Stochastic modeling of a mosquito-borne disease

Peter J. Witbooi*, Gbenga J. Abiodun1, Garth J. van Schalkwyk1 and Ibrahim H.I. Ahmed2

Abstract

We present and analyze a stochastic differential equation (SDE) model for the population dynamics of a mosquito-borne infectious disease. We prove the solutions to be almost surely positive and global. We introduce a numerical invariant $R$ of the model with $R < 1$ being a condition guaranteeing the almost sure stability of the disease-free equilibrium. We show that stochastic perturbations enhance the stability of the disease-free equilibrium of the underlying deterministic model. We illustrate the main stability theorem through simulations and show how to obtain interval estimates when making forward projections. We consulted a wide range of literature to find relevant numerical parameter values.
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1 Introduction

A variety of mosquito-borne infectious diseases are the cause of millions of illnesses and deaths. In particular, the malaria disease is responsible for millions of fatalities in Africa each year and is a serious burden of the disease worldwide. The report [27] by Southern African Development Community (SADC) gives a summary of malaria statistics in Southern Africa. A map of the region clearly shows the intensity of the malaria problem in different areas. In Mozambique, for instance, malaria is the leading cause of death [12], whereas the biggest part of South Africa is malaria-free [27, Malaria Map]. Mathematical modeling is useful in the planning of interventions to curb the spread of malaria and other diseases. Indeed, different models have been proposed for different situations. A popular type of models is the compartmental model in terms of ODEs. Recent models of this type include, for instance, [4, 22, 23, 25].

Malaria prevalence numbers have been proved to be influenced by climatic factors; see, for example, [1, 2, 6, 14, 28]. Malaria population dynamics is also correlated with other variables such as altitude and topography, land use, land cover, human behavior, and living conditions. Some regions have partial protection against malaria through indoor residual spraying or bednets [5]. In some regions, people may use traditional plant remedies that are effective against malaria; see, for example, [8]. Consequently, modeling malaria pop-
ulation dynamics can become quite complex, [7]. Over time, many different approaches and methodologies were introduced into compartmental modeling of disease dynamics, and these innovations are able to deal with different complexities we experience in real life. So, for instance, we have seen the use of networks, multigroups, age-structured models, and stochastic differential equation (SDE) models [13, 15, 17, 31–33]. In a region where the link between malaria prevalence and the relevant factors is not well understood, it may be wise to introduce some randomness into an ODE compartmental model to compensate for uncertainty. In this way, we obtain an SDE model. For further motivation for SDE models, we refer the reader to Higham [11]. Such SDE models have already been proposed for various diseases, for instance, HIV [24], TB [20], and vector-borne diseases [15]; see also [16, 18, 21, 37]. In this paper, we propose an SDE model for the population dynamics of a disease such as malaria or dengue fever. The underlying deterministic model of the present paper is the same as that in [31] and is also similar to that of [17]. However, the stochastic perturbation of the present paper differs from those in [31] and [17]. In the current paper the stochasticity is similar to perturbation of the force of infection, whereas in [17] and [31] the mortality rates are perturbed. Also, the methodologies are quite different from both mathematical analysis and simulation sides. In particular, we observe that the stochastic perturbation in our model enhances the stability of the disease-free equilibrium, similarly as in [10, 18, 34], and a few other cases. Another feature of the model, simply due to the stochastic perturbations, is that when we make a future projection, we are able to specify a confidence interval for the estimate.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we describe the stochastic model. In Sect. 3, we show the existence and uniqueness of a global positive solution of the model. In Sect. 4, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the stochastic model around the trivial equilibrium point. In Sect. 5, we run simulations to make future projections of the state of the population relatively to the disease and illustrate the long-time behavior of the model. The parameter values were obtained from a wide range of literature and are generally applicable to Southern Africa. Finally, in Sect. 6, we provide a few concluding remarks and suggestions for future research.

2 The stochastic model

In this paper, we let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered complete probability space with the filtration satisfying the usual conditions (i.e., the filtration is right-continuous, and $\mathcal{F}_0$ contains all events of zero probability). We consider a pair of independent Wiener processes $W(t)$ and $Z(t)$ on this probability space. We use the notation $\mathbb{R}_n^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i > 0 \text{ for each } i\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We consider a stochastic disease model based on the deterministic model in [30]. As in [30], the host population at time $t \geq 0$ is of size $N(t)$ and is subdivided into three compartments, and the vector disease population of size $M(t)$ is subdivided into two compartments or classes. We shall ambiguously use the same symbol for a population class and its magnitude. The first compartment in the human population consists of the individuals susceptible to be infected with the pathogen. We denote this class by $S(t)$. The second class, denoted by $I(t)$, consists of all the individuals infected with the pathogen. The third class consists of all the human individuals recovered from the infection and having temporary immunity against the pathogen. This class of individuals is denoted by $R(t)$. The vector compartment is subdivided into two classes, susceptible $V$ and infective $J$ classes.
Due to the short life-span of vectors, we assume that vectors do not recover from the infection and their infective period ends with their death [30]. For the total \( N(t) \) of the human population and the total \( M(t) \) of the mosquito population, we have the identities

\[
S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = N(t) \quad \text{and} \quad V(t) + J(t) = M(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.
\]

The human birth rate is denoted by \( A \). There is no vertical transmission, and all the newborns are susceptible. The per capita death rate (excluding death due to malaria) is assumed to be the same constant \( \mu \) for all human compartments, and the rate of mortalities due to malaria is denoted by \( \delta \). The mosquito population has \( B \) and \( \theta \) as the natural birth rate and per capita mortality rate, respectively. The vectors bite humans at rate \( a \). The fraction of the bites that successfully infect humans is \( b \), whereas \( c \) represents the fraction of bites that infect vectors when they bite infected humans. The rate of infection of the human host in class \( S \) by infected vectors in \( J \) depends on the total number of humans available per infected vector. The per capita rate of transfer from the \( I \)-class to the \( R \)-class is \( k \). The human immune individuals lose their immunity at a (per capita) rate \( h \).

There are SDE epidemic models in the literature (e.g., [10]) in which the transmission parameter is stochastically perturbed. In such cases, transmission is usually from susceptibles \( S \) to the infectious class \( I \), with no latent class and without vector. In particular, it involves a complementary pair of perturbations, which results in the total population size to be a deterministic function of time. Also, each perturbation in such a pair is proportional to the product \( SI \) and can be viewed as perturbations on the class sizes \( S \) and \( I \). In the current model, we introduce such a complementary pair of perturbations, each proportional to \( SI \), on the class sizes \( S \) and \( I \). We place a similar complementary pair of perturbations on the class sizes \( V \) and \( J \).

After introducing stochastic perturbations, with nonnegative constants \( \sigma \) and \( \zeta \), we obtain the following system of SDEs:

\[
\begin{align*}
    dS(t) &= \left( A - abS(t)I(t) + hR(t) - \mu S(t) \right) dt - \sigma S(t)I(t) dW(t), \\
    dI(t) &= \left( abS(t)I(t) - (\mu + k + \delta)I(t) \right) dt + \sigma S(t)I(t) dW(t), \\
    dR(t) &= \left( kI(t) - (\mu + h)R(t) \right) dt, \\
    dV(t) &= \left( B - acV(t)I(t) - \theta V(t) \right) dt - \zeta V(t)I(t) dZ(t), \\
    dJ(t) &= \left( acV(t)I(t) - \theta J(t) \right) dt + \zeta V(t)I(t) dZ(t).
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

Note that stochastically perturbing the transmission rate from the \( S \)-class to the \( I \)-class would amount to a complementary pair of perturbations proportional to \( SI \) rather than to \( SI \). The difficulty with perturbations proportional to \( SI \) is that the standard proof of positivity of solutions does not apply. Nevertheless, in this regard, not all is lost, since in general there are lagged correlations between \( J \) and \( I \) due to the incubation periods of the pathogen in the bodies of the host and vector.

We further find it convenient to use the short-hand notation, introducing the symbol

\[ \mu_1 = \mu + k + \delta. \]
A solution of this system over a time interval $D$ is the set of points

$$X(t) = (S(t), I(t), R(t), V(t), J(t)), \quad t \in D.$$  

The stochastic model has a unique disease-free equilibrium point

$$X_\star = \left( \frac{A}{\mu}, 0, 0, \frac{B}{\theta}, 0 \right).$$

In the particular case $\sigma = \zeta = 0$, we refer to system (1) as the underlying deterministic model. The basic reproduction number,

$$R_0 = \frac{a^2 bc AB}{\mu \mu_1 \theta^2},$$

of the underlying deterministic model has been calculated in [30] and is an indicator of local asymptotic stability of the disease-free equilibrium. In this paper, we are interested in the global asymptotic stability of the disease-free equilibrium of the SDE model. To this end, in Sect. 4, we introduce an invariant $\mathcal{R}$, which serves as an indicator of global asymptotic stability of the disease-free equilibrium for the stochastic model.

### 3 Existence and uniqueness of a positive solution

In this section, we show that the solution of system (1) is almost surely (a.s.) global and positive. If the coefficients of the equations satisfy the local Lipschitz condition and the linear growth condition, then the system of equations has a unique global solution (i.e., no explosion in finite time) for any given initial value (see, e.g., [21]). However, the coefficients of (1) do not satisfy the linear growth condition. In this section, using Lyapunov analysis (as mentioned in [21] and popularly applied in the literature), we show that the solution of (1) is (a.s.) global and positive (Theorem 3.2).

**Proposition 3.1** Given any $t_0 > 0$, suppose that $X(t)$ is a local solution for which $X(t) \in \mathbb{R}_5^+$ for $0 < t < t_0$.

(a) If $N(0) \leq \frac{A}{\mu}$, then $N(t) \leq \frac{A}{\mu}$ for all $0 < t \leq t_0$.

(b) If $M(0) \leq \frac{B}{\theta}$, then $M(t) \leq \frac{B}{\theta}$ for all $0 < t \leq t_0$.

**Proof** Given any local solution with $X(t) \in \mathbb{R}_5^+$ for $0 < t < t_0$, we have

$$\frac{d(N(t) - \frac{A}{\mu})}{dt} = A - \mu N(t) - \delta I \leq -\mu \left[ N(t) - \frac{A}{\mu} \right].$$

Therefore $N(0) < \frac{A}{\mu}$ implies that $N(t) < \frac{A}{\mu}$ for all $0 < t \leq t_0$. This proves (a). The proof of (b) is similar. 

**Theorem 3.2** For any given initial value $X(0) \in \mathbb{R}_5^+$, there exists a unique positive solution $X(t)$ of (1) for $t \geq 0$ such that the solution remains in $\mathbb{R}_5^+$ with probability 1, that is, $X(t) \in \mathbb{R}_5^+$ for all $t \geq 0$ almost surely.
Applying Itô’s formula, we obtain a boundedness result, holding for \( Y \in \mathbb{R} \). The difference between \( \tau \) is similar to that in \([16, 21, 36]\), or \([17]\), and we skip the details.

**Sketch of proof.** Motivated by the work of Mao et al. [21] and similar proofs in [16, 17, 36], and elsewhere, we present a sketch of a proof. Note that the coefficients of system (1) are locally Lipschitz continuous. Thus there exists a unique local solution on \( t \in [0, \tau_{en}) \), where \( \tau_{en} \) is the explosion time. We need to show that this solution is global almost surely, that is, \( \tau_{en} = \infty \) (a.s.). This is commonly done using the Lyapunov method. Essentially, we need a boundedness result, holding for \( t < \tau_{en} \), which we obtain further.

We denote by \( L \) the infinitesimal generator of the stochastic process in Eq. (1). Let us define the stochastic process \( Y_1 \) as

\[
Y_1(X(t)) = \ln \frac{A}{\mu S(t)} + \ln \frac{A}{\mu I(t)} + \ln \frac{A}{\mu R(t)} + \ln \frac{B}{\theta V(t)} + \ln \frac{B}{\theta J(t)}
\]

Note that each of the five terms are nonnegative, when \( S, I, R, V, \) and \( J \) are strictly positive. Applying Itô’s formula, we obtain

\[
dY_1(X(t)) = L Y_1 dt - \frac{1}{S(t)} [\sigma S(t) I(t)] dW(t) - \frac{1}{I(t)} [\sigma S(t) I(t)] dW(t) \\
- \frac{1}{V(t)} [-\zeta (V(t), J(t))] dZ(t) - \frac{1}{J(t)} [\zeta V(t) J(t)] dZ(t), \tag{2}
\]

where \( L Y_1(X(t)) \) is calculated as

\[
L Y_1 = -\frac{1}{S(t)} [A - abS(t)J(t) + hR(t) - \mu S(t)] + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 J^2(t) \\
- \frac{1}{I(t)} [abS(t)J(t) - \mu_1 I(t)] + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2(t) - \frac{1}{R(t)} [kI(t) - (\mu + h)R(t)] \\
- \frac{1}{V(t)} [B - acV(t)J(t) - \theta V(t)] + \frac{\zeta^2 J^2(t)}{2} - \frac{1}{J(t)} [acV(t)J(t) - \theta J(t)] \\
+ \frac{\zeta^2 V^2(t)}{2}
\]

(recall that \( \mu_1 = \mu + k + \delta \)). From the latter expression we obtain the following inequality by removing some of the negative terms on the right-hand side:

\[
L Y_1 \leq abJ(t) + \mu + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 J^2(t) + \mu_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2(t) + [\mu + h] \\
+ acl(t) + \theta + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 J^2(t) + \theta + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 V^2(t).
\]

Therefore we have \( L Y_1 \leq F \) with

\[
F = ab \frac{B}{\theta} + 2\mu + h + \frac{\sigma^2 A^2}{2\mu^2} + \mu_1 + \frac{\sigma^2 A^2}{2\mu^2} + ac \frac{A}{\mu} + 2\theta + \frac{\zeta^2 B^2}{\theta^2}.
\]

The rest of the proof is similar to that in \([16, 21, 36]\), or \([17]\), and we skip the details. \( \Box \)

A stability theorem for the stochastic model is formulated in terms of an indicator \( R(z) \), which is similar to the basic reproduction number \( R_0 \) of the UDM. In fact, \( R(z) \) is of a form similar to \( R_0 \), and as the intensities of the perturbations tend to zero, then \( R(z) \) tends to \( R_0 \). The difference between \( R(z) \) and \( R_0 \) is determined by the term \( E_0 \) in Eq. (4). Somehow we
must find a lower bound for $E_0$. Hence in Item 3.3 further, we briefly derive such a lower bound. Similar techniques appear in [20, 24], and [35].

**Remark 3.3 (A function $H(x)$)** In the stability analysis, we encounter a function for which we require a lower bound. We introduce the function

$$H : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sigma^2 S^2 x^2 + \xi^2 V^2 (1 - x)^2 \right].$$

We find that, indeed, $H$ has such a minimum value at $x = x^*$ with

$$x^* := \frac{\xi^2 V^2}{\sigma^2 S^2 + \xi^2 V^2}, \quad \text{and then} \quad H(x^*) = \frac{(\sigma S \xi V)^2}{2[(\sigma S)^2 + (\xi V)^2]}.$$

Noting that $S \leq A/\mu$ and $V \leq B/\theta$ for $S$ and $V$ as in the model, we obtain the inequality

$$H(x) \geq (SV)^2 \frac{\sigma \xi^2}{2[(\sigma A/\mu)^2 + (\xi B/\theta)^2]} \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

We find it useful to write this inequality in the following form:

$$H(x) \geq P \eta$$

with

$$P = \left( \frac{S}{A/\mu} \right)^2 \left( \frac{V}{B/\theta} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \frac{(\sigma \xi^2)(A/\mu)^2(B/\theta)^2}{2[(\sigma A/\mu)^2 + (\xi B/\theta)^2]}.$$

### 4 Stability of the disease-free equilibrium

For stochastic systems, there are many different versions of the concept of stability and very sophisticated methods of stability analysis; see, for example, [26]. In this paper, we focus on almost sure exponential stability, which is also studied in [26].

The following function $\mathcal{R} : [0, 1] \to [0, \infty)$ will be useful in our stability analysis:

$$\mathcal{R}(z) = \frac{a^2 \beta c A B}{\mu \theta (\mu_1 + z \eta)(\theta + z \eta)} \quad \text{with} \quad \eta = \frac{(\sigma \xi^2)(A/\mu)^2(B/\theta)^2}{2[(\sigma A/\mu)^2 + (\xi B/\theta)^2]}.$$

In the particular case where $z \eta = 0$, $\mathcal{R}(z)$ coincides with $R_0$.

**Remark 4.1** Let us fix some nonnegative constants $q, p_1, p_2, p_3$. We define the following stochastic processes $u(t)$ and $Y_2(t)$:

$$u(t) = I(t) + q I(t) + p_1 (A - \mu S(t)) + p_2 R(t) + p_3 (B - \theta V(t))$$

and

$$Y_2(t) = \ln u(t).$$

Note that $Y_2(t)$ is well defined since by Theorem 3.2

$$u(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ a.s.}$$
We can calculate $LY_2(t)$ as

$$LY_2(t) = \frac{1}{u(t)} \left[ abS(t)I(t) - \mu_1 I(t) \right] + \frac{q}{u(t)} \left[ acV(t)I(t) - \theta J(t) \right]$$

\[ - \frac{\mu p_1}{u(t)} [A - abS(t)I(t) + hR(t) - \mu S(t)] \]

\[ + \frac{p_2}{u(t)} [kI(t) - (\mu + h)R(t)] - \frac{\theta p_3}{u(t)} \left[ B - acV(t)I(t) - \theta V(t) \right] - E(t), \]

where $E(t)$ is given by

$$E(t) = \frac{(\mu p_1 + 1)^2}{2u^2(t)} (\sigma S(t)I(t))^2 + \frac{(\theta p_3 + q)^2}{2u^2(t)} (\xi V(t)J(t))^2.$$

This can be expressed as

$$LY_2(t) = \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} \left[ (q + \theta p_3)acV(t) + p_2 k - \mu_1 \right]$$

\[ + \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} \left[ (1 + \mu p_1)abS(t) - q \theta \right] - \mu p_1 \frac{(A - \mu S(t))}{u(t)} \]

\[ - \frac{\theta p_3}{u(t)} \left[ B - \theta V(t) \right] - \frac{R(t)}{u(t)} \left[ \theta \mu p_1 + p_2 (\mu + h) \right] - E(t). \tag{3} \]

We are interested in $\limsup_{t \to \infty} Y_2(t)$, and we introduce the necessary notation for this analysis. For a stochastic process $\psi(t)$, we write

$$\langle \psi \rangle_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \psi(s) \, ds.$$

Note that for every $w \in \Omega$, there exists an unbounded increasing sequence of positive numbers $(t_n)$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Y_2(t_n) = \limsup_{t \to \infty} Y_2(t)$ and such that the following sequences are convergent: $(\langle I_u \rangle_{t_n})$, $(\langle J/u \rangle_{t_n})$, $(\langle R/u \rangle_{t_n})$, $(\langle (A - \mu S)/u \rangle_{t_n})$, $(\langle (B - \theta V)/u \rangle_{t_n})$.

We denote their five limits by $i, j, r, s^\theta, v^\theta$, respectively, and we write $\Gamma^* = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \langle Y_2 \rangle_t$.

We note that these values depend on the 4-tuple $(q, p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

**Remark 4.2** The following stochastic processes are encountered in the proof of the main result.

$$M_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\sigma S(y)I(y)}{u(y)} \, dW(y) \quad \text{and} \quad M_2(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\xi V(y)J(y)}{u(y)} \, dZ(y).$$

Then we define $M(t) = (1 + \mu p_1)M_1(t) + (q + \theta p_3)M_2(t)$. Note that $0 < I(t) + q J(t) \leq u(t)$ and $\mu S(t) < A$. Therefore, regarding the quadratic variations of the stochastic integrals $M_1(t)$ and $M_2(t)$, we have

$$\int_0^t \left( \frac{\sigma S(y)I(y)}{u(y)} \right)^2 \, dy \leq \frac{\sigma^2 A^2 t}{\mu^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t \left( \frac{\xi V(y)J(y)}{u(y)} \right)^2 \, dy \leq \frac{\xi^2 B^2 t}{q^2 \theta^2} \quad \text{a.s.}$$
Consequently, by the strong law of large numbers for local martingales (see, e.g., [21]) we can deduce that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} M(t) = 0 \quad (\text{a.s.})$$

Further, note that

$$\frac{1}{t} Y_2(t) - \frac{1}{t} Y_2(0) = \langle \mathcal{L} Y_2 \rangle_t + \frac{1}{t} M(t).$$

This means that

$$\lim \sup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} Y_2(t) = \lim \sup_{t \to \infty} \langle \mathcal{L} Y_2 \rangle_t \quad (\text{a.s.}).$$

The main stability theorem focuses on the convergence of $I(t)$ and $J(t)$. For the particular case $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 0$, we can calculate $\mathcal{L} Y_2(t)$ as follows, where we denote $Y_2$ by $Y_0$:

$$\mathcal{L} Y_0(t) = \frac{1}{u(t)} \left[ ab S(t) (I(t) - \mu_1 I(t)) + \frac{q}{u(t)} \left[ ac V(t) I(t) - \theta J(t) \right] - E_0(t), \right.$$ 

where $E_0(t)$ is given by

$$E_0(t) = \frac{1}{2u^2(t)} \left( \sigma S(t) I(t) \right)^2 + \frac{q^2}{2u^2(t)} \left( \zeta V(t) J(t) \right)^2.$$

This can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L} Y_0(t) = \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} \left[ q ac V(t) - \mu_1 \right] + \frac{J(t)}{u(t)} \left[ ab S(t) - q \theta \right] - E_0(t).$$

We require a suitable lower bound for $E_0$. In particular, we further use the identity

$$I(t) u(t) + q J(t) u(t) = 1, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad q J(t) u(t) = 1 - I(t) u(t).$$

Thus we proceed as follows:

$$E_0(t) = \frac{1}{2u^2(t)} \left( \sigma S(t) I(t) \right)^2 + \frac{q^2}{2u^2(t)} \left( \zeta V(t) J(t) \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \sigma S(t) \right)^2 \left( \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} \right)^2 + \left( \zeta V(t) \right)^2 \left( \frac{J(t)}{u(t)} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \sigma S(t) \right)^2 \left( \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} \right)^2 + \left( \zeta V(t) \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= H \left( \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} \right)$$

with $H(x)$ as in Remark 3.3. Therefore, noting the lower bound for $H(x)$ established in Remark 3.3, we can deduce the inequality

$$E_0(t) \geq P(t) \eta.$$
Again, using the identity $I/u + qJ/u = 1$, we obtain
\[ E_0(t) \geq P(t)\eta \left[ \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} + q \frac{J(t)}{u(t)} \right]. \]

Now we can deduce the following inequality:
\[ \mathcal{L}Y_0(t) \leq \frac{I(t)}{u(t)} (qacV(t) - \mu_1 - P(t)\eta) + \frac{J(t)}{u(t)} (abS(t) - q\theta - qP(t)\eta). \] \hfill (4)

**Theorem 4.3** Suppose that for some $z \in [0,1]$, we have
\[ \lim \inf_{t \to \infty} \left( \frac{S}{A/\mu} \right)^2 \left( \frac{V}{B/\theta} \right)^2 \geq z \quad (a.s.). \]

If $R(z) < 1$, then $I(t)$ and $J(t)$ converge exponentially to 0 (a.s.).

**Proof** Let $R(z) < 1$, which is equivalent to the inequality
\[ \frac{abA}{\mu(\theta + zn)} \left( \frac{acB}{\theta} \right) - (\mu_1 + zn) < 0. \]

We can find a number $0 < \epsilon < 1$ such that
\[ \left( \frac{abA}{\mu(\theta + zn)} + \epsilon \right) \left( \frac{acB}{\theta} \right) - (\mu_1 + zn) < 0. \] \hfill (5)

Now let
\[ q = \frac{abA}{\mu(\theta + zn)} + \epsilon. \]

For the given value of $q$, we now consider $Y_0$. To prove our theorem, it suffices to prove that $u(t)$ converges exponentially to zero (a.s.). The proof is concluded by showing that the Lyapunov exponent $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \mathcal{L}Y_0(t)$ of $u(t)$ is negative almost surely. It suffices to prove that (see Remark 4.2)
\[ \Gamma = \lim \sup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}Y_0(g) \, dg \leq 0 \quad (a.s.). \]

Recall inequality (4). Now performing the operation $\langle - \rangle_t$ and taking limits, we obtain
\[ \Gamma \leq iC_1 + jC_2 \]
with
\[ C_1 = qac\frac{B}{\theta} - \mu_1 - zn \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = abA\frac{\mu}{\mu} - q\theta - qzn. \]

A routine calculation reveals that
\[ C_2 = abA\frac{\mu}{\mu} - q\theta - qzn = -\epsilon(\theta + zn) < 0. \]
If we substitute the value of $q$ into the expression for $C_1$, then from inequality (5) it follows that $C_2 < 0$. The coefficients of $i$ and $j$ on the right-hand side of the inequality are negative and constant. Note that

$$i + qj = 1.$$ 

Therefore at least one of the quantities $i$ or $j$ must be nonzero. Thus

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} <LY_0 >_t < 0 \quad (\text{a.s.}),$$

and the proof is complete. \hfill \Box

Remark 4.4 (a) As a particular case of Theorem 4.3, we have that if $R(0) < 1$, then $I(t)$ and $J(t)$ converge exponentially to 0 (a.s.). So if $R(0) < 1$, then starting from any initial value, the solutions of the stochastic system (1) almost surely converges to the disease-free equilibrium.

(b) The theorem gives a version of local asymptotic stability. It can be interpreted as follows: if $R(z) < 1$ for some $z > 0$, then (a.s.) either the equilibrium $X_*$ is exponentially stable, or

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left( \frac{S}{A/\mu} \right)^2 \left( \frac{V}{B/\theta} \right)^2 \geq z.$$ 

Therefore the theorem shows that for parameter values with $R_0$ slightly greater than 1, the stability of the disease-free equilibrium (i.e., extinction of the disease) is enhanced by stochastic perturbations.

Theorem 4.5 If $I(t)$ and $J(t)$ converge exponentially to 0 (a.s.), then the disease-free equilibrium $X_*$ is almost surely exponentially stable.

Proof. In defining $u(t)$, let us choose $q = p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 1$. Suppose on the contrary that the stochastic process $u(t)$ does not exponentially converge to zero. Then $i = j = 0$. Consequently, from Eq. (3) we can deduce the inequality

$$\Gamma \leq -\mu s^2 - \theta v^2 - (h\mu + \mu + h)r < 0 \quad (\text{a.s.}).$$

This is a contradiction, which completes the proof. \hfill \Box

5 Numerical simulations

We apply our model to malaria disease over a suitable region in Southern Africa. For sample simulations, we find numerical values for parameters from the literature. Such parameter values depend on the particular situation or region of application. There are parameters affected by human lifestyle, and others vary according to the different species of vector or species of the pathogen. We do not pick a specific small region of application, but our choice of parameters is relevant to malaria disease in Southern Africa. Our values are taken from the given sources either directly or possibly adjusted. The values of the perturbation parameters $\sigma$ and $\zeta$ are declared for each simulation. The parameter values as per Table 1 yield $R_0 = 1.201$. 

Table 1  Numerical values of parameters

| Parameter | Description                                                                 | Numerical value | Reference/comment |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| $\mu$     | Mortality rate for humans, not including death directly due to malaria       | 0.017/365 per day [2] |
| $\delta$  | The rate of human deaths due to malaria                                       | 0.042/180 per day [23,25] |
| $\theta$  | Mortality rate for (vector) mosquitoes                                        | 0.04/365 per day [3,5,19] |
| $A$       | Total human birth rate                                                        | 10,000          |
| $B$       | Total birth rate of vector mosquitoes                                         | 240,000$\theta$ |
| $a$       | The probability of a specific human getting bitten by a mosquito during a one-day period | $6.417 \times 10^{-6}$ Chosen (this also depends on the region) |
| $b$       | The probability that a bite by an infected mosquito will lead to a (new) human infection | 0.075 [23,25] |
| $c$       | The probability that a bite on an infected human will lead to a (new) mosquito infection | 0.0375 cf. [23,25] |
| $k$       | Transfer rate from $I$-class to $R$-class (recovery rate)                    | $\frac{1}{180}$ per day [5,9,25] |
| $h$       | Transfer rate from $R$-class to $S$-class (rate of loss of temporary immunity) | $\frac{1}{365}$ per day [5,9,25] |

5.1 The long-run mean

The initial values for our first simulation are

$$S(0) = 4900, \quad I(0) = 95, \quad R(0) = 5000, \quad V(0) = 238,800, \quad J(0) = 1200.$$  

Figure 1 shows how the long-run mean $I_{mn}$ of the stochastic $I$-values over 4000 sample paths of the stochastic model is lower than the equilibrium value $I^*$ of the underlying deterministic model. For instance, at time $t_1 = 1300$ days the computed values are $I_{det}(t_1) = 75.0$ and $I_{mn}(t_1) = 70.0$.  

![Figure 1](image-url)
5.2 Projections with percentiles

In Fig. 2, we present some graphs obtained in a sample simulation. The initial values are chosen as

\[ S(0) = 4900, \quad I(0) = 95, \quad R(0) = 5000, \quad V(0) = 238,800, \quad J(0) = 1200. \]

The parameter values that we use here are strictly as in Table 1. The intensities of the perturbations are declared with the graph. We present the mean values of \( I(t) \) over \( n = 4000 \) sample paths together with, for every \( t \) value in the discretization, the first and the ninth deciles (respectively, denoted by \( I_{10} \) and \( I_{90} \)) of \( I(t) \) for this collection of sample paths. The deciles form, for each \( t \), an approximation of an 80% confidence interval. This interval estimation of future projection is an advantage of SDE modeling compared to ODE modeling. The graphs show, in particular, the behavior of the deciles in the long term.

5.3 Illustrating the stability theorem

We present numerical simulations to illustrate the results of Theorem 4.3 with parameter values given in Table 1. The initial values are

\[ S(0) = 4953, \quad I(0) = 45, \quad R(0) = 5000, \quad V(0) = 239,040, \quad J(0) = 960. \]

In order to showcase the stability theorem, we need to compare different situations of the model, having different values of the basic reproduction number. It has been found in [29] that the presence of livestock living near humans is correlated with a lower prevalence of malaria in humans. An explanation for this may be that the biting rate of mosquitos per human individual decreases. In the following simulations, we utilize the parameter values of Table 1, except that we replace the value of the parameter \( a \) with a smaller value, \( 5.866 \times 10^{-6} \) (as compared to the value \( 6.417 \times 10^{-6} \) calculated from Table 1), which arises from the assumption that the population now has livestock, kept in such a way as to reduce
Figure 3: The mean of the (stochastic) $I$-paths over 8000 runs, compared with the deterministic $I$-path $[\sigma = 0.0002, \zeta = 0.05]$. The graph illustrates the stability theorem, Theorem 4.3. Although $R_0 = 1.002 > 1$ means that the deterministic model yields the instability of the disease-free equilibrium and stochastic perturbations cause the convergence (almost surely) to disease-free equilibrium.

the biting rate of vectors on humans. This leads to the situation $R_0 = 1.002$. Since $R_0 > 1$, for the underlying deterministic model, the disease-free equilibrium is not stable. However, for the stochastic model, in Fig. 3, we observe that the mean of $I(t)$ seems to converge. This agrees with Theorem 4.3. Let us agree that $R_0$ is relatively close to 1. Then we expect for the deterministic case that the limiting value $S^*$ of $S(t)$ will be very close to $A/\mu$, and likewise, $V^*$ should be relatively close to $B/\theta$. Let us make quite a conservative guess that for the stochastic case, $\lim inf (\mu S/A) \times (\theta V/B)$ will be above the value $(0.95) \times (0.95)$. This choice yields $R(0.8145) = 0.998 < 1$, for $\sigma = \zeta = 0.02$. Indeed, then we do expect the convergence that we observe.

6 Conclusion

We have presented an SDE model for the population dynamics of a mosquito-borne disease, which has solutions that are almost surely positive and global. We have introduced an invariant $R$ which is not higher than $R_0$, with $R_0 < 1$ being a condition that guarantees the global stability of the disease-free equilibrium in the underlying deterministic model. With almost sure exponential stability holding for $R < 1$ (together with other requirements), it follows that the stochastic perturbation enhances the stability of the disease-free equilibrium. Simulations suggest (as expected) that, in general, the expectation of $I(t)$-values in the stochastic model is lower than its counterpart in the underlying deterministic model. Furthermore, we can make point estimates of forward projections of the compartment sizes, and also we can give estimates of confidence intervals (i.e., percentiles for a large number of simulations). We have consulted a variety of literature to find relevant numerical parameter values.

We mentioned in Remark 4.4 that for parameter values that yield $R_0$ slightly greater than 1, extinction of the disease is enhanced by the stochastic perturbations. Understanding of the asymptotic probability distributions of $S$ and $V$ will improve the applicability of Theorems 4.3 and 4.5. This is a problem that can be pursued in the future. As a next
step, it will be interesting to study a similar model, but with perturbation on the force of infection, that is, with $SI$ and $VJ$ replaced by $SJ$ and $VI$, respectively. Also, future work toward improving the model could address, in particular, the inclusion of classes of latent infection or time delays to account for incubation of the pathogen both in the host and in the vector.

Acknowledgements
The authors are grateful for the reviewers’ constructive comments.

Funding
The author Ibrahim H.I. Ahmed is funded through the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Innovation and the South African National Research Foundation UID:64751.

Availability of data and materials
In this research, no new data were generated, and no existing data were used.

Competing interests
The authors have no any competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
The idea of the paper and the outline is due to PW, and so are the stability theorems and proofs. PW also led the parameter determination assisted by GJA. GvS assisted with working and checking the details of the theoretical part. GJA, IHIA, and PW performed the numerical work and technical preparation. All the authors read and approved the final version of the paper.

Author details
1Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of the Western Cape, Private Bag X17, Bellville, 7535, South Africa. 2SA MRC Bioinformatics Unit, South African National Bioinformatics Institute, University of the Western Cape, Private Bag X17, Bellville, 7535, South Africa.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 26 December 2019 Accepted: 29 June 2020 Published online: 10 July 2020

References
1. Abiodun, G.J., Witbooi, P., Okosun, K.O.: Modeling and analyzing the impact of temperature and rainfall on mosquito population dynamics over KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Int. J. Biomath. 10(4), 1750055 (2017)
2. Abiodun, G.J., Witbooi, P., Okosun, K.O.: Modelling the impact of climatic variables on malaria transmission. Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 47(2), 219–235 (2018). https://doi.org/10.15672/HJMS.2017.452
3. Afrane, Y.A., Zhou, G., Lawson, B.W., Githeko, A.K., Yan, G.: Life-table analysis of anopheles arabienisis in western Kenya highlands: effects of land covers on larval and adult survivorship. Ann. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 77(4), 660–666 (2007)
4. Agusto, F.B., Gumel, A.B., Parham, PE: Qualitative assessment of the role of temperature variations on malaria transmission dynamics. J. Biol. Syst. 23(4), 1550030 (2015)
5. Agusto, F.B., Marcus, N., Okosun, K.O.: Application of optimal control to the epidemiology of malaria. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2012, 81, 1–22 (2012)
6. Baesa, A., Bouma, M.J., Dobson, A.P., Dhiman, R., Srivastava, H.C., Pascual, M.: Climate forcing and desert malaria: the effect of irrigation. Malar. J. 10, 190 (2011) http://www.malariajournal.com/content/10/1/190
7. Chitnis, N., Hyman, J.M., Cushing, J.M.: Determining important parameters in the spread of malaria through the sensitivity analysis of a mathematical model. Bull. Math. Biol. 70(5), 1272–1296 (2008)
8. Egieyeh, S., Syce, J., Malán, S.F., Christoffels, A.: Predictive classifier models built from natural products with antimalarial bioactivity using machine learning approach. PLoS ONE 13(9), e0204644 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204644
9. Filipe, J.A.N., Riley, E.M., Drakeley, C.J., Sutherland, C.J., Ghani, A.C.: Determination of the processes driving the acquisition of immunity to malaria using a mathematical transmission model. PLoS Comput. Biol. 3(12), e255 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030255
10. Gray, A., Greenhalgh, D., Hu, L., Mao, X., Pan, J.: A stochastic differential equation SIS epidemic models. J. Appl. Math. 71(3), 876–902 (2011)
11. Higham, D.J.: Stochastic ordinary differential equations in applied and computational mathematics. IMA J. Appl. Math. 76(3), 449–474 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1093/imamat/hxw016
12. https://www.pmi.gov/docs/default-source/default-document-library/malaria-operational-plans/fy-2018/ fy-2018-mozambique-malaria-operational-plan.pdf?sfvrsn=5 (2018). (Accessed December 2018)
13. Huang, C., Gao, J., Wen, F., Yang, X.: Stability analysis of SIR model with distributed delay on complex networks. PLoS ONE 11(8), e0158813 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158813
14. Ikeda, T., Behera, S.K., Moroiaka, Y., Minakawa, N., Hashizume, M., Tsuzuki, A., Maharaj, R., Kruger, P.: Seasonally lagged effects of climatic factors on malaria incidence in South Africa. Sci. Rep. 7(1), 2458 (2017)
15. Jovanović, M., Krstić, M.: Stochastically perturbed vector-borne disease models with direct transmission. Appl. Math. Model. 36(11), 5214–5228 (2012)
16. Lahrouz, A., Omari, L., Kuach, D.: Global analysis of a deterministic and stochastic nonlinear SIRS epidemic model. Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control 16(1), 59–76 (2011)
17. Liu, Q., Jiang, D., Hayat, T., Alsaeedi, A.: Stationary distribution and extinction of a stochastic Dengue epidemic model. J. Franklin Inst. 355(17), 8891–8914 (2018)
18. Liu, Q., Jiang, D., Hayat, T., Alsaeedi, A.: Stationary distribution of a stochastic delayed SVEIR epidemic model with vaccination and saturation incidence. Physica A 512, 849–863 (2018)
19. Maharaj, R.: Life table characteristics of Anopheles arabiensis (Diptera: Culicidae) under simulated seasonal conditions. J. Med. Entomol. 40(6), 737–742 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-40.6.737
20. Malu-Vyambwera, S., Witbooi, P.: A stochastic TB model for a crowded environment. J. Appl. Math. 2018, 3420528, 8 pages (2018). https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3420528
21. Mao, X.: Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications. Horwood, Chichester (1997)
22. Mukhtar, A.Y.A., Munyakazi, J.B., Ouifki, R., Clark, A.E.: Modelling the effect of bednet coverage on malaria transmission in South Sudan. PLoS ONE 13(6), e0196280 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196280
23. Mwamtobe, P.M., Abelman, S., Tchuenche, J.M., Kasambara, A.: Optimal control of intervention strategies for malaria epidemic in Karonga District, Malawi. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, ID 594256 (2014) 20 pp
24. Nusuam, M.U., Witbooi, P.J.: A stochastic model for HIV epidemic with treatment and inflow of infectives. Int. J. Appl. Math. 31(5), 545–568 (2018). https://doi.org/10.12733/ijam.v31i5.2
25. Ottieno, G., Koske, J.K., Mutiso, J.M.: Transmission dynamics and optimal control of malaria in Kenya. Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2016, 8013574, 27 pages (2016). https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/8013574
26. Pan, L., Cao, J.: Exponential stability of impulsive stochastic functional differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 832(22), 672–685 (2011)
27. SADC Southern African Development Community sadc malaria report 2017 National Department of Health http://www.health.gov.za/index.php/component/phocadownload/category/422-malaria-2017?download=2529:sadc-malaria-report-2017 (accessed 28 November 2018)
28. Tompkins, A.M., Caporaso, L., Biondi, R., Bell, J.P.: A generalized deforestation and land-use change scenario generator for use in climate modelling studies. PLoS ONE 10(9), e0136154 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136154
29. Tremblay, M., Dahm, J.S., Wamae, C.N., Garande, W.A., Fevre, E.M., Döpfer, D.: Shrinking a large dataset to identify variables associated with increased risk of Plasmodium falciparum infection in western Kenya. Epidemiol. Infect. 143(16), 3538–3549 (2015)
30. Tuwime, J., Mugisha, J.T., Luboobi, L.S.: A mathematical model for the dynamics of malaria in a human host and mosquito vector with temporary immunity. Appl. Math. Comput. 189, 1953–1965 (2007)
31. Wang, L., Teng, Z., Ji, C., Feng, X., Wang, K.: Dynamical behaviors of a stochastic malaria model: a case study for Yunnan, China. Physica A 521, 435–454 (2019)
32. Wang, Y., Cao, J.: Global dynamics of multi-group SEI animal disease models with indirect transmission. Chaos Solitons Fractals 69, 81–89 (2014)
33. Wang, Y., Jin, Z., Yang, Z., Zhang, Z.-K., Zhou, T., Sun, G.-Q.: Global analysis of an SIS model with an infective vector on complex networks. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. 13(2), 543–557 (2012)
34. Witbooi, P.J.: Stability of an SIAR epidemic model with independent stochastic perturbations. Physica A 392(20), 4928–4936 (2013)
35. Witbooi, P.J.: An SEIRS epidemic model with stochastic transmission. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2017(1), 109 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-017-1166-6
36. Witbooi, P.J.: Stability of a stochastic model of an SIR epidemic with vaccination. Acta Biotheor. 65(2), 151–165 (2017)
37. Zhao, D., Zhang, T., Yuan, S.: The threshold of a stochastic SIVS epidemic model with nonlinear saturated incidence. Physica A 443, 372–379 (2016)