FABRICATION OF SMALL SCALE BIOGAS GENERATOR UTILIZING DOMESTIC WASTES FOR HOME APPLICATIONS
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ABSTRACT

In the search for alternate energy source much attention is focused on biogas generation. Biogas is an efficient energy source from waste material. There is an exponential increase in generation of solid waste which directly affects the Indian economy. In order to minimize the solid wastes especially kitchen waste various methods are being used but using it for the production of biogas is one of the best approach. The method is cost effective, eco-friendly and also produces high quality renewable fuel. It is also used to reduce CO2 and CH4 emission into the atmosphere. The aim of the project is to design a home-use biogas generator using locally available organic wastes. Optimizing the production of biogas by using various quantity of kitchen waste and banana peel will be home friendly to use. So attempt has been made to design a novel anaerobic digester in which kitchen waste and banana peel were used as raw material. The pH values of the slurry, total solid and volatile solid and best retention period for optimum biogas production were analyzed periodically. At the retention period of 16-18 days the banana peel biogas generator produced 2350 ml biogas /day. The Biogas produced was purified and stored using gas holding setup. It was found that the rate of Biogas production was much faster by using banana peels on comparing with kitchen waste
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INTRODUCTION

The other major issue in recent years is the depletion of the fossil fuels which are the primary source of energy. Thus a new source of energy is required which is should be simple and efficient. Renewable energy sources can be used for solving energy crisis. In this domain biogas is one of the low cost renewable energy sources which can be produced easily and also eco-friendly. Biogas is the only answer for the above mentioned issues in which it reduces the emission of methane into the atmosphere which causes global warming and also it can be used as an alternate energy source to overcome the depletion of non-renewable fossil fuel resources [Tock et al., 2010]. Further today the disposal of organic wastes from domestic activities and agriculture related activities has become a major issue. There is an exponential increase in generation of solid organic wastes which directly affects the Indian economy. The kitchen wastes and banana peel wastes from home, hostels, consumer units and hospitality sectors are enormous and are thrown away in open places. Such wastes have high energy value but are not used efficiently. These wastes are normally dumped in landfills and unregulated dumping grounds forming huge masses of putrefying wastes that attract rodents, insects, scavengers, spread diseases, contaminate water sources and generate foul odours [Ziana et al., 2015]. In order to minimize the solid wastes especially kitchen wastes and banana peel waste various methods are being used to get manure but using it for the production of biogas is one of the best approaches. Kitchen waste has high biodegradability, calorific value and good medium for microbial growth and can be well used to generate biogas [Iqbal et al., 2014]. Deshpande et al., [2019] designed a biogas digester for kitchen wastes. The bio-gas technology is considered as one of the best technologies for treating organic wastes [Pandyaswargo et al., 2019]. Fruit wastes are a good organic commodity for sustainable energy production anaerobically [Valenti et al., 2017].

Bananas are the major fruit crop with an approximate annual global production of $1.2 \times 10^8$ t. and several tons of peels, fibers, and leaves are generated during the production and processing of bananas. So a sustainable banana peels generation can be obtained to continue the biogas production [FAO 2018]. Achinas et al., [2018] examined the effect of organic loading and cow manure addition on anaerobic digester performance using banana peel waste. After a 35-day of digestion at 37°C in 2L-reactors, banana peel was reported to yield 0.367 m³/kg volatile solids (VS) with methane [Tahir et al., 2016]. Dhivyabharathi et al., [2018] designed a solid state digester for biogas production using banana peels wastes and the digester was optimized with the daily gas production of 0.7 m³ with methane content in the range of 56 to 65%. As several tones of banana wastes go wastes every day it can be converted in to a good substrate for biogas production. The efficacy of the banana as a substrate for biogas production is compared with kitchen wastes as
substrates. Further a low cost biogas reactor was fabricated for domestic application.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biogas digester details
A novel design for biogas reactor was fabricated [Fig.1]. Digesters were made using 20 liters thick plastic water can. The inlet and outlet pipes were introduced into the reactor and was sealed airtight using M-seal. Valves were fitted at the required places to control the flow of the fluids. Gas valves were used to control the speed. The process is a batch process. Each batch was retained for 3 days in the digester. The temperature of the digester is an important parameter to influence the digestion rate and it was maintained at 37±2 °C. The digester is modified 20 liter thick water can. It was modified with inlets and outlets with valve control [Fig.2]. Substrate digestion takes place inside the digester and biogas is produced due to the action of microbes. Anaerobic microbial digestion is a breakdown of biodegradable material in the substrate in the absence of oxygen. The digestion process begins with the hydrolysis of the input materials to break down insoluble organic polymers, such as carbohydrates, and make them available for other bacteria. Acidogenic bacteria then convert the sugars and amino acids into carbon dioxide, hydrogen, ammonia, and organic acids. Acetogenic bacteria then convert these resulting organic acids into acetic acid, along with additional ammonia, hydrogen, and Carbon dioxide. Finally, methanogens convert these products to methane and carbon dioxide. The time taken by the substrate inside the digester before it comes out after the action of microorganisms in the bioreactor is called retention time. Retention time is one the key factors that controls the extent to which volatile solids in the substrate are converted to biogas. Hydrolysis of lignocellulose components in KW and BP resulting in reducing sugars such as glucose, hexoses and pentose which are metabolized by the anaerobic microbes in the digester. An estimation of reducing sugar will help to understand microbial action in the biogas production.

Source of kitchen wastes
Kitchen waste used for study was collected from Prathyusha Engineering College mess. It contained a mixture of vegetables wastes like beans, carrots, and potatoes. Using mixer grinder the wastes along with water was crushed and made into slurry.

Source of banana wastes:
For the study banana peels were collected from Prathyusha Engineering College mess. The peels were chopped into several pieces and were dried at to remove the moisture content. Then the dried pieces were taken and powdered using pulveriser [Fig.3].

Cow dung collection:
Cow dung was collected from the college farm house. It was used as a seedling for both the kitchen and banana peels. Cow dung was used to induce microbial action.

Utilization of kitchen waste (KW) as substrate in R1 Reactor
To enhance the generation of biogas in the anaerobic digester pre-
digestive processing was done using cow dung slurry. The pre digester was filled with the substrate where the hydrolysis takes place. Pre digestion helps to reduce the retention time in the digester. The cow dung slurry was prepared by mixing water in the ratio 1:1. The kitchen wastes were ground to a paste form in a pulveriser and loaded in the digester. The substrate was mixed with water in the ratio of 1:2 to make slurry. The cow dung slurry was prepared by mixing water in the ratio 1:1 and 1000 ml was added to digester. After that, every day pH, TS%, VS% values were noted. When the predigested KW begins to release gas pH value starts decreasing indicating acidosis. When there was a constant decrease in the pH value the control valve was opened thus the hydrolyzed substrate enters the digester [R1]. Various concentrations of kitchen wastes were allowed into the digester and the amount of biogas produced was checked. In order to find the amount of reducing sugar available for the bacteria to react DNS method was done.

**Utilization of Banana peels as a substrate for biogas production-[R 2 Reactor]**

The R2 digester was filled with powered banana peels [BP]. Banana peel powder was mixed with water in the ratio 1:15. The cow dung slurry was prepared by mixing water in the ratio 1:1 and 1000 ml was added to digester. After that, every day pH, TS%, VS% values were noted. Hydrolysis takes place at a faster rate in the pre-digester because of the presence of certain sugars. When the predigested BP begins to release gas pH value starts decreasing indicating acidosis. When there was a constant decrease in the pH value the control valve was opened thus the hydrolyzed substrate enters the digester [R2]. The pre digestion reduces the retention time. When the pH stabilized various concentrations of banana peel was allowed into the digester. Thus it was available ready made for the methanogens to consume and produce methane. In order to find the amount of reducing sugar available for the bacteria to react DNS method was done.

**ANALYSIS OF BIOGAS PRODUCED**

The amount of biogas produced was quantified daily using Height difference method. In this method the minimum and maximum points were marked in the gas holder. The gas valve was opened and closed in order to mark the initial and the final height. The difference in the height gives the volume of biogas produced.

**Burn method:** Burn method was used to assess qualitative properties of the released biogas. In this method, Bunsen burner was used to burn the produced biogas. Daily burning after the addition of substrates was also recorded to know the rate of biogas production [Fig.4].

**ANALYTICAL METHODS & CALCULATIONS**

**TOTAL SOLIDS (TS %)** – To estimate total solid content the amount of solid present in the sample after the water contents gets evaporated was measured. Approximately 10 g of the sample, was taken and poured in foil plate and dried at 105°C in a furnace and the weight of the left substrate was recorded.
**TS % = (Final weight/Initial weight) × 100**

**VOLATILE SOLIDS (VS %) –** Dried residue after Total Solid analysis was weighed and heated in crucible for 2hrs at 500°C in furnace. After cooling the residue in the crucible was weighed.

\[
VS \% = \left[100 - \left(\frac{V3-V1}{V2-V1}\right)\right] \times 100
\]

V1= Weight of crucible
V2= Weight of dry residue & crucible
V3= Weight of ash & crucible (after cooling)

**GLUCOSE ASSAY BY DINITROSALICYLIC COLORIMETRIC METHOD [DNS Method]**

Seven clean, dry test tubes were taken and standard sugar solution in the range of 0 to 3 mL were pipetted out in different test tubes and made up the volume of all test tubes to 3 mL with distilled water. One mL of dinitrosalicylic acid [DNS] reagent was added to all the test tubes and mix plug the test tube with cotton or marble and kept the test tube in a boiling water bath for 5 minute and after cooling optical density value of each test tubes were measured at 540 mm against the blank using spectrophotometer. Reducing sugar present in KW and BP slurry in the biogas digester was measured by comparing its OD value with that of standard solution.[Fig.5 ].

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Values of pH, TS%, VS% and volume of biogas produced was recorded for30 days. It was found that as the retention time increased, the values of pH, TS%, and VS% decreased. This is due to the production of acids during the acidogenesis stage. Further the acidogenesis reduce the methanogenic bacterial activity. The biogas production decreased when pH moves towards acidic state. A good biogas production is a reflection of methanogenic bacterial action and it was seen in both reactors R1 and R2 during 16 -21 days of retention time. Although the biogas production increased with the substrate retention time, beyond 21 days the production of biogas was reduced due to the development of acidic pH and the subsequent inability of methanogenic bacteria to remain in active state. From the observation it is evident that the activity of methanogenic bacteria is optimum when pH is near to normal [pH 6.8-7.3] in both the reactors[Table 1-3]. The volume of biogas produced increased at a constant rate. From the results it is seen that pH influences the anaerobic digestion and biogas release. In the reactor [R1] which contains banana peel wastes, the pH decreased fast because the reaction was fast. It is noted that the hydrolysis and acidogenesis reaction in banana peel reactor are fast because the anaerobic microbes utilized the banana peel waste more speedily than kitchen wastes in the reactor R2. The degradation of raw material in the digester decides the biogas production. The degradation is faster if the loaded raw material has low fiber content. [Cirne et al., 2007]. The faster degradation rates of the substrates depend on non-structural carbohydrates content.[Chakrabarti et al., 1999] In the banana peel, as fibre content is less it gets degraded fastly [Gumisiriza et al ., 2018 ]. Hence it can be well used in biogas production. If the pH value drops to acidic levels, the medium will be toxic to the
methanogenic bacteria. Chandra et al., [2012] stated that methanogenic bacteria are sensitive to both high and low pH and grow better in pH range of 6.5 and 8. As the methanogenic bacteria are sensitive to acidic environment the optimum pH for biogas production is recommended in the range of 6.8-7.2 [Cammarota et al., 2001]. The pH influences the activity of microorganisms and enzymatic activity as they are both active within certain narrow pH ranges [Ge et al., 2020]. However, due to the formation of different intermediates, pH varies within each phase of anaerobic digestion. At the same time, the different microbial groups involved in each phase require different pH conditions for optimum growth. This stratification of pH along phases of anaerobic digestion affects the growth of certain microorganisms differently. In general, hydrolytic and acidogenic bacteria prefer slightly acidic conditions near pH 6 [Alayil et al., 2016]. Optimal pH for acidogens has been reported in the ranges of pH 5.5–6.5 [Khalid et al., 2011] and 5.8–6.2 [Tominac et al., 2020]. In contrast, acidic conditions are toxic to methanogenic bacteria, which prefer neutral conditions in the range of pH 6.5–8.2 [Ge et al., 2020]. The growth rate of methanogens falls sharply below pH 6.5 [Amir et al., 2019]. The pH-related inhibition of microorganisms in anaerobic digestion process is caused by reactor imbalances between compounds such as ammonia and volatile fatty acids. As a result, acid accumulation is one of the biggest potentials for anaerobic digester failure. Thus to ensure stable operation in batch bioreactors (one-stage anaerobic digestion process), pH should be maintained between 6.7 and 7.4

In the present study the amount of total solid, volatile solid and biogas production per day in the reactors were traced from day 1 to 30 days of retention of substrates in the reactors [Table 7]. In the initial retention time 1-3 days the TS, VS, biogas production in the banana peel reactor were 7.4%, 86.8% and 400 ml/day biogas output. In the kitchen waste reactor TS, VS and biogas production during 1-3 days of raw material retention were 8.83%, 92.7% and zero ml of biogas output. During 16-18 days of substrate retention time in banana peel bioreactor the TS, VS and biogas production were 4.8%, 71.4% and 2350 ml/day respectively. But in kitchen waste reactor TS, VS and biogas output were 6.7%, 84.1% and 1900 ml/day. During 16-18 days of retention the TS in banana peel reactor was 1.9% lower than kitchen waste reactor. The VS percentage in banana peel reactor was 71.4% but it was 84.1% in kitchen waste reactor. The lower the VS and TS the greater the biogas output up to an optimum level. In the BP reactor biogas production was 450 ml/day higher than KW reactor during 28-30 days of retention time. The study shows that BP is a good raw material than kitchen wastes for biogas production. Divyabharathi et al., [2018] also reported that the lower
The percentage of volatile solid in banana peel promote its efficiency in biogas yield. Pretreated banana peels with cow manure co-digestion enhances biogas production and incremented energy output [Achinas et al., 2019].

**FIGURES AND TABLES**

Fig. 1. Digester design
Fig. 2. BIOGAS PRODUCTION UNIT

Fig. 3. Banana peel preparation
Fig. 4: Testing biogas production

Fig. 5. Standard Graph for Reducing Sugar
TABLE 3. COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF BIOGAS REACTOR USING KITCHEN WASTES AND BANANA PEEL WASTE

| Retention time(days) | TS% of BP | TS% of KW | VS% of BP | VS% of KW | Gas Produced using BP (ml/day) | Gas Produced using KW (ml/day) |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1-3                  | 7.4       | 8.83      | 86.8      | 92.7      | 400                           | 0                            |
| 4-6                  | 7.2       | 8.21      | 78.7      | 90.2      | 250                           | 0                            |
| 7-9                  | 6.8       | 7.98      | 77.7      | 88.3      | 500                           | 200                          |
| 10-12                | 5.5       | 7.1       | 74.4      | 88.6      | 750                           | 500                          |
| 13-15                | 5.2       | 6.9       | 74.9      | 85.4      | 1150                          | 1100                         |
| 16-18                | 4.8       | 6.7       | 71.4      | 84.1      | 2350                          | 1500                         |
| 19-21                | 4.6       | 6.5       | 72.9      | 84.6      | 2200                          | 1750                         |
| 22-24                | 4.5       | 6.3       | 73.8      | 83.1      | 1950                          | 1400                         |
| 25-27                | 4.4       | 6.1       | 73.4      | 82.9      | 1800                          | 1350                         |
| 28-30                | 4.3       | 5.7       | 72.7      | 82.2      | 1750                          | 1200                         |
Table 1: DNS Standard value

| Concentration of working standard (microgram/ml) | Absorbance value OD at 540nm |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Blank                                          | 0                           |
| 200                                            | 0.02                        |
| 400                                            | 0.10                        |
| 600                                            | 0.21                        |
| 800                                            | 0.28                        |
| 1000                                           | 0.31                        |
| Dry Banana Peel (BP)                           | 0.68                        |
| Kitchen Waste (KW)                             | 0.46                        |

Table 2. pH of different substrate - Kitchen waste [KW] and Banana peel [BP] co-digested with cow dung

| Retention time (days) | pH of KW co-digested with CD | pH of BP co-digested with CD |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1-3                   | 8.6                          | 8.2                          |
| 4-6                   | 8.5                          | 8.1                          |
| 7-9                   | 8.1                          | 7.9                          |
| 10-12                 | 7.8                          | 7.5                          |
| 13-15                 | 7.6                          | 7.3                          |
| 16-18                 | 7.3                          | 7.2                          |
| 19-21                 | 7.1                          | 7.1                          |
| 22-24                 | 6.8                          | 6.9                          |
| 25-27                 | 5.6                          | 5.4                          |
| 28-30                 | 5.2                          | 4.8                          |
In the present study the amount of total solid, volatile solid and biogas production per day in the self-designed reactors in which predigested kitchen wastes and banana peel co-mixed with cattle dung were used as substrates. During 16-18 days of substrate retention time the banana peel bioreactor produced 2350 ml of biogas/day. But in kitchen waste reactor it was 1900 ml/day. The biogas production was found influenced by pH and nature of the substrate used. The presently designed biogas reactor using domestic waste can be patented to produce in a mass scale to beneficially use for home applications.
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