Comparative study of virulence factors among methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is recognized worldwide as a leading cause of hospital and community infections. Biofilm formation by MRSA is an extremely important virulence factor to be understood. Our aim was to establish phenotypic and genotypic characterization of virulence factors among 43 MRSA clinical isolates in a Tunisian hospital.

**Methods:** We investigated enzymatic profiles, biofilm production and prevalences of genes encoding intracellular adhesion molecules (*icaA* and *icaD*), Microbial Surface Components Recognizing Adhesive Matrix Molecules genes (*fnbA*, *fnbB* and *cna*) and exoenzymes genes (*geh*, *sspA* and *sspB*).

**Results:** Our findings revealed that caseinase, gelatinase, lipase and lecithinase activities were detected in 100%, 100%, 76.6% and 93.3% of cases respectively. This study showed that 23 strains (76.7%) were slime producers on Congo red medium. Furthermore, 46.5% and 53.5% of isolates were respectively highly and moderately biofilm-forming on polystyrene. Significant association was found between both biofilm tests. PCR detection showed that 74.4%, 18.6%, 69.8%, 65.1% and 74.4% of isolates harbored *fnbA*, *fnbB*, *icaA*, *icaD* and *cna* genes respectively. In addition, 34.9%, 18.6% and 30.2% of MRSA strains were found positive for *sspA*, *sspB* and *geh* genes respectively. Further, statistical data showed that the presence of the *fnbA* and *fnbB* genes was significantly associated with a high biofilm production on polystyrene. However, no statistical association was observed for the *icaA*, *icaD* and *cna* genes.

**Conclusions:** This study indicates that the detection of *fnbA* and *fnbB* contributing to the first step of biofilm formation has been predictable of high biofilm production. As studied factors contribute to MRSA virulence, this research could be of value in orienting towards the development of new preventive and therapeutic measures.
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**Introduction**

Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is recognized worldwide as an important bacterial pathogen causing a wide range of infections ranging from skin and soft tissue lesions to lethal infections (osteomyelitis, endocarditis, pneumonia and sepsis). It is regarded as a major world health threat with a substantial increase in morbidity and mortality [1]. In addition to resistance, the pathogenicity of MRSA is an extremely important feature to be understood. The pathogenesis of this bacterium depends on a combination of extracellular factors and biofilm forming ability [2]. The adherence of *S. aureus* to biotic and abiotic surfaces stage is mediated by a protein family of staphylococcal Microbial Surface Components Recognizing Adhesive Matrix Molecules (MSCRAMMs). Whereas the cell aggregation is led by the synthesis of polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) molecule encoded by the intracellular adhesion (*ica*) [3–6].

Our aim was to establish a phenotypic characterization of virulence factors among MRSA clinical isolates in a Tunisian Hospital, to determine prevalences of genes encoding intracellular adhesion molecules (*icaA* and *icaD*),
MSCRAMMs genes (fnbA, fnbB, cna) and exoenzymes genes (geh, ssaA and sspB), and to investigate the involvement of these genes in biofilm forming ability.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

A total of 43 non-redundant MRSA clinical isolates were obtained from the Laboratory of Microbiology of the University hospital of Monastir-Tunisia. These strains were obtained from bacteriological samples in hospitalized patients and/or consultants at the University Hospital of Monastir-Tunisia during the period (January 2016 - June 2016). Two reference strains (S. aureus ATCC 6538 and ATCC 43300) were used as controls. Bacterial identification was performed using Gram staining, catalase test, tube coagulase, DNase agar, manitol salt agar and API ID 20 STAPH galleries (bio-Merieux, France). To confirm the identity of the isolate as S. aureus, the Sa442 gene was amplified by a PCR-based method. Extraction of genomic DNA was performed using a standard phenolechloroform technique. The PCR mixture (25 μl) for all genes contained 1 mM forward and reverse primers, dNTP mix (100 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP), 1 U of Go Taq DNA polymerase (Promega), 5 ml green Go Taq buffer (5X), and DNA template (50 ng). PCR conditions were the following: an initial temperature of 96°C (1min), denaturation at 95°C (15s), annealing at 45°C (15 s), and elongation at 72°C (30s), with a final extension at 72°C (4min). Amplicons of the expected size (162 bp) were obtained [7]. PCR primers were chosen as listed in Table 1.

Characterization of the enzymatic activity

The ability to produce hydrolytic enzymes was determined after inoculation of cultures on TSA-1 media (Biorad) supplemented with: 1% (wt/vol) skim milk for caseinase; 1% (wt/vol) gelatin for gelatinase; Tween 80 for lipase and 5% (vol/vol) egg yolk for lecithinase. The presence of a clear halo around the colonies indicates the presence of the hydrolytic enzyme. The haemolytic activity was evaluated on bacteriological agar supplemented with 5% sheep’s blood [8].

Phenotypic determination of slime production

Phenotypic qualitative characterization of slime producing strains was carried out by the cultivation of the

**Table 1** List of primers used for bacterial identification and detection of MSCRAMMs genes (fnbA, fnbB and cna), biofilm control genes (icaA and icaD) and exoenzymes genes (ssaA, ssaB and geh)

| Target gene | Sequence (5’-3’) | tA (°C) | Amplified fragment | Amplicon size (bp) | Reference |
|-------------|------------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Sa442       | 5’-AACCTTTGTGCCTACAGATTTATCTTGCAGC-3’ | 55     | Sa442 protein      | 108               | [7]       |
| mecA        | 5’-ACCACTGAGACTACCCAGAGG-3’ | 45     | Penicillin binding protein 2a | 162 | [7]       |
| icaA        | 5’-CAGACTTCTGCGCCAGTCAA-3’ | 55     | Intercellular adhesion A | 188 | [24]      |
| icaD        | 5’-ATGGTCACCGCCAAGACGAG-3’ | 55     | Intercellular adhesion D | 198 | [24]      |
| Can         | 5’-GTCAGCTGGTTTTAACAACCGAC-3’ | 62     | Collagen adhesion | 192 | [36]      |
| fnbA        | 5’-CATGAGAGAGGAGGAGGAC-3’ | 62     | Fibrinogen binding protein A | 201 | [36]      |
| fnbB        | 5’-GTCAGCAGTTTGTAAGAGGAGGACG-3’ | 62     | Fibrinogen binding protein B | 201 | [36]      |
| ssaA        | 5’-GCAACACGAGCACTTCTGTTG-3’ | 45     | Serine protease | 292 | [40]      |
| sspB        | 5’-TGAAAGGATTGGAAGATGATG-3’ | 47     | Cysteine protease | 493 | [40]      |
| Geh         | 5’-GCACACAGGCTCGG-3’ | 40     | Lipase | 473 | [45]      |

* tA annealing temperature
isolates on Congo red agar (CRA) plate made by mixing 36 g of sucrose (Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO) with 0.8 Congo red in 1L of brain heart infusion agar (Biorad, USA) as previously described [9]. The strains were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours under aerobic conditions followed by subsequent storage at room temperature. The Congo red dye interacts directly with certain bacterial polysaccharides forming a slime and giving black colonies in contrast to the non-producing colonies which remain red. After 48-72 hours, the results were interpreted as follows: strains producing intensive black, black, and reddish black colonies with a rough, dry, and crystalline consistency were classified as slime producers, whereas red and Bordeaux red with smooth colonies were considered to be non slime producers [9].

**Semi-quantitative adherence assay**

Biofilm production by MRSA strains, grown in Brain Heart Infusion with 1% glucose, was quantified using a semi-quantitative adherence assay on 96-well tissue culture plates as described previously [10]. Adherent bacteria were fixed with 95% ethanol and stained with 100 mL of 1% crystal violet (Merck, France) for 5 min. The microplates were air-dried and the optical density of each well was measured at 570 nm (OD570) using an automated Multiskan reader (GIO. DE VITA E C, ome, Italy). This assay was performed for each strain in triplicate. The background was determined by using noninoculated media as a control. The cut-off value (ODC) of noninoculated media at an optical density of 570 nm (OD570) was considered the deadline point to define biofilm quantities [cut-off (ODC) = mean OD + 3 standard deviation (SD) of negative control]. Biofilm formation was interpreted as highly positive (OD570> = 4 * ODC), moderately positive (2 * ODC < OD570 <4 * ODC), weakly positive (ODC < OD570 <2 * ODC) or negative (OD570 < ODC). These criteria were established by Stepanovic et al. [11].

**Detection of icaA, icaD, fnbA, fnbB, cna, sspA, sspB and geh genes**

PCR primers were chosen as listed in Table 1. Amplifications of icaA, icaD, fnbA, fnbB, cna, sspA, sspB and geh genes were performed according to the following cycle conditions: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min was followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30s, annealing for 30s at temperature determined for each gene as described in Table 1 and elongation at 72°C for 45s, followed by 10 min of final extension at 72°C.

**Statistical analysis**

The data from this study were captured, recorded and analyzed by SPSS 17.0 software. The non-parametric Mann Whitney U test was used to compare biofilm production assays (Congo red test and polystyrene adherence assay). The same statistical test was used to evaluate correlations of MSCRAMMs genes (fnbA, fnbB and cna), biofilm production control genes (icaA and icaD) and exoenzymes genes (sspA, sspB and geh) to the level of biofilm production on polystyrene. All factors with p values of less than 0.20 were included in multivariate ordinal logistic regression analysis. P values of less than 0.05 were considered to indicate significant difference.

**Results**

**Distribution of isolates**

A total of 43 MRSA strains were collected (i.e. a rate of 21.4% of all S. aureus isolates in the laboratory of Microbiology at the University Hospital of Monastir-Tunisia during the same period). Samples were gathered from different organs and systems: pus (58.1%), blood (25.6%), respiratory samples (11.6%) and catheters (4.7%). They were respectively beta and alpha-hemolytic. All isolates were protease producers (caseinase and gelatinase). Lipase and lecithinase secretion were found in 93.0% and 79.1% of cases respectively (Table 2).

**Phenotypic determination of slime production**

Out of 43 MRSA isolates, 30 strains (69.8%) were slime producers on the CRA plate. They were black or reddish black color colony producers. Slime production was noted in 16 out of 25 strains isolated from suppurations and in 9 out of 11 blood culture isolates (Table 3).

**Semi-quantitative adherence assay**

The results of OD570 presented in Table 3, showed that 46.5% and 53.5% strains were respectively highly and moderately biofilm-forming on polystyrene. No isolate was weakly or non-biofilm producer on polystyrene. We detected 5/11 blood culture isolates and 10/25 pus isolates considered as highly biofilm formers. Both reference strains S. aureus ATCC 6538 and ATCC 43300 were highly biofilm-forming on polystyrene.

**Detection by PCR of icaA, icaD, fnbA, fnbB, cna, sspA, sspB and geh genes**

The icaA and the icaD genes were present in 69.8% and 65.1% of MRSA isolates respectively. A concomitant presence of both genes was detected in 55.8% of the strains. Our results revealed that 74.4% and 18.6% of
Table 2 Exoenzymes production and hemolysis of studied strains

| Strains | Exoenzymes expression | Hemolysis type |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------|
|         | Lecithinase | Lipase | Caseinase | Gelatinase |             |
| ATCC6538 | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 1       | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 2       | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 3       | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 4       | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 5       | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 6       | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 7       | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 8       | -         | -      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 9       | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 10      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 11      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 12      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 13      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 14      | +         | -      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 15      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 16      | +         | -      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 17      | +         | -      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 18      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 19      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 20      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 21      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 22      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 23      | -         | -      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 24      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 25      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 26      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 27      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 28      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 29      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 30      | -         | -      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 31      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 32      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 33      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 34      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 35      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 36      | +         | -      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 37      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 38      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 39      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 40      | +         | -      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 41      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Alpha        |
| 42      | +         | +      | +         | +         | Beta         |
| 43      | +         | -      | +         | +         | Beta         |

% expression 93.0% 79.1% 100% 100% 48.9%(alpha);51.1%(beta)
isolates respectively harbored the fnbA and fnbB genes. The cna gene coding for adhesin to collagen was detected in 32 strains (74.4%). Among the tested strains, 15 (34.9%), 8 (18.6%) and 13 (30.2%) were positive for sspA, sspB and geh genes.

Statistical analysis

Association between Congo red phenotypic test and adherence assay

Out of 20 highly-biofilm producing isolates, 18 showed a positive slime phenotype on Congo red agar. The nonparametric test of Mann Whitney U demonstrated that high biofilm production on polystyrene was significantly correlated with a positive Congo red phenotypic test ($p = 0.017$).

Association between biofilm production on polystyrene and biofilm related genes

Table 4 shows prevalence rates of MSCRAMMs genes (fnbA, fnbB and cna) and biofilm production control genes (icaA and icaD) depending on biofilm production. Univariate analysis followed by multivariate analysis showed that fnbA and fnbB genes were significantly associated with high biofilm production on polystyrene. However, no difference was observed in prevalence rates of icaA, icaD and cna genes between highly and moderately biofilm producing groups.

Association between biofilm production on polystyrene and exoenzymes genes

Table 5 details the prevalence rates of genes encoding serine protease (sspA), cysteine protease (sspB) and lipase (geh) in moderate and high biofilm-producing groups. Analysis showed that the presence of sspB gene was significantly associated with high biofilm production on polystyrene ($p = 0.003$). However, no differences were found in prevalence rates of sspa and geh genes between both groups (Table 5).

Discussion

S. aureus has emerged in recent decades as one of the leading causes of hospital and community infections [12]. Its virulence is a multifactorial process requiring the use of a variety of components regulated in a coordinated manner [2]. In this study, we noted that all isolates were alpha or beta-hemolytic. This finding agrees with the results of Barrett et al [13]. Hemolysin-α has pore-forming and pro-inflammatory properties. It binds to a membrane receptor and disrupts the integrity of host cells [14]. As for β-toxin, it is known to be the “hot-cold” hemolysin with a sphingomyelinase and a biofilm ligase activities [15–17].

Protease, lipase and lecithinase secretion were detected in most tested strains. Similar results were found by

### Table 3 Slime production and adherence assay of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates

| Strains   | Biofilm phenotype (CRA) | OD570 ± SD | Adherence state |
|-----------|-------------------------|------------|----------------|
| ATCC 6538 | S+                      | 2.90±0.05  | highly positive |
| ATCC 43300| S+                      | 0.71±0.15  | highly positive |
| 1         | S-                      | 0.19±0.05  | moderately positive |
| 2         | S-                      | 0.12±0.03  | moderately positive |
| 3         | S+                      | 0.13±0.02  | moderately positive |
| 4         | S+                      | 0.59±0.11  | highly positive |
| 5         | S+                      | 0.46±0.02  | highly positive |
| 6         | S+                      | 0.26±0.01  | highly positive |
| 7         | S+                      | 0.22±0.08  | moderately positive |
| 8         | S+                      | 0.42±0.01  | highly positive |
| 9         | S-                      | 0.15±0.02  | moderately positive |
| 10        | S+                      | 0.80±0.03  | highly positive |
| 11        | S+                      | 2.96±0.08  | highly positive |
| 12        | S-                      | 0.15±0.04  | moderately positive |
| 13        | S-                      | 0.15±0.07  | moderately positive |
| 14        | S+                      | 1.77±0.02  | highly positive |
| 15        | S+                      | 0.22±0.01  | moderately positive |
| 16        | S+                      | 0.17±0.03  | moderately positive |
| 17        | S+                      | 2.62±0.01  | highly positive |
| 18        | S+                      | 0.24±0.02  | highly positive |
| 19        | S+                      | 0.13±0.05  | moderately positive |
| 20        | S+                      | 0.62±0.03  | highly positive |
| 21        | S+                      | 0.12±0.03  | moderately positive |
| 22        | S+                      | 0.21±0.39  | moderately positive |
| 23        | S+                      | 0.14±0.06  | moderately positive |
| 24        | S+                      | 0.14±0.01  | moderately positive |
| 25        | S+                      | 0.14±0.01  | moderately positive |
| 26        | S+                      | 1.00±0.12  | highly positive |
| 27        | S-                      | 0.18±0.13  | moderately positive |
| 28        | S+                      | 0.16±0.30  | moderately positive |
| 29        | S-                      | 0.26±0.60  | highly positive |
| 30        | S+                      | 0.14±0.02  | moderately positive |
| 31        | S-                      | 0.20±0.03  | moderately positive |
| 32        | S+                      | 1.51±0.08  | highly positive |
| 33        | S+                      | 0.41±0.09  | highly positive |
| 34        | S+                      | 0.40±0.07  | highly positive |
| 35        | S+                      | 0.27±0.06  | highly positive |
| 36        | S+                      | 0.31±0.04  | highly positive |
| 37        | S+                      | 0.23±0.02  | highly positive |
| 38        | S+                      | 0.37±0.05  | highly positive |
| 39        | S-                      | 0.18±0.02  | moderately positive |
| 40        | S-                      | 0.16±0.03  | moderately positive |
| 41        | S-                      | 0.22±0.04  | moderately positive |
| 42        | S-                      | 0.25±0.05  | highly positive |
| 43        | S-                      | 0.12±0.03  | moderately positive |
many reports [13, 18, 19]. S. aureus uses proteolytic and lipolytic exoenzymes to invade, damage the host tissue components and even spread to other sites [20]. They also protect against the innate immune system and are key mediators of secreted and cell wall-associated virulence determinant stability [21].

Biofilm formation is a major virulence factor. It provides inter-bacterial contact, accumulation of bacteria in superimposed layers, protection against the host immunity and acquisition of significant multiresistance [22, 23]. Our study showed that the majority of strains were slime producers on CRA. Several reports noted lower frequencies [13, 24–30]. In addition, all isolates were highly or moderately biofilm-forming on polystyrene. According to other studies, adhesion capacity was weaker [1, 4, 9, 10, 27, 28, 31–34]. This variability in adhesion capacity between MRSA strains can be explained by inter-strain variability of surface associated proteins and of biofilm production regulatory genes [23]. Significant association between biofilm production on polystyrene and Congo red test in our study highlights the performance of this non-costly phenotypic test to detect potential virulent S. aureus clinical isolates. Similarly, previous reports showed a high consistency between both tests [28, 30, 31].

Biofilm formation in S. aureus isolates occurs through the polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) as well as the MSCRAMMs. These structures provide initial binding of S. aureus to host tissues and biomaterials [29]. After the step of adhesion and colonization of host cells and mucous membranes, a phase of tissue invasion occurs. It was demonstrated that fibronectin binding proteins FnB and FnB are involved in bacterial invasion of the endothelial cells in vivo and in vitro [35]. Prevalences of fnbA and fnbB genes were higher in other studies [36, 37]. Moreover, the intracellular adhesion (ica) operon is essential for the control of biofilm production. The synthesis of polymer matrix exopolysaccharides is monitored by the icaADBC operon, which encodes three membrane proteins (IcaA, IcaD, and IcaC) with enzymatic activity and one extracellular protein (IcaB). The PIA, encoded by this operon, plays also an important role in adhesion to epithelial cells and allows escaping the immune system of the host. Frequencies of icaA and icaD genes were also higher in several previous studies [4, 24, 26–28, 35, 37]. Our results showed that icaA and icaD genes were not present in all highly biofilm formers. This discrepancy between biofilm phenotype and genotype could be due to the fact that ica expression is dependent on environmental conditions [38].

Adhesion to collagen plays an important role in the pathogenesis induced by S. aureus [36]. The collagen binding protein Cna is the second most important adhesion molecule. Furthermore, the recombinant Cna can even be designed as an effective vaccine component and antibodies raised against Cna are protective in a mouse model of S. aureus induced septic death [39]. Most of studied isolates were positive for cna gene. Other reports revealed lower rates [4, 35, 37, 40].

The complexity of bacterial tools used for cell adherence and invasion ranges from single monomeric proteins to intricate multimeric macromolecules that perform highly sophisticated functions [41]. In contrast with this study, spSA, spSB and geh genes were harbored by all strains of another report [40].

With regard to the complexity and the variability of the biofilm phenotype, genetic studies identified numerous genes involved in biofilm formation.

| Exoenzymes genes | Moderately biofilm producers (n=23) | Highly biofilm producers (n=20) | P (univariate analysis) | P (multivariate analysis) |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| geh+             | 8/23                            | 5/20                          | 0,791                  | -                        |
| sspA+            | 6/23                            | 9/20                          | 0,117 a                | 0,223                    |
| sspB+            | 1/23                            | 5/20                          | 0,013 a                | 0,003                    |

a Factor studied in bivariate analysis
However, their relative importance is still unclear [42]. In the light of this, our multivariate analysis showed that the presence of fnbA and fnbB genes was significantly associated with a high biofilm production on polystyrene. This consolidates the alleged role of these genes in biofilm formation. Comparably, some studies suggested that detection of some adhesion factors is more practical for the prediction of biofilm formation [4, 31, 43]. Other reports have shown the decisive role of the ica gene [32, 33]. However, no statistical difference was found in the distribution of genes by some researches [28, 31]. Such investigations aim to find new attractive targets for antivirulence therapy by overcoming biofilm formation [31].

We also found a significant association between the presence of sspB gene and biofilm production. In fact, a number of soluble extracellular proteins can affect biofilm formation. The relationship between staphylococcal enzymes activities and biofilm formation was reported by some researches. However, it remains to be elucidated and merits further investigation [44].

**Conclusion**

In summary, most of our MRSA isolates were highly biofilm producers with elevated prevalences of some MSCRAMMs genes and biofilm production control genes. The present study indicates that the detection of the adhesion factors (fnbA and fnbB genes) contributing to the first step of biofilm formation can be used as a biofilm formation marker in *S. aureus*. Further in-depth research could be of value in the development of new preventive and therapeutic measures of staphylococcal infections.
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