Article

Coordination Compounds Featuring Non-Toxic Chiral 1,4-Dicarboxylic Acids and Copper(II)

Marius Kremer 1, Jan van Leusen 1 and Ulli Englert 1,2,*

1 Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University, 52074 Aachen, Germany; marius.kremer@ac.rwth-aachen.de (M.K.); jan.vanleusen@ac.rwth-aachen.de (J.v.L.)
2 Institute of Molecular Science, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China
* Correspondence: ullrich.englert@ac.rwth-aachen.de

Received: 7 May 2020; Accepted: 3 June 2020; Published: 6 June 2020

Abstract: Six new coordination compounds of copper cations and 1,4-dicarboxylic acids have been synthesized and structurally investigated. Aspartic acid (H$_2$asp), enantiopure, racemic and meso tartaric acid (H$_2$ tart), di-para-toluyltartaric acid (H$_2$dptta) and dibenzoyltartaric acid (H$_2$dbta) represent environmentally benign water-soluble proligands which may be deprotonated for oxygen coordination. Chelating ligands such as tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) and 2-aminomethylpyridine (AMPY) efficiently reduce the dimensionality of the target compounds, and additional aqua ligands complete the coordination environments. In this line of argument, the discrete mononuclear complexes [Cu(AMPY)(asp)(H$_2$O)] and [Cu(Hdbta)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_4$] were obtained; for the latter, only a preliminary structure model can be presented which, however, agrees with the powder diffraction pattern of the bulk. From enantiopure and racemic tartaric acid and TMEDA the closely related chain polymers [Cu$^{II}$(H$_2$tart)(TMEDA)(H$_2$O)$_2$]$_n$ were obtained; the racemic compound consists of individual homochiral strands of opposite chirality. The high steric demand of di-para-toluyltartaric acid leads to one-dimensional [Cu(dptta)(EtOH)(H$_2$O)$_2$]$_n$ with coordinated ethanol (EtOH) in the distant Jahn–Teller site of the coordination sphere. Cu(II), meso-tartaric acid and TMEDA aggregate to a trinuclear coordination compound [Cu$^{II2}$Cu$^{II}$(H$_2$tart)(Htart)(TMEDA)$_2$]. Its peripheral cations show the expected Jahn–Teller geometry of Cu(II), but the unambiguous assignment of the oxidation state +I for central cation required susceptibility measurements: their results prove the presence of only two and only very weakly interacting divalent cations, separated by a diamagnetic center.
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1. Introduction

Carboxylic acids represent classical ligands for Werner complexes: As early as 1953, Niekerk et al. investigated the crystal structures of Ni(II) [1], Zn(II) [2] and Cu(II) [3] acetate and established the monohapto, chelating and bridging coordination modes, respectively, for the carboxylato ligand in these solids. Carboxylic acids may also act as bridging building blocks in coordination polymers (CPs) [4]. Among the many potentially attractive properties of CPs, we have focused on their dynamic responses to external stimuli such as temperature [5–8] or moisture [9,10] in previous publications. In order to be really useful beyond basic research, the constituents of such dynamic materials should show low toxicity. Many carboxylic acids are found in the GRAS list, i.e., among the substances generally recognized as safe, e.g., by the FDA [11]. Carboxylic acids often show good solubility in water, and the chiral pool provides inexpensive and efficient access to a relevant number of enantiopure compounds. Amino acids meet the latter requirement par excellence, and their
coordination chemistry has been investigated extensively [12–38]. Dicarboxylic acids are even more prone to act as bridging components than their monofunctional congeners and have been frequently employed for the construction of coordination polymers [39,40] and metal-organic frameworks [41]. In this contribution, we introduce six new coordination compounds in which dicarboxylic acids act as terminal ligands or as linkers between divalent Cu cations, and we address the influence of chirality and the effect of blocking ligands on their crystal structures. Figure 1 provides a summary of the reactions and the structurally characterized products.

2. Results and Discussion

In this section we will describe the new structures individually and put each of them into the scientific context of earlier work. Common features and underlying construction principles will be summarized in the Conclusions.

2.1. Compound 1

Building blocks in the crystal structure of 1 are discrete [Cu(AMPY)(asp)(H$_2$O)] complexes (AMPY = 2-aminomethylpyridine; asp = aspartate) and co-crystallized water molecules (Figure 2). The main complex molecule occupies a general position in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12$ and features a strongly Jahn–Teller distorted pseudo-octahedron about the Cu(II) cation.
Figure 2. Expanded asymmetric unit of 1 (Mercury, [42]). Non-hydrogen atoms in the complex are shown as ellipsoids (70% probability), H as spheres with fixed radius of 0.15 Å, atoms in co-crystallized water molecules as spheres with 0.25 × the van der Waals radii. Coordination distances (Å): Cu1–N1 = 1.989(5), Cu1–O1 = 1.991(4), Cu1–N2 = 1.992(5), Cu1–N3 = 2.010(5), Cu1–N5 = 2.374(5).

The N,N′ AMPY chelate, the carboxylato O atom O1 and the asp amino nitrogen act as equatorial ligands, the second asp carboxylato group and the aqua ligand associated with O5 occupy the more distant axial positions.

1 represents the first example in which a primary aliphatic amine acts as co-ligand for aspartate. Generally spoken, O,N,O′ tris-chelation by aspartate is not unprecedented for Cu(II) with additional N donor ligands. Notable examples include derivatives with 2,2′-bipyridine (IYEQAE [43]), the structures are deposited in the Cambridge Structural Database [44] under these six letter reference codes), 4,4′-bipyridine (OVAZUH [45], WIWJAO [46]), phenanthroline (DUCFEM [47], FAPJAI [48], WINGUV [49]), dipyridylethylene (WIWHUG [46]) or more complex imidazole ligands (OVAZOB [45]).

The co-crystallized water molecules are situated on twofold crystallographic axes (Wyckoff positions 2a and 2b); refinement of their site occupancies resulted in a stoichiometry of [Cu(AMPY)(asp)(H₂O)]·0.6 H₂O, in agreement with the microanalytical data. Additional details concerning the site occupancy are given in Supplementary Materials S1.3.1.

The crystal structure is stabilized by a maximum of classical hydrogen bonds which connect the constituents to a three-dimensional network: All hydrogen atoms bonded to N or O find an electronegative acceptor at a suitable distance. Table S8 summarizes all hydrogen bonds. In addition, neighboring molecules along [0 1 0] interact via π stacking, with shortest C⋯C distances of 3.4 Å.

2.2. Compound 2a

The crystal structure of 2a is made up of one-dimensional [Cu(D-H₂tart)(TMEDA)]ₙ strands in [1 0 0] direction (TMEDA = tetramethylethylenediamine; H₂tart = tartrate) and co-crystallized water molecules. The asymmetric unit of space group P2₁ contains a single chain link and is depicted in Figure 3. Its Cu(II) center features an elongated Jahn–Teller octahedron.
Figure 3. Expanded asymmetric unit of 2a (Mercury, [42]). Non-hydrogen atoms in the complex are shown as ellipsoids (70% probability), H as spheres with fixed radius of 0.15 Å. Coordination distances (Å): Cu1–O1 = 1.967(4), Cu1–O6″ = 1.990(4), Cu1–N1 = 2.052(5), Cu1–N2 = 2.072(4), Cu1–O3 = 2.363(4), Cu1–O4″ = 2.388(4). Symmetry codes: (′) = x−1, y, z; (″) = x+1, y, z.

The N,N′ TMEDA chelate and the carboxylato O atoms O1 and O6″ act as equatorial ligands, the two hydroxy O atoms O3 and O4″ occupy the more distant axial positions. The symmetry equivalent O6″ and O4″ are generated via translation along the chain direction (symmetry operator x+1, y, z). With the co-crystallized water molecules, the stoichiometry of compound 2a is [Cu(d-H2tart)(TMEDA)]n · 2 H2O, in agreement with the microanalytical data. The co-crystallized water molecules connect adjacent [Cu(d-H2tart)(TMEDA)]n strands via hydrogen bonds to layers in the (0 0 1) plane. Figure 4 shows the resulting hydrogen bond network. Table S9 summarizes all hydrogen bonds.

Figure 4. Hydrogen bonds in 2a (PLATON, [50]); [Cu(d-H2tart)(TMEDA)]n chains are shown in black, co-crystallized water molecules in green and red.
2.3. Compound 2b

In contrast to the reaction product with enantiopure tartaric acid, the derivative of meso-tartaric acid, 2b, contains discrete, trinuclear complexes with the composition [Cu₃(meso-H₂tart)(meso-Ηtart)(TMEDA)]₂. Its central Cu cation occupies Wyckoff position 2c, a crystallographic inversion center, in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$. Figure 5 visualizes the trinuclear complex: the carboxylato oxygen atom O5 and the bridging hydroxy O4 and their symmetry equivalents lead to a necessarily planar and almost regular all-oxygen coordination about Cu1. The peripheral Cu cations interact with the second carboxylato moiety and both hydroxy groups of the tartrate and the N,N’ chelating TMEDA ligand in an overall distorted square-pyramidal coordination. A comparable tartrate coordination about Cu(II), with carboxylo O in the Jahn–Teller positions, has been found by Hörner and Klüfers [51]. Additional contacts occur between the Cu cations and significantly more distant O atoms; not only the interatomic separations of 3 Å but also the angles subtended at the transition metal allow to exclude them from the coordination sphere (Figure 6).

![Figure 5. Trinuclear aggregate in the crystal structure of 2b (Mercury, [42]). Non-hydrogen atoms in the complex are shown as ellipsoids (70% probability), H as spheres with fixed radius of 0.15 Å. Coordination distances (Å): Cu1–O4′ = 1.911(4), Cu1–O4 = 1.911(4), Cu1–O5 = 1.923(4), Cu1–O5′ = 1.923(4), Cu1···O1 = 3.088(5), Cu1···O1′ = 3.088(5), Cu2–O4 = 1.965(4), Cu2–O1 = 1.974(5), Cu2–N1 = 2.014(6), Cu2–N2 = 2.017(5), Cu2–O3 = 2.285(5), Cu2···O5′ = 2.972(4). Symmetry codes: (′) = –x, –y+2, –z+1.](image1)

![Figure 6. Left: Unit cell of 2b including intermolecular H bonds. Right: Excerpt of the crystal structure showing the square planar coordination around Cu1 and the far more distant (> 3 Å) oxygen neighbors O1 and O1′.](image2)
The symmetry equivalent atoms O1’, O4’ and O5’ are generated via inversion at Cu1 (symmetry operator \(-x, -y+2, -z+1\)). The crystal structure is stabilized by a maximum of classical hydrogen bonds. All hydrogen atoms bonded to N or O find an electronegative acceptor at a suitable distance except for the hydrogen atom located at the bridging O atom O4, see discussion below. Table S10 summarizes all hydrogen bonds.

Our description of the trinuclear aggregate as \([\text{Cu}_3(\text{meso-H}_2\text{tart})(\text{meso-Htart})(\text{TMEDA})_2]\), with a bis- and a tris-deprotonated tartrate, is in obvious contradiction with the apparent crystallographic inversion symmetry and must be justified. In our present model, we assume the presence of a proton on one of the two equivalent sites next to the hydroxo O atoms O4/O4’. Full deprotonation of this group would lead to a stoichiometry of \([\text{Cu}_3(\text{meso-Htart})_2(\text{TMEDA})_2]\), with all Cu cations in oxidation state +II. This apparently obvious alternative, albeit well compatible with the structural result, can be safely excluded based on susceptibility measurements.

The corresponding data are shown in Figure 7 as \(\chi_m T\) vs. \(T\) plot at 0.1 T and \(M_m\) vs. \(B\) plot at 2.0 K. The product \(\chi_m T\) and the magnetization \(M\) allow to retrieve information about the effective magnetic moment of open-shell cations involved and about their interaction. At 290 K, the value of \(\chi_m T\) is 0.92 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\), which is well within the expected range of two, but not three non-interacting Cu(II) centers (0.361–0.605 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) per Cu(II) center [52]). Upon cooling the compound, the \(\chi_m T\) values continuously decrease reaching 0.87 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 25 K, and subsequently drop off to 0.65 cm\(^3\) K mol\(^{-1}\) at 2.0 K. Therefore, the \(\chi_m T\) vs. \(T\) plot reveals an almost spin-like behavior and, due to the drop off at low temperatures at such a small field, a weak antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between the two Cu(II) centers, while the magnetic anisotropy should be rather small. In addition, the molar magnetization \(M_m\) as a function of the applied field \(B\) at 2.0 K is in agreement with this observation: \(M_m\) is linear up to 2 T and increases with decreasing slope up to 5.0 T. At this field, the magnetization reaches \(1.9 N_A \mu_B\) without being saturated. This value is below the saturation value of about \(2.2 N_A \mu_B\) estimated from the \(\chi_m T\) value at 290 K using \(M_{m,sat} = g_{iso} S N_A \mu_B\) per single center. Since additionally the linear part can be observed over a rather wide range at this temperature (about the double of non-interacting centers), the magnetization data suggest an antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between two Cu(II) centers. We use an effective isotropic Hamiltonian \(\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mu_B g_{iso} B \cdot S_i - 2JS_1 \cdot S_2\) to estimate the magnetic properties of the compound, and consider the deviation from the ideal spin-like system by a temperature independent paramagnetic contribution \(\chi_{m,TIP}\) to the magnetic susceptibility [52]. The least-squares fit yields the red lines shown in Figure 7 and the parameters \(\chi_{m,TIP} = (5.9 \pm 4.4) \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}\) per Cu(II) center as well as \(J = (-0.65 \pm 0.01) \text{ cm}^{-1}\) and \(g_{iso} = 2.17 \pm 0.01\). These parameters confirm a weak antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between the two Cu(II) centers with a common \(g\)-factor. Therefore, the magnetic data exclude three Cu(II) centers in 2b, and the third copper center has to be of diamagnetic nature, e.g., Cu(I) or low spin Cu(III).
As the powder pattern for 2b (Figure 8) confirms the correspondence between the single crystal structure and the bulk, we must take the result of the susceptibility measurements into account for our structure model. The central CuI can therefore not be assigned oxidation state +II. The higher oxidation number +III would require additional de-protonation of the tartrate ligand. However, the only available site carrying a potentially acidic hydrogen, O3, donates this H atoms in a short O–H···O contact. In addition, low spin Cu(III) coordinated by four weak ligands is unprecedented, and tartaric acid should be considered a reducing rather than an oxidizing agent. In contrast, the alternative formulation of the trinuclear aggregate as [Cu\textsuperscript{II}₂Cu\textsuperscript{I}(meso-H\textsubscript{2}tart)(meso-Htart)(TMEDA)\textsubscript{2}] is compatible with all known facts: (a) the disordered proton on O4 is not involved in any short contact. (b) Cu(I) is a popular coordination center in the presence of weak ligands. (c) An aqueous solution of an amine and tartaric acid may well be responsible for partial reduction to a mixed-valent copper complex.

2b consists of discrete neutral molecules in the crystal and might in principle exist as a similar species in solution. The absorption spectra of 2b and copper(II) acetate are compared in Figure 9. Complexation by the dicarboxylic acid and the N,N' chelating ligand results in higher extinction and a shift of the absorption maximum. The latter corresponds to a visible color change from azure blue for copper acetate to a more navy blue hue during the reaction and to the color of the solid target product 2b.
Figure 9. Vis spectra of 2b (black) and copper(II)-acetate (red); c = 1 mmol L\(^{-1}\).

2.4. Compound 2c

The crystal structure of 2c contains one-dimensional \([\text{Cu}(\text{rac-H}_2\text{tart})(\text{TMEDA})]_n\) strands in [1 0 0] direction and co-crystallized water molecules. A section of a single chain is depicted in Figure 10: Both the Cu(II) cation and the midpoint of the C2–C2’ bond in the tartrate anion are located on two-fold crystallographic axes, and hence each polymer strand is homochiral. Crystallographic inversion in the orthorhombic space group \(Pccn\) necessarily implies an overall racemic structure, with neighboring strands of opposite chirality.

![Figure 10](image_url)

Figure 10. Section of a homochiral chain in 2c (Mercury, \[42\]); H atoms in the tetramethylethlenediamine (TMEDA) ligand have been omitted. Non-hydrogen atoms in the complex are shown as ellipsoids (70% probability), H as spheres with fixed radius of 0.15 Å. Coordination distances (Å): Cu1–O1 = 1.9740(14), Cu1–O1’ = 1.9740(14), Cu1–N1 = 2.0557(16) Cu1–N1’ = 2.0557(16), Cu1–O3 = 2.364(2), Cu1–O3’ = 2.365(2). Symmetry operators: (‘) = \(3/2 - x, 3/2 - y, z\); (‘‘) = \(x - 1, y, z\).

The Cu(II) cation features an elongated Jahn–Teller octahedron in which the N,N’ TMEDA chelate and the carboxylato O atoms O1 and O1’ act as equatorial ligands and the two hydroxy atoms O3 and O3’ occupy the more distant axial positions. With its \([\text{Cu}(\text{rac-H}_2\text{tart})(\text{TMEDA})]_n\) formula unit is associated one molecule of co-crystallized water, in agreement with the microanalytical data. This co-crystallized water connects neighboring chains along [0 1 0], and thus leads to a layer structure in the (0 0 1) plane. Table S11 summarizes all hydrogen bonds.
2.5. Compound 3a

In 3a, one-dimensional strands extend in [1 0 0] direction; single crystal diffraction and microanalytical data agree about their composition \([\text{Cu(dptta)(EtOH)(H}_2\text{O)}_2]\). The asymmetric unit of space group \(P2_1\) contains a single chain link and is depicted in Figure 11. Its Cu(II) center is five-coordinated, with two aqua ligands O11 and O10 and by the carboxylate O atoms O8 and O8” in equatorial and the ethanol O atom O9 in apical position. The crystal structure is stabilized by a maximum of O–H···O hydrogen bonds which connect the constituents to layers in the (0 0 1) plane. Table S12 summarizes the classical hydrogen bonds. Adjacent H-bonded layers interact along [0 0 1] via T stacking, with shortest C···C distances of 3.7 Å.

![Figure 11. Expanded asymmetric unit of 3a (Mercury, [42]). Non-hydrogen atoms in the complex are shown as ellipsoids (70% probability), H as spheres with fixed radius of 0.15 Å. The disordered carbon Atom C22 was refined isotropically. Coordination distances (Å): Cu1–O10 = 1.939(2), Cu1–O1 = 1.950(2), Cu1–O8” = 1.958(2), Cu1–O11 = 1.961(3), Cu1–O9 = 2.227(3). Symmetry codes: (’) = x+1, y, z, (”)= x−1, y, z.](image-url)

2.6. Compound 3b

The structure determination of 3b proved to be challenging. Diffraction patterns of several crystals were registered in our home laboratory and could be indexed with a single orientation matrix. They gave an apparently orthorhombic unit cell, with approximate cell dimensions \(a = 5.6005(12), b = 22.351(5), c = 33.354(7)\) Å, in agreement with four formula units of \([\text{Cu(Hdbta)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\) (Hdbta = mono protonated dibenzoyltartrate) per unit cell. The phase problem could, however, only be solved in the monoclinic space group \(P2_1\), probably indicating pseudo-merohedral twinning. The metric of the unit cell, with an angle \(\beta\) of 90.047(6)°, may fit either crystal system. Even when the twin law was taken into account, only an incomplete structure model with unsatisfactory displacement parameters and high residuals could be derived in the monoclinic space group. This preliminary model suggests formation of discrete \([\text{Cu(Hdbta)}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\) coordination compounds and has been tentatively depicted in (Figure 12). We will repeat diffraction experiments on smaller crystals of this compound at a synchrotron source. We note, however, that the powder pattern of the bulk closely corresponds to the simulation based on our incomplete and preliminary model (Figure 13).
In 3b as well as in its starting material, the Cu(II) cations are exclusively oxygen coordinated. It is therefore no surprise that the ligand fields and hence the absorption maxima for both compounds in the vis spectra (Figure 14) almost coincide.
3. Conclusions: Structural Trends and Construction Principles

How do the individual structures reported here fit into a broader scientific context, and to what extent might certain features be predicted or derived from related compounds? In the absence of any blocking ligands, Cu(II) aspartate represents a chain polymer [53]. AMPY in 1 and several alternative chelates mentioned above block the extension along the chain and lead to discrete complexes. In contrast to the idea of dimensional reduction [54] outlined below, the aminodicarboxylate adopts a different binding mode about the Cu(II) center.

In the presence of simple dicarboxylic acids even without additional functional groups, Cu(II) cations aggregate to coordination polymers. The prototypic oxalate provides examples for this behaviour: with Cu(II), it forms the one-dimensional chain polymers catena-µ-oxalatodiaquacopper(II) monohydrate [55], catena-(bis-µ-hydrogenoxalato)diaqua-bis(hydrogenoxalato)dicopper(II) hexahydrate [56] and catena-µ-oxalatodiaquacopper(II) [57]. Succinic acid represents the simplest 1,4-dicarboxylic acid. In combination with Cu(II), it allows for extended structures in one dimension [58,59] and for higher dimensional networks [60,61]. Obviously, the large substituents in di-para-toluyltartrate restrict the connectivity in the structure of 3a to extension in one dimension.

The concept of dimensional reduction was introduced by Long and has been comprehensively summarized in a review article [54]. Simply spoken, it suggests to cut out sections of higher-dimensional frameworks, leading to aggregates of lower dimensionality which retain essential features of the coordination. This concept provides insight into our solids 2a and 2c derived from enantiopure and racemic tartaric acid, respectively. The close relationship between 2a and 2c has already been explained: 2a is based on enantiopure tartrate and, hence, necessarily homochiral. The racemic analogue 2c is composed of homochiral strands of opposite chirality. In both solids, each tartrate dianion acts as a bridging chelate, with each carboxylate and its neighboring hydroxy group coordinating a Cu(II) cation. This coordination is highlighted by the rectangular frame around the ligand in Figure 10 and occurs in a related compound: the reaction product of enantiopure tartrate and Cu(II) without any additional chelating ligand, [Cu(H₂tart)(OH₂) · 2 H₂O]₇₇ [62] is a two-dimensional solid and shows very similar bridging functionality for the dicarboxylate. In 2a and 2c, the blocking ligand TMEDA reduces the connectivity from 2D to 1D.

Future work will focus on the unexpected mixed valence encountered in 2b and expansion of the research to include different dicarboxylic acids and blocking ligands.
4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Synthesis and Crystallization

4.1.1. Compound 1

Aspartic acid (13.3 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq) and copper(II) acetate \( \cdot \) 2 H\(_2\)O (21.8 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq) were dissolved at 50 °C in 10 mL of water. AMPY (10.8 mg, 10.3 µL, 0.1 mmol, 1 eq) was added to the solution and stirred at 50 °C for 2 h. A total of 3 mL of the reaction mixture were placed on a petri dish and 6 mL of a mixture of DMF, DMSO, MeCN (1:1:1) were added. After three days, suitable crystals were observed after evaporation of the MeCN and part of the DMF. Yield from several syntheses: 90–100%. Elemental analysis calculated for 1: [Cu(AMPY)(asp)(H\(_2\)O)] \( \cdot \) 0.6 H\(_2\)O: C 36.22%, H 4.92%, N 12.67%; found: C 36.60%, H 4.73%, N 12.63%. A few crystals more suitable for diffraction experiments were obtained by adding DMSO with its higher boiling point to a small amount of the above solution.

4.1.2. Compounds 2a/b/c

Copper tartrate (D/rac/meso) (21 mg, 0.1 mmol) was added to 50 mL of H\(_2\)O. Dry copper tartrate is not soluble in water but forms a suspension. While stirring this suspension, tetramethylethylenediamine C\(_6\)H\(_{16}\)N\(_2\) (TMEDA) (15 µL, 0.1 mmol) was added. The suspension turned dark blue, and the solid dissolved completely after a few minutes of stirring. The water was removed under reduced pressure and the remaining solid was dried over night. Single crystals were obtained by removing approx. 70% of the solvent under reduced pressure, and slow evaporation of the remaining solution at room temperature and ambient pressure. Yields from several syntheses for 2a and 2c: 60–70% Yield for 2b: ca 20 % (imprecise, see analytical data below). Elemental analysis calculated for 2a: [Cu(D-H2tart)(TMEDA)]\(_n\) \cdot 2 H\(_2\)O: C 33.01%, H 6.65%, N 7.70%; found: C 32.92%, H 6.48%, N 7.76%. No matching analytical data for 2b could be obtained because the compound loses one equivalent of TMEDA when dried in vacuo. Elemental analysis calculated for the TMEDA deficient product: [Cu\(_3\)(meso-H2tart)(meso-Htart)(TMEDA)] \cdot 2 H\(_2\)O: C 26.35%, H 4.72%, N 4.39%; found: C 26.23%, H 4.25%, N 4.50%. The product could therefore not be completely dried, and no precise yield can be given. The powder pattern (Figure 8), however, confirms that the microcrystalline solid corresponds to the established structure. Elemental analysis calculated for 2c: [Cu(rac-H2tart)(TMEDA)]\(_n\) \cdot 2 H\(_2\)O: C 33.01%, H 6.65%, N 7.70%; found: C 33.15%, H 6.66%, N 7.69%.

4.1.3. Compounds 3a/3b

Di-para-tolyltartaric acid (385 mg, 1 mmol) or dibenzoyltartaric acid (360 mg, 1 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of H\(_2\)O. Cu(CH\(_3\)COO)\(_2\) \cdot 2 H\(_2\)O (200 mg, 1 mmol) was added to the solution and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The water was removed under reduced pressure and the remaining solid was dried over night. Single crystals were obtained by removing approx. 70% of the solvent under reduced pressure, and letting the remaining solution slowly evaporate at room temperature and ambient pressure. Single crystals could also be synthesized through liquid diffusion: The tartaric acid derivatives were solved in 2 mL of ethanol, and the copper acetate in 2 mL H\(_2\)O. The ethanolic solution was layered on top of the aqueous solution in a test tube. Crystals formed after several hours. Yield 3a: 28%. Elemental analysis calculated for 3a: [Cu(dptta)(EtOH)(H\(_2\)O)]\(_n\): C 49.86%, H 4.95%, N 0.0%; found: C 51.01%, H 4.98%, N 0.0%.

For the structurally characterized compounds 1 (Figure S1), 2a (Figure S2), 2b (Figure 8), 2c (Figure S3) and 3a (Figure S4) powder patterns confirmed that bulk and single crystals essentially share the same structure. The monoclinic angle in 2a is very close to 90°; a very small temperature-dependent increase of this angle will result in a pronounced separation of the (at 100 K almost co-inciding) reflections 1 1 1 and 1 1 –1 around 2θ ca. 18°. This effect is explained in Figure S2. The powder pattern (Figure 13) also confirms that the basic structural features of our preliminary structure model for 3b are correct.
4.2. Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction

4.2.1. Data Collection

All diffraction experiments were performed at 100 K. Single crystals of 1 and 2b were sufficiently well-diffracting for in-house diffraction experiments on our Bruker D8 diffractometer equipped with a Smart APEX CCD detector and an ImS microsource (Mo Kα radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å, multilayer optics). Data were integrated with SAINT+ [63] and a multi-scan adsorption correction was performed using SADABS [64]. Intensity data for 2a, 2c and 3a were collected at the beamline P24 at PETRA-III of the German Electron Synchrotron (DESY) in Hamburg. Measurements were performed on a kappa diffractometer with a Dectris PILATUS3 X CdTe for Synchrotron detector at an energy of 20.0 keV ((λ = 0.61992Å), Si(111) double crystal monochromator). XDS [65,66] was used for reflection harvesting, unit cell determination and integration of the data.

4.2.2. Structure Solution and Refinement

The structures were solved by intrinsic phasing [67] and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures based on $F^2$, using all independent reflections [68]. Crystal data, data collection parameters and convergence results for the single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments have been summarized in Table S1. Non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic displacement parameters unless stated otherwise. H atoms attached to carbon were introduced into calculated positions and treated as riding, with isotropic displacement parameters constrained to multiples of $U_{eq}$ of their parent atom. Where data quality was sufficient, hydrogen atoms attached to N or O were refined freely. Further details of structure refinements are available in the Supporting Information. The crystal structures of 1, 2a-c and 3a have been deposited in the Cambridge Structural Database (Deposition numbers 1997343-1997347).

4.3. Powder Diffraction

X-ray powder diffraction experiments were performed at ambient temperature on flat samples with a Stoe and Cie STADI P diffractometer equipped with an imageplate detector with constant ω angle of 55° using germanium–monochromated Cu–Kα1 radiation (λ = 1.54059 Å).

4.4. Magnetic Measurements

Magnetic susceptibility data of 2b were obtained using a Quantum Design MPMS-5XL SQUID magnetometer. The polycrystalline sample was compacted and immobilized into cylindrical PTFE capsules. The data were acquired as a function of field (0–5.0 T at 2.0 K) and temperature (2.0–290 K at 0.1 T), and corrected for diamagnetic contributions from the sample holder and the compound ($\chi_{m,\text{dia}} = -3.77 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$).

4.5. Absorption Spectroscopy

Absorption spectroscopy was performed on a Shimadzu UV-2600 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer.

4.6. Database Survey

Our database surveys were conducted with Version 5.41 of the CSD [44], including the updates of November 2019, and restricted to error-free entries without disorder for which atomic coordinates were available.
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Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

- TMEDA: tetramethylethylenediamine
- AMPY: 2-aminomethylpyridine
- H$_2$dptta: di-para-toluoyltartaric acid
- dptta: di-para-toluoyltartrate
- H$_2$dbta: dibenzoyltartrate
- HDBTA: mono protonated dibenzoyletartrate
- H$_3$tar: tartaric acid
- H$_2$tar: tartrate
- H$_2$asp: aspartic acid
- asp: aspartate
- EtOH: Ethanol
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