Diagnostic benefits of presurgical fMRI in patients with brain tumours in the primary sensorimotor cortex
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Abstract
Objectives Reliable imaging of eloquent tumour-adjacent brain areas is necessary for planning function-preserving neurosurgery. This study evaluates the potential diagnostic benefits of presurgical functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in comparison to a detailed analysis of morphological MRI data.

Methods Standardised preoperative functional and structural neuroimaging was performed on 77 patients with rolandic mass lesions at 1.5 Tesla. The central region of both hemispheres was allocated using six morphological and three functional landmarks.

Results fMRI enabled localisation of the motor hand area in 76/77 patients, which was significantly superior to analysis of structural MRI (confident localisation of motor hand area in 66/77 patients; \( p<0.002 \)). FMRI provided additional diagnostic information in 96% (tongue representation) and 97% (foot representation) of patients. FMRI-based presurgical risk assessment correlated in 88% with a positive postoperative clinical outcome.

Conclusion Routine presurgical FMRI allows for superior assessment of the spatial relationship between brain tumour and motor cortex compared with a very detailed analysis of structural 3D MRI, thus significantly facilitating the preoperative risk-benefit assessment and function-preserving surgery. The additional imaging time seems justified. FMRI has the potential to reduce postoperative morbidity and therefore hospitalisation time.
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Introduction

The central region of the brain comprises the central sulcus and the pre- and postcentral gyri, functionally corresponding to the primary motor (M1, Brodmann area 4) and somatosensory (S1, Brodmann areas 1–3) cortices. Hence, neurosurgery involving the central region, e.g. for tumour removal, can lead to both motor and somatosensory deficits alike. While radical brain tumour resection yields higher survival rates, it is unfortunately associated with increased postoperative morbidity [1]. In patients with aggressive and infiltrative tumour growth and thus a low prospect of curative treatment it is particularly important to avoid surgery-induced impairments. Instead, emphasis should be placed on ensuring life quality by preserving neurological function. In these cases neurosurgical operations require strict indication weighed up
against alternative and less invasive therapeutic strategies. Therefore, additional information concerning structure and functionality of affected brain regions is necessary for careful consideration and planning of neurosurgical interventions.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the established standard imaging technique for brain tumours in particular because of its high-resolution multiplanar images, excellent soft tissue contrast and lack of ionising radiation. For identification of the central region several morphological landmarks are being used, however, their reliability is often compromised by large inter-individual anatomical variability, even in healthy subjects [2]. The most robust morphological landmark is the motor hand area, which represents as a characteristic dorsally oriented convexity in the precentral gyrus, the so-called “hand knob” on transverse planes or “hook sign” on sagittal planes [3–6] (Fig. 1). Other representations of the human body lack such distinct morphological correlates [7], hence their localisation is restricted to a rough estimate based on the somatotopic organisation of the primary motor and somatosensory cortices (homunculus) [8]. In addition, diagnostic inaccuracy is often prompted by infiltrative and displacing mass lesions and pathological signal alterations involving the pre- or postcentral gyrus, which can lead to extensive changes of anatomical proportions, partially or completely impeding presurgical neuroimaging. Furthermore, functional areas may be shifted by brain tumours beyond typical landmarks [9–11]. To avoid permanent damage to neurological function, identification of eloquent cortical areas plays a critical role in the presurgical planning of tumour resection [12, 13]. Intra-operative mapping of brain functions by means of electrocorticography (ECoG) or sensory-evoked potential monitoring are still considered the reference techniques [12]. However, contrary to these invasive techniques, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) offers such diagnostic information non-invasively before surgery and with justifiable clinical expenditure [13, 14]. fMRI assesses brain activity indirectly by detection of local haemodynamic changes that reflect actual neuronal activity of functional areas. The blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) technique measures function-dependent decreased desoxyhaemoglobin concentrations of activated brain areas on T2*-weighted images [15–18]. Several studies comparing BOLD-fMRI with established reference methods such as ECoG validated the reliability of presurgical localisation of the primary motor cortex [19–23]. Although presurgical localisation of the motor cortex is the most established clinical application of fMRI nowadays, employed in a continuously increasing number of clinics, there are still no consistent and uniform guidelines regarding data acquisition, analysis and medical interpretation. Addi-

![Fig. 1 Anatomical landmarks of the central region (24-year-old woman). Axial sections (top): hand knob (left), thickness sign (middle), bracket sign (right). Sagittal sections (bottom): inferior frontal gyrus (IFG, left), precentral hook (middle), pars marginalis (right). From [43]](image-url)
tionally, only a few authors have attempted to analyse the diagnostic value of presurgical fMRI, and existing reports are mainly based on non-standardised investigations in smaller groups of patients [24–28]. The lack of large-scale presurgical fMRI studies is apparent and so far impeded further clinical implementation of this technique within the diagnostic setting prior to neurosurgery [12]. Undoubtedly, it is absolutely essential to critically evaluate diagnostic potential and clinical benefits of such a powerful preoperative imaging technique with standardised methods in a representative group. Accordingly, this study compares diagnostic benefits of presurgical fMRI with those of morphological MRI in a large number of patients with brain tumours of the central region.

Materials and methods

Subjects

In the present study 77 consecutive patients (42 female; 35 male, mean age 51 years; range 16–80 years) with brain tumours of the central region were investigated according to standardised protocols for presurgical investigations with morphological and functional MRI before potential neurosurgical operation. All subjects gave informed consent before the experiment and the protocol was approved by the medical ethics committee of the University of Heidelberg Medical School. Clinical data were obtained retrospectively from operation protocols and medical records. Aetiologies of the mass lesions were heterogeneous, mainly of malignant origin (see Table 1). Tumours were localised in the left hemisphere in 38 patients and in the right in 37 patients; in 2 cases multiple metastases affected both hemispheres. Caused by the mass lesion, 29 patients suffered from motor deficits, 21 from somatosensory deficits and 10 patients had combined motor and somatosensory deficits at the time of imaging. Furthermore, focal and generalised seizures, language and speech deficits, word finding deficits and/or change of personality were observed in 37 patients. Some patients reported only mild impairments such as headache and vertigo. No reliable clinical data were available for 2 subjects retrospectively. In 3 cases patients did not present with any clinical symptoms and mass lesions were detected incidentally (1 cavernoma, 2 meningiomas).

Morphological MRI

All patients were investigated at 1.5 Tesla (Marconi Edge, Cleveland, OH, USA) using a conventional birdcage head-coil. Movement artefacts were reduced by comfortable positioning of the subject and fixing the head with preformed foam pads. Subsequent to intravenous administration of gadolinium-containing contrast agent (Magnevist® or Omniscan®, standard dosage: 0.2 ml/kg) patients received a T1-weighted 3-D anatomical data set (MPRAGE, TR/TE=30/4.4 ms, 135 axial slices, slice thickness 1.3 mm), which was employed for neuronavigation as well as for superimposition of functional data. The diameter of the contrast-enhancing perirolandic mass lesions on axial images ranged between 4 and 24 mm.

The central region was identified on T1-weighted structural images according to 6 established morphological landmarks in both hemispheres [5–7, 14, 29–31]. The unaffected hemisphere served as an internal reference for physiological abundance, distribution and anatomical variants of the employed landmarks in this group. The following morphological landmarks for the localisation of the central region were used (see Fig. 1):

- **Thickness sign**: The precentral gyrus and its cortex layer are typically thicker than the postcentral gyrus.
- **Hand knob and hook**: The motor hand area in the precentral gyrus protrudes as a posteriorly directed ‘omega’- or ‘epsilon’-shaped convexity on axial planes as well as a dorsally directed ‘hook’ on sagittal images.
- **Pars marginalis and bracket sign**: Both partes marginales of the cingulate sulci are located behind the central sulcus on parasagittal planes. On axial sections both medial subdivisions of the postcentral gyrus form imaginary ‘brackets’ around the partes marginales of the cingulate sulci.

| Tumour type (WHO grade) | Number |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Astrocytoma (grade I)   | 1      |
| Astrocytoma (grade II)  | 7      |
| Astrocytoma (grade III) | 7      |
| Astrocytoma (unknown grade) | 3   |
| Glioblastoma            | 19     |
| Gliosarcoma             | 2      |
| Oligodendroglioma (grade II) | 2 |
| Oligodendroglioma (grade III) | 1 |
| Oligodendroglioma (unknown grade) | 1 |
| Oligoastrocytoma (grade II) | 2 |
| Meningioma (grade I)    | 4      |
| Atypical meningioma (grade II) | 1 |
| Malignant histiocytoma   | 1      |
| Metastasis              | 17     |
| Angioma/Cavernoma       | 7      |
| Brain abscess           | 2      |
| **Total**               | 77     |
• *Inferior frontal gyrus*: On parasagittal sections the inferior frontal gyrus presents with an M-shaped configuration comprising the partes orbitalis, triangulairis and opercularis, the latter being functionally associated with Broca’s motor speech area. The sulcus dorsal of the “M” disembogues into the precentral sulcus.

Functional MRI

All fMRI investigations were performed with standardised block-designed BOLD technique employing a T2*-weighted single-shot, blipped gradient echo Echo-Planar-Imaging sequence (GE-EPI, TR/TE=4,000/80 ms, FOV=256×256 mm², single-shot, blipped gradient echo Echo-Planar-Imaging sequence (GE-EPI, TR/TE=4,000/80 ms, FOV=256×256 mm², image matrix=128×128 voxels, flip angle=90°, 22 contiguous axial images, slice thickness 5 mm, inter-slice gap 1 mm). Each single measurement consisted of 1 offset, 4 baseline and 3 stimulation intervals of 20 s each; totalling approximately 7 min. additional imaging time for complete somatotopic mapping. During the experiments subjects performed self-paced tongue up and down movements with closed lips, complex finger tapping with sequential finger-to-thumb opposition as well as repetitive toe flexion–extension (without any movements in the ankles) of the side contralateral to the respective lesion [31, 32]. In the case of tumour-associated paralysis whereby complex finger opposition was not accomplishable, repetitive fist clenching was performed alternatively. Processing and analysis of functional MRI data were carried out with BrainVoyager® (BrainInnovation, Maastricht, Netherlands; http://www.brainvoyager.com), including motion correction, spatial and temporal smoothing and voxel-wise calculation of BOLD activation using linear cross-correlations. Data processing was fully standardised and automated except for semi-automated structural-functional image superposition. BOLD signal characteristics were computed, e.g., correlation of the measured BOLD signal to the applied haemodynamic reference function \( hrfs = r \) and the relative BOLD signal change \( \Delta S > 5\% \). Individual functional data were analyzed using a standardized evaluation routine with a dynamic statistical threshold [30–33]: A minimum cluster size of 36 mm³ was preset as the standard for data evaluation to achieve a precise determination of the anatomical correlates of the different functional activations and also to eliminate very small clusters in the activation maps. At first, a very high statistical threshold value for the correlation \( r \) between the measured BOLD-signals and the hrf was selected, so that no functional activation was displayed (empty map). This threshold was then continually reduced (dynamic threshold). As a result, the activation with the highest correlation to the hrf that exceeded the cluster size of 36 mm³ was displayed foremost. By further reducing the threshold, activations in other functional areas with a lower correlation between the measured BOLD-signals and the hrf were displayed in hierarchical order. This procedure was continued until activations were identified in all regions of interests (ROI). A minimum threshold of \( r = 0.4 \) with \( p < 0.05 \) (Bonferroni corrected) was established as very conservative limit in order to ensure that BOLD-signals were clearly distinguishable from background noise. If no BOLD-activation was displayed in a ROI within this lower limit, this was evaluated as "no activation." Likewise, BOLD signals with a relative change of \( \Delta S > 5\% \) were considered bias and not included in the evaluation, as such high-level activations are likely to originate from draining veins [32]. In keeping with our previous studies activations with the highest correlation to the hrf were considered precentral and therefore used as functional landmarks, namely (Fig. 2):

- Cortical foot representation (contralateral to the toes moved)
- Cortical hand representation (contralateral to the fingers moved)
- Cortical tongue representation (bilateral)

Statistical analysis

Comparison of both diagnostic MRI tools was only feasible with regard to the motor hand area, as it is the only body representation with a distinct anatomical landmark. Statistical evaluation was performed using a Student’s t-test to assess a significance of differences and the reliability of localising the motor hand area comparing MRI and fMRI data.

Results

Localisation of the motor hand area with morphological MRI

According to morphological analyses in regular anatomical proportions of the unaffected hemisphere, the thickness sign and the hand knob were the most reliable anatomical landmarks with a success rate of 99% (76/77) and 97% (75/77) respectively (see Table 2). In the tumour-affected hemisphere, however, those landmarks could only be identified in 49% (38/77) and 86% (66/77) of the patients. In 14% (11/77) of patients it was not possible to identify the motor hand area at all according to anatomical criteria. The precentral hook as a sagittal pendant to the hand knob was less detectable and in this group did not yield any additional information if the hand knob could not be identified unambiguously.
Localisation of motor somatotopy with functional MRI

Functional somatotopic mapping of the motor cortex was conducted in the tumour-affected hemisphere (contralateral movements). Here, the motor hand area could be identified in 99% of cases (76/77) (Table 3). Although as many as 29 patients suffered from tumour-associated pareses, localisation of the motor hand area was still possible in all but one case. Thus, additional functional MRI was significantly superior to morphological MRI ($p=0.002$) regarding the motor hand area localisation. Motor areas of the foot and tongue were investigated in 70 patients and could be robustly identified in 97% (68/70) and 96% (67/70) of patients respectively (Table 3). As reliable morphological landmarks are not available for motor foot and tongue areas, these representations could only be located employing the fMRI technique.

**Fig. 2** Functional landmarks of the central region (51-year-old female patient with cerebral metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma and impaired fine motor skills of the left hand). Axial (left), coronal (middle) and sagittal (right) sections depict motor areas for foot (top), hand (middle) and tongue (bottom). With morphological criteria alone, localisation of the central region was not feasible due to tumour-associated distortions. Functional landmarks however illustrate how the perifocal oedema but not the contrast-enhancing metastasis itself reaches eloquent areas of the postcentral gyrus. Anterior (A), posterior (P), left (L), right (R)
Diagnostic benefit of presurgical fMRI

After careful consideration of the clinical condition, tumour aetiology and fMRI results the decision for neurosurgical operation was made in 67.5% (52/77) patients. fMRI was used to show the centre of gravity of activation clusters but not to delineate resection borders. In 49 patients complete resection was attempted, whereas 3 patients received only partial resection. The remaining 25 patients who were initially scheduled for surgery did not undergo any operation. Hence, these patients received conservative treatment such as radiation (e.g. arteriovenous angiomas, multiple metastases) and/or chemotherapy (e.g. multiple metastases). In 9 patients this decision was not explicitly based on fMRI results but rather on poor clinical condition or the aetiology of the mass lesion. However, in 16 patients the decision against surgery was mainly based on fMRI results, which provided evidence that major neurological impairment would have been expected after surgery. High risk of surgery-induced deficits was suspected if the centre of activation was localised within or near proximity to destroyed or contrast-enhancing tissue and if the gyrus concerned showed signal alterations on T2-weighted images. Without exception, these 16 tumours were of malignant origin, mainly gliomas of different stages.

By comparing pre- and postoperative outcome of all patients who underwent neurosurgery, 12 patients’ clinical status improved after surgery, 15 showed mild or temporary impairment and 4 patients presented with severe postoperative neurological impairment. No change with regard to neurological functions could be observed in 19 patients. In other words, fMRI-based risk assessment before surgery had a high correlation with the clinical outcome achieved and corresponded in 88% (46 out of 52 post-operative patients) with only minimal deficits or even functional improvement.

Discussion

In patients with brain tumours of the central region therapeutic decisions strongly depend on preoperative imaging results. It is particularly important to assess to what extent eloquent brain areas are affected by the tumour and if neurological deficits can be expected after neurosurgical tumour removal. Infiltrative, progressive tumour growth is often associated with distortion of anatomical lead structures and therefore inflicting restrictions to morphological imaging techniques. Mostly, patients with such aggressive tumours are not amenable to curative surgical resection, thus making the preservation of important brain functions and life quality a very critical value.

Technical and methodological advances led to increased application of preoperative fMRI in pre-surgical neuroimaging, in particular in large medical centres. To what extent diagnostic benefits can be obtained by presurgical fMRI compared with conventional MR imaging is currently undetermined. To date only studies in small patient groups with non-standardised and heterogeneous protocols have been reported. Nonetheless, a preliminary notion of diagnostic benefits of fMRI over MRI has been suggested by Yetkin et al [28]. Unfortunately, only 3 patients with brain tumours were included in the aforementioned study, none of whom had a lesion with mass effect located directly in the central region, thus raising serious concerns regarding the validity of their results at the time. Similarly, Towl et al [27] compared MRI, fMRI, EEG dipole localisation and direct cortical stimulation in a small group of 5 patients with brain tumours and found that preoperative fMRI reliably identified the central region. Krings et al and Hoeller et al investigated large patient groups with more than 100 patients and concluded that a combination of MRI and fMRI was capable of improving postoperative outcomes of patients and that presurgical fMRI should be
included in standard preoperative neuroimaging protocols [34–36]. However, no direct comparison of MRI and fMRI was conducted nor has it been discussed how far fMRI contributed to therapeutic decision-making. The largest presurgical fMRI study reported in the literature was performed by Hirsch et al on 125 patients partially correlating fMRI results with intraoperative mapping methods [37]. This study reported a correlation of different functional techniques with regard to the localisation of various brain areas. However, resembling other studies previously mentioned, the patient group under investigation was heterogeneous (with a variety of lesions in many different brain areas), no direct clinical outcome was taken into consideration and the benefits of pre-surgical fMRI compared with morphological MRI were not a focus of their study.

The present study of 77 patients was specifically focused on the diagnostic benefit of presurgical fMRI with respect to the localisation of important functional areas adjacent to brain tumours of the central region. Morphological evaluation of regular anatomical proportions in the healthy hemisphere revealed the thickness sign and the hand knob to be the most reliable anatomical landmarks with 99% and 97% detection rates in our patient group. In the tumour-affected hemisphere, however, these landmarks could only be identified in 49% and 86% respectively; in 14% of cases the motor hand area could not be localised according to anatomical landmarks.

Somatotopic fMRI mapping yielded robust localisation of motor representations of the hands, feet and tongue; with a success rate of up to 100% in healthy subjects [12]. In our patient group the central region could be identified in 99% using optimised fMRI protocols despite several patients suffering from tumour-associated pareses. With regard to the localisation of the motor hand area fMRI proved to be significantly superior \(p=0.002\) to conventional MRI, while the remaining body representations could only be localised with fMRI. With an additional imaging time of approximately 7 minutes for complete somatotopic mapping, fMRI can be conveniently integrated into presurgical neuroimaging. Furthermore, post-acquisition data processing and interpretation can be easily carried out within the clinical routine if standardised protocols and automated data analysis packages are employed [33, 38]. In the case of severe paralysis, fMRI can be conducted using sensory (passive) stimulation [33, 34, 39], which yields additional information in spite of lower BOLD signal read outs.

Despite the advantages of pre-surgical fMRI presented in our study methodological limitations and potential caveats should not be disregarded. These include movement artefacts, potential superimposition and coregistration errors as well as altered BOLD responses in hypervascularised tumours or arteriovenous malformations [40, 41].

Furthermore, the frequently encountered question of neurosurgeons with regard to the distance that should be maintained between the resection border and functional representation [42] can in our view not (yet) be reliably addressed with fMRI. Obviously, the rate of surgery-induced neurological deficits correlates inversely with the distance from the tumour-adjacent brain tissue. However, as the threshold for activation signals as well as activation size can be dynamically altered by the investigator and neither a standardised approach nor a multicentre randomised clinical trial addressing this issue exists, using fMRI to delineate resection borders would in our opinion result in operating under a false sense of security and may even endanger the patient.

In agreement with reports on other patient groups, results from our study showed the diagnostic value of preoperative fMRI. In particular those patients whose surgery was called off as a result of presurgical fMRI had the highest benefit. In the present study this group comprised 14 patients (~18%).

As fMRI results often influence therapeutic decision-making we believe that the additional diagnostic effort of performing fMRI is fully justified and should therefore be routinely implemented in pre-surgical diagnostics. Moreover, better assessment of the spatial relationship between the tumour and the surrounding sensorimotor cortex facilitates the planning and performance of function-preserving operations, further advocating the inclusion of fMRI into the routine of pre-operative investigations. Hence, presurgical fMRI can substantially contribute to the reduction of therapy-associated morbidity and consequent hospitalisation time.
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