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Climate Aridity under Changing Conditions and Implications for the Agricultural Sector: Italy as a Case Study
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A comprehensive diachronic analysis (1951–2010) of precipitation and temperature regimes has been carried out at the national and regional scale in Italy to investigate the impact of climate aridity on the agricultural system. Trends in climate aridity have been also analysed using UNEP aridity index which is the ratio between rainfall and potential evapotranspiration on a yearly basis. During the examined time period, and particularly in the most recent years, a gradual reduction in rainfall and growing temperatures have been observed which have further widened the gap between precipitation amounts and water demand in agriculture.

1. Introduction

In recent years, Italy has been experiencing growing water scarcity that can be mainly related to climate variations and poor soil and water management [1–4]. Scholars, although still debating about the causes and possible remedies, recognize that a gradual warming process is occurring at wider scales also altering, at local level, the rainfall regime [5–7]. Bates et al. [8] indicates that observed warming over several decades has been linked to large-scale hydrological changes (i.e., increasing atmospheric water vapour content, changing precipitation patterns, reduced snow cover and widespread ice melting, changes in soil moisture and runoff).

Mediterranean Basin is particularly exposed to the impacts of climate change. Particularly, the gradual heating along coasts in recent years, especially in summer, resulted in an increase of the average temperatures in coastal waters up to 3 degrees, producing phenomena of the mucilage and tropicalization of flora and fauna. In these regions, also, climate projections outline a decreased water availability due to less abundant, irregularly distributed, and intense rainfall throughout the year compared to the past [3, 9, 10]. At country scale, Italy, due to the particular geographical form, is an example of spatial heterogeneity in climate regimes shaping also climate variations at a local level. As a matter of fact, changes in temperature and precipitation regimes are not evenly distributed on the country, but differ from place to place depending on both the structural component (climate) of variability associated with the atmospheric system and on the random component (weather).

One of the most important consequences of climate change for the Mediterranean environment is the increasing frequency of drought episodes and the growing impact of climate aridity on agroforest ecosystems [8, 11, 12]. Although hard to distinguish considering their effects, the conceptual difference between aridity and drought is equivalent to that between climate and weather [13]. In the case of aridity, the lack of rainfall depends on the local climate and represents a permanent or seasonal condition. On the contrary, drought is a transitory phenomenon related to the meteorological
variability and, as such, it can strike everywhere and at any
time with levels of intensity and persistence which cannot be
determined a priori [14].

Aridity and drought, however, represent two sides of
the same coin: along with the long-term trends triggered by
climate change there has been in Italy a tight recurrence of
drought episodes that have recently affected in an undifferen-
tiated manner areas previously not prone to such phenomena,
such as Northern Italy, as well as others where the pre-
existing water shortage has been exacerbated by the increased
climate aggressiveness as in central and southern Italy [15–
17].

The analysis of annual and seasonal rainfall for the period
1951–2002 revealed that in Italy there has been an increase of
the surface area experiencing drier rainfall regimes. Perini
et al. [18] shows that the most relevant cases are recorded in
province of Foggia, where the portion of land area receiving
less than 600 mm annual rainfall increased from 15% to 61%,
in the province of Oristano (from 8% to 76%) and Nuoro
(46% to 79%). In other provinces, such as Campobasso,
where there has been an increase from 0% to 21%, the size
of the phenomenon is relatively less considerable although
a significant trend towards drought conditions has been
equally identified, while in the province of Grosseto the
portion of land subject to reduced rainfall did not change
over time, remaining slightly less than 1% [19]. Many Italian
regions are still characterized by a considerable year by year
variability (e.g., Salento, Central and Northern Appennine
areas, South-Eastern Sicily, and even Valle D’Aosta), showing
a coefficient of variation (CV) between 20 and 30% of the
average annual rainfall [18]. In several regions the rainfall
seasonality, typical of the Mediterranean climate, strengthens
the effects and impacts of climate change: the recorded
autumn-winter rainfall is three times higher than the spring-
summer one. Along the Tyrrenhian coast, by contrast, spring
and summer rainfall is equal to half of the autumn-winter
one, while generally in the Northern regions and along the
middle Adriatic the distribution of rainfall throughout the
year is more evenly spread [15, 20, 21].

Considering the above-mentioned, structural trends in
climate aridity and the increasing frequency in drought
episodes, the strengthening of their negative impact on both
man and the environment can lead to desertification [22].
That being said, it was necessary to carry out a territorial anal-
ysis able to outline the overall national framework in terms
of intensity, spatial distribution, and temporal evolution of
water shortage caused by aridity also discussing the possible
implications in the agricultural sector.

2. Methods

A quite common method for quantifying the gap between
rainfall contributions and water demand is the Aridity Index
(AI) which, in the formulation adopted by United Nations
Environment Programme (UNEP), Food and Agriculture
Organization (FAO), and United Nations Convention to
Combat Desertification (UNCCD), represents a simple but
effective scientific investigation tool and an operational

| Aridity Index (AI) values | Climate classification |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| AI < 0.05                | Hyper-arid            |
| 0.05 < AI < 0.2          | Arid                  |
| 0.2 < AI < 0.5           | Semi-arid             |
| 0.5 < AI < 0.65          | Dry sub-humid         |
| 0.65 < AI > 0.75         | Humid                 |
| AI > 0.75                | Hyper-humid           |

support to territorial monitoring and classification. The Arid-
ity Index is calculated by dividing the total annual precipi-
tation (P) by the annual potential evapotranspiration (ET0):

\[ AI = \frac{P}{ET0} \]  (1)

The AI index can be defined as a bioclimatic index, as it
takes into account both physical phenomena (precipitation
and potential evapotranspiration) and biological processes
(plant transpiration). Moreover, such index represents one
of the most relevant indicators for studying desertification
processes [23–25]. As a rule, AI values below 0.5 define arid
or semi-arid areas, while values over 0.65 describe humid and
hyper-humid zones as shown in Table 1.

The meteorological data to calculate the annual precipi-
tation and potential evapotranspiration values have been
gathered from the National Agrometeorological Data Base
(BDAN). This database is a computerized archive designed
within the National Agricultural Information System (SIAN)
of the Ministry of Agriculture food and forestry policies
(MiPAAF). BDAN consists of basic observation data deriving
from meteorological stations belonging to various National
and local monitoring networks, mainly CRA-CMA (formerly
UCEA), Air Force, former Hydrographic and Mareographic
Service, and several regional agrometeorological services. In
addition to elementary data, BDAN includes a number of
climate statistics and various agrometeorological data.

In order to meet the fundamental requirements of reli-
ability, territorial coverage, uniformity, completeness, and
consistency of the time series, we have chosen to use the
daily data series from 1951 to 2010 of Minimum Temperature
(Tn), Maximum Temperature (Tx), and Precipitation (P)
calculated through a geostatistical technique (Kriging) at the
nodes of a square grid of 30 × 30 Km, covering the entire
Italian territory [26–28]. Basically, Kriging is an interpolation
method to estimate, at all points across a well-defined spatial
domain, the unknown value of a given size (in our case
temperatures and precipitations) using a weighted average of
known values of the same size around the point to be esti-
mated. Tn and Tx values were used to calculate ETo. Indeed,
in the specific case of this study, the estimated potential
evapotranspiration was obtained using Hargreaves/Samani
formula which requires the above daily temperature data
[29].

The weather and climatic data used in this work are the
complete set of daily values (about 40 million records) of air
temperature (minimum and maximum), rainfall, sunshine
duration, relative humidity, and wind speed (10 m) estimated at 544 points (grid nodes) of the Italian territory (Figure 1) evenly distributed on the basis of a regular pattern derived from about 3000 weather stations belonging at BDAN. Through several computational steps, the daily values of \( P \) and \( ET0 \) were aggregated up to the annual level and used to calculate the AI obtaining a complete matrix of 32,640 index values (60 years \( \times \) 544 grid nodes). In order to obtain information also on the spatial distribution of the AI, the resulting data matrix were properly processed using GIS technology (Geographic Information System) after compaction of the temporal dimension obtained by calculating moving averages over a 30-year window [30]. For each grid node, mean AI values relating to the periods 1951–1980 and 1981–2010 were obtained. Such operation, besides compacting the data matrix for an easier information management, has allowed to adequately filter AI interannual variability restoring a more stable spatial characterization of the magnitude. The thematic cartography, being the nodes arranged in a regular grid, has been in turn obtained by means of the Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) interpolation technique with neutral power (i.e., equal to 1) [31].

3. Results and Discussion

Between 1951 and 2010 the national territory showed an overall average AI value equal to 0.90 (Table 2) that indicates an equilibrium of rainfall and potential evapotranspiration. From this view, could be classified as whole countries not vulnerable to the effects of both aridity and drought.

During the investigated time period, however, quite complex and heterogeneous situations occurred, due to both climate variability related to the rainfall regime and
Table 2: Minimum, average, and maximum yearly aridity index (AI) values grouped by Italian region for the period 1951–2010. Average values and ranking and percentage variation of the AI have been calculated also for the periods 1951–1980 and 1981–2010. Data source and processing of CRA-CMA.

| Region                | 1951–2010 Minimum | 1951–2010 Average | 1951–2010 Maximum | 1951–1980 Average | 1951–1980 Ranking | 1951–1980 % Variation | 1981–2010 Average | 1981–2010 Ranking | 1981–2010 % Variation |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Northern              |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                     |                  |                  |                     |
| Valle d’Aosta         | 0.52             | 1.43             | 3.08             | 1.51             | 19               | 1.34                | 20               | −11.3            |
| Emilia Romagna        | 0.54             | 0.89             | 1.47             | 0.96             | 8                | 0.81                | 10               | −15.3            |
| Trentino Alto Adige   | 0.73             | 1.32             | 2.39             | 1.43             | 18               | 1.20                | 18               | −16.5            |
| Veneto                | 0.65             | 1.08             | 1.73             | 1.18             | 14               | 0.98                | 15               | −17.2            |
| Liguria               | 0.48             | 1.07             | 1.81             | 1.19             | 15               | 0.95                | 14               | −20.5            |
| Piedmont              | 0.54             | 1.15             | 2.12             | 1.28             | 16               | 1.01                | 17               | −21.3            |
| Lombardy              | 0.56             | 1.16             | 2.21             | 1.32             | 17               | 1.00                | 16               | −24.2            |
| Friuli Venezia Giulia | 0.89             | 1.56             | 3.01             | 1.82             | 20               | 1.30                | 19               | −28.6            |
| Centre                |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                     |                  |                  |                     |
| Umbria                | 0.53             | 0.92             | 1.45             | 0.99             | 10               | 0.84                | 12               | −15.2            |
| Latium                | 0.50             | 0.87             | 1.39             | 0.95             | 7                | 0.80                | 8                | −15.6            |
| Marche                | 0.52             | 0.90             | 1.40             | 0.99             | 9                | 0.81                | 9                | −17.9            |
| Tuscany               | 0.52             | 0.94             | 1.62             | 1.06             | 13               | 0.82                | 11               | −22.0            |
| South                 |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                     |                  |                  |                     |
| Basilicata            | 0.45             | 0.73             | 1.08             | 0.78             | 4                | 0.68                | 4                | −13.1            |
| Apulia                | 0.34             | 0.60             | 0.98             | 0.64             | 3                | 0.56                | 3                | −13.6            |
| Abruzzo               | 0.45             | 0.78             | 1.22             | 0.84             | 5                | 0.71                | 6                | −14.9            |
| Calabria              | 0.55             | 0.93             | 1.44             | 1.01             | 12               | 0.84                | 13               | −17.1            |
| Campania              | 0.50             | 0.89             | 1.38             | 1.00             | 11               | 0.79                | 7                | −20.6            |
| Molise                | 0.48             | 0.79             | 1.25             | 0.89             | 6                | 0.69                | 5                | −22.3            |
| Islands               |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                     |                  |                  |                     |
| Sicily                | 0.27             | 0.56             | 0.97             | 0.57             | 1                | 0.55                | 2                | −3.4             |
| Sardinia              | 0.29             | 0.55             | 0.96             | 0.62             | 2                | 0.48                | 1                | −22.3            |
| Italy                 | **0.49**         | **0.90**         | **1.54**         | **0.99**         | **1**            | **0.81**            | **18.4**          |                  |

The geographical environment of the various areas concerned (regions, [32–34]) shows reference to the national aggregation scale, AI values fluctuated between a maximum of 0.49 and a minimum of 1.54, namely, between conditions of semiarid and hyperhumid climate (Table 2). A close examination of the individual regional cases contributes to outlining an even more complex framework of substantially differentiated situations. For example, in the case of Sicily, Sardinia, and Apulia, AI values are among the lowest in the national rankings, owing to mean rainfall below 600 mm/year and potential evapotranspiration almost twice higher. Indeed, in such regions from 1951 to 2010 the average AI value ranges between 0.49 and 0.60 reaching, in some years, minimum values close to the aridity threshold (AI < 0.2). The northern and part of the central regions as well, show index values close to or higher than 1, thanks to generally more abundant rainfall, such as to counterbalance or exceed what potentially required in terms of evapotranspiration. Also in these regions, however, climate variability entailed a fluctuation of the AI between minimum values of about 0.5 and maximum values even higher than 3 (Friuli Venezia Giulia and Valle d’Aosta).

temperature pattern, and the peculiarities of the geographical environment of the various areas concerned (regions, [32–34]). With reference to the national aggregation scale, AI values fluctuated between a minimum of 0.49 and a maximum of 1.54, namely, between conditions of semiarid and hyperhumid climate (Table 2).

A close examination of the individual regional cases contributes to outlining an even more complex framework of substantially differentiated situations. For example, in the case of Sicily, Sardinia, and Apulia, AI values are among the lowest in the national rankings, owing to mean rainfall below 600 mm/year and potential evapotranspiration almost twice higher. Indeed, in such regions from 1951 to 2010 the average AI value ranges between 0.49 and 0.60 reaching, in some years, minimum values close to the aridity threshold (AI < 0.2). The northern and part of the central regions as well, show index values close to or higher than 1, thanks to generally more abundant rainfall, such as to counterbalance or exceed what potentially required in terms of evapotranspiration. Also in these regions, however, climate variability entailed a fluctuation of the AI between minimum values of about 0.5 and maximum values even higher than 3 (Friuli Venezia Giulia and Valle d’Aosta).

Table 2 also shows however an element shared by all Italian regions, namely the negative variation intervening between the 30-year periods 1951–1980 and 1981–2010. Indeed, relevant percentage variations emerged from the diachronic comparison: at national level, AI negative variation is over 18 percentage points, while at regional level such variation ranges from a record reduction in Friuli Venezia Giulia (−28.6%) to a minimum level in Sicily (−3.4%). Data analysis reveals that this phenomenon is mainly due to both rainfall reduction and temperature increase (and therefore potential evapotranspiration) that most recently have heightened the preexisting conditions of aridity and made drought periods longer [35]. This is also confirmed by the fact that the years mainly associated with low AI values have a barycenter in the period 1981–2010, while the years associated with higher values have their center of mass in the period 1951–1980.

The temporal pattern of Aridity Index can be easily inferred from Figure 2, which shows the trend lines by geographical division of the Italian territory (North, Center, South, and Islands). The chart clearly shows that the temporal variability of the examined phenomena also responds to a geographical gradient being much more evident in
Figure 2: Trends in the Aridity Index by geographical division in Italy.

Figure 3: The spatial distribution of the Aridity Index in Italy by time period.
the northern regions than in the southern ones. Some of the most significant Italian examples are represented by the cases of Sardinia and Friuli Venezia Giulia [36]. In the second 30-year period (1981–2010), Sardinia, whose initial conditions (between 1951 and 1980) were not so critical (AI = 0.62; P = 870 mm), recorded a variation of AI equal to −22.3% which places the region below the threshold discriminating arid areas (AI = 0.48). It is important to know that rainfall in Sardinia has equally decreased by 42% (P = 506 mm). In Friuli Venezia Giulia, AI variation totaled −28.6/ (from 1.82 to 1.30), while rainfall variation −26.0% (from 1456 mm to 1080 mm). Although the latter case shows an even more pronounced decrease of the AI and rainfall contributions equal to those of Sardinia (about 370 mm/year), the climate conditions in the region are still favorable, especially if compared to other regions. A full comparison, from a diachronic and territorial point of view, can be deduced from Figure 3. Both maps show that most of the national territory falls within a range of AI values higher than 0.65 and, consequently, its climate can be defined as "humid" or "hyper-humid" according to the empirical classification associated with the values of the aridity index. Once again, interesting is the critical drying up phenomenon, resulting from worsening climate conditions occurred during 1981–2010 that affected the southern regions and islands.

Additional analysis revealed that winter and autumn are periods of rainfall surplus compared to the potential evapotranspiration demand (rainfall is four or more times higher than potential evapotranspiration). Indeed, such seasons play a crucial role in the restoring the water capacity of the soil. During spring, when the water demand is higher, rainfall is approximately equal to potential evapotranspiration. Exceptions are some regions of southern Italy (especially Apulia, Sardinia, and Sicily), where already in this season the Aridity Index underlines more or less pronounced critical conditions [25].

4. Conclusions

The Aridity Index (AI) is a widespread and simple tool that allowed to analyze the magnitude of the aridity and drought phenomena all over the national territory for a reasonable number of years (1951–2010). In addition to the absolute values and relevant climate classifications, the AI has allowed us to verify geographical characteristics and differences as well as the climate variations occurred. Also considering the overall worsening recorded during the last 30-year period, the most serious aridities phenomena affect only part of the Italian territory (e.g., Sardinia and Sicily) and marginally other southern regions. In these areas, the environmental conditions are more unfavorable and agriculture, grazing, and other human activities affect local environmental conditions. Indeed, the increase in aridity has changed the status of the natural resources that are affected by the production activities, in particular soil, vegetation, and water resources [37]. The data provided in this paper pointed out how, in the last 30 years, Italy was affected by various degrees of water stress mainly due to scarce or badly distributed rainfall throughout the year. The increase of bare soil or cover with sparse vegetation creates an increase of the solar radiation, such that, the more the soil moisture evaporates, the more the ground becomes increasingly drier in a feedback process possibly, accelerating degradation of the Italian lands [38].

In conclusion, considering all the facts above mentioned, it should be kept in mind that agro-ecosystems are the result of the adaptation to specific environmental conditions (climate) and any variation, which could alter the ecological and biocenotic balance, needs to be reoriented in the name of sustainable land management policies and agricultural practices.
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