Abstract. We prove a mixed curvature analogue of Gromov’s Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem for upper sectional and lower Bakry–Emery Ricci curvature bounds.

1. Introduction

A celebrated Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem of Gromov [Gro78] says that for any natural $n$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon(n)$ such that if a closed manifold $M^n$ admits a Riemannian metric of $|\sec| \leq 1$ and $\text{diam} \leq \varepsilon(n)$ then $M$ is finitely covered by a nilmanifold. This was later refined by Ruh [Ruh82] to show that $M$ must be infranil.

Here by an infranilmanifold $M$ we mean the quotient of a simply-connected nilpotent Lie group $G$ by the action of a torsion free discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ of the semidirect product of $G$ with a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$. If $M$ is compact then a finite index subgroup $\hat{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$ lies entirely in $G$ and hence $M$ is finitely covered by the homogeneous space $G/\hat{\Gamma}$. Such homogeneous spaces are called nilmanifolds.

Any closed infranilmanifold admits a metric with $|\sec| \leq 1$ and $\text{diam} \leq \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Gromov called such manifolds almost flat. The purpose of this paper is to extend Gromov’s theorem to the case where the lower sectional curvature bound is replaced by the weaker assumption of a lower Bakry–Emery Ricci curvature bound.

Let us elaborate on our motivation for considering this condition.

By a key observation of Gromov the class of complete $n$-dimensional manifolds with $\text{Ric} \geq \kappa$ is precompact in pointed Gromov–Hausdorff topology for any fixed $\kappa, n$. It is therefore natural to consider converging sequences of elements in this class and study their limits and their geometric and topological relationship to the elements of the sequence. The above class contains several natural subclasses. The most restrictive of these is manifolds with two sided sectional curvature bounds $\kappa \leq \text{sec} \leq K$. Gromov’s Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem deals with the special case when the limit is a point. A more general theory dealing with arbitrary limits was later developed by Cheeger, Fukaya and Gromov [CG86, CG90, CFG92, Ron07].

One can relax the above bounds by getting rid of the upper sectional curvature bound. The limits of manifolds in this class are Alexandrov spaces, i.e. complete inner metric spaces for which Toponogov triangle comparison holds. Manifolds that can converge to a point under a lower sectional curvature bound are called almost nonnegatively curved. These are more general than Gromov’s almost flat manifolds (in particular any nonnegatively curved closed manifold is such). While their geometry and topology is not completely understood it was shown in [KPT10] that any almost nonnegatively curved $n$-manifold virtually fibers over a nilmanifold with simply connected fibers and its fundamental groups contain a nilpotent subgroup of index $\leq C(n)$.

In the most general setting when one only assumes a lower Ricci bound the limits are so-called $\text{RCD}(\kappa, n)$ metric measure spaces. These are metric measure spaces which are infinitesimally Hilbertian and satisfy the curvature dimension condition $\text{CD}(\kappa, n)$ of Sturm–Lott–Villani. While they serve as motivation we only make very limited use of the theory of RCD spaces in the present
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paper. The reader is referred to [AGS17, Vil16, KK19, KKK19] for a review and background on RCD spaces.

The class of $\text{RCD}(\kappa, n)$ spaces contains a natural subclass which is also closed under pmGH (pointed measured Gromov–Hausdorff) convergence given by spaces which in addition are $\text{CAT}(K)$ (i.e. have $\text{curv} \leq K$ globally in comparison sense) for some fixed $K \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, in analogy with Cheeger–Fukaya–Gromov theory it’s natural to look at a more general class of $\text{RCD}(\kappa, n)$ which are also $\text{CBA}(K)$, i.e. which are $\text{CAT}(K)$ only locally. Local structure of such spaces was investigated in [KK19, KKK19]. It turned out to bear many similarities with the local structure of metric spaces with two sided sectional curvature bounds in Alexandrov sense.

Just like the class of manifolds with two sided sectional curvature bounds the class of $\text{RCD}(\kappa, N) + \text{CBA}(K)$ spaces is precompact in pmGH topology. Given the similarity of the structure theory between $\text{RCD}(\kappa, N) + \text{CBA}(K)$ spaces and its subclass of spaces with two sided Alexandrov curvature bound it is natural to wonder to what extent the convergence theory for the latter class generalizes to the former.

In the smooth settings a complete weighted Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ (where $\mathcal{H}_n$ is the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure) with a smooth function $f$ is $\text{RCD}(\kappa, N)$ iff $n \leq N$ and the Bakry–Emery Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}_{f,N}$ is bounded below by $\kappa$ [Bak94, LV09, EKS15]. Recall that the Bakry–Emery Ricci tensor $\text{Ric}_{f,N}$ is given by $\text{Ric}_{f,N} = \text{Ric} + \text{Hess} f - \frac{\text{df} \otimes \text{df}}{\text{vol}(M^n)}$. If $N = n$ then $f$ must be constant and the Bakry–Emery Ricci curvature reduces to the usual Ricci curvature.

The above discussions suggests that it is natural to study the following class of manifolds. Given $N \geq 1, \kappa, K, \varepsilon, n, f, \mathcal{H}_n \in \mathbb{R}$ consider the class $\mathfrak{M}_\kappa^{K,N}$ of complete smooth weighted Riemannian manifolds $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ satisfying

$$ (M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n) \quad \text{has } \text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq \kappa \quad \text{and } \text{sec} \leq K. $$

The class $\mathfrak{M}_\kappa^{K,N}$ is precompact in pointed measured Gromov–Hausdorff topology. Given a sequence $M_i^n \in \mathfrak{M}_\kappa^{K,N}$ pmGH converging to a space $(X, d, m)$ we wish to study the structure of this convergence, i.e. the structure of the limit spaces and the relationship between $M_i$ and $X$.

As in the situations described above a key basic case of such convergence is convergence to a point which is equivalent to having a sequence $M_i^n \in \mathfrak{M}_\kappa^{K,N}$ with $\text{diam}(M_i) \to 0$. By rescaling this is equivalent to having a sequence $(M_i^n, g_i, e^{-f_i} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ and $0 < \varepsilon_i \to 0$ such that $\text{sec} M_i \leq -\varepsilon_i$ and $\text{diam} M_i \leq \varepsilon_i$.

The main goal of this paper is to show that Gromov’s Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem generalizes to this setting. Namely, we prove

**Theorem 1.1** (Main Theorem). For any $1 < N < \infty$ there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N) > 0$ such that the following holds.

If $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ is a weighted closed Riemannian manifold with $n \leq N$, $\text{sec} \leq \varepsilon, \text{diam} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq -\varepsilon$ then $M^n$ is diffeomorphic to an infranilmanifold.

As was mentioned above in the case $n = N, f = \text{const}$ the bound $\text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq \kappa$ reduces to $\text{Ric} \geq \kappa$ which combined with the upper sectional curvature bound trivially implies that $\text{sec} \geq \kappa - (n-2)K$. In particular if $\text{sec} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\text{Ric} \geq -\varepsilon$ then $\text{sec} \geq -\varepsilon$ as $i \to \infty$. Therefore if $N = n$ in the Main Theorem then the result trivially follows from the original Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem. However, in the general case $n < N$ it’s easy to see that spaces in $\mathfrak{M}_\kappa^{K,N}$ have no uniform lower sectional curvature bounds. In Lemma 8.1 for any $N > 2$ we construct a sequence $(T^2, g_i, e^{-f_i} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ with $\text{sec}(g_i) \leq 1/i$, $\text{Ric}_{f_i,N} \geq -1/i$, $\text{diam}(g_i) \leq 1$ and minimum of sectional curvature going to $\infty$ as $i \to \infty$.

It is well known that a solvable subgroup of the fundamental group of a closed manifold of nonpositive curvature must be virtually abelian [BH99, Theorem 7.8]. Therefore if the upper sectional curvature bound in the Main Theorem is 0 then the nilpotent Lie group which is the universal cover of $M$ is abelian i.e. it’s isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. This yields

**Corollary 1.2.** For any $1 < N < \infty$ there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N) > 0$ such that the following holds.
If \((M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)\) is a weighted closed Riemannian manifold with \(n \leq N, \sec \leq 0, \text{diam} \leq \varepsilon\) and \(\text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq -\varepsilon\) then \(M^n\) admits a flat metric. In particular \(M\) is diffeomorphic to the quotient of \(\mathbb{R}^n\) by a Bieberbach group.

Let us describe the strategy of the proof of the Main Theorem. The main part of the proof is to show that under the assumptions of the theorem \(M\) is aspherical (i.e. its universal cover is contractible) and the universal cover has large injectivity radius. This follows from Theorem 5.3 (Asphericity Theorem). The proof of the Asphericity Theorem occupies the bulk of the paper. Let us note that the same statement also takes a large part of Gromov’s original proof of the Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem. Our situation is harder as the assumptions are weaker and some tools used in Gromov’s proof are not available.

A key technical result we prove first is a fibration theorem similar to the Fibration Theorem in [CFG92] under the assumptions of two-sided sectional curvature bounds. More generally, Yamaguchi showed [Yam91] that the Fibration Theorem holds under just lower sectional curvature bound. Before we state the Fibration Theorem we need the following definition.

**Definition 1.3.** A smooth map \(f: M \to N\) between smooth Riemannian manifolds is an \(\varepsilon\)-almost Riemannian submersion if for any \(p \in M\) the map \(d_pf: T_pM \to T_{f(p)}N\) is onto and satisfies that for any \(v \in T_pM\) orthogonal to \(\ker d_pf\) it holds that

\[
\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon} |v| \leq |d_pf(v)| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) |v|
\]

Note that we do not require \(f\) to be onto. If \(f\) is onto we will indicate that by a double headed arrow \(f: M \twoheadrightarrow N\). For \(p \in M\) and \(v \in T_pM\) we call \(v\) vertical if \(v \in \ker d_pf\), i.e. \(v\) is tangent to the fiber of \(f\) passing through \(p\). We call \(v\) horizontal if \(v\) is orthogonal to \(\ker d_pf\). Any \(\varepsilon\)-almost Riemannian submersion is \((1 + \varepsilon)\)-Lipschitz. Further if both \(M, N\) are complete then any curve \(\gamma\) in \(N\) starting at \(f(p)\) admits a horizontal lift \(\tilde{\gamma}\) starting at \(p\) such that \(L(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) L(\gamma)\).

In particular \(f\) is \((1 + \varepsilon)\)-co-Lipschitz, i.e. \(f(B_r(p)) \supset B_{1+\varepsilon r}(f(p))\) for all \(r\).

We will adopt the notation that \(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k \ni (\varepsilon, x) \mapsto \Theta(\varepsilon|x|) \in \mathbb{R}_+\) denotes a non-negative function satisfying that, for any fixed \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)\), \(\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Theta(\varepsilon|x|) = 0\). Similarly \(\Theta(\varepsilon|x|)\) will denote a function \(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}_+\) such that for any fixed \(x \in \mathbb{R}^k\), \(\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Theta(\varepsilon|x|) = \infty\).

We prove

**Theorem 1.4** (Compact Fibration theorem). Let \(M^n_i \to B^m_i\) in pointed Gromov–Hausdorff topology where \(B^m_i\) is a smooth closed Riemannian manifold and all \((M_i, g_i, e^{-f_i} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n) \in \mathfrak{F}^{n,N}_i\).

Let \(h_i: M_i \to B\) be \(\varepsilon_i\)-GH-approximations with \(\varepsilon_i \to 0\) as \(i \to \infty\).

Then there exist \(\delta_i \to 0\) as \(i \to \infty\) and smooth maps \(\pi_i: M_i \to B\) such that \(\pi_i: M_i \to B\) is a \(\delta_i\)-almost Riemannian submersion which is \(\delta_i\)-uniformly close to \(h_i\) for all \(i\).

Note that a proper submersion is a fiber bundle, hence the name of the theorem. As a consequence of the proof of the Main Theorem we also prove that the fibers in the Fibration Theorem are homeomorphic to infranilmanifolds (Theorem 6.2).

A key ingredient in the proof of the Main Theorem overall and of the Fibration Theorem in particular is the following observation of Gromov which is also central to the original proof of Gromov’s Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem. Given a complete manifold \((M^n, g)\) of \(\sec \leq \varepsilon\) let \(p \in M\) and let \(\exp_p: T_pM \to M\) be the exponential map. The condition \(\sec \leq \varepsilon > 0\) implies that the conjugate radius of \(M\) is at least \(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\) and hence \(\exp_p\) is a local diffeomorphism on \(B_{\varepsilon/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(0) \subset T_pM\).

We can therefore pull back the metric \(g\) from \(M\) to \(B_{\varepsilon/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(0)\). The resulting non-complete manifold \(\tilde{M} = (B_{\varepsilon/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(0) \exp_p(g))\) still has \(\sec \leq \varepsilon\) but also has injectivity radius at \(0\) equal to \(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\).

The projection map \(\exp_p: M \to M\) is a so-called pseudo-cover with the pseudo-group equal to the set of short geodesic loops at \(p\) acting as deck transformations. The advantage of working with the pseudo-cover \(\tilde{M}\) is that even if \(M\) is collapsed the pseudo-cover \(\tilde{M}\) is not collapsed. This means that all collapsing happens entirely due to the presence of short geodesic loops. This reduces the
local structure of collapsed manifolds with upper sectional and lower Bakry–Emery bounds to the study of local pseudo-covers and the corresponding pseudo-group actions.

With the Fibration Theorem at our disposal the proof of the Asphericity Theorem proceeds along the following lines.

Given a sequence \((M_i^n, g_i, e^{-f_i} \cdot H_n)\) with \(\text{sec}_{M_i} \leq \varepsilon_i, \text{Ric}^{M_i}_{f_i,N} \geq -\varepsilon_i\) and \(\text{diam}(M_i) \leq \varepsilon_i\) we rescale the sequence to have diameter 1 and pass to the limit. Working with the pseudo-covers one can show that passing to actual covers of uniformly bounded order we can assume that the limit is a flat torus \(T^{l_1}\) with \(l_1 > 0\). This implies that \(\pi_1(M_i)\) surjects onto \(\pi_1(T^{l_1}) = \mathbb{Z}^{l_1}\). Going back to the original unrescaled sequence, using the surjection \(\pi_1(M_i) \to \mathbb{Z}^{l_1}\) we can now find some finite covers \(\tilde{M}_i\) of \(M_i\) which converge to a space of diameter 1 which again must be a flat torus \(T^{l_2}\). Using the Fibration Theorem we get an almost Riemannian submersion \(\pi_i: \tilde{M}_i \to \tilde{T}\). Taking the covers corresponding to the kernel of \((\pi_i)_*\) on the fundamental group we get almost Riemannian submersions \(\tilde{\pi}_{i,1}: \tilde{M}_{i,1} \to \mathbb{R}^{q_1}\) with small fibers. Note that due to contractibility of \(\mathbb{R}^{q_1}\) the inclusion of the fibers of \(\tilde{\pi}_{i,1}\) is a homotopy equivalence. We can now repeat the same process but with the fibers of \(\tilde{\pi}_{i,1}\) instead of \(M_i\) to get some finite covers of \(\tilde{M}_{i,1}\) that converge to \(\mathbb{R}^{q_1} \times T^{q_2}\) with \(q_2 > 0\). Again taking the covers corresponding to the kernels of the induced maps on \(\pi_1\) we get covers \(\tilde{M}_{i,2}\) that converge to \(\mathbb{R}^{q_1} \times T^{q_2}\) etc.

This process will terminate after finitely many steps because the dimensions of all the tori showing up in the construction are positive. At the final step we get almost Riemannian submersions \(\pi_{i,k}: \tilde{M}_{i,k} \to \mathbb{R}^n\) with small fibers such that still the inclusions of the fibers into the total spaces are homotopy equivalences. For dimension reasons the fibers must be 0-dimensional and since they are connected they must be points.

The above procedure is formalized in the Induction Theorem (Theorem 5.1).

Once the Asphericity Theorem is proven we use a Ricci flow argument to show that the metric on a manifold satisfying the assumptions of the Main Theorem for sufficiently small \(\varepsilon\) can be deformed into an almost flat metric in the original sense of Gromov. This is done as follows.

We use the following construction due to Lott [Lot03, Lot09]. Look at the warped product metric on \(E = M \times e^{-f_i/\varepsilon}T^q\) where \(q = N - n\) (we can assume that \(N\) is an integer by increasing it by at most 1) and \(T^q\) is a standard flat torus of diameter \(\varepsilon\). Then the horizontal Ricci tensor of \(E\) is given by the Bakry–Emery Ricci tensor on \(M\). Moreover we show that our curvature assumptions on \(M\) imply certain semiconcavity of the warping function which yields that \(E\) has small diameter and almost nonnegative Ricci curvature. The Asphericity Theorem implies that large balls in the universal cover of \(E\) are Lipschitz close to the corresponding balls in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). In particular they have almost the same isoperimetric constants. Now a Ricci flow argument using Perelman’s Pseudo-locality shows that time 1 Ricci flow turns \(E\) into an almost flat manifold in Gromov’s sense. The Ricci flow preserves the warped product structure and hence we get an almost flat metric on \(M\) as well.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give background on pseudo-groups and pseudo-covers. In Section 3 we study the topology and geometry of almost flat mixed curvature manifolds with large injectivity radius. In Section 4 we prove the Fibration Theorem. In Section 5 we prove the Induction Theorem and the Asphericity Theorem. In Section 6 we prove the Main Theorem up to homeomorphism and show that the fibers in the Fibration Theorem are homeomorphic to infra-nilmanifolds. In Section 7 we prove the Main Theorem up to diffeomorphism. In Section 8 we construct an example of a sequence of mixed curvature almost flat metrics \(g_i\) on \(T^2\) such that the lower sectional curvature bound of \(g_i\) converges to \(\infty\) as \(i \to \infty\).
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2. Pseudo-covers

The following definition is due to Gromov [Gro78].

**Definition 2.1.** A pseudo-group is a set $G$ with a binary operation $\ast: G \times G \supset A \to G$ which satisfies the following properties

1. There is a unique $e \in G$ such that for any $g \in G$ the products $e \ast g$ and $g \ast e$ are defined and are equal to $g$.
2. For any $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ it holds that $(g_1 \ast g_2) \ast g_3 = g_1 \ast (g_2 \ast g_3)$ provided both products are defined.
3. For any $g \in G$ there is $h \in G$ such that $h \ast g = g \ast h = e$.

Any group is obviously a pseudo-group and more generally any subset $G$ in a group $H$ which contains the identity and is closed under taking inverses is a pseudo-group with respect to the group operation on $H$. Homomorphisms of pseudo-groups are defined in an obvious way.

Any pseudo-group $(G, \ast)$ canonically generates a group $\bar{G}$ as follows. The group $\bar{G}$ is defined to be the quotient of the free group $\langle a_g \mid g \in G \rangle$ on elements of $G$ modulo the normal subgroup $N$ generated by all relations of the form $a_{g_1} \ast a_{g_2} \ast a_{g_3}^{-1}$ whenever $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ satisfy $g_1 \ast g_2 = g_3$. There is an obvious canonical homomorphism $\varphi: G \to \bar{G}$ given by $g \mapsto a_g \mod N$. This homomorphism obviously satisfies the following universal property: Given a homomorphism $\rho: G \to H$ where $H$ is a group there is a unique group homomorphism $\bar{\rho}: \bar{G} \to H$ such that $\rho = \bar{\rho} \circ \varphi$. The map $\varphi$ need not be 1-1 (see Remark 2.6 below). However, given an element $g \in G$ if we can find a homomorphism $\rho: G \to H$ where $H$ is a group such that $\rho(g) \neq e$ then the above universal property implies that $\varphi(g) \neq e$ as well. We will make use of this simple but important fact in the proof of the Main Theorem.

Next we outline the construction of pseudo-groups and pseudo-covers that naturally arise in the context of manifolds with two sided curvature bounds. They play a key role both in the original proof of Gromov’s Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem and in the proof of the Main Theorem. This construction is explained in detail in [BK81, Section 2].

Let $(M^n, g)$ be complete with $\sec \leq K$. Let $p \in M$ and let $\exp: T_p M \to M$ be the exponential map at $p$. Since $\sec \leq K$ the conjugate radius of $M$ is infinite if $K \leq 0$ and is at least $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{|K|}}$ if $K > 0$. Set

$$\mathcal{R}_K := \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } K \leq 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{|K|}} & \text{if } K > 0 \end{cases}$$

1Some texts use a different notion of a pseudo-group.
Then exp is a local diffeomorphism on $\hat{M} = B_{R_K}(0) \subset T_p M$ and hence we can pull back the Riemannian metric from $g$ from $M$ to $\hat{M}$. From now on we will consider $\hat{M}$ with the pullback metric $\hat{g} = \exp^*(g)$. In order to avoid confusion with the exponential map of $\hat{M}$ we will denote the projection exp: $\hat{M} \to M$ by $\pi$.

Since in case $K \leq 0$ we have that $\mathcal{R}_K = \infty$ and the map exp: $T_p M \to M$ is an actual universal cover of $M$, we will be primarily interested in the case $K > 0$.

By construction we have that the injectivity radius a 0 in $\hat{M}$ is equal to $\mathcal{R}_K$. If $R < \mathcal{R}_K$ then the sectional curvature bound $\sec(M) \leq K$ implies that the boundary of the ball $\bar{B}_R(0)$ is locally convex. Thus $\bar{B}_R(0)$ is locally CAT($K$). Furthermore, Rauch comparison implies that the radial contraction towards 0 is 1-Lipschitz on $\bar{B}_R(0)$. Hence any closed curve of length $\leq 4\mathcal{R}_K = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{K}}$ in $\bar{B}_R(0)$ can be contracted to a point through curves of length $\leq 4\mathcal{R}_K$. By the globalization theorem for CAT spaces [AKP19] this implies that $\bar{B}_R(0)$ is CAT($K$) globally. In particular, any curve in $\bar{B}_R(0)$ is homotopic rel endpoints to the unique shortest geodesic connecting endpoints by a curve shortening homotopy.

Therefore any two curves in $\bar{B}_R(0)$ of length $\leq l < \mathcal{R}_K$ with the same end points are homotopic rel endpoints through curves of length $\leq l$.

**Definition 2.2.** A homotopy $\gamma_t$ of loops at $p$ is called short if all the loops $\gamma_t$ have length $< \mathcal{R}_K$. Short homotopy is obviously an equivalence relation and equivalence classes are called short homotopy classes.

We will call a loop at $p$ l-short if it has length $\leq l$. We’ll also call $\mathcal{R}_K$-short loops just short loops. Every short loop has a unique lift starting at 0 $\in \hat{M}$. More generally every short homotopy $\gamma_t$ of loops at $p$ uniquely lifts to a short homotopy $\bar{\gamma}_t$ of paths starting at 0. Discreteness of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(p)$ implies that the end points of $\bar{\gamma}_t$ are the same. This gives a map $\varphi$ from the set of short homotopy classes to the fiber over $p$ given by $\varphi([\gamma]) = \bar{\gamma}(1)$. This map is easily seen to be a bijection. Also, each short homotopy class $[\gamma]$ of short loops at $p$ contains a unique geodesic loop equal to the projection of the unique shortest geodesic from 0 to $\varphi([\gamma])$.

Given a short class $\alpha = [\gamma]$ we define its displacement $|\alpha|$ as $d(0, \varphi([\gamma]))$. Given two short homotopy classes $\alpha = [\gamma_1]$, $\beta = [\gamma_2]$ let $\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2$ be the concatenation of $\gamma_1$ followed by $\gamma_2$. It is obvious that if $|\alpha| + |\beta| < \mathcal{R}_K$ then $\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2$ is short.

**Definition 2.3.** If $|\alpha| + |\beta| < \mathcal{R}_K$ we define the Gromov product $\beta \ast \alpha$ as the short class $[\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2]$.

We can think of the Gromov product as an operation on short homotopy classes or on closed geodesic loops starting at $p$ or on elements of $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap B_{\mathcal{R}_K}(0)$.

The Gromov product is associative i.e $(\alpha_1 \ast \alpha_2) \ast \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \ast (\alpha_2 \ast \alpha_3)$ if $|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\alpha_3| < \mathcal{R}_K$ [BK81, Proposition 2.2.5]. It is also obvious that the constant short homotopy class $\epsilon$ satisfies $\epsilon \ast \alpha = \alpha \ast \epsilon = \alpha$ for any short class $\alpha$. Further if $\alpha = [\gamma]$ then the class of $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(1 - t)$ is the inverse of $\alpha$.

This means that the set $\Gamma$ of all short homotopy classes of loops at $p$ forms a pseudo-group with respect to the Gromov product in the sense of the definition above.

The pseudo-group $\Gamma$ pseudo-acts on $\hat{M}$ on the the right by the standard construction in covering space theory. This action defines a left action in the usual way by setting $\alpha \cdot x \overset{\text{def}}{=} x \cdot \alpha^{-1}$.

This left action is the action we will work with from now on. The action is only a pseudo-action because $\alpha \cdot x$ might not be defined for some pairs $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $x \in \hat{M}$. However, when all the terms are defined the usual axioms of an action hold, that is

$$e \cdot x = x, \quad (\alpha \ast \beta)(x) = \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x)$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha \cdot x$ is always defined if $|\alpha| + d(x, 0) < \mathcal{R}_K$. Further the pseudo-action is free, properly discontinuous, isometric and commutes with $\pi$. It is transitive on intersection of any fiber of $\pi$ with $B_{\mathcal{R}_K/3}(0)$.

For any $0 < R < \mathcal{R}_K$ let $\Gamma(R)$ be the set of classes in $\Gamma$ with displacement $< R$. 

Let us note here that any two loops of length \( < R \) are short \( R \)-homotopic iff they are short homotopic. Therefore the pseudo-group \( \Gamma(R) \) enjoys all the same properties with respect to \( B_R(0) \) as the whole pseudo-group \( \Gamma \) does with respect to \( \hat{M} = B_{2R}(0) \).

There is an obvious canonical homomorphism \( \rho: \Gamma(R) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p) \) which maps the short homotopy class of a short loop to its homotopy class in \( \pi_1(M, p) \). The map \( \rho \) induces a group homomorphism \( \bar{\rho}: \Gamma(R) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p) \). In general \( \bar{\rho} \) need not be either 1-1 or onto. However if \( M \) is compact then \( \pi_1(M, p) \) is well known to be generated by loops of length \( \leq 2 \text{diam} \ M \).

This immediately yields

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose \( R_K/2 > R > 2 \text{diam} \ M \). Then the canonical homomorphism \( \bar{\rho}: \Gamma(R) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p) \) is onto.

Furthermore, the following slightly stronger assumptions guarantee that \( \bar{\rho} \) is also 1-1 and hence an isomorphism.

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose \( R_K/2 > R > 5 \text{diam} \ M \). Then the canonical homomorphism \( \bar{\rho}: \Gamma(R) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p) \) is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** A detailed proof of this lemma is given in [BKS1, Proposition 2.2.7]. We reproduce the proof here as we will need its details in the proof of the Main Theorem.

Let \( W(R) = \langle a_g | g \in \Gamma(R) \rangle \) be the free group on elements of \( \Gamma(R) \). We have a canonical homomorphism \( \psi: W(R) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, p) \) which is an epimorphism by the previous lemma. We need to show that its kernel is exactly the normal subgroup \( N_R \) generated by words of the form \( a_{g_1} \cdot a_{g_2} \cdot a_{g_3}^{-1} \) whenever \( g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \Gamma(R) \) satisfy \( g_1 \cdot g_2 = g_3 \). The inclusion \( N_R \subset \ker \psi \) is obvious and we just need to show that if \( w \in \ker \psi \) then \( w \in N_R \).

Let \( c_1 \colon [0, 1] \rightarrow M \) be a loop at \( p \) representing \( w \). It is nullhomotopic by assumption. Let \( c(s, t), 0 \leq t, s \leq 1 \) be a piecewise smooth homotopy where \( c_1(s) = c(s, 1) \) and \( c_0(s) = c(s, 0) \) is the constant loop at \( p \).

The curve \( c_1 \) comes with a subdivision \( 0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \ldots < \sigma_m = 1 \) where each \( c_1|_{[\sigma_i-1, \sigma_i]} \) corresponds to a letter \( a_{\alpha_i} \) in the word \( w \) for some \( \alpha_i \in \Gamma(R) \).

Let us introduce a new subdivision point \( s \in [\sigma_i-1, \sigma_i] \). We can connect \( p \) to \( c_1(s) \) by a shortest geodesic \( g_s \). Then the closed loop \( \alpha_i \) is homotopic to the product of the loop \( \alpha_i' = c|_{[\sigma_i-1, s]} \cdot g_s \) followed by the loop \( \alpha_i'' = g_s \cdot c_1|_{[s, \sigma_i]} \). Since \( g_s \) is a shortest geodesic both of these loops are \( R \)-short and \( \alpha_i' = \alpha_i' \cdot \alpha_i'' \). Since this relation is in \( N_R \) if we replace \( a_{\alpha_i} \) by \( a_{\alpha_i'} \cdot a_{\alpha_i''} \) the resulting word \( w' \) will be equal to \( w \mod N_R \).

By uniform continuity of the homotopy \( c_t \) we can find subdivisions \( 0 = s_0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_L = 1 \) which is a refinement of \( \{ \sigma_i \} \) and \( 0 = t_0 < \ldots < t_e = 1 \) such that the curves given by restricting \( c(s, t) \) to the sides of any rectangle \( s_{i-1} \leq s \leq s_i, t_{j-1} \leq t \leq t_j \) are shorter than \( \delta < \text{diam} \ M \).

Let \( g_{i, j} \) be a shortest geodesic from \( p \) to \( c(s_i, t_j) \). Obviously, it has length \( \leq \text{diam} \ M \).

Let \( c_{s, t} \) be the curve \( s \rightarrow c(s, t_j) \) for \( s \in [s_i, s_{i+1}] \) and \( c^s \) be the curve \( t \rightarrow c(s_i, t) \) for \( t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}] \). Then each \( c_{s, t} \) is the product of curves \( g_{ij} \cdot c_{ij} \cdot g_{i+1,j}^{-1} \) each of which has length \( \leq 2 \text{diam} \ M + \delta \).

We will denote the short homotopy class of this curve by \( \beta_{ij} \).

Also let \( \gamma_{ij} \) represent the short homotopy class of the similarly defined curve \( g_{ij} \cdot c^{ij} \cdot g_{i+1,j}^{-1} \) which also has length \( \leq 2 \text{diam} \ M + \delta \) for the same reason. Then we obviously have that \( \beta_{ij} \cdot \gamma_{i+1,j} = \gamma_{i,j} \cdot \beta_{i+1,j} \). These are short relations by the assumptions on the diameter of \( M \) and therefore

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{\beta_{ij}} \cdot \alpha_{\gamma_{i+1,j}} - \alpha_{\gamma_{ij}} \cdot \alpha_{\beta_{i+1,j}} & \equiv 0 \mod N_R
\end{align*}
\]

Also, the classes \( \beta_{i,0} \) and \( \gamma_{0,j}, \gamma_{L,j} \) are all trivial because \( c(s, 0) = c(0, t) = c(1, t) = p \) for all \( s, t, s \).

By induction on \( i \) this easily gives that

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{\gamma_{0,j}} \cdot \alpha_{\beta_{0,j+1}} \cdot \alpha_{\beta_{1,j+1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_{\beta_{L,j+1}} - \alpha_{\beta_{0,j}} \cdot \alpha_{\beta_{1,j}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_{\beta_{L,j}} \cdot \alpha_{\gamma_{L,j}} & \equiv 0 \mod N_R
\end{align*}
\]

Since this is true for all \( j = 0, \ldots, e - 1 \) we get that \( w \) is equivalent to \( \alpha_{\beta_{0,j}} \cdot \alpha_{\beta_{1,j}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_{\beta_{L,j}} = 1 \mod N_R \).
Remark 2.6. While Lemma 2.5 implies that the map $\tilde{\Gamma}(R) \to \pi_1(M)$ is 1-1 it does not automatically imply that the map $\Gamma(R) \to \pi_1(M)$ is 1-1 except in the case $K \leq 0$. A counterexample immediately follows from a result of Buser and Gromoll [BGS88] originally claimed by Gromov [Gro78] that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{S}^3$ with $\sec \leq \varepsilon$ and $\text{diam} \leq \varepsilon$. This non-injectivity creates considerable difficulty in the proof of the Main Theorem as well as in Gromov’s proof of the original Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem.

3. Geometry of Large Mixed Curvature Almost Flat Manifolds

In this section we study the geometry of manifolds $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ with $\sec \leq \varepsilon^2, \text{Ric}_{f, N} \geq -(N-1)\varepsilon^2$ with small $\varepsilon$ and large injectivity radius and show that they are quantitatively close to $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular we show that their large scale geometry is close to their infinitesimal geometry. This is a key point that serves as a substitute for the lower sectional curvature bound in the proof of the Fibration Theorem.

We will need the following definitions

Definition 3.1. Given two complete Riemannian manifolds $M^n, N^n$, points $p \in M, q \in N$ and $R > 10\varepsilon > 0$ we will say that $B_R(p)$ and $B_R(q)$ are $\varepsilon$-Lipschitz-Hausdorff close if there exists an $\varepsilon$-GH -approximation $f \colon B_R(p) \to B_{R+2\varepsilon}(q)$ which is $(1+\varepsilon)$-bi-Lipschitz onto its image that is for any $x, y \in B_R(p)$ it holds that

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y)) \leq (1+\varepsilon)d(x, y)$$

Remark 3.2. Invariance of Domain Theorem easily implies that if $f \colon B_R(p) \to B_{R+2\varepsilon}(q)$ is a Lipschitz-Hausdorff approximation as above then $f(B_R(p)) \supset B_{R-2\varepsilon}(q)$.

Definition 3.3. We will say that a smooth map $f \colon M^n \to B^m$ is $\varepsilon$-linear on scale $\delta$ at $p \in M$ if for any $v \in T_p M, |v| \leq 1$ it holds

$$d(f(\exp_p(tv)), \exp_{f(p)}(td_p f(v))) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \delta \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \delta]$$

We’ll say that $f$ is $\varepsilon$-linear on scale $\delta$ on $U \subset M$ if it’s $\varepsilon$-linear on scale $\delta$ at any $p \in U$.

Note that we do not require any geodesics in the above definition to be minimizing. In particular a Riemannian covering between two complete manifolds is $\varepsilon$-linear on scale $\delta$ for any positive $\varepsilon, \delta$.

Also note that the almost linearity for a map $f \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^m$ at $p \in M$ means that for any geodesic $\gamma$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma'(0) = v \in T_p M, |v| = 1$ it holds

$$d(f(\gamma(t)), f(p) + t df_p(v)) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \delta \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \delta]$$

Remark 3.4. It is obvious from the triangle inequality that if $f \colon M \to B_1$ is 2-Lipschitz and $\varepsilon$-linear on scale $\delta$ and $\Phi \colon B_1 \to B_2$ is $\theta(\delta)$-close to an isometry in $C^3$ then $\Phi \circ f$ is $(1 + \theta(\delta)) \cdot \varepsilon$ linear on scale $\delta$. Therefore if $\delta$ is small, at the expense of slightly increasing $\varepsilon$, for the purposes of verifying almost linearity we can work with $\Phi \circ f$ instead of $f$.

In particular, observe that $(\frac{1}{2}B, q)$ converges in $C^3$ to $(\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$ as $\delta \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets. Thus the above discussion applies where as a map $\Phi$ we can take a strainer map. More precisely, for $R > 0$ let $\Phi_R \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be the approximate Buseman map $\Phi_R(x) = (R - |x - Re_1|, \ldots, R - |x - Re_m|)$. It’s easy to see that $\Phi_R \to \text{Id}$ as $R \to \infty$ in $C^3$ uniformly on compact sets. Combining this with the previous remark means that again at the expense of slightly increasing $\varepsilon$, to verify $\varepsilon$-almost linearity on scale $\delta$ we can work with $\Phi \circ f$ where $\Phi$ is a strainer map near $q$ with respect to the strainer $(a_1 = \exp_q(\epsilon_1), \ldots, a_m = \exp_q(\epsilon_m))$ where $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_m$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_q B$ and $R > 0$ is a small fixed constant $\ll \text{Injrad}(q)$ and $\delta$ is sufficiently small.

We will need the following two results from the theory of RCD spaces.

Theorem 3.5 (Splitting Theorem), [Gig13, Gig14, KK19] Let $1 \leq N < \infty$ and let $(X, d, m)$ be RCD$(0, N)$ and CAT$(0)$. Suppose $X$ contains a line. Then $(X, d)$ splits isometrically as $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. 

The Splitting Theorem holds in general without the CAT(0) assumption. This was proved by Gigli in [Gig13] (see also [Gig14]) which generalizes the Splitting Theorem for Ricci limits proved by Cheeger and Colding [CC96]. Gigli also showed that the measure naturally splits as well. However, we only need the theorem in the special case above. In this case a simple proof was given in [KK19] under the assumption that $X$ is CD(0, $N$) (rather than RCD(0, $N$)) and CAT(0).

We will also need the following result on lower semicontinuity of geometric dimension for RCD spaces. For simplicity we only state it for smooth spaces as this is the only version we will need.

**Theorem 3.6** (Lower Semicontinuity of geometric dimension). [Kit19] Let $1 \leq N < \infty$ and let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n, p)$ be a sequence of smooth weighted complete Riemannian manifolds satisfying $\text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq \kappa$ which pmGH converges to $(B^m, g, \mu, p)$ where $(B^m, g)$ is a smooth Riemannian manifold. Then $m \leq n$.

**Lemma 3.7.** For any $N > 1$ there are universal functions $\tilde{R}(\varepsilon) = \Theta(\varepsilon|N), \tilde{r}(\varepsilon) = \Theta(\varepsilon|N), \nu(\varepsilon) = \theta(\varepsilon|N)$ with $\frac{1}{\nu(\varepsilon)} > \tilde{R}(\varepsilon) \geq \tilde{r}(\varepsilon)$ such that the following holds.

Suppose $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n, p)$ is a (not necessarily complete) weighted Riemannian manifold satisfying $\text{sec} \leq \epsilon^2, \text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq -(N-1)\varepsilon^2$ and the injectivity radius at $p$ is $\geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and the ball $B_{1/e}(p)$ is compact. Then

1. The ball $B_{\tilde{R}}(p)$ is $\nu(\varepsilon)$-GH close to $B_{\tilde{R}(0)}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.
2. For any $q \in B_{\tilde{r}}(p)$ and any $x, y \in B_{\tilde{R}}(p)$ it holds that
   
   \[
   \text{the comparison angle } \angle_{xyq} \text{ is } \nu(\varepsilon)-close to the actual angle } \angle_{xqy}.
   \]
3. For any $1 \leq r < \tilde{r}$ the ball $B_r(p)$ is $\nu(\varepsilon)$-Lipschitz-Hausdorff close to $B_{\tilde{r}}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

**Proof.** By the same argument as in the construction of pseudo-covers in Section 2 the closed ball $B_{1/100\epsilon}(p)$ is CAT($\epsilon^2$). Since it has convex boundary and satisfies $\text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq -(N-1)\epsilon^2$ it is RCD($-(N-1)\epsilon^2, N$). By the Splitting Theorem this implies that $(B_{1/100\epsilon}(p), p) \to (\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ in pGH topology as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for some $k \leq N$. By semi-continuity of geometric dimension $k \leq n$.

On the other hand, let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be an orthonormal basis in $T_p M$. Consider the points $a^\pm_i = \exp_p(\pm \epsilon e_i)$. Then the CAT($\epsilon^2$) property implies that these points form an $n$-strainer at $p$ of size 1. In other words $d(a^+_i, a^-_i) = 2, d(a^+_i, p) = 1$ for all $i$ and for all $i \neq j$ it holds that $d(a^+_i, a^+_j) \geq \sqrt{2} - \theta(\varepsilon)$. Since no $\theta(\varepsilon)$-close to $\{p, a^+_i\}$ configuration of points exists in $\mathbb{R}^k$ for $k < n$ we must have that $k \geq n$.

Therefore $k = n$ and $X = \mathbb{R}^n$.  

Pointed convergence to $\mathbb{R}^n$ implies that there exists $\frac{1}{\nu(\varepsilon)} > \tilde{R}(\varepsilon) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ such that $B_{\tilde{R}(\varepsilon)}(p)$ is $\theta(\varepsilon|N)$-pGH close to $B_{\tilde{R}(\varepsilon)(0)} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now let $1 < r < \tilde{R}$. Then the logarithm map $\Psi_r: \frac{1}{r} S_r(p) \to \Sigma_r M \cong S^{n-1}$ is 1-Lipschitz and onto. Since $\frac{1}{r} S_r(p)$ is $\theta(\varepsilon)$-close to $S^{n-1}$ this in turn implies that $\Psi_r$ is a $\theta(\varepsilon)$-GH-approximation. This implies that for any $x, y \in B_r(p)$ the comparison angle $\angle_{xqy}$ is $\Theta(\varepsilon)$-close to the actual angle $\angle_{xqy}$ between the geodesics $[px]$ and $[py]$. Moreover if we take $0 < \tilde{r}(\varepsilon) < \tilde{R}(\varepsilon)$ such that $\tilde{r}(\varepsilon) \to \infty$ but $\frac{\tilde{r}(\varepsilon)}{\tilde{R}(\varepsilon)} \to 0$ (e.g. $\tilde{r} = \sqrt{R}$ will do) then the same argument applies to any $q \in B_{\tilde{r}}(p)$ and hence (2) holds for any such $q$ and any $x, y \in B_{\tilde{R}}(p)$.

This implies the following. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ be points in $B_{\tilde{R}}(p)$ which are $\theta(\varepsilon)$-close to $\tilde{R}e_1, \ldots, \tilde{R}e_n, -\tilde{R}e_1, \ldots, -\tilde{R}e_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the strainer map $\Phi$ on $M$ given by $\Phi(x) = (d(x, a_1), \ldots, d(x, a_n))$. Then (2) and the first variation formula imply that for any $0 < r < \tilde{r}(\varepsilon)$ the gradients of the components of $\Phi$ given by $\nabla \Phi_1, \ldots, \nabla \Phi_n$ are $\theta(\varepsilon)$-almost orthonormal on $B_r(p)$. By possibly making $\tilde{r}(\varepsilon)$ smaller but still very slowly going to $\infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ this gives that $\Phi$ is locally $(1 + \theta(\varepsilon))$-bi-Lipschitz. This means that the fibers of $\Phi$ are discrete. We claim that the

---

\(\text{The above argument is the only place in the proofs of the Fibration Theorem and of the Asphericity Theorem where the lower Bakry–Emery bound is used.}\)
Lemma 3.8. Let $\Phi(\tilde{z})$ be a unique lift to a curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ starting at $x_0$ of length $l \leq 1 + \theta(\varepsilon)$. Then by above we have that $B_1(\Phi(z_0)) \subset U_1 \subset B_{1+\theta(\varepsilon)}(\Phi(z_0))$. Consider the contraction of the ball $B_1(z_0)$ to $z_0$ along radial lines. This contraction lifts to a deformation retraction of $U_1$ to the fiber $\Phi^{-1}(z_0)$. Therefore $U_1$ has the same number of connected components as $\Phi^{-1}(z_0)$. On the other hand $\operatorname{diam} \Phi^{-1}(z_0) \leq \theta(\varepsilon) \ll 1$ and hence $\Phi^{-1}(z_0) \subset B_{1/2}(x_0) \subset U_1$. Since $B_{1/2}(x_0)$ is path connected this implies that $U_1$ and hence $\Phi^{-1}(z_0)$ are path connected too. Hence $\Phi^{-1}(z_0)$ is a point.

Therefore $\Phi$ is 1-1 on $B_r(p)$ and thus it’s globally and not just locally bi-Lipschitz there. This easily implies that $\Phi$ satisfies (3).

By setting $\nu(\varepsilon)$ to be the maximum of various $\theta(\varepsilon,N)$ quantities appearing above we obtain the result.

In the above proof let $A_i \subset B_{10 r}^{\theta(\varepsilon)}(a_i), i = 1, \ldots, n$ be any subsets and let us change $\Phi$ to $\Phi(x) = (d(x, A_1), \ldots, d(x, A_n))$. The same proof shows that thus redefined $\Phi$ still gives a $\theta(\varepsilon)$-Lipschitz-Hausdorff approximation from $B_r(p)$ to the corresponding ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we claim that the following holds

**Lemma 3.8.** The map $\Phi$ satisfies the following

Let $x \in B_1(p)$ and $v \in T_x M$ be unit length. Let $\gamma(t)$ be the geodesic with initial vector $v$. Then for any $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\Phi(\gamma(t))' = \Phi(\gamma(t))'_{t=0} \pm \theta(\varepsilon)$$

and in particular $\Phi$ is $\theta(\varepsilon) = \theta(\varepsilon,N)$-linear on $B_{10}(p)$ on scale 1. Let $v$ it satisfies

$$\Phi(\gamma(t)) = \Phi(x) + tD_v \Phi(x) \pm \theta(\varepsilon)$$

were $D_v \Phi = d_x \Phi(v)$ is the directional derivative of $\Phi$ at $q$ in the direction $v$ given by the first variation formula.

**Proof.** Let $h: B_{R}(p) \to B_{R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $\theta(\varepsilon)$-approximation. Let $A_i = h(A_i)$. Let $\Phi(x) = (d(x, A_1), \ldots, d(x, A_n))$ be the corresponding strainer map in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $|\Phi \circ h - \Phi| \leq \theta(\varepsilon)$ on $B_{R}(p)$. Let $y = \gamma(1)$, $\bar{x} = h(x), \bar{y} = h(y)$ and let $\bar{\gamma}(t) = \bar{x} + t(\bar{y} - \bar{x}), t \in [0, 1]$ be the constant speed geodesic from $\bar{x}$ to $\bar{y}$. From the first variation formula it’s easy to see that the lemma holds for $\Phi$ and therefore

$$\Phi(\bar{\gamma}(t)) = \Phi(\bar{x}) + tD_{\bar{x}} \Phi(\bar{x}) \pm \theta(\varepsilon)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

where $\bar{v} = \bar{y} - \bar{x}$.

Since $|h(\gamma(t)) - \bar{\gamma}(0)| = t \pm \theta(\varepsilon)$, $|h(\gamma(t)) - \bar{\gamma}(1)| = (1-t) \pm \theta(\varepsilon)$ and $|\gamma(0) - \bar{\gamma}(1)| = 1 \pm \theta(\varepsilon)$ we have that $|h(\gamma(t)) - \bar{\gamma}(t)| \leq \theta(\varepsilon)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore $|\Phi(\gamma(t)) - \Phi(\bar{\gamma}(t))| \leq \theta(\varepsilon)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Combining this with the above we get that

$$\Phi(\gamma(t)) = \Phi(x) + tD_v \Phi(x) \pm \theta(\varepsilon)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Lastly, by the first variation formula and property (2) from Lemma 3.7 we get that

$$|D_v \Phi(\bar{x}) - D_v \Phi(x)| \leq \theta(\varepsilon)$$

Combining the last two displayed formulas we get (4). Since the same result applies to $x = \gamma(t)$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$ we get (3).

\[ \square \]

Note that the map $\Phi$ in the above lemma is not smooth in general. However, by the first variation formula it has a well defined differential at every point $x$ in $B_{R/2}(p)$ which is 1-homogeneous i.e. satisfies $d_x \Phi(\lambda v) = \lambda d_x \Phi(v)$ for any $v \in T_x$ and any $\lambda > 0$. In particular, this works for maps which
are DC (this includes Φ) i.e. in local coordinates have components which are locally differences of Lipschitz semiconcave functions.

We can extend the notion of an almost Riemannian submersion to maps having this property as follows

**Definition 3.9.** Let \( f : M^n \to N^m \) be a Lipschitz map between two Riemannian manifolds such that for any \( x \in M \) it has a well defined 1-homogeneous directional derivative map \( d_x f : T_x M \to T_{f(x)} N \). We will say that \( f \) is an \( \varepsilon \)-almost Riemannian submersion if for any \( x \in M \) the differential \( d_x f \) restricted to the unit ball around the origin in \( T_x M \) is uniformly \( \varepsilon \)-close to an orthogonal projection.

With this definition it’s obvious that for any \( k \leq n \) the partial strainer map \( \Pi_k = (\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_k) : B_{10}(p) \to \mathbb{R}^k \) in Lemma 3.8 is a \( \theta(\varepsilon) \)-almost Riemannian submersion. Moreover the intrinsic metric on its fibers is Lipschitz close to the extrinsic metric. Combined with an obvious rescaling argument the above gives

**Corollary 3.10.** Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.8 for any \( k \leq n \) and any fixed \( R > 0 \) for all small \( \varepsilon \) it holds that the partial strainer map \( \Pi_k = (\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_k) : B_R(p) \to \mathbb{R}^k \) is a \( \theta(\varepsilon|R) \)-almost Riemannian submersion and \( \Pi_k^{-1}(0) \cap B_{2R}(p) \) is \( \theta(\varepsilon|R) \)-Lipschitz-Hausdorff close to \( B_{2R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \).

**Remark 3.11.** It is obvious that any two curves in \( \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \) of length \( l \) with the same end points are homotopic rel endpoints through curves of length \( l \). Therefore in the above Corollary when \( \varepsilon \) is small enough so that \( \theta(\varepsilon|R) < 1/10 \) any two curves of length \( l \leq R \) in \( \Pi_k^{-1}(0) \cap B_{R}(p) \) with the same end points are homotopic in \( \Pi_k^{-1}(0) \cap B_{2R}(p) \) rel endpoints through curves of length \( l \leq 2l \).

### 4. Fibration theorem

In this section we prove the Fibration Theorem. We will prove the following pointed version of the Fibration Theorem which allows for non-compact limits:

**Theorem 4.1 (Fibration theorem).** Let \( (M^n, p_i) \to (B^m, p) \) in pointed Gromov–Hausdorff topology where \( B^m \) is a smooth Riemannian manifold and all \( (M_i, g_i, e^{-f_i} \cdot H_n, p_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{K,N}^L \).

Fix \( R > 0 \) and let \( h_i : B_R(p_i) \to B_R(p) \) be \( \varepsilon_i \)-GH-approximations with \( \varepsilon_i \to 0 \) as \( i \to \infty \). Then there are \( \nu_i \to 0 \) smooth maps \( \pi_i : B_R(p_i) \to B \) such that for all large \( i \) it holds that

1. \( \pi_i \) is \( \nu_i \)-uniformly close to \( h_i \).
2. \( \pi_i \) is a \( \nu_i \)-almost Riemannian submersion.
3. The intrinsic metrics on the fibers \( \pi_i^{-1}(x) \) is \( (1 + \nu_i) \)-bi-Lipschitz to the extrinsic metrics for all \( x \in B_{R/2}(p) \).

We first show that the Fibration Theorem holds locally on the base.

**Proposition 4.2 (Local Fibration Theorem).** Let \( (M^n, g_i, e^{-f_i} \cdot H_n, p_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{K,N}^L \). Suppose \( (M^n, p_i) \to (B^m, p_\infty) \) in pointed Gromov–Hausdorff topology where \( B^m \) is smooth.

Let \( d_{GH}(B_l(p_i), B_1(p_i)) = \varepsilon_i \to 0 \) and let \( h_i : B_1(p_i) \to B_1(p_\infty) \) be an \( \varepsilon_i \)-GH-approximation.

Then there is \( \delta_0 = \delta_0(p_\infty) > 0 \) such that for any fixed \( 0 < \delta < \delta_0 \) there exist smooth maps \( \pi_i : B_\delta(p_i) \to B \) which for all large \( i \) satisfy

1. \( \pi_i \) is \( \theta(\varepsilon_i) \)-close to the original GH-approximation \( h_i \);
2. \( \pi_i \) is a \( \theta(\delta|N) \)-almost Riemannian submersion;
3. \( \pi_i \) is \( \theta(\delta|N) \)-linear on scale \( \delta \);
4. The intrinsic metric on the fibers \( \pi_i^{-1}(y) \) for \( y \in B_{\delta/2}(p_\infty) \) is \( (1 + \theta(\delta)) \)-bi-Lipschitz to the extrinsic metric.

For the proof we will need the following elementary lemma in Euclidean geometry which we will leave to the reader as an exercise.
Lemma 4.3. There is universal \(0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1\) such that the following holds. Let \(n \geq 1\) be an integer and let \(A, B\) be subsets in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) satisfying \(e_1 \in A, -e_1 \in B\) and \(d(A, B) = 2\). Then \(\text{diam}(A \cap B_{1+\varepsilon}(0)) \leq 5\sqrt{\varepsilon}\) and \(\text{diam}(B \cap B_{1+\varepsilon}(0)) \leq 5\sqrt{\varepsilon}\) for all \(\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0\).

Proof of the Local Fibration theorem. By rescaling we can assume that \(\sec(M_i) \leq 1, \text{Ric}_{f_i,N}(M_i) \geq -(N-1)\) and \(|\sec(B_1(p_\infty))| \leq 1\) and \(\text{Injrad}(q) \geq 1\) for any \(q \in B_1(p_\infty)\).

Let \(R_\delta = R(\delta)\) where \(R(\varepsilon)\) the function provided by Lemma 3.7.

The curvature bound \(|\sec_B| \leq 1\) implies \(\text{Ric}_B \geq -(N-1)\). Therefore by Lemma 3.7 there is \(\delta_0\) such that for all \(0 < \delta < \delta_0\) we have

\[
\frac{1}{\delta} B_\delta R_\delta(p_\infty) \text{ is } \theta(\delta)\text{-GH close to } B_{R_\delta}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^m
\]

Observe that by construction of \(\mathbb{R}_\delta\) we have that \(\delta \cdot R_\delta \leq \sqrt{\delta}\).

Next, it is obvious that if we rescale the sequence and the limit by \(1/\delta\) we still have the convergence \((\frac{1}{\delta} M_i, p_i) \to (\frac{1}{\delta} B^m, p_\infty)\). More precisely, if \(\varepsilon_i(r) = d_{GH}(B_\delta(p_i), D_r(p_i)) \to 0\) as \(i \to \infty\) then

\[
d_{GH}(\frac{1}{\delta} B_\delta R_\delta(p_\infty), \frac{1}{\delta} B_r(p_i)) = \frac{\varepsilon_i(r)}{\delta} = \theta(i|\delta, r)
\]

Let us denote \(\frac{1}{\delta} M_i\) by \(M_{i,\delta}\), \(\frac{1}{\delta} B^M_\delta(p_i)\) and \(\frac{1}{\delta} B\) by \(B_\delta\).

Note that \((M_{i,\delta}, e^{-f_i} H_n)\) has \(\varepsilon \leq \delta^2\) and satisfies \(\text{Ric}_{f_i,N} \geq -\delta^2\).

Combining (5) and (6) we get that

\[
d_{GH}(B_{R_\delta}(p_i), B_{R_\delta}(0)) = \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\delta} + \theta(\delta) = \theta(\varepsilon_i|\delta) + \theta(\delta)
\]

Let \(a_{i,\infty}^1, \ldots, a_{i,m_i}, b_{i,1}, \ldots b_{i,m_i}\) be points in \(B_{R_\delta}^M(p_\infty)\) such that they are \(\theta(\delta)\)-close to \(R_\delta e_1, \ldots, R_\delta e_m, -R_\delta e_1, \ldots, -R_\delta e_m\) in \(\mathbb{R}^m\). Lift them to \(\theta(\varepsilon_i|\delta)\)-close points \(a_{i,1}^1, \ldots, a_{i,m_i}, b_{i,1}^1, \ldots b_{i,m_i}\) in \(M_{i,\delta}\). Let \(\Phi_\infty\) and \(\Phi_i\) be the corresponding strainer maps on \(B_\delta\) and \(M_{i,\delta}\) given by \(\Phi_\infty(x) = (d(x, a_1^\infty), \ldots, d(x, a_m^\infty))\) and \(\Phi_i(x) = (d(x, a_1^i), \ldots, d(x, a_m^i))\). Here distances are measured in \(M_{i,\delta}\) and \(B_\delta\). Then \(\Phi_\infty\) is a local diffeomorphism near \(p\). Set \(\pi_i : B_{R_\delta}^{M_{i,\delta}}(p_i) \to B_\delta\) given by \(\pi_i = \Phi_\infty^{-1} \circ \Phi_i\).

Claim: The maps \(\pi_i\) satisfy the conclusions of the Proposition.

First, it is easy to see that since \(\Phi_\infty\) is \((1 + \delta)\)-bi-Lipschitz on \(B_{R_\delta}(p_\infty)\) in \(B_\delta\), the map \(\pi_i\) is \(\theta(\varepsilon_i)\)-close to \(h_i\) for large \(i\). This verifies part (1) of the Local Fibration Theorem.

The main issue is to verify the almost linearity and almost Riemannian submersion properties.

Obviously \(B_{R_\delta}^{M_i}(p_i) = B_{R_\delta}^M(p_i)\). It is also obvious that being an \(\varepsilon\)-almost Riemannian submersion is invariant under simultaneous rescaling of the domain and the target by the same constant.

Therefore, if we show that \(\pi_i : B_{R_\delta}^{M_i}(p_i) \to B_\delta\) is \(\theta(\delta)\)-almost Riemannian submersion then the same holds for \(\pi_i\) viewed as a map from \(B_{R_\delta}^{M_{i,\delta}}(p_i)\) to \(B_\delta\).

Also, \(\pi_i : B_{R_\delta} \to B_\delta\) is \(\varepsilon\)-almost linear on scale 1 iff \(\pi_i\) viewed as a map from \(B_{R_\delta}^{M_{i,\delta}}(p_i)\) to \(B_\delta\) is \(\varepsilon\)-almost linear on scale \(\delta\).

Next note that since \(\Phi_\infty\) is a \(\theta(\delta)\)-isometry on \(B_1^{R_\delta}(p_\infty)\) which is \(\theta(\delta)\)-almost linear on scale 1, it’s enough to show that \(\Phi_i\) is a \(\theta(\delta)\)-almost Riemannian submersion which is \(\theta(\delta)\)-almost linear on scale 1 on the unit ball around \(p_i\) in \(M_{i,\delta}\).

Let us fix a small \(\delta\) such that \(\theta(\delta) \ll 1\) and an \(i\) large enough so that \(\frac{\varepsilon}{{\delta}} \ll \delta\). From now on we will work with \(M_{i,\delta}\) and all distances will be measured in that space and not in \(M_i\). Since \(i\) and \(\delta\) will be fixed we will drop the \(i, \delta\) subindices and work with \(M = M_{i,\delta} = \frac{1}{\delta} M_i\) and \(\Phi = \Phi_i\).

By the choice of \(\delta\) and \(i\) and (7) we have that

\[
d_{GH}(B_{R_\delta}(p), B_{R_\delta}(0)) \leq \theta(\delta)
\]
We have a strainer map Φ: M → ℜ^n given by Φ(x) = (d(x,a_1),...,d(x,a_m)) where B_Rδ(p) is θ(δ)-close to B_{Rδ}(p) ⊂ ℜ^n and (a_1,...,a_m,b_1,...,b_m) is a strainer in B_{Rδ}(p) which is θ(δ)-close to R_δe_1,...,R_δe_m,−R_δe_1,...,−R_δe_m in ℜ^n. Also, sec M ≤ δ^2 and (M,e^{-f}H_n) satisfies Ric_{f,N} ≥ −δ^2.

We aim to prove that Φ is a θ(δ)-almost Riemannian submersion on B_1(p) which is almost linear on scale 1.

First let us "lift" Φ to the pseudo-cover at p.

Consider the map exp = exp_p: T_pM → M. Since sec M ≤ δ^2 we have that the conjugate radius of M is at least 1/δ. Recall that R_δ < 2/δ < δ/103.

Pull back the Riemannian metric via exp to the ball of B_{Rδ}(0) in T_pM. We will denote the resulting Riemannian manifold by ˆM. Here 0 denotes the origin in T_pM.

Let A_j = exp^{-1}(a_j) ∩ B_{Rδ+1}(0) and B_j = exp^{-1}(b_j) ∩ B_{Rδ+1}(0) for j = 1,...,m. Let ˆΦ: ˆM → ℜ^n be given by ˆΦ(x) = (d(x,A_1),...,d(x,A_m)).

Recall that d(a_{j_1},a_{j_2}) = √2R_δ ± θ(δ), d(b_{j_1},b_{j_2}) = √2R_δ ± θ(δ) for j_1 ≠ j_2 and all large i. Also d(a_j,b_j) = 2R_δ ± θ(δ), d(a_j,p) = R_δ ± θ(δ) and d(b_j,p) = R_δ ± θ(δ) for all j.

The local submetry property of exp implies that d(A_{j_1},A_{j_2}) ≥ √2R_δ − θ(δ), d(B_{j_1},B_{j_2}) ≥ √2R_δ − θ(δ), d(A_{j_1},B_{j_2}) ≥ √2R_δ − θ(δ) for j_1 ≠ j_2. Also d(A_j,B_j) = 2R_δ ± θ(δ), d(A_j,p) = R_δ ± θ(δ) and d(B_j,p) = R_δ ± θ(δ) for all j. Since by Lemma 3.7 the ball B_{Rδ}(0) is θ(δ)-close to the ball of the same radius in ℜ^n, Lemma 4.3 implies that

\begin{align}
\text{diam } A_j &\leq 10\sqrt{R_δ}, \text{ diam } B_j \leq 10\sqrt{R_δ} \quad \text{for all } j = 1,...,m \\
\end{align}

Furthermore, the following estimates hold

\begin{align}
&d(A_{j_1},A_{j_2}) = √2R_δ ± θ(δ), d(B_{j_1},B_{j_2}) = √2R_δ ± θ(δ), \quad (10) \\
&d(A_{j_1},B_{j_2}) = √2R_δ ± θ(δ) \quad \text{for } j_1 ≠ j_2, j_1,j_2 = 1,...,m; \quad (11) \\
&d(A_j,B_j) = 2R_δ ± θ(δ), d(A_j,0) = R_δ ± θ(δ) \quad \text{for all } j = 1,...,m. \quad (12) \\
&d(B_j,0) = R_δ ± θ(δ) \quad \text{for all } j = 1,...,m. \quad (13)
\end{align}

In other words the sets A_i, B_i, i = 1,...,m form a strainer of size R_δ.

Next observe that since exp is a local submetry it satisfies that d(x,exp^{-1}(a_j)) = d(exp(x),a_j) for x ∈ B_1(0). Also, by construction, if x ∈ B_{1/10}(0) then d(x,exp^{-1}(a_j)) = d(x,A_j) since for any y in B_{Rδ+1}(0) ∩ exp^{-1}(a_j) and x ∈ B_{1/10}(0) we have d(x,y) ≥ R_δ + 0.9 > d(0,A_j) + 0.1 > d(x,A_j). Altogether this means that for x ∈ B_{1/10}(0) it holds that d(exp(x),a_j) = d(x,exp^{-1}(a_j)) = d(x,A_j). The same holds for b_j and B_j.

Therefore we have that

\begin{align}
\hat{Φ} = Φ \circ \exp \text{ on } B_{1/10}(0).
\end{align}

Therefore, since exp is a local isometry to prove that Φ is an almost linear almost Riemannian submersion it’s enough to show that this holds for ˆΦ. But the latter immediately follows from Lemma 3.8. Indeed since A_j,B_j,j = 1,...,m is a partial strainer and B_{Rδ}(0) is θ(δ)-close to the ball of radius R_δ in ℜ^n we can complete the strainer A_j,B_j,j = 1,...,m to a full strainer A_j,B_j,j = 1,...,n of length R_δ (we can take A_j,B_j,j = m+1,...,n to be points) where the formulas (10), (11), (12), (13) hold for all j = 1,...,n. Let us extend the map ˆΦ: ˆM → ℜ^n by the same formula to ˆϕ: ˆM → ℜ^n by setting ˆϕ_j(x) = d(x,A_j) for j = 1,...,n. By constructions ˆϕ_j = ˆΦ_j for j = 1,...,m, i.e. ˆΦ = pr_m ◦ ˆϕ where pr_m: ℜ^n → ℜ^m is the canonical coordinate projection onto the first factor in ℜ^n = ℜ^m × ℜ^{n-m}.

Therefore by Lemma 3.8 ˆΦ|_{B_{1/10}(0)} (and hence Φ|_{B_{1/10}(p)}) is a θ(δ)-almost Riemannian submersion which is almost θ(δ)-linear on scale 1/10. This verifies parts (2) and (3) of the Local Fibration theorem.
Part (4) follows from Corollary 3.10.

Lastly, note that the maps $\Phi_i$ need not be smooth but we can easily smooth them out preserving their properties. For example we can change the functions $d(\cdot, a_i^j)$ in the definition of $\Phi_i$ to the functions of the form $x \mapsto \int_{B_{c}(a_i^j)} d(x, y)dv_{y}$ where $B_{c}(a_i^j)$ is a tiny ball around $a_i^j$. This approximates $\Phi_i$ by a $C^1$ map which satisfies all the properties of $\Phi_i$ claimed by the Local Fibration Theorem.

\[\square\]

Remark 4.4. In the above proof we only deal with balls of bounded size in $M_i$ and $B$. Therefore the assumption that $M_i$ be complete can be weakened to require that the closed balls $\bar{B}_i(p_i)$ be compact.

By rescaling the Local Fibration Theorem easily yields

Corollary 4.5. Let $(M_i^n, g_i, \mathcal{H}_i, p_i)$ satisfy $\sec \leq \delta_i$ and $\text{Ric}_{f_i, n} \geq -\delta_i$ with $\delta_i \to 0$.

Suppose $(M_i^n, p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$ in pointed Gromov–Hausdorff topology. Then there exist smooth maps $\pi_i: (M_i, p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$ such that the following holds.

Fix $\varepsilon, R > 0$. Let $h_i: B_R(p_i) \to B_R(0)$ be $\mu_i$-GH approximations with $\mu_i \to 0$. Then there exist $\varepsilon_i(R) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ such that the restrictions of $\pi_i$ to $B_R(p_i)$ satisfy

1. $\pi_i|_{B_R(p_i)}$ is $\varepsilon_i$-almost Riemannian submersion
2. $\pi_i|_{B_R(p_i)}$ is $\varepsilon_i$-close to the original GH-approximation $h_i$;
3. $\pi_i|_{B_R(p_i)}$ is $\varepsilon$-linear on scale $R$.

4. The intrinsic metric on the fiber $F_i = \pi_i^{-1}(0)$ is $(1+\varepsilon_i)$-bi-Lipschitz to the extrinsic metric.

Note that in the above corollary we are allowing $R$ to be large.

Corollary 4.6. Under the assumptions of Corollary 4.5 let $\exp: \hat{M}_i \to M$ be the pseudo-cover as defined in Section 2 and let $\Gamma_i$ be the corresponding pseudo-group. Let $\hat{\pi}_i = \pi_i \circ \exp$. Then for any fixed $R > 0$ the group $\Gamma_i(R)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(F_i)$ for all large $i$.

Proof. By the construction of the map $\pi_i$ in the proof of the Local Fibration Theorem, the map $\hat{\pi}_i$ is equal to the first $m$ components of a full strainer map $\Phi^i: \hat{M}_i \to \mathbb{R}^m$ which when restricted to $B_R(0)$ gives an $\varepsilon_i(R)$-Lipschitz-Hausdorff approximation to a ball of the same radius in $\mathbb{R}^n$ where $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Note that for all large $i$ we have that $R < R_i/20$. Therefore we can talk about the pseudo-group $\Gamma_i(R)$.

By construction the action of $\Gamma_i(R)$ leaves $\hat{F}_i = \hat{\pi}_i^{-1}(0) \cap B_{2R}(0) = \exp^{-1}(F_i) \cap B_{2R}(0)$ invariant. Let $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_i(R)$ be a short homotopy class of a geodesic loop $\gamma$ at $p$ of length $\leq R$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be its lift starting at $0$. The (shortest) geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}$ has endpoints in $\hat{F}_i$ but is not necessarily contained in $\hat{F}_i$. However, since $\Phi^i$ is a Lipschitz–Hausdorff approximation from $B_{2R}(0)$ to $B_{2R}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we can obviously ”push” the curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ into $\hat{F}_i$ via a homotopy rel endpoints to a $\varepsilon_i$-close curve $\hat{\gamma}$ with length $\leq (1 + 10\varepsilon_i)L(\gamma)$. Projecting down to $M$ gives a loop in $F_i$ based at $p$. Moreover a short homotopy of $\hat{\gamma}$ rel end points can be pushed into $\hat{F}_i$ as well with the same control on the length of curves in the homotopy as above.

This shows that the map $\gamma \mapsto \exp(\hat{\gamma})$ induces a well defined map $\varphi_i: \Gamma_i(R) \to \pi_1(F_i, p)$ which is obviously a homomorphism.

We claim that this map is an isomorphism for all large $i$. Taking into account that $\text{diam} F_i \ll R$ for large $i$ this follows by straightforward modifications of the proofs of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5.

\[\square\]

Next we show that if under the assumptions of the Local Fibration Theorem we have two different local approximating fibrations satisfying the conclusion of the theorem then they must be $C^1$ close and not just $C^0$ close. This will allow us to glue different local fibrations into one global fibration in the proof of the global Fibration Theorem.

Lemma 4.7. Under the assumptions of the Local Fibration theorem 4.2 suppose that for a small $\delta$ and all large $i$ we have two different maps $\pi_i, \pi'_i: B_{\delta}(p_i) \to B$ such that
(1) Both $\pi_i$ and $\pi'_i$ are $\theta(\delta|N)$-almost Riemannian submersions;
(2) Both $\pi_i$ and $\pi'_i$ are $\theta(\delta|N)$-linear on scale $\delta$;
(3) $\pi_i$ and $\pi'_i$ are uniformly $\mu_i$-close with $\mu_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$.

Then $\pi_i$ and $\pi'_i$ are $\theta(\delta|N)$-close in $C^1$ for large $i$ which more precisely means the following. Let $\Phi: B_{23}(p_\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a strainer map for the strainer $(a_1 = \exp_q(v_1), \ldots, a_m = \exp_q(v_m))$ where $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_q B$ and $r > 0$ is a fixed constant $\ll \text{InjRad}(q)$.

Then for any $x \in B_5(p_1)$ the maps $d_x(\Phi \circ \pi_i), d_x(\Phi \circ \pi'_i): T_x M_i \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are $\theta(\delta)$-close for all large $i$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\pi}_i = \Phi \circ \pi_i$ and $\hat{\pi}'_i = \Phi \circ \pi'_i$. Let $x \in B_\delta(p_i)$ and let $q = \hat{\pi}'_i(x)$. Let $w$ be any unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let $v \in T_x M_i$ be a horizontal lift of $w$ with respect to $\hat{\pi}_i$ so that $d_{\hat{\pi}_i}(v) = w$. Then $|v| = 1 \pm \theta(\delta)$. Let $\gamma: [0, \delta] \to M_i$ be a geodesic with $v = \gamma'(0)$. Then by almost linearity we have that $\delta = d(q, \gamma + \delta v) = d(p, \gamma(\delta)) \pm \theta(\delta) \cdot \delta$. Therefore the geodesic $\gamma$ is almost minimizing on scale $\delta$ in the sense that $\delta(1 \pm \theta(\delta)) = L(\gamma) = d(\gamma(0), \gamma(\delta)) \pm \theta(\delta)$. In other words

$$1 \leq \frac{L(\gamma)}{d(\gamma(0), \gamma(\delta))} \leq 1 + \theta(\delta)$$

Conversely, the same argument shows that if $\gamma$ is a constant speed geodesic that satisfies the last property then $v = \gamma'(0)$ is almost horizontal for $\pi$.\[ \]

Lifting $m$ orthonormal vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ horizontally we see for dimension reasons that a vector in $T_x M_i$ is $\theta(\delta)$-horizontal for $\pi_i$ iff it’s almost minimizing on scale $\delta$. The same argument applies to $\hat{\pi}'_i$. Therefore $\hat{\pi}_i$ and $\hat{\pi}'_i$ have $\theta(\delta)$-close vertical and horizontal spaces.

Thus, to prove that $d_{\hat{\pi}_i}$ and $d_{\hat{\pi}'_i}$ are close it’s enough to check that they are close on horizontal spaces.

Let $v \in T_x M_i$ be a unit $\theta(\delta)$-horizontal for both $\pi_i$ and $\pi'_i$ vector so that for $\gamma(t) = \exp_{x}(tv)$ we have that $d(\gamma(\delta), x) = \delta(1 \pm \theta(\delta))$. Then by almost linearity $\hat{\pi}_i(\gamma(\delta))$ is $\theta(\delta) \cdot \delta$-close to $q + \delta d_{\hat{\pi}_i}(v)$ where as before $q = \hat{\pi}_i(x)$.

But the same argument works for $\hat{\pi}'_i$. And since $\hat{\pi}'_i$ is $\nu_i$-close to $\hat{\pi}_i$ we get that $q' = \hat{\pi}'_i(x)$ is $\nu_i$-close to $q$ and $\hat{\pi}'_i(\gamma(1))$ is $\nu_i$-close to $\hat{\pi}_i(\gamma(1))$, by the triangle inequality we get that $|d_{\hat{\pi}_i}(v) - d_{\hat{\pi}'_i}(v)| \leq \theta(\delta) + 4\frac{\nu_i}{\delta}$ for large $i$.

This proves that $d_{\hat{\pi}_i}$ and $d_{\hat{\pi}'_i}$ are $\theta(\delta)$-close on the horizontal spaces and hence on the whole unit ball in $T_x M_i$. This finishes the proof of the Lemma.

Proof of the Fibration Theorem (Theorem 4.1). With the Local Fibration Theorem and Lemma 4.7 at our disposal the full Fibration Theorem follows by a standard gluing argument similar to the one in proof of the Fibration Theorem in [CFG92].\[ \]

Fix an $R > 0$ and look at a maximal $\delta$-separated net $p_{\infty,1}, \ldots, p_{\infty,l}$ in $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$ then the balls $\{B_j = B_{\frac{\delta}{300}}(p_{\infty,j})\}_{j=1,\ldots,l}$ cover $B_{2R}(p_\infty)$. By Bishop-Gromov volume comparison this cover has intersection multiplicity $\leq c(n)$ for all sufficiently small $\delta$. Look at the corresponding lifted balls $B^j_1 = B_{\frac{\delta}{300}}(p_{1,j})$ covering $B_{2R}(p_1)$. For each $j$ we have constructed an $\theta(\delta)$-almost Riemannian submersion $\pi_{1,j}: B^j_1 \to B$ which is $\nu_i$-close to the original $GH$-approximation $h_1$ where $\nu_i \leq \nu_i \to 0$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.7 the maps $\pi_{1,j}$ are $\theta(\delta)-C^1$-close to each other on overlaps for large $i$.

Then we can glue them into a global map using one of the standard center of mass constructions.\[ \]

For example, let $\{p^j_i\}_{j=1,\ldots,l}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{B^j_1\}_{j=1,\ldots,l}$ such that all the $p^j_i$ are $C(n)/\delta$-Lipschitz (such a partition of unity is easy to construct). Then consider the map $\pi_i: B_{2R}(p_i) \to B$ defined by $x \mapsto \argmin \sum_j \rho_j(x)d^2(\cdot, \pi_{i,j}(x))$. Note that if $B = \mathbb{R}^m$ then $\argmin \sum_j \rho_j(x)d^2(\cdot, \pi_{i,j}(x)) = \sum_j \rho_j(x)\pi_{i,j}(x)$. Since $B_{3R}(p_\infty)$ is compact, for all small $\delta$ the balls $B^j_1(p_\infty)$ are uniformly $\theta(\delta)-C^1$-close to the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Therefore, locally in some strainer coordinates we can view all the maps on the balls $B^j_1$ contained in a $\delta/10$-neighborhood of $p^j_1$ as maps into $\mathbb{R}^m$. Moreover, in these coordinates the map $h$ is $\theta(\delta)-C^1$-close to the map $\pi_{i,\delta}: M_i \to \mathbb{R}^m$ given by the formula $x \mapsto \sum_j \rho_j(x)d^2(\cdot, \pi_{i,j}(x))$. By an elementary
product rule calculation the Lipschitz bounds on \( \rho_i \) and \( C^1 \)-closeness on \( \pi_{i,j} \)'s on \( B_{3}(p_i') \) imply that \( \pi_{i} \) is \( 10\delta_i \) uniformly close to \( h_i \) and \( \theta(\delta)-C^1 \)-close to each \( \pi_{i,j} \) (where both maps are defined). In particular \( \pi_{i} \) is a \( \theta(\delta) \)-almost Riemannian submersion for all large \( i \).

By a diagonal argument with \( \delta_i \to 0 \) very slowly this immediately yields part (1) and part (2) of the Fibration Theorem. The proof of the part (3) is exactly the same as the proof of the corresponding part of the Local Fibration Theorem. We can lift the maps \( \pi_i \) to a pseudo-cover \( \exp_{p_i,j}, M_i \to M_i \) to \( \tilde{\pi}_{i,j} = \pi_i \circ \exp_{p_i,j} \). The lifted map \( \tilde{\pi}_i \) is \( C^1 \)-close to the local fibration map \( \hat{\pi}_{i,j} \) which by the proof of the Local Fibration Theorem can be complemented to a \( (1 + \theta(\delta_i)) \)-bi-Lipschitz map to \( \mathbb{R}^n \) on a ball of size \( \gg \varepsilon_i \). Complementing the map \( \tilde{\pi}_i \) by the same functions we still get a \( (1 + \theta(\delta_i)) \)-bi-Lipschitz map to \( \mathbb{R}^n \) which yields that the intrinsic metrics on the fibers of \( \tilde{\pi}_i \) are \( (1 + \theta(\delta_i)) \)-bi-Lipschitz to the extrinsic ones. This property obviously descends down into \( M_i \) which yields part (3) and finishes the proof of the Fibration Theorem.

\[\Box\]

Remark 4.8. In the proof of the Main Theorem we will only apply the Fibration Theorem in the situation where the base \( N \) is flat and isometric to \( T^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \) and hence locally isometric to \( \mathbb{R}^m \). In this case in the above proof we can define \( \Pi \) directly by the formula \( \Pi(x) = \sum_j \rho_j(x) \pi_{i,j}(x) \) using any local isometry to \( \mathbb{R}^m \). This formula makes sense since taking center of mass in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) commutes with isometries.

Remark 4.9. Just as in the case of the Local Fibration Theorem (see Remark 4.4) in the statement of the Fibration Theorem we can weaken the assumption of completeness of \( M_i \) to the assumption that for some \( R_i \to \infty \) the closed balls \( B_{R_i}(p_i) \) are compact.

The construction of the fibrations in the Fibration Theorem involves some flexibility. However, the homotopy type of the fibers is well defined as the following general Lemma shows.

Lemma 4.10. Let \( \pi_i, \pi'_i : (M^n_i, p_i) \to (B^m, p) \) be a sequence of \( \varepsilon_i \)-almost Riemannian submersions with diameters of fibers \( \leq \varepsilon_i \) where \( \varepsilon_i \to 0 \) as \( i \to \infty \). Then for all large \( i \) the fibers \( F_i = \pi_i^{-1}(p) \) and \( F'_i = \pi'_i^{-1}(p) \) are homotopy equivalent via a homotopy equivalence \( f_i : F_i \to F'_i \) which homotopy commutes with the inclusions \( j : F'_i \hookrightarrow M_i \) and \( j' : F_i \hookrightarrow M_i \), i.e \( j \sim j' \circ f_i \). Furthermore \( F_i \) (and hence \( F'_i \)) are connected for all large \( i \).

Proof. Fix a small \( \delta \) much smaller than the injectivity radius of \( B \) at \( p \). Let \( B_\delta = \pi_i^{-1}(B_\delta(p)) \) and \( B'_\delta = \pi'_i^{-1}(B_\delta(p)) \). Then horizontal lifts of radial contractions along geodesics starting from \( p \) give a deformation retraction of \( B_i \) onto \( B_\delta \) and also onto \( B_{3/2} \). Hence \( F_i \hookrightarrow B_{3/2} \hookrightarrow B_\delta \) are homotopy equivalences. Similarly \( F'_i \hookrightarrow B'_{3/2} \hookrightarrow B'_{3/2} \) are also homotopy equivalences. Since for large \( i \) we have that \( B_{3/2} \subset B'_{3/2} \subset B_\delta \subset B'_{3/2} \) we get that the inclusion \( B'_{3/2} \subset B_\delta \) is both surjective and injective on homotopy groups. This yields the first claim.

To see that \( F_i \) must be connected observe that \( B_\delta \supset B_{3/2}(p_i) \) for all large \( i \). Since \( B_{3/2}(p_i) \) is connected and \( B_\delta \) deformation retracts onto \( F_i \subset B_{3/2}(p_i) \) it follows that \( B_\delta \) is connected and hence so is \( F_i \).

\[\Box\]

Remark 4.11. By the above lemma and Lemma 4.7 the homotopy type of the fibers produced by the Fibration Theorem is well defined. The diffeomorphism type is also well defined but unlike the statement about the homotopy type this follows not from the conclusion of the Fibration Theorem but rather from the construction of the maps \( \pi_i \) in its proof. To see this observe that in the diagonal argument in the proof of the Fibration Theorem we take \( \delta_i \to 0 \) very slowly so that \( \nu_i \ll \delta_i \). Therefore the glued maps \( \pi_i \) satisfy an extra condition that they are \( \eta_j \)-linear on scale \( \delta_i \) for some \( \eta_j \to 0 \). Any two such maps must be \( C^1 \)-close by Lemma 4.7. This easily implies that any two different fibration maps \( \pi_i \) and \( \pi'_i \) produced by the construction in the proof of the Fibration Theorem have diffeomorphic fibers. E.g. because one can use the same gluing construction to glue them into a single fibration map which agrees with \( \pi_i \) and \( \pi'_i \) on some disjoint balls \( B_{\delta_i}(p_i) \) and
$B_δ(p'_j)$ respectively. The same argument also shows that the fibers of $π_i$ are diffeomorphic to the fibers of the local fibrations $π_{i,j}$ in the proof of the Fibration Theorem.

5. Induction Theorem

**Theorem 5.1** (Induction Theorem). Suppose $n ≤ N$ and $(M^n_i, p_i) → (ℝ^k, 0)$ in pointed Gromov–Hausdorff topology where $(M_i, g_i, e^{-f_i} · ℋ_n)$ is complete and satisfies $sec ≤ ε_i → 0$ and $Ric_{f_i,N} ≥ −ε_i$. Let $F_i = π_i^{-1}(0)$ be the fiber for the local fibration $π_i: M_i → B_i(0) ⊂ ℝ^k$ provided by the Fibration Theorem. Assume that the inclusion $F_i ⊂ M_i$ is a homotopy equivalence (this is unambiguous thanks to Lemma 4.10).

Then for all large $i$ $F_i$ is aspherical and the universal covers $(M_i, ˜p_i)$ pGH converge to $(ℝ^n, 0)$ for any $p_i$ in the fiber over $p_i$.

**Proof of the Induction Theorem (Theorem (5.1)).** The proof proceeds by reverse induction on $k$. When $k = n$ there is nothing to prove as in this case the fiber of the map $π_i: M^n_i → ℝ^n$ is a point since it’s connected by Lemma 4.10. By assumption the inclusion of the fiber into $M_i$ is a homotopy equivalence which means that $M_i$ is contractible. In particular it’s equal to its universal cover.

Induction step. Suppose $(M^n_i, p_i) → (ℝ^k, 0)$ is a contradicting sequence satisfying the assumptions of the theorem (i.e. no subsequence of it satisfies the conclusion of the theorem) and the result is already known if the limit is $ℝ^m$ with $n > m > k$. Let $π_i: M_i → ℝ^k$ be the fibration map over $B_i(0) ⊂ ℝ^k$ from the Local Fibration Theorem. By Corollary 4.5 we can choose $π_i$ to be $ε_i$-almost Riemannian submersion on $B_i(p_i)$ with $r_i → ∞$ such that the intrinsic metric on the fibers over 0 is $(1 + ε_i)$-bi-Lipschitz to the extrinsic metric. Let $F_i = π_i^{-1}(0)$ and let $λ_1 = diam(F_i)$.

Recall that $F_i$ is connected by Lemma 4.10. Let $Y_1 = \frac{1}{λ_1}M_i$.

Let us lift $π_i$ to the pseudo-cover $exp: ˜Y_i → Y_i$ at $p_i$. Let $Γ_i$ be the corresponding pseudo-group. Then by Corollary 4.6, for any fixed $R > 10$ we have that $Γ_i(R) ∼ π_i(F_i)$ for all large $i$.

By precompactness we have that $(Y_i, p_i)$ subconverges to $(Y, p_∞)$ and $(Y_i, Γ_i)$ equivariantly subconverges to $(Y, Γ)$ such that $Y/Γ = Y$. By Lemma 3.7 $Y = ℝ^n$. Note that since $ε_i → 0$ we have that any $R_{ε_i} → ∞$ (recall that $R_{ε_i}$ is defined by (2)) and hence $Γ$ is a group, rather than just a pseudo-group. Further, the maps $π_i$ which are almost Riemannian submersions remain almost Riemannian submersions after rescaling and hence subconverge to a submetry $π: Y → ℝ^k$ which by the Splitting Theorem implies that $Y$ is isometric to $F × ℝ^k$. Further, by construction $F$ is compact and has diameter 1.

Since the projection map $Y → Y$ is a submetry and lines in $Y$ lift to lines in $˜Y$, the Splitting Theorem implies that $Y ∼ Y_0 × ℝ^k$ where $G$ acts on $Y_0$ by just acting on $Y_0$ and acting trivially on the $ℝ^k$ factor. This means that $Y_0/G ∼ F$. Since $Y = ℝ^n$ we must have $Y_0 = ℝ^{n-k}$.

Let $G_0$ be the connected component of identity of $G$. Then by [FY92, Corollary 4.2] the group $G$ has virtually abelian components group $Γ = G/G_0$ and contains a finite index subgroup $G_i$ in $G$ (containing $G_0$) such that $G_i/G_0$ is free abelian of finite rank and $Y_0/G$ is a flat torus of positive dimension $m$. Then the projection map $ℝ^{n-k} → T^m$ is Riemannian submersion and hence a fiber bundle. The long exact homotopy sequence of this bundle shows that $π_0$ of the fibers must be infinite and hence $Γ$ is infinite.

The topology of the Lie group $Isom(ℝ^{n-k})$ and of its closed subgroup $G$ is the same as the one induced by the left invariant distance $d(h_1, h_2) = max_{|x|≤1} |h_1(x) − h_2(x)|$ where $h_1, h_2 ∈ Isom(ℝ^{n-k})$. Since $G$ is a Lie group it easily follows that there is $η > 0$ such that the distance between any two different connected components of $G$ is $≥ η$. Indeed, if not there exist a sequence of elements $h_j, h'_j$ in different components of $G$ with $d(h_j, h'_j) → 0$ as $j → ∞$. But by left invariance this gives $d((h'_j)^{-1}h_j, Id) → 0$ which implies that $(h'_j)^{-1}h_j ∈ G_0$ for large $j$. This is a contradiction and therefore there is $η > 0$ such that for any $h_1, h_2$ in different components of $G$ we have that
Fix an \( R > 1 \). Equation (15) implies that for all large \( i \) and for any element of \( g_i \in \Gamma_i(R) \) there is a unique coset \( g \cdot G_0 \) such that \( g_i \) is equivariantly GH-close to some element of that coset on \( B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \).

This gives a well defined map \( \rho_{i,R} \colon \Gamma_i(R) \to \Gamma \) which by uniqueness of \( \rho_{i,R} \) is a pseudo-group homomorphism. Also, since \( \Gamma_i \) converges to \( \Gamma \) equivariantly, the image of \( \rho_{i,R} \) contains \( \Gamma(R/2) \) with respect to the action of \( \Gamma \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n/G_0 \).

Choose \( R \gg 1 \) large enough so that \( \Gamma(R/2) \) contains a generating set of \( \Gamma \). Then since \( \Gamma \) is a group and not just a pseudo-group by the universal property of pseudo-groups (see section 2) we get that there is a group epimorphism \( \Gamma_i(R) \to \Gamma \) (cf. [FY92, Theorem 3.10]).

Since \( \Gamma_i(R) \cong \pi_1(F_i) \cong \pi_1(M_i) \) we get an epimorphism \( \pi_1(M_i) \to \Gamma \).

Let us now go back to the original unrescaled sequence \( (M_i, p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k, 0) \). By above \( \Gamma \) contains a normal subgroup of finite index which is free abelian of positive rank. We can pass to the cover \( \tilde{M}_i \) of \( M_i \) corresponding to this subgroup. Since the order of this cover does not depend on \( i \) it’s easy to see that \( \tilde{M}_i \) still satisfy the assumptions of the Induction Theorem. Thus without loss of generality we can assume that \( \tilde{M}_i = M_i \) and \( \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^l \) for some \( l > 0 \) to begin with. Choose any sequence of natural numbers \( m_i \) and consider the covers \( \tilde{M}_i \) of \( M_i \) corresponding to the normal subgroup \( \rho_{i}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^{|l|} \times 2m_i\mathbb{Z}) \subset \pi_1(M_i, p_i) \). We have that the covers \( u_i \colon \tilde{M}_i \to M_i \) have abelian covering groups \( H_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \). By passing to a subsequence we can assume that \( (\tilde{M}_i, H_i, \tilde{p}_i) \to (X, H, p) \). Let \( \pi_i = \pi_1 \circ u_i \) and let \( \tilde{F}_i = \pi_i^{-1}(0) = u_i^{-1}(F_i) \). Then we still have that \( \tilde{F}_i \to M_i \) is a homotopy equivalence. By choosing \( m_i \) appropriately we can make sure that \( 1 \leq \dim \tilde{F}_i \leq 2 \). By slightly rescaling the sequence we can assume that \( \dim \tilde{F}_i = 1 \) for all \( i \).

Since \( u_i \) is a Riemannian submersion we have that \( \pi_i \colon \tilde{M}_i \to \mathbb{R}^k \) have the same almost Riemannian submersion properties as \( \pi_i \). By above \( \pi_i \) converge to a submetry \( \pi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}^k \) with compact fibers over 0 of diameter 1. Therefore, by the Splitting Theorem \( X \cong K \times \mathbb{R}^k \) and \( \dim K = 1 \). Moreover, as before \( H \) acts on \( K \times \mathbb{R}^k \) diagonally and trivially on the Euclidean factor. As the limit of abelian groups the group \( H \) is a compact abelian Lie group. Further, by construction \( (K \times \mathbb{R}^k)/H = \mathbb{R}^k \) i.e. the action of \( H \) on \( K \) is transitive. This implies that \( K \) is a flat torus \( T^q \) for some \( q > 0 \).

To summarize, we have that \( (\tilde{M}_i, \tilde{p}_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^k \times T^q, 0) \) for any lift \( \tilde{p}_i \) of \( p_i \) and the almost Riemannian submersions \( \pi_i \) converge to the coordinate projection \( \pi \colon \mathbb{R}^k \times T^q \to \mathbb{R}^k \).

Since \( \mathbb{R}^k \times T^q \) is a smooth Riemannian manifold the Fibration Theorem is applicable. Hence for some \( \tilde{r}_i \) slowly converging to \( \infty \) we have that \( \Phi_i \colon B_{\tilde{r}_i}(\tilde{p}_i) \to \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{T}^q \) are \( \delta_i \)-almost Riemannian submersions with connected fibers provided by the Fibration Theorem which is also an \( \delta_i \)-GH approximation to \( B_{r_i}(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times T_q \). Here \( \delta_i \to 0 \). Further let \( \Pi_i = \pi \circ \Phi_i \) and let \( F'_i = \Pi_i^{-1}(0) \). By construction we have that \( \Phi_i \colon F'_i \to T^q \) is a \( \delta_i \)-almost Riemannian submersion with connected fibers and in particular a fiber bundle since both the domain and the target are closed manifolds.

We have that both \( \Pi_i \) and \( \pi_i \) are \( \delta_i \)-almost Riemannian submersions and both \( \Pi_i \) and \( \pi_i \) converge to \( \pi \). Note that the diameters of the fibers of \( \Phi_i \) go to 0. Hence by adjusting \( \delta_i \) if necessary we can assume that diameters of the fibers of \( \Phi_i \) are \( \leq \delta_i \).

Therefore the fibers \( F'_i = \Pi_i^{-1}(0) \) and \( F_i = \pi_i^{-1}(0) \) are Hausdorff close in \( M_i \) and by the same argument as in Lemma 4.10 there is a homotopy equivalence \( F'_i \to F_i \) which homotopy commutes with the inclusions of \( F_i \) and \( F'_i \) into \( M_i \). Since both \( F_i \) and \( F'_i \) are connected, from the Fibration Theorem we have an epimorphism \( \rho_i \colon \pi_1(F_i) \cong \pi_1(F'_i) \to \pi_1(T^q) = \mathbb{Z}^q \). Let \( M'_i \) be the cover of \( M_i \) corresponding to \( \ker \rho_i \). The maps \( \Phi_i \colon M_i \to \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{T}^q \) lift to the maps \( \Phi'_i \colon M'_i \to \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{T}^q \). The lifted maps remain \( \delta_i \)-almost Riemannian submersions with \( \delta_i \)-small fibers on \( B_{\tilde{r}_i}(\tilde{p}_i) \) where \( \tilde{p}_i \) is any lift of \( p_i \). Since \( \tilde{r}_i \to \infty \) and \( q > 0 \) we have constructed a cover \( M'_i \) of \( M_i \) which pointed converges to a Euclidean space of dimension \( > k \). Hence the induction assumption applies to \( M'_i \).
This finishes the proof of the Induction Theorem.

Remark 5.2. Since in the proof of the Induction Theorem we deal with pointed convergence we don’t actually need the manifolds $M_i$ to be complete. As in the case of Local and Global Fibration Theorems (see remarks 4.4 and 4.9) it’s enough to know that the closed balls $B_{R_i}(p_i)$ are compact fo some $R_i \to \infty$. Alternatively, one can assume that $M_i$ are complete but the curvature bounds only hold on $B_{R_i}(p_i)$.

Observe that an $\varepsilon$-almost Riemannian submersion $\pi: M_1^n \to M_2^n$ between two connected complete manifolds of the same dimension is locally $(1+\varepsilon)$-bi-Lipschitz. Further, if the fibers are small relative the injectivity radius of the target then by Lemma 4.10 the fibers are connected and hence are points. That means that $\pi$ is $(1+\varepsilon)$-bi-Lipschitz globally and not just locally.

Therefore by an argument by contradiction the Induction Theorem applied to $k = 0$ together with the Fibration Theorem immediately yield

**Theorem 5.3** (Asphericity Theorem). Given $N > 1$ there exist $\theta(\varepsilon|N) \to 0$ and $R(\varepsilon|N) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ such that if $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ with $n \leq N$ satisfies $\sec \leq \varepsilon, \text{diam} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq -\varepsilon$ then $M$ is aspherical and every ball of radius $R(\varepsilon)$ in the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ is $\theta(\varepsilon|N)$-Lipschitz-Hausdorff close to the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the same radius.

**Remark 5.4.** In the setting of the Asphericity Theorem Klingenberg’s Lemma applied to the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ implies that the injectivity radius of $\tilde{M}$ is $\geq \Theta(\varepsilon|N)$.

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM UP TO HOMEOMORPHISM

In section 7 we will derive the Main Theorem from the Asphericity Theorem using a Ricci flow argument. However, if one is only interested in the proving the Main Theorem up to homeomorphism then a much easier argument can be given using the Asphericity Theorem and a combination of several known results. We will explain how in the current section. We will also show that the fibers in the Fibration Theorem are homeomorphic to infranilmanifolds.

First we need the following Lemma

**Lemma 6.1.** Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold satisfying $f \geq 0, \sec_M \leq \varepsilon$ and $\text{Ric}_{f,N} M \geq -\varepsilon$ for some $N \geq n$.

Then $e^{-f/N}$ is $\theta(\varepsilon|N)$-concave.

**Proof.** By [Proposition 6.8][KKK19] there is a $C = C(N)$ such that if $(X, d, v \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ with $v \leq 1$ is CAT(1) and RCD(−1, N) then $u^{1/N}$ is $C(N)$-concave. The claim of the lemma follows by rescaling.

**Proof of the Main Theorem up to homeomorphism.** By the Asphericity Theorem there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N)$ such that if $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ is a weighted closed Riemannian manifold with $n \leq N$ and $\sec \leq \varepsilon, \text{diam} \leq \varepsilon$ and $\text{Ric}_{f,N} \geq -\varepsilon$ then $M$ is aspherical. By increasing $N$ by at most 1 we can assume that $N$ is an integer. Let $q = N - n$. We can assume $q \geq 1$ since when $q = 0$ as was the explained in the introduction the Main Theorem reduces to Gromov’s Almost Flat Manifolds Theorem.

Given such $M$ let $u = e^{-f/q}$. We can rescale the weight $u$ to have $\max u = 1$. Then by Lemma 6.1 $u^{1/N}$ is $\theta(\varepsilon|N)$-concave. This together with the fact that $\text{diam}_M \leq \varepsilon$ easily implies that $u$ is almost constant in $C^1$ i.e.

$$1 - \theta(\varepsilon|N) \leq u \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u| \leq \theta(\varepsilon|N).$$

Further, since $q < N$ by $\theta(\varepsilon)$-concavity of $u^{\theta(N)}$, the chain rule and (16) we get that $u$ is also $\theta(\varepsilon|N)$-concave. In particular

$$\Delta u \leq \theta(\varepsilon|N)$$

(17)
Let $T^2_\varepsilon = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the square flat torus of diameter $\varepsilon$. Consider the warped product $(E, h) = \mathcal{M} \times_u T^2_\varepsilon$ with $h = q + u^2 ds^2$ where $ds^2$ is the canonical flat metric on $T^2_\varepsilon$.

Then by the O’Neill formulas [O’N83] the Ricci tensor of $\mathcal{M} \times_u T^2_\varepsilon$ has the following form. Let $X, Y$ be horizontal and $V, W$ are vertical tangent vectors at a point in $E$.

\begin{align}
\text{Ric}(X, Y) &= \text{Ric}_\mathcal{M}^T(X, Y) - \frac{q}{u} \text{Hess}_u(X, Y) = \text{Ric}_\mathcal{M}^T(X, Y) \\
\text{Ric}(X, V) &= 0 \\
\text{Ric}(V, W) &= \text{Ric}^\mathcal{M}(V, W) - \langle V, W \rangle_\mathcal{M} \cdot (\frac{\Delta u}{u} + \frac{q - 1}{u^2} ||\nabla u||^2_\mathcal{M})
\end{align}

Since $T^2_\varepsilon$ is flat and hence $\text{Ric}^\mathcal{M}(V, W) = 0$ the assumptions of the theorem together with inequalities (16) and (17) imply (cf. [Lot03, Theorem 3]) that $E$ satisfies

$$\text{Ric} \geq -\theta(\varepsilon |N|).$$

It also obviously has diam $\leq 2\varepsilon$.

By the Margulis Lemma [KW, Theorem 1] if $\varepsilon$ is chosen small enough then $\pi_1(M \times T)$ is virtually nilpotent. Hence the same is true for $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is aspherical, by a combination of known results this implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is homeomorphic to an infranilmanifold. Indeed. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is aspherical $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ is torsion free virtually nilpotent of rank $n$. By a result of Lee and Raymond [LR85] any such group must be isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact infranilmanifold. Now the claim follows by the Borel conjecture which asserts that the homeomorphism type of a closed aspherical manifold is determined by its fundamental group. The Borel conjecture is open in general but it is known to hold for virtually nilpotent fundamental groups as we explain below.

In dimensions above 4 the Borel conjecture for virtually nilpotent groups is the main result of Farrell and Jones [FJ88], building on earlier work by Farrell and Hsiang [FH83]. The use of Siebenmann periodicity in that paper was later simplified by Pedersen [Ped03].

Freedman [Fre84] showed that virtually solvable (and in particular virtually nilpotent) groups are "good" for the purposes of surgery theory in dimension 4. Hence the topological surgery exact sequence exists for manifolds with these fundamental groups, and the methods of [FJ88] and [Ped03] apply to prove the Borel conjecture in dimension 4 for virtually solvable groups.

The 3-dimensional case is an immediate consequence of Perelman’s solution of the geometrization conjecture. Lastly, the 2-dimensional case easily follows from the classification of closed 2-manifolds.

The above proof easily generalizes to show

**Theorem 6.2.** Let $(M^m_i, p_i) \to (B^m, p)$ in pointed Gromov–Hausdorff topology where $B^m$ is smooth and compact and all $(M_i, g_i, e^{-f_i}\mathcal{H}_n) \in \mathfrak{R}_{K,N}^m$. Let $\pi_i: M_i \to B$ be the almost Riemannian submersions provided by the Fibration Theorem. Then for all large $i$ the fibers $F_i = \pi_i^{-1}(p)$ are homeomorphic to compact infranilmanifolds.

**Proof.** Fix a small $0 < \delta \ll \text{Injrad}(B)$. Then $(B_\delta(p_i), p_i) \to B_\delta(p, p)$. By rescaling by $\lambda_i \to \infty$ very slowly we get that $(\lambda_i B_\delta(p_i), p_i) \to (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$ and $\lambda_i$ diam $F_i$ still goes to 0. By Remark 5.2 the Induction Theorem still applies to this convergence even though $\lambda_i B_\delta(p_i)$ is not complete. This gives that $F_i$ is aspherical for all large $i$. It’s easy to deform the Riemannian metrics on $\lambda_i B_\delta(p_i)$ to be complete and agree with the original metrics on $\lambda_i B_{\delta/2}(p_i)$. Let $N_i$ be the resulting complete Riemannian manifolds. Note that we do not require any curvature bounds on the new metrics outside $\lambda_i B_{\delta/2}(p_i)$. Let $E_i = N_i \times_u T^2_\varepsilon$ where $q = N - n$ (we can again assume that $N$ is an integer) $u_i = e^{-f/\delta}$ and $\varepsilon_i \to 0$. Then as before we get that $\text{Ric}_{E_i} \geq -\nu_i = 0$ on $B_{R_i}(q_i)$ where $q_i = (p_i, 1) \in N_i \times T^2_\varepsilon$ and $R_i = \lambda_i \cdot \delta/100 \to \infty$. Even though the lower Ricci bound on $E_i$ doesn’t hold globally the Margulis Lemma [KW, Theorem 1] still applies in this situation at $q_i$. It says that for some universal $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N)$ the image of $\pi_1(B_\varepsilon^E(q_i))$ in $\pi_1(E_i)$ is virtually nilpotent. By the Fibration Theorem this image is isomorphic to $\pi_1(F_i \times T^2) \cong \pi_1(F_i) \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ and therefore $\pi_1(F_i)$ is
virtually nilpotent for all large $i$. Since $F_i$ is aspherical this again implies that $F_i$ is homeomorphic to a closed infranilmanifold by the same argument as before.

\[ \square \]

Remark 6.3. It should certainly be true that in the above theorem the fibers are diffeomorphic to infranilmanifolds and not just homeomorphic. However, at the moment we only have a proof of this fact in the special case when $B$ is a point, i.e. in the setting of the Main Theorem. The proof uses a Ricci flow argument from the next section. It’s possible that that proof can be generalized to the general setting of Theorem 6.2, however, that would require more work. As it is, the above proof of Theorem 6.2 is the only one currently at our disposal. In particular, Theorem 6.2 does not follow from the Main Theorem.

We do note that in general it is known [FKS13] that for $n \neq 4$ a finite cover of a smooth $n$-manifold which is homeomorphic to an infranilmanifold is diffeomorphic to a nilmanifold. Thus for $n - m \neq 4$ the diffeomorphism statement in the above theorem holds for finite covers of the the fibers $F_i$.

7. Smoothing via Ricci flow

With Asphericity Theorem 5.3 in hand we can show that Ricci flow turns the warped products $E = M \times e^{-t/\varepsilon} T_{\varepsilon}^d$ constructed in the previous section into almost flat manifolds in classical sense in uniform time which easily implies the Main Theorem. The Ricci flow claim follows from the proof (but not the statement) of the following theorem due to Huang, Kong, Rong and Xu [HKRX, Theorem A].

**Theorem 7.1.** [HKRX, Theorem A] For any natural $n > 1$ and real $v > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, v)$ such that if $(M^n, g)$ is a closed Riemannian manifold satisfying $\operatorname{Ric}_M \geq -1, \operatorname{diam}(M) \leq \varepsilon$ and $\operatorname{vol}(B_1(\overline{p})) \geq v$ for some point $\overline{p}$ in the universal cover $\overline{M}$ of $M$ then $M$ is diffeomorphic to a compact infranilmanifold.

For reader’s convenience we present a direct short proof not relying on Theorem 7.1. It uses a somewhat different argument for the crucial upper diameter bound than that in [HKRX, Lemma 1.11] which in turn relied on [LC, Lemma 2.10].

Our proof also does not use the main result of the previous section (that the Main Theorem holds up to homeomorphism).

**Theorem 7.2.** For any integer $N > 1$ there exist $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(N), c(N) > 0$ such that the following holds.

For any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ if $(M^n, g, e^{-f} \cdot \mathcal{H}_n)$ is a weighted closed Riemannian manifold with $\operatorname{sec} \leq \varepsilon, \operatorname{diam} \leq \varepsilon, n < N$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_f, N \geq -\varepsilon$ then $(E = M \times e^{-t/\varepsilon} T_{\varepsilon}^d, h)$ where $q = N - n$ admits a Ricci flow $h_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(E, h_1) \leq c(N)$ and $|\operatorname{Rm}(E, h_1)| \leq \theta(\varepsilon|N|)$. In particular, when $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small $(E, h_1)$ (and hence $(M, g_1)$) is almost flat in Gromov’s sense. In particular $M$ is diffeomorphic to an infranilmanifold.

We will need Perelman’s Pseudolocality Theorem. Perelman proved it for closed manifolds in [Per02]. It was later shown to hold for complete manifolds in [CTY11] (cf. [CCG+10]).

**Theorem 7.3** (Perelman’s Pseudolocality). Given natural $n > 1$ for any $A > 0$ there exist $\delta_0 > 0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the following holds. Suppose we have a smooth solution to the Ricci flow $(M^n, g_t), 0 \leq t \leq (\varepsilon r)^2$ a complete solution of the Ricci flow with bounded curvature, where $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, r > 0$. Let $x_0 \in M$. Suppose scalar curvature satisfies

\[ S(x, 0) > -\frac{1}{r^2} \]

for any $x \in B^r_{\varepsilon_0}(x_0)$

and the $\delta_0$-almost Euclidean isoperimetric inequality holds in $B^r_{\varepsilon_0}(x_0)$.

Then we have

\[ |\operatorname{Rm}(x, t)| \leq \frac{A}{t} + \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_0 r)^2} \]
for all \( x \in B^{m}_{\varepsilon r}(x_{0}) \) and \( 0 < t < (\varepsilon r)^{2} \).
Furthermore for all \( x \in B^{m}_{\varepsilon r}(x_{0}) \) and \( 0 < t < (\varepsilon r)^{2} \) and any \( \rho < \sqrt{t} \) it holds that
\[
\text{vol}_{t} B_{\rho}(x) \geq c(n)\rho^{n} \quad \text{where } c(n) \text{ is some universal constant.}
\]

We will also need the following distance distortion estimate due to Hamilton

**Theorem 7.4.** [Ham95, Theorem 17.2][KL08, Corollary 27.16]
Let \((M^{n}, g(t))\) be a Ricci flow such that \( g(t_{0}) \) is complete and satisfies \( \text{Ric}_{t_{0}} \leq K(n-1) \) for some \( K \geq 0 \). Then for any \( x, y \in M \) we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_{0}} \text{dist}_{t}(x, y) \geq -c(n)\sqrt{K}
\]
for some universal constant \( c(n) \).

**Proof of Theorem 7.2.** Let \( u = e^{-f/q} \). As in Section 6 we consider the warped product \((E, h) = M \times u T_{0} \). Consider the Ricci flow \( h_{t} \) on \( E \) given by \( \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -2\text{Ric}_{h} \).
As was shown by Lott [Lot10, Lot09] remains a warped product metric \((E, h) = (M, g_{t}) \times e^{-f/t/q} \)
\( T_{\varepsilon} \) where \( g_{t}, f_{t} \) evolve by a coupled system
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial g_{t}}{\partial t} &= -2\text{Ric}_{g_{t}} f_{t}, \quad N \\
\frac{\partial f_{t}}{\partial t} &= \Delta f_{t} - |\nabla f_{t}|^{2}_{g_{t}}
\end{align*}
\]

We do not make direct use of this system other than using the fact that \( h_{t} \) remains a warped product metric for all \( t \).

Next, as in Section 6, rescale \( u \) to have \( \max u = 1 \). Then \( u \) is almost constant in \( C^{1} \) by (16). Combined with the Asphericity Theorem 5.3 this implies that there is \( R(\varepsilon) \to \infty \) such that for any \( \tilde{p} \in \tilde{E} \) the ball \( B_{R}(\tilde{p}) \) is \( \theta(\varepsilon) \)-Lipschitz-Hausdorff close to the corresponding ball in \( \mathbb{R}^{N} \). In particular its isoperimetric constant is \( \theta(\varepsilon) \)-close to the Euclidean one. Fix \( 0 < A \leq 1 \). Let \( \varepsilon_{0}(A), \delta_{0}(A) \) be provided by the Pseudolocality Theorem. We have that for all small \( \varepsilon \) any ball \( B_{R(\varepsilon)}(x) \) in \( \tilde{E} \) satisfies the \( \delta_{0}(A) \)-Euclidean isoperimetric inequality and \( \text{Scal}_{\tilde{E}} \geq -\theta(\varepsilon) \). By possibly making \( R(\varepsilon) \) smaller but still very slowly going to infinity as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) we can assume that \( \frac{1}{R(\varepsilon)} > \theta(\varepsilon) \).

Therefore Pseudolocality Theorem applies with \( r = R(\varepsilon) \) if \( \varepsilon \) is small enough.
Let \( T_{\varepsilon, A} = (\varepsilon_{0}(A)R(\varepsilon))^{2} \). Note that for any fixed \( A \) we have that \( T_{\varepsilon, A} \to \infty \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).
Let \( T \) be the maximal time interval of existence of \( h_{t} \). Suppose \( T < T_{\varepsilon, A} \).

By pseudo-locality for any \( x \in \tilde{E} \) and \( 0 < t < T \) we have that
\[
|Rm(x, t)| \leq \frac{A}{t} + \frac{1}{T_{\varepsilon, A}}
\]
Since this holds for arbitrary \( x \in E \) we have that \( \limsup_{t \to T_{\varepsilon, A}} \sup_{E} |Rm(x, t)| < \infty \). This implies that the Ricci flow \( h_{t} \) can be extended past \( T \). Therefore \( T \geq T_{\varepsilon, A} \) for all small \( \varepsilon \).

Again by pseudolocality we have that for any \( 0 < t < T_{\varepsilon, A} \) and any \( \rho < \sqrt{t} \) it holds that
\[
\text{vol}_{t} B_{\rho}(x) \geq c_{1}(N)\rho^{N}
\]
For any fixed \( A \) we have that for all small \( \varepsilon \) it holds that \( \frac{1}{T_{\varepsilon, A}} \leq A \).
By (22) we have that
\[
|Rm(x, 1)| \leq 2A \quad \text{for any } x \in \tilde{E} \quad \text{and all small } \varepsilon.
\]
Applying Hamilton’s distance distortion estimate (Theorem 7.4) this gives that for any \( x \in \tilde{E} \) and any \( 0 < t \leq 1 \) and all small \( \varepsilon \) it holds

\[
\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} - d_t(x,y) \geq \frac{c_2(N)}{\sqrt{t}}
\]

Integrating this in \( t \) from 0 to 1 gives

\[
d_t(x,y) \geq d_0(x,y) - c_2(N)
\]

for any \( x, y \in \tilde{E} \) provided \( \varepsilon \) is small enough. Without loss of generality \( c_2(N) \geq 1 \).

Let us denote \( 10c_2(N) \) by \( l \). Fix \( A = 1 \). Then for all small \( \varepsilon \) we have that \( T_{\varepsilon,1} > 100 \). Therefore by (23) for any \( x \in \tilde{E} \) and \( t = 1 \) it holds that

\[
\text{vol}_1(B^1_t(x)) \geq c_1(N).
\]

Let \( U = B_l(p) \) for some \( p \in \tilde{E} \). Recall that \( \text{diam} E < 2\varepsilon \). Since \( r \gg 2\varepsilon > \text{diam} E \) we have that \( \pi(U) = E \) where \( \pi : \tilde{E} \to E \) is the universal covering map. Let \( V = B_{10l}(p) \). Since \( B_{R(\varepsilon)}(p) = \theta(\varepsilon) \)-Lipschitz-Hausdorff close to \( B_{R(\varepsilon)}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \), for any \( x \in V \) there is a topological \((N-1)\) sphere \( \hat{S}_l(x) \) which is \( \theta(\varepsilon) \)-close to the metric sphere \( S_l(x) \) and which separates \( E \) into two connected components.

For any \( y \in \hat{S}_l(x) \) by the distortion estimate (26) it holds that \( d_1(x,y) \geq l - \theta(\varepsilon) - c_2(n) > 0.8l \). Since \( S_l(x) \) separates \( E \) this implies that \( B^0_{0.8l}(x) \) is completely contained in the bounded component of \( E \) \( S_l(x) \) which is contained in \( B^0_{1,1l} \). Thus, for any \( x \in V \) we have

\[
B^1_{0.8l}(x) \subset B^0_{1,1l}(x)
\]

Recall that under the Ricci flow \( \frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}_i^t = -\text{Scal}_i d \text{vol}_i \). Since the minimum of scalar curvature on \( E \) does not decrease along the Ricci flow we have that \( \text{Scal}(x,t) \geq -1 \) for all \( x \in \tilde{E}, t \geq 0 \). Hence \( \frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}_i(V) \leq \text{vol}_i(V) \) for all \( t \) and therefore \( \text{vol}_i(V) \leq e^t \text{vol}_i(0) \) and in particular \( \text{vol}_1(V) \leq 3 \text{vol}_0(0) \). Since \( \text{Ric}^0 \geq -1 \), by absolute volume comparison at time 0 we get that \( \text{vol}_1(V) \leq c_3(N) \) for some universal constant \( c_3(N) \). Suppose \( \text{diam}_1(U) \geq m \cdot 10l \) for some integer \( m \). Here and in what follows the diameter is measured with respect to the ambient metric.

Since \( U \) is connected this implies that \( U \) contains \( m \) points \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \) such that the balls \( B^1_{0.8l}(x_i), i = 1, \ldots, m \) are disjoint.

By (28) we have that each \( B^1_{0.8l}(x_i) \) is contained in \( B^0_{1,1l}(x_i) \) which is contained in \( V \). Therefore\( \text{vol}_1(\cup_i B^1_{0.8l}(x_i)) \leq \text{vol}_1(V) \leq c_3(N) \).

On the other hand \( 0.8l \geq 1 \) and therefore \( \text{vol}_1(B^0_{0.8l}(x_i)) \geq c_1(N) \) by (27). Since all these balls are disjoint, combining the last two estimates we get

\[
m \cdot c_1(N) \leq \text{vol}_1(\cup_i B^1_{0.8l}(x_i)) \leq \text{vol}_1(V) \leq c_3(N), \quad m \leq \frac{c_3(N)}{c_1(N)}
\]

and hence

\[
\text{diam}_1(U) \leq \left( \frac{c_3(N)}{c_1(N)} + 2 \right) 10l
\]

Since \( \pi(U) = E \) this implies that

\[
\text{diam}_1(E) \leq \left( \frac{c_3(N)}{c_1(N)} + 2 \right) 10l
\]
Conjecture 7.5. For any holds in this generality. We conjecture that it does.

empty any such space is a smooth manifold. It is therefore natural to wonder if the Main Theorem boundary of dimension \( \leq \) that that if \((X, d, m)\) is RCD\((\kappa, N)\) and CAT\((K)\) with \(N < \infty\) then it’s a topological manifold with boundary of dimension \( \leq \) N and the manifold interior is a smooth \( C^1 \)-manifold with a \( C^{0} \cap BV \) Riemannian metric. Further, a geometric boundary \( \partial X \) of such space \( X \) was defined and was shown to be equal to the manifold boundary \( \partial X \). As these results are local they apply in equal measure to spaces which are \( \text{RCD}(\kappa, N) + \text{CBA}(K) \) with \( N < \infty \). By above when the boundary is empty any such space is a smooth manifold. It is therefore natural to wonder if the Main Theorem holds in this generality. We conjecture that it does.

Conjecture 7.5. For any \( 1 < N < \infty \) there exists \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(N) \) such that the following holds.

If \((X, d, m)\) is an RCD\((\varepsilon, N)\) space such that \((X, d)\) is CBA\((\varepsilon)\) and \( \partial X = \emptyset \) and \( \text{diam}(X) \leq \varepsilon \) then \( X \) is diffeomorphic to an infranilmanifold of dimension \( \leq N \).

The proofs of the Induction Theorem and of the Asphericity Theorem go through with minimal changes in this setting. However, generalizing the Ricci flow part of the proof would require more work due to the low regularity of the initial Riemannian metric. We fully expect this to be possible however.

8. Almost flat mixed curvature metrics on \( T^2 \) without uniform lower sectional curvature bounds

The aim of this section is to prove the following

Lemma 8.1. There exists a sequence of weighted metrics \((T^2, g_i, f_i)\) such that for any \( N > 2 \) it holds \( \sec(g_i) \leq 1/i, \text{Ric}(f_i, N) \geq -\varepsilon_i(N), \text{diam}(T^2, g_i) \leq 10 \) where \( \varepsilon_i(N) \to 0 \) as \( i \to \infty \) and such that \( \min \sec(g_i) \to -\infty \) as \( i \to \infty \).

This shows that the assumptions of the Main Theorem do not imply any uniform lower sectional curvature bounds on \( M \). The example we construct is for illustrative purposes and the material of this section is not needed for the rest of the paper.

Proof. Let \( 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \). Let \( g_0 \) be the canonical metric on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Let \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon \colon [0, 1] \to [0, \infty) \) be a piecewise smooth function such that \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(0) = 0, \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \) for \( 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon^2 \), \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon \) for \( \varepsilon^2 < x \leq 0.99 \) and \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x) = 0 \) for \( 0.99 \leq x \leq 1 \). It’s easy to smooth it out near \( \varepsilon^2 \) and \( 0.9 \) to get a \( C^\infty \) function \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon \colon [0, 1] \to [0, \infty) \) such that

1. \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = \tilde{h}_\varepsilon \) on \([0, \varepsilon^2/2]\) and \([4\varepsilon^2, 0.9]\);
2. \( |\tilde{h}_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \varepsilon \) and \( |\tilde{h}_\varepsilon'(x)| \leq \varepsilon \) for all \( x \);
3. \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon'' \geq 0 \) on \([0, 1/2]\)
4. \( |\tilde{h}_\varepsilon'(x)| \leq \varepsilon, |\tilde{h}_\varepsilon''(x)| \leq \varepsilon \) for \( x \geq 4\varepsilon^2 \)
5. \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon'' \leq \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \) for \( 0 \leq x \leq 3\varepsilon^2 \)
6. \( \tilde{h}_\varepsilon'' \leq \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \) for all \( x \).

Next, consider \( \varepsilon x^2 + \tilde{h}_\varepsilon \). It’s easy to extend it to \( 1, \infty \) and smooth it out near \( 1 \) such that the resulting function \( h_\varepsilon \colon [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is \( C^\infty \) and satisfies

1. \( h_\varepsilon = \varepsilon x^2 + \tilde{h}_\varepsilon \) on \([0, 3/4]\)
2. \( |h_\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon, |h_\varepsilon'| \leq 10\varepsilon, |h_\varepsilon''| \leq 10\varepsilon \) for \( x \geq 10\varepsilon^2 \);
3. \( h_\varepsilon(x) = 0 \) for \( x \geq 2 \).

Note that by construction \( ||h_\varepsilon||_{C^2} \leq \varepsilon \) on \([10\varepsilon^2, \infty)\). Also \( h_\varepsilon \) is convex on \([0, 3/4]\).

Let \( f_\varepsilon \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) be given by \( f_\varepsilon(x, y) = h_\varepsilon(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \). Then by construction \( f_\varepsilon \) is \( C^\infty \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and has the following properties.
(1) On $B_{c^2/3}(0)$ it holds that $f_\varepsilon(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} + \varepsilon\right)(x^2 + y^2)$
(2) $|\text{Hess}_{f_\varepsilon}| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$.
(3) $\text{Hess}_{f_\varepsilon} \geq -20\varepsilon \cdot g_0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$
(4) $f_\varepsilon$ has support in $B_2(0)$
(5) $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{C^2} \leq 20\varepsilon$ outside $B_{4c^2}(0)$
(6) $f_\varepsilon$ is strictly convex on $B_{3/4}(0)$.

Let $\varphi_\varepsilon = \frac{f_\varepsilon}{1000}$. Let $g_\varepsilon = e^{2\varphi_\varepsilon} g_0$. Look at the weighted Riemannian manifold $M_\varepsilon^2 = (\mathbb{R}^2, g_\varepsilon, e^{-f_\varepsilon} d\text{vol}_{g_\varepsilon})$.

Let us compute its sectional curvature and Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature. We use the same computations as in [KK19, Example 6.8].

Recall that given a Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)$ if we change the Riemannian metric conformally $\tilde{g} = e^{2\varphi} g$ then for any smooth function $f$ on $M$ its hessian changes by the formula

$$\tilde{\text{Hess}}^\tilde{g}(V, V) = \text{Hess}^g(V, V) - 2(\nabla\varphi, V)\langle \nabla f, V \rangle + |V|^2 \cdot \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla f \rangle$$

here and in what follows $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ are the inner products with respect to $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ respectively.

Also, recall that when $n = 2$ Ricci tensors of $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ are related as follows

$$\tilde{\text{Ric}}^\tilde{g}(V, V) = \text{Ric}^g(V, V) - \Delta \varphi |V|^2$$

Then for any $N > 2$ the weighted $N$-Ricci tensor of $(M^2, \tilde{g}, e^{-f} d\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}})$ is equal to

$$\tilde{\text{Ric}}^{\tilde{g}}_N(V, V) = \text{Ric}^g(V, V) + \text{Hess}^g(V, V) - \frac{2\langle \nabla f, V \rangle^2}{N - 2} = \text{Ric}^g(V, V) - \Delta \varphi |V|^2 + \text{Hess}^g(V, V) - 2(\nabla \varphi, V)\langle \nabla f, V \rangle + |V|^2 \cdot \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla f \rangle - \frac{(\nabla f, V)^2}{N - 2}$$

Let us apply the above with $\varphi = \varphi_\varepsilon$, $f = f_\varepsilon$.

First, equation (30) tells us that $\sec(M_\varepsilon) = -\Delta \varphi_\varepsilon$ By (6) we have that $\sec_{M_\varepsilon} \leq 0$ on $B_{3/4}(0)$ and by (5) we have that $\sec_{M_\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon$ outside $B_{c^2}(0)$. Altogether this means that $\sec_{M_\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon$ everywhere.

Lastly let us examine the Bakry–Emery Ricci tensor of $M_\varepsilon$. Since $\varphi_\varepsilon$ and $f_\varepsilon$ are $2\varepsilon$-close to 1 in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the last 3 terms in (31) are small for small $\varepsilon$ and unit $V$. The first term vanishes since $g_0$ is flat.

On $B_{c^2/3}$ we have that $\text{Hess}_{f_\varepsilon}(V, V) \geq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} |V|^2$ by (1). Also on the same ball $\Delta \varphi_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}$ by (2). This means that $\text{Hess}_{f_\varepsilon}(V, V) - \Delta \varphi_\varepsilon |V|^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon}$ on $B_{c^2/3}$. Also, outside $B_{c^2/2}$ we have that $\text{Hess}_{f_\varepsilon}(V, V) \geq -20\varepsilon |V|^2$ by (3). Also, outside the same ball $|\Delta \varphi_\varepsilon| \leq 20\varepsilon$. Altogether this means that $\text{Hess}_{f_\varepsilon}(V, V) - \Delta \varphi_\varepsilon |V|^2 \geq -40\varepsilon$ on all of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Plugging this into (31) we get that the Bakry–Emery Ricci curvature of $M_\varepsilon^2$ satisfies $\text{Ric}^{\tilde{g}}_{f_\varepsilon, N} \geq -c_1 \cdot \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ for some universal constants $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$.

Since $f_\varepsilon \equiv 1, \varphi_\varepsilon \equiv 1$ outside $B_2(0)$ we can use them to produce a weighted metric with the same sectional and Bakry–Emery bounds on $T^2$ of diameter $\leq 10$. Since this is possible for any $\varepsilon > 0$ taking an appropriate $\varepsilon_1 \to 0$ yields the Lemma. \qed
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