PHENOTYPIC PERFORMANCE OF CIHERANG SUB1 NEAR ISOGENIC LINE AS AN ADAPTIVE VARIETY FOR FLOODING CONDITIONS
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ABSTRACT

Marker assisted back crossing (MABC) is a molecular tool that can help breeders in reducing backcrossed generation. However, effectiveness of this method still needs further approval using actual phenotypic performances. The International Rice Research Institute had developed Ciherang near isogenic line (NIL) of submergence tolerance, Sub1. The study aimed to evaluate phenotypic performances of Ciherang Sub1 NIL in the greenhouse and field conditions. The study was conducted in ten locations using five submergence-tolerant varieties and a control treatment under normal conditions. The results showed that the average grain yields and some agronomic traits of Ciherang Sub1 were not significantly different compared with those of Ciherang (recurrent parent). However, under 10- and 15-days of submergence, Ciherang Sub1 was significantly different to Ciherang. The survival rate of Ciherang Sub1 was higher than Ciherang after 14-days submerged in the greenhouse tank experiment. Response of Ciherang Sub1 to brown planthopper biotype 1, 2 and 3, Xanthomonas oryzae pathotype III, IV, and VIII, and rice tungro virus inocula from Subang, Magelang and Lanrang were also comparable with its recurrent parent. Quality and physico-chemical properties of rice (milled rice) of Ciherang Sub1 were not different with those of Ciherang. Similarity level of phenotypic traits of Ciherang Sub1 compared to Ciherang was more than 87.5%. This finding proved that a single backcross method can produce progeny identical with its parent. This MABC line can be recommended to farmers in flood-prone area where the Ciherang is preferred.
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INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in rice genomic research and completion of the rice genome sequence have made it possible to identify and map precisely several genes through linkage to DNA markers (Jena and Mackill 2008). Furthermore, the use of cost-effective DNA markers derived from the fine mapped position of the genes for important agronomic traits will provide opportunities for breeders to develop high-yielding, stress-resistant, and better-quality rice cultivars. DNA marker as a tool for selection is called as a marker assisted selection (MAS). Its
usage had been expanded to back crossing selection, and it is termed as a marker assisted back crossing (MABC) (Collard and Mackill 2008). MABC may reduce the back cross generation, if the targeted gene and the genetic background of the recipient parent could be identified correctly. The recovery degree of the recurrent parent, however, may be offset by the smaller number of selected plants during the process of applying MABC.

Swarna-Sub1 and IR64-Sub1 were the first submergence-tolerant rice varieties developed using MABC approach in IRRI. Those two varieties performed similar agronomic traits, such as grain yield and grain quality with their recurrent parent (Singh et al. 2009). Further, those varieties showed higher grain yield advantage over their recurrent parent under submergence for 10 days or more during the vegetative stage (Sarkar et al. 2006; Neeraja et al. 2007; Septiningsih et al. 2009; Nugraha et al. 2013a). This indicated that there was a complete restoration of the recurrent parent genetic background. Those two varieties have been introduced in Indonesia and released as Inpara 4 and Inpara 5 in 2010 (Suprihatno et al. 2012) and the seed has been distributed to farmers in the flood-prone areas in Java and Sumatra (Ismail et al. 2013). However, result of a study in the flood-prone area of northern coast of West Java showed that the submergence-tolerant varieties were not adopted by farmers due to undesirable grain quality and susceptibility to pests and diseases (Manzanilla et al. 2011). Farmers preferred to plant submergence-sensitive variety, Ciherang, despite having to face a risk of devastated flooding during the wet season. Ciherang is not only preferred by farmers in this area but also occupies more than 45% of total rice planted area in Indonesia (Ruskandar 2010). It is important, therefore, to develop Ciherang-submergence tolerant variety to minimize crop losses due to unexpected inundation during rice growth and to accelerate its adoption by farmers who already familiar with Ciherang variety.

In the case of development of Ciherang Sub1, the SUB1 donor used in MABC was IR64 Sub1, the ancestor of Ciherang (IR64) which also has SUB1 (Ismail et al. 2013). This slightly close related genomic distance allows to apply ‘one back cross strategy’ by introgressing a major QTL/gene, such as Sub1, in a relatively short time, i.e. three seasons (Frisch and Melchinger 2001; Frisch and Melchinger 2005). The conventional breeding method requires 5–6 back cross generations to transfer more than 90% of recurrent parent genetic background (Vogel 2009). The MABC method facilitated the acceleration of releasing varieties within 1–1.5 years, depending on whether two or three generations of rice planting could be performed within a year.

IR09F436 (Ciherang Sub 1) was selected from F2 BC2 generation which was developed using SC3 and ART5 marker for SUB1 locus (foreground selection) and 48 SSR markers for genetic background of the Ciherang genome (Septiningsih et al. 2014). Since the process had fewer polymorphic markers due to close relatedness of donor and recurrent parents, it successfully completes the conversion at the BC1F2 stages. This is faster than the standard BC2F3, usually employed, like the development of IR64 Sub1 and Swarna Sub1 (Septiningsih et al. 2009). After accomplishment of breeding process, the seed of Ciherang Sub1 was introduced in Indonesia in 2011. However, this breeding material requires field and greenhouse trials to test its phenotypic performances and similarity to its recurrent parent, Ciherang. The study result will also be able to confirm the effectiveness of a shorter process of backcrossing strategy in developing a new rice variety using MABC method. The objectives of this study were to evaluate the phenotypic performances of Ciherang Sub1 NIL in the greenhouse and field conditions. In this present study, we compared Ciherang Sub1 on the advanced yield trial in some locations with its recurrent parent, Ciherang. We observed the grain yield and agronomic characters, their response to major pest and diseases, and their grain/cooking quality.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Multi-Location Yield Trials**

The experiments to evaluate yield potential and agronomic traits were carried out in the wet season of 2010/2011 and in the dry season of 2011 (Table 1) in ten locations representing rice production center with different soil types and elevations. Six rice genotypes consisted of five submergence-tolerant genotypes (Ciherang Sub1, PSBRe82 Sub1, Inpara 3, Inpara 5 and B13138-MR-2-7), and a sensitive-check variety, Ciherang, were evaluated. In each location, a randomized complete block design was used with three replications. Plot size was 4 m x 5 m and plant spacing was 25 cm x 25 cm, one plant per hill. Seeds were sown in the seedling bed for 21 days and then transplanted to the field. Nitrogen, phosphorus, potash and zinc nutrients were applied at a rate of 90:30:30:5 kg ha−1 as basal fertilizers. Second and third applications of nitrogen were conducted at 40 days after transplanting (DAT) and 60 DAT, respectively. Crop management followed the standard rice cultural practices. Grain yield was measured at maturity from 10 m2 subplots, with area under the missing hills was subtracted from harvest area. The yield was adjusted to a moisture content of 14% fresh weight and converted to t ha−1.
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The experiments to evaluate the response of rice genotypes under submerged conditions were carried out at Sukamandi Experimental Station of the Indonesian Center for Rice Research (ICRR) during the wet season of 2010/2011. The same genotypes as those tested in the grain yield trials were evaluated for submergence tolerance. The six genotypes were tested using a randomized complete block design, in three replicates. Plot size was 1 m x 5 m, plant spacing was 25 cm x 25 cm, one seedling per hill. Three ponds of 1000 m² area, 1.5 m depth and surrounded with concrete cement in each side were used. The three ponds were set up for application of different submergences, i.e. (1) complete submergence for 5 days, (2) complete submergence for 10 days, and (3) complete submergence for 15 days. Crop management followed the standard rice cultural practices.

The submergence treatment was started at 14 days after transplanting. Irrigation was applied from noon to allow sufficient time for rice to accumulate carbohydrate through photosynthesis in the morning. Desired water depth was maintained at 1.2 m by adding water regularly in the ponds. Algae were minimized by removing from the water surface using small fish nets.

Data were collected for nondestructive samples, such as percentage of survival, days to flowering and plant height. The yield attributes were determined by random sampling of 10 hills from each plot. Panicles were hand-threshed and the filled and unfilled spikelets were separated after drying under the sun. The subsamples were then oven-dried at 70°C till constant weights to determine 1000-grain weight and spikelet number per panicle. Grain yield was measured at maturity from 1 m² subplots, with area under the missing hills was subtracted from harvest area. The yield was then adjusted to a moisture content of 14% fresh weight and converted to t.ha⁻¹.

### Table 1. Characteristics of locations used for advanced yield trial of submergence-tolerant rice line.

| Location                  | Season       | Coordinate point | Elevation above sea level (m) | Soil type    |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Kesugihan-2, Cilacap      | WS 2010/2011 | S 7° 19' 45.98" E 108° 43' 35.54" | 16                            | Alluvial     |
| Tanjung Labuk, OKI         | WS 2010/2011 | S 3° 33' 45.23" E 104° 47' 32.65" | 15                            | Organosol    |
| Kayu Agung, Palembang     | WS 2010/2011 | S 3° 28' 59.75" E 104° 48' 14.68" | 11                            | Organosol    |
| Solokanjeruk, Bandung     | WS 2010/2011 | S 7° 01' 039" E 107° 43' 965"     | 687                           | Andosol      |
| Cimalaka, Sumedang        | WS 2010/2011 | S 6° 49' 759" E 107° 58' 676"     | 419                           | Regosol      |
| Jatijitu, Majalengka      | WS 2010/2011 | S 6° 38' 59.80" E 108° 13' 35.36" | 23                            | Latosol      |
| Sukamandi, Subang         | WS 2010/2011 | S 6° 21' 02'07" E 107° 39' 04.52" | 14                            | Aluvial      |
| Cilamaya, Karawang        | DS 2011      | S 6° 20' 17.36" E 107° 33' 09.85" | 22                            | Aluvial      |
| Anjatan, Indramayu        | DS 2011      | S 6° 18' 20" E 107° 56' 10"       | 10                            | Aluvial      |
| Kesugihan-1, Cilacap      | DS 2011      | S 7° 19' 45.98" E 108° 43' 5.54" | 16                            | Aluvial      |

WS = wet season; DS = dry season

### Submergence Trial in the Field

Submergence trial in the field was carried out at Sukamandi Experimental Station of the Indonesian Center for Rice Research (ICRR) during the wet season of 2010/2011. The same genotypes as those tested in the grain yield trials were evaluated for submergence tolerance. The six genotypes were tested using a randomized complete block design, in three replicates. Plot size was 1 m x 5 m, plant spacing was 25 cm x 25 cm, one seedling per hill. Three ponds of 1000 m² area, 1.5 m depth and surrounded with concrete cement in each side were used. The three ponds were set up for application of different submergences, i.e. (1) complete submergence for 5 days, (2) complete submergence for 10 days, and (3) complete submergence for 15 days. Crop management followed the standard rice cultural practices.

The submergence treatment was started at 14 days after transplanting. Irrigation was applied from noon to allow sufficient time for rice to accumulate carbohydrate through photosynthesis in the morning. Desired water depth was maintained at 1.2 m by adding water regularly in the ponds. Algae were minimized by removing from the water surface using small fish nets.

### Submergence Trial in the Greenhouse

Submergence trial in the greenhouse was carried out in the dry season of 2011 in Muara Experimental Station of ICRR. The submergence test followed the direct seeded method (Mazerado, A.M. and Vergara B.S.(1982)). The seeds of the same genotypes with the first experiment were sown in 12 cm x 24 cm x 30 cm trays filled with soil. Each genotype had one row followed the tolerant check, FR13A and sensitive check, IR42 in four replicates. At the 14-day-old seedlings the trays were transferred to the tank filled with water of 1 m depth. The water was maintained at desired depth by adding water regularly. After 14-day submergence, the water was removed. The shoot elongation was measured after the water was receded and compared with the genotypic measurements before submergence treatments. Survival rate was observed at seven days allowing plant to recover. The survival rate was determined by counting the ratio of survived plants after submerged to total plants used before submergence treatment.

### Evaluation of Resistance to Pest and Disease

Ciherang and Ciherang Sub1 were used for evaluation of their resistance to biotic stress in the greenhouse experiment. Brown planthopper (BPH) test was carried out at Sukamandi Experimental Station of ICRR. Three BPH biotypes (biotype 1, 2 and 3) were bred in different varieties TN-1, IR26 and IR42, respectively following the method developed by Panda et al. (1982). Twenty five seedlings per genotype were planted in 200 cm x 75 cm x 20 cm wood box. Infestation used eight instars per seedling. Scoring was made when the different varieties died by following the Standard Evaluation System for Rice, SES (IRRI 2002).

Resistance to bacterial leaf blight was studied at the booting stage (50 days after planting) using three cell
suspensions of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) pathotype III, IV and VIII at a concentration of $10^8$ cells. ml$^{-1}$. The rice genotypes were planted in the field at 20 cm $\times$ 20 cm plant spacing, 20 hills per row. The tolerant checks IRBB5 and IRBB7 and susceptible checks IR64 and TN1 were included. Inoculation was done by cutting the leaves at 5 cm from the tips. Disease severity was observed by measuring the length of symptoms at 15 days after inoculation (DAI). Disease severity was determined by counting the ratio between the length of symptoms to the length of leaves inoculated based on SES IRRI for rice (IRRI 2002).

Resistance to rice tungro virus was evaluated following the International Rice Tungro Nursery (IRTN). Seedlings were planted in a row in the 70 cm $\times$ 30 cm $\times$ 30 cm plastic box. Tukad Petanu (resistant check) and TN1 (susceptible check) were planted in every 10 rows. Tungro viruses were tested by feeding acquisition of Nephotettix virescens to tungro inocula from Subang, Lanrang and Magelang for 24 hours. The viruliferous N. virescens were then released to the ten-day old seedlings for 24 hours to inoculate tungro virus. The test plants were observed and determined the scale of symptom severity at 14 days after inoculation based on SES for Rice (IRRI 2002).

**Evaluation of Physical and Chemical Quality of Rice**

Physical and chemical characteristics of Ciherang and Ciherang Sub1 milled rice were evaluated in the post-harvest laboratory of ICRRR using the method developed by Juliano (2003). The physical characteristics consisted of grain width and length, degree of whiteness, clearness, chalkiness, milling recovery, and head rice recovery. Meanwhile the chemical characteristics consisted of amylose content, gelatinization temperature, alkali value and gel consistency.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data resulted from this study were tabulated and computed using Microsoft EXCEL 2007© software. Comparisons among genotypes were analyzed using a least significant difference with SAS 9.0© (SAS Institute Inc 2009). Morphological and agronomic characters, reaction to pest and disease, and physical-chemical quality of the grain were used to analyze the genetic divergence among genotypes. Multivariate analysis was applied to study the similarity level among genotypes tested. The parameters used for analyzing the similarity were plant height, tiller number, flowering date, grain number, filled grain number, fertility, 1000-grain weight, grain yield, reaction to brown planthopper, bacterial leaf blight, and tungro virus, and physical-chemical characteristics of milled rice consisted of grain width and length, whiteness, clearness, chalkiness, milling recovery, head rice recovery, amylose content, gelatinization temperature, alkali value and gel consistency. All parameters were counted for all possible pairwise comparisons between genotypes. Matrices of Euclidean similarity coefficients based on morphological data set were analyzed using Mini Tab V.5 (Minitab Inc. 2010).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Grain Yield under Normal Conditions**

Grain yields among genotypes in all locations were significantly different, except for Majalengka. The effects of interaction between genotypes and environment on grain yield were also statistically significant, indicating that there was a high variation of genotype responses to different environmental conditions (Table 2). The average grain yield in ten locations for Ciherang Sub1 (6.18 t ha$^{-1}$) was not significantly different from that of Ciherang (5.89 t ha$^{-1}$). Genes that control grain yield are polygenic and affected by environment, therefore the grain yield genes of Ciherang might have been well recovered in the Ciherang Sub1. This was also confirmed by the performances of agronomic traits of Ciherang Sub1 tested in ten locations which were not significantly different from those of Ciherang (Table 3).

Grain yields of Ciherang and Ciherang Sub1 were not significantly different, but average grain yield of Ciherang Sub1 was slightly higher than that of Ciherang at seven out of ten locations. A similar phenomenon was reported by Singh et al. (2009) and Nugraha et al. (2013a) where Swarna Sub1 and IR64 Sub1 were insignificantly different with its parent under normal conditions. It had been reported that introgression of SUB1 gene resulted additional effects that the SUB1 lines demonstrated more tolerant to drought (Fukao and Xiong 2013) and to shading (Fukao et al. 2012). The SUB1 locus confers submergence tolerance in rice and the SUB1 was classified as a family gene called an ethylene response factor (ERF) like gene (Xu et al. 2006). The SUB1 genes are members of group VII in the ERF gene family (Nakano 2006) and are more closely related than any other rice ERF genes (Gutterson and Reuber 2004). The gene regulates ethylene, a common phyto-hormone produced by plant in stress conditions (Fukao et al. 2011). This result confirmed that introduction of SUB1 locus into rice varieties gave beneficial effect,
Table 2. Grain yields of five rice genotypes tested in ten locations for advanced yield trial.

| Genotypes          | Grain yield (t/ha\(^{-1}\)) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Means |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-------|
| Ciherang Sub1      | 6.16                          | 4.27| 6.96| 3.72| 8.41| 6.61| 4.64| 5.95| 7.88| 8.28| 6.29 |
| Ciherang           | 5.39                          | 4.01| 7.16| 3.05| 8.30| 6.65| 4.97| 5.40| 7.81| 8.15| 6.09 |
| PSBRC82-SUB1       | 5.42                          | 4.14| 5.99| 3.07| 7.95| 5.90| 4.72| 5.27| 6.86| 7.44| 5.68 |
| B13138-7-MR-2      | 4.60                          | 4.07| 6.52| 2.81| 8.34| 6.00| 4.62| 5.93| 7.51| 7.77| 5.82 |
| Inpara 3           | 4.18                          | 4.23| 5.58| 2.77| 6.34| 6.45| 3.71| 5.04| 7.14| 7.78| 5.32 |
| Inpara 5           | 4.94                          | 2.97| 7.95| 3.13| 7.66| 5.95| 4.83| 4.90| 6.19| 6.84| 5.54 |
| Means              | 5.11                          | 3.95| 6.69| 3.09| 7.83| 6.26| 4.58| 5.42| 7.23| 7.71| 5.79 |

G: Genotypes, Rep (Loc): Replication (Location), CV (%): Coefficient of Variation (%), LSD (0.05): Least Significant Difference at 0.05 level.

Notes: 1 = Cilacap 1; 2 = Karang Ampel, Indramayu; 3 = Tanjung Lubuk, Ogan Komering Ilir; 4 = Kayu Agung; 5 = Bandung; 6 = Sumedang; 7 = Majalengka; 8 = Sukamandi; 9 = Cilacap 2; 10 = Karawang.

*) Significantly different at 5% level. G = Genotypes, Loc = Location, Rep = Replication.

Table 3. Yield components and agronomic data of Ciherang Sub1, Ciherang and other Sub1 varieties under control conditions in ten different sites in Indonesia under normal conditions.

| Genotypes          | Yield component | PH  | TN  | DF  | FG  | FR  | UFG | 1000-W |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| Ciherang-Sub1      |                 | 101.7| 16  | 79  | 110 | 82  | 24  | 26.7   |
| Ciherang           |                 | 100.3| 16  | 77  | 105 | 81  | 21  | 26.7   |
| PSBRC-SUB1         |                 | 95.3 | 18  | 78  | 96  | 82  | 22  | 26.0   |
| B13138-7-MR-2-KA   |                 | 105.0| 15  | 80  | 106 | 78  | 33  | 25.6   |
| Inpara3            |                 | 100.8| 15  | 80  | 102 | 78  | 26  | 25.6   |
| Inpara5 (IR64 Sub1)|                 | 94.2 | 19  | 75  | 92  | 81  | 18  | 26.0   |
| G                  |                 | **  | **  | ns  | ns  | ns  | *   | **     |
| Rep (L)            |                 | **  | ns  | ns  | ns  | ns  | *   | **     |
| L                  |                 | **  | **  | ns  | ns  | ns  | ns  | **     |
| G x L              |                 | **  | **  | ns  | ns  | ns  | ns  | **     |
| Means              |                 | 99.5| 17  | 78  | 102 | 80  | 24  | 26.1   |
| CV (%)             |                 | 4.4 | 13.2| 1.8 | 11.6| 6.4 | 4.9 | 4.4    |
| LSD (0.05)         |                 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 1.7    |

Data were collected from ten different sites in Indonesia, with four replications in each site: Cilacap in Central Java (2010 WS and 2011 DS); Indramayu, Bandung, Sumedang, Majalengka, Sukamandi, Karawang in West Java; Tanjung Lubuk and Kayu Agung, Ogan Komering Ilir in South Sumatra in 2011 DS.

GY = grain yield, PH = plant height, TN = productive tiller number, DF = number of days to 50% flowering, FG = number of filled grains, FR = fertility, UFG = number of unfilled grains, 1,000-W = 1,000 grain weight.

** and * Significantly different at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively; ns = not significantly different.

not only improving plant tolerance to submergence but also to other abiotic stress that might appear during the experiment in the field resulting in the increasing grain yield under normal conditions.

**Genotypic Performance under Submergence Conditions**

Ciherang Sub1 and Ciherang along with the two other checks were also planted at Sukamandi Experimental Station of ICRR during the dry season of 2011 under 5-d, 10-d and 15-d submergence. Plant survivals among all genotypes were not significantly different under 5-d submergence (Figure 1). Variations of plant survivals were observed under 10-d and 15-d submergence treatments, where the tolerant varieties survived better compared to Ciherang. The survival rate of Ciherang was 60% when submerged for 10 days, while Ciherang Sub1 could maintain its survival at 88%. The survival rate under 15-d submergence for Ciherang was 40%, while that of Ciherang Sub1 was 75%. Although the survival rates of Ciherang and Ciherang Sub1 decreased...
under 15-d submergence, Ciherang Sub1 containing SUB1 gene survived better than Ciherang.

Plant survival affected grain yield only under severe submergence for 15 days. Submergence treatment for 5 days resulted insignificant effect compared to normal condition on plant survival and grain yield. Submergence for 10 days decreased grain yield of Ciherang to 3.9 t.ha\(^{-1}\) compared to that at normal conditions in Sukamandi (Table 2), but its grain yield was not significantly different to that of tolerant varieties (Table 4). This was attributed to the survival plants resulting more tillers to compensate spacious population due to some plants died during submergence as revealed by Ciherang producing 18 and 20 tillers under 10-d and 15-d submergence, respectively. However, under severe submergence for 15 days, genotypes revealed significant differences in grain yields, where Ciherang yielded only 2.0 t.ha\(^{-1}\) while Ciherang Sub1 produced grains almost double to 3.9 t.ha\(^{-1}\) followed other submergence-tolerant variety, Inpara 5. Reduction in grain yield under submerged conditions was attributed to the degree of plant injury, which was dependent on the level of submergence tolerance.

The result of greenhouse experiments confirmed the results in the field trials where Ciherang Sub1 survived 100%, while Ciherang survived only 60% under 14-d submergence treatment (Table 5). Survival of Ciherang Sub1 was also comparable to that of source gene of SUB1A-1, FR13A and other submergence-tolerant varieties (Figure 2). In the water tank experiment, Ciherang demonstrated slightly better survival rate than the sensitive check IR42. This result was also confirmed by the submergence field experiments demonstrating moderate tolerance (50% survival rate) of Ciherang under 10-d submergence treatment (Figure 1).

The SUB1 gene was reported encoding two or three ethylene-responsive factors, namely SUB1A, SUB1B and SUB1C. The SUB1A was subsequently identified as the major determinant for submergence tolerance, while SUB1B and SUB1C alleles did not show important roles in plant tolerance to submergence (Xu et al. 2006). Recent study reported that submergence-tolerant rice accessions possess the SUB1A-1 allele, whereas accessions that contain less highly expressed SUB1A-2 allele are submergence intolerant (Septiningsih et al. 2009). The slightly better survival rate of Ciherang than that of the sensitive check was probably because the genotypes had one of the three alleles of the SUB1 locus and expressed SUB1A-2 allele with small effect as it did in IR64, while
Table 4. Agronomic data and grain yield of Ciherang Sub1, Ciherang and other Sub1 varieties under 5-days submergence (5-d), 10-days submergence (10-d) and 15-days submergence (15-d) of field plot, in Sukamandi in 2011 dry season.

| Genotypes          | Plant height (cm) | Tiller number (pieces) | Days to 50% flowering (day) | Grain yield (t.ha⁻¹) |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
|                    | 5-d   | 10-d  | 15-d | 5-d   | 10-d  | 15-d | 5-d   | 10-d  | 15-d | 5-d | 10-d | 15-d |
| Ciherang Sub1      | 97    | 99    | 98   | 17    | 17    | 14   | 74    | 77    | 81    | 5.6 | 4.2  | 3.9  |
| Ciherang           | 96    | 98    | 95   | 16    | 18    | 20   | 74    | 76    | 89    | 5.2 | 3.9  | 2.0  |
| PSBRC-SUB1         | 97    | 99    | 91   | 17    | 17    | 14   | 75    | 72    | 79    | 5.1 | 3.5  | 3.1  |
| B13138-7-MR-2-KA-1 | 106   | 106   | 101  | 14    | 14    | 12   | 73    | 76    | 80    | 5.5 | 3.9  | 3.2  |
| Inpara 3           | 106   | 106   | 105  | 14    | 14    | 12   | 74    | 78    | 81    | 4.5 | 4.4  | 2.8  |
| Inpara 5 (IR64 Sub1)| 91    | 91    | 84   | 17    | 17    | 14   | 69    | 71    | 76    | 5.0 | 3.8  | 4.1  |
| CV (%)             | 3.4   | 3.4   | 5.1  | 12.6  | 12.6  | 6.2  | 1.8   | 1.8   | 1.6   | 6.7 | 4.9  | 13.4 |
| LSD                | 4.8   | 4.9   | 6.9  | 2.8   | 2.8   | 1.2  | 1.9   | 1.9   | 1.9   | 0.5 | 0.3  | 0.6  |

Fig. 2. High seedling recovery and less elongation of Ciherang Sub1 (foremost left) after 14 days submerged in greenhouse trays, similar with Sub1 locus donor FR13A (foremost right) (A). High survival of Ciherang Sub1 after 15 days submerged in the field compared to its recurrent parent, Ciherang (B).

Table 5. Shoot length of rice genotypes as affected by 14 days submergence in greenhouse test, Bogor, 2011 dry season.

| Genotypes           | Shoot length (cm) | Survival (%) | Score(1) |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|
|                     | Before submerged  | After submerged | Differences | 100 | 1 |
| Ciherang Sub I      | 23.7 ± 2.2        | 29.4 ± 4.6   | 5.7 ± 2.4 | 63  | 7 |
| Ciherang            | 22.5 ± 2.5        | 42.8 ± 7.3   | 20.3 ± 5.2 | 98  | 3 |
| PSBRC 82 Sub I      | 23.1 ± 1.9        | 30.2 ± 4.3   | 7.1 ± 2.4 | 100 | 1 |
| B13138-7-MR-2-KA-1  | 21.9 ± 2.5        | 28.6 ± 3.6   | 6.7 ± 1.1 | 93  | 5 |
| Inpara 3            | 24.2 ± 2.3        | 34.1 ± 4.9   | 10.9 ± 2.6 | 100 | 1 |
| Inpara 5            | 22.5 ± 1.5        | 26.3 ± 6.7   | 3.8 ± 5.2 | 100 | 1 |
| IR42 (sensitive check) | 23.6 ± 1.4      | 45.8 ± 10.2  | 22.2 ± 9.2 | 10  | 9 |
| FR13A (tolerant check) | 29.4 ± 2.8      | 35.2 ± 4.5   | 5.8 ± 1.7 | 100 | 1 |

(1) 1 = tolerant; 3 = moderately tolerant; 5 = moderately sensitive; 7 = sensitive; 9 = very sensitive.
The data are averages of three replications.
in the pedigree tree of Ciherang, one of its ancestors is IR64 (Suprihatno et al. 2012). The tolerant genotypes showed less shoot elongation compared to those of the sensitive genotypes (Table 5). Ciherang shoot elongation was similar to the sensitive check, IR42, which in turn their shoot length was four-fold higher than that of Ciherang Sub1. Other submergence-tolerant varieties showed less shoot elongation comparable to the tolerant check, FR13A. Under complete submergence, shoot elongation was not necessary for survival indicator which would tend to be lodging after water recede. Shoot elongation consumed more energy and took stored assimilate (Jackson and Ram 2003). The remaining carbohydrate after submergence will presumably be important for growth recovery after de-submergence (Singh et al. 2001; Ram et al. 2002; Nugraha et al. 2013b). Hence, genotypes which have less shoot elongation would recover faster and produce higher yield compared to elongated-type rice varieties during submergence.

**Response to Pests and Diseases**

Ciherang Sub1 and Ciherang varieties revealed the same response to major pests and diseases tested (Table 6). Both rice genotypes showed moderately susceptible to BPH biotypes 1 and 2, but were susceptible to BPH biotypes 3. The response to bacterial leaf blight (BLB) for both Ciherang and Ciherang Sub1 was moderately resistant to strain III but was moderately susceptible to strain IV and VIII, their response to rice tungro virus was susceptible on three different inoculation experiments. This indicated that there was no effect of the introgression of SUB1 locus into Ciherang variety, with regard to pest and disease reactions.

### Table 6. Response of Ciherang Sub1 and Ciherang to major pests and diseases.

| Pest and diseases                  | Ciherang Sub1 | Ciherang |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------|
| **Brown planthopper**              |               |          |
| Biotype 1                          | 5.0 MS        | 5.5 MS   |
| Biotype 2                          | 5.0 MS        | 5.5 MS   |
| Biotype 3                          | 6.5 S         | 7.0 S    |
| **Bacterial leaf blight**          |               |          |
| Pathotype III                      | 4 MR          | 3 MR     |
| Pathotype IV                       | 6 MS          | 6 MS     |
| Pathotype VIII                     | 5 MS          | 5 MS     |
| **Tungro**                         |               |          |
| Subang inoculum                    | 7 S           | 7 S      |
| Lanrang inoculum                   | 7 S           | 7 S      |
| Magelang inoculum                  | 7 S           | 7 S      |

MR = moderately resistant; MS = moderately susceptible, S = susceptible.

The data are averages of three replications.

### Table 7. Grain quality of Ciherang Sub1 and Ciherang.

| Grain quality        | Ciherang Sub1 | Ciherang |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|
| **Physical properties** |               |          |
| Length (mm)          | 7.36 Long     | 7.40 Long|
| Width (mm)           | 2.12          | 2.20     |
| Ratio L/W            | 3.47 Cylinders| 3.36 Cylinders|
| Whiteness (%)        | 33.40 White   | 33.60 White|
| Clearness            | 1.06 Clear    | 1.01 Clear|
| Milling recovery (%) | 60            | 61       |
| Dehull rice          | 78.76         | 78.43    |
| Milling rice         | 70.26         | 68.34    |
| Head rice            | 95.64         | 90.15    |
| Chalkiness           | 0.06 Small    | 0.27 Small|
| **Chemical properties** |             |          |
| Amylase (%)          | 22.40 Medium  | 23.13 Medium|
| Gel consistency (mm) | 50 Medium     | 44 Medium|
| Alkali value (score) | 1             | 1        |
| Gelatination (°C)    | >74 High      | >74 High |

The data are averages of two replications.

**Grain Quality**

Introggression of SUB1 locus to Ciherang variety did not alter the physical and chemical quality of the grain (Table 7). The grain type for both Ciherang Sub1 and Ciherang was long-slimer, which met the preference of rice consumers and traders in Indonesia. Other physical grain qualities of both genotypes were also similar. The chemical properties of grain quality which related to the cooking quality of Ciherang Sub1 and Ciherang showed no any apparent differences. This suggests that Ciherang Sub1 would be accepted by farmers and consumers, because its performance is similar to high yielding popular variety, Ciherang.

**Similarities Between Ciherang NIL and Its Parents**

The genetic distance measured using Euclidean similarities analysis showed that Ciherang Sub1 had close position (95% similarity) with Ciherang (Figure 3). Inpara 5 or IR64 Sub1 which was the ancestor of both varieties were in the third position or had 65.9% similarity. This result indicated that although the process of backcrossing was done only twice, more than 87.5% phenotypic similarity of the parent was present in the offspring. This result also indicated that instead of recovering its parent genetic background, plant performance in the field was also homogenous (Figure 2B). Theoretically, the second backcross generation would be transferred 75% of the recurrent parent genetic background (Hospital 2005). In the process of MABC, from the F1, BC1 generation only 2-3 plants were selected.
Phenotypic performance of ciherang ... (Yudhistira Nugraha, et al.)

CONCLUSION

Morphological performance, agronomic characters, grain yield and yield components of Ciherang and Ciherang Sub1 were similar based on the results of advanced yield trials in ten locations. Introgression of SUB1 locus to the genome of Ciherang variety did not alter the response of the variety to pests and diseases, such as brown planthopper, bacterial leaf blight, and rice tungro virus. There was also no notable changes in physical and chemical grain qualities of Ciherang Sub1 and its recurrent parent. Ciherang Sub1 demonstrated grain yield advantage compared to that of Ciherang when it was subjected to submergence for 15 days during the vegetative stage. The morphological similarity between Ciherang Sub1 and Ciherang was also confirmed through phenotypic analysis which revealed 87.5% similarity based on similarity analysis.
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having a homozygote allele of targeted gene from donor parent and all homozygote background alleles from recurrent parent. This process was then continued in the successive generation, F1BC2, to obtain the homozygote targeted locus and homozygotes in all loci of genetic background.
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Several Sub1-varieties were previously released in Indonesia, including Inpara 3, Inpara 4 (Swarna-Sub1) and Inpara 5 (IR64-Sub1). However, the development of Ciherang Sub1 could provide more options for farmers to choose their favorite varieties and minimize crop losses due to unexpected flooding. In 2012, Ciherang Sub1 was officially released in Indonesia and named Inpari 30 Ciherang Sub1 (Ministry of Agriculture of Republic of Indonesia Decree no: 2292.1/KPTs/SR120/6/2012).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Dr. David J. Mackill and Dr. Endang Septiningsih for the seeds and allowing Ciherang Sub1 to be tested in Indonesia. The work reported here was supported by the ICRR budget of 2010-2011 fiscal year.

REFERENCES

Collard, B.C.Y. & Mackill, D.J. (2008) Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-first century. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences. [Online] 363 (1491), 557–572. Available from: doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.2170.

Frisch, M. & Melchinger, A.E. (2001) Marker-assisted backcrossing for simultaneous introgression of two genes. Crop Science. [Online] 41 (6), 1716–1725. Available from: doi:10.2135/cropsci2001.1716.

Frisch, M. & Melchinger, A.E. (2005) Selection theory for marker assisted backcrossing. Genetics. [Online] 170 (2), 909–917. Available from: doi:10.1534/genetics.104.035451.

Edwards, D, B, Biological Sciences & Gupta, P. (2013). Sequence Based DNA Markers and Genotyping for Cereal Genomics and Breeding: pp. 57-76 In: Cereal Genomics II. Elsevier. Amsterdam, ND. [Online] Available from doi:10.1007/978-94-007-6401-9_3

Fukao, T. & Xiong, L. (2013) Genetic mechanisms conferring adaptation to submergence and drought in rice: Simple or complex? Current Opinion in Plant Biology. [Online] 16 (2), 196–204. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.plb.2013.02.003.

Fig. 3. Similarity of six rice genotypes based on phenotypic performance of 21 characters of yield, yield components, agronomic characters, pest and disease resistance, and grain quality using Euclidean analysis.

| Similarity | Observations |
|------------|--------------|
| 100.00     | 1            |
| 82.99      | 2            |
| 65.98      | 3            |
| 48.98      | 4            |
| 20.00      | 5            |
| 0.00       | 6            |

1 = Ciherang Sub 1; 2 = Ciherang; 3 = PSBRC 82 Sub 1; 4 = B13138-7-MR-2-KA-1; 5 = Inpara 3; 6 = Inpara 5
Fukao, T., Yeung, E. & Bailey-Serres, J. (2012) The submergence tolerance gene, SUB1A, delays leaf senescence under prolonged darkness through hormonal regulation in rice. *Plant Physiology*. [Online] 160, 1795–1807. Available from: doi:10.1104/pp.112.207738.

Fukao, T., Yeung, E. & Bailey-Serres, J. (2011) The submergence tolerance regulator SUB1A mediates crosstalk between submergence and drought tolerance in rice. *The Plant cell*. [Online] 23 (1), 412–427. Available from: doi:10.1109/tspc.110.080325.

Gutterson, N. & Reuber, T.L. (2004) Regulation of disease resistance pathways by AP2/ERF transcription factors. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*. [Online] 7 (4), 465–471. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2004.04.007.

Hidayatun N., Alvaro, P., Septiningsih, E.M., & Mackill, D.J. (2011) Submergence risks and farmers’ preferences: A marker-assisted backcrossing (MABC). In: *Proceeding Seminar Nasional Padi 2010*. Buku 1. Sukamandi, Balai Besar Penelitian Tanaman Padi, pp.109–117.

Hospital, F. (2005) Selection in backcross programmes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. [Online] 360 (1459), 1503–1511. Available from: doi:10.1098/rstb.2005.1670.

IRRI (2002) *Standard Evaluation System for Rice*. Los Banos, International Rice Research Institute.

Ismail, A.M. Singh, U.S., Singh, S., Dar, M.D. & Mackill, D.J. (2013) The contribution of submergence-tolerant (Sub1) rice varieties to food security in flood-prone rainfed lowland areas in Asia. *Field Crops Research*. [Online] 152, 83–93. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2013.01.007.

Jackson, M.B. & Ram, P.C. (2003) Physiological and molecular basis of susceptibility and tolerance of rice plants to complete submergence. *Annals of Botany*. [Online] 91 (SPEC. ISS. JAN.), pp.227–241. Available from: doi:10.1093/abob/mc242.

Jena, K.K. & Mackill, D.J. (2008) Molecular markers and their use in marker-assisted selection in rice. *Crop Science*. [Online] 48 (4), 1266–1276. Available from: doi:10.2135/cropsci2008.02.0082.

Juliano, B. (2003) *Rice Chemistry and Quality*. [Online] Manila The Philippines, Philippines Rice Research Institute. Available from: doi:10.1002.jrc.200201106.12.005.

Manzanilla, D.O. Paris, T.R., Vergara, G.V., Ismail, A.M., Pandeya, S., Labios, R.V., Tlatlonghari, G.T., Acdac, R.D., Chi, T.T.N., Duangsil, K., Siliphouthone, I., & Mackill, D.J. (2011) Submergence tolerance genes and farmers’ preferences: Implications for breeding Sub1 rice in southeast Asia. *Agricultural Systems*. [Online] 104 (4), 335–347. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2010.12.005.

Mazerado, A.M. & Vergara, B.S (1982). *Physiological differences in rice varieties tolerant and susceptible to complete submergence*. In Proceeding of the International Deepwater Rice Workshop. Manila: International Rice Research Institute 327-341.

Minitab Inc. (2010) Minitab 16. Minitab Assistant White Paper. USA, Minitab Assistant White Paper.

Nakano, T. (2006) Genome-wide analysis of the ERF gene family in arabidopsis and rice. *Plant Physiology*. [Online] 140 (2), 411–432. Available from: doi:10.1104/pp.105.073783.

Neeraja, C.N., Maghirang-Rodriguez, R., Pamplona, A., Heuer, S., Collard, B.C.Y., Septiningsih, E.M., Vergara, G., Sanchez, D., Xu, K., Ismail, A.M., & Mackill, D.J. (2007) A marker-assisted backcross approach for developing submergence-tolerant rice cultivars. *TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. [Online] 115 (6), 767–776. Available from: doi:10.1007/s00122-007-0607-0.

Nugraha, Y., Vergara, G.V., Mackill, D.J., & Ismail A.M. (2013a) Genetic parameters of some characters and their correlation with rice grain yield in relation to the plant adaptability to semi-deep stagnant flooding condition. *Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan*. [Online] 32 (2), 74–82 Available from: http://ejurnal.litbang.pertanian.go.id/index.php/pppt/article/view/2882.

Nugraha, Y., Vergara, G.V., Mackill, D.J., & Ismail A.M (2013b) Response of Sub1 introgression lines of rice to various flooding conditions. *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Science*. [Online] 14 (1), 15–22. Available from: doi:10.21082/ijjas. v14n1.2013.p15-26.

Panda, N., Heinrichs, E.A. & Box, P.O. (1982) Levels of tolerance and antibiosis in rice varieties having moderate resistance to the brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens (Stål) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). *Population Environmental Edition*. 12 (2), 1204–1214.

Ram, P.C., Singh, B.B., Singh, A.K., Ram, P, Singh, P.N., Singh, H.P., Boamfa, I., Harren, F., Santosa, E., Jackson, M.B., Setter, T.L., Reuss, L.J., Wade, L.J., Singh, V.P., Singh, R.K. (2002) Submergence tolerance in rainfed lowland rice: Physiological basis and prospects for cultivar improvement through marker-aided breeding. *Field Crops Research*. [Online] 76 (2–3), 131–152. Available from: doi:10.1016/S0378-4290(02)00035-7.

Ruskandar, A. (2010) Persepsi petani dan identifikasi faktor penentu pengembangan dan adopsi varietas padi hibrida. *Iptek Tanaman Pangan*. [Online] 5 (2), 113–125. Available from: http://ejurnal.litbang.pertanian.go.id/index.php/ipatan/article/view/2602.

Sarkar, R.K., Reddy J.N., Sharma, S.G., & Ismail, A.M. (2006) Physiological basis of submergence tolerance in rice and implications for crop improvement. *Current Science*. 91 (7), 899–905. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/24094287

SAS Institute Inc (2009) *SAS/STAT 9.2 User’s Guide*. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC. [Online] p. 8640. Available from: doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52225.x.

Septiningsih, E.M., Pamplona A.M., Sanchez, D., Neeraja, C.V., Vergara, G.V., Heuer, S., Ismail, A.M., & Mackill, D.J. (2009) Development of submergence- tolerant rice cultivars: The Sub1 locus and beyond. *Annals of Botany*. [Online] 103 (2), 151–160. Available from: doi:10.1093/abob/mcn206.

Septiningsih, E.M., Hidayatun N., Sanchez, D.L., Nugraha, Y., Carandang, J., Pamplona, A.M., Collard, B.Y.C., Ismail, A.M., & Mackill, D.J. (2014) Accelerating the development of new submergence tolerant rice varieties: The case of Ciferang-Sub1 and PSB Rc18-Sub1. *Euphytica*. [Online] 202 (2), 259–268. Available from: doi:10.1007/s10681-014-1287-x.

Singh, S., Mackill, D.J. & Ismail, A.M. (2009) Responses of SUB1 rice introgression lines to submergence in the field: Yield and grain quality. *Field Crops Research*. [Online] 113 (1), 12–23. Available from: doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2009.04.003.

Suprihatno, B. Darajat, A.A., Satoto, Baehki, S.E., Suprihanto, Setyono, A., Indrasuri, S.D., Wardana, I.P., & Sembiring, H. (2012) *Deskripsi Varietas Padi*. Sukamandi, Balai Besar Penelitian Tanaman Padi.

Thomson, M.J. (2014) High-Throughput SNP Genotyping to Accelerate Crop Improvement. *Plant Breeding and Biotechnology*. [Online] 2(3), 195-212. Available from DOI: https://doi.org/10.9787/PBB.2014.2.3.195.

Vogel, K.E. (2009) Backcross breeding. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. [Online] 526, 161–169. Available from: doi:10.1007/978-1-59745-494-6-14.

Xu, K., Xu, X., Fukao, T., Canlas, P, Maghirang-Rodriguez, R., Heuer, S., Ismail, A.M., Bailey-Serres, J., P.C. Ronald, P.C. and Mackill, D.J. (2006) Sub1A is an ethylene-response-factor-like gene that confers submergence tolerance to rice. *Nature*. [Online] 442 (7103), 705–708. Available from: doi:10.1038/nature04920.