Cardiosphere-Derived Cells Reverse Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction in Rats by Decreasing Fibrosis and Inflammation
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VISUAL ABSTRACT

HIGHLIGHTS

- The pathogenesis of heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is unclear.
- Cardiosphere-derived cells (CDCs) are heart-derived cell products with anti-fibrotic and anti-inflammatory properties, which have been implicated in HFpEF.
- Dahl salt-sensitive rats were fed a high-salt diet for 6 to 7 weeks and randomized to receive intracoronary CDCs or placebo.
- Following CDC treatment, diastolic dysfunction resolved in treated rats but not in the placebo group. Treatment with CDCs also lower LV end-diastolic pressure, decrease lung congestion, and enhance survival.
- CDC treatment decreased LV fibrosis and inflammatory infiltrates, and reversed many of the transcriptomic changes associated with HFpEF, but had no effect on cardiac hypertrophy.
- By selectively reversing inflammation and fibrosis, CDCs may be beneficial in the treatment of HFpEF.
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The pathogenesis of heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is unclear. Myocardial fibrosis, inflammation, and cardiac hypertrophy have been suggested to contribute to the pathogenesis of HFpEF. Cardiosphere-derived cells (CDCs) are heart-derived cell products with antifibrotic and anti-inflammatory properties. This study tested whether rat CDCs were sufficient to decrease manifestations of HFpEF in hypertensive rats. Starting at 7 weeks of age, Dahl salt-sensitive rats were fed a high-salt diet for 6 to 7 weeks and randomized to receive intracoronary CDCs or placebo. Dahl rats fed normal chow served as controls. High-salt rats developed hypertension, left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy, and diastolic dysfunction, without impairment of ejection fraction. Four weeks after treatment, diastolic dysfunction resolved in CDC-treated rats but not in placebo. The improved LV relaxation was associated with lower LV end-diastolic pressure, decreased lung congestion, and enhanced survival in CDC-treated rats. Histology and echocardiography revealed no decrease in cardiac hypertrophy after CDC treatment, consistent with the finding of sustained, equally-elevated blood pressure in CDC- and placebo-treated rats. Nevertheless, CDC treatment decreased LV fibrosis and inflammatory infiltrates. Serum inflammatory cytokines were likewise decreased after CDC treatment. Whole-transcriptome analysis revealed that CDCs reversed changes in numerous transcripts associated with HFpEF, including many involved in inflammation and/or fibrosis. These studies suggest that CDCs normalized LV relaxation and LV diastolic pressure while improving survival in a rat model of HFpEF. The benefits of CDCs occurred despite persistent hypertension and cardiac hypertrophy. By selectively reversing inflammation and fibrosis, CDCs may be beneficial in the treatment of HFpEF. (J Am Coll Cardiol Basic Trans Sci 2016;1:14–28) © 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

**SUMMARY**

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) has become a major public health concern. Its increasing prevalence now exceeds that of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (1-3). Outcomes of patients with HFpEF are poor (4,5), and so far, no treatment has been shown to decrease morbidity or mortality (3,6). HFpEF is associated with various cardiovascular risk factors (especially hypertension), extracardiac comorbidities, and aging. The net result is impaired diastolic relaxation and filling of the left ventricle, increased myocardial stiffness, impaired vascular compliance, and increased diastolic pressure (7,8). Myocardial fibrosis and inflammation have been associated with HFpEF (9-14) and with the transition from hypertensive left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy without HFpEF to hypertensive LV hypertrophy with HFpEF (15). Cardiosphere-derived cells (CDCs) are heart cell products with antifibrotic, anti-inflammatory, and angiogenic properties (16-20). CDCs, which are currently in phase 2 human trials for scar reduction after myocardial infarction (5), have been shown to be beneficial in models of ischemic (17,18,21) and nonischemic cardiomyopathy (16). Thus, we wondered whether CDCs might have disease-modifying activity in HFpEF.

Dahl salt-sensitive (DS) rats develop hypertension, hypertrophy, and, eventually, HFpEF on a high-salt diet (22-26). Increased fibrosis and inflammation underlie the development of HFpEF, with resultant cachexia, pulmonary congestion, and accelerated mortality (22,26,27). Therefore, this model has been widely used to test new treatments for HFpEF (23,27-31). Here, we tested the efficacy of CDCs in improving LV structure and function and overall outcome in DS rats with HFpEF.

**METHODS**

An expanded “Methods” section is available in the Supplemental Appendix.

DS rats (Charles River, Wilmington, Massachusetts) were fed a 0.3% NaCl (low-salt) diet until 7 weeks of age. At that time, the diet was switched to an 8% NaCl (high-salt) diet in 54 rats by random assignment. DS rats fed the low-salt diet constituted the control group (n = 18). At 13 to 14 weeks of age, rats on the high-salt diet were randomized to...
receive allogeneic rat CDCs ($5 \times 10^5$ resuspended in 100 µl phosphate-buffered saline) (Figure 1A) or vehicle (phosphate-buffered saline). CDCs were grown from a freshly explanted Wistar-Kyoto rat heart as previously described (19) (Figure 1B).

Echocardiography was performed at baseline, before treatment, and 1 and 4 weeks after treatment to assess systolic and diastolic function. Invasive hemodynamic measurements were performed at endpoint to record systemic pressure and LV pressures and volumes. Pressure-volume loops were generated from these recordings. Rats were then euthanized and hearts were harvested for analysis. Additional follow-up (7 rats in each group, randomly chosen from the rats alive at 4 weeks) was performed for extended survival analysis (up to 6 weeks) to investigate the longer term effects of treatment.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.** Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD in the text and mean ± SE in the figures. Categorical variables are expressed as absolute numbers and percentages. Normal distribution of variables was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If normality was established, independent groups ($n = 2$) were compared using unpaired Student t test, and multiple groups were compared using 1-way analysis of variance. For variables not normally distributed, the Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparisons of 2 groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis test
was used to compare multiple groups. Bonferroni correction was applied to every pairwise comparison performed after analysis of variance or the Kruskal-Wallis test. Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan-Meier analysis. A p value <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

BLOOD PRESSURE AND CARDIAC HYPERTROPHY. Table 1 shows characteristics of the high-salt and control animals at baseline and after 6 weeks of diet (13 weeks of age). As expected (25), rats fed a high-salt diet developed hypertension and cardiac hypertrophy after 6 weeks, but low-salt control rats did not. Those changes were associated with diastolic dysfunction, as shown by a decreased ratio of early (E) to late (A) ventricular filling velocity (E/A ratio) by echocardiography (1.7 ± 0.2 vs. 1.2 ± 0.2, p < 0.001), without any changes in LV volumes, LV ejection fraction (LVEF) or fractional area change (Table 1).

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC STUDIES: CDCs NORMALIZE E/A RATIO. Having confirmed the presence of cardiac hypertrophy and diastolic dysfunction, we randomly allocated rats to intracardiac CDC or vehicle infusion. Figure 1C shows representative images of transmitral flow at endpoint in control, placebo-treated, and CDC-treated animals. Pooled data (Figure 1D) revealed that after 6 weeks of diet but before treatment, E/A ratios were similar in the placebo and CDC groups but lower than in control rats. Likewise, left atrial size was higher in the high-salt-fed rats compared with control rats, indicating already increased LV filling pressure. After intracoronary infusion of CDCs (but not placebo), E/A ratio increased over time (Figure 1D), a change that was evident as soon as 1 week after treatment. At endpoint, E/A ratios had returned to control levels in CDC-treated animals (1.7 ± 0.2 for CDC-treated vs. 1.8 ± 0.16 for control rats, p = 0.36), whereas they remained depressed in placebo-treated animals (1.2 ± 0.3, p < 0.001 vs. CDC-treated rats and vs. control rats), indicating a likely normalization of LV relaxation with CDC treatment (an interpretation verified later by hemodynamic recordings). In addition, left atrial dimensions kept increasing in the placebo animals, while CDC treatment halted left atrial enlargement. In contrast, LVEF (measured in long-axis views) (Figures 1E and 1F), fractional area change (from short-axis views) (Figures 1G and 1H), and LV volumes (Figures 1I and 1J) were equivalent in all groups.

HEMODYNAMIC STUDIES: CDC TREATMENT NORMALIZES LV RELAXATION AND PREVENTS ELEVATION OF LV END-DIASTOLIC PRESSURE. Figure 2A shows representative recordings of pressure-volume loop families at endpoint. The time constant of isovolumic LV pressure fall (tau) was prolonged in placebo-treated animals compared with CDC-treated animals (21 ± 8 s vs. 13 ± 1 s in control rats [p = 0.011] and 14 ± 4 s in CDC-treated rats [p = 0.006]) (Figure 2B) and control rats, while −dP/dt minimum was lower, indicating impaired relaxation (Figure 2C) without changes in dP/dt maximum (Figure 2D). In parallel, pressure-volume loop analyses confirmed that LV distensibility was decreased in the placebo-treated animals, as demonstrated by the steeper slope of the end-diastolic pressure-volume relationship in placebo-treated animals compared with CDC-treated and control animals (Figure 2E), again without changes in the end-systolic pressure-volume relationship (Figure 2F). LVEDP was 2-fold higher in placebo-treated than in CDC-treated and control animals (17 ± 10 mm Hg vs. 9 ± 4 mm Hg in control rats [p = 0.015] and 8 ± 3 mm Hg in CDC-treated rats [p = 0.002]) (Figure 2G). The normalization of LVEDP and tau in CDC-treated rats confirms that the increase of E/A ratio over time in this group was due to normalization of LV relaxation rather than to progression toward a pseudonormal pattern of transmitral flow (which

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of Rats Fed High- and Low-Salt Diets Before (Baseline) and After 6 Weeks of Diet

|                      | Low Salt | Low Salt | 6 Weeks | 6 Weeks | p Value |
|----------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| **Baseline**         |          |          |         |         |         |
| SBP (mm Hg)          | NA       | NA       | 133 ± 23 | 188 ± 17* | <0.001 |
| DBP (mm Hg)          | NA       | NA       | 92 ± 5   | 130 ± 12* | <0.001 |
| LVEF (%)             | 73.1 ± 4.8| 73.0 ± 5.1| 90.95 | 71.6 ± 3.8| 74.4 ± 5.9| 0.10 |
| FAC (short axis)(%)   | 64.1 ± 3.0| 64.2 ± 4.9| 0.95 | 62.3 ± 4.6| 63.2 ± 5.9| 0.61 |
| AW thickness (mm)    | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 0.54 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 1.8 ± 0.2* | <0.001 |
| PW thickness (mm)    | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 0.27 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 2.0 ± 0.3* | <0.001 |
| LVEDV (ml)           | 330 ± 46 | 329 ± 70 | 0.93 | 484 ± 112 | 510 ± 110 | 0.42 |
| LVESV (ml)           | 89 ± 22  | 89 ± 28  | 0.98 | 142 ± 47  | 130 ± 50  | 0.37 |
| E/A ratio            | 1.7 ± 0.2 | 1.7 ± 0.3 | 0.66 | 1.7 ± 0.2 | 1.2 ± 0.2* | <0.001 |
| Left atrial area (mm²)| 13.7 ± 1.9| 14.1 ± 1.9| 0.55 | 17.9 ± 1.7| 21.2 ± 2.9*| <0.001 |
| Heart weight (gm)    | NA       | NA       | 1.42 ± 0.14| 1.67 ± 0.10*| 0.03 |
| Heart weight/body weight (mg/g) | NA | NA | 4.1 ± 0.4 | 5.2 ± 0.5* | 0.016 |

Values are means ± SD. *p < 0.05 between high-salt and low-salt groups at 6 weeks.

AW = anterior wall; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; E/A ratio = ratio of early to late ventricular filling velocity; FAC = fractional area change; LVEDV = left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESV = left ventricular end-systolic volume; NA = not available; PW = posterior wall; SBP = systolic blood pressure.
would have been associated with increased LVEDP and tau [32]).

We did not observe any differences in blood pressure or heart rate between CDC- and placebo-treated animals that could have confounded relaxation and LVEDP measurements (although blood pressure was lower in the control group, as expected) (Figures 2H to 2J). Thus, the improvements in diastolic function were not due to antihypertensive or chronotropic effects of CDCs.

**CDC TREATMENT IMPROVES SURVIVAL AND DECREASES LUNG CONGESTION.** Consistent with the improvement of diastolic function, we observed a dramatic improvement of survival in CDC-treated rats (Kaplan-Meier survival curves) (Figure 3A) (log-rank
Post-mortem lung weight and lung weight/body weight ratios were higher in placebo-treated rats, indicative of pulmonary congestion (Figure 3B). In parallel, Figure 3C shows that animals treated with CDCs resumed some physiological weight gain, while placebo rats lost weight, presumably because of cardiac cachexia (an impression that was confirmed visually).

**MECHANISM. Improvement of LV relaxation is not associated with quantitative changes in cardiac hypertrophy.** LV hypertrophy (both macroscopic and cellular) can occur with or without diastolic dysfunction. We quantified cardiac hypertrophy using LV wall thickness by echocardiography, heart weight, and cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area. Notably, the CDC-related improvement in diastolic function was not due to a decrease in cardiac hypertrophy: wall thickness by echocardiography (Figure 4A), as well as post-mortem heart weight and cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area (Figure 4B), remained equivalent in the CDC and placebo groups. Thus, CDCs were salutary without decreasing cardiac hypertrophy.

**Antifibrotic effect of CDCs.** Fibrosis is increased in HFpEF (10,12,33). We assessed fibrosis using picrosirius red staining for total collagen and semiquantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction to measure transcript levels for collagen 1 and 3. Figure 5A shows representative images of hearts stained with picrosirius red. Overall LV and right ventricular fibrosis was 2-fold higher in placebo- versus CDC-treated rats; fibrosis in the latter approached control values (Figures 5B and 5C). Concomitantly, collagen 1 and collagen 3 in the left ventricle (quantified by western blot) were higher in placebo-treated rats than in control or CDC-treated rats (Figure 5D). Moreover, cardiac myofibroblasts increased dramatically in placebo-treated, but not in CDC-treated, DS rats (Figure 5E). Also, transcript levels of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2, MMP-7, MMP-9, and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP)-1 as well as collagen 1A1 and collagen 3 were higher in the placebo-treated animals compared with the control and CDC-treated animals (which had similar levels) (Supplemental Figure 1). These increased transcript levels are suggestive of increased extracellular matrix turnover associated with HFpEF, which is normalized by CDC treatment. Because we did not measure the extent of fibrosis before treatment, we cannot distinguish between CDC-induced prevention of new fibrosis and regression of established fibrosis in the present study. However, previous work in chronic myocardial infarction models (20,21,34) and in humans (35,36) has shown that CDCs (and cardiospheres) can reduce established scar.

**Attenuation of inflammation.** HFpEF is associated with increased levels of circulating cytokines and infiltration of macrophages and other inflammatory cells in the heart (9). Quantification of cytokines in the serum revealed lower levels of proinflammatory and profibrotic cytokines in CDC-treated rats compared with placebo-treated rats; the levels in CDC-treated rats were comparable with those in
control rats (Figure 6A). Among those cytokines, some have been linked to the development of HFpEF (especially monocyte chemotactic protein-1, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor-α) and to the accumulation of collagen (TIMP-1) (12,37). 

Vessel density and cell proliferation. Because microvascular rarefaction has been associated with HFpEF in numerous studies (38-40), we investigated
arteriolar and capillary density in the left ventricle (Figure 7A). Both vascular densities were lower in placebo-treated rats compared with control rats (Figures 7B and 7C); CDC treatment normalized arteriolar density and significantly increased capillary density compared with placebo, although capillary density did not reach the value measured in control rats. Parallel measurements of cell proliferation using
Ki67 immunostaining (Figure 7D) revealed that CDCs stimulated cardiomyocyte proliferation (cells positive for both α-sarcomeric actinin and Ki67) (Figure 7E). In contrast, the number of proliferating fibroblasts (Ki67-positive, vimentin-positive cells) was greatly increased in placebo-treated (but not CDC-treated) high-salt DS rat hearts relative to low-salt control rats (Figure 7F).

Next-generation ribonucleic acid sequencing. Next-generation sequencing was performed in the
FIGURE 7 Microvascular Density and Cardioproliferation Enhanced by CDCs, While Fibroblast Proliferation Is Suppressed

(A) Immunostaining for von Willebrand factor (VWF) and smooth muscle actin (SMA) in control, placebo-treated, and cardiosphere-derived cell (CDC)-treated rats. CDC treatment increases arteriolar (B) and capillary (C) density in the left ventricle. (D) Immunostaining for Ki67 and α-actinin (SA) and for Ki67 and vimentin in control, placebo-treated, and CDC-treated rats. CDC treatment increased cardiomyocyte (CM) proliferation (E) and decreased the proliferation of fibroblasts (F) compared with placebo. n = 5 in each group. †p < 0.05 versus control and CDC-treated rats, both by analysis of variance; ‡p < 0.05 versus control and placebo-treated rats. DAPI = 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.
groups. Supplemental Figures 2A to 2C show head-to-head pairwise comparison of gene expression in the 3 groups. The heat maps reveal that the HFpEF phenotype is associated with major global changes in gene expression, as shown by the comparison between placebo and control groups (Supplemental Figure 2A). More important, the comparison between CDC- and placebo-treated rats (Supplemental Figure 2B) reveals that CDC treatment dramatically changed gene expression. Interestingly, >300 genes whose expression was up- or downregulated in HFpEF (i.e., in high-salt placebo hearts) had their expression levels “rescued” by CDC treatment (Figure 8A). Some of these transcript changes involved genes that underlie HFpEF-related pathophysiologic features that we and others have identified (a nonexhaustive list is shown in Figure 8B). Indeed, key genes involved in fibrosis, inflammation, and macrophage signaling, or associated with the consequences of HFpEF (brain natriuretic and atrial natriuretic peptides), were upregulated in placebo hearts but returned fully or partially to control levels after CDC treatment. These profound changes in the transcriptome reveal HFpEF-related activation, and CDC-induced inhibition, of key disease-associated signaling and effector pathways (Figure 8C).

**DISCUSSION**

The challenge of HFpEF is increasing as the population ages and comorbidities become more...
prevalent. The HFpEF hospitalization rate is now greater than that for heart failure with reduced LVEF (3). So far, no treatment for HFpEF has proved effective (6). Here, we have demonstrated that cell therapy by CDCs can reverse the functional abnormalities of HFpEF and improve survival in a rat model of hypertension-induced HFpEF. The CDC-induced reversal of HFpEF occurred without a reduction in either blood pressure or cardiac hypertrophy. The selective correction of functional HFpEF abnormalities creates an unprecedented opportunity for mechanistic insights. Potentially causal pathways (i.e., those that accompany the abnormalities in HFpEF) can now be distinguished from those that are merely associative. Our findings support the concept that fibrosis and inflammation are causative in HFpEF (10): reductions in those 2 pathophysiological processes underlie the resolution of HFpEF, while hypertrophy and hypertension remain unchanged.

Cardiac hypertrophy has long been thought to be the linchpin in HFpEF (8,41,42). However, several recent studies in animal models and humans have implicated inflammation and collagen infiltration (10,12-14,43-45). Hypertension and other comorbidities can favor a systemic proinflammatory state with high circulating cytokine levels, including interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-α, and monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (9,10,37). Inflammation leads to activation, recruitment, and transendothelial migration of leukocytes and monocytes or macrophages into the heart. These inflammatory cells contribute to LV fibrosis by promoting the differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts (33,46,47). The resulting increase in LV collagen content is the main contributor to the increase in passive myocardial fiber stiffness, a major component of diastolic impairment in HFpEF (12). The observed phenotypic improvements after CDC treatment were associated with decreases in circulating inflammatory cytokines (including interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-α, and monocyte chemotactic protein-1) and less myocardial inflammation. In addition, myofibroblast infiltration, collagen content, and collagen production were increased in placebo-treated animals but fell markedly after CDC treatment. The parallel decrease in transcripts for MMPs and TIMPs (Supplemental Figure 1) suggests, but does not prove, increased extracellular matrix turnover in the placebo-treated animals that is normalized by CDC treatment. Increased extracellular matrix turnover in HFpEF has been described, and MMPs and TIMPs have been suggested as biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of HFpEF (48-50).

Taken together, these findings strengthen the hypothesis that proinflammatory and profibrotic stimuli play a major role in the development of HFpEF (10). Furthermore, our results suggest that modulating those stimuli may improve HFpEF phenotype and outcomes. The mechanism whereby CDCs modify inflammation and fibrosis clearly involves major changes in gene expression (Figure 8C). Such changes are long-lasting, as the transcriptome was analyzed 4 weeks after CDC injection (at which point injected allogeneic cells are no longer detectable) (18). Our working hypothesis posits that CDCs secrete exosomes laden with micro-ribonucleic acids and other noncoding ribonucleic acids that collectively mold the target transcriptome. Although in-depth exploration of this hypothesis is beyond the scope of this initial report, multiple lines of evidence in other models show that exosomes mediate the benefits of CDCs and modify phenotype and gene expression in recipient cells (51-53). We are intrigued by the possibility that the drastic changes in the expression of the genes involved in fibrosis and inflammation seen here may be in vivo manifestations of exosome-mediated phenotypic conversions such as those we have described in skin fibroblasts (52).

It is noteworthy that no changes in the magnitude of cardiac hypertrophy were observed after CDC treatment. Cardiac hypertrophy assessed using 3 different techniques (echocardiography, heart weight, and cardiomyocyte cross-sectional area) was present and virtually identical in both high-salt groups at endpoint but not in control rats. Here, decreased inflammation and fibrosis underlie the resolution of HFpEF, despite persistent hypertrophy and hypertension. Thus, attenuation of cardiac hypertrophy is not required to normalize diastolic function. Regarding the mechanical properties of the cardiomyocytes, we observed that CDCs enhance cardiomyocyte (but not fibroblast) proliferation. Nevertheless, the absolute number of new myocytes remains low, and cardiomyocytes remain hypertrophic after CDC treatment. Thus, the correction of altered mechanical properties is more likely related to intrinsic changes in preexisting cardiomyocytes than to a dominant effect of newly generated cardiomyocytes. Our ongoing characterization of cardiomyocytes isolated from the various groups is consistent with this prediction, but such studies are beyond the scope of this initial report.
Microvascular dysfunction and rarefaction have been reported as additional contributors to HFpEF. Several studies have shown that even in the absence of coronary artery disease, patients with HFpEF have fewer microvessels and lower coronary reserve (38–40). In addition to their anti-inflammatory and antifibrotic properties, CDCs are able to promote the growth of new vessels (18,21). We observed increases in the numbers of arterioles and capillaries in the left ventricle after CDC treatment (Figure 7). Although the vascular findings here are limited to histologic findings, we have previously demonstrated that cardiosphere-related increases in vessels by histology were associated with augmented myocardial perfusion and coronary reserve (54).

STUDY LIMITATIONS. HFpEF is a multifactorial disease involving aging and cardiovascular risk factors. Although DS rats reproduce most of the key features of HFpEF (including hypertension, inflammation, fibrosis, and microvascular rarefaction), some typical contributors to this disease (especially aging) are absent in this model. Also, our follow-up was relatively short. Because death and/or progression toward systolic dysfunction occurs in DS rats around 19 to 20 weeks of age (55,56), we decided to set the endpoint before the terminal decrease in LVEF, which might otherwise have confounded the analysis of HFpEF. Therefore, we have no information (other than the observed mortality benefit at 6 weeks) regarding the potential long-term persistence of the benefit. Regarding remodeling of the extracellular matrix, we have shown directionally appropriate changes in various transcripts and proteins, but we have not performed zymography to directly evaluate MMP activity. Finally, we have yet to demonstrate the involvement of exosomes and micro-ribonucleic acids in the dramatic changes observed after CDC treatment here. For now, the proposed mechanisms remain at the level of plausibility, as bolstered by previous studies (51,52).

CONCLUSIONS

CDCs normalized LV relaxation and improved survival in a rat model of HFpEF, without blunting hypertension or hypertrophy. Given that CDCs are already in advanced clinical testing for other indications (57), the present findings motivate clinical trials of CDCs in HFpEF.
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PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE: Intracoronary CDC administration normalizes diastolic function and improves survival in rats with HFpEF. Reversal of inflammation and fibrosis, but not attenuation of cardiac hypertrophy, underlies these functional benefits.

TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK: Given that CDCs are already in advanced clinical testing for other indications, the present study motivates clinical trials of CDCs in HFpEF. Also, the selective correction of functional HFpEF abnormalities observed here creates an unprecedented opportunity for mechanistic insights.
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