Double differential cross section for light mass fragment production on tens of MeV proton, deuteron, helium and carbon induced reactions
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Abstract. Double differential cross sections (DDXs) of light mass fragment (LMFs - Li, Be, B, C, N and O) productions were measured for tens of MeV proton, deuteron helium and carbon induced reactions on Be, C, Al, Ti and Cu targets. The incident energies for the measurements were chosen to allow us to compare DDXs with same incident energy but different projectiles on various targets. Systematic data were obtained to see the differences between projectile energies, particles, targets and emitted particles. From the comparison, reaction processes of not only evaporation from complete fusion nucleus, but also scattering, pickup, stripping and projectile fragmentation were observed.

1. Introduction

Double differential cross sections (DDXs) for light mass fragment production are important parameters to estimate energy deposition within a micro volume through nuclear reactions caused by high-energy particles. The energy deposition after nuclear reaction is not expected since the high-energy particle generally has low energy transfer (LET). In other words, the nuclear reaction generating light mass fragment changes low LET particle to high LET one. The phenomenon induces unexpected or unwanted effects on materials and devices such as single event effects on silicon devices, [1] for example.

The DDXs were calculated using nuclear reaction models in particle transport codes. The nuclear reaction model consists of combination of evaporation and nucleon-nucleon processes of target and projectile. To simulate the process, intra-nuclear cascade and evaporation models for nucleon induced reactions, quantum molecular dynamics and evaporation for nucleus are used frequently [2]. In addition to the processes, stripping, pick-up and breakup are known for both nucleon and nucleus induced reactions.

Experimental data of the DDX are required to verify and validate the results calculated with the models [3,4]. For proton induced fragment production process, we measured Li, Be, B and C production DDXs of 40 to 300 MeV protons on C, Al, Ti and Cu targets using Bragg curve counter [6–9]. For incident ions, however less data and comparisons are available in this energy range. Bhattacharya et al. reports asymmetric binary splitting of the compound nucleus and another mechanism for Li and Be at forward angle in his paper for the 27Al(He,x) reaction at E_he = 60 MeV [10]. Förtsch et al. reports contribution of complete fusion and break-up-fusion to intermediate mass fragment production for the 27Al(12C,x) reaction at E_12C = 156 MeV [11]. Motobayashi et al pointed out α-transfer reactions between light nuclei through calculation [12]. These processes should be implemented in the reaction models of particle transport codes properly.

In this study, we provide a set of experimental data for fragment production with combinations of projectile and target nucleus by using the same methodology for proton induced light mass fragment measurement [6–9]. We choose four different incident particles, p, d, He and C, having same energy, 25 MeV, 50 MeV, 70 MeV and 140 MeV as incident particles. As targets, we selected Be, C, Al, Ti and Cu to cover light to medium nuclei. Light mass fragments of Li, Be, B, C, N and O were measured at 30, 60 and 90 degrees.

2. Experimental

Details of fragment measurement using Bragg Curve Counters (BCCs) and experimental procedure were described elsewhere [6–9], thus only an outline is presented in this section.

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup. In this setup, three BCCs were mounted on a scattering chamber at 30, 60 and 90 degrees with respect to the beam direction. The scattering chamber was installed in the beam line of the Cyclotron facility at National Institute of Radiological Sciences (NIRS), Japan.

Table 1 summarizes a list of targets for incident particles and its energies. Beams of p, d, He and C, were chosen with energies of 25 MeV, 50 MeV, 70 MeV and 140 MeV as incident particles. As targets, we selected Be, C, Al, Ti and Cu to cover light to medium nuclei. Light mass fragments of Li, Be, B, C, N and O were measured at 30, 60 and 90 degrees.
Table 1. List of targets for incident particle and their energies. (Note: 72 and 144 MeV employed instead of 70 and 140 MeV, respectively, for carbon incident).

| Energy [MeV] | Proton incident | Deuteron incident |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 24           | Be C Al Ti Cu   | Be C Al Ti Cu     |
| 50           | Be C Al Ti Cu   | Be C Al Ti Cu     |
| 70           | C Al Ti Cu      | C Al Ti Cu        |
| 140          | C Al Ti Cu      | C Al Ti Cu        |

| Energy [MeV] | Helium incident | Carbon incident |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 24           | C Al Ti Cu      | Be C Al Ti Cu  |
| 50           | C Al Ti Cu      | Be C Al Ti Cu  |
| 70           | Be C Al Ti Cu   | Be C Al Ti Cu  |
| 140          |                | C Al Ti Cu      |

Table 2. Thickness and style of targets.

| Target material | Thickness | Style          |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|
| Beryllium       | 5 µm      | Self support   |
| Graphite        | 206 µg/cm² | Self support   |
| Aluminium       | 0.8 µm    | Self support   |
| Titanium        | 1 µm      | Self support   |
| Copper          | 1 µm      | Self support   |

The thicknesses of the targets are listed on Table 2. The targets were mounted on a ladder of a target changer installed at the center of the chamber.

Beam from the cyclotron was focused at the center of the target. After passing through the target, the beam was lead to the electrically isolated beam dump, located at approximately 1.5 m downstream, made of graphite block, to measure the number of incident particles. The beam position and size were observed using a ZnS screen mounted on the target changer ladder in prior to cross section measurement.

On the target, fragments were generated through nuclear reactions with beam particles. The fragments emitted from the target were measured using BCCs connected to the scattering chamber.

Figure 2 shows a schematic drawing of the BCC. The BCC is a parallel plate ionization chamber with a grid. The grid is placed in between an anode and cathode electrode, 5 mm in front of the anode. The distance between the cathode and grid is 30 cm, while 14 field shaping rings having an 8 cm inner diameter are installed with 2 cm steps between the cathode and grid to provide uniform electric fields. At the center of the cathode electrode, thin window that consists of a 20 mm in diameter hole covered with a SiN membrane, 0.5 µm in thickness, with 500 µm in thickness support frame is placed to enable a fragment to enter in the BCC. These electrodes are installed in an O-ring sealed stainless steel chamber to be filled with a low pressure counting gas, Ar + 10% CH₄ with 0.0534 MPa (400 Torr). High voltages were applied to each electrode to induce electric fields the strengths of which are 0.16 V/cm/Torr and 0.64 V/cm/Torr for cathode-grid and grid-anode, respectively.

The output signals from each BCC were processed using standard NIM and VME modules. The signals
from the anode electrodes were fed into pre-amplifiers. The preamplifier output connected to each anode was fed into two amplifiers (ORTEC model 460 and 572A) with short (0.04 $\mu$s) and long (6 $\mu$s) shaping times to obtain signals related to Bragg peak and energy, respectively. In addition to this, pulse height signals from the cathodes were measured with long time constant amplifiers.

Timing signals were derived using timing filter amplifiers and constant fraction discriminators for anodes and cathodes. Time difference between anode and cathode timing signals was measured using Time-to-amplitude convertor (TAC Canberra model 2145) module. The pulse height signals from amplifiers and TAC were fed into VME analog-to-digital convertors (VADC: A3400 Nikiglass Co., LTD.). The ADC data were accumulated using VME crate controller (SVP511 Nikiglass Co., LTD.), and Linux PC connected with Gigabit Ethernet.

Figure 4 shows typical measured data taken by BCC at angle of 30 degrees, with Bragg peak vs Energy two dimensional plot style for the case of 70 MeV helium impinging on graphite target. As shown in this figure, very clear separation was derived for He to O fragments.

In addition, the low energy events shown in Fig. 4 as (i), which were too low energy to form Bragg peak, were also separated using range-energy plot that can be derived from time-difference between signals from cathode and anode, and ratio between cathode and anode pulse heights [6]. By using this separation, minimum energy of separation reaches down to 0.5 MeV/u.

On the other hand, the events shown in Fig. 4 as (ii), which were too high energy to stop within BCC length, were used as data points with compensating missing energy based on energy loss calculation with counting gas type and pressure [7].

It should be stressed that these two techniques are essential to cover required energy range for fragment measurement in several tens of MeV energy region.

After particle identification, pulse height channel spectra were obtained for each fragments. The spectra were converted to energy spectra using energy calibration data. The calibration data were determined based on maximum deposition energies for each fragment that were calculated using the SRIM code [1] for operated counting gas pressure. The energy spectra were shifted to compensate for energy losses in the target and entrance window. The half of target thickness was used for compensation of energy loss in the target.

After energy compensation, the energy spectra, $Y(E, \theta)$ were normalized according to the following equation:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dE d\Omega}(E, \theta) = \frac{Y(E, \theta)}{\phi N \Delta E \Delta \Omega},$$

where $\phi$ is the number of protons that were obtained using Faraday cup, $N$ the number of the target atoms, $\Delta E$ bin width, $\Delta \Omega$ solid angle deduced analytically and confirmed through $\alpha$-particle counting from $^{241}$Am check source placed instead of target.

Uncertainties of statistics and others, the number of incident particles (5%), the number of target atoms (6%), and solid angle (6%), were included within the data based on propagation of uncertainty.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 5 shows double differential cross sections of the Al(He,x) reaction for $E_{He} = 70$ MeV, at 30 degrees emission angle in comparison with one for $E_{He} = 60$ MeV, at 20 degrees by Bhattacharya et al. [10].
Figure 6. Double differential cross sections of the Al(C,x) reaction for $E_C = 144 \text{ MeV}$, at 30 degrees emission angle in comparison with one for $E_{He} = 158 \text{ MeV}$, at 30 degrees by S.V.Fortsch et al. [11].

Figure 7. Double differential cross sections of aluminium target for Li, Be, B, C, N and O production at 30 degrees emission angle for 70 MeV proton, helium and carbon. Generally, amount of fragment emitted from these reaction increases with mass of projectiles. Naturally, carbon emission is high for carbon-induced reaction since it includes simple scattering components. In addition to this, emission of B and N, which are neighbour of carbon, are relatively high. It indicates, scattering and pickup/stripping component provide considerable contribution for light mass fragment production in this energy range.

Figure 8. Double differential cross sections of carbon target for Li, Be, B, C, N and O production at 30 degrees emission angle for 50 MeV proton, helium and carbon incident.

at 20 degrees by Bhattacharya et al. [10]. As shown in this figure, present results for 70 MeV at 30 degrees are in fair agreement with data for 60 MeV, at 20 degrees with considering difference of incident energy and emission angle. Present data miss high energy components of light mass fragments, Li and Be, due to insufficient detector thickness, however the data covers energy range for relatively higher yield.

Figure 6 shows double differential cross sections of the Al(C,x) reaction for $E_C = 144 \text{ MeV}$, at 30 degrees emission angle in comparison with one for $E_{He} = 158 \text{ MeV}$, at 30 degrees by S.V.Fortsch et al. [11]. Present data for 144 MeV seems to be consistent with data for 158 MeV.

Figure 7 shows double differential cross sections of aluminium for Li, Be, B, C, N and O production at 30 degrees emission angle for 70 MeV proton, helium and carbon incident. Generally, amount of fragment emitted from these reaction increases with mass of projectiles. Naturally, carbon emission is high for carbon-induced reaction since it includes simple scattering components. In addition to this, emission of B and N, which are neighbour of carbon, are relatively high. It indicates, scattering and pickup/stripping component provide considerable contribution for light mass fragment production in this energy range.

Figure 8 shows double differential cross sections of carbon target for Li, Be, B, C, N and O production at 30 degrees emission angle for 50 MeV proton, deuterion helium and carbon incident. As shown in this figure, relatively high carbon emission for carbon induced reaction is observed for the C(C,C) reaction. Some peak structures due to low-lying state of residual nuclei are observed for carbon emission in addition to continuous energy component. For Boron, yield is comparable to
one for Be and Li. It indicates stripping process is not important in this case.

In contrast, helium particle emission for carbon-induced reaction is significantly higher than the other particles. It indicates importance of carbon decay to three helium particles. For the C(He,He) reaction, relatively large amount of high energy components are observed due to scattering of helium.

Figure 9 shows double differential cross sections of aluminium for Li, Be, B, C, N and O production at 30 degrees emission angle for 50 MeV proton, helium and carbon incident. For the Al(C,C) reaction, peak structure is observed due to elastic and inelastic scattering. The yield for Boron is relatively high in comparison with one for Li and Be in contrast to the reaction on carbon, which means stripping process is more important for aluminium than carbon.

For helium emission, same tendencies shown in Fig. 8 are observed in Fig. 9. For quantitative comparison between carbon and aluminium, energy integrated cross sections were deduced for these spectrum. In this integration, missing components due to low energy threshold of detector system were neglected.

Figure 10 shows energy integrated cross sections of each projectile for carbon and aluminium targets with 50 MeV incident energy, 30 degrees emission angle. Dotted lines connecting data points are eye guide.

**Figure 9.** Double differential cross sections of aluminium target for Li, Be, B, C, N and O production at 30 degrees emission angle for 50 MeV proton, helium and carbon.

**Figure 10.** Energy integrated cross sections of each projectile and ejectile for carbon and aluminium targets with 50 MeV incident energy, 30 degrees emission angle. Dotted lines connecting data points are eye guide.

### 4. Conclusions

The sets of experimental data were obtained for fragment production with combinations of projectile and target nucleus for four different incident particles, p, d, He and C, having same energy, on light to medium nuclei targets. Light mass fragments of Li, Be, B, C, N and O were measured at 30, 60 and 90 degrees using Bragg curve counter with scattering chamber. From these results, importance of scattering, stripping and picking-up processes becomes obvious to reproduce light mass fragment production in this energy range. Contribution of break up reaction on carbon providing three helium particles was also observed from this spectrum. The data set must be useful for validation of reaction models implemented in radiation transport codes.
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