**RabGAP22 Is Required for Defense to the Vascular Pathogen *Verticillium longisporum* and Contributes to Stomata Immunity**
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**Abstract**

*Verticillium longisporum* is a soil-borne pathogen with a preference for plants within the family *Brassicaceae*. Following invasion of the roots, the fungus proliferates in the plant vascular system leading to stunted plant growth, chlorosis and premature senescence. RabGTPases have been demonstrated to play a crucial role in regulating multiple responses in plants. Here, we report on the identification and characterization of the Rab GTPase-activating protein RabGAP22 gene from Arabidopsis, as an activator of multiple components in the immune responses to V. longisporum. RabGAP22 transgenic lines showed GUS expression predominantly in root meristems, vascular tissues and stomata, whereas the RabGAP22 protein localized in the nucleus. Reduced RabGAP22 transcript levels in mutants of the brassinolide (BL) signaling gene *BRI1-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR KINASE 1*, together with a reduction of fungal proliferation following BL pretreatment, suggested RabGAP22 to be involved in BL-mediated responses. Pull-down assays revealed SERINE:GLYOXYLATE AMINOTRANSFERASE (AGT1) as an interacting partner during *V. longisporum* infection and bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) showed the RabGAP22-AGT1 protein complex to be localized in the peroxisomes. Further, fungal-induced RabGAP22 expression was found to be associated with elevated endogenous levels of the plant hormones jasmonic acid (JA) and abscisic acid (ABA). An inadequate ABA response in *rabgapp22* mutants, coupled with a stomata-localized expression of RabGAP22 and impairment of guard cell closure in response to *V. longisporum* and *Pseudomonas syringae*, suggest that RabGAP22 has multiple roles in innate immunity.

**Introduction**

Soil is a complex matrix that hosts a rich diversity of organisms. In the soil, plant roots must compete with other root systems of neighboring plant species for space, water, mineral nutrients, and with the excess of soil organisms feeding on an abundant source of organic material. In the microenvironment surrounding a plant root, the rhizosphere, some soil microorganisms take the advantage of root exudates to invade the root tissue. At the same time the plant immune system is activated upon perception of microbial-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs). It has been described how Arabidopsis roots respond to three selected MAMPs in a highly orchestrated and tissue-specific manner [1]. Still we have limited knowledge on MAMP signaling incited by MAMPs in a highly orchestrated and tissue-specific manner [1]. The fungal genus *Verticillium* harbors many soil-borne plant pathogens, among them *V. longisporum* that incites disease on several plant species particularly within the family *Brassicaceae* [12,13]. Microsclerotia, the long-lived soil-infecting resting struc-
tures of this hemibiotrophic pathogen, germinate when exposed to root exudates from a host plant. Hyphal growth around lateral roots is followed by direct penetration of the epidermal cells, and subsequent colonization of the xylem vessels [14,15]. Individual vessels can be filled with growing mycelia whereas others remain normal resulting in vague disease symptoms, particularly at an early disease stage. In the later stages of infection, symptoms including chlorosis, stunting and premature senescence emerge [16]. Formation of microsclerotia in the dying foliage then completes the disease cycle [14,17]. Knowledge on the plant immune response taking place during fungal colonization and growth is fragmentary but is gradually expanding. A Verticillium-induced transdifferentiation of bundle sheath cells to functional xylem elements suggests that a tissue-specific developmental reprogramming occurs during the infection process [18].

The aim of this study was to increase the knowledge on the components involved in the defense against *V. longisporum*. The starting point chosen was the phenotypic differences in response to *V. longisporum* earlier found in *Brassica oleracea* germplasm [19]. We reasoned that the findings from *B. oleracea* could be exploited in the Arabidopsis system to expand our basic understanding of this particular plant-pathogen interaction. Using a cDNA-AFLP approach, we identified a member in the RabGTPase activating gene family, *RabGAP22*, of importance for plant immunity. RabGTPases make up a large family in Arabidopsis, and the 57 members take part in mechanisms underlying intercellular membrane trafficking, hormone signaling, and stress responses [20,21,22,23]. The GTPases cycle between active GTP-bound, and inactive GDP-bound states. The inherent hydrolysis of GTP to GDP by individual GTPases is a slow process, but is increased by several orders of magnitude by GTPase activating proteins (GAPs). Subsequently, GDP-GTP exchange factors (GEFs) replace the hydrolyzed GDP to GTP, completing the cycle. A family of 24 RabGAPs is present in Arabidopsis [24]. Many eukaryotic RabGAPs contain a Tre-2/Bub2/Cdc16 (TBC) domain architecture. In a comparative evolutionary scan of eukaryotic organism groups using TBC sequences, plant RabGAPs are classified into the TBC-B domain group [25], comprising the Arabidopsis class II subfamily in which RabGAP22 is clustered along with RabGAP11, 19 and 20 [24]. The closest orthologs of RabGAP22 are within the class II subfamily of Arabidopsis [25]. In the present study we found an involvement of RabGAP22 in jasmonic acid (JA) accumulation and JA and brassinolide (BL) signaling. We also uncovered a role for RabGAP22 in stomatal responses against both *V. longisporum* and *Pseudomonas syringae DC3000*. Together, our data revealed RabGAP22 to be a mediator of multiple responses in plant immunity.

**Results**

**RabGAP22 is Required for Immunity to *V. Longisporum***

The white cabbage accession line BRA723 was previously identified as highly resistant to *V. longisporum* infection [19]. Hence, this material was selected for a cDNA-AFLP approach to further explore defense responses in this plant-pathogen system. A number of differentially expressed transcripts in roots during fungal interaction were detected. At two days post inoculation (dpi), 104 transcript-derived fragments were isolated and sequenced (Table S1). When comparing the sequences with the Arabidopsis database, 32 of the differentially expressed genes were identified as potential defense gene candidates. Out of these, the most strongly up-regulated transcript, RR86 (Accession number: KF258677), was further examined. BLASTP analysis identified four Arabidopsis genes homologous to RR86: At3g19350 (E = 3e−1), At5g24390 (E = 2e−10), At5g19440 (E = 2e−10), and At3g33570 (E = 5e−6), corresponding to the earlier classified *RabGAP11, 19, 20* and 22, respectively [24]. Phylogenetic analysis on amino acid sequences from all 24 Arabidopsis RabGAPs and RR86 also placed RR86 in the same cluster as these four RabGAP genes (Figure 1A). To determine if any of the four RabGAP gene candidates were linked to plant immunity, their corresponding Arabidopsis T-DNA insertion mutants (Figure S1A) were investigated. None of these showed any difference in developmental phenotype compared to wild-type Col-0. When screened for responses to *V. longisporum*, the homozygous *rabgap22-1* plants showed a clear susceptible phenotype (Figure 1B), whereas the *rabgap11-I*, *rabgap19-1* and *rabgap20-1* mutants displayed responses similar to that of wild-type (Figure S1B). To validate these phenotypic responses quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analyses were performed. All T-DNA insertion mutant plants were found to be significantly down-regulated in their target genes (Figure S1C), and only the *RabGAP22* gene was triggered by the fungus in wild-type Col-0 (Figure 1C). These results, together with complementation analysis using a *RabGAP22Pro*:RabGAP22 construct that restored the susceptible *rabgap22-1* phenotype to the resistant wild-type phenotype (Figure 1B), demonstrated the importance of *RabGAP22* in defense to *V. longisporum*. Fungal growth in the plant roots was also monitored using GFP-tagged *V. longisporum*. At 14 dpi, the fungus was detected in roots of both Col-0 and mutant plants. In agreement with the earlier results the degree of colonization was found to be significantly higher in *rabgap22-1* and *rabgap20-1* plants (Figures 1D, E).

**RabGAP22 is Expressed in Vascular Tissues and Stomatal Guard Cells***

In order to monitor the tissue and organ-specific expression of *RabGAP22*, transgenic Col-0 plants harboring a *RabGAP22-Pro::GUS* construct were made. GUS-staining revealed expression throughout the plant, most strongly in root meristems, vascular tissues, stomata, trichomes and flower tissues such as style and receptacle (Figures 2A, B and S2A–G). This gene expression pattern was only very subtly changed at 2 and 6 days post *V. longisporum* inoculation (data not shown). We further searched for the subcellular localization of RabGAP22. No information could be acquired by TargetP [27] but the search tools MultiLoc2 [28] and AtSubP [29] suggested a nuclear localization. To clarify this, *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were infiltrated with a *RabGAP22Pro::RabGAP22-YFP* construct, which demonstrated a nuclear localization of the RabGAP22-GFP protein (Figure 2C). This observation was further supported by co-infiltration of *N. benthamiana* leaves with *RabGAP22-Pro::RabGAP22-GFP* and the nuclear marker VirD2NLS-YFP construct, showing a clear co-localization of the GFP and YFP signals in the nucleus (Figure 2C).

**RabGAP22 Interacts with the Photorespiratory Protein AGT1***

To gain further insight into the function of RabGAP22 in plant immunity, we looked for potential interacting proteins under stress conditions. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants harboring 35S::RabGAP22-His were made, and immunoprecipitation experiments with anti-His antibodies were performed. In leaves from mock-treated plants, only the ~60 kDa band corresponding to the RabGAP22-His protein was observed, whereas in leaf samples from *V. longisporum* soil-inoculated plants, a protein of ~45 kDa co-
Figure 1. Arabidopsis RabGAP22 is required for defense to *V. longisporum*. (A) The transcript-derived fragment RR86 from *Brassica oleracea* accession BRA723 cluster with four Arabidopsis RabGAP genes in maximum likelihood analysis. Bootstrap values >50 are shown. Scale bar represents the number of substitutions per site. (B) Phenotypes of soil-grown plants 28 days post inoculation with *V. longisporum* showing strong symptoms in *rabgap22-1* plants (chlorosis, stunting and premature senescence) and only mild symptoms in Col-0. Complementation with the native gene (*RabGAP22*; _RabGAP22_) restored *rabgap22-1* to the wild-type phenotype. (C) Relative transcript levels of *RabGAP11*, *RabGAP19*, *RabGAP20* and *RabGAP22* in roots of _in vitro_-grown Col-0 at 2 d post inoculation with *V. longisporum*. Data represent means ± SE (n = three pools of >20 plants, repeated twice). (D) Fungal colonization in roots of plants grown in hydroponic culture. Images taken at 7 and 14 d post inoculation with GFP-tagged *V. longisporum*. (E) Fungal DNA content in roots of plants grown in hydroponic culture at 14 dpi, quantified with qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SE (n = 3 pools of 5 plants). Asterisk indicates significant difference to Col-0. (Student’s t-test; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; ns = not significant). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088187.g001
precipitated with the RabGAP22-His protein (Figure S3A). The amino acid sequence of this new protein (Accession number: KF242188) was identified by MALDI-MS/MS as SERINE:GLYOXYLATE AMINOTRANSFERASE 1 (AGT1, At2g13360) (Figure S3B), an enzyme in the photorespiratory pathway [30]. An AGT1 homolog is known to be indispensable for appressorium function in the Magnaporthe oryzae fungus [31], thus we found it important to clarify if the identified AGT1 protein was of plant or fungal origin. When AGT1 protein sequences from V. longisporum, M. oryzae and Arabidopsis were aligned, the protein fragments from MALDI-MS/MS showed a perfect match to Arabidopsis AGT1 verifying a plant origin (Figure S3C). qRT-PCR analysis also revealed an increase in AGT1 transcript levels in roots of V. longisporum inoculated plants at 2 dpi (Figure S3D). To generate more data along this line, a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay [32] was performed to show if interaction of the two proteins occurs in planta. Two plasmids, in which RabGAP22 and AGT1 were fused to the GFP C- and N-termini respectively (pSITE-cEGFP-RabGAP22 and pSITE-nEGFP-AGT1) were constructed and infiltrated into N. benthamiana leaves. A clear fluorescence signal was detected in the peroxisomes, demonstrating interaction of the two proteins (Figure 2D). The peroxisomal localization was further supported by co-infiltrations of the two BiFC constructs and a mCherry-tagged peroxisomal marker (Figure 2D). As a control step, the pSITE-cEGFP-RabGAP22 and pSITE-nEGFP-AGT1 plasmids were infiltrated individually into tobacco leaves to check for potential interactions, but no fluorescence signals were detected from those samples. The observed peroxisomal localization of RabGAP22-AGT1 was not unlikely, as AGT1 is a peroxisome-localized protein [33]. Presence of RabGAP22 in both nuclear and peroxisomal cellular compartments is also in line with the role of RabGAP proteins in vesicle trafficking between membrane compartments [34]. When tested for the response to V. longisporum, agt1 T-DNA insertion mutants showed a phenotype indistinguishable to that of Col-0 (Figure S3E), indicating that the immune response is mainly mediated by RabGAP22. The lack of a peroxisomal localization of RabGAP22-GFP in unstressed plants (Figure 2C) suggests that a specific re-localization of RabGAP22 to peroxisomes takes place under biotic stress conditions, here exemplified in response to V. longisporum. Changes in subcellular localization and activity of GTPases, GAPs and GEFs frequently occur in response to changes in the GTP/GDP-bound state [35] and also in response to phosphorylation of individual residues in these molecules [36]. The rice Rab protein OsRab11 is characterized both for regulating vesicular trafficking between the trans-Golgi network and vacuole [37,38] and for interaction with the peroxisomal protein OsOPR8 [39]. Peroxisomal proteins are involved in a number of processes associated to pathogen defense responses, not least H2O2 production and jasmonic acid (JA) biosynthesis, reviewed by Sorhagen et al. [40]. Consequently we assayed these responses in rabgap22-1 plants, starting with measurement of H2O2 levels by 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining. The basal level of H2O2 was lower in the mutant compared to Col-0, and in contrast to the wild-type, no increase in the levels were detected in response to V. longisporum (Figures S3F, G). We interpreted the data as a very...
modest involvement of RabGAP22 in the H₂O₂ response, and instead initiated analysis of JA biosynthesis and signaling.

**V. Longisporum Promotes Increased JA and JA-Ile Levels**

Jasmonic acid (JA) and JA signaling play important roles in most plant defense systems, in particular in responses to necrotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens. To elucidate if the increased susceptibility of rabgap22-1 was linked to alterations in JA-mediated defense responses, endogenous levels of both JA and the bioactive JA-Isoleucine (JA-Ile) were measured at 2 dpi. As expected, both compounds increased in *V. longisporum* challenged Col-0 plants (Figure 3A, B). However, the levels of JA and JA-Ile were significantly higher in the rabgap22-1 mutant and strikingly induced by the fungus, indicating an important role of RabGAP22 in the JA biosynthesis pathway. In order to understand more on JA-associated events we analyzed transcript levels of key genes in the JA signaling pathway. The basal transcript levels of the repressor of JA-signaling JAZ10 were 4-fold higher in rabgap22-1 compared to wild-type, but did not change upon fungal challenge (Figure 3C). Whereas levels of the JA signaling marker VSP2 in the wild-type (Figures 3E, F). In contrast, the induced levels of VSP2 in rabgap22-1 were lower compared to Col-0, whereas PDF1.2 levels appeared constitutively high in the mutant. Overall, our results indicate that RabGAP22 is a negative regulator of JA responses and that over-production of JA and JA-Ile in the rabgap22-1 mutant is accentuated during *V. longisporum* infection, contributing to a distorted JA defense signaling and an enhanced susceptible phenotype.

**RabGAP22 Is Involved in Brassinosteroid-associated Responses**

To further expand our understanding of RabGAP22 defense responses, we searched for co-expressed genes. A comparative analysis of all available microarray datasets deposited in Genevestigator [41] was performed. The similarity search tool limited to perturbations for RabGAP22 showed MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE PHOSPHATASE (MKP) and BRI1-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR KINASE (BAK) to be the two most correlated genes with a score of 0.59 and 0.56, respectively (Figure S4A). BAK1 is known to affect multiple processes, including brassinosteroid signaling and defense to plant pathogens [42,43,44]. Hence the baki-4 Arabidopsis null mutant was challenged with *V. longisporum* to investigate its potential contribution in this pathosystem. The baki-4 plants displayed a clear susceptible phenotype as well as a massive fungal colonization at 14 dpi compared to the wild-type (Figures 4A, B). In addition, BAK1-GUS transgenic Arabidopsis plants displayed elevated levels of GUS expression in response to *V. longisporum* at 1 and 2 dpi (Figure S5). Further, the finding of attenuated levels of RabGAP22 in the baki-4 mutant (Figure 4C) indicates that BAK1 may be required for RabGAP22 function.

When our Genevestigator search was limited to hormone responses, brassinolide (BL) treatment was found to induce the highest RabGAP22 expression (Figure S4B). Brassinosteroids (BRs) are known to modulate plant defense responses and are involved in many developmental processes including xylem differentiation [45,46]. We therefore analyzed the responses to 24-epibrassinolide (BL) in our plant materials. No difference in the BL-induced root growth inhibition was found in rabgap22-1 compared to Col-0 plants grown in vitro, revealing that rabgap22-1 mutants were not compromised in the uptake of BL (data not shown). However when we pretreated plants with BL prior to *V. longisporum* inoculation, fungal colonization was reduced 4-fold in rabgap22-1 and 2-fold in wild-type (Figure 4D). Together these results suggest that the increased fungal colonization in rabgap22-1 is in part due to impaired BL signaling, and that RabGAP22 is a downstream component of BL-mediated signaling.

**RabGAP22 Affects Stomata Closure through Attenuated ABA Levels**

The observed RabGAP22 promoter GUS expression in guard cells (Figure 2B) resembles that of Arabidopsis ROP11 GTPase [47], a negative regulator of ABA-mediated stomatal closure. ABA is a central regulator of the stomatal apparatus [48], and Genevestigator expression data suggested a reduction in RabGAP22 expression in response to ABA treatment (Figure S4B). These features prompted us to test the behavior of stomata in response to *V. longisporum* challenge. At 14 dpi we found stomatal apertures in wild-type Col-0 to be reduced by 75% compared to mock treated plants. A feature that could explain the increased drought tolerance of *V. longisporum* inoculated Col-0 seen at a similar time-point [18]. At 14 dpi stomata were in contrast partially open (reduced by 34%) in the rabgap22-1 plants upon pathogen attack (Figure 5A). We wanted to know if this impairment in stomata closure could be linked to altered endogenous ABA levels in our plant materials. Congruent with previous findings [17] we detected a significant increase in ABA content in leaves of Col-0 in response to *V. longisporum* (Figure 5B), whereas the induction of ABA in the rabgap22-1 mutant only reached half the levels compared to the wild-type. These results were followed by a closer monitoring of the stomatal apparatus in Col-0 and rabgap22-1 plants in response to ABA treatment. No anomalies in size and numbers were found but stomata in rabgap22-1 were impaired in ABA-induced closure, with stomatal apertures only being reduced by 14% in response to the hormone application (Figure 5C). Based on the partial impaired stomatal closure in rabgap22-1 in response to *V. longisporum*, experiments were also run to clarify if a similar influence on the stomata apparatus could be seen in the well-studied *Pseudomonas syringae* DC3000 (Pst DC3000) system. When inoculated with Pst DC3000, leaves of rabgap22-1 plants showed a strong increase in bacterial proliferation compared to wild-type at 3 dpi (Figure 5D). PAMPs from Pst DC3000 are known to trigger stomatal closure in Arabidopsis within 1 to 2 h post inoculation through ABA biosynthesis and signaling [49,50,51], as well as via an ABA-independent oxylipin pathway [52]. The bacterial effector coronatine (COR) inhibits this effect by reopening the stomata within 3 to 4 h after infection. Treatment with flg22 (a PAMP of Pst DC3000) on our materials triggered stomatal closure in wild-type Col-0 plants as expected but this response was partially impaired in rabgap22-1 (Figure 5E). Stomatal apertures were also measured in Pst DC3000 inoculated plants, revealing at 1 hpi a weak, but significant reduction in the stomatal closure response of the rabgap22-1 plants (Figure 5F). At 4 hpi, stomatal apertures in both Col-0 and rabgap22-1 were again open indicating that COR-mediated re-opening of stomata was not effected in the mutant. That the stomatal closure responses to flg22 and Pst DC3000 were not as strong as those for *V. longisporum*, could be attributed to involvement of additional defense components in the case of this leaf pathogen.
RabGAP22 represses jasmonic acid (JA) levels and JA signaling. (A, B) Endogenous hormone content in in vitro-grown Arabidopsis wild-type and rabgap22-1 plants 2 d post inoculation with V. longisporum. (A) JA and (B) JA-Isoleucine (JA-Ile). Data represent means ± SE (n = 3 pools of >50 plants). (C–F) Relative transcript levels of the JA signaling components (C) JAZ10, (D) COI1, (E) VSP2 and (F) PDF1.2 in roots of in vitro-grown Arabidopsis plants 2 d post inoculation with V. longisporum. Data represent means ± SE (n = 3 pools of >20 plants, repeated twice). Asterisks indicate significant difference to the respective Col-0 mock treated control (Student’s t-test; *p≤0.05; **p≤0.01; ***p≤0.001). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088187.g003
Soil-borne fungal pathogens are causal agents of diseases of increasing economic importance. In comparison to plant responses to foliar pathogens, relatively little is known about responses to root infecting pathogens primarily due to the difficulty in observing the early stages of the interaction. At present only a few important components in the plant immune response pathways to *Verticillium* fungi are known. Using a positional cloning strategy, the *Ve1* and *Ve2* genes conferring resistance to *V. dahliae* and *V. albo-atrum* were cloned in tomato [53]. Both *Ve1* and *Ve2* genes encode cell surface receptors with an extracellular leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain but are lacking a cytoplasmic signaling domain, characteristic for receptor-like proteins [54]. The responses of the *Ve* genes have also been evaluated in transgenic Arabidopsis because no closely related *Ve* orthologs are present in its genome. *Ve1* in the Arabidopsis genomic background conferred resistance to *V. dahliae* but not to *V. longisporum* [55], suggesting that another PRR is operating in the latter case. An Arabidopsis mutant in a cell wall-associated member of the receptor-like kinase (RLK) gene family mediating resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* (*rfo1*) was earlier shown to promote susceptibility to *V. longisporum* infection [12], and could be indicative of important groups of RLKs to be further examined.

The fungal avirulence gene *Ave1*, a natriuretic peptide, is present in several fungal species including *V. dahliae* and is a proposed interactor to *Ve1* [56]. We queried our *V. longisporum* genome sequences for the presence of *Ave1* orthologs but no similar sequences could be found. In our efforts to find a candidate effector capable of binding to RabGAP22, we extended the searches to include a wider group of organisms. Effectors for example from the intracellular *Shigella flexneri* bacteria exhibit RabGAP activity [22]. Via GTP hydrolysis of the host RabGTPase Rab1, VirA disrupts ER-to-Golgi trafficking, allow-
Figure 5. RabGAP22 contributes to stomatal immunity. (A) Stomatal apertures in soil-grown mock and V. longisporum inoculated plants at 14 dpi. Data represent means ± SE (>60 randomly selected stomata). (B) Endogenous abscisic acid (ABA) content in in vitro-grown Arabidopsis wild-type and rabgap22-1 plants 2 d post inoculation (dpi) with V. longisporum. Data represent means ± SE (n=3 pools of >50 plants). (C) Stomatal RabGAP22 Mediates Multiple Plant Defense Responses
apertures in four-week-old soil-grown plants, in response to ABA treatment. Data represent means ± SE (≥60 randomly selected stomata). (D) Quantification of bacterial growth in soil-grown Arabidopsis plants 0 and 3 days post spray inoculation with Pst DC3000. Average colony forming units (CFU) per cm² leaf area are shown. Data represent means ± SD (n=5 pools of 3 leaves). (E, F) Stomatal apertures in four-week-old soil-grown plants, in response to (E) flg22 treatment and (F) *Pseudomonas syringae* DC3000 spray inoculation. Data represent means ± SE (≥60 randomly selected stomata). Asterisks indicate significant difference to the respective mock treated control. (Student’s t-test; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001). Different letters indicate significant difference (one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey HSD test, 95% confidence interval).

RabGAP22 Mediates Multiple Plant Defense Responses

Evidence of involvement of RabGTPases and RabGAPs in diseases has its basis from human and animal model systems [69,70], and roles of these molecules in plant pathogen interactions are emerging [21,22,23]. Here, we characterize Arabidopsis RabGAP22 as a component required for resistance to *V. longisporum*, and in this early stage we can only speculate on its function in plant immunity (Figure S6). Our results showed a clear interaction of RabGAP22 and AGT1 in the peroxisomes of *V. longisporum*-challenged plants. Together with the increased JA content in *rabgap22-1*, this warrants the hypothesis that RabGAP22-AGT1 may interfere with peroxisomal-localized steps in the JA biosynthesis. The strong reduction in *V. longisporum* colonization in *rabgap22-1* upon BL treatment clearly indicates that BL signaling is important for RabGAP22 function. However, the exact involvement of the BL signaling component BAK1 remains elusive at this stage. We also observed a stomatal closure response in *V. longisporum*-challenged wild-type plants. This response was impaired in *rabgap22-1*, and stomata in the mutant further failed to close in response to ABA, suggesting a role for RabGAP22 in ABA-mediated stomatal closure. The multiple effects of RabGAP22 found here in the Arabidopsis - *V. longisporum*
interaction are intriguing. At present we only have a fragmentary knowledge on the role of RabGAP22 in the response to V. longisporum, and further efforts remain to gain a full understanding of the multiple processes it is involved in.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material

Arabidopsis genotypes (Col-0) (N1092) and T-DNA insertion mutants rabgap11-1 (SALK_093855), rabgap17-1 (SALK_114911), rabgap20-1 (SALK_039920), rabgap22-1 (SALK_069362), rabgap22-2 (SALK_073221), agt1 (SALK_104969) and bak1-4 (SALK_116202; [42]) were used in the study together with Bak1pr:GUS [71] and Brassica oleracea cultivar group capitata, accession BRA723 [19]. Plants were grown on soil [72] or in vitro [73]. For transcription analysis on roots, plants were grown in liquid MS medium to facilitate extractions.

Pathogens, Plant Inoculation and Pathogen Quantification

The Verticillium longisporum isolate CBS110220 (here renamed VL1) [74,75] was used throughout the study, except for studies of root colonization, where GFP-tagged V. longisporum VL43 [15] was used. For soil infections, roots of two-week-old soil-grown Arabidopsis plants were dipped for 10 min in a 10^7 conidia ml^-1 suspension. Inoculated plants were re-potted in sterile soil, humidity raised to 100% for 2 days, and disease progress monitored for 3–4 weeks post inoculation. Plants were scored for disease susceptibility based on the appearance and severity of chlorosis, stunting and premature senescence. Typically, three separate stages of disease were distinguished between: 1 = discoloration of leaf vascular tissues; 2 = stunting and leaf chlorosis being to appear; 3 = severe stunting and chlorosis compared to control. For in vitro liquid cultures, two-week-old (Arabidopsis) or 6-days-old (B. oleracea) plants were washed with sterile H_2O and transferred to fresh MS medium without sucrose supplemented with 10^7 VL1 conidia ml^-1. Quantification of V. longisporum DNA in plants grown in hydroponic culture [55] was carried out 14 d post inoculation. Following a five-minute wash in 70% ethanol, biological replicates (roots from at least five plants) were collected. This method ensured the quantification of fungus inhabiting the vascular tissues. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) pathogen quantification was performed as described below with primers provided in Table S2. Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 was maintained at 28°C on King’s B medium supplemented with rifampicin (50 μg ml^-1). Four-week-old Arabidopsis plants were spray inoculated [76] with a 10^8 CFU ml^-1 bacterial suspension and kept under high humidity for disease development. At 3 dpi, biological replicates (at least three leaves) were collected and surface sterilized with ethanol (70% v/v). Samples were ground in sterile water in a TissueLyser (Qiagen, http://www.qiagen.com/), serially diluted, and grown on King’s B medium containing rifampicin (50 μg ml^-1). Colonies were counted after 40 h.

Brassinolide Treatment

Quantification of V. longisporum upon brassinolide treatment was carried out as described above for hydroponic culture, with the following modifications. Two-week old in vitro-grown plants were transferred to MS medium supplemented with 10 nM 24-epibrassinolide (Sigma-Aldrich, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/). Seven days later, plants roots were dipped in V. longisporum suspension for 30 min and thereafter grown in hydroponic culture supplemented with 10 nM 24-epibrassinolide for the rest of the experiment.

In Situ Detection of H_2O_2

H_2O_2 was detected in two-week-old in vitro grown plants at 2 dpi, by vacuum infiltration of DAB solution for 30 min, followed by a 2 h incubation and subsequent destaining in absolute ethanol [77]. Quantification of DAB was done by measuring the intensity of stained tissues in Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems Inc., http://www.adobe.com/). At least three images were taken at 20x magnification on a Zeiss Axioskop microscope, on each of at least five individual plants per genotype and treatment. After conversion of images to black and white, the average intensity of DAB (0–250) was measured on three separate squares (50×50 pixels) for each root image using the histogram function implemented in Photoshop.

RNA Isolation, cDNA-AFLP and Quantitative Real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from B. oleracea roots 2 days post VL1 inoculation using Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/). cDNA synthesis, restriction, adapters, primers, amplification steps, transcript profiling and sequencing of selected transcripts were performed as previously described [78]. qRT-PCR on Arabidopsis was performed on materials collected at 2 dpi. RNA isolations and cDNA synthesis were carried out on biological triplicates (roots from at least 25 plants). Each biological replicate was amplified in at least two separate reactions (two technical replicates) in qRT-PCR. Primers were designed using Primer3 [79] and are provided in Table S2. qRT-PCR data were analyzed by the comparative CT method [80] with qRT-PCR efficiency correction determined by the slope of standard curves. Fold-differences in transcript levels and mean standard error were calculated as outlined by Schmittgen and Livak [81].

Phylogenetic Analyses

Protein sequences from the coding region of all Arabidopsis RabGAP genes (http://www.arabidopsis.org) and B. oleracea RR86 were aligned using ClustalW (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/), and manually inspected. One hundred and sixteen conserved amino acids were used in the final analysis. The MEGA 5.1 software [82] suggested the JTT+4G model [83] for sequence evolution, and was used in Maximum likelihood analyses. Five hundred bootstrap replicates were performed.

Plasmid Construction and Plant Transformation

Promoter sequence for RabGAP22 was determined using ActisDB [84]. cDNA or genomic DNA target sequences were PCR amplified using Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific, http://www.thermoscientific.com/), cloned into suitable donor vectors (Table S3), and introduced to the Gateway system (Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com/) for sequencing. Final 35S_pr-RabGAP22-His, RabGAP22_pr:GUS, RabGAP22_pr:RabGAP22 and RabGAP22_pr:RabGAP22-GFP constructs were introduced to Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58, and Arabidopsis Col-0 or rabgap22-1 plants were transformed using floral-dip [85]. Confirmed T2 lines were used for GUS and GFP analyses and T3 homozygous complementation lines for inoculation assays. At least three independent transgenic lines per construct were used for all analyses.

GUS Expression, BiFC Analysis and Confocal Microscopy

Transgenic Col-0 plants harboring RabGAP22_pr:GUS and Bak1pr:GUS [71] were used for GUS staining [86] and images were taken using a Leica Z16 APO microscope. Transient GFP
expression of RabGAP22<sub>pr</sub>-RabGAP22-GFP was monitored in Agrobacterium-infiltrated <i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i> leaves. For BiFC analysis [67], AGT1 and RabGAP22 cDNAs were amplified by PCR using primers listed in Table S3. PCR products were ligated into the pCRTM8/GX/TOPO entry vector and sequenced, and then transferred into Gateway compatible BiFC vectors (pSITE-cEGFP-C1 and pSITE-eEGFP-C1) by LR reactions. The pSITE-eEGFP-AGT1 and pSITE-eEGFP-RabGAP22 plasmids were subsequently transformed into the <i>Agrrobacterium</i> strain GV3101. For determination of organelle localization, the nuclear marker ProProteoBlockTM protease inhibitor cocktail (Fermentas, http://www.thermoscientificbio.com/). For Western blot, 10 μg total protein per lane was separated in 12% SDS-PAGE gels and electro-transferred to a PVDF membrane. Primary anti-His antibody (Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com/) and peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (goat anti-rabbit IgG, rabbit anti-mouse IgG, Dako, http://www.dako.com/) were used and detected by chemiluminescence (Amersham, http://www.gelifesciences.com/). For immune-detection, crude extracts were immune-precipitated with anti-His antibody overnight at 4°C. Protein A Sepharose 4 Fast flow beads (GE Healthcare, http://www3.gehealthcare.com/) were added followed by 90 min incubation and rinses with IP washing buffer A [50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100] and IP washing buffer B [50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 0.1% Triton X-100]. Two time concentrated SDS sample buffer was added to the final bead-pellets and the precipitated proteins were separated in 12% SDS-PAGE gels followed by colloidal Coomassie staining. MALDI MS/MS analyses of candidate proteins were performed on an Ultraflex III TOF/TOF (Bruker Daltonics, http://www.bruker.com/). Amino acid sequences were identified by comparing their MS/MS spectra against an Arabidopsis subset of the NCBI database, using the Mascot program (http://www.matrixscience.com/).

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Arabidopsis <i>RabGAP22</i> is required for defense to <i>Verticillium longisporum</i>. (A) Figure showing the locations of T-DNA insertions in <i>rabgap</i> mutants. (B) Phenotypes of soil-inoculated Arabidopsis plants. <i>rabgap22-1</i> and <i>rabgap22-2</i> mutants showed increased susceptibility to the fungus, whereas <i>rabgap1-1</i>, <i>rabgap19-1</i> and <i>rabgap20-1</i> plants had a disease phenotype similar to Col-0. Images taken 28 days post inoculation. The experiment was repeated three times. (C) Relative transcript levels of <i>RabGAP11</i>, <i>RabGAP19</i>, <i>RabGAP20</i> and <i>RabGAP22</i> in their respective T-DNA insertion mutants. Values are means ± SE (n = 10 plants per genotype). Asterisks indicate significant difference to the respective transcript level in Col-0 (Student’s t-test; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001). (TIF)

**Figure S2** Histochemical localization of GUS activity in <i>in vitro-grown RabGAP22<sub>pr</sub>-GUS</i> transgenic plants at different development stages. (A) 2 days old seedling, with GUS staining throughout the plant, in particular in the root meristem (B) 3 days old seedling, with strong staining in the vascular tissues (C) 21 days old plant, with staining in the vascular tissues (D) stomata-localized staining in leaf of 7 days old seedling (E) flower, with GUS staining in style and receptacle (F) siliques (G) seed pod. (TIF)

**Figure S3** RabGAP22 interacts with SERINE GLYOXY-LATE AMINOTRANSFERASE 1 (AGT1) in <i>planta</i>. (A) Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel, with immunoprecipitated proteins from soil-grown 35S<sub>pr</sub>-RabGAP22-His plants. In mock plants, only a ~60 kHz band corresponding to RabGAP22 was detected, whereas in inoculated plants, a ~45 kHz band, corresponding to the size of AGT1, co-immunoprecipitated together with RabGAP22. (B) MALDI MS/MS analysis on the co-immunoprecipitated protein. The peptide fragments identify the protein as AGT1. Protein score is ~10^-Log(P), where P is the probability that the observed match is a random event. Protein scores greater than 86 are significant (p<0.05). (C) ChastaW alignment of AGT1 protein sequences from Arabidopsis (<i>V. longisporum</i>) and <i>M. oryzae</i> (<i>Mg</i> <i>oryzae</i> (MGG_02525.6) and <i>A. thaliana</i> (At2g13360.1). Peptide fragments identified in the MALDI-MS/MS are highlighted in grey, and are 100% identical to the Arabidopsis sequence. <i>VI</i> = <i>V. longisporum</i>, <i>Mo</i> = <i>M. oryzae</i>, <i>At</i> = Arabidopsis. (D) Relative transcript levels of AGT1 in roots of <i>in vitro-grown Arabidopsis</i> plants 2 d post inoculation with <i>V. longisporum</i>. Values are means ± SE (n = 3 pools of >20 plants, experiment repeated twice). (E) Relative fungal DNA content in roots of Arabidopsis plants grown in hydroponic culture,
quantified at 14 dpi using qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SE (n = 3 pools of 5 plants). (F, G) Detection of H$_2$O$_2$ by DAB staining of *V. longisporum* inoculated in *in vitro* grown Arabidopsis plants at 2 dpi. (F) Phenotypes at 2 dpi, showing reduced DAB staining intensity in inoculated *rabgap22-1* compared to Col-0. (G) Quantification of DAB staining intensity. (DAB = 3,3′-diaminobenzidine). Asterisks indicate significant difference to the respective Col-0 mock treated control (Student’s t-test; *p*<0.05; ***p*≤ 0.001; ****p* ≤ 0.0001). (TIF)

**Figure S4** *In silico* analysis on RabGAP22 using the co-expression analysis tool available at Genevestigator v3. (A) The 25 genes most correlated to RabGAP22 expression. (B) Genevestigator RabGAP22 expression data limited to response to hormone treatments. (TIF)

**Figure S5** BAK1 contributes to *Verticillium longisporum* resistance. Histochemical localization of GUS activity in *in vitro* grown transgenic Arabidopsis plants harboring a BAK1pro::GUS construct, 1 and 2 d post inoculation with *V. longisporum* or water. Experiment was repeated twice. (TIF)

**Figure S6** Hypothetical model for RabGAP22 in early defense to *Verticillium longisporum*. The RabGTPase activating genes are known to serve as molecular switches in a wide range of pathways. In congruency, we see multiple functions of RabGAP22 in the response to *V. longisporum*. In a pathogen recognition complex (dashed line), with a so far unidentified PAMP molecule and receptor, RabGAP22 could act together with BAK1, most likely interfering with the phosphorylation steps required for BAK1 activation. In response to *V. longisporum* RabGAP22 would then re-localize from the nucleus to the peroxisome, where it interacts with the photosynthetic protein AGT1. In peroxisomal JA biosynthesis, OPDA is stepwise converted to JA, which after release is subsequently converted to the bioactive JA-Ile in the cytosol. By a so far unidentified mechanism, RabGAP22/AGT may interfere with the multiple steps leading to formation of JA, thereby also indirectly impacts JA-Ile signaling. As extensive cross-talk takes place between the phytohormones JA and ABA, altered JA levels may also contribute to the impairment in stomatal closure responses seen in the *rabgap22-1* mutants. (AGT1 = SERINE GLYOXYLATE AMI-NOTRANSFERASE 1; BAK1 = BR1-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR KINASE 1; JA = jasmonic acid; JA-Ile = JA-Ile; OPDA = 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid; PAMP = Pathogen-Associated Molecular Pattern). (TIF)

**Table S1** Transcript derived fragments (TDF) up-regulated in *B. oleracea* cv. BRA723 at two days post inoculation with *V. longisporum*. Locus, function and E values represent the best Blast hit for each of the TDFs. Loci have been divided into functional categories as determined by GO Molecular Function at TAIR (http://www.arabidopsis.org). (DOCX)

**Table S2** Primers used for quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). (DOCX)

**Table S3** Primers, vectors, templates and plant genotypes used for transgenic constructs. (DOCX)
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