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ABSTRACT

We present results for two ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs), IC 342 X-1 and IC 342 X-2, using two epochs of XMM-Newton and NuSTAR observations separated by ~7 days. We observe little spectral or flux variability above 1 keV between epochs, with unabsorbed 0.3–10 keV luminosities being $1.04^{+0.08}_{-0.06} \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for IC 342 X-1 and $7.40 \pm 0.20 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for IC 342 X-2, so that both were observed in a similar, luminous state. Both sources have a high absorbing column in excess of the Galactic value. Neither source has a spectrum consistent with a black hole binary in low/hard state, and both ULXs exhibit strong curvature in their broadband X-ray spectra. This curvature rules out models that invoke a simple reflection-dominated spectrum with a broadened iron line and no cutoff in the illuminating power-law continuum. X-ray spectrum of IC 342 X-1 can be characterized by a soft disk-like blackbody component at low energies and a cool, optically thick Comptonization continuum at high energies, but unique physical interpretation of the spectral components remains challenging. The broadband spectrum of IC 342 X-2 can be fit by either a hot (3.8 keV) accretion disk or a Comptonized continuum with no indication of a seed photon population. Although the seed photon component may be masked by soft excess emission unlikely to be associated with the binary system, combined with the high absorption column, it is more plausible that the broadband X-ray emission arises from a simple thin blackbody disk component. Secure identification of the origin of the spectral components in these sources will likely require broadband spectral variability studies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs), off-nuclear point X-ray sources with luminosities (assuming isotropic emission) exceeding $10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Feng & Soria 2011) in the 0.3–10 keV band, are assumed to be black holes accreting from a binary companion. A very small number of objects ($< 6$) have X-ray luminosities in excess of $10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Colbert & Mushotzky 1999; Farrell et al. 2009). For these hyperluminous X-ray sources (Gao et al. 2003), massive ($10^{5} – 10^{6} M_{\odot}$) black holes are the natural explanation, since relativistic beaming—the only way to boost intrinsic luminosity by orders of magnitude—has largely been ruled out by observations (Kording et al. 2002; Moon et al. 2011). For the lower luminosity population, $L_{x} \lesssim 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$, high/super-Eddington accretion onto stellar mass ($10–70 M_{\odot}$) black holes with geometrical (i.e., non-relativistic) beaming provide a reasonable explanation of the observed properties for many objects; even with a moderately super-Eddington mass supply, an apparent luminosity $\approx 20 L_{\text{Edd}}$ can be reached (Ohsuga & Mineshige 2011). While most models focus on near-or super-Eddington accretion, we note that recently one object with a luminosity exceeding $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ has been shown to be an accreting neutron star (Bachetti et al. 2014).

Most “standard” ULXs have X-ray spectra that do not resemble the typical states of Galactic accreting black hole binaries (see Roberts 2007 and Feng & Soria 2011 for reviews). Frequently a soft excess, usually modeled as a disk blackbody component with a temperature $\sim 0.3$ keV is present, along with spectral curvature of continuum emission above $\sim 6–8$ keV. The spectral turnover is almost ubiquitous in high-quality X-ray spectra and occurs at the upper end of the energy band accessible to XMM-Newton, Chandra, and Suzaku (Stobbart et al. 2006; Gladstone et al. 2011). In contrast, Galactic black hole binaries are predominantly found in a thin accretion disk-dominated soft state (effective blackbody temperature of 1–2 keV) or a hard power-law state with spectral cutoffs seen only at very high energies ($> 100$ keV).

For standard ULXs, the 0.3–10 keV spectra can typically be decomposed into two components, the disk-like blackbody or thermal contribution prominent at energies of $\lesssim 1$ keV (Miller et al. 2013), and a harder component that can be modeled as either a power law or a power law with an exponential cutoff, depending on the level of spectral curvature seen above 6–8 keV (Gladstone et al. 2009; Sutton et al. 2013). Toward the lower end of this luminosity range in some cases a single, broadened disk component (i.e., a disk with a shallower temperature
profile than a standard Shakura & Sunyaev thin disk) can dominate the broadband spectrum. Sutton et al. (2013) classify the spectral states as “broadened disk”-dominated, predominantly seen at lower luminosity ($L_x \lesssim 0.3 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$), a “soft ultraluminous” state where the power-law component has a steep spectral index ($\Gamma > 2$), and a “hard ultraluminous” state with $\Gamma < 2$ (see also Gladstone et al. 2009). The latter two spectral states are typically observed at higher luminosities and within this framework, the difference is ascribed to viewing angle.

How to associate the different spectral components with specific physical regions in the accretion flow is unclear. The soft thermal component is often associated with an accretion disk. If the soft component is produced by a standard thin disk extending to the proximity of the black hole, it implies a black hole mass $M_{\text{bh}} \gtrsim 100 M_\odot$ (Miller et al. 2003, 2004). The soft blackbody alternately plausibly originates in the photosphere of a thick, radiatively driven wind (Sutton et al. 2013; King 2004). Disk winds are expected for black holes close to Eddington and become more prominent the higher the luminosity (Poutanen et al. 2007). The trend for ULXs to exhibit higher variability in the soft ultraluminous state is consistent with the wind model, since the wind may become clumpy and result in time-variable obscuration (Sutton et al. 2013). The wind scenario could explain the fact that the blackbody luminosity sometimes appears to be inversely correlated with temperature (Kajava & Poutanen 2009; Feng & Kaaret 2009), contrary to the $L \propto T^4$ expected for accretion through an optically thick, geometrically thin disk. It should be noted, however, that while their sample did not include IC 342 X-1 and IC 342 X-2, Miller et al. (2013) found that a reanalysis of data from a number of bright ULXs where an inverse correlation between luminosity and temperature has been claimed contradicts this finding. It has also been suggested that the soft component arises from blurred line emission from highly ionized, fast-moving gas (Gonçalves & Soria 2006).

Several origins have also been suggested for the cutoff power-law component. It can be modeled as thermal Comptonization from a cool ($\sim 3$ keV), optically thick electron population associated with the inner regions of the accretion disk. These electrons upscatter disk emission, creating the broad continuum with a turnover just below 10 keV. This “cool corona” may mask the hottest disk regions from view (Gladstone et al. 2009), explaining the low ($\sim 0.3$ keV) temperatures seen in the soft blackbody (in the scenario where the soft blackbody arises from the accretion disk). Alternately, Middleton et al. (2011) suggest the hard emission may be coming from the hot inner disk with the spectral shape resulting from a large color correction. In the “broadened disk,” or “slim disk” scenario, the broadband emission can be described by an advection-dominated disk with increased scale height (Abramowicz et al. 1988; i.e., a disk with a broadened temperature profile).

The association of the observed spectral states of ULXs with stages of super-Eddington accretion is not, however, definitive. Reflection models (Caballero-García & Fabian 2010) explain the $E > 6–8$ keV turnover as resulting from relativistically blurred Fe line emission reflected off the inner regions of a disk around an intermediate mass black hole. This model has been eliminated for NGC 1313 X-1 (Bachetti et al. 2013), Circinus ULXS (Walton et al. 2013), and Holmberg IX X-1 (Walton et al. 2014) because Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR) fails to see the predicted upturn due to Compton scattering above 10 keV (Walton et al. 2011); however, it remains possible that some ULXs may harbor more massive black holes and therefore exhibit accretion geometries distinct from those described above.

The interpretation of the X-ray spectral components has been hampered by the limited 0.3–10 keV bandpass over which they have been studied. In particular, the spectral steepening above $\sim 6$ keV could arise either from a cutoff or from poor modeling of the continuum due to possible broadened iron lines in a reflection-dominated regime (Caballero-García & Fabian 2010; Gladstone et al. 2011). Furthermore, the shape of the turnover above 10 keV can be used to better constrain physical models for the broad underlying continuum. Unlike nearby bright Galactic binaries where collimated instruments can obtain quality broadband 0.3–100 keV spectra, studies of ULXs above 10 keV require the sensitivity of a focusing telescope. The NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013) high-energy X-ray focusing telescope, launched in 2012 June, is the first orbiting mission to provide sensitive spectroscopy in the 3–79 keV band. NuSTAR is an ideal complement to XMM-Newton, Chandra and Suzaku for spectral and temporal studies of ULXs.

In this paper, we report results from observations of two ULXs in the face-on intermediate spiral galaxy IC 342 ($d = 3.93 \pm 0.10$ Mpc; Bsemi Tikhonov & Galazutdinova 2010) made as part of a joint NuSTAR and XMM-Newton program to study a sample of nearby ($d \lesssim 10$ Mpc), luminous ($L_x \sim 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) ULXs. IC 342 X-1 and IC 342 X-2 are ideally suited for broadband spectral studies with NuSTAR in that they are bright, nearby, and have relatively hard X-ray spectra. Both are well-studied below 10 keV (Kubota et al. 2002; Feng & Kaaret 2009), and show long-term spectral variability. State transitions similar to those seen in Galactic binaries have also been reported for both sources based on ASCA observations (Kubota et al. 2001), although source confusion due to the large point-spread function (PSF) is a complicating factor for those data.

In Section 2 we summarize the observations and data reduction, Section 3 describes the spectral modeling, Section 4 summarizes any timing variability during these observations, and Section 5 interprets the results in the context of previous X-ray observations. Finally, we present our conclusions in Section 6.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The XMM-Newton and NuSTAR observations were performed as part of a joint program aimed at acquiring simultaneous broadband X-ray data on a sample of the brightest ULXs. The NuSTAR observations were broken into two epochs with integration times (corrected for Earth occultation and South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) passage) of 98.6 and 127.7 ks, respectively. XMM-Newton observed the field for overlapping intervals of 44 and 39 ks. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the observations.

The XMM-Newton data were reduced using the Science Analysis System (SAS v12.0.1). We produced calibrated event files with EPCHAIN and EMCHAIN, created custom good time interval files to filter out periods of high background according to the prescription in the SAS manual, and selected only FLAG==0 & & PATTERN<4 events for EPIC-pn and FLAG==0 & & PATTERN<12 events for the EPIC-MOS cameras.

We reduced the NuSTAR data using the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software (NuSTARDAS) v0.11.1 and CALDB version 20130509. The NuSTAR observations were taken during intervals of normal Solar activity, and we used standard filtering to remove periods of high background during SAA passages and Earth occultation. We created, cleaned, and calibrated event files using the NUPIPELINE script with standard settings.
For XMM-Newton, IC 342 X-1 was placed close to the optical axis. Unfortunately, in the EPIC-pn camera IC 342 X-2 falls close to a chip gap, and the roll angle was such that the source was out of the field of view of MOS1 and near a chip gap in MOS2. For IC 342 X-2, we therefore report data only from EPIC-pn and MOS2.

NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013) has two coaligned optics modules with corresponding focal planes, referred to as FPMA and FPMB. In both NuSTAR modules, IC 342 X-1 was placed on Detector 0, within 1/5 of the optical axis, and IC 342 X-2 was on Detector 2, neither falling near a gap (see Harrison et al. 2013 for a description of the layout of the NuSTAR focal plane). As a result, IC 342 X-2 was about 6′ off-axis where vignetting becomes significant above 20 keV (see Harrison et al. 2013).

2.1. IC 342 X-1

To extract events for spectral analysis, we used a 60″ radius region for XMM-Newton and 50″ for NuSTAR. A contaminating source near IC 342 X-1 is clearly visible in a zoomed-in XMM-Newton image (Figure 1). In order to remove this object from the XMM-Newton spectrum, we exclude a 45″ radius region centered on this faint source. Due to the larger NuSTAR PSF, the contaminating source cannot be excised from the NuSTAR spectrum. However, the 5–10 keV flux of the contaminating source, as measured by XMM-Newton, is \(8.1 \times 10^{-14}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), more than a factor of 20 fainter than IC 342 X-1. Using the measured power-law index of 1.7 to extrapolate to the NuSTAR band, we find that this source can contribute at most 4% of the total flux at \(\sim 10\) keV, but could contribute up to \(\sim 20\%\) at 30 keV if the contaminating source spectrum continues unbroken to this energy. To verify that contamination does not affect our spectral results, we compared NuSTAR spectra using a 30″ extraction radius, and confirmed the extracted spectra are entirely consistent with those obtained with the larger radius to within statistical errors. It therefore appears that the contaminating source has a spectral turnover below 30 keV. We adopt the larger extraction radius for spectroscopic analysis in order to optimize the signal to noise. To extract the background, we used a 60″ radius region to the NE of IC 342 X-1 for XMM-Newton, and an 80″ radius region on detector 0 for NuSTAR. For EPIC-PN, it is prescribed by the manual that the background region should have a similar RAWY co-ordinates as the source (i.e., similar distance from the readout node) in order to have similar levels of low-energy noise, but in our case, this was not feasible due to the presence of other sources and hot pixels at those coordinates. We made sure that the background region was extracted from the same detector, avoiding other sources, hot pixels, and the detector column passing through the source. We used similar criteria for EPIC-MOS data also.

Figure 2 shows the NuSTAR count spectrum from the epoch 2 observation for both focal plane modules along with the extracted background spectrum. The source and background counts become comparable at approximately 25 keV, and spectra can be reliably analyzed up to \(\sim 30\) keV.

2.2. IC 342 X-2

The region surrounding IC 342 X-2 is clear of contaminating sources. For XMM-Newton EPIC-pn and MOS2, we used a 50″ circular region around the source and a region of the same size for extracting background photons, chosen from a source-free region on the same detector. We followed standard XMM-Newton guidelines for generating spectral products (Figure 3). For NuSTAR, we extracted source counts from a 50″ region

---

**Table 1**

| Obs ID       | Start Time       | End Time        | On Time (ks) | Source Counts* |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
|              | UTC              | UTC             | FPMA         | FPMB           |
| 30002032003  | 2012 Aug 10 18:21:07 | 2012 Aug 12 13:51:07 | 98.6         | 98.6           | 4822 | 4638 |
| 30002032005  | 2012 Aug 16 08:26:07 | 2012 Aug 18 19:16:07 | 127.7        | 127.7          | 7253 | 7110 |

*Note. a The counts are for on-axis source IC 342 X-1.

---

**Table 2**

| Obs ID       | Start Time       | End Time        | On Time (ks) | Source Counts* |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|
|              | UTC              | UTC             | pn           | MOS1 MOS2      |
|              | UTC              |                 | FPMA         | FPMB           |
| 0693850601   | 2012 Aug 11 20:07:29 | 2012 Aug 12 12:32:21 | 33.7         | 44.1 44.6      | 13843 | 6032 6119 |
| 0693851301   | 2012 Aug 17 19:49:27 | 2012 Aug 18 11:51:48 | 33.0         | 39.1 39.1      | 19298 | 7609 7692 |

*Note. a The counts are for on-axis source IC 342 X-1.

---

**Figure 1.** XMM-Newton field of view around IC 342 X-1. Red, green, and blue colors in the image indicate photons in the ranges 0.1–1.5, 1.5–4.5, and 4.5–12 keV, respectively. The larger green circle indicates the source extraction region and the smaller green circle with the red line indicates the region excluded to remove a contaminating source. The red circle is the background extraction region.

---

12 http://xmm2.esac.esa.int/docs/documents/CAL-TN-0018.pdf
centered on the source position. For NuSTAR background estimation, we used an 80" radius source free region on detector 2.

Figure 4 shows the NuSTAR count spectrum from the epoch 2 observation for both focal plane modules along with the extracted background spectrum. IC 342 X-2 is fainter than IC 342 X-1, is further off-axis, and the source and background counts become comparable just above 20 keV, so that reliable spectra can be analyzed up to ~25 keV. After making sure that the separate spectra for both the epochs do not show significant spectral variability, we combined the spectra from two epochs using ftool ADDASCASPEC.

3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

Throughout this work, we perform spectral modeling using XSPEC v12.8.0 (Arnaud 1996), and absorption by intervening material is treated using tbabs with updated Wilms et al. (2000) solar abundances and a photoionization cross section as described in Verner et al. (1996). We perform fitting using $\chi^2$ minimization and quote all errors at 90% confidence unless noted otherwise. For fitting, we group spectra to a minimum of 25 counts per bin.

In order to assess the form of the broadband XMM-Newton and NuSTAR X-ray spectra of IC 342 X-1 and IC 342 X-2, and specifically to look for spectral curvature above 6–8 keV observed previously in other ULXs (Stobbart et al. 2006; Gladstone et al. 2009; Walton et al. 2011), we performed a joint fit of the data from both epochs in the overlapping 5–10 keV energy band using a simple power-law model. We allowed the relative normalization between the XMM-Newton EPIC-pn and MOS2 and NuSTAR FPMA and FPMB to vary in order to account for cross calibration uncertainties. For all models considered and for both sources, we find the FPMA to FPMB cross-calibration differences to be small (<1%). XMM-Newton and NuSTAR have significant overlap in their energy bands (both cover 3–10 keV), so that cross-calibration factors are well constrained. The EPIC-pn to NuSTAR normalization differences are below the expected $\lesssim$10% level. In Figure 5, we plot the ratio of the data to the model over the 0.5–40 keV band. The continuum clearly has significant curvature across this broadband that is generally similar in both sources. The best-fit 5–10 keV spectral index is $\Gamma = 2.22 \pm 0.10$ for IC 342 X-1 and $\Gamma = 1.80 \pm 0.12$ for IC 342 X-2. Figure 6 shows unfolded broadband spectra through a simple constant model, allowing the relative normalization between the XMM-Newton EPIC-pn and MOS2 and NuSTAR FPMA and FPMB to vary in order to account for cross calibration uncertainties. For all models considered and for both sources, we find the FPMA to FPMB cross-calibration differences to be small (<1%). XMM-Newton and NuSTAR have significant overlap in their energy bands (both cover 3–10 keV), so that cross-calibration factors are well constrained. The EPIC-pn to NuSTAR normalization differences are below the expected $\lesssim$10% level. In Figure 5, we plot the ratio of the data to the model over the 0.5–40 keV band. The continuum clearly has significant curvature across this broadband that is generally similar in both sources. The best-fit 5–10 keV spectral index is $\Gamma = 2.22 \pm 0.10$ for IC 342 X-1 and $\Gamma = 1.80 \pm 0.12$ for IC 342 X-2. Figure 6 shows unfolded broadband spectra through a simple constant model, indicating an additional emission component at low energies. In both sources, the high-energy rollover is evident.

The luminosity of both sources was constant within 15% between the two observations. Using the best-fit diskbb + cutoffpl model (see below) and using a distance of 3.93 Mpc (Tikhonov & Galuzdinova 2010), the absorbed 0.3–30 keV luminosity of IC 342 X-1 was $6.74^{+0.11}_{-0.37} \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ during epoch 1 and $7.56^{+0.07}_{-0.54} \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ during epoch 2. For IC 342 X-2, the epoch 1 absorbed luminosity was $7.30^{+0.17}_{-0.46} \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ compared to $6.83^{+0.15}_{-0.41} \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for epoch 2.

3.1. IC 342 X-1 Model Fits

To characterize the broadband 0.3–30 keV continuum, we fit the XMM-Newton and NuSTAR data jointly with a number of parameterized models commonly used to describe ULX X-ray spectra in the 0.3–10 keV band. For simplicity in all
fittings, we apply a single neutral absorber to account for Galactic and local extinction, since the Galactic column is small ($N_H = 3.0 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2}$) relative to that found in the spectral fitting. The absorption model ($\text{tbabs}$) is an overall multiplicative factor for all models. As noted above, a simple absorbed power law provides a poor description of the data, and so we focus on models, both empirical and physical, that have a cutoff at high energy.

We fit six different continuum models that have a high energy turnover: (1) a power law with an exponential cutoff ($\text{cutoffpl}$ in XSPEC); (2) a single blackbody disk fit to the entire spectrum; (3) a cutoff power law with the addition of a multicolor disk component with a temperature profile given by a Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) thin disk ($\text{diskbb}$; Mitsuda et al. 1984); (4) a thin disk plus Comptonization ($\text{complt}$; Titarchuk 1994) model, where the seed photon temperature for Compton scattering is set to the inner disk temperature; (5) a disk model with a variable radial temperature profile index, $p$ (Mineshige et al. 1994), $\text{diskpbb}$; and (6) a blurred relativistic reflection model that describes reflection of coronal emission from an accretion disk. The physical interpretation of the models and fit parameters will be discussed in Section 5; here we discuss the quality of the fits.

Table 3 summarizes the best-fit parameters and goodness of fit for each model, along with the XSPEC model name. As listed in the table, we have used the set of all six models to characterize spectra from individual epochs as well as for the combined spectra. Although there are formally some differences in the best-fit parameters obtained from each epoch for the models that fit the broadband spectra well, these are very minor and we mainly focus on describing the results from the combined data sets. For these models, we have also confirmed that fitting the data from each epoch simultaneously with all parameters linked between them and only overall normalization constants free to vary still provides excellent fits, justifying our decision to combine the data.

The empirical cutoff power-law model provides a moderately acceptable fit, with $\chi^2 = 1.07(2509/2344)$ for the combined epoch 1 and 2 data. However, the residuals show a clear "m"-shaped structure (see Figure 7) with an excess that is particularly evident at $\sim 1$ keV. We therefore add a thermal disk component to the cutoff power law. The best-fit inner temperature is 0.31 ± 0.03 keV and this significantly improves the fit, reducing the $\chi^2$ by 150 for two additional degrees of freedom and eliminating the systematic excess at low energy (see Figure 7). We then investigated replacing the empirical cutoff power law with a Comptonization component with the seed photon energy tied to the inner blackbody disk temperature. This also results in an acceptable fit ($\chi^2 = 1.02(2398/2342)$), although the residuals for the three spectral bins above $\sim 15$ keV are systematically high. We have also investigated disconnecting the seed photon temperature from the inner disk temperature while fitting absorbed $\text{diskbb + complt}$ models. However, this leads to a strong degeneracy between the two parameters, making one of them completely unconstrained without affecting the fit statistically. Therefore, we decided to proceed with the two parameters tied together for this model fit, following the limitations of the present data.
Table 3
Best-fit Spectral Parameters for IC 342 X-1 from Joint Fit to XMM-Newton and NuSTAR Data for Various Spectral Models

| Parameter        | Unit                  | Epoch 1                  | Epoch 2                  | Combined Epochs |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| N_H              | 10^{22} cm^{-2}       | 0.77 ± 0.03              | 0.87 ± 0.03              | 0.82 ± 0.02     |
| Γ                |                       | 1.45 ± 0.06              | 1.61 ± 0.05              | 1.55 ± 0.04     |
| E_{cut}          | keV                   | 11.6 ± 1.5               | 11.4 ± 1.3               | 11.3 ± 0.18     |
| N_{H}f            | photon keV^{-1} cm^{-2} s^{-1} | (5.90 ± 0.25) \times 10^{-4} | (8.83 ± 0.27) \times 10^{-4} | (7.34 ± 0.21) \times 10^{-4} |
| Flux             | 10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} | 4.35 ± 0.10              | 5.51 ± 0.14              | 4.85 ± 0.08     |
| Luminosity       | 10^{40} erg s^{-1}    | 0.80 ± 0.02              | 1.02 ± 0.03              | 0.90 ± 0.02     |

Model = TBabs * cutoffpl

| N_H              | 10^{22} cm^{-2}       | 0.40 ± 0.04              | 0.41 ± 0.03              | 0.41*           |
| kT_{in}          | keV                   | 2.45 ± 0.05              | 2.23 ± 0.04              | 2.31           |
| N_{H}b            |                       | 9.1^{+10.4}              | 7.7^{+5.2}               | 7.0^{+4.0}      |
| Γ                |                       | 1.00 ± 0.13              | 1.39 ± 0.12              | 1.22 ± 0.09     |
| E_{cut}          | keV                   | 7.0^{+1.0}               | 8.7^{+1.1}               | 7.6^{+1.3}      |
| N_{H}p            | photon keV^{-1} cm^{-2} s^{-1} | (4.02^{+5.3} \times 10^{-3}) | (7.37 ± 0.85) \times 10^{-4} | (5.56 ± 0.48) \times 10^{-4} |
| Flux             | 10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} | 3.51 ± 0.07              | 4.09 ± 0.07              | 3.76*          |
| Luminosity       | 10^{40} erg s^{-1}    | 0.65 ± 0.01              | 0.76 ± 0.01              | 0.70*          |

Model = TBabs * diskbb

| N_H              | 10^{22} cm^{-2}       | 1.14^{+0.11} -0.12       | 1.17^{+0.15} -0.17       | 1.15 ± 0.10     |
| kT_{in}          | keV                   | 0.22 ± 0.03              | 0.18 ± 0.02              | 0.20 ± 0.02     |
| N_{H}b            |                       | 62^{+4.7}                | 172^{+128}               | 97^{+102} -0.52 |
| kT_{e}           | keV                   | 3.16^{+0.20} -0.18       | 3.53^{+0.27} -0.23       | 3.31^{+0.16} -0.14 |
| τ                |                       | 6.33 ± 0.38              | 5.13 ± 0.33              | 5.65 ± 0.25     |
| N_{compt}        |                       | (4.28^{+0.43} \times 10^{-4}) | (6.58 ± 0.72) \times 10^{-4} | (5.29 ± 0.41) \times 10^{-4} |
| Flux             | 10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} | 6.07^{+1.67} -0.46       | 7.62^{+2.47} -0.67       | 6.83^{+1.24} -0.42 |
| Luminosity       | 10^{40} erg s^{-1}    | 1.12^{+0.73} -0.45       | 1.41^{+0.86} -0.51       | 1.26^{+0.22} -0.17 |
| Flux ratio\(^a\) |                       | 0.40                     | 0.21                     | 0.32           |

Model = TBabs * diskbb + comptt

| N_H              | 10^{22} cm^{-2}       | 0.81 ± 0.03              | 0.92 ± 0.03              | 0.87 ± 0.02     |
| kT_{in}          | keV                   | 4.66^{+0.20} -0.24       | 4.49^{+0.25} -0.22       | 4.50^{+0.0} -0.16 |
| p                |                       | 6545 ± 0.006             | 5.519 ± 0.005            | 5.30 ± 0.0004   |
| N_{H}f            |                       | (1.22^{+0.55} \times 10^{-4}) | (1.25^{+0.55} \times 10^{-4}) | (1.26^{+0.0} \times 10^{-4}) |
| Flux             | 10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} | 4.54 ± 0.10              | 5.86 ± 0.14              | 5.13 ± 0.08     |
| Luminosity       | 10^{40} erg s^{-1}    | 0.84 ± 0.02              | 1.08 ± 0.03              | 0.95 ± 0.02     |

Model = TBabs * (powerlaw+kdblur2(reflions))

| N_H              | 10^{22} cm^{-2}       | 1.09 ± 0.02              | 1.08 ± 0.03              | 1.06 ± 0.02     |
| Γ                |                       | 1.70^{+0.02} -0.04       | 1.93 ± 0.03              | 1.84 ± 0.02     |
| A_{Fe}           |                       | 5.7^{+1.1}               | 3.1^{+0.9}               | 4.9^{+0.4}      |
| N_{H}f            |                       | (1.32^{+0.0} \times 10^{-9}) | (1.70^{+0.0} \times 10^{-9}) | (1.55^{+0.0} \times 10^{-9}) |
| R_{n}            |                       | <1.33                    | <1.25                    | <1.24           |
| i                | deg                   | 76.5^{+2.5} -3.6         | 78.2^{+2.9} -3.2         | 86.3^{+2.2} -3.5 |
| χ^2/ν (diskbb model) |                   | 1563/1381 (4.1 \times 10^{-4}) | 1703/1590 (0.07)       | 2747/2352 (1.5 \times 10^{-11}) |
| Flux             | 10^{-12} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} | 5.20 ± 0.10              | 6.30 ± 0.10              | 5.77 ± 0.08     |
| Luminosity       | 10^{40} erg s^{-1}    | 0.96 ± 0.02              | 1.16 ± 0.02              | 1.07 ± 0.02     |

Notes. All the listed flux and luminosity estimates are unabsorbed values in the 0.3–30 keV energy band.

\(^a\) The fit is unacceptable with poor reduced χ^2 = 2.09, hence we did not estimate errors on the parameters.

\(^b\) The ratio of flux in soft to hard component in two component fit models.
We also attempted to fit an absorbed diskbb model alone to the data. Note this is different from the previous cases where we add the disk component to explain a soft excess on top of the broad continuum. We find a hot Shakura & Sunyaev thin disk cannot explain the observed broadband spectrum ($\chi^2_2 = 2.09(4899/2345)$; see also Figure 8). At accretion rates that reach a significant fraction of Eddington, the scale height of the disk is expected to increase and advection becomes important (Abramowicz et al. 1988), resulting in a shallower radial temperature profile and broader spectral emission relative to a thin disk. We therefore tried fitting the data with the diskbb model, which allows the radial temperature profile index, $p$, to be a free parameter. This model is a significantly better fit compared to the thin disk, yielding an acceptable fit ($\chi^2_2 = 1.05(2464/2344)$). The best-fit value for $p$ is $0.53 \pm 0.004$ (constrained to better then 1%), shallower than the $p = 0.75$ associated with a thin disk.

For comparison, we also fit the 0.3–30 keV spectrum with a blurred relativistic reflection model in which the broadband spectrum is dominated by reflection of coronal emission from an accretion disk. This model was originally proposed to explain the spectral cutoff seen in the 7–10 keV band as the result of relativistically smeared iron features (Caballero-García & Fabian 2010). Unlike the previously described models, the reflection scenario predicts that above 10 keV the spectrum should flatten due to a contribution from Compton backscattering (Walton et al. 2010). As expected, based on the sharp turnover persisting above 10 keV in the NuSTAR data, this model (the convolution of the reflionx table, Ross & Fabian 2005; with a Laor profile, Laor 1991) overpredicts the data above $\sim$10 keV (see Figure 8). Similar results were found in broadband modeling including NuSTAR data of NGC 1313 X-1 (Bachetti et al. 2013), Circinus ULX5 (Walton et al. 2013), and Holmberg IX X-1 (Walton et al. 2014).

### 3.2. IC 342 X-2 Model Fits

We jointly fit the XMM-Newton and NuSTAR spectra for the individual and combined epochs using an absorbed cutoff power law over the full 0.3–25 keV band. The fit is acceptable, with $\chi^2$/dof of (1008/1039) for the combined data set, but clear residuals can be seen below 1 keV (see Figure 9, top panel). The cutoff power-law parameters are very similar between epochs (see Table 4). Figure 10 directly compares the XMM-Newton pn spectra between two epochs and shows that the spectra are consistent between epochs. If we add a disk blackbody component to fit the excess below 1 keV, the best-fit temperature is $T_{\text{in}} = 0.044_{-0.007}^{+0.016}$ keV (Figure 9, bottom panel). Addition of a blackbody component improves the fit by $\Delta \chi^2 = 18$ for two additional degree of freedoms and statistically accounts for the excess, but with unrealistically high normalization for blackbody component (see Table 4). The luminosity associated with the diskbb component is extreme; $4.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the first epoch and $1.4 \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the second (in the 0.1–30.0 keV bandpass). We conclude that the low-energy excess is not associated with a blackbody component in the ULX IC 342 X-2. This unusual low-energy excess has been noted in previous XMM-Newton observations of IC 342 X-2. Feng & Kaaret (2009) fit this component with a thermal plasma emission model down to 0.5 keV, but they note that the fit is poor below $\sim$0.5 keV and cannot find a physical model that fits the data below $\sim$0.5 keV. A diffuse origin could be one viable explanation for this soft excess component. Therefore, we also tried fitting a collisionally ionized diffuse thermal plasma component (APEC model in XSPEC) along with the cutoff
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Table 4
Best-fit Spectral Parameters for IC 342 X-2 from a Joint Fit to XMM-Newton and NuSTAR Data for a Cutoff Power-law Model with and without a Blackbody Component

| Parameter                  | Unit   | Epoch 1               | Epoch 2               | Combined Epochs        |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|                            | Model = TBabs * cutoffpl |                      |                       |                        |
| $N_H$                      | 10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$       | 1.85 ± 0.21           | 1.67 ± 0.20           | 1.78 ± 0.14            |
| $\Gamma$                   | keV     | 0.57 ± 0.15           | 0.31 ± 0.15           | 0.46 ± 0.11            |
| $E_{\text{cut}}$           | keV     | 5.0$^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$   | 5.0$^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$   | 5.4$^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$    |
| $N_{\text{pl}}$            | (2.75$^{+0.48}_{-0.41}$ × 10$^{-4}$ | (1.95$^{+0.33}_{-0.28}$ × 10$^{-4}$ | (2.35$^{+0.28}_{-0.25}$ × 10$^{-4}$ |
| $\chi^2$/dof              | 567/618 | 653/693               | 1008/1039             |
| Null hypothesis prob.      | 0.93    | 0.86                  | 0.75                  |
| Flux                       | 10$^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 4.13 ± 0.18           | 3.79 ± 0.15           | 3.99 ± 0.11            |
| Luminosity                 | 10$^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$    | 0.76 ± 0.03           | 0.70 ± 0.03           | 0.74 ± 0.02            |

| Model = TBabs * (diskbb + cutoffpl) |                      |                       |                        |
| $N_H$                      | 10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$       | 1.89$^{+0.20}_{-0.19}$ | 2.21$^{+0.50}_{-0.48}$ | 1.84$^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$ |
| $T_{\text{in}}$            | keV     | 0.040$^{+0.019}_{-0.009}$ | 0.11 ± 0.05           | 0.044$^{+0.016}_{-0.007}$ |
| $N_{\text{disk}}$          | 8.11 × 10$^6$           | 1.01 × 10$^4$           | 9.72 × 10$^8$           |
| $\Gamma$                   | keV     | 0.59 ± 0.15           | 0.54 ± 0.21           | 0.49 ± 0.11            |
| $E_{\text{cut}}$           | keV     | 5.7$^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$   | 5.7$^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$   | 5.4$^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$    |
| $N_{\text{pl}}$            | (2.82$^{+0.49}_{-0.41}$ × 10$^{-4}$ | (2.51$^{+0.64}_{-0.54}$ × 10$^{-4}$ | (2.42$^{+0.29}_{-0.25}$ × 10$^{-4}$ |
| $\chi^2$/dof              | 557/616 | 639/691               | 990/1037             |
| Null hypothesis prob.      | 0.96    | 0.92                  | 0.85                  |
| Flux                       | 10$^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 4.16$^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$ | 3.99$^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$ | 4.03 ± 0.12            |
| Luminosity                 | 10$^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$    | 0.77 ± 0.03           | 0.74 ± 0.03           | 0.74 ± 0.02            |

Note. All the listed flux and luminosity estimates are unabsorbed values in 0.3–30 keV energy band.

power-law model to the data. The diffuse component was only subject to a fixed Galactic absorption along the direction of IC 342 ($N_H = 3 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$), while the cutoff power law was still subject to an additional absorption column that was free to vary. This model also provides an acceptable fit with $\chi^2$/dof = 989/1037 and a best-fit apec plasma temperature is 0.26$^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$.

The cutoff power-law parameters are consistent with those listed in Table 4. The residuals look very similar to the bottom panel of Figure 9.

Alternatively, the soft X-ray excess could also result from incorrect modeling of an absorber that might plausibly consist of material with non-solar abundances (see Goad et al. 2006; Pintore & Zampieri 2012). To test this possibility, we used tbvarabs absorption model that allows us to vary the abundances for various elements. We refitted the absorbed cutoff power-law model with tbvarabs by allowing abundance of various elements (C, N, O, Ne, Na, Mg, and Fe) to vary one-by-one. All the abundances were consistent with being solar, although the count statistics below 1 keV is poor (see Figure 9), and it does not allow us to constrain any of the abundances. The soft excess does not get resolved and still remains. Hence, it is most likely that the soft X-ray excess is not due to non-solar absorbing material.

Since the modeling of the soft excess below $\sim$1 keV provides unrealistic results and its origin is not clear, we restrict fitting of additional models to the energy range 1–25 keV. Also, since the spectral shape above 1 keV is constant between the epochs, we fit both epochs together to improve statistical accuracy given the lower count rate of IC 342 X-2 relative to IC 342 X-1. We fit a Comptonization model with the seed photon temperature free to vary, since given the restricted energy range, we cannot constrain the existence of a thin disk component. This model provides a good fit to the data, with $\chi^2$/dof = 984/1017 (Table 5). In contrast to IC 342 X-1 the disk blackbody model also fits the broadband data well, with $\chi^2$/dof = 1003/1019. Above $\sim$10 keV the residuals are systematically slightly high (see Figure 11), although this is not significant. If we allow the disk temperature profile to vary, the best-fit parameterization is $p = 0.68^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$, only slightly lower than for a Shakura & Sunyaev disk model.

4. SHORT TIMESCALE VARIABILITY

We ran a timing analysis to search for non-coherent variability on fast timescales. We started by producing light curves cleaned from all intervals with increased background activity (such as the
Table 5

| Parameter | Unit | Combined Epochs |
|-----------|------|-----------------|
|           |      | 1+2             |
| Model = TBabs * comptt |
| $N_B$  | $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ | 0.93$^{+0.17}_{-0.15}$ |
| $T_0$  | keV | 0.77 ± 0.06 |
| $kT_e$ | keV | 3.24$^{+0.23}_{-0.19}$ |
| $r$  |       | 6.84$^{+0.59}_{-0.56}$ |
| $\chi^2$/dof | (1.85 ± 0.15) $\times 10^{-4}$ |
| Null hypothesis prob. | 0.77 |
| Flux | $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 3.85 ± 0.10 |
| Luminosity | $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ | 0.71 ± 0.02 |
| Model = TBabs * diskbb |
| $N_B$  | $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ | 1.75 ± 0.07 |
| $T_{in}$  | keV | 3.53 ± 0.08 |
| $N_{SB}$  | (1.28 ± 0.12) $\times 10^{-3}$ | |
| $\chi^2$/dof | 1003/1019 |
| Null hypothesis prob. | 0.64 |
| Flux | $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 3.84 ± 0.08 |
| Luminosity | $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ | 0.70 ± 0.02 |
| Model = TBabs * diskbb |
| $N_B$  | $10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ | 2.05$^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$ |
| $T_{in}$  | keV | 3.82$^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$ |
| $p$  |       | 0.68$^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ |
| $N_{SB}$  | (7.52$^{+2.30}_{-1.78}$) $\times 10^{-4}$ | |
| $\chi^2$/dof | 990/1018 |
| Null hypothesis prob. | 0.73 |
| Flux | $10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 4.02 ± 0.12 |
| Luminosity | $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ | 0.74 ± 0.02 |

Note. All the listed flux and luminosity estimates are unabsorbed values in 0.3–30 keV energy band.

5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

*XMM-Newton* and *NuSTAR* observed IC 342 X-1 and IC 342 X-2 simultaneously during two epochs spaced approximately one week apart. Neither source exhibited significant luminosity or spectral variability between epochs, and neither source showed significant short-term variability during either epoch. The unabsorbed 0.3–30.0 keV luminosities of both ULXs were 1.04$^{+0.08}_{-0.06} \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for IC 342 X-1, and (0.74 ± 0.02) $\times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for IC 342 X-2, derived using their respective best-fit spectral models. This places both objects in the regime where Sutton et al. (2013) characterize ULXs based on their spectral shapes to be predominantly in an ultraluminous state.

The broadband 0.3–30 keV spectra of the two sources have generally similar features: low-energy absorption above the Galactic value and an exponential cutoff above ~8 keV for IC 342 X-1 and ~6 keV for IC 342 X-2. The derived absorption column is model dependent; for IC 342 X-1, using the disk blackbody plus cutoff power-law model, it is 1.02 $\times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, and for IC 342 X-2, it is 1.84 $\times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ using a cutoff power law. This column may be associated either with the ULX systems or their immediate environment, or it may be intrinsic to the galaxy IC 342. The overall curved spectral shape that continues above 10 keV in both sources has now been consistently seen in other broadband X-ray spectra taken with *NuSTAR* (Bachetti et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2013, 2014) for sources with $L \gtrsim 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$. There are, however, notable differences in the spectral components derived for the two sources using models commonly used to characterize ULX X-ray spectra.

5.1. IC 342 X-1

IC 342 X-1 is known to have significant (a factor of two to three) flux variability as well as spectral variations on year timescales. Kubota et al. (2001) analyzed multiple ASCA observations and found that in two epochs spaced roughly seven years apart, the flux had decreased by a factor ~3 and the spectrum changed from a soft spectrum modeled with a multicolor disk with $T \sim 1.8$ keV to a harder state modeled with a power law with $\Gamma \sim 1.7$. With improved data quality in four epochs from *XMM-Newton*, Feng & Kaaret (2009) confirmed the high/soft state at luminosities $L_x \sim 1.4 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and a low/hard state at $L_x \sim 5 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$. In our observations, IC 342 X-1 appears to have observed in an intermediate state as compared to two states reported by Feng & Kaaret (2009).

An absorbed power law with a blackbody disk (diskbb in XSPEC) with $kT$ varying from ~0.13 keV (high state) to ~0.3 keV (low state) provides a relatively good fit to four epochs of *XMM-Newton* data (Feng & Kaaret, 2009), although some curvature right around 10 keV is noted by these authors. IC 342 X-1 was included in the *XMM-Newton* sample studied by Gladstone et al. (2009), and this analysis found a break energy at $6.7_{-1.0}^{+0.7}$ keV when characterizing the spectrum with a broken power law. A Comptonization model can also be fit to the power-law component, although previous constraints on the physical parameters are generally poor owing to the limited bandpass.

The broadband combined *NuSTAR* and *XMM-Newton* spectrum of IC 342 X-1 shows a clear high-energy cutoff extending above 10 keV. A reflection model in its simplest version, where the continuum arises from relativistically blurred disk reflection (Caballero-García & Fabian 2010) with a power law without any cutoff, is clearly ruled out by the persistent high energy cutoff. The best-fit model for the combined epochs is a power law with
an exponential cutoff with $E_{\text{cut}} = 7.6^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ keV and a low-energy excess modeled as a blackbody disk with $kT = 0.31 \pm 0.03$ keV. There is little spectral variability between epochs and the luminosity varies only by $\sim 10\%$. A single blackbody disk is a poor fit to the broadband spectrum, however, allowing the disk temperature profile to deviate from the prescription for a Shakura & Sunyaev thin disk does fit the data ($\chi^2$/dof = 2464/2344) with $p = 0.530 \pm 0.004$, significantly flatter than for a thin disk. However, there is systematic structure in the residuals (see middle panel of Figure 8), suggesting that more complex models are necessary for a good characterization. Modeling the spectrum with a blackbody disk plus Comptonization for the high-energy continuum yields an acceptable fit.

While several models provide a good characterization of the spectrum, the physical interpretation of the components is not clear. The cutoff power-law characterization of the continuum is purely phenomenological. The low-energy (0.2 keV) blackbody plus Comptonization can be interpreted as blackbody disk emission dominating at low energies, and emission from an optically thick ($\tau \sim 5$), cool ($kT \sim 3$ keV) electron region upscattering the disk photons prevalent at high energy. Miller et al. (2013) point out that such a cool, thick corona is physically unrealistic, likely being so physically large that it would not remain bound to the black hole. Instead, these authors suggest the two components may arise from a “patchy” multi-phase disk, such as might be expected in a high Eddington rate system. This model, while not unique, is consistent with the spectral data presented here and provides a plausible interpretation. We note that in the disk plus Comptonization model, there are positive residuals at high energies, indicating an excess above $\sim 15$ keV relative to this model. While the significance is low, we note that similar high-energy excesses have now been seen in the NuSTAR spectrum of Circinus ULX5 (Walton et al. 2013), in Holmberg IX X-1 (Walton et al. 2014), and NGC 5204 X-1 (E. S. Mukherjee et al. submitted). This component could be associated with a hot corona similar to those found in the very high state of Galactic black hole binaries Remillard & McClintock (2006). It should also be noted that the lack of intrinsic variability and best-fit spectral parameters of IC 342 X-1 suggest that the source falls in the hard ultraluminous regime as defined by Sutton et al. (2013) with super-Eddington accretion modes where a massive outflowing radiatively driven wind is suggested to occur. The presence of such a massive wind in the form of a funnel-like geometry around the central regions of the accretion flow could be another viable explanation of the observed characteristics of IC 342 X-1.

5.2. IC 342 X-2

ASCA observations found that IC 342 X-2 also exhibits spectral and flux variability on timescales of years (Kubota et al. 2001). Feng & Kaaret (2009) found an unusual soft excess at $< 1$ keV not describable by any emission model with sensible physical parameters. Ignoring the low-energy component, these authors find little spectral variability in four XMM-Newton observations with flux differing by a factor of $\sim 4$, in contrast to the ASCA observations. The XMM-Newton observations in the 1–10 keV band can all be fit either with an absorbed power law or with a disk blackbody with $kT^*$ between $\sim 2$–3 keV, the latter being a somewhat better fit.

In our XMM-Newton and NuSTAR data, we also observe the unusual excess below 1 keV initially reported by Feng & Kaaret (2009). Several viable possibilities have been evaluated. A disk blackbody component statistically accounts for the excess in these observations (see Figure 9); however, the corresponding luminosity is unphysically high, so this excess does not seems to be associated with blackbody emission. On the other hand, the lack of variability could indicate a diffuse origin possibly associated with an ionized gas component energized by the ULX or by star formation. A collisionally ionized diffuse component also provides a statistically acceptable fit for the excess. The lack of absorption associated with this very soft component, contrasting with the significant absorption suggested by model fits to the $E > 1$ keV data for the ULX system, may suggest that the absorption is associated with the binary and that the low-energy emission is more extended. We have also checked the possibility of the absorbing material having non-solar abundances (like for Holmberg II X-1, see Goad et al. 2006, and for NGC 1313, see Pintore & Zampieri 2012). However, allowing the abundances of various elements to vary does not account for the excess. Hence, the true nature and origin of this component is unclear at the moment.

Like all ULXs observed by NuSTAR to date, the broadband spectrum of this source also shows a clear high-energy cutoff extending to 25 keV. Ignoring data below 1 keV in order to avoid the soft excess, which is unlikely to be associated with the binary, we get good fits using a cutoff power law with $E_{\text{cut}} = 5.5_{-0.4}^{+0.5}$ keV, a blackbody disk with $kT_{\text{in}} = 3.53 \pm 0.08$ keV, and a Comptonization model with $kT_e = 3.24_{-0.19}^{+0.23}$ keV and an optically thick scattering region. For IC 342 X-2, fitting the broadband emission as a disk does not require a temperature profile that is substantially broadened relative to a thin disk.

The physical interpretation of the different model fits is again not unique. In the context of the blackbody disk fit, the 3.53 keV temperature is unusually high but not unprecedented when compared to Galactic binaries. Tomsick et al. (2005) reported a disk temperature of 3.2 keV for the black hole system 4U1630-47. In the context of the Comptonization fit, unlike for the IC 342 X-1, there is no evidence in the spectrum for the seed photon source. In our Comptonization model, the seed photon temperature was left free to vary, and we find a best-fit value of $\sim 0.8$ keV, hotter than that found for IC 342 X-1. For this temperature, the seed photon emission should be visible even in the presence of the $\sim 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ column. If we force the seed temperature to be 0.3 keV, comparable to that found for IC 342 X-1, we obtain a substantially worse fit ($\chi^2 \sim 60$). It is possible that a lower-temperature ($T \sim 0.1$ keV) blackbody component is present, but is confused by the combination of the $E < 1$ keV excess emission and the absorbing column. The lack of evidence for a seed photon source makes the Comptonization interpretation less likely, and in this system, the broadband emission may indeed arise from an optically thick, geometrically thin disk.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The first broadband (0.3–30 keV) X-ray observations of two ULXs in the galaxy IC 342 with NuSTAR and XMM-Newton show that they have broadly similar spectra, with significant local absorption and a clear exponential cutoff above $\sim 6$ keV. The two sources, IC 342 X-1 and IC 342 X-2 were caught in relatively bright states, with luminosities of $1.04^{+0.08}_{-0.07} \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $(0.74 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, respectively. While a high-energy cutoff has been suggested in previous observations of IC 342 X-1 with XMM-Newton, the instrumental bandpass was not sufficient to constrain the spectral shape and we confirm it here with high significance.
We can clearly rule out reflection from an accretion disk with relativistic line broadening surrounding an intermediate-mass black hole (Caballero-García & Fabian 2010) as the origin of the X-ray emission. This finding is consistent with the four other ULXs with NuSTAR observations reported to date (Bachetti et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2013, 2014), which all have spectra distinct from classical states observed in Galactic black hole X-ray binaries.

Both objects have similar absorbing columns of $\sim 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, significantly in excess of the Galactic value. These columns are unusually high for ULXs, and may be either intrinsic to the galaxy, or associated with the binary systems. The similarity of the columns might suggest an origin in the galaxy IC 342; however, the column is high for a face-on spiral if the objects are not embedded in a star forming region. In IC 342 X-2, we find an unabsorbed excess emission component at $E < 1$ keV that could be associated with diffuse emission in the ULX environment. This would support the idea that the absorption is intrinsic to the binary. If associated with the ULX systems the absorbing columns could indicate an inclined orientation with absorption due to a disk edge or wind. IC 342 X-1 however has a hard spectrum, consistent with the hard ultraluminous state classification of Sutton et al. (2013). Within this framework, IC 342 X-1 would be a face-on system, at odds with the latter origin for the absorption.

The luminosity of IC 342 X-1 during these observations is only a factor of $\sim 1.5$ larger than that of IC 342 X-2, and while the spectral curvature is broadly similar, fitting the spectra to commonly used models shows clear differences. Previous observations of IC 342 X-1 with XMM-Newton alone are consistent with both power-law and Comptonization models for the high-energy continuum. In the context of physical emission models, we find that IC 342 X-1 is best described by a low-energy blackbody disk component plus a Comptonized continuum, although a small excess is also visible at the highest energies above 15 keV. As found for many other well-studied luminous ULXs, the electron scattering region is cold and optically thick. This situation is physically problematic as the corona would be very extended (Miller et al. 2013). An alternative model has the two components arising in a patchy, multiphase disk or a massive wind with funnel-like geometry, as might be expected in a high-Eddington rate system. These scenarios are consistent with the broadband XMM-Newton and NuSTAR observations.

If the IC 342 X-1 spectrum is to be associated with disk emission, the disk must have a significantly broader temperature profile compared to a standard thin disk. Although there are clear residuals in the broadened disk model fit, the assumed $p$-disk profile is fairly simplistic and such a model is difficult to rule out. In contrast, the IC 342 X-2 spectrum can be fit with slight to no broadening of a thin-disk temperature profile. Although the associated 3.8 keV disk temperature is higher than typically found in Galactic black hole binary disks, it is not unprecedented. A Comptonization model with a cold, thick scattering medium also fits the IC 342 X-2 spectrum. There is no evidence for a seed photon component in the spectrum, and a free fit finds a seed blackbody temperature of 0.8 keV. Fixing the seed temperature to the more typical value of 0.3 keV yields a poor fit. The lack of evidence for the seed photon component favors the hot thin-disk interpretation; however, we note that this evidence is indirect.

In IC 342 X-1, we find some evidence for excess emission relative to the model fits and energies above 15 keV, although the significance is highly model-dependent. Similar excesses, described by a power law of photon index $\gtrsim 2$, have been found in several other bright ULXs observed with NuSTAR (see Walton et al. 2013 for Cirrus ULX5; Walton et al. 2014 for Holmberg IX X-1; and Mukherjee et al., in preparation, for NGC 5204 X-1).

For spectral components involving a temperature distribution, the relationship of temperature and luminosity can be used to constrain the origin. Previous observations with XMM-Newton of IC 342 X-1 show that in the $\text{diskbb} + \text{comptt}$ model, the low-energy thermal component does not vary as expected for a thin disk (Feng & Kaaret 2009), and this implies that the origin is more likely due to a thick wind. Additional broadband observations with NuSTAR and XMM-Newton of both ULXs in different luminosity states could better determine the relationship between the luminosity and temperature of the various spectral components, and determine whether a disk origin is likely.

Finally, for IC 342 X-2, we confirm the unusual low-energy ($< 1$ keV) spectral component found by XMM-Newton (Feng & Kaaret 2009); however, true origin of this component is not clear at present.
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