Tribological performance of Graphene/Carbon nanotube hybrid reinforced Al₂O₃ composites
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Tribological performance of the hot-pressed pure Al₂O₃ and its composites containing various hybrid contents of graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were investigated under different loading conditions using the ball-on-disc method. Benchmarked against the pure Al₂O₃, the composite reinforced with a 0.5 wt% GNP exhibited a 23% reduction in the friction coefficient along with a promising 70% wear rate reduction, and a hybrid reinforcement consisting of 0.3 wt.% GNPs + 1 wt.% CNTs resulted in even better performance, with a 86% reduction in the wear rate. The extent of damage to the reinforcement phases caused during wear was studied using Raman spectroscopy. The wear mechanisms for the composites were analysed based on the mechanical properties, brittleness index and microstructural characterizations. The excellent coordination between GNPs and CNTs contributed to the excellent wear resistance property in the hybrid GNT-reinforced composites. GNPs played the important role in the formation of a tribofilm on the worn surface by exfoliation; whereas CNTs contributed to the improvement in fracture toughness and prevented the grains from being pulled out during the tribological test.

Structural ceramics are becoming a new class of materials for advanced engineering applications due to the limitation of polymers and metals in extreme environments (e.g. high temperature/pressure, nuclear radiation and chemicals). Particularly, the friction and wear behaviour of ceramic-based composites is of great importance for contact-mechanical (e.g. bearing, valves, nozzles, armour, and prostheses) and protective coating applications. Accordingly, a wide range of reinforcements such as fibres¹², particles¹, and carbon nanotubes (CNTs)⁴–⁷, have been incorporated into different ceramic matrices to fabricate composites, with the main objective to curtail the intrinsic brittleness and widen their applications.

The recently discovered graphene has shown exceptionally high mechanical (Young’s modulus of 1.0 TPa), electrical and thermal properties, which make it one of the most promising reinforcements for ceramic matrix composites (CMCs)⁸–¹¹. This 2-dimensional (2D) sheet of carbon has high surface areas compared to graphite, carbon black and CNTs, thus a small loading (less than 1.0 vol%) in a matrix may lead to large improvements in the composite properties. In the case of CNTs, another wonder materials for decades, a higher concentration (1∼10 vol%) was generally required for toughening and strengthening of ceramics¹²,¹³.

Moreover, graphene is a good candidate for solid lubrication that reduces the friction force between contact surfaces at micro- and nano-scale. A nanometer-thick surface layer of hard, strong, and lubricating graphene on ceramic grains may lead to a huge improvement in the contact-damage resistance. In fact, some tribological properties of graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) reinforced ceramic nanocomposites with obvious reductions in wear volume have also been documented¹⁴–¹⁷. Polymer-based composites reinforced with graphene oxide and CNTs also showed significant reductions in the wear rate¹⁸,¹⁹. Shen
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et al. reported that epoxy reinforced with hybrid graphene oxide (GO) and CNT obtained 40% additional reduction in specific wear rate compared with those containing only CNTs, due to the improved CNT dispersion in the presence of GO19.

In our previous work, we also applied a hybrid of CNTs and GNPs (GNTs) into an Al_2O_3 matrix and achieved significant improvements in terms of different mechanical properties11. In this paper, the wear resistant performance of the Al_2O_3-GNT nanocomposites with various GNT contents, under various sliding loads, will be our main research focus. We will report the excellent low wear rate, analyse and discuss the wear mechanism of this novel hybrid reinforcement.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials and characterization techniques.** GNPs (ABCR GmbH & Co, Germany, 6-8 nm thick × 5 μm wide) and CNTs (Tsinghua University, China, average outer diameter of 40 nm), as shown in Fig. 1, were highly dispersed within Al_2O_3 nanopowder (40 nm gamma-Al_2O_3 nanoparticles provided by Sasol, Germany) by a unique environmental friendly wet mixing technique assisted by a probe-sonication, and the mixed powders were subsequently hot-pressed at 1650°C under 40 MPa under Ar atmosphere, as described previously11.

The densified square samples (50 × 50 × 2.5 mm) were categorized according to the concentration of reinforcing constitutions (GNP and CNT) and are listed in Table 1, designated as S_{X-Y}, where X represents the GNP wt% and Y for the CNT wt%. The Archimedes method was used to measure the densities of the samples (using distilled water), and the relative densities were calculated by dividing the measured density by the theoretical density. For consistency, 3.97 g/cm³, 1.85 g/cm³ and 2.21 g/cm³ were used as the theoretical densities for Al_2O_3, CNTs and GNPs, respectively11. Structural features of the worn surfaces were examined using SEM (Hitachi S3200N), and X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained on a Bruker D8 Advance XRD machine to analyse the crystalline phase features of all samples. Raman spectroscopy

![Figure 1. TEM image of the Al_2O_3-GNT powder mixture, prior to hot-press sintering, showing the uniform dispersion of the constituents.](image)

**Table 1.** A summary of the relative densities, mechanical properties and brittleness index of the hot-pressed pure Al_2O_3 and nanocomposite samples.

| Material                              | Sample ID (S_{X-Y}) | Relative density (%) | Hardness Vickers (GPa) | Flexural strength (MPa) | SENB Fracture toughness (MPa.m^{1/2}) | Brittleness Index (BI) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Pure Al_2O_3                          | S_{0.0}             | 98                   | 15.9                   | 369                     | 3.5                                    | 4.5                    |
| Al_2O_3-0.5 wt% GNP                   | S_{0.5-0}           | 99.2                 | 15.6                   | 390                     | 5.5                                    | 2.83                   |
| Al_2O_3-2 wt% GNP                     | S_{2-0}             | 98                   | 7.5                    | 296                     | 3.9                                    | 1.92                   |
| Al_2O_3-5 wt% GNP                     | S_{5-0}             | 97                   | 4.2                    | 120                     | 2.7                                    | 1.5                    |
| Al_2O_3-1 wt% GNP +1 wt% CNT          | S_{1-1}             | 99                   | 11.2                   | 270                     | 3.5                                    | 3.14                   |
| Al_2O_3-0.3 wt% GNP +1 wt% CNT        | S_{0.3-1}           | 99                   | 16                     | 430                     | 5.8                                    | 2.7                    |
(Renishaw RM1000) was also used to evaluate the structural changes of both GNP s and CNTs before and after the wear testing.

**Mechanical properties.** Vickers hardness testing was performed using a 5 kg load for 15 s, and an average of five equally spaced indent s was recorded for each sample. The flexural strength (\(\sigma_f\)) was measured using the three-point bending technique, and the size of specimens was 20 mm (length) \(\times\) 2 mm (breadth) \(\times\) 2.2 mm (height). The bending span and the loading speed for the flexural strength testing were 16 mm and 0.5 mm/min, respectively. At least 4 bars were tested for each sample. The fracture toughness (\(K_{IC}\)) was evaluated using the single edge notched beam (SENB) method. Four notched specimens with identical dimensions of 14 mm (length) \(\times\) 2.6 \(\pm\) 0.20 mm (breadth) \(\times\) 2.2 \(\pm\) 0.15 mm (height) were prepared for each test using a diamond blade. The ratio of the notch depth to the specimen height (\(a/W\)) was \(\sim 0.45–0.55\) according to the ASTM standard (ASTM C1421–99)\(^{20}\). Three-point bending test was carried out using a bending span of 8 mm and a loading speed of 0.01 mm/min, to achieve slow crack propagation.

The fracture toughness values were calculated using the maximum force, evaluated from the force-deflection curve, and the specimen dimension using the following equation\(^{7,21}\).

\[
K_{IC} = \frac{3P_{max}L}{2BW^{3/2}Y^{1/2}}
\]  
where \(Y\) is the minimum of geometrical compliance function, and \(B\) and \(W\) are the width and height of specimens, respectively.

The brittleness index (\(BI\)) was measured using equation (2), where \(H\) represents the hardness and \(K_{IC}\) for the fracture toughness of the material\(^{21}\).

\[
BI = \frac{H}{K_{IC}}
\]  

**Wear testing technique.** The friction and wear experiments were performed on a wear testing machine using a ball-on-disc configuration in linear reciprocating mode\(^{22,23}\). A Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) ball of \(\phi 6.3\) mm (produced by Dejay Ltd., UK) was used against the pre-polished flat sample surfaces. The tests were carried out at different loads of 5 N, 15 N, 25 N and 35 N, at a fixed sliding speed of 10 mm/s with a reciprocating stroke of 10 mm and duration of 120 min. The friction force transferred to a load cell was recorded throughout the tests. The cross-sectional areas of wear tracks of the samples were measured using a TALYSURF CLI 1000 profilometer (Taylor/Hobson Precision, UK), and the volume losses of the samples were calculated using the TalyMap Universal software. The specific wear rates were calculated using equation (3)\(^{24}\).

\[
W = \frac{V}{LF}
\]  
where \(L\) is the sliding distance, \(F\) is the applied load, and \(V\) the wear volume.

**Result**

**Mechanical properties and strucrural features of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-GNT composites.** Wear property in ceramic materials is closely attributed to their mechanical properties, therefore Table 1 summarises the chemical composition, relative densities and their corresponding mechanical properties of different Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-GNT nanocomposites and pure Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) prepared by hot-press. Sample identified with \(S_{0.5-0}\) exhibited a 58% improvement in the fracture toughness due to various toughening mechanisms, as discussed previously\(^{11}\). However, the GNP anchoring around Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) grains played the most important role in toughening the composites. Increasing the GNP content up to 2 wt% deteriorated the mechanical properties, due to the debonding phenomenon of overlapped large GNPs\(^{11}\).

It has been shown that the brittleness index (\(BI\)), defined as the \(H/K_{IC}\) ratio of a material which reflects the combined responses of the material, is a better parameter for the quantification of wear resistance than taking either the \(H\) or the \(K_{IC}\) alone separately\(^{21}\). Accordingly, a lower hardness combined with higher fracture toughness (lower \(BI\)) will make the material more tolerant to damage during wear. Thus \(BI\) values of various samples are also presented in Table 1.

The typical peaks of \(\alpha\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) were shown in all nanocomposites samples in their XRD profiles (Fig. 2), without any detectable carbide phases, indicating no significant reactions between the GNPs and the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) during the consolidation process. At high GNP contents, an additional peak appeared at 26.3° for \(S_{2.0}\), which became more detectable for \(S_{5.0}\). This peak corresponds to the basal plane in crystalline graphite, and its appearance suggests that the GNPs remained undamaged and kept their integrity after the hot-pressing. When the GNP contents are higher than 2%, the platelet structure makes the reflection from the (002) planes dominant and is easily detectable by XRD.

**Tribological results.** Figure 3a represents the variation of coefficient of friction (COF) as a function of the GNP contents, at different loading conditions. It is clear that the COF trend is different at lower
applied loads (5N and 15N) from that at higher applied loads (25N and 35N). Under lower applied loads (5N and 15N), there is a minimum point in the COF value for sample S0.5-0 with a 23% reduction using 15N compared with the pure Al2O3 sample. When the load was increased to 25N and 35N, the COF continues to decrease with the GNP content increases. The different responses to varied applied loads during wear is related to the machanical properties of the samples, which will be discussed later after the wear track analysis in section 4.

The weight loss varations as a function of GNP content is shown in Fig. 3b. In all samples, the weight loss increased with increasing the sliding load. The lowest value for weight loss was observed for S0.5-0, with a 60%, 70% and 80% reduction compared with S0-0 under the sliding loads of 15N, 25N and 35N, respectively. These results shows that, under low GNP contents up to 2 wt%, the composites outperformed the pure Al2O3; whilst at high GNP contents of 5 wt%, the wear performance of the composite deteriorated.

Wear properties and microstructures. Figure 4 shows the SEM images of the wear track of pure Al2O3 under four different sliding loads and the texture of worn surfaces clearly depicts the load-dependant wear behaviour in pure Al2O3 samples. As the sliding load increased, the wear track became wider. Moreover, the lower sliding loads (5N and 15N) produced relatively smoother wear track surfaces, with very small amount of grains being pulled out. However, the 25N sliding load caused a larger area of grain pull-out (Fig. 4c), and the 35N load led to even severe damage to the wear surfaces, with traces of wear grooves and large residue debris on the surface, as shown in Fig. 4d. Such Al2O3 grain pull-outs under 25N and 35N sliding loads produced a large amount of wear debris which in turn resulted in abrasive sliding wear.

The SEM images of wear tracks of S0.5-0 under four different sliding loads are shown in Fig. 5, which are entirely dissimilar to wear tracks of pure Al2O3, as shown in Fig. 4. Under 25N sliding load (Fig. 5c), there are no grains being pulled out for S0.5-0, whilst such a sliding load caused severe damage to the worn surface of the pure Al2O3 (Fig. 4c). Based on these detailed pictorial worn surfaces, it seems that the higher fracture toughness of S0.5-0 compared with pure Al2O3, as summarised in Table 1, strengthened the grain boundaries and stopped the grains being pulled out at low sliding stresses and strains. Even under 35 N load for S0.5-0 (Fig. 5d), the grain pull-out damage was minimal compared with the pure Al2O3 under the same sliding load (Fig. 4d). However, the groove traces in Fig. 5c,d indicate a deformation controlled wear behaviour under 25N and 35N sliding loads. This wear behaviour change probably weakened the lubricating merit of the GPNs in the composites under coarse wear, hence the reduction in COF for S0.5-0 under higher sliding loads is not so obvious, as shown in Fig. 3a. In this context, the 15N sliding load was kept constant for comparing the wear performance of various Al2O3-GNT composites.

The wear track profiles of pure Al2O3 and Al2O3-GNP composites are shown in Fig. 6a, and the wear rates were calculated using equation (3) and plotted in Fig. 6b. According to the wear track profile (Fig. 6a), the GNP contents played a critical role in the tribological properties. The worn volume decreased with increased GNP contents, up to 2 wt%, however adding 5 wt% GNPs deteriorated the wear resistant property and drastically increased the worn volume (Fig. 6a), and the wear rate (Fig. 6b). It is clear that adding 0.5 wt% GNPs into the Al2O3 matrix led to the biggest improvement in the wear resistance, resulting in over 70% reduction in the wear rate, benchmarked against the pure Al2O3 (Fig. 6b). Such a huge wear resistant improvement in the S0.5-0 also matched well with the previously confirmed lowest COF of S0.5-0 amongst other GNP single phase reinforced composites (Fig. 3a), and the lowest weight loss (Fig. 3b).
After adding the hybrid GNT reinforcement into the Al₂O₃ matrix, their COF and wear track profiles of the Al₂O₃-GNT composites are exhibited in Fig. 7a,b respectively, against the pure Al₂O₃. Sample S₀.₃₋₁ showed a 20% reduction in the COF (Fig. 7a) and a 74% reduction in the worn volume, compared with the pure Al₂O₃, under the 15 N sliding load (Fig. 7b). The large improvements in the wear

Figure 3. Effect of GNP contents on the (a) coefficient of friction and (b) wear loss of Al₂O₃-GNP composites tested at different loads.

Figure 4. SEM images of the wear track of pure Al₂O₃ (S₀₋₀) under various sliding loads. (a) 5 N, (b) 15 N, (c) 25 N, and (d) 35 N.
resistant properties are in line with the excellent mechanical properties for both $S_{0.5-0}$ and $S_{0.3-1}$ (Table 1). Conversely, the mechanically weak nanocomposites, $S_{5-0}$, exhibited poor wear resistance (Fig. 6).

Having compared the wear tracks of $S_{0.5-0}$ and $S_{0.3-1}$, we realise that $S_{0.3-1}$ outperformed $S_{0.5-0}$ marginally, with a slightly smaller worn volume and lower wear rate (Fig. 8a,b) and their wear rates dropped by 70% and 86% for $S_{0.5-0}$ and $S_{0.3-1}$ respectively, against Al₂O₃. The hybrid reinforcement shows huge potentials in tailoring the wear properties of the composites.

Further, we compared the above excellent tribological results of the composites with their BI values listed in Table 1. The BI value of $S_{0.3-1}$ is slightly lower than that of $S_{0.5-0}$, which means the former has better machinary properties than $S_{0.5-0}$. This further confirms the advantages of applying hybrid reinforcement in ceramic composites.

**Wear mechanism discussion**

To understand the role of GNTs in the wear mechanism, 5 different samples with various GNT contents were chosen and their wear tracks were further investigated by using SEM, compared with wear tracks of the pure Al₂O₃, and the results are shown in Figs 9 and 10. All the selected wear tracks were subject to the same sliding loads, for comparison purpose. As described earlier, the surface of pure Al₂O₃ is unsmooth, with large islands of pull-out grains (Fig. 9a). Within the grains, a large amount of debris was visible at higher resolution, Fig. 10a shows the coarse wear behaviour discussed above. Adding 0.5 wt% of GNP alone into the Al₂O₃ made the wear track narrower (Fig. 9b) than that of the pure Al₂O₃, and a smooth tribofilm appeared on the worn surface, as shown in Fig. 10b. This smooth tribofilm decreased the wear friction between the sample and the counterpart ball, thus improved the wear resistance, as shown in Fig. 6. Further GNP addition up to 2 wt% led to a decreased area of the smooth tribofilm (Fig. 9c), and micro chipping with grain pull-outs also became visible on the worn surface (Fig. 10c), compared with $S_{0.5-0}$. The deteriorated wear resistance for $S_{5-0}$ (Fig. 9d) corresponded to the large areas of intergranular grain pull-out, as shown in Figs 9d and 10d, due to its poor mechanical properties as listed in Table 1. The wear track became narrowest, even narrower in $S_{0.3-1}$ than $S_{0.5-0}$ (Fig. 9e), corresponding to the highest amount of coherent tribofilm (Fig. 10e). These study confirmed the key relationship between the GNT content and the formation of a tribofilm during wear, and helped to explain why the $S_{0.3-1}$ exhibited even better wear resistant behavior than the $S_{0.5-0}$ as presented in Fig. 8.

**Figure 5.** SEM images of the wear track of sample $S_{0.5-0}$, under various sliding loads. (a) 5 N, (b) 15 N, (c) 25 N, and (d) 35 N.
Further, the fracture toughness of $S_{0.3-1}$ is slightly higher than that of $S_{0.5-0}$, due to different roles of GNPs and CNTs in toughening the composites, as discussed previously\textsuperscript{11}. Easier CNT de-bundling in the presence of GNPs is another advantage of the hybrid reinforcement agent in $S_{0.3-1}$, which helped the well-dispersed CNTs to better exhibit their merit in the composite, than them working alone.

The reduced grain pull-outs could be a result of less tangential frictional forces between the ball and the composite surface, due to formation of a protective tribofilm by the GNT exfoliation on the wear surface. Fig. 11a–c provides evidence for the direct role of GNTs in the formation of the protective tribofilm during the wear test. The embedded GNTs from unpolished (ground only) surface will be exposed and spread on the wear track during the reciprocating movements, to form the tribofilm (Fig. 11a,b). The flattened GNTs on the worn surface are clearly visible in Figs 10f and 11c (circled), which could be the feeding stock for the tribofilm. GNPs are likely to contribute more effectively to the tribofilm than that of CNTs, due to their layered and their easy to be exfoliated structures; whilst CNTs’ rolling effect, along such tribofilm, cannot be ignored in the reduction of COF and wear rates\textsuperscript{24}.

Further, the existence of CNTs indirectly contributed more in bridging the grains against crack propagations (due their higher aspect ratio) in case of micro-chipping and grain pull-outs, by improving the mechanical properties of the composites (Figs 10f and 11c). In fact, samples without CNTs but with higher GNP contents ($S_{2.0}$ and $S_{5.0}$) drastically degraded the wear resistant property (Fig. 6), because of the poor mechanical properties (Table1) which ended up with severe grain pull-outs (Fig. 9c,d). Therefore, both the lubricating film and the improved mechanical properties together improved the wear resistance.
resistant properties of the composites. Furthermore, although sufficient amounts of flattened CNTs on
the wear track could indeed lubricate the surface, as reported in our previous work on Al₂O₃-5 wt% CNT
composites²⁴, the fact that very low GNP contents (S₀.5-₀ and S₀.3-₁) in the composites could lead to the
formation of tribofilms suggests the dominant role of GNPs in the improved tribological performance in
this context. The existence of the fragmented GNPs during tribology testing is confirmed by our Raman
studies, as shown in Fig. 12.

Two types of areas on S₀.5-₀ and S₀.3-₁ were chosen during our Raman scanning: 1) surfaces away from
wear damaged areas (i.e. no influence of the wear); and 2) surfaces inside the wear tracks which were
subject to the entire wear process. Compared with the pure GNP Raman spectra, three typical peaks
were observed at ∼1350 cm⁻¹ (D band), ∼1585 cm⁻¹ (G band) and ∼2700 cm⁻¹ (2D band) in the fresh
surfaces for S₀.5-₀ and S₀.3-₁²⁵, confirming that there is no damage to GNPs during the sintering process.

Figure 8. A comparison of the S₀.5-₀ and S₀.3-₁ performance against pure Al₂O₃ (a) wear track profiles and
(b) wear rates.

Figure 9. SEM images of wear tracks of (a) pure Al₂O₃, (b) S₀.5-₀, (c) S₂-₀, (d) S₅-₀, (e) S₀.3-₁ and (f) S₁-₁.
Inside the wear track of S_{0.5-0}, the scans revealed an increase in the D peak intensity. The increased D peak intensity is directly related to the number of edges, corresponding to more GNP flakes in this context, given the uniform GNP dispersion in the as-synthesised composites. Thus, the increased I_D/I_G ratios inside the wear track of S_{0.5-0} indeed confirmed the formation of extra fragmented GNP flakes during the tribology testing. However, the exfoliation and fragmented flakes were believed to be the source for the tribofilm formation. Similar behaviour has also been observed by other authors for silicon nitride-GNP composites\(^1\). The increases in the I_D/I_G ratios in worn surfaces of S_{0.3-1} are also obvious, indicating the exfoliation and tribofilm formation, even at very low GNP content. Another interesting point about the Al_2O_3-GNT composites is that such tribofilms could be maintained during the entire wear process, as eventually damaged tribofilms on the surface can continuously be replaced or regenerated by the embedded GNTs inside the Al_2O_3 matrix.
Conclusion
The tribological properties of the hybrid GNT reinforced-Al₂O₃ composites were investigated using a ball-on-disc technique. Samples designated as S₀.5-0 and S₀.3-1 showed a remarkable 70% and 86% reduction in the wear rates and 23% and 20% reduction in COF values, respectively, against the pure Al₂O₃, under 15 N sliding load. It was identified that the superior mechanical traits of the S₀.5-0 and S₀.3-1 samples, in term of fracture toughness, against pure Al₂O₃ and the formation of a protective tribofilm on the wear track are the effective wear mechanisms for converting Al₂O₃-GNT composites into wear resistant materials. The CNTs played a vital indirect role in the former, whilst GNPs contributed directly to the latter tribofilm formation which is more dominant for the reduced COF. These newly developed novel hybrid composites, possessing promising toughness, machinability and tribological performance, could extend their application to many new fields as advanced structural materials, protective coatings for micro-mechanical systems and contact-damage-resistant components.
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