Sedimentary evolution and controlling factors of Early-Mid Miocene Deltaic systems in the Northern Pearl River Mouth Basin, South China Sea
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The Pearl River Mouth Basin is located at the northern continental shelf of the South China Sea. Since the early Miocene, the Paleo-pearl river transported a large amount of sediments to the northwest of the basin and resulted in the formation of a large-scale river-delta depositional system, which has become an important oil and gas reservoir in the study area. In the current paper, we investigate the characteristics and evolution of fluvial-deltaic depositional systems and their controlling factors, including sea level change, tectonic subsidence and sediment supply on the basis of 3D seismic, well logging and core data. Early-Mid Miocene succession can be divided into two second-order sequences (CS1–CS2) and eight third-order sequences (S1–S8). Deltaic systems developed in S1–S2 are relatively coarse in grain size, and the delta plain deposits are dominated by thickly stacked (100–180 m) distributary channel sand bodies and interpreted as braided delta depositional system. In the early stage (S1–S2), the braided delta systems mainly distributed in the west of the Baiyun Sag, which were proceeded by a retreat to the south of the Enping Sag along with sharply rising sea level. Following the transgression of S2, the provenance of the Paleo-Pearl River extended to the coastal region of South China, and the paleoclimate changed from warm and humid to dry and cold in the early Miocene, leading to the development of transition of braided river delta to meandering river delta, which was characterized by relatively fine grain deposits. During the deposition of S3–S6, well sorted and rounded fine sandstones of deltaic front deposits accumulated in the study area. The retrogradation to accretion and subsequent progradation of these meander delta systems are attributed to the sea level change in the study area. During the deposition of S7–S8, the delta front retreated to the south of the Enping depression as a result of minor sea level rise, reduction in sediment input, and subsidence rate. This resulted in the development of a wave-controlled deltaic depositional system.

The formation of deltas is affected by multiple factors, including waves, tides and rivers, with the former considered as the most important1,2. River deltas can be divided into three categories according to the river type and the distance from the source area, namely, fan, braided and meandering river deltas3, each having a different control effect on sedimentary sand bodies4,5. Braided river deltas are rich in gravel and coarse sands coming from braided rivers controlled by floods6,7. Compared with the meandering river delta, the braided river delta is more coarse-grained, with a greater lateral connectivity of the sand bodies and better storage performance. In recent years, an extensive amount of research has been performed on the sedimentary characteristics and depositional patterns of marine braided and meandering river deltas8-12, however, studies on the transformation mechanism and the controlling factors of the two kinds of the deltas are not sufficient yet13,14.

The Pearl River Mouth Basin is located on the northern shelf margin of the South China Sea. Following the Baiyun tectonic movement in the Late Oligocene15, the basin entered a post-rift subsidence stage. In particular, a large amount of calstic sediments from the Paleo-Pearl River were injected, resulting in the development of large-scale delta deposits in the basin. Previous studies16,17 have suggested that braided river deltas were dominated...
in the early Miocene, while meandering river deltas were dominant in the late Early-Mid Miocene. However, the majority of research focuses on the southeast of the Panyu Low Uplift, while work on the delta system of the northern Panyu low uplift and Enping Sag is limited. Furthermore, there is a research gap on the conversion mechanism and evolution process of braided and meandering river deltas, restricting investigations on the delta deposition systems and sedimentary reservoirs in this area. Thus, in the current paper, we explore the sedimentary characteristics and transformation mechanisms of braided and meandering river deltas using 3D seismic, well logging and core data. The migration and evolution processes of deltas in the vertical and planar directions are analyzed in detail, as well as the controlling effects of sea level change, tectonic subsidence and sediment supply on the depositional evolution of different delta types. This paper provides a theoretical foundation for the delta-related prediction of oil and gas reservoirs.

Study area and geologic setting. The Pearl River Mouth Basin is located in the northern region of the South China Sea, and is described as a Cenozoic extensional basin. It can be divided into five units from north to south: the northern step-fault belt, northern depression belt, central uplift belt, southern depression belt and southern uplift belt. The evolution of the basin can be grouped into three stages: (1) the rifting stage during the Eocene-early Oligocene; (2) the post-rifting thermal subsidence stage during the Late Oligocene-Middle Miocene; and (3) the ups and downs of the fault block stage from the Late Miocene to the present. A number of hydrocarbon-bearing structures have been discovered in the northern shallow water shelf area, and the exploration and development of oil and gas reservoirs in the deep-water continental slope area is also a current research focus. Therefore, exploring the deposition and evolution laws of the delta from the inner shelf to shelf margin is of great significance for the search for high-quality reservoirs.

The study area covers the Enping Sag, the western part of the Panyu Low Uplift and the northwestern part of the Baiyun Sag. The Zhujiang Formation and Hanjiang Formation were deposited during the Early-Mid Miocene. The braided river delta with medium-coarse and shoreline sandstones are considered to be deposited in the lower region of the Zhujiang Formation, and in the middle-upper zone of the Zhujiang Formation–Hanjiang Formation, described as a meandering river delta with medium-fine sandstone and a shallow sea shelf with silty mudstone and mudstone, respectively.

Data and research methods. This study is based on the comprehensive analysis of high resolution 3D seismic data (provided by the China National Offshore Oil Corporation, Shenzhen) and nine well logs and cores. The well logs, which were revised by the cores, were employed to recognize the lithofacies and sedimentary facies, as well as to distinguish the braided and meandering river deltas. The 3D seismic profiles were revised by well VSP (vertical seismic profile) data in order to identify the seismic facies and trace seismic unconformities. The root-mean-square amplitude profiles aided in depicting the distribution of various sedimentary facies in different depositional systems.

Results

Lithofacies associations and depositional environments. Based on the analysis of core data and well logs in the study area, a series of delta sedimentary systems can be identified in the Early-Mid Miocene sequence. The main lithofacies associations are interpreted as the products of the depositional environments as follows (FA1-FA13): (1) delta plain deposits; (2) delta front deposits; (3) predelta deposits; and (4) shallow shelf deposits.
Lithofacies associations FA1–FA2: braided river delta plain deposits. These lithofacies associations are composed of FA1 and FA2 (Table 1). The principle component of FA1 is yellow-white massive pebbly sandstone, which is poorly sorted and presents obvious erosion surfaces in the interior. The sandstone bodies are approximately 30–60 m in thickness, and display a general fining-upward pattern (Fig. 3a). FA2 is composed of thin grayish black mudstone, with sandstone lenses or sandstone interbedded at the bottom and abundant bioturbation structures, and small scale horizontal or wavy cross bedding (Fig. 3b).

These lithofacies associations (FA1–FA2) are interpreted as braided river delta plain deposits, including braided distributary channels (FA1) and braided interdistributary bay deposits (FA2). The thick thinning upward pebbly sandstone (FA1) represents the braided distributary channel deposits, which is easily recognized on the well logs by a clear funnel or boxed shaped gamma ray (GR) pattern (Fig. 5). The thin mudstone with horizontal bedding in the FA2 lithofacies associations is interpreted as braided interdistributary bay deposits, with a serrate GR well-log profile. The sand factor of the braided river delta plain is relatively high, ranging between 77 and 90% and generally originated from the braided distributary channel.

Lithofacies associations FA3–FA6: braided river delta front deposits. These lithofacies associations are composed of FA3–FA6 (Table 1). FA3 is composed of medium and thick beds of variegated medium to fine grained pebbly sandstone, which is poorly sorted and subangular, with large scale massive and cross bedding and irregular scoured surfaces. Biological shells can be seen at the bottom of white sandstone (Fig. 3c). FA4 is composed of medium and thin beds of variegated medium sandstone and calcareous fine sandstone with massive bedding or small scale trough cross-bedding, with a general upward coarsening. Wormholes and biological shells can be seen in the lower siltstone (Fig. 3d). FA5 is composed of gray argillaceous siltstone or silty mudstone with small scale horizontal or tabular cross-bedding. The argillaceous is usually located at the top and the lower siltstone contains a large number of biological disturbance structures (Fig. 3e). FA6 is an interbedded layer of thin gray and silty mudstone with wavy cross bedding. The bioturbation structure is extremely developed, with occasional isolated large wormholes (Fig. 3f).

The lithofacies associations of FA3–FA6 are interpreted as braided delta front deposits due to their upward thickening. The fine pebbly sandstone (FA3) at the top is interpreted as subaqueous distributary channel deposits, with box- or bell-shaped GR well logs. The proximal delta front deposits contain thin beds of fine sandstone and siltstone (FA4), and can be interpreted as an estuary dam with funnel shaped GR logs. The argillaceous siltstone with horizontal bedding (FA5) is the distal delta front the deposits and can been interpreted as distal bar deposits. The bimodal cross bedding in the pelitic strip may be related to the scouring and backflow of the tides. The dark mudstone with an abundant biodisturbed structure of FA6 represents the subaqueous tributary bay deposits.
Lithofacies associations | Depositional characteristics | Depositional environments | Depositional facies
--- | --- | --- | ---
FA1 | Thick beds of pebbly coarse and medium sandstone, poorly sorted, graded and massive bedding, with obvious scouring surface and dark mud boulder, partly intercalated with muddy laminae; several isolated wormholes; finning-upward facies succession; fir-tree or box-shaped log curve | Braided distributary channel | Braided river delta plain
FA2 | Thin layer of dark mudstone with large sandstone lenses at the bottom, gradually changes upwards to fine sandstone with a large number of argillaceous layers; small scale horizontal bedding and wavy bedding; top layer of bioturbation structures; low-amplitude dentation log curve | Braided interdistributary bay | Braided river delta plain
FA3 | Medium to thick beds of pebbly medium sandstone, fine sandstone, poorly sorted, occasional biological shells, massive bedding; box-shaped log curve | Subaqueous distributary channels | Subaqueous distributary channels
FA4 | Medium to thin beds of medium sandstone, calcareous fine sandstone, poorly sorted, massive bedding and small scale trough cross bedding; internal scouring surface, occasional burrows and biological debris; funnel-shaped log curve | Estuary dam | Subaqueous distributary channels
FA5 | Medium to thin beds of argillaceous siltstone; abundant biodisturbed structures; few isolated large wormholes; small scale bimodal cross bedding; medium-amplitude funnel-shaped log curve | Distal bar | Subaqueous distributary channels
FA6 | Thin and silty mudstone on the top, interbedded sand-mud or sand ball-bearing; biodisturbed structures and wormholes, small scale wavy bedding; low-amplitude dentation log curve | Subaqueous interdistributary bay | Subaqueous interdistributary bay
FA7 | Thick beds of sandstone, fine sandstone, massive bedding and small scale cross bedding; well sorted and rounded; bell-or-box-shaped log curve | Distributary channel | Meandering river delta plain
FA8 | Thin siltstone, silty mudstone or mudstone, wavy bedding, biodisturbed structures, low-amplitude linear trend log curve | Interdistributary bay | Meandering river delta plain
FA9 | Medium to thin beds of fine sandstone, well sorted; abundant bioturbation structures and biological burrows in thin beds of calcareous siltstone at the top; occasional bioclastics, large scale tabular cross bedding; bell-shaped or box-shaped log curve | Subaqueous distributary channels | Subaqueous distributary channels
FA10 | Medium to thin beds of fine sandstone, intercalated pebbly sandstone and siltstone, well sorted and rounded, small scale tabular and wedge-shaped cross bedding; funnel shaped log curve | Estuary dam | Meandering river delta plain
FA11 | Thin beds of interbedded fine sandstone and argillaceous siltstone, burrows and wormholes in the siltstone; medium funnel shaped log curve | Distal bar | Subaqueous interdistributary bay
FA12 | Medium to thick beds of interbedded silty mudstone, mudstone, argillaceous siltstone; large number of biodisturbed structures, horizontal bedding; low-amplitude dentation log curve | Subaqueous interdistributary bay | Subaqueous interdistributary bay
FA13 | Thick bed of mudstone, silty mudstone, intercalated thin siltstone, horizontal bedding, biodisturbed structures, low-amplitude dentation log curve | Predelta mud/shelf mud | Predelta/shallow shelf

Table 1. Main lithofacies associations of braided and meandering river deltas from the Early-Mid Miocene in the study area.

deposits. The sand percentage of the braided river delta front ranges between 42 and 60%, and the distal drops to approximately 33%, which is slightly lower than that of the braided river delta plain.

Lithofacies associations FA7–FA8: Meandering river delta plain deposits. FA7 is generally composed of thick gravel-bearing coarse-medium sandstone that is well sorted and rounded, with an extensive structure, medium and small scale cross bedding and a scouring-filling structure (Table 1). The single sand body thickness is approximately 30–50 m (Fig. 5). FA8 is composed of medium and thin bed mudstone and silty mudstone, with small scale wavy cross bedding and biological disturbance structures and burrows abundant in the core.

The lithofacies associations of FA7–FA8 are interpreted as meandering river delta plain deposits, and include distributary channel (FA7) and distributary bay (FA7) deposits (Table 1). The upwardly thinning medium-thick layer of the gravel-bearing coarse sandstone (FA7) represents distributary channel deposition, and the GR curve is bell- or box-shaped. Middle-thin mudstones (FA8) are interpreted as distributary bay deposits, with linear shaped GR well logs (Fig. 5). The sand content of the meandering river delta plain is relatively high, reaching levels greater than 90%, and it is located in northern Enping Sag.

Lithofacies associations FA9–FA12: Meandering river delta front deposits. FA9 is composed of a medium-thin layer of fine sandstone with large scale tabular cross-, block-, and graded-bedding, and a sand body thickness generally within 0.5–5 m. The top region includes a thin layer of calcareous siltstone, which contains biological shells and a large number of biological burrows. The erosion surface is generally developed at the bottom and is in abrupt contact with the underlying mudstone (Fig. 3g). The lithofacies associations of FA10 exhibit an upwardly thicker anti-rhythmic structure. The upper part contains fine sandstone with thin layers of gravel-bearing sandstone, while the lower part compromises siltstone or argillaceous siltstone, with small scale cross bedding. FA11 is composed of a thin layer of interbedded fine sandstone and argillaceous siltstone, with wavy cross and flaser bedding, and local collapse and deformation structures. There are abundant burrows and wormholes in the siltstone (Fig. 3h). FA12 is composed of thin layers of silty mudstone and mudstone with horizontal bedding and a large amount of bioturbation structure and wormholes (Fig. 3i).
The lithofacies associations of FA9–FA12 are interpreted as meandering river delta fronts. FA9 represents subaqueous distributary channel deposits with bell- or box-shaped GR well logs. Multiple channels are often continuously stacked vertically. The thin layer of upwardly thickening siltstone-fine sandstone (FA10) represents estuary bar deposits of braided river delta front (FA4); estuary dam deposits of braided river delta front (FA4) (location of cores c, d shown in Fig. 5; borehole W4 in Fig. 1b, 2164–2180 m depth; S2); (e) Distal bar deposits of braided river delta front (FA5); (f) subaqueous interdistributary bay deposits of braided river delta front (FA6) (location of cores e, f shown in Fig. 5; borehole W2 in Fig. 1b, 2297–2289 m depth; S2); (g) distributary channel deposits of meandering river delta plain (FA7); (h) Distal bar deposits of meandering river delta plain (FA11); (i) subaqueous interdistributary bay deposits of meandering river delta plain (FA12) (location of cores g-i shown in Fig. 5; borehole W3 in Fig. 1b, 2044–2039 m depth; S3).

**Predelta-shallow shelf deposits.** FA13 is composed of thick and dark gray mudstone and silty mudstone, intercalated with thin sandstone or siltstone, exhibiting a well-developed horizontal bedding and a large amount of biological disturbance structures. FA13 is interpreted as predelta-shallow shelf deposits, with a low-amplitude linear trend in the GR log. It is located at the bottom of the delta front, representing the deep-water deposit on the slope.

**Seismic facies.** Seismic facies refers to a 3D seismic unit composed of seismic reflections that can be determined within a certain area. It is characterized by the parameters of internal reflection structure, continuity, amplitude, frequency etc., and reflects the lithological combinations and sedimentary characteristics of sedimentary rocks. The drilling and 3D seismic data of the study area are relatively complete and of good quality. Based on the comprehensive analysis of 3D seismic profiles and well logs, two types of seismic facies have been identified as representative delta plain–delta front deposits (Table 2).

Seismic facies 1 is a sub-parallel to messy/parallel seismic reflection pattern with medium to poor continuity, medium to strong amplitude, medium frequency, and a sheet-like external form. The wells drilling of the seismic facies indicate that seismic facies 1 represents delta plain deposits. The seismic reflection event with the...
strongest amplitude represents distributary channel deposits, and weaker amplitude represents interdistributary bay deposits. The seismic faces of messy seismic reflection and poor continuity (SF1-1) indicate the braided river delta plain. The distributary channel is unstable due to the influence of seasonal floods, while the seismic reflection continuity of the meandering river delta plain is relatively high (SF1-2).

Seismic facies 2 mainly corresponds to the progradational seismic facies in the study area, and can be grouped into four components according to the progradational reflection configuration. SF2-1 is an oblique progradation reflection, with a sheet-shaped medium to poor continuity, medium amplitude and mid-low frequency seismic reflection. SF2-2 is an imbricate progradation reflection, with a sheet-shaped medium continuity, and mid-frequency and mid-amplitude continuous seismic reflection. The wells penetrating the seismic facies demonstrate that SF2-1 and SF2-2 represent the estuary dam or subaqueous distributary channel deposits of the delta front. The thickness of the progradation is thin and is common in the meandering river delta front, with a core distribution in the Enping Sag and northern Panyu Low Uplift. SF2-3 compromises tangent-oblique progradation reflection, with sheet/lens-like medium to poor continuity, medium-high frequency and medium to strong amplitude seismic reflection. SF2-4 represents S-shaped-oblique progradation reflection, with sheet/lens-like medium continuity, a strong frequency and medium to strong amplitude seismic reflection. The wells penetrating the seismic facies demonstrate that both SF2-3 and SF2-4 represent delta front/slope deposits, such as the subaqueous distributary channels of the braided river delta front or the proximal estuary dams of the meandering river delta front. The thickness of the progradation is larger than that of SF2-1 and SF2-2, indicating a deeper water environment and sufficient provenance during the sea level decline.

**Sequence and depositional evolution.** Sequence refers to the “stratigraphic unit composed of a set of genetically related and relatively integrated strata, with the top and bottom bounded by the unconformity or the corresponding conformity”³⁴,³⁵. Characteristical features include truncation, onlap and downlap³⁶–³⁸. Despite the extensive research on the classification of the stratigraphic sequence of the Early-Mid Miocene in the study area³⁹, a consensus remains to be agreed upon. A composite sequence²⁷, the definition adopted in this paper, emphasizes the sedimentary cycle controlled by the regional base level and is limited by the regional

| Seismic facies | Seismic facies characteristics | Continuity | Amplitude | Frequency | External form | Depositional interpretation | Samples |
|---------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------------------|---------|
| SF1           | Sub-parallel to messy          | Medium-poor| Medium-high| Medium    | Sheet         | Braided river delta plain  | ![SF1-1](image1) |
| SF1-1         | Sub-parallel to parallel       | Medium-strong| Medium-high| Medium    | Sheet         | Meandering river delta plain| ![SF1-2](image2) |
| SF1-2         | Oblique progradation           | Medium-poor| Medium    | Medium-low| Sheet         | Delta front                | ![SF2-1](image3) |
| SF2-1         | Imbricate progradation         | Medium     | Medium    | Medium    | Sheet         | Delta front                | ![SF2-2](image4) |
| SF2-2         | Tangent-oblique progradation   | Medium-poor| Medium-high| Medium    | Sheet/lens    | Delta front/slope          | ![SF2-3](image5) |
| SF2-3         | S-shaped-oblique progradation  | Medium     | High      | Medium-high| Sheet/lens    | Delta front/slope          | ![SF2-4](image6) |

Table 2. Major seismic facies characteristics of deltaic systems deposits during the Early-Mid Miocene.
unconformity\textsuperscript{27,40}. Composite sequences are core to the establishment of a regional sequence stratigraphic framework\textsuperscript{27,41}, and the secondary sequence boundary can be further identified in its interior, with the drilling data employed for the subsequent correction. The age of the sequence boundary is determined via calcareous ultramicrofossil and foraminifer fossils\textsuperscript{9,21,42}.

Based on the analysis of 3D high-resolution seismic and well logging data, two composite sequences (CS1–CS2) were identified in the study area during the Early-Middle Miocene (Fig. 2), corresponding to two large regional cycles. According to the biostratigraphic calibration, the duration of the composite sequence is approximately 3.3–10 Ma, and thus can be roughly regarded as a secondary sequence\textsuperscript{43}. Composite sequences can be further divided into eight sequences (S1–S8) (Fig. 2), with a sequence duration of approximately 0.5–3.5 Ma, implying a third-order sequence\textsuperscript{43}.

**Sequence structure and systems tract.** CS1 roughly corresponds to the bottom of the Zhujiang Formation and Hanjiang Formation, with a time span of approximately 23.8–13.8 Ma. The lower CS1 zone (T6 reflection interface) is of regional unconformity, indicating the obvious truncation unconformity in the southern Panyu Low Uplift and the northern slope of the Baiyun Sag. Furthermore, this zone is made up of the fluvial deposits of the Zhuhai Formation, while the top CS1 region compromises the braided river delta deposits of the Zhujiang Formation. Seven weak truncation or onlap unconformities can be identified in CS1, dividing it into six sequences (S1–S6). The thickness peaks at S2 and gradually thins upwards, while the thicknesses of S1 and S4–S6 are approximately equal. Each sequence can be divided into Lowstand, Transgressive, Highstand and Falling-stage systems tract according to the secondary transgression and recession. The Lowstand systems tract is characterized by various progradation reflection on the seismic section. The Highstand systems tract is located in the western region of the Baiyun Sag, which is characterized by thick wedge-shaped progradation seismic reflection. Conversely, the eastern Baiyun Sag does not present signs of the Lowstand systems tract.

CS2 corresponds to the middle and upper components of the Hanjiang Formation, with a time span of approximately 13.8–10.5 Ma. The lower CSB2 zone (T3 reflection interface) appears as an obvious truncation unconformity on the seismic profile of the Baiyunxi Sag, and gradually transitions to a parallel unconformity in the northern shelf. Three weak truncation unconformity-conformities can be identified in CS2, which is further divided into two sequences (S7–S8). The S7 and S8 thicknesses are almost equal and slightly thinner than those of S4–S6. The sequence is composed of Lowstand, Transgressive, and Highstand systems tract. The Lowstand systems tract is located in the western region of the Baiyun Sag, which is characterized by thick wedge-shaped progradation seismic reflection. Conversely, the eastern Baiyun Sag does not present signs of the Lowstand systems tract. The Transgressive systems tract is characterized by medium-weak amplitude and medium continuous reflection characteristics on the seismic section. The Highstand systems tract is characterized by various progradation reflection on the seismic section. The falling-stage systems tract can only be identified in S6 on the western region of the Baiyun Sag, which is characterized by the S-shaped-oblique progradation reflection, suggesting delta front deposits.

**Depositional system and depositional evolution.** The braided and meandering river delta deposits in the study area were formed during the Early-Mid Miocene. The spatial distribution of the delta types in the sequence framework reflects the sedimentary evolution of the delta depositional system\textsuperscript{44–46}.

**Braided river delta depositional system.** The thick wedge-shaped progradation seismic reflections and box or funnel-shaped thick sandstone on the well logs clearly indicate the braided river delta (Figs. 4 and 5). This can be observed in the Transgressive and Highstand systems tract of S1 and the Lowstand and Transgressive systems tract of S2. The braided river Delta plain is dominated by braided channel sandstone, and it is located in the Enping Sag (Fig. 6a). The braided river delta front is composed of subaqueous distributary channels and estuary bar deposits, and is located between the southern region of the Panyu Low Uplift and the northern zone of the Baiyunxi Sag (Figs. 5 and 6a). The sand body in the west is obviously thicker than that of the east, indicating the role of the former as the principle provenance channel.

The transgression reached its maximum during the Transgression systems tract of S2, while the braided delta front retreated to the Enping Sag, and the Panyu Low Uplift evolved into a predelta of shallow shelf deposits, covering a thick layer of shelf mudstone (Figs. 5 and 6b). The fine sandstone exhibits a thickness of 5–10 m, is interbedded with mudstone and has box- or funnel-shaped GR logs (Fig. 5). This represents the superposition of multi-period subaqueous distributary channels and estuary dam deposits in the vertical direction. In the Highland systems tract of S2, the root mean square amplitude slice (Fig. 6b) reveals that the distributary channels is concentrated within the center of Enping Sag, with curvature channels greater than those of S1. This suggests the transition from the braided river delta to the meandering river delta and may be attributed to the sharp rise in the sea level and weak provenance supply. The sedimentation rate is less than the growth rate of the accommodated space, resulting in the finer grain size of the sediment.

**Meandering river delta depositional system.** The cores and well logging data reveal that the meandering river delta was developed during the deposition of S3–S8 in the study area. During the deposition of S3–S5, the meandering river delta front was located in the Enping Sag, and then advanced to the Baiyun Sag in S6, where it subsequently regraded to the Panyu Low Uplift during S7–S8. The evolution of the meandering river delta within the sequence stratigraphic framework varied with period. During the deposition of S3, the subaque-
ous distributary channel of the meandering river delta front developed abnormally (Fig. 7b) and the sand bodies from different periods were superimposed vertically in well logging profile (Fig. 5). The root-mean-square amplitude slice indicates the movement of the channels towards the SE, suggesting possible origin of the clastics to be the northwest of the study area. During the deposition of S4, the subaqueous distributary channel of the meandering river delta front was divided into three branches in the Enping Sag; SE, SSW and SW respectively, with the SE and SW observed as the dominant directions (Fig. 7a). Furthermore, the clastics generally originated from the NW and NE in S4. At the same time, the predelta to shallow shelf deposits were developed in the Panyu Low Uplift and Baiyun Sag (Fig. 5). During the deposition of S5, the meandering river delta plain advanced to the northern zone of the Enping Sag, and the delta front extended to the Panyu low uplift. The subaqueous distributary channel narrowed and the curvature increased. The flow direction was changed to the SE dominant and SW subordinate directions (Fig. 8a). The delta front consistently lingers in the northern zone of the Panyu Low Uplift during the evolution of the meandering river delta in S3–S5, and the channel is obvious and bird-foot in the root–mean–square amplitude slice (Figs. 7a and 8a). This indicates the minimal impact of the global changes in the sea level on the delta, with the river having a greater influence.

Large-scale progradation reflections can be observed on the seismic profile of the Baiyun Sag in the Highstand and Falling-stage systems tract of S6 (Fig. 9), while thick box or funnel-shaped sandstone is identified for the well logs (Figs. 5 and 9). This indicates the subaqueous distributary channel and estuary dam deposits, which is distinct to that of the predelta to shallow shelf deposits in S3–S5. The thick-bedded sandstone indicates the abundant supply of the source and a high deposition rate. Studies have determined a rate of deposition of approximately 5 cm/ky at the end of S6. Also at this time, the global climate changed from a relatively warm to a colder stage, resulting in a large-scale decline in sea level in the Pearl River Mouth Basin and a large amount of clastics prograding to the Baiyun Sag, thus forming the thick delta front deposits.

Following the large-scale regression of S6, the sea level began to rise in S7, and the delta front retreated to the southern region of the Panyu Low Uplift. However, thick wedge-shaped progradation reflections are evident on the seismic profile of the northwest of Baiyun Sag in the Lowstand systems tract of S7, corresponding to estuary dam deposits in the well logs (Figs. 5 and 9). This may be attributed to the gradual advancement of the transgression from the east to west of the study area in S7 as well as the gradual evolution of the northwest of Baiyun Sag into predelta to shallow shelf deposits. During the deposition of S8, the delta front retreated to the northern zone of the Panyu Low Uplift, corresponding to the strengthening of the transgression. There were also multiple incised channels perpendicular to the shoreline at the deep-water continental slope in the northwest of the Baiyun Sag. The root-mean-square amplitude slices do not clearly reveal the flow direction of the subaqueous distributary channel, while the delta was observed to extend from the northeast to southwest (Fig. 8b). This may
**Figure 5.** Well log correlation of depositional facies of sequences S1–S8. Note the transition from the braided river delta deposits at the bottom to the meandering river delta deposits and the transition from the delta plain deposits in the northwest to the pre-delta/slope deposits in the southeast at the uppermost region of the study area (location of the log correlation shown in Fig. 1b). The braided river deltac systems (S1–S2) are characterized by thick bedded of pebbly coarse grained distributary channel deposits in the delta plain and subaqueous distributary channel deposits in the delta front. The meandering river delta systems (S3–S8) exhibit thin or no delta plain deposits and a middle-thick bed of middle-fine sandstone in the estuary dam deposits in the delta front. The location of the cores are shown in the W2, W3, W4 and W5 boreholes.

**Figure 6.** Depositional interpretation of the root mean square amplitude slice of the Braided river delta systems in the Highstand systems tract of S1 (a) and transitional characteristics of the Braided river delta and Meandering river delta systems in the Highstand systems tract of S2 (b). The Braided river delta systems are characterized by large scale straight distributary channels in the delta plain, whereas the transitional delta systems exhibit small scale or no delta plain deposits and several curved subaqueous distributary channels in the delta front.
Figure 7. 3D seismic root mean square amplitude slice of the Highstand systems tract of meandering river delta systems in S4 (a) and an interpreted seismic profile calibrated to borehole W9 showing the deposits of the meandering river delta front from S3 to S5 in the Enping Sag (b). The subaqueous distributary channels were divided into three branches on the plane and superimposed vertically on the seismic profile, with a thickness of 3–5 m.

Figure 8. Depositional interpretation of the root mean square amplitude slice of the river-controlled meandering delta deposits in the Highstand systems tract in S5 (a) and wave-controlled meandering river delta deposits in the Highstand systems tract in S7 (b). The river-controlled delta was bird-foot shaped and the subaqueous distributary channels exhibited a bigger curvature than that of the wave-controlled delta, which was lobate-shaped.
be related to the influence of the waves. In particular, the Panyu Low Uplift is a high point on the topography, and it is greatly affected by the waves, resulting in a lobate delta on the plane.

**Discussion**

The sequence structure and sedimentary evolution of the delta are restricted by several factors, including sea level change, sediment supply, tectonic movement and paleoclimate. In order to reveal the evolution and formation mechanism of the delta sedimentary system in the study area, it is necessary to perform a comprehensive analysis of the above control factors.

**Sea level change.** Based on the previous analysis of the sedimentary systems of the sequences and systems tract, a depositional model of the study area was established. By tracing the coastal onlap points and slope break points of the different sequences and sedimentary system, a shoreline migration trajectory was plotted, which can reflect the relative sea level changes in the northern Pearl River Mouth Basin (Figs. 10 and 11). Both of the global sea level change curves from Haq et al. and Miller et al. are used as references to compare with the shoreline migration trajectory of the study area.

In the early Miocene (23.8 Ma), the shoreline was located in the southern region of the Panyu Low Uplift, while the delta front was located at the northwest of Baiyun Sag (Fig. 10). This indicates that the sea level of the study area was extremely low, however, both of the global sea level changes showed the lowest points in 21 Ma (Fig. 11a) and 22 Ma (Fig. 11b). The possible reason was that the migration of shoreline was not influenced by the global sea level change but by the tectonic movement of the rifting ridge transition from the north to south of the South China Sea. At the beginning of S2, the shoreline suddenly jumped to the north of the study area, and the delta front also retreated to the southern of Enping Sag (Fig. 10), reflecting rapid transgression. This
is consistent with the rising global sea level (Fig. 11b) and is different from the Miller et al. sea level change, which fluctuated sharply in this stage (Fig. 11a). This indicated that the retrogradation of deltas in S2 was the results of global sea level rise. During the deposition of S3–S5, the shoreline migrated slightly in the Enping depression, reflecting sea level eustacy modestly. This is also consistent with the total trend of global sea level (Fig. 11a,b), but each change in sequence is different from that. In the early Middle Miocene (S6), the shoreline migrated to the south of the study area, and the delta front prograded to the southern region of the Panyu Low
Uplift. In the Falling-stage systems tract of S6, the thick delta front deposited in the northwest of the Baiyun Sag suggested the large-scale regression in the study area, coinciding with the declining global sea level (Fig. 11a,b). Previous studies suggested that the global sea level had dropped by about 53–69 m during 16.5–13.9 Ma4, while the average sea level of the Pearl River Mouth Basin dropped by nearly 100 m52, resulting in the migration of the shoreline to the shelf edge (Fig. 10). Massive clastics were transported to the shelf edge, forming a thick shelf edge delta56. This regression may be related to the formation of the Arctic and Antarctic ice ages53,54, and at least 90% of the East Antarctic Icesheets was formed during the middle Miocene54. During the deposition of S7–S8, the shoreline migrated to the north of the study area, and the delta front retreated to the southern zone of the Panyu Low Uplift (Fig. 10). This implies a second transgression with a smaller scale than the previous (in S2), and it is consistent with total trend of the Miller et al.52 sea level change, but is different from that of the Haq et al.51.

In conclusion, in the early stage of CS1 (S1), the delta migration is in conflict with both of the global sea level changes. In the middle and late CS1 stages (S2–S6), the shoreline migration and depositional evolution of the deltas are mostly controlled by the eustatic cycles of the global sea level. During the deposition of CS2, the Miller et al.52 sea level change curve could explain the changes of shoreline migration in the study area.

Tectonic subsidence and sediment supply. From the Late Oligocene to the Early Miocene (S1), large-scale tectonic movement occurred in the South China Sea, and the deep mantle uplift caused strong thermal attenuation and subsidence. At the same time, the rifting ridge transitioned from the north to south of the South China Sea, while the shelf break jumped from the south to the north of the Baiyun Sag. The rapid subsidence of the Pearl River Mouth basin (Fig. 11) led to large-scale transgression following the deposition of S152, and the shallow sea shelf to deep water slope deposits covered the study area42. This consequently resulted in the formation of a structural foundation for the development of deltas in the continental shelf. After the deposition of S7, the subsidence rate of the basin weakened and gradually stabilized. The shelf break was located at the southern of the Panyu Low Uplift, and there was a large area of river-delta deposits in the northern shelf.

In the Early-Mid Miocene, the Paleo-pearl River was the main source provider of the Pearl River Mouth Basin, and its development affected the property and sedimentary filling characteristics of the basin42,58. During the deposition of S1–S2 (LST–TST), the braided river delta was dominated by gravelly coarse sandstone, with an average net-gross ratio of 0.61 and an average sandstone thickness of 79.4 m, indicating that the clastics are from the near-source accumulation. At the beginning of the Early Miocene, clastics of the sedimentary rocks and metamorphic rocks of South China were carried by the Paleo-pearl River to accumulate in the basin59. Evidence from benthic foraminifera δ18O, the black carbon δ13C and chemical index of alteration (CIA) in the sediments of ODP1148 station indicates that the chemical weathering intensity in the source region was high and the climate was warm and humid in the Early Miocene to dry and cold68, which eased the weathering of the parent rock and reduced the sediment supply. Therefore, the delta in the study area gradually degraded towards the continent, and the shoreline migrated to the land. The rapid rise in the sea level enhanced the wave action in the study area, which laid the foundation for the formation of a wave-controlled meandering river delta systems.

Conclusion

(1) Fluvial and delta depositional systems were widely developed in the Early-Mid Miocene across the northern shelf of the Pearl River Mouth Basin. Multiple transgression-regression sedimentary cycles were formed with the sea level change. Two composite (CS1–CS2) and eight (S1–S8) sequences are classified in the Early-Mid Miocene succession. The Lowstand-Transgressive systems tract of S1–S2 were the braided river delta deposits and the Highstand systems tract of S2 was a transition delta deposits of braided and meandering river, the deposition of S3–S8 was the meandering river delta deposits.

(2) Two types of deltaic systems are recognized in the succession. Braided river delta systems are characterized by medium to thick stacked pebbly coarse–fine and poorly sorted and rounded sandstones of distributary channel and deltaic front deposits, whereas meandering river delta systems are characterized by thin delta plain and thick delta front deposits composed of medium to thinly pebbly well sorted and rounded medium to fine sandstones. Braided river deltaic deposits mainly developed in S1 and the Lowstand and Transgressive systems tract of S2, while the transitional type of the braided and meandering river delta formed in the Transgressive and Highstand systems tracts of S2, and the meandering river delta systems dominated S3–S8.
(3) The transition of the braided river delta to the meandering river delta and backward or forward migration of the delta systems in the study area were constrained by interplay of sea level change, tectonic subsidence and sediment supply. During the deposition of S1–S2, the braided river delta systems were mainly controlled by tectonic subsidence and sedimentary provenance. The sediments provided by the Paleo-pearl river were deposited in the Bajuyun Sag, forming large-scale braided river delta systems. During the deposition of S3–S6, the development of the meandering river delta systems was related to the global sea level rise. During the transgression, the deltas retreated to the Enping Sag; and then progressed to the northwest of the Bajuyun Sag in the regression period. During the deposition of S7–S8, the rise of global sea level and wave effects have a significant influence on the development of the wave-controlled deltaic systems.

Received: 2 October 2020; Accepted: 28 February 2021
Published online: 17 March 2021

References
1. Galloway, W. E. Process framework for describing the morphologic and stratigraphic evolution of deltaic depositional systems. In Deltaic Models for Exploration (ed. Broussard, M. L.) 87–98 (Houston Geological Society, 1975).
2. Fisher, W. L., Brown, L. F., Scott, A. J. & McGowen, J. H. Delta Systems in the Exploration for Oil and Gas (University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, 1969).
3. Jiang, Z. X. Sedimentology 378–382 (Petroleum Industry Press, 2003).
4. Porebski, S. J. & Steel, R. J. Delta types and sea level cycle. J. AAPG Annual Convention June 3–6 Denver, Colorado. Official program. 10, A160 (2001).
5. Porebski, S. J. & Steel, R. J. Delta types and sea level change. J. Sediment. Res. 76, 390–403 (2006).
6. McPherson, J. G., Shanmugam, G. & Moioia, R. J. Fan deltas and braid delta: Varieties of coarse-grained deltas. J. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 99, 331–340 (1987).
7. Xue, L. Q. & Galloway, W. E. Fan-delta, Braid delta and the classification of delta systems. J. Acta Geol. Sin. Engl. Ed. 4, 387–400 (1991).
8. Kern, H. P., Lavina, E. L. C., Paim, P. S. G. & Leanza, H. A. Stratigraphic evolution of the nearshore to fluvial plain of the Upper Cuyo Group. Neuenk, Argentina. J. Sedimentol. 66, 2686–2720 (2019).
9. Fu, C., Huang, W. S., Li, S. L. & Chen, H. P. The spatial discrepancy of Miocene transgression and its corresponding channel transformation pattern: A case study of the carabobo region in the northeast Venezuela basin. J. J. S. Am. Earth Sci. 89, 347–365 (2019).
10. Su, P. J., Lin, A. T. S., Hu, J. C. & Teng, L. S. Y. Tectonic controls on the stratigraphic development of the rifed Taipei Basin: A late quaternary marine-influenced inland half graben. J. Quat. Int. 482, 27–45 (2018).
11. Martinu, A. W. et al. Sedimentology and depositional model for the Early Miocene Oficina Formation in the Petrocedeno Field (Orinoco heavy-oil belt, Venezuela). J. Ma. Pet. Geol. 35, 354–380 (2012).
12. Bhattacharya, R., Bhattachajee, J., Bandopadhyay, S., Banerjee, S. & Adhikari, K. Early Permian transgressive-regressive cycles: Sequence stratigraphic reappraisal of the coal-bearing Barakar Formation, Raniganj Basin, India. J. J. Earth Sci. Syst. 127, 1–17 (2018).
13. Huang, W. S. et al. Gradual evolution from fluvial dominated to tide dominated deltas and channel type transformation: A case study of MPE3 block in the Orinoco Heavy Oil Belt of the Eastern Venezuela Basin. J. Acta Geol. Sin. Engl. Ed. 93, 1909–1921 (2019).
14. Huang, W. S., Li, S. L., Chen, H. P. & Fu, C. A river-dominated to tide-dominated delta transition: A depositional system case study in the Orinoco heavy oil belt, Eastern Venezuela Basin. J. Mar. Pet. Geol. 118, 1–17 (2020).
15. Pang, X. et al. Bajuyun movement: A significant Tectonic Event on Oligocene/ Miocene Boundary in the Northern South China Sea and Its Regional Implications. J. J. Earth Sci. 20, 49–56 (2009).
16. Liang, X. et al. Division of base-level cycles and superimposition of Sandbodies in Marine Braided Delta Reservoir: A case study of Zhujiang Formation in Xijiang W Oilfield, Pearl River Estuary Basin. J. Geosci. 32, 913–923 (2018).
17. Ran, H. J. et al. Sedimentary sequence and lithologic-stratigraphic trap of the middle segment of Hanjiang formation in the Shelf Break Zone in Southeast Panyu Natural Gas Area, Pearl River Mouth Basin. J. Acta Sedimentol. Sin. 31, 1081–1087 (2013).
18. Gong, Z. S. & Li, S. T. Oil & Gas Pool-forming Dynamics in North Marginal Basins of South China Sea (Science Press, 2004).
19. Cliff, P. D. & Lin, J. Patterns of extension and magmatism along the continent-ocean boundary, South China Margin. In Non-Volcanic Rifting of Continental Margins: A Comparison of Evidence from Land and Sea (eds Wilson, R. C. L. et al.) 489–510 (Geological Society of London, Special Publication, 2001).
20. Zeng, Q. B. et al. The shelf-margin delta feature and its significance in Zhubai formation of deepwater area, Pearl River Mouth Basin. J. Acta Sedimentol. Sin. 33, 595–606 (2015).
21. Shi, H. S. et al. Hydrocarbon geology, accumulation pattern and the next exploration strategy in the eastern Pearl River Mouth Basin. J. China Offshore Oil Gas. 26, 11–22 (2014).
22. Zhang, M. L. et al. Stratigraphic architecture, shelf-edge delta and constraints on the development of the Late Oligocene to Early Miocene continental margin prism, the Pearl River Mouth Basin, northern South China Sea. J. Mar. Geol. 416, 1–17 (2019).
23. He, M. et al. Sequence stratigraphy and depositional architecture of the Pearl River Delta system, northern South China Sea: an interactive response to sea level, tectonics and paleoceanography. J. Mar. Pet. Geol. 84, 76–101 (2017).
24. Porebski, S. J. & Steel, R. J. Shelf margin deltas: Their stratigraphic significance and relation to deepwater sands. J. Earth Sci. Rev. 62, 283–326 (2003).
25. Qin, G. Q. Biostratigraphic zonation and correlation of the late Cenozoic Planktonic Foraminifera in Pearl River Mouth Basin. In Research on Miocene paleoceanology and Paleoceanography in the Pearl River Mouth Basin, South China Sea (ed. Hao, Y. C.) 19–31 (China University of Geosciences Press, 1996).
26. Lin, C. S. et al. Sequence architecture and depositional evolution of the northern continental slope of the South China Sea: Responses to tectonic processes and changes in sea level. J. Basin Res. 30, 568–595 (2017).
27. Lin, C. S. et al. Changes in inner-to outer-shelf delta architecture, Oligocene to quaternary Pearl River shelf-margin prism, northern South China Sea, J. Mar. Geol. 404, 187–204 (2018).
28. Li, C. F. et al. Seismic stratigraphy of the central South China Sea basin and implications for neotectonics. J. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth. 120, 1377–1399 (2015).
29. Boyd, R. T., Dalrymple, R. W. & Zaitlin, B. A. Classification of clastic coastal depositional environments. J. Sedimentol. Geol. 80, 139–150 (1992).
30. Orton, G. J. & Reading, H. G. Variability of deltaic processes in terms of sediment supply, with particular emphasis on grain size. J. Sedimentol. 40, 475–512 (1993).
31. Vail, P. R., Mitchum, R. M. & Todd, R. G. Seismic stratigraphy and global changes in sea level, Parts 1–11. J. AAPG Memoir. 26, 51–212 (1977).
32. Xu, H. D., Wang, S. F. & Chen, K. Y. Basic of Seismic Stratigraphic Interpretation 47–108 (China University of Geosciences Press, 1990).
33. Sheriff, R. E. & Stickney, M. C. Crustal structure of southwestern Montana and East Central Idaho—Results of a reversed seismic refraction line. J. Geophys. Res. Lett. 11, 299–302 (1984).
34. Vail, P. R. Seismic stratigraphy interpretation using sequence stratigraphy. Part 1, seismic stratigraphy interpretation procedure (Ed. Bally, B.). Atlas of Seismic Stratigraphy. AAPG Studies in Geology. 1, 1–10 (1987).
35. Van Wagoner, C., Mitchum, R.M., Campion, K.M. & Rahmannian, V.D. Siliciclastic sequence stratigraphy in well logs, cores, and outcrops. AAPG Methods in Exploration Series. 7, 55 (1990).
36. Catuneanu, O. Sequence stratigraphy of clastic systems: Concepts, merits, and pitfalls. J. Afr. Earth Sci. 35, 1–43 (2002).
37. Catuneanu, O. Principles of Sequence Stratigraphy 1–375 (Elsevier, 2006).
38. Catuneanu, O. et al. Towards the standardization of sequence stratigraphy. J. Earth Sci. Rev. 92, 1–33 (2009).
39. Xu, S. H. et al. How much systems-tract scale, three-dimensional stratigraphic variability is present in sequence stratigraphy? An answer from the middle Miocene Pearl River Mouth Basin. J. AAPG Bull. 104, 1261–1285 (2020).
40. Neal, J. & Abreu, V. Sequence stratigraphy hierarchy and the accommodation successions method. J. Geol. 37, 779–782 (2009).
41. Lin, C. S., Zhang, Y. M., Li, S. T., Ren, J. Y. & Zhang, Z. Y. Episodic rifting dynamic process and quantitative model of Mesozoic-Cenozoic faulted basins in Eastern China. J. Earth Sci. 29, 583–589 (2004).
42. Pang, X. et al. Sequence stratigraphy of Pearl River Deep-water Fan System in the South China Sea. J. Earth Sci. Front. 14, 220–229 (2007).
43. Vail, P. R., Audenard, F., Bowman, S. A., Eisner, P. N. & Perez-Cruz, C. The stratigraphic signatures of tectonics, eustacy and sedimentology—an overview. In Cycles and Events in Stratigraphy (eds Einsele, B. G. et al.) 617–659 (Springer-Verlag, 1991).
44. Posamentier, H. W. & Vail, R. R. Sequences, systems tracts, and eustatic cycles. J. AAPG Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull. 72, 237 (1988).
45. Lin, C. S. et al. Sequence architecture, depositional systems and their control of lacustrine succession of the Erlian Basin. J. AAPG Bull. 85, 2017–2043 (2001).
46. Posamentier, H.W. & Allen, G.P. Siliciclastic sequence stratigraphy—concepts and applications. SEPM, Society for Sedimentary Geology. Concepts in Sedimentology and Paleontology. 7, 210 (1999).
47. Haq, B. U., Hardenbol, J. & Vail, P. R. Chronology of fluctuating sea levels since the Triassic. J. Sci. 235, 1156–1167 (1987).
48. Miller, K. G. et al. Cenozoic sea-level and cryospheric evolution from deep-sea geochemical and continental margin records. J. Sci. Adv. 6, 1–15 (2020).
49. Zhang, X. et al. Shoreline trajectory and depositional evolution of Early-Mid Miocene succession in Northern Pearl River Mouth Basin. J. N. Northeast Pet. Univ. 49, 99–111 (2020).
50. Balachandran, S. M. et al. Timing and magnitude of Miocene eustasy derived from the mixed siliciclastic-carbonate stratigraphic record of the northeastern Australian margin. J. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 304, 455–467 (2011).
51. Qin, G. Q. Late Cenozoic sequence stratigraphy and sea-level changes in Pearl River Mouth Basin, South China Sea. J. China Offshore Oil Gas Geol. 16, 1–18 (2002).
52. Shevenell, A. E., Kennett, J. P. & Lea, D. W. Middle Miocene ice sheet dynamics, deep-sea temperatures, and carbon cycling: A southern Ocean perspective. J. Geochim. Geophys. Geosyst. 9, 1–14 (2008).
53. Tian, J. et al. Reorganization of Pacific Deep Waters linked to middle Miocene Antartic cryosphere expansion: A perspective from the South China Sea. J. Palaeo. Geos. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 284, 373–382 (2009).
54. Pang, X., Chen, C. M., Wu, M. S., He, M. & Wu, X. J. The Pearl River Deep water Fan Systems and significant geological events. J. Adv. Earth Sci. 21, 793–799 (2006).
55. Ma, M. et al. The formation and evolution of the paleo-Pearl River and its influence on the source of the southern South China sea. J. Mar. Pet. Geol. 106, 171–189 (2019).
56. Xu, Y. et al. Influences of Tibetan Plateau uplift on provenance evolution of the paleo-Pearl River. J. Chin. J. Geochem. 34, 208–218 (2015).
57. Zhao, Q. H. et al. Neogene oxygen isotopic stratigraphy, ODP Site 1148, northern South China Sea. J. Sci. China. (Ser. D). 44, 943–942 (2001).
58. Jia, G. D., Peng, P. A., Zhao, Q. H. & Jian, Z. M. Changes in terrestrial ecosystem since 30 Ma in East Asia: Stable isotope evidence from black carbon in the South China Sea. J. Geol. 31, 1093–1096 (2003).
59. Wei, G. J., Li, X. H., Liu, Y. Shao, L. & Li, X. R. Geochemical record of chemical weathering and monsoon climate change since the early Miocene in the South China Sea. J. Paleoceanogr. 21, 1–11 (2006).
60. Li, Y., Zheng, B. C., Yang, B. Q., Zhu, G. J. & Hu, Y. X. Provenance and its geological implications of Miocene Zhujiang Formation in Baiyun Sag, Pearl River Mouth Basin. J. Geol. Rev. 59, 41–51 (2013).
61. Shao, L. et al. Terminal Oligocene sedimentary environments and abrupt provenance change event in the northern South China Sea. J. Geol. China. 34, 1022–1031 (2007).
62. Shao, L. et al. Sedimentary filling of the Pearl River Mouth Basin and its response to the evolution of the Pearl River. J. Acta Sedimentol. Sin. 26, 179–185 (2008).
63. Shao, L. et al. Implications on the Early Cenozoic paleogeographical reconstruction of SE Eurasian margin based on northern South China Sea paleo-drainage system evolution. J. Palaeogeogr. 21, 216–231 (2019).
64. Ma, M. et al. Petrography and geochemistry of Oligocene to Lower Miocene sandstones in the Baiyun Sag, Pearl River Mouth Basin, South China Sea: Provenance, source area weathering, and tectonic setting. J. Geol. 54, 564–589 (2019).

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [91328201] and Fundamental Research Funds for Outstanding Students of China University of Geosciences (Beijing) [533200759417]. We sincerely thank the China National Offshore Oil Corporation for providing data and support for this work. We are grateful to all reviewers for their thorough and constructive reviews, which greatly helped to improve our manuscript.
Author contributions
Each author has made a substantial contribution to the manuscript. Y.W. made an interpretation of well log curves, identified the sedimentary faces, and wrote the manuscript. Z.Z., B.Z. and H.L. collected data and interpreted the seismic profiles. C.L. revised the paper. All authors were involved in the editing of the manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note  Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021