Correlation of Work Function and Conformation of C₈₀ Endofullerenes on h-BN/Ni(111)

Roland Stania, Ari Paavo Seitsonen, Hyunjin Jung, David Kunhardt, Alexey A. Popov, Matthias Muntwiler, and Thomas Greber

Change of conformation or polarization of molecules is an expression of their functionality. If the two correlate, electric fields can change the conformation. In the case of endofullerene single-molecule magnets the conformation is linked to an electric and a magnetic dipole moment, and therefore magnetoelectric effects are envisioned. The interface system of one monolayer Sc₂TbN@C₈₀ on hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) on Ni(111) has been studied. The molecular layer is hexagonally close packed but incommensurate. With photoemission the polarization and the conformation of the molecules are addressed by the work function and angular intensity distributions. Valence band photoemission (ARPES) shows a temperature-induced energy shift of the C₈₀ molecular orbitals that is parallel to a change in work function of 0.25 eV without charging the molecules. ARPES indicates a modification in molecular conformations between 30 and 300 K. This order–disorder transition involves a polarization change in the interface and is centered at 125 K as observed with high-resolution X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The temperature dependence is described with a thermodynamic model that accounts for disordering with an excitation energy of 74 meV into a high entropy ensemble. All experimental results are supported by density functional theory (DFT).

1. Introduction

Fullerenes are archetypal molecular building blocks in nanoscience. Correspondingly, carbon shells like C₆₀ have been studied in detail on surfaces.[1–3] Like found in 3D[4] the freezing of rotational degrees of freedom also causes phase transitions in 2D systems.[5–7] A peculiar phase transition of a monolayer of C₆₀ on a single layer of h-BN on Ni(111) showed a temperature dependent charge transfer onto C₆₀ that is triggered by a change in conformation of the molecules with respect to the substrate.[8] Endohedral fullerenes are carbon cages that contain atoms.[9] Species with a similar robustness as C₆₀[10] open more opportunities for the exploitation of molecular functionality, such as single molecule magnetism.[11] The first surface science experiments focused on the electronic properties of multilayer C₆₀ endofullerenes,[12,13] and soon monolayer systems were prepared and observed with scanning probes.[14–17] At low temperatures, the orientation of the endohedral unit is not random[15] and is related to the magnetization.[17–19] The orientation of the endohedral units may even be changed by magnetic fields.[20] On the other hand, the incomplete screening of the anisotropic electrostatic potential of the endohedral cluster[21] bears a handle for accessing the magnetization of the endohedral clusters with electric fields.

Here we report on a phase transition of a 2D interface system consisting of one monolayer Sc₂TbN@C₈₀ on h-BN/Ni(111) centered at 125 K. h-BN/Ni(111)[22] takes the role of a weakly bonding substrate leaving the adsorbates many degrees of freedom.[23] The phase transition is visible as a change in work function that is considered to reflect the order parameter and is accompanied with a modification in molecular conformation which is seen in a disappearance of the angular dependence of the photoemission from C₈₀ molecular orbitals. A temperature induced...
Figure 1. Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111). a) Sketch. b) STM image of a monolayer preparation recorded at 77 K. (Area = 25 × 25 nm$^2$, $I_t = 1$ nA, $V_t = -5$ mV). c) LEED pattern ($E = 30$ eV) recorded at room temperature. An ordered C$_{80}$ (1 × 1) structure with a lattice constant of $1.09 \pm 0.05$ nm is discerned. d) Parallel component of the LEED scattering vector of Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ from panel (c) (red) and h-BN/Ni(111) from Figure S1, Supporting Information, versus reciprocal lattice vectors $G_{Ni}$ and $G_{C80}$. The C$_{80}$ and the Ni lattices are aligned and incommensurate, where one C$_{80}$ fits on ≈ 4.3 × 4.3 substrate unit cells.

change of work function was also observed in the case of C$_{60}$ on h-BN/Ni(111).[8] Changes in work function indicate a modification in the surface dipole and the related electrical fields. In the present case we find no charge transfer onto the molecule but polarization of the interface between C$_{80}$ and h-BN.

2. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 depicts the investigated 2D interface system: A monolayer of Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111), with low temperature scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and room temperature low energy electron diffraction (LEED) experiments. The STM image shows hexagonally close packed molecules with a lattice constant of ≈1.1 nm, and LEED indicates large scale ordering along the high symmetry directions of the substrate. While C$_{60}$ on h-BN/Ni(111) forms a commensurate Ni (4 × 4) superstructure,[9] the C$_{80}$ molecules are about a factor of $\sqrt{80/60} = 1.15$ larger and thus may not accommodate in 4 × 4 Ni(111) unit cells. For the present case the analysis of the LEED spot positions in Figure 1 indicates an aligned but incommensurate ≈4.3 × 4.3 super structure of Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111). In the following we refer this structure as C$_{80}$ (1 × 1). The alignment of the molecular lattice with that of the substrate and the relative lattice constant are inferred from the LEED pattern in Figure 1c and Figure S1, Supporting Information. The C$_{80}$ lattice constant and a low lateral energy landscape corrugation for an incommensurate structure is confirmed by DFT (see Supporting Information), while the orientation of the incommensurate molecular lattice is likely guided by atomic steps in the substrate that run along high symmetry (110) directions, as it was found for Dy$_3$N@C$_{60}$ on Cu(111).[15]

Figure 2 shows the formation of a C$_{80}$ (2 × 2) low temperature superstructure in the incommensurate monolayer of Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111). The structure forms between 80 and 170 K. Such low temperature ordering or freezing of fullerenes is known from C$_{60}$ films, where below 100 K a C$_{60}$ (2 × 2) structure was established[5,6] and for C$_{60}$ on h-BN/Ni(111) a (√3 × √3) phase was found below 160 K.[8] This indicates that like in 3D,[4] intermolecular forces lead to ordering of the fullerenes below room temperature in 2D systems. The interaction between Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ molecules is, however, expected to be more involved than that between C$_{60}$ because the icosahedral symmetry of the C$_{80}$ cage is broken by the endohedral unit, which is reflected in their appearance as ellipsoids that are weakly distorted from spherical shape, and their permanent dipole moments (see Supporting Information).

The experimental proof for molecular order below 100 K provided angular resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES). Figure 3 displays He I$\alpha$ excited valence band photoemission data.
and the corresponding secondary electron cutoff of Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111) at 30 and 300 K. The work function increases with temperature from 3.95 to 4.20 eV. As the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO’s) shift in the same direction and by the same amount as the secondary cut off, this indicates vacuum level alignment of the Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ molecular orbitals, which is expected for a weak bonding to the substrate.[22] Notably, the work function shift has the same trend for C$_{60}$/h-BN/Ni(111),[8] but in the present case, it is twice as strong and it is not related to a charge transfer that would be reflected in an onset of occupation of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). The HOMO peak of Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111) occurs at relatively high binding energies of 2.30 and 2.04 eV at 30 and 300 K. They are larger than the optical gap of Sc$_2$YN@C$_{80}$ in toluene of 1.72 eV[24] and indicative for a weak bonding to the substrate.[12] Notably, the work function shift is parallel to the work function, the molecules act as entities with a common dipole moment. The observed behavior of the work function is assigned to the onset of molecular rotation and different resulting dipole components $p_i$ along the surface normal. The work function and dipole component change $\Delta \Phi$ and $\Delta p_i$ are connected via the Helmholz equation $\Delta \Phi = \frac{- Core level binding energies are functions of the sample temperature with a fit of the two level model—solid lines.

Figure 4 shows X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111) between 30 K and room temperature. The phase transition is reflected in core levels assigned to the molecular layer with a maximum rate of change that is centered at 125 K. The N 1s and the Sc 3p$_{3/2}$ core levels are observed. The N 1s level of the endohedral unit and the h-BN substrate are chemically shifted. Relative to the N 1s levels of the h-BN substrate they display at lower binding energy, and sharper. This is in perfect agreement with calculated N 1s spectra (see Supporting Information). The N 1s orbitals of the atoms in the molecules follow the work function, that is show the same energy shift as the secondary cut off and the HOMO molecular orbitals in Figure 3 while the h-BN N 1s orbitals of the substrate have constant binding energy. This means that the h-BN N 1s energy does not follow the temperature dependence of the vacuum level, while the one of the molecular layer on top of h-BN does. Besides the endohedral N 1s and Sc 2p$_{3/2}$ also the C 1s carbon cage core levels shift parallel to the work function (see Supporting Information). As no charge is transferred into the LUMO, the work function change must be due to polarisation of the molecular layer and the h-BN. Since all core levels of the molecule shift parallel to the work function, the molecules act as entities with a common dipole moment. The observed behavior of the work function is assigned to the onset of molecular rotation and different resulting dipole components $p_i$ along the surface normal. The work function and dipole component change $\Delta \Phi$ and $\Delta p_i$ are connected via the Helmholz equation $\Delta \Phi = \frac{- \sum \Delta p_i}{e \varepsilon_o}$, where $n$ is the areal density of the dipoles, $e$ is the elementary charge, and $\varepsilon_o$ is the vacuum permittivity. Taking the calculated gas phase dipole moment of Sc$_2$YN@C$_{80}$ of 0.25 D (see Supporting Information) we would get a work function increase of 92 meV with the dipole density $n$ of the C$_{80}$ layer in going from a configuration where the dipole points antiparallel to the surface normal to an isotropic configuration with a zero average dipole moment. Calculations of 120 different conformations of Sc$_2$YN@C$_{80}$ on $\sqrt{19}$ h-BN/Ni(111) show a larger variation than 92 meV. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the work function distribution is 190 meV (Figure S7c, Supporting Information), which is closer to the experimentally observed effect of 250 ± 5 meV. This suggests that the polarization response of the h-BN interface increases the effect significantly. Reasons for the remaining difference between theory and experiment may be the incommensurability and the (2 × 2) molecular ordering at low temperature.

The temperature dependence of the core level binding energies is parallel to the work function. This allows to extract thermodynamic properties of the Sc$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111) phase transition. As seen in Figure 3, at higher temperatures and in more molecular conformations are populated because there is no angular anisotropy of the molecular orbitals observed. This does not lead to an important broadening of the spectra, because the bonding of the molecules is relatively weak, and the energy reference is the vacuum level. Therefore, the core level binding energy shifts reflect the global order parameter or work function as a measure for molecular arrangement. To model we consider for the molecular conformations two energy levels that are separated by an excitation energy $\Delta E = E_1 - E_0$. As the experiment is not sensitive to the absolute degeneracy $N_0 = 1$ and the degeneracy of $E_0$ is $N_1$ (see Figure 4c). From the calculations (see Supporting Information) it is seen that the assumption of two conformational energies is a simplification, though the data in Figure 4d do not allow for the reliable...
fitting of more than four independent parameters. At thermal equilibrium we obtain the occupation $n_0$ of the lowest energy state $E_0$,

$$\langle n_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\Delta E/k_B T)}$$

(1)

and the occupation of state $E_1$, $\langle n_1 \rangle = 1 - \langle n_0 \rangle$. The measured core level binding energies $E_0(T)$ are taken to express the order parameter that is, the weighted sum $E_0 = \langle n_0 \rangle E_{00} + \langle n_1 \rangle E_{01}$. $E_{00}$ describes the system at $T = 0$ or $\langle n_0 \rangle = 0$ and $E_{01}$ is the core level energy if all molecules were excited, that is, $\langle n_1 \rangle = 1$. From $E_0(T)$ the thermodynamic model parameters $\Delta E$ and $N_2$ are determined. The solid lines in Figure 4d show the fits of the $N_2$ degenerate two level model for the N 1s and the Sc 2p$_{3/2}$ core levels. The parameters from both fits are $\Delta E = 74 \pm 6$ meV, $E_{00} = E_{01} = 310 \pm 40$ meV and $N_2 = 1300 \pm 700$ for the degeneracy of $E_1$. The excitation energy $\Delta E$ has the order of magnitude of the standard deviation of the calculated conformations (68 meV; see Supporting Information). This means that the excitation energy in the phase transition has the energy scale of different molecular conformations of SC$_2$YN@C$_{80}$/h-BN/Ni(111). $E_{00} - E_{01}$ is in line with the work function shift and confirms that the observed work function shift is about three times larger than what would be expected from the molecular dipole moment in the gas phase. This emphasizes the importance of the interface if a large effect shall be obtained. The degeneracy $N_2$ reflects the large C$_{80}$ (2 x 2) unit cell at low temperature and points to a strong contribution of the entropy to the free energy of the system above the ordering temperature.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we observed a temperature induced work function shift of SC$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ on h-BN/Ni(111) centered at 125 K. This shift is related to the disappearance of a SC$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ (2 x 2) LEED structure. The transition is an order disorder transition with onset of rotation of the endohedral clusters. DFT calculations, figure out the lattice constant of SC$_2$YN@C$_{80}$, a permanent dipole moment, describe the N 1s XPS spectrum in the $\sqrt{19}$ SC$_2$YN@C$_{80}$ (1 x 1) phase and propose different conformers with an energy distribution that is in line with the model for the temperature dependence of the molecular core level binding energies. With these findings we put forward the converse effect i.e. that the molecular orientation may be controlled with an electric field as found in the surface dipole. This would allow the magnetism of endohedral units to be remotely controlled with electric fields, and a magnetoelectric system would become available.

4. Experimental Section

**Experimental:** SC$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$ molecules with icosahedral $I_h$ symmetry of the carbon cage were synthesized and purified as described in ref. [29] and sublimated onto h-BN/Ni(111).[22,30] with the substrate kept at 470 K. In order to handle the small quantities of available molecules LoTell, a homemade low temperature nanogram evaporator with small copper Knudsen cells that can be approached close to the sample was used. The photoemission data were recorded at a photon energy of 600 eV or He Ia (21.2 eV).[31] The coverage was determined with a layer by layer growth model, with an electron mean free path of 1 nm and from the intensity ratio of the N 1s core levels of the molecule and h-BN.[21] The low energy electron diffraction (LEED) patterns were calibrated with the (0,1) spots of the h-BN/Ni(111) substrate (see Supporting Information). Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) images were recorded at liquid nitrogen temperature.[31]

**Theory:** Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed on the SC$_2$YN@C$_{80}$ endofullerene because it is chemically very similar to SC$_2$TbN@C$_{80}$, but easier to treat accurately.[21] The incommensurate system was simulated with a h-BN/Ni(111)-($\sqrt{19} \times \sqrt{19}$)R23° substrate unit cell that accommodated one molecule. This structure was abbreviated as $\sqrt{19}$. More details are given in the Supporting Information.
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