Statistics of the Voronoï cell perimeter in large bi-pointed maps
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Abstract. We study the statistics of the Voronoï cell perimeter in large bi-pointed planar quadrangulations. Such maps have two marked vertices at a fixed given distance $2s$ and their Voronoï cell perimeter is simply the length of the frontier which separates vertices closer to one marked vertex than to the other. We characterize the statistics of this perimeter as a function of $s$ for maps with a large given volume $N$ both in the scaling limit where $s$ scales as $N^{1/4}$, in which case the Voronoï cell perimeter scales as $N^{3/2}$, and in the local limit where $s$ remains finite, in which case the perimeter scales as $s^2$ for large $s$. The obtained laws are universal and are characteristics of the Brownian map and the Brownian plane respectively.
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1. Introduction

The statistics of planar maps, which are graphs embedded in the sphere considered up to continuous deformations, has raised much attention over the years and its study gave rise to a myriad of combinatorial results which describe these mathematical objects at the discrete level, as well as of probabilistic results which characterize their universal continuous limits such as, depending on the underlying scaling regime, the Brownian map or the Brownian plane. Among refined descriptions of planar maps is the characterization of their Voronoï cells which, for maps with a number of distinguished marked vertices, are the domains which, using the natural graph distance, regroup vertices according to which marked vertex they are closer to. The simplest realization of Voronoï cells is for bi-pointed maps, i.e. maps with exactly two marked distinct and distinguished vertices, say $v_1$ and $v_2$, in which case the map is naturally split into two connected complementary domains gathering vertices closer to $v_1$ than to $v_2$ and conversely. A natural question is then to characterize the statistics of these two Voronoï...
cells in an ensemble where, say the total volume (equal for instance to the number $N$ of faces) of the map is fixed. A first result was obtained in [7] where the law for the proportion $\phi$ of the total volume $N$ ($0 \leq \phi \leq 1$) spanned by one of the cells was obtained exactly in the limit of large $N$. It was found that this law is uniform between 0 and 1, proving a particular instance of some more general conjecture by Chapuy for Voronoi cells within maps of fixed genus [6]. Another result concerned the characterization of Voronoi cells for bi-pointed maps where the graph distance $d(v_1, v_2)$ is also fixed [8]. In the limit where $N \to \infty$ and $d(v_1, v_2)$ is kept finite, it was shown that exactly one of the two cells has an infinite volume, while the other remains finite. The universal law for this finite Voronoi cell volume in the limit of large $d(v_1, v_2)$ was derived in [8].

In this paper, we address another question about bi-pointed maps by looking at their Voronoi cell perimeter, which is the length $L$ of the frontier which separates the two Voronoi cells. We again consider the ensemble of bi-pointed maps with a fixed volume $N$ and a fixed distance $d(v_1, v_2)$. Explicit universal expressions are obtained for the Voronoi cell perimeter statistics in the following two regimes for which $N \to \infty$: (1) the so-called scaling limit where $d(v_1, v_2)$ scales as $N^{d/4}$, and (2) the so called local limit where $d(v_1, v_2)$ remains finite. In case (1), whose behavior characterizes the so-called Brownian map, the Voronoi cell perimeter scales as $N^{3/2}$ and an explicit universal law is derived for the properly rescaled perimeter $L/N^{3/2}$. In case (2), whose behavior for large $d(v_1, v_2)$ characterizes the so-called Brownian plane, a universal non-trivial law is obtained if we now rescale the perimeter $L$ by $d(v_1, v_2)^2$.

The paper is organized as follows: we first discuss in section 2 the maps under study and give a precise definition of their Voronoi cell perimeter, whose statistics are encoded in some appropriate generating function (section 2.1). To this generating function is naturally associated some scaling function, introduced in section 2.2, whose determination is the key of our study as it may be used to encode the $N \to \infty$ limit of the Voronoi cell perimeter statistics. Section 3 is devoted to the computation of this scaling function thanks to a series of differential equations (section 3.1) solved successively in sections 3.2 and 3.3. The net result is an explicit expression for the desired scaling function which is then used in sections 4 and 5 to address the Voronoi cell perimeter statistics in detail. Section 4 deals with the scaling limit (1) introduced above. Section 4.1 explains in all generality how to obtain expected values characterizing the Voronoi cell perimeter in this regime directly from the scaling function while section 4.2 presents the corresponding explicit formulas and plots. Section 5 deals with the local limit (2): here again, we first explain in section 5.1 the general formalism which connects the local limit statistics to the already computed scaling function. This is then used in section 5.2 to provide a number of laws, which we make explicit and/or plot for illustration. We present our conclusions in section 6 while some technical intermediate computations are discussed in the appendix.

2. Bi-pointed maps and Voronoi cell perimeter

2.1. Generating functions

This paper deals with the statistics of bi-pointed planar quadrangulations, which are planar maps whose all faces have degree 4, with two marked distinct vertices, distinguished
as \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \), at some even graph distance from each other. Such maps are naturally split into two Voronoï cells, which are complementary connected domains gathering vertices which are closer to one marked vertex than to the other. A precise definition of the Voronoï cells is given by the Miermont bijection [9] which provides a one-to-one correspondence between the above defined bi-pointed planar quadrangulations and so-called planar iso-labelled two-face maps (i-l.2.f.m). Those are planar maps with exactly two faces, distinguished as \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \), and whose vertices carry positive integer labels. The labels are required to satisfy the following three constraints:

(L1) labels on adjacent vertices differ by 0 or \( \pm 1 \);
(L2) the minimum label for the set of vertices incident to \( f_1 \) is 1;
(L3) the minimum label for the set of vertices incident to \( f_2 \) is 1.

As it appears in the Miermont bijection, the vertices of the i-l.2.f.m are in one-to-one correspondence with the unmarked (i.e. other than \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \)) vertices in the associated bi-pointed quadrangulation and their label corresponds precisely to their graph distance in the quadrangulation to the closest marked vertex \( v_1 \) or \( v_2 \). Moreover, vertices strictly closer to \( v_1 \) than to \( v_2 \) in the quadrangulation are solely incident to \( f_1 \) in the i-l.2.f.m while vertices strictly closer to \( v_2 \) than to \( v_1 \) are solely incident to \( f_2 \). In particular, vertices incident to both \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \), i.e. lying on the boundary between the two faces, are necessarily equidistant from \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) in the quadrangulation (but there might also be vertices equidistant from \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) which do not lie on this boundary). As a consequence, we define the Voronoï cells of the bi-pointed quadrangulation as the two domains spanned by \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) respectively upon drawing the i-l.2.f.m and the associated quadrangulation on top of each other (see figure 1). Each edge of the quadrangulation lies either strictly in \( f_1 \) or strictly in \( f_2 \) (see figure 1). Each face of the quadrangulation is actually traversed by exactly one edge of the i-l.2.f.m. These edges form a simple closed loop \( L \) forming the boundary between the two faces \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \), together with a number of subtrees attached to the vertices of \( L \), on both sides of the loop. Subtree edges of either side correspond to faces in the bi-pointed quadrangulation which lie within a single Voronoï cell, while loop edges correspond instead to faces covered by both cells. The length \( L (= \text{number of edges}) \) of the loop \( L \) is called the Voronoï cell perimeter as it is a natural measure of the size of the boundary between the two cells\(^1\).

In this paper, we shall study the statistics of \( L \) for maps with a fixed value \( d(v_1, v_2) = 2s \) \((s \geq 1)\) of the graph distance between the two marked vertices \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \). In the associated i-l.2.f.m language, this distance is fixed via the following fourth requirement:

(L4) the minimum label for the set of vertices incident to \( L \) is \( s \).

For a fixed graph distance \( d(v_1, v_2) = 2s \), we may keep a control on both the volume \( N (= \text{number of faces}) \) of the planar bi-pointed quadrangulations and on their Voronoï

---

\(^1\) With the convention used here for the Miermont bijection, those edges strictly in \( f_1 \) are the ones where the ‘leftmost’ label-decreasing path (in the quadrangulation) starting at the edge at hand ends at \( v_1 \). Note that taking the ‘mirror’ convention in the (chiral) construction underlying the Miermont bijection would result in moving some of the edges close to the original boundary from \( f_1 \) to \( f_2 \) and conversely. This would in turn possibly lead to a different boundary between \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \), with a possibly different length but we expect that this modification does not affect our results when we eventually deal with the limit of large maps.
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cell perimeter $L$ by considering their generating function $F_s(g, z)$ with a weight $g^N z^L$. Via the Miermont bijection, $F_s(g, z)$ is also the generating function of i-l.2.f.m satisfying (L4) with a weight $g^E z^L$, where $E$ is the number of edges of the i-l.2.f.m and $L$ the length of the loop $\mathcal{L}$.

As explained in [7] in a slightly different context, the generating function $F_s(g, z)$ is closely related to some other generating function, $X_{s,t}(g, z)$, of properly weighted labelled chains (l.c), which are planar one-face maps whose vertices carry integer labels and with two distinct (and distinguished) marked vertices $w_1$ and $w_2$. The unique shortest path in the map going from $w_1$ to $w_2$ forms what is called the spine of the map. Apart from its spine, the map consists of (possibly empty) subtrees attached to the left and to the right of each internal spine vertex and at the marked vertices $w_1$ and $w_2$ (see figure 2). Given two positive integers $s$ and $t$, $X_{s,t} \equiv X_{s,t}(g, z)$ is the generating function of planar l.c subject to the following constraints:

(\ell 1) labels on adjacent vertices differ by 0 or ±1;

(\ell 2) $w_1$ and $w_2$ have label 0. The minimum label for spine vertices is 0. Spine edges receive a weight $g z$;

(\ell 3) the minimum label for vertices of the left part, formed by the subtree attached to $w_1$ and all the subtrees attached to the left of any internal spine vertex (with the spine oriented from $w_1$ to $w_2$), is larger than or equal to $1 - s$. The edges of all these subtrees receive a weight $g$;

(\ell 4) the minimum label for vertices of the right part, formed by the subtree attached to $w_2$ and all the subtrees attached to the right of any internal spine vertex, is larger than or equal to $1 - t$. The edges of all these subtrees receive a weight $g$.

For convenience, $X_{s,t}$ includes a first additional term 1 and, for $s, t > 0$, we set $X_{s,0} = X_{0,t} = X_{0,0} = 1$. 

https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aacfbc

Figure 1. An example of planar bi-pointed quadrangulation (thin black edges) whose two marked vertices $v_1$ and $v_2$ are at even distance $2s$ (here $s = 2$). Each vertex is labelled by its distance to the closest marked vertex $v_1$ or $v_2$. Applying the Miermont bijection transforms the quadrangulation into an i-l.2.f.m (thick blue edges) whose two faces $f_1$ and $f_2$ define two Voronoi cells (here the Voronoi cell corresponding to $f_2$ has been filled with light blue). The Voronoi cell perimeter $L$ is the length of the loop $\mathcal{L}$ separating the two faces of the i-l.2.f.m (i.e. the boundary length of the light blue domain, here $L = 3$).
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By some appropriate decomposition of the i-l.2.f.m, it is then easy to relate \( F_s(g, z) \) to \( X_{s,t}(g, z) \) (see [7] for a detailed description of the decomposition) via:

\[
F_s(g, z) = \left( \Delta_s \Delta_1 \log(X_{s,t}(g, z)) \right)_{t=s} = \log \left( \frac{X_{s,s}(g, z)X_{s-1,s-1}(g, z)}{X_{s-1,s}(g, z)X_{s,s-1}(g, z)} \right),
\]

(1)

where \( \Delta_s \) denotes the finite difference operator \( (\Delta_s f(s) = f(s) - f(s - 1)) \). In brief, the above relation is obtained by first shifting all the labels of the i-l.2.f.m by \(-s\) so that the minimum label on the loop \( \mathcal{L} \) becomes 0 and the minimum label within \( f_1 \) becomes \( 1 - s \), as well as the minimum label within \( f_2 \). One then releases the constraints by demanding that the minimum label within \( f_1 \) be \( \geq 1 - s \) and that within \( f_2 \) be \( \geq 1 - t \) for some \( t \) not necessarily equal to \( s \). The loop of the obtained two-face map forms (by cutting it at each loop vertex labelled 0) a cyclic sequence of particular l.c with no internal spine vertex labelled 0. Since linear (i.e. non-cyclic) sequences of such l.c are precisely enumerated by \( X_{s,t}(g, z) \), the desired cyclic sequences are easily seen to be enumerated by \( \log(X_{s,t}(g, z)) \). Applying the finite difference operator \( \Delta_s \) restores the constraint that the minimum label within \( f_1 \) is exactly \( 1 - s \), and similarly, the finite difference operator \( \Delta_t \) restores the constraint that the minimum label within \( f_2 \) is exactly \( 1 - t \), which eventually equals the desired value \( 1 - s \) by setting \( t = s \).

It is therefore sufficient to obtain an expression for \( X_{s,t}(g, z) \) to determine the desired \( F_s(g, z) \). The generating function \( X_{s,t}(g, z) \) itself is fully determined by its relation with the generating function \( R_s(g) \) for so called well-abelled trees\(^2\) (accounting for the subtrees attached to the spine), namely (see [7]):

\[
X_{s,t}(g, z) = 1 + g z R_s(g) R_t(g) X_{s,t}(g, z) \left( 1 + g z R_{s+1}(g) R_{t+1}(g) X_{s+1,t+1}(g, z) \right)
\]

(2)

for \( s, t \geq 0 \). As for the generating function \( R_s(g) \) itself (which depends on \( g \) only), its explicit expression is well-known and takes the parametric form [4]:

\[
R_s(g) = \frac{1 + 4x + x^2 (1 - x^s)(1 - x^{s+3})}{1 + x + x^2 (1 - x^{s+1})(1 - x^{s+2})}, \quad \text{for } g = x \frac{1 + x + x^2}{(1 + 4x + x^2)^2}.
\]

(3)

Here \( x \) varies in the range \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \) so that \( g \) varies in the range \( 0 \leq g \leq 1/12 \) (ensuring a proper convergence of the generating function at hand). From the explicit form (3)

---

\(^2\) To be precise, \( R_s(g) \) enumerates planted trees with vertices labeled by integers satisfying (1), with root vertex labelled by 0 and with all labels \( \geq 1 - s \). Each edge of the tree gets a weight \( g \).

---

Figure 2. A schematic picture of a l.c. enumerated by \( X_{s,t}(g, z) \), see text.
and the equations (2) and (1), we may in principle determine $X_{s,t}(g,z)$ and $F_s(g,z)$. For instance, for $z = 1$, the solution of (2) reads [5]

$$X_{s,t}(g,1) = \frac{(1 - x^3)(1 - x^{s+1})(1 - x^{t+1})(1 - x^{s+t+3})}{(1 - x)(1 - x^{s+5})(1 - x^{t+3})(1 - x^{s+t+1})}$$

so that

$$F_s(g,1) = \log \left\{ \frac{(1 - x^{2s+3})(1 - x^{2s})^2}{(1 - x^{2s-1})(1 - x^{2s+2})^2} \right\}.$$  

(5)

For arbitrary $z$ however, we have not been able to obtain such explicit expressions for $X_{s,t}(g,z)$ or $F_s(g,z)$ and we therefore have no prediction on the statistics of Voronoï cell perimeters for a finite volume $N$ of the quadrangulation. Fortunately, as explained in details in the next section, all the above generating functions have appropriate scaling limits whose knowledge will allow us to characterize the statistics of Voronoï cell perimeters in quadrangulations with a large or infinite volume $N$.

Before turning to scaling functions, let us end this section by looking, now for arbitrary $z$, at the limit

$$X_{\infty}\limits_{t}\,_{\infty}(g,z) \equiv \lim_{s,t \to \infty} X_{s,t}(g,z).$$

It is easily obtained from (2) as the solution of

$$X_{\infty}\limits_{t}\,_{\infty}(g,z) = 1 + z \frac{x}{1 + x + x^2} X_{\infty}\limits_{t}\,_{\infty}(g,z) \left( 1 + z \frac{x}{1 + x + x^2} X_{\infty}\limits_{t}\,_{\infty}(g,z) \right),$$

which tends to 1 when $g \to 0$, namely

$$X_{\infty}\limits_{t}\,_{\infty}(g,z) = \frac{(1 + x + x^2) \left( 1 + x + x^2 - z x - \sqrt{(1 + x + x^2 - z x)^2 - 4 x^2 z^2} \right)}{2 x^2 z^2}$$

(6)

with the same parametrization of $g$ as in (3). Note that $X_{\infty}\limits_{t}\,_{\infty}(g,z)$ is well defined for $0 \leq z \leq (1 + x + x^2)/(3x)$, hence for $0 \leq z \leq 1$ in the whole range $0 \leq g \leq 1/12$ ($0 \leq x \leq 1$).

2.2. Scaling functions

The generating functions $R_s(g)$, $X_{s,t}(g,z)$ and $F_s(g,z)$ are singular when $g$ and $z$ tend simultaneously toward their critical value $1/12$ and 1 respectively. The corresponding singularities are encoded in scaling functions, as defined below, whose knowledge will allow us to describe the statistics of Voronoï cell perimeters in quadrangulations whose volume $N$ is large. A non trivial scaling regime is obtained by letting $g$ and $z$ approach their critical values as

$$g = G(a, \epsilon) \equiv \frac{1}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{a^4}{36} \epsilon^4 \right), \quad z = Z(\lambda, \epsilon) \equiv 1 - \lambda \epsilon^2$$

(7)

with $\epsilon \to 0$, and letting simultaneously $s$ and $t$ become large as
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\[ s = \frac{S}{\epsilon}, \quad t = \frac{T}{\epsilon}, \]

with \( a, \lambda, S \) and \( T \) finite positive reals. From (3), we have for instance the expansion

\[ R_{|S/\epsilon|}(G(a, \epsilon)) = 2 + r(S, a) \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3), \]

\[ r(S, a) = -\frac{a^2 (1 + 10e^{-aS} + e^{-2aS})}{3 (1 - e^{-aS})^2}. \]  (8)

Expanding (2) at second order in \( \epsilon \), we have similarly

\[ X_{|S/\epsilon|, |T/\epsilon|}(G(a, \epsilon), Z(\lambda, \epsilon)) = 3 + x(S, T, a, \lambda) \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2), \]

where the scaling function \( x(S, T, a, \lambda) \) is the solution of the non-linear partial differential equation

\[ 2(x(S, T, a, \lambda))^2 + 6(\partial_S x(S, T, a, \lambda) + \partial_T x(S, T, a, \lambda)) + 27(r(S, a) + r(T, a)) = 54\lambda \]  (9)

(with the short-hand notations \( \partial_S \equiv \partial/\partial S \) and \( \partial_T \equiv \partial/\partial T \)). For instance, from the explicit expression (4) at \( z = 1 \) (i.e. \( \lambda = 0 \)), we immediately deduce

\[ x(S, T, a, 0) = -3a - \frac{6a \left( e^{-aS} + e^{-aT} - 3e^{-a(S+T)} + e^{-2a(S+T)} \right)}{(1 - e^{-aS})(1 - e^{-aT})(1 - e^{-a(S+T)})}, \]

which, as easily checked, satisfies (9) when \( \lambda = 0 \).

Finally, equation (1) implies that

\[ F_{|S/\epsilon|}(G(a, \epsilon), Z(\lambda, \epsilon)) = \mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda) \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4) \]

where \( \mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda) = \frac{1}{3} \partial_S \partial_T x(S, T, a, \lambda) \bigg|_{T=S}. \)  (10)

The next section is devoted to the actual computation of the scaling function \( \mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda) \). We will then show in the following sections how to use this result to address the question of the Voronoï cell perimeter statistics in large maps.

3. Computation of the scaling function \( \mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda) \)

3.1. Differential equations

We have not been able to solve the equation (9), as such, when \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and therefore have no explicit expression for \( x(S, T, a, \lambda) \) for arbitrary \( \lambda \) and for independent \( S \) and \( T \). Such a formula is however not necessary to compute \( \mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda) \) as we may instead recourse to a sequence of two ordinary differential equations, both inherited from (9), which determine successively the following two quantities:

\[ Y(S, a, \lambda) \equiv x(S, T, a, \lambda) \big|_{T=S}, \]

\[ H(S, a, \lambda) \equiv \partial_S \partial_T x(S, T, a, \lambda) \big|_{T=S}. \]  (11)
Note that these quantities depend on a single ‘distance parameter’ $S$ instead of two (since we always eventually fix $T = S$). Note also that the knowledge of $H(S, a, \lambda)$ is exactly what we look for since, from (10), we have
\[
\mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda) = \frac{1}{3} H(S, a, \lambda) .
\]
Since \( \frac{d}{dS} Y(S, a, \lambda) = (\partial_S x(S, T, a, \lambda) + \partial_T x(S, T, a, \lambda))_{|T=S} \), we immediately deduce from (9) the following differential equation for $Y(S, a, \lambda)$:
\[
2(Y(S, a, \lambda))^2 + 6 \frac{d}{dS} Y(S, a, \lambda) + 54r(S, a) = 54\lambda .
\] (12)
This equation, with appropriate boundary conditions, will allow us to compute $Y(S, a, \lambda)$ explicitly.

Similarly, differentiating (9) with respect to $S$ and $T$ and then setting $T = S$, we deduce
\[
4Y(S, a, \lambda) H(S, a, \lambda) + \left( \frac{d}{dS} Y(S, a, \lambda) \right)^2 + 6 \frac{d}{dS} H(S, a, \lambda) = 0,
\] (13)
where we used the identity $\partial_S x(S, T, a, \lambda)|_{T=S} = \partial_T x(S, T, a, \lambda)|_{T=S} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dS} Y(S, a, \lambda)$, obvious by symmetry\(^3\). Knowing $Y(S, a, \lambda)$, this equation will determine $H(S, a, \lambda)$ by some appropriate choice of boundary conditions.

Let us now solve the two equations (12) and (13) successively.

### 3.2. Solution of (12)

The non-linear differential equation (12) is of the Riccati type and is therefore easily transformed, by setting
\[
Y(S, a, \lambda) = 3 \frac{d}{dS} \log \left( V(S, a, \lambda) \right),
\] (14)
into a second order homogeneous linear differential equation
\[
\frac{d^2}{dS^2} V(S, a, \lambda) + 3(r(S, a) - \lambda) V(S, a, \lambda) = 0 .
\]
The solution of this equation is easily found to be
\[
V(S, a, \lambda) = \tilde{V}(\sigma, A) \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma \equiv e^{-aS} \quad \text{and} \quad A \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{3\lambda}{a^2}}
\] (15)
where $\tilde{V}(\sigma, A)$ is solution of
\[
\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} \tilde{V}(\sigma, A) + \sigma^2 \frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} \tilde{V}(\sigma, A) = \left( A^2 + \frac{12\sigma}{(1-\sigma)^2} \right) \tilde{V}(\sigma, A),
\]
\(^3\) We indeed clearly have $X_{s,t}(g, z) = X_{s,t}(g, z)$, hence $x(S, T, a, \lambda) = x(T, S, a, \lambda)$. 

https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aacfbc
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Note that, apart from the global arbitrary multiplicative constant \( V_0 \) which is unimportant as it drops out in (14), this solution involves some unknown constant \( \alpha \) which needs to be fixed. To this end, we recall that, for \( s \) and \( t \) tending to \( \infty \), \( X_{S,T}(g, z) \) tends to \( X_{\infty,\infty}(g, z) \) given by (6). In particular, we have the expansion

\[
X_{\infty,\infty}(G(a, \epsilon), Z(\lambda, \epsilon)) = 3 - 3\sqrt{a^2 + 3\lambda} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)
\]

from which we deduce

\[
Y(S, a, \lambda) \sim_{S \to \infty} -3\sqrt{a^2 + 3\lambda} = -3a A
\]

for \( a > 0 \). Sending \( S \to \infty \) for \( a > 0 \) amounts to letting \( \sigma \to 0 \). From (14), we must therefore ensure

\[
-3a \frac{\sigma}{V(\sigma, A)} \frac{d}{d\sigma} V(\sigma, A) \to -3a A \quad \iff \quad \frac{\sigma}{V(\sigma, A)} \frac{d}{d\sigma} V(\sigma, A) \to A.
\]

Since \( W(\sigma, A) \) has a finite limit when \( \sigma \to 0 \), and since \( A > 0 \), this latter requirement is fulfilled only for \( \alpha = 0 \) (in all the other cases, the limit is \( -A \) instead). This fixes the value of the parameter \( \alpha \) to be 0, while we may set \( V_0 = 1 \) without loss of generality, so that we eventually get the expression

\[
\tilde{V}(\sigma, A) = \frac{\sigma A W(\sigma, A)}{(1 - \sigma)^3},
\]

\[
W(\sigma, A) = 4A^3(1 - \sigma)^3 + 12A^2(1 - \sigma)^2(1 + \sigma) + A(1 - \sigma)(11 + 38\sigma + 11\sigma^2)
\]

\[
+ 3(1 + \sigma)(1 + 8\sigma + \sigma^2)
\]

and, from (14),

\[
\tilde{V}(\sigma, A) = \frac{V_0}{(1 - \sigma)^3} \left( \sigma^4 W(\sigma, A) - \alpha \sigma^{-4} W(\sigma, -A) \right),
\]

\[
W(\sigma, A) = 4A^3(1 - \sigma)^3 + 12A^2(1 - \sigma)^2(1 + \sigma) + A(1 - \sigma)(11 + 38\sigma + 11\sigma^2) + 3(1 + \sigma)(1 + 8\sigma + \sigma^2).
\]

Note that, apart from the global arbitrary multiplicative constant \( V_0 \) which is unimportant as it drops out in (14), this solution involves some unknown constant \( \alpha \) which needs to be fixed. To this end, we recall that, for \( s \) and \( t \) tending to \( \infty \), \( X_{s,t}(g, z) \) tends to \( X_{\infty,\infty}(g, z) \) given by (6). In particular, we have the expansion

\[
X_{\infty,\infty}(G(a, \epsilon), Z(\lambda, \epsilon)) = 3 - 3\sqrt{a^2 + 3\lambda} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)
\]

from which we deduce

\[
Y(S, a, \lambda) \sim_{S \to \infty} -3\sqrt{a^2 + 3\lambda} = -3a A
\]

for \( a > 0 \). Sending \( S \to \infty \) for \( a > 0 \) amounts to letting \( \sigma \to 0 \). From (14), we must therefore ensure

\[
-3a \frac{\sigma}{V(\sigma, A)} \frac{d}{d\sigma} V(\sigma, A) \to -3a A \quad \iff \quad \frac{\sigma}{V(\sigma, A)} \frac{d}{d\sigma} V(\sigma, A) \to A.
\]

Since \( W(\sigma, A) \) has a finite limit when \( \sigma \to 0 \), and since \( A > 0 \), this latter requirement is fulfilled only for \( \alpha = 0 \) (in all the other cases, the limit is \( -A \) instead). This fixes the value of the parameter \( \alpha \) to be 0, while we may set \( V_0 = 1 \) without loss of generality, so that we eventually get the expression

\[
\tilde{V}(\sigma, A) = \frac{\sigma A W(\sigma, A)}{(1 - \sigma)^3},
\]

\[
W(\sigma, A) = 4A^3(1 - \sigma)^3 + 12A^2(1 - \sigma)^2(1 + \sigma) + A(1 - \sigma)(11 + 38\sigma + 11\sigma^2) + 3(1 + \sigma)(1 + 8\sigma + \sigma^2)
\]

and, from (14),

\[
\tilde{V}(\sigma, A) = \frac{V_0}{(1 - \sigma)^3} \left( \sigma^4 W(\sigma, A) - \alpha \sigma^{-4} W(\sigma, -A) \right),
\]

\[
W(\sigma, A) = 4A^3(1 - \sigma)^3 + 12A^2(1 - \sigma)^2(1 + \sigma) + A(1 - \sigma)(11 + 38\sigma + 11\sigma^2) + 3(1 + \sigma)(1 + 8\sigma + \sigma^2)
\]

and, from (14),

\[
\tilde{V}(\sigma, A) = \frac{V_0}{(1 - \sigma)^3} \left( \sigma^4 W(\sigma, A) - \alpha \sigma^{-4} W(\sigma, -A) \right),
\]

\[
W(\sigma, A) = 4A^3(1 - \sigma)^3 + 12A^2(1 - \sigma)^2(1 + \sigma) + A(1 - \sigma)(11 + 38\sigma + 11\sigma^2) + 3(1 + \sigma)(1 + 8\sigma + \sigma^2)
\]
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\[ Y(S, a, \lambda) = a \tilde{Y}(\sigma, A) \quad \text{with } \sigma \equiv e^{-aS} \text{ and } A \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{3\lambda}{a^2}}, \]

\[ \tilde{Y}(\sigma, A) = -3 \frac{\sigma}{V(\sigma, A)} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \tilde{V}(\sigma, A) = -3A - 36 \frac{\sigma U(\sigma, A)}{(1 - \sigma) W(\sigma, A)}, \]

\[ U(\sigma, A) = 2A^2(1 - \sigma)^2 + 5A(1 - \sigma)(1 + \sigma) + 3(1 + 3\sigma + \sigma^2) \] (17)

and \( W(\sigma, A) \) as in (16).

3.3. Solution of (13)

Given \( Y(S, a, \lambda) \), the solution of the linear first order equation (13) is given by the general formula

\[ H(S, a, \lambda) = e^{-\frac{2}{3} \int_{S_0}^{S} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} Y(T, a, \lambda) d\tau dT} \left\{ H(S_0, a, \lambda) - \frac{1}{6} \int_{S_0}^{S} dT \left( \frac{d}{dT} Y(T, a, \lambda) \right)^2 e^{-\frac{2}{3} \int_{S_0}^{T} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} Y(T, a, \lambda) d\tau dT} \right\} \]

for some arbitrary \( S_0 > 0 \). Here the value of \( H(S_0, a, \lambda) \) is some integration constant which may a priori be chosen arbitrarily. As we shall see below, it will be fixed in our case by the requirement that \( H(S, a, \lambda) \) has the desired large \( S \) behavior. It is straightforward from (14) that

\[ e^{-\frac{2}{3} \int_{S_0}^{S} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} Y(T, a, \lambda) d\tau dT} = \left( \frac{V(S_0, a, \lambda)}{V(S, a, \lambda)} \right)^2 \]

with \( V(S, a, \lambda) \) as in equations (15) and (16). We may therefore write the solution of (13) as

\[ H(S, a, \lambda) = \frac{1}{(V(S, a, \lambda))^2} \left\{ (V(S_0, a, \lambda))^2 H(S_0, a, \lambda) - \frac{1}{6} \int_{S_0}^{S} dT \left( V(T, a, \lambda) \frac{d}{dT} Y(T, a, \lambda) \right)^2 \right\} \]

which, upon changing again variables by setting

\[ H(S, a, \lambda) = a^3 \tilde{H}(\sigma, A) \quad \text{with } \sigma \equiv e^{-aS} \text{ and } A \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{3\lambda}{a^2}} \]

and upon using equations (16) and (17), rewrites explicitly

\[ \tilde{H}(\sigma, A) = \frac{(1 - \sigma)^6}{\sigma^2 A^2 (W(\sigma, A))^2} \left\{ \frac{\sigma^2 A^2 (W(\sigma, A))^2}{(1 - \sigma)^6} \tilde{H}(\sigma_0, A) + \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} d\tau M(\tau, A) \right\}, \]

\[ M(\sigma, A) = \frac{216 \sigma^{2A+1} (P(\sigma, A))^2}{(1 - \sigma)^{10} (W(\sigma, A))^2}, \]

\[ P(\sigma, A) = \left( W(\sigma, A)(1 - \sigma) \right)^2 \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left( \frac{\sigma U(\sigma, A)}{(1 - \sigma) W(\sigma, A)} \right) \]

\[ = 8A^5(1 - \sigma)^5(1 + \sigma) + 4A^4(1 - \sigma)^4(11 + 20\sigma + 11\sigma^2) \]

\[ + 2A^3(1 - \sigma)^3(1 + \sigma)(47 + 86\sigma + 47\sigma^2) \]

\[ + A^2(1 - \sigma)^2(97 + 386\sigma + 594\sigma^2 + 386\sigma^3 + 97\sigma^4) \]

\[ + 6A(1 - \sigma)(1 + \sigma)(8 + 33\sigma + 68\sigma^2 + 33\sigma^3 + 8\sigma^4) \]

\[ + 9(1 + 6\sigma + 27\sigma^2 + 32\sigma^3 + 27\sigma^4 + 6\sigma^5 + \sigma^6), \]
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where \( \sigma_0 = e^{-\alpha S_0} \). Remarkably enough, the function \( M(\sigma, A) \) above has an explicit primitive (in \( \sigma \)) given by:

\[
C(\sigma, A) = \frac{12}{35} \sigma^{2A+2} \left\{ \frac{Q(\sigma, A)}{(1 - \sigma)^9 W(\sigma, A)} - A(A - 1)(A^2 - 1)(4A^2 - 1)(4A^2 - 9) _2F_1(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma) \right\}
\]

where \(_2F_1(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma)\) is a simple hypergeometric function

\[
_2F_1(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma) = (2A + 2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^n}{n + 2A + 2}
\]

and where \( Q(\sigma, A) \) is a polynomial of degree 11 in \( \sigma \) given by

\[
Q(\sigma, A) = \sum_{i=0}^{11} q_i(\sigma) A^{11-i} (1 - \sigma)^{11-i}
\]

with

\[
q_0(\sigma) = 64, \quad q_1(\sigma) = 32(4 + 7\sigma), \quad q_2(\sigma) = 80 (-3 + 13\sigma)
\]

\[
q_3(\sigma) = 48(-12 + 3\sigma + 39\sigma^2 - 17\sigma^3), \quad q_4(\sigma) = 12(17 - 278\sigma + 400\sigma^2 - 90\sigma^3 - 65\sigma^4)
\]

\[
q_5(\sigma) = 6(980 + 1365\sigma + 424\sigma^2 + 1374\sigma^3 - 876\sigma^4 + 109\sigma^5)
\]

\[
q_6(\sigma) = (35363 + 88857\sigma + 75432\sigma^2 + 45698\sigma^3 - 1965\sigma^4 - 2715\sigma^5 + 1250\sigma^6)
\]

\[
q_7(\sigma) = (100336 + 279172\sigma + 377163\sigma^2 + 267688\sigma^3 + 118786\sigma^4 - 12360\sigma^5 + 2611\sigma^6 + 244\sigma^7)
\]

\[
q_8(\sigma) = 2(74901 + 220667\sigma + 395067\sigma^2 + 388137\sigma^3 + 229474\sigma^4 + 77601\sigma^5 - 2895\sigma^6 + 1355\sigma^7 - 240\sigma^8)
\]

\[
q_9(\sigma) = 3(40659 + 122280\sigma + 287397\sigma^2 + 350940\sigma^3 + 284873\sigma^4 + 126322\sigma^5 + 37701\sigma^6 + 724\sigma^7 + 206\sigma^8 - 102\sigma^9)
\]

\[
q_{10}(\sigma) = 9(5673 + 16595\sigma + 51926\sigma^2 + 83180\sigma^3 + 83930\sigma^4 + 50678\sigma^5 + 17870\sigma^6 + 4994\sigma^7 + 155\sigma^8 + 5\sigma^9 - 6\sigma^{10})
\]

\[
q_{11}(\sigma) = 27(315 + 840\sigma + 3465\sigma^2 + 9576\sigma^3 + 6510\sigma^4 + 9864\sigma^5 + 3150\sigma^6 + 1000\sigma^7 + 279\sigma^8 + \sigma^{10})
\]

Knowing \( C(\sigma, A) \), we may thus write

\[
\tilde{H}(\sigma, A) = \frac{(1 - \sigma)^6}{\sigma^{2A}(W(\sigma, A))^2} \left\{ \frac{\sigma^{2A}}{(1 - \sigma)^6} \tilde{H}(\sigma_0, A) + C(\sigma, A) - C(\sigma_0, A) \right\}
\]

leaving us with the determination of the free integration constant \( \tilde{H}(\sigma_0, A) = H(S_0, a, \lambda)/\alpha^3 \). From its combinatorial interpretation, \( F_s(g, z) \) is expected to vanish at large \( s \) (see for instance (5) when \( z = 1 \)) and so is thus \( \mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda) = (1/3) H(S, a, \lambda) \) at large \( S \). Sending \( S \to \infty \) amounts (for \( a > 0 \)) to let \( \sigma \to 0 \), in which case we find

\[
C(\sigma, A) = \sigma^{2A} \left( 108 (A + 1)(2A + 3)^2 \sigma^2 + O(\sigma^3) \right)
\]
Demanding that \( H(S,a,\lambda) \to 0 \) at large \( S \) forces us to choose the (so far arbitrary) value \( \tilde{H}(\sigma_0, A) \) such that \( \frac{2^A}{(1-\sigma_0)^2} \tilde{H}(\sigma_0, A) - C(\sigma_0, A) = 0 \) in order to avoid a divergence of \( \tilde{H}(\sigma, A) \) as \( \sigma \to 0 \). This leads to the final expression\(^5\)
\[
\tilde{H}(\sigma, A) = \frac{(1 - \sigma)^6 C(\sigma, A)}{\sigma^{2A} (W(\sigma, A))^2} \\
= \frac{12}{35} \sigma^2 \left\{ \frac{Q(\sigma, A)}{(1 - \sigma)^3 (W(\sigma, A))^3} \\
- A(A - 1)(A^2 - 1)(4A^2 - 9) \frac{(1 - \sigma)^6}{(W(\sigma, A))^2} \right\} \text{ _2F_1}(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma)
\]
with \( W(A,\sigma) \) as in (16) and \( Q(\sigma, A) \) as in (19) above. The desired scaling function \( F(S,a,\lambda) \) is then obtained directly via
\[
F(S,a,\lambda) = \frac{1}{3} a^3 \tilde{H}(\sigma, A), \quad \sigma \equiv e^{-aS}, \quad A \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{3\lambda}{a^2}}. \tag{21}
\]

4. Large maps in the scaling limit

4.1. Quadrangulations with a large fixed volume

Fixing the value \( N \) of the volume of the quadrangulations amounts to extracting the coefficient \( g^N \) of the various generating functions. For instance, the number of bi-pointed planar quadrangulations of fixed volume \( N \) and with a fixed distance \( 2s \) between the marked vertices is directly given by
\[
[g^N] F_s(g, 1).
\]
As for the statistics of the Voronoï cell perimeter \( L \) within bi-pointed quadrangulations of fixed volume \( N \) and fixed distance \( 2s \) between the marked vertices, it is fully characterized by considering the expected value \( E_{N,s}(z^L) \) of \( z^L \) in this ensemble, for \( 0 \leq z \leq 1 \). This expectation is directly given by the simple ratio
\[
E_{N,s}(z^L) = \frac{[g^N] F_s(g, z)}{[g^N] F_s(g, 1)}. \tag{22}
\]
In practice, extracting the coefficient \( g^N \) may be performed via a contour integral over \( g \) around 0, which may then be transformed into an integral over the variable \( a \) such that
\[
g = G(a, N^{-1/4}) = \frac{1}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{a^4}{36 N} \right).
\]

\(^5\) At small \( \sigma \), we then have the expansion \( \tilde{H}(\sigma, A) = \frac{108 \sigma^2}{(1-\sigma)^3 (A^2+2A+7)^2} + O(\sigma^3) \).
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The integral over \( a \) runs on some contour \( C_N \), which, at large \( N \), eventually tends to some simple contour \( C \) made of two straight lines at 45° from the real axis, as displayed in figure 3.

The scaling limit corresponds to let \( N \) tend to infinity while looking at distances \( 2s \) of order \( N^{1/4} \). This is achieved by setting \( s = SN^{1/4} \) where \( S \) is kept finite, in which case we have for instance, for \( z = 1 \):

\[
[g^N]F_{[SN^{1/4}]}(g, 1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dg}{g^{N+1}} F_{[SN^{1/4}]}(g, 1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{12N}{N} \int_{C_N} -\frac{da}{9} \frac{a^4}{(1 - \frac{s^4}{12N})^{N+1}} F_{[SN^{1/4}]} \left( G(a, N^{-1/4}), 1 \right)
\]

\[
\sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{12N}{N^{7/4}} \int_{C} -\frac{da}{9} e^{\frac{a^4}{12}} \mathcal{F}(S, a, 0)
\]

where we have used the expansion (10) (at \( z = 1 \), i.e. at \( \lambda = 0 \)) with \( \epsilon = 1/N^{1/4} \). Dividing the quantity \( F_{[SN^{1/4}]}(g, 1) \times N^{1/4} \) by the large \( N \) asymptotic number\(^7\) \( 12N/(4\sqrt{\pi}N^{3/2}) \) of bi-pointed quadrangulations having their two marked vertices at (arbitrary) even distance from each other leads to the probability \( \rho(S)dS \) that two marked vertices chosen uniformly at random at some even distance from each other in a quadrangulation of large volume \( N \) have their half-distance between \( S N^{1/4} \) and \( (S + dS) N^{1/4} \). The quantity \( \rho(S) \) is nothing but the so-called distance density profile of the Brownian map, obtained here in the context of bi-pointed quadrangulations, and is thus given by

---

\(^6\) In \( F_{[SN^{1/4}]}(g, 1) \), the half-distance is fixed at some precise integer value close to \( S N^{1/4} \). Letting instead the half-distance vary between \( S N^{1/4} \) and \( (S + dS) N^{1/4} \) requires considering the quantity \( F_{[SN^{1/4}]}(g, 1) \times N^{1/4} dS \).

\(^7\) See for instance [7] for a derivation of this asymptotic number.
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\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} E_{N, \lfloor S N^{1/4} \rfloor} \left( e^{-\lambda L / N^{1/4}} \right)
\]

\[\rho(S) = \frac{2}{i\sqrt{\pi}} \int_C -\frac{da}{9} e^{at^2} \mathcal{F}(S, a, 0)\]
\[= \frac{2}{i\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty 2t \, dt \, e^{-t^2} \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left( S, (1-i)\sqrt{3t}, 0 \right) - \mathcal{F} \left( S, (1+i)\sqrt{3t}, 0 \right) \right\} \quad (23)\]

upon changing variable and parametrizing the contour \(C\) by setting \(a = (1 \mp i)\sqrt{3t}\), with \(t\) real and positive.

We may compute in a similar way the quantity

\[g^N \mathcal{F}_{\lfloor S N^{1/4} \rfloor} \left(g, Z(\lambda, N^{-1/4})\right) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{C_{\infty}} \frac{dg}{g^{N+1}} \mathcal{F}_{\lfloor S N^{1/4} \rfloor} \left(g, Z(\lambda, N^{-1/4})\right)\]
\[= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \frac{12^N}{N} \int_{C_{\infty}} -\frac{da}{9} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{a^4}{36N})^{N+1}} \right) \mathcal{F}_{\lfloor S N^{1/4} \rfloor} \left(G(a, N^{-1/4}), Z(\lambda, N^{-1/4})\right)\]
\[\sim \frac{1}{2i\pi} \frac{12^N}{N^{7/4}} \int_{C} -\frac{da}{9} e^{at^2} \mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda) . \]

Using \(Z(\lambda, N^{-1/4})^L \sim e^{-\lambda L / N^{1/2}}\) at large \(N\), we deduce, after normalization, that

\[E_{N, \lfloor S N^{1/4} \rfloor} \left( e^{-\lambda L / N^{1/2}} \right) \sim \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\rho(S, \lambda)}{\rho(S)} , \]
\[\rho(S, \lambda) = \frac{2}{i\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty 2t \, dt \, e^{-t^2} \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left( S, (1-i)\sqrt{3t}, \lambda \right) - \mathcal{F} \left( S, (1+i)\sqrt{3t}, \lambda \right) \right\} \quad (24)\]
and \(\rho(S) = \rho(S, 0)\) as in (23) above. In the scaling limit, a non-trivial law is therefore obtained for the rescaled perimeter \(L / N^{1/2}\). Let us now examine this law in more detail.

Figure 4. A plot of the large \(N\) limit of \(E_{N, \lfloor S N^{1/4} \rfloor} \left( e^{-\lambda L / N^{1/2}} \right)\) as a function of \(S\) and \(\lambda\), as given by (24).
4.2. Explicit expressions and plots

Inserting the explicit expression (21) of $F(S, a, \lambda)$ into (24) allows to write $\rho(S, \lambda)$ as a simple integral over $t$ which may be evaluated numerically. Note that for $S$ and $\lambda$ real positive, $\rho(S, \lambda)$ is actually real. Dividing $\rho(S, \lambda)$ by $\rho(S) = \rho(S, 0)$ allows us, from (24), to then evaluate the large $N$ limit of the quantity $E_{N, [S N^{1/4}]} \left( e^{-\lambda L/N^{1/2}} \right)$ as a function of $S$ and $\lambda$. This quantity is plotted for illustration in figure 4 and, by definition, lies between 0 and 1. Let us now characterize it in more details via a number of more explicit expressions. Expanding $F(S, a, \lambda)$ at first order in $\lambda$, we get

$$F(S, a, \lambda) = F(S, a, 0) + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F(S, a, 0) + O(\lambda^2),$$

$$F(S, a, 0) = \frac{2a^3 \sigma^2 (1 + \sigma^2)}{(1 - \sigma^2)^3}$$

with $\sigma = e^{-a S}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F(S, a, 0) = -\frac{a \sigma^2 (385 - 189 \sigma + 154 \sigma^2 + 54 \sigma^3 - 11 \sigma^4 - 9 \sigma^5)}{70(1 - \sigma)(1 + \sigma)^4}.$$

From the explicit expression of $F(S, a, 0)$, we may write via (23) a rather explicit expression for $\rho(S)$ in the form of an integral over $t$. Introducing the short hand notations

$$ch = \cosh(\sqrt{3} t S), \quad sh = \sinh(\sqrt{3} t S), \quad co = \cos(\sqrt{3} t S), \quad si = \sin(\sqrt{3} t S),$$

we find

$$\rho(S) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dt \frac{1}{(co^2 - ch^2)^3} \left[ ch sh ((2co^2 - 1)(co^2 + ch^2 - 1) - 1) + co si ((2ch^2 - 1)(co^2 + ch^2 - 1) - 1) \right].$$

(25)

This function is plotted in figure 5 and the above formula matches already known expressions in the literature [4] for the distance density profile of the Brownian map. We have in particular the small $S$ behavior

$$\rho(S) = \frac{48}{\pi} S^3 + O(S^4).$$

More interesting is the linear term in $\lambda$: expanding equation (24) at first order in $\lambda$ yields indeed

$$E_{N, [S N^{1/4}]} \left( L/N^{1/2} \right) \sim \frac{\mu(S)}{N^{\infty} \rho(S)}$$

$$\mu(S) = \frac{2}{i \sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty 2t dt e^{-t^2} \left\{ -\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F \left( S, (1 - i)\sqrt{3} t, 0 \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F \left( S, (1 + i)\sqrt{3} t, 0 \right) \right\}.$$

(26)

From the above expression for $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} F (S, a, 0)$, we arrive at the following expression (with the same short hand notations as before):
The function \( \mu(S) \) is plotted in figure 5 while the large \( N \) expectation \( E_{N,\lfloor SN^{1/4} \rfloor} \left( L/N^{1/2} \right) = \mu(S)/\rho(S) \) is represented in figure 6. At small \( S \), we have the behavior

\[
\mu(S) = \frac{171}{10} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \Gamma \left( \frac{9}{4} \right) S^4 + O(S^5)
\]

so that

\[
E_{N,\lfloor SN^{1/4} \rfloor} \left( L/N^{1/2} \right) \sim \frac{399}{160} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \Gamma \left( \frac{9}{4} \right) S + O(S^2) .
\]
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This limiting behavior is plotted in figure 6. Returning to unscaled variables \( L \) and \( s \), it means that we have the large \( N \) behavior

\[
E_{N,s}(L) \sim \frac{399}{160} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \left( \frac{9}{4} \right) s \times N^{1/4} \quad \text{for } 1 \ll s \ll N^{1/4} .
\]

(29)

More generally, we find by expanding \( F(S,a,\lambda) \) at small \( S \) the linear behavior:

\[
E_{N,\lfloor SN^{1/4}\rfloor} \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda L/N^{1/2}} \right) \sim \psi(\lambda) S + O(S^2)
\]

\[
\psi(\lambda) = \frac{133}{400} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty dt \, t^2 e^{-t^2} \lambda^{3/2} \left\{ (15t^2 + 4\lambda^2) \sqrt{1 + \frac{4t^2}{\lambda^2}} - 1 - 4t \lambda \sqrt{1 + \frac{4t^2}{\lambda^2}} + 1 \right\} .
\]

(30)

This behavior is emphasized in figure 7 upon plotting \( \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{S} E_{N,\lfloor SN^{1/4}\rfloor} \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda L/N^{1/2}} \right) \) as a function of \( S \) and \( \lambda \).

As for the large \( S \) behavior of \( \rho(S) \) and \( \mu(S) \), a simple saddle point estimate of the corresponding contour integrals (in the \( a \) variable) shows that

\[
\rho(S) \sim 48 \times 2^{1/6} 3^{5/6} S^{5/3} e^{-3 \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{2/3} S^{4/3}} ,
\]

\[
\mu(S) \sim 22 \times \sqrt[3]{6} S e^{-3 \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{2/3} S^{4/3}} ,
\]

so that we get the large \( S \) behavior

\[
E_{N,\lfloor SN^{1/4}\rfloor} \left( L/N^{1/2} \right) \sim \frac{11}{12} \times 2^{2/3} 3^{1/3} \frac{1}{S^{2/3}} + O \left( \frac{1}{S^2} \right) .
\]

(31)

This limiting behavior is plotted in figure 6.
5. Infinite maps: from scaling functions to the local limit

5.1. Extracting the local limit from scaling functions

The local limit corresponds again to bi-pointed planar quadrangulations with a fixed volume $N$ and a fixed distance $2s$ between the two marked points, but in a regime where we now take the limit $N \to \infty$ while keeping $s$ finite. It is now a general statement that we may describe properties of the local limit when $s$, although remaining finite, becomes large in terms of the scaling functions that we already computed. Let us discuss this statement in more details.

The generating function $F_s(g, z)$ is singular when $g$ tends to its critical value $1/12$. For $g \to 1/12^-$, we have an expansion of the form\(^8\)

$$F_s(g, z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i(s, z) (1 - 12g)^{i/2}$$

(32)

involving half-integer powers of $(1 - 12g)$, and with $f_1(s, z) = 0$. Using the identification $(1 - 12g)^{1/2} = (a/\sqrt{6})^2 \epsilon^2$ whenever $g = G(a, \epsilon)$, we may then connect the scaling function $F(S, a, \lambda)$ to the above expansion and write

$$F(S, a, \lambda) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{6}} \right)^{2i} \epsilon^{2i-3} f_i ([S/\epsilon], Z(\lambda, \epsilon))$$

with $G(a, \epsilon)$ and $Z(\lambda, \epsilon)$ as in (7). Now $Z(\lambda, \epsilon)$ depends only on the product $\lambda \epsilon^2$ so that $f_i ([S/\epsilon], Z(\lambda, \epsilon))$ depends on only two variables $S/\epsilon$ and $\lambda \epsilon^2$, or equivalently on $S/\epsilon$ and $(S/\epsilon)^2 \times \lambda \epsilon^2 = \lambda S^2$. For the sum in the right hand side to have the desired finite limit $F(S, a, \lambda)$ when $\epsilon \to 0$, it is necessary that

$$f_i ([S/\epsilon], Z(\lambda, \epsilon)) \sim \left( \frac{S}{\epsilon} \right)^{2i-3} \varphi_i(\lambda S^2)$$

(33)

for $i \neq 1$. Plugging back this expression in $F(S, a, \lambda)$, we then have the direct identification

$$F(S, a, \lambda) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{6}} \right)^{2i} S^{2i-3} \varphi_i(\lambda S^2) \iff F(S, a, \frac{\tau}{S^2}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{6}} \right)^{2i} S^{2i-3} \varphi_i(\tau)$$

with $\varphi_1 = 0$. We may therefore read the functions $\varphi_i(\tau)$ from the expansion in $S$ of $F(S, a, \tau/S^2)$ via

$$\varphi_i(\tau) = \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{6}} \right)^{-2i} \left[ S^{2i-3} F(S, a, \frac{\tau}{S^2}) = \left[ S^{2i-3} F(S, \sqrt{6}, \frac{\tau}{S^2}) \right] \right.$$

(34)

\(^8\)It is easily checked by expanding (2) in powers of $(1 - 12g)^{1/4}$ that $X_{\Delta}(g, z)$ has an expansion which involves only even powers of $(1 - 12g)^{1/4}$, i.e. half-integer powers of $(1 - 12g)$, with moreover no term of order $(1 - 12g)^{1/2}$. This property implies immediately a similar property for $F_s(g, z) = \Delta_{\Delta}(X_{\Delta}(g, z))_{\mid \Delta \Delta}$. The fact that $f_1(s, z) = 0$ may also be understood by noting that $f_1(s, 1) = 0$, as easily checked from the explicit expression (5), and that $0 \leq \langle g^n \rangle F_s(g, z) \leq \langle g^n \rangle F_s(g, 1)$ for $0 \leq z \leq 1$. Having a non-vanishing value of the leading singularity coefficient $f_1(s, z)$ when $0 \leq z < 1$ would indeed contradict this latter bound as it would imply that $\langle g^n \rangle F_s(g, z)$ grows faster than $\langle g^n \rangle F_s(g, 1)$ at large $N$.  
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where we eventually set $a = \sqrt{6}$ since $\varphi_i(\tau)$ does not depend on $a$.

Returning to the local limit, we expect that, for $N \to \infty$ and for finite $s$, the Voronoï cell perimeter $L$ will remain finite. Moreover, as we shall see, $L$ scales as $s^2$ at large $s$ in the sense that the limiting expected value

$$\Phi_s(\omega) \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} E_{N,s} \left( e^{-\omega L/s^2} \right)$$

has a non-trivial limit when $s \to \infty$. Let us indeed see how to estimate $\Phi_s(\omega)$ at large $s$ from the above correspondence. From (22), we have

$$\Phi_s(\omega) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{[g^N]F_s(g, e^{-\omega/s^2})}{[g^N]F_s(g, 1)}$$

with a leading singularity of $F_s(g, z)$ when $g \to 1/12^-$ corresponding to the $i = 3$ term in (32) since the $i = 0$ and $i = 2$ terms are regular and the $i = 1$ term is missing. This immediately yields the large $N$ estimate

$$[g^N]F_s(g, z) \sim \frac{3}{4} \frac{12^N}{\sqrt{\pi N^{5/2}}} f_3(s, z)$$

from which we deduce the identity

$$\Phi_s(\omega) = \frac{f_3(s, e^{-\omega/s^2})}{f_3(s, 1)}.$$

Its large $s$ behavior may be obtained as follows: setting $\lambda = \omega/S^2$ and $\epsilon = S/s$ in (33), with $\epsilon \to 0$ when $S$ is fixed and $s \to \infty$, we have, for $i = 3$,

$$f_3(s, Z(\omega/S^2, S/s)) \sim s^3 \varphi_3(\omega) = s^3[S^3]\mathcal{F}(S, \sqrt{6}, \frac{\omega}{S^2})$$

from (34). At large $s$, $Z(\omega/S^2, S/s) \sim e^{-\omega/s^2}$ and we arrive immediately at the desired asymptotic expression

$$\Phi_s(\omega) \sim \frac{s^3[S^3]\mathcal{F}(S, \sqrt{6}, \frac{\omega}{S^2})}{[S^3]\mathcal{F}(S, \sqrt{6}, 0)}$$

in terms of the scaling function $\mathcal{F}(S, a, \lambda)$ only.

### 5.2. Explicit expressions and plots

From the explicit expression (20)–(21), it is a rather straightforward exercise to expand $\mathcal{F}(S, \sqrt{6}, \omega/S^2)$ in powers of $S$ and to extract its $S^3$ coefficient. The only non-trivial part of the exercise comes from the hypergeometric function which enters the formula (20) for $\tilde{H}(\sigma, A)$. Its expansion is discussed in detail in the appendix and gives rise to terms involving the exponential integral function $E_1(r)$ defined by

$$E_1(r) \equiv \int_r^\infty \frac{dt}{t} e^{-t}.$$
The net result of the small $S$ expansion is the following fully explicit expression:

$$\left[S^3\right] F\left(S, \sqrt{6}, \frac{\omega}{S^2}\right) = \frac{1}{9800 (\Pi(q))^3} \left( \frac{\Lambda(q)}{\Pi(q)} + 6 (6q)^3 \Omega(q) e^{6q} E_1(6q) \right), \quad q = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{3}}.$$  

$$\Pi(q) = 5 + 15q + 18q^2 + 9q^3,$$

$$\Omega(q) = 1925 + 5775q + 6930q^2 + 3465q^3 + 2700q^6 + 2754q^7 + 972q^8,$$

$$\Lambda(q) = 14000000 + 110394375q + 394807500q^2 + 841185000q^3 + 1180872000q^4 + 1137241620q^5 + 754502040q^6 + 334611972q^7 + 89532864q^8 + 3093876q^9 - 17740944q^{10} - 17688456q^{11} - 881784q^{12} - 188968q^{13}.$$  

For $\omega \to 0$, this expression tends to $16/7$ so that we obtain eventually the desired result

$$\Phi_s(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{22400 (\Pi(q))^3} \left( \frac{\Lambda(q)}{\Pi(q)} + 6 (6q)^3 \Omega(q) e^{6q} E_1(6q) \right), \quad q = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{3}}.$$  

This function is plotted in figure 8 for illustration. At large $\omega$, it has the expansion

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \Phi_s(\omega) = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{3} \frac{1}{\omega^{3/2}} - \frac{15}{16} \sqrt{3} \frac{1}{\omega^{5/2}} + \frac{39}{16} \frac{1}{\omega^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{7/2}}\right).$$  

At small $\omega$, its expansion reads

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \Phi_s(\omega) = 1 - \frac{4389\sqrt{3}}{3200} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}} + \frac{1713}{560} \omega + O\left(\omega^{3/2} \log(\omega)\right).$$  

In particular, due to the $\sqrt{\omega}$ singular term, the expected value of $L/s^2$ in the local limit, given by

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} E_{N,s} \left( L/s^2 \right) = -\frac{d}{d\omega} \Phi_s(\omega) \bigg|_{\omega=0}$$

is infinite for large $s$. This is consistent with the estimate (29) of the scaling limit which states that

As expected, the small $S$ expansion of $F\left(S, \sqrt{6}, \omega/S^2\right)$ involves only odd powers of $S$, starts with a term of order $1/S^3$, has no term of order $1/S$ so that the next term is of order $S$, followed by the desired term of order $S^3$. 
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\[
\lim_{s \to \infty} P_s(\ell)
\]

Figure 9. A plot of the function probability density \(P_s(\ell)\) at large \(s\), as obtained by a numerical inverse Laplace transform of \(\Phi_s(\omega)\). Its large \(\ell\) and small \(\ell\) behaviors are given by (39) and (40) respectively.

\[
E_{N,s}(L/s^2) \sim \frac{399}{160} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \Gamma \left(\frac{9}{4}\right) \times \frac{N^{1/4}}{s} \quad \text{for } 1 \ll s \ll N^{1/4}
\]

and therefore implies that \(E_{N,s}(L/s^2)\) diverges as \(N^{1/4}\) when \(N \to \infty\) at fixed finite (although large) \(s\).

The inverse Laplace transform (with respect to \(\omega\)) of \(\Phi_s(\omega)\) is nothing but the probability density \(P_s(\ell)\) for the quantity

\[
\ell \equiv \frac{L}{s^2}.
\]

It has a non-trivial limit at large \(s\), which may be evaluated numerically from the explicit expression (36) via some appropriate inverse Laplace transform numerical tool [1–3]. The resulting plot is shown in figure 9. For large \(\ell\), we read from the small \(\omega\) behavior (38) that

\[
\lim_{s \to \infty} P_s(\ell) \sim \frac{4389}{6400} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\ell^{3/2}}. \quad (39)
\]

In particular, this behavior implies that all the positive moments of this law are infinite. For small \(\ell\), we read from the large \(\omega\) behavior (37) that

\[
\lim_{s \to \infty} P_s(\ell) \sim \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \sqrt{\ell}. \quad (40)
\]

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a number of exact laws for the Voronoi cell perimeter in large bi-pointed quadrangulations, both in the scaling and in the local limit. Even though the explicit expressions of these laws, when available, are quite involved, these formulas are expected to be universal and characteristic of the Brownian map (scaling
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limit) or the Brownian plane (local limit at large distance). In other words, the very same expressions would be obtained in the same regimes, up to some possible global rescalings (depending on the precise definition of distances, lengths and volumes), for all families of map in the universality class of the so-called pure gravity, including in particular maps with faces of arbitrary but bounded degrees.

In the local limit $N \to \infty$ and $s$ finite, it was shown in [8] that exactly one of the two Voronoi cells becomes infinite while the other remains finite with a volume $V$ of order $s^4$. The Voronoi cell perimeter $L$, which scales as $s^2$, is thus the length of the frontier between a finite and an infinite cell. It is interesting to note that we found here that all the moments of the law for $L/s^2$ are infinite, in the same way as it was found in [8] that all the moments of the law for $V/s^4$ diverge.

Note finally that, if we do not fix the distance between the two marked vertices, we expect at large $N$ that the typical distance will be of order $N^{1/4}$ and we can therefore use the results of the scaling limit to state that the expected value of the Voronoi cell perimeter in large bi-pointed quadrangulations having their two marked vertices at arbitrary even distance from each other will be asymptotically equal to $\gamma N^{1/2}$ with

$$\gamma = \int_0^\infty dS \mu(S) = \frac{12 \sqrt{\pi}}{35}.$$ 
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Appendix. Expansion of the hypergeometric function $\binom{2}{1}(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma)$

We are interested in computing the small $S$ expansion of $\mathcal{F}(S, \sqrt{6}, \omega/S^2)$ up to order $S^6$. To this end, we need from (20) and (21) the small $S$ expansion of the quantity

$$\Xi(S, \omega) \equiv {}_2F_1(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma) \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma = e^{-\sqrt{6}S} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \sqrt{1 + \omega/(2S^2)}.$$ 

A first naive approach would consist in first expanding the term of order $\sigma^n$ in $\binom{2}{1}(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma)$, as read in (18), for $A = \sqrt{1 + \omega/(2S^2)}$, namely

$$\frac{2A + 2}{n + 2A + 2} = 1 - \frac{n}{\sqrt{2\omega}} S + \frac{n(2 + n)}{2\omega} S^2 + \ldots$$

and in summing over $n$ to get the small $S$ expansion

$$\binom{2}{1}(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma) = \frac{1}{1 - \sigma} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \frac{\sigma}{(1 - \sigma)^2} S + \frac{1}{2\omega} \frac{3\sigma - \sigma^2}{(1 - \sigma)^3} S^2 + \ldots.$$ 

Setting then, in a second step, $\sigma = e^{-\sqrt{6}S}$ and expanding at small $S$, we deduce
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\[ \Xi(S, \omega) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{6S}} + \cdots \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left( \frac{1}{6S} + \cdots \right) + \frac{1}{2\omega} \left( \frac{1}{3\sqrt{6S}} + \cdots \right) + \cdots \] (A.1)

where it is apparent that all the terms of the expansion contribute in fact to the same leading order $1/S$. This naive approach therefore fails and a proper resummation is required. To perform this resummation and properly get the desired small $S$ expansion, we may instead rely on the differential equation satisfied by $\mathcal{F}_1(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma)$, namely

\[
\sigma \frac{d}{d\sigma} \mathcal{F}_1(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma) + (2A + 2) \mathcal{F}_1(1, 2A + 2, 2A + 3, \sigma) - \frac{2A + 2}{1 - \sigma} = 0,
\]

which immediately translates into the following equation for $\Xi(S, \omega)$:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial S} \Xi(S, \omega) + \frac{2\omega}{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Xi(S, \omega) - 2\sqrt{6} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{\omega}{2S^2} + 1} \right) \Xi(S, \omega) + 2\sqrt{6} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\omega}{2S^2} + 1}}{1 - e^{-\sqrt{6}S}} = 0.
\] (A.2)

From the previous discussion, $\Xi(S, \omega)$ is expected to have a small $S$ leading term of order $1/S$, in which case all the different terms in the differential equation above are precisely of the same order $1/S^2$. Writing therefore

\[
\Xi(S, \omega) = \xi_{-1} \left( 2\sqrt{3\omega} \right) \frac{1}{S/\sqrt{6}} + O(1),
\]

where the multiplicative factors were introduced for convenience, and expanding (A.2) to leading order $1/S^2$ at small $S$ yields the following differential equation for $\xi_{-1}(r)$:

\[
6r \frac{d}{dr} \xi_{-1}(r) - 6(1 + r) \xi_{-1}(r) + r = 0,
\]

easily solved into

\[
\xi_{-1}(r) = k r e^r + \frac{1}{6} r e^r E_1(r),
\]

where $k$ is some arbitrary constant and $E_1(r)$ the exponential integral function

\[
E_1(r) \equiv \int_r^\infty \frac{dt}{t} e^{-t}.
\]

When $\omega \to \infty$, we have clearly $\Xi(S, \omega) \to 1/(1 - e^{-\sqrt{6}S}) = 1/(\sqrt{6}S) + O(1)$ so that $\xi_{-1}(r)$ must have a finite large $r$ limit equal to $1/6$. The existence of this finite limit at large $r$ fixes the integration constant $k$ to $k = 0$, so that

\[
\xi_{-1}(r) = \frac{1}{6} r e^r E_1(r).
\]

Note that, from the ‘naive’ analysis, we expect moreover the following large $r$ expansion, read from (A.1):

\[\text{https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aacfbc} \]
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\[ \xi_{-1}(r) = \frac{1}{6} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{2}{r^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^3} \right) \right). \]

This expansion is indeed recovered from the general property
\[ E_1(r) = \frac{e^{-r}}{r} \sum_{p=0}^{P} \frac{p!}{(-r)^p} + O \left( \frac{e^{-r}}{r^P} \right). \]

To get the desired expansion of \( F(S, \sqrt{6}, \omega/S^2) \) up to order \( S^6 \), it is easily checked from (20) and (21) that the small \( S \) expansion of \( \Xi(S, \omega) \) must be pushed up to order \( S^6 \). We therefore write
\[ \Xi(S, \omega) = \sum_{i=-1}^{5} \xi_i \left( 2\sqrt{3}\omega \right) (S/\sqrt{6})^i + O(S^6). \]

Expanding (A.2) to increasing orders in \( S \) gives rise to a sequence of differential equations for the successive functions \( \xi_i(r) \), \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, 5 \) which are easily solved, one after the other, leading to
\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_0(r) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 4 (1 + r) e^r E_1(r) - 3 \right), \\
\xi_1(r) &= \frac{1}{2r} \left( 24 \left( 1 + 3r + r^2 \right) e^r E_1(r) - (47 + 23r) \right), \\
\xi_2(r) &= \frac{6}{r^2} \left( 8r \left( 6 + 6r + r^2 \right) e^r E_1(r) - (17 + 40r + 8r^2) \right), \\
\xi_3(r) &= \frac{3}{10r^3} \left( 480 \left( -3 + 3r + 21r^2 + 10r^3 + r^4 \right) e^r E_1(r) \\
&\quad - (-2034 + 6246r + 4323r^2 + 481r^3) \right), \\
\xi_4(r) &= \frac{54}{5r^4} \left( 32r \left( -30 + 30r + 55r^2 + 15r^3 + r^4 \right) e^r E_1(r) \\
&\quad - (-518 - 36r + 1343r^2 + 448r^3 + 32r^4) \right), \\
\xi_5(r) &= \frac{9}{35r^5} \left( 2688(45 - 45r - 135r^2 + 150r^3 + 120r^4 + 21r^5 + r^6) e^r E_1(r) \\
&\quad - (154608 - 393240r + 177600r^2 + 271468r^3 + 53755r^4 + 2687r^5) \right). 
\end{align*}
\]

This provides the desired small \( S \) expansion of \( \Xi(S, \omega) \) which, inserted in (20)–(21), yields the wanted expansion of \( F(S, \sqrt{6}, \omega/S^2) \) up to order \( S^6 \).
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