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Abstract—In this paper we analyze the bound on the additive white Gaussian noise channel (AWGNC) pseudo-weight of a \((c,d)\)-regular linear block code based on the two largest values \(\lambda_1 > \lambda_2\) of the eigenvalues of \(H^T H\): \(w_p^{\min}(H) \geq n \frac{2c - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \). In particular, we analyze \((c,d)\)-regular quasi-cyclic (QC) codes of length \(rL\) described by \(J \times L\) block parity-check matrices with circulant block entries of size \(r \times r\). We proceed by showing how the problem of computing the eigenvalues of the \(rL \times rL\) matrix \(H^T H\) can be reduced to the problem of computing eigenvalues for \(r\) matrices of size \(L \times L\). We also give a necessary condition for the bound to be attained for a circulant matrix \(H\) and show a few classes of cyclic codes satisfying this criterion.

Index Terms—Low-density parity-check codes, pseudo-weights, eigenvalues, eigenvectors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes offer excellent tradeoffs between performance and complexity for error correction in communication systems. Quasi-cyclic (QC) LDPC codes in particular have proved extremely attractive due to their implementation advantages, both in encoding and decoding [11], [2], [3]. Many analyses of QC-LDPC codes have been carried out based on optimization of parameters such as the correction in communication systems. Quasi-cyclic (QC) LDPC codes are particular roots of unity. Finally, we give a necessary condition for the pseudo-weight lower bound to be attained when \(H\) is circulant and show a few classes of cyclic codes satisfying this criterion.

II. BASIC NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

All codes in this paper will be binary linear codes of a certain length \(n\) specified through a (scalar) parity-check matrix \(H = (h_{j,i}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{m \times n}\) as the set of all vectors \(c \in \mathbb{F}_2^t\) such that \(H \cdot c^T = \mathbf{0}^T\), where \(^T\) denotes transposition. The minimum Hamming distance of a code \(C\) will be denoted by \(d_{\text{min}}(C)\). The fundamental cone \(K(H)\) of \(H\) is the set of all vectors \(\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n\) that satisfy

\[
\omega_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in I(H),
\]

\[
\omega_i \leq \sum_{j \in J_i(H)} \omega_j \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in J(H), \quad i \in I_j(H),
\]

where \(J(H)\) and \(I(H)\) denote the sets of row and column indices of \(H\) respectively, and \(I_j(H) \triangleq \{i \in I \mid h_{j,i} = 1\}\) for each \(j \in J(H)\). A vector \(\omega \in K(H)\) is called a pseudo-codeword. The AWGNC pseudo-weight of a pseudo-codeword \(\omega\) is defined to be \(w_p(\omega) = w_p^{\text{AWGNC}}(\omega) \triangleq \|\omega\|^2_1/\|\omega\|^2_2\). (For a motivation of these definitions, see [14], [15]). The minimum of the AWGNC pseudo-weight over all nonzero pseudo-codewords is called the minimum AWGNC pseudo-weight and is denoted by \(w_p^{\min}(H)\).

For any integer \(s \geq 1\), let \(R_s = \{\exp(i2\pi r/s) : 0 \leq r < s\}\) denote the set of complex \(s\)-th roots of unity, and let \(R_s^* = R_s \setminus \{1\}\). The symbol \(*\) denotes complex conjugation. Also, an \(r \times r\) circulant matrix \(B\), whose entries are square \(L \times L\) matrices, will be called an \(L\)-block circulant matrix; we shall denote this by

\[B = \text{circ}(b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{r-1})\]

where the (square \(L \times L\) matrix) entries in the first column of \(B\) are \(b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{r-1}\) respectively.
Finally, \( \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \) and \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) will be the ring of integers, the field of real numbers, the complex field, and the finite field of size 2, respectively. For a positive integer \( L \), \([L]\) will denote the set of nonnegative integers smaller than \( L \): \([L] = \{0, 1, \ldots, L - 1\} \).

III. COMPUTING THE EIGENVALUES OF \( \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \) FOR A QC CODE

In this section we will show that the polynomial representation of a QC code will prove very helpful in computing the eigenvalues of the large matrix \( \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \), easing in this way the computation of the lower bound

\[
d_{\text{min}} \geq w_p^{\text{min}}(\mathbf{H}) \geq \frac{n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \frac{2c - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \quad (3)
\]

This section is organized in three subsections. In Sec. III-A and [11]-C we provide some background on circulant matrices and QC codes. Section III-B will contain the main result on the eigenvalues of \( \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \), where \( \mathbf{H} \) is the parity-check matrix of a QC code.

A. Eigenvalues of a Circulant Matrix

The eigenvalues of a square circulant matrix are well known [10]. If \( \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \) is a circulant matrix and \( w(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \ldots + b_{n-1} x^{n-1} \) its (column) associated polynomial, then the eigenvalues of \( \mathbf{B} \) are given by this polynomial’s evaluation at the complex \( n \)-th roots of unity, i.e. \( w(x) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}_n \).

The following gives a proof of this result based on the polynomial representation of a circulant matrix. It may be seen as a special case of the method we present later for QC codes.

Let \( \lambda \) be an eigenvalue of \( \mathbf{B} \). Then there exists a nonzero vector \( \mathbf{v} = (v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1})^T \in \mathbb{C}^n \) such that

\[
\mathbf{B} \mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}.
\]

In polynomial form, this equation is equivalent to (here \( v(X) = v_0 + v_1 X + \ldots + v_{n-1} X^{n-1} \)):

\[
w(X) v(X) = \lambda v(X) \quad \text{mod} \ (X^n - 1) \quad \text{iff} \quad X^n - 1 | w(X)v(X) - \lambda v(X) \quad \text{in} \ \mathbb{C} \quad \text{iff} \quad w(x)v(x) = \lambda v(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_n \quad \text{iff} \quad (w(x) - \lambda) v(x) = 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_n.
\]

For each \( x \in \mathbb{R}_n \), \( \lambda = w(x) \) is a solution of the above equation, and therefore it is an eigenvalue for the matrix \( \mathbf{B} \). There are \( n \) such solutions, therefore, these are all possible eigenvalues of \( \mathbf{B} \).

In the next theorem we will consider an \( L \)-block circulant matrix instead of a circulant matrix. This theorem may be found in [11]: we provide here an alternative proof based on the polynomial representation.

**Theorem 1** Let \( \mathbf{B} = \text{circ}(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{rL \times rL} \) be an \( L \)-block circulant matrix. Let \( \mathbf{W}(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \ldots + b_{r-1} x^{r-1} \) its (column) associated matrix polynomial. Then the eigenvalues of \( \mathbf{B} \) are given by the union of the eigenvalues of the \( L \times L \) matrices \( \mathbf{W}(x) \), for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}_r \).

**Proof:** The proof follows the reasoning in the theorem above.

Let \( \lambda \) be an eigenvalue of \( \mathbf{B} \). Then there exists a nonzero vector \( \mathbf{v} = (v_0, \ldots, v_{rL-1})^T \in \mathbb{C}^{rL} \) such that

\[
\mathbf{B} \mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}.
\]

Let \( \mathbf{p}(X) \in \mathbb{C}^{L}[X] \) given by \( \mathbf{p}(X) = (v_0, \ldots, v_{L-1})^T + (v_L, \ldots, v_{2L-1})^T X + \ldots + (v_{(r-1)L-1}, \ldots, v_{rL-1})^T X^{r-1} \). In polynomial form, equation (4) is equivalent to:

\[
\mathbf{B}(X) \mathbf{p}(X) = \lambda \mathbf{p}(X) \mod (X^r - 1) \quad \text{iff} \quad X^r - 1 | \mathbf{B}(X) \mathbf{p}(X) - \lambda \mathbf{p}(X) \text{ in } \mathbb{C} \iff \mathbf{B}(x) \mathbf{p}(x) = \lambda \mathbf{p}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_r.
\]

The last equation is the equation for the eigenvalues of the matrix \( \mathbf{B}(x) \). Each such matrix has \( L \) eigenvalues, counting multiplicities, and there are \( r \) distinct complex numbers in \( \mathbb{R}_r \); this accounts for the total number \( rL \) of eigenvalues of \( \mathbf{B} \). The eigenvectors can also be deduced from the above.

B. Definition and Properties of QC Codes

A linear QC-LDPC code \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{QC}} \triangleq \mathcal{C}^{(r)}_{\text{QC}} \), of length \( n = rL \) can be described by an \( rJ \times rL \) (scalar) parity-check matrix \( \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(r)} \triangleq \overline{\mathbf{H}} \) that is formed by a \( J \times L \) array of \( r \times r \) circulant matrices.

\[
\overline{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{bmatrix}
P_{1,1} & P_{1,2} & \ldots & P_{1,L} \\
P_{2,1} & P_{2,2} & \ldots & P_{2,L} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
P_{J,1} & P_{J,2} & \ldots & P_{J,L}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where the entries \( P_{i,j} \) are \( r \times r \) circulant matrices. Clearly, by choosing these circulant matrices to be low-density, the parity-check matrix will also be low-density.

With the help of the well-known isomorphism between the ring of \( r \times r \) circulant matrices and the ring of polynomials modulo \( X^r - 1 \), to each matrix \( P_{i,j} \) we can associate a polynomial \( p_{i,j}(X) \), and thus a QC-LDPC code can equivalently be described by a polynomial parity-check matrix \( \mathbf{P}(X) \) of size \( J \times L \), with polynomial operations performed modulo \( X^r - 1 \):

\[
\mathbf{P}(X) = \begin{bmatrix}
p_{1,1}(X) & p_{1,2}(X) & \ldots & p_{1,L}(X) \\
p_{2,1}(X) & p_{2,2}(X) & \ldots & p_{2,L}(X) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
p_{J,1}(X) & p_{J,2}(X) & \ldots & p_{J,L}(X)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

By permuting the rows and columns of the scalar parity-check matrix \( \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(r)} \), we obtain an equivalent parity-check matrix representation \( \overline{\mathbf{H}} \) for the QC code \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{QC}} \).

\[
\overline{\mathbf{H}} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix}
H_0 & H_{r-1} & \cdots & H_1 \\
H_1 & H_0 & \cdots & H_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
H_{r-1} & H_{r-2} & \cdots & H_0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\(^1i.e., \) by taking the first row in the first block of \( r \) rows, the first row in the second block of \( r \) rows, etc., then the second row in the first block, the second row in the second block, etc., and similarly for the columns.
where $H_0,H_1,\ldots,H_{r-1}$ are scalar $J \times L$ matrices. The connection between the two representations is

$$H_0 + H_1 X + \cdots + H_{r-1} X^{r-1} = P(X). \quad (8)$$

C. The Eigenvalues of the Matrix $H^T \cdot H$ of a QC Code

Note that for a fixed value of $r \geq 1$, $\mathbb{R}$ provides a simple bijective correspondence between the set of polynomial matrices $P(X) \in (\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^r - 1))^{J \times L}$ and the set of parity-check matrices of the form (7). Furthermore, the product of two such polynomial matrices, where defined, yields another which corresponds via this bijection with the product of the corresponding parity-check matrices in the form (7). Also, note that transposition of a polynomial matrix in the form (8) corresponds to transposition of the corresponding parity-check matrix in the form (7), under this bijection.

It follows that $H^T \cdot H$ is an $L$-block circulant matrix; applying Theorem 1 to this matrix yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 2** The eigenvalues of $H^T \cdot H$ are given by the union of the eigenvalues of the $L \times L$ matrices $P^T(x^r) \cdot P(x)$, for $x \in R_r$.

*Proof:* We apply Theorem 1 to the $L$-block circulant matrix $H^T \cdot H \triangleq \text{circ}(b_0,b_1,\ldots,b_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times L}$ and form the matrix $W(X) = b_0 + b_1 X + \cdots + b_{r-1} X^{r-1}$. This is equal to the product of the two matrix polynomials of $H^T$ and $H$, which are $H_0^T + H_1 X + \cdots + H_{r-1} X^{r-1} = X^r P^T(1/X)$ and $H_0 + H_1 X + \cdots + H_{r-1} X^{r-1} = P(X)$, respectively. Therefore $W(X) = (X^r P^T(1/X)) \cdot P(X)$ and so the eigenvalues of $H^T \cdot H$ are the eigenvalues of $P^T(1/x) \cdot P(x)$, for all $x \in R_r$; these are then equal to the eigenvalues of $P^T(x^r) \cdot P(x)$, for all $x \in R_r$ (as $x^r = 1/x$ for all such $x$).

**Example 3** Let $r = 31$ and consider the $(3,5)$-regular QC-LDPC code given by the scalar 93 $\times$ 155 matrix

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & I_4 & I_8 & I_{16} \\ I_5 & I_{10} & I_{20} & I_9 & I_{18} \\ I_{25} & I_{13} & I_7 & I_{14} & I_{28} \end{bmatrix}. $$

The polynomial parity-check matrix $P(X) \in (\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^r - 1))^{J \times 5}$ is

$$P(X) = \begin{bmatrix} X & X^2 & X^4 & X^8 & X_{16} \\ X^5 & X^{10} & X^{20} & X^9 & X_{18} \\ X^{25} & X^{19} & X^7 & X_{14} & X_{28} \end{bmatrix}. $$

This code is the famous $(3,5)$-regular QC-LDPC code of length 155 presented in [18]. Note that the code parameters are $[155,64,20]$. The corresponding matrix $H$ in the form (7) is a $31 \times 31$ matrix with block entries $H_i,j \in [31]$ obtained by decomposing $P(X)$ according to the powers of $X$:

$$P(X) = H_0 + H_1 X + \cdots + H_{30} X^{30}. \quad (9)$$

Obviously only 15 matrices among the $H_i$ are nonzero, and all of these contain only one 1, the other entries being zero.

The matrix $H^T \cdot H$ is a 5-block circulant matrix. Corollary 2 above tells us that in order to compute its eigenvalues, we need to form the matrices $P^T(\rho^{-i}) \cdot P(\rho^i)$, for all $i \in [31]$ (here $\rho$ denotes a primitive complex 31-th root of unity). We have that

$$P^T(1/x) = \begin{bmatrix} x^{30} & x^{29} & x^{27} & x^{23} & x^{157} \\ x^{26} & x^{21} & x^{11} & x^{22} & x^{13} \\ x^6 & x^{12} & x^{24} & x^{17} & x^3 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

and

$$P^T(1/x) \cdot P(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & a & e^* & c & e^* \\ a^* & 3 & b & a^* & d \\ e & b^* & 3 & c & b^* \\ e^* & a & e^* & 3 & d \\ e^* & b & d^* & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

for all $x \in R_{31}$, where

$$a = x + x^5 + x^{25}; b = x^2 + x^{10} + x^{19}; c = x^4 + x^7 + x^{20}; d = x^8 + x^9 + x^{14}; e = x^{16} + x^{18} + x^{28}.$$ 

Obviously for $i \in [31]$, each matrix $P^T(\rho^{-i}) \cdot P(\rho^i)$ is Hermitian (in fact nonnegative definite), hence each has 5 real nonnegative eigenvalues, giving a total of $31 \cdot 5 = 155$ nonnegative eigenvalues for $H^T \cdot H$.

We obtain that for each $i \in [31], i \neq 0$, the associated polynomial of $P^T(\rho^{-i}) \cdot P(\rho^i)$ may be written as (using $\rho^{31} = 1$)

$$u(\lambda) = \lambda^2 (\lambda^3 - 15 \lambda^2 + 62 \lambda - 62) = \lambda^2 (\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3)(\lambda - \lambda_4)$$

where $\lambda_2 = 8.6801, \lambda_3 = 4.8459$ and $\lambda_4 = 1.4740$. Also, for $i = 0$ the associated polynomial of $P^T(\rho^{-i}) \cdot P(\rho^i)$ may be written as $u(\lambda) = \lambda^4 (\lambda - \lambda_1)$ where $\lambda_1 = 15$. This yields the nonzero eigenvalues of $H^T \cdot H$ as $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\}$ with multiplicities $1, 30, 30$ and $30$ respectively.

IV. Eigenvalues of Nested Circulant Matrices

In this section we define the class of nested circulant matrices, and show that they have eigenvalues which are given by evaluating a multivariate associated polynomial at points whose coordinates are particular roots of unity.

**Theorem 4** Let $B = \text{circ}(b_0,b_1,\ldots,b_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ be an $L$-block circulant matrix. Suppose that each subblock $b_i, i \in [r], \text{ is also circulant, with associated polynomial } p^{(i)}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} b_{i,j} X^j. \text{ Define the associated polynomial of } B \text{ by }

$$q(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} b_{i,j} X^Y Y^j. $$

Then the set of eigenvalues of $B$ is given by

$$\{q(x,y) : x \in R_r, y \in R_L \}.$$ 

*Proof:* For each $j \in [L]$ define $u^{(j)}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} b_{i,j} X^i$. By Theorem 1 the eigenvalues of $B$ are equal to those of the
matrices given by $W(x)$ for $x \in R_t$; each of these is circulant with associated polynomial (in $Y$) given by
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{L-1} u^{(j)}(x)Y^j = q(x, Y).
\]

Thus the eigenvalues of each $W(x)$ are equal to $q(x, y)$ for $y \in R_t$, and the result follows.

We next define what is meant by a nested circulant matrix.

**Definition 5** Let $m \geq 1$ and let $i_t$ be a positive integer for each $t = 1, 2, \cdots , m$. Also let $B = \text{circ}(b_0, b_1, \cdots , b_{i_t-1})$ be a block-circulant matrix such that for every $t = 1, 2, \cdots , m-1$, $j_t \in [i_t]$
\[
B_{j_t} = \text{circ}(b_{j_t,j_t}, \cdots , j_t,0,b_{j_t,j_t}, \cdots , j_t,1,\cdots , b_{j_t,j_t}, \cdots , j_t,i_t+1-1)
\]
is also block-circulant, and that $b_{j_t,j_t}, \cdots , j_t = b_{j_t,j_t}, \cdots , j_t$ are scalars. Then $B$ is said to be an $m$-nested circulant matrix (with dimension $n = \prod_{t=1}^m i_t$). The associated polynomial of $B$ is defined by
\[
q(X_1, X_2, \cdots , X_m) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{i_1-1} \cdots \sum_{j_{m-1}=0}^{i_{m-1}-1} \sum_{j_m=0}^{i_m-1} b_{j_1,j_2,\cdots ,j_m} \prod_{t=1}^m X_t^{j_t}
\]

Note that the 1-nested circulants are precisely the circulant matrices, and that the 2-nested circulants are precisely the $i_2$-block-circulant matrices with circulant subblocks. Also note that the associated polynomial $q(X_1, X_2, \cdots , X_m)$ provides a succinct description of the matrix $B$.

A straightforward generalization of Theorem 4 is as follows.

**Theorem 6** Let $B$ be an $m$-nested circulant matrix with associated polynomial $q(X_1, X_2, \cdots , X_m)$ given by (10) above. Then the set of eigenvalues of $B$ is given by
\[
\{ q(x_1, x_2, \cdots , x_m) : x_t \in R_{i_t} \quad \forall t = 1, 2, \cdots , m \}
\]

**Proof:** The proof uses induction, and follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 4 in a rather straightforward manner.

**Example 7** Here we take an example of an 3-nested circulant (i.e. $m = 3$), where $i_t = 2$ for $t = 1, 2, 3$. The eigenvalues of
\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
are equal to the eigenvalues of
\[
B' = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 + x & x & x \\
1 + x & 0 & x & x \\
x & x & 0 & 1 + x \\
x & x & 1 + x & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
for $x \in \{-1, 1\}$, which are equal to the eigenvalues of
\[
B'' = \begin{bmatrix}
x y & x + 1 + xy \\
1 + x + xy & x y
\end{bmatrix}
\]
for $x \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $y \in \{-1, 1\}$. Finally, these are equal to the set
\[
\{ q(x, y, z) : x, y, z \in \{-1, 1\} \}
\]
where the associated polynomial of $B$ is $q(x, y, z) = xy + z(1+x+xy)$. In this example $b_{0,0,0} = 0$, $b_{0,0,1} = 1$, $b_{1,0,0} = 0$, $b_{1,0,1} = 0$, $b_{1,1,0} = 1$, $b_{1,1,1} = 1$; these may be easily obtained by matching the elements of the first column of $B$ with the binary expansion of the corresponding row position.

This example may be generalized to the case where $n = 2^m$ and the circulant is $m$-nested; the eigenvalues are real. Note that the choice of the first column in $B$ determines which terms in $\{1, x, y, z, xy, yz, zx, xyz\}$ are included in the associated polynomial, and hence controls the eigenvalues of $B$. \hfill \square

**Theorem 8** If $H$ is an $m$-nested circulant matrix, then $B = H^T H$ is an $m$-nested circulant matrix.

**Proof:** It is straightforward to prove the stronger result that if $A$ and $B$ are $m$-nested circulants with specified nested dimensions, then $A^T B$ is also $m$-nested circulant, with the same nested dimensions. The proof proceeds by induction on $m$. The base case $m = 1$ is straightforward. Next, let $A$ be block-circulant with block entries in the first column equal to some $(m-1)$-nested circulants $A_t$, and let $B$ be block-circulant with block entries in the first column equal to some $(m-1)$-nested circulants $B_j$. The matrix $A^T B$ is then block-circulant, and each block entry is a sum of matrices of the form $A_t B_j$. By the principle of induction, each of these matrices is an $(m-1)$-nested circulant, and it is easy to show that a sum of $t$-nested circulants (of the same nested dimensions) is another $t$-nested circulant (with these nested dimensions).

**V. CONDITIONS FOR THE PSEUDO-WEIGHT LOWER BOUND TO HOLD WITH EQUALITY**

It is straightforward to show that a necessary condition for the bound of (13) to hold with equality is that the eigenvalues of $B = H^T H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are $\lambda_1$ with multiplicity 1 and $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ with multiplicity $n-1$.

If $H$ is circulant with (row) associated polynomial $w(X)$ of degree $k \leq n$, the eigenvalues of $B$ are precisely \{ $w(x)^2$ : $x \in R_n$ \}; therefore the largest eigenvalue of $B$ is $\lambda_1 = |w(1)|^2 = d^2$ where $d$ is the number of nonzero coefficients in $w(X)$ (noting that $|w(1)|^2 > |w(x)|^2$ for all $x \in R_n$). Let $\tilde{w}(X) = X^k w(1/X)$ denote the reciprocal polynomial of $w(X)$ which is obtained by reversing the order.
of coefficients in \(w(X)\). Now assume that the bound of \([13]\) holds with equality. Then we must have
\[
|w(x)|^2 = w(x)w^*(x) = \lambda_2 \quad \forall x \in R_n^-
\]
for some positive real number \(\lambda_2\), i.e.
\[
w(x)w(1/x) = \lambda_2 \quad \forall x \in R_n^-.
\]
This is equivalent to
\[
w(x)\bar{w}(x) = \lambda_2 x^k \quad \forall x \in R_n^-
\]
Thus \(R_n^-\) is a subset of the roots of the polynomial
\[
w(X)\bar{w}(X) = \lambda_2 X^k,
\]
and so in this case \(\lambda_2 = 2\).

Example 9 The EG(2, 2) code with \(q = 2\), \(n = 3\), \(k = 1\), \(d = 2\) has \(w(X) = 1 + X\). Here \(\lambda_1 = d^2 = 4\) and \((11)\) holds in the form
\[
(1 + X)^2 - X = 1 + X + X^2
\]
so in this case \(\lambda_2 = 1\) and \(r(X) = 1\). Here
\[
d_{\text{min}} = w_p^{\min}(H) = n\frac{2d - \lambda_2}{d^2 - \lambda_2} = 3 = q + 1.
\]

Example 10 The PG(2, 2) code with \(q = 2\), \(n = 7\), \(k = 3\), \(d = 3\) has \(w(X) = 1 + X + X^3\). Here \(\lambda_1 = d^2 = 9\) and \((11)\) holds in the form
\[
(1 + X + X^3)(1 + X^2 + X^3) - 2X^3 = 1 + X + \cdots + X^6
\]
so in this case \(\lambda_2 = 2\) and \(r(X) = 1\). Here
\[
d_{\text{min}} = w_p^{\min}(H) = n\frac{2d - \lambda_2}{d^2 - \lambda_2} = 4 = q + 2.
\]

Example 11 The PG(2, 4) code with \(q = 2\), \(n = 21\), \(k = 11\), \(d = 5\) has \(w(X) = 1 + X^2 + X^7 + X^8 + X^{11}\). Here \(\lambda_1 = d^2 = 25\) and \((11)\) holds in the form
\[
(1 + X^2 + X^7 + X^8 + X^{11})(1 + X^3 + X^4 + X^9 + X^{11}) - 4X^{11} = (1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{20})(1 - X + X^2)
\]
so in this case \(\lambda_2 = 4\) and \(r(X) = 1 - X + X^2\). Here
\[
d_{\text{min}} = w_p^{\min}(H) = n\frac{2d - \lambda_2}{d^2 - \lambda_2} = 6 = q + 2.
\]

Note that for a general PG(2, \(q\)) code, for the bound to hold with equality we require
\[
w_p^{\min}(H) = q + 1 = n\frac{2d - \lambda_2}{d^2 - \lambda_2} = (q^2 + q + 1)\frac{2(q + 1) - \lambda_2}{(q + 1)^2 - \lambda_2}.
\]
and therefore we must have \(\lambda_2 = q\). Also, for a general EG(2, \(q\)) code, for the bound to hold with equality we require
\[
w_p^{\min}(H) = q + 1 = n\frac{2d - \lambda_2}{d^2 - \lambda_2} = (q^2 - 1)\frac{2q - \lambda_2}{q^{2} - \lambda_2}.
\]
and therefore we must have \(\lambda_2 = q\) if \(q > 2\), whereas for \(q = 2\), any \(\lambda_2\) will achieve the bound.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

A method has been presented for evaluation of the eigenvalue-based lower bound on the AWGNC pseudo-weight based on spectral analysis, for QC and related codes. It was shown that the relevant eigenvalues may be found by computing the eigenvalues of a certain number of small matrices. We also presented a necessary condition for the bound to be attained with equality and gave a few examples of codes for which this happens. Future work involves optimization of QC code designs based on these bounds.
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