Magnetic field emergence in mesogranular-sized exploding granules observed with sunrise/IMaX data*
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1. Introduction

The Sunrise balloon-borne mission (Barthol et al. 2011) was launched in June 2009. Having a 1-m aperture telescope, this state-of-the-art mission provided high resolution images of the solar photosphere. Continuum images, longitudinal and transverse magnetic field maps and Dopplergrams obtained by IMaX onboard Sunrise are analyzed by local correlation tracking (LCT), divergence calculation and time slices, Stokes inversions and numerical simulations are also employed. We characterize two mesogranular-scale exploding granules where \( \sim 10^{18} \) Mx of magnetic flux emerges. The emergence of weak unipolar longitudinal fields (\( \sim 100 \) G) start with a single visible magnetic polarity, occupying their respective granules’ top and following the granular splitting. After a while, mixed polarities start appearing, concentrated in downflow lanes. The events last around 20 min. LCT analyses confirm mesogranular scale expansion, displaying a similar pattern for all the physical properties, and divergence centers match between all of them. We found a similar behaviour with the emergence events in a numerical MHD simulation. Granule expansion velocities are around 1 km s\(^{-1}\) while magnetic patches expand at 0.65 km s\(^{-1}\). One of the analyzed events evidences the emergence of a loop-like structure. Advection of the emerging magnetic field features is dominated by convective motion resulting from the exploding granule due to the magnetic field frozen in the granular plasma. Intensification of the magnetic field occurs in the intergranular lanes, probably because of being directed by the downflowing plasma.
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1018 Mx of magnetic flux emerges. The emergence of weak unipolar longitudinal fields (\( \sim 100 \) G) start with a single visible magnetic polarity, occupying their respective granules’ top and following the granular splitting. After a while, mixed polarities start appearing, concentrated in downflow lanes. The events last around 20 min. LCT analyses confirm mesogranular scale expansion, displaying a similar pattern for all the physical properties, and divergence centers match between all of them. We found a similar behaviour with the emergence events in a numerical MHD simulation. Granule expansion velocities are around 1 km s\(^{-1}\) while magnetic patches expand at 0.65 km s\(^{-1}\). One of the analyzed events evidences the emergence of a loop-like structure. Advection of the emerging magnetic field features is dominated by convective motion resulting from the exploding granule due to the magnetic field frozen in the granular plasma. Intensification of the magnetic field occurs in the intergranular lanes, probably because of being directed by the downflowing plasma.
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1. Introduction

The Sunrise balloon-borne mission (Barthol et al. 2011) was launched in June 2009. Having a 1-m aperture telescope, this state-of-the-art mission provided high resolution images during its nearly 6 day observing campaign. At a cruise altitude of around 36000 meters, the mission eluded 99% of the perturbations induced by the Earth’s atmosphere (Solanki et al. 2010). This scale often manifests itself when temporal series of about 20 min to 1 h are smoothed or approached through correlation tracking. Mesogranulation is sometimes identified as patches of positive divergence. Matloch et al. (2010) concluded that these patterns do not exhibit intrinsic size or time scales. This particular convection scale can indicate the relation to exploding granules and their fragmenting granule families. Bonet et al. (2005) performed a deep analysis of the granulation scales around a sunspot, focusing on the trees of splitting granules. Roudier & Muller (2004) matched families of fragmenting granules with prominent divergences. PASSIVELY ADVECTED TRACERS SEEM TO COINCIDE WITH THESE FAMILIES. Mesogranules are considered as structures likely related to exploding granules, whose splitting is preceded by the formation of a dark hub due to buoyancy braking (i.e., Massaguer & Zahn 1980). This effect caused by compressibility of the gas (Spruit et al. 1990) takes place when the mass excess in the center of the granule reduces the upward velocity until its collapse. If energy losses cannot be compensated, the center of the granule cools down, and the dark core emerges. When an incompressible plasma is considered, exploding granules do not develop, and mesogranulation arises as interaction between granules (Cattaneo et al. 2001). Compressibility and radiative

* Movies associated to Figs. 2–4 are available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org
cooling seem to be the factors which mark the maximum size for a granule.

The relationship between mesogranulation and vertical magnetic fields, as network, has been analyzed by a number of authors. It is generally believed that the magnetic network is pulled out to the supergranular boundaries. de Wijn & Müller (2009) found trees of fragmenting granules devoid of magnetic elements, as they are dragged to the boundaries of the supergranule. Domínguez Cerdeña (2003) concluded that mesogranular structures in the network are smaller than those in the internetwork. Mesogranulation has been recently studied and related to the distance to the network by Yelles Chaouche et al. (2011).

In this work we characterize two events of magnetic flux emergence within mesogranular-sized granular cells. These emergences, unipolar at the beginning, drift to the intergranular lane where the magnetic field intensifies. In or very close to the lane opposite polarities appear as well. Transverse field is almost negligible over the granules’ top, except when some opposite polarity becomes apparent and consequently the feature shows a loop topology, as described by Centeno et al. (2007), Martínez González & Bellot Rubio (2009) and Gömöry et al. (2010). These emergences seem to be carried passively by the horizontal flow of the exploding granule. Previously, magnetic field emergences over granules were found by De Pontieu (2002); Orozco Suárez et al. (2008). Numerical simulations by Tortosa-Andreu & Moreno-Insertis (2009) showed large abnormal granules lasting 15 min and containing a magnetic field in the form of magnetic loops, as the ones presented here. We compare our detected emergence events with simulated data by Cheung et al. (2008) although the spatial scale of the latter corresponds to supergranular size.

2. Observations and data processing

The instrument IMaX was designed to observe the solar surface in polarized light at very high spatial resolution. IMaX achieved a spectral resolution of 85 mÅ and a spatial resolution of 0′′.18, observing at different wavelengths in the $\text{Fe i} \, 5250 \, \text{Å}$ line. The restored data present an effective FOV of $45'' \times 45''$, with a spatial sampling of 0′′.055. IMaX has several Observing Modes, two of which were used in this study: V5-6 and L12-2. With six accumulations, the V5-6 Mode includes full Stokes imaging polarimetry at four wavelengths $+40, +80 \, \text{mÅ}$ from line center and a continuum point at +227 mÅ, with 33 s cadence. Also the L12-2 Mode was employed, where Stokes $I$ and $V$ are observed at twelve wavelengths, from $-192.5$ to $+192.5 \, \text{mÅ}$ in steps of 35 mÅ, and two accumulations, with 29 s cadence. The noise level is below $10^{-3} I(u)$ in both Modes.

The data used in this work were collected by IMaX/SUNRISE close to the solar disk center and consist of two series in two different Modes, acquired on 2009, June 10. Physical quantities are obtained by different means. The first series (hereafter referred to as emergence event $v10$) is obtained in IMaX V5-6 Mode, from UT 08:29 to 08:50 ($\approx$ 21 min). In this case, longitudinal magnetograms are obtained by weak-field approximation (Landi Degl’Innocenti 1992). Transverse magnetograms and Dopplergram calibrations are used as described in Martínez Pillet et al. (2011), along with the description of the IMaX Observing Modes and image restoration process. The second data series (emergence event $v10$) is acquired in the Longitudinal Mode L12-2, from UT 23:42 to 23:59 ($\approx$ 18 min). In the $v10$ case, line-of-sight magnetic field strength is obtained by 1) the center-of-gravity (COG) method (Semel 1967; Rees & Semel 1979) and 2) SIR inversion (Ruiz Cobo & del Toro Iniesta 1992). Line-of-sight (LOS) velocities are obtained from the SIR inversion. All data are subsonic filtered, hence $p$-mode removal is applied, following the space-time filtering by Title et al. (1989). In Fig. 1, the full field-of-view images are shown. The dark purple squares mark the exploding granules and their associated magnetic emergence.

3. Analysis

3.1. Host exploding granules

Two emergence events ($v10, \ell10$) are followed until the host exploding granules lose their identity and their fragments develop into three and four granules, respectively. Unfortunately neither of the two time series covers the full development and decay of the fragmenting granules. The granule hosting the magnetic emergence $v10$ presents a large spatial scale. An image of the granule is chosen before it splits into three granules. With this particular frame we produce a binary mask via thresholding (which separates granules from intergranular lanes) to estimate granules size. Areas are estimated and the equivalent radius is defined considering that areas correspond to circular granules. Hence histograms of the granular area and the equivalent granule radii are computed (not shown). The host granule equivalent radius and area lies into the last bins of the histograms, since this granule presents a large diameter at the moment when the dark hub appears, being $2300 \, \text{km} (>3''$). Spruit et al. (1990) and references therein state that the maximum size of granules before the onset of buoyancy braking is around $3''$. We use the aforementioned binary mask for each frame to compute the number of granules with equivalent diameter larger than $3''$, finding only around 1%, i.e., 3 granules per each IMaX frame (FOV of $45'' \times 45''$). We calculate the average granule coverage for the whole FOV of the $v10$ series and the corresponding equivalent diameter is around 1''/22 for regular granules. Besides, in this work we found that the occurrence rate of granules with diameter larger than 3'' is approximately $5.7 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{km}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, yielding a similar value as in Title et al. (1989), where the occurrence rate of exploding granules is found to be $7.7 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{km}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1}$.

The expansion velocity of the host granules is estimated by measuring the area and calculating the equivalent radius for every frame in both series. Then, velocity is calculated by least-squares fitting to the equivalent radius growth rate. The expansion velocity is $0.94 \pm 0.02 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ for $\ell10$ and $0.95 \pm 0.02 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ for $v10$. Expansion velocities of the magnetic patch covering the exploding granules are also estimated. Results are $0.61 \pm 0.04 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ for $\ell10$ and $0.68 \pm 0.03 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ for $v10$. Considering a threshold of 15 G for reconstructed images (see Martínez Pillet et al. 2011) we measure the area and calculate the equivalent radius for the magnetic patches.

3.2. Associated magnetic field emergences

Emergence event $v10$ starts with a host granule of $3'3$ diameter, as shown in the first panel of the time sequence in Fig. 2. The thresholded patch of 15 G (in yellow) covers the central part of the granule in the first frames. This threshold is chosen to highlight the unipolar character – positive in both $\ell10$ and $v10$ – of the emerging structures. As the granule grows and starts showing dark patches and crinkles that will become intergranular lanes, the magnetic patches overlap these dark areas. At 319 s from the start of the series, the buoyancy braking darkening is clearly visible (signaled by an arrow). This darkening is related
to low velocities toward the observer, ending with moderate downflows of 0.5 km s$^{-1}$. The unipolar magnetic concentrations with strengths larger than 45 G (red/blue for positive/negative polarities) which develop into the recently created intergranular lanes do not remain unipolar for more than 2 frames (1 min), appearing an opposite polarity nearby (see third and fourth panels in Fig. 2). This central intergranular lane drifts as the granule grows, and another dark spot appears at 725 s, when the granule finally splits into four, becoming a recurrent exploding granule. The area covered by the 15 G contours ranges from 18% to 32% of the granular area. Unfortunately, as the $\ell 10$ emergence is taken without $Q$ and $U$ Stokes profiles, we cannot establish whether or not these positive and negative patches are actually linked as loop footpoints.

In emergence event $v10$ we study a granule of initial diameter around 2′′ (sequence shown in the online material, movie $v10$). At 231 s from the start of the series, the center of the granule presents a significant area covered by longitudinal magnetic fields larger than 15 G. At 565 s, a slightly darker spot arises in the granule, together with a longitudinal magnetic patch of value larger than 45 G. The 15 G contours start forming a trefoil shape, covering from 15% to a peak of 42% of the granular area. The time span of this structure is 600 s approximately. It covers a granule partially, until the moment it approaches an intergranular lane or a breaking granule spot, where the longitudinal magnetic field migrates and intensifies (from 45 G to more than 80 G). LOS-velocities are slightly negative (upflows) while the magnetic field at the center of the granule is emerging. Maximum values of the longitudinal magnetic field peak around 100 G, while the transverse field is about 250 G. The maximum value of the transverse field is reached on the loop described below. Note that it seems a high value, but noise is quite large (see Martínez Pillet et al. 2011), then it is thresholded to 180 G. A positive polarity emerges at the edge of a previously fragmented portion of the granule followed by a transverse field patch at 764 s, indicating a magnetic loop top, lasting for around 90 s before the second footpoint becomes visible in our data. This dipole presents the semi-major axis parallel to the
intergranular lane. The total duration over which the whole loop, including its apex is visible, is 396 s, also shown in Fig. 3 (right), where a time series of the images in the region outlined by the white rectangle is presented. Green contours outline the transverse field while the background image corresponds to the longitudinal magnetic field. Positive/negative polarities are represented in white/black. Footpoints reach a maximum separation of 1.5 Mm, and the separation velocity is 3 km s$^{-1}$, much larger than the granule expansion rate, bearing in mind that the velocity computed between any two points is usually larger than its average. LOS-velocities at the footpoints range from 0.5 km s$^{-1}$ at the emergence of the first footpoint to 1.5 km s$^{-1}$. $v_{10}$ event estimated flux ranges between 0.4-2.0 $\times 10^{18}$ Mx considering magnetic elements over 45 G.

3.3. Flowmaps of magnetic and non-magnetic features

In this study, the local correlation tracking (LCT, November & Simon 1988) method implemented by Molowny-Horas & Yi (1994) is performed using a correlation window with FWHM of 1$''$.5 (28 IMaX pixels) averaged over the duration of the events (17 min for $\ell 10$ and 21 min for $v_{10}$). Flowmaps for continuum, the center-of-gravity longitudinal field and LOS-velocity are computed. The time average LOS-velocity peaks between -2.5 and 2 km s$^{-1}$. Figure 4 displays the results for emergence event $\ell 10$. Mean values of horizontal velocities in the continuum, longitudinal field and LOS-velocity maps are 0.63, 0.49 and 0.54 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. Velocities obtained with LCT are lower than expansion velocities because of the long duration of the series and the size of the FWHM. In addition, the flow pattern is remarkably similar in all the three panels of Fig. 4. COG magnetic field flow maps show exactly the same pattern as the inversion magnetic field flow maps (not shown). The gray box in the last panel corresponds to a more stringent clipping of the inverted data (with respect to the non-inverted) to avoid influence from the apodised FOV, remnant of the reduction process. A circle of diameter 8$''$.3 is overlaid as visual indicator enclosing the exploding granule and its fragments. The divergence maps resulting from the LCT computation are shown in Fig. 5. Maxima are found for each panel and averaging the position, we find an accurate center of the mesogranular flow. The coordinates averaged are $x = 6''56 \pm 0''30, y = 9''84 \pm 0''11$. Divergences peak around $3 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, which is a moderately high value for a 17-min average. Results for emergence event $v_{10}$ are comparable (average velocity values for images of continuum, longitudinal field, transverse field and Doppler velocity are 0.60, 0.47, 0.44 and 0.48 km s$^{-1}$) and hence not shown in this work.

3.4. Simulations

A simulation performed by Cheung et al. (2008) is used for comparison. Carried out with the MURaM code (Vögler et al. 2005), which solves the radiative MHD equations on a Cartesian grid, this numerical experiment places a rising fluxtube with a twist parameter $\lambda = 0.25$ at $z = -3.9$ Mm. The simulation domain has horizontal dimensions of 24 Mm $\times$ 18 Mm and height of 5.76 Mm, with a total magnetic flux of $10^{20}$ Mx. A pixel of the simulation covers 25 km $\times$ 25 km or equivalently, $0''033$ px$^{-1}$, smaller than 1MAx sampling, which is $0''055$ px$^{-1}$. The flux tube placed at the bottom of the simulation box expands and rises and becomes turbulent. The continuum images and the corresponding synthetic magnetograms extracted at $\tau_{s50} = 0.1$ are degraded by smoothing with a $0''17$ wide boxcar window, equivalent to 3 IMaX pixels.

A movie of the simulation is available in the online material. This movie shows a continuum image with red and blue contours representing longitudinal fields larger than 15 G and lower than -15 G respectively. Maximum and minimum of the simulation
Fig. 4. From left to right: flow maps of the continuum, COG longitudinal field and LOS-velocity of the \( \ell 10 \) emergence. Background images are average images of the following quantities: average normalized continuum image; longitudinal magnetic field, clipped to \( \pm 50 \) G; and LOS-velocity (kms\(^{-1}\)). The full FOV is 16.5' \( \times \) 16.5'. Separation length between tickmarks is equivalent to a velocity magnitude of 1.5 kms\(^{-1}\). A circle of diameter 8.3' (same as in Fig. 2) is overplotted for comparison. See text for more details.

Fig. 5. From left to right: continuum, longitudinal field and LOS-velocity divergences (resulting from the corresponding flow maps in Fig. 4) of \( \ell 10 \) emergence. Bars indicate the divergence value in units of \( 10^{-3} \) s\(^{-1}\). The same circle of Figs. 2 and 4 is overplotted for comparison.

are 220 G and \(-350\) G. Similarly to the observed cases, some large unipolar blobs covering granules appear, and also smaller mixed polarities while the region evolves. Contrary to the observed cases, the longitudinal magnetic field seems to evolve more independently over the granules' top than in the analyzed events, where granules develop and magnetic flux is apparently dragged by the plasma. Figure 6 shows a frame of the synthetic continuum overplotted with the aforementioned contours. The magnetic concentration centered in (14, 12) Mm is measured to estimate the expansion velocity in the simulation.

To analyze the behaviour of the simulation features with respect to observation, we apply the same methods for expansion velocity calculation and LCT. We computed the expansion velocity by means of effective radius measurement over time. The simulation holds the magnetic flux conservation and therefore, the magnetic patch area grows linearly with time. A negative patch covering some granules actually expands at a rate of 1.2 km s\(^{-1}\), considering the features with a pixel averaged field strength above the threshold of \(-15\) G. LCT (FWHM 1'' in this case) is applied also to the simulation. Figure 7 displays the flow maps of the synthetic longitudinal magnetogram (left) and the continuum intensity (right). The average longitudinal magnetogram is contoured over the continuum flow map (thick black line). While in the observed data a smaller window size does not provide more information, as tracked features are slower, in the simulation it highlights different features such as the divergence centers. Positive divergence centers are clearly spotted in red, while blue lanes indicate fragments of long-duration

Fig. 6. Synthetic continuum image over-plotted with contours of the longitudinal component of the magnetic field (at \( r_{500} = 0.1 \)) coloured in red and blue, denoting 15 G and \(-15\) G, respectively. The temporal evolution is displayed in a movie available in the online edition.
intergranular lanes, likely to be tracing mesogranular lanes (right panel). Most divergence features are well reproduced in both flow maps, e.g., strong negative divergence patch at coordinates (9, 9) Mm, although more marked and the overall expanding behaviour more obvious in the left panel in Fig. 7, i.e., when tracking magnetic features.

4. Discussion and conclusions

Thanks to the high resolution and cadence of SUNRISE/IMaX, we obtained co-spatial and co-temporal magnetic field and continuum images, which allows us to study these events. For the first time we found magnetic loops embedded in the fragments of large exploding granules, which are covered by magnetic longitudinal field patches. The origin of these magnetic structures is still uncertain, although the similarity of the observed features with the simulations of Cheung et al. (2008) suggests that they originate by a turbulent rising flux tube. The emerging magnetic flux features are advected by the exploding granule. In this scenario the magnetic flux concentrations act as tracers. These two cases are the results of IMaX for two data sets of June 10, 2011, and more of them can be identified in the future, allowing us to study statistically their spatial and temporal occurrence.

In both emergence events, underlying granules expand at a rate of 0.95 km s⁻¹ and the magnetic patches at 0.65 km s⁻¹. Average horizontal velocities are about 0.60 km s⁻¹ for continuum and 0.46 km s⁻¹ for magnetic fields, in agreement with the typical granular expansion velocity estimated by LCT of 0.5–1.0 km s⁻¹ (November & Simon 1988). The velocities are lower when magnetic structures are involved. This lower mobility of the magnetic features seems to be real and not a consequence of tracking faint features. This phenomenon was observed by Manso Sainz et al. (2011) as well. A plausible explanation can be that the magnetic field is measured higher up in the atmosphere than the continuum, leading to slightly lower velocities.

The emergence event v10 seems to be a long-lived recurrent exploding granule (active granule, Oda 1984). Roudier & Muller (2004) observed trees of fragmenting granules tracked over hours but no magnetic field information was available. Both cases presented here show magnetic field over granules, in agreement with De Pontieu (2002); Orozco Suárez et al. (2008). Emergence event v10 occurs close to a network element. The recurrent exploding granule and associated emergence event v10 also harbours a network element in its surroundings. These facts could signify that these mesogranular emergences are close to a supergranular boundary.

Simulations used for comparison show a resemblance to the emergence v10, as the positive and negative polarities become visible very close to each other, albeit the field emergence is by far faster in the supergranular simulation than in the observed data. Considering a synthetic magnetic field concentration, the expansion velocity is in agreement to Tortosa-Andreu & Moreno-Insertis (2009), who report expansion values of 4 km s⁻¹ on their simulations. While in Cheung et al. (2008) supersonic downflows are revealed, probably related to convective collapses, we find no evidence of supersonic up- or downflows in Stokes V continuum using V5-6 data (event v10) similar to those found by Borrero et al. (2010).

Taking advantage of the v10 emergence that presents transverse field, we found a magnetic loop emerging in the FOV, whose associated footpoints separate from each other with a velocity of ~3 km s⁻¹, in agreement with Martínez González & Bellot Rubio (2009) and Vargas Domínguez et al. (2011). The footpoints present downflows, indicate mass draining along the legs of the loops, making their ascent to less dense layers possible. As only one footpoint and the loop apex appear first, this may indicate the emergence of an inclined loop, or significant differences between the field strength of the two footpoints. As a general fact, the transverse field is almost negligible in the exploding granule, while longitudinal field covers portions of the granule before drifting to the intergranular lane where it intensifies.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank to Mark Cheung for his simulations. J.P. acknowledges funding from the Spanish FPI grant BES-2007-16584. The German contribution to SUNRISE is funded by the Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Technologie through Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. (DLR), Grant No. 50 OX 0401, and by the Innovationsfond of the President of the Max Planck Society (MPG). The Spanish contribution has been funded by the Spanish MICINN under projects ESP2006-13030-C06 and AYA2009-14105-C06 (including European FEDER funds). The HAO contribution was partly funded through NASA grant number NNX08AH39G. This work has been partly supported by the WCU grant (No. R31-10016) funded by the Korean Ministry of Education, Science & Technology.

References
Barthol, P., Gandorfer, A., Solanki, S. K., et al. 2011, Sol. Phys., 268, 1
Bonet, J. A., Márquez, I., Muller, R., Sobotka M., & Roudier, Th. 2005, A&A, 430, 1089
Borrero, J. M., Martínez-Pillet, V., Schlichenmaier, R., et al. 2010, ApJ, 723, L144
Centeno, R., Socas-Navarro, H., Lites, B., et al. 2007, ApJ, 666, L137
Cattaneo, F., Lenz, D., & Weiss, N. 2001, ApJ, 563, L91
Cheung, M. C. M., Schüssler, M., Tarbell, T. D., & Title, A. M. 2008, ApJ, 687, 1373
