Antiviral Potential of the Antimicrobial Drug Atovaquone against SARS-CoV-2 and Emerging Variants of Concern
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ABSTRACT: The antimicrobial medication malarone (atovaquone/proguanil) is used as a fixed-dose combination for treating children and adults with uncomplicated malaria or as chemoprophylaxis for preventing malaria in travelers. It is an inexpensive, efficacious, and safe drug frequently prescribed around the world. Following anecdotal evidence from 17 patients in the provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, suggesting that malarone/atovaquone may present some benefits in protecting against COVID-19, we sought to examine its antiviral potential in limiting the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in cellular models of infection. In VeroE6 expressing human TMPRSS2 and human lung Calu-3 epithelial cells, we show that the active compound atovaquone at micromolar concentrations potently inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 and other variants of concern including the alpha, beta, and delta variants. Importantly, atovaquone retained its full antiviral activity in a primary human airway epithelium cell culture model. Mechanistically, we demonstrate that the atovaquone antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 is partially dependent on the expression of TMPRSS2 and that the drug can disrupt the interaction of the spike protein with the viral receptor, ACE2. Additionally, spike-mediated membrane fusion was also reduced in the presence of atovaquone. In the United States, two clinical trials of atovaquone administered alone or in combination with azithromycin were initiated in 2020. While we await the results of these trials, our findings in cellular infection models demonstrate that atovaquone is a potent antiviral FDA-approved drug against SARS-CoV-2 and other variants of concern in vitro.
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The dramatic health and societal impacts of the emerging coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, continue to be devastating, with the total number of deaths associated with COVID-19 now reaching over 4.8 million people worldwide. In many areas, healthcare systems are overwhelmed and although vaccines have been developed,1−4 vaccine access inequity and the emergence of novel variants with potential vaccine escape capacities are urging the repurposing of already clinically approved, safe, accessible, and active drugs against SARS-CoV-2.

Atovaquone, the active compound of malarone, has been used in humans since 1999, both as a treatment for Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia and as a fixed-dose combination with proguanil for treating and preventing malaria.5−6 Atovaquone is an ubiquinol analogue, which targets the formation of the bc1 complex as part of the mitochondrial electron transport chain and leads to a collapse in mitochondrial functions.9−12 Importantly, atovaquone only affects parasitic mitochondrial functions without inhibiting the mammalian mitochondrial bc1 complex.10 Treatment with this compound further results in changes in the concentration of metabolites within the pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway as well as the inhibition of purine biosynthesis.12−15 Given its excellent safety profile, atovaquone is a popular and widely
used Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drug for the treatment of malaria.

As recently as 2019, however, atovaquone has also been described as a potential broad-spectrum antiviral drug, particularly active against arboviruses including Zika virus, chikungunya virus, and dengue virus. In the case of viral infections, atovaquone is believed to act early against infections, potentiating by targeting viral RNA replication, through a mechanism involving the inhibition of the pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway, or viral entry, by interfering with viral glycoprotein-mediated membrane fusion. Additionally, atovaquone was demonstrated to have some efficacy in vitro in limiting the infectivity of the coronavirus MERS-CoV.

Early on and as the pandemic progressed in the provinces of Quebec and Ontario in Canada, several anecdotal observations suggested that patients taking the antimalaria medication had either beneficial or protective effects against COVID-19. This was observed in multiple contexts (each case is described in the Supplemental Text), including first-line responders working in outbreak establishments as well as elderly individuals. In particular, malarone regimen seemed to revert the COVID-positive status in several patients. In addition, malarone taken prophylactically appeared to be effective at protecting hospital workers and long-term care home employees for extended periods against SARS-CoV-2 infections where severe outbreaks occurred. Follow-up investigations found that none of the patients reported any serious side effects with malarone or atovaquone taken in a prophylactic or therapeutic manner. Notably, none tested positive following or while being on malarone despite some being at elevated risk. These anecdotal cases proposed that the active compound atovaquone may be of use in preventing coronavirus infection or alleviating disease symptoms. Importantly, two clinical trials, one at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center and the other at the HonorHealth Research Institute in Arizona, were initiated last year (2020) to test atovaquone in combination with azithromycin in patients with confirmed COVID-19. One trial is now completed but the results are yet to be published (NCT04456153), while the other continues to actively recruit participants (NCT04339426).

Collectively, these clinical observations prompted us to evaluate the antiviral capacity of atovaquone in relevant cellular models of infection against the original SARS-CoV-2 and other variants of concern. Our findings demonstrate the strong antiviral potential of this FDA-approved molecule in limiting the infectivity and replication of the original SARS-CoV-2 and other variants of concern in lung epithelial cells and a primary human airway epithelial cell culture model in vitro. Although this study does not directly confirm the efficacy of the drug in humans, the potent antiviral effects obtained in vitro suggest that atovaquone/malarone may represent an effective therapeutic option repurposed for the treatment of COVID-19, particularly to protect frontline workers and/or high-risk populations.

## RESULTS

### Antiviral Potential of Atovaquone on SARS-CoV-2-Pseudotyped Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV) and Wild-Type (wt) VSV

In light of the anecdotal observations made on different patients included in the described "off-label use of malarone against COVID-19" (see Supplemental Text), we sought, first, to assess the antiviral potential of atovaquone following infection with SARS-CoV-2-pseudotyped VSV and wtVSV, both expressing GFP. VeroE6 cells were treated with various concentrations of atovaquone. Fifteen minutes later, the cells were infected with wtVSV-spike or wtVSV expressing GFP in the presence of the drug. Eleven hours postinfection, cells were imaged for GFP as a proxy for infection rate. In addition, the cellular viability was determined 48 h postinfection to assess viral replication and lysis. The same experiment was repeated on VeroE6 expressing hACE2 and hTMPRSS2. The results clearly showed a significant and dose-dependent block in the infectivity of both wtVSV and VSV-spike in VeroE6, and even more so in VeroE6 cells expressing hACE2-hTMPRSS2, without any change in levels of cytotoxicity displayed by the drug alone or in combination with the virus (Figure 1). Of note, wtVSV was more toxic on its own than VSV-spike and a slight increase in viability with atovaquone was noticed due to a reduction in virus-induced cytotoxicity.

### Antiviral Potential of Atovaquone on an Early SARS-CoV-2 Isolate

Having established the potent antiviral effect of atovaquone on wtVSV and VSV-spike, we set out to determine a concentration that could be optimal in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 replication in vitro. VeroE6 cells expressing human TMPRSS2 were pretreated with increasing doses of atovaquone followed by SARS-CoV-2 infection (strain #291.3 FR-4286 isolated early in 2020 from a patient in Freiburg, Germany) in the presence of the drug. A concentration of 10 μM atovaquone was most efficient in inhibiting viral replication, as determined by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 genome (IC₅₀ = 2.7 μM) (Figure 2A). Importantly, none of the concentrations tested appeared to be toxic to the cells when analyzed using the lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release assay (Figure 2B). In order to determine if atovaquone is able to inhibit the release of infectious virus, supernatants from VeroE6 hTMPRSS2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 were collected following infection and atovaquone treatment. An impressive 10⁵-10⁶ log-fold reduction in the production of viral progeny was observed in atovaquone-treated cells, as determined by the TCID₅₀ assay (Figure 2C).

Furthermore, immunofluorescence staining showed an almost complete absence of the intracellular SARS-CoV-2 spike protein following atovaquone treatment in Vero hTMPRSS2 (Figure S1A). Importantly, the antiviral effect of atovaquone was retained in the human lung epithelial cell line Calu-3 with more than a 100-fold reduction in viral genome expression (Figure S1B). A dose escalation experiment of the drug showed an IC₅₀ of 29.7 μM in the same cell line (Figure 2D). Again, no apparent toxicity was noticed for the antiviral active doses in this cellular model (Figure 2E). The release of progeny virus was also reduced by roughly 10,000-fold on TCID₅₀ analysis of infected cell supernatants (Figure 2F). The dampened SARS-CoV-2 infectivity in the presence of atovaquone led to reduced virus-induced cytotoxicity of the infected Calu-3 cells as determined by immunostaining for cleaved caspase-3 and by flow cytometry for live/dead staining (Figure 2G, H).

### Antiviral Potential of Atovaquone against Mild Coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern

Our experimental data suggest that atovaquone is a promising antiviral agent against infection with the original SARS-CoV-2 strain. Since then, several variants have been identified to circulate globally, which have raised concerns regarding their
increased infectivity and the efficacy of the current vaccines against such variants. We aimed to investigate the antiviral capabilities of atovaquone against different variants of concern including the cluster 5 variant (mink variant), alpha variant, beta variant, and delta variant. Treatment of VeroE6 hTMRPRSS2 efficiently inhibited SARS-CoV-2 viral gene expression with the original viral strain and the mink variant (Figure 3A). Interestingly, the antiviral capacity of atovaquone was also retained with the alpha and beta variants (Figure 3B). Importantly and despite displaying a slightly lower antiviral activity, atovaquone was still capable of drastically inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 delta variant gene expression (Figure 3B). Furthermore, immunofluorescence staining showed an almost complete reduction of the intracellular SARS-CoV-2 spike protein following atovaquone treatment for the different variants tested (Figure 3C). Finally, to investigate if atovaquone had a broad antiviral activity against other coronaviruses, the same experiments were performed on two mild human coronaviruses OC43 and 229E. Treatment of Huh-7 and Caco-2 cells with atovaquone reduced the viral gene expression of both OC43 and 229E viruses. The antiviral activity of atovaquone was more pronounced against OC43 than 229E, without displaying cytoxicity at the dosage used (Figure 3D–F). These results provide further support to the use of atovaquone as a potent and broad-spectrum antiviral agent, which can be potentially used against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern.

Atovaquone Suppresses SARS-CoV-2-Induced Inflammatory Responses. COVID-19 pathogenesis is strongly associated with an imbalanced proinflammatory cytokine response contributing to disease development and damage in the lungs.19–21 As a consequence, we investigated if atovaquone also inhibited the inflammatory cytokine gene expression induced following virus infection. SARS-CoV-2 infection in lung Calu-3 cells increased the expression of inflammatory and antiviral markers including IFNβ1, C-X-C motif chemokine 10 (CXCL10), interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFA), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein 3 (TNFAIP3) (Figure 4). Interestingly, this wave of virus-induced inflammation was abrogated by atovaquone pretreatment of Calu-3 cells (Figure 4A,B). To test whether this inhibition in SARS-CoV-2-induced inflammation was an indirect consequence from a reduction of viral RNA sensing by intracytoplasmic receptors, or if atovaquone truly had the capacity to modulate early antiviral signaling events, a drug treatment was performed in a context of stimulation with a synthetic sequence-optimized RIG-I agonist.22 Pretreatment for 2 h with atovaquone inhibited RIG-I-induced antiviral gene expression of both OC43 and 229E viruses. The antiviral activity of atovaquone was more pronounced against OC43 than 229E, without displaying cytoxicity at the dosage used (Figure 3D–F). These results provide further support to the use of atovaquone as a potent and broad-spectrum antiviral agent, which can be potentially used against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern.

Figure 1. Atovaquone restricts wtVSV and VSV-SARS spike infectivity in VeroE6 and VeroE6 hTMRPRSS2-hACE2 cells. VeroE6 cells or VeroE6 cells stably transduced with hACE2 and TMRPRSS2 were seeded at 2.5 × 10^4 cells/cm^2 in 96-well plates and treated with various concentrations of atovaquone. Fifteen minutes later, cells were infected at an MOI of 1 with wtVSV-spike (Whelan strain) or wtVSV expressing GFP. Eleven hours postinfection, cells were stained with resazurin sodium salt (Sigma-Aldrich). (A, D) Graphs show % GFP counts (left axis) normalized to untreated, infected conditions for VSV-spike (green squares, N = 5) and wtVSV (blue triangles, N = 2). The right axis shows % viability normalized to untreated, uninfected conditions for atovaquone alone (black circles, N = 3), atovaquone-treated, VSV-spike-infected cells (green squares, N = 5), or atovaquone-treated, wtVSV-infected cells (blue triangles, N = 2). Symbols boxed in red demonstrate a significant difference over untreated cells (p < 0.05 and p < 0.005 for all atovaquone-treated, VSV-spike-infected cells using a t-test). Representative fluorescent images are shown for each condition (C, F), and IC50 values are indicated for VSV-spike and wtVSV (B,E). Vehicle = medium containing the atovaquone-diluting agent, DMSO. Media = culture medium only.
Figure 2. Atovaquone restricts the infection and replication of original SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. (A) VeroE6 cells expressing hTMPRSS2 were pretreated for 2 h with increasing doses of atovaquone. The cells were subsequently infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1) for 48 h. The SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels were quantified by qPCR. Data are the means ± SEM from two experiments performed in triplicate for DMSO and ATO. 1 and 10 and one experiment in triplicate for ATO. 0.1 and 5. (B) Atovaquone cytotoxicity was assessed over a 48 h period time using an LDH assay and an increasing dosage of the drug in VeroE6 hTMPRSS2 cells. Data are the means ± SEM from two experiments performed in triplicate. (C) VeroE6 hTMPRSS2 cells were pretreated for 2 h with atovaquone (50 μM) and subsequently challenged with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1) for 48 h. Virus replication was assessed in the supernatants of the infected cells by a TCID50 assay. Data are the means ± SEM from one representative experiment performed in triplicate. (D) Calu-3 cells were pretreated for 2 h with different concentrations of atovaquone. Cells were subsequently infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1) for 48 h. SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels were quantified by qPCR. Data are the means ± SEM from two experiments performed in biological triplicates. (E) The atovaquone cytotoxicity was assessed over a 48 h period time using an LDH assay and an increasing dosage of the drug in Calu-3 cells. Data are the means ± SEM from two experiments performed in triplicate. (F) Calu-3 cells were pretreated for 2 h with atovaquone (100 μM) and subsequently challenged with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1) for 48 h. Virus replication was assessed in the supernatants of the infected cells by a TCID50 assay. Data are the means ± SEM from two experiments performed in triplicate. The experiment was repeated twice with a similar trend. (G) Calu-3 cells were seeded on glass cover slips before 2 h of treatment with atovaquone (100 μM) and subsequent infection with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1). The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and cleaved caspase-3 were visualized using.
immunostaining and confocal imaging. Nuclei were stained using DAPI and F-Actin using Phalloidin. Scale = 20 μm (H) Calu-3 cells were stimulated for 2 h with atovaquone (50 μM) before infection with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1). The number of SARS-CoV-2 spike + cells and dead + cells was assessed by flow cytometry. The p values were calculated using a t-test where *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

reduced phosphorylation of STAT1 and the lowered expression of the antiviral markers ISG15 and IFIT1 (Figure 4F). Overall, these data suggest that atovaquone is a promising SARS-CoV-2 antiviral agent, which is able to inhibit viral replication and virus-induced inflammation at nontoxic concentrations in VeroE6 hTMPRSS2 cells and lung epithelial Calu-3 cells.

**Atovaquone Reduces SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Primary Human Airway Epithelial Cultures.** We further tested the antiviral effect of atovaquone toward the SARS-CoV2 alpha variant in primary human airway epithelial (HAE) cultures (Figure 5A). Here, a pretreatment for 2 h with the drug (Figure 5B) significantly reduced SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels (Figure 5C). Altogether, these results validate the antiviral activity of atovaquone against SARS-CoV-2 in a physiologically relevant primary HAE cell culture model.

**Atovaquone Mediates Some of Its Antiviral Activity against SARS-CoV-2 in a TMPRSS2-Dependent Manner.** Preprint unpublished work suggested atovaquone as an FDA-approved drug with antiviral potential against SARS-CoV-2 through covalent binding to the SARS-CoV-2 M protein.23 We aimed to determine the step(s) of the viral life cycle targeted by atovaquone by performing a time-of-addition experiment in which atovaquone was added to the media in the presence of the virus during the entry step (1 h viral infection), or at the postentry step (1 h postinfection), or for the entire duration of the infection (Figure 6A). Immunoblotting for the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein revealed a clear decrease in protein expression levels across all of the atovaquone treatment conditions following a 48 h period of SARS-CoV-2 infection, which suggests that one mode of action of atovaquone involves inhibition of the entry step (Figure 6B). To investigate the role in viral entry, we first evaluated whether atovaquone could prevent the association between the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of spike and its cellular receptor ACE2, and we used a recently developed assay that utilizes protein complementation to detect the prevention or disruption of the interaction between the SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD domain and human ACE2.24-25 This assay utilizes a split nanoluciferase construct, with each component called Large BiT (LgBiT) or Small BiT (SmBiT), which has been fused to either ACE2, the spike S1 subunit, or RBD. We tested the impact of atovaquone on SARS binding to ACE2 using a variety of constructs, notably the RBD or S1 domain from SARS-CoV-2 or the RBD domain from SARS-CoV-1 (Figure 6C). The results showed a significant ~10-fold reduction in binding between the SARS-CoV-2 S1 domain and ACE2 in this assay (Figure 6D); by comparison, this reduction was not observed when proguanil was tested using the same assay (Figure S2). Furthermore, we investigated whether TMPRSS2 is a required factor for driving atovaquone-mediated antiviral effects against SARS-CoV-2. Infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 in different cell lines expressing variable levels of the human cellular serine protease TMPRSS2 was determined by qPCR following atovaquone treatment. Surprisingly, only cells expressing high protein levels of hTMPRSS2 (VeroE6 hTMPRSS2 and Calu-3) (Figure 6E,F and Figure S3) displayed a drastic 1-log or more reduction in viral RNA levels following atovaquone treatment suggesting that atovaquone’s antiviral activity is partly dependent on TMPRSS2 (Figure 6G). To further investigate a possible effect of atovaquone on the TMPRSS2 activity, we next tested atovaquone’s proteolytic activity using a previously established in vitro enzymatic assay.26 Intriguingly, while camostat, a known serine protease inhibitor, completely blocked the TMPRSS2 activity, atovaquone had no significant effect on the protease activity, even at high concentrations (Figure S4). To further test the importance of TMPRSS2 in atovaquone’s antiviral activity and confirm that atovaquone can interfere with viral entry, we next generated lentiviral pseudotypes bearing SARS-CoV-2 spike and encoding LacZ. Using the pseudotypes, we measured infection in HEK293T cells expressing hACE2 alone or in combination with hTMPRSS2. As expected, expression of TMPRSS2 redirected entry from a cathepsin, E64d-sensitive pathway to a serine protease, camostat-sensitive pathway (Figure 6H). Importantly, atovaquone reduced the infection of SARS-CoV-2 pseudotypes only in cells expressing both hACE2 and hTMPRSS2, suggesting that TMPRSS2 plays at least partially a role in atovaquone-mediated antiviral activity (Figure 6H). Since both ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are involved in the activation of the spike protein fusion activity, we sought to evaluate the impact of atovaquone on the ability of SARS-CoV-2 spike to induce cell–cell fusion using a bimolecular fluorescence complementation. In this assay, fragments of the Venus fluorescent proteins are fused with a leucine zipper and expressed separately in effector cells expressing the spike proteins and target cells expressing ACE2 in the presence or absence of TMPRSS2. Coculturing of the spike-expressing effector cells and target cells expressing ACE2 led to an increased fluorescence signal indicative of cell–cell fusion in the absence of TMPRSS2 as reported by others27 (Figure 6I). As expected, expression of TMPRSS2 in the target cells enhanced the cell–cell fusion. Importantly, this TMPRSS2-dependent increase in cell fusion was sensitive to camostat and atovaquone (Figure 6I), further supporting a TMPRSS2-dependent antiviral mode of action of the drug. Our data demonstrate that atovaquone can modestly affect the binding between the SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD domain and the ACE2 receptor but also requires to some extent TMPRSS2 to drive some of its antiviral effects, thus presumably contributing to limiting viral entry. However, our results shown in Figures 1 and 6B also suggest a possible more complex antiviral mode of action of the drug that can act against SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses (e.g., VSV) both pre- and postinfection. Atovaquone has been previously reported to target mitochondrial functions in parasites9-12 and we thought to investigate this biological dysfunction as a possible mode of action of the drug in human cells. First, we observed in our cellular system using VeroE6 hTMPRSS2 that atovaquone reduced the mitochondrial activity, as measured using an MTT assay (Figure S5A). This disturbance in mitochondrial activity triggered metabolic rewiring of the cells toward glycolysis visually observed by a characteristic drop in pH and change in the color media of atovaquone-treated cells (Figure S5B). To investigate whether this drop in pH or shift toward glycolysis
could be at play in the atovaquone antiviral activity. VeroE6 hTMPRSS2 cells were pretreated with the glycolysis inhibitor, 2-doxy-glucose (2-DG), before atovaquone treatment and virus infection. Although 2-DG treatment prevented the shift...
Figure 4. Atovaquone limits SARS-CoV-2 and synthetic RNA ligand-induced inflammatory responses. (A, B) Calu-3 cells were pretreated for 2 h with atovaquone (100 μM). The cells were subsequently infected with SARS-CoV-2 (increasing MOIs) for 48 h. The RNA levels of inflammatory (A) and antiviral (B) genes were quantified by qPCR. Data are the means ± SEM from one experiment performed in biological triplicates. Experiments were repeated twice with similar findings. (C, D) Calu-3 cells were pretreated for 2 h with atovaquone (100 μM) and subsequently transfected using lipofectamine with a sequence-optimized RIG-I agonist (M8) (3.5 ng/mL) for 6 h. The antiviral (C) and inflammatory (D) gene expression levels were assessed by qPCR. Data are the means ± SEM from one experiment performed in biological triplicates. (E, F) Calu-3 cells were pretreated for 2 h with atovaquone (100 μM) and subsequently lipofected with a sequence-optimized RIG-I agonist (M8) (3.5 ng/mL) for 3 h (E) or stimulated with IFNb1 (1000 IU/mL) for 1 h (F). Activation of the antiviral response was assessed by immunoblotting. The p values were calculated using a t-test where *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
toward glycolysis and restored the neutral pH levels, as observed in Figure SSB, the atovaquone antiviral activity was fully retained and even further enhanced in the absence of glycolysis (Figure SSC). Atovaquone has also been reported to alter the pyrimidine and purine biosynthesis pathways.12–15 To test whether inhibition of pyrimidine or purine biosynthesis could be involved in some of the intracellular antiviral action of atovaquone, VeroE6 cells expressing hTMPRSS2 were infected following treatment with atovaquone and replenishment of the culture media with uridine (U), cytidine (C), adenosine (A), and guanosine (G). Here again, the antiviral activity of atovaquone was fully retained independent of the different culture conditions (Figure SSD). Altogether, these data highlight that atovaquone likely possesses a complex mode of action against SARS-CoV-2, both working pre- and post-infection. Based on the evidence presented, we suggest a possible use of the drug for treatment of COVID-19 prophylactically but also potentially following exposure to the virus.

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that the antimicrobial drug atovaquone inhibits SARS-CoV-2 infection and replication in vitro as well as prevents the expression of associated inflammatory markers in human lung infected cells. Mechanistically, we show that atovaquone may interfere with the binding of the spike protein and the human receptor ACE2 during the entry phase. We also demonstrate a probable partial requirement for TMPRSS2 in driving the antiviral effect of the drug. Finally, our experiments also suggest an intracellular action of the drug on virus replication through a mechanism yet to be determined but not involving mitochondrial dysfunction and inhibition of the purine or pyrimidine biosynthesis pathways. Importantly, atovaquone is an FDA-approved, well-tolerated, and orally available drug currently in use for the treatment of different infectious diseases. Together with the anecdotal observations of the possible beneficial effects of the drug in Canadian patients, combined with our in vitro data on its antiviral activity against several variants of concern and in the primary lung epithelium cell culture model, the findings presented here suggest that atovaquone could provide benefits and may easily be repurposed. We are now awaiting the results from the clinical trials initiated in the USA where atovaquone was administered alone or in combination with azithromycin to confirm whether this medication is a good candidate as an inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 replication and inflammation-induced pathology in COVID-19 patients.

Since the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 and the beginning of the global pandemic in December 2019, tremendous scientific and technological advances have been made in developing safe and efficacious vaccine candidates.1–4 However, challenges in vaccine distribution and availability as well as the emergence of new variants could mean that reaching the necessary levels of immunity to suppress SARS-CoV-2 will still take a considerable amount of time. This further highlights the important need for the continued research and development of antivirals, which can protect individuals from developing severe COVID-19, in the absence of immunization. Furthermore, high-fatality coronavirus outbreaks have now been reported roughly every 10 years since the identification of SARS in China in 2002 followed by the MERS epidemic in Saudi Arabia in 2012. Given the zoonotic nature of these viral outbreaks, a future coronavirus pandemic is extremely likely, and therefore, developing broadly acting antivirals or repurposing already clinically approved drugs against coronaviruses should be of the highest priority for public health.

Our study focused on uncovering the potential antiviral effect of atovaquone in vitro. In cellular models of infection, our experiments showed promising and significant dose-dependent block in the infectivity of replicative wtVSV and VSV-spike in different types of VeroE6 cells expressing hACE2 and/or hTMPRSS2. Furthermore, we confirmed that the
Figure 6. Atovaquone partially requires TMPRSS2 to drive its antiviral action against SARS-CoV-2 and reduces the interaction between the spike protein and its surface receptor ACE2. (A) Schematic of atovaquone administration. (B) VeroE6 hTMPRSS2 cells were treated with atovaquone (10 μM) for 2 h before infection (full time), at the time of infection (entry), or 1 h after infection (postentry), before challenging with original SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1. Infection was carried out for 48 h in the presence of the drug and for all conditions. Infection was assessed by immunoblotting of the spike protein within cell lysates. (C) Structure of constructs for a split NanoLuc-based bioreporter. RBD or S1 from either
Figure 6. continued

SARS-CoV1 or SARS-CoV2 was linked to Large BiT (LbBiT) on its N-terminus to form LbBiT-RBD or LbBiT-S1; similarly, the Small BiT (SmBiT) peptide was linked to human ACE2 to form SmBiT-ACE2. ACE2 and RBD or S1 constructs were transfected separately or cotransfected into HEK293 cells for 48 h and lysed with a passive lysis buffer. Mixed lysates or lysates from cotransfected cells were incubated with coelenterazine, and the luminescence measured using a plate reader. (D) Following plasmid transfection into HEK293 cells, the cells were lysed in a NanoLac-compatible passive lysis buffer and lysates were dispensed into a 96-well plate, to which atovaquone was added at a final concentration of 4 μM. The impact of atovaquone on SARS receptor binding was assessed in two ways: (1) with atovaquone added to LbBiT-RBD or LbBiT-S1 for 50 min followed by the addition of an equal quantity of SmBiT-ACE2 for another 10 min (“mixed lysates”) or (2) with atovaquone added to the preformed SmBiT-ACE2 + LbBiT-RBD/S1 complex for 1 h (“cotransfected”). Following incubation, a nanoluciferase substrate was added and the luminescence was measured. HEK293 cells were also transfected with a nanoluciferase control plasmid and lysates were incubated with 4 μM atovaquone. N = 4 per condition. The graph shows % bioreporter luminescence with the highest value in each of the respective untreated conditions taken as 100% (N = 4 per condition, the p values were determined using a t-test). (E, F). The different cell lines mentioned were subjected to immunoblotting (E) and flow cytometry analysis (F) of TMPRSS2 and ACE2 expression. For ACE2, unstained samples of the matched cell line were used as a control. For TMPRSS2, secondary antibody-stained samples of the matched cell line were used as a control. Controls for the A549 hACE2 cell line are represented in the figure. The same controls were used for all cell lines studied. (G) Vero hTMPRSS2, Calu-3, and A549 hACE2 cells were pretreated with atovaquone (100 μM) for 2 h before infection with the original SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1). Viral RNA levels were determined 48 h postinfection by qPCR. The data represent the means ± SEM of one experiment performed in biological triplicates. (H) HEK293T cells expressing hACE2 cells were mock-transfected or transfected with a plasmid encoding hTMPRSS2. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, cells were seeded in 96-well plates and preincubated with the different drugs E64d (10 μM), camostat (25 μM), and atovaquone (100 μM) + 5 μg/mL polybrene for 1 h before infection with purified SARS-CoV-2 pseudotypes. LacZ + cells were quantified using the Beta-Glo assay system and luminescence measurement. The data are the means ± SEM of two experiments performed in biological triplicates. Similar results were obtained by X-gal staining. (1) Effector cells (zipV2+) expressing SARS-CoV-2 spike and target cells (zipV1+) expressing ACE2 with or without TMPRSS2 were cocultured for 3 h in the presence of the indicated concentration of drugs or DMSO. Cell–cell fusion was assessed by measuring the fluorescence of the Venus protein complementation (zipV1 + zipV2). Data were normalized to the fusion obtained with target cells expressing ACE2 but not TMPRSS2 (ACE2 + TMPRSS2) and are the means ± SEM of two experiments performed in triplicates. The p values were calculated using a t-test where *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Antiviral action of atovaquone was retained against both the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 and other variants of concern in similar cellular testing systems. However, a recent study from Dittmar et al. highlighted the importance of validating drug candidates in relevant human lung epithelial cells. Their study identified major differences in drug sensitivity and entry pathways used by SARS-CoV-2 in different cell types. For instance, entry in lung epithelial Calu-3 cells was shown to be pH-independent and required TMPRSS2, while entry in Vero and Huh7.5 cells required low pH and was rather triggered by acid-dependent endosomal proteases.28 Thus, we extended our studies to lung epithelial Calu-3 cells and found that atovaquone still displayed high antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 without affecting the cellular viability in this particularly relevant in vitro system. Even more importantly, the antiviral action of atovaquone was fully retained against the SARS-CoV-2 alpha variant in the primary human airway lung epithelium cell model.

One study which employed large-scale screening of FDA-approved drugs to identify promising SARS-CoV-2 antivirals identified quinones as useful agents to inhibit infectious SARS-CoV-2 production.20 In addition, a current preprint described atovaquone as a particularly promising agent due to its covalent binding to the SARS-CoV-2 main protease enzyme (M(pro)), which suggests that the antiviral effects are durable.23 Our study identified atovaquone as a drug with a possible multimodal action on the virus, which can act both prophylactically and therapeutically in vitro; mechanistically, we report a modest but significant reduction in the binding between the spike S1 domain and ACE2 and demonstrate a dependency on TMPRSS2 in driving atovaquone-mediated antiviral effects against SARS-CoV-2. Additionally, atovaquone slightly impaired the fusion process as measured experimentally in an in vitro assay. Previous studies reported that TMPRSS2 can also cleave the ACE2 receptor and thus limit its surface expression.20 Although atovaquone was unable to inhibit the TMPRSS2 activity in an in vitro assay using a peptide substrate, it is unknown whether similar results would be obtained with other substrates such as ACE2. Therefore, it would be pertinent to assess in future studies whether the atovaquone-induced antiviral activity could result from cleavage of ACE2 through the TMPRSS2-mediated proteolytic activity.

Altogether our data provide some hints as to how the drug affects the entry step of the virus. We also show that atovaquone can act at the postentry step and affect the intracellular phase of viral replication through a modality of action yet to be determined but not involving the alteration of the mitochondrial function or the synthesis of purine or pyrimidines by the molecule.

Altogether, our study reports atovaquone as a potent in vitro antiviral agent against SARS-CoV-2 and highlights that results from future efficacy studies in clinical trials in humans are needed to determine whether the drugs identified here could be used alone or in combination as a treatment for COVID-19.

**METHODS**

Reagents. Atovaquone (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 10 or 27 mM and then stored at −20°C until use. Camostat mesylate (Cayman Chemical) and E64d (Millipore Sigma) were dissolved in DMSO at 10 mM and stored at −20°C until use. On the day of treatment, the drugs were predissolved in a complete culture medium containing fetal bovine serum (FBS), Pen/Strep, and glutamine to a 10X solution. Final dilutions to the expected concentrations were carried out in the culture well also containing the complete medium. Of note, atovaquone had a tendency to form large aggregates if not resuspended in culture medium containing FBS, Pen/Strep, and glutamine.

Cell Lines. Calu-3 epithelial lung cancer cells (kindly provided by Laureano de le Vega, Dundee University, Scotland, UK) and human lung adenocarcinoma epithelial A549 cells expressing hACE2 (kindly provided by Brad Rosenberg, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York) were used in this study. Calu-3, and A549 hACE2 cells were pretreated with atovaquone (100 μM) for 2 h before infection with the original SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1). Viral RNA levels were determined 48 h postinfection by qPCR. The data represent the means ± SEM of two experiments performed in biological triplicates. Similar results were obtained by X-gal staining. (1) Effector cells (zipV2+) expressing SARS-CoV-2 spike and target cells (zipV1+) expressing ACE2 with or without TMPRSS2 were cocultured for 3 h in the presence of the indicated concentration of drugs or DMSO. Cell–cell fusion was assessed by measuring the fluorescence of the Venus protein complementation (zipV1 + zipV2). Data were normalized to the fusion obtained with target cells expressing ACE2 but not TMPRSS2 (ACE2 + TMPRSS2) and are the means ± SEM of two experiments performed in triplicates. The p values were calculated using a t-test where *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
York, USA) were grown as a monolayer in DMEM10 (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, DMEM, Life Technologies), supplemented with 10% (v/v) high fetal calf serum (hiFCS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. VeroE6 cells expressing TMPRSS2 (VeroE6-hTMPRSS2, kindly provided by Professor Stefan Pohlmann, University of Göttingen)112 were grown in DMEM5 (DMEM supplemented with 5% (v/v) hiFCS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin), supplemented with 10 μg/mL blasticidin (InvivoGen) to maintain TMPRSS2 expression. HEK293T cells (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC) and HEK293T-hACE2 cells maintain TMPRSS2 expression. HEK293T cells (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC) and HEK293T-hACE2 cells (kind gift of Dr. Hyeryun Choe, Scripps Research) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma), 100 μg/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 0.3 mg/mL L-glutamine. Human colorectal adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells, kindly provided by Prof. Maria Teresa Fiorenza (Sapienza University, Rome, Italy), and hepatocyte-derived cellular carcinoma Huh-7 cells were cultured in DMEM high glucose with sodium pyruvate (Euroclone) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 100 μg/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 300 μg/mL L-glutamine (complete DMEM). For the fusion assay, human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK293T) cells were obtained from ATCC (CRL-11268) and maintained in complete media (DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS (Fisher Scientific), 5% bovine calf serum (Fisher Scientific), and 1X antibiotic-antimycotic (Fisher Scientific)). All cell lines were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO₂.

**HAE Culture.** The HAE model was generated as previously described in ref.112 Experiments were performed on two individual donors, each condition being present in biological duplicates.

**Viral Strains and SARS-CoV-2 Propagation.** The Wuhan-like early European SARS-CoV-2 B.1 lineage (FR-4286) was kindly provided by Professor Georg Kochs (University of Freiburg), Professor Arvind Patel (University of Glasgow, UK) kindly provided the alpha variant B.1.1.7, and the beta variant B.1.351 was kindly provided by Professor Alex Sigal, African Health Research Institute, South Africa. SARS-CoV-2 cluster 5 (mink variant) (SARS-CoV-2/hu/DK/CL-5/1) and delta variant B.1.617.2 (SARS-CoV-2/hu/DK/SSI-H11) were provided by Statens Serum Institut, SSI, Denmark. B.1 (FR-4286), alpha, delta, and cluster-5 variants were propagated using VeroE6-hTMPRSS2, while the beta variant was propagated in human A549-hACE2. In brief, 20 × 10⁵ cells were seeded in different T175 culture flasks and infected the following day at 0.005 multiplicity of infection (MOI) in 8 mL of a serum-free medium. One hour after infection, the culture medium was replaced with a fresh complete DMEM medium containing atovaquone for 48 h. Cells were then rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed in 400 μL of lysis buffer (Roche, 11828665001) followed by RNA extraction and gene expression analysis. For the experiments investigating the mode of action of atovaquone, the drug treatment was added full time, as described above, during pretreatment only (entry), or only postinfection (postentry).

229E and OC43 Coronavirus Infections. Huh-7 and Caco-2 cells were seeded in 24-well plates at 1.2 × 10⁵ cells/well overnight at 37 °C in complete DMEM. The day after the cells were pretreated with atovaquone (5 and 10 μM, respectively) for 2 h, using DMSO as a negative control, the cells were then infected with 229E and OC43 hCoVs for 1 h in serum-free DMEM, and after infection, the medium was replaced with complete DMEM containing atovaquone. At 16, 24, and 48 h postinfection, cells were collected and lysed and the total RNA was extracted using an RNasey mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was reverse-transcribed using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara) into cDNA, and qPCR was then performed using a TaqMan fast advanced master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). CT values were normalized to GAPDH (ΔCT). The primers used were as follows: for 229E, forward primer: ACCAACAATTGGCATAAACCAG, reverse primer: CGTTGACTTCAAACCTCAGA, probe: FAM-AGT-ΔCT. The primers used were as follows: for OC43, forward primer: AGGACACCTCTGAGCCTCAAT, reverse primer: AGGAACAGGCTGTATGTCAATCC, probe: FAM-GTACATGTGCATGGGACCCAAGTA-TAM. The differential mRNA expression of genes in the samples was expressed as 2⁰ΔΔCT.

**Nanoluciferase Complementation-Based Biosensor Assay.** The nanoluciferase complementation assay has been previously described in refs.24,25 We tested the impact of atovaquone on SARS binding to ACE2 using a variety of plasmid constructs, notably the RBD or S1 domain from SARS-CoV2 or the RBD domain from SARS-CoV1 as plasmid constructs, notably the RBD or S1 domain from SARS-CoV2 or the RBD domain from SARS-CoV1 as claimed in ref.28 The nanoluciferase complementation assay has been previously described in refs.24,25 We tested the impact of atovaquone on SARS binding to ACE2 using a variety of plasmid constructs, notably the RBD or S1 domain from SARS-CoV2 or the RBD domain from SARS-CoV1 as plasmid constructs, notably the RBD or S1 domain from SARS-CoV2 or the RBD domain from SARS-CoV1 as described.24,25 Following plasmid transfection using a PolyJet transfection reagent (SignaGen Laboratories) in HEK293, cells were lysed in a NanoLuc-compatible passive lysis buffer (Promega) and the lysate was dispensed into a 384-well plate to which atovaquone was added at a final concentration of 4 μM. The impact of atovaquone on SARS receptor binding was assessed in two ways: (1) with atovaquone added to SmBiT-ACE2 for 50 min followed by the addition of an equal quantity of LgBiT-RBD or LgBiT-S1 for another 10 min (“mixed lysates”) or (2) with atovaquone added to the preformed SmBiT-ACE2 + LgBiT-RBD/S1 complex for 1 h (“cotransfected”). Following incubation, nanoluciferase substrate-native
coelenterazine (CTZ, 3.33 mM final concentration, Nanolight Technologies—Proline Ltd., Pinetop, AZ, USA) was added, and luminescence was measured using the Synergy microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). HEK293 cells were also transfected with a nanoluciferase control plasmid and lysates were incubated with 4 μM atovaquone.

**SARS-CoV-2 Spike-Pseudotyped VSV and wtVSV Studies.** pLenti CMV Hygro TMPRRSS2 and pLenti CMV Puro ACE2 (a kind gift from Dr. A.C. Gingras, University of Toronto, Canada) were used to generate lentivirus via second generation pSPAX2 and pMD2.G (encoding VSV-G). VeroE6 cells (ATCC, CRL-11586) were cotransduced with ACE2 and TMPRSS2 lentivirus to generate Vero hACE2-hTMPRSS2 and selected with 3 μg/mL puromycin and 200 μg/mL hygromycin.

VSV encoding the SARS-CoV-2 S protein in the place of the native envelope spike glycoprotein (VSV-eGFP-spike) was obtained from Dr. S.P.J. Whelan. wtVSV (Indiana serotype) expressing eGFP and wtVSV-eGFP-spike viruses were propagated on Vero76 or VeroE6 cells in roller bottles. wtVSV was purified using a 5–50% OptiPrep (Sigma) gradient, and all virus titers were quantified by a standard plaque assay on Vero76 or VeroE6 cells.

For evaluation of the antiviral potential of atovaquone, VeroE6 cells or Vero hACE2-hTMPRSS2 were seeded at 2.5 × 10⁴ cells/cm² in 96-well plates and treated with various concentrations of atovaquone using the Agilent Bravo liquid handler. Fifteen minutes later, cells were infected at an MOI of 0.1 M, respectively) for 2 h prior to challenging with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1). After 48 h, the cells were washed with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) and fixed for 40 min in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), following 20-min permeabilization with 0.2% Triton X-100 in DPBS. Next, blocking with 2% FCS in DPBS was performed for 40 min and a mouse SARS-CoV-2 spike antibody (1:200, GeneTex) and a rabbit cleaved caspase-3 antibody (1:400, Cell Signaling) were applied for 1 h at room temperature in the blocking solution. After three washes with DPBS, cells were incubated with a goat antirabbit Alexa Fluor 488 nm fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody (1:1000, Invitrogen), a goat antimouse Alexa Fluor 555 nm fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody (1:400, Invitrogen), a goat antialexa Fluor 555 nm fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody (1:400, Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor Plus 647 Phalloidin (1:400, Invitrogen), and PureBlue DAPI nuclear staining dye (1:100, Bio-Rad) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Cells were then washed three times with DPBS and mounted onto microscope slides using a ProLong gold antifade mounting medium (Invitrogen). Slides were air-dried in the dark and examined on the next day using a Zeiss LSM 710 inverted confocal microscope with corresponding Zeiss ZEN software.

**Western Blotting.** Immunoblotting was performed as previously described in ref. 35. In brief, cells were lysed in 100 μL of ice-cold Pierce RIPA lysis buffer (Thermo Scientific) supplemented with 10 mM NaF, 1X complete protease cocktail inhibitor (Roche), and 5 IU mL⁻¹ benzoxane (Sigma), respectively. The protein concentration was determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific). Whole-cell lysates were denatured for 3 min at 95 °C in the presence of 1X XT sample buffer (Bio-Rad) and 1X XT reducing agent (Bio-Rad). A total of 30 μg of reduced samples was separated by SDS-PAGE on 4–20% Criterion TGX precast gradient gels (Bio-Rad). Each gel was run initially for 15 min at 70 V and 45 min at 120 V, which was transferred onto PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad) using a Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system for 7 min. Membranes were blocked for 1 h with 5% skim milk (Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature in PBS supplemented with 0.05% Tween-20 (PBST). Membranes were fractionated in smaller pieces and probed overnight at 4 °C with any of the following specific primary antibodies in PBS Tween 0.05%: antispike SARS-CoV-2 (Gene Tex 1/1000), Scienctif, cat. no. 4392938), and samples were analyzed in a 10 μL (final volume) reaction mix containing 5 μL of master mix, 0.2 μL of RT enzyme, 3.64 μL of nuclease-free water, 0.16 μL of primers (TFB, ACTB, TNFa, Il6, IFNB1, CXCL10, and ISG15, all obtained from Thermo Fisher), and 1 μL of either pure or diluted RNA as mentioned. For the SARS-CoV-2 gene, primers and probe sequences were provided by the CDC and purchased from Eurofin. Samples were analyzed in a final volume of 10 μL, containing 5 μL of master mix, 0.5 μL of fw primer (10 pmol/μL fw primer—AAATTTTGGGGACACGAAC), 0.7 μL of rev primer (10 pmol/μL rev primer—TGCGACCTGTGTAAGTCACC), 0.2 μL of probe (20 pmol/μL—FAM-AGTCTCGCGTATTGGCATGGA-BHQ2), 2.4 μL of nuclease-free water, and 1 μL of RNA diluted or not. The analysis was performed on a Applied Biosciences qPCR platform with program: 2’50 °C; 2’95 °C; 40’x(1’95 °C; 20’60 °C). CT values were extracted using Applied Biosciences Software.

**Confocal Microscopy.** VeroE6 cells expressing human TMPRSS2 or Calu-3 cells were seeded onto glass cover slips placed on the bottom of 12-well plates and treated with either DMSO (0.1%) or atovaquone (10 or 100 μM, respectively) for 2 h prior to challenging with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 0.1). After 48 h, the cells were washed with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) and fixed for 40 min in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), following 20-min permeabilization with 0.2% Triton X-100 in DPBS. Next, blocking with 2% FCS in DPBS was performed for 40 min and a mouse SARS-CoV-2 spike antibody (1:200, GeneTex) and a rabbit cleaved caspase-3 antibody (1:400, Cell Signaling) were applied for 1 h at room temperature in the blocking solution. After three washes with DPBS, cells were incubated with a goat antirabbit Alexa Fluor 488 nm fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody (1:1000, Invitrogen), a goat antimouse Alexa Fluor 555 nm fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody (1:400, Invitrogen), Alexa Fluor Plus 647 Phalloidin (1:400, Invitrogen), and PureBlue DAPI nuclear staining dye (1:100, Bio-Rad) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Cells were then washed three times with DPBS and mounted onto microscope slides using a ProLong gold antifade mounting medium (Invitrogen). Slides were air-dried in the dark and examined on the next day using a Zeiss LSM 710 inverted confocal microscope with corresponding Zeiss ZEN software.
anti-ACE2 (Cell Signaling 1/1000), anti-TMPRSS2 (Thermo Fisher 1/1000), or anti-Vinculin (Sigma-Aldrich 1/10,000) used as loading controls. After three washes in PBS, secondary antibodies, peroxidase-conjugated F(ab)2 donkey antimouse IgG (H + L) (1:10,000) or peroxidase-conjugated F(ab)2 donkey antirabbit IgG (H + L) (1:10,000) (Jackson ImmunoResearch), were added to the membrane in PBS 1% milk for 1 h at room temperature. All membranes were washed three times and exposed using either the SuperSignal West Pico PLUS chemiluminescent substrate or the SuperSignal West Femto maximum sensitivity substrate (Thermo Scientific) and a ChemiDoc imaging system (Bio-Rad).

**Flow Cytometry Analysis.** The expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 was analyzed using flow cytometry. Briefly, 2 × 10^5 cells were washed with a FACS wash (FW, PBS supplemented with 2% hiFCS and 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Thermo Fisher Scientific)) and stained by FW with antibodies for 20 min on ice in the dark (PerCP mouse antihuman ACE2, clone AC384, Novus Biologicals Cat#NB2-80038PCP, or unconjugated rabbit antihuman TMPRSS2, Invitrogen PAS5-14264). Cells were then washed twice, and for ACE2 analysis, they were fixed using 1% formaldehyde (Avantor, VWR, Denmark). For TMPRSS2 expression, samples were incubated with a secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat antirabbit IgG (H + L)), an absorbed secondary antibody, Invitrogen A-11034) for 20 min on ice in the dark followed by washing and fixation. The fluorescent intensity was measured with a NovoCyte 3000 analyzer equipped with three lasers (405, 488, and 640 nm) and 13 PMT detectors (ACEA Biosciences, Inc). Data were analyzed using De Novo Software FCS Express Flow research edition version 6. Cells were gated using the following strategy: total cells (SSC-H/FSC-H); single cells (FSC-A/FSC-H); and ACE2 expression or TPMRSS2 expression. For ACE2, unstained samples of the matched cell line were used as a control. For TMPRSS2, secondary antibody-stained samples of the matched cell line were used as a control.

**Flow Cytometry Analysis of SARS-Infected Cells.** Calu-3 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate (1.5 × 10^5/well) and infected the following day with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1. Following incubation for 48 h, cells were harvested and the LIVE/DEAD Fixable Green Dead Cell Stain kit with 488 nm excitation (Invitrogen) was used to determine the viability prior fixation with 4% PFA for 30 min. Then, cells were washed and an anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike S2 mouse monoclonal antibody (GeneTex) was applied at a dilution of 1:3000 in a permeabilizing solution (DPBS (Gibco) and saponin 0.25% and goat serum 2% (both Sigma)) for 20 min. After several washes with DPBS, an antimouse Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen) was applied with the same solution at a dilution of 1:4000 for another 20 min. Cell number acquisition was performed using a NovoCyte QuanFlow flow cytometer, and an analysis was performed using NovoExpress Software.

**Quantification of Cell Fusion Using Bimolecular Fluorescence (BfC).** Quantification of cell fusion was performed using bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BfC). Briefly, HEK293T cells were seed in a 12-well microplate (500,000 cells/well) in complete media for 24 h. Transient transfection was performed using jetPRIME (Polypeus-transfection, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Target cells were transfected with zipV1 (0.5 μg), Ace2myc/pCEP4 (0.05 μg), and Ace2myc and TMPRSS2 or zipV1 (0.5 μg). The effector cell population was transfected with zipV2 (0.5 μg) and SARS-CoV-2 D614G spike/pCAGGs (0.125 μg). The total DNA quantity was normalized using empty pCAGGs vector DNA to 1 μg. Following transfection, cells were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Then, cells were rinsed with PBS and detached with versene (PBS, 0.53 mM EDTA) and counted. Inhibitors or vehicle (DMSO) was added at indicated concentrations (atovaquone 10, 50, and 100 μM or camostat 10 μM) with 35,000 cells/well of both populations cocseed in DMEM without serum and phenol red in a 384-well black plate with an optical clear bottom and incubated for 3 h at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. The BiFc signal was acquired using a BioTek Synergy Neo2 plate reader using a monochromator set to excitation/emission of 500 and 542 nm.

**Cytotoxicity Assay (LDH Assay).** Cell death was measured by LDH release using a Pierce LDH cytototoxicity assay kit (Thermo Fisher) in sextuplicate according to manufacturer's instructions. Untreated cells were used as a negative control, whereas cells lysed with the provided lysis buffer served as a positive control. The LDH activity was measured at 490 and 680 nm absorbance using a BioTek microplate reader (BioTek Instruments). The LDH activity was determined by subtracting the background 680 nm absorbance value from the 490 nm absorbance value. The % of cytotoxicity was calculated using the following formula: (LDH activity − LDH activity control)/(LDH activity positive control − LDH activity negative control) × 100.

**MTT Assay To Measure Cellular Viability.** The redox potential in active mammalian cells reduces MTT to a strongly pigmented formazan product. Following solubilization, the absorbance of formazan can be measured colorimetrically at 570 nm. VeroE6 cells expressing TMPRSS2 were seeded as 1 × 10^5 cells per well in a 96-well plate in the presence/absence of 10 μM atovaquone or DMSO control. Following an 18 h incubation, 10 μL of 98% thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (Sigma, M2128) was added to each well and incubated for 4 h. The medium was removed, DMSO was added, and absorbance was measured at 570 nm.

**7-Aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) Assay To Measure Cell Viability by FACS.** Caco-2 and Huh-7 cells were seeded overnight in 24-well plates at 1.2 × 10^5 cells/well. Cells were then treated with atovaquone (5–100 μM) for 48 h. DMSO was used as a negative control. The cell viability was measured by 7-AAD staining: briefly, 48 h after treatment, the medium was removed, and cells were washed with 1× PBS and then harvested by trypsinization with 1× Trypsin−EDTA solution. Cells were then centrifuged at 300 × g for 5 min and resuspended in 100 μL of 1× PBS with 0.125 μg of 7-AAD/sample for 5 min before flow cytometry analysis. Ten thousand events were acquired (BD FACSCanto II, BD Biosciences), and the percentage of dead cells was analyzed using FlowJo software, version 10.

**Lentiviral SARS-CoV-2 Pseudotype Production and Infection.** The SARS-CoV-2 spike gene in expression vector pcDNA3.1 was a gift from Fang Li (Addgene plasmid # 145032). The D614G mutation was generated by overlapping PCR and verified by Sanger sequencing. For lentiviral production, HEK293T cells were transiently cotransfected with lentiviral packaging plasmid psPAX2 (gift from Didier 99 Trono, Addgene #12260), lentiviral vector encoding LacZ (LV-Lac, gift from Inder Verma (Addgene plasmid # 12108)), and pcDNA3.1 encoding the D614G SARS-CoV
2 spike at a 1:1:1 ratio using a jetPRIME transfection reagent (Polyplus-transfection) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The supernatant containing viral particles was harvested at 48, 72, and 96 h post-transfection and preclarified by filtration with a 0.45 µm pore size filter. Virus was concentrated by ultracentrifugation (20,000 rpm, 1.5 h, 4 °C) on a sucrose cushion (20% w/v), and the viral pellet was resuspended in PBS and stored at −80 °C until use.

For infection, HEK293T-Ace2 cells were mock-transfected or transfected with plasmid-encoding hTMPRSS2 (Millipore Sigma) using jetPRIME. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, cells were seeded in 96-well plates to achieve approximately 80% confluence, at which cells were preincubated with the inhibitors (E64d (Millipore Sigma), camostat (Cayman Chemical), atovaquone (Cayman Chemical), or vehicle) and 5 µg/mL polybrene for 1 h and then incubated with purified SARS-CoV-2 pseudotypes in the presence of the drugs in serum from media. Twenty-four hours post-infection, media were replaced with complete culture media. Seventy-two hours post-infection, cells were either fixed in formalin and staining with X-gal and LacZ+ foci were quantified as described previously, or LacZ+ cells were quantified using the Beta-Glo assay system (Promega) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Luminescence was measured using a Synergy Neo2 Multi-Mode plate reader (BioTek).

**TMPRSS2 Enzymatic Assay.** The fluorogenic peptide substrate Boc-Gln-Ala-Arg-AMC (Enzo Life Sciences Inc.) was prepared immediately before use at 10 mM in DMSO and diluted in an assay buffer containing 10 µM Boc-Gln-Ala-Arg-AMC, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.01% Tween 20. Solutions were vortexed and 16 µL of the master mix was added per well of 384-well black plates (Thermo Fisher) followed by the addition of 2 µL of inhibitors (camostat or atovaquone) at 10X for a final concentration of 1 to 100 µM. The assay was initiated with 2 µL of recombinant active human TMPRSS2 (Cusabio Biotech) at 10 µM, after which the 384-well plate was shaken at 500 rpm for 1 min for complete mixing. Fluorescence emission was measured with a BioTek Synergy Neo2 plate reader, the excitation wavelength was set at 340 nm, and the emission wavelength was set at 440 nm every 5 min or after an incubation of 60 min at room temperature in the dark.
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