Use of Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus PCR in Detecting Target(s) of Hapalindole-T, From a Cyanobacterium, in Escherichia Coli: In Silico Validation
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Abstract: Problem statement: Identification of newer biomolecule as well as targets are a rising concern because of increasing drug resistance in bacteria. We have isolated a broad spectrum antibiotic biomolecule Hapalindole-T from a cyanobacterium, Fisherella sp. growing on local Azadirachta indica tree bark. A model bacterium E. coli was screened spontaneously for Hap-T resistance. These resistant strains of E. coli were used to identify Hap-T target(s). Approach: These strains were subjected to Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERIC) PCR analysis and compared with the sensitive one. We have used different bioinformatic tools like Clustalw, NJ Plot and Docking server. The Swiss-model server was used for homology modelling. Predicted 3D structure was refined by energy minimization and quality was assessed by Procheck. The model protein hailed from fimbrial biogenesis outer membrane usher protein (ADK89122.1). The interaction between the predicted structure of Model-1 protein and Hap-T biomolecule was analysed in silico using Autodock and Mopac parameters. Results: An additional band of DNA fragment (~500 base pairs) was found on agarose gel run after amplified genome of resistant strain. The results indicated that certain residues (Tyr-28), (Phe-54), (Leu-36) and (Val-52) were highly conserved and present in active sites. Conclusion/Recommendations: Thus understanding of microbial adhesion can act as an alternative approach in development of broad spectrum antibiotics.
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INTRODUCTION

There is a rising concern of Multi-Drug Resistance (MDR) in clinical practices. In spite of launching synthetic/artificial antibiotics in the market, there is a need of screening natural drugs/lead molecules with newer target(s) from natural resources. Available antibiotics in the market are bactereostatic or bactericidal in nature with a aim to eradicate bacteria based on different modes of action such as cell-wall biosynthesis, inhibition of protein synthesis or DNA replication and repair leading to bacterial evolution. There is a serious concern regarding containment of spread of Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) which led the scientists to think over alternative of the drugs already available with different modes of action (Mwangi et al., 2007). Whole genome sequencing of Staphylococcus aureus strain RN4220 revealed that virulence and general fitness of the pathogen is related with the genetic polymorphism (Nair et al., 2011). Targeting bacterial virulence is an alternative approach to the development of new antimicrobials (Marra, 2004) Virulence specific therapeutics would also avoid evolution in bacterial system, preventing them from pathogenesis (Cegelski et al., 2008). Therefore, Hapalindole-T isolated from a cyanobacterium, Fischerella sp. colonising Azadirachta indica (a medicinal tree) was subjected to drug targeting (Asthana et al., 2006). Increasing knowledge of proteomics, genomics and bioinformatics provided new dimensions in the screening of drug targets. Identifying the drug targets by screening resistant mutants of model bacterium against the target drug is one of the popular approaches. Thus the resistant strain can be analysed by...
using proteomics/genomics/bioinformatics. Report of repetitive elements in prokaryotic genomes and their distribution in eubacteria has application to fingerprinting of bacterial genomes (Sharples and Lloyd, 1990). The distribution of Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERIC) elements known as Intergenic Repeat Units (IRU) are 126 base pairs having a highly conserved central inverted repeats and are located in extragenic regions and can be transcribed (Hulton et al., 1991). PCR analysis using primers to ERIC sequences, with bacterial genomic DNA as template demonstrates inter ERIC distances and patterns specific for bacterial species and strains limited to adjacent repeat elements within the limitations of polymerase extension (~500 bp) (Versalovic et al., 1991). Therefore, ERIC PCR amplification was done for both Hap-T<sup>S</sup> and Hap-T<sup>R</sup> strains of Escherichia coli and the product was run on agarose gel. The differing band was subjected to DNA sequencing and related protein was identified. Thus the present report encompasses annotation of the protein structure, comparative amino acid sequence analysis, insilico screening of active sites, docking with Hapalindole-T (Hap-T) molecule using different bioinformatic packages.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacteria, media and hapatindole-T: Escherichia coli** DH5α was routinely cultured and maintained in Luria Bertani broth (LB) and on LB agar plates (1.0 tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract and 1.0% NaCl) (37°C, 24-48 h). Hap-T (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>CISO, Mr 386, melting point 179-182°C) isolated from the biomass of Fischerella sp., a cyanobacterium colonizing Neem tree (an Indian medicinal plant) bark (Asthana et al., 2006) was used in the present investigation.

**Screening of E. coli DH5α resistant (Hap-T<sup>R</sup>) strain:** The bacterial cells grown in LB broth were washed and suspended in Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) at concentration ~ 10<sup>8</sup> cells/mL. As a first step towards isolation of Hap-T<sup>R</sup> mutants, the survival of the E. coli DH5α was checked at increasing concentrations of Hap-T (10-50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). Spontaneously occurring Hap-T<sup>R</sup> mutants were obtained by plating approximately 10<sup>8</sup> cells/mL on solid medium (Muller Hinton m edum) and few colonies growing on 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of Hap-T plate were selected. Few surviving colonies that remained were picked up and transferred to the plate containing 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> Hap-T. These coloies were grown up to seven generation in Hap-T deficient medium. The mutant strain was maintained on the plate having 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of Hap-T.

**Isolation and quantification of genomic DNA:** The cells of both the strains Hap-T<sup>S</sup> and Hap-T<sup>R</sup> were grown overnight in LB broth (37°C). Bacterial cultures (1.5 mL of each) were centrifuged (10.000 g × 10 min at room temperature). Cell pellets were washed twice and resuspended in TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 25 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and vortexed. SDS (10%) was added followed by the addition of proteinase-K (100 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). This solution was mixed gently and incubated at 37°C for 1h. NaCl (5M) was added, vortexed and incubated (65°C) after adding cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB, 10%). The lysates were extracted with chloroform and Isoamyl Alcohol (IAA) (24:1). Aqueous phase was collected after centrifugation again extracted with tris saturated phenol, chloroform and IAA (25: 24: 1). Again aqueous phase was collected after centrifugation and RNAase was added (~ 30 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and incubated 37°C. Equal volume of isopropanol was added to precipitate the DNA and centrifuged. The pelleted DNA was washed twice with 70% ethanol (chilled) and resuspended in milliQ water and stored at 4°C. Genomic DNA was quantified by UV/VIS spectrophotometer (6715, Jenway, Germany) at 260 nm.

**ERIC-PCR amplification:** Primers used for ERIC-PCR were ERIC-1R (5'-ATGTAAGCTCCTGGGGATTCAC-3') and ERIC-2 (5'-AAAGTAAGTGAAGGGGGTGACG-3'). (Versalovic et al., 1991). PCR was done in a 50 µL reaction mixture containing 5 µL of 10X buffer, 1.2 mM dNTPs, 1.5 mM Mg Cl2, 5 Unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas Corporation Ltd. USA), 50 pmol of each primers and 60 ng of template DNA. The PCR amplification was carried out in Master Cycler epgradient (Eppendorf, Germany) according to the following protocol: An initial denaturation of 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 90°C for 30 sec, annealing at 50°C for 1min, extension at 72°C for 5 min and a final extension at 72°C for 15 min. Thereafter PCR product was examined on agarose gel electrophoresis in agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5%) containing ethidium bromide (0.5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) at 65V for 6 h in 1X TAE buffer. The DNA finger prints on gel images were captured by gel-doc system (Bio-Rad, USA) and kept for further analysis.

**Analysis of band patterns:** DNA fingerprints of strains (Hap-T<sup>S</sup> and Hap-T<sup>R</sup>) were compared for similarity by visual inspection of band patterns. Two fingerprints were considered identical if the same number of bands at corresponding positions were observed, while variations in the band intensity were...
not considered. The size of the bands was calculated by visual comparison to the molecular size marker run along with the PCR products. The differing band (DNA fragment) was excised with a sterile scalpel and the DNA was eluted directly using gel elution column (Qiagen, Germany) and stored in milliQ water.

Sequencing of ERIC-PCR amplified DNA fragment: Sequencing reactions were performed in an automated sequencer (Applied Biosystem, USA) according to manufacturer’s manual.

Sequence analysis: Sequences of proteins from different organisms were aligned using Clustal W (Thompson et al., 1994) and phylogenetic tree was constructed using NJ plot method. A tree was inferred by Bootstrap phylogenetic inference using Tree view (http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/treeview.html). The conserved motifs present in these sequences were analyzed using BLOCKS and MEME (Multiple EM for Motif Elicitation) software version 3.5.7 (Bailey and Gribskov, 1998; Bailey et al., 2006). Proteins from diverse bacterial species were screened for motifs identification with residues minimum (3) and maximum (6) width for a maximum number of 20 motifs while rest of the parameters were kept at default.

Template identification and model generation: The sequence of ERIC PCR amplified gene was subjected to homology search with NCBI databases using BLASTN, TBLASTX and discontinuous MEGABLAST (Altschul and Lipman, 1990; Arnold et al., 1997; Thompson et al. 2009). The translated protein sequences were subjected to protein functional analysis using PFAM version 23.0 (Finn et al., 2006), PROSITE version 20.37 (Castro et al., 2006) and INTERPROSCAN version 4.4 (Quevillon et al., 2005). The protein structure identification was referred as Model-1 related with fimbrial biogenesis outer membrane usher protein. The Model-1 protein was used for template selection using advanced search option at Protein Data bank (http://www.pdb.org/pdb/home/home.do) and homology modelling was done using Swiss model http://swissmodel.expasy.org/, (Arnold et al., 2006) and Geno3D (http://geno3dpbil.ibcp.fr/). The rough model generated was subjected to energy minimization using the steepest descent technique to check the non-compatible contacts within protein. Computations were carried out in vacuo with the GROSMOS96 43B1 parameters set, implemented through Swiss-pdbViewer (http://expasy.org/spdv/). Model consistency and viability were appraised by PDBsum server (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/thorntonrvr/databases/pdbsum/Generate.html).

Preparation of receptor (Model-1), loop refinement and evaluation: The backbone conformation of the rough model was inspected using the Phi/Psi Ramachandran plot obtained in the PROCHECK server (www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbsum). The results of Ramachandran plot indicated that the rough model generated had no any residue in the disallowed region, thus no need of loop refinement. The initial energy of protein was calculated (kcal/mol) using MMFF94x force field. The protein structure was subjected to energy minimization and its final energy was calculated.

Superimposition of target (Hap-T) and template (Outer membrane usher protein of E. coli): The structural superimposition of Ca trace of the template (Outer membrane usher protein of E. coli) and structure of Model-1 was performed using Combinatorial Extension of Polypeptides (http://www.cl.sdsce.edu). The root mean square deviation was calculated using chimera 1.5.2 (http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/).

Active site determination: Active sites present in the Model-1 protein were identified using Q-SITEFINDER (http://bmbpcu36.leeds.ac.uk/qsitefinder (Laurie and Jackson, 2005; 2006).

Ligand (Hap-T) preparation: The ligand was drawn using ISISdraw program (http://www.ch.cam.ac.uk/cil/SGTL/MDL/ISISdraw.html) and converted to threedimensional format (i.e., pdb) using Molekel Visualization Package (http://molekel.cscs.ch/wiki/pmwiki.php). The energy was calculated using docking server.

Docking studies: Docking calculations were carried out using docking Server (Hazai et al., 2009) for Model-1 protein and Hap-T biomolecule (http://www.dockingserver.com). The MMFF94 force field (Halgren, 1996) was used for energy minimization of ligand molecule (Hap-T). Gasteiger partial charges were added to the ligand atoms. Non-polar hydrogen atoms were merged and rotatable bonds were defined. Essential hydrogen atoms, Kollman united atom type charges and solvation parameters were added with the aid of AutoDock tools and affinity (grid) maps of 20x20x20 Å grid points and 0.375 Å spacing were generated using the AutoGrid program (Morris et al., 1998). AutoDock parameter set and distance-dependent dielectric functions were used in the calculation of the van der Waals and the electrostatic terms respectively. Docking simulations were performed using the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA) and the Solis and Wets (1981) local search method. Initial position, orientation and torsions of the ligand molecules were
set randomly. Each docking experiment was derived from 10 different runs that were set to terminate after a maximum of 250000 energy evaluations. The population size was set to 150. During the search, a translational step of 0.2 Å and quaternion and torsion steps of 5 were applied.

RESULTS

ERIC PCR amplification: Fingerprints obtained in agarose gel by ERIC PCR amplified product of Hap-T<sub>S</sub> and Hap-T<sub>R</sub> genomes consisted of multiple distinct band. An additional band of ~500 base pairs (bp) was present in the fingerprints obtained from the Hap-T<sup>R</sup> strain of E. coli (Fig. 1). This DNA fragment was sequenced and out of 500bp only initial 201bp were translated as putative outer membrane usher protein using translation tool (http://expasy.org/tools/dna.html).

Therefore, only 201bp were submitted to NCBI with the accession number HM625744. The sequence translated 66 amino acids, deduced as: CQCTISCWLTPTKLMYRLVLLNFSLYSTSSSGD LLVEJKIAEYCPHYSVVPSAPLRHRPGRN. This amino acid sequence was deposited in GenePept (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and accession number was assigned as ‘ADK89122.1’ (Model-1). This led us to retrieve the full length outer membrane usher protein of E. coli. Homology was searched using BLASTX (search protein database using a translated nucleotide query) of NCBI and the sequence was traced with their similarity for fimbrial biogenesis outer membrane usher protein in different bacterial species.

Multiple sequence alignment: Multiple sequence alignment of HM625744/ADK89122.1 showed many amino acids as conserved in different bacterial species (Fig. 2) with highly conserved residues DLYPTSSSGDL and VPFSAVP in aligned part. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using NJ Plot tool showing the presence of two major clusters in aligned bacterial species (Fig. 3). However, our target protein (ADK89122.1) translated from DNA sequence HM625744_Escherichia coli was found to be present in cluster B2 which is close to Shigella boydii CDS and E. coli B088. The BLASTP similarity search of target protein also showed 77% sequence identity with Shigella boydii CDS and E. coli B088 (Table 1).

MEME analysis: Block diagram obtained after MEME analysis clearly revealed nine motifs in selected bacterial species. However, there was absence of motif1 with regular expression of [IV] [KT] EADG in target protein (Fig. 4). This indicates alteration in amino acid sequence during resistance. Six out of nine motifs are highly conserved. The most representative residues present in all bacterial species were motif 2, motif 3, motif 4 and motif 5 showed as shown in Fig. 5.

Homology modelling of membrane usher protein: Model-1 (ADK89122.1) was subjected to INTERPROSCAN to screen the family of the protein. The result showed that the protein belonged to fimbrial biogenesis outer membrane usher protein (PF00577). The hypothetical protein was submitted to SWISS MODEL Server (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/) (Arnold et al., 2006) for the prediction of 3-D model of the protein as a Model-1 (Fig. 6a) having two β-sheets and one turn. The protein was searched in the protein structure database (http://www.pdb.org/pdb/home/home.do) for finding the template structure which matched with Outer membrane usher protein of E. coli (Fig. 6b). The Model-1 protein is represented by 2 Beta sheets and three chains. Energy of the protein Model-1 and Outer membrane usher protein of E. coli was-997.113 and-15764.224 kcal/mol however, after energy minimization it was-1224.695 and-28351.635 kcal/mol respectively.

Fig. 1: Agarose gel (1.2%) electrophoresis of ERIC PCR amplified genome of Hap-TR (R) and Hap-TS (S) along with DNA marker (M) (1 kb) showing difference at ~500 bp DNA fragment
Fig. 2: Multiple sequence alignment output of Model-1 (Accession No. ADK89122.1) using Clustalw showing the conserved region among different bacterial species.

Fig. 3: Phylogenetic Tree inferences using NJ plot method.
Validation of model-1 and its superimposition with the template (Outer membrane usher protein of *E. coli*): The stereochemistry of the constructed Model-1 protein and outer membrane usher protein of *E. coli* was subjected to energy minimization and the stereochemical quality of the predicted structure assessed. The deciphered model of protein got validated with Ramachandran plot as depicted in Fig. 7a and b. Most of the residues in Model-1 (82.8%) were placed in the core region of the Ramachandran plot and 15.2 as well as 3% in additional allowed region and in generous allowed region respectively. However, there is no residue in disallowed region suggesting best possible stability in the model. No difference was found in reconstructed Ramachandran Plot after energy minimization. Similarly, Ramachandran plot of outer membrane usher protein of *E. coli* showed, 84.0% of the residues in core region, 14.8% in additional allowed region, 0.5% in generous allowed and 0.7% in disallowed region. The plot after energy minimization showed a minor difference of residues i.e., 84.3% in most favoured, 14.7% in additional allowed, 0.2% in generous allowed and again 0.7% in disallowed region.

The superimposition of Model-1 protein with the template is shown in Fig. 8. The weighted Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) of Cα trace between the template and the final refined model was calculated as 0.351 Å with a significant Z-score of 3.1.
Fig. 7: Ramachandran Plots after energy minimization of identified protein model-1 (a) and outer membrane usher protein (b)

Active site identification of Model-1: Ten binding sites in both Model-1 and outer membrane usher protein of *E. coli* were obtained using Q-sitefinder (Fig 9a and b). Among these sites of Model-1 (Fig. 9a) residues of site 1 (TYR-28, LEU-36, VAL-52 and PHE-54), site 2 (TYR-28 and PHE-54) site 4 (PHE-54) and site 8 (TYR-28) were found to be conserved in other bacteria as represented in multiple sequence alignment (Fig. 2).
Table 1: BLASTP similarity search for *E. coli* ADK89122.1

| Organism name                  | Accession no. | Sequence identity (%) | Sequence e-value similarity (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| *Escherichia coli* B088       | ZP_06661665   | 77                    | 2e-07                            |
| *Shigella boydii* CDC         | YP_001880862  | 77                    | 2e-07                            |
| Enterobacter sp. 638          | YP_00175803   | 70                    | 4e-04                            |
| Enterobacter cancerogenus ATCC35316 | ZP_05970389 | 70                    | 0.001                            |
| Proteus mirabilis ATCC29906   | ZP_03841986   | 67                    | 0.004                            |
| Burkholderia ambifaria OP40-10| ZP_02888101   | 63                    | 0.005                            |
| Citrobacter youngae ATCC29220 | ZP_06571107   | 68                    | 0.005                            |
| Yersinia ruckeri ATCC29473    | ZP_04615212   | 69                    | 0.009                            |
| Proteus penneri ATCC35198     | ZP_03802909   | 65                    | 0.011                            |
| Yersinia intermedia ATCC29009 | ZP_04635976   | 65                    | 0.014                            |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae NTUH-K2044 | YP_00291752  | 62                    | 0.022                            |
| Providencia stuartii ATCC25827 | ZP_02961595  | 65                    | 0.024                            |
| Pectobacterium carotovorum    | YP_003017855  | 65                    | 0.027                            |
| Shewanella baltica OS223      | YP_002356130  | 63                    | 0.028                            |
| Cronobacter turicensis z3032  | YP_003209973  | 62                    | 0.029                            |
| Cronobacter sakazakii ATCCBAA-894 | YP_001438428 | 62                    | 0.029                            |
| Yersinia pseudotuberculosis YPIII | YP_001722759 | 64                    | 0.030                            |
| Providencia rustigiani DSM4541 | ZP_05972286  | 61                    | 0.031                            |
| Erwinia tasmaniensis Et1/99   | YP_001909210  | 65                    | 0.042                            |
| Citrobacter koseri ATCCBAA-895 | YP_001452653 | 64                    | 2e-09                            |

Table 2a: Hydrophobic interaction of ligand (*Hap-T*) with model-1 protein (ADK89122.1)

| Interacting atom of ligand | Interacting amino acid of Model-1 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| C14 (16) [3.51]            | TYR28 (CD2, CE2)                  |
| C8 (8) [3.57]              | LEU36 (CD1)                       |
| C18 (22) [3.65]            | LEU36 (CD1)                       |
| C21 (26) [3.35]            | VAL52 (CG1)                       |
| C18 (22) [3.18]            | VAL52 (CG1)                       |
| C17 (21) [3.13]            | PHE54 (CB, CD1)                   |
| C18 (22) [3.63]            | PHE54 (CB, CD1)                   |
| C20 (24) [3.55]            | PHE54 (CD1, CE1)                  |

Table 2b: Hydrophobic interaction of Ligand (*Hap-T*) with the protein 2vqiB

| Interacting atom of ligand | Interacting amino acid of 2vqiB |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| C17 (21) [3.34]            | TYR284 (CB, CD1)                |
| C18 (22) [3.60]            | TYR284 (CD1)                    |

The corresponding active sites were also found in protein outer membrane usher protein of *E. coli* (Fig. 9b) i.e., VAL (site 1), PHE, VAL and TYR (site-2, 3), LEU, PHE and TYR (site 4), TYR, LEU, VAL and PHE (site 5), TYR (site 6), VAL, PHE, TYR and LEU (site 8), VAL and PHE (site 9) and TYR, VAL and LEU (site 10).

Docking studies: There were ten possible active sites shown in different colours (Fig. 9a and b). Ligands were prepared (-6.70 kcal/mole) as depicted in Fig. 10. For docking studies, the Docking server was chosen because its algorithm allowed full flexibility of small ligands. It has been shown that one out of three predicted model structures was successfully reproduced and it included hydrophobic interaction with *Hap-T* an empirical evaluation of the binding free energy (Table 2a and b). Figure 11a and b represented interaction of ligand (*Hap-T*) and receptor molecule (Model-1 and outer membrane usher protein of *E. coli*).
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**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we have used *Hap-T*, a broad spectrum antibacterial biomolecule (Asthana et al., 2006) against a model bacterium *E. coli* DH5α and addressed the evolution of drug resistance. Computer modeling of the target molecule and its inslico interaction with *Hap-T* revealed involvement of fimbrial biogenesis outer membrane usher protein in resistance of the model bacterium. This interesting finding gets importance as the targeting of bacterial virulence factors instead of cellular processes so far has become an emerging area of drug development as reviewed by Kline et al. (2010). The importance of repeating sequences in bacteria led the foundation of identification of closely related strains (Sharles and Lloyd, 1990; Hulton et al., 1991; Versalovic et al., 1991; Thompson et al., 1994). Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus sequences (ERIC) also described as Intergenic Repetitive Units (IRU) differs from most other bacterial species repeats in being distributed across a wider range of species. Therefore, ERIC sequences may offer greater potential in comparative analysis of *Hap-T*8 and *Hap-T*8 strains by mapping the whole genome. It is reported to distinguish *Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis* from closely related mycobacteria (Englund, 2003).

They have shown one additional band of approximately 650bp by IS900/ERIC PCR differentiating two closely related organisms. The ERIC PCR has been found to discriminate not only different strains of a species/subspecies but it can also discriminate between strains of the same serotype (Nath et al., 2010). We have also observed an additional band of ~500 bp in *Hap-TR* strain by this method analysing the whole genome of the bacterium (ref Fig. 1).

The differing band of the ERIC PCR was subjected to DNA sequencing and the translated amino acids in turn used in retrieving the Model-1 protein via different bioinformatic tools. Thus Model-1 protein (Accession No. ADK89122.1, ref Fig. 6a). To substantiate our findings we took the full length sequences of outer membrane usher protein (Fig. 6b) form database and selected as template for superimposition. Superimposition with the template (Fig. 8) was found to significant with RMSD of 0.351 Å. Outer membrane usher protein known to be involved in biogenesis of pilus in Gram-negative bacteria. The biogenesis of fimbriae (pili) requires a two component assembly and transport system which is composed of a periplasmic chaperone and outer membrane protein which has been termed as molecular usher. These proteins are outer membrane assembly platform where pili are assembled. The biogenesis of pilus was inhibited by certain pillicides reducing pilus formation in uropathogenic *E. coli* because of point mutation in the pellicle binding site thus resulting in loss of virulence in pathogen (Pinkner et al., 2006; Cegelski et al., 2009). This indicates importance of virulence factor in as new alternative drug targets. Identification of Model-1 protein (Accession No. ADK89122.1) in the resistance strain adds significance of targeting bacterial virulence as an alternative approach to develop new antimicrobials in present case.

Multiple sequence alignment of Model-1 (ADK89122.1) highlighted the sequence conservation of amino acid residues among different microbial species as: Asp-26, Leu-27, Gly-34, Asp-35, Leu-36, Glu-42, Asp-44, Val-52, Pro-53, Phe-54, Ser-55, Val-57, Arg-62 and Gly-64 however, among these sequences, Model-1 showed Ile-42, Glu- 44 and Ala-57 in place of Glu-42, Asp-44 and Val-52 respectively (ref Fig. 2). These conserved sites were the probable drug targets. After docking studies it has been found that most of our interaction sites fall among the above mentioned sites. Based on these conserved motif study four most representative residue stretches revealed were [DLYPTS], [GDLVT], [PFSAVP] and [VRSFTV] (ref Fig. 5) however, in ADK89122.1, amino acid sequence used in the present study showed that motif 1 has ‘S’ in place of ‘P’ motif 2 contain ‘E’ in place of ‘T’ and motif 3 has ‘S’ and ‘A’ in place of ‘A’ and ‘V’ respectively. These stretches also contained active site residue Tyr-28.
in motif-1, Leu-36 in motif-2, Val-52 in motif-3 and Phe-54 in motif-4 in Model-1 protein (ref Fig. 4, 5 and 9). This conservation, however, were concomitant with differences and were sufficient enough to support the variations subsequently reflected at the structural and functional levels. Predicted protein Model-1 was refined and structure was established. Ramachandran Plot evaluated the stretches of Model-1 protein that there was no residue in disallowed region (Fig. 7a).

Phylogenetic tree traces the interrelationships of E. coli B008 and Shigella boydii CDC in class B2 showing homology with 72% identity containing 77% positivity (ref Table 1 and Fig. 3). This gene family of protein seemed to be strictly conserved and evolved from same ancestral species undergoing speciation. Motifs containing the signature sequences ([DLYPTS], [GDLVLT], [PFSAVP] and [VRSFTV]) are well conserved and showed the docking site with Hap-T (ref Fig. 5, 11a and 11b).

CONCLUSION

The similarity in the chaperone/usher assembled pili in Gram-negative and sortase enzyme assembled Gram-positive bacteria are pathogenic determinants and paving to be promising targets for anti therapeutics (Maresso and Schneewind, 2008). Identification of Model-1 protein (Accession No. ADK89122.1), a member from the family of fimbrial biogenesis outer membrane usher protein in Hap-T strain as target indicated need of more deeper understanding of pili assembly in both the bacterial strain to develop a common broad spectrum new drug.
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