Synthesis, characterization and magnetic properties of Ni, Co and FeCo nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide for removal of Cr(VI)
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Abstract
To remove pollutants from industrial waste, magnetic separation by use of magnetic reduced graphene oxide (rGO) is a possible route, due to the high specific surface area of rGO. Reduced graphene oxide decorated with nickel, cobalt and cobalt ferrite nanoparticles was synthesized by means of modified coprecipitation methods. Nitrogen-doped reduced graphene was prepared by a thermal doping method. The resulting composites were characterized with scanning electron microscope, transmission electron microscope (TEM), powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermal analysis and Raman spectroscopy. Samples were magnetically characterized using vibrating sample magnetometer to determine the magnetic properties. All the prepared samples were found to have weak ferromagnetic properties. The particle size distribution of the nanoparticles was determined using the TEM images and Image J software. The average particle size for the Co-rGO was 1.89 nm, 35.12 nm for Ni–rGO and 32.15 nm for CoFe–rGO. The Co–rGO was used as proof of principle to remove Cr(VI) ions from solution. The Co–rGO was recycled five times before it was deemed unusable.
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Introduction

Magnetic nanoparticles have received significant research attention since they were discovered two decades ago [1]. Interest was due to their magnetic properties, which depended on the size and shape of the particles [2]. The magnetic nanoparticles have been used in a variety of applications such as: wastewater treatment [3], medical imaging [4], and drug delivery [5]. Other nanoparticles exhibit antiferromagnetic behavior and have magnetization reversal using quantum tunneling [6]. The nanoparticles which are antiferromagnetic can exhibit superparamagnetic or ferromagnetic behavior as the particle size decreases [6].

Graphene has a high surface to volume ratio which allows it to be a suitable substrate to disperse nanoparticles. This leads to the formation of graphene nanoparticles which have been significantly studied in the past decade. The formation of graphene-nanoparticle composites has opened up new and different avenues of research and applications. The composites may have different magnetic properties as compared to the individual components which has been shown in graphene–Co$_3$O$_4$ composite, where the composite is superparamagnetic and the pure Co$_3$O$_4$ is antiferromagnetic [6]. Graphene cobalt–boron nanoparticles have shown to be promising candidates as the anode in lithium ion batteries. The electrode has been shown to have a high reversible capacity [7]. The other promising application of graphene-based compounds is graphene aerosol decorated with iron cobalt oxide nanoparticles in Li-ion batteries [8]. Composite graphene material has been shown to be useful as anode material for lithium ion batteries, where the nanoparticles prevent graphene sheet restacking. The composite has superior lithium ion storage, specific capacity and cycle stability [8]. Magnetic nanoparticles such as iron, cobalt and nickel have large saturation magnetization and high Snoek’s limit and compatible dielectric loss [9]. The combination of...
the magnetic nanoparticle with graphene is beneficial. The large surface area is combined with dielectric loss, magnetic loss component, increased interface polarization and electromagnetic loss ability. This implies graphene-based compounds have microwave absorbance antenna performance [9]. The combination of graphene and nickel nanoparticles shows enhanced performance of microwave absorbance than graphene and nickel nanoparticles individually due to the improvement of electromagnetic loss [9]. Nitrogen-functionalized graphene nanosheet offers sites for loading of cobalt nanoparticles, which act as a catalyst for Fischer–Tropsch synthesis gas to liquid conversion [10]. Ruthenium can be added to nitrogen-doped reduced graphene cobalt nanoparticles composites, which promotes the activity of cobalt for better yields [10].

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles are widely known to be semiconductors and photocatalysts [11, 12]. The addition of graphene to TiO₂ enhances the catalytic activity of TiO₂, because graphene has high electron mobility and high surface area which is more stable to photobleaching than TiO₂ on its own [11]. TiO₂–graphene has been useful in the degradation of volatile organic compounds. The TiO₂–graphene oxide when dispersed in ethanol has been shown to reduce graphene oxide (GO) to reduced graphene oxide (rGO). The TiO₂–rGO composite has a higher affinity to adsorb organic dyes, a higher charge separation and a larger charge transport potential [11, 12]. The formation of graphene nanoparticle composite is very cost-effective because it requires one reaction vessel and produces a composite with superior conductivity over bare reduced graphene oxide [13]. The nanoparticles also prevent restacking of graphene sheets and reforming graphite. The method of in situ simultaneous reduction is very versatile in producing different shapes and sizes of the nanoparticles on graphene sheets which is the method of choice in this study [13]. Different shapes of nanoparticles are obtained using different stabilizing agents. The method also produces highly crystalline nanoparticles with a generally low particle size distribution.

Pristine graphene exhibits long-range ferromagnetic coupling [14, 15], and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) has weak ferromagnetic properties, which is caused by a zigzag pattern on the edge of the graphene. Doping of rGO with nitrogen has been shown to increase the magnetic properties [14].

In this study, we look at magnetic nanoparticles anchored on rGO, that is, nanocomposites. The magnetic composites could help in the retrieving and recycling of graphene after targeted adsorption of certain pollutants. Due to their magnetic uses in industry, the following nanoparticles were selected in this comparison study: cobalt, nickel and cobalt ferrite.

### Materials and methods

#### Material

Phosphoric acid 85% (H₃PO₄), sulfuric acid 98% (H₂SO₄), potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) 99%, ethanol 99.9% and hydrochloric acid (HCl) 35% were purchased from Associated Chemical Enterprise. Potassium platinate 98% (K₂PtCl₄), iron chloride 98% (FeCl₃) and iron sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO₄·7H₂O) 98% were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Cobalt acetate 98% (CoAc) was purchased from BDH Chemicals and nickel chloride 98% (NiCl₂) was purchased from Saarchem. Expandable graphite (98%) was donated by Richards Bay Minerals.

#### Equipment

The prepared samples were analyzed using the following techniques.

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 ATR FT-IR spectrometer. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a PAN analytical, EMPYREAN, using a Co–Kα monochromatic radiation with PIXcel detector. Data were collected in the range 2θ = 5°–90°, scanning at 2° min⁻¹ with a filter time constant of 2.5 s per step and a slit width of 6.0 mm. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were recorded on a JEOL JEM 1010 with the accelerating voltage of 18 kV. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were recorded using ZEISS Ultra Plus Field Emission Gun Scanning Electron Microscope. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) together with differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was recorded on Q600 SDT TA Instruments. The samples were placed in a ceramic pan and measurements conducted under nitrogen flow (20 mL min⁻¹) from room temperature to 1000 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. Magnetization experiments were recorded on the lakeshore magnetometer system 735 S. The specimen was placed in a sample holder and stoppered with cotton wool to prevent the sample from having torque. The sample was then vibrated in the presence of a sweeping electromagnetic field from – 15 to 15 kOe. The metal concentration of nanoparticle specimens was achieved by use of an inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), Perkin Elmer Optima 5300 DV. WinLab 32 software was used to analyze the data. UV/Vis spectra were recorded using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 25 UV/Vis spectrophotometer.
Method

Synthesis of reduced graphene oxide (rGO)

The graphene oxide was prepared according to the modified Tour's method [16]. Expandable graphite (3.11 g) was microwaved for 30 s yielding expanded graphite. We have observed that the microwave step helps in the exfoliation process and uses lesser amounts of oxidants. The graphite was then mixed with phosphoric acid (30 mL) in a 30 mL pill vial. The pill vial was sealed and placed in an ultrasonic bath and sonicated for 1 h. The graphite was partially dispersed in the acid and transferred to a 500 mL round-bottom flask. The flask was cooled to 0 °C and sulfuric acid (270 mL) was added dropwise. The solution was stirred with the slow addition of potassium permanganate (13.5 g). The solution was stirred for 12 h. The solution was then cooled to room temperature, followed by the addition of ice (400 mL), calcium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate and H2O2 (3 mL). The mixture was sifted through a stainless steel sieve (Clear Edge testing sieve, 300 μm) and the filtrate was collected. The filtrate was then centrifuged to collect the solid product, which was washed twice with deionized water (200 mL), HCl (200 mL) and ethanol (200 mL). A final third wash was carried out with water (200 mL), ethanol (200 mL) and diethyl ether (200 mL). Graphene oxide (0.5 g) was then mixed with 25 ml deionized water and ultrasonicated until the graphene oxide was well dispersed and formed a dark brown solution. The solution was transferred into a 250 mL volumetric flask. To the solution, a solution of sodium borohydride 0.04 M (10 mL) was added dropwise and refluxed for 30 min. The color changed from brown to black, indicating the reduction of graphene oxide.

Synthesis of nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide (N-rGO)

Nitrogen doped reduced graphene oxide was synthesized by the decomposition of graphene oxide solution with urea according to a modified literature method [15]. Graphene oxide (0.5 g) was mixed with ethanolamine (2 ml), deionized water (2 mL) and urea (0.2 g) in a pill vial. The mixture was sonicated until homogenous and had no particulate. The thick mixture was then transferred to a tantalum boat and heated in a tubular furnace under an inert atmosphere. The solution mixture was heated to 700 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C min−1 and pyrolyzed for 1 h. The furnace was then cooled to room temperature still under inert conditions. The product obtained was washed with near boiling water (4 × 100 mL) to remove unwanted ions followed by ethanol.

![TEM images](image-url)

**Fig. 1** TEM images of a Co–rGO, b Ni–rGO, c FeCo–rGO, d rGO, e N–rGO
The colloidal powder was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C. The reduced graphene oxide was synthesized in a similar manner without the addition of urea.

**Synthesis of graphene decorated with cobalt nanoparticles (Co–rGO)**

The reduced graphene oxide–cobalt nanoparticle composite was synthesized according to the modified literature method [17, 18]. Graphene oxide (1.01 g) was mixed with deionized water (25 mL) and ultrasonicated until the graphene oxide was well dispersed and formed a dark brown solution. The solution was transferred into a 250 mL three-neck volumetric flask. Cobalt acetate (2 g) and potassium hydroxide (0.05 g) were weighed into the solution and refluxed at 90 °C for 2 h. After 2 h, sodium borohydride 0.6 M (10 mL) solution was added to the reflux solution dropwise, followed by the addition of a solution of trisodium citrate 0.1 M (10 mL) and refluxed for 2 hr at 90 °C. The solution was centrifuged, and the supernatant was decanted away. The remaining solid was washed with copious amounts of hot water (60 °C) and ethanol. The solid was dried under vacuum at 40 °C.

**Synthesis of graphene decorated with nickel nanoparticles (Ni–rGO)**

Reduced graphene oxide–nickel nanoparticle composite was synthesized according to the modified literature method [18, 19]. Graphene oxide (0.4 g) was mixed with deionized water (25 mL) and ultrasonicated until the graphene was well dispersed and formed a dark brown solution. The solution was transferred into 250 mL three-neck volumetric flask. NiCl₂ (0.3 g) was weighed into the solution and refluxed for 1 h. After 1 h, solutions of 0.1 M sodium polyacrylate (5 ml) and 0.2 M sodium borohydride (2 mL) were added dropwise and refluxed for 2 h at 90 °C under an argon atmosphere. The solution was centrifuged, and the supernatant was decanted away. The remaining solid was washed with copious amounts of hot water (60 °C) and ethanol. The solid was dried under vacuum at 40 °C.

**Preparation of graphene decorated with cobalt ferrite nanoparticles (FeCo–rGO)**

Graphene–cobalt ferrite composite was synthesized according to the modified literature method [18, 20]. The synthesized graphene oxide (0.6 g) was dissolved in deionized water (40 mL) in a 250 mL three-neck round-bottom flask by ultrasonication. The graphene oxide solution was then refluxed at 100 °C with FeCl₃ (0.6 g), FeSO₄ (0.3 g), CoAc₂ (0.8 g), ammonium chloride (0.3 g) and NaOH (0.4 g) under an argon atmosphere. The solution was refluxed for 1 h which ensured the metal salts were well dispersed. To the solution a solution of sodium borohydride 0.04 M (10 mL) was added dropwise and refluxed for an hour. The solution was cooled to room temperature and centrifuged, and the supernatant was decanted away. The remaining solid was washed with copious amounts of hot water (60 °C) and ethanol. The solid was dried under vacuum at 40 °C.
washed with copious amounts of hot water, 1:1 HCl and ethanol. The solid was dried under vacuum at 40 °C.

Proof of principle (the adsorption of Cr(IV) from aqueous solution using Co–rGO).

The adsorption experiment was conducted in a series of Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 mL solution of Cr(VI) ion. The adsorption tests were conducted to evaluate the uptake of the Cr(IV) ion by Co–rGO. After this, the Co–rGO was separated from solution with the aid of rare-earth magnet. The supernatant was collected, and the remaining heavy metal ion was evaluated using ICP-OES. The Cr(VI) solutions were fashioned from 1000 mg/L standard solution. A working solution of 100 mg L−1 was used to create samples ranging from 10 to 80 mg L−1.

Table 1  Table of elemental analysis

| Sample   | C     | N     | H     | O  |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|----|
| GO       | 47.62 | 0.38  | 2.27  | 30.73 |
| rGO      | 74.12 | 0.37  | 1.77  | 23.74 |
| N–rGO    | 77.81 | 8.12  | 1.92  | 12.08 |
The pH of the solution was adjusted to eight by adding drops of a concentrated sodium hydroxide solution. The removal efficiency ($R$, %) and the amount of heavy metal ions adsorbed $q$ (mg/g) were determined according to the formula:

$$R = \left( \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \right) \times 100\%,$$

where $R$ is the removal efficiency of the metal ions, $C_0$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the initial concentration of the metal ion, and $C_t$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the concentration of the metal ion at time ($t$).

Table 2  Table of Raman spectroscopy data

| Sample | D band/cm$^{-1}$ | G band/cm$^{-1}$ | 2D/cm$^{-1}$ | $I_D/I_G$ |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| rGO    | 1382            | 1580            | 2780        | 1.22    |
| N–rGO  | 1360            | 1600            | 2680        | 1.12    |
| Ni–rGO | 1362            | 1600            | 2660        | 1.32    |
| Co–rGO | 1376            | 1580            | 2720        | 1.22    |
| FeCo–rGO | 1368        | 1590            | 2640        | 1.13    |

The pH of the solution was adjusted to eight by adding drops of a concentrated sodium hydroxide solution. The removal efficiency ($R$, %) and the amount of heavy metal ions adsorbed $q$ (mg/g) were determined according to the formula:

$$R = \left( \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \right) \times 100\%,$$

where $R$ is the removal efficiency of the metal ions, $C_0$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the initial concentration of the metal ion, and $C_t$ (mg L$^{-1}$) is the concentration of the metal ion at time ($t$).
The adsorption experiment was done using concentrations of 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mg L\(^{-1}\), at the predetermined adsorbent dosage. The adsorption of Cr(VI) on Co–rGO, was evaluated by calculation of the removal efficiency. The regeneration of the Co–rGO was done by sonicating the Co–rGO in ethanol.

**Results and discussion**

**Synthesis and characterization**

The synthesis of reduced graphene oxide decorated with magnetic nanoparticles was successful, as seen by inspection of TEM images in Fig. 1. TEM data show the prepared specimens: (a) Co–rGO, (b) Ni–rGO, (c) FeCo–rGO, (d) rGO, (e) N–rGO. The cobalt nanoparticles were monodispersed in the graphene sheet. Ni–rGO specimen had nanoparticles that were randomly dispersed and were larger than those found in the Co–rGO specimen. The FeCo–rGO had nanoparticles which were slightly agglomerated. TEM was used to determine the particle size distribution as shown in Fig. 2 using the Image J software. The average particle size along with the standard deviation was also determined. The total number of particles for the analysis of particle size determination was 50 particles per sample. It was found that the particle size distribution for Co–rGO was determined to be between 1.32 and 3.25 nm and the average particle size was 1.89 with a standard deviation of 0.531. Ni–rGO had a particle size distribution between 11.23 and 47 nm, and the average particle size was 35.12 nm with the standard deviation of 10.45. Ni–rGO had a particle size distribution between 10.23 and 32.15 nm, and the average particle size was 18.12 nm with the standard deviation of 7.34. It has been widely reported in literature that the nanoparticle size and shape stem from the way they were produced. The most common factors are the nature of the stabilizing agent and its concentration [21]. In the production of silver nanoparticles, monodentate stabilizing agent such as PVP leads...
to cube-shaped nanoparticles and multideterminate stabilizing agent such as citrate leads to diamond-shaped nanoparticles [21]. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) is shown in Fig. 3a–c. SAED was done for the Co–rGO, Ni–rGO and FeCo–rGO samples. The spectra have spots which show that the samples were monocrystalline samples [22]. The nanoparticles are colloidal due to the manner in which they were produced. Infrared spectra with characteristic absorption frequencies of the specimens are shown in Fig. 4. The stretching frequency of phenolic and carboxylic O–H appears at ~ 3405 cm⁻¹ [23]. The stretching frequency of C=O appears both at ~ 1559 cm⁻¹ and ~ 1381 cm⁻¹ [24]. The C=C vibration frequency of benzene rings occurs at ~ 681 cm⁻¹ [23]. The doped reduced graphene oxide showed the characteristic peak found in graphene, indicating the introduction of dopant such as: O–H (3240 cm⁻¹), C=C (1725 cm⁻¹), and C=N (1570 cm⁻¹) [25].

Raman spectra are shown in Fig. 5 and summarized in Table 2. The D-band, which is located around 1360 cm⁻¹, is used to determine the level of disorder, imperfection and impurities in graphene, while the G-band corresponds to the vibration of sp² hybridized carbon-bonded atoms in the graphene lattice [26]. The ratio of \( I_D/I_G \) in both the spectra was greater than 1 showing the defects and disorder in the graphene structure, which is common in reduced graphene oxide that is multilayered. The Raman data was summarized in Table 1, and it was found that the nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide was found to be 8% nitrogen.

Table 4 Magnetization data for the prepared specimens

| Specimen     | Saturation magnetization/(emu/g) | Coercivity/(Oe) | Remanence magnetization/(emu/g) | Magnetic susceptibility |
|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| rGO          | 1.34                            | 299            | 1.36                          | 8.9 × 10⁻⁵             |
| Ni–rGO       | 1.40                            | 299            | 1.3912.54/1                   | 4.6 × 10⁻⁴             |
| Ni–rGO       | 3.64                            | 256            | 3.54                          | 2.4 × 10⁻⁴             |
| Co–rGO       | 6.82                            | 266            | 6.95                          | 4.5 × 10⁻⁴             |
| FeCo–rGO     | 12.54                           | 237            | 12.52                         | 8.4 × 10⁻⁴             |
| Ni–NP        | 54.03                           | 48             | 55.25                         | 3.9 × 10⁻³             |
| Co–NP        | 20.12                           | 67             | 20.09                         | 1.5 × 10⁻³             |
| FeCoO-NP     | 47.28                           | 188            | 47.08                         | 3.4 × 10⁻³             |

The thermal characteristics of the specimens were analyzed using TGA and DSC. The thermograms shown in Fig. 7 show the degradation patterns from room temperature to 1000 °C. TGA thermograms in Fig. 7a showed that the specimens had a multistep degradation pattern. rGO, Co–rGO and Ni–rGO specimens had three major mass loss degradations. The rGO specimen had the following mass loss pattern: room temperature to 150 °C there was a mass loss of 10% due to water loss or any absorbed moisture and gases; 200–400 °C there was a mass loss of 15%, which was due to the destruction of loosely bound oxygen-containing compounds; 400–670 °C there was a mass loss of 45%, which was due to the destruction of graphene nanosheets [24, 28]. Co–rGO specimen had the following degradation patterns: room temperature to 100 °C there was a mass loss of 20%, which was due to moisture loss or adsorbed gases; 150–300 °C there was a mass loss of 10%, which was due to the degradation of labile oxygen-containing compounds; 10% mass loss was due to the degradation of graphene nanosheets that occurred between 300 and 800 °C. Ni–rGO had the following mass loss degradation pattern: the first was from room temperature to 100 °C there was a mass loss of 20%, which was due to moisture loss or adsorbed gases; 150–300 °C there was a mass loss of 15%, which was due to the destruction of loosely bound oxygen-containing compounds; 300–750 °C there was a mass loss of 45%, which was due to the destruction of graphene nanosheets. FeCo–rGO had multistep degradation in three steps: from room temperature to 100 °C there was a mass loss of 25% due to water loss; the second degradation was a mass loss of 25%, from 200 to 320 °C which was due to the destruction of loosely bound oxygen-containing compounds; and a mass loss of 25% from 330 to 750 °C was due to the degradation of graphene nanosheets. FeCo–rGO had multistep degradation in three steps: from room temperature to 100 °C there was a mass loss of 12%, due to moisture loss; 120–450 °C there was a mass loss of 20%, due to the destruction of loosely bound oxygen-containing compounds; 450–750 °C there was a mass loss of 25% due to the destruction of graphene...
The N–rGO sample only had two step degradation with moisture loss. A weight loss of 80% from 400 °C to 700 °C indicates the co-decomposition of nitrogen and graphene [30, 31]. The doping marginally improved the thermal stability of the rGO. The rGO sample also had a slight bump at 580 °C, corresponding to the destruction of graphene nanosheets. Co–rGO and CoFe–rGO specimens had no observed peaks.

The XRD in Fig. 8 shows the diffraction of spectra for all composite specimens. The composite specimens were compared to the spectrum of specimen rGO, which had prominent peaks at 26° and 49° [31]. All the composite specimens had characteristic rGO peaks at 26°, which indicate all the samples are reduced graphene oxide based. Ni–rGO had the diffraction peaks at 26°, 45°, 55° and 80°. The peaks at 26 correspond to the rGO, while peaks at 45°, 55° and 80° correspond to nickel nanoparticles [32, 33]. The FeCo–rGO specimen had a peak at 26°, 50° and 72° [34]. Co–rGO had a peak at 26° due to graphene, 47° due to cobalt nanoparticle [35] and 76 which was unreported. The average particle size for the nanoparticles was calculated using the Scherrer equation [27], \( \tau = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta} \), where \( \tau \) is the particle size, \( \beta \) is the full width at half maximum and \( k \) is a dimensionless shape factor. The cobalt nanoparticles were 8 nm, the nickel nanoparticles were 15 nm and FeCo nanoparticles were 18 nm.

**Magnetic properties**

The magnetic response of the specimens was determined by the vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The specimens were vibrated in the presence of a sweeping electromagnetic field from − 15 to 15 kOe and recorded in Table 4. The rGO exhibits some magnetization which has been also been observed in literature [14, 15, 36]. It has been theorized that the zigzag pattern on the armchair model of the graphene introduces localized metallicity on the graphene. The data obtained from the VSM results show that rGO had magnetization of 1.36 emu g\(^{-1}\). The nitrogen doping sample had slightly higher magnetization than the rGO. Here, the nitrogen has the same atomic radius as carbon, and it can be easily assimilated into the graphene matrix. The nitrogen doping increases the spin population, which increases the magnetization of the doped sample [14, 15, 37]. Graphene by itself has been reported from theoretical calculations to have long-range ferromagnetic ordering resulting from the point defects [38]. Doping graphene has been shown to introduce paramagnetic properties into the graphene, resulting from the dopants neutralizing the point defects that induce ferromagnetism in graphene [38]. The composite samples were found to exhibit superparamagnetic behavior, which is characterized by small coercivity. This was expected because the nanoparticles decorating reduced graphene oxide were magnetic [32–35]. The hysteresis loops of FeCo–rGO and Co–rGO specimens, shown...
**Fig. 10** Diagram showing the attraction of the samples using a magnet, a Co–rGO and b rGO

**Fig. 11** Diagram showing the adsorption of Cr(VI) using Co–rGO and the separation using Co–rGO using a magnet

**Fig. 12** Removal efficiency of the Cr(VI) using Co–rGO

**Fig. 13** The removal efficiency of recycled Co–rGO
in Fig. 9, do not show saturation due to limited applied field. At an applied field of 15 kOe, the specimen magnetization response of FeCo–rGO was 12.5 emu g$^{-1}$ and that of Co–rGO was 6.8 emu g$^{-1}$. Ni–rGO and rGO started to saturate at 3.6 emus g$^{-1}$ and 1.3 emu g$^{-1}$, respectively. All the specimens have low coercivity suggesting that they are soft magnetic materials [4]. The composite samples exhibit superparamagnetic behavior due to a charge transfer from the nanoparticle to the reduced graphene oxide, which occurs from the interfacial electronic interaction between the reduced graphene oxide (rGO) and the metallic nanoparticles [9]. The charge transfer between graphene and metallic nanoparticles changes the electronic and magnetic properties in the metal graphene composite. Figure 10 shows that the samples were attracted by magnet, except for rGO. The nanoparticles were also tested using the VSM. It was shown that the nickel nanoparticles and the cobalt nanoparticles had a low coercivity. The formation of the graphene nanocomposite decreased the saturation magnetization. The graphene quenched the magnetization. It has also been reported that the small nanoparticles such as cobalt nanoparticles usually exhibit superparamagnetic behavior, while the larger particle start exhibiting a slight ferromagnetic behavior, which was studied using different sizes of nickel nanoparticles [39]. The electronic interaction between the nanoparticles and the rGO changes the magnetic anisotropy, which in turn increases the coercivity and loss of superparamagnetism to ferromagnetic behavior [39]. The Parameters such as defect in the structure and the orientation increase the coercivity and decrease the magnetization [39]. All the samples can be applied in water purification to adsorb and remove the pollutants. The sample with the adsorbed pollutant can be removed using a handheld magnet. The ideal samples to be used in the removal of pollutants were Ni–rGO, Co–rGO, N–rGO and FeCo–rGO. An example of water purification using graphene nanoparticle composite is highlighted below using Co–rGO in the removal of Cr(VI) in water.

**Adsorption experiments**

The adsorption of Cr(VI) was done using 20 mg of Co–rGO and agitating the mixture for 3 h. The concentrations tested were between 80 and 20 mg L$^{-1}$. The concentration of 20 mg L$^{-1}$ had the highest removal efficiency as shown in Fig. 11. Figure 12 shows the adsorption of Cr(VI), where (a) is the a solution of the Cr(VI) by itself, (b) is the mixture Cr(VI) and Co–rGO, and (c) the separation of Cr(VI) adsorbed on Co–rGO. The Co–rGO was recycled using ethanol which was sonicated and removed by a handheld magnet. The Co–rGO was then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C. The concentration used for regeneration of Co–rGO was 20 mg L$^{-1}$. The regeneration of Co–rGO was achieved by ultrasonicating the composite in ethanol. Figure 13 shows the graphs of the number of regeneration with the corresponding removal efficiency. It ultimaaly shows the decrease in performance of the Co–rGO in removing Cr(VI) ions with each cyle. The drop in the removal efficiency between the first and the fifth regeneration of Co–rGO was from 75 to 15 mg L$^{-1}$. After the fifth regeneration of Co–rGO, the efficiency dropped significantly, showing that Co–rGO can be re-used five times to have the maximum removal of Cr(VI) as possible.

The other synthesized samples such as N–rGO and rGO were also tested using a 100 ppm standard of Cr(VI). A mass of 30 mg was added to 50 mL of 100 ppm standard and agitated for 3 h. There was no observable removal of Cr(VI) ions from solution when using ICP analysis. The solution was then analyzed using UV/Vis spectrophotometry as shown in Fig. 14. The UV/Vis spectrum revealed that the sample N–rGO and rGO had reduced the Cr(VI) ions to Cr(III). This is evident in the appearance of the Cr(III) absorption peak at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 398 nm. It has been observed in literature that the positively charged rGO function groups tend to facilitate the reduction of the Cr(VI) to Cr(III) [40]. Cr(VI) ions in the form of $\text{HCrO}_{4}^{-}$ which is attracted to the positively charged centers of the rGO.

**Conclusion**

Magnetic reduced graphene oxide specimens were synthesized with varying degrees of magnetic behavior. Nitrogen-doped graphene showed that the nitrogen introduced thermal stability in graphene. Magnetization experiments showed that nitrogen increases the saturation magnetization in...
of the reduced graphene oxide and decreases coercivity. The doping of graphene with nitrogen changes the magnetic property of graphene. The anchoring of magnetic nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide was successfully completed from a modified method in literature. The nanoparticles (cobalt, cobalt ferrite and nickel) were monodispersed on the graphene. The composites were found to be less thermally stable when compared to graphene. All samples were magnetic and FeCo–rGO had the highest saturation magnetization at 12.5 emu g$^{-1}$. The samples Ni–rGO, Co–rGO, and FeCo–rGO were easily attracted by a magnet. Thus, they can be further applied in the adsorption of toxic metal and organic pollutants in water. Co–rGO was used as proof of principle in water purification and it was found that it can be reused five times. The other samples synthesized catalysed the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III).
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