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Supporting Text

Surface tension from droplet shape fluctuations

Following Henderson and Lekner\textsuperscript{1} we assume that the energetics underlying the thermal fluctuations in the droplet shape is dominated by the changes in surface area. The potential energy $U$ of such surface-shape fluctuations is dominated by the surface tension $\gamma$:

$$ U = \gamma \delta A $$ \hspace{1cm} (S1)

where $\delta A$ is a small change in surface area relative to the sphere. The fluctuations in the shape of the droplet at lowest order in a spherical harmonics expansion give us two independent estimates of the surface tension, The surface of the droplet can be described as a sum of spherical harmonics $Y^m_i$:

$$ r(\theta, \phi) = R + \zeta(\theta, \phi) $$ \hspace{1cm} (S2)

with $r$ the radius as a function of the polar and azimuthal angles $\theta$ and $\phi$, and

$$ \zeta(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l,m} \zeta_{lm} Y^m_l(\theta, \phi) \hspace{1cm} (S3) $$

with $l \geq 2$, $|m| \leq l$.
$R$ is the average radius and $\zeta_{lm}$ is the coefficient of the mode $(l, m)$. For small amplitudes, the potential energy $U$ then becomes:

$$U = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{l,m} (l-1)(l+2) |\zeta_{lm}|^2$$  \hspace{2cm} (S4)

For small perturbations ($|\zeta|^2 \ll R$), each mode $(l, m)$ is thus effectively harmonic and independent. As a consequence, the equipartition theorem gives a relation between the surface tension and the mean squared amplitudes of the shape fluctuations:

$$\frac{\gamma}{2}(l-1)(l+2) \langle |\zeta_{lm}|^2 \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{2}$$  \hspace{2cm} (S5)

Each mode $(l,m)$ gives an independent estimate for the surface tension. Focussing on the low-frequency modes, we approximate the instantaneous droplet shape as an ellipsoid with axes $a$, $b$ and $c$. If this ellipsoid is aligned with the Cartesian coordinate system, its spherical coordinate representation is:

$$r^2(\theta, \phi) = a^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + b^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + c^2 \cos^2 \theta$$  \hspace{2cm} (S6)

We expand $r(\theta, \phi)$ to second order in $a = R + \delta a$, $b = R + \delta b$, $c = R + \delta c$. By projecting onto spherical harmonics, we find:

$$r = \zeta_{00} Y_0^0 + \zeta_{20} Y_2^0 + \zeta_{22} Y_2^2 + \zeta_{2,-2} Y_2^{-2}$$  \hspace{2cm} (S7)

where $Y_l^m = Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$ and $r = r(\theta, \phi)$. The expansion coefficients are:

$$\zeta_{00} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{45}} \left( R + \frac{\delta a + \delta b + \delta c}{3} \right)$$  \hspace{2cm} (S8)

$$\zeta_{20} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{45}} (2\delta c - \delta a - \delta b)$$  \hspace{2cm} (S9)
\[ \zeta_{2,\pm2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{15}} (\delta a - \delta b) \]  

The condition of constant volume requires that:

\[ V = \frac{4\pi}{3} abc = \frac{4\pi}{3} R^3 \]  

To lowest order, we have

\[ V = \frac{4\pi}{3} [R^3 + R^2(\delta a + \delta b + \delta c)] \]  

and thus

\[ \delta a + \delta b + \delta c = 0 \]  

By imposing this condition on the amplitudes of the modes, we find

\[ \zeta_{00} = \sqrt{4\pi R} \]  

\[ \zeta_{20} = -\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} (\delta a + \delta b) \]  

\[ \zeta_{2,\pm2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{15}} (\delta a - \delta b) \]  

We thus arrive at an expression for the potential energy associated with surface area changes

\[ U = \gamma \delta A \]  
to second order in a spherical harmonics expansion:

\[ \delta U = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{l \geq 2} \sum_{m} (l - 1)(l + 2) |\zeta_{lm}|^2 \]

\[ \approx 2\gamma [ |\zeta_{20}|^2 + |\zeta_{2,-2}|^2 + |\zeta_{2,2}|^2 ] \]

\[ = \frac{\gamma 16\pi}{2} \frac{11}{15} [3 \langle (\delta a + \delta b)^2 \rangle + \langle (\delta a - \delta b)^2 \rangle] \]

This expression for the potential energy combined with the equipartition theorem for the two independent modes, \( \delta a + \delta b \) and \( \delta a - \delta b \), gives us the two independent expressions for the surface tension, eqs 3 and 4 of the main text.
**Alternative derivation of relation between surface tension and shape fluctuations.**

The preceding expression for the surface tension can also be derived directly from eq S1. We again describe the instantaneous droplet shape by an ellipsoid with axes $a$, $b$, and $c$. We expand the surface area of the ellipsoid to second order in $\delta a$, $\delta b$ and $\delta c$. In terms of spherical polar angles $\theta$ and $\phi$, the first fundamental form defining the surface area element can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}
&= \sin \theta \left( a^2 b^2 \cos^2 \theta + b^2 c^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi \\
&+ a^2 c^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi \right)^{1/2}
\end{align*}
\tag{S18}
$$

The area of the ellipsoid then becomes

$$
A = \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \; dA
\tag{S19}
$$

In our statistical mechanical model of droplet shape fluctuations, we (1) impose droplet volume conservation by setting $c = R^3/(ab)$, (2) introduce new variables $a = R + (\delta u + \delta v)/2$ and $b = R + (\delta u - \delta v)/2$, (3) expand the area element $dA$ to second order in $\delta u$ and $\delta v$, and (4) integrate over the polar angles to obtain an expression for the area of the ellipsoid to second order in $\delta u$ and $\delta v$. In this way, we arrive at

$$
A = 4\pi R^2 + \frac{8\pi}{5} \delta u^2 + \frac{8\pi}{15} \delta v^2
\tag{S20}
$$

Substituting the area change $\delta A = A - 4\pi R^2$ into eq S1 for the corresponding potential energy, we find that the fluctuations in $\delta u = \delta a + \delta b$ and $\delta v = \delta a - \delta b$ are thus harmonic and uncoupled. The equipartition theorem combined with the surface energy eq S1 then gives us the expressions for the surface tension in eqs 3 and 4 of the main text.
Droplet shape

We described the droplet shape in terms of a general ellipsoid with axis lengths $a, b, c$. We estimated $a, b$ and $c$ from principal component analysis (PCA) of the mass distribution. Let $r_{CMS}$ be the center of mass of the atoms $i$ with positions $r_i$ and masses $m_i$ in the droplet (excluding water, ions and other small molecules in the solvent):

$$r_{CMS} = \frac{\sum_i r_i m_i}{\sum_i m_i} \quad (S21)$$

For simplicity, we gave all protein beads in MARTINI an equal mass weight $m_i = 1$. We then calculated a $3 \times 3$ mass-weighted covariance matrix of the Cartesian positions $r_i = (r_{1i}, r_{2i}, r_{3i})^T$ with elements

$$C_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{m_i(r_{\alpha i}^2 - r_{CMS}^\alpha)(r_{\beta i}^2 - r_{CMS}^\beta)}{\sum_i m_i} \quad (S22)$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ of the matrix $C$ are proportional to the squared ellipsoidal axes: $\lambda_1 = \nu a^2$, $\lambda_2 = \nu b^2$, $\lambda_3 = \nu c^2$. By imposing the condition of constant volume $R^3 = abc$, we eliminate $\nu$:

$$a = \frac{R\lambda_1^{1/3}}{(\lambda_2 \lambda_3)^{1/6}} \quad (S23)$$

$$b = \frac{R\lambda_2^{1/3}}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_3)^{1/6}} \quad (S24)$$

$$c = \frac{R\lambda_3^{1/3}}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{1/6}} \quad (S25)$$

where $R$ is the average radius of the droplet, obtained from a sigmoidal fit of the density profiles (eq 2 of the main text). If the instantaneous droplet shape has ellipsoidal axes $a_1(t), a_2(t), a_3(t)$ at time $t$ along an MD trajectory, the instantaneous axis fluctuations are then $\delta a_i(t) = a_i(t) - R$. From the deviations of the instantaneous axes from the mean droplet
radius averaged over the three independent combinations of axes, we estimate the variances

\[
\langle (\delta a \pm \delta b)^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{3} \langle (\delta a_i \pm \delta a_j)^2 \rangle
\]  \quad (S26)

Substituting these averages into eqs 3 and of the main text, we arrive at the following two independent estimates of the surface tension

\[
\gamma_{20} = \frac{15 k_B T}{16 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{3} \langle (\delta a_i + \delta a_j)^2 \rangle}
\]  \quad (S27)

\[
\gamma_{22} = \frac{45 k_B T}{16 \pi \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{3} \langle (\delta a_i - \delta a_j)^2 \rangle}
\]  \quad (S28)

We expect that the surface-tension estimates are consistent, \( \gamma = \gamma_{20} = \gamma_{22} \).
Supporting Tables

Table S1: Amino-acid sequence of the 163-residue FUS low complexity domain.

| 1-MASNDYTQQA | TQSYGAYPTQ | PGQQYSSQSS | QPYQGQSYSG | YSQTDTSGY-50 |
| 51-GQSSYSSYGQ | SQNTGYGTQS | TPQGYGSTGG | YGSSQSSQSS | YGQGSSYPGCY-100 |
| 101-GQQPAPSTS | GSYGSSSQQSS | SYGQPQSGSY | SQQPSYGGQQ | QSYGQQQSYN-150 |
| 151-PPQGYQQQNQ | YNS-163 |

Table S2: Simulation characteristics. Listed are the starting configuration, the width of the cubic box, the number of FUS-LCD chains, their overall concentration, and the $\alpha$ interaction rescaling parameters. Slab box size "*" corresponds to $90 \times 20 \times 20$ nm$^3$. A prime in the $\alpha$ column indicates a run length of 12 $\mu$s instead of 24 $\mu$s.

| Starting configuration | Box width (nm) | Number of proteins | Concentration (mg/mL) | Time ($\mu$s) | $\alpha$ |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Homogeneous            | 40             | 134                | 60                     | 12           | 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.55, 0.6, 0.65, 0.7 |
|                        |                | 336                | 150                    | 12           | 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.55, 0.6, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75, 1 |
|                        |                | 672                | 300                    | 12           | 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.55, 0.6, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75, 0.8, 1 |
| Preformed droplet      | 40             | 134                | 60                     | 12           | 0.5, 0.55, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75, 0.8, 0.85 |
|                        | 50             | 50                 | 11                     | 24           | 0.6', 0.625', 0.65', 0.7, 0.75' |
|                        |                | 100                | 23                     | 24           | 0.625, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75 |
|                        |                | 134                | 31                     | 24           | 0.6', 0.625, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75' |
|                        | 60             | 200                | 26                     | 24           | 0.625, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75 |
| Single FUS chain       | 20             | 1                  | 3.6                    | 6×5          | 0.6, 0.625, 0.65, 0.7, 0.75 |
| Slab                   | *              | 100                | 79                     | 36           | 0.6, 0.625, 0.65, 0.7 |
Table S3: Trajectory segments used for the surface tension calculation (in µs) for simulations with different numbers $N$ of FUS-LCD proteins and different scaling factors $\alpha$. In these segments, the droplet had relaxed and, at $\alpha = 0.625$, was clearly discernible.

| $\alpha$ | $N = 50$ | 100 | 134 | 200 | $N = 50$ | 100 | 134 | 200 |
|----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|
| 0.625    | –        | –   | –   | –   | 6-12     | 6-12, 22-24 | 6-24 | 6-24 |
| 0.65     | 10-12    | 10-12 | 6-24 | 6-24 | 6-12 | 10.5-12 | 16-24 | 18-24 |
| 0.7      | 16-24    | 16-24 | 15-24 | 6-24 | 16-24 | 15-24 | 6-24 | 6-24 |
| 0.75     | 4-12     | 7-12 | 6-12 | 11-12 | 8.7-12 | 10-12 | 8.7-12 | 6-12 |

Supporting movies

**Movie S1:** Phase separation of FUS at $\alpha = 0.7$ leading to the formation of a spherical droplet starting from a homogeneous solution of $N = 134$ proteins in a $40 \times 40 \times 40$ nm$^3$ box. The system adopts different structures, being first a ”tube” spanning the box that then ruptures to form a sphere. Shown are the FUS-LCD chains in different colors, with water and ions omitted for clarity. The length of the shown trajectory is 12 µs.

**Movie S2:** Water inside phase-separated FUS droplet for $\alpha = 0.7$ with $N = 134$ proteins. In this MD simulation snapshot, FUS-LCD proteins are shown in red, and water beads in light blue. A clip plane for the protein is moved through the droplet, leaving behind the exposed water beads to reveal the hydration gradually, ending with a ”droplet of water”.
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Supporting Figures

Figure S1: Distribution of end-to-end distances at various values of $\alpha$ in MD simulations with $N = 134$ proteins.

Figure S2: Cluster formation. The number of FUS-LCD clusters is plotted as function of time for different $\alpha$. The simulations started from a homogeneous solution of 134 proteins in a $40 \times 40 \times 40$ nm$^3$ box, equilibrated with $\alpha = 0$. During the first 2 µs, following the increase of $\alpha$ from zero to the desired value at time zero, larger clusters formed and, as a result, the overall number of clusters decreased.
Figure S3: Reversibility of the FUS-LCD phase separation. (A) Fraction of proteins in the largest cluster as a function of time for $\alpha = 0.5$ (magenta) and $\alpha = 0.55$ (light blue). The MD simulations started with a droplet preformed at $\alpha = 0.7$ with $N = 134$ proteins. This droplet dissolved after $\alpha$ was lowered to 0.55 or 0.5. (B) Starting configuration with a preformed droplet. (C) Final configuration at $\alpha = 0.5$ with dispersed FUS LCD.

Figure S4: Protein concentration inhomogeneities in FUS-LCD droplets for $\alpha > 0.75$. (A) Radial protein density profiles for $\alpha$ between 0.65 and 0.85. (B) Corresponding water density profiles.
Figure S5: Radial protein concentration profiles as function of distance from droplet center (symbols: simulation results; lines: fit to error function density profile). Results are shown for MD simulations with different total numbers $N$ of proteins in a box of volume $50 \times 50 \times 50 \text{ nm}^3$ for $N = 50, 100, 134$ proteins and $60 \times 60 \times 60 \text{ nm}^3$ for $N = 200$ proteins, and for different values of $\alpha$.

Figure S6: Droplet shape fluctuations. Shown are the normalized histograms of the scaled ellipsoidal mode amplitudes, $|\zeta_{20}|^2$ and $|\zeta_{22}|^2 + |\zeta_{2,-2}|^2$, for a droplet made of 134 proteins at different values of $\alpha$. The lines are predictions from the capillary wave models, using the different estimates of the surface tension as input, as indicated. Deviations at high values of $\alpha$ (right) are likely the result of slow shape relaxations of the viscous drops on the MD timescale.
Figure S7: Cumulative distributions of the scaled ellipsoidal modes amplitude $|\zeta_{20}|^2$ and $|\zeta_{22}|^2 + |\zeta_{2,-2}|^2$, for a droplet made of 134 proteins at different $\alpha$. The lines are predictions from the capillary wave models. See Figure S6 for the corresponding histograms.

Figure S8: Autocorrelation functions of the FUS-LCD end-to-end distance at different values of $\alpha$. Thin turquoise lines are the results for individual FUS-LCD chains. The average of the autocorrelation functions across the ensemble of 134 protein chains is shown in black.
Figure S9: Average of the FUS-LCD end-to-end distance autocorrelation function across the ensemble of chains at various values of $\alpha$ and for different numbers of proteins.

Figure S10: Determination of effective diffusion coefficient for end-to-end distance of isolated FUS-LCD chains. (A) Normalized distributions of the end-to-end distances of an isolated chain free in solution at different values of $\alpha$. Note that chain compaction sets in for $\alpha \geq 0.7$. (B) Autocorrelation functions of the end-to-end distances of isolated chains, averaged over five independent runs of 6 $\mu$s each. The inset shows the biexponential fits from which the relaxation times were computed (crosses in Figure 8 of the main text).
Figure S11: Slab concentration profiles. The dashed lines show the dilute-phase concentrations obtained from clustering. Results are shown for MD simulations with 100 proteins in a box of volume $20 \times 20 \times 90 \text{nm}^3$ and for different values of $\alpha$, as indicated.

Figure S12: Connection between surface tension and hydration. Results are shown for $\alpha = 0.8, 0.75, 0.7$ and 0.65, which appear as distinct groups of points from left to right. The black dashed lines are exponential fits to $\gamma_{20}$ and $\gamma_{22}$, and to $\gamma_0$, in an effort to extrapolate the surface tension to zero water content (i.e., a dry droplet).