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ABSTRACT

Objective: Pregnancy, birth and health complications, maternal mental health problems following preterm birth and their possible impact on early mother–infant interaction at 6 and 18 months corrected age (CA) were explored. Predictors of mother–infant interaction at 18 months CA were identified.

Design and methods: This prospective longitudinal and observational study included 33 preterm mother–infant (<33 gestational age (GA)) interactions at 6 and 18 months CA from a socioeconomic low-risk, middle-class sample. The Parent–Child Early Relational Assessment (PCERA) scale was used to assess the mother–infant interaction.

Results: ‘Bleeding in pregnancy’ predicted lower quality in preterm mother–infant interaction in 6 PCERA scales, while high ‘maternal trait anxiety’ predicted higher interactional quality in 2 PCERA scales and ‘family size’ predicted lower interactional quality in 1 PCERA scale at 18 months CA. Mothers with symptoms of post-traumatic stress reactions, general psychological distress and anxiety at 2 weeks postpartum (PP) showed significantly better outcome than mothers without symptoms in 6 PCERA subscales at 6 months CA and 2 PCERA subscales at 18 months CA.

Conclusions: Our study detected a correspondence between early pregnancy complications and lower quality of preterm mother–infant interaction, and an association between high levels of maternal mental health problems and better quality in preterm mother–infant interaction.

INTRODUCTION

Early parent–infant relationships that are well functioning seem to be critical to preterm children. Preterm children face severe risk of cognitive, emotional and behavioural disorders, and show more psychiatric symptoms in adolescence. Early parent–infant relationships that are well functioning seem to prevent and restore some of these difficulties in preterm children. Good quality of mother–child interactions have been reported to facilitate the infant’s emotional, behavioural, cognitive and neuro-behavioral development. The interactional quality is also found to be related to the child’s physical health. Compared with infants born at term, the preterm infants are likely to show vague signals that are difficult to read and respond to and are in need of extended care and support to gain self-regulation. These traits, as well as parent’s psychiatric disease, are known as risk factors for good-quality parent–infant interactions. Research detected significant higher mental health problems following preterm birth than term birth.

The maternal stress reaction following preterm birth has been highlighted as a risk factor in preterm dyads in several studies. Postpartum (PP) depression has been identified as a predictor of interactional difficulties in term dyads and reported as a risk factor for difficulties in preterm mother–infant dyads. Only a limited number of studies, however, have explored the nature of mothers’ mental health problems following preterm birth with psychometric tools, and
their impact on mother–infant interaction. Several studies, however, have explored the post-term effect on maternal mental health. Blom et al. interestingly reported that certain perinatal complications such as pre-eclampsia, hospitalisation, emergency caesarean and fetal distress predicted higher depression outcomes in a normal population sample. An unaddressed trauma reaction is known to predispose for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) with long-term effects on mothers’ mental health. The effect of mothers’ PP PTSD on mother–infant interaction and infant’s development is less explored. In addition, only a limited number of studies have explored the maternal post-traumatic stress responses (PTSR) following preterm birth and their impact on mother–infant interactions.

The results from preterm mother–infant interaction research are contradictory. Different measurements and definitions being used, as well as the complexity of mother–infant interactional studies, may have contributed to these differences. Some studies have reported that preterm mothers showed more stimulating behaviour and were emotionally withdrawn, as well as non-synchronous in their interaction with the child, and others have found no interactional differences between preterm and full-term dyads. Korja et al. detected that caregivers’ physical closeness with the infant was more important to interactional quality than infants’ prematurity. Finally, it is interesting that several studies have shown that mothers are intensifying their efforts to be available and supportive to their premature babies’ needs. Preterm birth is reported to influence the interaction between mother and infant. The knowledge of risk factors that may affect preterm mother–infant interaction is limited. The need for further exploration of the complexity of factors influencing the early mother-infant relationship long-term in preterm dyads seems essential.

The first aim of our longitudinal study was to explore the associations between maternal mental health problems following preterm birth, pregnancy and birth complications and early preterm mother–infant interaction at 6 months corrected age (CA) (T2) and 18 months CA (T3). The second aim of the study was to identify predictors of early mother–infant interaction quality at T2 and T3.

To the best of our knowledge, these associations have not been explored in other studies. Psychometric tools and tentative psychiatric diagnosis (anxiety, depression and PTSD) were used to assess maternal mental health problems following preterm birth.

It should be noted that this paper is an extension of our previous studies of short-term and long-term maternal mental health problems following preterm birth.

**METHOD**

**Participants**

Data were collected in two periods: June 2005–January 2006 and October 2007–July 2008. The psychological responses of 29 consecutive mothers of 35 premature children born before 33rd week of pregnancy were assessed at a highly specialised neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) at Oslo University Hospital, Norway. Mothers of severely ill babies that the medical staff estimated to have poor chance of survival, and non-Norwegian speakers were not included. The participants stayed in NICU until they were discharged home. The parents could participate in the caring of the infant during hospitalisation. The data collection was performed as soon as the mothers were able to attend an interview following preterm childbirth: within 2 weeks PP (T0), median 11 days (4–30); within 2 weeks after hospitalisation (T1), median time PP 2.7 months (0.2–4.7); at the infant’s 6 months CA (T2), median time PP 8.5 months (7.6–10.4); and at the infant’s 18 months CA (T3), median time following birth 20.6 months (19.2–23.4). Data of mother–infant interaction were collected only at T2 and T3. Mothers of severely ill babies with uncertain survival and non-native speakers were not included (figure 1).

Semistructured interviews and standardised mental health screening questionnaires were used to collect data about sociodemographics, the pregnancy and birth, child’s health status and mothers’ mental health problems. Medical charts were used to double-check the data of child’s health status, and pregnancy and birth. The studied sample was homogeneous regarding high scores on sociodemographic variables such as education, income and housing standard. Most mothers were giving birth late in their 20s or early 30s. All lived with the child’s father and none reported any relationship problems (table 1). The participating mothers’ mental health problems following preterm birth have been assessed at T0, T1, T2 and T3, and methods and results are described in detail in our earlier papers. Maternal mental health problems were assessed at every time points (T0, T1, T2, T3) by standardised psychometric methods with well-established reliability and validity: Impact of Event Scale (IES) 15-item version, one of the key psychometric assessment methods in traumatic stress research, the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) 30-item version, a widely used screening instrument for assessing the presence of distress, psychopathology and overall well-being, and the State/Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-X1/X2) is widely used to assess maternal anxiety. STAI-X1 measures state anxiety levels reflecting subjective feelings of tension, apprehension, nervousness and worry. STAI-X2 is a measure of trait anxiety that refers to individual differences in anxiety proneness, that is, in the tendency to see the world as dangerous and threatening, and the frequency with which anxiety states are experienced. Score above the threshold for identifying a psychiatric case is referred to as a case score.

On the basis of all the information available in a clinical perusal of the psychometric self-report IES, GHQ and STAI of each of the 29 preterm mothers, and blinded to the physical and sociodemographic
characteristics of the mothers and their children, a tentative clinical diagnosis was made where appropriate based on the clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines in the International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition (ICD-10) classification of mental and behavioural disorders, and was assessed by a psychiatrist (last author).

A prevalence of maternal PTSR/PTSD 2 weeks PP (T0) of 52% and maternal PTSD at 18 months CA (T3) of 23% was revealed. On the other hand, depression was detected among 24% of the mothers 2 weeks PP and among 8% of the mothers at T3. Anxiety decreased from 7% at 2 weeks PP to 0 at T3.

Fourteen of the mothers received psychological support at the hospital during their infants’ NICU stay. Eleven of these mothers were referred for further psychological treatment after discharge from the hospital.
Altogether, 20 mothers that met the criteria of clinical diagnoses were referred for adequate psychological treatments such as psychotherapy, psychopharmacology treatment and mother–infant interactional treatment. Five of the mothers refused the referral for psychological treatment, and only one of them filled the criteria for a tentative clinical diagnosis (PTSD/depression) at T3. The prevalence of pregnancy and birth complications and maternal mental health problems following preterm birth are reported in earlier papers.44 45

Data collected at 2 weeks PP (T0) about sociodemographics, physical complications and maternal mental health problems following preterm birth are presented in table 1.

| Table 1 | Sociodemographic, physical characteristics and mental health variables in mothers giving preterm birth and their children at 2 weeks postpartum (T0) |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mothers | N=29                                                                 |
| Age; mean (SD) | 33.7 (4.3) |
| Education >12 years; n (%) | 26 (89.7) |
| Single parent; n (%) | 0 (0) |
| Unemployed; n (%) | 4 (13.8) |
| Previous psychological treatment; n (%) | 8 (27.6) |
| Chronic illness; n (%) | 2 (6.9) |
| Total number of children; median (quartile low, upper) | 2 (1.2) |
| Previous pregnancies; median (quartile low, upper) | 1 (0.2) |
| Previous childbirths; median (quartile low, upper) | 0 (0.1) |
| First-time mothers; n (%) | 18 (62.1) |
| IVF* pregnancy; n (%) | 8 (27.6) |
| Bleeding in pregnancy; n (%) | 19 (65.5) |
| Pre-eclampsia; n (%) | 4 (14.3) |
| Caesarean; n (%) | 17 (58.6) |
| Mental health variables† | |
| IES total (0–75); median (quartile low, upper) | 18 (11.30) |
| IES case score >18; n (%) | 13 (44.8) |
| GHQ Likert score (0–90); n (%) | 40 (15.4) |
| GHQ case (0–30); n (%) | 12.8 (7.5) |
| GHQ case score >5; n (%) | 23 (79.3) |
| STAI-X1 (0–40); mean (SD) | 21.5 (2.8) |
| STAI-X1 case score >19; n (%) | 20 (69) |
| STAI-X2 (0–80); mean (SD) | 44.0 (4) |
| STAI-X2 case score >39; n (%) | 27 (93.1) |
| PTSR tentative clinical diagnosis; n (%) | 15 (51.7) |
| Children | n=35 |
| Girl; n (%) | 17 (48.6) |
| Boy; n (%) | 18 (51.4) |
| Twin†; n (%) | 14 (40) |
| Gestational age (weeks) | |
| Median (range) | 29 (24–32) |
| Mean (SD) | 28.5 (2.6) |
| Birth weight (g) | |
| Median (range) | 1185 (623–2030) |
| Mean (SD) | 1222 (423) |
| Apgar score at 1 min; mean (SD) | 6.3 (2.3) |
| Apgar score at 5 min; mean (SD) | 7.6 (2.0) |
| Apgar score at 10 min; mean (SD) | 8.3 (1.0) |
| Oxygen supply>28 days; n (%) | 19 (54) |
| IVH§; n (%) | 7 (20.0) |

*In vitro fertilisation (IVF)/assisted fertilisation.
†The Impact of Event Scale (IES) 15-item version with scoring range from 0 (not at all) to 5 (very much), the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) 30-item Likert scores with weights 0–1–2–3 and case scores with weights 0–0–1–1, the Spielberger State/Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-X1/X2) 10-item/20-item version with scoring range 1–2–3–4. The STAI-X1 10-item version was constructed since STAI-X1 12-item and 20-item versions had been used and only 10 items overlapped. Tentative clinical diagnosis: post-traumatic stress responses (PTSR).
‡Two of the children registered as twins were raised as singletons because their twin sibling were stillborn.
§Intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) grade 1–4 following birth.

Measures
Mother–infant interaction in a free-play situation was video recorded at 6 and 18 months CA. According to Clark (1985), by 6 months of age, dyadic mutuality and dyadic regulatory processes are perceptible, while at
18 months, the infant’s own communication skills are easier to identify in interaction. The interaction situation was recorded in a consultation room at the psychiatric clinic for children and families situated in the same building as the hospital the children were born in, except for four video recordings at 18 months CA that were recorded in their homes. The recordings were performed when child was awakened and interested in a play session with mother. Recordings were stopped and performed later when child became too distressed or sleepy to play. A therapist (first author) instructed the mothers about the procedure. For the video recording, the mother and the infant were placed on a soft mat with age-appropriate toys. Instructions given by the investigators to the mother prior to the video recording were: ‘This is a free-play time with your child. You can use the toys, or you can play without the toys. Try to play or be with your infant as you usually would be’. Video recordings were obtained from all mother–infant pairs.

The recordings were 5 min long. The mother–infant interaction in the free-play situation was analysed using the Parent–Child Early Relational Assessment (PCERA) method. The PCERA is developed to assess affective and behavioural aspects that the parent and infant bring into the interaction, and the validity of the free-play situation has been established. Video recordings allow thorough analysis of the interactions several times in short and slow sections that can identify areas of strength and of concern in the mother–infant interplay. The PCERA consists of 29 parental items, 28 infant items and 8 dyadic items. The assessment rates the frequency, duration and intensity of the infant’s, parent’s and dyad’s behaviour. The parental items comprise the parent’s positive and negative affect, expressed attitude towards the infant, sensitivity and contingent response to the infant’s cues, mirroring the infant’s feeling, capacity to structure, behavioural involvement and parental style. The infant items comprise expressed positive and negative affect and characteristic mood, behaviour/adaptive abilities, activity level and communication skills. The dyadic items comprise affective quality of interaction and mutuality of interaction.

All items were rated on a five-point ‘Likert’ scale. The scores 1 and 2 describe an area of concern, the score 3 describes an area of some concern and the scores 4 and 5 describe an area of strength. A trained coder made the assessment. The coder was unaware of the infant’s background and clinical status. Additionally, to estimate the inter-rater reliability from the study data, 20% of all assessments were double-scored by another trained coder. The study data were assessed by calculating the mean of the agreement percentage of the raters’ overall agreement. Agreement equal or above 80% is considered good, 50–80% is considered moderate and lower than 50% is considered poor. The mean of inter-rater agreements was 80.3% in 6 months CA and 81% in 18 months CA.

The PCERA items were organised into eight scales according to Clark, before the data analysis: ‘maternal positive affective involvement PS1’, ‘maternal negative affect and behaviour PS2’, ‘maternal intrusiveness PS3’, ‘infant positive affect PS4’, ‘infant quality of play PS5’, ‘infant dysregulation PS6’, ‘dyadic mutuality and reciprocity PS7’ and ‘dyadic disorganization and tension PS8’. PCERA high scores (4–5) always indicate positive affect and behaviour or lack of negative affect and behaviour. Cronbach’s α coefficients for calculating the internal consistency of PCERA subscales in our study were satisfactory and were 0.95, 0.86, 0.86, 0.90, 0.87, 0.85, 0.80, 0.86 for PS1–PS8 at 6 months CA (T2), and 0.89, 0.81, 0.82, 0.92, 0.89, 0.75, 0.73, 0.79 for PS1–PS8 at 18 months CA (T3).

The PCERA scale has been used in several studies to explore the quality of preterm mother–infant interactions.
Ethics

Written informed consent was obtained from participants prior to the study start. The study protocol was approved in 5 May 2005 and 19 April 2007 by the National Committee for Research Ethics (S-05068 and S-07096b) and 1 April 2005 and 12 March 2007 by the Data Inspectorate (12360 and 07/1088). The study protocol was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

For ethical reasons, the mothers that reported mental health problems and had case scores above the threshold for identifying psychiatric symptoms in IES, GHQ and STAI were assessed and referred for adequate psychological treatment. In this study, we looked for mental health problems in mothers at four points from the time of birth to 2 years PP. A no referral procedure could have caused unnecessary suffering for mothers and children.

Figure 2  (A) Group differences in mother–infant interaction quality at 6 (T2) and 18 (T3) months corrected age for mothers with and without psychometric case scores above threshold for identifying psychiatric case at 2 weeks postpartum. The mother–infant interaction quality was measured by mean scores in the PCERA subscale; PS1–PS4. (B) Group differences in mother–infant interaction quality at 6 (T2) and 18 (T3) months corrected age for mothers with and without psychometric case scores above threshold for identifying psychiatric case at 2 weeks postpartum. The mother–infant interaction quality was measured by mean scores in the PCERA subscale; PS5–PS8. (GHQ, general health questionnaire; IES, impact of event scale; PTSR, post-traumatic stress responses; STAI, state/trait anxiety inventory).
RESULTS

Associations between maternal mental health problems following preterm birth, pregnancy and birth complications and early preterm mother–infant interaction at 6 months CA (T2) and 18 months CA (T3)

The paired-sample t test of the results of the PCERA showed no significant difference for the PCERA mean scores from 6 months to 18 months corrected age (CA). For that reason, the presented results from correlation and regression analysis are based only on the mean scores from 18 months CA. The results of the PCERA revealed mean scores in three of the scales in the area of moderate concern and five scales in the area of no concern. The results of the PCERA are presented in Table 2.

Group differences in mother–infant interaction quality at T2 and T3 for mothers with and without psychometric case scores at T0, T1, T2 and T3

The results of the independent-sample t test showed significant group differences at T2 and T3 between the mothers with case scores above the threshold for identifying a psychiatric case compared to mothers without case scores; in IES that measure symptoms of post-traumatic stress following preterm childbirth, in GHQ which measure symptoms of general psychological distress, and in tentative PTSR diagnosis at T0 (figure 2A, B). Mothers identified with case scores of GHQ at T3 also showed significant better results at the 0.05 level (two-tailed)* in two PCERA scales at T3; PS7 dyadic mutuality and reciprocity (N0/N1=24/8, mean 3.1/3.7*), and PS8 dyadic

---

Scores 1-2=Area of concern, Score 3=Area of some concern, Scores 4-5=Area of strength

The number of mothers with [without] clinical case scores in IES (Impact of event scale), GHQ (General Health Questionnaire), STAI (State/traits anxiety inventory) and tentative PTSR (Post-traumatic stress responses) diagnosis at T0 is reported in the diagram next to the psychometric methods.

*Significant difference at the .05 level (2-tailed).

**Significant difference at the .01 level (2-tailed).

***Significant difference at the .001 level (2-tailed).
### Table 2  Pearson correlation coefficients at T3 (18 months corrected age) between the PCERA scales, the maternal psychometric means and the individual characteristics of the mothers and infants (n=32)

|                      | PCERA PS1 Maternal positive affective involvement | PCERA PS2 Maternal negative affect and behaviour | PCERA PS3 Maternal intrusiveness, insensitivity and inconsistency | PCERA PS4 Infant positive affect, communicative and social skills | PCERA PS5 Infant quality of play, interest and attention | PCERA PS6 Infant dysregulation and irritability | PCERA PS7 Dyadic mutuality and reciprocity | PCERA PS8 Dyadic disorganisation and tension |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| GHQ Likert sum score | 0.39*                                           | 0.37*                                           | 0.35*                                           | 0.16                                            | 0.12                                            | 0.05                                            | 0.30                                            | 0.28                                            |
| GHQ case sum score   | 0.36*                                           | 0.36*                                           | 0.06                                            | 0.12                                            | 0.07                                            | 0.17                                            | 0.26                                            | 0.31                                            |
| GHQ case >5          | 0.26                                            | 0.40*                                           | 0.30                                            | 0.33                                            | 0.29                                            | 0.21                                            | 0.41*                                           | 0.43*                                           |
| STAI-X2 sum score    | 0.46†                                           | 0.21                                            | 0.39*                                           | 0.09                                            | -0.03                                           | 0.08                                            | 0.25                                            | 0.19                                            |
| STAI-X2 case >39     | 0.48*                                           | 0.36*                                           | 0.57†                                           | 0.19                                            | 0.06                                            | 0.07                                            | 0.32                                            | 0.32                                            |
| Parity               | 0.07                                            | 0.18                                            | 0.39*                                           | 0.24                                            | 0.10                                            | 0.01                                            | 0.31                                            | 0.33                                            |
| Apgar at 5 min       | -0.21                                           | -0.28                                           | -0.35†                                          | -0.20                                           | -0.23                                           | -0.15                                           | -0.30                                           | -0.35                                           |
| Bleeding in pregnancy| -0.22                                           | -0.45†                                          | -0.56†                                          | -0.53†                                          | -0.55†                                          | -0.33                                           | -0.46†                                          | -0.52†                                          |
| Family size§         | -0.09                                           | -0.12                                           | 0.07                                            | -0.02                                           | -0.16                                           | -0.45†                                          | 0.01                                            | 0.00                                            |
| Acute caesarean      | 0.14                                            | 0.14                                            | 0.13                                            | 0.01                                            | 0.03                                            | 0.39*                                           | 0.07                                            | 0.22                                            |
| Mean/range¶          | (3.8–2.5)                                       | (4.6–1.6)                                       | (4.2–2.0)                                       | (3.2–3.0)                                       | (4.0–2.2)                                       | (4.5–1.3)                                       | (3.3–2.8)                                       | (4.0–2.0)                                       |

Mean values, range scores and minimum and maximum scores for PCERA subscales are reported in the last row of this table.

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).
†Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).
‡CH-grade 3 refers to cerebral haemorrhage grade 1 or 2 closely after birth.
§Family size refers to the number of children in the family.
¶Rates 1 and 2 in PCERA subscale; ‘area of concern’. Rate 3 in PCERA subscale; ‘area of moderate concern’. Rates 4 and 5 in PCERA subscale; ‘area of no concern’.
CH, cerebral haemorrhage; GHQ, general health questionnaire; PCERA, parent–child early relational assessment; STAI, state/trait anxiety inventory.
disorganisation and tension (N0/N1=24/8, mean 3.9/4.4*). Unexpectedly, the mothers with case scores (1=yes) at T0 showed significantly better quality in mother–infant interaction in six (T2) and two (T3) sub-scales of the PCERA than mothers with no (0=no) case scores (figure 2A, B). Tentative depression or anxiety diagnosis (0=no, 1=yes) as a grouping variable showed no significant group differences regarding the quality of the mother–infant interaction.

**Associations between maternal mental health problems and mother–infant interactional quality at T3**

In the bivariate correlation analyses, we revealed a positive correlation between better interactional quality measured by PCERA and higher levels of maternal mental health problems measured by psychometric means at T3. High levels of maternal psychological distress measured by GHQ Likert and case scores correlated with high levels of PCERA scores in three scales, and maternal psychological distress measured by GHQ case sum scores correlated with two scales. High levels of maternal trait anxiety measured by STAI-X2 sum scores were associated with high levels of PCERA scores in two scales, and maternal trait anxiety measured by STAI-X2 case scores correlated with three scales (table 2).

**Associations between pregnancy/birth complications, maternal variables and mother–infant interactional quality at T3**

The strongest correlations were inverse and found between a pregnancy complication ‘bleeding in pregnancy’ and the following six PCERA scales: maternal negative affect and behaviour (PS2), maternal intrusiveness, intensity and inconsistency (PS3), infant positive affect, communicative and social skills (PS4), infant quality of play, interest and attention (PS5), dyadic mutuality and reciprocity (PS7) and dyadic disorganization and tension (PS8).

Two infant complications following birth were correlated with two of the PCERA scales. Infant health scores at the infant’s ‘Apgar scores at 5 min postpartum’ correlated positively with the ‘infant quality of play, interest and attention (PS5)’ scale. Infant stroke by ‘intraventricular haemorrhage grade 1 or 2 (IVH)’ closely following birth correlated inversely with the ‘maternal negative affect and behaviour (PS2)’ scale (table 2).

Three maternal variables correlated with two of the PCERA scales. Parity (number of previous births with child survival) and the scale ‘maternal intrusiveness, intensity and inconsistency (PS3)’ were positively correlated. Family size (number of children in the family) and the ‘infant dysregulation and irritability (PS6)’ scale inversely correlated. ‘Acute caesarean’ correlated positively with high scores on the same scale.

Associations between exposure variables, for example, in vitro fertilisation (IVF) treatment, prenatal and postnatal maternal health problems and pregnancy and birth/postnatal complications, were not significant.

**Predictors of mother–infant interactional quality at T3**

In the multivariable linear regression analyses, we revealed that the pregnancy complication variable ‘bleeding in pregnancy’ was a significant predictor (p<0.01) of lower scores in preterm mother–infant interaction in six of the PCERA domains, including both of the dyadic scales (table 3). Trait anxiety (STAI-X2) case and sum score are significant predictors for higher quality in preterm mother–infant interaction in the ‘maternal positive affect domain (PS1)’ (p<0.01) and in the ‘maternal intrusiveness domain (PS3)’ (p<0.05), consecutively. Higher ‘number of children in the family’ predicts lower interaction quality in the ‘infant dysregulation and irritability (PS6)’ scale (p<0.05). Adjusted R² showed that the predictors explain from 17% to 39% of the variance in different PCERA scales.

**DISCUSSION**

The study revealed significant associations between pregnancy and birth complications, maternal PP mental health and the quality of preterm mother–infant interaction. The most surprising results in our study were the detection of (1) ‘bleeding in pregnancy’ as a predictor of lower quality in preterm mother–infant interaction in six of eight PCERA scales and (2) the association between high levels of maternal mental health problems and better mother–infant interactional quality.

The predictor ‘bleeding in pregnancy’ is interesting. No other study has, to the best of our knowledge, revealed a physical complication in pregnancy that predicts a possible weaker quality of the mother–infant interaction following preterm birth. With reference to our previous papers, ‘bleeding in pregnancy’, was detected as a predictor of low levels in maternal mental health symptoms, while a sudden complication later in pregnancy ‘pre-eclampsia’, predicted high levels of maternal mental health problems following preterm birth.44 45 As ‘bleeding in pregnancy’ usually occurs before 22 weeks of pregnancy, it may be experienced as an early warning sign for possible pregnancy complications by the mother. A possible hypothesis may be that early complications in pregnancy and complications late in pregnancy influence the mother differently in the way she relates to her unborn child and how her transition into motherhood alternates. Early bleeding in pregnancy could leave the mother-to-be with the impression that her child is at risk and when preterm birth becomes reality, it may confirm her fear of loss. Given such an enduring threatening impression, the mother can be expected to be anxious and alert, preventing her from relaxing and taking pleasure in the mother–infant interaction, and rather initiating negative affect and intrusiveness which may also entail dyadic disorganization and tension.

On the other hand, the mother without prior early warning signs is likely to have been quite ‘undisturbed’ in her transition process to prepare for motherhood.
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maternal mental health problems following preterm

birth were significantly associated with better quality in

preterm mother–infant interaction. In regression ana-

lysis, we found that high levels of maternal anxiety mea-

sured by STAI-X2 predicted better mother–infant interaction in two PCERA scales at T3. In our previous

studies, we found an association between STAI-X2 and

general psychological distress outcomes following preterm birth, and another study revealed an associ-

ation between STAI-X2 and post-traumatic stress out-

comes following term birth. With reference to these

studies, we may suggest that there is a significant associ-

ation between high levels of mental health problems follow-

ing preterm birth and better quality in two PCERA scales at T3. In the independent-sample t test, we also

found that mothers with symptoms of post-traumatic stress, general psychological distress and anxiety at 2 weeks PP (T0) showed significantly better quality in

mother–infant interaction on six PCERA scales at 6 months (T2) and on two PCERA scales at 18 months corrected age (T3).

Table 3  Significant results of the multivariable regression analyses with the selected independent variables to predict mother–child interaction following preterm birth at T3 (18 months corrected age)

| PCERA subscales                          | Predicting variable          | B      | CI                  | β       | p Value       |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|---------------------|---------|--------------|
| **PS1 (maternal positive affective involve**  ment) | STAI-X2 case                | 0.53   | (0.17 to 0.89)      | 0.48    | 0.005        |
| **PS2 (maternal negative affect and behaviour)** | Bleeding in pregnancy       | −0.21  | (−0.37 to −0.06)    | −0.45   | 0.009        |
| **PS3 (maternal intrusiveness)**         | STAI-X2 sum score           | −0.29  | 0.03 (−0.45 to −0.13) | −0.53   | 0.001        |
| **PS4 (infant positive affect)**         | Bleeding in pregnancy       | −0.43  | (−0.68 to −0.17)    | −0.53   | 0.002        |
| **PS5 (infant quality of play)**         | Bleeding in pregnancy       | −0.35  | (−0.56 to −0.15)    | −0.55   | 0.001        |
| **PS6 (infant dysregulation)**           | Family size                 | −0.24  | (−0.42 to −0.06)    | −0.45   | 0.011        |
| **PS7 (dyadic mutuality and reciprocity)** | Bleeding in pregnancy       | −0.33  | (−0.56 to −0.09)    | −0.33   | 0.008        |
| **PS8 (dyadic disorganisation and tension)** | Bleeding in pregnancy       | −0.32  | (−0.51 to −0.12)    | −0.52   | 0.002        |

Unstandardised (B) and standardised (β) regression coefficients (n=32).

PCERA subscales were used as dependent variables (at most, three variables with strongest bivariate association above 0.3 were used as independent variables).

PS1 (STAI-X2 case, STAI-X2 sum score, GHQ Likert sum score), PS2 (bleeding in pregnancy, GHQ case, GHQ Likert sum score), PS3 (STAI-X2 case, bleeding in pregnancy, parity/STAI-X2 sum score), PS4 (bleeding in pregnancy), PS5 (bleeding in pregnancy, Apgar at 5 min), PS6 (family size, acute caesarean), PS7 (bleeding in pregnancy, GHQ case) and PS8 (bleeding in pregnancy, GHQ case).

PCERA, parent–child early relational assessment; STAI, state/trait anxiety inventory.
However, other studies that have explored maternal mental health problems following preterm birth and preterm mother–child interactions have reported results that contradict with our findings.42 50 81 92 Studies that have used the PCERA scale in studying preterm dyads, however, did not find depression to be a significant predictor of PCERA outcome at 6, 12, or 16 months CA.31 79 The sociodemographic variable ‘family size’ predicted low interactional quality in one of the PCERA scales. In our study, the mothers with preterm babies that had siblings showed significantly lower scores in the ‘infant dysregulation scale (PS6)’ in the PCERA. Our result is supported by two studies in the 1970s and 1980s that found the preterm infant’s birth order to affect the mother–child interaction.93 94 An explanation for their results was the mother’s divided attention between the infant and other siblings.

Strength/limitations

The results from a small and explorative study have low statistical power and low external validity,42 and one should be cautious about generalising from the study. In most studies, there is also a possibility that confounding variables are not included in the analysis that should have been. In our study, such factors could have been assessment of mothers’ attachment patterns, the effect of psychological assessment and referral procedure and an assessment of the comforting conditions for mother–infant interactions in the hospital. However, our study results may still be of value for future research that will systematically explore the complexity of factors influencing early preterm mother–infant interaction in a broader sense.

The participants in this study were included consecutively and both single and multiple births were included, thus minimising selection bias. Our sample was homogeneous in socioeconomic background (SES). However, the mothers had higher educational attainments, greater age and higher socioeconomic status, higher number of twins and higher rate of previous psychological treatment than would be found in a typical population of mothers who deliver preterm in our country. In this sample, we found no indication of significant differences in parental challenges between participants with singletons or twins that needed to be controlled for. Besides high prevalence of earlier psychological treatment among the mothers in our sample, no other collected data indicated that mental distress had impact on their psychosocial function in everyday life before the actual preterm birth incident. Regarding research on quality of the preterm mother–infant interaction, it has been considered that risk factors other than preterm birth itself have been sources of bias in many studies.29 88 96 In our study, we have controlled for high-risk socioeconomic backgrounds.

It is a limitation that the video procedure of PCERA could not be followed for the four dyads that were recorded in their homes and not in the clinic, as were the others. On the other hand, these dyads would not have participated if the recordings were not made in their homes. It is a limitation that inter-rater reliability was not checked for tentative clinical diagnosis. The prediction model used in this study needs further validation by future studies.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study detected a correspondence between early pregnancy complications and lower quality of preterm mother–infant interaction, and an association between high levels of maternal mental health problems and better quality in preterm mother–infant interaction.

Early intervention programmes should be considered for mothers who give preterm birth to support mother–infant interaction.
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