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ABSTRACT
Bismuth ferrite layers, ~200-nm-thick, are deposited on SrRuO$_3$-coated DyScO$_3$(110)$_o$ substrates in a step-flow growth regime via adsorption-controlled molecular-beam epitaxy. Structural characterization shows the films to be phase pure with substrate-limited mosaicity ($0.012^{\circ}$ x-ray diffraction ω-rocking curve widths). The film surfaces are atomically smooth ($0.2$ nm root-mean-square height fluctuations) and consist of 260-nm-wide [1011]$_o$-oriented terraces and unit-cell-tall (0.4 nm) step edges. The combination of electrostatic and symmetry boundary conditions promotes two monoclinically distorted BiFeO$_3$ ferroelectric variants, which self-assemble into a pattern with unprecedentedly coherent periodicity, consisting of $145^{\pm2}$-nm-wide stripe domains separated by [001]$_o$-oriented $71^{\circ}$ domain walls. The walls exhibit electrical rectification and enhanced conductivity.

I. INTRODUCTION
The control of topological textures within the lattice, charge, and spin order parameters of multiferroic materials offers the possibility to realize emergent behaviors that transcend the functionality of any spatially uniform host material. Indeed, ferroelectric domain walls are already employed in various applications spanning nonlinear optics, nanoelectronics, and nonvolatile memories.

Epitaxial layers of the room-temperature multiferroic BiFeO$_3$ are a well-established material platform for hosting domain walls with functional properties. To date, the overwhelming majority of BiFeO$_3$ films are produced using far-from-equilibrium growth techniques incorporating ion irradiation during film growth, including magnetron sputter deposition and pulsed-laser deposition. When uncontrolled, ions spawn unintended defects impacting domain wall morphology and properties. Molecular-beam epitaxy, in contrast, provides an alternative synthesis approach which employs thermalized molecular fluxes. Near-equilibrium growth regimes free from the bombardment of energetic ions are expected to engender subtler defect profiles desirable for the fabrication of nonlinear optical elements as well as for understanding leakage mechanisms in nonvolatile ferroelectric-based memories.

In this letter, we employ molecular-beam epitaxy to grow commensurately strained BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/DyScO$_3$(110)$_o$ epitaxial heterostructures (the $o$ subscript designates orthorhombic indices in the nonstandard $Pbnm$ setting) and demonstrate the self-assembly of
ferroelectric BiFeO$_3$ domain walls with unprecedentedly long-range order. The walls exhibit the combination of enhanced conductivity and electrical rectification. We anticipate that the results discussed here may prove useful for improving the fabrication of nonlinear optical and nano electronic devices.

II. RESULTS

A. Film growth

BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/DyScO$_3$ (110)$_p$ heterostructures are grown without breaking vacuum in a Veeco GEN10 molecular-beam epitaxy system with a chamber base pressure of $1 \times 10^{-8}$ Torr using deposition conditions summarized in Table I. For SrRuO$_3$ growths, ruthenium is supplied in abundance with a ruthenium-to-strontium flux ratio of $J_{\text{Ru}}/J_{\text{Sr}} > 2$. At a growth temperature of 780°C, the excess ruthenium oxidizes forming volatile RuO$_x$ species which continuously desorb from the growth surface resulting in single-phase SrRuO$_3$ layers. BiFeO$_3$ is grown in an oxidant comprised chiefly of ozone (and 20% oxygen). This approach, which represents an evolution of increasing oxidation environments, helps to suppress the formation of oxygen vacancies, responsible for engendering mobile electrons and ensures the oxidation of bismuth. Additionally, high bismuth-to-iron flux ratios ($J_{\text{Bi}}/J_{\text{Fe}} \sim 16$) are employed to compensate the loss of volatile Bi$_2$O$_3$ species at the high homologous growth temperature utilized ($T_s \sim 650$); the desorption of bismuth oxides during BiFeO$_3$ deposition is analogous to that of ruthenium oxides during SrRuO$_3$ growth. Although multiple films were grown and all findings presented here (and in the supplementary material) are obtained from a single film, for which Rutherford back scattering spectrometry results yield a film bismuth-to-iron molar ratio equal to 0.98 ± 0.07. The deposition of stoichiometric layers, containing equal concentrations of bismuth and iron, combined with long diffusion lengths for surface adatoms promote films with long-ranged crystallographic and ferroic order.

B. Film structural perfection

The structural perfection of BiFeO$_3$ layers deposited on SrRuO$_3$-coated DyScO$_3$ (110) substrates is established using the combination of x-ray diffraction (XRD), atomic force microscopy (AFM), and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). An XRD $\theta$–$2\theta$ scan (see Fig. S1 of the supplementary material) collected between $10 \leq 2\theta \leq 110^\circ$ using Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation (wavelength $\lambda = 0.15406$ nm) exhibits only 00$l_p$ film and $hh0_s$ substrate reflections (the $p$ subscript refers to pseudocubic indices); the absence of additional reflections evince a phase-pure single crystalline film. Figure 1(a) highlights diffracted intensity oscillations near DyScO$_3$ 110, which result from the interference of x-rays reflected at BiFeO$_3$ and SrRuO$_3$ interfaces. Measured intensities are accurately reproduced by simulations based on a heterostructure with atomically sharp interfaces, pseudocubic out-of-plane lattice parameters $a$, of 0.3991 (BiFeO$_3$), 0.3942 (SrRuO$_3$), and 0.3939 nm (DyScO$_3$), film thicknesses of 217 (BiFeO$_3$) and 23 nm (SrRuO$_3$), and a thickness-limited film out-of-plane mosaic coherence. The in-plane mosaic coherence length, ~2 $\mu$m, is determined from the full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) value of the BiFeO$_3$ during deposition of an oxidant comprised of approximately 20% O$_2$ and 80% O$_3$, produced by controllably degassing ozone-infused silica beads. $J_{\text{Ru}}$, and $J_{\text{SrRu}}$ are molecular fluxes, measured using a calibrated quartz-crystal microbalance. $T_{A_{\text{BiFeO}}}$ and $T_{B_{\text{SrRuO}}}$ are effusion cell temperatures (Ru is supplied from an electron-beam source). $R$ is film growth rates.

|          | $T_s$ | $P$   | $J_A$ | $J_B$ | $T_A$ | $T_B$ | R     |
|----------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| BiFeO$_3$| 650  | 10.0  | 38.0 | 2.4  | 650   | 1250  | 1.10  |
| SrRuO$_3$| 780  | 1.0   | 3.1  | 6.7  | 500   | ...   | 1.15  |

FIG. 1. Structural perfection of a commensurate BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$ heterostructure grown on DyScO$_3$(110)$_p$. (a) XRD $\theta$–$2\theta$ intensities (purple) agree with simulation results (gray) based on an ideal heterostructure. Overlapping BiFeO$_3$ 00$l_p$ (purple) and DyScO$_3$ 110 (gray) $\omega$-rocking curve scans (inset) attest to a high substrate-limited film structural perfection; full-width-at-half-maxima values for film and substrate peaks are both 0.012$^\circ$ (43 arc sec), corresponding to in-plane mosaic coherence lengths of ~2 $\mu$m. (b) Surface height modulations, as measured via AFM, establish an atomically smooth film surface with unit-cell-tall (0.4 nm) step edges. Lattice-resolution STEM images collected along the [110]$_p$ zone axis demonstrate commensurate and abrupt (c) BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$ and (d) SrRuO$_3$/DyScO$_3$ interfaces. The coordinates on (b) and (c) refer to the axes of the DyScO$_3$ substrate.
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of RSM peak integrals to be associated with the valence states of each perovskite layer.

3 as-deposited layers is homogeneously aligned toward the substrate toward the tip. That the out-of-plane polarization component changes in contrast are observed due to the polarization being poled prior) demonstrate that in regions where the tip is negatively biased, Plan-view VPFM images (see Fig. S3 of the supplementary material), are determined to form during the layer-by-layer growth of SrRuO$_3$.

C. Symmetry breaking and wall self-assembly

To investigate the breaking of cubic symmetries and the emergence of topological features within BiFeO$_3$, we perform XRD reciprocal space maps (RSM), lateral (LPFM), and vertical (VPFM) piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM), and bright-field transmission electron microscopy (BF-TEM).$^{34}$ RSM analyses carried out about film peaks reveal that the BiFeO$_3$ 103$_p$ and 113$_p$ family of reflections are split into doublets and triplets [Fig. 2(a)], consistent with monoclinically distorted pseudocubic unit cells that have been sheared along (110)$_p$. The descent in symmetry from the cubic perovskite to the monoclinic structure is accompanied by polar (111)$_p$ cation displacements and antiferrodistortive $a^-a^-a^-$ antiphase Fe$_3$O$_5$ octahedral rotations.$^{37}$ The symmetry-breaking process engenders four structural and eight ferroelectric variants, represented by $r^\psi$, in which the subscript $\psi$ identifies the azimuthal orientation of the in-plane polarization component and the superscript $\pm$ selects the out-of-plane component. Analyzing the intensity variation of the 103$_p$ and 113$_p$ family of peaks [see Fig. 2(a)] reveals that the BiFeO$_3$ layer consists primarily of two structural variants, $r_{45}^p$ and $r_{-45}^p$, which develop due to the orthorhombic symmetry of the DyScO$_3$ substrate.$^{28}$ The volume fraction occupied by the dominant vs secondary structural variants is estimated from ratios of RSM peak integrals to be $\pm 0.99$. These findings are corroborated by PFM analyses [e.g., Fig. 2(b)], which exhibit a two-level contrast.

To determine the out-of-plane polarization orientation, ferroelectric domains are locally poled by applying $\pm 15$ V tip biases to a conductive probe while rastering it across the sample surface. Plan-view VPFM images (see Fig. S3 of the supplementary material) demonstrate that in regions where the tip is negatively biased, changes in contrast are observed due to the polarization being poled toward the tip. That the out-of-plane polarization component of as-deposited layers is homogeneously aligned toward the substrate is consistent with the A-site termination of our SrRuO$_3$ electrode, which encourages the BiFeO$_3$ polarization to orient in such a way that a depolarization field develops to counteract a built-in field associated with the valence states of each perovskite layer.$^{29}$

Between regions of uniform polarization are domain walls, two-dimensional topological field excitations that emerge when the potential energy describing the order parameter consists of deep valleys and disconnected ground states.$^{29}$ Plan-view LPFM [Fig. 2(b)], cross-sectional VPFM [Fig. 2(c)], and cross-sectional BF-TEM micrographs [Figs. 2(d) and 2(e)] show that the domain walls in our as-grown BiFeO$_3$ layers self-assemble into a periodic quasi-one-dimensional stripe array along DyScO$_3$ [001]$_p$ with inter-wall separations measuring $145 \pm 2$ nm. The walls are found to be inclined $\sim 45^\circ$ relative to the surface normal [Figs. 2(c)–2(e)], in agreement with geometric considerations for 71$^\circ$ walls (the wall type is labeled based on the angle formed between polarization vectors in adjacent domains) residing on [110]$_p$ planes.$^{31,32}$ In previous work, it was shown that the inclination angle and the wall type can be controlled by changing boundary conditions$^{33}$ and film thicknesses;$^{34}$ similarly, the wall orientation can be tuned through the application of biaxial in-plane strain$^{35,36}$ from (100)$_p$ for $1.5\% \leq m \leq 3.6\%$, in which $m$ is the lattice mismatch between the BiFeO$_3$ film and the underlying substrate.$^{35,36}$

The stripe domains are occasionally seen to terminate within the film, giving rise to one-dimensional topological defects analogous to dislocations [the dotted circle in Fig. 2(b)]. Despite the one
D. Electrical properties of walls

The electrical properties of the BiFeO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$/DyScO$_3$(110)$_o$ heterostructure are investigated using conductive AFM (c-AFM), by grounding the bottom SrRuO$_3$ electrode and rastering a biased tip in contact with the sample surface while recording through-layer currents. Typical results, shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(d), establish that no current flows for biases $V_b \leq 1$ V (detectable current limit $\sim$1pA). In the $2 \leq V_b \leq 3$ V regime, the current is preferentially emitted at domain walls, where the conductivity is two to ten times higher than at domains [see the current histogram in Fig. 3(e)]. At a bias of $V_b = 4$ V, the corresponding electric field across the film, 180 kV/cm, exceeds the coercive field of bismuth ferrite, $\sim 170$ kV/cm.$^{21}$ As a consequence, c-AFM images acquired under these conditions, including Fig. 3(d), contain contributions from both resistive and displacement currents as a result of domains being locally poled.

Macrosopic current-voltage $I(V)$ measurements, obtained by integrating the c-AFM measurements over a $5 \times 5$ $\mu$m$^2$ area, Fig. 3(f), highlight the existence of rectifying behavior, agreeing with previous reports.$^{9,10}$ The enhanced conduction at 71° domain walls can be understood to result from a combination of effects which include a reduction in the BiFeO$_3$ conduction band energy and an accumulation of intrinsic defects,$^{21,22}$ including double-donor oxygen vacancy states, near the walls. The asymmetric response, which produces the rectifying behavior, is attributed to the combination of dissimilar emission barrier heights across the film/electrode and film/tip interface as well as to the presence of an out-of-plane film polarization which breaks up/down symmetry.$^{23}$ For the measurement conditions employed, Joule heating is estimated$^{24,25}$ to cause a temperature rise of only $\sim$0.03 K; for reference, electric fields are expected to trigger breakdown in perovskite oxides only above $\sim 2000$ kV/cm, five to ten times larger than the largest values applied here.

III. CONCLUSIONS

The ability to produce ordered walls with well-ordered periodicity, as demonstrated here, could have immediate technological implications. For example, domain walls are already lithographically introduced into commercial nonlinear crystals to help satisfy momentum conservation in three-photon interactions. These processes, which include sum frequency generation and parametric down conversion, are essential for up-converting laser frequencies as well as entangling photons for quantum computation. Being able to produce arrays of walls which self-assemble into high-fidelity patterns could provide a bottom-up alternative for the fabrication of such nonlinear optical elements. Additionally, the perfection of the patterns realized here reflects an intrinsically low concentration of defects. The realization of films with low defect density are necessary to produce energy efficient memories with ferroic orders that can be easily switched at low coercive fields without wall pinning. Finally, the 71° domain walls are shown to simultaneously exhibit enhanced conductivity and electrical rectification—attributes which are desirable for emerging nanoelectronic applications, including domain wall memories.$^3$

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Additional film characterization, including XRD $\theta$–2$\theta$, RHEED, and VPFM, is provided in the supplementary material.
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