EULER CHARACTERISTICS OF TAUOTOLOGICAL BUNDLES OVER QUOT SCHEMES OF CURVES
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Abstract. We compute the Euler characteristics of tautological vector bundles and their exterior powers over the Quot schemes of curves. We give closed-form expressions over punctual Quot schemes in all genera. For higher rank quotients of a trivial vector bundle, we obtain answers in genus 0. We also study the Euler characteristics of the symmetric powers of the tautological bundles, for rank 0 quotients.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we prove several closed-form expressions for the holomorphic Euler characteristics of tautological vector bundles and their exterior and symmetric powers over the Quot schemes of curves.

1.1. Punctual Quot schemes. To set the stage, let \( E \to C \) be a locally free sheaf over a smooth projective curve \( C \). Let \( \text{Quot}_d(E) \) denote the Quot scheme parameterizing rank 0 degree \( d \) quotients of \( E \):
\[
0 \to S \to E \to Q \to 0, \quad \text{rank } Q = 0, \quad \text{deg } Q = d.
\]
It is easy to see that \( \text{Quot}_d(E) \) is smooth of dimension \( Nd \) where \( N = \text{rank } E \).

We write
\[
0 \to S \to p^* E \to Q \to 0
\]
for the universal exact sequence over \( C \times \text{Quot}_d(E) \), and we let \( p \) and \( \pi \) denote the two projections over the factors of \( C \times \text{Quot}_d(E) \). For any line bundle \( L \to C \), there is an induced tautological rank \( d \) vector bundle over \( \text{Quot}_d(E) \) given by
\[
L^{[d]} = \pi_* (p^* L \otimes Q).
\]

We first study the holomorphic Euler characteristics of all exterior powers \( \wedge^k L^{[d]} \). To this end, for any vector bundle \( V \) over a scheme \( Y \), we set
\[
\wedge^k V := \sum_k y^k \wedge^k V.
\]
We show

Theorem 1. Let \( E \to C \) be a vector bundle over a smooth projective curve, and let \( L \to C \) be a line bundle. Then
\[
\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi(\text{Quot}_d(E), \wedge^k L^{[d]}) = (1 - q)^{-\chi(O_C)} (1 + qy)^{\chi(E \otimes L)}.
\]
The same methods will establish a slightly stronger result:

**Theorem 2.** For any line bundles $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_r$ and $L$ over $C$, where $0 \leq r \leq rk E - 1$, we have

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E), \wedge_y L^{[d]} \otimes_{i=1}^r (\wedge_x M_i^{[d]})^\vee \right) = (1 - q)^{-\chi(O_C)}(1 + qy)^{\chi(E \otimes L)} \prod_{i=1}^{r}(1 - qx_iy)^{-\chi(M_i^\vee \otimes L)}.$$

Our proofs rely on universality arguments in the spirit of [EGL] to reduce to the case of genus 0, and equivariant localization in genus 0. These are well-established techniques. In general however, the ensuing localization sums are not immediately expressed in closed form. The novelty here is that we show how to overcome the combinatorial difficulties by using Lagrange-B"urmann inversion and considerations involving Schur polynomials and Jacobi-Trudi determinants. These lead to drastic simplifications of the answers.

In a similar context, the prior work [MO1] also makes use of localization techniques to calculate a large part of the intersection theory of Quot schemes of curves (for quotients of arbitrary ranks of a trivial vector bundle), in particular to derive and extend the Vafa-Intriligator formula. The current $K$-theoretic setup requires that we handle the localization sums differently. We believe that the simplicity of the final formulas makes the calculations worthwhile to be recorded.

1.2. Analogies with surfaces.** Theorems 1 and 2 suggest an unexpected analogy between $\text{Quot}_d(E)$ and the Hilbert scheme of points $X^{[d]}$ over a smooth projective surface $X$. Specifically, Theorem 1 can be compared with the calculations of [A1, Da, Sc1]:

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi \left( X^{[d]}, \wedge_y L^{[d]} \right) = (1 - q)^{-\chi(O_X)}(1 + qy)^{\chi(L)}.$$ 

This is proved in [Sc1] by passing to the derived category and computing the image of $\wedge^\bullet L^{[d]}$ under the Bridgeland-King-Reid equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}^b(X^{[d]}) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b_{S_d}(X^d)$$

established in [BKR, H]. The same result is obtained by studying different equivariant limits in the Donaldson-Thomas theory of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds in [A1].

In the same vein, Theorem 2 when $r = 1$ mirrors the following result of [WZ] and its strengthening by [K1]:

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi \left( X^{[d]}, \wedge_y L^{[d]} \otimes (\wedge_x M_i^{[d]})^\vee \right) = (1 - q)^{-\chi(O_X)}(1 + qy)^{\chi(L)}(1 + qx)^{\chi(M^\vee)}(1 - qxy)^{-\chi(L \otimes M^\vee)}.$$ 

While the latter equality is conjectured to hold in all dimensions $\geq 2$, it was noted in [K1] that the naive analogue for symmetric products of curves fails. Theorem 2 can be viewed as a remedy: a similar but different formula holds for curves, and only when $N \geq 2$. Theorem 2 furthermore allows for multiple dualized factors.
1.3. Higher rank. Turning to higher rank quotients, while we do not obtain a closed-form expression for the corresponding generating series, our techniques yield the result below. We restrict to the case $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, and $E$ a trivial rank $N$ vector bundle. The Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_d(E, r)$ parametrizes short exact sequences

$$0 \to S \to E \to Q \to 0, \quad \text{rank } Q = r, \quad \text{deg } Q = d.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let $\deg L = \ell$ and $0 < r < N$. We have

$$\chi(\text{Quot}_d(E, r), \Lambda_y L^{[d]}) = (-1)^{(N-r-1)d} \left[q^d\right] \frac{\det(f_i(z_j))}{\det(z_{N-i}^{i-1})}.$$

In the numerator $(f_i(z_j))$ is the $N \times N$ matrix with

$$f_i(z) = \begin{cases} z^{\ell+d+N-i+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq N - r \\ z^{N-i}(z+y)^{i+1} & \text{if } N - r + 1 \leq i \leq N \end{cases}$$

and $z_1, \ldots, z_N$ are the distinct roots of the equation $(z-1)^N - q(z+y)z^{r-1} = 0$. The denominator is the Vandermonde determinant.

In the statement above, the brackets indicate taking the coefficient of the corresponding power of $q$.

In Corollary 15 of Section 4, we note a connection between $\chi(\text{Quot}_d(E, r), \det L^{[d]})$ and Schur polynomials. We also work out several specializations of the corresponding formula.

1.4. Symmetric products. For rank zero quotients, we similarly study the series of symmetric powers of the tautological bundles

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi(\text{Quot}_d(E), \text{Sym}_y L^{[d]}).$$

Here, for any vector bundle $V$, we write

$$\text{Sym}_y V = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y^k \text{Sym}^k V.$$

**Theorem 4.** For $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $d \geq k$, we have

$$\chi\left(\text{Quot}_d(E), \text{Sym}_y L^{[d]}\right) = \binom{\chi(E \otimes L) + k - 1}{k}.$$

The answer is independent of $d \geq k$. The question was also studied over Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces [A1, Sc2]. Just as for exterior powers, the analogy with the Hilbert scheme of surfaces persists here as well. Indeed, the following result was established in [A1] via the Donaldson-Thomas theory of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds

$$\chi\left(\chi^{[d]}, \text{Sym}_y L^{[d]}\right) = \binom{\chi(L) + k - 1}{k},$$

whenever $d \geq k$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$.

Theorem 12 in Section 3 gives a more general result than Theorem 4 above, covering the case $d < k$ as well.
In arbitrary genus, universality arguments as in [EGL] show that the factorization
\[ W = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E), \text{Sym}_y L^{[d]} \right) = A\chi^{(C_C)} \cdot B\chi^{(E \otimes L)} \]
holds true, for two universal power series \( A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[[q]] \) that depend on \( N \), but not on the triple \((C, E, L)\). Our results give precise information about the series \( B \). While we can determine \( A \) in principle, we do not have a closed-form expression.

**Theorem 5.** We have
\[ B = f \left( \frac{qy}{(1-y)^{N+1}} \right) \]
where \( f(z) \) is the solution to the equation
\[ f(z)^N - f(z)^{N+1} + z = 0, \quad f(0) = 1. \]
For instance, in the special case \( N = 2 \), we obtain
\[ f(z) = 1 + \frac{4}{3} \sinh^2 \left( \frac{4}{3} \arcsinh \left( \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} z \right) \right). \]

In the last section, we raise a few questions related to the results in this paper.

**1.5. Previous work.** Several aspects of the geometry of the punctual Quot schemes \( \text{Quot}_d(E) \) are already understood. Since it is difficult to be exhaustive here, we mention only a few selected results.

The Poincaré polynomials and the motives of \( \text{Quot}_d(E) \) are computed in [BI, BFP, C, R, Str], the cohomology and stabilization phenomena are considered in [Mo], automorphisms and Torelli type results are studied in [BDH, Ga], various cones of divisors are investigated in [GS, Str], positivity results for the tautological vector bundles are obtained in [O], the cohomology of the tangent bundle is studied in [BGS]. The punctual Quot schemes bear close connections to the moduli space of bundles over curves, and in fact, the study of the Poincaré polynomials and motives of the latter can be undertaken in this context [BD, BGL, HPL]. As alluded to above, the (virtual) intersection theory of Quot schemes (of quotients of arbitrary rank) over curves was studied in a wider context, see [Ber1, Ber2, MO1]. Furthermore, in this wider context, connections with the intersection theory of the moduli of bundles were proven in [BDW, M, MO2].

Regarding the \( K \)-theory of Quot schemes, fewer direct calculations were available.\(^1\) We note however the work of [RZ] which connects certain holomorphic Euler characteristics of line bundles over certain Quot schemes (parametrizing rank 2 subbundles of a trivial high rank bundle) with the Verlinde numbers over the moduli of rank 2 bundles, via a wall-crossing analysis as in [BDW, M].\(^2\)

\(^1\)Over surfaces, the virtual \( K \)-theory of the punctual Quot schemes \( \text{Quot}_d(E) \) was thoroughly studied in [AILOP]. Via cosection localization to a canonical curve, these results can be used to compute certain twisted holomorphic Euler characteristics of tautological bundles over the punctual Quot schemes. These calculations are indirect, and more importantly, due to the aforementioned twists, the results of our paper are not accessible via these methods.

\(^2\)It is natural to ask whether the Euler characteristic \( \chi(\wedge^d L^{[d]}) \) given by Theorem 1 can be computed via wall-crossing, say in rank 2 for simplicity. In principle, we are led to finding the Euler characteristics of certain higher rank twists of
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2. **Rank zero quotients and exterior powers**

In this section we prove Theorems 1 and 2. The proofs of the two theorems are similar. The calculations for Theorem 1 are however simpler and already illustrate the main points.

2.1. **Torus action.** We first establish Theorem 1 when $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $E = \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_N)$, and for $L$ such that

$$\deg L + a_i + 1 \geq 0$$

for all $i$. The arbitrary genus case follows from here by universality arguments, see Section 2.6 below.

Under the above assumptions, we seek to show that

\[
\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi(\text{Quot}_d, \wedge^y L^{|d|}) = (1 - q)^{-1}(1 + qy)^{-\chi(E \otimes L)}.
\]

Here, for simplicity, we wrote $\text{Quot}_d$ instead of $\text{Quot}_d(E)$.

We evaluate expression (1) via Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch

\[
\chi(\text{Quot}_d, \wedge^y L^{|d|}) = \int_{\text{Quot}_d} \text{ch}(\wedge^y L^{|d|}) \text{Td} (\text{Quot}_d),
\]

and we use $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant localization to compute the integral. \[\]

To this end, we let $\mathbb{C}^*$ act on $E$ with weight $-w_i$ on the summand $O(a_i)$. This induces a $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\text{Quot}_d$. The fixed subbundles correspond to split inclusions

$$S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} K_i(a_i) \hookrightarrow E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}(a_i).$$

Thus, the fixed loci are products of projective spaces

$$F_{\vec{d}} = \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_N}$$

for vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_N)$ such that $d_1 + \cdots + d_N = d$. The factor $\mathbb{P}^{d_i}$ corresponds to the Hilbert scheme of $d_i$ points of $\mathbb{P}^1$ parameterizing short exact sequences

$$0 \to K_i \to \mathcal{O} \to T_i \to 0$$

such that $T_i$ is a torsion sheaf of length $d_i$.

There is a universal exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}_i \to \mathcal{O} \to T_i \to 0$$

the theta line bundles over the moduli space of semistable vector bundles, whose intersection theory is known. However, obtaining exact formulas in this fashion seems combinatorially difficult.

\[\]

\[\]
over the product $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^d$, with the universal kernel given by

$$K_i = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-d_i) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(-1).$$

For future reference, we note that the universal exact sequence $0 \to S \to p^*E \to Q \to 0$ over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{Quot}_d$ restricts to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times F_{\vec{d}}$ as

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i\in[N]} K_i(a_i) \to \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_N) \to \bigoplus_{i\in[N]} T_i(a_i) \to 0,$$

where pullbacks from the factors are understood above. We also set $[N] = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$.

By Atiyah-Bott localization, we have

$$\chi(\text{Quot}_d, \wedge y L^d(d)) = \sum_{|\vec{d}| = d} \int_{F_{\vec{d}}} \text{ch}(\wedge y L^d(d)) \text{Td}(\text{Quot}_d) \cdot \Delta_{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_{\vec{d}}) \cdot \Delta_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{V}_{\vec{d}}).$$

Here $N_{\vec{d}}$ denotes the normal bundle of the fixed locus $F_{\vec{d}}$.

2.2. Explicit calculations. We proceed to calculate the expressions appearing in the localization sum (4). In the next subsections, we record the Todd genera, the normal bundle contributions and the Chern characters of the tautological bundles.

2.2.1. Todd Classes. By (3), the tangent bundle $T\text{Quot}_d = \text{Hom}_\pi(S, Q)$ restricts to

$$\bigoplus_{i,j\in[N]} \pi_* (K_i^\vee (-a_i) \otimes T_j(a_j))$$

over the fixed locus $F_{\vec{d}} = \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_N}$. Here $\pi : \text{Quot}_d \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \text{Quot}_d$ denotes the projection. In $K$-theory, the above expression equals

$$\bigoplus_{i,j\in[N]} \pi_* (K_i^\vee (a_j - a_i)) - \bigoplus_{i,j\in[N]} \pi_* (K_i^\vee \otimes K_j(a_j - a_i)).$$

In this discussion $\pi_* = R^0 \pi_* - R^1 \pi_*$. Therefore the Todd class of $\text{Quot}_d$ restricted to each fixed locus is

$$\prod_{i,j\in[N]} \text{Td}(\pi_* (K_i^\vee (a_j - a_i))) \left( \prod_{i,j\in[N], i\neq j} \text{Td}(\pi_* (K_i^\vee \otimes K_j(a_j - a_i))) \right)^{-1}.$$

The above $(i,j)$-terms carry the weight $w_i - w_j$. The assumption $i \neq j$ in the second product can be made since the term $i = j$ is trivial in genus 0.

2.2.2. Equivariant normal bundles. Over each fixed locus, the normal bundle is given by the moving part of the tangent bundle:

$$N_{\vec{d}} = T_{\text{mov}} \bigg|_{F_{\vec{d}}} = \bigoplus_{i\neq j} \pi_* (K_i^\vee (-a_i) \otimes T_j(a_j))$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i\neq j} \pi_* (K_i^\vee (a_j - a_i)) - \bigoplus_{i\neq j} \pi_* (K_i^\vee \otimes K_j(a_j - a_i)).$$
where we continue to keep track of the weights \( w_i - w_j \). Therefore, we find the Euler classes

\[
\frac{1}{e_{C^*}N_d} = \prod_{i,j \in [N], i \neq j} \left( e_{C^*} \left( \pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee (a_j - a_i) \right) \right) \right)^{-1} \prod_{i,j \in [N], i \neq j} e_{C^*} \left( \pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \otimes K_j (a_j - a_i) \right) \right).
\]

Collecting all expressions above, we obtain that over the fixed locus \( F_d \), the factor \( \frac{\text{Td}(\text{Quot}_d)}{e_{C^*}N_d} \) in the localization expression restricts to

\[
\prod_{i \in [N]} \text{Td} \left( \pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \right) \right) \prod_{i,j \in [N], i \neq j} \frac{\text{Td} \left( \pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee (a_j - a_i) \right) \right)}{\text{Td} \left( \pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \otimes K_j (a_j - a_i) \right) \right)}.
\]

2.2.3. Explicit contributions. The terms in (6) can be made explicit. For the first term, recalling (2), we immediately compute

\[
\pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \right) = \mathbb{C}^{d_i+1} \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}^{d_i}}(1) \implies \text{Td} (\pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \right)) = \left( h_i \left( 1 - e^{-h_i} \right) \right)^{d_i+1},
\]

where \( h_i \) is the hyperplane class on \( \mathbb{P}^{d_i} \) (by abuse of notation also pulled back to \( \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_N} \)). The equivariant weights vanish for this term. (This is the Todd genus of the projective space, as it should.)

Turning to the remaining terms, more generally, equation (2) straightforwardly yields

\[
c(\pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee (a_j - a_i) \right)) = (1 + h_i)_{d_i+a_j-a_i+1}
\]

\[
c(\pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \otimes K_j (a_j - a_i) \right)) = (1 + h_i - h_j)_{d_i-d_j+a_j-a_i+1}.
\]

In the equivariant cohomology, recalling that the above sheaves carry the weight \( w_i - w_j \), we obtain

\[
c(\pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee (a_j - a_i) \right)) = (1 + h_i + w_i \epsilon - w_j \epsilon)_{d_i+a_j-a_i+1}
\]

\[
c(\pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \otimes K_j (a_j - a_i) \right)) = (1 + h_i + w_i \epsilon - h_j - w_j \epsilon)_{d_i-d_j+a_j-a_i+1}.
\]

Here, \( \epsilon \) denotes the equivariant parameter. This implies the following expressions for the equivariant Todd genera

\[
\text{Td}(\pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee (a_j - a_i) \right)) = \left( \frac{h_i + w_i \epsilon - w_j \epsilon}{1 - e^{-(h_i + w_i \epsilon - w_j \epsilon)}} \right)^{d_i+a_j-a_i+1}
\]

\[
\text{Td}(\pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \otimes K_j (a_j - a_i) \right)) = \left( \frac{h_i + w_i \epsilon - h_j - w_j \epsilon}{1 - e^{-(h_i + w_i \epsilon - h_j - w_j \epsilon)}} \right)^{d_i-d_j+a_j-a_i+1}.
\]

Similarly we obtain the Euler classes

\[
e_{C^*} \left( \pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee (a_j - a_i) \right) \right) = (h_i + w_i \epsilon - w_j \epsilon)_{d_i+a_j-a_i+1}
\]

\[
e_{C^*} \left( \pi_* \left( K_{i}^\vee \otimes K_j (a_j - a_i) \right) \right) = (h_i + w_i \epsilon - h_j - w_j \epsilon)_{d_i-d_j+a_j-a_i+1}.
\]

Simplification. All told, substituting the above expressions into (9) and cancelling terms, we obtain

\[
\frac{\text{Td}(\text{Quot}_d)}{e_{C^*}N_d} \bigg|_{F_d} = \prod_{i \in [N]} h_i^{d_i+1} \prod_{i,j \in [N]} \left( \frac{z_i}{z_i - \alpha_j} \right)^{d_i+a_j-a_i+1} \prod_{i,j \in [N], i \neq j} \left( \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i} \right)^{d_i-d_j+a_j-a_i+1}
\]

where we set for notational convenience

\[
z_i = e^{h_i + w_i \epsilon}, \quad \alpha_i = e^{w_i \epsilon}.
\]
We rewrite this in a slightly more convenient form in terms of the polynomial

\[ R(z) = \prod_{j \in [N]} (z - \alpha_j). \]

Combining the \((i, j)\) and \((j, i)\)-factors in the last product appearing in (7), and judiciously accounting for the remaining terms, we eventually obtain

\[
\mathop{\text{Td}}(\text{Quot}_d) \mid_{F_d} = u \cdot \prod_{i \in [N]} \left( \frac{h_i}{R(z_i)} \right)^{d_i+1} \frac{z_i^{d_i+1} \left( \frac{R(z_i)}{\prod_{j \in [N]} (z_j - \alpha_j)} \right)^{a_i+\ell+1}}{\prod_{i,j \in [N], i<j} (z_i - z_j)^2}
\]

for the sign

\[ u = (-1)^{(N-1)(d+\sum(a_i+\ell+1))+(N^2)}. \]

The integer \(\ell\) included in the above expression will be useful later on. For now, the value of \(\ell\) plays no role. Any \(\ell\) will work since

\[ \prod_{i} \frac{R(z_i)}{\prod_{j \in [N]} (z_j - \alpha_j)} = 1. \]

2.2.4. Chern classes. For the remaining term in (4), we record the following

**Lemma 6.** The equivariant restrictions of the Chern characters of the tautological bundles to the fixed loci are given by

\[
\mathop{\text{ch}}(\wedge^y L^{[d]}) \mid_{F_d} = \prod_{i} \frac{z_i (\alpha_i + y)^{a_i+\ell+1}}{(\alpha_i z_i + y)} \left( \frac{z_i + y}{z_i} \right)^{d_i}
\]

\[
\mathop{\text{ch}}\left( (\wedge^x M^{[d]})^\vee \right) \mid_{F_d} = \prod_{i} \left( \frac{1 + \alpha_i x}{1 + z_i x} \right)^{a_i+m+1} \left( 1 + z_i x \right)^{d_i}
\]

where \(L\) and \(M\) are line bundles of degree \(\ell\) and \(m\) respectively.

**Proof.** We only explain the first formula, the second assertion being entirely similar. We note that over \(F_d = \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_N}\), the bundle \(L^{[d]}\) splits as contributions coming from each factor

\[ L^{[d]} = \pi_*(Q \otimes p^*L) = \bigoplus_{i \in [N]} \pi_*(T_i \otimes p^*L(a_i)), \]

with each summand acted on with \(\mathbb{C}^*\)-weight \(-w_i\). In \(K\)-theory, we have by (2) that

\[ T_i = \mathcal{O} - \mathcal{K}_i = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{d_i}} - \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d_i}}(-d_i) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d_i}}(-1). \]

This yields

\[ \pi_*(T_i \otimes p^*L(a_i)) = \mathbb{C}^{a_i+\ell+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d_i}} - \mathbb{C}^{a_i-d_i+\ell+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d_i}}(-1). \]

The result follows immediately from here, using that \(\wedge_y (V + W) = \wedge_y V \cdot \wedge_y W\) and accounting for all terms. \(\square\)
2.3. Proof of Theorem 1. With the above ingredients in place, the key steps of the argument are as follows:

(i) after judiciously accounting for all localization terms, the fixed point contributions are summed using the Lagrange-Bürmann formula;

(ii) next, the answer is recast as a quotient of suitable determinants. Schur polynomials evaluated at the roots of a certain algebraic equation arise at this step;

(iii) finally, an application of the Jacobi-Trudi formula to the Schur polynomials greatly simplifies the answer and gives the result.

To begin, we substitute equations (8) and (9) into the localization expression (4). We obtain that

\[ \chi(\text{Quot}_d, \wedge^y L^{[d]}) = u \sum_{|\vec{d}|=d} \left[ \prod_i \left( \frac{z_i (\alpha_i + y)}{\alpha_i (z_i + y)} \right)^{b_i} \frac{h_i (z_i + y)}{R(z_i)} \frac{\partial^{d_i+1} R(z_i)}{\prod_j (z_j - \alpha_i)} \right] \]

\[ \cdot \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j)^2 \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} \].

Here, we wrote for simplicity \( b_i = a_i + \ell + 1 \).

The brackets indicate extracting the coefficient of \( h_1^{d_1} \ldots h_N^{d_N} \) in the relevant expression; this corresponds to integration over the product of projective spaces \( F_{\vec{d}} = \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_N} \). The equivariant parameter \( \epsilon \) is set to 0 at the end.

The rest of this section is dedicated to the explicit combinatorial manipulations (i)-(iii) which bring the above expression (11) into the form stated in Theorem 1. We first apply the multivariable Lagrange-Bürmann formula \[\text{[Ge]}\]. The formulation we need in this case is as follows.

\[ \sum_{(d_1, \ldots, d_N)} q_1^{d_1} \cdots q_N^{d_N} \left[ h_1^{d_1} \ldots h_N^{d_N} \right] \left( \Phi_1(h_1)^{d_1+1} \cdots \Phi_N^{d_N+1} (h_N) \right) \cdot \Psi(h_1, \ldots, h_N) = \frac{\Psi}{J} \]

for the change of variables

\[ q_i = \frac{h_i}{\Phi_i(h_i)} \]

with Jacobian

\[ J = \frac{dh_1}{dh_1} \cdots \frac{dh_N}{dh_N}. \]

This formula will be used to derive equation (17) below. The intermediate calculations are straightforward; nonetheless, we record the details for completeness.

Set

\[ \Phi_i(h_i) = \frac{h_i}{R(z_i)} \frac{z_i + y}{z_i}, \]
and let
\[ \Psi = u \prod_i \left( \frac{z_i(\alpha_i + y)}{\alpha_i(z_i + y)} \right)^{b_i} \left( \frac{z_i + y}{z_i} \right)^{-1} z_i^{d+1} \left( \frac{R(z_i)}{\prod_j(z_j - \alpha_i)} \right)^{b_i} \prod_{i<j}(z_i - z_j)^2 \]
be determined by the remaining terms in (11).

Due to the factor $z_i - \alpha_i$ in $R(z_i)$ which has a simple zero at $h_i = 0$, we have $\Phi_i(0) \neq 0$. We apply (12) with
\[ q_1 = \ldots = q_N = q. \]

Thus, letting $z_i$ be the root of the equation
\[ q(z_i + y) = z_i R(z_i), \quad z_i|_{q=0} = \alpha_i, \]
and letting $h_i$ be determined by $z_i = \alpha_i e^{h_i}$, we have $q = \frac{h_i}{\Phi_i(h_i)}$. It follows from (11) and (12) that
\[ \chi \left( \text{Quot}_{d, \wedge_y L[d]} \right) = [q^d] \frac{\Psi}{\prod_i (h_1(q), \ldots, h_N(q))}. \]

Equivalently, $\chi \left( \text{Quot}_{d, \wedge_y L[d]} \right)$ equals
\[ [q^d] u \prod_i \left( \frac{z_i(\alpha_i + y)}{\alpha_i(z_i + y)} \right)^{b_i} \left( \frac{z_i + y}{z_i} \right)^{-1} \frac{dh_i}{dq} z_i^{d+1} \left( \frac{R(z_i)}{\prod_j(z_j - \alpha_i)} \right)^{b_i} \prod_{i<j}(z_i - z_j)^2 |_{e=0}. \]

Consider the polynomial
\[ P(z) = z R(z) - q(z + y). \]

Note that $P$ has degree $N + 1$, so it admits $N + 1$ roots, with $z_1, \ldots, z_N$ being $N$ of them. Let $z_{N+1}$ be the additional root of $P$ which satisfies
\[ z_{N+1}|_{q=0} = 0. \]

We will greatly simplify (13) using the additional root $z_{N+1}$.

To this end, write $P(z) = (z - z_1) \cdots (z - z_{N+1})$. A simple calculation gives
\[ \frac{dq}{dh_i} = \frac{dq}{dz_i} \cdot \frac{dz_i}{dh_i} = \frac{z_i}{z_i + y} P'(z_i). \]

Here, we used that
\[ q = \frac{z_i R(z_i)}{z_i + y} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{dq}{dz_i} = \frac{R(z_i)}{z_i + y} + \frac{z_i R'(z_i)}{z_i + y} - \frac{z_i R(z_i)}{(z_i + y)^2} = \frac{P'(z_i)}{z_i + y}, \]
where the definition of $P$ was necessary in the last equality. The terms in (13) with exponent $b_i$ further simplify since
\[ \frac{z_i(\alpha_i + y)R(z_i)}{\alpha_i(z_i + y) \prod_{j=1}^{N}(z_j - \alpha_i)} = (-1)^N \frac{z_{N+1} - \alpha_i}{\alpha_i} \iff (\alpha_i + y)z_i R(z_i) = -(z_i + y)P(\alpha_i) \]

\[ \text{In the expression } \Psi, \text{ we regard the exponent } d \text{ in the term } z_i^{d+1} \text{ as an independent parameter, foregoing for the moment the requirement that } d = d_1 + \ldots + d_N. \text{ The careful reader may wish to replace the term } z_i^{d+1} \text{ by a more general } z_i^{e+1} \text{ for } e \geq d \text{ in the proof below. This leads to (20) written instead for the partition } \lambda_k = (e^N, k) \text{ or } (k - N, (e + 1)^N). \text{ One then specializes back to the case of interest } e = d \text{ and continues by applying Lemma 7.} \]
where the last identity holds true using (13) and the fact that $P(z_i) = 0$, $R(\alpha_i) = 0$. Substituting identities (15) and (16) into (13), we obtain the expression

\[
[q^d] \left( -1 \right)^{(N-1)d} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\alpha_i - z_{N+1}}{\alpha_i} \right)^{b_i} \frac{z_i^{d+1} + 1}{z_i^{d+1}} \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} (z_i - z_j) \right|_{e=0}.
\]

Having arrived at (17), a new idea is needed to go further. Note that

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{N} P'(z_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i}^{N+1} (z_i - z_j) \implies \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{P(z_i)} \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} (z_i - z_j) = \frac{V_N}{V_{N+1}}.
\]

Here, we introduced the two Vandermonde determinants

\[
V_N = \begin{vmatrix} z_N^{N-1} & \cdots & z_1 & 1 \\ z_N^{N-1} & \cdots & z_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_N^{N-1} & \cdots & z_N & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad V_{N+1} = \begin{vmatrix} z_N^{N} & \cdots & z_1 & 1 \\ z_{N+1}^{N} & \cdots & z_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{N+1}^{N} & \cdots & z_{N+1} & 1 \end{vmatrix}.
\]

We thus rewrite expression (17) in the form

\[
[q^d] \left( -1 \right)^{(N-1)d} \frac{1}{V_{N+1}} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_i & \cdots & \alpha_N \\ \frac{z_1^{d+N}}{\alpha_1} & \cdots & \frac{z_i^{d+N}}{\alpha_i} & \cdots & \frac{z_N^{d+N}}{\alpha_N} \\ \frac{z_2^{d+N}}{\alpha_1} & \cdots & \frac{z_i^{d+N}}{\alpha_i} & \cdots & \frac{z_N^{d+N}}{\alpha_N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{z_{N+1}^{d+N}}{\alpha_1} & \cdots & \frac{z_i^{d+N}}{\alpha_i} & \cdots & \frac{z_N^{d+N}}{\alpha_N} \end{vmatrix} \left|_{e=0} \right.
\]

Next, recall that $[q^0]z_{N+1} = 0$, hence we may add terms which are multiples of $z_i^{d+1}$ without changing the coefficient of $q^d$. Using this observation, we enlarge the determinant in the numerator by adding one more row and column. The answer is recast as the quotient of two determinants of size $(N+1) \times (N+1)$:

\[
[q^d] \left( -1 \right)^{(N-1)d} \frac{1}{V_{N+1}} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_i & \cdots & \alpha_N \\ \frac{z_1^{d+N}}{\alpha_1} & \cdots & \frac{z_i^{d+N}}{\alpha_i} & \cdots & \frac{z_N^{d+N}}{\alpha_N} \\ \frac{z_2^{d+N}}{\alpha_1} & \cdots & \frac{z_i^{d+N}}{\alpha_i} & \cdots & \frac{z_N^{d+N}}{\alpha_N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{z_{N+1}^{d+N}}{\alpha_1} & \cdots & \frac{z_i^{d+N}}{\alpha_i} & \cdots & \frac{z_N^{d+N}}{\alpha_N} \end{vmatrix} \left|_{e=0} \right.
\]

This does not change expression (13). Indeed, expanding along the last row, the first entries do not contribute by the above reasoning, while the rightmost corner contribution matches (15). The assumption $\deg L + a_i + 1 \geq 0$ made in the beginning of this section is also used here. This condition rewrites as $b_i \geq 0$, so the terms we added on the last column do not contribute poles at $q = 0$. 

Expression (19) is symmetric in the roots $z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1}$ of $P(z)$. The answer can be rewritten in terms of the elementary symmetric functions in the $z_i$’s which depend polynomially on the $\alpha_i$’s. Thus (19) is a rational fraction in the $\alpha_i$’s, with denominator $\prod_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i^{b_i}$ (coming from the last column). Since we are interested in the coefficient of $\epsilon^0$, since the elementary symmetric functions depend continuously on the $\alpha_i$’s, we may substitute $\alpha_i = 1$, noting that there are no poles in (19) at these values.

After the substitution, the $z_i$’s solve $z(z - 1)^N - q(z + y) = 0$. Furthermore, since

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i = \chi(E \otimes L) := \chi,
\]
the entries in the last column of the determinant \[19\] become

\[
(1 - z_i^X) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \binom{X}{k} z_i^k.
\]

Expanding the determinant along the last column yields sums over Schur polynomials. Specifically, we obtain

\[
[q^d] \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{(N-1)d+k} \binom{X}{k} s_{\lambda_k}(z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1}).
\]

Here, we set

\[
\lambda_k = (d^N, k) = (d, \ldots, d, k),
\]

and \(s_{\lambda_k}(z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1})\) denotes the corresponding Schur polynomial, when \(k \leq d\). The terms for \(d < k \leq d + N\) have vanishing contribution due to repeating columns in the determinant. To account for the ordering of the exponents, the shape of the partition changes when \(k > d + N\). In all cases, we find

\[
\lambda_k = \begin{cases} 
(d^N, k) & \text{if } k \leq d \\
(k - N, (d + 1)^N) & \text{if } k > d + N
\end{cases}
\]

The lemma below identifies the coefficient of \(q^d\) in \(s_{\lambda_k}(z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1})\). We obtain

\[
\chi(\text{Quot}_{d, \wedge_y L}[d]) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{X}{k} y^k = [q^d] (1 + qy)^X(1 - q)^{-1}.
\]

This completes the proof of Theorem \[1\] in genus 0 under the assumption \(b_i \geq 0\) for all \(1 \leq i \leq N\).

**Lemma 7.** We have

\[
[q^d] s_{\lambda_k}(z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1}) = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{d(N-1)}(-y)^k & \text{if } k \leq d \\
0 & \text{if } k > d + N
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Since the \(z_i\)'s are the roots of the polynomial \(P(z) = z(z - 1)^N - q(z + y)\), the elementary symmetric functions in \(z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1}\) are

\[
e_j = \begin{cases} 
\binom{N}{j} & \text{if } j \neq N, N + 1 \\
1 + (-1)^{N-1}q & \text{if } j = N \\
(-1)^N qy & \text{if } j = N + 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Assume \(k \leq d\) so that \(\lambda_k = (d^N, k)\). The Jacobi-Trudi formula expresses the Schur polynomial as a \(d \times d\) determinant in the elementary symmetric functions. The entries are dictated by the conjugate
partition $\lambda'_k = ((N + 1)^k, N^{d-k})$, so that

$$s_{\lambda_k} = \begin{vmatrix}
    e_{N+1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
    e_N & e_{N+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
    e_{N-1} & e_N & e_{N+1} & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    e_{N-k+2} & e_{N-k+3} & e_{N-k+4} & \cdots & e_{N+1} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    e_{N-k} & e_{N-k+1} & e_{N-k+2} & \cdots & e_{N-1} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    e_{N-d+2} & e_{N-d+3} & e_{N-d+4} & \cdots & e_{N-d+k+1} \\
    e_{N-d+1} & e_{N-d+2} & e_{N-d+3} & \cdots & e_{N-d+k} \\
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

(21) Each of the $e_j$’s is at most linear in $q$. Since the determinant has size $d$, extracting the $q^d$ coefficient is immediate. In fact, we can replace the $e_j$’s by their linear terms in $q$; these are zero unless $j = N$ or $j = N + 1$. We obtain that

$$[q^d] s_{\lambda_k} = \begin{vmatrix}
    e_{N+1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    e_N & e_{N+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & e_N & e_{N+1} \\
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

Thus,

$$[q^d] s_{\lambda_k} = [q^d] e_{N+1}^k e_N^{d-k} = (-1)^{kN+(d-k)(N-1)} y^k.
$$

The case $k > d + N$ changes the conjugate partition $\lambda'_k$, but the reasoning is identical. \hfill \Box

2.4. **Proof of Theorem** 2. A similar but slightly more involved argument yields Theorem 2 in genus 0 when $b_i \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. Specifically, we prove that

$$\chi \left( \text{Quot}_{d, \wedge_y L[p]} \otimes_{p=1}^r \left( \wedge_{x_p} M_p^{[d]} \right)^\vee \right) = [q^d] (1 - q)^{-1} (1 + qy)^\chi(E \otimes L) \prod_{p=1}^r (1 - x_p yq)^{-\chi(L \otimes M_p^*)}.  \tag{22}$$

We indicate some of the steps.

Just as in Theorem 1, we begin by applying Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch followed by Atiyah-Bott localization:

$$\chi \left( \text{Quot}_{d, \wedge_y L[p]} \otimes_{p=1}^r \left( \wedge_{x_p} M_p^{[d]} \right)^\vee \right) = \sum_d \int_{F_d} \text{ch}(\wedge_y L[p]) \prod_{p=1}^r \text{ch} \left( \left( \wedge_{x_p} M_p^{[d]} \right)^\vee \right) \frac{\text{Td}(\text{Quot}_d)}{e_C(N_d^*)} |_{F_d}.  \tag{23}$$
All terms that appear here have been computed in the previous subsections. Using (8), (9) and (10), we rewrite (23) as

\[ u \sum_{|d|=d} \left[ h_1^{d_1} \cdots h_N^{d_N} \right] \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{z_i(\alpha_i + y)}{\alpha_i(z_i + y)} \right)^{b_i} \left( \frac{z_i + y}{z_i} \right)^{d_i} \prod_{p=1}^{r} \left( 1 + \alpha_i x_p \right)^{a_i + m_p + 1} \left( 1 + z_i x_p \right)^{d_i} \left( \frac{h_i}{R(z_i)} \right)^{d_i + 1} \right\} \]

where \( b_i = a_i + \ell + 1 \) and \( u = (-1)^{(N-1)(d + \sum b_i) + \binom{N}{2}} \). Here, we set \( m_p = \deg M_p \).

Next, the Lagrange-Bürmann formula with the change of variable \( s \rightarrow \sum_{i<j}^N \) turns (23) into the following unwieldy expression

\[ q(z_i + y) \prod_{p=1}^{r} (1 + z_i x_p) = z_i R(z_i) \]

(24)

However, there are further simplifications. To this end, we define the polynomial

\[ P(z) = z R(z) - q(z + y) \prod_{p=1}^{r} (1 + z x_p). \]

Since \( r \leq N - 1 \), the degree of \( P \) is \( N + 1 \), so there is an additional root \( z_{N+1} \) for \( P \). Following the same steps that led to (17), we simplify the above expression to

\[ [q^T] u \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{z_i(\alpha_i + y)}{\alpha_i(z_i + y)} \right)^{b_i} \left( \frac{z_i + y}{z_i} \right)^{d_i} \prod_{p=1}^{r} \left( 1 + \alpha_i x_p \right)^{a_i + m_p + 1} \left( 1 + z_i x_p \right)^{d_i} \left( \frac{h_i}{R(z_i)} \right)^{d_i + 1} \]

(25)

where

\[ f(z) = \prod_{p=1}^{r} (1 + z x_p)^{m_p - \ell} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\alpha_i - z}{\alpha_i} \right)^{b_i}. \]

We record the details of the simplification in the lemma below; the reader can also skip directly to (28).

**Lemma 8.** We have

\[ \left( \frac{z_i + y}{z_i} \right)^{d_i} \prod_{p=1}^{r} \left( 1 + z_i x_p \right) \frac{d q}{d h_i} = P'(z_i) \]

(26)

and

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{z_i(\alpha_i + y)}{\alpha_i(z_i + y)} \right)^{b_i} \prod_{p=1}^{r} \left( 1 + \alpha_i x_p \right)^{a_i + m_p + 1} \left( 1 + z_i x_p \right)^{d_i} \left( \frac{h_i}{R(z_i)} \right)^{d_i + 1} = (-1)^{(N-1) \sum b_i} f(z_{N+1}). \]

(27)
Proof. Equation (26) follows by differentiating the expression for \( q \) given in (21). For (27), recall \( b_i = a_i + \ell + 1 \), and use the following identities

\[
z_i R(z_i) = q(z_i + y) \prod_{p=1}^{r}(1 + z_i x_p),
\]

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( z_j - \alpha_i \right) = (-1)^{N+1} \frac{P(\alpha_i)}{z_{N+1} - \alpha_i} = (-1)^{N} q \frac{\prod_{p=1}^{r}(1 + \alpha_i x_p)}{z_{N+1} - \alpha_i}.
\]

In the last line we used the definition of \( P \) and the fact that \( R(\alpha_i) = 0 \). Then (27) becomes

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( (-1)^{N} \frac{(z_{N+1} - \alpha_i)}{\alpha_i} \right) \prod_{p=1}^{r} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_i x_p}{1 + z_i x_p} \right)^{m_p - \ell}.
\]

Finally, recalling that \( \alpha_i \) and \( z_i \) are roots of \( R \) and \( P \), for each fixed \( p \) we have

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 + \alpha_i x_p}{1 + z_i x_p} = \frac{R(-1/x_p)}{P(-1/x_p)} \left( -\frac{1}{x_p} - z_{N+1} \right) = (1 + z_{N+1} x_p).
\]

In the last equality, we used again the definition of \( P \) in terms of \( R \). The lemma follows from here. \( \Box \)

Having arrived at (25), by the same reasoning as in (15) we rewrite the answer as the quotient of two determinants

\[
[e^{0 \cdot q^d} \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{z_1^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_1^{d+1}}{z_1^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_1^{d+1}} \\
\frac{z_2^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_2^{d+1}}{z_2^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_2^{d+1}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{z_N^{d+N} z_N^{d+N-1} \cdots z_N^{d+1}}{z_N^{d+N} z_N^{d+N-1} \cdots z_N^{d+1}}
\end{vmatrix} f(z_{N+1}).
\]

The denominator is the Vandermonde determinant of size \((N + 1) \times (N + 1)\), while the numerator has size \( N \times N \). Using the previous arguments, in particular that \( [q^0] z_{N+1} = 0 \), we enlarge the determinant appearing in the numerator of (25) by adding one row and one column:

\[
[e^{0 \cdot q^d} \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{z_1^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_1^{d+1}}{z_1^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_1^{d+1}} \\
\frac{z_2^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_2^{d+1}}{z_2^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_2^{d+1}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{z_N^{d+N} z_N^{d+N-1} \cdots z_N^{d+1}}{z_N^{d+N} z_N^{d+N-1} \cdots z_N^{d+1}} \\
\frac{z_1^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_N^{d+1}}{z_1^{d+N} z_2^{d+N-1} \cdots z_N^{d+1}}
\end{vmatrix} f(z_1) f(z_2) f(z_{N+1}).
\]

Since (29) is symmetric in \( z_i \)'s, it can be written as a rational function in the \( \alpha_i \)'s whose denominator equals \( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i^{b_i} \) coming from the denominator of \( f \). The substitution \( \alpha_i = 1 \) therefore makes sense.

After this substitution, the last column can be rewritten in terms of

\[
f(t) |_{\alpha_i = 1} = \prod_{p=1}^{r} (1 + x_p t)^{m_p - \ell} \cdot (1 - t)^{\chi}
\]

for the values \( t = z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{N+1} \). Here \( \chi = \chi(E \otimes L) \). We expand \( f(t) \) into powers \( t^k \), and then we expand the determinant (29) along the last column yielding

\[
(-1)^{(N-1)d} \sum_{k \geq 0} [k^d] f(t) \cdot [q^d] s_{\chi_k}(z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1}),
\]
for the partition
\[ \lambda_k = \begin{cases} (d^N, k) & \text{if } k \leq d \\ (k - N, (d + 1)^N) & \text{if } k > d + N. \end{cases} \]

By Lemma 9 below, for \( k \leq d \) we have
\[ [q^d] s_{\lambda_k} (z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1}) = (-1)^{(N+1)d} (-y)^k \left[ t^{d-k} \right] \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-x_1yt) \cdots (1-x_ryt)}. \]

Substituting the last formula into (30), we obtain that
\[ \chi \left( \text{Quot}_{d^N, \Lambda} L^d \otimes_{p=1} (\Lambda_{x_p} M^d_p)^{\vee} \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \left[ t^k \right] \left( 1 - t \right)^{\chi (L \otimes L)} \prod_{p=1}^{r} \left( 1 + x_p t \right)^{m_{N,p}} \cdot (-y)^k \left[ t^{d-k} \right] \frac{1}{(1-t) \prod_{p=1}^{r} (1-x_pyt)}. \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \left[ t^k \right] \left( 1 + yt \right)^{\chi (L \otimes L)} \prod_{p=1}^{r} \left( 1 - x_pyt \right)^{m_{N,p}} \cdot \left[ t^{d-k} \right] \frac{1}{(1-t) \prod_{p=1}^{r} (1-x_pyt)}. \]

This completes the proof of (22) and of Theorem 2 in genus 0 when \( b_i \geq 0 \) for all \( i \).

2.5. Schur polynomials. Let \( z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1} \) be \( N+1 \) roots of
\[ P(z) = z(z-1)^N - q(z+y)(1+z_1) \cdots (1+z_r), \]
where \( 0 \leq r \leq N-1 \). We show

**Lemma 9.** For the partition \( \lambda_k \) above, and \( k \leq d \), we have
\[ [q^d] s_{\lambda_k} (z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1}) = (-1)^{(N+1)d} (-y)^k \left[ t^{d-k} \right] \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-x_1yt) \cdots (1-x_ryt)}. \]

If \( k > d + N \), the coefficient vanishes.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Lemma 7. Assume \( k \leq d \), the other case being analogous. Since the \( z_i \)'s are the roots of the polynomial \( P(z) \), the elementary symmetric functions are
\[ e_j = \binom{N}{j} + (-1)^{j-1} q^{(N+1-j)} (y + z)(1+z_1) \cdots (1+z_r). \]

We examine again the Jacobi-Trudi determinant (21)

\[ s_{\lambda_k} = \begin{vmatrix} e_{N+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ e_N & e_{N+1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ e_{N-1} & e_N & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_{N-k+2} & e_{N-k+3} & \cdots & e_{N+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ e_{N-k} & e_{N-k+1} & \cdots & e_{N-1} & e_N & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_{N-d+2} & e_{N-d+3} & \cdots & e_{N-d+k+1} & e_{N-d+k+2} & \cdots & e_N & e_{N+1} \\ e_{N-d+1} & e_{N-d+2} & \cdots & e_{N-d+k} & e_{N-d+k+1} & \cdots & e_{N-1} & e_N \end{vmatrix} \]
Lemma 10. Assume The argument is completed using the Lemma below. Taking the coefficient of $z$ in the above $d \times d$ determinant, we may thus replace $e_j$ with the coefficient of the linear term in $q$. Thus, we may take

\begin{equation}
(31) \quad e_j = (-1)^{j+1} \left( z^{N+1-j} \right) (y + z)(1 + zr_1) \cdots (1 + zr_r).
\end{equation}

In particular $e_{N+1} = (-1)^N y$. Furthermore, note that the first $k \times k$ block of the determinant is lower triangular, hence

$$
[q^d]s_{\lambda_k} = e_{N+1}^k \cdot T_{d-k} = (-1)^N k^k \cdot T_{d-k}
$$

where $T_m$ is the $m \times m$ determinant

$$
T_m = \begin{vmatrix}
  e_N & e_{N+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
  e_{N-1} & e_N & e_{N+1} & \cdots & 0 \\
  e_{N-2} & e_{N-1} & e_N & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  e_{N-m+2} & e_{N-m+3} & e_{N-m+2} & \cdots & e_N \\
  e_{N-m+1} & e_{N-m+2} & e_{N-m+1} & \cdots & e_{N-1} \\
  e_{N+1} & e_N & e_{N+1} & \cdots & e_N
\end{vmatrix}.
$$

The argument is completed using the Lemma below. □

Lemma 10. Assume $e_1, \ldots, e_{N+1}$ are given by (31). For any $m \geq 0$, we have

\begin{equation}
(32) \quad T_m = (-1)^{(N-1)m} \left[ t^m \right] \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-x_1yt) \cdots (1-x_ryt)}.
\end{equation}

Proof. We set $T_0 = 1$ and $T_{t} = 0$ for $t < 0$. By expanding the determinant $T_m$ along the first column and then successively along the rows, we obtain the recursion

$$
T_m = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^{j} e_{N+1}^j e_{N-j} T_{m-j} \quad \text{for all } m > 0.
$$

Note that by (31), for degree reasons we have $e_{N-j} = 0$ if $j > r$. This explains the upper bound of the index $j$ in the sum. Forming the generating series

$$
T = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_m t^m,
$$

the above recursion immediately yields

$$
T = \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^{j} e_{N+1}^j e_{N-j} t^{j+1} \right)^{-1}.
$$

Substituting the values of $e_j$ from (31), we obtain for all $0 \leq j \leq r$ that

$$
(-1)^{j+1} e_N^j e_{N-j} = (-1)^{N(j+1)} y^{j+1} \left( \frac{z}{y} \right) \left( 1 + zr_1 \right) \cdots \left( 1 + zr_r \right)
$$

$$
= \left[ (j+1) \cdot \left( 1 - (-1)^{N-1} t \right) \cdots \left( 1 - (-1)^{N-1} x_r yt \right) \right],
$$

where the substitution $z = (-1)^N yt$ was carried out in the last step. Therefore

$$
T = \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^{j} e_{N+1}^j e_{N-j} t^{j+1} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1 - (-1)^{N-1} t) \prod_{p=1}^{r} (1 - (-1)^{N-1} x_p yt)}.
$$

Taking the coefficient of $t^m$ gives the required identity. □
2.6. **Arbitrary genus.** Relying on the ideas of [EGL], we show how the calculations for \( C = \mathbb{P}^1 \) imply Theorems 1 and 2 for arbitrary genus. We explain this for Theorem 1; the case of Theorem 2 being entirely similar. The argument is also noted and used in [OP] over surfaces for punctual quotients of trivial bundles, and extended to quotients of arbitrary vector bundles in [St2]. The case of curves is analogous, but we record the details for the benefit of the readers who seek a self-contained account.

**Step 1.** The first goal is to show that the Euler characteristic

\[
\chi(\text{Quot}_d(E), \wedge_y L^{[d]})
\]

is a polynomial (that may depend on \( N \)) in \( \deg E, \deg L \) and \( \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) \) (with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q}[y] \)), for all smooth projective possibly disconnected curves \( C \). In fact, the statement holds for all tautological integrals of the form

\[
\int_{\text{Quot}_d(E)} \mathcal{P}
\]

where \( \mathcal{P} \) is a polynomial in the Chern classes of the tangent bundle of \( \text{Quot}_d(E) \) and \( L^{[d]} \).

We first analyze the case of split vector bundles

\[
E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N F_i, \quad \text{rk } F_i = 1.
\]

For such a vector bundle, we can use the action of \( C^* \) on the summands of \( E \) to evaluate (34), just as we have done for genus 0 above. This way, we are led to considering integrals of the form

\[
\int_{C^{[d_1]} \times \cdots \times C^{[d_N]}} Q
\]

where \( Q \) is a polynomial involving the Chern classes of

\[
\pi_* (\mathcal{K}_i \otimes M), \quad \pi_* (\mathcal{K}_i^\vee \otimes M), \quad \pi_* (\mathcal{K}_i^\vee \otimes \mathcal{K}_j \otimes M)
\]

for various \( M \to C \), including \( M = F_i^\vee \otimes F_j \) or \( M = L \otimes F_i \). We can evaluate these with the aid of Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. The integrals (35) can be pulled back via the finite map

\[
p : C^d \to C^{[d_1]} \times \cdots \times C^{[d_N]}.
\]

The pullbacks of \( \mathcal{K}_i^\vee \) over \( C^d \times C \) correspond to sums of diagonals \( \Delta_* \cdot d+1 \), and thus (35) takes the form

\[
\frac{d_1! \cdots d_N!}{d!} \int_{C^d} \tilde{Q}
\]

where \( \tilde{Q} \) is a universal expression in the diagonals and classes from \( C \). In general, monomials in diagonals and classes from \( C \) can be evaluated explicitly using that for \( \Delta \to C \times C \) we have

\[
\Delta^2 = 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_C), \quad \Delta \cdot M = \deg M,
\]

for all smooth projective possibly disconnected curves \( C, M \to C \). Therefore (34) is a polynomial in \( \deg F_i, \deg L \) and \( \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) \).
**Step 2.** We next argue that the above polynomial only depends on $\deg E = \sum_i \deg F_i$, $\deg L$ and $\chi(O_C)$. This requires additional considerations. We write

$$x_i = \deg F_i, \quad y = \deg L, \quad z = \chi(O_C),$$

and $R(x_1, \ldots, x_N, y, z)$ for the universal polynomial found above. The polynomial $R$ is certainly symmetric in $x_1, \ldots, x_N$.

We claim that if $x_i$ are sufficiently large, $R(x_1, \ldots, x_N, y, z)$ is in fact a polynomial in $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i$. Indeed, for large degrees, the line bundles $F_i$ are globally generated (over connected curves $C$). Thus we can write $E$ as a quotient

$$0 \to K \to W \to E \to 0,$$

where $W$ is a trivial bundle (whose rank depends on $\deg E$). By [St1, Theorem 5], modified from the original setting of surfaces to the case of curves, there is an embedding

$$\text{Quot}_d(E) \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}_d(W)$$

(36)

cut out by a canonical section of the bundle $(K^\vee)^{[d]}$. With this observation, the integral rewrite as

(37)

$$\int_{\text{Quot}_d(E)} P = \int_{\text{Quot}_d(W)} \tilde{P}$$

where $P$ is a polynomial in the Chern classes of the tangent bundle of $\text{Quot}_d(W)$ and the tautological bundles $(K^\vee)^{[d]}$ and $L^{[d]}$. Applying the localization argument in Step 1 once again, this time to $\text{Quot}_d(W)$, we see that $R$ only depends on $\deg K^\vee = \deg E$, $\deg L$, $\chi(O_C)$.

Thus, the polynomial $R(x_1, \ldots, x_N, y, z)$ is a function of $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i, y, z$, when $x_i$ are large. Hence

$$R(x_1, \ldots, x_N, y, z) = S(x_1 + \ldots + x_N, y, z),$$

for a new universal polynomial $S$. This proves the statement we need about (34) when the bundle $E$ splits.

**Step 3.** The general case follows from the following observation. Assume $E$ sits in an extension

$$0 \to E_1 \to E \to E_2 \to 0.$$ 

Considering the universal extension

$$0 \to p^*E_1 \to E \to p^*E_2 \to 0$$

over $p : C \times \text{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1) \to C$, and constructing the relative Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_d(E)$ over the extension space, we see that

$$\int_{\text{Quot}_d(E)} P = \int_{\text{Quot}_d(E_1 \oplus E_2)} P.$$
To reduce to the case of split $E$, consider $M$ a line bundle such that

$$0 \to M \to E \to F \to 0$$

is exact and $F$ is a vector bundle of smaller rank. By the above observation we can replace $E$ by $M \oplus F$, and then continue inductively.

**Step 4.** We return to the series appearing in Theorem 1, namely

$$Z_{C,L,E} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E), \wedge_y L^{[d]} \right).$$

Consider a disconnected curve $C = C_1 \sqcup C_2$, $E = E_1 \sqcup E_2$ and $L = L_1 \sqcup L_2$. We compare the Quot schemes of $C, C_1, C_2$ and the tautological bundles over them:

$$\text{Quot}_d(E) = \bigsqcup_{d_1+d_2=d} \text{Quot}_{d_1}(E_1) \times \text{Quot}_{d_2}(E_2), \quad L^{[d]} = \bigsqcup_{d_1+d_2=d} L_1^{[d_1]} \boxtimes L_2^{[d_2]}.$$

This implies

$$Z_{C,L,E} = Z_{C_1,L_1,E_1} \cdot Z_{C_2,L_2,E_2}. \quad (38)$$

By the arguments of [EGL, Theorem 4.2], the factorization (38) shows that

$$Z_{C,L,E} = A^{\chi(C,O_C)} \cdot B^{\deg L} \cdot C^{\deg E}, \quad (39)$$

for universal series $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Q}(y)[q]$ that depend only on $N$. We specialize to $(C, L) = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\ell))$ with $\ell$ sufficiently large, and $E = \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_N)$. Comparing (3) and (39), we obtain

$$A = (1 - q)^{-1} \cdot (1 + qy)^N, \quad B = (1 + qy)^N, \quad C = 1 + qy.$$

Substituting these expressions back into (39), we obtain Theorem 1 for all genera:

$$Z_{C,L,E} = A^{\chi(C,O_C)} \cdot B^{\deg L} \cdot C^{\deg E} = (1 - q)^{-\chi(O_C)} \cdot (1 + qy)^{\chi(E \otimes L)}. \quad (39)$$

This completes the argument. \hfill \Box

**Example 11.** Theorem 1 in higher genus immediately implies

$$\chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E), \wedge^k L^{[d]} \right) = 0 \text{ if } d \geq k + g, \quad g \geq 1.$$

This follows by examining the coefficient of $q^d y^k$ in the expression $(1 - q)^{-\chi(O_C)}(1 + qy)^{\chi(E \otimes L)}$.

### 3. Symmetric Powers

#### 3.1. Genus zero.

Theorem 1 concerns the symmetric powers of the tautological bundles $\text{Sym}_y L^{[d]}$ in genus 0 and is proven in a similar fashion as Theorem 1. The calculations are however more involved. The higher genus case and Theorem 5 will be considered in Section 5.2.

By Section 2.6, for each $d$ and $k$, the Euler characteristic of

$$\chi \left( \text{Quot}_d, \text{Sym}_k L^{[d]} \right)$$
depends polynomially on \( \ell \). To prove Theorem \([4]\) it suffices to assume \( b_i = \ell + a_i + 1 \geq d + 1 \) for all \( i \).

By Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch followed by Atiyah-Bott localization, we calculate

\[
\chi_{\left( \text{Quot}_d, \Sym_y L^{[d]} \right)} = \int_{\text{Quot}_d} \operatorname{ch}(\Sym_y L^{[d]}) \operatorname{Td}(\text{Quot}_d) = \sum_d \int_{F_d} \operatorname{ch}(\Sym_y L^{[d]}) \frac{\operatorname{Td}(\text{Quot}_d)}{e_C(N_d)} \bigg|_{F_d}.
\]

Instead of Lemma \([6]\) for the current computation we use the expression

\[
\chi_{\left( \text{Quot}_d, \Sym_y L^{[d]} \right)} = \prod_{i \in [N]} \left( \frac{\alpha_i(z_i - y)}{z_i(\alpha_i - y)} \right)^{a_i+\ell+1} \frac{z_i}{z_i - y}^{d_i}.
\]

The Todd genera and the normal bundle contributions are found in \([8]\). We substitute \([8]\) and \([41]\) into \([10]\) and apply Lagrange-Börmann. Carrying out these steps carefully, we arrive at the following. Consider the polynomial

\[P(z) = (z - y)R(z) - qz,\]

and let \( z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1} \) be its roots with \( z_i(q = 0) = \alpha_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq N \). Then, just as in the derivation leading up to \([17]\) for exterior powers, \([10]\) turns into

\[(-1)^{(N-1)d} \prod_{i \in [N]} \left( \frac{\alpha_i - z_{N+1}}{\alpha_i - y} \right)^{b_i} \left( \frac{z_i}{z_i - y} \right)^{d_i} \prod_{i,j \in [N], \ i \neq j} (z_i - z_j) \bigg|_{e=0}.
\]

This simplification makes use of the fact that

\[\frac{dq}{dh_i} = P'(z_i).\]

As in \([13]\), the above expression can be recast as the quotient of determinants

\[\frac{[e^0q^d]}{\det(z_i^{N+1-j})} \begin{vmatrix}
(z_1 - y)^{z_1d+1}
& \cdots & (z_1 - y)^{z_1d+N-1} \\
(z_2 - y)^{z_2d+1}
& \cdots & (z_2 - y)^{z_2d+N-1} \\
& \vdots & \vdots \\
(z_N - y)^{z_Nd+1}
& \cdots & (z_N - y)^{z_Nd+N-1}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

The same derivation that led to \([10]\) yields the enlarged \((N+1) \times (N+1)\) determinant

\[\frac{[e^0q^d]}{\det(z_i^{N+1-j})} \begin{vmatrix}
(z_1 - y)^{z_1d+1}
& \cdots & (z_1 - y)^{z_1d+N-1} \\
(z_2 - y)^{z_2d+1}
& \cdots & (z_2 - y)^{z_2d+N-1} \\
& \vdots & \vdots \\
(z_N - y)^{z_Nd+1}
& \cdots & (z_N - y)^{z_Nd+N-1}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

This uses \( b_i \geq d + 1 \) for all \( i \), and the fact that \( \alpha_i - z_i \) has no free \( q \)-term, so in particular the first \( N \) entries of the last column do not contribute to the \( q^d \)-coefficient.

The expression above is symmetric in the roots of \( P \), and as previously remarked the substitution \( \alpha_i = 1 \) is allowed to obtain the coefficient of \( e^0 \). Thus \( z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1} \) become roots of

\[P(z) = (z - 1)^N(z - y) - qz.
\]

This also turns the last column into the vector with entries

\[
\frac{(1 - z_i)^\chi}{(1 - y)^\chi} = \frac{1}{(1 - y)^\chi} \sum_{\ell=0}^\chi \left( \frac{\chi}{\ell} \right) (-1)^\ell z_i^\ell.
\]
Here \( \chi = \sum_i b_i = \chi(E \otimes L) \).

Using the additivity of the determinant with respect to the first \( N \) columns, we split the last determinant into a sum

\[
[q^d] \sum_{\ell=0}^{d} \sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{(N-1)d+\ell}}{(1-y)^{\ell}} \frac{1}{\det(z_i^{N-j+1})} \begin{vmatrix}
\chi^d & \cdots & \chi^d \\
\chi^d & \cdots & \chi^d \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\chi^d & \cdots & \chi^d \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

Indeed, from each of the first \( N \) columns we select \( N \) powers of \( z_i \) whose exponents range from \( d \) to \( d+N \). Exactly one value \( d+m \) must be skipped, giving a term in the sum. The contribution \((-y)^m\) comes from terms with exponents between \( d \) and \( d+m-1 \).

Regarding the last sum, we make the following three remarks.

(i) When \( \ell < d \), the above quotient of determinants is the Schur polynomial for the partition \( \lambda = (d^{N-m}, (d-1)^{m}, \ell) \). Using Jacobi-Trudi as in Lemma \ref{lemma:Jacobi-Trudi}, we obtain that

\[
[q^d] s_\lambda(z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1}) = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{(N-1)d} & \text{if } \ell = m = 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}
\]

(ii) When \( \ell > d+N \), the shape of the partition changes to \( \lambda = (\ell-N, (d+1)^{N-m}, d^m) \), and we also acquire an additional \((-1)^N\) coming from permuting the columns to bring the last one to the front. Note that \( \lambda \) contains the rectangular partition \((d^{N+1})\) and a hook partition \( \mu := (\ell-N-d, 1^{N-m}) \). Examining the determinant, we can factor \( z_i^d \) from each column. Thus

\[
s_\lambda = e_N^{d+1} \cdot s_\mu = y^d \cdot s_\mu.
\]

Here we used that \( e_N^{d+1} = y \) which can be seen from the expression \( P(z) = (z-1)^N(z-y) - qz \).

(iii) Finally, for \( d \leq \ell \leq d+N \), the only value that can contribute is \( \ell = d+m \), in which case we can directly evaluate the corresponding quotient of determinants to be \((-1)^my^d\). The coefficient of \( q^d \) vanishes in this case (for \( d \neq 0 \)).

Putting everything together we conclude

\[
\chi \left( \text{Quot}_d, \text{Sym}_y L^{|d|} \right) = \frac{1}{(1-y)^{\chi}} + O(y^d).
\]

Consequently, for \( d > k \), we have

\[
\chi \left( \text{Quot}_d, \text{Sym}_y L^{|d|} \right) = [y^k] \frac{1}{(1-y)^{\chi}} = \binom{\chi + k - 1}{k}.
\]

The result is also correct for \( d = k \); this can be seen for instance from the result below. \( \square \)

With a bit more effort, the same ideas (combined with a residue calculation) yield a general expression in genus 0. We need this result in order to prove Theorem \ref{thm:general_expression_genus_0} in all genera in Section \ref{sec:all_genus}.

**Theorem 12.** When \( C = \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( \chi = \chi(E \otimes L) \), we have

\[
\chi(\text{Quot}_d(E), \text{Sym}_y L^{|d|}) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{-\chi + d(N+1)}{k} \frac{(-y)^k}{(1-y)^{d(N+1)}}.
\]
Proof. Since both sides depend polynomially on \( \ell \), see for instance the arguments in Section 2.6 for the left hand side, we may assume \( \ell \) is sufficiently large. In this case, we have seen above that

\[
\chi(\text{Quot}_d(E), \text{Sym}_y L^{[d]}) = \frac{1}{(1-y)^{N}} + \sum_{\ell > d+N} \frac{1}{(1-y)^{\ell}} (-1)^{(N-1)d+\ell} \left( \frac{\chi}{\ell} \right) y^d \left[ q^d \right] \sum_{m=0}^{N} (-y)^m s_{\mu(\ell,m)},
\]

for the partition \( \mu(\ell,m) = (\ell-N-d,1^{N-m}) \).

Lemma 13 below evaluates the sum over \( m \). We obtain

\[
\chi(\text{Sym}_y L^{[d]}) = \frac{1}{(1-y)^{N}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{\ell > d+N} (-1)^{(N-1)d+\ell} \left( \frac{\chi}{\ell} \right) y^d \left[ t^{\ell-N-d} \right] \frac{t^{N(d-1)+1}}{(1-t)^{Nd}(1-yt)^{d+1}} \right]
\]

We can allow all values \( \ell \geq 0 \) in the sum above since the residue vanishes in the range \( \ell \leq N + d \). The binomial theorem evaluates the sum over \( \ell \). Letting

\[
\omega = \frac{t^{(N+1)d-x(1-t)x-Nd}}{(1-yt)^{d+1}} dt
\]

we conclude that

\[
\chi(\text{Sym}_y L^{[d]}) = \frac{1}{(1-y)^{N}} \left[ 1 + (-1)^{(N-1)d+y} y^d \left[ t^{\ell-N-d} \right] \frac{t^{N(d-1)+1}}{(1-t)^{Nd}(1-yt)^{d+1}} \right].
\]

Lemma 13 finishes the proof.

\[\]
where \( h_j = s_{(j)} \) is the homogeneous symmetric polynomial. We know that the homogeneous symmetric polynomials are given by
\[
h_i = \left[ t^i \right] \frac{1}{(1-z_1 t)\cdots(1-z_{N+1}t)} = \left[ t^i \right] \frac{1}{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}.
\]

Thus the required sum equals
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{i-1} A_i h_{t-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (-1)^{N+1} \left[ t^{N+i} \right] \frac{1-(yt)^{N+1}}{1-yt} \frac{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}{1} \left[ t^{\ell-i} \right] \frac{1}{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}
\]
\[
\approx \sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^{N} \left[ t^{N-j} \right] \frac{1-(yt)^{N+1}}{1-yt} \frac{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}{1} \left[ t^{\ell+j} \right] \frac{1}{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}
\]
\[
\approx \sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^{N} \left[ t^{N-j} \right] \frac{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}{1-yt} \left[ t^{\ell+j} \right] \frac{1}{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}
\]

where \( \approx \) means equality of the \( q^d \) coefficients. To justify the second line, we note that the difference with the previous term equals
\[
\left[ q^d \right] (-1)^{N} \left[ t^{N+j} \right] \frac{1-(yt)^{N+1}}{1-yt} \frac{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}{1} \left[ t^{\ell+j} \right] = 0
\]

for \( d > 0 \). Moreover, since \( j \) runs from 0 to \( N \), we may also ignore the term \( (yt)^{N+1} \) in the second line, thus yielding the third equality.

Note that
\[
t^{N+1}P(1/t) = (1-yt)(1-t)^N - qt^N.
\]

Thus
\[
\left[ t^{N-j} \right] \frac{t^{N+1}P(1/t)}{1-yt} = \left[ t^{N-j} \right] \frac{(1-t)^N - qt^N}{1-yt} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{N-j} \binom{N}{j} & \text{if } j > 0 \\ (-1)^N - q & \text{if } j = 0 \end{cases}.
\]

Hence the \( q^d \)-coefficient in the sum (42) equals
\[
\left[ q^d \right] \sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^{j} \binom{N}{j} \left[ t^{\ell+j} \right] \frac{1}{(1-yt)(1-t)^N - qt^N} + (-1)^N \left[ q^{d-1} \right] \left[ t^\ell \right] \frac{1}{(1-yt)(1-t)^N - qt^N}
\]
\[
= (-1)^{N} \left[ q^d \right] \left[ t^{\ell+N} \right] \frac{(1-t)^N}{(1-yt)(1-t)^N - qt^N} + (-1)^N \left[ q^{d-1} \right] \left[ t^\ell \right] \frac{1}{(1-yt)(1-t)^N - qt^N}.
\]

We note that the order in which we take the \( q^d \) and \( t^{\ell+N} \)-coefficients can be switched. This is allowed in our case since we are considering expressions of the form \((1 - A(q,t))^{-1}\) expanded near \( q = t = 0 \), where \( A \) is a polynomial in \( q, t \) (and \( y \)). Thus, taking the respective coefficient of powers of \( q \) in the above expression we obtain
\[
(-1)^{N} \left[ t^{\ell+N} \right] \frac{t^{Nd}}{(1-yt)^{d+1}(1-t)^{Nd}} + (-1)^N \left[ t^\ell \right] \frac{t^{N(d-1)}}{(1-yt)^d(1-t)^{Nd}}.
\]

This immediately implies the lemma.

\textbf{Lemma 14.} For \( \chi \geq Nd \), set
\[
\omega = \frac{\int_{(1-t)^{x-Nd}}^{(1-t)^{x-Nd}} \frac{t^{(N+1)d-x}(1-t)^x}{(1-yt)^{d+1}} \, dt}.
\]

We have
\[ 1 + (-1)^{(N-1)d+x} y^{d+1} \text{Res}_{t=0} \omega = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \left( -\chi + (N+1)d \right) \left( \frac{(-y)^k}{k} \right) \left( \frac{(1-y)^{N+d-x}}{(1-y)^{(N+1)d-x}} \right). \]

Proof. Since \( \chi \geq Nd \), the form \( \omega \) has poles at worst at \( t = 0, t = \infty \) and \( t = \frac{1}{y} \). By the residue theorem, we have
\[ \text{Res}_{t=0} \omega = - \text{Res}_{t=\infty} \omega - \text{Res}_{t=1/y} \omega. \]
Changing variables \( t = \frac{1}{s} \), we compute
\[ \text{Res}_{t=\infty} \omega = - \text{Res}_{s=0} (s - 1)^{-1} \text{Res}_{s=0} \omega - \frac{ds}{s} = (-1)^{(N+1)d} y^{-d-1}. \]
Similarly, changing variables \( t = \frac{1}{y} \), we find
\[ \text{Res}_{t=\frac{1}{y}} \omega = - \text{Res}_{s=0} (1 - s)^{-1} \text{Res}_{s=0} \omega - \frac{ds}{s} = (-1)^{(N+1)d} y^{-d-1}. \]
Collecting terms, we obtain
\[ 1 + (-1)^{(N-1)d+x} y^{d+1} \text{Res}_{t=0} \omega = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \left( -\chi + (N+1)d \right) \left( \frac{(-y)^k}{k} \right) \left( \frac{(1-y)^{N+d-x}}{(1-y)^{(N+1)d-x}} \right). \]
To justify the last equality, we write \( u = -\chi + (N+1)d \) and show more generally
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{u}{k} (-u + d) (1-y)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{u}{k} (-y)^k. \]
This follows by induction on \( d \). Indeed, write \( L_d \) for the left hand side. Using Pascal’s identity and then rewriting the binomials, we obtain
\[ L_{d+1} - L_d = \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} \binom{u}{k} \left( \left( -u + d + 1 \right) - \left( -u + d \right) \right) (1-y)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} \binom{u}{k} \left( -u + d \right) \frac{1}{d+1-k} (1-y)^k \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} \binom{d+1}{k} (-1)^{d-k+1} (1-y)^k = \binom{d+1}{d+1-k} \frac{1}{d+1} (-y)^{d+1}. \]
The proof follows immediately from here. \( \square \)

3.2. Universal functions. Over a smooth projective curve \( C \) of arbitrary genus, let
\[ W = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E), \text{Sym}_g E^{[d]} \right). \]
The arguments in Section 2.6 exhibit \( W \) as a product of universal series.

\[
W = A^{(\mathcal{O}_C)} \cdot B^{(E \otimes L)}. 
\]

In principle Theorem 12 determines both series \( A, B \) from the genus 0 answer. Theorem 5 asserts that more precisely we have

\[
B = f \left( \frac{qy}{(1-y)^{N+1}} \right)
\]

where \( f(z) \) is the solution to the equation

\[
f(z)^N - f(z)^{N+1} + z = 0, \quad f(0) = 1.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 5** The function \( f \) is most conveniently expressed in terms of a change of variables. We have

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{1+t} \quad \text{for} \quad z = -\frac{t}{(1+t)^{N+1}}.
\]

We record the one-variable version of the general Lagrange-Burmann formula [12]. Assuming \( \Phi(0) \neq 0 \), for the change of variables \( z = \frac{t}{\Phi(t)} \), the following general identity holds

\[
\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \cdot \left( ([d] \Phi(t))^d \cdot \Psi(t) \right) = \frac{\Psi(t)}{\Phi(t)} \cdot \frac{dt}{dz}.
\]

We introduce two functions which will be useful in the argument. Write

\[
F_\chi(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \left( -\chi + \frac{(N+1)d}{d} \right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_\chi(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \left( \frac{[d]}{d} + (1+t)^{-\chi + (N+1)d} \right).
\]

An immediate application of [14] yields

\[
F_\chi(z) = \frac{(1+t)^{-\chi+1}}{1 - Nt} \quad \text{for} \quad z = \frac{t}{(1+t)^{N+1}}.
\]

Setting \( \chi = 0 \) and integrating, we also obtain the expression

\[
G(z) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} z^d \cdot \frac{N}{d} \left( \frac{(N+1)(d-1)}{d-1} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{(1+t)^N},
\]

for the same change of variables. With this understood, we note that for the function \( f \) in the theorem, we have

\[
f(-z)^N = 1 - G(z).
\]

The statement to be proven thus becomes

\[
B^N = 1 - G \left( \frac{qy}{(1-y)^{N+1}} \right)
\]

or equivalently

\[
B^N = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{d+1} N}{d} \cdot \left( \frac{(N+1)(d-1)}{d-1} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{qy}{(1-y)^{N+1}} \right)^d.
\]

5Strictly speaking, we only explained the factorization \( W = A^{(\mathcal{O}_C)} \cdot B_1^{\text{deg } E} \cdot B_2^{\text{deg } L} \) in terms of 3 universal series. An argument of [St2] shows that only 2 series are needed. Indeed, tensorization by a line bundle \( M \to C \) gives an isomorphism \( \text{Quot}_{d}(E) \simeq \text{Quot}_{d}(E \otimes M) \) in such a fashion that \( L^{[d]} \) gets identified with \( (L \otimes M^{-1})^{[d]} \). On the level of generating series this implies \( B_1^N = B_2 \), which then yields the result with \( A = A_1 \cdot B_1^{-N} \), \( B = B_1 \).
Turning to the generating series (43), we specialize to genus 0 and we keep track on the dependence on \( \deg L = \ell \) in the notation, so that

\[
W_\ell = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} q^d \chi(\text{Quot}_d(E), \text{Sym}_y L^d) = A^{-1} \cdot B^\chi.
\]

As usual, \( \chi = \chi(E \otimes L) \). This yields

\[
W_{\ell+1} = W_\ell \cdot B^N.
\]

By Theorem 12, we have

\[
W_\ell = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} c_d(\chi) \cdot q^d, \quad W_{\ell+1} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} c_d(\chi + N) \cdot q^d,
\]

where for simplicity, we wrote

\[
c_d(\chi + N) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{-\chi + d(N + 1)}{k} \frac{(-y)^k}{(1-y)^{d(N+1)}}.
\]

Examining the coefficient of \( q^d \) in the identity (49), it follows that in order to confirm (48) it suffices to prove

\[
c_d(\chi + N) = c_d(\chi) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} c_{d-\ell}(\chi) \cdot (-1)^{\ell} \frac{N}{\ell} \binom{(N+1)(\ell-1)}{\ell-1} \left( \frac{y}{(1-y)^{N+1}} \right)^\ell.
\]

We use the defining expressions (50) to verify this equality. After multiplying by \((1-y)^{d(N+1)}\) and extracting the coefficient of \( y^k \) on both sides, we need to show that for \( 0 \leq k \leq d \), we have

\[
\binom{-\chi - N + d(N + 1)}{k} = \binom{-\chi + d(N + 1)}{k} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{N}{\ell} \binom{(N+1)(\ell-1)}{\ell-1} \binom{-\chi + (d-\ell)(N + 1)}{k-\ell}.
\]

Using Pascal’s identity, it is easy to see that if (51) holds for \( k \) and all \( \chi \), then it also holds for \( k-1 \) and all \( \chi \). Thus, by downward induction it suffices to assume \( k = d \). In this case, we seek to show

\[
\sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \frac{N}{\ell} \binom{(N+1)(\ell-1)}{\ell-1} \binom{-\chi + (d-\ell)(N + 1)}{d-\ell} = \binom{-\chi + d(N + 1)}{d} - \binom{-\chi - N + d(N + 1)}{d}.
\]

This is indeed correct. Recalling (46) and (47), we see that the two sides equal the \( z^d \)-coefficient in the identity

\[
G(z) \cdot F_\chi(z) = F_\chi(z) - F_{\chi+N}(z).
\]

The latter equality is immediately justified using the explicit formulas (46) and (47) after changing variables from \( z \) to \( t \) as above.

4. Higher rank quotients

It is natural to wonder whether the formulas proven in Section 2 extend to Quot schemes parametrizing quotients of rank \( r > 0 \). We write \( \text{Quot}_d(E, r) \) for the corresponding Quot scheme. We restrict to the case \( C = \mathbb{P}^1 \), and \( E \) a trivial rank \( N \) vector bundle. In this case, \( \text{Quot}_d(E, r) \) is smooth.
Theorem 3 gives an expression for the Euler characterisitics
\[ \chi(\text{Quot}_d(E, r), \wedge_y L^d) \]
in terms of the roots \( z_1, \ldots, z_N \) of the equation
\[ (z - 1)^N - q(z + y)z^{r-1} = 0. \]
Unlike our previous computations, this result does not imply the answer in higher genus, since the universality arguments in Section 2.6 fail in this case.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** We follow the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 1. However, some modifications are necessary. Write \( s = N - r \)
for the rank of the subbundles. We use the torus action on \( \text{Quot}_d(E, r) \) coming from the torus action on \( E = \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \) with weights \( -w_1, \ldots, -w_N \). The fixed loci are parameterized by pairs \((\vec{d}, I)\), where \( \vec{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_s) \) with \( |\vec{d}| = d_1 + \cdots + d_s = d \), and \( I \subset [N] \) is a subset of cardinality \( s \). The fixed loci are products of symmetric powers of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \):
\[ F_{\vec{d}, I} = \mathbb{P}^{d_1_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_1_n}. \]
Each such product appears \( \binom{N}{s} \) times corresponding to the choice \( I \) of \( s \) summands of the trivial bundle \( E \) into which the kernel injects
\[ 0 \to S \to \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to \bigoplus_{i \in [N]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} = E. \]
This changes slightly the expressions for the normal bundles \( N_{\vec{d}, I} \). With the same notation as in Section 2.1, we find that (8) becomes
\[ N_{\vec{d}, I} = \bigoplus_{i \in I, j \in [N], i \neq j} \pi_* (K_i^\vee) - \bigoplus_{i \in I, i \neq j} \pi_* (K_i^\vee \otimes K_j). \]
Compared to prior expressions, the range of the indices \( i, j \) has changed. The above sheaves carry weights \( w_i - w_j \). By direct calculation, we obtain the analogue of equation (8)
\[ \frac{\text{Td}(\text{Quot}_d)}{e_{\mathbb{C}^n}(N_{\vec{d}, I})} = (-1)^{(s-1)d} \prod_{i \in I} \left( \frac{h_i z_i^{N-s} R(z_i)}{R(z_i)} \right) z_i^{d+1} \prod_{i,j \in I, i \neq j} (z_i - z_j), \]
where \( z_i, \alpha_i \) and \( R(z) = \prod_{j=1}^N (z - \alpha_j) \) were defined before. Similarly, the analogue of Lemma 6 becomes
\[ \text{ch}(\wedge_y L^d) \bigg|_{F_{\vec{d}, I}} = \prod_{j \in [N]} \left( 1 + \frac{y}{\alpha_j} \right) \ell+1 \prod_{i \in I} \left( 1 + \frac{y}{z_i} \right)^{d_i - (\ell+1)}. \]
We substitute these expressions into Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch and Atiyah-Bott localization:
\[ \chi(\text{Quot}_d, \wedge_y L^d) = \sum_{\vec{d}, I} \int_{F_{\vec{d}, I}} \text{ch}(\wedge_y L^d) \frac{\text{Td}(\text{Quot}_d)}{e_{\mathbb{C}^n}(N_{\vec{d}, I})} \bigg|_{F_{\vec{d}, I}}. \]
After substitution, we invoke Lagrange-Bürmann formula, but the change of variables takes a slightly different form for \( r > 0 \). If
\[
P(z) = R(z) - q(z + y)z^{r-1}
\]
and \( z_i \) are the roots of the above equation, the reader can verify by direct calculation that we arrive at the following expression
\[
[q^d] (\frac{(-1)^{(s-1)d}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j^{\ell+1}} \prod_{i \in I} (z_i + y)^{\ell+1} \prod_{i,j \in I, i \neq j} (z_i - z_j) )_{\epsilon=0}\]
Since \( P(-y) = R(-y) \), it follows that
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{N} (\alpha_j + y) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} (z_j + y),
\]
and thus the previous expression can be further simplified to
\[
[q^d] \frac{(-1)^{(s-1)d}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j^{\ell+1}} \sum_{d,l,j \in I} (z_j + y)^{\ell+1} \prod_{i \in I} (z_i - z_j)_{\epsilon=0}.
\]
Compared to Theorem 11 for \( r > 0 \) we do not have an additional root, so we finish the argument in a different way. The key observation is that we can rewrite (52) as the \( q^d \)-coefficient in the quotient of two \( N \times N \) determinants
\[
(53) \frac{(-1)^{(s-1)d}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j^{\ell+1} \det(z_i^{N-j})} \begin{vmatrix}
    z_1^{d+\ell+N} & z_2^{d+\ell+N} & \cdots & z_N^{d+\ell+N} \\
    z_1^{d+\ell+N-1} & z_2^{d+\ell+N} & \cdots & z_N^{d+\ell+N-1} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_1^{d+\ell+N-s+1} & z_2^{d+\ell+N-s+1} & \cdots & z_N^{d+\ell+N-s+1} \\
    z_1^{N-s-1}(z_1 + y)^{\ell+1} & z_2^{N-s-1}(z_2 + y)^{\ell+1} & \cdots & z_N^{N-s-1}(z_N + y)^{\ell+1} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    (z_1 + y)^{\ell+1} & (z_2 + y)^{\ell+1} & \cdots & (z_N + y)^{\ell+1}
\end{vmatrix}.
\]
This agrees with the prior expression (52). To justify this assertion, we use generalized Laplace expansion of the determinant in the numerator along the last \( N-s \) rows simultaneously. Picking \( s \) columns labeled by the index set \( I \), the corresponding minors of (53) (evaluated using Vandermonde determinants) contribute exactly the \( I \)-term of (52).

Since the above expression is a symmetric function in the \( z_i \)'s, it can also be expressed in terms of the elementary symmetric functions, which are in turn polynomials in \( \alpha_j \). Setting \( \epsilon = 0 \) corresponds to setting \( \alpha_j = 1 \) for all \( j \), or in turn working with the roots of
\[
P(z) = (z - 1)^N - q(z + y)z^{r-1} = 0.
\]
This completes the argument.

**Corollary 15.** As in Theorem 3, let \( C = \mathbb{P}^1 \), \( E \) is trivial of rank \( N \), \( \deg L = \ell \geq -d - 1 \). Then
\[
\chi \left( \text{Quot}_q(E, r), \det L^{[d]} \right) = (-1)^{(N-r-1)d} [q^d] s_{\lambda}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N)
\]
for the partition \( \lambda = ((d+\ell+1)^N) \). The \( z_i \)'s are the distinct roots of the equation \((z-1)^N - qz^{r-1} = 0\).

**Proof.** The statement follows by running the argument above for the determinant \( \det L[d] \) instead of \( \wedge_y L[d] \). (We prefer this route since extracting the top \( y \)-coefficient in the determinant \( L[d] \) requires some care.) The reader can verify that this results in the following two changes:

(i) the new localization sum requires a new change of variables, so in particular, \( z_1, \ldots, z_N \) are roots of \( R(z) - z^{r-1}q = 0 \);

(ii) the analogue of expression (52) is

\[
\left[ q^d \right] \left( \frac{-1}{\prod_{j=1}^N \alpha_j + 1} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{z_i^{d+\ell+N-s+1}}{P'(z_i)} \prod_{i,j \in I, i \neq j} (z_i - z_j) \right]_{\epsilon=0}.
\]

As a result, the counterpart of (52) takes the form

\[
\left[ q^0 q^d \right] \left( \frac{-1}{\prod_{j=1}^N \alpha_j + 1} \det(z_{i,j}^{N-j}) \right) \begin{vmatrix}
z_1^{d+\ell+N} & z_2^{d+\ell+N} & \cdots & z_N^{d+\ell+N} \\
z_1^{d+\ell+N-1} & z_2^{d+\ell+N-1} & \cdots & z_N^{d+\ell+N-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
z_1^{d+\ell+N-s+1} & z_2^{d+\ell+N-s+1} & \cdots & z_N^{d+\ell+N-s+1} \\
z_1^{N-s-1} & z_2^{N-s-1} & \cdots & z_N^{N-s-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\end{vmatrix}.
\]

The proof is completed setting \( \alpha_j = 1 \), and noting that when \( d + \ell + 1 \geq 0 \), the last expression is exactly the Schur polynomial of the partition \( \lambda = ((d+\ell+1)^s) \). \( \square \)

**Example 16.** In the simplest case \( d = 0 \), the Quot scheme is the Grassmannian \( G = G(s,N) \) and \( \det L[d] = \mathcal{O}_G(\ell + 1) \). In the corollary, since we are extracting the coefficient of \( q^0 \), we can set \( z_1 = z_2 = \ldots = z_N = 1 \) to obtain the correct identity

\[
\chi(G, \mathcal{O}_G(\ell + 1)) = s_{\lambda(1,\ldots,1)}, \quad \lambda = ((\ell+1)^s).
\]

**Example 17.** An interesting specialization of Corollary 15 arises for \( \ell = 0 \). We show

\[
\chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E, r), \det \mathcal{O}^{[d]} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} N \\ r + d \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have

\[
\chi(\text{Quot}_d, \det \mathcal{O}^{[d]}) = [q^d] \left( \frac{-1}{\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha_j}} \right) s_{\lambda(z_1, \ldots, z_N)}
\]

where \( \lambda = ((d + 1)^s) \). The elementary symmetric functions in \( z_1, \ldots, z_N \) are

\[
e_j = \begin{cases} \binom{N}{j} & j \neq s + 1 \\ \binom{N}{s} + (-1)^s q & j = s + 1 \end{cases}.
\]
Using Jacobi-Trudi, we have

\[
s_\lambda(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = \left| \begin{array}{cccccc}
  e_s & e_{s+1} & e_{s+2} & \cdots & e_{s+d-1} & e_{s+d} \\
  e_{s-1} & e_s & e_{s+1} & \cdots & e_{s+d-2} & e_{s+d-1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  e_{s-d+1} & e_{s-d+2} & e_{s-d+3} & \cdots & e_s & e_{s+1} \\
  e_{s-d} & e_{s-d+1} & e_{s-d+2} & \cdots & e_{s-1} & e_s
\end{array} \right|.
\]

In the \((d+1) \times (d+1)\) determinant, the only term yielding the power \(q^d\) is \((-1)^d e_{s+1} e_{s-d}\), coming from the lower left corner \(e_{s-d}\) and the terms \(e_{s+1}\) above the diagonal. To conclude, it remains to note that

\[
[q^d] e_{s+1} e_{s-d} = (-1)^{sd}\binom{N}{s-d}.
\]

**Example 18.** Assume \(d > s(\ell + 1)\). The Schur polynomial \(s_\lambda\) has weighted degree \(|\lambda| = s(d + \ell + 1) < (s + 1)d\) in the elementary symmetric functions \(e_i\), where we set \(\deg e_i = i\). We noted in Example 17 that only \(e_{s+1}\) contains a linear \(q\)-term. By degree reasons, \(e_{s+1}\) appears in \(s_\lambda\) with exponent \(< d\). Thus, in this case the \(q^d\)-coefficient vanishes, and

\[
\chi\left(\text{Quot}_d(E, r), \det L^{[d]}\right) = 0.
\]

**Example 19.** Assume \(d = s(\ell + 1)\), so that \(d + \ell + 1 = (s + 1)(\ell + 1)\) and \(|\lambda| = d(s + 1)\) for \(\lambda = ((d + \ell + 1)^s)\).

With these numerics, we claim that

\[
(54) \quad s_\lambda = (-1)^{sd} e_{s+1} + \text{lower order terms in } e_{s+1}.
\]

Using that the only nonzero \(q\)-contribution in \(e_j(z_1, \ldots, z_N)\) is given by

\[
[q] e_{s+1}(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = (-1)^s,
\]

we obtain

\[
[q^d] s_\lambda(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = 1, \quad \text{and thus } \chi\left(\text{Quot}_d(E, r), \det L^{[d]}\right) = 1.
\]

To justify (54), we let

\[
(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \ldots, \zeta^s, 0, \ldots, 0),
\]

where \(\zeta\) is a primitive \((s + 1)\)-root of 1. In this case, we have

\[
e_{s+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (-1)^s, \quad e_j(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = 0 \text{ for } j \neq 0, j \neq s + 1.
\]

Thus, to confirm (54) it remains to show that

\[
(55) \quad s_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = 1.
\]

This follows from the (first) Jacobi-Trudi identity

\[
s_\lambda = \left| \begin{array}{cccccc}
  h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)} & h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+1} & \cdots & h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+(s-1)} \\
  h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+1} & h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)} & \cdots & h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+(s-2)} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+(s-2)} & h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+(s-1)} & \cdots & h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+1} \\
  h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+(s-1)} & h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)+(s-2)} & \cdots & h_{(s+1)(\ell+1)}
\end{array} \right|,
\]
where $h_j$ are the homogeneous symmetric functions. In our case, we have

$$h_j(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = 1 \text{ if } j \equiv 0 \mod s + 1, \quad h_j(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Hence the above matrix evaluated at $(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ is the identity, yielding \([55]\).

5. Further questions

5.1. Cohomology groups. It is natural to inquire whether Theorem 1 can be refined to yield information about all cohomology groups of the tautological bundles $\wedge^k L^{[d]}$. We ask:

**Question 20.** Is it true that

\begin{equation}
H^\bullet \left( \text{Quot}_d(E), \wedge^k L^{[d]} \right) = \wedge^k H^\bullet (E \otimes L) \otimes \text{Sym}^{d-k} H^\bullet (O_C) ?
\end{equation}

To explain the notation, if $V^\bullet = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space, we define the graded vector spaces

$$\wedge^k V^\bullet = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \wedge^i V_0 \otimes \text{Sym}^j V_1, \quad \text{Sym}^k V^\bullet = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \text{Sym}^i V_0 \otimes \wedge^j V_1$$

where the summands have degree $j$. With the convention

$$\dim W^\bullet = \sum (-1)^j \dim W^j$$

for the superdimension of a graded vector space, the usual formulas hold true

$$\dim \wedge^k V^\bullet = \binom{\dim V^\bullet}{k}, \quad \dim \text{Sym}^k V^\bullet = (-1)^k \binom{-\dim V^\bullet}{k}.$$

Thus, taking dimensions in \((56)\), we immediately match the expressions in Theorem 1. There is also a natural analogue for Theorem 2. The study of these questions may require understanding the derived category of $\text{Quot}_d(E)$.

**Evidence.** Formula \((56)\) is true in the following cases

(i) over the symmetric product of a curve, that is for rank $E = 1$. This was shown in [K2 Section 3] using the derived category;
(ii) for $d = 1$ so that $\text{Quot}_1(E) = \mathbb{P}(E)$, the projective bundle of length 1 quotients of $E$;
(iii) for $k = 0$, the formula predicts the Hodge numbers $h^{p,0}(\text{Quot}_d(E)) = \binom{p}{d}$ for $p \leq d$. This follows from [BFPJ] which give the Hodge polynomials

$$\sum h^{p,q}(\text{Quot}_d(E))(-u)^p(-v)^q t^d = \prod_{i=0}^{rk(E)-1} \frac{(1 - u^i v^{i+1} t)^g (1 - u^{i+1} v^i t)^g}{(1 - u^i v^i t) (1 - u^{i+1} v^{i+1} t)}.$$
5.2. **Rationality.** For any line bundles $L_1, \ldots, L_\ell \to C$ and integers $k_1, \ldots, k_\ell \geq 0$, the series of $K$-theoretic invariants

$$Z_{C,E}(L_1, \ldots, L_\ell | k_1, \ldots, k_\ell) = \sum_d q^d \chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E), \Lambda^{k_1} L_1^d \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{k_\ell} L_\ell^d \right)$$

are given by rational functions with pole at $q = 1$. This assertion was proved in [AJLOP] in the context of punctual Quot schemes of surfaces, endowed with the virtual class, but the same argument applies here as well. (The argument proceeds by localization when $E$ is split, but this is sufficient in light of the universality statements of Section 2.6.) Keeping with the theme of Section 1.2, we note that a similar result also holds true for Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces (without virtual classes). This was conjectured in [AJLOP] and proved in [A2].

Theorem 1 gives the simple expression

$$Z_{C,E}(L | k) = \left( \chi(E \otimes L) \right)_k (1 - q)^{-\chi(O_C)}.$$

**Question 21.** What is the structure of the rational functions $Z_{C,E}(L_1, \ldots, L_\ell | k_1, \ldots, k_\ell)$? Do they admit explicit formulas?

It is natural to inquire whether the results proved here carry over to the Quot schemes $\text{Quot}_d(E, r)$ parametrizing quotients of $E$ of any rank $r$ and degree $d$. The latter possess 2-term perfect obstruction theories [CFK, MO1].

**Question 22.** Are the series

$$Z_{C,E}^{(r)}(L_1, \ldots, L_\ell | k_1, \ldots, k_\ell) = \sum_{d=0}^\infty q^d \chi^{\text{vir}} \left( \text{Quot}_d(E, r), \Lambda^{k_1} L_1^d \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{k_\ell} L_\ell^d \right)$$

classical functions with pole only at $q = 1$? Are there explicit expressions for $Z_{C,E}^{(r)}(L_1, \ldots, L_\ell | k_1, \ldots, k_\ell)$?

Here, for a scheme $Y$ with a 2-term perfect obstruction theory and virtual structure sheaf $O_Y^{\text{vir}}$, and for $V \to Y$, we set

$$\chi^{\text{vir}}(Y, V) = \chi(Y, V \otimes O_Y^{\text{vir}}).$$

5.3. **Higher rank.** Extending Theorems 1 to $K$-theory classes $V \to C$ of arbitrary rank is not immediate. In general, a change of variables is likely needed.

**Question 23.** Find a closed-form expression for the series

$$\sum_{d=0}^\infty q^d \chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E), \Lambda Y V^{|d|} \right).$$

This may potentially be used to address Question 21 as well. Theorem 5 partially addresses the case $V = -L$, for $L$ a line bundle.

Turning to higher rank quotients and Theorem 3, we could ask for the arbitrary genus version:
Question 24. For line bundles $L \to C$, find a closed-form expression for $\chi^{\text{vir}}(\text{Quot}_d(E, r), \wedge_y L^{[d]})$.

For example, in genus 0, for $E$ trivial of rank $N$, for rank $r > 0$, $\deg L = \ell$, numerical experiments suggest that the answer stabilizes to

$$\chi \left( \text{Quot}_d(E, r), \wedge_y L^{[d]} \right) = (1 + y)^{N(\ell + 1)},$$

as soon as $d \geq (N - r)(\ell + 1)$.

Analogous questions can be asked about symmetric powers or other Schur functors as well.
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