Black soldier fly larva in Muscovy duck diets: effects on duck growth, carcass property, and meat quality
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ABSTRACT The aim of the study was to evaluate the effects of partially defatted black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens, HI) larva meal on the carcass characteristics and meat quality of Muscovy ducks (Cairina moschata domestic). A total of 192 female ducks aged 3 d were divided between 4 dietary treatments (6 pens/treatment; 8 birds/pen), characterized by increasing levels of substitution of corn gluten meal with HI meal (0%, 3%, 6%, and 9%; HI0, HI3, HI6, and HI9, respectively), and reared until 50 days of age. Twelve birds/treatment (2 birds/pen) were slaughtered on d 51 to evaluate the slaughter traits (i.e., carcass, breast, thigh, and organs weights), carcass yield and meat quality. The slaughter weight, hot and chilled carcass weights, and abdominal fat weight showed a quadratic response to HI meal (minimum for the HI6 group, P < 0.05). Dietary HI meal inclusion did not influence the ultimate pH, the color, the proximate composition or the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) values in either the breast or thigh meat. The mineral profile of the meat was slightly affected by the dietary treatment, with a linear increase in the Cu content of the thigh meat (P < 0.05), whereas no differences were observed for Zn, Mn, or Fe. Dietary HI meal inclusion increased the saturated fatty acid rate in the thigh meat (maximum for the HI9 group, P < 0.05), and the monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acid content in the breast meat (maximum for the HI0 and HI9 groups, respectively, P < 0.05). The \( \sum_{n-6} \sum_{n-3} \) ratio decreased linearly in both the breast and thigh meat, with the HI9 group showing the lowest values (P < 0.05). Finally, the heavy metal concentrations were below the EU limits for poultry meat. To conclude, the inclusion up to 9% of partially defatted HI larva meal in the diet of Muscovy ducks did not affect the slaughter traits or the meat quality, although it did affect the meat fatty acid profile.
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INTRODUCTION The growing demand for poultry meat in the world has led to a considerable increase in duck meat production over the last 20 yr: from 2.5 million tons in 1997 to more than 4 million tons in 2017 (FAOSTAT, 2019). Asia is the main producer of duck meat, with more than 3 million tons produced in 2017 alone. However, the production and consumption of duck meat is much lower in other areas, such as Europe, due to the lack of promotion and a general scarcity of duck farmers (Aronal et al., 2012). France is the biggest duck meat producer in Europe, delivering more than 230,000 tons, followed by Hungary and Poland that produced more than 50,000 tons each in 2017 (FAOSTAT, 2019).

Duck meat is starting to receive more attention because it combines the characteristics of red meat, possessing more red muscle fibers than chicken, with the dietary characteristics of poultry meat, in particular its high polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) content.
(constituting approximately 20–40% of total fatty acids; FA) (Chatrin et al., 2005; Ali et al., 2007; Aronal et al., 2012; Pingel et al., 2012). One consequence of the poultry industry’s great expansion over recent decades has been the surge in the demand for vegetable protein sources as animal feeds. This has contributed to the overexploitation of lands and water resources, and augmented the environmental impact of the poultry industry.

From this standpoint, insects are now being recognized as a promising alternative protein source for poultry feeds, thanks to their nutritional composition and the low environmental impact of their production (Cullere et al., 2016; Loponte et al., 2017; Biasato et al., 2017, 2018; Dabbou et al., 2018, 2019; Gasco et al., 2019). Insect larvae can be successfully reared on a number of different food by-products (such as fruit and vegetable substrates), thereby transforming waste into nutrient-rich raw materials (Makkar et al., 2014; Meneguz et al., 2018). Depending on the species, insect-derived meals are characterized by their high quantity and quality of protein, with variable amounts of lipids and other nutrients, such as minerals (Makkar et al., 2014). The black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens, HI) is one of the most promising insect species for monogastric feeding. Depending on the type of rearing substrate, the crude protein (CP) content of HI larvae varies from 40 to 44% (on a dry matter, DM, basis) and the ether extract (EE) content varies from 15 to 49% (Makkar et al., 2014). The most abundant saturated fatty acid (SFA) in HI meal is lauric acid (C12:0, which varies from 21 to 43% of the total FAs), whereas oleic acid (C18:1 n9) is the prevalent monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA), representing 12 to 32% of total FAs. However, the FA profile of HI can be modified by the type of diet used to feed the larvae (Makkar et al., 2014; Liland et al., 2017). In addition, the ash content is extremely variable, and the mineral profile of HI is closely linked to the mineral composition of the substrate. Spranghers et al. (2017) demonstrated a reduction in the major minerals (i.e., Fe and Zn) when the prepupae were fed on vegetable waste instead of a layer hen feed. In addition, these authors suggested that the mineral content in HI meal could be increased by defatting during meal processing. Finally, the ability of HI larvae to accumulate heavy metals and arsenic (As) should be considered. It has, in fact, been reported that HI larvae can accumulate Cd, Pb, Hg, and As (Biancarosa et al., 2017). Generally, the HI heavy metal content depends on the amount of these elements in the substrate, but different retention rates have been observed. Cadmium presents the highest retention rate (up to 93% in HI larvae reared on substrates enriched with seaweed), whereas As retention is much lower (up to 22%) (Biancarosa et al., 2017).

HI larva meal has been tested as a protein source for some poultry species, such as broiler chickens (Schiavone et al., 2019), broiler quails (Coturnix coturnix japonica) (Cullere et al., 2016, 2018), and Barbary partridges (Alectoris barbara) (Secci et al., 2018), by evaluating the quality traits and FA profile of the meat. The researchers obtained promising results in terms of carcass yield and meat proximate composition in broiler quails and Barbary partridges (Cullere et al., 2016, 2018; Secci et al., 2018). On the other hand, conflicting results were reported in terms of meat FAs. In particular, increased SFA and MUFA content were observed in the meat of broiler quails fed a diet containing up to 15% HI larva meal (Cullere et al., 2018). Schiavone et al. (2019) found an increase in the MUFA content together with a decrease of the PUFA content, in breast meat of broiler chickens fed HI larva meal. However, no study has been conducted to evaluate the impact of partially defatted HI larva meal on the meat quality and safety of Muscovy ducks (Cairina moschata domestica).

Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of partially defatted HI larva meal in the diet of female Muscovy ducks on the carcass characteristics and quality of meat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Birds and Diets

The study was carried out at the poultry facility of the University of Turin (Italy) and approved by the Bioethical Committee of the University of Turin (Italy) (Ref. 380576). A detailed description of the experimental design and duck farming conditions is reported in Gariglio et al. (2019a), which also reports data regarding the animals’ growth performance and the nutrient digestibility of their feed.

In brief, 192 three-day-old female Muscovy ducklings (Canedins R71 L White, Grimaud Freres Selection, France) were randomly allotted to four groups (each consisting of 6 pens as replicates, with 8 birds per pen). A partially defatted HI larva meal, obtained by processing larvae reared on vegetable by-products and subsequently submitted to a defatting process (using a mechanical process, i.e., pressure) for a partial fat removal (Hermetia Deutschland GmbH & Co KG, Baruth/Mark, Germany), was used in this trial (DM, 92.4%; CP, 56.7% on DM; EE, 10.7% on DM; ash, 16.4% on DM; chitin, 6.43% on DM). Four diets (HI0, HI3, HI6, and HI9) were formulated, containing increasing levels of HI larva meal (0, 3, 6, and 9%, respectively) in substitution of corn gluten meal. Levels of HI larva meal were defined on the basis of performance results in broiler chickens (Dabbou et al., 2018). The diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and isocaloric (for three feeding periods) using the apparent metabolizable energy (AMEn) values for a partially defatted HI meal calculated for broiler chickens (Schiavone et al., 2017) and the INRA (2004) data relating to the other ingredients. The ducks had ad libitum access to water and feed throughout the whole trial. Detailed information about the diet ingredients and chemical composition are given in Table 1.

Growth Performance, Slaughter Procedures, and Muscle Sampling

The live weight (LW) of each bird was assessed at 3 and 50 days of age. The average daily gain (ADG), the
Table 1. Ingredients (g/kg as fed) and chemical composition of the dietary experiments.1

| Ingredients                  | Starter period (d 3 to 17) | Grower period (d 18 to 38) | Finisher period (d 39 to 50) |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Corn meal                    | 600.0                     | 600.0                     | 600.0                         |
| Soybean meal                 | 212.0                     | 212.0                     | 212.0                         |
| HI larva meal                | 0.0                       | 0.0                       | 0.0                           |
| Wheat bran meal              | 42.5                      | 42.5                      | 42.5                          |
| Corn gluten meal             | 90.0                      | 30.0                      | 0.0                           |
| Soybean oil                  | 16.5                      | 16.5                      | 16.5                          |
| Dicalcium phosphate          | 10.0                      | 10.0                      | 10.0                          |
| Calcium carbonate            | 2.5                       | 2.5                       | 2.5                           |
| Sodium chloride              | 2.5                       | 2.5                       | 2.5                           |
| Sodium bicarbonate           | 2.0                       | 2.0                       | 2.0                           |
| DL-methionine                | 2.5                       | 2.5                       | 2.5                           |
| L-lysine HCl                 | 3.9                       | 3.9                       | 3.9                           |
| Mineral-vitamin premix2      | 5.0                       | 5.0                       | 5.0                           |
| Choline chloride             | 0.1                       | 0.1                       | 0.1                           |
| Optiços 250 bro1             | 1.0                       | 1.0                       | 1.0                           |
| Avizyme 1500 x6              | 1.0                       | 1.0                       | 1.0                           |
| Titanium dioxide             | 3.0                       | 3.0                       | 3.0                           |
| Total                        | 1000                      | 1000                      | 1000                          |
| AMEn (kcal/kg)               | 2897                      | 2892                      | 2888                          |

Nutrient composition (g/kg as fed)

| Ingredients                  | H10 | H13 | H16 | H19 | H10 | H13 | H16 | H19 |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| DM                           | 893.0 | 898.0 | 901.0 | 897.0 | 888.0 | 892.0 | 891.0 | 891.0 |
| CP                           | 224.1 | 221.8 | 227.0 | 222.5 | 204.2 | 200.7 | 200.5 | 199.6 |
| EE                           | 42.0 | 43.1 | 44.1 | 45.7 | 55.1 | 55.3 | 57.0 | 58.8 |
| NDF                          | 113.4 | 110.5 | 114.4 | 111.2 | 114.6 | 116.9 | 112.3 | 112.2 |
| ADF                          | 30.4 | 31.4 | 33.3 | 29.6 | 31.1 | 31.2 | 33.0 | 31.2 |
| Ash                          | 50.0 | 53.9 | 50.5 | 52.0 | 69.3 | 66.9 | 66.8 | 71.3 |

Mineral composition

| Ingredients                  | H10 | H13 | H16 | H19 |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Ca (%)                       | 0.67 | 0.86 | 1.05 | 1.24 |
| P (%)                        | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.58 |
| nPP (%)a                    | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Zn (mg/kg)                   | 116.5 | 124.0 | 132.6 | 120.6 |
| Fe (mg/kg)                   | 191.4 | 185.4 | 193.2 | 180.5 |
| Mn (mg/kg)                   | 100.4 | 119.5 | 123.5 | 115.7 |
| Cu (mg/kg)                   | 15.6 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 14.9 |

Abbreviations: ADF, acid detergent fiber; AMEn, apparent metabolizable energy; CP, crude protein; DM, dry matter; EE, ether extract; HI, Hermetia illucens; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; nPP, non-phytate P.

1Diets H10, H13, H16, and H19 = dietary inclusion of HI larva meal at 0, 3, 6, and 9%, respectively. Table modified from Gariglio et al. (2019b).

2Mineral-vitamin premix per kg: vitamin A (retinyl acetate), 12,500 IU; vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol), 3,500 IU; vitamin E (DL-a-tocopheryl acetate), 3500 IU; vitamin E (DL-tocopherol acetate), 40 mg; vitamin K (menadione sodium bisulfite), 2.0 mg; biotin, 0.20 mg; thiamine, 2.0 mg; riboflavin, 6.0 mg; pantothenate, 15.21 mg; nicin, 40.0 mg; choline, 750.0 mg; pyridoxine, 4.0 mg; folic acid, 0.75 mg; vitamin B12, 0.05 mg; Mn, 70 mg; Zn, 62.15 mg; Fe, 50.0 mg; Cu, 7.0 mg; I, 0.25 mg; Se, 0.25 mg. Optiços 250 bro: Phytase (EC 3.1.3.26) (250 OTU/kg diet), Huvepharma, Sofia, Bulgaria.

3Avizyme 1505X: Complex of Endo 1-4-Beta- Xylanase (EC 3.2.1.8) (256 U/kg), Subtilisin (Ec 3.4.21.62) (2560 U/kg diet) and Alpha-Amylase (EC3.2.1.1) (1472 U/kg diet), Danisco Animal Nutrition, Marlborough, Wilts, UK.

daily feed intake (DFI), and the feed conversion ratio (FCR) were calculated for the whole experimental period (3–50 days of age), as reported in detail in Gariglio et al. (2019a).

Forty-eight ducks (12 per diet, 2 birds/pen selected to be representative of the average final LW in each pen) were individually identified using a shank ring and weighed at 51 days of age. Following 12 h feed withdrawal, the animals were transported to a commercial abattoir and slaughtered according to the standard EU regulations.

Slaughtering weight (SW) was measured immediately before slaughter. Plucked and eviscerated carcasses were obtained after removing the head, neck, and feet. The hot carcass (HC) weight was then recorded. The spleen, liver, bursa of Fabricius, heart, and abdominal fat weights were immediately recorded and expressed as a percentage of the SW. The HC yield was calculated as a percentage of SW. The chilled carcass (CC) weight was registered following storage at +4°C for 24 h and their CC yields were calculated as a percentage of SW. The breasts and thighs were then excised, and their weights expressed as a percentage of the CC weight. A total of 96 breasts and 96 thighs (right and left sides) were collected. The ultimate pH (pHu24) and color were assessed after 24 h of storage at +4°C on the Pectoralis major muscle on the right side of the breast and on the Biceps femoris muscle on the right thigh. In particular, the pHu24 of the Pectoralis major and Biceps femoris muscles was measured in duplicate by means of a pH meter (Crisson Instruments, SA, Aelia, Spain) equipped with a specific electrode suitable for meat penetration. The lightness (L*), redness (a*), and yellowness (b*) color indexes were measured in the same muscles (taken at multiple locations and averaged) using a portable Chroma Meter CR-400 colorimeter (Konica Minolta Sensing Inc., Osaka, Japan).

The edible meat was then separated from the bones and cartilage of both whole thighs for use in subsequent
analyses. The meat from the right breast and right thigh was vacuum packaged and stored at −20°C until further analysis. This meat was used to determine the content of heavy metals and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS).

The meat from the left breast and left thigh was ground using a Grindomix GM 200 device (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany); one aliquot was used for FA analysis and the remaining amount was freeze-dried, reground, and analyzed to establish the proximate composition and mineral content.

Mineral Composition and Fatty Acid Profile of the Insect Meal and Experimental Diets

The mineral analyses of the HI larva meal and experimental diets were performed at the Institute of Animal Physiology CBs, Slovak Academy of Sciences, the Slovak Republic. The Ca, total P, Zn, Fe, Mn, and Cu content of the feed were determined using a double-beam atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AA-7000 Series, Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan), and certified reference materials LGC-7173 poultry feed (LGC Ltd., Teddington, UK) were used to verify instrument accuracy (Gresakova et al., 2016). The mineral profile of the HI larva meal was determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry or optical emission spectrometry (ICP-MS, Agilent 7900; ICP-OES, Agilent 5100, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) (Gresakova et al., 2016). The mineral concentrations in all the samples were expressed as mg/kg.

The lipid extraction and FA profile of the HI meal and the experimental diets were carried out in the laboratory of the Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animal, and Environment, at the University of Padua, Italy. In brief, the total fat was extracted from fresh aliquots by means of accelerated solvent extraction (ASE, Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, Application Note 334), using 2 extraction cycles with petroleum ether as solvent at 125°C and 10.3 MPa, a 6-min heating phase and a 2-min extraction phase. Then, 10 mL NaSO₄ (0.47% in H₂O) was added to the extracted lipids. The samples were kept at 4°C for 30 min and the supernatant (petroleum ether and the extracted lipids) was collected in another preweighed vial. Dry evaporation in N₂ stream (Genevac EZ-2, SP Industries, Warminster, PA) was then performed; the residual samples (extracted lipids in vials) were weighed before adding 2 mL H₂SO₄ (2% in methanol) (Christie, 1982). The vials were then incubated overnight at 50°C. Thereafter, the lipid rate was calculated. To this end, hexane (1 mL hexane/20 mg lipids) and potassium bicarbonate 2% (5 mL) were added. The obtained samples were centrifuged, stored at 4°C for 30 min, and the supernatant sampled for analysis using an Agilent 7890A GC System (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Supelco SP-2560 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) (75 m × 180 μm internal diameter, 0.14 μm film thickness, flow 0.25 mL/min) and Agilent J&W HP5ms (3.8 m × 250 μm internal diameter, 0.25 μm film thickness) were used with hydrogen as the carrier (split inlet, heater at 270°C, mode Pulse Split 25 psi until 0.30 min, split ratio 160:1, 40 mL/min). The temperature of the oven was set to 40°C, held for 2 min, raised to 170°C at a rate of 50°C/min, and held for 25 min, raised to 250°C at a rate of 2°C/min, and held for 14 min. GC IMAGE software, version 2.2b0 GC × GC (Zoex Corporation, Houston TX), was used for data elaboration. The FAs were identified by comparing the retention time with that of a standard 52 FA methyl ester (FAME) mixture (GLC 463, NU-CHEK PREP Elysisan, MN). Individual FAMEs were expressed as the percentage of the total area of eluted FAMEs.

Meat Quality Analyses

Lipid oxidation was determined on 10 g samples of thawed breast and thigh meat by means of a TBARS assay, as described in Dabbou et al. (2014). The samples were analyzed in duplicate and the absorbance was read at 532 nm with a Helios spectrophotometer (Unicam Limited, Cambridge, UK). The results were expressed as μg malonaldehyde/g of meat.

The FA composition of the fresh meat from the breast and thigh was determined according to the method described above for HI meal and for the diets. Individual FAMEs were expressed as the percentage of the total area of the eluted FAMEs.

The atherogenicity (AI) and thrombogenicity (TI) indexes were calculated according to Ulbricht and Southgate (1991) as follows:

\[
AI = \frac{[C12:0 + (4 \times C14:0) + C16:0]}{(\sum MUA + \sum n-6 + \sum n-3)};
\]

\[
TI = \frac{(0.5 \times \sum MUA + (0.5 \times \sum n-6) + (3 \times \sum n-3))}{(\sum n-6 / \sum n-3)}.
\]

Freeze-dried samples were used to determine the DM (934.01), ash (967.05), CP (2001.11), and EE (991.36) content (AOAC, 2000).

Moreover, the Zn, Fe, Mn, and Cu content of the freeze-dried samples were analyzed using a double-beam atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AA-7000 Series, Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan), using pooled meat samples from each of the 6 replicate pens (Gresakova et al., 2016). The ERM-BB184 certified reference materials of bovine muscle (IRMM, Geel, Belgium) were included to verify the accuracy of the measurements. The mineral concentrations in all samples were expressed as mg/kg.
**Trace Elements, As, and Heavy Metal Determination**

Trace element (Co, Cr, Ni, Se), As, and heavy metal (Hg, Cd, Pb) concentrations were determined for HI larva meal and on the 4 breast and thigh meat pools (1 pool per feeding group, each of which was composed of 6 slaughtered animals, 1 bird/pen) using the MI 351 Rev. 1/2015 accredited test (UNI EN 13804:2002, UNI EN 15763:2010 and UNI EN 13805:2014), as described by Schiavone et al. (2019). The results were expressed as mg/kg of sample with 12% humidity.

**Statistical Analyses**

The statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package (version 21 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Shapiro-Wilk’s test was used to establish the normality of distribution. The assumption of equal variances was assessed using Levene’s homogeneity of variance test. The experimental unit was the pen. The collected data were tested by means of one-way ANOVA. Polynomial contrasts were used to test the linear and quadratic responses to increasing HI larva meal concentration. The statistical significance was declared for $P < 0.05$. A statistical trend was considered for $P < 0.10$. The results are expressed as means and the standard error of the means ($\text{SEM}$).

**RESULTS**

**Composition of Experimental Diets and Raw Materials**

The trace element, As, and heavy metal concentrations in the partially defatted HI larva meal are reported in Table 2. The heavy metal concentrations were below the European Union (EU) limits reported for animal feeds (EC, 2002).

As far as the FA profile is concerned, the partially defatted HI larva meal resulted rich in total SFAs (Table 3), with lauric acid (C12:0) being as the most represented FA (49.7% of the total FAs), followed by palmitic acid (C16:0; 13.3% of the total FAs), and myristic acid (C14:0; 10.1% of the total FAs). The HI larva meal contained a high amount of PUFAs (13.2% of the total FAs), predominantly represented by oleic acid (C18:1; 70.2% of MUFAs). The total quantity of lauric and myristic acids and total SFAs in the experimental diets increased as the percentage of insect meal inclusion increased and in line with the HI meal FA profile. Compared with the corn gluten meal, the HI meal contained a relatively low concentration of PUFAs (7.90% of the total FAs), which were almost entirely represented by linoleic (C18:2 n-6) and α-linolenic (C18:3 n-3) acids. As a result, the n-6 and the n-3 PUFA content of the experimental diets decreased as the percentage of HI meal increased.

**Table 2.** Mineral, trace element, arsenic (As), and heavy metal concentrations in the *Hermetia illucens* larva meal.

| Items            | HI       | MRL (Directive 2002/32/EC) |
|------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| **Minerals**     |          |                             |
| Zn (mg/kg)       | 172.7    |                             |
| Fe (mg/kg)       | 218.7    |                             |
| Mn (mg/kg)       | 255.2    |                             |
| Cu (mg/kg)       | 14.9     |                             |
| **Trace elements, As, and heavy metal** |          |                             |
| Co (mg/kg)       | 0.13     | Not legislated              |
| Cr (mg/kg)       | 0.57     | Not legislated              |
| Ni (mg/kg)       | 0.73     | Not legislated              |
| Se (mg/kg)       | 0.37     | Not legislated              |
| As (mg/kg 12% h) | 0.08     | 2                           |
| Cd (mg/kg 12% h) | 0.40     | 2                           |
| Hg (mg/kg 12% h) | 0.02     | 0.1                         |
| Pb (mg/kg 12% h) | 0.15     | 10                          |

Abbreviations: MRL, maximum residue limit; HI, *Hermetia illucens*; mg/kg 12% h: mg/kg feed with 12% humidity.

**Table 3.** Fatty acid composition of the *Hermetia illucens* meal and the experimental diets1 (% of total FAs).

| Fatty acids | HI meal | Starter period | Grower period | Finisher period |
|------------|---------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
|            | H10     | H13            | H16           | H19             |
|            | H10     | H13            | H16           | H19             |
|            | H10     | H13            | H16           | H19             |
| C12:0      | 49.70   | 0.07           | 2.73          | 5.49            |
| C14:0      | 10.10   | 0.16           | 0.60          | 1.13            |
| C16:0      | 13.29   | 14.99          | 13.91         | 13.71           |
| C18:0      | 2.04    | 2.83           | 2.62          | 2.65            |
| C18:1 n-7  | 3.09    | 0.15           | 0.29          | 0.44            |
| C18:1 n-9  | 9.28    | 25.77          | 24.20         | 25.50           |
| C18:1 n-7  | 0.44    | 1.10           | 1.00          | 1.03            |
| C18:2 n-6  | 6.93    | 49.08          | 48.80         | 46.05           |
| C18:3 n-3  | 0.16    | 3.27           | 3.40          | 3.35            |
| SFA        | 76.53   | 19.00          | 20.72         | 23.90           |
| MUFA       | 13.21   | 27.30          | 25.99         | 25.42           |
| PUFA       | 7.90    | 52.55          | 52.45         | 49.64           |
| n-3        | 0.89    | 3.31           | 3.44          | 3.38            |
| n-6        | 6.96    | 49.12          | 48.89         | 46.14           |
| n-6/n-3    | 7.85    | 14.83          | 14.22         | 13.65           |
| PUFA/SFA   | 0.10    | 2.77           | 2.53          | 2.08            |

Abbreviations: FAs, fatty acids; HI, *Hermetia illucens*; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA, saturated fatty acid; n-6/n-3 = n-6/n-3; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA: polyunsaturated fatty acid/saturated fatty acid ratio.

1Diet H0, H13, H16, and H19 = dietary inclusion of HI larva meal at 0, 3, 6, and 9%, respectively.

2Including minor FA.
Table 4. Growth and slaughtering performance of Muscovy ducks slaughtered at 51 days of age and fed increasing concentrations of partially defatted *Hermetia illucens* meal.

| Items | HI0 | HI3 | HI6 | HI9 | SEM | Linear | Quadratic |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----------|
| Growth performance (n = 6) | | | | | | | |
| Initial LW (3 d) (g) | 71 | 70 | 73 | 72 | 0.60 | 0.405 | 0.733 |
| Final LW (50 d) (g) | 2541 | 2511 | 2456 | 2555 | 20.13 | 0.946 | 0.123 |
| ADG (3–50 d) (g/d) | 52.5 | 51.9 | 50.7 | 52.8 | 0.43 | 0.926 | 0.125 |
| DFI (3–50 d) (g/d) | 120.6 | 121.3 | 117.6 | 121.5 | 1.20 | 0.927 | 0.530 |
| FCR (3–50 d) (g/g) | 2.29 | 2.34 | 2.32 | 2.30 | 0.019 | 0.925 | 0.406 |
| Slaughtering performance (n = 6) | | | | | | | |
| SW (g) | 2492 | 2408 | 2374 | 2487 | 16.67 | 0.727 | 0.003 |
| HC weight (g) | 1606 | 1568 | 1551 | 1631 | 10.90 | 0.544 | 0.006 |
| CC weight (g) | 1577 | 1534 | 1521 | 1588 | 10.72 | 0.577 | 0.005 |
| HC yield (% SW) | 64.5 | 65.1 | 65.4 | 65.6 | 0.25 | 0.115 | 0.655 |
| CC yield (% SW) | 63.3 | 63.7 | 64.1 | 64.2 | 0.23 | 0.123 | 0.734 |
| Breast yield (% CC weight) | 20.0 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 20.6 | 0.24 | 0.575 | 0.977 |
| Thigh yield (% CC weight) | 29.4 | 29.6 | 30.2 | 29.5 | 0.21 | 0.657 | 0.403 |
| Spleen (% SW) | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.831 | 0.157 |
| Liver (% SW) | 1.88 | 1.87 | 1.87 | 1.75 | 0.03 | 0.127 | 0.387 |
| Bursa of Fabricius (% SW) | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.289 | 0.668 |
| Heart (% SW) | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.247 | 0.623 |
| Abdominal fat (% SW) | 2.31 | 2.01 | 1.98 | 2.23 | 0.06 | 0.647 | 0.030 |

Abbreviations: ADG, average daily gain; CC, chilled carcass; DFI, daily feed intake; FCR, feed conversion ratio; HC, hot carcass; HI, *Hermetia illucens*; LW, live weight; SEM, standard error of the mean; SW, slaughter weight.

1Diets HI0, HI3, HI6, and HI9 = dietary inclusion of HI larva meal at 0, 3, 6, and 9%, respectively.

Slaughter Traits and Organ Weights

The growth performance of the Muscovy ducks (reported in detail in Gariglio et al., 2019a), is summarized in Table 4. LW, ADG, DFI, and FCR were not influenced by the dietary treatments throughout the entire trial.

Regarding the slaughter traits, the SW, and consequently the HC and CC weights, showed a quadratic response with the minimum corresponding to the HI6 group (P < 0.05). Nevertheless, the HC and CC yields were not affected by the dietary treatments. The weights of the spleen, liver, bursa of Fabricius, and heart were similar among groups, whereas the abdominal fat showed a quadratic response, with the minimum corresponding to the HI6 group (P < 0.05; Table 4).

Meat Quality Traits

The pHu24 and the color of the breast and thigh muscles and their chemical composition were not affected by the dietary treatment (Table 5). Only the Cu content increased linearly in the thigh meat, with a maximum for the HI9 group (P < 0.05).

As for the FA profile of the meat (Table 6), the concentrations of lauric (C12:0) and myristic (C14:0) acids increased linearly as the HI meal inclusion in the diet increased, with the maximum concentration in the HI9 group (P < 0.05) in relation to both breast (+85% and +35% for lauric and myristic acids, respectively) and thigh meat (+92% and +37% for lauric and myristic acids, respectively). Palmitic acid (C16:0) decreased linearly in the thigh meat and was about 4.8% lower in the HI9 group than in the HI0 group (P < 0.05). Nevertheless, the SFA content of the breast meat was not

| Items | HI0 | HI3 | HI6 | HI9 | SEM | P-value |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| pHu24 | 5.88 | 5.86 | 5.84 | 5.83 | 0.02 | 0.401 |
| TBARS | 2.82 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.69 | 0.09 | 0.629 |
| Protein | 20.1 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 0.06 | 0.976 |
| Ether extract | 21.0 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 0.06 | 0.901 |
| Ash | 13.8 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 0.01 | 0.523 |
| Moisture | 77.2 | 77.1 | 77.2 | 77.2 | 0.06 | 0.629 |
| L* | 45.6 | 46.1 | 46.9 | 45.9 | 0.42 | 0.683 |
| a* | 13.1 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 13.7 | 0.34 | 0.566 |
| b* | 11.3 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 0.27 | 0.549 |

Abbreviations: HI, *Hermetia illucens*; pHu, ultimate pH; SEM, standard error of the mean; L*: lightness; a*: redness; b*: yellowness; TBARS, thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances.

1Diets HI0, HI3, HI6, and HI9 = dietary inclusion of HI larva meal at 0, 3, 6, and 9%, respectively.
influenced by the dietary inclusion level of HI meal, whereas a linear increase was observed in the thigh meat, with a maximum corresponding to the HI9 group ($P < 0.05$). Among the MUFAa, palmitoleic acid (C16:1 n-7) in the thigh meat showed a linear decrease, with a minimum in the HI9 group, which contained 10% less palmitoleic acid than the HI0 group ($P < 0.05$). The $\omega$-linolenic acid (C18:3 n-3) decreased linearly and quadratically in the breast meat, with a minimum corresponding to the HI9 group (5.13% lower than the HI0 group; $P < 0.05$). On the contrary, $\omega$-linolenic acid increased linearly in the thigh meat according to the increasing dietary levels of HI meal, with a maximum in the HI9 group, which contained 10.5% more $\omega$-linolenic acid than the HI0 group ($P < 0.05$). No significant difference was observed for eicosapentaenoic (EPA, C20:5 n-3) or docosapentaenoic (DPA, C22:5 n-3) acid in either the breast or the thigh meat samples. However, a quadratic response was observed for docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, C22:6 n-3), being minimum in the HI3 group ($P < 0.05$). A linear response was observed for n-6 docosapentaenoic acid (C22:5 n-6) in the breast meat, with a maximum corresponding to the control group ($P < 0.05$). A linear trend was observed for the MUFA and PUFA content in the breast meat ($P = 0.086$ and $P = 0.092$, respectively), whereas no significant difference was detected in the thigh meat. The $\sum$n-6/$\sum$n-3 ratio decreased linearly for both the breast and the thigh meat.

### Table 6. Fatty acid composition (% of total FAME) of the breast meat (BM) and thigh meat (TM) of Muscovy ducks fed increasing concentration of partially defatted *Hermetia illucens* larva meal (n = 6, slaughtered at 51 days of age).

| Fatty acids | Dietary treatments | $P$-value | Linear | Quadratic |
|------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| C12:0      | BM                 | 0.12      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 0.34      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 0.54      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 0.80      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.04      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | <0.001    |        |           |
|            | BM                 | 0.13      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 0.60      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 1.19      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 1.66      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.09      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | <0.001    |        |           |
| C14:0      | BM                 | 0.37      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 0.44      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 0.52      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 0.57      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.01      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | <0.001    |        |           |
|            | BM                 | 0.49      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 0.57      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 0.74      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 0.78      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.02      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | <0.001    |        |           |
| C16:0      | BM                 | 20.62     |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 21.11     |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 20.93     |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 20.48     |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.14      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.650     |        | 0.101     |
| C18:0      | BM                 | 12.97     |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 11.72     |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 12.16     |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 12.02     |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.17      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.101     |        | 0.088     |
| C16:1 n-7  | BM                 | 1.81      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 1.31      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 1.20      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 1.18      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.03      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.039     |        | 0.066     |
| C18:1 n-9  | BM                 | 23.58     |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 25.77     |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 25.10     |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 25.48     |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.31      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.083     |        | 0.133     |
|           | BM                 | 29.69     |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 29.80     |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 29.88     |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 29.52     |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.24      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.850     |        | 0.643     |
| C18:2 n-6  | BM                 | 2.35      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 2.17      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 2.20      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 2.23      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.03      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.345     |        | 0.144     |
| C18:2 n-6  | BM                 | 1.89      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 1.84      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 1.80      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 1.82      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.02      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.298     |        | 0.463     |
| C20:2 n-6  | BM                 | 5.10      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 5.77      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 6.04      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 6.60      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.02      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | <0.001    |        | 0.158     |
| C22:4 n-6  | BM                 | 5.27      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 5.64      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 6.06      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 6.02      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | >0.00     |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.263     |        | 0.270     |
| C22:6 n-3  | BM                 | 0.67      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 0.52      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 0.58      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 0.58      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.02      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.217     |        | 0.041     |
|           | BM                 | 0.50      |        |           |
|            | HI3                | 0.43      |        |           |
|            | HI6                | 0.47      |        |           |
|            | HI9                | 0.46      |        |           |
|            | SEM                | 0.01      |        |           |
|            | $P$-value          | 0.257     |        | 0.180     |

**Abbreviations:** AI, atherogenicity index; FA, fatty acids; HI, *Hermetia illucens*; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA, saturated fatty acid; SEM: standard error of the mean; TI, thrombogenicity index; $\sum$n6/$\sum$n3, $\sum$PUFA n6/$\sum$PUFA n3 ratio; $\sum$PUFA/$\sum$SFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid/saturated fatty acid ratio.

1Diets HI0, HI3, HI6, and HI9 = dietary inclusion of HI larva meal at 0, 3, 6, and 9%, respectively.

2Including minor FA.
meat, according to the increasing HI dietary levels, with the minimum observed in the HI6 and HI9 groups \( (P < 0.05) \). A linear increasing trend was observed for the \( \frac{\sum \text{PUFA}}{\sum \text{SFA}} \) ratio in the thigh meat for increasing HI meal rates \( (P = 0.051) \).

The lowest AI values for the breast and thigh meat were observed in the HI0 group \( (P < 0.05) \), where linear responses were observed.

**Meat Trace Elements, As, and Heavy Metals**

The concentrations of trace elements, As, and heavy metals in the breast and the thigh meat samples are summarized in Table 7. The As, Hg, Cd, and Pb concentrations were on average below 0.01 mg/kg at 12% humidity in both the breast and thigh meat, and below the EU limits reported for chicken meat \( (EC, 2006) \).

**DISCUSSION**

HI larva meal is a promising high nutritional value ingredient to utilize in livestock feeds that could alleviate the pressure on conventional feed sources. The first 2 parts of our study \( (Gariglio et al., 2019a,b) \) described the effects, from the nutritional point of view, of the use of a partially defatted HI larva meal on the performance, digestive physiology, intestinal morphology, \textit{in vivo} hematological parameters, and \textit{post-mortem} organ traits of Muscovy ducks. In brief, these studies showed that increasing levels of HI larva meal inclusion did not affect the animals' growth performance, and that the digestive physiology, intestinal morphology, and hematological traits of the Muscovy ducks were unaffected or even improved. Indeed, a partially improved renal function and a significant reduction in serum cholesterol and triglycerides were observed, together with an improved antioxidant status and a reduction in plasma oxidative metabolites \( (Gariglio et al., 2019b) \).

No study has so far evaluated the effects of the dietary inclusion of HI meal on the carcass characteristics, the meat quality traits or the safety of the meat derived from Muscovy ducks, despite the fact that the assessment of the meat quality plays a fundamental role in the application of feedstuffs. Overall, the results of the present study are encouraging; they demonstrate the meat from HI meal-fed ducks to have a comparable composition and quality to that of vegetable-fed animals.

Although the ducks that were slaughtered were selected on the basis of their average final LW within a pen, the SW, HC, and CC weights were lower in the HI6 group compared with the other feeding groups, most probably as a result of the lower amounts of abdominal fat in the slaughtered animals from this group. Moreover, the HC and CC yields were not affected by the dietary treatments and were consistent with what Schiavone et al. \( (2004) \) and Kowalczyk et al. \( (2012) \) observed for Muscovy and Pekin ducks, respectively. On the other hand, the SW, HC, and CC weights were on average higher than expected considering the rearing guide for this specific genotype at this age \( (Grimaud Freres, 2017) \).

As far as the use of HI larva meal in other poultry species is concerned, Schiavone et al. \( (2019) \) showed that up to 10% HI larva meal in the diet (in substitution of soybean meal) improved the slaughter and carcass weights of broiler chickens. Likewise, Altmann et al. \( (2018) \) detected heavier carcasses in chickens fed 50% HI larva meal in replacement of a soy-based protein compared with the control group. In a similar context, Loponte et al. \( (2017) \) reported higher carcass weights in Barbary partridges fed HI-based diets as a partial replacement of soybean meal \( (25 \text{ or } 50\%) \).
The pHu24 values of the breast and thigh meat obtained in the present trial were in line with the values reported by Wawro et al. (2004) and Pingel et al. (2012). No significant difference in pH was observed compared with previous studies on Barbary partridges and broiler chickens fed HI meal (Pieterse et al., 2018; Secci et al., 2018; Schiavone et al., 2019). However, Cullere et al. (2016) reported a lower pH in broiler quails fed diets containing 15% HI larva meal.

Color is one of the main qualitative aspects that can influence the acceptance of food products by consumers and it is frequently used as an indicator of the economic value of a foodstuff (Qiao et al., 2001). The color traits of the breast and the thigh muscles were not affected by the dietary treatments, as previously reported for broiler chickens fed HI meal (Altmann et al., 2018; Pieterse et al., 2018; Schiavone et al., 2019). Similarly, Secci et al. (2018) did not observe any significant differences, in terms of raw meat color in Barbary partridges fed diets in which HI meal replaced 25 and 50% of soybean meal.

The proximate composition of the breast meat measured in the present study is in line with the results of Wawro et al. (2004) for Muscovy ducks. The proximate composition was unaffected in both the breast and the thigh meat by the dietary inclusion of HI meal, and this result is consistent with previous studies on broiler quails, broiler chickens and Barbary partridges (Cullere et al., 2018; Pieterse et al. 2018; Secci et al., 2018). Schiavone et al. (2019), on the other hand, reported meat moisture to decrease and meat protein to increase in broiler chickens fed diets with increasing levels of HI meal.

According to Cullere et al. (2018), the oxidative status of both the breast and thigh meat in broiler quails fed HI meal (evaluated using TBARS levels) was unaffected by dietary HI meal inclusion, thus confirming a satisfactory shelf life of the product.

The overall mineral composition of the HI larva meal used in the present trial is similar to the mineral profile observed by Makkar et al. (2014) and Cullere et al. (2018) and its dietary inclusion did not affect the mineral content in either the breast or thigh meat, although the Cu content in the thigh meat was higher in the HI9 group (+19.1%) than in the control. This result is difficult to explain, but it could be related to a difference in Cu bioavailability between vegetable and insect protein sources. Aoyagi and Baker (1993) evaluated Cu bioavailability in vegetable protein meal and determined the values for soybean meal (40%) and corn gluten meal (50%). The low Cu bioavailability in vegetable protein meal could be related to the phytate Cu-binding effects (Lesson, 2009). On the other hand, Latunde-Dada et al. (2016) reported higher Cu solubility in some insect species (grasshoppers, crickets, and mealworms) than in vegetable meal (whole-wheat meal). This supports our findings.

The possibility of modifying the FA profile of meat has an important role in the production of high-quality products as it provides a means to increase the MUFA and PUFA intake in order to satisfy human dietary recommendations. A reduction in SFA consumption in favor of an increased MUFA and PUFA consumption helps to reduce the risk of obesity, cardiovascular disease, and cancer (Jakobsen, 1999). According to the results obtained in the present trial, myristic (C16:0), stearic (C18:0), oleic (C18:1 n-9), and linoleic (C18:2 n-6) acids were the most representative in Muscovy duck breast and thigh meat, a result that was also found in a previous study (Aronal et al., 2012). On the other hand, several studies have highlighted the possibility of modifying the FA profile of duck meat by modifying the dietary FA profile (Schiavone et al., 2004; Schiavone et al., 2007; Schiavone et al., 2010). This was also observed in the present study. Indeed, a relationship between the FA profile of HI larva meal and duck meat was observed. In particular, increasing levels of lauric and myristic acids were observed in both the breast and the thigh meat with increasing HI larvae meal inclusion levels. Although the total SFA amount in the thigh meat was affected, this increase was only about +2.40% in the HI9 group, compared to the control group. Our results are partially in agreement with those of Cullere et al. (2018), who observed a significant increase in lauric, palmitic, and myristic acids in the breast meat of broiler quails, which consequently brought about an overall increase in the total SFA content.

The dietary α-linolenic acid (C18:3 n-3) content was somewhat similar among treatments for each feeding period. However, in the finisher period, the amount of α-linolenic acid was higher in the HI6 and HI9 groups (+15.2% and +10.0% compared with HI0, respectively) (Table 3). Although α-linolenic acid decreased in the breast meat as the HI level is increased, this was only observed for the HI9 group and the magnitude of the decrease was not very large (-5.17% with respect to the HI0 group). On the other hand, the increase in α-linolenic acid in the thigh meat was greater in the HI6 and HI9 groups (+11.6% and +10.5% compared with the HI0 group, respectively).

Unlike the results of previous studies with broiler quails and chickens (Cullere et al., 2018; Schiavone et al., 2019), the total MUFA content in the breast meat tended to decrease as HI increased, whereas PUFA increased. The $\sum_{n=6} n-3$ ratios of the meat resulted higher (12.3 in the breast meat and 11.2 in the thigh meat for the HI9 group) than the recommended ratio of 4:1 for human nutrition (Gómez Candel et al., 2011). However, it has been reported that the lipid content and composition of HI may be modified by the substrate used to rear the larva. St-Hilaire et al. (2007) reported an enrichment in n-3 FA in HI prepupae fed a fish offal-based diet, indicating it to be an interesting feedstuff for fish and other monogastric animals.

The AI and TI represent the effect of dietary FA composition on the risk of developing coronary diseases in humans. The AI mean values observed in this study (0.36 and 0.34 for the breast and thigh, respectively) remained under the maximum recommended threshold of 0.55. The TI was not affected by the dietary HI larva.
meal inclusion and the values were similar to or even lower than the maximum recommended threshold of 0.95 for poultry meat (Ulbricht and Southgate, 1991). From a dietetic point of view, the AI and TI indexes were under the values estimated for chicken meat by Ulbricht and Southgate (1991), that is AI 0.50 and TI 0.95. The AI and TI observed in our study revealed that duck meat of animals fed HI larva meal is a safe product concerning the risk for developing coronary heart disease.

In the same way, the evaluation of the potential risks related to heavy metal contamination in insect meal and therefore in the derived products is important for human health. Thus, one of the main issues for the insect production industries is to produce an insect meal that, not only provides a high nutritional value, but is also low in heavy metals in order to satisfy the need for a product with high dietetic and safety qualities. Several studies have evaluated the bioaccumulation of As and heavy metals in HI pupae and the results have indicated that Cd could be of concern in relation to insect production. Indeed, Gao et al. (2017) reported a relevant Cd accumulation in HI pupae, with a higher concentration in the body compared with the substrate. On the contrary, the concentration of Zn, Pb, and As in pupae were below the feed concentration limits for these heavy metals (Diener et al., 2015; Van der Fels-Klerx et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2017). The trace elements, As, and heavy metal concentrations remained below the EU limits defined for feedstuffs (EC, 2002) and foodstuffs (EC, 2006) in HI larva meal and in breast and thigh meat, respectively. These results are promising in terms of food safety. Similarly, Schiavone et al. (2019) investigated the As and heavy metal concentrations in broiler chickens fed diets with 5%, 10%, and 15% HI larva meal inclusion. The results showed the absence of any critical issues with regard to the heavy metal content in broiler chicken breasts, thus indicating the insects-rearing substrates to be safe (Schiavone et al., 2019).

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the present study provide important and innovative information about the effects of partially defatted dietary HI larva meal in poultry. To the best of our knowledge, this study provides the first data on the evaluation of the meat quality from insects-fed Muscovy ducks. The inclusion of partially defatted HI larva meal in the diet of Muscovy ducks did not affect the slaughtering performance of the birds or the meat quality parameters. The comparable results, in terms of proximate composition, mineral profile (with the exception of Cu) and oxidative status, between the HI-fed ducks and the control group demonstrates the feasibility of introducing partially defatted HI larva meal into the diets of Muscovy ducks.

The reduction in $\sum n-6/\sum n-3$ in the insect-fed group could represent a positive starting point for future investigations. Indeed, the use of HI meals obtained from n-3 rich substrates could positively affect the duck meat n-3 FA content.

Further research is necessary to guarantee safety and technological practices for the production of insects as an alternative source of poultry feed. In addition, investigations into sensory attributes will be important to obtain a better understanding of the effects of insect meal on duck meat quality and consumer sensory perception.
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