Evaluation of Anosognosia in Alzheimer’s Disease Using the Symptoms of Early Dementia-11 Questionnaire (SED-11Q)
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Abstract
*Aims:* The objective is to propose a brief method to evaluate anosognosia in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) using the Symptoms of Early Dementia-11 Questionnaire (SED-11Q), a short informant-based screening questionnaire for identifying dementia. *Methods:* The participants were 107 elderly individuals: 13 with a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) of 0.5, 73 with mild AD of CDR 1, and 21 with moderate AD of CDR 2. The patients and caregivers answered the SED-11Q independently, and the degree of discrepancy indicated the severity of anosognosia. *Results:* The scores were as follows: caregiver scores were 2.46 ± 1.85 (mean ± SD) in CDR 0.5, 6.36 ± 3.02 in CDR 1, and 9.00 ± 1.14 in CDR 2; patient scores were 2.00 ± 1.78, 2.55 ± 2.33, and 1.33 ± 2.46, respectively. Discrepancy was 0.46 ± 1.61, 3.81 ± 3.95, and 7.67 ± 2.87, respectively, and the caregiver assessments were significantly higher than the patient assessments in CDR 1 and CDR 2 (*p* < 0.001 in both groups). The SED-11Q for anosognosia was validated with the standardized Anosognosia Questionnaire for Dementia (AD-Q). The caregiver scores were moderately correlated with behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia scores (*r* = 0.524), and the patient scores were moderately correlated with depression scores (*r* = 0.561). *Conclusion:* The SED-11Q serves a dual purpose: caregiver assessment is useful for the screening of dementia, and any discrepancy between the patient and the caregiver assessment is considered as an indication of the severity of anosognosia; this can be informative for caregivers and essential for successful care.
Introduction

A deficit in the level of self-awareness of disease, anosognosia, has been recognized as one of the typical symptoms in Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and self-awareness gradually deteriorates as the disease progresses [1, 2]. Patients with anosognosia have difficulties in self-monitoring from the perspective of a third person and tend to overestimate their abilities [3].

Overconfidence due to diminished insight [4, 5] has a negative impact on the caregiver burden over and above the cognitive deficits and functional impairments [6]. Coupled with the care burden, the discrepancy between the caregiver’s and the patient’s evaluation of deficits can result in a breakdown of the relationship, and this could lead to behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) [7].

For example, agitated and aggressive behaviors may be triggered by negatively affected interpersonal relationships between the patients and the caregivers. It is often the case that patients with anosognosia refuse to acknowledge their deficits and failures. If the caregivers pointed out their failures, the patients might feel that they are being unreasonably criticized, because they firmly believe that they are right. Due to a decline in their capacity to frame their thoughts and control their emotional states, they may easily be upset and resort to physical aggression. To prevent and/or soothe agitation and aggression, evidence increasingly supports psychological interventions as a first-line management strategy [8]. Caregivers who strive to understand the intention behind patients’ behaviors could be more successful at reducing BPSD than caregivers who have merely physical and custodial roles, and patients who feel appreciated and respected by their caregivers may be less likely to resort to inappropriate behaviors [9].

As outlined above, it is beneficial for caregivers to understand how the patients feel and to perceive their deficits from their perspective. Thus, the evaluation of anosognosia is an essential requirement for successful care [10].

Anosognosia can be assessed as follows. The patients and caregivers independently answer the same questions about how the patient is functioning. The caregiver assessment is taken to be the objective standard, and any discrepancy between the patient and the caregiver assessment is considered as an indication of the severity of anosognosia [11]. In the present study, we describe a brief method to evaluate anosognosia in AD using the Symptoms of Early Dementia-11 Questionnaire (SED-11Q) [12]. The SED-11Q is a short informant-based screening questionnaire which is used to identify dementia in both clinical and community-based settings and to detect undiagnosed AD and other types of dementia early. As deficits caused by dementia are manifested in various aspects, the SED-11Q asks questions about 11 early signs of memory deficits, difficulties with the activities of daily life, and changes in social interaction and personality. The SED-11Q reliably differentiates nondemented from demented individuals. In the clinical setting with physicians and other medical staff, the statistically optimal cutoff value of 2/3, which indicates a sensitivity of 0.841 and a specificity of 0.901, can be applied. In the community setting, where community-dwelling elderly individuals are screened for dementia, a cutoff value of 3/4, which indicates a sensitivity of 0.764 and a specificity of 0.963, is recommended because of their high specificity and positive predictive values. In addition to the 11 questions, the SED-11Q has 2 further questions about delusions and illusions, and medical consultation is recommended whenever delusions or illusions are detected.

The SED-11Q is easily administered within 5 min and is both patient- and caregiver-friendly, since only 11 questions with yes/no responses need to be answered. The results can be informative for caregivers and provide an opportunity for them to reconsider their relationships with patients and improve their care giving.
Methods

The participants were 107 elderly individuals: 13 with amnestic mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) [13] of 0.5, 73 with mild dementia with AD of CDR 1, and 21 with moderate AD of CDR 2. Demented individuals were outpatients who were diagnosed according to criteria for dementia diseases such as NINCDS-ADRDA [14]. MCI was diagnosed according to the recommendations of the report of the International Working Group on Mild Cognitive Impairment [15]. CDR 0.5 was regarded as MCI, although a different classification was proposed whereby CDR 0.5 encompasses both mild and earlier dementia [16] or corresponds with very mild dementia [17]. Depression was an exclusion criterion for MCI in CDR 0.5. Informants were restricted to caregivers who were family members and who knew the patient’s activities of daily life. These informants had normal cognitive abilities without depression, and both caregivers and patients were without psychiatric diseases, delirium, or verbal incomprehension including aphasia.

The informants were required to fill out the SED-11Q which consists of 11 questions regarding the following issues: repetitive talking, difficulties in context understanding, indifference to clothing, difficulties in cleaning up, forgetting one of two items, difficulties in self-medication, time-consuming behavior, difficulties in planning, difficulties in understanding complex topics, loss of interest, and becoming irritable and suspicious. They were requested to respond with a yes or a no (yes = 1 point) to each item. Two additional questions about delusion and illusion were not included in the SED-11Q for patients (SED-11Qp) (fig. 1); thus, these 2 questions were excluded from the difference calculation.

Concerning BPSD, the participants were required to complete the Japanese version of the Dementia Behavior Disturbance scale (DBD) [18]. The DBD consists of 28 items including the most common symptoms such as repetitive questions, losing or hiding things, lack of interest in daily activities, nocturnal wakefulness, unwarranted accusations, excessive daytime sleeping, and pacing. Scores range from 0 to 84 and a high score indicates greater BPSD.

The patients were required to complete the SED-11Qp to evaluate their awareness of deficits. The depressive tendency was evaluated using the Japanese version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) [19]. The GDS is a self-rating depression screening scale for elderly populations that asks questions about 15 items. Scores of 0–4 indicate no depressive tendency, scores of 5–9 indicate mild depressive tendency, and scores of 10–15 indicate severe depressive tendency.

For external validation of the SED-11Q, we used the standardized questionnaire of anosognosia, the Japanese version of the Anosognosia Questionnaire for Dementia (AQ-D) [20, 21], which contains questions about the awareness of deficits in intellectual functioning (22 items), and mood and behavior domains (8 items). Each item of the AQ-D was evaluated on a 0–3 scale: never (0 points), sometimes (1 point), usually (2 points), or always (3 points). Lower scores of the patients indicated deficits of awareness in comparison with those of the caregivers.

Summed scores of SED-11Q were compared among the CDR groups using 2 × 3 repeated measures ANOVA (the patients and their caregivers in pairs, and 3 groups according to CDR). For external validation, the correlation was evaluated with SED-11Q and AQ-D scores. Considering ceiling effects in CDR 2, analyses of subitems and correlation of SED-11Q with GDS and DBD were conducted with patients only in CDR 1. All analyses were conducted using the Japanese version of SPSS for Windows version 19.0 (IBM Corp., New York, N.Y., USA). The significance was set as p < 0.05. The Ethics Board of the Gunma University School of Health Sciences approved all procedures (No. 21–27), and written informed consent was obtained from the participants.
Results

Demographic data are shown in table 1. A higher score indicates more severe symptoms. The caregiver assessments were 2.46 ± 1.85 (mean ± SD) in CDR 0.5, 6.36 ± 3.02 in CDR 1, and 9.00 ± 1.14 in CDR 2. Scores in CDR 2 were significantly higher than those in CDR 1, and those in CDR 1 were significantly higher than those in CDR 0.5. The patient assessments were 2.00 ± 1.78 in CDR 0.5, 2.55 ± 2.33 in CDR 1, and 1.33 ± 2.46 in CDR 2; there was no significant difference among the 3 groups. The discrepancy was 0.46 ± 1.61 in CDR 0.5, 3.81 ± 3.95 in CDR 1, and 7.67 ± 2.87 in CDR 2 (table 1). Concerning discrepancy, the caregiver assessment was significantly higher than the patient assessment in the CDR 1 and CDR 2 groups (p < 0.001 in both groups), but not in the CDR 0.5 group (p = 0.641). The discrepancy was significantly different among the groups (p < 0.001, fig. 2).

A correlation of the SED-11Q and the AQ-D was conducted with 43 pairs, and the scores of the evaluation using the SED-11Q were significantly correlated with those using the AQ-D: patient scores (r = 0.549, p < 0.001), caregiver scores (r = 0.646, p < 0.001), and discrepancy scores (r = 0.669, p < 0.001).

The correlation coefficients with DBD were r = 0.132 (p = 0.236) in patient scores, r = 0.524 (p < 0.001) in caregiver scores, and r = 0.349 (p = 0.001) in discrepancy scores. The correlation coefficients with GDS were r = 0.561 (p < 0.001) in patient scores, r = −0.092 (p =
**Table 1. Demographic data**

|                    | CDR 0.5 (n = 13) | CDR 1 (n = 73) | CDR 2 (n = 21) |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Age, years         | 73.4±5.3         | 80.3±5.9       | 83.4±5.9       |
| Gender (male/female)| 8/5              | 26/47          | 7/14           |
| MMSE               | 27.4±1.7         | 20.0±4.4       | 13.6±4.8       |
| SED-11Q            |                  |                |                |
| Caregivers         | 2.46±1.85        | 6.36±3.02      | 9.00±1.14      |
| Patients           | 2.00±1.78        | 2.55±2.33      | 1.33±2.46      |
| Discrepancy        | 0.46±1.61        | 3.81±3.95      | 7.67±2.87      |

With the exception of gender (number of patients) values represent mean ± SD. Values in parentheses represent the number of patients in the groups. The ages were significantly different between the groups (p < 0.001).

**Fig. 1.** SED-11Q (a) and SED-11 Qp (b). The SED-11Qp asks the same questions as the SED-11Q. However, the title was changed to avoid using the word ‘dementia’. ‘Patient Name’ and ‘Patient ID’ were changed to ‘Name’ and ‘ID’. Two additional questions about delusions and illusions in SED-11Q were not included in the SED-11Qp. The questionnaires can be completed by interview.
0.538) in caregiver scores, and $r = -0.401$ ($p = 0.005$) in discrepancy scores. An analysis of subitems was conducted with patients in CDR 1 (fig. 3). Figure 3 shows the ratio of each item in CDR 1: both caregiver and patient aware, caregiver aware and patient unaware (anosognosia or hyponosognosia), caregiver unaware and patient aware (hypernosognosia), and both caregiver and patient unaware. The ratios of cases where patients were aware and caregivers were unaware were 9.6% in difficulties in cleaning up, 12.3% in forgetting one of two items, and 12.3% in time-consuming behavior.

### Discussion

The present study showed that a short questionnaire based upon SED-11Q could reliably evaluate anosognosia in AD. This shows that the SED-11Q can serve a dual purpose in the clinical setting: caregiver assessment is useful for early detection of undiagnosed dementia, and the discrepancy between caregiver and patient assessment indicates the severity of anosognosia.

Anosognosia increases in severity with disease progression, as reported in previous studies [1, 2, 10]. In CDR 0.5, the discrepancy of the assessment between patient and caregiver was controversial [22–24], but this discrepancy was not significant in the current study.

Regarding BPSD, caregiver scores were moderately correlated with BPSD scores measured by the DBD. The correlations suggested that BPSD would be more severe as deficits become prominent in multiple domains in CDR 1. It is pointless to alert patients to their deficits, because decreased self-awareness of their deficits is one of the characteristic symptoms related to degeneration in AD. As previous studies suggested, caregivers who try to understand the meaning behind patients’ words and action could be more successful at
reducing BPSD; it is an efficient strategy for caregivers to accept the patients’ perspectives, even when their behaviors are problematical [9, 25].

The SED-11Q serves as a coping resource to encourage families to understand and accept the patients’ perspectives. A visual comparison of what was written by both patients and caregivers can have a positive effect on caregivers; many caregivers renewed their awareness regarding patients’ thoughts and feelings, when they saw the completed questionnaire of the patients. Caregivers are also expected to notice that patients might feel distressed by the self-awareness of deficits even though the awareness is partial and insufficient. The depressive mood in patients measured by GDS was modestly correlated with patient assessments, agreeing with previous studies which reported that patient complaints of cognitive deficits are correlated with depressive symptoms rather than with cognitive decline [5, 26, 27]. On counseling, each item should be considered respectively. There could be some activities or functions that patients worry about more than caregivers, hypernosognosia, although generally patients had partial and/or insufficient awareness of their deficits. As shown in figure 3, there were certain deficits of hypernosognosia that were noticed by the patients but not by the caregivers: 9.6% in difficulties in cleaning up, 12.3% in forgetting one of two items, and 12.3% in time-consuming behavior.
would feel appreciated and respected and they might be less likely to act out their frustrations in an inappropriate manner.

Nondemented subjects with depression tend to have higher marks than their caregivers. For example, one typical subject with depression, who is not included in the current study, had a score of 7 of 11, while his spouse had a score of 2 of 11 (GDS 11/15, which suggested severe depression; MMSE 29/30). The SED-11Q could serve as a screening tool to differentiate between dementia and depression, and we are now collecting more data for further analysis.

In the present study, the subjects were restricted to AD patients. However, in practical use the SED-11Q can be applied to patients with other types of dementia for the evaluation of anosognosia. In our outpatient setting, we counsel caregivers using the SED-11Q, and interventional effects will be reported.
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