The isothiocyanate sulforaphane is a promising molecule for development as a therapeutic agent for patients with metastatic prostate cancer. Sulforaphane induces apoptosis in advanced prostate cancer cells, slows disease progression in vivo and is well tolerated at pharmacological doses. However, the underlying mechanism(s) responsible for cancer suppression remain to be fully elucidated. In this investigation we demonstrate that sulforaphane induces posttranslational modification of histone methyltransferase SUV39H1 in metastatic, androgen receptor-negative PC3 prostate cancer cells. Sulforaphane stimulates ubiquitination and acetylation of SUV39H1 within a C-terminal nuclear localization signal peptide motif and coincides with its dissociation from chromatin and a decrease in global trimethyl-histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9me3) levels. Exogenous SUV39H1 expression leads to an increase in H3K9me3 and decreases sulforaphane-induced apoptotic signaling. SUV39H1 is thus identified as a novel mediator of sulforaphane cytotoxicity in PC3 cells. Our results also suggest SUV39H1 dynamics as a new therapeutic target in advanced prostate cancers.

INTRODUCTION
Prostate cancer is one of the most commonly diagnosed cancers in the United States, the incidence of which is expected to increase as the population ages. Several treatment strategies have been developed for prostate cancer therapy, including surgical removal of the prostate, radiation therapy, hormone or androgen deprivation therapy and chemotherapy. A majority of cases initially respond to frontline treatments; however, despite best efforts, resistant clones arise to resume growth and seed distal sites with metastatic tumors. Once this occurs, survival rates decrease dramatically and treatment options are limited.

Sulforaphane (1-isothiocyanato-4-methylsulfinylbutane) is an isothiocyanate derived from cruciferous vegetables that is known to possess cancer-suppressive activity. The compound is well tolerated and is cytotoxic specifically toward transformed cells, inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Although differences in sensitivity between cell lines do exist, the tissue of origin or genetic profile does not appear to be determinant: sulforaphane is cytotoxic to many human cancer cell lines in vitro, including prostate, breast, ovarian, colon and pancreatic cancers, and can suppress cancer progression in genetic models of colon and prostate carcinoma. Sulforaphane has been shown to (i) induce cell death in metastatic prostate cancer cell lines while sparing primary prostate epithelial cells, (ii) decrease metastases in a genetically engineered mouse model of prostate cancer and (iii) is not associated with adverse effects when administered at pharmacological doses in rodents. These observations make sulforaphane a compound of interest for development as a prostate cancer therapeutic agent.

Several investigations have characterized broad alterations in the epigenome in prostate cancer patients and suggested that epigenetic profile and expression levels of chromatin-modifying enzymes (CMEs) have some prognostic value. Progressive dysregulation of the epigenome as cells adopt a malignant phenotype is now recognized as an active contributor to transformation that works in tandem with genetic alterations to allow cancer progression. As epigenetic state is reversible, targeting the epigenome is an attractive therapeutic strategy. Indeed, a number of small molecule inhibitors targeting CMEs are approved or are in clinical and preclinical trials as chemotherapeutic agents. Recent investigations characterizing sulforaphane-induced changes in the level and activity of CMEs has led to the hypothesis that modulation of these enzymes contributes to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in prostate cancer cells. Sulforaphane leads to a decrease in global histone deacetylase (HDAC) activity in prostate cancer cells through depletion of specific HDAC isoforms. Sulforaphane has also been shown to decrease DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) levels in prostate cancer cells. Little is known concerning the effects of sulforaphane on histone methylation—only one investigation has characterized an influence on histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (Balasubramanian et al.13)—despite the fact that DNMT, HDAC and histone methyltransferases (HMT) and demethylases (HDM) physically interact and work cooperatively in larger protein complexes to maintain or alter chromatin structure. Furthermore, any postulated heterochromatin-dependent contribution of HDAC or DNMT depletion is likely secondary to changes in histone methylation, as DNMT functions downstream of HMTs and HDAC
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enzymes lack protein domains that can independently recognize chromatin. This led us to investigate whether changes in global histone methylation accompany changes in CME protein level and activity in sulforaphane-treated prostate cancer cells. As HDAC and DNMT enzymes facilitate heterochromatin formation and stabilization, and sulforaphane depletes these proteins in prostate cancer cells, we hypothesized a decrease in histone methyl marks associated with heterochromatin in sulforaphane-treated prostate cancer cells.

Histone methylation is more complex than the chromatin marks controlled by HDAC (acetylation) and DNMT (DNA methylation) enzymes. As opposed to existing in one of two states, each with a characteristic association with chromatin structure, the outcome of histone methylation is residue- and mark-specific (see Martin and Zhang and references therein for summary). We focused our investigation on the archetypal heterochromatin mark trimethyl-histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9me3) and conducted our mechanistic investigation in PC3 cells, a culture model of metastatic, aggressive, androgen receptor-negative prostate cancer. PC3 cells are an advantageous prostate cancer cell line to test our hypothesis, because sulforaphane depletes HDAC and DNMT enzymes in these cells and the H3K9me3 mark is controlled by one HMT, SUV39H1, with no functional redundancy. Here we present evidence supporting a model where a decrease in H3K9me3 mediated by posttranslational regulation of SUV39H1 enhances apoptotic signaling in PC3 cells in response to sulforaphane, suggesting indirect inhibition or destabilization of SUV39H1 as a potential treatment strategy.

RESULTS

Sulforaphane decreases global trimethyl H3K9 levels
Sulforaphane treatment leads to a global decrease in H3K9me3 in PC3 cells (Figure 1). The decrease was detectable as early as 6 h post treatment and remained at a depressed level through 12 h. At 24 h, H3K9me3 returned to control levels (not shown). An assessment of H3K9me3 level in sulforaphane-treated LNCaP and DU145 metastatic prostate cancer cell lines showed no global response (not shown).

Sulforaphane has previously been shown to induce G2/M arrest in PC3 cells, and H3K9me3 levels have recently been found to fluctuate with the cell cycle, increasing at the centromere through metaphase before reaching a peak and declining through anaphase. The global decrease in H3K9me3 we observed following sulforaphane treatment suggests that large blocks of chromatin, possibly megabases in length, are undergoing H3K9me3 depletion, which would be consistent with alterations in centromeric H3K9me3 levels. This raises the possibility that a global decrease in H3K9me3 in sulforaphane-treated cells is an artifact of cell cycle arrest and not depletion of heterochromatic CMEs per se. Cyclin B1 protein level was therefore analyzed to assess the possibility of a cell cycle effect. An analysis of cyclin B1 protein level (Supplementary Figure 1), known to accumulate in metaphase-arrested PC3 cells, showed no difference between treatment groups within our treatment period of interest, suggesting the decrease in H3K9me3 is independent of cell cycle and not a consequence of arrest. Visualization of 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-stained sulforaphane-treated nuclei also indicated arrest before metaphase (not shown).

Figure 1. (a) Sulforaphane causes a global decrease in H3K9-trimethylation in PC3 cells. H3K9me3 was decreased relative to global histone H3 at 6 and 12 h post treatment ($P < 0.01$ by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post test, mean+s.e.m. for three independent experiments). (b) Representative blot from one of three independent experiments at 12 h post treatment. (c) SUV39H1 is increased at 6 h post treatment ($P < 0.05$ by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post test, mean+s.e.m. for three independent experiments). The early increase in SUV39H1 was not maintained, with the protein returning to control level by 12 h. (d) Representative blots from one of three independent experiments at 12 h post treatment. β-Actin was probed as a loading control. SFN, sulforaphane.
Sulforaphane does not affect the protein level of H3K9 methyl modifiers. H3K9 methylation is controlled by multiple HMTs and HDMs, with several enzymes capable of catalyzing specific modifications. A decrease in global H3K9me3 levels could be caused by a decrease in HMT activity, an increase in HDM activity, or some combination of the two. SUV39H methyltransferase proteins (specifically isoform 1) control H3K9me3 at pericentromeric and centromeric chromatin domains, suggesting depletion or inactivation of SUV39H1 could account for the global decrease in H3K9me3 we observed by western blotting. Furthermore, targeted knockdown of SUV39H1 by small interfering RNA in PC3 cells leads to a global decrease in H3K9me3 and has a minimal effect on global gene expression, suggesting SUV39H1 is solely responsible for H3K9 trimethylation with little or no functional redundancy and that SUV39H1-specific effects are independent of gene promoter regulation. We observed a transient increase in SUV39H1 in sulforaphane-treated cells and no change in SUV39H2 protein level over the treatment period (Figure 1).

HDM enzymes are a relatively recent discovery and less is known about their role in genome maintenance; we nevertheless assessed the protein level of several HDMs known to have activity toward H3K9 (Kondo31). No significant increase in the level of HDM LSD1 (KDM1A), JMJD1A (KDM3A) or JMJD2C (KDM4C) was maintained over the 12-h treatment period (Figure 2).

No sustained change in the protein level of the enzymes that control H3K9 methylation suggests regulation through a post-translational mechanism. We focused our investigation on SUV39H1, as it can influence global H3K9me3 and is known to physically interact with HDAC and DNMT enzymes. We hypothesized an increase in posttranslational modifications associated with decreased SUV39H1 activity or stability in response to sulforaphane.

Sulforaphane leads to SUV39H1 posttranslational modification. SUV39H1 is known to be regulated posttranslationally: the protein is subject to phosphorylation, acetylation, ubiquitination and methylation, all of which have been associated with changes in localization or decreased stability and activity. Discrete lysine residues on SUV39H1 have been characterized as subject to posttranslational modification. Acetylation of lysine 266 has been shown to inhibit catalytic activity and ubiquitination of lysine 87 has been shown to facilitate degradation. We used liquid chromatography-coupled tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) to assess sulforaphane-induced posttranslational modifications of endogenous SUV39H1 protein in PC3 cells (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 2). We identified ubiquitinated (ub-K393-SUV39H1) and acetylated SUV39H1 (ac-K394-SUV39H1) only in sulforaphane-treated cells on an overlapping C-terminal peptide (379-MDSNFGLAGLPGSPKKRVR-397). Ubiquitinated SUV39H1 (ub-SUV39H1) was confirmed by immunoprecipitation (Figure 4).

Increased ub-SUV39H1 occurred despite a global decrease in ubiquitinated proteins. Sirtuin 1 (SirT1) is reportedly controlled by SUV39H1 ubiquitination and acetylation, suggesting SUV39H1 modification may be the result of sulforaphane-induced changes in the protein level or activity of SirT1. Sulforaphane has been found to influence the protein level of some Sirtuins in colon cancer cells. We did not observe a hypothesized decrease in SirT1 protein level that would explain SUV39H1 destabilization or inhibition in sulforaphane-treated PC3 cells (Figure 5). A test of direct inhibition of SirT1 catalytic activity by the intracellular metabolites of sulforaphane at relevant intracellular concentration also revealed no activity as a direct inhibitor (Figure 5). These data suggest SirT1-independent posttranslational control of SUV39H1 protein.

Sulforaphane leads to a decrease in chromatin-associated SUV39H1. The effects of lysine 393 or 394 modification are not known, but these residues fall within a predicted nuclear localization signal peptide motif (391-SPKKRVRE-399) (predicted by three independent motif recognition tools; Supplementary Figure 3). This led us to hypothesize that modification of these residues would be associated with a change in SUV39H1 localization. Visualization by immunofluorescence revealed discrete nuclear foci characteristic of chromatin-associated SUV39H1. A separate pool of mobile SUV39H1 was also identified in the perinuclear cytoplasmic region and spread diffusely within the nucleus (Figure 6c). Quantification...
by western blotting showed an increase in cytoplasmic (or mobile) SUV39H1 and a decrease in nuclear (or immobile, histone associated) SUV39H1 in sulforaphane-treated cells (Figure 6).

Exogenous expression of SUV39H1 decreases sulforaphane-induced apoptotic signaling

SUV39H1 modification, altered localization and decreased global H3K9me3 levels in sulforaphane-treated PC3 cells suggests that SUV39H1 and/or H3K9me3 depletion may have a role in sulforaphane-induced cytotoxicity. To test whether SUV39H1 or global H3K9me3 contribute to sulforaphane-triggered apoptosis in PC3 cells, we overexpressed SUV39H1 by transient transfection to increase H3K9me3, then treated with sulforaphane and monitored cleaved poly-ADP ribose polymerase—a terminal cleavage event in apoptotic signaling—to assess changes in cytotoxicity (Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure 4). Exogenous
SUV39H1 expression (SUV) increased global H3K9me3 levels relative to control (GFP (green fluorescent protein)) and sulforaphane did stimulate apoptotic signaling as measured by cleaved poly-ADP ribose polymerase. We noted a decrease in cleaved poly-ADP ribose polymerase in SUV39H1-overexpressing cells in response to sulforaphane relative to GFP-control, suggesting SUV39H1/H3K9me3 is protective against sulforaphane-induced cytotoxicity and that a global decrease in H3K9me3 contributes to cell death in PC3 cells.

**DISCUSSION**

In this investigation we characterized a novel response to the natural compound sulforaphane in PC3 prostate cancer cells.
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Figure 7. SUV39H1 decreases cleaved poly-ADP ribose polymerase (cPARP) in sulforaphane-treated PC3 cells. PC3 cells were transfected with GFP (control) or SUV39H1 (SUV) expression vector and allowed to recover for 36 h. Cells were incubated with sulforaphane for 24 h before collecting whole-cell lysates. H3K9me3 is increased in SUV39H1-transfected PC3 cells (SUV: dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), SUV:SFN) relative to GFP control (GFP:DMSO, GFP:SFN) (normalized to histone H3), although no increase in total SUV39H1 protein was noted (normalized to β-actin). Sulforaphane treatment significantly increased apoptotic signaling as assessed by cPARP in GFP- and SUV39H1-overexpressed cells. SUV39H1 overexpression significantly decreased cPARP protein levels, indicating decreased apoptotic signaling (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 by one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni post test for each protein, mean±s.e.m. for two independent experiments; blot from representative experiment pictured).

involved in the histone modifier SUV39H1. We present evidence supporting a model where sulforaphane-induced posttranslational modification of SUV39H1 decreases the chromatin-associated cellular fraction, leading to a decrease in H3K9me3 (Figures 1, 3, 4 and 6). We go on to show that SUV39H1/H3K9me3 decreases apoptotic signaling in PC3 cells (Figure 7), suggesting the changes in SUV39H1 dynamics in sulforaphane-treated PC3 cells contribute to cytotoxicity. Taken together, these results suggest that posttranslational modification of SUV39H1 may be a promising therapeutic strategy in the treatment of advanced prostate cancer.

Perturbations in SUV39H1 protein level in normal cells is known to increase cancer risk: engineered mice that are SUV39H-null show an increased susceptibility to cancer44 and mice that overexpress SUV39H1 show defects in cell differentiation and proliferation that can result in chronic myeloid leukemia.45 SUV39H1 can also influence metastatic potential in transformed cells: a potentially chromatin-independent role for SUV39H1 in facilitating cell motility and invasion has recently been characterized in hepatocellular carcinoma cells.46 SUV39H1 knockdown specifically in PC3 cells also supports a gene expression-independent role in cell proliferation.47 Chemotherapeutic strategies pursuing direct SUV39H1 inhibition are being investigated, although the in vivo activity of the leading candidate, chaetoxin, is debatable.47-50 Our data suggests an alternative strategy for targeting SUV39H1 involving indirect destabilization or modulation of the posttranslational modifiers that regulate SUV39H1, several of which have been characterized.34,35 Small molecule modulators are currently being tested that target such proteins (for example, MDM2 inhibitors51), yet the contribution, if any, of SUV39H1-modification to the activity of these molecules is not known. Assessing this possible contribution will be important to gain a complete understanding of how and why such molecules are effective agents.

Although sulforaphane is known to induce cellular stress and general protein turnover through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway52 and induction of the autophagic pathway,53 the data presented here suggests that sulforaphane leads to directed SUV39H1 modification in PC3 cells. We observed an increase in ubiquitinated SUV39H1, despite a global decrease in ubiquitinated proteins in sulforaphane-treated cells (Figure 4), suggesting controlled regulation as opposed to general turnover. We also noted no significant increase in SUV39H1 protein level in SUV39H1-overexpressing PC3 cells, despite a global increase in H3K9me3 (Figure 7). The finding that global H3K9me3 levels are not affected in sulforaphane-treated LNCAp and DU145 cells also suggests directed regulation in response to sulforaphane in PC3 cells. Further work will be needed to identify the factors accounting for the differential response between cell lines and will be important in developing a genetic signature of the subset of advanced prostate cancers that would be susceptible to exploiting modulation of SUV39H1 dynamics to enhance apoptotic signaling.

An increase in global H3K9me3 levels in SUV39H1-transfected cells with no corresponding increase in SUV39H1 protein level (Figure 7) suggests SUV39H1 protein level is tightly regulated in PC3 cells through posttranslational control, and that SUV39H1 dynamics are what determine global H3K9me3. Taken together with the shift in SUV39H1 pools from chromatin associated to mobile in sulforaphane-treated cells (Figure 6), these data suggest a model where SUV39H1 rate-of-renewal at heterochromatic sites is the parameter affected by sulforaphane-induced modification. The increase in cytoplasmic (or mobile) SUV39H1 should therefore be interpreted as a delayed renewal time at chromatin, which we propose to be a consequence of modification of a conserved nuclear localization signal. An alternative hypothesis could propose decreased enzymatic activity through interference with conserved putative zinc-coordinating cysteines in the adjacent post-SET (Su(var)3-9, Enhancer-of-zeste, Trithorax) domain (Supplementary Figure 3). Mutagenesis studies have shown these cysteines to be required for enzymatic activity in other post-SET domain-containing H3K9 methyltransferases.34 However, given the rather modest decrease in global H3K9me3 in sulforaphane-treated cells compared with catalytically impaired SUV39H1 mutants,35,55,56 inhibition of SUV39H1 activity is less likely. This interpretation is also in agreement with a recent report by Park et al.57 where they characterized CDK2-dependent phosphorylation of SUV39H1 at lysine 391, a mark we identified in sulforaphane-treated PC3 lysate by mass spectrometry (Figure 3). Mutagenesis at lysine 391 to mimic phosphorylation did not alter enzymatic activity, but did influence occupancy at heterochromatin and H3K9me3 levels decreased in specific repeat elements. This supports our model proposing that modification of this region leads to decreased H3K9me3 through a shift from chromatin-associated to mobile SUV39H1 and not through impaired enzymatic activity.

This is the first investigation to characterize changes in SUV39H1/H3K9me3 in the response to sulforaphane in any cell type. Although SUV39H1 turnover rate and recovery time have been shown to have a significant impact on global H3K9me3 and genome stability,55 the impact in the context of sulforaphane treatment is not known. We found that increased H3K9me3 in SUV39H1-transfected cells associated with decreased apoptotic signaling (Figure 7), indicating that H3K9me3 is protective in sulforaphane-treated PC3 cells and suggesting that modulation of
this methyl mark and modifer actively contributes to sulforaphane cytotoxicity.

An important aspect of our investigation is the characterization of SUV39H1 modification within 12 h of sulforaphane treatment. Sulforaphane is rapidly metabolized and excreted from the body.58,59 Even when administered intravenously at a pharmacological dose to achieve ~15 μM plasma concentration in a rat model, the majority of sulforaphane and its metabolites are cleared in less than 12 h.1,1 Our treatment periods exist within the pharmacokinetic parameters of sulforaphane metabolism in vivo, suggesting our results may be achievable in a clinical setting.

Here we implicated a new chromatin mark and CME in the cellular response to sulforaphane in PC3 prostate cancer cells. We propose a model where sulforaphane causes a decrease in H3K9me3 through posttranslational modification of H3K9 methyltransferase SUV39H1, and that this actively contributes to sulforaphane-induced apoptotic signaling. These findings suggest that targeting SUV39H1 dynamics to enhance cell death signaling may be a strategy worth exploring in treating advanced metastatic cancers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and reagents

Prostate cancer cells (PC3 and LNCaP) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) or received as a gift (DU145 line from Dr Philippe T Georgel, Marshall University). Cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 media with 10% fetal bovine serum supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin. PC3 cells were washed twice in 50 mM sodium bicarbonate and subjected to one freeze–thaw at ~80 °C in 50 mM sodium bicarbonate. Liberated proteins were separated from cellular debris by centrifugation at 13 000 r.p.m. for 10 min at 4 °C. Proteins were reduced, alkylated and digested using Trypsin Gold in presence of ProteaseMax surfactant according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Liquid chromatography–MS/MS analysis was carried out using a nanoAcquity UPLC system (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to an LTQ-FT MS instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). A binary solvent system consisting of solvent A (water with 0.1% formic acid) and solvent B (acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid) was used for the analyses. Tryptic peptides (2 μl) were loaded onto a peptide trapping column (Michrom Cap Trap; Bruker Daltonics Inc., Billerica, MA, USA) and separated using a C18 analytical column (Agilent Zorbax 300SB-C18 (Santa Clara, CA, USA), 250 x 0.3 mm, 5 μm). Peptides were trapped and washed with 3% solvent B for 3 min at a flow rate of 5 μl/min. Peptide separation was achieved using a linear gradient from 10% to 30% B at a flow rate of 4 μl/min over 102 min. The LTQ-FT mass spectrometer was Xcaliber 2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and operated in data-dependent MS/MS acquisition with MS precursor ion scan, performed in the ion cyclotron resonance (ICR) cell, from 350 to 2000 m/z. Precursor ions detected in the MS scan.

Thermo RAW data files were processed with Mascot database analysis software (v2.3) (Matrix Science, Boston, MA, USA) within Proteome Discoverer v1.4.0 (Thermo). Data files were searched against the Human protein RefSeq database downloaded from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/proteins) and to an in-house database containing SUV39H1 protein sequence (NP_001164.1) and decoy protein sequences including common contaminants.

The following parameters were used to search the database: the digestion enzyme was set to Trypsin and three missed cleavage sites were allowed. The precursor ion mass tolerance was set to 20 p.p.m. and the fragment ion tolerance was set to 1.0 Da. Dynamic modifications that were considered included carbamidomethyl-cysteine (+57.02 Da), oxidated methionine (+15.99 Da), deamidated asparagine or glutamine (+0.98 Da), phospho-serine, -threonine, or -tyrosine (+97.98 Da), ubiquitinated lysine (+114.04 Da), methyl-lysine (+14.02) and acetyl-lysine (+42.01 Da). Automatic target decoy search with 1% false discovery rate was included into the Mascot search. Scaffold_3.3.1 (Proteome Software, Portland, OR, USA) needed in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol (Millipore).

Immunoprecipitation

Lysates were prepared by one freeze–thaw in non-denaturing lysis buffer (137 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0), cleared by centrifugation and quantitated by DCA Protein Assay (BioRad). Equal protein lysate (2–3 mg) was incubated with primary antibody (anti-ubiquitin or anti-SUV39H1) overnight at 4 °C, then with Protein A agarose (Sigma) or Protein A/G PLUS agarose (Santa Cruz) for 2–4 h at 4 °C. Immunoprecipitates were washed three to five times in non-denaturing lysis buffer and eluted in 2% SDS TBS (Tris-buffered saline) at room temperature for 15 min.

Immunofluorescence

Immuno fluorescence was carried out following standard protocols. Briefly, PC3 cells were grown and treated on glass coverslips. Cells were fixed in 100% methanol and then in 4% paraformaldehyde. Fixed cells were permeabilized in 0.4% Triton X-100 phosphate-buffered saline and blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin 0.1% NP-40 phosphate-buffered saline. Cells were probed with SUV39H1 antibody (Santa Cruz), followed by incubation in anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor® 555 (Invitrogen) and finally with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Millipore). Coverslips were mounted on glass slides using ProLong Gold AntiFade Reagent (Invitrogen) and images captured on a Nikon Eclipse E400 microscope using Nikon NIS-Elements software (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Mass spectrometry

PC3 cells were washed twice in 50 mM sodium bicarbonate and subjected to one freeze–thaw at ~80 °C in 50 mM sodium bicarbonate. Liberated proteins were separated from cellular debris by centrifugation at 13 000 r.p.m. for 10 min at 4 °C. Proteins were reduced, alkylated and digested using Trypsin Gold in presence of ProteaseMax surfactant according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Sulforaphane in plasma was determined using HPLC–MS/MS as described previously.44,45 Briefly, plasma samples were spiked with internal standards, acidified, extracted with hexane and samples were subjected to 1-dimensional, reversed-phase, C18 chromatography followed by mass spectrometric detection in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode.
was used for search data compilation and data evaluation with an embedded XTandem database searching algorithm. Protein identifications were accepted if they could be established at >90% probability and contained at least 2 identified peptides per protein with a false discovery rate < 5%. Assigned spectra were inspected manually for quality. Spectra assigned to both databases (Human ReSeq and in-house DB) were manually assigned using custom python scripts and the best match selected.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was collected by TRIzol reagent in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen). Plasmid was isolated using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). Cycles were sequenced at the Center for Genome Research and Bioinformatics at the Oregon State University – X!Tandem database searching algorithm. Protein identifications were accepted if they could be established at >90% probability and contained at least 2 identified peptides per protein with a false discovery rate < 5%. Assigned spectra were inspected manually for quality. Spectra assigned to both databases (Human ReSeq and in-house DB) were manually assigned using custom python scripts and the best match selected.
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