C = \text{span}\{U^-_j | \lambda^-_j \leq \lambda^+_N \leq \lambda^+_j\}, \tag{34}
\]
\[
X_R = \text{span}\{U^+_R | \lambda^+_R \leq \lambda^+_N\}. \tag{35}
\]

And assuming that $X_N = X_C \oplus X_1.$ Next, specify the dot product of the eigenvalues over $X,$ so that $X_1$ and $X_2$ are orthogonal, so we need to introduce two functions $\Phi : X_N \rightarrow R$ and $\Psi : X_R \rightarrow R.$
\[
\Phi(U, V) = (\beta - 1)((-\Delta)^m u, (-\Delta)^m \bar{v}) + (\bar{z}, (-\Delta)^m u) + (\bar{v}, (-\Delta)^m \bar{y}) + (\bar{v}, v), \tag{36}
\]
\[
\Psi(U, V) = \beta((-\Delta)^m u, (-\Delta)^m \bar{y}) + (\bar{z}, (-\Delta)^m u) + (\bar{v}, (-\Delta)^m y) + (\bar{v}, v). \tag{37}
\]
Where \( U = (u, v), V = (y, z), \overline{y} \) and \( \overline{z} \) are conjugates of \( y \) and \( z \), respectively.

Suppose \( U = (u, v) \in X_N \), then

\[
\Phi(U, U) = (\beta - 1)||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 + 2(\overline{\Delta}, (-\Delta)^m u) + ||v||^2
\]

\[
\geq (\beta - 1)||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 + ||v||^2 - 2||v|| \cdot ||(-\Delta)^m u|| + ||v||^2
\]

\[
\geq (\beta - 1)||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 - ||v||^2 - ||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 + ||v||^2
\]

\[
\geq (\beta - 2)||(-\Delta)^m u||^2.
\]

(38)

And since \( \beta > 2 \), you get that \( \Phi(U, U) \geq 0 \) is true for all \( U = (u, v) \in X_N, \Phi \) is positive definite.

Similarly, since \( U = (u, v) \in X_R, \)

\[
\Psi(U, U) = \beta||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 + 2(\overline{\Delta}, (-\Delta)^m u) + ||v||^2
\]

\[
\geq \beta||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 + ||v||^2 - 2||v|| \cdot ||(-\Delta)^m u|| + ||v||^2
\]

\[
\geq \beta||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 - ||v||^2 - ||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 + ||v||^2
\]

\[
\geq (\beta - 1)||(-\Delta)^m u||^2.
\]

(39)

And since \( \beta > 2 \), you get that \( \Psi(U, U) \geq 0 \) is true for all \( U = (u, v) \in X_N, \Psi \) is also positive definite.

Now we’re going to specify the inner product of \( X \)

\[
\langle U, V \rangle_X = \Phi(P_N U, P_N V) + \Psi(P_R U, P_R V).
\]

(40)

Where \( P_N \) and \( P_R \) are respectively mappings of \( X \to X_N \) and \( X \to X_R \). For convenience, equation (40) can be rewritten to obtain

\[
\langle U, V \rangle_X = \Phi(U, V) + \Psi(U, V).
\]

(41)

It will be shown that the two subspaces \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) defined in (32) and (33) are orthogonal with respect to the dot product (41). In fact, \( X_N \) and \( X_C \) are orthogonal, that is \( \langle U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_X = 0 \). For each \( U_j^+ \in X_C \) and \( U_j^- \in X_N \), we can deduce from Equation (35)

\[
\langle U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_X = \Phi(U_j^+, U_j^-) = (\beta - 1)||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 - ||\lambda_j^- + \lambda_j^+||^2 + \langle D^mu, \lambda_j^- u_j \rangle^2
\]

\[
= (\beta - 1)||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 - ||\lambda_j^- + \lambda_j^+||^2 + \langle \lambda_j^+ u_j \rangle^2.
\]

(42)

According to equation (18), we get \( \lambda_j^- + \lambda_j^+ = \beta \delta_j, \lambda_j^- \lambda_j^+ = \delta_j^2 \), so

\[
\langle U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_X = \Phi(U_j^+, U_j^-) = 0.
\]

(43)

Step 3: Next estimate the lipschitz constant of \( F \), where \( F(U) = (0, f(x) - g(u))^T, g : H^{2m+k} \to H^{2m} \) and \( l_F = l \). From equation (30) and equation (31), it can be seen that for any \( U = (u, v) \in X, \) we have

\[
||U||_X^2 = \Phi(P_1 U, P_1 U) + \Psi(P_2 U, P_2 U)
\]

\[
\geq (\beta - 2)||(-\Delta)^m P_1 u||^2 + \langle ||(-\Delta)^m u||^2 \rangle
\]

\[
\geq (\beta - 2)||(-\Delta)^m u||^2.
\]

(44)

Set \( U = (u, v), V = (\overline{u}, \overline{v}) \in X, \) we have

\[
||F(U) - F(V)||_X = ||g(||D^mu||_P^2) - g(||D^mu||_P^2)|| \leq l||u - \overline{u}|| \leq \frac{l}{\sqrt{\beta - 2}}||U - V||_X.
\]

(45)

So we get the conclusion

\[
l_F \leq \frac{l}{\sqrt{\beta - 2}}
\]

(46)
Step 4: The spectral interval condition formula (7) needs to be verified, which can be obtained from $\Lambda_1 = \lambda_N$ and $\Lambda_2 = \lambda_{N+1}$ mentioned above

$$\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1 = \lambda_{N+1} - \lambda_N = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{N+1} - \delta_N) + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{R(N)} - \sqrt{R(N+1)}). \tag{47}$$

Where $R(N) = \beta^2 \delta_N^2 - 4\delta_N^2$.

Make sure that $N_1 > 0$ is such that for all $N \geq N_1$, $R_1(N) = 1 + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\beta^2 - 4}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta^2 - 4}}$, so we can calculate

$$\sqrt{R(N)} - \sqrt{R(N+1)} + \sqrt{\beta - 2(\delta_{N+1} - \delta_N)} = \sqrt{\beta - 2(\delta_{N+1}R_1(N+1) - \delta_NR_1(N))}. \tag{48}$$

Well, it’s easy to know from the assumptions that we’ve made

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \sqrt{R(N)} - \sqrt{R(N+1)} + \sqrt{\beta - 2(\delta_{N+1} - \delta_N)} \right) = 0. \tag{49}$$

Then, by combining equations (46), (47), (21) and (48), we can obtain

$$\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1 > \frac{1}{2}((\delta_{N+1} - \delta_N)(\beta - \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4}) - 1) \geq \frac{4l}{\sqrt{\beta - 2}} \geq 4l. \tag{50}$$
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