Clinical research of insulin glargine U300 in type 1 diabetes mellitus patients with frequent hypoglycaemia: real-world experience
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Abstract

Introduction: We aimed to see whether insulin glargine U300 can provide better blood glucose control while reducing hypoglycaemia in a more homogeneous population compared to previous studies.

Material and methods: The retrospective study included type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) patients with frequent hypoglycaemia. For evaluation of fasting blood glucose, haemoglobin glycated (HbA1c) and weight at 6 months and 12 months (final), observation windows of 120–240 days (4–8 months) and 240–480 days (9–16 months) after insulin glargine U300 initiation, respectively, were permitted. Mean follow-up time was 12 months. Hypoglycaemia was defined as blood glucose level < 70 mg/dl, either symptomatic or asymptomatic, measured in hospital or at home.

Results: Forty-four patients were included in the study, and 35 patients completed the study – 20 (57.1%) females and 15 (42.9%) males, with a mean age of 24.1 ±6.6 years. Mean body mass index was 24.4 ±7.4 kg/m². A significant decrease was not found between baseline and HbA1c values at 6 months (p = 0.199), but a significant decrease was found in the final period (between 9–16 months) (p = 0.025). Hypoglycaemic events occurred in all patients (100%) before using insulin glargine U300, while the incidence of hypoglycaemic events gradually decreased to 74.3%, 68.6%, and 68.6% between months 1–3, 3–6, and 6–9, respectively. Of the 26 patients who declared their level of satisfaction, 23 (88.5%) were satisfied, 2 (7.7%) indicated that there was no significant difference, and 1 (3.8%) patient was unsatisfied.

Conclusions: Over 9–16 months of follow-up, insulin glargine U300 led to a significant reduction not only of HbA1c levels but also of the frequency of hypoglycaemia, and also yielded high satisfaction rates.
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Introduction

Insulin therapy is the mainstay treatment for type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM). Due to the pharmacological properties of exogenous insulin therapy, patients frequently develop undesirable conditions such as hypoglycaemia and hyperglycaemia [1]. In a metaanalysis and review, it was stated that subcutaneous insulin infusion (SCII) therapy decreased se-
Insulin glargine U300 is a basal human insulin analogue produced using recombinant DNA (rDNA) technology utilizing a non-pathogenic laboratory strain of Escherichia coli (E. coli) as the production organism. This analogue is produced by the addition of 2 arginines to the B30 position in human insulin and the substitution of asparagine for glycine at the A21 position. Although this modification allows IGlar U100 to remain soluble in its acidic pH in the injector, this analogue becomes less soluble at physiological pH upon transition to subcutaneous tissue, resulting in the formation of microprecipitates, from which small amounts of insulin glargine are slowly released [4, 5].

The effectiveness and safety of IGlar U100 have been shown in numerous studies [6–8]. Nevertheless, its risk of nocturnal hypoglycaemia increases when titrated to high doses to reach a target fasting blood glucose (FBG) level [6].

The above mentioned factors have led researchers to search for a new generation of insulin that has a longer duration of action, increased stability, and leads to less hypoglycaemia. Insulin glargine 300 U/ml (IGlar U300) is a highly concentrated form of insulin glargine that delivers 300 U of insulin per 1 ml of solution (one-third of the volume) and has a similar metabolism to that of IGlar U100 [9]. Moreover, IGlar U300 provides more steady-state pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) profiles and a longer duration of action than IGlar U100, extending blood glucose control well beyond 24 h [10]. On the other hand, IGlar U300 has been shown to be as effective for glycemic control as IGlar U100 in patients with long-term T1DM and to have a lower risk of hypoglycaemia and weight gain than IGlar U100 [11, 12]. In a retrospective trial (SPARTA), IGlar U300 reduced the incidence of hypoglycaemic events while providing better blood glucose control [13]. These findings could not be demonstrated in EDITION 4, a randomized controlled trial [14].

In this study, we aimed to see whether IGlar U300 can provide better blood glucose control while reducing hypoglycaemia in a more homogeneous population compared to previous studies.

Material and methods

This retrospective study included patients who were diagnosed with T1DM at Karadeniz Technical University, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism between Jan 2017 and May 2019. The study protocol was approved by Ethics Committee (approval date: 28 January 2020; no. 24237859-206). The study was conducted in accordance with the principles laid out by the 18th World Medical Assembly (Helsinki, 1964) and all its subsequent amendments (up to 2013) and with the International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practice and local regulations, including local data protection regulations.

All forms of diabetes mediated by autoimmune β-cell destruction are included under the rubric of T1DM.

Inclusion criteria were as follows:
1) aged between 18–45 years,
2) a diagnosis of T1DM established within a minimum of 12 months,
3) antibody positivity and C-peptide level < 1 mg/dl,
4) history of daytime or nocturnal hypoglycaemia during the diabetes treatment.

Exclusion criteria were as follows:
1) patients with acute coronary syndrome, acute cerebrovascular event, pregnancy, chronic liver disease, cancer and patients receiving dialysis due to end-stage renal disease,
2) use of drugs such as steroids that could elevate blood glucose level,
3) history of alcohol or drug abuse,
4) a previous of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM),
5) non-compliant patients and those who did not take insulin regularly.

Treatment planning

Each patient was given a diabetic diet appropriate for their body mass index (BMI) by the dieticians in our hospital.

Target FBG level was defined as 80–130 mg/dl, and the target postprandial glucose (PPG) level was defined as < 180 mg/dl. The insulin regimen and doses administered by the patients throughout their treatment were recorded.

When switching from basal insulin regimen to IGlar U300, in patients who were switched to IGlar U300 due to the presence of hypoglycaemia, the total insulin dose was reduced by 20% and 50% of the total insulin dose was distributed to IGlar U300, and the remaining 50% was appropriately distributed to 3 main meals based on a nutritional status and previous blood glucose measurements of the patients.

In the morning, the dose of IGlar U300 was increased by 2–4 U if the FBG was > 130 mg/dl, and it was increased by 4–8 U if the FBG was > 180 mg/dl. However, it was reduced by 2–4 U if the FBG was < 70 mg/dl and was reduced by 4–8 U if the FBG was < 56 mg/dl.

Following the detection of PPG > 180 mg/dl, the meal after which hypoglycaemia occurred was
determined, and then the insulin dose before that meal was increased by 2–4 U after questioning the patient’s diet compliance.

Following the detection of PPG < 70 mg/dl, the meal after which hypoglycaemia occurred was determined, and then the insulin dose before that meal was decreased by 2–4 U after questioning the patient’s diet compliance.

Each patient was advised to perform 7-point self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) and to visit the outpatient clinic for a period of 5–7 days until reaching target glucose levels.

Data collection and recording

Clinical data of the patients were retrieved from electronic records. For each patient, data on FBG, HbA1c, and weight levels over 3-month periods were evaluated. For patients with more than 1 record of FBG level over a 3-month period, the average level was taken for the analysis. For evaluation of FBG, HbA1c, and weight levels at 6 months and 12 months, observation windows of 120–240 days (4–8 months) and 240–480 days (9–16 months) after U300 initiation, respectively, were permitted. Final measurement was defined as the patient’s last admission to the outpatient clinic between 9 and 16 months. Basal and bolus insulin doses were evaluated for each patient. Hypoglycaemia was defined as blood glucose level < 70 mg/dl, either symptomatic or asymptomatic, measured in hospital or at home. In order to measure the satisfaction of the patients with their new basal insulin, the question was asked whether they would like to quit IGLar U300 and return to the previous basal insulin. Patients were asked to answer “Yes = Satisfied”, “No = Unsatisfied”, or “No significant difference”. Hospitalization of patients due to ketoacidosis, blood glucose regulation, or severe hypoglycaemia episodes was recorded in the 12-month period before and after IG U300 transition.

Biochemical analysis

Fasting blood samples of all patients were taken from the antecubital vein after an overnight fasting period of at least 8 h. Biochemical parameters were studied from plasma samples. Plasma glucose levels were measured using the enzymatic reference method with hexokinase (Beckman Coulter AU5800), and plasma HbA1c levels were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and mass spectroscopy method (Premier HB9210). Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) was measured using enzymatic colorimetric assay (Beckman Coulter AU5800), and plasma creatinine was measured using the kinetic Jaffé method (Beckman Coulter AU5800). Urine protein level was measured by the protein error of indicator method (IQ 200/iChem velocity).

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS 23.0 for Windows (IBM Corp. Released 2015, Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Descriptives were expressed as frequencies (n) and percentages (%) for categorical variables and as mean, median, standard deviation (SD), minimum, and maximum for continuous variables. Normal distribution of data was assessed using the one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Independent continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test because they did not show a normal distribution. For dependent continuous variables, 2 variables were compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank test, and 3 or more variables were compared using the Friedman test because they did not show a normal distribution. Dependent categorical variables were compared using McNemar’s test. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

A total of 47 people were screened; 4 patients were excluded because of age > 45 years, and 8 patients were excluded due to the absence of HbA1c measurement at the 12th month (9–16 months). The study included 35 patients with a mean age of 24.1 ±6.6 years. The patients comprised 20 (57.1%) females and 15 (42.9%) males. Mean BMI was 24 ±3.8 kg/m2. Twelve (34.2%) patients were overweight, and 2 (5.7%) patients were obese. Mean follow-up time was 12 months. Participants had a mean baseline HbA1c of 9% (74.9 mmol/mol), weight of 66.3 kg, and BMI of 24 kg/m2. The mean time from diagnosis of diabetes to data collection was 10.5 years. Table I presents the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients.

At baseline, 100% of participants were on a basal-bolus insulin regimen. The most common basal insulin was U100 (77.8%) and insulin detemir (35%); insulin aspart (65.7%) and insulin lispro (22%) were the most commonly used rapid-acting insulins (Table II).

Accordingly, all the patients (100%) received a basal-bolus insulin regimen. IGLar U100 was the most common basal insulin, and insulin aspart was the most common bolus insulin (Table II). The mean (SD) total daily insulin dose (basal and prandial insulin combined) at baseline was 54.5 ±21.8 U/day; the ratio of mean basal and prandial insulin dose was 46 : 54 (basal insulin: 22 [10–50] U/day, prandial insulin: 26 [6–76] U/day) and at final total insulin was 55.1 ±23.6 units/day; the combination of mean basal and prandial insulin dose was 52 : 48 (basal insulin: 28 [6–54] U/day,
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Prandial insulin: 26 [5–64] U/day (Table III). Diabetes-related microvascular complication documented at baseline, retinopathy (14.3%) was the most common, followed by neuropathy (11.4%).

Table I. Baseline participant characteristics (n = 35)

| Variable                        | Value                  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Age [year] mean ± standard deviation | 24.1 ±6.6              |
| Sex, n (%):                     |                        |
| Male                            | 15 (42.9)              |
| Female                          | 20 (57.1)              |
| Ethnicity, n (%):               |                        |
| White                           | 35 (100)               |
| Weight [kg] mean ± standard deviation | 66.3 ±11.6             |
| BMI [kg/m²] mean ± standard deviation | 24.4 ±7.4              |
| Duration of diabetes [years]    | 10.5 ±5.96             |
| Hypertension, n (%):            |                        |
| Yes                             | 1 (2.9)                |
| No                              | 34 (97.1)              |
| Macrovascular complications, n (%): |                |
| Retinopathy                     | 5 (14.3)               |
| Neuropathy                      | 4 (11.4)               |
| Nephropathy                     | 2 (5.7)                |
| Fasting blood glucose [mg/dl]   | 191.2 ±114.3           |
| mean ± standard deviation       |                        |
| HbA₁c (%) [mmol/mol]            | 9 ±2                   |
| mean ± standard deviation       | [74.9 ±21.9]           |

BMI – body mass index, HbA₁c – glycated haemoglobin.

Efficacy

Change in HbA₁c and FBG

At month 6, FBG and HbA₁c levels were not decreased significantly when compared to baseline values (p = 0.678 and p = 0.199, respectively). At the final visit of the patient, both FBG and HbA₁c levels were decreased significantly when compared to baseline values (p < 0.043 and p < 0.025, respectively).

Change in weight

When compared baseline, no significant difference was found in month 6 and final body weight (p = 0.835 and p = 0.796, respectively).

Hypoglycaemia

Of the 35 patients, 100% were switched to IGlar U300 due to frequent hypoglycaemia. Before switching to IGlar U300, 7 (20%) patients experienced hypoglycaemia every day of the week (very frequent), 20 (57.1%) patients experienced hypoglycaemia every day of the week (very frequent), 20 (57.1%) patients more...

Table II. Pre-study treatment regimens

| Treatment                          | N (%)  |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Basal insulin + bolus insulin     | 35 (100)|
| IGlar U100                         | 28 (80) |
| Insulin Detemir                    | 7 (20)  |
| Insulin Aspart                     | 23 (65.7)|
| Insulin Lispro                     | 8 (22.2)|
| Insulin Gliulisine                 | 4 (11.1)|

Table III. Clinical and laboratory parameters before and after the use of IGlar U300

| Parameter                              | Baseline (n = 35) | Final† (n = 35) | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Systolic blood pressure (SBP) [mm Hg]  | 120 [90–150]      | 120 [90–150]    | 0.676*  |
| Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) [mm Hg] | 70 [60–90]        | 70 [50–90]      | 0.394*  |
| Total dose of basal insulin [U]        | 22 [10–50]        | 28 [6–54]       | 0.158*  |
| IGlar U100 – IGlar U300 dose [U]       | 26.1 ±9.6         | 27.9 ±9.7       | 0.203** |
| I Detemir dose – IGlar U300 dose [U]   | 16 [10–50]        | 14 [8–54]       | 1.000*  |
| IGlar U300 dose [U]                    | 24 [8–50]         | 28 [6–54]       | 0.144*  |
| Total dose of bolus insulin [U]        | 26 [6–76]         | 26 [5–64]       | 0.255*  |
| Ratio of total dose of injected insulin to weight [unit/kg/day] | 0.8 ±0.3          | 0.8 ±0.3        | 0.713** |
| Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (N: 0–45 U/l) | 12 [3–56]        | 10 [3–100]      | 0.910*  |
| Low-density lipoprotein (N: < 160 mg/dl) | 99 [44–190]    | 99.5 [42–190]   | 0.483*  |
| Creatinine (N: 0.67–1.17 mg/dl)        | 0.6 [0.4–1.6]     | 0.6 [0.4–1.3]   | 0.680*  |
| Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) [ml/min] | 130 [54–148]  | 131 [69–152]    | 0.210*  |
| Proteinuria (N: < 50 mg/dl)            | 0 [0–100]         | 0 [0–50]        | 0.907*  |
| Hospitalization (n)                    | 14                | 9               |         |
| Ketosis                                | 3                 | 1               |         |

*Wilcoxon signed-rank test, **Paired t-test. †Final measurement was defined as the patient’s last admission to the outpatient clinic between 9 and 16 months.
than 3 times a week, 5 (14.3%) patients once or twice a week, and 3 (8.6%) patients once or twice a month. After switching to the IGlar U300, between 3 and 6 months, 17 (48.6%) patients experienced hypoglycaemia more than 3 times a week, 1 (2.9) patient once or twice a week, and 6 (17.1) patients once or twice a month (Figure 1). While there were no patients with frequent hypoglycaemia, 11 (31.4) patients no longer experienced hypoglycaemia.

Ketosis and hospitalization

Fourteen patients (11 patients due to blood glucose regulation or severe episodes of hypoglycaemia) were hospitalized in the 12-month period before IGlar U300 transition and 9 patients (8 patients due to blood glucose regulation or severe episodes of hypoglycaemia) in the 12-month period after transition.

Satisfaction

Of the 26 patients who declared their satisfaction, 23 (88.5%) were satisfied, 2 (7.7%) indicated that there was no significant difference, and 1 (3.8%) patient was unsatisfied (Figure 2).

Discussion

The patients included in the study were fed up with treatment and in constant fear of hypoglycaemia due to frequent episodes, and they constituted a difficult patient group whose blood glucose control could not be controlled. These patients were initiated on IGlar U300 with high hopes, and it was explained to them that the treatment could lead to a significant reduction in the frequency of hypoglycaemia. There were few cases of documented, severe blood glucose regulation problems, severe episodes of hypoglycaemia, and diabetic ketoacidosis requiring hospitalization before and after U300 treatment was initiated.

The absence of a control group in our study does not reduce the importance of our study. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, routine polyclinic operation continued after the patients were switched to IGlar U300 for blood glucose regulation, no privileges were provided to the patients, and the necessity of appointment for follow-up continued. For this reason, HbA1c values of all patients could not be examined periodically (in the 9th month, 12th month, 15th month) in the follow-up period, and the values examined in a wide time interval, such as 9 to 16 months, were taken as the final values of the patients. However, because they did not perform periodic visits, we questioned them about whether they had taken insulin regularly and followed their diet, and we recorded their responses at each visit.

Although the final IGlar U300 dosage was higher than the baseline basal insulin dosage in all patients, no significant difference was established ($p = 0.144$). Similarly, no significant difference was found between baseline and final total bolus insulin dosages ($p = 0.255$) and between baseline and the final ratio of the total dose of injected insulin to weight (U/kg/day) ($p = 0.713$). Taken together, these findings indicate that although a minimal increase occurred in the insulin dosages of the patients, a reduction occurred in the frequency of hypoglycaemia. Previous randomized open-label studies reported that although switching to IGlar U300 led to a significant reduction in the frequency of hypoglycaemia, it provided suboptimal blood glucose control [11, 12]. In the Edition 4 study, which included 274 patients randomized to Gla-300 and 275 to Gla-100, and a patient follow-up of 6 months, the change in HbA1c was equivalent in the 2 treatment groups (difference 0.04%, 95% CI: –0.10 to 0.19 (0.4 mmol/mol, –1.1 to 2.1),

| Figure 1. Hypoglycemia prevalence distribution after transition to IGlar U300 |
| Baseline | 1–3 month | 3–6 month | 6–9 month |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Very frequent | 8.6% | 14.3% | 31.4% | 31.4% |
| Once or twice a week | 57.1% | 25.7% | 17.1% | 17.1% |
| Once or twice a month | 20.0% | 45.7% | 48.6% | 42.9% |
| Absent hypoglycemia | 5.6% | 7.1% | 2.8% | 2.9% |

| Figure 2. Satisfaction rates regarding the use of IGlar U300 |
| Satisfied | 88.5% |
| Unsatisfied | 7.7% |
| No significant difference | 3.8% |
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and Gla-300 was thus deemed noninferior. In the SPARTA study, 298 T1DM patients over a 6-month period, in a similar way to our study, reported that the HbA1c levels decreased significantly (−4 mmol/mol−0.4%; \(p < 0.001; n = 188\)) and the incidence of reported hypoglycaemia decreased, although no significant difference was noted in the insulin doses [13]. In our study, an evaluation of the FBG and HbA1c values in 35 patients followed up over a 9–16-month period, showed a significant reduction in final FBG and HbA1c, values (\(p = 0.043\) and \(p = 0.025\), respectively).

When our study, the SPARTA study, and Edition 4 are compared, the difference in study populations draws attention: patient ages were, respectively, 24.4 (7.4) years vs. 42.1 (14) years vs. 46.4 (13.9), duration of diabetes was 10 (6) years vs. 20.3 (12.9) years vs. 20.5 (12.7) years, and BMI was 24.3 (8.8) kg/m² vs. 28.3 (6.7) kg/m² vs. 27.6 (5.5) kg/m². In our study, unlike the other 2 studies, younger and lower weight patients with short-term diabetes were included. Because patients under the age of 45 years were included in our study, it was observed that the patients were more homogeneously distributed. In the SPARTA study, the most common reason for switching to IGlar U300 was the low efficacy of previous basal insulin (157/298 (53%)). In addition, in the SPARTA study, before the transition of IGlar U300, 55% of the patients were using IGlar U100. In our study and the Edition 4 study, respectively, 80% and 85% IGlar U100 were used before switching to U300. In our study, unlike the SPARTA study, in the 6th month no significant decrease was found in FBG and HbA1c (Table IV). In the SPARTA study, it could be that there was a significant decrease in FPG and HbA1c in the majority of patients included in the study due to the ineffectiveness of old basal insulin, and the use of basal insulins other than IGlar U100 was relatively high. In the SPARTA study, 19% of patients switched to IGlar U300 due to the risk of hypoglycaemia. Although all the patients included in our study have a risk of hypoglycaemia, administration of IGlar U300 provided better blood glucose regulation over 9-16 months period. Although there was a numerical decrease in FBG and HbA1c in the 6th month, it is interesting that this decrease, which was not statistically significant, became meaningful in the follow-up period. This could be attributed to the possibility that patients might have reduced or skipped their insulin doses due to the fear of hypoglycaemia after noticing their relatively low blood glucose levels, which were measured before switching to IGlar U300 (70–100 mg/dl) and the reduction in the frequency of hypoglycemia in patients may have enabled us to set a lower blood glucose target while titrating basal insulin.

A total of 26 patients were asked if they were satisfied with their new basal insulin or would like to return to their old basal insulins and the majority of them (88.5%) indicated that they were satisfied with IGlar U300 and did not want to return to basal insulins. This finding alone is highly important due to the fact that living without fear of hypoglycaemia will lead to a significant improvement in patients’ quality of life.

Although there are several randomized studies indicating a reduction in body weight, this effect remains unelucidated, and it could be associated with the lower peak-to-trough ratio of IGlar U300 [11]. In our study, no significant difference was found between baseline and 6-month and final body weight values, which could be attributed to

---

**Table IV. Comparison of baseline and final body weight, FBG, and HbA1c values (\(n = 35\))**

| Parameter                        | \(N\) | Means          | \(P\)-value** |
|----------------------------------|------|----------------|---------------|
| Fasting blood glucose [mg/dl]    |      |                |               |
| Baseline – 6 month\(^*\)         | 35   | 191.2 ±114.3   | 180.8 ±97.9   | 0.678         |
| Baseline – Final\(^*\)           | 35   | 191.2 ±114.3   | 149.5 ±62.4   | 0.043         |
| 6 month – Final\(^*\)           | 35   | 180.8 ±97.9    | 149.5 ±62.4   | 0.054         |
| HbA1c (%) [mmol/mol]             |      |                |               |
| Baseline – 6 month\(^*\)         | 35   | 9 ±2 [74.9 ±21.9] | 8.9 ±2 [73.8 ±21.9] | 0.199       |
| Baseline – Final\(^*\)           | 35   | 9 ±2 [74.9 ±21.9] | 8.7 ±1.6 [71.6 ±17.5] | 0.025       |
| 6 month – Final\(^*\)           | 35   | 8.9 ±2 [73.8 ±21.9] | 8.7 ±1.6 [71.6 ±17.5] | 0.179       |
| Weight [kg]                      |      |                |               |
| Baseline – 6 month\(^*\)         | 35   | 66.3 ±11.6     | 66.4 ±12.1    | 0.835         |
| Baseline – Final\(^*\)           | 35   | 66.3 ±11.6     | 66.5 ±12.8    | 0.796         |
| 6 month – Final\(^*\)           | 35   | 66.4 ±12.1     | 66.5 ±12.8    | 0.811         |

\(^*\)Paired t-test. \(^\dagger\)6-month measurement was defined as the patient’s admission to the outpatient clinic between 4 and 8 months. \(^\ddagger\)Final measurement was defined as the patient’s last admission to the outpatient clinic between 9 and 16 months.
the use of similar numbers of insulin units and to the use of IGlar U100 as the basal insulin, which has similar molecular characteristics to those of IGlar U300.

Our study was limited in several ways. First, it had a retrospective design. Second, not all the patients completed the 18-month follow-up period, and thus the measurements obtained during their last clinical visit were accepted as final measurements. Finally, the frequency of hypoglycaemia was calculated based on the episodes of hypoglycaemia reported by the patients and was not calculated by using SCII, the high costs of which are not covered by insurance programs.

In conclusion, the present study was conducted among a challenging patient group of 35 T1DM patients who received IGlar U300. In this challenging patient group, IGlar U300 led to a significant reduction not only of HbA1c levels but also of the frequency of hypoglycaemia, and it also yielded high satisfaction rates.
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