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Abstract. The paper concerns the automorphism groups of Cayley graphs over cyclic groups which have a rational spectrum (rational circulant graphs for short). With the aid of the techniques of Schur rings it is shown that the problem is equivalent to consider the automorphism groups of orthogonal group block structures of cyclic groups. Using this observation, the required groups are expressed in terms of generalized wreath products of symmetric groups.

1. Introduction

A circulant graph with \( n \) vertices is a Cayley graph over the cyclic group \( \mathbb{Z}_n \), i.e., a graph having an automorphism which permutes all the vertices into a full cycle. There is a vast literature investigating various properties of this class of graphs. In this paper we focus on their automorphisms. By the definition, the automorphism groups contain a regular cyclic subgroup. The study of permutation groups with a regular cyclic subgroup goes back to the work of Burnside and Schur. Schur proved that if the group is primitive of composite degree, then it is doubly transitive (see [104]). The complete list of such primitive groups was given recently by the use of the classification of finite simple groups, see [51, 78].

One might expect transparent descriptions of the automorphism groups of circulant graphs by restricting to a suitably chosen family. A natural restriction can be done with respect to the order \( n \) of the graph. For instance, we refer to the papers [31, 64, 71] dealing with the case when \( n \) is a square-free number, \( n = p^e \) (\( p \) is an odd prime), and \( n = 2^e \), respectively. In the present paper we choose another natural family by requiring the graphs to have a rational spectrum, i.e., the family of rational circulant graphs.

To formulate our main result some notations are in order. For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we let \([n]\) denote the set \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and \( S_n \) the group of all permutations of \([n]\). Let \(([r], \preceq)\) be a poset on \([r]\). We say that \(([r], \preceq)\) is increasing if \( i \preceq j \) implies \( i \leq j \) for all \( i, j \in [r] \). Below \( \prod_{([r], \preceq)} S_n \) denotes the generalized wreath product, defined by \(([r], \preceq)\) and the
groups $S_{n_1}, \ldots, S_{n_r}$, acting on the set $[n_1] \times \cdots \times [n_r]$. For the precise formulation, see Definition 9.3.

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $G$ be a permutation group acting on the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $n \geq 2$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $G = \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S))$ for some rational circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$.

(ii) $G$ is a permutation group, which is permutation isomorphic to a generalized wreath product $\prod_{(r), \leq} S_{n_i}$, where $(r), \leq$ is an increasing poset, and $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ are in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying

(a) $n = n_1 \cdots n_r$,

(b) $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$,

(c) $(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $i \neq j$.

To the number $n_i$ in (ii) we shall also refer to as the weight of node $i$ in the poset $(r), \leq$. The following examples serve as illustrations of Theorem 1.1.

**Example 1.2.** Here $n = 6$. Up to complement, there are four rational circulant graphs:

$$K_6, K_2 \times K_3, K_{3,3}, K_{2,2,2}.$$  

The corresponding automorphism groups: $S_6, S_2 \times S_3, S_2 \wr S_3, \text{ and } S_3 \wr S_2$.

In part (ii) we get $G = S_6$ for $r = 1$. If $r = 2$, then any choice $n_1, n_2 \in \{2, 3\}$ with $n_1 n_2 = 6$ gives weights of an increasing poset on $\{1, 2\}$. For instance, if $n_1 = 2$, $n_2 = 3$, and $(\{2\}, \leq)$ is an anti-chain, then $G = S_2 \times S_3$, and the same group is obtained if we switch the values of weights. Changing the poset $(\{2\}, \leq)$ to a chain we get the wreath products $S_2 \wr S_3$ and $S_3 \wr S_2$.

**Example 1.3.** Here $n = 12$. In this example we consider the groups that can be derived from part (ii). We have $G = S_{12}$ if $r = 1$. If $r = 2$, then similarly to the previous example we deduce that $G$ is one of the groups: $S_3 \times S_1, S_3 \wr S_{n/3}, n/a \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$.

Let $r = 3$. The three nodes of $(\{3\}, \leq)$ get weights 2, 2, 3 by (a)-(b), and because of (c) the two nodes with weight 2 must be related. The possible increasing posets are depicted in Figure 1.

The weights are unique for posets (i)-(iii). In poset (iv) the only restriction is that $n_3 = 2$, in poset (v) the only restriction is that $n_1 = 2$, and weights are arbitrarily distributed for poset (vi). By Definition 9.3, we obtain the following groups:

- $S_3 \times (S_2 \wr S_2)$ corresponding to posets (i)-(iii),
- $S_2 \wr (S_2 \times S_3)$ corresponding to poset (iv)
- $(S_2 \times S_3) \wr S_2$ corresponding to poset (v),
- $S_3 \wr S_2 \times S_2, S_3 \wr S_2 \times S_2$ and $S_2 \wr S_2 \times S_2$ corresponding to poset (vi) (here the group depends also on the weights).

Finally, altogether we obtain exactly 12 possible distinct groups (including the largest $S_{12}$ and the smallest of order 48). Each such group appears exactly ones. (Attribution of the same group of order 48 to three posets is an artifice, which results
Observe that, each of these groups is obtained using iteratively direct or wreath product of symmetric groups.

For larger values of $n$ it is not true that generalized wreath product of symmetric groups may be obtained by an iterative use of direct and wreath products of symmetric groups. An example of such situation appears for $n = 36$, and it will be discussed later on in the text.

In deriving Theorem 1.1 we follow an approach suggested by Klin and Pöschel in [63], which is to explore the Galois correspondence between overgroups of the right regular representation $(\mathbb{Z}_n)_R$ in $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, and Schur rings (S-rings for short) over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. It turns out that each circulant graph $\Gamma$ generates a suitable S-ring $A$, such that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ coincides with $\text{Aut}(A)$. If in addition $\Gamma$ is a rational circulant graph, then the corresponding S-ring $A$ is also rational.

Rational S-rings over cyclic groups were classified by Muzychuk in [83]. Therefore, in principle, knowledge of [83] is enough in order to deduce our main results. Nevertheless, it is helpful and natural to interpret groups of rational circulant graphs as the automorphism groups of orthogonal group block structures on $\mathbb{Z}_n$. This implies interest to results of Bailey et al. about such groups (see [6, 13, 9]). Consideration of orthogonal group block structures as well as of crested products (see [12]) makes it possible to describe generalized wreath products as formulas over the alphabet with words “crested”, “direct”, “wreath”, and “symmetric group”. Finally, the reader will be hopefully convinced that the simultaneous use of a few relatively independent languages, like S-rings, lattices, association schemes, posets, orthogonal block structures in conjunction with suitable group theoretical concepts leads naturally to the understanding of the entire picture as well as to a rigorous proof of the main results.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 3 serves as a brief introduction to S-rings, while in sections 4 and 5 we pay attention to the particular case of rational S-rings over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. We conclude these sections by crucial Corollary 5.4, which reduces the problem to the consideration of the automorphism groups of rational S-rings over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. In Section 6 an equivalent language of block structures on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is introduced. Section 7 provides the reader an opportunity to comprehend all main ideas on a level of simple
examples. In Section 8 crested products are introduced and it is shown that their use is, in principle, enough for the recursive description of all required groups. In Section 9 poset block structures are linked with generalized wreath products, while Section 10 provides a relatively self-contained detailed proof of the main Theorem 1.1.

A number of interesting by-product results, which follow almost immediately from the consideration are presented in Section 11. Finally, in Section 12 we enter to a discussion of diverse historical links between all introduced languages and techniques, though not aiming to give a comprehensive picture of all details.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect all basic definitions and facts needed in this paper.

2.1. Permutation groups. The group of all permutations of a set \( X \) is denoted by \( \text{Sym}(X) \). We let \( g \in \text{Sym}(X) \) act on the right, i.e., \( x^g \) is written for the image of \( x \) under action of \( g \), and further we have \( x^{g_1 \cdot g_2} = (x^{g_1})^{g_2} \). Let \( K_R \) denote the right regular representation of \( K \) acting on itself, i.e., \( x^k = xk \) for all \( x, k \in K \). Two permutation groups \( K_1 \leq \text{Sym}(X_1) \) and \( K_2 \leq \text{Sym}(X_2) \) are permutation isomorphic if there is a bijection \( f : X_1 \to X_2 \), and an isomorphism \( \varphi : K_1 \to K_2 \) such that, \( f(x_1^k) = f(x_1)^{\varphi(k)} \) for all \( x_1 \in X_1, k \in K_1 \).

Two operations of permutations groups will play a basic role in the sequel. The permutation direct product \( K_1 \times K_2 \) of groups \( K_i \leq \text{Sym}(X_i), i = 1, 2 \), is the permutation representation of \( K_1 \times K_2 \) on \( X_1 \times X_2 \) acting as:

\[
(x_1, x_2)^{(k_1, k_2)} = (x_1^{k_1}, x_2^{k_2}), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2, \quad (k_1, k_2) \in K_1 \times K_2.
\]

Note that the direct product is commutative and associative.

Let \( A \leq \text{Sym}(X_1) \) and \( C \leq \text{Sym}(X_2) \) be two permutation groups. The wreath product \( A \wr C \) is the subgroup of \( \text{Sym}(X_1 \times X_2) \) generated by the following two groups: the top group \( T \), which is a faithful permutation representation of \( A \) on \( X_1 \times X_2 \), acting as:

\[
(x_1, x_2)^a = (x_1^a, x_2)\text{ for } (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2, \quad a \in A,
\]

and the base group \( B \), which is the representation of the group \( C^{X_1} \) on \( X_1 \times X_2 \), acting as:

\[
(x_1, x_2)^f = (x_1, x_2^f(x_1))\text{, } (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2, \quad f \in C^{X_1},
\]

where \( f(x_1) \) is the component (belonging to \( C \)) of \( f \), corresponding to \( x_1 \in X_1 \). (Here \( C^{X_1} \) denotes the group of all functions from \( X_1 \) to \( C \), with group operation \( (f \cdot g)(x_1) = f(x_1) \cdot g(x_1) \) for \( x_1 \in X, \ f, g \in C^{X_1} \).) The group \( T \) normalizes \( B \), \( |B \cdot T| = 1 \), therefore \( \langle B, T \rangle = B \times T \). Clearly, the group \( A \wr C \) has order \( |A \wr C| = |T| \cdot |B| = |A| \cdot |C|^{X_1} \).

Each element \( w \in A \wr C \) admits a unique decomposition \( w = tb \), where \( t \in T \) and \( b \in B \). Also element \( w \) may be denoted as \( w = [a, f(x_1)] \), called the table form of \( w \) (note that here \( x_1 \) is a symbol for a variable). By definition, \( (x_1, x_2)^w = (x_1^a, x_2^f(x_1)) \).

Note that, sometimes in wreath product \( A \wr C \) the group \( A \) is called active, while \( C \) passive groups. The wreath product is associative, but not commutative. We remark that our notation for wreath product follows, e.g., [37], and it has opposite direction in comparison with traditions accepted in modern group theory.
A permutation group $K \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ acts canonically on $X \times X$ by letting $(x_1, x_2)^k = (x_1^k, x_2^k)$. The corresponding orbits are called the 2-orbits of $K$, the set of which we denote by $2\text{-Orb}(K)$. The 2-closure $K^{(2)}$ of $K$ is the unique maximal subgroup of $\text{Sym}(X)$ that has the same 2-orbits as $K$. Clearly, $K \leq K^{(2)}$, and we say that $K$ is 2-closed if $K^{(2)} = K$.

### 2.2. Cayley graphs and circulant graphs

By a (directed) graph we mean a pair $\Gamma = (X, R)$, where $X$ is a nonempty set, and $R$ is a binary relation on $X$. In the particular case when $(x, y) \in R$ if and only if $(y, x) \in R$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $\Gamma$ is also called an undirected graph, and then $\{x, y\}$ is said to be an (undirected) edge of $\Gamma$, which substitutes $\{(x, y), (y, x)\}$. The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{Aut}((X, R))$ is the group of all permutations $g$ in $\text{Sym}(X)$ that preserve $R$, i.e., $(x^g, y^g) \in R$ if and only if $(x, y) \in R$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The adjacency matrix $A(R)$ of the relation $R$ is the $X$-by-$X$ complex matrix defined by

$$ A(R)_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in R \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} $$

The eigenvalues of $\Gamma$ are defined to be the eigenvalues of $A(R)$, and $\Gamma$ is called rational if all its eigenvalues are rational. Note that, since the characteristic polynomial of $A(R)$ has integer coefficients and leading coefficient $\pm 1$, if its eigenvalues are rational numbers, then these are in fact integers.

For a subset $S \subseteq K$, the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(K, S)$ over $K$ with connection set $S$ is the graph $(X, R)$ defined by

$$ X = K, \text{ and } R = \{(x, sx) \mid x \in K, s \in S\}. $$

Two immediate observations: the graph $\text{Cay}(K, S)$ is undirected if and only if $S = S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$; and the right regular representation $K_R$ is a group of automorphisms of $\text{Cay}(K, S)$. Cayley graphs over cyclic groups are briefly called circulant graphs.

### 2.3. Schur rings

Let $H$ be a group written with multiplicative notation and with identity $e$. Denote $\mathbb{Q}H$ the group algebra of $H$ over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers. The group algebra $\mathbb{Q}H$ consists of the formal sums $\sum_{x \in H} a_x x$, $a_x \in \mathbb{Q}$, equipped with entry-wise addition $\sum_{x \in H} a_x x + \sum_{x \in H} b_x x = \sum_{x \in H} (a_x + b_x) x$, and multiplication

$$ \sum_{x \in H} a_x x \cdot \sum_{y \in H} b_y y = \sum_{x, y \in H} (a_x b_y x y^{-1}) x. $$

Given $\mathbb{Q}H$-elements $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r$, the subspace generated by them is denoted by $\langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r \rangle$. For a subset $S \subseteq H$ the simple quantity $S$ is the $\mathbb{Q}H$-element $\sum_{x \in H} a_x x$ with $a_x = 1$ if $x \in S$, and $a_x = 0$ otherwise (see $[116]$). We shall also write $s_1, \ldots, s_k$ for the simple quantity $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$. The transposed of $\eta = \sum_{x \in H} a_x x$ is defined as $\eta^\top = \sum_{x \in H} a^{\top} x^{-1}$.

A subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{Q}H$ is called a Schur ring (for short $S$-ring) of rank $r$ over $H$ if the following axioms hold:
(SR1) $A$ has a basis of simple quantities: $A = \langle T_1, \ldots, T_r \rangle$, $T_i \subseteq H$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$.
(SR2) $T_1 = \{e\}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^r T_i = H$.
(SR3) For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $T_i \supseteq T_j$.

The simple quantities $T_1, \ldots, T_r$ are called the basic quantities of $A$, the corresponding sets $T_1, \ldots, T_r$ the basic sets of $A$. We set the notation $\text{Basic}(A) = \{T_1, \ldots, T_r\}$.

### 2.4. Posets and partitions

A partially ordered set (for short a poset) is a pair $(X, \preceq)$, where $X$ is a nonempty set, and $\preceq$ is a relation on $X$ which is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive. We write $x \prec y$ if $x \preceq y$ but $x \neq y$. For a subset $L \subseteq X$ we say an element $m \in L$ is maximal in $L$ if $m \preceq l$ implies $l = m$ for all $l \in L$. Similarly, $m \in L$ is minimal in $L$ if $l \preceq m$ implies $l = m$ for all $l \in A$. Further, we say that $i \in X$ is the infimum of $L$ if $i \preceq l$ for all $l \in L$, and if for some $i' \in X$ we have $i' \preceq l$ for all $l \in L$, then $i' = i$. Similarly, we say that $s \in X$ is the supremum of $L$ if $l \preceq s$ for all $l \in L$, and if for some $s' \in X$ we have $l \preceq s'$ for all $l \in L$, then $s = s'$. We set the notations: $i = \bigwedge L$ and $s = \bigvee L$. The infimum (supremum, respectively) does not always exist, but if this is the case, it is determined uniquely.

The poset $(X, \preceq)$ is called a lattice if each pair of elements in $X$ has infimum and supremum. Then we have binary operations $x \land y = \land\{x, y\}$ and $x \lor y = \lor\{x, y\}$. The lattice $(X, \preceq)$ is distributive if for all $x, y, z$ in $X$,

$$x \land (y \lor z) = (x \land y) \lor (x \land z),$$
$$x \lor (y \land z) = (x \lor y) \land (x \lor z).$$

If $(X, \preceq)$ is a lattice, and a subset $X' \subseteq X$ is closed under both $\land$ and $\lor$, then $(X', \preceq)$ is also a lattice, it is called a sublattice of $(X, \preceq)$.

Let $F$ be a partition of a set $X$. We denote by $R_F$ the equivalence relation corresponding to $F$, and by $A(F)$ the the adjacency matrix $A(R_F)$. We say that two partitions $E$ and $F$ of $X$ are orthogonal if for their adjacency matrices $A(E)A(F) = A(F)A(E)$ (see [10], Section 6.2] for a nice discussion of this concept). The set of all partitions of $X$ is partially ordered by the relation $\sqsubseteq$, where $E \sqsubseteq F$ ($E$ is a refinement of $F$) if any class of $E$ is contained in a class of $F$. The resulting poset is a lattice, where $E \land F$ is the partition whose classes are the intersection of $E$-classes with $F$-classes; and $E \lor F$ is the partition whose classes are the minimal subsets being union of $E$-classes and $F$-classes. The smallest element in this lattice is the equality partition $E_X$, the classes of which are the singletons; the largest is the universal partition $U_X$ consisting of only the whole set $X$.

### 3. More about S-rings

Let $H$ be a finite group written with multiplicative notation and with identity $e$. The Schur-Hadamard product $\odot$ of the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}H$ is defined by

$$\sum_{x \in H} a_x x \odot \sum_{x \in H} b_x x := \sum_{x \in H} a_x b_x x.$$

The following alternative characterization of S-rings over $H$ is a folklore (cf. [91], Theorem 3.1]): a subalgebra $A$ of $\mathbb{Q}H$ is an S-ring if and only if $e, H \in A$, and $A$ is
closed with respect to ∘ and ⊤. By this it is easy to see that the intersection of two S-rings is also an S-ring, in particular, given \( \mathbb{Q}H \)-elements \( \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k \), denote \( \langle \langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_k \rangle \rangle \) the S-ring defined as the intersection of all S-rings \( A \) that \( \eta_i \in A \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \). For \( S \subseteq H \) we shall also write \( \langle \langle S \rangle \rangle \) instead of \( \langle \langle \{\eta_i\} \rangle \rangle \), calling \( \langle \langle S \rangle \rangle \) the S-ring generated by \( S \). For two S-rings \( A \) and \( B \) over \( H \), we say that \( B \) is an S-subring of \( A \) if \( B \subseteq A \). It can be seen that this happens exactly when every basic set of \( A \) is written as the union of some basic sets of \( A \).

Let \( A \) be an S-ring over \( H \). A subset \( S \subseteq H \) (subgroup \( K \leq H \), respectively) is an \( A \)-set (\( A \)-subgroup, respectively) if \( S \subseteq A \) (\( K \subseteq A \), respectively). If \( S \subseteq H \) is an \( A \)-set, then \( (S) \) is an \( A \)-subgroup (see [116], Proposition 23.6). By definition, the trivial subgroups \( \{e\} \) and \( H \) are \( A \)-subgroups, and for two \( A \)-subgroups \( E \) and \( F \), also \( E \cap F \) and \( \langle E, F \rangle \) are \( A \)-subgroups. In other words, the \( A \)-subgroups form a sublattice of the subgroup lattice of \( H \). Let \( K \) be an \( A \)-subgroup. Define \( A_K = A \cap K \). It is easy to check that \( A_K \) is an S-ring over \( K \) and

\[
\text{Basic}(A_K) = \{ T \in \text{Basic}(A) \mid T \subseteq K \}.
\]

We shall call \( A_K \) an induced S-subring of \( A \).

Following [63], by an automorphism of an S-ring \( A = \langle \langle T_1, \ldots, T_r \rangle \rangle \) over \( H \) we mean a permutation \( f \in \text{Sym}(H) \) which is an automorphism of all basic graphs \( \text{Cay}(H, T_i) \). Thus the automorphism group of \( A \) is

\[
\text{Aut}(A) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(H, T_i)).
\]

The simplest examples of an S-ring are the whole group algebra \( \mathbb{Q}H \), and the subspace \( \langle e, H \setminus \{e\} \rangle \). The latter is called the trivial S-ring over \( H \). Further examples are provided by permutation groups \( G \) which are overgroups of \( H_R \) in \( \text{Sym}(H) \) (i.e., \( H_R \leq G \leq \text{Sym}(H) \)). Namely, letting \( T_1 = \{e\}, T_2, \ldots, T_r \) be the orbits of the stabilizer \( G_e \) of \( e \) in \( G \), it follows that the subspace \( \langle \langle T_1, \ldots, T_r \rangle \rangle \) is an S-ring over \( H \) (see [116], Theorem 24.1)). This fact was proved by Schur, and the resulting S-ring is also called the transitivity module over \( H \) induced by the group \( G_e \), notation \( V(H, G_e) \). It turns out that not every S-ring over \( H \) arises in this way, and we call therefore an S-ring \( A \) Schurian if \( A = V(H, G_e) \) for a suitable overgroup \( G \) of \( H_R \) in \( \text{Sym}(H) \). The connection between permutation groups and S-rings is reflected in the following proposition (see [91], Theorem 3.13)

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be arbitrary S-rings over \( H \), and let \( G \) and \( K \) be arbitrary overgroups of \( H_R \) in \( \text{Sym}(H) \). Then

(i) \( A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{Aut}(A) \supseteq \text{Aut}(B) \).

(ii) \( G \leq K \Rightarrow V(H, G_e) \supseteq V(H, K_e) \).

(iii) \( A \subseteq V(H, \text{Aut}(A)_e) \).

(iv) \( G \leq \text{Aut}(V(H, G_e)) \).

The above proposition describes a Galois correspondence between S-rings over \( H \) and overgroups of \( H_R \) in \( \text{Sym}(H) \). We remark that it is a particular case of a Galois correspondence between coherent configurations and permutation groups (cf. [114], [35]).
The starting point of our approach toward Theorem 1.1 is the following consequence
of the Galois correspondence, which is formulated implicitly in [114].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $H$ be a finite group and $S \subseteq H$. Then

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(H,S)) = \text{Aut}(\langle \langle S \rangle \rangle).$$

4. Rational $S$-rings over cyclic groups

In this section we turn to $S$-rings over cyclic groups. Our goal is to provide a de-
scription of those $S$-rings $A$ that $A = \langle \langle S \rangle \rangle$ for some rational circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n,S)$.

Throughout the paper the cyclic group of order $n$ is given by the additive cyclic
group $\mathbb{Z}_n$, written as $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Note that, we have switched from mul-
tiplicative to additive notation. For a positive divisor $d$ of $n$, $\mathbb{Z}_d$ denotes the unique
subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of order $d$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Z}_d = \langle m \rangle = \{xm \mid x \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}\},$$

where $n = dm$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \gcd(i,n) = 1\}$, i.e., the multiplicative group of invertible elements
in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_n$. (By some abuse of notation $\mathbb{Z}_n$ stands parallel for both the ring and
also its additive group. Moreover, sometimes $\mathbb{Z}_n$ simply denotes the set of symbols
$0, 1, \ldots, n-1$.) For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, and a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$, define $S^{(m)} = \{ms \mid s \in S\}$. Two
subsets $R, S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ are said to be conjugate if $S = R^{(m)}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. The trace $\hat{S}$
of $S$ is the union of all subsets conjugate to $S$, i.e.,

$$\hat{S} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} S^{(m)}.$$  

The elements $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ act on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ as group of automorphisms by sending $x$ to $mx$. We
have corresponding orbits

$$(\mathbb{Z}_n)_d = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \gcd(x,n) = d\},$$

where $d$ runs over the set of positive divisors of $n$. The complete $S$-ring of traces is the
transitivity module

$$V(\mathbb{Z}_n,\mathbb{Z}_n^*) = \langle \langle \mathbb{Z}_n \rangle \rangle.$$  

By the rational (or trace) $S$-rings over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ we mean the $S$-subrings of $V(\mathbb{Z}_n,\mathbb{Z}_n^*)$. For
an $S$-ring $A$ over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ its rational closure $\hat{A}$ is the $S$-ring defined as $\hat{A} = A \cap V(\mathbb{Z}_n,\mathbb{Z}_n^*)$,
and thus $A$ is rational if and only if $A = \hat{A}$.

Recall that a circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n,S)$ is rational if it has a rational spectrum.
The following result describes its connection set $S$ in terms of the generated $S$-rings
$\langle \langle S \rangle \rangle$ (cf. [22]).

**Theorem 4.1.** A circulant graph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n,S)$ is rational if and only if the generated $S$-ring $\langle \langle S \rangle \rangle$ is a rational $S$-ring over $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

It follows from the theorem that $S$ is a union of some sets of the form $(\mathbb{Z}_n)_d$. In
particular, exactly $2^{\tau(n)-1}$ subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ define a rational circulant graph without loops
(i.e., $0 \notin S$). Here $\tau(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of $n$. As we shall see
in 11.1, the resulting graphs are pairwise non-isomorphic.
5. Properties of rational S-rings over cyclic groups

Denote $L(n)$ the lattice of positive divisors of $n$ endowed with the relation $x \mid y$ ($x$ divides $y$). For two divisors $x$ and $y$, we write $x \wedge y$ for their greatest common divisor, and $x \vee y$ for their least common multiple. Note that, the lattice $L(n)$ is distributive, and if $L$ is any set of positive divisors of $n$, then the poset $(L, |)$ is a sublattice of $L(n)$ if and only if $L$ is closed with respect to $\wedge$ and $\vee$. By some abuse of notation this sublattice we shall denote by $L$ as well.

For a sublattice $L$ of $L(n)$, and $m \in L$, we define the sets

$$L_{[m]} = \{ x \in L \mid x \mid m \}, \text{ and } L_{[m]^\prime} = \{ x \in L \mid m \mid x \}.$$ 

It is not hard to see that these are sublattices of $L(n)$.

The following classification of rational S-rings over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is due to Muzychuk (see [89] Main Theorem]).

**Theorem 5.1.**

(i) Let $L$ be a sublattice of $L(n)$ such that $1, n \in L$. Then the vector space $A = \langle Z_l \mid l \in L \rangle$ is an S-ring over $\mathbb{Z}_n$, which is rational.

(ii) Let $A$ be a rational S-ring over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Then there exists a sublattice $L$ of $L(n)$, $1, n \in L$, such that $A = \langle Z_l \mid l \in L \rangle$.

We remark that if $A = \langle Z_l \mid l \in L \rangle$ is the S-ring in part (i) above, then the simple quantities $Z_l$ form a basis of the vector space $A$, where $l$ runs over the set $L$. This basis we shall also call the group basis of $A$. It is also true that all $A$-subgroups appear in this basis, i.e., for any subgroup $Z_k \leq \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have $Z_k \in A$ if and only if $k \in L$.

The basic quantities of the rational S-ring $A$ are easily obtained from its group basis, namely $\text{Basic}(A)$ consists of the sets:

$$(2) \quad \hat{Z}_l = Z_l \setminus \bigcup_{d \in L_{[l]}, d < l} Z_d, \quad l \in L.$$ 

In the rest of this section we are going to prove that rational S-rings over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ are generated by subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. More formally, that every rational S-ring $A$ over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfies $A = \langle S \rangle$, where $S$ is a suitable subset $S \subseteq A$. Notice that, the corresponding circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$ is rational (see Theorem [4.1]), and its automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)) = \text{Aut}(A)$ (see Theorem [3.2]).

We start with an auxiliary lemma, for which the authors thank Muzychuk (see [89]).

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $L$ be a sublattice of $L(n)$, $1, n \in L$. Let $m$ be a maximal element of the poset $(L \setminus \{n\}, |)$, and $s$ be the smallest number in the set $L \setminus L_{[m]}$. Then

$$L \setminus L_{[m]} = \left\{ x \frac{s}{m \wedge s} \mid x \in (L_{[m]})^{[m \wedge s]} \right\}.$$ 

**Proof.** Define the mapping

$$f : L \setminus L_{[m]} \to L_{[m]}, \quad l \mapsto m \wedge l.$$
Let $l \in L \setminus L_{[m]}$. As $m$ is maximal, $l \lor m = s \lor m = n$. By distributive law, $(l \land s) \lor m = (l \lor m) \land (s \lor m) = n$. Thus $l \land s \in L \setminus L_{[m]}$, and by the choice of $s$, $s \leq l \land s$, hence $s \mid l, (m \land s) \mid f(l)$, and $f(l) \in (L_{[m]})^{[m\land s]}$.

On the other hand, choose $x \in (L_{[m]})^{[m\land s]}$. Then $l = s \lor x$ is in $L \setminus L_{[m]}$, and we find $f(l) = m \land l = (m \land s) \lor (m \land x) = (m \land s) \lor x = x$. Also, $f(L \setminus L_{[m]}) = (L_{[m]})^{[m\land s]}$.

For each $l \in L \setminus L_{[m]}$,

$$s \lor f(l) = s \lor (m \land l) = (s \lor m) \land (s \lor l) = n \land l = l.$$  

The lemma follows as

$$L \setminus L_{[m]} = \{ s \lor f(l) \mid l \in L \} = \left\{ s \lor x = \frac{s}{m \land s} x \mid x \in (L_{[m]})^{[m\land s]} \right\},$$

here we use the property $x \land s = m \land s$.

\[\text{Proposition 5.3.} \text{ Let } A \text{ be a rational } S\text{-ring over } \mathbb{Z}_n. \text{ Then there exists a subset } S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ such that } A = \langle \mathbb{Z}_n \rangle.\]

\textbf{Proof.} We proceed by induction on $n$. The case $n = 1$ is trivially true. Let $n > 1$. By (ii) of Theorem 5.1

$$A = \langle 0 \mid l \in L \rangle,$$

where $L$ is a sublattice of $L(n), 1, n \in L$. Let $m$ be a maximal element in the poset $(L \setminus \{n\}, \lor)$, and $s$ be the smallest number in the set $L \setminus L_{[m]}$. Apply the induction hypothesis to the induced $S$-subring $A|_{\mathbb{Z}_m} = A \cap \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Z}_m$. This results in a subset $R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $A|_{\mathbb{Z}_m} = \langle R \rangle$. Pick the basic set $\hat{Z}_s \in \text{Basic}(A)$, see (2). By the choice of $s$ we get

$$\hat{Z}_s = Z_s \setminus \bigcup_{d \in L_{[s]} \setminus d < s} Z_d = Z_s \setminus Z_{m \land s}.$$

Let

$$S = R \cup \hat{Z}_s, \text{ and } A' = \langle S \rangle.$$

It is clear that $S$ equals its trace $\hat{S}$, so $A'$ is a rational $S$-ring. We complete the proof by showing that in fact $A = A'$.

As $\hat{Z}_s \in A, \hat{S} \in A$, hence $A' \subseteq A$. By (4), to have $A \subseteq A'$ it is enough to show that, for any positive divisor $l$ of $n$,

$$l \in L \implies \hat{Z}_l \in A'.$$

We show first that $Z_l \in A'$. Let $T \in \text{Basic}(A')$ such that $(\mathbb{Z}_n)_{n/s} \subseteq T$. Consider the subgroup $\langle T \rangle$, and let $\langle T \rangle = Z_t$. As $A' \subseteq A, T \in A$, and therefore $Z_t$ is in $A$. This gives $t \in L$. Clearly, $t \in L \setminus L_{[m]}$, and hence $t = s \lor (m \lor t)$, see (3). It follows from the description of basic sets in (2) that $T$ contains a generator of $\langle T \rangle = Z_t$. Thus if $t \neq s$, then $T \cap (\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \mathbb{Z}_m \setminus Z_s) \neq \emptyset$. But, $T \subseteq S$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m \cup Z_s$, implying that $t = s$, and so $Z_s$ is in $A'$.

Thus $S \setminus Z_s = R \setminus Z_s \in A'$. Let $s < n$. We may further assumed that $R \cap (\mathbb{Z}_n)_{n/m} \neq \emptyset$, otherwise replace $R$ with its complement in $\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus \{0\}$. Thus we find
where $Z_{m} = \langle R \setminus Z_{s} \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$. If $s = n$, then we may assume that $(Z_{m} \setminus R) \cap (Z_{n})_{n/m} \neq \emptyset$. From this $Z_{m} = (Z_{n} \setminus S) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$
\mathcal{A}|_{Z_{m}} = \langle \langle R \rangle \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{A}'|_{Z_{m}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}|_{Z_{m}},
$$

from which $\mathcal{A}|_{Z_{m}} = \mathcal{A}'|_{Z_{m}}$. We conclude that (5) holds if $l \in L_{[m]}$.

Let $l \in L \setminus L_{[m]}$. By (3) we can write $l = s \lor l'$, where $l' = m \land l$ is in $L_{[m]}$. Then $Z_{l} = \langle Z_{s}, Z_{l} \rangle$. As both $Z_{l} \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $Z_{l} \in \mathcal{A}'$, $Z_{l} \in \mathcal{A}'$ follows, and this completes the proof of (5).

By Theorems 3.2, 4.1 and Proposition 5.3, we obtain the following equivalence.

Corollary 5.4. Let $G$ be a permutation group acting on the cyclic group $Z_{n}$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $G = \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(Z_{n}, S))$ for some rational circulant graph $\text{Cay}(Z_{n}, S)$.

(ii) $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ for some rational $S$-ring $\mathcal{A}$ over $Z_{n}$.

6. From rational $S$-rings to block (partition) structures

A block structure $\mathcal{F}$ on a set $X$ is simply a collection of partitions of $X$. A partition $F$ of $X$ is uniform if all classes of $F$ are of the same cardinality. Block structure $\mathcal{F}$ is called orthogonal (see e.g. [10]) if the following axioms hold:

(OBS1) $E_{X}, U_{X} \in \mathcal{F}$.

(OBS2) Every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is uniform.

(OBS3) Every two $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$ are orthogonal.

(OBS4) For every two $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$, both $E \land F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $E \lor F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Note that, if $\mathcal{F}$ is orthogonal, then the poset $(\mathcal{F}, \subseteq)$ is a lattice, where $\subseteq$ is the refinement relation defined on the set of partitions of $X$. Below we say that $\mathcal{F}$ is distributive if the lattice $(\mathcal{F}, \subseteq)$ is distributive.

The following example of a block structure is crucial in the sequel.

Example 6.1. (group block structure) Let $H$ be an arbitrary group, and $K$ be a subgroup of $H$. Denote by $F_{K}$ the partition of $H$ into right cosets of $K$. A group block structure on $H$ is a block structure $(H, \{F_{K} \mid K \in \mathcal{K}\})$ where $\mathcal{K}$ is a set of subgroups of $H$ satisfying the following axioms:

(GBS1) The trivial subgroup $\{e\}$ is in $\mathcal{K}$.

(GBS2) For every two $K_{1}, K_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$, $K_{1}K_{2} = K_{2}K_{1}$, and $K_{1}K_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$.

It follows that the group block structure $(H, \{F_{K} \mid K \in \mathcal{K}\})$ is orthogonal if and only if $H \in \mathcal{K}$, and $(\mathcal{K}, \leq)$ is a sublattice of the subgroup lattice of $H$.

In this context Theorem 5.1 can be rephrased as follows.

Theorem 6.2.

(i) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an orthogonal group block structure on $Z_{n}$. Then the vector space $\mathcal{A} = \langle Z_{l} \mid F_{Z_{l}} \in \mathcal{F} \rangle$ is an $S$-ring over $Z_{n}$.
(ii) Let $A$ be a rational $S$-ring over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Then there exists an orthogonal group block structure $F$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $A = \langle \mathbb{Z}_i \mid F_{Z_i} \in F \rangle$ (here again equality means equality of vector spaces).

For $i = 1, 2$, let $F_i$ be a block structure on $X_i$. Following [9], a weak isomorphism from $F_1$ to $F_2$ is a bijection $f : X_1 \to X_2$ such that there exists a bijection $g : F_1 \to F_2$ for which $(x_1, y_1) \in R_F$ if and only if $(x_1^f, y_1^g) \in R_{F_2}$ for all $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$, and $F \in F_1$. The mapping $f$ is also called a strong isomorphism with respect to $g$, or simply a strong isomorphism if $g$ is understood. In particular, a weak automorphism of $F$ is a weak isomorphism of $F$ onto itself, and a strong automorphism (or an automorphism) is a weak automorphism which is strong with respect to the identity. The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(F)$ of $F$ is therefore the permutation group (see also [6])

$$\text{Aut}(F) = \bigcap_{F \in F} \text{Aut}((X, R_F)).$$

**Proposition 6.3.** Let $A$ be a rational $S$-ring over $\mathbb{Z}_n$, and $F$ be an orthogonal group block structure on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $A = \langle \mathbb{Z}_i \mid F_{Z_i} \in F \rangle$. Then $\text{Aut}(A) = \text{Aut}(F)$.

**Proof.** Let $L$ be the sublattice of $L(n)$ corresponding to $F$. To ease notation, we write $R_l$ for the relation $R_{F_{Z_l}}$, where $l \in L$. Then $A$ has basic sets $\hat{Z}_l$, $l \in L$, see [2]. Let $\hat{R}_l$ be the relation on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ that is given by the arc set of $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \hat{Z}_l)$, i.e., $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \hat{Z}_l) = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \hat{R}_l)$. Thus for $l \in L$,

$$\hat{R}_l = R_l \setminus \bigcup_{d \in L_{l]} \setminus d < l} R_d, \text{ and } R_l = \bigcup_{d \in L_{l]}} \hat{R}_d.$$

Thus for $g \in \text{Aut}(A)$, $R_{g_l} = \cup_{d \in L_{l]} \setminus d < l} \hat{R}_d^{g} = \cup_{d \in L_{l]} \setminus d < l} \hat{R}_d = R_l$, and so $g \in \text{Aut}(F)$. Similarly, if $g \in \text{Aut}(F)$, then $\hat{R}_d = \hat{R}_d \setminus \cup_{d \in L_{l]} \setminus d < l} R_d = \hat{R}_l \setminus \cup_{d \in L_{l]} \setminus d < l} R_d = R_l$, implying $g \in \text{Aut}(A)$. Therefore $\text{Aut}(A) = \text{Aut}(F)$. $\blacksquare$

We remark that the above correspondence in Theorem 6.2 is a particular case of a correspondence between orthogonal block structures and association schemes, see the discussion in 11.2.

By Corollary 5.4, Theorem 6.2, and Proposition 6.3, we obtain the following equivalence.

**Corollary 6.4.** Let $G$ be a permutation group acting on the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$. The following are equivalent:

(i) $G = \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S))$ for some rational circulant graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)$.

(ii) $G = \text{Aut}(F)$ for some orthogonal group block structure $F$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

**7. Simple examples**

We interrupt the main line of the presentation, exposing a few simple examples. The goal is to provide the reader additional helpful context. Recall that according to the previous propositions each rational $S$-ring over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is uniquely determined by a suitable sublattice of the lattice $L(n)$, or in equivalent terms, by a suitable block
structure on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). Moreover, for each rational S-ring a Cayley graph may be found which generates the S-ring in certain prescribed sense. Nevertheless, in many cases consideration of several Cayley graphs in role of generators allows to better comprehend the considered S-ring. Each time in this section we abuse notation, identifying lattices with their S-rings.

Our first example refines Example 1.2.

**Example 7.1.** Here \( n = 6 \), we first depict lattice \( L = L(6) \). Clearly \( L \) has 3 sublattices containing 1 and 6 as shown in Figure 2. \( \text{Aut}(L_0) = S_6 \). The sublattice \( L_1 \) is generated by the point 3, which may be regarded as partition \( \{\{0, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 5\}\} \). \( \text{Aut}(L_1) \) is recognized as the wreath product \( S_2 \wr S_3 \) of order \( 2 \cdot (3!)^2 = 72 \). Similarly, \( \text{Aut}(L_2) \) is the wreath product of order \( 3! \cdot (2!)^3 = 48 \). A significant message is that, for lattice \( L \) we have \( \text{Aut}(L) = \text{Aut}(L_1) \cap \text{Aut}(L_2) = S_3 \times S_2 \), a transitive group of order 12, containing \((\mathbb{Z}_6)_R\) as a subgroup.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Sublattices of \( L(6) \).}
\end{figure}

Next two rules appear as natural generalization of the observations learned from Example 7.1. Recall that a partition \( E \) is a refinement of partition \( F \) if each class of \( E \) is a part of some class of \( F \).

**Rule 1.** The partition defined by node \( k \) is a refinement of the partition defined by node \( kl \), see part (i) of Figure 3. This is also called nesting of partitions (see \cite{12}). In this case \( \text{Aut}(L) = S_l \wr S_k \).

**Rule 2.** Let \( \gcd(k, l) = 1 \). Each class of the partition defined by node \( kl \) is union of classes defined by nodes \( k \) and \( l \), respectively, such that the latter partitions have classes intersecting in at most one element, see part (ii) of Figure 3. This is also called crossing of partitions (see \cite{12}). In this case \( \text{Aut}(L) = S_k \times S_l \).

The following simple reductions rules are clear generalizations of the above Rules 1 and 2.

**Reduction rule 1.** This falls into two cases: either each partition defined by node \( i, i \neq lm \), is a refinement of the partition defined by node \( m \), see (i) of Figure 4; or the partition defined by node \( l \) is a refinement of each partition defined by node \( i, i \neq 1, \ldots, p \), see (ii) of Figure 4.
see (ii) of Figure 4. In the first case $\text{Aut}(L) = S_l \wr \text{Aut}(L_1)$, and in the second case $\text{Aut}(L) = \text{Aut}(L_1) \wr S_l$.

**Reduction rule 2.** Here $n = ij, \gcd(i,j) = 1$, and $L = L_1 \times L_2$ is a direct product of sublattices $L_1$ of $L(i)$ and $L_2$ of $L(j)$. An essential property of such situation is that the entire lattice $L$ contains a sublattice, isomorphic to (ii) in Figure 3. (This fact is conditionally depicted in (iii) of Figure 4.) In this case $\text{Aut}(L) = \text{Aut}(L_1) \times \text{Aut}(L_2)$.

The created small toolkit of rules proves immediately its efficiency.

**Example 7.2.** Here $n = p^e$, $p$ is a prime number. In this case each sublattice of $L(p^e)$ forms a chain, hence can be constructed with using only Reduction rule 1. Thus the automorphism group of each sublattice of $L(p^e)$ is an iterated wreath product of symmetric groups.

**Example 7.3.** Here $n = pqr$, $p$, $q$, and $r$ are distinct primes. One can case by case describe possible sublattices of $L(pqr)$ and in each case to express corresponding automorphism group with the aid of operation of direct and wreath products.

For example, for the sublattice $L$ in Figure 5 we easily obtain $\text{Aut}(L) = (S_q \wr S_r) \times S_p$. (Indeed, here $L$ is a direct product of two chains with 2 and 3 nodes.)
Figure 5. Sublattice $L$ of $L(pqr)$.

It is not true however that such easy life is possible for arbitrary value of $n$. A simple case of a failure is provided by $n = p^2 q^2$, where $p, q$ are distinct primes. To make presentation more clear and visible let us consider a concrete sublattice $L$ of $L(36)$.

**Example 7.4.** Here $n = 36$, and let $L$ be the sublattice of $L(36)$ given in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Sublattice $L$ of $L(36)$.

At this stage we wish to describe the automorphism group of $L$, using transparent, clear and intuitive arguments, avoiding however more rigorous justification. We note that we will return to the lattice $L$ in this example a few times in our further presentation. It may be convenient for us to identify the group $\text{Aut}(L)$ with the group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, for a suitable Cayley graph $\Gamma$. Recall that as a rule, one may find several possibilities to reach such graph (cf. Section 5). We however wish to use first a more dogmatic (in a sense naïve) approach, which is based completely on the paper [84]. Basing on this text, we easily identify the unique S-ring which corresponds to $L$. (We admit that our theoretical reasonings were, in addition, confirmed independently with the aid of a computer via the use of COCO (see [36]).) Thus we reach that the S-ring defined
by $L$ has rank 8 with the basic sets $B_k$ as follows (see also (2)):

$$Q_{36}, Q_2 \cup Q_4, Q_3, Q_6, Q_9, Q_{12}, Q_{18}, Q_{1},$$

where $Q_d$ stands for the set $Q_d = (\mathbb{Z}_{36})_d = \{x \in L(36) \mid \gcd(x, 36) = d\}$. Our goal is to describe

$$G = \bigcap_{k=1}^{7} \operatorname{Aut}(\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{36}, B_k))$$

as the permutation group preserving each of 7 non-trivial basic Cayley graphs. It turns out however that we may avoid consideration of all 7 basic graphs. (We refer the reader to the texts [37, 65, 116] for discussion of corresponding tools, in particular Galois correspondence between S-rings and permutation groups as well as Schur-Wielandt principle.)

Thus, acting in such spirit, we observe that it is possible to disregard basic sets $Q_1, Q_{18}, Q_{12}$, and $Q_9$. Therefore now we define $G$ as group which preserves three Cayley graphs $\Gamma_i$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ defined by basic sets $Q_2 \cup Q_4, Q_6$ and $Q_3$. These three graphs are conditionally depicted on the three diagrams below (see also discussion of the rules of the game accepted in these figures). We admit that ad hoc reasonings are playing a significant role in the ongoing exposition.

Graph $\Gamma_1$ is nothing else but a regular graph of valency 12, which has a quotient graph $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ on 12 metavertices, see Figure 7. Each metavertex consists of subsets $\{i, 12 + i, 24 + i\}$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{12}$. Each metaedge substitutes 9 edges in complete bipartite graphs $K_{3,3}$. The graphs $\Gamma_1$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ have two connectivity components corresponding to even and odd elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$. An easy way to describe isomorphism type of the components of $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ is $3 \circ K_2$, the complement of a 1-factor on 6 points.

Graph $\Gamma_2$ is a disconnected graph of the form $6 \circ C_6$, see Figure 8. Each cycle $C_6$ is defined on two metavertices from $\Gamma_1$. Correspondence is observed from diagram.
Graph $\Gamma_3$ has a more sophisticated nature. It has three connectivity components defined by the value of $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{36}$ modulo 3, one of them is depicted in Figure 9. Each connectivity component is a bipartite graph with bipartition to odd and even elements. In addition, each component is 3-partite with the parts visible on the picture. Thus finally it may be convenient to regard edge set of a connectivity component as union of edges from 6 disjoint quadrangles.

Now we are prepared to claim that the desired group $G$ has the following structure:

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \cdot \left( (S_3 \wr S_3) \cdot \mathbb{Z}_2 \right),$$

and thus it has order $2^6 \cdot 6^4 \cdot 2 = 2^{11} \cdot 3^4$. To prove this claim, we will present concrete automorphisms from $G$, will comment their action on the basic graphs, and will count the order of the group, generated by these permutations. First we wish to describe 64 permutations from $G$, which preserve each metavertex of $\Gamma_1$ and each connectivity component of $\Gamma_2$. (Of course, in addition, they preserve the remaining graph, this time
In fact, we restrict ourselves by list of 3 permutations which are corresponding to the connectivity component of $\Gamma_3$ given in Figure 8.

$$
\begin{align*}
g_1^{(1)} &= (3, 15)(6, 30)(12, 24)(21, 33), \\
g_2^{(1)} &= (0, 12)(18, 30)(9, 21)(3, 27), \\
g_3^{(1)} &= (0, 24)(6, 18)(9, 33)(15, 27).
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, two more sets of permutations $g_1^{(i)}, g_2^{(i)}, g_3^{(i)}, i = 2, 3$, are defined. Altogether, involutions from three groups, isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ are listed. Direct product of these three groups provides group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^6$, forming first factor in description of $G$.

Now we wish to justify part of the formula $S_3 \wr S_3$. It is helpful to think about the group acting faithfully on the set of 9 anti-cliques of size 4 visible from the diagram of $\Gamma_3$. First, consider permutations on $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ defined as

$$
g_4 : \quad x \mapsto x + 4, \quad \text{and} \quad g_5 = (0)(1, 35)(2, 34)(3, 33) \cdots (17, 19)(18).
$$

Clearly, these permutations generate a subgroup, which acts as $S_3$ on the connected components of $\Gamma_3$ and preserves odd and even parts. On next step, consider

$$
\begin{align*}
g_6^{(1)} &= (0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30)(3, 9, 15, 21, 27, 33), \quad \text{and} \\
g_7^{(1)} &= g_1^{(1)} = (3, 15)(6, 30)(12, 24)(21, 33).
\end{align*}
$$

Check that $\langle g_6^{(1)}, g_7^{(1)} \rangle$ acts as $S_3$ on the connected component of $\Gamma_3$ given in Figure 8, it preserves other components, and of course it is an automorphim group of two remaining basic graphs. Similarly, two more sets of permutations $\langle g_6^{(i)}, g_7^{(i)} \rangle, i = 2, 3$, are defined. Last natural permutation on $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ is defined as $g_8 : x \mapsto x + 1$, which clearly interchanges odd and even vertices.

We suggest the reader to check that the permutations $g_1^{(i)}, g_2^{(i)}, \ldots, g_8$ exposed above (which belong to $G$ indeed) generate the group of the desired order $2^{11} \cdot 3^4$.

It is a standard exercise in computational algebraic graph theory to confirm that we already encountered the entire group $G$.

In next sections group $G$ will appear again, though in different incarnations, thus helping the reader again and again to build a bridge between our theoretical reasonings and practical ad hoc computations.

8. Crested products

In this section we provide a short digest of the paper [12], which is adopted essentially for the purposes of the current presentation. We refer to [12] for accurate proofs of the claims presented below, while ongoing level of rigor follows the intuitive style of the previous section.

Recall that our foremost goal is to investigate and to extend the possibility to build arbitrary sublattice $L$ of $L(n)$ from trivial lattices using only simple reduction rules. The trivial sublattice of $L(n)$ consists of only the elements 1 and $n$, and it will be denoted by $T_n$. We may prove that such “easy life” is possible if and only if $n = p^e$
or \( n = p^r q \) or \( n = pqr \) for distinct primes \( p, q \) and \( r \) (see Section 11). We wish to define binary operation \( \otimes_d, d \in \mathbb{N} \), for lattices with the following goals in mind.

- Special cases of \( \otimes_d \) give back simple reduction rules.
- Every sublattice \( L \) of \( L(n) \) such that \( 1, n \in L \) can be built from trivial lattices using only operations \( \otimes_d \).
- If \( L \) is built from trivial lattices as

\[
L = T_{d_1} \otimes_{d_{k-1}} \left( T_{d_{k-1}} \otimes_{d_{k-2}} \cdots \otimes_{d_2} (T_{d_2} \otimes_{d_1} T_{d_1}) \cdots \right),
\]

then \( \text{Aut}(L) \) can be nicely described in terms of symmetric groups \( \text{Aut}(T_{d_1}) = S_{d_1} \).

In what follows this desired operation \( \otimes_d \) will be called crested product. The word “crested”, suggested in [12], is a mixture of “crossed” and “nested”, and is also cognate with the meaning of “wreath” in “wreath product”. Due to the existence of the bijections between \( S \)-rings of traces over \( \mathbb{Z}_n \), sublattices of \( L(n) \), rational association schemes (invariant with respect to regular cyclic groups) and orthogonal group block structures on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \), the desired new operation may be translated in a few corresponding diverse languages. We prefer to start with orthogonal block structures (see [12], Definition 3)).

For \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( F_i \) be a partition of \( X_i \). Define \( F_1 \times F_2 \) to be the partition of \( X_1 \times X_2 \) whose adjacency matrix \( A(F_1 \times F_2) \) is \( A(F_1) \otimes A(F_2) \) (see 2.4).

**Definition 8.1.** For \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( F_i \) be an orthogonal block structure on a set \( X_i \), and let \( F_i \in F_i \). The (simple) crested product of \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) with respect to \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) is the following set \( \mathcal{P} \) of partitions of \( X_1 \times X_2 \):

\[
\mathcal{P} = \{ P_1 \times P_2 \mid P_1 \in F_1, P_2 \in F_2, P_1 \sqsubseteq F_1 \text{ or } P_2 \sqsupseteq F_2 \}.
\]

It can be proved that the crested product, as just defined, is an orthogonal block structure. The reader may be easily convinced that indeed, crossing and nesting are special cases of the crested product. An important subclass of orthogonal block structures consists of the poset block structures. It can be proved that crested products of poset block structures remain poset block structures. Moreover, every poset block structure can be attained from trivial block structures by a repeated use of crested products. Thus it can be proved that the crested products satisfy the above three goals. (Note that our claim about the fulfillment of the above goals literally is actual for the poset block structures on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). We avoid discussion of difficulties, which may appear in more general cases.)

The formal definition of crested product \( \otimes_d \) (adopted for the orthogonal group block structures on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \)) is as follows.

**Definition 8.2.** For \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( n_i \in \mathbb{N} \), \( L_i \) be a sublattice of \( L(n_i) \) such that \( 1, n_i \in L(n_i) \), and \( d \) be in \( L_2 \) such that \( \gcd(n_1, n_2/d) = 1 \). Then the sublattice \( L_1 \otimes_d L_2 \) of \( L(n_1 n_2) \) is defined as

\[
L_1 \otimes_d L_2 = \{ l_1 l_2 \mid l_1 = 1, l_2 \in L_2, \text{ or } l_1 \in L_1, l_2 \in L_2 \text{ with } d \mid l_2 \}.
\]
Notice that, operations $\otimes_d$ include simple reduction rules 1 and 2 as special cases. Namely, in case $d = n_2$, and $L_1 = T_{n_1}$ or $L_2 = T_{n_2}$ we get reduction rule 1, and in case $d = 1$ reduction rule 2.

Consider the orthogonal group block structure on $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \otimes_d \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}$ corresponding to the lattice $L_1 \otimes_d L_2$. This is weakly isomorphic to the crested product of the block structure on $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1}$ corresponding to $L_1$ and that one on $\mathbb{Z}_{n_2}$ corresponding to $L_2$ with respect to partitions $F_{Z_1}$ and $F_{Z_d}$ in the sense of Definition 8.1, justifying the name “crested product” for $\otimes_d$.

**Example 8.3.** (Example 7.4 revised.) Let $L$ be the sublattice of $L(36)$ given in Figure 6. To each of 8 nodes in diagram for $L$ naturally corresponds a partition of $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$. Because $L$ is a lattice, we get a corresponding orthogonal block structure on $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$. Naive description of nodes of $L$ looks as follows: consider all nodes in $L$ and take into consideration those ones which are in $L_{[18]}$ or are larger than $d = 2$. Let $L_1 = \{1, 2\}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $L_2 = L_{[18]} = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 18\}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{18}$. Then by Definition 8.2 we obtain

$$L = \{1 \cdot 1, 1 \cdot 2, 1 \cdot 3, 1 \cdot 6, 1 \cdot 18, 2 \cdot 2, 2 \cdot 6, 2 \cdot 18\} = L_1 \otimes_2 L_2.$$ 

Moreover, using properly notation for the crested product of lattices, the product $L_1 \otimes_2 L_2$ is depicted in Figure 10.

![Figure 10. Decomposition $L = L_1 \otimes_2 L_2$.](image)

We now easily interpret $L$ with the aid of Definition 8.1 as crested product. Namely, consider subgroups $Z_m \leq \mathbb{Z}_{36}$ for $m = 2, 4$ and 18. We have $Z_2 = \{0, 18\}$, $Z_4 = \{0, 9, 18, 27\}$, and write the quotient group $Z_4/Z_2$ as $Z_4/Z_2 = \{Z_2, Z_2 + 9\}$. As 0 and 9 form a complete set of coset representatives of the subgroup $Z_{18}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$, every element $x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ can be written uniquely as

$$x = x_1 + x_2,$$

where $x_1 \in \{0, 9\}$ and $x_2 \in Z_{18}$. Therefore, we can define the bijective mapping

$$f: \mathbb{Z}_{36} \rightarrow Z_4/Z_2 \times Z_{18}, \quad x \mapsto (Z_2 + x_1, x_2).$$

Let $F_1$ be the trivial partition $\{\{Z_2\}, \{Z_2 + 9\}\}$ of $Z_4/Z_2$, and $F_2$ be the partition isomorphic to $9 \circ K_2$ of $Z_{18}$ into cosets of $Z_2$. Here and later on we freely identify partitions with the graphs defined by the corresponding equivalence relations. We
leave for the reader to check that \( f \) is a week isomorphism from our block structure 
\[ L = L_1 \otimes_2 L_2 \] 

to the crested product of the block structure on \( Z_4/Z_2 \) corresponding to 
\( L_1 \), and the block structure on \( Z_{18} \) corresponding to \( L_2 \) with respect to \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \).

Eventually, notice that simple reduction rules apply to \( L_2 \). We obtain that 
\[ L_2 = T_3 \otimes_6 (T_2 \otimes_1 T_3), \] 
therefore, \( L \) actually decomposes as
\[ L = T_2 \otimes_2 (T_3 \otimes_6 (T_2 \otimes_1 T_3)). \]

In the rest of the section we turn to the group \( \text{Aut}(L_1 \otimes_d L_2) \). It remains to 
translate everything to the language of association schemes, and after that the one of 
permutation groups, with the goal that finally \( \text{Aut}(L_1 \otimes_d L_2) \) is described in terms of 
\( \text{Aut}(L_1) \) and \( \text{Aut}(L_2) \). We refer again to the paper \[12\], where such goal is fulfilled to 
a certain extent. Namely, it is proved that for the case of poset block structures one 
gets that crested product of \( \text{Aut}(L_1) \) and \( \text{Aut}(L_2) \) preserves the crested product of \( L_1 \) 
and \( L_2 \). Instead of a discussion of corresponding precise definitions and formulations, 
we prefer to play again on the level of our striking example.

**Example 8.4.** (*Continuation of Example [8,3]*) We again use freely the possibility to 
switch at any moment between languages of lattices, S-rings, and association schemes. 
In the above notation we get \( L_1 = \{1, 2\} \) on \( Z_2 \), \( L_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 18\} \) on \( Z_{18} \) and 
\( L = \{1 \cdot 1, 1 \cdot 2, 1 \cdot 3, 1 \cdot 6, 1 \cdot 18, 2 \cdot 1, 2 \cdot 2, 2 \cdot 6, 2 \cdot 18\} \) on \( Z_{36} \).

In our previous attempt it was natural and convenient to consider automorphism 
groups of basic graphs (regarded as rational circulant graphs). We proceeded finally 
with three such graphs. At the current stage we see \( G = \text{Aut}(L) \) with the aid of group 
basis in the corresponding S-ring (cf. \[22, 33\]). Clearly, each element of a group basis 
corresponds to a partition of \( Z_{36} \) into cosets of a suitable subgroup. Therefore, now 
we get
\[ G = \bigcap_{l \in L} \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(Z_{36}, Z_m)), \]

where \( Z_m \) is the unique subgroup of \( Z_{36} \) of order \( m \). Thus we have immediately that in 
fact \( G \) is the automorphism group of four partitions defined by \( Z_m \), namely \( m = 2, 3, 4 \) 
and 18. We again describe this group, using a suitable diagram below which exhibits 
simultaneously all the partitions.

Comments about the diagram. First partition \( 2 \circ K_{18} \) (due to \( Z_{18} \)) is presented 
by division to left and right part (odd and even numbers). Horizontal lines represent 
\( 9 \circ K_4 \) (due to \( Z_4 \)). Finally, we have 6 connected components of size 6. Columns of all 
such components entirely provide \( 12 \circ K_3 \) (due to \( Z_3 \)), while rows give \( 18 \circ K_2 \) (due to 
\( Z_2 \)).

We now describe the automorphism group \( G \) as follows \( G = \tilde{G} \cdot S_2 \), where \( \tilde{G} \) is the 
stabilizer of left part of the picture (clearly left and right parts may be exchanged). 
Left part is a wreath product of the groups of the three components. Thus we get 
\( G = (S_3 \wr \tilde{G}) \cdot S_2 \), where \( \tilde{G} \) is the stabilizer of a component. Stabilizer of left upper 
component, according to simple rule, is \( S_2 \times S_3 \), and in addition, an independent copy
of $S_2$ transposes columns in corresponding right part of the upper component. We have thus obtained the formula

$$G = (S_3 \wr ((S_3 \times S_2) \times S_2)) \cdot S_2,$$

with the order $|G| = 2 \cdot 3! \cdot 24^3 = 2^{11} \cdot 3^4$. We expect that the reader will admit that the current arguments are more transparent and straightforward, however, we again are depending on the use of ad hoc tricks of geometrical and combinatorial nature.

It turns out that the above argumentation may be modified into certain nice formal rule with the aid of the use of crested product, taking into account the decomposition formula presented for the lattice $L$ in the consideration, that is $L = L_1 \otimes_2 L_2$.

Regarding as sets, let $\mathbb{Z}_{36} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{18}$. In definition of crested product first ingredient corresponds to active while second to passive groups. Thus in our case $G$ is regarded as a subgroup of the wreath product $\text{Aut}(L_1) \wr \text{Aut}(L_2) = G_1 \wr G_2$ or more precisely as $B \times G_1$, where $B$ is base group and $G_1$ is top group. Note that at this stage $B$ is just a subgroup of the base group, corresponding to the usual wreath product. Using our toolkit of simple rules, we obtain that

$$G_1 = \text{Aut}(L_1) = S_2, \text{ and } G_2 = \text{Aut}(L_2) = S_3 \wr (S_2 \times S_3).$$

We have to understand the structure of the base group. Recall that in our case $B$ is subgroup of group $G_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. To describe $B$ we refer to the partition $F_2 = F_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{18}$, which is preserved by $G_2$. Clearly, this partition $F_2$ is of the kind $9 \circ K_2$. Note that we have also a trivial partition $F_1 = F_{\mathbb{Z}_1}$ of kind $2 \circ K_1$ which is preserved by $G_1$. Now we are looking for the subgroup $N$ of group $G_2$ which fixes each part of the partition $F_2$. Clearly, in our case $N$ is isomorphic to $S_3^2$.

It turns out (see [12] for general justification) that the base group $B$ is generated by $N^{F_1}$ and $G_2$. Here $N^{F_1}$ is embedded in $G_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ as the set of functions which are constant on the classes of $F_1$ and take values diagonally. $G_2$ is embedded diagonally.

**Figure 11.** Coset-partitions of $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ defined by $Z_m$ for $m = 2, 3, 4, 18$. 
$G_2$ normalizes $N^{F_1}$, therefore their product is a group, while intersection is $N$. Thus we obtain that
\[
|B| = \frac{|N^{F_1}| \cdot |G_2|}{|N|} = \frac{|N|^2 \cdot |G_2|}{|N|} = |N| \cdot |G_2|.
\]
Finally we get the order of the group $G = B \rtimes G_1$ as $|G_1| \cdot |N| \cdot |G_2| = 2 \cdot 8 \cdot 3!(2 \cdot 3)^3 = 2^{11} \cdot 3^4$, as desired. (In fact, again we first obtain that the automorphism group of $L$ has order at least $2^{11} \cdot 3^4$. After that, exactly like in Example 7.4, we have to check that $B \rtimes G_1$ indeed coincides with the entire group $G$.)

**Remark.** We wish to use an extra chance to explain the role of index 2 in our notation for the used version of crested product. Hopefully, the following pictorial explanation (see Figure 12) may help. Here selected node in $L_2$ is origin of the index. We multiply part of $L_1$ strictly below the index on $L_2$, after that $L_1$ on the part of $L_2$ above the index and amalgamate the two products.
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\begin{array{en}}G_2$ normalizes $N^{F_1}$, therefore their product is a group, while intersection is $N$. Thus we obtain that
\[
|B| = \frac{|N^{F_1}| \cdot |G_2|}{|N|} = \frac{|N|^2 \cdot |G_2|}{|N|} = |N| \cdot |G_2|.
\]
Finally we get the order of the group $G = B \rtimes G_1$ as $|G_1| \cdot |N| \cdot |G_2| = 2 \cdot 8 \cdot 3!(2 \cdot 3)^3 = 2^{11} \cdot 3^4$, as desired. (In fact, again we first obtain that the automorphism group of $L$ has order at least $2^{11} \cdot 3^4$. After that, exactly like in Example 7.4, we have to check that $B \rtimes G_1$ indeed coincides with the entire group $G$.)

**Remark.** We wish to use an extra chance to explain the role of index 2 in our notation for the used version of crested product. Hopefully, the following pictorial explanation (see Figure 12) may help. Here selected node in $L_2$ is origin of the index. We multiply part of $L_1$ strictly below the index on $L_2$, after that $L_1$ on the part of $L_2$ above the index and amalgamate the two products.

![Figure 12. “Amalgamation of lattices”](image)

In our eyes the formulated goal to create for the reader a context with the aid of an example is fulfilled. In principle, based on the earned experience, one can go ahead and prove that the leads formulated above are completely fulfilled with the aid of the crested product. However, this will not be done in the current paper. We finish the deviation, developed in Sections 7-8, and return to the main stream of the presentation, aiming to exploit another classical generalization of the operation of wreath product.

9. Generalized wreath products

Let $(I, \preceq)$ be a poset. A subset $J \subseteq I$ is called *ancestral* if $i \in J$ and $i \preceq j$ imply that $j \in J$ for all $i, j \in I$. For $i \in I$, we put $A_i$ for the ancestral subset
\[
A_i = \{ j \in I \mid i \prec j \}.
\]
The set of all ancestral subsets of $I$ we denote by $\text{Anc}((I, \preceq))$. For each $i \in I$, fix a set $X_i$ of cardinality at least 2, and let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$. We write elements $x$ in $X$ as $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ or simply as $x = (x_i)$. For $J \subseteq I$, let $\sim_J$ be the equivalence relation on $X$ given as

$$(x_i) \sim_J (y_i) \iff x_j = y_j \text{ for all } j \in J,$$

and denote by $\Pi(J)$ the corresponding partition of $X$. Now, the poset block structure defined by the poset $(I, \preceq)$ and the sets $X_i$ is the block structure on $X$ consisting of all partitions $\Pi(J)$ that $J \in \text{Anc}((I, \preceq))$. Denote $F$ this block structure. Let $J, J' \in \text{Anc}((I, \preceq))$. Both sets $J \cap J'$ and $J \cup J'$ are ancestral, and we have

$$\Pi(J) \cap \Pi(J') = \Pi(J \cup J') \text{ and } \Pi(J) \lor \Pi(J') = \Pi(J \cap J').$$

Thus the poset block structure $F$ is an orthogonal block structure. Further, the equivalence $\Pi(J) \subseteq \Pi(J') \iff J' \subseteq J$ holds, and the mapping $J \mapsto \Pi(J)$ is an anti-isomorphism from the lattice $(\text{Anc}((I, \preceq)), \subseteq)$ to the lattice $(F, \subseteq)$ (thus these have Hasse diagrams dual to each other). The lattice $(\text{Anc}((I, \preceq)), \subseteq)$ is obviously distributive, and by the previous remarks so is $F$. The following converse is due to Bailey and Speed [109] (see also [6] Theorem 5).

**Theorem 9.1.** An orthogonal block structure is distributive if and only if it is weakly isomorphic to a poset block structure.

Note that, in particular, the orthogonal group block structures on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ are poset block structures. We continue consideration of our striking example.

**Example 9.2. (Example 7.4 revised.)** Let $L$ be the sublattice of $L(36)$ given in Figure 6. As before, $L$ will simultaneously denote the orthogonal block structure on $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ consisting of coset-partitions of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $l \in L$.

In order to obtain $L$ as a poset block structure we start with the poset $N = ([4], \preceq)$ depicted in part (i) of Figure 13. The dual lattice of ancestral subsets of $N$ has Hasse diagram shown in part (ii) of Figure 13. This is indeed isomorphic to our lattice $L$.

Next, let us choose sets $X_1 = [3], X_2 = [2], X_3 = [3]$ and $X_4 = [2]$. We define the mapping $f : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \times X_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{36}$ as

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto 12x_1 + 18x_2 + 2x_3 + 9x_4 \pmod{36}.$$  

The reader is invited to work out that $f$ is a bijection, and that $f$ is a week isomorphism from the poset block structure defined by $N$ and the sets $X_i$ to our block structure $L$.

Now we are approaching the group-theoretical concept, crucial for the current presentation. Let $F$ be a poset block structure defined by a poset $(I, \preceq)$ and sets $X_i$ ($i \in I$). Recall that $A_i = \{ j \in I \mid i \prec j \}$ is ancestral for all $i \in I$. We set

$$X^i = \prod_{j \in A_i} X_j = \prod_{i < j} X_j,$$

and $\pi^i$ for the projection of $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ onto $X^i$. The following construction can be found in [13].
Definition 9.3. Let \((I, \preceq)\) be a poset, \(X_i\) be a set \((i \in I)\), \(|X_i| \geq 2\), and \(K_i\) be a permutation group \(K_i \leq \text{Sym}(X_i)\). The \textit{generalized wreath product} \(\prod_{(I, \preceq)} K_i\) defined by \((I, \preceq)\) and the groups \(K_i\), is the complexus product

\[
P = \prod_{i \in I} P_i,
\]

where \(P_i\) is the permutation representation of the group \(K_i^{X_i}\) on \(X\) acting by the rule

\[
(x^f)_j = \begin{cases} 
  x^{f_{\pi^i(x)}}_j & \text{if } i = j, \\
  x_j & \text{if } i \neq j,
\end{cases}
\]

for \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in X, f \in K_i^{X_i}\).

Clarification of the notation \(f(\pi^i(x))\) follows below. We remark that, the fact that the above complexus product is indeed a group was proved in [13]. This construction has very interesting history, see Section 12.

Let \(I = [r] = \{1, \ldots, r\}\) in Definition 9.3. We write \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)\) for \(x \in X = \prod_{i=1}^r X_i\). Every \(f \in P\) is presented uniquely as the product \(f = f_1 \cdots f_r\), where each \(f_i \in P_i\). Analogously to the ordinary wreath product (see 2.1), we shall also write \(f\) in the \textit{table form}

\[
f = \left[ f_1(\pi^1(x)), \ldots, f_r(\pi^r(x)) \right].
\]

By definition, \((x_1, \ldots, x_r)^f = (x_1^{f_1(\pi^1(x))}, \ldots, x_r^{f_r(\pi^r(x))})\). It is not hard to see that the group \(P = \prod_{([r], \preceq)} K_i\) has order

\[
| \prod_{([r], \preceq)} K_i | = \prod_{i=1}^r |K_i|^{m_i},
\]

where \(m_i = 1\) if \(\{i\} \in \text{Anc}((I, \preceq))\), and \(m_i = \prod_{j \in A_i} |X_j|\) otherwise. The generalized wreath product gives back the ordinary direct and wreath product. Namely, in case
\[ r = 2 \] and the poset is an anti-chain the group \( P = K_1 \times K_2 \), and if the poset is a chain with \( 1 < 2 \), then \( P = K_2 \upharpoonright K_1 \).

The following result about the automorphism group of a poset block structure was proved by Bailey et al. (see [13] Theorem A). We say that a poset \((I, \preceq)\) satisfies the maximal condition if any subset \( J \subseteq I \) contains a maximal element.

**Theorem 9.4.** Let \((I, \preceq)\) be a poset having the maximal condition, \(X_i\) be a set of cardinality at least \( 2 \) for all \( i \in I \), and \( F \) be the poset block structure on \( X \) defined by \((I, \preceq)\) and the sets \( X_i \). Then \( \text{Aut}(F) = \prod_{(i, \preceq)} \text{Sym}(X_i) \).

Of course, if the set \( I \) is finite, then \((I, \preceq)\) satisfies the maximal condition. In particular, the above theorem applies to the orthogonal group block structures on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \), and hence we observe that their automorphism groups are certain generalized wreath product.

As shown in Example 9.2, the orthogonal block structure \( L \) is weekly isomorphic to the poset block structure \( F \) defined by the poset \( N = ([4], \preceq) \) and sets \( X_i = [n_i], i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \). Therefore, \( \text{Aut}(L) \) is permutation isomorphic to the group \( \text{Aut}(F) \). By Theorem 9.4 the latter group \( \text{Aut}(F) = \prod_{n_i} \text{S}_{n_i} \) (we may get order once more using formula (6) as \( | \prod_{n_i} \text{S}_{n_i}| = (3!)^5 \cdot 2! \cdot 3! \cdot 2! \cdot 2 = 2^{11} \cdot 3^4 \).

We converge with the consideration of our striking example. Simultaneously, in principle, the main goals of the paper are fulfilled. Combination of all presented results indicates the automorphism groups of rational circulant graphs are described by the groups as they appear in Theorem 9.4. Nevertheless, at this stage we are willing to justify much more precise formulation, as it is presented in the main Theorem 1.1 as well as to provide its self-contained proof.

**10. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Let \( P = ([r], \preceq) \) be a poset, and \( n_1, \ldots, n_r \) be in \( \mathbb{N} \) such that \( n_i \geq 2 \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \). We denote by \( \text{PBS}(P; n_1, \ldots, n_r) \) the poset block structure defined by \( P \) and the sets \([n_i]\). We recall that \( P = ([r], \preceq) \) is increasing if \( i \preceq j \) implies \( i \leq j \) for all \( i, j \in [r] \).

The final step toward Theorem 1.1 is the following statement.
Proposition 10.1.

(i) Let $P = ([r], \preceq)$ be an increasing poset and $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ be in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying

(a) $n = n_1 \cdots n_r$,
(b) $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$,
(c) $(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $i \neq j$.

Then $\text{PBS}(P; n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ is weakly isomorphic to an orthogonal group block structure on $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

(ii) Let $F$ be an orthogonal group block structure on $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Then exists an increasing poset $P = ([r], \preceq)$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying (a)-(c) in (i) such that $F$ is weakly isomorphic to $\text{PBS}(P; n_1, \ldots, n_r)$.

To settle the proposition we first prove two preparatory lemmas. For $J \subset [r]$ we set the notation $\overline{J} = [r] \setminus J$.

Lemma 10.2. Let $P = ([r], \preceq)$ be an increasing poset and $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ be in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying (a)-(c) in (i) of Proposition 10.1. Then the set $L = \{ \prod_{j \in \overline{J}} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P) \}$ is a sublattice of $L(n)$.

PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction on $r$. If $r = 1$ then $L = \{1, n\}$. Suppose that $r > 1$ and let $n' = n_1 \cdots n_{r-1}$. Let $P' = ([r-1], \preceq)$ be the poset on $[r-1]$ induced by $\preceq$. The induction hypothesis applies to $P'$ and numbers $n_1, \ldots, n_{r-1}$. Thus we get sublattice $L_2$ of $L(n')$ as

$$L_2 = \{ \prod_{j \in J} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P') \}.$$ 

Here by $\overline{J}$ we mean the complement of $J$ in $[r-1]$.

Since $P$ is increasing, $r$ is a maximal element in $P$. Thus for any $J \subset [r-1]$,

(7) \hspace{1cm} J \in \text{Anc}(P') \iff J \cup \{r\} \in \text{Anc}(P).

Let $J_* = \{j \in [r-1] \mid j \not\in r\}$. Then $J_* \in \text{Anc}(P)$. Further, for any $J \subset [r-1]$,

(8) \hspace{1cm} J \in \text{Anc}(P) \iff J \in \text{Anc}(P')$ and $J \subseteq J_*$.

Put $d = \prod_{j \in \overline{J_*}} n_j$. Clearly, $d \in L_2$. Then $n'/d = \prod_{j \in [r-1], j \not\in r} n_j$, hence condition (c) in (i) of Proposition 10.1 implies that $n'/d \land n_r = 1$. Thus we can use Definition 8.2 to form the crested product $L_1 \otimes_d L_2$, where $L_1 = \{1, n_1, \ldots, n_r\}$. Then

$$L_1 \otimes_d L_2 = \{ l_1 l_2 \mid l_1 = 1, l_2 \in L_2, \text{ or } l_1 \in L_1, l_2 \in L_2 \text{ with } d \mid l_1 \}$$

$$= L_2 \cup \{ n_r l_2 \mid l_2 \in L_2 \text{ with } d \mid L_2 \}.$$

Now, we use (7) and (8) to find

$$L = \left\{ \prod_{j \in J} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P) \text{ and } r \in J \right\} \cup \left\{ \prod_{j \in \overline{J}} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P) \text{ and } r \not\in J \right\}$$

$$= L_2 \cup \{ l_2 n_r \mid l_2 \in L_2 \text{ with } d \mid L_2 \} = L_1 \otimes_d L_2.$$

Thus $L$ is a sublattice of $L(n)$, as required. 

We show next the converse to Lemma 10.2.

\footnotetext[1]{If $J \in \text{Anc}(P)$ is the whole set $[r]$, then we set $\prod_{j \in \overline{J}} n_j = 1$.}
Lemma 10.3. Let $L$ be a sublattice of $L(n), n \geq 2$ such that $1, n \in L$. Then $L = \{ \prod_{j \in J} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P) \}$, where $P = ([r], \leq)$ is an increasing poset and $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ are in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying (a)-(c) in (i) of Proposition 10.1.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n$. The statement is clear if $L = \{1, n\}$. Suppose $L \neq \{1, n\}$, and let $m$ be a maximal element in the poset induced by $L \setminus \{n\}$. Induction applies to sublattice $L_{[m]}$, and we can write

$$L_{[m]} = \{ \prod_{j \in J} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P') \}$$

with a suitable poset $P' = ([r-1], \leq)$ and numbers $n_1, \ldots, n_{r-1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$. Now, let $s$ be the smallest number in the set $L \setminus L_{[m]}$. Since $m \wedge s \in L_{[m]}$, we have a subset $J_s \in \text{Anc}(P')$ for which $m \wedge s = \prod_{j \in J_s} n_j$. Define the poset $P$ on $[r]$ as the extension of $P'$ to $[r]$ by setting $r \not\leq x$ for all $x \in [r-1]$, and

$$x \leq r \iff x \notin J_s.$$

We claim that $P$ is the required poset and $n_1, \ldots, n_{r-1}, n_r = n/m$ are the required numbers.

First, poset $P$ is obviously increasing, $n_1 \cdots n_r = n$, and $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i \in [r]$. Let $i, j \in [r]$ with $i \not\leq j$. It is clear that $n_i \wedge n_j = 1$ if $j \neq r$. Let $j = r$. Then

$$n_r = \frac{n}{m} = \frac{s}{m \wedge s}, \quad \prod_{j \not\leq r} n_j = \prod_{j \in J_s} n_j = \frac{m}{m \wedge s}.$$

This shows that $n_i \wedge n_r = 1$ holds as well, and so $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ satisfy (a)-(c) in (i) of Proposition 10.1.

By (7) and (8),

$$\left\{ \prod_{j \in J} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P) \right\} = \left\{ \prod_{j \in J} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P) \text{ and } r \in J \right\} \cup \left\{ \prod_{j \in J} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P) \text{ and } r \notin J \right\} = L_{[m]} \cup \{ xn_r \mid x \in (L_{[m]})^{[m \wedge s]} \}.$$

Now, we use Lemma 5.2 to conclude

$$L_{[m]} \cup \left\{ x \frac{s}{m \wedge s} \mid x \in (L_{[m]})^{[m \wedge s]} \right\} = L_{[m]} \cup (L \setminus L_{[m]}) = L.$$

Proof of Proposition 10.1. Let $P = ([r], \leq)$ be an increasing poset and $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ be in $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying (a)-(c) in (i) of Proposition 10.1. Let $L = \{ \prod_{j \in J} n_j \mid J \in \text{Anc}(P) \}$ be the sublattice of $L(n)$. In view of Lemmas 10.2 and 10.3 it remains to prove that $\text{PBS}(P; n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ is weakly isomorphic to the orthogonal group block structure on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ defined by $L$. 
Let \( J \in \text{Anc}(P) \), \( J \neq \{\emptyset\} \), and \( x_j, y_j \in [n_j] \) for each \( j \in \bar{J} \). We claim that
\[
\sum_{j \in \bar{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r], i \not\in j} n_i \right) x_j \equiv \sum_{j \in \bar{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r], i \not\in j} n_i \right) y_j \pmod{n} \implies x_j = y_j \text{ for each } j \in \bar{J}.
\]

We proceed by induction on \( r \). Let \( r = 1 \). Then \( J = \emptyset \), the assumption in (9) reduces to \( x_1 \equiv y_1 \pmod{n} \), and from this \( x_1 = y_1 \).

Let \( r > 1 \). Let \( n' = n/n_r \) and \( P' \) be the poset induced by \([r - 1]\). Let \( J \in \text{Anc}(P) \). First, let \( r \in J \), and put \( J' = J \setminus \{r\} \). By (7), \( J' \in \text{Anc}(P') \). The assumption in (9) can be rewritten in the form
\[
\sum_{j \in \bar{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r - 1], i \not\in j} n_i \right) x_j \equiv \sum_{j \in \bar{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r - 1], i \not\in j} n_i \right) y_j \pmod{n'}.
\]

From this
\[
\sum_{j \in \bar{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r - 1], i \not\in j} n_i \right) x_j \equiv \sum_{j \in \bar{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r - 1], i \not\in j} n_i \right) y_j \pmod{n'},
\]
and hence, by induction, \( x_j = y_j \) for each \( j \in \bar{J}' = J' \), and (9) holds. Second, let \( r \notin J \). Put \( n_r^* = \prod_{i \in [r], j \not\in r} n_j \). Notice that \( n_r \wedge n_r^* = 1 \) (see (c) in (i) of Proposition 10.1).

The assumption in (9) can be rewritten as
\[
\sum_{j \in \bar{J}, j \neq r} \left( n_r \prod_{i \in [r - 1], i \not\in j} n_i \right) x_j + n_r^* x_r \equiv \sum_{j \in \bar{J}, j \neq r} \left( n_r \prod_{i \in [r - 1], i \not\in j} n_i \right) y_i + n_r^* y_r \pmod{n}.
\]

From this \( n_r^*(x_r - y_r) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_r} \). As \( n_r \wedge n_r^* = 1 \), \( x_r = y_r \). By (8), \( J \in \text{Anc}(P') \). Regarded \( J \) as an ancestral subset of \( P' \), we find
\[
\sum_{j \in \bar{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r - 1], i \not\in j} n_i \right) x_j \equiv \sum_{j \in \bar{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r - 1], i \not\in j} n_i \right) \pmod{n'}.
\]

Thus, by induction, \( x_j = y_j \) for each \( j \in [r - 1] \setminus J \), and so (9) holds.

Let \( X = [n_1] \times \cdots \times [n_r] \). Define the mapping
\[
f: X \to \mathbb{Z}_n, \quad (x_i) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \prod_{j \in [r], j \not\in i} n_j \right) x_i \pmod{n}.
\]

We claim that \( f \) is a weak isomorphism from \( \text{PBS}(P; n_1, \ldots, n_s) \) to \( L \). First, that \( f \) is a bijection can be seen from (10) by substituting \( J = \emptyset \). Let \( J \in \text{Anc}(P) \), and fix an element \((x_i) = (x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in X \). Recall that the class of \( \Pi(J) \) containing \((x_i)\) is the set
\[
\{ (y_i) \in X \mid x_j = y_j \text{ for all } i \in J \}.
\]

Put \( m = \sum_{j \in J} \left( \prod_{i \in [r], i \not\in j} n_i \right) x_j \) in \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). Then \( f \) maps the above class to the set
\[
m + \left\{ \sum_{j \in J} \left( \prod_{i \in [r], i \not\in j} n_i \right) y_j \mid j \in \bar{J}, \; y_j \in [n_j] \right\}.
\]
Observe that \( i \neq j \) for any \( j \in \mathcal{J} \) and \( i \in J \). Thus the product \( \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} n_j \) divides the numbers in the above set, and hence

\[
m + \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \left( \prod_{i \in [r], i \neq j} n_i \right) y_j \mid j \in \mathcal{J}, y_j \in [n_j] \right\} \subseteq m + \left\{ \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} n_j \right\} = m + \mathbb{Z}_d, \quad d = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} n_j.
\]

Since \( f \) is a bijection, equality follows, and so \( f \) maps the partition \( \Pi(J) \) to the coset-partition \( F_{\mathcal{J}} \). This completes the proof of the proposition.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1**

\( (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \) Let \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S) \) be a rational circulant graph with \( G = \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)) \). By Corollary 6.4, \( G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \), where \( \mathcal{F} \) is an orthogonal group block structure on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). By \( (ii) \) of Proposition 10.1, \( \mathcal{F} \) is weakly isomorphic to the poset block structure \( PBS(P; n_1, \ldots, n_r) \) for suitable poset \( P = ([r], \preceq) \) and numbers \( n_1, \ldots, n_r \). Theorem 9.4 gives that \( G \) is permutation isomorphic to \( \Pi_P S_{n_i} \).

\( (ii) \Rightarrow (i) \) Let \( G = \Pi_P S_{n_i} \), where \( P = ([r], \preceq) \) is an increasing poset and \( n_1, \ldots, n_r \) are in \( \mathbb{N} \) satisfying (a)-(c) in (ii) of Proposition 10.1. Because of Theorem 9.4 the group \( G \) equals the automorphism group of the poset block structure \( PBS(P; n_1, \ldots, n_r) \). By (i) of Proposition 10.1, \( PBS(P; n_1, \ldots, n_r) \) is weakly isomorphic to an orthogonal group block structure \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \), hence \( G \) is permutation isomorphic to \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \). Finally, Corollary 6.4 shows that there is a rational circulant graph \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S) \) such that \( \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \).

**11. Miscellany**

We conclude the paper by a collection of miscellaneous topics related to rational circulant graphs and their automorphisms.

**11.1. Enumeration of rational circulant graphs.** Let \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S) \) be a rational graph. By Theorem 4.1, \( S \) follows to be the union of some of the sets

\[
(\mathbb{Z}_n)_d = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \gcd(x, n) = d \},
\]

where \( d \) is a divisor of \( n \). Conversely, any set in such a form is a connection set of a rational graph. In particular, up to isomorphism, we have at most \( 2^{|\mathbb{Z}_n|} - 1 \) rational Cayley graphs (without loops) over \( \mathbb{Z}_n \).

To investigate, which of these graphs are pairwise non-isomorphic, we refer to the following Zibin’s conjecture for arbitrary circulant graphs, which follows easily from the results in [84] (see also [90] and [91] Theorem 5.1).

**Theorem 11.1. (Zibin’s conjecture)** Let \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S) \) and \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, R) \) be two isomorphic circulant graphs. Then for each \( d \mid n \) there exists a multiplier \( m_d \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* \) such that \( S_{d(m_d)} = R_d \).

Here for arbitrary subset \( S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n \), we define \( S_d = S \cap (\mathbb{Z}_n)_d \).

**Corollary 11.2.** Let \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S) \) and \( \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, R) \) be two rational circulant graphs. Then these are isomorphic if and only if \( S_d = R_d \) for all \( d \mid n \). Moreover, for each \( d \mid n \) the common set \( S_d = R_d \) is equal to \( \emptyset \) or to \( (\mathbb{Z}_n)_d \).
Corollary 11.3. The number of non-isomorphic rational circulant graphs (without loops) of order \(n\) is \(2^{\tau(n)} - 1\), where \(\tau(n)\) is the number of positive divisors of \(n\).

We should mention that the above statement was given as a conjecture by So [107, Conjecture 7.3].

Remark 1. We refer to the sequence A100577 (starting with 1, 2, 2, 4, 2, 8, 2, 8, 4, 8, 2, 32) in the famous Sloane’s On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, see [93], which consists of the numbers \(2^{\tau(n)} - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\).

Remark 2. For a given \(n\) let \(X\) be an arbitrary subset of the set \(L(n) \setminus \{n\}\). Let \(S = \cup_{d \in X}(\mathbb{Z}_n)_d\), \(\Gamma = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, S)\). Clearly, \(\Gamma\) is a presentation of an arbitrary rational circulant with \(n\) vertices and the group \(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)\) is completely defined by a suitable sublattice \(L\) of the lattice \(L(n)\). In Example 9.5 for \(X\) presented there the corresponding lattice \(L\) coincides with our striking sublattice.

A question of elaboration of a simple procedure to recognize \(L\) for an arbitrary subset \(X\) is of a definite independent interest, though it is out of the scope in the current text.

11.2. Association schemes. Though we have managed to arrange the main line of the presentation without the evident use of association schemes, it is now time to consider explicitly this concept.

Let \(X\) be a nonempty finite set, and let \(\Delta_X\) denote the diagonal relation on \(X\), i.e., \(\Delta_X = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}\). For a relation \(R \subseteq X \times X\), its transposed \(R^t\) is defined by \(R^t = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}\). For a set \(\{R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_d\}\) of relations on \(X\) the pair \(\mathcal{X} = (X, \{R_0, \ldots, R_d\})\) is called an association scheme on \(X\) if the following axioms hold (see [14]):

\begin{align*}
\text{(AS1)} & \quad R_0 = \Delta_X, \text{ and } R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_d \text{ form a partition of } X \times X. \\
\text{(AS2)} & \quad \text{For every } i \in \{0, \ldots, d\} \text{ there exists } j \in \{0, \ldots, d\} \text{ such that } R_i^t = R_j. \\
\text{(AS3)} & \quad \text{For every triple } i, j, k \in \{0, \ldots, d\} \text{ and for } (x, y) \in R_k, \text{ the number, denoted by } p^{i, j}_{k, z}, \text{ of elements } z \in X \text{ such that } (x, z) \in R_i \text{ and } (z, y) \in R_j \text{ does not depend on the choice of the pair } (x, y) \in R_k.
\end{align*}

The relations \(R_i\) are called the basic relations of \(\mathcal{X}\), the corresponding graphs \((X, R_i)\) the basic graphs of \(\mathcal{X}\). The automorphism group of \(\mathcal{X}\) is the permutation group

\[\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) := \bigcap_{i=0}^{r} \text{Aut}((X, R_i)).\]

Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be an orthogonal block structure on \(X\). For \(F \in \mathcal{F}\), define the color relation \(C_F\) on \(X\) as

\[(x, y) \in C_F \iff F = \bigwedge \{E \in \mathcal{F} \mid (x, y) \in R_E\}.
\]

It is immediately clear that for each \(F \in \mathcal{F}\), \(C_F\) is a symmetric relation and the set of relations \(\{C_F, F \in \mathcal{F}\}\) forms a partition of \(X \times X\). It turns out that we can claim more.

The relational system \((X, \{C_F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\})\) is a symmetric association scheme on \(X\) (see [9, Theorem 4]). Recall that in a symmetric association scheme \(R_i^t = R_i\) for all \(i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}\). This we denote by \(\text{As}(\mathcal{F})\). Observe that, if \(\mathcal{F}\) is the orthogonal group
block structure on \(\mathbb{Z}_n\) given in Proposition 6.3 then the color relations are \(\widehat{R}_f\) defined in the proof of Proposition 6.3.

11.3. Schurity of rational S-rings over cyclic groups. Let \(A\) be a rational S-ring over \(\mathbb{Z}_n\), and \(F\) be the corresponding orthogonal group block structure on \(\mathbb{Z}_n\). Recall that \(A\) is Schurian if \(A = V(\mathbb{Z}_n, \text{Aut}(A))\). It is not hard to see that this is equivalent to saying that the basic relations of the association scheme \(\text{As}(F)\) are the 2-orbits of \(\text{Aut}(A)\), the latter group is the same as \(\text{Aut}(F) = \text{Aut}(\text{As}(F))\).

The following result is due to Bailey et al. (see [13] Theorem C)), which in particular also answers the Schurity of rational S-rings over \(\mathbb{Z}_n\) in the positive.

**Theorem 11.4.** Let \((I, \preceq)\) be a finite poset, \(X_i\) be a finite set of cardinality at least 2 for each \(i \in I\), \(X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i\), and \(F\) be the poset block structure on \(X\) defined by \((I, \preceq)\) and the sets \(X_i\). Then the association scheme \(\text{As}(F)\) is Schurian, i.e., \(\text{As}(F) = (X, 2-\text{Orb}(\text{Aut}(\text{As}(F))))\).

**Corollary 11.5.** Every rational S-ring over \(\mathbb{Z}_n\) is Schurian.

Let us remark that it has been conjectured that all S-rings over the cyclic groups \(\mathbb{Z}_n\) are Schurian (known also as the Schur-Klin conjecture). The conjecture was denied recently by Evdokimov and Ponomarenko [34].

11.4. Simple reduction rules. We say that simple reduction rules apply to the group \(\mathbb{Z}_n\) if every sublattice \(L\) of \(L(n)\) such that \(1, n \in L\) is obtained from trivial lattices via an iterative use of reduction rules 1 and 2. For instance, simple reduction rules apply to \(\mathbb{Z}_{12}\), but not to \(\mathbb{Z}_{36}\) (see the striking example). We already discussed informally which are the orders \(n\) that simple reduction rules apply to \(\mathbb{Z}_n\).

The question is closely related to simple block structures introduced by Nelder 92. Next we recall shortly the definition and some properties following 9.

For \(i = 1, 2\), let \(F_i\) be a partition of a set \(X_i\). Define the partition \((F_1, F_2)\) of \(X_1 \times X_2\) by setting the corresponding equivalence relation \(R_{(F_1, F_2)}\) as

\[
( (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) ) \in R_{(F_1, F_2)} \iff (x_1, y_1) \in R_{F_1} \land (x_2, y_2) \in R_{F_2}.
\]

Let \(F_i\) be a block structure on \(X_i\) \((i = 1, 2)\). Their crossing product is the block structure on \(X_1 \times X_2\) defined by

\[
F_1 \ast F_2 = \{(F_1, F_2) \mid F_1 \in F_1, F_2 \in F_2\},
\]

and their nesting product is the block structure on \(X_1 \times X_2\) defined by

\[
F_1/ F_2 = \{(F_1, U_2) \mid F_1 \in F_1\} \cup \{(E_1, F_2) \mid F_2 \in F_2\}.
\]

For the automorphism groups we have \(\text{Aut}(F_1 \ast F_2) = \text{Aut}(F_1) \times \text{Aut}(F_2)\), and \(\text{Aut}(F_1/ F_2) = \text{Aut}(F_1) \cap \text{Aut}(F_2)\). Note that, if in addition both \(F_i\) are orthogonal, then so are \(F_1 \ast F_2\) and \(F_1/ F_2\). The trivial block structure on a set \(X\) is the one formed by the partitions \(E_X\) and \(U_X\). The simple orthogonal block structures are defined recursively as follows:

- Every trivial block structure is simple of depth 1.
• If for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( \mathcal{F}_i \) is a simple orthogonal block structures of depth \( s_i \) on a set \( X_i, |X_i| \geq 2 \), then \( \mathcal{F}_1 \ast \mathcal{F}_2 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_1 / \mathcal{F}_2 \) are simple orthogonal block structures of depth \( s_1 + s_2 \).

Clearly, if \( \mathcal{F} \) is simple, then \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \) is obtained using iteratively direct or wreath product of symmetric groups. The equivalence follows.

**Corollary 11.6.** Simple reduction rules apply to \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) if and only if every orthogonal group block structure on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) is simple.

**Corollary 11.7.** Simple reduction rules apply to \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) if and only if \( n = pqr \), or \( n = p^e q \), or \( n = p^e r \), where \( p, q \) and \( r \) are distinct primes.

**Proof.** In view of the previous corollary we only need to check if there exists an orthogonal group block structure \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) which is not simple. By Proposition 10.1, \( \mathcal{F} \) is weakly isomorphic to PBS(\( P; n_1, \ldots, n_r \)), where \( P = (\{r\}, \leq) \) is a non-increasing poset with suitable weights \( n_i \). Let \( N \) be the poset given in part (i) of Figure 13. It is proved that \( \mathcal{F} \) is not simple if and only if \( P \) contains a subposet isomorphic to \( N \) (see [9] pp. 64]). Let \( m_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4 \), be the weights of this subposet. Then \( m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 \mid n \), and hence \( n \neq pqr \) for distinct primes \( p, q \) and \( r \). Let \( n = p^e q \) or \( n = p^e r \). Then \( q \) appears as a factor in at most one of the numbers \( m_i \), and so \( (m_1, m_2) > 1 \) or \( (m_3, m_4) > 1 \). This contradicts (c) in (ii) of Theorem 1.1. These yield implication \( '\Rightarrow' \) in the statement.

For implication \( '\Rightarrow' \) assume that \( n \) is none of the numbers \( pqr, p^e q, \) or \( p^e r \), where \( p, q \) and \( r \) are distinct primes. We leave for the reader to check that in this case it is possible to assign weights \( n_i \) to \( N \) satisfying (a)-(c) in (ii) of Theorem 1.1. The arising orthogonal group block structure on \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) is therefore not simple, and by this the proof is completed.

12. **Historical digest**

This paper objectively carries certain interdisciplinary features. Indeed, main concepts discussed by us may be attributed to such areas as association schemes, S-rings, group theory, design of statistical experiments, spectral graph theory, lattice theory, etc. While for the authors there exists an evident natural impact of ideas borrowed from many diverse areas, it is difficult to expect similar experience from each interested reader. Nevertheless, at least brief acquaintance with the roots of the many facets of rational circulants, may create an extra helpful context for the reader. This is why we provide in the final section a digest of historical comments. We did not try to make it comprehensive, hoping to come once more in a forthcoming paper to discuss the plethora of all detected lines with more detail.

12.1. **Schur rings.** The concept of an S-ring goes back to the seminal paper of Schur [104], the abbreviation S-ring was coined and used by R. Kochendörfer and H. Wielandt. For a few decades S-rings were used exclusively in permutation group theory in framework of very restricted area of interests. Books [105, 29] provide a nice framework, showing evolution in attention of modern experts to this concept. (Indeed, while S-rings occupy a significant position in [105], the authors of [29] avoid to use the
term itself, though still present the background of the classical applications of S-rings to so-called B-groups, B stands for Burnside.)

On the dawn of algebraic graph theory, the interest to S-rings was revived due to their links with graphs and association schemes, admitting a regular group as a subgroup of the full automorphism group. In this context paper [26] by C. Y. Chao definitely deserves credit for pioneering contribution. More evident combinatorial applications of S-rings stem from [97, 63]. Tendencies of modern trends for attention to the use of S-rings in graph theory still are not clear enough. On one hand, a number of experts do not even try to hide their fearful feelings toward S-rings, regarding their ability to avoid “heavy use of Schur rings” (see [52]) as a definite positive feature of their presentation. On other hand, S-rings form a solid part of a background for high level monographs, though under alternative names like translation association scheme [24] or blueprint [10].

12.2. Schur-rings over \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). Practical application of established theory by Schur [104] originally was consideration of S-rings over finite cyclic groups. As a consequence, he proved that every primitive overgroup of a regular cyclic group of composite order \( n \) in symmetric group \( S_n \) is doubly transitive. Further generalizations of this result are discussed in [116]. Nowadays, the group theoretical results of such flavor are obtained with the aid of classification of finite simple groups (CFSG), see e. g. [78]. Schur himself did not try to describe all S-rings over \( \mathbb{Z}_n \). First such serious attempt was done by Pöschel [97] on suggestion of L. A. Kalužnin, disciple of Schur. In [97] all S-rings over cyclic groups of odd prime-power order were classified. Classification of S-ring over group \( \mathbb{Z}_{2^e} \) was fulfilled by joint efforts of Ja. Ju. Gol’fand, M. H. Klin, N. L. Naimark and R. Pöschel (1981-1985), see references in [91, 70]. First attempts of description of automorphism groups of circulants of order \( n \), their normalizers in \( S_n \) and, as a result, a solution of isomorphism problem for circulants can be traced to [63]. K. H. Leung, S. L. Ma and S. H. Man reached complete recursive description of S-rings over \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) in [75, 76, 77]. An alternative approach was established by Muzychuk, see e.g. [84, 85]. The results of Leung and Ma were rediscovered by S. A. Evdokimov and I. N. Ponomarenko [34]. In fact, in [34] a much more advanced result was presented: evident description of infinite classes of non-Schurian S-rings over \( \mathbb{Z}_n \).

In 1967 A. Ádám [1] posed a conjecture: two circulants of order \( n \) are isomorphic if and only if they are conjugate with the aid of a suitable multiplier from \( \mathbb{Z}_n^* \). A number of mathematicians more or less immediately presented diverse counterexamples to this conjecture. Nevertheless, a more refined question was formulated: for which values of \( n \) the conjecture is true, see [94] and references in it. A complete solution of this problem was given in [86]. Later on Muzychuk [87] provided a necessary and sufficient condition for two circulants of order \( n \) to be isomorphic. This monumental result (as well as previous publications) of Muzychuk is based on skilful combination of diverse tools, including deep use of S-rings. Schur rings were also used for the analytical enumeration of circulant graphs, see [62, 80]. Current ongoing efforts for the description of the automorphism groups of circulant graphs are also based on the use of S-rings. For \( n \) equal to odd prime-power and \( n = 2^e \) the problem is completely solved, see [58, 59, 69, 64, 71]. A polynomial time algorithm which returns the automorphism group of an arbitrary circulant graph was recently constructed by Ponomarenko [98].
For about four decades investigation of Schur rings over cyclic groups is serving for generation of mathematicians as a challenging training polygon in development of algebraic graph theory. This supports the author’s enthusiasm to further promote combinatorial applications of S-rings and to expose this theory to a wider audience.

12.3. Rational S-rings and integral graphs. Original name coined by Schur was S-ring of traces. It seems that Wielandt [116] was the first who suggested to use adjective rational for this class of S-rings. The complete rational S-ring $A_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ appears as the transitivity module of the holomorph of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Its basis quantities are orbits of the multiplicative action of $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$. It was already Schur who noticed that in a similar way $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ acts multiplicatively on an arbitrary finite abelian group $H$ of exponent $n$. Thus also in this case it is possible to consider the transitivity module of $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. The resulting S-ring is exactly the complete rational S-ring over $H$. W. G. Bridges and R. A. Mena rediscovered in [22] (in a different context) the algebra $A_n$ and exposed a lot of its significant properties. Only later on, in [23], they realized (due to hint of E. Bannai) existence of links of their generalization of $A_n$ for arbitrary finite abelian groups with the theory of S-rings. A crucial contribution, exploited in [22, 23], was the use of the group basis in the complete rational S-ring over $H$. Implicitly or explicitly the algebras $A_n$ and $V(H, \mathbb{Z}_n^*)$ were investigated later on again and again, basing on diverse motivation see e.g. [101, 43, 45, 15].

As was mentioned, Muzychuk’s classification of rational S-rings over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ [83] forms a cornerstone for the background of the current paper. In turn, solutions for two particular cases, that is $n$ is a prime-power [97] and $n$ is square-free [45] created a helpful starting context for Muzychuk. Essential tools exploited in [83] are use of group basis and possibility to work with so-called pseudo-S-rings (those which do not obligatory include $e$ and $H$). In fact, pseudo-S-rings were used a long time ago by Wielandt [116]. This, in conjunction with the classical techniques of Schur ring theory, allows to obtain transparent proofs of main results. Zibin’s conjecture (and its particular case Toida’s conjecture) were also proved in [91] with the aid of S-rings based on further results of Muzychuk. An alternative approach developed in [30] depends on the use of CFSG.

F. Harary and A. J. Schwenk [47] suggested to call a graph $\Gamma$ integral if every eigenvalue of $\Gamma$ is integer. Since their pioneering paper a lot of interesting results about such graphs were obtained. A very valuable survey appears in [95] Chapter 5. More fresh results are discussed in [113]. It was proved in [2] that integral graphs are quite rare, that is, only a fraction of $2^{-\Omega(n)}$ of the graphs on $n$ vertices have an integral spectrum. Recent serious applications of integral graphs for designing the network topology of perfect state transfer networks (see e.g. references in [2]) imply new wave of interest to these graphs. In the context of the current paper, our interest to integral graphs is strictly restricted by regular graphs. A significant source of regular integral graphs is provided by basic graphs of symmetric association schemes and in particular by distance regular and strongly regular graphs [14, 24, 95]. A serious attempt to establish a more strict approach to algebraic properties of integral graphs is presented in [111]. Clearly, rational circulants form an interesting particular case of regular
integral graphs. Investigation of these graphs usually is based on the amalgamation of techniques from number theory, linear algebra and combinatorics. Even a brief glance on such recent contributions as [107, 103, 2, 67] shows a promising potential to use for the same purposes also S-rings.

Let us now consider a very particular infinite series of rational circulants $X_n = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_n^*)$, that is, the basic graph of the complete rational circulant association scheme, containing edge \{0, 1\}. As in [28], we will call such graphs unitary circulant graphs. Different facets of interest to the unitary circulants may be traced from [44, 66, 3, 100, 32]. A problem of description of $\text{Aut}(X_n)$ was posed in [66] and solved in [3]. Clearly, the reader will understand that the answer was in fact known for a few decades in framework of the approach presented in this paper. Similarly, one sets complete answer on the Problem 2 from [66].

12.4. Designed experiments: a bridge from and to statisticians. I. Schur and R. C. Bose are now commonly regarded as the two most influential for-runners of the theory of association schemes, a significant part of algebraic combinatorics, see e.g. [14, 65, 10].

A geometer by initial training, Bose (1901-1987) was in a sense recruited by P. C. Mahalanobis to start from the scratch research in the area of statistics at a newly established statistical laboratory at Calcutta (now the Indian Statistical Institute). Fruitful influence of R. A. Fischer and F. Levi (during 1938 - 1943 and later on) turned out to become a great success not only for Bose himself, but also for all growing new area of mathematics, see [18]. As a result, within about two decades, theory of association schemes was established by Bose et al., see [20, 21, 19, 17] for most significant cornerstone contributions on this long way. Being in a sense a mathematical bilingual, Bose was perfectly feeling in the two areas which were created and developed via his very essential contributions: design of statistical experiments and association schemes.

Unfortunately, over the theory of association schemes was recognized as an independent area of mathematics, in particular after death of Bose, close links of algebraic combinatorics to experimental statistics became less significant, especially in the eyes of pure mathematicians. Sadly this divergence still continues. Nevertheless, mainly to the efforts of R. A. Bailey, a hope for the future reunion is becoming during the last years more realistic. The book [10] is the most serious messenger in this relation. Being also bilingual (Bailey got initial deep training in classical group theory), during last three decades she systematically promotes better understanding of foundations of association schemes by statisticians. Referring to [10] for more detail, we wish just to cite here such papers as [6, 109, 8] and especially [9].

These contributions, became in turn, very significant for pure mathematics. Indeed, initial ideas of Nelder [92], equivalent in a sense to the use of simple reduction rules, in hands of Bailey et al. were transformed to the entire theory of orthogonal partitions, group poset structures and crested products. Note also that our striking example appears in [10] as Example 9.1 in surprising clothes of designed experiment for bacteria search in a milk laboratory.
12.5. Lattices and finite topological spaces. For a square free number \( n \) Gol’fand established in [45] bijection between rational S-rings over \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) and finite topologies on a \( k \)-element set, here \( n \) has exactly \( k \) distinct prime factors. This is a particular case of a bijection between rational S-rings over \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) and sublattices of \( L(n) \) for arbitrary \( n \). Here we face another impact of diverse techniques from algebraic combinatorics, general algebra, group theory, experimental designs, etc. Such references as [110, 46, 16, 33, 68, 102, 96] provide a possibility to make a brief glance of the top of this iceberg.

12.6. Generalized wreath products. The operation of wreath product has a long history, which goes back to such names as A. Cauchy, C. Jordan, E. Netto and Gy. Pólya. E. Specht was one of the first experts who considered it in a rigorous algebraic context, see [108]. A new wave of interest and applications of wreath products was initiated by L. A. Kalužnin. The Kalužnin-Krasner Theorem (see [72]) is nowadays commonly regarded as a classical result in the beginning course of group theory. Less known is a calculus for iterated wreath product of cyclic groups, the outline of which was created by Kalužnin during the period 1941-45 (at the time he was imprisoned in a nazi concentration camp), see [112]. After the war the results, shaped mathematically, were reported on the Bourbaki seminar, and published in a series of papers, see e.g. [53]. A few decades later on this calculus was revived, extended and exploited in hands of L. A. Kalužnin, V. I. Sushchaskii and their disciples, cf. [56].

The notation, used in current paper is inherited from the texts of Kalužnin et al. The generalized wreath product, the main tool in the reported project, was created independently, more or less at the same time by two experts. The approach of V. Feinberg (other spelling is Fejnberg) has purely combinatorial origins, first it was presented on the IX All Union Algebraic Colloquium (Homel, 1968, see [38]). Details are given in a series of papers [39, 40, 41, 42]. Feinberg traces roots of his approach to the ideas of Kalužnin [54]. The book [55] provides a helpful detailed source for the wide scope of diverse ideas, related to different versions of wreath products, its generalizations and applications. It seems that as an entity this stream of investigations is overlooked by modern experts.

W. Ch. Holland submitted his influential paper [49] on January 11, 1968. Though his interests are of a purely algebraic origin and the suggested operation is less general (in comparison with one considered by Feinberg), his ideas got much more lucky fate. The paper [49] is noticed already in [115] and exploited in spirit of group posets in [106] (both authors cite also [41]). It was Bailey who realized in [6] that the approach of Holland is well suited for the description of the automorphism groups of poset block structures. With more detail all necessary main ideas may be detected from [13], while [99, 25] stress extra helpful information. Our paper is strongly influenced by presentation in [10, 11].

12.7. Other products. The crucial input in [11] is that the generalized wreath product of permutation groups is considered in conjunction with the wreath product of association schemes, and lines between the two concepts are investigated. The crested product is a particular case of generalized wreath products, which may be alternatively explained in terms of iterated use of crested product. Note that the crested product
for a particular case of S-rings was considered in [48] under the name star product. As we now are aware, the considered operations are enough in order to classify rational S-rings over cyclic groups.

A more general product operation, the wedge product of association schemes, was recently introduced and investigated in [88]. The term goes back to [76, 77] who used it for recursive classification of S-rings over cyclic groups. In a similar situation Evdokimov and Ponomarenko [34] are speaking about wreath product of S-rings (unfortunately, their terminology does not coincide with traditional one). Muzychuk also investigates the automorphism groups of his wedge product of association schemes. For a particular case of S-rings over cyclic groups of prime-power order these groups coincide with the subwreath product in a sense of [59, 64, 69, 71]. A few other operations over association schemes (semi-direct product and exponentiation) are also of a definite interest, see references in [88], though out of scope in this paper.

12.8. More references. It is a pleasure to admit that S-rings are proving their efficiency in algebraic graph theory. As was mentioned, sometimes they may substitute the use of CFSG. One more such example is provided by the classification of arc-transitive circulants. This problem was solved for a particular case in [117], and in general in [79]. Both papers rely on a description of 2-transitive groups (a well known consequence of CSFG). A particular case of such groups is considered in [51]. In fact, the entire result in [79] is a consequence of [84], the proof runs in the same fashion as the one for Zibin’s conjecture.

Below is a small sample of other situations when knowledge of S-ring theory turn out to be quite helpful.

- Rational circulants, satisfying $A^m = dI + \lambda J$ [73, 81].
- Isomorphisms and automorphisms of circulants [50, 57].
- Classification of distance regular circulants [82].
- Commuting decompositions of complete graphs [4].

For purely presentational purposes we also recall one more old example. Arasu et al. posed in [5] a question about the existence of a Payley type Cayley strongly regular graph $\Gamma$ which does not admit regular elementary abelian subgroups of automorphisms. Such an example on 81 points was presented in [60] as a simple exercise via the use of rational S-ring over group $Z_3^2$, it has automorphism group of order 1944. An infinite series of similar examples, using alternative techniques, was given [27], automorphism groups were not considered. Complete classification of such strongly regular graphs over $Z_n^2$ with the aid of S-rings, is given in [74] for $n = p^k$. In our eyes the problem of classification of partial difference sets (that is, Cayley strongly regular graphs) over groups $Z_n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a nice training task for innovative applications of S-rings and association schemes.

12.9. Concluding remarks. This project has been started in 1994 at the time of a visit of M. Klin to Freiburg. During years 1994-96 Klin was discussing with O. H. Kegel diverse aspects of the use of S-rings and simple reduction rules. These discussions as well as ongoing numerous conversations with Muzychuk shaped the format of the project. Starting from year 2003, Kovács joined Klin, and by year 2006, in principle, the full understanding of the automorphism groups of the rational
circulants was achieved, and presented in [61]. At that time we became familiar with [12, 11] and were convinced that the crested products is a necessary additional brick which allows to create a clear and transparent vision of the entire subject. Finally, a more ambitious lead was attacked; the authors were striving to make presentation reasonably available to a wide mathematical audience. Our goal is not only to solve a concrete problem but also to promote use of S-rings and to stimulate interdisciplinary dialog between the experts from diverse areas, who for many decades were working in a relative isolation, being not aware of the existence of worlds “parallel” to their efforts.
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