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INTRODUCTION

Ryan and Cope defined cervical vertigo as vertigo caused by “disease of the neck.” The definition of cervical vertigo that we will use in this paper is “dizziness combined with a neck disorder, where reasonable alternatives have been ruled out.” This definition resembles that of Heikkila as well as Wrisley. We furthermore use the term “dizziness” to indicate a sensation of spatial disorientation, possibly but not necessarily including an illusion of motion or unsteadiness.

Cervical vertigo by this definition is common in certain contexts. Table 1 summarizes data from seven large studies of whiplash injuries. Out of a total of 1097 subjects, 52% of them reported dizziness. Cervical vertigo is a matter of considerable concern due to the high litigation-related costs of whiplash injuries. Dizziness is also reported by subjects with neck injuries due to other processes than whiplash (Table I), although fewer studies are available. Animal studies have established that experimental lesions confined to the cervical area can induce nystagmus and ataxia. In humans, recent theories suggest that cervical vertigo may be due to discrepancies between vestibular and proprioceptive information, precipitated by inflammation within the cervical intervertebral discs and increased muscle tension. However, as of yet, no consensus of expert opinion defines the entity of cervical vertigo, and review articles concerning diagnostic efforts in humans often are mainly concerned with the question as to whether or not cervical vertigo even exists.

The main clinical problem in diagnosing cervical vertigo is that symptoms of subjects who have both neck disorders and dizziness may overlap with other entities. In other words, it is difficult to differentiate between the chance coincidence of arthritis of the neck and dizziness, from the situation where arthritis of the neck causes dizziness. Additionally, clinicians find similar symptomatology is associated with psychogenic dizziness as well as migraine headache.

To test the hypothesis that a set of distinct symptoms can characterize cervical vertigo, we administered a questionnaire to subjects with cervical vertigo, vestibular migraine and those with vestibular vertigo. Herein we report the differences in responses from subjects in each group.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Population Under Study

After obtaining approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Northwestern University, we prospectively surveyed 48 subjects in three diagnostic groups: vestibular disorders (16), migraine-associated vertigo (16), and cervical vertigo (16).
All subjects presented to our tertiary care clinic for care and had diagnoses assigned by an expert in the field of otoneurology (coauthor T.C.H.) using a combination of history, a comprehensive set of vestibular and auditory tests, and imaging. After receiving a final diagnosis, every patient was offered an opportunity to complete our questionnaire until the predetermined cap for subject enrollment was reached. Questionnaires were administered by the author (A.T.H.), who was not involved in the diagnosis of subjects and was not aware of subjects’ diagnoses during administration.

In the vestibular disorder group, there were 10 subjects with vestibular neuritis and three subjects with unilateral vestibular loss other than vestibular neuritis (Ramsay-Hunt syndrome, status-post posterior fossa surgery for trigeminal nerve decompression, and one idiopathic unilateral vestibular loss). These were all associated with >40% unilateral paresis on electronystagmography (ENG) caloric testing. Three additional subjects had definite Meniere's diagnosed by criteria established by the American Academy of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery Committee on Hearing and Equilibrium. 21

Subjects in the migraine group all met the criteria for vestibular migraine, also termed migraine-associated vertigo/dizziness, established by the Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). 22

Subjects diagnosed with posttraumatic migraine were not included in this study due to the possibility of an associated neck injury. For cervical vertigo subjects, we excluded vestibular causes of vertigo by requiring a normal ENG, rotary chair test, and positional testing. History, audiologic testing, and physical examination eliminated conditions such as Meniere’s syndrome. Central causes of vertigo were excluded by brain imaging. None of the subjects met criteria for vestibular migraine. Posttraumatic vertigo subjects were included. Although an abnormal cervical magnetic resonance imaging was not an absolute requirement to be diagnosed with cervical vertigo (Table II), all subjects had an abnormal cervical MRI. Thirteen subjects had a disk or spur touching the anterior spinal cord, and the remaining only showed degenerative disc changes. Eight subjects exhibited severe stiffness of the neck to palpation. Seven subjects related a history of temporal proximity of dizziness to an injury confined to the neck, including five subjects having whiplash and one with a neck injury due to a fall. Four subjects had cervical spondylosis on MRI as their only evidence for a neck injury.

### Development of Questionnaire
We developed a unique, 41-question survey (Appendix A) using questions from two well-established questionnaires: the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) 23 and the Neck Disability Index (NDI) questionnaire. 24 Our goal in using both questionnaires was to comprehensively identify common factors associated with dizziness and a neck disorder. Questions adapted from the DHI allowed us to capture symptoms found in the dizzy patient reliably. The NDI provided us with a list of functional problems seen in patients with a neck disorder (eg, whiplash injury, cervical radiculopathy). The latter questions were compared to those abstracted from the DHI to ensure all questions assessing pain and activities of daily living in neck disorder patients were also included in those evaluating dizziness.

Out of the original 25 DHI questions, we selected 18 questions. The remaining 21 questions were created by referencing sections of the NDI questionnaire that focused on the patient’s response to neck pain concerning their associated symptoms of headache and vertigo. Two questions were duplicates, to determine whether subjects were consistent. Questions #1 and #2

### TABLE I.
Symptoms Reported in Previous Studies of Whiplash and Non-Whiplash Subjects.

| Author                          | N  | Dizziness | Neck Pain | Imbalance/ Unsteadiness | Headache | Cognitive | Nausea/GI | Vision | Hearing | Psychological |
|---------------------------------|----|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|---------------|
| Studies focused on whiplash injury |    |           |           |                         |          |           |           |        |         |               |
| Norris and Watt 1983            | 61 | 3         | 100       | -                       | 66       | -         | 8         | 8      |         |               |
| Hildingsson and Toolanen 1990   | 93 | 23        | 88        | -                       | 54       | -         | 9         | 4      |         |               |
| Oosterhuis et al. 1991          | 262| 85        | 92        | -                       | 87       | 34        | -         | 24     | 15      | 31            |
| Barrett et al. 1995             | 29 | 10-21     | 100       | -                       | 52-72    | 24-28     | -         | -      | -       | 10-59         |
| Radanov et al. 1992             | 51 | 67        | 31        | 22                      | 80       | 33-63     | -         | 37-41  | 39      | 20-49         |
| Treleaven et al. 2003           | 105| 15-60     | 60        | 25-52                   | 56       | 21-35     | 40        | 21-38  | 25      | 21            |
| Cobo et al. 2010                | 557| 45        | 100       | -                       | 52       | -         | -         | -      | -       |               |
| Weighted average                | 52 | 96        | 33        | 66                      | 34       | 40        | 24        | 18     | 29      |               |
| Studies focused on dizziness and neck-disturbance (non-whiplash) |    |           |           |                         |          |           |           |        |         |               |
| Karlberg et al. 1996            | 17 | 100       | 100       | 59                      | 76       | -         | 71        | -      | 24      |               |
| Bracher et al. 2000             | 15 | 100       | 87        | -                       | 47       | -         | -         | -      | -       | 67            |
| Malmstrom et al. 2007           | 22 | 100       | 100       | 63                      | 77       | -         | 23        | -      | -       | 5             |
| Weighted average                | 100| 96        | 61        | 68                      | -        | 44        | -         | 24     | 30      |               |

### TABLE II.
Criteria Used to Diagnose Cervical Vertigo.

1. Dizziness symptom
2. Lack of reasonable alternatives (ie, ear disease, brain disease, and migraine).
   - Normal vestibular testing
   - Normal brain imaging
3. Evidence for neck injury (one or more)
   - Abnormal cervical MRI
   - Severe stiffness of the neck to palpation
   - Temporal proximity of dizziness to injury confined to the neck
were demographic questions and were not included in further analyses.

As part of the questions included in the survey, we asked subjects if a head or neck injury caused their dizziness (Question #35) and if acute dizziness medications such as meclizine or diazepam helped (Question #41). Some questions such as “Does chewing affect your symptoms?” (Question #27) were included in an attempt to detect individuals who tended to answer all questions in the affirmative.

Similar to the DHI, answers to questions were scored using “0” for negative responses (No), “1” for partially positive responses (Somewhat), and “2” for exact positive responses (Yes). We decided to use this scoring method to more easily mirror that of the DHI questionnaire, from which many of our questions were adapted. It should be noted that there were no questions taken directly from the NDI questionnaire; thus, scoring was not influenced at all by that of the NDI. Questions developed from the NDI were written so as to be scored like the DHI (ie, 0, 1, 2). No attempt was made to assess the severity of endorsed symptoms.

There were a total of 1842 questions asked throughout all surveys performed in this study. Out of these, 29 (1.6%) were unanswered, either being left blank (n = 14) or deemed N/A (n = 15). Four questions were unanswered in one survey, three questions were unanswered on three surveys, two questions unanswered on two surveys, and one question unanswered on 12 surveys. All 14 questions left blank appeared to be randomly distributed among surveys.

Patients who determined questions about their symptoms did not apply were instructed to write in “N/A” instead of marking an answer. These responses were scored as “0,” as they indicated a response closest to “No.” There were four of these in the cervical vertigo group, five in the vestibular vertigo group, and six in the vestibular migraine group.

There were 14 questions left blank. Out of these, six were in the cervical vertigo group, five in the vestibular vertigo group, and three in the vestibular migraine group. These patients’ missing responses were scored as “1” instead of “0” or “2” as an item mean imputation. We used this method of handling the missing data due to the low percentage of missing data and subsequent low likelihood of bias. A multiple imputations model was also used to assess the potential impact of the missing data on results. No differences in the results noted below were seen.

Additionally, follow-up pairwise comparisons using the Holm’s sequential Bonferroni method were employed to evaluate differences in endorsements across all three diagnostic groups. An alpha level of less than 0.05 was used to establish statistical significance in all analyses. To demonstrate whether a patient endorsed a symptom or not, similar to the NDI, we later combined “Sometimes” and “Yes” as positive responses. These were then calculated solely as item “endorsement” frequencies, reported as a percentage.

RESULTS

Table III contains the demographic features of our subjects. Subjects ranged in age from 24 to 85 years, with a mean age of 55 (SD, 13.1 years). There was no overall statistically significant difference in age or gender between the three diagnostic groups.

Supplemental Table I (see online supporting information) is a summary of responses to each question, according to diagnostic group. We organized the questions into four content domains: Associated Symptoms, Physical Disability, Functional Disability, and Psychosocial Disability. The overall internal consistency of the questionnaire had a Cronbach alpha value of 0.908. All corrected item-total correlations were above 0.3 except for six questions (Questions #3, 26, 33–35, and 38). Cronbach alpha values for the four content domains named previously were 0.807, 0.626, 0.680, and 0.727, respectively. No further item reliability analyses were conducted, as the aim of this study was to find differences in responses among diagnostic groups rather than to revise the current questionnaire. Out of 1872 responses, 14 questions were not responded to, and 15 were noted as not applicable, with 77% of the latter arising from asking whether medications for vertigo (Antivert or Valium) had any effect on symptoms (Question #41).

There were eight questions for which subjects’ responses differed significantly across groups on pairwise comparison. Figure 1 shows the performance of these questions.

Head or Neck Injury

A head or neck injury was reported as a cause of symptoms (Question #3) in 50% of our cervical vertigo subjects (CV), and these subjects were distinguished from migraine (6%) and vestibular vertigo (VV) (13%). Pearson χ² (2, N = 48) = 10.14, P = .006, Cramér’s V = 0.46. Compared to CV, responses were significantly less frequent for both VV (P = .022) and migraine (P = .006). Responses between VV and migraine subjects were not statistically significant.

| Diagnostic group          | N  | % male | age  |
|---------------------------|----|--------|------|
| Cervical Vertigo          | 16 | 31%    | 32–86|
| Migraine                  | 16 | 19%    | 24–68|
| Vestibular Vertigo        | 16 | 44%    | 37–82|

TABLE III.
Demographic Information of Subjects, n = 48.
Pain
There were two questions concerning pain. There was a significant difference in how each diagnostic group reported neck pain or stiffness (Question #5), Pearson $\chi^2 (24 \ N = 48) = 21.79, \ P = .000$, Cramér’s $V = 0.48$, as well as head, neck or eye pain (Question #22), Pearson $\chi^2 (4, \ N = 48) = 19.64, \ P = .001$, Cramér’s $V = 0.45$. Subjects within each diagnostic group endorsed both pain-related questions at similar frequencies. Out of the 65% of subjects who reported experiencing only neck pain or stiffness, 94% were CV subjects, 69% were diagnosed with migraine, and 31% had VV. There was no significant difference in responses to more general pain (head, neck or eye) between those diagnosed with CV and migraine ($P = .069$), but responses were significantly more frequent in migraine ($P = .038$) and CV ($P = .000$) when compared to VV. Neck pain/stiffness was significantly more frequent in CV when compared to migraine ($P = .014$) and VV ($P = .000$) but showed no difference when migraine patients were compared to VV ($P = .093$).

Limited Physical Activity
Subjects’ responses differed significantly when asked if they were limited by physical activity (Question #10), Pearson $\chi^2 (4, \ N = 48) = 11.92, \ P = .018$, Cramér’s $V = 0.352$. 94% CV subjects endorsing this item did not differ significantly from migraine subjects but did when compared to VV ($P = .010$). 88% of migraine subjects reported being significantly limited by physical activity than 50% of VV subjects ($P = .027$).

Social Participation
One hundred percent of our CV subjects reported feeling restricted from social participation while 81% of migraine and 56% of VV subjects endorsed the symptom (Question #8), Pearson $\chi^2 (4, \ N = 48) = 11.16, \ P = .025$, Cramér’s $V = 0.34$. Responses to this question differentiated CV from migraine at a statistically significant level ($0.007$) but not when compared to migraine ($0.165$). Differences in reported level of social restriction were not seen between VV and migraine ($P = .108$).

Vertigo (Spinning Surroundings)
Responses of spinning surroundings (Question #21) showed a significantly higher endorsement frequency in VV than CV subjects ($P = .008$), but no differences were
seen when compared to migraine; this did not differentiate CV from migraine. However, this item did not achieve statistical significance when asked in a similar form later in the questionnaire (Question #21).

While one would expect that positional symptoms would be common only in VV subjects, instead, the frequency of endorsement of symptoms elicited by “turning over in bed” (Question #13) was substantial and almost identical across groups (44–56%). However, the frequency of symptoms elicited by “looking up” (Question #3) was the lowest for VV (38%) while symptoms elicited by “getting into/out of bed” (Question #7) was the highest for CV (56%). This suggests that contrary to what one would suppose, positional symptoms do not differentiate between causal categories.

Chewing (Question #27) was indicated as a cause of symptoms by 25% of subjects with CV. This was also reported by 6% of subjects with migraine and 13% of subjects with VV. We included this question in an attempt to detect individuals who responded yes to most questions.

Nausea (Question #28) was more common in CV (50%) and VV (44%) than migraine subjects (25%). This did not differentiate our diagnostic groups, and responses between groups were not significantly different.

**DISCUSSION**

This study documented that the symptoms endorsed by subjects with cervical vertigo, migraine, and vestibular vertigo overlap. While symptoms endorsed in our questionnaire successfully differentiated vestibular vertigo from migraine and cervical vertigo, lacking knowledge of neck disturbance, they did not differentiate migraine well from cervical vertigo. In other words, in our study, cervical vertigo subjects resembled migraine subjects who also had evidence of neck injury.

There have been no previous attempts to use a questionnaire by combining both the DHI and NDI to differentiate symptoms in cervical vertigo. Reid et al.25 used the DHI to characterize cervical vertigo with a goal of creating a shortened version to assess the diagnostic group. While their study also found CV patients endorsing significantly more job/household disruption, none of the questions they identified for their brief assessment tool (analogous to our Questions #3, 11–12) nor other differentiating questions (analogous to our Questions #7 and 9) reached statistical significance seen in our current study. This may be due to differences in study design, as they compared CV patients with those diagnosed with “general dizziness,” which combined migraine, vestibular neureitis, among others. In other words, the exact proportions of diagnostic groups within the “general dizziness” group were unclear; wherein there may have been an effect between responses. This study looked to accomplish this while also assessing that attributable to neck disability.

Deng et al.26 attempted to assess symptoms of cervical vertigo by developing a Chinese version of Yardley’s Vertigo Symptoms Scale.27 Their questionnaire evaluated both the frequency and duration of symptoms, which ranged from true vertigo to lower back pain. Unfortunately, they did not report the rate of their symptomatic endorsements.

Further, their questionnaire focused on patients diagnosed with vertebral artery type of cervical vertigo whereas we did not specify in our study. Their study did show, however, that a questionnaire could be reliable in evaluating changes in the degree of complaints in patients with cervical vertigo.

Although questions regarding neck injury or neck pain were powerful ones to differentiate among subject groups, endorsement of these questions in cervical vertigo patients was required by the structure of this study, as we required evidence of a neck abnormality to be diagnosed as cervical vertigo. Neck injury or neck pain is generally required to diagnose a patient as having cervical vertigo.2,28 Similarly, the cervical vertigo literature reports that neck pain is widespread in subjects diagnosed with CV (96% for papers summarized in Table I). Neck pain can also be a feature of migraine.29 As neck disturbance is required to diagnose cervical vertigo subjects, there is no implication of causality or, for that matter, any suggestion that cervical vertigo is different from other entities defined by symptoms alone. In other words, by requiring a neck disturbance, studies of cervical vertigo could be selecting subjects with other causes of vertigo, such as migraine or anxiety that also had a neck disturbance. However, Brandt and Huppert10 recently described patients with vertigo based on head motion–induced misalignment of sensorimotor integration, without any history of head or neck injury.

Recent studies have identified possible objective measures of neck contribution in those diagnosed with cervical vertigo.17,30,31 When compared to healthy control subjects, Johnston et al. found that a prolonged reduction in vestibular response to head movement (ie, VOR) when patients attempted to acquire visual targets with cervical soft tissue disorders and normal neuro-otologic examinations.31 Their results suggest that neck limitations, due to pain or stiffness, manifest an exaggerated proprioceptive response and subsequent disproportionate VOR suppression, which translates into subjective symptoms of dizziness and imbalance.

This study found CV subjects reporting significantly more physical limitation. Although this question (#19) does not help discern an exact reason, CV patients have been reported have an abnormal cervical range of motion32 and some benefit from physical therapy.33 This work further highlights the interplay between functional status and symptomatic involvement, which parallels the emerging neural mismatch concept.10

All three patient groups endorsed work and social disruption with high frequency, but the group who had the least disturbance was the vestibular subjects. Previous literature has also documented disruption of work activities by migraine34 and vestibular disorders.35,36

All three groups of subjects reported a disturbance of cognition (difficulty concentrating) to various degrees. This is consistent with previous literature that documents disturbance of cognition in all three groups.37–40 Our vestibular subjects reported a disturbance of concentration much less commonly than did our cervical and migraine subjects. Twenty-seven percent of our cervical vertigo subjects complained of vertigo—ie, spinning sensations. While less than our migraine or vestibular subjects (both 37%), this
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suggests that contrary to Brandt, true vertigo is endorsed by cervical subjects with a similar prevalence as subjects with well-documented vestibular disorders endorse it. Additionally, the frequency of symptoms elicited by “turning over in bed” was substantial and almost identical across groups (44–56%). However, the frequency of symptoms elicited by “looking up” was the lowest for vestibular vertigo subjects (44%) while symptoms elicited by “getting into/out of bed” was the highest for cervical vertigo subjects (75%). Thus, a history of positional symptoms does not differentiate between vestibular migraine, vestibular syndromes, and cervical vertigo.

Our study suggested that there is a similar frequency of difficulty reading and blurred vision in migraine (81%) and cervical vertigo subjects (75%). Only 4% of vestibular vertigo subjects had these symptoms. Reports of visual disturbances in these conditions are consistent with previous studies.41-44

We asked subjects if they had dizziness preceding head pain, expecting that this might differentiate between vestibular subjects whose dizziness is independent of pain and the cervical/migraine group who had both pain and dizziness. This symptom was frequently endorsed in both subjects with migraine and cervical vertigo, less commonly in vestibular vertigo subjects. Previous studies of whiplash or cervical vertigo have not examined the timing of dizziness and head pain. For migraine, it is well known that some variants of vestibular migraine have a vertiginous aura before a headache, and in some, the headache and vertigo occur at the same time.45,46 Dizziness before head pain, by itself, was not a successful method of separating out subjects.

Other than having a small study population, one of the main limitations of this study is that we did not attempt to differentiate between different categories of cervical vertigo (eg, rotational vertebral artery syndrome (RAS), whiplash-associated disorder (WAD), degenerative cervical disorders).47 It is possible that responses from CV subjects to questions that overlapped with migraine may be better differentiated when stratified into these categories. As well, as this study affirms a questionnaire may not be the best tool to differentiate cervical vertigo from other entities, more objective measurements remain warranted to characterize cervical vertigo.

CONCLUSION

The symptoms endorsed by subjects with cervical vertigo overlap substantially with subjects with well-established vestibular disturbances as well as symptoms of subjects with migraine. The history, at least as expressed by this subset of questions from the well-established dizziness handicap inventory and neck disability index questionnaire, is not sufficient to differentiate cervical vertigo subjects from those with migraine or vestibular disorders.

The responses of subjects with cervical vertigo and migraine overlapped to a much greater extent than that of subjects with well-established vestibular problems. This supports the conjecture of Yacovino48 that part of the cervical vertigo population, could be viewed as migraine variants. Whether or not subjects with “cervical vertigo” also overlap with other diagnoses defined by a combination of symptoms and exclusion of objective findings such as chronic subjective dizziness and other variants of psychogenic dizziness remain to be established. Particularly helpful to the field at this writing would be a simple objective method of documenting a correlate of “dizziness” after perturbing the neck alone.
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