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Abstract

The mean annual, winter half-year and summer half-year flows at 86 water level gauges in the Upper Vistula Basin in the years 1951–2015 were examined. The Ward’s hierarchical cluster analysis was used for grouping sub-catchments in reference to the standardized aforementioned flows. Trends analysis was performed for each cluster of catchments in all combinations of periods not shorter than 20 years. Spatial distribution of clusters of catchments has been analysed according to geographical locations. There are observed different trends in distinguished groups of catchments, wherein the substantial differences concern long-term trends. Changes in trend direction in the years 1951–2015 were revealed, which indicate flow fluctuations. Presumably, physiographical heterogeneity of the Upper Vistula Basin is reflected in no unequivocal trends occurring in clusters of catchments. Some similarities were stated in short-term trends occurring in particular groups of catchments.
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Introduction

Increase in air temperature and changes in precipitation have been noted in many areas but their effect on river flow has been difficult to pinpoint. Research on change detection in river flow has not revealed convincing and ubiquitous changes in Europe and worldwide, and there are no clear indications of significant trends of river flow at regional or large scales and there is as yet no evidence that the river flow in recent years is influenced directly by climate change (Kundzewicz ed. 2012; Madsen et al. 2014). Apart from climatic factors, there are other important components which may independently influence river flow variability, namely changes in land cover (reforestation or deforestation, urbanization), capacity of river channels, water intake for municipal, agricultural and industrial purposes, etc. (Slater et al. 2015). River runoff integrates the influence of this complex phenomenon over a watershed; hence, the analysis of long time series of river discharge datasets does not result in unequivocal satisfactory and expected results. From the other site, the search for trends (or lack thereof) in river flow data series has become of scientific interest and practical importance in the last decades as it is essential for adaptation to climate change, in particular water management strategies (Adaptation… 2018).

There are a few studies on long-term river flow trends in Europe in last 200 years. Some trends are increasing but not statistically significant (Pekarova et al. 2003; Lindstrom and Bergstrom 2004). The average annual river flow in the second half of the twentieth and at the beginning of the twenty-first centuries dropped in southern and south-eastern Europe and rose in the rest part of Europe (Stahl et al. 2012; Hannaford et al. 2013).

The analysis of long series of annual river flow showed no trends in Poland (Jokiel and Kożuchowski 1989; Miler 1999; Soja 2002; Stachy 2010), while in the second half of the twentieth century positive trends prevailed (Wrzesiński 2009). The re-analysis of the river flow trends for data on the second half of the twentieth and the beginning of the twenty-first centuries dropped in southern and south-eastern Europe and rose in the rest part of Europe (Stahl et al. 2012; Hannaford et al. 2013).

The analysis of long series of annual river flow showed no trends in Poland (Jokiel and Kożuchowski 1989; Miler 1999; Soja 2002; Stachy 2010), while in the second half of the twentieth century positive trends prevailed (Wrzesiński 2009). The re-analysis of the river flow trends for data on the second half of the twentieth and the beginning of the twenty-first centuries showed a decrease in river flows, with the exception of the Carpathian Mountains rivers where an increase in river flow was observed (Piniewski et al. 2018). Furthermore, numerous researchers point out to a decline in river flow in Europe in the summer half-year and an increase in the winter half-year (Wrzesiński 2009; Stahl et al. 2010; Hannaford et al. 2013; Birsan et al. 2014; Piniewski et al. 2018).
There is a region influencing considerably water resources for more than half of the territory of Poland, i.e., the Upper Vistula Basin. There is no detailed study reflecting contemporary trends in river flow with the exception of Piniewski et al.'s (2018) work related to the whole country area. The aim of the paper is an analysis of trends of average annual river flow in the Upper Vistula Basin in the years 1951–2015 and investigation into the spatial differentiation of trends. A multitemporal trend approach was applied to trend analysis, and trends were fitted to every possible combination of start and end years in the time record. This research may partly fill the gap in current research on changes in the river flow and additionally eliminate the impact of the length of data series on the value of calculated trend and is a response to the need for comprehensive studies of river flow trends (Hannaford et al. 2013; Piniewski et al. 2018). It is worth pointing out, however, that multitemporal trend approach does not replace the analysis of individual trends, but yet may be a complementary part of the study.

Study area

The area of the Upper Vistula Basin (at Zawichost gauge) drains a total of 50,731.8 km² and covers a large part of the Southern Poland (Fig. 1). This area is about 25% of the total Vistula drainage basin and is shared by Poland (91%), Ukraine (5%) and Slovakia (4%). There are located three geographical regions: the Carpathian Mountains and their foreland, the Subcarpathian Basins and the Lesser Poland Uplands. The highest point within the watershed reaches the altitude of 2438 m a.s.l. in the Tatra Mountains, whereas the lower one is 134 m a.s.l. There are the highest annual precipitations in the Carpathian Mountains reaching 1700 mm. This region includes headwater of most of the tributaries of the Upper Vistula River. Due to significant water resources, the Carpathian Mountains secure water for a number of regions far beyond their boundaries being an important water tower for the rest of the country (Pociask-Karteczka 2016). The share of the outflow from the Upper Vistula Basin in relation to the outflow from the whole Vistula basin amounts to 41%, although it occupies only 25% of the entire Vistula River Basin (Chełmicki 1991). Hence, much of the flood risk in Poland is related to the Upper Vistula River Basin, and its right-bank tributaries significantly contribute to the total flood damage.

Data and methods

The study applied a multitemporal approach, whereby trends are fitted to every possible combination of start and end years in a record (McCabe and Wolock 2002; Hannaford et al. 2013). The datasets of 86 water gauge stations located on 50 rivers in the Upper Vistula Basin with long (1951–2015) hydrometric records were used in the analysis. The average daily river flow provided by the monitoring network system carried out by the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management–National Research Institute (IMGW-BIP) was used in the study. The prevailing length of individual measurement series is 55 years except four records: Czarna—Raków, Prądnik—Ojców, Rudawa—Balice with 45-year series and the Nida—Czarna with a 50-year series.

In the first stage of the study, average annual flow values for each year (SQY), winter half-year (SQW) and summer half-year (SQS) for each catchment were calculated according to the classical formula for the arithmetic mean based on daily flow values, creating a data series for each catchment. In the next step, based on the data series received for each catchment, multiannual average flow values for year (SSQY), winter half-year (SSQw) and summer half-year (SSQS) were calculated (also using the arithmetic mean formula).

Data series created from average annual flow values for each year (SQY), winter half-year (SQW) and summer half-year (SQS) for each catchment were standardized by their multiannual mean value (respectively, by SSQY, SSQw, SSQS), so that on all subsequent time series plots, annual values are shown as a proportion of the long-term mean in that indicator. To calculate normalized average flow values (STD SQ), the following formula was used:

\[
\text{STD SQ}_n = \frac{SQ_n}{SSQ}
\]

where \(n\) is the certain year of multiannual period.

For the purpose of further calculations, the values obtained in the previous step were combined into annual data series (S_STD SQY), winter half-year data series (S_STD SQW) and summer half-year data series (S_STD SQS).

Then, the catchments were clustered into a few regions broadly homogenous in terms of standardized annual (S_STD SQY), standardized winter half-year (S_STD SQW) and standardized summer half-year (S_STD SQS) river flow series in the studied multiannual period. Cluster analysis in each of three groupings was carried out using the agglomerative hierarchical Ward method employing the Pearson’s distance matrix \(1 - r\). Ward’s method was used because it is one of the most popular and commonly used grouping methods in hydrology and meteorology (Hannaford et al. 2013; Wrzesiński and Sobkowiak 2018). It is worth noting that this method is often used due to simple mathematical properties and convenient graphical interpretation. The optimum number of clusters was determined on the basis of the geometry of the dendrogram and the plot of the binding distance. The number of identified groups was selected according to the highest homogeneity in a group in terms of interannual variability of average river flows.
In the next step, the average values from standardized flows were calculated for separated groups of catchments separately for each year, each winter half-year and each summer half-year. As a result of this process, average values of standardized group flows were obtained for each year (GrSTD SQY), each winter half-year (GrSTD SQW) and each summer half-year (GrSTD SQS). These calculations were carried out in each of the separated groups using the formula:

$$GrSTD\ SQ_n = \frac{\sum STD\ SQ_n}{m}$$

where $n$ is the certain year of multiannual period and $m$ is number of catchments in the group for given $n$. 

---

**Fig. 1** Research area with locations of water level gauges in the Upper Vistula Basin. 1—the Rudawa at Balice, 2—the Szreniawa at Biskupice, 3—the Wierna Rzeka at Bocheniec, 4—the Gostynia at Bojszowy, 5—the Uszwica at Borzęcin, 6—the Nida at Brzegi, 7—the Wielopolka at Brzeźnica, 8—the Dunajec at Czchów, 9—the Biała at Czechowice–Bestwina, 10—the Ilownica at Czecze-wice-Dziedzice, 11—the Brynica at Czeladź, 12—the Niżica at Dobiesławice, 13—the San at Dwernik, 14—the San at Dynów, 15—the Biała Przemsza at Golczowice, 16—the Sękowa at Gorlice, 17—the Młoczka at Gorliczyna, 18—the Biała at Grybów, 19—the San at Harasiuki, 20—the Wisła at Jagodniki, 21—the Łososina at Jakubowice, 22—the San at Jarosław, 23—the Basiałka at Jasło, 24—the Wisła at Jawiszowice, 25—the Przemsza at Jeleni, 26—the Skawa at Jordanów, 27—the Ropa at Klęczany, 28—the Koprzywianka at Koprzywinka, 29—the Biała at Koszyce Wielkie, 30—the Wisłoka at Krajowiec, 31—the Wisłoka at Krosno, 32—the Dunajec at Krościenko, 33—the Wiar at Krótkowice, 34—the San at Lesko, 35—the Białka at Łysa Polana, 36—the Wisłoka at Mieliec, 37—the Nida at Mińsk, 38—the Raba at Mszana Dolna, 39—the Poprad at Muszyń, 40—the Biała at Namieśnik, 41—the Wisłoka at Nienowice, 42—the Dunajec at Nowy Sącz, 43—the Czarny Dunajec at Nowy Targ, 44—the Dunajec at Nowy Targ-Kowaniec, 45—the Prądnik at Ojców, 46—the Tanew at Osuchy, 47—the Soła at Oświęcim, 48—the Nida at Pńiczów, 49—the Czarna at Polaniec, 50—the Raba at Przysiółek, 51—the Przemsza at Przeczyce, 52—the San at Przemyśl, 53—the Pszczyńska at Pszczyna, 54—the San at Radomyśl, 55—the Soła at Rajcza, 56—the Czarna at Raków, 57—the Bukowa at Ruda Jastkowska, 58—the Wierpróżanka at Rudze, 59—the Wisłoka at Rzeszów, 60—the Wisła at Sandemierz, 61—the Trzebosińska at Sarzyna, 62—the Skawica Dolna at Skawica, 63—the Wisła at Skoczy, 64—the Biała Przemsza at Sławów, 65—the Bobrza at Sławków, 66—the Poprad at Starý Sącz, 67—the Stradomka at Stradomka, 68—the Raba at Stróże, 69—the Skawa at Sucha Beskidzka, 70—the Białą Dunajec at Szafiari, 71—the Wisła at Szczucin, 72—the Solinka at Terka, 73—the Czarna Nida at Tokarnia, 74—the Ropa at Topoliny, 75—the Wisłoka at Tryńcza, 76—the Wisła at Ustron-Oblatice, 77—the Skawa at Wadowice, 78—the Wschodnia at Wilkowa, 79—the Olsawa at Zagórze, 80—the Cicha Woda at Zakopane–Harenda, 81—the Lubaczówka at Zapałowie, 82—the Wisła at Zawichost, 83—the Dunajec at Żabno, 84—the Wisłoka at Zarnowa, 85—the Wisłoka at Żółków and 86—the Soła at Żywiec.
The final effect of the procedure, outlined above, was receiving for each group a series of annual data (S_GrSTD SQY), of the winter half-year data (S_GrSTD SQW) and of the summer half-year data (S_GrSTD SQS).

To check the quality of the data series match, there was determined a relationship between group-averages series (S_GrSTD SQY, S_GrSTD SQW, S_GrSTD SQS) and standardized average river flow series in particular catchments belonging to a given group (S_STD SQY, S_STD SQW, S_STD SQS). The coefficient of determination calculated as the square of the Pearson correlation coefficient was used for this purpose. (Only positive correlation coefficients were considered.)

Trend analysis was performed to group-average river flows series (S_GrSTD SQY, S_GrSTD SQW, S_GrSTD SQS). The nonparametric Mann–Kendall statistic was used to test for trends. It identifies monotonic increases or decreases in a time series by comparing between successive values. The Mann–Kendall test has been advocated for hydrological applications (Kundzewicz and Robson 2004). In order to remove the autocorrelation data from the series, the trend-free prewhitening (TFPW) method was used (Yue et al. 2002). According to McCabe and Wolock (2002), Mann–Kendall’s statistics and its statistical significance were calculated for every possible combination of start and end years in the record 1951–2015. The minimum length of the calculation period was 20 years (Hannaford et al. 2013).

**Results**

**Annual river flow**

The result of cluster analysis is shown in Fig. 2. Most of the seven distinguished clusters of catchments are geographically coherent within the Upper Vistula Basin. The homogeneity of clusters (Y1 – YVII) varies substantially. The standardized annual average flow series in particular basins (S_STD SQY) has been explained by the standardized group-average annual flow series (S_GrSTD SQY) from 53% (Biała Przemsza–Ślawków) to 98% (Brynica–Namiarki). A low homogeneity reveals the highest parts of the Upper Vistula Basin: The average annual river flow in the northern Tatra Mountains (YIII) and the High Bieszczady Mountains catchments (YII) are poorly explained by the group-average river flow series (S_GrSTD SQY)—from 57 to 74%, respectively—in comparison with other catchments within their groups.

There are clearly substantial differences between the directionality and magnitude of trends over time within particular clusters in the Upper Vistula Basin (Fig. 3). The YV upland cluster (Brynica River) is characterized by the predominant positive trends, while trends in the neighbouring group YVI are mostly negative. Negative trends are found also in the group YVI comprising the Oświęcim Basin, the Silesian Foothills and the Silesian Beskids Mountains. Within the Carpathian Mountains catchments (groups YI, YIII, and YIV), there is a contrast in trend directionality and positive trends prevail. Fluctuations between positive and negative trends over a range of periods remain in two groups YI and YIV, representing mostly lowland catchments.

Trends in all groups are positive up to the second half of the 1980s. The decrease in river flow in the following years has weakened these trends, especially in mountain and foothill groups (YIII, YIV) and upland group (YI). Within the rest of groups (YI, YIV, YVI, YVII), there is a very strong contrast in trend directionality at the end of the 1980s, when trends reversed to negative ending in the end of the 1990s.

Trends after 2000 are mostly positive in the Carpathian catchments (YII, YIII, YIV) and Brynica River catchment (YV) in contrast to YVI and YVII groups, where negative trends prevail. Trends in the group YI (eastern part of the Sandomierz Basin and a part of Roztocze) follow a very different pattern. After 2010, trends become weaker.

Trends starting between 1961 and 1981 are all negative up to the 1990s in all groups, and they remain negative in YIV, YVI, and YVII. Groups YI, YIII, YV show the change in trend directionality from negative to positive. In the YI group, no unambiguous flow trends were found. For most of the groups, annual river flow trends after 1981 are predominantly positive to all end years. Negative trends over a range of periods after 1991 are common.

**Winter half-year river flow**

The eight groups of catchments on the basis of homogenous hydrological behaviour on an S_STD SQW using cluster analysis were formed. The clusters are relatively geographically coherent areas within the Upper Vistula Basin (Fig. 4). The standardized group averages of winter half-year data (S_GrSTD SQW) explain from 33% (Biała–Czechowice-Dziedzice) to 97% (Brynica–Namiarki) of standardized average winter half-year flow series in the catchments (S_STD SQW).

In the case of drainage catchments, the northern slopes of the Tatra Mountains and the Bieszczady Mountains (from 51 to 62%) and catchments located within the Oświęcim Basin and the Silesian Foothills (from 33 to 64%) in comparison with winter half-year flow in other catchments inside their group were poorly explained by their mean group (S_GrSTD SQW). A considerably low homogeneity reveals WVVI and WVVIII with catchments within, respectively, the Oświęcim Basin and the Silesian Foothills (from 33 to 64%).
Overall, the average winter half-year river flows follow various patterns in the period 1951–2015 (Fig. 5). Positive trends prevail in the Brynica River \((W_I)\) and in the Carpathian catchments \((W_{II}, W_{IV}, W_V)\). River flow in the catchments in upland area \((W_{VII}, W_{VIII})\) and the Vistula River flow \((W_{VI})\) reveal decreasing trends. In the eastern parts of the Sandomierz Basin and Roztocze \((W_{III})\), any dominating trend has been observed.

Positive trends prevail strongly up to the second half of the 1980s in all groups except \(W_V\) where this prevailing is less apparent. Trends remain positive in the following years in \(W_I\) and \(W_{IV}\) and become relatively weaker in \(W_{II}\) and \(W_V\), while there is a strong contrast in trend directionality over the time series in the groups \(W_{III}, W_{VI}, W_{VII}, W_{VIII}\) (positive/negative). Trends starting in the end of the 1990s are positive within the \(W_I, W_{II}, W_{IV}\) and \(W_V\) groups. However, in
the group $W_{III}$ trend reverses (positive/negative). Negative trends are found in the groups $W_{VI}, W_{VII}, W_{VIII}$ until 2015. All trends starting in 1965–1981 and ending in 1985–2015 onwards are negative in $W_{VI}, W_{VII}, W_{VIII}$. In the group $W_{III}$, trends remain negative to the end of the 1990s and then change toward a positive one. There are fluctuations between positive and negative trends in the groups $W_{I}, W_{II}, W_{IV}, W_{V}$ until 2000; afterwards, positive trends increase.

Trends starting between 1981 and 1991 are positive in all groups, while those starting after 1991 are mostly negative.

**Summer half-year river flow**

The catchments are clustered into eight groups, which are broadly homogenous in terms of variability of the summer half-year river flow (Fig. 6). Their geographical coherency...
is weaker than in the case of previous grouping. The homogeneity of the group $S_1 - S_{VIII}$ differs substantially. The standardized group-average summer flow series ($S_{GrSTD SQS}$) explains from 55% (Cicha Woda River—Zakopane–Harenda) to 99% (Brynica–Namiarki) standardized summer average flows series in catchments ($S_{STD SQS}$).

A low homogeneity reveals the group $S_1$ where the average summer river flow on the northern slopes of the Tatra Mountains has been poorly weakly explained—from 55% to 68%—by the standardized group—average summer half-year flow series ($S_{GrSTD SQS}$).

A very strong contrast in trend directionality over the average summer half-year river flow series has been found (Fig. 7). Trends in the catchments at the left-bank part of the Upper Vistula River ($S_{VI}, S_{VII}, S_{VIII}$) are predominantly negative except the Brynica ($S_V$). At the right-bank part of the Upper Vistula River basin ($S_1, S_{II}$ and $S_{III}$), positive trends...
prevail with the exception of the $S_{IV}$ group, which shows negative trends.

Trends in particular groups follow very different patterns. Trends of the summer half-year average river flow starting between 1951 and 1961 are positive for the groups $S_I$, $S_{III}$, $S_{IV}$ and likewise for the groups $S_{II}$, $S_{VI}$ and $S_{VII}$ but only until the middle of the 1980s followed then by negative trends onwards. In the $S_{VIII}$ until the mid-1980s, there have been no trends, while from the second half of the 1980s, negative trends have been observed.

Trends starting in between 1961 and 1981 were largely negative—up to 2015 in groups $S_{II}$, $S_{IV}$, $S_{VI}$, $S_{VII}$ and $S_{VIII}$. For the $S_I$ and $S_{III}$ change in trends has been observed from negative to positive. The summer half-year average river flow in the group $S_V$ is firmly dominated by positive trends. Negative trends occur merely in periods starting between 1971 and 1975 and ending in 1995 in this group.

**Discussion**

The results of multitemporal trend analysis for the annual, winter half-year and summer half-year averages river flow in the Upper Vistula Basin reveal substantial differences in trends in 1951–2015. It is consistent with previous studies carried out for rivers in Poland (Bartnik and Jokiel 1997; Fal and Bogdanowicz 2002; Wrzesiński 2009; Piniewski et al. 2018). Similar findings were observed by Hannaford et al. 2013 for other European rivers. Current research allows to identify in short river flow records an alternating occurrence of periods with positive and negative trends except two groups of catchments $W_{IV}$ and $W_V$. A shift from positive to negative trends in the first half of the 1960s and the end of the 1980s is typical for a multiannual temporal pattern of trends over a range of catchments (for the Brynica catchment: 1970s and 1980s, respectively). Apparent short-term trends indicate river flow fluctuations as a response of changing precipitation and air temperature associated with atmospheric circulation over Europe driven by large-scale patterns of climatic variability such as the NAO (Pociask-Karteczka et al. 2002–2003; Styszyńska and Tamulewicz 2005; Pociask-Karteczka 2006; Wrzesiński 2011; Wrzesiński and Paluszkiewicz 2011).

Long-term 40–50-year trends—primarily negative—are found in river flow catchments in the highland regions. The strong trends in summer half-year average flow prevail in the western part of highland region, while those in the eastern part are slightly weaker. There is a substantial difference in the Brynica River flow, which is dominated by strong positive trends in cases of both annual and half-year average flows. This catchment is strongly anthropogenically influenced primarily by Silesian coal mine activity. It was reported by Punzet (1973), Dynowska (1984) and Czaja (1988) that pumped groundwater discharge to the river hinders a natural variability of river regime flow. Unsurprisingly, the group-average flow explains very poor average flows in such catchment as it is similar for the catchments on the northern slopes of the Tatras.

Clustering of catchments on the basis of variability of annual and winter half-year average river flows allowed to distinguish two regions in the Carpathian part of the Upper Vistula River basin: the western region and eastern region. This is unsurprising given the increase into the east a climatic continentality (Koźuchowski and Marciński 1992) associated with predominance of snow over rain precipitation (Dynowska 1971; Ziemońska 1973). The western region spans to the upper part of the Biała Tarnowska River and the Dunajec River catchment, as Dobija (1981) and Chelmnicki et al. (1999) indicated in their research on river runoff patterns and river runoff variability. In the case of the summer half-year average river flow, the border spans further to the east to the Wisłoka River (Ziemońska 1973) and to the Nida River in the northern part of uplands.

**Conclusions**

The conducted studies provide temporal patterns of trends and the evidence of regional hydrological response to the climate and anthropogenic activity in the Upper Vistula Basin.

Clustering of catchments on the basis of variability of annual, winter half-year and summer half-year average river flows allowed to distinguish three groups of catchments, i.e., $Y_{I-IV}$, $W_{I-VIII}$ and $S_{I-VIII}$, geographically coherent in various degrees.

There are differences between groups of catchments in the directionality of trends over time for any one group of catchment.

Despite the presence of alternation in short-term trends—especially evident in the case of average annual trends—a wide variation in long-term trends was observed in all groups. Their spatial distribution is closely related to the geographical regions. This may indicate a very strong impact of local environmental features on river runoff. This was pointed out in his works by Dobija and Dynowska (1975), Parajka et al. (2009), Wrzesiński (2017) and Wrzesiński and Sobkowiak (2018). Bezak et al. (2015) also referred to significance of the environment in identifying trends. Research conducted by Gutry-Korycka (1996, 1997) indicated lower resistance of lowland rivers to climatic changes compared to upland and mountain rivers. This analysis enables to conclude that two neighbouring groups of river catchments with different environmental conditions may react differently to climate changes and, therefore, have a different trend value.

The considerably higher coefficient of determination between the groups distinguished with average summer
half-year flow likely indicates reasonably higher significance of climatic factors such as precipitation and air temperature than another geographical factors such as landforms and catchment storage on hydrology in the summer season. Further trends in river flow in the future will be dominated by increasing precipitation and air temperature as climate scenario projects for Poland. Therein, a significant increase in river flow will be noted in the winter season (Piniawski et al. 2016, 2017). Despite that, Romanowicz et al. (2016) signalized lack of tendencies in future river flows trends in two catchments in the Upper Vistula Basin and discrepancies in river flow projection for different climate scenarios.

The results of this study indicate considerable variability of trends in time according to the length of analysed time series. Extending or shortening of the time dataset causes strengthening or weakening of trend, or even change in the directionality of trend. Hence, an attempt to extrapolate river flow into past or future of such a selective viewpoint could easily be misleading, as mentioned by Svensson et al. (2006), Chen and Grasby (2009) and Hannaford et al. (2013). Also the underlying assumption of stationarity of river flow should be critically reviewed (Milly et al. 2008, 2015; Piniawski et al. 2018).
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