The Use of Granular Cyclopentanone as Alternative to Artificial Source of Carbon Dioxide in Improved Passive Outdoor Host Seeking Device (POHD)
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Reliable sources of CO2 that are relatively cheap, obtainable, and easy to sustain are immediately required for scaling up of odor-baited mosquito surveillance and control devices. Several odor-baited devices are in the pipeline; however, their scale-up against residual malaria transmission, particularly in resource-poor areas, is limited by the unavailability of reliable sources of CO2 and reliance on electrical power sources among other factors. We evaluated the use of granular cyclopentanone as an alternative to artificial or yeast fermentation-produced CO2 in passive outdoor host seeking device (POHD). Experiments were conducted against semifield reared An. arabiensis within the semifield system (SFS) at Ifakara Health Institute. Mosquitoes were tested against odor-baited POHDs augmented with yeast fermentation-produced CO2, granular cyclopentanone, attractive blends (Mbita or Ifakara), or their combinations. An insecticide, bendiocarb, was a killing agent used as a proxy for marking the mosquitoes visit the POHDs. Relative attractiveness of different treatment combinations was compared based on the proportion of dead mosquitoes that visited the POHD. The POHD augmented with granules of cyclopentanone alone was attractive to An. arabiensis as much as, or more than, POHDs augmented with synthetic blends alone; when these blends are combined with CO2, they attracted more mosquitoes than individual blends. An insecticide, bendiocarb, was a killing agent used as a proxy for marking the mosquitoes visit the POHDs. Relative attractiveness of different treatment combinations was compared based on the proportion of dead mosquitoes that visited the POHD. The POHD augmented with granules of cyclopentanone alone was attractive to An. arabiensis as much as, or more than, POHDs augmented with synthetic blends alone; when these blends are combined with CO2, they attracted more mosquitoes than individual blends. More importantly, such POHDs baited with synthetic blends alone; when these blends are combined with CO2, they attracted more mosquitoes than individual blends. More importantly, such POHDs baited with cyclopentanone retained its residual activity against An. arabiensis for up to 2 months after application particularly when used in combination with Mbita blend. In conclusion, this study demonstrates that cyclopentanone granules have the potential to substitute sources of CO2 in outdoor-based surveillance and control devices, thus warranting evaluation of such alternative under realistic field conditions.

1. Introduction

Mosquitoes play an overwhelming role in transmitting several vector-borne diseases to humans such as malaria, lymphatic filariasis, yellow fever, rift valley, dengue fever, Zika, and chikungunya [1–7]. Of these diseases, malaria causes the greatest health and socioeconomic burden [4, 6, 8], with 228 million cases and 405,000 deaths which are highly concentrated in sub-Saharan Africa [4, 6]. Mosquito vectors detect and locate their preferential blood meal sources mainly through chemoreception of volatile cues liberated from their hosts [9–14]. Mosquito
antennae and maxillary palps have several receptors [10, 12, 15–18], which enable them to efficiently detect both the skin odors and carbon dioxide (CO₂) plume from humans [13, 17, 19–24]. The CO₂ is one of the most important long range attractants (normally detected at a range of 18–60 m) [21, 25, 26]; it attracts both the opportunistic (e.g., *An. arabiensis*) and anthropophilic mosquitoes (e.g., *Anopheles gambiae* s.s., and *Culex quinquefasciatus*) [19, 27–29]. The combination of CO₂ and skin odors has been shown to significantly attract high proportion of mosquitoes compared to CO₂ or skin odor alone [11, 13, 14, 17, 20, 22, 30–32]. Therefore, CO₂ is now widely used to enhance/potentiate the efficacy of odor-baited control and sampling devices [13, 30, 31, 33–40].

The existing odor-baited traps/devices rely on conventional sources of CO₂ for sampling mosquitoes [41–43]. These conventional sources of CO₂ include pressurized gas cylinders of industrial CO₂ [30, 40], dry ice [33, 39, 44], and burning of propane [45]. Examples of traps baited with CO₂ include BG-sentinel traps [40, 46], CDC light traps [39, 40], counterflow geometry traps [47], Mosquito Magnet-X traps (MMX-trap) [38], mosquito landing boxes [35], and mosquito trapping boxes [33]. However, these conventional sources of CO₂ are expensive, unobtainable, and labor intensive to transport and sustain for large scale surveillance and control programs in resource poor areas [48]. Therefore, reliable sources of CO₂ that are relatively less expensive, easy to use, and easy to sustain in remote poor resource settings are urgently required for use in odor-baited devices. Otherwise, the control of residual malaria transmission, which occurs almost exclusively outdoors, will remain a difficult endeavor.

Recently, several organic sources of CO₂ have been proposed for use in mosquito traps for surveillance [32, 49, 50–52]. These organic sources of CO₂ include yeast fermentation of sugar/sucrose and molasses [32, 52–54], electrolyzed oxalic acids (Harwood et al., 2014), granular CO₂ sachets [55], and food-grade sources such as mixture of citric acid, water, and powdered sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃), or vinegar (5%) mixed with sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) [56]. However, traps baited with CO₂ from organic sources attract relatively fewer mosquitoes than those baited with industrial CO₂ in pressurized gas cylinders or dry ice [55, 56]. These organic sources of CO₂ are still expensive and logistically difficult to use for large scale surveillance and control programs because they require frequent replenishment of materials to extent residual activity. Therefore, the portable, easy to use, long-lasting, and cheaper novel sources of CO₂ that may attract high proportions of mosquitoes, comparable to or higher than those attracted with traditional sources of CO₂, are urgently required for large scale application of odor-baited devices in poor resource countries.

One of the desirable options could be the use of novel compounds that mimic CO₂ such as cyclopentanone (C₅H₈O) [57, 58], acetone [59], and 2-butanone [60, 61]. These compounds activate CO₂ receptor (cPa) neuron on the maxillary palps of mosquitoes, and some of them have been tested against *Anopheles*, *Culex*, and *Aedes* mosquitoes as substitutes for CO₂ in odor-baited traps without success [17, 58, 60]. Traps baited with liquid formulation of cyclopentanone had similar catches of *Culex quinquefasciatus* to those traps baited with industrial CO₂ under semifield conditions [17], but not in the field [58]. Similarly, the liquid formulation of 2-butanone increased attractiveness of synthetic blend (e.g., Mbita blend) to mosquitoes including *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles funestus* the same as CO₂ in the odor-baited traps under the field but not semifield environments [60]. However, it was hypothesized that liquid formulation of cyclopentanone or 2-butanone in a cotton wick may depreciate relatively quickly due to variations of wind speed, moisture, temperature, and competing odors from the surrounding natural vegetation [58, 60]. Therefore, there is a need for slow release formulations of cyclopentanone or other CO₂ mimics such as 2-butanone to enhance further development and large scale use of traps and odor-baited outdoor control devices [17, 58, 60]. This study aimed to (i) evaluate the potential of cyclopentanone granules to enhance/potentiate the attractiveness of synthetic blends in POHD against *An. arabiensis*, (ii) compare the efficacy of POHD baited with granular and strip formulation of cyclopentanone against *An. arabiensis*, and (iii) assess the residual activity/persistence of such formulations against *An. arabiensis*.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site. Experiments were conducted within the semifield system (SFS) located at Kining’ina village in Kilombero Valley (Figure 1), about 6km from Ifakara town. The SFS has several chambers (2.97 × 6.70 × 2.80 m) with temperature range from 26 to 32°C.

2.2. Mosquitoes. The colony of *An. arabiensis* was established in 2008 by collection of gravid females from the wild population at Sagamanga village, Kilombero Valley (8.0667 S, 36.8000 E) [62]. This colony of mosquitoes is reared under ambient conditions in one chamber (3.50 × 2.70 × 2.50 m) of the semifield system (60 × 20 m) [62]. The temperature and relative humidity in this system range from 25 to 32°C and from 70 to 90%, respectively. The larvae are kept in rearing basins and are fed on TetraMin® (Tetra GmbH, Germany), as finely ground baby fish food flakes twice to three times a day. The adult mosquitoes are maintained inside the cages (0.45 × 0.45 × 0.45 m) with 10% glucose solution. Female *An. arabiensis* mosquitoes used throughout this study were 3–7 days old.

2.3. Improved POHD and Different Treatments. The improved POHD with bottom mosquito entrance was used in these experiments (Figure 2, Kessy et al., unpublished). Cyclopentanone, CO₂, and synthetic blend (Mbita or Ifakara) [36, 63] were deployed as attractants in the improved POHD, singly or in combination to compare their attractiveness against *An. arabiensis* female mosquitoes. The Mbita and Ifakara blends were designed and developed to either sachet containing granules or soaked nylon strips by
Biogents AG, Germany. Two forms of CO₂ were used: (i) the yeast fermentation-produced CO₂ from modified mixture of yeast (8.75 g) and molasses (250 g) [50], and a total volume of 1 L of warm water based on previous studies that produced CO₂ using at least 1 g of yeast in a total volume of ≤1 or >1 L of water [32, 52, 64, 65], (ii) 20% cyclopentanone (C₅H₈O) used in previous studies [17], which was modified and formulated to granules and impregnated nylon strips by Biogents AG, Germany. The synthetic attractive blends and cyclopentanone were stored in the refrigerator at 4°C between experiments. A powder formulation of bendiocarb (Ficam D) was applied on electrostatic charged netting [66] to kill mosquitoes visiting the improved POHD. Bendiocarb is a nonrepellent insecticide that acts by contact against mosquitoes including the population of *An. arabiensis* in Kilombero Valley [72]. These vector species are fully susceptible to bendiocarb (Lwetoijera et al., 2014; [72]; Matiya et al., 2019). Thus, bendiocarb was a suitable carbamate for use in POHD baited with lures to attract and kill any visiting mosquitoes.
and to quantify the number of dead mosquitoes as a proxy for attractiveness of the POHD to mosquitoes.

3. Experimental Procedures

3.1. Potentiating/Enhancing Effects of Cyclopentanone. The sachets of granular formulation or impregnated nylon strips of either Mbita (Mb) or Ifakara blend (Ib) were hung inside the improved POHD. Eight (8) different treatment combinations were evaluated: (1) CO₂ + cyclopentanone + odor blend + untreated netting (untreated), (2) bendiocarb-treated netting alone (Be), (3) odor blends + Be (Mb or Ib), (4) CO₂ + Be (CO), (5) cyclopentanone + Be (Cy), (6) CO₂ + odor blends + Be (MbCO or IbCO), (7) cyclopentanone (Cy) + odor blends + Be (CyMb, or CyIb), and (8) odor blend + CO₂ + Cy + Be (CyMbCO or CyIbCO). The improved POHD components were assembled and hung at the middle of the chamber within the SFS, 0.25 m off the ground (Figures 2 and 3(a)). In each experiment, a total of 100 starved female mosquitoes (25 individuals per cup) were released at four different corners of the SFS against the aforesaid treatment combinations. Mosquitoes were left to forage for overnight. The next morning, all live or dead mosquitoes from inside the POHD and other parts of the SFS chamber were independently recovered, counted, and recorded. All live mosquitoes were kept in the semifield insectary, provided with 10% glucose solution, and monitored for mortality after 24 hrs. Treatment combinations were randomly alternated between the days of the experiments. Between experiments, any mosquitoes remaining inside the experimental SFS chamber were removed using CDC backpack aspirator to avoid spillover effect. These experiments were replicated three times for each treatment combination.

3.2. Persistence of Granular Cyclopentanone. Persistence of cyclopentanone was evaluated using a rectangular bioassay box (1.87 × 2.12 × 1.15 m), inside three different SFS chambers (2.50 × 9.50 × 9.00 m), one box per chamber (Figure 3(b)). The synthetic blend that was strongly enhanced by granular cyclopentanone from the efficacy experiment above, Mbita blend in this case, was selected to be combined with cyclopentanone in these experiments. Persistence was counted from the day the cyclopentanone started being used after preparation, and month is abbreviated as “mo” in the text and the figures. The treatment combinations were as follows: (1) fresh granules of cyclopentanone (Cy) + Mbita blend (Mb) + untreated netting (untreated), (2) fresh granules of Mbita blend alone (Mb), (3) 2 mo old granules of cyclopentanone + bendiocarb-treated netting-Be (2moCy), (4) 2 mo old granules of cyclopentanone + Mb + Be (2moCyMb), (4) fresh granules of cyclopentanone + Be (FreshCy), and (5) fresh granules of cyclopentanone + Mb + Be (FreshCyMb). Then, improved POHD was assembled and hung at the middle of the rectangular box at 0.25 m off the ground (Figure 3(b)). The two sides of the box were closed with cardboard, but two holes (0.11 cm in diameter) were made for the release of mosquitoes inside during experiments. Each time of the experiment, a total of 100 female mosquitoes starved for 6 hours without glucose were released inside the box through 4 holes (25 individual mosquitoes/hole × 4 holes = 100 mosquitoes) in the evening at 7:00 pm. Recapture and monitoring of mosquitoes were done following the same procedures as in the efficacy experiments above. These experiments were replicated three times for each treatment combination.

3.3. Comparing Efficacy of Different Formulations of Cyclopentanone. These experiments were conducted using rectangular bioassay boxes (in experiment 2 above), with the aim of comparing the efficacy of cyclopentanone applied on granules and nylon strips delivery formats against mosquitoes. The formulations of cyclopentanone were tested in combination with long-lasting granular formulation of Mbita blend (depicted from persistence experiments). Different treatment combinations evaluated in the improved POHD were as follows: (1) fresh cyclopentanone + Mbita blend + untreated netting, (2) fresh cyclopentanone strip + Mb + Be (strips), and (3) fresh cyclopentanone granules + Mb + Be (granules). The improved POHDs incorporated with different treatment combinations were assembled (independently) and hung at the center of the box (Figure 3(b)). Mosquitoes were exposed to improved POHDs, recaptured, and monitored for 24-hour mortality, in the same way described in experiments 1 and 2 above. These experiments were replicated three times for each treatment combination.

3.4. Ethical Considerations. The research team and technical assistants responsible for rearing and handling mosquitoes were routinely (i.e., after every 7 days) screened for malaria to ensure that experimental materials are free of malaria parasites. The semifield had double doors, and it was routinely checked to ensure that the screens were always intact to prevent escape of mosquitoes to the environment. The remains of bendiocarb and/or treated materials were disposed accordingly and thereafter incinerated. The ethical review and approval were granted by the Institutional Ethics Review Board (IRB) of Ifakara Health Institute (Ref: IHI/IRB/No: 14-2013) and the Medical Research Coordinating Committee at the National Institute of Medical Research in Tanzania (NIMR/HQ/R.8a/Vol. IX/1784). This work was also granted permission for publication by National Institute of Medical Research in Tanzania (NIMR/HQ/P.12/Vol. XXVIII/93).

3.5. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted to test the efficacy of cyclopentanone as alternative to CO₂ for enhancing/potentiating the attractiveness of synthetic blends, to determine the duration of their effects (persistence), and to compare between nylon strips and granular formulations against Anopheles arabiensis. Response variable measured in these experiments was proportion of mosquitoes killed by different treatments of
POHD. The response variable of proportion of dead mosquitoes was analyzed using generalized linear mixed effect models with binomial errors (glmer) in the R statistical software package [71]. The explanatory variables, “treatments,” “blend type,” and “formulations,” were considered as the main effects, whereas “days” of the experiments were considered as random effects. A base model including only random effect of “day” was constructed. A sequential addition of the “main effects” and their interaction (treatment × blends or treatment formulation) to the base model was conducted to construct a maximal model (forward stepwise approach). A statistical significance of fixed effects and interaction term was generated and evaluated using likelihood ratio tests (LRTs). When the interaction terms were statistically significant, the main effect of either “blend type” or “formulation type” for each synthetic attractant was analyzed separately to generate estimates for the main effects. Then, the full model was used to perform a two-ways multiple comparisons using Tukey post hoc tests (adjusting for multiple comparison) to establish statistical significant differences between treatments.

4. Results

4.1. Potentiating/Enhancing Effect of Cyclopentanone. The attractiveness of improved POHD against Anopheles arabiensis was influenced by interaction between treatments and attractive blend types (treatment × blend type; $\chi^2 = 2.56$, $P < 0.001$, Figures 4(a) and 4(b), Tables 1 and 2). Overall, all treatments combinations of POHD baited with Mbita blend (Mb) including augmentation with cyclopentanone (Cy) and
When CO₂ was applied alone in bendiocarb-treated POHD, it attracted and killed greater proportion of mosquitoes than POHD baited with Mb alone (z = 5.13, P < 0.001), but it killed fewer mosquitoes than POHD baited with MbCO (z = 4.61, P < 0.001) (Figure 4(a), Table 1). Furthermore, the CO₂ enhanced attractiveness of POHD baited with Mbita blend to mosquitoes; the POHD baited with MbCO attracted and killed greater proportions of mosquitoes than POHD baited with Mb alone (z = 9.32, P < 0.001) (Figure 4(a), Tables 1 and 2). In comparison with cyclopentanone, the bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with CO₂ alone attracted and killed mosquitoes the same as POHD baited with Cy alone (z = 1.73, P = 0.65), but it killed fewer mosquitoes than POHD baited with CyMb (z = 9.07, P < 0.001) and CyMbCO (z = 9.69, P < 0.001) (Figure 4(a), Tables 1 and 2). In fact, cyclopentanone enhanced attractiveness of POHD to mosquitoes. The bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with Cy alone attracted and killed similar proportions of mosquitoes to POHD baited with MbCO (z = 2.89, P = 0.07, Figure 4(a), Tables 1 and 2), but it killed greater proportion of mosquitoes than POHD baited with Mb alone (z = 6.71, P < 0.001, Figure 4(a), Tables 1 and 2). Furthermore, the bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with Mbita blend killed more mosquitoes when combined with cyclopentanone. The bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with CyMb attracted and
Table 1: Summary of numbers of An. arabiensis mosquitoes exposed and their responses to the improved POHD baited with attractants alone or their different treatment combinations.

| Types of blend | Combination of treatments | Total number of exposed mosquitoes | Total number of dead mosquitoes | Mean number of dead mosquitoes |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mbta blend     | CyMbCO                    | 274                               | 249                            | 83.00                         |
|                | CyMb                      | 235                               | 212                            | 70.67                         |
|                | MbCO                      | 251                               | 172                            | 57.33                         |
|                | Cy                        | 240                               | 134                            | 44.67                         |
|                | CO                        | 246                               | 118                            | 39.33                         |
|                | Mb                        | 251                               | 64                             | 21.33                         |
|                | Untreated                 | 267                               | 21                             | 7                             |
|                | BE                        | 251                               | 31                             | 10.3                          |
| Ifakara blend  | CyIbCO                    | 255                               | 245                            | 81.67                         |
|                | CyIb                      | 251                               | 155                            | 51.67                         |
|                | IbCO                      | 237                               | 122                            | 40.67                         |
|                | Cy                        | 236                               | 155                            | 51.67                         |
|                | CO                        | 260                               | 126                            | 42.00                         |
|                | Ib                        | 242                               | 68                             | 22.67                         |
|                | Untreated                 | 255                               | 16                             | 5.33                          |
|                | BE                        | 216                               | 31                             | 10.30                         |

The columns of the table indicate the combination of treatments, total numbers of mosquitoes exposed to baited POHD, and total numbers and average numbers of dead An. arabiensis after exposure to the baited POHD. The treatments are abbreviated as follows: untreated: attractants without bendiocarb-treated netting, BE: bendiocarb-treated netting without attractants, CO: carbon dioxide (CO2), Mb: Mbta blend, Cy: cyclopentanone granules, MbCO: Mbta blend combined with CO2, CyMb: Mbta blend combined with cyclopentanone granules, CyMbCO: Mbta blend combined with cyclopentanone granules and CO2, IbCO: Ifakara blend combined with CO2, CyIb: Ifakara blend combined with cyclopentanone granules, CyIbCO: Ifakara blend combined with cyclopentanone granules and CO2.

Table 2: Potentiating/enhancing effects of cyclopentanone and carbon dioxide on attractiveness of synthetic blends baited POHD against An. arabiensis.

| Blend types         | Combination of treatments of POHD | Average number of dead mosquitoes | Individual treatments of POHD | Average number of dead mosquitoes | P values |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Mbta blend (Mb)     | CyMb                              | 70.67                            | Mb                           | 21.33                            | P < 0.001|
|                     | MbCO                              | 57.33                            | Cy                           | 44.67                            | P < 0.001|
|                     | CyIb                              | 51.67                            | Mb                           | 21.33                            | P < 0.001|
|                     | IbIb                              | 40.67                            | Cy                           | 49.33                            | P = 1    |
| Ifakara blend (Ib) | CyIbCO                            |                                  | Ib                           | 22.67                            | P < 0.001|
|                     | IbIb                              |                                  | CO                           | 39.00                            | P = 0.84 |

The potentiating effect is indicated by the average number of dead mosquitoes in a column of combined effects of synthetic blend and either cyclopentanone or carbon dioxide that is greater than the average number of dead mosquitoes in column of individual effects of synthetic blend. The treatments are abbreviated as follows: CyMb: Mbta blend combined with cyclopentanone, Cy: cyclopentanone alone, CO2: carbon dioxide alone, Mb: Mbta blend alone, Ib: Ifakara blend alone, MbCO: Mbta blend combined with CO2, IbCO: Ifakara blend combined with cyclopentanone.

Killed similar proportions of mosquitoes to POHD baited with CyMbCO (z = 0.25, P = 1, Figure 4(a), Table 1), but it killed greater proportion of mosquitoes than POHD baited with Mb alone (z = −12.52, P < 0.001, Figure 4(a), Tables 1 and 2) and MbCO (z = −5.59, P < 0.001, Figure 4(a), Tables 1 and 2). Similarly, the bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with CyMbCO killed more mosquitoes than POHD baited with Mb alone (z = −13.22, P < 0.001, Figure 4(a), Table 1) and MbCO (z = −6.08, P < 0.001, Figure 4(a), Table 1).

Similarly, the attractiveness of POHD baited with Ifakara blend to An. arabiensis varied significantly between treatments (χ² = 48.79, P < 0.001, Figure 4(b)). The bendiocarb-untreated POHD baited with attractants and the bendiocarb-treated POHD without attractants were the controls for the combination of treatments which attracted and killed similar proportion of mosquitoes (z = 1.34, P = 0.87, Figure 4(b), Table 1). The bendiocarb-treated POHD without attractants (BE) killed relatively lower proportion of An. arabiensis than bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with different treatment combinations including CO (z = 6.89, P < 0.001), Cy (z = 9.79, P < 0.001, Figure 4(b)), Ib (z = 3.51, P < 0.001, Figure 4(b)), IbCO (z = 7.09, P < 0.001, Figure 4(b)), CyIb (z = 9.72, P < 0.001, Figure 4(b)), and CyIbCO (z = 13.25, P < 0.001, Figure 4(b)). Likewise, the bendiocarb-untreated POHD baited with all three attractants killed fewer proportions of mosquitoes than bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with CO (z = −6.93, P < 0.001), Cy (z = −9.30, P < 0.001), Ib (z = −4.27, P < 0.001), IbCO (z = −7.71, P < 0.001), CyIb (z = −9.21, P < 0.001), and CyIbCO (z = −13.01, P < 0.001). The yeast fermentation-
produced CO₂ applied to bendiocarb-treated POHD enhanced attractiveness of this device to An. arabiensis; consequently, this POHD killed similar proportion of these mosquitoes as bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with IbCO ($z = 1.41, P = 0.84$), but it killed more mosquitoes than POHD baited with Ib alone ($z = -3.91, P = 0.002,$ Figure 4(b), Tables 1 and 2). In addition, the bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with IbCO attracted and killed more mosquitoes than POHD baited with Ib alone ($z = 5.15, P < 0.001,$ Figure 4(b), Tables 1 and 2). In comparison with cyclopentanone, the POHD baited with CO₂ alone attracted and killed significantly lower proportion of mosquitoes than POHD baited with different treatment combinations of cyclopentanone such as Cy alone ($z = 3.96, P = 0.001,$ Figure 4(b), Tables 1 and 2), CyIb ($z = 3.79, P = 0.003,$ Figure 4(b), Tables 1 and 2), and CyIbCO ($z = -9.83, P < 0.001,$ Figure 4(b), Table 1).

Indeed, cyclopentanone enhanced attractiveness of POHD to An. arabiensis when applied alone or in combination with Ifakara blend. The bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with Cy alone lured and killed similar proportion of these mosquitoes to POHD baited with CyIb ($z = -0.23, P = 1$) and IbCO ($z = -2.52, P = 0.17$), but it killed more mosquitoes than POHD baited with Ib alone ($z = -7.47, P < 0.001$). The bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with CyIb attracted and killed similar proportion of mosquitoes to POHD baited with IbCO ($z = -2.34, P = 0.26,$ Figure 4(b)), but its attractiveness to mosquitoes was greater than that of POHD baited with Ib alone ($z = -7.37, P < 0.001,$ Figure 4(b), Tables 1 and 2). Furthermore, bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with all three attractants (CyIbCO) strongly attracted and killed more mosquitoes than POHD baited with Ib alone ($z = -11.74, P < 0.001,$ Figure 4(b), Table 1), IbCO ($z = -9.04, P < 0.001,$ Figure 4(b), Table 1), and CyIb ($z = -7.81, P < 0.001$).

4.2. Persistence of Granular Formulation of Cyclopentanone. The attractiveness of POHD against Anopheles arabiensis was influenced by the persistence of cyclopentanone granules ($r^2 = 34.48, P < 0.001,$ Figure 5). Bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with fresh granules of cyclopentanone (FreshCy) or their combination with granules of Mbita blend (FreshCyMb) attracted and killed similar proportions of mosquitoes ($z = 1.26, P = 0.79,$ Figure 5). However, bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with 2-month-old granular cyclopentanone (2moCy), or 2-month-old granular cyclopentanone combined with Mbita blend (2moCyMb) attracted and killed significantly lower proportion of mosquitoes than that of POHD baited with fresh granular cyclopentanone (FreshCy) and their combination with Mbita blend ($P < 0.001,$ in all cases, Figure 5). The POHDs baited with 2moCy, 2moCyMb, FreshCy, and FreshCyMb attracted and killed significantly greater proportion of mosquitoes than POHD baited with Mbita blend alone ($P < 0.001,$ in all cases, Figure 5). However, POHD baited with 2moCy attracted and killed significantly fewer mosquitoes than POHD baited with combination of 2moCy and Mbita blend ($z = 5.14, P < 0.001,$ Figure 5). Moreover, POHD without bendiocarb attracted and killed significantly fewer mosquitoes than all other POHDs baited with cyclopentanone alone or cyclopentanone combined with Mbita blend regardless of the age of the granules ($P < 0.001,$ in all cases, Figure 5).

4.3. Efficacy of Different Formulations of Cyclopentanone. The efficacy of POHD baited with cyclopentanone varied significantly between treatments ($r^2 = 16.16, P < 0.001,$ Figure 6). The POHD without bendiocarb attracted and killed significantly lower proportion of mosquitoes than bendiocarb-treated POHD baited with granular cyclopentanone ($z = 10.59, P < 0.001,$ Figure 6) and cyclopentanone impregnated nylon strips ($z = 14.60, P < 0.001,$ Figure 6). However, POHD baited with cyclopentanone impregnated nylon strips attracted and killed similar proportion of mosquitoes to POHD baited with cyclopentanone granules ($z = -2.27, P = 0.05,$ Figure 6).

5. Discussion

This study revealed the potential of granular formulation of cyclopentanone as an alternative to artificial CO₂ applied in odor-baited traps against An. arabiensis that maintains residual transmission of malaria in most of African countries. The improved POHD baited with granular cyclopentanone
was attractive as much as, or more than, the POHD baited with fermented CO$_2$ to *An. arabiensis*. Plumes of both the granular cyclopentanone and CO$_2$ act in the same way on mosquitoes CO$_2$ receptors (cpA). This finding compares with the results of recent field and laboratory experiments that tested liquid formulations of 2-butanone combined with nylon strips of Mbita blend [60] and previous laboratory experiment that used liquid formulation of 20% cyclopentanone [17]. However, these results were both different from that of the field trial conducted by Philippe-Janon et al. [58]. Such contrast was attributed to the quick loss of attractiveness by liquid formulation cyclopentanone under field settings consequent to strong wind as well as fluctuations on temperature and relative humidity. Therefore, the slow release formulation (granules) of cyclopentanone offers an exciting option for use in odor-baited mosquito surveillance and/or control devices. Sachet of granular cyclopentanone is less bulky and easy to apply and distribute in large scale surveillance compared to other sources of CO$_2$ such as pressurized cylinder of CO$_2$ and gallons for yeast fermentation-produced CO$_2$, and it lasts relatively longer than liquid formulations [17, 58, 60]. Thus, it can be deployed in resource poor areas like rural Tanzania. Although production of CO$_2$ from a mixture of yeast and molasses was in certain circumstances as effective as, or less effective than, cyclopentanone, it has a drawback in that the mixture of materials in portable containers can release CO$_2$ for approximately 8–12 hours and resources are highly needed for domestic consumption and logistically difficult to change and distribute on daily basis for large scale surveillance and control of mosquitoes.

Also, this study indicated that granular cyclopentanone had stronger potentiating/enhancing effect on synthetic blends than that of the yeast fermentation-produced CO$_2$. Such effect of cyclopentanone on synthetic blends varied between blend types applied on the POHD. Nevertheless, the granular formulation of cyclopentanone enhanced/potenti- ated attractiveness of POHD baited with Mbita blend to *An. arabiensis* by 70%, but it enhanced the attractiveness of POHD baited with Ifakara blend by 56%. Previous semifield and field experiments indicated that Mbita blend is relatively more attractive to mosquitoes than Ifakara blend when augmented with CO$_2$ [63]. The current study also found that the combinations of either blend with cyclopentanone or CO$_2$ in POHD attracted far greater proportion of mosquitoes relative to the individual blends, Cy alone, or CO$_2$ alone. This observation that CO$_2$ enhances attractiveness of odor blends is also emphasized in several studies conducted elsewhere [11, 16, 30, 37, 38, 43]. The results of this study also confirms the recent findings that traps baited with Mbita blend and augmented with CO$_2$ mimic compounds such as 2-butanone attracted similar number of mosquitoes as those traps baited with odor blends combined with CO$_2$ gas [60]. Since plumes of cyclopentanone and CO$_2$ act in the same way on mosquito’s CO$_2$ receptors [17], they may be used to augment synthetic blends in POHD and other devices of the sort.

Moreover, the residual activity of 2-month-old granular cyclopentanone was reduced by 47% relative to the fresh formulation when applied in POHD. Nevertheless, when such formulation was complemented with Mbita blend, the efficacy was elevated to 76%. Such stable residual activity of cyclopentanone could have been contributed by their storage under refrigeration temperature between experiments and slow release granular formulation. This slow release formulation may have enabled the older cyclopentanone to retain its enhancement/potentiation effects on synthetic blends in the POHD that attracted and killed >80% of the exposed mosquitoes. Similarly, previous studies showed that the application of BG lures as granules increased their residual activity for up to 5 months [34, 72]. These findings therefore indicate that attractiveness of cyclopentanone granules lasts for relatively longer time after application than other CO$_2$ sources and thus will need to be replaced less frequently when applied in odor-baited traps for large scale vector surveillance and control.

On the other hand, fresh granules and nylon strips of cyclopentanone were equally effective in enhancing attractiveness of POHD baited with Mbita blend against *An. arabiensis*. The possible explanation could be that these experiments were conducted within a small sized chamber (1.87 x 2.12 x 1.15 m) where cyclopentanone with high volatility, when used alone or combined with Mbita blend, yielded equal concentration of odor plumes in a short range/ distance to mosquitoes regardless of the formulations. Therefore, mosquitoes equally detected the plumes from different treatments. Variation between the two formulations could have been observed if they were compared under natural field conditions. Previous field work demonstrated that traps baited with nylon strip impregnated with Mbita blend combined with CO$_2$ attracted as much *Aedes* and

![Figure 6: Estimated proportion (±1 s.e.) of *An. arabiensis* mosquitoes that were attracted and killed after exposure to an improved passive host seeking device that was untreated or treated with bendiocarb (BE) and baited with impregnated nylon strips or granular formulations of cyclopentanone (Cy) in combination with Mbita blend (Mb) in a rectangular bioassay box within the semifield system. The treatments were abbreviated as follows: control: attractants without bendiocarb-treated nettings, strips: cyclopentanone impregnated nylon strips, granules: granular cyclopentanone. The statistical significant differences between treatments are indicated as follows: the asterisks indicate statistical significant differences from all other treatments with open boxes without asterisks. Error bars represent ±1 standard error.](image-url)
Culex mosquitoes as the traps baited with combination of long-lasting granular formulation of BG lures and CO₂ [37]. Contrastingly, the recent study demonstrated that fresh liquid formulation of 2-butanone combined with synthetic odor blend attracted more Anopheles mosquitoes to the traps than traps baited with odor blend alone in the field, but not under the semifield conditions [60]. Although impregnated nylon strips of synthetic blends have been shown to remain attractive to mosquitoes for several weeks to months [34, 35, 43, 63, 73], they are not suitable for mass production and use in large scale mosquito surveillance and control programs [54]. Overall, the granular formulation of cyclopentanone may be portable, durable, lightweight, and easy to produce and use in odor-baited devices for large scale surveillance and control of mosquitoes. However, further investigations are required to assess long-lasting enhancing effects of granular formulations of cyclopentanone on synthetic blends in natural environments.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that cyclopentanone has the potential to substitute artificial source of CO₂ in odor-baited devices for surveillance and control of An. arabiensis, thus warranting evaluation of such alternative under realistic field conditions.
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