Developing S-phase control
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The duration of S phase in early embryos is often short, and then increases as development proceeds because of the appearance of late-replicating regions of the genome. In the April 1, 2012, issue of Genes & Development, Farrell and colleagues (pp. 714–725) demonstrate that the down-regulation of cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (Cdk1) activity triggers the onset of late-replicating DNA and an increase in S-phase length in Drosophila embryos, revealing an unexpected role for Cdk1 in replication control during development.

Developmental biologists are generally interested in how cells acquire the diversity of shape, behavior, and function needed to produce the vast array of different organismal forms observed in nature. This cellular diversity applies to the cell cycle, for which evolution has crafted regulatory systems capable of supporting a high degree of plasticity during development. A prime example is early embryogenesis, which often begins with rapid cleavage cycles consisting of S phase and mitosis but lacking G1 and G2 phases [Foe and Alberts 1983; Etkin 1988]. Cleavage cycles occur in many organisms used for the study of development and that span several phyla, including chordates [e.g., frogs], echinoderms [e.g., sea urchins], and arthropods [e.g., fruit flies]. Cleavage cycles result in the production of many cells in a short period of time, a likely adaptation for rapid embryonic development. A key feature of cleavage cycles is an exceedingly short S phase, which in some organisms can be completed in a matter of minutes rather than hours [Blumenthal et al. 1974]. However, such speed comes with a cost because it precludes other events necessary for development. For instance, cleavage cycles may not be compatible with the dramatic cellular movements and shape changes needed for the formation of complex tissues [Mata et al. 2000; Seher and Leptin 2000]. In addition, for most cells, the maintenance of genome stability involves developmental and physiological inputs that occur during G1 and G2 phases and regulate the transition into S phase and mitosis, respectively [Medema and Macurek 2011]. Thus, new regulatory capabilities arise when new cell cycle controls [e.g., G1/S regulation] appear as development proceeds. Determining mechanisms for the appearance of new cell cycle controls during development should impact our understanding of basic cell biology and perhaps also cancer, since the progression to neoplasia could be considered [albeit in an oversimplified way] as the step-by-step loss of cell cycle controls that were sequentially acquired during early development.

S phase is under developmental control

The first big changes in cell cycle control are observed at the midblastula transition (MBT), a dramatic demarcation during embryogenesis associated with the switch from maternal to zygotic control of gene expression as well as the onset of the cellular movements of gastrulation and the beginning of morphogenesis [Edgar and Schubiger 1986; Etkin 1988]. An interesting feature of cell cycle changes at the MBT is an increase in the length of S phase. Changes in S-phase regulation are a common phenomenon during development, and understanding the mechanisms should provide insight into fundamental controls of DNA replication [Nordman and Orr-Weaver 2012]. How might changes in the duration of S phase arise? To address this question, we have to consider some basic principles of replication. DNA synthesis initiates at sites in chromosomes called origins of replication, which “fire” during S phase, resulting in bidirectional replication forks [Fig. 1]. Direct observations of S-phase chromosomes in Drosophila embryos indicated that the regions of DNA replicated from a single origin [called replicons] increase in size as the length of S phase increases [McKnight and Miller 1977]. This makes intuitive sense: One way to make S phase short is to have small replicons by triggering the firing of a large number of origins. Moreover, if many origins are fired concurrently, rather than sequentially, S phase will be shorter. Reducing the total number of origins that fire or making origin firing asynchronous will increase the length of S phase. Because the rate of replication fork movement in S phases of different durations is constant [Walter and Newport 1997], the increase in replicon size associated with longer S phase must be due to origin control—i.e., the length of S phase increases because fewer origins fire and/or the timing of origin firing is asynchronous during S phase, resulting in “early-firing” and “late-firing” origins.
What mechanisms regulate the dynamics of origin firing during S phase, and how might developmental processes influence these mechanisms? For these questions, we need to consider some of the fundamental molecular features of DNA replication. A group of proteins composed of the six-subunit origin recognition complex (ORC), Cdt1, and Cdc6 assembles at origins of replication and functions to load onto the DNA the core components of the replicative helicase, consisting of hexamers of MCM proteins. The resulting prereplicative (pre-RC) complex marks the origin as “licensed” to be fired and initiate DNA synthesis [Fig. 1]. Origin licensing and firing is complex and involves the recruitment and activity of many additional factors, and I refer the reader to a recent review for details (Diffley 2011). The observation that origin activity requires the recruitment of specific factors leads to the possibility that S-phase length increases during development because pre-RC factors become limiting as nuclei and DNA exponentially increase in abundance [note that early embryos lack zygotic transcription and thus do not increase the pool of available pre-RC factors]. In this case, there would be fewer licensed origins and a resulting increase in replicon size and S-phase length. There is some evidence that limiting amounts of replication factors controls replication timing in budding yeast (Mantiero et al. 2011). However, in Drosophila, pre-RC factors are provided to the egg in abundance by maternal loading (Gossen et al. 1995), and measurements of replication in frog egg extracts indicated that the increase in replicon size at the MBT is not due to limiting amounts of pre-RC factors (Walter and Newport 1997).

So what else could be responsible? This is where Farrell et al. (2012) make a novel contribution by examining replication control in early Drosophila embryos, where the cell cycle program is known in great detail, and the length of S phase in each cycle can be measured down to the minute. The first 13 cycles in a Drosophila embryo are nuclear cleavage cycles that occur in a syncytium. S phase in these cycles is as short as 3–4 min (Blumenthal et al. 1974). The MBT occurs in cycle 14 and is accompanied by an increase in the duration of S phase to 40 min. This increase in S-phase length results from the appearance of late-replicating repetitive satellite DNA, as nicely demonstrated by another recent O’Farrell laboratory study (Shermoen et al. 2010). Because late-replicating DNA tends to be heterochromatic, and chromatin structure can influence origin firing (Eaton et al. 2011), one possibility is that changes in the chromatin landscape during development result in differential timing of origin firing during S phase and a consequent change in S-phase length (Gilbert et al. 2010). However, several features of heterochromatin appear prior to the onset of late-replicating DNA and the increase in S-phase length (Shermoen et al. 2010), suggesting that chromatin structure might not underlie the change. The investigators therefore turned their attention to cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) activity.

**Cdk1 regulation underlies developmental changes in S phase**

A fundamental and universal principle of cell cycle regulation is that Cdks control origins of replication in two opposing ways: Cdk activity is required to fire licensed origins during S phase and also inhibits pre-RC assembly, thus ensuring that origins do not fire more than once in a given S phase [Fig. 1A; Diffley 2011]. Elimination of Cdk activity during mitosis creates a window of opportunity for new pre-RC assembly prior to the next S phase. In general, Cdk activity is constitutively high during the nuclear cleavage cycles of the early Drosophila embryo and then becomes regulated in subsequent cycles, providing the basis for the acquisition of new cell cycle controls as development progresses. The first Cdk that is developmentally down-regulated in Drosophila embryos is the essential mitotic Cdk (Cdk1), and this creates the appearance of G2 phase during cycle 14. There are several mechanisms that contribute to Cdk1 down-regulation, but the key for our discussion is the elimination of maternal Cdc25 phosphatase [encoded by the *string* and *twine* genes in Drosophila], which is responsible for removing an inhibitory phosphate on Y15 of Cdk1 that was placed there by Wee1 kinase [Fig. 1; Edgar and Datar 1996; Stumpf et al. 2004]. When maternal Cdc25 mRNA and protein are destroyed just prior to cycle 14, mitotic Cyclin/Cdk1 complexes accumulate in a Y15-phosphorylated, inactive form. At subsequent stages of development, this pool of Cdk1 kinase is rapidly activated by zygotic transcription of *string* in G2 of cycle 14, driving cells into mitosis [Edgar and O’Farrell 1990]. In contrast, the activity of Cyclin E/Cdk2, the essential S-phase kinase in Drosophila (Knoblich et al. 1994), is constitutively active during early embryonic development, including during G2 phase [Sauer et al. 1995; White et al. 2007]. This is why embryonic cycles 1–16 lack a G1 phase, and only upon down-regulation of Cyclin E/Cdk2 does G1 phase first appear in cycle 17 [Knoblich et al. 1994].

Because of these features of Cdk regulation in Drosophila embryos, the investigators hypothesized that the down-regulation of Cdk1 resulting from the elimination
of maternal Cdc25 phosphatase activity is responsible
for the appearance of late-replicating DNA and thus the
increase in S-phase length during cycle 14. It has been
known for some time that precocious activation of string
expression after the establishment of G2 in cycle 14 triggers
precocious entry into mitosis 14 (Edgar and O’Farrell 1990;
Mata et al. 2000; Seher and Leptin 2000), but would the
failure to eliminate maternal Cdk1 activity affect other
aspects of the cell cycle that affect interphase length;
namely, DNA replication? To test this idea, the investiga-
tors used time-lapse spinning-disc confocal microscopy of
live embryos to examine the effects on S-phase length
when Cdc25 was ectopically expressed by microinjection
of mRNA into cycle 13 or early cycle 14, effectively pre-
venting the developmentally controlled down-regulation
of Cdc25. The investigators demonstrated that these
treatments reduce the length of S-phase 14 because late-
replicating DNA, which is already specified as late-
origin firing origins but cannot stimulate mitosis, and high Cdk1
activity triggers mitosis.

While the observation that Cdk1 regulation underlies
the changing replication landscape in early Drosophila
development is quite interesting, in retrospect, there are
precedents in the cell cycle field. Genetic manipulation
of cyclins and Cdks in many organisms from yeast to
mammalian cells has revealed a tremendous capability of
different Cdks to individually support all cell cycle events.
The seminal studies of cell cycle control in budding and
fission yeast showed early on that a single Cdk drives both
S phase and mitosis in these organisms [albeit in partner-
ship with different cyclins] (Nurse 2002). Moreover, hu-
mans Cdk1 is capable of substituting for the mitotic and
S-phase functions of Schizosaccharomyces pombe Cdk1
[Lee and Nurse 1987]. Mouse knockout experiments also
clearly indicate that Cdk1 can support S phase [Aleem
et al. 2005]. Thus, while different Cdks can substitute for
one another, multiple Cdks may have arisen to perform
different jobs within S phase [e.g., temporal control of
origin firing] that likely fine-tune the cell cycle in
important ways [Satyanarayana and Kaldis 2009]. The
early development of organisms like Drosophila has
evolved to take advantage of this plasticity to shape
alterations to cell cycle progression.

What next?
The Farrell et al. (2012) experiments raise a number of
interesting questions. What ensures the late replication of
heterochromatin in cycle 14? The most likely answer is
late-firing origins located in or very near heterochroma-
tin. Cyclin E/Cdk2 is highly active throughout S-phase 14
and stimulates origin firing early in S phase. Thus, any
late-firing origins must be refractory to Cyclin E/Cdk2 in
early S phase. This result suggests that either mechanisms
other than high Cdk1 activity contribute to short S phase
prior to cycle 14 or Cdk1 activity was not fully depleted in
these experiments (or both). Finally, as an interesting
additional observation, the investigators provided evidence
that a low cyclin level in early cycle 14 functions as a novel
checkpoint mechanism that limits the ability of Cdk1 to
induce mitosis during ongoing DNA synthesis. Together,
these results suggest different thresholds for Cdk1 func-
tion: Low Cdk1 activity converts late-firing origins to early-
firing origins but cannot stimulate mitosis, and high Cdk1
activity triggers mitosis.

These mRNA injection experiments are gain-of-func-
tion experiments and indicate that down-regulation of
Cdk1 is required for the onset of a temporal pattern of
replication within S phase and the consequent increase in
the length of S phase in cycle 14. However, it does not
necessarily follow from these experiments that the con-
verse is true: that continuously high Cdk1 activity in the
earlier S/M cleavage cycles keeps S phase short. Impor-
tantly, the investigators also tested this idea by reducing
Cdk1 activity through RNAi depletion of mitotic cyclins,
which resulted in a modest extension of S phase in cycle
13, although not as dramatic as what normally occurs
in cycle 14. This result suggests that either mechanisms
other than high Cdk1 activity contribute to short S phase
prior to cycle 14 or Cdk1 activity was not fully depleted in
these experiments (or both). Finally, as an interesting
additional observation, the investigators provided evidence
that a low cyclin level in early cycle 14 functions as a novel
checkpoint mechanism that limits the ability of Cdk1 to
induce mitosis during ongoing DNA synthesis. Together,
these results suggest different thresholds for Cdk1 func-
tion: Low Cdk1 activity converts late-firing origins to early-
firing origins but cannot stimulate mitosis, and high Cdk1
activity triggers mitosis.

While the observation that Cdk1 regulation underlies
the changing replication landscape in early Drosophila
development is quite interesting, in retrospect, there are
precedents in the cell cycle field. Genetic manipulation
of cyclins and Cdks in many organisms from yeast to
mammalian cells has revealed a tremendous capability of
different Cdks to individually support all cell cycle events.
The seminal studies of cell cycle control in budding and
fission yeast showed early on that a single Cdk drives both
S phase and mitosis in these organisms [albeit in partner-
ship with different cyclins] (Nurse 2002). Moreover, hu-
mans Cdk1 is capable of substituting for the mitotic and
S-phase functions of Schizosaccharomyces pombe Cdk1
[Lee and Nurse 1987]. Mouse knockout experiments also
clearly indicate that Cdk1 can support S phase [Aleem
et al. 2005]. Thus, while different Cdks can substitute for
one another, multiple Cdks may have arisen to perform
different jobs within S phase [e.g., temporal control of
origin firing] that likely fine-tune the cell cycle in
important ways [Satyanarayana and Kaldis 2009]. The
early development of organisms like Drosophila has
evolved to take advantage of this plasticity to shape
alterations to cell cycle progression.

What next?
The Farrell et al. (2012) experiments raise a number of
interesting questions. What ensures the late replication of
heterochromatin in cycle 14? The most likely answer is
late-firing origins located in or very near heterochroma-
tin. Cyclin E/Cdk2 is highly active throughout S-phase 14
and stimulates origin firing early in S phase. Thus, any
late-firing origins must be refractory to Cyclin E/Cdk2 in
cycle 14, or something else triggers their firing. While
high Cdk1 activity can trigger early replication of hetero-
chromatin prior to cycle 14, in cycle 14, something else
must perform this function, since Cdk1 becomes inhibited by Y15 phosphorylation, ultimately causing the appearance of G2. Does Cdk1 regulation affect replication timing in other cycles or at other stages of development? Would precocious activation shorten S phase in other cell types? If not, then perhaps other mechanisms exist that control replication timing, and these may be conserved in other organisms.

Does the acquisition of late-replicating DNA play a specific role during development? As noted above, adding controls to the cell cycle creates opportunity for new regulation that might facilitate developmental events. For instance, the acquisition of G2 regulation may accommodate the dramatic cell movements that occur during gastrulation because these movements require cytoskeletal rearrangements and cell shape changes that are precluded by the events of mitosis and cytokinesis. Similarly, the acquisition of G1 phase provides an opportunity to introduce growth control at later stages of development. But why lengthen interphase by extending the duration of S phase with the introduction of late-replicating regions of the genome? The phenomenon of early- and late-firing origins leading to a temporal program of DNA replication during S phase is common in eukaryotic cells, suggesting an important functional role. Indeed, forced late origins to fire early in budding yeast causes growth defects (Mantiero et al. 2011). One possibility is that changes in the replication program help support the formation of specific aspects of chromatin structure that affects gene expression programs. While correlations between replication timing, chromatin organization within the nucleus, and gene expression certainly exist and can be observed in animal cells, the functional consequences of these complex relationships can be difficult to discern (Gondor and Ohlsson 2009; Hiratani et al. 2009; Gilbert et al. 2010).

Finally, what is the molecular mechanism by which Cdk1 controls origin firing? A recent report suggested that cyclin A controls early/late replication dynamics in S phase of Drosophila melanogaster mechanosensory bristle cells via regulating heterochromatin localization of Orc2 (Salle et al. 2012). Cyclin A is also required for the rereplication of heterochromatin that occurs upon depletion of geminin, an inhibitor of Cdt1 (Ding and MacAlpine 2010). These are likely Cdk1 effects, since Cyclin A does not bind Cdk2 in flies (Knoblich et al. 1994). In addition, reduced levels of Orc binding correlate with underreplicated regions of the genome in polyplody salivary gland cells, suggesting that origin firing can be controlled developmentally (Sher et al. 2012). Cytological experiments performed in fly tissues cannot easily discern the precise molecular mechanism by which Cdk1 controls origin firing. Nevertheless, studies like Farrell et al. (2012) provide a wonderful example of the power of curiosity-driven research and how it results in unanticipated discovery that sets the stage for new, hypothesis-driven inquiries. Moreover, I would like to stress that “mechanism” is in the eye of the beholder: While the molecular mechanism by which Cdk1 kinase activity triggers replication of heterochromatic DNA in early S phase remains unknown at present, the Farrell et al. (2012) study established that the developmental mechanism causing the increase in the length of S phase is the down-regulation of Cdk1 activity via the elimination of maternal Cdc25 phosphatase. My distinction of mechanism here is meant to highlight the value of exploring S-phase control using a wide array of experimental approaches in different organisms and biological contexts.
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