Germinal center reactions in tertiary lymphoid structures associate with neoantigen burden, humoral immunity and long-term survivorship in pancreatic cancer
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**ABSTRACT**

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) has traditionally been thought of as an immunologically quiescent tumor type presumably because of a relatively low tumor mutational burden (TMB) and poor responses to checkpoint blockade therapy. However, many PDAC tumors exhibit T cell inflamed phenotypes. The presence of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) has recently been shown to be predictive of checkpoint blockade response in melanomas and sarcomas, and is prognostic for survival in PDAC. In order to more comprehensively understand tumor immunity in PDAC patients with TLS, we performed RNA-seq, single and multiplex IHC, flow cytometry and predictive genomic analysis on treatment naive, PDAC surgical specimens. Forty-six percent of tumors contained distinct T and B cell aggregates reflective of “early-stage TLS” (ES-TLS), which correlated with longer overall and progression-free survival. These tumors had greater CD8+ T cell infiltration but were not defined by previously published TLS gene-expression signatures. ES-TLS tumors were enriched for IgG1 class-switched memory B cells and memory CD4+ T cells, suggesting durable immunological memory persisted in these patients. We also observed the presence of active germinal centers (mature-TLS) in 31% of tumors with lymphocyte clusters, whose patients had long-term survival (median 56 months). M-TLS-positive tumors had equivalent overall T cell infiltration to ES-TLS, but were enriched for activated CD4+ memory cells, naive B cells and NK cells. Finally, using a TCGA-PDAC dataset, ES-TLS tumors harbored a decreased TMB, but M-TLS with germinal centers expressed significantly more MHC1-restricted neoantigens as determined by an *in silico* neoantigen prediction method. Interestingly, M-TLS tumors also had evidence of increased rates of B cell somatic hypermutation, suggesting that germinal centers form in the presence of high-quality tumor neoantigens leading to increased humoral immunity that confers improved survival for PDAC patients.

**Abbreviations**TLS: tertiary lymphoid structures; GC: germinal center(s); PDAC: pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; RNA-seq: RNA sequencing; BCSeq: B cell receptor sequencing; HEV: high endothelial venule; PNAd: peripheral node addressin; TMB: tumor mutational burden; TCGA: the cancer genome atlas; PAAD: pancreatic adenocarcinoma; FFPE: formalin fixed paraffin embedded; TIME: tumor immune microenvironment.

Background

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is an aggressive cancer with a high mortality rate due largely to lack of early detection and poor response to cytotoxic therapy. While many other cancer types have benefited from immune checkpoint blockade (ICB), PDAC remains largely resistant to these interventional strategies. One hypothesis for the failure of ICB in PDAC is that it is a poorly immunogenic tumor characterized by a lack of T cell mediated inflammation. However, recent studies have challenged this hypothesis demonstrating that in some PDAC patients, there is a significant intratumoral cytotoxic T cell infiltration and a high Tumor Inflammation Signature. Further, patients with greater T cell infiltrate have improved survival, suggesting that T cell immunity is active in some PDAC patients. Tumor mutational burden (TMB) is prognostic for response to ICB in lung cancer and melanoma, whereas in PDAC, the neoantigen “quality,” defined as the molecular homology to microbial pathogens, is predictive of survival, not the quantity of mutations as defined in TMB. Together, these data suggest T cell responses in PDAC tumors exist but may be limited by unique microenvironments in the pancreas, warranting further investigation. One distinctive tumor immune microenvironmental (TIME) feature present in some patients’ tumors are tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS). TLS are lymphocyte aggregates with varying levels of organization reminiscent of lymph...
node follicles. TLS are located in peripheral tissues, often as the result of infection, autoimmunity, or chronic inflammation.\textsuperscript{11} 

*Bona fide*, mature TLS (M-TLS) contains: lymphocyte clusters containing distinct yet adjacent T- and B cell zones, peripheral node addressin-positive (PNAd\textsuperscript{+}) high endothelial venules, activated dendritic cells present within the T cell zone, expression of the chemokines CCL19, CCL21, CXCL13 and often evidence of B cell class switching, plasma cell differentiation and germinal center (GC) reactions in the B cell follicle.\textsuperscript{12-14} This contrasts with early-TLS (E-TLS) that primarily consist of T and B cells without this higher order architecture. Previous publications propose a timeline for TLS development in which E-TLS precede M-TLS.\textsuperscript{12,13} TLS can develop in tumors and correlate with improved disease-free and overall survival in many cancers, including PDAC.\textsuperscript{14-16} The presence of TLS predicts ICB response in melanoma, sarcoma, and urothelial cancer, and ICB treatment can increase TLS density.\textsuperscript{17-20} In non-small cell lung cancers, further stratification of survival is seen with GC formation in TLS compared to TLS\textsuperscript{+} tumors without GC.\textsuperscript{12} Consistent with this, TLS with significant plasma cell infiltration correlated with improved CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cell infiltration and overall survival in ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{13} Together these data indicate TLS contribute to anti-tumor immunity, however, their functional roles have yet to be determined. Furthermore, it remains unknown whether TLS are formed as a consequence of immunogenic antigen expression or as the byproduct of chronic inflammation. In this study, we characterize the cellular and molecular differences of PDAC tumors with and without TLS in order to address these questions.

**Materials and methods**

### Study cohort

Patients providing for consent for this study were recruited under study protocol PHS IRB #06-108. Demographic data for patients recruited at Providence are indicated below.

| Characteristic       | IHC/mIF | RNAseq |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Male – no. (%)       | 37 (58.7) | 25 (64.1) |
| Female – no. (%)     | 26 (41.3) | 14 (35.9) |
| Age – yr.            | 66±7.8 | 68±5.9 |
| % Caucasian          | 90.4 | 97.4 |
| Stage I – no. (%)    | 12 (19.0) | 4 (10.3) |
| Stage II – no. (%)   | 32 (50.8) | 35 (89.7) |
| Stage III – no. (%)  | 19 (30.2) | 0 (0) |
| R0 resection – no. (%) | 54 (85.7) | 33 (84.6) |
| R1 resection – no. (%) | 9 (14.3) | 6 (15.4) |
| E-TLS – no. (%)      | 29 (46.0) | 14 (35.8) |
| M-TLS – no. (%)      | 9 (14.3) | 6 (15.4) |

This retrospective cohort had only one inclusion criteria, to be diagnosed by the overseeing pathologist as having previously untreated pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma that was surgically resected. Serial sections from the diagnostic surgical tissue block were cut for both IHC and RNAseq analysis. Further information regarding use of patient samples in these analyses outlined below was cross-referenced according to the recommendations for tumor marker prognostic studies (REMARK).\textsuperscript{21}

### Immunohistochemistry

Formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) pathology sections were selected per the determination of a board-certified pathologist (C.B.) determined to contain sufficient and representative cancer tissue within the resection specimen. Tumor H&E's were scored, and then serial sections (5 μm) were cut for IHC staining and RNA extraction (see below). PDL1 (clone SP263, Ventana), CD3 (clone SP7, Roche), CD20 (clone L26, Abcam), CD8 (clone SP16, Roche) and GZMB (ab4059, Abcam) were stained as previously described\textsuperscript{22} and developed using monochromatic DAB (Jackson Immunoresearch).

### Image analysis

Single stained sections were digitally scanned using a Leica auto-scaner at 20x magnification. Positive cells/mm² were analyzed using ScanScope software (Leica Biosystems), or Qupath.\textsuperscript{23} Whole tissue regions (1 section/patient) were selected for analysis by identifying tumor cells surrounded by clear desmoplastic stroma in consultation with a pathologist (C.B.) Adjacent normal and adipose tissue on the periphery was excluded from analysis. These analysis regions also included TLS when present. Hi vs. low cutoffs were determined by median cut-points. E-TLS positivity was determined by recognition of two or more lymphoid aggregates observed to contain both CD20\textsuperscript{+} B cells and CD3\textsuperscript{+} T cells. For all patients, a range of 4–8 slides were examined/tissue block that were directly serial to the original H&E used for diagnosis and the sample extracted for RNA-sequencing. These sections were used for IHC and/or additional multiplex immunofluorescence where indicated. Additionally, 5 TLS’ patients were randomly selected to assess for the possible presence of TLS in 3 different tissue blocks with pathologically defined cancer whereby all of the available H&E slides cut from those blocks was examined for the presence or absence of a TLS (8–13 slides/block). None of these five patients was determined to contain a TLS.

### Multiplex immunofluorescence

CD3, CD21 (clone EP3093, Abcam), CD103 (EPR4166 (2), Abcam), CD8 (SP16, Roche), CD20, PNA\textsuperscript{d} (MECA-79, Biolegend), BCL6 (EPR11410-43, Abcam) and Ki67 (SP6, Abcam) were serially stained on germinal center positive sections as determined by H&E assessment. Following 1 h-o/n primary staining, anti-mouse, anti-Rabbit or anti-Rat/HRP conjugated polymers were incubated for 15 min. All sections were washed post primary and secondary staining with TBS-tween with shaking. OPAL TSA dyes 520, 540, 590, 620 and 690 (Perkin Elmer) were then applied for 10 min at RT for fluorescent detection of primary staining. Stained sections were then subjected to serial staining by first stripping the previous antibody with citrate buffer for 15 min at 95 C followed by peroxidase quenching for 15 min with 3% hydrogen peroxide (in 1x PBS). Whenever possible, mouse, rat and rabbit primary antibodies were alternated to ensure degradation of the previous marker detection. Multiplex protocols were validated according to current standards as previously published.\textsuperscript{24} All histologically determined germinal centers were confirmed to contain strictly confined regions of CD21\textsuperscript{+} follicular dendritic cells in a field of larger BCL6\textsuperscript{+} Ki67\textsuperscript{+} B cells.
(CD20+) surrounded by PNAd+ vessels and qualified as mature (M)-TLS. As unstained sections were not available for the TCGA cohort, morphological assessment of H&E images was used to score TCGA patients for M-TLS. Lymphocyte aggregates with clearly defined germinal center reactions in the middle skin to those seen in Figure 4 were assumed to be M-TLS. QuPath software was used to quantitate immunofluorescent images.

### IHC and mIF antibodies

| Antigen | Clone | Vendor | Dilution | Species |
|---------|-------|--------|----------|---------|
| CD8     | SP16  | Abcam  | 1/200    | rabbit |
| CD20    | L26   | Abcam  | 1/200    | mouse  |
| CD3     | SP7   | Abcam  | 1/100    | rabbit |
| CD103   | EPR22590 | Abcam | 1/500    | rabbit |
| Ki67    | SP6   | Abcam  | 1/200    | rabbit |
| CD21    | EP3093 | Abcam | 1/500    | rabbit |
| PNAd    | MECA-79 | Biolegend | 1/500 | rat     |
| BCL6    | EPR11410-43 | Abcam | 1/250 | rabbit |

### Flow cytometry

Tumor single cell suspensions (TLS− n = 9; TLS+ n = 6) were prepared by enzymatic digestion of tumor samples following surgery as previously described. Briefly, tumors were collected and transported in DMEM media + 1% soybean trypsin inhibitor. Digestion commenced in DMEM + 1 mg/ml collagenase A, 1 mg/ml hyaluronidase, 50 u/ml DNase for 30–45 min. in a 37 °C water bath with constant stirring. Digestion was quenched using FCS buffer (1x PBS/1% BSA/2 mM EDTA) and resuspended for FACS staining. Leukocytes were collected from whole blood (TLS− n = 12; TLS+ n = 6) by spinning whole blood collected in EDTA coated tubes (BD Biosciences) at 1500 rpm for 15 min and removing the Buffy coat layer. Residual red blood cells were lysed in a hypotonic buffer (Gibco) for 5 min. Antibodies used for FACS staining were incubated with cells for 30 min. at 4 °C followed by washing in FCS buffer. Stained cells were acquired on a Cytoflex Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter) or BD Fortessa and data was analyzed using FlowJo software.

### FACS antibodies

| Antigen | Clone | Fluor | Vendor | Dilution |
|---------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| CD45    | HI30  | BV786 | BD Bio | 1/200    |
| CD19    | SJ25 C1 | BUV496 | BD Bio | 1/200    |
| CD20    | 2H7   | BV650 | BD Bio | 1/100    |
| IgM     | G20-127 | BV605 | BD Bio | 1/80     |
| IgA     | 1511j-8E10 | PerCP-EF710 | Miltenyi | 1/15 |
| IgE     | G18-145 | FITC | BD Bio | 1/20     |
| IgE     | G7-36 | BUV495 | BD Bio | 1/200    |
| IgD     | 1A6-2  | PE-Cy7 | BD Bio | 1/500    |
| CD138   | M15   | BV510 | BD Bio | 1/30     |
| HLA-DR  | G46-6 | PE-CF594 | BD Bio | 1/200    |
| CD40    | 5 C3  | APC-H7 | BD Bio | 1/100    |
| CD27    | M-T271 | BV421 | BD Bio | 1/200    |
| Live/dead 700 | N/A | 700 | BD Bio | 1/10,000 |

### RNA-sequencing and analysis

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) serial sections to the H&E sections were deparaffinized, followed by RNA extraction and purification using the Qiagen AllPrep DNA/RNA FFPE kit. Purified RNA was prepared into RNA-seq libraries using RNA Access Library Preparation reagents (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA-seq libraries were assessed for quantity and quality by TapeStation (Agilent) and QuBit (Thermo Fisher). Libraries were pooled and sequenced at a depth of 25–50 million reads on a HiSeq 4000 sequencer (Illumina). RNA alignment was performed using STAR alignment to GRCh37(hg19) reference, duplicate reads were marked using Picard’s MarkDuplicate tool, and fragments per kb per million mapped reads (FPKM) values were calculated using cufflinks (Ref GitHub). FPKM levels were used to assess expression of candidate mutations that are identified using whole-exome data. ClustVis was used primarily to create unsupervised clustering heat maps. Details of this method can be found at https://bit.ly/clustvis and are previously described.25 Volcano plots for differentially expressed genes were created using RStudio. Gene set enrichment analysis was performed GSEA 4.1.0 software from the Broad Institute (UC-San Diego) as previously described.26 Hallmark gene sets used for pathway enrichment analysis were from the Molecular Signatures Database v7.2 (gsea-msigdb.org).

### xCell and CIBERSort deconvolution

Two independently available computational methods for immune cell content estimation in tumor samples were utilized: Marker gene-based approach (xCell) and deconvolution-based approach (CIBERSort). Briefly, the analysis was performed as follows:

- **CIBERSort** RNA deconvolution analysis was used to profile tumor immune cells (TILs) using machine learning approach, called support vector regression (SVR) as previously described.27 Bulk RNA from FFPE tissue was used to characterize gene expression profiles based on a validated leukocyte gene signature matrix (LM22) consisting of 22 functionally defined human hematopoietic subsets. The signature matrix file consists of 547 genes that accurately and reproducibly distinguish these 22 hematopoietic populations including seven T cell types, naive and memory B cells, plasma cells, NK cells and myeloid subsets. To achieve statistical rigor, 100 permutations were performed. Deconvolution results were expressed as relative fractions normalized to 1 (e.g., fractions of total leukocyte content). xCell analysis28 uses the expression values of marker genes (derived from targeted transcriptomics studies characterizing each immune-cell type), where every cell type is quantified independently based on statistical test for enrichment of predefined set of marker genes. As with CIBERSORT, results were normalized to 1 relative to TLS samples.

### Neoantigen prediction pipeline

TCGA-PAAD aligned exome data was downloaded from https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/. Aligned exome sequences were unaligned from hg38 and realigned to hg19 Human genome
reference to be consistent with in-house neoantigen prediction pipeline. Sequence alignment was done using BWA-mem\(^t\) followed by GATK best practice to pre-process the aligned reads. Somatic single nucleotide variant (SNV) calling was done using MuTect,\(^{30}\) Somaticsniper,\(^{31}\) Strelka\(^{32}\) and Varscan.\(^{33,34}\) Somatic INDEL calling was done using Strelka and VarScan. Mutations found were merged and further annotated using different annotation sources such as the Catalog Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer (COSMIC), The Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC), 1000 Genomes Project, TCGA etc. Any mutation that causes a protein codon change and also observed at mutation allele frequency (MAF)>10% were used to build 25-mer neoantigen peptides. The amino acid change caused by the mutations were placed at 13\(^{th}\) amino acid position in the 25-mer neoantigen sequence. Prior to computing HLA-A, B, or C affinity, mutations were further filtered by including only those INDELs that were called by 2 independent programs regardless of MAF. All SNVs were included for further analysis. We used NetMHCpan-4.0\(^{34}\) to predict binding affinity of 8–11mer peptides (subset from 25-mer neoantigen peptides) to MHC Class I. Total number of strong (<0.5% rank) and weak binders (0.5–2.0% rank) were considered as total neoantigens predicted. Total strong binding and weak binding neoantigens predicted was correlated with TLS and GC status as described above.

**BCR sequencing by TRUST algorithm**

B cell receptor sequences were determined from normalized RNAseq data of whole tumor tissue by deployment of the TRUST algorithm as previously described.\(^{35,36}\) Ig heavy chain constant region frequencies were estimated by enumerating the total number of unique B cell clones with a specific Ig isotype sequence and dividing by the total number of unique clones with IGHC predictions. Simpson’s clonality of B cells was determined using the standard equation: Simpson clonality = square root of Simpson’s index where the Simpson’s index = 1 − \[\frac{1}{\sum (n - 1) / N(N - 1)}\]. N = the total number of all B cells and n = the total number of B cells within each clonotype. Somatic hypermutation rate was calculated by adding the total number of somatic hypermutation events (defined by ≤2 amino acid differences/clone) divided by the number of unique clones present in each sample. The percent SHM clonality was determined by adding the number of SHM B cells present in each sample divided by the total number of B cells in each tumor.

**TCGA data**

The TCGA-PAAD data set (n = 182) was explored and WES and RNAseq data was downloaded via [https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/](https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). Patients were not ductal adenocarcinoma were removed for a final analysis total of n = 174. The addition of 24 PDAC patients from a previous genomic characterization of this data\(^{37}\) includes 7 previously excluded patients and 17 more samples submitted to TCGA following the 2017 publication. For some exploratory analyses, the eBioPortal for Cancer Genomics was also queried.\(^{38}\) TLS and GC were determined by exploration of digital pathology images of H&E stained sections available for each patient.

**Radiomics analysis**

Venous phase contrast-enhanced CT scans performed preoperatively were utilized for radiomics analysis. Tumor and ring structures were delineated as previously described by a radiation oncologist using RayDoctor (RaySearch, Stockholm, Sweden). DICOM-RT images and structures were exported into LifeX software for texture analysis as previously described.\(^{39}\)

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance was calculated with GraphPad v8.0 software. When comparing two groups in a static timepoint, student’s T tests were used. Three or more groups were analyzed using 1-way Anova with posttest corrections. Only significant p-values are displayed in the figures. The log-rank and Wilcoxon tests were used to compare two groups in a Kaplan-Meier curve. Gene set enrichment analysis is reported as the False Discovery Rate (FDR) as is provided by GSEA 4.1 software (The Broad Institute). Exact p values are only reported for those groups achieving p < .05 unless otherwise indicated. Volcano plot cuts-offs are twofold change and p < .01.

**Results**

**T and B cell aggregation in PDAC tumors correlates with survival**

We analyzed a cohort of resectable PDAC patients (N = 63) at our institute who underwent primary tumor surgical resection without prior neoadjuvant therapy. H&E sections of surgical specimens from these patients revealed 29 (46.00%) had at least 2 organized lymphoid aggregates (E-TLS, median 8.5, range 3–39/section) composed of both CD3\(^+\) T cells and CD20\(^+\) B cells (Figure 1a). Early-TLS were located in various locations throughout the primary tumor, including the margins, tumor center, near adipose tissue and directly adjacent to malignant cells (Figure 1a). CD8\(^+\) cells also infiltrated lymphocyte clusters but were primarily positioned in and around the marginal zone away from follicular centers. Patients whose tumors contained putative TLS structures had significantly longer overall survival (Figure 1b, median 26.32 vs. 14.37 months; Log-Rank p = .014, H.R. = 1.96) and disease-free survival (Fig. S1A) compared to patients whose tumors lacked E-TLS, consistent with published data.\(^{15}\) However, in our cohort, the density of TLS did not correlate with overall survival (Fig. S1B) as has been previously reported.\(^{15}\) We and others have previously shown that tumor infiltration of CD3\(^+\) T cells and CD8\(^+\) T cells is prognostic for outcomes in PDAC patients,\(^{7,38}\) including using this patient cohort.\(^{39}\) In this cohort, CD8\(^+\) cell infiltration again was prognostic for overall survival but had a numerically lower median survival than E-TLS\(^+\) patients (26.32 vs. 23.43 months) with a noticeable difference in early patient survival following surgery statistically defined the Wilcoxon p-value (Figure 1b-c). Ultimately, however, TLS\(^-\) and TLS\(^+\) patients perished at
Figure 1. T and B cell aggregates in untreated PDAC tumors predict overall survival. A) Histological micrographs (10x) representative of TLS+ PDAC patients from the PCI cohort. From top left to bottom right: H&E, CD3 IHC, CD8 IHC, and CD20 IHC. TLS regions are outlined in dashed white lines. B) Kaplan-Meier plots displaying overall survival stratified for TLS positivity. Every data point is shown regardless of censor. C-E) CD8 univariate (c), CD20 univariate (d) and CD20/CD3 multivariate (e) analysis for overall survival. Log-rank comparison between CD3hi/CD20hi and CD3hi/CD20low groups non-significant at p = .701. Median cut points were determined for hi vs. low infiltration for all IHC markers. L-R = Log-rank; Wil. = Wilcoxon; H.R. = Hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval. Statistical comparisons were made between all groups. If no p-value is displayed, the comparison was not statistically significant.
comparable 5-year survival rates (17% vs. 19% respectively) whereas 20% of CD8hi patients survived past 5 years and 0 CD8lo patients survived (Figure 1b-c). Importantly, CD20+ single staining by IHC did not correlate with survival, while patients whose tumors contained high levels of both CD3 and CD20 (CD3hiCD20hi) demonstrated similar survival to patients with high levels of CD3 alone (not significant), suggesting lymphocyte aggregation is distinct from high T and B cell infiltration alone (Figure 1d-e). A previous published computed tomography radiomics signature shown to predict tumor cell infiltration and ICB response in non-PDAC histologies failed to correlate with CD8 T cell infiltration or survival in our cohort (Fig. S1C-D), suggesting unique microenvironmental characteristics preside in PDAC tumors compared to other cancer types. The addition of CD8 infiltration to TLS+ patients added no further prognostic value (Fig. S1F). Furthermore, granzyme B+ cells (GZMB) were similar between groups and did not correlate with survival either alone or in combination with CD8+ infiltration (Fig. S1G, H, I). This suggests E-TLS formation did not influence this aspect of cytotoxic effector function, although it does not exclude alternative methods of tumor cell killing such as expression of cytostatic cytokines. These data indicate E-TLS are a distinct immunologic predictor of survival in PDAC, unique from assessing T and B cell infiltrates alone.

**E-TLS+ PDAC tumors are T cell inflamed with distinctive gene expression patterns**

We next evaluated immunophenotypes associated with E-TLS in PDAC tumors by quantifying immune infiltrates by IHC and analyzing transcriptomic signatures from RNA-seq data of whole tumor tissue from FFPE sections as previously described for this patient cohort. As expected, tumors with E-TLS contained significantly more CD3+ and CD8+ T cells and CD20+ B cells per/mm2 of tumor tissue compared to TLS- tumors (Figure 2a-b). Recent studies in other tumor types have shown that CD103 is a marker of tumor reactive, terminally differentiated, and/or tissue resident memory CD8+ T cells that may associate with B cell recruitment in cancer. We quantified these cells by immunofluorescent staining for CD103, CD8 and CD3 (Fig. S2A) which demonstrated no difference in the density of CD103+CD8+ T cells in TLS+ tumors (Fig. S2B), but a reduction in the proportion of CD8+ T cells that were CD103+ (Figure 2c). This reduction in CD103-positive CD8+ T cells was noted throughout the tumor area as well as directly within lymphocyte conglomerates suggesting no geographical restriction of these cells (Figure 2c). RNA-seq analysis of these tumors showed differentially expressed genes in TLS+ and TLS- sub-types, many of which are associated with T and B cell activity (Figure 2d and S2C).

---

**Figure 2. Early stage-TLS+ tumors are T and B cell inflamed with distinctive gene expression signatures.** A) CD3+ cell density in TLS+ (n = 30) and TLS- (n = 37) PDAC tumors as determined by IHC. B) CD20+ and CD8+ cell density in surgical tumor sections grouped into TLS+ or TLS- patients as quantified from immunofluorescent imaging. C) Percent positive of CD103 “CD8+” cells of total CD8+ cells in TLS+ and TLS- surgical tumor sections. D) Volcano plot comparing differentially expressed genes between TLS+ and TLS- tumors. Cutoffs are indicated by the dashed lines at 2-fold change and p < .01 values. E) Gene set enrichment analysis between TLS+ and TLS- tumors using Hallmark Gene Sets. Shown are the pathways significantly different to a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.25 or lower. F) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering based on the top 100 most (50 upregulated and 50 downregulated) differentially expressed genes between TLS+ (red) and TLS- (blue) patients.
Gene set enrichment analysis revealed significant upregulation of MYC signaling, pathways associated with allograft rejection, and interferon-alpha signaling in TLS\(^+\) tumors (Figure 2e). Conversely, TLS\(^-\) tumors demonstrated gene expression patterns associated with angiogenesis (Figure 2e). Hierarchical clustering based on these gene sets accurately discriminated TLS\(^+\) and TLS\(^-\) tumors (figure 2f). We also performed unsupervised hierarchical clustering using a previously published gene set associated with TLS in melanoma,\(^ {12,13} \) and found these genes failed to group PDAC tumors upon these phenotypic designations, suggesting the process of TLS formation or activity of TLS may differ by tumor type and tissue of origin (Fig. S2D). Interestingly, a recently reported T cell inflamed expression signature\(^ {13} \) accurately clustered 14 of 20 TLS\(^+\) patients, consistent with the increased T cell infiltrates observed in these tumors (Fig. S2E). These data indicate that TLS formation is associated with greater T and B cell infiltration in PDAC tumors, but unique gene expression patterns accompany early-TLS formation in PDAC.

**TLS\(^+\) tumors are enriched with memory lymphocytes and IgG-switched B cells**

To further characterize immune function linked to the presence of TLS, we utilized two previously published RNA deconvolution algorithms, xCell\(^ {29} \) and CIBERSort,\(^ {27} \) that utilize cell type-specific gene signatures to determine relative enrichments of cell subsets. Both algorithms demonstrated an enrichment in memory B and CD4\(^+\) T cells in TLS\(^+\) tumors compared to TLS\(^-\) tumors (Figure 3a-b). In contrast, plasma cells and classical dendritic cells were discordant between deconvolution methods (Fig. S3A-B). To validate these findings using an independent cohort, we utilized the TCGA-pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD, n = 174 dataset). Histological analysis of digital pathology sections allowed for detection of putative TLS, which similarly correlated to a statistically significant benefit in overall survival (Figure 3c). Furthermore, we performed xCell analysis on the TCGA dataset, which demonstrated increased memory B cells, memory CD4\(^+\) T cells and classical dendritic cells in TLS\(^+\) tumors (Figure 3d and S3C). Together, these data suggest that enhanced adaptive immune memory may be one mechanism by which disease recurrence is limited following surgery in patients whose tumors had TLS.

These alterations in B and CD4\(^+\) T cell memory led us to explore more detailed differences in B cell phenotypes. FACS analysis of fresh surgical tissue and matched peripheral blood samples showed a significant increase in tumor-infiltrating IgM\(^+\) B cells and a significant decrease in tumor-infiltrating IgM\(^-\)IgD\(^+\) and IgD\(^+\) B cells compared to the blood (Figure 3e). Immature B cells express only IgD-isotype B cell receptors and mature, naïve B cells co-express IgM and IgD\(^ {50} \) so these data indicate PDAC tumor-resident B cells are enriched for antigen-experienced phenotypes (Figure 3e). Additionally, an increased percentage of B cells with surface IgG expression was observed in tumors and peripheral blood from TLS\(^+\) patients (Figure 3e and S3E). Plasmablasts (CD138\(^+\)CD20\(^-\)HLADR\(^{hi}\)) and plasma cells (CD138\(^-\)CD20\(^-\)HLADR\(^{lo}\)) did not change between TLS\(^+\) and TLS\(^-\) tumors and matched peripheral blood samples consistent with the RNA deconvolution subset analysis (Fig. S3A-D). B cell receptor (BCR) sequences determined by TRUST algorithm analysis in our retrospective cohort showed an enrichment in IgG1 subclass heavy chain constant regions in TLS\(^+\) tumors (figure 3f). As isotype class switching is an irreversible event driven by antigen engagement of the BCR on naïve B cells, this suggests B cells in TLS\(^+\) patients preferentially undergo IgG1 class switching upon antigen recognition. Taken together, these data demonstrate that TLS\(^+\) PDAC tumors are enriched with memory CD4\(^+\) T cells and memory IgG1 class-switched memory B cells.

**Germinal center formation occurs in patients with prolonged survival**

Given the increased memory B cell activity in TLS\(^+\) PDAC tumors, we sought to further investigate these tumors for evidence of immunologic activity to explain the improved survival observed. In other tumor types, the presence of germinal centers marks, mature TLS (M-TLS) and correlates with long-term survival.\(^ {12,13} \) In our PDAC cohort, 12.7% (n = 8) of tumors possessed germinal centers identified both histologically and by IHC evaluation. Germinal centers were defined by clearly margined borders of large centroblasts in the middle of a tightly compacted TLS with a distinct compartmentalization of CD3\(^+\) T cells and CD20\(^+\) B cells (Figure 4a-b) and expressing markers of follicular dendritic cells (CD21), and PNAd\(^+\) high endothelial venules (HEV) (Figure 4b). B cells contained within the germinal center were highly proliferative (Ki67\(^+\)) and expressed the gene BCL6, the master transcription factor of germinal center B cells\(^ {51} \) (Figure 4b). Patients whose tumors were TLS\(^+\) with germinal centers survived significantly longer following surgery versus those with lymphocyte aggregates that lacked germinal centers (56.3 vs. 20.8 months, Log-Rank p = .007; Figure 4c). The 5-year survival rate for patients with GC\(^+\) tumors was almost 50%; 4 of which had stage I local disease and 4 had stage II/III regional disease. Tumors with germinal centers did not have significantly increased densities of CD3\(^+\), CD8\(^+\), or GZMB\(^ {41} \) expressing cells (Fig. S4A). Furthermore, no differences were observed in immunosuppressive cells or markers such as PD1/PDL1 expression, CD68\(^+\) type 1 or 2 macrophages, or regulatory T cells (Fig. S4B-G). There was also no difference observed in the fibrotic desmoplastic reaction (Fig. S4H-J). However, using the CIBERSort deconvolution algorithm, M-TLS\(^+\) tumors were enriched with naïve B cells, activated CD4\(^+\) memory T cells and resting NK cells suggesting improved humoral and NK cell immunity in these patients (Figure 4d). M-TLS\(^+\) tumors also expressed significant enrichment in pathways related to reactive oxygen species (ROS), MTORC1 signaling and oxidative phosphorylation among others (Fig. S4L). In contrast, we observed a significant downregulation in TGF-beta signaling in GC\(^+\) tumors (Fig. S4L-N), a feature strongly associated with immunotherapy-responsive tumors.\(^ {52-56} \) These data indicate germinal center reactions within TLS correspond with enhanced features of humoral immunity and diminished TGF-beta signaling associated with improved patient survival in PDAC.

*High and low affinity neoantigens are increased in TLS\(^+\)GC\(^+\) patients linked to increased B cell somatic hypermutation*
Recent publications have established the link between high tumor mutational burden (TMB) and checkpoint blockade responsiveness in numerous cancer types. However, high TMB and T cell inflamed tumors do not correlate in untreated cancer patients. In PDAC, TMB quantity does not associate with ICB responsiveness or survival, but rather with the...
likelihood a mutated antigen induces a T cell response. Therefore, we evaluated whether TLS develop in PDAC tumors due to a high TMB and/or expression of de novo neoantigens. Interestingly, tumors with any stage TLS from the TCGA cohort had a significantly lower TMB compared to TLS− tumors (Figure 5a). Survival was similar in patients with high

Figure 4. Germinal center reactions identify mature TLS associated long term PDAC survivorship. A-B) Representative 20x H&E micrograph (a) followed by multispectral IHC images (b) demonstrating germinal center marker expression (CD21, BCL6, Ki67) in the midst of CD3 (T cells) peripheral clusters, PNAd+ HEV, and CD20 (B cells) zones. The image on the bottom right in (b) is an example of a GC-negative, early-TLS aggregate lacking BCL6 and Ki67 B cell zones. C) Kaplan-Meier overall survival analysis comparing TLS+ GC− patients (n = 20) and TLS+ GC+ patients (n = 8) in the Providence upfront resectable cohort. D) CIBERSort analysis on tumor RNA-seq data was performed for both GC+ and GC− groups in the PCI cohort and significant fold enrichment changes are shown for naive B cells, activated CD4+ T memory cells, and resting NK cells as indicated.
Figure 5. Predicted HLA binding neoantigens are increased in M-TLS⁺ PDAC patients accompanied by increased rates of B cell somatic hypermutation. A) TCGA tumor mutation burden between TLS⁻ and TLS⁺ patients from the TCGA-PAAD dataset. B) Kaplan-Meier curve of TMB⁻° vs. TMB⁺° determined on a mean cut-point was used to stratify TCGA-PAAD patients for overall survival. C) Predicted strong binding (rank<0.5%) neoantigens/patient partitioned into HLA-A, B, and C specific peptides using a proprietary neoantigen prediction pipeline in conjunction with HLA-peptide affinity predictions determined by NetMHCpan version 4.0 algorithms. D) Predicted weak binding (rank 0.5–2%) neoantigens/patient partitioned into HLA-A, B, and C-specific peptides. E) BCRseq analysis as determined by the TRUST algorithm of tumor RNA-seq data from samples of TLS⁻, TLS⁺GC⁻, and TLS⁺GC⁺ patients. Shown is the calculated Simpsons clonality score for each patient. F) The rate of BCR somatic hypermutation is shown calculated by the number of unique mutated B cell clones per total unique B cell clones in each patient tumor. Statistical comparisons were made between all groups. If no p-value is displayed, the comparison was not statistically significant.
or low TMB, suggesting TMB is not associated with a more favorable immune status in PDAC (Figure 5b), as has been shown for melanoma and soft-tissue sarcoma.\textsuperscript{17-19,57} We next evaluated the affinity of potential neoantigens for MHC binding to assess whether the quality of neoantigens differed in tumors with TLS. We utilized an in silico neoantigen prediction pipeline where nonsynonymous SNV mutations were filtered at >10% variable allele frequency and combined with all insertions and deletions from each tumor. We then tested the predicted HLA binding affinity using NetMHCPan v4.0 software for the corresponding peptides. This computational pipeline was adopted from one used at our institution to identify tumor antigen-reactive T cells for adoptive T cell therapies.\textsuperscript{58} TLS\textsuperscript{7}GC\textsuperscript{7} tumors demonstrated a significant increase in the total number of predicted high affinity and low affinity mutant peptides that bind human leukocyte antigen-A and B (HLA-A, HLA-B) MHC Class I molecules compared to TLS\textsuperscript{8} or TLS\textsuperscript{9}GC\textsuperscript{10} tumors (Figure 5c-D). Interestingly, stratifying patients by HLA-A high- or low-affinity antigens alone did not associate with overall survival (Fig. S5A-B) suggesting quality of neoantigens alone in the absence of TLS did not drive immune-mediated tumor control. Patients expressing a high number of potential high affinity HLA-A antigens demonstrated a significant enrichment in effector memory CD4\textsuperscript{11} but not CD8\textsuperscript{12} T cells (Fig. S5C). To link these genetic changes to patterns in the intratumoral B cell repertoire, we performed B cell receptor sequencing using the TRUST algorithm\textsuperscript{35} on total tumor RNA-seq data. These analyses revealed a numerical decrease in B cell clonality in GC\textsuperscript{13} tumors but did not meet statistical significance (Figure 5e). In secondary lymphatic organs, germinal center formation is a requirement for B cell somatic hypermutation and affinity maturation during immunological priming and recall responses.\textsuperscript{59} This process generates new B cell clones from lower affinity progenitors by somatic BCR mutagenesis. By comparing BCR sequences with \textleq{}2 amino acid differences between pair sequences we determined there was a significant increase in the rate of somatic hypermutation in B cells from E-TLS\textsuperscript{7} and M-TLS\textsuperscript{7} tumors (figure 5f). However, these mutated clones made up a significantly reduced proportion of the entire B cell repertoire in all TLS\textsuperscript{7} tumors (Fig. S5D). This is consistent with the concept that most of the mutated clones possess lower antigen affinity following somatic hypermutation prior to affinity maturation and will be eventually negatively selected.\textsuperscript{60} These data indicate germinal centers in primary tumors may provide a microenvironmental niche whereby increased B cell activity is linked to higher expression of neoantigens, and enrichment of memory CD4\textsuperscript{14} T cells in untreated pancreatic cancer patients with long-term survival.

**Discussion**

The data reported here provide a deeper understanding of tumor immunity and TLS biology in treatment naïve pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. We report that PDAC tumors with lymphocyte aggregates ("early-TLS") are significantly enriched for CD8\textsuperscript{15} T cells, memory B cells, IgG1 class switching, and memory CD4\textsuperscript{16} T cell subsets. These data suggest that improved immune memory is a plausible explanation for the increased survival observed following surgical resection of the primary tumor and the TLS residing in these specimens. However, the mechanism behind how TLS foster immune memory is currently unknown. Evidence is emerging that TLS may support the recruitment or retention of CD8\textsuperscript{17} T cells with memory precursor phenotypes that are reservoirs for differentiation into effector memory and exhausted cells.\textsuperscript{17,60,61} Our finding that total CD8\textsuperscript{18} T cells are increased in TLS\textsuperscript{9} tumors but the frequency of tissue-resident memory CD103\textsuperscript{19}CD8\textsuperscript{18} T cells is decreased suggest TLS may support CD8\textsuperscript{18} T cells with other phenotypes, such as TCF-1\textsuperscript{20} memory precursor cells. In turn, this pool of progenitor T cells can provide a renewable source to quickly reactivate an antitumor immune response following antigen re-exposure or therapeutic intervention as has been shown in other contexts.\textsuperscript{61,62} We submit that the presence of TLS provides a microenvironmental niche in which to promote systemic T cell memory and long-lived humoral immunity. Consequently, these memory lymphocytes could improve the patients’ systemic immune surveillance and thwart local or distant recurrence. Indeed, other groups have shown that the presence of ectopic (a.k.a. tertiary) lymphoid organs in the lungs provides protection against influenza rechallenge, in animals deficient of a spleen or lymph nodes.\textsuperscript{63} Additionally, murine melanomas over-expressing lymphotoxin-alpha generate TLS subcutaneously and mount effective anti-tumor immunity.\textsuperscript{65} Another recent study using multiple murine breast cancer models reported that CD4\textsuperscript{21} T follicular helper cells were required for the production of tumor cell reactive immunoglobulins which mediated checkpoint blockade efficacy but only in cancer cell lines with high mutational burden.\textsuperscript{66} Our results in human PDAC are consistent with these findings. We conclude that patients who lack TLS have suboptimal immune memory and therefore are more susceptible to cancer relapse following surgery.

The limitations of our study include using mostly archived samples which prevents validation of the predicted biology using ex vivo experiments. Nonetheless, we attempted as much fresh tissue analysis as was afforded us (Figure 3). Ideally, much of these predictions and observations could be tested utilizing an authentic TLS mouse model. Unfortunately, few of these animal models exist and are publicly available. We intend on pursuing these models in subsequent studies. Most of our pathological analysis is presented as univariate analysis which limits confidence in the prognostic value of any single variable. However, the previously aforementioned study by Hiraoka et al. did perform multivariate analysis for all their PDAC patients which validates TLS as an independent prognosticator for PDAC.\textsuperscript{15} Additionally, a multivariate analysis was previously performed for this cohort of patients and found that CD3\textsuperscript{5} T cell infiltrate was independently associated with survival.\textsuperscript{67} Together these data support a role for immune activity in patient survival. Finally, our results are limited in the analysis of why tumors with more MHCI-neoantigens are associated with enhanced humoral immune function. This increase in MHCI neoantigen expression was also linked with an enrichment in CD4\textsuperscript{17} effector memory cells (Fig. S5) and a complimentary increase in the rate of B cell somatic hypermutation (Figure 5). As the relationship between CD4\textsuperscript{18}
T and B cell activity is governed by MHCII-restricted antigen presentation. This is an interesting finding for future exploration. However, other studies report similar observations that MHC1-antigen responses correspond to superior anti-tumor B cell activity, frequently with IgG-switched BCR.13,19,49,69–71

How and why TLS develop in some patients but not others remains an active field of study. It is not known if TLS-associated transcriptomes are common to all cancer types or if tissue specificity can influence gene expressions patterns and immunological outcomes. Our attempts at reproducing hierarchical clustering using gene expression signatures common to TLS” patients with other cancer types was unfruitful.17,49,72 However, not all TLS manifest with the same histological architecture and phenotypic features and can vary in density, size and microanatomical localization.73,74 Some have proposed this may represent variable stages of TLS development in the midst of an ongoing maturation process75 and indicate diversity in the immune microenvironment of the tumor. Alternatively, the presence of a TLS may not generate uniform immunological phenotypes but instead be a reservoir for anergic, terminally differentiated, exhausted or antigen-independent T cell activation. It has been well established by other groups that chronic inflammation is a critical factor for TLS neogenesis11 and perhaps may be sufficient for formation in certain contexts.76 However, lymphoid tissue inducer and organizer cells and follicular dendritic cells (FDC) do not naturally exist in peripheral tissues so they must differentiate from preexisting progenitor cells to establish TLS; a process which requires lymphotixin beta receptor (LTBR) signaling.77 In turn, these newly formed FDC initiate HEV formation and CXCL13-regulated B cell recruitment. Interestingly, type 1 interferons are necessary but not sufficient for inducing lung TLS during influenza infection in mice77 and are potentiated by LTBR agonism. As we observed upregulation of type 1 interferon response genes in E-TLS” patients (Figure 2e), we surmise these pathways may be functional in PDAC as well. It is noteworthy, however, that upregulation of any of these particular pathways may be transient and subsequently downregulated to permit further immunological adaptation such as is seen with type 1 IFN signaling in the E-TLS vs. M-TLS context (Fig. S4L). Finally, results in Fig. S4 exhibit the proportions of various immunosuppressive cell types, such as Tregs, M2 macrophages, and reactive fibroblasts that commonly populate the stroma of pancreatic as well as many other tumors types. While we did not observe any discernable differences in these subsets between TLS + and TLS – patients, we cannot presently exclude significant alterations in function that could account for the genesis or persistence of TLS. However, the significant downregulation of TGF signaling pathways in GC” tumors points to genes in this set having a strong association with immunological activity as we and others have previously shown in pancreatic and other solid GI malignancies.

Despite the positive association of T and B cell clusters with median overall survival in PDAC patients (14 vs. 26 months), the 5-year survival rate remains relatively unchanged for either cohort (~17-19%). However, we identified a smaller subset of patients with germinal center reactions (Figure 4). These follicles expressed GC-specific markers and bore the distinct histological architecture of these microscopic structures indicating these were bonafide M-TLS (Figure 4b). Furthermore, we observed spatially restricted BCL6, CD21, and Ki67 expression in CD20+ zones (Figure 4b) which is a hallmark of germinal center B cells undergoing somatic hypermutation and affinity maturation as has been observed in other cancer types.12,16,81 Overall survival for these patients was 56 months with 37.5% of them surviving past 5 years (Figure 4c); a marked improvement over expected survival rate for these disease stages, despite receiving only standard of care adjuvant-chemotherapy. These patients’ tumors were enriched for subsets of naïve B cells, activated memory CD4+ T cells and NK cells (Figure 4d) but not for CD8+ T or GZMB+ cells (Fig. S4A). While this does not preclude the contributions of cell mediated tumor control, we hypothesize humoral immune function serves a complementary role in preventing disease recurrence in patients with M-TLS” tumors. Additionally, preferential IgG1 class switching in TLS” patients can support increased NK cell effector function through antibody dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity of antibody coated tumor cells,82,83 increased recruitment of cDC1 cells (Fig. S3),84 and correlates with improved survival in melanoma and KRAS-mutated lung cancer patients.85,86 Our data would suggest this caliber of preexisting immunity would portend favorable responses to immunotherapy if PDAC patients with M-TLS” tumors relapse after surgery.86,87

We also asked if the presence of an immunogenic antigen, in the context of chronic inflammation, was associated with specific aspects of TLS biology and survival. Interestingly, only M- TLS” patients had a significantly increased number of predicted high and low-affinity neoantigens, specifically for HLA-A and HLA-B (Figure 5). Neither tumor mutational burden nor the increase in neoantigens alone were prognostic for survival (Figure 5b and S5). These data reflect the aforementioned study by Balachandran et al. that demonstrated the total number of possible antigens was not prognostic of disease free survival in PDAC patients but rather the neoantigen “quality” combined with high T cell infiltration was significantly associated with survival.9 These data may also explain why an allogenic whole tumor cell vaccine expressing mesothelin stimulated M-TLS formation and HLA-A restricted peptide responses in 33 of 39 patients in a stage II trial for PDAC patients despite 100% of the patients deemed TLS-negative prior to vaccine intervention.5 Collectively, our data presented here support a model where germinal center formation in M-TLS and high neoantigen expression stimulate cooperation between cell-mediated and humoral immune memory to suppress disease progression leading to longer survival for PDAC patients following surgery. For an immunotherapy unresponsive tumor type with a high mortality rate, these are novel concepts that should have obvious clinical traction.
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