Mesenchymal stem cells and cardiac repair
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Abstract

Accumulating clinical and experimental evidence indicates that mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are promising cell types in the treatment of cardiac dysfunction. They may trigger production of reparative growth factors, replace damaged cells and create an environment that favours endogenous cardiac repair. However, identifying mechanisms which regulate the role of MSCs in cardiac repair is still at work. To achieve the maximal clinical benefits, ex vivo manipulation can further enhance MSC therapeutic potential. This review focuses on the mechanism of MSCs in cardiac repair, with emphasis on ex vivo manipulation.
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Introduction

Heart disease remains the number one killer in developed countries and often leads to damage or loss of functional heart tissue. Cell therapy may provide an effective intervention for repair of cardiac injury due to the self-renewing and multipotential nature of specific cells. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) contain a population of cells that are self-renewing, and are capable of differentiating into multiple mesodermal tissues, including bone, cartilage, fat (Fig. 1) and muscle, including heart muscle [1].

MSCs can be easily isolated by plastic adherence and rapidly expanded ex vivo. MSCs may repair injured myocardium by activating multiple mechanisms. After transplantation, they may trigger production of reparative growth factors as produce many growth...
factors, so that growth factors and cytokines are locally produced [2]. They can suppress local inflammation but they can also replace damaged cells. Furthermore, they can contribute to creation of an environment, which favours endogenous cardiac repair. Thus, they have been identified as promising cells in the treatment of many cardiovascular diseases with heart tissue damage as the common denominator. This review mainly focuses on MSC transplantation for cardiac repair. Firstly, we describe MSC isolation, characterization and standardization from different sources such as bone marrow, adipose tissue, umbilical cord blood and mobilized peripheral blood. Then we focus on the mechanism of cardiac repair, shortly outline above to which MSCs contribute, with emphasis on ex vivo manipulation of MSCs prior to transplantation. Finally, the clinical aspects of MSCs to cardiac repair are discussed.

**MSC isolation, characterization and standardization**

The term ‘mesenchymal stem cell’ is commonly applied to plastic-adherent cell preparations isolated from bone marrow or other tissues that are positive for a typical panel of MSC surface markers, and that are able to differentiate into different cell types under specific in vitro or in vivo differentiating conditions [3, 4]. Their relative simplicity but at the same time quite unspecific isolation method notoriously leads to certain heterogeneity of the isolated cells. In any case it is commonly accepted that preparations comprise a multipotent adult stem cell population, which is able to differentiate into different mesodermal cell lineages including osteoblasts, chondroblasts, adipocytes and myocytes. On the other hand, their heterogeneity is reflected by the different cellular morphologies and clonal growth patterns of the initial colonies formed [5]. Strictly speaking, MSCs do not fulfill the criteria of ‘stem cells’, because of the heterogeneous composition and subpopulations coupled with limited evidence for self-renewal capacity. Therefore, these cell preparations have alternatively been named ‘mesenchymal stromal cells’, ‘multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells’, ‘multipotent stromal cells’ or ‘mesenchymal progenitor cell’ [6, 7]. The rich nomenclature for MSCs would not be problematic, if all the different names would basically refer to the same type of cell preparation. Then we would be using many alternative names for the same type of plastic-adherent cell preparation. However, the multitude of different protocols for the isolation of MSCs and the discrepancies of the results reported from different laboratories clearly implies that the non-standardized isolation methods have also led to tremendous functional differences between these cell preparations and that we are literally comparing ‘apples and oranges’ [8].

**Isolation from different sources**

MSCs were originally isolated from bone marrow [9, 1], but similar populations have been reported in adipose tissue [10], umbilical cord blood [11], peripheral blood [12], connective tissues of the dermis and skeletal muscle [13]. A recent finding suggested human multipotent adult stem cells can be generated from heart and liver [14]. Furthermore, the criteria currently used for definition of MSCs are also met by cells isolated from other tissues of adult mice such as brain, liver, kidney, lung, thymus and pancreas [15]. Despite the fact that almost every organ seems to contain MSCs, the precise identity of the counterpart of the MSCs in vitro isolates in vivo in the adult remains elusive, although increasing data suggest that MSCs reside within the perivascular zone [16, 17]. Even though there is no doubt that multipotent cells of mesenchymal derivation reside in many different tissues, it is likely that these stem cells are already primed towards differentiation along a specific lineage to form tissue-specific cell types. Thus, isolates from these different organs are likely to produce different MSC subpopulations, the characteristics and behaviour of which are greatly affected by their sources. Indeed, it has been reported that the differentiation potentials and functions vary widely among MSC preparations derived from different origins [18–20].

**Isolation under different culture conditions**

Comparison of results of different research groups is further hampered by the lack of standardized post-isolation MSC culture conditions. A huge arsenal of basal culture media is available with different concentrations of animal sera and different growth and differentiation factor additives. Biomaterials, protein coating and culture conditions such as variation of cell density, oxygen tension, mechanical manipulation, number of passages upon culture, etc., all may have a significant impact on the cell preparations. These methods may have implications (i) on the selection and initial composition of different subpopulations generated; (ii) selection of subpopulations for favoured expansion and (iii) continuously evolving genetic and epigenetic modifications of the cultured cells [5, 8, 21]. This is also reflected by reports of different groups that claim that it is possible under strictly defined culture conditions to generate even pluripotent MSCs such as ‘multipotent adult progenitor cells’ (MAPCs) [22], ‘unrestricted somatic stem cells’ [23] or ‘very small embryonic like’ stem cells [24]. These studies indicate that slight experimental modifications lead to the production of very different cell populations.

**Characterization of MSCs**

The use of MSCs and comparison of results of different groups is further affected by the lack of specific and standardized set of molecular MSC markers. To tackle this problem, the International Society for Cellular Therapy proposed three minimal criteria to define MSCs [3]: (i) MSCs must be plastic adherent if maintained in standard culture conditions, (ii) MSCs must express CD105, CD73 and CD90, but lack haematopoietic markers such as CD45, CD34, CD14 or CD11b and (iii) MSCs must be capable of differentiating to fibroblasts, osteoblasts, adipocytes and chondroblasts under the corresponding lineage specific in vitro conditions.
However, these criteria do not seem to be specific for MSCs. Hence, such minimal criteria are useful but further characteristics must be defined for a reliable quality control. Identification of specific markers might facilitate isolation of a homogeneous population as starting material. Markers that have been used for positive selection include STRO-1 [25], CD271 (low-affinity nerve growth factor receptor) [26], CD73 (ecto-5'-nucleotidase, SH3, SH4) and CD105 (endoglin, SH2) [27]. So far, surface markers are suitable for enrichment of MSCs but the resulting cell populations are still heterogeneous for their other properties and the majority of the isolated cells do not give rise to plastic-adherent colonies.

Human MSCs have already found their way into the clinic and might have beneficial effects for the treatment of cardiovascular disorders and in immune modulation. The lack of common standards for preparation of MSCs and the absence of reliable molecular markers for multipotent MSCs have greatly hampered advancement and comparison of results from different laboratories.

Stem cells in adult heart tissue have been demonstrated by the characterization of c-kit positive stem cell populations capable of cardiomyocyte differentiation [28]. However, the characterization of MSC populations in heart tissue is still under investigation focussing on the perivascular and epicardial localization [29]. The recent identification of interstitial Cajal-like cells (ICLC) in myocardium [30–32] discloses an MSC-related stem cell type in the interstitium of the heart muscle. ICLCs (closely) resemble the interstitial cells of Cajal found in the musculature of the digestive tract [33–35]. ICLCs were identified in various cavitary and non-cavitary human organs [36, 37] as well as in blood vessels [38, 39]. Immunohistochemistry showed that ICLCs were slightly and inconsistently positive for CD117/c-kit, variously co-expressed EGF receptor and CD34, but appeared strongly positive for vimentin, along their prolongations. Some ICLCs seemed positive for α-smooth muscle actin and tau protein, but were negative for nestin, desmin, CD13 and S-100. The possible functional and pathological significance of ICLCs in heart, and the origin and differentiation of myocardial ICLCs are presently unknown. Apparently, the density of ICLCs is higher in atria than in ventricles. Complex relationships of ICLCs’ processes with intercalated disks are documented [40], and such nanocontacts could be important because they are in the molecular range interactions.

ICLCs might be viewed as an un-explored population of uncommitted c-kit-positive resident cells. The inconstant c-kit positivity may imply a switching-phenotype behaviour and may correspond to different functional circumstances. Morphogenetic patterning and myocardial remodelling might have some common mechanisms to impose a correct spatiotemporal migration or to maintain a correct topographic pattern in a complex tissue architecture. Short range integrated signalling events involving interstitial-cell-controlled interactions may be important.

**Standardization of MSCs**

For the clinical uses of MSCs for cardiovascular diseases, efficient protocols are needed. The clinical grade production necessitates adhering to good manufacturing practices (GMP) to insure the optimal therapeutic potential. It is absolute need to demonstrate not just the safety of the proposed approach but, first and foremost, its feasibility and an acceptable degree of reproducibility for clinical applications. It is clear that to move from the pre-clinical findings of any study dealing with MSCs to a clinical setting it is mandatory to produce the cells in the appropriate manner. So currently a major task is to develop standardized protocols for isolation, expansion, but also characterization (quality control and potency testing).

But to reach the GMP goal, cells have to be cultured in as close to a closed system as possible. Follow GMP criteria, every steps of the process must be well defined and strictly documented: the starting material (tissue origin, separation or enrichment procedures), cell density in culture and medium (foetal calf serum or human serum, cytokines with serum-free medium for target). Analytical methods are needed to assay the active compound and impurities. A major problem, however, is the strict dependence of human MSCs on bovine calf serum, which is obsolete and dangerous in human transplant settings. It is as yet unclear which individual factors are supporting the growth of MSCs. In vitro requirement for MSC growth without serum-derived additives should be clearly defined. The in vitro and in vivo behaviour of the serum-dependent MSCs versus the serum-free MSCs should be compared. Quality control of cells at least should consider phenotype, functional potential, microbiological safety, and ensure the cultured cells remain untransformed. In addition, cell cycle analysis, the longevity telomere length and telomerase activity should be analysed which are well-established markers for long-lived cells and generally ensure the plasticity of MSCs. Furthermore, in vitro plasticity of MSCs should be evaluated with standard protocol for bone cartilage and fat differentiation, similarly in vivo ability of a multi-tissues engraftment should be evaluated in the severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) model. Finally, quality assurance system procedures specific to the production of MSCs as a cell drug must be determined and implemented.

**Mechanisms of cardiac repair**

**Differentiation of MSCs towards cardiomyocytes**

MSCs exhibit an enormous in vitro expansion capacity and more importantly a broad differentiation potential into not only mesodermal (including osteoblasts, adipocytes and chondrocytes), but also, albeit still controversial, endodermal (hepatocyte-like cells) and ectodermal lineages (neuronal, neuroglial cells) (Fig. 1) [41]. Makino [42] and Toma [43] reported that after treatment with 5-azacytidine rat MSCs differentiated into cardiomyocyte lineages both in vivo and in vitro. Myogenic differentiation of rat MSCs was also detected in vitro after treatment with bone morphogenic protein (BMP)-2 and fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-4 [44]. MSCs were able to develop into cardiomyocyte-like cells, which expressed the cardiac myocyte markers myosin heavy
chain and troponin T in cardiomyocyte medium subjected to hypoxia re-oxygenation [45]. If prolonged treatment with hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) was performed, the expression of cardiac-specific markers such as GATA-4, α- and β-myosin heavy chain and others was reported [46]. Li et al. reported a localization of troponin T in 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI)-labelled B-cell lymphoma (Bcl)-2-transduced MSCs in a rat model of permanent ligation of left anterior descending coronary artery [47] indicating a differentiation towards cardiomyocyte-like cells. Despite these promising initial results, the in vitro and in vivo cardiac differentiation of MSCs has been recently re-evaluated. Only a small proportion (~0.07%) of bone marrow MSCs in vitro could generate cardiomyocyte-like cells [48], which indicate that MSCs may not generate cardiomyocytes frequently enough for cardiac repair via this mechanism. The following criteria should be considered when justifying MSC transdifferentiation towards cardiomyocyte: (i) the specific cardiomyocyte markers should be expressed, such as cardiac-specific L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, (ii) the specific cardiomyocyte functional properties should be acquired, such as beating, responding to Ca\(^{2+}\) channel-modulating drugs and have functional gap junction and (iii) the cell fusion should be rigorously excluded. However, there is still lack of convincing evidence about human MSC transdifferentiation into functional cardiomyocytes. The role of other mechanisms for promoting functional recovery should be considered.

Verfaillie described that the MAPC, one subset of MSCs, was pluripotent. This population is able to give rise to different cell types with characteristics of visceral mesoderm, neuro-ectoderm and endoderm [49]. When injected into an early blastocyst, a single MAPC could contribute to the development of various tissues [22]. However, the experimental procedure seems to be very difficult to reproduce [50, 51]. It has been speculated that MAPCs might represent an extremely small subpopulation of cells that must be selected and maintained under very strict conditions for many passages before they can be established [13, 52]. Recently, another subset of MSCs – ‘unrestricted somatic stem cells’ – was reported by Kogler et al. [23]. These cells were derived from human cord blood and are able to differentiate into many different cell types, including cardiomyocytes.

Paracrine effect

MSCs secrete a variety of cytokines and growth factors that have both paracrine and autocrine activities. Indeed, all cells secrete various bioactive agents that reflect both their functional status and the influence of their microenvironments. Of utmost interest for clinical application are the differentiation potential, the secretion of paracrine ‘trophic’ factors as well as the immunoregulatory properties of MSCs. Accordingly paracrine factors secreted by
**Mesenchymal stem cells and cardiac extracellular matrix**

Failing heart modulates its extracellular matrix

Most heart diseases gradually tend to evolve towards heart failure. To compensate for this, the heart starts to beat faster (tachycardia) and harder, but also dilates to increase wall tension (preload) between the heart beats (diastole) to increase stroke volume. These compensatory mechanisms seem to work quite well, but in the long run such processes, probably to a large extent via the mechanosensing/transducing apparatus, lead to myocardial degeneration, swelling of the cardiomyocytes and interstitial fibrosis with increase in fibroblasts and extracellular matrix. Such tissue is functionally invalid. There has been a paradigm shift in the treatment of heart failure from ionotropic drugs (strengthening the heart beat) towards control of excessive activation of the compensatory mechanisms, today targeting renin–angiotensin–aldosterone axis and sympathetic nervous system as well as fluid overload. Interestingly, none of these strategies manipulates the outside-in (or inside-out, for that matter) signalling between extracellular matrix and heart cells. Although the current treatment strategy slows down the disease development and relieves symptoms, better understanding of disease pathomechanisms (degenerative medicine) as well as future therapies can be obtained with stem cell research in heart diseases (regenerative/reparative medicine) [71].

**Mechanosensors**

For practical reasons most stem cell studies in heart regeneration have focused on cultured cells and soluble factors, like 5-azacytidine, BMP-2, FGF-4 and HGF. Less attention has been paid to ECM, which contains a multitude of cognate ligands for matrix receptors of the heart cells. Indeed, it is a typical feature for mesenchymal cells that they need ECM for survival, which in the absence undergo apoptosis known as anoikis (homelessness). In the MSC research field, increasing attention is being paid to the profound effects of the ECM-cell signalling on cellular adhesion, spreading, migration, proliferation and differentiation, which are of prime importance for stem cell grafting in the heart and their local expansion and differentiation into cardiomyocytes or any of the other pertinent cells in the heart.

Mechanotransduction can be defined as the cellular mechanisms by which load-bearing cells sense physical forces, transduce
Integrins form transmembrane links between their extracellular ligands and the intracellular and dynamic microfilamentous actin cytoskeleton. Integrins are covalently coupled, heterodimeric $\alpha\beta$ integral membrane proteins, which always contain one of the $18 \alpha$-subunits and 8 $\beta$-subunits. The combinatorial rules are such that they allow formation of allosterically coupled, heterodimeric $\alpha\beta$ integral membrane proteins.

Integrin-mediated signal transduction and integrin plasticity

Integrins are clustered at the costameres described above, overlying the Z-bands. Integrins bind their extracellular ligands, like type I or III collagen. This ligand-receptor binding per se, or secondarily via stretch exerted via it, can lead to outside-in signalling. Due to the signal transduction pathways engaged, this occurs often in cooperation with soluble, juxtacrine or intracrine growth factors and their respective receptors. Integrins do not only sense and transduce signals. They are also themselves regulated so that their levels of expression, profile, binding strength, activity or localization can change. During development from neonatal state to adulthood there is a switch from fibronectin and type I collagen binding towards laminin-binding integrins in rat [74]. Increased expression of integrin subunits $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_5$, $\alpha_7$, $\beta_1/\beta_1D$ has been described upon chronic pressure loading in mice [75].

Dystroglycan complex

Another cell-matrix contact point protecting the integrity of the sarcolemma is also located at the costamere but built around dystroglycan. The dystroglycan story started from dystrophin, which was first described in muscle dystrophies (as Duchenne/Becker disease) and X-linked dilated cardiomyopathy. Cytoplasmic dystrophin mediates coupling of the contractile actin cytoskeleton with the sub-sarcomemal dystroglycan complex. The cysteine-rich region towards the other end of dystrophin binds to the intracytoplasmic tail of the transmembrane $\beta$-dystroglycan in the dystroglycan complex. The other, extracellular end of $\beta$-dystroglycan binds the extracellular $\alpha$-dystroglycan, which again is bound to laminin-211. For a more complete structure and other components of the dystroglycan complex, including neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) and caveolin-3, see Liew and Dzau [76].

Adhesion receptors in MSCs

Naive MSCs and other stem cells, such as embryonic stem cells or induced pluripotent stem cells, must be administered to the tissue so that they can access the injured heart. Intravenous, intra-arterial and transmural administration as well as mobilization of eventual endogenous stem cell reservoirs have been tried. Intravenous administration would be the most convenient route of administration, presuming that the infused cells reach the target tissue. In the early phases of transmigration, intravascular MSCs start to tether and roll along the endothelium, a process mediated by carbohydrate-lectin interactions; endothelial P-selectin, with an unknown MSC counterligand, has been suggested to play a role for MSCs [77]. This close contact with the ECs may expose MSCs, which carry, e.g. CXCR4 chemokine receptors, to various chemokinetic/chemotactic stimuli, such as chemokines, e.g. CXCL12, and alarmins (danger-associated molecular patterns released from necrotic and/or activated cells). This activates and up-regulates the MSC integrin receptors [78]. Integrin subunits $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $\alpha_3$, $\alpha_4$, $\beta_1$, $\beta_3$ and $\beta_4$ have been described in human MSCs [1]. The process then continues, perhaps mainly via firm Int $\alpha\beta$-dependent binding to endothelial vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM)-1 [77], followed by transmigration into tissue. In the tissues the interstitial MSCs meet other sets of matrix molecules as outline above, which guide them further, which have been much less studied. The relatively poor engraftment in the injured heart after i.v. infusion of MSCs suggests that the cells to be transplanted should be ‘tailored’ in vitro, perhaps by appropriate isolation, expansion or pre-treatment procedure (see below under section ‘Dehydroepiandrosterone’ (DHEA), for an appropriate set of adhesion molecules, in particular the integrins. Using modern high throughput technologies [79], it has been shown that all organ and tissue sites have their vascular ‘zip codes’ [80] so targeted delivery should be possible.

Ex vivo manipulation of MSCs

Pre-treatment of MSCs with growth factors

There are different approaches for MSC manipulation (Fig. 4). The low cellular survival rate and transdifferentiation potency of MSCs after cardiac transplantation engenders only marginal functional improvement by directed recruitment and replacement of lost myocardioyte cell mass [43, 81]. Thus, interventions that would facilitate differentiation and enhance the cytoprotective effects of MSCs could form a rational approach against the arduous microenvironment incurred from ischaemia, inflammatory response. To improve efficacy of MSC transplantation, the role of growth and differentiation factors to expand the stem cells and to facilitate their engraftment into cardiac tissue has been recently studied, including FGF-2, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 and BMP-2 [82–85].

Combination of these growth factors might greatly facilitate differentiation of MSCs into cardiomyocytes. Furthermore, an anti-apoptotic signal was delivered by many growth factors, and the expression of connexin-43 was increased [86]. Pre-treatment of...
In the circulation the concentration of DHEA-S is more than 200-fold higher than that of DHEA as it serves as the DHEA reservoir. DHEA-S is taken up by cells via organic anion transporter protein-B. Inside the cell it can be desulphated by steroid sulphatase, but resulphated by sulphotransferase. Free DHEA is fed into the further intracrine machinery, some key enzymes of which are shown in the figure and include 3ß-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (3ß-HSD), 17ß-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (17ß-HSD), aromatase and 5α-reductase. This intracrine machinery has been apparently fine-tuned in different cells and tissues to meet the local needs; such tailor making does not occur in murines, and these murine models cannot be therefore not be used to study intracrine processing of DHEA.
MSCs with growth factors might enhance transfer of anti-apoptotic signal to CMCs.

Genetic engineering

Recently, genetic enhancement of MSCs has gained considerable attention. MSCs could be manipulated to secrete a gene product, enhance cell survival, alter cell homing and direct cell differentiation. First brought into play for MSCs by the Dzau group, the research group genetically modified rat MSCs with phosphorylated Akt prior to intramyocardial transplantation. Down-regulation of pro-apoptotic Bax gene and activation of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 gene resulted in 80% reduction of cell apoptosis after 24 hrs of hypoxia. Using this strategy, they were able to report significant inhibition of cardiac remodelling processes and improved cardiac function after myocardial infarction followed by MSC transplantation [87]. They speculated that paracrine actions exerted by the cells through the release of soluble factors might be important mechanisms of tissue repair and functional improvement after injection of the Akt-MSCs [88]. Non-viral transfer of Hemeoxygenase-1 gene to MSCs, providing cardioprotective properties (and by-products of heme-metabolism, as carbon monoxide, bilirubin and iron, that precede anti-inflammatory, anti-apoptotic, anti-mitogenic and vasodilator effects), resulted in a higher number of surviving MSCs for cardiac repair and regeneration [89]. Eventual additional paracrine effects were not investigated yet. Li et al. [47] investigated non-viral transfer of the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2-gene to MSCs prior to direct transplantation in a rat myocardial infarction model. This led to improved cell survival in vivo and to improved heart function [47]. Unwanted excessive and potentially harmful gene expression has to be somehow circumvented, e.g. hypoxia-inducible expression has been reported for Akt, HO-1 and Bcl-2. Co-overexpression of Akt and Angiopoietin-1 (Ang-1), by adenoviral transduction, was found to improve cell survival together with restoration of regional blood flow [90]. Indeed extensive cell survival and myogenic differentiation were coupled with a significantly higher vessel density and smooth muscle cell covering (indicating maturation of newly formed vessels) in the study group compared to the control groups.

Another approach for gene modification aims to influence migration and homing processes. Overexpression, by retroviral transduction, of chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4), which is the cognate receptor for SDF-1, a chemokine for homing of progenitor cells to ischaemic tissues, led to an increase in the number of cells homing to ischaemic tissues after intravenous administration 24 hrs after myocardial infarction in rat compared to non-modified, naive MSCs. Decreased anterior wall thinning, improved left ventricular function and a decrease in collagen I/III ratio were also reported [91]. A similar investigation with adenoviral transduction of CXCR4/green fluorescent protein and SDF-1 pre-treatment led to an up-regulation of matrix metalloproteinases in CXCR4 overexpressing MSCs, that might facilitate MSC engraftment in collagenous tissue of infarcted tissue, and also to a significant neoangiomyogenesis [92]. Drawbacks in gene-modification techniques are associated with limited gene size that can be carried by the virus, infections and immunological side effects caused by viral gene transfer restricting the use of this method to animal models. Low efficiency and high toxicity limit the use of non-viral gene transfer systems, even though improvement of these systems, especially concerning toxicity.

Hypoxia preconditioning

Usually optimal culture conditions including normoxia, have been used in laboratories in order to achieve high cell vitality and proliferation rates. Nonetheless MSCs derive from hypoxic tissues, e.g. hypoxic niches in bone marrow, upon transplantation to infarcted myocardial tissue they are again subjected to hypoxia. Consequently hypoxia effects have been investigated in the context of simulating the microenvironment in vivo, myocardial infarction or hind limb ischaemia models, and hypoxia exposition studies in vitro. Short-term exposure of MSCs to HGF induces the activation of its cognate Met-receptor and downstream effectors ERK1/2, p38 MAPK and PI3K/Akt [46]. If MSCs are subjected to hypoxia in vitro the Akt signalling pathway is activated so that cell viability and cell cycle rates are maintained. Furthermore expression of c-Met is induced and c-Met signalling is enhanced, resulting in higher migration rates in response to ischaemic tissue-secreted HGF after intraarterial injection in a rat hind limb ischaemia model [93]. These data suggest a specific influence of hypoxia on MSCs that in the case of Akt-activation leads to a better survival of anoikisis, cell death by integrin detachment, which can threaten the desired outcome of the cell transplantation processes. Li et al. showed significant hypoxia-induced VEGF-overexpression and enhanced MSC survival rate under hypoxia after Bcl-2 (B-cell lymphoma)-gene transfer in rat MSCs in vitro. It was concluded, that there is a hypoxia-regulated, VEGF-mediated cardioprotective effect and the induction of functional collateral vessels when Bcl-2-engineered MSCs are transplanted into infarcted hearts [47]. Apart from beneficial effects on cell metabolism hypoxic preconditioning can direct cells to cardiogenic differentiation prior to transplantation [45], possibly preventing earlier reported adverse effects, such as osteogenesis [94].

Pharmacological interventions

Dehydroepiandrosterone pro-hormone

It has been well established and recently reviewed that sexual dimorphism exists in many cardiovascular, cardiopulmonary, neurodegenerative and endocrine disorders. Oestrogen stimulates growth hormone production by bone marrow MSCs and endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), and apparently via stimulation of somatomedin C (IGF-I) influences myocardial remodelling after an ischaemic insult. Other useful effects include oestrogen-stimulated angiogenesis and oestrogen-inhibited cardiac fibrosis. It is concluded that ‘…a greater understanding of sex hormones on diverse stem cell populations is required to improve their ultimate clinical efficacy’ [95].
Although MSCs are affected by sex steroids, little attention has been paid to two interesting facts: (1) serum DHEA levels are very high at the time of birth and rapid growth and development; (2) DHEA, normally produced in zona reticularis of the adrenal cortex, in man and higher apes, is subjected to organ-specific, intracrine conversion to active sex steroids. Organ-specific refers here to tailor making: in female breast and uterus, most of it is converted to 17β-oestradiol, whereas in male prostate most become dihydrotestosterone. It is similarly but as yet unknown way converted to active sex steroids in other organs along the intracrine pathways shown in Fig. 2.

It has been estimated that 75% of the oestrogens in pre-menopausal and 100% of the oestrogens in post-menopausal women derives from DHEA. Similarly, 50% of testosterone is locally produced from DHEA even in younger men [96]. Sex steroids can have important regulatory effects. It was recently shown that DHEA treatment up-regulates 5–20-fold integrin α1 and α2 subunits, which enables the intercalated duct epithelial progenitor cells in salivary glands to migrate to acinus, and to receive there a differentiation signal from The basement-membrane located laminin α1 [97] so that they transdifferentiate into secretory acinar cells [98]. Interestingly, oestrogens have been shown to affect mouse bone marrow MSCs, but there have been no studies on the eventual intracrine DHEA processing machinery in these cells although ongoing studies demonstrate that some such enzymes are present and some are induced by the presence of substrate in a positive feed-forward feedback system (Fig. 2). Studies are ongoing on the effect of such treatment on their integrin receptor expression levels.

Atorvastatin
3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors, statins, represent a group of medications that are prescribed for its cholesterol-lowering effects. Furthermore so-called pleiotropic effects were ascribed to statins, such as protection of endothelial function, increased nitric oxide bioavailability, antioxidan effects and stabilization of atherosclerotic plaques [99–102]. Statins were shown to accelerate re-endothelialization and reduce dose-dependently neointimal thickening after carotid balloon injury in a rat model [103]. This effect was caused by increased numbers of circulating EPCs and induction of adhesiveness of EPC by up-regulation of integrin subunits. In a pre-clinical study pleiotropic statin effects were investigated in MSC transplantation after myocardial ischaemia/reperfusion injury to Chinese swine pre-treated with atorvastatin [104]. Improvement of efficacy was found due to atorvastatin-enhanced cell survival and differentiation into cardiomyocytes, decrease of infarcted area, promoting of angiogenesis and reversal of ventricular remodelling processes.

Pre-clinical application on cardiovascular diseases

Animal models in the field of MSC transplantation to cardiac tissue mainly address effects on heart function (e.g. in myocardial infarction, acute myocarditis) and clarification of repair and regenerative mechanisms. In focus stands the fate of transplanted MSCs, its complex interplay with the environmental tissue and organism responses, including eventually unwanted side effects.

According to the high clinical frequency of myocardial infarction small and large animal models both have been applied in a considerable number, addressing mainly the proof of functional benefits, like left ventricular ejection fraction, summarized exemplary in Table 1 (direct intramyocardial transplantation models) and pathomechanisms of MSC transplantation. Recently, Schuleri et al. [105] reported a significant gain of left ventricular function at 8 weeks in a pig model investigation of intramyocardial transplantation of MSCs. The research group showed an early improvement of resting myocardial blood flow after 1 week, which correlated positive to an increase of vessel size in the MSC group compared to control groups. These findings indicated neovascularization processes in advance to significant cardiomyogenesis, nourishing the hypothesis of paracrine secretion mediated effects [105]. Engraftment of MSCs, here defined as cell integration and trans differentiation, in no doubt plays a major role in cell transplantation processes. However, cell integration into the injected tissue...
**Table 1** Summary of pre-clinical studies on left ventricular function after MSC transplantation to injured heart

| Model                                      | Cell number                  | Measurement method | Modification | Functional results                                                                 | Research group                      |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Pig, LAD-occlusion (60 min.) and reperfusion | $200 \times 100 \text{E} \, 6$, 15 sites, intramyocardial injection | MRI Imaging        | No           | Significant ↑ in LV-EF of week 1 to week 8                                           | Schuleri et al. [105]               |
| Pig, permanent LAD ligation                | $1 \times 10 \text{E} \, 6$, intramyocardial injection | Echocardiography   | No (long-term culture)                                                              | Significant ↑ in LV-EF at 2 and 4 weeks in comparison to control (saline injection) | Nakamura et al. [106]             |
| Lewis-Rat, permanent LAD ligation          | $2 \times 10 \text{E} \, 6$, five injections          | Catheter conductance | No           | Significant ↑ in LV-EF in MSC group compared to medium injection group at 8 weeks     | Berry et al. [107]                 |
| Lewis-Rat, permanent LAD ligation          | $6 \times 10 \text{E} \, 6$, six injection sites      | Catheter conductance | Bcl-2 gene    | Significant ↑ in LV-EF in Bcl-2 gene-modified group compared to saline group at 6 weeks | Li et al. [47]                     |
| Lewis-Rat                                  | $5 \times 10 \text{E} \, 6$                                 | Echocardiography   | No           | Significant ↑ in fractional LV-EF in allogeneic and syngenetic MSC groups compared to buffer goup at 28 days | Imanishi et al., [108]             |
| Spontaneously hypertensive rats, permanent LAD ligation | $1 \times 10 \text{E} \, 6$, five sites | Echocardiography   | No           | Significant ↑ in MSC group compared to only medium group                               | de Macedo Brada et al. [109]       |
| Sprague-Dawley-Rat, permanent LAD ligation | $5 \times 10 \text{E} \, 6$, five sites                 | Langendorff model  | Akt gene     | LV-SP indistinguishable from sham-operated animals at 2 weeks                          | Mangi et al. [87]                  |

LAD = left anterior descending coronary artery, MBP = myocardial blood flow, LV-EF = left ventricular ejection fraction, LV-SP = left ventricular systolic performance and Bcl (B-cell lymphoma).
actually takes place in a non-significant quantity [47]. Sporadic in vivo transdifferentiation into cardiomyocytes-like cells [106] and ECs, integrated into vessels [105] was shown, indicating once more non-structural effects of MSC apply. Findings of reduction in infarction size differ from non-significant [107] to significant [109–111]. In cardiomyopathy beneficial effects on cardiac function were reported [112, 113]. In a rabbit model of chronic doxorubicin cardiomyopathy reduced myocardial fibrosis and increased activity of MMP-1 and MMP-2 and decreased activity of tissue inhibitors were observed [112]. Even though immunomodulative and immunosuppressive effects have been ascribed to MSCs [114] only few pre-clinical data are given for MSC therapeutic approach for myocarditis yet. Ohnishi et al. [115] reported positive effects derived from intravenous MSCs injection after induction of acute myocarditis (experimental autoimmune myocarditis) in rat. Hereby, a reduced number of CD68-positive inflammatory cells and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 expression in myocardium together with improved cardiac function was shown [115]. In another study of EAM in rat an inhibited myocardial expression of the inflammatory cytokines interleukin-2, -6, and -10 mRNAs was found [116]. It was also suggested that MSCs are suitable to prevent chronic rejection in heart transplantation due to 

\[ CD4^+ \text{T-cell suppression} \] [117]. Migration of intravenously transferred MSCs to heart allograft during chronic rejection was reported [118]. However, also reports of eventual promotion of rejection were made [119]. MSC recellularization for preserving viability of bypass grafts efforts to improve therapies in cardiovascular bypass surgery. In a recent report, a vein grafting model evidence of MSC differentiation into ECs and increased re-endothelialization and reduction of neointimal formation [120] after MSC transplantation was reported. Recellularization capacity of MSC was also applied to tissue-engineered heart valves [121]. Safety studies in large animals investigating dose-dependent effects have shown no relevant results so far [110]. ‘Off the shelf’ use of allogeneic MSCs in a swine safety study with repeated high dose injections (up to \( 800 \times 10^5 \) MSCs) into the heart revealed no side effects in regard to sustained ventricular arrhythmia, anaphylaxis or significant cardiac enzyme release, and long-term histopathology results were unobtrusive with regard to rejection, calcification, teratoma and myocardial infarction [122]. Taken together, promising strategies have been established to apply MSCs with their great profile for not only myocardial infarction but also other cardiac diseases though leaving yet many questions open to answer in future research.

Clinical application: where are we?

Since 2002, numerous clinical trials have been performed to test the safety and efficacy of bone-marrow-derived mononuclear cells (MNC) transplantation for the treatment of myocardium infarction [123]. Although most of these studies were not double-blinded or randomly designed, the initial results confirmed the safety of such a cell therapy and thereby aroused the enthusiasm to attempt MSC transplantation. However, compared with MNC and other bone-marrow-derived progenitor cells [124], which were isolated or sorted directly from fresh whole bone marrow, MSCs usually need some time in a cell culture to allow their cell purification and expansion so that adequate numbers can be achieved prior to transplantation. The microbial contamination during cell culture could cause a failure of the cell preparation and the xenogenic serum additions in the culture media could cause unexpected alteration of human MSCs and subsequent host immune rejection. Therefore, there is a need for an animal serum-free replacement in the clinical scale propagation of human MSCs [125]. Secondly, the mean diameter of cultured MSCs in the injection suspension was \( 20 \mu \text{m} \), which was much larger than MNC (mean diameter 10–12 \( \mu \text{m} \)), and hence a direct intracoronary infusion of MSCs might result in iatrogenic coronary embolisms and microinfarctions [126]. Considering the above facts, unlike its MNC counterparts, the clinical application of MSCs for cardiac repair is still in its initial stages and only a few small phase I–II clinical studies have been reported.

In 2004, a cardiologists group from China firstly instilled autologous MSCs intracoronarily in patients with acute myocardial infarction [127]. In Chen’s study, MSCs were cultured for 10 days and eventually \( 5–6 \times 10^5 \) MSCs were obtained and infused through the targeted coronary artery after a successful percutaneous coronary intervention. Serial cardiac echoangiographic and positron emission tomographic monitoring demonstrated improvement of cardiac function 3 months after cell transplantation. However, no further information was presented to clarify the culture process and eventual myocardial injuries after intracoronary cell infusion.

In another study from Greece, MSCs were in vitro cultured for 7 days with 10% foetal calf serum under good laboratory practice conditions, and then \( 1–2 \times 10^6 \) cells were infused through a stented coronary artery [128]. Before cell transplantation, the cell samples were analysed and 66% ± 19% of the cells in culture were positive for the biomarkers of MSCs. Furthermore, the authors selected into their series five patients who had implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) to investigate the possible proarrhythmic potential of MSC treatment [129]. At 16–36 months follow-up, interrogation of the ICD failed to detect sustained or non-sustained ventricular arrhythmia in any of the MSCs treated patient. Therefore, the authors concluded that MSC transplantation did not appear to have any arrhythmogenic potential in this small series.

The preliminary results of first clinical trial of MSC transplantation for cardiac repair in United States were reported recently at the American College of Cardiology’s Innovation in Intervention: i2 Summit [130]. In the 53-year-old patient, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, the allogeneic MSCs were taken from young healthy volunteers and intravenously injected like i.v. drugs. During the 6-month follow-up, the heart and lung function improved significantly and arrhythmic events were four times less frequent than in the placebo group. The investigators reported that such allogeneic cell products did not need time-consuming cell manipulations just prior to the treatment, but they are readily available to satisfy the needs of clinical community.
In the above-mentioned US study, MSCs were administrated through a standard intravenous route. Although this route is much more convenient for the patients than the intra-arterial coronary route, pilot animal studies have demonstrated that intravenous route is much less site specific and lead to much more extensive systemic engraftment than other more targeted delivery approaches [131]. It is generally accepted that even after intra-coronary infusion only 1–3% of delivered cells actually engraft within the infarcted zone [132]. Since the end of 2007, the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute initiated another multicentre study to inject high- or low-dosage MSC preparations directly into the damaged myocardium that did not receive a bypass graft during open-chest coronary bypass procedure [133]. Although the cardiac surgeons can identify the myocardial scar and thus choose appropriate injection sites, the locally injected cells still tend to migrate to extracardiac organs, especially to the spleen [134]. In the future clinical studies, the comparison of various delivery routes and methods to improve the local cardiac retention of the cells should be addressed.

Recently, a series of randomly designed clinical studies confirmed the safety and feasibility of MNC transplantation; however, they also showed that such un-cultured or non-sorted cell preparations did not have any effects on or only slightly improved heart function [135]. MSCs currently emerge as a promising cell resource in clinical application to repair the damaged heart. According to the registered data from www.clinicaltrials.gov, a web-based service of National Institute of Health of United States, there are three ongoing phase I–II trials using MSCs for cardiac applications and totally around 140 patients with myocardial infarction have been recruited across Europe and United States for these studies [136]. Compared with freshly isolated MNCs, MSCs are easy to label for the subsequent in vivo tracking using magnetic resonance imaging and other imaging techniques [137]. Furthermore, the time period used for cell culture offers a platform for MSC-targeted gene therapy. MSCs can be engineered with various target genes to augment angiogenesis, inhibit apoptosis and reverse myocardial remodelling [47, 53].
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