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Abstract

Biodiversity in southwestern North America has a complex biogeographic history involving tectonism interspersed with climatic fluctuations. This yields a contemporary pattern replete with historic idiosyncrasies often difficult to interpret when viewed from through the lens of modern ecology. The Aspidoscelis tigris (Tiger Whiptail) complex (Squamata: Teiidae) is one such group in which taxonomic boundaries have been confounded by a series of complex biogeographic processes that have defined the evolution of the clade. To clarify this situation, we first generated multiple taxonomic hypotheses, which were subsequently tested using mitochondrial DNA sequences (ATPase 8 and 6) evaluated across 239 individuals representing five continental members of this complex. We then evaluated the manner by which our models parsed phylogenetic and biogeographic patterns. We found considerable variation among species ‘hypotheses,’ which we interpret as reflecting inflated levels of inter-population genetic divergence caused by historical demographic expansion and contraction cycles. Inter-specific boundaries with A. marmoratus juxtaposed topographically with the Cochise Filter Barrier that separates Sonoran and Chihuahuan deserts (interpreted herein as an example of ‘soft’ allopatry). Patterns of genetic divergence were consistent across the Cochise Filter Barrier, regardless of sample proximity. Surprisingly, this also held true for intraspecific comparisons that spanned the Colorado River. These in turn suggest geomorphic processes as a driver of speciation in the A. tigris complex, with intraspecific units governed by local demographic processes.
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Introduction

The Colorado Plateau (337,000 km² at ~2000m asl) is a tectonically intact landform of relatively linear sedimentary rock that contrasts markedly with its eroding margin, ongoing since the Late Miocene (Levander et al. 2011). It also stands in sharp contrast with the more fractured geomorphic features of neighboring regions: The Rocky Mountains (immediately north and east), Rio Grande Uplift (southeast), and the Basin and Range Province (immediately west) (Fig. 1 of Flowers 2010). Geomorphic events that effectively defined the Plateau were a late Cretaceous regional uplift and the subsequent integration of the Colorado River (Minckley et al. 1986, Spencer et al. 2008).

Prior to integration, the Colorado River emptied into an endorheic basin, most likely following the early path of a major modern tributary (Little Colorado River; House et al. 2008). In late Miocene-early Pliocene, headwater erosion and basin ‘spillover’ forged a connection between the river and the Gulf of California via the Salton Trough (Sarna-Wojcicki et al. 2011, Dorsey et al. 2018, Nicholson et al. 2019). The Gila River system, which had previously drained directly into the Gulf (Eberly and Stanley 1978) was similarly incorporated. Other drainages that terminated into closed basins during the Miocene (i.e., Salt and Verde rivers) were also integrated with the Gila River system by the late Pliocene (Blakey and Ranney 2008). The Pliocene also brought decreased seasonality as well as elevated temperatures and humidity, a climatic regime subsequently reversed by Quaternary glaciation (Thompson 1991).

Geomorphic events were not only pivotal with regard to biological diversification on the Plateau (Douglas et al. 1999, Douglas et al. 2016, Bangs et al. 2020a,b) but also provoked endemism as well, in synergy with widespread physiographic and climatic oscillations. These created ‘boom-and-bust’ demographic cycles as Plateau habitats expanded and contracted (Douglas et al. 2006), with bookmarks subsequently deposited within the genomes of desert herpetofauna (Douglas et al. 2006, Sullivan et al. 2014, Douglas et al. 2016, Mussmann et al. 2020). Ancillary genetic footprints of hybridization are also increasingly apparent in modern evaluations (Bangs et al. 2020a,b, Chafin et al. 2020). Opportunities for genetic exchange (or alternatively, vicariant events) hinged largely upon drainage evolution (e.g., Douglas et al. 1999, Chafin et al. 2020). Small-bodied terrestrial vertebrates were also involved in these biogeographic opportunities, despite the fact that the Colorado River had long been hypothesized as a vicariant barrier to dispersal (Pounds and Jackson 1981, Lamb et al. 1992).

Our focus in this report is the most conspicuous and confusing group of lizards in western North America, the whiptail lizards (Aspidoscelis; Teiidae). Their complex history of hybridization/ introgression coupled with multiple transitions to parthenogenic life histories fuels their extraordinary capacity as an evolutionary model (Barley et al. 2019). However, intraspecific morphological variation coupled with high rates of hybridization has made species-delineation difficult, which has in turn muddied their taxonomy. Much of this has been fueled in western North America by the superimposition of tectonism, orogeny, and climate fluctuations, in concert with rampant and ongoing aridity.

Biogeographic templates and taxonomic reverberations in Aspidoscelis

Speciation processes have often been inferred from relationships between genealogical and geographical patterns (e.g., Camargo et al. 2010), with ‘phylogeography’ now a burgeoning discipline uniting intraspecific (i.e., population-level) and macroevolutionary perspectives (Avise 2009). Comparative analysis of intra- and inter-specific patterns has revealed spatial features driving diversification and lineage reticulation at continental scales (Burbrink et al. 2018, Wollenberg Valero et al. 2019, Leaché et al. 2020). Yet relating spatial and temporal patterns is not always straightforward, with juxtaposition of contemporary geographics with

Figure 1. Range map and sampling sites for Aspidoscelis marmoratus and subspecies of A. tigris (subspecific units within A. marmoratus and Mexican subspecies of A. tigris not shown). Three identified contact zones are depicted between: (A) A. t. tigris and A. t. punctilinealis, roughly transected by the Colorado River with morphoclines varying from 16km in the north to ~180km in the south; (B) A. t. tigris x A. t. septentrionalis, where color-pattern intergradation corresponds to a ~50km transition from Mohave (inset; M) to Great Basin (GB) desert-scrub communities to the Colorado Plateau ecoregion (CP); and (C) A. t. punctilinealis x A. marmoratus, a hybrid zone of <2km in width traversing the Cochineal Barrier transition zone between the Sonoran (inset; S) and Chihuahuan (Ch) deserts. Note that both sample points and ranges are colored by subspecies, as defined in the legend. Map based on observations and prior work by BKS.
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aspects of modern biodiversity sometimes yielding contradictory patterns. This incongruence often manifests as taxonomic conflict and inconsistency—a result most apparent in studies attempting to reconcile landscapes (or riverscapes) with genetic and morphological patterns in the American southwest (Douglas et al. 2006, Sullivan et al. 2014, Bangs et al. 2020b, Chafin et al. 2020).

The Aspidoscelis tigris species-group, for example, represents a perplexing systematic challenge as it comprises a widely distributed complex encompassing a broad spectrum of biogeographic scenarios within desert regions of southwestern North America, northern México (to include Baja California), as well as numerous islands east and west of the peninsula. Although the group per se is characterized by a readily assessed set of morphological characters (Walker and Maslin 1981) and a distinctive karyotype (Lowe et al. 1970), its diversity (i.e., ranging from a single species to more than a dozen) has been a contentious issue in North American Herpetology (Sullivan et al. 2014). Much diversity is represented as distinct populations located on relatively small, vulnerable islands in the Gulf of California, with several being designated as distinct species (Walker et al. 1966a,b, Walker and Taylor 1968, Walker and Maslin 1981), based on snout-vent length, color pattern, and/or morphology (e.g., A. bacatus of Isla San Pedro Nolasco; A. catalinensis of Isla Santa Catalina; A. martyris of Isla San Pedro Martir; and A. celeripes of Isla San Jose). Others (e.g., Lowe et al. 1970) considered them instead as subspecific variants. Continental populations have also proven taxonomically contentious. For example, a long-standing disagreement (Hendricks and Dixon 1986, Dessauer et al. 2000) centers on whether A. tigris and A. marmoratus in small areas in Hidalgo County NM represent intergrading subspecies or hybridizing species. Taxonomic difficulties are thus quite apparent within the A. tigris complex, and primarily stem from complex patterns of intergradation and hybridization confounded by non-discriminating morphological variation coupled with biogeographic endemism.

To provide clarification, we evaluated a complex of four continental subspecies (A. t. mundus, A. t. punctilinealis, A. t. septentrionalis, and A. t. tigris; Fig. 1), plus a recently elevated putative sister species, A. marmoratus (Hendricks and Dickson 1986, Reeder et al. 2002, Tucker et al. 2016). Our goal was to examine how mitochondrial (mt)DNA variation in A. tigris subspecies compares with morphological discordance [e.g., subspecies seemingly supported by color pattern yet weakly differentiated by scalation and morphometrics (Taylor et al. 1994, Walker et al. 2015, Fig. 2)]. Furthermore, morphological intergrades and overlapping genetic polymorphisms suggest admixture among several groups: A. t. tigris and A. t. septentrionalis (Taylor 1988), A. t. tigris and A. t. punctilinealis (Taylor 1990), and A. t. punctilinealis and A. t. septentrionalis (Dessauer et al. 2000).

**Figure 2.** Pattern polymorphism in the Aspidoscelis tigris group: (A–B) Aspidoscelis tigris mundus; (C) A. t. septentrionalis from northern Arizona; (D) A. t. tigris from California; (E–F) A. t. punctilinealis from central Arizona; (G–H) A. marmoratus from New Mexico; (I) Dorsal and (J) ventral view of suspected A. t. tigris × A. t. punctilinealis hybrids. Pictures provided by BKS.
The contact zone separating *A. t. punctilinealis* and *A. marmoratus* (i.e., *A. t. marmoratus* of the following authors) coincides with a genetic cline at multiple loci (Dessauer and Cole 1991, Dessauer et al. 2000), suggesting secondary contact following a period of isolation (Barton and Hewitt 1985). A steep cline involving six color-pattern characteristics is also apparent in the hybrid zone between *A. t. punctilinealis* and *A. marmoratus* (Dessauer et al. 2000), arguing for the utility of these characters for delineation of *A. tigris* lineages.

Our focus is on defining phylogeographic patterns and interpreting phylogenetic delineations primarily within the range of *A. t. punctilinealis* in Arizona. To the east, it forms steep morphological/genetic clines at a hybrid zone with *A. marmoratus* (Dessauer et al. 2000), whereas to the west it exhibits a relatively gradual phenotypic gradient with *A. t. tigris* (Taylor 1990). Interestingly, both *A. t. septentrionalis* and *A. t. punctilinealis* also form exceptionally gradual morphoclines with *A. t. tigris* that lack apparent ecological underpinnings (Taylor 1990, Taylor et al. 1994). This stands in contrast to that found between *A. t. punctilinealis* and *A. marmoratus* (<2km; Zweifel 1962; Dessauer et al. 2000). Given this discontinuity, we also examined the association between morphological gradation in the complex and its genetic discontinuity. To do so, we extended the phylogenetic resolution of lineages within the delineated *A. tigris* complex, then contrasted our phylogenetic patterns with morphological species identifications. We generated taxonomic hypotheses by employing ‘species delimitation’ algorithms, then employed subsequent downstream analyses to compare the manner by which each model partitioned genetic variation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling, amplifying and sequencing of mtDNA**

We evaluated a total of N=206 samples representing five members of the *A. tigris* complex (*A. marmoratus*, *A. tigris mundus*, *A. t. punctilinealis*, *A. t. septentrionalis*, and *A. t. tigris*), their putative secondary intergrades (e.g., hybrids), plus two out-groups (*Tupinambis rufus* and *Aspidoscelis gularis*) (Fig. 1, Table S1). Genomic DNA was isolated from tail clippings or liver tissue using the Chelex Instagene-matrix extraction protocol (Bio-Rad).

We utilized published primers and PCR protocols (Douglas et al. 2002) to amplify two overlapping mtDNA genes (ATP8 and ATP6). Poor amplification in *A. marmoratus* also forced the design of species-specific primers (Primer3; Untergasser et al. 2012). These were: *ATP F* (3-CGCACCCTGATTATTGTGT-5) and *ATP R* (3-GGGTGAAAACTGATTTG-5). Each 20 µl PCR reaction consisted of 1.5 µl of 1/10x DNA template, 10 µl of HotStarTaq Master Mix (Qiagen), 0.08 µM of each primer, with the reaction volume finalized with distilled water. After verification via agarose gel electrophoresis, amplicons were purified using an Exonuclease I/Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase. Forward and reverse sequencing reactions were performed using BigDye v3.1 Dye Terminator chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Inc.) and sequenced at the University of Illinois Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center. Raw sequence chromatograms were manually curated (Sequencher ver. 5.0, Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI) and aligned (Mega_5; Tamura et al. 2011).

**Phylogenetic inference**

To develop initial hypotheses, we first inferred phylogenetic relationships using both Bayesian and distance-based methods. We tested models of nucleotide substitution (N=88-JModelTest; Darriba et al. 2012), using Akaike and Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC, respectively). We generated an ultrametric tree using the HKY-model (selected by both AIC and BIC) with gamma distributed rates and invariant sites (BEAST2; Bouckaert et al. 2014). We applied a Yule model with default parameters and a relaxed log-normal clock process. MCMC chains were sampled every 500th of 10 million MCMC iterations, following a burn-in period of 2 million. Chain convergence and mixing were visually assessed, and MCMC samples thinned so as to maintain effective sample sizes >200 while reducing autocorrelation of samples (Tracer; Rambaut and Drummond 2007). The posterior sampled trees were summarized as a maximum clade credibility tree with branch lengths representing median heights. For contrast, we also generated a neighbor-joining (NJ) tree using model-corrected distances (R-package ape; Paradis et al. 2004) in R (R Core Team, 2020), with nodal support assessed via 100 bootstrap pseudo-replicates, as well as a maximum-likelihood tree in PHYML (Guindon et al. 2010). Basic population summary statistics for major phylogenetic clades (as diagnosed via nodal support and statistical tests of monophyly) were also computed in PEGAS (Paradis 2010).

**Delimiting groups within Aspidoscelis tigris**

We first evaluated the currently accepted taxonomic designations for subspecies within *A. tigris* (e.g., Crother 2017; hereby abbreviated as ‘TAX’), then employed an array of analytical approaches to generate six additional delimitation hypotheses. Distance-based clustering methods, used in the context of species delimitation, assume that biological units (i.e., species) form non-overlapping genetic clusters. We first employed a recursive method for ‘Automated Barcode Gap Discovery’ (ABGD; Puillandre et al. 2012) that statistically infers a threshold distance from the data (i.e., the genetic distance at which groups are considered to represent different clusters). We also generated clusters using corrected genetic distances as input (CD-HIT; Fu et al. 2012), with manually specified distance thresholds of 2% and 5% (hereafter referred to as CD2 and CD5). These hard thresholds were chosen because they represent approximate ‘breakpoints’ at which changes occur in the ABGD analysis regarding the number of sampled groups.

We defined phylogenetic species using several approaches: The first, a ‘naive’ method, defined groups...
as the shallowest divergences statistically supported and reciprocally monophyletic (Rosenberg 2007), hereafter abbreviated as ‘ROS’ (Spider R package; Brown et al. 2012). A second approach derived groups from tree characteristics under an assumed speciation process. The first of these methods ['Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent' (GMYC; Pons et al. 2006)] represents the species boundary as a distinguishable change in branching patterns within the phylogeny, with independently evolving clusters diagnosed by those at which branching patterns transition from expected under two different models: An assumed interspecific (Yule process; Nee et al. 1994) and an intraspecific (neutral coalescent; Hudson 1990). In the second ['Poisson Tree Process' (PTP; Zhang et al. 2013)] the probability of speciation is considered in terms of mutational distance between cladogenesis events (as assumed with a Poisson distribution). PTP requires a tree with branch lengths scaled per substitutions, and given this, our input represented a tree topologically consistent with that inferred by BEAST but derived instead with PHYML (Guindon et al. 2010). GMYC was run using the Splits R package (Fujisawa et al. 2013); PTP using the Bayesian implementation provided by the bPTP server (Zhang et al. 2013).

### Comparing delimitations

We used 2-way and 3-way Analyses of Molecular Variance (AMOVA; Excoffier et al. 1992) with group assignments defined by individual membership under each hypothesis. We then used isolation-by-distance (IBD) as a null model under the assumption that the entire complex represented a single species. Our justification stemmed from the pervasive nature of IBD with regard to dispersal-limited species (Sexton et al. 2014). In this sense, deviations from the expected IBD pattern are hypothesized as diagnostic of some alternative non-spatial process (e.g., reproductive isolation). Partial Mantel tests (Smouse et al. 1986), employing pairwise genetic distances with geographic distances as a covariate (Douglas and Endler 1982, Meirmans 2012), tested the fit of individual distances as a covariate (Douglas and Endler 1982) employing pairwise genetic distances with geographic isolation. Partial Mantel tests (Smouse et al. 1986), employing pairwise genetic distances with geographic distances as a covariate (Douglas and Endler 1982, Meirmans 2012), tested the fit of individual distances as a covariate (Douglas and Endler 1982). A second approach derived groups from tree characteristics under an assumed speciation process. The first of these methods ['Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent' (GMYC; Pons et al. 2006)] represents the species boundary as a distinguishable change in branching patterns within the phylogeny, with independently evolving clusters diagnosed by those at which branching patterns transition from expected under two different models: An assumed interspecific (Yule process; Nee et al. 1994) and an intraspecific (neutral coalescent; Hudson 1990). In the second ['Poisson Tree Process' (PTP; Zhang et al. 2013)] the probability of speciation is considered in terms of mutational distance between cladogenesis events (as assumed with a Poisson distribution). PTP requires a tree with branch lengths scaled per substitutions, and given this, our input represented a tree topologically consistent with that inferred by BEAST but derived instead with PHYML (Guindon et al. 2010). GMYC was run using the Splits R package (Fujisawa et al. 2013); PTP using the Bayesian implementation provided by the bPTP server (Zhang et al. 2013).

### Results

**Phylogenetic and spatial relationships within Aspidoscelis tigris**

The final 777bp alignment resulted in 120 haplotypes (Table S1). Bayesian analysis (BEAST2) yielded strong support for A. t. tigris + A. t. punctilinealis (ROS clades A–F; Fig. 3; also see Supplementary Figures S1, S2) as being sister to A. t. mundus + A. t. septentrionalis (ROS clade G). All clades were significantly supported as being reciprocally monophyletic (Rosenberg 2007) test, and all reflected similarly high bootstrap support in the neighbor-joining analysis (Figure S3). The maximum-likelihood analysis resulted in a tree topologically identical and was thus not displayed.

All four subspecies formed a monophyletic group sister to a well-defined A. marmoratus (clade H). Aspidoscelis t. septentrionalis (clade G, excluding mundus samples) demonstrates significantly negative values for both Tajima’s and Fu and Li’s D statistics, with exceptionally low nucleotide diversity and haplotype diversity much less than that recorded for A. t. punctilinealis and A. t. tigris (Table 1). These

### Table 1. Population summary statistics for clades within the Aspidoscelis tigris complex as determined by sequence analysis of 777bp of the ATPase 6 and 8 genes across 206 samples. Abbreviations are as follows: H = haplotype diversity; π = nucleotide diversity; θ = population mutation rate; θ_s/N = number of segregating sites / clade sample size; H/N = number of haplotypes / clade sample size. A. t. mundus was excluded due to low sample size.

| CLADE | TAJIMA D | TAJIMA D P-VALUE | H | π | θ | FU & LI F | FU & LI D | θ_s/N | H/N |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|---|----|---|-----------|-----------|------|-----|
| A     | -1.522    | 0.128           | 0.993 | 0.013 | 15.409 | -1.680 | -1.595 | 2.889 | 0.944 |
| B     | -0.938    | 0.348           | 0.969 | 0.011 | 11.258 | -0.442 | -0.356 | 2.833 | 0.916 |
| C     | -1.628    | 0.103           | 0.997 | 0.012 | 17.033 | -2.051 | -1.935 | 2.461 | 0.923 |
| D     | -1.889    | 0.059           | 0.966 | 0.018 | 26.468 | -2.968 | -3.008 | 3.885 | 0.654 |
| E     | -0.524    | 0.600           | 1.000 | 0.013 | 10.363 | 0.050 | 0.524 | 4.75  | 1.000 |
| F     | 0.616     | 0.538           | 1.000 | 0.021 | 14.890 | 0.660 | 0.374 | 5.667 | 1.000 |
| G     | -2.114    | 0.017           | 0.478 | 0.006 | 15.600 | -2.609 | -2.123 | 1.123 | 0.292 |
| H     | -1.778    | 0.075           | 0.828 | 0.002 | 3.762 | 0.457 | -2.933 | 0.4   | 0.425 |
suggest a population expansion following a bottleneck (Douglas et al. 2010). *Aspidoscelis marmoratus* showed similar signatures, although with a much greater differential between haplotype and nucleotide diversities, and a reduced number of segregating sites relative to sample size (Table 1). Together, *A. t. tigris* + *A. t. punctilinealis* were subdivided into five major clades with strong nodal support and reciprocal monophyly (clades A–F, Fig. 3).

Morphologically-diagnosed *A. t. tigris* was polyphyletic, with all samples but one allocated to either clade A or F. The single exception was a morphologically diagnosed *A. t. tigris* (Nevada) that fell within the *A. t. septentrionalis/mundus* haplogroup (clade G), which may represent a hybrid individual. Clade F, which was >2% divergent from the remaining *A. t. tigris/punctilinealis* clades (A–E), contained both morphologically consistent *A. t. tigris* (Clark County, southern Nevada), as well as a small subset of *A. t. punctilinealis* (Mohave County, northwest Arizona). All other morphologically identified *A. t. tigris* from California had mitochondrial haplotypes aligning with clade A of *A. t. punctilinealis*. This clade formed a monophyletic grouping in the BA analysis with samples from southeastern Arizona (clades D and E). Spatially, clades D+E are separated by the mainstem Gila River.

**Figure 3.** Bayesian phylogenetic tree based on sequence analysis of 777bp of the ATPase 6 and 8 genes across 206 samples, contrasted against results of de novo species delimitation models represented by colored bars. Clades are annotated according to the morphological diagnoses of the tissue samples from which mtDNA sequences originated and are abbreviated as follows: *tigris*=*A. t. tigris*; *punct.*=*A. t. punctilinealis*; *sept.*=*A. t. septentrionalis*; *mundus*=*A. t. mundus*; *marm.*=*A. marmoratus*. Model abbreviations are: ABGD=Automated Barcode-Gap Discovery; TAX=qualitative hypothesis derived from current taxonomy; CD5=CD-Hit with 5% divergence threshold for clustering; CD2=CD-Hit with 2% divergence threshold for clustering; GMYC=Generalized mixed Yule coalescent; PTP=Poisson tree process; ROS=Clade delimitation based on statistical tests of monophyly. Node labels represent posterior probabilities (omitted for shallow nodes). Nodes passing the statistical test of reciprocal monophyly are indicated with a red dot. Clades in both trees are colored according to the ROS model.
and defined to the east by the Cochise Filter Barrier that separates Sonoran and Chihuahuan deserts (Castoe et al. 2007).

All clades within *A. t. tigris/punctilinealis* (i.e., clades A–F) generally showed higher numbers of segregating sites relative to nucleotide diversity (negative values for Tajima’s $D$; clades A–E Table 1). Clades A–D also showed a high rate of singleton haplotypes. Although non-significant (Table 1), they suggest past population expansions. Within the remainder, clade A (southwestern Arizona) is sister to a monophyletic group composed of clade B (Grand Canyon and Colorado River mainstem at juncture between Arizona, Nevada, California), and clade C (central Basin and Range physiographic region of Arizona). The latter is bounded on the north by the Mogollon Rim and is separated from clade B to the south by the Gila River.

The appearance of clade C haplotypes south of the Gila River mainstem indicates limited gene flow between the two groups, possibly reflecting trans-riverine dispersal as promoted by low seasonal flows. Similarly, clade B haplotypes are found east and west of the Colorado River mainstem, again suggesting a riverine barrier historically permeable to dispersal, or with mitochondrial exchange facilitated by shifts in flow. However, no apparent geographic features support the phylogenetic separation of clades A and C. Their sister relationship is also sustained in the NJ analysis, although with a bootstrap support of 56% (compared to 0.87 posterior probability in the Bayesian phylogeny).

**Species delimitation models**

We found considerable variation in the number of proposed clades generated by species delimitation models. Fig. 3B depicts the manner by which each model maps onto the phylogeny, using an identification scheme consistent with Fig. 4 and Supplementary Figure S1. Phylogenetically defined clades (‘ROS’)

![Figure 4](image-url)
and a taxonomic hypothesis (‘TAX’) both collapsed A. t. tigris and A. t. punctilinealis (clades A–F) on the basis of extensive intergradation (Taylor et al. 1994).

The ABGD analysis was by far the most conservative, collapsing both A. t. punctilinealis and A. t. tigris (clades A–F) with A. t. septentrionalis + A. t. mundus (clade G), while only segregating A. marmoratus (clade H; Fig. 3B). CD-HIT, with an a priori sequence divergence threshold of 5%, produced a 4-species model (CD5) that separated A. t. septentrionalis + A. t. mundus (clade G), A. marmoratus (H), and A. t. punctilinealis + A. t. tigris (A–F). The latter was also partitioned into two groups (i.e., separating clade F). One consisted of haplotypes from northwestern Arizona and southern Nevada (clade F; a subset of most morphologically consistent A. t. tigris), while the second comprised A. t. punctilinealis and remaining A. t. tigris (clades A–E), to include those with ranges overlapping A. t. tigris clade F. Decreasing the threshold to 2% (i.e., model CD2) then divided A. t. punctilinealis into eight groups (with further partitioning restricted to clades E and F), and five representing A. t. septentrionalis (dividing clade G into two groups from California and three occupying the Colorado Plateau; Fig. 4). GMYC and PTP produced large numbers of groups, with 13 in the former and 16 in the latter, with many consisting of but a single haplotype.

Partitioning of genetic variance

The spatial analysis supported models with fewer species. In the bootstrapped datasets, $F_{CT}$ overlapped among $K$ values (Fig. 5), with the greatest increase in among-group variance ($\Delta F_{CT}$) occurring at $K=3$. Individual assignment at $K=2$ corresponded to the ABGD model [two species: A. marmoratus and A. tigris (all sub-species; clades A–F), with three groupings in $K=3$: A. marmoratus; A. t. septentrionalis + A. t. mundus (clade F); and A. t. punctilinealis + A. t. tigris (clades A–E)].

A significant correlation was found between matrices of pairwise genetic and geographic distances (Mantel test: $r=0.576$, $P=0.0001$; Table 2; Fig. 6). Significant associations only occurred with the ROS, TAX, ABGD and CD5 models when permutations were limited to those strata defined by delimitation models (i.e., stratified Mantel). The partial Mantel tests with the ABGD model produced the strongest association ($r=0.777$), with values generally declining as the number of species increased (Table 2). Correlation of group membership with genetic distance under all models was greater than in the simple Mantel test for all cases, save for the PTP model ($r=0.5754$), suggesting that all delimitations better encapsulate spatial genetic variation than one in which all examined samples are collapsed.

Overall, those models partitioning A. tigris subspecies were supported by AMOVA analyses (Supplementary Table S1, S2), with high genetic variance among groups rejecting panmixia. Among-group genetic variance (analogous to $F_{CT}$) varied between 72–90% and was maximized in models that recognizing greater numbers of partitions (i.e., CD2, GMYC, and PTP), with 89-90% of variance explained.
These values generally declined as models became more conservative. Interpolating the AIS results with a diffusion model (Fig. 7) produced apparent peaks in relative pairwise genetic diversity at contact zones between A. t. punctilinealis (in this case clade D) and A. marmoratus (clade H). These occurred in southeastern Arizona near the shared border with New Mexico, and in northwestern Arizona, near the contact zone with A. t. tigris clade F.

**Figure 6.** Within- and among-group linear regression of geographic x genetic distances for clades delimited by (A) the ABGD (Automated barcode-gap discovery) model and (B) TAX (taxonomic) model. Genetic distances were computed from 777bp of the ATPase 6 and 8 mitochondrial gene. Coefficients of correlation (r²) ranged from 0.01-0.449. Note that “tigris” refers to both A. t. tigris and A. t. punctilinealis, as these subspecies are not differentiated in the models. A. t. mundus was excluded due to the geographically restricted sampling presented herein.

**Figure 7.** Map depicting results of an ‘Alleles in Space’ analysis for N=206 members of the Aspidoscelis tigris complex. Relative genetic differentiation was derived from 777bp of the ATPase 6 and 8 mitochondrial genes, and is depicted as interpolated across the landscape, with individuals (as dots) colored according to delimitations formed by CD-HIT clustering at a threshold of 5% (=CD5 model). Heat map colors depict relative genetic distances per unit of geographic distance, with red corresponding to a greater genetic distance, and blue being lesser genetic distance.
Table 2. Comparison of alternative species delimitation models according to the fit of the data under an isolation-by-distance model. Results are shown for 2-way, stratified, and partial Mantel tests, reporting a correlation coefficient (r) between distance matrices composed of geographic and genetic distances. Correlation coefficients in the partial Mantel tests reflect association between clade membership and genetic distance, with geographic distances as a covariate. Values indicated by (**) are significant at p>0.0001. Species delimitation models are abbreviated as: ABGD=Automated Barcode-Gap Discovery; TAX=qualitative hypothesis derived from current taxonomy; CD5=CD-Hit with 5% divergence threshold for clustering; CD2= CD-Hit with 2% divergence threshold for clustering; GMYC=Generalized mixed Yule coalescent; PTP=Poisson tree process; ROS=Clade delimitation based on Rosenberg test of reciprocal monophony.

| MODEL      | 2-WAY MANTEL TEST | STRATIFIED 2-WAY MANTEL | PARTIAL MANTEL |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
|            | Mantel r          | Mantel r                 | Mantel r       |
| ALL        | 0.5762**          |                          |                |
| ROS        | -                 | 0.5762**                 | 0.6317**       |
| ABDG       | -                 | 0.5762**                 | 0.7770**       |
| TAX        | -                 | 0.5762**                 | 0.7118**       |
| CD5        | -                 | 0.5762**                 | 0.7025**       |
| CD2        | -                 | 0.5762                   | 0.5816**       |
| GMYC       | -                 | 0.5762                   | 0.5818**       |
| PTP        | -                 | 0.5762                   | 0.5754**       |

**Significant at α=0.0001

Discussion

We used mtDNA sequence data to: Infer phylogenetic and phylogeographic patterns within the *Aspidoscelis tigris* complex; Generate species delimitation hypotheses therein; and compare model fit using several approaches. We judged how well each model partitioned genetic variance, both hierarchically and spatially. Our results supported *A. marmoratus* as a distinct species. However, our weak phylogenetic recognition of *A. t. tigris* and *A. t. punctilinealis*, as well as *A. t. mundus* and *A. t. septentrionalis* questions their subspecific designations and suggests the need for additional work utilizing larger number of molecular markers to assess potential intergradation. However, pairwise genetic divergences among the *A. t. tigris*/punctilinealis* and *A. t. septentrionalis/mundus* groups, regardless of their geographic proximity (e.g., <10km versus >1000km) may indicate reproductive isolation, again prompting further study that incorporates nuclear markers.

Biogeography of speciation in *Aspidoscelis tigris*

Deep relationships within the *A. tigris* complex generally supported the current taxonomy and reflected divisions among biotic regions. Here, we specifically refer to *A. t. septentrionalis* on the Colorado Plateau, *A. marmoratus* within the Chihuahan Desert, *A. t. punctilinealis* in the Sonoran Desert, and *A. t. tigris* within Mohave and Great Basin deserts. Haplotypes of *A. t. mundus*, restricted to the California Central Valley, were inferred as monophyletic but nested within a larger *A. t. septentrionalis* clade. This highlights the need for increased sampling, particularly for *A. t. mundus* and *A. t. tigris*, whose distributions were sampled less thoroughly.

Topographically, the separation of *A. t. punctilinealis* and *A. marmoratus* aligns with the Cochise Filter Barrier (CFB), a biogeographic entity that has similarly been implicated as influential in defining phylogeographic relationships among other taxa (e.g., Morafka 1977, Castoe et al. 2007, O’Connell et al. 2017). Pyron et al. (2015) invoked this barrier as a potential driver of so-called ‘soft allopatry’ (Hickerson and Meyer 2008), in which niche differentiation drives speciation in lieu of a hard barrier to dispersal. Such barriers can often lead to permeability at contact zones, given that divergence is maintained by adaptation rather than physical separation. As might be expected, a well-characterized hybrid zone separates *A. t. punctilinealis* and *A. marmoratus*, replete with steep clines in color polymorphism (Dessauer et al. 2000). We also identified a distinct east-west divide in mitochondrial haplotypes that is concordant with an hypothesis of soft allopatry.

The potential for hybridization

We found phylogenetic subdivision less clear among subspecies of *A. tigris* and surmise this likely reflects a cumulative effect of contemporary physiography coupled with historic processes. *Aspidoscelis t. tigris* and *A. t. punctilinealis* were not distinct. Both transverse the Colorado River, and neither is strictly defined by the Mohave-Sonoran transition. This is perhaps not surprising, given that morphological intergradation between *A. t. tigris* and *A. t. punctilinealis* does not follow a strict ecological gradient, but instead manifests itself as an exceptionally wide and smooth morpholine (Taylor et al. 1994).

*Aspidoscelis t. tigris* from the most northerly part of the range had mitochondrial sequences aligning instead with *A. t. septentrionalis*, potentially suggesting exchange between the two (Taylor
Aspidoscelis tigris phylogeography and N were suggested bottleneck-expansion and 11 within the phylogenetic analyses, nor were those mediate the transition between A. t. punctilinealis and A. marmoratus. Unique communities characterize both the Colorado Plateau and Sonoran Desert (e.g., Zweifel 1962, Pianka 1970). We suggest this ecological gradient represents a ‘soft boundary,’ similar to those that mediate the transition between A. t. punctilinealis and A. t. septentrionalis. Pianka 1967, Riddle and Hafner 2006).

The validity of taxonomic designations

Genetic delineation of A. t. tigris was inconsistent with traditional taxonomy, in that specimens allocated morphologically to A. t. tigris were not monophyletic within the phylogenetic analyses, nor were those groupings consistent with the historically accepted range boundaries for A. t. tigris and A. t. punctilinealis. Our expectations were that haplotypes sampled from the Great Basin and Mohave deserts (i.e., those regions encompassing A. t. tigris) would be monophyletic. Instead, we found a closer alignment with A. t. septentrionalis to the northeast and A. t. punctilinealis to the southeast. This is likely a result of mitochondrial exchange among these subspecies, again highlighting the need for a genomic evaluation.

Taylor et al. (1994) demonstrated the existence of a wide, smooth morpholine >180 km in width that traversed the Colorado River and separated A. t. tigris from A. t. punctilinealis along the southern extent of their contact (Fig. 1A). This stands in contrast to a 16 km-wide morpholine found at the northern extent of their contact, and that discrepancy begs an obvious ecological explanation (Taylor 1990). The northern morpholine coincides with the Arizona-California-Nevada nexus, a hotspot for mitochondrial diversity as well, where sub-clades overlap without clear physical boundaries (Fig. 4, Fig. S1). In addition, multiple clades (A and F) traverse the Colorado River, with the highly divergent clade F (found primarily within morphologically defined A. t. tigris) possibly representing a more widespread Mohave clade.

One explanation for the above variation in clinal width evokes an historic perspective wherein the northern contact between A. t. tigris and A. t. punctilinealis is secondary, whereas range overlap to the south was maintained. This would generate morphoclines of varying ages and would offer one potential explanation for the variance in mitochondrial divergence (i.e., elevated to the north, nonexistent to the south), as well as morpholine width. Here, we posit that the duration and extent of contact may be a driving mechanism. A related hypothesis would be that the width of the cline is in response to an underlying environmental gradient, such as the transition between Sonoran and Mohave habitats. However, neither hypothesis can be tested with the data presented herein.

An additional possibility is the fluctuating capacity of the Colorado River as a barrier to dispersal. We offer scant evidence for it serving as a vicariant structure, a result corroborated by studies of other reptiles (e.g., desert tortoise: Lamb et al. 1989, iguanids: Lamb et al. 1992). Although the Colorado River does seemingly constrain dispersal (Pounds and Jackson 1981), gene flow could still be facilitated by the periodic fluctuations in its trajectory and flow. In fact, the shallow divergences found among clades transecting the river provide evidence for how recent these events were.

Climate driven population oscillations

One unexpected finding was the exceptional genetic divergences among A. t. punctilinealis clades (A–F). One possible explanation evokes fluctuating habitat availability over time, rather than contemporary features of the landscape. Concordance in relative crown ages among the majority of A. t. punctilinealis clades (A–E; Fig. 1B) could suggest that intraspecific divergence of these groups shares a common cause. Douglas et al. (2006) offered an applicable model that explained genetic structuring and population expansion in four desert rattlesnake species as a ‘boom-and-bust’ demographic cycle, caused by historical climate fluctuations. Under this model, a ‘refugium phase’ (‘=bust’) condensed continuous distributions into smaller, allopatric populations such that restricted gene flow promoted genetic drift as manifested by reduced effective population size (N). As climate shifted, desert habitat expanded such that ecological barriers separating refugia were removed, allowing distributions to expand (‘boom’).

These oscillating contractions and expansions placed characteristic molecular signatures within populations, most prominently as a loss of genetic diversity and an exaggerated differentiation among sub-populations post-expansion (Hewitt 2000). This is reflected in an increased number of low-frequency haplotypes, and low nucleotide diversity among contemporary haplotypes (Table 1). We also noted similar patterns in A. t. septentrionalis and A. marmoratus, suggesting bottleneck-expansion events within these taxa as well.

The effects of the ‘refugium phase’ can be seen when examining modern short-range endemics [i.e., those with distributions easily contained within a 100x100 km grid (i.e., <10,000 km²)]. An example would be the isolated New Mexico Ridge-nosed Rattlesnake (Crotalus willardi obscurus) that reflects signals of relatively recent divergence and population reduction (Holycross and Douglas 2007). Its historic connectivity in the Sky-islands has been truncated by contemporary climate change, whereas its refugium phase, as gauged by demographic and niche modeling, underscored a continued loss of habitat...
Comparing species delimitation approaches

We found considerable variance in the number of groups delimited using the various algorithmic methods, especially when contrasted with the current taxonomy (Fig. 3). This could reflect the capacity of the various models to diagnose cryptic lineages, or alternatively, suggest the data violate implicit assumptions (Carstens et al. 2013). Phylogenetic models (i.e., ROS, PTP, GMYC) generally produced the largest numbers of groups, but did not explain the geographic patterns of genetic variation as well as did other models (Table 2; Fig. 3). All models, however, captured greater genetic variance among groups (i.e., 72-90% in AMOVA) than within-group (10-28%), indicating the recognition of an authentic biological signal (Table S1, S2).

Species delimitation employing the coalescent, such as GMYC, have previously been criticized for a tendency to over-split when applied to structured populations (Lohse 2009). This, in turn, suggests that a single-threshold delimitation model may be inappropriate for use with hierarchically structured data (Talavera et al. 2013). Strong intraspecific structure in Aspidoscelis, similar to that found in other desert reptiles (Holycross et al. 2013), has been a prevailing trend in modern herpetological studies (Wallenberg Valero et al. 2006, Sullivan et al. 2014) wherein clusters are defined as ‘… distinguishable groups of individuals that have few or no intermediates when in contact’ (Mallet 1995). We used this basis to evaluate delimitation hypotheses for Aspidoscelis tigris, with the acknowledgement that post hoc interpretation is then required to assess how these may (or may not) extend to theoretical species definitions such as the BSC. Thus, genotypic clusters (as delimited herein) form one line of evidence, which can then be contrasted with other lines (e.g., morphological, adaptive, reproductive) to form integrative taxonomic recommendations, as has been a prevailing trend in modern herpetological studies (Wallenberg Valero et al. 2019).

An expectation of the ‘… little to no intermediates in contact’ criterion is that individuals from separate genotypic clusters should not be any more genetically similar when sampled in proximity than when sampled from more geographically disparate locations. Such a relationship would suggest continuous gene flow limited only by the ability of individuals to disperse (i.e., ‘isolation-by-distance’ sensu Wright 1940, 1943).

We tested the applicability of this criterion to our species hypotheses using spatial and non-spatial analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA/ SAMOVA), by testing the manner by which each partitioning scheme explained spatial signatures of genetic differentiation (IBD/ Mantel), and with qualitative comparisons of landscape-level genetic differentiation (AIS interpolation). We found the ABGD model (i.e., separation of A. marmoratus from all A. tigris subspecies) did well with partitioning spatial isolation or mutually exclusive habitat requirements (Fig. 6). In this case, near-zero slopes in the among-group comparisons lend some validity to a species-level designation for A. marmoratus and suggested the potential for reproductive isolation within the tigris complex (i.e., between A. t. tigris/punctilinealis and A. t. septentrionalis; Fig. 6B). However, reduced sample sizes for A. t. mundus and A. t. tigris remain an issue. Within-group comparisons resulted in a positive relationship with geographic distance, as was expected (Fig. 6).

Species concepts and taxonomic conclusions for Aspidoscelis

Species concepts present a philosophical quandary (Coyne and Orr 2004). For example, many modern interpretations (i.e., biological (BSC; Mayr 1942); evolutionary (ESC; Frost and Hillis 1990); phylogenetic (PSC; Cracraft 1983)) offer a mechanistic or philosophical interpretation for the existence of species yet rely on conflicting and narrowly-defined operational criteria that may be not universally applicable. A more appropriate ‘unified species concept’ (sensu de Queiroz 2007) views the existence of lineages (e.g., as distinct metapopulations) as a primary criterion for recognition, with various operational criteria (e.g., reproductive isolation, morphological/ molecular diagnosability, reciprocal monophyly) serving as separate lines of evidence for evolutionary independence.

Herein, we rely on but one type of evidence (i.e., diagnosis of genotypic/ haplotype clusters; Douglas et al. 2006, Sullivan et al. 2014) wherein clusters are defined as ‘… distinguishable groups of individuals that have few or no intermediates when in contact’ (Mallet 1995). We used this basis to evaluate delimitation hypotheses for Aspidoscelis tigris, with the recognition that post hoc interpretation is then required to assess how these may (or may not) extend to theoretical species definitions such as the BSC. Thus, genotypic clusters (as delimited herein) form one line of evidence, which can then be contrasted with other lines (e.g., morphological, adaptive, reproductive) to form integrative taxonomic recommendations, as has been a prevailing trend in modern herpetological studies.
haplotypic variation, with *A. marmoratus* being highly differently differentiated (>10% sequence divergence) even from localities sampled <2km from *A. t. punctilinealis* (Fig. 6A). These patterns are consistent with many commonly applied species concepts (e.g., reproductive isolation; per BSC), and given this, the elevated status of *A. marmoratus* is sustained.

We also found limited (albeit, existing) exchange between two major clades within the remaining *A. tigris*: *A. t. tigris/punctilinealis* versus *A. t. septentrionalis/mundus* (i.e., TAX model). Here, genetic differentiation was again uncorrelated with spatial distances (Fig. 6B), possibly implicating intrinsic or extrinsic factors that may satisfy species-level criteria. Previous estimates place divergence of these groups to be no greater than 5-10 kya, based upon availability of suitable habitat following the Wisconsin glacial period (Zweifel 1962). If so, then our observed mean corrected genetic distance of 0.058 equates to a mutation rate of 5.8 substitutions per million years, a rate considerably greater than the expected rate of ~0.0045 for ATPase 6, the more rapidly evolving of our two mitochondrial genes (Kumar 1996, Mueller 2006).

Although we recognize that numerous factors contribute to mtDNA rate heterogeneity, our rudimentary calculation suggests that subspecies within *A. tigris* may have diverged much earlier than previously thought. Although our results support the continued recognition of *A. t. septentrionalis* as distinct, we deem them insufficient to propose eurytaxic beyond a subspecific level. Instead, we argue for greater sampling at both geographical and genomic scales to properly adjudicate taxonomies within *A. t. tigris*. The latter, while enabling tests of hybridization/ introgression within a more statistically robust framework (e.g., Chafin et al. 2019), would also open avenues for novel species delimitation algorithms (Derkarabetian et al. 2019, Martin et al. 2020, Mussmann et al. 2020), and provide a necessary test of whether or not the mitochondrial perspective developed herein holds in an evaluation considering a much broader sampling of genome-wide coalescent and genealogical variation.

The CD5 model identified potential cryptic lineages within a clade comprising *A. t. tigris* and *A. t. punctilinealis*, although sample sizes representing this group were limited. Of note, *A. t. tigris* and *A. t. punctilinealis* were not reciprocally monophyletic, however assigning causality of this to hybridization/ introgradation, stochastic lineage sorting, or a lack of phylogenetic signal requires additional data (Chafin et al. 2020). Again, we suggest a more in-depth examination using a larger panel of molecular markers so as to confirm or reject continued recognition of *A. t. tigris* as distinct from *A. t. punctilinealis*, and likewise to adjudicate recognition of *A. t. mundus* from *A. t. septentrionalis*.

**Conclusion**

We found that algorithmic species delimitation methods vary substantially in the manner by which they partition hierarchical phylogenetic diversity, particularly when contrasted against the respected overlays of historical biogeography, morphology, ecology, and prior genetic evaluations. By this we mean that some algorithms (e.g., ABGD) yielded groupings which support external evidence of divergence at the ‘species’ level (e.g., according to a BSC-like interpretation), while others (e.g., CD5) yield groupings that could potentially fit various operational species concepts, yet require further evaluation using genomic data. Others (GMYC, PTP) seemingly delimit populations, a conclusion in line with prior evaluations of simulated and empirical datasets. The latter was hypothesized to be impacted by inflated levels of inter-population divergence, a phenomenon which has been observed in other co-occurring desert fauna subjected to the same historical climatic fluctuation. These observations prompt us to support the elevation of *A. marmoratus*, but additional groups within the *A. tigris* complex will requiring additional data to properly adjudicate.
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