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We investigate four-dimensional near-conformal dynamics by means of the large-charge limit. We first introduce and justify the formalism in which near-conformal invariance is insured by adding a dilaton and then determine the large-charge spectrum of the theory. The dilaton can also be viewed as the radial mode of the effective field theory. We calculate the two-point functions of charged operators. We discover that the mass of the dilaton, parametrizing the near-breaking of conformal invariance, induces a novel term that is logarithmic in the charge. One can therefore employ the large-charge limit to explore near-conformal dynamics and determine dilaton-related properties.
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Conformal field theories (CFTs) play an essential role in our understanding of critical phenomena in several dimensions [1]. Of particular relevance are quantum phase transitions in four-dimensional gauge theories which are zero-temperature transitions from conformal to nonconformal phases. A time-honored example is the number-of-flavor-driven quantum phase transitions from an infrared (IR) fixed point to a nonconformal phase where chiral symmetry is broken [2]. Depending on the underlying mechanism behind the loss of conformality one can envision several scenarios ranging from a Berezinskii–Kosterlitz–Thouless (BKT)-like phase transition discovered in two dimensions [3] and proposed for four dimensions [2,4–9] to a jumping (noncontinuous) phase transition [10]. The subsequent suggestion that theories with a very small number of matter fields in higher-dimensional representations could be (near) conformal [11] culminated in the well-known conformal window phase diagram of [12] that has served as a road map for lattice studies [13].

In all scenarios, the spectrum is not symmetric on the two sides of the quantum phase transition. In the nonconformal phase, we have a well-defined particle spectrum with states separated by a mass gap, and depending on whether some residual global symmetries are spontaneously broken, the spectrum will feature additional gapless states. In the conformal phase, on the other hand, conformality forbids gaps enforcing a continuum of states. However, one can still define quasiparticles in the conformal phase if the transition occurs in a perturbative regime of the underlying theory. In the BKT transition, all derivatives of the correlation length with respect to the parameter driving the transition away from the symmetric phase vanish at the critical point; in the jumping case, there is a discontinuous transition between the conformal and nonconformal phase. These are two extreme ways to characterize the four-dimensional quantum phase transition and others can be envisioned as the supersymmetric quantum chromodynamics (QCD) example shows [14,15]. If the quantum phase transition is smooth, such as the one due to the annihilation of an IR and ultraviolet (UV) fixed point, soon after the transition (annihilation of the fixed points) it is natural to define three regions: a high-energy region dominated by asymptotic freedom, a quasiconformal region in which the coupling(s) remain nearly constant, and a low energy one where the theory develops a mass scale. Two renormalization group (RG)-invariant energy scales can be naturally defined: $\Lambda_{\text{UV}}$, separating the asymptotically free behavior from the quasiconformal one, and the scale $\Lambda_{\text{IR}}$ below which conformality and, depending on the theory, also certain global symmetries are lost. This behavior is colloquially known as walking and it has been invoked several times in the phenomenological literature for models of dynamical electroweak breaking in order to enhance the effect of bilinear fermion operators [5,6]. The amount of walking is naturally measured in terms of the (RG)
invariant ratio $\lambda_{\text{UV}}/\lambda_{\text{IR}}$. For QCD-like theories, this ratio is of order unity while near-conformal theories of \textit{walking} type have ideally $\lambda_{\text{UV}}/\lambda_{\text{IR}} \gg 1$. An equivalent way to view walking is through the emergence of two complex zeros of the beta-function in the near-conformal phase [16]. Perturbative examples of near-conformal dynamics have been considered in [17–19].

Lattice methods have been developed and proven useful to explore the nonperturbative dynamics of the infrared conformal window of gauge-fermion theories [13] while it has been proven difficult to identify and determine the nature of the quantum phase transition per se.

A general expectation is that for a continuous quantum phase transition, a dilatonlike mode appears in the broken phase in order to account for the approximate conformal invariance [20–26]. This dilatonlike effective action can be implemented \textit{à la} Coleman [27] in order to saturate the underlying trace anomaly of the theory that keeps track of the breaking of Weyl invariance. Recently there has been renewed interest in effective field theories (EFTs) featuring the breaking of Weyl invariance [20–26,28–33]. Going further away from the conformal window, we expect the dilaton state to merge into the lightest scalar state of the theory loosing its conformal properties, as properly determined within the EFT [48]. There is also in principle a Wess–Zumino term that, however, contributes at lower order in $1/Q$ and contains logarithmic corrections that vanish both on flat space and on the cylinder [44,54]. The $U(1)$ symmetry acts on $\chi$ as $\chi \to \chi + \delta$. For simplicity, we will consider the theory on a torus of side $L$, $M_3 = T^3(L)$. The classical solution at fixed charge $Q$ is $\chi = \mu t$, where $\mu = (4k_4Q)^{1/3}/L$. This solution spontaneously breaks the $U(1)$ and leads to a Goldstone field $\hat{\chi}$ whose action is obtained expanding the field in the nonlinear sigma model (NLSM) as $\chi = \mu t + \hat{\chi}$.

This approach can be used more generally. We can start with a two-derivative EFT for the prospective Goldstone of the type

$$L_2[\chi] = \frac{f_\chi^2}{2} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\mu \chi - C^4,$$

where $f_\chi$ and $C$ are dimension-one constants related to the underlying theory. If we want to describe a (near) conformal theory, we can introduce a new field $\sigma$—the dilaton—that under dilatations $x \to e^x x$ transforms as $\sigma \to \sigma - \alpha f$, where $f$ is a constant of dimension $[f] = -1$. Using this field we can turn any action into a nonlinearly realized conformally invariant one by dressing all the operators $O_k$ of dimension $[O_k] = k$ as

$$L_{\text{NLSM}}[\chi] = k_4(\partial_\mu \chi \partial_\mu \chi)^2.$$
\[ \mathcal{O}_k \rightarrow e^{(h-k)/2} \mathcal{O}_k. \]  

(3)

In our \( U(1) \) case we obtain

\[
    \mathcal{L}_{\text{CFT}}[\chi, \sigma] = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi - C^4 e^{-4\sigma f} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\sigma f} \left( g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\nu \sigma - \frac{\xi R}{f^2} \right) + O(R^2),
\]

(4)

where we have also added a kinetic term for the dilaton \([55]\). In view of wanting to invoke the state-operator correspondence, we have also added the Ricci scalar \( R \), the conformal coupling \( \xi = 1/6 \), and the \( O(R^2) \) terms that do not depend on the fields. We now have obtained an effective action for the two Goldstones resulting from the breaking of the internal and of the conformal symmetry. From this point of view, the four-derivative action in Eq. (1) can be viewed as the heavy-dilaton limit of this model. The two fields can be combined into a complex dilaton, akin to the string-theoretical axio-dilaton:

\[
    \Sigma = \sigma + if_n \chi.
\]

(5)

Now the action can be recast in the form

\[
    L[\varphi] = \partial_\mu \varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - \xi R \varphi^* \varphi - u(\varphi^* \varphi)^2 + O(R^2),
\]

(6)

where \( \varphi = 1/(\sqrt{2}) e^{-\sigma/2} \), which means the dilaton appears as the radial mode of \( \varphi \). We are describing a CFT, which by definition has no dimensionful parameters. The three dimensionful constants \( f_n, C \) and \( f \) are combined into the two dimensionless quantities \( b = ff_n \) and \( u = 4C^4f^4 \). The former controls the deficit angle for the field \( \varphi \), which covers the whole complex plane only if \( b = 1 \). The action \( L[\varphi] \) was originally introduced in \([44, 59]\) to describe the large-charge limit of the \( O(N) \) model. The fixed-charge ground state is homogeneous and of the form

\[
    \chi = \mu t, \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{f} \log(v),
\]

(7)

where (on the torus) \( \mu = 4c_{4/3}Q^2/3 \), and \( v = 2f_n \sqrt{c_{4/3}/3}/\Lambda_Q \), and it has energy \( E = c_{4/3}Q^4/3/L \) where \( c_{4/3} = 3(C/(2f_n))^{4/3} \) and \( \Lambda_Q = Q^{4/3}/L \) is the scale associated to the fixed charge.

Expanding the fields around this vacuum expectation value (VEV) as \( \chi = \mu t + \xi t \) and \( \sigma = 1/f \log(v) + \bar{\sigma} \), and computing the propagator for the fluctuations \( \xi t \) and \( \bar{\sigma} \) we find one massless and one massive mode, with leading order dispersion relations

\[
    \omega = \frac{p}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \omega = bc_{4/3} \sqrt{\frac{32}{3} \Lambda_Q + \frac{5}{8\sqrt{6}bc_{4/3}^4\Lambda_Q^2}} p^2.
\]

(8)

The former is the expected conformal Goldstone that appears in all CFTs at fixed charge. The latter is a massive mode related to the dilaton. This mode would have not appeared if we had not added a kinetic term for \( \sigma \) in the action and had used \( \sigma \) as a Lagrange multiplier. The value of the classical energy would have however remained unchanged, since it is evaluated for constant \( \sigma \). The underlying nonperturbative information is efficiently parametrized by the two dimensionless parameters \( b \) and \( c_{4/3} \).

### II. A MASS FOR THE DILATON

The construction in the previous section clarifies the role of the radial mode of the field \( \varphi \) in the \( \varphi^4 \) action in Eq. (6), but in the limit of large charge, where the massive mode decouples, it does not yet add more information on the CFT at hand. It plays, however, a crucial role when extending the formulation to near-conformal theories. This can be achieved by adding a mass term for the dilaton \( \sigma \) \([27]\):

\[
    L_m[\chi, \sigma] = L_{\text{CFT}}[\chi, \sigma] - U_m(\sigma),
\]

(9)

where

\[
    U_m(\sigma) = \frac{m_\sigma^2}{16f^2} (e^{-4\sigma f} + 4\sigma f - 1).
\]

(10)

Here, \( m_\sigma \) is the mass of \( \sigma \) due to the underlying near-conformal dynamics. In fact, now the energy-momentum tensor is no longer traceless, its trace is proportional to the dilaton,

\[
    T^\mu_\mu = \frac{m_\sigma^2}{f} \sigma.
\]

(11)

It is through this operator that one encodes the (continuous) breaking of the conformal phase. For example, in perturbative models of conformal symmetry breaking one can demonstrate that this is indeed the right operator, as can be seen from Eq. (13) and Eq. (15) of \([18]\). In the non-perturbative regime, \( m_\sigma \) still measures the amount of near-conformal dynamics for it is proportional, in gauge-fermion theories, to the beta function of the theory as explained in section VII B of \([25]\) and in \([26]\).

Interestingly, the mass term \( U_m(\sigma) \) has a characteristic signature in the large-charge expansion of the physical observables. This is a welcome feature as it provides an independent handle when trying to disentangle the dilaton physics and features both analytically and via first-principle numerical simulations.

The first observation is that the near-conformal (walking) action in Eq. (9) admits again a homogeneous fixed-charge solution of the same type as before. On the torus we find that its energy is given by
that under a Weyl rescaling of the metric, the physics is still governed by the fixed point. This means we are sufficiently close to the fixed point and in a neighborhood of the putative conformal mode and a correction to the dispersion relation of the massive state as well. Of course, these two approaches are complementary and can be used to test each other. The above deviation. Of course, these two approaches are complementary and can be used to test each other. The above applies to the computation on a torus that is also linked to the one on the cylinder that we shall provide later and for which the coefficient $c_{4/3}$ is the same (see Eq. (19)).

Expanding the fields around the ground state, we find again one massless and one massive mode:

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( 1 + \frac{m_\sigma^2}{9c_{4/3}f_0^2\Lambda_0^4} \right)^{p,}$$

$$\omega = bc_{4/3} \sqrt{\frac{32}{3}} \frac{\Delta}{\Lambda_0} + \frac{5}{8\sqrt{6bc_{4/3}\Lambda_0}} \left( 1 - \frac{m_\sigma^2}{20c_{4/3}f_0^2\Lambda_0^4} \right)^{p^2.}$$

There is now, however, a contribution proportional to $m_\sigma^2$ to the velocity of the putative conformal mode and a correction to the dispersion relation of the massive state as well.

Another physical observable of the logarithmic behavior occurs is the conformal dimension of the lowest operator of charge $Q$. Strictly speaking, the conformal dimension is not defined in a nonconformal theory, but if we are sufficiently close to the fixed point and in a stationary point of the beta function of the full theory, the physics is still governed by the fixed point. This means that under a Weyl rescaling of the metric $g_{\mu\nu} \to \Omega(x)g_{\mu\nu} = g'_{\mu\nu}$, the operators in the theory transform as $\mathcal{O}(x) \to \Omega(x)\mathcal{O}(x) = \mathcal{O}'(x)$, were $\Delta^*$ is the dimension in the reference CFT ($m_\sigma = 0$). After analytic continuation, we can use the state-operator correspondence to compute two-point functions, mapping $\mathbb{R}^4$ to the cylinder frame. Consider the Weyl transformation

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \to \Omega(x)\delta_{\mu\nu} = g'_{\mu\nu}, \text{ where } \Omega(x) = r_0^2/|x|^2. \quad (15)$$

The metric $g'$ describes a cylinder

$$E = c_{4/3} \frac{Q^{4/3}}{L} - \frac{m_\sigma^2 L^3}{12f^2} \log(Q) + c_0. \quad (12)$$

where $c_0$ is a $Q$-independent constant. As before, this result receives quantum corrections that are suppressed by powers of $1/Q$. The nonvanishing mass $m_\sigma$ leads to a characteristic novel logarithmic term. The unknown coefficients in this expression cannot be computed within the EFT, but can be estimated, e.g., with a lattice computation. To disentangle the $\log(Q)$ term from the leading large $Q^{4/3}$ term one can perform the computation at different values of $L$ for the two terms have very different scalings of $L$. If one considers for example $L \times E$, the first term is a constant in $L$ and the second scales with $L^4$ and it should be possible to read out the relevant terms. Alternatively, at fixed $L$, one can first determine the coefficient $c_{4/3}$ at large charge and then subtract the leading term and determine the $\log(Q)$ deviation. Of course, these two approaches are complementary and can be used to test each other. The above applies to the computation on a torus that is also linked to the one on the cylinder that we shall provide later and for which the coefficient $c_{4/3}$ is the same (see Eq. (19)).

$$(ds')^2 = dr^2 + r_0^2d\Omega_3^2, \quad (16)$$

where $|x| = r_0e^{r/\ell_0}$ and $d\Omega_3^2$ is the metric of the unit three-sphere. The two-point function for the lowest operator of charge $Q$ is given by [47,52,57,58,60]

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_Q(t_0,n_0)\mathcal{O}_Q(t_1,n_1)\rangle_{\text{cyl}} = \int \mathcal{D}x\mathcal{D}\sigma \exp(Q \log(\varphi(t_0,n_0)\varphi(t_1,n_1))) - \int d\mathbf{x}L_m[\mathbf{x},\sigma], \quad (17)$$

where the first term describes the two insertions of an operator of charge $Q$. For large charge $Q$, the path integral is dominated by the homogeneous saddle point $\chi = i\mu t$, $\sigma = $ const.,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_Q(t_0,n_0)\mathcal{O}_Q(t_1,n_1)\rangle_{\text{cyl}} \approx e^{-E_{\text{cyl}}(t_1-t_0)}, \quad (18)$$

where $E_{\text{cyl}}$ is the energy of the fixed-charge ground state on the cylinder

$$r_0E_{\text{cyl}} = \frac{c_{4/3}}{(4\pi^2)^{1/3}}Q^{4/3} + c_{2/3}Q^{2/3} + c_0 - \frac{\pi^2 m_\sigma^2 f_0^2}{3f^2}\log(Q) + \ldots, \quad (19)$$

and $c_{2/3} = (\pi/(f_0\Lambda_0^2))^{2/3}/(2f^3)$. For $m_\sigma = 0$ this reproduces the expected behavior of a four-dimensional CFT [61]. We can now map this expression to the two-point function in the flat-space frame,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_Q(x_0)\mathcal{O}_Q(x_1)\rangle_{\text{flat}} = e^{-\Delta^*(t_0+t_1)/n}\langle \mathcal{O}_Q(t_0,n_0)\mathcal{O}_Q(t_1,n_1)\rangle_{\text{cyl}}, \quad (20)$$

where $\Delta^*$ is the conformal dimensions in the reference CFT, which is given by the energy on the cylinder in the $m_\sigma = 0$ limit $\Delta^* = r_0E_{\text{cyl}}|_{m_\sigma=0}$. Using translation invariance we can set $t_0 \to -\infty$, i.e., $x_0 = 0$ and we find the final result:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_Q(0)\mathcal{O}_Q(x)\rangle_{\text{flat}} = \frac{c_Q}{|x|^{\Delta^*+n}} = \frac{c_Q}{|x|^{\Delta}}, \quad (21)$$

where $c_Q$ is a normalization constant, and

$$\Delta = \Delta^* \left( 1 - \frac{m_\sigma^2}{24c_{4/3}f_0^2\Lambda_0^4}\log(Q) + \ldots \right). \quad (22)$$

As observed in [49,50], the leading coefficients in the large-charge expansion of the energy on the torus and in the conformal dimension are related via the EFT even though the cylinder and the torus are not conformally equivalent. Once more, we find the characteristic logarithmic term in
III. CONCLUSIONS

The large-charge limit has been adapted and extended to study four-dimensional near-conformal dynamics. We enforce the latter by augmenting the low-energy theory with a dilaton which, in large-charge parlance, is related to the radial mode of the EFT. We compute the ground-state energy in sectors of large charge and the two-point function of charged operators on the cylinder. The presence of (near) conformal dynamics permits to use the state-operator correspondence and derive the two-point function in flat space. We find that the mass of the dilaton induces a novel term, logarithmic in the charge. This shows that the large-charge limit provides a new handle to explore near-conformal dynamics while testing dilaton-related properties. The approach can be readily extended to other space-time dimensions and non-Abelian global symmetry groups.
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