Induction of periosteal bone formation by intraosseous BMP-2 injection in a mouse model of osteogenesis imperfecta
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Abstract

Purpose Surgical interventions are routinely performed on children with osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) to stabilize long bones, often post fracture. We speculated that a combination of intramedullary reaming and intraosseous injection of recombinant bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) could enhance periosteal ossification and ultimately cortical thickness and strength. This approach was conceptually tested in a preclinical model of genetic bone fragility.

Methods Six experimental groups were tested including no treatment, intramedullary reaming, and reaming with 5 µg BMP-2 injection performed in the tibiae of both wild type (WT) and Col1a2<sup>G610C/+</sup> (OI, Amish mutation) mice. Bone formation was examined at a two-week time point in ex vivo specimens by micro-computed tomography (microCT) analysis and histomorphometry with a dynamic bone label.

Results MicroCT data illustrated increases in tibial cortical thickness with intramedullary reaming alone (Saline) and reaming plus BMP-2 injection (BMP-2) compared to no intervention controls. In the OI mice, the periosteal bone increase was not statistically significant with Saline but there was an increase of +192% (p = 0.053) with BMP-2 injection. Dynamic histomorphometry on calcein label was used to quantify new woven bone formation; while BMP-2 induced greater bone formation than Saline, the anabolic response was blunted overall in the OI groups.

Conclusions These data indicate that targeting the intramedullary compartment via reaming and intraosseous BMP-2 delivery can lead to gains in cortical bone parameters. It is suggested that the next step is to validate safety and functional improvements in a clinical OI setting.
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Introduction

Osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) is a genetic disorder that is characterized by low bone mass and increased bone fragility.¹ Osteogenesis imperfecta is most commonly associated with mutations in genes encoding collagen type 1 (COL1A1 or COL1A2).²,³ This leads to disrupted collagen production, folding, and/or breakdown, resulting in worsened bone material properties, poor periosteal bone formation, and smaller bones with thinner cortices.⁴ Currently, OI treatment focuses on improving functional outcomes and reducing fracture risk.⁵,⁶ Physical therapy, rehabilitation and orthopaedic surgery are used in combination to improve patient quality of life. In terms of surgical approaches, the insertion of intramedullary rods can assist in straightening the femora and tibiae, encouraging standing and walking, as well as reducing risk of further fracture.⁶,⁷,⁸ Pharmacotherapy with systemic bisphosphonates has been widely adopted as a method for prophylactically reducing fracture rates in children. Although bisphosphonates do not increase bone quality, the decreases in bone resorption yield increased bone mass and strength.⁸,⁹ Nevertheless, concerns have arisen regarding the effects of systemic bisphosphonates on the growing skeleton. Bisphosphonate treatment has been shown to delay the healing of osteotomies in children with OI.¹⁰ In addition, bisphosphonate treatment results in cortical thickening primarily via retaining the endosteal surface rather than enhancement of periosteal bone formation.¹¹ Based on the principles of bone biomechanics, increases in the
overall diameter of a long bone (i.e. periosteal expansion) should yield proportionately greater bone strength than additional endosteal bone. Increasing bone on the outer surface of the cortex greatly increases the moment of inertia, which in turn decreases fracture risk.\textsuperscript{12,13}

Thus, anabolic interventions may yield improved outcomes and reduced fracture risk in OI, and in some cases have the potential to be combined with bisphosphonate therapy. Recombinant human parathyroid hormone 1-34 (Teriparatide) has shown some anabolic effect in adults with OI,\textsuperscript{16,15} but use in children has been cautious due to concerns of promoting osteosarcoma.\textsuperscript{16} Alternatively, the administration of growth hormone in children with OI showed increased height velocity and bone density in some small studies.\textsuperscript{17,18} However, histomorphometry of bone biopsies showed increased bone turnover with growth hormone,\textsuperscript{18} which may be unwise to apply in OI where bone turnover is already elevated.\textsuperscript{19}

In 2013, Seeherman et al reported that a single intraosseous injection of bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) in a calcium phosphate matrix delivered into the femoral neck of non-human primates resulted in the formation of endosteal and periosteal bone.\textsuperscript{20} In order to maximize the sustained delivery of BMP-2, we employed a solution of sucrose acetate isobutyrate (SAIB), a biocompatible sugar-based ester previously demonstrated as an effective injectable carrier for BMP-2 that is superior to saline.\textsuperscript{21,22}

Increasing periosteal bone formation via intraosseous BMP-2 injection was suggested as being potentially beneficial in a paediatric OI setting. Thus, this study aimed to deliver BMP-2 intraosseously in a knock-in mouse model of OI possessing a G610C mutation in the COL1A2 gene (Amish mutation).\textsuperscript{23} This represents a mild to moderate form of OI and it was conjectured to be a more clinically relevant model of the target patient population than the alternative moderate to severe models (e.g. the oim mouse line). In this study, animals received an intraosseous injection of BMP-2 in SAIB directly into the tibiae and were examined two weeks after intervention via radiographic and histological outcomes for periosteal bone formation.

Methods

\textit{OI mouse model}

Amish COL1A2\textsuperscript{G610C/+} OI knock-in mice were generated by Daley et al.\textsuperscript{23} and sourced from Prof J Bateman (Murdoch Children’s Research Institute). Wildtype (WT) littersmates served as unaffected controls. Mice were bred and housed in the specific pathogen-free transgenic facility on site. Mice were allowed ad libitum access to food and water. Institutional animal ethics approval was granted under protocol K315. Eight-week-old mice were used for this study.

\textit{Intraosseous BMP-2 injection procedure}

Mice were anaesthetized using 35 mg/kg ketamine and 0.5 mg/kg xylazine with inhaled isoflurane used as necessary. The operative site was shaved and wiped with povidine-iodine solution. The right tibias of the experimental mice were initially reamed from the proximal end using a 27 G needle and flushed with saline, and then the tibial cavity was filled with a radiopaque dye (Ultra-vist 240; Bayer Health Care, NSW, Australia). The presence of this dye at the distal end of the medullary cavity was confirmed by intraoperative X-ray. Reamed tibias were then given intraosseous injections of either saline, or 5 µg BMP-2 in 20 µL 80:20 SAIB:ethanol and X-rayed once more. The absence of the contrast agent indicated that the cavity was now filled with treatment solutions. Mice were subcutaneously dosed with 10 mg/kg calcein (Sigma, NSW, Australia) at days –10 and –3 before cull. Mice were culled two weeks after surgery using a CO\textsubscript{2} chamber.

\textit{Study design}

Three experimental groups were tested for each genotype and animals were randomized into their treatment groups, with eight animals assigned to each group. The first group received no surgical interventions and was called the Nil treatment group. The remaining two groups underwent tibial reaming, and received intraosseous injections of either saline (Saline) or 50% sucrose acetate isobutyrate:ethanol (v/v) containing BMP-2 (BMP-2).

\textit{MicroCT analysis}

After fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde, samples were stored in 70% ethanol before undergoing micro-computed tomography (microCT) scanning using a SkyScan 1174 compact microCT scanner (Kontich, Belgium). Samples were scanned in 70% ethanol, using a 0.5 mm aluminium filter, 50 kV X-ray tube voltage, and 800 μA tube electric current. Tibiae were scanned at a pixel resolution of 9.4 μm. The scanned images were reconstructed using NRecon (SkyScan) and analysed using CTAnalyser software (SkyScan). The analysis was focused on a region of interest (ROI) in the mid diaphysis of the tibia – a 1.2 mm region located 0.5 mm above the tibial-fibular junction (as shown Fig. 1a). New bone was defined as bone with a density of 0.3 g/cm\textsuperscript{3} – 0.9 g/cm\textsuperscript{3} (Fig. 1b) as calibrated by hydroxyapatite phantoms (SkyScan).

\textit{Confocal imaging of calcein dosing}

Tibiae were bisected in the coronal plane using a diamond saw and the proximal ROI chosen for microCT analysis. Fine grit sandpaper was used to create a flat surface and the bone cross section was imaged directly on a
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Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope (Wetzlar, Germany). Calcein signal was detected using the 488 nm laser line and 500–560 nm emission bands. Image capture settings were retained for all samples. The bone surface was imaged using a 10 × objective using the Tile Scan (4–9 image fields) and Z-stack functions (2–4 slices), followed by automated stitching of the tiled region and application of a maximum intensity projection via the LAS-AF software to recreate the two-dimensional bone surface.

The raw confocal images were globally manipulated using Adobe Photoshop CS6 using only linear functions to maximize contrast in batch processing mode using identical settings for each image. For analysis of the periosteal surface, the endosteum and medullary canal were removed from the raw image, large speckles and imaging artefacts were removed using a dust and scratches filter, and the image was converted to greyscale. Images were inverted and imported into ImageJ for fluorescence quantification using a greyscale threshold value of 230.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism (Version 7; GraphPad Software, CA, USA). D’Agostino and Pearson normality tests were used to determine whether datasets were normally distributed. One-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons (Tukey’s) was used to compare each genotype. Statistical significance was set at \( \alpha < 0.05 \). The right (treated) tibia of each animal was treated as a unit of analysis. All graphs are presented as mean values, with error bars representing standard deviation.

Results

Radiographic analysis of BMP-2-induced bone formation

Two weeks after intraosseous injection into the tibiae, X-rays of whole tibiae yielded no considerable changes on radiographs, barring small protrusions of new bone at or adjacent to the injection site in some BMP-2-treated specimens (Fig. 2). Group sizes were \( n = 8 \) except for the OI/BMP-2 group, which had \( n = 7 \) animals because one animal was culled humanely due to complications from anaesthetics during surgery.

MicroCT reconstructions revealed an increase in cortical thickness in Saline and BMP-2-treated tibia, which appeared to be largely periosteal (Fig. 3a). This was subsequently confirmed by quantification of new (low-density) bone in the WT mice with Saline (+178%; \( p = 0.0216 \)) and BMP-2 treatment (+185%; \( p = 0.0123 \)) (Fig. 3b). In the OI mice, there was an increase in periosteal bone in the Saline-treated (+128%; \( p = 0.7092 \)) and BMP-2-treated (+192%; \( p = 0.0529 \)) groups.

Calcein quantification reveals new periosteal bone formation in the tibia

Calcein was administered subcutaneously at two time points one week apart towards the end of the study to allow measurement of new bone formation. Analysis of traditional measures such as the mineral apposition rate via dual labelling was deemed impractical due to the nature of the response to reaming and BMP-2 delivery, which resulted in callus-like woven bone formation on the surfaces of the bone cortex (Fig. 4a). Consequently, the total calcein-labelled area was systematically quantified in both the periosteal region or endosteal and medullary regions. In the periosteal region, there was more fluorescent label detected when comparing the WT Nil treatment to the Saline (+2328%, \( p = 0.0636 \)) and significantly more in the BMP-2 group (+3262%, \( p = 0.0079 \)) (Fig. 4b). This trend was also seen in the OI mice, which showed an increase in the Saline-treated group (+1420%, \( p = 0.2936 \)) and a significant increase in the BMP-2-treated group (+2452%, \( p = 0.0071 \)) compared to the Nil group.

When the endosteal and medullary compartment was analysed, a different trend was seen (Fig. 4c). The highest level of calcein signal was detected in the Saline-treated groups of both genotypes, which showed non-significant increases of +175% (\( p = 0.1297 \)) and +1004% (\( p = 0.1203 \)) for WT and OI respectively when compared to Nil treatment. In the WT mice, the BMP-2 group showed only 15% of the calcein signal of the Saline-treated group (\( p = 0.0346 \)). In the OI mice, the BMP-2 group had only 42% of the calcein signal observed in the Saline group (\( p = 0.4231 \)).
Discussion

Consistent with the prior literature, intramedullary reaming resulted in an increase in new bone formation, as measured by microCT and calcein labelling. Clinically, the reaming of long bones is a method that can be used alongside the implantation of an intramedullary nail for fixation of diaphyseal fractures. There has been some controversy in the literature regarding the contribution of reaming to intramedullary fixation, as it has been associated with thermal necrosis, fat embolism, and pulmonary complications. However, reaming and intramedullary nail exchange has been proposed as a technique for the treatment of aseptic non-union fractures.

These studies have revealed increases in periosteal bone formation associated with reaming. This is consistent with prior preclinical reaming studies, even in the absence of intramedullary nailing. The mechanism of new periosteal rather than simply endosteal bone formation is unclear. It is possible that reaming creates a generalized inflammatory response affecting the periosteum, due to release of cancellation bone fragments, multipotent progenitor cells, and growth factors from within the medullary canal. Alternatively, in addition to causing injury to the endosteal surface and blood supply, reaming can greatly increase periosteal blood flow. The increased periosteal bone formation associated with reaming may be due to marrow products moving to the

Fig. 2 Representative X-rays of tibia in osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) or wild type (WT) mice receiving either no treatment (Nil) or tibial reaming and either saline injections (Saline) or sucrose acetate isobutyrate (SAIB) containing bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2). Arrow indicates the presence of bone formation near the surgical site.
periosteal surface or the increased blood flow increasing the contact of progenitor cells.

A possible counter-hypothesis is that reaming may result in biophysical strain sensed by the osteocytes, which is translated into biochemical signals. Osteocytes constitute 90–95% of the cellular component of mature bone, and are characterized by highly interconnected dendritic processes that span the bone via canaliculi. Osteocytes have critical roles in regulating bone remodelling and maintaining the bone matrix, and they do this by transforming chemical and mechanical stimuli into cell signals that control osteoblast and osteoclast activity. Osteocytes can sense mechanical signals directly as forces through the bone matrix and reaming can result in extensive increases in mechanical strain on the bone. In addition, the injection of either saline or SAIB/BMP-2 may increase the fluid pressure in the lacunar canaliculi. The periosteal response may be mediated by osteocytes signalling to the osteoblasts to increase bone volume on the outer surface, in an attempt to improve the bone’s resistance to strain.

This study has a number of limitations, the most prominent being the variability of the data. This may be in part due to the variances of individual mouse bones in terms of cortical bone, as well as surgical variability in terms of the reaming procedure and the precise dosing of BMP-2.

**Fig. 3** (a) MicroCT reconstructions of representative samples taken from the upper region of interest (ROI) of tibia. (b) Periosteal bone formed in the tibial ROI following intraosseous injection with either saline (Saline) or sucrose acetate isobutyrate (SAIB) containing bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) as measured by microCT.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.
by intramedullary injection. While every endeavour was made to keep these as consistent as possible, a larger animal model (e.g. rat) would be an alternative that could yield more consistent outcomes. Subsequent studies could also take advantage of newer, higher-resolution microCT scanning systems and/or live microCT imaging to produce dynamic in vivo histomorphometry data to segregate differences in periosteal and endosteal bone formation.

Another limitation of this study is that SAIB alone was not used as a vehicle control, and while SAIB is highly bio-compatible it may have independent biological effects. The doses of BMP-2 used were consistent with the production of abundant new bone in prior reports, including in combination with the SAIB delivery system.²¹,²² Moreover, differences between the groups were seen via histological and microCT analysis. In the BMP-2-treated groups, the new bone appeared more like woven bone or an unremodelled hard callus. Thus, it is possible that the mechanisms behind the additional bone formation may differ between the groups. Based on histological images, reaming resulted in increased appositional bone growth, while BMP-2 may produce new endochondral bone parosteal to the original cortex.

An important caveat about all drug therapies for OI that influence bone metabolism, both anabolic and anti-resorptive, is that they lead to increases in bone volume without necessarily improving bone quality. Regardless of quality, increases in bone volume nevertheless lead to increases in overall bone strength and expected reductions in fracture risk. The relationship between the

---

**Fig. 4** (a) Representative confocal images showing calcein labelling on a tibial cross-section highlighting the increase in signal seen in the treatment groups. (b) Significantly more fluorescent calcein was detected on the periosteal surface of tibiae treated with either Saline or bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2), than Nil. (c) An increase in calcein signal is seen on the endosteal surface and medullary canal in the Saline treated group compared to the Nil and BMP-2 treated groups. Confocal imaging was used to capture calcein signal on cross-sections of tibiae and was quantified in ImageJ as fluorescent area. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.
increased bone and mechanical strength need to still be mechanically investigated both at a macro level through bending tests, and at a micro level through indentation tests as areas for future study.

Conclusion
This study indicates that the process of reaming, with or without the addition of BMP-2, can lead to additional bone formation in both WT mice and a genetic mouse model of OI. This stimulation of new bone is reproducible and anticipated to increase long bone strength, and thus and may have important translational implications for surgical intervention for brittle bone fractures.
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