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Introduction

Children’s sleepwear, as defined by the US consumer product safety commission (US CPSC), are the clothing sized above 9 months and up to size 14 that are primarily used for sleeping or sleeping related activities. US CPSC enforced children’s sleepwear safety regulations in Flammable Fabrics Act. According to the act, children’s sleepwear should either be snug fitted, or it must pass certain flammability test. The sleepwear standard was formulated to protect children from serious burn injury imitated from sleep ware or similar garments like pajamas, robes or nightgowns [1].

Although people from all age, gender, race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status are susceptible to burn injury, the children are the most vulnerable class of people who suffer from burns [2]. Tragically, 24% of all burn injuries in United States (USA) occur in children, and burn deaths were the 3rd and 5th among all injury deaths in children aged 5-9, and 1-4 years respectively during the period of 2011-2015 [3]. Tragically, 24% of all burn injuries in United States (USA) occur in children, and burn deaths were the 3rd and 5th among all injury deaths in children aged 5-9, and 1-4 years respectively during the period of 2011-2015 [3-5]. Mcloughlin et al., in their article on sleepwear related burn injuries among children, reviewed the records of 678 burn injuries over 8 years period in a burn unit, and reported that more than 27% of sleepwear related injuries are scald injuries caused by hot liquids [6,7]. However, the scald protection of the children’s sleepwear has never been studied before [8].

Material parameters associated with flammability of fabrics such as (i) ease of ignition (ii) heat release rate (iii) total heat release (iv) flame propagation rate and (v) mechanism of heat transfer has been studied extensively [9]. Clothing made of natural fibers such as cotton poses sudden ignition hazard as they burn readily [10,11]. On the other hand, synthetics such as polyester introduce molten polymer hazard owing to their thermoplasticity [10]. It has been reported that hotter burning component (such as polyester) in a blend enhances the burning rate of the cooler (such as cotton) component, and the flame temperatures be the same as hotter component [12,13]. The danger of polyester-cotton blends, [14]. Cotton knitted fabrics either employ inherently flame-retardant fibers (such as modacrylic) in the blend to increase the limiting oxygen index (LOI) or being finished with synthetic flame retardants that can cause cancer in human body [15-17]. It is
empirical that, fiber and fabric parameters need to be reconsidered to design a sleepwear especially for children.

Alarming, very often children’s sleepwear including nightgowns and pajama are recalled from the market by the suppliers for non-conforming to flammability standards. Very recently in 2017, Asherangel recalled 950 pcs of cotton sleepwear, Richie House recalled 1500 children’s 100% polyester robes, Sweet Bamboo recalled 500 children’s 50/50 polyester/viscose sleepwear. However, scholarly article auditing the commercially available children’s sleepwear is very limited. This research, therefore, aims to audit the integrity of the commercially available children’s sleepwear to the FFA, and to examine the scald protection for the first time against hot liquid splash.

Commercially available snug fitting children’s sleepwear were purchased from stores and online and selected for this study based on their material properties including fiber composition, structure, and fabric weight. Their physical, durability, and comfort related properties were determined. Integrity with the FFA was evaluated by vertical flammability tester. Protection against scald injury was evaluated by using hot liquid splash tester. This result would provide insight to better understand the protection performance provided by children’s sleepwear, especially in case of exposure to hot water splash.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Children’s sleepwear, each labelled as flame resistant, were purchased from stores and online. They were made of different fabric structures at various thicknesses using different fiber composition. They were sorted based on their fabric structure and material properties. For the sake of simplicity of the design, only 3 classes among them were selected to include in this study. Each type of samples was collected from five different garments within the same ID code. Table 1 describes the dimensional parameters of the fabrics used in this study.

| Fabric ID | Fiber Content          | Fabric structure | Thickness (mm) | Fabric mass (g/m²) |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| MI        | 55/45 Cotton/Modacrylic| Interlock        | 0.75 ± 0.01    | 2.70 ± 0.05        |
| PJ        | 100% Polyester         | Jersey           | 0.47 ± 0.02    | 1.81 ± 0.06        |
| PF        | 100% Polyester         | Fleece           | 0.79 ± 0.05    | 1.72 ± 0.03        |

Methods

Fabric thickness was measured following the guidelines of ASTM D 1777-96, standard test method for thickness of Textile Materials. A Frazier Compressometer (Frazier Instrument Company, Silver Spring, Maryland) with a 2” diameter presser foot was used to measure the thickness at a pressure of 0.5 psi. Five replications were used for each measurement.

Fabric mass per unit area (oz/yd²) was determined according to ASTM D 3776-96, Option C. A precision electronic balance (MS104S, Mettler Toledo, Switzerland) was used for the measurement. An average of five measurements were reported as the value for each type of fabric.

Fiber composition was recorded from manufacturer’s label.

Measurement of durability and comfort properties: Air permeability tester, abrasion resistance tester, and thermal and evaporative resistance tester were employed to evaluate the durability and comfort properties. All the samples were conditioned overnight at 21°C ± 1°C temperature and 65 ± 2% relative humidity using a conditioning chamber (LH-10 Humidity chamber, Associated Environmental Systems, Ayer, MA) before performing the tests. An average result from five specimens is reported.

Air permeability of the fabrics were tested by air permeability tester (M021A, SDL Atlas, Rock Hill, SC, USA) by following the guidelines of ASTM D737 at 125 Pa air pressure using 38 cm² test head.

The abrasion resistance of the fabrics was measured by a Taber Rotary Abrasion Tester (North Tonawanda, NY, following the instruction of ASTM D 3884. Five (5) specimens of approximately 15 cm (6 in.) square from each sample were cut and conditioned for 24 hours in a conditioning chamber. The specimens were subjected to abrasion using H-18 abrasive wheel and at 250 grams loading per wheel for 100 cycles. The specimen weights were determined before and after abrasion to calculate the weight loss% due to abrasion.

ASTM F1868-17 was followed to evaluate the thermal and evaporative resistance under steady-state conditions in a sweating hot plate by Thermetrics LLC (Seattle, WA, USA). Measurements were recorded for 30 minutes at 25 °C and 65% RH at an air velocity of 1 m/sec. An average of three replications was reported.

Evaluation of protection properties: Thermal protective properties were evaluated by vertical flammability tester, and hot liquid (scald burn) testing instrument.

ASTM Standard Method D 6545-10 (modified) was followed to determine the extent of flame spread of the children’s sleepwear in a vertical cabinet from the Custom Scientific Instruments Inc. (Easton, PA, USA). Five (5) samples each of 10”x3.5” were cut from both the directions. The specimens were hung vertically in the cabinet, and exposed to a 38 mm (1.5 in.) flame for 3 s. The extent of flame spread was determined by measuring the distance from the bottom of the specimen to the point where the specimen ceased to tear. The specimens were tested as received and after 50
laundering and drying cycles. Laundering was performed following the guidelines of AATCC Test Method 124-1996 at 60 °C.

The protective performance of the children’s sleepwear against hot liquid splash was evaluated following the guidelines of ASTM F2701-08 using the apparatus at Iowa State University, USA. The details on the configuration of the apparatus could be found elsewhere in the literature [18]. Briefly, the testing system consisted of a sensor board inclined at 45° with the horizontal line, a water nozzle, water reservoir and a data acquisition system. The water flow was controlled by a flow control valve. A thermocouple (OMEGA: TGGG-T-30) was employed to measure the water temperature near the nozzle. Three skin simulant sensors were embedded in the sensor board at three different position of water flow. The specimens were mounted under the nozzle capable of adjusting temperature, flow, and height. Hot water at 85 °C was sprayed on the cloth for 10 seconds, and the transmitted heat energy through the specimens was measured at three sensors positioned at different height. The 2nd degree burn time was measured by the Stoll burn injury curve [19].

Results and Discussion

Physical properties

The physical properties of the children’s sleepwear under study are presented in Table 1. Fabrics identified by PJ and PF both are made of 100% polyester fibers; whereas, MI is knitted of a blended yarn composed of 55% cotton and 45% modacrylic fiber. Table 1 shows the fabrics having different structures were made at varied thickness and mass per unit area. Fabric parameters were not controlled as they were collected from consumer market, instead they were grouped based on fiber composition, fabric weight, thickness and structure. For the simplicity of the design, only 3 classes of the collected samples coded as PJ, PF, and MI have been reported. Among them, PJ’s are the thinnest, and PF’s are the lightest weight fabric. On the other hand, MI’s were made with high thickness and high fabric weight. The thickness of knitted fabrics is usually influenced by several factors including fabric structure, yarn diameter, loop length, and pile height [20]. PJ’s and PF’s had similar loop length, and mass per square meter; however, the PF’s are thicker due to their pile (fleece) structure. The high thickness and weight of MI’s can also be attributed to the interlock structure of the fabrics.

Durability and comfort properties

Different abrasion behavior was exhibited by different fabrics when they were subjected to 100 cycles of abrasion by using abrading wheel H-18 and 250 gm loading. The jersey structure made of polyester fibers (PJ’s) exhibited the best durability properties. The weight loss % of the PJ’s was lower as compared to the MI’s and PF’s (Table 2). Average weight loss of the fabrics after 100 cycles were found to be 0.016%, 0.022%, and 0.024%, and for PJ’s, MI’s, and PF’s respectively. The high weight loss of the PF’s can be attributed to the pile structure, where the loose fibers at the fabric surface were easily affected by the rough abrasion forces. Visual comparison of the specimens with the unabraded samples revealed that the MI’s were devastated, whereas, PJ’s and PF’s were almost unaffected by the abrasion force. This discrepancy belongs to the component fiber properties from which the fabrics were made of. Polyester fabrics (PJ’s and PF’s) remained unaffected from the abrasive force due to their high strength and smooth surface, but the cotton containing fabrics (MI’s) were highly damaged due to the surface hairiness, and low strength of cotton fibers. The results are in good agreement with the published literature [21].

Table 2: Behavior of the fabrics against abrasion.

| Fabric ID | Weight (gm) | Before test | After Test | % Change | Std. Deviation |
|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|----------------|
| MI       | 2.97        | 2.90        | 2.22       | 2.8       |
| PJ       | 2.00        | 1.96        | 1.60       | 0.3       |
| PF       | 1.90        | 1.85        | 2.43       | 0.6       |

Since the fabrics were made at varied thickness and mass per unit area (Table 1), they exhibited different air permeability. The average air permeability values of the fabrics MI’s, PJ’s and PF’s were recorded as 159.20 ± 8.61, 101.33 ± 8.50, 232.20 ± 6.10 cubic feet per minute (cfm) respectively. Although both the PJ’s and PF’s were made of polyester fiber at similar loop length and mass per square meter, the jersey structure (PJ) permits less airflow than the fleece structure (PF). Loop length is the structural parameter that determine the pore size in knitted fabrics. Variation in loop length is positively related to the course spacing and wale spacing which in turn changes the pore size and porosity through which the airflow permeates [22]. The reduced airflow through the PJ’s might be due to the flammable coatings applied on the fabrics that retard the airflow by blocking the pores of the structures.

The thermal comfort properties of clothing are better evaluated from the thermal resistance (Rct) and water vapor resistance (Ret), obtained from a sweating guarded hotplate or thermal manikin [23]. In fabric level, the intrinsic thermal resistance (Rcf) and intrinsic evaporative resistance (Ref), representing the heat and moisture transfer through the fabrics, are considered the two fundamental parameters of comfort. The thermal and evaporative resistances of the fabrics are exhibited in Figure 1. Correlating the results of the sweating hot plate experiment with the physical properties data from Table 1, fabric thickness appeared to be a great contributor to the Rcf and Ref values. In Figure 1, the fleece structures (PF’s) exhibited both high thermal insulation, and high evaporative resistance. The high Rcf, and Ref values indicate superior thermal protection of PF’s over PJ’s and MI’s. However, the high Rcf and Ref values of PF’s are also an indicator of low heat and moisture exchange with the ambient environment. Therefore, although the fleece fabrics (PF’s) provide better protective performance, they pose an absolute disadvantage of providing less comfort. On the contrary, the low Rcf and Ref values of MI’s and PF’s, indicate better comfort to the wearer.
Protection properties

The vertical flammability test, a recommended test as per FFA, was developed to evaluate the flammability of the children’s sleepwear fabrics by exposing the fabric to fire under controlled conditions. As per the regulation (16 C.F.R. Parts 1615 & 1616) [24], average char length of five specimens in a vertical flammability test must not exceed seven (7”) inches before or after 50 washes at 60 °C, or no individual specimen should have char length of 10” or burning time more than 10 seconds to pass the flammability test [25]. The fabric specimens, as purchased and after 50 laundering and drying cycles, were exposed to a 38mm flame for 3 seconds, and the average char lengths are tabulated in Table 3.

Table 3: Response of the fabrics under vertical flammability tester.

| Fabric ID | Average char length (inch) |
|-----------|---------------------------|
|           | Before wash | After wash |
| MI        | 0.12 ± 0.45 | 0.12 ± 0.45 |
| PJ        | 3.12 ± 0.42 | 2.81 ± 0.35 |
| PF        | 3.82 ± 1.3  | 3.11 ± 0.27 |

None of the specimens exhibited a char length of 10 inch, and average char lengths were found to be as low as 0.12 inch for MI’s, and as high as 3.82 inch for PF’s. Therefore, all the fabrics under study passed the recommended vertical flammability test as per FFA, and thus the hypothesis of non-conformance was nullified.

However, the burning behavior exhibited a distinctive molten polymer hazard by PJ’s and PF’s, as presented in Figure 2. 100% Polyester fabrics (PJ’s and PF’s) didn’t catch fire instantly, but once the fabric caught fire, it spread promptly, and molten polymer was drifting continuously which indicated a clear molten polymer hazard. On the contrary, The MI’s, fabrics containing 55% cotton and 45% modacrylic, was prone to catch fire due to the cotton content, but the fire didn’t spread at all which could be attributed to the inherent flame-retardant nature of the modacrylic fibers. In the literature, Bourbigot et al. [10] and Kilinc F.S. [11] also reported the readily burning behavior of natural fibers and molten polymer hazard of the synthetic fibers. Therefore, passing the vertical flammability test is not sufficient to ensure children’s protection in case of a real hazardous situation.
The scald protection was evaluated by analyzing the heat transfer through the children's sleepwear with a hot water splash of 85 °C. The surface feature upon exposure, 2nd degree burn time, and heat flux were analyzed as predictors of fabric performance. Figure 3 provides the 2nd degree burn time upon hot water exposure for 10 seconds at each of the three sensor positions. A burn in the place of exposure (position of top sensor) occurred as soon as 2 seconds; at a lower position (middle or bottom sensor), it took a little longer (3-5 seconds). The general trend was the increase of 2nd degree burn time with the progressive low measurement positions. The fabrics offered poor resistance to hot liquid splash irrespective of the fiber composition or fabric structure. The polyester fleece fabrics (PF’s), took the shortest time to cause a 2nd degree burn, indicating fast spreading and penetration of the hot water through the fleece structure due to wicking of polyester fiber, and high porosity in knitted structure. It can be seen from Figure 4 that PF’s store more heat energy in the structure than the other fabrics, causing a quicker 2nd degree burn to the wearer. MI’s took shorter time for 2nd degree burn than the polyester fabrics which is due to the cotton content (55%) in the fabric composition. Cotton, being one of the most hydrophilic fibers, absorbed the hot water instantly, and consequently heat flux reached the peak the soonest. The response to hot water splash was also studied by reducing the exposure time from ten (10) seconds to five (5), and two (2) seconds, and no remarkable changes in the results were observed.

![Figure 3: 2nd degree burn time (s) upon hot water (85 °C) splash for 10 seconds at each of the three sensor positions.](image)

![Figure 4: Absorbed energy at different sensor position.](image)
The hot water spread behavior (surface appearance) of these fabrics (Figure 5) provided important insight into the fabric performance. Polyester fabrics, due to better wicking property, spread hot water faster in both horizontal and vertical directions. As a result, a larger body surface area of the wearer would get burnt quickly. On the other hand, cotton/modacrylic interlock fabrics, due to excellent water absorption of cotton and high thickness of the interlock structure, would affect less body surface area, indicating superior splash protection provided.

![Figure 5: Surface wetting of the fabrics after hot splash.](image)

Bearing all the test results in mind, it can be inferred that MI’s, knitted from a 55/45 cotton/modacrylic blend in interlock structure, provided superior protection performance, and comfort to the wearer. A better protection could be envisioned from this fabric should they be rich in flame retardant modacrylic fiber content. The protection performance would offset the additional cost, if any, of making the fabric modacrylic rich [26].

**Conclusion**

The integrity of commercially available children’s sleepwear in accordance with the Flammable Fabrics Act (16 CFR Parts 1615 & 1616) of US consumer product safety commission (USCPSC) was audited. Although all the products showed good conformity with the Flammable Fabrics Act in terms of flame spread, we observed a serious issue of molten polymer hazard associated with the commonly used polyester fiber. For being the most prevalent cause of burn injury among younger children, the performance of the children’s sleepwear fabrics in case of contact with hot liquid splash was also studied. Most interestingly, all the fabrics exhibited very poor protection against hot liquid; a 2nd degree burn could be caused to the children within 2 seconds of exposure. Inherently flame-retardant fiber modacrylic containing fabric MI’s in interlock structure provided the best protection. To understand a better splash protection of the children’s sleepwear, broader studies including investigating the protection at various temperature of hot water, at different angle of spraying, or other liquids are required. This research would provide a critical insight into the selection of fiber and fabric structure in designing this sophisticated class of fabric for superior flame and splash protection to children.
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