Relationship between incidence of *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenée) and Chlorophyll content in leaves of Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)
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Abstract: The present study on biochemical basis of resistance against *Leucinodes orbonalis* infestation was conducted during the year 2011-2012. The results revealed that highest chlorophyll -'a'- content of 0.497 mg/gfw was recorded in the susceptible genotype SHB-1. The lowest amount of 0.319 and 0.381 mg/gfw was observed in the resistant genotypes Brinjal-85 and Local long respectively, which were significantly different from other evaluated genotypes. The chlorophyll -‘a’-content was positively correlated with the brinjal shoot and fruit borer infestation. The highest chlorophyll -'b'- content of 0.442 mg/gfw was recorded at 70 DAT (days after transplanting). The amount of chlorophyll -'b'- varied significantly among the genotypes at different ages and decreased with the age of crop. The average highest amount of chlorophyll - 'b'- was estimated in the genotype SBH-1 which was significantly at par with hybrid SBH-2. The lowest chlorophyll -'b'- content was recorded at 40 DAT in the genotype Brinjal-85 followed by Local Long. The lowest amount of total chlorophyll was estimated in the resistant variety as compared to susceptible therefore exhibiting lowest level of infestation.
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INTRODUCTION

Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) also known as aubergine belonging to the family “Solanaceae”, is one of the common and popular vegetables grown throughout the world. The crop is extensively damaged by different insect pests and important pests among them are shoot and fruits borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee), jassids (*Amrasca devastans* Dist.), flea beetle (*Peyliiodes brettinghami*) Epilachna beetle (*Epilachna spp.*) and aphids (*Aphis gossypi* Glover) (Shukla and Khatri, 2010). However in Kashmir valley, *Lorbionalis* Guenee is one of the major pests of the brinjal causing considerable damage every year (Dar et al., 2014). The damage caused by *L. orbonalis* starts soon after transplanting of seedling and continues till the harvest of the fruit. The newly hatched larvae bore into the petiole and midrib of the large leaves as well as young tender shoots, close the entry holes with their excreta and feed inside of the fruit (Butani and Jotwani, 1984; Dar et al., 2014). Attempts have been made to control this notorious pest with the use of different insecticides. With the growing realization of hazards and side ill effects connected with the indiscriminate use of pesticides, entomologists have developed integrated pest management programme to maintain the pest population at level below those causing economic injury. According to Atwal and Singh (1989) a thorough knowledge about the economic significance of pest needs to be gained in terms of level of pest population, the extent of injury and consequent loss as prerequisite for development of management schedules for any pest. A chemical component like chlorophyll is considered as a yield determining component and a number of different types of chlorophylls occur in the plant kingdom, among them the chlorophyll -a- is of universal occurrence (Strain, 1994). Since, the information regarding the relationship between *Lorbionalis* incidence and chlorophyll content are limited. The experiment was undertaken to find the interrelationship between incidence of *Lorbionalis* and leaf chlorophyll content of brinjal (*S. melongena*) during 2011-12. This research article provides a view of importance biochemical content common among plants. Therefore, close examinations of plant chemical characteristics are explained that contribute to pest resistance. In many cases it is obvious that the biochemical factors are more important than morphological and physiological factors in conferring non-preference and antibiosis. Some biochemical constituents may act as feeding stimuli for insects. Occurrence at lower concentration or total absence of such biochemical leads to non-preference, a form of insect resistance. The biochemical constituents are available in brinjal...
and these biochemical constituents possess insect resistant properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Studies on the effect of different total chlorophyll content in the leaves of different genotypes of brinjal was conducted during Kharif season 2011-12 at experimental field of Division of Vegetable Sciences, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Science and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar (Jammu and Kashmir). Twelve brinjal genotypes were evaluated and the experiment was laid out in the Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Seedlings were spaced at 60×45cm in each individual plot of size 16×12 m². Regular inter-cultivation practices and need based irrigation were performed. Leaf chlorophyll was estimated from the third leaf count from the top and from the shoots at 10 cm above the growing. The leaves were randomly selected from 5 different plants per plot of each genotype in the three replications. The leaf and shoot pigments were estimated and statistical analysis was done according the Gomez and Gomez (1984). At the same time the percentage of the infestation were recorded and were graded from the mean percentages, following the method of Mukhopadhay and Mendal (1984). Chlorophyll content was estimated by the method of Yoshida et al. (1978).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chlorophyll -a- content of third leaf of different brinjal genotypes varied significantly from 0.43 (resistant), 0.47 (moderately resistant), 0.53 (tolerant), 0.57 (moderately susceptible), 0.62 (susceptible) and 0.69 (highly susceptible) at 40, 70 and 100 DAT, respectively (Table 1). Amount of chlorophyll -a- also varied significantly with the age of the brinjal plant having highest at 100 DAT (0.72) and lowest at 50 DAT (0.43), which was similar to that of 40 DAT (Fig. 2 &Table 1). The correlation coefficient of chlorophyll -a- content of the third leaf between 40 and 70 DAT were 0.97 and significant at 1 % confidence level; but between 70 and 100 DAT (0.298) were insignificant. Besides, the infestation pattern of brinjal fruit and shoot borer with respect to chlorophyll -a- were significantly different at 40, 70 and 100 DAT. A strong positive correlation was observed between the pest infestation and chlorophyll -a- similarly the resistance was significantly positively correlated with the activity of peroxidase (POD) and the content of total chlorophyll (P<0.05) as observed by Zhou et al. (2012). On the basis of average value lowest chlorophyll -a- content was observed in the Brinjal-85 (0.25), which significantly different from the other varieties/hybrids, except Local Long. Similarly, the average highest amount of chlorophyll -a- content was recorded in Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-1(0.69) which was statistically different from all varieties/genotypes except Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-2(0.63). The chlorophyll -a- content was positively correlated (0.87) with brinjal shoot and fruit borer infestation i.e., the varieties Local Long, Brinjal-85, Dilruba-2 and Shalimar Brinjal Long-208 with purple coloured leaves and flowers at 70 DAT were less susceptible to brinjal shoot and fruit borer, than those with dark purple to light green leaves (Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-1 and Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-2). Asathi et al. (2002) found a strong positive correlation between the L.orbonalis infestation and chlorophyll content among five different genotypes in Chhattisgarh (India). However, Pathak (1961) and Kabir et al. (1989) reported that dark coloured leaves are more susceptible than purple coloured leaves, except varieties viz, BLO-100 and Islampuri in which infestation increased with increase in chlorophyll -a- content, but in present investigations the genotypes with dark coloured leaves were found comparatively less susceptible to borer damage. Among the different varieties/genotypes used under investigation chlorophyll -b- content of third leaf varied significantly from 0.31 (R), 0.40 (MR), 0.39(T), 0.41(MS), 0.42(S) and 0.45(HS) at 40, 70 and 100 DAT, respectively. Amount of chlorophyll -b- also varied significantly with age of the brinjal plant with highest at 70 DAT (0.45) and lowest at 40 DAT (0.31). The chlorophyll -b- at 40 DAT was similar to the content at 100 DAT. The average highest content of chlorophyll -b- was observed in Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-1 and Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-2. The lowest average chlorophyll -b- was recorded in variety Brinjal-85 followed by Local Long, and were statistically at par with each other but different from rest of the genotypes taken under investigation. The chlorophyll -b-content was positively correlated with borer infestation i.e., infestation increases linearly with increase in chlorophyll -b- content (Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-1 and Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-2), and decreased at certain points in some varieties, this in contradiction with the findings of Teotia and Lal (1970), who found a negative correlation between the chlorophyll -b- and borer damage. Besides, the infestation pattern of brinjal fruit and shoot borer were different at 40, 70 and 100 DAT with respect to the chlorophyll -a- and -b - (Fig. 2). On the basis of average value lowest chlorophyll -b- content was observed in the Brinjal-85 (0.58), which was also significantly different from the other varieties/hybrids, except Local Long. Similarly, the average highest amount of chlorophyll -b- content was recorded in Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-1, which was statistically different from all varieties except Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-2. The chlorophyll -b- content was positively correlated (0.87) with brinjal shoot and fruit borer infestation i.e., the varieties with light purple to dark purple coloured leaves at 70 DAT were less susceptible to brinjal shoot and fruit borer, than those with light green leaves in which there is high content...
of chlorophyll -b-. However, in contrast to this Nilam and Patel (2012) observed that chlorophyll exhibited a non-significant but negatively correlated with infestation level of *E. vittella* to shoot and fruit.

Average total chlorophyll content of different brinjal hybrids/varieties ranged from 0.823 (Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-1) to 0.559 mg/gfw at 40 DAT; 0.0.598 to 0.627 mg/gfw at 70 DAT and from 0.547 to 0.501 mg/

### Table 1. Leaf chlorophyll content (mg/gfw) of 12 selected brinjal varieties/genotypes at different ages (40, 70 and 100 days after transplantation).

| Varieties/hybrids               | Mean chlorophyll content at 40,70 and 100 days after transplanting (mg/gfw; mg per gram fresh weight). | L. orbonalis infestation (%) | *Level of resistance* |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|                                 | Chlorophyll ‘a’ | Chlorophyll ‘b’ | Total chlorophyll (a + b) |                          |                          |
| Shalimar Brinjal Long-217       | 0.415<sup>b</sup> | 0.278<sup>a</sup> | 0.693<sup>c</sup> | 6.70<sup>c</sup>       | Tolerant                |
| Local Long                      | 0.381<sup>b</sup> | 0.293<sup>b</sup> | 0.674<sup>b</sup> | 4.60<sup>b</sup>       | Fairly resistant        |
| Brinjal Oblong                  | 0.421<sup>b</sup> | 0.406<sup>c</sup> | 0.777<sup>d</sup> | 7.50<sup>d</sup>       | Susceptible             |
| Brinjal Purple Long             | 0.439<sup>c</sup> | 0.318<sup>b</sup> | 0.759<sup>d</sup> | 15.88<sup>d</sup>     | Susceptible             |
| Shalimar Brinjal Purple Long-42 | 0.377<sup>b</sup> | 0.286<sup>b</sup> | 0.663<sup>b</sup> | 13.34<sup>b</sup>     | Tolerant                |
| Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-1       | 0.497<sup>d</sup> | 0.375<sup>c</sup> | 0.872<sup>f</sup> | 17.53<sup>f</sup>     | Highly susceptible      |
| Shalimar Brinjal Purple Round-8 | 0.383<sup>b</sup> | 0.276<sup>a</sup> | 0.709<sup>b</sup> | 12.82<sup>b</sup>     | Fairly resistant        |
| Dilruba-2                       | 0.468<sup>c</sup> | 0.346<sup>d</sup> | 0.814<sup>c</sup> | 6.97<sup>c</sup>       | F a i r l y r e s i s t a n t |
| Brinjal-85                      | 0.319<sup>a</sup> | 0.271<sup>a</sup> | 0.589<sup>a</sup> | 2.34<sup>a</sup>       | Tolerant                |
| Shalimar Brinjal Long-208       | 0.407<sup>a</sup> | 0.311<sup>a</sup> | 0.668<sup>b</sup> | 6.20<sup>d</sup>       | Resistant               |
| Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-2       | 0.441<sup>d</sup> | 0.442<sup>d</sup> | 0.883<sup>f</sup> | 16.08<sup>f</sup>     | Tolerant                |
| Shalimar Brinjal Purple Round-1 | 0.349<sup>a</sup> | 0.248<sup>a</sup> | 0.597<sup>a</sup> | 5.10<sup>a</sup>       | Resistant               |
| **CD<sub>p = 0.05</sub>**       | **0.0537**       | **0.0321**       | **0.0438**         | **0.37**               | **Tolerant**            |

Each value is the mean of three replicates; Figures in parentheses are arcsine-transformed values. In a column, means followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different by DMRT (P=0.05)
The average highest content of total chlorophyll were recorded in Shalimar Brinjal Hybrid-1; while as, the lowest content of total chlorophyll content were recorded in Asathi et al. (2002) reported that chlorophyll content in Brinjal Hybrid-2 having higher chlorophyll content received lower infestation, whereas genotypes like Local Long and Brinjal-85 with lower total chlorophyll content received lower infestation of L. orbonalis in both shoot and fruit. Therefore, the results of the present study suggested that genotypes having lower chlorophyll content in leaves could be utilized in the breeding programme for the development of BSFB resistant varieties in brinjal.
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