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Abstract
In-plane anisotropy of electrical resistivity was studied in samples of the hole-doped Ba1-xKxFe2As2 in the composition range 0.21 <= x <= 0.26 where anisotropy changes sign. Low-temperature (similar to 20 K) irradiation with relativistic 2.5 MeV electrons was used to control the level of disorder and residual resistivity of the samples. Modification of the stress-detwinning technique enabled measurements of the same samples before and after irradiation, leading to the conclusion of anisotropic character of predominantly inelastic scattering processes. Our main finding is that the resistivity anisotropy is of the same sign irrespective of residual resistivity, and remains the same in the orthorhombic C-2 phase above the reentrant tetragonal transition. Unusual T-linear dependence of the anisotropy Delta rho(a) (T) - rho(b) (T) is found in pristine samples with x = 0.213 and x = 0.219, without similar signatures in either rho(a) (T) or rho(b) (T). We show that this feature can be reproduced by a phenomenological model of R. M. Fernandes et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 217002 (2011)]. We speculate that onset of fluctuations of nematic order on approaching the instability towards the reentrant tetragonal phase contributes to this unusual dependence.
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In-plane anisotropy of electrical resistivity was studied in samples of the hole-doped \(\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2\) in the composition range \(0.21 \leq x \leq 0.26\) where anisotropy changes sign. Low-temperature (~20 K) irradiation with relativistic 2.5 MeV electrons was used to control the level of disorder and residual resistivity of the samples. Modification of the stress-detwinning technique enabled measurements of the same samples before and after irradiation, leading to the conclusion of anisotropic character of predominantly inelastic scattering processes. Our main finding is that the resistivity anisotropy is of the same sign irrespective of residual resistivity, and remains the same in the orthorhombic \(C_{2v}\) phase above the reentrant tetragonal transition. Unusual \(T\)-linear dependence of the anisotropy \(\Delta \rho \equiv \rho_a(T) - \rho_b(T)\) is found in pristine samples with \(x = 0.213\) and \(x = 0.219\), without similar signatures in either \(\rho_a(T)\) or \(\rho_b(T)\). We show that this feature can be reproduced by a phenomenological model of R. M. Fernandes et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 217002 (2011)]. We speculate that onset of fluctuations of nematic order on approaching the instability towards the reentrant tetragonal phase contributes to this unusual dependence.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Studies of in-plane anisotropy of electrical resistivity in iron-based superconductors are performed on stress-detwinned samples \([1,2]\) creating preferential orientation of orthorhombic domains \([3]\). The resistivities for principal orthorhombic directions, \(a\) and \(b\), \(\rho_a(T)\) and \(\rho_b(T)\), and their difference \(\Delta \rho \equiv \rho_a - \rho_b\) referred to as anisotropy, reveal several unusual features. The resistivity of the parent \(\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2\) is lower for the long \(a\) axis, \(\rho_a < \rho_b\), corresponding to the antiferromagnetic chains in the stripe magnetic structure. The anisotropy increases with electron doping [and suppression of the orthorhombic distortion \(\delta = (a-b)/(a+b)\)], taking the maximum near optimal doping on the electron-doped side \([2]\). The anisotropy changes sign on the hole-doped side \([4]\), with \(\rho_a > \rho_b\), see phase diagram, Fig. 1. The mechanism of this sign change in the electronic transport attracts notable interest, since contributions from both elastic scattering due to impurities/defects \([5,6]\) and inelastic scattering on magnetic excitations \([7,8]\) and phonons can be anisotropic.

The magnitude of the anisotropy strongly depends on sample residual resistivity, as found in the study on the annealed samples \([9–11]\). It was argued \([8]\) that the sign change of the resistivity anisotropy can be caused by a dramatic difference in the levels of disorder scattering on the electron-doped side in \(\text{Ba(Fe}_{1-x}\text{TM}_x\text{)}_2\text{As}_2\) \((\text{TM} = \text{Co, Ni, Rh, Ir}\ [12,13])\) and the hole-doped side in \(\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2\) \([14–17]\), as summarized in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. Indeed, substitution in the electronically active Fe sites introduces a high level of scattering, with residual resistivity extrapolating to 100 \(\mu\Omega\) cm or so close to optimal doping. The K substitution in \(\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2\) proceeds in an electronically inactive Ba site and the residual resistivities are typically close to 30 \(\mu\Omega\) cm. This difference may imply that the sign may be the same for all the phase diagram.

Another consideration regarding the origin of the sign change is related to approaching the composition range of the reentrant tetragonal \(C_{4h}\) phase \([15,18,19]\). At ambient pressure for compositions \(x < \sim0.24\) the samples of \(\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2\) undergo simultaneous structural (tetragonal to orthorhombic) and magnetic (paramagnetic to stripe antiferromagnetic) transition below \(T_{C2}\) (see phase diagram Fig. 1). For \(x > 0.24\) a sequence of phase transitions is observed, with reentrance of the tetragonal phase below \(T_{C2}\) with a complicated antiferromagnetic structure \([20]\). This phase was not known at the time of the resistivity anisotropy study \([4]\).

We have recently succeeded controlling the residual resistivity of the iron-based superconductors using low-temperature electron irradiation with relativistic 2.5-MeV electrons \([21–23]\) and achieving residual resistivity levels comparable to the electron-doped side, as shown in Fig. 1 with open dots for \(x = 0.20\ [21]\), solid red circles, and magenta
the second one $x = 0.260$ was in the reentrant range. Our main finding is that the resistivity anisotropy is of the same sign irrespectively of residual resistivity, and remains the same in $C_2$ phase range above the reentrant tetragonal transition.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of $Ba_{1-x}K_xFe_2As_2$ were grown as described in detail in Ref. [16]. Large, above $5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2$ surface area crystals were cleaved on both sides to a thickness of typically 0.1 mm to minimize the variation of the K content with thickness. The crystals from two different batches were used in this study with average compositions $x_{av} = 0.22$ and 0.25, as determined from the electron-probe microanalysis with wavelength dispersive spectroscopy (WDS). The large slabs were cut using a wire saw along the tetragonal [110] direction. Several cuts were made side by side to achieve the closest similarity of the sample properties. Multiple samples cut were mounted for four-probe resistivity measurements. Contacts to the samples were tin soldered [24,25]. These contacts are strong enough to withstand multiple irradiation measurements [22] and the applications of stress [26]. Samples were precharacterized by the electrical resistivity measurements, to ascertain reproducible properties. Despite identical WDS composition, samples revealed some variation in positions of features in $\rho(T)$ curves at the concomitant structural/magnetic transition $T_{C2}$ and superconducting $T_c$.

We account for this variation using polynomial fits of $T_{C2}(x)$ and $T_c(x)$ [27]. This was particularly important for samples from the batch with $x_{av} = 0.25$, as these show some variation of the positions of $T_{C2}$ and $T_c$ features in $\rho(T)$ even between the crystals cut from the same slab. Samples selected for irradiation in this study had $x = 0.213$ and $x = 0.260$ ($\pm 0.001$). One more sample was used for control purposes, $x = 0.219$, all compositions determined from the $T_{C2}(x)$ formula [27]. Use of $T_c(x)$ gave similar composition differences.

Due to the high probability of formation of cracks during stress application, we prepared two samples of each composition. Only one sample of each composition eventually survived irradiation cycles without crack formation. The silver wires of the potential contacts were used both for resistivity measurements and for stress application [1,28]. We used a specially designed device enabling easy sample mounting/dismounting and controllable application of the tensile stress, shown in inset of the left panel in Fig. 3 below. Four-probe resistivity measurements were performed in a Quantum Design PPMS.

The low-temperature 2.5-MeV electron irradiation was performed at the SIRIUS Pelletron linear accelerator operated by the Laboratoire des Solides Irradiés (LSI) at the Ecole Polytechnique in Palaiseau, France [29]. The samples for resistivity measurements during and after electron irradiation were mounted on a thin mica plate in a hollow Kyocera chip, so that they could be moved between the irradiation chamber (in LSI) and the detwinning resistivity setup (in Ames laboratory) without disturbing the contacts. The Kyocera chip was mounted inside the irradiation chamber and was cooled by a flow of liquid hydrogen to $T \approx 22$ K in order to remove excess heat produced by relativistic electrons upon collision. The flux of electrons amounted to about 2.7 $\mu$A of electric

![FIG. 1. Top panel. Summary phase diagram of electron, $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)2As_2$, and hole, $Ba_{1-x}K_xFe_2As_2$, doped iron based superconductors. Red, blue, and magenta points are $T_{C2}$, $T_c$, and $T_{C4}$ of the pristine samples $x = 0.213$, 0.219, and 0.260, respectively, used in this study. Middle panel shows composition dependence of the low-temperature resistivity anisotropy $\Delta \rho/\rho$, where $\Delta \rho = \rho_0 - \rho_\text{av}$. Black solid symbols in the middle and bottom panels show the effect of residual resistivity on resistivity anisotropy at low temperatures in parent $BaFe_2As_2$, squares after [1], triangles after [11], and circles after [9]. Red, blue, and magenta symbols are from this study. Bottom panel shows evolution of the resistivity ratio $\rho(0)/\rho(300 \text{ K})$ taken as a proxy of the residual resistivity. Open black circles are for the samples with $x = 0.20$ subjected to electron irradiation [21], red and magenta symbols from the samples studied in this article, $x = 0.213$ and $x = 0.260$, in the sign reversal composition range. Blue is for the sample with $x = 0.219$ studied only in the pristine state. \(\times\)
current through a 5 mm diameter diaphragm. This current was measured with the Faraday cup placed behind a hole in the sample stage, so that only transmitted electrons were counted. The irradiation rate was about $5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ C/(cm}^2\text{s)}$ and large doses were accumulated over the course of several irradiation runs. The penetration depth of electrons in the hole-doped iron based superconductors is estimated as 1.3 mm [30], tin and silver used in the contacts have similar values, so that for samples of our dimensions the irradiation is homogeneous and there should be no shadow on the samples under the contacts. To stay on a safe side, though, the samples were positioned with the electron beam incoming from the opposite to the contacts side of the samples. Throughout the paper we use “pristine” and “unirradiated” interchangeably to describe samples that were not exposed to electron irradiation.

Irradiation of a dose 1 C/cm$^2$ with 2.5 MeV results in about 0.07% of the defects per iron site [23]. The Frenkel pairs are created at about the same density in all sublattices. It is well known that in metals, self-diffusion of interstitials is much higher than that of vacancies, especially warming up above roughly 100 K or so and that they mostly diffuse out and disappear at various “sinks,” like extended defects (dislocations/disclinations) and surfaces [31]. A much slower to relax population of vacancies remains in the crystal in a quasiequilibrium (metastable) state controlled by the highest temperature reached. Resistivity measurements in situ at 22 K during irradiation in Ba$\text{Ba}_{1-x}$K$\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ with close composition $x = 0.20$ [21] show linear increase with irradiation dose at a rate $\sim 50 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$ per 1 C/cm$^2$, decreasing to $\sim 30 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$ upon warming to room temperature due to defect annealing [21]. The dose of defects created by electron irradiation is negligible compared with electron and hole densities in a good metal like Ba$\text{Ba}_{1-x}$K$\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$, as verified experimentally by Hall effect measurements [21].

III. ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY

In Fig. 2 we show evolution of the temperature-dependent resistivity of Ba$\text{Ba}_{1-x}$K$\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$, $x = 0.213$, with electron irradiation. Measurements were done in stress-free conditions in the twinned state, with resistivity denoted as $\rho_a$ and its evolution is consistent with our previous studies [21,22], with suppression of the superconducting $T_c$ (inset in left panel) and of the temperature of the structural/magnetic transition $T_{C2}$, as seen in resistivity derivative plots (right panel). The increase of the resistivity is not constant in temperature and it is notably larger on $T \rightarrow 0$, revealing notable Matthiessen rule violation. The residual resistivity increases more than by a factor of 3, from $\sim 30$ to $\sim 100 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$.

On application of tensile stress using a hook horseshoe device [26] the sample goes into the detwinned state with a predominant orientation of domains with the orthorhombic $a$ axis along the stress direction. The resistivity increases with stress and saturates once the detwining action of stress is complete. The resistivity in this state $\rho_b$ is shown in Fig. 3 with gray, cyan, and magenta lines for 0, 2.6, and 5.6 C/cm$^2$ samples. The bottom curves show resistivity along $b$ direction in the plane (black, blue, and red curves for 0, 2.6, and 5.6 C/cm$^2$, respectively). Resistivity along $b$ direction was determined assuming equal population of domains in the stress-free sample, $\rho_r = (\rho_a + \rho_b)/2$ and $\rho_b = 2\rho_r - \rho_a$.

The in-plane resistivity anisotropy $\Delta \rho \equiv \rho_a - \rho_b$ is shown in the right panel of Fig. 3. The anisotropy sign remains the same for all irradiation doses with $\rho_a > \rho_b$. The anisotropy in
the pristine sample (black curve in the right panel of Fig. 3) reaches a broad maximum at about ~70 K and then decreases approximately linearly down to the superconducting transition. With 2.6 C/cm² irradiation, an increase of the residual resistivity from ~30 to ~60 μΩ cm and shift of Tc₂ from 94 to 91 K, the maximum in Δρ(T) shifts to ~60 K and some curvature starts to develop above Tc. The anisotropy above Tc not only increases compared to the pristine sample, from ~2 to ~7 μΩ cm. Finally, with 5.6 C/cm² irradiation, increase of the residual resistivity to ~100 μΩ cm and Tc₂ suppression to 88 K, the maximum transforms into a plateau, starting somewhat below 60 K and continuing down to Tc. This Δρ(T) for the 5.6 C/cm² irradiated sample resembles temperature evolution of the nematic order parameter δ = (a - b)/(a + b), shown with dots (left scale in the right panel) from thermal expansion data of Böhmer et al. [18] for close x = 0.22.

In the left panel of Fig. 4 we show evolution of the temperature-dependent resistivity in the Ba₁₋ₓKₓFe₂As₂ sample with x = 0.260. Measurements in stress-free conditions (black curve for pristine sample, blue and red for samples after irradiation with 2.35 and 7.98 C/cm², respectively) show monotonic increase of the resistivity. Note a feature at ~30 K in the ρ(T) curve for the sample with 7.98 C/cm² under stress (magenta line in Fig. 4) marked with the star. Here the sample partially cracked on cooling, with the stress release. Since this crack happened after the resistivity data were taken, we were able to determine the resistivity anisotropy as shown in the right panel of Fig. 4. However, in the analysis below we use the data for 2.35 C/cm² sample. The features at Tc₂ (small increase on cooling below 60 K) and Tc₄ (small resistivity decrease below 35 K) are very sensitive to stress, which leads to sharp anomalies in the anisotropy plot in the right panel. With irradiation the Tc₄ is suppressed to at least below onset of the superconducting transition while the feature at Tc₂ remains nearly unaffected.

FIG. 4. Temperature-dependent electrical resistivity of Ba₁₋ₓKₓFe₂As₂ sample with x = 0.260. Two sets of curves for each irradiation dose represent resistivity in stress-free twinned state ρ(T) (black, blue, and red for 0, 2.35, and 7.98 C/cm², respectively) and detwinned by application of tensile stress ρ(T) (gray, cyan, and magenta for 0, 2.35, and 7.98 C/cm², respectively). Star marks partial cracking of the 7.98 C/cm² irradiation sample. The features at Tc₂ (small increase on cooling below 60 K) and Tc₄ (small resistivity decrease below 35 K) are very sensitive to stress, which leads to sharp anomalies in the anisotropy plot in the right panel. With irradiation the Tc₄ is suppressed to at least below onset of the superconducting transition while the feature at Tc₂ remains nearly unaffected.

Evolution of the in-plane resistivity anisotropy in the tetragonal phases above Tc₂ and below Tc₄ is notably larger than in the orthorhombic phase. The overall magnitude of the anisotropy is about 2 times smaller than in the x = 0.213 sample. The temperature dependence of anisotropy has little resemblance to that in x = 0.213, with anisotropy remaining nearly temperature independent.

In Fig. 5 we show evolution of the resistivity and of the resistivity anisotropy at characteristic temperatures with irradiation dose. For sample with x = 0.213 these temperatures were selected as T = 60 K (in the vicinity of the maximum of anisotropy), at T = 22 K (above onset of the superconducting transition), and in T → 0 extrapolation. It is known that resistivity at a fixed temperature in the irradiation chamber changes linearly with dose [21,32], the Matthiessen rule is strongly violated in nearby x = 0.20 composition. Interestingly, resistivity in T → 0 extrapolation varies almost perfectly linearly

FIG. 5. Irradiation dose dependence of resistivity (left axes, black symbols) and resistivity anisotropy (right axes, red symbols) in samples of Ba₁₋ₓKₓFe₂As₂ with x = 0.213 (top panel) and x = 0.260 (bottom panel). In the top panel solid black down triangles and open red up triangles are for T = 60 K, at about maximum of anisotropy, solid up triangles and open circles for T = 22 K, just above Tc₁, and black solid circles in T → 0 extrapolation. In the bottom panel solid black down triangles and open red up triangles are for T = 55 K, slightly below the Tc₂, solid black up triangles and open red circles are for T = 38 K above Tc₄, and solid black circles for T = 0 extrapolation.
with dose (black solid circles), but downward deviation from linear trend is found at 22 and 60 K. Resistivity anisotropy at 60 K remains relatively constant. Resistivity anisotropy above $T_c$ initially rises, then seems to saturate.

For sample with $x = 0.260$ (bottom panel in Fig. 5) the resistivity increase for all temperatures has a tendency to downward deviation. One possibility is that this is an artifact of incorrect dose determination. Big doses are accumulated over several irradiation runs (during a period up to 3 years) and partial defect annealing can be happening over these long periods.

To check for systematics of the results, we measured one more pristine sample of Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ from the same batch as sample $x = 0.213$, however, with somewhat different composition, $x = 0.219$. The temperature-dependent electrical resistivity of the stress-detwinned sample with $x = 0.219$ for measurements along principal in-plane directions $\rho_a$ and $\rho_b$ is shown in Fig. 6. The sample is characterized by somewhat lower $T_c$ compared to sample $x = 0.213$ (inset in left panel of Fig. 6, 90.6 vs 94 K) and higher $T_c$, 21.3 vs 19.8 K. The resistivity curves show the same tendency as found in the pristine sample with $x = 0.213$, with two curves converging on cooling above $T_c$. In the right panel of Fig. 6 we show $\Delta \rho(T)$ for a sample with $x = 0.219$ (red line) in comparison with samples $x = 0.213$ (black top curve) and $x = 0.260$ (bottom blue curve). We can clearly see two trends with increasing $x$, the decrease of the maximum anisotropy and decrease of the slope of the linear portion of $\Delta \rho(T)$ (highlighted by lines serving as guides for eyes).

IV. DISCUSSION

There are two main groups of theories explaining nematic resistivity anisotropy, see [33] for the review. The first group is relating the nematic anisotropy to the Drude term $n/m^*$, reflecting anisotropy of the band structure. The other group of theories is relating $\Delta \rho$ to the anisotropy of scattering, both elastic and inelastic. In all theories the anisotropy should be proportional to the nematic order parameter $\delta = (a-b)/(a+b)$, as determined in thermal expansion measurements by Böhmer et al. [18] (open yellow circles) and a product $\rho_o \delta$ (red and magenta lines for 0.213 and 0.260, respectively).

FIG. 6. Temperature-dependent electrical resistivity of Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ sample with $x = 0.219$ for measurements along $a$, $\rho_a$ (top curve), and $b$, $\rho_b$ (bottom curve), directions in the conducting plane (left panel). Inset shows zoom of the structural/magnetic transition. Right panel shows temperature-dependent in-plane resistivity anisotropy $\Delta \rho \equiv \rho_a - \rho_b$. For reference we show similar measurements in samples $x = 0.213$ (black top curve) and $x = 0.260$ (bottom blue curve). Dashed lines are guides for eyes.

FIG. 7. Left panel: Comparison of the in-plane resistivity anisotropy in samples of Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ with $x = 0.213$ (5.6 C/cm$^2$) and $x = 0.260$ (2.35 C/cm$^2$) with the degree of orthorhombic distortion $\delta = (a-b)/(a+b)$, as determined in thermal expansion measurements by Böhmer et al. [18] (open yellow circles) and a product $\rho_o \delta$ (red line) and of the inelastic part of resistivity $\rho_{in} = \rho_o - \rho_{0b}$, and the orthorhombic order parameter $\rho_{in} \delta$ (cyan line).
shows close to $T$-linear dependence. The product $\rho_i \ast \delta$ [we use the same $\delta(T)$ as shown in the left panel] captures this $T$-linear dependence, despite neither $\rho_i(T)$ (black line in Fig. 2) nor $\delta(T)$ showing $T$-linear dependence. The difference with irradiated case is quite notable, since $\Delta \rho(T)$ decreases notably faster than the $\rho_i \ast \delta$ product in the range where the temperature-dependent folding gap opening should have minor effect. The match becomes significantly better if we use only the inelastic part of the resistivity $\rho_i = \rho_i(T) - \rho_i(0)$, as shown with the cyan line.

As a general remark, we should point out that electron irradiation at the doses used in this study does not introduce variation of carrier density sufficient to have any noticeable impact. This was verified through Hall effect measurements on samples with $x = 0.20$ [21] and is in line with common expectations for metals [31,37]. So for our discussion we can consider effect only through scattering rate.

The results of this study are in general agreement with the previous studies using annealing to control residual resistivity or the samples with naturally low residual resistivity. For example, the decrease of anisotropy from a large value below $T_{C2}$ on cooling to low temperatures is found in perfectly annealed BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [9] (blue curve in Fig. 8) and in very clean samples of FeSe [34] (green curve in Fig. 8). We explicitly compare the anisotropy found in these compounds with Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ samples $x = 0.213$ and $x = 0.260$ in the pristine state. It was argued [9,11] that the decreasing anisotropy on cooling is determined by contribution of light carriers [9,38–41], strongly suppressed by disorder scattering. In this respect, close to $T$-linear dependence of $\Delta \rho(T)$ in the pristine samples with $x = 0.213$ and 0.219 may suggest that this group of carriers suffers critical scattering on approaching the C4 phase boundary. Indeed fluctuations of nematic order parameter with notable contribution of $q = 0$ component should have notably bigger effect on small pockets of the Fermi surface.

Strikingly, the increase of residual resistivity with irradiation does not increase anisotropy beyond its maximum value in the clean samples. This fact suggest that $\rho(0)$ does not contribute much to the anisotropy, at least on the hole-doped side close to $C_4(x)$ phase boundary.

Interestingly, while $T$-linear dependence is a hallmark of a quantum critical point in the phase diagram of isovalently substituted BaFe$_2$(As, P)$_2$ [42,43] and partially electron-doped Ba(Fe, $TM$)$_2$As$_2$ [44], the temperature-dependent resistivity in Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ does not reveal it [16]. Our observation may be suggesting that the reason for this may be phase competition. Indeed, the resistivity in the $C_2$ phase in the sample with $x = 0.260$ is close to linear, though in a very limited temperature range.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The sign reversal of resistivity anisotropy in the samples of hole-doped Ba$_{1-x}$K$_x$Fe$_2$As$_2$ on approaching the reentrant tetragonal phase is insensitive to disorder, opposite to some theory suggestion [8]. The anisotropy at high temperatures does not depend on the residual resistivity, the anisotropy of clean samples with $x = 0.213$ and 0.219 notably decreases on cooling in the pristine samples and stays constant in the samples with high residual resistivity. This study suggests that inelastic scattering responsible for the temperature-dependent part of resistivity is anisotropic, while elastic scattering responsible for residual resistivity is notably less anisotropic. The temperature-dependent anisotropy in pristine samples suggests contribution of high mobility carriers subject to scattering on nematic fluctuations.
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