Analysis of Knee Joint Injury Caused by Physical Training of Freshmen Students Based on 3T MRI and Automatic Cartilage Segmentation Technology: A Prospective Study
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Background: The differential effects of various exercises on knee joint injury have not been well documented. Improper physical training can cause irreversible damage to the knee joint. MRI is generally used to precisely analyze morphological and biochemical changes in the knee cartilage. We compared the effects of long-walking and regular daily physical training on acute and chronic knee joint injuries as well as cartilage structure in freshmen students.

Methods: A total of 23 young male college freshmen were recruited to participate in an 8-day 240 km long distance walk and a one-year daily training. 3D-DESSwe, 2D T2 mapping, DIXON, and T1WI of the right knee joint were performed using the MAGNETOM Spectra 3T MR scanner. The injury of meniscus, bone marrow edema, ligaments and joint effusion is graded. Cartilage volume, thickness and T2 values of 21 sub-regions of the knee cartilage were estimated using automatic cartilage segmentation prototype software. Friedman’s test and Wilcoxon paired rank-sum test were used to compare quantitative indices of knee cartilage in three groups.

Results: The injury to the medial meniscus and anterior cruciate ligament of the knee joint, joint effusion, and bone marrow edema was significantly higher in the long-walking group compared to the baseline and daily groups. Furthermore, injury to the lateral meniscus was significantly worse in the long-walking group compared to the baseline group but was significantly better in the daily group compared to the baseline group. No significant changes to the posterior cruciate ligament were observed among the three groups. Knee cartilage volume was significantly increased, mainly in the stress surface of the femur, patella, and the lateral area of the tibial plateau. Regular daily training did not significantly change the thickness of the knee cartilage. Conversely, knee cartilage thickness decreased in the long-walking group, especially in the medial and lateral areas of the
femur and tibial plateau. Moreover, no significant changes were observed in the knee cartilage volume of the long-walking group. Both long-walking and daily groups showed reduced T2 values of the knee joint compared to the baseline.

**Conclusion:** Among freshmen students and the training of this experimental intensity, our results show that regular daily training does not cause high-level injury to the knee joint, but improve the knee joint function adaptability by increasing cartilage volume. Moreover, knee injury caused by short-term long walking can be reversible.
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**INTRODUCTION**

According to China’s “Outline of the National Fitness Program” and the positive Olympic spirit, with increasing public health awareness, fitness is primarily started from children and adolescents and is aimed at improving overall health. It has been recommended that people should participate in more than one physical fitness activity per day, learn more than two fitness methods, and conduct a physical fitness test once per year. At present, it is common for colleges and universities to carry out freshman training in China. Knee joint injuries are common in physical training, and some injuries are irreversible (1). Different forms of motion can cause different knee joint injuries due to different knee joint mechanics (2, 3). Studies have found that the incidence of knee joint pain is significantly higher in people who regularly train on long-distance walking (4), and accumulated high-intensity walking training can directly lead to meniscus injury (5).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the most effective technique to identify articular cartilage injury, meniscus lesion, ligament injury, bone marrow edema, and joint effusion (6–9). Three-dimensional quantitative MRI (3D q-MRI) technology, such as 3D fast imaging with steady-state precession (FISP), 3D double-echo steady-state precession (3D-DESS), 3D SPACE sequence, can precisely analyze cartilage morphology. Cartilage volume and thickness and T2 values can be measured in a high-precision and repeatable manner (8, 10–12). Previous studies of our research group also used automatic cartilage segmentation technology to obtain good repeatable automatic cartilage segmentation results using high-spatial-resolution 3.0T MR imaging (13). During the software cartilage segmentation process, we need one morphological dataset (3D-DESSwe) and optionally biochemical maps for evaluation (T2 mapping). Three-dimensional double-echo steady-state (3D-DESS) includes the acquisition of two different echoes in each repetition time. The first echo is the free induction attenuation gradient-echo of fast imaging with steady-state precession (FISP). The second echo is the RF echo of reversed fast imaging with steady-state free precession (PSIF). 3D-DESS with water excitation (3D-DESSwe) combines water excitation technology with a steady-state free motion-imaging sequence and is generally used for articular cartilage and cardiovascular imaging. This sequence has the characteristics of T1 and T2 double weighting and combines several fat suppression techniques (14). There are few reports on the impact of physical training on the knee joint in college freshmen students and people with similar physical status. Herein, we compared the effects of long-walking and regular daily physical training on acute and chronic knee joint injuries as well as cartilage structure by analyzing 3D-DESSwe and T2 mapping images using automatic cartilage segmentation prototype software.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

This study was approved by the ethics committee of First Affiliated Hospital of Army Medical University (No. KY2021016), and all participants signed informed consent. Selection criteria included: (1) male undergraduates enrolled in the current year; (2) body mass index (BMI) <28 kg/m²; (3) no history of knee pain, trauma, and surgery; and (4) complete the training plan. Exclusion criteria included: (1) previous history of knee trauma, surgery, and infection; (2) knee pain or other positive symptoms; (3) presence of metal foreign bodies or prostheses (cardiac pacemaker, insulin pump), claustrophobia, and other contraindications of magnetic resonance examination.

Twenty-nine college freshmen students were recruited from October 2019 to October 2021. Six students were lost to follow-up, and thus, 23 students were included in the final analysis. All participants were males, aged 17-20 years old (19.48 ± 0.14), with a BMI of 22.55 ± 0.34 kg/m². All students participated in the entire long-distance walking training (8 days, 240 km; altitude of 168-400 m) and regular daily training (one-year unified daily training course arranged in the school, including 2 h of swimming, 2 h of endurance, 2 h of strength, and 2 h of explosive training per week).

Participants were divided into three groups based on the three stages they experienced: before training (baseline group); one day after long-walking (long-walking group), and one year after daily physical training (daily group) (Figure 1).

**MR Imaging Procedures**

Each participant was examined by MRI using the same technology and sequence. 3D-DESSwe, 2D T2 mapping, DIXON, and T1 weighted image (T1WI) of the right knee.
Joint were performed using the MAGNETOM Spectra 3T MR scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). A special 18-channel coil for the knee joint was selected. Scanning parameters were: (1) sagittal 3D-DESSwe: TR = 14.8 ms, TE = 5.3 ms, FA = 25°, slice thickness = 0.63 mm, slice spacing = 0.12 mm, FOV = 160 × 160 mm, matrix = 256 × 256, and bandwidth = 250 Hz/Px; (2) axial T2_DESSwe: TR = 15 ms, TE = 5 ms, FA = 25°, slice thickness = 0.63 ms, FOV = 160 × 160 mm, matrix = 256 × 256 and bandwidth = 227 Hz/Px; (3) sagittal 2D_T2_mapping: TR = 2520 ms, TE = 13.8/27.6/41.4/55.2/69.0 ms, FA = 180°, slice thickness = 2.5 mm, FOV = 160 × 160 mm, matrix = 256 × 256, and bandwidth = 227 Hz/Px; (4) sagittal T2_tse_fs-dixon: TR = 4880 ms, TE = 88 ms, FA = 138°, slice thickness = 3.5 ms, FOV = 250 × 250 mm, matrix = 256 × 256 and bandwidth = 349 Hz/Px; (5) sagittal T1WI_tse: TR = 869 ms, TE = 13 ms, FA = 174°, slice thickness = 2.5 ms, FOV = 160 × 160 mm, matrix = 307 × 307 and bandwidth = 150 Hz/Px. All subjects rested for one hour before each scan and underwent an examination in the supine position. The lower edge of the patella was considered as the scanning center, and sandbags and sponges were fixed to minimize motion artifacts.

**Automatic Cartilage Segmentation**

Automatic cartilage segmentation prototype software (MR Chondral Health version 2.1, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) was used to automatically estimate cartilage volume and thickness and T2 values of 21 sub-regions of the knee cartilage from the 3D-DESSWE and 2D T2 mapping images. Thickness and T2 values are set as average values for each subregion. The International Cartilage Repair Society (ICRS) divides the cartilage into 21 sub-regions (15), focusing on the depth and volume of the injury (Table 1). The cartilage segmentation algorithm is based on the process proposed by Fripp (16). The results of cartilage volume and thickness in each sub-region were segmented (17) (Figure 2). After the segmentation, we performed manual and consistency checks. If the analysis results are not satisfactory, the segmentation will be repeated.

**Image Analysis**

MR images, including meniscus, cartilage, bone marrow edema and ligaments (including anterior and posterior cruciate ligament), and joint effusion, were analyzed by two experienced musculoskeletal radiologists specialized in MRI (with over 5 and 10 years of experience). In case of discrepancies, a joint analysis was performed and a consensus decision was reached. Meniscus and cartilage damage, bone marrow edema, ligament injury, and joint effusion were graded.

Meniscus injury was scored on a 5-point scale ranging from 0 to 4. Level 0 is normal. Level 1 lesions are punctate
hyperintensities that are not connected to the articular surface. Level 2 lesions are defined as linear hyperintensities that do not reach the articular surface. Level 3 lesions are linear hyperintensities that extend to one articular surface of the meniscus (meniscus tear). Level 4 lesions refer to signal intensities that reach the upper and lower surfaces of one meniscus (complex meniscus tear) (18).

According to the Outerbridge classification system, cartilage damage on MR images was scored on a 5-point scale ranging from 0 to 4 (19, 20). Level 0 is normal. Level 1 lesions are defined as irregular signals of the cartilage matrix, but the surface is intact. Level 2 lesions are superficial defects or cracks, accounting for <50% of the joint surface depth, while level 3 lesions represent ≥50% of the joint depth. Level 4 lesions are defined by full-thickness cartilage wear and subchondral bone exposure.

For bone marrow edema and ligaments (including anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments), the score of lesions ranged from 0 to 2. Level 0: normal. Level 1: the maximum diameter of the high signal seen on sagittal images is <10 mm (21). Level 2: the maximum diameter of the high signal is >10 mm.

For ligament lesions, a 3-point scale was used, divided into normal, partial tear, and complete tear. If the ligament displays a uniform low signal intensity and is continuous from the starting point to the ending point, it is regarded as normal. Partial tears are characterized by any one of indistinct ligament structure, local signal enhancement, visible edema and/or joint effusion.

| Subregions                        | Femoral cartilage | Patellar cartilage | Tibia cartilage |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Femur condyle medial posterior    | Condyle MP        | Patella facet lateral inferior | Plateau LP      |
| Femur condyle medial central      | Condyle MC        | Patella facet lateral central | Plateau LC      |
| Femur condyle medial anterior     | Condyle MA        | Patella facet lateral superior | Plateau LA      |
| Femur trochlea medial             | Trochlea M        | Patella facet medial inferior | Plateau MP      |
| Femur trochlea central            | Trochlea C        | Patella facet medial central | Plateau MC      |
| Femur trochlea lateral            | Trochlea L        | Patella facet medial superior | Plateau MA      |
| Femur condyle lateral posterior   | Condyle LP        |                    |                 |
| Femur condyle lateral central     | Condyle LC        |                    |                 |
| Femur condyle lateral anterior    | Condyle LA        |                    |                 |

TABLE 1 | Cartilage sub-regions of the knee.

FIGURE 2 | Automatic cartilage segmentation of the knee 21 cartilage sub-regions on sagittal 3D-DESSwe (A), schematic diagram with colors (B) and 3D reconstruction image (C). Green (dark green, light green) represents patellar cartilage; warm colors (red, orange, etc.) represent femoral cartilage; cold colors (light blue, dark blue, etc.) represent tibial cartilage.
around the ligament. Complete tears appear as discontinuities or disappearance of the ligaments.

Joint effusion was divided into presence or absence of effusion in axial and sagittal T2WI. Level 1: the maximum diameter of liquid in the suprapatellar bursa is <10 mm. Level 2: the maximum diameter of liquid in the suprapatellar bursa is >10 mm (21, 22). Measurement method: axial T2_DESSwe maximum distance before and after the maximum section of suprapatellar bursa effusion.

Statistical Analysis
Consistency between observers was tested using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). SPSS 21.0 statistical software was used for statistical analysis, and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Friedman’s test and Wilcoxon paired rank-sum test were used to compare quantitative indices of knee cartilage in the baseline, long-walking, and daily groups.

RESULT
A total of 23 subjects (23 knees) were included in the study. There was good agreement in the measurement data between the observers (ICC = 0.813, 95% CI: 0.781-0.861). Comparison of injuries in different areas of the knee joint in three groups are as follows.

Changes in the Level of the Meniscus, Cruciate Ligament, Cartilage Injury, Bone Marrow Edema, and Joint Effusion
Medial meniscus, anterior cruciate ligament, joint effusion, and bone marrow edema differed between the baseline and long-walking groups, but no difference was found in the daily group. Whereas lateral meniscus had level 1-3 lesions in the baseline group, 32 menisci (69.57%) had level 1-3 lesions in the long-walking group, and 9 menisci (19.56%) had level 1-3 lesions in the daily group.

No significant difference in anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments was found among the three groups. Only 4 (8.70%) subjects in the long-walking group had anterior cruciate ligament lesions, which were upgraded from level 0 to 1 (Table 2 and Figure 3).

Bone marrow edema differed between the baseline and long-walking groups and between the long-walking and daily groups. No bone marrow edema lesions were observed in the baseline group (0%), and five cases (21.74%) of bone marrow edema lesions in the long-walking group upgraded from level 0 to 1, whereas seven cases (30.43%) upgraded to level 2. Only 2 subjects in the daily group had level 1 lesions (Table 2).

Furthermore, cartilage damage differed between the baseline and the long-walking groups, and between the long-walking and the daily groups. No cartilage damage (0%) was observed in the baseline and daily groups. In the long-walking group, three cases (13.04%) were upgraded from level 0 to level 1, five cases (21.74%) were upgraded to level 2, one case (4.35%) was

| TABLE 2 | Comparison of injury level in different areas of the knee joint in three groups (n = 23). |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| meniscus                        | Baseline group percentage       | Long-walking group percentage   | Daily Group percentage         | \( X^2 \) value                  | \( p \)-value                   |
|                                 | medial | lateral | medial | lateral | medial | lateral | medial | lateral |                                           |                                           |
| 0                               | 15     | 12      | 58.7   | 9       | 5      | 30.43   | 18     | 19      | 80.44                                    | 15.854 (medial)                          | <0.001 (medial)                          |
| 1                               | 5      | 9       | 30.43  | 8       | 7      | 32.61   | 3      | 3       | 13.04                                    |                                           |                                           |
| 2                               | 3      | 1       | 8.70   | 4       | 8      | 26.09   | 1      | 1       | 4.35                                     |                                           |                                           |
| 3                               | 0      | 1       | 2.17   | 2       | 3      | 10.87   | 1      | 0       | 2.17                                     | 28.182 (lateral)                         | <0.001 (lateral)                         |
| 4                               | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| cartilage                       | 23     | 100     | 13     | 56.52   | 23     | 100     | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| 0                               | 0      | 0       | 3      | 13.04   | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| 2                               | 0      | 0       | 5      | 21.74   | 0      | 0       | 20     | <0.001                                         |                                           |                                           |
| 3                               | 0      | 0       | 1      | 4.35    | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| 4                               | 0      | 0       | 0      | 4.35    | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| Cruciate ligament               | anterior | posterior | anterior | posterior | anterior | posterior | anterior | posterior | 8 (anterior) | 0.018 (posterior) |                                           |                                           |
| 0                               | 23     | 23      | 100    | 19      | 22     | 91.3    | 23     | 23      | 100                                    | - (rear)                                  | - (rear)                                  |
| 1                               | 0      | 0       | 4      | 8.7     | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| Bone marrow edema               | 23     | 100     | 11     | 47.83   | 21     | 91.3    | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| 0                               | 0      | 0       | 5      | 21.74   | 2      | 8.7     | 14     | 0.001                                         |                                           |                                           |
| 2                               | 0      | 0       | 7      | 30.43   | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| Joint effusion                  | 23     | 100     | 18     | 78.26   | 23     | 100     | 10     | 0.007                                         |                                           |                                           |
| 0                               | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
| 1                               | 23     | 100     | 5      | 21.74   | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0       |                                           |                                           |                                           |
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upgraded to level 3, and one case (4.35%) was upgraded to level 4 lesions (Table 2).

Joint effusion differed between the baseline and the long-walking groups, and between the long-walking and the daily groups. Joint effusions were in level 1 in both the long-walking and daily groups. In the long-walking group, 18 cases (78.26%) were upgraded from level 0 to level 1 and five cases (21.74%) were upgraded to level 2 lesions (Table 2).

**Cartilage Volume**
The volume of the sub-regions of knee articular cartilage, including Trochlea C, Trochlea L, Condyle MA, Condyle LC, Facet LC, Facet MC, and Plateau LP, differed between the baseline and daily groups, but no difference was found between the baseline and long-walking group. The volume of Trochlea C, Trochlea L, Condyle MA, Condyle LC, Facet MC, Facet LC increased after one year of daily training. However, Plateau LP volume decreased after one year of daily training. Besides, knee cartilage volume of other sub-regions did not change after one year of daily training in the long-walking group. Condyle LC, Facet LC, and Facet MC differed between the long-walking and daily groups, indicating that the volume of Condyle LC, Facet LC, and Facet MC increased after one year of daily training (Table 4 and Figure 5).

**Cartilage Thickness**
The thickness of knee articular cartilage in Condyle MC, Facet LC, Facet MC, Plateau LP, Plateau LC, Plateau LA, Plateau MP, Plateau MC significantly differed between the long-walking group and daily groups. Except for Trochlea C, which was increased, no differences were found in the other sub-regions between the baseline and the daily training groups. The thickness of Condyle MC, Facet LC, Facet MC, Plateau LP, Plateau LC, Plateau LA, Plateau MP, and Plateau MC was reduced after long-walking training. Condyle MP, Condyle LP, and Facet LC differed between the baseline and the long-walking groups, but no differences were found in the other sub-regions. The cartilage thickness of Condyle MP, Condyle LP, and Facet LC was significantly thinner in the long-walking group compared with the baseline group after training (Table 5 and Figure 6).

**T2 Value**
T2 values in the sub-regions of knee cartilage, including Condyle MP, Trochlea C, Trochlea L, Condyle LA, Facet LS, Plateau LC, Plateau LA, Plateau MP, and Plateau MA, differed between the baseline and daily groups. T2 values of these subregions, except Facet LS, were lower in the daily training group than in the baseline group. However, except for Condyle MP, no difference was found between the daily and long-walking groups. This suggested that daily training and long walk have little effect on the T2 value. Moreover, Trochlea C, Condyle LA, Plateau LC, Plateau LA, and Plateau MA differed between the baseline and the long-walking groups, suggesting that the T2 values of these subregions decreased after training in the long-walking group (Table 6 and Figure 7).

| Region/injury                  | Baseline vs Long-walking group | Baseline vs Daily Group | Long-walking group vs Daily Group |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Medial meniscus               | 0.005*                        | 0.332                   | 0.012*                           |
| Lateral meniscus              | 0.001*                        | 0.007*                  | <0.001*                          |
| Cartilage                    | 0.004*                        | 1.000                   | 0.004*                           |
| Anterior cruciate ligament    | 0.056                         | 1.000                   | 0.056                            |
| Posterior cruciate ligament   | 1.000                         | 1.000                   | 1.000                            |
| Joint effusion                | 0.025*                        | 1.000                   | 0.025*                           |
| Bone marrow edema             | 0.008*                        | 0.328                   | 0.008*                           |

*Indicates statistical significance (p<0.05).
DISCUSSION

Sports injuries that accompany physical training restrict the effectiveness of training. Among them, knee injuries have the highest incidence and seriously affect daily activities (23). The present study recruited young male college freshmen. The pre-training image was used as a baseline for comparison. Eight-day long-walking training reflects knee joint injury caused by short-term, high-intensity exercise. One-year daily training can reflect the chronic damage to the knee joint caused by ordinary daily training. Previous studies have reported little knee joint injuries caused by long-walking training and daily training, and the follow-up time was about 1-3 months. However, reports on knee joint changes at ≥6 months are scarce (7, 24).

After long-walking training, the knee joint was subjected to high-load torsion, stretching, and compression forces. Laura et al. (24) reported similar results for knee joint meniscus, anterior cruciate ligament injury, bone marrow edema, and joint effusion to ours. Daily training intensity can be applied after recovery of the muscle strengthens, meniscus, anterior cruciate ligament, bone marrow edema, and joint effusion. The muscle strength in the baseline group was weak without regular systematic exercise. Insufficient hip muscle strength is an important factor leading to knee injuries. People with weak hip extensors have a more upright posture and over-rely on knee extensors during exercise, which leads to overuse of the knee joints. Hip muscle strengthening is beneficial to alleviate knee joint injury pain (25, 26). The 2017 guidelines of the ligament committee of the German Knee Society (DKG) proposed that external knee joint rotation is a high-risk action for the knee joint and anterior cruciate ligament injuries. It has been proven that jumping, running, flexibility stretching exercises, balance, and strength training can reduce the incidence of these injuries (27).

### TABLE 4 | Volumes of the 21 sub-regions of the knee cartilage in the three groups.

| Subregions   | Baseline Group (ml) | Long-walking group (ml) | Daily group (ml) | X² value | p-value |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------|
| Condyle MP   | 0.690 (0.620, 0.790)| 0.670 (0.610, 0.770)   | 0.710 (0.620, 0.790) | 2.264    | 0.322   |
| Condyle MC   | 1.230 (1.140, 1.370)| 1.170 (1.010, 1.290)   | 1.230 (1.140, 1.440) | 2.989    | 0.224   |
| Condyle MA   | 0.970 (0.890, 1.050)| 1.020 (0.930, 1.090)   | 1.030 (0.930, 1.120) | 7.523    | 0.023*  |
| Trochea M    | 1.000 (0.880, 1.170)| 0.950 (0.770, 1.100)   | 0.970 (0.850, 1.150) | 3.303    | 0.192   |
| Trochea C    | 1.650 (1.440, 1.890)| 1.770 (1.570, 2.170)   | 1.780 (1.600, 2.200) | 8.330    | 0.016*  |
| Trochea L    | 1.810 (1.520, 2.060)| 1.870 (1.680, 2.180)   | 1.900 (1.800, 2.220) | 7.187    | 0.028*  |
| Condylo LP   | 0.850 (0.750, 0.940)| 0.810 (0.790, 1.010)   | 0.890 (0.780, 1.010) | 5.826    | 0.054   |
| Condylo LC   | 1.250 (1.060, 1.400)| 1.170 (0.950, 1.420)   | 1.310 (1.180, 1.590) | 11.822   | 0.003*  |
| Condylo LA   | 0.950 (0.850, 1.060)| 0.950 (0.850, 1.070)   | 0.980 (0.870, 1.110) | 1.756    | 0.416   |
| Facet Li     | 0.180 (0.160, 0.250)| 0.230 (0.190, 0.280)   | 0.220 (0.180, 0.260) | 0.161    | 0.923   |
| Facet LC     | 0.800 (0.074, 0.890)| 0.750 (0.062, 0.890)   | 0.840 (0.770, 0.950) | 11.753   | 0.003*  |
| Facet LS     | 0.350 (0.260, 0.460)| 0.270 (0.240, 0.440)   | 0.560 (0.310, 0.470) | 0.800    | 0.670   |
| Facet MI     | 0.310 (0.270, 0.460)| 0.370 (0.270, 0.460)   | 0.340 (0.270, 0.460) | 0.159    | 0.924.  |
| Facet MC     | 1.070 (0.920, 1.150)| 1.050 (0.830, 1.140)   | 1.170 (1.020, 1.240) | 13.130   | 0.001*  |
| Facet MS     | 0.680 (0.400, 0.870)| 0.570 (0.390, 0.790)   | 0.650 (0.500, 0.870) | 1.253    | 0.535.  |
| Plateau LP   | 1.040 (0.980, 1.220)| 1.030 (0.940, 1.210)   | 1.020 (0.890, 1.140) | 7.467    | 0.024*  |
| Plateau LC   | 1.160 (1.030, 1.320)| 1.180 (1.090, 1.250)   | 1.120 (1.000, 1.250) | 0.843    | 0.666   |
| Plateau LA   | 0.620 (1.030, 1.320)| 0.610 (1.030, 1.320)   | 0.630 (1.030, 1.320) | 2.000    | 0.368   |
| Plateau MP   | 0.550 (0.520, 0.610)| 0.550 (0.480, 0.620)   | 0.530 (0.480, 0.590) | 2.956    | 0.228   |
| Plateau MC   | 0.870 (0.840, 0.980)| 0.910 (0.800, 0.970)   | 0.940 (0.800, 0.970) | 0.629    | 0.730   |
| Plateau MA   | 0.640 (0.560, 0.660)| 0.620 (0.560, 0.670)   | 0.620 (0.560, 0.700) | 0.161    | 0.923   |

The values are expressed as median (P25, P75) and compared using X² and corresponding p values (n = 23). * Indicates statistical significance.
The thickness of cartilage is a two-dimensional parameter, while the volume is a three-dimensional parameter, and they have a certain correlation. Based on the 21 subregions of knee cartilage, combining the correlation and the physiological characteristics of the knee joint can be used for better sports injury analysis. Knee joint cartilage can produce elastic deformation and cushion vibration and its impact during exercise. Articular cartilage is composed of four areas: surface (tangential) area, intermediate (transition) area, deep (radial) area, and calcification area. The chondrocyte phenotype, cell shape, and extracellular matrix (ECM) structure vary in the different areas. The ECM is the main load-bearing component of the cartilage. Its major constituents are collagen (75% of dry weight) and proteoglycan (20-30% of dry weight), which vary in concentration with the depth of the talar joint surface. Surface collagen content is the highest, while proteoglycan content is the lowest. Proteoglycan polymer and interstitial fluid provide compressive elasticity for joints (28). Yoshioka et al. (29) found that the repair mechanism of cartilage damage in different directions of damage is different, and joint damage in parallel direction can be better repaired. Because the blood vessels of cartilage are only located in the surface, there is no blood vessel structure inside, which affects the transportation of repair cells, limiting the ability to repair the damage. Mature chondrocytes have limited proliferation potential and cannot produce enough ECM. Articular cartilage has functional adaptability to exercise. Exercise training can increase the thickness and compressibility of articular cartilage to ensure the compensation effect of the articular cartilage surface. Lu (30) found that knee cartilage volume was significantly reduced in young adults after 12

TABLE 5 | Thickness of the 21 sub-regions of the knee cartilage in the three groups.

| Subregions   | Baseline Group(mm) | Long-walking group(mm) | Daily group (mm) | X2 value | p-value |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------|
| Condyle MP   | 1.540 (1.440, 1.680)| 1.370 (1.140, 1.550)  | 1.500 (1.370, 1.660) | 8.857    | 0.012*  |
| Condyle MC   | 1.570 (1.440, 1.660)| 1.370 (1.200, 1.650)  | 1.570 (1.420, 1.710) | 18.067   | <0.001* |
| Condyle MA   | 1.680 (1.580, 1.760)| 1.620 (1.510, 1.730)  | 1.780 (1.670, 1.850) | 4.242    | 0.120   |
| Trochea M    | 1.590 (1.490, 1.840)| 1.520 (1.340, 1.750)  | 1.690 (1.540, 1.850) | 3.231    | 0.199   |
| Trochea C    | 2.250 (1.890, 2.480)| 2.180 (2.020, 2.590)  | 2.330 (2.120, 2.700) | 7.187    | 0.028*  |
| Trochea L    | 1.960 (1.680, 2.160)| 1.800 (1.670, 2.130)  | 2.030 (1.800, 2.210) | 1.130    | 0.568   |
| Condyle LP   | 1.600 (1.490, 1.780)| 1.430 (1.390,1.690)   | 1.620 (1.510, 1.730) | 7.977    | 0.019*  |
| Condyle LC   | 1.870 (1.530, 2.120)| 1.650 (1.470, 2.070)  | 1.810 (1.720, 2.200) | 5.826    | 0.054   |
| Condyle LA   | 1.510 (1.330, 1.640)| 1.420 (1.340, 1.640)  | 1.540 (1.390, 1.730) | 0.087    | 0.957   |
| Facet LI     | 1.810 (1.570, 1.910)| 1.710 (1.440, 2.120)  | 1.730 (1.550, 2.020) | 0.261    | 0.687   |
| Facet LC     | 3.050 (2.660, 3.320)| 2.700 (2.400, 3.030)  | 2.970 (2.750, 3.160) | 9.478    | 0.009*  |
| Facet LS     | 2.080 (1.790, 2.360)| 1.800 (1.510, 2.190)  | 2.030 (1.840, 2.220) | 2.923    | 0.232   |
| Facet MI     | 1.720 (1.540, 1.970)| 1.780 (1.490, 1.860)  | 1.840 (1.690, 1.880) | 0.087    | 0.957   |
| Facet MC     | 3.370 (2.890, 3.680)| 3.170 (2.780, 3.430)  | 3.510 (3.210, 3.790) | 6.132    | 0.047*  |
| Facet MS     | 2.480 (2.180, 2.910)| 2.020 (1.760, 2.560)  | 2.400 (2.230, 2.900) | 4.945    | 0.084.  |
| Plateau LP   | 2.090 (2.040, 2.240)| 2.030 (1.740, 2.170)  | 2.150 (2.080, 2.310) | 10.876   | 0.004*  |
| Plateau LC   | 2.710 (2.530, 2.800)| 2.670 (2.430, 2.820)  | 2.820 (2.550, 2.970) | 9.956    | 0.007*  |
| Plateau LA   | 1.870 (1.680, 1.980)| 1.690 (1.610, 1.91)   | 1.910 (1.820, 2.050) | 6.879    | 0.032*  |
| Plateau MP   | 1.620 (1.500, 1.700)| 1.440 (1.270, 1.600)  | 1.590 (1.470, 1.710) | 8.989    | 0.011*  |
| Plateau MC   | 1.920 (1.830, 2.000)| 1.800 (1.570, 1.990)  | 2.000 (1.880, 2.200) | 14.957   | 0.001*  |
| Plateau MA   | 1.560 (1.400, 1.560)| 1.440 (1.330, 1.560)  | 1.540 (1.460, 1.710) | 5.888    | 0.058   |

The values are expressed as median (P25, P75) and compared using X2 and corresponding p values (n = 23). * Indicates statistical significance.
weeks of running and cycling, but had no significant change after non-impact swimming and low-impact power striding. Our data showed that knee joint cartilage volume increased after daily training. The main changes were the femoral stress surface, the patella, and the lateral area of the tibial plateau (Trochlea C, Trochlea L, Condyle MA, Condyle LC, Facet MC, and Facet LC). However, daily training had no significant effect on knee cartilage thickness. Our conclusion is similar to Eckstein (31). They examined triathletes, who had been training for at least 10 hours per week over the last three years and had been physically active also throughout childhood and adolescence. These were compared with individuals who had been never physically active (<1 hour sport per week throughout life), had no job involving any physical activity. They suggest that the mechanism to reduce stress may be by an increase in the bearing surface rather than by an increase in cartilage thickness in long-term training. Larger contact surface enhances pressurization. The review article also revealed a general principle of joint development, also in phylogenesis, large animals displaying much larger joint surfaces than man, but not necessarily thicker cartilage. In the long-walking training, the thickness of knee articular cartilage was compressed and thinned, and extensively involved the medial and lateral areas of the femur and tibial plateau (Condyle MP, Condyle LP, Condyle MC, Facet LC, Facet MC, Plateau LP, Plateau LC, Plateau LA, Plateau MP, and Plateau MC); however, no noticeable effect on the knee cartilage volume was observed. This finding suggested that only elastic deformation could be predominant in our short-term high-intensity training, and there is no difference between the medial and lateral. But, Jason (32) conducted a prospective cohort study based on the therapeutic exercise program of 13 patients with knee osteoarthritis. They completed a 6-week, twice per week outpatient training that included aerobic treadmill walking, lower extremity strengthening and flexibility exercises. Then complete 4 months of home training of the same intensity. The dynamic analysis showed that the therapeutic exercise method may increase the medial load of the knee joint and cause the reduction of medial femoral condyle cartilage. The loss

TABLE 6 | T2 values of the 21 sub-regions of knee cartilage in the three groups.

| Subregions     | Baseline group          | Long-walking group       | Daily group              | X² value | p-value |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------|
| Condyle MP     | 49.020 (35.760, 65.130) | 32.770 (28.710, 37.910)  | 23.880 (21.050, 26.940)  | 19.826   | <0.001* |
| Condyle MC     | 77.910 (69.390, 109.190)| 85.250 (64.420, 112.360) | 63.820 (57.660, 69.660)  | 3.217    | 0.200   |
| Condyle MA     | 99.940 (86.610, 124.370)| 87.830 (73.340, 109.130) | 91.950 (78.560, 107.330) | 1.826    | 0.401   |
| Trochlea M     | 95.020 (81.800, 119.870)| 87.370 (76.580, 110.760) | 83.300 (70.950, 103.440) | 0.783    | 0.676   |
| Trochlea C     | 103.720 (74.210, 124.290)| 79.890 (66.380, 90.470)  | 71.210 (57.330, 86.900)  | 11.565   | 0.003*  |
| Trochlea L     | 80.350 (73.760, 96.360)  | 67.330 (57.540, 81.460)  | 52.900 (48.460, 62.930)  | 18.384   | <0.001* |
| Condyle LP     | 50.410 (44.370, 77.360)  | 60.150 (51.690, 88.340)  | 66.510 (51.220, 116.150) | 1.652    | 0.438   |
| Condyle LC     | 70.980 (54.930, 101.840)| 89.630 (72.530, 106.610) | 77.050 (58.210, 94.460)  | 1.826    | 0.401   |
| Condyle LA     | 100.830 (95.980, 116.260)| 82.680 (72.430, 108.520) | 62.420 (54.430, 79.670)  | 20.957   | <0.001* |
| Facet L        | 82.850 (62.310, 105.890)| 112.320 (83.070, 132.480)| 111.370 (74.700, 133.010)| 3.217    | 0.200   |
| Facet LC       | 68.730 (50.850, 76.640)  | 93.420 (54.750, 115.270) | 67.700 (58.570, 91.140)  | 3.739    | 0.154   |
| Facet LS       | 60.850 (1.040, 96.840)   | 90.980 (69.050, 134.020) | 104.210 (71.260, 147.660)| 7.304    | 0.026*  |
| Facet M        | 82.000 (72.640, 125.950) | 81.970 (72.580, 109.480) | 102.210 (81.080, 123.390)| 3.130    | 0.209   |
| Facet MC       | 64.310 (56.060, 77.880)  | 61.830 (41.520, 91.270)  | 55.210 (42.910, 75.350)  | 1.130    | 0.568   |
| Facet MS       | 52.250 (44.370, 83.390)  | 86.740 (55.460, 122.120) | 66.360 (56.300, 99.550)  | 5.478    | 0.065   |
| Plateau LP     | 63.020 (58.960, 107.890)| 41.880 (35.230, 56.310)  | 42.480 (34.280, 51.080)  | 5.478    | 0.065   |
| Plateau LC     | 57.260 (37.690, 86.260)  | 35.040 (29.790, 43.210)  | 31.280 (24.400, 39.820)  | 19.391   | <0.001* |
| Plateau LA     | 105.550 (84.280, 139.260)| 37.790 (30.580, 60.280)  | 49.670 (36.270, 71.280)  | 14.870   | 0.001*  |
| Plateau MP     | 78.200 (49.290, 116.100) | 63.490 (49.310, 112.050) | 41.750 (29.860, 65.070)  | 8.435    | 0.015*  |
| Plateau MC     | 68.220 (52.360, 96.730)  | 71.620 (46.150, 101.070) | 71.620 (46.150, 101.070) | 0.087    | 0.957   |
| Plateau MA     | 116.340 (72.970, 136.200)| 62.190 (42.290, 102.020) | 41.500 (35.440, 76.620)  | 19.628   | <0.001* |

Values are expressed as is represented by the median (P25, P75), X², and the corresponding p-value (n = 23). * Indicates statistical significance (p<0.05).
of cartilage volume differed from our results due to different training methods and subjects.

T2 mapping utilizes the varying decay of transverse (T2) relaxation times of cartilage and provides information about the hydration status and collagen integrity of cartilage (33). The segmentation software segmented the T2 value of each subregion of the knee articular cartilage according to the T2 mapping. Long-walking and daily training reduced the T2 value of knee cartilage, and there was no difference in the reduction between the two training. Karanfil et al. (34) reached a similar conclusion that 30 minutes of running exercise can reduce the T2 value, but not the thickness of the knee cartilage. The two-phase medium hypothesis postulates that the movement pressure causes a reduction of the liquid composition to increase the buffering force, which leads to the decrease of the T2 value. The T2 value is associated with the content of free water in the cartilage and the arrangement direction of cartilage collagen fibers. If the collagen fibers in the cartilage disintegrate, irreversible cartilage damage will occur, leading to an increase in the T2 value (35, 36). Elevated T2 values predicted cartilage biochemical injury, however neither training increased.

Conventional MRI sequences can only evaluate cartilage morphology, while T2 mapping imaging can quantitatively analyze cartilage biochemical components and provide imaging evidence for the early diagnosis of cartilage damage. However, it is always time-consuming to manually draw the contours of the cartilage slice-by-slice of the 3D-DESSwe images in order to obtain quantitative parameters such as cartilage volume, thickness. Besides, the segmental results are operator dependent and is largely rely on the experiences of the processor. It is quite urgent and meaningful to use a tool for the automatic cartilage segmentation. It performs cartilage segmentation and evaluates biochemical maps. Specific knee, hip, and shoulder MR images can be processed using this software. The application allows users to process high-resolution 3D MR images with fat sat or water excitation plus biochemical maps (37, 38).

Our study has certain limitations. This study only involved the knee joint and did not study other structures, such as ankle joints and hip joints. Results reflect knee joint changes only for this sport condition and subjects. Unable to unify requirements for sports other than daily physical training subjects, which may affect our results. Subsequent studies should include females.

We demonstrated that long-walking training caused high-load torsion, tension, and compression of the knee joint. This damaged the knee meniscus and the anterior cruciate ligament and increased bone marrow edema and joint effusion. Daily training significantly increased knee cartilage volume, mainly in the stress surface of the femur, patella, and the lateral area of the tibial plateau, but knee cartilage thickness did not change. We come to conclusion, our results show that regular daily training does not cause high-level injury to the knee joint, but improve the knee joint function adaptability by increasing cartilage volume. Moreover, knee injury caused by short-term long walking can be reversible. This conclusion holds when similar to the experimental subjects as well as training method and intensity.
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