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Infraglenoidal scapular notching in reverse total shoulder replacement: a prospective series of 60 cases and systematic review of the literature

Patrick Sadoghi1,2*, Andreas Leithner2, Patrick Vavken3,4, Andreas Hölzer1, Josef Hochreiter5, Georg Weber5, Matthias F Pietschmann1 and Peter E Müller1

Abstract

Background: The impact of infraglenoidal scapular notching in reversed total shoulder arthroplasty (RTSA) is still controversially discussed. Our goal was to evaluate its potential influence on subjective shoulder stability and clinical outcome. We hypothesized that subjective instability and clinical outcome after implantation of RTSA correlates with objective scapular notching.

Methods: Sixty shoulders were assessed preoperatively and at minimum 2-year follow-up for active range of motion and by use of the Oxford instability score, Rowe score for instability, Constant score for pain, Constant shoulder score, DASH score. All shoulders were evaluated on anterior-posterior and axillary lateral radiographic views. These X-ray scans were classified twice by two orthopaedic surgeons with respect to infraglenoidal scapular notching according to the classification of Nerot. Notching was tested for correlation with clinical outcome scores to the evaluated notching.

Results: We found no significant correlation between infraglenoidal scapular notching and clinical outcomes after a mid-term follow-up from 24 to 60 months, but at the final follow-up of 60 months and more, we did see statistically significant, positive correlations between infraglenoidal scapular notching and the Constant pain score as well as active range of motion. At mean follow-up of 42 months (range from 24 to 96 months) we found no significant correlation between subjective instability and infraglenoidal scapular notching.

Conclusions: We conclude that patients’ subjective impression on their shoulders’ stability is not correlating with radiological signs of infraglenoidal scapular notching. Nevertheless clinical parameters are affected by infraglenoidal scapular notching, at least in the long term
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using clinical and radiological scores with a focus on the influence of infraglenoidal scapular notching and systematically reviewed the related literature.

The aim of the study was to evaluate a potential influence of infraglenoidal scapular notching on stability and clinical outcome at minimum 2-year follow-up in reversed total shoulder arthroplasty.

The first study hypothesis (H1) was, that objective infraglenoidal scapular notching correlates with subjective instability analysed by the Oxford instability score and Rowe score for instability. The second study hypothesis (H2) was, that objective infraglenoidal scapular notching correlates with clinical outcome, measured by the Constant pain score, Constant shoulder score, DASH score and range of motion (ROM).

Methods

The study protocol was approved by the responsible, local Institutional Review Board (IRB). All patients included in the present study were operated on by one single surgeon with the Delta reverse ball-and-socket prosthesis (DePuy France, Saint Priest CEDEX, France) between February 2002 and June 2007 without changes in the procedure. The indications for surgery were massive rotator cuff tears with or without massive shoulder arthritis. All patients suffered from so called “pseudopar-esis” with no active elevation of the shoulder exceeding 90 degrees, degenerative changes of the glenohumeral joint and/or massive rotator cuff tears. Patients with a minimum of 2 years clinical and radiological follow-up were included in this study. We excluded patients with acute fractures, trauma, or revision arthroplasty from this analysis. These exclusion and inclusion criteria met all patients of this study.

Patients were preoperatively assessed using the Oxford instability score [18], Rowe score for instability [18], Constant score for pain [18], Constant shoulder score [18], and active range of motion. Preoperative radiological evaluation included anterior-posterior and axillary lateral X-ray studies.

All procedures were done according to the technique described by Werner et al. [10] by one single surgeon [19] with the Delta components without changes of this procedure in the included patients. Although previous studies assumed possibilities to avoid infraglenoidal scapular notching the surgeon did not insert the meta- glene more distally with respect to the findings of Nyfel- ler et al. [6] in any of the included cases.

Postoperatively, all patients began with immediate passive rehabilitation. In the first 6 postoperative weeks, patients used continuous passive motion (CPM) as well as free movements of the fingers and elbow joint in all directions where no weights were allowed. At the beginning of the 7th week, patients moved their shoulder in all directions with light weights of a maximum of 12 pounds. After the 11th week, patients were admitted unrestricted activity in all directions and to participate in sports with no high impact to the glenohumeral joint, such as running or cycling. This rehabilitation regimen was identical for all patients.

At a minimum follow-up of 2 years (mean 45 months, range 24 to 96 months), 60 patients (27 male and 33 female) at 67 years of mean age (range, 56 to 84 years) with a mean height of 160.89 cm (range: 148 to 175) and a mean weight of 72.15 kg (range: 42 to 105) were re-examined using the same clinical scores as preoperatively and the DASH score [18,20-22].

Furthermore, all shoulders were analyzed in terms of anterior-posterior and axillary lateral radiographic views. These films were classified twice independently by two orthopaedic surgeons testing for indicators of infraglenoidal scapular notching according to the classification of Nerot [9] (Figure 1). Thereafter, infraglenoidal scapular notching was categorized as “grade 0” for “no notch”,

![Figure 1 The grade of infraglenoidal scapular notching after implantation of an inverted total shoulder prosthesis is classification by Nerot. It is divided in “grade 0” for “no notch”, “grade 1” for “small notch”, “grade 2” for “notch with condensation”, “grade 3” for “erosion up to the inferior screw”, and “grade 4” for “erosion over the inferior screw with extension under the base plate.”](image-url)
“grade 1” for “small notch”, “grade 2” for “notch with condensation”, “grade 3” for “erosion up to the inferior screw”, and “grade 4” for “erosion over the inferior screw with extension under the base plate”.

For the statistical evaluation of our findings, the radiological assessment according to Nerot et al. [9] was evaluated using a Cohen’s kappa coefficient, which is a parameter of intra-observer agreement for continuous outcomes ranging from 1 (perfect agreement) to 0 (no agreement). The correlations stated in H1 and H2 were calculated using the Spearman coefficient between notchting classified according to Nerot and the difference between postoperative and preoperative scores, except for the DASH score and the range of motion, which were recorded only postoperatively. We assumed a minimum effect size of 0.3, which is a low effect according to Cohen, to be of clinical relevance for the correlation of notchting with clinical outcomes and subjective stability. In order to be able to show such an effect size with an alpha of 5% and a power of 80% a minimum sample size of 60 patients was required.

G*Power 3 was used for sample size calculations. The SPSS version 13.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis which performed with 2-tailed, independent t tests for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney U tests for non-parametric data in the case of the preoperative and postoperative clinical scores. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be significant.

In addition, we performed a systematic online literature search of related studies in PubMed using the terms “scapular notchting”, “inverted total shoulder arthroplasty” and “reverse shoulder prosthesis”. We included and abstracted data from studies on the clinical effect of scapular notchting at a minimum follow-up of two years. We excluded papers not providing detailed data on the correlation of infraglenoidal scapular notchting with clinical outcome at a minimum follow-up of two years.

**Results**

Results of the clinical and stability scores are shown in Table 1. At a mean follow-up time of 42 months the active range of motion significantly increased in terms of a mean active anterior elevation from 43.2 to 104.5 degrees and a mean active abduction from 44.3 to 98.7 degrees (p < 0.0033 and p < 0.046). Active external rotation showed no significant difference with the values from 14.5 to 14.1 degrees at p = 0.096. There was a significant improvement in the clinical and stability scores which is reported in Table 1.

Radiological data in terms of infraglenoidal scapular notchting which had been classified according to Nerot et al. [9] are presented in table 2 and figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.

### Table 1 Comparison of preoperative clinical evaluation with postoperative outcome after implantation of an inverted total shoulder prosthesis Delta with significance levels

|                  | Preoperative analysis | At last follow-up | P- value |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Fup (24 - 90 months), n = 60 |                       |                   |          |
| Oxford instability score | 21.8 (10 - 39)        | 36.9 (23 - 48)    | p < 0.01 |
| Rowe score for instability | 50.2 (10 - 80)        | 82.1 (25 - 100)   | p < 0.05 |
| Constant pain score | 4.5 (0 - 12)          | 11.2 (2 - 15)     | p < 0.03 |
| Constant shoulder score | 32.9 (14 - 63)        | 63.4 (19 - 90)    | p < 0.02 |
| Operated side     |                       | Contralateral side|          |
| DASH score**      | 32.1 (5.8 - 69)       | 21.9 (3.3 - 64.7) | p > 0.065|

We present mean values and ranges (in parentheses) of the obtained stability scores and clinical scores.

** The DASH score is the only score, which had not been obtained preoperatively. Thereafter, we provide data in comparison to the contralateral shoulder.

### Table 2 Grade of the infraglenoidal scapular notchting after implantation of an inverted total shoulder prosthesis according to Nerot et al. 16

| Percentage | Corresponding notchting according to Nerot et al. 15 |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| MT - Fup, n = 48 |                                        |
| Grade 0 | 65%* “no notch” |
| Grade 1 | 20%* “small notch” |
| Grade 2 | 3%* “notch with condensation” |
| Grade 3 | 6%* “erosion up to the inferior screw” |
| Grade 4 | 6%* “erosion over the inferior screw with extension under the base plate” |

| Percentage |                                  |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| LT - Fup, n = 12 |                                |
| Grade 0 | 62%* “no notch” |
| Grade 1 | 38%* “small notch” |
| Grade 2 | 0%* “notch with condensation” |
| Grade 3 | 0%* “erosion up to the inferior screw” |
| Grade 4 | 0%* “erosion over the inferior screw with extension under the base plate” |

We divided these 60 patients in 48 patients with a mid-term (MT-Fup; 24-50 months) and 12 patients with a long-term follow-up (LT-Fup; 60-96 months). Infraglenoidal scapular notchting was divided in “grade 0” for “no notch”, “grade 1” for “small notch”, “grade 2” for “notch with condensation”, “grade 3” for “erosion up to the inferior screw”, and “grade 4” for “erosion over the inferior screw with extension under the base plate”.

* These percentages are calculated using the sum of four measurements in total (twice by two examiners).
Presented percentages correspond to the sum of measurements as two investigators evaluated X-rays twice resulting in 4 measurements for each patient and not to the single patients. The reliability of the radiological evaluation was evaluated by use of a Kappa coefficient of an “almost perfect” agreement with a value > 0.86.

With regard to H1 we found no significant correlation between objective infraglenoidal notching, classified by Nerot and subjective instability, measured by the Oxford instability score (p = 0.49) or the Rowe score for instability (p = 0.55). (Table 3)

For H2 we did not find any significant correlations at mid-term follow-up, ranging from 24 to 60 months, between infraglenoidal notching and objective clinical outcomes. In long-term follow-up (60 months and more) we found significant positive correlations between infraglenoidal notching and the Constant pain score (p = 0.3), and active anteversion (p < 0.01) and active external rotation (p < 0.01). These correlations are illustrated in table 3.
Systematic review of the literature

With respect to the inclusion criteria of our systematic review of the literature we included 22 studies identified by the term “scapular notching”, 134 studies identified by the term “reverse shoulder prosthesis”, and further three studies identified by the term “inverted total shoulder arthroplasty”. We excluded 155 studies with duplicates, irrelevant data of the correlation of infraglenoidal scapular notching and its correlation with clinical outcome at a minimum follow-up of 2 years. Thereafter, we present material of four studies from Lévigne et al. [5], Sirveaux et al. [8], Simovitch et al. [7], and Werner et al. [10], including data of 552 inverted total shoulder prosthesis according to our inclusion and exclusion criteria. Detailed information of these previous investigations and the authors’ results is illustrated in Table 4.
Discussion
This study assessed the correlation between objective infraglenoidal notching and subjective stability and objective clinical outcome assessment at a minimum follow-up of two years after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. Our study showed no significant correlation of the patients’ subjective instability with objective infraglenoidal scapular notching. Furthermore, we did not find significant correlations of clinical parameters and scores with infraglenoidal scapular notching in the mid term, but in long-term follow-up over 60 months we observed a significant positive correlation of the Constant pain score and active range of motion, particularly anteversion and external rotation, with infraglenoidal notching, suggesting that may result in worse clinical outcome over time.

The results from the literature review widely corroborate our findings. While the results from Levigne et al. [5], Sirveaux et al. [8], Simovitch et al. [7], and our findings proved correlations of infraglenoidal scapular notching with clinical outcome, it is still unclear how to avoid this notching. We are in line with Levigne et al. [5] and Werner et al. [10] who stated that low positioning of the glenosphere is one of the most important factors to avoid scapular notching. Some authors propose to position the baseplate flush within the inferior glenoid rim so that the glenosphere extends 4 mm beyond the glenoid inferiorly [5,10]. This bares the risk of
placement of the inferior screw below the scapular pillar or the superior screw beneath the base of the coracoid, which negatively affects the implant’s stability [5,10].

Another factor influencing scapular notching might be articular tension in the shoulder joint. Levigne et al. [5] observed less frequent notching in case of lateralized humeral cups than standard cups. They hypothesized, that thicker inserts results in higher articular and thereafter deltoid tension, which may limit arm adduction and lead to impingement and notching [5].

Various authors proposed other prosthetic designs to avoid a possible infraglenoidal scapular notching [5,6,23]. Frankle et al. [23] modified the mechanical concept of the Grammont prosthesis by lateralizing the center of its rotation. The benefit was less scapular notching but they observed a higher percentage of early baseplate fixation failures [23]. Our preference would be a humeral polyethylene cup with an asymmetric rim. These concepts have already been addressed by Nyffeller et al. [6] but there is a relatively high concern of a secondary prosthetic instability [5]. In contrast to that, Levigne et al. [5] propose to maintain the concept of the Grammont prosthesis and prevent the phenomenon of notching by different implantation devices.

Levigne et al. [5] stated that the craniocaudal position of the glenoid is essential for any possible progress of scapular notching. This is in line with Boileau et al. [1], Sirveaux et al. [16], Vanhove et al. [24], Werner et al. [10], and Nyffeller et al. [6] who demonstrated that a high placement of the glenoid implant favours scapular impingement and thereafter infraglenoidal notching in a Delta III prosthesis. According to Levigne et al. [5] a superior glenoid erosion is a predisposing factor for a too high positioning of the glenoid and therefore, they propose measuring the distance between the inferior glenoid bony rim and the lowest point of the glenosphere on a standardized anteroposterior radiograph.

The authors want to address the following potential limitations of their own results. The evaluation of radiological analysis was difficult because the notch might have been hidden by the glenosphere in case of no parallel beam to the baseplate in the frontal plane. Furthermore it might have been difficult to avoid superimposition of the ribs, especially in case of an anteverted baseplate. Next the authors only evaluated infraglenoidal scapular notching without looking at possible notching at the posterior glenoid. Furthermore, the Oxford instability score [18] and the Rowe score for instability [18] were designed to evaluate glenohumeral instability and not instability after implantation of prosthesis. Nevertheless, there are no scores to evaluate subjective instability in shoulder prosthesis and therefore these scores are most suitable.

In terms of the second study hypothesis patients with a long-term follow-up over 60 months were reported to have a significant positive correlation of the Constant

| Table 3 We correlated the grade of infraglenoidal scapular notching according to Nerot et al. after implantation of an inverted total shoulder prosthesis Delta with stability scores and clinical scores using a spearman correlation |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                 | Infraglenoidal notching according to Nerot et al. [16] | P-value                      |
|                                 | Fup (24-90 months), n = 60                     |                               |
| Change of Oxford instability score | no significant correlation | 0.49                          |
| Change of Rowe score for instability | no significant correlation | 0.55                          |
|                                 | MT-Fup (24-59 months), n = 48                   |                               |
| Change of Constant pain score     | no significant correlation                     | 0.0018                        |
| Change of Constant shoulder score | no significant correlation                     | 0.0546                        |
| Postoperative DASH score          | no significant correlation                     | 0.0819                        |
| Postoperative active anteversion  | no significant correlation                     | 0.4121                        |
| Postoperative active abduction    | no significant correlation                     | 0.4806                        |
| Postoperative external rotation   | no significant correlation                     | 0.4349                        |
|                                 | LT-Fup (60-96 months), n = 12                   |                               |
| Change of Constant pain score     | significant positive spearman correlation = 0.84 | 0.0275                        |
| Change of Constant shoulder score | no significant correlation                     | 0.8285                        |
| Postoperative DASH score          | no significant correlation                     | 0.3283                        |
| Postoperative active anteversion  | significant positive spearman correlation = 0.78 | 0.0036                        |
| Postoperative active abduction    | no significant correlation                     | 0.7238                        |
| Postoperative external rotation   | significant positive spearman correlation = 0.91 | 0.0008                        |

These correlations were calculated using mean values of the mid-term (MT-Fup) and long-term follow-up (LT-Fup) groups. Note that we found a significant correlation of notching with clinical scores after dividing in a mid-term (24-60 months) and long-term follow-up (over 60 months).
pain score, and active anteversion and active external rotation with infraglenoidal notching. We have to address, that we do not believe that the relatively small number of patients with this follow-up (n = 12) can conclusively answer this question but our interpretation seems both biomechanically credible and biologically plausible.

However, the study strength has to be emphasised that we present a relatively large number of patients who all had been operated using the same technique and had the same postoperative and rehabilitation care. All patients had been clinically and radiologically analysed in terms of their notching and we evaluated our measurements by an inter- and intraobserver reproducibility.

**Conclusions**

We conclude that patients’ subjective impression on their shoulders’ stability is not correlating with radiological signs of infraglenoidal scapular notching. Nevertheless we
could demonstrate, that clinical parameters are affected by infraglenoidal scapular notching, at least in the long term.
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