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ABSTRACT: The reaction of a cyclic disilylated bromostibine with magnesium yields a rare example of a magnesium stibide that can be silylated with trimethylchlorosilane. Reaction of the thus-obtained trisilylated stibine with potassium tert-butoxide gives a potassium stibide in a clean reaction. Attempts to obtain an antimony-containing oligosilanide did not lead to the expected compound but to another potassium stibide, which presumably forms from the initially formed silanide by a 1,2-silyl shift. The synthetic potential of the obtained stibides to serve as building blocks could be shown by the preparation of stibylated zircono- and hafnocenes.

1. INTRODUCTION

As outlined previously,1 silylated antimony compounds are not very abundant. If one looks for disilylated stibides, (R3Si)2SbM (M = Li, Na, K, and Mg), it becomes obvious that the only well-known example is (Me3Si)2SbLi, prepared first by Becker et al.2 and known as solvates with either DME or THF. Reaction of (Me3Si)2Sb with MeLi provides convenient access to the stibide, which was shown to be extremely useful for the synthesis of a number of interesting compounds.3–10 The analogous potassium stibide (Me3Si)2SbK was obtained by reaction of (Me3Si)2Sb with potassium tert-butoxide.11

Our recent study concerning oligosilylated antimony compounds1 presented us with the opportunity to prepare antimony-containing oligosilanides using the established protocol of removing trimethylsilyl groups by reaction with potassium tert-butoxide.12–14 However, as observed previously for related phosphorus chemistry,15 the products of such reactions are not silanides but stibides.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis. Recently, we could show that reaction of bromostibacyclosilane 2 with potassium graphite leads to the formation of distibine 1 (Scheme 1). It is, however, not entirely clear whether this reaction involves the intermediate formation of the respective potassium stibide or is radical by nature.16 An attempt to accomplish the same reaction with magnesium as reducing agent did not lead to the distibine but rather to respective Grignard-type magnesium stibide 3 (Scheme 1).

Although magnesium stibides are a known class of compounds, the number of reported examples is still very small.17–21 Compound 3 is not very stable, and over time in ethereal solution, it decomposes to the respective hydrostibine 4.

Freshly prepared 3, however, is an interesting nucleophilic building block. Reaction with trimethylchlorosilane gives trisilylated stibine 5 in a clean conversion. Reaction of 5 with potassium tert-butoxide led to potassium stibide 6. In an attempt to couple stibide 6 to distibine 1, it was treated with 1,2-dibromoethane. The resulting product was, however, not distibine 1 but bromostibine 2, which presumably was formed by metal halogen exchange. This seems to support the involvement of stibinyl radicals16 in the formation of 1,14

In an attempt to obtain a 1,2-dianionic species,22,23 we subjected a solution of stibide 6 in benzene to the reaction with an additional equivalent of potassium tert-butoxide in the presence of 2 equiv of 18-crown-6. NMR spectroscopic detection of complete conversion of 6, did, however, not indicate formation of the desired dipotassium compound. Subjecting the dark red reactive crystalline solid that could be isolated to single-crystal XRD analysis showed it to be a trimetalated Sb7 cage coordinated by three K-18-crown-6 units.1

Reaction of distibine 1 with potassium tert-butoxide was carried out to ascertain whether a silanide containing a distibine might be obtained. Unfortunately, in the course of the reaction not a trimethylsilyl group was cleaved off, but the Sb–Sb bond was split (Scheme 2).

Tris(trimethylsilyl)silylated stibine 7, which can also be obtained from 2,14 was treated with potassium tert-butoxide in order to obtain an antimony containing silanide. It was expected that a trimethylsilyl group would be abstracted either
from the cyclic part or the tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl group attached to antimony. The $^{29}$Si NMR spectrum of obtained compound 8 showed eight different silicon resonances, indicating attack of the alkoxide onto a trimethylsilyl group attached to the cyclosilane unit. However, none of the resonances displayed the typical upfield shift characteristic for anionic silicon atoms. It was therefore concluded that the tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl group underwent a subsequent 1,2 shift to give stibide 8 (Scheme 3). An attempt to silylate 8 with tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl chloride was not successful. Reaction of 8 with 1,2-dibromoethane gave bromostibine 9 (Scheme 3) in the same way as 2 was obtained from 6.

The number of known stibylated group 4 metallocenes is quite small.$^{25–31}$ The few known titanocenes were obtained by
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**Scheme 1. Formation of Stibides 3 and 6 from Bromostibine 2**
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**Scheme 2. Stibide Formation by Sb–Sb Bond Cleavage of 1 with Potassium tert-Butoxide**
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**Scheme 3. Formation of Potassium Stibide 8 via a Transient Silanide**
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employing Cp₂Ti(btmsa) in reactions with dibistines or dihydristibines. Tilley and Waterman studied stibylated Cp₅P=SbHF compounds. Reaction of Cp₅P=SbHCl with LiSbMes₂ was found to give a distibylated complex whereas a stibylidene complex was obtained from the reaction of Cp₅P=Sb(Me)OTf with LiSb(H)Mes. That a Hf-Sb bond can even be formed in a σ-bond metathesis reaction was shown for the conversion of Cp₅P=Sb(CH)H with MesSbH₂. The only known stibyl zirconocene was prepared by reaction of Ph₂SbLi with Cp₂ZrCl₂. The utility of stibides for Sb bond inversion to be strongly dependent on substituent electronic effects. Studying the pyramidal inversion barrier for Sb(SiH₃)₃ is comparably low (<47 kJ mol⁻¹) and SbMe₃ (E⁻⁻ = 228 kJ mol⁻¹) are clearly configurationally stable, whereas the calculated inversion barrier for Sb(SiH₃)₃ is comparably low (E⁻⁻ = 92 kJ mol⁻¹) because of stabilization of the planar transition state by electrostatic and hyperconjugational effects. Studying the pyramidal inversion processes of antimony atoms incorporated into the stibacyclopentasilane unit showed that the barrier for compound 5 is as low as 47 kJ mol⁻¹. For such a small barrier, the inversion process is certainly expected to be fast at ambient temperature.

The trimethylsilyl resonances for the metalated stibides are downfield of the ²⁹Si NMR resonances of the SiMe₂ groups to values between -11.5 and -17.8 ppm. The second group of compounds, with more electropositive substituents (silyl groups and metals), lacks configurational stability of the antimony atom and includes stibides 3, 6, and 8, stibylated metallocenes 10 and 11, trisilylated stibenes 5 and 7, and distibene 1. For these compounds, a fast pyramidal inversion at the Sb atom renders the trimethylsilyl groups magnetically equivalent on the NMR time scale. This behavior is consistent with a recent computational study that showed pyramidal inversion to be strongly dependent on substituent electro-negativity and sterics. It was shown that SbH₃ (E⁻⁻ = 182 kJ mol⁻¹) and SbMe₃ (E⁻⁻ = 228 kJ mol⁻¹) are clearly configurationally stable, whereas the calculated inversion barrier for Sb(SiH₃)₃ is comparably low (E⁻⁻ = 92 kJ mol⁻¹) because of stabilization of the planar transition state by electrostatic and hyperconjugational effects. Studying the pyramidal inversion processes of antimony atoms incorporated into the stibacyclopentasilane unit showed that the barrier for compound 5 is as low as 47 kJ mol⁻¹. For such a small barrier, the inversion process is certainly expected to be fast at ambient temperature.
3, the respective resonance can be found at $-10.8$ ppm. For metallocenes 10 and 11, the trimethylsilyl resonances at $-8.1$ ppm are in the same range as those found for silylated compounds 5 and 7. The SiMe$_2$ resonances of the second group are all located between $-19.0$ and $-23.3$ ppm.

The strongly asymmetric structure of compounds like bromostibine 9 causes a large number of $^{29}$Si NMR resonances. Straightforward assignment of the $^{29}$Si NMR spectrum for such compounds was not possible; therefore, the use of 2D spectroscopic methods proved useful, in particular hetero-
nuclear correlation spectroscopy. Figures S1 and S2 show typical $^1$H–$^{29}$Si and $^1$H–$^{13}$C correlation spectra. The respective signal assignments are given in Table S3. The 2D experiments of 9 also show overlapping of two signals of trimethylsilyl groups (signals 1 and 4) in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum and of the signals of a dimethylsilyl and a trimethylsilyl group (signals 2 and 6) in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. For complete signal assignment, additional 1D-NOESY experiments were carried out. Figure S3 shows the structure of 9 with the signal assignment and the NOESY results.

For stibides 6 and 8, the $^{29}$Si NMR spectra in ethereal solvents are different. In particular, the signals corresponding to the quaternary silicon atoms respond strongly to different coordinating solvents (Figures 1 and 2). For 8 in Et$_2$O, the signals are in a range of $\delta = -122$ to $-126$ ppm, whereas in the THF solution, the signals are clustered in the range of $\delta \approx -128$ to $-129$ ppm. Thus, a surprising $\Delta \delta$ of some 6.0 ppm was observed for different ethereal solvents. A comparison of solutions of 6 in THF and DME reveals a quite similar result. The signals for the quaternary silicon atoms show a difference of $\Delta \delta = 4.6$ ppm.

Crystal Structure Analysis. Molecular structures of antimony compounds 3 (Figure 3), 4 (Figure 4), 5 (Figure 5), 6 (Figure 6), 10 (Figure 7), and 11 (Figure 8) in the solid state could be determined by means of single-crystal XRD (Tables S1 and S2). A compilation of the obtained data is given in Table 2.

Magnesium compound 3 (Figure 3) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1(1)/c$ with two molecules in the asymmetric unit. It constitutes the first solved structure with a Sb–Mg bond, and the distance of 2.7806(13) Å between the two atoms is reasonable. Potassium compound 6 (Figure 6) crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ with two half molecules in the asymmetric unit. Each molecule consists of two stibacyclopentasilane rings and two potassium atoms bridging the antimony atoms. One DME molecule is coordinating to each potassium atom, and for one of the two molecules, the DME is disordered in the ethylene bridge. The potassium–antimony distance is 3.5320(9) Å, in good accordance to the few comparable structures known in the literature ($3.566^{13}$, $3.686^{14}$, and $3.618^{14}$ Å), although none of these displays the structural element of a four-membered ring. This Sb–K–Sb–K ring is planar, whereas the stibacyclopenta-silane rings both engage in envelope conformations with one of the SiMe$_2$ groups on the flap. The same conformational preference was also found for the stibacyclopentasilane units of 3–5. For 4 (Figure 4), which crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2/n$, the hydrogen on the antimony was located and found to be split over two positions. The Sb–H distance is with 1.71 Å, in good agreement to comparable published
The Si−Sb distances in all compounds range from 2.56 to 2.60 Å and are slightly longer than the mean range of 2.56 Å obtained from a search in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database. In trimethylsilylated compound 5 (Figure 5), which crystallized in the monoclinic space group P2(1) with two molecules in the asymmetric unit, no differences between exo- and endocyclic Sb−Si distances could be observed.

Antimony group 4 compounds 10 (Figure 7) and 11 (Figure 8) both crystallize in the orthorhombic space group Pbca. 10 represents the first example of a solid-state structure with a Zr−Sb bond; although three comparable examples of Hf−Sb compounds are already known,28−30 none of them contains a Hf−Sb−Si unit. The bond length of 2.8871(9) Å for Sb−Hf is comparable to the two reported ones.28,29

NMR spectroscopic indication of compounds with or without configurational stability can be well-correlated with the pyramidality of the antimony atom, which can be expressed in terms of the sum of angles around antimony. Compounds with configurational stability such as 2, 4, and the related tert-butoxystibine1 feature more pyramidalized stibines with values between 293 and 298°. The respective compounds with more electropositive substituents show more flattened antimony atoms. The sum of angles around antimony ranges from 317° for a trimethylsilyl substituent (5) to values around 336.4° for stibylated metallocenes 10 and 11 (Table 2).

3. CONCLUSIONS

The current account is an extension of our recent study concerning oligosilanylated antimony compounds.1 It deals mainly with metalated examples of a 2,2,5,5-tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)-3,3,4,4-tetramethylstibacyclopentasilane. The
reaction of respective bromostibine 2 with magnesium occurs in a Grignard-type reaction, leading to a clean reaction to a stibyl magnesium bromide (3). The compound was isolated as etherate and can be used as nucleophilic building block that is easily silylated with trimethylchlorosilane. The obtained trimethylsilylated stibine 5 reacts with potassium tert-butoxide to yield cleanly a potassium stibide (6). Another potassium stibide (8) was formed in the reaction of the tris-(trimethylsilyl)silylated derivative of the stibacyclopentasilane with potassium tert-butoxide. We assume that the initial attack of the alkoxide occurs on one of the trimethylsilyl groups of the ring. In a 1,2-silyl shift, the tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl group migrates to the anionic silicon atom, leading to the formation of a stibide. Similar reactivity is already known for oligosilylated phosphines,15,38 and likely reflects the increased ability to stabilize a negative charge of antimony compared to silicon.

The potential of the obtained stibides to serve as building blocks for stibylated early transition metal compounds could be demonstrated by the synthesis of stibylated zircono- and hafnocenes (10, 11).

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. All reactions involving air-sensitive compounds were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon using either Schlenk techniques or a glovebox. All solvents were dried using a column-based solvent purification system.59 Compounds 1, 2, and 7 were prepared according to previously published procedures.1 All other chemicals were obtained from different suppliers and used without further purification.

1H (300 MHz), 13C (75.4 MHz), and 29Si (59.3 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian INOVA 300 spectrometer. If not noted otherwise, for all samples benzene-d6 was used or, in the case of reaction samples, they were measured with a water-d6 capillary in order to provide an external lock frequency signal. To compensate for the low isotopic abundance of 29Si, the INEPT pulse sequence was used for the amplification of the signal.40,41 Elemental analysis was carried out using a Heraeus VARIO ELEMENTAR instrument.

X-ray Structure Determination. For XRD analyses, the crystals were mounted onto the tip of glass fibers, and data collection was performed with a BRUKER-AXS SMART APEX CCD diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation (0.71073 Å). The data were reduced to F2, and corrected for absorption effects with SAINTII and SADABS,43 respectively. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares method (SHELXL97).44 If not noted otherwise, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were located in calculated positions to correspond to standard bond lengths and angles. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures of compounds 3–6, 10, and 11 reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as CCDC-1027151 (3), -1027152 (4), -1008599 (5), -1027153 (6), -1027155 (10), and -1027154 (11) and can be obtained free of charge at http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/products/csd/request/.

**Table 2. Compilation of Structural Data Derived by Single-Crystal XRD Analysis**

| compound | d_{c–X} (Å) | d_{Si–X} (Å) | d_{Si–Si} (Å) | d_{Si–Si} (Å) | ζ<sub>SiSi</sub> (deg) | ζ<sub>SiX</sub> (deg) | ζ<sub>X</sub> (deg) |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 3 (X = Mg) | 2.7806(13) | 2.5883(12) | 2.332(2) | 2.3495(14) | 315.28(3) | 99.82(3) | 109.08(4) |
| 4 (X = H) | 1.71(4) | 2.5919(6) | 2.3442(8) | 2.3538(7) | 293 | 98.59(2) | 98.3(5) |
| 5 (X = Si) | 2.570(2) | 2.582(3) | 2.345(4) | 2.357(4) | 317.36(9) | 100.26(8) | 110.80(9) |
| 6 (X = K) | 3.5320(9) | 2.5564(11) | 2.383(11) | 2.395(11) | n.a. | 97.78(3) | 134.08(2) |
| 10 (X = Zr) | 2.9105(10) | 2.582(2) | 2.304(8) | 2.324(6) | 336.47(6) | 102.44(6) | 116.30(5) |
| 11 (X = Hf) | 2.8871(9) | 2.576(2) | 2.303(5) | 2.345(8) | 336.38(8) | 102.41(8) | 116.19(6) |
and KO control after 5 h of stirring showed complete conversion to dibromoethane (50 mg, 0.265 mmol) in THF (3 mL). Reaction mixture was added dropwise to a solution of 1,2-THF (4 mL) and stirred for 12 h (reaction control by NMR). The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in THF (2 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 3 h (reaction control by NMR). The solvent was removed, and the residue was dissolved in pentane (2 mL) with a few drops of Et$_2$O. After 48 h at −37 °C, red crystalline 6 (46 mg, 91%) was obtained. $^1$H NMR (δ ppm): 3.20 (q, $J_{H-H} = 7.0$ Hz, Et$_2$O), 1.05 (t, $J_{H-H} = 7.0$ Hz, Et$_2$O), 0.61 (s, 3H, SiMe$_2$), 0.58 (s, 3H, SiMe$_2$), 0.57 (s, 3H, SiMe$_2$), 0.54 (s, 3H, SiMe$_2$), 0.44 (s, 9H, SiMe$_2$), 0.40 (s, 9H, SiMe$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (δ ppm): 4.94 (Si(SiMe$_3$)$_3$), 3.61 (SiMe$_3$), 3.33 (SiMe$_3$), 3.03 (SiMe$_3$), 0.47 (SiMe$_3$), 0.30 (SiMe$_3$), 0.16 (SiMe$_3$), 0.12 (SiMe$_3$). $^{29}$Si NMR (δ ppm): −9.3 (SiMe$_3$), −14.0 (SiMe$_3$), −14.7 (SiMe$_3$), −16.2 (SiMe$_3$), −18.8 (SiMe$_3$), −19.6 (SiMe$_3$), −122.4 (Si$_2$), −124.4 (Si$_2$), −126.4 (Si$_2$).

1-Bromo-2,5,5-Tris(trimethylsilyl)-2-[tri(trimethylsilyl)silyl]-1-stibatetramethylcyclopentasilane (9). KOT-Bu (26 mg, 0.220 mmol) and 7 (185 mg, 0.231 mmol) were dissolved in THF (4 mL) and stirred for 12 h (reaction control by NMR). The reaction mixture was added dropwise to a solution of 1,2-dibromoethane (50 mg, 0.265 mmol) in THF (3 mL). Reaction control after 5 h of stirring showed complete conversion to 9. The solvent was removed and the remaining solid extracted three times with pentane (5 mL each). The solution was concentrated to 2 mL and stored for 15 h at −37 °C, affording red crystalline 9 (149 mg, 81%). Mp: 140–142 °C. $^1$H NMR (δ ppm): 0.52 (s, 12H, SiMe$_3$), 0.49 (s, 3H, SiMe$_2$), 0.47 (s, 9H, SiMe$_2$), 0.39 (s, 27H, SiMe$_2$), 0.33 (s, 9H, SiMe$_2$), 0.32 (s, 3H, SiMe$_2$), 0.30 (s, 3H, SiMe$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (δ ppm): 5.49 (SiMe$_3$), 4.47 (Si(SiMe$_3$)$_3$), 3.35 (SiMe$_3$), 1.07 (SiMe$_3$), 0.94 (SiMe$_3$), 0.39 (SiMe$_3$), 0.13 (SiMe$_3$). $^{29}$Si NMR (δ ppm): 0.7 (SiMe$_3$), −0.2 (SiMe$_3$), −8.2 (SiMe$_3$), −9.3 (SiMe$_3$), −11.5 (SiMe$_3$), −14.8 (SiMe$_3$), −93.9 (Si$_2$), −100.6 (Si$_2$), −121.7 (Si$_2$). Anal. Calc for C$_{22}$H$_{66}$BrSbSi$_{11}$: 1431.41; C: 31.41, H: 7.91. Found: C: 31.66, H: 8.02.

Bis(cyclopentadienyl)(2,2,4,4-tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)-1-stibatetramethylcyclopentasilan-1-yl)zirconium Chloride (10). KOT-Bu (12 mg, 0.111 mmol) and 5 (70 mg, 0.106 mmol) were dissolved in THF (2 mL) and stirred for 12 h (reaction control by NMR). The reaction mixture was cooled to −37 °C, and Cp,ZrCl$_2$ (31 mg, 0.106 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added slowly dropwise. After stirring for another 12 h complete conversion was achieved. Removal of solvent, treatment of the residue with pentane, filtration over Celite, and again removal of solvent caused the formation of some hydrostibine 4.

Crystallization from Et$_2$O afforded 10 (52 mg, 58%) as dark green crystals. Mp: 170–172 °C. $^1$H NMR (δ ppm): 6.01 (s, 10H, Cp), 0.50 (s, 36H, SiMe$_3$), 0.44 (s, 12H, SiMe$_3$). $^{13}$C NMR (δ ppm): 111.30 (Cp), 3.53 (SiMe$_3$), −1.13 (SiMe$_3$). $^{29}$Si NMR (δ ppm): −8.1 (SiMe$_3$), −20.4 (SiMe$_3$), −103.7 (Si$_2$).
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