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Abstract
In this work we describe the dynamics of a highly anisotropic system undergoing boost-invariant longitudinal and azimuthally symmetric radial expansion (Gubser flow) for arbitrary shear viscosity to entropy density ratio. We derive the equations of motion of dissipative anisotropic hydrodynamics by applying to this situation the moments method recently derived by Molnár et al. (MNR) \textsuperscript{1, 2}, based on an expansion around an arbitrary anisotropic one-particle distribution function. One requires an additional evolution equation in order to close the conservation laws. This is achieved by selecting the relaxation equation for the longitudinal pressure with a suitable Landau matching condition. As a result one obtains two coupled differential equations for the energy density and the longitudinal pressure which respect the $SO(3) \otimes SO(1, 1) \otimes Z_2$ symmetry of the Gubser flow in the deSitter space. These equations are solved numerically and compared with the predictions of the recently found exact solution of the relaxation-time-approximation Boltzmann equation subject to the same flow. We also compare our numerical results with other fluid dynamical models. We observe that the MNR description of anisotropic fluid dynamics reproduces the space-time evolution of the system than all other currently known hydrodynamical approaches.
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1. Introduction
Relativistic hydrodynamics has been widely used to describe different experimental observables measured in high energy nuclear collisions. Its success has sparked the interest of the scientific community to understand the hydrodynamical behaviour of strongly coupled systems. \textit{A priori} hydrodynamical models are not expected to provide a good description when the mean free path becomes comparable to the macroscopic scales of the system. This situation occurs especially at the early stages of the expansion of the fireball when the rapid expansion of the system competes with the microscopic relaxation processes of the quark-gluon plasma. When the rate of expansion is anisotropic to continue driving the system further away from local thermal equilibrium, then this manifest macroscopically through large pressure anisotropies. Large pressure anisotropies persists during the entire expansion of the system up to scales of the order of the hydrodynamic relaxation rates. The main aim of anisotropic hydrodynamics \textsuperscript{11, 4, 8} is to address these type of problems and to provide a consistent framework where pressure anisotropies are taken into account in a non-perturbatively controlled way.
Within the kinetic theory approach it is possible to derive the equations of motion of the macroscopic hydrodynamic variables (e.g., energy density) by considering the evolution equation of the slowest hydrodynamical moments of the distribution function. The standard second order viscous hydrodynamical theories are obtained by expanding around a local equilibrium distribution function which is isotropic in momentum-space. Deviations from equilibrium generate dissipative corrections which capture non-zero mean free path corrections and can be parametrized through to transport coefficients such as the heat conductivity, shear and bulk viscosities. Different methods to derive second order theories of hydrodynamics have been considered in the literature. Those methods do not necessarily all lead to the same values of the transport coefficients. However, all those methods assume that the deviations from equilibrium are small. Anisotropically expanding plasmas develop large pressure anisotropies which survive during extended periods of time and thus, an expansion around the equilibrium is bound to break down and its validity must be questioned. Furthermore, the applicability of standard derivations of hydrodynamics is questionable when large pressures anisotropies are present.

Anisotropic hydrodynamics considers an expansion around a distribution function which is anisotropic in momentum-space. The amount of anisotropy along some particular direction is measured by a deformation parameter $\xi$. This parameter characterizes the leading order term of the anisotropic distribution function. In principle, it is hoped that the space-time evolution of the deformation parameter encodes the information of the macroscopic dynamical behaviour of the pressure anisotropies. Nevertheless, there is no first principles calculation which determines uniquely the evolution equation for this deformation parameter. Different prescriptions to determine the evolution equation of the deformation parameter have been proposed but none of those have achieved conceptual clarity.

Recently, Molnár et. al. derived anisotropic hydrodynamical equations of motion by considering the so-called $P_L$ matching. Within this approach the anisotropy parameter $\xi$ is treated as a Lagrange multiplier which is determined by matching it to the longitudinal pressure $P_L$. $P_L$ corresponds to a certain moment of the leading order anisotropic distribution function. Its evolution equation is determined from the Boltzmann equation. As a result, this scheme matches exactly the total pressure anisotropy without requiring any input of the microscopic deformation parameter $\xi$. The numerical solutions of anisotropic hydrodynamics with the $P_L$ matching scheme showed to reproduce to high numerical accuracy the predictions of the exact Boltzmann equation within the relaxation time approximation (RTA) for the Bjorken flow.

In these proceedings we discuss some of the results presented in our recent publication. We extend and confirm the results of Molnár et. al. for a rapidly expanding conformal fluid undergoing Gubser flow. This flow describes a conformally invariant system that expands azimuthally symmetrically in the transverse plane in addition to boost-invariant longitudinal expansion. Its evolution is more easily described in the three dimensional de Sitter space times a line $dS^3 \otimes R$ where the underlying $SO(3)_\eta \otimes SO(1, 1) \otimes Z_2$ conformal symmetry of the Gubser flow is explicitly manifest. An exact solution to the RTA Boltzmann equation for the Gubser flow has been recently found. This solution allows us to compute exactly the evolution of the slowest hydrodynamic moments, the energy density (and thus, the temperature) and the effective shear stress. Therefore, by comparing the results obtained from the exact solution one can test the validity and accuracy of different hydrodynamical schemes.

2. Results

In our work we compare the predictions of the exact solution to the RTA Boltzmann equation for the Gubser flow with four different hydrodynamical models: anisotropic hydrodynamics with $P_L$ matching, leading order anisotropic hydrodynamics in the NRS scheme, next-to-leading order anisotropic hydrodynamics in the NRS scheme amended by residual viscous corrections (NLO

---

1For a system where the chemical potential vanishes exactly, this distribution function is assumed to have the Romatschke-Strickland form which in the local rest frame looks like $f(x', p) = f \left( \sqrt{p^2 + (1 + \xi p^2; \Lambda) \Lambda} \right)$ where $\Lambda$ is a particular momentum scale which is identified with the temperature by matching the energy density.
In all the studied cases we observe that all anisotropic hydrodynamical prescriptions match the exact results better than does viscous hydrodynamics. We observe that in the range of de Sitter time-like variable \( \rho > \rho_0 \approx 3 \), the leading-order NRS prescription \[17\] does not perform as well in the asymptotic \( \rho \gg 0 \) region \[15\]. Second-order viscous hydrodynamics \[16\] exhibit large deviations from the exact result over the \( \rho \) interval studied here. These conclusions continue to hold for different initial conditions and values of the shear viscosity over entropy ratio \( \eta/s \) \[11\].

We also observe that the mismatch of the leading-order NRS scheme and the exact solution is improved by adding the residual viscous corrections. By adding terms of this kind one correctly matches the pressure anisotropy as seen in the right column of plots in Fig. 1. The NLO NRS prescription agrees at high numerical precision with the predictions of the \( \mathcal{P}_L \) matching scheme. However, within the NLO NRS prescription one still needs the microscopic evolution of the anisotropy parameter \( \xi \). On the other hand, for the \( \mathcal{P}_L \) matching scheme the equations of motion are formulated entirely in terms of the macroscopic quantities.
3. Conclusions

In these proceedings we report the most recent developments of anisotropic hydrodynamics presented in Ref. [11]. We compare the numerical solutions of the evolution equations corresponding to different hydrodynamical schemes for situations far from equilibrium with a recently found exact solution to the Boltzmann equation for the Gubser flow [14, 15]. Our results show that anisotropic hydrodynamics with $\hat{P}_L$ matching provides the most accurate description of the hydrodynamic moments obtained from the exact solution. Our results confirm similar findings obtained for the Bjorken flow [2]. We also show that the leading order anisotropic hydrodynamics within the NRS prescription is improved by adding the residual dissipative term after which agrees almost perfectly with the $\hat{P}_L$ matching scheme. One of the major advantages of the $\hat{P}_L$ matching scheme is that, in contrast to all previous anisotropic hydrodynamical prescriptions, the total anisotropy pressure is matched exactly with the microscopic momentum anisotropy determined by the deformation parameter $\xi$. As a result, anisotropic hydrodynamics with $\hat{P}_L$ matching can be formulated entirely in terms of macroscopic variables without any need to refer to the microscopic anisotropy parameter $\xi$. In this sense, the anisotropic hydrodynamical treatment is on the same footing as the traditional approaches to derive second order relativistic fluid dynamics. We conclude by mentioning that the $\hat{P}_L$ matching scheme can be generalized to non-conformal systems undergoing an arbitrary expansion.
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