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Abstract

Two words $u$ and $v$ are $k$-abelian equivalent if for each word $x$ of length at most $k$, $x$ occurs equally many times as a factor in both $u$ and $v$. The notion of $k$-abelian equivalence is an intermediate notion between the abelian equivalence and the equality of words. In this paper, we study the equivalence classes induced by the $k$-abelian equivalence, mainly focusing on the cardinalities of the classes. In particular, we are interested in the number of singleton $k$-abelian classes, i.e., classes containing only one element. We find a connection between the singleton classes and cycle decompositions of the de Bruijn graph. We show that the number of classes of words of length $n$ containing one single element is of order $O(n^{N_m((k-1)^{-1})})$, where $N_m(l) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} \phi(d)m^{l/d}$ is the number of necklaces of length $l$ over an $m$-ary alphabet. We conjecture that the upper bound is sharp. We also remark that, for $k$ even and $m = 2$, the lower bound $\Omega(n^{N_m((k-1)^{-1})})$ follows from an old conjecture on the existence of Gray codes for necklaces of odd length. We verify this conjecture for necklaces of length up to 15.
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1. Introduction

For an integer $k$, two finite words $u$, $v$ are called $k$-abelian equivalent, denoted by $u \sim_k v$, if they contain the same number of occurrences of each non-empty word of length at most $k$. The notion has captured attention recently, especially with respect to repetitions and complexity functions of infinite words ([4, 3, 6, 7, 8]). In particular, the work of J. Karhumäki, A. Saarela and L. Q. Zamboni (1) includes several equivalent characterizations of $k$-abelian equivalence and sets a solid foundation for the investigation of the topic.
This paper can be seen as a step towards understanding the structure of k-abelian equivalence classes. First, we characterize k-abelian equivalence in terms of rewriting. More precisely, we introduce the notion of k-switchings, where one rearranges factors occurring in the word (see Section 3 for the definition.) Using this characterization, we are able to completely characterize k-abelian classes containing only one word. Furthermore, we show that the number of such classes is of order $O(n^{N_m-(k-1)-1})$, where $N_m(l) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} \varphi(d)m^{l/d}$ is the number of necklaces (also known as circular words; i.e., equivalence classes of words under conjugacy) of length $l$ over an $m$-ary alphabet and $\varphi$ is Euler’s totient function. We also obtain a formula for counting the number of words in a $k$-abelian equivalence class induced by a given word $w$.

A common theme of the results mentioned above is that they are obtained by forming a connection between properties of the de Bruijn graph and $k$-abelian equivalence classes. We invoke classical theorems from graph theory (e.g., BEST theorem [13]) and also particular results concerning de Bruijn graphs (such as Lempel’s conjecture proved by Mykkeltveit [9]). We observe a connection between cycle decompositions of the de Bruijn graph and $k$-abelian singleton classes, and we use this connection to find an upper bound of the number of $k$-abelian singleton classes of a given length, which we conjecture to be sharp (up to a constant multiple).

For the binary alphabet and even $k$, the lower bound follows from a twenty-year-old open problem on existence of Gray codes for necklaces stated in [11], (see Conjecture 7.3 see also [3] for recent results). A Gray code for necklaces is defined as an ordering of all necklaces such that any two consecutive necklaces (up to a constant multiple).

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we define the basic notions and recall basic results on combinatorics on words. In Section 3 we show a new characterization of $k$-abelian equivalence in terms of switchings. In Section 4 we obtain a formula for counting the number of words in an equivalence class induced by a given word $w$. In Section 5 we study, based on our characterization, the number of singleton classes, i.e., $k$-abelian classes containing exactly one element. We give an upper bound for the number of singleton classes, and we conjecture it to be sharp. We then finish by stating an open problem in Section 8.

2. Preliminaries

Given a finite non-empty set $\Sigma$, we denote by $\Sigma^+$ the set of finite words over $\Sigma$ including the empty word $\varepsilon$. The set of non-empty words is denoted by $\Sigma^*$. Given a finite word $u = a_1a_2\cdots a_n$, $a_i \in \Sigma$, $n \geq 1$, we let $|u|$ denote the length $n$ of $u$ and, by convention, we set $|\varepsilon| = 0$. We denote by $\Sigma^n$ the words of length $n$ over $\Sigma$. For any $x \in \Sigma^+$ let $|u|_x$ denote the number of occurrences of $x$, including overlapping ones, as a factor of $u$. We shall use the convention $|u|_\varepsilon = |u| + 1$.

For $u = a_1a_2\cdots a_n$ and integers $i, j$ with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ we will use the notation $u[i, j] = a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_j$, for $j > i$ we denote $u[i, j] = a_i \cdots a_{j-1}$. For $i \geq j$, we define $u[i, j] = \varepsilon$. We shall often denote $u[i, \cdot] = u[i, |u|]$ for brevity.

Let $w$ be a non-empty word, and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $q \cdot |w| \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $w^q$ is defined as the word of length $q \cdot |w|$ for which $w^q[i] = w[i]$ if $i \leq \min\{q \cdot |w|, |w|\}$
and \( w^q[i] = w^q[i - |w|] \) otherwise. For \( q \geq 2 \), we call the word \( w^q \) a repetition. A word is primitive if there is no word \( v \) and integer \( l > 1 \) such that \( w = v^l \).

The period of a word is the least integer \( l \) such that for every \( 1 \leq i \leq |w| - l \), \( w[i] = w[i + l] \). Note that if \( w \) is primitive, then the period of \( w^q \) is \( |w| \).

Two words \( u \) and \( v \) are conjugates, if \( u = xy \) and \( v = yx \) for some \( x, y \in \Sigma^\ast \).

The set of all conjugates of a word \( u \) is called a necklace, or a circular word, induced by \( u \). The necklace induced by \( u \) is denoted by \( u^\circ \).

For a finite or infinite word \( u \) we denote by \( F(u) \) the set of finite factors of \( u \) and by \( F_n(u) \) the set of factors of \( u \) of length \( n \). Similarly, for a non-empty necklace \( u^\circ \), we define the set of factors of \( u^\circ \) as \( F(u^\circ) = F(u^\circ) \) and factors of length \( n \) as \( F_n(u^\circ) = F_n(u^\circ) \), where \( u^\circ \) is the infinite repetition \( uuu \cdots \).

The de Bruijn graph of order \( n \) over \( \Sigma \), denoted by \( dB_{\Sigma}(n) \), is defined as follows. The set of vertices equals \( \Sigma^n \). There is an edge from \( u \) to \( v \) if and only if there exist \( a, b \in \Sigma \) and a word \( x \in \Sigma^{n-1} \) such that \( u = ax \) and \( v = xb \). The edge \((ax, xb)\) corresponds to the word \( axb \) of length \( n + 1 \). We shall often omit the subscript \( \Sigma \) when the alphabet is clear from context.

Define the function \( \Psi_k : \Sigma^\ast \rightarrow N^{\Sigma^\ast} \) as \( \Psi_k(u)[x] = |u|_x \) for \( x \in \Sigma^k \). For \( k = 1 \), \( \Psi_k(u) \) is also known as the Parikh vector of a word \( u \in \Sigma^\ast \).

**Definition 2.1.** Two words \( u, v \in \Sigma^\ast \) are said to be \( k \)-abelian equivalent, denoted by \( u \sim_k v \), if \( \Psi_m(u) = \Psi_m(v) \) for all \( 1 \leq m \leq k \).

Note that \( u \sim_k v \) implies, by definition, \( u \sim_m v \) for all \( 1 \leq m \leq k \). The relation \( \sim_k \) is clearly an equivalence relation, in fact, even a congruence. We shall denote by \([u]_k\) the \( k \)-abelian equivalence class induced by \( u \).

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( u \in \Sigma^\ast \) and \( k \geq 1 \). If \( |[u]_k| = 1 \), then \( u \) is said to be a \( k \)-abelian singleton, or in short singleton, when \( k \) is clear from context.

**Example 2.3.** Let \( u = ababab \) and \( v = aababb \). Then \( u \) is a \( 2 \)-abelian singleton, since \([u]_2 = \{u\}\) as there are no other words of length 6 containing three occurrences of \( ab \). On the other hand, \([v]_2 = \{v, aababb, abaabb, abbaab\}\).

The following characterization is easy to see, see e.g. [2]:

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( u \) and \( v \) be words of length at least \( k - 1 \). Then \( u \sim_k v \) if and only if \( \Psi_k(u) = \Psi_k(v) \), \( \text{pref}_{k-1}(u) = \text{pref}_{k-1}(v) \) and \( \text{suff}_{k-1}(u) = \text{suff}_{k-1}(v) \).

We shall mostly use this equivalent definition for \( k \)-abelian equivalence, that is, we generally assume that the words are long enough.

### 3. A characterization by rewriting

In this section we describe rewriting rules of words, which preserve equivalence classes. This provides a new characterization of \( k \)-abelian equivalence.

Let \( k \geq 1 \) and let \( u = u_1 \cdots u_n \). Suppose further that there exist indices \( i, j, l, m \), with \( i < j \leq l < m \leq n - k + 2 \), such that \( u[i, i + k - 1] = u[l, l + k - 1] = x \in \Sigma^{k-1} \) and \( u[j, j + k - 1] = u[m, m + k - 1] = y \in \Sigma^{k-1} \). We thus have

\[
    u = u[1, i] \cdot u[i, j] \cdot u[j, l] \cdot u[l, m] \cdot u[m, ..] .
\]
where \( u[\cdot] \) and \( u[l..]\) begin with \( x \) and \( u[j..]\) and \( u[m..]\) begin with \( y \). Note here that we allow \( l = j \) (in this case \( y = x \)). We define a \( k \)-switching on \( u \), denoted by \( S_{u,k}(i, j, l, m) \), as

\[
S_{u,k}(i, j, l, m) = u[1, i] \cdot u[l, m] \cdot u[j, l] \cdot u[i, j] \cdot u[m..].
\]

Roughly speaking, the idea is to switch the positions of two factors who both begin and end with the same factors of length \( k - 1 \), and we allow the situation where the factors can all overlap. We remark that, in the case of \( j = l, k \)-switchings were considered in a different context in [2].

**Example 3.1.** Let \( u = aabababaabab \) and \( k = 4 \). Let then \( x = ab, y = bab \), \( i = 2, j = 3, l = 4 \) and \( m = 11 \). We then have

\[
u = a \cdot a \cdot b \cdot ababaa \cdot bab
\]

\[
S_{u,4}(i, j, l, m) = a \cdot ababaa \cdot b \cdot a \cdot bab.
\]

One can check that \( u \sim_k S_{u,4}(i, j, l, m) \). Note that in this example the occurrences of \( x \) and \( y \) are overlapping.

In other words, for a word \( u = a_1 \cdots a_n \), a \( k \)-switching \( S_{u,k}(i, j, l, m) = v \) can be seen as a permutation \( \sigma \) on the set \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \):

\[
\sigma : (1, \ldots, n) \mapsto (1, 2, \ldots, i - 1, l, \ldots, m - 1, j, \ldots, j - 1, m, \ldots, n),
\]

so that \( v = a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)} \). We remark that this permutation can also be considered as a discrete interval exchange transformation.

We now show that performing a \( k \)-switching on a word does not affect the number of occurrences of factors of length \( k \).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( u \in \Sigma^* \) and \( v = S_{u,k}(i, j, l, m) \) be a \( k \)-switching on \( u \). Then \( u \sim_k v \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma \) be the permutation corresponding to the \( k \)-switching as described above. It is straightforward to verify that, for any \( p, p \leq n - k + 1 \), the factor of length \( k \) beginning at index \( p \) in \( u \) is equal to the factor starting at index \( \sigma(p) \) in \( v \) (see Figure 1 where we have the case of no overlaps of the factors \( x \) and \( y \). The other cases are analogous). This implies that \( \Psi_k(u) = \Psi_k(v) \). Furthermore, \( \text{pref}_{k-1}(u) = \text{pref}_{k-1}(v) \) and \( \text{suff}_{k-1}(u) = \text{suff}_{k-1}(v) \). It follows that \( u \sim_k v \).

Let us define a relation \( R_k \) of \( \Sigma^* \) with \( uR_k v \) if and only if \( v = S_{u,k} \) for some \( k \)-switching on \( u \). Now \( R_k \) is clearly symmetric, so that the reflexive and transitive closure \( R_k^* \) of \( R_k \) is an equivalence relation.

In this terminology, the above lemma asserts that \( uR_k^* v \) implies \( u \sim_k v \). We now prove the converse, so that the relations \( \sim_k \) and \( R_k^* \) actually coincide.
It is enough to show that 

**Proposition 3.3.** For two words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$, we have $u \sim_k v$ if and only if $uR_k^*v$.

For the proof of the proposition, we need the following technical claim which will also be used later:

**Claim 3.4.** Let $w \sim_k w'$, $w \neq w'$. Let $\lambda x$ be the longest common prefix of $w$ and $w'$ with $\lambda \in \Sigma^*$, $x \in \Sigma^{k-1}$, whence $w = \lambda x\mu$ and $w' = \lambda x\mu'$ for some $\mu, \mu' \in \Sigma^*$, $a, b \in \Sigma$, $a \neq b$. Then there exist $y \in \Sigma^{k-1}$ and indices $j, l, m$, with $|\lambda| + 1 < j \leq l < m$, such that

$$w[j, j + k - 1) = y, \quad w[l, l + k) = xb, \quad \text{and} \quad w[m, m + k - 1) = y.$$

**Proof.** It follows from $w \sim_k w'$ that $w'$ has an occurrence of $xa$ and $w$ has an occurrence of $xb$ occurring after the common prefix $\lambda$. We let $i = |\lambda| + 1$ be the position (i.e., the starting index of the occurrence) of $xa$ in $w$ and let $l$ be the minimal position (leftmost occurrence) of $xb$ in $w$ with $l > i$. Let $p$ be a position of $xa$ in $w'$ with $p > i$, (see Figure 2).

Consider then the set $F_k(w'[i..])$; each word in this set occurs somewhere in $w[i..]$, since $w \sim_k w'$. Let then $q, q \geq i$, be the minimal index such that the factor $w'[q, q + k)$ occurs in $w[i, i + k - 1)$. Such an index exists since, for example, $w'[p, p + k) = w[i, i + k)$. Moreover, by the minimality of $l$, we have $q > i$. Let $y = w'[q, q + k - 1)$ and let $j'$, $i \leq j' \leq l - 1$, be a position of $y$ in $w$. We shall now choose the index $j$ in the claim. If $j' > i$ we choose $j = j'$. If $j' = i$, then necessarily $x = y$ and we choose $j = l$.

We shall now choose the index $m$ in the claim. By the choice of $q$, we have that $w'[q - 1, q + k - 1)$, an element of $F_k(w'[i..])$, occurs at some position $m'$, $m' \geq l$, in $w$. It follows that $y$ occurs in $w$ at position $m = m' + 1$, with $m > l$. We have now obtained the factor $y$ and the positions of $y$ and $xb$ as claimed.

**Proof of Proposition 3.3.** It is enough to show that $u \sim_k v$ implies $uR_k^*v$, since the converse follows from Lemma 3.2.

More precisely, we shall prove the following: Let $u \sim_k v$ and suppose that for the longest common prefix $\nu$ of $u$ and $v$, we have $|\nu| < |v|$. Then there exists a word $z$ such that $uR_k z$ and the longest common prefix of $z$ and $v$ has length at least $|\nu| + 1$. It is clear that Proposition 3.3 follows immediately from Lemma 3.2 and this observation.

Indeed, applying Claim 3.4 to $w = u$ and $w' = v$, with $\nu = \lambda x$, we obtain indices $i, j, l, m$ which give rise to a $k$-switching $S_{u,k}(i, j, l, m) = z$, such that the longest common prefix of $z$ and $v$ has length at least $|\nu| + 1$. This concludes the proof.

![Figure 2: Illustration of the proof of Claim 3.3](image-url)
4. The cardinality of a k-abelian equivalence class

In this section we analyze the sizes of k-abelian equivalence classes. One of the interesting questions there is the following: Given n and Σ, which cardinalities of k-abelian classes of words of length n over the alphabet Σ exist? We begin with a simple observation:

**Claim 4.1.** 1) For any pair n, m ∈ N, with m ≤ n − 2, there exists a ternary word w, such that |w| = n and |w[2]| = n.
2) For any p ∈ N there exists a sequence of binary words (w_n)_{n∈N}, |w_n| = n, such that |w_n[2]| = Θ(n^p).

**Proof.** 1) Choose w = a^{n−m−2}cbe^m. The claim follows since any w' ∼ 2 w has a^{n−m−2} as a prefix and |cbe^m[2]| = m for all m ∈ N.
2) Let w_n = (ab)^p a^{n−2p} for n ≥ 2p. Then |w_n[2]| = (n−p−1) = Θ(n^p).

In general, it is not clear which orders of growth one can achieve. In the following we will prove a formula for counting |w[k]| for a given word w.

4.1. Equivalence classes as Eulerian cycles in weighted de Bruijn graphs

In the following, when talking about graphs, we mean directed multigraphs with loops. For a fixed graph G, we denote by d−G(u) (resp., d+G(u)) the number of outgoing (resp., incoming) edges of u. For u, v ∈ V, the number of edges from u to v in G is denoted by m_G(u, v). When clear from context, we omit the subscript G.

Let G = (V, E) and let the set of vertices be ordered as V = {v_1, ..., v_{|V|}}. The adjacency matrix of G is the matrix (a_{ij})_{i,j}, where a_{ij} = m(v_i, v_j).

We repeat an observation made in [7] connecting k-abelian equivalence with Eulerian paths in certain multigraphs. Let f ∈ N^Σ^k be an arbitrary vector. We modify the de Bruijn graph dB(k − 1) with respect to f into G_f = (V, E) as follows. We define V as the set of words x ∈ Σ^{k−1} such that x is a prefix or a suffix of a word z ∈ Σ^k for which f[z] > 0. We define the set of edges as follows: for each z ∈ Σ^k with f[z] > 0, we take the edge from u to v with multiplicity f[z], where u is the length k − 1 prefix of z, and v is the length k − 1 suffix of z.

Note that for f = Ψ_k(w), the graph G_f resembles the Rauzy graph of w of order k − 1 (see [10]), with V = F_{k−1}(w) and the edges of G_f correspond to the set F_k(w) with multiplicities.

In the following, for u, v ∈ Σ^{k−1}, we denote by Σ(u, v) the set of words which begin with u and end with v: Σ(u, v) = aΣ^* ∩ Σ^* v.

**Lemma 4.2** (Lemma 2.12 in [7]). For a vector f ∈ N^Σ^k and words u, v ∈ Σ^{k−1}, the following are equivalent:

1. there exists a word w ∈ Σ(u, v) such that f = Ψ_k(w),
2. G_f has an Eulerian path starting from u and ending at v,
3. the underlying graph of G_f is connected, and d−(s) = d+(s) for every vertex s, except that if u ≠ v, then d−(u) = d+(u) − 1 and d−(v) = d+(v) + 1.

The following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 4.3.** For a word w ∈ Σ(u, v) and k ≥ 1, we have that w’ ∼_k w if and only if w’ induces an Eulerian path from u to v in G_{Ψ_k(w)}.
4.2. On Eulerian cycles in directed multigraphs

We recall some notions and well-known results from graph theory.

**Definition 4.4.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. The Laplacian matrix $\Delta$ of $G$ is defined as

$$\Delta_{uv} = \begin{cases} -m(u, v), & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ d^+(u) - m(u, v), & \text{if } u = v. \end{cases}$$

For the Laplacian $\Delta$ of a graph $G$ and a vertex $v$ of $G$, we denote by $\Delta(v)$ the matrix obtained by removing from $\Delta$ the row and column corresponding to $v$.

**Remark 4.5.** We note that for a directed multigraph $G$ and a vertex $v$, $\det(\Delta(v))$ counts the number of rooted spanning trees with root $v$ in $G$. This result is known as Kirchhoff's matrix tree theorem (for a proof, see [13]).

A graph $G$ is called Eulerian if there exists an Eulerian cycle. We recall the BEST theorem, first discovered by C. A. B. Smith and W. T. Tutte in 1941 and later generalized by T. van Aardenne-Ehrenfest and N. G. de Bruijn (see [13]).

**Theorem 4.6 (BEST theorem).** Let $G$ be a connected directed Eulerian multigraph. Then

$$\epsilon(G) = \det(\Delta(u)) \prod_{v \in V} (d^+(v) - 1)!,$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian of $G$ and $u$ is any vertex of $G$.

4.3. The cardinality of an equivalence class

We are now going to count $|\mathcal{K}_k|_{\Sigma(u, v)}$ for any word $w \in \Sigma_+^k$ with $w$ long enough. Let $w \in \Sigma(u, v)$, $u, v \in \Sigma^{k-1}$, and denote by $f = \Psi_k(w)$. By Corollary 4.3, we are interested in the number of Eulerian paths of $G_f$. The difference from $\epsilon(G_f)$ (in the case $u = v$) is that we consider two cycles to be the same, if one is a cyclic shift of the other. Equivalently, $\epsilon(G)$ counts the number of distinct Eulerian cycles beginning from a fixed edge $e$.

**Proposition 4.7.** Let $k \geq 1$ and $w \in \Sigma(u, v)$ for some $u, v \in \Sigma^{k-1}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{K}_k|_{\Sigma(u, v)} = \det(\Delta(v)) \prod_{x \in F_{k-1}(w)} \frac{(\vert w \vert_x - 1)!}{\prod_{a \in \Sigma} \vert w \vert_{xa}!},$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian of $G_{\Psi_k(w)}$.

**Proof.** Let $f = \Psi_k(w)$ and $V = F_{k-1}(w)$. Suppose first that $u = v$, so that $G_f$ contains an Eulerian cycle. We shall first count the number of distinct Eulerian cycles starting from vertex $v$. Note here that two cycles are considered distinct if the edges are traversed in a different order.
It follows from the BEST theorem, that the number of Eulerian cycles starting from vertex \( v \) equals
\[
d^+(v) \det(\Delta(v)) \prod_{x \in V} (d^+(x) - 1)! = \det(\Delta(v)) \prod_{x \in V} (|w|_x - 1)!. \tag{2}
\]

Now two Eulerian cycles are induced by the same word \( z \) if and only if the vertices are traversed in the same order. The claim follows by dividing the right hand side of equation (2) by the number of different ways to order the individual edges between two vertices \( x \) and \( y \) for all \( x, y \in V \):
\[
\prod_{(x,y) \in E} m(x,y)! = \prod_{x \in V} \prod_{a \in \Sigma} f[xa]!.
\]

Suppose then that \( u \neq v \). We shall now add to \( G_f \) a new edge \( e = (v, u) \) to obtain \( H \), an Eulerian graph. Observe that \( d^+_H(v) = d^+_G(v) + 1 = |w_v| \), the rest of the out-degrees remain the same. Furthermore, the number of Eulerian paths from \( u \) to \( v \) in \( G_f \) equals the number of Eulerian cycles beginning with \( e \) in \( H \).

We again invoke the BEST theorem: the number of Eulerian cycles beginning from edge \( e \) is
\[
det(\Delta(v)) \prod_{x \in V} (d^+_H(x) - 1)! = \det(\Delta(v)) \prod_{x \in V \setminus \{v\}} (d^+_H(x) - 1)!
\]
\[
= \det(\Delta(v)) \prod_{x \in V} (|w|_x - 1)!, \tag{3}
\]
where \( \Delta \) can be chosen to be the Laplacian of either \( H \) or \( G_f \), since the Laplacians of \( G_f \) and \( H \) differ only in the row and column corresponding to \( v \).

Similar to the previous case, we are not interested in which order the edges from \( x \) to \( y \) are traversed, with one exception: we have fixed the starting edge \( e \). The right hand side of equation (3) should thus be divided by
\[
\prod_{(x,y) \in E \setminus \{(v,u)\}} m_H(x,y)! = \prod_{(x,y) \in E \setminus \{(v,u)\}} m_G(x,y)! = \prod_{x \in V} \prod_{a \in \Sigma} f[xa]!.
\]

The claim follows.

\textbf{Example 4.8.} Let \( w = ababaaaa \) and \( f = \Psi_2(w) \). We have
\[
f = (|w|_{aa}, |w|_{ab}, |w|_{ba}, |w|_{bb}) = (3, 2, 2, 0).
\]
The Laplacian of \( G_f \) is \( \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right) \), from which we obtain \( \det(\Delta(a)) = 2 \). The above proposition then gives us:
\[
\frac{|w|_2}{|w|_a |w|_b |w|_a b} = 2 \cdot \frac{5! \cdot 1!}{3! \cdot 2! \cdot 2!} = \frac{5}{2}.
\]

One should compare this to the proof of (Claim 4.1 2).
5. On the structure of singleton classes

In this section we are interested in the structure of $k$-abelian singleton classes, i.e., $k$-abelian classes containing exactly one element. There always exist $k$-abelian singletons for each length $n$, consider for example $a^n$.

Example 5.1. It is not difficult to verify that the set of 2-abelian singletons over $\{a, b\}$ beginning with $a$ is $a^+ b^* \cup ab^* \cup (ab)^* \{\varepsilon, a\}$. As the number of singleton classes beginning with $b$ are the same up to switching $a$’s with $b$’s, the total number of 2-abelian singleton classes of length $n$ over a binary alphabet is $2n + 4$ for $n \geq 4$.

5.1. A factorization of $k$-abelian singletons

We first characterize $k$-abelian singletons in terms of generalized return words using $k$-switchings. For this we say that $x$ is a proper factor of $w$ if $x$ occurs in $w[2, |w|)$.

Definition 5.2. Let $u \in \Sigma^*$ and let $x, y \in \Sigma^+$ be of the same length. A return from $x$ to $y$ in $w$ is a word $v \in \Sigma^+$ such that $vy$ is a factor of $u$, $x$ is a prefix of $vy$ and neither $x$ or $y$ occurs as a proper factor of $vy$. If $x = y$ then we simply say $v$ is a return to $x$ in $w$.

Note that if $v$ and $v'$, $|v| \leq |v'|$, are distinct returns from $x$ to $y$ in a word $w$, then $vy$ cannot be a factor of $v'y$, as otherwise $v'y$ would contain either $x$ or $y$ as a proper factor. On the other hand, $v$ could be a proper prefix of $v'$, consider for example $x = a$, $y = b$, $vy = acb$, $v'y = accb$.

Proposition 5.3. A word $w \in \Sigma^*$ is a $k$-abelian singleton if and only if for each pair $x, y \in F_{k-1}(w)$ there is at most one return from $x$ to $y$ in $w$.

Proof. We first prove the "only if" part. Suppose that $w$ contains two distinct returns $v$ and $v'$ from $x$ to $y$, $x, y \in \Sigma^{k-1}$. We will show that $w$ is not a $k$-abelian singleton.

Let $vy = w[i, j)y$ and $v'y = w[l, m)y$ with $i < l$. Note that $j < m$ as otherwise $vy$ contains $v'y$ as a factor. In fact, by definition, we necessarily have $i < j$ and $l < m$ (since $v, v' \in \Sigma^+$) and $j \leq l$, (since otherwise $vy$ contains $x$ as a proper factor). Now we can perform a switching $w' = S_{w,k}(i, j, l, m)$ so that

$$w' = w[1, i]w[l, m]w[j, l]w[i, j]w[m, ..]$$

Note that $w' \neq w$, since $w$ begins with $w[1, i]vy$ and $w'$ with $w[1, i)v'y$. We conclude that $w$ is not a $k$-abelian singleton.

Now we prove the "if" part. Suppose that for each pair $x, y \in F_{k-1}(w)$ there is at most one return from $x$ to $y$ in $w$. We claim that $w$ is a $k$-abelian singleton. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $w' \sim_k w$ with $w' \neq w$. By applying [Claim 3.3] to $w$ and $w'$ we obtain $x, y \in \Sigma^{k-1}$, $a, b \in \Sigma$, $a \neq b$, and indices $i, j, l, m$, $i < j \leq l < m$, such that $w[i, i + k) = xa$, $w[l, l + k) = xb$, and $w[j, j + k - 1) = w[m, m + k - 1) = y$. At this point we can assume that $j$ and $m$ are minimal among such indices.

We first observe that there exists a return to $x$ in $w$ which begins with $xa$. If now $x$ occurred in $w[l + 1, ..]$, we would have another return to $x$ in $w$ which begins with $xb$, a contradiction. It follows that $w[l, m)y$ is a return from $x$ to $y$.
Let \( u \) be a \( k \)-abelian singleton, and let \( x \in \Sigma^{k-1} \) be a factor of \( u \) occurring at least three times. Then \( u = u[1, i) v^l x u[m + k - 1..] \), where \( v \) is the unique return to \( x \), \( l \geq 2 \) is an integer, and \( i \) and \( m \) are the positions of the first and the last occurrences of \( x \) in \( u \), respectively.

Proof. Let \( i_0 = i, i_1, \ldots, i_t = m \), \( \ell \geq 2 \), be the sequence of all positions of \( x \) in \( u \). Then the words \( u[i_j, i_{j+1}) \), \( j = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1 \), are returns to \( x \) in \( u \).

By Proposition 5.3, \( x \) has exactly one return word in \( u \), and this return word is \( v \). It follows that \( u[i_j, i_{j+1}) = v \) for all \( j = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1 \). We thus have \( u = u[1, i) v^l x u[m + k - 1..] \), where \( v^l x \) contains all occurrences of \( x \) in \( u \).

We say that a non-empty word \( w \) is \( k \)-full if \( |F_{k-1}(w^\omega)| = |w| \). In other words, \( w \) is \( k \)-full if \( w^\omega \) contains \( |w| \) distinct words of length \( k - 1 \). Further, we define a \( k \)-full repetition as a repetition of a \( k \)-full word, which contains some factor of length \( k - 1 \) at least 3 times. Clearly,

- a \( k \)-full word is primitive,
- each factor of length \( k - 1 \) in \( w^\omega \), with \( w \) \( k \)-full, has a unique return word,
- the repetition \( v^l x \) in Lemma 5.4 is \( k \)-full,
- in a \( k \)-abelian singleton, any repetition \( r^q \), with

\[
q \geq \frac{k-1}{\ell} + 2,
\]

has to be a \( k \)-full repetition. Indeed, property (4) ensures that the repetition \( r^q \) contains at least one factor of length \( k - 1 \) at least three times.

Let \( u = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m \) and suppose \( u[i, m) = r^q \) for some primitive \( r \in \Sigma^* \) and \( q \geq 2 \). Then the repetition \( r^q \) is called a run if both \( a_{i-1} \neq a_{i-1+|r|} \) (or \( i = 1 \)), and \( a_m \neq a_{m-|r|} \) (or \( m - 1 = n \)). In other words, a run in a word \( u \) is a maximal (or non-extendable) repetition in \( u \).

Note that each repetition in a word can be extended to a run (of the same period) by adding a prefix and a suffix if necessary. So, in the expression \( u = u[1, i) v^l x u[m + k - 1..] \) we can now extend the repetition \( v^l x \) to a run:

**Corollary 5.5.** Let \( u \) be a \( k \)-abelian singleton, and let \( x \in \Sigma^{k-1} \) be a factor of \( u \) occurring at least three times. Then \( u \) is of form \( u = tr^q t' \) where \( r^q \) is a \( k \)-full run containing \( x \), and any word in \( F_{k-1}(r^q) \) occurs only in the run and nowhere else in \( u \).
Proof. First notice that the run \( r^q \) is an extension of the repetition \( v^j x \) defined by Lemma 5.4, and in particular \( q > l \). Clearly, \( r^q \) contains all occurrences of \( x \), since it contains \( v^j x \), which, in turn, contains all occurrences of \( x \). It is not hard to see that the same is true for all other factors of length \( k - 1 \) of \( r^q \). Indeed, each factor of length \( k - 1 \) occurs in it at least twice. So, if such a factor occurred somewhere else in \( u \) (outside the run), then it would have at least two returns, which contradicts Proposition 5.3.

Example 5.6. We illustrate Lemma 5.4 and Corollary 5.5 by the following example: Take \( u = 00101010001111 \), \( k = 4 \) and \( x = 101 \), then we have \( v = 10 \), \( l = 2 \), so that \( u = 00 (10)^2101 \ 0001111 \). Extending the repetition \((10)^2101 \) to \( 0(10)^21010 = (01)^{9/2} \), we get \( u = 0 \ (01)^{9/2} \ 001111 \). Here \( r = 01 \), \( q = 9/2 \), \( t = 0 \), and \( t' = 001111 \).

So, in a singleton class, each factor \( x \) of length \( k - 1 \) occurring at least three times, occurs in some run \( r^q \) and nowhere else. Between two different runs \( r^q \) and \( r'^q \) there could be a word \( t : \ r^q \ [t] \ r'^q \) (\( t \) might be \( \varepsilon \)), or they might overlap by a word \( t' \) of length at most \( k - 2 \) (because of the condition on returns); we will denote this as \( r^q \ [t']^{-1} \ r'^q \). For example, for \( k = 4 \), \( u = 01101101100100100 \) we write \( u = (011)^{10/3}[10]^{-1}(100)^{11/3} \).

The following proposition gives a structure of the \( k \)-abelian singleton classes:

Proposition 5.7. Let \( u \) be a \( k \)-abelian singleton. Then \( u \) is of the form

\[
u = t_0 \cdot r_1^{q_1} \cdot [t_1]^{\sigma_1} \cdot r_2^{q_2} \cdot [t_2]^{\sigma_2} \cdots r_s^{q_s} \cdot [t_s],
\]

(5)

where \( \sigma_i \) is either \(-1 \) or \(+1 \), \( t_i \in \Sigma^* \), \( r_i \) is \( k \)-full run, \( q_i = 2 + \frac{k-1}{|t_i|} \) is rational for all \( i = 1, \ldots, s \), and for \( i \neq j \) we have \( F_{k-1}(r_i^q) \cap F_{k-1}(r_j^q) = \emptyset \). Furthermore, if \( \sigma_i = +1 \) (resp., \(-1 \) ), then any factor of length \( k - 1 \) overlapping \( t_i \) (resp., containing \( t_i \) as a proper factor) occurs at most twice in \( u \).

Proof. The proof is in fact the application of Corollary 5.5 to all factors occurring at least three times in \( u \); these factors give rise to the \( k \)-full runs \( r_i^{q_i} \). The words \( t_i \) come from joints of runs in a word.

So, in fact we have two types of factors of length \( k - 1 \): those which occur in some \( r_i^{q_i} \), and can occur more than twice, and those which overlap \( t_i \) for \( \sigma_i = +1 \) and contain \( t_i \) as a proper factor for \( \sigma_i = -1 \); the latter factors can occur at most twice.

Example 5.8. The word \( u = 01^{10}[00]^{-1}(0011)^{7/2}[e](01)^{13/2} \) is a \( 5 \)-abelian singleton. The factor 0000 occurs only in \( r_1^{q_1} = 0^{10} \), the factors 0011, 0110, 1100, 1001 occur only in \( r_2^{q_2} = (0011)^{7/2} \), and 0101, 1010 occur only in \( r_3^{q_3} = (01)^{13/2} \). The factor 0001 occurs twice, once in the intersection with \( t_1 = [00]^{-1} \) and once as an overlap with \( t_2 = [e] \). The factor 1000 occurs once in the overlap with \( t_2 \). It is not hard to see that no switching is possible, so the class is indeed a singleton.

Remark 5.9. Let \( u \) be a word having a representation (5).

- If each word of length \( k - 1 \) overlapping some \( t_i \) occurs exactly once in \( u \), then \( u \) is a \( k \)-abelian singleton.

- Some words overlapping \( t_i \) with \( \sigma_i = +1 \) (resp., containing \( t_i \) with \( \sigma_i = -1 \) as a proper factor) can occur twice. In this case \( u \) could be a singleton (if no switchings are possible) or not (if a switching is possible).
5.2. The type of a singleton

We shall fix some notions which we will use further on. Given a singleton with a representation (5), we say that the tuple
\[(\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{s}, \{(q_i)\}_{i=1}^{s}, \{t_i\}_{i=0}^{s}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^{s-1})\] (6)
defines the type of the singleton (here \(\langle q \rangle\) denotes the fractional part of a rational number \(q\): \(\langle q \rangle = q - \lfloor q \rfloor\)). When the type of the singleton is defined, only the integer parts \(\lfloor q_i \rfloor\) of the powers \(q_i\) may change. Note here that if one choice of the numbers \(\lfloor q_i \rfloor\) defines a singleton, then so will all other choices, as long as (4) is satisfied for all the runs.

The following lemma says that given \(k\) and \(\Sigma\), the number of types of \(k\)-abelian singletons of length \(n\) is bounded by a constant which does not depend on \(n\) (but depends, of course, on \(k\)):

**Lemma 5.10.** Given \(k\) and \(\Sigma\), the number of types of \(k\)-abelian singletons of length \(n\) is \(\Theta(1)\).

**Proof.** First notice that the lengths of \(r_i\) are bounded. In fact, the sum of the lengths of \(r_i\) is bounded by \(|\Sigma|^{k-1}\). Indeed, from the definition of a \(k\)-full run we have that \(F_{k-1}(r_i^s) = |r_i|\) and from Proposition 5.7 we obtain that \(F_{k-1}(r_i^s) \cap F_{k-1}(r_j^s) = \emptyset\). Therefore, the sum of lengths of \(r_i\) is bounded by the total number of words of length \(k-1\) on the alphabet \(\Sigma\), i.e., by \(|\Sigma|^{k-1}\). It follows that the number of fractional parts \(\{q_i\}\) is bounded. Also, the length of \(t_i\) is bounded (e.g., by \(2|\Sigma|^{k-1}\)). Indeed, each \(t_i\) can contain, as a factor, each word of length \(k-1\) at most twice, so its length is at most twice the number of all words of length \(k-1\), i.e., \(2|\Sigma|^{k-1}\).

Now, since the lengths of the words \(r_i\) and \(t_i\) are bounded and the number of the fractional parts \(\{q_i\}\) is bounded, we conclude that the numbers of all the elements defining the type of the class are bounded by a constant which does not depend on \(n\). Hence the number of types of \(k\)-abelian singletons is \(\Theta(1)\).

6. On the number of singleton classes

The main goal of this section is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.1.** The number of \(k\)-abelian singleton classes of length \(n\) over an \(m\)-ary alphabet is of order \(O(n^{N_m(k-1)-1})\), where

\[N_m(l) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{d|l} \varphi(d)m^{l/d}\]

is the number of necklaces of length \(l\) over an \(m\)-ary alphabet and \(\varphi\) is Euler’s totient function.

The sequence \((N_m(l))_{l=0}^\infty\) is sequence A000031 in Sloane’s encyclopedia of integer sequences. The first few values of the sequence are

1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 14, 20, 36, 60, 108, 188, 352, 632, 1182, 2192, 4116, 7712, 14602, . . .

See also the sequences A001867–A001869 for alphabets of size 3–5.

We conjecture that this upper bound is tight, i.e., in fact the number of \(k\)-abelian singleton classes is of order \(\Theta(n^{N_m(k-1)-1})\) (see Conjecture 7.7).
6.1. A first upper bound for the number of singletons

We shall first show that the number of singletons of length \( n \) is bounded by a polynomial in \( n \) whose exponent is connected to representation (5).

Consider now the set of singletons of length \( n \) defined by the type of form (6). The size of this set is equal to the number of integer solutions \((y_1, \ldots, y_s)\) of the equation

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{s} |r_i| (y_i + \langle q_i \rangle) + \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sigma_i |t_i| = n.
\]

Here, for each \( i = 1, \ldots, s \), we have the restriction

\[
y_i \geq \frac{k-1}{|r_i|} + 2 - \langle q_i \rangle
\]

so that the run \( r_i + \langle q_i \rangle \) is indeed a \( k \)-full run. Of course, the equation might not have any solutions (e.g., for parity reasons). In any case, letting \( n \) grow, the number of solutions \((y_1, \ldots, y_s)\) is of order \( O(n^{s-1}) \).

**Proposition 6.2.** The number of \( k \)-abelian singletons of length \( n \) is of order \( \Theta(n^{s_{\text{max}}-1}) \), where \( s_{\text{max}} \) is the maximal \( s \) among the representations (5) which correspond to singletons.

**Proof.** Let the type of a \( k \)-abelian singleton \( u \) be defined by the tuple

\[
(r_1, \langle q_1 \rangle, t_1, \sigma_1, \ldots, r_s, \langle q_s \rangle, t_s, \sigma_s).
\]

Consider then the set of singletons of the same type as \( u \) and which have length at least \( n \) but less than \( n + |r_s| \) (i.e., we allow the \( y_i = \lfloor q_i \rfloor \)s to vary). The size of this set is equal to the number of integer solutions \((y_1, \ldots, y_s)\) to

\[
n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} |r_i| (y_i + \langle q_i \rangle) + \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sigma_i |t_i| < n + |r_s|,
\]

where each \( y_i, i = 1, \ldots, s \), satisfies (9). Here we add the range \( |r_s| \) to the length \( n \) in order to ensure the existence of solutions for \( n \) large enough.

Each such solution corresponds to a \( k \)-abelian singleton of length at least \( n \) but less than \( n + |r_s| \). By deleting letters from the end, we obtain a singleton (possibly of a different type, since we modify the end of the word) of length \( n \). It is straightforward to check that two distinct solutions correspond to two distinct singletons of length \( n \). Letting \( n \) grow, the number of such solutions is of order \( \Theta(n^{s-1}) \), and they correspond to a collection of distinct \( k \)-abelian singletons of length \( n \).

By choosing \( u \) as a singleton with maximal \( s \) in its representation, we obtain the lower bound \( \Omega(n^{s_{\text{max}}-1}) \) in the claim. Furthermore, by Lemma 5.10 the number of distinct types is of order \( \Theta(1) \). By summing over all types we get the upper bound \( O(n^{s_{\text{max}}-1}) \). The claim follows.

We shall now proceed to obtain upper bounds for \( s_{\text{max}} \) in the above proposition. For this we observe a connection between \( k \)-abelian singletons and cycle decompositions of the de Bruijn graph of degree \( k - 1 \).
6.2. Singletons as cycle semi-decompositions of de Bruijn graphs

Consider now a \( k \)-abelian singleton \( u \) with representation (5) as a path in the de Bruijn graph \( dB(k - 1) \). Each \( k \)-full run \( r^k \) corresponds to a cycle in de Bruijn graph. The path induced by \( u \) in \( dB(k - 1) \) can be seen to enter and leave these cycles, never to return again. A \( k \)-abelian singleton can thus be seen as a decomposition of \( dB(k - 1) \) into vertex-disjoint cycles (corresponding to the runs \( r^k \) ) which are connected by certain paths (defined by the words \( t_i \)). As we are interested in maximizing \( s \) in representation (5), the above translates to finding a decomposition of \( dB(k - 1) \) into the largest number of cycles which are connected by paths. We shall now make the above discussion rigorous.

Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a graph and let \( C = \{C_1, \ldots, C_m\} \) be a set of vertex-disjoint cycles of \( G \). Let \( V_i \) be the set of vertices in \( C_i \), and let \( V_\emptyset = V \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_i \). The set consisting of the partitions \( V_i, i = 1, \ldots, m \) and \( \{v\}, v \in V_\emptyset \), is called a cycle semi-decomposition of \( G \), denoted by \( V/C \).

**Definition 6.3.** Let \( G = (V, E) \) and let \( V/C \) be a cycle semi-decomposition. We define the quotient graph \( G/C = (V/C, E') \) with respect to \( C \) as follows. For \( X, Y \in V/C, X \neq Y \), we have \( (X, Y) \in E' \) if and only if there exist \( x \in X \) and \( y \in Y \) such that \( (x, y) \in E \).

Let \( u \) be a \( k \)-abelian singleton. Consider the graph \( G_u \) obtained from \( G_{\Psi_k(u)} \) by removing multiplicities of edges. Let \( C_u = \{C_1, \ldots, C_s\} \) be the set of vertex-disjoint cycles; the sets of vertices which the path induced by \( u \) visits at least three times. The graph \( G_u/C_u \) then contains a path which traverses through each \( V_i \) once and through each \( \{v\}, v \in V_\emptyset \), at least once and at most twice.

**Example 6.4.** Consider the graph \( G_u \) induced by the 4-abelian singleton \( u = 2(01)^3[2](0110)^{15/4}[011]^{-1}1^6 \). The vertex-disjoint cycles corresponding to \( u \) are \( V_1 = \{010, 101\}, V_2 = \{011, 110, 100, 001\} \) and \( V_3 = \{111\} \). The set of factors occurring at most twice in \( u \) is \( V_\emptyset = \{201, 012, 120\} \). The quotient graph \( G_u/C_u \) is displayed in Figure 3.

By Remark 5.9 we obtain the following.

**Proposition 6.5.** Let \( G \) be the de Bruijn graph of order \( k - 1 \). Then \( s_{\text{max}} \) (as in Proposition 6.3) is bounded by the largest \( s \) such that \( C \) is a set of cardinality \( s \) of vertex-disjoint cycles and \( G/C \) contains a path which traverses each \( V_i \) precisely once and each \( \{v\}, v \in V_\emptyset \), at most twice.

**Remark 6.6.** Note that \( s_{\text{max}} \) is bounded below by the largest \( s \) such that \( C \) is a set of size \( s \) of vertex-disjoint cycles and \( G/C \) contains a path which traverses through each \( V_i \) precisely once and through each \( \{v\}, v \in V_\emptyset \), at most once.

Figure 3: The quotient graph \( G_u/C_u \) induced by \( u = 2(01)^3[2](0110)^{15/4}[011]^{-1}1^6 \).
The following theorem, originally known as Lempel’s conjecture, was proved by J. Mykkeltveit in [20].

**Theorem 6.7.** The minimum number of vertices which, if removed from \( dB_2(n) \), will leave a graph with no cycles, is \( N_{2n}(n) \) (defined by (7)).

It follows that a set of vertex-disjoint cycles of \( dB_2(n) \) can contain at most \( N_{2n}(n) \) cycles. By the above theorem and Proposition 6.5, we immediately obtain Theorem 6.1.

**Definition 6.8.** A cycle semi-decomposition \( V/C \) of \( dB_2(n) \) is called maximal if \( C \) contains \( N_{2n}(n) \) cycles.

Note that maximal cycle (semi-)decompositions exist for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \): take the cycles induced by necklaces. However, the above theorems do not give the property that \( N(n) \) vertex-disjoint cycles of \( dB_2(n) \) correspond to the edges of \( dB_2(n-1) \), so that \( C \) can be seen as a set of vertex-disjoint cycles of \( dB_2(n-1) \), an Eulerian graph.

**Proposition 6.9.** For any maximal cycle semi-decomposition \( V/C \) of \( dB_2(n) \), each vertex occurs in one of the cycles of \( C \).

**Proof.** We first recall the following: Let \( G \) be an Eulerian graph and \( \tilde{C} \) a set of edge-disjoint cycles of \( G \). Then there exists a decomposition \( \tilde{D} \) of \( G \) into edge-disjoint cycles such that \( \tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{D} \). Indeed, since \( G \) is Eulerian, each vertex \( v \) has the property \( d^+_G(v) = d^-_G(v) \). If \( G' \) is the graph obtained from \( G \) by removing edges occurring in \( \tilde{C} \), then each vertex \( v \in G' \) has the property \( d^+_G(v) = d^-_G(v) \). It follows from Veblen’s theorem (14) for directed graphs (see, e.g., [3], exercise 2.4.2) that there exists a decomposition \( \tilde{E} \) of \( G' \) into edge-disjoint cycles. Now \( \tilde{E} \cup \tilde{C} = \tilde{D} \) is a decomposition of \( G \) into edge-disjoint cycles satisfying the claim.

Let then \( \tilde{C} \) be a set of \( N(n) \) vertex-disjoint cycles in \( dB(n) \). The vertices of \( dB(n) \) correspond to the edges of \( dB(n-1) \), so that \( C \) can be seen as a set of edge-disjoint cycles of \( dB(n-1) \), an Eulerian graph.

Suppose there is a vertex \( v \) in \( dB(n) \) not included in any of the cycles of \( C \). Then \( v \) corresponds to an edge \( e \) in \( dB(n-1) \) which does not occur in \( \tilde{C} \). By the above, \( \tilde{C} \) can be extended to a decomposition of \( dB(n-1) \) into edge-disjoint cycles \( \tilde{D} \) such that \( \tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{D} \). But now \( \tilde{D} \) can be seen as a set of vertex-disjoint cycles of \( dB(n) \) with more than \( N(n) \) cycles, a contradiction. \( \square \)

7. Maximal cycle decompositions and Gray codes for necklaces

In this section we focus on maximal cycle decompositions. We are interested in finding a maximal cycle decomposition \( \Sigma^n/C \), such that \( G = dB(n)/C \) contains a Hamiltonian path, i.e., a path which visits each vertex precisely once. We note that, for a maximal cycle decomposition of \( dB(n) \), the quotient graph can be seen as undirected. To see this, let \( (X, Y) \) be an edge of \( G \), that is, there exist \( a, b \in \Sigma, u \in \Sigma^{n-1} \) such that \( au \in X \) and \( ub \in Y \) whence \((au, ub)\) is an edge in \( dB(n) \). By Proposition 6.9, \( X \) and \( Y \) are cycles, so that there exist \( e, d \in \Sigma \) such that \((au, uc)\in X \) and \((du, ub)\in Y \), whence \((du, uc)\) is an edge in \( dB(n) \). By definition, \((Y, X) \in G \) as well.
7.1. On necklace graphs and Gray codes for necklaces

We shall first consider the cycle decomposition of the de Bruijn graph given by the cycles induced by necklaces. Note that the length of such a cycle divides the order of the de Bruijn graph. Compared to the discussion in the beginning of Subsection 6.2, we have a special case where the lengths of the roots of the \( k \)-full runs divide \( k - 1 \). We begin with a definition.

**Definition 7.1.** Let \( C_\Sigma(n) \) be the set of cycles induced by necklaces of length \( n \). The quotient graph \( NG_\Sigma(n) = dB_\Sigma(n)/C_\Sigma(n) \) is called the necklace graph of order \( n \).

The following example shows that \( NG(n) \) does not always contain a Hamiltonian path, so that necklace graphs will not provide what we need.

**Example 7.2.** The binary necklace graphs of order 4 and 5 are illustrated in Figure 4. A longest path in \( NG(4) \) contains 5 vertices out of a total of 6. On the other hand, one can easily find a Hamiltonian path in \( NG(5) \).

The problem of finding a Hamiltonian path in necklace graphs has been extensively studied in terms of Gray codes ([3, 11, 12, 15, 16]). A Gray code for necklaces of length \( n \) is defined as a sequence of all necklaces of length \( n \) such that two consecutive necklaces have representatives which differ in one bit. One can easily see that Gray codes for necklaces correspond to Hamiltonian paths in necklace graphs. The following conjecture was stated almost 20 years ago. To the best of our knowledge it remains unsolved to this day.

**Conjecture 7.3 ([11], section 7).** Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) be odd and let \( \Sigma \) be a binary alphabet. Then there exists a Gray code for necklaces of length \( n \). In other words, \( NG(n) \) contains a Hamiltonian path.

The above has previously been verified for necklaces up to length 9 in [16]. We have computationally verified the conjecture till \( n = 15 \). For completeness, we give Gray codes for binary words of lengths 5–15 in Table 1. There we represent a Gray code as a word \( a_1 \ldots a_{N(n)-1} \) over the hexadecimals to be read as follows. The first necklace in the ordering is 0\(^n\). The \((i+1)\)st necklace is then obtained by complementing the \( a_i \)th letter (0 ↔ 1) of the lexicographically least representative of the \( i \)th necklace. For example, the coding 1114111 corresponds to the following ordering of necklaces of length 5:

\[
00000, 00001, 00011, 00111, 00101, 01011, 01111, 11111.
\]
Table 1: Gray code for binary necklaces of odd length $n \leq 15$. 
On the other hand, binary necklace graphs are bipartite. When $n \geq 4$ is even, the difference of the partitions is greater than 1 so the graph cannot contain a Hamiltonian path. In fact, it is not hard to calculate an upper bound:

**Proposition 7.4.** For the binary alphabet and $n$ even, the number of vertices in a longest path in $NG(n)$ is at most

$$BPL(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) 2^{n/d} + 1. \quad (10)$$

The first few terms of $(BPL(2n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are

3, 5, 13, 33, 105, 345, 1173, 4097, 14573, 52433, 190653, 699073, ....

The sequence $(BPL(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ equals $(a(n) + 1)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ where $(a(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is sequence A063776 in Sloane’s encyclopedia of integer sequences.

**Proof.** For ease of notation, we shall denote by $(i, j)$ the greatest common divisor of $i$ and $j$. Let $A$ be the set of necklaces containing an even number of 1’s and $B$ the set of necklaces containing an odd number of 1’s; $NG(n)$ is then bipartite with respect to the partition into $A$ and $B$. We have that the number $N(n, l)$ of necklaces of length $n$ containing precisely $l$ 1s equals $\frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} \varphi(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{l/d}$ (see, e.g., [12]). We thus have

$$n|A| = \sum_{l=0}^{n/2} n N(n, 2l) = \sum_{l=0}^{n/2} \sum_{d|2l} \varphi(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{l/d}. \quad (10)$$

We shall count the above in a different order. Let us first consider a fixed divisor $d$ of $n$ such that $2 \mid d$. In the above sum, we count $\varphi(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{l/d}$ for each $0 \leq 2l \leq n$ such that $d \mid 2l$, that is, $2l = d l'$ for some $l'$ (since $2 \mid d$). We thus count $\varphi(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{l'/d}$ for each $0 \leq l' \leq \frac{n}{d}$.

Consider then a fixed divisor $d$ of $n$ such that $2 \nmid d$. Similar to the above, we count $\varphi(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{l'/d}$ for each $0 \leq 2l \leq n$ such that $d \mid 2l$, that is, $2l = 2d l'$ for some $l'$ (since $2 \nmid d$). We thus count $\varphi(d) \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^{l'/d}$ for each $0 \leq l' \leq \frac{n}{2d}$.

Combining the above calculations we obtain

$$n|A| = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) \sum_{l'=0}^{n/d} \left(\frac{n/d}{l'}\right) + \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) \sum_{l'=0}^{n/d} \left(\frac{n/d}{2l'}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) 2^{n/d} + \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) 2^{n/d-1} = \frac{\varphi}{n} N_2(n) + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) 2^{n/d},$$

so that $|B| = \frac{1}{2} N_2(n) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) 2^{n/d} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) 2^{n/d} < |A|$. A longest path in $NG(n)$ can thus contain at most $|B| + 1$ vertices from $A$ and $|B|$ vertices from $B$, that is, $2|B| + 1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) 2^{n/d} + 1 = BPL(n)$ vertices in total. □

We conjecture that this bound is actually achievable.
Conjecture 7.5. For even \( n \), the length of a longest path in the (bipartite) binary necklace graph \( NG(n) \) is equal to \( BPL(n) \) (defined by (10)).

We have computationally verified the conjecture to be true for \( n \leq 8 \). See Figure 4 for \( n = 4 \) and Table 2 for \( n = 6, 8 \), where the coding is defined as that of the Gray codes in Table 1.

7.2. On other maximal cycle decompositions of the de Bruijn graph

We shall now turn to maximal cycle decompositions not induced by necklaces. In other words, the length of a cycle in such a decomposition need not divide the order of the de Bruijn graph. We give some examples of such decompositions which induce quotient graphs containing Hamiltonian paths.

Example 7.6. The 5-abelian singleton
\[
u = 0^{2}[00]^{-1}(00011)^{3+1/3}[001]^{-1}(001)^{3+1/3}(01)^{4}[101]^{-1}(0111)^{1/3}[111]^{-1/3}16
\]
corresponds to a cycle decomposition (with \( V_{\emptyset} \) empty) of \( dB(4) \), the resulting quotient graph containing a Hamiltonian path. Moreover, the cycle decomposition contains \( N_{2}(4) = 6 \) cycles, which is maximal possible by Theorem 6.7.

Note here that the second and third cycles have lengths which do not divide 4.

Similarly, we obtain the following \( N_{2}(6) = 14 \) vertex-disjoint cycles in \( dB(6) \) which induce a quotient graph containing a Hamiltonian path:
\[
0, 0^{3}101, 0^{3}1, 0^{3}11, 0^{3}1^{3}, 001^{4}, 001011, 001, 001101, 011, 01, 0101^{3}, 01^{3}, 1.
\]
(The order the cycles are listed in gives such a path.) Note that the second and third cycle have lengths which do not divide 6.

For \( n = 8 \) we computed the following set of \( N_{2}(8) = 36 \) vertex-disjoint cycles of \( dB(8) \), the cycles listed in an order yielding a Hamiltonian path in the quotient graph:
\[
0, 0^{7}1, 0^{6}11, 0^{6}1^{3}, 0^{5}101, 0^{4}1001, 0^{4}1011, 0^{4}1^{4}, 0^{3}1^{5}, 0^{3}1^{3}01, 0^{3}11001, 0^{1}, 0^{1}10101, 01, 010101^{5}, 00110101, 0^{3}10011, 0^{3}11011, 011, 010110^{1}011, 0010110, 00100101, 001^{3}001, 001^{4}01, 0^{2}1^{6}, 001101^{3}, 0011, 001^{4}011, 00101011, 00101^{4}, 0^{4}10^{3}, 01^{3}, 01^{3}, 01^{3}, 1.
\]

These observations lead us to state the following conjecture, which is now verified for all odd \( n \leq 15 \) and all even \( n \leq 8 \) over the binary alphabet.

Conjecture 7.7. For every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and alphabet \( \Sigma \), there exists a maximal cycle decomposition of \( dB_{\Sigma}(n) \) so that the quotient graph contains a Hamiltonian path.

An equivalent formulation, due to Proposition 6.5, is:

Conjecture 7.8. For any \( k, m \geq 1 \), the number of \( k \)-abelian singleton classes of length \( n \) over an \( m \)-ary alphabet is of order \( \Theta(n^{N_{m}(k-1)}-1) \).
8. Conclusions

In this paper we were interested in cardinalities of $k$-abelian equivalence classes. We were also interested in the structure of singleton classes. By showing a new equivalent definition of $k$-abelian equivalence based on rewriting, we obtained a partial description of the structure of $k$-abelian singletons. Further, using cycle decompositions of de Bruijn graph, we provided an upper bound for the number of singleton classes (Theorem 6.1). We conjecture that this bound is asymptotically sharp and propose two related conjectures concerning necklace (de Bruijn) graphs (Conjectures 7.3, 7.8). To conclude, we suggest the following open problem:

**Open problem 8.1.** For which functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence of words $(w(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $|w(n)| = n$, such that $|\langle w(n) \rangle_k| = \Theta(f(n))$?

In Claim 4.1 we obtain a positive answer for any polynomial $f$ and for any $f$ satisfying $f(n) \leq n - 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The formula obtained in Proposition 4.7 gives some hints of what such functions $f$ can be, but to analyze the asymptotic cardinality seems to be difficult. Even analyzing the sequence $f_k(n) = \max\{|\langle w \rangle_k| \mid |w| = n\}$ is nontrivial.
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