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ABSTRACT

Objective: Neuroprotector (Vitamin B complex or mecobalamin) is often used as a supportive neuropathic pain therapy. The effectiveness of this drug remains controversial, especially in geriatrics with Type 2 diabetic neuropathy pains. The aim of this study was to compare the diabetes neuropathic pain reduction in elderly with and without neuroprotective supplementation.

Method: The study was conducted by prospective cohort design. 132 agings were observed during 4 weeks at Neurology Polyclinic, Sanglah Public Hospital, Denpasar, Bali-Indonesia. Individuals undergoing the first-line neuropathic pain therapy who received neuroprotector supplementation were included in the exposure group (66 individuals), while those not receiving neuroprotective supplementations were included in the nonexposure group (66 individuals). The pain scores were measured by numeric rating scales instruments. The measured outcome was a decrease in diabetic neuropathic pain scores.

Result: Both groups showed a reduction in pain scores statistically different compared to baseline pain score (p<0.05). The comparison head-to-head on neuroprotector supplementation group showed significantly greater to reduce the pain score compared to nonexposure group (p<0.05). The relative risk of pain score reduction more than 2 units was 1.37 (confidence interval [CI] 95%: 1.05-1.80) and the number needed to treat was 5 (CI 95%: 3-28) compared to nonexposure group.

Conclusion: Individuals who are undergoing the first-line neuropathic pain therapy and getting Vitamin B complex or mecobalamin supplementation had decreased pain intensity better than without supplementation therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is part of the chronic metabolic diseases that cause major neuropathy in the sufferer [1-3]. Neuropathy in DM is a disorder that occurs in the peripheral nervous system, due to DM. According to the Rochester study, the incidence of diabetic polyneuropathy in patients >60 years is greater than in other age groups (52%), so geriatrics need special attention [4-6].

Management of neuropathic pain and anther neuropathy problem, commonly utilized tricyclic antidepressant such as amitriptyline and gamma-aminobutyric acid analog such as gabapentin and pregabalin as the first-line therapy [7,8]. Phenomenon in health facilities often found clinicians add supportive therapy in the form of neuroprotectors such as Vitamin B complex (contain Vitamin B1, B6, and B12) or mecobalamin (Vitamin B12). Based on preliminary studies conducted at Sanglah Denpasar General Hospital, 70-85% of geriatric patients with diabetic neuropathy pain got supplementation of Vitamin B complex or mecobalamin.

The use of neuroprotectors as a therapy supporting the pain of diabetic neuropathy is still controversial. A review by Jayabalan and Loe, 2016, showed no evidence that the use of Vitamin B12 supplementation was associated with the improvement of clinical symptoms of diabetic neuropathy [9]. A randomized control trial study, in diabetic neuropathy patients, addition of methylcobalamin and alpha-lipoic acid showed significant improvement in the outcome of pain interference and sleep interference compared without the addition of methylcobalamin and alpha-lipoic acid [10,11]. There are no data related to the effectiveness of neuroprotectors in the local area.

Based on the background of the problem, further research is needed to relate the effective analysis of neuroprotector (Vitamin B complex and mecobalamin) as supportive therapy in the treatment of neuropathic pain in geriatric patients with Type 2 DM. The results of this study are expected to be used as evidence-based medicine for clinicians, to determine the best therapy for treating diabetic neuropathic pain patients, especially in the elderly patient group in Indonesia. The novelty of this study was to directly compare the effects of neural supplementation and comparison of head-to-head Vitamin B complex and mecobalamin in geriatric patients with diabetic neuropathic pain.

METHODS

Subject
The population in this study was geriatrics, diagnosed with diabetic neuropathy, and had an initial numeric rating scales (NRS) pain score of at least 2 units. The data were taken at the outpatient neurology polyclinic of the Central Hospital of Sanglah in Denpasar-Bali. The inclusion criteria were defined as men and women aged ≥60 years, patients with DM Type 2 with controlled blood sugar levels, patients with a diagnosis of painful diabetic neuropathy, and patients on therapy with the first-line neuropathic pain medicine with and without neuroprotector admission. The exclusion criteria were defined as patients who were not willing to participate in the study, patients with a history of heart disease, kidney failure, and impaired liver function.

Sampling was carried out after obtaining the approval of a Sanglah Hospital research ethics committee with ethical clearance number 185/UN.14.2/R&D/2015 as well as informed consent from the patients. Patients will be included in the study if they are willing to be part of the
study. By completing the informed consent and signing it, the patient has consented to be part of this research. Research individuals will be divided into two groups, i.e., groups that get exposure and nonexposure. Exposure was neuroprotector supplementation (Vitamin B complex or mecobalamin).

Clinical assessment
The sampling technique was used for nonprobability consecutive sampling where researchers would take all individuals who were diagnosed with painful diabetic neuropathy in accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria up to the minimum number of individuals met. Using the formula of robustness analysis in a cohort study, the minimum sample to be observed to represent the population in each group were 66 individuals.

Baseline characteristics determined in this study were age, gender, risk factor of study individuals (e.g., hyperlipidemia, hypertension, smokers, and duration of diabetes), ongoing neuropathic pain therapy, and initial pain scores measured using NRS instruments. This characteristic is chosen by the equilibrium judgment that the individual is derived from equivalent characteristics. Outcome measurements were done by direct measurement method, in which individuals will be measured initial pain score before therapy and the final pain score after 4 weeks of therapy.

Clinical outcome
Clinical outcomes observed include the decrease in initial and final pain scores of each group (dependent sample) and comparison of reduced pain scores between groups (independent sample). Both outcomes will be analyzed using analytical analysis.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was conducted on baseline characteristics and clinical outcome tests. Baseline characteristics were analyzed by nonparametric Mann–Whitney U (MWU) and Chi-square tests. Clinical outcomes were done by Wilcoxon sign rank test on dependent sample and MWU on independent sample. A Chi-square analysis was selected for the analysis of relationships among variables in this study. Probability values (p) of <0.05 were examined statistically significant for all analyses. All analysis was conducted using SPSS 17 for Windows software.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Subject recruitment
Within 4 months, a total of 144 diabetic neuropathy individuals were successfully collected. Eight individuals did not meet the study criteria and 136 individuals were enrolled in the study. The individual recruitment flow is shown in Fig. 1.

The individuals did not meet the criteria of the study, among others, were not willing to be involved in the study (two individuals), three individuals received advanced pain therapy (2nd and 3rd line), and three individuals had uncontrolled blood glucose level. In the observation period, in the exposure group, there were four individuals who had dropped out because of low adherence (<80%).

Subjects characteristics
The demographics of the study individuals were determined by age, sex, risk factors, ongoing therapy, and initial pain scores, as showed in Table 1. Table 1 shows that the results of characteristic analysis both in neuroprotector groups (exposure) and usual care (nonexposure) were not significantly different. This means the individuals of the study in both groups were equal and would not affect the observed outcome of the study.

Vitamin B complex and mecobalamin effectivity analysis
The results of the observations showed the group of patients undergoing neuropathic pain treatment with neuroprotective addition (exposure group) and without neuroprotective addition (nonexposure group), both group (dependent sample) had significant neuropathic pain relief compared to baseline (p<0.05). However, when compared to head-to-head between the exposure group and nonexposure group (independent sample), it was seen that the exposure group was better in reducing the pain score than nonexposure significantly (p<0.05) as shown in Table 2. Individuals in the exposure group can be classified into two subgroups, the group receiving the Vitamin B complex (Vitamins B1, B6, and B12) and mecobalamin (Vitamin B12). The two subgroups were carried out with nonparametric analysis to determine whether there are differences in effectiveness. The results are presented in Table 3.

In Table 3, it was shown that both Vitamin B complex and mecobalamin had no significant effect difference in decreasing pain score (p>0.05).
This suggests that both Vitamin B complex and mecobalamin both have a better effect in reducing the diabetic neuropathic pain score than without the administration of neuroprotective agents.

Based on the results obtained, it can be determined the value of relative risk (RR) and number need to treat (NNT) individual to exposure group and nonexposure, with the result shown in Table 4.

RR values show that individuals in the exposure group experienced a decrease in pain intensity >2 units, 1.37 times greater than nonexposure group, whereas an NNT score of 5.08, meaning that every five individuals given neuroprotective supplementation would get one good effect to decrease of diabetic neuropathy pain.

The results of research obtained similar to an open-label prospective study that showed 24 weeks of neuroprotective treatment in patients with DM with polyneuropathy showing healing at tingling (p<0.03), upper limb symptoms (p<0.003), ataxia (p=0.004), and signs of impaired position (p<0.009) sense, vibration sense (p<0.0001), pinprick sensation (p<0.004), and knee reflex (p<0.004) improved from baseline [10]. Systematic review studies conducted in neuropathy patients with DM treated with combination agents (Vitamin B complex with cyanocobalamin) and single methylcobalamin suggests a symptomatic relief increase compared to electrophysiologic therapy [12].

Supplementation of Vitamin B complex or mecobalamin may be useful in patients with neuropathy to overcome the deficiency that occurs. One of the mechanisms suspected of contributing to the treatment of neuropathic pain is that neuroprotectors can act as direct and crucial donors from methyl groups to myelin sheaths that isolate axons and in DNA metabolism for nerve regeneration [10,13]. In Vitamin B12 deficiency, hypomethylation occurs in the central nervous system. Inhibition of B12-dependent enzyme methionine synthase results in a decrease in the ratio of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) to S-adenosylhomocysteine [14]. Deficiencies in the SAM component interfere with the methylation reaction in the myelin sheath. Methylation of homocysteine into methionine requires the presence of Vitamin B12 [15]. The elderly population is generally deficient in Vitamin B12; so, it needs the intake of vitamins from outside the

| Subgroup of exposure group | Dose | Decrease of pain score | p value |
|---------------------------|------|------------------------|--------|
| Vitamin B complex (n=37) | 1 tablet OD (Vit.B1 50 mg; B6 100 mg; B12 100 mcg) | 26 (70.27) | 0.613 NS |
| Mecobalamin (n=29) | 500 mcg OD | 22 (75.86) | 11 (29.73) |
body [16]. Based on the results of research that has been done, the provision of neuroprotector supplementation may be considered to be given to geriatric with Type 2 diabetes neuropathy pain to be able to support the treatment of neuropathic pain given by the physician.

This study has limitations, where the individuals involved in the study had baseline pain scores ranging only in the 2-5 unit range, which was incorporated into the mild-to-moderate pain category. The baseline pain score was unable to measure the effectiveness in severe degrees.

CONCLUSION

Neuroprotectors (Vitamin B complex or mecobalamin) administration can reduce the intensity of diabetic neuropathy pain better than without administration in geriatrics that were running the first-line therapy of diabetic neuropathy pain.
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