Antimicrobial Activity of Methanolic and Aqueous Extract of *Rhodomyrtus tomentosa* Leaves against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*
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Abstract

Food poisoning is one of the riskiest illnesses caused by an organism like bacteria, viruses and parasites, which can contaminate food at any stage of food production. Most reports are associated with bacterial contamination through the food preparation process. Researcher nowadays attempts to discover a safer agent to use in food preparation as a food preservative agent. Thus, the potential of *Rhodomyrtus tomentosa* leaves as an antimicrobial agent was explored in this study. It was tested against two bacteria which are *Staphylococcus aureus* (gram-positive) and *Escherichia coli* (gram-negative). Antimicrobial activity of methanol and aqueous *R. tomentosa* leaves extract was compared using gram staining, bacterial identification, antimicrobial sensitivity testing (AST), minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC), minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) and statistical analysis. The disc diffusion technique was used for AST where the mean area of inhibition methanol and aqueous extract against *S. aureus* was 18 mm and 16 mm respectively, whereas there was no area of inhibition for *E. coli*. MIC was done to determine the minimum concentration of the extract needed to inhibit the bacteria. After that, MBC was performed to confirm the previous MIC result. Statistical analysis was done by conduct it through SPSS software program. Then, the result for *S. aureus* is obtained from finding its *p*-value. Statistically, a significant difference between the two bacteria was analyzed by using independent t-test. A result of *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered significantly different as one another. Statistical analysis showed no significant difference between methanol and aqueous extract on antimicrobial activity. In conclusion, both aqueous and methanol leaves *R. tomentosa* yielded similar antibacterial activity which susceptible to *S. aureus* and can be used safely toward foodborne pathogen.
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INTRODUCTION

Food poisoning is one of the riskiest illnesses caused by an organism like bacteria, viruses and parasites, which can contaminate food at any stage of food production. Most reports are associated with bacterial contamination through the food preparation process. Researcher nowadays attempts to discover a safer agent to use in food preparation as a preservative agent. Most of the food poisoning reports are as linked with bacterial contamination through the food preparation process. Adding a synthetic antimicrobial agent to food as a preservative is one of the alternatives to suppress the microbial activity. Despite the proven efficiency, repeated usage of the synthetic antibiotics has resulted in the accumulation of chemical residues in food and food chain, acquisition of microbial resistance towards the applied synthetic antibiotics. Over the last two decades of examples, bacterial infections caused by Salmonella enteritidis, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and newer food borne pathogens have become increasingly resistant to empirical antimicrobial agents.

Because of such concerns, efforts have been narrowed on developing potentially effective, safer and natural origin food preservatives. The utilization of plant extracts as antimicrobial agents in food preservation is much needed in these contexts. Plant extracts contain different bioactive compounds that play a key role in treating illnesses and even killing bacteria. The Malaysian rainforest is among the richest flora in the world where it encompasses several thousand species of vascular plants where it includes medicinal plant and herbs. R. tomentosa, also known as rose myrtle or “kemunting” in Malay, is a flowering plant in the family Myrtaceae, is one of the medicinal plant identified to have an antimicrobial property due to its phytochemical properties. This species is native to tropical and subtropical from India, to southern China, Taiwan, Philippines, Malaysia and Sulawesi. According to Abdullah et al., information on genetic variation is a necessity to formulate an effective program and tomentosa is one of the genetic species other than parviflora who have known to have medicinal properties. Recently, the extract from the leaves of this plant has been demonstrated to exhibit susceptibility against a wide range of Gram-positive bacteria including, foodborne pathogens such as Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus, and Listeria monocytogenes at low minimum inhibitory concentrations. The previous study also confirmed that, the extract of this plant also showed the ability to reduce both Bacillus cereus viable cells and endospores significantly when applied in tuna steak model and pre-cook rice. The usage of R. tomentosa is not only specified in food preservatives. Historically, R. tomentosa has been used in traditional Vietnamese, Chinese, and Malaysian medicine to treat diarrhoea or dysentery, as well as stimulate the immune system.

The present study was initiated to compare the effectiveness of antimicrobial properties in methanolic extract and aqueous extract of R. tomentosa against the selected organism, particularly gram-positive bacteria and gram-negative bacteria. The bacteria, namely Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus are basically food-borne bacteria. The previous study has been proven that the ethanolic extract of R. tomentosa possessed antimicrobial activity, but an aqueous extract of R. tomentosa does not. In the present study, the comparison was made between two solvent, which is methanol and aqueous solution to discover new finding, thus comparing with the previous study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Media culture

Media culture used for bacteria culture and identification are Nutrient agar, Tryptic Soy Broth, MacConkey agar, Blood agar, Eosin Methylene Blue agar, Triple Sugar Iron, Indole broth, Motility broth, Citrate agar, PD agar, DNase agar, Urease broth, Mueller Hinton broth and Methyl red-VP broth. For Antimicrobial Sensitivity testing, media culture used was Mueller Hinton agar and Mueller Hinton broth.

Chemicals and reagent

For bacteria confirmation, reagent used were Gram stain, normal saline, 3% hydrogen peroxide, 1% of tetramethyl-p-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride, Kovac’s reagent, Methyl red solution, 40% KOH and plasma. In addition, for extraction purposes chemical used were absolute methanol and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO).
Bacteria culture
There were 2 bacterial stock culture used in this study. They were obtained from the Microbiology laboratory, Medical Laboratory Department, Faculty of Health Science, UiTM Puncak Alam. The bacterial strains that were involved were *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*.

Instrument used in the lab
For media preparation, the instrument that have been used were analytical balance (Precision), water purifier (Elga), stirring hot plate (Favorit), autoclave (Hiramaya), incubator (Friocell), chiller (Protech) and fume hood. Whilst, instruments that have been used for bacterial confirmation were incinerator, spectrophotometer (SmartSpec Plus Biorad), incubator (Friocell) and microscope (Zeiss). Lastly for plant extraction preparation, analytical balance, orbital shaker, stirrer hot plate, rotay evaporator and freeze drier were used.

Apparatus used in the lab
Equipment that were used for media preparation were measuring cylinder, Schott bottle (500ml and 2000ml), magnetic stirrer bar, spatula, weighing boat, disposable petri dishes and bijou bottle (7ml and 14ml). Next, for bacterial confirmation equipment used were wire loop, stab wire, sterile swab, disposable slides, toothpick, test tube and test tube with cap. Lastly, for extraction process, glass funnel, Schott bottle, beaker, Whatmann no 1 filter paper, spatula, weighing boat, aluminium foil, stirrer magnet tube, measuring cylinder, conical flask, conical tube and capped universal tube were used.

Antibiotics
Antibiotic used in this study were Gentamycin 10µg for *Escherichia coli* and Penicillin 10µg for *Staphylococcus aureus*. Both will act as positive control in Antimicrobial Sensitivity Testing. The extraction process of *R. tomentosa*
For methanolic extract, the extraction was conducted using a 1:10 ratio w/v of *R. tomentosa* powder to absolute methanol. Hence, 31 g of powdered form of the plant was weighed and soaked into 310 ml of methanol and kept in 500 ml Schott bottle wrapped with aluminium foil. The solution was then mixed using a magnetic stirrer for 2 hours continuously. Next, the solution was filtered using Whatmann filter paper and glass funnel into a new Schott bottle. The filtered solution was then transferred into a conical flask and was concentrated using a rotary evaporator (Heidolph ThermoHaake K20) at 40°C. The extract was then freeze-dried (Labconco Freeze zone 2.5) and left for 3 days. Finally, the extract was then diluted to 250 mg/mL using 10% Diamethyl Sulfoxide, DMSO as a solvent. The mixture was kept at 4°C until use.

For aqueous extraction, it was conducted using 1:1w/v ratio of *R. tomentosa* to distilled water. This ratio was used to allow full maceration of non-polar compounds out of this plant. Firstly, 200 g of powdered *R. tomentosa* was weighted and soaked into 200 mL distilled water and left agitated on an orbitary shaker for 24 hours. The next day, the solution is filtered using Whatmann filter paper. After that, it is transferred into a few conical tubes and kept at -80°C freezer. It was then freeze- dried for 3 days. The dried extract is then diluted in, DMSO 10% solvent and kept at 4°C until used.

Bacterial isolation and identification
There were two bacterial stock culture used in this study. They were obtained from the Microbiology laboratory, Medical Laboratory Department, Faculty of Health Science, UiTM Puncak Alam. The bacterial strains that were involved were *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) and *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922). Identification of isolates was based on colony characteristics on Media culture such as, Nutrient agar, Blood agar, MacConkey agar, Eosin Methyene Blue agar, Triple Sugar Iron, Indole broth, Motility broth, Citrate agar, PD agar, DNase agar, Urease broth, Mueller
Hinton broth and Methyl red-VP broth. Other identification test was Gram staining, catalase reaction, coagulase test and Dnase test. All bacterial that has been isolated were then cultured on Nutrient agar at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours.

**Antimicrobial sensitivity testing**

Disc diffusion test was selected for antimicrobial testing and performed according to recommendations and guidelines provided by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI)\(^1\). The methanol and aqueous extract in DMSO solvent were used with the same concentration as a stock solution. The extract (10 µL) from the 250 mg/mL stock solution was poured onto sterile filter paper disk with diameter of 6 mm by using a sterilw dropper. They were left dried in the oven at 40°C for 2 to 3 hour. Meanwhile, bacterial suspensions were prepared and adjusted to NO. 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard. After incubation, the suspension was spread on Mueller–Hinton agar plates using sterile swab. Next, the discs were placed on the agar. Gentamycin 10 µg and Penicillin 10 µg were used as a positive control for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, respectively. In this experiment, the negative control that was used is the DMSO solution. All of the tests were performed in triplicate with the same concentration of *R. tomentosa* leaves extract. The agar was then incubated at 37°C overnight. After measuring the growth inhibition zone, the isolates were categorized as sensitive or resistant as stated CLSI (2011) recommendations.

**Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)**

The MIC concentration test was a test to determine the minimal concentration of extract to inhibit visible growth of bacteria after overnight incubation\(^2\). It was performed by the microdilution method on a microtiter plate. This process was done by adding plant extract and bacteria inoculum. In the 96-well microtiter plate, the first well contain initial concentration of extract which is 250 mg/mL of *R. tomentosa*. The extract (20 µL) was added and two-fold serial dilution were performed to obtain final concentration ranging from 4 to 256 µg/mL. Total volume was adjusted to 100 µL by adding 80 µL of Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB) in each well, followed by 100 µL of the bacterial suspensions (106 CFU/mL). The culture plates were incubated for approximately 18 hours at 37°C. Standard drug used in this test was the DMSO solution.

**Determination of minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)**

MBC was done after the MIC has been completed. This is due to the fact that MBC is a test to confirm that there is no visible growth of bacteria. Thus, the test was done by streaking an aliquot of 0.1 mL from the clearest well in MIC until less clear well onto Nutrient agar. Next, the
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agar was incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours. The agar plate was then observed for the growth of bacteria. It was then reported as growth or no growth.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was done by conduct it through SPSS software program. It was first presented in a bar chart for the data collected (Fig. 1). Then, the result for *S. aureus* is obtained from finding its \( p \)-value. Statistically, a significant difference between the two bacteria was analyzed by using independent t-test. A result of \( p \)-value less than 0.05 was considered significantly different as one another.

**RESULT**

**Agar disc diffusion assay**

Table 1 and Table 2 showed the antibacterial activity of *R. tomentosa* methanolic extract tested by agar disk diffusion assay. The measured zone diameter compared with a standard chart for obtaining the susceptible and resistant values. Antibiotics used for susceptibility testing include Gentamycin10\( \mu \)g and Penicillin 10\( \mu \)g as a positive control. The methanolic extract at the concentration of 2.5 mg/disk demonstrated intermediate antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* (Table 1). It shows significant reaction towards all the drug and extract. While for *E. coli*, it only reacts significantly towards the commercial drug, but not to plant extract (Table 2). No significant differences were observed in inhibition zone diameter between methanol and aqueous of *R. tomentosa* against *Staphylococcus aureus* (Table 3).

**Determination of MIC**

The result of MIC for methanol against *S. aureus* shows it got clear well at 250 mg/mL, 125 mg/mL, 62.5 mg/mL and 31.25 mg/mL. This means the MIC value for this extract against *S. aureus* start at 31.25 mg/mL. The rest well, which are turbid means there is no inhibitory action on bacteria. Meanwhile, for aqueous extract, MIC for *S. aureus* start at 62.6 mg/mL, not so much difference from methanol extract. It shows clear well from first until third well. The others were just turbid.

For *Escherichia coli*, the result of MIC for methanol extract against *E. coli* was begun at the third well which is 62.5 mg/mL onwards to 125 mg/mL and 250 mg/mL. Meanwhile, for aqueous extract, it only showed clear well at 250 mg/mL and 125 mg/mL.

**Determination of MBC**

For this study, the MBC test was done from all well from MIC microtiter plate so that confirmation can be made. The result was recorded as growth or no growth on Nutrient agar. MBC values ranged from 31.25 \( \mu \)g/mL to 250 \( \mu \)g/mL against *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.

**DISCUSSION**

The ability of an extract to react toward the foodborne pathogen depending on the method of extraction and the types of solvent. The form of the extract is also an important consideration in determining the reaction of the
extract toward the bacteria. As per the previous studies, they have used ethanol and acetyl acetate as solvents because these two have low polarity index\(^3\). This present study used a different solvent which is methanol to decide which alcohol will yield this plant better. It also compared with water solvent as the purpose of this study was to find a safer natural-based-solvent to make preservatives. Although alcohol may be safe to consume declared by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) but the repeated application might accumulate to something dangerous. This was applied to finding by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) stating alcohol as a Group 1 carcinogen (carcinogenic to humans)\(^4\).

Based on AST result, the methanol extract shows a slightly higher spectrum of antimicrobial activity compared to aqueous. Methanol extract is better in extraction as it has a lower polarity index than water so it is also able to pick up non-polar molecules. Meanwhile, water can be a good solvent for phytochemical extraction but only for the polar compounds. The choice of solvent depends upon the nature of compounds to be isolated\(^5\). As for this plant, it doesn’t give that much significant change. This is referring to the mean zone of inhibition measured surround both methanol and aqueous extract against \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}. It is noted that the diameter is differed by 2mm only. In the antimicrobial test, 10µl of Gentamycin and 10µl Penicillin were used as a positive control for \textit{E.coli} and \textit{S.aureus} respectively. This finding is not comparable with the previous study as the study about an aqueous extract of \textit{R. tomentosa} was still limited. After compared to AST parameter standard, the result obtained was intermediate (I) which means the concentration might be not high enough to inhibit the bacteria.

In addition, the previous study showed that the plant extract has significantly strong antimicrobial activity against \textit{S. aureus}. The result may be different due to different strain of bacteria used which are \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (ATCC 29523). The importance of ATCC was to improve the breeding, preservation, classification and characterization of cultures and to develop new and enhanced culture products through in-house efforts and collaborative alliances. Besides, it was also maybe due to different solvent was used against these bacteria which is ethyl acetate\(^6\). These contributions factors cause contradiction between the previous study and the present.

However, when it tested against \textit{Escherichia coli}, the result gives a different impact. There was no zone of inhibition recorded at all from both methanol and aqueous extract. The result is in agreement with previous study stated that this plant works more significantly toward gram-positive bacteria\(^6\). According to Kone\(^7\), the study of various 50 medicinal plants reported no antibacterial activity against \textit{Escherichia coli} and \textit{Pseudomonas}. This is maybe due to the fact that gram-negative bacteria were more resistance compare to the gram-positive bacteria. In fact, the gram-negative bacteria consist of an extra outer membrane (cytoplasmic) after the thin peptidoglycan layer which doesn’t exist on gram-positive bacteria. The presence of outer membrane contributes to the persistence of bacteria from being penetrated by the antibiotic. This outer membrane contains lipopolysaccharide which consists of lipid A, core polysaccharide and antigen O.

The result from AST denotes to result of MIC and MBC because the same concentration of the extract was used for these two tests. Thus, the result is related to each other as confirmation. The MIC result of methanol extract against \textit{S. aureus} clear well at well number 1 to 4 while \textit{E.coli} got clear well from number 1 to 3. This means that there is antimicrobial activity at that particular concentration of the methanol extract. Whereas, the result MIC for aqueous extract shows less clear well with \textit{S. aureus} at the third well while \textit{E. coli} only at the second well. Next, they were confirmed with the MBC. Result of MIC and MBC shows similarity as the purpose of MBC is to confirm that there is no visible growth of bacteria. The MBC was done by taking the dilution made in MIC microtiter plate to be cultured again on Nutrient agar. Usually the only well that needs to be resubculture was from MIC until the highest concentration. After overnight, the result was recorded as growth or no growth of bacteria. However, in this study the MBC was done from every well of MIC for verification. As a result, at a particular concentration, there was no growth of bacteria on Nutrient agar.

From the result, some of the MBC was recorded compatible with MIC result while some of them didn’t. MIC for methanol extract against
S. aureus was at 31.25mg/ml concentration and the MBC result shows no growth from 250mg/ml until 31.25mg/ml. It is also the same with aqueous extract against S. aureus. Meanwhile, for E. coli, when methanol and aqueous extract did MIC test, it shows clear well until 62.5mg/ml and 125mg/ml, respectively but when tested MBC, it shows the growth of bacteria from the second well (125mg/ml) and onwards. Only total concentration shows the inhibitory action. This finding got quite similar result with the previous finding which got a different value of MIC and MBC\(^a\). This could be happened because of a too low concentration of stock extract used. It also explains why we need to make confirmation for MIC test. This means that even if a particular MIC shows inhibition, plating the bacteria onto agar might still result in organism proliferation because the antimicrobial did not cause death.

The test used in this study was independent T- test. It was done to compares the means between two unrelated groups on the same continuous and dependent variable. It is also to determine whether there is statistical evidence that the associated population means are significantly different. As for this study, independent T test was used to compare the variables of the zone of inhibition between methanol and aqueous extract. The result obtained was \(p>0.05\) which means not significant. Overall, there was no significant difference in the scores for methanol (M=13.67, SD=0.577) and aqueous (M=14.67, SD=1.528) conditions; \(t(4)=-1.061, p = 0.349\) against S. aureus. These results suggest that methanol extract has not much different of strength in yielding than aqueous. Specifically, this result suggests that aqueous extract can also yield as strong as methanol in the role of antimicrobial agent. 

**CONCLUSION**

As a conclusion, the present study achieved the main objective in determining the antimicrobial activities of *Rhodomyrtus tomentosa*. Both aqueous and methanol extract from *R. tomentosa* leaves, yielded similar antibacterial activity which susceptible to S. aureus and can be used safely toward foodborne pathogen. However, the extract was not strong enough to substitute with existing drug antibiotic. It is recommended in the future that this study is performed again but using a higher concentration of extract.
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