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Abstract: The average prevalence of *Schistosoma mansoni* infections in Brazil is related to *Taenia* spp. (presence/absence) and is modulated by *Strongyloides stercoralis*, *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichura*, or hookworm (*Ancylostoma* and/or *Necator*) species. The high score average prevalence of schistosomiasis was seen in strata whose *Taenia* spp. was absent. In contrast, the lower score average prevalence of schistosomiasis was seen in strata whose *Taenia* spp. was present irrespective to prevalence level of *Strongyloides*, *Ascaris*, *Trichuris*, or hookworm.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The *Taenia solium* infection was included by the World Health Organization in its strategic plans for the control of neglected tropical diseases [1]. Despite to be considered by some authors as the most neglected of the neglected tropical diseases [2, 3], the strongyloidiasis, is an important medically problem, due the parasitic helminth *Strongyloides*, an aggressive parasite, since it may cause hyperinfection and dissemination in complicated strongyloidiasis through auto-infection [3]. Additionally, human schistosomiasis is a major source of morbidity. Some situations are reported in which the schistosome and *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichura*, or hookworm (*Ancylostoma* and/or *Necator*) infections in the human results in a pattern of schistosomiasis which differs from the isolated *Schistosoma mansoni* infections, suggesting a synergistic or antagonism relationship between these species [4-10]. In spite of the fact that *Taenia* spp., *Strongyloides stercoralis*, *A. lumbricoides*, *T. trichura*, and hookworm infections frequently occur in regions where *S. mansoni* is endemic, the statistical association of those helminth species with *Schistosoma* has not been highlight on several studies. So far, it would indicate that something should be re-examined. Using the Brazilian bibliographic database it was pursued the effect of *Taenia* spp., *Strongyloides*, *Ascaris*, *Trichuris*, and hookworm species in the average prevalence of *S. mansoni* infections.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Scientific publications between 1974 and 2013 for surveys conducted in Brazil of all age (‘entire community’) and children-based surveys available in Portuguese and English-language were eligible for inclusion. The search included only studies that had sample size higher than 30 [11] and were all positive for the presence of *S. mansoni* eggs on fecal examinations and present information for *Ascaris*, *Trichuris*, hookworms, *Taenia* spp., and *S. stercoralis* using the parasitological technique. Score prevalences of all parasites are registered, and in some cases the prevalent rate was recalculated (Boxes 1 and 2).
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**Box 1.** Primary source of analysed data from Brazilian literature showing prevalence of helminths in areas without *Taenia* spp. transmission

| Author | Localities | N     | Sm  | Asc | Tri | Tae | Hoo | Ss |
|--------|------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| 1. Siqueira 2011 | MG | 201 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2. Costa et al. 2000 | AL | 40 | 7.7 | 61.6 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. Teixeira & Moreira, 2010 | MG | 92 | 26.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. Massara et al. 2004 | MG | 1,186 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| 5. Oliveira et al. 2012 | SE | 46 | 17.4 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| 6. Moraes 1997 | BA | 32 | 2.3 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Oliveira et al. 2012 | SE | 47 | 4.1 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8. Tsuyuoka et al. 1999 | SE | 360 | 1.7 | 26.7 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 0.0 |
| 9. Pereira 2010 | BA | 135 | 44.4 | 16.3 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| 10. Prado et al. 2001 | BA | 1,131 | 2.2 | 31.2 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| 11. Oliveira et al. 2012 | SE | 36 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12. Neto et al. 2012 | PE | 310 | 28.4 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 |
| 13. Oliveira et al. 2012 | SE | 73 | 2.7 | 13.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 0.0 |
| 14. Rollemberg et al. 2008 | SE | 19,400 | 10.9 | 16 | 26 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 |
| 15. Oliveira et al. 2012 | SE | 55 | 3.6 | 27.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 0.0 |
| 16. Rocha et al. 2010 | AL | 54 | 10.6 | 20.5 | 35.9 | 0.0 | 51.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Carvalho 2011 | MG | 487 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Torres 1985 | BA | 77 | 72.8 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 1.2 |
| 19. Kanamara et al. 1998 | SP | 628 | 0.7 | 67.9 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 1.5 |
| 20. Santos et al. 2005 | BA | 258 | 0.8 | 55.0 | 79.5 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 1.5 |
| 21. Torres 1985 | MG | 124 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 1.6 |
| 22. Torres 1985 | MG | 119 | 58.6 | 44.5 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 1.7 |
| 23. Palmeira et al. 2010 | AL | 690 | 24.9 | 27.2 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 1.7 |
| 24. Ludwig et al. 1999 | SP | 290 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 25. Gonçalves et al. 1990 | PE | 140 | 90.3 | 59.4 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 2.0 |
| 26. Barbosa and Silva. 1992 | PE | 105 | 92.0 | 81.0 | 62.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 2.0 |
| 27. Oliveira et al. 2012 | SE | 44 | 2.3 | 18.2 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 28. Assis et al. 2013 | MG | 88 | 23.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 60.2 | 2.3 |
| 29. Gonçalves et al. 1990 | PE | 31 | 75.6 | 68.3 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 85.4 | 2.4 |
| 30. Seixas et al. 2012 | BA | 200 | 1.0 | 25 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| 31. Costa et al. 2002 | BA | 155 | 5.8 | 43.1 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 35.8 | 2.6 |
| 32. Gonçalves et al. 1990 | PE | 34 | 100.0 | 29.4 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 2.9 |
| 33. Mati et al. 2011 | MG | 476 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 3.4 |
| 34. Kruschewsky 2010 | BA | 500 | 62.0 | 37.4 | 43.4 | 0.0 | 33.7 | 3.5 |
| 35. Torres 1985 | BA | 130 | 11.5 | 78.5 | 47.7 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 3.8 |
| 36. Torres 1985 | BA | 229 | 24.4 | 42.4 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 3.9 |
| 37. Filho et al. 2012 | MG | 2,161 | 0.36 | 21.5 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 4.5 |
| 38. Torres 1985 | BA | 96 | 68.7 | 10.4 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 5.2 |
| 39. Ferreira et al. 2002 | AL | 137 | 1.0 | 47.4 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 6.3 |
| 40. Assis et al. 2013 | MG | 99 | 32.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 30.3 | 6.1 |
| 41. Barbosa & Barbosa. 1998 | PE | 439 | 35.1 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 6.3 |
| 42. Assis et al. 2013 | MG | 193 | 17.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 30.1 | 6.7 |
| 43. Gonçalves et al. 1990 | PE | 193 | 75.7 | 66.7 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 83.1 | 7.8 |
| 44. Okazaki et al. 1988 | PE | 651 | 11.2 | 62.1 | 60.1 | 0.0 | 47.0 | 9.6 |
| 45. Cury et al. 1994 | MG | 864 | 15.4 | 11.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 12.9 |

Abrev.: Sm = *Schistosoma mansoni*; Asc = *Ascaris lumbricoides*; Tri = *Trichuris trichiura*; Tae = *Taenia* spp.; Hoo = Hookworm; Ss = *Strongyloides stercoralis*. Localities: AL = Alagoas; BA = Bahia; MG = Minas Gerais; PE = Pernambuco; SE = Sergipe; SP = São Paulo. Same author/year in several entries means different localities/population in the studied area of the state.

The precision of those results can be increased by stratification, so the *Taenia* spp. data was partitioned into *Taenia* spp. infection present (n=24) or absent (n=45). Because there were only a few cases registered for soil-transmitted helminths (STH) infection absent, the score prevalence of each STH species were dichotomized into two levels as follows: score prevalence values consisting of scores that are above than a median (= “More”), and scores that are not above the median (= “Less”). The score prevalence of schistosomiasis) are presented as median and stratum differences of them were compared using Minitab software to calculate the results for a Kruskal-Wallis (KW) test.
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Box 2. Primary source of analysed data from Brazilian literature showing prevalence of helminths in areas with Taenia spp. transmission

| Author                  | Localities | N   | Sm | Asc | Tri | Tae | Hoo | Ss |
|-------------------------|------------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| 1. Marinho 2008         | MG         | 926 | 0.8| 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 13.7| 0.0|
| 2. Machado et al. 1996  | MG         | 230 | 1.1| 21.6| 6.4 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.0|
| 3. Camargo-Neves et al. 1998 | SP | 400 | 5.0| 16.5| 17.7| 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0|
| 4. Enk et al. 2010      | MG         | 1,061| 11.2| 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 10.4| 0.0|
| 5. Roca 2011            | MG         | 1,124| 11.7| 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.0|
| 6. Webster et al. 1997  | MG         | 534 | 39.5| 1.0 | 1.0 | 57.4| 0.0|
| 7. Rocha et al. 2000*   | MG         | 1,441| 0.0| 4.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1|
| 8. Chieffi et al. 1988  | SP         | 913 | 0.2| 40.8| 31.2| 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.4|
| 9. Mati et al. 2011     | MG         | 341 | 1.5| 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.6|
| 10. Magalhães et al. 2013 | MG   | 93  | 4.16| 34.2| 0.27| 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.07|
| 11. Rocha et al. 2000   | MG         | 1,460| 0.37| 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 1.1|
| 12. Lopes et al. 2006   | PR         | 264 | 1.5| 10.2| 6.1 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 1.1|
| 13. Ludwig et al. 1999  | SP         | 11,573| 0.1| 3.8 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5|
| 14. Cantuária et al. 2011| MG   | 110 | 1.8| 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.8|
| 15. Ruela 2012          | MG         | 46  | 8.7| 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 2.2|
| 16. Ludwig et al. 1999  | SP         | 2,585| 0.2| 13.1| 5.9 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 2.2|
| 17. Ludwig et al. 1999  | SP         | 607 | 0.2| 8.9 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2.8|
| 18. Costa et al. 1992   | RN         | 7,012| 0.9| 31.2| 27.9| 11.2| 11.2| 3.4|
| 19. Oliveira et al. 1974| SP         | 895 | 0.2| 10.4| 13.6| 1.2 | 17.5| 6.0|
| 20. Santos et al. 1990  | GO         | 1,878| 0.3| 26.9| 6.3 | 0.2 | 21.3| 6.1|
| 21. Jardim-botelho et al. 2008 | MG | 1,113| 45.2| 50.7| 1.1 | 0.2 | 69.8| 8.1|
| 22. Oliveira et al. 2012 | SE         | 36  | 22.2| 52.8| 5.6 | 2.8 | 22.2| 8.3|
| 23. Anaruma et al. 2007 | SP         | 162 | 4.9| 16.7| 11.1| 0.6 | 4.3 | 8.6|
| 24. Fontes et al. 2003  | AL         | 1,020| 2.4| 57.0| 59.1| 1.4 | 51.3| 14.0|

Abrev.: Sm = Schistosoma mansoni; Asc = Ascaris lumbricoides; Tri = Trichuris trichiura; Tae = Taenia spp.; Hoo = Hookworm; Ss = Strongyloides stercoralis. Localities: AL = Alagoas; GO= Goiás ; MG = Minas Gerais; PR= Paraná ; RN= Rio Grande do Norte ; SE = Sergipe; SP = São Paulo. Same author/year in several entries means different localities/population in the studied area of the state.

3. RESULTS

The method of sampling attempts to total 69 surveys positive for S. mansoni infection and the estimate of the average prevalence of S. mansoni infection is 5.46.

There were 35,832 persons in prevalence surveys where Taenia spp. is present and 32,836 undertaken among this parasite is absent (or in 24 and 45 sampled localities respectively, source data in boxes 3 and 4). When comparing the median prevalence of schistosomiasis in a region without Taenia spp. occurrence to a region with Taenia spp. infection (that is, 11.20 vs 1.51) the score prevalence of S. mansoni infection does exhibit different distribution (Table 1).

Table 1. Median prevalence of schistosomiasis with or without Taenia spp. Infection in different regions

| Infection by Taenia spp. | Number of survey | Number of persons | Prevalence of S. mansoni* |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| No                       | 45               | 32,836            | 11.20                     |
| Yes                      | 24               | 35,832            | 1.51                      |

*KW=12.13; P=0.000; DF=1

Further, it was applied stratification, a sampling procedure in which the population is divided into subgroups or strata within each of which an independent sample is selected.

In such case stratification of the data is a statistical strategy for controlling variables that distorts an outcome of interest, the prevalence of S. mansoni. A parasite with potential granulomatous character, the Taenia spp. (presence/absence), was variable 1. The prevalence of zoonotic Strongyloides infection, another parasite with potential granulomatous character, was fitted as the second variable with two prevalence levels (either “More” or “Less”). A third variable was the major geo-helminths without noticeable granulomatous character, stratified by species namely Ascaris, Trichuris, and hookworms, also with two levels of prevalence (either “More” or “Less”). So, the data can be represented in a table format easy to visualize what happens with the average prevalence of schistosomiasis in the strata or subgroups (Table 2).
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**Table 2.** Helminth species as factors that contribute to the variability in median prevalence of Schistosoma mansoni infection estimates

| Route of infection | Prevalence levels | Taenia spp. positive with Strongyloides | Taenia spp. negative with Strongyloides | p-Value |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
|                    | “More”             | “Less”                                 | “More”                                 | “Less”  |
| A) Oral            | Ascaris            | 1.6 (8)**                               | 2.6 (4)                                | 24.4 (13)| 3.6 (9) | 0.028 |
|                    | “Less”             | 1.0 (4)                                | 1.5 (8)                                | 17.6 (9) | 10.2 (14)|       |
|                    | Trichuris          | 0.6 (8)                                | 1.3 (4)                                | 24.4 (13)| 10.6 (9) | 0.009 |
|                    | “Less”             | 5.2 (4)                                | 2.8 (8)                                | 15.4 (9) | 8.1 (14) |       |
| B) Skin            | Hookworm          | 3.6 (8)                                | 6.0 (4)                                | 33.7 (14)| 10.1 (8) | 0.001 |
|                    | “Less”             | 1.0 (4)                                | 1.5 (8)                                | 1.6 (8)  | 7.7 (15) |       |

*The score prevalence of Ascaris, Trichuris and hookworm species was dichotomized into two levels: a) The score prevalence values that are above a median (="More"), and scores that are below the median (="Less"). **Median ; (N)=samples .

Statistically, the expectation is that the median prevalence of S. mansoni infection does not differ from one another. However, looking at the median prevalence of S. mansoni infection they vary so much that one might wonder whether each group of strata distorts the association of parasite variables with the prevalence of schistosomiasis. Overall, the score prevalence of schistosomiasis in a region with *Taenia* spp. the median prevalence of S. mansoni infection does exhibit lower values regardless of *Strongyloides*, Ascaris, Trichuris, or hookworms. There was statistically different distribution of scores of prevalence of schistosomiasis since the *p*-value results for *Ascaris, Trichuris*, or hookworms strata were 0.028, 0.009, and 0.001, respectively.

4. **DISCUSSION**

Despite *S. mansoni* infections, *S. stercoralis* and *Taenia* spp. are phylogenetically unrelated parasites they thought to be some similarities. The infections are primarily localized in the digestive tract, but the nervous system is the other involved site [12-15]. Also, anti-complement system activity has been demonstrated in the *Taenia taeniaeformis* [16] as well as separated from sera of a *S. mansoni* infection or a bacterial disease [17,18].

When data from a variety of bibliographical sources have been lumped together, such as different parasitological condition, prevalence and some others, data analysis require separating different groups or strata [4,19,20]. In this present stratified study, the lower average prevalence of *S. mansoni* infection is clear to find strata on *Taenia* spp. positive grade than negative. It was observed that a higher score average prevalence of schistosomiasis was also observed in *Taenia* spp. negative strata that have “More” prevalence of *Strongyloides* infection. For the first time, it was shown the risk of *Taenia* spp. and *Strongyloides* infections, as an environmental indicator to guide the prevalence of *S. mansoni* infection in a fashion major soil-transmitted helminths (*Ascaris, Trichuris*, and hookworms) dependent manner. Since *S. mansoni* and studied helminth species do not interact directly with one another, the effects of immunological response can not be excluded [7,12,21]. In another line of the study also it was referred on the possible existence of a negative association between *S. mansoni* and *Ascaris* or *Trichuris* [4,5,6].

Unfortunately, no similar studies applying collective analysis in *S. mansoni* areas with zoonotic species of helminths that induce granulomatous inflammation was found to be compared. Concerning the co-infection with *S. mansoni* and *Strongyloides* the majority of the studies have been performed mainly in HIV infection [22-24].

Lastly, in the first column in Table 2, in the *Ascaris* group, these strata were contaminated by the "hidden" occurrence of the *Trichuris* and hookworms and so on. Despite the present model of collective analysis may be important to obtain overall information about the functional form of the prevalence of *S. mansoni* infection other factors that contribute to the variability in the prevalence of *S. mansoni* infection. These factors were well known namely socio-economic, human behavior, age, nutritional, environmental, demographic, ecological characteristics, and the lack of accurate diagnostic tools could also affect the results.

5. **CONCLUSION**

We conclude from the present analysed data that the median prevalence of schistosomiasis in a region
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with or without infection by *Taenia* spp. does exhibit different distribution pattern regardless the infection by *Strongyloides, Ascaris, Trichuris*, or hookworm.
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