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Abstract

The computational study discusses the application of the co-flow jet technique as a fluid flow control device on the NACA 0015 airfoil. The numerical equation used is the RANS equation with the $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model. There are three variations of the mesh proposed in this paper. The first variation is a fine mesh with 100,000 elements. The second variation is a medium mesh with 50,000 elements. Meanwhile, the third variation is coarse mesh with 25,000 elements. Based on the mesh independence test results, the mesh with the lowest error value is the fine mesh. Co-flow jet is proven to control fluid flow on the upper side of NACA 0015. Co-flow jet can also improve the aerodynamic performance of NACA 0015 by increasing $C_l$ and decreasing $C_d$. The increase in $C_l$ was 114% and the decrease in $C_d$ was 24%. The fluid flow separation on the upper side of the airfoil can also be handled well by the co-flow jet.
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Abstrak

Studi komputasional membahas mengenai aplikasi co-flow jet technique sebagai perangkat kontrol aliran fluida pada airfoil NACA 0015. Persamaan numerik yang digunakan adalah persamaan RANS dengan model turbulensi $k-\varepsilon$. Terdapat tiga buah variasi mesh yang diajukan pada makalah ini. Variasi yang pertama adalah mesh halus dengan jumlah elemen 100.000. Mesh kedua adalah mesh sedang dengan jumlah elemen 50.000. Sementara itu variasi mesh ketiga adalah mesh kasar dengan jumlah elemen 25.000. Berdasarkan hasil mesh independence test, mesh yang memiliki nilai kesalahan terendah adalah mesh halus. Co-flow jet terbukti dapat mengendalikan aliran fluida di sisi atas dari NACA 0015. Co-flow jet juga dapat meningkatkan performa aerodinamika NACA 0015 dengan cara meningkatkan $C_l$ dan menurunkan $C_d$. Peningkatan $C_l$ adalah sebesar 114% dan penurunan $C_d$ sebesar 24%. Pemisahan aliran fluida di sisi atas airfoil juga mampu diatasi dengan baik oleh co-flow jet.

Kata Kunci: performa aerodinamika, co-flow jet, kontrol aliran, NACA 0015, separasi.
1. INTRODUCTION

Fluid flow separation is a phenomenon that can be found in both internal and external fluid flow (Karim and Julian, 2018). In the internal flow, fluid flow separation can be found at the pipe elbow, where fluid flow separation can cause circulating flow. The fluid flow separation in the pipe can cause head losses (Hu et al., 2020). In external flow, the problem of fluid flow separation becomes more complex and can cause substantial losses (Harinaldi et al., 2019, 2020). The fluid flow separation in external flow can be found on the upper side of the airfoil. Airfoil is a cross-sectional shape commonly found on aircraft wings, wind turbine blades, helicopter blades and others. When the airfoil moves with an extreme AoA (angle of attack), the fluid flow cannot follow the shape of the airfoil (Megawanto et al., 2018; Julian, Difitro and Stefan, 2016). The separation of fluid flow on the upper side of the airfoil can cause an increase in the drag force of the airfoil (Harinaldi, Budiarso and Julian, 2016).

From the point of view of the lift force, the separation of the fluid flow can also reduce the lift capability of the airfoil and cause stall conditions (Julian and Karim, 2017). In airfoils, fluid flow separation can occur in various forms. In fluids with a low Reynolds number, fluid flow separation can form a separation bubble. Two types of separation bubbles can be found on the upper side airfoil. The first type of separation bubble is a short separation bubble; the presence of a short bubble does not significantly impact the airfoil. The second type of separation bubble is the long separation bubble. Long separation bubbles can burst at any time on the upper side airfoil. The burst of a long separation bubble can reduce the lift ability of the airfoil. Therefore, at the low Reynolds number, the presence of a separation bubble is avoided (Karim and Julian, 2018; Kosasih, Karim and Julian, 2019). Another type of fluid flow separation on the upper side of the airfoil is peeling off the airfoil's boundary layer. This type of fluid flow separation is often found in medium and high Reynolds numbers (Julian et al., 2018).

Various methods can be used to overcome the fluid flow separation in the airfoil, one of which is to control the fluid flow around the surface of the airfoil. One of the studies related to flow control around the surface of the airfoil was carried out by Sudhakar and Karthikeyan (Sudhakar and Karthikeyan, 2021). Flow control is carried out by adding tubercles on the airfoil's leading edge. Tubercles are the features that can be found in the humpback whales' fins. The research was carried out experimentally with the object of NACA 4415 at the Reynolds number 120000. The research was explicitly conducted at AoA=18º. Tubercles on the leading edge can reduce fluid flow separation area by 50%. Other research related to flow control was carried out by giving a plasma actuator on the upper side of NACA 4415 (Megawanto et al., 2019). The research was conducted computationally (CFD) on the Reynolds numbers 35,000, 100,000 and 200,000. Based on research conducted by Harinaldi, the plasma actuator can increase the lift force and reduce the drag force of the NACA 4415. The maximum drag force reduction occurs at AoA=15º, where the drag force can be reduced up to 15.01%. Meanwhile, the most significant increase in lift force occurred at AoA=9º, where the increase in lift force occurred at 17.15%.

Using tubercles as a fluid flow control device is only effective at low Reynolds numbers. Therefore, the application of this fluid flow control device is very limited. Meanwhile, the plasma actuator can only delay the fluid flow separation and cannot eliminate the fluid flow separation. Thus, an alternative flow control device is needed to solve the fluid flow separation problem. This research proposes using a co-flow jet as a fluid flow control device. This study aims to apply a co-flow jet as an external fluid flow control device on the upper side of the airfoil and investigate the impact of using a co-flow jet on the NACA 0015 airfoil.

2. METHODOLOGY

The research process in this paper begins with creating the geometry needed for the computational process. The geometric model for this research is NACA 0015; modification of NACA 0015 adjusts to the shape of the co-flow jet and the required domain. The geometries are then
preparing for the meshing process. After the meshing is completed, the research is continued by setting boundary conditions. All of the steps above are part of the pre-processor. After the pre-processor stages are ready, then proceed with doing the solver. Some of the data obtained from the solver process are then tested for the mesh independence test. If the data fails in the mesh independence test stage, it will be repeated in the meshing process. However, if the mesh independence test results successfully. The data can then be collected and grouped for the subsequent analysis. The results of the data analysis can be used to conclude the final result of this research. Overall, the stages of this research can be seen in Figure 1.
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**Figure 1. Flowchart of the research**

### 2.1. Co-flow Jet

The co-flow jet is a relatively more modern fluid flow control device. Co-flow jet was first introduced by Zha and Paxton in 2004. Co-flow jet work by sucking fluid flow from the suction slot and removing the fluid through the injection slot. The injection fluid flowing on the upper side of the airfoil is expected to fill the vacuum when there is a fluid flow separation so that it can delay the stall on the airfoil. The stall is a condition where the airfoil or wing loses lift significantly. The co-flow jet can be seen in Figure 2 (Srinivasan, Ahmed and Sanjana, 2019).

![Modified airfoil with co-flow jet](image)

**Figure 2. Modified airfoil with co-flow jet**

### 2.2. Geometry

The geometries prepared for computation are the baseline NACA 0015, NACA 0015 with co-flow jets and fluid flow domains. The baseline NACA 0015 and NACA 0015 airfoil with co-flow jets are positioned within the domain. Some of the prepared geometries, along with their dimensions, can be seen in Figure 3. These dimensions are relative to the length of the airfoil chord. The chord length of the NACA 0015 and NACA 0015 airfoils with co-flow jets is 1 meter.

![Simulation model geometry](image)

**Figure 3. Simulation model geometry**
2.3. Meshing dan Boundary Condition
In this study, three mesh variations were prepared based on the difference in the number of mesh elements, as shown in Figure 4. The mesh element used was quadrilateral. Boundary conditions on the domain side are velocity-inlet and pressure-outlet. Meanwhile, the boundary condition for the NACA 0015 airfoil is entirely wall (no slip). Furthermore, the boundary condition of the injection slot is the velocity inlet, and the boundary condition for the suction slot is the outlet vent.

![Mesh and Boundary Condition](image)

Figure 4. Detail mesh and boundary condition

2.4. Numerical Equations and Turbulence Models
The numerical equation used is the equation for incompressible fluid flow, which is the Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes equation. The Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes consists of the momentum and mass conservation equations. The turbulence model used is $k-\varepsilon$. $k$ can be used to calculate turbulent kinetic energy. Meanwhile, $\varepsilon$ is the dissipation rate to obtain turbulent viscosity estimation. Calculations from numerical equations can be done with equations 1 and 2 (Aftab and Ahmad, 2017). Meanwhile, the mathematical equations for the turbulence model can be seen in equations 3 and 4 (Cable, 2009).

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho u_i)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u_i u_j) = 0 \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho u_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho u_i u_j) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial u_l}{\partial x_l} \right) \right] \tag{2}
\]

\[
\frac{D}{Dt} (\rho k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right] + G_k - \rho \varepsilon \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{D}{Dt} (\rho \varepsilon) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right] + C_{1\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} G_k - \rho C_{2\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k} \tag{4}
\]

2.5. Mesh Independence Test
The mesh independence test is carried out to ensure that the mesh used has a small error value. The first mesh variation has 100,000 elements. It is referred to as fine mesh. The second variation is a medium mesh which has 50,000 elements. Meanwhile, the last variation is a coarse mesh with 25,000 elements. The variation of the mesh can be seen in Figure 5. Mesh independence test can be done by taking a sample of the velocity or pressure of the fluid. The stages of the mesh independence test are carried out sequentially according to the equations below. $p$ is the order of the mesh independence test and $r$ is the ratio between the fine mesh and medium mesh or medium mesh to coarse mesh. $GCI_{\text{fine}}$ is a grid convergence index for fine mesh. $GCI_{\text{coarse}}$ is a grid convergence index for coarse mesh. $f=0$ is the exact value used as a parameter in determining the error value of each mesh. The
The mesh independence test is successful if \( \frac{GCI_{\text{fine}}}{GCI_{\text{coarse}}} \approx 1 \). In this study, the mesh independence test is an implementation of a study conducted by Roache (Roache, 1994).

![Mesh variations](image)

The results of the mesh independence test can be seen in Table 1. Based on the mesh independence test, the mesh variation is in the convergence index range. The number of grids is determined by looking at the lowest error value. Based on Table 1, it can be concluded that the mesh that produces the lowest error is the fine mesh.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Reynolds number chosen in this study is \( 1.6 \times 10^6 \), calculated based on the length of the airfoil chord. The velocity of fluid flow injection is twice the free-stream velocity. Aerodynamic data in this study are the lift coefficient \( (C_l) \) and drag coefficient \( (C_d) \), analyzed in two dimensional. Figure 6 shows the data of \( C_l \) through experimental studies and CFD. Experimental data is aerodynamic data of the original NACA 0015 obtained from research conducted by Bertagnolio (Bertagnolio, 2008).

| Variation | Fine | Medium | Coarse |
|-----------|------|--------|--------|
| Velocity  | 24.427 | 24.411 | 24.308 |
| \( p \)   | 2.648 |
| \( r \)   | 2    |
| \( GCI_{\text{fine}} \) | 0.016% |
| \( GCI_{\text{coarse}} \) | 0.0993% |
| \( f_{n-o} \) | 24.43049 |
| Results \( \left( \frac{GCI_{\text{fine}}}{GCI_{\text{coarse}}} \right)^p \) | 1.000668 |
| Error     | 0.000127 | 0.000794 | 0.004977 |

This experimental data is used as comparison data. The CFD data in this paper is from the CFD analysis of the baseline NACA 0015 and NACA 0015 with co-flow jets. The \( C_l \) data of baseline NACA 0015 shows a trend that resembles the experimental data, especially at \( \text{AoA} \leq 10^\circ \). When \( \text{AoA} > 10^\circ \), the CFD results predict \( C_l \) which slightly deviates from the experimental data. Meanwhile, the CFD and experimental stall were at the same \( \text{AoA} \), i.e., \( \text{AoA} = 14^\circ \). Co-flow jet was proven to increase \( C_l \) NACA 0015 by 114%. This increase in \( C_l \) is directly affected by fluid flow injection on the airfoil's upper side. The injection of fluid flow can reduce the pressure on the upper side of the airfoil. The lower the pressure on the upper side, the bigger the difference in pressure on the upper and lower sides. Co-flow jet can delay the stall by controlling the fluid flow so that the vacuum area due to recirculation flow on the upper side can be filled. Stall on NACA 0015 with the co-flow jet occurred at \( \text{AoA} = 19^\circ \). The stall on the NACA 0015 with the co-flow jet does not affect due to...
separation flow. The stall is affected by the significant increase of pressure in the injection fluid so that it affects pressure difference across the whole.

Figure 6. Graph of $C_l$ against changes in AoA

Besides increasing $C_l$, co-flow jets can also improve the airfoil's performance by reducing the $C_d$. The ability to reduce $C_d$ has carried out almost the same principle as increasing $C_l$. At the extreme AoA, the vacuum in the upper side airfoil is very detrimental, which can cause large $C_d$. Separation of the fluid flow can increase the value of $C_d$ due to the extreme pressure difference between the fluid flow before interacting with the airfoil and the separated fluid. This extreme pressure difference causes the airfoil to receive a backward thrust. The ability to reduce the $C_d$ of the airfoil can be seen in Figure 7. At AoA=12°, an airfoil with a co-flow jet can produce a smaller $C_d$ compared to the baseline NACA 0015. If the average value is calculated, the decreased $C_d$ equals 24%. Meanwhile, in AoA≤12°, the $C_d$ of the baseline NACA 0015 and NACA 0015 with a co-flow jet were not too different. Compared with experimental results, CFD results in the original NACA 0015 form show a slightly different trend, especially at 13≤AoA≤15°.

Figure 7. Graph of $C_d$ against changes in AoA

At AoA=10°, the fluid flow has not yet separated, so the fluid can still cover the entire surface of the airfoil. When the AoA of the airfoil is 14°, a fluid flow separation has formed near the airfoil's trailing edge in the form of a separation bubble. Meanwhile, the fluid flow conditions around the NACA 0015 with the co-flow jet are still not much different compared to AoA=10°. The fluid flow separation in baseline NACA 0015 is more clearly visible when AoA=19°. The fluid flow separation covers almost the entire upper side of baseline NACA 0015. Meanwhile, there is a decrease in velocity near the trailing edge of NACA 0015 with the co-flow jet. However, the fluid flow separation is still not formed.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Research on the application of the co-flow jet as a fluid flow control device was carried out on the NACA 0015 object. Co-flow jet has been proven to control fluid flow to keep flowing on the upper side of the airfoil. Injected fluid flow can improve the aerodynamic performance of NACA 0015. Furthermore, the Co-flow jets can increase the lift force of NACA 0015 and delay stall conditions. In addition, the co-flow jet can reduce the $C_d$ of the airfoil at AoA>12°. Co-flow jet can also overcome fluid flow separation in both the form of bubbles and circulating areas.
Figure 8. Velocity contours and fluid flow streamlines.
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