Structure–Solubility Relationship of 1,4-Dioxane Complexes of Di(hydrocarbyl)magnesium
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Abstract: Systematic variation of the 1,4-dioxane (dx) concentration during the precipitation of sparingly soluble \([\text{MgBr}_2(\text{dx})_2]_1\) from ethereal Grignard solutions of \(\text{RMgBr}\) has allowed the structural investigation of crystallized \([\text{R}_n\text{Mg}(\text{dx})_n]_n\) \((n = 1, 1.5, 2, \text{and } 3)\), which form during this dioxane method, depending on the bulkiness of \(R\). The numbering of the complexes explored in this study is based on the number \(n\) of dioxane molecules per magnesium atom, followed by the substituent \(R\); an apostrophe denotes coordination polymers. The following derivatives were studied by X-ray crystal-structure determination and NMR spectroscopy: \(n = 1: [\text{Me}_2\text{Mg}(\mu-\text{dx})]_\infty (1\text{-Me})\) and \([\text{nPr}_2\text{Mg}(\mu-\text{dx})]_\infty (1\text{-nPr}); n = 1.5: [\{\text{iPr}_2\text{Mg}(\mu-\text{dx})\}_2(\mu-\text{dx})] (1.5\text{-iPr}), [\{\text{oTo}_2\text{Mg}(\mu-\text{dx})\}_2(\mu-\text{dx})] (1.5\text{-oTo}), \text{and} [\{\text{Me}_3\text{Si}-\text{C}2\text{Me}(\mu-\text{dx})\}_2(\mu-\text{dx})] (1.5\text{-Me})\); \(n = 2: [\text{tBu}_2\text{Mg}(\mu-\text{dx})]_\infty (1.5\text{-tBu})\) and \([\text{oTo}_2\text{Mg}(\mu-\text{dx})]_\infty (2\text{-oTo}); n = 3: [\text{Ph}_2\text{Mg}(\mu-\text{dx})]_\infty (3\text{-Ph}).\) In the structure types 1, 1.5, and 2, the magnesium atom exhibits the coordination number 4, whereas pentacoordinate metal atoms are observed in types 3 and 1.5. The structure type 2' is realized for \([\{\text{Ph}-\text{C}2\text{Me}(\mu-\text{dx})\}_2(\mu-\text{dx})] (2'\text{-Ph}), [\text{MgCl}(\mu-\text{dx})]_\infty (2'\text{-Cl}), \text{and} [\text{MgBr}_2(\mu-\text{dx})]_\infty (2'\text{-Br})\) with hexacoordinate metal atoms. The solubility of the dioxane adducts in common organic solvents strongly depends on the degree of aggregation with the solubility decreasing from molecular to strand to layer structures.

Introduction

Grignard reagents, namely organylmagnesium halides, can be straightforwardly synthesized by the reduction of halo-hydrocarbons with magnesium turnings (direct synthesis).

There has been great interest in halide-free di(hydrocarbyl)-magnesium compounds for several decades.

Scheme 1. Formation of the Grignard reagent (top) and Schlenk equilibrium (bottom) of organylmagnesium halides interconverting \(\text{R}\text{MgX}\) into homoleptic \(\text{MgR}_2\). However, an approved and reliable procedure for the removal of magnesium halides from Grignard solutions, discovered by Schlenk 90 years ago, was realized by the addition of 1,4-dioxane (dx, the dioxane method), as depicted in Scheme 2.
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**Scheme 2.** Dioxane method to shift the Schlenk equilibrium of organylmagnesium halides toward soluble [R$_2$Mg(dx)$_{1}$] and insoluble [MgX$_2$(dx)$_{2}$]- by substitution of the Lewis base L (e.g., diethyl ether or THF) by 1,4-dioxane (dx).

Diverse observations led to refined procedures for the dioxane method. It proves to be very beneficial to allow the MgX$_2$-containing precipitate to age overnight at room temperature. The solid is then less voluminous and more compact, which allows a less time-consuming removal by filtration. During this time, initially trapped MgR$_2$ dissolves, which enhances the yield of diorganylmagnesium. During solvate formation, dioxane competes with the Lewis basic solvent of the Grignard reaction, the donor strength of the ethers increasing in the order Et$_2$O < thf < dx. Consequently, the precipitation of magnesium halides from diethyl ether solutions is nearly quantitative and application of a very small excess of 1,4-dioxane is sufficient, whereas in THF solution even a large excess of dx still leads to incomplete removal of MgX$_2$. Dioxane molecules can coordinate to metal cations through three different binding modes: The chair conformation enables $\eta^1$-terminal as well as $\mu$-O,O'-bridging coordination modes, whereas the boat conformation with an $\eta^1$-O,O'-chelating binding mode is as yet unknown in organomagnesium chemistry. The filtrate contains diorganylmagnesium complexes [R$_2$Mg(dx)$_{n}$], but the use of very bulky R groups can lead to soluble RMgX(dx)$_n$ compounds, showing that the Schlenk equilibrium is not always quantitatively shifted toward the homoletic congeners.

Ten years ago, the influence of dioxane on the Schlenk equilibrium was studied more explicitly. Further examples of dioxane adducts of organomagnesium complexes, we chose simple hydrocarbyl groups without additional heteroatoms. The di(hydrocarbyl)magnesium derivatives were studied in solution and in the crystalline state, depending on the dioxane concentration as well as the size and nature of the hydrocarbyl group R. Thus, we varied the following parameters:

1) The chain length of aliphatic carbanions (R = Me, Et, nPr, and nBu),
2) The degree of substitution of aliphatic carbanions (R = Me, Et, iPr, and tBu),
3) The steric demand of aromatic carbanions (R = Ph, oTol, Mes, and Mes*),
4) Slim di(alkynyl)magnesium–dioxane adducts (R = C≡C-Ph, C≡C-SiMe$_3$).

This selection of organic ligands has allowed us to elucidate the influence of steric pressure and hybridization, because the organic groups R encompass sp$^3$- (alkyl), sp$^2$- (aryl), and sp-hybridized (alkynyl) anionic carbon atoms. Furthermore, various molar ratios of MgR$_2$/dx were employed during the crystallization procedures.

**Scheme 3.** Structural diversity of hitherto known 1,4-dioxane adducts of diorganylmagnesium complexes authenticated by X-ray crystal-structure determinations.

**Results and Discussion**

*n-Alkylmagnesium–dioxane adducts*

We first studied the effect of the chain length of alkyl groups on the properties and molecular structures of [R$_2$Mg(dx)$_{n}$] after precipitation and removal of the magnesium halides. The simplest derivative is dimethylmagnesium. Isolation of this compound succeeded by the precipitation of magnesium bromide with 1,4-dioxane in commercially available solutions of methylmagnesium bromide in THF. After removal of the solvents, the sparingly soluble residue was recrystallized from a mixture of toluene and 1,4-dioxane to yield colorless and highly pyrophoric crystals of the coordination polymer [Me$_2$Mg(dx)$_{n}$]$_\infty$ (1-‘Me) depicted in Figure 1.

The crystal structure consists of parallel zigzag chains of MgMe$_2$ units bridged by dioxane ligands with chair conformations. The dx ligands are arranged in a face-to-face manner. This arrangement leads to a zigzag chain with a larger amplitude than observed for the coordination polymers of the type [R$_2$Mg(dx)$_{n}$]$_\infty$ with R = Et, Ph, Cy, and iPr. The Mg–Mg distance...
of 889.14(3) pm between the first and third MgMe_2 moieties in 1'-Me is significantly smaller than in other magnesium congeners of this type with values of approximately 1150–1240 pm.\[17\] In all these complexes the magnesium-bound dx ligands with chair conformations are arranged back-to-back (Scheme 4). The nonbonding Mg···Mg distance of 690.5(2) pm between neighboring magnesium atoms is determined by the dx ligands and is very similar for all derivatives with a strand structure.\[18\] Due to the larger spacing of the MgR_2 units with dioxane ligands arranged back-to-back, this is the favored structure for larger R groups.

Complex 1'-Me is only very sparingly soluble in diethyl ether, but readily dissolves in THF and is soluble in warm 1,4-dioxane. From a pure dioxane solution, again strand-like 1'-Me crystallized and a complex containing more dioxane was not observed. The NMR spectra in [D_8]THF solution show the resonances for the magnesium-bound methyl groups at \( \delta^1H = -1.82 \) ppm and \( \delta^{13C} = -16.5 \) ppm (\( ^1J_{C,H} = 105.6 \) Hz). In this solvent, the strand structure is deaggregated by the substitution of dx ligands by THF Lewis bases.

The addition of 1,4-dioxane to an ethereal solution of ethylmagnesium bromide and removal of the precipitated magnesium bromides yielded the known strand structure [Et_2Mg(\( \mu\)-dx)]_1 (1'-Et).\[8a\] This compound is only soluble in diethyl ether or benzene if dioxane has been added. The strand structure [Et_2Mg(\( \mu\)-dx)]_n crystallized again from a solvent mixture of di-dioxane and diethyl ether (ratio of 5:1).

The dioxane adduct of di(\( \eta\)-propyl)magnesium is soluble in diethyl ether and this complex crystallized again within several days from a 2.0 M solution of dioxane and diethyl ether (ratio of dx and Et_2O of approx. 1:1) at \(-40^\circ C\) to yield colorless crystals of [nPr_2Mg(\( \mu\)-dx)]_1 (1'-nPr), as shown in Figure 2.

The crystal structure of 1'-nPr also consists of parallel zigzag chains of (nPr)_2Mg moieties bridged by 1,4-dioxane bases. However, the dioxane ligands show a face-to-back arrangement, as depicted in Scheme 4. In comparison with 1'-Me, this

---

**Figure 1.** Molecular structure and numbering scheme of [Me_2Mg(\( \mu\)-dx)]_n (1'-Me, top). The ellipsoids represent a probability of 30%. Hydrogen atoms are not shown for reasons of clarity. The strand structure is depicted at the bottom. Selected bond lengths [pm]: Mg1–C1 212.98(16), 208.88(11); bond angles \([\cdot]\): C1-Mg1-C1A 135.19(9), O1-Mg1-O1A 95.04(7), O1-Mg1-C1 104.92(3), O1-Mg1-C1A 104.92(3).

**Scheme 4.** Three possible arrangements of the 1,4-dioxane bases in coordination polymers of the type [R_2Mg(\( \mu\)-dx)]_n. Top: face-to-face; middle: face-to-back; bottom: back-to-back.

---

**Figure 2.** Molecular structure and numbering scheme of [nPr_2Mg(\( \mu\)-dx)]_n (1'-nPr, top). The ellipsoids represent a probability of 30%. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for the sake of clarity. The strand structure is shown at the bottom. Selected bond lengths [pm]: Mg1–C1 215.20(12), 215.57(12), Mg1–O1 212.05(9), Mg1–O2 209.31(8); bond angles \([\cdot]\): C1-Mg1-C4 140.64(5), O1-Mg1-O2 96.55(4), O1-Mg1-C1 102.05(5), O1-Mg1-C4 100.01(4), O2-Mg1-C1 105.23(4), O2-Mg1-C4 104.13(4).
orientation of the dx ligands leads to an elongation of the distance between the first and third magnesium atoms to 1080.96 (8) pm. The nonbonding Mg1–Mg1A distance between neighboring magnesium atoms is 698.7 (1) pm.

Contrary to the shorter n-alkyl complexes, (nBu)2Mg did not crystallize from a 4.0 m ethereal solution at −40 °C. At −78 °C and upon layering with n-pentane, an amorphous solid with the composition [nBu2Mg(dx)] precipitated. The longer alkyl groups significantly enhances the solubility in ethereal solvents, thereby causing a deterioration in its crystallization behavior. Probably, the longer alkyl groups do not fit as well between the chains and smaller degrees of aggregation are realized.

1,4-Dioxane adducts of (Me1,3-H,C)2Mg

We next investigated the effect of the degree of substitution on the molecular structures of the dx adducts of (Me1,3-H,C)2Mg (x = 3: Me; 2: Et; 1: iPr; 0: tBu). The simplest magnesium complex with secondary alkyl groups is di(isopropyl)magnesium, [iPr2Mg(dx)2] (1-iPr), which has already been studied by Blasberg et al.26 Another complex with a larger dx content was accessible during crystallization in a more dioxane-rich solution of isopropylmagnesium chloride; the molecular structure of this derivative, [iPr2Mg(dx)(μ-dx)] (1.5-iPr), is depicted in Figure 3.

The structure is of type 1.5 and the Mg–C and Mg–O bond lengths are of the same order of magnitude as those observed for the strand structure.15 Contrary to this finding, the bond angles show significant differences. Thus, the C–Mg–C bond angles of 128.7 (1) and 130.4 (1)° are larger than the value of 122.19 (9)° in the strand structure, and the O–Mg–O angles of 99.90 (7) and 99.68 (7)° are more acute in comparison with the O–Mg–O bond angle of 104.37 (7)° in [iPr2Mg(μ-dx)] (1-iPr). Thus, for the complexes with bulky isopropyl groups, two structures, 1° and 1.5°, are observed depending on the dioxane concentration in the mother liquor, whereas for the n-propyl congener the coordination polymer 1° with exclusively bridging dioxane ligands seems to be favored.

To further increase the bulkiness of the alkyl group, we studied the tert-butylmagnesium complex. After precipitation and removal of [MgCl2(dx)] from an ethereal solution of tert-butylmagnesium chloride we crystallized [tBu2Mg(η1-dx)] (2-tBu). The structure of this compound is depicted in Figure 4.

This complex is the fifth derivative of type 2. This structure type forms with sterically demanding groups and in the presence of a moderate excess of 1,4-dioxane during crystallization. The Mg–C bond lengths of dialkylmagnesium increase in the order primary (213–215 pm) < secondary (214–216 pm) < tertiary alkyl groups (216–218 pm), whereas the C–Mg–C bond angles show no clear trend.

The crystalline 2-tBu partially loses ligated dioxane during the drying process in vacuo. We did not isolate this dioxane-poor congener, but structures of tert-butylmagnesium complexes with dioxane ligands have already been observed in the heteroleptic [L2Mg(tBu)(μ-dx)], in which L is a bidentate bridging ligand, and in the trinuclear compound [(thf)Mg(tBu)(μ-dx)Mg(thf)] (119).

Structures of [Ar2Mg(dx)] with increasing hindrance at the ortho-substituted phenyl groups

The coordination polymer [Ph2Mg(μ-dx)] (1°-Ph) is insoluble in toluene. The addition of 1,4-dioxane to this suspension led to a clear solution. Cooling of this solution again yielded the starting [Ph2Mg(μ-dx)] (1°-Ph). Dissolution of these crystals in pure dioxane gave another complex that is highly soluble in dx. The clear crystals of this complex turned dull after isolation and removal of the mother liquor. The molecular structure of this dioxane adduct, [Ph2Mg(dx)] (3-Ph), is depicted in Figure 5.
The τ parameter, which is an indicator of the geometry of pentacoordinate metal complexes, can be calculated according to the equation $\tau = (\beta - \alpha)/60^\circ$ (in which $\beta$ is the largest and $\alpha$ the second largest bond angle at the metal center) with $\tau = 1$ for an ideal trigonal bipyramid and $\tau = 0$ for a square pyramid. For 3-Ph, a $\tau$ value of 0.66 was elucidated, which is much closer to a trigonal-bipyrimal coordination sphere with O1 and O5 in apical positions (O1/O5 much closer to a trigonal-bipyramidal coordination sphere of the magnesiu next to the equation $t = \sqrt{2}a - b – c$ for an ideal trigonal bipyramid and for a square pyramid. The complex $[\{\{\mbox{Mes}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ is shown in Figure 6. The molecular structure and numbering scheme of $[\{\{\mbox{Mes}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ is depicted in Figure 6. The type 2 diphénylmagnesium complex $[\{\{\mbox{Mes}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ is unknown even though Bickelhaupt and co-workers were able to prepare the corresponding THF adduct $[\{\{\mbox{Mes}\}_2\mbox{Mg(thf)}_2\}]$. Ortho substitution with methyl groups increases the steric requirements of the aryl groups and a coordination number of 5 could not be achieved. Consequently, addition of dioxy to an ethereal solution of $[\{\{\mbox{Mes}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ allowed the crystallization and isolation of $[\{\{\mbox{Mes}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ but not a strand-like structure of type 1.5 as found for diphenylmagnesium. The molecular structure of 2-oTol is depicted in Figure 6.

Whereas the magnesium atom in the phenyl derivative 3-Ph has a coordination number of 5, the bulkier oTol group only allows tetracoordinate magnesium centers. The Mg–C bond lengths in the distorted tetrahedral complexes of type 2 increase with increasing steric pressure in the order phenyl (213.5(2) pm) < o-tolyl (214.9(2)–215.6(2) pm) < 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl (mesityl, 216.7(2) pm). The C–Mg–C bond angles vary from 117.55(9)° (Mes) to 123.96(8)° (Ph) to 124.39(9)° (oTol). The complex $[\{\{\mbox{Tol}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ is soluble in warm toluene. From this solution, tetracoordinate type 1.5 magnesium centers were also accessible. The molecular structure of $[\{\{\mbox{Tol}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ is shown in Figure 7. The o-methyl substituents are disordered but crystallization as a type 1.5 complex was verified unequivocally. The two magnesium atoms are in distorted tetrahedral environments with a bridging dx ligand.

Dimesitylmagnesium (bis[2,4,6-trimethylphenyl]magnesium) crystallizes as $[\{\{\mbox{Mes}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ from an ethereal solution of mesitylmagnesium bromide after addition of 1,4-dioxane and removal of magnesium bromide. Furthermore, the structure of 2-Mes is comparable to the structures of the thf adducts of dimesityl- $[\{\{\mbox{Mes}\}_2\mbox{Mg(thf)}_2\}]$ and bis[2,4,6-trisopropylphenyl]magnesium $[\{\{\mbox{Trip}\}_2\mbox{Mg(thf)}_2\}]$. Contrary to this finding, bis[2,4,6-tri(tert-butyl)phenyl]magnesium forms no stable adducts with tetrahydrofuran.

1,4-Dioxane adducts of coordination polymers with layer structure

After the investigation of di(hydrocarbyl)magnesium complexes with sp3- (alkyl) and sp2-hybridized (aryl) carbon atoms, we also studied congeners with an sp-hybridized carbon atom. Compounds of the type $[\{\{\mbox{C}_n\mbox{H}_{2n+1}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$ (n = 1.5 (SiMe$_3$) and 2 (Ph)) are nearly insoluble in diethyl ether, dioxane, and toluene, but soluble in THF. From such a solution, $[\{\{\mbox{Ph-C}_n\mbox{H}_{2n+1}\}_2\mbox{Mg(dx)}_2\}]$...
Cl₂Mg(thf)₄ was isolated with a distorted octahedral environment of the magnesium center.\(^{[22]}\)

For solubility reasons we prepared an alkynyl complex by the deprotonation of trimethylsilylacetylene with \([\text{Pr}_2\text{Mg(dx)}}\)] in diethyl ether yielded quantitatively \([\text{[Ph-C₂Ph-Mg(dx)}}]_{n}\) which is nearly insoluble in 1,4-dioxane. The NMR spectra reveal that two dioxane molecules are bound to the magnesium center. The crystal structure of \([\text{[Ph-C₂Ph-Mg(dx)}}]_{n}\) verifies that the magnesium atoms are embedded in octahedral environments forming a 2D network. The structure is depicted in Figure 9. The phenyl-ethyl groups are bound above and below the layer formed by the magnesium atoms and the bridging ether molecules.

Finally, we also investigated the 1,4-dioxane adducts of magnesium chloride and bromide, which precipitated during the addition of dioxane to solutions of Grignard reagents. Extremely sparingly soluble \([\text{[MgBr₂(dx)}}]_{n}\) was tempered in warm tetrahydrofuran leading to a single crystalline solid. This observation is in agreement with the expectation that THF and dx exhibit comparable basicity leading to very low concentrations of soluble \([\text{MgBr₂(thf)}}]_{n}\), which has been characterized previously.\(^{[26,27]}\) This procedure allowed us to grow single crystals of sufficient quality for X-ray crystal-structure determination. Homologous \([\text{[MgCl₂(dx)}}]_{n}\) exhibited comparable crystallization properties.

The molecular structure of the coordination polymer \([\text{[MgBr₂(dx)}}]_{n}\) with a layer structure is depicted in Figure 10; the analogous structure of \([\text{[MgCl₂(dx)}}]_{n}\) is presented in the Supporting Information. The magnesium centers in \([\text{[MgBr₂(dx)}}]_{n}\) are embedded in octahedral environments with trans-arranged halide ions. The environments of the magnesium centers are very similar to that in the molecular tetrahydrofuran adduct \([\text{MgBr₂(thf)}}]_{n}\) with average Mg–O and Mg–Br bond lengths of 216 and 262.5 pm, respectively.\(^{[26,27]}\) In the coordination polymer \([\text{[Mg(dx)}}]_{n}\) which has been crystallized from a mixture of dichloromethane and hexane, the boron atoms occupy bridging positions leading to a coordination polymer with rather similar Mg–O and Mg–Br distances of 212.6 and 263.3 pm, respectively.\(^{[27]}\)

The Mg(dx) networks of the layer structures of \([\text{[MgCl₂(dx)}}]_{n}\) and \([\text{[MgBr₂(dx)}}]_{n}\) are com-
pared in Figure 11. The groups R are positioned above and below the magnesium atoms, leading to penta- and hexacoordinated metal centers, respectively. The steric requirements of the R groups in $1.5'$-$C_2SiMe_3$ lead to a honeycomb structure, whereas the smaller bromine atoms in $2'$-Br lead to a tessellated structure. The directing influence exerted by the steric demand of the groups R is evident in Figure 11, in which the same Mg···Mg distances are indicated by the solid lines (symbolizing the bridging dx ligands).

Substitution of dx in [MgBr$_2$(dx)$_2$]$_n$ (2'-Br)

Due to the fact that [MgBr$_2$(dx)$_2$]$_n$ (2'-Br) is nearly insoluble in common organic solvents, several attempts were undertaken to recrystallize this coordination polymer. Crystallization of 2'-Br from a solvent mixture of DMF and dioxane led to the precipitation of [(dmf)$_2$MgBr$_2$] (A). The molecular structure contains a centrosymmetric cation with a hexacoordinate metal center and clearly separated bromide ions (Mg–Br 527.7(3) and 678.2(3) pm, see the Supporting Information). Traces of water
also led to the precipitation of a few crystals of the more soluble 
\[\{\text{trans-(H}_2\text{O)}_2\text{Mg}(\text{dmf})_4\}\text{Br}_2\] \(B\), see the Supporting Information). In this latter centrosymmetric molecule, the magnesium atom is in an octahedral environment, coordinated to the oxygen donors of the dmf ligands (Mg–O 202.86(10) and 208.26(10) pm) and water (Mg–O 209.89(11) pm). The bromide ions are again separated from the cations (Mg–Br 480.8 and 493.8 pm), but are bound at the periphery through hydrogen bridges to the water ligands (Br–H 248.6 and 252.1 pm). This mode of coordination leads to the formation of a coordination polymer with a strand structure. Extraction of \[\{\text{MgBr}_2(\text{dx})_2\}\] \(2\) with hot 1,2-dimethoxyethane (dme) only allowed the isolation of the already known \[\{\text{cis-Br}_2\text{Mg}(\text{dme})_2\}\] \(C\).\[28\] Tridentate diglyme also forms very stable molecular complexes with MgBr₂, namely \[\{(\text{diglyme})\text{Mg(μ-Br)}\}_2\] \(D\) and \[\{(\text{diglyme})(\text{thf})\text{MgBr}_2\}\] \(E\) with distorted octahedrally coordinated metal centers.\[29\]

**Conclusions**

In this work we have systematically studied the synthesis of di(hydrocarbyl)magnesium complexes from ethereal solutions of Grignard reagents by the addition of 1,4-dioxane. With very few exceptions, in which the Schlenk equilibrium lies completely on the side of heteroleptic RMgX complexes (such as \[\{(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_2\text{C}{\text{MgBr(dx)2}}\}_2\] \(6\)), the dioxane method provides advantageous access to soluble RM compounds. The type of crystalline \[\{(\text{R}_2\text{Mg(dx)})_n\}\] adduct depends on the molar ratio of R₂Mg/dx in solution and on the hydrocarbyl group R, which influences the solubility and steric requirements. The structure types of the isolated crystalline derivatives are summarized in Table 1.

High dioxane concentrations and bulkier organic groups promote the formation of highly soluble mononuclear compounds of structure types 3 \((R=\text{Ph})\) and 2 \((R=\text{tBu, oTol, and Mes})\). In some cases with substituents of intermediate size \((R=iPr, Bz, \text{and oTol})\) dinuclear complexes of type 1.5 are accessible. This structure type can aggregate to form coordination polymers of type 1 if the organic groups exhibit a medium-to-small size and if the concentration of 1,4-dioxane during crystallization is rather low. For selected medium-sized derivatives \((R=iPr, \text{Ph, and oTol})\) it was possible to isolate two different structures in the solid state. R₂Mg congeners with extremely bulky groups \((R=C(\text{SiMe}_3)_3 \text{and Mes}^*)\) crystallize without co-ligands from dioxane-containing solutions. A structurally authenticated mono-dioxane adduct of a di(hydrocarbyl)magnesium complex of type 1 with a three-coordinate metal center is as yet unknown.

The exchange equilibria with 1,4-dioxane, which depend on complex concentration, MgR₂/dioxane ratio, and the nature of the group R, are depicted in Scheme 5. Only those derivatives that exceed the saturation concentration and crystallize are accessible by this method. Therefore, we cannot exclude a richer coordination chemistry of di(hydrocarbyl)magnesium–dioxane adducts with other nucleitides. The complexes R₂Mg without co-ligands can be stabilized with very bulky groups R or isolated after removal of dioxane in vacuo. The latter procedure even allowed the isolation of dimethylmagnesium as a coordination polymer with tetracoordinate magnesium atoms.\[16\]

The aggregation of mononuclear \[\{(\text{R}_2\text{Mg(dx)})_3\}\] would lead to the formation of a coordination polymer with hexacoordinate

| Chemical | C N (Mg) | 3 | 2 | 2’ | 1.5 | 1.5’ | 1 | 2 |
|----------|----------|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|
| C        | 5        | 4 | 6 | 4  | 4  | 3  | 4 |
| Me       |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| Et       |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| iPr      |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| tBu      |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| Ph       |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| oTol     |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| Mes      |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| C6ClSiMe |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| C6ClPh   |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| Cl       |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |
| Br       |          |   |   |    |    |    |   |

Scheme 5. Structural diversity of the hitherto known 1,4-dioxane adducts of diorganylmagnesium complexes authenticated by X-ray crystal-structure determinations (complexes with tetracoordinate Mg are shown in blue, with pentacoordinate Mg in red, and with hexacoordinate Mg in green). The left column shows molecular complexes and solvation/desolvation reactions, the right column contains the coordination polymers arising from aggregation and deaggregation equilibria.
magnesium atoms. tf adducts with the composition \( [R_2Mg(thf)_4] \) are known that require very slim ligands to allow an octahedral environment. The substitution of two tf ligands by a bridging dioxane molecule enables the formation of coordination polymers with hexacoordinate magnesium centers.

The solubility of the magnesium complexes is strongly related to their aggregation chemistry. Molecular complexes of the types 1-R, 2-R, and 3-R are highly soluble in ethereal solvents. The formation of strand structures reduces the solubility and compounds of type 1-R are sparingly soluble. Complexes of the types 1.5-R and 2-R form layer structures and are insoluble in common organic solvents. The degree of aggregation is influenced by the size and steric requirements of R, with small R ligands leading to higher coordination numbers of magnesium and higher degrees of aggregation.

**Experimental Section**

**General**

All manipulations were carried out under anaerobic conditions in an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. The solvents were dried according to common procedures and distilled in an argon atmosphere; deuterated solvents were dried over sodium, degassed, and saturated with argon. The yields given are not optimized. The magnitudes of the contents of the compounds were determined by complexometric titrations with Eriochrom Black T. The alkalinites of the solid diorganylmagnesium compounds were determined after hydrolysis of a specific amount in ice/water by titration of an aliquot of the filtrate with 0.1 M HCl (yield: 59%). All volatiles were removed and the residue dried in vacuo. Then diethyl ether (10 mL) was added and this solution was stored at −78 °C. A colorless amorphous precipitate formed that was collected and dried in vacuo. Yield: 2.4 g of 1-nPr precipitated from this mother liquor was washed with very cold diethyl ether and dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.1 g of 1-nPr precipitated from the filtrate was titrated with 0.1 M HCl (yield: 59%). All volatiles were removed and the residue dried in vacuo. Then diethyl ether (10 mL) was added and this solution was stored at −78 °C. A colorless amorphous precipitate formed that was collected and dried in vacuo. Yield: 2.4 g of 1-nBu (10.3% relative to n-butyl chloride); alkalinity: calcd: 432.7 mg HSO₄·1H₂O; found: 422.9 mg. 1H NMR (400.1 MHz, [D₈]THF): 6 = −0.70 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H; CH₃), 0.80 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 6H; CH₃), 1.19 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.47 (m, 4H; CH₂), 3.54 ppm (s, 8H; dx); 13C{1H} NMR (100.6 MHz, [D₈]THF): 6 = 8.2 (CH₂Mg), 14.7 (CH₃), 32.4 (CH₂), 67.8 (ppm; dx); elemental analysis calcld (%) for C₃H₇MgO₂ (198.6): C 12.24; found: C 12.07.

**Synthesis**

**Synthesis and characterization**

**Synthesis of [(CH₃)₂Mg(Me-dx)]₂** (1'-Me): A solution of commercial 3.0 M methylmagnesium chloride in THF (17 mL, 51 mmol) was diluted with THF (50 mL). The slow addition of 1,4-dioxane (18 mL, 204 mmol) led to the precipitation of [MgCl(Me-dx)]. The reaction mixture was stored overnight at room temperature and then filtered through a frit covered with diatomaceous earth. A yield of 87% was determined by titration of an aliquot of the filtrate with 0.1 N HCl. All volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue was then slowly added at room temperature. The precipitate was collected and dried in vacuo. During this procedure the colorless solid crystals precipitated. These crystals were collected on a frit, washed with diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.3 g of 1'-Me (35.8% relative to CH₃MgCl). During hydrolysis of this compound with ice/water, inflammation occurred. Therefore, a specific amount of this compound was dissolved in THF and this solution was carefully hydrolyzed to quantitatively determine the metal content. Alkalinity: calcld: 688.3 mg HSO₄·1H₂O; found: 691.8 mg. 1H NMR (400.1 MHz, [D₈]THF): 6 = −1.82 (s, 6H; Mg-CH₃), 3.54 ppm (s, 8H; dx); 13C{1H} NMR (100.6 MHz, [D₂]THF): 6 = −16.5 (q, 13C, 105.6 Hz, Mg-CH₃), 67.9 ppm (t, 13C, 142.3 Hz, O-CH₂-dx); elemental analysis calcld (%) for CH₃MgO₂ (142.5): Mg 17.06; found: Mg 16.98.

**Synthesis of [(n-C₃H₇)₂Mg(μ-dx)]₂** (1'-nPr): A solution of n-propylmagnesium bromide was prepared from magnesium turnings (3.0 g, 123.4 mmol) and n-propyl bromide (12.4 g, 100.8 mmol) in diethyl ether (100 mL; yield: 82%). 1,4-Dioxane (16 mL, 182 mmol) was then added dropwise to this reaction mixture. During this highly exothermic procedure a colorless precipitate formed. After resting overnight the precipitate was removed by means of a Schlenk frit covered with diatomaceous earth. An aliquot of the filtrate was titrated with 0.1 M HCl (yield: 64%). The volume of the solution was reduced to a fifth of the original volume and stored in a refrigerator at −40 °C. The colorless crystals of 1'-nPr precipitated from this mother liquor were washed with very cold diethyl ether and dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.1 g of 1'-nPr (11.0% relative to n-propyl bromide); alkalinity: calcld: 432.7 mg HSO₄·1H₂O; found: 422.9 mg. 1H NMR (400.1 MHz, [D₈]THF): 6 = −0.64 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H; CH₃), 0.87 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H; CH₃), 1.54 (m, 4H, CH₂), 3.55 ppm (s, 8H; dx); 13C{1H} NMR (100.6 MHz, [D₂]THF): 6 = 12.2 (CH₃Mg), 23.7 (CH₂), 24.3 (CH₃), 67.8 ppm (dx); elemental analysis calcld (%) for C₃H₇MgO₂ (198.6): C 12.24; found: C 12.07.
Synthesis of [(oTol)₂Mg(n-Cl)₃]₂ (1.5-oTol): 2-oTol (1.8 g, 4.70 mmol; see below for the preparation of 2-oTol) was suspended in toluene (10 mL) and the mixture stirred and warmed until a clear solution formed. Then, the stirring was finished and the solution kept in a warm water bath. Colorless crystals of the product precipitated overnight. The crystals were collected on a Schlenk frit, washed with diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.40 g of colorless crystals of 1.5-oTol (88 % with respect to the initially used 2-oTol). The compound is highly soluble in THF but sparingly soluble in toluene and air- and moisture-sensitive crystals of 1,4-dioxane when exposed to a vacuum. Yield: 9.0 g of colorless, white crystals of 1.4-dioxane (21.5 % relative to the initially used trimethylsilylacetylene); alkalinity: calcd: 279.5 mg H₂SO₄ g⁻¹; found: 287.9 mg. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, [D₈]THF): δ = 2.38 (s, 12 H; CH₃), 3.54 (s, 24 H; dx), 6.78–6.79 (t, 4 H, 4-H), 6.80–6.83 (dd, 4 H, 5-H), 6.84–6.90 (dd, 4 H; 3-H), 7.53–7.54 ppm (dd, 4 H; 6-H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, [D₈]THF): δ = 28.4 (CH₃), 67.8 (dx), 123.3 (C-4), 124.9 (C-5), 126.5 (C-3), 147.0 (C-6), 169.2 ppm (C-1); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₄H₅₂MgO₆ (540.6): calcd: Mg 5.49; found: Mg 5.57.

Synthesis of [(Me₂Si-C₃Mg(n-Cl)₂)₂ (1.5-Me₂SiC₃Mg): Trimethylsilylacetylene (43 g, 43.78 mmol) and dioxane (4.0 mL, 45.45 mmol) were added at room temperature to a 0.87 M solution of 1-νεP in diethyl ether (50 mL, 43.5 mmol). Shortly thereafter, a microcrystalline solid of 1.5-Me₂SiC₃Mg formed. This precipitate was collected on a Schlenk frit, thoroughly washed with diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 5.30 g of 1.5-Me₂SiC₃Mg (69 % relative to the initially used trimethylsilylacetylene); alkalinity: calcd: 158.4 ppm (C-Mg); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₄H₅₂MgO₆ (540.6): calcd: Mg 5.49; found: Mg 5.57. Crystallography

Crystallization of [MgCl₂(n-Cl)₃] (2-C₂Ph): A freshly prepared 0.365 M solution of [Bu₂Mg(dmx)] in diethyl ether (90 mL; see above) was cooled to 0 °C. Phenylacetylene (6.4 g, 62.7 mmol) was added dropwise to this solution with stirring. Evolution of a colorless gas was observed as the drops of phenylacetylene hit the solution. After the addition of half of the Ph₂C=C-H a colorless precipitate formed. After complete addition of phenylacetylene the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for an additional hour. The precipitate was collected on a Schlenk frit, washed with diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 12.13 g (96 % relative to the initially used phenylacetylene) of 2-C₂Ph; yield: 243.3 mg H₂SO₄ g⁻¹; found: 243.5 mg. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, [D₈]THF): δ = 3.58 (s, 16 H; CH₃), 6.91 (m, 2 H; p-H), 7.08 (m, 4 H; m-H), 7.20 ppm (m, 4 H; o-H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, [D₈]THF): δ = 67.9 (dx), 109.2 (e-C), 124.9 (C-1p), 132.8 (C-3p), 130.6 (C-2p), 131.3 (C-ο), 131.8 ppm (e-C-Mg); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₂H₂₄MgO₂ (602.7): calcd: Mg 5.96; found: Mg 6.03. Single crystals of 2-C₂Ph were grown by heating a suspension of the microcrystalline substance (0.66 g, 1.6 mmol) in a mixture of THF (10 mL) and dioxane (4.5 mL, 51.1 mmol) at 65 °C for 72 h.

Crystallography

Crystallization of [MgCl₂(n-Cl)₃] (2-C₂P): A 3.0 M solution of methyllithium chloride (3.0 mL, 9.0 mmol) in THF was diluted with THF (25 mL). 1.4-Dioxane (2 mL, 22.7 mmol) was added to this solution. The clear solution was then heated at 65 °C in a 270 mL solution of [Me₂Si-C₃Mg(n-Cl)₂] (1.5-Me₂SiC₃Mg): Trimethylsilylacetylene (4.3 g, 43.78 mmol) and dioxane (4.0 mL, 45.45 mmol) were added at room temperature to a 0.87 M solution of 1-νεP in diethyl ether (50 mL, 43.5 mmol). Shortly thereafter, a microcrystalline solid of 1.5-Me₂SiC₃Mg formed. This precipitate was collected on a Schlenk frit, thoroughly washed with diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 5.30 g of 1.5-Me₂SiC₃Mg (69 % relative to the initially used trimethylsilylacetylene); alkalinity: calcd: 158.4 ppm (C-Mg); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₄H₅₂MgO₆ (540.6): calcd: Mg 5.49; found: Mg 5.57.
dure a colorless precipitate of different size. was tempered for 1 week at this temperature. During this time, the solubility relationships with THF (25 mL). Then, 1,4-dioxane (0.9 mL, 10.2 mmol) was added. The clear solution was heated at 65 °C. During this procedure a colorless precipitate of 2-Br slowly formed. This suspension was tempered for 1 week at this temperature. During this time, the precipitate aged and turned into a crystalline solid with crystals of different size.

Crystal structure determinations: The intensity data for the compounds were collected on a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer using graphite-monochromated MoKα irradiation. The data were corrected for Lorentzian and polarization effects; absorption was taken into account on a semi-empirical basis using multiple scans.[32-34] The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS)[35] and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques against F2 (SHELXL-97).[36] The hydrogen atoms of the compounds 1'-Me, 1'-nPr, 2'-Bu, and B were located by difference Fourier synthesis and refined isotropically. All other hydrogen atoms were included at calculated positions with fixed thermal parameters. The crystal of 2'-C6Ph was a non-merohedral twin. The twin law was (211), 12830 – 12841 (SHELXL-97).[36] The hydrogen atoms of the compounds were refined anisotropically.[36] The crystals of Pr2C6H3)2C3H2N2}2MgCl(CH2SiMe3)}2(Pr)·Br were extremely thin and/or of low quality, resulting in a substantial loss of intensity. The data were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. The crystallographic data as well as structure solution and refinement details are summarized in Table S1 in the Supporting Information). XP[38] and POV-Ray[39] software were used for structure representations.

CCDC 1917339, 1917340, 1917341, 1917342, 1917343, 1917344, 1917345, 1917346, 1917347, 1917348, 1917349, and 1917350 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
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