An Exquisitely Deep View of Quenching Galaxies through the Gravitational Lens: Stellar Population, Morphology, and Ionized Gas
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Abstract

This work presents an in-depth analysis of four gravitationally lensed red galaxies at $z = 1.6$–3.2. The sources are magnified by factors of 2.7–30 by foreground clusters, enabling spectral and morphological measurements that are otherwise challenging. Our sample extends below the characteristic mass of the stellar mass function and is thus more representative of the quiescent galaxy population at $z > 1$ than previous spectroscopic studies. We analyze deep VLT/X-SHOOTER spectra and multiband Hubble Space Telescope photometry that cover the rest-frame UV-to-optical regime. The entire sample resembles stellar disks as inferred from lensing-reconstructed images. Through stellar population synthesis analysis, we infer that the targets are young (median age $\approx 0.1$–1.2 Gyr) and formed 80% of their stellar masses within 0.07–0.47 Gyr. Mg II $\lambda\lambda$ 2796, 2803 absorption is detected across the sample. Blueshifted absorption and/or redshifted emission of Mg II are found in the two youngest sources, indicative of a galactic-scale outflow of warm ($T \approx 10^4$ K) gas. The [O III] $\lambda$5007 luminosity is higher for the two young sources (median age less than 0.4 Gyr) than the two older ones, perhaps suggesting a decline in nuclear activity as quenching proceeds. Despite high-velocity ($v \approx 1500$ km s$^{-1}$) galactic-scale outflows seen in the most recently quenched galaxies, warm gas is still present to some extent long after quenching. Altogether, our results indicate that star formation quenching at high redshift must have been a rapid process ($\approx 1$ Gyr) that does not synchronize with bulge formation or complete gas removal. Substantial bulge growth is required if they are to evolve into the metal-rich cores of present-day slow rotators.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Galaxy evolution (594); Galaxy processes (614); Galaxy chemical evolution (580); Galaxy quenching (2040); Galaxy structure (622); Stellar populations (1622); Supermassive black holes (1663); Metallicity (1031); Warm ionized medium (1788); Active galactic nuclei (16); Galaxy ages (576); Galaxy stellar content (621)

1. Introduction

Understanding how and when galaxies form stars is a cornerstone of galaxy evolution studies. Much effort has been dedicated to studying the most massive galaxies, as they are the brightest and thus easiest to observe. The most massive galaxies (with stellar masses a few times the characteristic mass of the stellar mass function) by nature have complex formation and accretion histories. The early, rapid growth of massive galaxies is driven by high gas accretion rates, fueling in situ star formation at $z \gtrsim 2$, followed by a later, longer phase of mass growth characterized by frequent merging with satellite galaxies (Oser et al. 2010; Lackner et al. 2012; Hirschmann et al. 2015; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016; Wellons et al. 2016). This two-phase scenario provides a decent explanation for a broad set of observations of nearby, massive, early-type galaxies, including their stellar light profiles (D’Souza et al. 2014), element abundance ratios (Thomas et al. 2005), stellar metallicity and age gradients (Greene et al. 2013; Ferreras et al. 2019a; Zibetti et al. 2020), and diverse stellar velocity fields (Emsellem et al. 2011; Krajnović et al. 2013; Veale et al. 2017b).

The evolution of intermediate-mass galaxies (with stellar masses near the characteristic mass of the stellar mass function), on the other hand, is less constrained by observations than massive galaxies. The number density of quiescent galaxies declines steeply with stellar mass beyond the characteristic mass (e.g., Muzzin et al. 2013; Davidzon et al. 2017), i.e., intermediate-mass galaxies are orders of magnitude more numerous than the most massive ones. The relative dominance of in situ star formation and ex situ mass accretion in intermediate-mass galaxies is a matter of contention among various simulations (Oser et al. 2010; Lackner et al. 2012; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016; Moster et al. 2020). Intermediate-mass quiescent galaxies at $z > 2$, observed shortly after these galaxies shut down their star formation, can thus be used to discern between different star formation and feedback models.

Related to understanding how galaxies form stars, an outstanding question in galaxy evolution studies is why galaxies experience a decline in star formation, a process loosely referred to as “quenching.” Spectroscopic confirmation of massive, quiescent galaxies at $z \gtrsim 2$ provides evidence for fast quenching of individual galaxies (e.g., Glazebrook et al. 2017; Forrest et al. 2020;
are not equivalent to each other, as the latter also involves quenched as the fraction of red Muzzin et al. 2013; Davidzon et al. 2017 becomes higher toward lower redshifts.

The question of what quenches galaxies can be posed another way: what explains the diversity of star formation and environments. The two are different yet complementary ones but bears the merit of larger samples that span a range of less accurate in separating star-forming galaxies from quiescent and is thus limited to small samples, while the latter approach is infer their evolution by reconstructing their star formation and result from the empirical nature of galaxy evolution studies: the answer as to what quenches star formation in galaxies

On the other hand, a population of galaxies is said to have quenched as the fraction of red (passive or quiescent) galaxies becomes higher toward lower redshifts (e.g., Peng et al. 2010; Muzzin et al. 2013; Davidzon et al. 2017). While there is certainly a causal relation between the star formation histories and quenching of individual and a population of galaxies, they are not equivalent to each other, as the latter also involves population changes (e.g., formation of blue galaxies that cross a mass threshold, mergers). The two different methodologies result from the empirical nature of galaxy evolution studies: galaxies evolve on such long timescales that astronomers must infer their evolution by reconstructing their star formation and assembly histories through either spectral features or population changes. The former approach requires deep spectroscopy and is thus limited to small samples, while the latter approach is less accurate in separating star-forming galaxies from quiescent ones but bears the merit of larger samples that span a range of environments. The two are different yet complementary approaches to understanding how galaxies form stars and quench.

The question of what quenches galaxies can be posed another way: what explains the diversity of star formation histories among galaxies? Massive, quiescent galaxies formed the bulk of their stars earlier on than less massive ones, in general (Thomas et al. 2005, 2010; Gallazzi et al. 2006; Domínguez Sánchez et al. 2016; Chauke et al. 2018; Wu et al. 2018). Star-forming galaxies have a more extended duration of star formation than quiescent galaxies at later times at a given mass (Ferreras et al. 2019b). It remains debated whether quenching is triggered by an event (e.g., AGN) or it is simply due to the exhaustion of star-forming fuel. Cosmological simulations in general require an energy source like AGN to quench star formation and to prevent galaxies from forming too many stars too early and efficiently (Su et al. 2019, and references therein). Some studies suggest that feedback can be provided by other sources like old stellar populations, i.e., Type Ia supernovae (Mathews 1990; Ciotti et al. 1991) or asymptotic giant branch stars (Conroy et al. 2015). Alternatively, gas can be prevented from cooling sufficiently by virial shocks (Birnboim & Dekel 2003) or gravitational heating (Khochfar & Ostriker 2008). Other models suggest that galaxy star formation can be made inefficient in the presence of a stellar bar or bulge (Martig et al. 2009; Khoperskov et al. 2018). A large number of quenching mechanisms have been proposed in the literature (see Man & Belli 2018, for an extensive list). The answer as to what quenches star formation in galaxies likely depends on the timescale (early or late cosmic epochs, fast or slow) as well as the conditions of the galaxies (mass, environment, age of stellar populations). To distinguish between the many quenching mechanisms proposed, we need more constraints on quiescent galaxies beyond what is already known from correlations.

The most stringent constraints of distant quiescent galaxies come from deep spectroscopy. Absorption line strengths and the overall continuum shape provide valuable information on the age and metallicity of the integrated stellar population of galaxies, as well as their star formation histories. Several hours of integration time with eight-meter class telescopes are generally needed to secure detections of multiple absorption lines for constraining stellar populations. The advent of sensitive near-infrared spectrographs has enabled absorption line studies for several tens of $z > 1.5$ quiescent galaxies (Kriek et al. 2009, 2016; van Dokkum et al. 2009; Onodera et al. 2010, 2012; van de Sande et al. 2011, 2013; Toft et al. 2012; Bezanson et al. 2013; Belli et al. 2014, 2017; Glazebrook et al. 2017; Kado-Fong et al. 2017; Schreiber et al. 2018; Estrada-Carpenter et al. 2019; D’Eugenio et al. 2020; Forrest et al. 2020; Stockmann et al. 2020; Valentino et al. 2020). These results have confirmed the existence of distant quiescent galaxies with low star formation rates (through nondetections or weak detections of H$\alpha$ emission and [O ii]), evolved stellar ages ($\approx 0.5–1$ Gyr), and in some cases high stellar metallicity. Despite the representative nature of intermediate-mass galaxies and their potential to constrain galaxy evolution models, no deep spectroscopic observations exist for quiescent galaxies at $z > 2$ around the characteristic masses to date.

Gravitational lensing is a promising way to deepen our understanding of the faint, compact quenching galaxies that are elusive. Foreground galaxy clusters can magnify background galaxies and amplify their fluxes, enabling us to obtain higher signal-to-noise (S/N) spectra within reasonable integration times for these otherwise faint galaxies. This allows us to probe intrinsically fainter galaxies that are more representative of the quiescent galaxy population than the most luminous ones (e.g., Stockmann et al. 2020). Lensed galaxies can also be magnified, so we can achieve a more resolved view of the starlight of these compact galaxies that are barely resolvable with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). The increasing rarity of quiescent galaxies toward higher redshift implies that cluster-lensed quiescent galaxies are uncommon, typically less than one per galaxy cluster. Only nine such galaxies have been discovered to date (Muzzin et al. 2012; Geier et al. 2013; Newman et al. 2015, 2018a, 2018b; Hill et al. 2016; Toft et al. 2017; Ebeling et al. 2018; Akhshik et al. 2020). The most remarkable finding is the confirmation of a rotating stellar disk that has ceased star formation (Toft et al. 2017; Newman et al. 2018b).

We have systematically searched for lensed quiescent galaxies behind galaxy clusters for spectroscopic follow-up. This paper presents the analysis of a pilot sample and is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the target selection and provides a description of each source. Section 3.1 presents the details of the photometric measurements. Section 3.2 presents the details on the lens model and source reconstructions. In Section 3.3, we present the procedures for reducing the VLT/ X-SHOOTER spectra. Section 3.4 describes the procedures for the stellar population synthesis fitting. In Section 4, we present the results of our analysis, while in Section 5, we discuss the...
broader implications of our findings. Section 6 summarizes our conclusions.

All magnitudes are quoted in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983), unless otherwise stated. The common logarithm with base 10 is used unless otherwise stated. We assume a Kroupa (2001) initial mass function. A cosmology of \( H_0 = 70 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \( \Omega_M = 0.3 \), and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \) is adopted throughout the paper.

2. Sample

The objective of our survey is to identify and to conduct spectroscopic follow-up of lensed, distant quiescent galaxies. We searched the HST archive for galaxy cluster imaging and identified red galaxies with broadband colors consistent with quiescent stellar populations at \( z > 1 \) that are gravitationally lensed by foreground clusters and bright enough for spectroscopic follow-up. Our spectroscopic observation campaign spanned over 12 semesters, and we refined our selection criteria over time. In general, we use infrared imaging to identify red sources bright enough for spectroscopic follow-up and to construct reliable lens models. Source m2129 was selected using a distant red galaxy criterion \((J - K_S) > 1.36\) (Franx et al. 2003) based on VLT/ISAAC imaging as described in Geier et al. (2013). Source m0451 was identified to be red in its HST/WFC3 color \((J_{F110W} - H_{F160W} = 1.35)\) and known to be at \( z \approx 3 \) based on the lensing configuration. Source m1423 was selected because of its high photometric redshift \((z_{\text{phot}} > 3)\) and low specific star formation rate \((\log(sSFR) \approx -10)\) based on spectral energy distribution fitting of the CLASH data, although these numbers have been revised with the spectroscopic analysis. Source e1341 was discovered from an HST Snapshot program of massive clusters (PI: Ebeling) as a spectacular candidate: the target is red, based on its Gemini/GMOS \((g', r', \text{ and } i')\) and HST/WFC3 \((F110W \text{ and } F140W)\) images. Its spectral energy distribution is consistent with being a quiescent galaxy at \( z \approx 1.35 \) that is triply lensed, with one image close to the critical line, and has extremely high magnification (Ebeling et al. 2018). This paper presents the

![Figure 1. HST color images of the four spectroscopic targets and their respective foreground lensing clusters. The lensed quiescent galaxies are shown with white rectangles. The composite images are made using the F160W/F110W/F814W filter combination represented by red/green/blue colors on a logarithmic scale for m2129, m0451, and m1423. For e1341, the F140W/F110W/F814W filter combination is used instead as F160W observation is not available for that source. The images measure 90\(''\) (e1341 and m0451) or 120\(''\) (m2129 and m1423) on each side.](image-url)
Table 1
Gravitational Lensing Properties

|        | e1341  | m2129  | m0451  | m1423  |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $z_{\text{spec}}$ | 1.5954 | 2.1487 | 2.9223 | 3.2092 |
| Lensing cluster | eMACSJ1341.9-2442 | MACSJ2129.4-0741 | MACSJ0454.1-0300 | MACSJ1423.8-2404 |
| $z_{\text{mag}}$ | 0.835  | 0.5889 | 0.5377 | 0.5431 |
| Magnitude | 18.5, 19.8, 20.4 | 20.1 | 20.9, 21.8, 22.0 | 22.1 |
| $\mu$ | 30 ± 8, 12.6 ± 1.5, 8.2 ± 0.9 | 4.6 ± 0.2 | 10.9 ± 2.1, 6.1 ± 0.6, 3.8 ± 0.3 | 2.7 ± 0.2 |
| Mass model reference | Ebeling et al. (2018) | Toft et al. (2017) | Jauzac et al. (2021) | Limousin et al. (2010) |
| rms | 0\textsuperscript{.}45 | 0\textsuperscript{.}60 | 0\textsuperscript{.}73 | 0\textsuperscript{.}82 |

Notes. The total AB magnitudes as measured in HST F160W (or F140W for e1341) are reported. The lensing magnification factors ($\mu$) scale linearly with flux. The underlined values indicate the spectroscopic targets. The rms refers to the root mean square of the observed image positions of the multiple images for each lensing system.

analysis of our pilot sample, comprising four lensed quiescent galaxies with sufficiently high S/N spectra for absorption line analysis. The color images of the sample are shown in Figure 1. The targets were observed with the X-SHOOTER spectrograph (Vernet et al. 2011) at the Very Large Telescope. The observations and data reduction procedures are described in Section 3.3. Below, we briefly discuss the individual properties of each target, and we provide an overall summary of the lensing properties in Table 1.

2.1. Targets

2.1.1. Source e1341

Source e1341 is a triply imaged quiescent galaxy with the highest magnification factor known to date (Ebeling et al. 2018). The X-SHOOTER spectrum presented in this paper is based on observations conducted on 2017 July 24, 25, 26, 27, August 6, and 2018 March 21, 28 under ESO program ID 099.B-0912 (PI: M. Stockmann).

2.1.2. Source m2129

Source m2129 is a singly lensed massive galaxy at $z = 2.1$ with quiescent SFR. Follow-up deep absorption line spectroscopy with VLT/X-SHOOTER was first presented in Geier et al. (2013). A more recent analysis of the stellar kinematics shows that m2129 is the first quiescent galaxy at $z > 2$ confirmed to have rotation-dominated stellar kinematics (Toft et al. 2017; Newman et al. 2018b). The analysis presented here is based on the X-SHOOTER observations conducted on 2011 May 12, 14 and September 5, 14 under ESO program ID 087.B-0812 (PI: S. Toft), as also used in Geier et al. (2013) and Toft et al. (2017).

2.1.3. Source m0451

Source m0451 is situated in a compact group at $z \approx 2.9$ with at least eight members separated within <100 kpc in projection (MacKenzie et al. 2014; Shen et al. 2021). The quenched galaxy studied in this work is triply lensed, as are its star-forming companion galaxies, and together they form a giant submillimeter arc with a total unlensed SFR of $\approx (450 \pm 50)$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. The system was originally identified by Takata et al. (2003), and the lensing model was presented in Zitrin et al. (2011) as multiple system 4. We adopt a magnification factor of $\mu = 10.9 \pm 2.1$ for our spectroscopic target as estimated by the latest lens model (Jauzac et al. 2021, ID G.2). The X-SHOOTER spectrum analyzed in this work is based on the observations conducted on 2011 September 11, 13, 2015 December 5, 9, and 2016 January 9 under ESO programs ID 087.B-0812 and 096.B-0994 (PI: S. Toft).

2.1.4. Source m1423

Source m1423 is a singly lensed system. The X-SHOOTER spectrum presented here is based on observations conducted on 2014 April 18, May 9, 10, June 5, 8, 2017 March 26, April 12, July 1, 2, August 5, 6, and 2018 February 21, 22, 23, March 12, under ESO programs ID 093.B-0815 (PI: A. Man) and 097.B-1064 (PI: M. Stockmann).

3. Method

3.1. Photometry

3.1.1. HST Photometry

All targets are selected from the clusters identified from the (extended) MAssive Cluster Survey (MACS and eMACS; Ebeling et al. 2001, 2007, 2010) targeting X-ray luminous, high-redshift clusters. Two of the clusters, MACS2129 and MACS1423, are part of the Cluster Lensing And Supernova survey with Hubble (CLASH; Postman et al. 2012). MS0451-03 has six-band HST imaging available through the Herschel Lensing Survey (Egami et al. 2010; Jauzac et al. 2021).

The HST imaging observations are processed with the grizli analysis software (Brammer 2019). Briefly, we first retrieve all available HST Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) and Wide-Field Camera 3 (WFC3) images described above that cover a given target. These observations are divided into associations defined as sets of exposures of a target in a given instrument and filter combination obtained at a single epoch (i.e., a “visit” in the Hubble observation planning parlance). We align the absolute astrometry of each visit to a given instrument using the AstrodRizzle software package, where we use the dithered exposures to identify additional cosmic rays and hot pixels with AstrodRizzle parameters similar to those used by Momcheva et al. (2016). All filters of a given target are drizzled to a common 0"06 pixel grid.

\footnote{https://github.com/gbrammer/grizli}
The total magnitude of the reddest HST filter is measured from the automatic aperture with the \textsc{Sextractor} code (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). Color magnitudes are measured from small apertures of diameter 1''2 to optimize S/N, and then scaled to match the total magnitude of the reddest filter. We include the correlated noise in the noise budget introduced by MultiDrizzle, following the procedure described in Casertano et al. (2000). The photometry is listed in Table 8.

3.1.2. IRAC and WISE Photometry

All but one of our targets have \textit{Spitzer}/IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 \micron observations from the SURFSUP survey (Bradač et al. 2014; Huang et al. 2016). Given the significantly coarser spatial resolution of IRAC (point-spread function full width at half maximum PSF FWHM = 1''7 for channels 1 and 2) compared to HST, and that source blending is severe due to the high source density in cluster environments, aperture photometry is inadequate to measure IRAC fluxes. Here, we use the \textsc{TPHOT} code version 2.0.8 (Merlin et al. 2015, 2016) to obtain deblended IRAC flux measurements. We use the reddest HST images, F160W, as priors for the morphology and brightness to deblend the IRAC emission. We first derive a convolution kernel to match the F160W images to the IRAC images based on their PSFs. PSFs for in-flight IRAC observations are used. The F160W images are then convolved with the respective kernels, which are then fitted to the observed IRAC emission maps. The best-fitting multiplicative scaling factor for each object is the deblended IRAC fluxes. The IRAC fluxes and errors are listed in Table 8. For e1341, we retrieve Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010) images from the AllWISE data release. WISE has an angular resolution of 6''1, 6''4, 6''5, and 12''0 at 3.4, 4.6, 12, and 22 \micron, with point-source sensitivity of 0.08, 0.11, 1, and 6 mJy in unconfused regions (5\sigma). We perform the deblending procedure with \textsc{TPHOT} as was done for IRAC, using the reddest image, F140W, as prior. The deblended WISE flux measurements are listed in Table 8. We convert the deblended WISE intensities to magnitudes and fluxes in Jy using the zero points derived for a flat spectrum (i.e., F = constant). In the AllWISE source catalog, W3 is shallow and shows only weak detection (S/N = 3.3) detection at the position of im1 prior to deblending. After running \textsc{TPHOT}, the W3 fluxes of all three multiple images have S/N < 1.5 and are therefore individually undetected. W4 has no significant detection at all image positions, and the \textsc{TPHOT} fit did not converge. Thus, we consider W3 and W4 nondetections, given their shallow sensitivity.

3.2. Magnifications and Source Reconstructions

We use detailed mass models of each cluster to derive the intrinsic properties (magnification and source morphology) of each target. These parametric models are constrained by the location of strongly lensed background systems using the software \textsc{Lenstool} (Jullo et al. 2007). For each cluster, the optimization of the mass model is performed through a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) minimizing the root mean square (rms) between the observed and the predicted locations of multiple systems. The magnification and error bars for the targets provided in Table 1 are derived using both the best

---

10 \url{https://tphot.wordpress.com}
increased the masks around detected cosmic ray hits, a modification that we directly implemented in the pipeline (Zabl et al. 2015).

Instead of processing all exposures simultaneously, we created ORDER2D files from only a single pair of nodding positions at a time. We stacked all nodding pairs for each order with our scripts, and subsequently merged the order stacks to a single 2D spectrum. In both steps, we weighted during the combining at each wavelength with the spatial median of the inverse pipeline variance. This weighting is different from the pipeline variance estimate is a biased estimator.

Before stacking the nodding pairs, we corrected each of the 2D frames to a heliocentric standard and a vacuum wavelength scale. Flux calibration was done based on response functions determined from standard stars taken during the same night, from the nearest available night. Telluric corrections were derived for each science exposure using observations of telluric standard stars observed close in time and airmass. In practice, we first determined an atmospheric model using molecfit (version 1.5.1; Kausch et al. 2015; Smette et al. 2015) from the telluric standard stars, and we subsequently evaluated this model at the exact airmass of the science observations. Finally, a substantial part of our data were observed without a working atmospheric dispersion corrector. Therefore, we implemented a software-based correction, which applies for the relevant exposures the appropriate wavelength-dependent spatial offset to the 2D frames and corrects approximately for the increased slit loss. The rectified 2D spectra are shown in Figure 2.

The 1D spectra, as shown in Figure 3, were extracted directly from the stacked ORDER2D files and were subsequently merged with inverse variance weighting. We did the extraction with a simple aperture extending from $-0.5$ to $+0.5$ around the pointing center.

| R.A. (J2000)  | e1341 (im1) | m2129 | m0451 (im1) | m1423 |
|--------------|------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Decl. (J2000) | $13^h42^m02^s37^f$ | $21^h29^m22^s34^f$ | $04^h54^m12^s65^f$ | $14^h23^m46^s97^f$ |
| Integration time (h) for UVB/VIS/NIR | 4.14/4.43/4.80 | 2.29/1.74/2.67 | 4.12/4.36/7.87 | 11.64/12.04/13.33 |
| S/N ($\AA^{-1}$) | 11.6 | 6.3 | 15.5 | 9.4 |
| Slit angle (north to east) | $10^f$ | $-10^f$ | $35^f$ | $-80^f$, $-10^f$ |

Notes. Positions are based on F160W, or F140W if the former is unavailable. The listed integration times only include useful data and not discarded data. The S/N per Å (rest-frame) is estimated from the rest-frame wavelength region between 4110 and 4210 Å just redward of the H$\beta$ feature, with clipping against strong skylines and assuming the same correction for correlated noise following the procedures as done in Toft et al. (2017).
Figure 3. Extracted 1D X-SHOOTER spectra of our sample, sorted by descending redshifts and increasing stellar population ages from top to bottom. Relevant features are denoted by vertical dotted lines. The regions near the [O II] and [O III] emission lines are colored orange. The gray shades denote the flux errors. The spectra are shifted to their rest frame, and scaled to the flux at 4200 Å and arbitrarily shifted upward for visualization. The shown spectra are binned using a variance-weighted mean. The width of each bin is chosen so that the S/N per bin is similar over the full spectral range. The median bin sizes are rest-frame 2.9, 1.5, 1.9, and 2.3 Å for m1423, m0451, m2129, and e1341, respectively.

3.4. Stellar Population Fitting

We model the X-SHOOTER spectra using synthetic models from the Bagpipes population synthesis fitting code (Carnall et al. 2018), which uses the updated versions of the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) population synthesis models. Prior to the fitting, we correct the spectra and photometry for galactic reddening following the approach of Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011), using the Fitzpatrick (1999) reddening law and $R_V = 3.1$ appropriate for high Galactic latitudes.

We adopt the delayed exponentially declining parameterization of the star formation history (SFH),

$$\text{SFR}(t) \propto (t - t_0) e^{-(t - t_0)/\tau}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

To facilitate comparisons with literature measurements, we also provide the median stellar age and star formation duration calculated from the SFH following Pacifici et al. (2016), which are shown to be more robust than the age since the onset of star formation in the delayed-τ model (Belli et al. 2019). The median stellar age, $t_{50}$, is defined as the lookback time from the spectroscopic redshift $z_{\text{spec}}$ at which 50% of the $M_*$ has been assembled. Here, $t_{10} - t_0$ is defined as the duration between 10% and 90% of the $M_*$ being assembled. The provided uncertainties of $t_{50}$ and $t_{10} - t_0$ are derived from posterior distributions of the SFH parameters.

In addition to the SFH parameters, we fit for the systemic redshift, stellar velocity dispersion ($\sigma_*$), stellar mass normalization (Kroupa 2001 initial mass function), stellar metallicity ($Z_*/Z_\odot$)\textsuperscript{13}, and dust attenuation ($A_V$) of a dust screen following the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening law. The adopted priors on these fitting parameters are indicated in Table 3. Bagpipes includes nebular emission lines calculated with the Cloudy photoionization code (Ferland et al. 2017), where we assume the line-emitting gas has the same metallicity and dust attenuation as the stars.

The X-SHOOTER spectra of the four lensed targets at different redshifts are masked such that all targets have similar rest-frame spectral coverage from $\approx 2500$ Å to just beyond [O III] $\lambda 5007$, though the targets have different gaps within this range due to the redshifted NIR atmospheric windows. To fit the combined observed data of the multiple X-SHOOTER channels and broadband photometry, we allow for an additional low-order “calibration” function multiplied to the spectra to reduce the effect of normalization differences between the spectra and photometry (Carnall et al. 2019b). Therefore, the photometry constrains the overall shape of the spectral energy distribution (SED) and the spectra constrain features on wavelength scales smaller than the calibration function (i.e., stellar absorption lines). Finally, we allow a final parameter that scales the X-SHOOTER spectrum uncertainties and applies the appropriate normalization penalty to the likelihood (Carnall et al. 2019b).

The posterior probability distribution function of the fit parameters is sampled within Bagpipes using the MultiNest algorithm (Feroz et al. 2019). The maximum a posteriori parameters of the chain are presented in Table 3, and the parameter covariances are shown in Figure 15. The best-fit models are shown in Figures 16–19. The delensed stellar masses and SFR are presented in Table 4.

4. Results

4.1. Rapid Star Formation and Old Ages

Our sample probes galaxies near the characteristic mass of the stellar mass function of quenched galaxies. Table 4 shows the intrinsic stellar masses of the sample, and how they compare with the characteristic masses of galaxies using the

\textsuperscript{13}The solar metallicity, $Z_\odot$, is defined as 0.02 following the definition of Bruzual & Charlot (2003).
### Table 3
Stellar Population Properties

| z_{spec} | log(\mu M_\star/M_\odot) | log(sSFR/\text{yr}^{-1}) | A_V | log(Z_\star/Z_\odot) | \sigma_e (\text{km s}^{-1}) | t_0 (\text{Gyr}) | \tau (\text{Gyr}) | t_{50} (\text{Gyr}) | t_{10} - t_{90} (\text{Gyr}) |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| e1341    | 1.5954 ± 0.0001 | 11.62 ± 0.07     | −11.20 ± 0.46 | 0.4 ± 0.1       | −0.12 ± 0.07    | 160 ± 9        | 1.43^{+0.06}_{-0.08} | 0.14^{+0.01}_{-0.03} | 1.19 ± 0.05     | 0.47 ± 0.07     |
| m2129    | 2.1487 ± 0.0002 | 11.86 ± 0.05     | −12.77 ± 1.83 | 1.1 ± 0.1       | −0.10 ± 0.11    | 318 ± 28       | 0.68 ± 0.06    | 0.04^{+0.02}_{-0.01} | 0.61 ± 0.05     | 0.14 ± 0.05     |
| m0451    | 2.9223 ± 0.0001 | 11.65 ± 0.06     | −9.77 ± 0.08  | 0.9 ± 0.1       | −0.30 ± 0.07    | 170 ± 12       | 0.42 ± 0.03    | 0.051 ± 0.005   | 0.33 ± 0.02     | 0.17 ± 0.01     |
| m1423    | 3.2092 ± 0.0002 | 11.01 ± 0.07     | −8.43 ± 0.10  | 0.8 ± 0.1       | −0.01 ± 0.10    | 277 ± 19       | 0.15 ± 0.01    | 0.021^{+0.002}_{-0.001} | 0.12 ± 0.01     | 0.07 ± 0.01     |

**Notes.** We report the median values and quote the average difference of 16th and 84th percentiles of the posterior distributions from the median values as uncertainties. See Section 3.4 for details on the derivation of these parameters. Note that the uncertainties are propagated from photometric and spectral flux errors and do not include systematic uncertainties inherent to stellar population synthesis. The solar metallicity, Z_\odot, is defined as 0.02 following the definition of Bruzual & Charlot (2003). A comparison of the derived parameters of m2129 with values published in Toft et al. (2017) and Newman et al. (2018a) is presented in Table 10. The priors used in the population synthesis fits are indicated in the bottom two rows, where \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma) indicates a Gaussian distribution with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma. Numbers \tilde{z}_0 and \tilde{t}_0 are initial guesses based on basic template fits to the individual X-SHOOTER spectra, and the broad prior width is adopted there to allow substantial flexibility around those values. There are implicit priors on sSFR, t_{50}, and t_{10} - t_{90} arising from the priors on SFH parameters t_0 and \tau as specified.
stellar mass function presented in Muzzin et al. (2013). Intrinsic stellar masses are derived by dividing the lensed stellar mass by their magnification factors, propagating the respective uncertainties. Three out of four galaxies in the sample are less massive than the characteristic masses of galaxies at their respective redshifts. Thanks to lensing, we reach for the first time less extreme quenched galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1.5$ that are more representative of the overall galaxy population, as illustrated in Figure 4.

The spectral fitting results presented in Section 3.4 indicate that our sample of quiescent galaxies have undergone rapid star formation histories. The reported uncertainties are propagated from photometric and spectral flux errors, and they do not include systematic uncertainties such as variation in IMF, SFH, etc. Their star formation timescales, $t_{10} - t_{90}$, are at most a few hundred Myr and are short compared to their median stellar population ages and the ages of the universe at the observed redshifts. As shown in Figure 5, their specific star formation rates are lower than typical star-forming galaxies by at least an order of magnitude, except for m1423. Its SFR from spectral fitting is higher than that derived from [O II], suggesting that the discrepancy is due to recent rapid quenching. We argue in Section 4.4.1 that m1423 is likely caught in transition from being star-forming to quiescent.

Our spectral fitting assumes, like many other works, a parameterized SFH. Specifically, our work assumes the commonly used delayed-$\tau$ parameterization. Although these assumptions are quite standard, and are likely reasonable assumptions for high-redshift galaxies, it may still be insufficient to capture the individual SFH of some galaxy types, as argued by some works (e.g., Maraston et al. 2010; Behroozi et al. 2013; Gladders et al. 2013; Abramson et al. 2016; Pacifici et al. 2016; Ciesla et al. 2017). We note, however, that most of the concerns raised about the use of (delayed)-$\tau$ models do not apply to high-redshift quiescent galaxies like our sample. The short time between their formation and observation implies that there is little effect for model degeneracies, at least compared to low-redshift galaxies that are much more evolved. Possible alternatives are log-normal SFHs (Gladders et al. 2013) or nonparametric models (Iyer et al. 2019; Leja et al. 2019; Akhshik et al. 2020). The diversity of SFH models used in different studies makes a direct comparison of resulting parameters difficult, and certainly has a non-negligible effect on scaling relations derived (Carnall et al. 2019a). With Bagpipes, we find similar results for the stellar masses, metallicities, and timescales when adopting “double power-law” and “log-normal” SFHs as defined by Carnall et al. (2018). The only parameter with a significant dependence on the SFH model is the recent star formation rate, which is significantly lower for these other parameterizations that fall off more sharply than the delayed-$\tau$ model at late times for a given $t_{50}$ and $t_{10} - t_{90}$. Despite this systematic uncertainty on the absolute value of the recent star formation rate, all of the parameterized fits agree that the specific star formation rate for this sample is well below that of typical star-forming galaxies at similar redshifts. Investigating the impact of assuming a nonparametric SFH on derived properties will be explored in a future work.

### 4.2. Stellar Mass–Metallicity Relation

The metal content of galaxies is a powerful probe of their enrichment histories. Stellar metallicity correlates with stellar mass in quiescent, early-type galaxies at $z = 0.1$–0.7 (Gallazzi et al. 2005, 2014). As it requires deep spectra to accurately measure stellar metallicity, only a handful of quiescent galaxies...
at $z \gtrsim 1$ have robust stellar metallicity measurements thus far. Lensing magnification offers a unique opportunity to obtain such a measurement in galaxies with stellar mass below the characteristic mass out to $z > 3$.

In Figure 6, we show the stellar mass–metallicity relation (MZR) and the stellar mass–age relation. Only literature measurements with errors smaller than $\Delta \log(Z_*/Z_\odot) < 0.3$ are shown in Figure 6. The only works presenting stellar metallicity measurements of quiescent galaxies at $z > 1.9$ are Kriek et al. (2016)\textsuperscript{14, 15}, Newman et al. (2018a), and Jafarizadeh et al. (2020). Only one galaxy, MRG-M0150, in the sample of Newman et al. (2018a) is plotted, because we exclude those without lensing models, which are needed to constrain the intrinsic $M_\star$. As for MRG-M0138, we obtain a metallicity\textsuperscript{15} based on the abundance measurement presented in Jafarizadeh et al. (2020), which provided a more detailed analysis than that in Newman et al. (2018a). As m2129 is part of the sample in this work as well as in Newman et al. (2018a), to avoid duplication we show only our measurements here.\textsuperscript{16} We also include measurements of individual quiescent or early-type galaxies at $z = 1–2$ from Lonoce et al. (2015) and Kriek et al. (2019), as well as composite spectra (Onodera et al. 2015; Estrada-Carpenter et al. 2019; Saracco et al. 2019).\textsuperscript{17} We only consider literature measurements of $Z_\star$ when they are based on detection of metal absorption features and determined to within 0.3 dex accuracy. We take the MZR for quiescent galaxies from Gallazzi et al. (2014) for $z \approx 0.1$ (SDSS) and $z \approx 0.7$, as well as the best-fit relation presented in Ferreras et al. (2019b) for 19 quiescent galaxies at $z = 0.6–1.3$ (median $z = 0.85$).

Our sample provides new insights regarding the stellar MZR relation, because they probe the most distant and least massive, quenching galaxies among all $z > 1$ literature studies. Sources e1341 and m1423 have stellar metallicities on par with literature measurements extrapolated to higher redshifts and lower stellar masses, while m0451 and m2129 lie lower. The stellar metallicities of our sample span from $\approx 0.5$ to 1 solar value, somewhat lower than those of more massive, quiescent galaxies at comparable redshifts (Lonoce et al. 2015; Kriek et al. 2016; Newman et al. 2018a; Jafarizadeh et al. 2020), but are still higher than those of star-forming galaxies at $z = 2.5$–5 (Halliday et al. 2008; Cullen et al. 2019). While these differences may be due to diverse chemical enrichment histories (and thus dependence on redshift and stellar masses), variations in metallicity calibrations and stellar populations probed are also plausible explanations. Indeed, existing stellar metallicity measurements of m2129 range from subsolar ($\log(Z_*/Z_\odot) = -0.6 \pm 0.5$; Toft et al. 2017) to mildly supersolar ($\log(Z_*/Z_\odot) = 0.16 \pm 0.13$; Newman et al. 2018a), as detailed in Appendix C. This work provides an intermediate measurement of $\log(Z_*/Z_\odot) = -0.10 \pm 0.11$. Moreover, while the works obtained using Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population models assume a solar metallicity value of $Z_\odot$, more recent works using the alf code (Conroy & van Dokkum 2012; Conroy et al. 2018) assume more recent measurements of $Z_\odot = 0.0142$ (Asplund et al. 2009). Systematic effects in deriving stellar metallicities are thus a significant source of uncertainty that needs to be better quantified in future works. We will discuss the implications of these results in Section 5.

4.3. Magnesium Features at Rest-frame UV

Singly ionized magnesium Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2796, 2803$ (hereafter Mg II) absorption in the rest-frame UV spectrum can arise from warm atomic gas ($T \sim 10^4$ K) or stellar photospheres (late B-, A-, F-, and G-type stars; Fanelli et al. 1992; Snow et al. 1994).
Mg II absorption is ubiquitous in our sample with different profile shapes, sometimes accompanied with redshifted emission, as shown in Figure 7. Mg I, being a weaker feature than Mg II, is detected in some of the galaxies as well. Source e1341 has deep Mg II and Mg I absorption at the systemic velocity, as well as a broad blueshifted Mg II absorption wing that extends to \( \approx -200 \) km s\(^{-1}\) that is not apparent in Mg I. Although m2129 has the lowest S/N spectrum within the sample, a comparison of the Mg II and Mg I profiles shows tentative absorption at systemic velocity accompanied by redshifted emission. Source m0451 shows a pair of slightly blueshifted Mg II doublet absorption near systemic velocity accompanied by redshifted emission. Its Mg II \( \lambda 2796 \) and Mg II \( \lambda 2803 \) absorptions are shifted by \( \approx -200 \) km s\(^{-1}\) from the systemic redshift. In addition to gas associated with m0451, there might also be contribution from its close companion, tidal interaction, and/or intragroup environment: the quiescent galaxy has a star-forming companion galaxy located \( \approx 23 \) kpc away on the source plane (gal 2; MacKenzie et al. 2014) with the same redshift as measured from its CO \( J = 3 \rightarrow 2 \) emission \( (z_{\text{CO,gal}} = 2.921 \pm 0.001; \) Shen et al. 2021). This pair of galaxies is embedded in a \( z = 2.9 \) group as discussed in Section 2.1. Source m1423 has a highly blueshifted Mg II absorption by up to \( \approx -1500 \) km s\(^{-1}\) from the systemic velocity. In all cases, the Mg II absorption at its trough is consistent with having zero flux, i.e., black. This suggests that the absorbing gas has high column density and covering fraction.

How do we interpret the origins of the Mg features in our sample? One way to discern between these origins is to examine the line profile. Photospheric absorption takes place at the systemic velocity. In fact, deep UV spectroscopy provided the first confirmations of evolved stellar populations dominated by stars older than \( \gtrsim 0.5 \) Gyr at \( z \approx 1.5-2 \) with optical spectrographs (Dunlop et al. 1996; Spinrad et al. 1997; Cimatti et al. 2004, 2008; McCarthy et al. 2004; Daddi et al. 2005). On the other hand, interstellar or circumgalactic medium absorption is not restricted to the systemic velocity, and can be blueshifted (outflow) or redshifted (infall). While part of the absorption can be attributed to stellar photospheres, given the stellar metallicities of our sample (Section 4.2), gas absorption is required to account for the absorption depth as well as the blueshifted absorption and redshifted emission components. The Mg II profiles are unlikely to be dominated by circumstellar winds, as Mg II in stellar winds usually have modest velocities, of order \( \approx 100 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (Praderie et al. 1980; Snow et al. 1994) except for supergiants and giants. Furthermore, the Mg II absorption in stars is not accompanied by redshifted Mg II emission (Snow et al. 1994).

Galactic outflow is a likely explanation for the Mg II profiles in m0451 and m1423. Gas outflowing into the line of sight obscures light from the stellar continuum creating the blue-shifted absorption. Gas outflowing away from us scatters photons back into our line of sight, to create the redshifted emission (Weiner et al. 2009; Rubin et al. 2011). Galactic outflows are commonly seen in massive post-starburst galaxies at \( z < 1.5 \) (Tremonti et al. 2007; Coil et al. 2011; Sell et al. 2014; Maltby et al. 2019). In a galactic outflow, Mg I shows an absorption profile that is weaker than but similar to that of Mg II, and it has an EW of a quarter or less than that of Mg II (Coil et al. 2011). The similarities of the Mg I and Mg II profiles in m1423, m0451, and perhaps m2129 suggest that outflows

The adjacent Mg I \( \lambda 2853 \) traces neutral magnesium and has lower equivalent widths than Mg II in both the interstellar medium and stars (Maraston et al. 2009; Coil et al. 2011; Zhu et al. 2015). When present in stars, Mg I absorption is stronger in later-type stars (F- and G-types) and absent in O- and B-type stars (Fuselli et al. 1992; Rodríguez-Merino et al. 2005).

To facilitate spectral line measurements, we model the local stellar continua as a straight line using the model spectra within the line-free bandpasses specified in Table 1 of Maraston et al. (2009). Equivalent widths (EW) and line fluxes of Mg II and Mg I are then measured by summation of the X-SHOOTER spectra normalized by the local continua over the central bandpasses as shown in Figure 7. We caution that emission line infilling might lead to underestimated absorption line EW and fluxes. Errors are propagated from the noise on the X-SHOOTER spectra. The spectral line measurements are provided in Table 5.
Man et al.

| Mg II | Mg I | [O II] | [O III]λ4959 | [O III]λ5007 | Hα | O32 | [O III]λ5007/λ4959 | log(sSFR([O II])yr^{-1}) |
|-------|------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-------------------|--------------------------|
| e1341 | 16.4 ± 1.0 | 4.8 ± 0.6 | −3 ± 0.4 | 0.2 ± 0.3 | 0.2 ± 0.3 | 0.4 ± 0.1 | ... | ... |
| m2129 | 6.3 ± 4.0 | 6.6 ± 2.6 | −1.5 ± 2.2 | 0.2 ± 0.6 | 3.3 ± 0.5 | 4.2 ± 0.8 | ... | ... |
| m0451 | 5.9 ± 2.9 | 2.3 ± 3.9 | −8.0 ± 1.4 | −1.5 ± 0.5 | −8.0 ± 0.7 | ... | ... | ... |
| m1423 | 2.6 ± 1.4 | 0.7 ± 1.8 | −2.7 ± 1.5 | −0.7 ± 0.9 | −4.5 ± 0.8 | ... | ... | ... |

Table 5
Spectral Line Measurements

Notes. Rest-frame equivalent widths and observed frame line fluxes are provided. Emission lines have negative equivalent widths and positive line fluxes, and vice versa for absorption lines. No correction for lensing magnification or extinction has been applied. Note that some of the Mg II and Mg I measurements are likely affected by emission line in [N II] doublet that brackets it. O32 refers to the blended [O III]λλ5007/λ5007 doublet. No correction has been applied to deblend Hα from the [N II] doublet that brackets it. O32 refers to the [O III]λλ5007/λ5007 doublet. sSFR([O II]) is the specific SFR inferred from the [O II] emission. Upper limits are 1σ.

Figure 8. The binned spectra are zoomed in on the [O II] and [O III] emission lines (middle and bottom panels) in velocity space, together with the Mg II absorption (top panel). The Bagpipes model continua are shown as gray lines.

Beyond the scope of this paper and will be deferred to a future work. Observations of rest-frame optical emission lines could further constrain the warm gas in quiescent galaxies, as we shall explore in Section 4.4. The implications of galactic-scale outflows are discussed in a broader context in Section 5.4.

4.4. Ionized Gas Emission

Warm (T ∼ 10^4 K) gas in galaxies can be photoionized by AGN or hot stars (both young and old), or excited by shocks. The strengths and ratios of emission lines can inform us of their production mechanism (Kewley et al. 2019, and references therein). Locally, massive quiescent galaxies sometimes have low-ionization emission regions (LIER). Characterizing the gas conditions of quenching galaxies will provide clues to understand how they become quiescent.

The brightest emission lines within our spectral coverage are [O II]λλ3726,3729 (hereafter [O II]), [O III]λλ4959,5007, and Hα. The oxygen emission lines are shown in velocity space along with the normalized Mg II spectra in Figure 8, and are highlighted in orange in Figure 3. Emission line EWs and line fluxes are provided in Table 5. The measurements are computed as the excess emission to the best-fitting stellar continua (Section 4.1) using the observed spectra without binning or smoothing, measured within the passband range specified in Table 1 of Westfall et al. (2019).

4.4.1. SFR Inferred from [O II]

The [O II] luminosity is sometimes used as an SFR indicator in high-redshift galaxies via an empirical calibration to Hα luminosity. Its excitation is sensitive to the oxygen abundance and the ionization state of the gas (Kennicutt 1998; Kennicutt & Evans 2012, and references therein). The use of [O II] as an SFR indicator in post-starburst galaxies has been brought to question, as [O II] can arise from AGN, shocks, post-asymptotic giant branch stars, and cooling flows (Yan et al. 2006). We use the [O II] luminosity as a consistency check for the SFR provided by the stellar population fitting. We apply the

18 Spatially resolved observations show that emission lines in massive, quiescent galaxies are not necessarily confined to the nuclear region (Singh et al. 2015; Belfiore et al. 2016). Therefore, we adopt the acronym LIER, rather than LINER, without reference to the nuclear region as used in earlier studies.
Kennicutt (1998, Equation (3)) conversion adjusted to the Kroupa (2001) IMF to infer the SFR from the [O II] line fluxes and provide them in Table 5. The inferred log(sSFR) range from $-10.2$ to $<-11.3$, on par with the low values obtained from the full spectral fitting (Table 3). Reassuringly, the SFRs inferred from [O II] are fully consistent with being quiescent stellar populations.

As H II regions may not be the sole supplier of ionizing photons, the SFRs inferred from [O II] are upper limits. Although the inferred SFRs are not corrected for extinction, we expect the correction to be minor in these galaxies if the nebular extinction is similar to that of the stellar continuum.

The most discrepant measurement is for m1423 (Figure 5). Source m1423 is most likely caught in a rapidly quenching phase, as emission line SFR indicators are sensitive to more recent SF than the UV continuum (Kennicutt & Evans 2012). This is in line with the transitional nature suggested by its $U - V$ and $V - J$ colors, as discussed in Section 5.4 and shown in Figure 14. Another possibility is that the [O II] emission is heavily dust-obsured in m1423. Its stellar continuum has $A_V = 0.8 \pm 0.1$, implying an attenuation of 1.2 mag at the wavelength of [O II], which is too small to fully account for the discrepant SFR estimate from spectral fitting. Unless the nebular emission is substantially more dust-obsured than the stellar continuum, this is unlikely to be the cause for discrepancy.

4.4.2. [O III]-to-[O II] Flux Ratio

The [O III] $\lambda 5007$/[O II] $\lambda\lambda 3726,3729$ flux ratio, hereafter O32, is commonly used as an ionization parameter diagnostic. Type 1 quasars have a distribution of O32 that peaks at $\approx 5$, while type 2 quasars peak at O32 $\approx 2$ (Zakamska et al. 2003, Figure 7). LIERs are expected to have O32 near unity (Netzer 1990). Nonactive galaxies with stellar populations aged $\gtrsim 1$ Gyr have O32 $\lesssim 0.6$ (Johansson et al. 2016). The variation of O32 reflects the different ionization parameters as well as the oxygen abundance and ISM pressure across galaxies (Kewley & Dopita 2002; Kewley et al. 2019).

Our sample spans a range of O32 as shown in Table 5. O32 is higher than unity in the youngest three targets, m1423, m0451, and m2129, in line with the expectation that they host type 2 AGN as discussed in Section 4.4.3. Their O32 and [O III] are comparable to type 2 AGN at $z \approx 0$ (Kauffmann et al. 2003; Silverman et al. 2009). O32 is poorly constrained for the oldest two targets, e1341 and m2129, but their low values along with low [O III] luminosities suggest that they are unlikely to host type 1 AGN.

Discerning the source of ionizing photons provides additional clues to quenching mechanisms. If a past, luminous AGN episode was responsible for star formation quenching, one might expect to see signatures in the structure and ionization state of the gas (King et al. 2011; Zubovas & King 2014). We discuss the implications of these findings in Section 5.
To unambiguously discern the source of ionizing photons, it is imperative to access more emission lines in order to place them on diagnostic plots. In particular, the [O I]-to-H$_\alpha$ ratio is an important addition to characterize the hardness of the radiation field, to distinguish between H II regions, Seyferts and LIERS (Kewley et al. 2006; Johansson et al. 2016, Figure 5). Observations of the [S II] and [N II] doublets will help to quantify the importance of shocks (Yan & Blanton 2012).

Spatially resolved emission line ratios will help distinguish between different ionizing sources, as commonly done in nearby galaxies (Singh et al. 2013; Belfiore et al. 2016).

### 4.4.3. [O III] as a Tracer for Nuclear Activity

[O III] $\lambda$5007 luminosity, $L_{[\text{O III}]}$, is a proxy of the AGN accretion rate (Heckman & Best 2014, and references therein). The line is detected in our sample except for the oldest target e1341. The [O III] FWHM line width is <1000 km s$^{-1}$, ruling out the presence of Seyfert 1 AGN in the sample (Padovani et al. 2017, and references therein). While [Ne V] $\lambda$3426 is a reliable tracer for AGN activity (e.g., Feltre et al. 2016), it is
typically an order of magnitude fainter than [O III] λ 5007 in AGN (Zakamska et al. 2003), and is below our detection limit even if present. Only m0451 has both of the [O III] λλ4959, 5007 emission lines detected, and the ratio is within 1.5σ of the theoretical value of 2.98 (Storey & Zeippen 2000).

The intrinsic (delensed) $L_{\text{O III}}$ are shown in Table 6. These $L_{\text{O III}}$ are more than an order of magnitude below those of powerful radio galaxies at $z \approx 2$ during the quasar feedback phase (Nesvadba et al. 2017). In the absence of an alternative tracer of the AGN bolometric luminosity ($L_{\text{AGN}}$) such as the IR SED or X-ray observations, we estimate the $L_{\text{AGN}}$ from $L_{\text{O III}}$ (without dust extinction correction) using a mean bolometric correction of 3500 assuming the same factor applies to type 1 and type 2 AGN (Heckman et al. 2004). Attributing the entirety of the [O III] emission to AGN, this implies that $L_{\text{AGN}} = 1.1 - 1.6 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for the three targets with detected [O III] on par with or below those at the faint end of AGN samples at $z \approx 2$ (Circosta et al. 2018; Leung et al. 2019). These $L_{\text{AGN}}$ imply a mass outflow rate of $<10 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ following the best-fit relation between the $L_{\text{AGN}}$ and mass outflow rate (Leung et al. 2019, Figure 9). The mass outflow rates are likely upper limits, as this derivation assumes that all the [O III] emission is photoionized by the AGN. The outflowing ionized mass are thus insignificant and unlikely to escape the deep gravitational potentials of these compact, massive galaxies.

The Eddington ratio, $L_{\text{AGN}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$, is a useful quantity to examine the accretion mode of supermassive black holes (SMBHs). Radiative-mode SMBHs accrete at 1%–10% of $L_{\text{Edd}}$, whereas jet-mode SMBHs accrete much less efficiently, at less than 1% of $L_{\text{Edd}}$ (Best & Heckman 2012). The luminosity of the classical Eddington limit for each target is derived as $L_{\text{Edd}} = 3.3 \times 10^{45}$ $M_{\odot}$ (Heckman & Best 2014, Equation (4)). Black hole masses are inferred from the stellar velocity dispersions (Table 3) using the local $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$, relation presented in McConnell & Ma (2013): $\log(M_{\text{BH}}) = 8.32 + 5.64 \log(\sigma_{V}/200 \text{ km s}^{-1})$. The resulting Eddington ratios are listed in Table 6. Based on this calculation, the older two targets, m2129 and e1341, host jet-mode SMBH. Source m0451 hosts a radiative-mode SMBH, while m1423 straddles the threshold. Unavoidably, there are systematic uncertainties involved in the calculation of $L_{\text{Edd}}$, such as the fraction of $L_{\text{O III}}$ photoionized by the AGN, the AGN bolometric correction, the contribution of rotation in the measured stellar velocity dispersions, and the scatter in the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$, relation. Accurately constraining these quantities is beyond the scope of this paper. If our sample evolves along the $M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma$, relation, their supermassive black holes must have already grown considerably. The weak [O III] emission suggests that our sample are well past their peak AGN episode and do not harbor copious amount of outflowing, line-emitting ionized gas. Altogether, our results suggest a transition of AGN accretion from radiative-mode to jet-mode throughout the star formation quenching process. We discuss the implications of these findings in Section 5.

### 4.5. Morphological Analysis

To constrain the intrinsic morphology of our galaxies on their source planes, we fit Sersic profiles (Sersic 1963; Sersic 1968) to the lensing-reconstructed images. Our procedures follow the approach described in Toft et al. (2017). In summary, to propagate the uncertainty introduced by the lensing model, we generate 100 realizations of each reconstructed image, and obtain the best-fitting morphological parameters to each realization using the GALFIT code (Peng et al. 2002, 2010, 2011). The best-fitting parameters are reported in Table 7. The quoted uncertainties are computed from the standard deviation of 100 realizations (i.e., error due to the lensing model) and GALFIT errors added in quadrature. The reconstructed images, best-fitting Sersic models, and the residual images are shown in Figure 9. Multiple-image systems (e1341 and m0451) provide additional constraints on the systematic uncertainties associated with the image reconstruction. Reassuringly, the Sersic index $n$ and effective radii $r_{\text{eff}}$ are in good agreement across the multiple images. The axis ratio $q$, on the other hand, appears less constrained. While the axis ratio measurements of e1341 are consistent across the two multiple images, those of m0451 are more discrepant. The axis ratio is $q = 0.4$ for the most magnified image (im1), compared to $q = 0.6 - 0.7$ for the other two less magnified images. Although m0451 has a robust lensing model based on 17 multiply imaged systems (Section 3.2), there is possibly a systematic uncertainty in the reconstruction of the most magnified source, as the position angles vary across the three images (Table 7). The morphological parameters of the least magnified image (im3) should be least affected by lensing systematic uncertainties as long as it is resolved. Overall, multiple measurements enable us to assess the lensing systematic uncertainties in the

---

19 https://users.obs.carnegiescience.edu/peng/work/galfit/galfit.html
morphological parameters. The $r_{\text{eff}}$ and $n$ are more robust to lens modeling uncertainties than $q$.

We examine how the stellar morphologies of lensed quenched galaxies compare to those of unlensed ones. Figure 10 shows a comparison of the lensed quenched galaxies in this work as well as those presented in Newman et al. (2018a), compared to other unlensed spectroscopic samples of quiescent galaxies (Bell et al. 2017; Bezanson et al. 2018; Stockmann et al. 2020). The Sérsic indices of our sample are low with $n < 2$. The apparent axis ratios have intermediate values of $q \approx 0.4$–0.7, below the median redshift relation of the apparent axis ratio presented in Figure 4 of Hill et al. (2019). These findings suggest that the lensed quenched galaxies of this work clearly have lower Sérsic indices and axis ratios compared to unlensed ones. All but one target (e1341) lies within the 1σ scatter of the mass–size relation of early-type galaxies at their respective redshifts (van der Wel et al. 2014), as shown in Figure 11. Source e1341 has $r_{\text{eff}} \approx 2.7$ kpc (average of the estimate from its two reconstructed images), roughly four times larger than the mass–size relation of early-type galaxies at its redshift and $M_*$, corresponding to $\approx 4.3 \times$ the scatter of the relation. This places e1341 above the late-type galaxy mass–size relation.

It is unclear why lensed quenched galaxies of our sample are more disk-like than unlensed ones. The shapes of galaxies evolve with stellar mass and redshift. In the local universe, massive galaxies tend to have rounder stellar light profiles (higher $n$ and $q$) than lower-mass galaxies (Krajnović et al. 2013). The trend is less pronounced at higher redshift, as stellar disks are more prevalent (van der Wel et al. 2011; Chang et al. 2013a, 2013b; Hill et al. 2019). In Figure 20, we show the stellar mass dependence of $n$ and $q$. The targets of our lensed sample have lower $n$ than unlensed quiescent galaxies at $z = 0.6$–1.0 (Bezanson et al. 2018) and $z = 1.5$–2.5 (Bell et al. 2017). The lack of an unlensed comparison sample at matching $z$ and $M_*$ precludes us from concluding whether the disky profiles of our sample is due to their high redshifts and/or low stellar masses. Furthermore, lensed arcs that have flatter light profiles are preferentially selected as spectroscopic targets in our survey for spatially resolved studies. Another possible reason for the discrepancy is lens model uncertainty. If the magnifications were underestimated, for example due to additional substructure missed in the model, this could lead to artificially flatter light profiles. Finally, HST cannot adequately resolve the light profiles of distant compact quiescent galaxies, such that unlensed quiescent galaxies might appear rounder than they actually are. Higher spatial resolution imaging for a large sample of distant quiescent galaxies with JWST will help address the cause of this discrepancy.

We discuss the implications of these findings in Section 5. A caveat is that different rest-frame wavelengths are traced for the four galaxies in our sample (Table 7), due to their different redshifts and filters used. van der Wel et al. (2014, Equation 2) presented a scaling relation to correct for the effective radius dependence of the effective radii. However, this involves the use of the average size gradient, $\Delta \log R_{\text{eff}} / \Delta \log \lambda$, which is not well-characterized for early-type galaxies at our redshift and mass range. By using their equation, we derive a correction factor of $\lesssim 5\%$ in effective radii. This caveat is thus expected to be insignificant and we thus did not correct for the wavelength dependence of the sizes.

Source m1423 is at the border between quiescent and star-forming (or early- and late-type) galaxies according to its position on the $UV$ diagram (Figure 14). We note that its small effective radius suggests that it is nearly as compact as early-type galaxies, if we extrapolate the 3DHST+CANDELS structural relation at $z = 2.5$–3.0 (van der Wel et al. 2014) up to its redshift of 3.21.

5. Discussion

In this section, we will discuss what our results imply for the overall evolution sequence of quenched galaxies. How did they come to be, and what would they evolve into? What is responsible for quenching star formation?

5.1. Tracking Evolution by Number Density

To figure out what our sample of lensed quenched galaxies would evolve into by $z \approx 0$, we estimate their halo mass ($M_{\text{halo}}$) evolution in the following way. Taking their delensed stellar masses, we compute their number densities using the galaxy stellar mass function of the COSMOS field (Muzzin et al. 2013). We use the Number Density Evolution Redshift Code20 (nd-redshift; Behroozi et al. 2013) to calculate the halo mass evolution for a given number density of a galaxy population. The code tracks the number density evolution due to mass accretion and mergers. In this procedure, we use a Monte Carlo simulation to propagate errors on stellar masses, the stellar mass function (due to Poisson uncertainties, photometric redshift errors, and cosmic variance), and the number density evolution. Figure 12 illustrates the expected halo mass evolution. To infer possible $z \approx 0$ descendants, we overplot the halo mass distribution of the MASSIVE sample, as well as several well-known galaxy clusters including Coma, Perseus, Fornax I, and the Local Group (Crook et al. 2007; Li & White 2008; Veale et al. 2018, and references therein).

Our calculation suggests that the embedding halos of our sample of lensed quenched galaxies would evolve into intermediate-sized galaxy groups/clusters with $\log (M_{\text{halo}}) \approx 12$–14, more like the Local Group than the Coma cluster. Their halo masses at $z = 0$ are below the median of those of the MASSIVE sample, and more than an order of magnitude less than those of the most massive galaxy clusters like Coma and Perseus. The halo mass evolution of our sample lies below those of various cluster surveys like CLASH (Postman et al. 2012) or GOGREEN (Balogh et al. 2017). The findings are in line with our expectations, given that our sample is more representative and numerous than the most massive galaxies at their epoch. An implicit assumption of this calculation is that the lensed quenched galaxies reside in field environments, such that the COSMOS stellar mass function provides a reliable estimate of their number densities. It is known that m0451 resides in an overdense environment that resembles a compact group (Section 2.1; MacKenzie et al. 2014; Shen et al. 2021). As for the other targets, there are no indications thus far that they reside in overdensities—although it cannot be ruled out, because of the inherent challenge in quantifying the environment of a lensed volume. Thus, for m0451, the halo mass by $z = 0$ could be higher than estimated. Another limitation is that the two highest-redshift targets, m0451 and m1423, have stellar masses below the 95% completeness limit of the COSMOS survey, so their number densities.

---

20 https://code.google.com/archive/p/nd-redshift/

densities are less certain. The various conversions used to estimate halo masses could add further uncertainty to this comparison. At any rate, this exercise serves to provide an order-of-magnitude estimate of the $z=0$ descendant halo mass. It is justified to conclude that halos containing the lensed galaxies studied in this work would not evolve into Coma-like clusters by $z=0$, unless they are highly clustered.

5.2. Progenitors

Our sample of galaxies had already assembled a significant mass of stars when the universe was young. Our analysis in Section 4.1 indicates that their star formation histories are rapid, having formed 80% of stellar mass within 70–470 Myr. These timescales are comparable to or shorter than the median ages of the stellar populations, and much shorter than the age of the universe at their respective redshifts ($\approx 2$–4 Gyr).

How do our results compare with studies of other distant quenched galaxies? A meaningful comparison can only be made if the star formation timescale is measured with the same methods (full spectral fitting versus line indices, parameterization of star formation history) and defined in the same way. Given the variety of methods adopted in the literature, here we only attempt to conduct an order-of-magnitude comparison in order to get an impression of how the derived values compare. As near-infrared absorption line spectroscopy is time-consuming, constraints on the star formation history of quenched galaxies are limited to the most luminous ones that are more massive than our sample. Bearing these differences in mind, the rapid star formation duration of our sample is in qualitative agreement with those of the massive, quiescent galaxies presented in van de Sande et al. (2013; $\tau = 10$–80 Myr, $z = 1.5$–2.1), Newman et al. (2018a; $\tau < 180$ Myr, $z = 1.9$–2.6), and Valentino et al. (2020; $\tau = 10$–16 Myr, $z = 3.8$–4.0), and are similar to or shorter than the quiescent galaxies at $z = 1.4$–2.6 ($\tau = 0.2$–3.2 Gyr; Zick et al. 2018; see also Kriek et al. 2019).

Altogether, these findings provide evidence of a rapid build-up of stars through accelerated growth. The mere fact that massive, quiescent galaxies exist in a young universe requires that they formed stars at a higher rate before (see also Paciﬁci et al. 2016). As inferred from the median SFH, the peak SFR of our sample ranges from 1800 to 6000 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. The most massive ($\log(M_*/M_\odot) > 11$), quiescent galaxies are shown to be consistent with having $z = 3$–6 submillimeter galaxies (SMG; with mean duty cycle of $\approx 40$ Myr) as their progenitors, by means of number density and size comparison (Toft et al. 2014). Our sample probing a lower stellar mass regime appears consistent with having experienced an SMG phase, although at lower stellar masses than those presented in Toft et al. (2017). It is worth noting that m0451, together with all its companion galaxies within the lensed group, forms a submillimeter arc with total SFR = $(450 \pm 50) M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (MacKenzie et al. 2014). The group members span two orders of magnitude in SFR, and those with CO detections are expected to deplete their molecular gas within <0.14–1.0 Gyr (Shen et al. 2021). The lensed group in which m0451 resides may be a example of accelerated growth in dense environments. Compact star-forming galaxies at $z \gtrsim 2$–3 have been proposed as another progenitor for $z < 2$ quiescent galaxies (van Dokkum et al. 2015; Barro et al. 2017; Gómez-Guijarro et al. 2019), given their similarly compact stellar sizes, number densities, and modest SFR ($\sim 100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$). While it is plausible that our quenched galaxies went through such a phase of compact star formation, their star-forming progenitors are likely at $z \approx 2$–4 as inferred from their best-fit SFHs. Current work on compact star-forming galaxies is limited to $z < 3$, as HST cannot probe the rest-frame optical sizes of galaxies beyond $z = 3$. JWST will soon enable a census of $z > 3$ galaxies in order to identify the progenitors of these early-quenched galaxies.

Aside from number density and size comparisons, one could also gain insights into possible progenitors of our quenched galaxies using timescales. The star formation duration, $t_{10} - t_{90}$, of our quenched galaxies is shorter than the molecular gas depletion time of “main-sequence” star-forming galaxies at similar $z$ and stellar mass (0.5–0.7 Gyr; Tacconi et al. 2018) by a factor of a few to more than an order of magnitude. These “main-sequence” star-forming galaxies are thus unlikely to be the immediate progenitor to our quenched galaxy sample. The only distant galaxies with depletion times as short as <200 Myr are submillimeter galaxies (e.g., Bothwell et al. 2013), compact star-forming galaxies (e.g., Spilker et al. 2016; Popping et al. 2017), and starbursting radio galaxies (Man et al. 2019). These galaxies have star formation rates above or within the “main sequence” of star-forming galaxies, but what sets them apart from the “main sequence” is their high star formation rates for their molecular gas mass, i.e., they have high star formation efficiency and an equivalently short gas depletion timescale (Elbaz et al. 2018). The star formation histories of our quenching galaxies are certainly compatible with having experienced such a rapid, efficient phase of star formation prior to quenching.

5.3. Descendants

Having discussed the possible progenitors of our sample in Section 5.2, we now turn to their subsequent evolution in order to fully explore their evolutionary scenario over the next 9–12 Gyr until the present day. The intermediate stellar masses of our sample imply that they would evolve into relatively massive galaxies in the local universe. Identifying their low-redshift descendants is like finding needles in haystacks, as massive galaxies become more numerous and more of them quench their star formation over time (Muzzin et al. 2013; Davidgeon et al. 2017). Fortunately, the robust constraints on the stellar populations and morphologies provide clues to infer their evolution.

Archeological studies of nearby massive, early-type galaxies suggest that the bulk of their stars formed early by $z \approx 1$–2 (Thomas et al. 2005; McDermid et al. 2015). Are the early-quenched galaxies presented in this work the precursors of the metal-rich cores of nearby early-type galaxies? We compare the spatially integrated stellar metallicities of our sample to the resolved measurements of nearby massive early-type galaxies. Numerous integral field spectroscopic surveys provide stellar metallicity gradient measurements. Most such surveys of nearby massive galaxies resolve well within once or twice the effective radii (e.g., SAURON, ATLAS3D, MANGA, SAMI; Kuntschner et al. 2010; González Delgado et al. 2015; Martín-Nabarro et al. 2018; Bernardi et al. 2019; Ferreras et al. 2019a; Oyarzún et al. 2019; Krajnović et al. 2020), yet few probe beyond the cores, because of the limited field of view. Thus, we compare our integrated stellar metallicities with
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21 Although the stars in today’s massive galaxies formed early, galaxies could have assembled later through mergers long after stars formed.
results from the MASSIVE and CALIFA surveys that provide gradient measurements to the largest spatial extent, out to \( r_{\text{eff}} \). We use the gradient fits of the MASSIVE sample (Greene et al. 2015, Table 2), in units of kpc, to compute the stellar metallicity as \( [Z/H] = [\text{Fe/H}] + 0.94 \ [\text{Mg/Fe}] \) (Thomas et al. 2003, Equation (4)). As for the CALIFA survey, we show the median stellar metallicity gradient of early-type galaxies presented in Zibetti et al. (2020), in bins of semimajor axis in kpc. We also include the stellar metallicity gradient of NGC 4889 for comparison. NGC 4889 is one of the two brightest cluster galaxies in the Coma Cluster (Coccato et al. 2010). Last, we compare our results against the stellar metallicity gradients of the “relic galaxies,” i.e., local massive, quiescent galaxies that are compact in size (Trujillo et al. 2014; Ferré-Mateu et al. 2017).

The comparison is shown in Figure 13, where we label our sample at the source-plane effective radii of the spectroscopic images. The stellar metallicities of our sample are lower than those found in the cores of local early-type galaxies. Further chemical enrichment, perhaps by gas-rich mergers and/or star formation rejuvenation, needs to take place if they are to evolve into metal-rich cores of local massive, early-type galaxies. Kriek et al. (2016) reported that a dry minor merging scenario could explain the chemical evolution of a massive, quiescent, Mg-enhanced galaxy into its local counterparts. If the targets presented in this work would merge with more metal-rich quiescent galaxies (Figure 6) in their subsequent evolution, the metal content of the merger remnant would be more in line with \( z < 1 \) mass-metallicity relations. There are two potential caveats with regard to the comparison shown in Figure 13. First, it is not straightforward to compare a spatially integrated measurement with a gradient. A better comparison can be made by deriving a spatially integrated measurement from the gradient fit and the luminosity or mass profile. Second, the derived metallicities depend on the calibration (absorption line indices or full spectral fitting) as well as the assumptions involved (e.g., star formation history, metal yield of various stellar types). Resolved elemental abundance analysis is required to address these issues (see Jafarizadeh et al. 2020). A detailed comparison addressing these caveats is beyond the scope of this paper.

The stellar mass range of our sample suggests that they are more likely to be fast rotators rather than slow rotators, if we take the stellar kinematics and mass distribution of \( z \approx 0 \) as a reference (Emsellem et al. 2011; Veale et al. 2017a). Fast rotators are expected to be more than an order of magnitude more numerous than slow rotators at \( z \approx 2 \) (Khochfar et al. 2011), as dry merging is a dominant mechanism to reduce the spin of galaxies (Naab et al. 2006; Lagos et al. 2018a, 2018b) and should be more prevalent at later cosmic times than wet mergers (Hopkins et al. 2010). Like fast rotators, the apparent axis ratios of our sample span a wide range, as shown in Figure 10. Their low Sérsic index \( (n < 2) \) lends further support to them being fast rotators, as all slow rotators have \( n > 2 \) (Krajnović et al. 2013). Resolved absorption line spectroscopy is needed to confirm the kinematic nature of our sample. Indeed, m2129 is shown to have rotation-dominated stellar kinematics (Toft et al. 2017; Newman et al. 2018b). JWST will enable us to obtain resolved kinematics for these compact galaxies in the near future.

Future evolution of early-quenched galaxies depends on their ability to rejuvenate star formation, if molecular gas is made available for star formation again, e.g., through gas accretion, mergers. Studies of the star formation histories of \( z < 1 \) quenched galaxies do reveal that a small fraction had evidence for rejuvenated star formation in the recent past (Chauke et al. 2018). A recent analysis of a lensed quiescent galaxy at \( z \approx 1.9 \), MRG-S0851, reveals evidence for star formation rejuvenation in the inner kpc within the past \(~100\) Myr. If representative of galaxies having similar spectral energy distribution, the abundance implies that \(~1\)% of massive quiescent galaxy at \( z \approx 1-2 \) are potentially experiencing star formation rejuvenation (Akhshik et al. 2021). In two future works, we will report on the molecular gas content of quenching galaxies including targets of this work (A. Man et al. 2021, in preparation; Whitaker et al. 2021).

### 5.4. Implications for Quenching Mechanisms

Gravitational lensing and deep spectroscopy have provided us an exquisitely deep view into the properties of ordinary galaxies as they quench their star formation. What insights can we gain regarding the mechanism responsible for their decline in star formation activity? Gas needs to be brought into galaxies and sufficiently cool and settle in order to form stars. Star formation quenches, temporarily or permanently, if one or more of these necessary conditions is lacking. In this subsection, we explore how our observations enable us to constrain the cause of quenching.

An important discriminant of star formation quenching mechanisms is the timescale over which they operate. The stellar population analysis in Section 4.1 suggests that our sample has experienced a rapid star formation history, with \( \tau < 0.2\) Gyr. An alternative illustration is provided by examining their position on the \( UBV \) diagram, a diagnostic first developed to separate star-forming galaxies from quiescent ones (Wuyts et al. 2007; Williams et al. 2009; Muzzin et al. 2013; Whitaker et al. 2013). Galaxies evolve on the \( UBV \) diagram as they quench their star formation and become old (e.g., Barro et al. 2014; Merlin et al. 2018). In Figure 14, we compare the rest-frame \( (U - V) \) and \( (V - J) \) colors of our sample with the fast and slow quenching models. The \( (U - V) \) and \( (V - J) \) colors listed in Table 9 are computed from the SED models.\textsuperscript{22} The model tracks shown in Figure 14 are from Belli et al. (2019), assuming two \( \tau \) models for fast quenching \( (\tau = 0.1\) Gyr) and slow quenching \( (\tau = 1\) Gyr), respectively. The colors are dust-corrected by assuming an evolving \( A_V \) that declines with the SFR over time, starting from 2 to 0.4, and assuming \( R_V = 4.05 \) and the Calzetti et al. (2000) dust attenuation law. It is apparent that the slow quenching model is incompatible with our sample: a galaxy that follows the slow quenching track with \( \tau \geq 1\) Gyr would star-forming in the first 3 Gyr since the onset of its star formation, i.e., within three times of the e-folding timescale. This corroborates our findings in Section 4.1, which indicate rapid star formation timescales of \( \tau \lesssim 0.2\) Gyr and \( t_{10} - t_{90} = 0.07 - 0.47\) Gyr. This conclusion stands even for higher initial values of \( A_V \) or adopting \( R_V \) ranging from 3 to 6. The lower \((V - J)\) values of m1423 and m9451 can be attributed to their shorter star formation histories \((\tau < 0.1\) Gyr), stronger burst strength, or possibly different dust correction (see also Barro et al. 2014; Merlin et al. 2018).

\textsuperscript{22} The conclusions of this comparison remain unchanged if we measure the colors from the observed photometry instead. Template SEDs are commonly used to interpolate between observed filters to obtain rest-frame colors, in any case; see, e.g., Taylor et al. (2009).
The uncertainties are propagated from measurement errors only. Upper limits
\[ m_{\alpha} \leq 8.1 \pm 0.2 \]

Notes. The first three columns are quoted in logarithmic units of solar values. The uncertainties are propagated from measurement errors only. Upper limits are for the [O III] non-detection of c1341.

Wu et al. (2020). Overall, the UVIJ diagram provides an independent illustration of a fast star formation quenching scenario, in qualitative agreement with spectroscopic investigations of \( z \approx 2 \) quiescent galaxies (Kriek et al. 2016; Newman et al. 2018a; Zick et al. 2018; Belli et al. 2019).

Stellar morphology provides another clue to understanding quenching. The lensing-reconstructed HST images show that their stellar structures are disk-like (Section 4.5). This suggests that quenching does not necessarily require or coincide with bulge formation. Similar findings have been reported in morphological analyses of photometrically selected massive, early-type galaxies (Bruce et al. 2012, \( z \approx 1–3 \); Chang et al. 2013a, \( z \approx 0.6–1.8 \)), as well as in the analysis of stellar kinematics of massive, quenched galaxies at \( z = 2–2.6 \) (Toft et al. 2017; Newman et al. 2018b). This work confirms that the lack of coincidence between quenching and morphological transformation extends to intermediate-mass galaxies \( (\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 10.2–10.6) \) at \( z = 1.6–3.2 \). Their disky morphologies are in line with the prevalence of flat, oblate morphology among photometrically selected quiescent galaxies at \( z \approx 2.5 \) being higher than that of their counterparts in the present day (Stockton et al. 2008; van der Wel et al. 2011; Chang et al. 2013a, 2013b; Hill et al. 2019). In fact, massive UVIJ-selected quiescent galaxies at \( z = 2–3.5 \) are as flat as star-forming galaxies (Hill et al. 2019). In terms of stellar morphology, they resemble local fast rotators rather than slow rotators. Quenched galaxies at \( z = 2 \) may thus have some level of rotation in their stellar velocity fields, as already confirmed in spatially resolved studies (Toft et al. 2017; Newman et al. 2018b). The compact sizes and thus high stellar mass densities, as well as their disky kinematics, all point toward a dissipative formation process such as a gas-rich merger (Cox et al. 2006; Naab et al. 2006, 2014; Wuyts et al. 2010; Lagos et al. 2018b). Although the merger fraction of massive galaxies at \( z \gtrsim 2 \) is less than 10\% (Man et al. 2016a), there is emerging evidence that mergers may be more prevalent among quenched galaxies (Glazebrook et al. 2017; Stockmann et al. 2020). The absence of a prominent stellar bulge or bar disfavors morphological quenching (Martig et al. 2009) or bar quenching (Khoperskov et al. 2018) as plausible quenching mechanisms. These processes, however, may help to maintain the quiescence of star formation in the long run. If the targets presented in this work are to evolve into present-day slow rotators, they would need to substantially grow their bulges later on in a process unrelated to quenching, e.g., dry major mergers.

Another clue comes from the gas conditions of quenching galaxies. The faint emission lines in our sample suggests the presence of warm \( (T \approx 10^4 \, \text{K}) \), low-ionization gas as discussed in Section 4.4. Furthermore, the youngest quenching galaxies show evidence for outflowing warm gas in their Mg II profiles

(Sections 4.3, 4.4). Outflowing warm gas is detected in post-starburst galaxies at \( z < 1.4 \) (Tremonti et al. 2007; Coil et al. 2011; Sell et al. 2014; Baron et al. 2017, 2018). Maltby et al. (2019) reported tentative hints of faster outflows as seen in the Mg II blueshifted absorption in younger post-starburst galaxies. Our findings are in good agreement with the expectation that Mg II absorption is more likely to be detected in more recently quenched galaxies (\( \lesssim 500 \, \text{Myr} \) as inferred by their light-weighted ages) than older ones, as shown in a study of galactic wind in K+Agalaxies (Coil et al. 2011). Spatially extended, redshifted Ly\( \alpha \) emission is present in m0451 (Jauzac et al. 2021; \( z_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 2.93 \)) across the three multiple images as detected within the VLT/MUSE spectrograph. The extended Ly\( \alpha \) emission in quenched galaxies may originate from photon scattering, galactic winds, or unresolved satellite galaxies (Taniguchi et al. 2015).

While these findings do not readily imply that outflows cause star formation quenching, understanding the relation between the two phenomena could better constrain how quenching took place. Although AGN are commonly thought to drive fast outflows ejecting gas beyond the gravitational potential of massive galaxies (Tremonti et al. 2007; Baron et al. 2017, 2018; Förster Schreiber et al. 2019), compact starbursts may also be capable of doing so (Sell et al. 2014; Rupke et al. 2019). Does the fast outflow become less prevalent as the stellar populations age because their energy sources (young stars and/or AGN) have faded, or is it because previous outflows have cleared them of warm gas? The latter is unlikely to be the case: the Mg II absorption of the entire sample is deeper than expected from photospheric absorption alone, suggesting that warm gas is present after quenching and not completely evacuated from the galaxies (see Jafarizyazani et al. 2020 for a related discussion on NaD absorption). On the other hand, SMBH accretion rates can vary over much shorter timescales than galaxy star formation (Hickox et al. 2014). It is plausible that an AGN outflow persists for \( \sim 100 \, \text{Myr} \) after the AGN has turned off (King et al. 2011; Zubovas & King 2014). There is also ample evidence for the peak of AGN accretion to occur \( \gtrsim 100–250 \, \text{Myr} \) after the peak of star formation (Schawinski et al. 2009; Wild et al. 2010; Volonteri et al. 2015a, 2015b; Baron et al. 2018; Falkendal et al. 2019). The answers to these questions are fundamental to understanding how star formation quenching proceeds. Observations with the JWST and other multiwavelength facilities will tackle these questions.

What do our results imply for how galaxies quench their star formation? A different perspective can be gained by asking whether a mechanism is needed to stop galaxies from forming stars, or if galaxies simply have an accelerated star formation episode and stay quiescent thereafter (Abramson et al. 2016). It is plausible that the mechanism that quenches star formation of galaxies is not the one responsible for maintaining their quiescence, given the very different timescales considered. Absorption line spectroscopy of \( z \gtrsim 2 \) massive, quenching galaxies including our sample provides solid indications that they have experienced a rapid star formation history in the first few billion years after the Big Bang. If quenching is simply a rapid decline of star formation without the need for an external actor, the question then becomes what causes a rapid gas conversion to stars (“starburst”). Compressive motions and effective angular

### Table 6

| \( \log(L_{\alpha, \text{OIII}}) \) | \( \log(L_{\text{AGN}}) \) | \( \log(M_\text{BH}) \) | \( L_{\text{AGN}}/L_{\text{BH}} \) (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| m1341 \<5.9     | <5.9            | 7.8 ± 0.1       | <0.1            |
| m2129 7.9 ± 0.1 | 11.5 ± 0.1      | 9.5 ± 0.2       | 0.3 ± 0.2       |
| m0451 8.1 ± 0.1 | 11.6 ± 0.1      | 7.9 ± 0.2       | 14.4 ± 6.2      |
| m1423 8.0 ± 0.1 | 11.6 ± 0.1      | 9.1 ± 0.2       | 0.8 ± 0.3       |
momentum loss of gas can facilitate starbursts, and so can gas-rich major mergers, both within a Gyr or so (Barnes & Hernquist 1991; Hopkins et al. 2008). Gas outflows driven by AGN and/or starbursts can act in concert with rapid gas consumption during starburst to rapidly clear galaxies of cold gas (Man et al. 2019, and references therein). Simulations by Su et al. (2019) demonstrate that stellar feedback, morphological feedback, magnetic field, thermal conduction, and stellar cosmic rays do not effectively quench the star formation of massive galaxies. This supports the idea that other processes like AGN feedback are required, although there is a broad variety of AGN feedback implementations in simulations (e.g., Si Jackie et al. 2007; Booth & Schaye 2009; Dubois et al. 2012; Su et al. 2020). This work presents tentative evidence that, as star formation quenches, the mode of SMBH growth transitions from radiative-mode to jet-mode (Section 4.4.3). This is in good agreement with low-z studies (Best & Heckman 2012), and corroborates findings of faint AGN in massive quiescent galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1$ (Olsen et al. 2013; Man et al. 2016b; Barisić et al. 2017; Aird et al. 2019). More observations are needed to inform whether and how AGN affect star formation of host galaxies, particularly faint AGN that are more ubiquitous than the quasar phase. On the other hand, figuring out their future evolution until the present day would require the knowledge of whether molecular gas is present or can be made available again to form stars. In a future work, we will quantify the amount of molecular gas of our sample using ALMA observations. To identify whether and how gas cools, we need measurements of the gas temperature, density, and metallicity of various gas phases to constrain the cooling functions. These measurements are crucial to properly characterize the gas conditions in order to understand how and why massive galaxies experience a decline in their star formation. Ultimately, we need deep, mult iwavelength spectroscopic observations to constrain the relevant timescales and trace any past and ongoing star formation activity, gas accretion and outflows, and AGN activity. Only then can we begin to disentangle the intricate relations between stars, gas, black holes, and how they shape the evolution of galaxies.

6. Conclusions

This paper presents the analysis of VLT/X-SHOOTER spectroscopic and HST imaging observations of four quenched galaxies at $z = 1.6$–3.2 that are gravitationally lensed by foreground clusters. Their magnification factors range from $\approx 3$ to 30, affording us an exquisitely deep view of their stellar populations and morphologies. The photometry and spectra have been fitted with the Bagpipes stellar population synthesis fitting code. Our main findings are as follows:

1. The four quenched galaxies have intermediate stellar masses $(\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 10.2$–11.2), or 0.1–3× the characteristic stellar mass at their respective redshifts. The median ages of the stellar populations range between $t_{50} \approx 0.12$ and 1.2 Gyr, and they formed 80% of their stellar masses within 0.07–0.5 Gyr. Their specific SFR span a range of log$(sSFR/yr^{-1})$ from $\approx 3$ to 30, affording us an exquisitely deep view of their stellar populations and morphologies. The photometry and spectra have been fitted with the Bagpipes stellar population synthesis fitting code. Our main findings are as follows:

2. The targets of the sample have stellar metallicities of $\approx 0.5$–1 solar value, as inferred from Bagpipes fitting. However, systematic uncertainties inherent to stellar population synthesis modeling and metallicity calibration preclude a fair comparison with literature metallicity measurements across redshifts in a consistent manner at this stage. Further work is necessary to quantify such systematic effects and to self-consistently model the chemical evolution of galaxies.

3. All targets in our sample show Mg II $\lambda\lambda 2796, 2804$ absorption. While stellar photospheres may partially account for the absorption, additional contribution from warm gas is required. Galactic outflows are present in the most recently quenched galaxies, as evidenced by their blueshifted absorption and/or redshifted emission.

4. Faint emission lines are detected in some of our targets. The [O II] $\lambda \lambda 3726, 3729$ Å fluxes of our sample are consistent with having quiescent SF. The [O III] $\lambda 5007$ Å fluxes are at or below the faint end of $z \approx 2$ AGN surveys. We rule out the presence of type I AGN in our sample. The youngest two targets may host type 2 AGN, as inferred from their [O III]/[O II] ratio, and might be responsible for driving the Mg II outflow. If we attribute the [O III] emission to AGN only, this implies that the SMBH of the second youngest target ($t_{50} \approx 0.3$ Gyr) is accreting in radiative mode at a few percent of the Eddington limit, while the older two targets ($t_{50} \approx 0.6$–1.2 Gyr) accrete in jet-mode at less than 1% of the Eddington limit.

5. We use the lens models to reconstruct the rest-frame optical light profiles of our sample on their source planes.

| Filter | $\lambda_{cen}$ (Å) | Angular Scale (kpc/″) | Sérsic Index (n) | Axis Ratio $(b/a)$ | Position Angle (°) | $r_{eff}$ (kpc) | $r_{circ}$ (kpc) |
|--------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| e1341 (im2) | F140W | 5366.1 | 8.471 | 1.68 ± 0.02 | 0.45 ± 0.09 | 35.0 ± 0.7 | 2.82 ± 0.07 | 1.89 ± 0.20 |
| e1341 (im3) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| m2129 | F160W | 4881.7 | 8.294 | 1.17 ± 0.01 | 0.42 ± 0.01 | −35.2 ± 0.5 | 2.12 ± 0.06 | 1.37 ± 0.04 |
| m0451 (im1) | F160W | 3919.7 | 7.762 | 1.09 ± 0.01 | 0.44 ± 0.03 | −16.2 ± 2.3 | 0.99 ± 0.04 | 0.66 ± 0.03 |
| m0451 (im2) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| m0451 (im3) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| m1423 | F160W | 3651.9 | 7.542 | 1.22 ± 0.01 | 0.56 ± 0.03 | −26.3 ± 1.6 | 1.09 ± 0.03 | 0.81 ± 0.03 |

Notes. Half-light effective radii ($r_{eff}$) and circularized radii ($r_{circ}$) are provided in kpc. The quoted values and errors refer to the mean and standard deviation of 100 Monte Carlo realizations perturbed by lensing model errors. Reconstruction of the iml of e1341 is not possible, because the lensing arc is only a partial view of the source.
Their light profiles are best-fitted with low Sérsic indices of $n < 2$ and intermediate axis ratios of $q \approx 0.4–0.7$. The targets lie within the mass–size relation for early-type galaxies, except for e1341, which is as extended as late-type galaxies at its mass and redshift. Our sample is uniformly disky ($n < 2$), suggesting the need for additional morphological transformation if they are to evolve into metal-rich bulges.

6. Altogether, our results imply that star formation quenching at high redshift is a rapid process (<1 Gyr). Galactic-scale outflows of warm gas are detected in the most recently quenched targets, perhaps driven by faint, radiatively accreting type 2 AGN or a previous starburst. Contrary to some claims, quenching neither requires nor synchronizes with the formation of prominent stellar bulges.

This sample forms the backbone of the REsolving QUIEscent Magnified Galaxies Survey (REQUIEM-2D). HST grism observations will enable measurements of spatially resolved stellar populations, in order to quantify age and SFR gradients (Akshik et al. 2020, 2021). ALMA surveys of the CO and dust continuum will provide molecular gas mass measurements (A. Man et al. 2021, in preparation; Whitaker et al. 2021). With the launch of the JWST, we anticipate huge leaps in the characterization of distant quiescent galaxies. NIR imaging surveys with the JWST/NIRCam will enable the identification of $z > 3$ quiescent galaxies. Their number density evolution is vital for understanding when the first galaxies become quenched. JWST/NIRCam can better resolve their light profiles, as they are currently barely resolved with HST. An unbiased census for the light distribution of $z \gtrsim 2$ quiescent galaxies will enable us to confirm whether morphological transformation and bulge formation are asynchronous with star formation quenching, as our work suggests. JWST/NIRSpec will provide resolved emission line maps of magnified quiescent galaxies, allowing us to identify the origin of the ionizing photons. All of these will provide valuable insights into the origins of star formation quenching.
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Appendix A
Photometry and Colors

The photometry of the targets obtained with the procedures described in Section 3.1 is listed in Table 8. The rest-frame U–V and V–J colors used in Section 5.4 are listed in Table 9.

Appendix B
Stellar Population Fits

The covariance of the stellar population fitting parameters is shown in Figure 15. The model spectra and photometry are shown against the observed ones for each target in Figures 16–19.
Figure 15. Covariance plot of the stellar population fitting. More details can be found in Section 3.4.
Figure 16. Photometry and spectrum of e1341. Photometric data are shown as large black circles. The binned VLT/X-SHOOTER spectrum is shown as small black squares. The best-fit stellar population model spectra and photometry are shown as colored lines and circles. The bottom panel shows the residual spectrum.

Figure 17. Photometry and spectrum of m2129. Refer to Figure 16 for explanations of the symbols.
Figure 18. Photometry and spectrum of m0451. Refer to Figure 16 for explanations of the symbols.

Figure 19. Photometry and spectrum of m1423. Refer to Figure 16 for explanations of the symbols.

Figure 20. The stellar mass dependence of Sérsic indices and axis ratios. The symbols follow those of Figure 10.
Comparison of Parameters Derived for m2129

Table 10 shows a comparison of the stellar population and morphological parameters of m2129 derived in this work with published values from Toft et al. (2017) and Newman et al. (2018a). The stellar population parameters are in general agreement, except for the age and stellar metallicity. The values presented in this work lie between those in Toft et al. (2017) and Newman et al. (2018a). The substantial uncertainty in stellar metallicity across all three works implies that it is poorly constrained. The measurement presented in Toft et al. (2017) was derived using a different stellar population fitting procedure: the light-weighted stellar metallicity in the library varies with the star formation history as a function of stellar mass. The error bars in Toft et al. (2017) are larger because a large range of model parameters were allowed; for each parameter, the quoted uncertainties are given by the width of the probability distribution function marginalized over all the other parameters.

All three works uniformly point to a compact ($r_{eff} \approx 0.5\,\text{arcsec}$) disk well-fitted by an exponential disk ($n \approx 1$). The GALFIT parameters reported in Toft et al. (2017) have been revised due to a mistake in the GALFIT input file: a PSF fine-sampling factor of 2 was erroneously used rather than 0.5. This work reports the rectified GALFIT results. The revised $r_{eff}$ and $n$ are similar to the values previously reported in Toft et al. (2017), and $q \approx 0.4$ is lower and thus closer to the $q \approx 0.3$ reported in Newman et al. (2018a). The axis ratio $q$ is not as well-constrained, and it shows a larger variation across the multiple images, available for two other targets, as discussed in Section 4.5. Despite the differences in modeling methods and priors, the resulting stellar population and morphological parameters are in good qualitative agreement. These differences do not affect the conclusions drawn in this work.
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