In vivo bioavailability studies of sumatriptan succinate buccal tablets
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ABSTRACT

Background and the purpose of study: Sumatriptan succinate is a Serotonin 5-HT1 receptor agonist, used in treatment of migraine. It is absorbed rapidly but incompletely when given orally and undergoes first-pass metabolism, resulting in a low absolute bioavailability of about 15%. The aim of this work was to design mucoadhesive bilayered buccal tablets of sumatriptan succinate to improve its bioavailability.

Methods: Mucoadhesive polymers carbopol 934 (Carbopol), HPMC K4M, HPMC K15M along with ethyl cellulose as an impermeable backing layer were used for the preparation of mucoadhesive bilayered tablets. In vivo bioavailability studies was also conducted in rabbits for optimized formulation using oral solution of sumatriptan succinate as standard.

Results: Bilayered buccal tablets (BBT) containing the mixture of Carbopol and HPMC K4M in the ratio 1:1 (T1) had the maximum percentage of in vitro drug release within 6 hrs. The optimized formulation (T1) followed non-Fickian release mechanism. The percentage relative bioavailability of sumatriptan succinate from selected bilayered buccal tablets (T1) was found to be 140.78%.

Conclusions: Bilayered buccal tablets of sumatriptan succinate was successfully prepared with improved bioavailability.
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INTRODUCTION

Buccal delivery of drugs which exhibit a low oral bioavailability is a useful method for increasing bioavailability (1) and provides an attractive alternative to the oral route of drug administration, particularly in overcoming deficiencies associated with the latter mode of dosing. Problems such as high first-pass metabolism, and drug degradation in the harsh gastrointestinal environment, can be circumvented by administration of the drug via the buccal route (2). This route has been used successfully for the systemic delivery of a number of drug candidates (3). Moreover, buccal drug delivery offers a safe and easy method of drug utilization, because drug absorption can be promptly terminated in the case of toxicity by removing the dosage form from the buccal cavity. It is also an alternative route to administer drugs to patients who are unable to be dosed orally. Therefore, adhesive mucosal dosage forms are suggested for buccal delivery, including adhesive tablets (4), adhesive gels (5) and adhesive patches (3).

Sumatriptan succinate is 5-HT1receptor agonist used in the treatment of migraine. However, since a substantial proportion of patients suffer from severe nausea or vomiting during their migraine attacks, and low oral bioavailability (15%) because of high first-pass metabolism, may be oral treatment unsatisfactory. Nasal and subcutaneous routes have their own limitations, like lower retention time for nasal solution and inability of self administration for injectables respectively (6).

In the treatment migraine, therapy requires constant levels of the drug in the blood for an extended period, which can be achieved by design of buccal drug delivery system to deliver the drug via oral buccal mucosa.

The aim of this investigation was to determine pharmacokinetics of sumatriptan succinate from administered as BBT formulation of a dose of 10 mg.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sumatriptan succinate (Aurobindo Pharma Ltd, Medak) aspartame (Strides Arco Labs, Bangalore India) HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M (Colorcon Pvt limited, Goa) and Carbopol 934P (Mumbai India) were obtained as gift sample. All other chemicals and reagents used in the work were of analytical grades.
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Compatibility studies
Compatibility studies of drug and polymers were studied using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) techniques. FTIR Spectrum was recorded between 600-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) using Bruker Tensor(ATR). DSC thermograms were recorded at a standard heating rate of 10ºC/min over a temperature range 50-400 ºC using DSC-60 Shimadzu (Japan). Samples were treated under nitrogen atmosphere in order to eliminate oxidative and pyrolytic effects.

Preparation of BBT of sumatriptan succinate
Various batches of BBT were prepared by changing the ratio of carbopol, HPMC K4M, and HPMC K15M. The drug-polymer combination was mixed and triturated for 15min (Table 1) in a glass mortar to obtain homogeneous mixture. The powder mixture equivalent to 131mg was then compressed directly using an 8mm diameter die in a single-stroke multistation tablet machine (Karnavati mini press, India). Upper punch was raised and the bucking layer of ethyl cellulose was placed on the above compact. Then 2 layers were compressed into a mucoadhesive bilayer tablet with a total weight of 151 mg/tablet (7).

Evaluation of physical properties of BBT
The thickness, hardness, friability, weight uniformity and drug content uniformity were determined as per the procedure of Indian Pharmacopoeia (8).

Surface pH
The BBT were allowed to swell at 37±0.5°C for 2hrs in 40ml phosphate buffer (pH of 6.8) and surface pH of swollen BBT’s was measured using pH paper (9).

Swelling study
Swelling properties of tablets were evaluated by determination of the percentage of hydration (10) and calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Swelling index} = \frac{(W_2-W_1)}{W_1} \times 100
\]

Ex Vivo mucoadhesive strength and mucoadhesion Time
Ex vivo adhesion strength is the force in grams required to pull out a tablet from sheep buccal mucosa (11). Time required to detach or erode BBT from the sheep buccal mucosa was taken as ex-vivo mucoadhesion time in hours (12).

In vitro dissolution studies
The United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) XXIII method was used to study the drug release from the tablets (13). Each BBT tablet was attached on a glass plate with cyanoacrylate adhesive. The glass plate was then placed in a dissolution tester. The experiments were performed at 37±0.5°C using the paddle method at 50 rpm with 500 ml of phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 as a dissolution medium. Samples equivalent to 5 ml was withdrawn for every one hour, filtered and analyzed at 227 nm using UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto-1700, Japan). Release data were fitted to various mathematical models Korsmeyer-Peppa’s [Eq 1](14), zero order [Eq 2] (15) and Higuchi release models [Eq 3](16) in order determine the release mechanism from BBT.

In vivo study
The experimental protocol for all In vivo studies was approved by institutional animal ethical committee (997/c/06/ CPCSEA). White male rabbits were fasted for 24 hrs before drug administration and sedated using ketamine: lignocaine (1:5) mixture. A bioadhesive tablet was fixed in the buccal position of the oral cavity. Blood samples were withdrawn from the ear vein in the eppendorf tubes containing sodium EDTA of 10µl (10% w/v) at time interval of 0.5-12 and 24hrs, analysed by LC-MS/MS. For oral administration, 10mg doses in 25ml of aqueous solution were administered by a stomach tube (17).

Estimation of sumatriptan succinate from plasma samples
Centrifuge a mixture of 100 µl plasma sample and 600 µl of acetonitrile at 13000 rpm for 4min and inject 10µl supernatant solution at a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min and at 25°C with mobile phase consists of 60% formic acid in water (0.05%): formic acid in acetonitrile (0.05%) and telmisartan as internal standard. The ions monitored using multiple reactions monitoring (MRM), were m/z 296.1→251.1 for sumatriptan succinate and m/z 515.2→497.3 for telmisartan. Pharmacokinetic data were prepared by using software Sciex Analyst version 1.4.2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
Drug polymer compatibility studies using FTIR and DSC
FTIR studies revealed that the characteristic absorbance bands of various functional groups of sumatriptan succinate were found in the vicinity of standard absorbance range (Fig 1). Hence the FTIR studies indicated that there was no interaction between drugs and polymers under study. DSC studies revealed that the drug exhibit sharp melting endotherm at 170.30°C and thermograms of the physical mixture of sumatriptan succinate with polymers exhibited endothermic peak in the vicinity of its melting point range, indicates absence of any drug-polymer interactions (Fig 2).
Bilayered buccal tablets of sumatriptan succinate

Physical properties of BBT

The average weight, thickness, hardness and friability were found within the limits of IP (8). Whereas drug content (%) of all formulations were in the range of 99.24-97.89%. The surface pH of BBT was found to be 6-7 which indicates that there will not be any local irritation to the buccal mucosa.

Swelling index decreased by decrease in the concentration of carbopol which is evident from the determined mean swelling values of 95%, 80% and 71% after 2 hrs for the formulation T1-T3. In the case of formulations T4-T6 the mean swelling values were 73%, 61%, and 62% after 2 hrs, the swelling indices of the tablets with carbopol and HPMC increased by increase in the amounts of carbopol.

Ex-Vivo bioadhesive strength and Mucoadhesion Time

The tablets with the HPMC K4M, carbopol had bioadhesive strength between 17.5-21g and with HPMC K15M, carbopol had bioadhesive strength 15.6-20.4g (Table 2). The bioadhesive strength exhibited by the HPMC K4M tablets can be considered satisfactory for their maintainance in the oral cavity

The mucoadhesive times on sheep buccal mucosa were 7-12 hrs. The increase in concentration of carbopol in series from formulation T1-T6, showed a gradual rise in mucoadhesion time, while HPMC K4M, HPMC K15M were also a good mucoadhesive polymers, showed a decrease in mucoadhesion time (Table 2).

In vitro dissolution studies

Release of drug from the BBT varied according to the type and ratio of matrix-forming polymer. Carbopol has excellent mucoadhesive, gelling properties and also helps in sustaining effect. Combination of carbopol and HPMC are hydrophilic swellable polymer matrices, which are able to form a viscous gel layer which controls the drug release via diffusion through the gel and erosion of gel barrier (18).

The cumulative drug release at the end of 6th hour for formulations T1 and T4 were 67.73%, 64.11%, respectively (Fig 3). The results indicate that the rate of drug release was higher for T1 formulation which may be due to rapid ionization of carbopol (19). The rate of drug release decreased by increase in the concentration of HPMC K4M which may be due to the increase in viscosity produced by the gelling of the hydrophilic polymer HPMC K4M.

For non-Fickian release, the value of n falls between 0.5 and 1.0, while in the case of Fickian diffusion, n=0.5; for zero order release (case II transport), n=1; and for supercase II transport, n is greater than 1. All of these formulations exerted non-fickian diffusion mechanism with n value

Table 1. Ingredients of bilayered buccal tablets of sumatriptan succinate.

| Formulation code | Sumatriptan succinate (mg) | HPMC K4M (mg) | HPMC K15M (mg) | Carbopol 934P (mg) | Aspartame (mg) | MCC (mg) | Magnesium stearate (mg) | Ethyl cellulose (mg) |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|------------------------|---------------------|
| T1               | 10                        | 25            | 75            | 1                 | 18            | 2       | 20                     |
| T2               | 10                        | 50            | 50            | 1                 | 18            | 2       | 20                     |
| T3               | 10                        | 75            | 25            | 1                 | 18            | 2       | 20                     |
| T4               | 10                        | 25            | 75            | 1                 | 18            | 2       | 20                     |
| T5               | 10                        | 50            | 50            | 1                 | 18            | 2       | 20                     |
| T6               | 10                        | 75            | 25            | 1                 | 18            | 2       | 20                     |

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of bilayered buccal tablets of sumatriptan succinate.

| Formulation code | Thickness (mm)* | Average weight of tablet (mg)+SD | Hardness (kg/cm²)+SD | Friability (%)* | Drug content (%)±SD | Surface pH* | Mucoadhesion time (h)+SD | Mucoadhesion strength (g)+SD |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| T1               | 3.12            | 151±1.43                        | 5.2±0.14            | 0.463          | 98.74±1.74          | 6           | 12.0±1.25                | 21.0±1.32                  |
| T2               | 3.12            | 151±1.18                        | 5.2±0.17            | 0.453          | 97.85±1.35          | 6           | 10.0±0.50                | 19.0±0.20                  |
| T3               | 3.11            | 150±1.72                        | 5.4±0.18            | 0.411          | 98.00±2.20          | 7           | 09.0±1.30                | 17.5±0.97                  |
| T4               | 3.05            | 151±2.64                        | 5.4±0.23            | 0.372          | 98.86±3.40          | 6           | 12.0±1.35                | 20.4±0.60                  |
| T5               | 3.05            | 149±1.56                        | 5.4±0.11            | 0.449          | 99.01±2.01          | 6           | 10.0±0.30                | 17.5±1.45                  |
| T6               | 3.04            | 150±1.21                        | 5.6±0.16            | 0.403          | 98.79±1.92          | 7           | 09.0±0.40                | 15.6±1.90                  |

Average of three determinations±SD
* Average of three determinations

Physical properties of BBT
The average weight, thickness, hardness and friability were found within the limits of IP (8). Whereas drug content (%) of all formulations were in the range of 99.24-97.89%. The surface pH of BBT was found to be 6-7 which indicates that there will not be any local irritation to the buccal mucosa.

Swelling index decreased by decrease in the concentration of carbopol which is evident from the determined mean swelling values of 95%, 80% and 71% after 2 hrs for the formulation T1-T3. In the case of formulations T4-T6 the mean swelling values were 73%, 61%, and 62% after 2 hrs, the swelling indices of the tablets with carbopol and HPMC increased by increase in the amounts of carbopol.
Table 3. Kinetic analysis of the release of bilayered buccal tablets of sumatriptan succinate.

| Formulation code | Korsmeyer Peppa’s | Zero order | First order | Higuchi | \( t_{50\%} \) (h) |
|------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|
|                  | \( K \)         | \( R^2 \) | \( n \)   | \( K \) | \( R^2 \) | \( K \) | \( R^2 \) | \( K \) | \( R^2 \) | \( K \) | \( R^2 \) |
| T1               | 15.24            | 0.997     | 0.817      | 10.86   | 0.992   | -0.17733 | 0.986 | 27.30 | 0.949 | 4.20 |
| T2               | 20.23            | 0.984     | 0.606      | 9.726   | 0.964   | -0.15430 | 0.980 | 25.15 | 0.976 | 4.60 |
| T3               | 17.90            | 0.993     | 0.644      | 9.187   | 0.970   | -0.13818 | 0.991 | 23.71 | 0.978 | 4.70 |
| T4               | 15.24            | 0.994     | 0.788      | 10.44   | 0.993   | -0.16582 | 0.990 | 26.23 | 0.948 | 4.45 |
| T5               | 16.33            | 0.964     | 0.681      | 9.409   | 0.984   | -0.14279 | 0.979 | 23.75 | 0.948 | 4.90 |
| T6               | 16.40            | 0.992     | 0.638      | 8.086   | 0.957   | -0.11515 | 0.987 | 21.10 | 0.987 | 5.80 |

Figure 1. FTIR Spectra of formulations of Sumatriptan succinate. A. Sumatriptan succinate, B. Sumatriptan succinate + HPMCK4M + Carbopol 394, C. Sumatriptan succinate + HPMCK15M + Carbopol 394.
**Figure 2.** DSC Thermograms of formulations of Sumatriptan succinate. A. Sumatriptan succinate, B. Sumatriptan succinate + HPMCK4M + Carbopol 394, C. Sumatriptan succinate + HPMCK15M + Carbopol 394.

**Figure 3.** Dissolution profiles of bilayered buccal tablets of sumatriptan succinate. (A) HPMC K4M: Carbopol 934P, (B) HPMC K15M: Carbopol 934P (Mean ± SD of three determinations).
Figure 4. Plasma concentration time profile of sumatriptan succinate after oral and buccal administration in rabbits (Mean ± SD of three determinations).

Table 4. Comparative pharmacokinetics parameters of sumatriptan succinate after oral and buccal administration in rabbits.

| Pharmacokinetic parameter | Pure drug     | T1           |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Ke (h⁻¹)                  | 1.91          | 1.46         |
| C_max (ng/ml)             | 482.20±22.5   | 386.00±15.80 |
| T_max (h)                 | 2.0           | 2.0          |
| AUC(0-24) (ng.h/ml)       | 1199.64±150.60| 1690.69±90.16|
| AUC(0-∞) (ng.h/ml)        | 1200.90±150.60| 1693.90±91.50|

In vivo bioavailability studies in rabbits
The mean plasma concentration of sumatriptan succinate at different time intervals following the application of BBT and after oral administration of solution in rabbits is shown in (Fig. 4).

Following oral administration of sumatriptan succinate (10 mg) in solution form, average maximum serum concentration (C_max) 482.20±22.5 ng/ml was achieved after 2 hrs and the area under the serum concentration-time curve (AUC_{(0-∞)}) after oral dosing was found to be 1200.90±150.60 ng/ml. After administration of T1 formulation the drug levels in serum were detectable till 12 hrs with C_max 386.00±15.80 ng/ml achieved 2 hrs after dosing and the AUC_{(0-∞)} following buccal administration of sumatriptan succinate 1693.90±91.50 ng/ml.

Relative bioavailability of sumatriptan succinate following buccal administration was found to be 140.78% which could be due to reduced first pass metabolism, when it is administered via buccal route.

CONCLUSIONS
Developed BBT of sumatriptan succinate may overcome the disadvantage of poor and erratic oral bioavailability of sumatriptan succinate associated with marketed formulations. This increased predictable availability of sumatriptan succinate from designed formulation may result in substantial dose reduction.
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