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Abstract

A gravity inversion method based on the Nettleton-Parasnis technique is used to estimate near surface density in an area without exposed outcrop or where outcrop occurrences do not adequately represent the subsurface rock densities. Its accuracy, however, strongly depends on how efficiently the regional trends and very local (terrain) effects are removed from the gravity anomalies processed. Nettleton's method implemented in a usual inversion scheme and combined with the simultaneous determination of terrain corrections. This method may lead to realistic density estimations of the topographical masses. The author applied this technique in the Bandar Charak (Hormozgan-Iran) with various geological/geophysical properties. These inversion results are comparable to both values obtained from density logs in the mentioned area and other methods like Fractal methods. The calculated densities are 2.4005 gr/cm³. The slightly higher differences between calculated densities and densities of the hand rock samples may be caused by the effect of sediment-filled valleys.
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Introduction

Bulk density serves as an important parameter and it is needed to interpret gravity data and determine subsurface structures. Density can be estimated from hand samples when outcrop rocks are exposed. Samples collected in the field tend to have a bias toward lower values of density because they are more weathered, less fluid-saturated, or otherwise unrepresentative of the overall density. In regions that have no exposed outcrop borehole density, logs are useful for determining subsurface densities.

The researcher determined the estimate of subsurface densities by using an inversion technique based on Nettleton (1939), and Parasnis's (1952) which has been described in Niti (Mankhemthong et al. 2012). The author applied these techniques to analyze the gravity data. The data has been collected in the Bandar Charak area in Hormozgan-Iran. In this case, Free Air anomaly data corrected from raw observed data. The obtained density estimates from the inversion method were compared to existing density data from well logs' density and rock outcrop sample measurements. Algorithms of the proposed method were implemented via using MATLAB from Math Works, Inc.

Geology of Bandar Charak area

Charak area is between latitudes 27_10, 27_140, and longitudes 53_350 and 53_590. The under study area is surrounded by Ashkenan, Ahal, Boochir, Hamiran, Hashniz and Kemeshck cities. Tabnack gas structure is located in the west of this district. The area can be accessed through Asalouie (Bandar Lengeh, Lamard, and Ashkenan-Gavbandy roads). The area has a very harsh topography with mountains and valleys. The climate of the area is very hot and wet in the summer and an average climate condition in the winter. From geological point of view, Dehnow area is a part of the Fars sedimentary basin in south-east of Iran. The evidences of the salt outcrops can be recognized at two points from Dehnow anticline. Khamy formation and Bangestan group are the oldest geological structures in the area that have outcrops. Younger structures consist of...
Aghajary, Mokhtari, Mishan, Gachsaran and Asmary. Dominant structural trend in the area is northwest-southeast. Dehnow anticline is located between Hendurabi and Razak faults. These faults are almost perpendicular to the Dehnow anticline. Taking the combined geological-residual gravity contour map into account a northwest-southeast trend can be considered for the Dehnow anticline. A low gravity anomaly fits well the salt outcrop in the southeast of the anticline. A basic study of the geology of the area, a detail investigation of structural features such as faults associated with the Dehnow anticline, and application of the geophysical techniques, and other exploration methods is necessary to investigate the subsurface extension of the this anticline and to identify salt plug intrusion into the anticline. Gravity anomalies are the result of the interference among geological sources with different shape, densities, and depths. Of particular interest to the geologist are the linear anomalies in geophysical maps which may correspond to buried faults, contacts of rocks that have different density contrasts. (Esmaeil Zadeh, et al, 2010). Table 1 show Density determination by Sampling and System Measurement in Charak region and Figure Ishow Geological map of Charak area.

Formulations of Nettleton and parasnis density determination methods
Nettleton’s method is based on the observation that over an area of constant density no gravity anomalies should remain after applying the Bouguer correction (Papp, 2009), and that any residual Bouguer anomaly should represent the gravitational attraction of the body of interest. In the Bouguer correction formula, density value that provide the best fit of the Bouguer gravity rep-

resent the best estimate of the near surface density. Nettleton developed these methods as follow:

The relative Bouguer gravity anomaly (ΔBA) between the reference station and any station is

\[
\Delta BA = \Delta G_{ab} - \Delta G_I + \Delta G_{ic} - \Delta G_{bc}
\]

(1)

Where,

BA = Gravity Bouguer anomaly

G_{ab} = Absolute gravity

G_I = latitude correction

G_{ic} = Free air correction (0.3086 m Gal/m)

G_{bc} = Bouguer slab correction (0.418ρ m Gal/m) where ρ is a rock density in g/cm³

If the correction (ΔG_{bc}) is ignored, Equation (1) is equivalent to the Free Air anomaly formula.

\[
\Delta FA = \Delta G_{ab} - \Delta G_I + \Delta G_{ic}
\]

(2)

Where,

ΔFA = Relative gravity Free Air anomaly.

From (3);

\[
\Delta G_{ab} - \Delta G_I + \Delta G_{ic} - \Delta BA = \Delta G_{bc}
\]

\[
\Delta FA - \Delta BA = \Delta G_{bc}
\]

(3)

According to Nettleton (1939), the relative Bouguer anomaly (ΔBA) should go to zero if the correct subsurface density is applied during the Bouguer slab correction.

Then from (5);

\[
\Delta FA = \Delta G_{bc}
\]

or \(\Delta FA = 0.418\rho \Delta h\)

(4)

Where,

Δh = relative elevation change with respect to the reference station (R).

Parasnis’s method is based on the fact that the Bouguer anomaly can be expressed as an equation of the form of “y = mx + b” (Mankhemthong et al, 2012). If the region between the two stations is assumed to be homogeneous in topographic relief and density (ρ), equation (2) represents

| Profiling no. | Coordinate (deg) | Stratum | Lithology | Density (g/cm³) |
|---------------|------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|
| L18           | 54°36'48.2" 26°31'46.6" | Bakhtiar Fm. | Conglomerates & Sandstone | 1.90 |
| L19           | 54°17'13.1" 26°47'46.0" | Mishan Fm. | Green Marl | 2.13 |
| L20           | 54°16'57.4" 26°48'05.9" | Aghajari Fm. | Sandstone & Marl | 2.02 |
| L21           | 53°38'17.8" 27°05'17.8" | Asmari - Gurpi Fm. | Limestone - Gray Marl | 2.36 |
| L22           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'57.3" | Bangestan Grp. | Limestones | 2.44 |
| L23           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'18.3" | Asmari - Gurpi Fm. | Limestone - Gray Marl | 2.32 |
| L24           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'18.3" | Aghajari Fm. | Sandstone & Marl | 2.03 |
| L25           | 53°38'17.8" 27°05'17.8" | Asmari - Gurpi Fm. | Limestone - Gray Marl | 2.41 |
| L26           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'57.3" | Bangestan Grp. | Limestones | 2.45 |
| L27           | 53°38'17.8" 27°05'17.8" | Asmari - Gurpi Fm. | Limestone - Gray Marl | 2.45 |
| L28           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'18.3" | Aghajari Fm. | Sandstone & Marl | 2.04 |
| L29           | 53°38'17.8" 27°05'17.8" | Asmari - Gurpi Fm. | Limestone - Gray Marl | 2.39 |
| L30           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'57.3" | Bangestan Grp. | Limestones | 2.44 |
| L31           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'18.3" | Aghajari Fm. | Sandstone & Marl | 2.02 |
| L32           | 53°38'17.8" 27°05'17.8" | Asmari - Gurpi Fm. | Limestone - Gray Marl | 2.32 |
| L33           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'57.3" | Bangestan Grp. | Limestones | 2.46 |
| L34           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'18.3" | Aghajari Fm. | Sandstone & Marl | 2.03 |
| L35           | 53°38'17.8" 27°05'17.8" | Asmari - Gurpi Fm. | Limestone - Gray Marl | 2.39 |
| L36           | 53°38'18.6" 27°04'18.3" | Aghajari Fm. | Sandstone & Marl | 2.04 |
| L37           | 53°38'17.8" 27°05'17.8" | Asmari - Gurpi Fm. | Limestone - Gray Marl | 2.32 |
a straight line with classic form of $y = mx$, where the $\Delta F_A$ are the $y$-values and $0.418\Delta h$ are the $x$-values. The calculated slope ($m$) corresponds to the average density ($\rho$) of the surface density rocks or sediments. The Nettleton and Parasnis methods can be used to determine near surface density if a small enough distance between gravity stations is considered. Therefore, deeper regional gravity effects do not dominate.

Rao and Murty (1973) noted that the Parasnis's method ignored the existence of any regional gravity field. They considered the existence of uniform regional gradients in the $x$ and $y$ directions, with a new model where $\alpha \Delta x$ and $\beta \Delta y$ are added to Equation (4). Here $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ are the distances between the gravity stations and the reference station in the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are prospered terms for unknown regional gradients that are uniform along the profiles of the two points in m Gal/km unit (Papp, 2009). After reducing the regional gravity with respect to near surface masses, it becomes:

$$\Delta F_A = 0.418\rho\Delta h + a\Delta x + \beta\Delta y$$

Equation (5) is still a linear function of the form of $d = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3$. Thus, a least squares inversion technique can be used to determine the unknown quantities.

Development of inversion scheme

In this study, the researcher determined estimates of subsurface densities by using an inversion technique based on Nettleton (1939) and Parasnis (1952). The last method has been described in Niti (Mankhemthong). As
described in the previous section, the unknowns in Equation (5) can be determined by using a least squares inversion method. We begin by formulating the problem as

\[ Y = [A][x] \text{ or } Y = Ax \]

Where \( Y \) is the vector of reduced \( \Delta FA \), \( A \) is a matrix of perfectly known parameters containing \( \Delta x \), \( \Delta y \), and \( \Delta h \), and \( x \) represents a vector of the unknowns (\( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( \rho \)).

Following the technique of Jackson (1979), Equation (6) is weighted by the diagonal matrix of the estimated covariance uncertainties \( (C_a^{-1}) \) of given free air anomalies, which are approximately 0.1-0.5 mGal.

\[ C_a^{-1}Y = C_a^{-1}Ax \]

(7)

Then, we multiply both side by \( A^T \) to begin to formulate the least squares solution.

\[ A^T C_a^{-1}Y = A^T C_a^{-1}Ax \]

(8)

Following the method of Tarantola and Valette (1982), let \( C_p^{-1} \) be the expected covariance of the unknowns. It is assumed the covariance of the near surface density equal to the covariance of given densities from rock sample and density log measurements (~0.05 g/cm\(^3\)). \( \langle x \rangle \) is an expected unknown vector of a priori information. In an ideal situation, \( \langle x \rangle \) should be equal to \( x \).

Thus

\[ C_p^{-1}x - C_p^{-1} < x >= 0 \]

(9)

And adding (10) to (11) gives;

\[ ATC_a^{-1}Y = ATC_a^{-1}Ax + C_p^{-1}p - C_p^{-1} < x > \]

(10)

Rearranging terms the below formula is obtained

\[ ATC_a^{-1}Y + C_p^{-1} < x > = x (ATC_a^{-1}A + C_p^{-1}) \]

(11)

Now \( x \) can be solved as:

\[ x = (ATC_a^{-1}A + C_p^{-1})^{-1}(ATC_a^{-1}Y + C_p^{-1} < x >) \]

(12)

Once the estimated unknown \( x \) is determined, the free air anomaly can be estimated as

\[ \hat{Y} = Ax \]

(13)

Inversion results

Based on the obtained results, the calculated densities were around 2.4005 g/cm\(^3\), while the lab measurements resulted in an average value of 2.3 g/cm\(^3\). Because of Application of Fractal methods to determine the Bouguer density in Charak region, an averaged density value equal to 2.4 g/cm\(^3\) was calculated Mehrnia et al. (2013). The result is in a good agreement with lab measurement and Fractal estimations. Moreover, from the obtained data, it is possible to mention these values:

\[ \alpha = 0.55 \quad \beta = 5.97 \]

Conclusions

Near surface density determinations based on the Nettleton-Parasnis inversion method can be utilized for estimating representative surface densities where no outcrop or log data may exist. Densities were determined by using two methods with consistent results: (1) Nettleton’s inversion, (2) rock sampling. Based on the results, the calculated densities are around 2.4005 g/cm\(^3\). The greater densities from the inversion method (compared to hand samples) over the mountain loops are probably caused by the effects of unmodeled topographic relief or valley fill. The calculated density uncertainties reflected the complexities of near-surface lithology and structural geology beneath selected gravity stations and provided valuable information on the range of acceptable densities that can be used in further 2.5-D and 3-D forward or inverse modeling in a region.
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