The particular anatomical features of the elbow joint and its relation to the hand and shoulder lead and define the functional deficits after bony or soft tissue injury. Heterotopic ossification is considered one of the most debilitating causes, which almost always results in severe limitation of motion or complete ankylosis.

The prevalence of clinically significant HO in the traumatic brain injury population is estimated to be 10-20% [1] or even higher according to the literature [2]. Significant risk factors for HO development include trauma, burns, immobility, limb spasticity, and prolonged coma [3-5]. In traumatic brain injury HO tends to occur in the connective tissue between the muscle planes and not within the muscle itself [6], affecting in decreasing frequency the hips, elbows, shoulders, knees, and rarely, the thigh [1].

Recent studies reported more favorable surgical results in patients with HO than in those with other causes of stiffness [7-9]. However, HO has been considered as a poor prognostic factor after surgical release with respect to recovery of elbow motion, with some of the studies reporting a recurrence rate of 10% to 24% or results showing fair to poor outcomes in more than 30% of studied patients after surgical treatment [10]. The majority of the factors that lead to these poor outcomes still remain poorly understood [10,11].

The purpose of the present retrospective study was to report the results of surgical treatment for post-traumatic elbow stiffness caused by HO in patients with elbow trauma and brain injury necessitating admission to intensive care unit.

Although surgical treatment of HO is technically demanding and accompanied by high complication rates, reasonable outcome can be expected regardless of preoperative ROM or the type of brain injury, provided that upper limb does not have any neurological deficit. While some loss of motion from what significantly gained intra-operatively can be expected, a majority of patients who actively participate in their post-operative physical therapy program will achieve an optimal outcome by preserving a functional arc of motion.
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We were able to contact and re-examine 24 patients. There were 16 men and 8 women with a mean age of 37 years (range 18-67). In seventeen patients the dominant arm was involved. Two patients had been lost from the follow up but their medical records from the last outpatient clinic visit were available. One patient died of unrelated reason and one patient refused to be re-examined. We included in this retrospective study 24 patients that we were able to re-examine clinically and radiographically. The mean follow-up was 93.2 months (range, 44-201 months).

Regarding the head injury eight patients sustained a subdural hematoma, five an epidural hematoma, four a subarachnoid hemorrhage, and seven a diffuse cerebral edema (Table 1). Six patients underwent a neurosurgical procedure on average of approximately five hours after admission to the hospital. The elbow trauma consisted of distal humerus fracture in five patients, an olecranon fracture in three, in complex fracture dislocation of the elbow in nine, radial head fracture in three, an elbow dislocation in two, an MCL rupture in one and an open elbow dislocation in one patient (Table 2) (Figure 2). Twenty patients underwent operative fixation of their lesions. The average duration to ICU was 29 days (range 17-86 days).

![Typical case of elbow HO after olecranon fracture and brain injury. This patient sustained a subarachnoid hemorrhage and admitted to ICU for 20 days.](image1)

**Figure 1:** Typical case of elbow HO after olecranon fracture and brain injury. This patient sustained a subarachnoid hemorrhage and admitted to ICU for 20 days.

**Table 1:** Head injury lesions.

| Subdural hematoma | 8 |
|-------------------|---|
| Epidural hematoma | 5 |
| Subarachnoid hemorrhage | 4 |
| Cerebral edema | 7 |

**Table 2:** Elbow injuries.

| Distal humerus fracture | 5 |
|-------------------------|---|
| Olecranon fracture       | 3 |
| Radial head fracture     | 3 |
| Complex elbow fracture dislocation | 9 Total |
| Terrible triad           | 3 |
| Posterior Monteggia lesion | 4 |
| Transolecranon fracture dislocation | 2 |
| MCL rupture              | 1 |
| Open elbow dislocation   | 1 |
| Close elbow dislocation  | 2 |

![a) Open elbow dislocation in 27 yrs old patient who suffered from traumatic epidural hematoma. b) Almost complete elbow ankylosis after 22 months of the original injury.](image2)

**Figure 2:** a) Open elbow dislocation in 27 yrs old patient who suffered from traumatic epidural hematoma. b) Almost complete elbow ankylosis after 22 months of the original injury.
Surgical management

Excision of the heterotopic bone (formed) as well as elbow soft tissue contracture release was performed utilizing well-described surgical techniques in the literature [8,12-14]. Persistent loss of elbow motion causing disability, limitation of daily activities, pain and duration of the symptoms for at least nine months with no sign of improvement despite physical therapy were the clinical indications for surgical intervention. A negative bone scan and normal levels of alkaline phosphatase were the main laboratory indicators of heterotopic bone maturity until 2004. Since then we abandoned their use, as according to our limited experience and the literature in matter, ALP is not considered a reliable screening method for early HO detection [15,16]. Furthermore, bone scintigraphy has limited value for evaluating the maturity of ossification and is little used in clinical practice [8]. Our main criteria for excision after 2004 were the clinical status, as described above, and a stabilized lesion radiographically.

Approach was determined by previous skin incisions, presence of ulnar neuropathy, location of the heterotopic bone and status of the radial head. A long posterior midline incision was used in 18 patients, a combination of medial and lateral incision in two, a lateral in two, a medial in one and an anterior in one (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Various approaches utilized for the HO excision from elbow joint
a) A long posterior incision gives access posteriorly, anteriorly medially and laterally to the elbow joint.

b) Medial and lateral approach utilized separately to excise HO from the medial lateral and lateral aspect of the elbow joint.

c) An anterior approach to the elbow joint. Utilized very rarely and in specific cases of HO location at the anterior elbow surface.

d) A medial approach to elbow joint. In this particular case after excision of the HO through the flexor-pronator mass.

In a posterior approach a large medial and lateral full thickness fasciocutaneous flaps were created and the ulnar nerve was identified and released up to the proximal aspect of the flexor carpi ulnaris (FCU) muscle and protected (Figure 4). After dissection of the triceps muscle from the posterior humerus, a complete excision of the posterior capsule was performed as well as excision of heterotopic bone from the posterior aspect of the joint, especially around the olecranon and the olecranon fossa. If necessary, the posterior band of the MCL was released, until gaining flexion up to 130 degrees or more. When forearm motion was limited the Kocher interval was developed and an anterior capsulectomy was performed in combination with excision of heterotopic bone whenever it was present. In five cases a radial head excision was undertaken due to enormous enlargement from chondral metaplasia, after radial head fracture (Figure 5). In six cases a medial approach through the flexor-pronator mass was developed, one primarily and the rest as a part of another approach, in order to completely excise the anterior capsule and achieve full extension of the joint.

Figure 4: Large medial and lateral fasciocutaneous flaps. Identification and protection of the ulnar nerve (yellow arrow).

Figure 5: Elbow HO after brain injury and Radial head fracture.

a)HO in the lateral and medial side.
b)Radial head chondral metaplasia

Hardware for fracture fixation was removed in all patients. In one patient a supracondylar fracture was created attempting to gain full extension, and treated with k-wires (Figure 6). In three
patients a pie crust triceps lengthening/release was performed to gain additional flexion.

Figure 6: Iatrogenic fracture after excision of the Elbow HO in attempting to gain full ROM.
- a) Elbow HO affecting all the elbow surfaces after elbow dislocation.
- b) Supracondylar elbow fracture after HO excision, treated with k-wires.
- c) Profile view from the same elbow xray.

Figure 7: A long arm anterior splint applied with elbow joint in full extension.

The lateral collateral ligament was accidentally detached from its humeral insertion in one patient and repaired with transosseous sutures. The ulnar nerve was transposed subcutaneously in twenty-one patients either as a preventive or as a therapeutic procedure. Bone wax was applied onto all bony surfaces from which bone was excised.

A long arm splint with the elbow in full extension was applied onto the anterior aspect of the joint (Figure 7). Active assisted and passive flexion-extension exercise begun the first postoperative day. Indomethacin 75mg was administered twice daily for six weeks in order to prevent a new ectopic bone formation. An intensive physiotherapy program was followed and a turnbuckle splint was applied after edema subsidence, usually after the third postoperative week. The mean duration of physical therapy was 5 months and the mean duration of the turnbuckle splint usage was 11 months. No adjuvant radiation therapy was used, although its true value in HO management is still debated. According to Cipriano et al. [17] local radiation was not effective in patients with neurogenic HO. On the other hand, studies on patients with HO after spinal cord injuries treated with adjuvant radiation therapy indicate significant improvement in ROM [18, 19].

Table 3: Preop and Postop ROM and the Mayo Elbow Performance Index.

|             | Preop          | Postop        |
|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Av E/F      | 45 (35-85)     | 110 (0-140)   |
| Av S/P      | 100 (45-55)    | 165 (75-85)   |
| MEPI score  | 45             | 89.1          |

Results

The average arc of elbow motion improved from 40° (range 0°-80°) pre operatively to 110° (range 0°-140°), with an improvement of 70° at the final follow-up evaluation. The mean Mayo Elbow Performance Index MEPI score was 89.9 (range, 60-100). Results were good to excellent in 18 patients, fair in 5 patients, and poor in one (Table 3). Among the 24 study patients, 19 (79.1%) obtained a total ROM of 100 at the final evaluation, and 3 underwent repeated surgical release due to recurrence of contracture. In two of them a recurrence of HO was present 9 months on average postoperatively. Patients who required repeated surgical release had an average arc of flexion-extension of 70° at the time of their second surgery and an average of 90° after their second elbow release at final evaluation for the needs of the present paper.

Five patients did not gain an arc of >100, and in two of them recurrence of HO was regarded as the major reason of failure. In the rest three patients a severe osteoarthritis was developed and considered as the main reason of the failure.

There was one iatrogenic injury to the lateral ligamentous complex and one iatrogenic fracture of the distal humerus, treated accordingly. Three patients developed a mildly valgus deformed elbow without clinical consequences. Three patients underwent a second procedure, a transmuscular ulnar nerve transposition together with internal neurolysis, due to tardy ulnar nerve palsy 75 months on average of 100 months after the index procedure. Recurrent HO was observed in two patients postoperatively, one of them denied any further treatment.
Discussion

The goal of our study was to assess the outcome in improvement of the range of motion of the elbow and of the daily activities after surgical release of elbow stiffness caused by HO after elbow trauma and concomitant brain injury.

Thus we can state that a very reasonable outcome can be expected regardless of preoperative ROM or the type of brain injury, provided that upper limb does not have any neurological deficit.

In the past HO has been considered as a poor prognostic factor after elbow trauma [10,20,21]. Due to the extensive loss in ROM, the high recurrence rates of HO and complications after surgical treatment, the decision making for operative intervention was a less attractive option.

Nowadays, although surgical treatment of HO is technically demanding and accompanied by high complication rates, there are studies which report good results after surgical treatment of elbow stiffness due to HO [4,22-24]. Accordingly, there are studies that demonstrate better results for the HO group in comparison with the capsular contracture group after surgical treatment 7. However, the are no studies evaluating the outcome of surgical release in patients with elbow trauma and brain injury, admitted for a period of time to ICU.

We attempted to evaluate the results especially in this subcategory of patients and to extract conclusions, although retrospectively, regarding the feasibility of the procedure, the outcome regarding ROM and ability to perform daily activities. Furthermore due to complexity of these procedures we encountered the potentials risks and tried to find ways to avoid or fix them.

Excision of heterotopic bone as well as release of contracture or ankylosis about the elbow requires a very sound knowledge of anatomy in this particular area. A posterior skin incision offers a global approach to elbow surfaces and deep structures. Substantial improvement in elbow and forearm range of motion can be anticipated following the procedure described although a high complication rate early or delayed can interrelate these procedures. Every patient should be treated with an aggressive rehabilitation protocol that includes prolonged physical therapy as well as use of turnbuckle splint 14. Adjuvant treatment with indomethacin should be utilized in every patient as various studies seem to support the efficacy of NSAIDs and indomethacin in particular [4,25]. While some loss of motion from what significantly gained intra-operatively can be expected, a majority of patients who actively participate in their physical therapy program will achieve an optimal outcome by preserving a functional arc of motion.
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