Linearly polarized gluons inside an unpolarized proton contribute to the transverse momentum distributions of (pseudo)scalar particles produced in hadronic collisions, such as Higgs bosons and quarkonia with even charge conjugation ($\eta_c$, $\eta_b$, $\chi_{c0}$, $\chi_{b0}$). Moreover, they can produce azimuthal asymmetries in the associated production of a photon and a $J/\psi$ or a $\Upsilon$ particle, in a kinematic configuration in which they are almost back to back. These observables, which can be measured in the running experiments at the LHC, could lead to a first extraction of both the polarized and the unpolarized gluon distributions and allow for a study of their process and energy scale dependences.
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1. Introduction

The momentum distribution $g(x)$ of unpolarized gluons, with collinear momentum fraction $x$ inside an unpolarized proton, has been investigated widely, both theoretically and experimentally. Its knowledge is of fundamental importance because it underlies most of the reactions which are currently being investigated at the LHC. Within the framework transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization, this distribution is a function of the gluon transverse momentum $p_T$ as well. Moreover, gluons can be linearly polarized, even if their parent proton is unpolarized, because of their spin-orbit couplings.

The unpolarized and polarized TMD gluon distributions are so far experimentally unknown, although several processes have been suggested to measure them. One possibility would be to look at azimuthal asymmetries for dijet or heavy quark pair production in electron-proton collisions at a future Electron-Ion Collider. For similar observables in hadronic collisions TMD factorization is expected to be
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broken due to the presence of both initial and final state interactions\(^4\). A process for which the problem of factorization breaking is absent is \(pp \to \gamma\gamma X\)^5. However this reaction suffers from a huge background from \(\pi^0\) decays and contaminations from quark-induced channels.

In the following, after providing a formal definition of gluon TMD distributions in terms of QCD operators, we show how they could be probed in Higgs boson and heavy quarkonium production at the LHC. For the latter process, the extraction of gluon distributions should not be hampered if the two quarks that form the bound state are produced in a colorless state already at short distances.

2. Definition of gluon TMDs for unpolarized hadrons

Parton correlators describe the hadron \(\rightarrow\) parton transitions. They are defined on the light front LF, \(\xi \cdot n = 0\), where \(n\) is a four-vector conjugate to the hadron momentum \(P\). After expanding the gluon momentum as \(p = xP + p_T + p^-\), the correlator for an unpolarized proton can be written as

\[
\Phi^{\mu\nu}(x, p_T) = \frac{n_\rho n_\sigma}{(p \cdot n)^2} \int \frac{d(\xi \cdot P)}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\xi \cdot p} \left\langle P \left| \text{Tr} \left[ F^{\mu\rho}(0) F^{\nu\sigma} (\xi) \right] \right| P \right\rangle \bigg|_{\xi \cdot n = 0}
= -\frac{1}{2x} \left\{ g^{\mu\nu} f_1^g(x, p_T) - \left( \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{M_p^2} + g^{\mu\nu} \frac{p_T^2}{2M_p^2} \right) h_{1-g}^g(x, p_T^2) \right\},
\]

where gauge links have been omitted. In Eq. (1), \(F^{\mu\nu}\) is the gluon field strength tensor, \(g^{\mu\nu}\) is defined as \(g^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - P^\mu P^\nu / P \cdot n - n^\mu P^\nu / P \cdot n\), \(p_T^2 = -p_T^2\) and \(M_p\) is the proton mass. The gluon correlator can therefore be parametrized in terms of two independent TMD distributions: the unpolarized one is denoted by \(f_1^g(x, p_T^2)\), while \(h_{1-g}^g(x, p_T^2)\) is the helicity-flip distribution of linearly polarized gluons, which satisfies the model-independent positivity bound\(^6\)

\[
\frac{p_T^2}{2M_p^2} |h_{1-g}^g(x, p_T^2)| \leq f_1^g(x, p_T^2).
\]

Being \(T\)-even, \(h_{1-g}^g\) is nonzero even in absence of initial and final state interactions. However, as any other TMD distribution, \(h_{1-g}^g\) might receive contributions from such interactions, which can render it nonuniversal.

3. The Higgs transverse momentum distribution

We consider the inclusive hadroproduction of a Higgs boson,

\[
p(P_A) + p(P_B) \rightarrow H(q) + X,
\]

with the four-momenta of the particles given between brackets. Within the framework of TMD factorization, the cross section can be written as

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{2s} = \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3 2q_0^4} \int dx_a dx_b d^2p_a d^2p_b \int d^4\delta (p_a + p_b - q) \times \Phi^{\mu\nu}_{\gamma g}(x_a, p_{aT}) \Phi^{\rho\sigma}_{\gamma g}(x_b, p_{bT}) \sum_{\text{colors}} \mathcal{A}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{A}_{\rho \sigma} (p_a, p_b; q),
\]
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where \( s = (P_A + P_B)^2 \) denotes the total energy squared in the hadronic center-of-mass frame, while \( \mathcal{A} \) is the hard scattering amplitude for the dominant gluon-gluon fusion subprocess \( g(p_a) + g(p_b) \to H(q) \). We take all quark masses to be zero, except for the top quark mass \( M_t \), and neglect electroweak corrections. Therefore the Higgs boson can couple to the gluon only via a triangular top quark loop. When the transverse momentum of the Higgs boson is small, \(|q_T| \ll M_H\), and in the limit \( M_t \to \infty \), the cross section has the following structure:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d y d^2 q_T} = \frac{\pi}{576} \frac{M_H^2}{s v^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2 \left\{ C[f^g_1 f^g_1] + C[w_0 h^\perp_1 h^\perp_1] \right\},
\]

(5)

where \( y \) is the rapidity of the Higgs boson along the direction of the incoming protons and \( v \approx 246 \text{ GeV} \) is the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field. The two different contributions to the cross section, due to the unpolarized and linearly polarized gluon distributions, are depicted in Fig. 1. The convolution of two TMD distributions \( f \) and \( g \) is defined as

\[
C[w f g] \equiv \int d^2 p_{\alpha T} \int d^2 p_{\beta T} \delta^2(p_{\alpha T} + p_{\beta T} - q_T) w(p_{\alpha T}, p_{\beta T}) f(x_{a,b}, p^2_{\alpha T}) g(x_{b,a}, p^2_{\beta T}),
\]

(6)

with \( x_{a,b} = M_H e^{\pm y}/\sqrt{s} \) and the transverse weight given by

\[
w_0 = \frac{1}{2M_H^2} \left[ (p_{\alpha T} \cdot p_{\beta T})^2 - \frac{1}{2} p_{\alpha T}^2 p_{\beta T}^2 \right].
\]

(7)

In the following, we assume that the gluon distributions have a simple Gaussian dependence on transverse momentum. Namely,

\[
f^g_i(x, p_T^2) = f^g_i(x) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( \frac{p_T^2}{\langle p_T^2 \rangle} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{p_T^2}{\langle p_T^2 \rangle} \right),
\]

(8)

where \( f^g_i(x) \) is the collinear gluon distribution and the width \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) is taken to be independent of \( x \) and the energy scale set by \( M_H \), while \( h^\perp_i \) has the form

\[
h^\perp_i(x, p_T^2) = \frac{M_H^2 f^g_i(x) 2(1 - r)}{\pi \langle p_T^2 \rangle^2} \frac{1}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{p_T^2}{\langle p_T^2 \rangle} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{p_T^2}{\langle p_T^2 \rangle} \right),
\]

(9)
which satisfies the bound in Eq. (2), although it does not saturate it everywhere, and 0 < r < 1. The resulting transverse momentum distribution of the Higgs boson,

\[
\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dy dq_T^2} = \frac{C[f^0_1 f^0_1]}{\int dq_T^2 C[f^0_1 f^0_1]} [1 + R(q_T^2)] ,
\]

(10)

with \( \sigma = \int_0^{\infty} dq_T^2 \, d\sigma \) and

\[
R(q_T^2) = \frac{C[w_0 h_1^+ g h_1^+ g]}{C[f^0_1 f^0_1]} ,
\]

(11)

is presented in Fig. 2 (right panel) for \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle = 7 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and two different values of the parameter r. The ratio R measures the relative size of the contribution by linearly polarized gluons and it is shown separately in the left panel of the figure. Its double node structure is a characteristic feature of the Gaussian model adopted.

4. Transverse momentum distributions of \( C = + \) quarkonia

The calculation of the cross section for the process

\[
p(P_A) + p(P_B) \rightarrow Q(q) + X ,
\]

(12)

where Q is a heavy quark-antiquark bound state with even charge conjugation, \( C = + \), is very similar to the one outlined in the previous section for inclusive Higgs production. The amplitude \( A \) in Eq. (4) now refers to the dominant subprocess \( g(p_a) + g(p_b) \rightarrow Q(q) \) and is evaluated at order \( \alpha_s^2 \) within the framework of the color-singlet model. Color octet contributions are expected to be negligible, according to nonrelativistic QCD arguments\(^9\). For small transverse momentum, \( q_T^2 \ll M_Q^2 \),
tributions for process $pp \rightarrow X$ have recently been established at next-to-leading order for the process $pp \rightarrow \eta_c X^{10}$, via its $pp \rightarrow \eta_c X^{10}$ decay channel, is strongly suppressed for higher angular momentum quarkonium states. Our numerical estimates are presented in Fig. 3 and show that, in principle, the $q_T$-distributions for scalar and pseudoscalar quarkonia are modified in different ways by linearly polarized gluons. In particular, the distributions for $\eta_Q$ and $\chi_{Q0,2}$ ($Q = c, b$) are given by

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(\eta_Q)} \frac{d\sigma(\eta_Q)}{dy dq_T^2} = \frac{C[f_0^q f_0^q]}{\int dq_T^2 C[f_0^q f_0^q]} [1 - R(q_T^2)] ,$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{Q0})} \frac{d\sigma(\chi_{Q0})}{dy dq_T^2} = \frac{C[f_0^q f_0^q]}{\int dq_T^2 C[f_0^q f_0^q]} [1 + R(q_T^2)] ,$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(\chi_{Q2})} \frac{d\sigma(\chi_{Q2})}{dy dq_T^2} = \frac{C[f_0^q f_0^q]}{\int dq_T^2 C[f_0^q f_0^q]} .$$

(13)

It turns out that the cross sections for scalar and pseudoscalar quarkonia are modified in different ways by linearly polarized gluons. In particular, the distributions for $\chi_{c,b,0}$ are similar to the one for a (scalar) Higgs boson discussed above. Polarization effects contribute with a negative sign to the distributions of $\eta_{c,b}$, while they are strongly suppressed for higher angular momentum quarkonium states. Our numerical estimates are presented in Fig. 3 and show that, in principle, the $q_T$-distributions for (pseudo)scalar quarkonia could be used to probe $h_{1g}^T$, while $f_1^q$ can be accessed by looking at $\chi_{c,b,2}$. Moreover, a comparison among the different spectra could help to cancel out uncertainties.

Since particles resulting from a $2 \rightarrow 1$ scattering process typically have a small transverse momentum and are mostly lost along the beam pipe at collider facilities like the LHC, forward detectors like the LHCb are required. In this respect, the first measurement of inclusive $\eta_c$ hadroproduction$^{10}$, via its $p\bar{p}$ decay channel, is very encouraging. Finally, from the theoretical point of view, we point out that TMD factorization has recently been established at next-to-leading order for the process $pp \rightarrow \eta_{c,b} X^{11}$, but not for $pp \rightarrow \chi_{c,b} X^{12}$. 

![Graph showing transverse momentum distributions for different C = + quarkonia as a function of q_T at rapidity y = 0. Results are obtained using the input distributions in Eqs. (8)-(9), with $q_T^2 = 1$ GeV^2 and two different values of r: r = 2/3 (left) and r = 1/3 (right).]
5. C = − quarkonium production in association with a photon

Polarized and unpolarized TMD gluon distributions could be also accessed through the reaction

\[ p(P_A) + p(P_B) \rightarrow Q(P_Q) + \gamma(P_\gamma) + X \],

where now Q is a C = − quarkonium (J/ψ or Υ) produced almost back to back with a photon. The momentum imbalance of the pair in the final state, \( q_T = P_Q + P_\gamma \), is small, but not the individual transverse momenta of the two particles. Hence no forward detector is needed in this case. In this particular configuration, the process is expected to be dominated by color-singlet contributions, hence TMD factorization should be applicable.

We find that the resulting cross section can be written in the following form,

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dQdYd^2q_Td\Omega} = \frac{4\alpha_s^2\alpha^2e_Q^2|R_0(0)|^2}{3M_Q^2} \frac{Q^2 - M_Q^2}{sQ^3D} \left\{ F_1 C \left[ f_{g_1} f_{g_1}^* \right] + F_3 C \left[ w_3 f_{h_1}^{\perp g} + (x_a \leftrightarrow x_b) \right] \cos 2\phi + F_4 C \left[ w_4 h_1^{\perp g} h_1^{\perp g} \right] \cos 4\phi \right\},
\]

with Q and Y being, respectively, the invariant mass and the rapidity of the pair. Similarly to \( q_T \), these quantities are measured in the hadronic center-of-mass frame, while the solid angle \( \Omega = (\theta, \phi) \) is measured in the Collins-Soper frame. This is defined as the frame in which the final pair is at rest, with the \( \hat{x}\hat{z} \)-plane spanned by \( (P_A, P_B) \) and the \( \hat{x} \)-axis set by their bisector. In Eq. (15), \( R_0(0) \) is the quarkonium radial wave function calculated at the origin and \( e_Q \) is the heavy quark charge in
units of the proton charge. The factors $F_i$ and the denominator $D$ are given by

\[
F_1 = 1 + 2\gamma^2 + 9\gamma^4 + (6\gamma^4 - 2)\cos^2 \theta + (\gamma^2 - 1)^2 \cos^4 \theta, \\
F_3 = 4\gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta, \\
F_4 = (\gamma^2 - 1)^2 \sin^4 \theta, \\
D = [(\gamma^2 + 1)^2 - (\gamma^2 - 1)^2 \cos^2 \theta]^2,
\]

with $\gamma \equiv q^2/M_Q$. The explicit expressions for the transverse weights are

\[
w_3 = \frac{q_\perp^2 p_{\perp T}^2 - 2(q_{\perp T} \cdot p_{\perp T})^2}{2M_p^2 q_\perp^2}, \quad w_4 = 2 \left[ \frac{p_{\perp T} \cdot p_{\perp T} - (p_{\perp T} \cdot q_T)(p_{\perp T} \cdot q_T)}{2M_p^2 q_\perp^2} \right]^2 - \frac{p_{\perp T}^2 p_{\perp T}^2}{4M_p^4},
\]

and the light-cone momentum fractions are $x_{a,b} = \exp[\pm Y] Q/\sqrt{s}$.

By measuring the following three observables,

\[
S^{(n)}_{qT} = \int \frac{d\phi \cos(n\phi)}{d\Omega} \int \frac{d\sigma}{dq_\perp^2 d^2 q_T d\Omega} q_\perp^2 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \frac{d\sigma}{d^2 q_T d\Omega} d\Omega
\]

with $n = 0, 2, 4$, and the $q_\perp^2$ integration in the denominator up to $Q^2/4$, we are able to single out the three terms in Eq. (15). We obtain

\[
S^{(0)}_{qT} = \frac{C[f_1 f_1 \gamma]}{\int dq_\perp^2 C[f_1 f_1 \gamma]}, \\
S^{(2)}_{qT} = \frac{F_3 C[w_3 f_1^2 h_1^{+g} + (x_a \leftrightarrow x_b)]}{2F_1 \int dq_\perp^2 C[f_1^2 f_1^2 \gamma]}, \\
S^{(4)}_{qT} = \frac{F_4 C[w_4 f_1^{+g} h_1^{+g}]}{2F_1 \int dq_\perp^2 C[f_1^2 f_1^2 \gamma]}.
\]

We provide numerical estimates for $\Upsilon + \gamma$ production in a kinematic region where color octet contributions are suppressed, as illustrated in Fig. 4. Our results, obtained adopting different models for the TMD distributions, are presented in Fig. 5. It turns out that the size of $S^{(0)}_{qT}$ should be sufficient to allow for an extraction of $f_1^g$ as a function of $q_T$. On the contrary, $S^{(2)}_{qT}$ and $S^{(4)}_{qT}$ are quite small and one would need to integrate them over $q_T^2$, i.e., up to $Q^2/4$, to have an experimental evidence of a nonzero $h_1^{+g}$. 

---

Fig. 5. Estimates for the observables $S^{(0)}_{qT}$, $S^{(2)}_{qT}$ and $S^{(4)}_{qT}$ given in Eq. (20) for the process $pp \rightarrow Q\gamma X$ as a function of the momentum imbalance of the pair, $q_T$, in the kinematic region defined by $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, $Q = 20$ GeV, $Y = 0$, $\theta = \pi/2$, $x_a = x_b \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$. 

---

The divided columns appear to have been divided for better readability, and each column contains equations and text integrated with the equations. The context suggests that the document is discussing the calculation and measurement of observables in the context of high-energy physics, particularly focusing on the kinematic behavior of certain processes involving QCD and acoplanar $\gamma$ production.
Conclusions

Within a TMD factorization approach, we have calculated the transverse momentum distributions for the inclusive hadroproduction of Higgs bosons and $C = +$ quarkonia. We find that the linear polarization of gluons inside unpolarized protons modifies these observables in a very characteristic way, leading to different modulations of the transverse spectra of scalar ($H$, $\chi_{c0}$, $\chi_{b0}$) and pseudoscalar ($\eta_c$, $\eta_b$) particles, depending on their parity. On the contrary, the distributions of $\chi_{c2}$ and $\chi_{b2}$ are not affected. Such measurements do not require any angular analysis and could be performed by the LHCb Collaboration or at the proposed fixed-target experiment AFTER@LHC\textsuperscript{16,17}. Moreover, a first determination of the polarized and unpolarized TMD gluon distributions could come from the study of, respectively, azimuthal asymmetries and transverse spectra in $pp \rightarrow J/\psi(Y) \gamma X$ at the LHC. We have checked that yields are large enough to perform these analyses using already existing data at the center-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and $8$ TeV\textsuperscript{13}.
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