The histone demethylase Lsd1 regulates multiple repressive gene programs during T cell development
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Analysis of the transcriptional profiles of developing thymocytes has shown that T lineage commitment is associated with loss of stem cell and early progenitor gene signatures and the acquisition of T cell gene signatures. Less well understood are the epigenetic alterations that accompany or enable these transcriptional changes. Here, we show that the histone demethylase Lsd1 (Kdm1a) performs a key role in extinguishing stem/progenitor transcriptional programs in addition to key repressive gene programs during thymocyte maturation. Deletion of Lsd1 caused a block in late T cell development and resulted in overexpression of interferon response genes as well as genes regulated by the Gfi1, Bcl6, and, most prominently, Bcl11b transcriptional repressors in CD4+CD8+ thymocytes. Transcriptional overexpression in Lsd1-deficient thymocytes was not always associated with increased H3K4 trimethylation at gene promoters, indicating that Lsd1 indirectly affects the expression of many genes. Together, these results identify a critical function for Lsd1 in the epigenetic regulation of multiple repressive gene signatures during T cell development.

Introduction

A hallmark of early T cell development is the down-regulation of hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) signature genes and the loss of alternative lineage potentials as double-negative (DN) thymocytes respond to maturational cues that promote commitment to the T lineage (Rothenberg et al., 2016). CD4+CD8− DN stage 1 thymocytes (DN1; also referred to as early T progenitors [ETPs]) closely resemble, both phenotypically and transcriptionally, multipotent HSCs/progenitor cells (Zhang et al., 2012). With the exception of the erythroid/megakaryocyte lineage, DN1/DN2 cells retain the potential to commit to and develop into all hematopoietic lineages, including myeloid cells, innate immune cells (innate lymphoid cells [ILCs] and natural killer [NK] cells), and B lymphocytes (Shah and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2014). In vitro differentiation experiments have established that commitment to the T lineage occurs between the DN2 and DN3 stages with commitment to the αβ or γδ T lineage taking place in DN3 cells (Ciofani and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2010; Hayes and Love, 2007; Yui et al., 2010).

Considerable insight into the transcriptional program of developing thymocytes has been gained by microarray analysis or deep sequencing (RNA sequencing [RNA-seq]) of expressed mRNAs at each stage from ETP-DN1 through the mature CD4 single-positive (SP) or CD8 SP stage (Mingueuene et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2012). These studies have shown that T lineage commitment is associated with the termination of HSC signature genes followed by the induction of DN stage-specific genes and ultimately the induction of T lineage-specific genes (e.g., Tcf7, Bcl11b, Gata3, and Runx3). Less well understood are the epigenetic changes (DNA methylation and histone acetylation and/or methylation) that accompany and presumably enforce T lineage commitment and thymocyte maturation by regulating gene transcription. Given the striking shift from HSC/progenitor gene expression to T lineage gene expression that accompanies early DN development, much attention has focused on epigenetic mechanisms of gene silencing. In particular, the deposition of repressive trimethylated H3K27 marks (H3K27me3) at the promoters and known regulatory elements of HSC genes was recently investigated in several studies (Li et al., 2014; Manna et al., 2015; Mingueuene et al., 2013; Tanaka et al., 2013). Unexpectedly, the results of these studies revealed that while correlating with gene silencing, H3K27me3 decoration is not a widespread mechanism for HSC gene repression, nor does it...
appear to be a consistently durable method of gene silencing (Manna et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2012). It was recently demonstrated that the effects of loss of the H3K27 demethylases JmjD3 and Utx on gene expression during CD4+CD8+ (double positive [DP]) to SP T cell development, while significant, were restricted to a surprisingly small number of genes that function at late stages of T cell maturation (Manna et al., 2015).

A second epigenetic mechanism for gene silencing is the removal of activating histone modifications, especially promoter-associated H3K4 methylation. The histone-3 Lysine (K)-4 demethylase Kdm4A (hereafter referred to as Lsd1), which removes di- or mono-methylated H3K4 marks (H3K4me1, H3K4me2), can function as the catalytic subunit of CoREST (corepressor for element-1-silencing transcription factor) or NuRD (nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylation) repressor complexes (Hosseini and Minucci, 2017). Notably, inhibition of Lsd1 activity in embryonic stem cells prevents their differentiation and the down-regulation of pluripotent genes (Whyte et al., 2012). In addition, it was recently shown that deletion of Lsd1 in HSCs is associated with reduced H3K4me3 but increased H3K4me1 and H3K4me2 decoration at HSC gene promoters and failure to repress HSC genes during myelopoiesis (Kerenyi et al., 2013).

In the present study, we investigated the role of Lsd1 in T cell development by deletion of Lsd1 specifically in immature DN thymocytes. Deletion of Lsd1 resulted in a reduction in DP and CD4 SP thymocytes and defective DP-SP thymocyte maturation. Early HSC and DN stage-specific genes, including Ctcp and Prdm1, failed to be down-regulated, and several signature myeloid, innate lymphoid, and B cell genes failed to be repressed in Lsd1-deficient DP thymocytes, although loss of Lsd1 did not result in lineage divergence. Notably, targets of Lsd1-dependent Gfi1, Bcl6, or Bcl11b repressive complexes were overexpressed in Lsd1-deficient thymocytes and T cells. Most prominently, alterations in gene expression in Lsd1-deficient DP thymocytes, and the developmental consequences of these transcriptional changes, phenocopied those produced by deletion of the transcription factor Bcl11b, establishing an important role for Lsd1 in Bcl11b-mediated transcriptional repression. Altered gene expression was frequently but not always associated with enhanced H3K4me3 decoration at the promoters of mis-/overexpressed genes, indicating that Lsd1 mainly controls expression of a core group of key regulatory genes. Together, these results establish Lsd1 as a critical factor for multiple repressive transcriptional programs during T cell development.

Results
Deletion of Lsd1 in immature DN thymocytes inhibits thymocyte development
To assess the role of Lsd1 in T cell development, we generated CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice to extinguish expression of Lsd1 specifically in immature DN thymocytes. Intracellular staining of WT (Cre-negative Lsd1fl/+ ) thymocytes demonstrated that Lsd1 is expressed at all stages (DN1-4) of DN maturation and in DP and SP thymocytes (Fig. 1 A). In thymocytes from CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice, a reduction in Lsd1 protein was first observed at the DN3 stage (Fig. 1 A). Lsd1 expression was not detected in DP, CD4 SP, and CD8 SP thymocytes or in CD4+ and CD8+ peripheral T cells from CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice (Fig. 1 A and data not shown). Thus, in CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice, Lsd1 expression was significantly reduced in late-stage DN (DN3/4) thymocytes and was absent at the DP stage and thereafter.

The number of DN thymocytes was reduced in CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice (Fig. 1 B); however, no defects in the DN1-4 stages were evident, suggesting that there was no stage-specific block in DN maturation (Fig. S1 A). DP and CD4 SP but not CD8 SP thymocytes were markedly reduced in CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice (Fig. 1, B and C). The percentage and the number of mature TCRhi CD4+CD8+ SP thymocytes were reduced in 2-wk-old CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice, indicating that late-stage development of SP cells was impaired in the absence of Lsd1 (Fig. 1 D and data not shown). Also, TCRlo CD4+ (immature SP [ISP]) and CD8+ thymocytes were increased in CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice, accounting for the normal number of total CD8 thymocytes (Fig. 1, B and D; and data not shown).

CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice were lymphopenic due to a reduction in both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (Fig. 2, A and B). A higher percentage of peripheral T cells from these mice were CD44hi CD62Llow (Fig. 2 C), with the "memory-like" phenotype presumably reflecting lymphopenia-induced expansion (Voehringer et al., 2008). In contrast to younger mice, the percentage of mature TCRhi CD4+CD8+ SP thymocytes was increased in adult (>8 wk old) CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice, although these mice remained lymphopenic, suggesting a defect in thymocyte emigration (Fig. 2 D). Consistent with this interpretation, expression of S1pr1, which is required for thymocyte emigration, was reduced on mature SP thymocytes from CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice (Fig. 2 E).

CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice contained increased percentages of FoxP3+ CD4+ T regulatory (Treg) cells that were confirmed to be Lsd1 deficient (Fig. S1 B) and also contained increased numbers and percentages of DN γδ TCR+ cells and T cells (Fig. 2 F and data not shown). To verify that the phenotype observed in CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice was due entirely to loss of Lsd1 and not to potential secondary effects of the Cre transgene, we generated Rag1-Cre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice. The kinetics of Rag1 promoter–driven Cre activity was similar to that observed in CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice as assessed by Lsd1 intracellular staining, and the phenotype of Rag1-Cre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice closely resembled that of CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice, including the DN-DP developmental block, the block in CD4 SP and CD8 SP thymocyte maturation, and an increase in the percentage of Treg cells and γδ TCR+ thymocytes (data not shown). We also generated bone marrow chimeras by reconstituting lethally irradiated B6:CD45.1 mice with a 1:1 mixture of lineage-depleted bone marrow from B6 (CD45.1/2) mice and CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre (CD45.2) mice. The phenotype of CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre thymocytes derived from the bone marrow chimeras was similar to that of donor CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre mice in all respects (specifically, a more severe developmental block was not observed), indicating that the defects caused by Lsd1 deletion were not exacerbated under competitive conditions (data not shown). To determine if the late-stage developmental defects observed in CD2-iCre;Lsd1Δ Cre/Δ Cre thymocytes reflected a specific requirement for Lsd1 at this point or resulted from earlier effects of Lsd1...
deficiency, we also generated CD4-Cre;Lsd1 fl/fl mice in which deletion of Lsd1 begins at the DP stage and is complete by the SP stage (Fig. S1 C). T cell development appeared unaffected in CD4-Cre;Lsd1 fl/fl mice (Fig. S1 D) that contained normal numbers of DP and SP thymocytes and peripheral T cells (Fig. S1 E and data not shown). Together, these results identified a critical function for Lsd1 in T cell development specifically at the DN–DP transition.

A limited functional survey revealed that CD2-iCre;Lsd1 fl/fl T cells could be activated (based on TCR-induced CD69 upregulation) and could proliferate and produce cytokines (IFNγ) and effector molecules (granzyme B) in response to TCR or TCR + CD28 costimulation; however, the latter responses were moderately impaired relative to those in Lsd1 fl/fl control T cells (data not shown).

Deletion of Lsd1 results in marked gene up-regulation in DP thymocytes
To examine the effect of Lsd1 deletion at the transcriptional level, we performed deep sequencing of mRNAs (RNA-seq) from sorted DP thymocytes. We analyzed two subsets of DP cells from control WT (Lsd1 fl/fl) and KO (CD2-iCre;Lsd1 fl/fl) mice: TCRlo CD69− DP cells, which are the most immature post-ISP DP thymocyte subset, and TCRlo CD69+ DP cells, which are composed of thymocytes that have received TCR selection signals and represent the next step of development after the CD69− stage (Fig. 3 A).

Principal component analysis (PCA) revealed considerable variance in the corresponding WT and KO DP subsets (Fig. 3 B; PC1, 54%). Using a cutoff of false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05, |(log2 fold change [log2FC])| ≥ 1 yielded 3,676 dysregulated genes in the CD69− and 3,105 dysregulated genes in the CD69+ WT versus KO comparisons, respectively (Fig. 3 C). Notably, the majority of dysregulated genes in KO thymocytes were up-regulated in both the KO versus WT DP CD69− comparison (3,185 of 3,676 [87%]) and the KO versus WT DP CD69+ comparison (2,227 of 3,105 [72%]), consistent with a predominantly inhibitory role for Lsd1 in regulating gene expression (Fig. 3, C and D). (Note: “Up-regulated” or “overexpressed” in this case refers to gene expression in KO versus WT DP thymocytes and does not imply increased expression in DP cells relative to DN cells. In most instances, deletion of Lsd1 resulted in continued expression of genes that are normally down-regulated at the DN to DP...
Two results suggested that Lsd1 deletion had a greater impact on gene expression at the DN to DP transition stage than the DP CD69⁻ to DP CD69⁺ transition stage. First, the DP CD69⁻ to DP CD69⁺ transition stage accounted for a smaller proportion of variance in the gene expression data than the effect of the Lsd1-KO at either stage, as shown by Fig. 3B. Second, most of the overexpressed genes (1,629 of 2,227 [73%]) in KO DP CD69⁺ thymocytes were already overexpressed at the CD69⁻ stage (Fig. S2, A and B). Together, these findings identified an important role for Lsd1 in the down-regulation of numerous genes during the DN to DP transition stage.

Functional enrichment analysis (gene ontology biological process) indicated that the overexpressed genes in Lsd1-KO DP thymocytes (both CD69⁻ and CD69⁺) were predominantly involved in hematopoietic/immune system and innate immune/IFN/viral response-related functions, whereas down-regulated genes (which were much fewer in number) had functions predominantly related to chromatin assembly/segregation and cell division (Fig. 3E and data not shown). A possible explanation for the latter finding is that an increased fraction of Lsd1-KO thymocytes were quiescent (G₀ phase; Fig. S2C), and expression of Cdk6 and cyclin D3, which regulate the transition from G₀ to G₁ phase (Wells and Morawski, 2014), were down-regulated in KO DP thymocytes (Fig. S2D). The increased expression of viral response and IFN response genes in Lsd1-KO DP thymocytes (Fig. S3A) was consistent with a previous report demonstrating that inhibition or knockdown of Lsd1 in adult mice results in endogenous retrovirus induction and down-regulation of the RNA-induced silencing complex, resulting in activation of the double-stranded RNA-IFN pathway in tumor cells, and suggests that Lsd1 ablation in thymocytes results in a similar response (Sheng et al., 2018).
Figure 3. **Marked gene up-regulation in Lsd1-deficient CD4+CD8+ (DP) thymocytes.** (A) CD69 versus TCRβ staining profile of gated DP CD44− thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl (WT) and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl (KO) mice showing cell sorting gates used for RNA-seq. (B) PCA of RNA-seq results from DP CD69− or DP CD69+ thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. (C) Results of RNA-seq showing number of up- or down-regulated genes in CD69− and CD69+ WT and KO DP thymocytes. Anno., annotated; DE, differentially expressed. lfc, log fold change. (D) MA plots showing the predominant up-regulation of genes in DP CD69−.
HSC and early DN genes are not extinguished in Lsd1-deficient DP thymocytes

Because a previous study demonstrated an important role for Lsd1 in the down-regulation of stem cell genes during HSC development (Kerenyi et al., 2013), we performed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) on the dysregulated genes in KO CD69- DP thymocytes with the same HSC signature gene sets used in that report (Chambers et al., 2007; Ivanova et al., 2002; Krivtsov et al., 2006). Consistent with the prior study, GSEA revealed a defect in down-regulation of HSC-related genes reflected by overexpression in KO CD69- DP thymocytes (Fig. 4 A). We next examined the effect of Lsd1 deletion on a set of well-characterized HSC-expressed genes that are known to be down-regulated in late-stage DN thymocytes. The HSC-expressed genes Hoxa7, Ly6a (Sca1), Ptpn6, and Cd93, which are normally down-regulated at the DN3/4 stage(s), were confirmed by RNA-seq data from https://www.immgen.org (Fig. 4 B), failed to be down-regulated and were strongly overexpressed in Lsd1 KO CD69- DP thymocytes (Fig. 4 C). Following extinction of HSC-specific genes such as those that regulate self-renewal and long-term maintenance, immature DN thymocytes up-regulate a set of DN stage-specific genes (e.g., Bcat, Pdlim4, Ly6c1, Cta14, Pld4, Pdcd1, Nrpi1, and Tnfrsf8) that are subsequently down-regulated in DP thymocytes (Fig. 4 D). Similar to HSC genes, DN stage-specific genes failed to be extinguished in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes (Fig. 4, C and D). Similar results were observed when we analyzed the effect of Lsd1 KO on two sets of genes designated Kit and IL7r (named for the expression pattern of the exemplar gene) that were previously shown to be down-regulated during the DN to DP transition (Mingueneau et al., 2013; Fig. 4 E). We performed a similar analysis of transcription factors previously shown to be either up- or down-regulated during the DN to DP transition (Zhang et al., 2012). Although only a few of the genes that are normally up-regulated as thymocytes transition from DN to DP were affected by deletion of Lsd1, a high percentage (120 of 151 [79%]) of genes that are normally down-regulated during the DN to DP transition failed to be repressed in DP thymocytes in the absence of Lsd1 (Fig. 4 F).

We were able to confirm overexpression of several surface molecules by flow cytometry (Fig. 5 A and data not shown). For example, Sca1 was overexpressed on DN, DP, and SP thymocytes as well as mature SP T cells, γδ T cells, and Treg cells in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice (Fig. 5 A and data not shown). The checkpoint molecules Pdcd1 (PD-1), Cta14, and Nrpi1, which are expressed in DN thymocytes but are normally down-regulated at the DP stage, were overexpressed on Lsd1 KO CD69- DP thymocytes, mature CD4+ T cells, and, to a lesser extent, CD8+ T cells (Fig. 5, B and C; and data not shown). Although surface expression of Cta14 was not markedly elevated on resting CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl CD4 T cells, it was strongly induced in response to TCR or TCR + CD28 costimulation (Fig. 5 C). Collectively, these results reveal that deletion of Lsd1 in DN thymocytes results in the over-expression of HSC and DN stage-specific genes that are normally extinguished by the DP stage.

Another set of genes strongly overexpressed in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes were those associated with γδ T cell development (Scart1, Scart2, Sox13, Maf, Blk; Fig. 3 D). The αβ/γδ lineage fate decision occurs during the DN3 stage of development (Ciofani and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2010) when Lsd1 expression in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice is significantly down-regulated (Fig. 1 A). This finding, which may help explain the increase in γδ TCR+ thymocytes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice, suggests that Lsd1 is required to repress γδ lineage signature genes in bipotent αβ/γδ precursors that commit to the αβ lineage and transition to the DP stage.

Alternate hematopoietic lineage genes are expressed in Lsd1-deficient DP thymocytes

DN thymocytes, which are initially multipotent, progressively lose alternate lineage potentials during their maturation in the thymus before irreversibly committing to the αβ T cell lineage. However, it is unknown if repression of alternate lineage genes in DN thymocytes involves or requires epigenetic modifications. To address this question, we examined Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes for expression of signature myeloid, innate lymphoid, or B cell genes. We found that several alternate lineage genes, including key transcription factors, were aberrantly expressed in Lsd1 KO CD69- thymocytes, such as Cebpb, Cdlib, and IlbDra1 (myeloid), Fos and Klf4 (ILC), Zbtb6/Plef2, Il2rb and Prf1 (NK/NKT), and Ebf1, Irf4, and Pou2af1 (B cell; Fig. 5 D). Notably, none of these genes is normally expressed in either DN or DP thymocytes, indicating that they were de-repressed in the absence of Lsd1 (Fig. 5 E). We also confirmed that several known target genes of Cebpb, Egr2, Zbtb6, and Irf4 were significantly dysregulated (overexpressed) in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes (Fig. S3 B), demonstrating that the increased expression of these transcription factors impacted the expression of downstream genes in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes.

To determine if loss of Lsd1 promotes commitment to and/or maturation of alternate hematopoietic lineages, we cultured sorted ETP/DN2 or DN3 thymocytes from CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice on OP9-DL1 cells for 7 d. No increase in myeloid, B cell, or NK cells was observed in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl thymocyte cultures; however, a reduction in DP thymocytes and an increase in the percentage of γδ TCR+ thymocytes was observed, consistent with analysis of thymocytes from adult mice (data not shown). Similar results were obtained when B6 ETP/DN2 or DN3 thymocytes were cultured on OP9-DL1 cells in the presence of the Lsd1 inhibitor GS-K-LSD1 (data not shown). These results suggest that although some alternate (non-T cell) lineage genes are expressed in DN/DP thymocytes in the absence of Lsd1, DN thymocytes are not redirected to alternate lineage fates.
Figure 4. Overexpression of HSC genes in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes. (A) GSEA plots showing enrichment for HSC signature genes in the overexpressed gene set from CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl (KO) DP CD69− thymocytes. Genes obtained from Chambers et al. (2007), Ivanova et al. (2002), and Krivtsov et al. (2006). ES, enrichment score; NES, normalized enrichment score. (B–F) Effect of Lsd1 deletion on expression of HSC-expressed and DN-specific genes. (B) Immgen screenshots showing expression of the indicated genes in HSCs (long-term HSC [LTHSC] 34−, LTHSC34+, short-term HSC [STHSC]), DN thymocyte subsets, ISP thymocytes, or DP thymocytes in WT mice. (C) Gene expression in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl (KO) versus Lsd1fl/fl (WT) CD69− DP thymocytes. Positive log2FC values indicate that genes are overexpressed in KO thymocytes versus WT thymocytes. (D) Immgen screenshots showing expression of the indicated genes listed in C in DN, ISP, or DP thymocytes in WT mice. (E) Immgen screenshots showing expression of the indicated genes in B in LTHSC34−, LTHSC34+, and STHSC. (F) Log2FoldChange.
Lsd1 is required for Bcl11b-, Bcl6-, and Gfi1-mediated gene repression

Lsd1 possesses H3K4me1/me2 demethylase activity but lacks DNA binding capability (Hosseini and Minucci, 2017). Several multiprotein complexes, including the chromatin-modifying CoREST and NuRD core complexes, can incorporate Lsd1 in addition to other subunits (typically transcription factors) that provide DNA binding and target specificity (Hosseini and Minucci, 2017). The zinc finger transcription factor Bcl11b, which plays a critical role in T cell development, regulating early events, including T cell commitment and β-selection, as well as controlling gene expression at later stages of thymocyte maturation (Hirose et al., 2015; Kastner et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Wakabayashi et al., 2003), has been shown to associate with NuRD complexes and with Lsd1 (Hosokawa et al., 2018; Le Douce et al., 2012); however, a major role for Lsd1 in Bcl11b-mediated gene repression in thymocytes has not been demonstrated experimentally (Hosokawa et al., 2018). We found that 49 (82%) of 60 previously identified Bcl11b repressed genes, including the transcription factors Id2 and Zbtb16 (Hosokawa et al., 2018), were up-regulated in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl CD69+ DP thymocytes (Fig. 6 A), whereas only 3 (4%) of 76 Bcl11b-dependent genes were down-regulated (data not shown), indicating a crucial and selective requirement for Lsd1 for Bcl11b-mediated gene repression. We also observed a striking similarity in the effects of Bcl11b or Lsd1 deletion on the expression of specific transcriptional programs. For example, similar to Bcl11b (Hirose et al., 2015; Kastner et al., 2010), Lsd1 was required for the repression of mature T cell signature genes and for the repression of innate memory T cell–associated genes in DP thymocytes (Fig. 6, B and C). Indeed, as observed in Bcl11b-deficient mice (Hirose et al., 2015), CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice contained a large population of innate-like (CD44+ CD122hi IL-18r+ IL-7rhi Cxcr3+ CD49dhi) CD8 SP thymocytes (Fig. 6 D) that expressed high levels of Eomes and T-bet and produced IFNγ following stimulation with PMA + ionomycin (Fig. 6 D). Peripheral CD8+ T cells in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice were predominantly of the innate memory phenotype (Fig. 7 A), and, as observed in Bcl11b-deficient mice (Albu et al., 2011; Hatano et al., 2017; Kastner et al., 2010), CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice contained an increased population of innate-like NK1.1+ CD44+ γδTCR+ thymocytes (Fig. 7 B) and a reduced population of NKT cells (Fig. 7 C).

Repressive complexes that include the transcription factors Gfi1 or Bcl6 have also been reported to contain and require Lsd1 for their activity (Hatzi et al., 2019; Saleque et al., 2007). Therefore, we next examined the effect of Lsd1 deletion on expression of known Gfi1 (Doan et al., 2004; Fraszczak and Möröy, 2021; Li et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2017; van der Meer et al., 2010; Yücel et al., 2003) or Bcl6 (Gioulbasani et al., 2020; Hatzi et al., 2019; Solanki et al., 2020) target genes. Eleven Gfi1 target genes were significantly overexpressed in Lsd1 KO thymocytes, indicating that Gfi1 repressor activity was lost in the absence of Lsd1 (Fig. 7 D). Indeed, Gfi1, which is autorepressed (Doan et al., 2004), was one of the most highly overexpressed genes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes (Fig. 3 D and Fig. 7 D). Interestingly, Siprt was overexpressed in Lsd1–deficient DP thymocytes (Fig. 7 D), which likely contributed, along with the aberrant down-regulation of Siprt1 in SP thymocytes (Fig. 2 E), to the accumulation of mature SP thymocytes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice (Fig. 2 D). A similar effect was observed with the targets of the transcriptional repressor Bcl6, which was recently shown to recruit a CoREST complex containing Lsd1 (Hatzi et al., 2019); specifically, 12 of 17 target genes of Bcl6 were up-regulated in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl CD69+ DP thymocytes (Fig. 7 E).

To obtain a more detailed understanding of the effects of Lsd1 deletion on gene expression in thymocytes, we performed single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) on sorted DN, ISP, and DP thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice (Fig. S4, A–C). Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plotting of the scRNA-seq data indicated marked differences in the transcriptional profile of control and Lsd1-deficient ISP and DP thymocytes (Fig. 8 A). Notably, we confirmed mis-/overexpression of Bcl11b-dependent genes (Hosokawa et al., 2018), mature SP genes (Chopp et al., 2020), and innate memory genes (Hirose et al., 2015) as well as Bcl6–dependent genes (Gioulbasani et al., 2020) in CD4+CD8+ T cells that also expressed a canonical DP gene signature (Chopp et al., 2020; Fig. 8, B–D). In addition, these same genes were found to be mis-/overexpressed in γδ and NKT thymocytes from Lsd1-deficient mice (Fig. 8, B–D). Finally, the scRNA-seq data confirmed concurrent mis-/overexpression of these gene sets in CD4+CD8+ cells, showing that they are coexpressed in the same DP thymocytes (Fig. 8 E).

Deletion of Lsd1 results in enhanced H3K4 trimethylation at promoters of overexpressed genes

To determine if the gene dysregulation in Lsd1 KO CD69+ DP thymocytes was associated with altered histone 3 methylation, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by next-generation sequencing (ChIP-seq) on thymocytes from WT (Lsd1fl/fl and KO (CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl) mice with antibodies directed against H3K4me1, H3K4me2, or H3K4me3. Because Lsd1 functions as a histone demethylase, we looked for sites where H3K4me1, H3K4me2, or H3K4me3 marks were increased in KO versus WT thymocytes. Peak analysis of the differentially decorated sites revealed that only H3K4 trimethylated sites were significantly increased in KO thymocytes (Fig. 9 A). Also, only a small fraction (1.8%) of the total consensus H3K4 tri methylated sites (sites present in both WT and KO thymocytes) were significantly (P < 0.01) different in WT and KO thymocytes, indicating that the effect of Lsd1 deletion was restricted to a relatively small set of genes (Fig. 9 A). The majority (222 of 293 [76%]) of the enhanced H3K4me3 sites were located at presumptive gene promoters (∼1 kb from the transcription start site), and enhanced H3K4 trimethylation at gene promoters
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(F) Effect of Lsd1 deletion on expression of transcription factors that are normally either up- or down-regulated during the DN to DP developmental transition in WT mice. Genes obtained from Zhang et al. (2012). Genes designated in red or blue are nonsignificantly or significantly changed in KO versus WT, respectively. Significance was determined based on the following criteria: FDR <0.05; log(2)FC ≤1.
**Figure 5.** Up-regulation of HSC, DN stage-specific, and alternate lineage genes and checkpoint proteins in thymocytes and T cells in CD2-iCre;Lsd1\(^{fl/fl}\) mice. (A) Overexpression of the HSC surface protein Sca1 (Ly6a) on thymocytes and T cells from CD2-iCre;Lsd1\(^{fl/fl}\) mice. (B) Surface staining showing overexpression of PD-1, Ctla4, and Nrp1 on CD4 SP and CD8 SP thymocytes and T cells from CD2-iCre;Lsd1\(^{fl/fl}\) mice. Shaded, Lsd1\(^{fl/fl}\); black line, CD2-iCre;Lsd1\(^{fl/fl}\). (C) Intracellular staining showing induced expression of Ctla4 in CD4+ T cells from Lsd1 KO (CD2-iCre;Lsd1\(^{fl/fl}\)) CD69- thymocytes. (D) Expression of “alternate lineage” (myeloid cell, ILC, NK cell, NKT cell, or B cell) genes in Lsd1 KO (CD2-iCre;Lsd1\(^{fl/fl}\)) CD69- thymocytes. (E) Immgen screenshots showing expression of the indicated alternate lineage genes in WT thymocytes or WT cells of the indicated lineage. Note absence of expression in DN or DP thymocytes. Results shown in A–C are representative of three or more experiments.
Figure 6. *Lsd1*-deficient and *Bcl11b*-deficient thymocytes exhibit similar gene overexpression profiles and phenotypes. (A) Heatmap comparing expression of *Bcl11b*-repressed genes in CD69$^{-}$ DP thymocytes from control, *Lsd1*fl/fl, and *CD2-iCre;Lsd1*fl/fl mice. *Bcl11b*-repressed genes are from Hosokawa et al. (2018). Significantly overexpressed genes are designated by red bars in the last column. Arrows designate the key *Bcl11b*-repressed transcription factors Id1 and Zbtb16. (B) Heatmap comparing expression of mature T cell-restricted genes in CD69$^{-}$ DP thymocytes from control, *Lsd1*fl/fl, and *CD2-iCre;Lsd1*fl/fl mice. (C) Heatmap comparing expression of innate memory genes in CD69$^{-}$ DP thymocytes from control, *Lsd1*fl/fl, and *CD2-iCre;Lsd1*fl/fl mice. (D) Innate memory phenotype of CD8 SP thymocytes from *CD2-iCre;Lsd1*fl/fl mice. One representative of two experiments is shown.
correlated with transcriptional up-regulation in CD69− KO DP thymocytes (Fig. 9 B).

Screening of the genes with enhanced H3K4 trimethylation that are overexpressed in DP thymocytes using the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser revealed that although H3K4me1 and H3K4me2 decoration was frequently also increased, this was not a consistent trend (Fig. S4, D and E). The enhanced H3K4 trimethylation observed in KO thymocytes was not due to misexpression of known H3K4 methyltransferases or other H3K4 demethylases, because, with the exception of Kdm5a, which was slightly decreased in CD69− KO DP thymocytes, expression of these genes was either unaffected or altered in a manner not predicted to predispose to increased H3K4 methylation (data not shown).

Mining of the ChIP-seq data identified three gene clusters that appear to be epigenetically coregulated. The γδ lineage-
Figure 8. Marked gene expression differences in control and Lsd1-deficient DP thymocytes revealed by scRNA-seq. (A) UMAP plot of scRNA-seq in thymocytes from control, Lsd1\textsuperscript{fl/fl}, and Cd2\textsuperscript{iCre;Lsd1\textsuperscript{fl/fl}} mice. Thymocytes are color coded according to their distribution into clusters (names shown on right). (B–D) Left: scRNA-seq data for (B) mature SP signature genes, (C) innate memory signature genes, or (D) Bcl6-regulated (repressed) genes plotted versus DP1 (CD69\textsuperscript{−}) signature genes. Gene lists are provided in Data S1. Right: Violin plots show cluster-based average expression scores of the indicated gene sets. ****, P < 0.0001; significance determined using an ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Sidák’s multiple comparisons test. (E) Plots of scRNA-seq data on gated DP thymocytes for the indicated signature gene sets showing coexpression in the same DP cells.
Figure 9. Gene overexpression in CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> CD69<sup>−</sup> DP thymocytes is associated with enhanced H3K4 trimethylation at gene promoters. (A) Number and type of H3K4 methylation marks in Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> versus CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> thymocytes obtained by ChIP-seq with anti-H3K4me1, 2, or 3 antibodies. Shown are total consensus H3K4me1, 2, or 3 sites in Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> (WT) and CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> (KO) thymocytes, and the number of sites where decoration is significantly increased (Up), decreased (Down), or changed (Up or Down) in KO versus WT thymocytes. (B) Scatter plot of H3K4me3 intensity at gene promoters versus logarithmic fold change (Lfc) gene expression (KO versus WT) in CD69<sup>−</sup> DP thymocytes. Genes designated in red or blue are nonsignificantly or significantly changed in KO versus WT, respectively. Significance was determined based on the following criteria: FDR <0.05; log<sub>2</sub>FC ≤ 1. (C–F) UCSC Genome Browser screenshots of H3K4me3 decoration at the promoters of (C) HSC-expressed genes in DP thymocytes from Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> or CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice, (D) DN stage-specific genes in DP thymocytes from Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> (Cre<sup>−</sup>) or CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> (Cre<sup>+</sup>) mice, (E) Bcl11b-repressed genes in DP thymocytes from Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> (Cre<sup>−</sup>) or CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> (Cre<sup>+</sup>) mice, and (F) Gfi1-repressed (Foxo1, Maf) or Bcl6-repressed (Cxcr3) genes in DP thymocytes from Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> (Cre<sup>−</sup>) or CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> (Cre<sup>+</sup>) mice.
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specific genes Scart1 (CD3ε63l1) and Scart2 (583041IN06Rik), each of which is strongly overexpressed in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes (Fig. 3 D and data not shown), are closely linked on chromosome 7. The promoters and gene bodies of Scart1 and Scart2 in KO but not WT DP thymocytes were decorated with H3K4me3 (as well as H3K4me1 and H3K4me2) marks (data not shown). H3K4me1, H3K4me2, and H3K4me3 decoration was also observed at the nearby gene Olfr524 specifically in KO thymocytes, and Olfr524 was strongly overexpressed in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes (data not shown). Moreover, Olfr524 exhibited an expression pattern similar to that of Scart1 and Scart2 in WT DN thymocytes. We detected two additional coregulated gene clusters: Hqβ7-Raq on chromosome 15 and March9-Cdk4-Tspan3l on chromosome 10 (data not shown). In both cases, each gene in the cluster was overexpressed in KO DP thymocytes and was overdecorated with H3K4me3 (data not shown).

The promoters of the HSC signature genes (e.g., Hoxa7, Cd93, Ptpn3, and Ly6a [Scal]) that failed to be down-regulated in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes (Fig. 4 C) were more highly decorated with H3K4me3 than control Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes (Fig. 9 C). Likewise, promoters of the DN stage-specific genes Pdcd1, Nrp1, Clda4, and Tfnsf8 were marked with H3K4me3 in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes but not Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes (Fig. 9 D). As expected, the promoters of several HSC genes that were strongly down-regulated in both WT (Lsd1fl/fl) and KO (CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl) thymocytes (e.g., Hoxa9, Gata2, and Tali) were devoid of H3K4me3 marks (Fig. S5, A and B). Interestingly, the promoters of several other HSC-expressed genes that were strongly down-regulated in DP thymocytes were decorated with H3K4me3 in both Lsd1fl/fl and KO CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes, demonstrating that H3K4 trimethylation is not sufficient for the expression of these genes (Fig. S5, A and C).

We also found that the Bcl11b-repressed genes Zbtb16, Id2, and Klf2 were strongly decorated with H3K4me3 in Lsd1-deficient thymocytes but not control thymocytes, consistent with a requirement for Lsd1 for Bcl11b-mediated repression of these genes (Fig. 9 E). Finally, we documented enhanced H3K4me3 decoration at the promoters of genes known to be repressed by Gfi1 (Foxo1, Maf) or Bcl6 (Cxc3) in Lsd1-deficient DP thymocytes, consistent with a requirement for Lsd1 for the repression of these genes (Fig. 7, D and E; and Fig. 9 F).

Discussion

Studies investigating the role of epigenetic modification during T cell development as a mechanism for silencing gene expression have focused primarily on the deposition of inhibitory H3K27 trimethylation (Rothenberg and Zhang, 2012; Tanaka et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2012). Those studies have shown that whereas promoter H3K27 trimethylation is frequently associated with gene repression, a large number of repressed genes lack H3K27me3 marks, and H3K27 methylation appears to control limited functions in DN thymocytes such as cell proliferation rather than serving as a mechanism for widespread repression of stem cell and alternate lineage genes (Miyazaki et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2012). Previous reports have also shown an important role for removal of activating H3K4 methyl marks as a mechanism for extinguishing expression of early pluripotent genes in embryonic stem cells in HSCs during myeloopoiesis by inhibiting or deleting the H3K4me1,2-specific demethylase Lsd1 (Kdma1; Kerenyi et al., 2013; Sprüssel et al., 2012; Whyte et al., 2012). Using a similar (conditional deletion) strategy of T lineage–restricted Lsd1 deletion, we investigated the importance of Lsd1 and, by extension, H3K4 demethylation as a mechanism for regulating gene expression during early T cell development. Our results identify a critical role for Lsd1 in the down-regulation of HSC genes, confirming previous findings (Kerenyi et al., 2013), and they also reveal, for the first time, a function for Lsd1 in extinguishing expression of early gene programs that are selectively active in DN thymocytes or in alternate hematopoietic lineages, as well as establishing a key role for Lsd1 in the regulation of genes repressed by the transcription factors Bcl11b, Gfi1, and Bcl6.

Deletion of Lsd1 in DN thymocytes resulted in an incomplete block in T cell development at the DN to DP transition that was accompanied by the continued expression of HSC and DN stage-specific genes that are normally down-regulated by the DP stage as well as aberrant expression of several alternate lineage genes. However, deletion or inhibition of Lsd1 did not result in lineage divergence, indicating that inductive events are required in addition to loss of Lsd1-mediated gene repression for lineage diversion of DN thymocytes or that the level of expression of alternate lineage genes is not sufficient to initiate diversion. Peripheral CD4+ and CD8+ T cell numbers were strongly reduced in Lsd1 KO mice, indicating a block in terminal SP thymocyte development. Considering the striking gene dysregulation observed in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes, it is perhaps surprising that subsequent steps of thymocyte maturation can be successfully navigated and that mature T cells are capable of functional responses such as proliferation and cytokine production. However, we could not establish precisely when Lsd1 deletion occurred in individual cells that progress past the DP stage. Only a small percentage of DP thymocytes successfully complete the selection process, and it is possible that those cells delete Lsd1 at later stages than the bulk of DP thymocytes, possibly due to strong selective pressure against deletion. For that reason, we did not conduct an extensive evaluation of mature T cell responses or attempt to draw conclusions regarding the impact of Lsd1 deficiency on mature T cell functions.

A notable effect of Lsd1 deletion on thymocyte development was the loss of Bcl11b-mediated gene repression (Hosokawa et al., 2018), which resulted in a phenotype that closely resembled that of conditional Bcl11b-deficient thymocytes, including the de-repression of mature T cell signature genes, the generation of innate-like CD8 SP thymocytes and NK1.1γδ T cells, and a reduction in NKT cells (Albu et al., 2011; Hatano et al., 2017; Hirose et al., 2015; Kastner et al., 2010). The remarkable phenotypic similarity of Lsd1-deficient and Bcl11b-deficient thymocytes likely reflects the prominent role of Bcl11b in T cell development. Although Lsd1 had been detected as a subunit of Bcl11b/NuRD repressive complexes (Hosokawa et al., 2018; Le Douce et al., 2012), a major role for Lsd1 activity had not previously been demonstrated. For example, a recent report found that <15% of genes repressed by Bcl11b were up-regulated
following deletion of Lsd1 in DN thymocytes (Hosokawa et al., 2018). The discrepancy between those results and our own, which identify a critical and pervasive function for Lsd1 in Bcl11b-mediated gene repression, may be the relatively late loss of Bcl11b protein expression in the prior study, which used CRISPR to inactivate Lsd1 in DN thymocytes (Hosokawa et al., 2018). We also provide evidence that Lsd1 is required for the activity of Gfi1 and Bcl6 repressive complexes during T cell maturation, and we detected de-repression of several transcription factors previously reported to be Gfi1 (Maf, Foxo1, Klf2) or Bcl6 (Mycn, Stat1) targets as well several other known Gfi1 or Bcl6 target genes. Together, these results establish Lsd1 as a key factor used by several different repressive complexes during T cell development.

A previous study found that deletion of Lsd1 in HSCs results in accumulation of H3K4me1 and H3K4me2 marks at the promoters of several key HSC genes and is associated with failure to repress those genes (Kerenyi et al., 2013). In contrast, we found no strong association between increased H3K4me1 or H3K4me2 promoter decoration and gene expression in Lsd1 KO thymocytes. Instead, many genes that failed to be repressed in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes consistently exhibited only enhanced decoration with H3K4me3 marks. Thus, in thymocytes, it appears that in the absence of Lsd1-mediated H3K4me1,2 demethylation, H3K4 mono- or dimethylation marks are converted to activating H3K4me3 marks. It is notable that whereas >3,000 genes were overexpressed in Lsd1-deficient CD69+ DP thymocytes, <300 genes were H3K4me3 hypermethylated, representing <2% of all H3K4me3 decorated genes in DP thymocytes. This suggests that much of the gene overexpression observed in Lsd1 KO DP thymocytes is not a direct result of H3K4 hypermethylation but rather results from indirect effects. For example, Mef2c, Stat5b, and Runx3 did not exhibit evidence of increased H3K4me3 decoration but were strongly up-regulated in Lsd1-deficient thymocytes. The apparent cascade effect on gene expression from deletion of Lsd1 may be due to failure to extinguish the expression of a relatively small number of critical transcription factors with documented repressor activity (e.g., Bcl11b, Gfi1, Bcl6), resulting in dysregulation of their target genes in the absence of altered epigenetic modifications at those genes. Particularly notable is that Zbtb6 and Id2, which are direct targets of Bcl11b, were overexpressed in Lsd1-deficient thymocytes, and the promoters of these genes were overdecorated with H3K4me3 marks. Both genes have been shown to regulate distinct and essential gene programs in thymocytes (Hosokawa et al., 2018), and their de-repression in Lsd1-deficient thymocytes would be predicted to affect the expression of these target genes. Another consideration is that Lsd1 has been reported to perform functions independent of its H3K4 demethylase activity, such as by regulating the stability/turnover of other proteins and to contribute to gene activation by removing K370 or H3K9 methylation marks (Huang et al., 2007; Perillo et al., 2020). Although the latter activity has only been demonstrated in the context of the androgen receptor, it remains possible that some of the effects observed in Lsd1-deficient thymocytes, perhaps accounting for the instances of gene repression in the absence of Lsd1, are due to functions unrelated to H3K4 demethylase activity.

We also found that, at least in some instances, H3K4me3 promoter decoration is not sufficient for gene expression, because several HSC genes, including Lmo2, Lyli, and Hhex, were strongly down-regulated despite H3K4me3 promoter decoration. It is possible that these promoters are also marked with H3K27me3 and are “bivalent” (i.e., H3K4me3/H3K27me3 dual marked). Bivalent marking has been associated with an inactive but “poised” transcriptional state (Bernstein et al., 2006; Vastenhouw and Schier, 2012). These results reveal that the repression of some genes does not require removal of H3K4me3 marks or continuous expression of Lsd1 after they are extinguished.

Due to different experimental approaches, we were unable to directly compare the effects of loss of H3K4me1 and H3K4me2 demethylase activity (through deletion of Lsd1) with loss of H3K27me3 demethylase activity (through deletion of Utx and Jmd3; Manna et al., 2015). Whereas our approach resulted in loss of Lsd1 in mid/late-stage DN thymocytes, thereby impacting the DN to DP transition, deletion of Utx and Jmd3 was mediated by CD4-Cre, which results in deletion at the DP stage (Manna et al., 2015). The main impact of Lsd1 deletion manifested at the DP stage; however, it remains unclear if the overexpression of HSC and DN stage-specific genes also requires changes in H3K27 methylation, specifically removal of H3K27 promoter marks. Deletion of Utx and Jmd3 at the DP stage resulted in up-regulation of a limited set of genes, including some (e.g., Sipri and Klf2) that function primarily to regulate late stages of thymocyte maturation and thymocyte emigration. Interestingly, all of the genes that were dysregulated (down-regulated) in Utx/Jmd3 compound deletion mutants, namely Sipri, Klf2, Cond2, Irf4, Il6ra, Foxo1, and Lfng, were strongly overexpressed in CD2-iCre; Lsd1f/f DP thymocytes, indicating that they are also regulated by Lsd1.

In conclusion, the results reported here establish Lsd1 as a major factor used during T cell development for gene repression. Lsd1 is overexpressed in many cancer cells, and Lsd1 inhibitors have been developed as promising chemotherapeutic agents. Our results thus raise important considerations concerning the potential “off-target” effects of these inhibitors, particularly in younger individuals where T cell development is ongoing.

Materials and methods

Mice

lisd1f/f mice were obtained from M. Rosenfeld (Wang et al., 2007). hCD2-iCre and CD4-Cre transgenic mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory and Taconic Farms, respectively. Rag1-Cre transgenic mice were kindly provided by Terence Rabbitts (University of Oxford, Oxford, UK). All procedures were approved by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) Animal Care and Use Committee.

Flow cytometry

Whole thymi were dissected and processed. Thymocytes were counted and were incubated with 2.4G2 blocking antibody (BE0307; Bio X Cell) for 10 min in the dark at room temperature, washed, then stained with fluorescent conjugated antibodies for
20 min in the dark at room temperature. The following antibodies were used for flow cytometry, all from BD Biosciences unless otherwise noted: CD3e (145-2C11), CD4 (RM4-5), CD8a (53-6.7), CD24 (M1/69), CD25 (PC61), TCRβ (H57-597), TCRγδ (GL3), CD44 (IM7), CD49d (RI-2), CD62L (MEL-14), CD69 (HI.2F3), CD122 (TM-b1; eBioscience), IL7ra/CD127 (SB/199), IL8ra/CD218a (A17071D; BioLegend), Nrp1/CD304 (3E12; BioLegend), IFNγ (H1.2F3), CD122 (TM-b1; eBioscience), IL18ra/CD218a (A17071D; BioLegend), Cxcr3/CD183 (Cxcr3-173), NK1.1 (PK136; eBioscience), CD1d (National Institutes of Health Tetramer Core Facility), Sca-1/Ly-6A/E (D7), c-Kit (2B8), PD-1/IL18ra/CD218a (A17071D; BioLegend), IFNγ (H1.2F3), CD122 (TM-b1; eBioscience), GzmB (GB12; eBioscience), T-bet (4B10; eBioscience), and Eomes (Dan1Img; eBioscience). For lineage-negative thymocyte analysis, cells were incubated with the following biotinylated antibodies: CD4 (RM4-5), CD8a (53-6.7), TCRβ (H57-597), TCRγδ (GL3), CD11b/Mac-1 (M1/70), CD11c (HL3), CD19 (ID3), B220 (RA3-6B2), Gr1 (RB6-8C5), Ter119, CD49b (Dx5), NK1.1 (PK136), all from BD Biosciences. Cells were then stained with a streptavidin-conjugated Qdot 605 secondary antibody (Q1010MP; Invitrogen). Data were acquired on an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software (BD Biosciences).

Intracellular staining and cell cycle analysis

For intracellular Lsd1 staining, after cells were stained for surface markers, cells were fixed and permeabilized using an eBioscience FoxP3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (00-5523-00). Then cells were incubated with a rabbit anti-Lsd1 antibody (2139s; Cell Signaling Technology) at room temperature in the dark for 30 min, washed, then stained with an Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (A27040; Invitrogen) for 30 min in the dark at 4°C. A similar procedure was followed for FoxP3 intracellular staining, except that the FoxP3 antibody was directly fluorescent antibody conjugated (FJK-16s; eBioscience). For cell cycle analysis, after surface staining, fixation, and permeabilization, cells were stained with Ki-67 (561126; BD Biosciences) and DAPI (D21490; Invitrogen) on wet ice. Data were acquired on an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). 

**Functional assays**

A 96-well plate was coated with anti-CD3ε (145-2C11; Bio X Cell) antibodies at the following concentrations: 0 µg/100 µl, 0.2 µg/100 µl, 0.4 µg/100 µl, 0.6 µg/100 µl, and 0.8 µg/100 µl. Splenocytes and LN cells were incubated with ammonium-chloride-potassium lysing buffer (A10492-01; Gibco) to remove RBCs. A mixture of biotinylated antibodies (B220, 1:250 final; CD11b, 1:250 final; NK1.1, 1:200 final; CD44, 1:500 final; Ter119, 1:250 final; TCRγδ, 1:250 final; and 2.4G2 blocking, 1:100 final) were added to the cell suspension, followed by streptavidin bead incubation. Non-T cells were then removed by passing the cell preparation through a magnetic LS column (130-042-401; Miltenyi Biotec). The purified T cells were plated at a concentration of 2 × 10^5 cells/200 µl and incubated for 3 d in the presence or absence of 1 µg/ml of anti-CD28 antibody (37.51; Bio X Cell). Then CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes were assessed for cell surface expression of CD69. For proliferation and cytokine production assays, LN T cells and APCs were purified from total LNs and spleens, respectively. Purified T cells (2 × 10^5) and irradiated APCs (10^5) were cocultured in media containing IL2 (10 ng/ml, 212-12; PeproTech), anti-CD3e (145-2C11; eBioscience), and anti-CD28 (37.51; BioLegend) at the indicated concentrations. For the proliferation assay, T cells were stained with CellTrace Violet (C34557; Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 1:1,250 in PBS before culture. These cells were harvested after 3 d of incubation and analyzed by flow cytometry. For the cytokine secretion assays, cells were co-cultured overnight, then harvested and stimulated by PMA (2 ng/ml, F8139; Sigma-Aldrich) and ionomycin (10 ng/ml, 10634; Sigma-Aldrich). GolgiStop (1 µl/ml, 554724; BD Biosciences) was added to the media, and cells were then incubated overnight and analyzed by flow cytometry the next day.

**RNA-seq**

For RNA-seq, TCRβlow CD69+ CD44+ and TCRβlow CD69+CD44+ DP thymocytes from control Lsd1fl/fl and hCD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice were sorted using a FACSaria flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Total RNA was extracted using the Arcturus PicoPure RNA Isolation Kit (12204-01; Applied Biosystems). RNA-seq libraries were constructed using the TrueSeq Stranded mRNA LT Sample Prep Kit (RS-122-2101; Illumina) with polyadenylic acid purification. Each sample used 1 µg total RNA input. The libraries were sequenced using HiSeq 2500 Rapid Run with 2 × 100 bp sequencing. RNA-seq was performed by the NICHD Molecular Genomics Core.

Four biological replicates and two technical replicates were sequenced for each RNA sample, yielding 28 million to 62 million paired-end reads of 101 bp. Reads were trimmed for low-quality bases from the 5’ end (minimum quality, 20) using cutadapt version 2.4 (Martin, 2011). Raw RNA-seq reads were aligned using HISAT2 version 2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2019), and reads were quantified with featureCounts version 1.6.4 (Liao et al., 2014). Differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). We used an FDR of <5% and a minimum FC of twofold to define statistical significance.

**ChIP-seq**

Whole thymi were dissected and processed, and thymocytes were fixed with 1% formaldehyde. Fixation was quenched with 0.125 M glycine. Thymocytes were lysed using a lysis buffer containing 5 mM Pipes (pH 8), 85 mM KCl, and 10 µl/ml Igepal and protease inhibitor. Lysates were then homogenized, and the nuclei were lysed using a nuclear lysis buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 10 mM EDTA (pH 8), 1% SDS (vol/vol), and 10 µl/ml protease inhibitor. Isolated chromatin was sonicated to 200–500-bp fragments (Bioruptor; Diagenode). Sonicated DNA was then incubated with Protein A beads (Dynabeads; Invitrogen) conjugated to H3K4Me3 (MC315; MilliporeSigma), H3K4Me2 (AW30; MilliporeSigma), or H3K4Me1 (ab8895; Abcam) antibodies. Immunoprecipitated DNA fragments were isolated using a Takara DNA isolation kit. DNA libraries were prepared using a DNA SMART ChIP-Seq Kit (Takara), then sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 system with single-read rapid run kit (1 × 50 bp). ChIP-seq was performed by the NICHD Molecular Genomics Core. Two or three biological replicates

Stamos et al.

Role of Lsd1 in T cell development

https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20202012

16 of 19
from WT and Lsd1-KO cells were sequenced for each ChIP-seq sample (H3K4me1, n = 2; H3K4me2, n = 2; H3K4me3, n = 3), yielding a total of 15 million to 25 million single-end reads of 51 bp. Each histone mark was sequenced in an independent run, along with two biological replicates of input DNA from WT and Lsd1-KO samples. Raw ChIP-seq reads were trimmed for low-quality bases as above, using cutadapt version 2.1 and aligned using bowtie2 version 2.3.4.3 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Redundant reads were removed, and peak calling was performed using SICER version 1.1 (Zang et al., 2009). Differential binding analysis comparing Lsd1-KO with WT was performed using DiffBind version 2.10.0 with the DESeq2 analysis model using P value <0.01 to define statistical significance (Stark and Brown, 2011). The scatterplots comparing logarithmic FCs are colored on the basis of statistical significance in the CD69-KO versus WT comparison.

scRNA-seq
For cell sorting, total thymocytes from control Lsd1fl/fl and hCD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice were stained with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies against CD4, CD8a, CD103, CD5, and CD69. Sorted populations were CD8 ISP: CD4+ CD103− CD69− CD5+; DN: CD4− CD8−; unsigned DP: CD4+ CD8− CD69lo/+. A mixture of 5–10 × 10^6 cells comprising sorted DN, DP, and CD8 ISP thymocytes (ratio of ~2:1:1) was loaded, separately for each genotype, on the 10X Chromium controller. Each library was constructed using the Next Gem Single Cell 5’ Reagent Kit (version 1.1) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Two biological replicates, each with two captures (one for each genotype), were processed separately. Libraries for each replicate pair were sequenced on one NextSeq run using 26 × 98 bp. Sequencing files were processed and mapped to mm10, and count matrices were extracted using the Cell Ranger single-cell software (version 5.0). Further analyses were performed in R using the Seurat package (version 4.0.3; Butler et al., 2018; Stuart et al., 2019). Each sample was preprocessed in Seurat by removing cells that were outliers for feature (gene) counts per cell (<500 or >10,000) or >5% mitochondrial genes per cell. To identify and exclude doublet cells, we used the DoubletFinder tool (McGinnis et al., 2019). The datasets were merged and integrated using reciprocal PCA with Seurat. Following normalization, UMAP dimensional reduction was performed using the first 30 principal components. Nearest neighbors were calculated using the first 30 dimensions, and clustering was performed with a resolution of 0.3; clusters comprising <1% of total cells were removed from further analysis. The FindAllMarkers function was used to identify cluster marker genes with a minimum log_{2}FC threshold of +0.5 (cluster of interest over all other clusters). The AddModuleScore function was used to score each individual cell for indicated gene signatures. Violin plots for signature scores were constructed in GraphPad Prism software, and one-way ANOVA followed by Šidák’s multiple comparisons test was used to calculate significance.

OP9-DL1 culture
For differentiation assays, 500 ETPs + DN2 cells or 10,000 DN3 cells were placed in 24-well plates and cultured in differentiation medium (α-MEM supplemented with 20% FBS, penicillin, streptomycin, and cytokines stem cell factor (30 ng/ml), IL-7 (30 ng/ml), Flt3 (30 ng/ml), GM-CSF (10 ng/ml), IL-3 (10 ng/ml), IL-6 (10 ng/ml), M-CSF (10 ng/ml), and G-CSF (10 ng/ml) on irradiated OP9-DL1 stromal cells, as described previously (Yang et al., 2015). Cytokines were purchased from PeproTech. ETP + DN2 and DN3 cells were differentiated for 7 d. Medium was refreshed with cytokines at day 4. For differentiation assays with LSD1 inhibitor (GSK-LSD1; Sigma-Aldrich), 500 ETP + DN2 and 10,000 DN3 cells were placed in 24-well plates and cultured in differentiation medium supplemented with LSD1 inhibitor added at 0.1 µM, 0.5 µM, 2.5 µM, or 12.5 µM on irradiated OP9-DL1 stromal cells for 7 d. Medium was refreshed with cytokines and inhibitor at day 4.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows FACS staining of DN thymocytes and Treg cells from CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice and the phenotype of CD4Cre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. Fig. S2 shows additional analysis of RNA-seq data and cell cycle analysis of CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl thymocytes. Fig. S3 shows a heatmap of IFN response genes in control and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes and examples of alternate lineage genes that are mis-/overexpressed in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes. Fig. S4 shows the gating strategy for cells collected for scRNA-seq, UMAP plots of replicate scRNA-seq experiments, and examples of genes differentially decorated by H3K4me1, H3K4me2, and H3K4me3 in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl thymocytes. Fig. S5 shows examples of genes strongly down-regulated in DP thymocytes that are nevertheless decorated with H3K4me3 in both control and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl thymocytes. Data S1 lists gene signatures used for scRNA-seq analysis. Data S2 lists RNA-seq data. Data S3 lists scRNA-seq metrics.

Data availability
RNA-seq, scRNA-seq, and ChIP-seq data are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus database (accession no. GSE156198). All unique/stable reagents generated in this study are available from the corresponding author with a completed Materials Transfer Agreement.
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Figure S1. DN and Treg phenotype of CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice and phenotype of CD4-Cre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. (A) c-Kit versus CD25 surface staining of lineage-negative (DN) thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. Quadrants starting clockwise from upper left: DN1, DN2, DN3, and DN4. One representative of four experiments is shown. (B) Left: FoxP3 intracellular (ic) staining versus CD25 surface staining profiles of gated CD4+ spleen T cells from Lsd1fl/fl and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. Right: Intracellular staining for Lsd1 in gated CD4+ FoxP3+ T cells from Lsd1fl/fl and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. (C) Intracellular staining for Lsd1 in thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl and CD4-Cre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. Lsd1 staining is shown in cells within gated thymocyte subpopulations. (D) Phenotype of CD4-Cre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. Top: CD4 versus CD8 surface staining profiles of total thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl and CD4-Cre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. Numbers in each gate are the percentages of total cells. Bottom: CD24 versus TCRβ staining profiles of gated CD4 SP and CD8 SP thymocytes showing absence of a maturational defect in thymocytes from CD4-Cre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. (E) Numbers of total, DP, CD4 SP, and CD8 SP thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl and CD4-Cre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. Results shown in A–D are representative of three or more experiments.
Figure S2. Dysregulated gene expression in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes and cell cycle analysis of CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl thymocytes. (A) MA plots comparing gene expression in CD69− versus CD69+ thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl mice (left) or CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice (right). Red dots indicate genes that are significantly changed in KO versus WT thymocytes. (B) Upset plots showing the number of unique overexpressed genes (left) or down-regulated genes (right) in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes versus Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes. Note that the number of up-regulated genes is much greater than down-regulated genes in both CD69− and CD69+ CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes. (C) Left: Cell cycle analysis by Ki-67 versus DAPI staining of total thymocytes from Lsd1fl/fl and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice reveals an increase in G0 phase cells from CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. Right: Cell cycle analysis of thymocyte subsets confirms the increase in G0 phase DN4, ISP, and DP cells from CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl mice. (D) Cdk6 and Ccnd3 are down-regulated (negative log2FC) in Lsd1 KO CD69− DP thymocytes.
Figure S3. Overexpression of IFN response genes and alternate lineage genes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> DP thymocytes. (A) Heatmap of IFN response gene expression in CD69<sup>-</sup> DP thymocytes from Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> and CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice. Red bars on the right indicate significantly changed genes. (B) Overexpression of Cebp<sub>b</sub>, Egr2, Zbtb16, and Irf4 target genes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1<sup>fl/fl</sup> CD69<sup>-</sup> DP thymocytes.

| gene   | baseMean | log2FC | padj   |
|--------|----------|--------|--------|
| Cebp<sub>b</sub> | 99       | 2.8    | 1.69E-26 |
| Dusp1  | 1463     | 1.9    | 1.99E-11 |
| Bag3   | 24       | 1.2    | 1.76E-04 |
| Fos    | 2145     | 3.6    | 1.66E-22 |
| Serpin1| 53       | 0.8    | 2.90E-05 |
| Dbp    | 55       | 0.5    | 1.19E-03 |

PMID: 15985538

| gene | baseMean | log2FC | padj   |
|------|----------|--------|--------|
| Egr2 |          |        |        |
| Zbtb16 |     20   | 6.2    | 1.54E-15 |
| I2rB | 63       | 3.7    | 2.42E-08 |
| Id2   | 141      | 1.3    | 1.45E-08 |
| Ccnd2 | 660      | 6.2    | 1.66E-67 |
| Sdc9ta9 | 4638   | 1.2    | 1.97E-69 |
| Ptprv | 249      | 2.6    | 1.32E-79 |

PMID: 25123285; 22306690

| gene | baseMean | log2FC | padj   |
|------|----------|--------|--------|
| Rora | 103      | 1.1    | 4.18E-05 |
| Maf   | 1175     | 7.2    | 3.95E-270 |
| Rarg  | 94       | 6.2    | 1.17E-74 |
| Tsc22d1 | 684    | 1.2    | 3.39E-42 |
| Bcl6 | 1655     | -1.1   | 9.00E-29 |
| Runx3 | 246      | 2.7    | 7.74E-174 |
| I18r1 | 1979     | 10.1   | 6.05E-248 |

PMID: 27325774

| gene | baseMean | log2FC | padj   |
|------|----------|--------|--------|
| Pim2 | 81       | 1.1    | 1.09E-10 |
| I2f7 | 390      | 1.9    | 4.97E-95 |
| I2f44 | 23       | 6.8    | 3.03E-16 |
| Prdm1 | 30       | 1.5    | 6.99E-08 |

PMID: 25207815
Figure S4. scRNA-seq results of duplicate experiments and variable H3K4-me1,2,3 decoration of up-regulated genes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thy- mocytes. (A) FACS plot of sorted cells used for scRNA-seq. (B) Overlapped UMAP plots from Lsd1fl/fl (WT) and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl (LSD1 KO) thymocytes. (C) UMAP plots of CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl (LSD1 KO) and Lsd1fl/fl (WT) thymocytes from biological replicate experiments. (D and E) Variable decoration with H3K4me1 and H3K4me2 at overexpressed genes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl DP thymocytes. (D) Sample of up-regulated genes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl CD69− DP thymocytes. Positive log2FC values indicate that genes are overexpressed in KO thymocytes versus WT thymocytes. (E) UCSC Genome browser shots showing H3K4me1, H3K4me2, and H3K4me3 ChIP-seq plots for Lsd1fl/fl and CD2-iCre;Lsd1fl/fl CD69− DP thymocytes near the indicated genes. Screenshots are one representative of three ChIP-seq replicates.
Data S1–S3 are provided online as separate Excel files. Data S1 lists gene signatures used for scRNA-seq analysis. Data S2 lists RNA-seq data. Data S3 lists scRNA-seq metrics.

Figure S5. **H3K4 trimethylation is not sufficient for gene expression.** (A) Expression level of the indicated HSC-expressed genes in CD2-iCre;Lsd1^{fl/fl} CD69^- DP thymocytes. (B) UCSC Genome Browser screenshots showing H3K4me3 ChIP-seq results at the promoters of Hoxa9, Gata2, and Tll1. (C) UCSC Genome Browser screenshots showing H3K4me3 ChIP-seq results at the promoters of Lmo2, Lyl1, and Hhex.