Real-life efficacy and safety of elexacaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor on severe cystic fibrosis lung disease patients
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Abstract
Elexacaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor (ETI) is a cystic fibrosis (CF) transmembrane conductance regulator modulator, which has shown efficacy in CF patients (≥6 years) with ≥1 Phe508del mutation and a minimal function mutation. In October 2019, ETI became available on compassionate use basis for Dutch CF patients with severe lung disease. Our objective was to investigate safety and efficacy of ETI in this patient group in a real-life setting. A multicenter longitudinal observational study was conducted to examine changes in FEV₁, BMI, and adverse events at initiation and 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after starting ETI. The number of exacerbations was recorded in the 12 months before and the 12 months after ETI treatment. Patients eligible for compassionate use had a FEV₁ <40% predicted. Wilcoxon signed-rank test analyzed changes over time. Twenty subjects were included and followed up for up to 12 months after starting ETI. Treatment was well tolerated with mild side effects reported, namely, rash (15%) and stomach ache (20%) with 80% resolving within 1 month. Mean absolute increase of FEV₁ was 11.8/13.7% (p ≤ .001) and BMI was 0.49/1.87 kg/m² (p < .001–0.02) after 1/12 months, respectively. In comparison to the number of exacerbations pretrial, there was a marked reduction in exacerbations after initiation. Our findings show long-term effects of treatment with ETI in patients with severe CF lung disease in a real-life setting. Treatment with ETI is associated with increased lung function and BMI, less exacerbations, and only mild side effects.
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Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a recessively inherited, progressive, multiorgan disease that is caused by a mutation in the CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene: an ion channel facilitating chloride transport. The protein can be missing, misfolded, or malfunctioning depending on the different classes of mutations. The Phe508del mutation is the most common, approximately 85% of CF patients have at least one copy, for the Netherlands this is 90.8%. Patients with a Phe508del mutation are eligible for CFTR modulators: small molecules that act to correct (correctors) or restore (potentiators) the defective CFTR protein. Recently, a combination of two correctors and a potentiator, elexacaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor (ETI), has been proven safe and effective for patients who are either homozygous or heterozygous for the Phe508del mutation in large phase III trials. These trials showed that the treatment with ETI resulted in substantial improvements in lung function, sweat chloride concentration, respiratory-related quality of life, and nutritional parameters when compared with placebo or tezacaftor/ivacaftor. These trials led to the compassionate use program and early access to ETI for CF patients with severe lung disease in Europe. While the trial results are very promising, patients’ eligibility for phase III trials was dependent on having a percent predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s (ppFEV₁) of 40%-90%. Consequently, this could lead to misrepresentation of patients with severe lung disease. In addition, long-term assessment of efficacy was limited with a maximum follow-up of 24 weeks.

This study evaluated the safety and efficacy of ETI in patients with CF who have at least one Phe508del CFTR mutation and had severe lung disease (ppFEV₁ <40%) in a prospective, real-life, observational study with a long-term (12 months) follow-up. We hypothesized that CF patients with severe lung disease are likely to benefit from ETI treatment.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design and subjects

This study was a two centers observational study, aimed at evaluating the efficacy and safety of ETI treatment in a real-life setting in the Netherlands. The Institutional Research Board of the Academic Medical Center approved the study (#2019_179). Patients were recruited from the Amsterdam Medical Centres and the Radboud University Medical Center between 2019 and 2020. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients, or in the case of minors, from their legal guardian, before data collection.

There were no inclusion or exclusion criteria other than being applicable for the compassionate use program and willingness to participate. The compassionate use program required patients to meet the following criteria: (1) ppFEV₁ is <40% for a minimum of 2 months before the date of completion of the ETI request form and/or (2) documentation of being active on a lung transplant waiting list or documentation of being evaluated for lung transplantation, but deemed unsuitable because of contraindications.

All patients were invited for regular three monthly outpatient clinic visits, including clinical assessment and pulmonary function tests. Study visits were combined with regular visits at treatment initiation and at 3, 6, and 12 months after starting treatment; if a patient also presented at the outpatient clinic at 1 month, the data were collected as well. Weight, height, BMI, and ppFEV₁ were recorded at each visit. Patients were invited to fill in the CFQ-R questionnaire: a quality-of-life questionnaire with 12 domains, an increase of four points was considered clinically significant. Patients were offered the choice between paper and digital version. Adverse events were reported by patient or physician, and recorded in an electronic case report form. The number of exacerbations was recorded in the 12 months prior and the 12 months post ETI initiation. Clinical laboratory assessment at each visit included, but was not limited to, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartateaminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) according to the ETI guidance documentation.

2.2 | Statistics

Data were presented as percentage and number, N (%); median (inter-quartile range [IQR]); or mean ± SD. Intragroup comparisons of change in ppFEV₁, BMI, and CFQ-R respiratory domain score (from baseline to 1, 3, 6, and 12 months of follow-up) were performed using the Wilcoxon paired test, according to an intention to treat analysis. The individual patient response was defined as an increase per day, as calculated by the individual slope from a mixed effect model. Individual slopes were entered in a heatmap to group patients between high and low responders based on relative z score. The comparison of the paired McNemar’s test was used to assess a change in frequencies over time. A p < .05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using Rstudio version 3.6.2 (SAS Institute, Inc.).
crease of 11.7%, 14.4%, and 13.7%, respectively, to baseline in ppFEV$_1$.

KOS et al. (2023) showed an increase in ppFEV$_1$ from baseline during follow-up, it was not possible to perform any statistics to compare the two groups (Figure 1B).

Individual responses ranged from 0.3% to 7.8% point increase per 100 days (Table S2).

Treatment with ETI resulted in a significant increase in absolute change in BMI after 1 month, with a mean increase of 0.49 kg/m$^2$ relative to baseline ($p = .017$). A sustained increase in absolute change in BMI was seen through 3, 6, and 12 months, with a mean increase of 1.11, 1.58, and 1.87 kg/m$^2$, respectively, to baseline ($p = .002$) (Figure 1C).

There was a statistically significant difference between all visits except one; there was no significant difference between 6 and 12 months (Table S1). Nineteen patients showed an increase in BMI from baseline during follow-up, one homozygous Phe508del patient did not show an increase in BMI after initiation of ETI treatment (Figure 1D).

The CFQ-R respiratory domain score improved significantly through follow-up, with a mean increase of 32.3 points relative to baseline ($p = 0.002$) (Figure 2A). Similar results were found for the physical, treatment, health, social, and body domain scores, with a statistically significant increase of 279, 15.5, 25.1, 17.34, 9.93 points relative to baseline, respectively (Figure 2A and Table S3). The score on the CFQ-R question, "In the last two weeks, have you had to cough up mucus," significantly increased ($p = .007$) over time, indicating that patients had to cough up less mucus (Figure 3A). The same is reflected by the patients’ ability to produce sputum for culture during their outpatient visit, this was 80% at the initiation visit, and reduced to 60% after 3 months ($p = .03$), 44% after 6 months ($p = .05$), and 58% after 12 months ($p = .01$).

The number of exacerbations per patient in 1 year after ETI initiation (mean 0.19 ± 0.4) was significantly lower ($p < 0.001$) compared to the number before ETI initiation (mean 2.89 ± 1.6). The same is true for number of exacerbations in 1 year that lead to hospitalizations, this was 1.41 ± 1.4 before and 0 ± 0 after ETI initiation ($p = 0.001$) (Figure 2B).

Patients were grouped into high and low responders for treatment with ETI (Figure 4A). Characteristics of the groups, including data on pathogen cultures during the last visit, are described in Table S4, no parameters where significantly different between groups. All children were clustered in the high responders group. Patients with a Burkholderia infection were more often clustered in the low responders group, while patients with an Aspergillus fumigatus infection were more often clustered in the high responders group. The majority of patients were either low or high responders on all outcome parameters. However, for some individuals, treatment with ETI had a larger effect on the quality of life (according to CFQ) and a lower effect on the clinical parameters (Figure 4A, column 6), and vice versa (columns 6, 15). Increase in ppFEV$_1$ was correlated to an increase in FVC (R: 0.91, 95% Cl: 0.78–0.97), an increase in BMI (R: 0.56, 95% Cl: 0.49–0.53), as well as an increase in the health CFQ-R domain (R: 0.49, 95% Cl: 0.04–0.77) (Figure 4B).
Table 2 provides an overview of adverse events reported during the study. Eleven (55%) patients had at least one therapy-related adverse event, excluding pulmonary exacerbations, which were not seen as an adverse event, but as a symptom of the disease. Stomach ache (20%) and rash (15%) were the most common presentation. All adverse events were reported as either mild or moderate in severity with the large majority resolving during the study. No serious adverse events occurred. No patients discontinued the treatment.

Based on the experience with ETI treatment, including the phase III trials, data related to aminotransferase levels were reviewed. Elevated levels of ALT or AST occurred in 8 (40%) and 4 (20%) patients, respectively. No patient had an elevated aminotransferase level greater than three times the upper limit of normal during the follow-up period.

Additional observations included elevated levels of GGT and ALP in 4 (20%) and 9 (45%) patients, respectively. Less patients had elevated white blood cell counts after treatment with ETI ($p < .001$): 2 (10%) patients had elevated levels during follow-up, compared to 7 (35%) patients at baseline. Similar results were shown for elevated C-reactive protein levels ($p < .001$): 2 (10%) patients had elevated levels during follow-up, compared to 14 (70%) patients at baseline.

3.3 | Safety

FIGURE 1  Absolute change from baseline in ppFEV$_1$ and BMI. (A) Absolute change from baseline in ppFEV$_1$ over time, since initiation of ETI treatment. Displayed as mean with error bars indicating standard error of the mean (black), and per individual (color). (B) The maximum absolute change during follow-up from baseline in ppFEV$_1$, per individual. Grouped by genetic mutation. (C) Absolute change from baseline in BMI over time since initiation of ETI treatment, displayed as mean with error bars indicating standard error of the mean (black), and per individual (color). (D) The maximum absolute change during follow-up from baseline in BMI, per individual. Grouped by genetic mutation. BMI, body mass index; ETI, elexacaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor; ppFEV$_1$, percent of predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s.
In this study, we examined the 12 months safety and efficacy of ETI in a real-life setting for CF patients with ppFEV$_1$ <40%. The study showed an increased ppFEV$_1$ and BMI, better quality of life, reduced sputum production, and reduced numbers of pulmonary exacerbations. Therapy resulted in mild side effects that resolved quickly. Severe CF lung disease patients tolerated ETI treatment well. No patients discontinued their treatment in this study. This is in line with the phase III trials for homozygous and heterozygous Phe508del patients who reported a discontinuation rate of 0% and 1%, respectively. Thus, severe CF lung disease patients showed similar tolerance to ETI treatment as compared to CF patients with a ppFEV$_1$ >40%. This is unique to ETI and not seen for other CFTR modulators, where severe CF lung disease patients had higher discontinuation rates as compared to the clinical trials. This difference is most likely explained by the greater beneficial effect of ETI as compared to alternative CFTR modulators. Our findings support the notion that severe CF lung disease patients should receive ETI.

The current study showed an increase in FEV$_1$ for both homozygous and heterozygous patient cohorts. In addition, our study has shown that these improvements were sustained for up to 12 months for both groups with severe lung disease. This is in line with the postapproval study of Nichols et al. who showed similar results for 6 months after initiation. Furthermore, the study by Carnovale et al. studied the 48 weeks effect of ETI, but only included homozygous patients. They showed a 14.48% point increase at 48 weeks, compared to 13.7% point increase displayed in
FIGURE 4 Heatmap and correlation matrix of maximum response per outcome measures. (A) Heatmap of individual response per outcome measure. Each column represents an individual patient; each row represents a different numerical outcome measure. Fill colors range from "blue" being low responders to "red" being high responders. The upper colored bars describe whether a patient had an infection at baseline, if a patient is adult, and if they have a CFTR modulator history. (B) Correlation matrix of different numerical outcome measures. The top-right boxes depict the correlation coefficient, including 95% confidence interval, the bottom-left a scatterplot of the outcomes, the diagonal show a histogram of each outcome measure. Ach, Achromobacter; AF, Aspergillus fumigatus; BMI, body mass index; Burk, Burkholderia; HI, Haemophilus influenzae; Lum/Iva, lumacaftor/ivacaftor; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; ppFEV₁, percent of predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 s; ppFVC, percent of predicted forced vital capacity; SA, Staphylococcus aureus; Tez/Iva, tezacaftor/ivacaftor.
earlier in a case report, but this parameter has not been included daily lives. A reduction in sputum production has been mentioned sputum for clinical cultures, but it also had a positive effect in their This did not only manifest in the ability of the patients to produce effect of treatment. In addition, airway pathogen colonization and statistically significant differences were found between groups, it contains for 12 months in both homozygous and heterozygous severe CF lung disease patients.

ETI treatment reduced the sputum production during this study. This did not only manifest in the ability of the patients to produce sputum for clinical cultures, but it also had a positive effect in their daily lives. A reduction in sputum production has been mentioned earlier in a case report, but this parameter has not been included as an outcome measure in other studies. It is important to be aware that the ability to produce sputum for clinical cultures does not reflect the ease by which it is expectorated, for which the quality of life question is a better surrogate marker. Furthermore, the amount of sputum produced per clinical sample is not taken into account. The reduced ability to cough up sputum provides diagnostic challenges for pathogen colonization detection, but should be considered a positive effect for the patients.

Large fluctuations are shown between individual responses to ETI treatment for severe CF lung disease patients and discrepancies were seen between the QOL scores and clinical response. We hypothesize that these discrepancies are most likely due to different impacts in daily life. For some patients the treatment made it possible to play more sports, or be more active with their kids, which would increase the QOL scores. For others, the improved lung function did not lead to major changes in their daily lives. Furthermore, we hypothesize that the large fluctuations could be attributed to the severity of structural damage to the lungs. Severe CF lung disease patients display a wide spectrum of structural abnormalities in the lungs as identified on CT scans: this ranges from air trapping to infection and/or inflammation-related changes. Any irreversible lung damage will not be improved by ETI treatment. Thus, the extent of preexisting damage is a potential factor for response to treatment. In this study, we were able to group the patients into high and low responders. While no statistically significant differences were found between groups, it shows a potential correlation between age at ETI initiation and the effect of treatment. In addition, airway pathogen colonization and infection, namely *Burkholderia*, could potentially impair treatment response and explain the fluctuations observed. Severe CF lung disease patients show differences in the respiratory microbiome compared to milder CF phenotypes, these differences are associated with antibiotic resistance. If persistent infections hinder clinical improvement, this might have implications on the treatment effect. Consequently, our results indicate the need for focusing on early detection of bacterial infections and a precision medicine approach to optimize treatment for individual patients. A larger cohort study could further investigate interindividual differences and predictors of response to ETI treatment to aid a personalized medicine approach.

This study was conducted in CF expertise centers as established by The Netherlands Federation of University Medical Centres. The study was performed and funded independently from the ETI manufacturer. All data were collected as part of routine clinical surveillance (e.g., spirometry, weight and BMI, and laboratory results). Another strength of this study was the follow-up period for 12 months. However, this study was limited by the COVID-19 pandemic, resulting in missing data since some outpatient clinic visits were replaced with video consultations during the patient follow-up. For some patients, home monitoring of lung function was possible to reduce missing values; however, this may have led to underestimation of ppFEV₁ due to the lack of support from the lung function technician. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic potentially affected the exacerbation rate of the patients, but a drop in exacerbation rate has previously been shown before the COVID-19 pandemic. This study also lacks data on medical adherence to assess the effect on lung function; patients were only interviewed about their use of ETI treatment. Another limitation to this study is the lack of control group; this was not feasible due to ethical considerations. Finally, this study is limited by its sample size due to the small cohort of patients that meet the compassionate use criteria. Nonetheless, due to the large effect size we were able to reproduce the results of the large clinical trials in our dataset.

In summary, this real-life study on CF patients with severe lung disease showed that treatment with ETI leads to a significant increase in lung function (ppFEV₁) and weight gain (BMI). Additionally, ETI treatment was well tolerated with mild side effects and led to an increased quality of life as reported by the patients and a reduced number of exacerbations and subsequent hospitalizations. The effects were maintained for 12 months, but long-term studies are necessary to investigate any drop-off in treatment effect. Nonetheless, this study supports the notion that ETI treatment will also benefit CF patients with severe lung disease.
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