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Abstract

Background: The aim of this meta-analysis was to investigate the prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal risk factors for children autism.

Methods: PubMed, Embase, Web of Science were used to search for studies that examined the prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal risk factors for children autism. A fixed-effects model or random-effects model was used to pool the overall effect estimates.

Results: Data from 37,634 autistic children and 12,081,416 nonautistic children enrolled in 17 studies were collated. During the prenatal period, the factors associated with autism risk were maternal and paternal age≥35 years, mother’s and father’s race; White and Asian, gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes, maternal and paternal education college graduate+, threatened abortion, and antepartum hemorrhage. During perinatal period, the factors associated with autism risk were caesarian delivery, gestational age<36 weeks, parity≥4, spontaneous labor, induced labor, no labor, breech presentation, preeclampsia, and fetal distress. During the postnatal period, the factors associated with autism risk were low birth weight, postpartum hemorrhage, male gender, and brain anomaly. Parity≥4 and female were associated with a decreased risk of autism. In addition, exposure to cigarette smoking, urinary infection, mother’s and father’s race; Black and Hispanic, mother’s country of birth outside Europe and North America, umbilical cord around neck, premature membrane rupture, 5-minutes Apgar score<7, and respiratory infection were not associated with increased risk of autism.

Conclusion: The present meta-analysis confirmed the relation between some prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal factors with autism. All these factors were examined individually, thus it was still unclear that whether these factors are causal or play a secondary role in the development of autism. Further studies are needed to verify our findings, and investigate the effects of multiple factors on autism, rather than the single factor.

Abbreviations: ASD = autism spectrum disorder, CIs = confidence intervals, DSM = diagnostic statistical manual of mental disorders, ICD = international classification of diseases, LBW = low birth weight, RR = risk ratio.
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1. Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition, which is characterized by cognitive, behavioral, and social dysfunction. Their onset occurs in early childhood and often results in severe lifelong impairments. ASD is currently regarded as one of the most common childhood morbidities, presenting in various degrees of severity.[1,11] According to the latest report, the global prevalence of autism has been estimated to be at 0.62%.[2]

The etiology of autism is poorly understood. ASD is multifactorial disease, and both genetic and environmental factors are believed to account for its development.[1] A recent study reported that 35% to 40% of autism could be explained by the genetic factors.[4,5] The remaining 60% to 65% are likely to be resulted from other factors, such as prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal environmental factors.[6,7]

Several studies have investigated the relationship between prenatal, perinatal and postnatal factors, and autism.[8] And their results showed that advanced maternal/paternal age, short gestation age, gestational hypertension, threatened abortion, caesarian delivery prematurity, low birth weight (LBW), and low Apgar score were associated with increased risk of autism in more than 1 study.[9-14] However, no single factor was consistently reported to be a positive factor for autism among these studies. These inconsistent results may be explained by the variations in methodologies, such as case definition, comparison groups, race and region, sample size, and exposure assessment methods. These variations have significant impact on the prevalence of autism, as well as the investigation of risk factors for autism.[15] Thus, a meta-analysis is needed to pool the inconsistent data from these studies and figure out which are the significant factors for autism.
Brasic et al[16] conducted a meta-analysis based on case-control studies to identify the obstetric factors for autism. However, only 2 studies of the 156 articles met the inclusion criteria, and these 2 studies had inconsistent results.[11,17] In addition, Kolevzon et al[8] also performed a similar meta-analysis with different sets of criteria. And 7 studies were included, 4 of which were prospective, population-based cohort studies, and the others were retrospective.[8] Three of the included studies had partially overlapping sample. The authors suggested that advanced parental age, maternal birth place outside of North America and Europe, LBW, and preterm delivery were significantly increased factors for autism.[8] Guinchat et al[18] published a systematic review and meta-analysis in 2011. Although the postnatal factors and autism, and previously published studies, and conducted this meta-analysis.

2. Materials and methods

Since this study is a meta-analysis of previously published studies, the ethical approval and patient consent are not required.

This study was conducted and reported in adherence to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis.[19]

2.1. Literature search

PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science were systematically searched for articles published up to October 12, 2016. No language or date restriction was imposed. The search algorithm was generated as follows: (“child”[MeSH Terms] OR “child” [All Fields] OR “children”[All Fields]) AND (“autistic disorder”[MeSH Terms] OR (“autistic”[All Fields] AND “disorder”[All Fields]) OR “autistic disorder”[All Fields] OR “autism” [All Fields])) AND (“prenatal care”[MeSH Terms] OR (“prenatal”[All Fields] AND “care”[All Fields]) OR “prenatal care”[All Fields] OR “prenatal”[All Fields]) OR perinatal [All Fields] OR postnatal [All Fields]). The last search was conducted on December 10, 2016.

Two investigators independently performed the initial search, deleted duplicate records, reviewed the title/abstracts, and determined as excluded or requiring further assessment. Then we screened the full-text articles for inclusion. We also searched the reference lists of those included studies to identify potential articles that may not be indexed in the common databases.

2.2. Study selection

Studies meeting the following inclusion criteria were included: study design: case-control or cohort study; population: children diagnosed with autism; autism diagnostic criteria: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)-Fourth, or Fifth edition; International Classification of Diseases (ICD), Ninth, or Tenth Revision; CARS, Child Autism Rating Scale; comparison group description: matching criteria, sibling control subjects, healthy versus abnormal control subjects; model of reporting: parental report or medical record review, or study physician assessment; outcomes: risk factors for autism (including prenatal, perinatal, postnatal factors), and the exposures among case and control subjects. Studies were excluded from the final analysis if their content was limited to reviews, letters, case reports, conference abstracts, health education, or they focused on adult autism, or they did not provide data of our interest.

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

Two investigators independently performed the data extraction. A standardized data collection form was built to extract the following information: name of the first author, year of publication, country, study design, number of participants, the risk factors for autism. When multiple publications were from the same population, we only included the article with the latest or most information. The disagreements between 2 investigators were resolved by discussion and consensus.

We used the modified Newcastle–Ottawa scale to assess the quality of observational studies.[20] The scale consists of 3 items in reporting of participants selection, comparability of the autistic and nonautistic children, and outcome assessment.[20] The total quality scale was 9 points. Articles with ≥6 points were considered to be of high quality.

2.4. Statistical analysis

All the outcomes were regarded as dichotomous variables; thus they were expressed as risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). Before the data were synthesized, we used the Cochrane Q $\chi^2$ test and $I^2$ statistic to test the heterogeneity across studies, in which $P<.1$ or $I^2>50\%$ was considered to have significant heterogeneity.[21] The DerSimonian–Laird method with random-effects model[22] was used to calculate the pooled RRs with 95% CIs when the heterogeneity was identified; otherwise, a fixed-effects model (Mantel–Haenszel method) was used to pool the estimates.[23] We also conducted subgroup analysis according to case definition, study design, and country. Publications bias was evaluated by the Egger[24] test. A P value less than.05 was judged as statistically significant. All analyses were performed by using STATA version 12.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).

3. Results

3.1. Study identification and selection

The initial search yielded 2849 publications, and 1537 were excluded for duplicate records. After screening the title/abstracts and full-text information, 1 2 6 8 and 2 7 we excluded, respectively. Finally, 17 studies that met the inclusion criteria were included in this meta-analysis.[26–42] The selection flow chart is shown in Fig. 1.

3.2. Study characteristics

The main characteristics of included studies are presented in Table 1. These studies were published between 2002 and 2016. The sample size of these studies ranged from 101 to 5,661,883 with a total of 12,116,501 participants. All these participants were children. Among these studies, 12 were case-control studies, and 5 were cohort studies.

The median NOS score of the included studies was 8 (ranges from 7 to 9).

3.3. Prenatal risk factors

Table 2 lists the prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal risk factors that are included in this meta-analysis, as well as the summary
effects estimate, 95% CIs, and the P values for the test of RR = 1. For some factors, such as difficult labor, auditory deficit, edema, delayed crying, apnoea, and anesthesia used, there were less than 2 studies reporting these outcomes, thus they were not analyzed in this meta-analysis.

Among the studies with prenatal factors, meta-analysis using random-effects model showed that several factors were associated with increased risk for autism. These factors included: maternal age ≥35 years (RR = 1.29, 95% CI: 1.14, 1.43; P < .001), paternal age ≥35 years (RR = 1.32, 95% CI: 1.20, 1.45; P < .001), mother’s race: White (RR = 1.07, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.11; P = .001), father’s race: White (RR = 1.16, 95% CI: 1.11, 1.22; P < .001), mother’s race: Asian (RR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.34, 1.50; P < .001), father’s race: Asian (RR = 1.39, 95% CI: 1.32, 1.47; P < .001), gestational hypertension (RR = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.14, 1.56; P < .001), gestational diabetes (RR = 1.49, 95% CI: 1.18, 1.89; P = .001), maternal education college graduate+ (RR = 1.58, 95% CI: 1.33, 1.88; P < .001), paternal education college graduate+ (RR = 1.54, 95% CI: 1.28, 1.87; P < .001), threatened abortion (RR = 2.28, 95% CI: 1.63, 3.19; P < .001), and antepartum hemorrhage (RR = 1.49, 95% CI: 1.09, 2.02; P = .011).

Moreover, these following factors were not associated with increased risk of autism: exposure to cigarette smoking (RR = 1.02, 95% CI: 0.88, 1.19; P = .792), urinary infection (RR = 0.95, 95% CI: 0.70, 1.30; P = .767), mother’s race: Black (RR = 1.00, 95% CI: 0.72, 1.39; P = .983), father’s race: Black (RR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.66, 1.29; P = .639), mother’s race: Hispanic (RR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.67, 1.15; P = .343), father’s race: Hispanic (RR = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.67, 1.10; P = .221), and mother’s country of birth outside Europe and North America (RR = 1.29, 95% CI: 0.38, 4.33; P = .678).

### 3.4. Perinatal risk factors

As shown in Table 2, there were several factors that increased the risk of autism. These factors included: caesarean delivery (RR = 1.30, 95% CI: 1.15, 1.48; P < .001), gestational age ≥36 weeks (RR = 1.31, 95% CI: 1.16, 1.48; P < .001), spontaneous labor (RR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.89, 0.96; P < .001), induced labor (RR = 1.11, 95% CI: 1.04, 1.20; P = .002), no labor (RR = 1.23, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.39; P = .001), breech presentation (RR = 1.47, 95% CI: 1.23, 1.76; P < .001), preeclampsia (RR = 1.50, 95% CI: 1.04, 2.18; P = .031), and fetal distress (RR = 1.40, 95% CI: 1.11, 1.76; P < .004). Parity ≥4 was a protective factor that decreased the risk of autism (RR = 0.73, 95% CI: 0.66, 0.81; P < .001). Umbilical cord around neck (RR = 1.87, 95% CI: 0.56, 6.19; P = .306) and premature membrane rupture (RR = 2.30, 95% CI: 0.38, 14.11; P = .367) were not risk for autism.

### Table 1

Summary of characteristics of included studies.

| Study          | Country   | Year of publication | Case (N) | Control (N) | Study design | Diagnostic criteria for autism | NOS score |
|---------------|-----------|---------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Hadjukem [26] | Tunisia   | 2016                | 50       | 51          | Case-control | DSM-5                           | 7         |
| Hullman CM [27]| Sweden    | 2002                | 408      | 2040        | Case-control | ICD-9                           | 8         |
| Zhang Y [28]  | China     | 2010                | 95       | 95          | Case-control | ICD-10                          | 8         |
| Glassey EJ [29]| Australia | 2004                | 465      | 1313        | Case-control | ICD-9                           | 8         |
| Mann JR [30]  | USA       | 2010                | 472      | 87,205      | Cohort       | ICD-9                           | 7         |
| Dodds L [31]  | Canada    | 2011                | 924      | 128,809     | Case-control | ICD-9                           | 7         |
| Williams K [32]| Australia | 2008                | 182      | 45,628      | Case-control | DSM-5                           | 8         |
| Say GN [33]   | Turkey     | 2016                | 100      | 80          | Case-control | DSM-5                           | 7         |
| Bider M [34]  | Spain      | 2009                | 120      | 13,200      | Case-control | ICD-9                           | 9         |
| Burstin J [35]| Canada     | 2010                | 1138     | 218,880     | Case-control | ICD-9                           | 9         |
| Dawson S [36] | Australia  | 2009                | 465      | 1313        | Case-control | DSM-5                           | 8         |
| Durkin MS [37]| USA       | 2008                | 1251     | 253,347     | Case-control | DSM-4                           | 8         |
| Grether JK [38]| USA       | 2009                | 18,783   | 5,643,100   | Cohort       | DSM-4                           | 9         |
| Haglund NG [39]| Sweden    | 2011                | 250      | 68,964      | Case-control | DSM-4                           | 8         |
| Shelton JF [40]| USA      | 2010                | 12,159   | 4,935,776   | Cohort       | ICD-10                          | 9         |
| Crow J [41]   | USA       | 2007                | 593      | 132,251     | Cohort       | ICD-9                           | 8         |
| Sasanfar R [42]| USA       | 2010                | 179      | 549,354     | Cohort       | DSM-4                           | 8         |

DSM = diagnostic statistical manual of mental disorders, ICD = international classification of diseases, N = number, NOS = Newcastle–Ottawa scale.
3.5. Postnatal risk factors

As shown in Table 2, several factors were associated with the increased risk of autism. These factors included: low birth weight (RR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.20, 1.34; P < .001), postpartum hemorrhage (RR = 2.10, 95% CI: 1.30, 3.40; P = .002), male gender (RR = 1.47, 95% CI: 1.39, 1.55; P < .001), and brain anomaly (RR = 5.38, 95% CI: 1.16, 24.9; P = .031). Female was associated with a decreased risk of autism (RR = 0.37, 95% CI: 0.34, 0.41; P < .001). And the following factors were not associated with increased risk for autism: 5-minutes Apgar score < 7 (RR = 1.43, 95% CI: 0.95, 2.14; P = .086), and respiratory infection (RR = 2.64, 95% CI: 0.78, 8.86; P = .117).

3.6. Subgroup analysis

Significant heterogeneity was identified in many factors in the data summarization. These factors included: 5-minutes Apgar score, caesarian delivery, exposure to cigarette smoking, gestational age ≤ 36 weeks, gender: male/female, maternal age ≥ 35 years, mother’s country of birth outside Europe and North America, maternal and paternal education college graduate+, mother’s and father’s race: Asian, Black, White, and Hispanic, parity ≥ 4, paternal age ≥ 35 years, respiratory infection, premature membrane rupture, preeclampsia, umbilical cord around neck, and brain anomaly. Therefore, we conducted subgroup analysis based on inclusion criteria for case, study design, and country to explore the potential sources of heterogeneity that may have influenced this association. However, significant heterogeneity was still observed for most of those risk factors that showed heterogeneity in effect estimates among the studies, which indicated that heterogeneity could not be explained by this between-study variability in these methodological characteristics examined. These results are presented in Tables 3 and 4.
Subgroup analysis based on inclusion criteria for case (Table 3) suggested that the relationship between maternal age ≥35 years and autism was only observed in studies using ICD-9/10 as the case inclusion criteria, but not in studies using DSM-4/5 as case inclusion criteria. A significant 27% decreased risk of autism in relation to parity ≥4 was observed in the overall effect estimates, but not found in the studies using ICD-9/10 as the case inclusion criteria. Although 5-minutes Apgar score <7 was not observed as a risk for autism in the overall studies, it increased the risk of autism by 88% in the studies that identified the autism patients according to DSM-4/5.

Subgroup analysis based on study design had consistent results with the overall effect estimates except the finding of parity ≥4, which showed no association with autism in case-control studies (Table 3).

Subgroup analysis based on country had inconsistent results with the overall effect estimates for most of the outcomes (Table 4). A significantly increased risk of autism was observed in relation to 5-minutes Apgar score <7 among the studies conducted in Sweden, Canada, and France. Caesarean delivery was associated with an increased risk for autism. However, it was not an increased risk for autism in studies conducted in China and Turkey. There was no significant relationship between exposure to cigarette smoking and autism. However, it increased the risk of autism in Chinese children. Gestational age <36 weeks was a risk factor for autism. However, this relationship was not observed in Australia, Sweden, China, and Tunisia. Parity ≥4 was associated with a decreased risk of autism. However, it was found to be an increased risk in Sweden. There was significant relationship between maternal age ≥35 years and autism. However, this relationship was not found in studies conducted in Australia, Sweden, China, and Tunisia. Paternal age ≥35 years was associated with an increased risk for autism. However, this association was not observed in Tunisia and Spain.

3.7. Publication bias

Assessment of publication bias was performed for all the risk factors that were investigated in more than 3 studies. The results showed that no significant publication bias was observed between all the risk factors and autism with the Begg test; whereas using Egger test, significant publication bias was identified for gestational age <36 weeks, gestational diabetes, and gestational hypertension. We then used the trim-and-fill method to estimate missing studies and recalculated the overall effect estimates. The recalculated estimates did not change substantially, which still indicated a significant relationship between these risk factors and autism (data not shown).

4. Discussion

The current study was a meta-analysis with the objective to investigate the relationship between perinatal, perinatal, and postnatal factors and autism. In this meta-analysis, we assessed about 40 factors, and our results demonstrated that most of the factors we examined were associated with an increased risk of autism. These factors included maternal and paternal age ≥35 years, mother’s, and father’s race: White and Asian gestational hypertension, gestational diabetes, maternal and paternal education college graduate, threatened abortion, antepartum hemorrhage, caesarean delivery, gestational age <36 weeks, spontaneous labor, induced labor, no labor, breech presentation, preclampsia, and fetal distress, low birth weight, postpartum hemorrhage, male gender, and brain anomaly; whereas, for parity ≥4 and female gender, they were found to be protective factors for autism. We also conducted subgroup analysis according to case definition criteria, study design, and country, but the relationship in several factors has been changed.

There has been 1 published meta-analysis in 2011 which investigated the association between perinatal and neonatal

### Table 3

| Outcomes                                | ICD-9/10 | DSM-4/5 | Case-control | Study design |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Inclusion criteria for case             |          |         |              |              |
| 5-min Apgar score <7                    | 1.88, 1.54–2.31 | 0.79, 0.57–1.08 | 1.40, 1.18–1.65 | —            |
| Caesarean delivery                      | 1.36, 1.18–1.56 | 1.10, 0.75–1.61 | 1.30, 1.15–1.48 | —            |
| Exposure to cigarette smoking          | 0.90, 0.83–1.18 | 1.22, 0.80–1.87 | 1.02, 0.85–1.22 | 1.07, 0.89–1.27 |
| Gender: male                           | 2.31, 0.79–1.54 | 0.76, 0.58–0.97 | 0.89, 0.58–1.37 | 0.67, 0.63–0.72 |
| Female                                  | 0.43, 0.32–0.36 | 0.40, 0.31–0.52 | 0.38, 0.33–0.45 | 0.33, 0.29–0.38 |
| Maternal age ≥35 y                      | 0.77, 0.54–1.09 | 0.75, 0.58–0.97 | 0.89, 0.58–1.37 | 0.67, 0.63–0.72 |
| Paternal age ≥35 y                      | 1.35, 1.17–1.57 | 1.26, 1.17–1.35 | 1.23, 1.07–1.42 | 1.46, 1.42–1.50 |

### Table 4

| Outcomes                                | USA       | Canada     | Australia  | Sweden     | China      | Tunisia    | Spain      |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Inclusion criteria for country          |           |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| 5-min Apgar score <7                    | —         | 1.41, 0.53–3.76 | 1.59, 1.21–2.09 | 1.53, 0.30–7.87 | —         | —         | 0.78, 0.20–3.11 |
| Caesarean delivery                      | 1.17, 1.09–1.26 | 1.54, 1.28–1.84 | 1.52, 1.25–1.85 | 1.26, 0.89–1.79 | 0.91, 0.68–1.22 | 1.77, 1.20–2.62 |
| Exposure to cigarette smoking          | 1.07, 0.89–1.27 | 0.88, 0.73–4.00 | —         | 1.14, 0.96–1.37 | 3.00, 1.25–7.23 | 1.16, 0.67–2.00 | 0.53, 0.24–1.16 |
| Gender: male                           | 1.64, 1.60–1.68 | 1.62, 1.58–1.66 | 0.93, 0.80–1.08 | 1.54, 1.44–1.64 | —         | —         | —          |
| Female                                  | 0.35, 0.34–0.36 | 0.36, 0.31–0.41 | 0.42, 0.07–2.51 | 0.44, 0.34–0.55 | —         | —         | —          |
| Maternal age ≥35 y                      | 1.48, 1.29–1.65 | 1.13, 1.01–1.27 | 1.11, 0.67–1.82 | 1.25, 0.84–1.86 | 1.53, 0.85–2.75 | 1.22, 0.56–2.57 | 1.64, 1.07–2.52 |
| Parity ≥4                               | 0.67, 0.63–0.71 | 0.56, 0.38–0.84 | —         | 1.44, 1.12–1.86 | —         | —         | 0.72, 0.37–1.41 |
| Paternal age ≥35 y                      | 1.37, 1.25–1.50 | —         | 1.30, 1.10–1.52 | —         | 1.95, 1.23–3.08 | 1.29, 0.93–1.81 | 0.97, 0.81–1.18 |
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factors and autism risk.\(^{[6]}\) For the factors that both of the 2 studies examined, our results were in line with the findings of the previous meta-analysis.\(^{[6]}\) However, our study has several strengths. First, the present study included several recently published studies, which were not included in the previous study. As we know that, over the past decades, the distribution of children with autism has been probably changed by factors such as birthweight, the parental age, and delivery type. Thus, these changes may alter the distribution of risk factors among autistic children, and increase the heterogeneity of results between older and recent studies. Second, there were available data for us to conduct subgroup analysis across these included studies. Third, significant publication bias was identified for gestational age\(^{\leq 36}\) weeks, gestational diabetes, and gestational hypertension in this meta-analysis. In order to deal with publication bias, we used the trim-and-fill method. And the recalculated data did not change substantially, which indicated that publication bias may not have impact on our results. However, in the previous study, publication bias was found for high birth weight (\(>4000\)g), meconium aspiration, and October to December birth.\(^{[10]}\) The authors suggested that the publication bias may influence the summary effect estimates, and the significant results would be explained by the chance alone.\(^{[6]}\)

In the present meta-analysis, advanced maternal or paternal age (\(\geq 35\) years) was associated with an increased risk of autism. Our result was consistent with the data of a recently published meta-analysis,\(^{[43]}\) which suggested an independent relation between higher maternal age and autism. The chosen 35 years as the age cutoff for both parents was based on the recommendations of many authors.\(^{[43],[44]}\) The theories supporting the positive relation lay in the facts that: the gametes of older fathers and mothers may have more possibility of genetic mutations; there was less favorable utero environment in older mothers, which would result in more obstetrical complications, such as LBW, prematurity, and cerebral hypoxia.\(^{[44]}\) Furthermore, older mothers had a higher prevalence of chronic diseases, which also would lead to an increased risk of adverse birth outcomes.\(^{[43],[45]}\) These have been observed in several previous studies, which demonstrated a high risk of obstetric complications among older mothers.\(^{[43],[45]}\)

Exposure to cigarette smoking was not considered an increased risk factor of autism in this study. This result was observed in most of the included studies. However, in the study conducted by Zhang et al.,\(^{[28]}\) they found that maternal second-hand smoking during pregnancy was associated with an increased risk of autism. The authors argued that there were several chemicals with adverse health effects in the second-hand smoke, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and metals, which would lead to fetal hypoxia and influence brain development.\(^{[28]}\) Although these theories could support the positive relationship between exposure to cigarette smoking and autism, the authors thought that their study may have no adequate power to address this issue since the sample size of maternal smoking was very small.\(^{[28]}\)

Despite the relation between cigarette smoking and autism remaining controversial among the studies,\(^{[27],[46],[47]}\) some authors suggested that maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy may have a commutative impact on the lineage of her reproductive cells.\(^{[27],[47]}\) Maternal cigarette smoking may increase the risk of spontaneous abortions, preterm delivery, reduced birth weight, and others.\(^{[14]}\)

Gestational diabetes was considered a risk factor in this meta-analysis, which was in line with the previous studies.\(^{[39],[40],[42]}\) A prospective study showed that gestational diabetes would adversely affect the fetal growth, and increase the rates of pregnancy complications.\(^{[49]}\) Moreover, it also had impact on the fine and gross motor development, and lead to the learning difficulties and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.\(^{[49]}\) These adverse effects of maternal diabetes on brain may arise from the intrauterine increased fetal oxidative stress, as well as the epigenetic changes in the expression of several genes.\(^{[26]}\)

Moreover, the observed risk in maternal diabetes might be related to the pregnancy complications rather than the hyperglycemia complications. Whether control of diabetes would reduce this association still remains unknown.\(^{[49]}\)

In the subgroup analysis we found that the association between several risk factors and autism had been changed. Maternal age\(\geq 35\) years was regarded as an increased risk for autism in the previous studies and the current meta-analysis, but it was not found in the studies using DSM-IV as case inclusion criteria. Similar to the parity\(\geq 4\), it was found to be a protective factor for autism in the overall effect estimate, but it was not observed in studies using ICD-9/10 as the case inclusion criteria. In the subgroup analysis based on country, studies conducted in Australia and Tunisia demonstrated adverse results with the studies in other countries. The male gender and maternal age\(\geq 35\) years were found to be associated with autism in most of the studies and this study; however, this was not observed in studies conducted in Australia. Caesarian delivery, advanced maternal, and paternal age were associated with increased risk of autism. But these positive relationships were not found in Tunisia. The reasons for these conflict results still remained unknown, and further studies are needed to address these issues.

There were several potential limitations in this meta-analysis. First, our study was conducted based on 17 studies. Although most of the risk factors were analyzed based on several studies (n\(\geq 8\)), some risk factors were based on 4 or 5 studies, which had potential impact on the overall effect estimates. Second, there was moderate heterogeneity in the present study. Several factors may contribute to this heterogeneity, such as case definition, inclusion criteria, sample size, study design, and country. We therefore conducted subgroup analysis to investigate the sources of heterogeneity. However, there was still significant heterogeneity existing in most of the subgroups. Third, publication bias was detected in this meta-analysis. Although the reanalyzed estimates using the trim-and-fill method did not change significantly, we could not exclude the possibility that the missing data from the gray literature would influence the overall effect estimates. Fourth, this meta-analysis was conducted based on published studies rather than individual data, which may limit our ability to explore more possible factors, and gain a better understanding of the sources of heterogeneity.

In conclusion, this study identified about 40 prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal factors that may be increased risk for autism. These factors could interact or contribute in combination with other cofactors to play a role in the development of autism. Further studies are needed to confirm our results and investigate the single or combination factors for autism.
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