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\textbf{Introduction}

Esophageal carcinoma is a comparatively rare tumor entity and has a critical prognosis. In Germany, the Robert Koch Institute noted 6,295 new cases in 2011 \cite{1}. The incidence of adenocarcinoma has increased markedly during the last 40 years \cite{2}. Multimodal therapy for locally advanced carcinoma and treatment for the metastatic stage have undergone substantial changes. New agents and treatment approaches have led to marked improvements in both treatment response and overall survival. This article provides an overview of contemporary treatment approaches for each tumor stage and presents recent clinical research projects.

\textbf{Perioperative Chemotherapy}

The so-called MAGIC study (on perioperative administration of platinum-based chemotherapy) and subsequently the data from the French ACCORD study established perioperative chemotherapy as a new standard of care for localized adenocarcinoma of the esophagogastric junction and for gastric carcinoma \cite{3, 4}. Both studies showed a statistically significant survival advantage for the group of patients which received perioperative chemotherapy. In the MAGIC study, the 5-year survival rate for patients receiving perioperative therapy was 36%, while in patients who underwent surgery alone it was only 23%. The findings of the HER-FLOT study were therefore eagerly awaited. This phase II study with a prospective and multicenter design tested the tolerability and activity of a combination of trastuzumab and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)/leucovorin, oxaliplatin, and docetaxel (FLOT) chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced HER2-positive adenocarcinomas \cite{5}. Safety and tolerability were good. With a reported histologically complete tumor remission in as many as 23% (n = 52) of the recsec-
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\textbf{Summary}

Perioperative and palliative chemotherapy for esophageal carcinoma has undergone substantial changes in recent years. The implementation of trastuzumab in the treatment of HER2-positive advanced adenocarcinoma is a milestone as it marked the introduction of the first molecularly targeted treatment of gastric cancer. Current studies are investigating whether anti-HER2-directed treatment also proves effective in the perioperative setting. Data from the CROSS study on neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy with paclitaxel and carboplatin have helped to establish a new standard of care for the treatment of localized esophageal cancer. Finally, preliminary experience in potentially curative treatment approaches for oligometastatic tumor stages may offer new treatment options for patients with stage IV gastric cancer. However, some of these innovative approaches urgently require validation in larger, prospective, and controlled multicenter studies. Highly active forms of radiotherapy, radio-/chemotherapy, or chemoimmunotherapy can achieve complete tumor remissions in some patients. Despite these advances, life expectancy unfortunately continues to be very limited in the majority of patients with locally advanced or metastatic esophageal carcinoma.
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tion specimens, this therapeutic approach appears to be highly promising. The INNOVATION study of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) is currently being initiated in numerous countries in Europe, including several sites in Germany and in Korea, to further optimize perioperative chemotherapy in HER2-positive locally advanced carcinomas of the esophagogastric junction and stomach (fig. 1) (further information is available from the study PI in Germany, Prof. Lordick in Leipzig, and from the EORTC office at the Charité Hospital in Berlin, Ms Susen Burock, tel. +49 30 4 50 56 46 48).

It is still not certain which chemotherapy regimen can be described as the optimal perioperative therapy. ECF (epirubicin, cisplatin, and 5-FU) chemotherapy was used in the MAGIC study, and the CF (cisplatin and 5-FU) protocol was used in the French study. In Germany, the FLOT protocol has become established in many centers due to the treatment results of FLOT studies in palliative therapy [6, 7]. The prospective and multicenter FLOT4 study is currently investigating the efficacy of the ECF/ECX (capecitabine instead of 5-FU) regimen in comparison with FLOT for the perioperative indication.

Another question that continues to be unclear is whether perioperative chemotherapy or neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy should be used in patients with locally advanced adenocarcinoma of the esophagus and esophagogastric junction. To date, only two small comparative studies, partly with incomplete recruitment, have been published on this issue [8, 9]. Neither of them showed a significant benefit in the arms compared; as expected, the histopathological response rate was higher after radiochemotherapy. A recently published meta-analysis demonstrated that neither perioperative chemotherapy nor neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy leads to an increase in postoperative morbidity and mortality. This did not apply to squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus, for which there was an increase in the postoperative and treatment-associated mortality rate. The reasons for this are multifactorial, and the comorbid conditions that patients with squamous cell carcinoma often have (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hepatic cirrhosis, cachexia) probably play a role here [10].

Neoadjuvant Radio-/Chemotherapy

The vast majority of randomized clinical studies in the past that have examined the value of neoadjuvant therapy for esophageal carcinoma included both patients with adenocarcinoma and those with squamous cell carcinoma. The widely varying treatment approaches and inclusion criteria used in the studies mean that it is difficult to evaluate them by using meta-analyses. A meta-analysis on 18 studies published by Gebski et al. [11] in 2007 (updated by Sjoquist et al. [12] in 2011) distinguished between the histological subtypes. The analysis showed a statistically significant survival benefit (13% after 2 years) in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus who received neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy, but not in patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone. In patients with adenocarcinoma of the esophagus and esophagogastric junction, the meta-analysis showed a significant survival benefit in comparison with resection alone for neoadjuvant or perioperative chemotherapy and also for preoperative radio-/chemotherapy.

The efficacy of neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy in patients with operable esophageal carcinoma or carcinoma of the esophago-
gastric junction has been confirmed once again by the CROSS study. This randomized, multicenter phase III study included patients with locally advanced esophageal carcinomas (squamous cell carcinoma 23%, adenocarcinoma 74%) [13]. The patients received neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy (weekly paclitaxel 50 mg/m², carboplatin AUC2, 41.4 Gy), followed by resection, or surgery alone. The study shows a median survival of 49 months (in the group with prior neoadjuvant therapy) in comparison with 26 months (in the group with surgery alone). The primary end point of overall survival was significantly improved both in patients with adenocarcinoma and in those with squamous cell carcinoma, although patients with squamous cell carcinoma benefited more clearly.

There is an interesting issue of whether patients benefit from surgery if they have shown complete remission after neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy, or whether they should receive radio-/chemotherapy alone (possibly with a higher radiation dosage) instead of surgery. Studies investigating this question have not demonstrated any survival advantage for the combination of radio-/chemotherapy and surgery [14, 15]. It should be critically noted that complete remission after neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy is difficult to confirm in clinical practice and that the efficacy of radio-/chemotherapy cannot be assessed with certainty even weeks after the treatment has been completed. Piessen et al. [16] have now published important data on this issue. A total of 222 patients who achieved complete remission after radio-/chemotherapy were included in a ‘case-control study’. 59 of these patients who underwent definitive radio-/chemotherapy were compared with 118 patients who received neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy and subsequent esophageal resection. The median survival in the group of patients who received surgery was significantly longer (31 vs. 83 months), and the recurrence rate in the surgical group was lower (32.7 vs. 51%). The data show an advantage for radio-/chemotherapy with subsequent surgery, which therefore continues to be offered by a number of centers when the surgical risk is acceptable, despite the sobering data from prospective and randomized studies. However, in patients who are at an increased surgical risk due to comorbidities, definitive radio-/chemotherapy is a valid option, particularly after remission has been achieved.

The phase II/III study conducted in Great Britain (SCOPE1) shows that an addition of the anti-EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) antibody cetuximab to definitive radio-/chemotherapy for locally advanced esophageal carcinoma has a negative effect on survival (22.1 vs. 25.5 months without cetuximab) [17]. A recently published meta-analysis has confirmed that there is no role for cetuximab regarding this indication [18].

In a retrospective analysis, Chiu et al. [19] investigated the influence of the interval length between neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy and surgery. A total of 138 patients were included in each arm of the study. It was found that patients who underwent surgery within 8 weeks had a significantly better 5-year survival in comparison with patients who had delayed operations (50 vs. 35%). A research group in Lille (France) investigated whether prolonging the interval between radiotherapy and surgery improves the efficacy of the prior treatment [20]. A prospective database including 257 patients who received trimodal therapy between 1997 and 2011 was evaluated retrospectively (161 squamous cell carcinomas, 96 adenocarcinomas). The patients were divided into two groups: time point of surgery < 7 weeks or > 7 weeks after neoadjuvant radio-/chemotherapy. The two groups were comparable with regard to demographic data. The ypT0 and R0 resection rates were similar, as were the postoperative course, median long-term survival, and the incidence and distribution pattern of recurrences. The multivariate analysis also showed no evidence of improved efficacy for neoadjuvant therapy resulting from a prolongation of the interval to surgery.

Examined as a whole, these data signify that the optimal interval between the completion of radio-/chemotherapy and surgery cannot be clearly determined yet. A period of 4–8 weeks has proved its value in everyday clinical practice in most centers. Complete recovery from the acute toxicities associated with radio-/chemotherapy is an absolute necessity at the time of surgery.

Encouraging data for innovative approaches have been provided by the multicenter FLOT3 study. With a three-armed design (in locally advanced tumors, tumors with limited metastases, metastatic tumors of the esophagogastric junction, and gastric carcinomas), the study suggests that with good patient selection, patients may also benefit from surgery even at the stage of limited metastases [21]. However, the true value of cytoreductive surgery in patients with oligometastases needs to be investigated in prospective and randomized studies before it is uncritically transferred to clinical routine.

Palliative Therapy

Adenocarcinoma

The meta-analysis by Wagner et al. [22] showed that in regards to survival and quality of life, chemotherapy is superior to purely symptom-oriented therapy. Older patients also benefit from treatment [23]. If the patient’s state of health is good enough, treatment should consist of a combination therapy with 5-FU [22]. The established standard for first-line therapy of irresectable or metastatic adenocarcinoma of the esophagogastric junction is a platinum analogue (cisplatin or oxaliplatin) in combination with a fluoropyrimidine (5-FU or capecitabine or S-1); oral fluoropyrimidines are not inferior to 5-FU therapy [22, 24]. A further increase in efficacy can be achieved through additional administration of docetaxel. However, this is at the cost of greater toxicity [6, 25, 26].

Anti-HER2-Directed Therapy

HER2 assessment must be carried out before chemotherapy is started. Trastuzumab in combination with cisplatin and 5-FU or capecitabine has been approved since 2010 for the first-line treatment of advanced HER2-positive gastric carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the esophagogastric junction (HER2 immunohistochemistry (IHC) score 3+ or IHC 2+ and positive on in situ hybridization (ISH) + ratio of HER2 gene/chromosome 17 centromere ≥ 2). The Trastuzumab for GAstric cancer (ToGA) study published...
in *Lancet* compared chemotherapy alone with a combination of chemotherapy and trastuzumab in patients with HER2-positive gastric carcinoma (defined as IHC score 3+ or ISH+) [27]. A significantly longer survival was achieved in the antibody treatment group (median 13.8 vs. 11.1 months). The post-hoc analysis also showed that there was an even longer survival (16 months) in the subgroup of patients whose tumors showed HER2 receptor overexpression according to the current approval criteria for trastuzumab (see above), in comparison with 11.8 months in patients without meeting these criteria.

**Anti-Angiogenic Therapy**

Following the REGARD study, the RAINBOW study is now the second phase III study that has demonstrated the efficacy of ramucirumab in second-line therapy for metastatic gastric carcinoma [28, 29]. Ramucirumab is a monoclonal human immunoglobulin G antibody directed against vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) 2. In RAINBOW, a combination of ramucirumab with weekly paclitaxel was compared with paclitaxel alone. The patients had received platinum- and fluoropyrimidine-containing chemotherapy as their first-line treatment. Only patients with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1 were included. The vast majority of the patients (>79%) had gastric carcinoma, while less than 21% had adenocarcinoma of the esophagogastric junction. The primary end point was overall survival. The overall survival in the combination arm was more than 2 months longer (9.6 vs. 7.4 months; hazard ratio 0.81; p = 0.017). The improved median progression-free survival and a significantly higher response rate also confirm the superior efficacy of the drug combination. Adverse events (grade 3 and 4 in the Common Terminology Criteria) included neutropenia (40.7 vs. 18.8%), leukopenia, high blood pressure, anemia, and fatigue.

**Second-Line Chemotherapy**

The effectiveness of second-line chemotherapy has been confirmed by three randomized studies [30–32]. An increase in survival by 1.5 months can be expected. Two of the studies also assessed symptom control and showed that active antineoplastic treatment improved symptom control. A phase III study in Japan [33] compared whether irinotecan or paclitaxel in second-line therapy after failure of 5-FU/platinum treatment is more effective in metastatic gastric carcinoma. This prospective and randomized study did not show any significant survival difference between the two regimens; thus, taxanes (docetaxel or paclitaxel) and irinotecan must be regarded as effective forms of chemotherapy for the second-line treatment of gastric carcinoma [33].

**Other Biological Agents**

Other biological agents cannot currently be recommended – with negative results for bevacizumab in the AVAGAST study [34], for cetuximab in the EXPAND study [35], for panitumumab in the REAL-3 study [36], and for temsirolimus in the GRANITE-1 study [37].

Lapatinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor directed against anti-HER2/EGFR, did not show sufficient efficacy in second-line therapy, although there were positive trends in patients with strong HER2 immunoreactivity (IHC 3+) [38].

Treatment with the anti-hepatocyte growth factor (anti-HGF)/MET inhibitor rilotumumab appeared to be very promising; there was a positive efficacy signal in a randomized phase II study [39]. However, according to a recent press release by the manufacturers, Amgen, the intermediate results of the current phase III study, RILOMET-1, were negative and forced the study to be stopped [40].

**Squamous Cell Carcinoma**

The chemotherapy protocol by Herskovic et al. [41], dating back to 1992 (cisplatin, 5-FU), was applied to the metastatic situation by the EORTC in 1997 and still continues to be the standard form of treatment for metastatic squamous cell carcinoma. There are also only limited data on the effect of treatment with paclitaxel monotherapy or vinorelbine monotherapy [42, 43].

A phase II study by the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Internistische Onkologie (AIO) of the German Cancer Society compared a regimen containing cisplatin/5-FU alone or in combination with cetuximab in patients with metastatic esophageal carcinoma [44]. The primary end point of this study was the response rate. 62 patients were included. The overall response rate was 19% in the cetuximab group compared with 13% in the standard treatment group, and there was a signal for improved efficacy regarding survival end points. This provided the basis for a subsequent phase III study (POWER) that is testing the efficacy of the EGFR antibody panitumumab.

**Conclusions**

There is no doubt that new agents and new chemotherapy approaches are needed in order to further improve the treatment successes in esophagogastric cancer. The highest medical need exists in the realm of squamous cell cancer of the esophagus, for which the treatment options are often limited and the evidence for the existing options is often scarce.

For future prospective studies on neoadjuvant and perioperative therapy it is mandatory that the quality of all of the modalities used must be controlled to allow for outcome improvements as well as for clear conclusions. A recently published meta-analysis showed enormous differences in the quality of care, including differences in local recurrence rates and hospital mortality [45]. It appears to be clear that the best results in the treatment of locally advanced esophageal and gastric carcinoma are achieved with a multimodal therapeutic approach. Several exciting results for these indications can be expected from ongoing studies during the next few years.
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