Clinical outcomes after early ambulatory multidrug therapy for high-risk SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) infection
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There is an emergency need for early ambulatory treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in acutely ill patients in an attempt to reduce disease progression and the risks of hospitalization and death. Such management should be applied in high-risk patients age > 50 years or with one or more medical problems including cardiovascular disease. We evaluated a total of 922 outpatients from March to September 2020. All patients underwent contemporary real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay tests from anterior nasal swab samples. Patients age 50.5 ± 13.7 years (range 12 to 89), 61.6% women, at moderate or high risk for COVID-19 received empiric management via telemedicine. At least two agents with antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 (zinc, hydroxychloroquine, ivermectin) and one antibiotic (azithromycin, doxycycline, ceftriaxone) were used along with inhaled budesonide and/or intramuscular dexamethasone consistent with the emergent science on early COVID-19 treatment. For patients with high severity of symptoms, urgent in-clinic administration of albuterol nebulizer, inhaled budesonide, and intravenous volume expansion with supplemental parenteral thiamine 500 mg, magnesium sulfate 4 grams, folic acid 1 gram, vitamin B12 1 mg. A total of 320/922 (34.7%) were treated resulting in 6/320 (1.9%) and 1/320 (0.3%) patients that were hospitalized and died, respectively. We conclude that early ambulatory (not hospitalized, treated at home), multidrug therapy is safe, feasible, and associated with low rates of hospitalization and death. Early treatment should be considered for high-risk patients as an emergency measure while we await randomized trials and guidelines for ambulatory management.
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1. Background

The epidemic viral outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is advancing across the United States unabated despite public policy measures focussed on contagion control (McCullough et al., 2020). The United States has a current 877 deaths per million inhabitants despite having technically advanced hospitals and to date sufficient capacity to handle the surges of patients requiring hospitalization (Worldometer, 2020). Conversely India, a country with broad implementation of early COVID-19 treatment has 102 deaths per million (Worldometer, 2020). The regulatory agencies as well as the National Institutes of Health have had their principal areas of focus being late stage hospitalized patients and vaccine development (COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines, 2020). This has left a void for the role of early ambulatory treatment of COVID-19 at home. Such management has the goals of lessening the intensity and severity of symptoms and preventing hospitalization and death. There are currently no approved drugs or drug combinations in the U.S. indicated for the ambulatory treatment of COVID-19 or its complications. In the absence of conclusive randomized trials of single drugs and combination regimens, clinicians faced with large numbers of ill patients have responded with innovative empiric approaches that attempt to reduce the progression of SARS-CoV-2 infection, improve symptoms, avoid complications, and reduce the risk of complications and death. The mechanisms by which a multidrug approach would globally improve outcomes could be to address viral replication, cytokine storm, and thrombosis. This report discloses real world data and the clinical outcomes of early ambulatory treatment of acute COVID-19 in patients at high risk for hospitalization and death.

2. Methods and results

Beginning in March 2020, a team of primary care providers consisting of a lead physician (BCP) and four advanced practice practitioners (CR, VP, ES, CH) responded to urgent visits by patients with suspected SARS-CoV infection and symptomatic COVID-19. All patients underwent standard informed consent for...
care and were under the direct management of licensed medical personnel including a senior attending physician (BCP). Contemporary real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay tests from anterior nasal swab samples were obtained. It was understood at that time period that COVID-19 test results could be falsely negative, particularly in the setting where a patient had the characteristic symptoms of the syndrome (Woloshin et al., 2020). They additionally had an assessment according to the severity of symptoms and scored as depicted in Table 1. The treatment regimens are given in Table 2. All patients received empiric treatment on the first day of presentation in most cases before COVID-19 test results with standard office practice and contagion control measures (Fiorillo et al., 2020). According to clinical judgment and planned use of hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) a 12-lead electrocardiogram was obtained to evaluate the QTc interval. For patients with high severity of symptoms, urgent in-clinic administration of albuterol nebulizer, inhaled budesonide, and intravenous volume expansion with supplemental parenteral thiamine 500 mg, magnesium sulfate 4 grams, folic acid 1 gram, vitamin B12 1 mg (Flannery et al., 2017). Additionally, for the severely ill population dexamethasone 8 mg and ceftriaxone 1 gram was administered intramuscularly (Table 2). All patients had in-person or telemedicine followup at 48 hours and as needed after that point which was part of the general consent for treatment. Univariate statistics were reported with means ± standard deviation or counts with proportions as appropriate.

A total of 922 patients were evaluated between the ages of 12 and 89 years. The mean age was 50.5 ± 13.7 years and 61.6% were women. The frequency of comorbidities was as follows: obesity 60.5%, diabetes mellitus 10%, cardiovascular disease 33.7%, pulmonary illness 17.8%. The rate of positive SARS-CoV-2 positive tests was 327/918 (35.6%). Among the 591 test negative patients, 162 (27.4%) were considered false negative tests since they went on to develop persistent or worsening symptoms of COVID-19. A total of 320/922 (34.2%) were treated based on age > 50 and/or the presence of comorbidities (obesity, diabetes mellitus, cardiopulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, etc). All patients were followed for a minimum of 90 days. Clinical outcomes included 6/320 (1.9%) and 1/320 (0.3%) that were hospitalized or died, respectively (Fig. 1).

3. Discussion

The observations in this report suggest that primary care physicians can take an organized, empiric approach to acutely ill patients with COVID-19 with very low rates of subsequent hospitalization and death. The execution of this program was heavily dependent on telemedicine technology (Cervino and Oteri, 2020). Our observations suggest a majority of hospitalizations could be

### Table 1. Symptom severity score for initial assessment of patients infected with suspected infection of SARS-CoV2 (COVID-19).

| Symptom              | Points |
|----------------------|--------|
| Fever                | 1      |
| Fever at night       | 1      |
| Fatigue              | 1      |
| Body aches           | 1      |
| Cough                | 1      |
| Difficulty breathing | 1      |
| Additional symptoms  | 1      |
| Probability of COVID-19: 0-1 points = low, 3-4 points = moderate, 5+ points = high |

Fig. 1. The SARS-CoV-2 nasal PCR test results are shown on the left and among the 320 cases that were confirmed positive and were high risk, the outcomes of hospitalization and death are shown on the right.
Table 2. Combination medications for a minimum of five days and acutely administered supplements used for the initial ambulatory patient with suspected and or confirmed COVID-19 (moderate or greater probability).

| Agent                        | Rationale                                                                 |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Zinc                         | Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 RNA synthesis                                          |
| Hydroxychloroquine 200 mg po bid | Inhibits endosomal transfer of virions, anti-inflammatory                  |
| Ivermectin (200 mcg/kg) usual dose 12 mg po qd × 3 days | Attenuates importin α/β3-mediated nuclear transport of SARS-CoV-2 into nucleus |
| Azithromycin 250 mg po bid | Covers respiratory bacterial pathogens in secondary infection             |
| Doxycycline 100 mg po bid | Covers respiratory bacterial pathogens in secondary infection             |
| Inhaled budesonide, Dexamethasone 8 mg IM | Treats cytokine storm                                                     |
| Folate, thiamine, vitamin 12 | Reduce tissue oxidative stress                                             |
| Intravenous fluid          | Intravascular volume expansion                                             |

avoided and the spread of SARS-CoV-2 can be reduced with a first treat-at-home approach featuring telemedicine during follow-up (Gambardella et al., 2020; Tolone et al., 2020). We leveraged agents that were commercially available and had a reasonable chance of therapeutic gain with acceptable safety. Because multiple agents are used empirically and in combination given the context of an emergency pandemic, it is impossible to retrospectively stratify for each component and analyze individual effects. We addressed viral replication, cytokine storm, and tissue damage due to oxidative stress utilizing vitamins, micronutrient supplements, and prescription medications (Zhang et al., 2020). Additionally, we encouraged the use of renin-angiotensin system inhibitors based on their theoretical effect over the long term for upregulation of the angiotensin converting enzyme 2 receptor which, despite being the entry receptor for the SARS-CoV-2 also protects lungs in preclinical models of adult respiratory distress syndrome (Lo et al., 2020; Palazzuoli et al., 2020). Our approach was later supported by concurrent analyses and subsequent published reports (Derwand et al., 2020; Lo et al., 2020; Palazzuoli et al., 2020). The observed rates of these outcomes are considerably lower than reported in other studies in our region. A recent report from Methodist hospital in Houston reported that patients with progressive symptoms when hospitalized suffered a 5.8% mortality rate was despite the use of HCQ, remdesivir, convalescent plasma, and anticoagulants (Vahidy et al., 2020). Undoubtedly a portion of the mortality benefit of outpatient therapy is reducing the need for supplemental oxygen and mechanical ventilation. A recent series from Italy has demonstrated that there is a graded increase in death rates with 7.4% for whom no oxygen was required, 12.9% for oxygen requiring, and 23.0% for mechanically ventilated patients (Palazzuoli et al., 2020). Our data suggest the advancement of early home use of off-target antiviral agents (zinc, HCQ, ivermectin, azithromycin, doxycycline), antibiotics, corticosteroids, and in the future empirical anticoagulants could markedly reduce the risk for hospitalization and potentially reduce overall death rates before and during hospitalization (McCullough et al., 2020).

Our report has all the limitations common to the reporting of clinical practice outcomes. During this time there was an evolving set of SARS-CoV-2 assays, and hence when assessed in context to the clinical syndrome, we experienced both false positive and negative testing as reported. Follow-up was performed by usual practice call logs and electronic medical systems and is temporally truncated to the time of this report.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, empiric multidrug treatment for ambulatory COVID-19 according to age, comorbidities, and initial severity of symptoms is feasible with close follow-up. Our data suggest that such a strategy is associated very low rates of hospitalization in high-risk patients who receive early outpatient treatment. This may be due to symptom relief attributed to medications, supportive parenteral volume expansion, micronutrient supplementation, and compassionate care delivered by in person visits and telemedicine. The rates of death in our study indicate that early multidrug therapy is associated with > 90% reduction in mortality among the high risk compared to community rates of death associated with therapeutic nihilism in ambulatory patients who are subsequently hospitalized. The National Institutes of Health currently advise denial of early treatment and encourage late-stage hospitalization as the first window of treatment open to acutely ill patients with COVID-19 (COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines, 2020). Our contrary view, supported by our results, is that early ambulatory therapy should be offered as an emergency measure in acutely ill, high-risk COVID-19 as a strategy to reduce hospitalization and death. We anticipate results of clinical trials will refine our multipronged therapeutic response to patients with this potentially fatal infection, however in our view, there is an impressive and urgent call for action at the earliest point in the infection for the best chances of survival from hospitalization and death.
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