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Abstract
Long-range scanning probe microscope (SPM) measurements are usually extremely time consuming as many data need to be collected, and the microscope probe speed is limited. In this article, we present an adaptive measurement method for a large-area SPM. In contrast to the typically used line by line scanning with constant pixel spacing, we use an algorithm based on several levels of local refinement in order to minimize the amount of information that would be useless in the data processing phase. The data obtained from the measurement are in general formed by xyz data sets that are triangulated back with a desired local resolution. This enables storing more relevant information from a single measurement as the data are interpolated and regularized in the data processing phase instead of during the measurement. In this article, we also discuss the influence of thermal drifts on the measured data and compare the presented algorithm to the standard matrix-based measuring approach.

Background
Many novel industrial components, e. g. optoelectronic devices, microchips, diffraction gratings or photonic crystals, are typically formed by highly integrated microstructures arranged on very large areas. The inspection and control of such components are problematic as we need to detect small imperfections on large areas or to evaluate small differences between many semiconductor mask critical dimensions. Scanning probe microscopy techniques (e. g. atomic force microscopy) can be used for this task if sufficiently large areas can be scanned. The bottleneck of using scanning probe microscopes was always the scanning range; however today, specialized equipment can be found in the literature and even on the market [1-5] featuring very small uncertainties (less than 1 nm) over very large volumes. However, even if the range of microscopes has changed, the maximum speed of the tip is still nearly the same. Large-scale measurements are therefore very slow.

Even if we measure on very large areas, like those shown on Figure 1 for a microchip surface, only a very small part of the measured data is finally used for the evaluation. From Figure 1, we would probably measure several critical dimensions on observed interconnections only. The rest of the data would be left aside even if it took several hours to acquire them and even if they occupy hundreds of megabytes of disk space. This is a large waste of effort. One of the possibilities how to overcome this is to measure data in a non-equidistant approach (not creating a regular matrix of points during measurements). If we are able to measure the overall sample geometry with low resolution and local features that will be used for surface properties evaluation with high resolution, we can both speed up the measurement and reduce the need for data storage.

In this article, we discuss one possible realization of a non-equidistant measurement method for a long-range scanning probe microscope and discuss its applications for different samples typical for microelectronics and solar cell industry.

Methods
Experimental arrangement
A home-built long-range scanning system was used for the implementation and testing of the adaptive scanning algorithm [6]. It is based on commercial crossed-roller bearing stages combined with piezoceramic actuators used to compensate the imperfections of the bearing mechanism. Three interferometers are used for all three-axis translation monitoring and feedback. For stage rotation monitoring (axis normal to sample surface), an autocollimator is used. For non-planarity compensation and two more axis rotation compensations
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axes parallel to sample surface), an optical quality reference plane and a set of tunneling current sensors are used. The atomic force microscope head was used for determining the probe-sample distance and performing the z-axis motion (based on piezoceramic actuators). The construction of the system is illustrated in Figure 2 and in more detail described in [6]. The thermal stability of the system is assured using an active stabilization box with digitally controlled, thermally stabilized water.

For illustrative measurements, three different samples were used: a calibration grating that is usually used for commercial scanning probe microscopes calibration, a microchip surface and a solar cell surface with a typical pyramidal structure used for light trapping. These represent typical measurands requesting large-scale measurements combined with high-resolution details. Contact mode measurements were performed using standard contact tips from Nanosensors (PPP-CONTR series,
NANOSENSORS, Rue Jaquet-Droz 1, Case Postale 216, CH-2002 Neuchatel, Switzerland).

Adaptive measurement algorithm
There are numerous ways how to perform measurements of a rectangular surface area. Logically, the most straightforward and mostly used approach is to measure in a rectangular grid mapping pixel to pixel and directly creating the image. Even if this is the most convenient scanning approach and the data can be processed very easily after measurement, it leads to a large loss of data already during the scanning process. As the microscope needs to maintain the feedback loop during the motion of the tip, the amount of the collected data in a single profile is usually much larger than the number of pixels of the resulting image (e. g. by an order or more). Data are then resampled to the requested number of pixels, loosing the high-resolution information already acquired in between them. A natural improvement of ‘standard’ square scanning probe microscope (SPM) image would therefore be a square set of data with non-rectangular pixel size, where fast axis spacing would be much smaller than the one of the slow axis. Implementing such a scanning method could already dramatically improve the amount of information collected in a single scan (in the same time), even if the method is still quite a regular one.

On the other side, adaptive sampling could be realized as forming a completely random distribution of points in the xy plane. Based on some surface properties, like local roughness, we could measure the data forming a completely irregular set of points that would be triangulated afterwards. However, as the microscope in principle measures high-resolution data over some continuous path, this could be ineffective. It is therefore desirable to measure a set of profiles (not necessarily forming a Cartesian grid).

In order to simplify the problem, we started implementing a scanning algorithm based on acquiring rows and columns of different length, not organized in a regularly spaced matrix. The prerequisite of the presented iterative process is to decide what should be the final xy axes desired resolution and what is the z-axis precision we want to reach. The key points of the refinement algorithm are as follows:

1. Measure a net formed by rows and columns with coarse xy resolution and interpolate data to the final resolution.
2. Measure and add an interleaved net of rows and columns to form a data with resolution which is twice as fine and interpolate data to the final resolution.
3. Identify subsets between rows and columns where the interpolated data between the last two iterations differ more than by a requested z precision criterion.
4. Where the z precision criterion failed, measure a net of rows and columns with twice as fine resolution on those rectangles. Note that in order to save the measurement time, this process needs to be optimized so that the movement between different refinement areas is minimized. An optimum path for the SPM probe is therefore planned merging all the necessary movements (including movements between different areas) with the criterion of the shortest total movements. This is in principle a traveling salesman problem, and here, it is solved using the nearest neighbor algorithm.

The whole refinement process is illustrated in Figure 3. If the surface exhibits regular areas (e. g. a microchip surface), areas measured in each refinement iteration become smaller and smaller, up to a point where all data are measured with the requested z precision. In Figure 4, measured areas are shown for a calibration grating, a microchip surface and a solar cell light trapping surface. Areas where the z precision criterion failed are marked by black for the three levels of refinement. In these areas, a refinement in next iteration is planned and performed. It should be noted that for a completely random surface (white noise), the final number of data measured is twice larger than for a standard matrix measurement (we measure both rows and columns independently). However, as the surfaces are typically locally continuous, we usually need to measure a much smaller number of data points than for a standard matrix measurement. Moreover, the suggested algorithm could in principle lead to missing a small structure in case that it would be missed in the first two iterations. The only solution to overcome this is not to use too coarse initial grid spacing.

![Figure 3 Adaptive measurement process illustration](image.jpg) The graph shows the convergence of the z value of a typical point on a flat sample area (point A) and close to a steep slope (point B) if the iterative refinement process is not stopped by the precision criterion. The precision criterion is used to stop refinements locally as shown in the inset.
When we have the data measured, we cannot save them as a regular matrix, so we use a general set of \( xyz \) values for storing the data. Algorithms available for SPM data processing however expect a regular matrix in most of the cases, and so do also the vast majority of software packages available for SPM data processing and analysis. The easiest way on how to overcome this is to regularize the data back before analysis - now with the desired resolution that can be locally much larger than for the coarse image. We can therefore perform zooms in the measured data - and where the data are densely sampled, we can obtain high-resolution details. For interpolation purposes, data are triangulated by a fast divide and conquer routine (similar to the one described in [7]) reaching optimal worst-case complexity of \( O(n \log n) \), where \( n \) is the number of data used for triangulation. Recursive divisions alternate between horizontal and vertical cuts. A Delaunay triangulation and a Voronoi diagram are created using this approach. With Delaunay triangulation and Voronoi diagram computed, we can use a number of interpolation methods. At the moment, a simple planar interpolation of the triangulated data is utilized.

The presented algorithm was implemented using libraries of Gwyddion open source software for SPM data analysis http://gwyddion.net. While the scanning routines can hardly be shared to the public (these are connected to the microscope hardware), we expect that the tested triangulation and regularization approach will be made available to Gwyddion users as part of our future work.

**Results and discussion**

The suggested measurement algorithm has three main benefits compared to the matrix-based scanning methods:
1. It can save the amount of necessary measured information while measuring with very high resolution, thus shortening the measurement time and minimizing the probe wear while preserving the necessary resolution on critical surface structures.
2. It allows the user to perform further local refinements easily while the sample is still in the microscope, not necessarily based on the algorithm criteria but also on the user’s requirements.
3. It can automatically measure the data allowing the user to perform zooms offline, e.g. in the data processing phase after the measurement. This can be useful namely for automated image processing or inspection purposes.

In order to document the effect of the reduction of the necessary scanned path, we compare the path length saved by the presented algorithm to the standard matrix-based approach for the surface shown in Figure 3. The resulting total tip path reductions for two different final accuracies are summarized in Table 1. It can be noted that the more refinement levels are performed the more data are saved. This is however not valid generally but only on regular structures like the one presented here. As stated above, for completely random data, we cannot save anything using the refinement algorithm.

In order to display the real performance of the algorithm if implemented in the microscope, we compare the results of the matrix algorithm and the presented algorithm on real data of a calibration grating. The same final resolution was requested. In Figure 5, we can see the result of the subtraction of images obtained using the two algorithms. We can see that the local differences are caused only by relatively high noise of the presently used long-range system z scanner and slight mismatch between the two images (due to a slight shift caused by retract and approach between the two measurements, the images had to be cropped and aligned manually).

It should be noted that the obtained data have different statistical properties than a typical matrix-based SPM image. There is no difference between the fast and slow axes as both x and y directions are used for the measurement. It has no sense therefore to distinguish between directions while evaluating direct or statistical quantities. All parasitic effects, such as drift or noise, influence data almost isotropically. This could be understood as a drawback in some sense; on the other side, it can simplify the treatment of uncertainties while obtaining profiles of different orientation with respect to the main axes or 2D statistical properties evaluation.

An important issue is the influence of drift on the measurement process. Drift, namely of thermal origin, can be observed in many SPM systems [8,9]. In a typical matrix-based SPM image, drift is mainly seen in the slow scanning axis, leading to image distortion as seen in Figure 6B. Note that the coordinate system origin in this simulation is in the top left corner of the image. Based on the analysis of the known surface structures or based on repetitive scans, we can determine the drift rate, which is usually a time-dependent decaying function, with a maximum right after the instrument start-up or a sample exchange [10]. If we use the scanning approach presented in this work, the data in the image are not measured successively, so the straightforward drift determination from AFM image is not possible as the drift influences interleaved values significantly (see Figure 6C). However, drift can still be evaluated. As in each refinement level, we measure on the same area as was already measured in the previous iteration, and we even obtain data at exactly the same points (at row/column crossings); we can determine the drift rate already during refinement process for areas that are being refined. We can do this using the following approach:

- Create an interpolated image from one refinement level (using the standard procedure from the previous section);
- Create an interpolated image from the next level skipping the data measured in the previous level;

Table 1 Performance of the measurement algorithm

| Accuracy | 20 nm | 3 nm |
|----------|-------|------|
| Grating  | 18%   | 34%  |
| Microchip| 42%   | 54%  |
| Solar cell| 41%  | 68%  |

This table summarizes the total tip path reduction for two different final accuracies (20 and 3 nm). Note that 100% corresponds to the path needed for obtaining the regularly sampled data with the same accuracy.
- Use cross-correlation to determine the shift between the two data sets (in all three axes), which are the $x$ and $y$ drift values; and
- Shift one data set according to the cross-correlation result and subtract the two data sets, which gives us the $z$ drift value.

As an illustration of the process, we have simulated data measurement with a constant drift vector of $(3, 3, 0.3)$ nanometres per second in Figure 6. We have used the part of the microchip surface seen in Figure 6A, using already measured data (without observable drift) and adding the drift during the simulated measurement (all movements were performed with the same velocity). Drift was evaluated from levels 1 and 2 of the refinement process where, for this sample, we still measure on nearly the whole area (the local refinement criterion still holds everywhere). Using the above-mentioned process, we have evaluated the drift vector as $(3.3 \pm 0.5, 2.7 \pm 0.3, 0.29 \pm 0.15)$ nanometres per second which is a good estimate of the drift rate. In Figure 6D, a simulated measurement with correction based on this estimated drift rate is shown (for drift estimation, the first two iterations were used), obviously leading to significant correction of the image. Of course, if the drift rate is not constant, the above-mentioned approach would not be an optimal one; however, the user could repeat it after several refinement iterations to correct the drift rate estimate. Generally, it can be seen that the drift leads to a much more evident image distortion when our adaptive refinement algorithm is used. On the other side, even the data obtained in a regular matrix approach are influenced by the drift, and if we do not know the measured structure properties, we need to use a similar correlation technique to determine the drift. Data are therefore 'wrong' in both cases, but in the regular matrix case, they look better and allow the user to ignore the systematic errors caused by the drift. The large influence of the drift on the presented algorithm could therefore be seen even as a benefit for a metrologist - if the systematic error is quantitatively the same in both cases.

**Figure 6 Drift influence.** Microchip surface (A) and influence of general xyz drift to standard matrix-based measurement process (B), iterative refinement (C) and measurement process and iterative refinement process after drift guess from the first two iterations and successive drift compensation (D).
anyway, the adaptive approach prevents the fact that it would rest hidden in the data.

Conclusion
We have implemented an adaptive refinement measuring algorithm in a long-range scanning probe microscope. For surfaces with large regular areas, the use of this adaptive scanning instead of a regular matrix scanning can save the time necessary for the measurement and enable high-resolution zooming in the measured data. This can be interesting namely when measuring large areas of different manufactured nano- and microstructures, like electronic parts, photonic crystals, diffraction gratings, etc. Using the presented approach, we iteratively measure with higher and higher resolution on critical surface areas, determined by a simple and universal criterion. In the data processing phase, the set of $xyz$ data obtained from the measurement is triangulated, and necessary details are regularized in order to reach requested resolution. This enables the user to perform zooming in the data processing phase, with no need of performing further measurements.
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