IDH1 Arg-132 mutant promotes tumor formation through down-regulating p53

Resistence to apoptosis and uncontrolled proliferation are two hallmarks of cancer cells. p53 is crucial for apoptosis triggered by a broad range of stresses and a well-known gatekeeper for neoplastic transformation. Here we show that oncogenic IDH1 R132H/R132Q mutants robustly inhibit p53 expression for neoplastic transformation. Here we show that oncogenic IDH1 R132H/R132Q mutants robustly inhibit p53 expression and such an effect is attributed to 2-HG production. Mechanistically, 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) stabilizes hypoxia-inducible factor-2a, which in turn activates the expression of miR-380-5p, a characterized microRNA against p53 expression. Rescue expression of p53 can inhibit the proliferation rate and impair the resistance of apoptosis induced by IDH1 R132Q mouse embryonic fibroblast cells. Furthermore, p53 protein levels correlates negatively with IDH1 R132H levels in human glioma samples. Our results thus shed a new light on how p53 is down-regulated by 2-HG and suggests that impairment of p53-mediated apoptosis contributes to the tumorigenesis driven by IDH1 mutants.

Isocitrate dehydrogenases (IDH)\(^5\) normally convert isocitrate to \(\alpha\)-ketoglutarate (\(\alpha\)-KG) in a NAD(P)\(^+\)-dependent manner. Three isozymes with distinct subcellular distributions and co-factors exist: IDH1, IDH2, and IDH3. In the past few years, various somatic point mutations of either IDH1 or IDH2 have been frequently found in multiple cancers, such as glioma, acute myeloid leukemia, chondrosarcoma, cholangiocarcinoma, paraganglioma, colon cancer, prostate cancer, and lung cancer (1–13). Interestingly, these mutations including IDH1 R132H/Q/C/S/L/G/V/P, IDH2 R140Q/W/L, and R172K/M/G/‡-2-HG; miRNA, microRNA; qRT, quantitative RT; shRNA, short hairpin RNA.
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inhibition of prolyl hydroxylase and subsequent stabilization of HIF-2α. Increased HIF-2α transactivates the expression of miR-380-5p, which in turn down-regulates the p53 protein level. Consistently, p53 protein levels were decreased in human glioma samples with the IDH1 R132H mutation, implying that 2-HG-caused p53 deficiency may be a key component in tumorigenesis driven by IDH1 mutations.

Results

Oncogenic IDH1 Arg-132 mutant robustly down-regulates p53

To find out whether the IDH1 mutation shows any inhibitory effect on p53, MEF cells with genotypes IDH1WT/WT, IDH1WT/LSL, and IDH1LSL/LSL were isolated from the embryos of conditional IDH1 R132Q knock-in mice (22, 31, 32), followed by excision of lox-stop-lox (LSL) cassette with Cre recombinase to generate cell lines with five different genotypes, IDH1WT/WT, IDH1WT/LSL, IDH1WT/Mut, IDH1LSL/LSL, and IDH1Mut/Mut. The same cell lines as displayed in A were detected for p53 and IDH1 expression with Western blotting (WB). C, the same cell lines as displayed in B were treated with or without 2.5 μM DOX for 4 h, followed by Western blotting with the antibodies indicated. D, E and F, IDH1 R132H mutant also inhibits p53 expression in cancerous cell lines U2OS and HCT116. U2OS cells (D) and U2OS cells (E) were transfected with FLAG-tagged WT IDH1 or its R132H mutant. At 24 h post-transfection cells were treated with or without 2.5 μM DOX for 4 h, followed by detection of the indicated proteins.

p53 down-regulation by IDH1 R132H/R132Q depends on 2-HG production

One of the most prominent feature of various IDH1 Arg-132 mutants is to produce extremely high levels of intracellular 2-HG, which disturbs a wide spectrum of biochemical reactions and thus leads to disorder of a broad range of cell biological functions (14, 15, 34). We spontaneously wanted to know whether IDH1 mutants induced down-regulation of p53 is the result of increased concentrations of 2-HG. First, we detected 2-HG by employing the LC-MS technique and found that dramatically high levels of 2-HG were produced in IDH1WT/Mut MEFs relative to IDH1WT/WT MEFs (Fig. 2A). It is important to point out that we used heterozygous, but not homozygous...
IDH1 R132Q knock-in (KI) MEF cells in all of the following experiments, because of the observation that all somatic gain-of-function mutations of IDH1 have been identified exclusively in one allele and heterozygous IDH1 mutation displayed the similar inhibitory effect on p53 as that of homozygous. Then we examined whether exogenous 2-HG could down-regulate p53. Treatment of U2OS cells with cell-permeable trifluoromethylbenzyl-(R)-2-HG (TFMB-2-HG) effectively increased the intracellular 2-HG level (Fig. S1A) and decreased the expression of p53 and p21 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2B). Similar results were observed in the HCT116 cell line (Fig. 2C).

To further bolster this conclusion, we treated HCT116 cells with another cell-permeable octyl-2-HG, whose permeability was confirmed by LC-MS (Fig. S1B). As expected, octyl-2-HG treatment markedly suppressed p53 expression in HCT116 cells even under exposure to 2.5 μM DOX (Fig. 2D). In addition, AGI-5198, a powerful and selective inhibitor of IDH1 R132H for 2-HG production (35), could efficiently suppress 2-HG production (Fig. S1C) and restored p53 expression in HCT116 cells harboring the IDH1 R132H mutant (Fig. 2E). These data demonstrate that 2-HG inhibits p53 expression in a broad range of mammalian cell types.

2-HG down-regulates p53 at mRNA level

To dissect the mechanism underlying the p53 down-regulation mediated by the IDH1 R132 mutation, we examined whether this regulation occurs at mRNA or protein levels. We compared p53 mRNA levels in IDH1WT/WT and IDH1WT/Mut mice livers and observed that IDH1 R132Q KI mice livers had much less p53 mRNA than that in control mice livers (Fig. 3A). We also checked p53 mRNA levels in IDH1WT/WT and IDH1WT/Mut MEFs, and obtained exactly the same result (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, cell permeable octyl-2-HG treatment dramatically decreased the p53 mRNA levels in HCT116 cells (Fig. 3C).
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Figure 2. p53 down-regulation by IDH1 R132H/R132Q depends on 2-HG production. A, IDH1 R132Q were produced at an extremely high level of 2-HG. The extracts of MEFs were subjected to LC-MS for analysis of relative 2-HG levels. Error bars show the standard deviations of three independent experiments (***, p < 0.001, unpaired Student’s t test). B and C, TFMB-2-HG inhibits p53 expression in U2OS cells and HCT116 cells. U2OS (B) cells and HCT116 cells (C) were treated with the indicated amounts of TFMB-2-HG for 9 h, followed by Western blotting to detect p53 protein levels (left). Quantitation of signal intensities of Western blot bands of p53 (right) was performed by using Image J software. p53 levels were normalized to β-actin levels. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. of three independent experiments (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001, unpaired Student’s t test). D, octyl-2-HG inhibit p53 accumulation induced by DOX in HCT116 cells. HCT116 cells were treated with DOX alone or in combination with octyl-2-HG (5 mM) for 8 h with octyl acetate as a control. Cell lysates were immunoblotted for p53 and p21. E, AGI-5198 restored p53 expression in HCT116 cells harboring IDH1 R132H mutant. HCT116 cells were infected with control lentivirus or lentivirus expressing FLAG-WT IDH1 or IDH1 R132H. At 48 h post-infection, cells were treated with or without 1.5 μM AGI-5198 for 2 days, followed by treating with 2.5 μM DOX (or not) for another 9 h in the presence of AGI-5198, as indicated.
expression and 2-HG treatment failed to influence the half-life of p53. These results indicate that down-regulation of p53 expression by the IDH1 mutation appears to occur mainly at the mRNA level. A possible explanation to the observation that the proteosome inhibitor MG132 can partially antagonize 2-HG-induced p53 down-regulation is that 2-HG may also function to stimulate proteosome-mediated degradation of some positively transcriptional regulator of p53.

**IDH1 Arg-132 mutations down-regulate p53 via promoting miR-380-5p expression**

Next we asked whether 2-HG can diminish p53 transcription or p53 mRNA stability. To address this question, we constructed two chimeric luciferase reports containing p53-promoter and p53–3′ UTR because the 3′ UTR usually plays a very important role in the regulation of mRNA stability (36). 2-HG significantly suppressed the reporter activity of p53–3′ UTR-luc, but not p53-promoter-luc, implying that p53–3′ UTR may be required for 2-HG down-regulation of p53 mRNA (Fig. 4, A and B). miRNAs are 20–25-nucleotide short RNA molecules that function to down-regulate gene expression by targeting almost the 3′ UTR of mRNAs (37). To determine whether some miRNAs are involved in 2-HG caused p53 down-regulation, we utilized two independent short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) to knockdown the expression of Dicer, one of the critical proteins in the maturation of miRNA (37). Loss of Dicer caused great up-regulation of p53 protein levels in HCT116 cells expressing mutated IDH1 (Fig. 4C), suggesting that p53 expression could be tightly regulated by miRNAs.

To find out which miRNA is responsible for the down-regulation of p53 by 2-HG, we performed a sequencing-based RNA profiling analysis using liver samples from IDH1 WT/WT and IDH1 WT/Mut mice. Several reports have revealed that a series of miRNAs, including miR-125b, miR-504, miR-25, miR-30d, miR-1285, and miR-380-5p directly target the 3′ UTR of p53 mRNA to down-regulate p53 protein levels (37–41). We thus analyzed the expression of these miRNAs in our sequencing results and found that expression of miR-380-5p was significantly increased in IDH1 mutant mice liver (Fig. 4C), suggesting that p53 expression could be tightly regulated by miRNAs.
tently, MEF cells (Fig. S3B) and HCT116 cells (Fig. S3C) expressing the IDH1 Arg-132 mutant also displayed much higher miR-380-5p levels. Overexpression of miR-380-5p dramatically down-regulated p53 in HCT116 cells (Fig. 4E). Moreover, inhibition of endogenous miR-380-5p by chemically synthesized single-stranded anti-miR-380-5p oligonucleotides in IDH1 mutant cells restored p53 expression (Fig. 4F). Taken together, our data demonstrate that IDH1 Arg-132 mutant down-regulates p53 by promoting miR-380-5p expression. There are two putative binding sites for miR-380-5p in the 3' UTR of human p53 as shown in supporting Fig. S4A according to previous work (41). To determine whether these two putative sites are responsible for p53 down-regulation, we created p53-3' UTR-luc vectors with either one or both of the sites deleted (Fig. S4B). The vectors were separately transfected into HCT116 cells together with the miR-380-5p expression plasmid or control pFlag-CMV vector. As expected, expression of miR-380-5p greatly decreased the luciferase activity of WT p53-3' UTR-luc. However, deletion of both binding sites abolished the repressing effect of miR-380-5p on luciferase activity of p53-3' UTR-luc (Fig. S4C). These data clearly demonstrate that miR-380-5p down-regulates p53 expression by binding to the two sites in the p53 3' UTR.

**IDH1 mutation down-regulation of p53 depends on HIF-2α**

It was reported that 2-HG blocks prolyl hydroxylase and thereby stabilizes HIF-1α, leading to transcriptional activation of HIF-1α-dependent genes (18, 24). The regulation of HIF-2α protein stability is similar to that of the HIF-1α isoform and relies on α-KG-dependent hydroxylation and degradation (42). We detected HIF-1α and HIF-2α protein levels in IDH1 R132Q KI mice livers and found that both were increased (Fig. 5A). Similarly, both MEFs (Fig. S5A) and HCT116 cells (Fig. S5B) expressing IDH1 Arg-132 mutant also displayed much higher HIF-1α and HIF-2α protein levels under either normoxia or hypoxia conditions. We then used shRNAs to knock down HIF-1α and HIF-2α, respectively, in IDH1 WT/Mut MEF to test whether HIFs were involved in p53 down-regulation. Loss of HIF-2α (Fig. 5C), but not HIF-1α (Fig. 5B), restored p53 expression in the mutant cells. In addition, shRNA-mediated silence of HIF-1B, which is necessary for HIFs transcriptional activity, restored p53 expression in IDH1 mutant cells (Fig. 5D). These data indicate that HIF-2α rather than HIF-1α is required for p53 down-regulation caused by IDH1 mutant.

**HIF-2α promotes miR-380-5p transcription**

We next explored the regulatory relationship between HIF-2α and miR-380-5p in p53 down-regulation caused by IDH1 mutations. First, we overexpressed HIF-1α and HIF-2α in HCT116 cells and found that increased HIF-2α protein but not HIF-1α stimulated miR-380-5p expression as determined by qRT-PCR (Fig. 6A). Second, knockdown of HIF-2α in IDH1 mutant MEFs decreased miR-380-5p expression (Fig. 6B). As a

---

**Figure 4. 2-HG down-regulates p53 through miR-380-5p.** A, 2-HG fails to decrease p53 promoter activity. HEK-293T cells were transected with the combinations of plasmids as indicated. After 8 h of transfection cells were treated with DMSO (solvent control) or 2-HG (5 mM) for another 36 h, followed by determination and quantification of relative luciferase activity. Data shown are mean ± S.D. of three independent experiments (unpaired Student’s t test; N.S., not significant). B, 2-HG dramatically decreased p53-3' UTR activities. HEK-293T cells were transfected with p53-3' UTR-luc reporter, followed by 2-HG treatment and quantification of relative luciferase activity as in A. Mean ± S.D. (n = 3 independent experiments) are shown (**, p < 0.01, unpaired Student’s t test). C, depletion of Dicer significantly up-regulates the p53 protein level in HCT116 cells expressing IDH1 R132H mutant. HCT116 cells transfected with WT IDH1 or its R132H mutant were infected with lentiviruses expressing two independent Dicer shRNAs or control shRNA. 96 h after infection, cells lysates were analyzed by Western blotting with the antibodies indicated. D, expression of miR-380-5p was significantly up-regulated in mice livers expressing IDH1 mutant. The expression of miR-380-5p in IDH1WT/WT and IDH1WT/Mut mice livers was analyzed with qRT-PCR and normalized to U6. Data shown are mean ± S.D. of three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01, unpaired Student’s t test). E, miR-380-5p suppresses p53 expression. HCT116 cells transiently transfected with expression vectors for miR-380-5p and control miRNA were harvested after 48 h of transfection. Cell lysates were analyzed by Western blot. F, inhibition of miR-380-5p significantly increases p53 expression. HCT116 cells stably expressing WT IDH1 or its R132H mutant were transfected with chemically synthesized single-stranded anti-miR-380-5p oligonucleotides. 48 h after transfection, cells were analyzed by Western blot.
control, shRNA-mediated silence of HIF-1α have no effect on miR-380-5p expression in IDH1 mutant MEFs (Fig. 6C). Third, we examined whether HIF-2α directly binds to the miR-380-5p promoter region and promotes its expression using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays given the fact that HIF-2α is a transcriptional factor. Indeed, DNA fragments derived from the actin or p53 promoter regions, were co-precipitated with HIF-2α (Fig. 6D). These data suggest that HIF-2α directly binds to the miR-380-5p promoter region and stimulates its transcription.

**p53 down-regulation is involved in IDH1 mutant-driven tumorigenesis**

Because p53 plays a crucial role in triggering apoptotic cell death and cell cycle arrest in response to diverse stressors, and our data indicate that IDH1 R132H/R132Q mutations could dramatically suppress p53 expression, we examined whether such inhibition benefits cell proliferation and endow cells an ability to escape apoptosis induced by DNA damage agent. Rescue expression of p53 significantly attenuated the proliferation rate of IDH1WT/Mut cells but not IDH1WT/WT cells (Fig. 7A). Consistently, knockdown HIF-2α (Fig. S6A) or inhibition of
endogenous miR-380-5p by anti-miR-380-5p oligonucleotides (Fig. S6B) in IDH1 mutant cells restored p53 expression and markedly suppressed the proliferation rate. On the contrary, 2-HG treatment (Fig. S6C) or knockdown of p53 (Fig. 7B) increased the proliferation rate of IDH1WT/WT cells but not IDH1WT/Mut cells. These findings suggest that inhibition of p53 by 2-HG confer IDH1-mutated cells a higher proliferating rate.

Next we exposed IDH1WT/WT and IDH1WT/Mut MEFs to a lethal dose of DOX for 16 h and assessed their viability by Annexin V staining and flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 7C, IDH1WT/Mut MEFs displayed substantial resistance to DOX-induced apoptosis compared with IDH1WT/WT cells. However, rescue expression of p53 rendered IDH1WT/Mut MEFs sensitive to DOX again. Consistent with this result, knockdown of HIF-2α (Fig. S6D) or anti-miR-380-5p oligonucleotides treatment (Fig. S6E) efficiently eliminated the resistance of IDH1WT/Mut MEFs to DOX-induced apoptosis. In addition, 2-HG treatment (Fig. S6F) or knockdown of p53 (Fig. 7D) desensitized IDH1WT/WT cells but not IDH1WT/Mut cells to DOX-induced apoptosis. Moreover, the p53 protein level was dramatically decreased in low grade glioma samples with the IDH1 R132H mutation compared with that expressing WT IDH1 (Fig. 7E), establishing a solid correlation between IDH1 R132H mutation and p53 down-regulation. We further determined the p53 genotype of human glioma samples by Sanger sequencing. As shown in Fig. S6G, samples 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 harbor p53 mutations. Thus, IDH1 R132 mutations down-regulate p53 expression, despite the status of p53. It is important to point out that all presently available evidence indicates that IDH1 mutations are co-present with the p53 mutation and occur before the acquisition of p53 mutations (43, 44). These evidences together with our data suggest that IDH1 R132 mutations induced down-regulation of WT p53 may play an important role in the early stage of gliomagenesis. Collectively, IDH1 R132 mutations can remarkably stimulate cell proliferation and protect cells from DNA damage-induced apoptosis by suppressing p53 expression, thereby favoring tumor formation.

In conclusion, our data demonstrates that the high level of 2-HG produced by cancer-associated IDH mutations can stabilize HIF-2α and consequently stimulate miR-380-5p expres-

Figure 6. HIF-2α promotes miR-380-5p transcription. A, overexpression of HIF-2α up-regulates miR-380-5p. HCT116 cells were transfected with HA-tagged HIF-1α or HIF-2α. 36 h after transfection the expression of miR-380-5p was analyzed with qRT-PCR and normalized to U6 (mean ± S.D., n = 3, *** p < 0.001, unpaired Student’s t-test). B, knockdown of HIF-2α down-regulates miR-380-5p. HCT116 cells stably expressing WT IDH1 or its R132H mutant were infected with lentiviruses expressing two independent HIF-2α shRNAs or control shRNA, individually. 48 h after infection, the expression of miR-380-5p was analyzed and presented as in A (***, p < 0.001). C, knockdown of HIF-1α has no effect on miR-380-5p up-regulation caused by the IDH1 Arg-132 mutant. HCT116 cells stably expressing WT IDH1 or its R132H mutant were infected with lentiviruses expressing two independent HIF-1α shRNAs or control shRNA, individually. 48 h after infection, the expression of miR-380-5p was analyzed and presented as in A (N.S. = not significant). D, HIF-2α binds to the promoter regions of miR-380-5p. HCT116 cells were transfected with HA-tagged HIF-1α or HIF-2α. 36 h after transfection, ChIP assays were performed using IgG (control) or anti-HA antibodies. Proteins in precipitates and total cell lysates were determined by Western blot analysis (upper panel). Purified DNA was analyzed by standard PCR using primers targeting the indicated promoter regions (lower panel). Actin and p53 were used as negative controls.
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**Figure 7. p53 down-regulation is involved in IDH1 mutant-driven tumorigenesis.** A, rescue expression of p53 significantly attenuated the proliferation rate of IDH1 Arg-132 mutant cells. Both IDH1WT/WT and IDH1WT/Mut cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing p53. At 36 h after infection, proliferation rates were determined by growth curves (upper panel). Mean ± S.D., n = 3 independent experiments, are shown (**p < 0.01, unpaired Student’s t test). Proteins in total cell lysates of the same cell lines were determined by Western blot analysis (WB) (lower panel). B, knockdown p53 increased the proliferation rate of IDH1WT/WT cells but not IDH1WT/Mut cells. IDH1WT/WT and IDH1WT/Mut MEFs were infected with lentiviruses expressing p53 shRNA or control shRNA. At 36 h after infection, proliferation rates (upper panel) were determined as in A (**, p < 0.01, unpaired Student’s t test). Proteins in total cell lysates of the same cell lines were determined by Western blot analysis (lower panel). C, forced expression of p53 sensitize IDH1 Arg-132 mutant cells to DOX-induced apoptosis. IDH1WT/WT and IDH1WT/Mut MEFs were infected with lentiviruses expressing p53 at 36 h after infection, cells were treated with or without 2.5 μM DOX for 16 h. The percentages of surviving cells (Annexin V negative) were determined by a flow cytometer. Data are presented as mean ± S.D. of three independent experiments (**, p < 0.01, unpaired Student’s t test). D, knockdown of p53 desensitized of IDH1WT/WT cells rather than IDH1WT/Mut cells to DOX-induced apoptosis. IDH1WT/WT and IDH1WT/Mut MEFs were infected with lentiviruses expressing p53 shRNA. At 36 h after infection, cells were treated with or without 2.5 μM DOX for 16 h. The percentages of surviving cells were determined and presented as in D (***, p < 0.001, unpaired Student’s t test). E, IDH1 R132H mutant suppresses p53 expression in glioma. Clinical specimens of glioma were analyzed by Western blot with the antibodies as indicated.

Discussion

It is well-accepted that aberrant genes’ expression caused by epigenetic alterations and HIF-1α accumulation in IDH1-mutated cells contribute to tumor progression. 2-HG is believed to competitively inhibit α-KG-dependent dioxygenases, including prolyl hydroxylase 2, which disrupts HIF-1α hydroxylation and leads to aberrant accumulation of HIF-1α (18, 24). Little is known about whether the HIF-2α protein level is also regulated by 2-HG and if so what role does HIF-2α play in tumorigenesis stimulated by 2-HG? We initially observed that the HIF-2α protein level was dramatically increased in cells harboring IDH1 mutations, similar to the case of HIF-1α. Next we tried to find out whether HIF-2α plays any role different from that HIF-1α does in tumorigenesis.

The p53 tumor suppressor has long been recognized as the gatekeeper for tumor formation. The notion that p53 function has to be disrupted for the progression of some tumors is well-accepted. Indeed, we observed that p53 protein levels were markedly decreased either in IDH1WT/Mut MEFs or HCT116 cells expressing IDH1 R132H or treated with permeable 2-HG. Moreover, IDH1WT/Mut MEFs took great advantage of suppression of p53 expression in proliferation and resistance to DOX-induced apoptosis. Importantly, the p53 protein level was abolished in low grade glioma samples with the IDH1 R132H mutation. Our data thus provided convincing evidence that p53 down-regulation is a key event in the IDH1 mutant that caused tumor formation. Our further observations indicated that down-regulation of p53 was at the mRNA level, and HIF-2α, but not HIF-1α, played a predominant role in such regulation,
raising an interesting question how was the p53 mRNA level reduced by HIF-2α.

In ~50% of all human cancers, inactivation of p53 function is acquired by the presence of its somatic mutations (30). In addition, p53 is also inactivated through proteasome-mediated degradation caused by amplification of MDM2 or its homolog MDM4 in 10–20% of total human cancer (45). In recent years, accumulating evidence has established that various miRNAs, including miR-125b, miR-504, miR-25, miR-30d, miR-1285, and miR-380-5p are involved in down-regulating p53 protein levels (37–41). These studies remind us that regulation of miRNAs against p53 by HIF-2α might be an intermediate event in 2-HG-induced down-regulation of p53. As expected, the expression of miR-380-5p was robustly activated by HIF-2α and required for down-regulation of p53 in IDH1 mutation cells. In summary, a high level of 2-HG produced by the IDH1 mutation stabilizes HIF-2α, which further activates transcription of miR-380-5p. Elevated miR-380-5p levels effectively mediate degradation of the p53 mRNA and eventually benefits cell proliferation and tumor formation. In summary, we find a novel mechanism underlying the tumorigenesis driven by 2-HG-producing IDH1 mutations. Our observation that HIF-2α rather than HIF-1α is involved in the suppression of p53 will help us to further understand the delicate difference of HIF-2α and HIF-1α in promoting tumor formation.

**Experimental procedures**

**Cell culture and transfections**

HEK293T cells, HCT116 cells, U2OS cells, and MEF were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 4 mM l-glutamine at 37 °C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. HEK293T cells were transfected using polyethylenimine (catalog number 23966, Polysciences, Inc.) at a final concentration of 10 μM. Total DNA for each plate was equalized using relevant empty vectors. Transfected cells were harvested at 24 h post-transfection. Lentivirus for infection of MEF and HCT116 cells was packaged in HEK293T cells using Turbofect (catalog number 105) in the presence of Polybrene (Sigma) at a final concentration of 10 ng/μl. Adenovirus-Cre was used to excise MEF and to induce Cre recombinase-expressing adenovirus to generate IDH1WT/Mut and IDH1Mut/Mut MEF. IDH1WT/WT MEF were treated with adenovirus-Cre as a control. Primers for genotyping of Idh1 were 5’-ACCAGCACCCT-CCCAACCTGTAT-3’, 5’-AGGTAGCCTCCTTGGCGATCT-CGT-3’, and 5’-CAGCAGCCTGTTCCACATAC-3’.

**Generation of IDH1-KI MEF**

IDH1WT/WT, IDH1WT/LSL, and IDH1LSL/LSL MEF were isolated from embryos of conditional IDH1 R132Q knock-in mice (22, 31, 32) at 13.5 days post-coitum. The LSL cassette flanking the IDH1 R132Q mutation (Mut) in IDH1WT/LSL and IDH1LSL/LSL MEF was excised by Cre recombinase-expressing adenovirus to generate IDH1WT/Mut and IDH1Mut/Mut MEF. IDH1WT/WT MEF were treated with adenovirus-Cre as a control. Primers for genotyping of Idh1 were 5’-ACCAGCACCCT-CCCAACCTGTAT-3’, 5’-AGGTAGCCTCCTTGGCGATCT-CGT-3’, and 5’-CAGCAGCCTGTTCCACATAC-3’.

**Reagents and antibodies**

2-HG and CHX were obtained from Sigma. Octyl-2-HG was purchased from Cayman Chemical Co. TFMB-2-HG was synthesized, purified, and characterized as described previously (46). AGI-5198 and DOX were purchased from Selleck. Dual luciferase assay kits were purchased from Promega. Annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kits were purchased from Sigma. Anti-FLAG M2 and anti-β-actin antibodies were purchased from Sigma. Anti-HIF-1α (number 36169) antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Anti-HIF-2α (AF2997) antibody was purchased from R&D Systems. Anti-IDH1 (SC-49996), anti-p53 (SC-3243), and anti-HA (SC-7392) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Anti-IDH1 (SC-49996), anti-p53 (SC-3243), and anti-HA (SC-7392) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Anti-IDH1 R132H (DIA H09) antibody was obtained from Dianova. Anti-p21 (10355-1-AP) antibody was purchased from Proteintech.

** Constructs**

WT IDH1 or its R132H mutant were cloned into BamHI and Smal sites of the modified lentivirus vector FLAG-tagged pLV using the Exo III-assisted ligase-independent cloning method (33). For luciferase reporter assays, the human p53 promoter and p53 3’ UTR were cloned into the pGL2-Basic vector and pmir GLO vector, respectively (Promega). The primer sequences used for amplifying the p53 promoter were: 5’-GCGTGCTAGCCTAGCCTGAGATGTTGACCCTTCC-3’ and 5’-CGGAATGCCAAGCTTTTCTAGACCTTTTTGAGAAG-3’. The primer sequences used for amplifying the p53 3’ UTR were: 5’-TGGTTTAAACGAGCTCATCTCCTACCTGTGTTTGC-3’ and 5’-GACTCTAGACTCGAGGATGTGAGGCTCCAGCTG-3’. 5’-TCCTGAGAAG-3’. The primer sequences used for amplifying the p53 3’ UTR: 5’-GCGTGCTAGCCTAGCCTGAGATGTTGACCCTTCC-3’ and 5’-GACTCTAGACTCGAGGATGTGAGGCTCCAGCTG-3’. 5’-TCCTGAGAAG-3’. The primer sequences used for amplifying the p53 3’ UTR were: 5’-TGGTTTAAACGAGCTCATCTCCTACCTGTGTTTGC-3’ and 5’-GACTCTAGACTCGAGGATGTGAGGCTCCAGCTG-3’. 5’-TCCTGAGAAG-3’.

**Immunoprecipitation and Western blotting**

Cells were harvested in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 μg/ml of leupeptin, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). For immunoprecipitations, lysates were incubated with antibodies indicated at 4 °C for 3 h. Immunoprecipitates were washed three times in lysis buffer and boiled in SDS-PAGE loading buffer. Proteins in total cell lysates or immunoprecipitates were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Blots were blocked in 5% nonfat milk or BSA and incubated with the appropriate antibodies.

**Luciferase reporter assay**

HCT116 cells transfected with chimeric luciferase reporter plasmids were washed with PBS and lysed by lysis buffer. The supernatants were collected by centrifugation (13,000 × g, 5 min) and subjected to dual luciferase assays (Promega) by following the manufacturer’s instructions. The transfection efficiency was normalized by co-expression of Renilla luciferase.
Flow cytometric cell death assay

Cells cultured in 6-well plates were left untreated or exposed to DOX for the times indicated in the figures. After treatment, suspended and trypan-sinized cells were centrifuged at 800 × g for 5 min, washed once with PBS, and stained with FITC-conjugated Annexin V for 10 min at 37 °C in the dark. Percentages of apoptotic cells were quantified by a fluorescence-activated cell using a flow cytometer.

Chromatin IP assay

The experiments were performed following the standard protocol of the SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromation IP Kit (Cell Signaling, number 9002). Briefly, HCT116 cells transfected with HA-HIF-1α or HA-HIF-2α cultured in a 15-cm plate were cross-linked by 37% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, and then glycine was added to stop the reaction. Cells were lysed, followed by nuclei preparation. 0.5 μl of micrococcal nuclease were added per each sample then incubated for 20 min at 37 °C with frequent mixing to digest DNA to 150–900 bp. After the nuclear membrane was broken by sonication, the same amount of chromatin was used for immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibody or anti-IgG antibody (control). After the immunoprecipitation, chromatin was eluted from agarose beads with ChIP Elution Buffer and cross-links were reversed by incubating with NaCl and Proteinase K. DNA was purified using spin columns and quantification of DNA was determined by PCR or real-time quantitative PCR with primers targeting p53, actin, and the mir-380-5p TSS region. Primer sequences were: mir-380-5p forward: 5′-GTCAGTCTAGGAGCACTGTC-3′; mir-380-5p reverse: 5′-CTAGGGCTGTATGATTGATT-3′; p53 forward: 5′-CCTGACCTTGACCCCTCTCTC-3′; p53 reverse: 5′-CGAGGCTCTGCGCACAAGGCT-3′; actin forward: 5′-GAGCAGAAGAGCCTGCGCTT-3′ and actin reverse: 5′-AGACAAAGACCCCACGCATT-3′.

RNA interference

The lentivirus-based vector pLKO.1 was used for shRNA expression. The sequences of the 19-nucleotide shRNAs used for gene knockdown were as follows (5′ to 3′): mouse HIF-1α shRNA: GGAAAGAACTAAACACACA; mouse HIF-2α shRNA: AGAATCAACTCTAGGGTTA; human HIF-1α shRNA: GGATGGCAGGAGTACCGAAGAC; mouse Dicer shRNA-2: GCTCGGAAACTCTTCTAGGAT; human Dicer shRNA-2: GCTCGAATCTCTAGGAG; human Dicer shRNA-1: CACTCTCTAGGAG; mouse Dicer shRNA: GATACGACTCGCATG3′; human HIF-2α shRNA-1: AACAGCATATGTGGATGAGC; human HIF-2α shRNA-2: CCCGGAT-AGACTTATGGCC; human HIF-1α shRNA-1: GTGAGTGAAGAGTGCGCTGAGAAT; human HIF-1α shRNA-2: TGCTC-TTTGTTGGTGGATCTA.

Real-time RT-PCR analyses of mRNAs and miRNAs

For real-time RT-PCR mRNA quantification, total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In all, 1.5 μg of total RNA was used to prepare cDNA using random primer mixtures. Real-time RT-PCR was performed by using the SYBR Green Real-time PCR Master Mix (TOYOBO, Shanghai). Primers for mp53 were 5′-GCCAGGAGACATTTCAGGC-3′ and 5′-CTCCTCACATCCTGGGGGC-3′, for hp35 were 5′-GGTCCAGAATGG-3′ and 5′-TCTGATCCAGGCTCTTGTG-3′, for 18S RNA was 5′-CGACCAACCATTTGGAAGCT-3′.

For miRNAs, real-time RT-PCR was performed with the stem-loop primers as reported (19). U6 RNA served as an internal control. has-miR-380-5p primers were: 5′-GTCTGA-TCCAGTGGTCTGGAGATGCGCATTGGA-TACGACTTGCAG-3′ (RT), 5′-GGGTGTTGGTACCATA- GAAC-3′ (forward), and 5′-TGCGTGTCTGGAGATC-3′ (reverse). mmu-miR-380-5p primers were: 5′-GTCTGATTCAGGTGGCTTCATGGAGAATT-3′ (RT), 5′-GGGATTGTTGGTACGATAGA-3′ (forward), and 5′-TGCGTGTCTGGAGATC-3′ (reverse). U6 primers were: 5′-CGCTTACAGATT- TGGCCTGTCA-3′ (RT), 5′-GTCTCCGCGCACATATAC- AAAT-3′ (forward), and 5′-CGCTTACAGATT-TCAGT-3′ (reverse).

2-HG measurement by LC-MS

LC-MS analysis of 2-HG levels was performed as described (14). Briefly, cells were cultured to ~80% confluence, washed in PBS, quenched in 1 ml of 80:20 methanol:water at ~–80 °C, and detached from the culture dish using a cell scraper. Quenched cells were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C, and 0.8 ml of supernatant was dried under nitrogen gas and dissolved in 100 ml of aqueous LC buffer. This mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min and analyzed by LC-MS within 24 h. Total cell numbers and protein levels used for subsequent normalization of LC-MS signal intensities were determined from equivalently treated control plates. Sample separation and analysis were performed on a 50 × 2 mm, 4-mm Synergy Hydro-RP 80 A column, using a gradient of buffer A (10 mm tributylamine, 15 mM acetic acid, 3% (v/v) methanol, in water) and buffer B (methanol) using multiple reaction monitoring transitions. 2-HG levels were quantified by comparing peak areas with pure metabolite standards at known concentration.

Animal experiments and patient samples

All animal experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Xiamen University. Glioma samples were obtained with the approval of the research ethics boards of Xiamen University and Huanhu Hospital and in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Statistics

Two-tailed Student’s t test was used to compare differences between treated and control groups. Differences with p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001.
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