ABSTRACT
Verification of the performance of the sanitation procedure is as important as the actual application. The methods that are going to be used for such verification needs to be user friendly and rapid resulting to apply the corrective actions promptly. Additionally, these methods should also be reliable besides their fast resulting. ATP bioluminescence method is recommended to be a solution for such procedures for many years. In this present study, our aim was to evaluate the performance of ATP bioluminescence method by comparison with golden standard classical cultural method. For this we performed parallel testing on surfaces which were experimentally contaminated with different types of microorganisms. The results showed that ATP bioluminescence gives more reliable results in surfaces which do not have any physical dirt and are contaminated with high level of microorganisms. The most reliable results were obtained from Salmonella contaminated surfaces.

Keywords: ATP bioluminescence, Food hygiene, Hygiene monitoring, Poultry hygiene, Rapid hygiene monitoring
Introduction
The microbiological safety of food products is strongly related to the hygienic properties of the processing environment. In such conditions, applying convenient sanitation methods becomes mandatory for final product safety. Evaluation of the efficiency of these methods is necessary for verification of such processes. As a matter of fact, these verification practises are required in all food safety standards (BRC 2014; ISO 2009; TSE 2006). Therefore, researchers put significant effort on development of rapid and reliable methods to meet the requirement of routine hygiene monitoring in food production.

Although classical cultural methods are the most reliable golden standards, the time consuming process necessary for these methods are not very practical for routine use. The main expectation from such methods is practicability, rapidity and cost efficiency while their reliability is still a major expectation. For this purpose, several alternative rapid methods have been developed (Rosmini at al. 2004). Most well-known samples of these alternative methods are; 3MTM PetrifilmTM, CompactDry, Contact Plate, Dipslide, DEFT, ELISA, PCR ve ATP bioluminescence hygiene monitoring system (Jasson at al., 2010).

ATP bioluminescence is one of these alternative methods and being recommended for online monitoring of the microbiological activity in biological processes since1960s (Chu et al., 2001). The method is based on the reaction between luciferin-lusiferaz and ATP which transforms the chemical energy to light energy and the measurement of this energy with a illuminometer (Griffith et al., 1997). Because, this method can be performed with a small and practical portable device it has gained a significant application area in food industry for monitoring of production surfaces. With this method it is possible to monitor the level of hygiene in minutes and thus, it gives the possibility of promptly starting the corrective actions if a nonconformity is detected (Chen and Godwin 2006; Chollet et al., 2008; Siragusa et al., 1995).

Several studies have been done so far to evaluate the effectiveness of the method in hygiene monitoring (Ayçiçek et al., 2006; Boyce at al. 2009; Carrosca et al., 2012; Chen and Godwin 2006; Costa et al., 2006; Davidson et al., 1999; Larson et al., 2003; Lehto et al., 2011; Moore and Griffith 2002; Moore et al., 2010; Sala et al., 2008; Valat et al., 2003). Lehto et al., (2011) used ATP bioluminescence method in a vegetable processing environment in Finland and determined that ATP bioluminescence method can even be used for monitoring of production surfaces with a low contamination level. Similarly, Ayçiçek et al., (2006) compared the results of hygiene monitoring with classical cultural method and ATP at hospital kitchen.

In these studies the evaluation is studied on naturally contaminated surfaces. In contrast, the main purpose of our study was to evaluate the performance of ATP bioluminescence method by comparison with the classical cultural (swab) method on surfaces artificially contaminated with different microorganisms (Salmonella, Echericia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Saccaromyces cerevisiae). Additionally, we evaluated the performance of the method on naturally contaminated environment. For this, we made parallel sampling with these two methods from a poultry processing plant.

Materials and Methods
Sampling surfaces and Reference strains: 30x40 cm stainless steel surfaces which are most commonly used materials in food production were used as model sampling surfaces. Before the artificial contamination, the surfaces were cleaned and disinfected in accordance with a sanitation procedure used by a poultry processing plant in Turkey. For this, the surfaces were sprayed with 1/250 diluted Antec DSC 1000 (Refarm, Istanbul) and rinsed with water for 2-3 min. Following cleaning, the surfaces were sprayed with 1/200 diluted Antec Ambicide (Refarm, Istanbul) for disinfection. Different then the practical approaches, the surfaces were soaked with alcohol and flamed. The residual microorganisms on the surfaces were checked with swab sampling and enumerated on Plate Count Agar (PCA, Oxoid, UK).

For the artificial contamination of the surfaces; lyophilised reference strains of E. coli ATCC 25922, Salmonella enterica subsp.enterica serovar Typhi; ATCC 14028, S.aureus subsp. aureus ATCC 11632, S. cerevisiae ATCC 9763 were used. The contamination was performed with stock cultures prepared in compliance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

Contamination of the surfaces: a single colony from each reference strain was inoculated in Brain Hearth Infusion Broth (BHIB, Oxoid, UK) and incubated under the appropriate condition and time (at 35ºC for 24 h.; 44ºC for 24 h.; 35ºC for 48 h.; 25ºC for 5-7 days; for Salmonella, E. coli, S. aureus, S. cerevisiae respectively). The presumptive colony count of these inoculation solutions were determined with McFarland Optical density Equipment (Biosan, 050102-1108-0341). The inoculation solution was then 10 fold diluted to prepare the necessary concentration for target contamination level.
Each of the two parallel surfaces (each for swab and ATP bioluminescence method) were streaked with 0.1 mL from the appropriate dilution of inoculation solution to give the high (10^5-10^6 cfu/cm^2), medium (10^2-10^4 cfu/cm^2) and low (10^1-10^2 cfu/cm^2) contamination levels. To determine the accurate bacterial count of the inoculation solution, it was plated on PCA (e.g. Plate Count Agar) in duplicate in parallel with the artificial contamination and incubated 48 h at 35°C. The obtained enumeration results were used for the determination of the contamination level. This application was repeated for each trial and each reference strain.

**ATP measurements:** In this study ATP bioluminescence Hygiena (SystemSURE Plus, INS0047) was used according to the instructions manual. Samples were taken from one of the parallel contaminated surface. Sampling were made from 100 cm^2 surface. The RLU values read from the instrument was recorded.

**Classical cultural method:** Swab sampling was performed from the second parallel contaminated surface and the swab stick was left in sterile physiological saline water. Following 10 fold dilutions with sterile physiological saline water, petri dishes containing adequate media were inoculated with appropriate dilution fluid (FDA, 2003; ISO, 2004). For *E.coli* enumeration TBX agar (Oxoid, UK), for *S.aureus* Baird Parker Agar (Oxoid, UK), for *Salmonella* XLD agar (Oxoid, UK) and for total mesophilic aerobic count PCA (Oxoid, UK) were used in accordance with the reference standard methods (FDA, 2001a; FDA 2001b; FDA 2001c; FDA, 2007, ISO 2001).

The whole experimental design was repeated three times.

The correlation between log_{10} cfu and RLU values obtained from the artificially contaminated surfaces were calculated with Spearman Correlation method.

**Results and Discussion**

The swab sampling taken from the disinfected surfaces did not show any microbiological growth on PCA. This negative total aerobic count showed that the surfaces were successfully decontaminated and the microbial flora we detected after artificial contamination belongs to target microorganisms.

The mean (mean of three repeated experiments) cfu and RLU values for the four contaminated microorganism and all contamination levels are summarized in Table 1.

According to the user’s manual of the ATP Bioluminescence equipment (SystemSURE Plus, INS0047) the RLU values <10, 11-29 and >30 reflects clean, conditionally clean and dirty surfaces (Whitehead at al. 2008). The evaluation at the last column of the table were made according to these limits.

The mean RLU results of artificially contaminated surfaces with *Salmonella* was 189 for high contamination level (6.11 log10 cfu/cm^2), while 31 and 0 respectively for medium (4.53 log10 cfu/cm^2) and low (2.29 log10 cfu/cm^2) contamination levels (Table 1). The results for *E.coli* were 265, 8 and 1 for high (5.57 log10cfu/cm^2), medium (3.25 log10cfu/cm^2) and low (1.51 log10 cfu/cm^2) respectively, for *S.aureus* 136, 19 and 1.6 for high (5.55 log10 cfu/cm^2), medium (4.66 log10 cfu/cm^2) and low (2.95 log10 cfu/cm^2) respectively and for *S.cerevisiae* 135, 0 and 3 for high (6.14 log10 cfu/cm^2), medium (2.76 log10cfu/cm^2) and low (1.32 log10 cfu/cm^2) respectively. According to these results, ATP bioluminescence evaluated the highly contaminated surfaces which carried 5-6 log10 cfu/cm2 microorganisms as “dirty”. The detection for medium level contaminated surfaces (3-4 log10 cfu/cm^2) differed for microorganism and resulted as dirty and doubtful for *Salmonella* and *S.aureus* respectively while it resulted as clean for *E.coli*. The medium level contamination was 2 log10 cfu/cm^2 for *S.cerevisiae* and ATP again resulted as clean. The results for low level contamination of *S.cerevisiae* which is 1-2 log10 cfu/cm^2 ATP failed to detect or detected as very low. Similarly, Chen and Godwin (2006) also informed that ATP results were lower than 20 RLU for surfaces contaminated with total aerobic bacteria below 3 log10/cfu. Likewise, some other researchers calculated the detection limit of ATP 3-4 log10 cfu/cm^2 (Siragusa et al., 1995; Davidson et al., 1999; Corbit et al., 2000; Larson et al., 2003; Leon ve Albrech 2007; Sala et al., 2008; Jasson et al., 2010; Carroscosa et al., 2012).
Table 1. The mean cfu and RLU values for the four contaminated microorganism and all contamination levels

| Microorganism | Contamination level | Log_{10} cfu/cm² | RLU | Clean/Dirty |
|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-----|-------------|
| Salmonella    | High                | 6.11             | 189 | Dirty       |
|               | Medium              | 4.53             | 31  | Dirty       |
|               | Low                 | 2.29             | 0   | Clean       |
| E.coli        | High                | 5.57             | 265 | Dirty       |
|               | Medium              | 3.25             | 8   | Clean       |
|               | Low                 | 1.51             | 1   | Clean       |
| S.aureus      | High                | 5.55             | 136 | Dirty       |
|               | Medium              | 4.46             | 19  | Conditionally clean |
|               | Low                 | 2.95             | 1.6 | Clean       |
| S. cerevisiae | High                | 6.14             | 135 | Dirty       |
|               | Medium              | 2.76             | 0   | Clean       |
|               | Low                 | 1.32             | 3   | Clean       |

The correlation between the results of classical cultural methods and ATP bioluminescence method was calculated with Spearman correlation test. According to this calculation, correlation coefficient (r) was 0.911, 0.724, 0.644 and 0.623 for Salmonella, E. coli, S. aureus, S. cerevisiae respectively. Nevertheless, the correlation coefficient (r) between the classical cultural and ATP bioluminescence method calculated from all results irrespective from the microorganism was 0.761 (P<0.001), which indicated that there is a significant correlation between the results. Similar to our results, some other researchers also determined that the correlation between cfu and RLU values differs for different microorganisms and was between 0.68 and 0.90 (Siragusa et al., 1995; Cutter et al., 1996; Chen and Godwin 2006; Sala et al., 2008). In our study, the highest correlation was determined for Salmonella (r=0.911) while it was as low as r=0.623 for S. cerevisiae. The p values were calculated as p=0.073 and p=0.061 for S. cerevisiae and S. aureus respectively. Chen and Godwin (2006), also informed that the values determined for different bacteria (total mesophyll aerobic count and psychrophilic count) were different and best results were obtained from mean results of pooled data. This also explains the variations of (r) values obtained from different studies. The results of Moore et al., (2010) on S. aureus were in compliance with our results. In their study, the efficiency of the cleaning procedure was inspected in a hospital intensive care service by performing measurements with classical cultural method and ATP bioluminescence method. The results of the study indicated that ATP method resulted as clean while presence of S. aureus was confirmed with classical cultural method.

Our results, particularly the results of Salmonella and E.coli is significantly differed from similar studies. Most probably, because we used artificially contaminated surfaces which did not contain any physical dirt. However, in other studies most commonly naturally contaminated surfaces were used and the dirt on these surfaces probably interfered with the results. Similarly, in some other studies the researchers also studied on naturally contaminated surfaces and determined low correlation and finally recommended to perform study with previously disinfected surfaces (Costa et al., 2006; Carrososa et al., 2012; Vilar et al., 2008). Additionally, Sokolinska ve Pikul (2008) also informed that the surface material is effective on the results and thus this might be another effective factor on the difference of the results.

Our results and results of several other researchers proved that ATP bioluminescence method has a low reliability on naturally contaminated surfaces and does not give specific information about the microorganism and thus it could only be an alternative for monitoring of the sanitation performance on clean surfaces (Davidson et al., 1999; Griffith et al., 2000; Aytaç et al., 2001; Ayçiçek et al., 2006; Chen and Godwin 2006; Costa et al., 2006; Boyce et al., 2009; Jansson et al., 2010; Moore et al., 2010).

Conclusions

Our results showed that there are several factors effective on the correlation of the results of ATP bioluminescence method and golden standard classical cultural method. However, the meaningful correlation of pooled data obtained from ATP irrespective from the microorganism type showed that the method can successfully be used for general verification purposes of the clean surfaces. Additionally because method gave the best correlation with Salmonella and taking it rapidity in to consideration, the method could be recommended for poultry industry as a practical solution.
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