Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are a subpopulation of cancer that can self-renew and differentiate into large tumor masses. Evidence accumulated to date shows that CSCs affect tumor proliferation, recurrence, and resistance to chemotherapy. Recent studies have shown that, like stem cells, CSCs maintain cells with self-renewal capacity by means of asymmetric division and promote cell proliferation by means of symmetric division. This cell division is regulated by fate determinants, such as the NUMB protein, which recently has also been confirmed as a tumor suppressor. Loss of NUMB expression leads to uncontrolled proliferation and amplification of the CSC pool, which promotes the Notch signaling pathway and reduces the expression of the p53 protein. NUMB genes are alternatively spliced to produce six functionally distinct isoforms. An interesting recent discovery is that the protein NUMB isoform produced by alternative splicing of NUMB plays an important role in promoting carcinogenesis. In this review, we summarize the known functions of NUMB and NUMB isoforms related to the proliferation and generation of CSCs. [BMB Reports 2021; 54(7): 335-343]

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is organized hierarchically and consists of a diverse population of functionally heterogeneous cells, with CSCs (also known as cancer stem-like cells) on top. CSCs can self-renew to maintain their proportions and their potential to differentiate into non-tumorigenic mass tumor cells (1). There are many studies suggesting that CSCs are responsible for cancer growth, recurrence, and resistance to chemo and radiation therapy (2, 3). CSC can be regulated by many intracellular and extracellular factors, which are drug targets for cancer treatment (3).

Many studies have reported that CSCs, like stem cells, maintain populations by means of self-renewal cell division. These cells can self-renew themselves through asymmetric division, in which one daughter cell has stem-cell properties and other cells undergo differentiation (4-6). In general, both great cellular heterogeneity and rapid cell proliferation are required for cancer development; so asymmetric and symmetric division must coexist, especially for the survival of cancer cells in stress conditions, such as chemotherapy or metastasis (7). Therefore, efficient regulation of cell division (including both asymmetric and symmetric cell division) can be very important in effective cancer and CSCs therapy strategies.

In this review, we discuss the function of the NUMB protein, a cell-fate determinant and tumor suppressor, in the generation of cancer and CSCs. We also explore the role played by the NUMB isoforms produced by alternative splicing.

CSCs AND CELL DIVISION

Studies to date have shown that CSCs are a small population of self-renewing cells that are very likely to cause tumors and tumor recurrence (8, 9). Many studies have shown that conventional treatment methods, such as radiation and chemotherapy, promote CSC self-renewal and tumor recurrence (10), which suggests that CSC must be completely removed to eliminate the possibility of tumor recurrence (11).

Cell development occurs through cell proliferation, lineage determination, and final differentiation with early stem cells. Stem cells are capable of self-renew while producing differentiated cells. This mode of cell division plays an important role in the activity of stem cells (12). Individual stem cells produce two identical daughter cells (symmetric divisions, SD) or two unequal daughters, one that retains stem identity, the other that undergoes differentiation (asymmetric division, AD) (12). Therefore, in the process of stem-cell development, AD increases the diversity of cell types, and SD expands of cells.

For cancer development, AD and SD must co-exist, because both cellular heterogeneity and cellular proliferation are required. In addition, in stress conditions, such as tumor therapy and metastasis, cancer cells and CSC must co-exist with AD and SD for survival. Therefore, in order to design a more efficient cancer treatment strategy, many kinds of studies on the mechanism of cell-division regulation are required.

One characteristic of stem cells is that they undergo AD.
This cell-fate determination can be accomplished extrinsically or intrinsically. The two daughter cells generated after AD are placed at different distances in the niche (extrinsically). AD is associated with the development of both invertebrates and vertebrates; most of what is known to date comes from genetically tractable invertebrate species, such as Drosophila melanogaster (5, 13-15) or Caenorhabditis elegans (15, 16). Asymmetric inheritance of endogenous cell-fate determinants such as proteins or RNA can lead to asymmetric cell-fate decisions (intrinsically) (17). In addition to macromolecules, organelles, such as centrosomes, intermediates, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or lysosomes, have been described as being asymmetrically separated (18). However, the asymmetric inheritance of organelles is still unclear.

Prior to AD, the fate determinants differ in abundance at the apex or basal pole, where the mitotic spindle and centrosome are unevenly aligned. Proteins that promote self-renewal and stem are usually recruited into the spindles on the apical side facing the lumen of the body’s outer or inner cavity. In contrast, differentiation-promoting factors are recruited to the mitotic spindle placed basal toward the basement membrane (1).

In Drosophila, neural stem cells divide asymmetrically to form neural stem cells and ganglion mother cells (Fig. 1). In this well-known model, we focus on NUMB among several proteins associated with AD.

**Fig. 1.** Asymmetric division of Drosophila neuroblasts. Schematic description for a polarized mother cell during anaphase. Asymmetric division of Drosophila neuroblasts produces larger neuroblasts and smaller ganglion mother cells (GMCs) (left panel). The middle panel shows the asymmetric distribution of polarity proteins at the apical and basal cortex and the asymmetry of the spindle. The light-blue box highlights evolutionarily conserved apical complexes that are important for polarity establishment and spindle positioning in these two systems. In the apical cortex, the Cdc42/Pins/Par6/αPKC complex is linked with the Gαi1/Pins/Mud complex by Inscutable. Mud recruit’s dynein-dynactin activity to capture and pull astral microtubules, whereas Pin recruits’ kinesin Khc73 via Dlg to bind with astral microtubules. Phosphorylation of Par6 by Aurora-A plays an important role in regulating αPKC to induce fate-determining proteins, such as Brat, Prospero, Staufen, and NUMB, to the basement membrane and future GMCs. Miranda is an adapter protein for some basic proteins.

**THE NUMB PROTEIN**

NUMB was first identified in Drosophila as an important cell-fate determinant in which mutant embryos exhibit alterations in sensory-organ precursor lineage selection (19). The most important property of NUMB is its asymmetric cell distribution, which allows it to act as a fate determinant of cells. These properties are of importance in understanding the role of NUMB in physiological cell development and various diseases.

Drosophila Numb (dNumb) is a membrane-associated protein that separates asymmetrically from cell division (20) and consequently separates into one daughter cell, serving as an intrinsic determinant of cell fate (21). Numb, which is evolutionarily conserved from flies to mammals, is included in many cellular processes, and may serve as cell-fate determinants (19, 22). There are two mammalian homologs (encoded by two genes, mNUMB and mNUMB-like) (22, 23) and at least six major isoforms of mNUMB via alternative splicing (24-26).

Both mNUMB and mNUMB-like proteins are essential for AD. Although these proteins have functional overlaps, they function differently. The mNUMB-like protein is symmetrically distributed in cells and is expressed in neurons after mitosis of cortical plates rather than ventricular ancestors during mouse cortical neurogenesis. However, mNUMB is asymmetrically distributed to the apical membrane of dividing ventricular cells and separates into apical daughter cells that remain as a progenitor, which suggests that mNUMB plays a role in the maintenance of undifferentiated cells (23).

mNUMB encodes six alternatively spliced transcripts (25, 26). There are areas of functional overlap of these six isoforms, but physiological and pathological differences are distinct (24, 25, 27-29).

The NUMB protein consists of an amino-terminal PTB (phospho-tyrosine-binding domain), C-terminal PRR (proline-rich domain), and EH (Eps 15 homology region, including the DPF motif) and NPF (Asn-Pro-Pro-Phe) motif domain (22, 25). The PTB domain usually mediates its association with the NPFXy motif in transmembrane proteins (30, 31). Moreover, the NUMB protein interacts with intracellular adapters, such as alpha-adaptin and Eps15, which bind to the DPF and NPF motifs at the C-terminus of NUMB, respectively (32, 33).

Mammalian NUMB genes are alternatively spliced to produce six functionally distinct isoforms (25, 26, 31, 34). Alternative splicing affects both PTB and PRR domains. The most abundantly expressed isoforms are clearly distinguished by the presence or absence of three coding exons (exon 6, E6; exon 10, E10; and exon 12, E12). The difference is that they contain two coding exons: E6, which corresponds to the 11 amino-acids region within the PTB domain, and E12, which corresponds to the 48 amino-acids region within the PRR domain. Alternative splicing of these exons produces the six isoform protein products: (1) NUMB 1 (p72), (2) NUMB 3 (p71, ΔE6), (3) NUMB 2 (p66, ΔE12), (4) NUMB 4 (p65, ΔE6 and ΔE12), (5) NUMB 5 (p55, ΔE10 and ΔE12), and (6) NUMB 6 (p54, ΔE6, ΔE10, and ΔE12).
ΔE10 and ΔE12 (Fig. 2).

THE ROLE OF NUMB ISOFORM PROTEINS: NUMB E12 OR E6 ALTERNATIVE SPlicing

The recently reported NUMB isoforms display different aspects of NUMB's physiological and biochemical functions, along with a role that differentiates them from conventional NUMBs. However, the functions and mechanisms for NUMB isoforms are still not fully understood. We focus on analyzing the role of E12 and E6 alternative splicing events reported and describe the different roles of NUMB isoforms.

The NUMB isoform with E12 is 1/3 and the NUMB isoform without E12 is 2/4. The NUMB 1/3 isoforms are predominantly expressed in progenitor tissues, whereas the NUMB 2/4 isoforms are highly expressed in adult tissues (25, 34). In neural differentiation, a switch in NUMB isoform expression is mainly induced from NUMB 1/3 to NUMB 2/4 (34, 35). The different expressions of NUMB E12 isoforms also lead to functionally distinct differences. Overexpression of the NUMB isoforms...
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including E12 in progenitor cells increase cell proliferation, whereas overexpression of the NUMB isoforms except for E12 promotes differentiation (24, 34, 36). The mechanisms underlying the different effects of NUMB isoforms on the balance between cell proliferation and differentiation are associated with Notch signaling (34). Especially, increased expression of NUMB 1/3 isoforms promotes Notch activation to proliferate cells, whereas increased expression of NUMB 2/4 isoforms decreases the Notch signal and promotes cell differentiation.

Alternative splicing is a tightly regulated process in which coding/non-coding sequences of pre-mRNA or protein are assembled and removed in various combinations to create new proteins with new functions (37). It has been reported that alternative splicings are poorly controlled in several cancer types (38-40). Ultimately, they make a huge contribution to many aspects of cancer, including cancer-causing modifications, cancer progression, and response / resistance to chemotherapy medications (41). It has been reported that there is an increased expression of the E12-containing NUMB isoform caused by alternative splicing of NUMB in breast, colon, and lung cancer (26, 27, 41-43).

The pre-mRNA splicing regulator has been described to affect NUMB E12 alternative splicing. Rbfox3 (pre-mRNA splicing regulator) promotes the skipping of NUMB E12, and RBM4 inhibits E12 inclusion by promoting the inclusion of E6 (44, 45).

Unlike E12, little is known about NUMB E6 alternative splicing. A NUMB isoform with E6 is 1/2 and a NUMB isoform without E6 is 3/4. In Alzheimer's disease, a neurodegenerative disease, NUMB isoforms 3/4 affect the transport and processing of amyloids precursor proteins into cells to produce amyloid B peptide, the main component of neurogenic amyloid plaques (46, 47).

The difference between NUMB E6-containing and deficient isoforms is that they have different intracellular localizations. The NUMB PTB domain controls NUMB targeting to the cortical plasma membrane. NUMB isoforms 1/2 are located in the cell membrane, mainly by interaction with acidic membrane phospholipids (e.g., phosphoinositides, PIP). On the other hand, NUMB isoforms 3/4 are mainly distributed in the cytoplasm (48). However, the precise mechanisms governing the intracellular locating of NUMB isoforms are not yet clear.

It is known that the locating of the cortical plasma membrane of NUMB is effectively regulated by GPCR-activated phospholipid hydrolysis and aPKC-dependent phosphorylation (48, 49).

NUMB AND NUMB ISOFORMS IN CANCER/CSC: THE REGULATOR OF TUMOR PROGRESSION

Regulation of NUMB and NUMB isoforms in signaling pathways is key to their function as tumor suppressors in cancer development (50). The role of NUMB as a tumor suppressor is known in several types of tumors, including breast, lung, and liver cancer (50-52). Recent studies have shown that deletion of NUMB isoforms reduces cell growth and NUMB isoforms are regulators of EMT (Epithelial-mesenchymal transition) and ECM (extracellular matrix) protein networks in breast cancer cell (53). In addition, NUMB isoform 1 inhibits migration and invasion by preventing EMT in esophageal cancer. Furthermore, upregulation of NUMB isoform 1 expression suppresses growth of esophageal cancer cell and their cell cycle (53). The clinical association of NUMB in cancer incidence suggests that loss of the NUMB protein is found in about 30% of breast and lung tumors and is associated with poor prognosis and more aggressive tumors (51, 54). However, NUMB deficiency in tumors is accompanied by various mechanisms and promotes proteasome degradation (55). NUMB phosphorylation by aPKC (atypical protein kinase C) promotes the degradation of the p53 protein. As a result, reduced p53 protein expression and activity results in a pro-tumorigenic phenotype. In addition, aPKC-mediated NUMB phosphorylation plays an important role in promoting tumor formation and promoting proliferation and self-renewal of CSCs (55).

The role of the NUMB protein in inhibiting Notch signaling and simultaneously stabilizing the p53 signaling pathway is also implicated in the regulation of stem-cell homeostasis. In stem-cell mitosis, the asymmetric division of NUMB induces functional asymmetry of the NUMB-p53 and NUMB-Notch signaling pathways, which confer distinct developmental and proliferative fates on the two daughter cells (56). Collectively, the NUMB protein, which positively regulates the activity of p53, induces different p53 activities in the two daughter cells as a result of the expression of the differentiated NUMB protein, thereby regulating the mode of division of stem cells (56). At the stem-cell level of the mammary gland, the loss of expression of the NUMB protein and activation of p53 lead to a symmetric mode and acquires an unlimited self-renewal potential that can lead to the expansion of the stem-cell population. In contrast, loss of expression of the NUMB protein at the progenitor level activates the EMT program and acquires stem-cell properties. These effects lead to abnormal tissue formation and the appearance of CSCs. NUMB-deficient CSCs have more self-renewal potential than do normal breast stem cells or NUMB-proficient CSCs (56).

However, several reports have suggested mechanisms by which NUMB alternative splicing is regulated in response to oncogenic signaling pathways and contributes to the activation of downstream pathways that promote tumorigenesis. It has been reported that NUMB isoforms 2 and 4 are associated with the activation of the Notch pathway, and NUMB isoforms 2 and 4 will play an important role downstream of the oncogenic signaling pathway (57). NUMB isoforms 2 and 4 are highly expressed in non-small cell lung cancer, which activates the Notch target gene and promotes cell proliferation, thereby affecting cell signaling that contributes to tumor formation (42, 58).
CORRELATION BETWEEN NUMB AND NOTCH SIGNALING PATHWAYS

The Notch signaling pathway is a highly conserved developmental network involved in cell-fate determination, stem-cell homeostasis, and regulation of proliferation/differentiation balance during development (59). Trans-interactions between receptors and ligands present in opposite cells indicate activation events of the Notch signaling pathway (59, 60).

In Drosophila, the Numb protein plays an inhibitory role in the Notch signaling pathway. Many studies have shown that loss of expression of the Numb protein increases Notch function (61-63). During AD of stem cells, Numb proteins divide asymmetrically during mitosis. Daughter cells that have lost the Numb protein remain responsive to Notch and are involved in Notch activation, whereas daughter cells that have received the Numb protein do not respond to the Notch signal. Numb antagonizes Notch by inhibiting recycling to the plasma membrane via AP1 and regulating intracellular trafficking and stability of the Notch ligand Delta-like 4 (Dl4) to lysosomes for degradation (64). Translocated into the cell nucleus, NICD binds to the DNA-binding transcription factor RBPJ/Mastermind transcription-activating protein (65). This complex binds to the DNA in the nucleus and activates transcription. However, when NUMB and Notch are simultaneously expressed, the binding of Delta and Notch inhibits the translocation of RBPJ to the nucleus (66). In addition, NUMB binds directly to the PEST domain of NICD and inhibits Notch signaling, thereby inhibiting NICD from accessing the nucleus. At the same time, NUMB directly inhibits Notch by inducing polyubiquitination and degradation of Notch protein (67). A gamma-secretase inhibitor (DAPT) blocks Notch signal pathway by suppressing Notch receptor dissociation (68). As a result of studying the SHG-44 human glioblastoma cell line, DAPT treatment significantly reduced the expression of Hes1 and Notch1 genes and decreased the number of cancer cells. DAPT inhibits the dissociation of Notch receptors to regulate NUMB and Notch expression, thereby inhibiting Hes 1, a sub-target of Notch 1, to reduce cell proliferation and differentiation (69). Recent studies have shown that NUMB inhibits NICD (Notch intracellular domain) ubiquitination to regulate NICD protein expression (70). Activated NICD has a short activation of approximately four hours in cells. NUMB inhibits NICD activated during the Notch pathway, making it an effective strategy for developing treatments (67). In this way, Numb limits the transmission of Notch signals in signaling cells. In this complex scenario, the endocytic role of Numbs is essential for the removal or recycling of molecules from specific plasma membrane domains to execute their polarization function. In this regard, it has been suggested that abnormal activation of Notch is associated with carcinogenic signals associated with a variety of human cancers, including colon, pancreas, lung, breast, and glioblastoma (Fig. 3) (54, 67, 71-73).

In conclusion, tight regulation of the NUMB-Notch axis is essential to prevent potential tumor-inducing events that could result from deregulation. The main mechanisms of Notch-NUMB are described here, but the overall contextual scenario has not yet been fully understood.

CORRELATION BETWEEN NUMB AND P53 SIGNALING PATHWAYS

The p53 acts as an intracellular stress sensor that is activated in response to various stimuli such as DNA damage, oncogene activation, hypoxia, oxidative stress, and malnutrition (74). These stimuli are usually caused by tumor cells and play an important role in triggering the tumor suppressor function of p53 in vivo. Also, depending on the type of stimulation, p53 activation induces a variety of phenomena, such as temporary cell-cycle arrest, aging, DNA repair, autophagy, or other cellular consequences, such as cell death (75).

Numerous MDM2-p53 pathways have been studied to date and are representative cancer therapeutic targets. Suppression of the MDM2 or MDM2-p53 interaction refers to an indirect approach to re-establish p53 function and is therefore considered a viable therapeutic strategy to delay tumor progression (Fig. 3) (76).

NUMB stabilizes p53 protein levels by forming a trimer complex with p53 and MDM2 and interacting directly with the two proteins to inhibit MDM2-mediated ubiquitination of p53. As a result, NUMB-deficient tumors have reduced p53 expression and activity, respond to genotoxic treatment, and are less able to efficiently repair DNA damage (51, 56).

NUMB AND EMT

NUMB affects early EMT events by regulating cell-cell adhesion, cell polarity, and epithelial cell migration. Cell polarity is determined by the asymmetric composition of several cellular components. The polar complex protein (PAR) participated in coordinating this events and also serves to establish tight junctions, which suture adjacent epithelial cells, functionally separating the apical-basal lateral surface and restricting liquid flow between the intercellular spaces. Moreover, NUMB participated in the regulation of tight-junction kinetics by affecting and interacting with the localization of PAR3 (50, 77, 78). In summary, NUMB suppress the Notch signaling pathway by inhibiting EMT. The Notch pathway stimulates the EMT process by increasing the expression of Snail and Slug, the subsequences that prevent transcription of E-cadherin (79, 80). Downregulation of NUMB can induce an EMT phenotype, which can causally increase tumor invasion. Consequently, these data suggest the role of NUMB in driving all stages of the EMT process from loss of cell polarity and cell-cell contact (dynamic re-modulation of tight junctions) for cell migration.
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**CONCLUSION**

NUMB was first discovered as a determinant of cell fate in Drosophila and has been reported as a tumor suppressor in various human cancers to date. NUMB protein functions play a pivotal role not only in controlling physiological processes, but also in disease when deregulated. NUMB’s ability to control stem/progenitor cell development has several effects on tumor formation. Mammalian NUMBs are structurally more complex than the Drosophila homologues in that they have more coding genes and a greater number of alternately spliced isoforms. Thus, it is showed that the NUMB protein has asymmetric cell division control and cell fate determination, cell migration, ubiquitination, and multiple signaling pathways (i.e., Notch, p53, EMT complex functions). AD is an efficient path to create heterogeneity while maintaining the self-renewal characteristic of CSC. However, to date, we still lack studies on the activity of CSCs and the role of ADs in different stages of human cancer development. Therefore, a complete description of all mechanisms involved in NUMB relief in cancer will be important in identifying new therapeutic targets and strategies for the treatment of NUMB-deficient tumors.
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