Flecainide in Ventricular Arrhythmias: From Old Myths to New Perspectives
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Abstract: Flecainide is an IC antiarrhythmic drug (AAD) that received in 1984 Food and Drug Administration approval for the treatment of sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) and subsequently for rhythm control of atrial fibrillation (AF). Currently, flecainide is mainly employed for sinus rhythm maintenance in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) or supraventricular tachycardias [3,4]. The Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial (CAST) investigated the impact of class IC antiarrhythmic treatment on morbidity and mortality in patients with reduced ejection fraction and frequent premature ventricular complexes (PVC) after myocardial infarction (MI). Published in its preliminary form in 1989, CAST study recorded significantly higher mortality among patients treated with IC AADs compared to placebo and was prematurely dismissed [5].

The purpose of this review is to assess current evidence for appropriate and safe use of flecainide, 30 years after CAST data, in the light of new diagnostic and therapeutic tools in the field of ischaemic and non-ischaemic heart disease.
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1. Introduction

Flecainide is a class IC antiarrhythmic drug (AAD) that was first synthesized in 1972. It was approved by Food and Drug Administration in 1984 for the treatment of symptomatic sustained Ventricular Tachycardia (VT), with a 90% efficacy and without significant adverse events [1,2]. Nowadays flecainide is mainly used for pharmacological conversion and sinus rhythm maintenance in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) or supraventricular tachycardias [3,4]. The Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial (CAST) investigated the impact of class IC antiarrhythmic treatment on morbidity and mortality in patients with reduced ejection fraction and frequent premature ventricular complexes (PVC) after myocardial infarction (MI). Published in its preliminary form in 1989, CAST study recorded significantly higher mortality among patients treated with IC AADs compared to placebo and was prematurely dismissed [5].

The CAST study provided a major revision of the role of flecainide, which is therefore recommended in selected patients with preserved systolic function and without ischaemic heart disease [6]. Otherwise, flecainide is contraindicated in patients with previous MI even with preserved ejection fraction and should not be used in case of proved inducible
ischaemia. In addition, the current guidelines extended the CAST findings to non-ischaemic structural heart disease despite limited evidence [6].

Thus, the CAST significantly affected and restricted the current use of flecainide in clinical practice causing a fall in employment of class IC AADs in favor of class III AADs [7,8] and exposing patients to the numerous adverse reactions and toxicities of these drugs, in the absence of a robust evidence [9,10].

It is important to underline the paucity of available regarding patients with subcritical coronary artery disease (CAD) without previous MI or inducible ischemia with preserved systolic function and in patients with non-ischaemic structural heart disease. On the other side, recent studies demonstrated safety and efficacy of flecainide in patients with extrasystole-induced cardiomyopathy or Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy (ARVC) and no Left Ventricular (LV) dysfunction [11–14]. This review aims to do a critical appraisal of the use of flecainide in Ventricular Arrhythmia management in the light of the current evidence: is it still right to exclude flecainide from treatment for all patients with structural heart disease after 30 years?

2. Epidemiology of Ventricular Arrhythmia

VT is commonly seen in medical practice, not rarely in its most feared consequence, Sudden Cardiac Death (SCD). The American Heart Association (AHA) reports over 550,000 annual cardiac arrests, representing half of all cardiovascular deaths [15].

Most patients with sustained VT have underlying structural heart disease such as prior MI, dilated non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy, cardiac sarcoidosis and ARVC [15]. The overall incidence of sustained VT during an acute MI is approximately 10.2% with a high in hospital mortality rate up to 27% [16]. VT in patients with structural heart disease often causes deleterious haemodynamic effects and is correlated with an increased risk of SCD, particularly in elderly [17].

VT can also arise in otherwise normal hearts, Idiopathic Ventricular Arrhythmias (IVA); idiopathic PVCs are the most common arrhythmia in patients with normal heart: approximately 40% of adults experience PVCs on 24-h Holter monitoring [18]. IVAs may be classified in several subtypes according to the origin site. The ventricular outflow tracts are the most common origins of IVAs. Approximately 50% of IVAs originate from the Right Ventricular Outflow Tract (RVOT) [19]. Other origins include the Left Ventricular Outflow Tract (LVOT) (15%), the epicardial myocardium, the great cardiac veins and rarely the pulmonary artery [6,19].

Idiopathic left VTs include verapamil-sensitive left fascicular VT (10%), Bundle Branch Reentry Tachycardia (BBRT) (6%) and rarely interfascicular VT and focal Purkinje VT [6,20].

In a minority of patients VTs or PVCs may arise from the right or left ventricular papillary muscles (7%) and from the mitral or tricuspid annulus (10%) [6,21].

3. Mechanisms and Clinical Evaluation of Ventricular Arrhythmia

3.1. Pathogenesis

Overall, three mechanisms could be the cause of ventricular arrhythmias: abnormal automaticity, triggered activity and reentry [22,23].

Abnormal automaticity refers to spontaneous depolarization of cells without pacemaker function, as working atrial and ventricular myocardial cells, due to a decrease of membrane potential. Abnormal automaticity is the leading cause of accelerated idioventricular rhythm and it’s caused by ischaemia, electrolyte disturbances and drugs increasing adrenergic tone [24].

The triggered activity results from early or delayed afterdepolarization in ventricular myocytes during phases 2 and 3 or phase 4 of the action potential. It occurs as a result of cyclic adenosine monophosphate dependent diastolic release of intracellular calcium; idiopathic RVOT tachycardia is an example of triggered activity [25,26].

Reentry is the most frequent mechanism causing serious ventricular arrhythmias and occurs when a PVC encounters a heterogeneously conductive substrate within the ven-
tricular myocardium, consisting of two conduction pathways with a different conduction velocity and refractory period. Reentry is the postulated mechanism for VT occurring in a patient with an established scar from prior infarction [27]. VT in non-ischaeamic cardiomyopathy is usually due to reentry and is mediated by idiopathic patchy intramural scar. In the case of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, VT often originates from reentry circuits at the septal level or in the area of localization of hypertrophy at the level of the LV [28].

3.2. Clinical Presentation and Electrocardiographic Morphology

During IVAs haemodynamic impairment or serious symptoms are unusual, but patients can have palpitations, atypical chest pain or LV dysfunction. IVAs are distinguished by whether or not they originate from the outflow tracts and display specific electrocardiographic features [29].

The RVOT is the most common site of origin of idiopathic outflow tract ventricular arrhythmias and is characterized on electrocardiogram (ECG) by a positive QRS complex in the inferior leads and a Left Bundle Branch Block (LBBB) morphology. The origins of RVOT and LVOT can be distinguished on the ECG by the precordial R-wave transition from a predominate S wave to a predominate R wave (rS to Rs). Transitions before V3 indicate an LVOT source and after V3 indicate an RVOT source [29–32], as seen in Figure 1. When LBBB QRS morphology is observed and the precordial transition occurs at V3, comparison to the patient’s sinus rhythm precordial transition can be useful: a V2 transition ratio \( \geq 0.60 \) suggests LVOT origin with high sensitivity and specificity [33,34].

![Figure 1. Electrocardiographic morphology of RVOT, LVOT, Fascicular VT and BBRT.](image)

Note that transition before V3 suggests an LVOT source and after V3 suggests an RVOT source. BBRT: Bundle Branch Reentry Tachycardia LVOT = left ventricular outflow tract; RVOT = right ventricular outflow tract; VT: Ventricular Tachycardia. *Fascicular VT originating from the posterior fascicle is reported due to its higher frequency.

Among non-outflow tract IVAs, LV papillary muscles are a common site of origin. The postero-medial papillary muscle origin, which is the most common, is characterized by RBBB morphology with superior axis, while the antero-lateral papillary muscle origin is characterized by RBBB and inferior axis [35].

In structurally normal hearts, fascicular VT is generally caused by reentry circuit [36]; ECG morphology changes according to the exit site of the circuit from the retrograde-
conducting fascicle: RBBB appearance with a left axis deviation if fascicular VT exits from the left posterior fascicle (90%) (Figure 1); RBBB appearance with a right axis deviation if VT exits from the left anterior fascicle [36]. Simultaneous anterograde activation of the left anterior and posterior fascicles with slow retrograde conduction through a separate septal fascicle can lead to upper septal fascicular VT (<1%), with narrow QRS (QRS < 110 ms) and normal axis [36,37].

BBRT is a macro reentrant tachycardia which uses the bundle branches as reentrant circuit pathways and is typically described in patients with bundle branch block. The most common morphology is the LBBB with a QRS ≥ 160 ms [6] (Figure 1).

4. Flecainide Pharmacology

4.1. Pharmacodynamics

Flecainide binds to open-state of fast inward Na⁺ channel Nav 1.5 in a rate and voltage-dependent manner [38]. The main result of flecainide is a reduction in the slope of phase 0 of monophasic action potential of His-Purkinje tissue and ventricular myocardium resulting in a slow-down of the conduction velocity with a prolongation of HV and QRS intervals, as seen in Figure 2. During a resting heart rate and in a healthy myocardium, the prolongation of HV and QRS is about 10%. The flecainide effect on slowing conduction velocity is proportional to heart rate [38]. Moreover, it is also very effective on areas already characterized by very slow conduction velocity. Usually, VTs with a low heart rate are caused by very slow conduction isthmuses and flecainide is very effective in these cases as it slows down conduction until the tachycardia is interrupted.

![Figure 2](image-url) The effects of the flecainide on the cardiac action potential. The main effect of flecainide is a reduction in the slope of phase 0 with a minimal elongation of action potential.

In addition flecainide has an inhibitory action on the rapid component of the delayed rectifier K⁺ current and Kᵣᵣᵣᵣᵣ channels [39]. Globally, flecainide increases the duration of the action potential and the effective refractory period in the myocardium, despite they are both reduced in the Purkinje system, due to the blockade of Na⁺ channels [40]. On the other hand, flecainide is highly efficient in the treatment of Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia (CPVT), which is usually characterized by a high heart rate [41]. In this arrhythmia, however, the efficacy seems to be due to a different mechanism of action. Indeed, CPVT is induced by calcium overload due to sympathetic activation and to a diastolic calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum through defective leaking ryanodine receptor (RYR) 2 channels. Flecainide blocks the RYR2 channels allowing direct targeting of the molecular defect [42].
Above mechanisms of action contribute to the efficacy of the drug in the treatment of ventricular arrhythmias, as seen in Figure 3.

Figure 3. (A) Twelve-lead ECG of patient with ventricular bigeminism. (B) Twelve-lead ECG of the same patient one hour after taking a flecainide tablet. ECG: electrocardiogram.

Flecainide is also effective in Long QT Syndrome (LQT) type 3 as it inhibits not only the peak but also the late component of the Na⁺ current, causing a shortening of the QT interval. This form, indeed, is caused by mutations that increase the late Na⁺ current [43]. In addition, flecainide reduces Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ influx into myocardiocytes causing a negative inotropic effect and a reduction of cardiac output, particularly in patients with CAD or LV dysfunction [38].

Flecainide, due to its pharmacodynamics, widens the PR (17–29%), QT interval (3–8%) and QRS complex (11–27%) [44,45]. In 3–5% of cases flecainide causes conversion of AF to atrial flutter with slow atrial rate leading to 1:1 atrioventricular conduction with high ventricular response [46]. However, this phenomenon can be prevented by concomitant therapy with negative dromotropic agents (beta-blockers, verapamil, diltiazem or digoxin) [47]. The main non-cardiac side effects are dizziness and visual disturbances as a result of action of flecainide on Na channels, while headache and gastrointestinal disturbances are less frequent [48].

4.2. Pharmacokinetics

The gastrointestinal tract almost entirely absorbs flecainide, which has bioavailability of 85–90% and achieves peak concentration in approximately 1–3 h [49]. Flecainide has a large volume of distribution with 40% of the drug bound to plasma proteins [49].
Therapeutic plasma levels range between 0.2 and 1.0 mg/mL, higher concentrations are associated with proarrhythmic side effects. Flecainide is metabolized by liver cytochromes CYP2D6 and CYP1A2 and then eliminated in urine [50]. The half-life is in the range of 12–27 h and may last up to 70 h in patients with heart failure, renal disease (creatinine clearance < 50 mL/min) liver disease and advanced age [44]. CYP2D6 genotype is a determinant factor of age-related decline in metabolic clearance of flecainide, resulting in a more prominent effect of the CYP2D6 genotype in elderly [51]. Moreover, the therapeutic range of serum concentration is lower in SCN5A promoter haplotype B carriers than in the wild-type haplotype A homozygotes [52]. Concerning drug interactions, CYP2D6 inducers (carbamazepine, phenytoin, phenobarbital, primidone) increase the elimination rate of flecainide [53,54]. On the other hand, CYP2D6 inhibitors as amiodarone, protease inhibitors (amprenavir, darunavir, fosamprenavir, indinavir, lopinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir, tipranavir) [55,56], selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (citalopram, fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline) [57] and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (duloxetine, venlafaxine) increase flecainide plasma concentration and half-life [58].

4.3. Controlled Release Flecainide

Controlled-release flecainide has a reduced and delayed maximum concentration (26 h) and less fluctuations in blood levels than the immediate-release form, allowing once-daily administration. The steady-state blood level is reached after 4–5 days and ranges from 0.27 to 0.33 mcg/mL, far from the blood level at risk of adverse reactions. Thus, the controlled-release form increases treatment compliance and lowers the risk of adverse events and interactions with other drugs while maintaining clinical efficacy [59].

5. Guidelines

Current Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden death have been published in 2015 by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) [6] and in 2017 by the AHA/American College of Cardiology/Heart Rhythm Society (ACC/HRS) [15,60]. Update of the European recommendations is expected for 2022.

Except for beta-blockers, these documents state that there is no evidence from randomized controlled trials that AADs for ventricular arrhythmias increase survival when used for primary or secondary prevention of SCD [6,15]. Furthermore, because of potential adverse effects of anti-arrhythmic drugs, they must be used with caution. However, AADs are crucial in some patients to control ventricular arrhythmias and improve symptoms.

Flecainide at immediate or controlled release is recommended for treatment of PVC and of VT in absence of structural heart disease and in some specific forms of genetic channelopathies, namely CPVT, LQTS type 3 and Andersen–Tawil Syndrome (LQTS type 7).

5.1. Ventricular Tachycardias in Structurally Normal Hearts

In patients with IVAs, PVC/VT treatment is recommended only in symptomatic patients or in case of decline of LV function. Class IC AADs may be an alternative to radiofrequency catheter ablation in such patients when catheter ablation is too complex, not available, not desired or unsuccessful [6]. Catheter ablation should be preferred to antiarrhythmics in patients with RVOT VT, idiopathic left VT and in those refusing long term drug therapy [6]. Detail of recommendations in different clinical setting is reported in Table 1.
Table 1. Recommendations for immediate-release or controlled-release flecainide use in different clinical setting with the class of recommendation and level of evidence. RVOT = right ventricular outflow tract; LVOT = left ventricular outflow tract; VT = Ventricular Tachycardia; ICD = Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator; COR = Class of Recommendation; LOE = Level of Evidence; NR= not randomized. * ESC Guidelines; ** AHA Guidelines.

| Origin of VT/PVC | Treatment Recommended | COR | LOE |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----|-----|
| RVOT | Primary Catheter Ablation preferred to Class IC | I | B |
| LVOT/Aortic Cusp/Epicardial | Class IC first line | I | C |
| Catheter Ablation after drug failure | IIA | B |
| Idiopathic Left VT | Catheter Ablation first line | I | B |
| Class IC, beta blockers, verapamil alternative | I | C |
| Papillary muscle | Class IC, beta blockers, verapamil first line | I | C |
| Catheter Ablation after drug failure | IIA | B |
| Mitral and Tricuspid anulus | Class IC, beta blockers, verapamil first line | I | C |
| Catheter Ablation after drug failure | IIA | B |
| Children with RVOT/LVOT | Class IC alternative to beta blockers/verapamil | IIA | C |
| Catheter Ablation after drug failure if >5 years | IIA | B |
| CPVT | Flecainide should be added to betablockers in case of recurrent syncope or bidirectional VT | IIA * | C |
| Flecainide should be added to betablockers to reduce ICD appropriate shocks | IIA ** | B-NR |
| LQTS type 3 | Sodium channel blockers (flecainide, mexiletine, ranolazine) as add-on to shorten QTc > 500 ms | IIB | C |

5.2. CPVT

It is a rare congenital arrhythmogenic disorder triggered by physical or emotional stress. It mainly affects children and younger adults and is characterized by rapid polymorphic and bidirectional VT. Symptoms can range from palpitations and presyncope to syncope and SCD. CPVT is caused by the perturbations in Ca ion handling in the sarcoplasmic reticulum of cardiac myocytes [61]. The two main genotypes are CPVT1 (Mutations in RYR2) and CPVT2 (Mutations in the calsequestrin isoform 2 gene CASQ). Several studies demonstrated that in CPVT-patients flecainide added to beta blockers reduces clinical events, exercise-induced ventricular arrhythmias and defibrillator shocks, with good tolerance, independently from the genotype and even in genotype negative patients [61–65]. Flecainide should be added to betablockers in case of recurrent syncope or bidirectional VT with a class I recommendation in the American guidelines and a class IIA recommendation in the European guidelines (Table 1).

5.3. Long QT Syndrome Type 3

Literature showed that some sodium current blockers (mainly class IB mexiletine and class IC flecainide) inhibit the peak sodium current and the late component of the sodium current. Consequently, these drugs can lead to a shortening of the QT interval in patients with LQTS type 3 because this syndrome is caused by mutations that enhance the late sodium current.

Clinical studies in SCN5A mutation carriers demonstrated that flecainide significantly shorten the QTc with no cardiac events during long-term follow up [66,67]. Sodium channel blockers (flecainide, mexiletine, ranolazine) as add-on to shorten QTc > 500 ms have a class IIB recommendation in the European guidelines (Table 1).
5.4. Andersen-Tawil Syndrome (ATS)–LQTS

Andersen-Tawil syndrome is a rare disorder characterized by a triad of ventricular arrhythmias, including bidirectional and polymorphic VT, dysmorphic features and periodic paralysis. QT prolongation due to abnormal U wave with T+U fusion is the key feature. About 60% are caused by mutations in the KCNJ2 gene. Preliminary studies demonstrated successful use of flecainide in ventricular arrhythmia control in this setting [67].

In summary, immediate-release or controlled-release flecainide plays a key role in management of PVC and VT in structurally normal hearts with a class I indication and should be employed as first line therapy in these patients beside radiofrequency ablation. Choice between drug therapy and ablation is based on patient choice and procedure complexity and risks. Flecainide may be useful as add-on therapy in management of some rare channelopathies such as CPVT and some subtypes of LQTS.

6. Flecainide: Evidence Gap and Future Perspective

Current Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden death state that the choice of a specific drug should be based on the underlying type and severity of concurrent heart disease [6,15]. Specifically, flecainide, as well as other IC AADs, is indicated in the absence of ischaemic heart disease, LV systolic dysfunction or structural heart disease [6,15]. However, is evidence strong enough to forbid this drug in this large subset of patients?

6.1. Ischaemic Heart Disease

The prohibition of flecainide in patients with ischaemic heart disease is based on the results of the CAST [5] which demonstrated that flecainide is associated with increased mortality in patients with prior MI and LV dysfunction. This study severely influenced and restricted the current use of flecainide in clinical practice for decades, causing a fall in employment of class IC AADs in favor of class III AADs [7,8] and exposing patients to the numerous adverse reactions and toxicities of these drugs [9]. Specifically, in the CAST trial, 1498 patients with prior MI within 6 days to 2 years before enrollment and with LVEF ≤ 0.55, at least 6 PVCs per hour and no episodes of VT ≥ 15 beats or with rate above 120 bpm were randomized either to flecainide or encainide or placebo treatment. The study was terminated early because of high mortality in patients treated with IC AADs. Myocardial ischemia, as well as congestive heart failure and accumulation of the drug, seem to dynamically promote Na⁺ channel blockade by class IC AADs, thereby favoring a late pro-arrhythmic effect, causing ventricular fibrillation, as well as promoting a negative inotropic effects related to reduced Na⁺ entry (with subsequent reduced Ca²⁺ entry into the myocardial cells) and blocked intracellular interaction between Ca²⁺ and the RYR [53]. However, several criticisms could be made to this study, designed and performed before the thrombolytic and primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) era. Indeed, the study did not include patients with complete revascularization of the culprit lesion that potentially reduces pro-arrhythmic post-MI events and long-term mortality as well as significant ventricular post-MI dysfunction over time. Furthermore, the increased mortality described in the CAST trial was mostly related to flecainide-induced excess of proarrhythmic events in elderly patients with significant pre-existing cardiovascular comorbidity, LV dysfunction and ventricular arrhythmias, rather than having an absolute relation with myocardial ischemia per se [68]. In CAST subanalyses, the presence of non–Q-wave infarction and angina were associated with death, suggesting that a drug–ischaemia interaction rather than a drug–scar interaction mediates the risk for death, supporting a link between ischaemia and electrical instability [69]. In fact, supporting this hypothesis, we have to mention the European Rythmol/Rytmonorm Atrial Fibrillation Trial (ERAFT), which included patients with previous MI but without unstable angina, that did not demonstrate an increase in mortality and ventricular arrhythmias in patients treated with IC AADs [70].
As a result, patients with CAD, preserved ejection fraction, no previous MI and no evidence of inducible ischaemia represents a gray area where the absolute paucity of scientific evidence unjustifiably limits its widespread use. Indeed, there are no scientific data demonstrating an increased proarrhythmic risk of flecainide among patients with CAD in the absence of underlying scar and myocardial ischaemia. Conversely, the study by Pantlin et al. showed the safety of IC AADs in a population of AF patients with occult CAD on coronary flow capacity at positron emission tomography without history of clinically significant CAD [71]. Moreover, Ashraf et colleagues demonstrated in a retrospective study that there was a similar 10-year survival between patients treated with flecainide with no or minimal CAD, nonobstructive CAD and obstructive CAD. Additionally, there was also no increase in mortality among those who had reversible perfusion defects compared with those without, among patients who underwent myocardial perfusion imaging [72].

Thus, there is a compelling need to update the CAST data given the scientific progress in recent years in which an increasingly widespread use of modern therapeutic tools (thrombolysis and, above all, primary PCI) made it possible to select a large population of patients with CAD without myocardial scar and residual ischaemia and for whom there is no scientific evidence against the use of flecainide.

6.2. Non-Ischemic Structural Heart Disease

Current guidelines, despite the limited scientific evidence, prudently extended the results of the CAST study to non-ischaemic structural heart disease [6,15]. Indeed, in a recent survey by European Heart Rhythm Association to evaluate clinical practice in the management of ventricular tachycardia in 88 centers from 12 countries, Amiodarone was chosen by 41% of centers as first-line treatment for sustained VT in patients with non-ischaemic structural disease, while only 5.8% of centers chose other AADs [73].

However, several recent studies showed encouraging results regarding the employment of flecainide in patients with non-ischaemic structural heart disease. In this regard, a study by Hyman conducted in patients with extrasystole-induced cardiomyopathy in whom catheter ablation was unsuccessful demonstrated, over a mean follow-up of 4 years, the safety and efficacy of IC AADs to suppress PVCs with recovery of LV ejection fraction in the absence of sustained ventricular arrhythmias [11].

Furthermore, in patients with ARVC with implantable cardioverter defibrillator who were refractory to single-agent antiarrhythmic therapy and/or catheter ablation, Ermakov et al. demonstrated that the addition of flecainide with sotalol or metoprolol was effective in the management of recurrent ventricular arrhythmias over a mean follow-up period of 3 years [12]. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled crossover pilot study is currently underway to evaluate the efficacy of flecainide in the reduction of ventricular arrhythmias in ARVC patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03685149).

In addition, Chung, in a study involving patients with the widespread clinical condition of LV hypertrophy (ventricular wall thickness ≥ 1.4 cm), highlighted that treatment with IC AADs did not increase mortality in AF patients in comparison with patients receiving amiodarone [74]. Moreover, even in patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy, flecainide, in a mean follow-up of 9 years, clearly demonstrated its efficacy in the reduction of LV pressure gradient and symptoms and the burden of non-sustained VT compared with disopyramide, with a ratio of 1:11 [75].

Therefore, it anachronistic to accept as dogma the contraindication to flecainide in patients with non-ischaemic structural heart disease, due either to the paucity of data in this regard and to the studies cited above, that showed an increasing evidence of efficacy and safety in the use of flecainide. Instead, it is more appropriate to seek a more precise definition of structural heart disease, using current diagnostic tools such as cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR). In fact, in a recent prospective study involving 946 consecutive patients with ventricular arrhythmias without cardiac ischemia and apparent structural
heart disease on transthoracic echocardiography, CMR allowed the diagnosis of structural heart disease in 241 patients (25.5%) [76].

Consequently, the improved assessment of structural heart disease by CMR may allow us to define more precisely the type of structural heart disease that may contraindicate flecainide treatment, reducing the gray area in which the actual paucity of data on the safety of flecainide prevents its possible use but also its contraindication. In the meanwhile, the criteria shown in Figure 4 can help the clinical decision for each patient.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Current evidence on the use of flecainide. Red light: Flecainide is contraindicated. Yellow light: Flecainide may be used in these patients, but further studies are needed. Green light: Flecainide is recommended.

### 7. Conclusions

Flecainide showed its efficacy and safety in the treatment of AF and ventricular arrhythmias. However, flecainide is currently underused because of a restrictive use resulting from an excessively cautious and anachronistic interpretation of the CAST data. In fact, although flecainide is recommended in the treatment of IVAs, it is largely underused in common clinical practice. Conversely, in these patients, the first-line use of amiodarone is often improperly favored, with well-known complications. Even in patients with ischaemic and non-ischaemic structural heart disease, the absolute contraindication to the use of flecainide is widely questionable, also on the basis of modern and widespread diagnostic and therapeutic tools: in fact, in ischaemic heart disease, the spread of primary PCI made it possible to identify a cohort of patients without scar and residual ischaemia who could benefit from the use of flecainide. Future studies will be needed to better define the concept of structural heart disease to avoid a large population of patients who would benefit from the efficacy of flecainide not receiving it.
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Abbreviations

AAD Antiarrhythmic Drug  
ACC American College of Cardiology  
AF Atrial Fibrillation  
AHA American Heart Association  
ARVC Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy  
BBRT Bundle Branch Reentry Tachycardia  
CAD Coronary Artery Disease  
CMR Cardiac Magnetic Resonance  
CPVT Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia  
ESC European Society of Cardiology  
ECG Electrocardiogram  
HRS Heart Rhythm Society  
IVA Idiopathic Ventricular Arrhythmia  
LBBB Left Bundle Branch Block  
LQTS Long QT Syndrome  
LV Left Ventricle  
LVOT Left Ventricular Outflow Tract  
MI Myocardial Infarction  
PCI Percutaneous Coronary Intervention  
PVC Premature Ventricular Complex  
RBBB Right Bundle Branch Block  
RVOT Right Ventricular Outflow Tract  
SCD Sudden Cardiac Death  
VT Ventricular Tachycardia
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