How osteoblast cells are induced is a central question for understanding skeletal formation. Abnormal osteoblast differentiation leads to a broad range of devastating craniofacial diseases. Here we have investigated intramembranous ossification during cranial bone development in mouse models of skeletal genetic diseases that exhibit craniofacial bone defects. The GNAS gene encodes Gαs, that transduces GPCR signaling. GNAS activation or loss-of-function mutations in humans cause fibrous dysplasia (FD) or progressive osseous heteroplasia (POH) that shows craniofacial hyperostosis or craniosynostosis, respectively. We find here that, while Hh ligand-dependent Hh signaling is essential for endochondral ossification, it is dispensable for intramembranous ossification, where Gαs regulates Hh signaling in a ligand-independent manner. We further show that Gαs controls intramembranous ossification by regulating both Hh and Wnt/β-catenin signaling. In addition, Gαs activation in the developing cranial bone leads to reduced ossification but increased cartilage presence due to reduced cartilage dissolution, not cell fate switch. Small molecule inhibitors of Hh and Wnt signaling can effectively ameliorate cranial bone phenotypes in mice caused by loss or gain of Gnas function mutations, respectively. Our work shows that studies of genetic diseases provide invaluable insights in both pathological bone defects and normal bone development, understanding both leads to better diagnosis and therapeutic treatment of bone diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

Identifying the cellular and molecular mechanisms whereby osteoblast cells are induced is centrally important in understanding the organizational principles underpinning a functional skeletal system. Deviation from the tight temporal and spatial regulation of osteoblast differentiation leads to a broad range of devastating diseases, such as craniosynostosis (premature suture fusion), heterotopic ossification (HO), and osteoporosis. In development, osteoblast differentiation is controlled by one of the two essential bone formation processes: intramembranous and endochondral ossification. During intramembranous ossification, mesenchymal progenitor cells differentiate directly into osteoblast cells, while during endochondral ossification, osteoblast differentiation is preceded by cartilage formation. The mechanisms underlying differential regulation of osteoblast differentiation in these two distinct ossification processes, although important, remain largely unknown. Molecular and cellular analyses of skeletal genetic diseases with abnormal osteoblast differentiation have provided important insights in the regulation of osteoblast induction, and in this study, we have focused on intramembranous ossification during craniofacial development. Progressive osseous heteroplasia (POH) (OMIM#166350) and Albright’s hereditary osteodystrophy (AHO, OMIM 103580) are caused by loss-of-function mutations in the GNAS gene, which encodes the stimulatory alpha subunit, Gαs, heterotrimeric G protein that transduces signals from G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). POH and AHO are characterized by progressive extra-skeletal bone formation through an intramembranous process. In contrast, activating mutations of GNAS in McCune-Albright Syndrome (MAS) causes fibrous dysplasia (FD) (OMIM# 174800) characterized by reduced ossification and bone marrow fibrosis. Studies of both POH and FD have identified the novel roles of GPCR/Gαs signaling in inhibiting Hedgehog (Hh) signaling while enhancing Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the regulation of osteoblast differentiation from mesenchymal progenitors. Activated Gαs signaling has been found to reduce osteoblast maturation during endochondral bone formation, while loss of Gαs signaling in committed osteoblasts resulted in severe osteoporosis characterized by impaired endochondral and intramembranous ossification due to accelerated differentiation of osteoblasts into osteocytes and decreased commitment of mesenchymal progenitors to the osteoblast lineage in association with attenuated Wnt signaling.

In humans, Gαs signaling likely plays important roles in normal craniofacial development as both AHO and FD patients exhibit severe cranial bone defects. In AHO patients, craniofacial malformation such as craniosynostosis has been observed and FD patients show craniofacial hyperostosis, which is characterized by polyostotic sclerosis and cystic changes in craniofacial bone.
However, the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying craniofacial bone defects in AHO or FD remained unknown. This is largely due to poor understanding of intramembranous ossification, which has hampered therapeutic development.

Calvarium development is tightly regulated at both molecular and cellular levels. Mammalian cranium, or neurocranium, is the upper and back part of the skull. It protects the brain and supports sensory organs such as the ear and viscerocranium that support the face. The neurocranium can be divided into calvarium and chondrocranium, which grows to be the cranial vault that surrounds the brain and the skull base, respectively. Calvarium is composed of flat bones: frontal bones, parietal bones, the interparietal, part of occipital bone, and squamous parts of temporal bone. All undergo intramembranous ossification. By cell lineage analysis in mice, frontal bones show major contribution from the neural crest (NC) cells, while parietal and inter-parietal bones originate from head mesoderm. Osteoblasts within calvarial bone primordia differentiate and secrete matrix, so that the calvarial bones grow and become mineralized and finally meet at suture lines or fontanelles. Cranial malformations are often progressive and irreversible, many of which need aggressive surgical management to prevent or mitigate severe impairment such as misshapened head or abnormal brain growth. For instance, craniosynostosis (premature suture closure) is a significant medical problem and one of the most common cranial defects that affects 1 in 2,500 individuals and requires surgical correction. Identifying molecular pathways that control cranial bone formation and growth is critically important in targeted therapeutic development.

As the ectopic bone in POH and AHO patients forms through intramembranous ossification, we hypothesized that the Gαs-Hh signaling axis identified in POH and AHO is a common pathway that critically regulates intramembranous ossification both in normal skull development and in HO patients. In addition, as Gαs signaling also regulates Wnt/β-catenin signaling during osteoblast differentiation and maturation, we hypothesized that Gαs signaling regulates intramembranous ossification by regulating both Hh and Wnt/β-catenin signaling. When Hh and Wnt/β-catenin signaling were manipulated in the mesenchymal progenitor cells or committed osteoblast cells, it has been found that while Hh signaling is required to induce osteoblast differentiation from osteoblast progenitor cells, Wnt/β-catenin signaling acts in the following steps to induce osteoblast commitment, but inhibits further osteoblast maturation and ossification. Hh signaling is required for osteoblast differentiation but not intramembranous ossification in craniofacial bone formation. Furthermore, blocking ligand-dependent Hh signaling activity by removing a Hh receptor, Smoothed (Smo), using the Wnt1-Cre mouse line resulted in severely reduced but not completely abolished, formation of NC-derived craniofacial bone formation. Smo is required to transduce the signaling from all Hh ligands: Ihh, Sonic Hedgehog (Shh), and Desert Hedgehog (Dhh). Therefore, it appears to be differential regulation and/or requirement of Hh signaling during intramembranous ossification compared to endochondral ossification. We hypothesized that additional Hh-ligand-independent mechanisms may regulate craniofacial bone formation and Gαs signaling is a strong candidate given the craniofacial malformation found in AHO and FD patients.

Here we have tested whether the mechanisms underlying pathological osteoblast induction by Gαs signaling loss in genetic diseases may also be applied to normal intramembranous bone development. We found that Hh signaling was differentially regulated during cranial and long bone formation by Gαs and Hh ligands. Both Hh and Wnt/β-catenin signaling mediated Gαs signaling in cranial bone development and small molecule inhibitors of Hh and Wnt/β-catenin signaling rescued craniofacial phenotypes in POH and FD mouse models, respectively. Therefore, insights gained by studying abnormal bone formation in genetic diseases allowed us to identify novel cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying normal craniofacial bone formation and therapeutic targets to treat craniofacial birth defects.

RESULTS

Reduced Gαs signaling correlates with increased Hh signaling in the developing cranial bone.

To test the possibility that Hh signaling differentially regulates intramembranous and endochondral bone formation, we completely blocked ligand-dependent Hh signaling activity in both intramembranous and endochondral bone formation by removing Smo using the Prrx1-Cre mouse line. Prrx1-Cre targets the future posterior frontal bone, parietal, and inter-parietal bones that originate from the head mesoderm (Fig. S1a, b). In the Prrx1Cre; Rosa 26tdTomato embryo at embryonic day 18.5 (E18.5; Fig. S1a), Prrx1-Cre-targeted cells shown by tdTomato expression contributed to posterior frontal bones, parietal bones, inter-parietal bone, coronal suture, lambdoid suture, sagittal suture, anterior fontanel, and posterior fontanel at E18.5 (Fig S1a). Craniofacial elements derived from NC are shown in green, whereas the ones from mesodermal origin are shown in blue in a modified schematics according to a previous study (Fig S1b). On this schematics, the Prrx1 lineage was indicated in red. These data indicate that the Prrx1 lineage are not only largely derived from mesodermal origin but also overlap with NC origin in the posterior frontal bone. In addition, the Prrx1 lineage cells include all Osx+ cells and other surrounding progenitor cells in the targeted region (Fig. S1c). We found that, in the Prrx1-Cre; Smofl/fl embryos, while endochondral bone formation in the limb was similarly blocked as in the Ihh−/− embryos, cranial bone formation controlled by intramembranous ossification was mildly affected, with good morphology and mildly reduced sizes (Fig. 1a). These results indicate that ligand-dependent and ligand-independent Hh signaling pathways both regulate skull bone development and their relative contributions to the formation of skull bones from different origins may vary. We therefore examined Hh signaling activity in the developing cranial bone using a LacZ “knock in” allele of Ptc1. As Ptc1 is a transcriptional target of Hh signaling, LacZ expression in the Ptc1LacZ/−/− mice, which can be visualized by X-gal staining, serves as an in vivo readout of Hh signaling activity. X-gal staining of Ptc1LacZ/−/− embryos at E15.5 and E18.5 was performed. Analyses were focused on the frontal and parietal bones, in which ossification extended gradually from the basolateral region to the apex of the skull during development (Fig. 1b). Strong X-gal staining was first found in the basolateral region at E15.5, extended to the apex of the skull at E18.5 in the Ptc1LacZ/−/− embryo (Fig. 1c). Interestingly, when Smo was removed by Prrx1-Cre, Hh signaling activities were still present, albeit weakly reduced when X-gal staining was performed in the E18.5 Prrx1-Cre; Smo−/−, Ptc1LacZ/−/−, and the control Ptc1LacZ/−/− developing skulls (Fig. 1d). These results indicate that Hh signaling is strongly activated during intramembranous bone formation and suggest that Ihh- and Smo-independent activation of Hh signaling may control osteoblast differentiation during normal craniofacial bone development and growth.

Gαs signaling has been shown to inhibit Hh signaling downstream of Smo by inhibiting Gli activities during ectopic bone formation in POH patients and in brain tumors. It is likely that the role of Gαs signaling in ectopic bone formation reflects its underappreciated function in regulating normal intramembranous ossification through inhibiting Hh signaling, as both ectopic bone
in POH and normal cranial bones undergo intramembranous ossification. To test this hypothesis, we examined Gαs and Hh signaling at the osteogenic front of the developing parietal bone at E15.5 by immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 1e). Osteoblast differentiation was marked by an early osteogenic differentiation marker Osterix (Osx) expression, which was gradually increased from the osteogenic front to the mature bone, indicating a gradient of osteogenic differentiation. Gαs signaling was shown by detecting CREB phosphorylation (pCREB), which is a readout of protein kinase A (PKA) activation by Gαs signaling. Phosphorylated CREB (pCREB) was gradually reduced while the total CREB levels did not change, suggesting that Gαs signaling was gradually...
decreased from the osteogenic front as the bone matured. Hh signaling was examined by the expression of Gli1, a readout of Hh signaling. Importantly, Gli1 expression was increased while pCREB levels were reduced from the osteogenic front to the mature bone. These results show that, while osteoblast induction is associated with Hh signaling activation, there is an inverse correlation between Ga, and Hh signaling during cranial bone formation.

Activation of Ga, signaling inhibits cranial bone formation

We next asked whether Ga, signaling indeed plays an important role in cranial bone formation. We hypothesized that, Ga, signaling activation by Gnas expression in early osteochondral progenitor cells in mice should delay bone formation, while deletion of Gnas using a floxed loss-of-function Gnas allele (Gnas) should accelerate bone formation during embryonic development of the cranial vault. To test our hypothesis, the Gnas mice were crossed with the Prrx1-Cre mouse line.

Cranial bone formation was examined at E18.5 by skeletal preparation and von Kossa staining of the cranial bone section (Fig. 2a, b). Compared to the littermate control of Prrx1-Cre or Gnas embryos or mice, which are phenotypically indistinguishable from the wild-type ones in development, the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutant embryos showed delayed ossification expansion from the base to apex of the skull, therefore parietal or inter-parietal bone formation was reduced. Mineralization, assessed by von Kossa staining, was also reduced as indicated by the "sand patch" pattern in the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutants (Fig. 2b). Reduced bone formation was also observed in the postnatal cranial bone at 2 months of age by micro-computed tomographic (μCT) scanning (Fig. 2c). Similar to what has been reported in CT scans of human polyostotic fibrous dysplasia, the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutant skull showed mixed-density fibrous dysplasia lesions with mixed lucencies and sclerosis (arrows in Fig. 2c). Reduced bone formation was also manifested by reduced head length and width (Fig. 2a, c). The mutant phenotype was further quantified by the cephalic index (CI) or cranial index, which is the ratio of the maximum width (biparietal diameter, side to side) of the head multiplied by 100 divided by its maximum length (occipitofrontal diameter, front to back). The CI of the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutant heads was increased comparing to the littermate control, suggesting that activated Ga, signaling may have caused brachycephaly.

To determine Ga, signaling activation in the osteogenic front in the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutant embryos, we examined the levels of pCREB and total CREB at E15.5 (Fig. 2d). Indeed, in a location similar to the osteogenic front in the wild-type control, we found that, in mutants, while there was no change of the total CREB levels, pCREB levels were increased. In addition, Osx expression was not detected, while Gli1 expression was reduced in the mutant (Fig. 2d, S6b). These results indicate that Gnas expression resulted in increased PKA activity, reduced Hh signaling activation caused by a Ptch1 hypomorphic mutation led to craniofacial bone fusion, while reduced Hh signaling due to loss of Gli2 function resulted in reduced intramembranous cranial bone formation. We next asked whether Ga, critically regulates intramembranous ossification during cranial vault bone formation by inhibiting Hh signaling. Unregulated Gli1 expression at the ossification front (Fig. 1e) and its alteration by Ga, signaling (Figs 2d and 3d) indicated that Hh signaling mediated Ga, signaling activities in controlling intramembranous bone development. To further confirm this, we crossed the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutant with the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas or Prrx1-Cre; Gnas embryos and visualized Hh signaling in Ga, gain- or loss-of-function mutant embryos, respectively, by X-Gal staining. In the nasal and anterior parts of frontal bones, where Prrx1Cre is not expressed, similar X-Gal staining pattern and intensities were found in the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas or Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutants at E16.5 and E18.5 compared to the controls (Fig. 4a, S4a, c, e).

Ga, inhibits intramembranous ossification by inhibiting Hh signaling activity during cranial bone development

Hh signaling is not only activated during cranial bone formation but is also functionally important for cranial bone development. Hh signaling activation caused by a Ptc1 hypomorphic mutation led to craniofacial bone fusion, while reduced Hh signaling due to loss of Gli2 function resulted in reduced intramembranous cranial bone formation. Ga, critically regulates intramembranous ossification during cranial vault bone formation by inhibiting Hh signaling. Unregulated Gli1 expression at the ossification front (Fig. 1e) and its alteration by Ga, signaling (Figs 2d and 3d) indicated that Hh signaling mediated Ga, signaling activities in controlling intramembranous bone development. To further confirm this, we crossed the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutant with the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas or Prrx1-Cre; Gnas embryos and visualized Hh signaling in Ga, gain- or loss-of-function mutant embryos, respectively, by X-Gal staining. In the nasal and anterior parts of frontal bones, where Prrx1Cre is not expressed, similar X-Gal staining pattern and intensities were found in the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas or Prrx1-Cre; Gnas mutants at E16.5 and E18.5 compared to the controls (Fig. 4a, S4a, c, e). However, in the posterior frontal bones, parietal, and inter-parietal bone areas where Prrx1Cre is expressed (Fig. S1a, b) as indicated by brackets in Fig. 4a, b, Gnas expression led to larger space devoid of X-Gal staining in the apex of the skull. In the forming parietal bone, X-Gal staining was also reduced in the mutant compared to the control though islands of strong X-Gal staining were found
in the mutant at later stages (Fig. 4a, c). These island of cells might result from expansion of residual wild-type cells and/or wild-type reversal of mutant cells by epigenetic inactivation of the mutant GnasR201H allele, which can happen in the imprinted Gnas locus.37,38 These results indicate that Hh signaling is reduced by activated Gαs signaling (Fig. 4a, c, Fig. S4a, c).

Conversely, in the Prrx1-Cre;Gnasf/f; Ptch1LacZ/+ mutant embryos at E16.5 and E18.5, X-gal staining of the parietal and inter-parietal bones was enhanced and ectopically detected in the sagittal suture and posterior fontanel (Fig. 4b, S4b). In addition, the forming parietal bone that was stained positive by X-Gal staining was expanded in size at E16.5 and E18.5 in the Prrx1-Cre; Gnasf(R201H)/+ embryo compared to the Ptch1LacZ/+ control (Fig. 4d and S4d). These results indicate that Hh signaling is upregulated by loss of Gαs signaling. Regulation of Hh signaling by Gαs signaling in the cranial bone was further confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis of the parietal bone tissue from the P0 mouse pups as shown in Fig. S2a-c. While GnasR201H expression decreased the expression of Hh signaling target genes Ptc1, Gli1, and Hip1, loss of Gnas upregulated the expression of these Hh target genes.
Fig. 3 Loss of Gαs accelerates intramembranous ossification. a Alizarin red and alcian blue staining of the mouse heads from E18.5 embryos. Accelerated ossification in the parietal bone (black dotted area), inter-parietal bone, and ectopic bone in between (white arrow) in the posterior fontanel are indicated. Upper: dorsal view. Lower: lateral view, position of coronal sections is indicated by a white dotted line. Maximum width and length of the skull (double arrows) are indicated. Scale bar: 0.5 mm. a apex, b base. b von Kossa and Safranin O staining of the parietal bone section (coronal section) at E18.5. M midline (arrow). Mineralized parietal region is indicated by a dotted line. Ectopic ossification in the suture is indicated (red arrows). Scale bar: 500 μm. c μCT image of the mouse heads from mice at P6. Upper panel: 3D reconstruction, dorsal view. Ectopic ossification (red arrow) and porous bone (blue arrow) are indicated. Lower panel: Coronal 2D view at the position indicated by the dotted line in 3D. Thickened bone (red arrow) and porous bone (blue arrow) are indicated. Scale bar: 1 mm. Quantitative analysis of cephalic index of the skull from P6 mice. Cephalic index = 100 × width/length. Results are shown as average measurements of three different mice±SD. *P < 0.05. d von Kossa and Safranin O staining of parietal bone from E15.5 embryos. The boxed osteogenic front regions were processed for immunofluorescent staining with the indicated antibodies. Images are shown in the lower panel. Osteogenic front is indicated (dotted line). Scale bar: 100 μm. e qRT-PCR analysis of osteoblast differentiation genes in the parietal bone tissues from P0 mice. Results are shown as average of three independent experiments±SD. *P < 0.05. **P < 0.01. The two-tailed Student’s t test was used in the statistic analysis.
Gnas\(^{R201H/-}\) embryos was reduced compared to the Prx1-Cre and Ptc\(^{t\text{lacZ}+/+}\) controls, parietal bone formation was significantly rescued in the Prx1-Cre; Gnas\(^{R201H/-}\); Ptc\(^{t\text{lacZ}+/+}\) embryos (Fig. 5a). Conversely, we genetically reduced Hh signaling in the Prx1-Cre; Gnas\(^{-/-}\) and Gli\(^{2/-}\) mouse P0 pups (Fig. 5b). Gli2 is the major Gli transcription factor that activates downstream target gene expression in the Hh signaling pathway.\(^{28}\) Reduction of Gli2 led to mild reduction of cranial bone formation in the Prx1-Cre; Gli\(^{2/-}\) and Prx1-Cre; Gli\(^{2/-}\) mouse pups compared to the wild-type controls at P0 (Fig. 5b). Consistently, we found that increased ossification in the parietal and inter-parietal bones of the Prx1-Cre; Gnas\(^{-/-}\) and the Prx1-Cre; Gnas\(^{-/-}\); Gli\(^{2/-}\) pups at P0 (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, administration of a small molecule Gli inhibitor, arsenic trioxide
(ATO), to pregnant female mice inhibited ectopic bone formation in the posterior fontanel region in the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas⁰⁄₀ mouse pups at P0 (Fig. 5c). The rescuing effects are significant but not complete, which could be explained by at least two mechanisms. First, other Gli factors such as Gli3 also mediate transcription activities downstream of Hh signaling. Second, more than one signaling pathway is involved in Gnas-regulated cranial bone formation, for example, we also found that Gnas regulates Wnt/β-catenin in this manuscript and other studies.²⁵ Taken together, our data indicate that Gαs signaling inhibits cranial bone formation by inhibiting Hh signaling (Fig. 8d).

**Fig. 5** Increasing Hh signaling partially rescues the phenotypes caused by Gαs signaling activation. a Alizarin red and alcian blue staining of the mouse heads from E16.5 embryos. Upper: lateral view. Lower: higher magnification view of the boxed area, parietal bone is circled. Right: The dissected parietal bone was flattened and shown in higher magnification. Orientation of skull (apex, base, anterior, posterior) is indicated in the first set of images. Scale bar; 0.5 mm. a apex, b base, pt posterior, at anterior. b Alizarin red and alcian blue staining of the mouse heads from P0 mice. Images were captured after removing mandibles and partial skull base. Upper: dorsal view. Lower: higher magnification view of the boxed region in the upper panel. Progressive rescue of accelerated ossification in the sagittal suture (yellow arrow) and posterior fontanel (black arrow) are shown. Scale bar; 0.5 mm. c The i.p. injection scheme of DMSO or ATO to pregnant mice is shown. P0 pups were harvested and analyzed by alizarin red and alcian blue staining. Black boxed regions are shown in higher magnification on the right. At posterior fontanels, ectopic ossification is shown by black arrows. Porous bone is shown by blue arrows. Scale bar: 0.5 mm

Gαs enhances Wnt/β-catenin signaling during intramembranous ossification of cranial bone development

Gαs signaling has been found to enhance Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the bone marrow stromal cells and during long bone development.²⁵,²⁷ We therefore tested whether such regulation also occurs during cranial bone formation. We examined Wnt/β-catenin signaling by X-gal staining using tissues from the Axin²lac²/⁺ mice as Axin² is a direct transcription target of Wnt/β-catenin signaling. In the Axin²lac²/⁺ control mouse at P0, X-gal staining was detected in the parietal and inter-parietal bones and further upregulated in the forming sagittal suture (yellow arrow) and posterior fontanel (black arrow) are shown. Scale bar; 0.5 mm. c The i.p. injection scheme of DMSO or ATO to pregnant mice is shown. P0 pups were harvested and analyzed by alizarin red and alcian blue staining. Black boxed regions are shown in higher magnification on the right. At posterior fontanels, ectopic ossification is shown by black arrows. Porous bone is shown by blue arrows. Scale bar: 0.5 mm
indicate that Gna controls intramembranous ossification during cranial bone formation.

Given the critical role of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in osteoblast differentiation, we then tested whether Wnt/β-catenin signaling also mediates the effects of Gna signaling in cranial bone formation. We hypothesized that reduction of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup>/+ mice should ameliorate the defects of cranial bone formation. We therefore reduced Wnt/β-catenin signaling activity in mesenchymal progenitor cells by generating the Prrx1-Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup> mice (Fig. 7a). Lrp6 is a Wnt co-receptor dedicated to the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway.46,47 Interestingly, although Gna activation and reduction of Lrp6 in Prrx1-Cre-expressing cells both lead to reduced cranial bone formation compared to the control (Fig. 7a), cranial bone formation was increased in the Prrx1Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup> mice at P0. These results are consistent with our observation in the long bones.5 Furthermore, we found that administering LGK974, an inhibitor of Wnt secretion,48 delayed ossification during cranial bone formation in the wild-type controls (Fig. 7a, b). However, although cranial bone formation was delayed in both Prrx1-Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup> and Osx1-GFP::Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup> mutants (Fig. 7b, c), LGK974 administration to pregnant females rescued cranial bone formation in both Prrx1-Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup> and Osx1-GFP::Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup> mutants (Fig. 7b, c). Taken together, we found that Gna signaling activation in the mesenchymal progenitor cells or early osteoblast cells both led to activated Wnt/β-catenin signaling, which delayed ossification (Fig. 8d).

Gna<sup>R201H</sup> mutants (Fig. 7b, c), LGK974 administration to pregnant females rescued cranial bone formation in both Prrx1-Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup> and Osx1-GFP::Cre; Gnas<sup>R201H</sup> mutants (Fig. 7b, c). Taken together, we found that Gna signaling activation in the mesenchymal progenitor cells or early osteoblast cells both led to activated Wnt/β-catenin signaling, which delayed ossification (Fig. 8d).
The Osx+ cells and their descendants were traced by TdTomato expression in the Osx1-GFP::Cre; Rosa 26tdTomato mice. As the Osx1-GFP::Cre line expresses a Cre::GFP fusion protein, osteoblasts are marked by green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression. Chondrocytes were detected by immunofluorescence staining with Collagen type II (ColII) antibodies. We reasoned that, if there is a cell fate switch from osteoblasts to chondrocytes, some of the tdTomato+ cells should also express ColII, otherwise there should be no overlap between tdTomato+ and ColII+ cells (Fig. 8b). In the Osx1-GFP::Cre; Gnas(R201H)+/+; Rosa 26tdTomato mice, while there was large overlap between tdTomato and GFP expression, a few tdTomato+ cells lost GFP expression. However, none of these cells were ColII+, indicating that the expansion of the ColII+ cell population is not a result of transdifferentiation of Osx+ cells (Fig. 8c, 8d). Interestingly, just as loss of Osx led to osteoblast conversion to chondrocytes, loss of Smo or β-catenin in early osteochondral progenitors during endochondral bone formation also led to similar osteoblasts to chondrocytes' cell fate changes. However, although Gαs signaling activation in Osx+ cells during intramembranous ossification resulted in expanded cartilage formation and reduced Hh signaling (Fig. 4a, c, e), we found that expanded cartilage is not derived from Osx+ cells in the Osx1-GFP::Cre; Gnasf(R201H)/+ mice at P0 (Fig. 8c). The cartilage found in the Osx1-GFP::Cre; Gnasf(R201H)/+ mutant skull looked similar to the one reported in the Membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (Mmp14) mutant mice, suggesting that the defect is in the cartilage remodeling rather than cell fate determination. Consistent with this notion, we found that, associated with altered bone formation in the Prx1Cre; Gnasf(R201H)+ and Prx1Cre; Gnasf(R201H)+/− mutant parietal bone but increased in the Prx1Cre; Gnasf(R201H)+/− mutant parietal bone mice compared to the control (Fig. 5S, c, d).
Taken together, our data show that proper control of Gαs signaling is required to ensure normal cranial bone formation by regulating both Hh and Wnt signaling and reduction of Gαs signaling contributes to Hh signaling activation during normal intramembranous ossification, but not endochondral ossification.

These results provide further insights in strategic development to treat FD, POH, and other related craniofacial bone malformation such as craniosynostosis.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have identified critical roles of Gαs signaling in regulating normal intramembranous ossification during cranial bone development. Both gain- or loss-of-function mutations in GNAS have been found to cause severe cranial bone defects in MAS or POH human patients, respectively. Our findings in this study support a model in which normal intramembranous bone development shares common underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms with ectopic intramembranous bone formation such as the one in POH. This model provides a new conceptual framework to further identify basic regulatory mechanisms of normal bone formation in the cranium and test the contribution of bone development factors in ectopic bone formation and expansion under pathological conditions. The knowledge gained in these studies will facilitate development of therapeutic approaches for craniosynostosis, cleidocranial dysplasia, POH, and acquired HO.

There are major gaps in understanding the molecular mechanisms that distinguish intramembranous ossification versus endochondral ossification. We found here that regulation of Hh signaling exhibits major difference between long bone development in the limb and flat bone formation in the skull. We have shown previously that Hh signaling is required before Wnt/β-catenin signaling during osteoblast differentiation. It has been well established that ligand-dependent Hh signaling is absolutely required for endochondral bone formation. In the absence of Ihh or Hh receptor Smo, osteoblast cells cannot form during endochondral ossification. Therefore, one critical function of preformed cartilage during endochondral bone formation is to provide Ihh required for osteoblast differentiation that first occurs.
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in perichondrium adjacent to the pre-hypertrophic chondrocyte region. While Hh signaling is also activated during intramembranous ossification during cranial bone formation, surprisingly, Ihh and even Smo that mediates all ligand-dependent Hh signaling are not required for osteoblast differentiation. Consistent with previous findings in ectopic bone formation under pathological conditions that Gaα signaling inhibits Ihh signaling downstream of Smo, we found in this study that, during normal cranial bone formation, Gaα signaling plays a prominent role contributing to Ihh signaling regulation in a ligand-independent manner during normal intramembranous bone formation. The parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP)/PTH receptor PTH1R is predominantly coupled to Gaα, and plays important roles regulating osteoblast differentiation. It has been shown that, at P0, the Prrx1Cre PTH1Rfl/+ mice exhibited greatly enhanced mineralization in limbs and calvaria; thus PTH1R may be one of the GPCRs that regulate Gaα signaling during cranial bone formation. PTH and locally produced PTHrP have pro-survival effects during bone formation. However, as continuous exposure to PTH reduces osteogenic differentiation, sustained PTH1R/Gaα signaling activation inhibits osteoblast maturation in the long bone. Importantly, the function of PTH1R/Gaα signaling in endochondral bone formation is also mediated by its role in inhibiting chondrocyte hypertrophy of the growth plates, where Ihh is expressed in pre-hypertrophic chondrocytes. Therefore, an important difference between endochondral versus intramembranous bone formation is that PTH1R/Gaα signaling also indirectly inhibits Ihh signaling by delaying Ihh expression in chondrocytes during endochondral bone formation. The dual roles of Ihh in controlling chondrocyte hypertrophy and osteoblast differentiation indicate that Ihh expression couples chondrocyte proliferation and hypertrophy with osteoblast differentiation from mesenchymal progenitors in the perichondrium during endochondral ossification. During cranial bone formation, however, the role of Ihh expression at the osteogenic front in osteoblastic induction is limited, and other signaling pathways such as Gaα signaling also control intramembranous ossification by regulating Ihh signaling activities downstream of Smo. Therefore, the findings in this study provide a new conceptual framework to further understand the regulatory circuitry of craniofacial bone formation.

Hh signaling can be regulated by Hh ligand and other signaling component like Gaα. Bone can normally form when Hh and Wnt/β-catenin signaling levels are maintained in a certain range. Loss of Smo in the Prrx1+ mesenchymal cells blocked ligand-dependent Hh signaling, while the Gaα-regulated Hh or Wnt/β-catenin signaling is still intact. The mild bone phenotypes in the Prrx1Cre; Smofl/+ embryo allowed us to conclude that ligand-independent Hh signaling such as the one regulated by Gaα played significant roles in bone formation in the Prrx1-targeted skull tissues. Activated Gaα signaling in the Prrx1CreGnasfl/+ mice not only reduced Hh signaling but also activated Wnt/β-catenin signaling in progenitor cells, and both led to reduced bone formation. It is foreseeable that abnormally activated Wnt/β-catenin signaling sensitized defects caused by Hh signaling reduction. Because of this, increasing Hh signaling by reducing Ptc1 will bring Hh signaling to a level closer to the normal one, thus reducing the phenotypic severity. Furthermore, Wnt/β-catenin signaling levels higher or lower than the normal range reduce bone formation. Gnas gain-of-function mutation causes reduced bone formation partially by enhancing Wnt/β-catenin signaling to levels above the normal range in the wild-type embryo. Therefore, reduction of Wnt/β-catenin signaling by deleting Lrp6 or administrating LGK974, which reduce bone formation in a wild-type background, brought down the Wnt/β-catenin signaling levels in the Prrx1CreGnasfl/+ mutant closer to the normal range and thus the mutant phenotypes were rescued.

Gaα signaling regulates osteoblast differentiation by regulating both Wnt/β-catenin and Ihh signaling. As we have shown in our previous studies, the effect of gain or loss of Gaα signaling in osteoblast differentiation was mediated predominantly by Wnt/β-catenin or Ihh signaling, respectively. While Wnt/β-catenin signaling shown by the Axin2-LacZ expression was reduced in the loss of Gaα signaling mutant (Fig. 6b), the bone phenotypes were mainly driven by elevated Ihh signaling caused by Gaα loss (Fig. 4b) even if Wnt/β-catenin signaling is reduced at the same time. However, the functional impacts of Gaα, regulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling is also different in intramembranous versus endochondral ossification. It appears that Gaα regulates endochondral ossification mainly through Wnt/β-catenin signaling while its role in osteoblast induction during intramembranous ossification is mainly determined by its regulation of Hh signaling. This explains the phenotypic discrepancy of bone formation caused by Gaα signaling activation in OsxCre cells, which showed increased endochondral bone formation while intramembranous ossification is severely inhibited with reduced cartilage dissolution (Fig. 8a).

The less severe phenotypes of Prrx1Cre mutant than the OsxCre-mediated Gnas mutants (Fig. 7b, c) are likely due to the different spatial and temporal onset of the Cre expression. Prrx1Cre targets earlier mesenchymal progenitor cells before Osx expression but mostly from the mesodermal origin, while OsxCre targets all osteoblast lineage cells regardless of their mesodermal or NC origins. As the gain-of-function Gnas promotes Wnt/β-catenin signaling, which is known to stimulate progenitor cell proliferation and reduce osteoblast maturation, Prrx1Cre-targeted mutation may lead to expansion of progenitor cells but reduced osteoblast maturation as has been shown in our studies of the Sox9CreER-mediated Gnas mutant. In the OsxCre; Gnasfl/+ mutant, there should be less progenitor cell proliferation due to the later onset of the Cre but more broadly affected skull bone tissues, leading to more severe phenotypes of reduced bone ossification.

The mouse models we have established allowed us to test small molecules that target Hh or Wnt signaling to ameliorate bone phenotypes caused by disrupted Gaα signaling. Craniofacial hyperostosis/FD in MAS or craniosynostosis/POH are still unmet medical challenges. The Hh and Wnt signaling pathways act downstream of Gaα signaling and both are important drug targets in many other diseases, including cancer. Therefore, some of the drugs already developed targeting Hh or Wnt signaling can be repurposed to treat bone defects including those in the craniofacial regions of FD or POH patients. Furthermore, our studies highlight the unparalleled opportunities offered by human genetic diseases in revealing fundamentally important genes and pathways in both human biology and pathology. Therefore, not only understanding the pathological mechanisms of genetic disease like POH and FD have allowed us to uncover a fundamental mechanism underlying a physiological bone formation process, the precise connection of pathological bone formation with normal bone development will provide invaluable insights in refining disease diagnosis and development of treatment strategies. The mechanistic investigation of cranial bone development in FD and POH models has significantly expanded previous knowledge of Wnt and Hh signal transduction in osteoblast differentiation and may provide new insights in other craniofacial bone diseases with similar defects, such as craniometaphyseal dysplasia and craniodiaphyseal dysplasia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mouse lines
All animal experiments were carried out according to protocols approved by the Harvard Medical School Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All mice have been described in the
labeled anti-sense RNA probes as described before. 68 RNA in situ hybridization was performed using digoxygenin-
sections were mounted in mounting medium with 4,6-diamidino-
Histological and immunohistochemistry staining
were rinsed three times in 1% acetic acid or distilled water before
counterstained with 1% Safranin O or fast red for 5 min. Slides
under a 60 W lamp for 1 h. Slides were rinsed three times in
Cryostat sections were stained with 1% silver nitrate solution
and partial skull base (Fig S2d-e).

dorsal views of the skull were captured after removing mandibles
and goat anti-ColII (#sc-7764, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) 1:100.
1:100, goat anti-Gli1 (#sc-6153, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) 1:50,
serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS and performed with the
Cre)
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