The Evolution and Diversity of DNA Transposons in the Genome of the Lizard Anolis carolinensis
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Abstract

DNA transposons have considerably affected the size and structure of eukaryotic genomes and have been an important source of evolutionary novelties. In vertebrates, DNA transposons are discontinuously distributed due to the frequent extinction and recolonization of these genomes by active elements. We performed a detailed analysis of the DNA transposons in the genome of the lizard Anolis carolinensis, the first non-avian reptile to have its genome sequenced. Elements belonging to six of the previously recognized superfamilies of elements (hAT, Tc1/Mariner, Helitron, PIF/Harbinger, Polinton/Maverick, and Chapaev) were identified. However, only four (hAT, Tc1/Mariner, Helitron, and Chapaev) of these superfamilies have successfully amplified in the anole genome, producing 67 distinct families. The majority (57/67) are nonautonomous and demonstrate an extraordinary diversity of structure, resulting from frequent interelement recombination and incorporation of extraneous DNA sequences. The age distribution of transposon families differs among superfamilies and reveals different dynamics of amplification. Chapaev is the only superfamily to be extinct and is represented only by old copies. The hAT, Tc1/Mariner, and Helitron superfamilies show different pattern of amplification, yet they are predominantly represented by young families, whereas divergent families are exceedingly rare. Although it is likely that some elements, in particular long ones, are subjected to purifying selection and do not reach fixation, the majority of families are neutral and accumulate in the anole genome in large numbers. We propose that the scarcity of old copies in the anole genome results from the rapid decay of elements, caused by a high rate of DNA loss.
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Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs) are mobile DNA sequences that are typically classified into two categories: class 1 elements that require an RNA intermediate for their transposition (e.g., retrotransposons) and class 2 elements that transpose as single or double-strand DNA (Craig et al. 2002). Class 2 transposons can be divided into three categories that differ in their mode of transposition: the classical cut-and-paste DNA transposons, the rolling circle transposons or Helitrons and the “self-synthesizing” Mavericks or Polintons (Kapitonov and Jurka 2005; Feschotte and Pritham 2007). The cut-and-paste group is the most diverse and is further divided into ten superfamilies that diverged before the diversification of eukaryotes. Cut-and-paste transposons contain an open reading frame (ORF) that encode for the enzyme transposase. The transposase specifically recognizes the terminal inverted repeats (TIRs) of the element, excises the transposon, and inserts it elsewhere in the host’s genome (Robertson 2002). Upon insertion in the genome, target site duplications (TSDs) are produced. The length and sequence of the TSDs and terminal motifs of the TIRs are highly conserved across superfamilies and are useful in categorizing elements. Following the excision of an element, the donor site may be repaired via homologous recombination. However, the gap repair process is oftentimes interrupted resulting in shorter elements with internal deletions (Engels et al. 1990). These shorter copies still possess TIRs that can be recognized by the transposable encoded by complete elements and consequently they retained their mobility (Hartl et al. 1992). These nonautonomous elements compete with their progenitors for the transposase and often outnumber their autonomous relatives (Yang et al. 2009).
TEs have dramatically affected the size, structure, and function of the genomes they inhabit (Feschotte and Pritham 2007; Cordeau and Batzer 2009). Although most TE insertions are either neutral or deleterious, the domestication by the host of TE-encoded sequences can occur and is responsible for the evolution of fundamental biological processes such as light sensing in plants (Hudson et al. 2003; Lin et al. 2007) and V(D)J recombination in vertebrates (Jones and Gellert 2004; Mathews 2006; Jiao et al. 2007). However, it is likely that the impact of class 1 and class 2 elements varies among species because their abundance and diversity greatly differ among groups of organisms (Eickbush and Furano 2002; Furano et al. 2004). For instance, fish genomes contain a diversity of active DNA transposons that coexist with a multitude of retrotransposon families (Duvernell et al. 2004). In contrast, mammalian genomes are dominated by class 1 elements and it was believed until recently that mammals completely lack active class 2 elements, although DNA transposons were once diverse and very active in early mammalian evolution (Lander et al. 2001; Lindblad-Toh et al. 2005; Pace and Feschotte 2007). However, recent analyses have shown that vertebrate genomes, including mammalian genomes, can be recolonized by laterally transferred DNA transposons and that these transfers seem to occur relatively frequently (Pace et al. 2008; Gilbert et al. 2010; Novick et al. 2010).

Here, we present the first analysis of class 2 elements in a reptile, the North American green anole, Anolis carolinensis. The green anole is the first non-avian reptile to have its genome sequenced, bridging a large phylogenetic gap between fish and mammals. We discovered that DNA transposons are represented in the anole genome by six superfamilies (hAT, Tc1/Mariner, Helitron, PIF/Harbinger, Polinton/Maverick, and Chapaev). However, only four of them have amplified to significant numbers (hAT, Tc1/Mariner, Helitron, and Chapaev). These prolific superfamilies are represented in the anole genome by ten autonomous families, which are responsible for the amplification of a multitude of nonautonomous families that largely outnumber their autonomous counterparts. The age distribution of DNA transposons suggests that novel insertions do reach fixation, yet the near absence of ancient elements indicates that some postinsertional mechanism(s) limits the accumulation of DNA transposons in the anole genome.

**Materials and Methods**

**Acquisition of Class 2 Elements in the Anole Genome**

An exhaustive search of the anole genome for class 2 transposons was completed with three different methods. Our initial analysis was accomplished using the program PILER (Edgar and Myers 2005). We used this program to find matching sequences of a minimum repeat length of 100 bp and a minimum consensus of 95%. From the output subgroups, only those with a minimum of ten copies were analyzed. These novel families were then extracted and assembled into contigs using Seqman II (part of the DNASTar package: http://www.dnastar.com/), combining any redundant output into a single family. The resulting alignments were collected to form an initial library of TEs from the anole genome. This library was then used as the basis of a RepeatMasker search of the genome to find additional copies of the putative elements. Hits of sufficient length, typically at least 100 bp, were extracted from the genome along with a minimum of 500 bp of flanking sequence using custom PERL scripts. The extracted sequence subgroups were again aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) and consensus sequences were generated. The process was repeated until the full-length sequence of each putative element was obtained.

A second search of the genome, using the Repeatscout program (Price et al. 2005), was performed to identify any previously undiscovered elements (Inmer = 12). The resulting putative TEs were assembled into contigs using Seqman II and aligned using MUSCLE. Any previously identified putative elements were not processed, whereas new elements were used to create a library for use in a Repeatmasker search and the output as described above.

Lastly, we performed a BlastX search of the genome using amino acid sequences derived from a known transposon library available from Repbase (v13.01). The resulting hits of at least 100 bp, with a maximum score of $2 \times 10^{-150}$, at multiple loci were extracted along with 500 bp of flanking sequence (using a modified version of the previously used PERL scripts) and aligned.

**Classification of Elements**

Elements were separated into superfamilies and further subdivided into families based upon size and sequence similarity. A consensus sequence for each family was created. The pairwise divergence between elements and the average divergence from the consensus sequence were calculated using Kimura’s 2-parameter method in MEGA 4.0 (Tamura et al. 2007). We estimated copy number for each family by using the Blast option on NCBI; however, as many of the elements are either extremely fragmented and some families are nearly identical at their ends but differ in their central region, it is difficult to ascertain the exact copy number of each family. In order to only count nonfragmented elements, we limited our percent identity score to 90%.

Finally, elements were scanned for the presence/absence of TIRs, TSDs, ORFs, and similarity to elements in other genomes. TIRs were discovered by aligning the 5′ in the positive orientation with the reverse complement of the 3′ of elements belonging to the same family. TSDs were determined by collecting 20 bp downstream of the 3′ end and 20 bases upstream of the 5′ end for at least 20 sequences...
per family. Percentages for each of the four possible nucleotides were then calculated for each position. As repeat masker oftentimes did not recognize some of these novel nonautonomous elements, the sequence and length of TSDs and TIRs were used to categorize each family to its proper autonomous superfamily. ORF finder and Conserved Domains Database (Marchler-Bauer et al. 2007) were used in tandem to find the length of ORFs and types of proteins encoded by autonomous elements. Finally, in order to identify possible events of horizontal transfer, consensus sequences from each family were submitted to the Blast option on the NCBI Web site and a multitude of sequenced organisms were screened.

Results
The genome of A. carolinensis contains elements representative of six superfamilies, but two of these superfamilies (Pif/Harbinger and Polintoni/Maverick) did not produce any significant amplifications and are represented by less than 10 copies. Conversely, the hAT, Mariner, Hei@tron, and Chapaev superfamilies were very prolific and produced 67 distinct families (ten autonomous and 57 nonautonomous), yet they differ drastically in abundance and diversity.

The hAT Superfamily
The hAT superfamily is the most abundant and diverse in the anole genome. It is represented by five autonomous and 32 nonautonomous families (table 1). All these families display the structural features typical of the hAT superfamily, including 8 bp TSDs and terminal motifs of YARNG. Four of the five autonomous hAT families are found in distantly related animals and result from independent events of horizontal transfer (hAT-HT1_AC, hAT-HT2_AC, hAT-HT3_AC, and SPIN_AC) (Pace et al. 2008; Novick et al. 2010). These four laterally transferred hAT elements are more closely related to mammalian Charlie elements (fig. 1). The fifth family, called hobo AC, is the only one for which there is no evidence of lateral transfer because we failed to find similar elements in other genomes. As its name indicates, it belongs to the hobo clade of hATs (fig. 1). Each autonomous hAT family produced nonautonomous (from 1 to 15) families with similar TSD and TIR sequences. Nonautonomous copies outnumber autonomous copies in the anole genome by nearly two orders of magnitude (~330 autonomous copies vs. ~24 000 nonautonomous copies). For instance, the most abundant autonomous family, hobo AC (290 copies), is responsible for the mobility of at least 15 nonautonomous families, totaling 3,272 copies. Most nonautonomous families correspond to deleted versions of autonomous elements; consequently their evolutionary affinities are relatively easy to determine. Yet, we identified eight nonautonomous families that did not show any similarity with a known autonomous family beyond the TIR. For instance, hAT-N4 AC elements have TIRs that are indistinguishable in length and sequence from hAT-HT2 AC, yet they do not share homology with autonomous and nonautonomous hAT-2 outside the TIRs (table 1). Although the origin of this family remains unclear, the similarity of its TIRs with the TIRs of autonomous hAT-HT2 elements suggests that hAT-N4 AC elements are mobilized by hAT-HT2. Of the eight “orphan” families, we found similarity with the TIR of an autonomous family for five of them suggesting that a known autonomous family is responsible for their mobilization. The remaining three (hAT-N6, hAT-N7, and hAT-N8) have identical TIRs, but the sequence of their TIR is different from the TIR of the five autonomous families. The transposase of one of the autonomous copies could be mobilizing these elements despite their lack of similarity in the TIR. Alternatively, we cannot exclude that another autonomous hAT family exists in anole and either was missed by our search if its copy number is extremely low or is so new in anole that it is polymorphic in populations and absent from the individual used for the genome sequencing or has never reached fixation in the more distant past.

Although autonomous hAT elements in anole are typical members of their superfamily (length and sequence of TIRs and TSDs), they differ considerably in length. The hAT-HT1 AC, hAT-HT2 AC, hAT-HT3 AC, and SPIN AC families are all between 2 and 3 kb long, but hobo AC elements are much longer, ranging from 9 to 15 kb (fig. 2). This unusual length results from the incorporation in hobo elements of a considerable amount of extraneous DNA, including a number of partial transposon insertions from class 2 (such as multiple fragments of Chapaeev3-1 AC) and class 1 (such as RTE Bov-B, CR1, and Sau96-SINE) (Kords and Gubensek 1998; Piskurek et al. 2006; Shedlock 2006). As these elements belong to families older than hobo AC, it is likely that their presence in the sequence of hobo AC elements results from the incorporation of large fragments of genomic DNA that fortuitously contained ancient TE fragments and not from insertion events in hobo AC elements. The incorporation of genomic DNA has drastically increased the length of hobo AC, yet it has not altered the replicative ability of this family. The phylogenetic tree in figure 2 recapitulates the evolution of the hobo AC family. It is based on a 3-kb fragment common to all long hobo AC elements that includes the transposase domain. Autonomous hobo elements are very similar in this 3 kb region, as suggested by the short length of the branches, yet they cluster in several distinct lineages that differ significantly in structure due to the frequent insertion or loss of DNA sequence (fig. 2). The extreme structural variation in the relatively young hobo AC family demonstrates that DNA transposons in anole can gain or lose DNA sequences at a relatively high rate. Additionally, we found that some elements were composites of other autonomous copies. For instance, the 5’ half of element 1 (fig. 2) is very similar to element 3 but its 3’ half is identical in structure to element 4. This indicates that
The dynamic nature of *hobo-AC* evolution is also apparent in nonautonomous copies. *hobo-AC* is responsible for the amplification of at least 15 families of nonautonomous elements ranging in length from 1.3 to 3.4 kb. These nonautonomous families can be separated into two groups that contain slightly different TIRs: group A that contains families *hobo-N1-AC* to *hobo-N6-AC* and group B with families *hobo-N7-AC* to *hobo-N13-AC* (fig. 3). The similarity between the TIRs of group A with the TIRs of autonomous *hobo-AC* elements suggests that these elements result from deletions of the autonomous elements found in the anole genome. In contrast, group B elements are likely to have evolved from a subset of *hobo-AC* elements with different TIRs that is apparently no longer present in the anole genome.

Table 1

Characteristics and Nomenclature of all Families of Autonomous and Nonautonomous hAT/hobo DNA Transposons in the Lizard *Anolis carolinensis*.

| Name             | Copy Number | Length in bp | TIR | % Divergence ± SE | % Divergence from Consensus ± SE |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| *hAT-HT1-AC*     | 5 (5*a      | 2968         | 8 bp | 5.82 ± 0.40       | 3.88 ± 0.35                     |
| *hAT-HT1N1-AC*   | 588         | 585          | NTCATAN | 4.75 ± 0.64       | 2.55 ± 0.42                     |
| *hAT-HT2-AC*     | 5 (4*a      | 2246         | 8 bp | 0.14 ± 0.07       | 0.00 ± 0.00                     |
| *hAT-HT2N1-AC*   | 28          | 1485         | NHTAGRN | 1.34 ± 0.31       | 0.73 ± 0.15                     |
| *hAT-HT2N2-AC*   | 43          | 1065         | NTCATAN | 3.09 ± 0.27       | 1.52 ± 0.22                     |
| *hAT-HT2N3-AC*   | 1,372       | 780          | NTNTANAN | 4.76 ± 0.43       | 1.75 ± 0.18                     |
| *hAT-HT3-AC*     | <25 (13)a   | 2754         | 8 bp | 5.62 ± 0.29       | 3.22 ± 0.22                     |
| *hAT-HT3N1-AC*   | 344         | 326          | NTCATAN | 3.98 ± 0.37       | 1.78 ± 0.23                     |
| *hAT-HT3N2-AC*   | 132         | 833          | NNYTARN | 8.44 ± 0.77       | 4.04 ± 0.35                     |
| *hAT-HT3N3-AC*   | 682         | 799          | NTCATAN | 9.66 ± 0.54       | 6.31 ± 0.42                     |
| *hobo-AC*        | 25 (200)a   | ≈15,000      | 8 bp | 1.93 ± 0.09       | 1.12 ± 0.07                     |
| *hobo-N1-AC*     | 300         | 1854         | NTRNNYAN | 1.80 ± 0.30       | 0.90 ± 0.15                     |
| *hobo-N2-AC*     | 201         | 2359         | NTRNNYAN | 1.71 ± 0.40       | 0.80 ± 0.30                     |
| *hobo-N3-AC*     | 489         | 2192         | NTRNNYAN | 0.90 ± 0.01       | 0.50 ± 0.01                     |
| *hobo-N4-AC*     | 60          | 2042         | NTRNNYAN | 1.07 ± 0.09       | 0.49 ± 0.06                     |
| *hobo-N5-AC*     | 34          | 2440         | NTRNNYAN | 0.88 ± 0.09       | 0.27 ± 0.04                     |
| *hobo-N6-AC*     | 188         | 1995         | NTRNNYAN | 0.82 ± 0.11       | 0.28 ± 0.14                     |
| *hobo-N7-AC*     | 295         | 2766         | NTRNNYAN | 1.64 ± 0.13       | 0.46 ± 0.04                     |
| *hobo-N8-AC*     | 264         | 2262         | NTRNNYAN | 2.28 ± 0.21       | 0.73 ± 0.08                     |
| *hobo-N9-AC*     | 284         | 2465         | NTRNNYAN | 1.90 ± 0.21       | 0.14 ± 0.13                     |
| *hobo-N10-AC*    | 71          | 2646         | NTRNNYAN | 2.43 ± 0.18       | 0.69 ± 0.11                     |
| *hobo-N11-AC*    | 120         | 2686         | NTRNNYAN | 1.92 ± 0.13       | 0.68 ± 0.19                     |
| *hobo-N12-AC*    | 26          | 3413         | NTRNNYAN | 1.81 ± 0.13       | 0.91 ± 0.08                     |
| *hobo-N13-AC*    | 16          | >3.5 kb      | NA   | 2.23 ± 0.23       | 1.15 ± 0.15                     |
| *hobo-N14-AC*    | 235         | 1911         | NTNTANAN | 1.06 ± 0.14       | 0.56 ± 0.08                     |
| *hobo-N15-AC*    | 689         | 2490         | NTNTANAN | 0.56 ± 0.10       | 0.23 ± 0.04                     |
| *SPIN_N1-AC*     | <5 (1)a     | NA           | —    | —                 | —                                |
| *SPIN_N11-AC*    | 12,138      | 273          | —    | —                 | —                                |
| *SPIN_N14-AC*    | 181         | 745          | NNYTARN | 12.3 ± 0.69       | 7.04 ± 0.74                     |
| *hAT-N1-AC*      | >1,000      | 135          | NNYTARN | 4.51 ± 0.66       | 2.36 ± 0.37                     |
| *hAT-N2-AC*      | <20         | 188          | NNYTARN | 49.9 ± 4.49       | 18.5 ± 2.62                     |
| *hAT-N3-AC*      | 163         | 600          | NNYYRRN | 10.8 ± 0.77       | 6.00 ± 0.51                     |
| *hAT-N4-AC*      | >1,000      | 732          | NYYTARN | 3.01 ± 0.24       | 1.50 ± 0.12                     |
| *hAT-N5-AC*      | 16          | 495          | NTNTANAN | 33.7 ± 2.97       | 15.2 ± 1.93                     |
| *hAT-N6-AC*      | 964         | 810          | NNTYRRN | 0.60 ± 0.09       | 0.30 ± 0.04                     |
| *hAT-N7-AC*      | >1,000      | 288          | NNNNNNN | 2.39 ± 0.36       | 1.68 ± 0.51                     |
| *hAT-N8-AC*      | >1,000      | 329          | NNNNNNN | 1.77 ± 0.35       | 1.25 ± 0.27                     |

SE, standard error.

a Number of ORFs found in the genome regardless of the 5' and 3' ends.

b Not enough elements were retrieved to construct a TSD pattern beyond the number of base pairs.

c Due to the lack of complete elements, TIR was deduced from the 3' end only.

d Data from Pace et al. (2008).

*e* Only the first 20 bp of the TIR are presented here.
containing TE from other classes or superfamilies. Using the presence of TE fragments embedded within nonautonomous families as markers, we were able to decipher the evolutionary history of these families (depicted on fig. 4). The ancestral group A elements contained a 520 bp Penelope insertion (Arkhipova 2006) and a 333 bp ACA SINE. A partial deletion (250 bp) of the Penelope element occurred yielding families hobo-N2, 3, and 5. Independently, a partial deletion (176 bp) of the ACA SINE occurred and is shared by families hobo-N1 and 6. A recombination event between an element containing the deleted version of Penelope and one containing the deleted SINE resulted in family hobo-N4. Ancestral group B elements contain a CR1 and a Chapaev insertion and are represented in the anole genome by families hobo-N7, 8, and 9. Two independent recombination events occurred between group B and group A elements: one resulted in a family that is identical to a typical group A element but with a 3’ end similar to a group B element (hobo-N15_Ac) and the other produced a family which is similar to group B over most of its length but has a group A 3’ end (figs. 3 and 4). A fourth recombination event between family hobo-N15 and a group B element produced three families (hobo-N10, 11, and 12) with termini typical of group B but a central portion similar to group A.

The vast majority of hAT elements are young, as the average divergence from consensus is less than 10% for 85% of the families (fig. 5). As expected, there is a relatively good concordance between the age of autonomous families and their nonautonomous counterparts. This is true of families hAT-HT1_Ac, hAT-HT3_Ac, and hobo_Ac. For instance, the average divergence from consensus of family hobo_Ac is 1.12%, whereas the divergence of its nonautonomous relatives ranges from 0.49% to 1.15%. However, this might not be true of family hAT-HT2_Ac. Autonomous hAT-HT2 elements are extremely young and in fact their mean divergence from consensus is 0.00%. In contrast, their nonautonomous counterparts have divergence ranging from 0.73% to 1.75% and, thus, seem to predate their autonomous progenitor. It is plausible that these nonautonomous copies resulted from a previous wave of lateral transfer of the hAT-HT2 family that would have produced nonautonomous families but failed to establish a resident population of autonomous copies. Alternatively, this suggests that autonomous and nonautonomous copies have different dynamics in Anolis populations, possibly because they are differently affected by purifying selection. If autonomous copies are more deleterious to the host than nonautonomous ones, it is plausible that they fail to reach fixation and that their very young age reflects a high rate of turnover where the transposition of new copies is countered by the selective loss of deleterious copies. Neutral or nearly neutral elements, such as nonautonomous ones could reach fixation and accumulate more readily in the genome of the host.

The Tc1/mariner Superfamily

The second most diverse superfamily of DNA transposons, the Tc1/mariner superfamily, consists of one autonomous family and 17 nonautonomous families. These families are
characterized by a TA TSD and TIRs that vary considerably in length and sequence. The single autonomous family, Tc1-1_AC, contains 58 copies and is related to the Tc1 subset of Mariner elements (fig. 6). The 17 nonautonomous Tc1-like families range from 14 to ~5,000 copies for a total of ~17,500 copies (table 2). Surprisingly, none of the nonautonomous elements share any similarity with Tc1-1_AC. Tc1-1_AC is relatively ancient as elements diverge from each other

---

**Fig. 2.**—Neighbor joining phylogeny of autonomous hobo_AC elements based on 3 kb of the transposase domain. The boxed sequences indicate elements that are complete in the genome assembly we used. The presence of nested TE in complete hobo_AC elements was determined by running Repeatmasker with a library of repetitive sequences found in the anole genome. Seven different patterns of nested elements were recovered and are schematically presented on the right of each sequence (structure 3 corresponds to elements 93, 13, 10, and 253). Though all 45 elements are very similar to each other, they differ in their length and structure. The arrows on the right indicate the recombination of elements 3 and 4 resulting in element 1.

---

region (right) of nonautonomous hobo_AC elements. Bootstrap values less than 75% have been removed. At least three elements from each family are included. Boxes around elements reveal the group swap of hobo-N14_AC (blue) and hobo-N15_AC (red) in group A (light red) and group B (light blue) due to interelement recombination.
FIG. 3.—(A) 5’ and 3’ termini of consensus sequences of autonomous and nonautonomous hobo_Ac families. The TIRs are boxed. Although these 16 families have similar 5’ and 3’ ends, they differ considerably in their central region due to a large number of indels and transposon insertions. Thus, the central region is unique and specific of a given family; (B) Neighbor joining trees based on 150 bp of the 5’ region (left) and 300 bp of the 3’.
by 13.0% on average and is probably inactive. Thus, it is unlikely that $Tc1\cdot1\_AC$ is responsible for the recent burst of activity of several families ($Tc\cdotMar\cdotN3\_AC$, $Tc\cdotMar\cdotN4\_AC$, $Tc\cdotMar\cdotN5\_AC$, $Tc\cdotMar\cdotN7\_AC$, and $Tc\cdotmar\cdotN16\_AC$) that amplified to considerable numbers and have very low average divergence (less than 5%). It is also unlikely that $Tc1\cdot1\_AC$ is responsible for the amplification of ancient families that predate its origin (such as $Tc\cdotMar\cdotN13$, 14, 15, and 17). This suggests that other $Mariner$ families are or have been active in the anole genome. Despite numerous attempts to identify such autonomous families, we failed to find any other autonomous families. However, several nonautonomous families show some significant similarity with $Mariner$-like elements from other organisms, in particular at their extremities. For instance, families $Tc\cdotMar\cdotN11$ and 17 have ends similar to marsupial $Tigger3$ and $Tigger4$ elements, respectively, whereas $Tc\cdotMar\cdotN4$ has similarity with the $Tc2\cdotrelated$ $DNA5\_Xt$ element from the frog genome. This suggests that a diversity of $Tc1\cdotMariner$-related elements have recently been active in the anole genome. It is plausible that these elements never reached high copy numbers and, because of the fast decay of TEs in anole (Novick et al. 2009), are no longer identifiable. Unlike hAT elements, most $Mariner$-like families are relatively ancient and no longer active. In fact, only five families have an average pairwise divergence lower than 5% and only two diverge by less than 3%. The rarity of autonomous copies and the age distribution of $Mariner$-like families suggest that mariner elements could be frequently invading the anole genome, produce abundant nonautonomous families but fail to become stable residents of this genome.

The $Helitron$ Subclass

The third category of DNA transposon found in anole is the $Helitron$ subclass (table 2). It is represented by two autonomous families, $Helitron\cdot1\_AC$ and $Helitron\cdot2\_AC$, both in very low copy number (less than five copies) and six abundant nonautonomous families. All these elements are typical members of the $Helitron$ superfamily, lacking TIRs and with TA TSDs (Kapitonov and Jurka 2001). Unlike hAT and $Mariner$ nonautonomous elements, all nonautonomous $Helitron$ families are internally deleted versions of autonomous families; $Helitron\cdot1\_N1\_AC$ is derived from $Helitron\cdot1\_AC$, whereas the remaining five nonautonomous families ($Helitron\cdot2\_N1\_5\_AC$) resulted from large deletions of $Helitron\cdot2\_AC$. As previously noted by Piskurek et al. (2009), $Helitrons$ also have the ability to capture extraneous DNA.
Family Helitron-2N3 contains fragments of Poseidon and SINE elements, yet this seems to be a rare occurrence as this is the only Helitron family to show a composite structure. Helitrons appear to have been recently active as suggested by their relatively low level of divergence and, as expected, the amplification of most nonautonomous families is consistent with the activity of their autonomous progenitors. The only exception is the oldest family, Helitron-2N1\textsubscript{AC}, which divergence lies clearly outside the divergence distribution of all other families. Yet, this family is unambiguously related to the autonomous Helitron-2\textsubscript{AC} family. This suggests that the Helitron-2\textsubscript{AC} family has been active in the anole genome much longer than its current divergence suggests. It is possible that Helitron-2\textsubscript{AC} is in fact an ancient resident of the anole genome but remained in such low copy numbers that older copies are no longer identifiable. However, it is surprising that Helitron-2\textsubscript{AC} failed to produce additional nonautonomous families after Helitron-2N1\textsubscript{AC}. It is thus possible that, once a resident of the anole genome, Helitron-2\textsubscript{AC} became extinct and only recently recolonized the anole genome, possibly through lateral transfer. This in fact appears to be the case. These elements are phylogenetically more closely related to insect helitrons than to other vertebrate helitrons and their high level of similarity to insect helitrons is best explained by lateral transfer from insects (Kordis 2009; Thomas et al. 2010).

The Chapaev Superfamily
The recently described Chapaev superfamily (Bao et al. 2009) is also present in the anole genome and consists of four families, two of which are autonomous. Elements in this superfamily display a 3 bp TSD of consensus TWA and TIRs beginning with the trinucleotide CAC. They belong to the subsets of elements classified as Chapaev3 in Repbase. These families are the oldest one we found and are no longer active: the oldest family contains elements that are over 35% divergent, whereas the youngest contains elements that are no less than 12% divergent (table 2). Although these families are old, ORFs are still detectable in the two autonomous families, Chapaev3-1\textsubscript{AC}(1910 bp in total length) and Chapaev3-2\textsubscript{AC}(1767 bp in total length) of 485 and 561 amino acids, respectively. The two remaining families of Chapaev elements are nonautonomous families (Chapaev3-3N1\textsubscript{AC} and Chapaev3-3N2\textsubscript{AC}) and are also ancient, yet they are not directly derived from the autonomous families.

The Polinton/Maverick and PIF/Harbinger Superfamilies
As our search of the anole genome was targeted toward repetitive sequences, we missed two superfamilies, PIF/Harbinger and Polinton/Maverick, represented by very low (<10) copy numbers. These two superfamilies had previously been discovered in the lizard genome by Kordis (2009). We specifically searched for these elements and collected them.

We identified seven copies belonging to the Polinton/Maverick superfamily; unfortunately all but one copy are incomplete to various degrees due to gaps in the available genome sequence. The only complete element is 17,487 bp long and harbors the features typical of Polinton elements, including a 6 bp TSD and long (584 bp) TIR (Kapitonov and Jurka 2005). This element contains eight ORFs, which is typical of Polintons. The position and orientation of the ORFs are identical to the sea urchin Polinton-1\textsubscript{SP} and the zebrafish Polinton-1\textsubscript{DR}. We found two very incomplete elements with high similarity to this full-length copy. These three copies diverged from each other by 0.2–0.3% suggesting that they constitute a very small (<5 copies), yet active Polinton family in the lizard. A second near full-length element (>11 Kb of sequence available) was also recovered but it differs from the only full-length copy by 11.65%, yet it also contains eight intact ORFs intact and is thus potentially active. The fact that lizard Polintons have protein-coding abilities indicates that they are probably recent insertions and the presence of a very small number of closely related copies suggest that Polintons are indeed active in anole. However, the very low copy number of Polintons in anole and the apparent absence of nonautonomous copies suggest that Polintons are either newcomers in the anole genome or are subjected to a high rate of turnover.

The anole genome contains five ORFs (442–466 amino acids) related to transposases of another superfamily, PIF/Harbinger. These ORFs differ from each other by 53–68% at the amino level, yet most of the motifs diagnostic of Harbinger's transposases are conserved. These copies are not flanked by the 14–25 TIRs and the TTAATAA TSDs characteristic of the Harbinger family and do not correspond to active transposon copies. Because they have retained their protein-coding ability, it is very likely that these ORFs represent instances of domestication of now-extinct Harbinger transposons. A single case of Harbinger transposase domestication had previously been reported in vertebrates (Kapitonov and Jurka 2004) and the five new cases reported here emphasize the potential of Harbinger transposase as a source of protein motifs that can be recruited by the host.

Discussion
The genome of A. carolinensis harbors an extraordinary diversity of active or recently active class 2 transposons. Six superfamilies (hAT, Tc1/Mariner, Helitron, PIF/Harbinger, Polinton/Maverick, and Chapaev) are represented in the anole genome and four of them (hAT, Tc1/Mariner, Helitron, and Chapaev) have amplified to considerable numbers, generating a total of 67 families, including 10 autonomous ones. Two superfamilies are extinct in anole: the Chapaev and the PIF/Harbinger superfamilies. Chapaev has produced...
**Fig. 5.**—Divergence plot of hAT (red), Tc1/Mariner (orange), Helitron (yellow), and Chapaev (green) families found in the genome of the lizard. Values were calculated using Kimura’s 2-parameter method in Mega 4.0. Autonomous families are emphasized with darker bars.
Fig. 6.—Phylogenetic position of the only autonomous Tc1/Maxim family in anole relative to previously described Mariner families. The tree is based on an amino acid alignment of the transposase domain. It was built using the neighbor joining method and the robustness of the nodes was assessed by bootstrap (1,000 runs). Bootstrap values <65% have been removed.

several distinct autonomous and nonautonomous families. In contrast, PIF/Harbinger is represented by only five domesticated and very divergent copies. Among the four superfamilies that have recently been active, one of them, Polinton, is represented by a very small number of copies, whereas the other three (hAT, Tc1/Mariner, and Helitron) have been extremely prolific, increasing the genetic diversity of the green anole. The hAT, Tc1/Mariner, Chapaev, and Helitron superfamilies are also represented by a large diversity of nonautonomous families that are either internally deleted versions of autonomous copies (most hAT and all Helitron families) or do not show similarity with autonomous copies (some hAT and all Tc1/Mariner families). As in plants and other animals, nonautonomous families greatly outnumber their autonomous counterparts (Hartl et al. 1992; Le Rouzic and Capy 2006; Yang et al. 2009). The most active and diverse superfamily, hAT, exemplifies a number of mechanisms that can increase the diversity of class 2 transposons. First, the frequent lateral transfer of active hAT families has a dramatic impact on transposons diversity. Thirteen of 37 hAT families (hAT-1, 2, 3 and their nonautonomous relatives) are the direct or indirect result of lateral transfer (Pace et al. 2008; Novick et al. 2010; Gilbert et al. 2010). Although we failed to find elements similar to hobo AC in other genomes, we cannot exclude that this family was also laterally transferred. In fact, the recent burst of activity of hobo elements and the absence of old (>5% divergence) autonomous or nonautonomous hobo related families suggests that hobo is a new resident of the anole genome; hence, it is plausible that all hATs in anole result from lateral transfer. Lateral transfer is not limited to the hAT superfamily. It seems to also occur in the Helitrons superfamily and the transfer of Helitrons from insects to lizard was recently demonstrated (Kordis 2009; Thomas et al. 2010). Second, nonautonomous and autonomous hobo elements show considerable structural variation resulting from their ability to capture and incorporate extraneous DNA sequences. This mechanism produced autonomous elements that are the longest reported in the hAT superfamily and some nonautonomous hobo elements are longer than autonomous copies of other families. As the filler DNA often contains TEs of other classes and superfamilies, the amplification of hobo families significantly contributes to increasing the copy number of the elements they mobilize. The unusual ability of hobo to incorporate very large cargo suggests that hobo would be an excellent candidate for the development of DNA delivery vectors. Finally, interelement recombination seems to occur readily and is responsible for the diversification of both autonomous and nonautonomous families. In particular, recombination between nonautonomous copies resulted in the formation of six novel families of elements. The exchange of sequence between superfamilies produces structural variants that can eventually compete with each other and evolve as separate entities. This exchange of genetic information between TEs could eventually yield novel autonomous families and provides a mechanism that could account for the apparent modularity of TE’s evolution (Lerat et al. 1999).

The age distribution of class 2 families differs among superfamilies and reveals different dynamics of amplification in the anole genome. The hAT superfamily shows the highest level of recent activity as most hAT elements have very low level of divergence from their consensus (<5%). In fact, some of these families have such low divergence (<0.5%) that they are certainly active in extant anoles. The lack of older hAT-related families is likely to reflect the fact that this genome was recently colonized by hAT elements through lateral transfer. It is, however, surprising that four (or maybe five) hAT families invaded the anole genome recently but that lateral transfer did not occur in the more distant past. The presence of a couple of ancient orphian families (>10% from consensus) suggests that episodes of lateral transfer indeed occurred but had limited success at invading the genome of ancestral anoles. The
Table 2
Characteristics and Nomenclature of DNA Transposons in the Lizard Anolis carolinensis.

| Superfamily | Name          | Copy Number | Length in base pair | Length of TIR | TIR | % Divergence ± SE | % Divergence from Consensus ± SE |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|-----|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Helitron    | Helitron-1_AC | <5          | 8,771 TA            | —            | —   | 5.72 ± 0.35       | 3.04 ± 0.19                     |
|             | Helitron-1N1_AC| 84          | 1,436 TA            | —            | —   | 1.90 ± 0.25       | 1.02 ± 0.14                     |
|             | Helitron-2_AC | <5          | 4,982 TA            | —            | —   | 3.66 ± 0.87       | 1.95 ± 0.40                     |
|             | Helitron-2N1_AC| 66          | 1,290 TA            | —            | —   | 19.4 ± 0.96       | 11.3 ± 0.66                     |
|             | Helitron-2N2_AC| 608         | 1,930 TA            | —            | —   | 2.69 ± 0.34       | 1.58 ± 0.27                     |
|             | Helitron-2N3_AC| >1,000      | 2,000 TA            | —            | —   | 1.98 ± 0.29       | 0.85 ± 0.10                     |
|             | Helitron-2N4_AC| 860         | 550 TA              | —            | —   | 2.96 ± 0.32       | 2.55 ± 0.30                     |
|             | Helitron-2N5_AC| >1,000      | 2,000 TA            | —            | —   | 1.91 ± 0.36       | 1.78 ± 0.35                     |
| TcMar       | Tc-1_AC       | 58          | 1,306 TA            | 39            | HGADGGGGCGTTCATTAAAG | 13.0 ± 0.55       | 4.39 ± 0.43                     |
|             | TcMar-N1_AC   | 901         | 489 TA              | 203           | CGAGGGCTATCCAGAAAGTA | 7.62 ± 0.55       | 4.39 ± 0.43                     |
|             | TcMar-N2_AC   | >1,000      | 783 TA              | 40            | CGAGGGTTGAATGAAAGTA | 6.93 ± 0.44       | 3.87 ± 0.27                     |
|             | TcMar-N3_AC   | >1,000      | 427 TA              | 24            | CCSTGTTCCCCGAAATAA | 3.04 ± 0.41       | 1.45 ± 0.19                     |
|             | TcMar-N4_AC   | >1,000      | 661 TA              | 25            | CYGTATATACTCGAGTATA | 4.32 ± 0.27       | 2.32 ± 0.18                     |
|             | TcMar-N5_AC   | 604         | 1,396 TA            | 23            | CCSTGTTCCCCGAAATAA | 1.42 ± 0.12       | 0.79 ± 0.08                     |
|             | TcMar-N6_AC   | 889         | 1,930 TA            | 23            | CGGTATATACGAGTATA | 11.1 ± 0.90       | 6.62 ± 0.57                     |
|             | TcMar-N7_AC   | >5,000      | 323 TA              | 24            | CAGTAGAGTCTACCCATCC | 2.91 ± 0.52       | 1.52 ± 0.38                     |
|             | TcMar-N8_AC   | >1,000      | 468 TA              | 25            | CAGTAGAGTCTACCCATCC | 8.39 ± 0.66       | 4.83 ± 0.41                     |
|             | TcMar-N9_AC   | 105         | 339 TA              | 15            | CAGTGYCCCTCRCT | 8.65 ± 0.91       | 5.54 ± 0.57                     |
|             | TcMar-N10_AC  | 287         | 664 TA              | 311           | CGAGGGCTATCCAGAAAGTA | 7.14 ± 0.55       | 5.18 ± 0.53                     |
|             | TcMar-N11_AC  | 348         | 401 TA              | 15            | CAGTGTCTCCCTCRCT | 10.1 ± 0.74       | 5.18 ± 0.53                     |
|             | TcMar-N12_AC  | 952         | 321 TA              | 20            | GAGTCTCCRATTACCCA | 10.5 ± 0.87       | 5.74 ± 0.57                     |
|             | TcMar-N13_AC  | 36          | 168 TA              | 22            | CYGTATTTTCTATTSSA | 22.6 ± 1.90       | 10.5 ± 0.88                     |
|             | TcMar-N14_AC  | 14          | 240 TA              | 31            | GATGTGACTCAGATGATGAC | 27.3 ± 2.74       | 15.2 ± 1.67                     |
|             | TcMar-N15_AC  | 498         | 524 TA              | 18            | CASRGKGTGCTAAACTCA | 23.1 ± 1.24       | 12.4 ± 0.76                     |
|             | TcMar-N16_AC  | 3,771       | 350 TA              | 15            | CAGTGTCCCTCTAC | 3.02 ± 0.34       | 1.62 ± 0.19                     |
|             | TcMar-N17_AC  | 137         | 356 TA              | 26            | CAGGTGAGYATCCCTTATC | 31.6 ± 1.61       | 17.1 ± 1.21                     |
| Chapaev     | Chapaev3-1_AC | 15          | 1910 TWA            | 12            | CACTGRWAAACA | 18.1 ± 0.99       | 12.3 ± 1.10                     |
|             | Chapaev3-2_AC | 4           | 1,767 TWA           | 18            | CACTAGAAACACAATT | 19.7 ± 1.46       | 10.9 ± 0.90                     |
|             | Chapaev3N1_AC | 46          | 390 TWA             | 11            | CACWGCACAAC    | 28.0 ± 2.39       | 15.9 ± 0.92                     |
|             | Chapaev3N2_AC | 988         | 320 TWA             | 19            | CACTATGTAACAAAATT | 12.5 ± 0.77       | 6.00 ± 0.42                     |

SE, standard error.

a Only the first 20 bp of the TIR are presented here.

The Tc/Mariner superfamily shows a more evenly distributed range of ages, from families that are extremely young and possibly active to much older families (up to 15% divergent from their consensus) that have long been extinct. Yet, young families seem to be predominant and, to a lesser extent than for hATs, the age distribution of Tc/Mariner families is skewed toward young families. Similarly, Helitron families tend to be very young, in part because of recent lateral transfer, yet the presence of an ancient nonautonomous family suggests that they are not newcomers in the anole genome. The only exception to this pattern is the long-extinct Chapaev superfamily that contains only very divergent elements. The overall pattern of divergence of class 2 families indicates that DNA transposons readily reach fixation and accumulate in the anole genome as revealed by the continuous distribution of families diverging by 0–10%, surprisingly older (>10%) families are comparatively very rare and underrepresented in this genome. A possible explanation is that DNA transposons had a very low level of activity in the ancestral anole genome. However, it is surprising that the same pattern of low activity, followed by a more recent burst of activity, is shared by three unrelated superfamilies (hAT, Mariner, and Helitron). Another possibility is that transposons in anole are decaying rapidly by accumulation of indels and that they are no longer recognizable past a certain age. This rapid decay of mobile elements in the anole genome was previously reported for the RTE Bov-B-1_AC family of retrotransposons (Novick et al. 2009). This family’s average divergence is only 4%, yet more than 70% of the full-length elements RTE Bov-B-1_AC have lost considerable amount of sequences through deletions. It is therefore not surprising that elements from families older than 10% are so fragmented that our approach failed to recognize them.

Class 2 transposons coexist in anole with a plethora of retrotransposon families. At least 42 families of non-Long Terminal Repeat (LTR) retrotransposons belonging to five clades are concurrently active in the anole genome (Novick et al. 2009). However, the dynamics of class 1 and class 2 elements differ drastically. The vast majority of non-LTR
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retrotransposons families are much younger than class 2 families as they all have divergence lower than 2% (except 4 families of 46). In addition, retrotransposon families are on average far less numerous than class 2 families. It seems that, unlike class 2 elements, the vast majority of retrotransposon insertions does not reach fixation. This pattern is best explained by a high turnover of insertions resulting from a balance between the transposition of new elements and the selective loss of deleterious alleles. Interestingly, class 2 transposons do not seem to be subjected to the same level of purifying selection as class 1 elements. It was proposed that selection against non-LTR retrotransposons is length dependent and was caused, for the most part, by their ability to mediate ectopic recombination (Song and Boissinot 2007; Novick et al. 2009). Under this model, short elements are not eliminated by purifying selection to the same extent than long ones and, consequently, accumulate in the genome of their host. Indeed, most DNA transposons, in particular nonautonomous ones, are less than 2 kb long and much shorter than non-LTR retrotransposons. It is therefore likely that these elements are not as deleterious as retrotransposons and readily reach fixation. Interestingly, the longest class 2 transposons, the Helitrons, hobo, and Polinton elements (autonomous and nonautonomous), have a very low level of divergence and relatively low copy numbers, reminiscent of retrotransposons. It is therefore possible that these elements are subject to the same selective forces as non-LTR retrotransposons and are not reaching fixation, which could explain, in part, the lack of older Helitron, Polinton, and hobo related families.

At first glance, the diversity of DNA transposons in anole seems impressive, but how does it compares with other vertebrates? The analysis of teleostean genomes including salmonids, fugu, and zebrafish revealed that these genomes contain a diversity of class 2 families even larger than the one reported in anole that accounts for as much as 3% of their size. However, these elements became independently extinct in multiple mammalian lineages around 40 million years ago (Lander et al. 2001; Waterston et al. 2002; Lindblad-Toh et al. 2005; Pace and Feschotte 2007). Thus, the diversity of active DNA transposons in the anole genome is reminiscent of the situation in ancestral mammalian genomes and could be used as a model to study the evolutionary processes that have shaped genomic evolution in the early stages of mammalian diversification.
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