Analyzing Distribution Transformer Degradation with Increased Power Electronic Loads
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Abstract—The influx of non-linear power electronic loads into the distribution network has the potential to disrupt the existing distribution transformer operations. They were not designed to mediate the excessive heating losses generated from the harmonics. To have a good understanding of current standing challenges, a knowledge of the generation and load mix as well as the current harmonic estimations are essential for designing transformers and evaluating their performance. In this paper, we investigate a mixture of essential power electronic loads for a household designed in PSCAD/EMTdc and their potential impacts on transformer eddy current losses and deriving using harmonic analysis. The various scenarios have been studied with increasing PV penetrations. The Peak load conditions are chosen for each scenario to perform a transformer derating analysis. Our findings reveal that in the presence of high power electronic loads (especially third harmonics), along with increasing PV generation may worsen transformer degradation. However, with a low amount of power electronic loads, additional PV generation helps to reduce the harmonic content in the current and improve transformer performance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Power electronic loads have found a wider application in power system networks especially after their advancement in the late 1900s. Many loads require essential power electronic converters for stage conversion. Some common examples of power electronic loads include uninterrupted power supply (UPS) devices, personal computers, laptops, electric vehicle chargers, etc. These non-linear loads contribute to non-linear sinusoidal currents. The non-sinusoidal currents, when passing through network impedance, create a non-sinusoidal voltage drop [1]. The non-sinusoidal voltage and current components are integer multiples of the fundamental component called “harmonics”. The deterioration of the supply voltage creates stress on the electrical equipment and can potentially damage it, resulting in increased operating costs and downtime [2].

Increased voltage and current harmonics are found to have a direct relationship to premature aging and degradation of transformers. Initial transformer designs were made considering conventional load models, i.e., Constant Impedance (Z), Constant Current (I), and Constant Power (P) or “ZIP” models, that would operate at fundamental 60Hz or 50Hz frequency [3, 4]. Under the increased penetration of non-linear loads, the design of power transformers needs to be reassessed to ensure proper and safe operation. Increased non-linear loads increase the transformer losses due to overheating of the core, creating a larger derating factor [5, 6].

The problem of harmonics is more evident with customers on the low-voltage end. The common household equipment includes but not limited to desktops, laptops, LED lamps, variable speed drives, solar panels, etc. To compound the challenges, as more and more electric vehicles come to the market, they rely majorly on at-home charging that produces a large fraction of non-linear voltage and current. Certain power electronic devices like VFD’s contribute more 3rd harmonics, if they are not properly compensated it would lead to additional losses and loss-of-life for the transformer. The addition of harmonics has an effect on transformer protection as well. Addition of the 5th harmonic needs to be compensated below a certain threshold before the protection relays can be engaged. The distorted harmonic waveforms results in loss of essential information for protection, this might result in the protection devices operating slower [7].

Currently, there is a gap in high-fidelity load models that can capture the typical characteristics of non-linear models, i.e., the cross-coupling effect of voltages and current. A harmonic-rich current/voltage dataset is essential to understand the effect on transformer losses, heating, etc. “ZIP” based harmonic load models suffer from a lack of enhanced harmonic spectrum that can be observed through the operation of various non-linear devices [8]. Real-world field data is not publicly available to perform such analysis. Methods relying on laboratory setups to develop such datasets fail to capture the effect on other nonlinear load currents.

Therefore, in this paper, (i) we perform an analysis of residential transformer heating and losses encountered due to the presence of non-linear power electronic residential loads using PSCAD/EMTdc. Detailed power electronic models are developed to create harmonic rich datasets to entail their effect on transformer operation; (ii) Different loading scenarios on the transformer are assessed with increasing PV penetration to understand its effect on THD(%), eddy current losses, and the subsequent impact on transformer derating.

The rest of the paper has been organized in the following
TABLE I. Power electronics-based load models representing house appliances

| Load model                  | House appliances                      |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Rectifier + Buck DC-DC converter | Desktop, home entertainment          |
| Rectifier + Flyback DC-DC converter | Laptop charger                      |
| VFD + Induction motor       | HVAC, washer, dryer                   |
| Boost converter + inverter  | PV system, EV charger                 |

B. Data Generation

The power electronic load combinations were modeled using PSCAD/EMTdc. The steady-state values of current were recorded at the secondary of the distribution transformer as shown in Fig. 1. A similar process was repeated for several load combinations, and a few scenarios were selected that draw significantly harmonic-rich current for further analysis as discussed in Section IV.

III. TRANSFORMER DEGRADATION ANALYSIS DUE TO HARMONICS

Most power electronic loads are fitted with a single or distributed capacitor at the terminal that helps to maintain a constant dc voltage along with the parasitic inductors. Since there is a periodic change in the load impedance, the current waveform varies from the supplied voltage waveform. The non-sinusoidal current can be represented as a sum of the fundamental and integer multiple of the fundamental “harmonics”.

A. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)

One can transform a given sequence in time into its respective frequency components using Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) [10]. FFT is useful for performing the DFT of a sequence. FFT performs the computation of the DFT matrix as a product of sparse factors. The DFT for such a sequence can be given as (1),

$$X[k] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j2\pi kn/N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where N is the length of the signal. Since the sampling frequency of the signal is 20kHz, the maximum represented frequencies are half of the sampling frequency. We try to capture all the representative frequencies in that range. The harmonic components in the measured current are a function of the fundamental 60Hz frequency. A frequency scan is performed to identify the magnitude of the current harmonics $I_h$.

Since the measured signal is not an integer multiple, the endpoints of the frequency spectrum are discontinuous. FFT produces a smeared spectral version of the original signal where the energy of one frequency leaks into adjacent frequencies. This phenomenon is known as spectral leakage. To get the best estimate of the current harmonics, we perform a scan of the frequencies adjacent to the integer harmonic frequency. Practices like windowing are utilized to reduce the effect of the non-integer frequencies, but this was not considered as a part of our work.

B. Eddy Current Losses

In a residential set-up, most of the losses are due to heating $I^2R$ losses. A residential home consists of both linear and non-linear loads; in this study, we majorly focus on the increase in non-linear residential loads that create harmonics that inadvertently contribute to more losses. The effect is more tremendous at the grid-edge locations where a lot of power electronic loads are connected, for example, the distribution service transformers.

The losses occurring in a transformer can be divided into two categories (2), (i) No-Load losses and (ii) Load losses. In this paper, we study the losses due to non-linear loads. When current flows through a conductor, it generates heat which is either utilized or lost in the surrounding environment.

$$T_{RLoss} = T_{RNL} + T_{RLL}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

The load losses ($T_{RLL}$) can be further subdivided into a summation of eddy current losses ($T_{REC}$) and structural stray losses ($T_{ST}$).

The eddy current component can be written as (3),

$$T_{REC} = \sum_{h=1}^{h_{max}} I_h^2 R_h$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

The winding losses increase as a square of the harmonic current component ($I_h$). When harmonic current flows through the conductive materials of the transformer, it leads to a variation in temperature.

From Newton’s law of cooling (4),
\[ P \delta t = mc\delta \theta + \alpha \theta A \delta t \]  

(4)

where \( P \) is the \( I^2R \) losses for material, \( m \) is the mass of the material, \( c \) is the specific heat capacity of the material, \( \delta \theta \) rise of temperature above ambient temperature for time \( \delta t \), \( A \) is the surface area of the material and \( \alpha \) is the emissivity factor.

The change in temperature can be written as (5) [11],

\[ \theta(t) = \theta_{final}[1 - e^{-t/\tau}] \]  

(5)

In steady state (4), \( mc\delta \theta = 0 \) and (4) can be re-written as (6),

\[ P \delta t = \alpha \theta A \delta t, \]  

\[ \theta = \frac{P}{\alpha A} \]  

(6)

From (6), we can say that \( \theta \propto P \propto I^2R \), as more non-linear current passes through the transformer, the ambient temperature of the material changes, resulting in more losses.

\[ R_h = R_{DC} + h^2 P_{EC-R} \]  

(7)

where \( R_{DC} \) is the winding resistance at \( h^{th} \) harmonic and \( P_{EC-R} \) is the winding eddy current loss factor, that ranges between 0.01 in low voltage transformers to 0.10 for substation transformers. For our study, we consider \( P_{EC-R} \) as 0.05.

By replacing \( R_h \) in (3), we get

\[ T_{EC} = I_h R_{DC} + \sum_{h=3,5,7,...}^{h_{max}} I_h^2 h^2 P_{EC-R} \]  

(8)

The first term of (8) \( I_h^2 R_{DC} \) is the non-frequency dependant part, and \( I_h^2 h^2 P_{EC-R} \) reflects the frequency dependant part of the transformer eddy current losses. Thus, the harmonic driven transformer eddy current losses can be summarized as (9),

\[ T_{EC} = P_{EC-R} \sum_{h=1}^{h_{max}} I^2 h^2 \]  

(9)

C. Harmonic Loss Factor & Transformer Derating

Harmonic loss factor (\( F_{HL} \)) is defined as the ratio of the total loss due to eddy current due to harmonics and the winding current losses in the absence of harmonics [12]. It is expressed as (10),

\[ F_{HL} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{h_{max}} I_h^2 h^2}{\sum_{h=1}^{h_{max}} I_h^2} \]  

(10)

To reduce the loss-of-life of a transformer due to an increased non-linear current, they are usually derated (i.e., reduced transformer loading). The derating % helps to understand the transformer operational capability below its rating to prolong its duration.

### Table II. Scenarios of different load combinations with increasing PV penetration

| Scenarios | PV units | Peak Load Time | Total PV generation | Total other load | Net load |
|-----------|----------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 1         | 0        | evening        | 0 kW                | 9.5 kW          | 9.5 kW  |
| 2         | 1        | evening        | 1.5 kW              | 9.5 kW          | 8 kW    |
| 3         | 2        | evening        | 3 kW                | 9.5 kW          | 6.5 kW  |
| 4         | 3        | day            | 10.5 kW             | 2.5 kW          | -8 kW   |
| 5         | 4        | day            | 14 kW               | 2.5 kW          | -11.5 kW|

Fig. 2: Load combination for 5 houses corresponding to a different scenario, each scenario represents the peak load condition with a given PV penetration.

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A. Simulation Setup

To understand the effect of different loading scenarios on transformers, the simulation setup described in Fig. 1 is used. A total of 5 scenarios are constructed with different power electronic load combinations to analyze the impact on the transformer, as shown in Table II. All these 5 scenarios are assumed to represent the peak loading condition for a given transformer with increasing solar PV units. Scenarios 1, 2, and 3 are evening peaking cases where solar generation. Whereas scenarios 4 and 5 have solar generation high enough to cause a reverse power peak during daytime when the load is low. It is assumed that 1 PV unit generates 3.5 kW and 1.5 kW during the daytime and evening, respectively. The exact loading condition (mix of VFD, laptop, desktop, and PV) of each of the 5 houses connected to a service transformer in each scenario is shown in Fig. 2.

B. Impact of increasing PV on Transformer Current Harmonics and Eddy Losses

The current waveforms drawn by the transformer secondary in all 5 scenarios are shown in Fig. 3. The reverse current can be noticed in scenarios 3 and 4 due to high solar PV generation. These waveforms were analyzed to understand the harmonic contribution in each scenario. The harmonic contents of the measured current signals were extracted using FFT.
as described in Section III-A. The THD(%) for each of the
scenarios was calculated using (11),

\[ THD = \sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{n_{\text{max}}} \frac{I_k^2}{I_1}} \]  

(11)

Where \( I_k \) denotes the \( k^{th} \) harmonic current magnitude. Eddy
current losses calculations are based on the discussion in
Section III-B. THD(%) and corresponding eddy losses for each
scenario are shown in Fig. 4. It is observed in scenarios 1,
2, and 3 that increasing solar PV units cause more harmonic
distortion in transformer currents. On the contrary, in scenarios
4 and 5, it is observed that the addition of PV generation
decreases THD. To understand this pattern, we need to look
at the frequency spread of 1\(^{st}\), 3\(^{rd}\), and 5\(^{th}\) harmonic of the
current as shown in Fig. 5. Observing scenarios 1, 2, and
3, we find that the increasing PV generation reduces the net
fundamental current magnitude as expected. However, the 3\(^{rd}\)
harmonic current magnitude remains the same in scenarios
1, 2, and 3, as shown in Fig. 5. It can be inferred that the
PV inverter is primarily compensating for the fundamental
component of the load currents, not the 3\(^{rd}\) harmonic. This
leads to an increased % of 3\(^{rd}\) harmonic in scenario 3, as
shown in Fig. 6, resulting in high THD(%).

On the other hand, scenarios 4 and 5 have very high PV
generation but a much lower amount of other power electronics
load compared to scenarios 1-3. Therefore, the net current is
mainly composed of PV current. Since PV units are mandated
to maintain less than 5% THD, they come equipped with
harmonic filters by the vendors, as discussed in [9]. Therefore,
in scenarios 4 and 5, harmonic distortions are the lowest.

Transformer eddy losses tend to follow the current THD %
and are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that scenario 3 has the
highest eddy losses, close to 30%.

C. Impact on Transformer Derating

All 5 scenarios represent the peak loading situation for
the given PV penetration. It is important for the transformer
derating analysis as it is usually performed in a full-loading
condition. Note that the up to 2 PV unit penetration (scenario
1-3) peak loading is assumed to occur during the evening
when other loads are high. Whereas, for higher penetration
(scenarios 4-5), solar PV generation can create its own reverse
power peak during the daytime.
The harmonic loss factor helps us to understand the % at which the transformers should be operated to prolong its life. The derating % for the different scenarios is shown in the last column of the Table II. The worst derating is observed in scenario 3, where the transformer operates at 75.88% of its rated capacity resulting in significant loss of life. If the penetration of power electronic loads are further increased the transformers would need to be further derated for their operation.

Total impact on the transformer in terms of THD(%), eddy losses, and derating are listed in Table III. Overall, if PV units come equipped with a filter as mandated by the standards, their individual effect on the transformer loading is positive. Therefore, in the presence of low power-electronic loads (scenarios 4 & 5), increasing PV penetration has a positive impact on transformer degradation. However, in the presence of high power-electronic loads (scenarios 1-3), increasing PV penetration may have adverse impacts on transformer degradation since it is not able to compensate for the $3^{rd}$ harmonic consumed by the loads such as VFDs, thus increasing $3^{rd}$ harmonic contribution.

V. CONCLUSION

The proposed work represents the challenges faced by a low-voltage distribution transformer due to the high penetration of a power electronic-dominated residential infrastructure via EMTP simulations. By operating principle, transformers are linear devices, and the addition of non-linear power electronic loads makes their operation non-linear. The harmonic load currents increase the losses in a transformer. The non-linear current causes a rise in temperature that affects the effective resistance of the transformer, as discussed in the Section III. The eddy current losses depend on the magnitude of the harmonic current, and higher THD(%) contributes to more eddy current losses. Integration of PV resources to compensate for the transformer loading had an adverse effect with increased levels of $3^{rd}$ harmonic. Such scenario’s are particularly visible for scenario 2 & 3 where the load of the network is mostly compensated by PV generation. Although, under low power electronic loading conditions, the addition of PV helped to reduce THD(%) in the transformer.

To have a better estimate of the transformer performance, detailed power electronic models are necessary. However, the scalability of inverter models in different simulation tools beyond a certain number is infeasible. Thus developing mathematical models of harmonic loads via frequency coupled matrix (FCM) will be considered in future work to generate high-fidelity time-series data for further analysis.