Three-dimensional simultaneous strain–volume analysis describes left ventricular remodelling and its progression: a pilot study
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Aims

Three-dimensional (3D)-echocardiography speckle imaging allows the evaluation of frame-by-frame strain and volume changes simultaneously. The aim of the present investigation was to describe the strain–volume combined assessment in different patterns of cardiac remodelling.

Methods and results

Fifty patients received a 3D acquisition. Patients were classified as follows: healthy subjects (CNT), previous AMI, and normal ejection fraction (EF; group A); ischaemic cardiomyopathy with reduced EF (group B); hypertrophic/infiltrative cardiomyopathy (group C). Values of 3D strain were plotted vs. volume for each frame to build a strain–volume curve for each case. Peak of radial, longitudinal, and circumferential systolic strain (Rp, Lp, and Cp, respectively), slopes of the curves (R Sl, L Sl, C Sl), and strain to end-diastolic volume (EDV) ratio (R V/EDV, L V/EDV, C V/EDV) were computed for the analysis. Strain–volume curves of the CNT group were steep and clustered, whereas, due to progressive dilatation and reduction of strains, progressive flattening could be demonstrated in groups A and B. Quantitative data supported visual assessment with progressive lower slopes (P < 0.05 for R Sl, C Sl, P = 0.06 for L Sl) and significantly lower ratios (P < 0.01 for R V/EDV, L V/EDV, and C V/EDV). Group C showed an opposite behaviour with slopes and ratios close to those of normal subjects. Correlation coefficients between EDV and slopes of the curves were significant for all the directions of strain (C Sl: r = 0.891; R Sl: r = 0.704; L Sl: r = 0.833; P < 0.0001 for all).

Conclusion

We measured left ventricular volumes and strain by 3D-echo and obtained strain–volume curve to evaluate their behaviour in remodelling. A distinctive and progressive pattern consistent with pathophysiology was observed. The analysis here shown could represent a new non-invasive method to assess myocardial mechanics and its relationship with volumes.
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Introduction

Left ventricular (LV) remodelling is the process that involves shape, volume, and function rearrangement secondary to acute or chronic myocardial injury.1,2 It is a main feature of the heart failure (HF) syndrome and it is strongly related to the progression of the disease and finally to prognosis.1–3 Furthermore, it has become evident that reversing the mechanism of LV remodelling changes the natural history of HF in turn representing a therapeutic target.4,5 Ischaemic LV maladaptation represents the most studied form of remodelling6 and it has been demonstrated that its progression and/or regression is strongly linked to the alteration
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of regional myocardial contraction.\textsuperscript{7} In this scenario, the assessment of cardiac mechanics in relation to the structural (size and shape) changes would represent a cornerstone for the management of HF patients. Despite this central role and complex relation with myocardial function,\textsuperscript{8} remodelling is still merely described as changes of LV volumes and shape (sphericity) with respect to normal hearts.\textsuperscript{9} The use of echocardiography represents the standard practice and by means of three-dimensional (3D) technique, it becomes extremely accurate and reproducible.\textsuperscript{10–15} Besides those to obtain volume reconstructions, several efforts have been made to provide quantitative and non-subjective methods to express contractility status in injured heart. In this context, LV strain has become a powerful method to quantify myocardial shortening/lengthening.\textsuperscript{16–19} Its use has grown also in the detection of subclinical stages of diseases\textsuperscript{20} or in complex evaluation of cardiac mechanics\textsuperscript{21} but data on LV remodelling are lacking. Recently, LV volume reconstruction and myocardial strain assessment have been integrated and a single 3D-echocardiographic acquisition of myocardial function and LV volume can be extrapolated from a single cardiac cycle.\textsuperscript{22–24} In our study, we applied the simultaneous 3D acquisition of volume and strain to the evaluation of LV remodelling. We hypothesized that combining data from function and structure in a simultaneous volume–strain analysis could be a sensible approach to the evaluation of cardiac maladaptation.

**Methods**

**Population**

We screened all the consecutive patients referred to our centre from November 2009 to February 2010 for standard echocardiographic evaluation. Patients were considered suitable for the study if they met the following criteria for each group: subjects without history of cardiovascular disease or risk factor for developing subclinical dysfunction or HF, normal clinical examination and normal recent laboratory tests, were selected as the control group (CNT, \( n = 12 \)); group A (\( n = 16 \)): history of timely revascularized non-complicated acute myocardial infarction \( \geq 6 \) weeks and demonstration of preserved ejection fraction (EF \( \geq 55\% \)), no symptoms or sign of HF; group B (\( n = 10 \)): known ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy with moderate-to-severe systolic dysfunction (EF \( \leq 40\% \)); group C (\( n = 12 \)): non-obstructive hypertrophic or infiltrative cardiomyopathy with moderate-to-severe hypertrophy with a history of hospitalization for HF in the last 6 months and evidence of preserved systolic function (EF \( \geq 55\% \)).

Patients were excluded if they showed active sign of decompensated HF, atrial fibrillation with highly variable RR intervals, chronic pacemaker stimulation, moderate-to-severe valvular regurgitations, symptoms suggestive of active angina, and, finally, poor echocardiographic images.

Our Institutional Review Board approved the study and witnessed informed consent was obtained from each patient.

**Standard and three-dimensional echocardiography**

Echocardiography, including Doppler evaluation, was performed by using a 4D Artida System (Toshiba Medical Systems). The standard echocardiography examination included parasternal and apical views with pulsed Doppler evaluation of the LV inflow and outflow tract; continuous Doppler study of each transvalvular gradient was performed to rule out inflow or outflow obstruction. Colour-Doppler was used to detect any significant regurgitation. The following parameters were computed: aortic root (Ao root), left atrial (LA) dimension, interventricular septum, posterior wall (PWd), left internal diastolic (EDD) and systolic (ESD) diameters by m-Mode in parasternal long-axis view as recommended.\textsuperscript{25} LV mass, fractional shortening, and relative wall thickness were calculated as previously described.\textsuperscript{2} Segmental wall motion was graded as follows: 1 = normal; 2 = hypokinetic; 3 = akinetic; and 4 = dyskinetic. Wall motion score index was derived by dividing the sum of individual segment scores by the number of interpretable segments in a 17-segment model.

Real-time 3D-echo imaging was performed from the apical position with the patient in the left lateral decubitus using a fully sampled matrix array transducer (PST-255X) in the tissue harmonic mode. Gain and TGC were optimized for the best endocardial definition in the multi-plane mode simultaneously showing both apical four-chamber and two-chamber views. Sector scan and depth were adjusted to completely include LV in the scan and to maximize frame rate. Then, by the full-volume acquisition mode triggered on the QRS peak, we acquired at least six consecutive cardiac cycles during patient breath-hold. A wide acquisition ‘full-volume’ mode was used, in which four wedge-shaped subvolumes were acquired for six consecutive cardiac cycles during a single breath-hold.

Frame rate during acquisition varied from 15.66 to 18.75 f/s with a very low dispersion within the population here examined (mean \( \pm SD \) 17.32 \( \pm 0.68 \) f/s).

Images were stored and analyzed offline.

**Strain–volume curve reconstruction**

The best cardiac cycle of the full-volume 3D acquisition was chosen and line markers centred in LV cavity from apex to base in the long-axis views to allow an exact volume reconstruction. In the same manner, short-axis line markers were placed at the base, mid and apical level. 3DE data sets were analysed using the 3D wall motion tracking software (Toshiba Medical System). Endocardial and epicardial boundaries were traced including the papillary muscles in the LV cavity. A semi-automatic tracking algorithm with a frame-by-frame manual adjustment was applied to follow the endocardial and epicardial limits in 3D throughout the cardiac cycle. By protocol, epicardial boundaries could not be corrected after the first frame while the endocardial margins could be adjusted for a maximum of two segments per frame. The algorithm calculates and immediately shows the variability of the mass which should be constant in 3D image, thus providing an internal reference of quality of the LV mass data set. Patients were excluded from the analysis if there was a significant mass variability or if the visual assessment of the tracking was poor.

For each frame of the cardiac cycle, voxel count within the LV cavity is used by the software to calculate LV volumes. End-diastolic volume (EDV), end-systolic volume (ESV), and frame-by-frame volumes within the systolic phase were extrapolated and then referred to body surface area (EDVi, ESVi). Similarly, changes in myocardial wall are used to assess shortening and lengthening of a segment in three-dimension and finally compute longitudinal, radial and circumferential strain (\( L_{r} \), \( R_{r} \), and \( C_{r} \), respectively).

Data were then exported in a datasheet and analysed for each patient. Global strain for each direction of the contraction of the whole LV was used for the analysis. The conventional 17-segment model of LV regions was used and global strain was calculated after the exclusion of the minimum and maximum values by averaging all the regional strains.
Volumes (x-axis) and global strain (y-axis) of each frame were plotted in a Cartesian system and the volume–strain curve of a cardiac cycle was built for each component of the strain (La, Re, and Ce) (Figure 1). For this analysis only, the systolic phase of the curve was considered and a mean of 6.98 volume/strain data (i.e. frame) per patients was processed. After the visual assessment of the curves, the following quantitative parameters were extrapolated for each direction of the strain: peak systolic strain (LaP, ReP, and CeP) as the nadir or the zenith of the trace at end-systole; strain/volume ratio (La/V, Re/V, and Ce/V) which represents the slope of the curve given an ESV and an end-diastolic strain equal to zero (i.e. influenced by slope and position of the curve in the Cartesian axis); slope of the systolic phase of the curve (LaSl, ReSl, and CeSl) as the difference of maximum and minimum strain divided by the difference of EDV and ESV (i.e. stroke volume) (absolute slope of the curve).

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Baseline categorical data were compared by means of the Chi-square test. Statistical comparison between groups was performed by the Mann–Whitney test due to non-normal distribution of data. Bivariate correlation was performed between EDVi and peak of $e$ in CNT and ischaemic patients (groups A and B) and $r$ was presented. Slope of the curves were plotted (y-axis) against EDVi (x-axis) for each component of strain and correlation between the two parameters was evaluated. Due to nonlinear relation in the various plots and after curve estimation analysis, quadratic model was chosen as the best model that fit data. Multiple R was presented. For all tests, a P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Population**

We screened 56 patients for the study in the reference period: four had poor echocardiographic images and full volume acquisition was not achievable, two were initially included and images stored but subsequently excluded due to poor endocardial or epicardial definition. Feasibility was thus possible in 89% of cases and the population included 40 patients (61.1% male, mean age 64.05 ± 14.65 years) and 10 healthy controls (64.9% male, mean age 64 ± 14.09 years. $P =$ NS (Table 1). Time from acute myocardial infarction in groups A and B ranged from 9 to 23 months. Within the group C, eight patients (66.6%) had an asymmetrical HCM with a non-significant basal LV outflow tract obstruction. Two patients had a biopsy proven cardiac AL amyloidosis, one of them were in NYHA class 2 and the other in NYHA 3 with a mean time from
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**Figure 1** Schema of strain/volume curve. Values of strain (y-axis) and volume (x-axis) of each frame were plotted and curve were derived for the systolic phase. For each patient, absolute slope of the curve was calculated as the differences between peak of strain ($e$) and end-diastolic $e$ divided by stroke volume. Ratio of peak of $e$ and EDV was also computed: ratio represents slopes of the curve considering ESV and basal $e$ equal to 0.

| Table 1 | Standard echocardiographic data |
|---------|--------------------------------|
|         | CNT   | EF > 50% | P vs. CNT | EF < 40% | P vs. CNT | P vs. EF > 50% | ECC | P vs. CNT |
| Age (years) | 53.00 ± 18.80 | 67.43 ± 9.61 | 0.106 | 66.00 ± 13.28 | 0.130 | 0.765 | 71.89 ± 11.77 | 0.207 |
| BSA (mq) | 1.81 ± 0.21 | 1.92 ± 0.13 | 0.187 | 1.85 ± 0.42 | 1.000 | 0.263 | 1.82 ± 0.26 | 0.935 |
| Aortic root (mm) | 32.40 ± 4.70 | 37.15 ± 4.45 | 0.019 | 32.00 ± 3.39 | 0.805 | 0.020 | 35.00 ± 3.65 | 0.141 |
| LA (mm) | 37.33 ± 4.03 | 42.00 ± 4.19 | 0.027 | 50.80 ± 5.67 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 45.29 ± 4.23 | 0.007 |
| IVSd (mm) | 10.00 ± 1.89 | 11.23 ± 2.11 | 0.176 | 11.80 ± 3.35 | 0.317 | 0.739 | 18.56 ± 4.34 | <0.001 |
| EDD (mm) | 48.80 ± 2.25 | 52.08 ± 4.74 | 0.078 | 60.20 ± 16.53 | 0.063 | 0.057 | 41.25 ± 10.95 | 0.117 |
| PWd (mm) | 9.94 ± 1.07 | 10.00 ± 2.26 | 0.567 | 11.40 ± 3.58 | 0.950 | 0.789 | 14.25 ± 3.41 | 0.014 |
| FS (%) | 48.73 ± 7.36 | 38.52 ± 9.45 | 0.027 | 13.50 ± 5.19 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 33.41 ± 9.62 | 0.041 |
| Mass (g) | 98.90 ± 19.72 | 111.58 ± 19.40 | 0.113 | 158.40 ± 53.43 | 0.085 | 0.058 | 169.00 ± 67.32 | 0.012 |
| RWT | 0.40 ± 0.06 | 0.41 ± 0.09 | 1.000 | 0.45 ± 0.34 | 0.270 | 0.290 | 0.83 ± 0.25 | <0.001 |
| WMSI | 1.00 | 1.33 ± 0.31 | 0.003 | 2.43 ± 0.56 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 1.00 | 1.000 |

BSA, body surface area; LA, left atria; IVSd, interventricular septum diastole; DTD, PWd, posterior wall diastole; EDD, end-diastolic diameter; FS, fractional shortening; RWT, relative wall thickness; WMSI, wall motion score index.
diagnosis that ranged from 4 to 13 months. The acquisition time and analysis of 3D was 3 ± 1 min.

**Volumes and strain**

Patients with previous AMI and normal EF (group A) had a significantly higher mean LV end-diastolic (122.83 ± 34.06 vs. 88.27 ± 22.43 mL, P < 0.01) and ESVs (55.15 ± 17.02 vs. 32.49 ± 11.84 mL, P < 0.01) than controls (Table 2). Mean EF of group A was quite normal but significantly lower than CNT (55.09 ± 5 vs. 63.41 ± 6.46 mL, P < 0.01) with non-significant differences of the direction considered (55.09 ± 5 vs. 63.41 ± 6.46 mL, P < 0.01) with non-significant differences (Table 2). Of note in group A, all but two patients could be classified as non-dilated according to the recommended reference values for CNT. In group C, a significantly higher LV mass (169 ± 67.32 vs. 98.89 ± 19.72 g, P < 0.01 vs. CNT) with volumes and EF closed to normal (Table 1).

Peaks of strains are reported on Table 2. Patients of group A showed preserved systolic ReP but a significant reduction in global CeP (P < 0.0001) and LeP (P < 0.05). Group B, as expected, showed a strong and unequivocal reduction of strains regardless of the direction considered (P < 0.001 for all). Rep, Lep, and Cep showed significant correlation with ESV (r = -0.590, r = 0.582, r = 0.813, respectively, P < 0.00001 for all) and with EF (r = 0.774; r = -0.855; r = -0.979, respectively, P < 0.00001 for all).

Group C shows a significant reduction in strain in all directions (P < 0.05 for all). In Figure 2, values of EDVi and CeP are plotted for CNT, groups A and B. Mean values are also shown. Progression of remodelling is underlined by the simultaneous dilatation and progressive reduction of strain. As previously demonstrated, in our population, function and volumes were found to be linked together (r = 0.666; P < 0.0001 for correlation between EDVi and CNT; Figure 2; r = -0.537; P < 0.001 for EDVi and ReP; r = 0.625; P < 0.0001 for EDVi and LeP).

**Volume–strain curves by 3D echocardiography**

The curves of circumferential ε for each patient are reported in Figure 3. Healthy subjects showed globally steep curves, indicating a good contraction during the ejection phase. Group A showed behaviour close to CNT with some curves overlapped. However, the curves were found to be less steep due to the simultaneous presence of subclinical dilatation (rightward shift of the curves) and global reduction of CeP (upward shift). This aspect became evident and unequivocal in group B who had severe myocardial dysfunction and dilatation. Quantitative data derived from the patients confirmed the visual assessment. The slope of the curves was significantly lower in group A than in CNT with a borderline value of significance in the longitudinal direction (P < 0.05 for ReSi and CeSi; P = 0.06 for LeSi). In group B, slopes were significantly lower for any direction of strain considered (P < 0.001 for ReSi, CeSi, and LeSi). Strain/volume ratios (slope and position of the curves) were significantly lower in both group A (P < 0.01 for Le/V, Re/V, and Ce/V) and group B (P < 0.0001 for Le/V, Re/V, and Ce/V) (Table 2).

**Table 2** Left ventricular volumes, function, strains and quantitative data from strain–volume curves

|                        | CNT          | EF > 50%     | P vs. CNT | EF < 40%       | P vs. CNT | EF > 50%       | P vs. CNT | ECC         | P vs. CNT |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| **Volumes**            |              |              |           |                |           |                |           |             |           |
| EDV (mL)               | 88.27 ± 22.43| 122.83 ± 34.06| 0.005     | 197.23 ± 73.06 | <0.001    | 80.13 ± 37.18  | 0.410     |             |           |
| ESV (mL)               | 32.49 ± 11.84| 55.15 ± 17.02| <0.001    | 158.81 ± 57.17 | <0.001    | 36.52 ± 18.69  | 0.757     |             |           |
| EF (%)                 | 63.82 ± 5.65 | 55.09 ± 5.00 | <0.001    | 19.40 ± 6.72  | <0.001    | 54.10 ± 10.19  | 0.008     |             |           |
| SV (mL)                | 55.78 ± 12.26| 67.68 ± 18.81| 0.057     | 38.43 ± 20.85  | 0.035     | 43.61 ± 21.74  | 0.136     |             |           |
| EDVi (mL/mq)           | 48.90 ± 10.86| 63.41 ± 16.47| 0.014     | 108.12 ± 43.37 | <0.001    | 44.14 ± 17.61  | 0.504     |             |           |
| ESVi (mL/mq)           | 17.84 ± 5.45 | 28.44 ± 8.06 | <0.001    | 87.54 ± 34.76  | <0.001    | 19.93 ± 8.58   | 0.681     |             |           |
| SVi (mL/mq)            | 31.05 ± 6.67 | 34.96 ± 9.38 | 0.458     | 20.53 ± 11.68  | 0.014     | 24.21 ± 11.22  | 0.064     |             |           |
| **Peak of strain**     |              |              |           |                |           |                |           |             |           |
| Radial ε/V (%)         | 30.51 ± 8.36 | 27.07 ± 9.12 | 0.486     | 4.37 ± 3.92    | <0.001    | 20.40 ± 8.75   | 0.018     |             |           |
| Circumferential ε/V (%)| -32.42 ± 3.34| -25.90 ± 4.77| <0.001    | -6.29 ± 3.17   | <0.001    | -27.15 ± 6.48  | 0.013     |             |           |
| Longitudinal ε/V (%)   | -17.74 ± 1.79| -15.46 ± 2.93| 0.027     | -6.33 ± 2.22   | <0.001    | -11.39 ± 4.88  | 0.002     |             |           |
| **Slope of curves**    |              |              |           |                |           |                |           |             |           |
| R ε/V                  | 0.56 ± 0.08  | 0.43 ± 0.18  | 0.036     | 0.16 ± 0.13    | <0.001    | 0.54 ± 0.24    | 0.877     |             |           |
| C ε/V                  | 0.61 ± 0.15  | 0.41 ± 0.10  | 0.001     | 0.19 ± 0.11    | <0.001    | 0.69 ± 0.41    | 0.959     |             |           |
| L ε/V                  | 0.30 ± 0.08  | 0.24 ± 0.06  | 0.063     | 0.19 ± 0.07    | 0.004     | 0.30 ± 0.16    | 0.471     |             |           |
| **Strain/volume ratio**|              |              |           |                |           |                |           |             |           |
| R ε/V                  | 0.65 ± 0.21  | 0.44 ± 0.17  | 0.018     | 0.05 ± 0.06    | <0.001    | 0.49 ± 0.21    | 0.209     |             |           |
| C ε/V                  | -0.70 ± 0.19 | -0.43 ± 0.12 | <0.001    | -0.08 ± 0.09   | <0.001    | -0.64 ± 0.30   | 0.123     |             |           |
| L ε/V                  | -0.35 ± 0.10 | -0.26 ± 0.07 | 0.018     | -0.07 ± 0.06   | <0.001    | -0.24 ± 0.10   | 0.013     |             |           |

EDV, end-diastolic volume; ESV, end-systolic volume; EF, ejection fraction; SV, stroke volume; ε, values indexed to the BSA; ε/P, peak of strain; ReSi, CeSi, LeSi, slope of the curves in radial, circumferential and longitudinal direction, respectively; Re/V, Ce/V, Le/V, strain/volume ratio in radial, circumferential, and longitudinal direction, respectively.
In group C, according to normal or reduced LV volumes, curves were largely overlapped with those of CNT; in the most restrictive case (AL amyloidosys), we found a markedly leftward shift with a reduced peak of strain. Due to the simultaneous and consensual reduction of the two parameters, the slope of the curves and strain/volume ratios were not significantly different from CNT (Table 2, \( P = \text{NS} \)).

The correlation between EDVi and CeSl for CNT, group A and group B are shown in Figure 4. A non-linear correlation was visible between the two variables with a highly significant coefficient (\( r = 0.891; P < 0.00001 \)). The correlation with the same quadratic model was significantly also for ReSi (\( r = 0.704; P < 0.0001 \)) and LeSi (\( r = 0.833; P < 0.0001 \)).

**Figure 2** End-diastolic volume (EDVi) and circumferential peak of strain \( (Ce\, p) \) with mean values (larger marker) within each group. Bar represents standard deviation. Progression of dilatation is coupled with reduction of strain (\( r = 0.666, P < 0.001 \)).

**Figure 3** Curve of each patient for Ce in normal subjects, ischemic patients with normal (group A), markedly reduced ejection fraction (group B), and hypertrophic/infiltrative cardiomyopathy (group C).

**Figure 4** Relation between and end-diastolic volume and slope of curves for circumferential \( e \) in CNT and ischaemic patients. Non-linear relation has been observed with a high coefficient value (\( r = 0.891, P < 0.0001 \)).
**Reproducibility**

Reproducibility of strain and volumes was performed in 12 randomly chosen subjects/patients by the Bland–Altman method across a broad range of values. Mean ± standard deviation of differences of strains between two measurements of the same operator were $-0.49 \pm 1.95\%$ for CcP, $2.53 \pm 4.86\%$ for ReP, and $-0.02 \pm 1.1\%$ for LeP. Variations of LV volumes were $0.62 \pm 12.04 \text{mL}$ for EDV and $0.1 \pm 9.52 \text{mL}$ for ESV.

Differences of strains between two independent operators were $-1.6 \pm 5.1\%$ for CcP, $2.9 \pm 6.9\%$ for ReP, and $-1.6 \pm 2.97\%$ for LeP. Variations of LV volumes were $3.57 \pm 7.48 \text{mL}$ for EDV and 4.8 $\pm 13.4 \text{mL}$ for ESV.

**Discussion**

The main findings of our study is that the simultaneous assessment of LV volumes and myocardial function can effectively describe the well-known process of remodelling and could represent a useful and robust approach to this issue.

Structural changes of volumes and shape induced by cardiac injury represent the final pathway of several molecular modifications and the basis of the HF syndrome.1–3,6,8 For the clinical purpose, LV remodelling assessment and its progression or regression represent nowadays the cornerstone of HF patient management.1,4,5 It was initially described as a consequence of post-ischaemic damage and particularly as a result myocardial infarction that promotes progressive LV dilatation and myocardial contractility alterations also in the remote myocardium initiating the vicious cycle of remodelling.2,6,8 Few years ago, the group of Ambrosio and co-authors7 firstly demonstrated that the loss of regional contractile function per se promotes LV dilatation and that restoration of contractility endorsed reverse remodelling process. Furthermore, regional function and dilatation were proportionally linked in a linear manner: the higher was the dysfunctional area, the larger was the ventricle.7 In our study, we have confirmed and extended the relationship described by these authors using a quantitative approach by 3D reconstruction of the LV volumes and myocardial contractility measurement by strain. The latter was previously validated as an effective measure of myocardial shortening/lengthening and after the initial experience with TDI-derived curves, its clinical value has been recently highlighted due to the development of speckle tracking-based analysis that allows an angle-independent evaluation.16,19 In our study, strain has been assessed with good reproducibility by 3D-echocardiography. Compared with standard two-dimensional methods, the 3D technique allows frame-by-frame quantification and simultaneous 3D volume reconstruction within the same cardiac cycle.22 Reproducibility of LV volumes assessment by 3D-echocardiography has been largely validated,10–15 and 3D strain has been very recently compared with tagging-CMR by Kleijn et al.24 obtaining similar values and variations.

To validate the hypothesis that a simultaneous evaluation of myocardial function and volumes could better describe LV remodelling, we plotted data of each patient in a Cartesian axis to normal myocardial function and volumes could better describe LV remodelling and its progression.2,6,8 For the purposes of this study, we included in our study a group of patients with hypertrophic/infiltrative cardiomyopathy. As known in this scenario, volumes are initially normal and then progressively decrease due to an increase in myocardial mass.26 Strain has been found reduced in these subjects despite a normal global EF.20,26,27 According to this observation, we detected a large overlap of the curves between control and group C with an evident leftward and downward shift of the curves only in patients with advanced cardiac amyloidosis. This lack of differences of group C from controls was confirmed by the slopes of the curves and was due to simultaneous changes of volumes and function. In this scenario, other parameters such as LV mass should be considered instead of the volume to discriminate pathological subjects from CNT. In spite of this limitation, the addition of volumes gives further information about pathophysiology of reduction in strain in two different conditions: in ischaemic patients, myocardial dysfunction is coupled with LV dilatation, while in hypertrophic/infiltrative patients it is accompanied by the reduction of volumes.

Our preliminary findings may have some new important implications concerning the use of 3D echocardiography in patients with CAD. Beyond the recommended acquisition of volumes and EF (3D mode), we can now automatically achieve the assessment of regional function by a quantitative analysis of wall motion.
abnormalities and our proposed indexes of strain–volume relation. If confirmed in larger studies, strain–volume analysis can be used to detect and grade the continuous changes of LV secondary to ischaemic injury and response to the therapy. Nevertheless, strain–volume relation can be proposed also for patients eligible for cardiac resynchronization therapy since the consequence of synchronism, when efficient, determines a simultaneous positive change of global strain (due to coordination of segments) and lower LV volumes. Three-dimensional strain simultaneously provides information about the most delayed wall, thus favouring both the site of implantation and device optimization.

Limitations

Some limitation should be acknowledged in this study. First, temporal resolution of the employed 3D acquisition for speckle tracking analysis is still low. Maximum frame-rate available at best is 19 fps and this can theoretically affect a good tracking of speckles compared with 2D methods. However, strain studies with 3D speckle tracking by our and other groups showed a good correlation with previous data or with other technique (i.e. CMR): in our opinion, these results with such low frame rate could be due to the fact that the 3D acquired speckles can be followed in every spatial plane partly overcoming the issue of frame rate. With the 2D technique, some speckles leave the plane of scanning (out-of-plane phenomenon) and cannot be followed for the tissue tracking.

Secondly, we decided to exclude the diastolic phase. The temporal resolution in fact limits the frames available for this analysis. Diastolic phase is a complex phase of the cardiac cycle and strain rate (not available with low temporal resolution) rather than strain should be used as known to characterized relaxation. In fact, some well-known event such post-systolic shortening and diastolic asynchrony determine multifaceted variation of volume–strain relation which can represent a future direction for research.

Thirdly, spatial resolution in 3D-mode acquisition can be affected by single versus multibeat acquisition. These aspects have been evaluated by other groups with discordant results. In atrial fibrillation, single beat acquisition may perform well with low LV volumes and EF variability. Nevertheless, Macron et al. stated that two-beat modality provides a better accuracy of both EF and LV volumes than single beat modality. At the best of our knowledge, there is no study that evaluated the impact of 3D acquisition in speckle tracking strain. In this context despite this potential source of error, one should remember that 3D speckle imaging with technology and algorithm employed in our study seems to perform well since it has been validated previously against CMR for both volumes and strain.

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that the combination of LV volume and myocardial contraction of the entire cardiac cycle in a volume–strain curve can be performed in humans by 3D echocardiography with good reproducibility. This approach can provide a more complete report of the pathophysiology of LV reaction to injuries as we verified by the different behaviour of the two principal expression of cardiac remodelling (eccentric and concentric) consistent with the different underlying pathophysiology. Changes of the curves are progressive and can be quantified by slope and strain/volume ratio. This behaviour designate, if confirmed in a larger population, a quantitative and comprehensive measure of LV remodelling.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

1. Cohn JN, Ferrari R, Sharpe N. Cardiac remodeling: concepts and clinical implications: a consensus paper from an international forum on cardiac remodeling. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:569–82.
2. Fedak PW, Verma S, Weisel RD, Li R. Cardiac remodeling and failure: from molecules to man (part I). Cardiovasc Pathol 2005;14:1–11.
3. Mann DL. Mechanisms and models in heart failure: a combinatorial approach. Circulation 1999;100:1009–1008.
4. Yu CM, Bleeker GB, Feng [W, Schali M], Zhang Q, van der Wall EE et al. Left ventricular reverse remodeling but not clinical improvement predicts long-term survival after cardiac resynchronisation therapy. Circulation 2005;112:1580–6.
5. Pieske B. Reverse remodeling in heart failure—fact or fiction? Eur Heart J Suppl 2004;6(Suppl. D):D66–78.
6. Reifler MA, Braunwald E. Ventricular remodeling after myocardial infarction: experimental observations and clinical implications. Circulation 1990;81:1161–72.
7. Carlucio E, Biagioli P, Alunni G, Murrone A, Giombolini C, Ragni T et al. Patients with hibernating myocardium show altered left ventricular volumes and shape, which revert after revascularization: evidence that dyssnergy might directly induce cardiac remodeling. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:969–77 [Epub 9 February 2006].
8. Mann DL. Basic mechanisms of left ventricular remodeling: the contribution of wall stress. J Card Fail 2004;10(suppl)S202–6.
9. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Pellikka PA et al. Chamber Quantification Writing Group; American Society of Echocardiography’s Guidelines and Standards Committee; European Association of Echocardiography. Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography’s Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography, a branch of the European Society of Cardiology. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2005;18:1440–63.
10. Nesser HJ, Mor-Avi V, Gorissen W, Weinert L, Steinger-Mascherbauer R, Niet J et al. Quantification of left ventricular volumes using three-dimensional echocardiographic speckle tracking: comparison with MRI. Eur Heart J 2009;30:1565–73.
11. Jacobs LD, Salgo IS, Goonenwardena S, Weinert L, Coon P, Bardo D et al. Rapid online quantification of left ventricular volume from real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic data. Eur Heart J 2006;27:460–8.
12. Caiari EG, Corci C, Zamorano J, Sugeng L, MacEneaney P, Weinert L et al. Improved semiautomated quantification of left ventricular volumes and ejection fraction using 3-dimensional echocardiography with a full matrix-array transducer: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2005;18:779–88.
13. Sugeng L, Mor-Avi V, Weinert L, Niet J, Ebner C, Steinger-Mascherbauer R et al. Quantitative assessment of left ventricular size and function: side-by-side comparison of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and computed tomography with magnetic resonance reference. Circulation 2006;114:654–61.
14. Kühl HP, Schreckenberg M, Rulands D, Katoh M, Schäfer W, Schummers G et al. High-resolution transthoracic real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: quantitation of cardiac volumes and function using semi-automatic border detection and comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:2083–90.
15. Jenkins C, Chan J, Hanekom L, Marwick TH. Accuracy and feasibility of online 3-dimensional echocardiography for measurement of left ventricular parameters. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2006;19:1119–28.
16. Urheim S, Edvardsen T, Torp H, Angelsen B, Smiseth OA. Myocardial strain by Doppler echocardiography. Validation of a new method to quantify regional myocardial function. Circulation 2000;102:1158–64.
17. Wedemann F, Jamal F, Sutherland GR, Claus P, Kowalski M, Hätle L et al. Myocardial function defined by strain rate and strain during alterations in inotropic states and heart rate. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2002;283:H1792–9.
18. Greenberg NL, Firstenberg MS, Castro PL, Main M, Travaglini A, Odabashian JA et al. Doppler-derived myocardial systolic strain rate is a strong index of left ventricular contractility. Circulation 2000;102:99–105.
19. Blessberger H, Binder T. NON-invasive imaging: two dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography: basic principles. Heart 2010;96:716–22.
20. Porciani MC, Lilli A, Perfetto F, Cappelli F, Massimiliano Rao C, Del Pace S et al. Tissue Doppler and strain imaging: a new tool for early detection of cardiac amyloidosis. Amyloid 2009;16:63–70.

21. Porciani MC, Lilli A, Macioce R, Cappelli F, Demarchi G, Pappone A et al. Utility of a new left ventricular asynchrony index as a predictor of reverse remodelling after cardiac resynchronization therapy. Eur Heart J 2006;27:1818–23.

22. Flu WJ, van Kuijk JP, Bax JJ, Gorcsan J 3rd, Poldermans D. Three-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography: a novel approach in the assessment of left ventricular volume and function? Eur Heart J 2009;30:2304–7.

23. Maffessanti F, Nesser HJ, Weinert L, Steringer-Mascherbauer R, Niel J, Gorissen W et al. Quantitative evaluation of regional left ventricular function using three-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography in patients with and without heart disease. Am J Cardiol 2009;104:1755–62.

24. Kleijn SA, Brouwer WP, Russel IK, Fathy M, Van Rossum AC, Kamp O. Circumferential myocardial strain assessment by three-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography: validation with magnetic resonance imaging tagging. Eur Heart J 2010;31(Abstract Suppl):1062–3.

25. Helm RH, Leclercq C, Faris OP, Ozturk C, McVeigh E, Lardo AC et al. Cardiac dyssynchrony analysis using circumferential versus longitudinal strain: implications for assessing cardiac resynchronization. Circulation 2005;111:2760–7.

26. Paulus WJ, Tschöpe C, Sanderson JE, Rusconi C, Flachskampf FA, Rademakers FE et al. How to diagnose diastolic heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction by the Heart Failure and Echocardiography Associations of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2007;28:2539–50.

27. Carluccio E, Biagioli P, Alunni G, Murrone A, Leonelli V, Pantano P et al. Advantages of deformation indices over systolic velocities in assessment of longitudinal systolic function in patients with heart failure and normal ejection fraction. Eur J Heart Fail 2011;13:292–302.

28. Klimusina J, De Boeck BW, Leenders GE,Paletra FF, Prinzen F, Averaimo M et al. Redistribution of left ventricular strain by cardiac resynchronization therapy in heart failure patients. Eur J Heart Fail 2011;13:186–94.

29. Thebault C, Donal E, Bernard A, Moreau O, Schnell F, Mabo P, Leclercq C. Real-time three-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography: a novel technique to quantify global left ventricular mechanical dyssynchrony. Eur J Echocardiogr 2011;12:26–32.

30. Tanaka H, Hara H, Saba S, Gorcsan J 3rd. Usefulness of three-dimensional speckle tracking strain to quantify dyssynchrony and the site of latest mechanical activation. Am J Cardiol 2010;105:235–42.

31. Shahgaldi K, Manouras A, Abrahamsson A, Gudmundsson P, Brodin LA, Winter R. Three-dimensional echocardiography using single-heartbeat modality decreases variability in measuring left ventricular volumes and function in comparison to four-beat technique in atrial fibrillation. Cardiovasc Ultrasound 2010;8:45.

32. Macorn L, Lim P, Bensaid A, Nahum J, Dussault C, Mitchell-Heggs L et al. Single-beat versus multibeat real-time 3D echocardiography for assessing left ventricular volumes and ejection fraction: a comparison study with cardiac magnetic resonance. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2010;3:450–5.