Plant Growth and Photosynthetic Characteristics of Mesembryanthemum crystallinum Grown Aeroponically under Different Blue- and Red-LEDs
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Mesembryanthemum crystallinum is a succulent, facultative crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plant. Plant growth and photosynthetic characteristics were studied when M. crystallinum plants were grown indoor under light emitting diodes (LED)-lighting with adequate water supply. Plants were cultured aeroponically for a 16-h photoperiod at an equal photosynthetic photon flux density of 350 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ under different red:blue LED ratios: (1) 100:0 (0B); (2) 90:10 (10B); (3) 80:20 (20B); (4) 70:30 (30B); (5) 50:50 (50B); and (6) 100:0 (100B). M. crystallinum grown under 10B condition had the highest shoot and root biomass and shoot/root ratio while those grown under 0B condition exhibited the lowest values. Compared to plants grown under 0B condition, all other plants had similar but higher total chlorophyll (Chl) and carotenoids (Car) contents and higher Chl a/b ratios. However, there were no significant differences in Chl/Car ratio among all plants grown under different red- and blue-LEDs. Photosynthetic light use efficiency measured by photochemical quenching, non-photochemical quenching, and electron transport rate, demonstrated that plants grown under high blue-LED utilized more light energy and had more effective heat dissipation mechanism compared to plants grown under 0B or lower blue-LED. Statistically, there were no differences in photosynthetic O₂ evolution rate, light-saturated CO₂ assimilation rate (Aₙ₅), and light-saturated stomatal conductance (gₙ₅) among plants grown under different combined red- and blue-LEDs but they were significantly higher than those of 0B plants. No statistically differences in total reduced nitrogen content were found among all plants. For the total soluble protein, all plants grown under different combined red- and blue-LEDs had similar values but they were significantly higher than that of 0B plants. However, plants grown under higher blue-LEDs had significant higher ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase (Rubisco) protein than those plants grown under lower blue-LED. High Aₙ₅ and gₙ₅ but very low CAM acidity of all M. crystallinum plants during light period, imply that this facultative CAM plant performed C₃ photosynthesis when supplied with adequate water. Results of this study suggest that compared to red- or blue-LED alone, appropriate combination of red- and blue-LED lighting enhanced plant growth and photosynthetic capacities of M. crystallinum.
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INTRODUCTION

*Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* (common name: ice plant) is a succulent, facultative crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plants native to Europe and South Africa. Large bladder cells covering the leaf of *M. crystallinum* are enlarged epidermal cells that function to reserve water and to store accumulated salt (Adams et al., 1998). When grown under unstressed conditions, stomata of *C₃* and *C₄* plants open in the light and close in darkness. However, for facultative CAM plants, their stomata shift from diurnal to nocturnal opening during stress-induced shifts from *C₃* to CAM metabolism (Osmond, 1978). *M. crystallinum* plants have been commonly studied for switching between *C₃* photosynthesis and CAM photosynthesis in response to environmental stresses including high salinity (Winter et al., 1982; Abd El-Gawad and Shehata, 2014), drought (Adams et al., 1998; Bohnert and Cushman, 2000), anoxia and exposure of roots to low temperatures (Winter and Holtum, 2007), high temperature (Kluge and Ting, 1978).

The edible leaves of the *M. crystallinum* plants contain high nutritional values and were successfully grown inside greenhouses in Japan and Taiwan under cool temperature. The growth of these halophytic plants were mostly studied in different treatments of soil (Winter and Holtum, 2007). To enhance local vegetable production, recently we have successfully grown them in land scarce Singapore using an indoor aeroponic farming system with adequate water supply under light emitting diodes (LEDs) lighting. However, there is very little work done on its photosynthetic characteristics when *M. crystallinum* is grown under LED lighting. Plant growth and photosynthesis is strongly influenced by blue or red light (Bula et al., 1991; Yorio et al., 2001; Darko et al., 2014; He et al., 2015; Hernández and Kubota, 2016; Ooi et al., 2016; Shengxin et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). It was observed that lettuce and other dicotyledonous plants developed excessive hypocotyl and stem elongation, leaf extension, and reduced chlorophyll (Chl) when grown under red-LED as the sole source of irradiation. The abnormal morphological characteristics were eliminated when red-LED was supplemented with blue light (Bula et al., 1991; Yorio et al., 2001; Nhut et al., 2003; Li et al., 2013; Hernández and Kubota, 2016; Shengxin et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). Yield of lettuce, spinach, and radish crops grown under appropriate combination of red and blue light was enhanced compared to red light alone (Yorio et al., 2001). Similar results were found in strawberry plantlets (Nhut et al., 2003), rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) plantlets in vitro (Li et al., 2013), rapeseed rosette leaves (Shengxin et al., 2016), and cucumber seedlings (Hernández and Kubota, 2016; Trouwborst et al., 2016). In a recent developed highly energy-efficient laser-illuminated growth chamber, Ooi et al. (2016) also showed that the application of diffused single-wavelength red and blue laser light is adequate for the growth and development of *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

The use of red-LED light to drive photosynthesis has been widely accepted due to (i) red wavelengths (600–700 nm) are efficiently absorbed by photosynthetic pigments and (ii) early LEDs were red close to an absorption peak of Chl (Sager and McFarlane, 1997). However, plants grown under blue light have higher Chl a/b ratio, greater cytochrome (Cyt) f and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase (Rubisco) contents than plants grown under red light (López-Juez and Hughes, 1995). Compared to lettuce plants grown under green- and red-LED, high intensity of blue-LED enhanced Rubisco content (Muneer et al., 2014). Growth of cucumber under red light alone, in the absence of blue light, led to dysfunction of the photosynthetic machinery, in particular a loss of photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII), the maximum photosynthetic capacity per leaf area, and the Chl content. Only 7% blue light was sufficient to prevent any overt dysfunction in photosynthesis (Hogewoning et al., 2010). Recent studies reported that high intensity blue-LEDs promote plant growth by controlling stomatal movement and enhanced the expression of PSII-core dimer and PSII-core monomer (Muneer et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016), maintaining the integrity of chloroplast proteins (Muneer et al., 2014).

Stomatal movements can be affected by various environmental factors including light. Sharkey and Raschke (1981) observed that stomatal opening was most responsive to light in the blue region of the spectrum than other wavelengths. Zeiger and Hepler (1977) reported that blue light was nearly 10 times more effective than red light to induce opening of stomata. Using the obligate CAM bromeliad, *Aechmea* "Maua,“ a recent study has shown that of the different light treatments (blue, green, and red light), imposed low-fluence blue light was a key determinant in regulating stomatal responses (Ceusters et al., 2014). It was previously reported that stomata isolated from *M. crystallinum* operating in the *C₃* mode responded to blue but not to red light (Mawson and Zaugg, 1994). Tallman et al. (1997) have also shown that the stomatal response of *M. crystallinum* in the *C₃* mode depended solely on the guard cell response to blue light. It has been hypothesized that the xanthophyll, zeaxanthin play an important role in blue light photoreception of guard cells. Changes in the regulation of the xanthophyll cycle in guard cells parallel the shift from diurnal to nocturnal stomatal opening associated with CAM induction (Tallman et al., 1997).

The stimulation of stomatal opening by blue light may contribute to the enhancement of productivity (Sharkey and Raschke, 1981; Karlsson, 1986; Hogewoning et al., 2010; Darko et al., 2014; Muneer et al., 2014). Goins et al. (1997) also reported that in wheat, photosynthetic rates were higher in leaves under red-LED combined with blue light. They suggested that the increase in photosynthetic rates may resulted from the increased stomatal conductance (gₛ). However, Yorio et al. (2001) reported that photosynthetic rates were not increased when stomatal opening was stimulated under red-LED supplemented with blue light. In their study with lettuce plants, Kim et al. (2004) concluded that gₛ was responsive to spectral quality during growth and, in the short-term, was not directly coupled to dry matter accumulation. Wang et al. (2016) found that photosynthetic rate of lettuce leaves increased with increasing blue to red-LED ratio until 1, associated with increased gₛ and stomatal density. However, shoot dry weight (DW) increased with decreasing blue- to red-LED ratio. The increase of shoot DW mainly resulted from increasing leaf number and leaf area. Similar trends were also found in rice (Matsuda et al., 2004), cucumber (Hogewoning et al., 2010; Hernández and
Kubota, 2016) and rapeseed rosette leaves (Shengxin et al., 2016). Therefore, the effect of blue light and the blue- to red-LED ratio on leaf photosynthesis and dry matter productivity remain unclear and the effects may be species-dependent.

The aim of the present work was to investigate the growth, photosynthetic pigments, photosynthetic light utilization and gas exchange and CAM acidity, total reduced nitrogen (TRN), soluble protein and Rubisco protein of *M. crystallinum* grown under different combinations of blue- and red-LEDs using an indoor aeroponic farming system. The findings of this project help advance existing understanding of *M. crystallinum* plant physiology under soilless culture and artificial lightings. It also provides the growers with basic scientific information to enhance productivity of *M. crystallinum* at low production cost through the cost effective LED lighting.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Culture Methods**

Seeds of *M. crystallinum* were germinated on filter papers and inserted into polyurethane cubes for a month of incubation under dim natural sunlight for 4 weeks. The seedlings were subsequently transplanted onto the indoor vertical aeroponic farming systems. The plants were then cultured with a 16-h photoperiod of light and exposed to an equal photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 350 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ under each of the six red:blue LED ratios (i.e., PPFD ratios) (1) 100:0; (2) 90:10; (3) 80:20; (4) 70:30; (5) 50:50; and (6) 0:100, which were named 0B, 10B, 20B, 30B, 50B, and 100B, respectively. All LEDs were designed by our research team and they were tailor-made by the local contractor (Dissis LED Lighting Technology, Singapore) in collaboration with their business partner from China. The spectra of the six red- and blue-LEDs are shown in Figures 1A,B.

**Measurement of FW and DW of Root and Shoot**

After 21 days of transplanting, four *M. crystallinum* plants under each LED treatment were harvested. The polyurethane cube was carefully removed from the roots. The shoot and root were blotted dry and weighed separately to determine their fresh weight (FW). All samples were then wrapped individually in aluminum foil, dried at 80°C for 4 days before measuring their DW.

**Measurements of Photosynthetic Pigments**

Fresh leaf sections of 0.1 g cut from fully expanded leaves were soaked in 5 ml of N,N-dimethylformamide (Sigma-Aldrich) in the dark for 48 h, at 4°C. The absorbance was read at 480, 647, and 664 nm, using a spectrophotometer (UV-2550 Shimadzu, Japan). The contents of Chl *a*, Chl *b*, and carotenoids (Car) were calculated according to Wellburn (1994).

**Measurement of qP, NPQ, and ETR**

Leaf discs (1 cm diameter) were punctured from the leaves previously used for the determination of photosynthetic pigments and placed on moist filter papers in Petri dishes. They were pre-darkened for 15 min prior to measurements. Via the Imaging-PAM Chlorophyll Fluorometer (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany), images of fluorescence emission were digitized within the camera and transmitted via a Firewire interface (400 Mb/s) (Firewire-1394.com, Austin, TX, USA) to a personal computer for storage and analysis. Measurements and calculations of electron transport rate (ETR), photochemical quenching (qP), and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) was determined according to He et al. (2011).

**Measurement of CO$_2$-Saturated Photosynthetic O$_2$ Evolution Rate**

For facultative CAM plants, reliable determinations of light-use characteristics of leaf were preferably carried out at saturated CO$_2$ concentration. The light response curves of O$_2$ evolution rate were determined using a leaf disc O$_2$ electrode (CBID, Hansatech, King's Lynn, Norfolk, UK). A leaf disc was placed under saturating CO$_2$ condition (1% CO$_2$ from 1 M carbonate/bicarbonate buffer, pH 9) and illuminated with different PPFDs. The photosynthetic light response curves determined by O$_2$ evolution were measured under 0, 10, 30, 40, 60, 90, 150, 200, 250, 400, 600, 820, 1,000, 1,200, 1,500, and 1,900 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

**Titratable Acidity Determination**

The CAM acidity of leaves was determined immediately before and after the 16 h photoperiod, respectively according to He and Teo (2007). Five leaf discs of 7 mm in diameter were punched out of each leaf sample with a core borer and they were then transferred into test tubes containing 1 ml of distilled water (neutral pH). The tubes were then immersed into a boiling water-bath for 15 min and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The extract was subsequently titrated against 0.01 M sodium hydroxide solution, NaOH(aq), using three drops of phenolphthalein as an indicator until the end-point (pale pink coloration) was reached. The volume of NaOH(aq) required to reach the end-point of titration was recorded. The plant material was then wrapped in an aluminum foil and dried in an oven at 80°C for 1 week before the DW is measured. Titratable acidity was calculated using the formula: \[0.01 \times \text{volume of NaOH(aq)}/\text{DW}\]. The fluctuation of CAM acidity was calculated by obtaining the difference between titratable acidity immediately before and after the 16 h photoperiod.

**Measurements of $A_{sat}$ and $g_{ssat}$**

The light-saturated CO$_2$ assimilation rate ($A_{sat}$) and light-saturated $g_s$ ($g_{ssat}$) of fully expanded leaves were measured...
simultaneously in the growth room after plants were exposed to different LEDs for 3–4 h, with an open infrared gas analysis system with a 6 cm$^2$ chamber (LI-6400, Biosciences, USA). Readings were taken with a LED light source, which supplied 1000 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ of PPFD. Light response curves of CO$_2$ fixation from intact leaves under ambient CO$_2$ showed that a PPFD of 1000 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ was saturating for *M. crystallinum* photosynthesis (data not shown). The light source emitted in the wavelength ranged between 420–510 and 610–730 nm. The spectral output of the light source has one peak centered at about 465 nm and second peak centered at about 670 nm. Average ambient [CO$_2$] and relative humidity in the chamber were 415 ± 5 µmol mol$^{-1}$ and 70%, respectively. Measurements were recorded when both $A_{sat}$ and $g_{ssat}$ were stable.

**Measurement of TRN**

It was determined by Kjeldahl digestion using 0.05 g of dried leaf tissue samples in 5 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid with a tablet of Kjeltabs at 350°C until the mixture turned clear (Allen, 1989). After the digestion was completed, the mixture was allowed to cool for 30 min before it was used to determine N content by a Kjeltec auto 2300 analyzer. The content of TRN present in the sample was calculated as a unit of mg g$^{-1}$ DW.

**Measurement of Leaf TSP and Rubisco Protein Contents**

Fresh leaf sample of 1 g was ground in liquid nitrogen. One milliliter of extraction buffer [100 mM Bicine-KOH (pH 8.1), 20 mM MgCl$_2$, 2% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) buffer (Lowry et al., 1951)] was added to the mixture to grind. Another 2 ml was added before pouring the grounded mixture into a centrifuge tube. The mixture was centrifuged for 30 min at 4°C using a 70 Ti rotor at 15,000 rpm (about 10,000 g) (Beckman ultracentrifuge Optima XL-100K). A total of 500 µl aliquot of the supernatant pipetted was mixed with 4 ml of 80% cold acetone before centrifuging for 10 min at 4000 rpm. The amount of total soluble protein (TSP) present was determined using the method according to Lowry et al. (1951). Rubisco protein present in the samples was quantified using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Solubilized protein was boiled for 5 min and loaded onto the Mini-PROTEAN Precast Gel (TGX gel, any kD, BIO-RAD, USA). A 192 mM glycine, 3.47 mM SDS, 25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.6) running buffer was used in running of gels for 30 min. The separated proteins were stained for 3 h in a mixture (0.2% Coomassie Brilliant Blue in 10% acetic acid, 50% methanol) and destained in a destainer (7% acetic acid and 25% ethanol). The separated proteins stained were analyzed using a FluorChem 8800 gel imagine system under visible light. Areas of large subunit and small subunit were calculated in terms of Rubisco content according to a standard (He et al., 2013).

**Statistical Analysis**

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for significant differences of different variances crossed with the six different light treatments. Tukey’s multiple comparison tests were used to discriminate the means (MINITAB, Inc., February 17, 2013).

**RESULTS**

**FW and DW of Shoot and Root**

All plants grew well and appeared healthy when they were growing indoor using vertical aeroponic farming systems under different combinations of red- and blue-LED lightings. Figure 2 shows a 21-day *M. crystallinum* plant (Figure 2A) and those plants (Figure 2B) were still grown on an aeroponics system under 10B conditions just before harvest. At harvest, the shoot, root FW and DW of *M. crystallinum* plants were higher under 10B, 20B, and 30B conditions than under 0B, 50B,
FIGURE 2 | *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* grown under 10B conditions for 21 days. (A) A plant after removing from the growing system; (B) plants grown on an indoor aeroponic farming system under 10B condition.

FIGURE 3 | Shoot FW and DW (A,D), root FW and DW (B,E), and shoot/root ratio FW and DW (C,F) of *M. crystallinum* grown under different combinations of red- and blue-LED lightings for 21 days. Values are means ± standard errors. Means with different letters are statistically different (*P* < 0.05; *n* = 6) as determined by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

and 100B conditions (Figures 3A,B,D,E). Plants grown under the 10B treatment had the highest values of shoot, root FW and DW while there were no significant differences between 20B and 30B treatments. Plants grown under 0B, 50B, and 100B conditions had similar lower values of shoot, root FW and DW. Compared to plants grown under 0B condition, all other plants grown under different combinations of red- and blue-LED generally had higher shoot/root ratio FW and DW with the highest value obtained from 10B treatment (Figures 3C,F).

**Photosynthetic Pigments**

Plants grown under 0B had lower total Chl (Figure 4A), total Car contents (Figure 4C), and Chl *a/b* ratio (Figure 4B) by 27, 28,
and 19%, respectively, compared to other plants grown under different combination of red- and blue-LED lightings. There were no significant differences in Chl/Car ratios for all plants (Figure 4D).

### Photochemical Light Use Efficiency Measured by qP, NPQ, and ETR

Figure 5 shows the light response curves of qP, NPQ, and ETR from *M. crystallinum* plants grown under 0B, 10B, and 100B. For all plants, qP decreased with increasing PPFD from 15 to 1585 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 5A). There were no significant differences in qP values between 10B and 100B plants regardless of measuring PPFD. From a low PPFD of 40 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ onward, qP of *M. crystallinum* plants grown under 0B condition was significantly lower than those of plants grown under 10B and 100B conditions under the same PPFD (Figure 5A). The NPQ values for all plants increased gradually with increasing PPFDs from 15 to 1585 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 5B). *M. crystallinum* plants grown under 100B conditions had much higher NPQ values compared to those grown under 0B and 10B conditions at a PPFD of 40 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ onward. Measured at the highest PPFD of 1585 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, the NPQ value of *M. crystallinum* plants under 100B was almost twofold higher than those of plants grown under 0B and 10B conditions (Figure 5B). ETR increased with increasing PPFD from 15 to 1105 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 5C) and decreased with further increasing PPFD beyond 1150 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Although the light response curves were similar for all plants, at a moderate PPFD of 155 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ onward, the ETR values for plants grown under 100B treatments
were significantly higher than plants grown under 0B and 10B treatments.

The values of qP, NPQ, and ETR measured at a PPFD of 335 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), which was close to their growth PPFD are shown in Figure 6. There were no significant differences in qP values among plants grown under 10B–100B conditions. However, qP values of *M. crystallinum* plants grown under 0B condition was significantly lower than all other plants (Figure 6A). *M. crystallinum* plants growth under 100B had the highest NPQ followed by those grown under 50B and 30B and then 20B and 10B conditions. The lowest NPQ was obtained from plants grown under 0B conditions (Figure 6B).

The differences in ETR of *M. crystallinum* plants grown under different combinations of red- and blue-LED were similar (Figure 6C) to those of NPQ (Figure 6B).

### Photosynthetic Gas Exchanges, *g*\(_s\), and CAM Acidity

Light response curves of photosynthetic O\(_2\) evolution were determined from all plants grown under the six different red- and blue-LED lightings. Photosynthetic O\(_2\) evolution rates increased with increasing PPFD from 15 to 1499 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 7A). There were no significant differences in photosynthetic O\(_2\) evolution rates among different plants when
they were measured under PPFDs below 90 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Thus, all plants had similar quantum yields (data not shown). However, measured from a PPFD of 250 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and above, plants grown under 0B had significantly lower photosynthetic O$_2$ evolution rate compared to all other plants which showed similar values (Figure 7A). There were no significant differences for CAM acidity across all treatments (Figure 7B). Compared to plants grown under 0B condition, all other plants had similar values of $A_{sat}$ (Figure 7C) and $g_{sat}$ (Figure 7D) but they were significantly higher than that of plants grown under 0B condition.

**TRN, Total Leaf Soluble Protein, and Rubisco Protein**

There were no statistically differences in TRN content among all plants (Figure 8A). For the TSP contents, although all plants grown under different combined red- and blue-LED had similar values they were significantly higher than that of plants grown under 0B condition (Figure 8B). For Rubisco, the plants grown under high percentage of blue-LED such as 30B, 50B, and 100B had similarly higher content than 10B and 20B conditions. *M. crystallinum* grown under blue light had 30B and 50B conditions. *M. crystallinum* grown under without blue-LED such as 0B condition. These results correspond to most studies that the combination of red and blue light is important for leaf expansion and biomass accumulation (Hogewoning et al., 2010; Johkan et al., 2010; Shengxin et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). For instance, a combination of red- and blue-LED enhanced the fresh and dry matter productivity of plant species including rice (Matsuda et al., 2004), wheat (Goins et al., 1997), spinach, radish, and lettuce (Yorio et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2016); strawberry (Nhut et al., 2003); rapeseed (Li et al., 2013; Shengxin et al., 2016); and cucumber (Hogewoning et al., 2010; Hernández and Kubota, 2016). However, in the present study, there were no significant differences in shoot and root productivities between the conditions of stronger blue-LEDs such as 50B and 100B and 0B (100% red-LED) conditions (Figures 3A–D). In this study, it was also shown that combinations of red- and blue-LED generally increased shoot/root ratio FW and DW with the highest value obtained from plants grown under 10B conditions (Figures 3C,F), indicating that increase in shoot biomass was greater than in root biomass. However, it was reported that the shoot/root ratio of lettuce plants irradiated with blue-containing LED lights compared to red-LED or fluorescence light decreased due to the greater increase in root biomass (Johkan et al., 2010). In the study of blue light dose–response curves of cucumber leaves,
Hogewoning et al. (2010) reported that the leaf mass per unit leaf area (LMA) increased with blue percentage from 0 to 50%. In this study, all combined red- and blue-LEDs enhanced LMA of *M. crystallinum* compared to those plants grown under red-LED alone. However, there was no clear trend in LMA among *M. crystallinum* grown under different combinations of red- and blue-LED (data not shown). In the study with lettuce plants, blue-LEDs in combination with high light intensity was important for growth elongation and biomass accumulation compared to plants grown under low light intensities (Muneer et al., 2014). In another study with lettuce, Wang et al. (2016) studied leaf morphology and shoot DW with different red/blue light ratios of 12, 8, 4, and 1. They reported that shoot DW increased with increasing red/blue light ratio, which was mainly due to increased leaf number and leaf area under the higher red/blue light ratio. It was also reported that the optimal red/blue light ratios for FW and DW accumulation were 7/3 in strawberry plantlet (Nhut et al., 2003) and 1/3 in rapeseed plantlets in *vitro* (Li et al., 2013), respectively, but 9 in cucumber seedlings (Hernández and Kubota, 2016), spinach (Yorio et al., 2001), lettuce (Bula et al., 1991; Yorio et al., 2001; Stutte et al., 2009), and *Arabidopsis* (Ooi et al., 2016). Based on the above discussion, blue light promote growth by stimulating morphological response. However, the optimal blue light fraction may depend on species or other experimental conditions.

The different optimal red to blue light ratios for different species could also be due to the experiments were carried out at different growth stages such as plantlets of strawberry and rapeseed in *vitro* (Nhut et al., 2003; Li et al., 2013); seedlings of cucumber (Hernández and Kubota, 2016); rosette leaves of rapeseed (Shengxin et al., 2016); fully expanded leaves of lettuce (Wang et al., 2016). It is well known that red light plays important role in shoot elongation and plant anatomy via phytochrome (Schuenger et al., 1997) while blue light is important for Chl biosynthesis (Tripathy and Brown, 1995; Matsuda et al., 2008; Hogewoning et al., 2010; Terfa et al., 2013; Hernández and Kubota, 2016), stomatal development and opening (Hogewoning et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016). However, very little published information is available on the use of different red- and blue-LEDs combinations to study plants at different growth stages. Furthermore, optimal combination of red- and blue-LED may also depend on the intensity of LED and other light spectra such as far-red and green light. In the study with white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.), Christophe et al. (2006) reported that leaf appearance rate and petiole elongation were strongly controlled by the changes of both blue light and intensity. Fan et al. (2013) used red- and blue-LED to investigate the effects of different light intensities from 50 to 500 µmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> on growth and leaf development of young tomato plants. They found that the leaves were thicker, and the stomatal frequency and stomatal area per unit leaf area were higher under PPFD of 300 µmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> compared to other light intensities. The highest net photosynthesis rate was also achieved under 300 µmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>. Their results implied that 300 µmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> was more suitable for the culture of young tomato plants. As mentioned earlier, LMA was higher in *M. crystallinum* grown under all combined red- and blue-LEDs than under red-LED alone at PPFD of 350 µmol m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>. However, no clear trend in LMA was observed among *M. crystallinum* grown under different LEDs (data not shown). These findings further suggest that effects of combined red- and blue-LEDs on leaf growth of *M. crystallinum* may depend on light intensity. Ooi et al. (2016) reported that the leaf length and area of the first two leaf pairs of *Arabidopsis* grown under a combination of red and blue laser light were higher than the control plants grown under white fluorescent light and equal or lower in the subsequent leaf pairs. Bolting and flowering times of red and blue laser grown plants were slightly delayed, which is most likely due to the absence of far-red light that promotes flowering (Ishiguri and Oda, 1972; Runkle and Heins, 2001). Although far-red as well as green light is photosynthetically inefficient, they exhibit some effects on the growth and development, especially in vegetative development, flowering, stomatal opening, and stem growth modulation (Folta and Maruhnic, 2007; Wang and Wang, 2014). Light spectra and intensities can easily be manipulated by growers. In future studies, intensity of LEDs, other colors of LEDs or laser lights such as far red and green should be supplemented to red and blue lights at different times of the day and different growth stages to investigate their potential application to further enhance plant growth and productivity.

A number of studies have shown that blue light affects photosynthetic performance at the leaf level such as Chl content, Chl a/b ratio, Chl a/b-binding protein of PSII, and photosynthetic electron transport (Leong and Anderson, 1984; Senger and Bauer, 1987; López-Juez and Hughes, 1995; Wang et al., 2016). In the study with lettuce grown under mixture of red and blue light or blue light treatments had a higher Chl a/b compared with red light treatment (Wang et al., 2016). Blue light can be absorbed either by Chl or by Car for the formation of “sun-type” chloroplasts (López-Juez and Hughes, 1995). Compared to those plants grown under 0B condition, all *M. crystallinum* plants grown under different combinations of red- and blue-LED had similar higher levels of total Chl and Car contents (Figures 4A,C) and Chl a/b ratio (Figure 4B). These findings suggest that 10% of blue-LED was sufficient to stimulate “sun-type” characteristics (Evans, 1988), even at a rather low irradiance (red-blue LED; 315:35 µmol photon m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>). Blue-deficiency that is adverse to Chl biosynthesis has been reported in different plants such as wheat (Tripathy and Brown, 1995), *Rosa × hybrida* (Terfa et al., 2013), spinach (Matsuda et al., 2008), and cucumber seedling (Hogewoning et al., 2010; Hernández and Kubota, 2016). Development of "sun-type" characteristics of *M. crystallinum* plants with blue light enables plants to acclimate to high irradiance (Buschmann et al., 1978; Evans, 1988; Hogewoning et al., 2010). High-light acclimatized leaves normally have higher Chl a/b ratio with less Chl b containing light-harvesting antennae, and thus a higher capacity for electron transport (Leong and Anderson, 1984; Senger and Bauer, 1987; Evans, 1988). In the present study, red- and blue-LED grown *M. crystallinum* plants showed significantly higher qP and ETR than those grown under red-LED alone (0B) from low to high measuring PPFDs (Figures 5A,C). qP and ETR measured at a PPFD of 335 µmol photon m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> that was close to the PPFD (350 µmol photon m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>) under which all plants
were grown, were significantly higher in all red- and blue-LED grown *M. crystallinum* than those grown under 0B condition (Figures 6A,C) which is mainly a result of higher photosynthetic utilization of radiant energy since higher levels of total Chl contents and Chl a/b ratios on a FW basis were found in these red- and blue-LED grown leaves (Figures 4A,B). It was noticed that higher blue-LEDs resulted in higher NPQ and ETR measured under higher PPFD (Figures 5B,C) or under a PPFD of 335 \( \mu \text{mol photon m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) that was similar the growth PPFD (Figures 6B,C).

NPQ is a mechanism by which plants protect themselves against excess excitation energy and the resulting photodamage through Car (Demmig-Adams and Adams, 1996; Dreu et al., 2005). Car serve as a protective mechanism for plants as it absorbs blue light for photoprotection (xanthophyll) and for photoreception in guard cells (zeaxanthin) (Tallman et al., 1997). Hemming (2011) reported that excessive blue light could cause photodamage of PSI and the increase in NPQ could be due to the process of either protecting the leaves from light-induced damage or the damage itself. In this study, combinations of red- and blue-LED, for instance, particularly with higher blue-LED such as 20B, 30B, 50B, and 100B may cause some reversible damage on photosynthetic machinery of *M. crystallinum* and thus resulted in higher Car contents (Figure 4C) coupled with higher NPQ (Figure 6B). The induction of NPQ depends on a pH gradient (\( \Delta \text{pH} \)) across the thylakoid membranes. Thus, cyclic electron flow around photosystem 1 (PSI) is another mechanism for dissipating excess photon energy (Heber et al., 1995; Heber, 2002; Makino et al., 2002; Miyake et al., 2004; Yamori et al., 2015).

The light response curves of ETR showed that *M. crystallinum* grown under 10B had much higher values from a PPFD of 155 \( \mu \text{mol photon m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) and above compared to those of plants grown under 10B and 0B (Figure 5C). When measured at a PPFD of 335 \( \mu \text{mol photon m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \), ETR values of *M. crystallinum* grown under 30B, 50B, and 100B were higher than those of plants under other lower level of blue-LED indicate that plants under higher light treatments utilized more light energy absorbed by Chl for photochemistry (Hemming, 2011; He et al., 2015). Higher ETR of *M. crystallinum* with higher blue-LED treatments (Figures 5C, 6C) could be partially due to cyclic electron transport around PSI that is proposed to be essential for protecting both photosystems from the damage (Shikanai, 2007; Miyake, 2010; Takahashi and Badger, 2011). *M. crystallinum* grown under higher blue-LED such as 20B or higher could have spent more energy to protect them from photodamage and/or recover from photodamage and thus did not further increase shoot and root productivity (Figure 3). However, all plants were healthy with Chl fluorescence \( F_{v}/F_{m} \) ratios of >0.8 (data not shown). These results were similar to our previous studies with Chinese broccoli (*Brassica alboglabra* Bailey) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) grown under combinations of red- and blue-LED had similar values of \( A_{\text{sat}} \) and \( g_{\text{sat}} \) as *M. crystallinum*, ranging from 14 to 20 \( \mu \text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) and 600–800 mmol H\(_2\)O m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (He et al., 2015, 2016). Plants grown under blue light rich environment had increased \( g_{s} \) that resulted in greater net photosynthetic rate than those grown under blue light limited conditions (Hogewoning et al., 2010). It was reported that when *M. crystallinum* operated in the C\(_3\) mode, it responded to blue but not to red light (Mawson and Zaugg, 1994; Tallman et al., 1997). In the present study, similar higher \( g_{\text{sat}} \) values were obtained from *M. crystallinum* grown under combinations of red- and blue-LED (Figure 7D), suggest that 10% of blue-LED (10B) was sufficient for maximal \( g_{\text{sat}} \) that resulted in maximal high-light acclimatized leaves developed under blue light had higher CO\(_2\)-saturated photosynthetic rate measured under higher PPFD and more Calvin cycle enzymes (Leong and Anderson, 1984; Senger and Bauer, 1987; Evans, 1988; Terfa et al., 2013). These are supported by the facts that higher CO\(_2\)-saturated rates of photosynthetic O\(_2\) evolution (Figure 7A), \( A_{\text{sat}} \) (Figure 7C), and Rubisco protein (Figure 8C) were obtained from *M. crystallinum* grown under different combinations of red- and blue-LED than those of *M. crystallinum* grown under 0B condition. It seemed that *M. crystallinum* grown at 0B exhibited the characteristics of low-light-grown plants with lower CO\(_2\)-saturated rates of photosynthetic O\(_2\) evolution (Figure 7A), less TSP (Figure 8B), and Rubisco protein (Figure 8C) (Evans, 1988; Terfa et al., 2013). On the other hand, lower CO\(_2\)-saturated rates of photosynthetic O\(_2\) evolution and \( A_{\text{sat}} \) in *M. crystallinum* grown under 0B could also due to the loss of photochemical efficiency of PSII as observed in cucumber plants (Hogewoning et al., 2010). There were no significant differences in CO\(_2\)-saturated rates of photosynthetic O\(_2\) evolution and \( A_{\text{sat}} \) regardless of red- and blue-LED combinations. Conversely, lower photosynthetic activity was observed in cucumber leaves under higher percentage of blue-LED which was postulated to be due to the absence of responses regulated by red light in the stimulation of cryptochrome and phototropin (Hogewoning et al., 2010).
A\textsubscript{sat} (Figure 7C). Hogewoning et al. (2010) found that the leaves of cucumbers displayed a dysfunctional photosynthetic capacity under 0B, while under 100B the leaves had a low photosynthetic ability. When increasing blue light fraction from 0 to 50%, photosynthetic capacity increased with increasing blue light dose. The similar trends have been reported in rice (Matsuda et al., 2004) and lettuce (Wang et al., 2016). However, in the study with cucumber seedlings, Hernández and Kubota (2016) found that the Chl content, photosynthetic rates, and g\textsubscript{s} increased with the spectra ratio change from 0 to 100%.

The increased Rubisco content under blue-LEDs at high light intensity was correlated with an enhanced photosynthetic rate and faster plant growth than those grown under red-LED (Muneer et al., 2014). High amount of Rubisco can be beneficial for plants since there was a positive relationship of leaf Rubisco contents with light-saturated photosynthetic rates in most C\textsubscript{3} plants (Makino, 2011; Ashraf and Harris, 2013). The enhancement of Rubisco under high intensity of blue-LED might be associated with an increase in the TRN content (Muneer et al., 2014). The results of the present study clearly showed that leaves of all M. crystallinum plants had similar amount of TRN about 65–70 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} DW (i.e., 6–7%, Figure 8A); indicating that all plants had more than sufficient amount of N in the leaf tissues. Hogewoning et al. (2010) also reported that N availability did not limit the photosynthetic capacity from 0 to 100% blue lights. However, leaf total soluble and Rubisco proteins of M. crystallinum were enhanced under all combinations of red- and blue-LED lighting (Figures 8B,C) compared to those plants grown under 0B condition. These findings suggest that M. crystallinum grown under blue-LED lighting, had more N allocated to Rubisco protein that could make up more than 50% of Rubisco protein in leaves (Jordon et al., 1992). The enhancement of Rubisco under blue-LED might be associated with an increase in the amount of TRN content and leaf total soluble content (Muneer et al., 2014). However, there was no linear relationship between leaf soluble protein and Rubisco protein and light-saturated photosynthesis. This is because, in the present study, higher percentage of blue-LED further increased Rubisco content (Figure 8C) of M. crystallinum whereas this was not observed in the total leaf soluble protein (Figure 8B) and light-saturated photosynthesis (Figure 7A,C). Muneer et al. (2014) suggested that higher Rubisco under blue-LEDs at high light intensity was associated with photosynthetic performance and provided an advantage for higher growth of plants than those grown under red-LED. The role of Rubisco in M. crystallinum grown under higher blue-LED lighting merits our further study.
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