Optimal bladder filling during high-dose-rate intracavitary brachytherapy for cervical cancer: a dosimetric study
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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study is to compare 3D dose volume histogram (DVH) parameters of bladder and other organs at risk with different bladder filling protocol during high-dose-rate intracavitary brachytherapy (HDR-ICBT) in cervical cancer, and to find optimized bladder volume.

Material and methods: This dosimetric study was completed with 21 patients who underwent HDR-ICBT with computed tomography/magnetic resonance compatible applicator as a routine treatment. Computed tomography planning was done for each patient with bladder emptied (series 1), after 50 ml (series 2), and 100 ml (series 3) bladder filling with a saline infusion through the bladder catheter. Contouring was done on the Eclipse Planning System. 7 Gy to point A was prescribed with the standard loading patterns. Various 3D DVH parameters including 0.1 cc, 1 cc, 2 cc doses and mean doses to the OAR’s were noted. Paired t-test was performed.

Results: The mean (± SD) bladder volume was 64.5 (± 25) cc, 116.2 (± 28) cc, and 172.9 (± 29) cc, for series 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The 0.1 cm³, 1 cm³, 2 cm³ mean bladder doses for series 1, series 2, and series 3 were 9.28 ± 2.27 Gy, 7.38 ± 1.72 Gy, 6.58 ± 1.58 Gy; 9.39 ± 2.28 Gy, 7.85 ± 1.85 Gy, 7.05 ± 1.59 Gy, and 10.09 ± 2.46 Gy, 8.33 ± 1.75 Gy, 7.6 ± 1.55 Gy, respectively. However, there was a trend towards higher bladder doses in series 3. Similarly, for small bowel dose 0.1 cm³, 1 cm³, and 2 cm³ in series 1, 2, and 3 were 5.44 ± 2.2 Gy, 4.41 ± 1.84 Gy, 4 ± 1.69 Gy; 4.57 ± 2.89 Gy, 3.78 ± 2.21 Gy, 3.35 ± 2.02 Gy, and 4.09 ± 2.38 Gy, 3.26 ± 1.8 Gy, 3.05 ± 1.58 Gy. Significant increase in small bowel dose in empty bladder (series 1) compared to full bladder (series 3) (p = 0.03) was noted. However, the rectal and sigmoid doses were not significantly affected with either series.

Conclusions: Bladder filling protocol with 50 ml and 100 ml was well tolerated and achieved a reasonably reproducible bladder volume during cervical brachytherapy. In our analysis so far, there is no significant impact of bladder filling on DVH parameters, although larger bladders tend to have higher doses. Small bowel doses are lesser with higher bladder volumes. Further evaluation and validation are necessary.
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Optimal bladder filling during high-dose-rate intracavitary brachytherapy (HDR-ICBT) in cervical cancer we undertook this dosimetric study.

**Material and methods**

Twenty-one patients with histologically proven cervical cancer clinical FIGO (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) stage IIB-IIIB were included in this study after obtaining a written informed consent. These patients underwent HDR-ICBT with CT compatible brachytherapy (EBRT) with conventional open field portals to a dose of 50 Gy in 25 fractions along with weekly concurrent cisplatin (40 mg/m²) chemotherapy. This was followed by 2-3 fractions (7 Gy each once weekly) of HDR-ICBT. All these patients underwent HDR-ICBT with CT compatible tandem-ring applicators. Following the first ICBT application, three series of CT scans were acquired for each individual patient for planning. The CT scans were taken from the lumbar sacral junction to the ischial tuberosity with slice thickness of 3 mm for all the three series. The first series (series 1), empty bladder, was taken after completely emptying the bladder with a mild negative suction under aseptic conditions using aseptic syringe. Two additional CT scan series were taken after infusion of saline by 50 ml (series 2) and 50 ml to make it 100 ml (series 3) through the indwelling bladder catheter. These series of empty (series 1), 50 ml (series 2), and 100 ml (series 3) bladder capacity CT scans were transferred to the planning system. Bladder, rectum, sigmoid colon, and small bowel were contoured on all the three series of CT scans for each individual patient. The bladder was contoured from bladder neck to superiorly as visible. The rectum was contoured from the recto-sigmoid junction to the bottom of the ischial tuberosity. The sigmoid colon was contoured from the recto-sigmoid junction to the level of the fundus of the uterus. The small bowel was defined as the peritoneal cavity containing bowel, excluding the sigmoid colon, rectum, and bladder in the pelvis. Treatment planning was performed on all the three series for each patient on the Eclipse Planning System (version 8.1; Varian Medical Systems, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA). Dwell positions were determined for each series according to the ring size and tandem length. Treatment planning was done with 7 Gy to point A prescription with the standard loading patterns for the tandem-ring configuration in all the three CT scan series for each patient. Since this is a dosimetric study, no optimization was allowed after normalization of doses to point A. ICRU bladder point doses and DVH for bladder, rectum, and small bowel were obtained. The 3D DVH parameters for bladder, rectum, sigmoid, and small bowel were evaluated, including 0.1 cm³, 1 cm³, and 2 cm³ doses as per GYN GEC-ESTRO (Groupe Européen de Curiethérapie European Society for Radiotherapy and Oncology) recommendations. The OAR’s doses were compiled for empty bladder (series 1), 50 ml (series 2), and 100 ml filling (series 3). Correlation between ICRU bladder point and 2 cm³ bladder doses was also studied. The spatial location of bladder 2 cm³ volumes for all the 3 series were evaluated further.

In order to objectively assess the spatial location of bladder 2 cm³ volumes with different bladder filling status, the methodology implemented was to track the center of volume (COV). This was done by contouring the bladder 2 cm³ volumes in all the 3 series CT data sets. The empty bladder CT data set as fixed; 50 ml and 100 ml bladder CT data sets were co-registered to the fixed CT by aligning to the applicators. Following registration, the bladder 2 cm³ volumes from 50 ml and 100 ml CT data sets were copied into the fixed CT data set. The overlap volumes between the different series were noted. Further, an isocentre was assigned at the COV on the Treatment Planning System (TPS) eclipse. This aspect was utilized for obtaining the COV coordinates for each of the three structures namely, bladder 2cc_empty, bladder 2cc_50 ml, and bladder 2cc_100 ml. Three temporary external beam plans were created, where in the structure of interest was made the COV (target volume). The COV X (lateral), Y (longitudinal), and Z (vertical) co-ordinates were recorded.

In order to find the relative displacement of the 2 cc volume, the COV of the bladder 2cc_empty was set at origin. The COV co-ordinates for the bladder 2cc_50 ml and bladder 2cc_100 ml volumes were plotted with respect to the bladder 2cc_empty COV at origin for each patient. The displacement in the anterior-posterior, superior-inferior, and lateral directions were plotted per patient for the bladder 2cc_50 ml and 100 ml COV with respect to the bladder 2cc_empty COV at origin. A 3D graph was generated with all the COV points mapped (Figure 1).

![Fig. 1. 3D graph of the center of volume/center of mass (COV/COM) of the bladder dose volumes based on bladder filling, with respect to empty bladder location](image-url)
Statistical analysis

The bladder volume in the three different CT series was noted. The volumes of the different structure sets and the doses received in each series were entered. Descriptive statistics was used for computing mean, median, range, and standard deviations. Paired t-test was performed using SPSS 21 software.

Results

Twenty-one patients underwent CT planning during their first HDR-ICBT planning with empty bladder, 50 ml, and 100 ml bladder filling. Data sets and various dose parameters were available for final analysis.

Impact of bladder filling on bladder doses

There was no significant difference in mean (± SD) ICRU bladder point dose 3.8 ± 1.95 Gy, 3.64 ± 1.86 Gy, and 3.81 ± 1.7 Gy. The mean (± SD) bladder volume was 64.5 (± 25) cc, 116.2 (± 28) cc, and 173 (± 29) cc, for series 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The 0.1 cm3 bladder doses for series 1, 2, and 3 were 5.44 ± 2.2 Gy, 4.57 ± 2.89 Gy, and 3.78 ± 2.21 Gy, and 3.26 ± 1.8 Gy, respectively. The 2 cm3 bowel doses for series 1, 2, and 3 were 4.41 ± 1.84 Gy, 3.35 ± 2.02 Gy, and 3.05 ± 1.58 Gy, respectively. There was a significant increase in the small bowel doses in series 3 (empty) as compared to series 3 (p = 0.03), while there was a trend towards higher doses in series 2 as compared to series 3 (p = 0.055) and no significant difference between series 1 and 2 over small bowel 0.1 cm3, 1 cm3, and 2 cm3 doses. The 0.1 cm3, 1 cm3, and 2 cm3 sigmoid doses for series 1 were 8.06 ± 2.04 Gy, 6.56 ± 1.52 Gy, 5.88 ± 1.28 Gy, respectively; for series 2, the values were 7.99 ± 2.02 Gy, 6.6 ± 1.48 Gy, 6 ± 1.27 Gy, respectively; for series 3, the values were 8 ± 2.29 Gy, 6.52 ± 1.62 Gy, 5.92 ± 1.42 Gy, respectively. For rectum, the 0.1 cm3, 1 cm3, and 2 cm3 dose in series 1 were 7.04 ± 3.22 Gy, 5.65 ± 2.69 Gy, 5.06 ± 2.43 Gy, respectively; for series 2, those values were 6.52 ± 2.96 Gy, 5.33 ± 2.46 Gy, 4.79 ± 2.2 Gy, respectively; and for series 3, the values were 6.53 ± 2.7 Gy, 5.34 ± 2.3 Gy, 4.82 ± 2.07 Gy, respectively. The rectal and sigmoid doses do not differ significantly among the different series.

Impact of bladder filling on other OAR structures

The mean rectal volume (37 cc, 38 cc, and 42 cc), sigmoid volumes (55 cc, 58 cc, and 61 cc), and small bowel volume (111 cc, 84 cc, and 95 cc) among the three series were compared. The 0.1 cm3 mean small bowel dose for series 1, 2, and 3 were 5.44 ± 2.2 Gy, 4.57 ± 2.89 Gy, and 4.09 ± 2.38 Gy, respectively. The 1 cm3 mean (± SD) small bowel doses for series 1, 2, and 3 were 4.41 ± 1.84 Gy, 3.78 ± 2.21 Gy, and 3.26 ± 1.8 Gy, respectively. The 2 cm3 small bowel dose in series 1, 2, and 3 were 4 ± 1.69 Gy, 3.35 ± 2.02 Gy, and 3.05 ± 1.58 Gy, respectively. There was a significant increase in the small bowel doses in series 1 (empty) as compared to series 3 (p = 0.03), while there was a trend towards higher doses in series 2 as compared to series 3 (p = 0.055) and no significant difference between series 1 and 2 over small bowel 0.1 cm3, 1 cm3, and 2 cm3 doses. The 0.1 cm3, 1 cm3, and 2 cm3 sigmoid doses for series 1 were 8.06 ± 2.04 Gy, 6.56 ± 1.52 Gy, 5.88 ± 1.28 Gy, respectively; for series 2, the values were 7.99 ± 2.02 Gy, 6.6 ± 1.48 Gy, 6 ± 1.27 Gy, respectively; for series 3, the values were 8 ± 2.29 Gy, 6.52 ± 1.62 Gy, 5.92 ± 1.42 Gy, respectively. For rectum, the 0.1 cm3, 1 cm3, and 2 cm3 dose in series 1 were 7.04 ± 3.22 Gy, 5.65 ± 2.69 Gy, 5.06 ± 2.43 Gy, respectively; for series 2, those values were 6.52 ± 2.96 Gy, 5.33 ± 2.46 Gy, 4.79 ± 2.2 Gy, respectively; and for series 3, the values were 6.53 ± 2.7 Gy, 5.34 ± 2.3 Gy, 4.82 ± 2.07 Gy, respectively. The rectal and sigmoid doses do not differ significantly among the different series.

Spatial distribution (surface dose rendering) of 2 cc bladder volumes

The mean (± SD) overlap between the three series was 0.1 cc (± 0.1). The overlap between series 1 & 2, series 2 & 3, and series 3 & 1 were 0.3 cc (± 0.2), 0.4 cc (± 0.3), 0.3 cc (± 0.2), respectively. The COV of bladder 2 cc volume for the 50 ml and 100 ml series shifted within 5 mm radius sphere with respect to its position for bladder empty series (Figure 1).

On bladder filling with 50 ml, the average displacement of the COV 2 cc bladder dose volume in the lateral, anterior-posterior, and superior-inferior directions were 2.5 mm (± 4.7), –0.6 mm (± 5.4), and 0.2 mm (± 2.4), respectively. Similarly, on bladder filling with 100 ml, the average displacement in the lateral, antero-posterior, superior – inferior directions were 1 mm (± 2.6), 1.3 mm (± 6.3), and 1.1 mm (± 4.7), respectively. Figure 2 shows the displacement in the lateral, anterior-posterior, and superior-inferior directions that were plotted per patient for the bladder 2cc_50 ml and bladder 2cc_100 ml COV with respect to the bladder 2cc_empty COV at origin. The relative displacement of OARs with different filling protocol are shown in Figure 3.

Discussion

Image based HDR brachytherapy in cervical cancer is evolving with promising results [5]. Various parameters such as bladder filling, rectal filling, movements of sigmoid colon, and variation in vaginal packing have an impact on dosimetry and intra/inter fraction varia-

Table 1. Comparison of ICRU (International Commission of Radiation Units and Measurements) bladder point dose to volume dose

| Bladder filling | ICRU point mean dose Gy (± SD) | D0.1cc mean dose Gy (± SD) | D1cc mean dose Gy (± SD) | D2cc mean dose Gy (± SD) | 1 cc mean dose/ICRU point mean dose | 2 cc mean dose/ICRU point mean dose |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Empty           | 3.80 ± 1.95                   | 9.28 ± 2.27                 | 7.38 ± 1.72               | 6.58 ± 1.58               | 1.94                                | 1.73                               |
| Partially full  | 3.64 ± 1.86                   | 9.39 ± 2.28                 | 7.85 ± 1.85               | 7.05 ± 1.59               | 2.15                                | 1.93                               |
| Full            | 3.81 ± 1.70                   | 10.09 ± 2.46                | 8.33 ± 1.75               | 7.60 ± 1.55               | 2.19                                | 2.00                               |

ICRU – International Commission of Radiation Units and Measurements; D0.1cc, D1cc, D2cc – minimum dose to the most exposed 0.1 cm3, 1 cm3, 2 cm3
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Fig. 3. Dosimetry of organs at risk at different bladder filling protocol

Fig. 2. A) Lateral displacement of the bladder dose volumes based on bladder filling. B) Vertical displacement of the bladder dose volumes based on bladder filling. C) Longitudinal displacement of the bladder dose volumes based on bladder filling.

Series 1: Empty bladder
Series 2: 50 cc bladder
Series 3: 100 cc bladder
positions [6]. In this study, we investigated the dosimetric impact of bladder filling status during HDR intracavitary cervical brachytherapy. Although different practice patterns of full or empty bladder have been reported, the ICRU 38 recommends an empty bladder with indwelling Foley’s catheter while others with limited bladder filling or full bladder during treatment delivery without any consensus [7]. The highlights of our study are presented below.

**Reasonably constant and reproducible bladder volumes during brachytherapy**

Although empty bladder was ensured with continuous negative suction, the empty bladder volume still had some residual urine with a contoured volume of 64 cc (± 25 cc). Further, a reproducible bladder volumes (limited filling and full bladder) was achieved after instillation of 50 ml and 100 ml sterile water through indwelling catheter with mean bladder volumes of 116 (± 28 cc) and 173 cc (± 29 cc), respectively. Our study demonstrates a reasonably constant bladder volumes that could be achieved with the bladder filling protocol utilized.

**Bladder filling and impact on the bladder doses during brachytherapy**

In our study, there was no significant impact on the ICRU bladder point doses with bladder filling protocols. This may be due to the fact that the Foley’s bulb is always ensured to be against the bladder base with mild traction. Studies show that doses to the ICRU bladder point do not correlate well with bladder complications, although the ICRU bladder point is easily reproducible [8,9,10]. This may be explained by our current findings that the 1 cm$^3$ and 2 cm$^3$ bladder doses are invariably higher than the ICRU bladder point dose. In our study, it was approximately 2 times higher as compared to 1.4 times as reported by others. They also suggested to treat with full bladder as the mean doses to the bladder were lower in a distended bladder [11].

In a similar study, an evaluation of the effect of bladder filling has suggested that 5 cc doses were higher in distended bladder. There has been no change in the dose received to the CTV [12]. However, these may not be representative, since outer wall contouring of bladder and dose to 5 cm$^3$ may not be characteristic of bladder doses.

On a contrary, one study showed higher “hot spots” with bladder distension, and the location of the hot spots were found to be cranially located than the ICRU points in distended bladders in comparison to empty bladders [13]. Another group studied bladder filling with 50 cc, 100 cc, 150 cc, and 200 cc with sterile water, compared dosimetry to empty bladder, and tried to understand the position of hot spots. The mean bladder $D_{2cc}$ increased significantly by 3.5 Gy from an empty to a full bladder: 4.2 Gy to 7.7 Gy (84.5%) ($p = 0.001$) [14]. Our findings also show a moderate increase in mean $D_{2cm^3}$ bladder dose from 6.58 ± 1.58 Gy in series 1 to 7.6 ± 1.55 Gy in series 3.

**Pattern of bladder distension and impact on other organs at risk**

We attempted to objectively evaluate the bladder distension using COV for the bladder volume. Sang Gyu et al. reported displacement of center of mass (COM) for both bladder and small bowel. The average position of the COM significantly changed in the longitudinal direction (Z) for the small bowel (median, −0.94 cm; $p = 0.003$) and bladder (median, −2.39 cm; $p < 0.001$). The position of the COM of the bladder changed in the vertical direction (Y) 1.09 cm; $p = 0.002$). However, there was no significant volume difference in the rectum as a result of bladder distention ($p = 0.412$) [15]. The volume of the small bowel was significantly decreased in full bladder, with a median volume of 92.5 cc (bladder filled by 4 infusion of saline; bladder filling: median 367 cc, range, 215-597). In our study, the COV and 2 cm$^3$ volumes displacement for limited (50 ml) and full (100 ml) bladder filling did not differ significantly as compared to empty bladder. The overall displacement was within 5 mm radius. The shift of 2 cm$^3$ volumes in superior direction was similar as reported by Sang Gyu et al. and anterior-lateral directions with larger bladder filling status. This pattern of bladder distension could be explained by the fact that vaginal packing is done after BT application, which limits the bladder filling in midline. Also, the 2 cm$^3$ volumes displacement is minimal with bladder filling suggesting that doses measured/delivered may be cumulative.

Mean small bowel $D_{2cm^3}$ dose reduced from 480 cGy from empty bladder to 382, 351, 307, and 250 cGy successively to a bladder filled with 50, 100, 150, and 200 cc sterile water [14]. Other studies also reported reduction in small bowel doses as a result of bladder filling in [12,13]. Our results also support the same, with an increase in bladder filling, the 2 cm$^3$ bowel doses decrease from 4 ± 1.69 Gy (empty bladder), 3.35 ± 2.02 Gy (moderate filling bladder), and 3.05 ± 1.58 Gy in full bladder. This can be explained by the expansion of bladder in superior direction displacing the small bowel region around the utero-cervical region.

However in our study, there was no significant change in ICRU rectal point doses and DVH parameters for rectum and sigmoid due to bladder filling as reported in other studies [5,8,10,16,17].

In recent past, there have been numerous publications on advanced brachytherapy applications including combined intracavitary-interstitial approach to address the extensive parametrial disease coverage [18]. In a recently published dosimetric study on intensity modulated radiotherapy compensation based (IMRT + ICBT) and 3D intracavitary brachytherapy, authors reported a significant increase in $D_{90}$ $D_{100}$ of HR-CTV (high risk clinical target volume), $D_{90}$, $D_{100}$, and $V_{100}$ of IR-CTV dose with IMRT + ICBT plan ($p < 0.05$) in comparison to OICBT (3D optimized intracavitary brachytherapy) and CICBT (conventional 2D intracavitary brachytherapy) while the $D_{2cc}$ doses to bladder, rectum, and sigmoid were significantly lower than that of CICBT and IMRT alone [19].

In advanced cervical cancer after completion of planned treatment, if complete response is not achieved,
an application of additional sessions of brachytherapy has been conceptualized earlier. In a retrospective study after completion of planned EBRT + brachytherapy, 75 (24%) patients received one additional ICBT and 5 (7%) patients had two additional ICBT, based on post-treatment histopathological proof of residual malignant disease. No significant difference was found in grade 3 toxicity between patients who did and did not receive additional ICBT (p = 0.8); their series got 4% of intestinal obstruction and small bowel perforation each. So, to apply dose escalation, there is a possibility to evaluate the role of bladder filling protocol to minimize OARs dose [20]. The limitations of our study include OARs contouring uncertainties on CT imaging, inter-observer variation in contouring of OARs, limitations of the planning system to calculate small volumes especially the overlap volumes, and limited number of patients. Also this dosimetric study has been carried out on a single intracavitary BT application. The findings need to be confirmed on a larger group of patients with preferably MRI imaging, and comprehensive evaluation of cumulative bladder dose contribution from fractionated external beam and HDR brachytherapy.

Conclusions
Bladder filling protocol with 50 ml and 100 ml was well tolerated and achieved a reasonably reproducible bladder volume during intracavitary brachytherapy for cervical cancer. There is no significant impact of bladder filling on bladder dose volume parameters, although larger bladder filling tend to have higher doses. Small bowel doses are lesser with higher bladder volumes. Bladder filling has no impact on rectum and sigmoid doses. The bladder 2 cc volumes do not move significantly with increasing bladder filling.
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