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Abstract— The aim of this work is to present an automated method, working in real time, for human activity recognition based on acceleration and first-person camera data. A Long-Short-Term-Memory (LSTM) model has been built for recognizing locomotive activities (i.e. walking, sitting, standing, going upstairs, going downstairs) from acceleration data, while a ResNet model is employed for the recognition of stationary activities (i.e. eating, reading, writing, watching TV working on PC). The outcomes of the two models are fused in order for the final decision, regarding the performed activity, to be made. For the training, testing and evaluation of the proposed models, a publicly available dataset and an “in-house” dataset are utilized. The overall accuracy of the proposed algorithmic pipeline reaches 87.8%.

I. INTRODUCTION

Human activity recognition has gained the interest of researchers due to its frequent use in applications related to surveillance, home health monitoring, computer-hum interaction etc. Activities of daily living (ADLs) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs) are the two main groups of human activities [1]. The complexity and variety of daily activities lead the researchers to explore different sources of data for activity recognition. Acceleration and visual observations are the most common source of information used for activity recognition [1, 2].

Wearable accelerometers are often used, either alone or in combination with additional sensors such as gyroscopes, to classify ADLs and recognize fall events [2]. Although they present high performance in classifying activities with high motion magnitude, their performance is not acceptable in the recognition of activities with low motion magnitude due to the similarity presented in acceleration signals [2-6].

Visual information is exploited in order for the abovementioned “weakness” to be overcome. In this case a sequence of images depicting the human body is processed in order for the body posture and/or motion information to be extracted. Furthermore, data provided by first-person cameras have been exploited recently [7-9]. The processing of video frames, through object-oriented methods, leads to the recognition of stationary activities strongly related to the detected objects [10-14]. Finally, the analysis of motion flow information allows the identification of activities based on optical flow features [2, 8].

The complementarity of the abovementioned approaches has been examined by Zhan et al. [2], Possas et al. [7] and Song et al. [8, 9]. Zhan et al. [2] introduced an automatic activity recognition system, integrating both accelerometers and a first-person view camera in three steps: (i) video and acceleration feature extraction, (ii) classification, and (iii) structure prediction. More specifically, time and frequency domain features were extracted from 3-axis accelerometer raw data, while motion features were extracted using the Lucas-Kanade optical flow method [15], which estimates the motion of objects across a series of consecutive image frames. For the classification a two-level approach is followed, the local and structured. The local classification exploits features directly extracted by raw sensor data, while the structured classification depends on the graph structure. The local classification provides a time independent prediction on the contrary to the structured classification that takes into account temporal dependencies.

In the work presented by Song et al. [8] temporal trajectory-like features are extracted from sensor data and the Fisher Kernel framework is applied to fuse video and temporal enhanced sensor features. They evaluated their approach on a Multimodal Egocentric Activity dataset which includes egocentric videos and sensor data of 20 fine-grained and diverse activity categories [9]. Later, Song et al. [9] developed a multi-stream Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) to learn the spatial and temporal features from egocentric videos and a multi-stream Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) architecture to learn the features from multiple sensor streams (accelerometer, gyroscope, etc.). The final prediction results were achieved through a two-level fusion technique and various pooling techniques.

Possas et al. [7] proposed a Reinforcement Learning framework that makes use of policy learning in order to...
balance two different activity predictors using data from motion and vision sensors. For predictions of sensor data, an LSTM network was employed, while for vision predictor a mix of CNNs and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), called Long-term Recurrent Convolutional Networks (LRCN), is used. The CNN acts as a feature extractor, while the LSTM captures the temporal structure of the data.

In the current study, an automated method for human activity recognition is proposed. The method provides inference on the performed activity by fusing information from an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) and a first-person camera. An LSTM and a ResNet model are developed for the recognition of locomotive and stationary activities, respectively. The models were trained on a publicly available dataset and were evaluated in a dataset recorded in real-settings by integrating both IMU and a first-person view camera on 3D printed glasses. The subjects were asked to simply follow their normal ADLs.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. The dataset

Two datasets are exploited. One publicly available dataset for the training and testing of the developed models and an “in-house” dataset for their validation. More specifically, the DataEgo publicly available dataset [7] contains a natural set of activities developed in a wide range of scenarios. Images from the camera are synchronized with readings from the accelerometer and gyroscope captured at 15fps and 15Hz, respectively. In total, the dataset contains approximately 4 hours of continuous activity, corresponding to 20 different activities. The recordings were performed in different conditions and by different subjects. The activities were captured using the Vuzix M300 Smart Glasses. The accelerometer and gyroscope were synchronized at 15Hz.

The dataset for the training of the high motion magnitude activities recognition model derives from the acquisition of data time series from the 9-axis IMU. More specifically, the acceleration and gyroscope vectors. Raw data are captured, while the subjects perform five daily physical activities such as “Downstairs”, “Running”, “Sitting”, “Upstairs”, “Walking”. Ten sets of “Running”, “Sitting” and “Walking” activities are performed. The duration of each activity is 2min. Furthermore, ten sets “Upstairs” and “Downstairs” are performed, where the duration of each activity is 1 min. The sample rate and the range for the 3-axis accelerometer are 50 Hz with and +/- 16 g, respectively, while the sample rate and the range for the 3-axis gyroscope are 50 Hz with and +/- 2000 dps. The total number of samples are 244,562 time series and the total duration of the sets are 1 hour and 20 minutes.

The dataset for the training of the low motion magnitude activities recognition model is the DataEgo. More specifically, only the videos from the indoor activities are utilized. This corresponds to a set of 6 activities such as “Reading”, “Writing”, “Working on PC”, “Eating”, “Watching TV”, and an “Unknown” (includes every other activity). In total, 42 videos are used. Each video includes 5 min of recording and it contains a sequence of 4-6 activities. The camera is synchronized at 15 fps and the video resolution is 640X360. The total number of frames and the total time are 31,260 and 3 hours and 50 minutes, respectively.

B. Hardware components

For the acquisition of the “in-house” dataset a 3D printed glasses frame, where a 9-axis IMU sensor (MetaMotion R) and a first-person camera (FPC) (Intel RealSense D435i) are mounted, is utilized. IMU and FPC communicate with an EDGE computing processing unit (LattePanda A864S) through a BLE and USB protocol, respectively. The processing unit is powered by a portable power bank of 30000 mAh in order for the whole hardware components to compose a wearable system.

C. The proposed methodology

The basic steps of the proposed methodology are depicted in Fig. 1: i) High motion magnitude activities recognition, and ii) Low motion magnitude activities recognition.

The IMU sensor is first activated providing input to the high motion magnitude activity model. In case “Sitting” or “Standing” activity is predicted, then the low motion magnitude activity model is activated and one of the activities of “Eating”, “Reading”, “Writing”, “Working on PC”, “Unknown” or “Watching TV” is recognized. If the outcome of the low motion magnitude activity model is “Unknown”, then the activity recognition process is controlled by the high motion magnitude activity model in order one of the activities of “Walking”, “Running”, “Upstairs”, “Sitting” or “Downstairs” to be detected.

Figure 1: Proposed activity recognition model.

High motion magnitude activities model

For high motion magnitude activities recognition an LSTM model is developed [16]. The LSTM architecture consists of 2 layers with 128 hidden units each. The activation function that has been used is the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) [16]. It
takes as input batches of time series with a batch size of 500. Every batch consists of 200 time points and 6 columns, where three columns correspond to the three axes of the accelerometer and the rest to the gyroscope. The number of epochs used for the training phase is 300. The model provides a vector with the probabilities of the classes as output. The LSTM model has been optimized using the Adam algorithm [17] with learning rate 0.0025.

**Low motion magnitude activities model**

For low motion magnitude activities recognition, a pre-trained ResNet50 model is used [18]. It is a CNN trained on a million images from the ImageNet database and it can classify images into 1000 object categories. The architecture of the ResNet50 includes convolution layers, max pooling layers and a fully connected layer. The ResNet50 consists of 48 convolution layers along with one MaxPool and one Average Pool layer. The pre-trained model involves 5 stages each one having a convolution and an identify block. Each convolution and each identify block has 3 convolution layers. The ResNet50 has over 23 million trainable parameters. The pre-trained model acts as a feature extractor for the images.

The ResNet50 as described above, without the fully connected layer, was given as input to a new model. The new model consists of 2 layers. The first layer contains 512 hidden units and the activation function is the ReLU, while the second layer consists of 6 units, each corresponding to a target class, with the softmax activation function [19]. Average Pooling [19] and Flattening methods [19] were utilized to transform the frames into an acceptable form for the first layer. Additionally, dropout was applied between the two hidden layers. A dropout rate of 0.5 was used, as a weight constraint on those layers. The new model receives a sequence of frames with a batch size of 32 and it outputs a vector with the probabilities of the classes. Only the layers of the new model were trained, while the layers of the pre-trained model were stacked. The number of epochs during the training phase was 50. The optimization algorithm that has been used is the Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) [19] with learning rate 0.0001.

Data augmentation has been performed to the training data (frames) with the Keras ImageDataGenerator class [20]. This class accepts a batch of images and it applies a series of random transformations to each image in the batch (rotation range, zoom range, width shift range, height shift range, shear range, horizontal flip). The augmentation has been performed to the training and not to the validation data. The rotation range was implemented with value 30, zoom range with value 0.15, width and height shift range with value 0.2 and shear range with value 0.15.

### III. RESULTS

The two models were trained and tested in the datasets described in Section II.A, while they were evaluated on a validation set recorded under real time conditions. For the training, 80% of the dataset is utilized, while the rest 20% is used for the testing.

More specifically, using the wearable system described in Section II.B, data time series corresponding to a set of 5 high motor intensity physical activities and a set of 6 low motor intensity indoor activities are recorded from 5 healthy volunteers. Each individual performed the above-mentioned set of activities in a 20 minutes session resulting in a total time recording of 1 hour and 40 minutes.

The accuracy of the proposed activity recognition model, in the validation dataset, is 87.8%. The confusion matrix is presented in Fig. 4. The accuracy of each model separately is 73.63% for the high motion magnitude activities recognition.
IV. DISCUSSION

The proposed activity recognition model fuses the outcomes of the high and low motion magnitude daily activities recognition models with an overall prediction accuracy 87.8% in real settings. The proposed algorithmic pipeline is validated utilizing a wearable system emulating the behavior of the See Far solution (https://www.see-far.eu/). The See Far solution includes smart glasses, based on augmented and machine learning technologies, providing functionalities for subjects with specific vision impairments based on the personalized profile of each subject. The proposed model is part of the See Far personalized profile.

Similar approaches have been presented in the literature by Zhan et al. [2], Possas et al. [7] and Song et al. [8, 9]. A comparison of the proposed approach with those works is presented in Table I in terms of the dataset utilized, the number of activities recognized and the accuracy achieved. The proposed pipeline exhibits better performance compared to Song et al. and Possas et al. work and similar performance to Zhan et al.

TABLE I. COMPARISON WITH THE LITERATURE.

| Authors            | Dataset               | No. of activities | Accuracy % |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Zhan et al. [2]    | In house dataset      | 12                | 90.38      |
| Song et al. [8]    | Multimodal Egocentric Activity dataset | 20 | 80.50 |
| Song et al. [9]    | Multimodal Egocentric Activity dataset | 20 | 83.70 |
| Possas et al. [7]  | DataEgo               | 20                | 84.84      |
| Proposed approach  | In house dataset      | 11                | 87.80      |

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, an algorithmic pipeline has been proposed for human activity recognition. The latter is part of the personalized profile of the See Far solution and specifically it is integrated into the See Far augmented reality smart glasses which provide, in real time, services and recommendations for subjects suffering from specific vision impairments. The developed models utilize data streams from an IMU sensor and image frames from an FPC and are based on an LSTM architecture and a refined CNN model. The performance of the model exhibits 87.8% accuracy and provides the inference in real time on an edge wearable processing unit. In the near future, we will investigate the robustness of the model in more challenging activities specifically in the workplace of persons with vision impairment without compromising the real time performance.
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