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Abstract. Given an Orlicz N-function \( \varphi \) and a positive decreasing weight \( w \), we present criteria of the diameter two property and of the Radon-Nikodým property in Orlicz-Lorentz function and sequence spaces \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) and \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \). We show that in the spaces \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) or \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \) equipped with the Luxemburg norm, the diameter of any relatively weakly subset of the unit ball in these spaces is two if and only if \( \varphi \) does not satisfy the appropriate \( \Delta_2 \) condition, while they have the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if \( \varphi \) satisfies the appropriate \( \Delta_2 \) condition.

1. Introduction

We characterize the diameter two property in Orlicz-Lorentz function and sequence spaces equipped with the Luxemburg norm. A Banach space \( X \) has the diameter two property if every nonempty relatively weakly open subset of the unit ball \( B_X \) has the diameter two. For general overview on this property, we refer to [1]. It is well known and easy to show that \( C[0,1] \) and \( L^1[0,1] \) have the diameter two property. It has also been shown that every infinite-dimensional uniform algebra [21], the set \( C(K,X) \) of all continuous functions from a Hausdorff compact topological space \( K \) to a Banach space \( X \) [10], and a symmetric tensor product of \( C(K) \) [2] have this property. If a Banach space has the Radon-Nikodým property its unit ball contains denting points, so it contains slices of arbitrarily small diameter [5, 8]. Therefore the Radon-Nikodým property can be considered as nearly opposite from the diameter two property. The predual of James tree space \( B \) does not have both the Radon-Nikodým property and the diameter two property, and the latter is due to the point of continuity property [9, 19]. We recall that a Banach space \( X \) satisfies the Daugavet property if, for every rank one operator \( T : X \to X \) and for the identity operator \( I \), \( \|I + T\| = 1 + \|T\| \) holds. The Daugavet property is stronger than the diameter two property, but they are not equivalent. There are natural examples showing this phenomenon. For instance in [3] it was proved that the classical interpolation spaces such as \( L^1 + L^\infty \) and \( L^1 \cap L^\infty \) do not have the Daugavet property but they do have the diameter two property if equipped with the appropriate norms. Similarly, if an Orlicz function \( \varphi \) does not satisfy appropriate \( \Delta_2 \) condition then the Orlicz space \( L_\varphi \) equipped with the Luxemburg norm fails to have the Daugavet property, but it has the diameter two property [4]. The investigation of the diameter two property in Orlicz-Lorentz spaces is inspired by that result.

Recent discovery of an explicit description of the Kôthe dual spaces of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces [12] allows us to investigate a number of geometric properties of these spaces. We use this characterization here to consider the Radon-Nikodým property and the diameter two property in Orlicz-Lorentz spaces \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) equipped with the Luxemburg norm. In fact, the Kôthe dual of an Orlicz-Lorentz space \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is the space \( \Lambda^0_{\phi_+,w} \) equipped with the Amemiya norm defined by a different modular \( p_{\phi_+,w} \), where \( w \) is a locally integrable, positive, decreasing function and \( \phi_+ \) is the Legendre-Fenchel conjugate to an Orlicz function \( \phi \). For more details on the space \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) and its application to Orlicz-Lorentz spaces, we refer to [14].

The article consists of two main parts. In section 2, we state and prove the necessary and sufficient conditions for the diameter two property in Orlicz-Lorentz function spaces \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \), and in section 3, we show the analogous result for the sequence spaces \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \). In both sections we also characterize the Radon-Nikodým property. In fact, we show that if \( \varphi \) satisfies the appropriate \( \Delta_2 \) condition then
the spaces are separable dual spaces, so they possess the Radon-Nikodým property which in turn implies that they have slices of arbitrarily small diameter. We also show that if \( \varphi \) does not satisfy the appropriate \( \Delta_2 \) condition then the spaces have the diameter two property.

Let \( L^0 = L^0(I) \) be a set of all \( m \)-measurable functions \( x : I \to \mathbb{R} \), where \( I = [0, \gamma) \), \( 0 < \gamma \leq \infty \), or \( I = \mathbb{N} \) and where \( m \) is the Lebesgue measure on \([0, \gamma)\) or a counting measure on \( \mathbb{N} \). A Banach space \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) is called a Banach function lattice over \((I, m)\) if \( X \subset L^0 \) and if \( 0 < x \leq y \), where \( x, y \in L^0 \) and \( y \in X \), then \( x \in X \) and \( \|x\| \leq \|y\| \). If \( I = [0, \gamma) \) then \( X \) is called a Banach function space, and if \( I = \mathbb{N} \) then the set \( L^0(\mathbb{N}) \) coincides with the space of all infinite real sequences \( x = (x(k))_{k=1}^\infty \) and in this case \( X \) is called a Banach sequence space.

A Banach function lattice \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) is said to have the Fatou property if for any sequence \((x_n)_n \subset X, x \in L^0, x_n \uparrow x, m\text{-a.e.}, \) and \( \sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty \), we have \( x \in X \), and \( \|x\| \leq \|x_n\| \). Let \( X_a \subset X \) be a closed subspace consisting of all order continuous elements from \( X \). Recall that \( x \in X \) is order continuous whenever for any \( 0 \leq x_n \leq |x| \) with \( x_n \downarrow 0 \) \( m\text{-a.e.} \) we have that \( \|x_n\| \downarrow 0 \). By \( X_b \) denote the closure in \( X \) of all simple functions from \( X \) with supports of finite measure. We always have \( X_a \subset X_b \).

The Köthe dual space of a Banach function lattice \( X \), denoted by \( X' \), is the set of all \( x \in L^0 \) such that \( \|x\|_{X'} = \sup \{ \int_I x y : \|y\| \leq 1 \} < \infty \). The space \( X' \) equipped with the norm \( \| \cdot \|_{X'} \) is also a Banach function lattice on \((I, m)\). The space \( X \) has the Fatou property if and only if \( X = X'' \). A functional \( H \in X' \) is called regular whenever it has an integral representation \( H(x) = \int_I x h \) for some \( h \in X' \) and all \( x \in X \). The collection of all regular functionals on \( X \) is denoted by \( X_f \). If \( X_a = X_b \) and \( X \) has the Fatou property then \((X_a)^*\) is isometrically isomorphic to \( X' \). In this case \( X' = (X_a)^* \) is isometrically isomorphic to \( X' + X_a^* \), where \( X_a^* = X_a^\perp \) is the set of singular functionals which coincides with the set of \( S \subset X^* \) such that \( S(x) = 0 \) for every \( x \in X_a \). Consequently, any \( F \in X^* \) has a unique decomposition \( F = H + S \), where \( H \in X_a^* \) and \( S \in X_a^* = X_a^\perp \).

The distribution function \( d_x \) of a function \( x \in L^0 \) is given by \( d_x(\lambda) = m\{ t \in I : |x(t)| > \lambda \} \), \( \lambda > 0 \), and the decreasing rearrangement of \( x \) is defined as \( x^*(t) = \inf\{ \lambda \geq 0 : d_x(\lambda) \leq t \} \) for \( t \geq 0 \). In this paper, decreasing means non-increasing. By this definition \( x^* \) always a function defined on the interval \([0, \infty)\) with the values in \([0, \infty]\). In the case when \( \gamma < \infty \), we always have \( x^*(t) = 0 \) for \( t \geq \gamma \), so we will treat \( x^* \) as a function defined only on the interval \([0, \gamma)\). In the case when \( I = \mathbb{N} \), since the function \( x^* \) is constant on each interval \([n-1, n)\), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we identify it with a sequence of its values \( \{x^*(n-1)\}_{n=1}^\infty \). In fact, it coincides with more convenient formula expressed as \( x^*(k) = (x^*(k))_{k=1}^\infty \), where \( x^*(k) = \inf\{ \lambda > 0 : d_x(\lambda) < k \} \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

We say that \( x, y \in L^0 \) are equimeasurable, denoted by \( x \sim y \), if \( d_x = d_y \) on \([0, \infty)\). A Banach lattice \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) is called a rearrangement invariant (r.i.) Banach space if for \( x \in X \), \( y \in L^0 \) with \( x \sim y \), we have \( y \in X \) and \( \|x\| = \|y\| \). Given a r.i. Banach space over \( I = [0, \gamma) \) define its fundamental function as \( \phi_X(t) = \|\chi_{[0,t]}\| \) if \( t \in I \).

Comprehensive information on Banach function lattices and on rearrangement invariant spaces may be found in [6][15][17][20].

Recall the well known result by Hardy which remains also true for sequences \( x_1 = (x_1(n))_{n=1}^\infty, x_2 = (x_2(n))_{n=1}^\infty \).

**Lemma 1.1. Hardy’s Lemma** [6 Proposition 3.6] Let \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) be nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions on \([0, \gamma)\), \( 0 < \gamma \leq \infty \), and suppose \( \int_0^x x_1(s) ds \leq \int_0^x x_2(s) ds \) for all \( t \in [0, \gamma) \). Let \( y \) be any nonnegative decreasing function on \([0, \gamma) \). Then \( \int_0^x x_1(s) y(s) ds \leq \int_0^x x_2(s) y(s) ds \).

The function \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is called an Orlicz function if \( \varphi \) is convex, \( \varphi(0) = 0 \) and \( \varphi(u) > 0 \) for \( u > 0 \). The \( \Delta_2 \) condition of an Orlicz function \( \varphi \) plays a key role in the theory of Orlicz spaces and their generalizations. There are three versions of this condition that depend on the measure space and on the particular property under investigation. We say that \( \varphi \) satisfies the \( \Delta_2 \) (resp., \( \Delta_2^\infty \), \( \Delta_2^0 \)) condition if there exists \( K > 0 \) (resp., \( K > 0 \), \( u_0 \geq 0 \), \( K > 0, u_0 > 0 \)) such that \( \varphi(2u) \leq K \varphi(u) \) for all \( u > 0 \) (resp., \( u \geq u_0 \), \( 0 \leq u \leq u_0 \)). We say that \( \varphi \) is an Orlicz \( N \)-function if \( \varphi \) is an Orlicz function with \( \lim_{u \to 0^+} \varphi(u)/u = 0 \) and \( \lim_{u \to \infty} \varphi(u)/u = \infty \). The complementary function of \( \varphi \), denoted by \( \varphi_* \), is defined by \( \varphi_*(u) = \sup\{ uv - \varphi(v) : v \geq 0 \} \), \( u \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). In fact, it is the restriction to \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) of the Legendre-Fenchel conjugate to an Orlicz function \( \varphi \) on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) extended to the entire real
line as \( \varphi(u) = \infty \) for \( u \in (-\infty, 0) \). It follows that the Young’s inequality, \( uv \leq \varphi(u) + \varphi(v) \) for all \( u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), holds.

The following lemma describes useful equivalent expressions of the \( \Delta_2 \), \( \Delta_2^\infty \) and \( \Delta_0^0 \) conditions.

**Lemma 1.2.** ([7] Theorem 1.13) An Orlicz function \( \varphi \) satisfies the \( \Delta_2 \) (resp., \( \Delta_2^\infty \); \( \Delta_0^0 \)) condition if and only if there exist \( l > 1 \) and \( K > 1 \) (resp., \( l > 1, K > 1, u_0 \geq 0; l > 1, K > 1, u_0 > 0 \)) such that \( \varphi(lu) \leq K \varphi(u) \) for all \( u \geq 0 \) (resp., \( u \geq u_0; 0 \leq u \leq u_0 \)).

### 2. Function spaces

In this section we will consider the Orlicz-Lorentz function space on \( I = [0, \gamma) \). A positive decreasing function \( w : I \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) is called a weight function whenever it is locally integrable, that is, \( W(t) = \int_0^t w < \infty \) for all \( t \in I \). We denote \( W(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty w \) if \( \gamma = \infty \). Given an Orlicz function \( \varphi \), a weight function \( w \) and the Lebesgue measure \( m \) on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \), for any \( x \in L^0 \), the modular \( \rho \) is defined by

\[
\rho(x) = \rho_{\varphi,w}(x) = \int_0^\gamma \varphi(x^*(t))w(t)dm(t) = \int_I \varphi(x^*)w.
\]

The modular \( \rho \) is convex and orthogonally subadditive, that is, for \( x, y \in L^0 \), \( \rho((x + y)/2) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)/2 \) and if \( \rho(x) + \rho(y) < \infty \) then \( \rho(x + y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y) \), where \( |x| \wedge |y| = \min\{|x|, |y|\} \). The Orlicz-Lorentz space \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is the set of all \( x \in L^0 \) such that \( \rho(\lambda x) < \infty \) for some \( \lambda > 0 \). It is a r.i. Banach space when the space is equipped with the Luxemburg norm, that is,

\[
\|x\| = \|x\|_{\varphi,w} = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : \rho(x/\epsilon) \leq 1\}.
\]

The space \((\Lambda_{\varphi,w}, \| \cdot \|)\) satisfies the Fatou property. Moreover \([11]\),

\[
(\Lambda_{\varphi,w})_u = (\Lambda_{\varphi,w})_b = \{f \in L^0 : \forall \lambda, \rho(\lambda f) < \infty\}.
\]

For locally integrable \( x, y \in L^0 \), we write \( x \prec y \) if \( \int_0^t x^* \leq \int_0^t y^* \) for all \( t \in I \). Given an Orlicz function \( \varphi \) and a weight function \( w \), the modular \( P_{\varphi,w} \) is defined by

\[
P(x) = P_{\varphi,w}(x) = \inf \left\{ \int_I \varphi(|x|/v) v : v < w, v \geq 0 \right\}, \quad x \in L^0,
\]

and the corresponding function space by

\[
\mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w} = \{x \in L^0, \quad P(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.
\]

The space is equipped with either the Amemiya norm

\[
\|x\|_\mathcal{M} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w}} = \inf_{k>0} \frac{1}{k}(P(kx) + 1) < \infty,
\]

or the Luxemburg norm

\[
\|x\|_\mathcal{M} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w}} = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : P(x/\epsilon) \leq 1\}.
\]

Both norms are equivalent \([12]\). \( P(x) = P(x^*) \) and the space \( \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w} \) equipped with either norm is an r.i. Banach space \([14]\). In this paper, \( \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w} \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w}^0 \) stand for the space \( \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w} \) equipped with the Luxemburg norm and the Amemiya norm, respectively.

In view of \([11]\) and \([12]\) Theorem 2.2] we get the following result on bounded linear functionals on \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( w \) be a decreasing weight function and \( \varphi \) be an Orlicz \( N \)-function. Then the Köthe dual space to Orlicz-Lorentz space \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is expressed as

\[
(\Lambda_{\varphi,w})' = \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w}^0
\]

with equality of norms. Moreover any \( F \in (\Lambda_{\varphi,w})' \) is uniquely represented as \( F = H + S \), where \( H \) is a regular functional such that for some \( h \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w}^0 \), we have

\[
H(x) = \int_I xh, \quad x \in \Lambda_{\varphi,w}.
\]
with \( \| H \| = \| h \|_{M_{\varphi,w}^*} \), and \( S \) is a singular functional such that

\[ S(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in (\Lambda_{\varphi,w})_a. \]

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( \varphi \) be an Orlicz \( N \)-function. Then the fundamental function \( \phi_M \) of the space \( (M_{\varphi,w}, \| \cdot \|_M) \) is expressed as

\[ \phi_M(t) = \frac{t}{W(t)} \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{W(t)} \right), \quad t \in (0, \gamma). \]

Consequently, \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} \phi_M(t) = 0 \).

**Proof.** In order to compute the fundamental function \( \phi_M \) we will use the level functions discussed in [12]. Let \( x = \chi(0,a), 0 < a < \gamma \). We have that the interval \((0,a)\) is a maximal level interval with respect to \( w \) because \( a \) is a maximal number such that \( \int_0^a x/W(s) \leq a/W(a) \) for all \( s \in (0,a) \) [12]. Indeed for \( s \in (0,a), (\int_0^s x)/W(s) = s/W(s) \leq a/W(a) \) since \( w \) is decreasing, and \( a \) is maximal since if \( a \rightarrow a \) then \( (\int_0^a x)/W(s) = a/W(s) < a/W(a) \). Then the level function \( x_0(s) = \frac{aw(s)}{W(a)} \chi_{[0,a]}(s) \). Therefore by Theorem 2.3 in [12],

\[ P(x) = P(x_0) = \int_0^a \varphi \left( \frac{a}{W(a)} \right) w(s) \, ds = \varphi \left( \frac{a}{W(a)} \right) W(a). \]

Now it is straightforward to compute that

\[ \| \chi(0,a) \|_M = \frac{a}{W(a)} \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{W(a)} \right). \]

The function \( a \rightarrow a/W(a) \) is increasing, so \( \lim_{a \to 0} a/W(a) = L \), where \( 0 \leq L < \infty \). Moreover \( \lim_{a \to 0} \varphi^{-1}(1/W(a)) = \infty \). Hence \( \lim_{a \to 0^+} \| \chi(0,a) \|_M = 0 \).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( \varphi \) be an Orlicz \( N \)-function and let \( W(\infty) = \infty \) if \( \gamma = \infty \). If \( \varphi \) satisfies the \( \Delta_2 \) condition for \( \gamma = \infty \), or \( \varphi \) satisfies the \( \Delta_2^\infty \) condition for \( \gamma < \infty \), then \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is a separable dual space. Consequently, \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) has the Radon-Nikodým property.

**Proof.** If a Banach function lattice \( X \) has the Fatou property and \( X_0 = X_0' \) [6 Corollary 4.2, p. 23]. Consider now the space \( M_{\varphi,w}^0 \). By Theorem 2.1 it is a Köthe dual space of \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \), since \( \varphi_* = \varphi \). By the general theory [22], any Köthe dual space must satisfy the Fatou property. Hence \( M_{\varphi,w}^0 \) satisfies this property.

By Theorem 5.5 on p. 67 in [6], if \( X \) is a r.i. Banach space on a non-atomic measure space and \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} \chi_X(t) = 0 \) then \( X_0 = X_0' \). In view of Proposition 2.2 we have that \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} \chi_X(t) = 0 \) for the space \( M_{\varphi,w} \), hence for \( M_{\varphi,w}^0 \) because the Luxemburg and Amemiya norms are equivalent [12]. Therefore \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w}^0 = \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \).

Note now that \( \varphi \) is an Orlicz \( N \)-function if and only if \( \varphi_* \) is an Orlicz \( N \)-function [7]. Therefore all above facts remain true if we substitute \( \varphi \) by \( \varphi_* \). Then by Theorem 2.1 and the Fatou property of \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \), we get \( [(M_{\varphi,w}^0)^* = (M_{\varphi,w}^0)^* = (\Lambda_{\varphi,w})]^* = \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \), and so \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is a dual space. By the appropriate \( \Delta_2 \) condition, \( W(\infty) = \infty \) and separability of the Lebesgue measure we get that \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is separable [11 Theorem 2.4]. By the well known result [8] it must satisfy the Radon-Nikodým property.

The next corollary follows from Theorem 2.3 and from the fact that any Banach space with the Radon-Nikodým property must possess slices of arbitrarily small diameters [5] [8].

**Corollary 2.4.** Let \( \varphi \) be an Orlicz \( N \)-function and let \( W(\infty) = \infty \) if \( \gamma = \infty \). If \( \varphi \) satisfies the \( \Delta_2 \) condition for \( \gamma = \infty \), or \( \varphi \) satisfies the \( \Delta_2^\infty \) condition for \( \gamma < \infty \), then there are relatively weakly open subsets of the unit ball \( B_{\Lambda_{\varphi,w}} \) with arbitrarily small diameter.

Recall also that \( \int_0^1 x'y^* = \sup_{h \sim y} \int_1 |xh| \), and thus \( \int_1 |xh| \leq \int_0^1 x'y^* \) for every \( h \sim y \). [6] [17]
Lemma 2.5. For any \( x \in L^0 \), a decreasing function \( 0 \leq y \) on \( I \) and a measurable set \( A \subset I \), we have
\[
\int_0^\gamma (x \chi_A)^* y \leq \int_0^{m(A)} x^* y.
\]

Proof. Recall that \( \int_0^t h^* = \sup_{m(E)=t} \int_E |h| \) for any \( h \in L^0 \) (pg 64 in [17]). For \( t \in [0, \gamma) \) we get
\[
\int_0^t (x \chi_A)^* y = \sup_{m(E)=t} \int_E |x \chi_A| = \sup_{m(E)=t} \int_{A \cap E} |x| \leq \sup_{m(E)=t} \int_0^{m(A \cap E)} x^* \chi_{[0,m(A \cap E)]} \\
\leq \sup_{m(E)=t} \int_0^{\gamma} x^* \chi_{[0,\min\{m(A),m(E)\}]} = \sup_{m(E)=t} \int_0^\gamma x^* \chi_{[0,m(A))] \chi_{[0,m(E))}} \\
= \sup_{m(E)=t} \int_0^{m(E)} x^* \chi_{[0,m(A))] = \int_0^\gamma x^* \chi_{[0,m(A)])} y.
\]

Thus, by Lemma [17], \( \int_0^\gamma (x \chi_A)^* y \leq \int_0^{m(A)} x^* \chi_{[0,m(A)])} y. \)

The next theorem is the main result in this section.

Theorem 2.6. Let \( w \) be a weight function such that \( W(\infty) = \infty \) if \( \gamma = \infty \), and let \( \varphi \) be an Orlicz-N-function. If \( \gamma = \infty \) and \( \varphi \) does not satisfy the \( \Delta_2 \) condition, or \( \gamma < \infty \) and \( \varphi \) does not satisfy the \( \Delta_2^\infty \) condition, then the diameter of any nonempty relatively weakly open subset of the unit ball in Orlicz-Lorentz space \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \) equipped with the Luxemburg norm is equal to two.

Proof. Let \( Z \) be a nonempty relatively weakly open subset of the unit ball in Orlicz-Lorentz space \( \Lambda_{\varphi,w} \). Then we can find an element \( x \in Z \) such that \( \|x\| = 1 \). We have \( d_x(\lambda) < \infty \) for any \( \lambda > 0 \). In fact
\[
1 \geq \rho(x) = \int_0^\gamma \varphi(x^*) y \geq \int_{\{s \in [0,\gamma) : x^*(s) > \lambda\}} \varphi(\lambda) w = \varphi(\lambda) \int_0^\beta w,
\]
where \( \beta \leq \infty \) is such that the intervals \( (0, \beta) \) and \( \{s \in [0,\gamma) : x^*(s) > \lambda\} \) have equal measure. By the assumption \( W(\infty) = \infty \) if \( \gamma = \infty \), we must have \( \beta < \infty \) and so \( d_x(\lambda) = d_{x^*}(\lambda) = \beta < \infty \).

Choose \( c > 0 \) and a Lebesgue measurable set \( E \subset [0,\gamma) \) with \( m(E) > 0 \) and \( |x(t)| \leq c \) on \( E \). From the fact that \( d_x(\lambda) < \infty \) for all \( \lambda > 0 \), if \( \gamma = \infty \), we have \( m\{t \in I : |x(t)| \leq c\} = \infty \). Hence we choose \( E \) such that \( |x(t)| \leq c \) for \( t \in E \) and \( m(E) = \infty \).

Suppose \( \varphi \) does not satisfy the \( \Delta_2 \) condition when \( \gamma = \infty \), or \( \varphi \) does not satisfy the \( \Delta_2^\infty \) condition when \( \gamma < \infty \). Then by Lemma [1,2] there exists \( (t_n) \subset (0, \infty) \) such that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\varphi \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) t_n > 2^n \varphi(t_n).
\]
Assume without loss of generality that \( t_n \uparrow \infty \) when \( \gamma < \infty \) and \( t_n \uparrow \infty \) or \( t_n \downarrow 0 \) when \( \gamma = \infty \).

We consider first when \( t_n \uparrow \infty \). Assume that \( m(E) < \infty \). Then we choose a disjoint sequence of measurable sets \( E_n \subset E \) such that for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\int_0^{m(E_n)} w = \frac{1}{2^n \varphi(t_n)}.
\]
Indeed, since \( \frac{1}{2^n \varphi(t_n)} \leq \frac{1}{2^n \varphi(t_{n+1})} \), \( \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^n \varphi(t_{n+1})} < \infty \), and so \( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2^n \varphi(t_{n+1})} < \int_0^{m(E)} w \) for some \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \).
Then we can find a disjoint sequence of measurable sets \( E_n \subset E \) such that \( \int_0^{m(E_n)} w = \frac{1}{2^n \varphi(t_{n_0+n+1})} \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Without loss of generality we can assume further that \( n_0 = 0 \). Since \( m(E) < \infty \), \( m(E_n) \to 0 \).

Now let \( t_n \downarrow 0 \) and \( \gamma = \infty \). We can still choose a disjoint sequence of measurable sets \( (E_n) \) satisfying equation (3) and \( E_n \subset E \), where \( m(E) = \infty \). Indeed, there exists \( (I_n) \), an increasing sequence of measurable subsets of \( [0,\infty) \) such that \( \cup_{n=1}^\infty I_n = [0,\infty) \) and \( m(I_n) < \infty \). Thus \( E = \cup_{n=1}^\infty E \cap I_n \), where \( m(E \setminus I_n) = \infty \). By the continuity of \( W, W(0) = 0 \), and \( W(m(E \setminus I_n)) = W(\infty) = \infty \), there
exist $a_n > 0$ such that $\int_0^{a_n} w = \frac{1}{2^r(T_{a_n})^r}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the fact that $m(E \setminus I_n) = \infty$, there exists a disjoint sequence $(E_n)$ of measurable sets satisfying (3) and such that $E_n \subset E \setminus I_n$ with $m(E_n) = a_n$. In this case by (2) we have $m(E_n) \to \infty$.

Define

$$x_n^r = x \chi_{I_n} + t_n \chi_{E_n} \quad \text{and} \quad x_n^u = x \chi_{I_n} - t_n \chi_{E_n}.$$ 

Note first that $x_n^r \to x$ and $x_n^u \to x$ m.a.e. on $I$ according to the fact that $E_n$ are disjoint. By the Fatou property of $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$, $1 = \|x\| \leq \liminf \|x_n^r\|$, and $1 = \|x\| \leq \liminf \|x_n^u\|$. We will show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n^r\| = 1$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n^u\| = 1$. By the orthogonal subadditivity of $\rho$, Lemma 2.5 and 3 we get

$$\rho(x_n^r) = \int_0^\gamma \rho(x \chi_{I_n} + t_n \chi_{E_n})^* \leq \int_0^\gamma \rho(x \chi_{I_n})^* + \int_0^\gamma \rho(t_n \chi_{E_n})^* = \int_0^\gamma \rho(x \chi_{I_n})^* + \int_0^\gamma \rho(t_n \chi_{E_n})^* \leq \int_0^\gamma \rho(x^r)^* + \frac{1}{2^r} \rho(x) + \frac{1}{2^r}.$$

Hence $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \rho(x_n^r) \leq \rho(x) \leq 1$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $\rho(x_n^r) \leq 1 + \epsilon$. It follows by the convexity of $\rho$ that for all $n \geq n_0$, $\rho(x_n^r/(1+\epsilon)) \leq 1$. Therefore for all $n \geq n_0$, $\|x_n^r\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$. This implies $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n^r\| \leq 1$ and proves that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n^r\| = 1$. Analogously, we get that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n^u\| = 1$.

Let $F$ be a bounded linear functional on $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$. Then $F = H + S$, where $H$ is the integral functional associated to $h \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w}$, and $S$ is a singular functional identically equal to zero on $(\Lambda_{\varphi,w})_a$ by Theorem 2.1. We claim that $x - x_n^r \in (\Lambda_{\varphi,w})_a$. Note that on $E_n \subset E$ the function $|x|$ is bounded by $c$, so $|(x - x_n^r)| = |x| - m(E_n) \leq |x_n^r| + m(E_n)$.

Assume first when $t_n \uparrow \infty$. So $E_n \subset E$ and $m(E_n) \to 0$. Since $h \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi,w}$, $P_{\varphi,w}(\lambda h) < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$, and if we let $0 \leq v \in L^0$ and $v \prec w$, we obtain

$$|F(x - x_n^r)| \leq \lambda^{-1} \int_I |x \chi_{E_n}| \lambda h |dm + \lambda^{-1} \int_I m(E_n) \lambda h dm$$

$$\leq \lambda^{-1} \int_0^\gamma (x \chi_{E_n})^* (\lambda h)^* + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^\gamma (m(E_n) \lambda h)^* (\lambda h)^* \ (\text{by Lemma 2.5})$$

$$\leq \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{m(E_n)} x^* (\lambda h)^* + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{m(E_n)} t_n (\lambda h)^*$$

$$= \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{m(E_n)} x^* \left( \frac{\lambda h^*}{v} \right) v + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{m(E_n)} t_n \left( \frac{\lambda h^*}{v} \right) v \ (\text{by Young’s inequality})$$

$$\leq \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{m(E_n)} \left\{ \varphi(x^*)v + \varphi_* \left( \frac{\lambda h^*}{v} \right) v \right\} + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{m(E_n)} \left\{ \varphi(t_n)v + \varphi_* \left( \frac{\lambda h^*}{v} \right) v \right\}.$$ Due to $v \prec w$, $\int_0^{m(E_n)} \varphi(x^*)v \leq \int_0^{m(E_n)} \varphi(x^*)w$ by Lemma 1.1. Taking now the infimum of $\int_0^{m(E_n)} \varphi_* \left( \frac{\lambda h^*}{v} \right) v$ over $v \prec w$ we get

$$|F(x - x_n^r)| \leq \lambda^{-1} \left( \int_0^{m(E_n)} \varphi(x^*)v + 2 \inf \left\{ \int_0^{m(E_n)} \varphi_* \left( \frac{\lambda h^*}{v} \right) v : v \prec w \right\} + \varphi(t_n) \int_0^{m(E_n)} v \right)$$

$$\leq \lambda^{-1} \left( \int_0^{m(E_n)} \varphi(x^*)w + 2 \inf \left\{ \int_0^{m(E_n)} \varphi_* \left( \frac{\lambda h^*}{v} \right) v : v \prec w \right\} + \varphi(t_n) \int_0^{m(E_n)} w \right).$$
We have $F(x - x') = 1/2^n \to 0$ by (3). Moreover, $\rho(x)$ is finite and $m(E_n) \to 0$, so $m(E_n)|\lambda|/v_1 \to 0$. Also $P_{\varphi^*,\nu}(\lambda h)$ is finite, so there exists $v_1 < w$ such that $\int_0^1 \varphi_*(\frac{\lambda h}{v_1}) v_1 \leq P_{\varphi^*,\nu}(\lambda h^*) + 1 < \infty$. Hence $\int_0^m(E_n) \varphi_*(\frac{\lambda h}{v_1}) v_1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and so $\inf \left\{ \int_0^m(E_n) \varphi_*(\frac{\lambda h}{v_1}) v_1 : v < w \right\} \leq \int_0^m(E_n) \varphi_*(\frac{\lambda h}{v_1}) v_1 \to 0$. Thus $|F(x - x'|w|) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For $x''_n$ we show the same, so both $(x'_n)$ and $(x''_n)$ converge weakly to $x$.

Now consider the second case when $\gamma = \infty$ and $t_n \downarrow 0$. For some $\lambda > 0$, $P_{\varphi^*,\nu}(\lambda h) < \infty$. Then there exists $0 < v \in L^0$, $v \prec w$ with $\int_0^m(E_n) \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) \leq P_{\varphi^*,\nu}(\lambda h) + 1 < \infty$. From the Young’s inequality,

$$|F(x - x')| \leq \lambda^{-1} \int I |\varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) + \lambda^{-1} \int I t_n \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v \leq \lambda^{-1} \left( \int I \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v + 2 \int I \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v + \varphi(t_n) \int I v \right).$$

By Lemma [11] and by $v \prec w$, $\int I \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v \leq \int I \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v \leq \int I \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) w = \varphi(x) < \infty$. Due to the construction of $E_n$, we get $E_n \subset I \setminus I_n$, where the sequence $(I \setminus I_n)$ is decreasing with $m \cap (I \setminus I_n) = 0$. Therefore as $n \to \infty$,

$$\int I \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v \to 0.$$

By the choice of $v$, $\int I \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v \to 0$. Hence

$$\int E_n \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v \leq \int I \setminus I_n \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v \to 0.$$

Finally, from the fact that $\int E_n v \leq \int_0^m(E_n) v \leq \int_0^m(E_n) w$ and from (3) we have

$$\varphi(t_n) \int E_n v \leq \varphi(t_n) \int_0^m(E_n) w = 1/2^n \to 0.$$

Consequently in both cases we have that $x'_n \to x$ and $x''_n \to x$ weakly.

Let’s compute now the diameter of $Z$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|x'_n - x''_n\| = 2\|t_n \varphi(E_n) = 2\inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^m(E_n) \varphi_*(\lambda |h|/v) v \leq 1 \right\}$$

$$= 2\inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{t_n}{\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{W(m(E_n))} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{2t_n}{\varphi^{-1}(1/W(m(E_n)))} \leq \frac{2t_n}{\varphi^{-1}(2^n(\varphi(t_n)))} \geq \frac{2n}{n + 1}.$$

Hence $\|x'_n - x''_n\| \to 2$ as $n \to \infty$. Taking $f'_n = \frac{x'}{\|x'_n\|}$ and $f''_n = \frac{x''}{\|x''_n\|}$ for any bounded linear functional $F$ on $\Lambda_{\varphi,w}$ we get

$$|F(x - f'_n)| \leq |F(x - x'_n)| + \left| F\left( \frac{x'_n - x'}{\|x'_n\|} \right) \right| \leq |F(x - x'_n)| + \left| 1 - \frac{1}{\|x'_n\|} \right| \|F\| \|x'_n\| \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$, due to $\|x'_n\| \to 1$. Thus $f'_n \to x$ weakly and similarly $f''_n \to x$ weakly.
We also show that \(\|f'_n - f''_n\| \to 2\). Indeed,
\[
\|f'_n - f''_n\| = \left\| \frac{x'_n}{\|x'_n\|} - x'_n + \frac{x''_n}{\|x''_n\|} - x''_n \right\| \geq \left( \frac{1}{\|x'_n\|} - 1 \right) \|x'_n\| - \left( \frac{1}{\|x''_n\|} - 1 \right) \|x''_n\|.
\]
The last expression approaches 2 as \(\|x'_n\|, \|x''_n\| \to 1\) and \(\|x'_n - x''_n\| \to 2\) as \(n \to \infty\). We have constructed two sequences \((f'_n), (f''_n) \subset Z\) whose distance goes to 2. This shows that the diameter of \(Z\) is two. The proof is finished.

As a result of Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 2.6 we obtain a full characterization of the relatively weak subsets of a unit ball with diameter two in Orlicz-Lorentz function spaces equipped with the Luxemburg norm. It is a generalization of the analogous theorem for Orlicz spaces [4, Theorem 2.5].

**Theorem 2.7.** Let \(w\) be a decreasing weight function such that \(W(\infty) = \infty\) if \(\gamma = \infty\), and let \(\varphi\) be an Orlicz \(N\)-function. Then the diameter of any nonempty relatively weakly open subset of the unit ball in Orlicz-Lorentz function space \(\Lambda_{\varphi,w}\) equipped with the Luxemburg norm is equal to 2 if and only if \(\varphi\) does not satisfy the \(\Delta_2\) condition when \(\gamma = \infty\), and \(\varphi\) does not satisfy the \(\Delta_2^\infty\) condition when \(\gamma < \infty\).

As a corollary of Theorems 2.3 and 2.7 we obtain a characterization of the Radon-Nikodým property.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let \(\varphi\) be an Orlicz \(N\)-function and \(w\) a decreasing weight function on \(I = [0, \gamma]\) such that \(W(\infty) = \infty\) if \(\gamma = \infty\). Then the Orlicz-Lorentz space \(\Lambda_{\varphi,w}\) has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if \(\varphi\) satisfies the \(\Delta_2\) condition if \(\gamma = \infty\), and \(\varphi\) satisfies the \(\Delta_2^\infty\) condition if \(\gamma < \infty\).

### 3. Sequence spaces

In this section we consider the Orlicz-Lorentz sequence space \(\Lambda_{\varphi,w}\), where \(\varphi\) is an Orlicz function and \(w = (w(k))_{k=1}^\infty\) is a positive decreasing sequence. Let \(W(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n w(k)\), \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), and \(W(\infty) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty w(k)\). As in function spaces, the modular \(\alpha\) for \(x = (x(k))_{k=1}^\infty\) is defined by
\[
\alpha(x) = \alpha_{\varphi,w}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varphi(x^*(k))w(k),
\]
and an Orlicz-Lorentz sequence space by
\[
\Lambda_{\varphi,w} = \{x : \alpha(\lambda x) < \infty, \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.
\]
The space \(\Lambda_{\varphi,w}\) satisfies the Fatou property and the modular \(\alpha\) is orthogonally subadditive [13]. The Luxemburg norm for \(\Lambda_{\varphi,w}\) is given as \(\|x\| = \|x\|_{\varphi,w} = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : \alpha(x/\epsilon) \leq 1\}\). It is well known that \((\Lambda_{\varphi,w})_a = (\Lambda_{\varphi,w})_b = \{x : \rho_{\varphi,w}(\delta x) < \infty \text{ for all } \delta > 0\}\)."

For a sequence \(x \in l^0\), the modular \(p_{\varphi,w}\) is defined by
\[
p_{\varphi,w}(x) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varphi \left( \frac{|x(k)|}{v(k)} \right) v(k) : v < w \right\},
\]
where \(v < w\) means that \(\sum_{k=1}^n v^*(k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n w(k)\), \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Let
\[
m_{\varphi,w} = \{x : p_{\varphi,w}(\delta x) < \infty, \text{ for some } \delta > 0\}.
\]
The space \(m_{\varphi,w}\) equipped with the norm \(\|x\|^0_m = \|x\|^0_{m_{\varphi,w}} = \inf_{k>0} \frac{1}{k}(p_{\varphi,w}(kx) + 1)\) will be denoted by \(m^0_{\varphi,w}\). It is a r.i. Banach space with \(p_{\varphi,w}(x) = p_{\varphi,w}(x^*)\) [14]. In view of Theorem 5.2 in [12] we get a sequence analogue of Theorem 2.1.
Theorem 3.1. Let \( w \) be a decreasing weight sequence and \( \varphi \) be an Orlicz \( N \)-function. Then the Köthe dual space to Orlicz-Lorentz space \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is expressed as
\[
(\lambda_{\varphi,w})' = m_{\varphi,w}^0
\]
with equality of norms. Any functional \( F \in (\lambda_{\varphi,w})^* \) is uniquely represented as \( F = H + S \), where \( H \) is a regular functional such that for some \( h = (h(k))_{k=1}^\infty \in m_{\varphi,w}^0 \) we have
\[
H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty x(k)h(k), \quad x \in \lambda_{\varphi,w},
\]
with \( \|H\| = \|h\|_{m_{\varphi,w}^0} \), and \( S \) is a singular functional such that
\[
S(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in (\lambda_{\varphi,w})_a.
\]

Theorem 3.2. Let \( \varphi \) be an Orlicz \( N \)-function and let \( w \) be a weight sequence such that \( W(\infty) = \infty \). If \( \varphi \) satisfies the \( \Delta^0_2 \) condition then \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is a separable dual space. Consequently \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \) has the Radon-Nikodým property, and there exist relatively weakly open subsets of the unit ball \( B_{\lambda_{\varphi,w}} \) with arbitrarily small diameter.

Proof. By Proposition 1 in [13], under the assumption of the \( \Delta^0_2 \) condition of \( \varphi \) and \( W(\infty) = \infty \), \( (\lambda_{\varphi,w})_a = \lambda_{\varphi,w} \) and the unit vectors \( e_n \) form a boundedly complete basis in \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \). It follows that \( (\lambda_{\varphi,w})_b = \lambda_{\varphi,w} \) and the space has the Fatou property. Then clearly the space is separable.

We also have by Theorem 5.4 in [6] that \( (\lambda_{\varphi,w})^* = (\lambda_{\varphi,w})' \). Then in view of Theorem 3.1, \( m_{\varphi,w}^0 = (\lambda_{\varphi,w})' \) and thus the space \( m_{\varphi,w}^0 \) has the Fatou property.

Let \( x = (x(i)) \in (m_{\varphi,w}^0)_b \). Then \( \| \sum_{i=1}^m x(i)e_i - x\|_{m_{\varphi,w}^0} = \|x\chi_{\{m+1,m+2,\ldots\}}\|_m^0 \to 0 \) as \( m \to \infty \). Hence \( x \in (m_{\varphi,w}^0)_a \) [6, Proposition 3.2, p. 14]. Thus \( (m_{\varphi,w}^0)_a = (m_{\varphi,w})_b \). Now similarly as in the function case in view of [6, Corollary 4.2, p. 23], \( ([m_{\varphi,w}^0]_a)^* = (m_{\varphi,w})' \). Finally by Theorem 3.1 \( ([m_{\varphi,w}^0])^* = (m_{\varphi,w})' = \lambda_{\varphi,w} \), which shows that \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \) is a dual space.

The conclusion of the proof follows like in Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4.

Now, we prove the analogous result to Theorem 2.6 for the sequence spaces.

Theorem 3.3. Let \( w \) be a weight sequence such that \( W(\infty) = \infty \). Suppose \( \varphi \) is an Orlicz \( N \)-function and it does not satisfy the \( \Delta^0_2 \) condition. Then any nonempty relatively weakly open subset of the unit ball in the Orlicz-Lorentz sequence space \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \) equipped with the Luxemburg norm has the diameter two.

Proof. Let \( Z \) be a weakly open subset of the unit ball in \( \lambda_{\varphi,w} \), and let \( x \in Z \) such that \( \|x\| = 1 \). Suppose \( \varphi \) does not satisfy \( \Delta^0_2 \). Then there exists \( (t_n) \subset (0,\infty) \) such that \( t_n \to 0 \) and for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\varphi \left( \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) t_n \right) > 2^n \varphi(t_n).
\]

We claim that there exists a sequence of subsets \( (E_j) \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1,\ldots,j\} \) and a subsequence \( (n_j) \subset \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\frac{1}{2^j} \leq \varphi(t_{n_j}) \sum_{k=1}^{m(E_j)} w(k) \leq \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}.
\]

Indeed, without loss of generality, assume \( w(1) \leq 1 \). Then \( w(k) \leq 1 \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( \varphi(t_{n_j}) \to 0 \), there exists the largest natural number \( n_1 \) such that \( \varphi(t_{n_1}) \leq 1 \). Then there exists \( k_1 \geq 1 \) such that
\[
\frac{1}{2^{k_1}} \leq \varphi(t_{n_1}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k_1-1}}.
\]
Hence for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\frac{W(j)}{2^{k_1}} \leq \varphi(t_{n_1}) W(j) \leq \frac{W(j)}{2^{k_1-1}}.
\]
In view of the assumption $W(\infty) = \infty$ we can find $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$W(j) > 2^{k_1-1},$$

and let

$$m_1 = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} : W(j) > 2^{k_1-1}\}.$$

By $w(1) \leq 1$ and $k_1 \geq 1$, we have that $m_1 \geq 2$. By definition of $m_1$ we get that $W(m_1 - 1) \leq 2^{k_1-1}$.

But $W(m_1) = W(m_1 - 1) + w(m_1) \leq 2^{k_1-1} + 1 \leq 2^{k_1}$. Now by (6),

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{2^{k_1-1}}{2^{k_1}} \leq \frac{W(m_1)}{2^{k_1}} \leq \varphi(t_{n_1})W(m_1) \leq \frac{W(m_1)}{2^{k_1-1}} \leq \frac{2^{k_1}}{2^{k_1-1}} = 2.$$

Finally let $E_1 \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ be such that $m(E_1) = m_1$, and so we get (5) for $j = 1$.

As a second step choose $n_2 > n_1$ and $k_2 > k_1$ such that $\frac{1}{2^{k_2}} \leq \varphi(t_{n_2}) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k_2-1}}$. Let

$$m_2 = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} : W(j) > 2^{k_2-2}\}.$$

Then $2^{k_2-2} \leq W(m_2) = W(m_2 - 1) + w(m_2) \leq 2^{k_2-2} + 1 \leq 2^{k_2-1}$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{2^{k_2-2}}{2^{k_2}} \leq \frac{W(m_2)}{2^{k_2}} \leq \varphi(t_{n_2})W(m_2) \leq \frac{W(m_2)}{2^{k_2-1}} \leq \frac{2^{k_2-1}}{2^{k_2-1}} = 1.$$

Thus, there exists $E_2 \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ of size $m_2 = m(E_2)$ satisfying (5) for $j = 2$. Now proceeding analogously by induction we can find $E_j \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, \ldots, j\}$ and a subsequence $(n_j)$ satisfying (5).

Define now the sequences $(x_j')_{j=1}^\infty$, $(x_j'')_{j=1}^\infty$ by $x'_j = x\chi_{\mathbb{N}\setminus E_j} + t_{n_j}x_{E_j}$ and $x''_j = x\chi_{\mathbb{N}\setminus E_j} - t_{n_j}x_{E_j}$. By orthogonal subadditivity of $\alpha$ and by (5),

$$\alpha(x'_j) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varphi((x\chi_{\mathbb{N}\setminus E_j} + t_{n_j}x_{E_j})^*(k))w(k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varphi((x\chi_{\mathbb{N}\setminus E_j})^*(k))w(k) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varphi((t_{n_j}x_{E_j})^*(k))w(k)$$

$$\leq \alpha(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m(E_j)} \varphi(t_{n_j})\chi_{\{1, \ldots, m(E_j)\}}(k)w(k) \leq \alpha(x) + \varphi(t_{n_j}) \sum_{k=1}^{m(E_j)} w(k) \leq \alpha(x) + \frac{1}{2^{j-2}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2^{j-2}}.$$

Dividing each side of the above inequality by $1 + \frac{1}{2^{j-2}}$ we get by convexity of the modular $\alpha$,

$$\alpha \left( (1 + 1/2^{j-2})^{-1} x'_j \right) \leq (1 + 1/2^{j-2})^{-1} \alpha(x'_j) \leq 1,$$

which implies that $\|x'_j\| \leq 1 + 1/2^{j-2}$. Also, $\|x'_j\| \geq \|x\chi_{\mathbb{N}\setminus E_j}\| \geq \|x\chi_{\{1, \ldots, j\}}\|$. By the Fatou property of $\lambda_{\varphi, w}$ we have $\|x\chi_{\{1, \ldots, j\}}\| \to \|x\| = 1$, and so $\|x'_j\| \to 1$ as $j \to \infty$. Similarly $\|x''_j\| \to 1$.

We claim that $x'_j \to x$ and $x''_j \to x$ weakly. Since $m(E_j) < \infty$, $x - x'_j = x\chi_{E_j} - t_{n_j}x_{E_j} \in (\lambda_{\varphi, w})_x$.

Then by Theorem 3.1, $F(x - x'_j) = H(x - x'_j)$ for any $F \in (\lambda_{\varphi, w})^*$. Let $H$ be generated by $(\eta(k))_{k=1}^\infty = \eta \in m_{\varphi, w}$. Thus we can write $H(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty x(k)\eta(k)$ for $x \in \lambda_{\varphi, w}$. Since $\eta \in m_{\varphi, w}$, $p_{\varphi, w}(\delta \eta) < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$. Let $v$ be a positive sequence such that $v < w$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varphi^*(\frac{\delta \eta(k)}{v(k)})v(k) \leq p_{\varphi, w}(\delta \eta) + 1 < \infty.$$
Thus, we also have by (7),

\[
|H(x - x_j')| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x(k)\chi_{E_j}(k) - t_{n_j}\chi_{E_j}(k))\eta(k) \right|
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x(k)\chi_{E_j}(k)\eta(k)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t_{n_j}\chi_{E_j}\eta(k)|
\]

\[
n = \delta^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x(k)\delta\eta(k)|}{v(k)}\chi_{E_j}(k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{n_j}\delta\eta(k)|}{v(k)}\chi_{E_j}(k) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \delta^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(|x(k)|)v(k)\chi_{E_j}(k) + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi^* \left( \frac{|\eta(k)|}{v(k)} \right) v(k)\chi_{E_j}(k) + \varphi(t_{n_j})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(k)\chi_{E_j} \right).
\]

By $v \prec w$ and in view of Lemma 1.1, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(|x(k)|)v(k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(x^*(k))v^*(k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(x^*(k))w(k) = \alpha(x) < \infty$. Hence

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi(|x(k)|)v(k)\chi_{E_j}(k) \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \varphi(|x(k)|)v(k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \rightarrow \infty.
\]

In view of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(k)\chi_{E_j}(k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m(E_j)} v^*(k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m(E_j)} w(k)$ we get by (5),

\[
\varphi(t_{n_j})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(k)\chi_{E_j}(k) \leq \varphi(t_{n_j})\sum_{k=1}^{m(E_j)} w(k) \leq 1/2^{j-2} \rightarrow 0.
\]

We also have by (7),

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi^* \left( \frac{|\eta(k)|}{v(k)} \right) v(k)\chi_{E_j}(k) \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \varphi^* \left( \frac{|\eta(k)|}{v(k)} \right) v(k) \rightarrow 0.
\]

Thus, $|H(x - x_j')| \rightarrow 0$, which implies that $x_j' \rightarrow x$ weakly. Similarly, $x_j'' \rightarrow x$ weakly.

Now, we show that $\|x_j' - x_j''\| \rightarrow 2$. From (5), $\varphi^{-1}(2^j\varphi(t_{n_j})) \geq \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{w(m(E_j))} \right) \geq \varphi^{-1}(2^{-2j}\varphi(t_{n_j}))$.

Due to convexity of $\varphi$,

\[
\|x_j' - x_j''\| = \|2t_{n_j}\chi_{E_j}\| = 2\inf \left\{ \delta > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi \left( \frac{t_{n_j}\chi_{E_j}^*}{\delta} \right) w \leq 1 \right\}
\]

\[
= 2\inf \left\{ \delta > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{t_{n_j}} \varphi \left( \frac{t_{n_j}}{\delta} \right) w \leq 1 \right\} = 2\inf \left\{ \delta > 0 : t_{n_j}/\delta \leq \varphi^{-1}(1/W(m(E_j))) \right\}
\]

\[
= 2\inf \left\{ \delta > 0 : \frac{t_{n_j}}{\varphi^{-1}(1/W(m(E_j)))} \leq \delta \right\} = \frac{2t_{n_j}}{\varphi^{-1}(1/W(m(E_j)))} \geq \frac{2t_{n_j}}{\varphi^{-1}(2^j\varphi(t_{n_j}))}
\]

\[
\geq \frac{2t_{n_j}}{\varphi^{-1}(2^{n_j}\varphi(t_{n_j}))} \geq \frac{2n_j}{n_j + 1} \rightarrow 2 \quad \text{as} \quad j \rightarrow \infty.
\]

Taking $f_j' = \frac{x_j'}{\|x_j'\|}$ and $f_j'' = \frac{x_j''}{\|x_j''\|}$, $f_j', f_j'' \in B_{\chi_{\varphi,w}}$. Finally we can show analogously as for function case that $f_j' \rightarrow x$, $f_j'' \rightarrow x$ weakly, and $\|f_j' - f_j''\| \rightarrow 2$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and this completes the proof.

The following complete characterization of Orlicz-Lorentz sequence spaces with the diameter two property (for Orlicz spaces see [4]) results from Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $w$ be a decreasing weight sequence such that $W(\infty) = \infty$, and let $\varphi$ be an Orlicz $N$-function. Then the diameter of any nonempty relatively weakly open subset of the unit ball in the
Orlicz-Lorentz sequence space $\lambda_{\varphi,w}$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm is equal to two if and only if $\varphi$ does not satisfy the $\Delta_2^0$ condition.

We finish with a criterion on Radon-Nikodým property that follows immediately from Theorems 3.2 and 3.4.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let $w$ be a decreasing weight sequence such that $W(\infty) = \infty$, and let $\varphi$ be an Orlicz $N$-function. Then the Orlicz-Lorentz sequence space $\lambda_{\varphi,w}$ has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if $\varphi$ satisfies the $\Delta_2^0$ condition.
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