Hydrocephalus in Patients with Neurofibromatosis Type 1: MR Imaging Findings and the Outcome of Endoscopic Third Ventriculostomy

SUMMARY: Although hydrocephalus associated with NF-1 is not rare, up to now the MR imaging findings in these patients and the role of ETV in the treatment of hydrocephalus associated with NF-1 have not been investigated thoroughly. We present the MR imaging findings of hydrocephalus associated with NF-1 in 7 of 54 patients with NF-1. Although the types of obstruction were various, including aqueductal web, superior velum medullary synchia, periaqueductal/tectal hamartomas, cerebellar and pontine tegmentum hamartomas, brain stem glioma, or a combination, the presence of hamartomas was a consistent finding in patients with NF-1 with hydrocephalus. In 5 cases, 8 ETV procedures were performed and followed for up to 53 months. All children treated with ETV were shunt-free at their most recent examinations. ETV may be the primary procedure for the treatment of hydrocephalus associated with NF-1, regardless of the cause and the level of the obstruction.

Patients
Fifty-four consecutive patients with NF-1 who underwent cranial MR imaging at our hospital between January 2005 and May 2010 were enrolled in the study. The criteria of the National Institutes of Health were used for the diagnosis of NF-1 in all patients.18 We analyzed patients’ clinical records and initial and follow-up MR imaging findings. The internal review board of our hospital approved the study.

The coexistence of hydrocephalus and NF-1 was observed in 7 patients. Five of 7 were treated with ETV and followed for up to 53 months. All children treated with ETV were shunt-free at their most recent examinations. ETV may be the primary procedure for the treatment of hydrocephalus associated with NF-1, regardless of the cause and the level of the obstruction.

Materials and Methods

Patients
Fifty-four consecutive patients with NF-1 who underwent cranial MR imaging at our hospital between January 2005 and May 2010 were enrolled in the study. The criteria of the National Institutes of Health were used for the diagnosis of NF-1 in all patients.18 We analyzed patients’ clinical records and initial and follow-up MR imaging findings. The internal review board of our hospital approved the study. The coexistence of hydrocephalus and NF-1 was observed in 7 patients. Five of 7 were treated with ETV and followed for up to 53 months. Altogether 8 ETV procedures were performed in the 5 patients. The sixth patient was being treated with chemotherapy due to an optic pathway glioma, and surgical intervention was postponed. In the seventh patient, a shunt had been inserted at another institution and brain stem surgery was performed in our hospital.

MR Imaging Technique
All patients were examined with a 3T scanner by using an 8-channel head coil (Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Patients were examined under sedation and had completed a conventional study including axial TSE T1, axial fluid-attenuated inversion recovery, and axial/sagittal/coronal TSE T2. If the conventional MR imaging sequences demonstrated hydrocephalus, 3D-CISS followed by sagittal and oblique axial cine PC was performed at the same session. If necessary, axial TSE T1 and sagittal 3D turbo fast low-angle shot T1 after gadolinium chelates administration were performed as well. Our imaging paradigm and sequence parameters for hydrocephalus are described in detail elsewhere.

MR Imaging Analysis
For each patient, conventional sequences, sagittal 3D CISS, and sagittal and axial-oblique cine PC imaging were used to assess the standard criteria for hydrocephalus diagnosis. If triventricular hydrocephalus was observed, particular attention was paid to the cerebral aqueduct, brain stem, cerebellum, and fourth ventricle. The pathologic findings in the cerebral aqueduct and neighboring structures in patients with NF-1 with obstructive triventricular hydrocephalus were classified as follows:

1) Hamartomas or focal areas of high signal intensity
   A) Periaqueductal/tectal hamartomas (Figs 1 and 2)
   B) Hamartomas in the cerebellum and pontine tegmentum filling the fourth ventricle (Fig 3)
2) Cerebral aqueductal web (Fig 2B)
3) Superior velum medullary synchia (Fig 1)
4) Brain stem glioma
and periaqueductal hamartomas were both responsible for hydrocephalus. In another patient, a brain stem glioma accompanied hamartomas in the cerebellum and pontine tegmentum, filling the fourth ventricle. The radiologic diagnosis suggested a brain stem glioma due to tiny contrast enhancements in the anterior and anterolateral part of the pons. However, biopsy taken from the wall of the fourth ventricle during the ETV procedure demonstrated no sign of tumor. Furthermore, brain stem MR imaging findings have not changed in ≥53 months since the initial diagnosis.

In 1 patient, cranial MR imaging performed 20 months before the onset of hydrocephalus symptoms demonstrated a normal cerebral aqueduct and ventricles with periaqueductal hamartomas, but without obstructive hydrocephalus (Fig 2A). Follow-up MR imaging at the beginning of clinical symptoms demonstrated an inferior aqueductal web, a tectal hamartoma, and severe triventricular hydrocephalus (Figs 2B).

ETV was performed as a primary procedure for the treatment of hydrocephalus in 5 patients. In 3 patients, follow-up MR imaging demonstrated an open functional stoma at 32, 42, and 53 months. In 1 patient, 27-month follow-up MR imaging demonstrated cisternal membranous obstruction in the interpeduncular cistern, which was not observed on the previous MR imaging, and repeat ETV confirmed the diagnosis. After the second ETV, 15-month follow-up MR imaging demonstrated an open and functional stoma without clinical symptoms. In another patient, 23-month follow-up MR imaging revealed closure of the stoma, and a second ETV was performed. Twenty months after the second ETV, MR imaging demonstrated repeat stoma obliteration. After the third ETV, the patient was well at 17-month follow-up. All children who were treated with ETV were shunt-free at their most recent follow-up examinations.

In 1 patient, hydrocephalus was mild, despite a huge optic pathway glioma. The patient was still being treated with chemotherapy, and ETV was planned after reduction in tumor size in case ventricular enlargement progressed. In this case, a mesencephalic pilocytic astrocytoma with extensive periaqueductal/tectal hamartomas, which compressed the cerebral aqueduct, was diagnosed and treated with a shunt in another hospital. The tumor was removed by a transcallosal approach by using intraoperative MR imaging guidance. Twenty-four-hour MR imaging demonstrated gross total tumor resection. Although the mass effect on the cerebral aqueduct caused by the mesencephalic mass had disappeared, obstruction of the cerebral aqueduct still persisted due to extensive periaqueductal/tectal hamartomas.

Discussion
Hamartomas or focal areas of high signal intensity can be seen in ≥93% of patients with NF-1.1,3,21,22 They tend to show T2 hyperintensity but no mass effect, edema, or contrast enhancement. The brain stem, cerebellar peduncles, and basal ganglia are the most preferred locations. The exact nature of hamartomas is not clear. Although the morphologic criteria are often diagnostic in making a differentiation between hamartomas and brain stem glioma, some cases can present diagnostic difficulties and MR spectroscopy can provide additional information.2,22 However, the range of NF-1 lesions, from hamartomas to low-grade glial tumors, is not clearly un-
understood and has not been investigated adequately, to our knowledge. Also, the clinical significance and the biologic behavior of hamartomas are yet to be clarified; at least we know that hamartomas or focal areas of signal intensity adjacent to the cerebral aqueduct or the fourth ventricle inlet cause hydrocephalus in the course of NF-1. Hydrocephalus in patients with NF-1 is not a very rare coexistence, and an incidence from 1% to 5% has been published as in the literature. In the past, the main cause for hydrocephalus associated with NF-1 was reported as posterior fossa tumors. This finding can be attributed to the early diagnosis of tumors before hydrocephalus could even occur in patients with NF-1. The first report of aqueductal stenosis in NF-1 was made by Pennybacker in 1940. However, the reports gradually increased in the era of modern imaging, but most were case reports. Almost always periaqueductal/tectal hamartomas were demonstrated in these cases, and the term “primary aqueductal stenosis” was used. Rarely, brain stem glioma or tectal glioma was demonstrated in these patients. In only 1 case has an aqueductal web been shown. However, superior velum medullary synchia or hamartomas in the cerebellum and pontine tegmentum filling the fourth ventricle have never been described to date in the literature in patients with hydrocephalus and NF-1. Almost always the shunt insertion was performed as a primary procedure for the treatment of hydrocephalus associated with NF-1. ETV was performed in only 1 patient with hydrocephalus and NF-1.

Our study demonstrates that the coexistence of hydrocephalus and NF-1 may not be as rare as previously stated in the literature. Although the types of obstruction are various, including aqueductal web, superior velum medullary synchia, periaqueductal/tectal hamartomas, cerebellar and pontine tegmentum hamartomas, brain stem glioma, or a combination, the presence of hamartomas either in the periaqueductal area or the fourth ventricle inlet is a consistent finding. Therefore, in the presence of brain stem or cerebellar hamartomas adjacent to the cerebral aqueduct or the forth ventricle inlet but in the absence of hydrocephalus, patients should be followed up at regular intervals for ≤12 years due to the proliferative nature of hamartomas in NF-1.

Although ETV has been used effectively in the treatment of hydrocephalus due to various etiologies, the application of it in patients with NF-1 with hydrocephalus has been very rarely published, to our knowledge. Our study demonstrates that ETV can be used as a primary procedure for the treatment of...
hydrocephalus associated with NF-1 regardless of the type and the level of the obstruction, with the aim of avoiding possible shunt complications. If a stoma closure or membranous cisternal obstruction is detected after ETV in the follow-up MR imaging examinations, second or even third attempts could be performed effectively instead of shunt insertion.

Conclusions
Hydrocephalus associated with NF-1 is not rare. Although the types of obstruction are various, including aqueductal web, superior velum medullary synechia, periaqueductal/tegmental hamartomas, brain stem glioma, or a combination, the presence of hamartomas at either the periaqueductal area or the fourth ventricle inlet is a consistent finding in patients with NF-1 with hydrocephalus. The findings demonstrated herein indicate the potential of ETV in the treatment of hydrocephalus associated with NF-1.
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