MRI of intact plants
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Abstract  Nuclear magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a non-destructive and non-invasive technique that can be used to acquire two- or even three-dimensional images of intact plants. The information within the images can be manipulated and used to study the dynamics of plant water relations and water transport in the stem, e.g., as a function of environmental (stress) conditions. Non-spatially resolved portable NMR is becoming available to study leaf water content and distribution of water in different (sub-cellular) compartments. These parameters directly relate to stomatal water conductance, CO₂ uptake, and photosynthesis. MRI applied on plants is not a straight forward extension of the methods discussed for (bio)medical MRI. This educational review explains the basic physical principles of plant MRI, with a focus on the spatial resolution, factors that determine the spatial resolution, and its unique information for applications in plant water relations that directly relate to plant photosynthetic activity.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Definition |
|--------------|------------|
| A            | Signal amplitude |
| B₀           | Main magnetic field |
| D            | Diffusion coefficient |
| Δ            | Time between two magnetic field gradient pulses in a PFG experiment |
| δ            | Duration of a magnetic field gradient pulse in a PFG experiment |
| G            | Amplitude of a magnetic field gradient |
| γ            | Gyromagnetic ratio (γ/2π is 2.68 × 10⁸ Hz/T for ¹H) |
| gₛ           | Stomatal conductance |
| FOV          | Field of view |
| FT           | Fourier transform |
| H            | Proportionality constant related to tonoplast water permeability |
| m            | Turbo factor in a TSE experiment |
| MRI          | Magnetic resonance imaging |
| MSE          | Multiple spin echo |
| N            | Number of pixels in the image matrix |
| nₐcho        | Echo number in a spin echo train |
| Nₐcho        | Total number of echoes in a spin echo train |
| NMR          | Nuclear magnetic resonance |
| PAM          | Pulse amplitude modulation chlorophyll fluorometer |
| PFG          | Pulsed field gradient |
| r            | Radius of a rf detector coil |
| SE           | Spin echo |
| S/N          | Signal to noise ratio |
| STE          | Stimulated echo |
| S/V          | Surface to volume ratio of a cell compartment or vessel |
| T₁           | Spin-lattice or longitudinal relaxation time |
| T₂           | Spin–spin or transversal relaxation time |
| Tₐcₜ        | Signal acquisition time |
| TE           | Echo time |
| TR           | Time between repeated acquisitions |
Water is needed to allow transpiration, CO₂ uptake, photosynthesis, and growth. For example, in herbaceous plants the water content is around 95% and most of the mechanical strength is provided by cells that are rigid only because they are filled with water. Water is passively transported inside plant xylem conduits (vessels and tracheids) in the continuum between soil and atmosphere along a water potential gradient, generated by evaporation. The hydraulic conductivity of the root, stem, and leaves, together with the plants’ stomatal regulation, defines the water potential gradients that exist between leaf and root. When this gradient becomes too steep it causes damage either by dehydration of living cells or by cavitation due to tensions (negative pressures) in the water columns of the xylem being too high (Sperry et al. 2002; Mencuccini 2003). Mechanisms are needed to maintain this gradient within a non-damaging range. The most important mechanism is the regulation of the stomatal aperture or stomatal conductance, \( g_s \), in the leaves, by increasing the resistance for water vapor leaving the leaves into the atmosphere with lower water content. Changes in \( g_s \) will directly affect the uptake of CO₂ needed for photosynthesis. There is clear evidence that photosynthesis activity or CO₂ assimilation by chloroplasts or leaves is tightly linked to \( g_s \), leaf and plant water content, and hydraulic conductance (e.g., Sellers et al. 1997; Hubbard et al. 2001; Tardieu 2003; Buckley 2005).

Even mild water deficits, when relative water content remains above 70%, primarily cause limitation to carbon dioxide uptake because of stomatal closure. With greater water deficits, direct inhibition of photosynthesis occurs (Gupta and Berkowitz 1988; Smirnoff 1993).

Phloem is responsible for the transport of photosynthates such as sucrose from leaves to the rest of the plant. If unloading is inhibited photosynthesis will be decreased. Therefore, there is a strong interrelationship between photosynthesis activity/CO₂ assimilation, plant water status, and xylem and phloem transport/hydraulic conductance (Daudet et al. 2002).

Although these principles are now well known, the dynamics of the interrelationship and integration between these processes on plant level is still lacking. What we need is to be able to measure in intact plants phloem and xylem flow in relation to water content in the surrounding tissues (the storage pools), under normal and under water limiting or even stress conditions (e.g., drought or as a function of phloem loading/unloading mechanisms due to e.g., anoxia), in relation to photosynthesis activity. MRI methods and dedicated hardware have been presented to measure xylem and phloem water transport in relation to water content in different storage tissues (bark, cambial zone, xylem, and parenchyma) non-invasively in the stem of intact plants (Van As 2007; Van As and Windt 2008). In addition, portable NMR (non-spatially resolved) is becoming available for water content measurements in leaves (Capitani et al. 2009). These NMR and MRI methods can be combined with measurements of photosynthesis activity, e.g., monitoring by PAM techniques. In this review, we introduce these NMR and MRI methods and discuss them in relation to spatial and temporal resolution and (sub)cellular water content.

### Imaging principles and partial volume effects

In a homogeneous main magnetic field \( B_0 \), equal spins (e.g., protons of the water molecules) have identical Larmor precession frequency, and a single resonance line in the frequency spectrum is observed at

\[
\nu_0 = (\gamma/2\pi)B_0
\]

\( \gamma \) is the gyromagnetic ratio that is a characteristic property for each type of spin bearing nuclei. For mobile (liquid) molecules the resonance line is Lorenzian shaped with a width at half maximum inversely proportional to the \( T_2 \), the spin–spin or transverse relaxation time. When a constant magnetic field gradient \( G \) is applied superimposed on \( B_0 \), the local magnetic field will become a function of position and identical spins at different positions along this gradient will show different resonance frequencies, each frequency being characteristic for a particular position \( r \):

\[
\nu(r) = (\gamma/2\pi)(B_0 + G \cdot r)
\]
Fig. 1 Scheme of a pulse sequence for multiple spin-echo (MSE) imaging. The echo times TE1 and TE2 may be different in size. The echoes can be acquired separately to obtain images with different $T_2$ weighting and can be used to calculate local $T_2$ values, or the echoes can be added to obtain a higher signal to noise for the images. To obtain a N N image matrix, N data points have to be sampled during the acquisition of each echo. The sequence has to be repeated for $N$ different values of the phase encoding gradient, ranging from $-G_{max}$ to $G_{max}$.

$$A(TE) = A_{eff} \exp(-TE/T_2)$$

Here $A_{eff}$ is the signal amplitude directly after excitation. In order to obtain a full two-dimensional image of $N \times N$ pixels, the sequence has to be repeated $N$ times. Therefore, the total acquisition time is $N \times TR$, where $TR$ is the time between each repeat. If $TR$ is long enough, the spin system has restored equilibrium along the magnetic field direction. This process is characterized by the spin-lattice or longitudinal relaxation time $T_1$. If $TR < 3T_1$, the effective signal amplitude, $A_{eff}$, does not uniquely represent the spin density in each pixel, but depends on a combination of the spin density and $T_1$:

$$A_{eff} = A_0 \exp(-TR/T_1)$$

$A_0$ is a direct measure of the amount of spins under observation. As a result, NMR SE image intensity usually depends on a combination of these parameters, reflecting spin density, $T_1$, $T_2$, and diffusion behavior, characterized by the diffusion coefficient $D$. Diffusion comes into play due to susceptibility artifacts (distortions of the local magnetic field, e.g., due to small air spaces) and the read-out gradient used for position labeling (Edzes et al. 1998).

The spatial resolution is defined by the dimension of the image (the field-of-view, $FOV$) divided by the number of pixels $N$ (for more details see “Spatial and temporal resolution” section). In common practice, the dimension of a single pixel in an image is larger than a single cell and most pixels will contain information that originates from different sub-cellular compartments or even cells from different tissues, vessels, and tracheids, etc. This is called the partial volume problem. Therefore, the information presented mostly is called “apparent”: e.g., apparent $T_2$, $T_2$, app, or apparent $D$, $D$, app. A number of approaches are discussed to (partly) overcome this problem.

**Water content and discrimination of tissues**

In order to measure real water content in the different tissues, we need single parameter maps of $A_0$ and info to discriminate between the tissues. Many pulse sequences exist by means of which quantitative maps are obtained that represent single NMR parameters like $A_0$, $T_2$, etc. In Multiple Spin-Echo (MSE) MRI (Edzes et al. 1998) a spin-echo series is created by applying a train of $180^\circ$ rf pulses that recall or refocus the signal, resulting in a series of echoes (Fig. 1). Each echo is acquired in the presence of a read-out or frequency encoding gradient (cf. Eq. 2) and the whole series of echoes is prepared with a single phase encoding gradient for spatial encoding in the direction of that gradient. By repeating the experiment as a function of different values of the phase encoding gradient a series of spin-echo images is obtained. Single parameter maps can now be processed from the MSE-experiment by assuming a mono-exponential relaxation decay of the signal intensity as a function of $n_{echo}TE$ in each picture element, pixel:

$$A(n_{echo}TE) = A_{eff} \exp(-n_{echo}TE/T_2, app)$$

$n_{echo}$ is the echo number, up to the maximum $N_{echo}$. If $TR > 3T_1$ and $TE < T_2$, $A_{eff}$ equals $A_0$ and is a direct measure of the water content times tissue density in a pixel. The resulting single parameter maps are: signal amplitude ($A_0$) and $T_2$, app. An example of an amplitude and $T_2$ map, demonstrating the high contrast in $T_2$ to resolve different

Fig. 2 Amplitude and $T_2$ map as a result of a MSE experiment on a carrot tap root on a 3 T (128 MHz) MRI system. FOV 40 × 40 mm, 256 × 256 image matrix, slice thickness 2 mm: pixel dimension 156 × 156 × 2,000 μm$^3$. 
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tissue types, are presented in Fig. 2. 

**Xylem and phloem flow**

An example that clearly illustrates how MRI can be used to obtain information from structures that are smaller than a pixel is MRI flow imaging (for some overviews, see MacFall and Van As 1996; Köckenberger 2001; Van As 2007; Van As and Windt 2008). In general, spatial resolution will not be high enough to resolve individual phloem or xylem vessels. As a consequence, pixels that contain flowing water will also contain a significant amount of stationary water. When vessels are very small, as is the case in phloem tissue, the relative amount of flowing water per pixel can be as small as a few percent. The greatest challenge in measuring phloem water transport, therefore, is to distinguish displacement of a small amount of very slowly moving water from a (very) large amount of stationary water showing displacements due to random movement as a result of Brownian motion.

In order to accurately quantify (long distance) xylem and phloem transport, one needs to determine both the direction of the flow, and the actual flow profile, from which the flow velocity, the flux and the flow conducting area can be obtained. At the same time diffusion and flow have to be discriminated. These goals can best be obtained by the use of pulsed magnetic field gradient (PFG) techniques (for some background, see Van As and Windt 2008). In this experiment, a sequence of two magnetic field gradient pulses of duration \( \delta \) and equal magnitude \( G \) but opposite sign (or equal sign but separated by an 180 rf pulse) label the protons as a function of their position. If the spins remain at exactly the same position the effect of the gradient pulses compensate each other. However, as soon as translation (displacement) motion occurs, the gradients do not exactly compensate each other anymore, resulting in attenuation of the signal amplitude. The amount of this attenuation is determined by the length and amplitude of the gradient pulses, and by the mean translation distance traveled during the interval \( \Delta \) between the two gradient pulses.

In order to be able to discern flowing water from randomly diffusing water, \( \Delta \) is typically varied from 15 ms for fast flowing xylem water, to 200 ms for slow moving phloem water (Scheenen et al. 2001). Linear displacement can be measured by stepping \( G \) of the pulsed field gradients from \(-G_{\text{max}}\) to \(+G_{\text{max}}\), as described previously by Scheenen et al. (2000a). After Fourier transformation of the signal as a function of \( G \), the complete distribution of displacements (i.e., flow profile) within \( \Delta \) in the direction of the gradient is obtained for every pixel of an image. Such a displacement distribution is called a propagator.

Making use of the fact that non-flowing (only diffusion) water results in a propagator that is symmetrical around zero, the signal in the non-flow direction can be mirrored...
around the displacement axis and subtracted from the signal in the flow direction to produce the flow profile of the flowing as well as the stationary water. The resulting flow profiles can then be used to calculate per pixel or in any selected area in an image: the flow conducting area, the average velocity of the flowing water, and by taking the integral of the propagator of the flowing water, the volume flow (cf Fig. 3).

For a reliable determination of the displacement distribution, the NMR signal (echo envelope) has to be measured as a function of at least 32 different $G$ values. It is clear that this takes time. A two-dimensional SE image consisting of $N \times N$ pixels requires $N$ acquisitions to be repeated for phase encoding. Combining this with displacement measurements with 32 gradient steps result in $32 \times N$ acquisitions. If $TR$ is 2 s and $N = 128$, a scan time of at least 136 min is needed. In order to reduce the acquisition time, displacement imaging has been combined with fast imaging techniques. For turbo-SE this results in a $1/m$ reduction in scan time as compared to a standard $N \times N$ SE image sequence (Scheenen et al. 2000a). Here $m$ is the turbo factor, equal to the number of spin echoes that can be used for phase encoding in a single scan. It is clear that the number of pixels, $N$, directly determines both spatial and temporal resolution, but acquisition times are in the order of 15–30 min.

The propagator flow imaging approach was used to visualize and quantify xylem flow in tomato (Scheenen et al. 2000a), in stem pieces of chrysanthemum (Scheenen et al. 2000b) and large cucumber plants (Scheenen et al. 2002). While in the last study the authors were able to visualize phloem sap movement, they were not yet able to quantify phloem flow in the same manner as was demonstrated for xylem flow. Windt et al. (2006) further optimized this method as well as the hardware. In this way the dynamics in phloem and xylem flow and flow conducting area were studied in large and fully developed plants: a poplar tree, tomato, tobacco, and castor bean plants. The observed differences for day and night in flow conducting area, which directly relate to xylem and phloem hydraulic conductance, are one of the most striking observations. The phloem fluxes and flow conducting areas showed large differences that roughly corresponded with plant size. The differences in phloem flow velocities between the four species were remarkably small (0.25–0.40 mm/s) (Windt et al. 2006).

Plant responses as a function of changes in environmental conditions can now be studied. The method was used by Peuke et al. (2006) to study the effects of cold treatment on mass flow in the phloem. A first example of the effect of an extended dark period (trying to stop photosynthesis and phloem loading) on phloem and xylem flow in Ricinus has been reported (Van As and Windt 2008).

Plant responses as a function of changes in environmental conditions can now be studied. The method was used by Peuke et al. (2006) to study the effects of cold treatment on mass flow in the phloem. A first example of the effect of an extended dark period (trying to stop photosynthesis and phloem loading) on phloem and xylem flow in Ricinus has been reported (Van As and Windt 2008).
induction and refilling were studied in cucumber (Scheenen et al. 2007), and the effect of root anoxia (trying to limit phloem unloading). Recently, the combination of xylem flow characteristics (flow conducting area, volume flow, and velocity) and changes in water content of the storage pools xylem, cambial zone, and bark during a developing drought stress and after watering in diffuse and ring porous trees (laurel, oak) has been realized (N Homan, JS Sperry, E Gerkema, H Van As, manuscript in prep). An example for oak is given in Fig. 3.

Spatial and temporal resolution

As stated above spatial resolution depends on the discrimination of the unique frequencies for each position. The differences in frequencies are only dependent on the magnetic field gradient ($\Delta v = \gamma \times G \times \Delta r$), and not on the main frequency of the spins in the homogeneous magnetic field. In order to be sure that each frequency interval $\Delta v$ contains unique position information, $\Delta v$ must be bigger or at least equal to the line width at half maximum of the resonance line in the homogeneous magnetic field without field gradient, which is dictated by $1/T_2^*$. Plant tissue can include intercellular air spaces, resulting in susceptibility artifacts manifest as local magnetic field gradients, $< g_z^2 >$, which shortens the effective $T_2$:

$$1/T_2^* = 1/T_2 + f(<g_z^2>)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

These artifacts increase with increasing field strength: $< g_z^2 > \sim B_0^2$. Shorter $T_2^*$ values increase the necessary $\Delta v$ for a fixed value of $\Delta r$. Applying a strong enough magnetic field gradient $G$ can regulate $\Delta v$. Doing so, there seems to be no limit on spatial resolution. However, an increase in $\Delta v$ results in a decrease of the signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$), since the signal per $\Delta r$ is proportional to the number of spins at that position interval, which is fixed. As a result, the signal per $\Delta r$ is smeared out over a larger frequency range $\Delta v$ at increasing $G$, resulting in a decrease in $S/N$.

The $S/N$ is defined by the magnetic field strength, $B_0$, the radius of the rf measuring coil (detector), $r$, and details of the experiment, including the measurement time (Homan et al. 2007):

$$S/N \sim (V/r) \times B_0^{7/4} \times (N_{av} \times N_{echo}/\Delta f)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Here $V$ is the pixel volume, and is defined by the number of pixels $N$ within the Field-of-View ($FOV$), the dimension (in e.g., cm) of the image. $N_{av}$ is the number of averages, $N_{echo}$ the number of echoes used to construct or calculate the image. $\Delta f$ is the spectral width, representing the frequency range over the given $FOV$. It is inversely related to the dwell time, the time between successive sampled data points. The dwell time times $N$ is the time needed to detect the signal, $T_{acq}$, and determines the minimal echo time $TE$. $\Delta f$ divided by the $FOV$ defines $G$. $T_{acq}$ on its turn is inversely proportional to $G$ during acquisition. The product of $G$ and $T_{acq}$ defines $\Delta r$.

A number of different approaches can be followed to increase the spatial resolution (minimal $V$) at a certain $S/N$, at the same time trying to avoid increasing the measurement time. The $S/N$ of a pixel in an NMR image depends on the amount of water in that pixel. This is the product of tissue water content, tissue density, and pixel volume: the larger the pixel, the lower the spatial resolution of the image, and the higher the $S/N$ of the pixel. In plant stems the thickness of the imaged slice, representing a cross-section of the stem, can be set to a much larger value than the in-plane resolution of the image, because of a large tissue symmetry along the plant stem direction.

Gain can easily be obtained by optimizing $r$ with respect to (part of) the object to be measured. The smaller the $r$, the smaller the pixel volume, and the best approach is to construct rf detector coils that closely fit the object (Scheenen et al. 2002; Windt et al. 2006). Real microscopy, therefore, is limited to small objects. However, small parts on even tall plants can be selected for MRI by the use of dedicated small rf coils, which can easily be build. In this way, e.g., anthers and seed pods, still attached on intact plants, can be imaged with high spatial resolution. An illustration of low field microscopy by the use of optimized hardware (small $r$) is presented in Fig. 4. At increasing object size $r$ has to increase and at the same time $N$ has to be increased if one would like to fix $V$. This will result in an increase of measurement time and a decrease in $S/N$.

![Amplitude, 1/T2 and T2 micro-images of leave petiole of geranium measured with a small dedicated rf coil (i.d. 3 mm) at 0.7 T (30 MHz). Parameters: $\Delta f$ 25 kHz, TE 6.6 ms, 128 $\times$ 128 matrix, FOV 5 (first row) en 4 mm (second row) (resolution 39 $\times$ 39 $\times$ 2500 and 31 $\times$ 31 $\times$ 2,500 $\mu$m$^3$, respectively), $N_{av}$ 6, TR 2.5 s, 32 min total acquisition time](image-url)
Next, one can use high \( B_0 \) values. However, for plant tissues with extra-cellular air spaces this results in increased susceptibility artifacts. These artifacts can be overcome by increasing \( \Delta T \) (and thus maximum \( G \)), which results in a decrease in \( S/N \). At higher \( B_0 \), the effective \( T_2 \) can be (much) shorter than at lower field strength (Donker et al. 1996), limiting the number of measurable echoes \( (N_{\text{echo}}) \), again resulting in lower \( S/N \). SIGNAL averaging over a number of scans also increases the \( S/N \), but immediately lengthens the total measurement time and thus reduces the temporal resolution strongly. It is clear that \( N \), directly determines both spatial and temporal resolution. In flow imaging a reduced image matrix (e.g. 64 \( \times \) 64 pixels) can be used to reduce temporal resolution, without losing essential flow information.

**Do we always need high spatial resolution?**

Resolution, relaxation, and quantification

Since, both a high spatial resolution and a high \( S/N \) per pixel are desirable, preferably within an acceptable measurement time, every experiment is a compromise between spatial resolution, \( S/N \) and measurement time. The main consideration in this compromise should be the question what information needs to be extracted from the experiment. This information needs to be acquired as accurate as possible within the available measurement time, which is the reason why a high spatial resolution is not always needed. In quantitative \( T_2 \) and proton density imaging and flow imaging, information can be retrieved from several parameters for every pixel, providing a kind of sub-pixel resolution (Norris 2001; Scheenen et al. 2002).

Quantitative \( T_2 \) imaging can even be severely hampered by a high spatial resolution. Movement of protons by self-diffusion in the time between the large read-out imaging gradients, needed for a high resolution, can attenuate the NMR signal (Edzes et al. 1998). Then, the NMR signal gradients, needed for a high resolution, can attenuate the diffusion in the time between the large read-out imaging by a high spatial resolution. Movement of protons by self-

Not only in quantitative \( T_2 \) imaging, but also in flow imaging experiments, high resolution is not always necessary. The acquisition of propagators enables discrimination between stationary and flowing water at pixel level (see above) (Scheenen et al. 2000b). Even if one or more xylem vessels are captured within one pixel, the signal of the flowing water can still be separated from stationary water. Further improvement for sub-pixel information can be obtained by combined flow-\( T_2 \) measurements (Windt et al. 2007). Then, another compromise has to be made between spatial resolution and the number of gradient steps encoding for flow. The choice depends on the question, what information is more important whether an exact localization of flow or an accurate flow profile?
Xylem vessels in cucumber plant stems can have diameters up to 350 μm (Scheenen et al. 2007), which can be localized much easier than xylem vessels in, e.g., a Chrysanthemum stem with diameters up to 50 μm (Nijsse et al. 2001). For large vessels, the amount of flowing water in a pixel is often also large, corresponding to a large integral of the flowing fraction in a pixel-propagator. In this case quantification of the propagators is accurate. With smaller vessels and a distribution of vessel diameters, the amount of flowing water within a pixel is small, resulting in less accurate flow quantification.

**Portable NMR and leaf water content**

For understanding water transport and transpiration, leaf hydraulic conductance is crucial. Almost all of the water flux to and within the leaf is lost by transpiration. Therefore, measurements of this flux will allow leaf transpiration to be mapped at either the plant or leaf level. To the best of our knowledge, to date no NMR or MRI flow measurements in leaves have been reported. However, the image of a leaf petiole in Fig. 4 indicates that flow measurements toward a single leaf becomes into reach.

Leaf water content and distribution of leaf water within cell compartments can be approached in a simpler way. In leaves, like all other tissues, multi-exponential $T_2$ analyses may yield valuable information with regard to leaf water status and water compartments. Non-imaging NMR has been shown to be able to measure changes in chloroplastic water content, in combination with measurements of photosynthesis activity (McCain 1995). Chloroplast volume regulation is a process by means of which chloroplasts import or export osmolytes to maintain a constant volume within a certain range of leaf water potential. Photosynthesis activity rates are directly coupled to changes in chloroplast volumes (Gupta and Berkowitz 1988; Santakumari and Berkowitz 1991). Such studies are especially of interest for plant performance studies under stress conditions in combination with flow imaging and imaging of water content in the storage tissues.

Very recently, a portable unilateral NMR device has been applied to study water content in leaves of intact plants (Capitani et al. 2009). Here, $T_2$ measurements at very short $TE$ have been used to overcome the effect on diffusion shortening of the $T_2$ due to the very strong background gradient in the unilateral magnet. Extending such measurements by two-dimensional correlation plots between $T_1-T_2$ or $D-T_2$ will greatly enhance the ability to discriminate different pools of water in sub-cellular compartments and reveals the time scale of exchange of water between the different compartments. This approach is very promising to study chloroplast volume regulation in plants under different (water limiting) conditions in relation to photosynthesis monitoring by PAM techniques.

**Outlook**

Although, MRI does not deliver a very high spatial resolution, it certainly delivers an abundant amount of information in addition to a reasonable spatial and temporal resolution. Part of this information is very difficult to measure or cannot be measured using other techniques. By the use of dedicated hardware as reported elsewhere (Homan et al. 2007; Van As 2007; Van As and Windt 2008), the xylem and phloem flow and its mutual interaction can be studied.

In addition to water, distribution and flow of nutrients such as sugars are key information to study plant performance. High field NMR and MRI for metabolite mapping and metabolite transport have been demonstrated (Köckenberger et al. 2004; Szimtenings et al. 2003). The combination of water and sugar balance and transport by MRI or NMR non-invasively in the intact plant situation will be the next step to realize.

Relatively cheap imaging set ups based on permanent magnet systems are now becoming available (Haishi et al. 2001; Rokitta et al. 2000). This will greatly stimulate the use of MRI for plant studies.

For NMR flow measurements to be applicable in situ (field situations) quantitative non-spatially resolved (non-imaging) measurements with specifically designed magnets have to be developed. Recently, great improvements in light-weight, portable magnet systems, and spectrometers have been made (Goodson 2006). This trend started with mobile single-sided equipment (Blümich et al. 2008), where a small magnet is placed on the surface of an arbitrarily large object and measures the NMR signal from a small spot close to the surface. This technique is very useful in plant research to study leaf water status (Capitani et al. 2009). A hinged magnet system has been presented, which opens and closes without noteworthy force and is therefore called the NMR-CUFF (Blümich et al. 2007). This versatile instrument weighs only 4 kg and can for instance be clamped around a tree, branch, or stem of a plant. Mobile equipment like the NMR-CUFF allows studies of plants or plant parts which cannot be investigated in vivo by stationary MRI scanners either because the plants are too big or have to be studied in the field.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.
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