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A novel approach for studying receptor-ligand interactions on living cells surface by using NUS/T1ρ-NMR methodologies combined with computational techniques: The RGDechi15D-α5β5 integrin complex

1. Introduction

The discovery of ligands able to discriminate among different receptor subtypes presenting high sequence and structure homology, but different biological effects, is a challenge in the biomedical field to gain drugs, without the side effects due to interactions with ‘off-target’ proteins [1,2]. An approach to obtain highly target-selective ligands is the identification of novel scaffolds designed to bind to more than one site of the target receptor, thus providing therapeutic/diagnostic benefits. So far, the development of membrane receptor-binding ligands has relied on data from in vitro biophysical characterizations, in vivo or in cellulo biological assays or in silico structure-based receptor-ligand targeting approaches [3]. Of note, both in vitro and in silico techniques provide information
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on the receptor-ligand interactions under non-native conditions. Consequently, ligand properties characterized in absence of cellular environment may not reflect the efficacy that the ligand shows in vivo, where the presence of various cellular environmental factors can influence the receptor-ligand recognition mechanism.

Therefore, to identify binding epitopes or to decipher the mechanism of action of a particular ligand, it is extremely important to describe the structural, dynamics and functional features driving receptor-ligand interactions within a cellular environment. In this scenario, in-cell and on-cell Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (in-cell/on-cell NMR) represents a powerful technique for studying, at atomic resolution, protein–protein and protein–ligand interactions, directly in the intracellular environment or on the membrane surface of living cells[4–8]. In particular, in- and on-cell NMR methods based on the observations of ligands, including transfer NOESY (trNOESY) and saturation transfer difference (STD), are widely used to characterize binding of ligands to membrane receptors on the cell surface[9–11].

trNOESY NMR experiment is used to explore the ligand conformational changes induced by receptor binding; whereas STD NMR method is applied to characterize the ligand binding epitope revealing the closest bound moieties to the receptor. However, the application of both NMR techniques is strongly limited by the short lifetime of cells in an NMR sample tube, does not allow to record spectra with high S/N ratio and resolution. For these reasons, the use of trNOESY and STD experiments for direct evaluation of receptor-ligand interactions under complex conditions with living cells is challenging. Therefore, in order to overcome these drawbacks, we developed an alternative NMR-based strategy that combines high-resolution structural and dynamics NMR data with Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations and Molecular Docking studies. In details, our approach relies on the acquisition of 2D trNOESY spectra, using NUS in combination with spectral reconstruction by non-Fourier transform methods, for studying the ligand conformational changes, upon binding and on the measurement of 1D T1ρ experiments, as alternative tool to the STD NMR method, for defining the ligand binding epitope.

We applied this on-cell NMR approach to describe, at atomic resolution, the molecular determinants regulating the recognition mechanism of α5β3 integrin by a selective cyclic binder peptide. Integrins are a family of transmembrane receptors composed of many subtypes, all arranged as heterodimers, generated by the combination of 18 α and 8 β different subunits that act as key receptors for cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix providing support for cells, regulating cell migration and reaction to the microenvironment. Genetic alteration or dysregulation of integrin subunits, and provided a novel α5β3 antagonist as conformational changes induced by receptor binding; whereas STD NMR method is applied to characterize the ligand binding epitope revealing the closest bound moieties to the receptor. However, the application of both NMR techniques is strongly limited by the short lifetime of cells in an NMR sample tube, does not allow to record spectra with high S/N ratio and resolution. For these reasons, the use of trNOESY and STD experiments for direct evaluation of receptor-ligand interactions under complex conditions with living cells is challenging. Therefore, in order to overcome these drawbacks, we developed an alternative NMR-based strategy that combines high-resolution structural and dynamics NMR data with Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations and Molecular Docking studies. In details, our approach relies on the acquisition of 2D trNOESY spectra, using NUS in combination with spectral reconstruction by non-Fourier transform methods, for studying the ligand conformational changes, upon binding and on the measurement of 1D T1ρ experiments, as alternative tool to the STD NMR method, for defining the ligand binding epitope.

Here, we report the first application of an alternative approach to investigate the structural details driving the formation of the α5β3–RGDechi15D complex. Our strategy can be considered a general approach for the investigation of ligand-receptor interactions on living cells surface and can be eventually applied to a variety of other cellular interactions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Peptide synthesis

RGDechi15D was synthesized as previously reported[19]. The amino-acid sequence of the peptide is: K-R-G-D-e-M–D–D–P–G–R–N–P–H–D–G–P–A–T. The residues of the RGD cycle are underlined.

2.2. Cells preparation

Human adenocarcinoma cells line (HeLa) and human hepatocarcinoma (HepG2) from ATCC, were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mm glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Euroclone, Italy). The cells at 80% confluence were detached with EDTA 0.1 mM in PBS to maintain the receptor integrity then were collected and re-suspended in PBS (1x10^7 cells/ml) to perform NMR analyses.

2.3. Analysis of Caspase-3 activity

 Determination of caspase-3 activity was performed by a fluorometric assay as described elsewhere[25].

2.4. Invasion assay

Cell invasion was assayed using transwell chambers coated with ECL Cell Attachment matrix (Millipore Corporation) as reported in a previous publication[20].

2.5. Cells viability and plating colony tests.

Cell viability was measured by Trypan blue exclusion test performed before and after NMR analysis. Briefly, cells were harvested and resuspended in 1 ml of HBSS. 0.2 ml of cell suspension were added to 0.5 ml of PBS and 0.3 ml of 0.4% of Trypan blue solution (Lonza, Walkersville, MD USA). After 5 min at room temperature, cells were counted in a Burker’s chamber. Cell number was measured with a Zen 3.1 software. Cell viability was measured as % of live cells on total cells. Experiments have been performed in triplicate and five counts have been made for every sample. Results have been reported as mean % of live cells ± SEM. Afterwards, cell suspension was centrifuged, pellet was resuspended in the culture medium described above and plated in culture dish at 37 °C 5% CO2 for 24 h. Cells were observed with an AxioVert 25 Microscope (Zeiss) and imaged by a Zen 3.1 software.

2.6. Natural-abundance nuclear Magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy

NMR natural-abundance experiments of RGDechi15D were performed by using a Bruker AVIII HD 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with a triple resonance Prodigy N2 cryoprobe having z-axis pulse field gradient. NMR samples were prepared by dissolving the peptide in 200 μl of Hank’s balanced (HBSS) buffer pH 7.4 and 10% 2H2O in a 3-mm NMR tube. 1H, 15N and 13C chemical shift assignments were performed by using the optimized protocol.
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reported in a previous publication [23]. Briefly, the following spectra were acquired and analyzed:

- 2D $[^{1}H-{}^1H]$ Total Correlation Spectroscopy (TOCSY) [26], 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{13}C]$ Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy (NOESY) [27] and 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{15}N]$ Rotating frame Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy (ROESY) [28] were acquired using 32 scans per t1 increment, a spectral width (SW) of 6001.33 Hz along both dimensions, a 3.0 s relaxation delay and 2048 $\times$ 256 complex points in t2 and t1, respectively. The 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{15}N]$ TOCSY spectrum was measured using a homonuclear Hartmann-Hahn transfer through a MLEV17 sequence using a mixing time of 70 ms and 10 kHz spin-lock field strength. The 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{13}C]$ NOESY experiment was acquired with a mixing time of 250 ms. The 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{15}N]$ ROESY was carried out with a CW spin-lock field strength of 4 kHz, a mixing time of 250 ms and 50 s relaxation delay. In all bi-dimensional $[^{1}H-{}^{15}N]$ experiments, water suppression was achieved by means of Watergate pulse sequence with gradients using double echo. All 2D spectra were apodized with a square cosine window function and zero fill to a matrix of size 4096 $\times$ 1024 before Fourier transform and baseline correction.

- 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{13}C]$ Hetero-nuclear Single Quantum Coherence Spectroscopy (HSQC) experiment was recorded with 880 scans per t1 increment, a spectral width of 1581.26 Hz along t1 and 6001.33 Hz along t2, 2048 $\times$ 512 complex points in t2 and t1, respectively, and 1.0 s relaxation delay. The $[^{1}H-{}^{13}C]$ HSQC constant time version ($[^{1}H-{}^{13}C]$ CT HSQC) pulse sequence was acquired with a coupling constant JXH = 145 Hz, constant time period of 13.3 ms. The $[^{1}H-{}^{13}C]$ CT HSQC was apodized with a square cosine window function and zero fill to a matrix of size 4096 $\times$ 4096 before Fourier transform and baseline correction.

Natural-abundance NMR measurements for characterizing the RGDechi15D backbone dynamics were performed at 600 MHz and T = 298 K. The acquisition parameters of the NMR experiments used to describe the backbone motions are described below:

- 2D T2-filter $[^{1}H-{}^{13}N]$ Hetero-nuclear Single Quantum Coherence Spectroscopy (HSQC) experiment was recorded with 880 scans per t1 increment, a spectral width of 5131.08 Hz along both dimensions, a 3.0 s relaxation delay and 2048 $\times$ 128 complex points in t2 and t1, respectively. The $[^{1}H-{}^{13}N]$ T2-filter HSQC experiments were measured by using two different relaxation-compensated CPMG periods (125 ms and 250 ms). The T2 filter $[^{1}H-{}^{13}N]$ HSQC was apodized with a square cosine window function and zero fill to a matrix of size 4096$x$1024 before Fourier transform and baseline correction.

Amide temperature coefficients and $[^{3}H]_{Hx}Hz$ couplings constants were obtained by measuring one-dimensional (1D) $[^{1}H]$ HSQC spectra with the following acquisition parameters: spectral width of 7211.54 Hz, relaxation delay 1.0 s, 7 K data points for acquisition and 16 K for transformation.

All 2D NMR spectra were processed by using NMRPipe [29] and analyzed using SPARKY [30] and CARA [31] software.

2.7. NMR measurements with living cells

NMR experiments for studying integrin-RGDechi15D interactions within a cellular environment were acquired in the presence of HeLa and HepG2 living cancer cells. In order to avoid the internalization of the peptide all measurements in the presence and absence of cells were performed at 278 K. NMR cell samples were prepared dissolving 0.5 mg of RGDechi15D or RGDechi linear in 200 µL of Hank’s balanced (HBSS) buffer pH 7.4 and 10% $^{2}H_{2}O$ containing 1x10^5 cells/mL (HeLa or HepG2). In both cellular experiments 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{15}N]$ TOCSY spectra were carried out using 16 scans per t1 increment, a spectral width (SW) of 7201.56 Hz along both dimensions, a 1.0 s relaxation delay and 2048 $\times$ 128 complex points in t2 and t1, respectively. The 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{15}N]$ TOCSY spectrum was measured using a homonuclear Hartmann-Hahn transfer through a MLEV17 sequence using a mixing time of 70 ms and 10 kHz spin-lock field strength. The 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{15}N]$ TOCSY spectra were apodized with a square cosine window function and zero fill to a matrix of size 4096 $\times$ 1024 before Fourier transform and baseline correction. The bi-dimensional NOESY spectra were acquired using Uniform (US) and Non Uniform Sampling (NUS) (50% random sampling). The spectral width along both direct and indirect dimensions was 7201.56 Hz. The 2D $[^{1}H-{}^{13}C]$ NOESY spectra were acquired by using a mixing time of 250 ms and 64 number of scans (32 for the uniform sampling version). The number of complex points was 2048 and 100 (50% NUS) for direct and indirect dimension, respectively. NUS data were reconstructed using two different protocols: IST (Iterative Soft Threshold) and IRLS (Iterative Reweighted Least Squares) [32] implemented in Bruker Topspin 4.0.1. The reconstructed NUS data were then processed with NMRPipe [29]. NUS data were zero-filled to a matrix of size 2048 $\times$ 2048.

T1$\rho$ NMR experiments were measured for the RGDechi15D peptide before (free form) and after addition of HeLa and HepG2 living cancer cells. All spectra were recorded with a spectral width of 7201.56 Hz and 28 844 data points. For each sample a couple of spectra were measured by changing the duration of the T1$\rho$ spinlock from 10 ms (reference spectrum) to 400 ms. A total number of 512 scans were recorded reaching a measurement time of 22 min and 25 min for the shorter (10 ms) and longer (400 ms) spinlock time, respectively. All T1$\rho$ NMR experiments were acquired by using a RF field strength for the T1$\rho$ spinlock of 7000 Hz.

$[^{1}H]$ Saturated Transfer Difference (STD) experiments were acquired on the NMR cell samples with 3 000 and 1 000 scans for the long and short version, respectively. STD spectra were acquired with on-resonance irradiation at 0.2 ppm to selectively saturate (total saturation time of 2 s) protein resonances and off-resonance irradiation at 30 ppm for reference spectra by using the standard Bruker pulse sequence. STD spectra were acquired with a spectral width of 7201.56 Hz, 1.0 relaxation delay, 16 k acquisition points for acquisition.

All T1$\rho$ and STD NMR spectra were processed and analyzed using MestRe Nova (Mnova) software (Mestrelab Research S.L., Santiago de Compostela, Spain).

2.8. Chemical shifts evaluation and T1$\rho$ data elaboration

$[^{1}H-{}^{13}C]$ and $[^{1}H-{}^{15}N]$ chemical shifts at 298 K were calibrated indirectly by external DSS reference.

Secondary chemical shifts for Cx, Hx, Hn, and Cβ were calculated by using as random coil shifts the values defined by Kjaergaard et al [33], De Simone et al [34] and Tamiola et al [35]. The statistical probability of a trans or cis Xaa-Pro peptide bond was obtained by Promega [36] software using the following information: amino acid sequence of the peptide, proline chemical shifts and backbone chemical shifts of neighbouring residues. The per-residue
model-free order parameters ($S^2$) for the backbone amide groups were predicted from the backbone and Cβ chemical shifts using the Random Coil Index approach [37,38]. The structural rearrangements of RGDechi15D induced by mutation were estimated by applying combined $^1$H ($\Delta$H0), $^{15}$N ($\Delta$N0) and $^{13}$C ($\Delta$C0) Chemical Shift Perturbations (CSPs) based on the equation reported below:

$$\Delta_{H,N,C}(%) = \left(\frac{(\Delta N0Wn)2 + (\Delta N0Wn)2 + (\Delta C0Wc)2}{\gamma H/\gamma N/\gamma C}\right)^{1/2}$$

where $Wn$, $Wc$ and $W$ are weighing factor for $^1$H, $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C shifts defined as $Wn = \gamma H/\gamma N = 1$; $Wc = \gamma C/\gamma N = 0.101$ and $Wc = \gamma C/\gamma C = 0.251$. $\Delta$H0, $\Delta$N0 and $\Delta$C0 are the chemical shift differences in ppm between the compared peptide state for $^1$H, $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C, respectively; $\gamma H$, $\gamma N$ and $\gamma C$ are the gyromagnetic ratios. The chemical shifts for the wild-type RGDechi peptide were estimated from a previous publication [23]. For the on-cell NMR characterization the chemical shifts evaluation was performed by using $^1$H shifts observed for the RGDechi15D peptide in the absence and in the presence of HeLa and HepG2 living cancer cells at 278 K. The T1p attenuation factor (T1pAF) for each well resolved resonance of RGDechi15D peptide in the free form and upon addition of HeLa and HepG2 living cells was calculated by evaluating the reduction of the height of $^1$H NMR signals in the two T1p experiments acquired with short (10 ms) and long (400 ms) spin-lock pulse. The intensity ratios were calculated for the peptide with and without living cells in according to the following equations:

$$T1p_{AF\text{free}}(\%) = (I_{0\text{free}} - I_{free})/I_{0\text{free}} \times 100$$

$$T1p_{AF\text{cells}}(\%) = (I_{0\text{cells}} - I_{cells})/I_{0\text{cells}} \times 100$$

in which for the free RGDechi15D peptide, $I_{0\text{free}}$ defines the peak intensity in the reference (10 ms) spectrum and $I_{free}$ the peak intensity in the spectrum acquired with a longer spin-lock time (400 ms); whereas for the peptide in the presence of cells $I_{0\text{cells}}$ defines the peak intensity in the reference (10 ms) spectrum and $I_{cells}$ the peak intensity in the spectrum acquire with a longer spin-lock time (400 ms). Successively, the T1p ligand epitope mapping was obtained by defining the T1p binding effect (T1p-BE) according to the formula:

$$T1p_{BE}(\%) = T1p_{AF\text{cells}} - T1p_{AF\text{free}}$$

Only well-resolved resonances were considered in the analysis.

2.9. Molecular dynamics simulations

RGDechi15D peptide parameters were obtained using the Antechamber suite [39] and visually inspected and refined by the Leap module. MD simulation package Amber v18 [40] was used to perform computer simulations by applying the Amber ff14SB force field [41]. RGDechi15D peptide was centered in a triclinic box and solvated by a 10 Å shell of explicit TIP3P water and one counter ion (Na+) was added to neutralize the system. After energy minimization by the steepest descent method followed by a 1 ns equilibration phase where heavy atoms were positioned restrained by a harmonic potential, unrestrained systems were simulated in a NPT ensemble using the Langevin equilibration scheme to keep constant temperature (278 K, 298 K) and pressure (1 atm). Electrostatic forces were evaluated by the Particle Mesh Ewald method [43] and Lennard-Jones forces by a cutoff of 10 Å. All bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained using the SHAKE algorithm [44]. Periodic boundary conditions were imposed in all three dimensions and the time step was set to 2 fs. Production runs of 10 ns were obtained at constant temperature of 278 K and 298 K, respectively, and structures were recorded every 2500 steps (5 ps) collecting a total of 2000 snapshots along the simulation time. Moreover, to enhance conformational sampling of RGDechi15D peptide mimicking experimental conditions, we run five independent replicas of 10 ns each at 278 K with different initial velocities. The obtained conformational ensemble was clustered using the protocol defined by Kelley et al. [45] generating 421 structural families. Successively, the representative cluster structures were analyzed by using experimental chemical shifts. In this case the chemical shifts were predicted from each representative structure by using the software PPM_ONE[46]. The representative structure was selected in accordance with the Global RMSD (experimental vs back-calculated) of Cx, Cβ, Hx, Hz, N, Hx-side-chain chemical shifts. All selected MD models were visualized and analyzed using the programs PyMOL[47], CHIMERA[48] and PROCHECK-NMR [49].

2.10. Homology modeling

The three-dimensional model of the $\alpha_5\beta_3$-integrin was obtained through a two-steps structural modeling. First, the 3D model of the $\beta_3$-subunit was predicted on the basis of their amino acid sequence by using two different approaches implemented in the I-TASSER [50] and ROBETTA [51] software. In particular, by using I-TASSER algorithm five models were predicted with C-scores ranging from −2.77 to 0.38. The C-score is a confidence score for estimating the quality of the predicted model and ranges from −5 to 2, with higher score representing higher confident in the model. Instead, by using ROBETTA five models were produced and the representative structure was selected by analyzing the Ramachandran plot obtained for each conformer by PROCHECK-NMR [49]. Considering the high structural similarity between the two models obtained by the two different approaches, the 3D structure predicted for the $\beta_3$-subunit by ROBETTA was selected as reference 3D structural model on the bases of the structure quality factors. In particular, the Ramachandran plot analysis indicates that the ROBETTA structure is of a better quality than the model predicted by I-TASSER with over 98% residues in most favoured and additional allowed regions.

Second, to build the 3D structure of $\alpha_5\beta_3$-integrin we used as template the X-ray structure of the $\alpha_5\beta_3$ in complex with cyclo-(RGDF[NMe]V), “cilencitide” (PDB code: 1LSG)[52,53]. The obtained model for the $\alpha_5\beta_3$-integrin was refined using energy minimization/geometry optimization and was used as reference conformation in the Molecular docking studies.

2.11. Molecular docking

All docking processes were performed using AutoDock 4.0 program [54]. The molecular docking calculation involved the following steps: 1) preparing starting coordinate files for the ligand and the receptor in order to include in the protocol the information needed (spatial charges, polar hydrogen atoms, atom types and torsional degrees of freedom). For the RGDechi15D peptide we used as representative structure the conformation selected from the MD clusters by using NMR chemical shifts. In particular, we defined as starting structure the model proving the best description of the experimental chemical shifts. Instead, for the $\alpha_5\beta_3$ integrin we used the structure obtained, as reported in the previous paragraph, by structural modeling. Polar hydrogen atoms were added to the reference structures and the RGDechi15D rotatable bonds were automatically selected. 2) AutoGrid routine: the software AutoDock requires pre-calculated grid maps that are define by AutoGrid. The grid map consists of a 3D lattice of regularly spaced points, entirely or partly surrounding and centered on a specific region of the receptor ($\alpha_5\beta_3$ integrin). In our docking protocol, the grid size was set to be $70 \times 70 \times 70$ and the grid space was 0.375 Å. 3) Docking procedure by AutoDock routine: a docking file, containing the input parameters for the docking calculation, was created by using the AutoDockTools. In details, we used as searching method the Lamarckian Generic Algorithm (LGA).
Minimized ligands were randomly positioned inside the grid box and the docking process initiated with a quaternion and torsion steps of 58 torsional degrees of freedom, number of energy evaluations of 25 000 000 and run number of 100. After docking simulation, the RGDechi15D/α/βs structures were clustered by using a backbone RMSD cutoff of 2 Å. Moreover, the complex conformations were further evaluated against the experimental NMR data obtained by CSP, trNOESY and T1ρ analysis. The structures were visualized and analyzed by PyMol[47] and Chimera[48].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural characterization of the free RGDechi15D peptide

To gain insight into the structural features driving the receptor- peptide recognition mechanism between the RGDechi15D and α/βs integrin, we firstly investigated the peptide in the free form by natural-abundance NMR spectroscopy. A nearly complete assignment of 1H, 13C, and 15N resonances at 298 K (Tables SI1,SI2) has been obtained using the previously[23] reported strategy, in which, by exploring the natural isotopic abundance, homonuclear 2D [1H–1H] TOCSY (Figure S1IA), 2D [1H–1H] ROESY (Figure S1I), heteronuclear 2D [1H–15N] HSQC and [1H–13C] HSQC spectra were simultaneously analysed. Then, to deeply describe the conformational properties of the RGDechi15D, we examined the backbone chemical shifts (CSS) that are sensitive probe of protein/peptide secondary structure. In particular, to identify the secondary structure elements we analysed the Hα, Cα, NH secondary chemical shifts (Fig. 1 A,B,C) obtained as a difference between the observed chemical shift (Δobs) and the residue specific random coil value (Δabc). This latter value was estimated for the RGDechi15D peptide by using three different methodologies, as defined by Kjaergaard et al.[33], De Simone et al.[34] Tamiola et al.[35], respectively. Additionally, we also calculated the differences between Cα and Cβ secondary shifts (ΔαCα–ΔαCβ) (Fig. 1D), that represents a common procedure of analysing the secondary backbone chemical shifts. Independently of the random coil data set used, for the residues located in the RG cycle (Lys1, Arg2, Gly3, Asp4 and DGlu5) Hα and Cα significantly deviate from the random coil chemical shifts with the Δα values alternating from positive to negative along the cycle. Differently, for the residues located in the region comprising from Met6 to Thr19, Hα, Cα and NH chemical shifts only slightly deviate from the random coil, suggesting that in the this region the peptide does not adopt any preferential conformation. These structural findings were further confirmed by the analysis of the ΔαCα–ΔαCβ values that for whole peptide sequence are within ± 2 ppm range (Fig. 1D). We also explored the information obtained by the two-dimensional NOE/SY and ROESY experiments, providing an upper limit (ca. 5 Å) on the distance between protons that produce cross peaks. In our experimental conditions, no intra-molecular NOE connectivities were detected in the NOE/SY experiment, while only intra-residue and short range ROEs were observed in the 2D-ROESY spectrum (Fig. 1E and Figures S1I.B, S1I.A). These results can be explained in terms of RGDechi15D dynamics, which modulate the evolution and the sign of the NOE. In details, a strong ROE cross peaks between the HN Lys1 and Hα DGlu5 indicate their proximity (Fig. 1E); for the residue pairs Asp3/Pro5, Asn13/Pro11 and Gly18/Pro17, relative strong ROE cross-peaks between the Hα of the previous residue and one or both of the prolyl Hα2 and Hα3 indicate that for the three proline residues (Proα, Pro11 and Pro17) (Figure S2IA) the Xaa-Pro peptide bonds are principally in trans configuration (Figure S2B). These latter results were further confirmed by analysing the Cβ and Cγ chemical shifts of the proline residues. As reported in the Table S1E, the difference Δβy of ~ 5 ppm indicates, confirming the ROE connectivities, that all three proline residues present a trans Xaa-Pro peptide bond configuration. In addition, the chemical shifts analysis, performed by Promega[36], demonstrates the Xaa-Pro peptide bond is mainly in trans configuration with a probability of more than 90% (Table S1E). To fully assess this finding, we estimated the population of the Xaa-Pro peptide bond by analysing in the 2D [1H–13C] HSQC spectrum the resonances related to the cis and trans forms (Figure S2C). The intensity analysis of the Cβ and Cγ NMR signals, in agreement with the results reported above, shows that all Xaa-Pro peptide bonds present an average cis population less than 10%. Overall, in agreement with the chemical shifts analysis, the inspection of the 2D-ROESY spectrum (Figure S1B), as well as 1H–Hα coupling constants (Table SI4), indicate that the peptide adopts an unstructured conformation lacking ordered secondary structure elements as α-helix and β-strand. Interestingly, as indicated by the proton NMR chemical shifts temperature coefficients (ΔΔHN/ΔT) (Table SI4), despite the high structural heterogeneity, the conformational space sampled by the RGDechi15D is locally restricted by weak hydrogen bonding or a mixture of hydrogen-bonded and solvent-exposed amide protons in the region flanking the Arg1 (ΔH/ΔT = -4.00 ppb/K) and DGlu5 (ΔH/ΔT = -3.90 ppb/K).

3.2. RGDechi15D backbone dynamics

To describe the RGDechi15D conformational motions, we explored 15N backbone dynamics on the picosecond (ps) to millisecond (ms) timescale of the peptide by natural-abundance NMR techniques, and we probed the nanosecond conformational flexibility of the peptide, by using MD simulations. In fact, this latter technique provides a detailed picture of the dynamics occurring in peptides/proteins on different timescales, and thus represents a valuable tool that is complementary to the experimental data obtained by NMR spectroscopy[55–57]. First, we generated, as reported in the materials and methods section, the conformational ensemble reproducing the ns RGDechi15D intrinsic motions (Fig. 2A). Then, we investigated the conformational dynamics of the peptide by calculating the per-residue backbone atoms root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) (Fig. 2B) from the generated 10 ns MD ensemble. The analysis of the RMSFs values of RGDechi15D shows that the N-terminal region, containing the RG cycle, exhibits a less conformational mobility than the C-terminal tail (Gly16-Thr19) in the ns timescale. Successively, we combined the dynamics information contained in the backbone chemical shifts (Fig. 2C) with the data obtained by measuring a couple of filtered [1H–15N] HSQC spectra, using two different relaxation-compensated CPMG periods (125 and 250 ms) (Fig. 2D, E). In this T2-filter HSQC experiment only the 1H–15N peaks of the residues, for which the 15N transversal relaxation time (T2) is longer than the applied filter, are detectable. As reported in the Fig. 2D, E, both T2-filter HSQC experiments acquired with the two filter delays report the 16 expected 1H–15N cross-peaks for the RGDechi15D peptide, indicating that all the residues are characterized by a 15N R2 (1/T2) auto-relaxation rate constant slower than 4 s–1. Therefore, the T2-filter HSQC data clearly indicate that the RGDechi15D presents, in the µs-to-ms time scale, a remarkable conformational flexibility with the RGD cycle resulting to be slightly more rigid than the C-terminal. Then, to provide a complete picture of the backbone dynamics of the RGDechi15D, we investigated the internal flexibility on the ps time scale by estimating the model-free order parameter (S2) for the backbone amide groups (Fig. 2C), which reports the amplitude of 15NH vector fluctuations. S2 values reported in the Table S14 indicate that the residues located in the cycle region (Lys1, Arg2, Gly3, Asp4 and DGlu5) (S2avg = 0.65 ± 0.02) are characterized by a lower degree of flexibility than the C-terminal tail from Met6 to Thr19 (S2avg = 0.50 ± 0.09) in the ps time scale. Notably, these findings are in excellent agreement.
with the description of the ns conformational mobility obtained by 10 ns MD simulation.

Overall, the RGDechi15D structural and dynamical characterization demonstrates that the peptide, lacking well-defined secondary structure elements, presents elevated conformational heterogeneity, with the C-terminal region showing higher degree of flexibility than the RGD cycle in both ns-to-ps and μs-to-ms time scales.

3.3. Structural comparison of the RGDechi15D mutant versus RGDechi

To describe the structural rearrangements induced by the mutation of the h-Cit15 to Asp, we compared the backbone (1H, 13C and 15N) chemical shifts assigned for the RGDechi15D mutant with those reported for the RGDechi in a previous publication[23]. Insertion of the Asp15 results in significant changes in the [1H–15N] HSQC and [1H–13C] HSQC correlation spectra (Fig. 3A).
Larger chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta_{\text{H,N,C}}$) were observed for Lys$^1$, Asp$^4$, Asp$^8$ and His$^{14}$; whereas significant small differences were observed for Asp$^7$, Arg$^{11}$ and Thr$^{19}$ (Fig. 3B upper). Notably, residues located inside the RGD cycle that are far beyond the mutation site show substantial structural variations, indicating that the RGDe-chi15D is characterized by long-range conformational
rearrangements induced by mutation (Fig. 3B lower). These findings were further confirmed by comparison of $^{3}J_{\text{HNHN}}$ coupling constants (Fig. 3C left) and amide proton coefficients ($\Delta\nu\text{HN}/\Delta T$) (Fig. 3C middle) observed for the RGDechi15D with those previously published for the RGDechi peptide [23]. In particular, $^{3}J_{\text{HxHx}}$ coupling constants analysis indicates that the main differences in terms of torsion angles $\phi$ and $\psi$, induced by the mutation, are primarily localized in the region flanking the mutation site. On the other hand, the amide NMR chemical shift temperature coefficients indicate that the long-range structural changes induced by the mutation are correlated to the loss of the hydrogen bond between the amide proton of the Lys1 and the side-chain carbonyl oxygen of the D-Glu5. As indicated by the comparison of the model-free order parameter $S^{2}$ (Fig. 3C right), these structural findings are also associated with the higher conformational flexibility observed for the residues of the RGD cycle upon mutation. Overall, data show that the RGDechi peptide upon mutation undergoes significant structural rearrangements coupled with an increase in conformational flexibility in the region containing the RGD cycle.

3.4. Development of the NUS/T1$\rho$-NMR based methodology

To get structural insights into the binding of RGDechi15D to $\alpha_5\beta_3$ integrin within a cellular environment we performed a high-resolution NMR investigation of receptor-peptide interactions with living cancer cells. To this aim, we first selected two cell lines, HeLa and HepG2, with different histological origin and morphology, but showing the same $\alpha_5\beta_3$ and $\alpha_5\beta_5$ integrin expression level. In details, both HeLa and HepG2 cell lines showed 1.7 ± 0.1 x 10$^{-5}$ $\alpha_5\beta_3$ and undetectable amounts of $\alpha_5\beta_5$, estimated by quantitative flow cytometry, as previously reported [5,24]. In our strategy, HeLa cells were firstly used to get NMR data for building a model of the RGDechi15D/ $\alpha_5\beta_3$ complex, whereas HepG2 cells were later used as positive control in the validation procedure (see next paragraph). Additionally, to inhibit the peptide internalization in both cell lines, the NMR structural investigation was performed at low temperature (278 K).

First, we assigned the $^{1}H$, $^{13}C$, and $^{15}N$ chemical shifts of RGDechi15D at 278 K by inspection of 2D $^{1}H$–$^{1}H$ TOCSY, 2D $^{1}H$–$^{1}H$ ROESY, and natural abundance NMR experiments as $^{1}H$-$^{13}N$ HSQC and $^{1}H$-$^{15}C$ HSQC experiments (Table S15). Then, to explore the RGDechi15D binding to $\alpha_5\beta_3$ integrin with living HeLa cells, we applied the conventional strategy in which the chemical shift assignments at 278 K (Table S17) was performed by 2D $^{1}H$–$^{1}H$ TOCSY spectrum; whereas STD and trNOE experiments were analysed to describe the structural determinants governing the recognition mechanism of $\alpha_5\beta_3$ integrin by RGDechi15D formation.

With this method, to acquire whole set of NMR spectra with a reasonable S/N ratio and resolution a total experimental time of 16 h was required. In order to verify the impact of NMR analysis on cell viability, we performed Trypan Blue exclusion test before and after the NMR measurements. Trypan blue is a dye used to distinguish between live and dead cells. In particular, it is not absorbed by viable cells, but stains cells with a damaged cell membrane so that under light microscopy only death cells have a blue color. Then, cells were plated and observed for 24 h. As reported in the Fig. 4A the HeLa cells viability after 16 h in the NMR tube was reduced of more than 25%; however, when observed 24 h after plating, only few cells attached the plate surface forming cells cluster. These data suggest that, even if the 75% of cells have intact membrane-Trypan blue is excluded- cell homeostasis and functionality of the alive cells was seriously compromised.

Previous studies also reported that the lifetime of HeLa cells inside the NMR tube was 85–90% after 3 h [58,59]. Then, in order to acquire the complete NMR data set within 3 h on the same in-cell NMR sample we tried to shorten the duration of the NMR experiments by reducing the number of scans (NS) of the 2D US $^{1}H$–$^{1}H$ trNOESY and the STD experiments (Figure SI3) (total duration = 5 h and 35 min). Unfortunately, this optimization procedure failed because the reduction of number of scans drastically decreased of the Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) of the NMR spectra with several peaks around or barely above the noise level (Figure S13A, B). In particular, for the STD experiment the reduction of the NS from 3000 to 1000 produces a substantial lowering of the average SNR of about 50% (Figure S13C).

Therefore, to overcome these limitations, we developed an alternative NMR-based strategy for investigating, at an atomic level, the molecular determinants driving the RGDechi15D/$\alpha_5\beta_3$ complex formation on living cells surface. In particular, taking advantage of the sensitivity enhancement of NMR-cryoprobe over room temperature probe, we combined NUS and T1$\rho$ methodology (Fig. 4) to reduce the duration of the NMR experiments and collect, within the HeLa cells lifetime, the whole data set required for an accurate structural investigation. On one hand, considering the short living time of the cell sample due to cells sedimentation and peptide internalization, the application of NUS methodology allowed us to speed up the acquisition of the 2D NUS $^{1}H$–$^{1}H$ trNOE spectra. In fact, NUS offers potential solution by collecting in the indirect dimension fewer increments than uniform sampling (US) and then reconstructing the full spectrum [32,60–63]. In this regard, it is possible to either decrease the overall experiment time or increase the SNR by using more NS. On the other hand, in order to further reduce the NMR acquisition time we used T1$\rho$ relaxation based NMR experiments as alternative tool to the STD [19] technique which is characterized by low sensitivity and then, it requires long experimental times to collect spectra with an acceptable S/N ratio, whereas this approach produces significant line broadening of its resonances because the peptide adopts the enhanced transfer R1$\rho$ relaxation rate of the receptor, and thus the intensity of the acquired spectrum will be reduced with respect to that observed in the absence of living cells. Therefore, after measuring T1$\rho$ relaxation-based experiments, we defined the T1$\rho$ Attenuation Factor (T1$\rho$-AF) by evaluating the difference between the peak intensities of the reference spectrum (acquired with a shorter spin-lock time of 10 ms) with those of the spectrum acquired with a longer spin-lock time (400 ms) for the RGDechi15D peptide without (T1$\rho$-AF$^{\text{Ref}}$) and with HeLa cells (T1$\rho$-AF$^{\text{Cell}}$), respectively. Successively, we delineated the T1$\rho$ Binding Effect
(T1p-BE) by subtracting the T1p-AF obtained for each well-resolved proton of RGDechi15D in the free form to the value calculated for the same resonance upon addition of living HeLa cells. Interestingly, the combination of NUS and T1p NMR techniques allowed the acquisition of the complete NMR data set (2 h and 46 min) within the HeLa cells lifetime (3 h), ensuring that only data of intact cells were acquired. This latter crucial aspect was further confirmed by evaluating the HeLa cell viability of the NMR sample. Indeed, the cells viability and plating data indicate that, after NMR measurements (~3 h), HeLa cells viability is intact (100%) and, more importantly, the alive cells kept their ability to attach plate surface and to assume their typical morphology suggesting that cell homeostasis is preserved (Fig. 4A).

3.5. Application of the NUS/T1p-NMR based strategy for defining the binding mode of RGDechi15D to αvβ5 integrin on living cells surface

In agreement with our approach we firstly collected the structural information of the RGDechi15D-binding epitope to αvβ5 by acquiring 2D [1H–1H] TOCSY, 2D NUS [1H–1H] trNOESY and T1p NMR experiments in the absence and in the presence of αvβ5 integrin expressing Hela cells at 278 K (Fig. 4C-E). Then, the obtained high-resolution structural data were used as conformational constraints to perform a series of Molecular Dynamics and Molecular Docking studies (see next paragraph).

To start, we analyzed the 1H chemical shift changes (Tables S15 and S17) for all protons (backbone and side chains) (ΔνH) of RGDechi15D upon addition of HeLa living cancers cells. Interestingly, as
illustrated in the Figure 4C, a subset of resonances the RGDechi15D showed significant changes in the presence of HeLa cells suggesting that the αβ3-integrin binding process is modulated by several residues. In details, remarkable chemical shift perturbation (CSP > CSPavg + SD) was observed for the Asn12 located in the C-terminal region of the peptide; whereas smaller significant differences were observed for Lys3 and Asp9 located inside the RGD cycle and for Asp9, Prp17, Arg11, His14 and Asp15 and Pro17 situated in the C-terminal region. Then, to determine the conformation that the RGDechi15D peptide adopts upon binding to the αβ3 integrin in HeLa living cells we exploited the structural information provided by the two-dimensional trNOESY experiments reordered using NUS acquisition mode (Fig. 4 D). A detailed analysis of 2D NUS [1H–1H] trNOESY spectra acquired in the presence of HeLa cells indicates that Lys3 and Arg2 as well as the Asp9, located inside the RGD cycle, showed either new or stronger cross-peaks. New tr-NOE correlations were also observed for Asp9, Asn12, His14 and Asp15 upon addition of HeLa cells (Fig. 4, SI4). Interestingly, strong NOE cross-peaks between Hα of the residue Asp9, Asn12 and Gly3 and the Hδ of Pro9, Pro13 and Pro17, respectively, indicate that the higher population of the Xaa-Pro trans arrangement observed for the three prolines in the free RGDechi15D is preserved upon binding to the αβ3 integrin on living cells surface. Successively, to further characterize the RGDechi15D-binding epitope to αβ3 in the cellular environment, in accordance with our approach, we analysed a pair of T1ρ relaxation-based experiments acquired on the peptide in the presence and absence of HeLa cancer cells (Fig. 4E, SI3B). In particular, the RGDechi15D hydrogens that are closer to the αβ3 receptor upon binding were identified by analysing T1ρ-AF and T1ρ-BE parameters obtained as reported above (see also the materials and methods). We classified the T1ρ-BE for HeLa living cells as medium (10% < T1ρ-BE < 15%) and strong (T1ρ-BE greater than 15%) depending on the reduction of the RGDechi15D signal intensities upon interaction to the αβ3 integrin. In details, in the amide proton region, strong T1ρ-BE was observed for Gly3 located inside the RGD cycle, whereas medium effects were observed for Asp9, Asp7, Asn12, Asp15 backbone amides and for His14 side-chain protons (Fig. 4E). In the aliphatic region, strong T1ρ-BE were ascribed to Hj2/Hj3 Asp4, Hj2/Hj3 Pro9, Hj2/Hj3 Asn12 (only in the case HeLa cells), Hx and Hj2/Hj3 Asp15 and Hj2/Hj3 Pro17. A medium T1ρBE was observed for Hj2/Hj3 and Hδ Lys1, Hx Arg2 and Hx Gly3 situated within the RGD cycle, for Hj3 Asp9, Hx (only in the case of HeLa cells) and Hδ Prp17, His6 and Hc: Arg11, Hj Asn12 (only in the case HepG2 cells), Hc2 His14, Hx and Hj Asp15, Hj Prp17. Overall, trNOESY and T1ρ data, in agreement with the chemical shift perturbations analysis, indicate that the binding mechanism of αβ3 integrin by RGDechi15D is principally mediated by Lys1, Arg2 and Gly3 located inside the RGD cycle. Moreover, the NMR structural data clearly demonstrate that the formation of the RGDechi15D/αβ3 complex is further stabilized by additional residues (Arg11-Thr15) of the C-terminal echistatin moiety.

3.6. Validation of the NUS/T1ρ-NMR methodology

Since in our approach the structural information collected by the combination of NUS and T1ρ techniques are essential for providing an high-resolution description of protein-peptide interactions with living cells, we validated the integrated NMR strategy utilizing a proper positive and negative control. As positive control, we used the HepG2 cells that, as already mentioned, share with the HeLa cells the same αβ3/αβ5 integrin pattern. In the presence of HepG2 cells RGDechi15D shows chemical shifts variations (Fig. S4A, Table S18), as well as tr-NOE correlations and T1ρ-BE values (Fig. S4B,C), similar to those observed upon addition of the HeLa cells demonstrating that the αβ3-integrin binding interaction occurs with a similar recognition mechanism.

As negative control, we used a linear RGDechi peptide (named RGDechi linear) lacking the RGD cyclic motif. At first we tested the ability of the linear peptide to bind αβ3-integrin by apoptosis and invasion assay experiments (Figure S15). In detail, after treating HepG2 with 50 μM linear peptide for 6 h, as shown in the Figure S15A, RGDechi linear does not induce apoptosis, differently RGDechi15D induces a remarkable increase in caspase activity as described in Capasso et al.[24]. Moreover, as previously demonstrated[24], RGDechi15D peptide shows significant decrease in tumor cell invasiveness with respect to untreated cells (control), differently linear peptide does not affect HepG2 invasion (Figure S15B). Data were quantified in the graph (Figure S15C). Therefore, we tested the efficacy of the NUS/T1ρ-NMR methodology by applying this combined strategy to the living cell sample containing the linear peptide (Figure S16A-E). As reported in the figure S16D, the 2D NUS [1H–1H] trNOESY acquired for the linear RGDechi peptide upon addition of the living cells does not show any new inter-molecule NOE correlations indicating, in agreement with the chemical shifts analysis (Figure S16B-C, Table S19), that the peptide does not bind the integrin. These findings were further confirmed by the structural information obtained using the T1ρ experiments. As illustrated in the figure S16E, the T1ρ-BE values, calculated for the well resolved proton resonances of RGDechi linear, indicates that the presence of cells having the αβ3-integrin on the membrane surface does not significantly reduce the NMR signal intensities demonstrating that RGDechi linear retains the same conformational features observed in solution. Overall, in accordance with apoptosis and invasion assay results, the structural data here obtained demonstrate that the linear peptide RGDechi linear does not interact with the αβ3 integrin highlighting the crucial role of the RGD cyclic motif in the αβ3 recognition mechanism.

3.7. Molecular docking studies of RGDechi15D/αβ3 complex

To provide a more rigorous description of the molecular determinants driving the αβ3 binding mechanism by RGDechi15D, we performed a series of Molecular Docking studies by including in the docking protocol the structural dynamics information collected through NMR methods (i.e. Secondary Chemical shifts analysis, CSPs, trNOESY and T1ρ) and Molecular Dynamics simulations for RGDechi15D in the free and bound forms. Therefore, in order to consider the RGDechi15D conformational flexibility and include this piece of information in the Molecular Docking of RGDechi15D/αβ3 interaction, we performed a series of Molecular Dynamics simulations at 278 K of 10 ns each, collecting up to

![Fig. 4. Mapping of the binding interface between RGDechi15D and αβ3 integrin on living HeLa cancer cells surface.](image-url)
50 ns of simulation time. Then, we analysed the ensemble (5 × 1000 conformers) (Figure SI7A) obtained from 50 ns MD simulation through cluster analysis and by using the experimental chemical shifts observed for the peptide at 278 K. In particular, we used the PPM approach[46] as chemical shifts prediction tool to back-calculate the backbone and side-chains CSs from the representative conformations of each cluster. Then, we compared the observed chemical shifts with those predicted for each single representative structure. As reflected by the RMSD values (Figure SI7B), this CSs-based selection procedure allowed the identification of the RGDcshi15D conformer that provides a reasonable description of the experimental CS NMR data (Global RMSD = 4.55 ppm). Subsequently, we used this selected structural model as reference structure for the RGDcshi15D peptide in the docking protocol (see below). For the αvβ5 receptor, since the high-resolution 3D structure is not yet available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB), we built a three-dimensional model by using a multi-step homology modelling process based on the crystal structure of αvβ3 integrin in complex with cyclo(RGDf[NMe]V, “cilengitide” (PDB code: 1L5G) [52,53]. In fact, since αvβ5 and αvβ3 show high percentage of sequence identity at the α and β interface and bind common small compounds, it has been plausible to assume that in the αvβ5-bound conformation the two subunits assemble in a similar manner as observed in αvβ3. First, we computationally predicted the 3D structure of the β3 subunit from the amino-acid sequence by using two different approaches implemented in ROBETTA[51] and I-TASSER [50] software. Interestingly, as reflected by the RMSD values (Figure SI8A-D), the two approaches predicted a very similar structural organization for the region (Ser54-Thr400) of the β3 subunit involved in the recognition of the RGD motif (Figure SI8 C-D). Although the structural similarities the Ramachandran plot statistics indicate that the 3D model predicted by ROBETTA is of a better quality than I-TASSER model with over 98% residues in most favoured and additional allowed regions (Table SI11 Figure SI8A, B). Second, we assembled the β3 subunit 3D model predicted by ROBETTA with the αv subunit using as structural template the αvβ3/cilengitide complex. Third, the generated 3D model of the αvβ3 integrin was energetically minimized, as reported in the materials and methods section, and it was used as reference structure for the receptor in the docking studies (Figure SI8E).

As a result, the molecular docking calculations generated 100 solutions and were subsequently sorted into clusters using a

Fig. 5. Structural model of the RGDcshi15D-αvβ5 complex. A-D Close-view up of the representative model of the RGDcshi15D/αvβ5 complex obtained by molecular docking and validated by using the experimental NMR data. Residues of αv and β5 subunits depicted in light blue and gold, respectively. The RGDcshi15D peptide is reported in dark grey; whereas the side chains of the residues involved in the complex formation are depicted in light green. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Fig. 6. Flow chart of our approach for high resolution investigation of receptor-ligand interactions based on on-cell NMR structural data. The comparison of the NUS/T1ρ methodology with the previous STD-based strategy with the strategy is also reported.
backbone RMSD cutoff of 2 Å. This procedure resulted in three most populated clusters (Cluster1, Cluster2 and Cluster3) characterized by substantial structural differences in the binding modality to αvβ5 integrin by RGDechi15D peptide (Figure S19A). Since the first cluster better agrees with the structural NMR data (Figure S19B), we selected the representative model of this cluster as reference structure of the RGDechi15D/αvβ5 complex.

3.8. RGDechi15D - αvβ5 recognition mechanism

The selected structural model of the RGDechi15D/αvβ5 complex, as derived by experimental and computational data, is shown in Fig. 5. The RGDechi15D binding epitope is constituted by a rather extended surface defined by Lys1, Arg2, Gly3, Asp4 located inside the RGD cycle and by Pro8, Arg11, Asn12, Pro13, His14, Asp15 and Pro17 of the C-terminal echistatin moiety (Table SII12). Notably, all residues of the RGDechi15D peptide involved in the interaction with αvβ5 show T1p binding effect and intermolecular NOEs, upon addition of HeLa and HepG2 living cells. In details, the RGD-containing cycle mainly interacts with the β3 subunit (Fig. 5 A-C) (Table SII12); especially, Lys1 interacts by ionic interactions with β3-Arg241 and β3-Cys302 (Fig. 5A), Arg2 makes contact with several residues of the β3 subunit (Ser147, Leu148, Ser240, Pro241, Phe245) (Fig. 5A); Gly3 interacts with β5-Asn242 whereas Asp4 makes contact with β5-Ser147, β5-Asp278 and β5-Asp279 (Fig. 5A). Regarding the region preceding, the C-terminal echistatin moiety Asp8 and Pro9 mainly interact with αv-subunit (Fig. 5B). Moreover, the interaction between αvβ5 and RGDechi15D is further stabilized by residues of the C-terminal echistatin moiety (Arg11-Thr19), that contacts both αv and β3 subunits. In particular, Arg11 is involved in hydrogen bonds with αv-Asp150 (Fig. 5B); whereas Asn12 is hydrogen bonded with αv-Tyr178 (Fig. 5B,C). Pro1 interacts with Asp148, Ala149, Tyr178 of the αv-subunit (Fig. 5C); whereas the side-chain of His14 involved in ionic interaction with αv-Asp148 (Fig. 5C). Finally, Asp15, Gly16 and Pro17 residues play a crucial role in the stabilization of the RGDechi15D/ αvβ5 complex by selectively interacting with the β3-subunit (Fig. 5D). Notably, Asp15 Gly16 and Pro17 of RGDechi15D peptide exclusively interacts with residues located inside the specificity determining loop (SDL) (Figure S110 and S111A,B) that is extremely important for the natural ligand selectivity between αvβ3 and αvβ5 integrins(64,65). This observation, together with the fact that, in the amino-acid sequence of the β3, the β3-Lys206 is replaced with an Asp residue (β3-Asp205) (Figure S11B) clearly indicate that in RGDechi15D the Asp15 plays a crucial role in shifting the selectivity of the peptide from αvβ3 to αvβ5.

4. Conclusions

Herein, we developed and applied an alternative methodology for studying receptor-ligand interactions with living cells at atomic resolution. Our application combines high-resolution structural and dynamics NMR data with Molecular Dynamics simulations and Molecular Docking studies. In particular, the methodology relies on the following steps (Fig. 6): i) after preparation of the sample containing living cells overexpressing the receptor and the unlabeled ligand (i.e peptide, protein, small compound) the chemical shifts assignment is achieved by the acquisition of the 2D [1H-15N] TROSY spectrum (time – 43 min); ii) inspection of 2D NUS [1H-1H] trNOESY spectra to investigate the preferred conformation of bound ligand. NUS techniques allow to reduce the acquisition time (from 163 to 77 min using for both 64 number of scans) considering the short living time of the cells (3 h); iii) acquisition of a pair of T1p relaxation-based experiments instead of STD experiments to define the binding epitope. The acquisition time was significant reduced (from 335 to 46 min), obtaining the same essential structural details with increasing S/N ratio (more than 50%) and resolution of NMR spectra. Two novel parameters, T1p- AF (T1p Attenuation factor) and T1p-BE (T1p Binding Effect), were defined to get more quantitative conformational information from T1p NMR experiments; iv) description of the conformational space sampled by the ligand in solution by performing a series of Molecular Dynamics simulations; v) identification of the reference conformation for the ligand by analysing the MD ensemble with the experimental 1H, 15N and 13C chemical shifts; whereas for the receptor, if the high-resolution structure resolved by X-Ray, NMR or CryoEM, is not available, a series of molecular modelling calculations are required; vi) investigation of the structural determinants governing the receptor-ligand complex formation by performing molecular docking studies; vii) cluster analysis of the Molecular docking conformations and selection of the representative model of the receptor-ligand complex by using the experimental NMR data.

We tested the developed a methodology to investigate the structural details driving the formation of the RGDechi15D/αvβ5 complex. The results indicate that the recognition mechanism of αvβ5 integrin by RGDechi15D is principally mediated by the residues located inside the RGD cycle and it is further stabilized by additional residues (Arg11-Thr19) of the C-terminal echistatin moiety. Overall, the RGDechi15D-αvβ5 structural model provides a detailed description of the molecular determinants responsible for the peptide selectivity for αvβ5, demonstrating that the Asp15 residue plays an essential role in shifting the selectivity of the peptide from αvβ3 to αvβ5.

In conclusion, our developed methodology was successfully applied in the investigation of the direct binding of the RGDechi15D peptide with the αvβ5 integrin with living human cancer cells. This approach represents an alternative NMR tool for studying, at atomic resolution, receptor-ligand recognition mechanism on living cells surface and for screening of the interaction profiling of drugs with their therapeutic targets in their native cellular environment.
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