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Abstract. When access to information on the internet is expected to support the growth to adulthood, cyberbullying is instead becoming a threat to adolescents’ psychological well-being. Parental mediation and self-esteem are considered as protective factors to youngsters from online risk. The present study examined whether self-esteem mediates the relationship between perceived parental mediation and cyberbullying. The study participants were 351 senior high school students in Yogyakarta, active media and internet users. The data were collected using the Cyberbullying Scale (Cronbach’ α = 0.784), Self-Esteem Scale (Cronbach’ α = 0.766), and Perceived Parental Mediation Scale (Cronbach’ α = 0.656). Analysis using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) showed that the proposed model reached the goodness-of-fit (χ² = 53.711; df = 18; p = 0.001; RMSEA = 0.075; GFI = 0.964; CFI = 0.923). This study concluded that self-esteem partially mediated parental mediation and cyberbullying, with an indirect effect = -0.047 (p = 0.024; p < 0.05). Self-esteem as the cognitive-personality factor and optimal parental mediation as environmental factors were considered to prevent adolescent cyberbullying. Educational, social, and clinical psychology practitioners might need to take the opportunity to involve self-esteem and parental mediation factors in intervention program design.
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Cyberbullying is a new form of aggressiveness occurring in cyberspace (Li, 2007; Patchin & Hinduja, 2006). Personal information theft (privacy), cyberbullying is also an online risk that haunts young internet users (Livingstone et al., 2018). Ideally, adolescents take advantage of the internet by accessing information about education (Gui & Argentin, 2011) and health (E. Park & Kwon, 2018) to support their growth and developmental transition to adulthood (Fauzia, 2018). However, adolescents can be exposed to the risk of becoming victims of cyberbullying. One in three teenagers in 30 countries, including Indonesia, reported being a victim of some forms of cyberbullying (UNICEF, 2019). Cyberbullying can create psychological pressure, depression symptoms, problematic internet use, and poor academic performance in its victims (Chang et al., 2015; Safaria, 2016). Cyberbullies, on the other hand, are potentially involved in real-world crimes (Fauzia, 2018).

Cyberbullying is a repeated aggressive action to hurt, annoy, and oppress others either implicitly or explicitly (Patchin & Hinduja, 2006). Cyberbullies usually intentionally offensive send text messages to other parties through online platforms (Berne et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2012). Another cyberbully’s criterion is anonymity (i.e., the lack of information that victim is able to validate in order to identify the person who is sending messages to them (Nasrullah, 2015; Santhoso, 2019; Tokunaga, 2010). Previous studies reveal that repetition (Nocentini et al., 2010) and publicity (the digital content is delivered and shown to some audience) are indicators of cyberbullying (Tokunaga, 2010).

Factors related to the cyberbullies’ actions that come from within the individual include an academic ability (Chang et al., 2015), locus of control (Atik & Güneri, 2013; Roberto et al., 2014), and self-esteem (Bayraktar et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017; Mishna et al., 2016). Relational factors that play a
role include parenting practiced by parents (Navarro & Jasinski, 2013), parental mediation (Chang et al., 2015), and supervision (Atik & Güneri, 2013). The main focus of this present study was to examine the role of self-esteem and parental mediation in the tendency of cyberbullying.

**Literature Review**

**Self-Esteem and Cyberbullying.** The role of personal factors (e.g., self-esteem) is still a controversial issue in predicting cyberbullying in adolescents. Self-esteem was unrelated to explain the actions of the bullies (Mishna et al., 2016). However, another study suggests that higher self-esteem is associated with a lower risk of becoming potential bullies (Chen et al., 2017). On the other hand, low self-esteem is a strong predictor of cyberbullying behavior (Bayraktar et al., 2015).

**Perceived Parental Mediation and Cyberbullying.** In the last two decades, parental mediation serves as a protective factor for children and adolescents. For example, restrictive mediation proved to decrease children’s imitating the aggressive content on television (Nathanson, 1999). At the beginning of its development, the concept of parental mediation was termed television mediation, referring to the activities parents do to respond to children’s interactions while watching television (Nathanson, 1999);(Nathanson, 2001). Further down the road, the parental mediation concept is applied to respond to children’s activities in cyberspace—classified as active mediation, restrictive mediation, and co-using mediation (Livingstone & Helsper, 2008). However, inconsistencies arise from the body of research. Parental control in East Asian adolescents and parental mediation (regardless of the types of mediation applied) can reduce the tendency to become perpetrators of electronic bullying (Chen et al., 2017; Shapka & Law, 2013). In contrast, several studies have revealed that supervisory and technical parental mediations increase the child’s tendency to become cyberbullies (Chang et al., 2015; Sasson & Mesch, 2014).

**Self-Esteem, Perceived Parental Mediation, and Cyberbullying.** A study using multiple regression analysis showed that anonymity and parental mediation have a role in predicting adolescents’ cyberbullying tendencies (Santhoso, 2019). However, multiple regression analysis technique does not provide information about measurement errors (Moulder & Algina, 2002). Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), on the other hand, provides information that enables researchers to specify, estimate, and explain a path model that involves a mediating variable (Hox & Bechger, 1998). The previous structural modeling studies revealed that self-esteem mediates the relationship between parental behavior (authoritative and parental control) and aggressive/antisocial behavior (Hesari & Hejazi, 2011; Hunter et al., 2015). However, these studies still focused on investigating a general realm of parental and aggressive behavior in real life rather than on specific acts of aggression via cyber reality.

Therefore, this present study aimed to test the theoretical model of self-esteem (a cognitive-personality factor), perceived parental mediation (an environmental factor), cyberbullying (a behavioral factor), as explained by the social-cognitive theory (Bandura, 1986). The Model I (see Figure 1) adopted the path analysis model proposed by Hesari and Hejazi (2011), while model II assumed that monitoring and limiting internet use by parents are not predictors of bullying tendencies (Roberto et al., 2014).

This study hypothesized a theoretical model of the relationship between variables perceived parental and cyberbullying through self-esteem mediation fits the empirical data (see Figure 1).

**Method**

**Research Participants**

We used a purposive sampling technique to recruit research participants following these criteria: (1) Senior high school students aged 13-19 years at Yogyakarta City, living with their parents, other guardians, or separately. (2) Had previously engaged in some forms of cyberbullying, indicated by a declaration on screening form that participants have sent comments (e.g., text, images, sounds) containing jokes to mock someone on social media or group chats-based platform (i.e., Line/Blackberry JURNAL PSIKOLOGI
Figure 1
Models of Mediating Role of Self-Esteem on the Relationship between Perceived Parental Mediation and Cyberbullying

Data Analysis
A total of 351 respondents were included in the data analysis as they self-reported to have anonymously sent aggressive audio-visual content to humiliate someone and then disseminated it through social networking sites, e-mails, or websites. The data are part of a previous research data set (Reginasari, 2017) but was analyzed using a different technique (i.e., nonparametric cross-tabulation). This present study used a full-fledged Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis with the IBM Amos version 22 software program. In addition, the modeling analysis also involved the item parceling technique by calculating the aggregate score of each variable's aspects, then served as an indicator of the structural model (Matsunaga, 2008). The indicators were grouped based on the definition of the concept and elements of each variable.

Measurement
To test content validity (Aiken V coefficient) on all of the scales (Azwar, 2016; Bashoor & Supahar, 2018), we involved a panel of 20 judges consisting of 17 psychological scientists, two teachers, and one economics scholar. The judging panel was active students in the Master of Science and Master of Professional Psychology programs in the Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Gadjah Mada. Although the Cyberbullying Scale had been adapted for 12-13 years old adolescents (i.e., junior high school students) (Safaria, 2016), we reconstructed the scale because this present study’s respondents were from older age group (i.e., high school students aged 13-19 years old).

1. Cyberbullying Scale
The Cyberbullying Scale is used to reveal the tendency to commit cyberbullying, includes intention, repetition-publicity, and anonymity elements (Berne et al., 2013); (Nocentini et al., 2010); (Smith et al., 2012); (Tokunaga, 2010). It consists of 13 items with five alternative answers ranging from very applicable to very inapplicable. This scale underwent content validation and internal consistency testing (Aiken V = 0.525- 0.750, $r_{xx} = 0.147-0.613, \alpha = 0.784$). The higher the score the participants obtained on this scale, the higher their tendency to commit cyberbullying. Examples of items included “I sent comments to mock other people in Line/BBM/WA chat groups”.

Messenger/ WhatsApp/ Twitter /Instagram / Facebook). If the students selected “never” option, they were excluded in this study.

This study involved 351 high school students in Yogyakarta city, Indonesia. This age range is the age group with the highest incidence of cyberbullying cases in Indonesia (Ruiz, 2019; Safaria, 2016; Santhoso, 2019); (UNICEF, 2019). All respondents in this study provided consent to participate in the research. The study obtained ethical approval No. 973/M.Si/X/2016; 976/M.Si/X/2016; and 873/M.Si/2016 from Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Gadjah Mada.
2. Self-esteem Scale
Self-esteem is a globally positive or negative evaluation of one’s self (Rosenberg, 1965), specifically, including one’s self-acceptance and self-worth (Rosenberg et al., 1995). This present study adapted the widely-used Rosenberg’s Self-Esteem Scale (Department of Sociology University of Maryland, 2019). The Self-Esteem Scale has a good content validation and internal consistency (Aiken V = 0.500-0.813, \( r_{xx} = 0.302-0.616, \alpha = 0.766 \)). The Self-Esteem Scale consists of 9 reliable items with the following answer alternatives: very applicable, applicable, neutral, inapplicable, and very inapplicable. Examples of items include “I feel that I am quite worthy, at least equal to other people”. The higher the scores the participants obtained on this scale, the more positive they evaluate themselves.

3. Parental Mediation Scale
Parental Mediation was measured with the Perceived Parental Mediation Scale, specifically developed to measure active, restrictive, and co-using mediation strategies. The scale was based on a series of preliminary survey studies (Reginasari, 2017) and systematic reviews of studies on the concept of parental mediation (Livingstone & Helsper, 2008; Nathanson, 1999). The parental mediation elements were translated into behavioral indicators. The Perceived Parental Mediation Scale (7 items) considered to have a good validity, yet relatively low in internal consistency test (Aiken V= 0.513-0.825, \( r_{xx} = 0.115-0.509, \alpha = 0.656 \)). The respondents could choose one of the five alternative answers including very applicable, applicable, neutral, inapplicable, and very inapplicable for each item. Examples of items include “I am encouraged by my parents to sort the images or messages that are appropriate to see from social media/Line/BBM based on their usefulness”. The higher the score the respondents obtained on this scale, the higher their tendency to perceive that their parents are involved in supervising their internet media use.

Results
Of the 351 respondents, 10% were in the age group of 13-15 years, 86.3% were adolescents aged 16-17 years, and 3.7% were 18-19 years old. The number of girl participants dominated (59%) the boys (41%). The majority of respondents lived with their parents (88.6%), while others lived in the boarding houses (10.8%) or independently (0.6%).

Table 1
Mean, Standard Deviation, and Pearson Correlation Coefficient of Research Variables

| Variabel      | Mean  | SD    | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1. RundungSib | 34.934| 6.225 | -0.155**| -0.109**|     |
| 2. HargaDiri  | 32.006| 4.486 | 1     |       | -0.033|
| 3. MediasiOrtu| 22.775| 3.901 | 1     |       |       |

Note. RundungSib = Cyberbullying; HargaDiri = Self-Esteem; MediasiOrtu = Perceived Parental Mediation; SD= standard deviation; ** p <0.05

Table 1 shows a significant negative correlation between cyberbullying, self-esteem, and perceived parental mediation. The Pearson correlation coefficients between observed variables (see table 2) of parental mediation strategies were relatively low, ranging from \( r = 0.209 \) to \( r = -0.249 \). Fairly significant correlations were seen between elements of cyberbullying, namely between intention and repetitiveness-publicness \( (r = 0.528) \) and between repetitiveness-publicness and anonymity \( (r = 0.520) \).
Furthermore, there were two alternative models tested (see table 3). Indices to meet the goodness-of-fit of the model include Chi-square statistics, Root-Mean-Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) of 0.08 or less, Goodness-of-Fit Index (GFI) (best if above 0.95), and Comparative Fit Index (CFI) (best if above 0.95) (Afaitin, 2018); (Hu & Bentler, 1999). The analysis results showed that Model I that theorized a partial mediation model with self-esteem as the mediator and a direct path between perceived parental mediation and cyberbullying fit the data as indicated by the following index values, including $\chi^2 = 53,711; \ df=18; \ p=0.001; \ RMSEA=0.075, 90\% \ Confidence \ Interval [0.053, 0.099]; \ GFI=0.964; \ and \ CFI=0.923$. Each path tested in Model I showed a significant relationship between the observed variable and the latent variable (see Figure 2).

### Table 3
Comparison of Goodness-of-Fit Indices of Model I vs. Model II

|       | $\chi^2$ | $df$ | $p$ | RMSEA | GFI | CFI |
|-------|----------|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| Model I | 53,711   | 18   | 0.000 | 0.075 | 0.964 | 0.923 |
| Model II | 63,425   | 19   | 0.000 | 0.082 | 0.958 | 0.904 |

**Note.** $N=351$, RMSEA = Root-Mean-Square Error of Approximation; GFI = Goodness of Fit Index; CFI = comparative fit index

Model II which also theorized a partial mediation model with self-esteem as a mediator but with no direct path from perceived parental mediation to cyberbullying also fit the data with the following index values: $\chi^2 = 63,425; \ df=18; \ p=0.001; \ RMSEA=0.082; \ GFI=0.958; \ CFI=0.904$. From the comparison of models in Table 3, it could be inferred that model I is better than model II because Model I had a smaller RMSEA and chi-squared values than that of Model II. In Model I, the total standardized effect of perceived parental mediation on cyberbullying was -0.336 ($p=0.012; \ p<0.05$) consisting of a direct effect of -0.290 ($p=0.023; \ p<0.05$) and an indirect effect of -0.047 ($p=0.024; \ p<0.05$). Meanwhile, self-esteem also affected cyberbullying with a standardized direct effect of -0.149 ($p=0.036; \ p<0.05$).

**Note.** $N=351$; HargaDiri= Self-Esteem; MediasiOrtu= Perceived Parental Mediation; RundungSib= Cyberbullying; Penghor_Diri= Self-Worth; Pener_Diri= Self-Acceptance; Med Ak= Active Mediation; Med Bat= Restrictive Mediation; Med co= Co-Using Mediation; Intensi= Intention; Repetisi-pub= Repetition-Publicity; Anon= Anonymity
The objective of this study was to examine the mediating effect of self-esteem on the relationship between perceived parental mediation and cyberbullying. The theoretical model that hypothesized the role of perceived parental mediation on cyberbullying through the mediation of self-esteem was supported by the empirical data. These results are consistent with the previous study which revealed that anonymity contributes to cyberbullying tendency (Santhoso, 2019). However, we determined that anonymity is an integral part of the conceptualization of cyberbullying (e.g., fair correlation between intention and repetition-publicity and between repetition-publicity and anonymity).

The presence of the self-esteem variable increases the total effect of parental mediation perceptions on cyberbullying rather than providing a direct effect. Teens with low self-esteem tend to pursue self-worth with doubtful evaluation (Jordan & Zeigler-Hill, 2013; L. E. Park & Crocker, 2013). When teens doubt themselves, they turn fragile and vulnerable, which, over time, makes them more likely to become bullies (Fanti & Henrich, 2015). An individual with fragile self-esteem tends to prove their quality via social comparisons and seeking acceptance from others (Crocker et al., 2004). Violent behavior is an alternative means for avoiding self-doubt. In cyberspace, adolescents with vulnerable self-esteem are aware of opportunities to act anonymously and invisibly and thus free of social judgment, thereby encouraging cyberbullying behavior (Baumeister et al., 1996; Jordan & Zeigler-Hill, 2013; L. E. Park & Crocker, 2013; Santhoso, 2019; Suler, 2004). Conversely, a high self-esteem individual refers to the one who feels “good enough” about themselves and does not necessarily feel superior to others (Rosenberg, 1965). Despite the desire to improve themselves and overcome their limitations, they tend to see their worth with a realistic and unchanging view (i.e., a stable positive view of self) (Jordan & Zeigler-Hill, 2013). Adolescents with this trait value themselves positively on the intrinsic domain (e.g., not using the views of others as the only measure of their positive characters) (Afiatin, 2014).

The dynamics between self-esteem and parental mediation in developing cyberbullying tendencies can be explained by the Social Cognitive Theory (Bandura, 1986). Cyberbullying tendency in adolescents can be prevented by optimizing parental mediation strategy, as an environmental factor, and cultivating positive personality factors (including self-esteem). Adolescents who perceive parental mediation positively and are strengthened by having positive (stable) self-esteem can reduce their risk of cyberbullying (Hesari & Hejazi, 2011; Hunter et al., 2015).

Parents have an important role in encouraging their adolescent children to value themselves positively. Parents who show attention and love (i.e., active mediation) for their children and consider...
them an important part of the family would provide meaningful support for their adolescent children’s self-worth (Rosenberg et al., 1995). Interpersonal experiences in the family will form the mental representation of adolescents’ self when they later build relationships with other people (DeHart et al., 2013). To become a positive social environment for teenagers and young people, parents can set an example in humbleness and honesty (Afiatin, 2014; Olson et al., 2014) and mutual harmony and respect when interacting with all family members, both in the real-world context and when connected in cyberspace (Afiatin, 2018). In this present study, the active mediation strategy was quite significant in predicting the decrease in cyberbullying among adolescent respondents (Model 1 = 0.58; Model II = 0.53). This finding confirms that the tendency to become bullies is more likely to be found when parents involve less affection in communicating with their children, and this may lead to negative disciplinary practices (Gómez et al., 2016).

Conclusions

This study concluded that self-esteem acts as a mediator in the relationship between perceived parental mediation and cyberbullying tendency. Cognitive-personality factors (e.g., self-esteem) and environmental factors (e.g., optimal parental mediation) can prevent cyberbullying in adolescent respondents of this study.

Recommendation

The results of this study apply limitedly to the sample of respondents in this study. Reflecting the results of parental mediation construct dimensionality testing in previous studies (Livingstone & Helsper, 2008; Nikken & Jansz, 2014), low correlation between the factors that make up the parental mediation construct in this present study may indicate that parental mediation is a multifactor construct. Therefore, future research needs to review the dimensionality of the parental mediation construct. We would recommend practitioners of educational, social, and clinical psychology to use psychological intervention approaches that involve adolescent self-esteem factors and their relationship with factors related to parental mediation.
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