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Inositol hexaphosphate (IP6) is the most abundant inositol phosphate in nature and an essential molecule for different biological functions. IP6 has a unique structure granting it distinctive properties; a high negative charge density provides IP6 with an immense chelating ability and valuable antioxidant properties. IP6 is also simple and cost-effective to produce. These features have attracted researchers and entrepreneurs to further study IP6 for a wide variety of applications in areas such as pharmaceutical, food and chemical industries, medicine, pharmacy, nutrition, and dentistry. The interest in IP6 in the dental field unfolded many decades ago following identification of a cariostatic ability and a positive impact on reducing enamel dissolution. Subsequently, IP6’s anti-plaque, anti-calculus and cement-forming properties have been investigated. Despite encouraging findings, there was a phase of decreased attention to IP6 which slowed down research progress. However, the potential use of IP6 has recently been revisited through several publications that provided deeper understanding into its mechanisms of action in the aforementioned applications. Studies have also explored new applications in endodontics, adhesive, preventive and regenerative dentistry, and IP6’s role in improving the characteristics and performance of dental materials. Evidence of the merits of IP6 in dentistry is now substantial, and this narrative review presents and discusses the different applications proposed in the literature and gives insights of future use of IP6 in the fields of orthodontics, implant and pediatric dentistry.
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INTRODUCTION

Phytic acid, known as inositol hexakisphosphate (IP6), inositol polyphosphate, or phytate when in salt form, was first recognized by Pfeffer in 1872 (Pfeffer, 1872), and in 1903 the term “la phytine” was used by Posternak (Posternak, 1903). In 1914, the IP6 structure was described by Anderson (Anderson, 1914) and this was confirmed by Johnson and Tate in 1969 using nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (Johnson and Tate, 1969).

IP6 is a saturated cyclic acid and the phosphate ester of inositol, with the formula C_{6}H_{18}O_{24}P_{6} (Figure 1). It has a high density of negative charges due to its six phosphate groups that become partially ionized at physiological pH, where the negative charges are counterbalanced by cations,
mainly sodium ions. Throughout the present review, IP6 is used to refer to both phytic acid and phytic acid salt “phytate.” IP6 is abundant in plants and has a significant nutritional role as the principal storage form of phosphorus in many plant tissues, especially bran and seeds. It is also considered a source of myoinositol, a cell wall precursor (Reddy et al., 1982; Schlemmer et al., 2009). In animal cells, myoinositol polyphosphates are ubiquitous, and IP6 is the most abundant form, with a concentration ranging from 10 to 100 µM in mammalian cells, depending on cell type and developmental stage (Szwergold et al., 1987; Sasakawa et al., 1995). The interaction of intracellular IP6 with specific intracellular proteins has been investigated in vitro, and these interactions result in the inhibition or potentiation of the physiological activities of proteins (Norris et al., 1995; Hanakahi et al., 2000). The evidence suggests an intracellular role for IP6 as a cofactor in DNA repair by non-homologous end-joining (Hanakahi et al., 2000). Other studies using yeast mutants, have also suggested that intracellular IP6 may be involved in mRNA export from the nucleus to the cytosol (York et al., 1999; Shears, 2001). IP6 has a potent anti-nutrient ability due to its strong binding affinity to crucial dietary minerals in their elemental form, including calcium, iron, and zinc; thus inhibiting their absorption (Schlemmer et al., 2009; Gupta et al., 2015). Studies have shown that there is a marked decrease of calcium absorption in the presence of IP6 and an enhanced availability after degradation. When iron or zinc binds to IP6, insoluble precipitates form, contributing to deficiencies of these elements in people whose diets rely on foods for their mineral intake (Hunt, 2002; Hurrell, 2003; Rancheva and Kasaikina, 2013). Thus, fortification of food, especially in developed countries, is seen as a desirable measure to achieve the recommended intakes of specific nutrients (FAO/IZINCG, 2018).

The anti-nutrient effect of IP6 should not negate its health benefits (Nassar et al., 2017), and its ability to form insoluble complexes with calcium might also help decrease bone retention of heavy metals such as lead (Rose and Quaterman, 1984). IP6’s strong iron chelating property has been found to have a protective effect in rat neuronal cells against apoptosis in iron-excess conditions, a finding of importance in patients with Parkinson’s disease where disrupted iron homeostasis and iron overload in the brain is evident (Xu et al., 2008).

Studies have also shown that IP6 has an antioxidant effect indicating a role for IP6 in preventing free radical formation through chelation with iron that catalyses the generation of hydroxyl radicals (Graf, 1983; Graf et al., 1987; Graf and Eaton, 1990; Pallau and Rimbach, 1997; Xu et al., 2008). The protective effect of IP6 against kidney stones and cancer has also been studied (Grases and Costa-Bauza, 1999; Grases et al., 2006; Shafie et al., 2013), and scientists have suggested that IP6 may partly explain why whole grains have been linked with a reduced risk of colon cancer (Aune et al., 2011). IP6’s anti-cancer action has been demonstrated both in vitro and in vivo and it is claimed that there is enough evidence to legitimize the start of clinical trials in humans for its use as an anti-neoplastic agent (Fox and Eberl, 2002; Vucenik and Shamsuddin, 2006). IP6 has an inhibitory effect on osteoclastogenesis in human cell lines, suggesting it could play a role in reducing bone-mineral density loss and preventing osteoporosis (López-González et al., 2008; Arriero et al., 2012).

Due to its antioxidant properties, IP6 has been used as a food preservative to prevent spoilage and discoloration (Graf and Eaton, 1990). Adding IP6 to wine and other beverages would reduce the side effects and toxicity of high metal content (e.g. iron) in beverages (Trela, 2010). The pharmaceutical industry has also used IP6, to enhance drug efficacy and reduce undesired side effects. Adding IP6 to the drug content could improve drug absorption and increase oral bioavailability (Xie et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2016).

A finding that might be of interest to dental practitioners is the ability of IP6, in the presence of calcium, to inhibit fluoride bioavailability from the food matrix, thus attenuating the caries-preventive effect of fluoride (Cerklewski, 1992). In dentistry, IP6 gained attention in 1960 when McClure et al. tested its cariostatic effect on rats (McClure, 1960). In 1972, IP6’s plaque-inhibiting effectiveness was examined (Nordbø and Rølla, 1972) and in 1975, Cole and Bowen tested its effect on microbial composition of animal dental plaque (Cole and Bowen, 1975). IP6 continued to generate a steady amount of interest due to its ability to bind to hydroxyapatite forming a monomolecular surface layer that limited both the growth and dissolution of hydroxyapatite crystals, thus inhibiting caries, plaque formation and enamel dissolution. These findings led to the development of several patented oral care regimes (Reddy et al., 1982; Graf, 1983; Kaufman, 1986; Sands et al., 1986; Reddy et al., 1989). IP6’s cement forming properties were tested by Prosser et al., in 1983 (Prosser et al., 1983) where it was found to produce a fast setting and acid-resistant cement. Although the scholarly interest in IP6 furnished a number of intriguing findings regarding its application in dentistry, this interest reached a hiatus. Recently, interest in IP6 has been revitalized with several research papers exploring potential dental applications including its use in dentifrices and cements, and other new applications such as etchant in adhesive dentistry, chelating agent in endodontics or anti-staining agent added to dentifrices (Nassar et al., 2013; Nassar et al., 2015; Milleman et al., 2018; Parkinson et al., 2018; Uyanik et al., 2019). In 1983,
Ernst Graf was highly active in researching different features of IP6 and its potential in a vast array of applications. Graf was probably the first to give an in-depth description of the antioxidant and metal chelation properties. He stated that IP6 was an inexpensive, inert, non-toxic and abundant chemical that was easily obtained from different plant sources by relatively simple procedures. Despite all the encouraging data, limited efforts had been given to IP6’s application for oral care and he believed that if enough funding and support were secured, novel oral health care products could have been developed (Graf, 1983). Based on the reviewed literature, it seems that IP6 possesses several properties that are valuable across a variety of dental fields. In the last 60 years, the use of IP6 has been evaluated in dentistry; this narrative literature review highlights the major potential applications of IP6 and presents insights into other future applications of this agent in dentistry.

**POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF IP6 IN DENTISTRY**

**Cements**

Dental cements are a mainstay in modern day dentistry where they are used in the restoration of prepared teeth for an indefinite or definite period, depending on the physical characteristics and projected longevity of the restoration (Hill, 2007). The requirements of an ideal cement include, but are not limited to, having sufficient working time and desired physical properties for its intended use, strong enough to resist functional forces and resistant to dissolution upon exposure to the oral environment (de la Macorra and Pradíes, 2002). Dental cements are also important for the success of fixed appliance-based orthodontic therapy, where they are needed to attach bands and brackets to tooth structure along with the ability to protect against dental caries during the treatment period (Millett et al., 2016). Nowadays, several varieties of dental cements are available and the development of new or improved dental cements is still ongoing; however, some have disappeared from the market.

Studies have shown that IP6 can drastically improve both the chemical and physical properties of dental cements when used as an additive. The notion of using IP6 was proposed in 1980, where its addition to aluminosilicate glass, resulted in a rapidly setting cement through an acid-base reaction. The resultant cement had low vulnerability to early attack by water and acid, as well as better adhesion to enamel compared with dentine, due to the lower mineral content of the latter (Prosser et al., 1983). Mechanical properties of zinc phosphate cements also improved with addition of IP6. Increasing the concentration of IP6 from 0 to 2% doubled the compressive strength. Replacing some of the phosphoric acid with 3–5% IP6 resulted in maximum compressive strength. When IP6 was added alone, the reaction was rapid and the setting time was short and controllable based on the ratio of IP6 and phosphoric acid or adjusting the water content to attain a more practical setting time. The leach from the resultant cement was reduced when some of the phosphoric acid was replaced with IP6, and this was explained by the higher stability of zinc phytate compared with zinc phosphate. This was considered the main advantage of using IP6. Applications where a large area of cement is exposed to saliva, such as in orthodontics might benefit most from this property. However, at high IP6 concentrations, the resultant cement was too viscous, with a high film thickness, thus rendering the cement unsuitable for dental application (Li et al., 1994).

Calcium silicate-based cements are commonly used in pulpal regeneration and hard tissue repair in endodontics along with mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) and more recently, with Biodentine™. MTA exhibits a myriad of drawbacks such as a long setting time, poor handling characteristics and low washout resistance (Dawood et al., 2017). At a certain concentration, IP6 can effectively decrease the setting time of calcium silicate-based cements without altering their diametral tensile strength, and this effect was more pronounced with Biodentine™ compared with MTA (Uyanik et al., 2019). Acceleration of the setting time could be through the hydrophilic nature of IP6 having a synergistic effect with calcium silicate-based cements, which sets through a hydration reaction. It may also be explained by the highly negatively charged phosphate groups in IP6 that strongly bind to metallic ions within the cements (Hsieh et al., 2009; Silva and Bracarensen, 2016). Furthermore, the abundance of negative charges in IP6 could play a role in the reaction process through chelation with calcium in the cement. High water: powder ratio increases porosity and solubility of the cement, thus compromising the mechanical properties of the set material (Li et al., 1994), and this is also applicable to MTA and Biodentine™ as a high water:powder ratio would adversely affect properties. The use of IP6 is thought to result in less water in the mixed cement; however, no improvement in the diametral tensile strength was detected (Uyanik et al., 2019).

Calcium phosphates occur in different forms, and have found their way into many dental applications, such as preventive dentistry (owing to high resemblance to natural enamel), periodontal therapy, restorative and implant dentistry, and pulp therapy (Al-Sanabani et al., 2013; Meyer et al., 2018). The brushite type of calcium phosphate is considered as a bone replacement material which possesses desirable properties, but also suffers several drawbacks such as short setting times, low mechanical strength and poor injectability, all of which limits the more inclusive clinical application of this cement, and trials to improve these properties are still ongoing (Gbureck et al., 2004). In 2017, Meininger et al. reported that IP6 was a setting retardant of di-calcium phosphate cements, an effect that was necessary to meet the clinical requirements of the handling time of cement that usually sets in less than 1 min in a retardant-free environment. IP6 is thought to exert this effect by adsorbing at the active growth sites of di-calcium phosphate crystals of the cement, thus delaying the crystal growth rate, which also decreases the maximum setting temperature. In the same study, the highest cement strength values were achieved using citric acid, the most commonly used retarder in brushite cements, followed by IP6, which led to higher values than those obtained with retardant-free cement. These results were correlated with the porosity of the set cement and confirmed some differences in the used retardants regarding the type and phase of the formed crystals in the set material. When IP6 was
used as a retarder, monetite was the predominant phase formed during setting, whereas citric acid resulted in the formation of a mixture of both brushite and monetite. Interestingly, cements with IP6 showed increased calcium concentration in the medium where the cement samples were immersed, while citric acid containing cement adsorbed calcium ions (Meininger et al., 2017). The increased availability of calcium ions might be advantageous in enhancing dentine formation in exposed dental pulps (Foreman and Barnes, 1990), which has possible implications in the field of regeneration as described later in this review. Cements that contained IP6 had significantly better cytocompatibility towards osteoblast cells compared with citric acid-containing cement (Meininger et al., 2017). In 2018, Hurle et al. studied the effect of IP6 on the hydration mechanism and setting kinetics of brushite cements (Hurle et al., 2018). Their findings were consistent with those obtained by Meininger et al. (Meininger et al., 2017), where controlled concentrations of IP6 acted as a retarder of the cement setting reaction, resulting in better mechanical performance and a cement that was composed of a monetite crystalline structure (Hurle et al., 2018). The latter finding was thought to be of clinical significance as this form of crystalline structure does not undergo phase conversion with aging in phosphate buffered saline (Sheikh et al., 2015). It was also observed that IP6 improved the injectability of the cement, due to the formation of a chelate complex between calcium ions and the phosphate groups of IP6, which led to a delayed rise in paste viscosity and a drastic retarding effect on cement hydration (Hurle et al., 2018). Weichhold et al. found similar effects on apatite cement and concluded that IP6 was a suitable additive for the development of calcium phosphate cement with superior properties (Weichhold et al., 2019).

Glass ionomer cement systems are versatile restorative and luting materials and essential in restorative and pediatric dentistry and orthodontics, because of their fluoride release and chemical adhesion to tooth structure. The literature supports their use in several clinical scenarios. These include cementation of crowns, bridges, inlays, onlays and orthodontic appliances, cavity base or liner, fissure sealant, tooth repair in trauma, and restoration of certain cavity preparations in patients with high-caries risk and teeth that are difficult to isolate (Berg, 2002; Sidhu and Nicholson, 2016). Researchers are constantly striving to improve the performance of these cements as well as to develop new ones. Several chelating additives such as tartaric acid and citric acid have been described in the literature to enhance the characteristics of glass ionomer cements (Sidhu and Nicholson, 2016). It may also be interesting to see future studies on the impact of IP6 on these cements; to the best of our knowledge, such studies have not been performed yet.

Oral Care Products
Oral care products including dentifrices and mouthrinses are multifunctional, offering an array of advantages to combat an assortment of oral conditions e.g., caries, gingivitis, dentine hypersensitivity, teeth whitening, and halitosis. The addition of chemical agents to conventional products to augment their intended functions such as prevention of caries, plaque inhibition, or stain removal, represents an attractive field of study (Lippert, 2013; Cummins, 2016; Milleman et al., 2018).

Interest in the potential protective effects of different classes of phosphate compounds, including IP6, against caries is not new (Grenby, 1973). The concept of using IP6 as a cariostatic agent probably came from the speculative connection mentioned in earlier studies. These studies revealed an increased caries incidence with decreased intake of IP6 following changes in dietary habits and food processing and refinement (Jenkins et al., 1959a; Jenkins et al., 1959b; Jenkins, 1966). Several early studies showed a reduction of experimental caries in animals fed dietary IP6 (Taketa and Phillips, 1957; Buttner and Muhler, 1959; McClure, 1966; Madsen and Edmonds, 1962; Vogel et al., 1962; McClure, 1963; McClure, 1964; Dawes and Shaw, 1965; Englander and Keyes, 1970; Cole et al., 1980); however, these findings were not corroborated by other researchers, where IP6 had limited or no protective influence (Limbasuta et al., 1961; König and Grenby, 1965; Grenby, 1966; Llienthal et al., 1966). The cariostatic mechanism of IP6 is not fully understood, although several pathways have been suggested. A local rather than a systemic effect is proposed by most researchers. IP6 rapidly adsorbs to hydroxyapatite forming a monomolecular layer on the crystal surface that leads to increased resistance of enamel to acid attack by acting as a diffusion barrier to ions (Magrill, 1973b) while at the same time limiting the growth of the hydroxyapatite (Koutsoukos et al., 1981; Grases et al., 2015). IP6 is mainly found at the surface of hydroxyapatite but it is too large to diffuse into the hydroxyapatite crystal. Another speculated mechanism is through the formation and precipitation of calcium-IP6 complexes on the crystal surface (Magrill, 1973b). IP6 content on the surface of hydroxyapatite was not significantly affected after washing with water or partial dissolution by acid, which indicated that IP6 was tightly bound to hydroxyapatite surfaces (Magrill, 1973b). The adsorption of IP6 to hydroxyapatite might also cause an alteration of the surface charge and free energy characteristics, thus impeding formation of plaque by negatively influencing the affinity of salivary proteins and bacteria to tooth surfaces (Napper and Smythe, 1966; Grenby, 1967a; Grenby, 1967b; Grenby, 1967c; Kaufman and Kleibrang, 1970; Pruitt et al., 1970; Nordbø and Rølla, 1972; Magrill, 1973b).

Recently, Fernández et al. showed that the adsorption energy to hydroxyapatite was the highest for IP6 when compared with other acids such as pyrophosphate, etidronate, and citrate. IP6 was able to form thirteen electrostatic interactions with hydroxyapatite surfaces. No hydrogen bond interaction was observed between IP6 and hydroxyapatite surfaces; however, the protonated oxygen atoms of IP6 formed hydrogen bonds with contiguous phosphate groups. In their study, there was a positive correlation between the adsorption energy with the number of functional groups and the total molecular negative charge of the acid that interacted with hydroxyapatite surface (Fernández et al., 2017).

The antimicrobial effect of IP6 on cariogenic bacteria is still questionable. However, there is some indication that oral streptococci and lactobacilli are sensitive to IP6 obtained from diet (Grenby, 1967b). There are similar characteristics between IP6 and linear condensed polyphosphates, and thus it might be
expected that the former may have an inhibitory effect on *Streptococcus mutans* by modifying certain portions of the glycolytic enzyme system (Handelman and Kreinces, 1973), or chelation of essential metabolites (Post et al., 1963; Elliott et al., 1964; Shibata and Morioka, 1982). Despite the promising potential of IP6 as a cariostatic agent, some researchers cast doubt on the clinical impact of caries control in humans (Grenby, 1967b). Importantly, bacteria with the ability to accumulate polyphosphate intracellularly can alter the chemical conditions of the oral environment and promote caries (Breil and et al., 2018).

Grases et al. showed that a mouthrinse containing IP6 retarded dental calculus formation (Grases et al., 2009). This effect was attributed to IP6 properties in altering protein binding to the tooth surface and concomitantly acting as an inhibitor of hydroxyapatite and brushite crystal formation (Grases et al., 2000). These actions were related to its structural similarity to pyrophosphate, the main polyphosphate used in inhibiting calculus (Cohen et al., 1994). This mechanism has found use in supplemented chewing gums which reduce calculus formation (Porciani et al., 2003). To our knowledge, there are no studies to date on the role of IP6 in chewing gum on removal of teeth stain or inhibition of calculus formation.

Despite these rather equivocal findings, there has been a reasonable endorsement to harness the cariostatic, anti-plaque and anti-calcus properties of IP6 by its inclusion in several oral care products that serve different dental and oral applications such as mouthrinses, dentifrices, dentures cleansers, teeth whitening and stain removal agents, and oral malodor rinses (Graf, 1983; Sands et al., 1986; Garlrich et al., 1994; Kleinberg et al., 1998; Hohe et al., 2016; Nakachi et al., 2017). Millem et al. evaluated the efficacy of stain removal of 0.85% w/w IP6 incorporated into an experimental dentifrice, which was better for tooth stain removal than a reference control dentifrice. This provided further evidence that IP6 acted similarly to condensed polyphosphates in augmenting stain removal which was not accompanied with increased abrasivity of the dentifrice. This was considered highly important for people at risk of dentine hypersensitivity. The presence of IP6 in dentifrices is expected to not only remove stain, but also prevent new stain formation, and this effect is thought to be through binding to tooth structure surfaces. This, in turn, would disrupt protein binding to the surfaces through chelating with calcium, thus negatively affecting both the adhesion and the ionic crosslinking of pellicle and stain molecules. The other notable finding in their study was the usefulness of the experimental dentifrice for removing stains from inaccessible and difficult-to-reach areas, and surfaces of teeth that were typically missed during cleaning. The experimental dentifrice was also well-tolerated by the subjects, with no evidence of adverse effects in the oral cavity, at the IP6 level used (Millem et al., 2018).

A study by Parkinson et al. tested the effect of increasing quantities of IP6 on fluoride ability to promote remineralization. No significant differences were encountered by addition of IP6 at 0.425% or 0.85% and there was no attenuation, or improvement in fluoride’s caries prevention efficacy. Neither IP6 nor zinc ions within the dose range tested affected fluoride’s ability to promote remineralization or prevent demineralization of enamel in the aforementioned model (Parkinson et al., 2018). Additional experiments by Creeth et al. demonstrated that, compared with fluoride-free controls, a dentifrice containing IP6 and sodium fluoride had beneficial effects on the dynamics of remineralization and demineralization for early enamel erosive lesions. However, due to reduced fluoride uptake in the presence of IP6, the remineralizing effect was inhibited. Thus, no benefits for including IP6 were perceived (Creeth et al., 2018), which contrasted with the results of Parkinson et al. (Parkinson et al., 2018). It was stated that this marked contrast was the result of using different models. Creeth et al. used a single-treatment model with plaque-free enamel surfaces (Creeth et al., 2018), whereas Parkinson et al. used plaque-covered surfaces which were clinically more relevant to developing caries lesions (Parkinson et al., 2018). Magrill reported an inhibition of mineralization in enamel specimens pre-treated with IP6 solution. However, the author was not concerned about similar *in vivo* effects as several animal experiments had already demonstrated the cariostatic properties of IP6 (Magrill, 1973a).

IP6’s interaction with cations has received the most attention. However, IP6 also has the ability to interact with enzymes, starch and proteins. These less studied interactions might also be crucial in certain aspects of oral health including dental caries and the longevity of resin-based restorative material in adhesive dentistry, as described later. IP6 interacts with an array of enzymes such as α-amylase (Deshpande and Cheryan, 1984; Knuckles and Betschart, 1987), proteinases (pepsin, trypsin and chymotrypsin) (Singh and Krikorian, 1982; Inagawa, 1987; Deshpande and Domodaran, 1989), lipase (Knuckles, 1988), β-glucosidases, alcohol dehydrogenase, and polyphenol oxidase (Deshpande, 2002; Du et al., 2012). Interaction with these enzymes results in mostly inhibition of the activity, but some reported no effect or even a positive influence depending on the IP6:enzyme ratio (Deshpande, 2002; Greiner et al., 2006).

Salivary α-amylase is one of the major components of saliva and has a variety of biological functions requiring intact enzyme. The ability of salivary α-amylase to bind to bacteria leading to clearance might offer a protective effect (Scannapieco et al., 1993). Alternatively, its ability to bind to bacteria and adsorb to tooth enamel (Al-Hashimi and Levine, 1989; Scannapieco et al., 1994), its presence in enamel pellicle (Yao et al., 2001), and its ability to digest starch providing nutrients for cariogenic bacteria point towards α-amylase’s role in promoting dental plaque and caries formation (Scannapieco et al., 1993). The inhibitory effect of IP6 on the activity of α-amylase is via chelation of calcium (Cawley and Mitchell, 1968), a cation necessary for activation and stabilization of α-amylase (Morris et al., 2011), or through the general complex-forming ability of IP6 with enzyme proteins (Sharma et al., 1978; Deshpande and Cheryan, 1984). Knuckles and Betschart confirmed an inhibitory effect of IP6 on α-amylase’s ability to digest starch, and this effect was dependent on the degree of phosphorylation, IP6 concentration, pH and enzyme source (Knuckles and Betschart, 1987). The inhibitory effect was confirmed *in vivo* by the inverse relationship between the intake of dietary IP6 and the level of glucose in the blood (Yoon et al., 1983). Meanwhile,
Björck and Nyman showed IP6 had negligible influence on the activity of α-amylase (Björck and Nyman, 1987). The contrasting results reveal the complexity of IP6 interactions and many of the different findings may be due to experimental design, and the fact that in vitro studies may poorly reflect in vivo behaviour of IP6 (Björck and Nyman, 1987).

Starches are one of the predominant dietary carbohydrates in modern societies. The first step in the digestion of starch occurs in the oral cavity by salivary α-amylase leading to the formation of oligosaccharides, which may be fermented by oral microorganisms, thus contributing to the caries process (Touger-Decker and van Loveren, 2003; Butterworth et al., 2011). Taking into account the high level of salivary α-amylase in humans, Lingström concluded that food starches possess significant cariogenic potential, and it was premature to consider food starches as safe for teeth (Lingström et al., 2000). The authors of a recent systematic review stated that it was the intake of rapidly digestible starches and not total starch intake that was associated with increased risk of caries. As such it is recommended that dental health professionals encourage consumption of food containing slowly digestible starches such as whole grains, fruits, and vegetables (Halvorsrud et al., 2019).

Starch digestion is negatively affected by direct IP6 binding with starch via hydrogen bond formation or indirect interaction of IP6 with the proteins (kafirin and glutelin) that bind to starch and are necessary for its digestion, or with α-amylase or calcium that is needed for α-amylase activity (Thompson and Yoon, 1984; Rickard and Thompson, 1997; Oatway et al., 2001; Selle et al., 2012). These interactions may modify the substrate leading to compensatory increases in outputs of α-amylase in order to digest starch (Selle et al., 2012). Thompson and Yoon studied the in vitro digestion of starch in human saliva. IP6 reduced digestibility by 28% or 60% at 1 h or 5 h of incubation, respectively (Thompson and Yoon, 1984).

Limited information is available about the in vivo interaction of IP6 with human saliva. In 2019, Delimont et al. were among the first to study salivary protein–IP6 interactions and explore the effect of IP6 supplementation on salivary proteins. In their study, proline-rich proteins did not bind to IP6, whilst IP6 formed weak complexes with a non-enzymatic salivary protein called cystatin SN (Delimont et al., 2019). The effect of IP6 on the functions and properties of cystatin SN is not yet understood. The main purpose of cystatin SN in the oral environment is inhibition of host cysteine proteases, which are involved in periodontal tissue destruction (Baron et al., 1999) and in protection against dental caries (Vitorino et al., 2006). Cystatin SN also adsorbs to enamel surfaces (Al-Hashimi and Levine, 1989; Johnsson et al., 1991) and has an effect on the sensitivity to bitter taste (Rodrigues et al., 2019). Delimont et al. suggested that repeated IP6 consumption might enhance basic proline-rich proteins production (Delimont et al., 2019), and this represented area of research that needs further exploration as this salivary component comprises about 70% of the total salivary proteins (Carlson, 1993) and is involved in several important functions that impact the oral health (Bennick, 1982; McArthur et al., 1995). Salivary mucins are glycoproteins that are responsible for several physical and chemical characteristics of mucus and play an important role in lubrication of hard and soft tissues of the oral cavity, modulation of oral microflora and formation of acquired enamel pellicle (Tabak, 1990). IP6 was reported to reduce the adsorption of native human whole salivary mucins to hydroxyapatite by 50% (Amerongen et al., 1988). However, deglycosylation of mucins increased their ability to compete with IP6 for hydroxyapatite surfaces (Amerongen et al., 1991).

The effect of IP6’s interactions with dietary starch, proteins or salivary components such as enzymes on dental caries, pellicle formation and periodontal health is still unclear and the quantity of IP6 available from dietary sources to modify the functions of salivary components is still not known. However, in addition to the previously mentioned cariostatic mechanisms of IP6, we believe that the effect of IP6 on starch digestion and/or amylase activity has a role to play in the perceived anti-caries effect of IP6.

**Etching Agent**

Phosphoric acid at a concentration of 37% has been used in dentistry as an etching agent for enamel (Buonocore, 1955) and for dentine (Fusayama et al., 1979) since 1955 and 1979, respectively. The interaction of the etching agent with dentine is limited by the buffering effect of hydroxyapatite and other dentine components (Wang and Hume, 1988). However, it is believed that the depth of dentine demineralization also directly relates to the concentration of the applied acid (Chiba et al., 1989; Pashley, 1992). The acidic agent removes the smear layer and the superficial part of the dentine, opens the dentinal tubules, demineralizes the dentine surface, and increases the microporosity of the intertubular dentine (Van Meerbeek et al., 1992; Pashley et al., 1993; Sano et al., 1994). Although a definitely more effective enamel bonding is achieved through etching with phosphoric acid (Frankenberger et al., 2008), etching of dentine with phosphoric acid is now considered too aggressive (Van Meerbeek et al., 2011). Application of phosphoric acid to dentine results in exposure of collagen fibrils that are totally devoid of hydroxyapatite (Van Meerbeek et al., 1996; De Munck et al., 2003). These fragile collagen networks are susceptible to collapse, preventing optimal infiltration of resin (Prati et al., 1999; El Feninat et al., 2001), thus resulting in compromised bonding to dentine (Nakajima et al., 2002) and possible postoperative sensitivity (Chersoni et al., 2004). In addition, phosphoric acid regulates the activity of proteolytic enzymes in dentine (Tezvergil-Muluay et al., 2013; DeVito-Moraes et al., 2016), thus jeopardizing the longevity of resin-based restorative materials (Pashley et al., 2004). Several approaches have been suggested to slow down the enzymatic activity associated with phosphoric acid on dentine, including evaluating other agents to replace phosphoric acid, such as maleic acid, citric acid, lactic acid or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Breschi et al., 2002; Imbery et al., 2012; Trevelin et al., 2019). In addition, the use of crosslinking agents to strengthen the exposed collagen network has been proposed (Macedo et al., 2009).

In 2013, IP6 was evaluated as a dentine etching agent with results showing that upon etching with IP6, the bond strength of resin to dentine was significantly increased compared with phosphoric acid (Nassar et al., 2013). IP6 was shown to
effectively remove the dentinal smear layer at lower concentrations than phosphoric acid, and it also had less adverse effect on pulpal cells (Nassar et al., 2013). The speculated mechanism of action behind the increased resin-dentine bonding was attributed to two possible events. The first mechanism was due to IP6’s ability to form insoluble complexes with calcium at a pH above 4 (Grynspan and Cheryan, 1983). As a result of the high dentine buffering capacity (Camps and Pashley, 2000), the pH of IP6 increases upon neutralization with dentine, and thus facilitated the formation of the insoluble complex, which might provide a certain level of stability for the exposed collagen. The second speculated mechanism was through the collagen crosslinking action of IP6 (Cheryan and Rackis, 1980; Lee et al., 2011; Ravichandran et al., 2013). The nature of IP6 protein interaction is governed by pH; at a pH below the isoelectric point of the protein, insoluble binary protein-IP6 complexes (Figure 2A) that dissolve only below pH 3.5 are formed by electrostatic interaction between the anionic phosphate groups of IP6 and the cationic groups of the protein. The binding sites for IP6 within the protein at low pH are the α-NH₂ terminal group, the ε-NH₂ of lysine, the imidazole group of histidine and guanidyl group of arginine. The stability of binary complexes is affected by the competitive action of multivalent cations (Cheryan and Rackis, 1980; Reddy and Salunkhe, 1981; Greiner et al., 2006; Selle et al., 2012). Dentinal collagen has a positive net charge after exposure to acidic solutions (Nezu and Winnik, 2006; Zhang et al., 2005) such as IP6, and thus we assume formation of a binary interaction between IP6 and dentinal collagen occurs. However, this interaction takes a different form at a pH above the isoelectric point, because both IP6 and the protein have a net negative charge at high pH. A soluble ternary protein-cation-IP6 complex (Figure 2B) is formed where cations such as calcium, bridges the IP6 to protein. In these types of complexes, the major binding sites are the non-protonated imidazole group of histidine and probably the ionized carboxyl group of the protein. The ternary protein-cation-IP6 complexes may be disrupted by high ionic strength, such as high pH (pH > 10), and high concentrations of the chelating agents (Cheryan and Rackis, 1980; Reddy and Salunkhe, 1981; Greiner et al., 2006; Selle et al., 2012). Due to the buffering capacity of dentine (Camps and Pashley, 2000), this type of interaction might also occur between IP6 and dentinal collagen. A third more recent mechanism has been proposed for IP6-protein interaction, where IP6 acts as a Hofmeister anion through its six anionic groups that have marked kosmotropic effects resulting in stabilization and reduction of the solubility of proteins by interacting with water in the surrounding medium. However, this mechanism is a new concept that needs further investigation (Selle et al., 2012). Certain IP6-induced protein complexes result in decreased protein solubility and these complexes might be recalcitrant to enzymatic hydrolysis or require higher quantities of the enzymes to be degraded (Ravindran et al., 1995; Selle et al., 2012).

In a study done by Nassar et al., the increased number of mixed mode of failures at the adhesive–resin interface for the IP6-etched dentine reflected a strengthening effect of IP6 on the hybrid layer (Nassar et al., 2013). The reduced effect of IP6 on the used pulpal cells compared with phosphoric acid was attributed to the lower concentrations of IP6 used in the study (Nassar et al., 2013) and the ability of IP6 to reduce the level of oxidative stress through chelation with iron. This in turn, inhibits the ability of iron to catalyze the formation of hydroxyl radicals through the Fenton reaction (Xu et al., 2008). It is not only IP6, but also its intermediate products of hydrolysis that have iron chelating properties and are thus still effective in preventing iron ion-induced lipid peroxidation (Miyamoto et al., 2000). The effect of IP6 on dentinal collagen was later confirmed in a study that reported improved ultimate tensile strength of demineralized dentine upon treatment with IP6, which was comparable to results obtained with glutaraldehyde, a gold standard crosslinking agent used in dental research. Dentinal collagen exposed by IP6 was also less susceptible to collapse by air-drying and to collagenase degradation when compared with the fragile network of collagen attained by the use of phosphoric acid (Kong et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2017).

Wang et al. compared the use of IP6, glutaraldehyde and genipin for cellular animal-derived tissue fixation. It was postulated that IP6 with its strong electro-negativity reacts with −NH₂ on the tissues to form stable electrovalent bonds that could prevent degradation. To enhance biocompatibility, sodium hydroxide was used to elevate pH, which might also have resulted in the formation of hydrogen bonds between the negatively charged oxygen of phosphate anions and the protons on amino groups. These types of hydrogen bonds were said to far exceed ordinary hydrogen-bond interactions as the oxygen anions of IP6 possessed strong electro-negativity, and thus stable fixation was obtained. In their study, 5% IP6 was used for tissue fixation which resulted in a fixation index of 90%; no further enhancement occurred when...
7.5% or 10% IP6 was used. The crosslinking rate for glutaraldehyde was quicker than IP6; however, the fixation index was comparable. Genipin had the lowest fixation index and rate. The microscopic porous structure was well preserved after crosslinking with IP6, which was similar to those of natural biological tissues and this intact structure plays an important role in the mechanical support and strength. IP6 resulted in samples with higher ultimate tensile strength compared with controls, indicating more effective crosslinking and formation of a compact intermolecular crosslinking network within collagen fibers. The enzymatic degradation of IP6-fixed tissue by subjecting the samples to collagenase was determined by measuring the relative weight loss of the tissue. At 24 h, control samples were 90.7% hydrolyzed compared with 49.2% for IP6-fixed tissues. The authors stated that IP6 introduced stable heteropolar bonds and hydrogen bonds through binding amino group, resulting in obstruction and protection of the cleavage site, blocking the action of the collagenase and reducing tissue degradability. In their study, glutaraldehyde resulted in the least relative weight loss indicating lower tissue degradation compared with IP6 and genipin. However, IP6 was more cytocompatible and enhanced secretion of angiogenic growth factors from human endothelial cells; a result that could increase cell proliferation and attachment and thus the process of angiogenesis (Wang et al., 2017). Tu et al. reported that the effect of IP6 on the self-assembly degree and kinetics of collagen isolated from bovine tendon was dose-dependent. Accelerated self-assembly kinetics and higher self-assembly degree were best at a 1:1 ratio of IP6 to collagen (Tu et al., 2018). These findings were attributed to the formation of hydrogen bonding between IP6 and amino group of collagen as described by Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2017). At higher IP6 concentrations, the self-assembly degree and kinetics were negatively affected compared with the control due to occupancy of hydrogen bonding sites on collagen by IP6 and the repulsion between IP6 adsorbed on adjacent collagen molecules resulting in an inhibitory effect. The triple-helical conformation of collagen in the presence of IP6 was not altered; however, more slender and thinner morphology of the fibrils was observed than for IP6-free collagen. The thermal stability of collagen fibrils and the viscoelasticity of collagen were also enhanced by IP6 (Tu et al., 2018). The results of these studies are not only helpful to design future studies to optimize bonding to dentinal collagen, but they also provide insights into IP6’s use in regenerative dentistry, as described later.

Matrix metalloproteinases play an important role in the degradation of the dentine organic matrix, which is mostly composed of collagen, thus leading to failure of resin-dentine bonding (Thompson et al., 2012) or progression of caries process (Toledano et al., 2012). In dentistry, use of matrix metalloproteinases inhibitors has gained much attention as a strategy to improve adhesive bonding to dentine, and currently there is intensive research towards their development (Nassar et al., 2014; Boelen and Boute, 2019). The mechanism of action of the first-generation of matrix metalloproteinases inhibitors is based on zinc and calcium ions chelation (Toledano et al., 2012); these ions are required to maintain optimum tertiary structures and functional active sites of matrix metalloproteinases (Visse and Nagase, 2003). EDTA has a significant inhibitory effect on matrix metalloproteinases through previously described mechanisms; however, EDTA can be rinsed off easily from dentine (Thompson et al., 2012; Toledano et al., 2012). In addition to IP6’s excellent ability to chelate with calcium, it is also a potent chelator of zinc. The zinc–IP6 complex is stable and insoluble (Oatway et al., 2001), and these properties along with an ability to bind to collagen might make IP6 a potential inhibitor of dentinal matrix metalloproteinases.

Despite limited evidence, it seems that controlled concentrations of IP6 are not aggressive to dentine and create a stable collagen network which might be clinically translated to better longevity of resin-based restorations. However, more research is warranted on the effect of IP6 on dentinal matrix metalloproteinases and cysteine cathepsin which play important role in destruction of dentine organic matrix following etching of dentine by acidic agents. We also believe that IP6’s interaction with dentinal collagen and metals merits thorough and comprehensive examination, and future studies could be directed to evaluating the stability and enzymatic degradation of IP6-treated dentinal collagen (Forgione et al., 2021).

**Chelating Agent**

The success of root canal therapy depends on both mechanical and chemical debridement. Mechanical debridement forms a smear layer inside the canal walls and is often associated with the incomplete seal and lack of adaptation of obturation materials to canal walls. Despite failure of reaching a consensus on whether to remove the smear layer or not, much of the literature seems to promote its removal (Violich and Chandler, 2010). Sodium hypochlorite is the most widely used intra-canal irrigant; however, it fails to fully remove the smear layer, which is the basic rationale behind use of chelating agents in endodontics (Haapasalo et al., 2014). Since 1957, EDTA at a concentration of 17% and an application time of 1–5 min has been the chemical of choice for smear layer removal (Nygaard-Ostby, 1957; Calt and Serper, 2002). EDTA is overused globally and is a major pollutant (Sillanpää, 1997). Furthermore, EDTA is not readily biodegradable and its extrusion into the periapical tissue needs to be avoided (Amaral et al., 2007). Thus, despite its popularity, a search for other chelating agents is ongoing. Solutions of chitosan, phosphoric acid, citric acid, and MTAD (mixture of doxycycline, citric acid and a detergent) have been studied as smear layer removal agents (Torabinejad et al., 2003; Machado-Silveiro et al., 2004; Prado et al., 2011; Silva et al., 2013). In 2015, Nassar et al. highlighted the potential of IP6 as an alternative root canal chelating agent (Nassar et al., 2015). The mechanism of the chelating action of IP6 stems from its multiple negative charges giving it a high affinity to calcium (Torres et al., 2005). Application of IP6 at concentrations lower than those used for EDTA removes the smear layer and widely opens dentinal tubules, whilst also being biocompatible to osteoblastic cells. The latter finding was based on the results of alkaline phosphatase activity and viability tests, when compared with EDTA, which might be reflected clinically as more rapid...
wound healing in the periapical area in cases of extrusion (Nassar et al., 2015; Nassar et al., 2020). Milder effects on osteoblast cells may be explained by the lower concentration of IP6 needed to remove the inorganic component of the smear layer and its beneficial properties to protect the cells from iron-induced damage as described in the etchant section of this review. Eymirli et al. demonstrated that IP6 and EDTA produced similar results with regard to removing intracanal triple antibiotic paste and calcium hydroxide from root dentine (Eymirli et al., 2017). While Afshan et al. found that 1% IP6 had reduced erosive potential and smear layer removal ability compared with 17% EDTA, and this finding was in line with Jagzap et al. (Jagzap et al., 2017; Afshan et al., 2020).

When a new chemical is being evaluated as a smear layer removal agent, it is important to consider its effect on the chemical and physical characteristics of dentine by measurement of dentinal roughness and microhardness. The changes in roughness reflect altered topography and wettability of dentine, which might have an effect on the microbial and dental materials adhesion to dentine (Eick et al., 1972; Attal et al., 1994; Hu et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2019). A change in microhardness denotes an effect on the mineral content of dentine represented mainly by the calcium:phosphorus ratio (Hennequin et al., 1994; Doğan and Çalt, 2001). Decreased microhardness assists mechanical instrumentation of the canals (Cruz-Filho et al., 2002); however, a disproportionately heavy demineralization might weaken the tooth structure (Ulsoy and Görgül, 2013) and create a fragile collagen network which is susceptible to collapse, resulting in insufficient penetration of the adhesive or sealer, and suboptimal sealing ability (García-Godoy et al., 2005). The effect of IP6 on dentine roughness and microhardness has recently been studied and compared with EDTA. Nikhil et al. reported a higher reduction in dentine microhardness with 17% EDTA compared with 1% IP6, while, Muana et al., showed that 1% IP6 resulted in significantly higher roughness and lower microhardness compared with 17% EDTA (Nikhil et al., 2016; Muana et al., 2020). The equivocal results from studies comparing EDTA and IP6 on their effects on the smear layer, dentine microhardness and roughness, may be attributed to differences in pH, exposure time, and method of application of the tested agents (Muana et al., 2020). In addition, though IP6 is often solely referred to as IP6, commercial products of this solution often contain considerable amounts of impurities in the form of inositol with lesser degrees of phosphorylation, such as IP2, IP3, IP4, and IP5, and free orthophosphate. This will lead to different degrees of phosphorylation of the available products in the market (Hoke et al., 2016). The lower forms of IP6 are known to have reduced metal binding capacity (Persson et al., 1998), which is a function of the number of phosphate groups on the myo-inositol ring. The cation-myo-inositol phosphate complexes are also more soluble as the number of phosphate groups decreases (Greiner et al., 2006).

A characteristic of chelating agents is the ability to eradicate bacteria. Enterococcus faecalis is the most common microorganism associated with endodontic failure and persistent infections, and is known for its ability to resist several antibacterial agents (Stuart et al., 2006). The effect of IP6 on E. faecalis was recently assessed, where IP6 was found to be both bacteriostatic and bactericidal. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of IP6 was 0.156% while the minimum bactericidal concentration was 0.625%. In the same study, the MIC of EDTA was 0.14%; however, EDTA did not exhibit bactericidal activity. Further studies are needed to fully comprehend the mechanism of action of IP6 against endodontic pathogens (Nassar and Nassar, 2017). In general, the antimicrobial effect of IP6 has not been widely studied. Kim and Rhee stated that IP6’s antimicrobial activity was expected to be different than the mechanism for other organic acids, which is the weak acid theory, and this is attributed to the unique structure of IP6 and its wide acidity range (Kim and Rhee, 2016). IP6 was found to be effective against some Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Zhou et al., 2019), and the proposed mechanism was by its chelating ability and cell membrane disruption (Kim and Rhee, 2016), thus causing excessive cell permeability, changes in cell morphology and reduction in intracellular ATP concentration (Zhou et al., 2019).

Implantology

Implantology is a rapidly growing dental field, where research aims to produce implants with superior properties (He et al., 2019). Osseointegration is the target of the implant industry, which is constantly modifying dental implant surfaces for improved direct structural and functional connection between the bone and the surface of the implant. The implant surface morphology, composition and the interaction with the surrounding tissues play key roles on the outcome of osseointegration (Bowers et al., 1992; Martin et al., 1995; Cochran et al., 1998; Petô et al., 2002). Conventionally, phosphoric acid has been used to treat the implant surfaces prior to its placement to increase surface porosity to promote healing and attachment (França et al., 2018). The use of chemical agents such as phosphoric acid is considered an integral part in the protocol of decontamination of implant surfaces during surgical peri-implantitis treatment (Hentenaar et al., 2017).

Recent studies tested IP6 as an alternative to conventional surface treating agents. Titanium surfaces can be covalently functionalized with IP6 through the direct reaction of phosphate groups of IP6 with titanium oxide without the need for a crosslinker. The resulting bioactive functionalized surfaces had an osteogenic effect that is thought to result in reduced progression of bone resorption and enhancement of osseointegration. There was also decreased adhesion of bacterial biofilm to the treated surfaces (Côrdoba et al., 2016).

The success of dental implants is a function of several parameters, and the titanium oxide layer on the implant surface is one of them. Thus, several reports have sought to modify this oxide layer to enhance biological performance and attain best clinical results (Palmquist et al., 2010; de Souza et al., 2019; He et al., 2019). Use of IP6 to modify the titanium oxide layer was studied by Zhang et al. In their research, the liquid phase deposition of this layer was assisted by using an IP6 template that stimulated nucleation and promoted titanium oxide development, leading to a homogeneous and compact
film that displayed a notable hydrophilic behaviour and excellent bending strength (Zhang et al., 2016). Due to the chemical structure and properties of IP6, it is thought that IP6 can serve as a bridge between the titanium implant surfaces and calcium ions. This was the basis of the study conducted by Liu et al. in 2019, where calcium was successfully bound to implant surfaces via hydrothermal treatment with IP6 and this resulted in a continuous release of calcium over time. The research also reported the super-hydrophilicity of these modified surfaces, with increased cell adhesion and proliferation, and up-regulation of osteogenic-related genes (Liu et al., 2019).

Hydroxyapatite coatings have received a lot of attention from the dental implant industry as they are thought to facilitate an osteoconductive effect. However, enhanced susceptibility of hydroxyapatite to bacterial activity is a major concern (Pajor et al., 2019). To overcome this drawback, IP6 was used to incorporate ionic silver into a hydroxyapatite coating using a low heat immersion process, thus granting the coating an antibacterial feature (Funao et al., 2016). A magnesium ion-integrated IP6 coating was also developed for improved corrosion resistance, reduced degradation rate and to heighten the osteocompatibility (Chen et al., 2014). Collectively, these findings might direct researchers’ attention towards the use of IP6 to produce implants with superior quality and enhanced long-term clinical outcomes.

### Regeneration

The potential for regenerative therapy in endodontics is rapidly gaining attention. The principle aim is to restore and maintain tooth vitality through biologically based procedures designed to replace damaged tooth structure such as dentine and the pulp-dentine complex (Murray et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2018). Both pulp and dentine play crucial roles in regenerative endodontics. The former has a population of dental stem cells with inherent differential propensity, which gives the pulp its regenerative capacity (Sloan and Smith, 2007; Govindasamy et al., 2010). The dentine matrix is a source of bio-active dentine matrix components that get released following tissue injury. Several bioactive molecules have been identified in dentine such bone morphogenetic proteins and growth factors, which are essential for the regenerative process (Cassidy et al., 1997; Smith, 2003; Mazzoni et al., 2015). Endodontic irrigants and materials have been used to induce release of dentine-bound bioactive molecules during regenerative procedures (Graham et al., 2006; Tomson et al., 2007; Galler et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2016; Alghilan et al., 2017). EDTA as an irrigant has gained particular attention and is considered the gold standard in regenerative endodontics (Duncan et al., 2018; Atesci et al., 2020) due to its ability to demineralize dentine and release growth factors from the dentine matrix (Yamauchi et al., 2011; Galler et al., 2015; Galler et al., 2016). EDTA also has a positive influence on dental pulp stem cell adhesion, their migration to dentinal walls, and differentiation to odontoblast-like cells (Sonoyama et al., 2008; Galler et al., 2016).

Equivalent studies using IP6 are limited. In 2018, Deniz Sungur et al. compared the effect of 1% IP6, 17% EDTA and 9% etidronic acid on growth factor release, and dental pulp stem cell migration and viability. IP6 was found to promote release of transforming growth factor (TGF-β), which in turn influenced cellular activity and increased pulpal cell migration and proliferation. The amount of release was lower than for EDTA or etidronic acid. However, the differences were not statistically significant.

Furthermore, EDTA and IP6 resulted in similar migration and proliferation of the cells after 24 h exposure. However, at this exposure time, EDTA resulted in a contracted and spherical morphology, while IP6-treated cells displayed a polygonal morphology that was more stretched out onto the dentine surface (Deniz Sungur et al., 2019). Recently, Atesci et al. studied the effect of 17% EDTA and 1% IP6 on the release of different types of growth factors and mesenchymal stem cell behaviour (Atesci et al., 2020). No adverse impacts of these agents on stem cell proliferation and attachment to root dentine were demonstrated. The amount of TGF-β or vascular endothelial growth factor released with either EDTA or IP6 was statistically similar. IP6 showed the highest release of bone morphogenetic protein 2 and fibroblast growth factor 2 in cell-free solutions that contained dentine discs. However, the difference did not reach the level of significance compared to EDTA (Atesci et al., 2020).

Bioactive glasses are involved in the regeneration of dental hard tissues through two different processes, namely in situ remineralization of enamel and dentine, and inducing odontogenic differentiation of dental pulp cells leading to tertiary dentine formation (Mocquot et al., 2020). The need for a bioactive glass with superior bioactivity and biodegradability has led to the use of IP6. The structure of IP6 and its extensive phosphorus component confer an ability to be a good candidate for the synthesis of bioactive glass with high phosphate content. Modifying the phosphate content allowed production of bioactive materials with bioactivity over a wider composition range and different degradation rates that suit the intended application. The bioactive glasses derived from IP6 also showed improved resistance to dissolution compared with other phosphorus precursors, and absence of calcium nitrate, a toxic material, thus expanding its application range. In the presence of IP6, calcium ions incorporate within the gel network without the need of further calcination treatments at higher temperature (Li and Qiu, 2011). Ren et al. compared the effect of three different phosphorus precursors on the bioactivity and structure of bioactive glass. It was found that the material prepared with IP6 remained amorphous with more phosphorus atoms present as orthophosphate, and also had more bioactivity compared with other phosphorus precursors (Ren et al., 2017).

In 2017, Cui et al. compared the effect of IP6-derived bioactive glass (PSC) and traditional bioactive glasses on the differentiation of dental pulp cells and formation of dentine. PSC promoted earlier hydroxy carbonate apatite precipitation, which could be of a clinical importance in establishing a rapid bond between the bioactive material and the soft and hard tissues of the pulp-dentine complex. This in turn would enhance regeneration and repair of the complex. PSC also exhibited better biocompatibility as it provided a stable pH and protected the pulpal cells from severe inflammation. The larger surface area of PSC resulted in the release of higher amounts of phosphorus and silicon which led to more effective cell proliferation and odontogenic
TABLE 1 | Summary of the potential applications of IP6 and the perceived benefits in dentistry.

| IP6 potential dental application | Perceived benefits |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Cement                           | Improving the chemical and physical characteristics of cements used in several applications in restorative, adhesive, preventive and pediatric dentistry, orthodontics and endodontics |
| Oral care products               | Developing new oral care products and improving the efficiency of professionally applied or patient-applied products such as varnishes, mouthrinses, dentifrices, whitening products and chewing gum used for their cariostatic, anti-calcium, anti-plaque, anti-stain and anti-microbial effects |
| Etching agent                    | Used as an alternative to phosphoric acid to extend the longevity of restorations, enhance resin bonding to tooth structure, improve biocompatibility to pulp cells and decrease post-operative sensitivity |
| Chelating agent                  | Used in root canal treatment to overcome drawbacks associated with EDTA, improve biocompatibility to osteoblast cells, enhance adhesion to root canal dentine and offer antimicrobial properties |
| Implantology                     | Used as implant surface treating agent to create a bioactive surface with enhanced osseointegration and decreased biofilm adhesion |
| Regeneration                     | Improving bioactivity, biocompatibility and chemical and physical characteristics of scaffolds and cements used in tissue regeneration and repair. Used in regenerative endodontics to release growth factors from root canal dentine |

IP6 has the potential to be used in regenerative dentistry. However, further studies are needed with regard to verifying this aspect of its use (Cui et al., 2017). Collectively, the previously mentioned findings do not capture the various aspects needed when evaluating an emerging agent in the field of regeneration. Consequently, we believe that these studies serve the same fundamental objective, which is to provide guidance about future research ideas on the use of IP6 in regenerative dentistry.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

IP6 is a versatile agent that lends itself to the development of new oral care products and the improvement of currently available materials in a variety of applications in the field of dentistry (Table 1). Most IP6-related research is still in its infancy, and in experimental stages at best, though its uniqueness and importance for several potential dental applications had been recognized early. However, in recent years, novel and rather compelling experimental data have been produced. The evidence for use of IP6 in dentistry is growing and the results are too compelling to be ignored. However, there are several limitations within the available literature, which demand calls for further rigorous research approaches towards clinical studies to further augment this evidence. Consideration of some of the recommendations and suggested future studies described in this review paper could facilitate more efficient use of IP6 in several facets of dentistry.
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