The optical emission line spectrum of Mark 110*, **
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ABSTRACT

Aims. We analyse in detail the rich emission line spectrum of Mark 110 to determine the physical conditions in the nucleus of this object, a peculiar NLS1 without any detectable Fe II emission associated with the broad line region and with a \( \lambda 5007/\lambda H\beta \) line ratio unusually large for a NLS1.

Methods. We use 24 spectra obtained with the Marcario Low Resolution Spectrograph attached at the prime focus of the 9.2 m Hobby-Eberly telescope at the McDonald observatory. We fitted the spectrum by identifying all the emission lines (about 220) detected in the wavelength range 4200 – 8424 Å (at rest).

Results. The narrow emission lines are probably produced in a region with a density gradient in the range \( 10^3 \) – \( 10^6 \) cm\(^{-3} \) with a rather high column density (\( 5 \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2} \)). In addition to a narrow line system, three major broad line systems with different line velocity and width are required. We confirm the absence of broad Fe II emission lines. We speculate that Mark 110 is in fact a BLS1 with relatively “narrow” broad lines but with a BH mass large enough compared to its luminosity to have a lower than Eddington luminosity.
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1. Introduction

Narrow line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLS1s) are Seyfert 1 galaxies in which the broad emission lines are relatively narrow (<2000 km s\(^{-1} \) FWHM) (Osterbrock & Pogge 1985). These objects generally have strong Fe II emission and relatively weak [O III]\( \lambda 5007 \) emission (Boroson & Green 1992). However Grupe et al. (1999, 2004) have found a few objects with “narrow” broad Balmer lines which have both weak Fe II emission and strong [O III]. Mark 110, Kaz 320 and HS 0328 + 0528 are three such objects. 1RXS J133209.8 – 8424 12 could be two additional examples (Wu et al. 2003).

The aim of this paper is to study the rich optical emission line spectrum of Mark 110, one of these rare objects.

In Sect. 2 we describe the target Mark 110 and present the observations in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we analyse the emission line spectrum and in Sect. 5 we determine the physical conditions in the NLR, discuss the various determinations of the BH mass and the true nature of Mark 110: NLS1 or BLS1. Our conclusions are summarized in Sect. 6.

* Based on observations obtained with the Hobby-Eberly Telescope, which is a joint project of the University of Texas at Austin, the Pennsylvania State University, Stanford University, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, and Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

** Table A.1 is only available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org

2. The target

Mark 110 (0921+52) was discovered by Markaryan (1969) in the course of a slitless spectroscopic survey for UV excess galaxies. It was classified as a Seyfert I (Arakelyan et al. 1970). The star-like object located 6′′ to the north east of the nucleus is a star. The galaxy has a disturbed morphology suggestive of a recent merger (Wehinger & Wyckoff 1977; Adams 1977; Hutchings & Craven 1988; McKenty 1990; Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999). The Galactic extinction is \( A_V = 0.056 \) mag (Schlegel et al. 1998). The redshift, measured from the [O III]\( \lambda 5007 \) line is \( z = 0.0352 \) (Vrtilek & Carleton 1985). The H\( \beta \) FWHM lies in the range 1670 – 2500 km s\(^{-1} \) (Osterbrock 1977; Peterson et al. 1985; Crenshaw 1986; Boroson & Green 1992; Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999; Stepian et al. 2003; Grupe et al. 2004). Bischoff & Kollatschny (1999) and Grupe et al. (2004) classified it as an NLS1 on the basis of its H\( \beta \) FWHM (1670 ± 50 and 1760 ± 50 km s\(^{-1} \) respectively) measured after removal of the narrow component.

The optical continuum of Mark 110 is variable (Peterson et al. 1984, 1998) with possible intranight variability (Webb & Malkan 2000). The broad emission lines show strong variability (Peterson et al. 1985; Peterson 1988). The rms spectrum clearly shows H\( \alpha \), H\( \beta \) and H\( \gamma \), He II \( \lambda 4686 \) and the He I \( \lambda 4471, \lambda 4922 \), \( \lambda 5016, \lambda 5876 \) and \( \lambda 6678 \) lines. The [Fe X] \( \lambda 6375 \) line is also variable (Kollatschny et al. 2001).

The He II \( \lambda 4686 \) line shows the largest variation of nearly a factor of 8 within two years. On the other hand H\( \beta \) and the continuum at 5100 Å vary only by a factor of 1.7 and 3.0...
respectively within the same time interval (Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999; Peterson et al. 1998, 2004).

There is a very broad component (~5000 km s$^{-1}$ FWHM), redshifted by 400 ± 100 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the narrow lines, visible in the Balmer line profiles especially when the continuum is strong. This very broad component is the strongest contributor to the He II variability (Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999). The outer wings of the line profiles respond much faster to continuum variations than the central regions (Kollatschny 2003a).

The Fe II emission is weak (the line ratio relative to H$\beta$ is $R_{5770} = 0.09 - 0.16$) (Osterbrock 1977; Meyers & Peterson 1985; Boroson & Green 1992). The Fe II line flux remains constant while the Balmer line flux varies (Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999).

### 3. The observations

Twenty six spectra of Mark 110 have been obtained between 1999, November 13 and 2000, May 14 with the Marcardo Low Resolution Spectrograph (LRS) attached at the prime focus of the 9.2-m Hobby-Eberly telescope (HET) at McDonald observatory. The log of the observations is given in Table 1. The detector resolution spectrograph (LRS) attached at the prime focus of the 1.5 m HET was used for the observations. The log of the observations is given in Table 1. The detector resolution spectrograph (LRS) attached at the prime focus of the 9.2-m Hobby-Eberly telescope (HET) at McDonald observatory.

The Fe II emission is weak (the line ratio relative to H$\beta$ is $R_{5770} = 0.09 - 0.16$) (Osterbrock 1977; Meyers & Peterson 1985; Boroson & Green 1992). The Fe II line flux remains constant while the Balmer line flux varies (Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999).

#### Table 1. Log of observations. Column 1: Julian date-2400000, Col. 2: UT date, Col. 3: continuum fluxes at 5100 Å measured by Kollatschny et al. (2001), Col. 4: our measurements of the continuum flux (in unit of 10$^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$) after removal of the host galaxy contribution.

| JD    | UT date  | Col. 3 | Col. 4 |
|-------|----------|--------|--------|
| 51085.94| 1999.11.15 | 1.54   | 1.26   |
| 51049.97| 1999.11.15 | 1.56   | 1.25   |
| 51050.91| 1999.11.18 | 1.65   | 1.34   |
| 51051.89| 1999.12.06 | 1.92   | 1.51   |
| 51052.87| 1999.12.08 | 1.92   | 1.53   |
| 51052.88| 1999.12.10 | 1.94   | 1.53   |
| 51052.84| 1999.12.13 | 1.82   | 1.46   |
| 51052.84| 1999.12.16 | 1.86   | 1.49   |
| 51054.80| 2000.01.04 | 2.15   | 1.75   |
| 51054.82| 2000.02.10 | 1.41   | 1.10   |
| 51056.71| 2000.02.12 | 1.39   | 1.08   |
| 51058.86| 2000.02.24 | 1.63   | 1.30   |
| 51060.83| 2000.03.02 | 1.40   | 1.10   |
| 51060.62| 2000.03.05 | 1.35   | 1.07   |
| 51061.62| 2000.03.08 | 1.36   | 1.07   |
| 51064.63| 2000.03.11 | 1.09   | 0.80   |
| 51062.76| 2000.03.26 | 1.08   | 0.80   |
| 51063.77| 2000.04.03 | 1.04   | 0.77   |
| 51064.73| 2000.04.11 | 1.16   | 0.89   |
| 51065.70| 2000.04.24 | 1.38   | 1.12   |
| 51066.68| 2000.04.29 | 1.26   | 0.96   |
| 51067.70| 2000.05.06 | 1.33   | 1.01   |
| 51067.69| 2000.05.09 | 1.11   | 0.85   |
| 51067.64| 2000.05.14 | 1.11   | 0.82   |

The Fe II line flux remains constant while the Balmer line flux varies (Bischoff & Kollatschny 1999).

#### Table 1. Log of observations. Column 1: Julian date-2400000, Col. 2: UT date, Col. 3: continuum fluxes at 5100 Å measured by Kollatschny et al. (2001), Col. 4: our measurements of the continuum flux (in unit of 10$^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$) after removal of the host galaxy contribution.

We have averaged the 24 high quality HET spectra. This mean spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. To identify all individual emission lines and achieve a good fit of this spectrum, in addition to a narrow line system, three major line systems with different line velocity and width are required.

#### 4.1. The narrow line system

Two components were needed to fit the strong narrow lines. The second, fainter, system is redshifted with respect to the first by 220 km s$^{-1}$. The H$\beta$ line ratio of these two systems is 0.20.

The intrinsic [O III]$\lambda$5007 FWHM is equal to 280 ± 3 km s$^{-1}$ (Feldman et al. 1982) or 288 ± 5 km s$^{-1}$ (Wittke & Carleton 1985). The resolution of our spectra is 475 km s$^{-1}$; we should therefore measure a FWHM of 550 km s$^{-1}$. The measured FWHM of the two components of [O III]$\lambda$5007 are equal to 520 and 470 km s$^{-1}$ respectively.

The [O III]$\lambda$5007 flux density has been measured to be equal to (2.26 ±0.14)×10$^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ by Peterson et al. (1998). The spectra we used in this paper have been calibrated by Bischoff & Kollatschny (1999) in such a way that the [O III]$\lambda$5007 flux density is equal to this value. The flux density is of 475 km s$^{-1}$; we should therefore measure a FWHM of 550 km s$^{-1}$. The measured FWHM of the two components of [O III]$\lambda$5007 are equal to 520 and 470 km s$^{-1}$ respectively.

The lines observed in the strongest system are listed in Table A.1. They include lines of highly ionised ions ([Fe VI], [Fe VII], [Fe X], [Ca V], [Ca VII] which are slightly resolved (Vrtilek & Carleton 1985). The resolution of our spectra is 475 km s$^{-1}$; we should therefore measure a FWHM of 550 km s$^{-1}$. The measured FWHM of the two components of [O III]$\lambda$5007 are equal to 520 and 470 km s$^{-1}$ respectively.

The [O III]$\lambda$5007 flux density has been measured to be equal to (2.26 ±0.14)×10$^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ by Peterson et al. (1998). The spectra we used in this paper have been calibrated by Bischoff & Kollatschny (1999) in such a way that the [O III]$\lambda$5007 flux density is equal to this value. Adding the flux of the two components needed in our model to fit this line, we obtain $2.19 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.

The lines observed in the stronger system are listed in Table A.1. They include lines of highly ionised ions ([Fe VI], [Fe VII], [Fe X], [Ca V], [Ca VII] which are slightly resolved (470 km s$^{-1}$) measured FWHM and redshifted by ~80 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the Balmer lines. De Robertis & Osterbrock (1984) and Appenzeller & Ostreich (1988) have observed

$^1$ Throughout this paper, we assume $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.
Fig. 1. The mean deredshifted HET spectrum of Mark 110. The upper panel shows the spectrum. In the lower panel it is scaled to show the weak emission features. The residuals of the best fit are also shown.

Table 2. Observed permitted Fe II multiplets in the narrow line system of the spectrum of Mark 110. Column 1: multiplet number, Col. 2: transition, Col. 3: upper level energy, Col. 4: number of observed lines/number of lines in the multiplet in the observed spectral range.

| m. | Transition | u.l.(eV) | \\ | \\ |
|----|------------|----------|----|----|
| 42 | $a^8S-z^0P$ | 5.34     | 3/3|    |
| 27 | $b^4P-z^2D^0$ | 5.56     | 1/6|    |
| 38 | $b^4F-z^2D^0$ | 5.56     | 6/9|    |
| 43 | $a^6S-z^2D^0$ | 5.56     | 1/3|    |

The other lines, mostly from permitted and forbidden Fe II and Ti II, are redshifted by 100 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the [O III] lines. The observed permitted Fe II multiplets are listed in Table 2. We have identified a line observed at $\sim$4480 Å with Mg II $\lambda$4481. This line has been observed in emission in the eclipsing dwarf nova IP Peg (Harlaftis 1999) and in the “iron star” XX Oph (Merrill 1961; Cool et al. 2005).

high-ionization lines in the emission spectrum of some Seyfert galaxies. In these objects, the very high ionization lines, especially those of [Fe VII] and [Fe X] have FWHM which are broader than the typical low-ionization lines such as [O I] or [N I]. The other lines, mostly from permitted and forbidden Fe II and Ti II, are redshifted by 100 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the [O III] lines. The observed permitted Fe II multiplets are listed in Table 2. We have identified a line observed at $\sim$4480 Å with Mg II $\lambda$4481. This line has been observed in emission in the eclipsing dwarf nova IP Peg (Harlaftis 1999) and in the “iron star” XX Oph (Merrill 1961; Cool et al. 2005).

This system shares many similarities with the emission line spectrum of the symbiotic nova RR Tel (McKenna et al. 1997; Crawford et al. 1999) and that of XX Oph. The lines in XX Oph consist primarily of hydrogen and ionized metals such as Fe II, Cr II and Ti II. Collin & Joly (2000) have noted that several types of stars, such as cataclysmic binaries, display intense Fe II lines; it is believed that these lines are formed in the accretion disk. They suggested that physical conditions leading to their formation are similar to those in NLS1s. The fact that lines of Fe II, Cr II and Ti II are observed in some of these stars make their presence in the spectrum of Mark 110 more plausible.

In the weaker system, we observed the Balmer lines, He I $\lambda$5876, and the lines of [O I], [O III], [N II] and [S II]. The [O III] $\lambda$5007/$\lambda$4959 and [N II] $\lambda$6583/($\lambda$6548+ $\lambda$6584) ratios have been set to their theoretical values of 3.01 and 3.07 respectively (Storey & Zeippen 2000). The [O III] $\lambda$5754/$\lambda$5007 ratio $R$ was measured to be equal to 0.086 and 0.087 in the two regions. Osterbrock (1977) measured $R = 0.039$; this difference is unexplained. Our values suggest that the density in these regions is at least equal to $10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ (Baskin & Lao 2005). The [N II] line ratio $\lambda$5754/($\lambda$6548+ $\lambda$6584) is equal to 0.057 in the strongest region which, for an electronic temperature of 10$^4$ K, would correspond to a density $N_e \sim 3 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ (Keenan et al. 2001).
The [S II] λ6716/λ6730 ratios are equal to 1.13 and 1.05 respectively, suggesting that the density in the regions emitting these lines is of the order of 500 × 10^5 cm^{-3} (Osterbrock 1974). This value is much smaller than the one obtained from the [O III] lines indicating the presence of a density gradient among these clouds. The [Fe II] spectrum should arise in regions with N_e < 10^8 cm^{-3}, otherwise these lines would be collisionally de-excited (García-Lario et al. 1999).

These two NLR have almost identical spectra. They could perhaps be considered as two clouds belonging to a single entity, the strongest one being blueshifted with respect to the (unknown) systemic velocity of the galaxy by 110 km s^{-1}, the weakest one being redshifted by the same amount.

4.2. The broad line systems

1/ A very broad line system, B1 (∼6000 km s^{-1 FWHM}), is redshifted by ∼700 km s^{-1} with respect to the strong narrow line system. The lines detected are Hα, Hβ, Hγ, He II λ4686 and He I λ5876 and λ6678. It can be identified with the very broad line system observed by Bischoff & Kollatschny (1999), although they found a smaller line width (∼5000 km s^{-1 FWHM}); but the determination of the parameters of this system is made difficult by the presence of the atmospheric B band in the red wing of Hα, and therefore these two values may not be significantly different.

2/ A broad line system, B2 (3340 km s^{-1 FWHM}), is redshifted by 440 km s^{-1} with respect to the narrow line system. In this system, the only lines observed are the Balmer lines (Hα, Hβ and Hγ). The Balmer decrement is Hα/Hβ = 5.17.

3/ A narrower line system, B3 (1515 km s^{-1 FWHM}), is redshifted by 180 km s^{-1}. In this system we found, in addition to the Balmer lines (Hα, Hβ and Hγ), He I lines (λ4471, λ4712, λ4922, λ5016 and λ5076) and He II λ4686.

We have also detected in this system the Si II lines λ5041, λ5056, λ5098 and λ5097. All spectra show a bump in the red wing of the complex emission region around λ5871 which we have identified with the Si II 4 doublet λ5858,5897. There is a strong red shoulder on the red side of the [O III]λ5007 line which has been attributed by Kollatschny et al. (2001) to He I λ5016; this attribution however does not seem to be appropriate as this would imply for this line a large redshift which is not observed in any of the line systems. We suggest that this shoulder is due to the Si II 5 triplet λ5041, 5056.0, 5056.3. Si II lines are expected in objects with strong Fe II emission (Phillips 1978), however we have not been able to detect any Fe II lines associated with this system; it is therefore rather surprising to observe these lines.

Kollatschny et al. (1981) found in the rms spectrum a variable line which they identified with [Fe X] λ6375. If this is the case, this line would be significantly broader than the other highly ionized Fe lines. We found this line to vary proportionally to Hβ.

According to Bischoff & Kollatschny (1999), all broad line profiles showed during the period 1987–1995 a red asymmetry which would mainly be caused by a second line component redshifted by 1200 km s^{-1}. We found no evidence for such a component which may have been weak during the period studied here.

4.3. Variability of the broad emission lines

Although it is difficult to observe the variability of Fe II, these lines seem to follow the variations of the continuum in a number of Seyfert 1s (Kollatschny & Frick 1981; Kollatschny et al. 1981, 2000; Vestergaard & Peterson 2006; Wang et al. 2005). In Mark 110, the difference spectrum as well as the rsm spectrum show no sign of variable Fe II emission. It seems therefore that there is no Fe II emission associated with the broad emission line region.

To study the variability of the broad emission lines, we have fitted all 24 individual spectra by setting the intensity of the narrow emission lines to the values found in the fit of the mean spectrum, keeping free only the intensities of the broad lines.

The Hβ intensity in the very broad line system (B1) is proportional to the continuum intensity while He II λ4686 varies approximately as the power 2.3 of the continuum intensity (Fig. 2). This suggests that, when the continuum is bright, it is much bluer than when it is weak, as hydrogen is ionised by photons at 911 Å while helium requires photons at 503 Å.

The Hβ line of the narrower line system (B3) (1515 km s^{-1 FWHM}) varies significantly in the range (44–119) × 10^{-15} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}. The He I λ5876 and He II λ4686 line intensities are proportional to Hβ with He I λ5876/Hβ = 0.13 and He II λ4686/Hβ = 0.08.

The Hβ line of the second system (B2) (3340 km s^{-1 FWHM}) varies with a much smaller amplitude if at all. When we set the intensities of the Balmer lines in this system to the values obtained from the mean spectrum, we achieve a good fit for all individual spectra.

In Fig. 3 (upper panel), we show the difference between two spectra of Mark 110 having almost the same continuum level (the difference between the mean of the two spectra of February 10 and 12, 2000 and the mean of the two spectra of April 29 and May 6, 2000). On this difference spectrum, all traces of the very broad lines (system B1) have disappeared, in agreement with the fact that these lines have a very small timelag (3.9 ± 2.0 d) with respect to the continuum (Kollatschny 2003b). The velocity and FWHM of Hα are 177 and 1295 km s^{-1}

Fig. 2. The upper panel shows the Hβ flux of the very broad system (B1) vs. the continuum flux, while the lower panel shows the He II λ4686 flux of this same system vs. the continuum flux. In the lower panel, the curve shows the continuum at the power 2.3. The continuum fluxes are in units of 10^{-15} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} Å^{-1}, while the line intensities are in units of 10^{-15} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2}.
Fig. 3. The upper panel shows the difference between two spectra of Mark 110 having the same continuum level. The very broad line system (B1) has completely disappeared. The only lines visible belong to the narrower broad line system (B3). The lower panel shows the difference between the mean spectrum of the four strongest spectra and the mean spectrum of the four weakest spectra.

respectively, very similar to the values found for component B3 (180 and 1515 km s\(^{-1}\)). Component B2 shows no variation between the two epochs considered, separated by almost three months. The absence of variability of this component is most surprising.

We have subtracted the mean of the four weaker spectra from the mean of the four stronger (Fig. 3, lower panel). The non variable lines disappear from the resulting spectrum. The remaining broad lines are those seen by Kollatschny et al. (2001) on the rms spectrum.

5. Discussion

5.1. The physical conditions in the NLR

From the line ratios given in Sect. 4.1 we have an estimate of the density range of the emission regions producing the main forbidden emission lines: [O III], [N II], [S II]. The photoionization code CLOUDY (Ferland 2002) allows us to define more precisely the physical parameters of the medium responsible for the bulk of the emission lines detected in the NLR. Adopting a mean optical luminosity equal to 5 \(\times\) 10\(^{43}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) and a power law slope of the ionizing radiation \(\alpha = -1.0\) at energies higher than 0.06 Ryd, we have calculated a number of models using the large Fe\(^+\) atom to match the observed narrow Fe II lines in addition to the permitted and forbidden lines identified in the NLR. Abundances are about solar (C: –3.61; N: –4.59; O: –3.31; Ne: –4.00; Na: –5.67; Mg: –4.46; Si: –4.74; Ar: –5.60; Ca: –5.64; Fe: –4.07). However, CLOUDY does not include optical permitted lines of Ti II, Cr II or Si II. Tables 3 and 4 list respectively the forbidden and permitted emission lines which are both observed in the NLR and computed in the code. The observed line ratios referred to H\(\beta\) (the H\(\beta\) flux is 20.3 \(\times\) 10\(^{-15}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) are given in the third column of the tables while the predicted ones from two different models are displayed in Cols. 4 and 5. These two models define the range of parameters of the set of discrete clouds with different physical states constituting the NLR. The best fit is obtained for densities \((n)\) in the range 10\(^{3}\)–10\(^{6}\) cm\(^{-3}\), with a column density \((N_{\text{H II}})\) of respectively 5 \(\times\) 10\(^{19}\) and 5 \(\times\) 10\(^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\). The ionization parameter is of the order of 10\(^{-3}\) which implies a cloud distance to the central source of radiation of 30 and 2000 pc \((R = 10^{20}\) to \(6 \times\) 10\(^{21}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) depending on the density. The temperature in the low density clouds is around 10\(^{4}\) K, while inside the high density cloud whose optical thickness is higher there is a gradient of temperature from 17000 K to 6000 K.

The low density/low column density clouds partly account for the Balmer, He I and He II lines as well as for

| Lines | \(\lambda\) (Å) | Obs. \(R = 10^{20}\) | Model | Model \(n = 10^{6}\) | Model \(N_{\text{H II}} = 5 \times 10^{21}\) | Model \(N_{\text{H II}} = 5 \times 10^{19}\) |
|-------|---------------|----------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| [O I] | 5577 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | | |
| [O I] | 6300 | 0.60 | 1.30 | 0.01 | | |
| [O I] | 6363 | 0.20 | 0.42 | 0.00 | | |
| [O III] | 4363 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.08 | | |
| [O III] | 4959 | 2.97 | 2.93 | 3.54 | | |
| [O III] | 5007 | 8.96 | 8.83 | 10.60 | | |
| [N I] | 5198 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| [N I] | 5200 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| [N II] | 5755 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.02 | | |
| [N II] | 6548 | 0.14 | 0.32 | 0.49 | | |
| [N II] | 6584 | 0.43 | 0.96 | 1.43 | | |
| [S II] | 6716 | 0.58 | 0.04 | 0.57 | | |
| [S II] | 6731 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.68 | | |
| [S III] | 6312 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.07 | | |
| [Ar III] | 5192 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | | |
| [Ar IV] | 4711 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | | |
| [Ar IV] | 4740 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 | | |
| [Ne IV] | 4720 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.00 | | |
| [Ca V] | 5309 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.00 | | |
| [Ca VII] | 5620 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe II] 4F | 6469 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe II] 4F | 4728 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe II] 4F | 4798 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe II] 4F | 4890 | | 0.19 | 0.01 | | |
| [Fe III] 6F | 4416 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.01 | | |
| [Fe III] 6F | 4432 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe III] 6F | 4458 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe III] 6F | 4488 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe III] 6F | 4493 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe III] 6F | 4515 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe III] 6F | 4528 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe III] 7F | 4287 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.01 | | |
| [Fe III] 7F | 4359 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.01 | | |
| [Fe III] 7F | 4414 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.01 | | |
| [Fe II] 7F | 4452 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe III] 7F | 4475 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe II] 17F | 5412 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe II] 17F | 5495 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe II] 17F | 5527 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.00 | | |
| [Fe II] 18F | 5107 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | | |
Table 3. continued.

| Lines    | \( \lambda \) (Å) | Obs. \( R = 10^{20} \) | Model \( R = 6 \times 10^{21} \) |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| [Fe II] 18F | 5158                | 0.01                     | 0.10                     |
| [Fe II] 18F | 5181                | 0.00                     | 0.05                     |
| [Fe II] 18F | 5269                | 0.00                     | 0.06                     |
| [Fe II] 18F | 5273                | 0.01                     | 0.25                     |
| [Fe II] 18F | 5433                | 0.00                     | 0.08                     |
| [Fe II] 19F | 5112                | 0.01                     | 0.10                     |
| [Fe II] 19F | 5159                | 0.07                     | 0.52                     |
| [Fe II] 19F | 5220                | 0.01                     | 0.10                     |
| [Fe II] 19F | 5262                | 0.04                     | 0.33                     |
| [Fe II] 19F | 5297                | 0.01                     | 0.07                     |
| [Fe II] 19F | 5334                | 0.03                     | 0.24                     |
| [Fe II] 19F | 5376                | 0.02                     | 0.20                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 4775                | 0.01                     | 0.07                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 4815                | 0.05                     | 0.23                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 4874                | 0.01                     | 0.09                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 4905                | 0.02                     | 0.12                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 4947                | 0.01                     | 0.03                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 4951                | 0.01                     | 0.07                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 4973                | 0.01                     | 0.07                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 5005                | 0.01                     | 0.04                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 5020                | 0.01                     | 0.07                     |
| [Fe II] 20F | 5043                | 0.01                     | 0.04                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4244                | 0.10                     | 0.23                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4245                | 0.02                     | 0.06                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4277                | 0.06                     | 0.16                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4306                | 0.02                     | 0.05                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4320                | 0.04                     | 0.11                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4347                | 0.02                     | 0.05                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4353                | 0.03                     | 0.07                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4358                | 0.04                     | 0.11                     |
| [Fe II] 21F | 4372                | 0.02                     | 0.05                     |
| [Fe II] 35F | 5163                | 0.05                     | 0.05                     |
| [Fe II] 35F | 5199                | 0.01                     | 0.02                     |
| [Fe II] 35F | 5278                | 0.01                     | 0.01                     |
| [Fe II] 35F | 5283                | 0.01                     | 0.01                     |
| [Fe III] 3F | 4658                | 0.05                     | 0.37                     |
| [Fe III] 1F | 4931                | 0.05                     | 0.04                     |
| [Fe III] 1F | 5271                | 0.03                     | 0.21                     |
| [Fe VI] 2F | 5177                | 0.14                     | 0.10                     |
| [Fe VII] 2F | 4894                | 0.01                     | 0.02                     |
| [Fe VII] 2F | 4943                | 0.04                     | 0.03                     |
| [Fe VII] 2F | 5159                | 0.09                     | 0.03                     |
| [Fe VII] 2F | 5277                | 0.05                     | 0.03                     |
| [Fe VII] 1F | 5721                | 0.13                     | 0.11                     |
| [Fe VII] 1F | 6087                | 0.18                     | 0.17                     |
| [Fe X] 1F | 6373                | 0.01                     | 0.00                     | 0.00

Table 4. Same as Table 3 for the permitted lines.

| Lines    | \( \lambda \) (Å) | Obs. \( R = 10^{20} \) | Model \( R = 6 \times 10^{21} \) |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Hα       | 6563                | 3.02                     | 2.91                     |
| Hβ       | 4861                | 1.00                     | 1.00                     |
| Hγ       | 4340                | 0.48                     | 0.47                     |
| [Fe II] m27 | 4233               | 0.02                     | 0.01                     |
| [Fe II] m37 | 4489               | 0.01                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m37 | 4491               | 0.03                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m38 | 4508               | 0.05                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m37 | 4515               | 0.05                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m38 | 4520               | 0.02                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m38 | 4522               | 0.03                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m38 | 4549               | 0.04                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m37 | 4555               | 0.02                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m38 | 4576               | 0.03                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m37 | 4582               | 0.00                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m38 | 4583               | 0.03                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m37 | 4629               | 0.03                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m42 | 4924               | 0.05                     | 0.03                     |
| [Fe II] m42 | 5018               | 0.08                     | 0.03                     |
| [Fe II] m42 | 5169               | 0.03                     | 0.05                     |
| [Fe II] m49 | 5197               | 0.01                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m49 | 5234               | 0.03                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m49 | 5275               | 0.03                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m49 | 5316               | 0.04                     | 0.01                     |
| [Fe II] m49 | 5325               | 0.02                     | 0.00                     |
| [Fe II] m49 | 5425               | 0.02                     | 0.00                     |

5.2. The black hole mass

To estimate the mass of the central BH, the assumption has to be made that the motion of the BLR clouds is gravitationally dominated (Peterson & Wandel 2000) which may not be the case (Krolik 2001). Then the BH mass is given by \( M_{BH} = \frac{V^2 \times R}{G} \) where \( G \) is the gravitational constant, \( R \) the radius of the BLR and \( V \) the Keplerian velocity of the emitting cloud (Kaspi et al. 2000).

Reverberation mapping studies made it possible to determine the size of the BLR in a number of type 1 AGN, which led to the discovery of a correlation between the radius of the region emitting the Hβ line and the monochromatic luminosity at 5100 Å. The BLR size scales with the rest frame luminosity as \( L_5^{0.52\pm0.04} \) (Kaspi et al. 2000, 2005; Bentz et al. 2006). The radius of the BLR is either estimated directly from reverberation mapping or by using this correlation.

\( V \) is taken to be equal to \( k \times FWHM \). The numerical factor \( k \) depends on the structure, kinematics and orientation of the BLR and is often assumed to be equal to \( \sqrt{5}/2 \) corresponding...
to an isotropic BLR with random orbital motion (Netzer 1990). Peterson et al. (2004), normalizing the AGN $M_{BH} \propto \sigma$ relationship to the $M_{BH} \propto \sigma$ relationship for quiescent galaxies (Onken et al. 2004), found $k = 1.26$ which leads to a BH mass 1.8 times larger.

Thus, for a given luminosity, NLS1s have a smaller BH mass than BLS1s as the BH mass scales as the square of the line width while the Eddington ratio, i.e. the ratio of the bolometric to the Eddington luminosity (assuming that $L_{bol} \sim 10 \lambda \lambda L_{\odot}(5100 \, \text{Å})$) is larger, sometimes greater than one, as shown e.g. by Collin & Kawaguchi (2004)\(^3\).

Kollatschny et al. (2001), comparing the observed profile variations with model calculations of different velocity fields, concluded that the broad line region of Mark 110 is an accretion disc, implying that the BH mass is given by $M_{BH} = 1.5 \times \text{FWHM}^2 \times R/G (k = 1.22)$. They measured the H\(\beta\) FWHM on the rms spectrum to be $1515 \pm 100 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ and a time lag for H\(\beta\) of $24.2 \pm 3.5 \, \text{ms}$.

They estimated $M_{BH} = (1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^7 \, M_{\odot}$ in good agreement with the value given by Onken et al. (2004): $M_{BH} = (2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^7 \, M_{\odot}$.

The line width of the rms spectrum (1670 and 1515 km s\(^{-1}\)) measured by Wandel et al. (1999) and Kollatschny et al. (2001) shows that the variable component is our component B3.

The bulge velocity dispersion was measured to be $86 \pm 13 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ by Ferrarese et al. (2001) which would correspond to a BH mass of $(0.25 \pm 0.10) \times 10^7 \, M_{\odot}$ (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Merritt & Ferrarese 2001) or $(0.33 \pm 0.18) \times 10^7 \, M_{\odot}$ (Greene & Ho 2006). The [O III] emission line width has been extensively used as a representation of the bulge velocity dispersion. However the [O III] velocity line width is clearly a function of the BH mass. The BH mass derived from the expected bulge velocity dispersion is $(1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^7 \, M_{\odot}$ for an orientation angle of the galaxy of $\sim 45^\circ$.

In the system B3 and He II \(\lambda 4686\) in the system B1 (Fig. 4), He II \(\lambda 4686\) is about 50 times larger than the value obtained from the bulge velocity dispersion which is unaffected by orientation effects but which could be influenced by the merging experiences by the host galaxy, $M_{BH}$ being $5 \times 10^7 \, M_{\odot}$ (O’Neill et al. 2005), in agreement with the reverberation mapping determination.

5.3. Nature of Mark 110: NLS1 or BLS1?

Subtracting the narrow H\(\beta\) components from the average spectrum of Mark 110, we found that the broad emission line system has a width of $\sim 1700 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ (FWHM) and, therefore, this galaxy could be classified as a NLS1 as suggested by Grupe et al. (2004). However, this object has none of the other properties characteristic of NLS1s.

NLS1s generally have a soft X-ray excess together with an unusually steep $2-10 \, \text{keV}$ power law which could be due to a high accretion rate (Pounds et al. 1995; Shemmer et al. 2006), although strong ultra soft X-ray emission is not a universal characteristic of NLS1s (Williams et al. 2004). Wang & Netzer (2003) presented a model consisting of an extreme slim disc with a hot corona to explain the soft X-ray excess and suggested that it is a natural consequence of super Eddington accretion.

The X-ray photon index of Mark 110 in the energy range $0.2-20 \, \text{keV}$ ($\Gamma = 2.41 \pm 0.03$, Lawrence et al. 1997 or $\Gamma = 2.47 \pm 0.01$, Grupe et al. 2001) is typical of BLS1s rather than of NLS1s (Lawrence et al. 1997) suggesting that this object is not super Eddington (Grupe 2004). Dasgupta & Rao (2006) found $\Gamma = 1.75 \pm 0.01$ in the $2-12 \, \text{keV}$ range and a large soft excess which can be fitted with a blackbody with $kT = 100 \pm 2 \, \text{eV}$. Alternatively, they could fit the data in the range $0.3-12 \, \text{keV}$ with a broken power law, the values of the photon indices being $\Gamma = 2.29 \pm 0.01$ and $\Gamma = 1.78 \pm 0.01$ and the break energy $1.66 \pm 0.04 \, \text{keV}$. This low value of the $2-12 \, \text{keV}$ photon index is again typical of BLS1s (Leighly 1999; Middleton et al. 2007).

Xu et al. (2007) have shown that, while in NLS1s the electron density of the NLR, as estimated from the [S II] $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731$ line ratio, covers a rather large range ($2-770 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, corresponding to $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731$ in the range $0.94-1.23$), in BLS1s the density is always relatively large ($>140 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731 < 1.27$). In Mark 110, we have

\(^3\) Elvis et al. (1999) however have shown that the dispersion of the values of the Eddington ratio for a given BH mass is at least equal to a factor of 2.
Fig. 4. a) Fit of the mean of the four strongest spectra of Mark 110 in the region around He II $\lambda 4686$ and He I $\lambda 4713$. The dotted line shows the observations; the continuous line is the best fit. The lowest line represents the residuals. We also show the three He I and He II variable components. The locations of the strongest narrow lines are indicated. b) The same for the mean of the four weakest spectra.

measured $\lambda 6716/\lambda 6731 = 1.12$ which does not exclude the possibility that this object is an NLS1 but makes its classification as a BLS1 more likely.

Fe II emission in the broad line region has not been detected and is extremely weak (see above). Boroson (2002) and Grupe (2004) suggested that the inverse correlation between the strengths of Fe II and [O III] is driven predominantly by the Eddington ratio. Objects with a high Eddington ratio have strong Fe II emission. The extreme weakness of Fe II in Mark 110 therefore also argues for a low Eddington ratio.

If Mark 110 has a relatively low Eddington ratio, its BH mass should be larger than the typical value obtained for NLS1s of similar luminosity.

The Eddington luminosity is taken to be $L_{\text{Edd}} = 1.25 \times M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Laor et al. 1997) i.e., for Mark 110, $17.4 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$, assuming $M_{\text{BH}} = 14 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. The optical luminosity $\lambda \times L_\lambda$ at 5100 Å is found to vary in the range $(0.11-0.25) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Peterson et al. 1998, Kaspi et al. 2005) after removal of the host galaxy contribution (Bentz et al. 2006). In these conditions, the bolometric luminosity varies in the range $(0.11-0.25) \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ which corresponds to an Eddington ratio in the range $(0.6-1.4) \times 10^{-2}$. If this is the case, Mark 110 would be far from emitting at the Eddington luminosity. Even if the BH mass is much smaller (e.g. $0.33 \times 10^7 M_\odot$), the Eddington ratio would be in the range $0.25-0.60$, still smaller than one.

5.4. Comparison of Mark 110 with I Zw 1 and IRAS 07598+6508

Mark 110 is the third narrow line Seyfert 1 galaxy for which we have performed a detailed analysis of the emission line spectrum using high signal to noise spectra. The first two were I Zw 1 (Véron-Cetty et al. 2004) and IRAS 07698+6508 (Véron-Cetty et al. 2006). These three objects have been classified as NLS1s on the basis of the width of their broad emission lines. Their spectra are extremely dissimilar (Fig. 5).

IRAS 07598+6508 is a very strong Fe II emitter with $R_{4570} = \text{Fe II} / / H\beta \sim 8$. The spectrum is completely dominated by broad permitted lines of Fe II, Ti II and Cr II. No narrow line could be detected. In I Zw 1, the broad permitted Fe II lines are weaker ($R_{4570} = 1.5-1.9$). The narrow line system is relatively weak and is dominated by Fe II permitted and forbidden lines. In Mark 110, no broad Fe II lines could be detected while the narrow line system is much stronger relative to the broad lines.
Vestergaard & Peterson (2006) have determined the luminosity of the nucleus and the BH mass of I Zw 1. They found $\lambda L(5100) = 6.22 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $M_{\text{BH}} = 2.65 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ which leads to an Eddington ratio of 1.8.

The flux density of IRAS 07598+6508 at 5100 Å is $3.64 \times 10^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$ which, with $z = 0.149$, corresponds to $\lambda L(5100) = 8.9 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. According to Bentz et al. (2006) this corresponds to a size of the broad line region of 100 l. d. Then, according to Kaspi et al. (2005) and using $k = 1.26$ and 1780 km s$^{-1}$ for the H$\beta$ FWHM (Véron-Cetty et al. 2001), the BH mass is $M_{\text{BH}} = 7.34 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. We deduced from these numbers that the Eddington ratio is equal to $\sim 1$.

The values of the Eddington ratio for these three galaxies are quite uncertain but seem to confirm that this is an important parameter in determining the main properties of the emission line spectrum of this type of objects.

5.5. Other similar objects

Kaz 320 is a Seyfert 1 galaxy ($B = 13.8$) at $z = 0.034$. The FWHM of the broad H$\beta$ component is equal to 1375 km s$^{-1}$ (Botte et al. 2004) or 1470 km s$^{-1}$ (Véron-Cetty et al. 2001). The spectrum exhibits strong Balmer and [O III] lines together with some highly ionized species like [Fe VII]6087 and [Fe X]6375. According to Zamorano et al. (1992), permitted Fe II lines, if present, are too weak to be detected. However we have measured $R_{\text{Fe II}} = 0.49$. We found that the H$\beta$ FWHM of the broad component is equal to $1470$ km s$^{-1}$ (Véron-Cetty et al. 2001).

HS 0328+0528 is a Seyfert 1 galaxy ($B = 15.7$) at $z = 0.043$ (Perlman et al. 1996; Engels et al. 1998). The FWHM of the broad Balmer component is $\sim 1500$ km s$^{-1}$. The Fe II emission is weak ($R_{\text{Fe II}} = 0.43$) (Véron-Cetty et al. 2001).

The BH mass has been estimated to be $0.23$ and $0.53 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ respectively in these two objects (Wang & Lu 2001). Like Mark 110, they could be pole-on, but otherwise normal BLS1s.

6. Conclusion

We have analyzed the optical emission line spectrum of the peculiar NLS1 galaxy Mark 110. Except for “narrow” broad lines, Mark 110 lacks all other characteristics of this class of objects such as a weak [O III]5007/H$\beta$ ratio and strong permitted Fe II lines (We have shown that all the detected Fe II lines belong to the narrow line system). The X-ray spectrum of Mark 110 is also more similar to that of a BLS1 than that of an NLS1.

The X-ray spectrum of this type of objects. We gratefully thank M.C. Bentz who kindly put at our disposal her HST image of Mark 110 and S. Collin and D. Péquignot for helpful discussions. We acknowledge the referee, D. Grupe, thanks to whom the paper has been significantly improved.
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Appendix A: Line list for the narrow line region

Table A.1. Lines observed in the stronger narrow line system. Column 1: line identification, Col. 2: rest wavelength, Col. 3: intensity relative to H$\beta$ ($H\beta$ flux = $20.3 \times 10^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$).

| Name | Wavelength | Intensity |
|------|------------|-----------|
| H$\beta$ | 4861.33 | 1.00 |
| H$\alpha$ | 6562.77 | 3.02 |
| H$\gamma$ | 4340.47 | 0.48 |
| Ti II 33 | 4227.34 | 0.04 |
| [FeII] 21F | 4231.56 | 0.00 |
| Fe II 27 | 4233.17 | 0.02 |
| Cr II 31 | 4235.25 | 0.02 |
| Cr II 17 | 4238.69 | 0.03 |
| [FeII] 21F | 4243.97 | 0.00 |
| Ti II 33 | 4247.04 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 21F | 4247.97 | 0.00 |
| [FeII] 7F | 4265.83 | 0.07 |
| [FeII] 21F | 4287.39 | 0.09 |
| He II 104 | 4367.66 | 0.10 |
| [FeII] 21F | 4372.43 | 0.02 |
| Ti II 93 | 4386.77 | 0.01 |
| He I 51 | 4387.93 | 0.00 |
| [FeII] 7F | 4413.78 | 0.05 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4416.27 | 0.05 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4432.45 | 0.00 |
| Ti II 19 | 4435.80 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 7F | 4452.10 | 0.03 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4457.94 | 0.02 |
| He I 14 | 4471.69 | 0.05 |
| [FeII] 7F | 4474.90 | 0.02 |
| Mg II 4 | 4481.13 | 0.03 |
| Ti II 115 | 4488.32 | 0.02 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4488.75 | 0.01 |
| Fe II 37 | 4489.18 | 0.01 |
| Fe II 37 | 4491.40 | 0.03 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4492.63 | 0.01 |
| Ti II 31 | 4501.27 | 0.06 |
| Fe II 38 | 4508.28 | 0.05 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4509.60 | 0.00 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4514.90 | 0.01 |
| Fe II 37 | 4515.34 | 0.05 |
| Fe II 37 | 4520.22 | 0.02 |
| Fe II 38 | 4522.63 | 0.03 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4526.38 | 0.00 |
| Ti II 82 | 4529.46 | 0.02 |
| [FeII] 6F | 4533.00 | 0.00 |
| He II 2 | 4541.49 | 0.05 |
| Fe II 38 | 4549.47 | 0.04 |
| Fe II 37 | 4555.89 | 0.02 |
| Cr II 44 | 4558.68 | 0.03 |
| Ti II 50 | 4563.76 | 0.03 |
| Ti II 81 | 4571.97 | 0.03 |
| Fe II 38 | 4576.33 | 0.03 |
| Fe II 37 | 4582.83 | 0.01 |

Table A.1. continued.

| Name | Wavelength | Intensity |
|------|------------|-----------|
| Fe II 38 | 4383.83 | 0.04 |
| Ti II 50 | 4589.96 | 0.04 |
| Fe II 38 | 4620.51 | 0.00 |
| Fe II 37 | 4629.34 | 0.03 |
| [FeII] 4F | 4639.67 | 0.00 |
| ? | 4642.78 | 0.02 |
| [FeII] 3F | 4658.05 | 0.05 |
| [FeII] 4F | 4664.44 | 0.00 |
| He II 1 | 4685.68 | 0.22 |
| [ArIV] 1F | 4711.37 | 0.01 |
| He I 12 | 4713.17 | 0.00 |
| [NeV] 1F | 4714.25 | 0.02 |
| [NeV] 1F | 4715.61 | 0.01 |
| [NeV] 1F | 4724.15 | 0.02 |
| [NeV] 1F | 4725.62 | 0.02 |
| [FeII] 4F | 4728.07 | 0.01 |
| Fe II 43 | 4731.45 | 0.02 |
| [ArIV] 1F | 4740.16 | 0.04 |
| [FeII] 4F | 4772.06 | 0.00 |
| [FeII] 20F | 4774.72 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 4F | 4798.27 | 0.00 |
| [FeII] 20F | 4814.53 | 0.05 |
| Cr II 30 | 4824.13 | 0.02 |
| [FeII] 20F | 4874.48 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 20F | 4905.34 | 0.02 |
| He I 48 | 4921.93 | 0.01 |
| Fe II 42 | 4923.92 | 0.05 |
| [FeII] 1F | 4930.53 | 0.05 |
| [FeII] 20F | 4947.38 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 20F | 4950.74 | 0.01 |
| [OIII] 1F | 4958.91 | 2.98 |
| [FeII] 20F | 4973.39 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 20F | 5005.51 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 4F | 5006.65 | 0.00 |
| [OIII] 1F | 5006.84 | 8.96 |
| He I 4 | 5015.67 | 0.02 |
| Fe II 42 | 5018.43 | 0.08 |
| [FeII] 20F | 5020.23 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 20F | 5043.52 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 18F | 5107.94 | 0.00 |
| [FeII] 19F | 5111.63 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 18F | 5158.00 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 19F | 5158.78 | 0.07 |
| [FeII] 35F | 5163.95 | 0.05 |
| Fe II 42 | 5169.03 | 0.03 |
| [FeII] 18F | 5181.95 | 0.00 |
| [ArIII] 3F | 5191.82 | 0.03 |
| Fe II 49 | 5197.57 | 0.01 |
| [Ni] 1F | 5197.90 | 0.01 |
| [FeII] 35F | 5199.17 | 0.01 |
| [Ni] 1F | 5200.26 | 0.04 |
| [FeII] 19F | 5220.06 | 0.01 |
| Fe II 49 | 5234.62 | 0.03 |
| [FeII] 19F | 5261.62 | 0.04 |
| [FeII] 18F | 5268.88 | 0.00 |
| [FeII] 1F | 5270.40 | 0.03 |
| [FeII] 1F | 5273.35 | 0.01 |
| Fe II 49 | 5275.99 | 0.03 |
| Name      | Wavelength | Intensity |
|-----------|------------|-----------|
| [FeII] 35F| 5278.37    | 0.01      |
| [FeII] 35F| 5283.11    | 0.01      |
| ?         | 5288.90    | 0.02      |
| [FeII] 19F| 5296.83    | 0.01      |
| Fe II 49  | 5316.61    | 0.04      |
| Fe II 49  | 5325.56    | 0.02      |
| [FeII] 19F| 5333.65    | 0.03      |
| Ti II 69  | 5336.81    | 0.02      |
| [FeII] 18F| 5347.65    | 0.00      |
| [FeII] 19F| 5376.47    | 0.02      |
| He II 2   | 5411.52    | 0.00      |
| [FeII] 17F| 5412.65    | 0.03      |
| Fe II 49  | 5425.27    | 0.02      |
| [FeII] 18F| 5433.13    | 0.00      |
| [FeII] 34F| 5477.25    | 0.01      |
| [FeII] 17F| 5495.82    | 0.01      |
| [FeII] 17F| 5527.34    | 0.04      |
| Fe II 55  | 5534.86    | 0.03      |
| [FeII] 18F| 5556.31    | 0.00      |
| [OI] 3F   | 5577.34    | 0.01      |
| ?         | 5608.74    | 0.01      |
| [FeII] 17F| 5654.85    | 0.01      |
| [FeII] 17F| 5745.70    | 0.00      |
| [FeII] 34F| 5746.97    | 0.01      |
| [NII] 3F  | 5754.57    | 0.03      |
| [FevV]    | 5798.78    | 0.01      |
| [FeII] 34F| 5843.90    | 0.00      |
| He I 11   | 5875.70    | 0.10      |
| Na I D    | 5889.95    | 0.03      |
| Na I D    | 5895.92    | 0.03      |
| [OI] 1F   | 6300.23    | 0.60      |
| [SIII] 1F | 6312.06    | 0.07      |
| Si II 2   | 6347.09    | 0.02      |
| [OI] 1F   | 6363.88    | 0.20      |
| Si II 2   | 6371.36    | 0.05      |
| [NII] 1F  | 6548.04    | 0.14      |
| He II 2   | 6560.10    | 0.02      |
| [NII] 1F  | 6583.46    | 0.43      |
| He I 46   | 6678.15    | 0.03      |
| [SII] 2F  | 6716.44    | 0.58      |
| [SII] 2F  | 6730.81    | 0.51      |
| [FeVII] 2F| 4893.90    | 0.01      |
| [CaVII] 1F| 4940.30    | 0.02      |
| [FeVII] 2F| 4942.49    | 0.04      |
| [FeVI] 2F | 4967.13    | 0.06      |
| [FeVI] 2F | 4972.47    | 0.09      |
| [FeVI] 2F | 5145.75    | 0.03      |
| [FeVII] 2F| 5158.41    | 0.09      |
| [FeVII] 2F| 5176.04    | 0.14      |
| [FeVII] 2F| 5276.39    | 0.05      |
| [FeXIV] 1F| 5303.60    | 0.01      |
| [CaV] 1F  | 5308.90    | 0.08      |
| [CaVII] 1F| 5620.36    | 0.01      |
| [FeVI] 1F | 5631.07    | 0.01      |
| [CaVII] 2F| 5631.40    | 0.01      |
| [FeVI] 1F | 5676.95    | 0.03      |
| [FeVII] 1F| 5720.71    | 0.13      |
| [FeVII] 1F| 6086.30    | 0.18      |