Climatic conditions for crop production in Nordic countries
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Climate is today a more determinant factor for yield level than it was in the past, when soil fertility was more important. The regional variation in radiation during the growing season in Nordic countries is less than that in temperature conditions. A combined plant growth-related index of these climatic factors accumulated during the growing season decreased from an annual value of 106 at 56°N (Ødum, East Jutland) to about 90, 60 and 44 at 60°N, 67°N and 70°N, respectively. The difference between coastal areas in Norway and the Finnish interior was either negligible or about 10% in disfavour of the coast at 67°N. When the moisture conditions of an imaginary pasture crop on a sandy soil were taken into account, the combined accumulated growth index of radiation, temperature and soil moisture was 71 at Ødum. On the Norwegian coast, the values were 72, 49 and 37, whilst in Finland, up to northeastern Norway, they were 56, 43 and 35 at 60°N, 67°N and 70°N, respectively. Plant production potential may be directly related to the accumulated growth index.
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Introduction

A crop production system consists, on the one hand, of environmental components: soil, climate, pests and management practices, and on the other, of the plant material. Either of the factors - environment or plant genetic potential - can be the more limiting with respect to yield level (Geisler 1980). The main components are strongly affected by management and breeding.

The natural resource base of the environmental component consists of soil and climate. Of these two, the climatic component has assumed an increasingly determinant role in yield formation in recent decades along with the improvement in management practices. Before 1940, the average grain yields in three Norwegian regions ranged from 2100 to 2300 kg/ha, being lowest in the southern Oslofjord area (59–60°N), highest in the Mjøsa region (61°N), and intermediate in Central Norway (63–64°N). In the 1980s, yields generally had doubled, being highest in the south, intermediate in the Mjøsa region and lowest in Central Norway (Strand 1989). The Oslofjord region consists of Precambrian or Permian
bedrock, whilst the soils of the main agricultural areas of the other two regions derive from Cambrian and Silurian rocks. Today grain yield is controlled by climatic conditions, whereas formerly it depended more on soil fertility.

The interaction between soil and climate is nevertheless still crucial. Pest attacks are greatly influenced by climatic factors and, to be timely and successful, even modern field operations need favourable weather conditions. A physical geographical regional division based on natural vegetation integrates climatic and edaphic factors (e.g. NU B 1977). The applicability of this division for agronomic purposes is, however, poor because of the high degree of manipulation in agricultural ecosystems. Comprehensive mapping of land capability based on both soil and climate is still lacking in the Nordic countries. Thus, the methods used for characterising the natural resources for crop production described here are based mainly on climatic criteria.

The three main climatic factors determining crop growth and development are the energy flow by radiation, temperature, and the soil moisture supply as related to weather, soil and plant parameters. Photoperiod may also be a critical factor, for perennial crops in particular.

Radiation

The variation in radiation climate throughout the Nordic countries is considerable due to their great geographic extent from south to north and to regional differences in cloud cover, although, at about the summer solstice, the daily radiation is more or less the same in southernmost and northernmost Norway. Reduced insolation in the north due to the lower elevation of the sun at that time is compensated by a longer photoperiod. From April to September the variation in total global radiation with latitude may be more than 20% of the average (Fig. 1). During the growing season defined by temperature limits, the differences are less prominent, because the season in the north is more strongly restricted to the period with the highest insolation. The variation from west to east may be of equal magnitude to that from south to north, as indicated by data on Sweden (Fig. 1). A similar variation is found in all the Nordic countries except Finland (SNP 1992). Within a region, too, the monthly sums of global radiation may differ between sites by as much as 20%, even over short distances (Gjessing 1969). When the aspect and slope of the ground are included, the monthly means of radiation intensity may differ by up to ±15% in the Sognefjord area of Norway (Gjessing 1969).

Although the displacement in spectral distribution from shorter to longer wavelengths is greater in the north than in southerly locations (Kvifte et al. 1983), the spectral distribution of photosynthetic active radiation and the near infrared part of the spectrum can probably be omit-
Temperature

The normal air temperature during the high summer peak ranges from below 10°C to over 17°C in areas with agricultural production in the Nordic countries. Between a coastal area and the interior at the same latitude and altitude summer temperatures may differ by more than 5°C. Temperature level is frequently used to determine the start and end of the growing season. When the passage of 5°C diurnal mean temperature is used as the criterion in both spring and autumn, the duration of the growing season varies from 220 to 120 days, the latter referring to areas with agriculture in northernmost and mountainous regions.

The western and eastern transects from south to north in Figure 2 indicate higher peaks in the east, and a slower rise and fall in temperature curves, and a more pronounced north-south gradient in the west. Curves based on 30-year standard normals are regular in shape, and so the average temperature conditions of the growing season can be characterised by selecting two monthly means only (Fig. 3). A higher April temperature indicates an early spring. In Norway, the April temperature is closely correlated with the time of the thaw, and distribution from north to south is seen along the ordinate. July temperature indicates continentality, and distribution from west to east is shown along the abscissa.

Figure 3 shows that Finland, all the way from south to north, is on the continental side of the scatter diagram. Denmark has the highest temperatures in both spring and summer. Iceland represents an extreme maritime climate, but an equivalent is found in the Lofoten region of northern Norway. The two countries on the Scan-
Dinavian peninsula, Norway and Sweden, partly overlap, northern Sweden partly with Finland, and southern Sweden with Denmark. Thermally, mountainous sites in southern Norway and northern Sweden resemble coastal locations in northern Norway during spring and summer. The larger agricultural areas of the Nordic countries have the climatically most favourable conditions, i.e. higher April and July temperatures.

The temperature sum is the climatic index most widely used to characterise the temperature climate for agricultural production. Its advantage is that it combines temperature level and duration of the growing period into a single term. Figure 4 shows that the temperature sum of Finland with a 5°C base range from 600 to 1300 day degrees. In Denmark, it ranges from ca. 1350 to 1700 day degrees from 1 May to 31 October (Mikkelson and Olesen 1984). Along a western transect the temperature sum above the same base temperature in Norway ranges from about 550 to 1550 degree days for crop production areas (B. Aune, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, pers. comm.).

Growing conditions have been characterised by means of the temperature sum in various ways in the Nordic countries, and a comprehensive comparison is not possible (SNP 1992). The temperature sum, which represents a general characterisation omitting other important factors such as radiation, soil moisture regime and specific requirements of individual crops, is a linear approximation of the relationship between temperature and the rate of phenological development. It is therefore a useful index of assessing the thermal conditions needed for a seed crop to reach maturity, and as such is widely used (e.g. Strand 1964). However, such a simple index may put typical coastal and inland areas in Norway into the same climatic zone for choice of cereal cultivars, even though the climatic conditions for grain production are quite different. Further-
more, the assumption of a linear relationship between temperature and rate of phenological development does not always hold, and the base temperature certainly varies with the phenological phase (Skjelvåg 1981b).

An alternative approach can be illustrated by analysing climatic conditions for the phenological development of annual ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum L. var. westerwoldicum) in Aust-Agder county, Norway. There, the range of temperature from sea level to about 1000 m a.s.l. is similar to that from south to north in the whole country. Using daily weather records and starting with the first day of snowless ground (Skjelvåg 1987), we were able to calculate the earliest sowing day from weather and soil parameters (Skjelvåg 1986b) and the rate of phenological development as a function of diurnal mean temperature (Skjelvåg 1986a), and to define the end of the growing season by the passage of a 6°C diurnal mean temperature for a 7-day period. Series of daily weather records over decades were applied to assess annual variation (Skjelvåg 1986c). On a clay loam at about sea level the number of summers in a 27-year period permitting 4, 3 or only 2 harvests were 4, 17 and 8, respectively. At about 200 m a.s.l., 2 or 3 harvests per year were equally frequent, whereas no more than one harvest per year was ever possible at 920 m a.s.l. For a seed crop, a risk analysis of harvesting conditions should be included. Decisions under uncertainty are characteristic of crop production. More analyses of climatic risks of various types should therefore be carried out (e.g. Mukula and Rantanen 1987, Rantanen and Solantie 1987).

**Daylength**

Photoperiod varies regularly with season and latitude. From an equal duration of day and night at the spring equinox, a maximum daylength of about 17 h is reached in southern Denmark and of 24 h at the Arctic Circle and northwards. During the growing season, daylength varies from 14 to 24 h at the start and from 9 to 12 h at the end of the period (Fig. 5).

An increase in daylength enhances the rate of phenological development of long-day plants. In old Norwegian cultivars of spring cereals, the degree days requirement from sowing to yellow ripeness was reduced by 3–7% when the cereals were grown at 63°N rather than 60°N (Eikeland 1936). Since photoperiod affects the rate of development only before heading (Bleken and Skjelvåg 1986), the relative reduction in day degrees must have been about twice this figure during that phase. Such a reduction in thermal requirement contributes to successful cereal production in cool areas of the north.

From phytotron experiments we know that a long photoperiod stimulates the dry matter production of grass seedlings of Nordic ecotypes (Heide et al. 1985). This stimulation compensates for a reduction in temperature of several degrees centigrade (Heide 1985). However, we
still lack proof as to whether this effect manifests itself in a crop stand (Hay 1990).

Growth cessation of perennial crops is strongly affected by photoperiod. We can assume that elongation growth has to stop early enough to ensure the accumulation of reserve carbohydrates before photosynthesis becomes negligible. Clearly an ecotype adapted to northern conditions must stop growing at a longer photoperiod than one of southern origin (Fig. 5). This phenomenon is recognised in grassland production. Foss (1968) grew 14 Nordic timothy ecotypes originating from approximately 59°N to 69°N in the same field at 63°N. Regrowth during the period 14 July to 30 September decreased linearly with latitude of origin, r = −0.91. This response to photoperiod is favourably exploited in ensuring winter hardiness by using northern grass cultivars at high-altitude areas farther south (Baadhau 1974).

Most perennial grasses of northern origin have a dual requirement for induction to flowering (Heide 1994). Primary induction is brought about by low temperature and/or short days, and secondary induction by long days. Seed yields have been poor for North Norwegian ecotypes of smooth meadow grass (Poa pratensis L.) transferred to Denmark for seed production, and have even failed when transferred to the Netherlands and Canada (Heide 1980). Arctic ecotypes attain primary induction at longer days than southern ones. Thus, they will reach an early and advanced stage of flower development when grown in the long autumns of southern latitudes, making the primoridia vulnerable to insect and winter damage, particularly in years and regions with unstable winter weather and recurrent freezing and thawing.

**Water supply**

Within the driest areas of the Nordic countries the mean annual precipitation is only 200–250 mm, but in the wettest areas it exceeds 4000 mm/yr. Large areas in the northeast get less than 500 mm/yr. More than 1000 mm/yr falls in southernmost Norway, to the west of the mountain range up to 69°N, and in the south of Iceland (Sønme 1968). Annual evaporation is estimated to vary from about 200 mm in the north to 600 mm in the south of the Nordic countries (Johannessen 1970, Einarsson 1972). However, evaporation is most intense during the growing season, and a precipitation deficit is common in the larger agricultural areas.

The main factors determining the natural water supply to plants are the amount and distribution of rainfall, soil moisture capacity, evaporation and the development of plant organs above and below the ground. Thus, soil and plant development assume great importance as climatic variables for determining water balance, and this creates problems in defining a geographical index based on soil moisture characteristics.

Simplified indices such as the potential precipitation deficit (PPD) have therefore been established. PPD is defined as the difference between potential evapotranspiration and rainfall during a specified period of time, disregarding soil moisture capacity and plant development. Utaaker (1979) calculated PPD for May to August 1966 for a 160-km transect along the Sognefjord and found a variation from a deficit of 150 mm to a surplus of 250 mm. Probably the whole agricultural area of the Nordic countries falls within this range. In Sweden, from June to August 1951–1980, PPD was estimated to range from more than 100 mm in the southeast to a surplus of 100 to 150 mm locally in the southwest. The larger agricultural areas had a deficit (Eriksson 1986).

More specific estimates have been made in Denmark and Finland. Actual evaporation from grassland in Finland was estimated to range from 150 to 350 mm/yr (Fig. 6). Based on weather records for 1931–1960 and for the period from thaw to the end of July, a deficit of 120 mm was estimated for southwestern Finland, and a surplus of about 40 mm for the northernmost part of the country. In Denmark, detailed soil map information has been combined with weather and
plant data to estimate irrigation needs. For spring barley the average need varied from less than 40 to up to 80 mm per season (Madsen et al. 1987).

**Other elements**

Several other factors besides the four climatic ones discussed above also influence conditions for crop production; one of these is overwintering of perennial and biennial crops. It has been estimated that annual losses due to winter damage to grassland and biennial crops in the Nordic countries total NOK 900 million (Vinterhiedg eth 1988). The climatic influence on overwintering starts in the preceding summer and autumn with growth cessation, accumulation of reserves and hardening. It continues during the winter with dehardening, rehardening, the impact of frost, the creation of conditions conducive to water and ice damage, attacks by wintering fungi, and a general exhaustion of reserves with time. The situation varies markedly from region to region and is counteracted by both improved management practices and breeding for winter hardness. A brief description of the predominant types of winter damage in various parts of the Nordic countries is given elsewhere (SNP 1992). Internationally, attempts have been made to quantify relationships between climate and pests and diseases (e.g. Mischenko 1984); Nordic attempts in this field seem, however, to be lacking.

Various operations in crop production are best carried out when it is not raining. The cost due to suboptimal timing of such operations is a function of weather, crop and technical equipment. Danish agrometeorologists have calculated the number of possible harvesting hours for spring barley in 1953–1980 for a range of required moisture contents of the grain (Olesen and Mikkelsen 1985a, 1985b). Agroclimatic mapping of this type emphasises the need for a high resolution level of weather observations; these are, however, still very scarce (SNP 1992).

![Fig. 6. Upper: Actual evaporation from grassland, mm/yr (after Solantie 1975, 1976). Lower: Difference between precipitation and actual evaporation of grassland from thaw to the end of July, mm (after Solantie 1987). Both: Based on weather records 1931–1960.](image-url)
Growth indices

Characterisation of the climatic conditions for crop growth by means of a growth index combining radiation, temperature and soil moisture was proposed for Nordic conditions by Angus et al. (1980), and applied on a global scale by Hutchinson et al. (1992). A grassland model developed by a Swedish team has been used for some Nordic locations. The start and end of the growing season was set to the passage of a diurnal mean temperature of 5°C for a 7-day period. Radiation and temperature indices were calculated according to Torssell and Kornher (1983), whilst a soil moisture index was estimated according to a model of Ritchie (1972) and modified by Skjelvåg (1981a). The root zone field capacity of plant available water was set to 90 mm, which was assumed to be the available soil moisture content at the start of the growing season. The phase I soil evaporation of the Ritchie model was set to 4.0 mm, and its α-value, which determines maximum soil evaporation in phase II, to 3.5 mm/day^0.5, representing a sandy soil. The fraction of plant available soil moisture readily available for plants was set to 20%. Leaf area index was set to 1.4 at growth start, allowed to increase to 2.0, and thereafter kept constant throughout the rest of the season. Long series of weather records were applied (Table 1). Potential evapotranspiration was estimated according to Penman (1956) for Norwegian stations and according to a modified Penman equation for Denmark (Mikkelsen and Olesen 1991). For Finnish stations, Class A pan evaporation was adjusted by a factor of 1.06 according to Heldal (1969).

The model estimates give indices of radiation related to plant growth (RI), temperature (TI) and soil moisture (SMI). These indices show curvilinear relationships between plant growth and radiation, temperature and availability of soil moisture to the crop, respectively. All the indices were computed on a day-by-day basis using annual series of daily weather recordings. They have a value of 0.0 when individual factors limit plant growth absolutely, and 1.0 when they are at their optimal levels. The combined growth index (GI) was defined as: GI = RI*TI*SMI. Soil moisture may be controlled by irrigation, but RI and TI cannot be controlled under field conditions. The combined effect of these two was defined as: RTI = RI*TI. All derived means or sums presented in Tables 1 to 3 are based on the daily values of long term series.

Taking East Jutland (Odum) as a reference site, the energy supply as characterised by RTI decreases northwards (Table 1). Along the coast of Norway it decreases northwards in all months, but only slightly from 67°N to 70°N in July. Along a northeastern transect from Denmark through Finland to Paskiv in Norway, RTI remains high in June and July up to higher latitudes than it does in the west. At the beginning and end of the growing season, there is less difference between western and eastern transects. The same difference between coastal and inland areas is seen by comparing locations at about the same latitude, and even in May at about 60°N.

In July, RTI attains about three-quarters of its maximum value of 1.0 in Denmark, southern Finland and southeastern Norway, but it is reduced by one-third to about half of the maximum value in the far north (Table 1). Earlier and later in the season the reduction is greater due to the shorter growing season in the north. Thus, the figures show that energy and thermal constraints on crop production generally increase northwards and westwards. However, when we consider the total production potential as expressed by GI, soil moisture conditions modify the above picture considerably. The difference between GI and RTI (Table 2) shows that the soil moisture deficit reduces crop production potential more in the south than it does in the north, and more in the east than in the west (Table 2).

Ley yields in Sweden, simulated by the same grassland model, though with a different soil moisture routine, agreed well with independent field observations, both as averages and as standard deviations (Fagerberg 1991). Thus, GI may be an appropriate indicator of dry matter production potential. In the case of Denmark, with
Table 1. Long-term daily means of the combined radiation and temperature index (RTI) multiplied by 100, at Nordic locations. Contributing indices only for days with diurnal mean temperature above 5°C, after passing 5°C for a 7-day period in spring and until passage of the same temperature in autumn.

| Location | °N | Years | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|----------|----|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| Ödum, DK | 56.3 | 1961–95 | 2 | 14 | 53 | 73 | 74 | 68 | 43 | 16 | 2 |
| Sola, N  | 58.9 | 1957–91 | 1 | 11 | 43 | 62 | 65 | 61 | 37 | 15 | 2 |
| Ås, N    | 59.7 | 1970–90 | 6 | 50 | 71 | 73 | 62 | 30 |    |    |    |
| Helsinki, F | 60.3 | 1971–90 | 4 | 47 | 70 | 76 | 62 | 28 |    |    |    |
| Bodø, N  | 67.3 | 1957–92 | 2 | 22 | 46 | 51 | 45 | 19 | 3  |    |    |
| Apukka, F | 66.6 | 1970–90 | 20 | 60 | 69 | 46 | 12 | 1  |    |    |    |
| Tromsø, N | 69.7 | 1951–91 | 8 | 35 | 49 | 37 | 10 | 1  |    |    |    |
| Pasvik, N | 69.1 | 1957–91 | 8 | 41 | 52 | 38 | 9  |    |    |    |    |

Ödum at 56°N as a reference site, Table 3 indicates that the yield potential of an irrigated crop might be about 85%, 60% and 44% of that at Ödum at about 60°N, 67°N and 70°N, respectively. The difference between the coast and the interior at comparable latitudes seemed to be greatest at 67°N, between Bodø and Rovaniemi (Apukka). After reduction for soil moisture deficit, the relative values of the accumulated GI at the same three latitudes along the coast of Norway became 101%, 69% and 52%, respectively, whilst in Finland and up to Pasvik in Norway the accumulated GI was 79%, 61% and 49% of the Danish reference. The bulk of the big difference between west and east at about 60°N came between southeastern Norway and Helsinki, because Ås, at about the same longitude as Ödum, attained 94% of the reference sum. At 67°N the advantage of Rovaniemi over Bodø under irrigated conditions, RTI, turned into a disadvantage of similar magnitude under rainfed conditions, GI. In the far north, a similar, but much less pronounced, change appeared between coastal Tromsø and inland Pasvik.

Table 2. Soil moisture deficit as long-term difference between the combined index of radiation and temperature (RTI) and the combined growth index (GI), both multiplied by 100, ((RTI-GI)100). Contributing indices only for days with diurnal mean temperature above 5°C, after passing 5°C for a 7-day period in spring and until passage of the same temperature in autumn.

| Location | °N | Years | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|----------|----|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| Ödum, DK | 56.3 | 1961–95 | 12 | 30 | 34 | 36 | 9  | 1  |
| Sola, N  | 58.9 | 1957–91 | 9  | 20 | 20 | 13 | 2  |    |
| Ås, N    | 59.7 | 1970–90 | 11 | 27 | 25 | 17 | 3  |    |
| Helsinki, F | 60.3 | 1971–90 | 13 | 40 | 36 | 18 | 3  |    |
| Bodø, N  | 67.3 | 1957–92 | 2  | 12 | 8  | 6  | 1  |    |
| Apukka, F | 66.6 | 1970–90 | 2  | 20 | 30 | 13 | 1  |    |
| Tromsø, N | 69.7 | 1951–91 | 4  | 9  | 9  |    |    |    |
| Pasvik, N | 69.1 | 1957–91 | 1  | 7  | 16 | 8  | 1  |    |
Table 3. Accumulated long-term annual indices over the growing season, defined as days between passage of a 7-day average of 5°C air temperature in spring and autumn, for a reference station at 56°N (Oddum, Denmark), and at 60°N (Ås, Norway). ‘West’ is the coast of Norway, and ‘East’ is Finland and Norway’s Pasvik. RTI = combined radiation and temperature index. GI = combined radiation, temperature and soil moisture index.

| °N approx. | West | Ødum/Ås | East | West | Ødum/Ås | East |
|------------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|
| 56         | 91   | 106     | 90   | 72   | 71      | 67   |
| 60         | 57   | 89      | 63   | 49   | 47      | 64   |
| 67         | 43   | 45      | 37   |      |         |      |
| 70         |      |         |      |      |         |      |

Table 4. Number of cultivars of spring barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) and timothy (Phleum pratense L.) on national lists of recommended cultivars for 1997 in the Nordic countries, according to three types of origin: ‘National’ = bred in the country, ‘Other Nordic’ = bred in another Nordic country, ‘Others’ = bred in other countries.

| Country   | National Barley | National Timothy | Other Nordic Barley | Other Nordic Timothy | Others Barley | Others Timothy | Sum |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|-----|
| Denmark   | 9              | 1                | 8                  | 1                    | 32           | 1              | 49  |
| Finland   | 12             | 9                | 8                  | 5                    | 1            | 0              | 21  |
| Iceland   | 2              | 0                | 8                  | 3                    | 0            | 0              | 8   |
| Norway    | 5              | 4                | 4                  | 1                    | 1            | 0              | 10  |
| Sweden    | 21             | 7                | 3                  | 1                    | 15           | 1              | 39  |

The above assessments by climatic indices refer to a hypothetical crop that might be associated with a pasture with a relatively low leaf area index. The full interaction of weather with a variety of crops was not explored. The origin of cultivars on national recommended lists may be taken as an indication of the constraints on the choice of suitable plant material in the different Nordic countries (Helgadottir 1996, Meddelelser fra sortsafprøvningen 1997, Statens landbrukstilsyn 1997, Statens Växtsortsnämnd 1997, Växtsortsnämnden 1997). On the Danish list of spring barley cultivars, more than 60% were bred outside the Nordic countries, and on the Swedish list about 40%; the other countries each had one or none in this category (Table 4). For perennial timothy, only one out of three cultivars originated from other European countries on the Danish list and one out of nine on the Swedish list. In the other three countries only national cultivars or those from other Nordic countries were officially recommended for use. If we assume that the official performance testing of cultivars is an objective way of screening suitable plant material, these statistics clearly show the need for locally adapted plant material in marginal crop production areas.

Assessment of production potential has to combine climatic parameters with soil data. Specific requirements of individual crops make crop growth models useful tools in expressing production potential in agronomically meaningful ways (SNP 1992). The climatic constraints on crop production will be properly expressed only when climatic information is combined with soil data, the specific requirements of individual crops and with the relevant management practices. Modern information technology offers great possibilities in analyses of crop production potential and its variation geographically as
well as in time. A wide range of applications can be indicated from land capability studies, administrative duties, strategic and tactical planning in agriculture and farm operational purposes to teaching.
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**SELOSTUS**

Kasvintuotannon ilmasto-olosuhteet Pohjoismaissa

Arne Oddvar Skjelvåg

*Agricultural University of Norway, Norja*

Ilmasto vaikuttaa nykyään satoon oleellisesti. Sen sijaan ennen maaperän viljavuus rajoitti satoo ilmasta toa enemmän. Pohjoismaissa säteily vaihtelee alueel- lisesti vähemmän kuin lämpötila kasvukauden aika- na. Kasvukauden aikana mitatuista säteilystä ja läm- pötilasta voidaan laskea kasvin kasvun kuvaava ku- muloituva indeksiluku. Tämä vuotuinen kasvunindeksi sai 56. pohjoisella leveysasteella (Ødum, Lånsi- Jyllanti) arvon 106 ja pienenee arvoihin 90, 60 ja 44 siirryttäessä pohjoiseen 60., 67. ja 70. leveysasteille. Norjan rannikolla ja Suomen sisämaassa 67. leveys- astella mitattujen kasvunindeksien ero oli hyvin pie- ni tai vain voin 10 % Suomen sisämaan eduksi. Kun kasvunindeksiä laskettaessa otettiin huomioon myös kuvitteellisen hietamaallaa viljellyn laitumen kosteus- olot, saatiin säteilyä, lämpötilaa ja kosteusoloja ku- vaavan kasvunindeksin summaksi Ødumissa 71. Nor- jan rannikolla 60., 67. ja 70. leveysasteilla lasketut arvot olivat 72, 49 ja 37, kun taas Suomessa vastaa- villa leveysasteilla indeksit olivat 56, 43 ja 35. Kas- vintuotannon potentiaalia voitaneen suoraan arvioi- da kulmuidon kasvunindeksin avulla.