Process parameters optimization for producing AA6061/ (Al₂O₃, Gr and Al₂O₃+Gr) surface composites by friction stir processing
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Abstract. The present study uses Al₂O₃, graphite and hybrid Al₂O₃ and graphite nanoparticles as reinforcement particles within AA 6061 to produce a surface composite metal matrix using multiple-pass FSP. The aim of this work is to investigate the effects of multi-pass FSP, type of reinforcement particles and effects of T6 post-treatments on the microstructure and mechanical properties (hardness, ultimate tensile strength, and elongation) of friction stir processed (FSPed) AA6061 alloy. The results show that; all the composites produced using alumina particles in matrix material have the highest ultimate tensile strength (213 Mpa). Increase in hardness of stir zone for FSPed samples was more pronounced with post heat treatment and essentially insignificant for variation in a number of passes. The process parameters were optimized using a Taguchi method. The most influential parameter was a type of reinforcement particles. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) used to evaluate the percentage contribution for each parameter.

1. Introduction

Aluminium and its alloys are usually utilized in much modern industrial application such as aerospace, automotive, and shipbuilding industries, this attributed to its good strength to weight ratio, better corrosion resistance, and high electrical and thermal conductivity however they exhibit poor tribological properties [1]. AA6061 is an exact alloy of 6xxx series and includes some alloying elements such as Al-Mg-Si [2]. Aluminium metal matrix composites (AMMCs) are usually replacing conventional aluminium alloys in several applications due to higher mechanical properties and tribological properties. Those attributed to the presence of nano and micro-sized reinforcement particles (RP) in the matrix. Wide researches carried out to improve the properties of AMCs using different fabrication methods and reinforcements can be the ceramic particles, whiskers, flakes, and fibres of various types. The commonly used reinforcements include SiC, Gr, TiC, Al₂O₃, AIN, MoS₂, B₄C, TiB₂, TiO₂, etc., or a mixture of them and others are commonly used as reinforcement in the composites [3]. The applications of Al₂O₃ particle reinforced aluminium alloy matrix composites in the automotive and aircraft extended. However, "the use of graphite reinforcement in a metal matrix improved wear and lubrication properties of the materials, whereas at higher graphite addition levels a complete reversal in the wear behaviour
Due to its wide application, graphite (Gr) and alumina (Al₂O₃) used as reinforcements in present work. In addition, Al/Al₂O₃/Gr hybrid nano composites find wide application in advanced engineered materials due to their tribological applications. The scope of Al/Al₂O₃/Gr nano composites has great interest in tribological applications in automotive and aerospace industries. "The usage of hybrid Al/Al₂O₃/Gr self-lubricating nano composite components leads to reduce the oil consumption, power loss and maintenance cost"[5].

Friction stir processing (FSP)," is a recent technology that is based on the principles of friction stir welding (FSW) developed at the welding institute (TWI) of UK in 1991 for aluminium alloys" [6]. FSP is a solid-state processing technique used for surface modification and developing surface and MMCs, which improves the mechanical properties and microstructure. Farther, FSP produced severe plastic deformation to MMCs in stir zone (SZ), which leads to ultra-refinement and homogeneous distribution of particles in the AMCs depending on the process parameters employed. The welding in FSP occurred in double pass (rather than single pass as an FSW) one forward, and the other to return along the joint line to achieve maximum performance with low production cost in less time as illustrated in Figure 1(a - b) [7]. The process considered as a green technology, as it does not produce any fumes and harmful gases and noise like in other conventional techniques. The temperatures developed during the FSP was below the melting point of the material to be processed, which avoids interfacial reactions and avoids defects like pinhole porosity and air inclusions.

Extensive researches carried out in MMCs and surface composites of aluminium alloys via FSP [8-11]. In the case of a surface composite process, multi-pass FSP considered an effective method to improve the distribution and dispersion of the reinforcement particles in the composite matrix [12-16].

The properties of some AMMCs can significantly be improved via heat treatment, especially 2xxx and 6xxx series alloys [17]. Previous studies show that the microstructure and mechanical properties of FSP Al alloys, and their composites improved via several treatments after or during the welding[18, 19].

Optimization of FSP parameters used to reduce the number of experiments, time, and cost. Taguchi method and the analysis of variance (ANOVA) used to study the effect of process parameters on the FSP. Wide researches carried out in metal matrix composites and surface composites of aluminium alloys using FSP optimization[20].

The aims of the present research are. (a) fabrication of AA6061/ (Al₂O₃, Gr, and hybrid Al₂O₃+Gr) surface composites via FSP (b) investigate the effects of type of reinforcement (alumina, graphite, and alumina with graphite) on the microstructure, hardness distribution and tensile properties of friction stir processed (FSPed) AA6061 alloy. (c) Effects of T6 post heat treatment treatments (PHT) on the mechanical properties and microstructure characteristics of the FSP joints. (d) The effect of a number of passes on the mechanical properties and microstructure characteristics of the FSP joints. (e) Process parameters optimization using Taguchi analysis of the above-mentioned input parameters, and ANOVA to know the percentage contribution of each parameter.
2. Experimentation

2.1. Experimental procedure

In this study, the parameters and levels designated and produced L18 matrix using MINITAB18 software as listed in table 3 and table 4. Taguchi method used to optimize processing parameters for responses of hardness, UTS, and percentage of elongation. The percentage contribution of process parameters expected using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).

The AA 6061 sheet alloy with dimensions of (100*50*4) mm has a chemical composition and mechanical properties given in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively. Nano particles of graphite (with an average diameter 100 nm), $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (with an average diameter 50 nm) have been used to reinforce aluminium metal matrix nano composites (AMMNCs) via FSP. The base metal sheets were prepared and machined for a suitable size. The plates were grooved with 0.8 mm width and 3 mm depth FSP. An automatic vertical milling machine used for processing, as shown in Figure. 1(a). HSS tool used as a tool for FSP. The tool without pin was fitted to compact the powder into a squared groove to prevent the powder from escaping out and other tool with a threaded cylindrical pin are used to make an FSP. Figure. 2 (a - b) shows FSP tool used in the process. The tool was machined to obtain thread cylindrical shape with a shoulder diameter of (Ø25 mm), a pin diameter of (Ø4.5 mm) and tool pin has a 3 mm length. Tool rotation speed 1060 rpm and travel speed of 42 mm/min chosen after a number of trials. With tool, tilt angle of 2 degrees. One, two and three passes performed in the processing. Figure. 3 Shows typical AMMNC after FSP.

| Table 1. Chemical composition of 6061 aluminum alloy (wt. %) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Alloy | Mg | Si | Fe | Cu | Cr | Mn | Zn | Ti | Al |
| 6061 | 0.9 | 0.62 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | Balance |

| Table 2. Mechanical properties of 6061 aluminum alloy. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Alloy | $S_Y$ (Mpa) | UTS (Mpa) | Elongation % | Hardness(HR) |
| 6061 | 110 | 207 | 16 | 40 |

| Table 3. Process parameters and their levels |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Process parameters↓ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Levels → | Post-treatment (T6) | Without (T6) |
| Type of reinforcement | $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | Gr | $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ + Gr |
| No. of passes | 1 | 2 | 3 |

After FSP, the cutting and preparation of samples performed to produce microstructural, tensile strength, hardness examinations. The micro structural observations performed at a cross section of SZ normal to the FSP direction. The samples are polished and etched with Keller’s Agent (2 ml HF (2%) + 98 ml distilled water) at room temperature for a few seconds until the desired contrast achieved. The microstructures performed via an optical microscope (model Leco LX 31-USA). The tensile specimens were prepared as per the ASTM E-8 standards to the required dimensions as shown in Figure. 4. The tensile test conducted on the computer controlled universal testing machine (model WDW-300). Hardness was measured in SZ using a hardness tester (INNOVA TEST) with a dwell time of 5 sec at SZ.
### Table 4. Taguchi matrix (L18)

| Exp. No. | Treatment | Type of reinforcement | No. of passes |
|----------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1        | T6        | Al₂O₃                 | 1            |
| 2        | T6        | Al₂O₃                 | 2            |
| 3        | T6        | Al₂O₃                 | 3            |
| 4        | T6        | Gr                    | 1            |
| 5        | T6        | Gr                    | 2            |
| 6        | T6        | Gr                    | 3            |
| 7        | T6        | Al₂O₃ + Gr            | 1            |
| 8        | T6        | Al₂O₃ + Gr            | 2            |
| 9        | T6        | Al₂O₃ + Gr            | 3            |
| 10       | Without T6| Al₂O₃                 | 1            |
| 11       | Without T6| Al₂O₃                 | 2            |
| 12       | Without T6| Al₂O₃                 | 3            |
| 13       | Without T6| Gr                    | 1            |
| 14       | Without T6| Gr                    | 2            |
| 15       | Without T6| Gr                    | 3            |
| 16       | Without T6| Al₂O₃ + Gr            | 1            |
| 17       | Without T6| Al₂O₃ + Gr            | 2            |
| 18       | Without T6| Al₂O₃ + Gr            | 3            |

#### 2.2. Thermal treatments

The thermal treatments consist of two steps. The first is a solution treatment and the second one is the aging treatment. The solution treatment performed to dissolve all the precipitates present in the material to make the alloying elements available for subsequent controlled precipitation during aging. The treatments made at 520°C for 45 min as suggested in the literature [21-23] in a heat treatment electrical furnace with a power of 12kW. The samples quenched in water to obtain a final higher hardness. In fact, the fast cooling avoids the precipitation of intermetallic compounds during this stage, ensuring a better result of the aging. After the solution, the samples lifted for a week -5°C. The aging treatment was carried out at 175°C for 8 hours and performed in dryer (1.1 kW) furnace.

![Figure 2](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 2.** (a) Dimension of the tool used in FSP (b) Typical tool used in process.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Microstructure

Figure 5(a - b) shows typical micrographs of the microstructure of the AA6061 Al alloy base metal (BM) before and after T6 heat treatment respectively. In both, the microstructure of BM consists of rough elongated α-Al primary grains with coarse Mg$_2$Si particles due to rolling at which the plate has been subjected. Meanwhile, T6 treatment dissolves most of the Mg$_2$Si particles within the matrix.

Figure 6(a-c) shows microstructure of stir zones in composite samples produced via one to three passes respectively. Base metal AA6061 structure destroyed and precipitates were uniform homogeneously distributed throughout the SZ. The stirring action of the FSP tool resulted in the fragmentation of Mg$_2$Si particles, thereby causing more refinement. Within the SZ, a fine grain structure observed; due to the
dynamic recrystallization (DR) caused via severe plastic deformation (SPD) and increase in temperature. Earlier researchers found that microstructural modifications were observed due to FSP [24-26] and the similar observations were observed in this investigation. As reported via other researchers, SPD and heat input produce fine grains via DR Mc Nelley, et al. [27]. Therefore, the grain size of the SZ decreased with an increase in the number of FSP pass, which is due to a larger strain extruded via higher passes. However, the multiple pass FSP with the nano-sized particles more effectively reduced the grain size of the 6061Al matrix as shown in Figure. 6(a-c).

**Figure 6.** Stir zone microstructures of samples produced via FSP and without powder at condition (1060 rpm and 42 mm/min) and after (a) 1st Pass (b) 2nd Pass (c) 3rd Pass.

In AA6061/ Al2O3 nano surface composite, according to the nature of metal flow in the stirring zone during FSP, nonhomogeneous dispersion and agglomeration of Al2O3 nanoparticles formed at the SZ for specimens produced at first and second passes with the change direction of rotational speed of FSP as shown in Figures. 7(a, b). This is because of the limited amount of metal flow and low plasticity at first and second passes. Further, clustering of particles is attributed to less amount of heat generation between tool shoulder and the sheet metal, which is insufficient to cause a considerable amount of metal flow around the pin. During the third pass of FSP, the accumulated clusters of Al2O3 nanoparticles were refined and well distributed at the stirring zone as shown in Figure. 7 (c).

**Figure 7.** Stir zone microstructures of samples produced via FSP of AA6061/ Al2O3 at condition (1060 rpm and 42 mm/min) and after (a) 1st Pass (b) 2nd Pass (c) 3rd Pass.

With AA 6061/Gr nano surface composite, Figure. 8 shows the effect of pass a number on the distribution of graphite nanoparticles during FSP. It was evidenced that, the almost uniform and homogeneous distribution of graphite particles over the matrix surface occurred at third pass more than the first and second pass. Graphite reinforcement procedures a rich film of lubricant during FSP which helps in the decrease of shear stress to be transferred to the matrix and thus increases the plastic deformation just below the surface. These results are in similar with the previous researches done via Prakash, et al. [28]. This continuous solid graphite film uniformly distributed as appeared in Figure. 10(h, j).
Figure 8. Microstructures of SZ for samples produced via FSP of AA 6061/ Gr at condition (1060 rpm and 42 mm/min) and after Al₂O₃ after (a) 1ᵗʰ Pass (b) 2ⁿᵈ Pass (c) 3ʳᵈ Pass.

With hybrid AA 6061/Gr/ Al₂O₃ nano surface composite and due to high thermal conductivity of graphite, the softening effect counteracted via the existence of hard Al₂O₃ abrasive particles. Figure 9(a-c) reveals the OM images of AA 6061 strengthened with hybrid graphite and Al₂O₃ processed at multi-pass FSP. It was observed that, non-homogenous dispersion of Al₂O₃ particles take place, however, the graphite particles are uniformly distributed. Figure 10 shows typical microstructures of all samples produced via FSP after heat treatment (T6). It was observed that, Post weld solution treatment produces a super wet solid solution throughout the weld and the following aging treatment led to homogeneous re-precipitation leading higher hardness.

Figure 9. Microstructures of samples produced via FSP of AA6061/ Al₂O₃/Gr at condition (1060 rpm and 42 mm/min) and after (a) 1ˢᵗ Pass (b) 2ⁿᵈ Pass (c) 3ʳᵈ Pass.

Figure 10. Microstructures of samples produced via FSP at condition (1060 rpm and 42 mm/min) and after heat treatment (T6).
3.2. Mechanical properties

The Rockwell's hardness tests were conducted on the static tests specimens, at intervals of 5 mm along the mid-plane of the sheets. The values of a hardness of specimens at SZ listed in table 5. From the results, it was observed that all samples that exposures to post heat treatment have a significant increase in hardness. This could be attributed to the fine precipitates formed after artificial aging (T6) as well as the grain refining effect. Throughout solution treatment, produced a dissolution of the precipitates in the matrix and form super saturated solid solution upon cooling. These results are in similar to the previous researches done via [19, 29]. In addition, due to the nature of Al2O3 particles as hardness phase, the FSPed samples of AA6061/ (Al2O3 and hybrid Al2O3 + Gr) that have a significant increase in the hardness. Moreover, an increasing a number of passes almost produced uniform distribution or homogeneous distribution of Al2O3 and Gr particles over the matrix surface especially for the third pass and hence, it exhibited a higher value of hardness.

Ultimate tensile strength (UTS) and percentage of elongations were evaluated and listed in Table 5. It was observed that, UTS of FSPed composite reduced than as-received AA6061 due to weak bonding and loss of precipitates of reinforcement to the matrix. These results are in similar with the previous research done via Aruri, et al. [30] Aruri, et al. [30]. In addition, all FSPed samples produced using alumina as reinforcement particles in matrix material before and after heat treatment have high ultimate tensile strength (213 Mpa). And also, that all the samples produced at three passes have high ultimate tensile strength. All samples fractured in the NZ and TMAZ. Farther more, due to using graphite as a solid lubricant, all samples produced via FSPed using graphite as reinforcement have lower values of elongation as shown in Figure.12.

4. Process optimization

4.1. Optimization using Taguchi method.

Taguchi’s method is applied for response optimization. Hardness, Ultimate tensile strength (UTS) and Elongation percentage were examined to optimize the processing parameters. The larger the better condition selected for all responses to maximize responses. The mean S/N ratio for two and three levels of process parameters was presented in table 6, table 7 and table 8. Based on the mean response tables of S/N ratio, optimal parameters for each parameter were identified with a larger value of S/N ratio. The optimal parameters for hardness are type of reinforcement particles of hybrid (Al2O3 + Gr), post-treatment (T6) and a number of passes (3). However for UTS, type of reinforcement particles of Al2O3, post-treatment (without treatment) and a number of passes (3). For the percentage of elongation are a type of reinforcement particles of Al2O3, post-treatment (without treatment), and a number of passes (3). From response tables, type of particles ranks first in the contribution of UTS and percentage of elongation next followed via of a number of passes and post-treatment (T6). Reinforcement ranks first and followed post-treatment (T6), and a number of passes for hardness. Figure.s 11-13 show main effects plot for S/N ratios for hardness, UTS and percentage of elongation responses respectively.

4.2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

The aim of the ANOVA used to identify the most significant parameter on the output responses and study the effect of each input parameters on the responses. "Usually, the change of the process parameter has a significant effect on the responses, when P value is smaller and F is larger"[3]. The results of the ANOVA were presented in Table 9. The type of reinforcement has 41.3% contribution in the output response for Hardness followed via post-treatment (T6) and a number of passes. The type of reinforcement has 43.44% contribution in the output response for UTS followed via a number of passes and post-treatment (T6). The type of reinforcement has 45.3% contribution in the output response for UTS then a number of passes and post-treatment (T6).
### Table 5. Output characteristic and S/N ratio

| Exp. No. | Hardness (RHf) | UTS (MPa) | Elongation% | S/N for Hardness | S/N for UTS | S/N for E% |
|----------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|
| 1        | 68.60          | 189.240   | 11.60       | 36.7265         | 45.5403     | 21.2892   |
| 2        | 61.70          | 167.500   | 2.40        | 35.8057         | 44.4803     | 7.6042    |
| 3        | 66.10          | 213.200   | 15.10       | 36.4040         | 46.5757     | 23.5795   |
| 4        | 64.50          | 100.460   | 2.90        | 36.1912         | 40.0399     | 9.2480    |
| 5        | 63.10          | 88.030    | 2.70        | 36.0006         | 38.8926     | 8.6273    |
| 6        | 65.70          | 175.000   | 12.90       | 36.1513         | 44.8608     | 22.2118   |
| 7        | 67.60          | 150.000   | 6.80        | 36.5989         | 43.5218     | 16.6502   |
| 8        | 63.70          | 171.000   | 8.95        | 36.0828         | 43.5795     | 19.0365   |
| 9        | 67.20          | 111.440   | 12.11       | 36.5474         | 40.9408     | 21.6629   |
| 10       | 66.00          | 200.000   | 20.70       | 36.3909         | 46.0206     | 26.3194   |
| 11       | 62.64          | 199.075   | 21.60       | 35.9370         | 45.9803     | 26.6891   |
| 12       | 69.72          | 199.588   | 22.20       | 36.8671         | 46.0027     | 26.9271   |
| 13       | 46.00          | 191.320   | 4.20        | 33.2552         | 45.6352     | 12.4650   |
| 14       | 43.30          | 190.923   | 4.00        | 32.7298         | 45.6172     | 12.0412   |
| 15       | 54.33          | 205.900   | 6.60        | 34.7008         | 46.2731     | 16.3909   |
| 16       | 67.60          | 91.950    | 4.40        | 36.5989         | 39.2710     | 12.8691   |
| 17       | 63.70          | 105.725   | 6.50        | 36.0828         | 40.4836     | 16.2583   |
| 18       | 67.20          | 153.000   | 8.60        | 36.5474         | 43.6938     | 18.6900   |

### Table 6. Signal to noise ratios for hardness larger is better

| Levels → Process parameters↓ | Post-treatment | Type of reinforcement | No. of passes |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1                            | 36.30          | 36.36                 | 35.96         |
| 2                            | 35.46          | 34.87                 | 35.44         |
| 3                            | 36.41          | 36.24                 |               |
| Delta                        | 0.84           | 1.54                  | 0.80          |
| Rank                         | 2              | 1                     | 3             |

### Table 7. Signal to noise ratios for UTS larger is better

| Levels → Process parameters↓ | Post-treatment | Type of reinforcement | No. of passes |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1                            | 43.16          | 45.77                 | 43.34         |
| 2                            | 44.33          | 43.55                 | 43.17         |
| 3                            | 41.92          | 44.72                 |               |
| Delta                        | 1.17           | 3.85                  | 1.55          |
| Rank                         | 3              | 1                     | 2             |
Table 8. Signal to noise ratios for percentage of elongation larger is better.

| Process parameters↓ | Post-treatment | Type of reinforcement | No. of passes |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1                   | 16.66          | 22.07                 | 16.47         |
| 2                   | 18.74          | 13.50                 | 15.04         |
| 3                   | 17.53          | 21.58                 | 6.53          |
| Delta               | 2.08           | 8.57                  | 6.53          |
| Rank                | 3              | 1                     | 2             |

Figure 11. Main effects plot for S/N ratios for hardness response.

Figure 12. Main effects plot for S/N ratios for UTS response.
5. Conclusions

The AA 6061/ (Al₂O₃, Gr, and Al₂O₃+Gr) surface composites successfully fabricated via friction stir processing. The microstructure observations showed a homogeneous distribution of particles for the third pass in all composites. Nano-scale of Al₂O₃ particles seem to be more efficient in increasing hardness and UTS. Hardness increase of SZ for FSPed samples was more pronounced with post heat treatment (T6) and with the third pass. The ANOVA results indicated that the type of particles found to be the most influential process parameter for Hardness and UTS with 41.30 % and 44.43% respectively. The analysis definite that, multi-pass FSP without a change in direction of rotational speed not only achieves a refinement in the grain size but also displays a homogeneous distribution of the reinforcement particles.
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