Astrophysical constraints on the confining models: the Field Correlator Method
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We explore the relevance of confinement in quark matter models for the possible quark core of neutron stars. For the quark phase, we adopt the equation of state (EoS) derived with the Field Correlator Method, extended to the zero temperature limit. For the hadronic phase, we use the microscopic Brueckner-Hartree-Fock many-body theory. We find that the currently adopted value of the gluon condensate $G_2 \simeq 0.006 - 0.007$ GeV$^4$, which gives a critical temperature $T_c \simeq 170$ MeV, produces maximum masses which are only marginally consistent with the observational limit, while larger masses are possible if the gluon condensate is increased.
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I. INTRODUCTION

QCD at finite temperature and density is the essential theoretical tool to describe various interesting phenomenological sectors from relativistic heavy ion collisions to the inner structure of neutron stars. In the large temperature and small density region both experiments \cite{1} and lattice simulations \cite{2} clearly indicate that the theory is in a non-perturbative regime at least up to temperatures $T \simeq 3T_c (0)$ ($T_c (0)$ is the deconfinement temperature at zero quark chemical potential $\mu_q = 0$). However, in the opposite region of the phase diagram, i.e. at small $T$ and large $\mu_q$, where strong coupling effects are expected as well, no QCD lattice simulations are available yet.

Due to the lack of lattice data, analytic approaches based on more elementary models, such as the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model \cite{3}, that mimic some non-perturbative features of QCD, are mostly used in the large density region, typical of neutron star interior. Unfortunately the NJL model cannot be used in the other limit of zero chemical potential and high temperature because of the lack of the gluon degrees of freedom. This is a general feature of many models, which cannot make predictions for both limits, i.e. high temperature and zero chemical potential or high chemical potential and low temperature. This is clearly a serious drawback, since the models cannot be fully tested. One of the few exceptions is the Field Correlator Method (FCM) \cite{4}, which in principle is able to cover the full temperature-chemical potential plane. Furthermore the method contains \textit{ab initio} the property of confinement, which is expected to play a role, at variance with other models like, e.g., the NJL model.

The study of the properties of neutron stars (NS) concerns the large density (and low temperature) region of the phase diagram and, in particular, it requires the QCD non-perturbative Equation of State at small $T$ and large $\mu_q$. The comparison of the quark matter EoS with the nuclear matter one is the main point to understand if a core of pure quark matter can exist in NS. This possibility has been addressed and extensively discussed in the literature \cite{4,5,6,7}. In the NJL model, where the phase transition corresponds to chiral symmetry restoration, the quark onset at the center of the NS, as the mass increases, marks an instability of the star, i.e. the NS collapses to a black hole at the transition point since the quark EoS is unable to sustain the increasing central pressure due to gravity. Indeed, at the maximum mass the mass-radius relation is characterized by a cusp \cite{8}. On the contrary, for the quark EoS based on the MIT bag model, it is possible to find a range of the various parameters which corresponds to a stable NS. It must be noted that stability is also found in other approaches that explicitly take into account the dynamics of confinement, such as the dielectric model \cite{9} or a modification of the NJL with an \textit{ad hoc} confining potential \cite{10}. A modified NJL with the explicit inclusion of colour superconductivity and isoscalar vector meson coupling \cite{11} produces stable NS as well. This shows how the presence of quark matter in the interior of NS depends on the adopted quark matter model.

The intriguing relation between the stability of NS with a quark matter core and confinement has already been addressed in \cite{12}, and in this paper we elaborate further on this idea by resorting to the EoS of the quark matter at finite temperature and density, obtained in the non-perturbative framework of the Field Correlator Method (for a review see \cite{4}), which gives a natural explanation and treatment of the dynamics of confinement in terms of Color Electric (CE) and Color Magnetic (CM) field correlators. In this way the FCM will be tested by comparing the results for the neutron star masses with the existing phenomenology, which turns out to be a strong constraint on the parameters used in the model.

It will be shown that this approach, unlike the non-confining NJL model, admits stable NS with gravitational masses slightly larger than $1.44 M_\odot$, and this application of the FCM to the study of NS, which has not been considered before, provides definite numerical indications on some relevant physical quantities, as the gluon...
condensate, to be compared to the ones extracted from the determination of the critical temperature of the de-confinement phase transition. This shows the relevance of the comparison of the model predictions in the high chemical potential region with the astrophysical phenomenology, which is one of the main purposes of the present paper.

In the next section the FCM at finite temperature and density is briefly recalled, while Sec.III contains some details of the EoS for the hadronic phase. Our analysis of stability of the NS is presented in Sec.IV and finally Sec.V is devoted to the conclusions.

II. QUARK MATTER: EOS IN THE FIELD CORRELATOR METHOD

A systematic method to treat non perturbative effects in QCD is by gauge invariant field correlators [4]. The approach based on the FCM provides a natural treatment of the dynamics of confinement (and of the deconfinement transition) in terms of the CE (DE and D^E) and CM (DH and D^H) Gaussian (i.e. quadratic in the tensor F^µν) correlators. D^C and D^I are related to the simplest non trivial 2-point correlators for the CE and CM fields by:

\[ g^2 < Tr_f [\Phi(x)\Phi(y)] = \delta_{ik} D^C_i(z) + D^I_i(z) + \frac{z_i z_k}{z} \partial_{z^2} D^C_i(z) + \frac{z_i z_k}{z} \partial_{z^2} D^I_i(z) \] (1)

where \( z = x - y \), C indicates the CE (E) field or CM (H) field (the minus sign in the previous expression corresponds to the magnetic case) and finally

\[ \Phi = P \exp \left[ i g \int_y^x A^\mu dz_\mu \right] \] (2)

is the parallel transporter.

D^C and D^I have a perturbative contribution which is responsible of their singular behavior at \( z \to 0 \) (\( D \approx z^{-4} \) for \( z \to 0 \)), but also a non-perturbative part which is normalized to the gluon condensate [4]. D^E contributes to the standard string tension and is directly related to confinement, so that its vanishing above the critical temperature implies deconfinement.

The FCM has been extended to finite temperature T and chemical potential \( \mu_q \) in order to describe the deconfinement phase transition [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. In particular, at \( \mu_q = 0 \) the analytical results in the gaussian approximation, valid for small vacuum correlation lengths, are in reasonable agreement with lattice data [13, 15, 16]. The extension in ref. [13] of the FCM to finite values of the chemical potential, allows to obtain a simple expression of the Equation of State of the quark-gluon matter in the relevant range of baryon density. The comparison of this EOS with a realistic baryonic EOS will be the crucial point of our investigation.

It must be noticed that the generalization of the FCM at finite \( T \) and \( \mu_q \) provides an expression of the pressure of quarks and gluons where the leading contribution is given by the interaction of single quark and gluon line with the vacuum, called Single Line Approximation (SLA), while the pair and triple correlations yield higher order corrections. In the SLA, within few percent, the quark pressure, for a single flavour, is given by [13, 14, 15, 17, 18]

\[ P_q / T^4 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \phi_\nu \left( \frac{\mu_q - V_1}{T} \right) + \phi_\nu \left( - \frac{\mu_q + V_1}{T} \right) \right] \] (3)

where \( \nu = m_q / T \), and

\[ \phi_\nu(a) = \int_0^\infty du \frac{u^4}{\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}} \left( \exp \left[ \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} - a \right] + 1 \right) \] (4)

and \( V_1 \) is the large distance static QQ potential:

\[ V_1 = \int_0^{1/T} d\tau (1 - \tau T) \int_0^{\infty} d\chi \chi^2 D^E_1(\sqrt{\chi^2 + \tau^2}) \] (5)

The gluon contribution to the pressure is

\[ P_g / T^4 = \frac{8}{3\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\chi \chi^3 \frac{1}{\exp(\chi + \frac{\pi \lambda}{\sqrt{T}}) - 1} \] (6)

Note that the potential \( V_1 \) in Eq. (5) does not depend on the chemical potential and this is partially supported by lattice simulations at small chemical potential [16, 19]. In our opinion, although we are considering the range \( T \sim 0 \) (in the following calculations we fix the value \( T = 1 \) MeV) and large \( \mu_q \), relevant for the NS, this approximation is still valid. Indeed the non perturbative contribution to \( D^E_1(x) \) is parametrized as [4]

\[ D^E_1(x) = D^E_1(0) \exp(-|x|/\lambda) \] (7)

where \( \lambda \) is the correlation length (0.34 fm for full QCD) and the normalization is fixed by the condition at \( T = \mu = 0 \)

\[ D^E_1(0) + D^E_1(0) = \frac{\pi^2}{18} G_2 \] (8)

\( G_2 \) is the gluon condensate whose numerical value, determined by the QCD sum rules, is known with large uncertainty [20]

\[ G_2 = 0.012 \pm 0.006 \text{ GeV}^4 \] (9)

According to [14], the critical temperature at \( \mu = 0 \) in the FCM turns out to be \( T \sim 170 \) MeV for \( G_2 \sim 0.006 \text{ GeV}^4 \). If confinement is dominated by non-perturbative contributions, the normalization \( D^E_1(0) \) in Eq. (7) can be identified with the term appearing in Eq. (8), which has been denoted by the same symbol. Then from Eqs. (5), (7), (8), in the limit \( T \to 0 \), we get

\[ V_1(T = 0) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{9} G_2 \lambda^3 \] (10)
However other choices of \( V_1 \) are possible, and these will be considered in the Discussion section.

Since, on general ground, we expect that the value of the gluon condensate decreases at large densities \[21\], the assumption that \( V_1 \) is \( \mu \)-independent, should not qualitatively modify our analysis.

### III. HADRONIC PHASE: EOS IN THE BRUECKNER-BETHE-GOLDSTONE THEORY

The EOS constructed for the hadronic phase at \( T = 0 \) is based on the non-relativistic Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone (BBG) many-body theory \[22\], which is a linked cluster expansion of the energy per nucleon of nuclear matter, well convergent and accurate enough in the density range relevant for neutron stars. In this approach the essential ingredient is the two-body scattering matrix \( G \), which, along with the single-particle potential \( U \), satisfies the self-consistent equations

\[
G(\rho; \omega) = v + v \sum_{k' \leq k_F} \frac{|k_a k_b \rangle Q(k_a k_b)}{\omega - \epsilon(k_a) - \epsilon(k_b)} G(\rho; \omega),
\]

\[
U(k; \rho) = \sum_{k' \leq k_F} \langle kk' | G(\rho; \epsilon(k) + \epsilon(k')) | kk' \rangle_a \tag{12}
\]

where \( v \) is the bare nucleon-nucleon (NN) interaction, \( \rho \) is the nucleon number density, \( \omega \) is the starting energy, and \( |k_a k_b \rangle Q(k_a k_b) \) is the Pauli operator. \( \epsilon(k) = \epsilon(k; \rho) = \frac{k^2}{2m} + U(k; \rho) \) is the single particle energy, and the subscript “a” indicates antisymmetrization of the matrix element. In the BHF approximation the energy per nucleon is

\[
\frac{E}{A}(\rho) = \frac{3}{5} \frac{h^2 k_F^2}{2m} + D_2, \tag{13}
\]

\[
D_2 = \frac{1}{2A} \sum_{k, k' \leq k_F} \langle kk' | G(\rho; \epsilon(k) + \epsilon(k')) | kk' \rangle_a \tag{14}
\]

For the two-body interaction \( v \), we choose the Argonne \( v_{18} \) nucleon-nucleon potential \[22\]. We have also introduced three-body forces (TBF) among nucleons, adopting the phenomenological Urbana model \[24\]. This allows to reproduce correctly the nuclear matter saturation point \( \rho_0 \approx 0.17 \) fm\(^{-3}\), \( E/A \approx -16 \) MeV, and gives values of incompressibility and symmetry energy at saturation compatible with those extracted from phenomenology \[27\]. Moreover, the BBG approach has been extended to the hyperonic sector in a fully self-consistent way \[26, 27\], by including the \( \Sigma^- \) and \( \Lambda \) hyperons.

In this paper, we adopt a conventional description of stellar matter, as composed by neutrons, protons, and leptons in beta equilibrium \[28\]. The EOS for the beta equilibrated matter can be obtained for a given composition, together with the chemical potentials of all species as a function of the total baryon density. The chemical potentials are the fundamental input for the equations of chemical equilibrium, charge neutrality conditions, and baryon number conservation, i.e.,

\[
\mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_{e^-} \tag{15}
\]

\[
\mu_{e^-} = \mu_{\mu^-} \tag{16}
\]

\[
x_p = x_{e^-} + x_{\mu^-} \tag{17}
\]

\[
1 = x_n + x_p \tag{18}
\]

where \( x_i = \rho_i / \rho \) is the nucleonic fraction of the species \( i \). The above conditions allow the unique solution of a closed system of equations, yielding the equilibrium fractions of the nucleonic and leptonic species for each fixed nucleon density. Once the composition of the \( \beta \)-stable, charge neutral stellar matter is known, one can calculate the equation of state, i.e., the relation between pressure \( P \) and energy density \( \epsilon \) as a function of the baryon density \( \rho \). It can be easily obtained from the thermodynamical relation

\[
P = -\frac{dE}{dV} = P_B + P_l \tag{19}
\]

\[
P_B = \rho^2 \frac{d(\epsilon_B/\rho)}{d\rho}, \quad P_l = \rho^2 \frac{d(\epsilon_l/\rho)}{d\rho} \tag{20}
\]

with \( E \) the total energy and \( V \) the total volume. The total nucleonic energy density is obtained by adding the energy densities of each species \( \epsilon_i \). As far as leptons are concerned, at those high densities electrons are a free ultrarelativistic gas, whereas muons are relativistic. Hence their energy densities \( \epsilon_i \) are well known from textbooks \[29\].

### IV. PHASE TRANSITION IN BETA-STABLE MATTER AND NEUTRON STAR STRUCTURE

We are now able to compare the pressure of the two phases, namely the pressure in the hadronic phase given in Eqs.\[19, 20\] with the one in the quark-gluon phase which, according to \[16, 18\], can be written as

\[
P_{gg} = P_g + \sum_{j=u,d,s} P_{q_j} + \Delta \epsilon_{\text{vac}} \tag{21}
\]

where \( P_g \) and \( P_{q_j} \) are respectively given in Eq.\[10\] and \[13\], and

\[
\Delta \epsilon_{\text{vac}} \approx -\frac{(11 - \frac{2}{3} N_f)}{32} \frac{G_2}{2} \tag{22}
\]

corresponds to the difference of the vacuum energy density in the two phases, being \( N_f \) the flavour number.

By assuming a first order hadron-quark phase transition \[30\] in beta-stable matter, we adopt the simple Maxwell construction. The more general Gibbs construction \[3\] is still affected by many theoretical uncertainties \[31\], and in any case the final mass-radius relation of massive neutron stars \[32\] is slightly affected.
We impose thermal, chemical, and mechanical equilibrium between the two phases. This implies that the phase coexistence is determined by a crossing point in the pressure vs. chemical potential plot, as shown in Fig. 1. There we display the pressure $P$ as a function of the baryon chemical potential $\mu_B$ for baryonic and quark matter phases. In the upper panel we show the results obtained using $V_1 = 0$, whereas in the lower panel calculations with $V_1 = 0.01$ GeV (according to the indication of the constraint in Eq. (10) ) are displayed. The solid line represents the calculations performed with the BBG method with nucleons, and the other lines represent results obtained with different choices of the gluon condensate $G_2$. We recall that the chosen values of $G_2$ give values of the critical temperature in a range between 160 and 190 MeV [16].

We observe that the crossing point is significantly affected by the value of the gluon condensate, and only slightly by the chosen value of the potential $V_1$. Moreover, with increasing $G_2$, the onset of the phase transition is shifted to larger chemical potentials. Hence, we expect that the neutron star will possess a thicker hadronic mantle with increasing $G_2$.

In Fig. 2 we display the total EoS, i.e. the pressure as a function of the baryon density for the several cases discussed above. The plateaus are consequence of the Maxwell construction. Below the plateau, $\beta$-stable and charge neutral stellar matter is in the purely hadronic phase, whereas for density above the ones characterizing the plateau, the system is in the pure quark phase.

The EoS is the fundamental input for solving the well-known hydrostatic equilibrium equations of Tolman, Oppenheimer, and Volkov [29] for the pressure $P$ and the enclosed mass $m$

$$\frac{dP(r)}{dr} = -\frac{Gm(r)\epsilon(r)}{r^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{P(r)}{\epsilon(r)} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 P(r)}{m(r)} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 P(r)}{m(r)} \right]$$

$$\frac{dm(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \epsilon(r),$$

being $\epsilon$ the total energy density ($G$ is the gravitational constant). For a chosen central value of the energy density, the numerical integration of Eqs. (23) and (24) provides the mass-radius relation. For the description of
the neutron star crust, we have joined the equations of state above described with the ones by Negele & Vautherin [33] in the medium-density regime ($0.001 \text{fm}^{-3} < \rho < 0.08 \text{fm}^{-3}$), and the ones by Feynman, Metropolis, & Teller [34] and Baym, Pethick, & Sutherland [35] for the outer crust ($\rho < 0.001 \text{fm}^{-3}$).

In Fig. 3 we display in the left panel the gravitational mass (in units of solar mass $M_\odot = 2 \times 10^{33} \text{g}$) as a function of the central baryon density (normalized with respect to the saturation value), and the corresponding radius in the right panel. We observe that the value of the maximum mass spans over a range between 1.4 and 1.8 solar masses, depending on the value of the gluon condensate $G_2$, as shown in Table I. The stability of the pure quark phase appears only for small values of $G_2$, which are hardly in agreement with observational data. In fact, we recall that any “good” equation of state must give for the maximum mass at least 1.44 solar mass, the best measured value so far [36]. By increasing the value of $G_2$, the maximum mass increases as well, up to about 1.8 solar mass, but the stability of the pure quark phase is lost, and the maximum mass contains in its interior at most a mixed quark-hadron phase. By switching on the potential $V_1$, as displayed in Fig. 4, we observe a trend similar to the case $V_1 = 0$. Therefore, generally speaking we can conclude that this model gives values of the maximum mass in any case below two solar mass, in agreement with the current observational data. However, the observational data indicate that NS with a mass of at least 1.6 solar masses do exist [37], and this puts a serious constraint on the value of the gluon condensate, which is not easy to reconcile with the value 0.006 GeV$^4$, extracted from the comparison with the lattice data on the critical temperature. This result emphasize the relevance of astrophysical data in testing different quark matter models.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The problem of the appearance of quark matter in the NS core has been discussed by considering the microscopic EoS in the FCM where the dynamics of confine-
ment is assumed to be a long range phenomenon. The results confirm the idea that confinement plays an important role to obtain a stable system under the gravitational pressure. However, in this case, pure quark matter can appear only for a certain range of the gluon condensate, which is mainly a parameter of the model. The comparison with phenomenological data on NS masses gives strong constraints on the values of this parameter, which unfortunately are only marginally compatible with the range extracted by comparing the model with lattice data at zero chemical potential. However in this case the value of the large distance static \( QQ \) potential \( V_1 \) turns out to be very small. Other choices are possible if Eq. (7) is assumed to be valid only at long range, while Eq. (8) is a true short range relationship. In this case the parameters \( D_{\psi}^{F}(0) \) in the two equations cannot be identified and may correspond to two different numerical values, and therefore the value of \( V_1 \) must be considered an independent parameter. In the comparison with lattice calculations \[15\] one finds a value \( V_1 \approx 0.5 \text{ GeV} \) at the critical temperature and for \( \mu = 0 \). Besides that, the assumption of the independence of \( V_1 \) on \( \mu \) can be questionable, it appears in any case that the value of this parameter at high density and low temperature is quite uncertain. We have therefore varied the strength of \( V_1 \) from the small values previously considered up to 0.5 GeV. The results for the EOS is reported in Fig. 4 for different values of \( V_1 \). One can see that the hadron-quark phase transition is shifted to higher values of the chemical potentials and therefore of the density. This can be expected just by inspection of the formula for the pressure, which is clearly a decreasing function of \( V_1 \). Actually already for \( V_1 = 100 \text{ MeV} \) the phase transition cannot occur in NS, which is then composed of baryon matter only, with a maximum mass around 2 solar masses. For higher values of \( V_1 \) the transition can possibly occur only at exceedingly high values of the density, and therefore the quark phase is irrelevant for NS physics.

These results indicate once more a direct link between the NS quark content and the properties of deconfinement in the hadron-quark phase transition. More quantitatively, if one considers that the well established values of NS masses never exceed \( \approx 1.6 \) solar masses, then these observational data constrain \( V_1 \) to small values and in a narrow range, well below 100 MeV, in sharp contrast with values around 0.5 GeV extracted from lattice calculations. Despite the FCM is in good agreement with full QCD lattice data and is a well defined theoretical approach where confinement is, ab initio, the crucial dynamical aspect, some refinements seem to be needed once the astrophysical data are considered.

A relevant point to be clarified is the possible presence of hyperons, whose onset is expected to be around 2-3 times the saturation density. In Fig. 5 we plot two curves corresponding to the BHF EoS with and without the inclusion of hyperons. As displayed, both curves coincide at small values of the baryon chemical potential and, after the onset of the hyperons, the former curve grows faster becoming the uppermost one in the figure.

Therefore, only at small values of \( V_1 \), of the order of 0.01 GeV or below, the transition to quark matter occurs at about the same density as the hyperon onset, as displayed in Fig. 4 and 5. On the other hand, Fig. 5 clearly shows that, when increasing \( V_1 \) up to \( V_1 \approx 0.5 \text{ GeV} \), no crossing with the quark matter EOS is possible. We remark that the baryonic EoS with hyperons in the BHF framework produces a maximum mass close to \( 1.3 M_\odot \), below the observational limit, and therefore it is not acceptable. \[28\]

It has to be pointed out that in all cases where no phase transition to quark matter is possible, with or without hyperons, nuclear matter can reach densities where baryons are so closely packed that keeping their identity is highly questionable. This is the main physical qualitative argument that suggests as likely a transition to quark matter.

Another approximation used in the FCM is the so called Single Line Approximation where the relevant dynamics is related to the interaction of a single quark or gluon with the vacuum. At large density this could be

| \( V_1 \) | \( G_2 \) | \( M_G/M_0 \) | \( R (\text{km}) \) | \( p_c/p_0 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0. | 0.012 | 1.76 | 10.58 | 5.76 |
|  | 0.008 | 1.58 | 11.21 | 4.35 |
|  | 0.007 | 1.46 | 10.2 | 7.92 |
|  | 0.006 | 1.43 | 9.27 | 8.85 |
| 0.01 | 0.012 | 1.78 | 10.46 | 6.06 |
|  | 0.008 | 1.66 | 10.99 | 4.82 |
|  | 0.007 | 1.55 | 11.26 | 4.33 |
|  | 0.006 | 1.47 | 9.79 | 8.81 |

**TABLE I:** Properties of the maximum mass configuration for different values of the model parameters.

**FIG. 5:** Pressure as a function of the baryon chemical potential, for different values of \( V_1 \) and \( G_2 \). The uppermost curve represents the BHF EoS with hyperons.
no longer true, but in the FCM the most important non perturbative effects are included in the field correlators and in particular in the gluon condensate which drives the transition. Therefore at large density the main effect should be related to the $\mu$ dependence of $G_2$ in Eq. (5). Lattice data at large temperature and small density show that the Color Electric condensate goes to zero at the transition point and the Color Magnetic condensate survives at large temperature. In our analysis the same behavior has been assumed at small temperature and large density (see Eqs. (21) and (22)). Of course our results depend on this assumption and we checked if a different qualitative conclusion is reached with a density dependent gluon condensate. Following the suggestion in [21] for the density dependence of $G_2$ we obtain the same qualitative results with the possibility of a larger NS mass $\simeq 2$ solar masses.
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