Tumor necrosis factor is dispensable for the success of immunogenic anticancer chemotherapy
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Introduction

Although it is commonly assumed that chemotherapeutics eradicate malignant cells as antibiotics kill bacteria, accumulating evidence indicates that successful antineoplastic agents (at least in part) exert therapeutic effects by (re)activating tumor-specific immune responses.1,2 Thus, several anticancer drugs that are nowadays employed in the clinical practice have been shown to elicit a local immune response that involves dendritic cells (DCs) and several distinct subsets of T lymphocytes. Here, we show that the administration of anthracyclines to mice bearing established neoplasms stimulates the intratumoral secretion of tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα). However, blocking the TNFα/TNF receptor (TNFR) system by three different strategies—namely, (1) neutralizing antibodies, (2) etanercept, a recombinant protein in which TNFR is fused to the constant domain of an IgG1 molecule, and (3) gene knockout—failed to negatively affect the therapeutic efficacy of anthracyclines in three distinct tumor models. In particular, TNFα-blocking strategies did not influence the antineoplastic effects of doxorubicin (a prototypic anthracycline) against MCA205 fibrosarcomas growing in C57BL/6 mice, F244 sarcomas developing in 129/sv hosts and H2N100 mammary carcinomas arising in BALB/c mice. These findings imply that, in contrast to other cytokines (such as interleukin-1β, interleukin-17 and interferon γ), TNFα is not required for anthracyclines to elicit therapeutic anticancer immune responses.
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The immunogenicity of anthracycline-induced cell death has been shown to rely on the timely emission of at least three distinct DAMPs, namely (1) calreticulin (CRT), which is exposed on the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane early during apoptosis, owing to the activation of an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response;2 (2) ATP, which is secreted into the extracellular space in an autophagy-dependent fashion, along with the activation of caspases and plasma membrane blebbing;9 and (3) high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), a non-histone chromatin-binding protein that is released by dead cells upon nuclear and plasma membrane permeabilization.10 This spatiotemporally defined combination of DAMPs allows for the recruitment of myeloid cells into the tumor bed and the activation of their inflammatory potential (which are mediated by purinergic P2RY2 and P2RX7
receptors, respectively), the efficient uptake of tumor-associated antigens by specific myeloid cell subsets (which is stimulated by cell surface-exposed CRT) and optimal antigen presentation (which is promoted by HMGB1). After an initial wave of myeloid cell infiltration (12–72 h post-chemotherapy), various T-cell subsets are recruited into the tumor bed, in particular interleukin-17 (IL-17)-secreting γδ T cells (3–5 d post-chemotherapy) and interferon γ (IFNγ)-producing CD8+ αβ T cells (peaking approximately 8 d post-chemotherapy).

The immediate immune response that is initiated by DAMPs to eventually exert antineoplastic effects is complex. In line with this notion, the blockade of myeloid cell extravasation with CD11b-blocking antibodies as well as the elimination of γδ T cells or CD8+ αβ T cells suffices to abolish the therapeutic efficacy of anthracycline-based chemotherapy in vivo. Along similar lines, the genetic or pharmacological inhibition of IL-1β (produced by dendritic cell (DC)-like myeloid cells), IL-17 (secreted by γδ T cells) and IFNγ (one of the major cytotoxic factors of CD8+ αβ T cells) is sufficient to abrogate the antineoplastic activity of anthracyclines and other immunogenic chemotherapeutics in rodent models.

Driven by the discovery that the administration of doxorubicin (a prototypic anthracycline) to tumor-bearing mice results in the intratumoral upregulation of tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), we investigated the putative contribution of this pleiotropic, multifunctional cytokine to the efficacy of anticancer immune responses. Surprisingly, we found that blocking the TNFα system by three distinct genetic or pharmacological manipulations fails to affect the chemotherapeutic response of established tumors to anthracyclines.

**Results and Discussion**

Enhanced TNFα expression in tumors responding to anthracycline-based chemotherapy. We have previously reported that anthracycline-based chemotherapy promotes the upregulation of T311- and T117-related genetic signatures in experimental tumors established in mice. The levels of mRNAs coding for surrogate markers of a T311 response (such as IFNγ and TNFα) were indeed increased upon the intratumoral administration of doxorubicin. Along similar lines, we observed that the TNf mRNA levels were markedly upregulated in MCA205 fibrosarcomas (established in C57BL/6 mice) 7 d after doxorubicin-based chemotherapy (Fig. 1A). A similar trend could be observed as early as 1 d after the intratumoral administration of doxorubicin, though the threshold for statistical significance was not reached at this time point (Fig. 1A).

The relative contribution of CD45− (tumor) cells and CD45+ tumor-infiltrating leukocytes (TILs) to the production of TNFα triggered by anthracyclines was determined by performing quantitative RT-PCR on viable cells sorted by cytofluorometry upon immunostaining with a CD45-specific antibody (Fig. 1B). Although both CD45− and CD45+ cells significantly upregulated TNFα at the transcriptional level as early as 1 d after the administration of doxorubicin, on a per-cell basis Tnf mRNA levels were approximately 400-fold higher in TILs than in cancer cells (Fig. 1C). Thus, taking into consideration the relative abundance of CD45+ vs. CD45− cells in the tumor microenvironment, TILs appear to constitute the predominant source of TNFα in established MCA205 fibrosarcomas responding to doxorubicin. Of note, 4 d after chemotherapy, CD45− (but not CD45+) cells still exhibited increased Tnf/mRNA levels as compared with their CD45+ (or CD45−) counterparts obtained from PBS-treated tumors (Fig. 1C).

Along similar lines, we observed that the intratumoral administration of doxorubicin, though the production of TNFα by TILs exposed to doxorubicin in vivo was temporally coincident with the early influx of inflammatory myeloid cells triggered by immunogenic chemotherapy. We therefore compared Tnf mRNA levels in several CD11b+ myeloid cell subpopulations including: Ly6Ch− inflammatory monocytes, Ly6Cint cells and Ly6G− neutrophils (Fig. 1D). Interestingly, at two early time points (1 and 3 days post-chemotherapy), the intratumoral administration of doxorubicin significantly increased Tnf expression by tumor-infiltrating CD11b+Ly6Cint cells, which we have recently shown to operate as antigen-presenting cells (APCs) in situ, but not by CD11b−Ly6Cint cells (Fig. 1E). In this setting, Ly6G− neutrophils exhibited a modest (yet statistically significant) increase in Tnf mRNA levels 1 d, but not 3 d, after immunogenic chemotherapy (Fig. 1E).

Blocking the TNFα system fails to interfere with the recruitment of APCs and their capacity to take up tumor-associated antigens, yet hampers APC maturation. Immunogenic chemotherapies elicit the efficient presentation of tumor-associated antigens, in turn driving potent cytotoxic T-lymphocyte (CTL) responses. To analyze the role of TNFα during antigen presentation, we took advantage of murine CT26 colorectal carcinoma cells engineered to express an eGFP variant that carries consensus sequences for myristoylation plus palmitoylation (MyrPalm-mEGFP), and hence localizes to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. We inoculated MyrPalm-mEGFP-expressing CT26 cells in BALB/c mice (allowing us to track the uptake of tumor-associated antigens) and—in once neoplastic lesions were established—treated them with a single intratumoral injection of PBS (control conditions) or doxorubicin. In this setting, anthracycline-based chemotherapy enhanced antigen uptake by TILs, an effect that was well pronounced 36 h upon the administration of doxorubicin and was not influenced by the co-administration of etanercept (Fig. 2A), a soluble TNFα decoy molecule (constituted by the TNFα receptor fused to an IgG1 antibody) currently employed for the treatment of several autoimmune diseases.

Along similar lines, etanercept failed to block the recruitment into the tumor bed of CD11b−Ly6Cint cells (Fig. 2B), which are critical for the presentation of tumor-associated antigens in the course of chemotherapy-elicited immune responses. TNFα has been reported to operate as a maturation-promoting factor for several human and murine cell types, including DCs. In line with this notion, the administration of etanercept along with anthracycline-based chemotherapy inhibited the maturation of CD11c− as well as CD11b+Ly6Cint cells, as evaluated by the expression on their surface of MHC Class II molecules (Fig. 2C and D). Taken together, these observations suggest that TNFα influences neither the recruitment of APCs to anthracycline-treated tumors nor the ability of these cells to engulf tumor-associated antigens, yet it facilitates APC maturation in an autocrine or paracrine manner.
The role of TNFα in cancer immunosurveillance has been the subject of an intense debate. Thus, Tnf−/− mice develop methylocholanthrene (MCA)-induced fibrosarcoma more frequently than their wild-type counterparts. Conversely, Tnf−/− mice are protected from the combined carcinogenic effects of the DNA-damaging agent 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA) and 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA). This apparent discrepancy may reflect the complex biology of carcinogenesis, in which TNFα-driven inflammation and immunosurveillance play antagonist roles.

The implication of TNFα in anticancer therapy-elicited immune responses also exhibits a considerable degree of context dependency. In a murine model of Simian virus 40 large T antigen (Tag)-driven insulinoma, the adoptive transfer of Tag-specific TH1 cells producing both IFNγ and TNFα has been shown to promote senescence in a TNFα receptor 1 (TNFR1)-dependent fashion. Along similar lines, insulinoma cells exposed in vitro to IFNγ and TNFα underwent an irreversible cell cycle arrest that was accompanied by several epigenetic and lysosomal changes associated with cell senescence. Furthermore, TNFα has been shown to be required for the rejection of MC57 fibrosarcoma cells by syngeneic mice previously immunized with irradiated cells of the same type. Conversely, here we demonstrate that MCA205 fibrosarcomas grew in wild-type and Tnf−/− C57BL/6 mice with virtually overlapping kinetics, and anthracycline-based chemotherapy completely retained its efficacy in the absence of host-derived TNFα (Fig. 3A). Along similar lines, the neutralization of TNFα with etanercept shortly before and continuously after chemotherapy failed to significantly alter the therapeutic efficacy of doxorubicin against MCA205 fibrosarcomas growing in C57BL/6 mice (Fig. 3B). Similar results were obtained when the TNFα system was blocked by the administration of a TNFα-neutralizing antibody. In particular, F244 sarcomas developing in 129/Sv mice as well as H2N100 mammary carcinomas growing in BALB/c mice responded to doxorubicin irrespective of the co-administration of the TNFα-targeting antibody TN3–19.12 (Fig. 3C and D). These findings indicate that TNFα does not influence the responsiveness of tumor-bearing mice to immunogenic chemotherapy.

Concluding remarks. Here, we present unambiguous evidence indicating that TNFα is dispensable for the therapeutic efficacy of anthracyclines in mice. Indeed, we observed that the blockade of the TNFα system (by means of three different approaches) fails to affect the antineoplastic effects of the prototypic anthracycline doxorubicin in three distinct murine tumor models.
TNFα does not alter the antineoplastic effects of immunogenic chemotherapy. In this setting, a great role can be played by the specificity of distinct tumor models. Indeed, while some tumors are preferentially controlled by innate immune effectors, others are mainly held in check by CD8+ or CD4+ T cells.31–33

Of note, Frances Balkwill’s group has recently demonstrated not only that TNFα is required for the accumulation of F4/80+ macrophages into intraperitoneal ovarian cancer xenografts, but also that there is a correlation between an elevated expression of genes coding for TNFα-related cytokines and the amount of CD68+ cells infiltrating high-grade serous ovarian cancer biopsies.34 We did not investigate directly whether TNFα is required for the anthracycline-driven recruitment of F4/80+ macrophages into MCA205 fibrosarcomas, but neither the accumulation of bulk CD11b+ myeloid cells nor that of inflammatory monocytes (which can differentiate into macrophages or DCs) was hampered by TNFα-blocking maneuvers in our system. Moreover, we have previously shown that the administration of clodronate-loaded liposomes (which efficiently depletes the splenic monocytic/macrophagic cell compartment) fails to affect the antineoplastic potential of anthracyclines,11 arguing against a prominent role for F4/80+ macrophages in the elicitation of therapeutic immune responses by immunogenic chemotherapy.

**Figure 2.** Role of TNFα in the anthracycline-mediated recruitment, functional activation and maturation of antigen-presenting cells. (A–D) BALB/c mice harboring MyrPalm-mEGFP-expressing CT26 colon carcinomas (tumor surface 25–45 mm²) were treated with doxorubicin (DX) or an equivalent volume of PBS, as a single intratumoral injection (day 0). On the same day, a fraction of mice was initiated on a course of intraperitoneal etanercept (ETA). On day 3, tumors were harvested, dissociated into single-cell suspensions and stained with either a CD45-specific (A) or with CD11b-, CD11c-, Ly6c- and Ly6G-targeting antibodies, alone (B) or combined with antibodies specific for MHC Class II molecules (C and D). (A) reports representative dot plots and quantitative data on the percentage of CD45+ tumor-infiltrating leukocytes (TILs) emitting a GFP-associated fluorescence (indicative of the uptake of tumor-associated antigens). In (B), representative dot plots and quantitative data on the anthracycline-elicited recruitment of CD11b+Ly6G+Ly6C+CD11b+Ly6G+Ly6C+ and CD11b+Ly6G+ cells into the tumor bed are illustrated. In (A) and (B), numbers indicate the percentage of cells found in the corresponding gate. (C) and (D) depict representative expression profiles of MHC Class II molecules among CD11c+ and CD11b+Ly6G+Ly6C+ cells, respectively, and the corresponding quantitative data (means ± SEM, n = 3). ns, non-significant; "p < 0.05, (unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test), as compared with the same cell population isolated from tumors treated with PBS or DX only (in the absence of ETA).
Anthraccline-elicited anticancer immune responses are mostly mediated by CD8+ T cells, which must produce IFNγ to control tumor growth.35,36 How IFNγ produced by CD8+ T cells exerts antineoplastic effects is currently unknown. Tumors engrafted in mice lacking perforin, a key effector molecule of CD8+ T cells, respond normally to anthracyclines,37 suggesting that classical cytotoxic mechanisms are not involved in the antineoplastic effects of immunogenic chemotherapy. As a possibility, IFNγ-producing CD8+ cells may inhibit tumor growth indirectly, by destroying the tumor vasculature and/or blocking neo-angiogenesis.38–40 Alternatively, such cells may activate sessile macrophages to destroy malignant cells.41 The exact mechanisms through which terminal immune effectors control tumor growth in response to chemotherapy require further exploration.

Materials and Methods

Unless otherwise indicated, chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and cell culture products from Gibco-Life Technologies. Cell lines. Mouse fibrosarcoma MCA205 cells (H-2b), mammary carcinoma H2N100 cells (H-2d), sarcoma F244 cells (derived from 129/Sv mice)42,43 and MyrPalm-mEGFP-expressing colon carcinoma CT26 cells (H-2d),44 were cultured in GlutaMAX™-I-containing RPMI 1640 Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 10 mM HEPES buffer, 100 units/mL penicillin G sodium and 100 μg/mL streptomycin sulfate.

Animal experiments. Female wild-type and Tnf−/− C57BL/6 (H-2b),45 BALB/c (H-2b) and 129/Sv mice were housed in controlled, pathogen-free conditions at either the Institut Gustave Roussy (IGR) or the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre. Mice were maintained under controlled light cycle (12 h lights ON, 12 h lights OFF), allowed food and water ad libitum, and were invariably used for experiments between 7 and 14 weeks of age. All animal experiments complied with the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Association (FELASA) guidelines and were approved either by the IGR Ethics Committee (CEEA IRCIV/IGR n°26, registered with the French Ministry of Research) or by the Peter MacCallum Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee.

Tumor chemotherapy models. For the establishment of syngeneic solid tumors, wild-type and Tnf−/− C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with 8 × 10⁵ MCA205 cells, BALB/c mice with 5 × 10⁵ H2N100 or with 1 × 10⁶ MyrPalm-mEGFP-expressing CT26 cells and 129/Sv mice with 1 × 10⁶ F244 cells s.c. The size of neoplastic lesions was routinely monitored by means of a common caliper, and when tumor surface reached 25–45 mm² (normally 7–10 d after inoculation, depending on the model), mice received either 2.9 mg/Kg doxorubicin i.t. (as a single injection in 50 μL PBS) or an equivalent volume of solvent. When appropriate, mice also received 50 mg/Kg etanercept or an equivalent volume of solvent i.p. on 4 consecutive days, starting from the day of chemotherapy. Alternatively, mice received 12.5 mg/kg anti-TNF antibodies (clone TN3–19.2) or an equivalent dose of isotype-matched control antibodies i.v. 1 d before chemotherapy, together with chemotherapy as well as 4, 7, 11 and 14 d later.

Flow cytometry. Freshly recovered tumors were cut into small pieces in serum-free GlutaMAX™-I-containing RPMI 1640
medium supplemented with 0.4 Wünsch U/mL Liberase TL (Roche) and 200 U/mL DNase I (Calbiochem) and then transferred to 12-well culture plates and placed at 37°C for 30 min to promote enzymatic dissociation. Single-cell suspensions were then obtained by filtering through a 70 μm cell strainer. For cell-surface immunostaining, cells were incubated with the following primary antibodies (final concentration = 2 μg/mL; staining temperature = 4°C; staining time = 25 min): anti-CD45.2 (104), anti-Ly6G (1A8), anti-I-A/I-E (M5/114.15.2), anti-Ly6C (M1/70), anti-Ly6D (M1/21) all from BD PharMingen; anti-CD11b (M1/70), anti-Ly6C (N418), anti-Ly6C (AL-21) all from BD PharMingen; anti-I-A/I-E (M5/114.15.2), anti-Ly6G (1A8) from BioLegend. To identify live cells, the LIVE/DEAD® Fixable Yellow Dead Cell Stain Kit (Molecular Probes-Life Technologies) was employed. Cytofluorometric assessments and cell sorting were performed on a LSR II flow cytometer or on a FACSAria™ cell sorter (both from Becton Dickinson) and cytofluorometric data were analyzed by the Flowjo software (Tree Star, Inc.).

**Quantitative RT-PCR.** Total RNA was obtained from whole neoplastic lesions by means of the Maxwell® 16 Tissue LEV Total RNA Purification Kit (Promega), while total RNA was extracted from FACS-sorted cells with the RNAeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Up to 2 μg total RNA from each sample was then reverse transcribed by means of the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies), random primers (Promega) and the Deoxynucleoside Triphosphate Set, PCR grade (Roche), in the presence of the RNaseOUT™ recombinant ribonuclease inhibitor (Life Technologies). Tift expression levels were quantified by means of a dedicated TaqMan® Gene Expression Assay kit (Applied Biosystems), using the Universal Master Mix II (with UNG) (Life Technologies) and a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative RT-PCR data were invariably normalized to the expression levels of the housekeeping gene peptidylprolyl isomerase A (Ppia) by means of the 2−ΔΔCt method.

**Statistical analyses.** Unless otherwise indicated, results are expressed as means ± SEM or means ± SD, as appropriate. Representative data from at least two independent experiments are shown. Unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-tests were used to compare normally distributed data, while non-parametric Mann-Whitney U tests were employed for tumor growth curves. Statistical analyses were performed by means of Prism 5 (GraphPad software), p values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.
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