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In this work, we construct and study certain classes of infinite dimensional Lie groups that are modelled on weighted function spaces. In particular, we construct a Lie group $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ of diffeomorphisms, for each Banach space $X$ and set $W$ of weights on $X$ containing the constant weights. We also construct certain types of „weighted mapping groups“. These are Lie groups modelled on weighted function spaces of the form $C^k_W(U, L(G))$, where $G$ is a given (finite- or infinite dimensional) Lie group. Both the weighted diffeomorphism groups and the weighted mapping groups are shown to be regular Lie groups in Milnor’s sense.

We also discuss semidirect products of the former groups. Moreover, we study the integrability of Lie algebras of vector fields of the form $C^\infty_W(X, X) \rtimes L(G)$, where $X$ is a Banach space and $G$ a Lie group acting smoothly on $X$.
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1. Introduction

Diffeomorphism groups of compact manifolds, as well as groups $\mathcal{C}^k(K, G)$ of Lie group-valued mappings on compact manifolds are among the most important and well-studied examples of infinite dimensional Lie groups (see for example [Les67], [Mil84], [Ham82], [Omo97], [PS86] and [KM97]). While the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(K)$ of a compact manifold is modelled on the Fréchet space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(K, TK)$ of smooth vector fields on $K$, for a non-compact smooth manifold $M$, it is not possible to make $\text{Diff}(M)$ a Lie group modelled on the space of all smooth vector fields in a satisfying way (see [Mil82]).

We mention that the LF-space $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M, TM)$ of compactly supported smooth vector fields can be used as the modelling space for a Lie group structure on $\text{Diff}(M)$. But the topology on this Lie group is too fine for many purposes; the group $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ of compactly supported diffeomorphisms (which coincide with the identity map outside some compact set) is an open subgroup (see [Mic80] and [Mil82]). Likewise, it is no problem to turn groups $\mathcal{C}_c^k(M, G)$ of compactly supported Lie group-valued maps into Lie groups (cf. [Mil84], [AHKM+93], [Glö02b]). However, only in special cases there exists a Lie group structure on $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(M, G)$, equipped with its natural group topology, the smooth compact-open topology (see [NW08]).

In view of these limitations, it is natural to look for Lie groups of diffeomorphisms which are larger then $\text{Diff}_c(M)$ and modelled on larger Lie algebras of vector fields than $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M, TM)$. In the same vain, one would like to find mapping groups modelled on larger spaces than $\mathcal{C}_c^k(M, L(G))$.

In this work, we construct such groups in the important case where the non-compact manifold $M$ is a vector space (or an open subset thereof, in the case of mapping groups). For most of the results, the vector space is even allowed to be a Banach space $X$. The groups we consider are modelled on spaces of weighted functions on $X$.

To explain our results, let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces, $U \subseteq X$ open and nonempty, $k \in \mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $\mathcal{W}$ be a set of functions $f$ on $U$ taking values in the extended real line $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$ called weights. As usual, we let $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U,Y)$ be the set of all $k$-times continuously Fréchet-differentiable functions $\gamma : U \to Y$ such that $f \cdot \|D^{(k)}\gamma\|_{op}$ is bounded for all integers $\ell \leq k$ and all $f \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U,Y)$ is a locally convex topological vector space in a natural way. We prove (see Theorem 4.3.17 and Theorem 4.4.16)

**Theorem.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $\text{Diff}_W(X) := \{\phi \in \text{Diff}(X) : \phi - \text{id}_X, \phi^{-1} - \text{id}_X \in \mathcal{C}_W^{\infty}(X, X)\}$ is a regular Lie group modelled on $\mathcal{C}_W^{\infty}(X, X)$.

Replacing $\mathcal{C}_W^{\infty}(X, X)$ by the subspace of functions $\gamma$ such that $f(x) \cdot \|D^{(k)}\gamma(x)\|_{op} \to 0$
as $\|x\| \to \infty$, we obtain a subgroup $\text{Diff}_W(X)^\circ$ of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ which also is a Lie group (see Proposition 4.3.19).

As for mapping groups, we first consider mappings into Banach Lie groups. In section 6.2 we show

**Theorem.** Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ be a Banach Lie group. Then there exists a connected Lie group $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U,G) \subseteq G^U$ modelled on $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U,L(G))$, and this Lie group is regular.

Using the natural action of diffeomorphisms on functions, we always form the semidirect product $\mathcal{C}_W^\infty(X,G) \rtimes \text{Diff}_W(X)$ and make it a Lie group.

In the case of finite-dimensional domains, we can even discuss mappings into arbitrary Lie groups modelled on locally convex spaces. To this end, given a locally convex space $Y$ and an open subset $U$ in a finite-dimensional vector space $X$ we define a certain space $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U,Y)^\bullet$ of $C^k$-maps which decay as we approach the boundary of $U$, together with their derivatives (see Definition 3.4.8 for details). We obtain the following result

**Theorem.** Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ be a locally convex Lie group. Then there exists a connected Lie group $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U,G)^\bullet \subseteq G^U$ modelled on $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U,L(G))^\bullet$.

We also discuss certain larger subgroups of $G^U$ admitting Lie group structures that make $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U,G)^\bullet$ an open normal subgroup (see section 6.4).

Finally, we consider Lie groups $G$ acting smoothly on a Banach space $X$. We investigate when the $G$-action leaves the identity component $\text{Diff}_W(X)_0$ of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ invariant and whether $\text{Diff}_W(X)_0 \rtimes G$ can be made a Lie group in this case. In particular, we show that $\text{Diff}_W(\mathbb{R}^n)_0 \rtimes \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Lie group for each $n$ (Example 5.4.2). By contrast, $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not leave $\text{Diff}_{\{1_X\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ invariant (Example 5.4.3).

We mention that certain weighted mapping groups on finite-dimensional spaces (consisting of smooth mappings) have already been discussed in [BCR81, §4.2] assuming additional hypotheses on the range group (cf. Remark 6.4.24). Besides the added generality, we provide a more complete discussion of superposition operators on weighted function spaces.

In the case where $W = \{1_X\}$, our group $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ also has a counterpart in the studies of Jürgen Eichhorn and collaborators ([Eic96], [ES96], [Eic07]), who studied certain diffeomorphism groups on non-compact manifolds with bounded geometry.

Semidirect products of diffeomorphism groups and function spaces on compact manifolds arise in Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics (see [KW09, II.3.4]). Further, the group $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rtimes \text{Diff}_\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its continuous unitary representations are encountered in Quantum Physics (see [Gol04]; cf. also [Ism96, §34] and the references therein).

**Acknowledgement** The current work comprises results from the author’s Diplomarbeit and his Ph.D.-project (advised by Helge Glöckner). The research was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), grant GL 357/4-1.
2. Preliminaries and Notation

We give some notation and basic definitions. More details are provided in the appendix.

2.1. Notation

We write $\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^* := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Further we denote norms by $\|\cdot\|$. 

Definition 2.1.1. Let $A, B$ be subsets of the normed space $X$. As usual, the distance of $A$ and $B$ is defined as

$$
\text{dist}(A, B) := \inf \{\|a - b\| : a \in A, b \in B\} \in [0, \infty].
$$

Thus $\text{dist}(A, B) = \infty$ iff $A = \emptyset$ or $B = \emptyset$.

Further, for $x \in X$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$
B_X(x, r) := \{y \in X : \|y - x\| < r\}
$$

Occasionally, we just write $B_r(x)$ instead of $B_X(x, r)$. For the closed ball, we write $\overline{B}(x, r)$ and the like.

Further, we define

$$
\mathbb{D} := \overline{B}_{\mathbb{K}}(0, 1),
$$

where $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. No confusion will arise from this abuse of notation.

2.2. Differential calculus of maps between locally convex spaces

We give basic definitions for the differential calculus for maps between locally convex spaces that is known as Keller's $C^k_{\mathbb{K}}$-theory. More results can be found in section A.2.

Definition 2.2.1 (Directional derivatives). Let $X$ and $Y$ be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $u \in U$, $x \in X$ and $f : U \to Y$ a map. The derivative of $f$ at $u$ in the direction $x$ is defined as

$$
\lim_{t \to 0, t \in \mathbb{K}} \frac{f(u + tx) - f(u)}{t} =: (D_x f)(u) =: df(u; x),
$$

whenever that limit exists.

Definition 2.2.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, and $f : U \to Y$ be a map.

We call $f$ a $C^1_{\mathbb{K}}$-map or just $C^1_{\mathbb{K}}$ if $f$ is continuous, the derivative $df(u; x)$ exists for all $(u, x) \in U \times X$ and the map $df : U \times X \to Y$ is continuous.

Inductively, for a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we call $f$ a $C^k_{\mathbb{K}}$-map or just $C^k_{\mathbb{K}}$ if $f$ is a $C^1_{\mathbb{K}}$-map and $d^k f := df : U \times X \to Y$ is a $C^{k-1}_{\mathbb{K}}$-map. In this case, the $k$-th iterated differential of $f$ is defined by

$$
d^k f := d^{k-1}(df) : U \times X^{2^{k-1}} \to Y.
$$
2. Preliminaries and Notation

If \( f \) is a \( C^k_\mathbb{R} \)-map for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we call \( f \) a \( C^\infty_\mathbb{R} \)-map or just \( C^\infty_\mathbb{R} \) or smooth.

Further, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we define
\[
C^k_\mathbb{R}(U,Y) := \{ f : U \to Y \mid f \text{ is } C^k_\mathbb{R} \}.
\]

Often, we shall simply write \( C^k(U,Y) \), \( C^k \) and the like.

It is obvious from the definition of differentiability that iterated directional derivatives exist and depend continuously on the directions. The converse of this assertion also holds.

**Proposition 2.2.3.** Let \( f : U \to Y \) be a continuous map and \( r \in \mathbb{N}^* \). Then \( f \in C^r(U,Y) \) iff for all \( u \in U \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( k \leq r \) and \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X \) the iterated directional derivative
\[
d^{(k)}f(u; x_1, \ldots, x_k) := (D_{x_k} \cdots D_{x_1}f)(u)
\]
exists and the map
\[
U \times X^k \to Y : (u, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \mapsto d^{(k)}f(u; x_1, \ldots, x_k)
\]
is continuous. We call \( d^{(k)}f \) the \( k \)-th derivative of \( f \).

2.3. Fréchet differentiability

We give basic definitions for Fréchet differentiability for maps between normed spaces. More results can be found in section A.3.

**Definition 2.3.1** (Fréchet differentiability). Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces and \( U \) an open nonempty subset of \( X \). We call a map \( \gamma : U \to Y \) Fréchet differentiable or FC\(^1\) if it is a \( C^1 \)-map and the map
\[
D\gamma : U \to L(X,Y) : x \mapsto d\gamma(x; \cdot)
\]
is continuous. Inductively, for \( k \in \mathbb{N}^* \) we call \( \gamma \) a \( FC^{k+1} \)-map if it is Fréchet differentiable and \( D\gamma \) is a \( FC^k \)-map. We denote the set of all \( k \)-times Fréchet differentiable maps from \( U \) to \( Y \) with \( FC^k(U,Y) \). Additionally, we define the smooth maps by
\[
FC^\infty(U,Y) := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} FC^k(U,Y)
\]
and \( FC^0(U,Y) := C^0(U,Y) \). The map
\[
D : FC^{k+1}(U,Y) \to FC^k(U,L(X,Y)) : \gamma \mapsto D\gamma
\]
is called derivative operator.

**Remark 2.3.2.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U \) an open nonempty subset of \( X \), \( k \in \mathbb{N}^* \) and \( \gamma \in FC^k(U,Y) \). Then for each \( \ell \in \mathbb{N}^* \) with \( \ell \leq k \) there exists a continuous map
\[
D^{(\ell)}\gamma : U \to L^\ell(X,Y),
\]
where \( L^\ell(X,Y) \) denotes the space of \( \ell \)-linear maps \( X^\ell \to Y \), endowed with the operator topology. The map \( D^{(\ell)}\gamma \) can be described more explicitly. If \( \gamma \in FC^k(U,Y) \), also \( \gamma \in C^k(U,Y) \) holds, and for each \( x \in U \) we have the relation
\[
D^{(k)}\gamma(x) = d^{(k)}(\gamma)(x; \cdot).
\]
3. Weighted function spaces

In this section we give the definition of some locally convex vector spaces consisting of weighted functions. The Lie groups that are constructed in this paper will be modelled on these spaces. We first discuss maps between normed spaces. In section 3.4 we will also look at maps that take values in arbitrary locally convex spaces. The treatment of the latter spaces requires some rather technical effort. Since these function spaces are only needed in section 6.3 and section 6.4, the reader may eventually skip the section.

3.1. Definition and examples

Definition 3.1.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces and $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a map $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ we define the quasinorm

$$\|\cdot\|_{f,k} : \mathcal{F}^k(U,Y) \to [0, \infty] : \phi \mapsto \sup\{ |f(x)| \|D^k \phi(x)\|_{op} : x \in U \}.$$ 

Furthermore, for any nonempty set $\mathcal{W}$ of maps $U \to \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the vector space

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) := \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{F}^k(U,Y) : (\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \leq k) \|\gamma\|_{f,\ell} < \infty \}$$

and notice that the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{f,\ell}$ induce a locally convex vector space topology on $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y)$.

An important example is the space of bounded functions with bounded derivatives:

Example 3.1.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$\mathcal{B}^k(U,Y) := \mathcal{C}^k_{\{1_U\}}(U,Y).$$

Remark 3.1.3. Let $U$ and $V$ be nonempty open subsets of a normed space $X$ and $U \subseteq V$. For a set $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^V$, we define

$$\mathcal{W}|_U := \{ f|_U : f \in \mathcal{W} \}.$$ 

Further we write with an abuse of notation

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) := \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}|_U}(U,Y).$$

Remark 3.1.4. As is clear, for any set $T \subseteq 2^\mathcal{W}$ with $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \in T} \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y) = \bigcap_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \leq k} \mathcal{C}^\ell_{\mathcal{F} \in T}(U,Y).$$

We define some subsets of $\mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Y)$:
Definition 3.1.5. Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ open nonempty sets and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$C^k_{W}(U, V) := \{ \gamma \in C^k_{W}(U, Y) : \gamma(U) \subseteq V \}$$

and

$$C^{0,k}_{W}(U, V) := \{ \gamma \in C^k_{W}(U, V) : (\exists r > 0) \gamma(U) + B_Y(0, r) \subseteq V \}.$$

Obviously

$$C^{\partial,k}_{W}(U, V) \subseteq C^k_{W}(U, V),$$

and if $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, then $C^{\partial,k}_{W}(U, V)$ is open in $C^k_{W}(U, Y)$.

If $U \subseteq X$ is an open neighborhood of 0, we define

$$C^k_{W}(U, Y)_0 := \{ \gamma \in C^k_{W}(U, Y) : \gamma(0) = 0 \}.$$

Analogously, we define $C^k_{W}(U, V)_0$, $C^{\partial,k}_{W}(U, V)_0$ and $BC^0(U, V)_0$ as the corresponding sets of functions vanishing at 0.

Furthermore, we define

$$C^k_{W}(U, Y)^\circ := \{ \gamma \in C^k_{W}(U, Y) : (\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \leq k, \varepsilon > 0)(\exists r > 0)\|\gamma|_{U \setminus B_r(0)}\|_{f,\ell} < \varepsilon \}.$$

Note that we are primarily interested in the spaces $C^k_{W}(X, Y)^\circ$, but for technical reasons it is useful to have the spaces $C^k_{W}(U, Y)^\circ$ available for $U \subseteq X$.

We state a property of the subspace $C^k_{W}(U, Y)^\circ$.

Lemma 3.1.6. $C^k_{W}(U, Y)^\circ$ is a closed vector subspace of $C^k_{W}(U, Y)$.

Proof. It is obvious from the definition of $C^k_{W}(U, Y)^\circ$ that it is a vector subspace. It remains to show that it is closed. To this end, let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ be a net in $C^k_{W}(U, Y)^\circ$ that converges to $\gamma \in C^k_{W}(U, Y)$ in the topology of $C^k_{W}(U, Y)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{W}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an $i_\varepsilon \in I$ such that

$$i \geq i_\varepsilon \implies \|\gamma - \gamma_i\|_{f,\ell} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Further there exists an $r > 0$ such that

$$\|\gamma_i|_{U \setminus B_r(0)}\|_{f,\ell} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\|\gamma|_{U \setminus B_r(0)}\|_{f,\ell} \leq \|\gamma|_{U \setminus B_r(0)} - \gamma_i|_{U \setminus B_r(0)}\|_{f,\ell} + \|\gamma_i|_{U \setminus B_r(0)}\|_{f,\ell} < \varepsilon.$$

\[ \square \]
Examples involving finite-dimensional spaces  Let \( K \in \{ \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} \} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). In the following, let \( U \) be an open nonempty subset of \( K^n \). For a map \( f : U \to \mathbb{R} \) and a multindex \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \) with \( |\alpha| \leq k \) we define

\[
\| \cdot \|_{f, \alpha} : C^k_{\mathbb{K}}(U, Y) \to [0, \infty] : \phi \mapsto \sup \{ \| f(x) \|_\alpha \phi(x) \| : x \in U \}.
\]

We conclude from equation (A.4.6.1) in Proposition A.4.6 that for a set \( \mathcal{W} \) of maps \( U \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
C^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, Y) = \{ \phi \in C^k_{\mathbb{K}}(U, Y) : (\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n_0, |\alpha| \leq k) \| \phi \|_{f, \alpha} < \infty \},
\]

and the topology defined with the seminorms \( \| \cdot \|_{f, \alpha} \) coincides with the one defined above using the seminorms \( \| \cdot \|_{f, \ell} \). This characterization of \( C^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, Y) \) allows us to recover well-known spaces as special cases:

- If \( \mathcal{W} \) is the space \( C^0(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \) of all continuous functions, then

\[
C^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathbb{R}^m) = \mathcal{D}(U, \mathbb{R}^m) = C^\infty_c(U, \mathbb{R}^m)
\]

where \( \mathcal{D}(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \) denotes the space of compactly supported smooth functions from \( U \) to \( \mathbb{R}^m \); it should be noticed that \( C^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \) is not endowed with the ordinary inductive limit topology \( \lim_{\leftarrow K} \mathcal{D}_K(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \), but instead the (coarser) topology making it the projective limit

\[
\lim_{\leftarrow p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}_K^p(U, \mathbb{R}^m) = \lim_{\leftarrow p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}^p(U, \mathbb{R}^m),
\]

where \( \mathcal{D}_K^p(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \) denotes the \( \mathcal{C}^p \)-maps with support in the compact set \( K \), endowed with the topology of uniform convergence of derivatives up to order \( p \); and \( \mathcal{D}^p(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \) the compactly supported \( \mathcal{C}^p \)-maps endowed with the inductive limit topology of the sets \( \mathcal{D}_K^p(U, \mathbb{R}^m) \).

- The vector-valued Schwartz space \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \). Here \( U = Y = \mathbb{R}^n, k = \infty \) and \( \mathcal{W} \) is the set of polynomial functions on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

- The space \( \mathcal{B}C^k(U, \mathbb{K}^m) \) of all bounded \( \mathcal{C}^k \)-functions from \( U \subseteq \mathbb{K}^n \) to \( \mathbb{K}^m \) whose partial derivatives are bounded (for \( \mathcal{W} = \{ 1_U \} \)); see Example 3.1.2

- If \( \mathcal{W} = \{ 1_X, \infty \cdot 1_{X\setminus U} \} \), then the space \( C^k_{\mathcal{W}}(X, Y) \) consists of \( \mathcal{B}C^k(X, Y) \) functions that are defined on \( X \) and vanish on the complement of \( U \).

3.2. Topological and uniform structure

We analyze the topology of the weighted function spaces defined above. In Proposition 3.2.3 we shall provide a method that greatly simplifies the treatment of the spaces; it will be used throughout this work. We will also describe the spaces \( C^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, Y) \) as the projective limits of suitable larger spaces. In particular, this will simplify the treatment of the spaces \( C^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(U, Y) \). Further we give a sufficient criterion on the set \( \mathcal{W} \) which ensures that \( C^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U, Y) \) is complete.
3. Weighted function spaces

3.2.1. Reduction to lower order

For \( \ell > 0 \), it is hard to compute the seminorms \( \| \cdot \|_{f,\ell} \) on \( \mathcal{C}^k_W(U, Y) \) explicitly. We develop techniques that allow us to avoid this explicit computation.

**Lemma 3.2.1.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set, \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), \( W \subseteq \overline{U} \) and \( \gamma \in FC^k(U, Y) \). Then

\[
\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^k_W(U, Y) \iff (\forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ell \leq k) D^{(\ell)} \gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0_W(U, L^\ell(X, Y)),
\]

and the map

\[
\mathcal{C}^k_W(U, Y) \to \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^0_W(U, L^\ell(X, Y)) : \gamma \mapsto (D^{(\ell)} \gamma)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \leq k}
\]

is a topological embedding.

**Proof.** Both assertions are clear from the definition of \( \mathcal{C}^k_W(U, Y) \) and \( \mathcal{C}^0_W(U, L^\ell(X, Y)) \).

The previous lemma shows that we only need to compute the seminorms \( \| \cdot \|_{f,0} \) of the derivatives \( D^{(\ell)} \gamma \). But often it is not possible to compute the higher order derivative \( D^{(\ell)} \gamma \) if \( \ell > 1 \). The next lemma states a relation between the higher order derivative of \( \gamma \) and those of \( D \gamma \).

**Lemma 3.2.2.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set, \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \gamma \in FC^{k+1}(U, Y) \). Then

\[
\| D^{(\ell)}(D \gamma)(x) \|_{op} = \| D^{(\ell+1)} \gamma(x) \|_{op} \tag{3.2.2.1}
\]

for each \( x \in U \) and \( \ell < k \). In particular, for each map \( f \in \mathbb{R}^U \), \( \ell < k \) and subset \( V \subseteq U \)

\[
\| \gamma|_V \|_{f,\ell+1} = \| (D \gamma)|_V \|_{f,\ell \ell}. \tag{3.2.2.2}
\]

**Proof.** We proved in [Lemma A.3.14](#) that

\[
D^{(\ell+1)} \gamma = \mathcal{E}_{\ell,1} \circ (D^{(\ell)}(D \gamma)),
\]

where \( \mathcal{E}_{\ell,1} : L(X, L^\ell(X, Y)) \to L^{\ell+1}(X, Y) \) is the natural isometric isomorphism (see [Lemma A.3.5](#)). The asserted identities follow immediately.

**Proposition 3.2.3** (Reduction to lower order). Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set, \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \overline{U} \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \gamma \in \mathcal{C}^1(U, Y) \). Then

\[
\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_W(U, Y) \iff (D \gamma, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}^k_W(U, L(X, Y)) \times \mathcal{C}^0_W(U, Y).
\]

Moreover, the map

\[
\mathcal{C}^{k+1}_W(U, Y) \to \mathcal{C}^k_W(U, L(X, Y)) \times \mathcal{C}^0_W(U, Y) : \gamma \mapsto (D \gamma, \gamma)
\]

is a topological embedding. In particular, the map

\[
D : \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_W(U, Y) \to \mathcal{C}^k_W(U, L(X, Y))
\]

is continuous.
Proof. The first relation follows immediately from the definition of FC\(^k\)-maps and identity (3.2.2.2) in Lemma 3.2.2. This identity, together with Lemma 3.2.1, also implies that \(C_{W}^{k+1}(U, Y)\) is endowed with the initial topology with respect to

\[ D : C_{W}^{k+1}(U, Y) \to C_{W}^{k}(U, L(X, Y)) \]

and the inclusion map

\[ C_{W}^{k+1}(U, Y) \to C_{W}^{0}(U, Y). \]

This proves the second assertion. □

**Corollary 3.2.4.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be normed spaces, \(U \subseteq X\) an open nonempty set, \(W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U\), \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\gamma \in FC_{1}^{1}(U, Y)\). Then

\[ \gamma \in C_{W}^{k+1}(U, Y)^\circ \iff (D\gamma, \gamma) \in C_{W}^{k}(U, L(X, Y))^\circ \times C_{W}^{0}(U, Y)^\circ. \]

**Proof.** This is also an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2.3 and identity (3.2.2.2) in Lemma 3.2.2 □

### 3.2.2. Projective limits and the topology of \(C_{W}^{\infty}(U, Y)\)

**Proposition 3.2.5.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be normed spaces, \(U \subseteq X\) an open nonempty set, \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U\) a nonempty set. Further let \((F_{i})_{i \in I}\) be a directed family of nonempty subsets of \(W\) such that \(\bigcup_{i \in I} F_{i} = W\). Consider \(I \times \{\ell \in \mathbb{N} : \ell \leq k\}\) as a directed set via

\[ ((i_1, \ell_1)) \leq ((i_2, \ell_2)) \iff i_1 \leq i_2 \text{ and } \ell_1 \leq \ell_2. \]

Then \(C_{W}^{k}(U, Y)\) is the projective limit of

\[ \{C_{F_{i}}^{\ell}(U, Y) : \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \leq k, i \in I\} \]

in the category of topological (vector) spaces, with the inclusion maps as morphisms.

**Proof.** Since

\[ C_{W}^{k}(U, Y) = \bigcap_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \leq k} C_{F_{i}}^{\ell}(U, Y), \]

the set \(C_{W}^{k}(U, Y)\) is the desired projective limit as a set, and hence also as a vector space. Moreover, it is well known that the initial topology with respect to the limit maps \(C_{W}^{k}(U, Y) \to C_{F_{i}}^{\ell}(U, Y)\) makes \(C_{W}^{k}(U, Y)\) the projective limit as a topological space, and also as a topological vector space. But it is clear from the definition that the given topology on \(C_{W}^{k}(U, Y)\) coincides with this initial topology. □

**Corollary 3.2.6.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be normed spaces, \(U \subseteq X\) an open nonempty set and \(W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U\). The space \(C_{W}^{\infty}(U, Y)\) is endowed with the initial topology with respect to the inclusion maps

\[ C_{W}^{\infty}(U, Y) \to C_{W}^{k}(U, Y). \]

Moreover, \(C_{W}^{\infty}(U, Y)\) is the projective limit of the spaces \(C_{W}^{k}(U, Y)\) with \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), together with the inclusion maps.

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2.5 □
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3.2.3. A completeness criterion

Lemma 3.2.7. Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in U$. Suppose that $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ contains an $f_x \in W$ with $f_x(x) \neq 0$. Then

$$\delta_x : C^k_W(U, Y) \to Y : \gamma \mapsto \gamma(x)$$

is a continuous linear map.

Proof. If there exists an $f \in W$ with $f(x) \in \{-\infty, \infty\}$, then for each $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y)$ the estimate

$$\|\delta_x(\gamma)\| = 0 \leq \|\gamma\|_{f,0}$$

holds. Otherwise, for each $f \in W$ with $f(x) \neq 0$ and $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y)$, we have

$$\|\delta_x(\gamma)\| = \|\gamma(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|f(x)|} \|\gamma\|_{f,0}.$$

In both cases, these estimates ensure the continuity of $\delta_x$. \qed

We describe a condition on $W$ and $Y$ that makes $C^k_W(U, Y)$ complete.

Proposition 3.2.8. Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further let $W$ be a set of weights such that for each compact line segment $S \subseteq U$ there exists an $f_S \in W$ with $\inf_{x \in S} |f_S(x)| > 0$. Then the image of $C^{k+1}_W(U, Y)$ under the embedding described in Proposition 3.2.3 is closed.

Proof. Let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ be a net in $C^{k+1}_W(U, Y)$ that $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ converges to $\gamma$ in $C^0_W(U, Y)$ and the net $(D\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ converges to some $\Gamma$ in $C^k_W(U, L(X, Y))$. We have to show that $\gamma \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Y)$ with $D\gamma = \Gamma$.

To this end, consider $x \in U$, $h \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the line segment $S_{x,t,h} := \{x + sth : s \in [0, 1]\}$ is contained in $U$. Since evaluation maps and the weak integration are continuous (see Lemma 3.2.7 and Lemma A.1.7 respectively) and the hypothesis on $W$ implies that $(D\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ converges to $\Gamma$ uniformly on $S_{x,t,h}$, we derive

$$\frac{\gamma(x + th) - \gamma(x)}{t} = \lim_{i \to I} \frac{\gamma_i(x + th) - \gamma_i(x)}{t} = \lim_{i \to I} \frac{\int_0^1 D\gamma_i(x + sth) \cdot (th) \, ds}{t} = \int_0^1 \Gamma(x + sth) \cdot h \, ds.$$

Since $\Gamma$ is continuous, we can apply Proposition A.1.8 and get

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\gamma(x + th) - \gamma(x)}{t} = \int_0^1 \lim_{t \to 0} (\Gamma(x + sth) \cdot h) \, ds = \Gamma(x) \cdot h.$$

Because $\Gamma$ and the evaluation of linear maps are continuous (Lemma A.3.3), $\gamma$ is a $C^1$-map with $d\gamma(x; \cdot) = \Gamma(x)$, from which we conclude with another application of the continuity of $\Gamma$ that $\gamma \in FC^1(U, Y)$ with $D\gamma = \Gamma$. Finally we conclude with Proposition 3.2.3 that $\gamma \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Y)$. \qed
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Corollary 3.2.9. In the situation of Proposition 3.2.8 assume that \( C^0_W(U,Y) \) is complete for each Banach space \( Y \). Then also \( C^k_W(U,Y) \) is complete, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Proof. The proof for \( k < \infty \) is by induction.

\( k = 0 \): This holds by our hypothesis.

\( k \to k + 1 \): We conclude from Proposition 3.2.8 that \( C^{k+1}_W(U,Y) \) is isomorphic to a closed vector subspace of \( C^*_W(U,L(X,Y)) \times C^0_W(U,Y) \). Since this space is complete by induction hypothesis, so is \( C^{k+1}_W(U,Y) \).

\( k = \infty \): This follows from Corollary 3.2.6 and the fact that \( C^k_W(U,Y) \) is complete for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) because projective limits of complete topological vector spaces are complete. \( \square \)

We give a sufficient condition for the completeness of \( C^0_W(U,Y) \).

Proposition 3.2.10. Let \( X \) be a normed space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set and \( Y \) a Banach space. Further let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a set of weights such that for each compact set \( K \subseteq U \) there exists an \( f_K \in \mathcal{W} \) with \( \inf_{x \in K} |f_K(x)| > 0 \). Then \( C^0_W(U,Y) \) is complete.

Proof. Let \( (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \) be a Cauchy net in \( C^0_W(U,Y) \). The hypotheses on \( \mathcal{W} \) imply that the topology of \( C^0_W(U,Y) \) is finer than the topology of the uniform convergence on compact sets. Hence we deduce from the completeness of \( Y \) that there exists a map \( \gamma : U \to Y \) to which \( (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \) converges uniformly on each compact subset of \( U \); and since each \( \gamma_i \) is continuous, the restriction of \( \gamma \) to each compact subset is continuous. Hence \( \gamma \) is sequentially continuous since the union of a convergent sequence with its limit is compact; but \( U \) is first countable, so \( \gamma \) is continuous.

It remains to show that \( \gamma \in C^0_W(U,Y) \) and \( (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \) converges to \( \gamma \) in \( C^0_W(U,Y) \). To see this, let \( f \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then there exists an \( \ell \in I \) such that

\[
(\forall i, j \geq \ell) \| \gamma_i - \gamma_j \|_{f,0} \leq \varepsilon,
\]

which is equivalent to

\[
(\forall x \in U, i, j \geq \ell) \| f(x) \| \| \gamma_i(x) - \gamma_j(x) \| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

If we fix \( i \) in this estimate and let \( \gamma_j(x) \) pass to its limit, then we get

\[
(\forall x \in U, i \geq \ell) \| f(x) \| \| \gamma_i(x) - \gamma(x) \| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

(\#)

From this estimate, we conclude with the triangle inequality that

\[
(\forall x \in U) \| f(x) \| \| \gamma(x) \| \leq \varepsilon + \| f(x) \| \| \gamma_\ell(x) \| \leq \varepsilon + \| \gamma_\ell \|_{f,0},
\]

so \( \gamma \in C^0_W(U,Y) \). Finally we conclude from (\#) that \( \| \gamma_i - \gamma \|_{f,0} \leq \varepsilon \) for all \( i \geq \ell \), so \( (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \) converges to \( \gamma \) in \( C^0_W(U,Y) \). \( \square \)

Corollary 3.2.11. Let \( X \) be a normed space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set, \( Y \) a Banach space and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Further let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a set of weights such that for each compact set \( K \subseteq U \) there exists a \( f_K \in \mathcal{W} \) with \( \inf_{x \in K} |f_K(x)| > 0 \). Then \( C^k_W(U,Y) \) is complete.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.2.9 and Proposition 3.2.10. \( \square \)

Corollary 3.2.12. Let \( X \) be a normed space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set, \( Y \) a Banach space and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Further let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a set of weights with \( 1_U \in \mathcal{W} \). Then \( C^k_W(U,Y) \) is complete; in particular, \( BC^k(U,Y) \) is complete.
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**An integrability criterion.** The given completeness criterion entails a criterion for the existence of the weak integral of a continuous curve to a space $C^k_W(U,Y)$ where $Y$ is not necessarily complete.

**Lemma 3.2.13.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ a nonempty open set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Gamma : [a,b] \to C^k_W(U,Y)$ a map and $R \in C^k_W(U,Y)$.

(a) Assume that $\Gamma$ is weakly integrable and that for each $x \in U$ there exists an $f_x \in \mathcal{W}$ with $f_x(x) \neq 0$. Then

$$\int_a^b \Gamma(s) \, ds = R \iff (\forall x \in U) \, \delta_x \left( \int_a^b \Gamma(s) \, ds \right) = R(x),$$

and for each $x \in U$ we have

$$\delta_x \left( \int_a^b \Gamma(s) \, ds \right) = \int_a^b \delta_x(\Gamma(s)) \, ds. \quad (3.2.13.1)$$

(b) Assume that for each compact set $K \subseteq U$, there exists a weight $f_K \in \mathcal{W}$ with $\inf_{x \in K} |f_K(x)| > 0$, that $\Gamma$ is continuous and

$$\int_a^b \delta_x(\Gamma(s)) \, ds = \delta_x(R) \quad (*)$$

holds for all $x \in U$. Then $\Gamma$ is weakly integrable with

$$\int_a^b \Gamma(s) \, ds = R.$$

**Proof.** (a) Since $\{\delta_x : x \in U\}$ separates the points on $C^k_W(U,Y)$, the stated equivalence is obvious. Further, we proved in **Lemma 3.2.7** that the condition on $\mathcal{W}$ implies that $\{\delta_x : x \in U\} \subseteq L(C^k_W(U,Y), Y)$, so (3.2.13.1) follows from **Lemma A.1.4**.

(b) Let $\tilde{Y}$ be the completion of $Y$. Then $C^k_W(U,Y) \subseteq C^k_W(U,\tilde{Y})$, and we denote the inclusion map by $\iota$. It is obvious that $\iota$ is a topological embedding. In the following, we denote the evaluation of $C^k_W(U,\tilde{Y})$ at $x \in U$ with $\tilde{\delta}_x$.

Since we proved in **Corollary 3.2.11** that the condition on $\mathcal{W}$ ensures that $C^k_W(U,\tilde{Y})$ is complete, $\iota \circ \Gamma$ is weakly integrable. Since $\tilde{\delta}_x \circ \iota = \delta_x$ for $x \in U$, we conclude from (a) (using $(*)$ and equation (3.2.13.1)) that

$$\int_a^b (\iota \circ \Gamma)(s) \, ds = \iota(R).$$

This identity ensures the integrability of $\Gamma$: By the Hahn-Banach theorem, each $\lambda \in C^k_W(U,Y)'$ extends to a $\tilde{\lambda} \in C^k_W(U,\tilde{Y})'$, that is $\lambda \circ \iota = \tilde{\lambda}$. Hence

$$\int_a^b (\lambda \circ \Gamma)(s) \, ds = \int_a^b (\tilde{\lambda} \circ \iota \circ \Gamma)(s) \, ds = \tilde{\lambda}(\iota(R)) = \lambda(R),$$

which had to be proved. \qed
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3.3. Composition

In this subsection we discuss the behaviour of weighted functions when they are composed with certain other function classes.

3.3.1. Composition with a multilinear map

We prove that a continuous multilinear map from a normed space $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m$ to a normed space $Z$ induces a continuous multilinear map from $C^k_W(U, Y_1) \times \cdots \times C^k_W(U, Y_m)$ to $C^k_W(U, Z)$. As a preparation, we calculate the differential of a composition of a multilinear map and other differentiable maps. The following definition is quite useful to do that.

Definition 3.3.1. Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$, $X$ and $Z$ be normed spaces and $b : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Z$ a continuous $m$-linear map. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ we define the $m$-linear continuous map

$b(i) : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_{i-1} \times L(X, Y_i) \times Y_{i+1} \times \cdots \times Y_m \to L(X, Z)$

$(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, T, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_m) \mapsto (h \mapsto b(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, T \cdot h, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_m))$.

Lemma 3.3.2. Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U$ be an open nonempty subset of the normed space $X$ and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Further let $b : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Z$ be a continuous $m$-linear map and $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{FC}^k(U, Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_m \in \mathcal{FC}^k(U, Y_m)$. Then

$b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in \mathcal{FC}^k(U, Z)$

with

$$D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m b(i) \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}, D\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m).$$

(3.3.2.1)

Proof. To calculate the derivative of $b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)$, we apply the chain rule and get

$$D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m))(x) \cdot h = \sum_{i=1}^m b(\gamma_1(x), \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}(x), D\gamma_i(x) \cdot h, \gamma_{i+1}(x), \ldots, \gamma_m(x))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m b(i)(\gamma_1(x), \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}(x), D\gamma_i(x), \gamma_{i+1}(x), \ldots, \gamma_m(x)) \cdot h.$$

This clearly implies (3.3.2.1). 

Proposition 3.3.3. Let $U$ be an open nonempty subset of the normed space $X$. Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ be normed spaces, $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $W, W_1, \ldots, W_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ sets such that

$$\forall f \in W \exists g_{f, 1} \in W_1, \ldots, g_{f, m} \in W_m \mid f \leq |g_{f, 1}| \cdots |g_{f, m}|.$$

Further let $Z$ be another normed space and $b : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Z$ a continuous $m$-linear map. Then

$b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in \mathcal{C}^k_W(U, Z)$
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for all \( \gamma_1 \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U,Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_m \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_m}(U,Y_m) \). The map

\[
M_k(b) : \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U,Y_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_m}(U,Y_m) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Z) : (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \mapsto b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)
\]

is \( m \)-linear and continuous.

**Proof.** For \( k < \infty \), we proceed by induction on \( k \).

- \( k = 0 \): For \( f \in \mathcal{W}, x \in U \) and \( \gamma_1 \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U,Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_m \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_m}(U,Y_m) \) we compute

\[
|f(x)| \|b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)(x)\| \leq \|b\|_{\mathcal{W}} \prod_{i=1}^m \|\gamma_i\|_{\mathcal{W}_i},
\]

so \( b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Z) \). From this estimate we also conclude

\[
\|M_0(b)(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)\|_{f,0} = \|b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)\|_{f,0} \leq \|b\|_{\mathcal{W}} \prod_{i=1}^m \|\gamma_i\|_{\mathcal{W}_i},
\]

so \( M_0(b) \) is continuous at \( 0 \). Since the \( m \)-linearity of \( M_0(b) \) is obvious, this implies the continuity of \( M_0(b) \) (see [Bou87, Chapter I, §1, no. 6]).

- \( k \to k+1 \): From Proposition 3.2.3 (together with the induction base) we know that for \( \gamma_1 \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U,Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_m \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}_m}(U,Y_m) \)

\[
b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}}(U,Z) \iff D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)) \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,L(X,Z))
\]

and that \( M_{k+1}(b) \) is continuous if

\[
D \circ M_{k+1}(b) : \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U,Y_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{W}_m}(U,Y_m) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,L(X,Z))
\]

is so. We know from (3.3.2.1) in Lemma 3.3.2 that

\[
D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m b^{(i)} \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}, D\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m).
\]

By the inductive hypothesis,

\[
b^{(i)} \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}, D\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,L(X,Z))
\]

and hence

\[
D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)) \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,L(X,Z)).
\]

Since

\[
M_k(b^{(i)}) : \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_1}(U,Y_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_m}(U,L(X,Y_i)) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}_m}(U,Y_m) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W}}(U,L(X,Z))
\]

is continuous by the inductive hypothesis, it follows that \( D \circ M_{k+1}(b) \) is continuous as

\[
(D \circ M_{k+1}(b))(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m M_k(b^{(i)})(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}, D\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m).
\]
Furthermore, \( M_{k+1}(b) \) is obviously \( m \)-linear, so the induction step is finished.

\( k = \infty \): From the assertions already established, we derive the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C^\infty_{W_1}(U, Y_1) \times \cdots \times C^\infty_{W_m}(U, Y_m) \\
\downarrow \\
C^n_{W_1}(U, Y_1) \times \cdots \times C^n_{W_m}(U, Y_m) \xrightarrow{M_{\infty}(b)} C^n_{W}(U, Z)
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
C^\infty_{W_1}(U, Y_1) \times \cdots \times C^\infty_{W_m}(U, Y_m) \\
\downarrow \\
C^n_{W_1}(U, Y_1) \times \cdots \times C^n_{W_m}(U, Y_m) \xrightarrow{M_{n}(b)} C^n_{W}(U, Z)
\end{array}
\]

for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), where the vertical arrows represent the inclusion maps. With Corollary 3.2.6 we easily deduce the continuity of \( M_{\infty}(b) \) from the one of \( M_{n}(b) \).

**Corollary 3.3.4.** Let \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_m \) be normed spaces, \( U \) an open nonempty subset of the normed space \( X \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( W, W_1, \ldots, W_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^j \) such that

\[
(\forall f \in W)(\exists g_{f,1} \in W_1, \ldots, g_{f,m} \in W_m) |f| \leq |g_{f,1}| \cdots |g_{f,m}|.
\]

Further let \( Z \) be another normed space, \( b : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Z \) a continuous \( m \)-linear map and \( j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \). Then

\[
b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in C^k_{W}(U, Z)^o
\]

for all \( \gamma_i \in C^k_{W}(U, Y_i) \) (\( i \neq j \)) and \( \gamma_j \in C^k_{W}(U, Y_j)^o \). Moreover, the map

\[
M_k(b) : C^k_{W_1}(U, Y_1) \times \cdots \times C^k_{W_j}(U, Y_j)^o \times \cdots \times C^k_{W_m}(U, Y_m) \to C^k_{W}(U, Z)^o
\]

\[
: (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m) \mapsto b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)
\]

is \( m \)-linear and continuous.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.3.3, we only have to prove the first part. This is done by induction on \( k \) (which we may assume finite).

\( k = 0 \): For \( f \in W \), \( x \in U \) and \( \gamma_1 \in C^0_{W_1}(U, Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_j \in C^0_{W_j}(U, Y_j)^o, \ldots, \gamma_m \in C^0_{W_m}(U, Y_m) \) we compute

\[
|f(x)| \|b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)(x)\| \leq \|b\| \sup_{i=1}^m |g_{f,i}(x)| \|\gamma_i(x)\| \leq \left(\|b\| \sup_{i \neq j} \|g_{f,i}(x)\| \right) |g_{f,j}(x)| \|\gamma_j(x)\|.
\]

From this estimate we easily see that \( b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in C^0_{W}(U, Z)^o \).

\( k \to k + 1 \): From Corollary 3.2.4 (together with the induction base) we know that for \( \gamma_1 \in C^{k+1}_{W_1}(U, Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_j \in C^{k+1}_{W_j}(U, Y_j)^o, \ldots, \gamma_m \in C^{k+1}_{W_m}(U, Y_m) \)

\[
b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in C^{k+1}_{W}(U, Z)^o \iff D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)) \in C^k_{W}(U, L(X, Z))^o.
\]
3. Weighted function spaces

We know from (3.3.2.1) in Lemma 3.3.2 that

\[ D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b^{(i)} \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}, D\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m) \]

+ \( b^{(j)} \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{j-1}, D\gamma_j, \gamma_{j+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m) \).

Because \( \gamma_j \in C^k(U,Y_j)^o \) and \( D\gamma_j \in C^k(U,L(X,Y_j))^o \), we can apply the inductive hypothesis to all \( b^{(i)} \) and the \( C^k \)-maps \( \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m \) and \( D\gamma_1, \ldots, D\gamma_m \) to see that this is an element of \( C^k(U,L(X,Z))^o \).

We list some applications of Proposition 3.3.3. In the following corollaries, \( k \in \mathbb{N}, U \) is an open nonempty subset of the normed space \( X \) and \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) always contains the constant map \( 1_U \).

**Corollary 3.3.5.** Let \( A \) be a normed algebra with the continuous multiplication \(*\). Then \( C^k_W(U,A) \) is an algebra with the continuous multiplication

\[
M(*) : C^k_W(U,A) \times C^k_W(U,A) \to C^k_W(U,A) \\
M(*)(\gamma, \eta)(x) = \gamma(x) * \eta(x).
\]

We shall often write \(*\) instead of \( M(*) \).

**Corollary 3.3.6.** If \( E, F \) and \( G \) are normed spaces, then the composition of linear operators

\[
\cdot : L(F,G) \times L(E,F) \to L(E,G)
\]

is bilinear and continuous and therefore induces the continuous bilinear maps

\[
M(\cdot) : C^k_W(U,L(F,G)) \times C^k_W(U,L(E,F)) \to C^k_W(U,L(E,G)) \\
M(\cdot)(\gamma, \eta)(x) = \gamma(x) \cdot \eta(x)
\]

and

\[
M_{BC}(\cdot) : C^k_W(U,L(F,G)) \times BC^k(U,L(E,F)) \to C^k_W(U,L(E,G)) \\
M_{BC}(\cdot)(\gamma, \eta)(x) = \gamma(x) \cdot \eta(x).
\]

We shall often denote \( M(\cdot) \) just by \( \cdot \).

**Corollary 3.3.7.** Let \( E \) and \( F \) be normed spaces. Then the evaluation of linear maps

\[
\cdot : L(E,F) \times E \to F : (T, w) \mapsto T \cdot w
\]

is bilinear and continuous (see Lemma A.3.3) and hence induces the continuous bilinear map

\[
M(\cdot) : C^k_W(U,L(E,F)) \times C^k_W(U,E) \to C^k_W(U,F) \\
M(\cdot)(\Gamma, \eta)(x) = \Gamma(x) \cdot \eta(x).
\]

Instead of \( M(\cdot) \) we will often write \( \cdot \).
3.3.2. Composition of bounded functions

In this subsection we explore the composition between certain subsets of the spaces $BC^k(U,Y)$.

**Lemma 3.3.8.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ open nonempty subsets and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $\gamma \in BC^{k+1}(V,Z)$ and $\eta \in BC^{0,k}(U,V)$

$$\gamma \circ \eta \in BC^k(U,Z),$$

and the map

$$BC^{k+1}(V,Z) \times BC^{0,k}(U,V) \to BC^k(U,Z) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$$

is continuous.

**Proof.** For $k < \infty$ this is proved by induction:

1. $k = 0$: Obviously

$$BC^1(V,Z) \circ BC^{0,0}(U,V) \subseteq BC^0(U,Z),$$

so it remains to show that the composition is continuous. To this end, let $\gamma, \gamma_0 \in BC^1(V,Z)$, $\eta, \eta_0 \in BC^{0,0}(U,V)$ with $\|\eta - \eta_0\|_{1,V,0} < \text{dist}(\eta_0(U), \partial V)$ and $x \in U$. Then

$$\|(\gamma \circ \eta)(x) - (\gamma_0 \circ \eta_0)(x)\| = \|\gamma(\eta(x)) - \gamma(\eta_0(x)) + \gamma(\eta_0(x)) - \gamma_0(\eta_0(x))\|$$

$$\leq \left\| \int_0^1 D\gamma(t\eta(x) + (1-t)\eta_0(x)) \cdot (\eta(x) - \eta_0(x)) \, dt \right\| + \|(\gamma - \gamma_0)(\eta_0(x))\|$$

$$\leq \|D\gamma\|_{1,V,0}\|\eta(x) - \eta_0(x)\| + \|(\gamma - \gamma_0)(\eta_0(x))\|,$$

in this estimate we used $\|\eta - \eta_0\|_{1,V,0} < \text{dist}(\eta_0(U), \partial V)$ to ensure that the line segment between $\eta(x)$ und $\eta_0(x)$ is contained in $V$. The estimate yields

$$\|\gamma \circ \eta - \gamma_0 \circ \eta_0\|_{1,V,0} \leq \|\gamma\|_{1,V,1}\|\eta - \eta_0\|_{1,V,0} + \|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_{1,V,0},$$

whence the composition is continuous.

2. $k \to k + 1$: In the following, we denote the composition map $\square$ with $g_{k,SZ}$. We know from Proposition 3.2.3 (and the induction base) that

$$g_{k+1,Z}(BC^{k+2}(V,Z) \times BC^{0,k+1}(U,V)) \subseteq BC^{k+1}(U,Z)$$

$$\iff (D \circ g_{k+1,Z})(BC^{k+2}(V,Z) \times BC^{0,k+1}(U,V)) \subseteq BC^k(U,L(X,Z))$$

and $g_{k+1,Z}$ is continuous iff $D \circ g_{k+1,Z}$ is so, as a map to $BC^k(U,L(X,Z))$. An application of the chain rule gives

$$(D \circ g_{k+1,Z})(\gamma, \eta) = g_{k,L(Y,Z)}(D\gamma, \eta) \cdot D\eta$$

(\ast\ast)$
for $\gamma \in \mathcal{BC}^{k+2}(V, Z)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{BC}^{\partial,k+1}(U, V)$, where $\cdot$ denotes the composition of linear maps, see Corollary 3.3.6. Since $D\gamma \in \mathcal{BC}^{k+1}(V, L(Y, Z))$, we deduce from the inductive hypothesis that
\[ g_{k,L(Y,Z)}(D\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{BC}^{k}(U, L(Y, Z)), \]
and using Corollary 3.3.6 we get
\[ (D \circ g_{k+1,Z})(\gamma, \eta) \in \mathcal{BC}^{k}(U, L(Y, Z)). \]
The continuity of $D \circ g_{k+1,Z}$ follows with equation (**) from the continuity of $g_{k,L(Y,Z)}$ (by the inductive hypothesis), $\cdot$ (by Corollary 3.3.6) and $D$ (by Proposition 3.2.3).

$k = \infty$: From the assertions already established, we derive the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{BC}^\infty(V, Z) \times \mathcal{BC}^{\partial,\infty}(U, V) & \xrightarrow{g_{\infty,Z}} & \mathcal{BC}^\infty(U, Z) \\
\mathcal{BC}^{n+1}(V, Z) \times \mathcal{BC}^{\partial,n}(U, V) & \xrightarrow{g_{n,Z}} & \mathcal{BC}^{n}(U, Z)
\end{array}
\]
for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where the vertical arrows represent the inclusion maps. With Corollary 3.2.6 we easily deduce the continuity of $g_{\infty,Z}$ from the one of $g_{n,Z}$.

**Lemma 3.3.9.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces and $U \subseteq X$, $V \subseteq Y$ be open subsets. Further, let $\gamma \in \mathcal{FC}^1(V, Z)$, $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{C}^0(V, Z)$, $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{BC}^0(U, Y)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^0(U, V)$ such that $\text{dist}(\eta(U), \partial V) > 0$. Then, for all $x \in U$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$ with
\[ |t| \leq \frac{\text{dist}(\eta(U), \partial V)}{||\tilde{\eta}||_{1,U,0} + 1}, \]
the identity
\[ \delta_x \left( \frac{(\gamma + t\tilde{\gamma}) \circ (\eta + t\tilde{\eta}) - \gamma \circ \eta}{t} \right) = \delta_x(\tilde{\gamma} \circ (\eta + t\tilde{\eta})) + \int_0^1 \delta_x((D\gamma \circ (\eta + st\tilde{\eta})) \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \, ds \] (3.3.9.1)
holds, where $\delta_x$ denotes the evaluation at $x$.

**Proof.** For $t$ as above the identity
\[ (\gamma + t\tilde{\gamma}) \circ (\eta + t\tilde{\eta}) - \gamma \circ \eta = \gamma \circ (\eta + t\tilde{\eta}) + t\tilde{\gamma} \circ (\eta + t\tilde{\eta}) - \gamma \circ \eta \]
holds, and an application of the mean value theorem gives
\[ \delta_x(\gamma \circ (\eta + t\tilde{\eta}) - \gamma \circ \eta) = \int_0^1 \delta_x((D\gamma \circ (\eta + st\tilde{\eta})) \cdot t\tilde{\eta}) \, ds. \]
Division by $t$ leads to the desired identity.
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**Proposition 3.3.10.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ open subsets and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then the continuous map

$$g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1} : BC^{k+\ell+1}(V,Z) \times BC^{0,k}(U,V) \to BC^k(U,Z) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$$

(cf. Lemma 3.3.8) is a $C^\ell$-map with

$$dg_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}(\gamma_0, \eta_0 ; \gamma, \eta) = g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}(\gamma, \eta_0) + g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k+\ell}(D\gamma_0, \eta_0) \cdot \eta.$$ (3.3.10.1)

**Proof.** For $k < \infty$, the proof is by induction on $\ell$ which we may assume finite because the inclusion maps $BC^\infty(V,Z) \to BC^{k+\ell+1}(V,Z)$ are continuous linear (and hence smooth).

- $\ell = 1$: Let $\gamma_0, \gamma \in BC^{k+\ell+1}(V,Z)$, $\eta_0 \in BC^{0,k}(U,V)$ and $\eta \in BC^k(U,Y)$. From Lemma 3.3.9 and Lemma 3.2.13 we conclude that for $t \in \mathbb{K}$ with $|t| \leq \frac{\text{dist}(\gamma_0(U) , \partial V)}{||\eta||_{L(\partial V,V)}}$, the integral

$$\int_0^1 (D\gamma_0 (\eta_0 + s\eta)) \cdot \eta \, ds$$

exists in $BC^k(U,Z)$. Using equation (3.3.9.1) we derive

$$g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}(\gamma_0 + t\gamma, \eta_0 + t\eta) = g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}(\gamma, \eta_0 + t\eta)$$

$$+ \int_0^1 g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k+\ell}(D\gamma_0, \eta_0 + s\eta) \cdot \eta \, ds.$$ We use Proposition A.1.8 and the continuity of $g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$, $g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k+\ell}$ and $\cdot$ (cf. Lemma 3.3.8 and Corollary 3.3.7) to see that the right hand side of this equation converges to

$$g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}(\gamma, \eta_0) + g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k+\ell}(D\gamma_0, \eta_0) \cdot \eta$$

in $BC^k(U,Z)$ as $t \to 0$. Hence the $g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$ is differentiable and its differential is given by (3.3.10.1) and thus continuous.

- $\ell - 1 \to \ell$: The map $g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$ is $C^\ell$ if $dg_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$ is $C^{\ell-1}$. The latter follows easily from (3.3.10.1), since the inductive hypothesis ensures that $g_{BC,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$ and $g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k+\ell}$ are $C^{\ell-1}$; and $\cdot$ and $D$ are smooth.

If $k = \infty$, then in view of Corollary 3.2.6 and Proposition A.2.3 $g_{BC,Z}^\infty$ is smooth as a map to $BC^\infty(U,Z)$ iff it is smooth as a map to $BC^j(U,Z)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This is satisfied by the case $k = j$, $\ell = \infty$. □

### 3.3.3. Composition of weighted functions with bounded functions

**Lemma 3.3.11.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ open subsets such that $V$ is star-shaped with center $0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^j$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$. Then for $\gamma \in BC^{k+1}(V,Z)_0$ and $\eta \in C^{0,k}_W(U,V)$

$$\gamma \circ \eta \in C^k_W(U,Z),$$
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and the composition map
\[
\mathcal{BC}^{k+1}(V, Z)_0 \times C^0_{W}(U, V) \rightarrow C^0_{W}(U, Z) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta
\]
is continuous (\(C^0_{W}(U, V)\) is endowed the topology induced by \(C^k_{W}(U, Y)\)).

\textbf{Proof.} We distinguish the cases \(k < \infty\) and \(k = \infty\):

- \(k < \infty\): To prove that for \(\gamma \in \mathcal{BC}^{k+1}(V, Z)_0\) and \(\eta \in C^0_{W}(U, V)\) the composition \(\gamma \circ \eta\) is in \(C^0_{W}(U, Z)\), in view of Proposition 3.2.3 it suffices to show that \(\gamma \circ \eta \in C^0_{W}(U, Z)\) and for \(k > 0\) also \(D(\gamma \circ \eta) \in C^{k-1}_{W}(U, L(X, Z))\).

Similarly the continuity of the composition \([\square]\), which is denoted by \(g_k\) in the remainder of this proof, is equivalent to the continuity of \(\iota_0 \circ g_k\) and for \(k > 0\) also of \(D \circ g_k\), where \(\iota_0 : C^0_{by}(U, Z) \rightarrow C^0_{by}(U, Z)\) denotes the inclusion map.

First we show \(\gamma \circ \eta \in C^0_{W}(U, Z)\). To this end, let \(f \in \mathcal{W}\) and \(x \in U\). Then
\[
|f(x)| \|\gamma(\eta(x))\| = |f(x)| \|\gamma(\eta(x)) - \gamma(0)\| = |f(x)| \left \| \int_0^1 D\gamma(t\eta(x)) \cdot \eta(x) \, dt \right \| \leq \|D\gamma\|_{1v,0} \|\eta\|_{f,0};
\]

here we used that the line segment from 0 to \(\eta(x)\) is contained in \(V\). Hence we get the estimate
\[
\|\gamma \circ \eta\|_{f,0} \leq \|\gamma\|_{1v,1} \|\eta\|_{f,0} < \infty.
\]

To check the continuity of \(\iota_0 \circ g_k\), let \(\gamma, \gamma_0 \in \mathcal{BC}^{k+1}(V, Z)_0\), \(\eta, \eta_0 \in C^0_{W}(U, V)\) such that \(\|\eta - \eta_0\|_{1v,0} < \text{dist}(\eta_0(U), \partial V)\), \(f \in \mathcal{W}\) and \(x \in U\). Then
\[
|f(x)| \|((\gamma \circ \eta)(x) - (\gamma_0 \circ \eta_0)(x))\|
= |f(x)| \|\gamma(\eta(x)) - \gamma(\eta_0(x)) + \gamma(\eta_0(x)) - \gamma_0(\eta_0(x))\|
\leq |f(x)| \|\gamma(\eta(x)) - \gamma(\eta_0(x))\| + |f(x)| \|\gamma_0(\eta_0(x)) - \gamma_0(\eta_0(x))\|
= |f(x)| \left \| \int_0^1 D\gamma(t\eta(x)) \cdot (\eta(x) - \eta_0(x)) \, dt \right \|
\quad + |f(x)| \left \| \gamma_0(\eta_0(x)) - \gamma_0(\eta_0(0)) \right \|
\leq |f(x)| \|D\gamma\|_{1v,0} \|\eta(x) - \eta_0(x)\| + |f(x)| \left \| \int_0^1 D(\gamma - \gamma_0)(t\eta_0(x)) \cdot \eta_0(x) \, dt \right \|
\leq |f(x)| \|D\gamma\|_{1v,0} \|\eta(x) - \eta_0(x)\| + |f(x)| \|D(\gamma - \gamma_0)\|_{1v,0} \|\eta_0(x)\|.
\]

Therefore the estimate
\[
\|\gamma \circ \eta - \gamma_0 \circ \eta_0\|_{f,0} \leq \|\gamma\|_{1v,1} \|\eta - \eta_0\|_{f,0} + \|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_{1v,1} \|\eta_0\|_{f,0}
\]
holds, from which the continuity of \(\iota_0 \circ g_k\) in \((\gamma_0, \eta_0)\) is easily concluded.

For \(k > 0\), \(\gamma \in \mathcal{BC}^{k+1}(V, Z)_0\) and \(\eta \in C^0_{W}(U, V)\) we apply the chain rule to get
\[
(D \circ g_k)(\gamma, \eta) = D(\gamma \circ \eta) = (D\gamma \circ \eta) \cdot D\eta = g^k_{BC,1V}(\gamma, \eta) \cdot D\eta; \quad (**)
\]
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here we used that \( \eta \in BC^k(U, V) \) because \( 1_U \) is in \( W \). Since \( D\eta \in C^{k-1}_W(U, L(X,Y)) \) and \( g^k_{BC,L(Y,Z)}(D\gamma, \eta) \in BC^{k-1}(U, L(Y,Z)) \) hold, (see Lemma 3.3.8), \( (D \circ g_k)(\gamma, \eta) \) is in \( C^{k-1}_W(U, L(Y,Z)) \) (see Corollary 3.3.6). Using that \( D \cdot \) and \( g^k_{BC,L(Y,Z)} \) are continuous (see Proposition 3.2.3 Corollary 3.3.6 and Lemma 3.3.8 respectively), we deduce the continuity of \( D \circ g_k \) from \( \star \star \).

\( k = \infty \): From the assertions already established, we derive the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
BC^{\infty}(V, Z)_0 \times C^{0,\infty}_W(U, V) & \xrightarrow{g^\infty} & C^{\infty}_W(U, Z) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
BC^{n+1}(V, Z)_0 \times C^{0,n}_W(U, V) & \xrightarrow{g_n} & C^{0,n}_W(U, Z)
\end{array}
\]

for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), where the vertical arrows represent the inclusion maps. With Corollary 3.2.6 we easily deduce the continuity of \( g_\infty \) from the one of \( g_n \).

**Proposition 3.3.12.** Let \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) be normed spaces, \( U \subseteq X \) and \( V \subseteq Y \) open subsets such that \( V \) is star-shaped with center \( 0 \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \ell \in \mathbb{N}^* \) and \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^t \) with \( 1_U \in W \). Then the map

\[ g_{W,Z}^{k,\ell+1} : BC^{k+\ell+1}(V, Z)_0 \times C^{0,k}_W(U, V) \to C^k_W(U, Z) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta \]

whose existence was stated in Lemma 3.3.11 is a \( C^\ell \)-map with

\[ dg_{W,Z}^{k,\ell+1}(\gamma_0, \eta_0; \gamma, \eta) = g_{W,Z}^{k,\ell+1}(\gamma, \eta_0) + g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k,\ell}(D\gamma_0, \eta_0) \cdot \eta. \] (3.3.12.1)

**Proof.** For \( k < \infty \), the proof is by induction on \( \ell \) which we may assume finite because the inclusion maps \( BC^{\infty}(V, Z)_0 \to BC^{k+\ell+1}(V, Z)_0 \) are continuous linear (and hence smooth).

\( \ell = 1 \): Let \( \gamma_0, \gamma \in BC^{k+\ell+1}(V, Z)_0 \), \( \eta_0 \in C^{0,k}_W(U, V) \) and \( \eta \in C^k_W(U, Y) \). From Lemma 3.3.9 and Lemma 3.2.13 we conclude that for \( t \in \mathbb{K} \) with \( |t| \leq \frac{\text{dist}(\gamma_0(U), \partial V)}{\|\eta\|_{L^1(U), \partial V} + 1} \), the integral

\[ \int_0^1 (D\gamma_0 \circ (\eta + st\eta)) \cdot \eta \, ds \]

exists in \( C^k_W(U, Z) \). Using equation (3.3.9.1) we derive

\[ \frac{g_{W,Z}^{k,\ell+1}(\gamma_0 + t\gamma, \eta_0 + t\eta) - g_{W,Z}^{k,\ell+1}(\gamma_0, \eta_0)}{t} = g_{W,Z}^{k,\ell+1}(\gamma, \eta_0 + t\eta) + \int_0^1 g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k,\ell}(D\gamma_0, \eta_0 + st\eta) \cdot \eta \, ds. \]

We use Proposition A.1.8 and the continuity of \( g_{W,Z}^{k,\ell+1}, g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k,\ell} \) and \( \cdot \) (cf. Lemma 3.3.11 Lemma 3.3.8 and Corollary 3.3.7) to see that the right hand side of this equation converges to

\[ g_{W,Z}^{k,\ell+1}(\gamma, \eta_0) + g_{BC,L(Y,Z)}^{k,\ell}(D\gamma_0, \eta_0) \cdot \eta. \]
in $C^k_{W}(U, Z)$ as $t \to 0$. Hence the $g_{W,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$ is differentiable and its differential is given by (3.3.12.1) and thus continuous.

$\ell - 1 \to \ell$: The map $g_{W,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$ is $C^\ell$ if $dg_{W,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$ is $C^{\ell-1}$. The latter follows easily from Proposition 3.3.12, since the inductive hypothesis respective Proposition 3.3.10 ensure that $g_{W,Z}^{k+\ell+1}$ and $g_{BC,Y,Z}^{k+\ell}$ are $C^{\ell-1}$; and $\cdot$ and $D$ are smooth.

If $k = \infty$, then in view of Corollary 3.2.6 and Proposition A.2.3, $g_{W,Z}^\infty$ is smooth as a map to $C^\infty_{W}(U, Z)$ iff it is smooth as a map to $C^j_{W}(U, Z)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This is satisfied by the case $k = j$, $\ell = \infty$.

3.3.4. Composition of weighted functions with an analytic map

We discuss a sufficient criterion for an analytic map to operate on $C^0_{W}(U, V)$ through (covariant) composition. First, we state a result about covariant composition of a map that is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.3.12. After that, we have to treat the real and the complex analytic case separately. While the complex case is straightforward, in the real case we have to deal with complexifications.

**Lemma 3.3.13.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ open subsets such that $V$ is star-shaped with center $0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$. Suppose further that $\Phi : V \to Z$ is a map that satisfies

\[ W \text{ open in } V, \text{ bounded and star-shaped with center } 0, \text{ dist}(W, \partial V) > 0 \]

\[ \implies \Phi|_W \in BC^{k+\ell+1}(W, Z)_0. \]

Then $\Phi \circ \gamma \in C^k_{W}(U, Z)$ for all $\gamma \in C^0_{W}(U, V)$, and the map

\[ C^0_{W}(U, V) \to C^k_{W}(U, Z) : \gamma \mapsto \Phi \circ \gamma \]

is $C^\ell$.

**Proof.** We define for $r > 0$

\[ M_r := [0, 1] \cdot \left( \{ y \in V : \text{dist}(y, \partial V) > r \} \cap B_1(0) \right). \]

It is obvious that $M_r$ is open, bounded and star-shaped with center $0$. Further we see using that $V$ is star-shaped with center $0$ and $M_r$ is bounded that $\text{dist}(M_r, \partial V) > 0$. Hence we know from Proposition 3.3.12 that

\[ C^0_{W}(U, M_r) \to C^k_{W}(U, Z) : \gamma \mapsto \Phi \circ \gamma \]

is defined and $C^\ell$ since $\Phi \in BC^{k+\ell+1}(M_r, Z)_0$ by our assumption. But

\[ C^0_{W}(U, V) = \bigcup_{r > 0} C^0_{W}(U, M_r), \]

and $1_U \in W$ implies that each $C^0_{W}(U, M_r)$ is open in $C^0_{W}(U, V)$, hence the assertion is proved. \qed
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Lemma 3.3.14. Let $Y$ and $Z$ be complex normed spaces, $V \subseteq Y$ an open nonempty subset and $\Phi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow Z$ a complex analytic map that satisfies the following condition:

$$W \subseteq V, \ W \text{ open in } V, \ \text{dist}(W, \partial V) > 0 \implies \Phi|_W \in \mathcal{BC}^0(W, Z). \quad (3.3.14.1)$$

Then $\Phi|_W \in \mathcal{BC}^\infty(W, Z)$ for all open subsets $W \subseteq V$ with $\text{dist}(W, \partial V) > 0$.

Proof. Let $W \subseteq V$ be an open subset of $V$ such that there exists an $r > 0$ with $2r < \text{dist}(W, \partial V)$. Then for each $x \in W$ and $h \in Y$ with $\|h\| \leq 1$ we get an analytic map $\Phi_{x,h} : B_C(0, 2r) \rightarrow Z : z \mapsto \Phi(x + zh)$, by restricting $\Phi$, see Theorem A.2.14. By applying the Cauchy estimates (stated in Corollary A.2.17) to this map, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we get the estimate

$$\|\Phi_{x,h}^{(k)}(0)\| \leq \frac{k!}{(2r)^k} \|\Phi|_{V + B_Y(0, r)}\|_\infty.$$

From Lemma A.2.16 and the chain rule we know that $\Phi_{x,h}^{(k)}(0) = D^{(k)}\Phi(x)(h, \ldots, h)$, so we conclude with the Polarization Formula (Proposition A.2.11) that

$$\|D^{(k)}\Phi(x)\|_{op} \leq \frac{(2k)^k}{(2r)^k} \|\Phi|_{V + B_Y(0, r)}\|_\infty,$$

and from this the assertion follows immediately since $\|\Phi|_{V + B_Y(0, r)}\|_\infty < \infty$ by Condition (3.3.14.1). \hfill $\square$

Real analytic maps. The two previous lemmas would allow us to state the desired result concerning covariant composition, but only for complex analytic maps. There are examples of real analytic maps for which the assertion of Lemma 3.3.14 is wrong. We define a class of real analytic maps that is suited to our need.

Lemma 3.3.15. Let $X$ and $Y$ be real normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$. Further let $\iota : Y \rightarrow Y_C$ denote the canonical inclusion into $Y_C$.

(a) Then $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U, Y_C)$ is the complexification of $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U, Y)$, and the canonical inclusion map is given by $\mathcal{C}_W^k(U, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_W^k(U, Y_C) : \gamma \mapsto \iota \circ \gamma$.

(b) Let $V \subseteq Y$ be an open nonempty set and $\tilde{V} \subseteq Y_C$ an open neighborhood of $\iota(V)$ such that

$$(\forall M \subseteq V) \ \text{dist}(M, Y \setminus V) > 0 \implies \text{dist}(\iota(M), Y_C \setminus \tilde{V}) > 0. \quad (3.3.15.1)$$

Then $\iota \circ \mathcal{C}_W^{0,k}(U, V) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_W^{0,k}(U, \tilde{V})$. 
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Proof. (a) It is a well known fact that $Y_C \cong Y \times Y$ and $\iota(y) = (y, 0)$ for each $y \in Y$. Hence
\[ C^k_W(U, Y_C) \cong C^k_W(U, Y \times Y) \cong C^k_W(U, Y) \times C^k_W(U, Y) \]
by Lemma 3.4.18 (and Proposition 3.3.3), and
\[ \iota \circ \gamma = (\gamma, 0) \in C^k_W(U, Y) \times C^k_W(U, Y) \cong C^k_W(U, Y_C) \]
for $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y)$.
(b) This is an immediate consequence of (a) and Condition (3.3.15.1). \qed

Definition 3.3.16. Let $Y$ and $Z$ be real normed spaces, $V \subseteq Y$ an open nonempty set, $\Phi : V \to Z$ a real analytic map. We say that $\Phi$ has a good complexification if there exists a complexification $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{V} \subseteq Y_C \to Z_C$ of $\Phi$ which satisfies Condition (3.3.14.1) and whose domain satisfies Condition (3.3.15.1). In this case, we call $\Phi$ a good complexification.

The following lemma states that good complexifications always exist at least locally. It is not needed in the further discussion about covariant composition.

Lemma 3.3.17. Let $Y$ and $Z$ be real normed spaces, $V \subseteq Y$ an open nonempty set and $\Phi : V \to Z$ a real analytic map. Then for each $x \in V$ there exists an open neighborhood $W_x \subseteq Y$ of $x$ such that $\Phi|_{W_x}$ has a good complexification.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{V} \subseteq Y_C \to Z_C$ be a complexification of $\Phi$ and $\iota : V \to \tilde{V}$ the canonical inclusion. Then there exists an $r > 0$ such that $B_Y(y(x), r) \subseteq \tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ is bounded on $B_Y(y(x), r)$. Then it is obvious that $W_x := \iota^{-1}(B_Y(y(x), r)) = B_V(x, r)$ has the stated property. \qed

Finally, we are able to state the desired result for complex analytic maps and real analytic maps with good complexifications.

Proposition 3.3.18. Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ open nonempty sets such that $V$ is star-shaped with center $0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^I$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$. Further, let $\Phi : V \to Z$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ be either a complex analytic map that satisfies Condition (3.3.14.1) or a real analytic map that has a good complexification. Then for each $\gamma \in C^{a,k}_W(U, V)$
\[ \Phi \circ \gamma \in C^{k}_W(U, Z), \]
and the map
\[ \Phi_* : C^{a,k}_W(U, V) \to C^{k}_W(U, Z) : \gamma \mapsto \Phi \circ \gamma \]
is analytic.

Proof. If $\Phi$ is complex analytic, this is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.3.13 and Lemma 3.3.14.

If $\Phi$ is real analytic, by our assumptions there exists a good complexification $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{V} \subseteq Y_C \to Z$. We know from the first part that $\tilde{\Phi}$ induces a complex analytic map
\[ \tilde{\Phi}_* : C^{a,k}_W(U, \tilde{V}) \to C^{k}_W(U, Z_C) : \gamma \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}_* \circ \gamma. \]
Since $C^{a,k}_W(U, V) \subseteq C^{a,k}_W(U, \tilde{V})$ by Lemma 3.3.15 and $\Phi_*$ coincides with the restriction of $\tilde{\Phi}_*$ to $C^{a,k}_W(U, V)$, it follows that $\Phi_*$ is real analytic. \qed
Power series  We present a class of analytic maps which have good complexifications: Absolutely convergent power series in Banach algebras.

**Lemma 3.3.19.** Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra and \( \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell} z^\ell \) a power series with \( a_{\ell} \in \mathbb{K} \) and the radius of convergence \( R \in ]0, \infty[ \). We define for \( x \in A \)

\[
P_x : B_A(x, R) \to A : y \mapsto \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell}(y - x)^\ell.
\]

Then the following assertions hold:

(a) The map \( P_x \) is analytic.

(b) If \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C} \) then \( P_x \) satisfies Condition (3.3.14.1).

(c) If \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \) then \( P_x \) has a good complexification.

**Proof.** The map \( P_x \) is defined since \( \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell}(y - x)^\ell \) is absolutely convergent on \( B_R(x) \) and \( A \) is complete.

(a) This is a special case of [Bou67, §3.2.9].

(b) If \( V \subseteq B_A(x, R) \) is open and \( \text{dist}(V, \partial B_A(x, R)) > 0 \), there exists an \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < r < R \) such that \( V \subseteq B_A(x, r) \) holds. So we compute for \( y \in V \) that

\[
\left\| \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell}(y - x)^\ell \right\| \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |a_{\ell}| \|y - x\|^\ell \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |a_{\ell}| r^\ell < \infty.
\]

(c) It is well known that the complexification of a Banach algebra is a Banach algebra as well, and a complexification of \( P_x \) is given by

\[
\tilde{P}_x : B_{A_c}(x, R) \to A : y \mapsto \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell}(y - x)^\ell.
\]

This gives the assertion. \( \square \)

Quasi-inversion algebras of weighted functions  Provided that \( 1_U \in \mathcal{W} \), we show that for each Banach algebra \( A \), the space \( C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, A) \) can be turned into a topological algebra with continuous quasi-inversion.

**Proposition 3.3.20.** Let \( A \) be a Banach algebra, \( X \) a normed space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in \mathcal{W} \). Then the locally convex space \( C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, A) \) endowed with the multiplication described in [Corollary 3.3.3] becomes a complete topological algebra with continuous quasi-inversion in the sense of [Definition D.2.1].

For each \( \gamma \in C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, A)^g \)

\[
QI_{C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, A)}(\gamma) = QI_A \circ \gamma,
\]

and

\[
C^{0,k}_\mathcal{W}(U, B_A(0, 1)) = \{ \gamma \in C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, A) : \|\gamma\|_{1_U, 0} < 1 \} \subseteq C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, A)^g.
\]
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Proof. The relation $QI_{C^k_W(U,A)}(\gamma) = QI_A \circ \gamma$ is an immediate consequence of the definition of the multiplication, so it only remains to show that $C^k_W(U, A)^q$ is open and $QI_{C^k_W(U,A)}$ is continuous. We proved in Lemma D.2.4 that it suffices to find a neighborhood of 0 that consists of quasi-invertible elements such that the restriction of $QI_{C^k_W(U,A)}$ to it is continuous. We show that $C^\partial,k_W(U, B_A(0,1))$ is such a neighborhood. The map

$$G : B_1(0) \to A : x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^i$$

is given by a power series and maps 0 to 0, hence we know from Lemma 3.3.19 and Proposition 3.3.18 that the map

$$C^\partial,k_W(U, B_A(0,1)) \to C^k_W(U, A) : \gamma \mapsto G \circ \gamma$$

is defined and analytic. Since

$$G \circ \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma^i$$

for each $\gamma \in C^\partial,k_W(U, B_A(0,1))$, we conclude from Lemma D.2.5 that $\gamma$ is quasi-invertible with

$$QI_{C^k_W(U,A)}(\gamma) = -G \circ \gamma,$$

so the proof is complete. 

Example 3.3.21. Let $Y$ be a Banach space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$. Then the locally convex space $C^k_W(U, L(Y))$ endowed with the multiplication described in Corollary 3.3.6 becomes a complete topological algebra with continuous quasi-inversion.

3.4. Weighted maps into locally convex spaces

We define weighted functions with values in arbitrary locally convex spaces, and prove various results concerning these. The material of this section is only needed for latter discussions of weighted mapping groups with values in non-Banach Lie groups (in section 6.3 and section 6.4); readers primarily interested in diffeomorphism groups can skip this section.

Definition 3.4.1. Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $Y$ a locally convex space, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$. We define

$$C^k_W(U, Y) := \{ \gamma \in C^k(U, Y) : (\forall p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)) \pi_p \circ \gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y_p) \},$$

using notation as in Definition A.2.19. For $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$, $f \in W$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$,

$$\| \cdot \|_{p,f,\ell} : C^k_W(U, Y) \to \mathbb{R} : \gamma \mapsto \| \pi_p \circ \gamma \|_{f,\ell}$$

is a seminorm on $C^k_W(U, Y)$. We endow $C^k_W(U, Y)$ with the locally convex vector space topology that is generated by these seminorms.
Lemma 3.4.2. Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $Y$ a locally convex space, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(Y)$ a set that generates $\mathcal{N}(Y)$. Then for $\gamma \in C^k(U,Y)$
\[
\gamma \in C^k_W(U,Y) \iff (\forall p \in \mathcal{P}) \pi_p \circ \gamma \in C^k_W(U,Y_p),
\]
and the map
\[
C^k_W(U,Y) \to \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} C^k_W(U,Y_p) : \gamma \mapsto (\pi_p \circ \gamma)_{p \in \mathcal{P}}
\]
is a topological embedding.

Proof. Let $q \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$. Then there exist $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}$ and $C > 0$ such that
\[
q \leq C \cdot \max_{i=1,\ldots,n} p_i.
\]
Further we know that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$ and $x \in U$, $h_1, \ldots, h_\ell \in X$
\[
d^{(\ell)}(\pi_q \circ \gamma)(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) = (\pi_q \circ d^{(\ell)}\gamma)(x, h_1, \ldots, h_\ell),
\]
so for $y \in U$ we get
\[
\|d^{(\ell)}(\pi_q \circ \gamma)(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}(\pi_q \circ \gamma)(y; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)\|_q \\
\leq\|d^{(\ell)}\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}\gamma(y; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)\|_q \\
\leq C \cdot \max_{i=1,\ldots,n} \|d^{(\ell)}\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}\gamma(y; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)\|_{p_i}.
\]
Since we assumed that $\pi_{p_i} \circ \gamma \in FC^k(U,Y_{p_i})$, we conclude with Proposition A.4.2 that $\pi_q \circ \gamma \in FC^k(U,Y_q)$, and it is obvious that
\[
\|D^{(\ell)}(\pi_q \circ \gamma)(x)\|_{op} \leq C \cdot \max_{i=1,\ldots,n} \|D^{(\ell)}(\pi_{p_i} \circ \gamma)(x)\|_{op}
\]
for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$ and $x \in U$. This implies that
\[
\|\gamma\|_{q,f,\ell} \leq C \cdot \max_{i=1,\ldots,n} \|\gamma\|_{p_i,f,\ell}
\]
for each $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$. Hence
\[
\pi_q \circ \gamma \in C^k_W(U,Y_q),
\]
and $\|\cdot\|_{q,f,\ell}$ is continuous with respect to the initial topology induced by (†). Since $q$ was arbitrary, the proof is complete.
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Topology on linear operators

Definition 3.4.3 (Topology on linear operators). Let $X$ be a normed space and $Y$ a locally convex space. For each $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$ and $T \in L(X,Y)$, we set

$$
\|T\|_{\text{op},p} := \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|_p}{\|x\|} = \|\pi_p \circ T\|_{\text{op}}.
$$

This obviously defines a seminorm on $L(X,Y)$, and henceforth we endow $L(X,Y)$ with the locally convex topology that is generated by these seminorms. Further we define $L(X,Y)_{\text{op},p} := L(X,Y)\|\cdot\|_{\text{op},p}$.

Lemma 3.4.4. Let $X$ be a normed space, $Y$ a locally convex space and $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$. Then the map induced by $(\pi_p)_*: L(X,Y) \to L(X,Y_p) : T \mapsto \pi_p \circ T$

that makes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(L(X,Y), \|\cdot\|_{\text{op},p}) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_p)_*} & L(X,Y_p) \\
\pi_{\text{op},p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
L(X,Y)_{\text{op},p} & & \\
\end{array}
$$

a commutative diagram is an isometric isomorphism onto the image of $(\pi_p)_*$. The map

$$
L(X,Y) \to \prod_{p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)} L(X,Y_p) : T \mapsto (\pi_p \circ T)_{p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)}
$$

is a topological embedding.

Proof. Since $\|T\|_{\text{op},p} = \|\pi_p \circ T\|_{\text{op}}$ for each $T \in L(X,Y)$, the induced map is an isometry. By the definition of the topology of $L(X,Y)$,

$$
L(X,Y) \to \prod_{p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)} L(X,Y)_{\text{op},p} : T \mapsto (\pi_{\text{op},p} \circ T)_{p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)}
$$

is an embedding, so by the transitivity of initial topologies, the proof is finished. □

Lemma 3.4.5. Let $X$ be a normed space, $Y$ a locally convex space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $\Gamma \in C^k(U,L(X,Y))$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}^U$

$$
\|\Gamma\|_{\|\cdot\|_{\text{op},p,f,\ell}} = \|\pi_p \circ \Gamma\|_{f,\ell}.
$$

Further for $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the equivalence

$$
\Gamma \in C^k_W(U,L(X,Y)) \iff (\forall p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)) (\pi_p)_* \circ \Gamma \in C^k_W(U,L(X,Y_p))
$$

holds, and the map

$$
C^k_W(U,L(X,Y)) \to \prod_{p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)} C^k_W(U,L(X,Y_p)) : \Gamma \mapsto ((\pi_p)_* \circ \Gamma)_{p \in \mathcal{P}}
$$

is a topological embedding.
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Proof. Note first that \( \pi_{op,p} \circ \Gamma \) is FC \( k \) iff \( (\pi_p) \ast \circ \Gamma \) \( \ast \) \( FC \) \( k \) as a consequence of Lemma 3.4.4 and Proposition A.4.2. Using Lemma 3.4.4 it is easy to see that identity \( (3.4.5.1) \) is satisfied. This implies that for each \( p \in \mathcal{N}(Y) \) the equivalence

\[
(\pi_p) \ast \circ \Gamma \in C^k(W(U, L(X,Y))) \iff \pi_{op,p} \circ \Gamma \in C^k(W(U, L(X,Y)_{op,p}))
\]

holds and that the isometry whose existence was stated in Lemma 3.4.4 induces an embedding

\[
C^k(W(U, L(X,Y))) \to \prod_{p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)} C^k(W(U, L(X,Y)_{op,p})): \Gamma \mapsto ((\pi_{op,p} \circ \Gamma)_{p \in \mathcal{P}})
\]

is an embedding, so we are home. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.4.6** (Reduction to lower order). Let \( X \) be a normed space, \( Y \) a locally convex space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( \gamma \in C^1(U,Y) \). Then

\[
\gamma \in C^{k+1}_W(U,Y) \iff (D\gamma, \gamma) \in C^k(W(U, L(X,Y))) \times C^0_W(U,Y).
\]

Furthermore, the map

\[
C^{k+1}_W(U,Y) \to C^k(W(U, L(X,Y))) \times C^0_W(U,Y) \colon \gamma \mapsto (D\gamma, \gamma)
\]

is a topological embedding.

Proof. The definition of \( C^{k+1}_W(U,Y) \), Proposition 3.2.3 and Lemma 3.4.5 give the equivalences

\[
\gamma \in C^{k+1}_W(U,Y) \iff (\forall p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)) \pi_p \circ \gamma \in C^k_W(U,Y_p)
\]

\[
\iff (\forall p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)) (D(\pi_p \circ \gamma), \pi_p \circ \gamma) \in C^k_W(U, L(X,Y_p)) \times C^0_W(U,Y_p)
\]

\[
\iff (D\gamma, \gamma) \in C^k(W(U, L(X,Y))) \times C^0_W(U,Y).
\]

Furthermore, we have the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^{k+1}_W(U,Y) & \longrightarrow & C^k(W(U, L(X,Y))) \times C^0_W(U,Y) \\
\Pi_{p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)} C^{k+1}_W(U,Y_p) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)} C^k(W(U, L(X,Y_p))) \times C^0_W(U,Y_p)
\end{array}
\]

and since the maps represented by the three lower arrows are embeddings, so is the map at the top. \( \square \)
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An integrability criterion We generalize the assertion of Lemma 3.2.13.

Lemma 3.4.7. Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ a nonempty open set, $Y$ a locally convex space, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for each compact set $K \subseteq U$, there exists an $f_K \in W$ with $\inf_{x \in K} |f_K(x)| > 0$. Further, let $\Gamma : [a, b] \to C^k_W(U, Y)$ a continuous curve and $R \in C^k_W(U, Y)$. Assume that

$$\int_a^b \delta_x(\Gamma(s)) \, ds = \delta_x(R) \quad (*)$$

holds for all $x \in U$. Then $\Gamma$ is weakly integrable with

$$\int_a^b \Gamma(s) \, ds = R.$$

Proof. We derive from Lemma 3.4.2 that the dual space of $C^k_W(U, Y)$ coincides with the set of functionals $\{ \lambda \circ \pi_p : p \in \mathcal{N}(Y), \lambda \in C^k_W(U, Y_p)' \}$. Hence $\Gamma$ is weakly integrable with the integral $R$ iff

$$\int_a^b \lambda(\pi_p \circ \Gamma)(s) \, ds = \lambda(\pi_p \circ R)$$

holds for all $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$ and $\lambda \in C^k_W(U, Y_p)'$; this is clearly equivalent to the weak integrability of $\pi_p \circ \Gamma$ with integral $\pi_p \circ R$ for all $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$. But we derive this assertion from equation $(*)$ and Lemma 3.2.13.

3.4.1. Weighted decreasing maps

We give another definition for weighted maps that decay at infinity. We do this for domains in a finite-dimensional space.

Definition 3.4.8. Let $Y$ be a normed space, $U$ an open nonempty subset of the finite-dimensional space $X$ and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. We define for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$C^k_W(U, Y)^* := \{ \gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y) : (\forall f \in W, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \leq k) \quad (\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists K \subseteq U \text{ compact}) \| \gamma |_{U \setminus K} \|_{f, \ell} < \varepsilon \}.$$

For a locally convex space $Y$ we set

$$C^k_W(U, Y)^* := \{ \gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y) : (\forall p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)) \pi_p \circ \gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y_p)^* \}.$$

For a subset $V \subseteq Y$, we define

$$C^k_W(U, V)^* := \{ \gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y)^* : \gamma(U) \subseteq V \}.$$

As in Lemma 3.1.6, we can prove that $C^k_W(U, Y)^*$ is closed in $C^k_W(U, Y)$. 

33
Lemma 3.4.9. Let $Y$ be a locally convex space, $U$ an open nonempty subset of the finite-dimensional space $X$, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^J$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $C^k_W(U, Y)^*$ is a closed vector subspace of $C^k_W(U, Y)$.

Proof. It is obvious from the definition of $C^k_W(U, Y)^*$ that it is a vector subspace. It remains to show that it is closed. To this end, let $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ be a net in $C^k_W(U, Y)^*$ that converges to $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y)$ in the topology of $C^k_W(U, Y)$. Let $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$, $f \in \mathcal{W}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an $i_\varepsilon \in I$ such that

$$i \geq i_\varepsilon \implies \|\gamma - \gamma_i\|_{p, f, \ell} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Further there exists a compact set $K$ such that

$$\|\gamma|_{U \setminus K}\|_{p, f, \ell} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\|\gamma|_{U \setminus K}\|_{p, f, \ell} \leq \|\gamma|_{U \setminus K} - \gamma_i|_{U \setminus K}\|_{p, f, \ell} + \|\gamma_i|_{U \setminus K}\|_{p, f, \ell} < \varepsilon,$$

so $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y)^*$. \hfill \square

Lemma 3.4.10. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $Y$ a locally convex space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^J$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in C^1(U, Y)$. Then

$$\gamma \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Y)^* \iff (D\gamma, \gamma) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Y))^* \times C^0_W(U, Y)^*,$$

and the map

$$C^{k+1}_W(U, Y)^* \to C^k_W(U, L(X, Y))^* \times C^0_W(U, Y)^*: \gamma \mapsto (D\gamma, \gamma)$$

is a topological embedding.

Proof. It is a consequence of identity (3.2.2.2) in Lemma 3.2.2 that for each $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$

$$\pi_p \circ \gamma \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Y_p)^* \iff (D(\pi_p \circ \gamma), \pi_p \circ \gamma) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Y_p))^* \times C^0_W(U, Y_p)^*.$$

Further it is a consequence of identity (3.4.5.1) in Lemma 3.4.5 that

$$D\gamma \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Y))^* \iff (\forall p \in \mathcal{N}(Y))D(\pi_p \circ \gamma) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Y_p))^*,$$

so the equivalence is proved. The assertion on the embedding is a consequence of Proposition 3.4.6 and Lemma 3.4.9. So the proof is finished. \hfill \square

Lemma 3.4.11. Let $U$ be an open nonempty subset of the finite-dimensional space $X$, $Y$ a locally convex space, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^J$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, and $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y)^*$. Then

$$\gamma(U) \cup \{0\}$$

is compact.

34
Proof. Since $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, $\gamma \in C^0_{\{1_U\}}(U,Y)^\bullet$. By the definition of this space, $\gamma$ can be extended to a continuous map $\tilde{\gamma}$ from the Alexandroff compactification of $U$ to $Y$ by setting $\tilde{\gamma}(\infty) := 0$. But then

$$\tilde{\gamma}(U \cup \{\infty\}) = \gamma(U) \cup \{0\}$$

is compact. \qed

**Lemma 3.4.12.** Let $U$ be an open nonempty subset of the finite-dimensional space $X$, $V$ an open nonempty zero neighborhood of the normed space $Y$, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $C^k_w(U,V)^\bullet \subseteq C^{0,k}_w(U,V)$.

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.4.11. \qed

**Lemma 3.4.13.** Let $Z$ be a locally convex space and $K \subseteq Z$ a compact set.

(a) The set $[0,1] \cdot K$ is compact and star-shaped with center 0.

(b) Let $K$ be star-shaped and $V$ an open neighborhood of $K$. Then there exists an open star-shaped set $W$ such that $K \subseteq W \subseteq V$.

**Proof.** (a) $[0,1] \cdot K$ is compact since it is the image of a compact set under a continuous map.

(b) The set $K \times \{0\}$ is compact, hence using the continuity of the addition and the Wallace lemma, we find an open 0-neighborhood $U$ such that $K + U \subseteq V$. We may assume w.l.o.g. that $U$ is absolutely convex. Then $K + U$ is open, star-shaped and contained in $V$. \qed

### 3.4.2. Multilinear superposition

**Definition 3.4.14.** Let $X$ be a normed space, $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ and $Z$ locally convex spaces and $b : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Z$ a continuous $m$-linear map. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we define the $m$-linear continuous map

$$b^{(i)} : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_{i-1} \times L(X,Y_i) \times Y_{i+1} \times \cdots \times Y_m \to L(X,Z)$$

$$(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, T, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_m) \mapsto (h \mapsto b(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, T \cdot h, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_m)).$$

**Lemma 3.4.15.** Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ and $Z$ be locally convex spaces, $U$ be an open nonempty subset of the normed space $X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further let $b : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Z$ be a continuous $m$-linear map and $\gamma_1 \in C^k(U,Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_m \in C^k(U,Y_m)$. Then

$$b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in C^k(U,Z)$$

with

$$D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m b^{(i)} \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}, D\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m). \quad (3.4.15.1)$$
3. Weighted function spaces

Proof. To calculate the derivative of \( b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \), we apply the chain rule and get

\[
d(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m))(x; h) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b(\gamma_1(x), \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}(x), d\gamma_i(x; h), \gamma_{i+1}(x), \ldots, \gamma_m(x))
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{m} b^{(i)}(\gamma_1(x), \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}(x), D\gamma_i(x), \gamma_{i+1}(x), \ldots, \gamma_m(x)) \cdot h.
\]

This implies (3.4.15.1).

Proposition 3.4.16. Let \( U \) be an open nonempty subset of the normed space \( X \). Let \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_m \) be locally convex spaces, \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( W, W_1, \ldots, W_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) sets such that

\[
(\forall f \in W)(\exists g_{f,1} \in W_1, \ldots, g_{f,m} \in W_m \mid f \leq \|g_{f,1}\| \cdots \|g_{f,m}\|).
\]

Further let \( Z \) be another locally convex space and \( b : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Z \) a continuous \( m \)-linear map. Then

\[
b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in C^k_W(U, Z)
\]

for all \( \gamma_1 \in C^k_W(U, Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_m \in C^k_W(U, Y_m) \). The map

\[
b_* : C^k_W(U, Y_1) \times \cdots \times C^k_W(U, Y_m) \to C^k_W(U, Z) : (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m) \mapsto b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)
\]

is \( m \)-linear and continuous.

Proof. Let \( p \) be a continuous seminorm on \( Z \). Then there exist \( q_1 \in \mathcal{N}(Y_1), \ldots, q_m \in \mathcal{N}(Y_m) \) such that

\[
\|b(y_1, \ldots, y_m)\|_p \leq \|y_1\|_{q_1} \cdots \|y_m\|_{q_m}.
\]

Hence there exists an \( m \)-linear map \( \tilde{b} \) making the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m & \xrightarrow{b} & Z \\
\pi_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_{q_m} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y_{1,q_1} \times \cdots \times Y_{m,q_m} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} & Z_p
\end{array}
\]

commutative. For \( \gamma_1 \in C^k_W(U, Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_m \in C^k_W(U, Y_m) \) we know from Proposition 3.3.3 that

\[
\tilde{b} \circ (\pi_{q_1} \circ \gamma_1, \ldots, \pi_{q_m} \circ \gamma_m) \in C^k_W(U, Z_p)
\]

and the map \( \tilde{b}_* \) is continuous. Since

\[
\tilde{b}_* \circ (\pi_{q_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_{q_m}) = \pi_p \circ b_*
\]

and the left hand side is continuous, we conclude using Lemma 3.4.2 that \( b_* \) is well-defined and continuous.
Corollary 3.4.17. Let $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ be locally convex spaces, $U$ be an open nonempty subset of the finite-dimensional space $X$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W, W_1, \ldots, W_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ such that

$$(\forall f \in W)(\exists g_{f,1} \in W_1, \ldots, g_{f,m} \in W_m)|f| \leq |g_{f,1}| \cdots |g_{f,m}|.$$}

Further let $Z$ be another locally convex space, $b : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Z$ a continuous $m$-linear map, and $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then

$$b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in C^k_W(U, Z)^*$$

for all $\gamma_i \in C^k_W(U, Y_i)$ ($i \neq j$) and $\gamma_j \in C^k_W(U, Y_j)^*$. The map

$$C^k_W(U, Y_1) \times \cdots \times C^k_W(U, Y_j)^* \times \cdots \times C^k_W(U, Y_m) \to C^k_W(U, Z)^*$$

$$(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m) \mapsto b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)$$

is $m$-linear and continuous.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.4.16 we only have to prove the first part. This is done by induction on $k$.

$k = 0$: Let $p \in \mathcal{N}(Z)$. Then there exist $q_1 \in \mathcal{N}(Y_1), \ldots, q_m \in \mathcal{N}(Y_m)$ such that

$$\|b(y_1, \ldots, y_m)\|_p \leq \|y_1\|_{q_1} \cdots \|y_m\|_{q_m}.$$}

Hence for $f \in W, x \in U$ and $\gamma_1 \in C^0_W(U, Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_j \in C^0_W(U, Y_j)^*, \ldots, \gamma_m \in C^0_W(U, Y_m)$ we compute

$$|f(x)|\|b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)(x)\|_p$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^m |g_{f,i}(x)|\|\gamma_i(x)\|_{q_i} \leq \left(\prod_{i \neq j}^m \|\gamma_i\|_{q_i, g_{f,i}, 0}\right) |g_{f,j}(x)|\|\gamma_j(x)\|_{q_j}.$$}

From this estimate we easily deduce that $b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in C^0_W(U, Z)^*$.

$k \to k + 1$: From Lemma 3.4.10 (together with the induction base) we know that for $\gamma_1 \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Y_1), \ldots, \gamma_j \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Y_j)^*, \ldots, \gamma_m \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Y_m)$

$$b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m) \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Z)^* \iff D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Z))^*.$$}

We know from (3.4.15.1) in Lemma 3.4.13 that

$$D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_{f,i} \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_i-1, D\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m)$$

$$+ b^{(i)} \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_{i-1}, D\gamma_j, \gamma_{j+1}, \ldots, \gamma_m).$$}

Noticing that $\gamma_j \in C^k_W(U, Y_j)^*$ and $D\gamma_j \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Y_j))^*$, we can apply the inductive hypothesis to all $b^{(i)}$ and the $C^k$-maps $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ and $D\gamma_1, \ldots, D\gamma_m$. Hence $D(b \circ (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \ldots, \gamma_m)) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Z))^*$. \[\square\]
Lemma 3.4.18. Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of locally convex spaces, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$. Then for each $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, \prod_{i \in I} Y_i)$ and $j \in I$

$$\pi_j \circ \gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y_j),$$

and the map

$$C^k_W(U, \prod_{i \in I} Y_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} C^k_W(U, Y_i) : \gamma \mapsto (\pi_i \circ \gamma)_{i \in I} \quad (\dagger)$$

is an isomorphism of locally convex topological vector spaces.

The same statement holds for $C^k_W(U, \prod_{i \in I} Y_i)^*$:

$$C^k_W(U, \prod_{i \in I} Y_i)^* \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} C^k_W(U, Y_i)^* : \gamma \mapsto (\pi_i \circ \gamma)_{i \in I} \quad (\dagger\dagger)$$

is an isomorphism of locally convex topological vector spaces.

Proof. We proved in Proposition 3.4.16 that for $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, \prod_{i \in I} Y_i)$ and $j \in I$, $\pi_j \circ \gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y_j)$ and the map $[\dagger]$ is linear and continuous. Since a function to a product is determined by its components, the map $[\dagger]$ is also injective. What remains to be shown is the surjectivity, and the continuity of the inverse mapping. To this end, we notice that for each $j \in I$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}(Y_j)$, the map

$$P_{j,p} : \prod_{i \in I} Y_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (y_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \|y_j\|_p$$

is a continuous seminorm, and the set $\{P_{j,p} : j \in I, p \in \mathbb{N}(Y_j)\}$ generates $\mathbb{N}(\prod_{i \in I} Y_i)$. Now, for each $i \in I$ let $\gamma_i \in C^k_W(U, Y_i)$. We define the map

$$\gamma : U \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} Y_i : x \mapsto (\gamma_i(x))_{i \in I}.$$

Then $\gamma$ is a $C^k$-map, and $P_{j,p} \circ \gamma = p \circ \gamma_j$. We see with Proposition A.4.2 that this implies that $\pi_{P_{j,p}} \circ \gamma$ is an $FC^k$-map, and for each $f \in W$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$ we derive the identity

$$\|\pi_{P_{j,p}} \circ \gamma\|_{P_{j,p},f,\ell} = \|\pi_p \circ \gamma_j\|_{p,f,\ell}.$$

We proved in Lemma 3.4.2 that this identity implies that $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, \prod_{i \in I} Y_i)$. Further it also proves that the inverse map of $[\dagger]$ is continuous using that it is linear.

The assertions about $[\dagger\dagger]$ follow from Corollary 3.4.17 and the assertions proved above about $[\dagger]$. \hfill $\Box$

3.4.3. Covariant composition on weighted decreasing maps

Lemma 3.4.19. Let $U$ be an open nonempty subset of the finite-dimensional space $X$, $Y$ a normed space, $V \subseteq Y$ an open zero neighborhood, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$. Then $C^k_W(U, V)^*$ is open in $C^k_W(U, Y)^*$. 38
Proposition 3.3.12 to see that $C^k$ is $C^k$ in and the map is a $C^k$-map.

**Lemma 3.4.20.** Let $U$ be an open nonempty subset of the finite-dimensional space $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ normed spaces, $V \subseteq Y$ open and star-shaped with center $0$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$. Further let $\phi \in BC^{k+l+1}(V, Z)$ with $\phi(0) = 0$. Then $\phi \circ C^k(U, V)^* \subseteq C^k(U, Z)^*$, and $C^k(U, V)^* \rightarrow C^k(U, Z)^*: \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$ is a $C^\ell$-map.

**Proof.** We proved in Lemma 3.4.12 that $C^k(U, V)^* \subseteq C^0(U, V)$. Hence $C^k(U, V)^* = C^0(U, V) \cap C^k(U, Y)^*$ is open in $C^k(U, Y)^*$.

Now $D\phi \circ \gamma \in BC^{k+1}(U, L(Y, Z))$ because of Lemma 3.3.8 since $\gamma \in BC^{k+1}(U, V)$. Further $D\gamma \in C^k(U, L(X, Y))^*$, so we conclude using Corollary 3.4.17 that $(D\phi \circ \gamma) \cdot D\gamma \in C^k(U, L(X, Z))^*$. By Lemma 3.4.10 the case $k + 1$ follows from the inductive hypothesis.

**Lemma 3.4.21.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ open subsets such that $V$ is star-shaped with center $0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\phi \in BC^{k+m+1}(V, Z)_0$ and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$. Then the map $\phi_* : C^0(U, V) \rightarrow C^k(U, Z): \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$ which makes sense by Lemma 3.3.11 is a $C^m$-map with $d^{(\ell)}\phi_*(\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) = d^{(\ell)}\phi \circ (\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell)$ for all $\ell \leq m$.  

3. Weighted function spaces
3. Weighted function spaces

**Proof.** It was proved in Proposition 3.3.12 that \( \phi_* \) is a \( C^m \)-map, hence we only have to prove the identity for the differentials. To this end, let \( x \in U \). Using the identity

\[
\delta_x Z_x \circ \phi_* = \phi \circ \delta_x Y
\]

(with self-explanatory notation for point evaluations), we calculate

\[
(\delta_x Z_x \circ d^\ell(\phi_*))(\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) = d^\ell(\phi \circ \delta_x Y)(\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) = (d^\ell \phi \circ (\delta_x Y)^{\ell+1})(\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) = \delta_x (d^\ell \phi \circ (\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell));
\]

here we used Lemma A.2.7 and Lemma A.2.8.

**Proposition 3.4.22.** Let \( U \) be an open nonempty subset of the finite-dimensional space \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) locally convex spaces, \( V \subseteq Y \) open and star-shaped with center \( 0 \), \( k, m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in W \). Let \( \phi \in C^{k+m+2}(V, Z) \) with \( \phi(0) = 0 \). Then for \( \gamma \in C^k_W(U, V)^\bullet \),

\[
\phi \circ \gamma \in C^k_W(U, Z)^\bullet
\]

holds, and the map

\[
\phi_* : C^k_W(U, V)^\bullet \to C^k_W(U, Z)^\bullet : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma
\]

is \( C^m \) with

\[
d^\ell \phi_* (\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) = d^\ell \phi \circ (\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell)
\]

for all \( \ell \leq m \).

**Proof.** Let \( \tilde{\gamma} \in C^k_W(U, V)^\bullet \). By Lemma 3.4.11 and Lemma 3.4.13 the set

\[
K := [0, 1] \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}(U) \cup \{0\})
\]

is compact and star-shaped. Hence by Lemma A.4.4 for each \( p \in \mathcal{N}(Z) \) there exists a \( q \in \mathcal{N}(Y) \) and an open set \( W \supseteq K \) w.r.t. \( q \) such that \( \tilde{\phi} \in BC^{k+m+1}(W_q, Z_p) \). In view of Lemma 3.4.13 we may assume that \( W \) (and hence \( W_q \)) is star-shaped. We know from Lemma 3.4.19 that \( C^k_W(U, W_q)^\bullet \) is a neighborhood of \( \pi_q \circ \tilde{\gamma} \) in \( C^k_W(U, Y_q)^\bullet \). In Lemma 3.4.20 we stated that

\[
\tilde{\phi}_* : C^k_W(U, W_q)^\bullet \to C^k_W(U, Z_p)^\bullet : \gamma \mapsto \tilde{\phi} \circ \gamma
\]

is \( C^m \). The diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^k_W(U, W)^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\pi_q^*} & C^k_W(U, W_q)^\bullet \\
\downarrow \tilde{\phi}_* & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_* \\
C^k_W(U, Z_p)^\bullet & \xleftarrow{(\pi_p \circ \phi)_*} & C^k_W(U, W_q)^\bullet
\end{array}
\]
is commutative. This implies that \((\pi_p \circ \phi)_*\) is \(C^m\) on \(C^k_{W}(U,W)^*\) since it is the composition of \(\tilde{\phi}_*\) and the smooth map \(\pi_{q*}\) (see Corollary 3.4.17). By Lemma A.2.8 and Lemma 3.4.21 we can calculate its higher derivatives:

\[
d^{(\ell)}(\pi_p \circ \phi)_*|_{C^k_{W}(U,W)^*}(\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) = d^{(\ell)}(\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_q)_*|_{C^k_{W}(U,W)^*}(\gamma; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) \\
= d^{(\ell)}\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi_q \circ \gamma; \pi_q \circ \gamma_1, \ldots, \pi_q \circ \gamma_\ell) \\
= d^{(\ell)}\tilde{\phi} \circ (\pi_q \circ \gamma, \pi_q \circ \gamma_1, \ldots, \pi_q \circ \gamma_\ell) \\
= d^{(\ell)}(\pi_p \circ \phi) \circ (\gamma, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) \\
= \pi_p \circ d^{(\ell)}\phi \circ (\gamma, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell)
\]

for \(\ell \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(\ell \leq m\).

Since \(\tilde{\gamma}\) and \(p\) were arbitrary, we conclude that the map

\[
C^k_{W}(U,V)^* \to \prod_{p \in \mathbb{N}(Z)} C^k_{W}(U,Z_p)^* : \gamma \mapsto (\pi_p \circ \phi \circ \gamma)_{p \in \mathbb{N}(Z)}
\]

is \(C^m\). Since its image and all directional derivatives are contained in \(C^k_{W}(U,Z)^*\) (in the sense of Lemma 3.4.2), we conclude that it is \(C^m\) as a map to \(C^k_{W}(U, Z)^*\).

**Lemma 3.4.23.** Let \(X\) be a finite-dimensional space, \(U \subseteq X\) an open nonempty subset, \(Y\) a locally convex space, \(W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U\) with \(1_U \in W\), \(\ell \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(V \subseteq Y\) convex. Then the set \(C^\ell_{W}(U,V)^*\) is convex.

**Proof.** It is obvious that \(C^\ell_{W}(U,V)\) is convex since \(V\) is so. But then

\[
C^\ell_{W}(U,V)^* = C^\ell_{W}(U,V) \cap C^\ell_{W}(U,Y)^*
\]

is convex as intersection of convex sets. \(\square\)

### 4. Lie groups of weighted diffeomorphisms

In this section, we prove that for each Banach space \(X\) appropriate subgroups of the diffeomorphism group \(\text{Diff}(X)\) can be turned into Lie groups that are modelled on open subsets of some weighted function spaces described earlier. Here

\[
\text{Diff}(X) := \{\phi \in FC^\infty(X,X) : \phi \text{ is bijective and } \phi^{-1} \in FC^\infty(X,X)\};
\]

the chain rule (Proposition A.3.10) ensure that \(\text{Diff}(X)\) is actually a group with the composition and inversion of maps as the group operations.
4. Lie groups of weighted diffeomorphisms

4.1. Weighted diffeomorphisms and endomorphisms

For $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$, we define

$$\text{Diff}_W(X) := \{ \phi \in \text{Diff}(X) : \phi - \text{id}_X, \phi^{-1} - \text{id}_X \in C^\infty_W(X, X) \}$$

and

$$\text{End}_W(X) := \{ \gamma + \text{id}_X : \gamma \in C^\infty_W(X, X) \}.$$

The set $\text{End}_W(X)$ can be turned into a smooth manifold using the differentiable structure generated by the bijective map

$$\kappa_W : C^\infty_W(X, X) \rightarrow \text{End}_W(X) : \gamma \mapsto \gamma + \text{id}_X. \quad (4.1.0.1)$$

We clarify the relation between $\text{End}_W(X)$ and $\text{Diff}_W(X)$. The following is obvious from the definition:

**Lemma 4.1.1.** Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ and $\phi \in \text{Diff}(X)$. Then

$$\phi \in \text{Diff}_W(X) \iff \phi, \phi^{-1} \in \text{End}_W(X).$$

Furthermore, we have

**Proposition 4.1.2.** Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ such that $\text{End}_W(X)$ is a monoid with respect to the composition of maps. Then the group of units is given by

$$\text{End}_W(X)^\times = \text{Diff}_W(X);$$

in particular $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Diff}(X)$.

**Proof.** Obviously

$$\phi \in \text{End}_W(X)^\times \iff \phi \text{ is bijective and } \phi, \phi^{-1} \in \text{End}_W(X).$$

Since $\text{End}_W(X)$ consists of smooth maps, the assertion follows from Lemma 4.1.1. \qed

4.2. A smooth monoid

In this subsection, we prove that $\text{End}_W(X)$ is a monoid if $1_X \in W$ holds. Thus $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ is a group. \textbf{Proposition 4.1.2} We also show that the monoid multiplication

$$\circ : \text{End}_W(X) \times \text{End}_W(X) \rightarrow \text{End}_W(X)$$

is smooth. This result together with \textbf{Proposition 4.1.2} yields that $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ is a group.
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4.2.1. Important maps

As a preliminary, we study how the composition looks like with respect to the global chart $\kappa_W$ (from \(4.1.0.1\)). For $\eta, \gamma \in C^\infty_W(X, X),$

$$\kappa_W(\gamma) \circ \kappa_W(\eta) = (\gamma + \text{id}_X) \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) = \gamma \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) + \eta + \text{id}_X. \quad (4.2.0.1)$$

Obviously $\kappa_W(\gamma) \circ \kappa_W(\eta) \in \text{End}_W(X)$ if and only if $\gamma \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) \in C^\infty_W(X, X);$ and the smoothness of $\circ$ is equivalent to that of

$$C^\infty_W(X, X) \times C^\infty_W(X, X) \to C^\infty_W(X, X) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X).$$

For technical reasons we also discuss general maps of the form $g_Y : C^0(W, Y) \times C^0(U, V) \to C^0(U, Y) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X); \quad (4.2.0.2)$

here $U, V, W \subseteq X$ are open nonempty subsets with $V + U \subseteq W$ and $Y$ is a normed space. These maps play an important role in further discussions.

**Continuity properties** We discuss when the restriction of $g_Y$ to weighted function spaces is continuous.

**Lemma 4.2.1.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U, V, W \subseteq X$ open nonempty subsets such that $V + U \subseteq W$ and $V$ is balanced, and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^W$.

(a) For $\gamma \in C^0_W(W, Y) \cap BC^1(W, Y), \eta \in C^0_W(U, V), f \in W$ and $x \in U$, the estimate

$$|f(x)| \|g_Y(\gamma, \eta)(x)\| \leq |f(x)| (\|\gamma\|_{1_1 + \infty} \|\eta(x)\| + \|\gamma(x)\|) \quad (4.2.1.1)$$

holds. In particular

$$g_Y(\gamma, \eta) = \gamma \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) \in C^0_W(U, Y).$$

(b) Let $\gamma, \gamma_0 \in C^0_W(W, Y) \cap BC^1(W, Y)$ and $\eta, \eta_0 \in C^0_W(U, V)$ such that

$$\{t\eta_0(x) + (1 - t)\eta_0(x) : t \in [0, 1], x \in U\} \subseteq V.$$

Then for each $f \in W$ the estimate

$$\|g_Y(\gamma, \eta) - g_Y(\gamma_0, \eta_0)\|_{f, 0} \leq \|\gamma\|_1 \|\eta - \eta_0\|_{f, 0} + \|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_1 \|\eta_0\|_{f, 0} + \|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_{f, 0} \quad (4.2.1.2)$$

holds. In particular, if $1_1 \in W$ then the map

$$g_{Y, 0} : C^1_W(W, Y) \times C^{0, 0}_W(U, V) \to C^0_W(U, Y) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto g_Y(\gamma, \eta)$$

is continuous.
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Proof. (a) For \( x \in U \) we derive using the triangle inequality and the mean value theorem

\[
\|f(x)\| \|g_Y(\gamma, \eta)(x)\| = \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(\eta(x) + x)\|
\]

\[
\leq \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(\eta(x) + x) - \gamma(x)\| + \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(x)\|
\]

\[
= \|f(x)\| \left\| \int_0^1 D\gamma(x + t\eta(x)) \cdot \eta(x) \, dt \right\| + \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(x)\|
\]

\[
\leq \|f(x)\| \|D\gamma\|_{\gamma(x)} \|\eta(x)\| + \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(x)\|
\]

and from this we easily conclude the assertion. We could apply the mean value theorem because the line segment \( \{x + t\eta(x) : t \in [0, 1]\} \) is contained in \( U + V \) since \( V \) is balanced.

(b) For \( x \in U \) we have

\[
\|f(x)\| \|g_{Y,0}(\gamma, \eta)(x) - g_{Y,0}(\gamma_0, \eta_0)(x)\| = \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(\eta(x) + x) - \gamma_0(\eta_0(x) + x)\|
\]

We add \( 0 = \gamma(\eta_0(x) + x) - \gamma(\eta_0(x) + x) \) and apply the triangle inequality:

\[
= \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(\eta(x) + x) - \gamma(\eta_0(x) + x) + \gamma(\eta_0(x) + x) - \gamma_0(\eta_0(x) + x)\|
\]

\[
\leq \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(\eta(x) + x) - \gamma(\eta_0(x) + x)\| + \|f(x)\| \|\gamma(\eta_0(x) + x) - \gamma_0(\eta_0(x) + x)\|
\]

We discuss the summands separately. For the first summand, we can apply the mean value theorem [Proposition A.3.11] because we assumed that the line segment \( \{t\eta(x) + (1 - t)\eta_0(x) : t \in [0, 1]\} \) is contained in \( V \), and get

\[
\|f(x)\| \|\gamma(\eta(x) + x) - \gamma(\eta_0(x) + x)\|
\]

\[
= \|f(x)\| \left\| \int_0^1 D\gamma(t\eta(x) + (1 - t)\eta_0(x) + x) \cdot (\eta(x) - \eta_0(x)) \, dt \right\|
\]

\[
\leq \|f(x)\| \|\gamma\|_{1_w,1} \|\eta(x) - \eta_0(x)\|
\]

By applying the mean value theorem, which is possible because \( V \) is balanced, the second summand becomes:

\[
\|f(x)\| \|\gamma - \gamma_0\| \|\eta_0(x) + x\|
\]

\[
= \|f(x)\| \|\gamma - \gamma_0\| \|\eta_0(x) + x\| - \|\gamma - \gamma_0\| \|\eta_0(x) + x\| + \|\gamma - \gamma_0\| \|\eta_0(x) + x\|
\]

\[
\leq \|f(x)\| \left( \left\| \int_0^1 D(\gamma - \gamma_0)(t\eta_0(x) + x) \cdot \eta_0(x) \, dt \right\| + \|\gamma - \gamma_0\| \|\eta_0(x) + x\| \right)
\]

\[
\leq \|f(x)\| \left( \|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_{1_w,1} \|\eta_0(x) + x\| + \|\gamma - \gamma_0\| \|\eta_0(x) + x\| \right)
\]

Combining these two estimates gives (4.2.1.2).

The continuity of \( g_{Y,0} \) follows from this estimate: For each \( \eta \in C^{0,0}_{\mathcal{W}}(U, V) \), there exists an \( r > 0 \) such that

\[
\eta(U) + B_r(0) \subseteq V,
\]

and since \( 1_w \in \mathcal{W}, \)

\[
F_{\eta} := \{\tilde{\eta} \in C^{0,0}_{\mathcal{W}}(U, X) : \|\eta - \tilde{\eta}\|_{1_w,0} < r\}
\]

is a neighborhood of \( \eta \) in \( C^{0,0}_{\mathcal{W}}(U, V) \). The estimate [equation (4.2.1.2)] ensures that \( g_{Y,0} \)

is continuous on \( C^{0,0}_{\mathcal{W}}(W, Y) \times F_{\eta} \). \qed
Proposition 4.2.2. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U, V, W \subseteq X \) open nonempty subsets such that \( V + U \subseteq W \) and \( V \) is balanced, \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^W \) with \( 1_W \in W \). Then
\[
g_Y(C^{k+1}_W(W, Y) \times C^k_W(U, V)) \subseteq C^k_W(U, Y),
\]
and the map
\[
g_{Y, k} : C^{k+1}_W(W, Y) \times C^k_W(U, V) \rightarrow C^k_W(U, Y) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto g_Y(\gamma, \eta)
\]
which arises by restricting \( g_Y \) is continuous.

Proof. The proof is by induction. The case \( k = 0 \) was treated in Lemma 4.2.1.

\( k \to k + 1 \): We use Proposition 3.2.3 (and Lemma 4.2.1) to see that
\[
g_Y(C^{k+2}_W(W, Y) \times C^{k+1}_W(U, V)) \subseteq C^{k+1}_W(U, L(X, Y));
\]
and that the continuity of \( g_{Y, k+1} \) is equivalent to that of \( D \circ g_{Y, k+1} \).

Applying the chain rule to \( g_Y \) yields that for \( \gamma \in C^{k+2}_W(W, Y) \) and \( \eta \in C^{k+1}_W(U, V) \)
\[
(D \circ g_Y)(\gamma, \eta) = g_{L(X, Y), k}(D\gamma, \eta) \cdot (D\eta + \text{id})
\]
holds, where \( \cdot \) denotes the composition of linear maps (see Corollary 3.3.6) and \( \text{id} \) denotes the constant map \( x \mapsto \text{id}_X \). Since \( D\gamma \in C^{k+1}_W(W, L(X, Y)) \), we derive from the induction hypothesis that
\[
g_{L(X, Y), k}(D\gamma, \eta) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Y)).
\]
Hence we conclude from Corollary 3.3.6 and \( D\eta + \text{id} \in BC^k(U, L(X)) \) that
\[
(D \circ g_Y)(\gamma, \eta) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Y)).
\]

The continuity of \( D \circ g_{Y, k+1} \) follows easily from (\( \Box \)): We use the inductive hypothesis to conclude that \( g_{L(X, Y), k} \) is continuous. Since \( D \) and
\[
\cdot : C^k_W(U, L(X, Y)) \times BC^k(U, L(X)) \rightarrow C^k_W(U, L(X, Y))
\]
are smooth (see Proposition 3.2.3 and Corollary 3.3.6) as well as the translation with \( \text{id} \) in \( BC^k(U, L(X)) \), the continuity of \( g_{Y, k+1} \) is proved. \( \Box \)

Lemma 4.2.3. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U, V, W \subseteq X \) open nonempty subsets such that \( V + U \subseteq W \) and \( V \) is balanced, \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^W \) with \( 1_X \in W \). Then
\[
g_{Y, k}(C^{k+1}_W(W, Y) \circ C^k_Y(U, V)) \subseteq C^k_W(U, Y) \circ.
\]
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Proof. We only need to prove this assertion for $k < \infty$. To this end we proceed by induction on $k$:

$k = 0$: We use Estimate (4.2.1.1) in Lemma 4.2.1.

Let $f \in \mathcal{W}, \gamma \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{1}(W, Y)^{o}$ and $\eta \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{0}(U, V)$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that

$$\|\gamma|_{W \setminus B_r(0)}\|_{f, 0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and (as $1_{X} \in \mathcal{W}$)

$$\|\gamma|_{W \setminus B_r(0)}\|_{1_{W}, 1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(||\eta||_{f, 0} + 1)}.$$ 

Since $1_{X} \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $K := ||\eta||_{1_{U}, 0} < \infty$. Let $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $R > r + K$. Then for each $x \in U \setminus B_{R}(0)$

$$x + D\eta(x) \subseteq W \setminus B_{r}(0),$$

so we conclude from Estimate (4.2.1.1) that

$$|f(x)| \|g_{Y,k}(\gamma, \eta)(x)\| \leq \|\gamma\|_{1(x) + D\eta(U), 1} \|\eta\|_{f, 0} + |f(x)| \|\gamma(x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(||\eta||_{f, 0} + 1)} \|\eta\|_{f, 0} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for $x \in U \setminus B_{R}(0)$. Thus $g_{Y,k}(\gamma, \eta) \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{0}(U, Y)^{o}$.

$k \rightarrow k + 1$: We calculate using the chain rule that

$$(D \circ g_{Y,k+1})(\gamma, \eta) = g_{L,X,Y,k}(D\gamma, \eta) \cdot (D\eta + \text{id}).$$

Since $D\gamma \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{k+1}(W, L(X, Y))^o$ (see Corollary 3.2.4),

$$g_{L,X,Y,k}(D\gamma, \eta) \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{k}(U, L(X, Y))^o$$

by the inductive hypothesis. Further, $D\eta + \text{id} \in BC^{k}(U, L(X))$, so we conclude with Corollary 3.3.4 that

$$(D \circ g_{Y,k+1})(\gamma, \eta) \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{k}(U, L(X, Y))^o.$$ 

From this (and the case $k = 0$) we conclude with Corollary 3.2.4 that

$$g_{Y,k+1}(\gamma, \eta) \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{k+1}(U, Y)^o,$$

so the proof is complete. 

Differentiability properties We now discuss whether restrictions of $g_{Y,k}$ to weighted function spaces are differentiable.

Lemma 4.2.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U, V, W \subseteq X$ open nonempty subsets such that $V + U \subseteq W$ and $V$ is balanced, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^W$ with $1_{W} \in \mathcal{W}$, $\gamma, \gamma_{1} \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{2}(W, Y)$, $\eta \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{0}(U, V)$, $\eta_{1} \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{0}(U, X)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^s$. Further, let $x \in U$ such that the line segment

$$\{\eta(x) + st\eta_{1}(x) : s \in [0, 1]\}$$
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is contained in $V$. Then

$$\delta_x \left( \frac{g_Y(\gamma + t \gamma_1, \eta + t \eta_1) - g_Y(\gamma, \eta)}{t} \right) = \int_0^1 \delta_x (g_{L(\gamma, \eta)}(D(\gamma + st \gamma_1), \eta + st \eta_1) \cdot \eta_1 + g_{Y,1}(\gamma_1, \eta + st \eta_1)) \, ds.$$

Proof. We first prove that the relevant weak integral exists. To this end, we take a closer look at the integrand. Since $\{\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) : s \in [0, 1]\} \subseteq V$, we have

$$\delta_x (g_{L(\gamma, \eta)}(D(\gamma + st \gamma_1), \eta + st \eta_1) \cdot \eta_1 + g_{Y,1}(\gamma_1, \eta + st \eta_1)) = D \gamma(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x) \cdot \eta_1(x) + st D \gamma_1(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x) \cdot \eta_1(x) + \gamma_1(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x).$$

The mean value theorem yields

$$\int_0^1 D \gamma(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x) \cdot \eta_1(x) \, ds = \frac{\gamma(\eta(x) + t \eta_1(x) + x) - \gamma(\eta(x) + x)}{t}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 (st D \gamma_1(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x) \cdot \eta_1(x) + \gamma_1(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x)) \, ds$$

the latter identity follows from the fact that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \gamma_1(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x) = st D \gamma_1(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x) \cdot \eta_1(x) + \gamma_1(\eta(x) + st \eta_1(x) + x).$$

So the integral exists and has the value

$$\frac{\gamma(\eta(x) + t \eta_1(x) + x) - \gamma(\eta(x) + x)}{t} + \gamma_1(\eta(x) + t \eta_1(x) + x) = \frac{g_{Y,1}(\gamma + t \gamma_1, \eta + t \eta_1)(x) - g_{Y,1}(\gamma, \eta)(x)}{t},$$

and that shows the assertion. \(\square\)

**Proposition 4.2.5.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U, V, W \subseteq X$ open nonempty subsets such that $V + U \subseteq W$ and $V$ is balanced, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{1\cdot}$ with $1_W \in W$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then

$$g_{Y,k,\ell} : C^{k+\ell+1}_{1\cdot}(W, Y) \times C^{0,k}_{1\cdot}(U, V) \to C^k_{1\cdot}(U, Y) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ (\eta + id_X)$$

is a $C^{\ell}$-map with the directional derivative

$$dg_{Y,k,\ell}(\gamma, \eta; \gamma_1, \eta_1) = g_{L(\gamma, \eta),k,\ell-1}(D \gamma, \eta) \cdot \eta_1 + g_{Y,k,\ell}(\gamma_1, \eta). \quad (4.2.5.1)$$
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Proof. This is proved by induction:

ℓ = 1: From Lemma 4.2.4 and Lemma 3.2.13 we conclude that for γ, γ_1 ∈ C^{k+ℓ+1}_W(W, Y), η ∈ C^k(U, V), η_1 ∈ C^k(U, X) and for all t ∈ ℝ^* in a suitable neighborhood of 0 the identity

\[ g_{Y,k,ℓ}(γ + tγ_1, η + tη_1) - g_{Y,k,ℓ}(γ, η) = \int_0^1 g_{L(X,Y),k,ℓ-1}(D(γ + stγ_1), η + stη_1) · η_1 \, ds \]

holds. The theorem about parameter dependent integrals (Proposition A.1.8) yields the assertions if we let \( t \to 0 \) in the above expression.

ℓ − 1 → ℓ: This follows easily from (4.2.5.1): Since \( g \) and \( d \) are smooth (see Proposition 3.2.3 and Corollary 3.3.7) and \( g_{L(X,Y),k,ℓ-1} \) resp. \( g_{Y,k,ℓ} \) are \( C^{ℓ-1} \) by the inductive hypothesis, \( dg_{Y,k,ℓ} \) is \( C^{ℓ-1} \) and hence \( g_{Y,k,ℓ} \) is \( C^ℓ \).

Corollary 4.2.6. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U, V, W \subseteq X \) open nonempty subsets such that \( V + U \subseteq W \) and \( V \) is balanced, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^W \) with \( 1_W \in W \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then the map

\[ g_{Y,k,∞} : C^∞_W(W, Y) \times C^k_W(U, V) \to C^k_W(U, Y) : (γ, η) \mapsto γ \circ (η + \text{id}_X) \]

(which is defined due to Proposition 4.2.2) is smooth. In particular, \( g_{Y,∞} := g_{Y,∞,∞} \) is smooth.

Proof. For \( k < ∞ \), this follows from Proposition 4.2.5 since the inclusion maps

\[ C^∞_W(W, Y) \to C^{k+ℓ+1}_W(X, Y) \]

are smooth. Now let \( k = ∞ \). From the assertions already established, we derive the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^∞_W(W, Y) \times C^k_W(U, V) & \xrightarrow{g_{Y,∞}} & C^∞_W(U, Y) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C^∞_W(W, Y) \times C^k_W(U, V) & \xrightarrow{g_{Y,n,∞}} & C^∞_W(U, Y)
\end{array}
\]

for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), where the vertical arrows represent the inclusion maps. With Corollary 3.2.6 we easily deduce the smoothness of \( g_{Y,∞} \) from the one of \( g_{Y,n,∞} \).

Corollary 4.2.7. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U, V, W \subseteq X \) open nonempty subsets such that \( V + U \subseteq W \) and \( V \) is balanced, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^W \) with \( 1_W \in W \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then

\[ g_{Y,k,∞}(C^∞_W(W, Y)^0 \times C^k_W(U, V)^0) \subseteq C^k_W(U, Y)^0, \]

and the restriction \( g_{Y,k,∞} : C^∞_W(W, Y)^0 \times C^k_W(U, V)^0 \to C^k_W(U, Y)^0 \) is smooth.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.2.6, Lemma 4.2.3 and by Proposition A.2.3 that \( C^k_W(U, Y)^0 \) is closed; see Lemma 3.1.6.
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4.2.2. The monoid structure

Corollary 4.2.8. For $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$, $\text{End}_W(X)$ is a smooth monoid with the unit group

$$\text{End}_W(X)^x = \text{Diff}_W(X).$$

Further, the set

$$\text{End}_W(X)\circ := \{ \gamma + \text{id}_X : \gamma \in C^\infty_W(X,X) \}$$

(4.2.8.1)

is a closed submonoid of $\text{End}_W(X)$ that is a smooth monoid.

Proof. We first show that $\text{End}_W(X)$ is a monoid. Since $\text{id}_X \in \text{End}_W(X)$ is obviously satisfied, it remains to show that it is closed under composition. Since every element of $\text{End}_W(X)$ can uniquely be written as $\phi + \text{id}_X$ with $\phi \in C^\infty_W(X,X)$, we are finished if we can show that for arbitrary $\gamma, \eta \in C^\infty_W(X,X)$ the relation

$$\kappa_W(\gamma) \circ \kappa_W(\eta) - \text{id}_X \in C^\infty_W(X,X)$$

holds. From equation (4.2.0.1) we know that

$$\kappa_W(\gamma) \circ \kappa_W(\eta) - \text{id}_X = g_{X,\infty}(\gamma, \eta) + \eta,$$

and from this identity and Corollary 4.2.6 we easily conclude that the above relation holds.

The smoothness of the composition follows easily from the smoothness of $g_{X,\infty}$ (Corollary 4.2.6) and the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{End}_W(X) \times \text{End}_W(X) & \overset{\circ}{\longrightarrow} & \text{End}_W(X) \\
\kappa_W \times \kappa_W & \swarrow & \kappa_W \\
C^\infty_W(X,X) \times C^\infty_W(X,X) & \overset{g_{X,\infty} + \pi_2}{\longrightarrow} & C^\infty_W(X,X)
\end{array}$$

where $\pi_2$ denotes the projection

$$C^\infty_W(X,X) \times C^\infty_W(X,X) \rightarrow C^\infty_W(X,X)$$

onto the second factor.

$\text{End}_W(X)^\circ$ is a closed subset of $\text{End}_W(X)$ since $\kappa_W$ is a homeomorphism and by Lemma 3.1.6 $C^\infty_W(X,X)$ is a closed vector subspace of $C^\infty_W(X,X)$. We know from Corollary 4.2.7 and the fact that $C^\infty_W(X,X)$ is a vector space that for $\gamma, \eta \in C^\infty_W(X,X)$

$$\kappa_W(\gamma) \circ \kappa_W(\eta) - \text{id}_X = g_{X,\infty}(\gamma, \eta) + \eta \in C^\infty_W(X,X)$$

and that this map is smooth, hence $\text{End}_W(X)^\circ$ is a smooth submonoid of $\text{End}_W(X)$.

The relation $\text{End}_W(X)^x = \text{Diff}_W(X)$ was proved in Proposition 4.1.2.

4.3. The Lie group structure

We show that $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ is an open subset of $\text{End}_W(X)$ and the group inversion is smooth, whence $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ is a Lie group.
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4.3.1. Continuity of inversion

Definition 4.3.1. Let $X$ be a normed space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$. We set

$$H_W := \{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(X, X) : \phi + \text{id}_X \in \text{Diff}(X) \}$$

and

$$I : H_W \to \mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}_\infty^\infty(X, X) : \phi \mapsto (\phi + \text{id}_X)^{-1} - \text{id}_X.$$

(4.3.1.1)

Lemma 4.3.2. Let $X$ be a normed space, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ and $\phi \in H_W$. Then

$$(I(\phi) + \text{id}_X) \circ (\phi + \text{id}_X) = (\phi + \text{id}_X) \circ (I(\phi) + \text{id}_X) = \text{id}_X,$$

and the identities

$$I(\phi) \circ (\phi + \text{id}_X) = -\phi \quad (4.3.2.2)$$

$$\phi \circ (I(\phi) + \text{id}_X) = -I(\phi) \quad (4.3.2.3)$$

hold.

Proof. This is obvious. $\square$

In the following, it will be quite useful to use that by definition $B_R(0) = \emptyset$ if $R \leq 0$. This notation will allow us to avoid distinction of cases.

Lemma 4.3.3. Let $X$ be a normed space and $R, r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r > 0$. Then

$$(X \setminus B_R(0)) + B_r(0) \subseteq X \setminus B_{R-r}(0).$$

Proof. Let $x \in X \setminus B_R(0)$ and $y \in B_r(0)$. We apply the triangle inequality:

$$\|x + y\| \geq \|x\| - \|y\| > R - r,$$

and this had to be proved. $\square$

Lemma 4.3.4. Let $X$ be a normed space, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$ and $\phi \in H_W$. Then $I(\phi) \in \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}^0(X, X)$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of equation (4.3.2.3). $\square$

Lemma 4.3.5. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$, $\phi \in H_W$ and $r$ a real number such that

$$\|\phi\|_{1_X \setminus B_r(0), 1} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus B_r(0)} \|D\phi(x)\|_{\text{op}} < 1.$$

Let $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $R > r + \|I(\phi)\|_{1_X, 0}$ (note that $\|I(\phi)\|_{1_X, 0} < \infty$ by Lemma 4.3.4). Then for all $f \in W$ and $x \in X \setminus B_R(0)$ the estimate

$$|f(x)| \|I(\phi)(x)\| \leq \frac{|f(x)| \|\phi(x)\|}{1 - \|\phi\|_{1_X \setminus B_r(0), 1}} \quad (4.3.5.1)$$

holds.
Proof. We set $\psi := I(\phi)$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $x \in X \setminus B_R(0)$ we compute using equation (4.3.2.3)
\[
|f(x)| \|\psi(x)\| = |f(x)| \|\phi(\psi(x) + x) - \phi(x) + \phi(x)\|
\leq |f(x)| \left( \int_0^1 \|D\phi(x + s\psi(x)) \cdot \psi(x)\| \, ds + \|\phi(x)\| \right)
\leq \|D\phi|_{X \setminus B_r(0)}\|_{1_X, B_r(0), 0} |f(x)| \|\psi(x)\| + |f(x)| \|\phi(x)\|;
\]
here we used that $\{x + s\psi(x) : s \in [0, 1]\}$ is contained in $X \setminus B_r(0)$ by the choice of $R$ and Lemma 4.3.3. From this we can derive the desired estimate since $\|D\phi\|_{1_X, B_r(0), 0} < 1.$ \hfill \(\Box\)

**Lemma 4.3.6.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$, $\phi \in H_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $x \in X$. If the estimate $\|D\phi(x)\|_{op} < 1$ holds, then one has
\[
D(I(\phi))((\phi + \text{id}_X)(x)) = D\phi(x) \cdot QI_{L(X)}(-D\phi(x)) - D\phi(x), \tag{4.3.6.1}
\]
where $QI_{L(X)}$ denotes the quasi-inversion (which is discussed in Appendix D).

**Proof.** We set $\psi := I(\phi)$. From equation (4.3.2.2) and the chain rule, one gets
\[
D\psi((\phi + \text{id}_X)(x)) \cdot (D\phi(x) + \text{id}_X) = -D\phi(x). \tag{*}
\]
Since $\|D\phi(x)\|_{op} < 1$, the linear map $D\phi(x) + \text{id}_X$ is bijective with
\[
(D\phi(x) + \text{id}_X)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-D\phi(x))^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-D\phi(x))^k + \text{id}_X = -QI_{L(X)}(-D\phi(x)) + \text{id}_X;
\]
(c.f. Lemma D.2.6). Hence $-D\phi(x)$ is quasi-invertible and the above formula holds. Using this equality we can easily derive (4.3.6.1) from \(\ast\). \hfill \(\Box\)

**Proposition 4.3.7.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$, $\phi \in H_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that
\[
\sup_{x \in X \setminus B_r(0)} \|D\phi(x)\|_{op} < 1.
\]
Then for each $R \in \mathbb{R}$ with $R > r + \|I(\phi)\|_{1_X, 0}$ (by Lemma 4.3.4) $\|I(\phi)\|_{1_X, 0} < \infty$),
\[
I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_{r}(0)} \in C^1_{\mathcal{W}}(X \setminus B_{R}(0), X)
\]
and
\[
D(I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_{R}(0)}) = g_{L(X), \infty}(D\phi \cdot QI(-D\phi|_{X \setminus B_{r}(0)}) - D\phi, I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_{R}(0)}), \tag{4.3.7.1}
\]
with $QI := QI_{C^1_{\mathcal{W}}(X \setminus B_{r}(0), L(X))}$. 
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Proof. We prove by induction that \( I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_R(0)} \in C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), X) \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). In this proof, we will identify maps with their restrictions; no confusion will arise.

\( k = 0 \): This case was treated in Lemma 4.3.5.

\( k \to k + 1 \): Using Proposition 3.2.3 (and the induction base), we see that

\[
I(\phi) \in C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), X) \iff D I(\phi) \in C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), L(X));
\]

the second condition shall be verified now. Since \( \|\phi\|_{1X,0} < 1 \), the map \(-D\phi\) is quasi-invertible in \( C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_r(0), L(X)) \) with

\[
Q I(-D\phi) = Q I_{L(X)} \circ (-D\phi),
\]

by Proposition 3.3.20. From this, equation (4.3.6.1) in Lemma 4.3.6 and the fact that \( \phi + id_X \) is a diffeomorphism with \((\phi + id_X)^{-1} = I(\phi) + id_X\) (see equation (4.3.2.1)), we deduce that

\[
D I(\phi) = (D\phi \cdot Q I(-D\phi) - D\phi) \circ (I(\phi) + id_X) \quad \text{(\#)}
\]

on \( X \setminus B_R(0) \). We use Proposition 3.3.20 and Corollary 3.3.6 to see that

\[
D\phi \cdot Q I(-D\phi) \in C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_r(0), L(X)).
\]

Choose \( s > \|\phi\|_{1X,0} \) such that \( R > r + s \). Then \((X \setminus B_R(0)) + B_s(0) \subseteq X \setminus B_r(0), \) by Lemma 4.3.3. Since we know from the induction hypothesis that \( I(\phi) \in C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), X) \), we derive from equation (\#) and Corollary 4.2.6 (applied with \( U = X \setminus B_R(0), \) \( V = B_s(0) \) and \( W = X \setminus B_r(0) \)) that

\[
D I(\phi) = g_{I,X,0,k}(D\phi \cdot Q I(-D\phi) - D\phi, I(\phi)).
\]

Hence \( D I(\phi) \in C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), L(X)) \).

\( \square \)

Lemma 4.3.8. Let \( X \) be a Banach space, \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X \) with \( 1_X \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( \phi \in H_W \cap C^\infty_W(X,X)^o \). Then there exists an \( R \in \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_R(0)} \in C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_R(0), X)^o.
\]

Proof. Since \( \phi \in C^\infty_W(X,X)^o \), there exists an \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\sup_{x \in X \setminus B_r(0)} \|D\phi(x)\|_{op} < 1.
\]

We choose an \( R \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( R > r + \|I(\phi)\|_{1X,0} \) (noting that \( \|I(\phi)\|_{1X,0} < \infty \) by Lemma 4.3.4). We show with an induction that \( I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_r(0)} \in C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), X)^o \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

\( k = 0 \): This is a direct consequence of Estimate (4.3.5.1) in Lemma 4.3.5 by the choice of \( R \) since \( \phi \in C^\infty_W(X,X)^o \).

\( k \to k + 1 \): We just have to show that

\[
D I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_R(0)} \in C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), L(X))^o.
\]
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But this follows immediately from the formula equation (4.3.7.1) for $D I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_R(0)}$ in Proposition 4.3.7.

Choose $s > \|I(\phi)\|_{1,1,R}$ such that $R > r + s$. Pointwise compositions of functions from $C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_r(0), L(X))^o$ and $C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_r(0), L(X))$ are contained in $C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_r(0), L(X))^o$ (see Corollary 3.3.4), and

$g_{L(X),\infty,k}(C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_r(0), L(X)) \times C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_r(0), B_s(0)) \subseteq C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), L(X))^o$

by Corollary 4.2.7 (using that $I(\phi)|_{X \setminus B_R(0)} \in C^k_W(X \setminus B_R(0), B_s(0))$ by the inductive hypothesis).

**An open set of diffeomorphisms** We describe an open neighborhood of $0$ in $C^\infty_W(X, X)$ whose image under $\kappa_W$ consists of diffeomorphisms.

**Definition 4.3.9.** Let $X$ be a normed space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. We set

$$U_W := \{\phi \in C^\infty_W(X, X) : \|\phi\|_{1_X,1} < 1\}.$$

Since $1_X \in W$, $U_W$ is open.

The following fact shows that $\kappa_W(U_W) \subseteq \text{Diff}(X)$.

**Proposition 4.3.10.** Let $E$ and $F$ be Banach spaces and $\phi \in FC^1(E, F)$ such that for all $x \in E$ the linear map $D\phi(x) \in L(E, F)$ is invertible and there exists some $K \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\|D\phi(x)^{-1}\|_{op} \leq K$ for all $x \in E$. Then $\phi$ is a surjective homeomorphism.

**Proof.** A proof can be found in [CHS2, Chapter 2.3, Theorem 3.9].

**Corollary 4.3.11.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. Then

(a) $\kappa_W(U_W) \subseteq \text{Diff}(X)$

(b) $I(U_W) \subseteq C^\infty_W(X, X)$.

**Proof.** Let $\phi \in U_W$.

(a) Then $D\phi(x) + \text{id}_X$ is invertible for all $x \in X$ with

$$(D\phi(x) + \text{id}_X)^{-1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty (-D\phi(x))^\ell,$$

and from this we get the estimate

$$\|(D(\phi + \text{id}_X)(x))^{-1}\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|D\phi\|_{op,\infty}}.$$

We conclude with Proposition 4.3.10 that $\phi + \text{id}_X$ is a bijection of $X$, and the classical inverse function theorem yields that $(\phi + \text{id}_X)^{-1}$ is smooth. Hence $\phi + \text{id}_X$ is a diffeomorphism.

(b) From Corollary 4.3.11 we conclude that $\phi \in H_W$, so we can apply Proposition 4.3.11 with $R = 0$ and a sufficiently small negative real number $r$ to conclude that $I(\phi) \in C^\infty_W(X, X)$.
Proposition 4.3.12. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. Then the map

$$I_\mathcal{W} : U_\mathcal{W} \to C^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(X, X) : \phi \mapsto I(\phi) = (\phi + \text{id}_X)^{-1} - \text{id}_X$$

(which makes sense due to Corollary 4.3.11) is continuous.

Proof. The map $I_\mathcal{W}$ is continuous if and only if the maps

$$I_\ell : U_\mathcal{W} \to C^\ell_{\mathcal{W}}(X, X)$$

are so for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ (Corollary 3.2.6). We shall verify this condition by induction on $\ell$.

$\ell = 0$: For $\phi, \phi_1 \in U_\mathcal{W}$ we set $\psi := I(\phi)$ and $\psi_1 := I(\phi_1)$. We compute for $x \in X$ with equation (4.3.2.3) the mean value theorem and by adding $0 = \phi_1(\psi(x)+x) - \phi_1(\psi(x)+x)

$$\psi_1(x) - \psi(x) = \phi_1(\psi(x) + x) - \phi_1(\psi(x) + x) + \phi(\psi(x) + x) - \phi(\psi(x) + x)

= \int_0^1 D\phi_1(t\psi(x) + (1-t)\psi_1(x) + x) \cdot (\psi(x) - \psi_1(x)) \, dt + g\cdot\psi_1(x).$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{W}$. For the integral above, the estimate

$$|f(x)| \left\| \int_0^1 D\phi_1(t\psi(x) + (1-t)\psi_1(x) + x) \cdot (\psi(x) - \psi_1(x)) \, dt \right\| \leq \left\| \phi_1 \right\|_{1_X,1} \left\| \psi - \psi_1 \right\|_{f,0}$$

holds, whence

$$\left\| \psi_1 - \psi \right\|_{f,0} \leq \left\| \phi_1 \right\|_{1_X,1} \left\| \psi - \psi_1 \right\|_{f,0} + \left\| g\cdot\psi_1 \right\|_{f,0}.$$

We have to estimate the last summand in (4). To this end, we fix $\phi \in U_\mathcal{W}$ and choose some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\| \phi \right\|_{1_X,1} < \xi < 1$. Since $g\cdot\psi_1$ is continuous (Corollary 4.2.6) and $g\cdot\psi(0,\psi) = 0$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $\phi$ in $U_\mathcal{W}$ such that for all $\phi_1 \in V$

$$\left\| g\cdot\psi_1 \right\|_{f,0} < \varepsilon.$$

After shrinking $V$, we may assume that each $\phi_1 \in V$ satisfies $\left\| \phi_1 \right\|_{1_X,1} \leq \xi$. We now conclude from (4) the estimate

$$\left\| \psi_1 - \psi \right\|_{f,0} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \left\| \phi_1 \right\|_{1_X,1}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \xi},$$

for $\phi_1 \in V$, from which we infer that $I_0$ is continuous in $\phi$.

$\ell \to \ell + 1$: Because of Proposition 3.2.3 (and the induction base) $I_{\ell+1}$ is continuous iff $D \circ I_{\ell+1} : U_\mathcal{W} \to C^\ell_{\mathcal{W}}(X, L(X))$ is so.

From equation (4.3.7.1) in Proposition 4.3.7 we conclude that for $\phi \in U_\mathcal{W}$

$$(D \circ I_{\ell+1})(\phi) = g_{L(X),\ell,\infty}(D\phi \cdot QI(-D\phi) - D\phi, I_\ell(\phi))$$

holds. Since $g_{L(X),\ell,\infty}, D\cdot, QI$ and $I_\ell$ are continuous (see Corollary 4.2.6, Proposition 3.2.3, Corollary 3.3.9, Proposition 3.3.20 and the inductive hypothesis, respectively), we conclude that $D \circ I_{\ell+1}$ is continuous.

\[\square\]
4. Lie groups of weighted diffeomorphisms

Definition 4.3.13. Let \( X \) be a normed space and \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X \) with \( 1_X \in \mathcal{W} \). We define
\[
    M_\mathcal{W} := \kappa^{-1}_\mathcal{W}(\text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)) = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, X) : \phi + \text{id}_X \in \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \}
\]
and redefine \( I_\mathcal{W} \) by enlarging its domain:
\[
    I_\mathcal{W} : M_\mathcal{W} \rightarrow M_\mathcal{W} : \phi \mapsto \kappa^{-1}_\mathcal{W}(\kappa_\mathcal{W}(\phi)^{-1}) = (\phi + \text{id}_X)^{-1} - \text{id}_X.
\]

Corollary 4.3.14. Let \( X \) be a Banach space and \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X \) with \( 1_X \in \mathcal{W} \). Then \( M_\mathcal{W} \) is open in \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, X) \) and the map \( I_\mathcal{W} \) defined above is continuous. Moreover, \( \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \) is an open submanifold of \( \text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X) \). In particular it is a smooth manifold whose differential structure is generated by \( (\kappa_\mathcal{W}|_{M_\mathcal{W}}, M_\mathcal{W}) \). Further, the inversion map of \( \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \) is continuous.

Proof. We established in Corollary 4.2.8 that \( \text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X) \) is a topological monoid with the unit group \( \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \). If we want to show that \( \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \) is open we just need to find an open neighborhood of \( \text{id}_X \) in \( \text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X) \) that is contained in \( \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \) (see Lemma D.2.3). In Corollary 4.3.11 we established that \( I(U_\mathcal{W}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, X) \), so for \( \phi \in U_\mathcal{W} \) we have
\[
    \phi + \text{id}_X, (\phi + \text{id}_X)^{-1} \in \text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X) \cap \text{Diff}(X).
\]

We use Lemma 4.1.1 to see that this is equivalent to \( \phi + \text{id}_X = \kappa_\mathcal{W}(\phi) \in \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \), so the open set \( \kappa_\mathcal{W}(U_\mathcal{W}) \) is contained in \( \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \).

Also from Lemma D.2.3 we conclude that the inversion of \( \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \) is continuous if its restriction to \( \kappa_\mathcal{W}(U_\mathcal{W}) \) is so; but since \( \kappa_\mathcal{W} \) is a homeomorphism this is equivalent to the continuity of \( I_\mathcal{W}|_{U_\mathcal{W}} \), as is clear from the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
    \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) & \xrightarrow{-1} & \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \\
    \kappa_\mathcal{W} \downarrow & & \kappa_\mathcal{W} \downarrow \\
    M_\mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{I_\mathcal{W}} & M_\mathcal{W} \\
\end{array}
\]

The continuity of the restriction of \( I_\mathcal{W} \) to \( U_\mathcal{W} \) was established in Proposition 4.3.12.

All the other assertions follow trivially from the ones already proved. In particular, the openness of \( M_\mathcal{W} \) and the continuity of \( I_\mathcal{W} \) follow from the fact that \( \kappa_\mathcal{W} \) is a homeomorphism.

\[ \square \]

4.3.2. Smoothness of inversion

Differential quotients of \( I_\mathcal{W} \) have the following form:

Lemma 4.3.15. Let \( X \) be a Banach space, \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X \) with \( 1_X \in \mathcal{W} \), \( \phi \in M_\mathcal{W} \), \( \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_W(X, X) \) and \( t \in \mathbb{K}^* \) such that \( \phi + t\psi \in M_\mathcal{W} \). Then
\[
    \frac{I_\mathcal{W}(\phi + t\psi) - I_\mathcal{W}(\phi)}{t} = -\int_0^1 g_{X,\infty}(\psi + g_L(\infty, \infty)(D(I_\mathcal{W}(\phi + t\psi)), \phi + s\psi) \cdot \psi, I_\mathcal{W}(\phi)) \, ds.
\]
Proof. The existence of the mentioned integral follows from Lemma A.1.6 since \( g_{X,\infty} \), \( g_{L(X),\infty} \), \( D \), \( \cdot \) and \( I_W \) are continuous and \( C^\infty_{W}(X, X) \) is complete (see Corollary 4.2.6, Proposition 3.2.3, Corollary 3.3.7, Corollary 4.3.14 and Corollary 3.2.12 respectively).

To show that the stated equality holds, we use evaluation maps (see Lemma 3.2.13).

Since \( \phi + \text{id}_X \) is a diffeomorphism, all points of \( X \) can be represented as \( \phi(x) + x \), where \( x \in X \).

For any point of this form we compute

\[
\delta_{\phi(x)+x} \left( - \int_0^1 g_{X,\infty}(\psi + g_{L(X),\infty}(D(I_W(\phi + t\psi)), \phi + st\psi) \cdot \psi, I_W(\phi)) \, ds \right)
\]

\[
= - \int_0^1 g_{X,\infty}(\psi + g_{L(X),\infty}(D(I_W(\phi + t\psi)), \phi + st\psi) \cdot \psi, (\phi + \text{id}_X)^{-1} - \text{id}_X)(\phi(x) + x) \, ds,
\]

where we used Lemma A.1.4 and substituted \( I_W(\phi) \) with \((\phi + \text{id}_X)^{-1} - \text{id}_X\). In view of the definition of \( g_{X,\infty} \), the preceding integral equals

\[
= - \int_0^1 \psi(x) + g_{L(X),\infty}(D(I_W(\phi + t\psi)), \phi + st\psi)(x) \cdot \psi(x) \, ds.
\]

We factor out \( \psi(x) \), put in the definition of \( g_{L(X),\infty} \) and multiply with \( 1 = \frac{1}{t} \) to obtain

\[
= - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 (g_{L(X),\infty}(D(I_W(\phi + t\psi)), \phi + st\psi)(x) + \text{id}_X) \cdot \psi(x) \, ds
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 D(I_W(\phi + t\psi) + \text{id}_X)(\phi(x) + st\psi(x) + x) \cdot (t\psi(x)) \, ds
\]

using that \( D \text{id}_X(y) = \text{id}_X \) for all \( y \in X \). The mean value theorem gives

\[
= \left( I_W(\phi + t\psi) + \text{id}_X \right)(\phi(x) + x) - \left( I_W(\phi + t\psi) + \text{id}_X \right)(\phi(x) + t\psi(x) + x).
\]

We plug in the definition of \( I_W \) and obtain

\[
= (\phi + t\psi + \text{id}_X)^{-1}(\phi(x) + x) - (\phi + t\psi + \text{id}_X)^{-1}(\phi(x) + t\psi(x) + x)
\]

\[
= \frac{t}{t} (\phi + t\psi + \text{id}_X)^{-1}(\phi(x) + x) - (\phi + \text{id}_X)^{-1}(\phi(x) + x).
\]

This can be rewritten as

\[
= \left. \frac{\delta_{\phi(x)+x}}{t} \left( I_W(\phi + t\psi) - I_W(\phi) \right) \right|_{x}
\]

so finally we get

\[
= \delta_{\phi(x)+x} \left( \frac{I_W(\phi + t\psi) - I_W(\phi)}{t} \right),
\]

and this completes the proof. \( \square \)
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**Proposition 4.3.16.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $I_\mathcal{W}$ is a smooth map with

$$dI_\mathcal{W}(\phi; \phi_1) = -g_{X,\infty}(\phi_1 + g_{L(X),\infty}(D(I_\mathcal{W}(\phi)), \phi) \cdot \phi_1, I_\mathcal{W}(\phi))$$

(4.3.16.1)

using notation as in Corollary 4.2.6.

**Proof.** We prove by induction that $I_\mathcal{W}$ is a $C^k$ map for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$k = 1$: We just have to use Lemma 4.3.15 and Proposition A.1.8 to obtain the differentiability of $I_\mathcal{W}$ with the derivative (4.3.16.1).

$k \rightarrow k+1$: If $I_\mathcal{W}$ is $C^k$, we conclude from (4.3.16.1) and the fact that $D \cdot, g_{L(X),\infty}$ and $g_{X,\infty}$ are smooth (see Proposition 3.2.3 [Corollary 3.3.7 (together with Example A.2.6) and Proposition 4.2.5, respectively]) that $dI_\mathcal{W}$ is $C^k$, so $I_\mathcal{W}$ is $C^{k+1}$ by definition. $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.17.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ such that $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $\text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)$ is a Lie group.

**Proof.** In Corollary 4.2.8 we showed that the composition of $\text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X)$ is smooth, and since $\text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)$ is closed under that composition and an open subset of $\text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X)$ (see Corollary 4.3.14), the composition of $\text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)$ is also smooth. It only remains to show that the group inversion of $\text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)$ is smooth. But this follows from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{CD}
\text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) @>{(\cdot)^{-1}}>> \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \\
\kappa_\mathcal{W} \downarrow @VV {\kappa_\mathcal{W}} V \\
\mathcal{M}_X @>{I_\mathcal{W}}>> \mathcal{M}_X
\end{CD}$$

together with the smoothness of $I_\mathcal{W}$ which was stated in Proposition 4.3.16. $\square$

4.3.3. Decreasing weighted diffeomorphisms

We now make $\text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)^\circ := \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X) \cap \text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X)^\circ$ a Lie group (where $\text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X)^\circ$ is as in (4.2.8.1)).

**Lemma 4.3.18.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. Further, let $\phi \in \text{End}_\mathcal{W}(X)^\circ$ and $\psi \in \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)$. Then $\psi - \psi \circ \phi \in C^\infty_\mathcal{W}(X, X)^\circ$.

**Proof.** We calculate with Lemma 3.2.13

$$\psi - \psi \circ \phi = \int_0^1 D\psi(id_X + t(\phi - id_X)) \cdot (\phi - id_X) dt.$$

Since $D\psi \in BC^\infty(X, L(X))$, we conclude with Corollary 4.2.6 that $D\psi(id_X + t(\phi - id_X)) \in BC^\infty(X, L(X))$. Since $\phi - id_X \in C^\infty_\mathcal{W}(X, X)^\circ$, the assertion follows from Corollary 3.3.4 and the fact that $C^\infty_\mathcal{W}(X, X)^\circ$ is closed in $C^\infty_\mathcal{W}(X, X)$. $\square$
Proposition 4.3.19. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. The set

$$\text{Diff}_W(X)^o := \text{Diff}_W(X) \cap \text{End}_W(X)^o \{ \phi \in \text{Diff}_W(X) : \phi - \text{id}_X \in C^\infty_W(X,X)^o \}$$

is a closed normal Lie subgroup of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$.

Proof. We proved in [Corollary 4.2.8] that $\text{End}_W(X)^o$ is a smooth submonoid of $\text{End}_W(X)$ that is closed. Since $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ is open in $\text{End}_W(X)$, we conclude that $\text{Diff}_W(X)^o$ is a smooth submonoid of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ that is closed. Further, it is a direct consequence of [Lemma 4.3.8] that the inverse function of an element of $\text{Diff}_W(X)^o$ is in $\text{Diff}_W(X)^o$, whence using [Lemma B.1.6] we see that the latter is a closed Lie subgroup of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$.

It remains to show that $\text{Diff}_W(X)^o$ is normal. To this end, let $\phi \in \text{Diff}_W(X)^o$ and $\psi \in \text{Diff}_W(X)$. Then

$$\psi \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1} - \text{id}_X = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1} - \psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1} = (\psi - \psi \circ \phi^{-1}) \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1},$$

so we derive the assertion from [Lemma 4.3.18] and [Lemma 4.2.3].

Lemma 4.3.20. Let $X$ and $Y$ be finite-dimensional normed spaces and $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set. Further, let $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ a set of weights such that

- $W \subseteq C^\infty(U,[0,\infty[)$
- $(\forall x \in U)(\exists f \in W) f(x) > 0$
- $(\forall f_1, \ldots, f_n \in W)(\forall k_1, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{N})(\exists f \in W, C > 0)$
- $(\forall x \in U)\|D^{(k_1)}f_1(x)\|_{op} \cdots \|D^{(k_n)}f_n(x)\|_{op} \leq Cf(x).$

Then $C^\infty(U,Y)$ is dense in $C^\infty_W(U,Y)^o$.

Proof. A proof can be found in [GDS73 §V, 19 b)].

Lemma 4.3.21. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional normed space, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ such that $1_X \in W$ and [Lemma A.3.20.1] is satisfied (where $U = X$). Then the set of compactly supported diffeomorphisms $\text{Diff}_c(X)$ is dense in $\text{Diff}_W(X)^o$.

Proof. The set $M^o_W := M_W \cap C^\infty_W(X,X)^o = \kappa_W^{-1}(\text{Diff}_W(X)^o)$ is open in $C^\infty_W(X,X)^o$, and hence $M_c := C^\infty(X,X) \cap M^o_W$ is dense in $M^o_W$ by [Lemma 4.3.20]. But $M_c = \kappa_W^{-1}(\text{Diff}_c(X))$, from which the assertion follows.

4.3.4. Diffeomorphisms that are weighted endomorphisms

It is obvious that the relation

$$\text{Diff}_W(X) \subseteq \text{End}_W(X) \cap \text{Diff}(X)$$

holds. We give a sufficient criterion on $W$ that ensures that these two sets are identical, provided that $X$ is finite-dimensional. Further we show that $\text{Diff}_{\{1_x\}}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \text{End}_{\{1_x\}}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Diff}(X).$
Proposition 4.3.22. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional Banach space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. If there exists an $\hat{f} \in W$ such that
\[(\forall R > 0)(\exists r > 0) \|x\| \geq r \implies |\hat{f}(x)| \geq R\] (4.3.22.1)
and if each function in $W$ is bounded on bounded sets, then
\[\text{Diff}_W(X) = \text{End}_W(X) \cap \text{Diff}(X).\]

Proof. It remains to show that
\[\text{End}_W(X) \cap \text{Diff}(X) \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X).\]

So let $\psi$ be in $\text{End}_W(X) \cap \text{Diff}(X)$ and set $\phi := \psi - \text{id}_X \in H_W$. Then
\[\psi \in \text{Diff}_W(X) \iff \psi^{-1} \in \text{End}_W(X) \iff \psi^{-1} - \text{id}_X \in C^\infty_W(X, X) \iff I(\phi) \in C^\infty_W(X, X)\]
(see Lemma 4.1.1 and the definition of $I$ in equation (4.3.1.1)). The last statement clearly holds iff
\[\exists R \in \mathbb{R} \ I(\phi) \in C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_R(0), X) \text{ and } I(\phi) \in C^\infty(W(B_R(0), X),\]
and this shall be proved now. But $I(\phi) \in C^\infty_W(B_R(0), X)$ for each $R \in \mathbb{R}$, because each $f \in W$ is bounded on bounded sets, all the maps $D^{(t)} I(\phi)$ are continuous and each closed bounded subset $B$ of $X$ is compact (as $X$ is finite-dimensional); hence
\[\sup_{x \in B} |f(x)| \|D^{(t)} I(\phi))(x)\|_{\text{op}} < \infty.\]

It remains to show that there exists an $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I(\phi) \in C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_R(0), X)$. We set $K_\phi := \|\phi\|_{f,1} < \infty$ and conclude from (4.3.22.1) that there exists an $r_\phi$ with
\[\|x\| \geq r_\phi \implies |\hat{f}(x)| \geq K_\phi + 1.\]

Since $|\hat{f}(x)| \|D\phi(x)\|_{\text{op}} \leq K_\phi$ for each $x \in X$, we conclude that
\[\|\phi|_{X \setminus B_\phi(0)}\|_{1_X,1} \leq \frac{K_\phi}{K_\phi + 1} < 1.\]

But we stated in Proposition 4.3.7 that this implies the existence of an $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I(\phi) \in C^\infty_W(X \setminus B_R(0), X)$. \qed

Lemma 4.3.23. Let $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be a bounded map that satisfies
\[(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}) \gamma'(x) > -1.\] (*)

Then $\gamma + \text{id}_\mathbb{R} \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$.
Proof. We conclude from (\(\ast\)) that
\[(\gamma(x) + \text{id}_R)'(x) > 0\]
for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\), so \(\gamma + \text{id}_R\) is strictly monotone and hence injective. Since \(\gamma\) is bounded, \(\gamma + \text{id}_R\) is unbounded above and below and hence surjective (by the intermediate value theorem). \(\square\)

Example 4.3.24. We give an example of a map \(\gamma \in BC^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\) with the property that \(\gamma + \text{id}_R \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})\), but \((\gamma + \text{id}_R)^{-1} - \text{id}_R \notin BC^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\). To this end, let \(\phi\) be an antiderivative of the function \(x \mapsto \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(x)\) with \(\phi(0) = 0\). Then \(\sin \circ \phi\) and \(\cos \circ \phi\) are in \(BC^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\) by a simple induction since \(\cos, \sin, \arctan \in BC^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\),
\[(\sin \circ \phi)'(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(x)(\cos \circ \phi)(x), \quad (*)\]
and an analogous formula holds for \((\cos \circ \phi)'\). We set \(\gamma := \sin \circ \phi\). By (\(\ast\)), we have \(\gamma'(x) > -1\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\), so \(\gamma + \text{id}_R \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})\) (see Lemma 4.3.23). But since
\[(\gamma + \text{id}_R)^{-1} - \text{id}_R)'(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma'(y) + 1} - 1\]
with \(y := (\gamma + \text{id}_R)^{-1}(x)\) and there exists a sequence \((y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(\mathbb{R}\) with
\[\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(y_n)(\cos \circ \phi)(y_n) = -1,\]
\((\gamma + \text{id}_R)^{-1} - \text{id}_R)'\) clearly is not bounded.

Example 4.3.25. The space \(\text{Diff}_S(\mathbb{R}^n)\) satisfies Condition (4.3.22.1). We just have to set \(\hat{f}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2\) which clearly is a polynomial function on \(\mathbb{R}^n\).

4.4. Regularity

We prove that the Lie groups just constructed are regular. For the definition of regularity see \(\text{section B.2.2}\). In the following, we discuss the (right) regularity differential equation (B.2.11.1) for \(\text{Diff}_W(X)\).

4.4.1. The regularity differential equation for \(\text{Diff}_W(X)\)

We turn equation (B.2.11.1) into a differential equation on its modelling space \(C^\infty_W(X, X)\). In order to do this, we first have to describe the group multiplication of the tangent group \(T \text{Diff}_W(X)\) and the right action of the Lie group \(\text{Diff}_W(X)\) on \(T \text{Diff}_W(X)\) with respect to the chart \(\kappa_W:\)

Lemma 4.4.1. Let \(X\) be a Banach space and \(\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X\) with \(1_X \in \mathcal{W}\). In the following, we denote the multiplication on \(\text{Diff}_W(X)\) with respect to the chart \(\kappa_W\) by \(m_W\).
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(a) The group multiplication $Tm_W$ on the tangent group $T\text{Diff}_W(X)$ with respect to $\kappa_W$ is given by

$$Tm_W((\gamma, \gamma_1), (\eta, \eta_1)) = (m_W(\gamma, \eta), D\gamma \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) \cdot \eta_1 + \gamma_1 \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) + \eta_1).$$

(b) Let $g \in \text{Diff}_W(X)$. Then the right action $T\rho_g$ of $g$ on $T\text{Diff}_W(X)$ with respect to $\kappa_W$ is given by

$$T\rho_g(\gamma, \gamma_1) = (m_W(\gamma, \kappa_W^{-1}(g)), \gamma_1 \circ (\kappa_W^{-1}(g) + \text{id}_X)).$$

Proof. (a) We have

$$m_W(\gamma, \eta) = \gamma \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) + \eta$$

and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Diff}_W(X) \times \text{Diff}_W(X) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \text{Diff}_W(X) \\
M_W \times M_W & \xrightarrow{m_W} & M_W
\end{array}$$

(remember that $M_W = \kappa_W^{-1}(\text{Diff}_W(X))$, see Definition 4.3.13). The group multiplication on the tangent group is given by applying the tangent functor $T$ to the group multiplication on $\text{Diff}_W(X)$, and therefore we obtain the group multiplication on $T\text{Diff}_W(X)$ in charts by applying $T$ to $m_W$ (up to a permutation). Since

$$Tm_W(\gamma, \eta; \gamma_1, \eta_1) = (m_W(\gamma, \eta), D\gamma \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) \cdot \eta_1 + \gamma_1 \circ (\eta + \text{id}_X) + \eta_1)$$

by (4.25.1), the asserted formula holds.

(b) The right action of $g$ on $T\text{Diff}_W(X)$ is given by applying the tangent functor $T$ to the right action $\rho_g$ of $g$ on $\text{Diff}_W(X)$. Also, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T\text{Diff}_W(X) & \xrightarrow{T\rho_g} & T\text{Diff}_W(X) \\
Tm_W & \xleftarrow{T\kappa_W} & Tm_W
\end{array}$$

Since $(\kappa_W^{-1} \circ \rho_g \circ \kappa_W)(\cdot) = m_W(\cdot, \kappa_W^{-1}(g))$, we get

$$T(\kappa_W^{-1} \circ \rho_g \circ \kappa_W)(\gamma; \gamma_1) = (m_W(\gamma, \kappa_W^{-1}(g)), \gamma_1 \circ (\kappa_W^{-1}(g) + \text{id}_X))$$

and from this the desired identity.

Now we are ready to express equation (B.2.11.1) with respect to $\kappa_W$. Before we do this, a definition is useful:
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Definition 4.4.2. Let $X$ be a normed space, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{F}$. By Corollary 4.2.6 the map

$$F_{\mathcal{F},k} : [0, 1] \times C^k([-1, 1], C^\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{W})) \to C^k([-1, 1], X)$$

$$: (t, \gamma, p) \mapsto p(t) \circ (\gamma + \text{id}_X)$$

is well-defined. For each „parameter function“ $p \in C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{W}))$, we consider the initial value problem

$$\Gamma'(t) = F_{\mathcal{F},k}(t, \Gamma(t), p)$$

$$\Gamma(0) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4.2.1)

where $t \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 4.4.3. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. For $p \in C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{W}))$, we denote a solution to (4.4.2.1) by $\Gamma_p$.

(a) For $\gamma \in C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{W})) \simeq C^\infty([0, 1], 1 \mathcal{W})$ the initial value problem

$$\eta'(t) = \gamma(t) \cdot \eta(t)$$

$$\eta(0) = \text{id}_X$$

has a smooth solution

$$\text{Evol}_{\text{Diff}(X)}^p(\gamma) : [0, 1] \to \text{Diff}(X)$$

iff the initial value problem (4.4.2.1) (in Definition 4.4.2) with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{W}$, $k = \infty$ and $p = (d\kappa_{\mathcal{W}}^{-1}) \circ \gamma$ has a smooth solution

$$\Gamma_p : [0, 1] \to \kappa_{\mathcal{W}}^{-1}(\text{Diff}(X)).$$

In this case,

$$\text{Evol}_{\text{Diff}(X)}^p(\gamma) = \kappa_{\mathcal{W}} \circ \Gamma_p.$$

(b) Let $\Omega \subseteq C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{W}))$ be an open set such that for each $\gamma \in \Omega$ there exists a right evolution $\text{Evol}_{\text{Diff}(X)}^p(\gamma) \in C^\infty([0, 1], \text{Diff}(X))$. Then $\text{evol}_{\text{Diff}(X)}^p|_\Omega$ is smooth iff the map

$$\text{T}_{\kappa_{\mathcal{W}}^{-1}}(\Omega) \to C^\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{W}) : p \mapsto \Gamma_p(1)$$

is so.

Proof. This is an easy computation involving the previous results. \qed
Evaluation of curves and properties of $F_{F,k}$ In order to solve the differential equation (4.4.2.1), we have to examine the map $F_{F,k}$. Since this map is a composition of the map already studied in section 4.2.1 and an evaluation of a smooth curve, we have to recall a basic fact concerning the evaluation of smooth curves.

Lemma 4.4.4. Let $Y$ be a locally convex topological vector space and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the evaluation function

$$\text{ev} : C^m([0, 1], Y) \times [0, 1] \to Y : (\Gamma, t) \mapsto \Gamma(t)$$

is a $C^m$-map with

$$d\text{ev}((\Gamma, t); (\Gamma_1, s)) = s \cdot \text{ev}(\Gamma', t) + \text{ev}(\Gamma_1, t) \quad (\dagger)$$

(using the same symbol, ev, for the evaluation of $C^{m-1}$-curves).

Proof. The proof is by induction:

$m = 0$: Let $\Gamma \in C^0([0, 1], Y)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. For a continuous seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ on $Y$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $U$ be a neighborhood of $\Gamma$ in $C^0([0, 1], Y)$ such that for all $\Phi \in U$

$$\|\Phi - \Gamma\|_{\infty} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is defined by

$$C^0([0, 1], Y) \to \mathbb{R} : \Phi \mapsto \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \|\Phi(t)\|.$$

By the continuity of $\Gamma$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $s \in [0, 1]$ with $|s - t| < \delta$ the estimate

$$\|\Gamma(s) - \Gamma(t)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

holds. Then

$$\|\text{ev}(\Gamma, t) - \text{ev}(\Phi, s)\| \leq \|\Gamma(t) - \Gamma(s)\| + \|\Gamma(s) - \Phi(s)\| < \varepsilon,$$

whence ev is continuous in $(\Gamma, t)$.

$m = 1$: Let $\Gamma, \Gamma_1 \in C^1([0, 1], Y)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Then

$$\frac{\text{ev}((\Gamma, t) + h(\Gamma_1, s)) - \text{ev}(\Gamma, t)}{h} = \frac{\Gamma(t + hs) - \Gamma(t)}{h} + \text{ev}(\Gamma_1, t + hs),$$

and because $\Gamma$ is differentiable and ev is continuous, this term converges to

$$s \cdot \text{ev}(\Gamma', t) + \text{ev}(\Gamma_1, t)$$

for $h \to 0$. Since this term has an obvious continuous extension to $C^1([0, 1], Y) \times [0, 1] \times C^1([0, 1], Y) \times \mathbb{R}$, ev is differentiable with the directional derivative $(\dagger)$, which is continuous.

$m \to m + 1$: The map

$$C^{m+1}([0, 1], Y) \to C^m([0, 1], Y) : \Gamma \mapsto \Gamma'$$

is continuous linear and thus smooth. Using the inductive hypothesis, we therefore deduce from $(\dagger)$ that $d\text{ev}$ is $C^m$. Hence ev is $C^{m+1}$. ■
Lemma 4.4.5. The map $F_{F,k}$ defined in Definition 4.4.2 is smooth.

Proof. By Corollary 4.2.6 and Lemma 4.4.4, $F_{F,k}$ is a composition of smooth maps. □

We introduce the following notation.

Definition 4.4.6. Let $X$ be a normed space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. Further, let $F_1, F_2$ be subsets of $W$ with $1_X \in F_1$ and $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 \leq k_2$. Then we denote the inclusion map $C^{k_2}(X,X) \to C^{k_1}(X,X)$ with $\iota(F_1,k_1), (F_2,k_2)$.

Lemma 4.4.7. Let $X$ be a normed space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. Further, let $F_1, F_2$ be subsets of $W$ with $1_X \in F_1$ and $F_1 \subseteq F_2$. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 \leq k_2$. Then

$$F_{F_1,k_1} \circ (\text{id}_{[0,1]} \times t_{(F_1,k_1),(F_2,k_2)} \times \text{id}_{C^\infty([0,1],C^\infty_W(X,X))}) = t_{(F_1,k_1),(F_2,k_2)} \circ F_{F_2,k_2}.\ (4.4.7.1)$$

Proof. This is obvious. □

Lemma 4.4.8. Let $X$ be a normed space, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$, $F \subseteq W$ with $1_X \in W$ and $p \in C^\infty([0,1],C^\infty_W(X,X))$. Then for each $f \in F$, the map $[0,1] \times C^0_f(X,X) \to C^0_f(X,X) : (t, \gamma) \mapsto F_{F,0}(t, \gamma, p)$ is globally Lipschitz with respect to the second argument. Moreover, there exists a $K > 0$ such that for all $f \in F$, $t \in [0,1]$ and $\gamma, \gamma_0 \in C^0_f(X,X)$

$$\|F_{F,0}(t, \gamma, p) - F_{F,0}(t, \gamma_0, p)\|_{f,0} \leq K \cdot \|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_{f,0}.\$$

Proof. We have

$$F_{F,0}(t, \gamma, p) - F_{F,0}(t, \gamma_0, p) = g_X(p(t), \gamma) - g_X(p(t), \gamma_0),$$

and deduce from equation (4.2.1.2) in Lemma 4.2.1 that

$$\|F_{F,0}(t, \gamma, p) - F_{F,0}(t, \gamma_0, p)\|_{f,0} \leq \|p(t)\|_{1_X,1} \|\gamma - \gamma_0\|_{f,0}.\$$

Since $p([0,1])$ is a compact (and therefore bounded) subset of $C^\infty_W(X,X)$,

$$K := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|p(t)\|_{1_X,1}$$

is finite. This proves the assertion. □
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4.4.2. Solving the differential equation

In this section we show that the regularity differential equation for $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ can be solved. In order to do this, we use that $C^\infty_W(X,X)$ is a projective limit of Banach spaces, see [Proposition 3.2.5]. We shall solve the differential equation on each step of the projective limit, see that these solutions are compatible with the bonding morphisms of the projective limit and thus obtain a solution on the limit. First, we solve (4.4.2.1) on a Banach space. To this end, we need tools from the theory of ordinary differential equations on Banach spaces. The required facts are described in Appendix C.

The Banach space case

**Lemma 4.4.9.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $F, W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in F \subseteq W$ and $|F| < \infty$, $p \in C^\infty([0,1],C^\infty_W(X,X))$ and $k = 0$. Then the initial value problem (4.4.2.1) corresponding to $p$ has a unique solution which is defined on the whole interval $[0,1]$.

**Proof.** We stated in Lemma 4.4.8 that $F, F_0(\cdot, p)$ satisfies a (global) Lipschitz condition with respect to the second argument. Since $C^0_F(X,X)$ is a Banach space, there exists a unique solution

$$\Gamma : [0,1] \to C^0_F(X,X)$$

of (4.4.2.1) which is defined on the whole interval $[0,1]$; see [Die60, §10.6.1] or Theorem C.2.5 and Lemma C.2.3.

**Lemma 4.4.10.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. Further, let $F_1, F_2$ be finite subsets of $W$ with $1_X \in F_1$ and $F_1 \subseteq F_2$. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 \leq k_2$ and $p \in C^\infty([0,1],C^\infty_W(X,X))$. If

$$\Gamma_{F_1,k_1} : I_1 \to C^{k_1}_{F_1}(X,X) \quad \text{resp.} \quad \Gamma_{F_2,k_2} : I_2 \to C^{k_2}_{F_2}(X,X)$$

are solutions of (4.4.2.1) corresponding to $p$, then

$$\Gamma_{F_1,k_1}|_{I_1 \cap I_2} = \iota_{(F_1,k_1),(F_2,k_2)} \circ \Gamma_{F_2,k_2}|_{I_1 \cap I_2}. \quad (4.4.10.1)$$

**Proof.** Since $\Gamma_{F_2,k_2}$ is a solution to (4.4.2.1), using equation (4.4.7.1) in Lemma 4.4.7 one easily verifies that for $t \in I_1 \cap I_2$

$$(\iota_{(F_1,k_1),(F_2,k_2)} \circ \Gamma_{F_2,k_2})(t) = (\iota_{(F_1,k_1),(F_2,k_2)} \circ \Gamma'_{F_2,k_2})(t) = F_{F_1,k_1}(t, \iota_{(F_1,k_1),(F_2,k_2)} \circ \Gamma_{F_2,k_2})(t, p).$$

Hence $\Gamma_{F_1,k_1}$ and $\iota_{(F_1,k_1),(F_2,k_2)} \circ \Gamma_{F_2,k_2}$ are solutions to the initial value problem (4.4.2.1) corresponding to $F_1, k_1$ and $p$. Since solutions to (4.4.2.1) are uniquely determined ($C^{k_1}_{F_1}(X,X)$ is a Banach space, so we can apply [Die60, §10.5.2]), we get the desired identity (4.4.10.1).
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**Definition 4.4.11.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. Further, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{F}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Gamma: [0,1] \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{F}}(X,X)$ and $P: [0,1] \to \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathcal{W}}(X,L(X))$ be continuous curves. We define the continuous map

$$G^{\Gamma,P}_{\mathcal{F},k} : [0,1] \times \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{F}}(X,L(X)) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{F}}(X,L(X))$$

$$\quad : (t, \gamma) \mapsto \left( P(t) \circ (\Gamma(t) + \text{id}_X) \right)(\gamma + \text{id})$$

and consider the initial value problem

$$\Phi'(t) = G^{\Gamma,P}_{\mathcal{F},k}(t, \Phi(t))$$
$$\Phi(0) = 0. \quad (4.4.11.1)$$

**Lemma 4.4.12.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. Further, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{F}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0,1],\mathcal{C}^\infty(X,X))$. If

$$\Gamma_k : [0,1] \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{F}}(X,X) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{k+1} : I \subseteq [0,1] \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{F}}(X,X)$$

are solutions to (4.4.11.1) corresponding to $p$, then the curve $D \circ \Gamma_{k+1}$ is a solution to the initial value problem (4.4.11.1) with $\Gamma = \Gamma_k$ and $P = D \circ p$. In particular, for $t \in I$ we have

$$(D \circ \Gamma_{k+1})'(t) = G^{\Gamma_{k+1},Dp}_{\mathcal{F},k}(t, (D \circ \Gamma_{k+1})(t)),$$

Proof. We have

$$(D \circ \Gamma_{k+1})' = D \circ \Gamma_{k+1}$$

and therefore for $t \in I$

$$(D \circ \Gamma_{k+1})'(t) = DF_{\mathcal{F},k+1}(t, \Gamma_{k+1}(t), p)$$
$$\quad = (Dp(t) \circ (\Gamma_{k+1}(t) + \text{id}_X)) \cdot (D\Gamma_{k+1}(t) + \text{id}).$$

From Lemma 4.4.10 we know that $\Gamma_k = \iota_{(\mathcal{F},k),(\mathcal{F},k+1)} \circ \Gamma_{k+1}$:

$$= (\iota_{(\mathcal{F},k),(\mathcal{F},k+1)}(D \circ p)(t)(\Gamma_k(t) + \text{id}_X)) \cdot (\iota_{(\mathcal{F},k),(\mathcal{F},k+1)}(D \circ \Gamma_{k+1})(t) + \text{id})$$

$$= G^{\Gamma_{k+1},Dp}_{\mathcal{F},k}(t, (D \circ \Gamma_{k+1})(t)),$$

and obviously $(D \circ \Gamma_{k+1})(0) = 0$, so the assertion is proved. \qed

**Lemma 4.4.13.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ finite with $1_X \in \mathcal{F}$, $p \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0,1],\mathcal{C}^\infty(X,X))$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the initial value problem (4.4.2.1) corresponding to $p$ has a unique solution which is defined on the whole interval $[0,1]$.

Proof. This is proved by induction on $k$. The case $k = 0$ was treated in Lemma 4.4.9.

$k \to k + 1$: We denote the solutions for $k$ and 0 with $\Gamma_k$ and $\Gamma_0$, respectively. Since the function $F_{\mathcal{F},k+1}$ is smooth and $\mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{F}}(X,X)$ is a Banach space, there exists a unique maximal solution $\Gamma_{k+1} : I \to \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{\mathcal{F}}(X,X)$ to (4.4.2.1) (see Proposition C.1.2). Using
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[Lemma 4.4.12] we conclude that $D \circ \Gamma_{k+1}$ is a solution to (4.4.11.1). Since the latter ODE is linear, there exists an unique solution

$$S : [0, 1] \to C^k_F(X, L(X))$$

that is defined on the whole interval $[0, 1]$ (see [Die60 §10.6.3] or Theorem C.2.5). Let

$$\iota : C^{k+1}_F(X, X) \to C^0_F(X, X) \times C^k_F(X, L(X))$$

be the embedding from [Proposition 3.2.3]. We have

$$\Gamma_{k+1}(I) \subseteq \iota^{-1}(\Gamma_0([0, 1]) \times S([0, 1])),$$

and since $\Gamma_0([0, 1]) \times S([0, 1])$ is compact and the image of $\iota$ is a closed subset of $C^0_F(X, X) \times C^k_F(X, L(X))$ (by [Proposition 3.2.8]) and $\iota^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism, the image of $\Gamma_{k+1}$ is contained in a compact set. Since $\Gamma_{k+1}$ is maximal, this implies that $\Gamma_{k+1}$ must be defined on the whole of $[0, 1]$; see Theorem C.2.5.

[Lemma 4.4.14. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ finite with $1_X \in \mathcal{F}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $p \in C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty_W(X, X))$, let $\Gamma^p_{\mathcal{F}, k}$ be the solution to (4.4.2.1) corresponding to $p$. Then the map

$$\Phi : [0, 1] \times C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty_W(X, X)) : (t, p) \mapsto \Gamma^p_{\mathcal{F}, k}(t)$$

is smooth.]

Proof. Since the map $C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty_W(X, X)) \to C^1_F(X, X) : p \mapsto 0$ is smooth, this follows from [Corollary C.3.7].

The general case

[Proposition 4.4.15. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$. For each $p \in C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty_W(X, X))$ there exists a solution $\Gamma^p_{W, \infty}$ to (4.4.2.1) which corresponds to $p$, $\mathcal{W}$ and $\infty$. The map

$$\Phi : [0, 1] \times C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty_W(X, X)) : (t, p) \mapsto \Gamma^p_{W, \infty}(t)$$

(4.4.15.1)

is smooth.]

Proof. The space $C^\infty_W(X, X)$ is the projective limit of

$$\{C^k_F(X, X) : k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{W}, |\mathcal{F}| < \infty, 1_X \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

see [Proposition 3.2.5]. Applying the universal property of the projective limit to the solutions $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}, k}$ of (4.4.2.1) mentioned in [Lemma 4.4.14] (which is possible because of [Lemma 4.4.10]) we get an unique map

$$\Phi : [0, 1] \times C^\infty([0, 1], C^\infty_W(X, X)) \to C^\infty_W(X, X)$$

67
such that
\[ \iota_{(F,k),(W,\infty)} \circ \Phi = \Phi_{F,k} \]
for each finite set \( F \subseteq W \) with \( 1_X \in F \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). The map \( \Phi \) is smooth by Proposition A.2.3. It remains to show that for each \( p \in C^\infty([0,1], C_w^\infty(X,X)) \), the map
\[ \Phi_p := \Phi|_{[0,1] \times \{p\}} \]
is a solution to (4.4.2.1) that corresponds to \( p, W \) and \( \infty \). For each finite set \( F \subseteq W \) with \( 1_X \in F \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we get for \( t \in [0,1] \)
\[ (\iota_{(F,k),(W,\infty)} \circ \Phi_p)'(t) = (\iota_{(F,k),(W,\infty)} \circ \Phi_p)'(t) \]
\[ = (\Phi_p)'(t) \]
\[ = F_{F,k}(t, \Phi_p(t), p) \]
\[ = F_{F,k}(t, \iota_{(F,k),(W,\infty)}(\Phi_p(t)), p) \]
\[ = (\iota_{(F,k),(W,\infty)} \circ F_{W,\infty})(t, \Phi_p(t), p) \]
using Lemma 4.4.7. Since the \( \iota_{(F,k),(W,\infty)} \) are the limit maps,
\[ \Phi_p'(t) = F_{W,\infty}(t, \Phi_p(t), p), \]
hence each \( \Phi_p \) is a solution to (4.4.2.1). \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.4.16.** Let \( X \) be a Banach space and \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X \) with \( 1_X \in W \). Then the Lie group \( \text{Diff}_W(X) \) is regular.

**Proof.** We proved in Proposition 4.4.15 that for each smooth curve \( p : [0,1] \rightarrow C_w^\infty(X,X) \) the initial value problem (4.4.2.1) has a solution \( \Gamma_p : [0,1] \rightarrow C_w^\infty(X,X) \) and that the map
\[ \Gamma : [0,1] \times C^\infty([0,1], C_w^\infty(X,X)) \rightarrow C_w^\infty(X,X) : (t, p) \mapsto \Gamma_p(t) \]
is smooth. Obviously, \( \Gamma \) maps \([0,1] \times \{0\} \) to \( 0 \). Since \( \kappa_{W,\infty}^{-1}(\text{Diff}_W(X)) \) is an open neighborhood of \( 0 \) in \( C_w^\infty(X,X) \) (see Corollary 4.3.14) and \( \Gamma \) is continuous, a compactness argument gives a neighborhood \( U \) of \( 0 \) such that
\[ \Gamma([0,1] \times U) \subseteq \kappa_{W,\infty}^{-1}(\text{Diff}_W(X)). \]

We recorded in Lemma 4.4.3 that this is equivalent to the existence of an open neighborhood \( V \) of \( 0 \in C^\infty([0,1], C_w^\infty(X,X)) \) such that for each \( \gamma \in V \), there exists an right evolution \( \text{Evol}^\rho_{\text{Diff}_W(X)}(\gamma) \) and that \( \text{evol}^\rho_{\text{Diff}_W(X)}|_V \) is smooth. But we know from Lemma B.2.10 that this entails the regularity of \( \text{Diff}_W(X) \). \( \square \)
5. Integration of certain Lie algebras of vector fields

The aim of this section is the integration of Lie algebras that arise as the semidirect product of a weighted function space $C^\infty_W(X,X)$ and $L(G)$, where $G$ is a subgroup of $\text{Diff}(X)$ which is a Lie group with respect to composition and inversion of functions.

The canonical candidate for this purpose is the semidirect product of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ and $G$ – if it can be constructed. Hence we need criteria when

$$G \times \text{Diff}_W(X) \to \text{Diff}(X) : (T, \phi) \mapsto T \circ \phi \circ T^{-1}$$

takes its image in $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ and is smooth.

5.1. On the smoothness of the conjugation action on $\text{Diff}_W(X)_0$

We slightly generalize our approach by allowing arbitrary Lie groups to act on $\text{Diff}_W(X)$. We need the following notation.

**Definition 5.1.1.** Let $G$ be a group and $\omega : G \times M \to M$ an action of $G$ on the set $M$.

(a) For $g \in G$, we denote the partial map $\omega(g, \cdot) : M \to M$ by $\omega_g$.

(b) Assume that $G$ is a locally convex Lie group, $M$ a smooth manifold and $\omega$ is smooth. We define the linear map

$$\dot{\omega} : L(G) \to \mathcal{X}(M)$$

by

$$\dot{\omega}(x)(m) = -T_\epsilon\omega(\cdot, m)(x).$$

Note that $\dot{\omega}$ takes its values in the smooth vector fields because $\omega$ is smooth.

Now we can state a first criterion for smoothness of the conjugation action – however only on the connected component $\text{Diff}_W(X)_0$ of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$.

**Lemma 5.1.2.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in \mathcal{W}$, $G$ a Lie group and $\omega : G \times X \to X$ a smooth action. We define the map

$$\alpha : G \times \text{Diff}_W(X) \to \text{Diff}(X) : (T, \phi) \mapsto \omega_T \circ \phi \circ \omega_{T^{-1}}.$$

Assume that there exists an open set $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}_G(1)$ such that the maps

$$C^\infty_W(X, X) \times \Omega \to C^\infty_W(X, X) : (\gamma, T) \mapsto \gamma \circ \omega_T$$

(5.1.2.1)

and

$$C^\infty_W(X, X) \times \Omega \to C^\infty_W(X, X) : (\gamma, T) \mapsto D\omega_T \cdot \gamma$$

(5.1.2.2)

are well-defined and smooth.
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(a) Then for each open identity neighborhood $U_W \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X)$ such that $[\phi, \text{id}_X] := \{t\phi + (1 - t) \text{id}_X : t \in [0, 1]\} \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X)$ for each $\phi \in U_W$, the map

$$(\Omega \cap \Omega^{-1}) \times U_W \to \text{End}_W(X) : (T, \phi) \mapsto \alpha(T, \phi)$$

is well-defined and smooth.

(b) Suppose that $\Omega = G$. Then the map

$$G \times \text{Diff}_W(X)_0 \to \text{Diff}_W(X)_0 : (T, \phi) \mapsto \alpha(T, \phi)$$

is well-defined and smooth.

Proof. (a) Using Corollary 4.2.6, Theorem 4.3.17 and the smoothness of (5.1.2.1) and $\psi$, each multipliers $W$. We first elaborate on the map (5.1.2.2). To this end, we define a class of functions, $\mathcal{B}(X)$, which will be the topic of the next two subsections.

We proved in Corollary 3.2.12 that $\alpha(\text{id}_X) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

We proved in Lemma B.2.13 that $\psi_t, \psi = (D\omega_T \cdot ((\phi - \text{id}_X) \circ (t\phi + (1 - t) \text{id}_X)^{-1})) \circ (t\phi + (1 - t) \text{id}_X)$ is a smooth map for each $t \in [0, 1]$. We calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
(\omega_T \circ \phi \circ \omega_T^{-1})(x) &= (\omega_T \circ \phi \circ \omega_T^{-1})(x) - x \\
&= \int_0^1 D\omega_T \circ (t\phi + (1 - t) \text{id}_X)(\omega_T^{-1}(x)) \cdot (\phi - \text{id}_X)(\omega_T^{-1}(x)) \, dt \\
&= \int_0^1 (D\omega_T \cdot ((\phi - \text{id}_X) \circ (t\phi + (1 - t) \text{id}_X)^{-1})) \circ (t\phi + (1 - t) \text{id}_X)(\omega_T^{-1}(x)) \, dt.
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\omega_T \circ \phi \circ \omega_T^{-1} - \text{id}_X = \int_0^1 \psi_t, \psi = (D\omega_T \cdot ((\phi - \text{id}_X) \circ (t\phi + (1 - t) \text{id}_X)^{-1})) \circ (t\phi + (1 - t) \text{id}_X)$ is locally convex, we find a symmetric open $U_W \in \mathcal{U}(\text{id}_X)$ such that $[U_W, \text{id}_X] \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X)$. Using the symmetry of $U_W$ and the results of (a), we see that $\alpha(G \times U_W) \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X)_0$. Since $U_W$ generates $\text{Diff}_W(X)_0$, we can apply Lemma B.2.13 to conclude that $\alpha(G \times \text{Diff}_W(X)_0) \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X)_0$. Further (5.1.2.2) is smooth by (a) and Lemma B.2.14.

(b) Since $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ is locally convex, we find a symmetric open $U_W \in \mathcal{U}(\text{id}_X)$ such that $[U_W, \text{id}_X] \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X)$. Using the symmetry of $U_W$ and the results of (a), we see that $\alpha(G \times U_W) \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X)_0$. Since $U_W$ generates $\text{Diff}_W(X)_0$, we can apply Lemma B.2.13 to conclude that $\alpha(G \times \text{Diff}_W(X)_0) \subseteq \text{Diff}_W(X)_0$. Further (5.1.2.2) is smooth by (a) and Lemma B.2.14.

So all we need are criteria for the smoothness of the maps (5.1.2.1) and (5.1.2.2). This will be the topic of the next two subsections.

5.2. Bilinear action on weighted functions

We first elaborate on the map (5.1.2.2). To this end, we define a class of functions, the multipliers. These have the property that for a multiplier $M$, a weighted function $\gamma$ and a continuous bilinear map $b$, the map $b \circ (M, \gamma)$ is a weighted function. Finally, we provide a criterion ensuring that a topology on a set of multipliers makes the map $(M, \gamma) \mapsto b \circ (M, \gamma)$ continuous.
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5.2.1. Multipliers

Definition 5.2.1. Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ a nonempty set of weights. We define $\widetilde{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ as the set of functions $f$ for which $\|\cdot\|_{f,0}$ is a continuous seminorm on $C^0_W(U,Y)$, for each normed space $Y$. Obviously $W \subseteq \widetilde{W}$ and by Lemma 3.2.2 $\|\cdot\|_{f,\ell}$ is a continuous seminorm on $C^k_W(U,Y)$, provided that $\ell \leq k$.

Definition 5.2.2. Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ a nonempty set of weights.

(a) A function $g : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a multiplicative weight (for $W$) if $(\forall f \in W) f \cdot g \in \widetilde{W}$.

(b) Let $Y$ be another normed space and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A $C^k$-map $M : U \to Z$ is called a $k$-multiplier (for $W$) if $\|D(\ell)M\|_{op}$ is a multiplicative weight for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$. An $\infty$-multiplier is also called a multiplier.

Lemma 5.2.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ a nonempty set of weights and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) The set of $k$-multipliers from $U$ to $Y$ is a vector space.

(b) A map $M : U \to Y$ is a $(k+1)$-multiplier iff $M$ is a $0$-multiplier and $DM : U \to L(X,Y)$ is a $k$-multiplier.

Proof. (a) This is obvious from the definition.

(b) This follows from the identity $\|D(\ell+1)M\|_{op} = \|D(\ell)M\|_{op}$, see Lemma 3.2.2.

Lemma 5.2.4. Let $X, Y_1, Y_2$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ a nonempty set of weights and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, let $b : Y_1 \times Y_2 \to Z$ be a continuous bilinear map, $M : U \to Y_1$ a $k$-multiplier and $\gamma \in C^k_W(U,Y_2)$. Then

$$b \circ (M, \gamma) \in C^k_W(U,Z).$$

Moreover, the map

$$C^k_W(U,Y_2) \to C^k_W(U,Z) : \gamma \mapsto b \circ (M, \gamma)$$

is continuous linear and hence smooth.

Proof. For $k < \infty$ the proof is by induction on $k$:

$k = 0$: We calculate for $x \in U$ and $f \in W$:

$$\|f(x)\| \|(b \circ (M, \gamma))(x)\| \leq \|b\|_{op} \|f(x)\| \|M(x)\| \|\gamma(x)\| \leq \|b\|_{op} \|\gamma\|_{f,0},$$

and since $\|M\|$ is a multiplicative weight, the right hand side is finite. Hence

$$\|(b \circ (M, \gamma))\|_{f,0} \leq \|b\|_{op} \|\gamma\|_{f,0},$$
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Lemma 5.2.5. Topologies on spaces of multipliers is continuous. Using Lemma 3.3.2 we get hypothesis to the maps \( b \) entailing that \( b \) is continuous. Further, let \( T \) be a topological space and \( M \) be a continuous map. Then the map

\[ C^k(U, Y_2) \to C^k(U, L(X, Z)) : \gamma \mapsto D(b \circ (M, \gamma)) \]

is continuous. Using Lemma 3.3.2 we get

\[ D(b \circ (M, \gamma)) = b^{(1)} \circ (DM, \gamma) + b^{(2)} \circ (M, D\gamma); \]

for the definition of the maps \( b^{(i)} \) see section 3.3.1. So by applying the inductive hypothesis to the maps \( b^{(1)} \circ (DM, \gamma) \) and \( b^{(2)} \circ (M, D\gamma) \) (by Lemma 5.2.3 \( DM \) is a \( k \)-multiplier), we see that \( D(b \circ (M, \gamma)) \) is in \( C^k(U, L(X, Z)) \) and the map \( [\text{1}] \) is continuous.

\( k = \infty \): From the assertions already established, we derive the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^\infty(U, Y_2) & \xrightarrow{b(M, \cdot), \infty} & C^\infty(U, Z) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C^k(U, Y_2) & \xrightarrow{b(M, \cdot)} & C^k(U, Z)
\end{array}
\]

for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), where the vertical arrows represent the inclusion maps. With Corollary 3.2.6 we easily deduce the continuity of \( b(M, \cdot)_{*, \infty} \) from the one of \( b(M, \cdot)_* \).

\[ \square \]

**Topologies on spaces of multipliers**

**Lemma 5.2.5.** Let \( X, Y_1, Y_2 \) and \( Z \) be normed spaces, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set, \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) a nonempty set of weights, \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( b : Y_1 \times Y_2 \to Z \) a continuous bilinear map. Further, let \( T \) be a topological space and \( (M_T)_{T \in T} \) a family of \( k \)-multipliers such that

\[
(\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, T \in T, \ell \in \mathbb{N} : \ell \leq k)(\exists g \in \mathcal{\hat{W}}) (\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists \Omega \in U_T(T)) \forall S \in \Omega : \|f\|D^{(\ell)}(M_T - M_S) \leq \epsilon \|g\|. \tag{5.2.5.1}
\]

Then the map

\[ T \times C^k(U, Y_2) \to C^k(U, Z) : (T, \gamma) \mapsto b \circ (M_T, \gamma) \]

which is defined by Lemma 5.2.4 is continuous.

**Proof.** For \( k < \infty \) the proof is by induction on \( k \).

\( k = 0 \): For \( S, T \in T \) and \( \gamma, \eta \in C^0(U, Y_2) \), we have

\[ b \circ (M_S, \eta) - b \circ (M_T, \gamma) = b \circ (M_S, \eta - \gamma) + b \circ (M_S - M_T, \gamma). \]

We treat each summand separately. To this end, let \( f \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( x \in U \). Then we calculate for first summand

\[ |f(x)| \|b(M_S(x), (\gamma - \eta)(x))\| \leq \|b\|_{\text{op}} |f(x)| \|M_S(x)\| \|(\gamma - \eta)(x)\|. \]
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For the second summand we get

$$|f(x)| \| b \circ (M_S - M_T, \gamma)(x) \| \leq \|b\|_{op} |f(x)| \| (M_S - M_T)(x)\| \| \gamma(x) \|.$$

Let $g \in \tilde{W}$ as in Condition (5.2.5.1). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\Omega \in U(T)$ be as in Condition (5.2.5.1).

For $S \in \Omega$, we derive from the estimates above that

$$|f(x)| \| (b \circ (M_S, \eta) - b \circ (M_T, \gamma))(x) \| \leq \|b\|_{op} (\| \gamma - \eta \| f_{\|M_S\|,0} + \varepsilon \| \gamma \| g,0).$$

As the right hand side can be made arbitrarily small, we see that \( f \in C^{k+1}_W(U, Y_2) \) is continuous.

\[ k \to k + 1: \]

Using Proposition 3.2.3, we just need to prove that for $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y_2)$ and $T \in T$, the map $D(b \circ (M_T, \gamma)) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Z))$ and that

$$T \times C^{k+1}_W(U, Y_2) \to C^k_W(U, L(X, Z)) : \gamma \mapsto D(b \circ (M_T, \gamma))$$

is continuous. Using Lemma 3.3.2 we get

$$D(b \circ (M_T, \gamma)) = b^{(1)} \circ (DM_T, \gamma) + b^{(2)} \circ (M_T, D\gamma),$$

with $b^{(i)}$ as in section 3.3.1. So by applying the inductive hypothesis to the maps $b^{(1)} \circ (DM_T, \gamma)$ and $b^{(2)} \circ (M_T, D\gamma)$, we see that $D(b \circ (M_T, \gamma))$ is in $C^k_W(U, L(X, Z))$ and the map \( f \) is continuous.

\[ k = \infty: \]

From the assertions already established, we derive the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T \times C^\infty_W(U, Y_2) & \xrightarrow{b_{*,\infty}} & C^\infty_W(U, Z) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T \times C^n_W(U, Y_2) & \xrightarrow{b_*} & C^n_W(U, Z)
\end{array}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where the vertical arrows represent the inclusion maps. With Corollary 3.2.6 we easily deduce the continuity of $b_{*,\infty}$ from the one of $b_*$. \qed

5.3. Contravariant composition on weighted functions

Here we prove sufficient conditions that make \( (5.1.2.1) \) smooth. Since the second factor of the domain of this map in general is not contained in a vector space, we have to wrestle with certain technical difficulties, leading to the definition of a notion of logarithmically bounded identity neighborhoods in Lie groups.

**Lemma 5.3.1.** Let $G$ be a Lie group and $\omega : G \times M \to M$ a smooth action of $G$ on the smooth manifold $M$.

(a) For any $g \in G$, the identity

$$T_\omega = T_{\omega g} \circ T_\omega \circ (T\lambda_{g^{-1}} \times \text{id}_{TM})$$

holds, where $\lambda_{g^{-1}} : G \to G$ denotes the left multiplication with $g^{-1}$. 
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In the following, let \( S, T \in G \) and \( W : [0, 1] \to G \) be a smooth curve with \( W(0) = S \) and \( W(1) = T \).

(b) Let \( N \) be another smooth manifold and \( \gamma : M \to N \) a \( C^1 \) map. Then for \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( x \in M \), we have

\[
\mathbf{T}(\gamma \circ \omega \circ (W \times \text{id}_M))(t, 1, 0_x) = \mathbf{T}\gamma \circ \mathbf{T}\omega_{W(t)}(-\dot{\omega}(\delta_{t}(W))(t))(x).
\]

(c) Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces. Assume that \( M \) is an open nonempty subset of \( X \). Then for \( \gamma, \eta \in C^1(M, Y) \) and \( x \in M \), we have

\[
(\gamma \circ \omega_T)(x) - (\eta \circ \omega_S)(x) = ((\gamma - \eta) \circ \omega_T)(x) + \int_0^1 D\eta(\omega_{W(t)}(x)) \cdot D\omega_{W(t)}(x) \cdot \dot{\omega}(\delta_{t}(W))(t)(x) dt.
\]

Proof. (a) We calculate for \( h \in G \) and \( m \in M \) that

\[
\omega(h, m) = \omega(gg^{-1}h, m) = \omega(g, \omega(g^{-1}h, m)) = \omega_g(\omega(\lambda_{g^{-1}}(h), m)).
\]

Applying the tangent functor gives the assertion.

(b) We calculate

\[
\mathbf{T}(\gamma \circ \omega \circ (W \times \text{id}_M))(t, 1, 0_x) = \mathbf{T}\gamma \circ \mathbf{T}\omega(W'(t), 0_x) = \mathbf{T}\gamma \circ \mathbf{T}\omega_{W(t)}(W(t)^{-1} \cdot W'(t), 0_x) = \mathbf{T}\gamma \circ \mathbf{T}\omega_{W(t)}(-\dot{\omega}(W(t)^{-1}W'(t)))(x).
\]

Here we used (a).

(c) With an insertion of 0 we get

\[
(\gamma \circ \omega_T)(x) - (\eta \circ \omega_S)(x) = ((\gamma - \eta) \circ \omega_T)(x) + (\eta \circ \omega_T)(x) - (\eta \circ \omega_S)(x)
\]

We elaborate on the second summand:

\[
(\eta \circ \omega_T)(x) - (\eta \circ \omega_S)(x) = \eta(\omega(W(1), x)) - \eta(\omega(W(0), x))
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 D(\eta \circ \omega \circ (W \times \text{id}_U))(t, x) \cdot (1, 0) dt
\]

\[
= -\int_0^1 D\eta(\omega_{W(t)}(x)) \cdot D\omega_{W(t)}(x) \cdot \dot{\omega}(\delta_{t}(W))(t)(x) dt.
\]

Here we used equation (†) \( \Box \)

**Definition 5.3.2.** Let \( G \) be a Lie group and \( U \subseteq G \), \( V \subseteq L(G) \) sets. We call a path \( W \in C^1([0, 1], G) \) \( V \)-logarithmically bounded if \( \delta_{t}(W)([0, 1]) \subseteq V \). The set \( U \) is called \( V \)-logarithmically bounded if for all \( g, h \in U \) there exists an \( V \)-logarithmically bounded \( W \in C^\infty([0, 1], V) \) with \( W(0) = g \) and \( W(1) = h \).
Proposition 5.3.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ a nonempty set of weights, $G$ a locally convex Lie group and $\omega : G \times U \to U$ a smooth action. Assume that there exists an open neighborhood $\Omega$ of $1$ in $G$ such that

$$(\forall f \in \mathcal{W}, T \in \Omega) \exists g \in \bar{\mathcal{W}}(\forall \varepsilon > 0)$$

$$\exists V \in \mathcal{U}_{L(G)}(0), \bar{\Omega} \in \Omega(T) \quad V\text{-logarithmically bounded}$$

$$(\forall S \in \bar{\Omega}, v \in V) : ||f|| \cdot ||D\omega_S \cdot \omega(v)|| < \varepsilon|g \circ \omega_S|.$$  \hfill (5.3.3.1)

Further assume that $\mathcal{W} \circ \omega^{-1}_\Omega \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{W}}$, and that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m < k$ and normed spaces $Z$, the map

$$C^m_\mathcal{W}(U, L(X, Z)) \times \Omega \to C^m_\mathcal{W}(U, L(X, Z)) : (\Gamma, T) \mapsto \Gamma \cdot D\omega_T$$  \hfill (5.3.3.2)

is defined and continuous.

(a) Then the map

$$C^{k+1}_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) \times \Omega \to C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) : (\gamma, T) \mapsto \gamma \circ \omega_T$$

is well-defined and continuous.

(b) Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^\ast$. Additionally assume that the maps

$$C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, L(X, Y)) \times \Omega \to C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, L(X, Y)) : (\Gamma, T) \mapsto \Gamma \cdot D\omega_T$$  \hfill (5.3.3.3)

and

$$C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, L(X, Y)) \times L(G) \to C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) : (\Gamma, v) \mapsto \Gamma \cdot \omega(v)$$  \hfill (5.3.3.4)

are well-defined and $C^{\ell-1}$. Then the map

$$\mathcal{C} : C^{k+\ell+1}_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) \times \Omega \to C^k_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) : (\gamma, T) \mapsto \gamma \circ \omega_T$$

is $C^\ell$ with the derivative

$$d\mathcal{C}((\gamma, S); (\gamma_1, S_1)) = -(D\gamma \circ \omega_S) \cdot D\omega_S \cdot \omega(S^{-1} \cdot S_1) + \gamma_1 \circ \omega_S.$$  \hfill (\dagger)

Proof. (a) For $k < \infty$, this is proved by induction on $k$.

$k = 0$: Let $\gamma, \eta \in C^1_\mathcal{W}(U, Y)$, $T \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $f \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $g \in \bar{\mathcal{W}}$ as in Condition (5.3.3.1). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we find a neighborhood $\tilde{\Omega}$ of $T$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}_{L(G)}(0)$ such that Condition (5.3.3.1) is satisfied. Using equation (5.3.3.1) we calculate for $S \in \tilde{\Omega}$, an $V$-logarithmically bounded path $W : [0, 1] \to \Omega$ connecting $S$ and $T$, and $x \in U$ that

$$|f(x)| \cdot ||(\gamma \circ \omega_T)(x) - (\eta \circ \omega_S)(x)||$$

$$\leq |f(x)| \cdot \left(||(\gamma - \eta) \circ \omega_T(x)|| + \left|\left|\int_0^1 D\eta(\omega_{W(t)}(x)) \cdot D\omega_{W(t)}(x) \cdot \omega(\delta_\ell(W)(t))(x) \ dt\right|\right|\right)$$

$$\leq ||\gamma - \eta||_{f_{\omega_T}^{-1}, 0} + \left|\left|\int_0^1 |f(x)| \cdot \left|\left|D\eta(\omega_{W(t)}(x))\right|\right|_{op} \cdot \left|\left|D\omega_{W(t)}(x) \cdot \omega(\delta_\ell(W)(t))(x)\right|\right| \ dt\right|$$

$$\leq ||\gamma - \eta||_{f_{\omega_T}^{-1}, 0} + \varepsilon \int_0^1 |(g \circ \omega_{W(t)}(x))| \cdot \left|\left|D\eta(\omega_{W(t)}(x))\right|\right|_{op} \ dt$$

$$\leq ||\gamma - \eta||_{f_{\omega_T}^{-1}, 0} + \varepsilon \left|\left|\eta\right|\right|_{g, 1}.$$
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The continuity at \((\gamma, \eta)\) follows from this formula.

\(k \to k + 1\): By Proposition 3.2.3 and the inductive hypothesis, we just need to check that the map

\[ C_{W}^{k+2}(U, Y) \times \Omega \to C_{W}^{k}(U, L(X, Y)) : (\gamma, T) \mapsto D(\gamma \circ \omega_T) \]

is well-defined and continuous. For \(\gamma \in C_{W}^{k+2}(U, Y)\) and \(T \in \Omega\), we have

\[ D(\gamma \circ \omega_T) = (D\gamma \circ \omega_T) \cdot D\omega_T. \]

Hence by the inductive hypothesis and the continuity of (5.3.3.2), the induction is finished.

\(k = \infty\): This is an easy consequence of the case \(k < \infty\) and Corollary 3.2.6.

(b) We prove this by induction on \(\ell\).

\(\ell = 1\): Let \(\gamma, \gamma_1 \in C_{W}^{k+\ell+1}(U, Y)\), \(S \in \Omega\) and \(S_1 \in T_S \Omega\). Further, let \(\Gamma : [-\delta, \delta[ \to \Omega\) be a smooth curve with \(\Gamma(0) = S\) and \(\Gamma'(0) = S_1\). Then we calculate for a sufficiently small \(t \neq 0\):

\[ \frac{1}{t}((\gamma + t\gamma_1) \circ \omega_{\Gamma(t)} - \gamma \circ \omega_S) = \frac{1}{t}(\gamma \circ w_{\Gamma(t)} - \gamma \circ \omega_S) + \gamma_1 \circ \omega_{\Gamma(t)}. \]

Using equation (5.3.1.1) we elaborate on the first summand:

\[ \frac{1}{t}(\gamma \circ w_{\Gamma(t)} - \gamma \circ \omega_S)(x) = -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 D\gamma(\omega_{\Gamma(st)}(x)) \cdot D\omega_{\Gamma(st)}(x) \cdot \dot{\omega}(t\delta_{\ell}(\Gamma)(st))(x) \, ds. \]

Hence

\[ \frac{1}{t}(\gamma \circ w_{\Gamma(t)} - \gamma \circ \omega_S) = -\int_0^1 (D\gamma \circ \omega_{\Gamma(st)}) \cdot D\omega_{\Gamma(st)} \cdot \dot{\omega}(\delta_{\ell}(\Gamma)(st)) \, ds; \]

note that the integral on the right hand side exists by Lemma 3.2.13 since the curve

\[ [0, 1] \to C_{W}^{k}(U, Y) : s \mapsto (D\gamma \circ \omega_{\Gamma(st)}) \cdot D\omega_{\Gamma(st)} \cdot \dot{\omega}(\delta_{\ell}(\Gamma)(st)) \]

is well-defined and continuous by (a) and the continuity of (5.3.3.3) and (5.3.3.4). Hence by Proposition A.1.8,

\[ \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t}((\gamma + t\gamma_1) \circ \omega_{\Gamma(t)} - \gamma \circ \omega_S) = -(D\gamma \circ \omega_S) \cdot D\omega_S \cdot \dot{\omega}(S^{-1} \cdot S_1) + \gamma_1 \circ \omega_S, \]

so the directional derivatives of \(c\) exist, are of the form \([\ddagger]\) and depend continuously on the directions by (a) and the continuity of (5.3.3.3) and (5.3.3.4).

\(\ell \to \ell + 1\): Since (5.3.3.3) and (5.3.3.4) are \(C^{\ell}\) by assumption, we conclude from \([\ddagger]\) and the inductive hypothesis that \(dc\) is \(C^\ell\), whence \(c\) is \(C^\ell+1\).
5.4. Examples

**Theorem 5.4.1.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $G$ a Lie group, $\omega : G \times X \to X$ a smooth action and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. Assume that $\{ f \circ \omega_T : f \in W, T \in G \} \subseteq \hat{W}$ (we defined $\hat{W}$ in Definition 5.2.1), $\{ D\omega_T : T \in G \} \subseteq BC^{\infty}(X, L(X))$, the maps

$$D : G \to BC^{\infty}(X, L(X)) : T \mapsto D\omega_T$$

and (5.3.3.4) are well-defined and smooth and Condition (5.3.3.1) is satisfied. Then the map

$$G \times \text{Diff}_W(X)_0 \to \text{Diff}_W(X)_0 : (T, \phi) \mapsto \omega_T \circ \phi \circ \omega_T^{-1}$$

is well-defined and smooth.

**Proof.** Since (5.1.2.1) is well-defined and smooth, we can apply Corollary 3.3.7 to see that (5.1.2.2) is well-defined and smooth. Similarly, using Corollary 3.3.6, we see that (5.3.3.2) and (5.3.3.3) are well-defined and smooth. Hence Proposition 5.3.3 shows that (5.1.2.1) is smooth. The assertion follows from Lemma 5.1.2.

Finally, we give a positive and a negative example.

**Example 5.4.2.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and $G := \text{GL}(X)$. We define the action

$$\omega : G \times X \to X : (g, x) \mapsto g(x).$$

Then $\dot{\omega} = -\text{id}_{L(X)}$ (since $L(G) = L(X)$), and for each $S \in G$ and $x \in X$, $\omega_S = S$ and $DS(x) = S$. Further, the map

$$D : G \to BC^{\infty}(X, L(X)) : S \mapsto DS$$

is smooth.

We now set $W := \{ x \mapsto \|x\|^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Then it is obvious that $\dot{\omega}(L(G)) = L(X)$ consists of multipliers. Further, Condition (5.2.5.1) is satisfied (where $T = L(X)$ and the family of multipliers is given by $\text{id}_{L(X)}$) since for $A, B \in L(X)$ and $x \in X$

$$\|(A - B)(x)\| \leq \|A - B\|_{op}\|x\|$$

and

$$\|D(A - B)(x)\| = \|A - B\|_{op}$$

and $\|D^{(k)}(A - B)\| = \|0\| = 0$ for $k > 1$. Hence we can apply Lemma 5.2.5 to see that (5.3.3.4) is smooth.

Finally, let $f = \|\cdot\|^n \in W$, $T \in G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists an open convex $U \in U_G(T)$ such that for all $S \in U$,

- $\|S - T\|_{op} < \varepsilon$
- $\|S^{-1}\|_{op} < 2\|T^{-1}\|_{op}$
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- $\|S\|_{op} < 2 \|T\|_{op}$.

Then the path $W : [0, 1] \to G : t \mapsto tT + (1 - t)S$ has the left logarithmic derivative

$\delta_t(W)(t) = W(t)^{-1}(T - S)$, hence $U \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{L(X)}(0, 2 \|T\|_{op\varepsilon})$-logarithmically bounded. We calculate for $x \in X, S \in U$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}_{L(X)}(0, 2 \|T\|_{op\varepsilon})$ that

$$\|f(x)\| D\omega_S(x) \cdot \hat{\omega}(A)(x) = \|x\|^n \|(S \circ A)(x)\| \leq \|S\|_{op\varepsilon} \|A\|_{op\varepsilon} \|x\|^{n+1}$$

$$\leq 4 \|T\|_{op\varepsilon}^2 \|S^{-1}Sx\|^{n+1} \leq \varepsilon 2^{n+3} \|T\|_{op\varepsilon}^2 \|T^{-1}\|_{op\varepsilon}^{n+1} \|Sx\|^{n+1}.$$

Since $x \mapsto 2^{n+3} \|T\|_{op\varepsilon}^2 \|T^{-1}\|_{op\varepsilon}^{n+1} \|Sx\|^{n+1} \in \mathcal{W}$, we see that Condition (5.3.3.1) is satisfied.

So the assumptions of Theorem 5.4.1 hold (since $\mathcal{W} \circ G \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ is obviously true), hence the map

$$\text{GL}(X) \times \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)_0 \to \text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)_0 : (T, \phi) \mapsto T \circ \phi \circ T^{-1}$$

is smooth. So using Lemma B.2.15 we can form the semidirect product

$$\text{Diff}_\mathcal{W}(X)_0 \rtimes \text{GL}(X)$$

with respect to the inner automorphisms induced by GL(X).

Example 5.4.3. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sin((1 + \frac{1}{2n})n\pi) = \pm 1$, but $\sin(n\pi) = 0$. Hence the map

$$\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{BC}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{BC}^{0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : (T, \gamma) \mapsto \gamma \circ T$$

is discontinuous in $(1, \sin)$ since $\|\sin((1 + \frac{1}{2n})\cdot) - \sin\|_{1_{\mathbb{R}}, 0} \geq 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

6. Lie group structures on weighted mapping groups

In this section we will use the weighted function spaces discussed in section 3 for the construction of locally convex Lie groups, the weighted mapping groups. These groups arise as subgroups of $G^U$, where $G$ is a suitable Lie group and $U$ is an open nonempty subset of a normed space.

6.1. Definitions

Definition 6.1.1. Let $U$ be a nonempty set and $G$ be a group with the multiplication map $m_G$ and the inversion map $I_G$. Then $G^U$ can be endowed with a group structure: The multiplication is given by

$$((g_u)_{u \in U}, (h_u)_{u \in U}) \mapsto (m_G(g_u, h_u))_{u \in U} = m_G \circ ((g_u)_{u \in U}, (h_u)_{u \in U})$$

and the inversion by

$$(g_u)_{u \in U} \mapsto (I_G(g_u))_{u \in U} = I_G \circ (g_u)_{u \in U}.$$ 

Further we call a set $A \subseteq G$ symmetric if

$$A = I_G(A).$$
Inductively, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( n \geq 1 \) we define

\[ A^{n+1} := m_G(A^n \times A), \]

where \( A^1 := A \).

**Definition 6.1.2.** Let \( G \) be a Lie group and \( \phi : V \to \mathbf{L}(G) \) a chart. We call the pair \((\phi, V)\) centered around \( 1 \) or just centered if \( V \subseteq G \) is an open identity neighborhood and \( \phi(1) = 0 \).

### 6.2. Weighted maps into Banach Lie groups

In this subsection, we discuss certain subgroups of \( G^U \), where \( G \) is a Banach Lie group and \( U \) an open subset of a normed space \( X \). We construct a subgroup \( C^k_W(U, G) \) consisting of weighted mappings that can be turned into a (connected) Lie group. Its modelling space is \( C^k_W(U, \mathbf{L}(G)) \), where \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( W \) is a set of weights on \( U \) containing \( 1_U \). Later we prove that these groups are regular Lie groups. Finally, we discuss the case when \( U = X \). Then \( \text{Diff}_W(X) \) acts on \( C^\infty_W(X, G) \), and this we can turn the semidirect product of these Lie groups into a Lie group.

#### 6.2.1. Construction

We construct the Lie group from local data using Lemma B.2.5. For a chart \((\phi, V)\) of \( G \), we can endow the set \( \phi^{-1} \circ C^{0,k}_W(U, \phi(V)) \subseteq G^U \) with the manifold structure that turns \( \phi \) into a chart. We then need to check whether the multiplication and inversion on \( G^U \) are smooth with respect to this manifold structure. The group operations on \( G^U \) arise as the composition of the corresponding operations on \( G \) with the mappings (see Definition 6.1.1). Since the group operations of Banach Lie groups are analytic, we will use the results of section 3.3.4 as our main tools. The use of these tools allows to construct \( C^k_W(U, G) \) when \( G \) is an analytic Lie group modelled on an arbitrary normed space.

**Remark 6.2.1.** We call a Lie group \( G \) normed if \( \mathbf{L}(G) \) is a normable space. A normed analytic Lie group is a normed Lie group which is an analytic Lie group.

**Multiplication** The treatment of the group multiplication is a simple application of Proposition 3.3.18.

**Lemma 6.2.2.** Let \( X \) be a normed space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in W \), \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), \( G \) an normed analytic Lie group with the group multiplication \( m_G \) and \((\phi, V)\) a centered chart of \( G \). Then there exists an open identity neighborhood \( W \subseteq V \) such that the map

\[ C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \phi(W)) \times C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \phi(W)) \to C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \phi(V)) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \phi \circ m_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma, \phi^{-1} \circ \eta) \]

is defined and analytic.
Proof. By Lemma 3.4.18 the map \( \tilde{φ} \) is defined and analytic iff there exists an open identity neighborhood \( W \subseteq G \) such that
\[
(φ \circ m_G \circ (φ^{-1} × φ^{-1}))(φ(W) × φ(W)) \to C^{0,ψ}_W(U,φ(V))
\]
is so. There exists an open bounded zero neighborhood \( \overline{W_L} \subseteq L(G) \) such that \( \overline{W_L + W_L} \subseteq φ(V) \). By the continuity of the multiplication \( m_G \) there exists an open \( 1 \)-neighborhood \( W \) with \( m_G(W × W) \subseteq φ^{-1}(\overline{W_L}) \). We may assume w.l.o.g. that \( φ(W) \) is star-shaped with center 0. Then
\[
(φ \circ m_G \circ (φ^{-1} × φ^{-1}))(φ(W) × φ(W)) \subseteq \overline{W_L}.
\]
Further the restriction of \( φ \circ m_G \circ (φ^{-1} × φ^{-1}) \) to \( φ(W) \times φ(W) \) is analytic, takes \((0,0)\) to 0 and has bounded image, since \( φ \) is centered and \( \overline{W_L} \) is bounded. In the real case, using Lemma 6.2.3 we can choose \( φ(W) \) sufficiently small such that the restriction of \( φ \circ m_G \circ (φ^{-1} × φ^{-1}) \) to \( φ(W) \) has a good complexification. Hence we can apply Proposition 3.3.18 to see that
\[
(φ \circ m_G \circ (φ^{-1} × φ^{-1})) \circ C^{0,ψ}_W(U,φ(W) × φ(W)) \subseteq C^{0,ψ}_W(U,\overline{W_L})
\]
and that the map \( (φ \circ m_G \circ (φ^{-1} × φ^{-1})) \) is analytic. But
\[
C^{0,ψ}_W(U,\overline{W_L}) \subseteq C^{0,ψ}_W(U,φ(V))
\]
by the definition of \( \overline{W_L} \), and this gives the assertion.

Inversion The discussion of the inversion is more delicate. For a short explanation, let \((φ, LV)\) be a chart for \( G \), \( V \subseteq \tilde{V} \) a symmetric open identity neighborhood and \( I_G \) the inversion of \( G \). Then the superposition of \( φ \circ I_G ∘ φ^{-1} \) described in Proposition 3.3.18 does not necessarily map \( C^{0,ψ}_W(U,φ(V)) \) into itself; hence we have to work to construct symmetrical open subsets.

Lemma 6.2.3. Let \( G \) be a group, \( U \subseteq G \) a topological space and \( V \subseteq U \) a symmetric subset with \( 1 \in V^o \) such that the inversion \( I_G : V \to V \) is continuous. Then
\[
V^o ∩ I_G(V^o)
\]
is a symmetric set that is open in \( U \) and contains \( 1 \).

Proof. Let \( W := V^o ∩ I_G(V^o) \). Then \( 1 \in W \), and since
\[
W^{-1} = I_G(W) = I_G(V^o ∩ I_G(V^o)) = I_G(V^o) ∩ I_G(I_G(V^o)) = I_G(V^o) ∩ V^o = W,
\]
it is a symmetric set. Since \( I_G \) is a homeomorphism, \( I_G(V^o) \) is an open subset of \( V \). Hence \( W = I_G(V^o) ∩ V^o \) is an open subset of \( V^o \) and hence of \( U \).
Lemma 6.2.4. Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $G$ an normed analytic Lie group with the group inversion $I_G$, $(\phi, V)$ a centered chart of $G$ such that $\phi(V)$ is bounded and $V$ is symmetric. Then the following statements hold:

(a) The map

$$I_L := \phi \circ I_G \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(V) \to \phi(V)$$

is an analytic bijective involution. Hence for any open and star-shaped set $W \subseteq \phi(V)$ with center 0, the map

$$C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, W) \to C_{W}^{\ell}(U, \phi(V)) : \gamma \mapsto I_L \circ \gamma$$

is analytic, assuming in the real case that $I_L|_W$ has a good complexification.

(b) Let $\Omega \subseteq C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, \phi(V))$. Then $\phi^{-1} \circ (\Omega \cap I_L \circ \Omega)$ is a symmetric subset of $G^U$.

(c) For any open zero neighborhood $\tilde{W} \subseteq \phi(V)$ there exists an open convex zero neighborhood $W \subseteq \tilde{W}$ such that

$$C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, W) \subseteq C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, \tilde{W}) \cap I_L \circ C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, \tilde{W}).$$

(d) There exists an open convex zero neighborhood $W \subseteq \phi(V)$ and a zero neighborhood $C_{W}^{\ell} \subseteq C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, \phi(V))$ such that

$$C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, W) \subseteq (C_{W}^{\ell})^o \cap I_L \circ (C_{W}^{\ell})^o,$$

$\phi^{-1} \circ C_{W}^{\ell}$ is symmetric in $G^U$, the map

$$C_{W}^{\ell} \to C_{W}^{\ell} : \gamma \mapsto I_L \circ \gamma$$

is continuous and its restriction to $(C_{W}^{\ell})^o$ is analytic. The set $W$ can be chosen independently of $\ell$ and $\mathcal{W}$.

Proof. (a) The assertions concerning $I_L$ follow from the fact that $V$ is symmetric and $G$ is an analytic Lie group.

The assertion on the superposition map of $I_L$ is a consequence of Proposition 3.3.18 since $W$ is star-shaped with center 0 and $\phi(V)$ is bounded.

(b) This is an easy computation.

(c) By the continuity of the addition, we find an open zero neighborhood $H$ with $H + H \subseteq \tilde{W}$. Since $I_L$ is continuous in 0 there exists an open convex zero neighborhood $W$ with $I_L(W) \subseteq H$ and $W \subseteq \tilde{W}$. Then

$$C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, W) \subseteq C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, \tilde{W})$$

and by (a)

$$I_L \circ C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, W) \subseteq C_{W}^{\ell}(U, H) \subseteq C_{W}^{\partial, \ell}(U, \tilde{W}).$$
The fact that \( I_L \circ I_L = \text{id}_{\phi(V)} \) completes the argument.

(d) Let \( W_3 \subseteq \phi(V) \) be an open convex zero neighborhood. Then by (c) we find open convex zero neighborhoods \( W_1, W_2 \subseteq \phi(V) \) such that

\[
C^{0,\ell}_W(U, W_i) \subseteq C^{0,\ell}_W(U, W_{i+1}) \cap I_L \circ C^{0,\ell}_W(U, W_{i+1})
\]

for \( i = 1, 2 \). So

\[
C^\ell_W := C^{0,\ell}_W(U, W_3) \cap I_L \circ C^{0,\ell}_W(U, W_3)
\]

is a zero neighborhood, and by (b), \( \phi^{-1} \circ C^\ell_W \) is symmetric. Hence the superposition of \( I_L \) maps \( C^\ell_W \) into itself and is continuous on \( C^\ell_W \) and analytic on \( (C^\ell_W)^\circ \) (see (a)). Further

\[
(C^\ell_W)^\circ \cap I_L \circ (C^\ell_W)^\circ \supseteq C^{0,\ell}_W(U, W_2) \cap I_L \circ C^{0,\ell}_W(U, W_2) \supseteq C^{0,\ell}_W(U, W_1),
\]

whence (d) is established with \( W := W_1 \). \( \square \)

**Construction of the Lie group structure** After discussing the group operations locally with respect to a chart of \( G \), we are able to turn a subgroup of \( G^U \) into a Lie group. We will also show that the identity component of this group does not depend on the chosen chart of \( G \).

**Lemma 6.2.5.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be normed spaces, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in W \), \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( V \subseteq Y \) convex. Then the set \( C^{0,\ell}_W(U, V) \) is convex.

**Proof.** It is obvious that \( C^1_W(U, V) \) is convex since \( V \) is so. The set \( C^{0,\ell}_W(U, V) \) is the interior of \( C^1_W(U, V) \) with respect to the norm \( \| - \|_{1_U, 0} \), hence it is convex. \( \square \)

**Proposition 6.2.6.** Let \( X \) be a normed space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in W \), \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), \( G \) an normed analytic Lie group and \( (\phi, V) \) a centered chart. There exist a subgroup \( (G, \phi)^U_W, \ell \) of \( G^U \) that can be turned into an analytic Lie group which is modelled on \( C^\ell_W(U, L(G)) \); and an open 1-neighborhood \( W \subseteq V \) which is independent of \( W \) and \( \ell \) such that

\[
C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \phi(W)) \rightarrow (G, \phi)^U_W, \ell : \gamma \mapsto \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma
\]

is an analytic embedding onto an open set. Moreover, for any convex open zero neighborhood \( \overline{W} \subseteq \phi(W) \), the set \( \phi^{-1} \circ C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \overline{W}) \) generates the identity component of \( (G, \phi)^U_W, \ell \) as a group.

**Proof.** Using [Lemma 6.2.2] we find an open 1-neighborhood \( \overline{W} \subseteq V \) such that

\[
C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \phi(\overline{W})) \times C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \phi(\overline{W})) \rightarrow C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \phi(V)) : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \phi \circ m_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma, \phi^{-1} \circ \eta)
\]

is analytic. We may assume w.l.o.g. that \( \overline{W} \) is symmetric. With [Lemma 6.2.4] (d) and [Lemma 6.2.3] we find an open zero neighborhood \( H \subseteq C^{0,\ell}_W(U, \phi(\overline{W})) \) such that \( \phi^{-1} \circ H \) is symmetric, the map

\[
H \rightarrow H : \gamma \mapsto I_L \circ \gamma
\]
6. Lie group structures on weighted mapping groups

is analytic and $C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \phi(W)) \subseteq H$ for some open 1-neighborhood $W \subseteq V$, which is independent of $W$ and $\ell$. We endow $\phi^{-1} \circ H$ with the differential structure which turns the bijection

$$\phi^{-1} \circ H : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$$

into an analytic diffeomorphism. Then we can apply [Lemma B.2.5] to construct an analytic Lie group structure on the subgroup $(G, \phi_{W,\ell})$ of $G^{U}$ which is generated by $\phi^{-1} \circ H$ such that $\phi^{-1} \circ H$ becomes an open subset of $(G, \phi_{W,\ell})$.

Since we may assume w.l.o.g. that $\phi(W)$ is convex, $C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \phi(W))$ is open and convex (see [Lemma 6.2.5]), hence the set

$$\phi^{-1} \circ C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \phi(W))$$

is connected and open by the construction of the differential structure of $(G, \phi_{W,\ell})$. Furthermore it obviously contains the unit element, whence it generates the identity component. □

**Lemma 6.2.7.** Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{U}$ with $1_{U} \in W$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ be an normed analytic Lie group. Then for centered charts $(\phi_{1}, V_{1})$, $(\phi_{2}, V_{2})$, the identity component of $(G, \phi_{1})_{W,\ell}$ coincides with the one of $(G, \phi_{2})_{W,\ell}$, and the identity map between them is an analytic diffeomorphism.

**Proof.** We may assume w.l.o.g. that $\phi_{1}(V_{1})$ and $\phi_{2}(V_{2})$ are bounded. Using [Proposition 6.2.6] we find open 1-neighborhoods $W_{1} \subseteq V_{1}$, $W_{2} \subseteq V_{2}$ such that the identity component of $(G, \phi_{i})_{W,\ell}$ is generated by $\phi_{i}^{-1} \circ C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \phi_{i}(W_{i}))$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $\phi_{1} \circ \phi_{2}^{-1}$ is analytic, we find open zero neighborhoods $\widetilde{W}_{1}^{L} \subseteq \phi_{1}(W_{1})$ and $\widetilde{W}_{2}^{L} \subseteq \phi_{2}(W_{2})$ such that

$$(\phi_{1} \circ \phi_{2}^{-1})(\widetilde{W}_{2}^{L}) \subseteq \widetilde{W}_{1}^{L} \cap \widetilde{W}_{1}^{L} \subseteq \phi_{1}(W_{1}).$$

We may assume w.l.o.g. that the identity component of $(G, \phi_{2})_{W,\ell}$ is generated by

$$\phi_{i}^{-1} \circ C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \widetilde{W}_{i}^{L}),$$

and in the real case that $\phi_{1} \circ \phi_{2}^{-1}|_{\widetilde{W}_{2}}$ has a good complexification. By [Proposition 3.3.18] the map

$$C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \widetilde{W}_{2}^{L}) \to C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \phi_{1}(W_{1})) : \gamma \mapsto \phi_{1} \circ \phi_{2}^{-1} \circ \gamma$$

is defined and analytic, and this implies that

$$\phi_{2}^{-1} \circ C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \widetilde{W}_{2}^{L}) \subseteq \phi_{1}^{-1} \circ C_{W}^{0,\ell}(U, \phi_{1}(W_{1})).$$

Hence the identity component of $(G, \phi_{2})_{W,\ell}$ is contained in the one of $(G, \phi_{1})_{W,\ell}$, and the inclusion map of the former into the latter is analytic.

Exchanging the roles of $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ in the preceding argument, we get the assertion. □
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**Definition 6.2.8.** Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ be an normed analytic Lie group. We write $C^\ell_W(U,G)$ for the connected Lie group that was constructed in Proposition 6.2.6. There and in Lemma 6.2.7 it was proved that for any centered chart $(\phi,V)$ of $G$ and $W \subseteq V$ such that $\phi(W)$ is convex, the inverse map of $C^\ell_W(U,\phi(W)) \to C^\ell_W(U,G) : \gamma \mapsto \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma$ is a chart.

**6.2.2. Regularity**

We show that for a Banach Lie group $G$, the Lie group $C^\ell_W(U,G)$ is regular.

**Lemma 6.2.9.** Let $G,H$ be Lie groups and $\phi : G \to H$ a Lie group morphism.

(a) For each $g \in G$ and $v \in T_gG$, we have $T_g\phi(v) = \phi(g) \cdot L(\phi)(g^{-1} \cdot v)$.

(b) Let $\gamma \in C^1([0,1],G)$. Then $\delta_\ell(\phi \circ \gamma) = L(\phi) \circ \delta_\ell(\gamma)$.

**Proof.** The proof of (a) being straightforward, we turn to (b). We calculate the derivative of $\phi \circ \gamma$ using (a) and the fact that $\phi$ is a Lie group morphism:

$$(\phi \circ \gamma)'(t) = T(\phi \circ \gamma)(t,1) = T_{\gamma(t)}\phi(\gamma'(t)) = \phi(\gamma(t)) \cdot L(\phi)(\gamma(t)^{-1} \cdot \gamma'(t)).$$

From this we derive

$$\delta_\ell(\phi \circ \gamma)(t) = (\phi \circ \gamma)(t)^{-1} \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)'(t) = L(\phi)(\gamma(t)^{-1} \cdot \gamma'(t)) = L(\phi)(\delta_\ell(\gamma)(t)),$$

and the proof is finished.

The following is well known from the theory of Banach Lie groups.

**Lemma 6.2.10.** Let $G$ be a Banach Lie group and $V \in \mathcal{U}(1)$. Then there exists a balanced open $W \in \mathcal{U}_L(G)(0)$ such that

$$\gamma \in C^0([0,1],W) \implies \operatorname{Evol}^G_0(\gamma) \in C^0([0,1],V). \quad (6.2.10.1)$$

Furthermore, the map $\operatorname{evol}^G_0 : C^0([0,1],W) \to G$ is continuous.

We define some terminology needed for the proof.

**Definition 6.2.11.** Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ be a Banach Lie group. Further, let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq W$ such that $1_U \in \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq k$. We denote the inclusion

$$C^\ell_{\mathcal{F}_2}(U,L(G)) \to C^\ell_{\mathcal{F}_1}(U,L(G)).$$
by $\iota_{(F_2,\ell_2),\ell_1}^L$ and the inclusion
\[ C^k_{\ell_2}(U, G) \to C^L_{\ell_1}(U, G) \]
by $\iota_{(F_2,\ell_2),\ell_1}^G$. Further, we define $\iota_{F_1,\ell_1}^L := \iota_{(W,k),(F_1,\ell_1)}^L$ and $\iota_{F_1,\ell_1}^G := \iota_{(W,k),(F_1,\ell_1)}^G$. Then for a suitable centered chart $(\phi, V)$ of $G$, the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
C^0_{\ell_2}(U, \phi(V)) \ar[r]^\phi^{-1} \ar[d]_{\iota_{(F_2,\ell_2),\ell_1}^G} & C^0_{\ell_2}(U, G) \ar[d]_{\iota_{F_2,\ell_2}(F_1,\ell_1)} \\
C^0_{\ell_1}(U, \phi(V)) \ar[r]_{\phi^{-1}} & C^0_{\ell_1}(U, G)
}\end{array}
\]
commutes. Hence we derive the identity
\[ L(\iota_{(F_2,\ell_2),\ell_1}^G) = T_0\phi^{-1} \circ T_0\iota_{(F_2,\ell_2),\ell_1}^L \circ T_1\phi. \]
Let $x \in U$. We let $\text{ev}_x^G$ resp. $\text{ev}_x^L$ denote the maps
\[ \text{ev}_x^G : C^0_{\ell_1}(U, G) \to G : \gamma \mapsto \gamma(x) \quad \text{ev}_x^L : C^0_{\ell_1}(U, L(G)) \to L(G) : \gamma \mapsto \gamma(x). \]
Obviously, the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
C^0_{\ell_1}(U, \phi(V)) \ar[r]^\phi^{-1} \ar[d]_{\text{ev}_x^L} & C^0_{\ell_1}(U, G) \ar[d]_{\text{ev}_x^G} \\
\phi(V) \ar[r]_{\phi^{-1}} & G
}\end{array}
\]
commutes, so we derive the identity
\[ L(\text{ev}_x^G) = T_0\phi^{-1} \circ T_0\text{ev}_x^L \circ T_1\phi. \]

**Remark 6.2.12.** In the following, if $E$ is a locally convex vector space, we shall frequently identity $T_0E = \{0\} \times E$ with $E$ in the obvious way. Then for a Banach Lie group $G$ and a centered chart $(\phi, V)$ of $G$ such that $d\phi|_{L(G)} = \text{id}_{L(G)}$, we can identify $C^k_W(U, L(G))$ with $L(C^k_W(U, G))$ via $T_0\phi^{-1}$ and $T_1\phi$, respectively.

**Lemma 6.2.13.** Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $G$ a Banach Lie group and $(\phi, V)$ a centered chart for $G$ such that $d\phi|_{L(G)} = \text{id}_{L(G)}$. Further, let $x \in U$ and $\Gamma : [0, 1] \to C^k_W(U, L(G))$ a smooth curve whose left evolution exists. Then $\text{ev}_x^G \circ \text{Evol}^{\ell}(T_0\phi^{-1} \circ \Gamma)$ is the left evolution of $\text{ev}_x^L \circ \Gamma$.

**Proof.** We set $\eta := \text{Evol}^{\ell}(T_0\phi^{-1} \circ \Gamma)$ and calculate using Lemma 6.2.9 and Definition 6.2.11 that
\[ \delta_t(\text{ev}_x^G \circ \eta) = L(\text{ev}_x^G) \circ \delta_t(\eta) = T_0\phi^{-1} \circ T_0\text{ev}_x^L \circ T_1\phi \circ T_0\phi^{-1} \circ \Gamma = \text{ev}_x^L \circ \Gamma. \]
This shows the assertion. \(\square\)
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Proposition 6.2.14. Let $X$ be a normed space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ a Banach Lie group. Then the following assertions hold:

(a) $C^k_W(U, G)$, endowed with the Lie group structure described in Definition 6.2.8 is regular.

(b) The exponential function of $C^k_W(U, G)$ is given by

$$C^k_W(U, L(G)) \rightarrow C^k_W(U, G) : \gamma \mapsto \exp_G \circ \gamma,$$

where we identify $C^k_W(U, L(G))$ with $L(C^k_W(U, G))$.

Proof. (a) Let $(\phi, \bar{V})$ be a centered chart of $G$ such that $d\phi|_{L(G)} = \text{id}_{L(G)}$. We set

$$F := \{ F \subseteq W : 1_U \in F, |F| < \infty \}.$$

After shrinking $\bar{V}$, we may assume that the inverse map of

$$C^{\delta, \ell}_F(U, \bar{V}) \rightarrow C^{\ell}_F(U, G) : \Gamma \mapsto \phi^{-1} \circ \Gamma$$

is a chart around the identity for $F \in F$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$ (see Definition 6.2.8). Let $V \subseteq \bar{V}$ an open zero neighborhood such that $\phi(V) + \phi(V) \subseteq \phi(\bar{V})$. We choose an open zero neighborhood $W \subseteq \phi(\bar{V})$ such that the implication \begin{equation} (6.2.10.1) \end{equation} holds. Let $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow C^{\delta, k}_W(U, W)$ be a smooth curve. Then $\Gamma_{F, \ell} := \eta_{F, \ell} \circ \Gamma$ is smooth, and since $C^k_F(U, G)$ is a Banach Lie group, the curve $T_0\phi^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{F, \ell}$ has a smooth left evolution $\eta_{F, \ell} : [0, 1] \rightarrow C^k_F(U, G)$. Then, for each $x \in U$, $\exp_G \circ \eta_{F, \ell}$ is the left evolution of $\exp_G \circ \Gamma_{F, \ell}$ by Lemma 6.2.13. Since we assumed that \begin{equation} (6.2.10.1) \end{equation} holds, we conclude that for each $t \in [0, 1]$, the image of $\eta_{F, \ell}(t)$ is contained in $V$.

Further, for $F_1, F_2 \in F$ such that $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq k$,

$$\delta_t(\eta_{F_1, \ell_1} \circ \eta_{F_2, \ell_2}) = L(\eta_{F_1, \ell_1}, \eta_{F_2, \ell_2}) = T_0\phi^{-1}_{\ell} \circ T_0(\eta_{F_1, \ell_1}, \eta_{F_2, \ell_2}) \circ T_1\phi \circ \delta_t(\eta_{F_2, \ell_2}) = T_0\phi^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{F_1, \ell_1} = \delta_t(\eta_{F_1, \ell_1}).$$

Hence $\eta_{F_1, \ell_1} = \eta_{F_2, \ell_2}$. So the family $\{ \phi_* \circ \eta_{F, \ell} \}_{F \in F, \ell \leq k}$ is compatible with the inclusion maps, hence using Proposition 3.2.5 and Proposition A.2.3 we derive a smooth curve $\tilde{\eta} : [0, 1] \rightarrow C^{\delta, k}_W(U, \phi(V))$ such that for all $F \in F$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$, we have $\ell \circ \tilde{\eta} = \phi_* \circ \eta_{F, \ell}$. We set $\eta := \phi_* \circ \tilde{\eta}$. Then

$$T_0\phi^{-1} \circ T_0(\tilde{\eta}) \circ T_1\phi \circ \delta_t(\eta) = L(\tilde{\eta}, \eta) = \delta_t(\eta_{F, \ell}) = T_0\phi^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{F, \ell} = T_0\phi^{-1} \circ \ell \circ \Gamma_{F, \ell}$$

and since $F$ and $\ell$ were arbitrary, we conclude (using Proposition 3.2.5) that $T_1\phi_* \circ \delta_t(\eta) = \Gamma$ and thus

$$\delta_t(\eta) = T_0\phi^{-1} \circ \Gamma.$$
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It remains to show that the left evolution is smooth. To this end, we denote the left evolution of $C^k_\mathcal{F}(U,G)$ with $\text{ev}_\mathcal{F}, \ell$ and the one of $C^k_w(U,G)$ with $\text{ev}$. From our results above and [Definition 6.2.11] we derive the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
C^\infty([0,1],C^\partial k_w(U,W)) \\
\downarrow_{\text{ev}_\mathcal{F}, \ell} \\
C^\infty([0,1],C^\partial k_\mathcal{F}(U,W))
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{evol}_0 \phi^{-1}}
\begin{array}{c}
\phi^{-1} \circ C^\partial k_w(U,\phi(V)) \\
\downarrow_{\phi^{-1}} \\
\phi^{-1} \circ C^\partial k_\mathcal{F}(U,\phi(V))
\end{array}
$$

Since the lower and left arrows represent smooth maps, the map

$$
\phi_* \circ \ell^{-1}_\mathcal{F, \ell} \circ \text{ev} \circ T_0 \phi_*^{-1} = \ell^{-1}_\mathcal{F, \ell} \circ \phi_* \circ \text{ev} \circ T_0 \phi_*^{-1}
$$

is smooth on $C^\infty([0,1],C^\partial k_w(U,W))$. We conclude with [Proposition A.2.3] and section 3.2.2 that $\phi_* \circ \text{ev} \circ T_0 \phi_*^{-1}$ is smooth, and since $\phi_*$ and $T_0 \phi_*^{-1}$ are diffeomorphisms, using [Lemma B.2.10] we deduce that $\text{ev}$ is smooth.

(b) Let $(\phi,V)$ be a centered chart of $G$ such that $d\phi|_{L(G)} = \text{id}_{L(G)}$. We denote the exponential function of $C^k_w(U,G)$ by $\exp_w$. Let $x \in U$ and $\gamma \in C^k_w(U,L(G))$. We denote the constant, $\gamma$-valued curve from $[0,1]$ to $C^k_w(U,L(G))$ by $\Gamma$. We proved in [Lemma 6.2.13] that $\text{ev}^G_x \circ \text{Evol}^t(\phi_*^{-1} \circ \Gamma)$ is the left evolution of $\text{ev}^t_x \circ \Gamma$. On the other hand, since $\Gamma$ is constant, the left evolution of $\text{ev}^t_x \circ \Gamma$ is the restriction of the 1-parameter group $\mathbb{R} \to G: t \mapsto \exp_G(t \text{ev}^t_x(\gamma))$. Hence

$$
\exp_G(\text{ev}^t_x(\gamma)) = (\text{ev}^G_x \circ \text{Evol}^t(\phi_*^{-1} \circ \Gamma))(1) = \text{ev}^G_x \circ \text{Evol}^t(\phi_*^{-1} \circ \Gamma) = \text{ev}^G_x \circ \exp_w(\phi_*^{-1}(\gamma)).
$$

Thus $\exp_w(\phi_*^{-1}(\gamma))(x) = \exp_G(\gamma(x))$, from which we conclude the assertion since $x \in U$ was arbitrary.

6.2.3. Semidirect products with weighted diffeomorphisms

In this subsection we discuss an action of the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ on the Lie group $C^\infty_w(X,G)$, where $G$ is a Banach Lie group. This action can be used to construct the semidirect product $C^\infty_w(X,G) \rtimes \text{Diff}_W(X)$ and turn it into a Lie group. For technical reasons, we first discuss the following action of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ on $G^X$.

**Definition 6.2.15.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $G$ a Banach Lie group and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. We define the map

$$
\tilde{\omega} : \text{Diff}_W(X) \times G^X \to G^X : (\phi, \gamma) \mapsto \gamma \circ \phi^{-1}.
$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{\omega}$ is in fact a group action, and moreover that it is a group morphism in its second argument:

**Lemma 6.2.16.** (a) $\tilde{\omega}$ is a group action of $\text{Diff}_W(X)$ on $G^X$.

(b) For each $\phi \in \text{Diff}_W(X)$ the partial map $\tilde{\omega}(\phi, \cdot)$ is a group homomorphism.
6. Lie group structures on weighted mapping groups

Proof. These are easy computations.

We show that this action leaves $C^\infty_W(X,G)$ invariant. Since we proved in Lemma 6.2.16 that $\tilde{\omega}$ is a group morphism in its second argument, it suffices to show that it maps a generating set of $C^\infty_W(X,G)$ into this space.

**Lemma 6.2.17.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $G$ a Banach Lie group, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$, $(\phi, V)$ a centered chart of $G$ and $V$ an open identity neighborhood such that $\phi(V)$ is convex. Then

$$\tilde{\omega}(\operatorname{Diff}_W(X) \times (\phi^{-1} \circ C^\infty_W(X, \phi(V)))) \subseteq \phi^{-1} \circ C^\infty_W(X, \phi(V)),$$

and the map

$$\operatorname{Diff}_W(X) \times C^\infty_W(X, \phi(V)) \to C^\infty_W(X, \phi(V)) : (\psi, \gamma) \mapsto \phi \circ \tilde{\omega}(\psi, \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)$$

is smooth. Moreover,

$$\tilde{\omega}(\operatorname{Diff}_W(X) \times C^\infty_W(X, G)) \subseteq C^\infty_W(X, G).$$

Proof. Let $\psi$ be an element of $\operatorname{Diff}_W(X)$ and $\gamma \in C^\infty_W(X, \phi(V))$. Then

$$\tilde{\omega}(\psi, \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) = \phi^{-1} \circ (\gamma \circ \psi^{-1}),$$

and using Corollary 4.2.6 this proves the first and – together with Proposition 4.3.16 – the second assertion.

The final assertion follows immediately from the first assertion since we proved in Lemma 6.2.16 that $\tilde{\omega}$ is a group morphism in its second argument, and in Definition 6.2.8 that $C^\infty_W(X, G)$ is generated by $\phi^{-1} \circ C^{0,k}_W(X, \phi(V))$.

So by restricting $\tilde{\omega}$ to $\operatorname{Diff}_W(X) \times C^\infty_W(X, G)$, we get a group action of $\operatorname{Diff}_W(X)$ on $C^\infty_W(X, G)$.

**Definition 6.2.18.** We define

$$\omega := \tilde{\omega}|_{\operatorname{Diff}_W(X) \times C^\infty_W(X, G)} : \operatorname{Diff}_W(X) \times C^\infty_W(X, G) \to C^\infty_W(X, G) : (\phi, \gamma) \mapsto \gamma \circ \phi^{-1}.$$ 

Finally, we are able to turn the semidirect product $C^\infty_W(X, G) \rtimes_\omega \operatorname{Diff}_W(X)$ into a Lie group.

**Theorem 6.2.19.** Let $X$ be a Banach space, $G$ a Banach Lie group and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$ with $1_X \in W$. Then $C^\infty_W(X, G) \rtimes_\omega \operatorname{Diff}_W(X)$ can be turned into a Lie group modelled on $C^\infty_W(X, L(G)) \times C^\infty_W(X, X)$.

Proof. We proved in Lemma 6.2.17 that $\omega$ is smooth on a neighborhood of $(\operatorname{id}_X, 1)$, and since this neighborhood is the product of generators of $\operatorname{Diff}_W(X)$ resp. $C^\infty_W(X, G)$, we can use Lemma 3.2.14 to see that $\omega$ is smooth. Hence we can apply Lemma 3.2.15 and are home.
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6.3. Weighted maps into locally convex Lie groups

In this subsection, we need to consider the function spaces discussed in section 3.4.

Multiplication

Lemma 6.3.1. Let \( X \) be a finite-dimensional space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in W \), \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), \( G \) a locally convex Lie group with the group multiplication \( m_G \) and \((\phi, V)\) a centered chart of \( G \). Then there exists an open identity neighborhood \( W \subseteq V \) such that the map

\[
C^\ell(W, \phi(W))^* \times C^\ell(W, \phi(W))^* \to C^\ell(W, \phi(V))^* : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \phi \circ m_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma, \phi^{-1} \circ \eta) \quad (\dagger)
\]

is defined and smooth.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4.18 the map \( (\dagger) \) is defined and smooth iff there exists an open neighborhood \( W \subseteq G \) such that

\[
(\phi \circ m_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \times \phi^{-1})* : C^\ell(W, \phi(W) \times \phi(W))^* \to C^\ell(W, \phi(V))^*
\]

is so. By the continuity of the multiplication \( m_G \) there exists an open subset \( W \subseteq V \) such that \( m_G(W \times W) \subseteq V \). We may assume that \( \phi(W) \) is star-shaped with center 0. Since the map \( \phi \circ m_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \times \phi^{-1}) \) is smooth and maps \( (0,0) \) to 0, we can apply Proposition 3.4.22 to see that

\[
(\phi \circ m_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \times \phi^{-1}))* C^\ell(W, \phi(W) \times \phi(W))^* \subseteq C^\ell(W, \phi(V))^*
\]

and that the map \( (\phi \circ m_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \times \phi^{-1}))* \) is smooth.

\( \square \)

Inversion

Lemma 6.3.2. Let \( X \) be a finite-dimensional space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in W \), \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), \( G \) a locally convex Lie group with the group inversion \( I_G \) and \((\phi, V)\) a centered chart such that \( V \) is symmetric. Further let \( W \subseteq V \) be a symmetric open 1-neighborhood such that there exists an open star-shaped set \( W_L \) with center 0 and \( \phi(W) \subseteq W_L \subseteq \phi(V) \). Then for each \( \gamma \in C^\ell(W, \phi(W))^* \),

\[
(\phi \circ I_G \circ \phi^{-1}) \circ \gamma \in C^\ell(W, \phi(W))^*
\]

and the map

\[
C^\ell(W, \phi(W))^* \to C^\ell(W, \phi(W))^* : \gamma \mapsto (\phi \circ I_G \circ \phi^{-1}) \circ \gamma
\]

is smooth.

Proof. Since \( I_L := \phi \circ I_G \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(V) \to \phi(V) \) is smooth and \( I_L(0) = 0 \), we conclude with Proposition 3.4.22 that

\[
C^\ell(W, W_L)^* \to C^\ell(W, \phi(V))^* : \gamma \mapsto I_L \circ \gamma
\]

is smooth. Since we proved in Lemma 3.4.19 that \( C^\ell(W, \phi(W))^* \) is an open subset of \( C^\ell(W, W_L)^* \), the restriction of this map is also smooth, and since \( W \) is symmetric, it takes values in this set.

\( \square \)
6. Lie group structures on weighted mapping groups

Generation of the Lie group structure

Lemma 6.3.3. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{U}$ with $1_U \in W$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $G$ a locally convex Lie group and $(\phi, V)$ a centered chart. Then there exists a subgroup $(G, \phi)^U_{W, \ell}$ of $G^U$ that can be turned into a Lie group. It is modelled on $C^\ell_W(U, L(G))^*$ in such a way that there exists an open 1-neighborhood $W \subseteq V$ such that

$$C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* \to (G, \phi)^U_{W, \ell} : \gamma \mapsto \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma$$

becomes a smooth embedding and its image is open. Further, for any subset $\tilde{W} \subseteq W$ such that $\phi(\tilde{W})$ is an open convex zero neighborhood,

$$\phi^{-1} \circ C^\ell_W(U, \phi(\tilde{W}))^*$$

generates the identity component of $(G, \phi)^U_{W, \ell}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 6.3.1 we find an open 1-neighborhood $W \subseteq V$ such that

$$C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* \times C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* \to C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* : (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \phi \circ m_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma, \phi^{-1} \circ \eta)$$

is smooth. We may assume w.l.o.g. that $W$ is symmetric and that there exists an open star-shaped set $H$ such that $\phi(W) \subseteq H \subseteq \phi(V)$. We know from Lemma 6.3.2 that the set

$$\phi^{-1} \circ C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* \subseteq G^U$$

is symmetric and

$$C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* \to C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ I_G \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma$$

is smooth. We endow $\phi^{-1} \circ C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^*$ with the differential structure which turns the bijection

$$\phi^{-1} \circ C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* \to C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^* : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$$

into a smooth diffeomorphism. Then we can apply Lemma B.2.5 to construct a Lie group structure on the subgroup $(G, \phi)^U_{W, \ell}$ of $G^U$ which is generated by $\phi^{-1} \circ C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^*$, such that $\phi^{-1} \circ C^\ell_W(U, \phi(W))^*$ becomes an open subset.

Moreover, for each open 1-neighborhood $\tilde{W} \subseteq W$ such that $\phi(\tilde{W})$ is convex, the set $C^\ell_W(U, \phi(\tilde{W}))^*$ is convex (Lemma 3.4.23). Hence $\phi^{-1} \circ C^\ell_W(U, \phi(\tilde{W}))^*$ is connected, and it is open by the construction of the differential structure of $(G, \phi)^U_{W, \ell}$. Further it obviously contains the unit element, hence it generates the identity component. \qed

Lemma 6.3.4. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{U}$ with $1_U \in W$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ a locally convex Lie group. Then for centered charts $(\phi_1, V_1)$ and $(\phi_2, V_2)$, the identity component of $(G, \phi_1)^U_{W, \ell}$ coincides with the one of $(G, \phi_2)^U_{W, \ell}$, and the identity map between them is a smooth diffeomorphism.
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Proof. Using Lemma 6.3.3 we find open 1-neighborhoods $W_1 \subseteq V_1$, $W_2 \subseteq V_2$ such that the identity component of $(G, \phi_i)_W^U,\ell$ is generated by $\phi_i^{-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_W^U(U, \phi_i(W))$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1}$ is smooth, we find an open convex zero neighborhood $\tilde{W}_2^U \subseteq \phi_2(W_1 \cap W_2)$. By Proposition 3.4.22 the map

$$\mathcal{C}_W^U(U, \tilde{W}_2^U) : \gamma \mapsto \phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1} \circ \gamma$$

is defined and smooth. This implies that

$$\phi_2^{-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_W^U(U, \tilde{W}_2^U) \subseteq \phi_1^{-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_W^U(U, \phi_1(W)).$$

Hence the identity component of $(G, \phi_2)_W^U,\ell$ is contained in the one of $(G, \phi_1)_W^U,\ell$, and the inclusion map of the former into the latter is smooth.

Exchanging the roles of $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ in the preceding argument, we get the assertion. □

Definition 6.3.5. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ a locally convex Lie group. Henceforth, we write $\mathcal{C}_W^U(U,G)$ for the connected Lie group that was constructed in Lemma 6.3.3. There and in Lemma 6.3.4 it was proved that for any centered chart $(\phi, V)$ of $G$ there exists an open 1-neighborhood $W$ such that the inverse map of

$$\mathcal{C}_W^U(U, \phi(W)) : \gamma \mapsto \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma$$

is a chart, and that for any convex zero neighborhood $\tilde{W} \subseteq \phi(W)$, the set

$$\phi^{-1} \circ \mathcal{C}_W^U(U, \tilde{W})$$

generates $\mathcal{C}_W^U(U,G)$.

6.4. A larger Lie group

In this subsection, we extend the Lie group described in Definition 6.3.5. Further, we show that this bigger group contains certain groups of rapidly decreasing mappings constructed in [BCR81] as open subgroups.

6.4.1. A set of mappings

Using Lemma B.2.5 it is possible to extend a Lie group $G$ that is a subgroup of a larger group $H$ by looking at its „smooth normalizer“, that is all $h \in H$ that normalize $G$ and for which the inner automorphism, restricted to suitable 1-neighborhoods, is smooth. This approach has the disadvantage that we do not really know which maps are contained in the smooth normalizer. So in the following, we will define a subset of $GU$ and show that they form a group and are contained in the smooth normalizer of $\mathcal{C}_W^U(U,G)$.
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**Definition 6.4.1.** Let $G$ be a locally convex Lie group, $X$ a finite-dimensional vector space, $U \subseteq X$ a nonempty open subset, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any centered chart $(\phi, V_\phi)$ of $G$, compact set $K \subseteq U$ and $h \in C_\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ with $h \equiv 1_U$ on a neighborhood of $K$ we define $M((\phi, V_\phi), K, h)$ as the set

$$\{\gamma \in C^k(U, G) : \gamma(U \setminus K) \subseteq V_\phi \text{ and } (1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus K} \in C_\infty^\prime(U \setminus K, L(G))\}$$

Further we define

$$C^k_W(U, G)_\text{max} := \bigcup_{(\phi, V_\phi), K, h} M((\phi, V_\phi), K, h).$$

In the following, we show that $C^k_W(U, G)_\text{max}$ is a subgroup of $G^U$.

**Lemma 6.4.2.** Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $Y$ a locally convex space and $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in C^k(U, Y)$.

(a) Suppose that $\gamma \in C^k_W(U, Y)_\text{max}$. Let $A \subseteq U$ be a closed nonempty set such that $\gamma|_{U \setminus A} \equiv 0$ and $V \subseteq U$ an open neighborhood of $A$. Then $\gamma|_V \in C^k_W(V, Y)_\text{max}$.

(b) Let $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq U$ be closed sets such that $\gamma|_{U \setminus K_1} \in C^k_W(U \setminus K_1, Y)_\text{max}$ and $h \in BC^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ such that $h \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $K_2$. Then

$$(1_U - h) \cdot \gamma|_{U \setminus K_2} \in C^k_W(U \setminus K_2, Y)_\text{max}.$$

**Proof.** (a) It is obvious that $\gamma|_V \in C^k_W(V, Y)$. Let $f \in W$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq U$ such that $\|\gamma|_{U \setminus K}\|_{p, f, \ell, \varepsilon} < \varepsilon$. The set $\bar{K} := K \cap A$ is compact and contained in $V$. Further $\|\gamma|_{V \setminus \bar{K}}\|_{p, f, \ell, \varepsilon} < \varepsilon$ since $D^{(\ell)}\gamma|_{U \setminus A} = 0$.

(b) Let $V \supseteq K$ be open in $U$ such that $h|_V \equiv 1$. Then by Corollary 3.4.17

$$(1_U - h) \cdot \gamma|_{U \setminus K_1} \in C^k_W(U \setminus K_1, Y)_\text{max}. $$

Further $(1_U - h) \cdot \gamma|_{U \setminus (V \setminus V)} \equiv 0$. Since $U \setminus K_2$ is an open neighborhood of $U \setminus V$, an application of (a) finishes the proof. \hfill \square

**Lemma 6.4.3.** Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional vector space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $G$ a locally convex Lie group, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, let $\gamma \in M((\phi, V_\phi), K, h)$. 

(a) Then for each $1$-neighborhood $V \subseteq V_\phi$, there exists a compact set $K_V \subseteq U$ such that for each map $h_V \in C_\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ with $h_V \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $K_V$, the map $\gamma \in M((\phi|_V, V), K_V, h_V)$.

(b) Let $(\psi, V_\psi)$ be a centered chart. Then there exists a compact set $K_\psi \subseteq U$ such that $\gamma \in M((\psi, V_\psi), K_\psi, h_\psi)$ for each $h_\psi \in C_\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ with $h_\psi \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $K_\psi$. 
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We conclude with Proposition 3.4.22 that
\[
\text{Let } h \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with } h \equiv 1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } L, \text{ we have } \gamma, \eta \in M((\phi, V_\phi), L, g).
\]

**Proof.** (a) Since \((1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus K} \in C_c^\infty(U \setminus K, L(G))^*\) and \(1_U \in \mathcal{W}\), there exists a compact set \(\tilde{K} \subseteq U\) such that
\[
(1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{(U \setminus K) \setminus \tilde{K}} \subseteq \phi(V).
\]
We define the compact set \(K_V := \tilde{K} \cup \text{supp}(h)\) and choose \(h_V \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})\) with \(h_V \equiv 1\) on a neighborhood of \(K_V\). Using Lemma 6.4.2 and the fact that \(h \equiv 0\) on \(U \setminus K_V\), we see that
\[
(1_U - h_V) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus K_V} = (1_U - h_V)(1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus K_V} \in C_c^k(U \setminus K_V, L(G))^*.
\]

Further we calculate using again that \(h \equiv 0\) on \(U \setminus K_V:\)
\[
(\phi \circ \gamma)(U \setminus K_V) = (1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|(U \setminus K) \setminus K_V) \subseteq \phi(V).
\]

(b) There exists an open 1-neighborhood \(V \subseteq V_\phi \cap V_\psi\) such that \(\phi(V)\) is star-shaped with center 0. We know from (a) that there exist a compact set \(\tilde{K} \subseteq U\) and a map \(\tilde{h} \in C_c^\infty(U, [0,1])\) with \(\tilde{h} \equiv 1\) on a neighborhood of \(\tilde{K}\) such that
\[
\gamma \in M((\phi|_V, V), \tilde{K}, \tilde{h}).
\]
We conclude with Proposition 3.4.22 that
\[
(\psi \circ \phi^{-1}) \circ ((1_U - \tilde{h}) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus \tilde{K}} \in C_c^k(U \setminus \tilde{K}, L(G))^*.
\]
Let \(h_\psi \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})\) such that \(h_\psi \equiv 1\) on a neighborhood of \(K_\psi\), where \(K_\psi := \tilde{K} \cup \text{supp}(\tilde{h})\).

We conclude with Lemma 6.4.2 that
\[
(1_U - h_\psi) \cdot (\psi \circ \phi^{-1}) \circ ((1_U - \tilde{h}) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus K_\psi} \in C_c^k(U \setminus K_\psi, L(G))^*.
\]
Since \((1_U - \tilde{h}) \equiv 1_U\) on \(U \setminus K_\psi\), the proof is finished.

(c) We set \(L := \text{supp}(h) \cup \text{supp}(\tilde{h})\). Then
\[
\gamma(U \setminus L) \subseteq \gamma(U \setminus K) \subseteq V_\phi,
\]
and for \(g \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})\) with \(g \equiv 1\) on a neighborhood of \(L\) we conclude using Lemma 6.4.2 that
\[
(1_U - g) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus L} = (1_U - g) \cdot (1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus L} \in C_c^k(U \setminus L, L(G))^*.
\]
Since the argument for \(\eta\) is the same, we are home. \(\square\)

**Lemma 6.4.4.** Let \(X\) be a finite-dimensional vector space, \(U \subseteq X\) an open nonempty subset, \(G\) a locally convex Lie group, \(\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U\) with \(1_U \in \mathcal{W}\) and \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). Then the set \(C_c^k(\mathcal{W}, G)_{max}\) is a subgroup of \(G^U\).
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Proof. Let \((\phi, V_\phi)\) be a centered chart for \(G\) and \(V \subseteq V_\phi\) an open neighborhood of \(1\) such that \(m_G(V \times I_G(V)) \subseteq V_\phi\) and \(\phi(V)\) is star-shaped. We define the map

\[H_G : V \times V \to V_\phi : (x, y) \mapsto m_G(x, I_G(y)).\]

Let \(\gamma, \eta \in C_k^W(U, G)_{\text{max}}^\bullet\). Using Lemma 6.4.3 we find a compact set \(K \subseteq U\) and a map \(h \in C_c^\infty(U, [0, 1])\) with \(h \equiv 1\) on \(K\) such that

\[\gamma, \eta \in M((\phi|V, V), K, h).\]

We define \(H_\phi := \phi \circ H_G \circ (\phi^{-1} \times \phi^{-1})|_{V \times V}\) and want to show that there exists a compact set \(\tilde{K}\) and \(\tilde{h} \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})\) with \(\tilde{h} \equiv 1\) on a neighborhood of \(\tilde{K}\) such that \(H_G \circ (\gamma, \eta) \in M((\phi, V_\phi), \tilde{K}, \tilde{h})\). It is obvious that

\[(H_G \circ (\gamma, \eta))(U \setminus K) \subseteq m_G(V \times I_G(V)) \subseteq V_\phi.\]

Since we know with Lemma 3.4.18 that

\[(1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma, \phi \circ \eta) = ((1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma), (1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \eta)) \in C_W^k(U \setminus K, L(G) \times L(G))^\bullet,\]

we conclude using Proposition 3.4.22 that

\[H_\phi \circ ((1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma, \phi \circ \eta)) \in C_W^k(U \setminus K, L(G))^\bullet.\]

Further, \(\tilde{K} := K \cup \text{supp}(h)\) is a compact set, so by Lemma 6.4.2

\[(1_U - \tilde{h}) \cdot H_\phi \circ ((1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma, \phi \circ \eta)) \in C_W^k(U \setminus \tilde{K}, L(G))^\bullet\]

for any \(\tilde{h} \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})\) with \(\tilde{h} \equiv 1\) on a neighborhood of \(\tilde{K}\). Since \((1_U - h) \equiv 0\) on \(U \setminus \tilde{K}\),

\[(1_U - \tilde{h}) \cdot (\phi \circ H_G \circ (\gamma, \eta))|_{U \setminus \tilde{K}} \in C_W^k(U \setminus \tilde{K}, L(G))^\bullet\]

and hence

\[H_G \circ (\gamma, \eta) \in M((\phi, V_\phi), \tilde{K}, \tilde{h}).\]

The proof is complete. \(\square\)

6.4.2. Constructing a Lie group structure

In this section, we show that \(C_W^k(U, G)_{\text{max}}^\bullet\) is contained in the smooth normalizer of \(C_W^k(U, G)^\bullet\). To this end, we show that each \(\gamma \in C_W^k(U, G)_{\text{max}}^\bullet\) can be written as a product of a compactly supported \(C^k\)-map and a \(C^k\)-map that takes values in the domain of an (arbitrary) chart. After that, we show that these two classes of mappings are contained in the smooth normalizer of \(C_W^k(U, G)^\bullet\).

Lemma 6.4.5. Let \(X\) be a finite-dimensional space, \(U \subseteq X\) an open nonempty subset, \(A \subseteq U\) a closed subset, \(Y\) a locally convex space, \(W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U\) with \(1_U \in W\), \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\gamma \in C_W^k(U \setminus A, Y)^\bullet\). Then the map

\[\tilde{\gamma} : U \to Y : x \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma(x) & \text{if } x \in U \setminus A, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}\]

is in \(C_W^k(U, Y)^\bullet\).
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Proof. Obviously, the assertion holds on $U \setminus A$ and $A^o$. We show that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is $C^k$ on $\partial A$ and if its derivatives vanish there. Since this is true iff for each $p \in N(Y)$, the map $\pi_p \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ is $C^k$ on $\partial A$ and if its derivatives vanish there, and the identity $\pi_p \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \pi_p \circ \gamma$ holds, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $Y$ is normable.

Since $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$, the map $\tilde{D}^{(\ell)} \gamma$ is continuous and hence

$$\tilde{D}^{(\ell)} \gamma \in C^0(U, L^\ell(X,Y)).$$

Using Lemma 3.2.1, it remains to show that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is $C^k$ with $D^{(\ell)} \tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{D}^{(\ell)} \gamma$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$. We show the assertion by an induction over $\ell$.

$\ell = 1$: Let $x \in \partial A$ and $h \in X$. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x + ]−\delta, 0] h \subseteq A$ or $x + [0, \delta] h \subseteq A$, then $D_h \tilde{\gamma}(x) = 0 = \tilde{D} \gamma(x) h$.

Otherwise, there exists a null sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $]−\infty, 0]$ or $]0, \infty]$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x + t_n h \in U \setminus A$. After replacing $h$ by $−h$ if necessary, we may assume w.l.o.g. that all $t_n$ are positive. Since $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, $D \gamma$ is continuous and $D \gamma(x) = 0$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ we find $\delta > 0$ such that for all $s \in ]−\delta, \delta]$, $\|D \gamma(x + \delta h)\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.

We find an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_n \in ]−\delta, \delta]$. Then we define $t := \inf\{\tau > 0 : \tau, t_n \subseteq U \setminus A\} > 0$.

We calculate for $\tau \in ]t, t_n[$:

$$\left\| \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(x + t_n h) - \tilde{\gamma}(x + \tau h)}{t_n} \right\| < \left\| \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(x + t_n h) - \tilde{\gamma}(x + \tau h)}{t_n - \tau} \right\| = \frac{1}{t_n - \tau} \left\| \int_0^1 D \gamma(x + (st_n + (1-s)\tau) h) \cdot (t_n - \tau) h ds \right\| < \varepsilon \|h\|.$$

But $\tilde{\gamma}(x + \tau h) \to 0$ as $\tau \to t$, and hence

$$\left\| \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(x + t_n h) - \tilde{\gamma}(x)}{t_n} \right\| = \left\| \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(x + t_n h)}{t_n} \right\| \leq \varepsilon \|h\|.$$

Since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $D_h \tilde{\gamma}(x) = 0 = \tilde{D} \gamma(x) h$.

$\ell \to \ell + 1$: Using the inductive hypothesis, we conclude that $\tilde{D} \gamma$ is $\mathcal{FC}^\ell$, and $D^{(\ell)} \tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{D}^{(\ell)} \gamma$. Hence $\tilde{\gamma}$ is $\mathcal{FC}^{\ell+1}$, so by Lemma A.3.14 $D^{(\ell+1)} \gamma = \tilde{D}^{(\ell+1)} \gamma$. 

\begin{proposition}
Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $G$ a locally convex Lie group, $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ with $1_U \in \mathcal{W}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\phi, V_\phi)$ a centered chart of $G$ and $\gamma \in C^k(U, G)^\bullet_{\text{max}}$. Then there exist maps $\eta \in M((\phi, V_\phi), \emptyset, 0_U)$ and $\chi \in C^k(U, G)$ such that

$$\gamma = \eta \cdot \chi.$$
\end{proposition}
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Proof. Using Lemma 6.4.3 we find a compact set $K$ and $h \in C_c^\infty(U, [0, 1])$ such that $\gamma \in M((\phi, V_\phi), K, h)$. Using Lemma 6.4.5 we see that

$$\eta := \phi^{-1} \circ (1_U - h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{U \setminus K} \in M((\phi, V_\phi), \emptyset, 0_U),$$

and it is obvious that $\eta|_{U \setminus \text{supp}(h)} = \gamma|_{U \setminus \text{supp}(h)}$. Hence

$$\chi := \eta^{-1} \cdot \gamma \in C_c^k(U, G),$$

and obviously $\gamma = \eta \cdot \chi$. \hfill \qed

We now show that the $C^k$-maps that take values in a suitable chart domain are contained in the smooth normalizer.

Lemma 6.4.7. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $G$ a locally convex Lie group, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\phi, V_\phi)$ a centered chart of $G$. Further let $W_\phi \subseteq V_\phi$ be an open $1$-neighborhood such that

$$W_\phi \cdot W_\phi \cdot W_\phi^{-1} \subseteq V_\phi$$

and $\phi(W_\phi)$ is star-shaped with center $0$. Then for each $\eta \in M((\phi, W_\phi), \emptyset, 0_U)$, the map

$$\mathcal{C}_W^k(U, \phi(W_\phi))^* \to \mathcal{C}_W^k(U, \phi(V_\phi))^*: \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ (\eta \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) \cdot \eta^{-1})$$

is smooth.

Proof. As a consequence of Proposition 3.4.22 and Lemma 3.4.18 the map

$$\mathcal{C}_W^k(U, \phi(W_\phi))^* \times \mathcal{C}_W^k(U, \phi(W_\phi))^* \times \mathcal{C}_W^k(U, \phi(W_\phi))^* \to \mathcal{C}_W^k(U, \phi(V_\phi))^*$$

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \mapsto \phi \circ (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma_1) \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma_2) \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma_3)^{-1}$$

is smooth. We easily deduce the desired assertion. \hfill \qed

Compact supported mappings While the treatment of $C^k$-maps with values in a suitable chart domain was straightforward, we need to develop other tools to deal with the compactly supported mappings. The main problem is that a compactly supported map must not take values in any chart domain. In the following two lemmas, we will deal with this situation.

Lemma 6.4.8. Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq X$, $V \subseteq Y$ and $W \subseteq Z$ open nonempty subsets, $M$ a locally convex manifold and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Gamma \in C^\infty(M \times V, W)$ and $\eta \in C^k(U, M)$. Then the map

$$\Xi := \Gamma \circ (\eta \times \text{id}_V): U \times V \to W$$

has the following properties:
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(a) The second partial derivative of $\Xi$ is
\[
d_2^2 \Xi = (\pi_2 \circ T_2 \Gamma) \circ (\eta \times \text{id}_V \times Y)
\]
and if $k \geq 1$, the first partial derivative of $\Xi$ is
\[
d_1^1 \Xi = (\pi_2 \circ T_1 \Gamma) \circ (T_\eta \times \text{id}_V) \circ S,
\]
where $\pi_2$ denotes the projection $W \times Z \to Z$ on the second component, and $S : U \times V \times X \to U \times X \times V : (x, y, h) \mapsto (x, h, y)$ denotes the swap map.

(b) For all $x \in U$, the partial map $\Xi(x, \cdot) : V \to W$ is smooth, and for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $d_2^{(\ell)} \Xi : U \times V \times Y^\ell \to W$ is $C^k$.

(c) Assume that $X$ has finite dimension. Then for
\[
A_1 : U \times V \to L(X, Z) : (x, y) \mapsto (h \mapsto d_1^1 \Xi(x, y, h))
\]
and
\[
A_2 : U \times V \times L(X, Y) \to L(X, Z) : (x, y, T) \mapsto (h \mapsto d_2^1 \Xi(x, y, T, h)),
\]
all partial maps $A_1(x, \cdot)$ and $A_2(x, \cdot)$ are smooth and all partial derivatives $d_2^{(\ell)} A_1$ and $d_2^{(\ell)} A_2$ are $C^{k-1}$, respectively $C^k$.

Proof. (a) Using the chain rule we get
\[
d \Xi \circ P = \pi_2 \circ T \Xi \circ P = \pi_2 \circ T \Gamma \circ (T_\eta \times \text{id}_TV),
\]
where $P : U \times V \times V \times Y \to U \times V \times X \times Y$ permutes the middle arguments. Since $d_1^1 \Xi((x, y); h_x) = d \Xi((x, y); (h_x, 0))$ and $d_2^1 \Xi((x, y); h_y) = d \Xi((x, y); (0, h_y))$, we get the assertion.

(b) It is obvious that the partial maps are smooth. We prove the second assertion by induction on $\ell$:
\[\ell = 0 : \text{This is obvious.}\]
\[\ell \to \ell + 1 : \text{In (a) we proved that } d_2^2 \Xi \text{ is of the same form as } \Xi. \text{ By the inductive hypothesis,}
\]
\[
d_2^{(\ell + \ell)} \Xi(x, y, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{\ell + 1}) = d_2^{(\ell)} (d_2^1 \Xi)(x, y, h_1; (h_2, 0), \ldots, (h_{\ell + 1}, 0)),
\]
so $d_2^{(\ell + 1)} \Xi$ is $C^k$.

(c) The partial maps $A_1(x, \cdot)$ and $A_2(x, \cdot)$ are smooth and the maps $d_2^{(\ell)} A_1$ and $d_2^{(\ell)} A_2$ are $C^{k-1}$ respective $C^k$ iff for each $h \in X$, the maps $A_1(x, \cdot) \cdot h$ and $A_2(x, \cdot) \cdot h$ have the corresponding properties. By (a),
\[
A_1(x, y) \cdot h = d_1^1 \Xi(x, y, h) = (\pi_2 \circ T_1 \Gamma) \circ (T_\eta \times \text{id}_V) \circ S(x, y, h)
\]
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and

\[ A_2(x, y, T) \cdot h = d_2\Xi(x, y; T \cdot h) = (\pi_2 \circ \mathbf{T}_2\Gamma) \circ (\eta \times \text{id}_{V \times Y})(x, y, T \cdot h) \]
\[ = (\pi_2 \circ \mathbf{T}_2\Gamma \circ S_1) \circ (\eta \times \text{ev}_h \times \text{id}_V) \circ S_2(x, y, T). \]

Here \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) denote the swap maps

\[ M \times Y \times V \rightarrow M \times V \times Y, \]

and

\[ U \times V \times L(X, Y) \rightarrow U \times L(X, Y) \times V \]

respectively. Since \( S_1, S_2 \) denote the swap maps \( M \times Y \times V \rightarrow M \times V \times Y \) and \( U \times V \times L(X, Y) \rightarrow U \times L(X, Y) \times V \) respectively. Since \( S_1, S_2 \) are restrictions of continuous linear maps, (b) applies to both \( A_1(x, \cdot) \cdot h \) and \( A_2(x, \cdot) \cdot h \).

**Lemma 6.4.9.** Let \( X \) be a finite-dimensional space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( Y \) and \( Z \) locally convex spaces, \( M \) a locally convex manifold, \( V \subseteq Y \) an open zero neighborhood that is star-shaped with center 0, \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Further, let \( \Gamma \in C^\infty(M \times V, Z) \), and \( \theta \in C^k(U, M) \) such that the map

\[ \Xi := \Gamma \circ (\theta \times \text{id}_V) : U \times V \rightarrow Z \]

satisfies

- \( \Xi(U \times \{0\}) = \{0\} \),
- There exists a compact set \( K \subseteq U \) such that \( \Xi((U \setminus K) \times V) = \{0\} \).

Then for any \( \gamma \in C^k_W(U, V) \)

\[ \Xi \circ (\text{id}_U, \gamma) \in C^k_W(U, Z), \quad (\dagger) \]

and the map

\[ \Xi_* : C^k_W(U, V) \rightarrow C^k_W(U, Z) : \gamma \mapsto \Xi \circ (\text{id}_U, \gamma) \]

is smooth.

**Proof.** We first prove the continuity of \( \Xi_* \), by induction on \( k \):

- \( k = 0 \): Let \( \gamma, \eta \in C^0_W(U, V) \) such that the line segment \{\( t\gamma + (1 - t)\eta : t \in [0, 1] \)\} \( \subseteq C^0_W(U, V) \). We easily prove using [Lemma 3.4.11] that the set

\[ \tilde{K} := \{ t\gamma(x) + (1 - t)\eta(x) : t \in [0, 1], x \in U \} \]

is relatively compact in \( V \). Since \( d_2\Xi \) is continuous by [Lemma 6.4.8] (b) and satisfies \( d_2\Xi(U \times V \times \{0\}) = \{0\} \), we conclude using the Wallace Lemma that for each \( p \in \mathcal{N}(Z) \), there exists \( q \in \mathcal{N}(Y) \) such that

\[ d_2\Xi(K \times \tilde{K} \times B_q(0, 1)) \subseteq B_p(0, 1). \]
This relation implies that
\[ \forall x \in K, y \in \tilde{K}, h \in Y : \|d_2\Xi(x, y; h)\|_p \leq \|h\|_q. \]

For each \( x \in U \), we calculate
\[ \Xi(x, \gamma(x)) - \Xi(x, \eta(x)) = \int_0^1 d_2\Xi(x, t\gamma(x) + (1 - t)\eta(x); \gamma(x) - \eta(x)) \, dt. \]

Hence for each \( f \in \mathcal{W} \), we have
\[ |f(x)| \|\Xi(x, \gamma(x)) - \Xi(x, \eta(x))\|_p \leq |f(x)| \|\gamma(x) - \eta(x)\|_q. \]

Taking \( \eta = 0 \), this estimate implies [1]. Further, since we proved in Lemma 3.4.12 that \( C^k_W(U, V)^\bullet \) is open, \( \gamma \) has a convex neighborhood in \( C^k_W(U, V)^\bullet \); hence the estimate also implies the continuity of \( \Xi \) in \( \gamma \).

\[ k \to k + 1 : \] For each \( x \in U \), \( h \in X \) and \( \gamma \in C^{k+1}_W(U, V)^\bullet \), we calculate
\[ d(\Xi \circ (id_U, \gamma))(x; h) = d\Xi(x, \gamma(x); h, D\gamma(x) \cdot h) = d_1\Xi(x, \gamma(x); h) + d_2\Xi(x, \gamma(x); D\gamma(x) \cdot h). \]

Recall the maps \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) defined in [Lemma 6.4.8(c)]. We get the identity
\[ D(\Xi \circ (id_U, \gamma))(x) = (A_1 \circ (id_U, \gamma))(x) + (A_2 \circ (id_U, \gamma, D\gamma))(x). \]

We prove that \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) satisfy the same properties as \( \Xi \) does: For \( x \in U \), \( y \in V \), \( h \in X \), we have
\[ A_1(x, 0) \cdot h = d_1\Xi(x, 0; h) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\Xi(x + th, 0) - \Xi(x, 0)}{t} = 0, \]
whence \( A_1(x, 0) = 0 \). Let \( x \in U \setminus K \). Then
\[ A_1(x, y) \cdot h = d_1\Xi(x, y; h) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\Xi(x + th, y) - \Xi(x, y)}{t} = 0 \]
since \( U \setminus K \) is open, hence \( A_1(x, y) = 0 \).

As to \( A_2 \), for \( x \in U \), \( y \in V \) and \( h \in X \) we calculate
\[ A_2(x, y, 0) \cdot h = d_2\Xi(x, y; 0 \cdot h) = 0, \]
whence \( A_2(x, y, 0) = 0 \). Let \( x \in U \setminus K \) and \( T \in L(X, Y) \). Then
\[ A_2(x, y, T) \cdot h = d_2\Xi(x, y; T \cdot h) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\Xi(x, y + tT \cdot h) - \Xi(x, y)}{t} = 0, \]
hence \( A_2(x, y, T) = 0 \).

So we can apply the inductive hypothesis to \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) and conclude that
\[ A_1 \circ (id_X, \gamma), A_2 \circ (id_X, \gamma, D\gamma) \in C^k_W(U, L(X, Z))^\bullet \]
and the maps \( C^{k+1}_W(U, V)^* \to C^k_W(U, L(X, Z))^* \)
\[
\gamma \mapsto A_1 \circ (id_X, \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \mapsto A_2 \circ (id_X, \gamma, D\gamma)
\]
are continuous. In view of Lemma 3.4.10, the continuity of \( \Xi_* \) is established.

We pass on to prove the smoothness of \( \Xi_* \). In order to do this, we have to examine \( d^2 \Xi \). By Lemma 6.4.8 (a), \( d^2 \Xi = \pi_2 \circ T_2 \Gamma \circ (\theta \times id_{V \times Y}) \), and we easily see that
\[
d^2 \Xi(U \times \{0\} \times \{0\}) = d^2 \Xi((U \setminus K) \times V \times Y) = \{0\}.
\]
Hence by the results already established, the map
\[
(d^2 \Xi)_*: C^k_W(U, V \times Y)^* \to C^k_W(U, Z)^*: (\gamma) \mapsto d^2 \Xi \circ (id_U, \gamma)
\]
is defined and continuous. Now let \( \gamma \in C^k_W(U, V)^* \) and \( \gamma_1 \in C^k_W(U, Y)^* \). Since \( C^k_W(U, V)^* \) is open, there exists an \( r > 0 \) such that \( \{ \gamma + s\gamma_1 : s \in [-r, r] \} \subseteq C^k_W(U, V)^* \). We calculate for \( x \in U \) and \( t \in [-r, r] \) (using Lemma 3.4.18 implicitly) that
\[
\Xi_*(\gamma + t\gamma_1)(x) - \Xi_*(\gamma)(x) = \int_0^t d^2 \Xi((x, \gamma(x) + s\gamma_1(x)); \gamma_1(x)) ds
\]
\[
= \int_0^1 (d^2 \Xi)_*(\gamma + st\gamma_1, \gamma_1)(x) ds.
\]
Hence by Lemma 3.4.7 and Proposition A.1.8, \( \Xi_* \) is \( C^1 \) with
\[
d\Xi_*(\gamma; \gamma_1) = (d^2 \Xi)_*(\gamma, \gamma_1).
\]
So using an easy induction argument we conclude from this identity that \( \Xi_* \) is \( C^\ell \) for each \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) and hence smooth. \( \square \)

Now we are ready to deal with the inner automorphism induced by a compactly supported map.

**Lemma 6.4.10.** Let \( X \) be a finite-dimensional space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( G \) a locally convex Lie group, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in W \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \((\phi, V_\phi)\) a centered chart for \( G \). Let \( \chi \in C^k(U, G) \). Then there exists an open 1-neighborhood \( W_\phi \subseteq V_\phi \) such that the map
\[
C^k_W(U, \phi(W_\phi))^* \to C^k_W(U, L(G))^*: \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ (\chi \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) \cdot \chi^{-1})
\]
is defined and smooth.

**Proof.** Since \( \chi(U) \) is compact, we can find an open 1-neighborhood \( W_\phi \subseteq V_\phi \) such that
\[
(\forall x \in U) \chi(x) \cdot W_\phi \cdot \chi(x)^{-1} \subseteq V_\phi.
\]
we may assume w.l.o.g. that $\phi(W_\phi)$ is star-shaped with center 0. We define the smooth map

$$N : G \times \phi(W_\phi) \to L(G) : (g, y) \mapsto \phi(g \cdot \phi^{-1}(y) \cdot g^{-1}) - y.$$ 

Then it is easy to see that

$$N \circ (\chi \times \text{id}_{\phi(W_\phi)}) : U \times \phi(W_\phi) \to L(G)$$

satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 6.4.9 and that

$$(N \circ (\chi \times \text{id}_{\phi(W_\phi)})) \circ (\text{id}_U, \gamma) = \phi \circ (\chi \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) \cdot \chi^{-1}) - \gamma.$$ 

Hence the map

$$C^k_W(U, \phi(W_\phi)) \to C^k_W(U, \phi(V_\phi)) : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ (\chi \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) \cdot \chi^{-1}) - \gamma$$

is smooth. Since the vector space addition is smooth, (†) is defined and smooth. □

**Conclusion** Finally, we put everything together and show that $C^k_W(U, G_{\phi_{\max}})$ is contained in the smooth normalizer of $C^k_W(U, G)^{\bullet}$. As mentioned above, we this allows the construction of a Lie group structure on $C^k_W(U, G_{\phi_{\max}})$.

**Lemma 6.4.11.** Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty subset, $G$ a locally convex Lie group, $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U$ with $1_U \in W$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\phi, V_\phi)$ a centered chart for $G$. Let $\theta \in C^k_W(U, G)^{\bullet}$. Then there exists an open 1-neighborhood $W_\phi \subseteq V_\phi$ such that

$$C^k_W(U, \phi(W_\phi)) \to C^k_W(U, \phi(V_\phi)) : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ (\chi \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) \cdot \chi^{-1})$$

is defined and smooth.

**Proof.** Let $\widetilde{V}_\phi \subseteq V_\phi$ be an open 1-neighborhood such that

$$\widetilde{V}_\phi \cdot \widetilde{V}_\phi \cdot \widetilde{V}_\phi^{-1} \subseteq V_\phi$$

and $\phi(\widetilde{V}_\phi)$ is star-shaped with center 0. According to Proposition 6.4.6 there exist $\eta \in M((\phi, \widetilde{V}_\phi), \emptyset, 0_U)$ and $\chi \in C^k(U, G)$ such that $\theta = \eta \cdot \chi$. By Lemma 6.4.10 there exists an open 1-neighborhood $W_\phi \subseteq V_\phi$ such that

$$C^k_W(U, \phi(W_\phi)) \to C^k_W(U, \phi(\widetilde{V}_\phi)) : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ (\chi \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) \cdot \chi^{-1})$$

is smooth, and by Lemma 6.4.7 the map

$$C^k_W(U, \phi(\widetilde{V}_\phi)) \to C^k_W(U, \phi(V_\phi)) : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ (\eta \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) \cdot \eta^{-1})$$

is also smooth. Composing these two maps, we obtain the assertion. □
Theorem 6.4.12. Let \( X \) be a finite-dimensional space, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty subset, \( G \) a locally convex Lie group, \( W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^U \) with \( 1_U \in W \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then \( C^k_W(U,G)^\ast \) can be made into a Lie group that contains \( C^k_W(U,G)^\ast \) as an open normal subgroup.

Proof. We showed in Definition 6.3.5 that \( C^k_W(U,G)^\ast \) can be turned into a Lie group such that there exists a centered chart \((\phi,V_\phi)\) for which \( C^k_W(U,\phi(V_\phi)) \to C^k_W(U,G)^\ast : \gamma \mapsto \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma \) is an embedding and its image generates \( C^k_W(U,G)^\ast \). Further, we proved in Lemma 6.4.4 and Lemma 6.4.11 that \( C^k_W(U,G)^\ast \) is a subgroup of \( G^U \) and for each \( \theta \in C^k_W(U,G)^\ast \) there exists an open \( 1 \)-neighborhood \( W_\phi \subseteq V_\phi \) such that the conjugation operation

\[
C^k_W(U,\phi(W_\phi))^\ast \to C^k_W(U,\phi(V_\phi))^\ast : \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ (\theta \cdot (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma) \cdot \theta^{-1})
\]

is smooth. Hence Lemma B.2.5 gives the assertion. \( \square \)

6.4.3. Comparison with groups of rapidly decreasing mappings

In [BCR81, Section 4.2.1, pages 111–117], certain \( \Gamma \)-rapidly decreasing functions are defined and used to construct \( \Gamma \)-rapidly decreasing mappings. We compare the function spaces with our weighted decreasing functions and will see that the concepts coincide, provided that the weights satisfy the conditions specified by [BCR81].

**\( \mathcal{W} \)-rapidly decreasing functions** We give the definition of the rapidly decreasing functions.

**Definition 6.4.13 (BCR-weights).** Let \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq [1, \infty]^{\mathbb{R}^m} \) such that

\( \mathcal{W}1 \) for all \( f, g \in \mathcal{W} \), the sets \( f^{-1}(\infty) \) and \( g^{-1}(\infty) =: M_\infty \) coincide,

\( \mathcal{W}2 \) \( \mathcal{W} \) is directed upwards and contains a smallest element \( f_{\min} \) defined by

\[
f_{\min}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \notin M_\infty \\ \infty & \text{else,} \end{cases}
\]

\( \mathcal{W}3 \) and for each \( f_1 \in \mathcal{W} \) there exists an \( f_2 \in \mathcal{W} \) such that

\[(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists n \in \mathbb{N}) |x| \geq n \text{ or } f_1(x) \geq n \implies f_1(x) \leq \varepsilon \cdot f_2(x).\]

Furthermore each \( f \in \mathcal{W} \) has to be continuous on the complement of \( M_\infty \).

**Definition 6.4.14 (\( \mathcal{W} \)-rapidly decreasing functions).** Let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13, \( U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \) open and nonempty and \( Y \) a locally convex space. A smooth function \( \gamma : U \to Y \) is called \( \mathcal{W} \)-rapidly decreasing if for each \( f \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{N}^m \) we have \( \partial^3 \gamma|_{U \cap M_\infty} \equiv 0 \), and the function

\[
f \cdot \partial^3 \gamma : U \to Y
\]
is continuous and bounded, where \( \infty \cdot 0 = 0 \). The set
\[
S(U, Y; W) := \{ \gamma \in C^\infty(U, Y) : \gamma \text{ is } W\text{-rapidly decreasing} \}
\]
endowed with the seminorms
\[
\|\gamma\|^k_{q, f} := \sup\{ q(f \cdot \partial^\beta \gamma(x)) : x \in U, |\beta| \leq k \}
\]
(where \( q \in \mathcal{N}(Y) \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( f \in W \)) becomes a locally convex space.

**Comparison of \( S(U, Y; W) \) and \( C^\infty_W(U, Y) \)**
We now show that these function spaces coincide as topological vector spaces. To this end, we need the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 6.4.15.** Let \( W \) be a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13, \( U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \) open and nonempty, \( F \) a locally convex space, \( \gamma : U \to F \) a smooth function and \( \beta \in \mathbb{N}^m \). Suppose that \( \partial^\beta \gamma \big|_{U \cap M_\infty} = 0 \) and that for each \( f \in W \) the function \( f \cdot \partial^\beta \gamma \) is bounded. Then for each \( f \in W \), the function \( f \cdot \partial^\beta \gamma \) is continuous.

**Proof.** Let \( f \in W \) and \( x \in U \). If \( x \notin \overline{M_\infty \cap U} \), \( f \cdot \partial^\beta \gamma \) is continuous on a suitable neighborhood of \( x \) since \( f \) is so. Otherwise, \( \partial^\beta \gamma(x) = 0 \) because \( \partial^\beta \gamma \) is continuous. If there exists \( V \in U(x) \) such that \( f \) is bounded on \( V \setminus M_\infty \), the map \( f \cdot \partial^\beta \gamma \) is continuous on \( V \) because for \( y \in V \setminus M_\infty \) and \( q \in \mathcal{N}(F) \)
\[
\|f(y)\partial^\beta \gamma(y) - f(x)\partial^\beta \gamma(x)\|_q = \|f(y)\partial^\beta \gamma(y)\|_q \leq \|f|_{V \setminus M_\infty}\|\|\partial^\beta \gamma(y)\|_q,
\]
and this estimate is valid for \( y \in M_\infty \).

Otherwise, we choose \( g \in W \) such that \((W3)\) holds. Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). There exists an \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[
f(x) \geq n \implies f(x) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\gamma\|_{q, g} + 1} g(x).
\]
For \( q \in \mathcal{N}(F) \) there exists \( V \in U(x) \) such that for \( y \in V \)
\[
\|\partial^\beta \gamma(y)\|_q < \frac{\varepsilon}{n}.
\]
Let \( y \in V \). If \( f(y) \geq n \), we calculate
\[
\|f(y)\partial^\beta \gamma(y)\|_q = f(y)\|\partial^\beta \gamma(y)\|_q \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\gamma\|_{q, g} + 1} g(y)\|\partial^\beta \gamma(y)\|_q < \varepsilon.
\]
Otherwise
\[
\|f(y)\partial^\beta \gamma(y)\|_q \leq n\|\partial^\beta \gamma(y)\|_q < \varepsilon.
\]
So the assertion holds in all cases. □
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**Lemma 6.4.16.** Let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13. Then \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) = S(U, Y; \mathcal{W}) \) as a topological vector space.

**Proof.** We first prove that \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) = S(U, Y; \mathcal{W}) \) as set. To this end, let \( \gamma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) \), \( f \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{N}^m \). We set \( k := |\beta| \). We know that for \( p \in \mathcal{N}(Y) \), the map \( D^{(k)}(\pi_p \circ \gamma) \) vanishes on \( M_\infty \), and

\[
f \cdot D^{(k)}(\pi_p \circ \gamma) : U \to L^k(\mathbb{R}^m, Y_p)
\]

is bounded. Since the evaluation \( L^k(\mathbb{R}^m, Y_p) \to Y_p \) at a fixed point is continuous linear, the map \( f \cdot \partial^\beta(\pi_p \circ \gamma) = \pi_p \circ (f \cdot \partial^\beta \gamma) : U \to Y_p \) is also bounded. Hence \( f \cdot \partial^\beta \gamma \) is bounded, so an application of Lemma 6.4.15 gives \( \gamma \in S(U, Y; \mathcal{W}) \).

On the other hand, let \( \gamma \in S(U, Y; \mathcal{W}) \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). For each \( p \in \mathcal{N}(Y) \), we get with equation (A.4.6.1)

\[
D^{(k)}(\pi_p \circ \gamma) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m, |\alpha| = k} S_\alpha \cdot \partial^\alpha(\pi_p \circ \gamma) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m, |\alpha| = k} S_\alpha \cdot (\pi_p \circ \partial^\alpha \gamma)
\]

Hence for \( f \in \mathcal{W} \)

\[
\|\gamma\|_{p,f,k} \leq \|\gamma\|_{p,f}^k \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m, |\alpha| = k} \|S_\alpha\|_{op} < \infty.
\]

So \( \gamma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) \).

We see from \([\dagger]\) that for each \( p \in \mathcal{N}(Y) \), \( f \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) the seminorm \( \|\cdot\|_{p,f,k} \) is continuous on \( S(U, Y; \mathcal{W}) \). Since the seminorms \( \|\cdot\|_{p,f} \) are obviously continuous on \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) \), the spaces are the same as topological vector spaces. \(\square\)

**Remark 6.4.17.** Let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13. Then \( 1_U \in \mathcal{W} \iff M_\infty = \emptyset \). But obviously \( \mathcal{C}^k_\mathcal{W}(U, Y) = \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W} \cup \{1_U\}}(U, Y) \) and \( \mathcal{C}^k_\mathcal{W}(U, Y)^* = \mathcal{C}^k_{\mathcal{W} \cup \{1_U\}}(U, Y)^* \) as topological vector spaces.

**Rapidly decreasing mappings** In [BCR81], Section 4.2.1, page 117–118, the set of \( \Gamma \)-rapidly decreasing mappings is defined. We will show that these mappings are open subgroups of \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^* \).

**Definition 6.4.18** (BCR-groups). Let \( m \in \mathbb{N}, G \) a locally convex Lie group and \( \mathcal{W} \) a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13. We define \( S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; \mathcal{W}) \) as the set of smooth functions \( \gamma : \mathbb{R}^m \to G \) such that

- \( \gamma(x) = 1 \) for each \( x \in M_\infty \), and \( \gamma(x) \to 1 \) if \( \|x\| \to \infty \).

- For any centered chart \((\phi, \widetilde{V})\) of \( G \) and each open \( 1 \)-neighborhood \( V \) with \( \mathcal{V} \subseteq \widetilde{V} \), \( \phi \circ \gamma |_{\gamma^{-1}(V)} \in S(\gamma^{-1}(V), \mathcal{L}(G); \mathcal{W}) \).

In the next lemmas, we provide tools needed for the further discussion.
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Lemma 6.4.19. Let \( K \) a compact subset of the finite-dimensional vector space \( X, Y \) be a locally convex space, \( k \in \mathbb{N}, W \) a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13, \( \gamma \in C^k_W(U,Y) \) (where \( U := X \setminus K \) and \( h \in C^\infty_c(X,\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( h \equiv 1 \) on a neighborhood \( V \) of \( K \). Then
\[
(1-h)|_{U} \cdot \gamma \in C^k_W(U,Y)^*.
\]

Proof. We prove this by induction on \( k \).

\( k = 0 \): Let \( f \in W, p \in N(Y) \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). By \((\Gamma3)\), there exists an \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[
\|\gamma|_{U \setminus B(0,n)}\|_{p,f,0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \|1-h\|_\infty}.
\]

The set
\[
A := \left\{ x \in X : (1-h)(x) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\gamma\|_{p,f,0} + 1} \right\} \cap B(0,n)
\]
is compact and contained in \( U \) since \( (1-h) \equiv 0 \) on \( V \). We easily calculate that \( \|(1-h) \cdot \gamma|_{U \setminus A}\|_{p,f,0} < \varepsilon \).

\( k \to k + 1 \): We calculate
\[
D((1-h)|_{U} \cdot \gamma) = (1-h)|_{U} \cdot D\gamma - Dh|_{U} \cdot \gamma.
\]

By the inductive hypothesis, \( (1-h)|_{U} \cdot D\gamma \in C^k_W(U,L(X,Y))^* \), and since \( Dh|_{U} \in C^\infty_c(U,L(X,\mathbb{R})) \), we use Corollary 3.4.17 and Lemma 3.4.10 to finish the proof.

Lemma 6.4.20. Let \( m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}, W \) a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13, \( Y \) a locally convex space, \( V \subseteq U \) open and nonempty subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^m \) such that \( \mathbb{R}^m \setminus V \) is compact and \( M_\infty \subseteq V \). Further, let \( \gamma \in C^k(U,Y) \) such that \( \gamma|_V \in C^k_W(V,Y) \). Then for any open set \( W \) with \( W \subseteq U \), the map \( \gamma|_W \) is in \( C^k_W(W,Y) \).

Proof. Obviously \( \overline{W \setminus V} \subseteq \overline{W \cap (\mathbb{R}^m \setminus V)} \), hence \( \overline{W \setminus V} \) is compact and does not meet \( M_\infty \). So for each \( f \in W \) and \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \ell \leq k \), the map \( f \cdot D^(\ell)\gamma \) is bounded on \( \overline{W \setminus V} \) since \( f \) is continuous on this set. But \( f \cdot D^(\ell)\gamma \) is bounded on \( V \) by our assumption. Hence \( f \cdot D^(\ell)\gamma \) is bounded on all of \( W \) and the proof is finished.

Lemma 6.4.21. Let \( m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}, W \) a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13, \( Y \) and \( Z \) locally convex spaces, \( \Omega \subseteq U \) open and balanced, \( \phi : \Omega \to Z \) a smooth map with \( \phi(0) = 0 \) and \( U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \) open and nonempty such that \( \mathbb{R}^m \setminus U \) is compact and \( M_\infty \subseteq U \). Further, let \( \gamma \in C^k_W(U,Y) \) such that \( \gamma(U) \subseteq \Omega \). Then there exists an open set \( V \subseteq U \) such that \( \mathbb{R}^m \setminus V \) is compact, \( M_\infty \subseteq V \) and \( \phi \circ \gamma|_V \in C^k_W(V,Z) \).

Proof. By our assumptions, there exists \( h \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^m,[0,1]) \) with \( h \equiv 1 \) on a neighborhood of \( \mathbb{R}^m \setminus U \) and \( h \equiv 0 \) on a neighborhood of \( M_\infty \). Using Lemma 6.4.19 and Proposition 3.4.22 we see that
\[
\phi \circ ((1-h) \cdot \gamma) \in C^k_W(U,Z)^*.
\]
so \( \phi \circ \gamma|_V \in C^k_W(V,Z) \), where \( V := \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \text{supp}(h) \). Further, \( \mathbb{R}^m \setminus V \) is compact and \( M_\infty \subseteq V \), so the proof is finished.
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Now we are able to prove the main results of this subsection.

**Lemma 6.4.22.** Let \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( G \) a locally convex Lie group and \( W \) a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13. Then the following assertions hold:

(a) \( S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; W) \) is a group.

(b) \( C^\infty_W(\mathbb{R}^m, G) \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; W) \).

(c) \( S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; W) \subseteq C^\infty_W(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^\bullet \).

**Proof.** (a) Let \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; W) \). We set \( \gamma := \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2^{-1} \). Then for \( x \in M_\infty \), we have

\[
\gamma(x) = \gamma_1(x) \cdot \gamma_2^{-1}(x) = 1,
\]

and it is easy to see that \( \gamma(x) \to 1 \) if \( ||x|| \to \infty \).

Let \((\phi, V)\) be a centered chart of \( G \) and \( V \subseteq \tilde{V} \) an open 1-neighborhood with \( \tilde{V} \subseteq \tilde{V} \). There exist centered charts \((\phi_i, V_i)\) such that \( \phi_i \circ \gamma_i \in S(\gamma_i^{-1}(V_i), L(G); W) \), where \( i \in \{1, 2\} \); we may assume w.l.o.g. that \( V_1 \cdot V_2^{-1} \subseteq V \), \( V_2 \subseteq V \) and \( \phi_1(V_1) \) and \( \phi_2(V_2) \) are balanced. We define \( W := \bigcap_{i \in \{1, 2\}} \gamma_i^{-1}(V_i) \). Then by Lemma 6.4.16 and Lemma 6.4.21,

\[
(\phi_1 \circ \gamma_1|_W, \phi_2 \circ \gamma_2|_W) \in C^\infty_W(W, \phi_1(V_1) \times \phi_2(V_2)).
\]

Further \( \mathbb{R}^m \setminus W \) is compact, and since there exist closed \( A_i \subseteq U_G(1) \) with \( A_i \subseteq V_i \) \( (i \in \{1, 2\}) \), we have \( M_\infty \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \{1, 2\}} \gamma_i^{-1}(A_i) \subseteq W \). We now apply Lemma 6.4.21 to \((\phi_1 \circ \gamma_1|_W, \phi_2 \circ \gamma_2|_W)\) and the map

\[
\phi \circ \tilde{m}_G \circ (\phi_1^{-1} \times \phi_2^{-1}) : \phi_1(V_1) \times \phi_2(V_2) \to L(G)
\]

(where \( \tilde{m}_G \) denotes the map \( G \times G \to G : (g, h) \mapsto g \cdot h^{-1} \)) and find an open set \( W' \subseteq W \) such that \( M_\infty \subseteq W', \mathbb{R}^m \setminus W' \) is compact and \( \phi \circ \gamma|_{W'} \in C^\infty_W(W', L(G)) \). Applying Lemma 6.4.20 with the open sets \( W' \subseteq \gamma^{-1}(\tilde{V}) \) and \( \gamma^{-1}(V) \subseteq \gamma^{-1}(\tilde{V}) \), we obtain

\[
\phi \circ \gamma|_{\gamma^{-1}(V)} \in C^\infty_W(\gamma^{-1}(V), L(G)) = S(\gamma^{-1}(V), L(G); W).
\]

(b) Since we proved that \( S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; W) \) is a group, we just have to show that it contains a generating set of \( C^\infty_W(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^\bullet \). We know from Definition 6.3.3 that \( C^\infty_W(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^\bullet \) is generated by \( \phi^{-1} \circ C^\infty_W(\mathbb{R}^m, W)^\bullet \), where \( \phi \circ \gamma|_{M_\infty} \equiv 1 \). Further, since \( 1_{\mathbb{R}^m} \in W \), \( \gamma(x) \to 0 \) if \( ||x|| \to \infty \), and thus \( (\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)(x) \to 1 \) if \( ||x|| \to \infty \). Now let \( (\psi, \tilde{V}) \) be a centered chart of \( G \) and \( V \subseteq \tilde{V} \) an open 1-neighborhood with \( \tilde{V} \subseteq \tilde{V} \). There exists an open balanced set \( \Omega \subseteq W \) such that \( \phi^{-1}(\Omega) \subseteq V \). We set \( U := \gamma^{-1}(\Omega) \). Then \( \gamma|_U \in C^\infty_W(U, L(G)) \), \( \mathbb{R}^m \setminus U \) is compact, and \( M_\infty \subseteq \gamma^{-1}(\{0\}) \subseteq U \). Hence we can apply Lemma 6.4.21 to \( \gamma|_U \) and \( \psi \circ \phi^{-1}|_\Omega \) to see that \( \psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma|_U \in C^\infty_W(U, L(G)) \). Applying Lemma 6.4.20 with the open sets \( U \subseteq (\psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)^{-1}(\tilde{V}) \) and \( (\psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)^{-1}(V) \subseteq (\psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)^{-1}(\tilde{V}) \), we obtain

\[
\psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma|_{(\psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)^{-1}(V)} \in C^\infty_W((\psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)^{-1}(V), L(G)) = S((\psi \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)^{-1}(V), L(G); W).
\]
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(c) Let $\gamma \in S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; \mathcal{W})$, $(\phi, \tilde{V})$ be a centered chart of $G$ and $V$ an open 1-neighborhood with $\overline{V} \subseteq \tilde{V}$. Then the set $K := \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \gamma^{-1}(V)$ is closed and bounded, hence compact, and

$$\phi \circ \gamma|_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K} \in S(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K, L(G); \mathcal{W}) = C_{V\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K, L(G));$$

the last identity is by Lemma 6.4.16. Let $h \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ such that $h \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $K$. Then by Lemma 6.4.19

$$(1_{\mathbb{R}^m} - h) \cdot \phi \circ \gamma|_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K} \in C_{V\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K, L(G))^\circ.$$

Hence $\gamma \in C_{V\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^{\bullet \max}$. □

Lemma 6.4.23. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G$ a locally convex Lie group and $\mathcal{W}$ a set of weights as in Definition 6.4.13. The following equivalence holds:

$$C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^{\bullet \max} = S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; \mathcal{W}) \iff M_\infty = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Suppose that $M_\infty = \emptyset$. Let $\gamma \in C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^{\bullet \max}$, $(\psi, \widetilde{V})$ a centered chart of $G$ and $V$ a 1-neighborhood with $\overline{V} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$. By Lemma 6.4.3, there exist a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and $h \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ with $h \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $K$ such that $\gamma(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K) \subseteq \overline{V}$ and $(1 - h) \cdot (\psi \circ \gamma)|_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K} \in C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K, L(G))^\circ$. Since $1_{\mathbb{R}^m} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $K$ and supp($h$) are compact, $(\psi \circ \gamma)(x) \to 0$ if $\|x\| \to \infty$, hence $\gamma(x) \to 1$ if $\|x\| \to \infty$. Further $\psi \circ \gamma|_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \text{supp}(h)} \in C_{V\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \text{supp}(h), L(G))$, so we apply Lemma 6.4.20 with the open sets $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \text{supp}(h) \subseteq \gamma^{-1}(\overline{V})$ and $\gamma^{-1}(\overline{V}) \subseteq \gamma^{-1}(\widetilde{V})$ and get $\psi \circ \gamma|_{\gamma^{-1}(V)} \in C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\gamma^{-1}(V), L(G)).$

Hence $\gamma \in S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; \mathcal{W})$, so in view of Lemma 6.4.22 the implication holds.

Now let $M_\infty \neq \emptyset$. By definition, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, G) \subseteq C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^{\bullet \max}$, so there exists a $\gamma \in C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^{\bullet \max}$ such that $\gamma \not\equiv 1$ on $M_\infty$. Then $\gamma \not\in S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; \mathcal{W})$. □

Remark 6.4.24. In the book [BCR81], the groups $S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; \mathcal{W})$ are only defined if $G$ is a so-called LE-Lie group. Since we do not need this concept, we do not discuss it further. In Lemma 6.4.22 we proved that $S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; \mathcal{W})$ is an open subgroup of $C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, G)^{\bullet \max}$ and hence a Lie group. Further, for a set $\mathcal{W}$ of weights as in Definition 6.4.13 obviously $C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, L(G))^\circ = C_{V\mathcal{W}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, L(G))$, whence the results derived by [BCR81] concerning the Lie group structure of $S(\mathbb{R}^m, G; \mathcal{W})$ are special cases of our more general construction.

It should be noted that the proof of [BCR81] Lemma 4.2.1.9 (whose assertion resembles Proposition 3.4.22) is not really complete: The boundedness of $\gamma \cdot \partial^\beta (g \circ f)$, where $|\beta| > 0$, is hardly discussed. In the finite-dimensional case, compactness arguments similar to the one in Lemma 3.4.11 and the Faà di Bruno-formula should save the day, but the infinite-dimensional case requires more work.

A. Differential calculus

In this section, we present the tools of Michal-Bastiani and Fréchet differential calculus used in this work. For proofs of the assertions, we refer the reader to [Mil84], [Ham82], or [Mic80].

In the following, let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ denote locally convex topological vector spaces over the same field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. 107
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A.1. Curves and integrals

Definition A.1.1 (Curves). A continuous map \( \gamma : I \to X \) that is defined on a proper interval \( I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) is called a \( C^0 \)-curve. A \( C^0 \)-Kurve \( \gamma : I \to X \) is called a \( C^1 \)-curve if the limit

\[
\gamma^{(1)}(s) := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\gamma(s+t) - \gamma(s)}{t}
\]

exists for all \( s \in I \) and the map \( \gamma^{(1)} : I \to X \) is a \( C^0 \)-curve.

Inductively, for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) a map \( \gamma : I \to X \) is called a \( C^k \)-curve if it is a \( C^1 \)-curve and the map \( \gamma^{(1)} \) is a \( C^{k-1} \)-curve. We then define \( \gamma^{(k)} := (\gamma^{(1)})^{(k-1)} \).

If \( \gamma \) is a \( C^k \)-curve for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we call \( \gamma \) a \( C^\infty \) or smooth curve.

Definition A.1.2 (Weak integral). Let \( \gamma : [a, b] \to X \) be a map. If there exists an \( x \in X \) such that

\[
\lambda(x) = \int_a^b (\lambda \circ \gamma)(t) \, dt \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in X',
\]

we call \( \gamma \) weakly integrable and \( x \) its weak integral and write

\[
\int_a^b \gamma(t) \, dt := x.
\]

Definition A.1.3 (Line integral). Let \( \gamma : [a, b] \to X \) be a \( C^1 \)-curve and \( f : \gamma([a, b]) \to Y \) a continuous map. We define the line integral of \( f \) on \( \gamma \) by

\[
\int_\gamma f(\zeta) \, d\zeta := \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma^{(1)}(t) \, dt
\]

if the weak integral on the right hand side exists.

We record some properties of weak integrals.

Lemma A.1.4. Let \( \gamma : [a, b] \to X \) be a weakly integrable curve and \( A : X \to Y \) a continuous linear map. Then the map \( A \circ \gamma \) is weakly integrable with the integral

\[
\int_a^b (A \circ \gamma)(t) \, dt = A \left( \int_a^b \gamma(t) \, dt \right).
\]

Proposition A.1.5 (Fundamental theorem of calculus). Let \( \gamma : [a, b] \to X \) be a \( C^1 \)-curve. Then \( \gamma^{(1)} \) is weakly integrable with the integral

\[
\int_a^b \gamma^{(1)}(t) \, dt = \gamma(b) - \gamma(a).
\]

Lemma A.1.6. If \( X \) is sequentially complete, each continuous curve in \( X \) is weakly integrable.
Lemma A.1.7. We endow the set of weakly integrable continuous curves from \([a,b]\) to \(X\) with the topology of uniform convergence. The weak integral defines a continuous linear map between this space and \(X\). In particular, for each continuous seminorm \(p : X \to \mathbb{R}\) and each weakly integrable continuous curve \(\gamma : [a,b] \to X\)

\[
\left\| \int_a^b \gamma(t) \, dt \right\|_p \leq \int_a^b \|\gamma(t)\|_p \, dt,
\]

where we define \(\|\cdot\|_p := p\).

Proposition A.1.8 (Continuity of parameter-dependent integrals). Let \(P\) be a topological space, \(I \subseteq \mathbb{R}\) a proper interval and \(a,b \in I\). Further, let \(f : P \times I \to X\) be a continuous map such that the weak integral

\[
\int_a^b f(p,t) \, dt =: g(p)
\]

exists for all \(p \in P\). Then the map \(g : P \to X\) is continuous.

A.2. Differential calculus of maps between locally convex spaces

We give a short introduction on a differential calculus for maps between locally convex spaces. It was first developed by A. Bastiani in the work \([\text{Bas64}]\) and is also known as Keller’s \(C^k\)-theory.

Recall the definitions given in \([\text{section 2.2}]\). In the following, let \(X\) and \(Y\) be locally convex spaces and \(U \subseteq X\) an open nonempty set.

Proposition A.2.1 (Mean value theorem). Let \(f \in C^1(U,Y)\) and \(v,u \in U\) such that the line segment \(\{tv + (1-t)u : t \in [0,1]\}\) is contained in \(U\). Then

\[
f(v) - f(u) = \int_0^1 df(u + t(v-u); v-u) \, dt.
\]

Proposition A.2.2 (Chain rule). Let \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), \(f \in C^k(U,Y)\) and \(g \in C^k(V,Z)\) such that \(f(U) \subseteq V\). Then the composition \(g \circ f : U \to Z\) is a \(C^k\)-map with

\[
d(g \circ f)(u;x) = dg(f(u); df(u;x)) \quad \text{for all } (u,x) \in U \times X.
\]

Proposition A.2.3. Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be locally convex spaces, \(U \subseteq X\) be open and nonempty and \(k \in \mathbb{N}\).

(a) A map

\[
f = (f_i)_{i \in I} : U \to \prod_{i \in I} Y_i
\]

to a direct product of locally convex spaces ist \(C^k\) iff each component \(f_i\) is \(C^k\).

(b) A map \(f : U \to Y\) with values in a closed vector subspace \(Z\) is \(C^k\) iff \(f|Z : U \to Z\) is \(C^k\).

(c) If \(Y\) is the projective limit of locally convex spaces \(\{Y_i : i \in I\}\), with limit maps \(\pi_i : Y \to Y_i\), then a map \(f : U \to Y\) is \(C^k\) iff \(\pi_i \circ f : U \to Y_i\) is \(C^k\) for all \(i \in I\).
Characterization of differentiability of higher order

In Proposition 2.2.3, we stated that a map is $C^k$ iff all iterated directional derivatives up to order $k$ exist and depend continuously on the directions. Here, we present some facts about the iterated directional derivatives.

**Remark A.2.4.** We give a more explicit formula for the $k$-th derivative. Obviously, $d^{(1)} f(u; x_1) = df(u; x_1)$ and

\[
d^{(k)} f(u; x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(k-1)} f(u + tx_k; x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}) - d^{(k-1)} f(u; x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1})}{t}.
\]

The Schwarz theorem extends to the present situation:

**Proposition A.2.5** (Schwarz' theorem). Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C^r_K(U, Y)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq r$ and $u \in U$. The map

\[
d^{(k)} f(u; \cdot) : X^k \to Y : (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \mapsto d^{(k)} f(u; x_1, \ldots, x_k)
\]

is continuous, symmetric and $k$-linear (over the field $K$).

**Examples** We give some examples of $C^k$-maps and calculate the higher-order differentials of some maps.

**Example A.2.6.**

(a) A map $\gamma : I \to X$ is a $C^k$-curve iff it is a $C^k$-map, and $d\gamma(x; h) = h \cdot \gamma^{(1)}(x)$.

(b) A continuous linear map $A : X \to Y$ is smooth with $dA(x; h) = A \cdot h$.

(c) More general, a $k$-linear continuous map $b : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k \to Y$ is smooth with

\[
db(x_1, \ldots, x_k; h_1, \ldots, h_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k b(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, h_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k).
\]

**Lemma A.2.7.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be locally convex topological vector spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A : Y \to Z$ a continuous linear map. Then for $\gamma \in C^k(U, Y)$

\[
A \circ \gamma \in C^k(U, Z).
\]

Moreover, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$

\[
d^{(\ell)}(A \circ \gamma) = A \circ d^{(\ell)} \gamma. \tag{†}
\]

**Proof.** This is proved by induction on $\ell$:
The chain rule (Proposition A.2.2) assures $A \circ \gamma \in C^k(U, Z)$ and

\[
d(A \circ \gamma)(x; h) = dA(\gamma(x); d\gamma(x; h)) = A(d\gamma(x; h))
\]
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for \( x \in U \) and \( h \in X \), hence (†) is satisfied for \( \ell = 1 \).

If we assume that (†) holds for a \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), we conclude for \( x \in U \) and \( h_1, \ldots, h_\ell, h_{\ell+1} \in X \)

\[
\begin{align*}
&d^{(\ell+1)}((A \circ \gamma)(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell, h_{\ell+1}) \\
&= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(\ell)}((A \circ \gamma)(x + th_{\ell+1}; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)) - d^{(\ell)}((A \circ \gamma)(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell))}{t} \\
&= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{A(d^{(\ell)}(\gamma(x + th_{\ell+1}; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)) - A(d^{(\ell)}(\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell))))}{t} \\
&= A \left( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(\ell)}(\gamma(x + th_{\ell+1}; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)) - d^{(\ell)}(\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell))}{t} \right) \\
&= (A \circ d^{(\ell+1)}\gamma)(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell, h_{\ell+1}),
\end{align*}
\]

so (†) holds for \( \ell + 1 \) as well.  \( \square \)

Lemma A.2.8. Let \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) be locally convex topological vector spaces, \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( A : X \to Y \) a continuous linear map. Then for \( \gamma \in C^k(Y, Z) \)

\[ \gamma \circ A \in C^k(X, Z). \]

Moreover, for each \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \ell \leq k \)

\[ d^{(\ell)}(\gamma \circ A) = d^{(\ell)}\gamma \circ \prod_{j=1}^{\ell+1} A. \quad (†) \]

Proof. This is proved by induction on \( \ell \):
The chain rule \([Proposition \ A.2.2]\) assures \( \gamma \circ A \in C^k(U, Z) \) and

\[ d(\gamma \circ A)(x; h) = d\gamma(A(x); dA(x; h)) = d\gamma(A(x); A(h)) \]

for \( x \in X \) and \( h \in X \), hence (†) is satisfied for \( \ell = 1 \).

If we assume that (†) holds for an arbitrary \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), we conclude that for \( x \in X \) and \( h_1, \ldots, h_\ell, h_{\ell+1} \in X \)

\[
\begin{align*}
&d^{(\ell+1)}((\gamma \circ A)(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell, h_{\ell+1}) \\
&= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(\ell)}((\gamma \circ A)(x + th_{\ell+1}; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)) - d^{(\ell)}((\gamma \circ A)(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell))}{t} \\
&= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(\ell)}(\gamma(A(x + th_{\ell+1}); A \cdot h_1, \ldots, A \cdot h_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}(\gamma(A(x); A \cdot h_1, \ldots, A \cdot h_\ell))}{t} \\
&= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^1 d^{(\ell+1)}\gamma(A(x) + stA(h_{\ell+1}); A \cdot h_1, \ldots, A \cdot h_\ell, tA \cdot h_{\ell+1}) \, ds \\
&= d^{(\ell+1)}\gamma(A(x); A \cdot h_1, \ldots, A \cdot h_\ell, A \cdot h_{\ell+1})
\end{align*}
\]

so (†) holds for \( \ell + 1 \) as well.  \( \square \)

We give a specialization of \([Proposition \ A.1.8]\).
Proposition A.2.9 (Differentiability of parameter-dependent integrals). Let $P$ be an open subset of a locally convex space, $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ a proper interval, $a, b \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, let $f : P \times I \to X$ be a $C^k$-map such that the weak integral

$$\int_a^b f(p, t) \, dt =: g(p)$$

exists for all $p \in P$. Then the map $g : P \to X$ is $C^k$.

A.2.1. Analytic maps

Complex analytic maps will be defined as maps which can locally be approximated by polynomials. Real analytic maps are maps that have a complexification.

Polynomials and symmetric multilinear maps  For the definition of complex analytic maps we need to define polynomials.

Definition A.2.10. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A homogenous polynomial of degree $k$ from $X$ to $Y$ is a map for which there exists a $k$-linear map $\beta : X^k \to Y$ such that

$$p(x) = \beta(x, \ldots, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. In particular, a homogenous polynomial of degree 0 is a constant map.

A polynomial of degree $\leq k$ is a sum of homogenous polynomials of degree $\leq k$.

There is a bijection between the set of homogenous polynomials and that of symmetric multilinear maps. In this article, we just need that one can reconstruct a symmetric multilinear map from its homogenous polynomial.

Proposition A.2.11 (Polarization formula). Let $\beta : X^k \to Y$ be a symmetric $k$-linear map, $p : X \to Y : x \mapsto \beta(x, \ldots, x)$ its homogenous polynomial and $x_0 \in X$. Then

$$\beta(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k = 0}^1 (-1)^{k - (\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_k)} p(x_0 + \varepsilon_1 x_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_k x_k)$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X$.

Complex analytic maps  Now we can define complex analytic maps.

Definition A.2.12 (Complex analytic maps). Let $X$, $Y$ be complex locally convex topological vector spaces and $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set. A map $f : U \to Y$ is called complex analytic if it is continuous and, for each $x \in U$ there exists a sequence $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of continuous homogenous polynomials $p_k : X \to Y$ of degree $k$ such that

$$f(x + v) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(v)$$

for all $v$ in some zero neighborhood $V$ such that $x + V \subseteq U$. 
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Definition A.2.13. Let \( X, Y \) be complex locally convex topological vector spaces and \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set. A map \( f : U \to Y \) is called Gateaux analytic if its restriction on each affine line is complex analytic; that is, for each \( x \in U \) and \( v \in X \) the map
\[
Z \to Y : z \mapsto f(x + zv)
\]
which is definable on the open set \( Z := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : x + zv \in U \} \) is complex analytic.

Theorem A.2.14. Let \( X, Y \) be complex locally convex topological vector spaces and \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set. Then for a map \( f : U \to Y \) the following assertions are equivalent:

(a) \( f \) is \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \),
(b) \( f \) is complex analytic,
(c) \( f \) is continuous and Gateaux analytic.

We state a few results concerning analytic curves. These share many properties with holomorphic functions. Using Theorem A.2.14, we see that some of these properties carry over to general analytic functions.

Definition A.2.15. Let \( Y \) be a complex locally convex topological vector space and \( U \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) an open nonempty set. A continuous map \( f : U \to Y \) is called a \( \mathcal{C}^0 \)-curve. A \( \mathcal{C}^0 \)-curve \( f : U \to Y \) is called a \( \mathcal{C}^1 \)-curve if for all \( z \in U \) the limit
\[
f^{(1)}(z) := \lim_{w \to 0} \frac{f(z + w) - f(z)}{w}
\]
exists and the curve \( f^{(1)} : U \to X \) is a \( \mathcal{C}^0 \)-curve.

Inductively, for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) a curve \( f \) is called a \( \mathcal{C}^k \)-curve if it is a \( \mathcal{C}^1 \)-curve and \( f^{(1)} \) is a \( \mathcal{C}^{k-1} \)-curve. In this case, we define \( f^{(k)} := (f^{(1)})^{(k-1)} \).

If \( f \) is a \( \mathcal{C}^k \)-curve for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), \( f \) is called a \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \)-curve.

Lemma A.2.16 (Cauchy integral formula). Let \( Y \) be a complex locally convex topological vector space, \( U \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) an open nonempty set and \( f : U \to Y \) a map. Then
\[
f \text{ is a } \mathcal{C}^k \text{-curve} \iff f \in \mathcal{C}^k(U, Y)
\]
and furthermore
\[
d^{(k)} f(x; h_1, \ldots, h_k) = h_1 \cdots h_k \cdot f^{(k)}(x).
\]

A \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \)-curve is complex analytic, and for each \( x \in U, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( r > 0 \) with \( \overline{B(x, r)} \subseteq U \) the Cauchy integral formula
\[
f^{(k)}(z) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - x| = r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{k+1}} d\zeta
\]
holds, where \( z \in B_r(x) \).
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The Cauchy integral formula implies the Cauchy estimates.

**Corollary A.2.17.** Let $Y$ be a complex locally convex topological vector space, $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ an open nonempty set, $f : U \to Y$ a complex analytic map, $x \in U$, $r > 0$ such that $B(x, r) \subseteq U$ and $p$ a continuous seminorm on $Y$. Then for each $z \in B_r(x)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we get the estimate

$$\|f^{(k)}(z)\|_p \leq \frac{k!}{(2\pi)^k} \sup_{|\zeta - x| = r} \{\|f(\zeta)\|_p\}.$$

**Real analytic maps**

**Definition A.2.18** (Real analytic maps). Let $X$, $Y$ be real locally convex topological vector spaces and $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set. Let $X_\mathbb{C}$ resp. $Y_\mathbb{C}$ denote the complexifications of $X$ resp. $Y$. A map $f : U \to Y$ is called real analytic if there is an extension $\tilde{f} : V \to Y_\mathbb{C}$ of $f$ to an open neighborhood $V$ of $U$ in $X_\mathbb{C}$ that is complex analytic. Such a map $\tilde{f}$ will be refered to as a complexification of $f$.

**A.2.2. Lipschitz continuous maps**

We discuss Lipschitz continuous maps between locally convex spaces.

**Definition A.2.19.** Let $X$ be a locally convex space and $p : X \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous seminorm. We denote the Hausdorff space $X/p^{-1}(0)$ with $X_p$ and the quotient map with $\pi_p : X \to X_p$. More general, for any subset $A \subseteq X$ we set $A_p := \pi_p(A)$.

Further, we let $\mathcal{N}(X)$ denote the set of continuous seminorms on $X$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. We call $U \subseteq X$ open w.r.t. $p$ if for each $x \in U$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that $\{y \in X : \|y - x\|_p < r\} \subseteq U$.

**Remark A.2.20.** For any locally convex space $X$ and each $p \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, the norm induced by $p$ on $X_p$ will also be denoted by $p$. Note that this leads to the identity $p = \pi_p \circ p$, in particular $p$ is a norm and generates the topology on $X_p$. No confusion will arise.

**Lemma A.2.21.** Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be locally convex spaces, $V \subseteq Y$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma : V \to Z$ a map and $A \in L(X, Y)$ surjective such that

$$\gamma \circ A \in C^k(U, Z),$$

where $U := A^{-1}(V)$. Then all directional derivatives of $\gamma$ up to order $k$ exist and satisfy the identity

$$d^{(\ell)}(\gamma \circ A) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell+1} A = d^{(\ell)}(\gamma \circ A)$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$.

**Proof.** This is proved by induction on $\ell$:

$\ell = 0$: This is obvious.
\[ \ell \to \ell + 1: \text{ Let } y \in V \text{ and } h_1, \ldots, h_\ell, h_{\ell+1} \in Y. \text{ By the surjectivity of } A \text{ there exist } x \in U \text{ and } v_1, \ldots, v_\ell, v_{\ell+1} \in X \text{ with } A \cdot x = y \text{ and } A \cdot v_i = h_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, \ell, \ell + 1. \text{ Then for all suitable } t \neq 0 \]

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(\ell)}(y + th_{\ell+1}; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}(y; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(\ell)}(A(x + tv_{\ell+1}); A \cdot v_1, \ldots, A \cdot v_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}(A \cdot x; A \cdot v_1, \ldots, A \cdot v_\ell)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(d^{(\ell)} \circ \Pi_1^{\ell+1}) A(x + tv_{\ell+1}, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) - (d^{(\ell)} \circ \Pi_1^{\ell+1}) A(x, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell)}{t} = d^{(\ell+1)}(A)(x, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell, v_{\ell+1}),
\]

and this completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Lemma A.2.22.** Let \(X, Y\) be locally convex spaces, \(U \subseteq X\) an open nonempty set, \(k \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in C^{k+1}(U, Y)\) and \(\ell \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(\ell \leq k\). Then for each \(p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)\) and \(x_0 \in U\) there exists a seminorm \(q \in \mathcal{N}(X)\) and a convex neighborhood \(U_{x_0} \subseteq U\) of \(x_0\) w.r.t. to \(q\) such that for all \(x, y \in U_{x_0}\) and \(h_1, \ldots, h_\ell \in X\)

\[
\|d^{(\ell)}(y; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)\|_p \leq \|y - x\|_q \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \|h_i\|_q \quad (A.2.22.1)
\]

and

\[
\|d^{(\ell)}(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)\|_p \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \|h_i\|_q. \quad (A.2.22.2)
\]

**Proof.** Since \(d^{(\ell)}\gamma\) and \(d^{(\ell+1)}\gamma\) are continuous in \((x_0, 0, \ldots, 0)\) and multilinear in their last \(\ell\) resp. \(\ell + 1\) arguments, for each \(p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)\) there exists a seminorm \(q \in \mathcal{N}(X)\) and an open ball \(U_{x_0} := B_q(x_0, r) \subseteq U\) such that

\[1 \geq \sup\{\|d^{(\ell+1)}\gamma(y; h_1, \ldots, h_{\ell+1})\|_p : y \in B_q(x_0, r), \|h_1\|_q, \ldots, \|h_{\ell+1}\|_q \leq 1\}\]

and

\[1 \geq \sup\{\|d^{(\ell)}\gamma(y; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)\|_p : y \in B_q(x_0, r), \|h_1\|_q, \ldots, \|h_\ell\|_q \leq 1\}.
\]

This implies that for each \(y \in B_q(x_0, r)\) and \(h_1, \ldots, h_n \in X\)

\[\|d^{(n)}\gamma(y; h_1, \ldots, h_n)\|_p \leq 1 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \|h_i\|_q, \quad (\dagger)
\]

where \(n \in \{\ell, \ell + 1\}\); this proves Estimate (A.2.22.2).

To prove Estimate (A.2.22.1) we see that for \(x, y \in B_q(x_0, r)\) and \(h_1, \ldots, h_{\ell+1} \in X\)

\[d^{(\ell)}\gamma(y; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) = \int_0^1 d^{(\ell+1)}\gamma(ty + (1-t)x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell, y - x) dt.
\]

We apply Lemma A.1.7 to the right hand side and get using (\dagger) with \(n = \ell + 1\)

\[
\|d^{(\ell)}\gamma(y; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) - d^{(\ell)}\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)\|_p \leq \|h_1\|_q \cdots \|h_\ell\|_q \cdot \|y - x\|_q
\]

which finishes the proof. \(\square\)
Definition A.2.23. Let $X$ and $Y$ be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$ and $q \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. We call $\gamma : U \to Y$ Lipschitz up to order $k$ with respect to $p$ and $q$ if $\gamma \in C^k(U,Y)$ and Estimate (A.2.22.1) and Estimate (A.2.22.2) in Lemma A.2.22 are satisfied for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$, $x, y \in U$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_\ell \in X$. We write $\mathcal{L}^k_{q,p}(U,Y)$ for the set of maps that are Lipschitz up to order $k$ with respect to $p$ and $q$.

Lemma A.2.24. Let $X$ and $Y$ be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$, $q \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^k_{q,p}(U,Y)$. Then there exists a map $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}^k_{q,p}(U_q,Y_p)$ that makes the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & Y \\
\downarrow{\pi_q} & & \downarrow{\pi_p} \\
U_q & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} & Y_p
\end{array}
\]

commutative (using notation as in Definition A.2.19).

Proof. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \leq k$. Since $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^k_{q,p}(U,Y)$, the map

\[
\pi_p \circ d^{(\ell)} \gamma : (U,q) \times (X,q)^\ell \to Y_p
\]

is continuous. Hence by the universal property of the separation there exists a continuous map $\tilde{\gamma}_\ell$ such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U \times X^\ell & \xrightarrow{d^{(\ell)} \gamma} & Y \\
\downarrow{\Pi_{i=1}^\ell \pi_q} & & \downarrow{\pi_p} \\
U_q \times X_q^\ell & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}_\ell} & Y_p
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where we denote $\pi_q|U$ with $\pi_q$. The diagram for $\ell = 0$ implies that $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \pi_q = \pi_p \circ \gamma \in C^k(U,Y_p)$, where $\tilde{\gamma} := \tilde{\gamma}_0$. We proved in Lemma A.2.21 that the $\ell$-th directional derivative of $\tilde{\gamma}$ exists and satisfies the identity

\[
d^{(\ell)} \tilde{\gamma} \circ \Pi_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \pi_q = d^{(\ell)} (\tilde{\gamma} \circ \pi_q) = d^{(\ell)} (\pi_p \circ \gamma) = \pi_p \circ d^{(\ell)} \gamma = \tilde{\gamma}_\ell \circ \Pi_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \pi_q.
\]

Since $\Pi_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \pi_q$ is surjective, this implies that $d^{(\ell)} \tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}_\ell$, so the former is continuous. From this we conclude that $\tilde{\gamma} \in C^k(U_q,Y_p)$ and that the estimates (A.2.22.1) and (A.2.22.2) are satisfied by $\tilde{\gamma}$. 

\[\square\]
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A.3. Fréchet differentiability

For maps between normed spaces, there is the classical notion of Fréchet differentiability. This concept relies on the existence of a well-behaved topology on the space of \((k)\)-linear maps between normed spaces. We will see that, nonetheless, it is closely related to Michal-Bastiani differentiability.

A.3.1. Spaces of multilinear maps between normed spaces

Definition A.3.1. Let \(X, Y\) be normed spaces. For each \(k \in \mathbb{N}^*\) we define
\[
L^k(X,Y) := \{ \Xi : X^k \to Y : \Xi \text{ is } k\text{-linear and continuous} \}.
\]

For \(k = 1\) we define
\[
L(X,Y) := L^1(X,Y) \text{ and } L(X) := L^1(X,X),
\]
and furthermore
\[
L^0(X,Y) := Y.
\]

The set of multilinear continuous maps can be turned into a normed vector space:

Proposition A.3.2. Let \(X, Y\) be normed spaces and \(k \in \mathbb{N}^*\). A \(k\)-linear map \(\Xi : X^k \to Y\) is continuous iff
\[
\| \Xi \|_{op} := \sup \{ \| \Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_k) \| : \| v_1 \|, \ldots, \| v_k \| \leq 1 \} < \infty.
\]

\(\| \Xi \|_{op}\) is called the operator norm of \(\Xi\). \(\| \cdot \|_{op}\) is a norm on \(L^k(X,Y)\). The space \(L^k(X,Y)\), endowed with this norm, is complete if \(Y\) is so.

Proof. The (elementary) proof can be found in [Die60, Chapter V, §7].

Lemma A.3.3. Let \(X, Y\) be normed spaces and \(k \in \mathbb{N}^*\). Then the evaluation map
\[
L^k(X,Y) \times X^k : (\Xi, v_1, \ldots, v_k) \mapsto \Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_k)
\]
is \((k+1)\)-linear and continuous.

Proof. This is trivial.

Lemma A.3.4. Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be normed spaces, \(k \in \mathbb{N}^*\), \(\Xi \in L^k(X,Y)\) and \(h_1, \ldots, h_k, v_1, \ldots, v_k \in X\). Then
\[
\| \Xi(h_1, \ldots, h_n) - \Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_k) \| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \| \Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}, h_i - v_i, h_{i+1}, \ldots, h_k) \|.
\]

Proof. This estimate is derived by an iterated application of the triangle inequality.

The following lemma helps to deal with higher derivatives of Fréchet-differentiable maps.
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Lemma A.3.5. Let $X, Y$ be normed spaces and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then the map

$$
\mathcal{E}_{k,n} : L^k(X, L^n(X, Y)) \to L^{k+n}(X, Y)
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{k,n}(\Xi)(h_1, \ldots, h_n, v_1, \ldots, v_k) := \Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_k)(h_1, \ldots, h_n)
$$

is an isometric isomorphism. In some cases, we will denote $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ by $\mathcal{E}^Y_{k,n}$.

Proof. Obviously $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ is linear and injective. Furthermore

$$
\|\mathcal{E}_{k,n}(\Xi)(h_1, \ldots, h_n, v_1, \ldots, v_k)\| = \|\Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_k)(h_1, \ldots, h_n)\|
$$

$$
\leq \|\Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_k)\| \prod_{i=1}^n \|h_i\| \leq \|\Xi\| \prod_{i=1}^k \|v_i\| \prod_{i=1}^n \|h_i\|,
$$

and hence

$$
\|\mathcal{E}_{k,n}(\Xi)\|_{op} \leq \|\Xi\|_{op}.
$$

On the other hand, for $\|v_1\|, \ldots, \|v_k\|, \|h_1\|, \ldots, \|h_n\| \leq 1$ we have

$$
\|\Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_k)(h_1, \ldots, h_n)\| \leq \|\mathcal{E}_{k,n}(\Xi)\|_{op}.
$$

Hence

$$
\|\Xi(v_1, \ldots, v_k)\|_{op} \leq \|\mathcal{E}_{k,n}(\Xi)\|_{op},
$$

which leads to

$$
\|\Xi\|_{op} \leq \|\mathcal{E}_{k,n}(\Xi)\|_{op},
$$

so $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ is an isometry. It remains to show that $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ is surjective. To this end, for a $M \in L^{k+n}(X, Y)$ we define the map $\overline{M} \in L^k(X, L^n(X, Y))$ by

$$
\overline{M}(v_1, \ldots, v_k)(h_1, \ldots, h_n) := M(h_1, \ldots, h_n, v_1, \ldots, v_k).
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}(\overline{M}) = M$. Since $M$ was arbitrary, $\mathcal{E}_{k,n}$ is surjective.

Lemma A.3.6. Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the map

$$
L^k(X, Y \times Z) \to L^k(X, Y) \times L^k(X, Z) : \Xi \mapsto (\pi_Y \circ \Xi, \pi_Z \circ \Xi),
$$

where $\pi_Y$ respective $\pi_Z$ denotes the canonical projection from $Y \times Z$ to $Y$ respective $Z$, is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.

Proof. The map in (A.3.6.1) is linear since its component maps $\Xi \mapsto \pi_Y \circ \Xi$ and $\Xi \mapsto \pi_Z \circ \Xi$ are so. The injectivity of (A.3.6.1) is clear, and the surjectivity can also be shown by an easy computation.

To see that (A.3.6.1) is an isomorphism we denote it by $i$ and compute for $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X$

$$
((\pi_{L^k(X,Y)} \circ i)(\Xi)(x_1, \ldots, x_k), (\pi_{L^k(X,Z)} \circ i)(\Xi)(x_1, \ldots, x_k))
$$

$$
= ((\pi_Y \circ \Xi)(x_1, \ldots, x_k), (\pi_Z \circ \Xi)(x_1, \ldots, x_k)) = \Xi(x_1, \ldots, x_k).
$$

From this one can easily derive that $i$ and its inverse are continuous since depending on the norm we chose on the products, $i$ is an isometry.
A.3.2. The calculus

In this section let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ denote normed spaces and $U$ be an open nonempty subset of $X$. Recall the definition of Fréchet differentiability given in Definition 2.3.1.

We give some examples of Fréchet differentiable maps.

Example A.3.7. (a) A continuous linear map $A : X \to Y$ is smooth with $DA(x) = A$.

(b) More generally, a continuous $k$-linear map $b : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k \to Y$ is smooth with

$$Db(x_1, \ldots, x_k)(h_1, \ldots, h_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k b(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, h_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k).$$

Some properties

We prove the Chain Rule and the Mean Value Theorem for Fréchet differentiable maps. Beforehand, we need the following

Lemma A.3.8. Let $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces, $U \subseteq X$ an open nonempty set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A : Y \to Z$ a continuous linear map. Then for $\gamma \in FC^k(U,Y)$

$$A \circ \gamma \in FC^k(U,Z).$$

Proof. We prove this by induction over $k$. The assertion is obviously true for $k = 0$. If $k = 1$, then $A \circ \gamma$ is $C^1$ by Proposition A.2.2 with

$$d(A \circ \gamma)(x; \cdot) = dA(\gamma(x); \cdot) \cdot d\gamma(x; \cdot) = A \circ d\gamma(x; \cdot).$$

Since the composition of linear maps is continuous, we conclude that $A \circ \gamma$ is $FC^1$ with $D(A \circ \gamma) = A \circ D\gamma$.

$k \to k + 1$: The map $D\gamma$ is $FC^k$, hence by the induction hypothesis, so is $A \circ D\gamma = D(A \circ \gamma)$. Hence $A \circ \gamma$ is $FC^{k+1}$, which finishes the induction.

Lemma A.3.9. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in FC^k(U,Y)$ and $\gamma \in FC^k(U,Z)$. Then the map

$$(\gamma, \eta) : U \to Y \times Z : x \mapsto (\gamma(x), \eta(x))$$

is contained in $FC^k(U,Y \times Z)$.

Proof. For $k = 0$ the assertion is obviously true. If $k = 1$, we easily calculate that $(\gamma, \eta)$ is $C^1$ with

$$d(\gamma, \eta)(x; h) = (d\gamma(x; h), d\eta(x; h)).$$

Hence

$$d(\gamma, \eta)(x; \cdot) = i^{-1}(d\gamma(x; \cdot), d\eta(x; \cdot)),$$

where $i$ denotes the isomorphism $[A.3.6.1]$ from Lemma A.3.6. We conclude that $(\gamma, \eta)$ is $FC^1$.

For $k > 1$, the assertion is proved with an easy induction using Lemma A.3.8.
A. Differential calculus

Proposition A.3.10 (Chain Rule). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{F}C^k(U,Y)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}C^k(V,Z)$ such that $\eta(U) \subseteq V$. Then $\gamma \circ \eta \in \mathcal{F}C^k(U,Z)$ and

$$D(\gamma \circ \eta)(u) = (D\gamma \circ \eta)(u) \cdot D\eta(u)$$

(*)

for all $u \in U$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $k$:

$k = 1$ : We apply the chain rule for $C^1$-maps (Proposition A.2.2) to see that $\gamma \circ \eta$ is $C^1$, and for $(u,x) \in U \times X$ we have

$$d(\gamma \circ \eta)(u;x) = d\gamma(\eta(u);d\eta(u;x)).$$

From this identity we conclude that (*) holds. Finally we obtain the continuity of $D(\gamma \circ \eta)$ from the one of $\cdot, D\gamma, D\eta$ and $\eta$.

$k \to k + 1$ : By the inductive hypothesis, the maps $D\gamma$ and $D\eta$ are $\mathcal{F}C^k$. We already proved in the case $k = 1$ that (*) holds. By the inductive hypothesis, $D\gamma \circ \eta \in \mathcal{F}C^k$. Since $\cdot$ is smooth (see Example A.3.7), we conclude using Lemma A.3.9 and the inductive hypothesis that $D(\gamma \circ \eta)$ is $\mathcal{F}C^k$. Hence $\gamma \circ \eta$ is $\mathcal{F}C^{k+1}$.

Proposition A.3.11 (Mean Value Theorem). Let $f \in \mathcal{F}C^1(U,Y)$. Then

$$f(v) - f(u) = \int_0^1 Df(u + t(v-u)) \cdot (v-u) \, dt$$

for all $v,u \in U$ such that the line segment $\{tu + (1-t)v : t \in [0,1]\}$ is contained in $U$. In particular

$$\|f(v) - f(u)\| \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|Df(u + t(v-u))\|_{op}\|v-u\|.$$

Proof. The identity is a reformulation of Proposition A.2.1, hence the estimate is a direct consequence of Lemma A.1.7.

Higher order derivatives The isomorphisms provided by Lemma A.3.5 can be used to characterize Fréchet differentiability of higher order.

Remark A.3.12. We define inductively

$$L^0_{X,Y} := Y \quad \text{and} \quad L^{k+1}_{X,Y} := L(X,L^k_{X,Y}).$$

Definition A.3.13 (Higher derivatives). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq n$ we define a linear map

$$D^{(k)} : \mathcal{F}C^n(U,Y) \to \mathcal{F}C^{n-k}(U,L^k(X,Y))$$

by $D^{(0)} := id_{\mathcal{F}C^n(U,Y)}$ for $k = 0$, $D^{(1)} := D$ for $k = 1$ and for $1 < k \leq n$ by

$$D^{(k)} \gamma := \mathcal{E}^Y_{k-1,1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{E}^{L^{k-3}_{X,Y}}_{2,1} \circ \mathcal{E}^{L^{k-2}_{X,Y}}_{1,1} \circ (D \circ \cdots \circ D)(\gamma).$$
Here we used the notations introduced in [Remark A.3.12]. Note that the image of
$D^{(k)}$ is contained in $\mathcal{FC}^{n-k}(U, I^k(X, Y))$ because the maps $E_{Y, k-1, 1}^{k-3}, \ldots, E_{X, Y}^{k-2}$
are continuous linear maps and hence smooth (see [Example A.3.7]); so the chain rule
([Proposition A.3.10]) gives the result.
We call $D^{(k)}$ the $k$-th derivative operator.

The $(k + 1)$-st derivative of a map $\gamma$ is closely related to the $k$-th derivative of $D\gamma$:

**Lemma A.3.14.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{FC}^n(U, Y)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < n$. Then

$$D^{(k+1)}\gamma = E_{k, 1}^Y \circ (D^{(k)}(D\gamma)).$$

**Proof.** This follows directly from the definition of $D^{(k+1)}\gamma$. □

### A.4. Relation between the differential calculi

We show that the two calculi presented are closely related. First we prove that each
$\mathcal{FC}^k$-map is a $C^k$-map and that the higher differentials are in a close relation.

**Lemma A.4.1.** Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{FC}^k(U, Y)$. Then $\gamma$ is a $C^k$-map (in the sense of
section A.2), and for each $x \in U$ we have

$$D^{(k)}\gamma(x) = d^{(k)}\gamma(x; \cdot).$$

**Proof.** We prove this by induction.

$k = 1$: It follows directly from [Definition 2.3.1] that $\gamma$ is a $C^1$ map and that the identity

$$D^{(1)}\gamma(x) = D\gamma(x) = d\gamma(x; \cdot) = d^{(1)}\gamma(x; \cdot)$$

holds.

$k \to k + 1$: Let $x \in U$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_{k+1} \in X$. We know from [Lemma A.3.14] that

$$(D^{(k+1)}\gamma)(x)(h_1, \ldots, h_{k+1})$$

$$=(E_{k, 1} \circ (D^{(k)}D\gamma))(x)(h_1, \ldots, h_{k+1})$$

$$=(D^{(k)}D\gamma(x)(h_2, \ldots, h_{k+1})) \cdot h_1.$$ 

The inductive hypothesis gives

$$= (d^{(k)}D\gamma(x; h_2, \ldots, h_{k+1})) \cdot h_1$$

$$= \left( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(k-1)}(D\gamma)(x + th_{k+1}; h_2, \ldots, h_k) - d^{(k-1)}(D\gamma)(x; h_2, \ldots, h_k)}{t} \right) \cdot h_1.$$
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Another application of the inductive hypothesis, together with the continuity of the evaluation of linear maps (Lemma A.3.3) and Lemma A.3.14, gives

\[
= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{D^{(k-1)}(D\gamma)(x + th_{k+1})(h_2, \ldots, h_k) \cdot h_1 - D^{(k-1)}(D\gamma)(x)(h_2, \ldots, h_k) \cdot h_1}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(\varepsilon_{k-1,1} \circ D^{(k-1)}(D\gamma))(x + th_{k+1})(h_1, \ldots, h_k) - (\varepsilon_{k-1,1} \circ D^{(k-1)}(D\gamma))(x)(h_1, \ldots, h_k)}{t}
\]

\[
= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{D^{(k)}\gamma(x + th_{k+1})(h_1, \ldots, h_k) - D^{(k)}\gamma(x)(h_1, \ldots, h_k)}{t}.
\]

Another application of the inductive hypothesis finally gives

\[
= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{d^{(k)}\gamma(x + th_{k+1}; h_1, \ldots, h_k) - d^{(k)}\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_k)}{t}.
\]

Hence \(d^{(k+1)}\gamma\) exists and satisfies the identity

\[
d^{(k+1)}\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_{k+1}) = D^{(k+1)}\gamma(x)(h_1, \ldots, h_{k+1}).
\]

Since \(D^{(k+1)}\gamma\) and the evaluation of multilinear maps are continuous (see Lemma A.3.3), \(d^{(k+1)}\gamma\) is so. In Proposition 2.2.3 we stated that this (and the inductive hypothesis) assure that \(\gamma\) is a \(C^{k+1}\) map.

The preceding can be used to give a characterization of Fréchet differentiable maps.

**Proposition A.4.2.** Let \(\gamma : U \to Y\) be a continuous map. Then \(\gamma \in FC^k(U, Y)\) iff \(\gamma\) is a \(C^k\)-map and the map

\[
U \to L^\ell(X, Y) : x \mapsto d^\ell\gamma(x; \cdot)
\]

is continuous for each \(\ell \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(\ell \le k\).

**Proof.** For \(\gamma \in FC^k(U, Y)\) we stated in Lemma A.4.1 that \(\gamma \in C^k(U, Y)\) and

\[
d^\ell\gamma(x; \cdot) = D^\ell\gamma(x)
\]

for each \(x \in U\) and \(\ell \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(\ell \le k\). Since \(D^\ell\gamma\) is continuous by its definition (A.3.13), \((*k)\) is satisfied.

We have to prove the other direction. This is done by induction on \(k:\)

- \(k = 1\): This follows directly from the definition of \(FC^1(U, Y)\).
- \(k \to k + 1\): We have to show that \(\gamma \in FC^{k+1}(U, Y)\), and this is clearly the case if \(D\gamma \in FC^k(U, L(X, Y))\). By the inductive hypothesis this is the case if \(D\gamma \in C^k(U, L(X, Y))\) and it satisfies \((*k)\). Since \(\gamma \in FC^k(U, Y)\) by the inductive hypothesis and hence \(D\gamma \in FC^{k-1}(U, L(X, Y))\), we just have to show that \(D\gamma\) is \(C^k\) and

\[
U \to L^k(X, L(X, Y)) : x \mapsto d^k(D\gamma)(x; \cdot)
\]
is continuous. To this end, let \( x \in U, h, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k \in X \) and \( t \in \mathbb{K} \) such that the line segment \( \{x + stv_k : s \in [0,1]\} \subseteq U \). We calculate using Lemma A.3.14 the mean value theorem and two applications of Lemma A.4.1:

\[
\frac{d^{(k-1)}(D\gamma)(x + tv_k; v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}) - d^{(k-1)}(D\gamma)(x; v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1})}{t}.
\]

Using Lemma A.2.22 and standard compactness arguments, we find

\[
\int_0^1 d^{(k+1)}\gamma(x + stv_k; h, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k) \, ds.
\]

Since \( x \mapsto d^{(k+1)}\gamma(x; \cdot) \) is continuous by hypothesis, the left hand side of this identity converges for \( t \to 0 \) with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets to the linear map \( h \mapsto d^{(k+1)}\gamma(x; h, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k) \).

Hence \( D\gamma \) is \( C^k \) with

\[
d^{(k)}(D\gamma)(x; v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k) = E^{-1}_{k,1}(d^{(k+1)}\gamma(x; \cdot))(v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k),
\]

and since \( x \mapsto d^{(k+1)}\gamma(x; \cdot) \) and \( E^{-1}_{k,1} \) are continuous (by hypothesis resp. Lemma A.3.5), \( x \mapsto d^{(k)}(D\gamma)(x; \cdot) \) is so, too.

**Lemma A.4.3.** Let \( f : U \to Y \) be a \( C^{k+1} \) map. Then \( f \in FC^k(U,Y) \).

**Proof.** We stated in Proposition A.4.2 that \( f \) is in \( FC^k(U,Y) \) iff for each \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \ell \leq k \) the map

\[
U \to L^\ell(X,Y) : x \mapsto d^\ell f(x; \cdot)
\]

is continuous; but this is a direct consequence of Lemma A.2.22. \( \square \)

**Lemma A.4.4.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be locally convex spaces, \( U \subseteq X \) an open nonempty set, \( k \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in C^{k+1}(U,Y) \), \( p \in \mathcal{N}(Y) \) and \( K \) a compact subset of \( U \). Then there exists a seminorm \( q \in \mathcal{N}(X) \) and an open set \( V \) w.r.t. \( q \) such that \( K \subseteq V \subseteq U \) and \( \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{BC}^k(V_q, Y_p) \) (For the definition of \( \tilde{\gamma} \) see Lemma A.2.24).

**Proof.** Using Lemma A.2.22 and standard compactness arguments, we find \( q \in \mathcal{N}(X) \) and a neighborhood \( \tilde{V} \) w.r.t. \( q \) of \( K \) in \( U \) such that Estimate (A.2.22.1) and Estimate (A.2.22.2) hold for \( \gamma \) on \( \tilde{V} \) and all \( \ell \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \ell \leq k \). We proved in Lemma A.2.24 that this implies that \( \tilde{\gamma} \in LC^k_{q,p}(\tilde{V}_q, Y_p) \), and with Proposition A.4.2 we can conclude that \( \tilde{\gamma} \in FC^k(\tilde{V}_q, Y_p) \). Further, since \( D^\ell(\tilde{\gamma})(K_q) \) is compact for all \( \ell \leq k \), there exists a neighborhood \( V_q \) of \( K_q \) such that \( \tilde{\gamma} \) and all its derivatives up to degree \( k \) are bounded on \( V_q \). \( \square \)
A. Differential calculus

A.4.1. Differential calculus on finite-dimensional spaces

We show that the three definitions of differentiability for maps that are defined on a finite-dimensional space (Fréchet-differentiability, Kellers $C^k$ theory and continuous partial differentiability) are equivalent.

Definition A.4.5. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ a multiindex with $|\alpha| = k$. We set

$$I_\alpha := \{(i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^k : (\forall \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}) \alpha_\ell = |\{j : i_j = \ell\}|$$

and use this set to define the continuous $k$-linear map

$$S_\alpha : (K^n)^k \to K : (h_1, \ldots, h_k) \mapsto \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in I_\alpha} h_{1,i_1} \cdots h_{k,i_k},$$

where $h_j = (h_{j,1}, \ldots, h_{j,n})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

Proposition A.4.6. Let $U \subseteq K^n$ be open and nonempty and $\gamma : U \to Y$ a map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $\gamma \in FC^k(U, Y)$

(b) $\gamma \in C^k(U, Y)$

(c) $\gamma$ is $k$-times continuously partially differentiable.

If one of these conditions is satisfied, then

$$D^{(k)}\gamma(x)(h_1, \ldots, h_k) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| = k} S_\alpha(h_1, \ldots, h_k) \cdot \partial^\alpha \gamma(x) \quad \text{(A.4.6.1)}$$

for all $x \in U$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in K^n$.

Proof. The assertion $(a) \implies (b)$ is a consequence of Lemma A.4.1 and since

$$\frac{\partial^k \gamma}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_k}}(x) = d^{(k)}\gamma(x; e_{i_k}, \ldots, e_{i_1})$$

and $d^{(k)}\gamma$ is continuous (Proposition 2.2.3), the implication $(b) \implies (c)$ also holds.

It remains to show that $(c) \implies (a)$. It is well known from calculus that $D_{h\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_i}$. Hence $d^{(0)}\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell)$ exists and is given by

$$d^{(\ell)}\gamma(x; h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\ell} \cdots \sum_{i_\ell=1}^{\ell} h_{1,i_1} \cdots h_{\ell,i_\ell} \cdot \frac{\partial^\ell \gamma}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_\ell}}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| = \ell} \left( \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_\ell) \in I_\alpha} h_{1,i_1} \cdots h_{\ell,i_\ell} \right) \cdot \partial^\alpha \gamma(x)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| = \ell} S_\alpha(h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) \cdot \partial^\alpha \gamma(x).$$

From this identity we derive the continuity of $x \mapsto d^{(\ell)}\gamma(x; \cdot)$, and can conclude using Proposition A.4.2 that $\gamma \in FC^k(U, Y)$ and (A.4.6.1) is satisfied. \qed
B. Locally convex Lie groups

The goal of this appendix mainly is to fix our conventions and notation concerning manifolds and Lie groups modelled on locally convex spaces. For further information see the articles [Mil84], [Nee06] and [BGN04].

B.1. Locally convex manifolds

Locally convex manifolds are essentially like finite-dimensional ones, replacing the finite-dimensional modelling space by a locally convex space.

**Definition B.1.1** (Locally convex manifolds). Let $M$ be a Hausdorff topological space, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X$ a locally convex space. A $C^k$-atlas for $M$ is a set $\mathcal{A}$ of homeomorphisms $\phi : U \to V$ from an open subset $U \subseteq M$ onto an open set $V \subseteq X$ whose domains cover $M$ and which are $C^k$-compatible in the sense that $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is $C^k$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$. A maximal $C^k$-atlas $\mathcal{A}$ on $M$ is called a differentiable structure of class $C^k$. In this case, the pair $(M, \mathcal{A})$ is called (locally convex) $C^k$-manifold modelled on $X$.

Direct products of locally convex $C^k$-manifolds are defined as expected.

**Definition B.1.2** (Tangent space and tangent bundle). Let $(M, \mathcal{A})$ be a $C^k$-manifold modelled on $X$, where $k \geq 1$. Given $x \in M$, let $\mathcal{A}_x$ be the set of all charts around $x$ (i.e. whose domain contains $x$). A tangent vector of $M$ at $x$ is a family $y = (y_\phi)_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_x}$ of vectors $y_\phi \in X$ such that $y_\psi = d(\psi \circ \phi^{-1}) (\phi(x); y_\phi)$ for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}_x$.

The tangent space of $M$ at $x$ is the set $T_xM$ of all tangent vectors of $M$ at $x$. It has a unique structure of locally convex space such that the map $d\phi|_{T_xM} : T_xM \to X : (y_\phi)_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_x} \mapsto y_\psi$ is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces for any $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_x$.

The tangent bundle $TM$ of $M$ is the union of the (disjoint) tangent spaces $T_xM$ for all $x \in M$. It admits a unique structure as a $C^{k-1}$-manifold modelled on $X \times X$ such that $T\phi := (\phi, d\phi)$ is chart for each $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$. We let $\pi_M : TM \to M$ be the map taking tangent vectors at $x$ to $x$ for any $x \in M$.

**Definition B.1.3.** A continuous map $f : M \to N$ between $C^k$-manifolds is called $C^k$ if the map $\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}$ is so for all charts $\psi$ of $N$ and $\phi$ of $M$.

If $k \geq 1$, then we define the tangent map of $f$ as the $C^{k-1}$-map $Tf : TM \to TN$ determined by $d\psi \circ Tf \circ (T\phi)^{-1} = d(\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1})$ for all charts $\psi$ of $N$ and $\phi$ of $M$.

Given $x \in M$, we define $T_{xf} := Tf|_{T_xM} : T_xM \to T_{f(x)}N$.

**Definition B.1.4.** Let $k > 0$, $M$, $N$ and $P$ be $C^k$-manifolds, and $f : M \times N \to P$ a $C^k$-map. We define

$$T_1 f : TM \times N \to TP : (v, n) \mapsto T\Gamma(v, 0_n)$$

and

$$T_2 f : M \times TN \to TP : (m, v) \mapsto T\Gamma(0_m, v).$$
Definition B.1.5 (Submanifolds). Let $M$ be a $C^k$-manifold modelled on the locally convex space $X$ and $Y \subseteq X$ be a sequentially closed vector subspace. A submanifold of $M$ modelled on $Y$ is a subset $N \subseteq M$ such that for each $x \in N$, there exists a chart $\phi : U \to V$ around $x$ such that $\phi(U \cap N) = V \cap Y$. It is easy to see that a submanifold is also a $C^k$-manifold.

The following lemma states that submanifolds are initial:

Lemma B.1.6. Let $M$ be a $C^k$-manifold and $N$ a submanifold of $M$. Then the inclusion $\iota : N \to M$ is $C^k$. Moreover, a map $f : P \to N$ from a $C^k$-manifold is $C^k$ iff the map $\iota \circ f : P \to M$ is so.

Definition B.1.7 (Vector fields). A vector field on a smooth manifold $M$ is a smooth map $\xi : M \to TM$ such that $\pi_M \circ \xi = id_M$. We denote the set of vector fields on $M$ by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$.

A vector field $\xi$ is determined by its local representations $\xi_\phi := d\phi \circ \xi \circ \phi^{-1} : V \to X$ for each chart $\phi : U \to V$ of $M$. Given vector fields $\xi$ and $\eta$ on $M$, there is a unique vector field $[\xi, \eta]$ on $M$ such that $[\xi, \eta]_\phi = d\eta_\phi \circ (id_V, \xi_\phi) - d\xi_\phi \circ (id_V, \eta_\phi)$ for all charts $\phi : U \to V$ of $M$.

Remark B.1.8 (Analytic manifolds). The definition of analytic manifolds and analytic maps between them is literally the same as above, except that the term $C^k$-map has to be replaced by analytic map.

B.2. Lie groups

Definition B.2.1 (Lie groups). A (locally convex) Lie group is a group $G$ equipped with a smooth manifold structure turning the group operations into smooth maps.

An analytic Lie group is a group $G$ equipped with an analytic manifold structure turning the group operations into analytic maps.

Lemma B.2.2 (Tangent group, action of group on TG). Let $G$ be a Lie group with the group multiplication $m$ and the inversion $i$. Then $TG$ is a Lie group with the group multiplication $Tm : T(G \times G) \cong TG \times TG \to TG$ and the inversion $Ti$. Identifying $G$ with the zero section of $TG$, we obtain a smooth right action $TG \times G \to TG : (v, g) \mapsto v.g := Tm(v, 0_g)$ and a smooth left action $G \times TG \to TG : (g, v) \mapsto g.v := Tm(0_g, v)$.

Definition B.2.3 (Left invariant vector fields). A vector field $V$ on a Lie group $G$ is called left invariant if $g.V(h) = V(gh)$ for all $g, h \in G$. The set $\mathfrak{X}(G)_L$ of left invariant vector fields is a Lie algebra under the bracket of vector fields defined above.
**B. Locally convex Lie groups**

**Definition B.2.4** (Lie algebra functor). Let $G$ and $H$ be Lie groups. Using the isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}(G) \to T_1G : V \mapsto V(1)$ we transport the Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ to $L(G) := T_1G$. If $\phi : G \to H$ is a smooth homomorphism, then the map $L(\phi) : L(G) \to L(H)$ defined as $T\phi|_{L(G)}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

**B.2.1. Generation of Lie groups**

We need the following result concerning the construction of Lie groups from local data (compare [Bou89, Chapter III, §1.9, Proposition 18] for the case of Banach Lie groups; the general proof follows the same pattern).

**Lemma B.2.5** (Local description of Lie groups). Let $G$ be a group, $U \subseteq G$ a subset which is equipped with a smooth manifold structure, and $V \subseteq U$ an open symmetric subset such that $1 \in V$ and $V \cdot V \subseteq U$. Consider the conditions

(a) The group inversion restricts to a smooth self map of $V$.

(b) The group multiplication restricts to a smooth map $V \times V \to U$.

(c) For each $g \in G$, there exists an open $1$-neighborhood $W \subseteq U$ such that $g \cdot W \cdot g^{-1} \subseteq U$, and the map

$$W \to U : w \mapsto g \cdot w \cdot g^{-1}$$

is smooth.

If (a)–(c) hold, then there exists a unique smooth manifold structure on $G$ which makes $G$ a Lie group such that $V$ is an open submanifold of $G$. If (a) and (b) hold, then there exists a unique smooth manifold structure on $\langle V \rangle$ which makes $\langle V \rangle$ a Lie group such that $V$ is an open submanifold of $\langle V \rangle$.

**B.2.2. Regularity**

We recall the notion of regularity (see [Mil84] for further information). To this end, we define left evolutions of smooth curves. As a tool, we use the group multiplication on the tangent bundle $TG$ of a Lie group $G$.

**Definition B.2.6** (Left logarithmic derivative). Let $G$ be a Lie group, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta : [0, 1] \to G$ a $C^{k+1}$-curve. We define the left logarithmic derivative of $\eta$ as

$$\delta_\ell(\eta) : [0, 1] \to L(G) : t \mapsto \eta(t)^{-1} \cdot \eta'(t).$$

The curve $\delta_\ell(\eta)$ is obviously $C^k$.

**Definition B.2.7** (Left evolutions). Let $G$ be a Lie group and $\gamma : [0, 1] \to L(G)$ a smooth curve. A smooth curve $\eta : [0, 1] \to G$ is called a left evolution of $\gamma$ and denoted by $\text{Evol}_{\ell}^G(\gamma)$ if $\delta_\ell(\eta) = \gamma$ and $\eta(0) = 1$. One can show that in case of its existence, a left evolution is uniquely determined.
B. Locally convex Lie groups

The existence of a left evolution is equivalent to the existence of a solution to a certain initial value problem:

**Lemma B.2.8.** Let \( G \) be a Lie group and \( \gamma : [0, 1] \to L(G) \) a smooth curve. Then there exists a left evolution \( \text{Evol}_G^\ell(\gamma) : [0, 1] \to G \) iff the initial value problem

\[
\eta'(t) = \eta(t) \cdot \gamma(t) \\
\eta(0) = 1
\]

(B.2.8.1)

has a solution \( \eta \). In this case, \( \eta = \text{Evol}_G^\ell(\gamma) \).

**Proof.** This is obvious. \( \Box \)

Now we give the definition of regularity:

**Definition B.2.9 (Regularity).** A Lie group \( G \) is called regular if for each smooth curve \( \gamma : [0, 1] \to L(G) \) there exists a left evolution and the map \( \text{evol}_G^\ell : C^\infty([0, 1], L(G)) \to G : \gamma \mapsto \text{Evol}_G^\ell(\gamma)(1) \) is smooth.

**Lemma B.2.10.** Let \( G \) be a Lie group. Suppose there exists a zero neighborhood \( \Omega \subseteq C^\infty([0, 1], L(G)) \) such that for each \( \gamma \in \Omega \) the left evolution \( \text{Evol}_G^\ell(\gamma) \) exists and the map \( \Omega \to G : \gamma \mapsto \text{Evol}_G^\ell(\gamma)(1) \) is smooth. Then \( G \) is regular.

**Remark B.2.11.** We can define right logarithmic derivatives and right evolutions in the analogous way as we did above. We denote the right evolution map by \( \text{Evol}_G^\rho \) and the endpoint of the right evolution by \( \text{evol}_G^\rho \). One can show that a Lie group is left-regular iff it is right-regular. Also the equivalent of **Lemma B.2.10** holds. In particular, equation (B.2.8.1) becomes

\[
\eta'(t) = \gamma(t) \cdot \eta(t) \\
\eta(0) = 1
\]

(B.2.11.1)

**Definition B.2.12.** Let \( G \) be a Lie group. A smooth map \( \exp_G : L(G) \to G \) is called an exponential map for \( G \) if \( T_0 \exp_G = \text{id}_{L(G)} \) and \( \exp_G((s + t)v) = \exp_G(sv) \cdot \exp_G(tv) \) for all \( s, t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( v \in L(G) \).

**B.2.3. Group actions**

**Lemma B.2.13.** Let \( G \) and \( H \) be groups and \( \alpha : G \times H \to H \) a group action that is a group morphism in its second argument. Further, let \( \bar{H} \) be a subgroup of \( H \) that is generated by \( U \). Then

\[
\alpha(G \times \bar{H}) \subseteq \bar{H} \iff \alpha(G \times U) \subseteq \bar{H}.
\]
B. Locally convex Lie groups

Proof. By our assumption, \( \tilde{H} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U \cup U^{-1})^n \). So we calculate

\[
\alpha(G \times \tilde{H}) = \alpha(G \times \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U \cup U^{-1})^n) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(G \times (U \cup U^{-1})^n) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\alpha(G \times U) \cup \alpha(G \times U^{-1})^n) \subseteq \tilde{H}.
\]

That’s it. \(\square\)

Lemma B.2.14. Let \( G \) and \( H \) be Lie groups and \( \alpha : G \times H \to H \) a group action that is a group morphism in its second argument. Then \( \alpha \) is smooth iff the following assertions hold:

(a) it is smooth on \( U \times V \), where \( U \) and \( V \) are open unit neighborhoods, respectively.

(b) for each \( h \in H \), there exists an open unit neighborhood \( W \) such that the map \( \alpha(\cdot, h) : W \to H \) is smooth.

(c) for each \( g \in G \) the map \( \alpha(g, \cdot) : H \to H \) is smooth.

If \( U \) generates \( G \), (b) follows from (a). If \( V \) generates \( H \), (c) follows from (a).

Proof. We first show that by our assumptions, \( \alpha \) is smooth. To this end, let \((g, h) \in G \times H\). Choose \( W \) as in (b). Then \( U' := U \cap W \in \mathcal{U}_G(1) \). We show that \( \alpha|_{gU' \times Vh} \) is smooth. Since the map \( U' \times V \to gU' \times Vh : (u, v) \mapsto (gu, vh) \) is a smooth diffeomorphism, we only need to show that the map

\[
U' \times V \to H : (u, v) \mapsto \alpha(gu, hv)
\]

is smooth. But

\[
\alpha(gu, hv) = \alpha(g)(\alpha(u, vh)) = \alpha_g(\alpha(u, v) \alpha(u, h)) = \alpha_g(\alpha(u, v) \alpha^h(u)),
\]

where we denote \( \alpha(\cdot, h) \) by \( \alpha^h \) and \( \alpha(g, \cdot) \) by \( \alpha_g \). Since the right hand side is obviously smooth, we are home.

Now we prove the other two assertions. We suppose that (a) holds. We let \( S \subseteq H \) be the set of all \( h \in H \) such that (b) holds. Then \( V \subseteq S \); and since \( \alpha^{-1}(g) = \alpha^{-1}(g)^{-1} \) and \( \alpha^{hh'}(g) = \alpha^{h}(g) \alpha^{h'}(g) \) for all \( g \in G \) and \( h, h' \in H \), we easily see that \( S \) is a subgroup of \( H \). Since \( V \) is a generator, \( S = H \).

Since \( U \) generates \( G \), for each \( g \in G \) we find \( g_1, \ldots, g_n \in U \cup U^{-1} \) such that

\[
\alpha_g = \alpha_{g_n} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{g_1}.
\]

Further, for \( g' \in G \) and \( h \in H \), \( \alpha_{g'}(h) = \alpha_{g'}(h)^{-1} \), so each \( \alpha_{g_n} \) is smooth by our assumption. Hence \( \alpha_g \) is smooth. \(\square\)

Lemma B.2.15. Let \( G \) and \( H \) be Lie groups and \( \omega : G \times H \to H \) a smooth group action that is a group morphism in its second argument. Then the semidirect product \( H \rtimes_{\omega} G \) can be turned into a Lie group that is modelled on \( L(H) \times L(G) \).
Proof. The semidirect product $H \rtimes \omega G$ is endowed with the multiplication

$$(H \times G) \times (H \times G) \to H \times G : ((h_1, g_1), (h_2, g_2)) \mapsto (h_1 \cdot \omega(g_1, h_2), g_1 \cdot g_2)$$

and the inversion

$$H \times G \to H \times G : (h, g) \mapsto (\omega(g^{-1}, h^{-1}), g^{-1}),$$

so the smoothness of the group operations follows from the one of $\omega$. \hfill \Box

C. Some facts concerning ordinary differential equations

C.1. Maximal solutions of ODEs

In the following, we let $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a nondegenerate interval and $U$ an open subset of the Banach space $X$. For a continuous function $f : J \times U \to X$, $x_0 \in U$ and $t_0 \in J$ we consider the initial value problem

$$\gamma'(t) = f(t, \gamma(t)) \quad \gamma(t_0) = x_0. \quad (C.1.0.1)$$

We state the famous theorem of Picard and Lindelöf:

**Theorem C.1.1.** Let $f$ satisfy a local Lipschitz condition with respect to the second argument, that is, for each $(t_0, x_0) \in J \times U$ there exist a neighborhood $W$ of $(t_0, x_0)$ in $J \times U$ and an $K \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $(t, x), (t, \tilde{x}) \in W$

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, \tilde{x})\| \leq K \|x - \tilde{x}\|.$$

Then, for each $(t_0, x_0) \in J \times U$ there exists a neighborhood $I$ of $t_0$ in $J$ such that the initial value problem (C.1.0.1) corresponding to $t_0$ and $x_0$ has a unique solution that is defined on $I$.

It is well-known that the local theorem of Picard and Lindelöf can be used to ensure that there exists a maximal solution.

**Proposition C.1.2.** Let $f$ satisfy a local Lipschitz condition with respect to the second argument and let $(t_0, x_0) \in J \times U$. Then there exists an interval $I \subseteq J$ and a function $\phi : I \to U$ that is a maximal solution to (C.1.0.1); that is, if $\gamma : D(\gamma) \to U$ is a solution of (C.1.0.1) defined on a connected set, $D(\gamma) \subseteq I$ and $\gamma = \phi|_{D(\gamma)}$. 
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C.2. Criteria on global solvability

C.2.1. Linearly bounded vector fields

**Definition C.2.1.** We call \( f \) linearly bounded if there exist continuous functions \( a, b : J \to \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\| f(t, x) \| \leq a(t) \| x \| + b(t)
\]

for all \((t, x) \in J \times U\).

To prove that this condition on \( f \) ensures globally defined solutions, we first need to prove some lemmas.

**Lemma C.2.2.** Let \( f \) be a linearly bounded map that satisfies a local Lipschitz condition with respect to the second argument. Let \( \phi : I \to U \) be an integral curve of \( f \). Then the following assertions hold:

(a) If \( \phi \) is bounded, \( \bar{T} \subseteq J \) and \( \bar{T} \) is compact, then \( f \) is bounded on the graph of \( \phi \).

(b) If \( \beta := \sup I \neq \sup J \), then \( \phi \) is bounded on \([t_0, \beta]\) for each \( t_0 \in J \). The analogous result for \( \inf I \) also holds.

**Proof.** (a) Let \( t \in I \). Then

\[
\| f(t, \phi(t)) \| \leq a(t) \| \phi(t) \| + b(t)
\]

since \( f \) is linearly bounded. Because \( a \) and \( b \) are continuous and defined on \( \bar{T} \), they are clearly bounded on \( I \).

(b) For each \( t \in [t_0, \beta] \) we have

\[
\phi(t) = \phi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, \phi(s)) \, ds,
\]

and from this we deduce using that \( f \) is linearly bounded:

\[
\| \phi(t) \| \leq \| \phi(t_0) \| + \left\| \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, \phi(s)) \, ds \right\| \\
\leq \| \phi(t_0) \| + \left| \int_{t_0}^{t} a(s) \| \phi(s) \| + b(s) \, ds \right| \\
\leq \| a \|_{[t_0, \beta]} \| \phi(s) \| \| ds \| + \| \phi(t_0) \| + \| b \|_{\| \infty \|_{[t_0, \beta]}} \| \beta - t_0 \|.
\]

The assertion is proved with an application of Groenwall’s lemma.

**Lemma C.2.3.** Assume that \( f \) satisfies a global Lipschitz condition with respect to the second argument. Then \( f \) is linearly bounded.

**Proof.** Let \((t, x) \in J \times U \) and \( x_0 \in U \). Then

\[
\| f(t, x) \| \leq \| f(t, x) - f(t, x_0) \| + \| f(t, x_0) \| \\
\leq L \| x - x_0 \| + \| f(t, x_0) \| \leq L \| x \| + L \| x_0 \| + \| f(t, x_0) \|.
\]

Defining \( a(t) := L \) and \( b(t) := L \| x_0 \| + \| f(t, x_0) \| \) gives the assertion.
C.2.2. A criterion

We give a sufficient condition on when an integral curve is uniformly continuous. This can be used to extend solutions to larger domains of definition.

Lemma C.2.4. Let \( f \) satisfy a local Lipschitz condition with respect to the second argument and let \( \phi : I \rightarrow U \) be an integral curve of \( f \) such that \( f \) is bounded on the graph of \( \phi \). Then \( \phi \) is Lipschitz continuous and hence uniformly continuous.

Proof. Let \( t_1, t_2 \in I \). Then
\[
\|\phi(t_2) - \phi(t_1)\| = \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \phi'(s) \, ds \right\| = \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s, \phi(s)) \, ds \right\| \leq K|t_2 - t_1|,
\]
where \( K := \sup_{s \in I} \|f(s, \phi(s))\| < \infty \).

Theorem C.2.5. Assume that \( f \) satisfies a local Lipschitz condition with respect to the second argument. Let \( \phi : I \rightarrow U \) be a maximal integral curve of \( f \). Assume further that

(a) The image of \( \phi \) is contained in a compact subset of \( U \) or
(b) \( f \) is linearly bounded.

Then \( \phi \) is a global solution, that is \( I = J \).

Proof. We prove this by contradiction. To this end, we may assume w.l.o.g. that \( \beta := \sup I \neq \sup J \). We choose \( t_0 \in I \). In both cases, \( f \) is bounded on the graph of \( \phi|_{[t_0, \beta]} \): If the image of \( \phi \) is contained in a compact set, we easily see that the graph of \( \phi|_{[t_0, \beta]} \) is contained in a compact subset. If \( f \) is linearly bounded, we use Lemma C.2.2.

We apply Lemma C.2.4 to see that \( \phi|_{[t_0, \beta]} \) is uniformly continuous, and thus has a continuous extension \( \tilde{\phi} \) to \([t_0, \beta]\). We easily calculate that \( \tilde{\phi} \) is a solution to (C.1.0.1) using the integral representation of an ODE. Since \( \tilde{\phi} \) extends \( \phi \), we get a contradiction to the maximality of \( \phi \).

C.3. Flows and dependence on parameters and initial values

For the purpose of full generality, we need a definition.

Definition C.3.1. Let \( X \) be a locally convex space. We call \( P \subseteq X \) a locally convex subset with dense interior if for each \( x \in P \), there exists a convex neighborhood \( U \subseteq P \) of \( x \) and if \( P \subseteq \overline{P} \).

In the following, we let \( J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be a nondegenerate interval, \( U \) an open subset of the Banach space \( X \), \( P \) be a locally convex subset with dense interior of a locally convex space and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( k \geq 1 \). Further, let \( f \) be in \( C^k(J \times U \times P, X) \). We consider the initial value problem
\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma'(t) &= f(t, \gamma(t), p) \\
\gamma(t_0) &= x_0
\end{align*}
\]
for \( t_0 \in J \), \( x_0 \in U \) and \( p \in P \).
Definition C.3.2. Let $\Omega \subseteq J \times J \times U \times P$. We call a map
\[ \phi : \Omega \rightarrow U \]
a flow for $f$ if for all $t_0 \in J$, $x_0 \in U$ and $p \in P$ the set
\[ \Omega_{t_0,x_0,p} := \{ t \in J : (t_0, t, x_0, p) \in \Omega \} \]
is connected and the partial map
\[ \phi(t_0, \cdot, x_0, p) : \Omega_{t_0,x_0,p} \rightarrow U \]
is a solution to (C.3.1.1) corresponding to the initial values $t_0$, $x_0$ and $p$.

A flow is called maximal if each other flow is a restriction of it.

Remark C.3.3. In [Glö06, Theorem 10.3] it was stated that for each $t_0 \in J$, $x_0 \in U$ and $p_0 \in P$ there exist neighborhoods $J_0$ of $t_0$, $U_0$ of $x_0$ and $P_0$ of $p_0$ such that for every $s \in J_0$, $x \in U_0$ and $p \in P_0$ the corresponding initial value problem (C.3.1.1) has a unique solution $\Gamma_{s,x,p} : J_0 \rightarrow U$ and the map
\[ \Gamma : J_0 \times J_0 \times U_0 \times P_0 \rightarrow U : (s, t, x, p) \mapsto \Gamma_{s,x,p}(t) \]
is $C^k$. Therefore $C^k$-flows exist.

The following lemma shows that two related flows can be glued together:

Lemma C.3.4. Let $I \subseteq J$ be a connected set with nonempty interior and $\gamma : I \rightarrow U$ a solution to (C.3.1.1) corresponding to $t_\gamma \in J$, $x_\gamma \in U$ and $p_\gamma \in P$. Further let $\phi_0 : J_0 \times I_0 \times U_0 \times P_0 \rightarrow U$ and $\phi_1 : I_1 \times I_1 \times U_1 \times P_1 \rightarrow U$ be $C^k$-flows for $f$ such that $U_1$ is open in $X$ and $I = I_0 \cup I_1$, $I_0 \cap I_1 \neq \emptyset$, $p_\gamma \in P_0 \cap P_1$, $(t_\gamma, x_\gamma) \in J_0 \times U_0$ and $\gamma(I_1) \subseteq U_1$.

Then there exist neighborhoods $J_\gamma$ of $t_\gamma$, $U_\gamma$ of $x_\gamma$, $P_\gamma$ of $p_\gamma$ and a $C^k$-flow
\[ \phi : J_\gamma \times I \times U_\gamma \times P_\gamma \rightarrow U \]
for $f$.

Proof. We choose $t_1 \in I_0 \cap I_1$. Since $\phi_0$ is continuous in $(t_\gamma, t_1, x_\gamma, p_\gamma)$ and $\phi_0(t_\gamma, t_1, x_\gamma, p_\gamma) = \gamma(t_1) \in U_1$,

there exist neighborhoods $J_\gamma$ of $t_\gamma$ in $J_0$, $U_\gamma$ of $x_\gamma$ in $U_0$ and $P_\gamma \subseteq P_0 \cap P_1$ of $p_\gamma$ such that $\phi_0(J_\gamma \times \{ t_1 \} \times U_\gamma \times P_\gamma) \subseteq U_1$.

Then the map
\[ \phi : J_\gamma \times I \times U_\gamma \times P_\gamma \rightarrow U : (t_0, x_0, p, t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \phi_0(t_0, t, x_0, p) & \text{if } t \in I_0 \\ \phi_1(t_1, t, \phi_0(t_0, t_1, x_0, p), p) & \text{if } t \in I_1 \end{cases} \]
is well defined since the curves $\phi_0(t_0, \cdot, x_0, p)$ and $\phi_1(t_1, \cdot, \phi_0(t_0, t_1, x_0, p), p)$ are both solutions to the ODE (C.3.1.1) that coincide in $t_1$ and hence on $I_0 \cap I_1$. Since both $\phi_0$ and $\phi_1$ are $C^k$-flows for $f$, so is $\phi$. \qed
Lemma C.3.5. Let \( I \subseteq J \) be a connected set with nonempty interior, \( t_1 \in I \) and \( \gamma : I \to U \) a solution to (C.3.1.1) corresponding to \( t_\gamma \in J \), \( x_\gamma \in U \) and \( p_\gamma \in P \). Then there exist neighborhoods \( J_{t_\gamma} \) of \( t_\gamma \), \( U_{x_\gamma} \) of \( x_\gamma \), \( P_{p_\gamma} \) of \( p_\gamma \), an interval \( \tilde{I} \subseteq I \) with \( t_\gamma, t_1 \in \tilde{I} \) such that \( \tilde{I} \) is a neighborhood of \( t_1 \) in \( I \), and a \( C^k \)-flow \( \phi : J_{t_\gamma} \times \tilde{I} \times U_{x_\gamma} \times P_{p_\gamma} \to U \) for \( f \).

Proof. We use [Glö06, Theorem 10.3] to see that for each \( s \in I \) there exist neighborhoods \( J_s \) of \( s \) in \( J \), \( U_s \) of \( \gamma(s) \) in \( U \), \( P_s \) of \( p_0 \) in \( P \) and a \( C^k \)-flow \( \phi_s : J_s \times J_s \times U_s \times P_s \to U \) for \( f \); we may assume w.l.o.g. that \( \gamma(J_s) \subseteq U_s \) since \( \gamma \) is continuous and that \( J_s \) is open in \( I \). Since \( I \) is connected and \( \{ J_s \}_{s \in I} \) is an open cover of \( I \), there exist finitely many sets \( J_{s_1}, \ldots, J_{s_n} \) such that \( t_\gamma \in J_{s_1}, t_1 \in J_{s_n} \) and \( J_{s_m} \cap J_{s_\ell} \neq \emptyset \iff |m - \ell| \leq 1 \). Applying Lemma C.3.4 to \( \phi_{s_1} \) and \( \phi_{s_2} \) we find neighborhoods \( I_1 \) of \( t_\gamma \), \( V_1 \) of \( x_\gamma \), \( P_1 \) of \( p_\gamma \) and a \( C^k \)-flow \( \phi_1 : I_1 \times (J_{s_1} \cup J_{s_2}) \times V_1 \times P_1 \to U \) for \( f \). Likewise, \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_{s_3} \) lead to \( \phi_2 \), and iterating the argument, we find a \( C^k \)-flow \( \phi_{n-1} : I_{n-1} \times \bigcup_{k=1}^n J_{s_k} \times V_{n-1} \times P_{n-1} \to U \) for \( f \).

Concerning maximal flows, we can state the following

**Theorem C.3.6.** For each ODE (C.3.1.1) there exists a maximal flow
\[
\phi : J \times J \times U \times P \supseteq \Omega \to U.
\]
\( \Omega \) is an open subset of \( J \times J \times U \times P \) and \( \phi \) is a \( C^k \)-map.

Proof. The existence of a maximal flow is a direct consequence of the existence of maximal solutions to ODEs without parameters, see Proposition C.1.2. Now let \( (t_0, t, x_0, p) \in \Omega \) and \( \gamma : I \subseteq J \to U \) the maximal solution corresponding to \( t_0, x_0 \) and \( p \). Then \( t_0, t \in I \), and according to Lemma C.3.5 there exists a \( C^k \)-flow
\[
\Gamma : J_{t_\gamma} \times \tilde{I} \times U_{x_\gamma} \times P_{p_\gamma} \to U
\]
for \( f \) that is defined on a neighborhood of \( (t_0, t, x_0, p) \). Since \( \phi \) is maximal,
\[
J_{t_\gamma} \times \tilde{I} \times U_{x_\gamma} \times P_{p_\gamma} \subseteq \Omega
\]
and
\[
\phi|_{J_{t_\gamma} \times \tilde{I} \times U_{x_\gamma} \times P_{p_\gamma}} = \Gamma.
\]
This gives the assertion. \( \square \)
We examine the situation that an initial time is fixed and the initial values depend on the parameters.

**Corollary C.3.7.** Let \( \alpha : P \to U \) be a \( C^k \)-map. Further, let \( I \subseteq J \) be a nonempty interval and \( t_0 \in I \) such that for every \( p \in P \) there exists a solution

\[
\gamma_p : I \to U
\]

to the initial value problem \((C.3.1.1)\) corresponding to \( p, t_0 \) and the initial value \( \alpha(p) \).

Then the map

\[
\Gamma : I \times P \to U : (t, p) \mapsto \gamma_p(t)
\]

is \( C^k \).

**Proof.** We consider a maximal flow \( \phi : \Omega \to U \) for \( f \). Since \( \phi \) is maximal,

\[
\{t_0\} \times I \times \{(\alpha(p), p) : p \in P\} \subseteq \Omega,
\]

and for each \( p \in P \)

\[
\phi(t_0, \cdot, \alpha(p), p) = \gamma_p.
\]

Hence \( \Gamma \) is the composition of \( \phi \) and the \( C^k \)-map

\[
I \times P \to J \times I \times I \times P : (t, p) \mapsto (t_0, t, \alpha(p), p),
\]

and this gives the assertion. \( \square \)

---

**D. Quasi-inversion in algebras**

We give a short introduction to the concept of quasi-inversion. It is a useful tool for the treatment of algebras without a unit, where it serves as a replacement for the ordinary inversion. Many of the algebras we treat are without a unit. Unless the contrary is stated, all algebras are assumed associative.

**D.1. Definition**

**Definition D.1.1 (Quasi-Inversion).** Let \( A \) denote a \( K \)-algebra with the multiplication \( \ast \). An \( x \in A \) is called quasi-invertible if there exists a \( y \in A \) such that

\[
x + y - x \ast y = y + x - y \ast x = 0.
\]

In this case, we call \( QI_A(x) := y \) the quasi-inverse of \( x \). The set that consists of all quasi-invertible elements of \( A \) is denoted by \( A^q \). The map \( A^q \to A^q : x \mapsto QI_A(x) \) is called the quasi-inversion of \( A \). Often we will denote \( QI_A \) just by \( QI \).

An interesting characterization of quasi-inversion is
Lemma D.1.2. Let $A$ be a $K$-Algebra with the multiplication $\ast$. Then $A$, endowed with the operation
\[ A \times A \to A : (x, y) \mapsto x \triangleright y := x + y - x \ast y, \]
is a monoid with the unit $0$ and the unit group $A^q$. The inversion map is given by $QI_A$.

Proof. This is shown by an easy computation. \qed

In unital algebras there is a close relationship between inversion and quasi-inversion.

Lemma D.1.3. Let $A$ be an algebra with multiplication $\ast$ and unit $e$. Then $x \in A$ is quasi-invertible iff $x - e$ is invertible. In this case
\[ QI_A(x) = (x - e)^{-1} + e. \]

Proof. One easily computes that
\[ (A, \triangleright) \to (A, \ast) : x \mapsto e - x \]
is an isomorphism of monoids (\(\triangleright\) was introduced in Lemma D.1.2), and from this we easily deduce the assertion. \qed

D.2. Topological monoids and algebras with continuous quasi-inversion

In this section, we examine algebras that are endowed with a topology. For technical reasons we also examine monoids.

Definition D.2.1. An algebra $A$ is called a topological algebra if it is a topological vector space and the multiplication is continuous.

A topological algebra $A$ is called algebra with continuous quasi-inversion if the set $A^q$ is open and the quasi-inversion $QI$ is continuous.

A monoid, endowed with a topology, is called a topological monoid if the monoid multiplication is continuous.

A monoid, endowed with a differential structure, is called a smooth monoid if the monoid multiplication is smooth.

Remark D.2.2. If $A$ is an algebra with continuous quasi-inversion, then $QI$ is not only continuous, but automatically analytic, see \cite{Glö02a}.

In topological monoids the unit group is open and the inversion continuous if they are so near the unit element:

Lemma D.2.3. Let $M$ be a topological monoid with unit $e$ and the multiplication $\ast$. Then the unit group $M^\times$ is open iff there exists a neighborhood of $e$ that consists of invertible elements. The inversion map
\[ I : M^\times \to M^\times : x \mapsto x^{-1} \]
is continuous iff it is so in $e$.  
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Proof. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of $e$ that consists of invertible elements and $m \in M^\times$. Since the map

$$
\ell_m : M \to M : x \mapsto m \ast x
$$

is a homeomorphism, $\ell_m(U)$ is open; and it is clear that $\ell_m(U) \subseteq M^\times$. Hence $M^\times = \cup_{m \in M^\times} \ell_m(U)$ is open.

Let $I$ be continuous in $e$. We show it is so in $x \in M^\times$. For $m \in M^\times$, we have

$$I(m) = m^{-1} = m^{-1} \ast x \ast x^{-1} = (x^{-1} \ast m)^{-1} \ast x^{-1} = (\rho_{x^{-1}} \circ I \circ \ell_{x^{-1}})(m), \quad (\dagger)$$

where $\rho_{x^{-1}}$ denotes the right multiplication by $x^{-1}$. Since $I$ is continuous in $e$ and $\ell_{x^{-1}}(x) = e$, we can derive the continuity of $I$ in $x$ from $(\dagger)$. \qed

For algebras with a continuous multiplication we can deduce

**Lemma D.2.4.** Let $A$ be an algebra with the continuous multiplication $\ast$. Then $A^q$ is open if there exists a neighborhood of $0$ that consists of invertible elements. The quasi-inversion $QI_A$ is continuous if it is so in 0.

Proof. Since the map

$$
A \times A \to A : (x, y) \mapsto x + y - x \ast y
$$

is continuous, we derive the assertions from [Lemma D.1.2](#) and [Lemma D.2.3](#). \qed

**D.2.1. A criterion for quasi-invertibility**

We give an criterion that ensures that an element of an algebra is quasi-invertible. It turns out that it is quite useful in certain algebras, namely Banach algebras.

**Lemma D.2.5.** Let $A$ be a topological algebra and $x \in A$. If $\sum_{i=1}^\infty x^i$ exists, then $x$ is quasi-invertible with

$$QI_A(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^\infty x^i.$$

Proof. We just compute that $x$ is quasi-invertible:

$$x + \left(- \sum_{i=1}^\infty x^i\right) - x \ast \left(- \sum_{i=1}^\infty x^i\right) = - \sum_{i=2}^\infty x^i + \sum_{i=2}^\infty x^i = 0.$$

The identity $(- \sum_{i=1}^\infty x^i) + x - (- \sum_{i=1}^\infty x^i) \ast x = 0$ is computed in the same way. So the quasi-invertibility of $x$ follows direct from the definition. \qed
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D.2.2. Quasi-inversion in Banach algebras

**Lemma D.2.6.** Let $A$ be a Banach algebra. Then $B_1(0) \subseteq A^q$. Moreover, for $x \in B_1(0)$

$$QI_A(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^i.$$  

**Proof.** For $x \in B_1(0)$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^i$ exists since it is absolutely convergent and $A$ is complete. So the assertion follows from Lemma D.2.5. \(\square\)

**Lemma D.2.7.** Let $A$ be a Banach algebra. Then $A^q$ is open in $A$ and the quasi-inversion $QI_A$ is continuous.

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of Lemma D.2.6 and Lemma D.2.4 since

$$x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^i$$

is analytic (see [Bou67, §3.2.9]) and hence continuous. \(\square\)
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