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Abstract
The potential contribution of kelp forests to blue carbon sinks is currently of great interest but interspecific variance has received no attention. In the temperate Northeast Atlantic, kelp forest composition is changing due to climate-driven poleward range shifts of cold temperate Laminaria digitata and L. hyperborea and warm temperate L. ochroleuca. To understand how this might affect the carbon sequestration potential of this ecosystem, we quantified interspecific differences in carbon export and decomposition alongside changes in detrital photosynthesis and biochemistry. We found that while warm temperate kelp exports up to 71% more carbon per plant, it decomposes up to 155% faster than its boreal congeners. Elemental stoichiometry and polyphenolic content cannot fully explain faster carbon turnover, which may be attributable to contrasting tissue toughness or unknown biochemical and structural defences. Faster decomposition causes the detrital photosynthetic apparatus of L. ochroleuca to be overwhelmed 20 d after export and lose integrity after 36 d, while detritus of cold temperate species maintains carbon assimilation. Depending on the photoenvironment, detrital photosynthesis could further exacerbate interspecific differences in decomposition via a potential positive feedback loop. Through compositional change such as the predicted prevalence of L. ochroleuca, ocean warming may therefore reduce the carbon sequestration potential of such temperate marine forests.
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Introduction
Over the last decade humans have emitted around 11 billion tons of carbon per year (Canadell et al., 2021). In the Paris Agreement, 193 countries (98% of parties) pledged to reduce this emission and enhance carbon sink capacity. Ocean-based biological carbon dioxide removal (CDR) is now acknowledged as an integral part of fulfilling this goal (Canadell et al., 2021). Such CDR may be facilitated by marine macrophytes, whose role in carbon sequestration was first recognised four decades ago (Smith, 1981) but has only recently come to mainstream attention as blue carbon (Canadell et al., 2021). Despite the potential involvement of marine vegetated habitats in CDR and their location within national jurisdiction, few ocean-based nationally determined contributions (NDCs) have been put forward by affluent Annex I parties such as the UK, US and Australia (Gallo et al., 2017) which hold some of the highest blue carbon wealth (Bertram et al., 2021). In part, this may be due to the ongoing debate on the blue carbon status of temperate kelp forests that dominate the coasts of these countries (Krause-Jensen et al., 2018). Therefore, identifying the magnitude and fate of carbon assimilated by these marine plants is key to our understanding of their carbon sequestration potential (CSP) and their consequent inclusion in blue carbon frameworks (Krause-Jensen et al., 2018).

CSP is a function of carbon export and fate (Cebrián et al., 1997; Duarte & Cebrián, 1996) and can be defined as the decline in carbon available for sequestration after export. Carbon export is determined by the magnitude of exported biomass and tissue carbon content (Cebrián et al., 1997; Pedersen et al., 2020; Pessarrodona et al., 2018, 2019), while variance in carbon fate is attributable to differential remineralisation. The two processes that constitute remineralisation are consumption by detritivores and degradation by...
microbial saprotrophs (Cebrián et al., 1997; Duarte & Cebrián, 1996), hereafter collectively referred to as decomposition. Marine plants that have higher carbon-nutrient ratios (Enríquez et al., 1993), slower growth (Cebrián & Duarte, 1995), more refractory compounds (Trevathan-Tackett et al., 2015) and more polyphenols (Amsler, 2008) tend to decompose more slowly. Therefore, macroalgae generally decompose slower than phytoplankton and faster than seagrasses (Duarte & Cebrián, 1996), endowing them with an intermediate relative CSP (Fig. 1b). Nonetheless, they are estimated to cover 9.12–33.31 times more area than seagrasses globally (Duarte et al., 2013a, 2022). Hence their absolute CSP (Krause-Jensen & Duarte, 2016) is thought to be 158% greater than that of seagrasses and only 25% smaller than that of phytoplankton (Fig. 1a). Although this estimate is therefore logically robust, uncertainty surrounding macroalgal carbon sequestration remains substantial (Queirós et al., 2019).

Variance in carbon export, decomposition and burial is recognised to bring about large interspecific differences in the CSP of seagrasses (Cebrián et al., 1997; Lavery et al., 2013) and mangroves (Atwood et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). However, a similar interspecific comparison is lacking for macroalgae, despite two additional major sources of variability in this group. Detrital floating time and transport distance of macroalgae range from a few seconds (Wernberg & Filbee-Dexter, 2018) and metres (Filbee-Dexter et al., 2018) to thousands of hours (Tala et al., 2019) and kilometres (Fraser et al., 2018), whilst they are more consistent for the detritus of marine angiosperms (Harwell & Orth, 2002; Perry et al., 2018). The current global estimate of macroalgal CSP (Krause-Jensen & Duarte, 2016) was calculated using between one and 20 distinct genera for each parameter (Table S2) and hence does not reflect this variability. Importantly, data on kelp forests, which constitute the largest and most productive macroalgal biome on the planet (Duarte et al., 2022), are underrepresented (Table S2). Considering that these estimates have nonetheless already been used to calculate kelp CSP (Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg, 2020), we argue that a better understanding of interspecific differences is urgently needed to reliably resolve the contribution of macroalgae to blue carbon sequestration.

Here we show that interspecific variance in CSP within a single macroalgal genus is large, using kelp forests in the southern UK as a model system (Fig. 2a). These forests have experienced rapid, climate-driven range shifts in species composition over the last century, with increased dominance of a warm temperate kelp (Parke, 1948; Pessarrodona et al., 2019; Smale et al., 2015) and further changes are expected in the near future (Fig. 2a). Additionally, our study location is the only locality worldwide where macroalgal carbon burial in coastal sediments has been empirically documented in situ (Queirós et al., 2019). We first outline differences in carbon export and decomposition speed of Laminaria species and investigate potential mechanistic drivers. Based on these parameters we then estimate how CSP differs between species and thus relates to kelp forest composition. The additional dimension of biogeographic shifts and subsequent compositional change gave us the opportunity to also model the effect of ocean warming on the densities of kelps with different thermal tolerances (Fig. 2b), based on historical and future (RCP2.6, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5) sea surface temperature. We finally explore the photophysiology and biochemistry of decomposing detritus to gain further insights into potential feedback loops.

Methods

1. Model system

Northeast Atlantic temperate marine forests are mostly dominated by three species of the genus Laminaria (Lüning, 1990). Based on their distribution (Fig. 2a) and underlying thermal tolerances (Fig. 2b), two of these species are classed as cold temperate (L. digitata and L. hyperborea) and one as warm temperate (L. ochroleuca) (Lüning, 1990). In addition to their differential thermal tolerance, these species have contrasting growth and senescence phenologies (Hereward et al., 2018; Pessarrodona et al., 2019). Furthermore, the boreal species grow vertically separated in the shallow infralittoral, with L. digitata occupying the upper zone adjacent to the eulittoral fringe (Lüning, 1990). In the geographic region of overlap between northern Spain and the southern UK (Fig. 2a), their warm temperate congener coexists with L. hyperborea in mixed stands, albeit inhabiting somewhat deeper substrata (L. S. Wright, personal observation). Its depth affinity is evidenced by the ability of L. ochroleuca to grow in the Mediterranean circalittoral (Bartsch et al., 2008) and inability to occupy the L. digitata band due to physiological stress (King et al., 2018).

With the aim of studying differences in the carbon cycle of these Laminaria species, we collected a comprehensive dataset on plant density and mass (standing stock), particulate carbon export, detrital primary production and decomposition in Plymouth Sound, UK (Fig. S1), which is situated near the the
northern biogeographic boundary of warm temperate kelps (Fig. 2a). Our studies were conducted along the full vertical extent of the ~100-m² West Hoe kelp forest (50.363629°N, 4.144978°W), while we also made use of the infralittoral sedimentary sites off Drake’s Island (50.353328°N, 4.150224°W) and Jennycliff (50.343059°N, 4.131762°W) for decomposition experiments on alternative, deeper substrata (Fig. S1).

2. Empirical data

2.1 Sporophyte density and mass

The sporophyte density of each *Laminaria* species at West Hoe was measured during five months of the year across all seasons between January 2016 and March 2017. Mature plants (>15 cm) were counted by haphazardly placing 1-m² quadrats in the lower eulittoral zone, where *L. digitata* occurs most abundantly, and in the upper infralittoral zone, where *L. hyperborea* and *L. ochroleuca* are prevalent, during low spring tides. Mature sporophytes were also harvested and their wet mass recorded to the closest gram.

2.2 Carbon export

To measure species-specific particulate carbon export, we quantified the release of detritus via distal lamina erosion at West Hoe between March 2016 and February 2017. We used erosion as a conservative measure of the total carbon exported from kelp forests, which includes carbon exported as entire dislodged plants (de Bettignies et al., 2013; Pedersen et al., 2020; Pessarrodona et al., 2018) as well as dissolved carbon export (Abdullah & Fredriksen, 2004; Blain et al., 2021; Weigel & Pfister, 2021). Erosion was quantified using the hole punch method (Krumhansl & Scheibling, 2011a; Tala & Edding, 2005). Each month, ten plants of each species were tagged and uniquely labelled during low spring tides. Three holes were punched above the stipe-lamina connection of every sporophyte, two on the central digit and another one on an outer digit. This was done to capture inter- and intra-digit variability in growth, which is known to peak between 2.5 and 15 cm from the stipe-lamina transition (Kain, 1976). Initial hole position (*H*₁) and the initial length of each punched digit (*L*₁) were recorded. After a month, tagged plants were collected and returned to the laboratory, where the final digit lengths (*L*₂) and final hole positions (*H*₂) for each plant were measured. The distal lamina loss for each plant (*E*ₐ, cm plant⁻¹ mo⁻¹) was calculated as

\[ Eₐ = L₁ + G – L₂ \]

where *G*, the growth of each digit (cm mo⁻¹), is *H₂ – H₁* (data not shown). Since *L₂ ≤ L₁ + G*, *Eₐ* yields the digit length lost to distal erosion. For each plant, *Eₐ* was averaged across the central and outer digits. To convert the loss of tissue length (cm) to biomass (g), three 5-cm segments from the most distal part of each retrieved lamina were cut and their wet mass recorded. We then estimated the dry mass of the rest of the 5-cm segments for each plant using this wet to dry mass ratio. Dry mass per unit length was then averaged between the three segments to give the distal lamina dry mass to length ratio (*ML₉*, g cm⁻¹). The daily erosion rate (*E₉*, g plant⁻¹ d⁻¹) was calculated as

\[ E₉ = \frac{Eₐ \times ML₉}{∆t} \]

where *Eₐ* is lamina length loss (cm plant⁻¹ mo⁻¹) and ∆*t* is the number of elapsed days (d mo⁻¹). Finally, these biomass erosion rates were converted to carbon export (g C plant⁻¹ d⁻¹) using site- and species-specific carbon content (%) obtained from sampling three mature sporophytes approximately every two months. Kelp tissue from each sampled individual was lyophilised (Lablyo, Frozen in Time Ltd, York, UK), ground and its carbon content quantified using an elemental analyser (CHN analyser, EA1110, CE Instruments Ltd, Wigan, UK) (see methods section 2.5 for details).

2.3 Decomposition

In situ decomposition rates were derived from two litterbag experiments which were independently conducted in 2016 and 2019 respectively. In 2016, lamina material from each *Laminaria* species was collected in March and cut into ~5 × 15-cm strips. A total of 105 ± 8 g of fresh kelp strips was then sealed within eight mesh bags (2- and 20-mm mesh ø) per species, which were then deployed at 4 m below lowest astronomical tide on a sandy seabed adjacent to kelp forests at Drake’s Island and Jennycliff. Litter bags were attached to a long rope, positioned approximately 1 m apart from one another, and secured to the seabed with anchor weights. Upon retrieval after 40–41 d, a fine mesh bag (1-µm ø) was placed over each litter bag to retain all...
kelp material and detritivores, before detaching the bag from the rope. In the laboratory, the contents of the bags were carefully removed and washed through a 1-mm sieve. Remaining kelp tissue was weighed.

In 2019, nine mature sporophytes of each species were collected at West Hoe on 17th May and four fronds removed from each in situ. The first of these was placed in a cooler for transport to the laboratory, while the other three were trimmed to weigh 20 ± 1 g. Frond samples from each sporophyte were placed within each of three rectangular, galvanised steel mesh enclosures (62.4 × 34.4 cm, 13-mm mesh ø). The nine mesh cages were then chained together, closed with cable ties and deployed at 2 m below lowest astronomical tide within the kelp forest at West Hoe. A temperature and light logger (HOBO Pendant®, Onset, Massachusetts, USA) was secured to one end of the chain facing the surface and the chain was deployed parallel to the shoreline to control for depth. Samples were retrieved on 30th May, 11th June and 18th June (i.e. after 13, 25 and 32 d). On each retrieval date, three randomly selected frond samples were removed from each litter bag and weighed using an analytical balance (± 1 mg, Fisherbrand™ Precision Series, Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Two subsamples (1.5 –2 g) were then refrigerated at ~3°C to retain cellular function for subsequent photophysiological measurements, while the rest of the sample was frozen at –20°C for elemental and phenolic analyses.

Using mass data from both experiments, decomposition ($D$, d$^{-1}$) was calculated as

\[
D = \frac{M_0 - M_1}{M_0 \times \Delta t}
\]

where $M_0$ is the initial sample mass, $M_1$ is the retrieved sample mass (g) and $\Delta t$ is the time period (d).

### 2.4 Detrital primary production and respiration

Gross primary production (GPP) is true photosynthesis minus photorespiration, while net primary production (NPP) further accounts for respiration (R) (Wohlfahrt & Gu, 2015). According to the definition used here, NPP attains negative values when photosynthetic supply cannot cover respiratory demand and stored carbon (i.e. old production) is respired in addition to new production (Roxburgh et al., 2005).

NPP and R of refrigerated duplicate subsamples (methods section 2.3) from West Hoe were measured via closed bottle respirometry within five (more often two) days of collection. These measurements were performed by quantifying light and dark oxygen (O$_2$) evolution in 130 ± 5-ml glass incubation jars (cf. Lüning, 1979). Sample mass was recorded as buoyant rather than blotted wet mass to keep the microbial biofilm intact and later converted. Incubations were exposed to 50.4 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This light treatment is within the saturation range for Laminaria species (Bartsch et al., 2008) and representative of a previously measured mean Laminaria forest photoenvironment at 2 m depth in spring (60.7 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, Lüning & Dring, 1979). Each set of measurements was accompanied by a 270 ± 5-ml blank incubation. All incubation jars were fitted with 5-mm diameter planar oxygen sensitive spots (PreSens, Regensburg, Germany) and magnetic stir bars (Fisherbrand™, Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) and placed on a magnetic stirrer (MIX 15 eco, 2mag AG, München, Germany), set at 350 rpm. Measurements were taken with a fibre optic O$_2$ meter (Fibox 4 trace, PreSens, Regensburg, Germany) after 10 and 30 min in a 20°C controlled temperature room. Any fluctuation in temperature was accounted for by the O$_2$ meter’s temperature probe, which was placed in a water bath alongside the stirrer. Seawater salinity (33–35‰) was measured prior to incubation and the O$_2$ meter’s settings were modified accordingly. $NPP$ (μmol O$_2$ g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$), $R$ (μmol O$_2$ g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) and $GPP$ (μmol O$_2$ g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) were subsequently calculated as

\[
NPP = \frac{(c_{S_1} - c_{S_0}) \times V_S - (c_{B_1} - c_{B_0}) \times V_B}{M \times \Delta t}
\]

\[
R = \frac{(c_{S_0} - c_{S_1}) \times V_S - (c_{B_0} - c_{B_1}) \times V_B}{M \times \Delta t}
\]

\[
GPP = NPP + R
\]
where \( c \) is the molar \( O_2 \) concentration (\( \mu mol \ O_2 \ l^{-1} \)), \( V \) is the incubation volume (l), \( M \) is the sample wet mass (g) and \( \Delta t \) is the time window (min). \( s \) refers to incubations containing a sample, while \( b \) refers to blank incubations. Subscript numbers represent the time of measurement. All values were averaged across the 10- and 20-min incubation periods for each sample and converted to \( \mu mol \ O_2 \ g^{-1} \ h^{-1} \). Net carbon assimilation per g of dry mass (\( CA, \ g \ C \ g^{-1} \ h^{-1} \)) was then calculated from NPP (\( \mu mol \ O_2 \ g^{-1} \ h^{-1} \)) as

\[
CA = \frac{NPP \times 10^{-6} \times 12.0107}{M_R}
\]

where \( 10^{-6} \) is the conversion factor from \( \mu mol \) to mol, \( 12.0107 \) is the atomic mass of carbon and \( M_R \) is the dry mass to wet mass ratio measured at West Hoe in May 2016. Gross \( CA \) was calculated from GPP in the same way. This calculation assumes a photosynthetic quotient (PQ) and respiratory quotient of 1. Although PQ changes with depth (Miller III & Dunton, 2007) and light exposure (Miller III et al., 2009) in \textit{Laminaria hyperborea} and varies interspecifically in brown algae (Thomas & Wiencke, 1991), an extensive literature search and correspondence with various European phycologists revealed no usable PQs for our interspecific comparison.

On the first day of sample retrieval (30th May 2019), air temperature (22.9°C) and photon fluence rate (2618 \( \mu mol \) photons m\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \)) were extremely high. At 971 \( \mu mol \) photons m\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \), well below the observed irradiance on that day, photoinhibition was previously shown to reduce \textit{in situ} \( O_2 \) production of \textit{L. digitata} (Delebecq et al., 2011). After photoinhibition was confirmed during the data exploration stage, primary production and respiration data from this day were removed from the statistical analysis.

### 2.5 Elemental stoichiometry

Frozen subsamples were lyophilised (Lablyo, Frozen in Time Ltd, York, UK) and subsequently ground to 250-\( \mu m \) powder. Powder samples of \(-2 \ mg (\pm 0.01 \ mg, \ AT201, \ Mettler Toledo, \ Leicester, \ UK) \) were then sealed in 6 \times 4-mm tin capsules (OEA Laboratories Ltd, Exeter, UK) and combusted in an elemental analyser CHN analyser, EA1110, CE Instruments Ltd, Wigan, UK) to measure their carbon and nitrogen content (%). Acetanilide (\( C_8H9NO \), OEA Laboratories Ltd, Exeter, UK) was chosen as the analytical standard because of its high carbon (71.09%) and low nitrogen (10.36%) content.

### 2.6 Phenolic content

Total soluble polyphenolic content was measured using a high-throughput 96-well microplate Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric assay (cf. Hargrave et al., 2017). 50 mg of ground, freeze-dried kelp was added to 500 \( \mu l \) of 100% methanol and vortexed (Whirlimixer, Fisons, Ipswich, UK) for \(-10 \) s in a 1.5-ml microtube. The solute was left to extract for one hour, after which the 10% (w/v) solution was vortexed again and then centrifuged at 14000 \( \times \ g \) for 5 min. The supernatant was transferred to another microtube and immediately stored at -20°C. Phloroglucinol (PG) (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK), used as the phenol standard, was dissolved in 100% methanol at concentrations of 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 0.1 mg ml\(^{-1} \) in a 96-well microplate, 10 \( \mu l \) of each PG solution was added to 100 \( \mu l \) of 10% (diluted with distilled water) Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) and, after a 5-min reaction period, 90 \( \mu l \) of 1 M Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solution was added last. To produce the standard curve, absorbance of each PG concentration was measured at 765 nm using a microplate reader (FLUOstar\(^®\) Omega, BMG Labtech Ltd, Aylesbury, UK). After centrifugation at 14,000 \( \times \ g \) for 1 min to eliminate remaining particulates, sample extracts were prepared and their absorbance recorded as described above. All measurements were taken in triplicates and converted to PG equivalents using the standard curve.

### 2.7 Grazing pressure

Grazing impact by dominant macrodetritivores such as \textit{Steromphala cineraria} (de Bettignies et al., 2020a; Hargrave et al., 2017; Pessarrodona et al., 2019; Smale et al., 2015) and \textit{Patella pellucida} (de Bettignies et al., 2020a; Hargrave et al., 2017; Hereward et al., 2018; Pessarrodona et al., 2019; Smale et al., 2015) was estimated by measuring the area of excavation and perforation scars via image analysis (cf. Hereward et al., 2018) after \textit{in situ} decomposition. Excavation here refers to a paling of the lamina surface that is associated with excavation by algivorous gastropods (Krumhansl & Scheibling, 2011b) but may also be caused by saprotrophic microbes. Perforations are defined as holes in the lamina that are most likely caused by gastropod radulae during prolonged grazing (Krumhansl & Scheibling, 2011b). Samples, retrieved after 32 d (18th June 2019), were photographed next to a ruler (± 1 mm) under identical lighting. Images were then...
analysed with Fiji (ImageJ v2.0.0-rc-69/1.52p) (Schindelin et al., 2012). The surface areas of holes and discoloured tissue were measured manually, while total surface area was measured by making the image binary and highlighting the sample edges. The proportional areas of excavated (E) and perforated (P) lamina were calculated as

\[ E = \frac{A_E}{A_T - A_P} \]

\[ P = \frac{A_P}{A_T} \]

where \( A_E \) is the excavated area, \( A_T \) is the total area and \( A_P \) is the perforated area.

3. Estimation

3.1 Present areal carbon export and carbon sequestration potential

Species-specific carbon sequestration potential (CSP) was estimated using empirical sporophyte density, carbon export and decomposition data (methods sections 2.1–2.3). The CSP estimation was carried out in R v4.1.2 (R Core Team, 2022) within the integrated development environment RStudio v2021.09.2 (RStudio Team, 2022) and can be accessed at github.com/lukaseamus/CSP/tree/main/Sequestration.

The kelp forest at West Hoe forms a ~20-m band, the upper ~4 m of which are occupied only by Laminaria digitata, while the lower ~16 m consist of a mixed stand of L. hyperborea and L. ochroleuca (L. S. Wright, personal observation). To account for this vertical distribution, sporophyte density (plants m\(^{-2}\)) for each species was multiplied by the relative space it occupies in the kelp forest (0.2 in the case of L. digitata and 0.8 in the case of L. hyperborea and L. ochroleuca). Seasonal and annual carbon export were obtained by multiplying daily carbon export (g C plant\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) for each month by the number of days in that month, then calculating the mean monthly carbon export and finally summing those means across season or year. According to the variance sum law, standard errors of the annual means (SE\(_S\)) were estimated as

\[ SE_S = \sqrt{SE_1^2 + SE_2^2 + \ldots + SE_{12}^2} \]

where SE\(_{1-12}\) are the standard errors of individual months. See github.com/lukaseamus/CSP/tree/main/Export for details. The change in present seasonal and annual CSP (g C m\(^{-2}\) season\(^{-1}\) or g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) with detrital age estimated for each species was

\[ CSP = N \times CE \times (1 - t \times D) \]

where \( N \) is the seasonal or annual mean number of sporophytes (plants m\(^{-2}\)), \( CE \) is the seasonal or annual carbon export (g C plant\(^{-1}\) season\(^{-1}\) or g C plant\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), \( t \) is the detrital age (d) and \( D \) is decomposition (d\(^{-1}\)) in spring and early summer (methods section 2.3). According to the rules of estimating variance around the product of two means (Buonaccorsi & Liebhold, 1988), the seasonal and annual 95% confidence intervals (CI) around CSP were estimated for each species as

\[ CI = CSP \pm z \times SE_N \times SE_CE \times \bar{X}_{CE} + SE_{CE} \times \bar{X}_N \]

where \( z \) is the 97.5 percentile point of the standard normal distribution, \( \bar{X}_N \) and \( SE_N \) are the seasonal or annual means and standard errors of sporophyte density (plants m\(^{-2}\)) and \( \bar{X}_{CE} \) and \( SE_{CE} \) are the seasonal or annual means and standard errors of carbon export (g C plant\(^{-1}\) season\(^{-1}\) or g C plant\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)). The same equation was used to estimate 95% confidence intervals around estimates of annual areal carbon export (g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)).

3.2 Areal carbon export and carbon sequestration potential through time

To test the effect of past and future ocean temperature on CSP, sporophyte densities were modelled according to species-specific temperature tolerances (Fig. 2b, Table S4), historical sea surface temperature data at 1° spatial resolution (Rayner, 2003) and representative concentration pathway (RCP) temperature predictions at 5-arcmin spatial resolution (Assis et al., 2018) for the region around West Hoe (50.363629°N,
4.144978°W). Minimum (February), mean (annual average) and maximum (August) temperature data were extracted from both datasets with the R package raster v3.4-5 (Hijmans, 2020) and a trendline was fit using locally estimated scatterplot smoothing (polynomial regression) with smoothing parameter $\alpha = 1$ (Fig. S5).

In the region of latitudinal range overlap (Fig. 2a), warm temperate *Laminaria ochroleuca* is currently limited by minimum temperatures while cold temperate *L. digitata* and *L. hyperborea* are limited by maximum temperatures (van den Hoek, 1982). It is likely that the limiting factor at the leading edge is gametophyte fertility, since the spores which gametophytes develop from are the only mobile life stage of *Laminaria* species and gametophytes are therefore the first to arrive in a new locality (Bartsch et al., 2008). This is supported by the arrival of *L. ochroleuca* in Plymouth Sound in 1946 (Parke, 1948), when maximum sea surface temperatures were approximately 9.53°C, close to the lowest tolerance of 10°C for gametophyte fertility (Table S4). Conversely, trailing edge populations are likely limited by sporophyte growth. While gametophytes are short-lived even under artificially constant dark conditions, *Laminaria* sporophytes are perennial and can grow up to 18 years old (Bartsch et al., 2008). Therefore *Laminaria* sporophytes may persist for several years with potentially stunted growth after temperatures become suboptimal for reproduction. This is evidenced by the slower retraction of trailing edge populations of benthic macroalgae than their leading edge populations expand (García Molinos et al., 2017). Therefore, using the estimated maximum ($T_{\text{max}}$) and minimum ($T_{\text{min}}$) temperature trends, plant densities for cold temperate ($N_C$) and warm temperate ($N_W$) species were modelled through time as

$$ N_C = \frac{N \times (T_U - T_{\text{max}2016})}{T_U - T_{\text{max}2016}} $$

$$ N_W = \frac{N \times (T_{\text{min}2016} - T_L)}{T_{\text{min}2016} - T_L} $$

where $N$ is the mean number of plants (m$^{-2}$) in 2016 (methods section 2.1), $T_U$ is the upper temperature limit (i.e. just above the highest tolerated temperature) for cold temperate sporophyte growth (cf. Fig. 2b), $T_L$ is the lower temperature threshold for warm temperate gametophyte fertility (cf. Fig. 2b, note that this was adjusted from 10°C to 9.53°C because Parke (1948) found that *L. ochroleuca* established a population at this sea surface temperature), $T_{\text{min}2016}$ and $T_{\text{max}2016}$ are the minimum and maximum sea surface temperatures for 2016, the year for which plant density measurements are available (methods section 2.1). Rather than mathematically defining optima, the above equations focus on temperature extremes (i.e. tails of the unimodal curve) for which the polynomial equation is simplified here as an ordinary linear equation. Hence, as estimated $\Delta T$ ($T_U - T_{\text{max}}$ or $T_{\text{min}} - T_L$) increases or decreases relative to $\Delta T_{2016}$ ($T_U - T_{\text{max}2016}$ or $T_{\text{min}2016} - T_L$), the proportion by which $N$ is multiplied linearly increases or decreases respectively. That way, as the temperature threshold is neared (i.e. $\Delta T$ decreases), density decreases linearly.

Importantly, *L. ochroleuca* cannot occupy the vertical zone of *L. digitata* because it cannot tolerate emersion, mostly due to cold stress rather than desiccation (King et al., 2018). Therefore, the plant density of this warm temperate species was limited to the total observed sporophyte density ($L. hyperborea + L. ochroleuca$) in the lower ~16-m band of the kelp forest in 2016. Using the modelled plant densities ($N_M$ m$^{-2}$), long-term trends in annual carbon export ($CE_T$, g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) and CSP ($CSP_T$, g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) were estimated as

$$ CE_T = N_M \times CE $$

$$ CSP_T = N_M \times CE \times (1 - t \times D) $$

where $CE$ is annual carbon export (g C plant$^{-2}$ yr$^{-3}$), $t$ is the detrital age (d) at which sequestration is assumed and $D$ is decomposition (d$^{-1}$). Here we used $t = 50$ d to illustrate a scenario where all species would contribute to overall CSP. Trends in annual CE and CSP for the entire *Laminaria* forest were derived by summing $CE_T$ and $CSP_T$ across species. The variance sum law was again applied to estimate 95% confidence intervals (methods section 3.1).

### 3.3 Cumulative detrital carbon assimilation potential

Detritus of *Laminaria* species maintains primary production for months (de Bettignies et al., 2020b; Frontier et al., 2021a, b). However, it also sinks immediately due to lack of pneumatocysts or porous tissue, as was
previously observed for *L. hyperborea* (Wernberg & Filbee-Dexter, 2018). Nonetheless, kelp detritus may assimilate significant amounts of carbon while resting on shallow seabed as evidenced by the growth of some *Laminaria* detritus (de Bettignies et al., 2020b; Frontier et al., 2021b; Pedersen et al., 2021). The reason may be periodically high photon flux densities (e.g. 142.7 \( \mu \)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 2 m depth in July, Lüning & Dring, 1979) that cause photosynthesis to mask decomposition. These findings suggest that macroalgal detritus travelling through illuminated zones can resist carbon loss, although experiments have shown that photophysiological responses differ interspecifically (Frontier et al., 2022a, b). During our second *in situ* decomposition experiment (methods section 2.3), light was very limited due to shading by the kelp canopy and turbidity (daytime mean = 0.54 \( \mu \)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), overall mean = 0.36 \( \mu \)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)). This invited an exploration of how our detritus might have assimilated carbon had there been different photon flux densities.

To estimate how net carbon assimilation may change throughout the detrital phase, we made use of our empirical gross carbon assimilation data (methods section 2.4), the mentioned low light regime during the decomposition experiment, seasonal and annual average daylight hours for the Plymouth Sound region, depth profiles of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) for the Plymouth Sound region between 2009 and 2020 (Western Channel Observatory, 2021), the photosynthesis-irradiance relationship for *Laminaria hyperborea* (Duarte et al., 2013b) along with the location and depth of the local kelp carbon sink (Queirós et al., 2019). Because respiration is assumed to stay constant under changing light (GPP is calculated as NPP + R on these grounds), we were able to estimate the potential for detrital net carbon assimilation under various light regimes.

First, the seasonal and annual excess (in a brighter photoenvironment) gross carbon assimilation as a proportion of laboratory GPP needs to be determined. In the following equation the term left of the multiplication sign calculates the proportion of laboratory GPP that remains after accounting for laboratory GPP at various stages in the detrital phase. When multiplied, the terms thus yield a function that gives the proportion by which laboratory GPP must be adjusted (\( P_{GPP} \)):

\[
P_{GPP} = (1 - \frac{PE(PAR_L)}{PE(PAR_k)}) \times \frac{PE(PAR_D(t \times v + \sqrt{m^2 + 1 \times m}))}{PE(PAR_L)}
\]

where \( PE \) is the photosynthesis-irradiance function \( y = 1.8 \times \tanh(0.006x) \), \( PAR_k \) and \( PAR_L \) are the mean daytime photon flux density during the field experiment (0.54 \( \mu \)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and the laboratory irradiance (50.4 \( \mu \)mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \( PAR_D \) is an exponential decay function of seasonal or annual light attenuation with depth (e.g. the annual equation is \( y = e^{0.19+0.545} \)), \( t \) is the detrital age (d), \( v \) is the minimal detrital velocity (m d\(^{-1}\)), estimated by trigonometry from the depth of the local carbon sink and its distance from the nearest kelp forest, assuming a detrital travel time of 50 d (methods section 3.2), and \( m \) is the seabed slope, estimated by dividing the depth of the local carbon sink by its distance from the West Hoe kelp forest.

Second, the change in seasonal or annual cumulative net carbon assimilation \( (CA, g C m^{-2} season^{-1} \) or \( g C m^{-2} yr^{-1} \)) with detrital age was estimated as

\[
CA = \sum_{k=1}^{t} N \times BE \times D_{CA}(k) \times h \times GPP(k) \times P_{GPP}(k)
\]

where \( t \) is the detrital age (d), \( N \) is the seasonal or annual sporophyte density (plants m\(^{-2}\)), \( BE \) is the seasonal or annual dry biomass export (g plant\(^{-1}\) season\(^{-1}\) or g plant\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), \( D_{CA} \) is a special case of linear decomposition that never attains negative values (i.e. \( D_{CA} = 1 - t \times D \) where \( t \times D \leq 1 \) (methods section 3.1), \( h \) is the seasonal or annual daylight time (h) and GPP is the species-specific gross carbon assimilation (g C g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)). Note that this estimate of detrital carbon assimilation is conservative, since it assumes that excess production in the new light milieu cannot photosynthesize: \( N \times BE \times D_{CA}(k) \) always gives the remaining biomass at each \( k \) irrespective of elevated production. As such \( CA \) is the carbon pool that a shrinking amount of detritus can produce as it travels to the sink. The 95% confidence intervals for \( CA \) are assumed to be the same as those of the other estimates (methods section 3.1).
4. **Data analysis and visualisation**

Data analysis and visualisation were performed in R v4.1.2 (R Core Team, 2022) within the integrated development environment RStudio v2021.09.2 (RStudio Team, 2022). The output of all analyses is listed in Table S6.

Prior to analysis, data were explored using standard visualisation techniques (Zuur et al., 2009). For seven response variables, all assumptions were met and standard linear models were built (Table S6). If the data distribution violated the assumption of normality, alternative distributions were explored with fitdistrplus v1.1-6 (Delignette-Muller & Dutang, 2015). In four cases, a gamma generalised linear model with a logarithmic link function fit the data best (Table S6). In the case that the assumption of homogeneity was not met, variance was modelled as a function of explanatory variables (Zuur et al., 2009) with generalised least squares in nlme v3.1-153 (Pinheiro et al., 2021). This model type fit the data best in six cases (Table S6). For every response variable, the potential influence of individual mesh bags was checked by building linear mixed effects models in lme4 v1.1-27.1 (Bates et al., 2015) or nlme with mesh bag identity as a random intercept and slope. These models were then tested against fixed effects models and in a single case mesh bag identity was determined to explain some of the variation in the response variable (Table S6). Type II or III omnibus hypothesis tests were performed with car v3.0-12 (Fox & Weisberg, 2019).

The package ggplot2 v3.3.5 (Wickham, 2016) was used for data visualisation. In the specific cases of decomposition and monthly variation in daily carbon export, ggplot2 was augmented with the probability density and x-spline add-on geometries of ggrridges v0.5.3 (Wilke, 2021) and ggalt v0.4.0 (Rudis, 2017) respectively. Descriptive statistics were calculated using psych v2.1.9 (Revelle, 2020). 95% confidence intervals were calculated as \( \bar{X} \pm z \times SE \), where \( \bar{X} \) is the sample mean, \( SE \) is the standard error of the mean and \( z \) is the 97.5 percentile point of the standard normal distribution, according to the specific requirements of each model (Bolker, 2021). Plots were aligned and juxtaposed in cowplot v1.1.1 (Wilke, 2020). The base map of Europe in Fig. 2a was plotted with rworldmap v1.3-6 (South, 2011). Illustration and editing were performed in Affinity Designer v1.7.3 (Serif Ltd, West Bridgford, UK). The complete data analysis and visualisation pipeline can be downloaded from the open-access repository at github.com/lukaseamus/CSP.

**Results**

1. **Differential carbon export and decomposition speed**

Our study site within the latitudinal range overlap (Fig. 2a) is currently dominated by the two boreal species *Laminaria digitata* and *L. hyperborea*, with *L. ochroleuca* contributing 22% of forest-scale kelp density on an annual basis (Table S5). However, at the plant level, *L. ochroleuca* annually exports a similar amount of particulate carbon as *L. hyperborea* and 71% more than *L. digitata* (Table S5, S6). Our findings demonstrate that areal particulate carbon export is currently highest for *L. hyperborea* (\( \bar{X} \pm SE \), 211 ± 27 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), \( n = 108 \)), intermediate for *L. ochroleuca* (127 ± 22 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), \( n = 95 \)) and lowest for *L. digitata* (90 ± 10 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), \( n = 103 \)). Differential plant mass and standing stock further suggest that dislodgement would lead to a similar interspecific contrast in carbon export: highest in *L. hyperborea* (512 ± 20 g plant\(^{-1}\), 4.29 ± 0.39 kg m\(^{-2}\), \( n = 108 \)), intermediate in *L. ochroleuca* (428 ± 25 g plant\(^{-1}\), 1.59 ± 0.22 kg m\(^{-2}\), \( n = 96 \)) and lowest in *L. digitata* (315 ± 17 g plant\(^{-1}\), 1.42 ± 0.14 kg m\(^{-2}\), \( n = 107 \)) (Table S6). Importantly, plant density and carbon export also vary seasonally by up to two orders of magnitude (Table S5), with species displaying different patterns throughout the year (Fig. 2b). Carbon export peaks in spring for *L. hyperborea*, coinciding with the shedding of the old lamina or May cast, followed by two smaller peaks in autumn and winter. The main peak for *L. ochroleuca* is in summer although this species also has secondary and tertiary peaks in autumn and winter. *L. digitata* maintains approximately consistent carbon export throughout the year but reaches a maximum in autumn with very little erosion in winter and spring (Fig. 2b, Table S5).

In addition to the outlined interspecific differences in carbon export, we found that the lability of detritus varies considerably between species. In two independently conducted field experiments on different substrata we found that *in situ* decomposition occurs 66% and 155% faster in *L. ochroleuca* (1.54 ± 0.23% d\(^{-1}\), \( n = 43 \)) than in *L. digitata* (0.93 ± 0.14% d\(^{-1}\), \( n = 43 \)) and *L. hyperborea* (0.6 ± 0.16% d\(^{-1}\), \( n = 43 \)) respectively (Fig. 3a, Table S6, S7). These data are supported by image analysis, which revealed that *L. ochroleuca* had 7.73–18.77 times larger excavation scars (Fig. 3b) and 1.45–4.51 times larger perforation scars (Fig. 3c), likely caused by grazing over one month on the forest floor (Table S6). Interestingly, detritus of all species displayed the capacity to grow rather than decompose when in the shallow kelp forest (2019 experiment) but not on deeper
and detrital age (methods section 3.1). As expected, the CSP of L. ochroleuca and RCP2.6 are predicted to reduce present CSP 3.1% and 4.3% less by the end of the century (Fig. 4b, Fig. S7), respectively. While we accordingly found an overall decrease of 1.05% in decomposition speed with every percent increase in soluble phenolic content (Fig. 3e, Table S6), L. digitata had a lower concentration than expected given its low decomposition rate. Importantly, phenolic content also seems to influence intraspecific variation in decomposition within L. hyperborea (–2.44% d⁻¹ %⁻¹) and L. ochroleuca (–9.23% d⁻¹ %⁻¹) (Fig. S3, Table S6). Hence, while phenolic concentration is clearly a better predictor of decomposition rate than elemental stoichiometry, it is still not sufficient to explain the majority of variance (Fig. 3d–e). In an attempt to more fully explain this remaining variance, we calculated lamina tissue water content as the inverse of dry-wet mass ratio which we found to be 3% higher in warm temperate kelp (87.32 ± 0.11%, n = 94) than L. digitata (85.11 ± 0.14%, n = 114) and L. hyperborea (84.4 ± 0.25%, n = 99) (Table S6). This may be the most promising predictor of decomposition speed since water content is likely inversely related to tissue toughness. We unfortunately have no direct correlation to support this proposition.

2. Carbon sequestration potential

On the basis of the presented empirical evidence, we suggest that local compositional change of Northeast Atlantic Laminaria forests via climate-driven poleward range shifts is a mechanism that has the potential to reduce regional CSP. We estimated linear relationships between current species-specific CSP (g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) and detrital age (methods section 3.1). As expected, the CSP of L. ochroleuca declines 54–135% faster per day than that of the cold temperate species (Fig. 4a). Consequently, L. digitata and L. hyperborea CSP reach zero after 108 ± 12 d and 166 ± 21 d, on average 43 d and 101 d later than that of their warm temperate congeners (65 ± 11 d) (Fig. 4a). Because decomposition is logically assumed to be proportional to the magnitude of the detrital pool, this contrast is maintained throughout the year (Fig. S4a) despite strong seasonal variation in carbon export (Fig. S2).

Climate change is shifting the outlined species distributions (Fig. 2a), based on differential temperature tolerance (Fig. 2b), which is leading to a restructuring of Northeast Atlantic kelp forest composition. Therefore we modelled the effects of this climate-driven ecosystem alteration on forest carbon export and CSP through time, on the basis of species-specific temperature tolerances, historical temperature data and representative concentration pathway (RCP) temperature predictions for the region (methods section 3.2, Fig. S5). The purpose of this thought experiment is to highlight interspecific diversity and it should thus be inclusive of all species. We therefore assume that all carbon remaining after 50 d, when no species’ exported carbon has fully decomposed (Fig. 4a), constitutes CSP. Our estimates suggest that despite fluctuating but stable carbon export across both centuries (Fig. S6), forest CSP declined by around 0.17% per year in the past and will likely continue to do so under RCP8.5 at a rate that is 3.04 times higher (0.69% yr⁻¹, Fig. 4b). RCP6.0 and RCP2.6 are predicted to reduce present CSP 31% and 43% less by the end of the century (Fig. 4b, Fig. 57), which suggests that climate change mitigation may alleviate forest CSP loss. Hence, we predict that through a vicious circle, climate-driven range shifts may lead to a local reduction of a climate change mitigating ecosystem service. Of course it is likely that it takes longer than 50 d for kelp detritus to reach sedimentary carbon sinks and hence only boreal species would contribute to carbon sequestration (Fig. 4a); however, this would only exacerbate the predicted trend.
3. Detrital carbon assimilation and potential feedback loops

We present the first interspecific comparison of detrital photophysiological viability in situ and found that *L. digitata* and *L. hyperborea* maintained net primary production at 0.98 ± 0.05 and 0.76 ± 0.05 mg C g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (n = 42) over one month on the forest floor (Fig. 5a, Table S6). In contrast, photosynthesis of *L. ochroleuca*, which was initially 48–50% lower than that of its cold temperate congener (0.53 ± 0.16, n = 6), declined at a rate of 0.03 mg C g⁻¹ h⁻¹ per day (Fig. 5a, Table S6). Consequently, *L. ochroleuca* detritus is predicted to emit carbon after 20 d and assimilates 1.43 ± 0.09 and 1.14 ± 0.11 mg C g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (n = 18) less than *L. digitata* and *L. hyperborea* after one month respectively. Gross primary production displayed a similar pattern (Fig. 5b, Table S6), indicating that increased microbial respiration is not the primary driver of the observed pattern in net primary production and the photosynthetic apparatus of *L. ochroleuca* is in fact predicted to fail completely after 36 d of decomposition.

These results have major implications for detrital carbon assimilation in different photoenvironments which in turn affects decomposition. Using local photon flux density data and photosynthesis-irradiance relationships (method section 3.3), we estimate that cold and warm temperate species can increase their respective yearly carbon export by 53–87% and 4% via detrital carbon assimilation. On an annual basis, the boreal kelps studied can potentially assimilate 5–199% more carbon during their detrital phase than *L. ochroleuca*. Interestingly, cold temperate species have higher cumulative carbon assimilation throughout the year except for summer, when *L. ochroleuca* detritus could potentially assimilate 1.95–4.52 times more carbon on its way to local sedimentary carbon sinks (Fig. 5b). Across species, carbon assimilation generally declines from spring through to winter rather than peaking in summer as expected from seasonal light availability (Fig. 5b). Depending on the light regime, disintegration of the photosynthetic apparatus of *L. ochroleuca* due to decomposition is a significant positive feedback loop that may further exacerbate interspecific differences in CSP beyond those reported above (Fig. 4).

We also uncovered a potential negative feedback loop linking detrital residence time to decomposition. Proportional chemical defence compounds (polyphenolics) increase at a rate of 0.03% d⁻¹ in *L. hyperborea* while they remained low and unchanged in the other species (Fig. 5b, Table S6). Since phenolic content may decrease decomposition (Fig. 3e), an induced response to detritivore activity provides a tantalising explanation for this observation. This would mean that rather than becoming accessible to more consumers through decomposition, detritus of *L. hyperborea* either defends itself and becomes less palatable, or becomes less palatable as polyphenolics are lost less quickly than other macromolecules, therefore maintaining integrity for longer. Carbon content increases at a rate of 0.14% d⁻¹ in *L. digitata* and remains unchanged in the other *Laminaria* species (Table S6) but generally tends to diverge between cold and warm temperate species with detrital age. Since nitrogen content behaves similarly, the carbon-nitrogen ratio declines at an exponential rate of 0.005 d⁻¹ in all species (Table S6).

Discussion

Analogous to previous studies on seagrasses (Cebrián et al., 1997; Lavery et al., 2013) and mangroves (Atwood et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018), we report strong interspecific differences in local macroalgal CSP using a kelp forest of the genus *Laminaria* as a model system. To our knowledge, this is also the first detailed documentation of carbon flux (primary production, standing stock, particulate carbon export and remineralisation) through a single kelp forest. We show that in the southern UK, warm temperate kelp decomposes faster than cold temperate species (Fig. 3) and therefore has a lower CSP (Fig. 4a). This difference is likely upheld by a variety of mechanistic drivers including variance in phenolic concentration (Fig. 3e, 5b), tissue water content and detrital photosynthesis (Fig. 5a). Our data suggest that local to regional kelp forest CSP may already have declined and probably will continue to do so with further poleward movement of *Laminaria* species under continued ocean warming. These potential repercussions for ecosystem functioning represent just one of many vicious circles, since climate change is already reducing the extent of many temperate kelp forests (Smale, 2020) and their CSP is additionally expected to be diminished by climate-driven phase shifts (Krumhansl et al., 2014; Pessarrodona et al., 2021), heatwaves (Smale et al., 2019), forest miniaturisation (King et al., 2020; Pessarrodona et al., 2018) and coastal darkening (Blain et al., 2021). Our modelled interspecific contrasts in CSP are likely underestimates since a range of factors may further diminish the CSP of warm temperate kelp. First, in stark contrast to *L. hyperborea* (Smale et al., 2015; Teagle & Smale, 2018) and *L. digitata* (Fig. 5g), *L. ochroleuca* is depauperate of epiphytes (mostly *Palmaria palmata* in
terms of biomass), which reduces the total carbon stock and hence CSP of forests formed by this species (Smale et al., 2015; Teagle & Smale, 2018). Second, *L. hyperborea* is unique in shedding over 60% of its laminar biomass, termed the May cast, in spring (Fig. S2; Lüning, 1969; Pedersen et al., 2020), which has been suggested to temporarily overwhelm consumers, thus increasing CSP (Pedersen et al., 2020). Third, sea surface temperature is approximately 7°C warmer during peak carbon export by *L. ochroleuca* than *L. hyperborea* (Fig. S2, S4), which leads to elevated bacterial activity (White et al., 1991), alginate degrading enzymes (Minich et al., 2018) and amphipod grazing (Gilson et al., 2021) and a subsequent increase in decomposition rate. Fourth, *L. hyperborea* detritus remains intact for at least six months on shallow sediment due to exponential decay (de Bettignies et al., 2020b), so our linear model may overestimate this species’ long-term decomposition speed. Finally, greater longevity of cold temperate kelp detritus likely allows for export to hypoxic regions of the deep sea where decomposition is slower (Pedersen et al., 2021). In contrast, the detrital carbon pool of *L. ochroleuca* is predicted to be remineralised within 65 d, precluding long-distance transport.

The extent of the implications of such changes on a global scale remains doubtful, particularly due to uncertainty surrounding future kelp distribution in the Arctic under different climate change scenarios. If the total area of kelps across the Arctic maximally increases by 118500 km² (Assis et al., 2022), hemisphe-scale kelp CSP might actually stay stable or increase. This scenario is imaginable since *L. hyperborea* and *L. digitata* and their arctic congener *Laminaria solidungula*, the CSP of which is unknown, could expand their ranges poleward, potentially mitigating or overcompensating the loss of trailing edge populations. If, however, there is little to no novel arctic habitat for boreal and arctic kelps to expand into (Bringloe et al., 2022), then their global range will contract and their potential contribution to carbon sequestration diminish, mirroring the local processes we predict. This scenario is arguably more likely due to decreased salinity and increased turbidity through glacial and permafrost melt in combination with coastal erosion, and photoperiod-mediated disruption of growth and reproductive cycles in taxa adapted to lower latitudes (Bringloe et al., 2022; Martins, 2022). We nevertheless emphasise that our predictions of declining CSP beyond the local scale represent a thought experiment, intended to stimulate further study and debate rather than provide firm predictions, being based as they are on finite empirical data. The outlined contradictory species distribution model predictions clearly point towards the scarcity of empirical data on the distribution and ecophysiology of arctic kelps and quantification of their CSP emerges as a research priority.

Our empirical findings on carbon remineralisation are supported by the feeding preference of the key kelp detritivores (de Bettignies et al., 2020a) *Steromphala umbilicalis* (Gilson et al., 2021), *S. cineraria* and *Patella pellucida* (Hargrave et al., 2017; Pessarrodona et al., 2019; Smale et al., 2015) for *L. ochroleuca* compared to boreal species. However, our results contradict the paradigm that elemental stoichiometry is the principal driver of plant decomposition (Enríquez et al., 1993). This may be explained by the low representation of macroalgal data in meta-analyses. Alternatively, elemental variance within *Laminaria* may not be large enough to affect detritivores and saprotrophs. The low polyphenol levels reported for *L. digitata* are comparable to previous data (Hereward et al., 2018), which leaves the enigma of why this species has similarly slow decomposition to *L. hyperborea*. Its lower lamina tissue water content may supply part of the answer, but it is probable that other structural differences and/or biochemical defences are involved. For instance, *L. digitata* utilises reactive oxygen species and iodine in defence (Cosse et al., 2009), which is likely relevant since iodine plays a crucial role in structuring the saprotrophic community in the early stages of decomposition (de Bettignies et al., 2020b). Another probable mechanistic driver is the slower annual growth rate of boreal kelps (Pessarrodona et al., 2019), which negatively correlates with decomposition rate across plant groups (Cebrían & Duarte, 1995).

Our data on the effect of decomposition on detrital photosynthesis and phenolic content, albeit somewhat scarce, are supported by several lines of evidence. Warm temperate kelp has a 42–45% lower total photosynthetic pigment concentration than cold temperate kelps (Wright & Foggo, 2021), suggesting it has lower photosynthetic capacity which is in line with the lower 48–50% lower photosynthetic activity reported here. Although (Frontier et al., 2021a) found no difference in net and gross primary production and photosynthetic efficiency (chlorophyll fluorescence) between *in vitro* *L. hyperborea* and *L. ochroleuca*, a subsequent field experiment by the same authors suggests declining photosynthetic efficiency with detrital age in *L. ochroleuca* and no change in *L. hyperborea* (Frontier et al., 2021b). This case supports our findings and highlights that *in situ* experimental validation is essential. Over the first six weeks of decomposition, phenolic content was previously found to increase with detrital age in *L. hyperborea* at a similar rate (0.06% d⁻¹) to that
reported here (0.03% d−1) (de Bettignies et al., 2020b). Furthermore, wounding is known to cause the production of phlorotannins in this species (Halm et al., 2011).

Despite our prediction of diminishing local CSP in a warmer climate, there is scope for the preservation of this regulating ecosystem service. Besides the need for reducing anthropogenic carbon emission emphasised here, kelp blue carbon function could potentially be maintained through marine protected areas (Ling et al., 2009), reforestation (Layton et al., 2020) and hybridisation (Martins et al., 2019) alongside restrictions on eutrophication and sedimentation (Blain et al., 2021; Pessarrodona et al., 2021). The latter have the potential to be innovative alternatives for Annex I parties like the UK, US and Australia, which have few ocean-based NDCs (Gallo et al., 2017). Enhancement of macroalgal CDR, termed seaweed offsetting (Froehlich et al., 2019) or ocean afforestation (Bach et al., 2021), is currently heatedly debated on a weak empirical foundation. Both proponents (Froehlich et al., 2019) and opponents (Bach et al., 2021) have made substantive claims on the basis of extrapolation from single, fundamentally different macroalgal genera (*Macrocystis* vs. *Sargassum*). Here we show that in fact interspecific diversity in CSP is substantial within a single macroalgal genus, rendering this debate inutile without further empirical evidence.

Our results clearly show that developing accurate estimates of macroalgal contribution to global carbon sequestration will need a more nuanced understanding of different factors causing variability. Therefore, important future research goals should include (1) quantifying the effect of forest state and composition on regional carbon burial, (2) measuring variance in decomposition speed between additional species and across depths/photoenvironments, (3) determining the CSP of arctic kelps (e.g. *L. solidungula* in our model system) and (4) unravelling microbial detrital pathways in the shallow and deep ocean in addition to addressing various knowledge gaps that are specific to our model system (Fig. 6). To achieve these goals and understand how climate change is affecting marine ecosystem services more broadly, we argue that interspecific diversity should always be considered and more multidisciplinary research is required to bridge the void between disconnected scientific branches. Specifically, interdisciplinary links between physiology, ecology, biogeography, biogeochemistry, physics and genetics are now needed more than ever to understand the function of marine plants in a rapidly changing environment.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Estimated magnitude and fate of marine autotrophic production. a. Global marine net carbon assimilation and putative sequestration by fully marine plants (seagrasses, macroalgae and phytoplankton). A proportion of production is sequestered (black), while the majority is remineralised (white). b. Percentage of production that is sequestered. Bars and error bars indicate estimated means and putative confidence intervals. For macro- and microalgae these are 95% confidence intervals, while error bars for seagrasses are the species-specific temperature optima (lines) and tolerances (shaded areas) of sporophyte growth (light) and gametophyte fertility (dark) (Table S1).

**Figure 2.** Biogeography of Northeast Atlantic Laminaria species. a. Current approximate species distributions are shown as coloured coastlines. Past and future (SRES A2 or RCP8.5) trailing (*L. digitata* and *L. hyperborea*) or leading (*L. ochroleuca*) range edges are indicated by solid and dashed lines in the left margin respectively (Table S3). Kelp icons denote approximate range centres. The black line shows the northern biogeographic boundary of warm temperate kelps (Table S3). The map is based on the coordinate reference system WGS 84, rendered according to the Mercator projection and oriented north. b. Underlying these species distributions are the species-specific temperature optima (lines) and tolerances (shaded areas) of sporophyte growth (light) and gametophyte fertility (dark) (Table S4).

**Figure 3.** In situ decomposition of Northeast Atlantic Laminaria species. a. Decomposition speed is higher in warm temperate than cold temperate species across experiments. Coloured axis ticks are overall means for each species. b–c. Differential macrodetritivore grazing activity as evidenced by excavation (b) and perforation (c) scars after 32 d. Raincloud plots show probability density and raw data where vertical bars indicate the median and central 95% of data. Point-ranges are means and 95% confidence intervals. **d–e.** Differential decomposition speed is not explained by elemental stoichiometry (d) and only partially by phenolic concentration (e and Fig. S3). Lines show model fits and 95% confidence intervals. Solid lines
represent significant slopes at the 95% confidence level, while dashed lines indicate no significant relationship. Point-ranges are means and 95% confidence intervals.

**Figure 4.** Carbon sequestration potential (CSP) of Northeast Atlantic Laminaria species. **a**, Decline in presentday CSP with detrital age. Lines and shaded areas are estimates and 95% confidence intervals. Kelp icons indicate when CSP reaches zero. **b**, Temporal trend of overall local kelp forest CSP over two centuries according to historical sea surface temperature data and RCP8.5 sea surface temperature predictions for Plymouth Sound (Fig. S5). Coloured y-axis ticks indicate end-of-century CSP according to alternative scenarios (Fig. S6). Since the purpose of these estimates is interspecific comparison, all carbon remaining after 50 d is assumed to count towards CSP because all species still contribute to the detrital carbon pool at that time (**a**). Lines and shaded areas are estimates and 95% confidence intervals. Kelp icons and vertical lines indicate stages of forest compositional change from before the arrival of *L. ochroleuca* in Plymouth Sound in 1946 to the predicted local extinction of the cold temperate species in 2090 (cf. Fig. 2).

**Figure 5.** Consequences of decomposition for Northeast Atlantic Laminaria species detritus. **a**, Decomposition has a contrasting effect on the net primary production of cold temperate and warm temperate species at 50.4 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Point-ranges indicate means and 95% confidence intervals. Solid lines represent significant slopes at the 95% confidence level, while dashed lines indicate no significant change over time. Carbon assimilation was calculated from oxygen production, assuming a photosynthetic quotient of 1, and is given per g of dry mass. **b**, Decomposition only increases the proportional chemical defences of *L. hyperborea*. Point-ranges indicate means and 95% confidence intervals. Solid lines represent significant slopes at the 95% confidence level, while dashed lines indicate no significant change over time.

**Figure 6.** Key findings and remaining knowledge gaps. Black arrows indicate particulate carbon fluxes that were measured in this study, while grey arrows indicate those that have yet to be assessed. Knowledge gaps are therefore ① carbon sequestration in shallow and deep sediments, ② carbon export to and ③ decomposition in the deep ocean and ④ carbon remineralisation by microbial saprotrophs and macrodetritivores.
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