PML Is Critical for ND10 Formation and Recruits the PML-interacting Protein Daxx to this Nuclear Structure When Modified by SUMO-1
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Abstract. Nuclear domain 10 (ND10), also referred to as nuclear bodies, are discrete interchromosomal accumulations of several proteins including promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML) and Sp100. In this study, we investigated the mechanism of ND10 assembly by identifying proteins that are essential for this process using cells lines that lack individual ND10-associated proteins. We identified the adapter protein Daxx and BLM, the RecQ helicase missing in Bloom syndrome, as new ND10-associated proteins. PML, but not BLM or Sp100, was found to be responsible for the proper localization of all other ND10-associated proteins since they are dispersed in PML−/− cells. Introducing PML into this cell line by transient expression or fusion with PML-producing cells recruited ND10-associated proteins into de novo formed ND10 attesting to PML’s essential nature in ND10 formation. In the absence of PML, Daxx is highly enriched in condensed chromatin. Its recruitment to ND10 from condensed chromatin requires a small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO-1) modification of PML and reflects the interaction between the COOH-terminal domain of Daxx and PML. The segregation of Daxx from condensed chromatin in the absence of PML to ND10 by increased accumulation of SUMO-1–modified PML suggests the presence of a variable equilibrium between these two nuclear sites. Our findings identify the basic requirements for ND10 formation and suggest a dynamic mechanism for protein recruitment to these nuclear domains controlled by the SUMO-1 modification state of PML.
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Specific nuclear domains, ND101 (also referred to as PML nuclear bodies or promyelocytic oncogenic domain), but more specifically their constituent proteins have been intensively investigated, following the findings that some ND10-associated proteins are connected to certain disease processes. One of the proteins consistently present in ND10 is Sp100, autoantibodies to which are prevalent in primary biliary cirrhosis (Szostecki et al., 1990). Another protein in ND10 is the promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML), which is fused to the retinoic acid receptor α in acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) (deThe et al., 1990; Goddard et al., 1991; Kakizuka et al., 1991; Pandolfi et al., 1991). The presence of wild-type PML in ND10 and the dispersion of this structure in APL suggested the involvement of these domains in the differentiation of promyelocytes (Dyck et al., 1994; Weis et al., 1994). Also, PML has recently become the center of attention in other contexts. It was identified as a tumor suppressor protein (Mu et al., 1994), involved in apoptosis (Quignon et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1998), a regulator of MHC expression (Zweifel et al., 1998), and, together with Sp100, to be upregulated by interferon (Levau et al., 1995; Grozinger et al., 1996). Disparate information about ND10 as a nuclear structure is surreptitiously accumulating from several investigative directions. A concentrated approach to solve the question of how such a prominent nuclear domain is formed and maintained has been lacking. We focus here on how ND10 are assembled and how its formation and maintenance are controlled within the conceptual background that defines ND10 as a poten-
ND10 are structurally defined by the immunohistochemical localization of certain proteins at specific punctate nuclear sites. New ND10-associated proteins are, therefore, often found accidentally when such patterns are discovered while working on a given protein in other contexts. Such was the case with two proteins that will feature in the present attempt to evaluate ND10 structure. One of them, Daxx, has been identified as a protein that binds with its COOH-terminal end to the Fas death domain (Y ang et al., 1997), the centromeric protein CENP-C (Pluta et al., 1998), the transcription factor Pax3 (Hollenbach et al., 1999), and DNA methyltransferase I (Michaelsen et al., 1999). None of these proteins are located predominantly at ND10. D axx has also been found to have the steriodogenic acute regulatory protein gene promoter DNA sequence (K iriakidou et al., 1997), and antibodies against D axx showed a nuclear distribution like ND10. Therefore, it was further investigated in the context of ND10 structure. Similar to Daxx, BLM, the RecQ helicase missing in the Bloom syndrome patients, has a punctate distribution in most cells (N eff et al., 1999), which in the course of this investigation proved to be ND10. Therefore, BLM should be used to test what effect lack of BLM has on the structure of ND10.

The stability, function, and localization of proteins can be changed by posttranscriptional modification. At least four ND10 proteins, Sp100, PML, CBP, and pRb, are phosphoproteins, and PML and Sp100 are covalently modified by the small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO-1) (Sternsdorf et al., 1997; Kamitani et al., 1998b; Muller et al., 1998). SUMO-1 modification of PML has been suggested to target this protein to ND10 (Muller et al., 1999), and removal of sites for SUMO-1 modification from PML seems to prevent PM L from accumulating in ND10 (Kamitani et al., 1998a). In contrast, such a modification did not influence the localization pattern for Sp100 (Sternsdorf et al., 1997). Therefore, modification of PML as a determinant of its interaction with other proteins suggests itself as a potential control mechanism in the accumulation of proteins at ND10. The effect of SUMO-1 modification or the lack of such modification may be central to the structural integrity of ND10 and needs to be evaluated.

A remarkable feature of ND10 is the deposition of DNA viruses, such as herpes simplex virus type 1, cytomegalovirus, adenovirus 5, and SV40, at ND10 and that their transcription and replication begins associated with these sites (Ishov et al., 1996; M au et al., 1996; Ishov et al., 1997). Except for SV40, these viruses express proteins that modify ND10. Specifically, ICP0 of herpes simplex virus type 1 accumulates in ND10 and induces degradation of ND10-associated proteins (Mau et al., 1993; Verrett and Maul, 1994; M au and E verett, 1994; E verett et al., 1998, 1999; Chelbi-A llix and de The, 1999). The degradation of PML involves desumofication followed by hydrolysis through the ubiquitin/proteosome pathway (Verrett et al., 1998, 1999). This finding implicated desumofication and/or hydrolysis of PML in the destruction of ND10, although the advantage to the virus is as yet not apparent.

ND10 are modified by other effectors of nuclear functions. Stress in the form of heat shock or heavy metal exposure results in dispersion of ND10-associated proteins (M au et al., 1995). Increases in the number and size of ND10 have been reported to be due to the interferon-induced upregulation of PML and Sp100 (Lavau et al., 1995; Grotzinger et al., 1996). A iso, the earliest observed changes in ND10 were the size variations associated with hormonal changes in the uterine endometrium and the mammary epithelial cells (Padykula et al., 1981; Fitzgerald and Padykula, 1983). Common to all the agents that induce modifications in ND10 are their global effects on the nuclear metabolism, although the signaling pathway that affects the changes at ND10 is wholly unexplored and can only be approached after we have knowledge on the recruitment and release mechanisms at this nuclear site.

ND10s are dispersed upon viral infection and in APL and are reassembled upon treatment of this disease by retinoic acid and arsenic (As2O3). This strongly suggests that this nuclear structure is important for a number of pathological processes. Therefore, investigating the assembly mechanism is an essential step toward understanding ND10 function in disease processes as well as in normal nuclear activities. Because the mechanism of ND10 assembly is likely to involve protein-protein interactions, we carried out studies to identify proteins that are essential for the formation of these domains. During the course of this study, we found the following: (1) PML, but not Sp100 nor the new ND10 component BLM, is essential for ND10 assembly; (2) the recently characterized Daxx (K iriakidou et al., 1997; Y ang et al., 1997; Pluta et al., 1998; Hollenbach et al., 1999; M ichaelsen et al., 1999) is a new ND10-associated protein and interacts with PM L; (3) this interaction is necessary to recruit Daxx from heterochromatin to ND10; and (4) this recruitment is controlled by SUMO-1 modification of PML. Taken together, these results provide us with the basics of ND10 formation and suggest a mechanism for its dynamic control.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells and Growth Conditions**

HeLa-2 carcinoma human primary fibroblasts (HF) have been previously described (Ishov et al., 1997). Fibroblasts from Bloom syndrome patient (GM 01942F) were obtained from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research; mouse primary embryonic fibroblasts (MEPF) and PML−/− mouse primary embryonic fibroblasts (PML−/− ME PF; Wang et al., 1998), N-myec-amplified neuroblastoma NGP cells (Zehnbauer et al., 1988), were maintained in DME supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics. Human embryonic NT2 cells and the retinoic acid-differentiated NT2 cells were provided by V. Lee (University of Pennsylvania) (Kleppner et al., 1995). A II cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. For immunohistochemical staining, cells were grown on round coverslips in 24-well plates (Corning Glass, Inc.) until ~80% confluent before fixation. For cell fusion experiments, both cell types were plated on glass coverslips at 1:1 ratio. The following day, they were treated with prewarmed 50% PEG-6000 (Serva Co.) for 2 min and washed three times with complete culture medium. Immunostaining was performed 16 h after fusion. Unfused cells in the PEG-6000–treated cells and unmixed fused cells were used as negative controls. During microscopic analysis, DNA staining (0.5 μg/ml Hoescht 33258; A merican Hoescht) was used to identify the nuclei of mouse and human cells.

**Antibodies**

ND10 were visualized using the following antibodies: mAb 138 labels ND10 (Maul et al., 1991), whereas mAb 5E10 reacts with PML.
products were amplified with the sense primer 5'-GATG-3' into BamHI cut pET vector. The respective fragments of BamHI cut PCR inserted into the COOH-terminal end of the GFP open reading frame be-

CCTCCTCTGATTGCTTCCTGG-3' sequencing. Plasmids PML-K65,160,490R (referred to here as PML 1997) as a template for the Daxx gene. All constructs were verified by se-
merase (New England Biolabs) and pQE-30hDaxx (Kiriakidou et al., 1999).

Immuno-localization of Proteins

2 d after plating on round glass coverslips, cells were fixed at room tem-
perature for 15 min with freshly prepared 1% paraformaldehyde in PBS and treated as previously described (Ishov and Maul, 1996). Cells were an-
alyzed using a Leica confocal laser scanning microscope. Leica image en-
harshment software was used to balance signal strength and eightfold
scanning was used to separate signal from noise. Because of the variability
among cells in any given culture, the most prevalent cells were photo-
graphed and are presented as small groups of nuclei or single nuclear im-
ages at high magnification.

Yeast Two-Hybrid Protein Interaction Assay

The interaction between Daxx and PML or Sp100 was measured in a yeast
two-hybrid assay using β-galactosidase activity as a reporter of protein-
protein interaction. Sp100 and PML were cloned in the pAS1 vector that contains the TRP1 gene (Durfee et al., 1993), so that these proteins are fused to GAL4-DBD (a gift from R. Evans, Salk Institut). The pAS1-
PML Δ cell deletion mutant was generated by digestion of pAS1-PML with endonuclease BssHII and self-ligation, which resulted in a deletion of 214–329 amino acids of the PML protein. hDaxx cDNA and the respective mutants were produced by PCR using the high fidelity Vent poly-
merase (New England Biolabs) and pQE-30 hDaxx (Ishov et al., 1997) as a template and cloned into the BamHI site downstream of NLS-
VP16 driven by the ADH promoter into pVP16 (Vojtek et al., 1993), which carries the LEU2 gene. pDS5 (a gift from S. Berger, The Wistar In-
stitute) containing the HIS2 gene and GAL4 binding site upstream of the bacterial lacZ gene was used as a reporter plasmid. Plasmids were trans-
fected into the trpl derivative of yeast strain PSY316 (MATα his-3-200 leu 2-3, 112 lys 2 ura 3-53) (Candau et al., 1996). β-galactosidase activity was assessed and normalized to protein concentration as described (Rose et al., 1998). The data represent results of three independent experiments.

Probes and Expression Plasmids

To analyze the intranuclear distribution of various Daxx mutants, we first con-
structed a pET plasmid, encoding GFP with a nuclear localization sig-
nal from SV40 large T-antigen (amino acid PKKKRKV), two synthetic oligonucleotides (5′-AATTCCTCAAGAGAACGGTAAAG-3′ and 5′-TCGACCTTCAGCTCTTTCTTGAG-3′) were annealed and inserted into the COOH-terminal end of the GFP open reading frame be-
tween the EcoRI and SalI sites on pEGFP-C1 (CLONTECH Laborato-
dies). Different deletion mutants of hDaxx were cloned by subcloning into BamHI cut pET vector. The respective fragments of hDaxx cut PCR products were amplified with the sense primer 5′-CAACAGGATCCGCCCA-
C CGTACAAGC-3′ and the antisense primers: 5′-GTTGGTGGTC-
CCTCCCTCGATGCTCTTCG-3′ for Daxx 1–595 amino acids; 5′-
GTTGGTGGTCTCAATAGCAGCTAGA-3′ for Daxx 1–740 amino acids; or ByHlI-BamHI cut PCR fragment amplified with 5′-
CAACAGGATCCGCCCAAAGC-3′ as the pET vector and the antisense primers 5′-GTTGGTGGTCCTCAATAGCAGCTAGA-3′ for Daxx 624–740 amino acids using high fidelity Vent poly-
merase (New England Biolabs) and pQE-30Hdaxx (Ishov et al., 1997) as a template for the Daxx gene. All constructs were verified by se-
quencing. Plasmids PML-L5 65,160,490R (referred to here as PMLΔSU MO), PML-L5 65,85R, and PML-L5 65,160R (Kamitani et al., 1998a, based on the pCDNA 3 plasmid (Invitrogen), expresses RGS-His-fused PML mu-
tants with corresponding lysines substituted by arginines. Transient trans-
factions were carried out using the DOPPER reagent (Boehringer) ac-
cording to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Results

Identification of the Protein Essential for the Maintenance of ND10 by Using Cell Lines Lacking Specific ND10-associated Proteins

To address the question, which proteins are essential for the formation or maintenance of ND 10, we identified cell lines that do not express certain ND 10-associated proteins. The first cell line identified came from the observation that the BLM protein, a member of the DEQH box con-
taining DNA helicases, was located in discrete nuclear do-
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quencing. Plasmids PML-L5 65,160,490R (referred to here as PMLΔSU MO), PML-L5 65,85R, and PML-L5 65,160R (Kamitani et al., 1998a, based on the pCDNA 3 plasmid (Invitrogen), expresses RGS-His-fused PML mu-
tants with corresponding lysines substituted by arginines. Transient trans-
factions were carried out using the DOPPER reagent (Boehringer) ac-
cording to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Results

Identification of the Protein Essential for the Maintenance of ND10 by Using Cell Lines Lacking Specific ND10-associated Proteins

To address the question, which proteins are essential for the formation or maintenance of ND 10, we identified cell lines that do not express certain ND 10-associated proteins. The first cell line identified came from the observation that the BLM protein, a member of the DEQH box con-
taining DNA helicases, was located in discrete nuclear do-
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treatment and in NGP neuroblastoma cells (data not shown). Thus, we concluded that Sp100 was absent in NT2 cells and, that the presence of Sp100 was not essential for the maintenance of ND10.

The question whether PML is important for ND10 assembly and maintenance was tested in PML 

$^{2}/^{2}$ MPEF cells. To obtain a reasonable assurance that ND10 are present or absent in PML 

$^{2}/^{2}$ MPEF cells, we needed a

Figure 1. The new component of ND10, BLM helicase, as well as Sp100 are not necessary for ND10 maintenance. Confocal micrographs of various cells are presented with the labeled proteins in the upper corners. The cell type is indicated at the lower part of the image. (A–C) HF double labeled for BLM protein (A) and PML (B); the merged image shows that both proteins colocalize (C). (D–F) Bloom syndrome fibroblasts (BF) double labeled for BLM (D) and PML (E); there is no ND10 labeling with the BLM antibodies (F). (G–I) NT2 cells double labeled for Sp100 (G) and PML (H); there is no ND10 labeling for Sp100 (I). (J) Western blot analysis to determine the presence of Sp100 (lanes 1-4) and PML (lanes 5-8) in NT2 (lanes 1, 2, 5, and 6) and HEp-2 cells (lanes 3, 4, 7, and 8). IFN$^{\alpha} +$ represents interferon $^{\alpha}$-treated cells. Asterisk marks unspecific protein recognized by anti-PML antibody. It indicates equal protein load. Arrowheads mark most abundant Sp100 and PML signals. Higher molecular mass polypeptides represent alternative splicing or posttranscriptional modification (by SUMO-1 or phosphorylation) of proteins. It is clearly seen that NT2 cells do not express Sp100 in normal and IFN$^{\alpha}$-upregulated conditions (lanes 1 and 2), whereas in HEp-2 cells IFN$^{\alpha}$ upregulation results in a dramatic increase of Sp100 signal (lanes 3 and 4). Endogenous as well as IFN$^{\alpha}$-upregulated PML are present in both cell lines (lanes 5-8).
Figure 2. PML is responsible for the proper localization of all other ND10-associated proteins. Confocal micrographs of immunolabeled proteins are presented with the respective colors in the upper corners of the image. Cell type and overexpressed protein are indicated at the lower part of the image. (A) MPEF cells labeled for Sp100 and PML; the major ND10-associated proteins are present in mouse ND10. (B) MPEF cells double labeled for NDP55; mouse ND10 contain NDP55. C. MPEF cells double labeled for SUMO-1 and PML; mouse ND10 contain SUMO-1-modified proteins. D–H represent the same fields as in pictures above emphasizing the highest concentration of the corresponding proteins in ND10. (G) PML+/− MPEF cells labeled for Sp100 showing this protein only is dispersed throughout the nucleus in the absence of PML. (H) PML+/− MPEF cells labeled for NDP55; NDP55 is dispersed in the nucleus. (I) PML+/− MPEF cells labeled for SUMO-1; SUMO-1 is dispersed in the nucleus. (J) PML-transfected (left upper and lower cells) PML+/− MPEF labeled for Sp100 and PML showing that Sp100 is recruited to ND10-like sites. (K) PML transfected (right, upper and lower cells) PML+/− MPEF labeled for NDP55 and PML; NDP55 is recruited to ND10-like sites. (L) PML transfected PML+/− MPEF (left upper and lower cells) labeled for SUMO-1 and PML; SUMO-1 is recruited to ND10-like sites. Note exclusive nuclear localization of SUMO-1 even when PML is accumulated in the cytoplasm.
panel of antibodies that reacted with several mouse ND10-associated proteins. We had identified a rabbit antibody that reacted with human and mouse PML and labeled ND10 in mouse fibroblasts (Fig. 2, A–C). This antibody was used to identify antibodies that were specific for mouse proteins located in ND10. Screening several Sp100-positive human autoantibodies, we identified one that interacts with mouse Sp100 (Fig. 2, A and D). A nitroty specificity was confirmed by Western blotting using recombinant Sp100. In addition, the anti-NDP55 mAb labeled ND10 (Fig. 2, B and E). A iso, SUMO-1, which can modify both PML and Sp100, was detected in mouse ND10 (Fig. 2, C and F). To show that Sp100, NDP55, and SUMO-1 are concentrated in ND10, they are presented as separate green images below the merged one in the upper row. This panel of four antibodies recognized mouse ND10 and was used to probe for the presence of ND10 in PML −/−MPEF.

When PML −/−MPEF were tested for the distribution of the different ND10-associated proteins, neither Sp100, NDP55, nor SUMO-1 was seen in typical ND10. Instead, these proteins appeared throughout the nucleus without any ND10 accumulations (Fig. 2, compare D–F with G–I). The distribution pattern of three ND10-associated proteins, in the absence of PML, suggested PML as a likely candidate for establishing ND10 integrity. To test this possibility, we expressed PML in the PML −/−MPEF cells by transient transfection and probed for the location of Sp100, NDP55, and SUMO-1. At low expression levels, PML appeared in domains with the frequency and distribution of ND10 in wild-type cells (Fig. 2, J–L). Upon accumulation of PML, larger aggregates with a lower frequency appeared (Fig. 2, J, compare lower left and upper left cells) and sometimes cytoplasmic accumulations (Fig. 2, L, lower cell) were seen. All tested mouse ND10 proteins were segregated into PML-positive structures. These observations established that PML is essential for the assembly of ND10 and for the segregation and accumulation of ND10-associated proteins.

Daxx Is a New Component of ND10 and Interacts with PML

It has been shown before that PML cannot interact with Sp100 directly (Sternsdorf et al., 1997). However, it can recruit Sp100 into ND10 upon transient expression in PML −/−MPEF cells. We concluded that some adapter proteins must mediate this interaction and searched for new proteins that were part of ND10 and interacted with PML. In previous studies, we had cloned human Daxx through its ability to bind to the steroidogenic factor-1-like binding site in the human steroidogenic acute regulatory protein gene promoter DNA sequence (Kiriakidou et al., 1997). Rabbit antibodies produced against this protein showed an ND10-like nuclear distribution. When compared with PML, Daxx colocalized perfectly in ND10 (Fig. 3, A–C). Since Daxx interacts with the death domain of Fas (Yang et al., 1997) and, therefore, was anticipated to be a cytoplasmic protein, we confirmed the ND10 localization of Daxx with two other independently generated antibodies to ensure that the antigen detected in ND10 was truly identical to Daxx. Both a commercial rabbit antibody and a mouse antibody produced against a recombinant HDaxx fragment reacted with the same structure as did PML antibodies. Specificity of Daxx antibodies were confirmed by Western blot analysis of in vitro translated HDaxx (not shown). These experiments strongly argued against the possibility that antibodies identified a spurious localization.

In addition to Fas, Daxx was shown to interact with CENP-C and to be located at centromeres in a cell cycle–dependent fashion (Pluta et al., 1998). When we used human autoantibodies against centromeric proteins together with Daxx antibodies in wild-type mouse fibroblasts, we observed that a few sites did appear to colocalize. However, in most cases Daxx was situated beside centromeres or was not apparent at centromeres (Fig. 3, D). We concluded that Daxx is in its highest concentration in ND10 and is, therefore, a novel ND10-associated protein.

To investigate the possibility that the localization of Daxx reflects an interaction between Daxx and another ND10-associated protein, we employed the yeast two-hybrid assay. We determined whether Daxx could interact with Sp100 and/or PML fused to GAL4DB. Daxx was fused to VP16 and the VP16-producing plasmid was used as a negative control. To quantitate the strength of interaction, we used the liquid β-galactosidase assay. Using this assay, we did not observe any evidence of interaction between Daxx and Sp100. In contrast, Daxx strongly interacted with PML (Table I).

To assess the specificity of this interaction, we mapped the region of Daxx that is required for interaction with PML (see Table I for details). The PML coil-coiled region deletion mutant can still interact with Daxx, although it can also interact with VP16 alone (see also Ahn et al., 1998). Unexpectedly, two of the Daxx NH2-terminal deletion mutants (amino acids 488–740) failed to interact at all. A nother Daxx deletion mutant (amino acids 433–740) showed an ~20-fold weaker interaction than the Daxx 488–740 amino acid mutant. The weaker signal might reflect the exposure of the acidic amino acid-rich region between amino acids 434 and 485, diminishing the interaction. Thus, the PML interaction domain, as defined by the yeast two-hybrid assay, lies between amino acids 625 and 740, and amino acids 625–661 are essential for this interaction. Together, these data demonstrate that Daxx interacts with PML and suggests that the spatial colocalization of PML and Daxx in ND10 reflects an interaction between these two proteins.

Daxx Location Is Mediated by PML

The results of the yeast two-hybrid assay indicated that Daxx and PML interacted, and the colocalization of the two proteins in ND10 was consistent with physiological association. To test whether various deletions in Daxx would affect Daxx localization in the context of mammalian cells, we fused the mutants with GFP-NLS. In agreement with
Figure 3. The new ND10-associated protein Daxx and its localization upon ND10 destruction and reconstitution. Confocal micrographs of immunolabeled proteins are presented with the respective colors in the upper corners of the image. Cell type and overexpressed proteins are indicated in the lower part of the image. (A–C) MPEF cells labeled for Daxx (A), PML (B), and both proteins (C) shown to colocalize at ND10. (D) PML−/− MPEF cells double labeled for centromeres and PML; some centromeres are associated with Daxx-positive sites, but mostly Daxx is not present in the same space as centromeres. (E–G) PML−/− MPEF cells double labeled for Daxx (E) and DNA (F), and together (G) show Daxx located at the condensed chromatin in the absence of PML. (H–J) PML−/− MPEF cells transfected to express PML (upper cell) and labeled for PML (H), Daxx (I), and both proteins (J); Daxx is located in PML-positive sites and contrary to the untransfected cells, very little is in the nucleoplasm and is unrecognizable at sites that may resemble condensed chromatin. (K–N) PML−/− MPEF cells fused to HF and stained for DNA (K) identifying the lower and left upper cell as of mouse origin by their DNA staining of condensed chromatin, and the right upper cell as human. Staining for PML is shown in L and for Daxx in M. In the merged image (N), the left upper mouse cell is shown to contain human PML and is, therefore, fused to HF. In the fused mouse cell, Daxx is present not only at condensed chromatin as in the lower mouse cell, but also in ND10-like domains.
indirect immunofluorescence results, the GFP-Daxx fusion protein was found to accumulate efficiently at PML-positive sites (Fig. 4, A–C). In contrast, the COOH-terminal deletion mutant (amino acids 1–595), which lacked the PML-interacting region, was diffusely present throughout the nucleus and was not accumulated at PML-positive sites (Fig. 4, D–F). The results from the yeast two-hybrid interaction were also confirmed by the finding that the Daxx COOH-terminal region (amino acids 624–740) alone was sufficient to localize GFP to ND10 (Fig. 4, G–I). These data suggest that Daxx interaction with PML is necessary for ND10 localization.

If Daxx is accumulated in ND10 through interaction with PML, one would predict a different Daxx distribution in cells without PML. In normal mouse fibroblasts, Daxx colocalized specifically with PML in ND10 (Fig. 3, A–G). However, in the absence of PML (mouse PML−/− fibroblasts), we found the localization of Daxx to be quite different. Daxx was localized in patches which, when counterstained for DNA, proved to be condensed chromatin (Fig. 3, E–G). Therefore, in the absence of ND10, condensed chromatin is an alternative nuclear compartment of Daxx accumulation.

To investigate the relationship between PML and Daxx further, we tested whether PML could recruit endogenous Daxx into ND10 when transiently expressed in PML−/− MPEF cells. As shown in Fig. 3, H–J, the untransfected PML−/− MPEF cells exhibited the patchy Daxx distribution characteristic of its condensed chromatin location. In PML-transfected cells (Fig. 3, H–J, upper cell), Daxx was not seen at condensed chromatin but, instead, now localized almost exclusively with PML. These PML-induced structures were found to also contain the other ND10-associated proteins (Fig. 2, J–L) and were, therefore, considered to be ND10.

To test if PML could restore Daxx accumulation at ND10 without the strong overexpression induced by transient transfection, we performed a cell fusion experiment where PML−/− MPEF cells were fused with human fibroblasts as a source of PML. Species specificity of the cells was determined by DNA distribution, which showed strongly condensed chromatin for mouse cells (Fig. 3 K, compare left and lower cells with the one in the upper right). In unfused mouse cells (Fig. 3, K–N, lower cell), Daxx appeared at its highest concentration only in condensed chromatin. But in another mouse cell (upper left cell), which became positive for human PML as a result of fusion with HF (upper right cell), Daxx started to appear in additional domains that colocalized with PML. In this experiment we could not distinguish between human and mouse Daxx. However, the finding that mDaxx, after hPML overexpression in PML−/− MPEF cells was recruited to ND10 (Fig. 3 J), made it likely that in the fusion experiment mDaxx could be accumulated into PML-positive structures. Therefore, we concluded that physiological quantities of PML can induce ND10 formation in nuclei that normally do not have them.

SUMO-1 Modification of PML Is Essential for Daxx Recruitment to ND10

PML has been shown to be modified by SUMO-1 at least at three sites. The SUMO-1 modification seemed necessary for the deposition of PML at ND10 (Kamitani et al., 1998a; Muller et al., 1998). Therefore, we were interested in determining whether this modification affected interaction with Daxx. Double labeling of HEp-2 cells for SUMO-1 and overexpressed PML showed that PML in the nucleus colocalized with SUMO-1 in large aggregates, and that the cytoplasmic PML aggregates did not stain for SUMO-1. This suggested that only nuclear PML was modified by SUMO-1 (Fig. 5 A and E, compare yellow nuclear domains resulting from the PML/SUMO-1 colocalization and red staining of cytoplasmic PML). We tested whether elimination of SUMO-1 modification sites in PML influenced SUMO-1 aggregation in PML-positive sites. SUMO-1 modification sites have been identified previously at lysines 65, 160, and 490 (Kamitani et al., 1998a). We transfected HEp-2 cells with RGS-His-tagged PML mutants containing successively decreasing numbers of

Table I. PML–Daxx and Sp100–Daxx Interaction in the Yeast Two-Hybrid System

| Activation hybrid | DNA-binding hybrid (β-Gal Units) | 
|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Vp16              | 2 118 3                        |
| Vp15-Daxx w.t.    | 775 1055 4                     |
| Vp16-Daxx 1-625aa | 3 4                            |
| Vp16-Daxx 433-740aa | 118 2                         |
| Vp16-Daxx 488-740aa | 2153 3                        |
| Vp16-Daxx 625-740aa | 1960 4                       |
| Vp16-Daxx 661-740aa | 11 4                          |

As the activation hybrid, we used Vp16 fused with indicated domains of Daxx. DNA-binding hybrids contained Gal-4 DBD fused with PML wild-type, PMLΔcoil (amino acids 214–329 deleted), or Sp100 wild-type. β-Galactosidase units were determined as described in Materials and Methods. Different domains of Daxx are shown as open bars on the schematic drawing of wild-type protein with the corresponding amino acid indicated.
SUMO-1–modified lysines and analyzed the localization of these overexpressed PML mutants and endogenous SUMO-1. We observed that a decrease of SUMO-1 accumulation in PML domains paralleled the number of mutated lysines. Shown in Fig. 5, B and F, are cells transfected with the RGS-His-tagged mutant PMLΔSUMO, in which all three lysine residues are substituted with arginine. Upon high PMLΔSUMO accumulation, similar enlarged and later distorted ND10 appear as seen for the wild-type PML overexpression, except that these accumulations did not label with SUMO-1 antibodies like the wild-type PML accumulations (Fig. 5 A, compare upper right cell with lower right cell). Contrary to published reports, all PML mutants were accumulated at ND10 (recognized by the location of endogenous SUMO-1) at low level of expression (Fig. 5 A, B and F, upper two cells are transfected, lower right is not transfected). This PMLΔSUMO accumulation indicates that either SUMO-1 modification is not necessary for ND10 targeting of PML and/or is due to potential dimer formation between PMLΔSUMO and wild-type PML, where the wild-type PML would serve as an ND10 targeting vehicle. We concluded that SUMO-1–modified PML appears only in the nucleus, and confirmed in situ that PMLΔSUMO is not SUMO-1–modified.

We tested whether endogenous Daxx recruitment into ND10 was influenced by the level of PML SUMO-1 modification. HEp-2 cells were transfected with PML and PMLΔSUMO expression plasmids and tested for the location of endogenous Daxx. We found that Daxx is accumulated in domains formed by wild-type PML but not in those formed by PMLΔSUMO (Fig. 5, compare lower cell in C and G with lower left cell in D and H; the cell in the upper left of D and H is not transfected). These data demonstrate that Daxx accumulation at ND10, and potentially PML-Daxx interaction, depends on SUMO-1

Figure 4. Localization of GFP-fused wild-type Daxx and Daxx mutants upon transfection. Confocal micrographs presenting HEp-2 cells transiently transfected by plasmids expressing Daxx and Daxx mutants fused with GFP and stained by PML (A, D, and G) and GFP (B, E, and H). Merged images are presented in C, F, and I. Overexpressed proteins are indicated in the lower part of the image. (A–C) HEp-2 cells 16 h after transfection with wild-type Daxx fused to GFP-NLS; transiently expressed Daxx accumulates in PML-positive sites. (D–F) HEp-2 cells 16 h after transfection with Daxx 1-595 fused to GFP-NLS; this COOH-terminal deletion mutant does not accumulate in PML-positive sites. (G–I) HEp-2 cells 16 h after transfection with Daxx 624-740 fused to GFP-NLS; the transiently expressed Daxx COOH-terminal fragment accumulates in PML-positive sites but also floods the nucleoplasm.
modification of PML (Fig. 5, compare A and B with C and D). If PMLΔSUMO does not recruit endogenous Daxx into domains, both proteins should not colocalize upon overexpression. When we cotransfected Daxx and PML into HEp-2 cells, we found that the two proteins colocalized in the nucleus (Fig. 5, I and J). However, PMLΔSUMO and Daxx formed separated aggregates upon overexpression (Fig. 5, K and L). Taken together,

Figure 5. SUMO-1 modification of PML is important for Daxx localization. Confocal micrographs of immunolabeled proteins are presented with the respective colors in the upper corners of the image. Cell type and overexpressed proteins are indicated in the lower part of the image. (A and E) HEp-2 cells double labeled for SUMO-1 and PML (A) or SUMO-1 only (E). SUMO-1 and PML colocalized only in the nucleus. (B and F) HEp-2 cells transfected to express the triple SUMO-1 site deletion PML mutant (PMLΔSUMO) double labeled for His-tagged PMLΔSUMO and SUMO-1 (B) or SUMO-1 only (F); PMLΔSUMO is accumulating in ND10-like structures in the upper two transfected cells; endogenous SUMO-1 indicating the location of ND10. There is no SUMO-1 in large nuclear PMLΔSUMO aggregates of the lower right cell as compared with the large aggregates of wild-type PML in A. The lower left cell is not transfected. (C and G) HEp-2 cells double labeled for Daxx and PML (C) or Daxx only (G); Daxx is accumulated in PML-positive sites. (D and H) HEp-2 cells transfected by His-tagged PMLΔSUMO and double labeled for Daxx and PMLΔSUMO (D) or Daxx only (H); there is no Daxx in the mutant PML accumulations as compared with the wild-type PML transfec-
tion in C. The upper left cell is not transfected. (I and J) HEp-2 cells double transfected to express PML and Daxx and double labeled for Daxx and PML (I) or Daxx only (J); Daxx and PML colocalized, but in the nucleus only. (K and L) Daxx and PMLΔSUMO double-transfected HEp-2 cells double labeled for Daxx and His-tagged PMLΔSUMO (K) or Daxx only (L); Daxx and PMLΔSUMO are de-
posed in different spaces.
these results show that the SUMO-1 modification of PML determines the ability of PML to segregate Daxx into ND 10.

Discussion

The nucleus has been increasingly segmented into different domains that relate to the traditional nuclear functions of replication and transcription. These domains are defined by specific chromosomal territories (Lichter et al., 1988), replication sites (Wei et al., 1998), transcription sites (Jackson et al., 1993), or domains that contain excess splicing components (Spector et al., 1991). Like the nucleolus, coiled bodies have been suggested to reflect high rates of transcription because of the localization of certain genes at these sites (Gao et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1995). Nuclear domains such as ND 10 and Geminis have gained attention through their connection to specific diseases (Szostek et al., 1990; Mau et al., 1993; Dyck et al., 1994; Liu and Dreyfuss, 1996; Liu et al., 1997) or viral infections (Mau et al., 1993, 1996; Mau and Verette, 1994; Ishov and Mau, 1996; Ishov et al., 1997). For ND 10, a function in transcription also has been postulated (LaMorte et al., 1998). The role ND 10 plays as a structure remains unclear, although we have suggested that these domains function as nuclear depots for a number of proteins (Mau, 1998). Such a model dissociates the function of the respective ND 10-associated proteins from their location at ND 10. It also suggests that physiologically relevant interactions of these proteins with other proteins might occur at different locations. Recruitment of proteins from nucleoplasm to ND 10, leading to the changes in the intranuclear protein balance, may affect cellular functions.

Modification of ND 10 in a number of pathological processes strongly suggests that investigating the ND 10 assembly mechanism is an essential step towards understanding the function of this nuclear structure. Using cells that lack either Sp100 or the newly described ND 10-associated protein BLM, we demonstrated that neither the lack of Sp100 nor the absence of BLM affected the structure of ND 10. In contrast, cells lacking PML exhibited dispersion of all ND 10-associated proteins. ND 10 could be reconstructed by the introduction of PML into PML−/− cells either by transfection or, at more physiological concentrations of PML, through the fusion with PML-containing cells. This reconstruction includes the recruitment of all ND 10 proteins, including Sp100, which does not interact with PML, suggesting the presence of mediator proteins. Our observation establishes that PML is the essential protein for ND 10 assembly under physiological conditions.

The destruction of ND 10 induced by the herpes virus immediate early gene products (IE1 of HCMV and ICP0 of HSV; E Verette and Mau, 1994; Kelly et al., 1995; Ishov et al., 1997; Ahn et al., 1998; Verette et al., 1998) supports the notion that PML plays a central part in the maintenance of ND 10. Particularly the direct interaction of IE1 with PML (Ahn et al., 1998) may deprive ND 10 of PML and so lead to their destruction. Consistent with this effect, constitutive expression of IE1 in astrocytoma cells and human fibroblasts also results in the loss of detectable ND 10 (Ishov, A.M., unpublished observation).

The formation of ND 10 has been found to accompany A PL remission in promyelocytes (Dyck et al., 1995). Aafter retinoic acid treatment of A PL-derived NB 4 cells, the dominant negative PML-retinoic acid receptor α (PML-RARα) fusion protein is selectively hydrolyzed through the proteasome pathway, releasing wild-type PML (Muller et al., 1998). This, in turn, might be the reason for ND 10 formation in RA-treated NB 4 cells, which normally have only dispersed ND 10-associated proteins. Therefore, the recovery of ND 10 may be a consequence of PML availability, which leads to the segregation of other ND 10 proteins.

The central role of PML in ND 10 formation suggests the presence of proteins that are accumulated at ND 10 through interaction with PML. We found that the recently cloned DNA-binding protein Daxx (Kirikakidou et al., 1997; Yang et al., 1997) was highly concentrated in ND 10. Moreover, we found that Daxx interacted with PML in the yeast two-hybrid assay, mapped the interaction domain of Daxx, and demonstrated that Daxx localization at ND 10 depended on the presence of a PML interaction domain as well as SUMO-1 modification of PML. The discrepancy between previously reported Daxx interactions with Fas (Yang et al., 1997), CENP-C (Pluta et al., 1998), and ND 10 localization of this protein suggests that Daxx does not accumulate together with all interaction partners, but is preferentially accumulated at ND 10.

In the absence of PML in PML−/− MPEF cells, ND 10 are destroyed. Therefore, ND 10-associated proteins are expected to be found at their alternative binding locations. Most of these proteins were dispersed throughout the nucleus and, thus, were not amenable to microscopic analysis. Only Daxx was detected at higher concentrations in the areas of condensed chromatin. Daxx was removed from these chromatin regions through the introduction of PML by transient transfection coconcomitant with the formation of ND 10. Therefore, these chromatin regions have a substantial amount of unsaturated Daxx binding sites. We propose that Daxx acts at sites other than ND 10 by interactions with DNA (Kirikakidou et al., 1997) or other proteins (Yang et al., 1997; Pluta et al., 1998; Hollenbach et al., 1999) at a variety of cellular locations. Whether the balance of Daxx between ND 10 and condensed chromatin can be modified under physiological conditions is not yet conclusively established; however, such a balance may constitute a potential control mechanism.

A key finding of our study was that the Daxx accumulation in ND 10 is dependent on SUMO-1 modification of PML. In the yeast two-hybrid system, SMT3, the yeast homologue of SUMO-1 (Lapenta et al., 1997), may have facilitated the PML-Daxx interaction. Moreover, conjugation of SMT3 to other yeast proteins is facilitated by Ubc9 (Johnson and Blobel, 1997; Schwarz et al., 1998), the yeast homologue of human Ubc9, which is involved in the SUMO-1 modification of PML (Duprez et al., 1999). Failure to coimmunoprecipitate in vitro translated Daxx with recombinant PML (not shown) is consistent with the idea that SUMO-1 modification of PML mediates this interaction.

The SUMO-1 modification level of PML might be a determinant of the amount of Daxx recruited and the avidity with which Daxx is retained at ND 10. Therefore, the regulated posttranslational modification of PML may balance...
Figure 6. Hierarchical scheme of ND10 formation and maintenance. PML-h indicates PML conjugated to SUMO-1; DNA M-Tase, DNA methyltransferase.

The amount of Daxx available in the nucleus. Contrary to previous reports (Kamitani et al., 1998a; Muller et al., 1998), we found that SUMO-1 modification may not be essential for the deposition of PML at ND10, but rather results in the Daxx accumulation at this domain. The central role of PML in ND10 formation suggests the presence of a protein network where some adapter proteins can mediate recruitment of non-PML-interacting proteins to ND10. Daxx may act as such an adapter and recruit other ND10-associated proteins that do not bind directly to PML (Negorev, D., unpublished results).

An emergent hierarchical model for ND10 formation is presented schematically in Fig. 6. The appearance of ND10 after mitosis must result from a nucleation event possibly through homo- or heteromultimerization of PML. This event may take place at specific nuclear deposition sites, as postulated earlier (Maul, 1998). Transcriptional activation, for instance by interferon, can upregulate PML expression (Lavau et al., 1995), nucleating additional aggregation sites. SUMO-1 modification-demodification of PML (third level) may lead to a reversible accumulation of Daxx to ND10 (fourth level), increasing or decreasing the availability of this protein for alternative binding partners (DNA, CENP-C, Daxx, DNA methyltransferase), and thus regulate corresponding functions. The complexity and plasticity of such a supramolecular regulatory mechanism are evident and envisioned structurally as a network of interacting proteins with PML at its core.
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