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ABSTRACT

We present the first results from a study of O VI absorption around galaxies at $z < 1.44$ using data from a near-infrared grism spectroscopic Hubble Space Telescope Large Programme, the Quasar Sightline and Galaxy Evolution (QSAGE) survey. QSAGE is the first grism galaxy survey to focus on the circumgalactic medium at $z \sim 1$, providing a blind survey of the galaxy population. The galaxy sample is H$\alpha$ flux limited ($f(H\alpha) > 2 \times 10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) at $0.68 < z < 1.44$, corresponding to $\geq 0.2$–$0.8$ M$\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. In this first of 12 fields, we combine the galaxy data with high-resolution STIS and COS spectroscopy of the background quasar to study O VI in the circumgalactic medium. At $z \sim 1$, we find O VI absorption systems up to $b \sim 350$ kpc ($\sim 4 R_{vir}$) from the nearest detected galaxy. Further, we find $\sim 50$ per cent of $\geq 1$ M$\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ star-forming galaxies within $2 R_{vir}$ show no associated O VI absorption to a limit of at least $N(O VI) = 10^{13.9}$ cm$^{-2}$. That we detect O VI at such large distances from galaxies and that a significant fraction of star-forming galaxies show no detectable O VI absorption disfavours outflows from ongoing star formation as the primary medium traced by these absorbers. Instead, by combining our own low- and high-redshift data with existing samples, we find tentative evidence for many strong ($N(O VI) > 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$) O VI absorption systems to be associated with $M_* \sim 10^{9.5}$–$10^{10}$ M$\odot$ mass galaxies ($M_{halo} \sim 10^{11.5}$–$10^{12}$ M$\odot$ dark matter haloes), and infer that they may be tracing predominantly collisionally ionized gas within the haloes of such galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The peak epoch in the volume-averaged star formation rate (SFR) for galaxies is at $z = 1$–2 with the SFR in typical galaxies being an order of magnitude higher than in the local Universe (e.g. Lilly et al. 1996; Madau et al. 1996; Sobral et al. 2013). A picture is emerging in which star formation at this epoch is very different to that at the present day. Rather than the subdued formation of stars that is the norm in today’s Universe, violent episodes of star formation are driven by the formation of superstar clusters within unstable gas-rich discs (e.g. Swinbank et al. 2010; Tacconi et al. 2010).

Theory suggests that the peak in the star formation rate density is the result of a higher rate of gas accretion in the early Universe (e.g. Dekel et al. 2009; Lagos et al. 2011; van de Voort et al. 2011). Star formation at high redshift should be critically dependent on the inflow rate of cold gas, whilst the present-day galaxy stellar mass function is most readily explained through an efficient feedback mechanism such as strong supernovae driven outflows. In order to fully understand the interplay and balance of these factors (e.g.
Bouché et al. 2010; Davé, Finlator & Oppenheimer 2012), it is crucial that we test these theories by detecting diffuse baryons inside and around the haloes of galaxies, charting their abundance and physical properties as a function of redshift (e.g. Fumagalli et al. 2011; Davé et al. 2012; Hummels et al. 2013; Rahmani et al. 2013, 2015; Correa et al. 2018; Oppenheimer et al. 2018b). The approximate area of influence, within which a galaxy deposits and receives baryonic material, is commonly referred to as the circumgalactic medium (CGM).

Extensive studies have been performed using the powerful combination of galaxy surveys with high-resolution quasar sightline data, to analyse the nature of gas around galaxies at \( z \lesssim 1 \) (e.g. Bergeron & Boissé 1991; Morris et al. 1991; Morris et al. 1993; Steidel, Dickinson & Persson 1994; Chen et al. 1998, 2001; Steidel et al. 2002; Chen & Mulchaey 2009; Crighton et al. 2010; Prochaska et al. 2011; Tumlinson et al. 2011; Bouché et al. 2012; Kacprzak, Churchill & Nielsen 2012; Nielsen, Churchill & Kacprzak 2013; Tejos et al. 2014; Finn et al. 2016; Prochaska et al. 2017) and at \( 2 \lesssim z \lesssim 4 \) (primarily using the Lyman Break method; e.g. Adelberger et al. 2003, 2005; Simcoe et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2010; Crighton et al. 2011; Rakic et al. 2012; Prochaska et al. 2013; Prochaska, Lau & Hennawi 2014; Tummuangpak et al. 2014; Turner et al. 2015; Fumagalli et al. 2016, 2017b; Turner et al. 2017; Bielby et al. 2017b). These have provided important insights for understanding the flow of baryons around galaxies and how this impacts galaxy evolution. However, the available data between these two epochs (i.e. \( z \approx 1–2 \)) is more limited, and primarily focused around either \( \mathsf{Ly}_\alpha \) absorption or relatively low-ion absorbers such as \( \mathsf{Mg} \, \mathsf{II} \) and \( \mathsf{CIV} \) (e.g. Chen et al. 1998; Bowen et al. 2006; Morris & Jannuzi 2006; Rubin et al. 2010; Lovegrove & Simcoe 2011; Farina et al. 2014; Johnson, Chen & Mulchaey 2015b). There are an increasing number of studies focusing on the relevance of large-scale structure (i.e. voids, filaments, groups, and clusters) with respect to observations of the CGM (e.g. Penton, Stocke & Shull 2002; Aracil et al. 2006; Tejos et al. 2012, 2016; Stocke et al. 2014; Burchett et al. 2016, 2018; Fumagalli et al. 2016, 2017b; Bielby et al. 2017a; Péroux et al. 2017; Fynbo et al. 2018; Pessa et al. 2018). Such studies are adding important context to interpreting CGM observations, but are again primarily limited to \( z \lesssim 0.5 \) or \( z > 2 \).

The \( \mathsf{OVI} \) doublet absorption feature offers important insights into galaxy evolution (Tripp, Savage & Jenkins 2000). Low-redshift studies have shown that \( \mathsf{OVI} \) absorbers often trace \( 0.1–1 \, \mathsf{L}_\odot \) star-forming galaxy haloes (Chen & Mulchaey 2009; Wäcker & Savage 2009). The nature of the material traced by \( \mathsf{OVI} \) absorption in quasar sightlines potentially traces a broad range of physical manifestations: as outflowing material, indicative of ongoing or recent star formation (e.g. Tumlinson et al. 2011; Meiring et al. 2013; Werk et al. 2016); diffuse halo gas at the ambient halo temperature (e.g. Oppenheimer et al. 2016; Werk et al. 2016; Nielsen et al. 2017; Oppenheimer et al. 2018a; Kacprzak et al. 2019); intra-group material (e.g. Stocke et al. 2014, 2017; Pointon et al. 2017); or more widely spread warm-hot intergalactic medium (e.g. Shull, Smith & Danforth 2012).

In this paper, we present results from the first field analysed as part of the Quasar Sightline and Galaxy Evolution (QSAGE) survey. We have performed a grism spectroscopic survey around 12 bright quasars at \( z \gtrsim 1.44 \), with existing archival \( \mathsf{HST}/\mathsf{STIS} \), and in some cases \( \mathsf{HST}/\mathsf{COS} \), spectroscopy. By selecting quasar sightlines as target fields, we place the scientific focus on studying the CGM, whilst providing 12 effectively independent pencil beam surveys suitable for a wide range of galaxy evolution science.

Whilst the \( \mathsf{HST}/\mathsf{STIS} \) and \( \mathsf{HST}/\mathsf{COS} \) data probe gas in absorption along the central sightline at \( z \lesssim 1.44 \), our new \( \mathsf{HST}/\mathsf{WFC3} \) grism observations survey the galaxy population ‘blindly’ (i.e. without any preselection aside from flux limits) around these sightlines across a comparable redshift range (predominantly via \( \mathsf{H}_\alpha \) emission). In an earlier paper (Bielby et al. 2017a), we presented an analysis of \( \mathsf{Mg} \, \mathsf{II} \) absorption associated with a group environment detected in MUSE observations on one of the fields, prior to having acquired WFC3/grism data. Here we focus on a second field, this time incorporating both MUSE IFU and WFC3 grism data, whilst also presenting the survey strategy, data-processing methods, and an analysis of the relationship between \( \mathsf{OVI} \) absorption and galaxies at \( 0.68 < z < 1.4 \) data. The quasar that forms the basis for this study is PKS 0232–04 (Shimmins et al. 1966, originally designated PHL 1377; Haro & Luyten 1962) at a redshift of \( z = 1.44 \).

In Section 2 we present the observations and data reduction methods (including optical and NIR imaging, grism spectroscopy, IFU spectroscopy with MUSE, and high-resolution sightline spectroscopy); in Section 3, we present an analysis of the distribution of galaxies around \( \mathsf{OVI} \) absorbers as a function of galaxy properties and environment; we discuss our results in Section 4; and in Section 5 we give our conclusions and a summary. Throughout this paper, we use the AB magnitude system and the Planck 2015 cosmology (Planck Collaboration XIII 2016). Unless otherwise stated, all distances are given in proper coordinates.
scheduled to acquire a single $F140W$ image of $\approx 250$ s, two exposures of $\approx 1000$ s using the G141 grism, and a single $\approx 250$ s $F160W$ exposure. These exposures were taken using the ‘SPARS25’ sampling sequence in the case of the basic imaging exposures and the ‘SPARS100’ sampling sequence in the case of the grism exposures. The corresponding exposure times were tweaked to optimally fill the time afforded by a given orbit and all exposures were taken with the ‘SPARS100’ aperture. The total exposure times across the four visits for this field were 2123 s with $F140W$; 2123 s with $F160W$; and 16 047 s with the G141 grism. These data represent some of the deepest observations using the WFC3 G141 grism thus far. As such, the different position-angle visits are necessary for the optimal extraction of spectra with the removal of contamination from overlapping sources.

### 2.1.1 WFC3 NIR imaging

Both the direct imaging and grism data were reduced using GRIZLI (Brammer, in preparation\(^1\)), a custom software package dedicated to the reduction and analysis of slitless spectroscopic datasets which builds on previous software packages such as AXE (Kümml et al. 2009) and THREEDHST (Brammer et al. 2012; Momcheva et al. 2016). For the direct images, individual exposures were corrected for small astronomic offsets using TWEAKREG before ASTRODRIZZLE was used to produce background-subtracted drizzled images in both the $F140W$ and $F160W$ filters. The $F140W$ and $F160W$ sets of images were each stacked using SW ARP (Bertin et al. 2002) using a median combination. The resulting $F140W$ stacked image is shown in Fig. 1. Photometric zero-points for the $F140W$ and $F160W$ imaging were taken directly from the STScI guidelines $m_{ZP}(F140W) = 26.45$ and $m_{ZP}(F160W) = 25.95$.

Extraction of the grism spectra requires a catalogue of sources with accurate positions from the associated imaging data. We produced this catalogue from the $F140W$ stacked image using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). We used a detection threshold of $1.5\sigma$, a minimum area for detection of five contiguous pixels, and we adjusted the deblending parameter to optimally extract any objects visually identified as being blended with the quasar point spread function (DEBLEND_MINCONT = 0.005).

The number counts of sources detected in the WFC3 $F140W$ and $F160W$ imaging are given in the final two panels of Fig. 2.

\(^1\)https://github.com/gbrammer/grizli
First, each G141 exposure was divided through by the TOR were then used to extract the spectrum of each object using and re-running SEXTRACTOR with our given parameters on these width at half-maximum – FWHM) directly into the imaging data, placing model sources (Gaussian profiles with the instrument full Table 1. The completeness estimates were made by iteratively whilst the corresponding 80 per cent completeness depths are given in Table 1. The completeness estimates were made by iteratively placing model sources (Gaussian profiles with the instrument full width at half-maximum – FWHM) directly into the imaging data, and re-running SEXTRACTOR with our given parameters on these modified images.

2.2 WFC3 G141 grism data

The catalogues and segmentation images generated with SEXTRACTOR. Vertical lines show the estimated 80 per cent completeness limit for point sources. Where both CFHTLS and WHT/ACAM data are available, the dashed line denotes the CFHTLS limit and the dotted line shows the WHT/ACAM limit.

whilst the corresponding 80 per cent completeness depths are given in Table 1. The completeness estimates were made by iteratively placing model sources (Gaussian profiles with the instrument full width at half-maximum – FWHM) directly into the imaging data, and re-running SEXTRACTOR with our given parameters on these modified images.

due to variations in zodiacal continuum, scattered light, and He emission across the sky (Momcheva et al. 2016). The remaining residuals (typically 0.5–1 per cent of the initial background levels) were then removed by subtracting average values of the sky pixels in each column. Finally 1D and 2D spectra were extracted from the background-subtracted grism images at the individual exposure level, which, for the QSAGE observations, resulted in 16 individual spectra per object.

An unavoidable feature of slitless spectroscopy is contamination from nearby sources. Despite the fact that this effect is substantially mitigated by the four independent grism orientations employed in the QSAGE survey, we nevertheless estimated the quantitative contamination for each individual spectrum. GRIZLI initially generates models for each object in the catalogue assuming a linear continuum, based on their observed magnitudes. These models are then refined using a second-order polynomial fit directly to the observed spectra after subtracting off the initial contamination estimate. The contamination model of each spectrum can then be used to mask severely contaminated pixels when producing the final stacked spectrum across all orientations as discussed below.

Redshifts for sources in the grism spectra were primarily identified from the 1D spectra using a combination of Hα, Hβ, [O II], and [O III] emission features. Given the low-resolution nature of the grism spectra, significant blending of lines can occur, e.g. [O III] 4953 Å with [O III] 5007 Å and Hα 6562 Å with [N II] 6583 Å. In the higher signal-to-noise spectra these blends exhibit an asymmetry in the overall line profile, allowing (alongside the presence of Hβ emission) reliable differentiation between these lines. This asymmetry (and any Hβ emission) is often lost in lower signal-to-noise spectra and as such it can be difficult to differentiate between Hα at z ∼ 0.9 and [O III] at z ∼ 1.6. We therefore perform the line identification in two stages. First, lines are identified using an automatic fitting algorithm which then determines matches in wavelength ratios to common emission lines. We then visually inspect each spectrum, identify reliable detections, and attribute each detection a quality rating on a scale of $Q_w = 1$ to $Q_w = 4$ (where 4 represents a high S/N, multiple emission line detection and 1 represents a low-S/N less secure redshift). We mitigate the effect of contamination between nearby sources by simultaneously inspecting: a median stacked spectrum of all 16 exposures; the 4 separate median spectral stacks from the different roll-angle positions; and a mean-combination stack where contaminated pixels

| Instrument     | Filter       | (arcsec) | Completeness (80 per cent, Mag) |
|----------------|--------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| WHT/ACAM       | r$_{sdss}$   | 0.87     | 26.24                           |
| WHT/ACAM       | r$_{sdss}$   | 0.88     | 25.01                           |
| WHT/ACAM       | i$_{sdss}$   | 0.88     | 24.26                           |
| CFHT/MegaCAM   | u$_{ch}$     | 0.90     | 25.17                           |
| CFHT/MegaCAM   | g$_{ch}$     | 0.74     | 25.61                           |
| CFHT/MegaCAM   | r$_{ch}$     | 0.72     | 24.96                           |
| CFHT/MegaCAM   | i$_{ch}$     | 0.68     | 24.78                           |
| CFHT/MegaCAM   | z$_{ch}$     | 0.72     | 23.84                           |
| HST/WFC3       | F140W        | 0.26     | 26.28                           |
| HST/WFC3       | F160W        | 0.29     | 26.00                           |

Figure 2. Galaxy number counts estimated for all of the broad-band imaging used in this work, obtained from single image source extraction using SEXTRACTOR. Vertical lines show the estimated 80 per cent completeness limit for point sources. Where both CFHTLS and WHT/ACAM data are available, the dashed line denotes the CFHTLS limit and the dotted line shows the WHT/ACAM limit.
in individual exposures are rejected based on the contamination model described above (see Fig. 3). Whilst the observations are most sensitive to detecting emission line objects at \( z > 0.68 \), in the absence of such emission lines, galaxies are also identifiable via absorption features and, at \( z \gtrsim 2 \), the 4000 Å break (examples of which are shown in Fig. 3).

We produce a catalogue of secure sources which require at least one of the following criteria to be met: multiple lines clearly observed in the grism spectrum; clearly asymmetric lines indicating blend \( [\text{O} \, \text{III}] \) emission or \( H\alpha/[\text{N} \, \text{II}] \) emission; or confirmed redshift from optical spectroscopy (i.e. VLT/MUSE data described below). The secure redshifts are used as a calibration/training set in our photometric redshift fitting analysis described below. With the photometric redshifts in hand, we then constrain the single blended emission line objects to the redshift most closely matched by the available photometric data. These objects are assigned a quality flag of \( Q_w = 2 \). For the subset of targets falling within the VLT/MUSE data field of view, we also use the optical spectra as a guide – both using the existence or the lack-of expected emission line features in the MUSE data to guide the identification of the grism data in this region.

We show the on-sky distribution of spectroscopically identified sources in Fig. 1, whilst example spectra from the grism data are shown in Fig. 3. The magnitude distribution of sources identified in the WFC3 G141 grism spectroscopy is given in the bottom panel of Fig. 4 and the redshift distribution is shown in Fig. 5.

### 2.3 VLT MUSE IFU spectroscopy

#### 2.3.1 Overview and data reduction

The VLT/MUSE data for this field were taken as part of the Guaranteed Time MUSE Program (096.A-0222, PI: Schaye). MUSE provides IFU data across a 1 arcmin \times 1 arcmin field of view, with a pixel scale of 0.2 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\) (Bacon et al. 2010). All the observations were taken in the normal spectral mode with a wavelength coverage of 480–930 nm and resolution ranging from \( R = 1770 \) at the lower end of the wavelength range to \( R = 3590 \) at the higher end. A total of 12 exposures were taken, each of 900 s, totalling 3 h of integration on source. We have reduced the entirety of these data using the ESO MUSE pipeline (Weilbacher et al. 2014) with custom PYTHON scripts\(^2\) (Fumagalli et al. 2014, 2017a) to optimize the illumination correction across different CCDs and using ZAP (Zürich Atmosphere Purge; Soto et al. 2016) to optimize the sky subtraction.

#### 2.3.2 Source extraction and identification

Given the deep nature of the WFC3 NIR imaging, we use this as the basis for the source identification in the MUSE data. We first match the astrometry between the MUSE cube and the WFC3 F140W image (again using SCAMP; Bertin 2006) and then run SExtractor on the F140W image. From the resulting catalogue, we extract 1D spectra from the MUSE cube along with the variance and sky background measured for each spectrum. We use MARZ (Hinton et al. 2016) to measure redshifts from the spectra based on template fitting and visual inspection. For this we use A. Griffiths (September 2016) fork of the MARZ tool,\(^3\) which includes templates covering redshifts up to \( z \sim 6 \) (for our wavelength coverage).

Each identification is allocated a confidence flag, \( Q_m \) based on the following categorization:

1. Low confidence/low S/N (can be single or multiple possible features);
2. Single line emitter, low-S/N continuum or continuum fit with weak or no emission lines;
3. Single line emitter, some hint of absorption lines in continuum or multiple emission lines with some at low signal-to-noise;
4. Multiple high-S/N emission and/or absorption lines;
5. Star.

The single line emitters are predominantly \( [\text{O} \, \text{II}] \) emission at \( 0.88 \leq z \leq 1.5 \) (which is partially resolved in the MUSE data) or Ly\( \alpha \) at \( z \gtrsim 2.9 \) (which can be identified as such via asymmetries and continuum shape).

The magnitude distribution of sources in the MUSE field of view are shown in Fig. 4 (top panel). The pale blue circles show the total number of sources extracted from the WFC3 data in the MUSE field of view, whilst the dark blue circles show the magnitude distribution of sources identified with confidence flags of \( Q_m = 2, 3, 4, \) and \( 6 \). The spectroscopic identification is \( > 50 \) per cent complete up to \( i < 25.8 \) (where the \( i \)-band photometry is taken from the broad-band imaging described later). The redshift distribution of sources identified in the MUSE cube is given in the top panel of Fig. 5. The quasar redshift is marked by the vertical dashed line and coincides with an overdensity of \([\text{O} \, \text{II}]\) emitting galaxies at \( z \approx 1.4 \). The redshift distribution appears to show some structure with a particular peak at the redshift of the QSO. For a detailed discussion of the QSO environments please see Stott et al. (in preparation).

Using the MUSE spectroscopic data, we are able to measure the accuracy of the F3CR3 grism derived redshifts. The grism data are at a low resolution – \( R = 130 \), which equates to a rest-frame velocity uncertainty of \( \approx 1000 \) km s\(^{-1}\) at \( z \approx 1 \). With a resolution of \( R = 1800–3500 \), MUSE has greater redshift accuracy (i.e. \( \approx 50 \) km s\(^{-1}\) at \( z \approx 1 \)), allowing a robust quantification of the grism line-fitting process’s accuracy. A comparison of the redshifts determined using WFC3 and MUSE as a function of redshift is shown in Fig. 6, with \( v_w - v_m \) giving the velocity offset between the MUSE and WFC3 redshifts in km s\(^{-1}\). The dashed curves show the velocity uncertainty derived simply taking the G141 resolution limit of \( R = 130 \). From the 19 objects matched between the two samples, we find a velocity uncertainty on the G141 data of \( \sigma_v = 680 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (at \( z = 1 \)). A closer inspection of the two outliers (\( |\Delta v| > 2000 \) km s\(^{-1}\)) shows that one is a very extended bright object, where the emission line is heavily ‘smeared’ in the grism spectrum due to the internal kinematics of the galaxy; whilst the second appears to be a statistical outlier due to spectral noise.

### 2.4 Optical imaging data

Supporting imaging is an important element in the analysis of the grism data, in particular in providing complementary photometric redshifts and galaxy properties. As described above, the photometric redshifts are needed for robust identification of objects detected with only single line features in the grism data. Below we describe the imaging datasets available on this field: (1) targeted William Herschel Telescope (WHT) auxiliary-port camera (ACAM) observations; and (2) coverage from the CFHTLS Wide-1 field.

---

\(^2\)https://github.com/mifumagalli/mypython

\(^3\)https://a-griffiths.github.io/Marz/
Figure 3. Example objects from the HST WFC3 grism data. In each case the top-left panel shows the median spectrum (orange) and contamination-masked mean spectrum (dark red). The lower-left panel in each case shows the corresponding spectra taken at each roll angle, whilst the right hand panel in each case shows a thumbnail taken from the WFC3 F140W stacked image.

Figure 4. Magnitude distribution of sources in the MUSE (top panel) and WFC3 (lower panel) field of views. In both panels, the fainter circles denote number counts for all detected sources, whilst the darker circles show those with successfully identified redshifts from the MUSE and WFC3 grism spectroscopic data.

Figure 5. Redshift distribution of the MUSE spectroscopic redshifts (top panel) and the HST/WFC3 grism redshifts (lower panel). The vertical dashed line marks the redshift of the central quasar, PKS 0232–04.

2.4.1 WHT ACAM

The WHT ACAM imaging provides $i \sim 25$ mag depth imaging, with the SDSS $g$, $r$, and $i$ filters. ACAM is mounted at the folded-Cassegrain of the WHT and covers a field of view with diameter $\approx 8$ arcmin at a pixel scale of 0.25 arcmin pixel$^{-1}$. 
The achieved velocity accuracy of $\sigma_v = 682\text{ km s}^{-1}$ (at $z = 1$) is represented by the solid red curves.

All observations were taken in observing runs W13BN5 (2013 November 24–25, PI: R. Crain), W14AN16 (2014 April 6–7, PI: R. Crain), and W17AP6 (2017 March 3–5, PI: R. Bielby). Seeing conditions were generally good with an image quality of $\approx 0.8–0.9$ arcsec.

The ACAM data were reduced using standard image reduction methods. Master bias and flat-field frames were produced by stacking individual calibration frames, which were then applied to the science images. Individual weight maps for use with ASTROMATIC software were produced from the flat-field images combined with a bad pixel mask. Astrometric solutions were derived for the images in each field using SCAMP and these were then used in constructing stacked images using SWARP.

Photometric calibration was performed by matching to the available (shallower) SDSS photometry in the region. Number counts for each of the filters are shown in Fig. 2 (dark blue squares), based on source catalogues extracted using SEXTTRACTOR on the individual images. The corresponding depths, based on 80 per cent completeness of artificial point sources placed in the images, are given in Table 1.

2.4.2 CFHTLS wide
The central quasar studied here falls $\approx 3$ arcmin from the Eastern edge of the CFHTLS (Cuillandre et al. 2012) W1 (wide) field (specifically in the ‘W1.4+4’ region). The CFHTLS Wide survey provides relatively uniform imaging across a large area with the CFHTLT $ugriz$ filter set. Image quality is constrained to $\approx 0.9$ arcsec across the survey, with the imaging reaching depths of $u, g, r, i \approx 25$ and $z \approx 24$ (AB), i.e. well suited to our needs. We note for reference that almost all of the W1 field has been surveyed spectroscopically to $i \approx 22.5$ as part of the VIPERS survey (Scoccioggi et al. 2018), however the central quasar studied here lies marginally outside the extent of that survey (12 arcmin from the nearest VIPERS spectroscopic data point).

We downloaded the publicly available median stacked images for each of the five bands in W1.4+4 from CADC (Canadian Astronomy Data Centre). Using SCAMP, these were then matched to the WFC3 imaging astrometry and then resampled and cropped using SWarp to match the WFC3 stack field of view. The image seeing and 80 per cent point-source completeness levels are reproduced in Table 1 (taken directly from the CFHTLS synoptic table). We show the number counts for objects detected in each of the bands across the WFC3-stack field of view in Fig. 2, based on catalogues extracted from the images using SEXTTRACTOR.

2.5 Galaxy properties
2.5.1 Collated photometric catalogue
In addition to the individual $F140W$ catalogue for grism extraction, we also produce a collated catalogue of all 10 ACAM, CFHTLS, and WFC3 filters. To do so, all images were astrometrically matched and resampled to a common grid using a combination of the SCAMP and SWARP software. SEXTTRACTOR was then run in dual image mode using the $F140W$ image as the detection image.

2.5.2 Photometric redshifts
Photometric redshifts are determined using the LE PHARE photometric fitting code (Arnouts et al. 1999; Ilbert et al. 2006), using the ‘COSMOS’ set of SED templates (as used by Ilbert et al. 2010). The template SED fitting was performed on all the available imaging data and using all secure spectroscopic galaxy redshifts from the MUSE IFU and WFC3 grism data (i.e. $Q_{w,13} = 3$ and $Q_{w,20} = 4$) as a training set. As discussed above, the photometric redshifts for the single line emitters with non-secure redshifts were then incorporated into optimizing the redshift identification for these objects.

A comparison between the LE PHARE photometric redshifts and the available spectroscopic data from WFC3 and MUSE is shown in Fig. 7 for magnitude limits of $i_{imag} < 24$, $i_{imag} < 25$ and $i_{imag} < 26$ (top, middle, and bottom panels, respectively). The red hexagons denote photometric redshifts from WFC3, whilst the blue squares denote those from MUSE. In both cases, we only use those with confidence flags of 3 or 4 (given that objects with flags of 2 have partially been assigned redshifts with the photometric redshifts as a prior). Where an object is detected with both WFC3 and MUSE, we take the more accurate MUSE redshift. For each sample we quote the resultant photometric redshift accuracy, $\sigma_{z(1+z)}$, and outlier percentage, $\eta$.

The photometric fitting shows reliable results at $i < 24$, with an accuracy of $\sigma_{z(1+z)} = 0.053$ and an outlier rate of $\eta = 5.9$ per cent, whilst the results degrade at $i > 24$ as the photometric uncertainties increase. Evident from the comparison is a large scatter in the photometric redshift accuracy at $z = 1.44$. These are galaxies in the local environment of the bright QSO, many of which appear to have spectral line ratios indicative of significant active galactic nucleus (AGN) activity (which we investigate further in a forthcoming paper). Whilst these specific objects have little impact on the intervening absorber science in this paper, we note that any AGN in the foreground of the QSO will have accurate spectroscopic redshifts from their strong line features. We note that the varying image quality across the imaging bands could cause issues for the photometric fitting, this is countered to some degree by the adaptive fitting incorporating the spectroscopic training set, which
for galaxies of mass $M$ distribution of ($0.818$ where $[\text{O II}]$ emission is observed either in the grism data or the log($[\text{N II}]$/H$\alpha$) contribution to the blended H$\alpha$ relationship given by Kennicutt (1998) adapted for the non-local galaxy population by Kewley, Geller & Jansen (2004) and using $A_V$ for $z<2.5$. Star formation rates

Photometry.

2.5.3 Star formation rates

Over the main redshift range of interest (0.68 < $z$ < 1.44), we primarily use the H$\alpha$ fluxes measured from the grism data to estimate SFRs for the individual galaxies in our sample. Using the relation given in Kennicutt (1998), divided by a factor of 1.8 to convert from Salpeter to Chabrier IMF. The galaxy intrinsic H$\alpha$ luminosities are estimated using an extinction correction of $A_V = 0.818A_V$ (Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis 1989), where we use a value of $A_V = 1$ consistent with the mean absorption estimated from the template fitting with LE PHARE and with Garn & Best 2010 for galaxies of mass $M_*=10^{10.5-10} M_\odot$. We remove the estimated $[\text{N II}]$ contribution to the blended H$\alpha$-[N II] emission assuming $\log([\text{N II]}/H\alpha) = -1.0$ (Faisst et al. 2018).

We supplement the H$\alpha$ derived SFRs with [O II] SFR estimates where [O II] emission is observed either in the grism data or the MUSE data. SFRs are estimated from the [O II] fluxes based on the relationship given by Kennicutt (1998) adapted for the non-local galaxy population by Kewley, Geller & Jansen (2004) and using $A_V = 1$ and $A_{[\text{O II}]} = 1.54A_V$ based on Cardelli et al. (1989). We note that for the objects where both [O II] and H$\alpha$ are detected, we find the two indicators give broadly consistent measures of the SFR (with an average offset of $\approx0.03$ dex and a scatter of $\approx0.4$ dex). In these instances, where we have both [O II] and H$\alpha$ measures of the SFR, we favour the H$\alpha$ for the analyses presented here given that it will be less affected by extinction and have a lesser dependence on metallicity and ionization parameter (e.g. Kewley et al. 2004; Gilbank et al. 2010). The resulting galaxy SFRs are given as a function of redshift in the lower-left panel of Fig. 8. We find a H$\alpha$-derived SFR systematic lower limit in the primary redshift range of interest in this study (i.e. $0.68 < z < 1.44$) of $\approx0.2-0.8 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. For galaxies with upper limits on the H$\alpha$ and/or [O II] fluxes, we propagate these upper limits to determine upper limits on the SFR.

2.5.4 Galaxy stellar masses

Given the catalogue of spectroscopic and photometric redshifts for our sample, we next derive galaxy stellar masses also using LE PHARE (via the GAZPAR online interface'). Following Ilbert et al. (2010), we use a set of SED templates calculated using the stellar population synthesis models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003). We assume a Chabrier (2003) IMF and an exponentially declining star formation history. Dust extinction is then applied to the templates using the Calzetti et al. (2000) law, with $EB-V$ in the range 0–0.7.

Stellar masses for the spectroscopically identified galaxy sample are given in the lower-left panel of Fig. 8. The depths of our NIR imaging correspond to approximate limiting masses of $M_* \approx 10^{7.5-8} M_\odot$ across the redshift range $0.68 < z < 1.44$. In the right-hand panels of Fig. 8 we show the SFR and SSFR versus stellar mass for the galaxy sample in the redshift range $0.68 < z < 1.44$. Points are colour-coded by each galaxy's redshift. For comparison, the plotted line shows the $z \approx 1$ star formation main-sequence trend reported by Karim et al. (2011). From this, we can see that the galaxies lie on or around the star-forming main sequence.

2.5.5 Inferred galaxy halo properties

Based on the derived stellar masses, we infer galaxy halo masses ($M_{\text{halo}}$), virial radii ($R_{\text{vir}}$), virial velocity dispersions ($\sigma_v$), and virial temperatures ($T_{\text{vir}}$) estimates for each of our galaxies. Clustering studies suggest a correlation between galaxy stellar mass and host halo mass over a wide range of redshift based on the ΛCDM prediction of distribution of dark matter (e.g. Wake et al. 2011; Coupon et al. 2012; Bielby et al. 2014; Cochrane et al. 2018). Here we infer halo masses based on the individual estimated stellar masses of the galaxies in our sample using the relations based on abundance matching presented by Behroozi, Wechsler & Conroy (2013). From these halo masses, we then (with a number of simplifying assumptions) infer virial radii and virial temperatures for these structures (e.g. Shull 2014; van de Voort 2017). The virial radius for a given halo mass can be expressed as

\[
R_{\text{vir}} \approx 340kpc \left( \frac{M_{\text{halo}}}{10^{12} M_\odot} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{1+z}
\]

using a 'virial overdensity' threshold of $18\pi^2$ (e.g. Bryan & Norman 1998; van de Voort 2017). The halo velocity dispersion, $\sigma_v$ is
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Figure 8. Derived photometric properties of the spectroscopically identified galaxy sample. SFRs were estimated primarily from measured H$\alpha$ emission where available and [OII] emission when H$\alpha$ was not covered by the spectral range of the data. Galaxy masses were estimated using photometric fitting to the available photometric data. The error bars along the right-hand axes of the left-hand panels show the mean estimated uncertainty on the points in bins of 1 dex. In the right-hand panels we limit the data to the prime redshift range of interest (0.68 < z < 1.4) and colour code points by redshift (as defined by the associated colour-bars). For reference, we also show the $M_\star$–SFR relations derived at z ≈ 0.5, z ≈ 0.9, and z ≈ 1.4 given by Karim et al. (2011) (solid curves colour-coded by redshift, with the line widths indicating the uncertainties on the mean SFR measurements).

estimated using the above halo mass and virial radius, whilst the corresponding virial temperature can be expressed as

$$T_{\text{vir}} \approx 3 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{M_{\text{halo}}}{10^{12} M_\odot} \right)^{3/2} (1 + z)$$

(2)

assuming a mean molecular mass of $\mu = 0.59$ (e.g. van de Voort 2017). We make a note of caution that the environments in which a number of the galaxies in our survey exist are perhaps unlikely to be virialized. It is with this caveat that we use the virial radius in this work, and as such use such a metric largely to give a relative sense of scale between different galaxies within our study. For context, at $R_{\text{vir}}$ (for $M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{12} M_\odot$ at $z \approx 1$) the escape velocity is $v_{\text{esc}} \approx 260$ km s$^{-1}$, whilst at $2R_{\text{vir}}$ the escape velocity is $v_{\text{esc}} \approx 180$ km s$^{-1}$.

### 2.6 High-resolution ultraviolet absorption spectroscopy

The HST spectroscopic observations with COS were obtained as part of the COS Absorption Survey of Baryon Harbors (CASBaH, HST Programs 11741 and 13846, PI: T. Tripp). Full details about the CASBaH programme design and data handling are reported by Tripp et al. (in preparation); here we briefly summarize some important aspects of the data set. The FUV G130M and G160M spectra were obtained to survey very weak absorption lines such as the Ne VIII doublet and $8 \text{For further information about the design and performance of COS, please see Green et al. (2012) and Osterman et al. (2011). Information about the design and performance of STIS can be found in Woodgate et al. (1998), Kimble et al. (1998), and Riley et al. (2018).}
accordingly required higher signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios. The NUV were obtained to record the stronger H \textsc{i} and longer-wavelength metal species (e.g. \textsc{c iii}, \textsc{sii}, and \textsc{v iii}) to be identified with \textsc{ne v}ii absorbers; for the detection of these stronger lines, lower S/N was acceptable. For PKS 0232–042, the COS G130M and G160M spectra have median S/N ratios of 13 and 17, respectively, whilst the COS G185M and G225M spectra have median S/N = 5. The STIS E230M spectrum has median S/N = 7.

We reduced the FUV data as described in Meiring et al. (2011) and Tripp et al. (in preparation). In brief, we used the CALCOS pipeline (version 3.1.7) to carry out the initial reduction steps allowed to freely vary and are entirely independent of the O\textsc{vi} parameters. We do not attempt to extract information about turbulent versus thermal broadening of the lines in this paper. In some instances, the O\textsc{vi} (or other) lines of interest are blended with interloping lines from other redshifts. In these cases, we include the interloping lines in the fit, but again, the interloper parameters are allowed to freely vary and are entirely dependent of the parameters of the target O\textsc{vi} lines. Because the CASBaH data have broad wavelength coverage extending from 1152 Å to the Ly\alpha emission line of the QSO, the interloping lines are often well constrained by other lines in the CASBaH data. For example, the O\textsc{vi} 1031.93 Å line at z = 0.173 55 is blended with an H \textsc{i} 915.82 Å line at z = 0.322 50; the parameters of this interloper are tightly constrained because many other H \textsc{i} Lyman series lines at this redshift are recorded in the CASBaH data, and those other Lyman lines are included in the overall fit.

We show the absorption systems, and the associated model fits, used in this paper in Fig. 9. In each panel, the black line shows the entire Voigt-profile model including all blends that were included in the fit, and the red line shows the Voigt-profile model for only the species of interest (indicated in each panel). We show three panels per absorption system: one of the H \textsc{i} Lyman series lines (often there are many to choose from; we selected the one that was most informative, but all available Lyman series lines were used in the fit); and both of the lines of the O\textsc{vi} doublet. Whilst we are only studying O\textsc{vi} in this paper, it is helpful to see the corresponding H \textsc{i} to corroborate the O\textsc{vi} identification. Tripp et al. (in preparation) give the full suite of lines detected and measured in each of these systems. Note that for the O\textsc{vi} absorber at z_{abs} = 0.173 55, the O\textsc{vi} 1038 Å line is lost in the Milky Way Ly\alpha + geocoronal Ly\alpha transitions of a specific species simultaneously (e.g. the O\textsc{vi} 1031.93 and 1037.62 Å lines are fitted jointly and must have the same parameters), but different species are fitted independently (e.g. the H \textsc{i} profile parameters are entirely independent of the O\textsc{vi} parameters).

### Table 3. Parameters for the identified O\textsc{vi} doublet absorption systems found in the PKS 0232–04 sightline using the HST COS and STIS data.

| \(z_{sys}\) | \(\Delta v\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | EW (Å) | log[N(O\textsc{vi})] (cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(b\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.173 55 | +0 ± 4 | 0.54 | 13.7 ± 0.1 | 18.9 ± 6.5 |
| 0.218 02 | −57 ± 8 | 0.64 | 13.8 ± 0.1 | 34.8 ± 11.1 |
| +0 ± 1 | 1.87 | 14.5 ± 0.1 | 23.9 ± 2.2 |
| +74 ± 2 | 1.04 | 14.0 ± 0.1 | 25.1 ± 3.1 |
| 0.322 43 | +0 ± 4 | 0.59 | 13.7 ± 0.1 | 33.4 ± 6.1 |
| 0.355 89 | +0 ± 6 | 0.33 | 13.5 ± 0.1 | 24.3 ± 9.2 |
| 0.363 84 | −87 ± 12 | 0.36 | 13.5 ± 0.1 | 42.4 ± 20.3 |
| +0 ± 5 | 0.54 | 13.7 ± 0.1 | 21.5 ± 7.1 |
| +76 ± 2 | 0.35 | 13.5 ± 0.1 | 10.9 ± 4.8 |
| 0.434 31 | −81 ± 3 | 0.77 | 13.9 ± 0.1 | 30.4 ± 4.6 |
| +0 ± 2 | 0.69 | 13.9 ± 0.1 | 14.8 ± 3.2 |
| 0.512 08 | −25 ± 6 | 0.39 | 13.5 ± 0.1 | 25.7 ± 8.6 |
| 0.739 01 | −189 ± 23 | 1.07 | 13.5 ± 0.1 | 63.1 ± 32.9 |
| +0 ± 8 | 2.54 | 14.4 ± 0.1 | 73.3 ± 11.7 |
| 0.807 83 | −167 ± 4 | 0.88 | 14.0 ± 0.1 | 16.8 ± 6.4 |
| +0 ± 3 | 2.65 | 14.6 ± 0.1 | 32.9 ± 4.3 |
| 0.868 16 | −52 ± 10 | 0.28 | 13.4 ± 0.4 | 9.9 ± 17.0 |
| +0 ± 4 | 1.77 | 14.4 ± 0.1 | 22.6 ± 6.3 |
| 1.088 94 | +0 ± 13 | 2.09 | 14.4 ± 0.2 | 31.6 ± 13.9 |
| +66 ± 40 | 0.87 | 13.9 ± 0.6 | 34.2 ± 45.7 |
| 1.356 46 | −45 ± 25 | 0.92 | 14.0 ± 0.4 | 29.2 ± 28.9 |
| +0 ± 8 | 1.13 | 14.1 ± 0.3 | 18.4 ± 9.5 |
Figure 9. Spectra (blue step histogram) of O VI and H I absorption lines (as labelled) overlayed with the absorption-profile fits. In each panel, the black line shows the full fit (including blends from interloping lines from other redshifts), and the red line shows the Voigt-profile model for the O VI or H I only.
complex, so we do not show the 1038 Å data in that stack. Instead, we show the Ly α, Ly β, and O VI 1032 Å lines.

3 THE GALAXY ENVIRONMENT OF O VI ABSORBERS

The blind nature of both the HST-WFC3 grism and VLT-MUSE large field IFU data provide a large quantity of information on the galaxy environment directly surrounding the gaseous environments probed along the quasar sightline. We aim to utilize these data to probe the galaxy environments of the individual O VI absorption systems along the line of sight.

We consider the systems in two separate redshift ranges: i.e. a low-redshift sample (i.e. $z < 0.68$), where the galaxy population is primarily probed up to $\approx 0.5$ arcmin from the sightline by the MUSE data; and a high-redshift sample ($0.68 < z < 1.44$), where the population is revealed over a wider field of view up to $\approx 1.5$ arcmin with the grism data. For the analyses that follow, we define a velocity window within which to consider an absorber to be associated with a local galaxy. We consider a number of physical factors: (1) the rotational velocity of galaxies within the mass range covered by our sample; (2) the typical range of velocities measured for outflowing material from galaxies; (3) the velocity dispersion of galaxies within galaxy groups and clusters; and (4) the velocity uncertainties on the galaxy redshifts themselves.

Taking typical galaxy rotation curves for guidance, galaxies at $z \approx 1$ with masses of $\approx 10^{10}$ M$_\odot$ have median rotational velocities of $\approx 120–160$ km s$^{-1}$, although rotational velocities of up to $\approx 2 \times$ the median are common (e.g. Johnson et al. 2018). Velocity offsets of up to $\approx 320$ km s$^{-1}$ therefore seem reasonable if any O VI absorption is tracing co-rotating material within the galaxy halo. Considering galactic winds driven by star formation activity, outflow velocities of $v_w \approx 200–400$ km s$^{-1}$ are typical at the redshifts we probe (e.g. Mathes et al. 2014), although wind velocities of up to $\approx 600$ km s$^{-1}$ have also been reported (e.g. Shopbell & Bland-Hawthorn 1998; Shapley et al. 2003; Tripp et al. 2011). In terms of large-scale galaxy structures, massive galaxy clusters can have velocity dispersions up to $\approx 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ (e.g. Struble & Rood 1999). Such a large velocity window is clearly not appropriate for draw associations with the average galaxy population, however, so such a large offset will only be considered in this work where a galaxy group or cluster is detected. Allowing for the potential range in velocity offsets, we set a velocity window for associating absorbers with galaxies of $\Delta v_{\text{max}} = \max \{ 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \sigma_v \}$, where $\sigma_v$ is the velocity uncertainty on any given galaxy (i.e. $\approx 50–80$ km s$^{-1}$ for galaxies with MUSE redshifts and $\approx 682$ km s$^{-1}$ for galaxies with only grism redshifts).

As a reference point, low redshift studies (Werk et al. 2016; Nielsen et al. 2017; Kacprzak et al. 2019) find O VI absorber–galaxy pairs, at $z = 0.2$, associated in velocity space within $|\Delta v| \leq 200$ km s$^{-1}$.

In the following section, we provide details of individual absorber–galaxy associations, discussing system properties and environment on a case-by-case basis. We collate the data and present the statistical properties of the sample as a whole in Section 3.2.

3.1 Census of O VI systems

An overview of the field is presented in Fig. 10, where all detected O VI absorbers (diamonds) and galaxies (squares and hexagons) at $z < 1.5$ are shown as a function of redshift and impact parameter to the quasar sightline (lower panel). The top panel shows a histogram of the galaxy population within 600 kpc of the sightline at 0.68 $< z$ $< 1.44$. The vertical shaded regions highlight redshifts coincident with the O VI absorbers. The dotted and dashed horizontal lines in the top panel denote the median and twice the median galaxy density in the field, respectively. The data reveal a diversity of environments in terms of galaxy density and potential associations between O VI absorption systems and the galaxy population.

We now look at how the ionized oxygen is distributed around the galaxies, by analysing the column density of O VI absorbers as a function of impact parameter to individual detected galaxies within our given $\Delta v_{\text{max}}$ constraints. This is shown in Fig. 11, where the filled red hexagons and dark blue squares denote the QSAGE O VI–galaxy pairs at $z > 0.68$ and $z \leq 0.68$, respectively. We differentiate upper limits as pale hexagons and squares for the two samples respectively. These upper limits are calculated within $\Delta v \leq 50$ km s$^{-1}$ of detected galaxies in the survey sample. The open hexagons show the impact parameters of galaxies that are not the nearest to the sightline, but do fall within the $\Delta v_{\text{max}}$ velocity window. We find five O VI absorption systems associated with galaxies in our sample at $z > 0.68$ and a further three at $z \leq 0.68$, given the $\Delta v_{\text{max}}$ constraint on associations. These fall primarily at impact parameters of $\approx 100$ kpc, but extend to $\approx 350$ kpc in the most extreme case. Within this range in impact parameters, we found numerous non-detections with limits of $N_{\text{OVI}} \lesssim 10^{13.5–14}$ cm$^{-2}$. These non-detections point to a large scatter in O VI column densities within $\approx 300$ kpc of galaxies at $z \sim 1$.

For comparison, we also show the COS-Haloes measurements taken from Werk et al. (2016, green points). The triangle, diamond, and circle points show broad, narrow, and ‘no low-ionization’ absorbers, respectively. The Werk et al. (2016) data consist primarily of isolated galaxies at $z < 0.2$, with impact parameters of $\leq 120$ kpc ($\lesssim 0.9 R_{\text{vir}}$) and $M_\star \gtrsim 10^{10.5}$ M$_\odot$. The blue ‘+’ symbols show the results of Kacprzak et al. (2015). These show isolated galaxies probing down to a faintest galaxy near-infrared (AB) magnitude of $\approx 22.5$ mag (corresponding to a minimum stellar mass of $\approx 10^8$ M$_\odot$). We estimate these data probe up to a maximum impact parameter in units of the virial radius of $b/R_{\text{vir}} \approx 1.8$. Complementary to these, the orange ‘x’ symbols show column densities from Piontto et al. (2017), which correspond to galaxies identified to be in groups at $z < 0.5$. In collating these datasets, we only plot points for the galaxies that are deemed to be ‘associated’ with each individual absorber by the corresponding authors (i.e. we do not plot multiple galaxies for a single absorber). Combining these datasets here produces a broad mixture of galaxy properties and environments, such that caution is required in comparing any one sample to another. However, noting this, our own sample is effectively a blind selection incorporating whatever environments and galaxies happen to be found along the given sightline.

The dashed curve and shaded region show a fit calculated by Werk et al. (2016) for their broad absorber (triangle) data. The distinction between the broad and narrow categories here is identified using the Doppler parameter at a value of $b \sim 30$ km s$^{-1}$. Werk et al. (2016) exclude narrow O VI absorption and absorbers with no associated low-ionization lines from this fit to the data, finding that these dominate the large scatter in the observed column densities. Quantitatively, 15 out of 16 of the Werk et al. (2016) broad absorbers lie on the relation, whilst 13 of 17 narrow and ‘no-low’ absorbers deviate significantly below the fit. Two of our own data points at $z < 0.68$ lie at impact parameters, both in proper distance and as a fraction of virial radius ($r_{\text{vir}}$), approximately equivalent to the Werk et al. (2016) data. These both lie well below the $z \sim 0.2$ broad-absorber fit and are more consistent with the narrow absorbers of Werk et al. (2016). Indeed both have velocity widths...
significantly below the Werk et al. (2016) fit to the column densities pair similarly corresponds to a narrow absorption feature and lies broad and narrow absorption systems. The third galaxy-absorber the range of the Werk et al. (2016) data, whilst also representing data, these generally lie at impact parameters extending beyond galaxies of different masses, SFRs and environments. Despite these range of their data.

of $b \lesssim 30$ km s$^{-1}$, chosen by Werk et al. (2016) to classify between broad and narrow absorption systems. The third galaxy-absorber pair similarly corresponds to a narrow absorption feature and lies significantly below the Werk et al. (2016) fit to the column densities of broad absorbers extrapolated to impact parameters beyond the range of their data.

considering the $0.68 < z < 1.4$ absorber–galaxy pairs from our data, these generally lie at impact parameters extending beyond the range of the Werk et al. (2016) data, whilst also representing galaxies of different masses, SFRs and environments. Despite these caveats, the detections at $z > 0.68$ in our own data (which are predominantly $b \gtrsim 30$ km s$^{-1}$ absorbers) are consistent with the extrapolated low-redshift fit, suggesting some consistency between the picture at $z < 0.2$ and at $z \sim 1$. The extent to which we identify absorbers at distances of up to $b \approx 300–400$ kpc (or $b \approx 4–5R_{\text{vir}}$) from the most proximate detected galaxy appears comparable to wide field low-redshift results such as those presented by Mathes et al. (2014), Kacprzak et al. (2015), Johnson, Chen & Mulchaey (2015a), and Pointon et al. (2017).

We now consider individual systems of interest: (1) those where O VI is detected; (2) those where a galaxy or set of galaxies falls within $b \lesssim 2R_{\text{vir}}$ of the quasar sightline but no O VI is detected; or (3) a candidate group/cluster environment is found within the WFC3 data field of view. In this instance, we focus on galaxies within impact parameter $bR_{\text{vir}} = 2$ cut prompted in part by results at low redshift (Tumlinson et al. 2011; Shull 2014) but also for brevity in focusing on only the systems of most interest where we might have expected to find absorption systems based on previous studies. We provide a list of the proximate galaxies along the sightline and their properties in Table 4.

### 3.1.1 Absorbers at $z < 0.68$

Whilst the data at $z < 0.68$ do not probe the large physical scales afforded by the higher redshift sample, we do detect a number of galaxies coincident with absorbers in the sightline. These are shown in Fig. 12 alongside all $z < 0.68$ galaxies that lie within $b = 2R_{\text{vir}}$ where no O VI absorption is detected.

The absorbers at $z = 0.1736$, $z = 0.3224$, and $z = 0.4343$ are all found to lie within $2R_{\text{vir}}$ of galaxies detected in the MUSE data. The velocity offsets between the galaxies and absorbers in all three cases are $\lesssim 50$ km s$^{-1}$, i.e. relatively small in comparison to the nominal halo $\sigma_v$ estimates for the galaxies ($\gtrsim 200$ km s$^{-1}$). We note that the absorbers detected at $z = 0.218$, $z = 0.356$, $z = 0.364$, and $z = 0.512$ show no detected galaxies in the deep MUSE data within a few 1000 km s$^{-1}$ and therefore are not shown in Fig. 12. The MUSE field of view at these redshifts corresponds to maximum probed impact parameters of $b = 109$ kpc (compared to a virial radius of
Galaxies within this range that have been identified in the MUSE MNRAS 486, found 'host' galaxies of OVI absorption at impact parameters of up to 157 kpc, respectively. Given previous studies at low redshift have ≈ b within galaxies at 680 km s\(^{-1}\), grouping is z > absorptions detected at z < 0.295. The upper limit on any associated O VI coincident with this absorption features.

Table 4. Properties of proximate galaxies to the quasar sightline. First five lines shown only, full table available online.

| ID          | RA (J2000) | Dec. (AB) | \(m_{F140W}\) | z  | b   (kpc) | \(\log(M_\star)\) (M\(_\odot\)) | \(\log(M_{\text{halo}})\) (M\(_\odot\)) | \(R_{\text{vir}}\) (kpc) | \(\log(\text{SFR})\) (M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\)) |
|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|----|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| QSAGE J023508.12+023508.1 | 38.78385 | -4.04062 | 19.41 ± 0.01 | 0.1735 | 73 | 9.45 ± 0.05 | 11.5 ± 0.1 | 148 ± 0.23 | -0.25 ± 0.01 |
| QSAGE J023506.49+023506.5 | 38.77705 | -4.02821 | 23.79 ± 0.05 | 0.2942 | 122 | 7.95 ± 0.21 | 10.6 ± 0.2 | 63 ± 0.41 | -1.79 ± 0.07 |
| QSAGE J023506.12+023506.1 | 38.77551 | -4.02791 | 23.06 ± 0.01 | 0.2948 | 140 | 8.04 ± 0.01 | 10.6 ± 0.1 | 66 ± 0.10 | -2.21 ± 0.06 |
| QSAGE J023507.78+023507.8 | 38.78240 | -4.03727 | 22.63 ± 0.02 | 0.2961 | 50 | 8.76 ± 0.08 | 11.2 ± 0.2 | 101 ± 0.28 | 0.17 ± 0.12 |
| QSAGE J023507.89+023507.9 | 38.78287 | -4.03313 | 24.73 ± 0.19 | 0.3224 | 50 | 7.72 ± 0.29 | 10.1 ± 0.3 | 42 ± 0.41 | -2.05 ± 0.23 |

Figure 12. The velocity (top panels) and spatial (lower panels) distribution of galaxies (hexagons) around each of the z < 0.68 systems where one or more galaxies are found within b = 2R_{\text{vir}} of the sightline. The presence of any O VI absorption lines at each redshift is denoted by the vertical dashed lines in the top panels and a central blue star in the lower panels. Dashed circles centred on the galaxy positions illustrate the scales of 2R_{\text{vir}} and 2\(\sigma_\text{v}\) for each galaxy. The dotted square in each spatial panel indicates the extent of the MUSE FOV at the system redshift.

\(R_{\text{vir},12} \approx 195\) kpc for a \(M_\star \approx 10^{12}\) M\(_\odot\) mass galaxy), 154 kpc \(R_{\text{vir},12} \approx 176\) kpc), 156 kpc \(R_{\text{vir},12} \approx 174\) kpc), and 191 kpc \(R_{\text{vir},12} \approx 157\) kpc), respectively. Given previous studies at low redshift have found 'host' galaxies of O VI absorption at impact parameters of up to b ≈ 2R_{\text{vir}}, and some deep surveys at low redshift have found O VI absorbers at very large distances from the closest galaxy (Tripp et al. 2006; Johnson, Chen & Mulchaey 2013), it is not unreasonable that associated galaxies for these absorbers may fall outside of the field of view. Indeed, from a search of the NASA Extragalactic Database (NED) and the soon to be published CASBAH data, we find galaxies associated with these absorbers outside the MUSE field of view. In relation to the z = 0.512 absorber, we find a galaxy at z = 0.511 listed in NED, which is also detected in the WFC3 grism data via absorption features.

Taking the reverse, i.e. galaxies with no detectable associated O VI absorption in the sightline data, we find four such galaxies within b ≈ 2R_{\text{vir}} of the sightline at z < 0.68. Three of these form a small grouping, lying within ≲ 600 km s\(^{-1}\) of each other at z ≈ 0.29. The upper limit on any associated O VI coincident with this grouping is N_{OVI} < 10^{13.9} cm\(^{-2}\) (which we note is higher than the detected systems at z < 0.68).

3.1.2 Galaxy environments probed by the sightline at z > 0.68

Fig. 13 shows the galaxy distributions around each of the 5 O VI absorption systems detected at z > 0.68. In each case, galaxies within \(\Delta v = \pm 680\) km s\(^{-1}\) are marked with green points, galaxies at \(-1600\) km s\(^{-1}\) < \(\Delta v\) < \(-680\) km s\(^{-1}\) with blue points, and galaxies at 680 km s\(^{-1}\) < \(\Delta v\) < 1600 km s\(^{-1}\) with red points. Galaxies within this range that have been identified in the MUSE IFU data are given a black outline, whilst the estimated 2R_{\text{vir}} scale for each galaxy is illustrated by the dashed ellipses. In each case \(\Delta v = 0\) km s\(^{-1}\) is centred on the strongest component observed in the O VI absorption system at each redshift. The large dotted circle in each of the spatial panels shows the approximate field of view of the WFC3 observations at each redshift. As with the low redshift sample, we find the absorbers predominantly lie within ≲ 100 km s\(^{-1}\) of a nearby galaxy, except in the case of the absorber at z ≈ 0.73. Additionally, the impact parameters in three of these cases are at scales of b < 2R_{\text{vir}}.

The z ≈ 0.819 strong (N_{OVI} = 10^{14.4±0.19} cm\(^{-2}\)) O VI absorption in the quasar sightline data, with three of these having velocities within a range of \(\Delta v ≈ 250\) km s\(^{-1}\) of each other (based on the MUSE [O II] measured redshifts). Of these three most closely associated galaxies, we find that the stellar mass is dominated by a \(M_\star = 10^{10.0±0.1}\) M\(_\odot\) galaxy, which lies at \(\Delta v = +230\) km s\(^{-1}\) and b = 170 kpc from the absorption system. The remaining two closely associated galaxies are estimated to have masses of \(M_\star ≈ 10^{8.5}\) M\(_\odot\).

Given the spatial and velocity distribution, we assume these to be the primary members forming a triple/group (with the remaining galaxies at larger separations spatially and in velocity potentially tracing the large scale structure environment). Given only three detected members, it is not possible to reasonably estimate a halo mass from the velocity dispersion, however from the stellar mass, we infer a halo mass for the central group galaxy of \(M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{11.8±0.1}\) M\(_\odot\). From this halo mass, we estimate a virial radius of R_{\text{vir}} ≈ 90 kpc, meaning the dominant galaxy lies at b = 1.9R_{\text{vir}} from the sightline.
Comparing to similar studies, Pointon et al. (2017) find peaks above twice the median galaxy density at the sightline. From the galaxy density, we can infer virial radii of each galaxy within the cosmic web absorbers, which is clearly satisfied here (see Fig. 13 for the velocity (top panels) and spatial (lower panels) distributions of galaxies at redshifts centred on detected O VI absorbers at z > 0.68. The sightline position is marked by a star in each case, whilst the O VI absorption lines at each redshift are denoted by the vertical dashed lines in the top panels. Galaxies marked in green are within Δv ≳ 680 km s⁻¹ of the absorber, whilst blue and red points denote galaxies blueshifted and redshifted by Δv ≳ 680 km s⁻¹ respectively. Points with a black hexagonal outline have been detected in O II emission in the MUSE datacube. We mark twice the inferred virial radii of each galaxy within Δv = 1800 km s⁻¹ of the detected absorber. The large dotted circle marks the extent of the WFC3 grism data field of view at the redshift of interest.

For the z ≃ 0.73 system, no galaxy lies within Δr < 680 km s⁻¹ of the absorber in the data sample. Indeed, this system may be a prospective candidate as the sightline tracing the cosmic web outside of the nearest galaxy. As such, this system may be a prospective candidate as the sightline tracing the cosmic web outside of the nearest galaxy. Thus opening the possibility of discerning any correlation between absorber properties and parent-halo properties (e.g. Oppenheimer et al. 2008). Those authors set a limit of Δv > 2 in their analysis to identify candidate cosmic web absorbers, which is clearly satisfied here (see Fig. 13). We note that the redshift uncertainties on these galaxies (which lack MUSE coverage) are ≃680 km s⁻¹ and as such the lack of alignment in velocity space could in part be due to the redshift accuracy of the galaxies.

Looking to the remaining overdense regions along the sightline as traced by the galaxy distribution. From the galaxy density, we find peaks above twice the median galaxy density at z ≃ 0.698, z ≃ 0.977, z ≃ 1.026, z ≃ 1.089, z ≃ 1.131, and z ≃ 1.396 (marked in Fig. 10). For each of these we plot the galaxy distribution, both spatially (lower panels) and in velocity space (top panels), around the sightline in Fig. 14 (except for the z ≃ 1.089 system which has already been discussed and shown in Fig. 13). We centre the velocity axis in the top panels on the nearest galaxy (in units of Rvir) to the sightline.

Overall, we find little sign of O VI absorption features tracing overdensities along the sightline probed, finding only one case out of 6 in which there is an association between detected O VI and a galaxy overdensity (i.e. the z ≃ 1.089 system already discussed). Comparing to similar studies, Pointon et al. (2017) find 33 ± 16 per cent of their 18 galaxy groups align with detected O VI absorption. The Pointon et al. (2017) survey is at lower redshift and incorporates a greater number of galaxies at smaller impact parameters than our own sample, but despite this the two survey samples show approximately the same general trend for only a relatively small fraction of galaxy groups to align with strong O VI absorption.

3.2 The properties of O VI associated galaxies

Matter in the Universe is distributed hierarchically, such that galaxies exist within shared haloes. Assuming this present paradigm of hierarchically ordered dark matter haloes and sub-haloes, the conditions at any point within large scale structure can be driven and influenced by a number of components of the surrounding structure, e.g. the nearest galaxy, individual galaxies in the local neighbourhood, and as the overall mass and size scale of the matter halo hosting both the gas and the galaxies. As such (and combined with the large scales over which the O VI ion is observed around galaxies) it is worth identifying any correlations between not just the properties of the nearest galaxy to a given absorption system, but also to the wider galaxy population and environment. As discussed, this is one of the primary advantages of the strategy invoked in this survey, that we take a blind snapshot of the galaxy population around the quasar sightline.

We take two primary approaches in this section: (1) what are the observed properties of the most proximate galaxies to the sightline? and (2) what are those same properties for the most massive galaxies detected at each given redshift probed? The motivation for the latter is that, by isolating the most massive galaxies, these more closely relate the total structure mass that is being probed, thus opening the possibility of discerning any correlation between absorber properties and parent-halo properties (e.g. Oppenheimer et al. 2016; Nelson et al. 2018).

We begin by analysing the properties of galaxies lying closest in impact parameter to each O VI absorber, within our defined velocity window around an absorber redshift. These are shown in Fig. 15, with the absorber column density versus SFR of the nearest galaxy in the top panel and the absorber column density versus nearest galaxy stellar mass in the lower panel. In both cases, the red squares show the galaxy–absorber pairs at z < 0.68, whilst the 0.68 < z < 1.44 pairs are split into two groups: those with b < 2Rvir (red hexagons); and those at 2Rvir < b < 5Rvir (grey triangles). In each case the paler points denote upper limits on O VI absorption, whilst the darker points denote significant detections. We show the z < 0.2 data-points of Werk et al. (2013) as the green diamonds in both panels.

At z < 0.68, we find three significant detections of O VI are coincident with galaxies. The galaxies cover a wide range of SFR (0.01 M⊙ yr⁻¹ ≤ SFR ≤ 2 M⊙ yr⁻¹) with stellar masses of log(M/M⊙) ≤ 10⁸.5, lower than those observed by Werk et al. (2013). We note that three of the associations are at b/Rvir ≤ 1 (i.e. equivalent to the Werk et al. 2013 sample), with the remaining two
to identify such galaxies in the MUSE field of view, i.e. up to \( \sim 300 \) kpc, to a magnitude limit of \( i \approx 24 \) if any were present. We note that the space density of passive galaxies to \( i \lesssim 24 \) at \( z \sim 1 \) is \( n \approx 0.0003 \) kpc\(^{-1}\) (e.g. Bielby et al. 2014), equating to \( \sim 1 \) in a 1 arcmin\(^2\). 0.68 \( < z < 1.44 \) volume on average (i.e. not taking into account the highly clustered nature of such galaxies). Our data in this field can do little then to inform both the low-SFR range (\( \lesssim 10^{-0.5} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\)) and by association the high-mass range beyond \( M_* \gtrsim 10^{11} M_\odot \). However, the fact that we find such low limits on OVI absorption around star-forming galaxies has implications for any causal connection between ongoing star-formation in a galaxy and the detection of OVI ions in the galaxy’s vicinity. Indeed, the large impact parameters involved, alongside recent kinematical studies (e.g. Nielsen et al. 2017), suggest that a causal connection between current SFR and OVI absorption systems is not universally applicable. Comparing to the low redshift Werk et al. (2013) data, we find a higher fraction of SFR \( \approx 1 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) galaxies with no detected coincident OVI absorption (at the \( N(OVI) \lesssim 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-2}\) level) in our \( z \sim 1 \) data, although the larger impact parameters probed in our own sample compared to the Werk et al. (2013) data will inescapably be a significant contributing factor to this.

Moving to the OVI column density as a function of coincident galaxy stellar mass, the \( z < 0.68 \) sample shows all the absorber-galaxy pairs correspond to stellar masses of \( M_* \lesssim 10^{9.5} M_\odot \) and column densities of \( N(OVI) \lesssim 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-2}\). Interestingly, combining this \( \log(M_*/M_\odot) \approx 10^{0.5} \) sample with the Werk et al. (2013) data (with the caveat that two of our data points are at larger impact parameters than present in the Werk et al. 2013 data), shows some suggestion of a transition from low to high column densities around a proximate galaxy stellar mass of \( M_* \sim 10^{9.5} M_\odot \). The higher redshift sample primarily probes stellar masses of \( \approx 10^{9.5} M_\odot \), i.e. coincident with the possible transition region in the low redshift data. Indeed, the \( z \sim 1 \) data tentatively support this picture of an increase in OVI column density at stellar masses in the range \( \approx 10^{8.5-10} M_\odot \). In addition, we find a single galaxy-absorber pair corresponding to a galaxy with stellar mass \( M_* \approx 10^{11} M_\odot \). This higher mass point corresponds to an OVI upper limit of \( N(OVI) \lesssim 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-2}\) and overlaps with the regime in the low-redshift data of Werk et al. (2013) where a large fraction of galaxies show no detectable sightline OVI absorption. The small number of data points in this first analysis is restrictive in making any strong conclusions however.

We now reproduce the plot of column density versus galaxy properties from Fig. 15, but with the SFR (top panel) and stellar mass
around the sightline and not just the nearest or most massive. We measure the covering fraction for O VI column densities of $N_{\text{OVI}} > 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$, such that the covering fraction is given by $c = n_{\text{gal}}(N_{\text{OVI}} > 10^{14}) / n_{\text{gal}}$. Galaxies found within $\Delta a = \max\{\sigma_c, 400$ km s$^{-1}\}$ of a column density upper limit of $N_{\text{OVI}} > 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ (with no corresponding significant detection) are not included in the calculation (i.e. as these are likely the result of contamination from other absorption lines masked in the analysis).

The resulting covering fraction of O VI absorbers with column densities of $N > 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ is shown as a function of impact parameter (in units of the virial radius) in the left-hand panel of Fig. 17. We find a trend for increasing covering fraction with decreasing impact parameter in the $0.68 < z < 1.5$ sample, with covering fractions of $c \approx 0.2$ up to $b/R_{\text{vir}} = 4$. Covering fractions based on Werk et al. (2013) and Kacprzak et al. (2015) are shown by the green diamonds and blue plus symbols respectively. As described previously, the Werk et al. (2013) data are primarily higher mass and lower redshift than our own data, whilst the Kacprzak et al. (2015) data lie at $z \lesssim 0.5$ and are comparable in stellar mass range to our own data. Clearly our own sample predominantly probes large scales in impact parameter than these previous works. Agreement is seen where the QSOGe data overlap with the Kacprzak et al. (2015) data at $1 < b/R_{\text{vir}} < 2$.

In the central panel of Fig. 17, we show the covering fraction for galaxies split into two mass bins: $M_* < 10^{9.5} M_\odot$ and $10^{9.5} M_\odot < M_* < 10^{10.6} M_\odot$. We find a moderately significant relation between covering fraction and stellar mass at $b/R_{\text{vir}} \approx 2$, with the higher mass galaxies showing a higher covering fraction. Again, we show covering fractions based on the data of Werk et al. (2013), now split in bins of $10^{9.6} M_\odot < M_* < 10^{10.6} M_\odot$ (i.e. matching our own higher mass sample) and $M_* > 10^{10.6} M_\odot$ (i.e. masses higher than probed by our own data). This shows an inversion with respect to our own results, where the higher mass sample now has a lower covering fraction (albeit at only the 1$\sigma$ level).

The right-hand panel shows the covering fraction split into samples based on an SFR limit of $10^{9.25} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. In this case we find no sign of a difference of the covering fraction based on the two different samples, in contrast to the low-redshift/low impact parameter covering fractions from the COS-Haloes sample (Werk et al. 2013, green diamonds), where a significant dependence on SFR is seen.

### 4 DISCUSSION

Our results have shown tentative evidence for O VI absorption preferentially being found in the vicinity of moderate mass ($M_* \approx 10^{9.5} - 10^{10} M_\odot$) star-forming galaxies. The star-forming properties of these $z \approx 1$ galaxies are comparable (SFR $\approx 1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) to that observed for isolated $L^*$ galaxies at low redshift coincident with O VI absorption (Tumlinson et al. 2011; Werk et al. 2014; Kacprzak et al. 2015).

We have reported on O VI absorption surveys found up to scales of $\approx 300$–400 kpc ($\approx 4 R_{\text{vir}}$) from the nearest galaxy, comparable to the largest field of view low redshift surveys of such absorbers (e.g. Mathes et al. 2014; Johnson et al. 2015; Piontov et al. 2017).

Prochaska et al. (2011) at low redshift similarly find a picture whereby the association between galaxies and O VI shows a strong dependence on galaxy luminosity. They find O VI absorption most commonly to be found within $b \approx 200$–300 kpc of a $0.1L^* < L < 1L^*$ (intermediate sub-$L^*$) galaxies, and that a dwarf galaxy is found closest to O VI sightline absorption, there often also exists.
an intermediate sub-\(L^\ast\) galaxy within \(b \approx 300\) kpc of that same absorber. However, it is clear from low redshift studies that O VI systems arise from a diverse array of galaxy environments ranging from dwarfs to sub-\(L^\ast\)–\(L^\ast\) galaxies and even more massive systems. Prochaska et al. (2011) show that some dwarf galaxies without more massive neighbours are associated with O VI absorption systems. Indeed, Johnson et al. (2013) showed that a fraction of O VI absorbers arise in low-mass galaxy groups with star-forming members that are all \(<0.1\) L\(_\ast\). Moreover, Johnson et al. (2017) showed that star-forming field dwarfs of \(<0.1\) L\(_\ast\) without massive neighbours account for \(\approx 20\) per cent of O VI absorption systems with rest equivalent widths stronger than \(\approx 0.1\) Å, and that this phase of their CGM likely dominates the baryon budget of these low-mass systems. More recently, Chen et al. (2018) and Zahedy et al. (2019) showed that O VI absorption systems with \(\log N(\text{OVI}) > 13.5\) are common in the CGM of luminous, quiescent galaxies.

Two of the \(z > 0.68\) absorption systems are coincident with multiple galaxies in our survey (a galaxy pair at \(z \approx 0.688\) and the galaxy triplet group at \(z \approx 1.089\)), whilst around 3 we detect only a single galaxy given our survey limits. We find a more massive (\(M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{12.6} M_\odot\)) group system for which we detect no O VI absorption at \(z = 1.026\). In this first QSSAGE field, given the relatively small volume covered, we have only a single galaxy with which to probe the higher mass galaxy environment beyond \(M_\gamma \gtrsim 10^{10.5} M_\odot\). At \(M_\gamma \approx 10^{10.9} M_\odot\), this galaxy and its associated group shows no detectable O VI in the sightline data.

Incorporating low redshift results, Tumlinson et al. (2011) find 50 per cent of galaxies at \(M_\gamma \gtrsim 10^{10.5} M_\odot\) show associated O VI absorption, compared to 100 per cent at \(10^{9.5} M_\odot \lesssim M_\gamma \lesssim 10^{10.5} M_\odot\). At the upper end of the latter range, Muzahid et al. (2015) report on an extremely strong O VI absorption system associated with a \(M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{12.5} M_\odot\) star-forming galaxy. Stocke et al. (2014) report the alignment of warm O VI absorbers with several galaxy groups at \(z \lesssim 0.2\), with group velocity dispersions of \(\sigma_v \approx 100–600\) km s\(^{-1}\). This equates approximately to a halo mass range of \(M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{12.5–14.5} M_\odot\) suggesting groups of all masses, up to low-mass clusters have the potential to exhibit warm gas capable of being detected in O VI absorption. Ponton et al. (2017) find approximately a third of low-mass galaxy groups (\(\approx 2–5\) members) in their sample exhibit O VI absorption, whilst Burchett et al. (2018) found no O VI absorption within cluster haloes at \(M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{14.5} M_\odot\). The caveat remains, however, that it is not often clear whether the halo gas probed by a given sightline is reflecting the nature of the properties of a sub-halo or the overall group halo (e.g. Stocke et al. 2017), whilst star-forming winds remain a potentially significant influence on the presence of warm gas at small scales (e.g. Tripp et al. 2011; Tumlinson et al. 2011).

If some fraction of O VI absorbing gas is simply diffuse halo gas, collisionally ionized at approximately the virial temperature of the host dark matter halo, then we may expect to see some sort of peak in the column density distribution at some halo mass. Indeed, this is predicted by simulations such as shown by Mulchaey et al. (1996), Oppenheimer et al. (2016) and Nelson et al. (2018). Following this reasoning, we show in Fig. 18 the inferred halo masses for the \(z > 0.68\) sample assuming the absorbers to be associated with the most massive proximate galaxy (i.e. within \(b = 2R_{\text{vir}}\) dark red hexagons). We find that the \(N_{\text{OVI}} \gtrsim 10^{14} \text{cm}^{-2}\) O VI absorption is generally found within the proximity of a galaxy corresponding to a \(10^{14} M_\odot \lesssim M_{\text{halo}} \lesssim 10^{12} M_\odot\) halo mass. Upper limits on the
O \ion{VI}{0} column density adjacent to other galaxies along the sightline (within 0.68 < z < 1.4) preferentially show upper-limit constraints indicating lower levels of the O \ion{VI}{0} ion.

The dashed curve shows the predicted O \ion{VI}{0} ionization fraction assuming that the halo gas being probed is at temperatures of ≈70 per cent of the virial temperature (i.e. accounting for predicted halo temperature profiles e.g. Komatsu & Seljak 2001). This provides a simple physical picture in which the detected gas represents a diffuse warm halo component (e.g. Mathews & Prochaska 2017). For comparison to our own data, we also show the low-redshift results of Werk et al. (2013, green diamonds) and Mathes et al. (2014, blue plus symbols). The Werk et al. (2013) sample is dominated by strong O \ion{VI}{0} detections at 10^{11.1} M_{\odot} < M_{\text{halo}} < 10^{12} M_{\odot}, whilst the scatter increases at $M_{\text{halo}} > 10^{12} M_{\odot}$. Similarly, the strong absorbers (N(O \ion{VI}{0}) ≈ 10^{14.5} cm^{-2}) detected in the Mathes et al. (2014) sample are coincident with galaxies with estimated halo masses of $M_{\text{halo}} < 10^{12} M_{\odot}$. Similar to our own data and that of Werk et al. (2013), there is also a lot of scatter with a number of low-column density systems (or upper limits) coincident with comparable galaxy halo masses. The evidence is not clear-cut then, although the scatter may be the effect of a patchy/clumpy halo medium (Lopez et al. 2018), or AGN heating of the gas (Oppenheimer et al. 2018b).

The Eagle hydrodynamical simulations (Crain et al. 2015; Schaye et al. 2015) predict that the O \ion{VI}{0} column density peaks (N(O \ion{VI}{0}) ≈ 10^{14.2} cm^{-2}) for galaxies in haloes of masses ≈10^{11.7−12.4} M_{\odot}, with the gas within lower mass haloes too cool, and the gas within higher mass haloes too hot, to lead to significant O \ion{VI}{0} absorption in quasar sightlines (albeit at z = 0.2; Oppenheimer et al. 2016). Similarly, the IllustrisTNG predicts, at z = 0, that O \ion{VI}{0} should be predominantly found in diffuse $T \approx 10^{5.6 ± 0.2}$ K haloes of half-mass radii $\approx 10^{5.1 ± 0.2}$ kpc around $M_{\text{calc}} \approx 10^{10.2 ± 0.3}$ M_{\odot} galaxies (Nelson et al. 2018). Alternatively, the O \ion{VI}{0} gas may trace low-pressure gas photoionized by the UV background (e.g. Stern et al. 2016, 2018). In this case clouds are hierarchically embedded in the CGM gas photoionized by the UV background (e.g. Stern et al. 2016, 2018). Alternatively, the O \ion{VI}{0} gas may trace low-pressure gas photoionized by the UV background (e.g. Stern et al. 2016, 2018). In this case clouds are hierarchically embedded in the CGM gas photoionized by the UV background (e.g. Stern et al. 2016, 2018).

Finally, we note that the halo masses that we find associated with the O \ion{VI}{0} absorbers are comparable to the mass of the Local Group. Indeed, observations of the Milky Way’s halo have shown detection of O \ion{VI}{0} absorption (N(O \ion{VI}{0}) > 10^{13.6} cm^{-2}) in ≈70 per cent of sightlines through the halo (e.g. Sembach et al. 2003; Wakker et al. 2003). The halo mass of the Milky Way is estimated to be $M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ (e.g. Callingham et al. 2019), whilst the mass of the Local Group as a whole is estimated to be $M_{\text{halo}} \approx 2 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ (e.g. Courteau & van den Bergh 1999).

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented methods and results from the first field in the QSAGE survey – a blind HST/WFC3 grism survey of galaxies in the region of bright z > 1.2 quasars with archival HST/STIS and COS spectra. Our key results are as follows:

(i) We find O \ion{VI}{0} up to impact parameters of $b \approx 350$ kpc from the nearest detected galaxy at 0.68 < z < 1.42. Column densities of absorbers over the impact parameters probed (100 < b < 400 kpc) show a large scatter, corresponding to covering fractions of c(O \ion{VI}{0}) $\lesssim 0.5$ (for N(O \ion{VI}{0}) > 10^{14} cm^{-2}).

(ii) Whilst all five of the detected z ≈ 1 O \ion{VI}{0} absorbers are found to lie within $b \approx 400$ kpc of a star-forming galaxy, we also find comparably star-forming galaxies within the same range in impact parameter with no detected O \ion{VI}{0} absorption. Taking a limit in impact parameter of $b = 2R_{\text{vir}}$, we find 50 per cent of the sample relate to upper limits on the sightline O \ion{VI}{0} column density of $N_{\text{OVI}} \lesssim 10^{13.9}$ cm^{-2}.

(iii) We identify a low-mass galaxy group at z = 1.08 coincident in redshift with significant O \ion{VI}{0} absorption in the quasar sightline, potentially probing the intra-group medium. The group consists of three confirmed members and estimate a group halo mass of $M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{11.8} M_{\odot}$. We find several further galaxy overdensities close to the sightline, with estimated halo masses of up to $M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{12.4} M_{\odot}$. None are coincident with detected O \ion{VI}{0} absorption to a detection limit of $N_{\text{OVI}} \lesssim 13.9$ cm^{-2}.

(iv) Estimating the host halo masses of $N_{\text{OVI}} \gtrsim 10^{14}$ cm^{-2} absorbers suggests the majority of such absorbers are found in the proximity of haloes of mass $M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{11.8} M_{\odot}$, consistent with diffuse gas at the virial temperature of such haloes. Significantly, we find a higher covering fraction of $N_{\text{OVI}} \gtrsim 10^{14}$ cm^{-2} absorbers around higher mass star-forming galaxies (at $\approx 2\sigma$) at impact parameters of $\lesssim 4R_{\text{vir}}$.

This first of 12 fields from our HST/WFC3 Large Programme, QSAGE, acts as a proof of concept of what the full survey can deliver. Whilst we have focused on tracing the properties of the galaxy population around O \ion{VI}{0} absorbers here, these data will provide a comprehensive basis for studies of the CGM across a range of absorption species, allowing insights into a broad range of phases of material within the CGM.
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**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Supplementary data are available at MNRAS online.

Figure S1 Example objects from the HST WFC3 grism data. In each case the top-left panel shows the median spectrum (orange) and contamination-masked mean spectrum (dark red). The lower-left panel in each case shows the corresponding spectra taken at each roll angle, whilst the right-hand panel in each case shows a thumbnail taken from the WFC3 F140W stacked image.
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