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Abstract: Currently, there are no set standards or quantitative guidelines available in the U.S. for arsenic levels in rice cereal, one of the most common first solid foods for infants. The objective of this study was to evaluate whether the detected levels of inorganic arsenic (Asi) in rice cereal in the U.S. market are safe for consumption by infants and toddlers. A risk assessment was conducted based on literature reviews of the reported Asi in rice cereal from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) survey and the recommended daily intake of rice cereal by body weight, for infants and toddlers between four and 24 months old. As a part of risk management, a maximum contaminant level (MCL) for Asi in rice cereal was computed considering overall exposure sources including drinking water, infant formula, and other infant solid foods. Hazard quotients (HQs) for acute and chronic exposures were calculated based on the U.S. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry’s (ATSDR) Minimal Risk Level (MRL) acute (5.0 × 10^-3 mg/kg/day) and MRL chronic (3.0 × 10^-4 mg/kg/day). A cancer slope or potency factor of 1.5 mg/kg/day was used to predict an incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR). Exposure assessment showed that the largest source of Asi for infants and toddlers between four and 24 months old was rice cereal (55%), followed by other infant solid food (19%), and drinking water (18%). Infant formula was the smallest source of Asi for babies (9%) at the 50th percentile based on Monte Carlo simulations. While HQ acute were consistently below 1.0, HQ chronic at the 50 and 75th percentiles exceeded 1.0 for both rice cereal and total sources. ILCR ranged from 10^-6 (50th) to 10^-5 (75th percentile). MCLs for Asi in rice cereal ranged from 0.0 (chronic) to 0.4 mg/kg (acute exposures).
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1. Introduction

There is increasing concern regarding arsenic contaminants in rice cereal, which is one of the most common first solid infant foods in the U.S. [1,2]. Arsenic is commonly recognized as a toxic metalloid that naturally occurs in soil and groundwater, and is known to accumulate in rice at higher levels than in other crops [3–14]. A recent survey conducted by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) showed that rice cereal products sold in the U.S. market contained arsenic ranging from 0.050 to 0.723 mg/kg [15,16]. The guidelines for arsenic in food in the U.S. apply only to byproducts of animals treated with veterinary drugs (e.g., permissible levels of arsenic from 0.5 ppm in eggs and uncooked edible tissues of chickens and turkeys to 2 ppm in certain uncooked edible byproducts of swine) [17]. Currently, there is no direct standard in the U.S. to evaluate whether the amounts of arsenic in rice...
cereal are within safe levels for consumption by infants and toddlers between the ages of four and 24 months [1,2,13,16,18].

Arsenic in rice cereal sold in the U.S. was reported to consist of 37% organic form (AsO) including monomethylarsonic acid (MMA) and dimethylarsinic acid (DMA), and 63% inorganic form (AsI) that ranged from 0.023 to 0.283 mg/kg [15,16]. The toxicity of arsenic varies depending on its forms and AsO is known to be less harmful to human health compared to AsI [13]. MMA and DMA have been widely used as pesticides and herbicides in the U.S. [13]. AsI exposure has comparatively harmful effects on human health including carcinogenic and/or non-carcinogenic effects on many different organs such as the skin, gastrointestinal tract, bladder, liver, and lungs [13]. Accordingly, this public health study focuses on AsI and its toxic contents.

Recently, the Joint Food and Agriculture Organization and the World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) Expert Committee on Food Additives proposed a maximum level (ML) of AsI of 0.2 mg/kg for polished rice [16,19]. While this ML of AsI 0.2 mg/kg has not yet been adopted by U.S. regulatory agencies, Signes-Pastor et al. [16] raised concern that some rice cereals could exceed this proposed reference level.

Despite the fact that the potential health risks from rice cereal consumption are not well understood, caregivers have been told to reduce the amount of rice cereal consumed by infants and toddlers [1]. Babies are presumably exposed to AsI not only from rice cereal, but also from water and other dietary sources including infant formula, purees, and 2nd/3rd stage foods [20,21]. How much rice cereal contributes to the overall AsI exposure has not been evaluated quantitatively to date. It is important to improve the scientific basis to assist decision makers in determining necessary actions to immediately protect babies from potential AsI exposure [12,16]. The objective of this study was to assess risk of AsI exposure from rice cereal as well as from the overall exposure sources in the U.S. This study also attempted to compute a reference level or Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for rice cereal considering overall sources and human factors (e.g., diet and body size) in the U.S. in order to compare this MCL to the proposed international guideline of 0.2 mg/L.

2. Methods

This study followed a risk assessment framework consisting of hazard identification, dose-response, exposure assessment, and risk characterization followed by risk management. This is a practical approach designed to provide decision-makers with valuable information based on the limited data currently available [22]. Hazard identification was described in the introduction section. For dose-response, this study referred to the U.S. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry’s (ATSDR) Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) for acute oral consumption at $5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/kg/day and chronic oral consumption at $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ mg/kg/day as safe doses [23]. The U.S. ATSDR’s MRL for chronic exposure is the same as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Reference Dose (RfD) for inorganic arsenic, which was used to establish the National Primal Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs) [13,24,25]. A slope factor of 1.5 (mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$ was used to compute incremental lifetime cancer risk of arsenic in baby’s diets [24]. Quantitative procedures for exposure assessment, risk characterization, and risk management are described in the following sections.

2.1. Exposure Assessment

A range of AsI doses for infants and toddlers between four and 24 months who consume water, infant formula, rice cereal, and other infant solid foods such as puree and 2nd/3rd stage foods was calculated considering changes in diet and body weight by age (Equation (1)):

$$ADD_{x,t} = C_x \cdot V_{x,t} \cdot BW_l^{-1}$$

where $ADD_{x,t}$ is an average daily dose of AsI from a specific dietary source $x$, at specific ages $t$, (from four to 24 months); $C_x$ is a concentration of AsI in a specific source $x$: water ($C_w$), infant formula...
(Ci), rice cereal (Cr), and other infant solid foods (Cs) containing fruits, vegetables, and meats; Vx is an amount of specific food x: water (Vw), infant formula (Vif), rice cereal (Vrc), and other infant solid food including fruits (Vf), vegetables (Vv), and meats (Vm) consumed by babies at the specific age t; BWt is specific body weight at age t. Chronic daily intake (CDI) was calculated as a mean of ADDt between four and 24 months (Equation (2)). Lifetime average daily dose (LADD) was estimated assuming that Asi exposure from rice cereal occurred only between four and 24 months over the lifetime (Equation (3)):

\[ CDI = \left( \frac{1}{21} \sum_{4}^{24} ADD_{x,t} \right) \]  

\[ LADD = CDI \cdot \frac{ED}{AT} \]

where 21 is a total number of data sets (from 4 to 24 months); \( ADD_{x,t} \) was calculated based on Equation (1); \( ED \) is an exposure duration \( (ED = 20 \text{ months} = 620 \text{ days}) \); \( AT \) is the average time \( (AT = 70 \text{ years is typical used for risk assessment} = 25,550 \text{ days}) \). While a LADD based on such a short-term exposure might be unrealistic, this study referred to an earlier study that estimated the LADD of arsenic and cancer risk through childhood exposure (1–6 years old) [26].

Table 1 summarized values and assumptions for Asi levels in multiple exposure sources. Human factors (e.g., age specific body weight and intake volumes) were summarized in Table 2. The types and amounts of liquid and solid foods consumed by infants vary depending on age [27,28]. The amounts of direct and indirect water intake \( (Vw) \) and milk consumption \( (Vif) \) by different age groups were obtained from the Child-Specific Exposure Factors Handbook [28]. The amounts of infant formula, rice cereal, fruits, vegetables, and meats consumed per feeding were obtained from Fox et al. [29] and U.S. EPA [28]. The volume of infant formula consumed was calculated based on the dry weight (e.g., 1 fluid ounce (oz.) of formula was converted to 4.3 g of dry formula). Infants were assumed to start rice cereal consumption at four months of age and then hot rice cereal from 12 to 24 months. The amount of rice cereal consumed was calculated based on the dry weight. For dry cereal, one tablespoon (tbsp.) and one cup of dry cereal were assumed to be 4.6 g and 73.6 g, respectively, based on weighing a popular product sold in the U.S. Infants were assumed to start eating fruits and vegetables at four months old and meats at six months old [30]. For fruits and vegetable, 1 tbsp. and 1 cup were converted to 16.3 g and 26.08 g, respectively. For meats, 1 tbsp. and 1 oz. were converted to 16.3 g and 28.35 g, respectively. The average body weights were calculated according to sex and age from four to 24 months old [28].

In order to provide a range of possible Asi exposures and associated risk, Monte Carlo simulations were performed one million times for each computation using Crystal Ball software (Oracle, Redwood Shores, CA, USA) based on limited available data.

2.2. Risk Characterization

After integrating information from the proceeding steps of the exposure assessment, health risks as a result of acute and chronic exposure were characterized based on Equations (4)–(6):

\[ HQ_{acute} = ADD_{max} \cdot MRL^{-1}_{acute} \]  

\[ HQ_{chronic} = CDI \cdot MRL^{-1}_{chronic} \]

where \( HQ_{acute} \) and \( HQ_{chronic} \) are hazard quotients for acute and chronic exposures, respectively; \( ADD_{max} \) is the largest \( ADD_{t} \) observed between four and 24 months in the exposure assessment; CDI was chronic daily intake calculated in the exposure assessment; and \( MRL_{acute} \) and \( MRL_{chronic} \) are the U.S. ATSDR’s MRLs of \( 5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg/kg/day for acute and 3.0 \times 10^{-4} mg/kg/day for chronic consumptions} \), respectively [23].
Table 1. Values and assumptions of As in water (w), infant formula (if), rice cereal (rc), and other infant solid food (o) in the Monte Carlo simulations.

| Parameter | Distribution, Values, References |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Cw (mg/L) | Uniform: from the maximum contaminant level goal (0 mg/L) to the MCL (0.010 mg/L) for arsenic in the NPDWRs [25] |
| Cif (mg/L) | Normal: mean \((7.48 \times 10^{-6})\) with a standard deviation \((6.12 \times 10^{-7})\), with an assumption that detected arsenic was exclusively As [20] |
| CRC (mg/g) | Triangular: minimum \((2.30 \times 10^{-5})\), likeliest \((9.10 \times 10^{-5})\), and maximum \((2.83 \times 10^{-4})\) [15,16] |
| Co (mg/g)  | Normal: mean \((4.6 \times 10^{-6})\) with a standard deviation \((5.6 \times 10^{-6})\). Co was combined from purees and 2nd/3rd stage foods including fruits, vegetables, and meats with an assumption of that 81.5% of total arsenic was As [20] |

Table 2. Values and assumptions for age specific body weights (BW) and ingestion volumes (V) of water (w), infant formula (if), rice cereal (rc), fruits (fr), vegetables (v), and meats (m) in the Monte Carlo simulations.

| Infant's Age | BW (kg) | Vw (L/Day) | Vif (g/Serving) × (Servings/Day) | Vrc (g/Day) | Vfr (g/Serving) × (Serving/Day) | Vv (g/Serving) × (Serving/Day) | Vm (g/Serving) × (Serving/Day) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| (Month)      | Normal * | Triangular † | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| 4–5          | 6.95 ± 0.85 | 0, 0.148, 0.924 | 21.5 ± 9.7 × 4–5 † | 14.3 ± 16.1 | 58.7 ± 77.4 × 1–2 | 61.9 ± 81.4 × 1–2 |
| 6–8          | 7.95 ± 1.08 | 0, 0.218, 0.885 | 22.8 ± 11.4 × 3–4 | 20.7 ± 17.1 | 76.6 ± 47.7 × 1–2 | 94.5 ± 69.4 × 1–2 | 25.5 ± 120.7 × 1–2 |
| 9–11         | 9.03 ± 1.05 | 0, 0.218, 0.885 | 24.1 ± 10.1 × 3–4 | 23.9 ± 21.7 | 94.5 ± 72.6 × 1–2 | 91.3 ± 85.5 × 2–3 | 22.7 ± 37.2 × 1–2 |
| 12–14        | 9.93 ± 1.08 | 0, 0.188, 0.624 | 24.5 ± 22.4 × 3–4 | 44.2 ± 70.9 | 104.3 ± 100.5 × 1–2 | 104.3 ± 100.5 × 2–3 | 34.0 ± 32.8 × 1–2 |
| 15–18        | 10.90 ± 1.50 | 0, 0.188, 0.624 | 25.4 ± 52.4 × 3–4 | 44.2 ± 70.9 | 130.4 ± 138.2 × 1–2 | 104.3 ± 138.2 × 2–3 | 36.9 ± 40.1 × 1–2 |
| 19–24        | 11.85 ± 1.38 | 0, 0.188, 0.624 | 20.2 ± 75.4 × 3–4 | 51.5 ± 65.8 | 156.5 ± 134.0 × 1–2 | 104.3 ± 93.3 × 2–3 | 36.9 ± 35.5 × 1–2 |

* indicates mean ± standard deviation (normal distribution). † 10th, 50th, 90th percentiles (triangular distribution). ‡ × indicates multiplication with range of frequencies. Body weight and water consumption (Vw) were based on U.S. EPA [28] and other ingestion volumes were based on Fox et al. [29].
If the HQ is calculated to be equal to or less than 1, then no adverse health effects are expected as a result of exposure. Incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) was estimated based on Equation (6).

\[ \text{ILCR} = \text{CSF} \cdot \text{LADD} \]  

(6)

where ILCR is an incremental lifetime cancer risk as a result of exposures occurring between four and 24 months of age; CSF is a cancer slope or potency factor \( (\text{CSF} = 1.5 \text{ (mg/kg/day)}^{-1}) \) [24]; and LADD is a lifetime average daily dose calculated in the exposure assessment.

### 2.3. Risk Management

Currently, there is no guideline for \( \text{As}_i \) in rice cereal in the U.S. Thus, maximum contaminant levels (MCL) for \( \text{As}_i \) in rice cereal, which would result in ATSDR’s MRL\text{acute} and MRL\text{chronic}, were computed based on the Equations (7) and (8), respectively with consideration of overall \( \text{As}_i \) exposure. The MCLs, which are used for the NPDWRs, are the maximum allowable levels of chemicals considering public health and acceptable risk:

\[ \text{MCL}_{\text{rc,acute}} = \left( \sum_{t=4}^{24} ((\text{MRL}_{\text{acute}} \cdot \text{BW}_{t} + \text{C}_{i,f} \cdot \text{V}_{i,f} + \text{C}_{o} \cdot (\text{V}_{f,r} + \text{V}_{o,t} + \text{V}_{m,t}))) \cdot V_{c,t}^{-1} \right) \cdot 21^{-1} \]  

(7)

\[ \text{MCL}_{\text{rc,chronic}} = \left( \sum_{t=4}^{24} ((\text{MRL}_{\text{chronic}} \cdot \text{BW}_{t} + \text{C}_{i,f} \cdot \text{V}_{i,f} + \text{C}_{o} \cdot (\text{V}_{f,r} + \text{V}_{o,t} + \text{V}_{m,t}))) \cdot V_{c,t}^{-1} \right) \cdot 21^{-1} \]  

(8)

where \( \text{MCL}_{\text{rc,acute}} \) and \( \text{MCL}_{\text{rc,chronic}} \) are reference levels for \( \text{As}_i \) in rice cereal for acute and chronic exposures, respectively. \( \text{MCL}_{\text{ac}} \) is the maximum contaminant level for arsenic (0.010 mg/L) in drinking water based on the NPDWR. For the exposure assessment, the range used was 0 to 0.010 mg/L. However, the more conservative level of \( \text{MCL}_{\text{ac}} = 0.01 \) mg/L was used for computation of the MCR\text{rc}.

The total number of data sets for infants and toddlers from 4 to 24 months old is 21.

### 3. Results

Table 3 summarizes the ADD\text{xt} of \( \text{As}_i \) from water and dietary sources for babies at the 25th (low), 50th (median) and 75th (upper) percentiles based on the Monte Carlo simulations. \( \text{As}_i \) doses from rice cereal and other solid food increased by age, while the doses from drinking water and infant formula decreased comparatively (Figure 1). The largest source of \( \text{As}_i \) for infants and toddlers was rice cereal (55% based on CDI or the mean of ADD between four and 24 months at the 50th percentile), followed by other infant solid (19%), and drinking water on average (18%). Infant formula was the smallest source of \( \text{As}_i \) for babies (9%).

\( \text{HQ}_{\text{acute}} \) from rice cereal as well as from total sources were below 1.0 at the lower and upper percentiles (Table 4). \( \text{HQ}_{\text{chronic}} \) at the 50 and 75th percentiles exceeded 1.0 for both rice cereal and total sources while the values at the 25th percentile was below 1.0. ILCR ranged from \( 10^{-6} \) at the 25th percentile to \( 10^{-5} \) at the 75th percentile for both rice cereal and total sources.

\( \text{MCL}_{\text{rc,acute}} \) was calculated to be \( \text{As}_i = 4.1 \times 10^{-4} \) mg/g (or 0.4 mg/kg) based on the 25th percentile, which is more protective than the reference base on the 50th percentile. \( \text{MCL}_{\text{rc,chronic}} \) showed negative values since \( \text{HQ}_{\text{chronic}} \) exceeded 1.0. Alternatively, \( \text{MCL}_{\text{rc,chronic}} \) was set as 0.0 mg/kg.
Table 3. Average daily doses (ADD<sub>avg</sub>) of As<sub>2</sub> (mg/kg/day) from drinking water and dietary sources.

| Age (Month) | Drinking Water | Infant Formula | Rice Cereal | Other Solid Food | Total Sources |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| 4-5         | 3.2 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.9 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 7.1 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 5.1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.0 | 7.1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.2 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 5.9 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.2 × 10<sup>-3</sup> |
| 6-8         | 3.4 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.4 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 7.4 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.9 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 5.3 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.0 | 1.0 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 2.9 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 6.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.3 × 10<sup>-3</sup> |
| 9-11        | 2.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.6 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 6.9 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 9.1 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 3.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 5.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.0 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.4 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.4 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.4 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 6.7 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.3 × 10<sup>-3</sup> |
| 12-14       | 1.9 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.0 | 6.4 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.0 | 4.9 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.3 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.6 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.7 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.8 × 10<sup>-3</sup> |
| 15-18       | 1.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.0 | 6.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 4.4 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.0 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 8.7 × 10<sup>-7</sup> | 1.4 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 4.8 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 7.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.7 × 10<sup>-3</sup> |
| 19-24       | 1.6 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 9.3 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.7 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 0.0 | 4.4 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.6 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 6.3 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 8.4 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.0 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.9 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.6 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.7 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.2 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 7.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.7 × 10<sup>-3</sup> |

Note: Negative values from Monte Carlo simulations with large standard distributions were converted to 0.0.

Table 4. Summary of mean As<sub>2</sub> doses and associated risk characteristics.

| As<sub>2</sub> Dose and Risk | Rice Cereal | Total Sources |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| ADD<sub>max</sub> (mg/kg/day) | 1.2 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 2.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.2 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.8 × 10<sup>-3</sup> |
| CDI (mg/kg/day)             | 5.6 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 4.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 7.3 × 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.6 × 10<sup>-3</sup> |
| LADD (mg/kg/day)            | 1.3 × 10<sup>-3</sup> | 9.5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> | 2.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 3.5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> | 1.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 3.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup> |
| HQ<sub>acute</sub>           | 0.02        | 0.10          | 0.23          | 0.05         | 0.16          | 0.37          |
| HQ<sub>chronic</sub>         | 0.19        | 1.33          | 2.62          | 0.49         | 2.42          | 5.17          |
| ILCR                       | 2.0 × 10<sup>-6</sup> | 1.4 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 3.0 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 5.2 × 10<sup>-6</sup> | 2.6 × 10<sup>-5</sup> | 5.5 × 10<sup>-5</sup> |
Figure 1. Average daily doses (ADDs) of As$_i$ (mg/kg/day) from water and dietary sources for infants and toddlers between four and 24 months old.

4. Discussion

As$_i$ contents in rice cereals have been well documented. This study contributed to scientific evidence on As$_i$ doses for infants and toddlers from four to 24 months old through rice cereal, drinking water, and other solid foods for infants in the U.S. The simulations in this study suggest that some babies could have been exposed to larger doses while the computed As$_i$ doses at the 50th percentile were within the same range as an earlier study that showed a baby’s As$_i$ to be $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ mg/kg/day in the U.S. [31].

Solid food, including rice cereal and others (e.g., purees and 2nd/3rd stage foods) were estimated to account for 75% of overall As$_i$ doses, which was consistent with Xue et al. [31], suggesting that the U.S. population is exposed to more As$_i$ through solid foods than from drinking water, which has been regulated for arsenic. Infant formula contributes the least to the overall inorganic arsenic exposure. This finding was consistent with earlier studies indicating that arsenic exposure from milk was less of a concern than exposure from other sources [32,33]. It must be noted that infants’ and toddlers’ inorganic arsenic exposures were based on an assumption that they eat rice cereal on a daily basis. Actual doses for individuals vary if they do not eat rice cereal regularly or eat additional rice products (e.g., baby rice or rice cracker).

One of the significant findings of this study was that rice cereal could account for over a half of As$_i$ exposure for babies in the U.S if they consumed it on daily basis. This assessment is based on the ATSDR’s MRLs safe doses for acute and chronic exposures which are derived from the non-observed adverse health levels divided by uncertainty factors considering the effects of toxic chemicals in the most risky populations including infants, elderly, and nutritionally or immunologically compromised people [13,24]. This study shows that the risk associated with rice cereal is below minimal or acceptable level in terms of acute effects. On the other hand, the simulations suggest that those infants and toddlers whose As$_i$ dose levels were at the upper percentiles could have more than a minimal risk of non-carcinogenic chronic effects.
The computed incremental lifetime cancer risk from rice cereal as well as the overall exposure during infancy in this study was $10^{-5}$, which was compatible with acceptable risk suggested by the World Health Organization ($10^{-5}$) and the U.S. EPA ($10^{-4}$ to $10^{-6}$) for carcinogens in drinking water [34]. This study characterized risk based on arsenic exposure models for the relatively short exposure of infancy over average time. Possible effects were less likely homogeneously distributed over a long lifetime, which is one of limitations of the current risk assessment. There has been discussion on an alternative cancer slope of 3.6 (mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$ [35]. An incremental lifetime cancer risk based on this alternative value will be 2.4 times higher than the risk based on the current EPA’s suggested slope of 1.5 (mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$, which was used in this study. Although such a difference was within our predicted values based on Monte Carlo simulations, a future study may need to use the alternative slope once it is approved by the U.S. EPA.

Currently, there is no guideline for arsenic content in baby food including infant formula, rice cereal, and other infant solid food in the U.S. Although the study concurs that caregivers do not need to remove rice cereal from a baby’s diet immediately, considering its nutrition benefits [18], it will be important to establish a guideline for rice cereal in order to reduce potential risk of chronic effects of As$_i$ exposure. The JECFA suggests the maximum level of As$_i$ in polished rice to be 0.2 mg/kg [19], which is exactly between 0.0 mg/kg for chronic and 0.4 mg/kg for acute effects computed in this study. The maximum contaminated level (MCL) of 0.0 mg/kg is not realistic, but can be set as a maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG). As$_i$ contents in rice cereal from the U.S. FDA survey and others reports [1,15,16,18] were all below 0.4 mg/kg while some exceeded 0.2 mg/kg. Risk management in this study supports the U.S. regulatory agencies consideration for adopting the JECFA’s 0.2 mg/kg [19] maximum level. We recommend that the food industry voluntarily monitor levels of ASI based on the suggested value of 0.2 mg/kg in order to ensure that their projects are safe for infants and toddlers.

5. Conclusions

Assessing the safety of baby food is challenging. While it has limitations, risk assessment can provide decision-makers with useful quantitative information on difficult subjects. The current risk assessment was unique, but it will be necessary to gather more data on arsenic in foods and conduct an epidemiologic study in order to have a more accurate risk assessment validate the findings from this study. Further improvement of the scientific basis is important to ensure that public health will be protected, especially for vulnerable populations such as infants and toddlers.
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