Neuroanatomical Basis of Individuality in Muscle Tuning Function: Neural Correlates of Muscle Tuning
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In a conventional view of motor control, the human brain might employ an optimization principle that leads to a stereotypical motor behavior which we observe as an averaged behavioral data over subjects. In this scenario, the inter-individual motor variability is considered as an observation noise. Here, we challenged this view. We considered a motor control task where the human participants manipulated arm force by coordinating shoulder and elbow torques and investigated the muscle-tuning function that represents how the brain distributed the ideal joint torques to multiple muscles. In the experimental data, we observed large inter-individual variability in the profile of a muscle-tuning function. This contradicts with a well-established optimization theory that is based on minimization of muscle energy consumption and minimization of motor variability. We then hypothesized the inter-subject differences in the structure of the motor cortical areas might be the source of the across-subjects variability of the motor behavior. This was supported by a voxel-based morphometry analysis of magnetic resonance imaging; the inter-individual variability of the muscle tuning profile was correlated with that of the gray matter volume in the premotor cortex which is ipsilateral to the used arm (i.e., right hemisphere for the right arm). This study suggests that motor individuality may originate from inter-individual variation in the cortical structure.
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INTRODUCTION

A theory of motor control postulates that, in all individuals, the brain employs a certain principle that produces stereotypical motor behavior to achieve a given task. However, the data from individuals often deviate slightly from the ideal motor trajectory predicted by a theoretical model, even though the averaged trajectory fits very well with the model prediction. This inter-individual variability in motor behaviors contradicts the theory; however, the cause of this diversity in movement trajectory has not yet been examined in depth. Here, we aim to explore a source of the inter-individual variability of a motor behavior to reexamine a general theoretical framework.
The contemporary consensus in the motor control community regarding the mechanisms of how the brain solves this redundancy problem is that the brain may employ an optimization principle that minimizes the cost and maximizes the task performance (Todorov and Jordan, 2002; Izawa and Shadmehr, 2008, 2011) to keep consistence of intra-individual motor behavior. Because different types of cost functions that include different state variables (e.g., muscle force or joint torques) predict dissociable motor behaviors, we are able to infer a type of cost function that the brain might adopt. To date, ample evidence has suggested that both the motor costs that penalize energy consumptions (Emken et al., 2007; Izawa and Shadmehr, 2008, 2011) and the accuracy costs that penalize the influence of motor noise (Harris and Wolpert, 1998) on the precision of motor movement are essential components of the optimization principle the human brain employs (O’Sullivan et al., 2009).

However, in these previous studies of motor control, scientists paid little attention to the effect of the limited neural resources in computing motor commands. The structure of the brain that characterizes neural resources for the brain to optimize motor commands to achieve the certain task requirement might alter the generated motor behaviors if this variation of the motor commands does not interfere with the task performance.

To test this idea, we employed a well-established force control task where a participant manipulated arm force in the horizontal plane, by coordinating torques of both the elbow and the shoulder joints (Figure 1A). In this scenario, the brain has to solve the redundancy problem in order to coordinate the activations of at least six muscles that produce these joint torques. Here, we specifically hypothesized that the structure the cortical motor area might influence the coordination of multiple muscles, yielding a correlation between the inter-individual variability of the muscle-tuning function and the inter-individual variability of the density of the neuronal population. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed anatomical MRI data obtained from all participants who underwent the hand-force control task. Next, we conducted voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analysis to explore whether a cluster of voxels in the motor cortex might explain the variability of the motor behaviors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

We measured EMG data during an isometric force production task and obtained T1-weighted images from all participants. Thirty volunteers without any history of the neurological disorder participated in these experiments (all males; ages 21–27 years; all right-handed). All participants gave written informed consent before participating in the study. The experimental paradigm was approved by the local ethics committee of the National Institute of Neuroscience, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry and Tokyo Bay Rehabilitation Hospital and was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Experimental Design and Data Acquisition

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup of the behavioral experiment. The participants held the handle of the force sensor by the right hand and were instructed to produce joint torques. The wrist joint of the participant was fixed by the cuff, and only shoulder and elbow joint rotations in the horizontal plane were permitted. The cuff was tightly coupled to the handle, and the upper arm of the participants was supported in the table. The participants were able to control their hand force by the torque produced from the only right elbow and shoulder joints. We asked the participants to put their left hand on a left thigh and relaxed.

EMG signals were recorded using an amplifier (The Bagnoli 8 EMG System, DELSYS). EMG Sensors were placed over the major muscles for flexion and extension of shoulder and elbow in the right side: the shoulder monoarticular flexor (pectoralis major) and extensor (posterior deltoid), an elbow monoarticular flexor (brachioradialis) and extensor (lateral head of triceps brachii) and a biarticular flexor (biceps) and extensor (long head of triceps brachii). EMG Signals were sampled with 16-bit A/D acquisition systems at a sampling rate of 2000 Hz and analyzed offline. The amplifier was set to a gain 1000 and a range of ±5 V.

In the experiment, the participants were instructed to produce 40 N by applying force to the handle of a force sensor in 16 directions ($\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{16} = 0, \pi/8, \pi/4, \ldots, 15\pi/8$ rad) in the hand’s x–y plane. The current force vector (Fx, Fy) and a small red circle indicating target direction ($t_1, \ldots, t_{16}$) of each trial were displayed on the computer monitor. The participants were required to keep 40 ± 2 N for 3 s for each trial. The participants conducted 10 trials for each target; 160 trials in total. The participants took a 6-s rest between each trial and a 1- to 10-min rest per 16 trials to avoid muscle fatigue.

High-resolution T1-weighted anatomical images were obtained with magnetization prepared rapid gradient-echo images (TR = 2000 ms; TE = 4.4 ms; FA = 80; matrix = 192 × 176; FOV = 192 × 176; voxel size 1 × 1 × 1 mm$^3$) on a 3-T MRI scanner (Siemens Trios, Erlangen, Germany) with an 8-channel phased array receiver-only coil.

Data Analysis

EMG Data Pre-processing

Each EMG signal was band-pass filtered from 5 – 500 Hz and rectified. We calculated the average of the EMG during a holding period of all trials (10 trials) for each target ($t = 1, \ldots, 16$), and then the EMGs were normalized in each muscle ($i = 1, \ldots, 6$).

$$u_i^t = \frac{e_i^t - \min_i}{\max_i - \min_i} \quad (1)$$

where $e$ is the average EMG, $\min_i$ and $\max_i$ are minimum and maximum amplitude in each muscle and $u_i^t$ becomes 1 or 0 in the direction of the largest and smallest muscle activation, respectively. The $u_i^t$ shows the tuning function of each muscle.
To quantify the broadness of the muscle-tuning function, we computed the summation of the normalized muscle activities, $u_i^t$, over all target directions $t$ across six muscles:

$$\text{[broadness (a.u.)]} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{t=1}^{16} u_i^t$$

(2)

which is identical to the total area surrounded by the muscle-tuning functions.

MRI Data Pre-processing and VBM

The high-resolution 3D T1-weighted images were subjected to a VBM analysis using VBM8 toolbox (Ashburner and Friston, 2000) implemented in SPM8 (Wellcome Trust Center of Neuroimaging, UCL). The preprocessing steps were as follows. First, each image was segmented into gray matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid in the native image space. Second, the gray matter images were transformed into the Montreal Neurological (MNI) space. Third, these normalized gray matter images were smoothed using a Gaussian kernel of 10 mm full-width at half-maximum. We investigated the correlation of broadness of the tuning function with regional gray matter volume. A voxel-wise multiple regression was conducted, using the tuning function as an independent variable and biomechanical factors such as arm length and weight as confounding covariates. The search volume was limited to the right or left primary motor cortex (Brodmann area 4) and right or left premotor and supplementary motor areas (Brodmann area 6). The voxelwise significance level was set at $p < 0.05$, corrected for multiple comparisons in terms of the familywise error (FWE) rate.

RESULTS

Tuning Functions Computed From EMG Signals

The participants were asked to hold a handle mounted on a table with their right hand, and to produce the required force vector by pushing the handle (Figure 1A). After a 6-s rest period, a reaching target was shown on a vertical screen. The participants were asked to move a cursor to the reaching target immediately after the target was displayed. The participants then continued to apply arm force to keep the cursor inside the target for 3 s. The movement of the cursor reflected the direction/size of the arm forces in the horizontal plane. A single trial ended when the cursor was held inside the target for 3 s. The participants were requested to keep $40 \pm 2$ N for 3 s for each trial. A single trial lasted until the produced force satisfied this condition. Figure 1B illustrates the example of the sequence of the target force on X and Y axis with a representative force data.
of the muscle-tuning function as replicated in the present study (Figure 2B). We thus explored the neurophysiological correlates of the inter-individual variability in the broadness of the tuning function.

**Voxel-Based Morphometry**

Our hypothesis here is that the inter-individual variability of the neural structure might explain the inter-individual variability of the broadness of the muscle-tuning function. We analyzed anatomical MRI obtained from all participants who performed the arm force task using a VBM analysis. We sought clusters of voxels in the motor-related cortical areas that explain the inter-individual variability of the broadness of the tuning function. Figure 3 illustrates that the gray matter volume in the region of right dorsal premotor cortex was significantly correlated with the broadness of the muscle-tuning function (Cluster 1 at the MNI coordinate of x = 26, y = 0, z = 58, P = 0.040 FWE corrected, 7 voxels; Cluster 2 at x = 32, y = 6, z = 52, P = 0.043 FWE corrected, 4 voxels).

**DISCUSSION**

Studies of motor control have strongly relied on the hypothesis that the brain might employ a common cost function across all human participants to make a motor plan for a certain task. Whereas the stereotypical motor behaviors such as smooth reach trajectory (Abend et al., 1982; Flash and Hogan, 1985) or distributed muscle forces (Ashburner and Friston, 2000) have been found in human motor behavior, a large inter-individual variability around the stereotypical movement was also evident. Here, we exemplified the inter-individual variability in the muscle force tuning function when the participants controlled arm force and sought the source of the individuality of the motor behaviors. We found that the broadness of the tuning function had large variability across participants. This result suggests that the process of optimization for a certain cost function is influenced by an intrinsic property of the individual participant. To explore the neurophysiological correlates of this phenomenon, we conducted VBM on the anatomical MRI data taken from the same participants who participated in the behavioral study. We found that the gray matter volume of the ipsilateral side to the used arm of the motor-related area was significantly correlated with the broadness of the muscle-tuning function. It is unlikely that the VBM findings reflect other biomechanical confounding factors (arm length and weight) than the muscle tuning function since these variables were included as covariates in the GLM.

We observed an influence of the premotor area of the right hemisphere on shaping muscle tuning function while it is not clear how the right hemisphere shape the motor commands formed in the left motor cortex. A study with transcranial magnetic stimulation showed that a conditioning stimulus over right premotor cortex induced suppression of the motor-evoked potentials of the left motor cortex, suggesting interhemispheric inhibition via commissural fibers between the premotor cortex and the contralateral motor cortex (Mochizuki et al., 2004). A similar interhemispheric interaction has been found in another
transcranial magnetic stimulation study between the primary motor cortex and the ventral premotor cortex (Baumer et al., 2009). This interhemispheric inhibition might lead to broader muscle-tuning functions. However, evidence of interhemispheric inhibition does not reject the other possibility of the descending pathway from the right premotor area (Benecke et al., 1991; Galea and Darian-Smith, 1994; Staudt et al., 2002). While the projection from the right hemisphere to the right arm is a minor pathway in terms of its number of neurons, it has the capacity to explain the observed correlation between the gray matter volume around the right premotor area and the broadness of the muscle-tuning function in a more straightforward way. We propose that further studies should be conducted with diffusion tensor axonal tracking techniques (Lehericy et al., 2004; Wahl et al., 2007) to examine ipsi/contralateral hemispheric contributions to corticomuscular optimization.

One of the limitations of this study is not taking the previous history of arm training into consideration. In fact, the prior history of the practice of motor control increases the accuracy of the motor skills, which is mediated by the structural plasticity associated with the long-range practice and corresponding neural activity change (Dayan and Cohen, 2011). This long-range training induces axon sprouting, dendritic branching, neurogenesis, glial changes, and angiogenesis, which all leads the gray matter increase (Zatorre et al., 2012). Thus, the volume of the gray matter of the individual subject is determined by the history of the training, which is captured by the anatomical MRI and VBM analysis. Each subject must have a unique history of motor training, and thus the variety of experience, such as tennis, golf, or running, of all participants, is too large to standardize this experience. We rather think that the gray matter volume is the standardized index which captures the property of the motor skill at the moment of the experiment. Our aim here is to seek the anatomical feature that causes a difference in the tuning function which may be affected by the history of the training.

Another limitation of this study is that any neural activity was not examined. As we discussed above, the gray matter volume change may lead neural activity change. Indeed, the limitation of our study is that any neural activity was measured while the subject is controlling the hand force. The signals measured in fMRI might contain richer information of how the motor-related are in the cortex activated differently among the subjects. However, at the same time, the influence of the history of the motor experience on the fMRI result is complex with respect to the gray matter volume change. For instance, fMRI signal (BOLD signal) is influenced by both the short-range experience and the long-range experience of the motor training and the decrease of the BOLD due to the short range experience is also observed (Dayan and Cohen, 2011) We considered that while how the brain optimize neural activity is influenced by many different factors such as metabolism or short range experience, this optimization process is always constrained by the cortical structure which leads variation in
motor behavior. Thus, the specific aim of this paper is to examine how the long-range factors which change the structure of the cortex alter how the nervous system optimize the muscle force distribution problem.

A potential problem of this analysis is a spurious correlation. We report here that we found two clusters which are correlated with the broadness of the muscle tuning function. These two clusters lie close each other (10 voxels apart), and both are in the right premotor cortex. While spurious correlation can’t be rejected ultimately in all VBM analysis in general, the SPM is implemented with multiple approaches to minimize to detect spurious correlation (Wilke and Lidlba, 2007). As it is suggested in the standard procedure of the SPM package, we used the strict thresholding by using the FWE correction. In addition, evaluating the cluster size is reported as the effective approach (Wilke and Lidlba, 2007). According to these criteria, one of the clusters of the two (cluster size n = 7) is above minimum cluster size and is able to be considered as a meaningful cluster. While the ipsilateral (i.e., right hemisphere) influence on the right limb movement is counter-intuitive, a number of studies reported the contribution of the motor is in the right hemisphere to the right limb control.

It puzzled us that we did not detect any significant correlation between the left premotor cortex involved in computing motor commands to control isometric force on the right arm. Indeed, the task performance improvement achieved by the long-range training with arm’s reach task increased the gray matter volume of the left motor cortex (Landi et al., 2011). While a further investigation with the isometric force control task of the present paper is certainly necessary, the previously reported change of the gray matter volume in the left motor cortex and the left premotor cortex is not necessary to guarantee the influence of the gray matter volume in the left motor cortices on the tuning function of the muscle force production during the isometric force production task. One important difference between the previous report and our study is that we focused on the performance irrelevant feature of the muscle activities whereas most of the previous report discussed the correlation between the performance (i.e., accuracy) and the cortical structure. The optimization of the muscle tuning function involves the highly complicated computational processes (Fagg et al., 2002). In fact, the gray matter volume change in the right premotor cortex without the change in the left premotor cortex was reported for the complex force production task (Gryga et al., 2012). We speculate that, whereas the contralateral contribution is dominant in skill formation in the task-relevant performance, the ipsilateral contribution is important in motor coordination in the task-irrelevant dimension.

There is also a number of specific limitations in this study. First, we recruited very specific cohort: male, young and right-handed. The goal of this study is to find a correction between the neural structure (GLM) which is influenced by the long-range experience of the limb control and the tuning function of the EMG. Theoretically, the tuning function is determined by solving the muscle force distribution problem and, in this computational process, not only the neural structure, but biomechanical factors also constrain the optimization process. In addition, age and sex-difference altered GLM (Pfefferbaum et al., 1992; Chen et al., 2007). In order to reduce the effects of these factors and to see the effect of the long-range experience of the limb control dominantly, we recruited only male, young and right-handed subjects. Second, we did not assess the tuning function of the distal hand muscles and finger muscles. As described in the method section, we constrained the subject’s hand by the cuff which is tightly connected to the handle of the force sensor. This cuff prevents the subject form holding the handle while pushing the force sensor. Thus, the distal hand and the finger muscles were not requested to achieve tasks. This technique has been repeatedly used in the field of motor control when we would like to minimize the effect of the distal hand force and finger muscles when we measure limbs stiffness which is potentially influenced by the distal hand motion (Gomi and Kawato, 1996). Lastly, we analyzed only gray matter volume and did not examine other potential correlates such as the diffusion tensor imaging and the resting state functional connectivity (Kaiser et al., 2015; Song et al., 2015). Considering a process for the brain to solve the present task, the brain should use the entire cortical network with perceiving the target position first, computing the force vector second, and then solving the muscle force distribution problem. Thus, the long-range cortico-cortical connection and how the neural network taking advantage of this connection should influence the result of the optimization. It is reasonable to think that the tuning function might be influenced by the individuality of the anatomical and functional connectivity which are measured by the DTI fiber tracking method and by the resting state functional connectivity. We remain these studies for future work.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KK and JI designed the study. KK collected both behavioral and MR data. CH helped to collect MR data. KK and JI analyzed data. KK and JI wrote the first draft of the paper. TH advised MRI data analysis. All authors revised the manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was in supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) (17H05357) to KK; JSPS Kakenhi (17H02128) to RO; the Brain Mapping by Integrated Neurotechnologies for Disease Studies (Brain/MINDS, 16dm0207022h003), Health Labor Science Research Grants from Japan Agency for Medical Research and development (AMED), KAKENHI grants (26120008 and 16H03306) from MEXT, and the Intramural Research Grant for Neurological and Psychiatric Disorders of the National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Japan (TH); JSPS Kakenhi (16H03200 and 17H06023) to JI.
REFERENCES

Abend, W., Bizzi, E., and Morasso, P. (1982). Human arm trajectory formation. *Brain* 105, 331–348.
Ashburner, J., and Friston, K. J. (2000). Voxel-based morphometry—the methods. *Neuroimage* 11, 805–821. doi: 10.1016/0965-9978(98)00052-8
Baumer, T., Schippling, S., Kroeger, J., Zettel, S., Koch, G., Thomalla, G., et al. (2009). Inhibitory and facilitatory connectivity from ventral premotor to primary motor cortex in healthy humans at rest—a bifocal TMS study. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 120, 1724–1731. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2009.07.035
Benecke, R., Meyer, B. U., and Freund, H. J. (1991). Reorganisation of descending motor pathways in patients after hemispherectomy and severe hemispheric lesions demonstrated by magnetic brain stimulation. *Exp. Brain Res.* 83, 419–426. doi: 10.1007/BF00231167
Chen, X., Sachdev, P. S., Wen, W., and Anstey, K. J. (2007). Sex differences in regional gray matter in healthy individuals aged 44–48 years: a voxel-based morphometric study. *Neuroimage* 36, 691–699. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.03.063
Dayan, E., and Cohen, L. G. (2011). Neuroplasticity subserving motor skill learning. *Neuron* 72, 443–454. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.10.008
Emken, J. L., Benitez, R., Sideris, A., Bobrow, J. E., and Reincken, D. J. (2007). Motor adaptation as a greedy optimization of error and effort. *J. Neurophysiol.* 97, 3997–4006. doi: 10.1152/jn.00195.2006
Fagg, A. H., Shah, A., and Barto, A. G. (2002). A computational model of muscle recruitment for wrist movements. *J. Neurophysiol.* 88, 3348–3358. doi: 10.1152/jn.00621.2002
Flash, T., and Hogan, N. (1985) The coordination of arm movements: an experimentally confirmed mathematical model. *J. Neurosci.* 5, 1688–1703. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.07-01-1985
Galea, M. P., and Darian-Smith, I. (1994). Multiple corticospinal neuron populations in the macaque monkey are specified by their unique cortical origins, spinal terminations, and connections. *Cereb Cortex* 4, 166–194. doi: 10.1093/cercor/4.2.166
Gomi, H., and Kawato, M. (1996). Equilibrium-point control hypothesis examined in stroke rehabilitation utilizing BCI technology. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 9:195. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00195
Hoffman, D. S., and Strick, P. L. (1999) Step-tracking movements of the wrist. *Science* 272, 117–120. doi: 10.1126/science.272.5258.117
Gryga, M., Taubert, M., Dukart, J., Vollmann, H., Conde, V., Sehm, B., et al. (2012). Bidirectional gray matter changes after complex motor skill learning. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 6:37. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2012.00037
Harris, C. M., and Wolpert, D. M. (1998). Signal-dependent noise determines motor planning. *Nature* 394, 780–784. doi: 10.1038/29528
Hoffman, D. S., and Strick, P. L. (1999) Step-tracking movements of the wrist. *J. Neurophysiol.* 81, 319–333. doi: 10.1152/jn.1999.81.1.319
Izawa, J., and Shadmehr, R. (2008). On-line processing of uncertain information in visuomotor control. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 11360–11368. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3063-08.2008
Izawa, J., and Shadmehr, R. (2011). Learning from sensory and reward prediction errors during motor adaptation. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7:e1002012. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002012
Kaiser, R. H., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Wager, T. D., and Pizzagalli, D. A. (2015). Large-scale network dysfunction in major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of resting-state functional connectivity. *JAMA Psychiatry* 72, 603–611. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0071
Kurtzer, I., Pruszynski, J. A., Herter, T. M., and Scott, S. H. (2006). Primate upper limb muscles exhibit activity patterns that differ from their anatomical action during a postural task. *J. Neurophysiol.* 95, 493–504. doi: 10.1152/jn.00706.2005
Landi, S. M., Bagnec, F., and Della-Maggiore, V. (2011). One week of motor adaptation induces structural changes in primary motor cortex that predict long-term memory one year later. *J. Neuroscience* 3, 11808–11813. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2253-11.2011
Lehericy, S., Ducros, M., Van de Moortele, F. P., Francois, C., Thiward, L., Poupon, C., et al. (2004). Diffusion tensor fiber tracking shows distinct corticospinal circuits in humans. *Ann. Neurol.* 55, 522–529. doi: 10.1002/ana.20030
Mochizuki, H., Huang, Y. Z., and Rothwell, J. C. (2004). Interhemispheric interaction between human dorsal premotor and contralateral primary motor cortex. *J. Physiol.* 561, 331–338. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2004.072843
Nozaki, D., Nakazawa, K., and Akai, M. (2005). Muscle activity determined by cosine tuning with a nontrivial preferred direction during isometric force exertion by lower limb. *J. Neurophysiol.* 93, 2614–2624. doi: 10.1152/jn.00960.2004
O’Sullivan, L., Burdet, E., and Diedrichsen, J. (2009). Dissociating variability and effort as determinants of coordination. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 5:e1000345. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000345
Pfefferbaum, A., Lim, K. O., Zipursky, R. B., Mathalon, D. H., Rosenbloom, M. J., Lane, B., et al. (1992). Brain gray and white matter volume loss acculates with aging in chronic alcoholics: a quantitative MRI study. *Alcoholism* 16, 1078–1089. doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.1992.tb00702.x
Song, J., Nair, V. A., Young, B. M., Walsou, L. M., Niyogosyan, Z., Remsik, A., et al. (2015). DTI measures track and predict motor function outcomes in stroke rehabilitation utilizing BCI technology. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 9:195. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00195
Staudt, M., Grodd, W., Gerloff, C., Erb, M., Stitz, J., and Krägeloh-Mann, I. (2002). Two types of ipsilateral reorganization in congenital hemiparesis: a TMS and fMRI study. *Brain* 125, 2222–2237. doi: 10.1093/brain/awf227
Todorov, E., and Jordan, M. I. (2002). Optimal feedback control as a theory of motor coordination. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 1226–1235. doi: 10.1038/nn963
van Bolhuis, B. M., and Gielen, C. C. (1999) A comparison of models explaining muscle activation patterns for isometric contractions. *Biol. Cybernetics* 81, 249–261. doi: 10.1007/s004220050560
Wahl, M., Lauterbach-Soon, B., Hattingen, E., Jung, P., Singer, O., Volz, S., et al. (2007). Human motor cortex callusom: topography, somatotomy, and link between microstructure and function. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 12132–12138. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2320-07.2007
Wilke, M., and Litzba, K. (2007). LI-tool: a new toolbox to assess lateralization in functional MR-data. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 163, 128–136. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.01.026
Zatorre, R. J., Fields, R. D., and Johansen-Berg, H. (2012). Plasticity in gray and white: neuroimaging changes in brain structure during learning. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 528–536. doi: 10.1038/nn3045

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Kita, Osu, Hosoda, Honda, Hanakawa and Izawa. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.