Impact of elevated body mass index on cumulative live birth rate and obstetric safety in women undergoing assisted reproductive technology
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This study evaluated the impact of elevated body mass index (BMI) on short- and long-term outcomes of in-vitro fertilization (IVF)/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatments. A total of 7229 patients undergoing IVF/ICSI fresh cycles and subsequent frozen embryo transfer cycles from 2014 to 2020 were divided into normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m²) and high BMI (≥ 25 kg/m²) groups. Ovarian response, pregnancy outcomes, and safety of both mother and fetus were the main outcome measures. Furthermore, multivariate analysis was used to determine whether BMI was associated with cumulative live birth rate (CLBR). Results showed that for younger women (<38 year), CLBR was significantly reduced in the high BMI group compared with the normal BMI control and was accompanied by fewer retrieved oocytes and available embryos. Additionally, the incidence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, fetal macrosomia, and cleft lip and palate birth defects resulting from cumulative live births was significantly higher compared with the normal BMI group. No differences were observed among older women (≥38 year). Multivariate analysis revealed that high BMI was a risk factor for CLBR. Our study suggested that elevated BMI has a greater adverse impact on younger women.

The overweight and obesity epidemic continues to plague modern society, which is a result of radical changes to lifestyle and decreased physical exercise in recent years. Overweight and obesity are major causes of chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. Moreover, obesity raises the risk of hypertension and stroke, dyslipidemia, diabetes, coronary heart disease, as well as various forms of cancer. Obese people are also three times more likely to be hospitalized by COVID-19.

The burden of overweight and obesity is high in both developed and developing countries, with the proportion of overweight and obese women increasing from 29.8% in 1980 to 38.0% in 2013. Elevated body mass index (BMI) in women affects every stage of reproductive life, including puberty, pregnancy, and delivery. This may include conditions such as menstrual and ovulatory disorders, impaired endometrial development and embryo implantation, increased abortion rates, and pregnancy complications, such as hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP), pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), postpartum hemorrhage (PPH), and cesarean delivery. Moreover, adverse perinatal outcomes, including fetal macrosomia and neural tube defects, are more likely to occur in obese women.

Numerous studies investigating the impact of elevated BMI on in-vitro fertilization (IVF)/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) outcomes have been published, albeit with disparate results. Several studies found that an elevated BMI had no adverse effect on IVF/ICSI outcomes, while others demonstrated that elevated BMI was associated with adverse IVF outcomes, including lower ovarian response, inferior oocyte and embryo quality, higher cancellation rates, lower clinical pregnancy rates (CPR), lower fresh live birth rates (FLBR), and higher rates of miscarriages. Despite broad research in this area, the effects of elevated BMI on IVF/ICSI outcomes remain uncertain.

Reproductive Medicine Center, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1095 Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan 430030, People’s Republic of China. Email: hwzhang605@126.com; Yiqing_Zhao99@hotmail.com
mitochondrial activity, with up to 70–80% of embryos from females aged 38–42 demonstrating chromosomal tence decrease with maternal age, mainly due to an increased incidence of aneuploidies and possibly decreased 

z = 10.255, (P < 38 y) with high BMIs received a significantly higher dose of gonadotropin (Gn) stimulation (2175 vs. 1960, P< 0.001) and had a slightly longer duration of Gn stimulation (10 vs. 10, z = 1.975, P = 0.017) than those with normal BMIs. Fewer retrieved oocytes (13 vs. 14, z =− 3.322, P < 0.001), normally fertilized oocytes (8 vs. 8, z =− 3.324, P < 0.001), cleavages (9 vs. 10, z =− 3.816, P < 0.001), cleavage-stage embryos (8 vs 8, z =− 2.978, P = 0.003), and blastocysts (4 vs 4, z =− 2.621, P = 0.009) were noted in the high BMI group (Table 2). However, in the older women (≥ 38 y) subgroup, the duration of Gn stimulation was shorter (10 vs. 10, z =− 2.802, P = 0.005) and the cleavage was lower (7 vs 7, z =− 2.141, P = 0.032) in the high BMI group compared with the normal BMI group (Supplementary Table S2). In both age subgroups, serum peak estradiol and progesterone (P) concentrations on human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) day were significantly lower in the high BMI group compared with the normal BMI group. No significant differences in the numbers of high-quality embryos (HQE) and top-quality embryos (TQE) were observed between the two BMI groups (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S2).

Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics among normal and high BMI* groups (<38 year). aBody mass index. bDiminished ovarian reserve. cEndometriosis. dIn-vitro fertilization. eIntracytoplasmic sperm injection. fFollicle-stimulating hormone. gAntral follicle count. *P<0.05.

| Infertility type | Normal BMIa | High BMIa | χ²/z | P value |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|------|---------|
| Primary         | 3420 (58.2%)| 542 (55.5%)| 2.462| 0.117   |
| Secondary       | 2461 (41.8%)| 435 (44.5%)| 9.038| 0.060   |
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*P<0.05.
Pregnancy outcome measures. As indicated in Table 3, the cancellation rates of fresh cycles and CLBRs were statistically significantly reduced in younger women with high BMIs compared to those with normal BMIs (28.6% vs. 34.8%, P < 0.001; 73.7% vs. 76.8%, P = 0.034, respectively), but no significant differences were observed in the older women subgroup (Supplementary Table S3). Reasons for fresh cycle cancellation included no available embryos (n = 166, 2.30%), a high risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) (n = 1386, 19.17%), serum P ≥ 1.5 ng/ml on the hCG trigger day (n = 569, 7.87%), endometrial thickness of < 6 mm or > 16 mm (n = 33, 0.46%), and others (n = 281, 3.89%).

Other pregnancy outcomes are presented in Table 3 and Supplementary Table S3. No significant differences in implantation rate, CPR, ongoing pregnancy rate (OPR), FLBR, ectopic pregnancy rate, biochemical pregnancy rate, early spontaneous abortion rate (ESAR), and late spontaneous abortion rate (LSAR) of fresh cycles for both age subgroups between the two BMI groups were noted. Additionally, no significant differences were found in the rates of singleton pregnancy and multiple pregnancy.

Obstetric complications, perinatal outcomes, and congenital defects. For the younger women, the details of perinatal outcomes resulting from fresh live births, such as gestational age, birth weight, neonatal asphyxia and infection, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, and early neonatal death, are presented in the perinatal outcomes section of the Supplementary Table S4. Reasons for the delivery, such as cesarean section, which was significantly higher in the high BMI group compared to the normal BMI group (90.8% vs. 83.1%, P < 0.001), were largely similar between the two BMI categories. The incidences of HDP (4.4% vs. 1.5%, P < 0.001) and placenta previa (0.6% vs. 2.2%, P = 0.040) in the high BMI group were significantly higher and lower, respectively, than those in the normal BMI group.

Table 2. Parameters of ovarian stimulation and embryos (< 38 year). a Body mass index. b Gonadotropin. c Progesterone. d Human chorionic gonadotropin. e In-vitro fertilization. f Intracytoplasmic sperm injection. g High-quality embryo. h High-quality embryo rate. i Top quality embryo. j Top quality embryo rate. * P < .05.
The rates of cesarean section (92.8% vs. 87.1%, \( P < 0.001 \)) and HDP (6.7% vs. 3.1%, \( P < 0.001 \)) resulting from cumulative live births in younger women with high BMIs had similar trends to those of fresh live births (Supplementary Table S6). Moreover, the incidence of fetal macrosomia (4.6% vs. 2.8%, \( P = 0.002 \); Supplementary Table S6) and birth defects involving cleft lip and palate (0.4% vs. 0.1%, \( P = 0.030 \); Supplementary Table S9) resulting from cumulative live births in younger women with high BMIs was significantly higher than in those with normal BMIs. These results were not significantly different compared to those of fresh live births (Supplementary Tables S4 and S8).

In contrast, no significant differences were found in perinatal outcomes, obstetric complications, and congenital defects resulting from both fresh and cumulative live births in the older subgroup (Supplementary Table S5, S7, S8, and S9).

### Multivariate logistic regression analysis of BMI-related CLBR.

As shown in Table 4, younger women with high BMIs had significantly decreased odds of CLBR compared with those with normal BMIs, with an adjusted OR (95% CI) of 0.809 (0.701–0.933). However, no statistical differences in the probability of CLBR were noted between the high BMI group and the normal BMI group for older women (Supplementary Table S10).

### Discussion

Despite previous studies reporting that elevated BMI can be associated with poor pregnancy outcomes of fresh IVF cycles, no significant effects of maternal BMI on implantation rate, CPR, OPR, and FLBR in all subgroups were observed in fresh cycles. However, in the subgroup < 38 years, cycle cancellation rates were significantly higher in the normal BMI group compared with those with normal BMIs, with an adjusted OR (95% CI) of 0.809 (0.701–0.933). However, no statistical differences in the probability of CLBR were noted between the high BMI group and the normal BMI group for older women (Supplementary Table S10).

Unlike recent studies that have demonstrated that obesity was associated with spontaneous abortion28 and 1.45 times higher odds of early miscarriage compared with women with normal weights29, our study showed no statistical differences in the abortion rates of fresh cycles. Poor embryo quality appears to be the main cause of spontaneous abortion. However, in this study, there was no difference in the number of TQEs and HQEs, as they were given priority for fresh cycle transfer, which may be the reason for the nonstatistical difference in
abortion rates. Elevated BMI was also notably associated with fewer mature oocytes and available embryos in younger women, but we did not observe a statistically significant decline in blastocyst formation rates, HQE rates, and TQE rates in overweight and obese individuals versus normal-weight controls, which was inconsistent with results from Ioanna et al.11.

As the most important research index of this study, CLBR was significantly lower among younger women with high BMIs compared with the normal BMI group. This may have been due to a reduced number of available embryos at cleavage and blastocyst stages in the high BMI group. Nevertheless, the decrease in the number of embryos was triggered by a reduction in the number of retrieved oocytes and mature oocytes, which was statistically different for the younger women in both BMI groups. Previous animal studies have indicated that obesity adversely affects oocyte maturation and embryonic development30–34, which could be due to direct oocyte damage32,33,35 and the indirect effects of dysfunctional systemic maternal endocrinology and metabolism, such as hypercholesterolemia, elevations in glucose, insulin, or free fatty acids, and changes in adipokines30. However, research evidence from human studies is limited. Leary et al. observed that human oocytes from overweight and obese women were smaller and less likely to complete fertilization using time-lapse systems36. Moreover, the resulting embryos were more likely to reach the morula stage, and the trophectoderm of blastocysts had fewer cells36. Additionally, donor oocyte research demonstrated that obesity did not affect IVF outcomes in women using donor oocytes37, that the adverse effects of elevated BMI on oocyte development rather than endometrial receptivity may be the major factor impairing pregnancy outcomes, and that this adverse effect was more pronounced in younger women. However, further research is needed to confirm and consolidate these ideas.

Bidirectional communication of oocytes with surrounding cells is critical for oocyte development, and several studies have attempted to evaluate the impact of obesity on these ovarian cells. High fat-diet mice exhibited increased anovulation and decreased fertilization rates in vivo, which was accompanied by remarkably increased apoptosis, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction in granulosa and cumulus cells38. Abnormalities of granulosa cells caused by obesity may reduce estradiol production, which resulted in significantly lower peak estradiol levels among overweight and obese women of any age in the current study, despite the increased Gn dose given to the younger women. Thus, impaired granulosa cell function further deteriorates oocyte quality and developmental potential, ultimately reducing the number of mature oocytes and available embryos39, which are the keys to achieving cumulative live births.

Moreover, the long-term adverse effects of obesity are mainly reflected in the birth safety and health of the offspring. Maternal obesity has been associated with an increased risk of GDM, HDP, pre-eclampsia, PPH, caesarean delivery, macrosomia, and neonatal death40,41. Additionally, women with obesity are at increased risk of a range of structural abnormalities, including neural tube defects, spina bifida, cardiovascular abnormalities, septal abnormalities, cleft lip and palate, anorectal atresia, hydrocephalus, and limb reduction abnormalities42. Furthermore, the risk of caesarean delivery was reported to have increased by 50% in overweight women and more than two-folds in obese women compared to women with normal BMIs43.

In the present study, however, only maternal HDP and fetal macrosomia were found to be more likely in younger women with high BMIs, and no significant difference were noted in terms of congenital defects between different BMI groups, with the exception of cleft lip and palate in the younger women. These findings which were not entirely consistent with previous studies40–42. In addition, the cesarean delivery rate in younger women with high BMIs was significantly higher than that in the normal BMI group, although the rates were also high in the normal BMI group (92.8% vs. 87.1%, P < 0.001). Several reasons may have led to the above phenomenon. Firstly, adverse obstetric complications and maternal outcomes, including pre-eclampsia, PPH, maternal asphyxia and infection, NICU admission, and early neonatal death may be partly reduced by the high rates of caesarean delivery. This largely related to an earlier family planning policy in China. Most Chinese couples choose to have only one child. Therefore, an elective cesarean section was considered a reliable delivery method that could avoid some of the uncertainties and risks associated with vaginal birth. Second, obstetric and neonatal risks, such as GDM, HDP, preterm birth, NICU admission, and neonatal death, increased with maternal overweight and increasing severity of obesity44–46. A large cohort study47 of 1.2 million liveborn, singleton infants also showed that the risk of major congenital malformations and several organ specific malformations progressively increased when the BMI and obesity increased. However, due to ethnic and regional differences, the proportion of obesity and morbid obesity in this study were relatively low. Third, as the birth defects data in our study were gathered through telephone follow-up, which ended one month after birth, and no more information has been collected since then. Therefore, many minor defects may have been overlooked, although the overall conclusion is less likely to be affected. Nevertheless, results indicated that fetuses of overweight and obese women were more likely to be exposed to adverse intrauterine environments, resulting in an increased incidence of fetal macrosomia and cesarean delivery. This, in turn, may impair obstetric safety and increase the risk of childhood and adult obesity.

Interestingly, our findings indicated no significant differences in pregnancy outcomes, obstetric and neonatal complications, and congenital defects between the two BMI groups among older women (> 38 year), whether they were undergoing fresh cycles or cumulative cycles. Therefore, we believe that age remains a major factor affecting IVF/ICSI outcomes, and obesity has a greater adverse impact on younger women compared with older women. As a result, we can provide clear guidance based on age boundaries, where younger women (< 38 year) with elevated BMIs may be encouraged to consider losing weight before pregnancy, but older women (> 38 year) may not have to achieve weight loss before consider pregnancy, as balancing the risks of age-related fertility decline are vital. Although many interventions related to weight management, including exercise, medication, diet, and bariatric surgery, have been explored to reduce the negative effects of overweight and obesity on IVF/ICSI outcomes48,49, the data are largely mixed, and there are few high-quality studies to guide clinicians. Therefore, further research is needed to determine which weight management interventions are beneficial for improving short- and long-term outcomes in younger women undergoing IVF/ICSI treatments.
Overall, this is a large-scale study to comprehensively assess the impact of elevated BMI on short- and long-term outcomes following one IVF/ICSI stimulation in a Chinese population. Unlike other studies, which have focused exclusively on pregnancy outcomes of fresh cycles as primary outcomes, the primary finding in our study was CLBR. CLBR is a more accurate indicator of the state of all oocytes deriving from a single IVF/ICSI stimulation, which lent credibility and persuasiveness to the results. In addition, to reduce the heterogeneity of the study, only the routine long protocol was included, and women with polycystic ovary syndrome, hypertension, and diabetes prior to IVF/ICSI were excluded. Nevertheless, there were a few limitations to this study. This study was a single-center study, and compared with the normal weight group (n = 6174, 85.41%), the proportion of overweight (n = 992, 13.72%) and obese (n = 63, 0.87%) participants included in the analysis was relatively low due to race- and region-restricted. Therefore, the overweight and obese groups were combined for statistical purposes and could not be separately analyzed. Although this study found adverse effects of elevated BMI during IVF/ICSI treatments, these results may not be generalizable to other racial and ethnic groups due to the low number of high BMI patients included and high cesarean section rates, a larger and more diverse dataset may provide additional insights into its impact. Moreover, due to the retrospective nature of the study, further randomized controlled trials are needed to validate the results.

In summary, overweight and obesity may impair CLBR in younger women by directly or indirectly impairing oocyte maturation and embryonic development. Maternal overweight and obesity were also closely associated with HDF and fetal macrosomia in younger women, which could increase birth risks and economic burdens. Therefore, weight loss management may improve pregnancy outcomes and safety among younger women with elevated BMIs. Further randomized controlled trials of weight management interventions prior to IVF/ICSI procedures in overweight and obese women are needed to determine whether short- and long-term adverse effects for both mothers and their offspring could be reduced.

Methods

Patients. We performed a retrospective study on women who were first treated at the Reproductive Medicine Center of Tongji Hospital from January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017. This was a non-interventional, single-center cohort study of patients undergoing routine long gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist protocol. Ethic approval was granted by the institutional review board of Tongji Hospital (reference no. 20201203), with written informed consent provided by participants. Patient information was anonymous without any identifier at the time of retrospective chart review, and all methods were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki regulations.

BMI was expressed as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters (kg/m²). Based on the World Health Organization’s BMI classification, all patients with normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m²) and high (≥ 25 kg/m²) BMI who had undergone their first fresh IVF/ICSI cycles were included in the analysis. On the other hand, women with polycystic ovary syndrome, uterine factors such as intrauterine adhesion and mediastinum uterus, hypertension, diabetes, and thyroid dysfunction were excluded. Furthermore, all cycles of half-ICSI fertilization, donor oocytes, egg freezing, and preimplantation genetic diagnoses/screenings were not included. A total of 7701 women were enrolled and were followed up through May 2020, until either the delivery of one live infant or the discontinuation of treatment. A total of 464 patients did not achieve live birth that had embryos frozen from the first stimulation, and 8 women had become pregnant. Ultimately, 7229 women completed the follow-up (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Protocol for ovarian stimulation. Controlled ovarian stimulation was performed using the routine long GnRH agonist protocol. Oocytes were retrieved transvaginally 34–36 h after hCG administration, and fertilization was assessed 16–18 h after routine insemination or ICSI. Blastomere number and regularity, as well as the presence and volume of cytoplasmic fragmentations were assessed 24 and 48 h later, respectively. According to the protocol developed by Chinese legislation, a limit of two embryos were transferred on day 3 or 5 after oocyte retrieval. The remaining available embryos were cultured for blastocyst formation. All patients also received luteal support after embryo transfer, including intramuscular injections of progesterone in oil or vaginally administered micronized progesterone.

Embryo grading. The presence of two clearly distinct pronuclei, along with two individualized or fragmented polar bodies, 20 h after IVF/ICSI was considered normal fertilization. Day 3 embryos with 7–9 equal-sized cells with a < 10% degree of fragmentation rate were defined as HQE. Additionally, day 3 embryos with eight equal size blastomeres and no cytoplasmic fragments that were derived from day 2 embryos with four equal size blastomeres and no cytoplasmic fragments were defined as TQE50.

Pregnancy outcomes. The primary pregnancy outcome was the cumulative live birth, defined as at least one liveborn baby at ≥ 20 weeks’ gestation resulting from an IVF/ICSI-initiated cycle, including all fresh and subsequent frozen embryo transfer cycles, until one live birth occurred or all embryos were used. Other pregnancy outcomes assessed in the study included implantation rate, CPR, OPR, FLBR, ectopic pregnancy rate, biochemical pregnancy rate, ESAR, LSAR, singleton pregnancy rate, and multiple pregnancy rate per fresh embryo transfer cycle. Implantation rate was determined based on the number of gestational sacs detected by ultrasound scan 5–7 weeks after embryo transfer divided by the number of embryos transferred. Moreover, clinical pregnancy was defined as the presence of a gestational sac with observed fetal heart rate by ultrasound five weeks after embryo transfer, while ongoing pregnancy was defined as a pregnancy with fetal heart activity detected by ultrasound after 12 weeks of gestation. Fresh live birth was defined as at least one liveborn baby at ≥ 20 weeks’ gestation after fresh embryo transfer. Additionally, early spontaneous abortion was defined...
as miscarriage occurring during the first trimester of pregnancy, while late spontaneous abortion was defined as miscarriage occurring after the first trimester of pregnancy. Finally, multiple pregnancy was defined as more than one gestational sac detected by ultrasound scan 5–7 weeks after embryo transfer.

**Obstetric complications, perinatal outcomes, and congenital defects.** Obstetric and perinatal complications as well as congenital defects of deliveries from fresh cycles and cumulative cycles were followed up completely. Obstetric complications included in this study were HDP, GDM, pre-eclampsia, preterm premature rupture of membranes, placenta previa, polyhydramnios, oligohydramnios, PPH, placental abruption, and placenta accreta.

Perinatal outcomes included mode of delivery, gestational age, weight at birth, neonatal asphyxia and infection, NICU admission, and early neonatal death. In particular, very preterm birth and preterm birth were defined as a live birth or stillbirth occurring before 32 and 37 gestation weeks, respectively. Very low birth weight and low birth weight were also defined as a birth weight lower than 1500 g and 2500 g, respectively. Moreover, fetal macrosomia was defined as birth weight > 4000 g, and early neonatal death was defined as the death of a liveborn baby within seven days of birth.

Based on the classifications in 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases Q codes (Q00–Q99)⁹, congenital malformations followed up in this study included cleft lip, cleft palate, and congenital malformations originating from the nervous, circulatory, digestive, urogenital, and musculoskeletal systems.

**Statistical analysis.** Data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0 software. Normally distributed continuous data were presented as mean ± standard deviation, nonnormally distributed continuous data were expressed as median and quartile interval (M [P25–P75]), and categorical data were reported as the number of cases and frequency (%). Independent sample t-tests were used for intergroup comparisons of normally distributed continuous data, while nonparametric tests (rank-sum) were used for nonnormal distributions. Additionally, Chi-square tests (or Fisher’s exact tests, if appropriate) were used to determine the statistical significance between percentages for categorical data. A multivariate logistic regression model was used to determine odds ratios (ORs) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) when comparing CLBR between two BMI groups. Significant different indicators in the baseline data and study factors that may affect CLBR were considered as adjustment factors. Statistical significance was set at $P<0.05$.

**Data availability**
The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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