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We consider two-player games played on finite colored graphs where the goal is the construction of an infinite path with one of the following frequency-related properties: (i) all colors occur with the same asymptotic frequency, (ii) there is a constant that bounds the difference between the occurrences of any two colors for all prefixes of the path, or (iii) all colors occur with a fixed asymptotic frequency. These properties can be viewed as quantitative refinements of the classical notion of fair path in a concurrent system, whose simplest form checks whether all colors occur infinitely often. In particular, the first two properties enforce equal treatment of all the jobs involved in the system, while the third one represents a way to assign a given priority to each job. For all the above goals, we show that the problem of checking whether there exists a winning strategy is CoNP-complete.

1 Introduction

Colored graphs, which are graphs with color-labeled edges, are a model widely used in the field of computer science that deals with the analysis of concurrent systems [14]. For example, they can represent the transition relation of a concurrent program. In this case, the color of an edge indicates which process is making progress along that edge. One basic property of interest for these applications is fairness. This property essentially states that, during an infinite computation, each process is allowed to make progress infinitely often [8]. Starting from this core idea, a rich theory of fairness has been developed, as witnessed by the amount of literature devoted to the subject (see, for instance, [1, 11, 12]).

In the abstract framework of colored graphs, the above basic version of fairness asks that, along an infinite path in the graph, each color occurs infinitely often. Such a requirement does not put any bound on the amount of steps that a process needs to wait before it is allowed to make progress. As a consequence, the asymptotic frequency of some color could be zero, even if the path is fair. Accordingly, several authors have proposed stronger versions of fairness. For instance, Alur and Henzinger define finitary fairness roughly as the property requiring that there is a fixed bound on the number of steps between two occurrences of any given color [3, 5]. A similar proposal, supported by a corresponding temporal logic, was made by Dershowitz, Jayasimha, and Park in [7]. On a finitarily fair path, all colors have positive asymptotic frequency. These definitions of fairness treat the frequencies of the relevant events in isolation and in a strictly qualitative manner. Such definitions only distinguish between zero frequency (not fair), limit-zero frequency (fair, but not finitarily so), and positive frequency (finitarily fair). Recently, we presented two new notions of fairness that introduce a quantitative comparison between competing events [4]. The balanced path-property requires that on the path all colors occur with the same asymptotic frequency, i.e., the long-run average number of occurrences for each of them is the same. The bounded difference path-property is a stronger property, namely it requires that there is a numerical constant bounding the difference between the number of occurrences of any two colors, for all prefixes of the path. These notions provide stronger criteria suitable for scheduling applications based on
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a coarse-grained model of the jobs involved. In [4], by using a reduction to the feasibility of a linear system, we proved that the problem asking whether there exists in a colored graph a balanced or a bounded path is solvable in polynomial time.

A natural extension along this line of research is the introduction of a second decision agent in the system, thus switching from graphs to games. Games are widely used in computer science as models to describe the interaction between a system and its environment [10, 15, 17, 18]. Usually the system is a component that is under the control of its designer and the environment represents all the components the designer has no direct control of. In this context, a game allows the designer to easily check whether the system has the possibility to force some desired behavior (or to avoid an undesired one) independently of the choices of the other external components. A game comprises a graph that models the interaction between the entities involved, commonly called players. In this graph, a node represents a state of the interaction, and an edge represents a progress in the interaction. We consider games where each state is associated to only one player, and this player is the only one to have the possibility to choose the progress toward a next state. A sequence of edges of the graph represents a run of the system. Each player wants to force some runs with a desired property, and it is said that he can win the game if he can force a run with that property independently of the choices of the other player. In this context, a strategy for a player is a predetermined decision that the player makes on all possible finite paths ending with a node associated to that player.

In this paper, we address and study two-player colored games, i.e., games where the underlying graph is a colored graph and the game is played between two players, which we refer to as player 0 and player 1. In particular, we focus on the goal for player 0 to construct a balanced or a bounded path. We believe that this game model can be useful in several formal verification contexts. Coming back to the scheduling application, it can be useful in the case the scheduler may want to allow a certain degree of freedom on the choices of lengthy jobs that have to be executed by some components. More specifically, assume that due to a design issue, the main scheduler can decide which macro-operation has to be executed and then, some other schedulers can take decisions regarding some sub-operations of the selected macro-operation. In this context, our game model allows to check if the main scheduler has the ability to force a balanced or a bounded progress of the activities, independently of the sub-choices of the other schedulers. As a specific example, consider the problem of synthesizing a fair scheduler for a given set of concurrent jobs with shared resources [2]. Assume that the jobs are known as data-abstract control-flow graphs. Then, the resulting problem can be modeled as a two-player game between the scheduler and the internal non-determinism of each job. The scheduler (player 0) tries to choose a correct sequence of jobs satisfying one of the two criteria discussed above, regardless of the non-deterministic choices made by the jobs (i.e., the moves of player 1). Our main result shows that, in a game where the goal of player 0 is the construction of a balanced or bounded path, the problem of asking whether this player can always force such a path is Co-NP-complete. For the lower bound, we use a reduction from the validity problem for boolean formulas. For the upper bound, we first show that, in our game setting, if player 1 has a winning strategy, then he has a memoryless winning strategy. Using this property, we decide whether there exists a winning strategy for player 0 by simply checking whether all memoryless strategies for player 1 are non-winning. For a memoryless strategy of player 1, we prune the game graph in accordance with the strategy and check whether, on the resulting subgraph, there exists a path satisfying the desired goal. Such a path does not exist if and only if the strategy is winning for player 1. In the end, by guessing which memoryless strategy for player 1 is winning, we obtain a Co-NP algorithm that determines whether or not there exists a winning strategy for player 0.

Sometimes, systems require that some jobs are executed more often than others. In such a situation, it is useful to associate to each job a “priority” representing how often that job should be executed compared
to the others. Priority scheduling is a problem widely studied in computer science [13], usually with the objective of minimizing the execution time of a given computation. In general, a priority scheduling problem is NP-hard [13] and becomes solvable in polynomial-time if there are some restrictions on the nature of the system [6]. In this paper, we address and solve a new scheduling problem for a system characterized by a finite number of states and infinite computation. As before, the system is modeled by a colored graph, where each color is associated with a given job. We are interested in an execution of the system that spends a determined amount of time on each job. In our framework, the problem translates in looking for a path where each color occurs with some fixed asymptotic frequency. We call such a path a frequency path. We investigate this problem both in the (two-player) game and non-game setting. In the game setting, the problem precisely consists of checking whether player 0 can always force the construction of a frequency path (frequency goal). By using an argument similar to that used for games with balanced and bounded goals, we show that also games with frequency goals are Co-NP-complete. In the non-game setting, by using a reduction to the feasibility of a linear system, we show that the problem is much easier and solvable in polynomial-time.

Overview. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some preliminary notation. In Section 3 we introduce colored games with balanced, bounded, and frequency goals and show that in all goal cases, the problem of deciding whether player 0 has a winning strategy starting from a given node of the graph is Co-NP-complete. In Section 4 we consider the (non-game) problem with respect to frequency goals and show that it is decidable in polynomial-time. Finally, we provide some concluding remarks in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a set and $i$ be a positive integer, by $X^i$ we denote the cartesian product of $X$ with itself $i$ times and by $X^*$ (resp., $X^\omega$) the set of finite (resp., infinite) sequences of elements of $X$. By $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{R}$, we respectively denote the set of non-negative integers, relative integers, rational, and real numbers.

For a positive integer $k$, let $[k] = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. A $k$-colored arena is a tuple $A = (V_0, V_1, v_{\text{ini}}, E)$, where $V_0$ and $V_1$ are a partition of a finite set $V$ of nodes, $v_{\text{ini}}$ is the initial node, and $E \subseteq V \times [k] \times V$ is a set of colored edges such that for each node $v \in V$ there is at least one edge exiting from $v$. An edge $(u, a, v)$ is said to be colored with $a$. In the following, we also simply call a $k$-colored arena an arena, when $k$ is clear from the context. For a node $v \in V$, we call $\delta v, E = \{(v, a, w) \in E\}$ the set of edges exiting from $v$, and $\delta v = \{(w, a, v) \in E\}$ the set of edges entering $v$. For a color $a \in [k]$, we call $E(a) = \{(v, a, w) \in E\}$ the set of edges colored with $a$.

A finite path $\rho$ is a finite sequence of edges $\{(v_i, a_i, v_{i+1})\}_{i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}}$, and its length $|\rho|$ is the number of the edges it contains. We denote by $\rho(i)$ the $i$-th edge of $\rho$. Sometimes, we write the path $\rho$ as $v_0v_1 \ldots v_n$, when the colors are unimportant. An infinite path is defined analogously. For a finite or infinite path $\rho$ and an integer $i$, we denote by $\rho_{\leq i}$ the prefix of $\rho$ containing $i$ edges. The color sequence of a finite (resp. infinite) path $\rho = \{(v_i, c_i, v_{i+1})\}_{i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}}$ (resp. $\rho = \{(v_i, c_i, v_{i+1})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$) on the arena $A$ is the sequence $\{c_i\}_{i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}}$ (resp. $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$) of the colors of the edges of $\rho$. When the meaning is clear from the context, we identify a path and its color sequence. For all color sequences $x \in [k]^*$ and for all colors $a, b \in [k]$, we denote by $|x|_a$ the number of edges colored with $a$ in $x$, and we set $\text{diff}_{a,b}(x) = |x|_a - |x|_b$. The color difference matrix of $x$, denoted $\text{diff}(x)$, is the $k \times k$ matrix whose generic element is $\text{diff}(x)_{a,b} = \text{diff}_{a,b}(x)$.

A $k$-colored game is a pair $G = (A, W)$, where $A = (V_0, V_1, v_{\text{ini}}, E)$ is a $k$-colored arena and $W \subseteq [k]^\omega$.
is a set of color sequences called \textit{goal}. We assume that the game is played by two players, referred to as player 0 and player 1. The players construct a path starting at \( v_{\text{ini}} \) on the arena \( A \), such a path is called \textit{play}. Once the partial play reaches a node \( v \in V_0 \), player 0 chooses an edge exiting from \( v \) and extends the play with this edge; once the partial play reaches a node \( v \in V_1 \), player 1 makes a similar choice. Player 0’s aim is to make the play have color sequence in \( W \), while player 1’s aim is the opposite. For \( h \in \{0, 1\} \), let \( E_h = \{ (v, e, w) \in E \mid w \in V_h \} \) be the set of edges ending into nodes of player \( h \). Let \( \epsilon \) be the empty word, a \textit{strategy} for player \( h \) is a function \( \sigma_h : \epsilon \cup (E^*E_h) \to E \) such that, if \( \sigma_h(e_0 \ldots e_n) = e_{n+1} \), then the destination of \( e_n \) is the source of \( e_{n+1} \), and if \( \sigma_h(\epsilon) = e \), then the source of \( e \) is \( v_{\text{ini}} \). Intuitively, \( \sigma_h \) fixes the choices of player \( h \) for the entire game, based on the previous choices of both players. The value \( \sigma_h(\epsilon) \) is used to choose the first edge in the game. A strategy \( \sigma_h \) is \textit{memoryless} iff its choices depend only on the last node of the play, i.e., for all plays \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \) with the same last node, it holds that \( \sigma_h(\rho) = \sigma_h(\rho') \). An infinite play \( \{ e_i \}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in E^{\omega} \) is \textit{consistent} with a strategy \( \sigma_h \) iff (i) if \( v_{\text{ini}} \in V_h \) then \( e_0 = \sigma_h(\epsilon) \), and (ii) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \), if \( e_i \in E_h \) then \( e_{i+1} = \sigma_h(e_0 \ldots e_i) \). Note that, given two strategies, \( \sigma \) for player 0 and \( \tau \) for player 1, there exists only one play consistent with both of them. We call such a play \( P_G(\sigma, \tau) \). A strategy for player \( h \) is said \textit{winning} iff all plays consistent with that strategy are winning for player \( h \). A game is said \textit{determined} iff one of the two players has a winning strategy.

Now we recall some definitions and results developed in [9]. A goal \( W \subseteq [k]^\omega \) is said to be \textit{prefix independent} iff for all color sequences \( x \in [k]^\omega \), and for all \( z \in [k]^* \), we have \( x \in W \) iff \( zx \in W \). For two color sequences \( x, y \in [k]^\omega \), the \textit{shuffle} of \( x \) and \( y \), denoted by \( x \otimes y \) is the language of all the words \( z_1z_2z_3 \ldots \in [k]^\omega \), such that \( z_1z_3 \ldots z_{2h+1} \ldots = x \) and \( z_2z_4 \ldots z_{2h} \ldots = y \), where \( z_i \in [k]^* \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). A goal \( W \) is said to be \textit{convex} iff it is closed w.r.t. the shuffle operation, i.e., for all words \( x, y \in W \) and \( x \otimes y \subseteq W \).

**Theorem 1** [9]  Let \( G = (A, W) \) be a \( k \)-colored game such that \( W \) is prefix-independent and convex. Then, the game is determined. Moreover, if player 1 has a winning strategy, he has a memoryless winning strategy.

## 3 Colored Games with Frequency Goals

Let \( \rho \) be an infinite path, the \textit{frequency} of a color \( a \in [k] \) on \( \rho \) is the limit \( f_a = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{|\rho^{=a}_n|}{n} \). If such a frequency exists for all colors, then the \textit{color frequency vector} of \( \rho \) is \( (f_1, \ldots, f_k) \). It is trivial to prove that \( \sum_{a=1}^{k} f_a = 1 \). An infinite path \( \rho \) is \textit{balanced} iff the frequency of each color \( a \in [k] \) on \( \rho \) is \( f_a = \frac{1}{k} \); \( \rho \) has the \textit{bounded difference property} (in short, is \textit{bounded}) iff there exists a constant \( C \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all colors \( a, b \in [k] \) and for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \text{diff}_{a,b}(\rho^{=a}_n) \leq C \).

In the following, we study \( k \)-colored games having one of the following goals.

1. The \textit{bounded} goal \( W_{bn} \), containing all and only the bounded color sequences.
2. The \textit{balance} goal \( W_{bl} \), containing all and only the balanced color sequences.
3. Let \( f \in \mathbb{R}^k \) be such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i = 1 \). The \textit{frequency-} \( f \) goal \( W_f \), containing all and only the color sequences with color frequency vector \( f \).

It is trivial to prove that the bounded, balanced, and frequency- \( f \) goals are prefix-independent, i.e., they do not depend on any finite prefix of a sequence. The following lemma states two basic properties of the above goals.

**Lemma 1** [4]  The following properties hold:

1. if a path has the bounded difference property, then it is balanced;
2. A path $\rho$ is balanced if and only if for all $a \in [k-1]$, it holds $\lim_{i \to +\infty} \text{diff}_a(\rho^i) = 0$.

The following example shows that the converse of item 1 of Lemma 1 does not hold.

**Example 1** [4] For all $i > 0$, let $\sigma_i = (1 \cdot 2)^i \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3)^i \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$. Consider the infinite sequence $\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \sigma_i$ obtained by a hypothetic 3-colored arena. On one hand, it is easy to see that for all $i > 0$ it holds $\text{diff}_3,1(\sigma_i) = 1$. Therefore, $\text{diff}_3,1(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 ... \sigma_n) = n$, and $\sigma$ is not a bounded difference path.

On the other hand, since the length of the first $n$ blocks is $\Theta(n^2)$ and the difference between any two colors is $\Theta(n)$, in any prefix $\sigma^le_i$ the difference between any two colors is in $O(\sqrt{i})$. According to item 2 of Lemma 1, $\sigma$ is balanced.

### 3.1 A Scheduling Example

Consider two jobs in a concurrent program, both having the structure shown in Figure 1. Notice that the jobs exhibit nondeterministic behavior, due to the unknown (i.e., not explicitly modeled) branching condition on line 1.

![Figure 1: A job in a concurrent program.](image)

Assume we want to synthesize a scheduler that ensures that the “action” function is called with the same asymptotic frequency by the two jobs. The scheduler can decide not to give the lock to a job, but cannot pre-empt them. To this aim, we can produce a game as in Figure 2 where nodes represent joint configurations of the two jobs. The only node of player 0 is represented by a circle, while the nodes of player 1 are represented by boxes. Since we are only interested in counting the calls to the action function, we only color the edges representing such call. Clearly, uncolored edges can be represented in our framework by a sequence of two edges, each labeled by a different color. The internal nondeterministic of the jobs is modeled by a move of player 1. The only choice for player 0 (the scheduler) occurs in node 0, where both jobs are waiting on the lock operation, and the scheduler can choose whom to give the lock to.

It is easy to verify that the scheduler has a strategy enforcing the bounded difference property (hence, the balance property as well): When the game is in 0,0, give the lock to the job that executed the action function less times so far. According to this scheduling policy, the difference between the number of 0’s and the number of 1’s along a play will always be at most 2, regardless of the choices made by the internal nondeterminism of the jobs. Notice that this strategy requires memory. Using a similar strategy, player 0 can also win w.r.t. the frequency-$f$ goal, for all (rational) frequency vectors $f$. 

![Figure 2: The non-preemptive scheduling game corresponding to two jobs of the type in Figure 1.](image)
3.2 Co-NP Membership

In this section, we prove that the problem of deciding whether there exists a winning strategy for player 0 in the games addressed in the previous section is in Co-NP.

**Lemma 2** $W_{bb}, W_{bd},$ and $W_f$ are convex.

**Proof.** Let $y, z \in [k]^n$ and $x \in y \otimes z$. We prove that if $y$ and $z$ are both balanced (resp., bounded, or frequency-$f$), then so is $x$. We have that $x = x_1 \ldots x_i \ldots$ where $y = x_1 x_3 \ldots x_{2k+1} \ldots$ and $z = x_2 x_4 \ldots x_{2k} \ldots$. Also, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are two indexes $n_y, n_z$ such that $n = n_y + n_z$ and $\text{diff}_{a,b}(x^{\leq n}) = \text{diff}_{a,b}(y^{\leq n}) + \text{diff}_{a,b}(z^{\leq n})$, for all $a, b \in [k]$. We distinguish the following cases.

1. *(balanced)* Since $y$ and $z$ have frequency $f$, we have that, for all $a \in [k]$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $h(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $n > h(\varepsilon)$, it holds that $|\frac{|y^{\leq n}|_a}{n} - f_a| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\frac{|z^{\leq n}|_a}{n} - f_a| \leq \varepsilon$. Hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $n > h(\varepsilon/2)$ and $n_z \geq h(\varepsilon/2)$. Such $n$ exists, due to the definition of the shuffle operation. For all $n' > n$ we have that:

   \[
   \frac{|x^{\leq n'}|_a - f_a}{n'} \leq \frac{|y^{\leq n'}|_a + |z^{\leq n'}|_a - (n'_y + n'_z)f_a}{n'_y + n'_z} \\
   \leq \frac{|y^{\leq n'}|_a - n'_y \cdot f_a}{n'_y + n'_z} + \frac{|z^{\leq n'}|_a - n'_z \cdot f_a}{n'_y + n'_z} \\
   \leq \frac{|y^{\leq n}|_a}{n'_y} - f_a + \frac{|z^{\leq n}|_a}{n'_z} - f_a \leq \varepsilon.
   \]

So, the color sequence $x$ has frequency vector $f$.

2. *(frequency-$f$)* Given that $y$ and $z$ have frequency $f$, we have that, for all $a \in [k]$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $h(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $n > h(\varepsilon)$, it holds that $|\frac{|y^{\leq n}|_a}{n} - f_a| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\frac{|z^{\leq n}|_a}{n} - f_a| \leq \varepsilon$. Hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $n > h(\varepsilon/2)$ and $n_z \geq h(\varepsilon/2)$. Such $n$ exists, due to the definition of the shuffle operation. For all $n' > n$ we have that:

   \[
   \frac{|x^{\leq n'}|_a - f_a}{n'} \leq \frac{|y^{\leq n'}|_a + |z^{\leq n'}|_a - (n'_y + n'_z)f_a}{n'_y + n'_z} \\
   \leq \frac{|y^{\leq n'}|_a - n'_y \cdot f_a}{n'_y + n'_z} + \frac{|z^{\leq n'}|_a - n'_z \cdot f_a}{n'_y + n'_z} \\
   \leq \frac{|y^{\leq n}|_a}{n'_y} - f_a + \frac{|z^{\leq n}|_a}{n'_z} - f_a \leq \varepsilon.
   \]

So, the color sequence $x$ has frequency vector $f$.

3. *(balanced)* Since the balance property is equivalent to the frequency-$f$ property with $f_a$ equal to $1/k$ for all colors $a \in [k]$, the thesis holds. ■

Now, we can apply Theorem I to our goals and obtain the following.

**Corollary 1** Let $G$ be a $k$-colored game with balance, bounded, or frequency-$f$ goal. Then, the game is determined. Moreover if player 1 has a winning strategy, he has a memoryless winning strategy.

The fact that memoryless strategies suffice for player 1 easily leads to the following result.

**Lemma 3** Given a $k$-colored game with balanced, bounded, or frequency-$f$ goal, the problem asking whether there exists a winning strategy for player 1 is in NP, the problem asking whether there exists a winning strategy for player 0 is in Co-NP.

**Proof.** By Corollary I if player 1 has a winning strategy, he has a memoryless one. The number of memoryless strategies is finite and each one of them can be represented in polynomial space in the size of the problem. So, in polynomial time we can guess a memoryless strategy $\tau$, and verify that it is a winning strategy, using the following algorithm. We construct the subarena $A'$, obtained from $A$ by removing all the edges of player 1 that are not used by $\tau$. We have that $\tau$ is a winning strategy for player
1 in A iff all the plays on A' are winning for player 1. Thus, player 0 is able to construct a balanced (resp. bounded, frequency-f) path iff there exists a balanced (resp. bounded, frequency-f) path in the graph of A' and this path is reachable from v_{ini}. So, we construct the subgraph A'' of A', obtained by removing all the nodes that are not reachable from v_{ini}. In order to check if there exists a balanced (resp. bounded) path reachable from v_{ini}, it is sufficient to apply the known polynomial-time algorithm [4]. For the frequency-f goal, a suitable polynomial-time algorithm is presented in Section 4.

This concludes the proof that the problem of asking whether there exists a winning strategy for player 1 is in NP. Hence, the complementary problem asking whether there exists a winning strategy for player 0 is in Co-NP. \( \square \)

### 3.3 Co-NP Hardness

**Lemma 4** Given a boolean formula \( \psi \) in conjunctive normal form, there exists a k-colored arena A such that the following are equivalent (i) \( \psi \) is a tautology, (ii) there exists a winning strategy for player 0 in the game \( G = (A,W_0) \), and (iii) there exists a winning strategy for player 0 in the game \( G = (A,W_{in}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( n \) be the number of clauses of \( \psi \) and \( m \) be the number of its variables, then we can write \( \psi = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \psi_i \), where each \( \psi_i \) is a disjunction of literals. In the following we define \( \psi(x) \) as the set of all clauses in which \( x \) appears in positive form, and \( \psi(\overline{x}) \) as the set of all clauses in which \( x \) appears negated.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 3: The \( j \)-th subgraph \( A_j \) of \( A \). The dotted edges from \( v_{ji} \) to \( v_{ji+1} \) is present iff \( \psi_i \in \psi(x_j) \), and analogously for the lower branch.

We construct the following \((n+1)\)-colored arena \( A = (V_0,V_1,v_{ini},E) \), where the set of colors corresponds to the set of clauses of \( \psi \) with the added control color \( n+1 \). The description of the arena \( A \) makes use of uncoded edges, i.e., edges not labeled by any color. Clearly, such an edge can be represented in our framework by a sequence of \( n+1 \) edges, each labeled by a different color. The arena \( A \) is composed by \( m \) subarenas \( A_j \), one for each variable \( x_j \). Every subarena \( A_j \) has a starting node \( v_j \), an ending node \( v'_j \) and two sequences of nodes: \( \{v_{ji}\}_{i=1}^n \) \{\( \overline{v}_{ji} \)\}_{i=1}^n \) where every node is associated with a clause. There is an uncolored edge from \( v_j \) to \( v_{ji,1} \) and from \( v_j \) to \( \overline{v}_{ji,1} \). Moreover, if we define \( v_{ji,n+1} = \overline{v}_{ji,n+1} = v'_j \), we have that for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), (i) there is an uncolored edge from \( v_{ji} \) to \( v_{ji+1} \) and from \( \overline{v}_{ji} \) to \( \overline{v}_{ji+1} \), (ii) if \( \psi_i \in \psi(x) \) then there is an \( i \)-colored edge from \( v_{ji} \) to \( v_{ji+1} \), and (iii) if \( \psi_i \in \psi(\overline{x}) \) then there is an \( i \)-colored edge from \( \overline{v}_{ji} \) to \( \overline{v}_{ji+1} \). We call the sequence \( \{v_{ji}\}_{i} \) the upper branch of \( A_j \) and the sequence \( \{\overline{v}_{ji}\}_{i} \) the lower branch of \( A_j \). The arena \( A \) is constructed by connecting the subarenas \( A_j \) as follows: for all \( 1 \leq j \leq m-1 \) there is an uncolored edge from \( v'_j \) to \( v_{j+1} \) and an \( n+1 \)-colored edge from \( v'_{m} \) to \( v_1 \).

The construction of \( A \) is concluded by partitioning the set of nodes as follows: \( V_1 = \{v_1,\ldots,v_m\} \) and \( V_0 = V - V_1 \). Intuitively, every subarena \( A_j \) represents a truth choice for the variable \( x_j \). This choice is made by player 1 with the aim to skip the passage through some clauses. On the other hand, as soon
as there is the chance, player 0 tries to pass through each clause once during a single loop, in order to
balance the clauses’ colors with the control color \( n + 1 \). Let \( G = (A, W_{bl}) \) and \( G' = (A, W_{bm}) \), we now show the correctness of the above construction. In the following, we write \( \tilde{v}_{j,i} \) to mean either \( v_{j,i} \) or \( \overline{v}_{j,i} \).

(If) If \( \psi \) is a tautology, then the winning strategy for player 0 in both games \( G \) and \( G' \) may be summarized as follows: as soon as there is a chance, pass through an edge of color \( \psi_i \); then, do not pass through such an edge again, until we pass again through \( v_1 \). Formally, the strategy of player 0 is the following: each time the play is in a node \( \tilde{v}_{j,i} \), player 0 chooses to reach \( \tilde{v}_{j,i+1} \) through the \( \psi_i \)-colored edge iff \( \psi_i \) does not appear in the least suffix of the partial play starting with \( v_1 \). We observe that during a single loop from \( v_1 \) to itself, a strategy of player 1 is a truth-assignment to the variables of \( \psi \): precisely for every subarena \( A_j \), player 1 chooses to follow the upper branch iff \( x_j \) is true. Since \( \psi \) is a tautology, any such assignment is a satisfiable assignment, i.e., given such an assignment \( a : \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \rightarrow \{T, F\} \), for each clause \( \psi_i \), there exists a variable \( x \) such that \( \psi_i \) is true also due to the value \( a(x) \). This means that player 0 can pass through a \( \psi_i \)-colored edge at least once during a single loop, and thanks to his strategy, he will pass through such an edge exactly once. Thus, during each loop, the uncolored edges are already perfectly balanced, and the edges added by player 0 are balanced thanks to the last \( n + 1 \)-colored edge. Thus, during the infinite play, the color differences are always zero when the play is in node \( v_1 \). Since the loops from \( v_1 \) to itself have bounded length, the color differences are bounded during the play. Thus every infinite play consistent with the strategy is bounded and it is balanced too, because in [4] we proved that a bounded path is balanced too.

(Only If). If \( \psi \) is not a tautology, then there is a memoryless winning strategy for player 1 on \( G \) and on \( G' \): player 1 follows a truth assignment of the variables of \( \psi \) that does not satisfy \( \psi \). For such an assignment there is an unsatisfiable clause \( \psi_i \). So, during a loop from \( v_1 \) to itself, if player 1 follows this strategy, player 0 cannot pass through any \( \psi_i \)-colored edge. Thus, at the end of the loop the color difference between color \( \psi_i \) and color \( n + 1 \) is increased by one. Every play \( \rho = \psi \) is an infinite concatenation of simple loops from \( v_1 \) to itself. Since those loops have maximum length \( l \leq |E| \), for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( \text{diff}_{i,n+1}(\rho^{\leq j}) \geq \frac{i}{4} \), and thus \( \lim_{j \to +\infty} \frac{\text{diff}_{i,n+1}(\rho^{\leq j})}{j} \geq \frac{1}{4} \). This means that every play consistent with said strategy of player 1 is not balanced, and hence not bounded.

**Theorem 2**  Given a \( k \)-colored game \( G \) with balanced (resp., bounded, frequency-\( f \)) goal, the problem asking whether there exists a winning strategy for player 0 is Co-NP-complete.

**Proof.** By Lemma[3] and Lemma[4] we have that the problems for the balance and the bounded goal are Co-NP-complete. Since the bounded goal is a special case of frequency-\( f \) goal (for \( f_j = 1/k \)), we have that the frequency-\( f \) problem is Co-NP-hard too. Since by Lemma[3] the problem for frequency-\( f \) is in Co-NP, it is Co-NP-complete.

This Co-NP-completeness result may be regarded as essentially negative. In fact, the algorithm showing membership in NP, once converted into a deterministic form, simply suggests to try each one of the (exponentially many) memoryless strategies of player 1 in the game, and solve a linear program to determine whether it is winning. It remains to investigate the possibility of practically efficient algorithms, arising, for instance, from the analysis of the specific properties of the games of interest.

**4 The Frequency-\( f \) Problem on Graphs**

In this section, we show that if player 0 controls all nodes in a frequency-\( f \) game, the existence of a winning strategy can be determined in polynomial time, by reducing the problem to the feasibility of a linear system of equations. In the following, a \( k \)-colored graph is an arena whose nodes belong all to
player 0. We employ an alternative, essentially equivalent formulation of the frequency-$f$ goal, called color-limit-$L$ goal. We define the color limit of an infinite path $p$ as the matrix $\{l_{i,j}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, where $l_{i,j} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{diff}_i(f|n)}{n}$.

**Lemma 5** An infinite path $p$ has color limit $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ iff its color frequency vector $f$ exists and it is the unique solution of the following system of $k^2 + 1$ linear equations: for all $i, j \in [k]$, $f_i - f_j = l_{i,j}$; $\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i = 1$.

**Proof.** First, observe that the system of linear equations $f_i - f_j = l_{i,j}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i = 1$ contains $k$ independent rows in the coefficient matrix, i.e., the rows associated with the equations $f_1 - f_k = l_{1,k}$, $\ldots$, $f_{k-1} - f_k = l_{k-1,k}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i = 1$. So, the system may have only one solution or no solutions at all.

[only if] If $p$ has color frequency vector $f \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$, then for all $i \in [k]$, it holds that $f_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p|n}{n}$. So, for all $i, j \in [k]$, it holds that $l_{i,j} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p|n}{n}$.

[iif] If $p$ has color limit $L$ then, for all $i, j \in [k]$, it holds that $l_{i,j} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{diff}_i(f|n)}{n}$. We show that (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p|n}{n} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=|a|}^{k} l_{i,j}}{k}$; and (ii) for all $a \in [k-1]$, the sequence $\{\frac{|p|n}{n}\} = a$ converges to $l_{i,a,k}$. Since we consider two subsequences $\{n_{m}\}$, given by all the points such that $\frac{|p|n_{m}}{n_{m}} > l + \epsilon$ and $\{n_{m'}\}$, given by all the points such that $\frac{|p|n_{m'}}{n_{m'}} < l - \epsilon$. At least one of the two subsequences is infinite. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\{n_{m}\}$ is infinite. Then, $\sum_{d=1}^{k} l_{a,k} = \sum_{a=1}^{k} (\frac{|p|n_{m}}{n_{m}} - l) > \sum_{a=1}^{k} (\frac{|p|n_{m}}{n_{m}} - l) + \epsilon$. In other words, $\sum_{a=1}^{k} (\frac{|p|n_{m}}{n_{m}} - l) < \sum_{a=1}^{k} l_{a,k} - \epsilon$. So, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a color $a \in [k-1]$ such that $\frac{|p|n_{m}}{n_{m}} - l \leq l_{a,k} - \epsilon$. Then, there is a color $a \in [k-1]$ and a subsequence $\{n_{m'}\}$ of $\{n_{m}\}$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\frac{|p|n_{m'}|a|}{n_{m'}} < l_{a,k} + l - \frac{\epsilon}{k-1}$. Moreover, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\frac{\text{diff}_{a,k}(p|n_{m'})}{n_{m'}} = \frac{|p|n_{m'}}{n_{m'}} - |p|n_{m'}|a| < (l_{a,k} + l - \frac{\epsilon}{k-1}) + \epsilon$. Therefore, the sequence $\{\text{diff}_{a,k}(p|n_{m'})\}$ does not converge to $l_{a,k}$, so does not the sequence $\{\text{diff}_{a,k}(p|n_{m'})\}$, since the first is a subsequence of the latter. So, by contradiction, we have $\text{diff}_{a,k}(p|n_{m'}) = 0$.

Now, we show (ii). Assume by contradiction that $\{\frac{|p|n}{n}\} = a$ does not converge to $l + l_{a,k}$, for a certain $a \in [k-1]$. Then, we have

\[ \exists \epsilon > 0. \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \exists m \geq m. \left( \frac{|p|n_{m}|a|}{n_{m}} > l + l_{a,k} + \epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{|p|n_{m}|a|}{n_{m}} < l + l_{a,k} - \epsilon \right). \]  

(1)

Let $\epsilon$ be a witness for (1). By (i), there is $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq \bar{n}$, we have $l - \epsilon/2 < \frac{|p|n}{n} < l + \epsilon/2$. So, for all $m \geq \bar{n}$, there is $n_{m} \geq m$ such that either (a) $\frac{|p|n_{m}|a|}{n_{m}} > l + l_{a,k} + \epsilon$ or (b) $\frac{|p|n_{m}|a|}{n_{m}} < l + l_{a,k} - \epsilon$, depending on which disjunction in (1) holds. Assuming that (a) occurs for infinitely many $n_{m}$, for all $m \geq \bar{n}$ there is $n_{m} \geq m$ such that

\[
\frac{\text{diff}_{a,k}(p|n_{m})}{n_{m}} = \frac{|p|n_{m}|a|}{n_{m}} - \frac{|p|n_{m}}{n_{m}} > l + l_{a,k} + \epsilon - \left( l + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) = l_{a,k} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
\]

Thus, we have that $\{\text{diff}_{a,k}(p|n_{m})/n\}$ does not converge to $l_{a,k}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, the frequency-$f$ problem is equivalent to the problem asking whether there exists a path $p$ with color limit $L$, where $l_{i,j} = f_i - f_j$, for all $i, j \in [k]$. 


We reduce the color-limit-$L$ problem to the feasibility of a system of linear equations, using a technique similar to the one we used to solve the balance problem on graphs [4]. Due to their technicality, the proofs are postponed to Section 4.1.

**Definition 1** Let $A$ be a $k$-colored graph, and $L \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ a square matrix. We call color-limit-$L$ system for $A$ the following system of equations on the set of variables $\{x_e \mid e \in E\}$.

1. for all $v \in V$  \[ \sum_{e \in E_v} x_e = \sum_{e \in E_v} x_e \]
2. for all $a, b \in [k]$  \[ \sum_{e \in E(a)} x_e - \sum_{e \in E(b)} x_e = l_{a,b} \sum_{e \in E} x_e \]
3. for all $e \in E$  \[ x_e \geq 0 \]
4.  \[ \sum_{e \in E} x_e > 0 \]

Let $m = |E|$ and $n = |V|$, the color-limit-$L$ system has $m$ variables and $m + n + k^2 + 1$ constraints. It helps to think of each variable $x_e$ as a load associated to the edge $e \in E$, and of each constraint as having the following meaning.

1. For each node, the entering load is equal to the exiting load.
2. For all colors $a, b \in [k]$, the difference between the loads on the edges colored by $a$ and by $b$ is equal to $l_{a,b}$ times the whole load.
3. Every load is non-negative.
4. The total load is positive.

The following lemma states the reduction from the color limit-$L$ problem to the feasibility of the system.

**Lemma 6** In a graph $A$, there exists an infinite path with color limit $L$ iff the color-limit-$L$ system for $A$ is feasible.

Since the feasibility problem for a system of linear equations is solvable in polynomial time in the size of the system (number of constraints and size of the coefficients) [16], we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3** The color-limit-$L$ problem is in PTIME.

As we show later in Lemmas 10 and 11, it is possible to construct in polynomial time, from a solution of the linear system, a representation of a path in the graph satisfying the frequency-$f$ constraint.

### 4.1 Proof of Lemma 6

We first need some additional notations and preliminary lemmas. Let $A = \{(A_1,w_1), \ldots, (A_m,w_m)\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d \times d \times \mathbb{N}}$ be a finite set of $m$ pairs (integer matrix, respective weight), we call natural linear combination (in short, n.l.c.) of the elements of $A$ any matrix $D = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i A_i$, where each $c_i$ is a non-negative integer, and at least one $c_i$ is strictly positive. Moreover, we define the weight of $D$ as $n_D = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i w_i$ and the ratio of $D$ as $D/n_D$.

Intuitively, we introduce this machinery to express properties of sets of simple loops in a colored graph. Each simple loop $\rho$ in the set induces a (matrix, weight) pair, where the $(i,j)$ element of the matrix contains the difference between the occurrences of color $i$ and color $j$ in $\rho$, and the weight is the length of $\rho$. Given a set of loops, the integer coefficients of an n.l.c. $D$ represent the number of times that each of the loops must be taken in order to build some path of interest. The weight of $D$ is simply the total length of the obtained path and the ratio of $D$ is its color difference matrix, divided by the length.
of the path. Accordingly, we say that a matrix is an n.l.c. of a set of loops $L$ when it is an n.l.c. of the set $A = \{ (\text{diff}(\sigma), |\sigma|) | \sigma \in L \}$. In the following, by $M^T$ we denote the transpose of the matrix $M$ and by $M_{i,j}$ we denote the element of $M$ at its $i$-th row and $j$-th column. We say that a set of loops is connected if the loops belong to the same strongly connected component, or, equivalently, if they are pairwise mutually reachable.

**Lemma 7** Let $L \in \mathbb{Q}^{d \times d}$, and $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d \times d} \times \mathbb{N}$ be a finite set such that no n.l.c. of $A$ has ratio $L$. Let $\{ (B_n, u_n) \}_{n}$ be an infinite sequence of elements of $A$, $S_n = \sum_{t=0}^{n} B_t$ be the partial sum, and $U_n = \sum_{t=0}^{n} u_t$ be the partial sum of the weights. Then, there exist two indexes $i, j \in [d]$ such that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{S_{n+i,j}}{U_n} \neq L_{i,j}$.

**Proof.** Let $A = \{ (A_1, w_1), \ldots, (A_m, w_m) \}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ be the function $f(c_1, \ldots, c_m) = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k A_{i,k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k w_k} - L_{i,j} \right\}$. First, note that $f$ is a continuous function, since it is the maximum of continuous functions. Let now $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be the set $\{ (c_1, \ldots, c_m) \in [0, 1]^m | \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i = 1 \}$. Note that $0 \notin K$ and that $K$ is compact, since it is a finite dimensional space defined by a linear equation. Hence, by Weierstrass theorem, $f$ admits a minimum $M = \min_{x \in K} \{ f(x) \}$ on $K$. Since, by hypothesis, there is no n.l.c. of $A$ with ratio $L$, $M$ must be strictly positive. Indeed, if by contradiction $M = 0$, there should be a non-zero vector $(c_1, \ldots, c_m) \in K$ such that for all $i, j \in [d]$,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m} c_n A_{i,n} - L_{i,j} \sum_{n=1}^{m} c_n w_n = M = 0.
$$

Since (2) is a homogeneous linear equation with rational coefficients and since it has a non-negative solution, it also has a non-negative integer solution with at least one positive component. This solution induces a n.l.c. of $A$ with ratio $L$, contradicting the hypothesis on $A$.

Now, consider the sequence $\{ (B_n, u_n) \}_{n}$, its partial sums $S_n = \sum_{t=0}^{n} B_t$, and its weight partial sum $U_n = \sum_{t=0}^{n} u_t$. Moreover, let $\delta_{i,n}$ be the number of occurrences of $(A_i, w_i)$ in the sequence up to position $n$ and let $c_{i,n} = \delta_{i,n}/n$. Then $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{i,n} \cdot A_i = n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i,n} \cdot A_i$ and $U_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{i,n} \cdot w_i = n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i,n} \cdot w_i$. Since we have $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{i,n} = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is obvious that $(c_{1,n}, \ldots, c_{m,n}) \in K$.

Let now $Z_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be the matrix defined by $Z_{n,i,j} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k A_{i,k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k w_k} - L_{i,j}$. Since there is no n.l.c. of $A$ with ratio $L$, it holds that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a non-zero element in $Z_n$. Let $\{ (i_n, j_n) \}_{n}$ be an index sequence such that $Z_{n,i_n,j_n} = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \{ Z_{n,i,j} \} > 0$. Since the sequence $\{ (i_n, j_n) \}_{n}$ can assume at most $d^2$ different values, there exists a pair $(i^*, j^*)$ that occurs infinitely often in it. Let $\{ h_n \}_{n}$ be the index sequence such that $(i_n, j_n) = (i^*, j^*)$ and there is no $t^* \in [h_n, h_{n+1}]$ with $(i^*, j^*) = (i^*, j^*)$. Then, consider the subsequence $\{ Z_{h_n,i^*, j^*} \}_{n}$ of $\{ Z_{n,i^*, j^*} \}_{n}$. We obtain that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Z_{h_n,i^*, j^*} \geq M > 0$ and consequently that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Z_{h_n,i^*, j^*} \neq 0$, whenever these limits exist. In conclusion, $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k A_{i^*, k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k w_k} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{S_{n+i^*, j^*}}{U_n} \neq L_{i^*, j^*}$.

The next lemma uses the concept of quasi-segmentation. Intuitively, the quasi-segmentation of a path is a partition of the path in a sequence of simple loops and in a residual simple path. For a finite path $\rho$, we define the quasi-segmentation and the rest recursively on the length (i.e. the number of edges) of $\rho$ as follows. The quasi-segmentation is always a finite sequence of loops, and the rest is a simple path ending with the last node of $\rho$. If $\rho$ has length 1 and it is not a loop, then the quasi-segmentation is the empty sequence and the rest is $\rho$ itself. If $\rho$ has length 1 and it is a loop, then the quasi-segmentation is $\rho$ itself and the rest is the last node of $\rho$. If $\rho$ has size $n$, let $\rho^\prime = \rho^{n-1}$, let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ be the quasi-segmentation of $\rho^\prime$ and $r$ be its rest. Consider the path $r^\prime$ obtained by extending $r$ with the last edge of $\rho$ (this can be done because the last node of $r$ is the last node of $\rho^\prime$). If $r^\prime$ does not contain a loop, then the quasi-segmentation of $\rho$ is $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ and the rest is $r^\prime$. If $r^\prime$ contains a loop $\sigma$, this loop is due to the last added edge, i.e.,
Let \( G \) be a \( k \)-colored graph and \( \rho \) be an infinite path in \( G \) with color limit \( L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \), then there exists a connected set of simple loops having an n.l.c. with ratio \( L \).

Proof. Since \( \rho \) is an infinite path over a finite set of nodes, there exists a non-empty set \( V' \) of nodes through which the path passes an infinite number of times. Then, there exists a constant \( m \) such that, for all \( n \geq m \), it holds that \( \rho(n) \in V' \). The path \( \pi \Delta \rho^n \) has color limit \( L \), since the color-limit property is prefix independent. Let \( \{\sigma_i\}_i \) be the quasi-segmentation of \( \pi \) and, for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( h(i) \) be the index in \( \pi \) of the node in which \( \sigma_i \) closes itself. So, each time a simple loop closes at step \( h(n), \pi \Delta \rho^n \) is composed by the \( n+1 \) simple loops \( \sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_n \) closed so far plus the rest \( r_n \). Then, let \( L \) be the set of all simple loops in the graph \( G \), and let \( A = \{ (\text{diff}(\sigma_i), \sigma) \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{L} \} \). For all \( i, j \in [k] \), let \( \text{diff}_{i,j} = \text{diff}_{i,j}(\pi^n) \).

Since \( \pi \) has color limit \( L \), we have \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\text{diff}_{h(n),i,j}}{h(n)} = L_{i,j} \), for all \( i, j \in [k] \). We observe that \( \{ \text{diff}(\sigma_i) \}_i \) is a sequence of elements of \( A \). Let \( S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{diff}(\sigma_i) \) be the partial sum and \( W_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\sigma_i| \) be the partial sum of the lengths. So, for all \( i, j \in [k] \), we have \( \text{diff}_{h(n),i,j} = \text{diff}_{i,j}(r_n) + \sum_{q=1}^{n} \text{diff}_{i,j}(\sigma_q) \).

Since the rest is a simple path, it has length at most \(|V'|\), and we have \( S_{h(n),i,j} + |V'| \leq |V'| \leq \text{diff}_{h(n),i,j} \leq S_{h(n),i,j} + |V'| \). Hence, \( |S_{h(n),i,j}| \leq |V'| \) and \( \text{diff}_{h(n),i,j} \leq |V'| \). Moreover, \( h(n) = |r_n| + \sum_{q=1}^{n} |\sigma_q| \), so \( W_n - |V'| \leq h(n) \leq W_n + |V'| \), and we have \( h(n) - |V'| \leq W_n \). For all \( i, j \in [k] \), since \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\text{diff}_{h(n),i,j}}{h(n)} = L_{i,j} \), then \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\text{diff}_{h(n),i,j}}{h(n) - |V'|} = L_{i,j} \) and \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\text{diff}_{h(n),i,j}}{h(n) + |V'|} = L_{i,j} \). Since for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( h(n) > |V'| \) we have \( \frac{\text{diff}_{h(n),i,j}}{h(n) - |V'|} \leq \frac{\text{diff}_{h(n),i,j}}{h(n) + |V'|} \), we have \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{S_{h(n),i,j}}{W_n} = L_{i,j} \). By Lemma 7, A has an n.l.c. \( D \) with ratio \( L \). Then, the simple loops of \( L \) which occur with a positive coefficient in \( D \) are connected, because they are extracted from the same path \( \pi \), and have an n.l.c. with ratio \( L \). □

In Lemma 10, we show how to construct a path with a given color limit from a connected set of simple loops. The next lemma is needed as an auxiliary result. Informally, it allows us to state that if on the path we find some points, whose distance grows quadratically, while the color differences grow linearly along those points, then the color limit exists and depends on the rate of this growth.

Let \( \{a_n\}_n \) be a sequence of integers, \( c, c', c'', k \in \mathbb{Z} \), and \( \{x_i\}_i \) be an index sequence such that for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) it holds that (i) \( x_i = 1 \), (ii) \( x_{i+1} \geq x_i \), (iii) \( x_{i+2} - x_{i+1} = x_{i+1} - x_i + k \), and (iv) \( c + c' \cdot i + \min\{a_n \mid n \in [x_i, x_{i+1}] \} \leq \min\{a_n \mid n \in [x_i, x_{i+1}] \} \) and \( max\{a_n \mid n \in [x_i, x_{i+1}] \} \leq c'' + c' \cdot i + max\{a_n \mid n \in [x_i, x_{i+1}] \} \).

Proof. Let \( \{b_n\}_n \) and \( \{m_n\}_n \) be two sequences such that \( b_n = a_{m_n} = max\{a_n \mid n \in [x_i, x_{i+1}] \} \), for all \( n \in [x_k, x_{k+1}] \) and \( \in \mathbb{N} \). Obviously \( a_n \leq b_n \). Moreover, let \( \{k_n\}_n \) be a sequence for which it holds that \( n \in [k_n, k_{n+1}] \). Then, by construction we can observe that \( k_1 = 1, b_n = a_{m_n} \), and \( a_{m_n} \leq c + c' \cdot i + a_{m_{n-1}} \leq \ldots \leq (i - 1) \cdot c + c' \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} j + a_{m_0} \), so it holds that \( b_n \leq (k_n - 1) \cdot c + \frac{c' \cdot (k_n^2 - k_n - 1)}{2} + b_1 \). Consider now the fraction \( \frac{b_n}{n} \). Since \( n \in [k_n, k_{n+1}] \), we have \( \frac{b_n}{n} \leq \frac{(k_n - 1) \cdot c + \frac{c' \cdot (k_n^2 - k_n - 1)}{2} + b_1}{k_{n+1} - k_n - 1} \leq \frac{(k_n - 1) \cdot c + \frac{c' \cdot (k_n^2 - k_n - 1)}{2} + b_1}{k_0 + (i - 1)} \). By the hypothesis on the sequence \( \{x_i\}_i \), there is a constant \( k_0 \) such that \( x_i - x_j = (x_2 - x_1) + \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} k = k_0 + k(i - 1) \), so we have \( \frac{b_n}{n} \leq \frac{(k_n - 1) \cdot c + \frac{c' \cdot (k_n^2 - k_n - 1)}{2} + b_1}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (k_{n+1} - k_{n+1} + k(i - 1))} \).
Let \( \{b'_n\}_n \) and \( \{m'_i\}_i \) be two sequences such that \( b'_n = a_{m'_i} = \min \{a_n \mid n \in [x_i, x_{i+1}] \} \), for all \( n \in [x_i, x_{i+1}] \) and \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Dually we can prove that \( \frac{b'_n}{n} \geq \frac{(k-1)c' + \frac{1}{2}c'(k^2 - k_0) + b_1}{1 + k_0 + \frac{1}{2}k(k^2 + k_0 - 1)} \).

So, for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \frac{b'_n}{n} \leq \frac{b'_n}{n} \leq \frac{(k-1)c' + \frac{1}{2}c'(k^2 - k_0) + b_1}{1 + k_0 + \frac{1}{2}k(k^2 + k_0 - 1)} \). Since the extremes converge to \( \frac{c'}{k} \) as \( n \) goes to infinity, we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{b'_n}{n} = \frac{c'}{k} \).

**Lemma 10**  
If a \( k \)-colored graph \( G \) contains a set of connected simple loops having an n.l.c. of ratio \( L \), then there exists in \( G \) an infinite path \( \rho \) with color limit \( L \).

**Proof.** Let \( L = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{h-1}\} \), and denote by \( v_i \) the first node of \( \alpha_i \) in its representation as a cyclic sequence of nodes. For all \( i = 0, \ldots, h-1 \), let \( \pi_i \) (a possibly empty) path that starts in the last node of \( \alpha_i \) and ends in the first node of \( \alpha_{(i+1) \mod h} \). Since \( L \) is connected, it is possible to find such paths.

Let \( A_i \) be the color difference matrix of \( \alpha_i \), and let \( P_i \) be the color difference matrix of \( \pi_i \). Moreover, let \( (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{h-1}) \) be the non-negative integers such that \( \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} c_i/A_i}{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} c_i m_i} = L \). Then, we define the matrix \( Z = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} c_i A_i \). Finally, let \( n_i \) the number of edges in \( \alpha_i \) and \( m_i \) the number of edges in \( \pi_i \). At this point, we define \( n = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} c_i n_i \) and \( m = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} m_i \).

In order to construct a path with color limit \( L \), we reason as follows. Since in general the loops in \( L \) do not share a node with each other, to move from \( \alpha_i \) to \( \alpha_{i+1} \), we have to pay a price, represented by the color difference matrix of \( \pi_i \). In order to make this price disappear in the long-run, we traverse the loops \( \alpha_i \) an increasing number of times: in the first round, we traverse it \( c_i \) times, in the second round, \( 2c_i \) times, and so on. Formally, the construction is iterative and at every round \( i > 0 \) we add, to the already constructed path, the cycle \( \rho_i \) defined by

\[
\rho_i = \alpha_0^{\ell_0} \pi_0^{\ell_1} \pi_1 \cdots \alpha_{h-1}^{\ell_{h-1}} \pi_{h-1}.
\]

Note that the cycle \( \rho_i \) starts and ends at node \( v_0 \) and contains \( m + i \cdot n \) edges. The required infinite path is then \( \rho = \rho_1 \rho_2 \ldots \rho_i \ldots \). We now show that this path has color limit \( L \). For all \( i > 0 \), let \( \ell_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} |\rho_j| = \sum_{j=1}^{i} (m + i \cdot n) \), so that \( \rho^{<\ell_i} = \rho_1 \ldots \rho_i \). We can easily observe that for every \( i > 1 \), it holds

\[
\ell_{i+1} - \ell_i = m + i \cdot n = m + (i-1) \cdot n + n = \ell_i - \ell_{i-1} + n.
\]

Let \( AM_{j',j} \) (resp., \( AM_{j,j'} \)) be the maximum (resp., minimum) of the \((j, j')\)-color difference among the prefixes of \( \alpha_i \), i.e., \( AM_{j',j} = \max \{ \text{diff}_{j',j}(\alpha_i^{<l}) \mid 1 \leq t \leq \ell_i \} \) (resp., i.e., \( AM_{j,j'} = \min \{ \text{diff}_{j,j'}(\alpha_i^{<l}) \mid 1 \leq t \leq \ell_i \} \)). Moreover, let \( AM_{j,j'} = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} c_i AM_{j,j',i} \) (resp., \( AM_{j,j'} = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} c_i AM_{j',j,i} \)) similarly, let \( PM_{j',j} \) (resp., \( PM_{j,j'} \)) be the maximum (resp., minimum) of the \((j, j')\)-color difference among the prefixes of \( \pi_i \), precisely \( PM_{j',j} = \max \{ \text{diff}_{j',j}(\pi_i^{<l}) \mid 1 \leq t \leq m_i \} \) (resp., \( PM_{j,j'} = \min \{ \text{diff}_{j,j'}(\pi_i^{<l}) \mid 1 \leq t \leq m_i \} \)).

At this point, we are able to derive the following two inequalities regarding the \((j, j')\)-color difference along \( \rho_i \).

1. \[
PM_{j,j'} + i \cdot AM_{j,j'} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} PM_{j,j',i} + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} i \cdot c_i AM_{j,j',i} \leq \text{diff}_{j,j'}(\rho_i^{<\ell_i})
\]
2. \[
PM_{j,j'} + i \cdot Z_{j,j'} \leq \text{diff}_{j,j'}(\rho_i) \leq PM_{j,j'} + i \cdot AM_{j,j'}.
\]
Thus, in the infinite path $\rho$ at each step $t \in [l_r, l_{r+1})$, we have that the $(j, j')$-color difference has module
\[
diff_{j,j'}(\rho^{\leq t}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \cdot Z_{j,j'} + i \cdot PM_{j,j'} + |\diff_{j,j'}(\rho_{i-1}^{\leq t-1})|.
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \cdot Z_{j,j'} + i \cdot PM_{j,j'} + PM_{j,j'} + (i+1)AM_{j,j'}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \cdot Z_{j,j'} + (i+1)(PM_{j,j'} + AM_{j,j'}).
\]
\[
diff_{j,j'}(\rho^{\leq t}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \cdot Z_{j,j'} + i \cdot PM_{j,j'} + |\diff_{j,j'}(\rho_{i-1}^{\leq t-1})|.
\]
\[
\geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \cdot Z_{j,j'} + i \cdot PM_{j,j'} + PM_{j,j'} + (i+1)AM_{j,j'}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \cdot Z_{j,j'} + (i+1)(PM_{j,j'} + AM_{j,j'}).
\]

Note that, for all $i > 1$, it holds that $(PM_{j,j'} + AM_{j,j'}) + i \cdot Z_{j,j'} + \min\{\diff_{j,j'}(\rho^{\leq t}) \mid t \in [l_{r-1}, l_r)\} \leq \min\{\diff_{j,j'}(\rho^{\leq t}) \mid t \in [l_r, l_{r+1})\} \leq \max\{\diff_{j,j'}(\rho^{\leq t}) \mid t \in [l_r, l_{r+1})\} \leq (PM_{j,j'} + AM_{j,j'}) + i \cdot Z_{j,j'} + \max\{\diff_{j,j'}(\rho^{\leq t}) \mid t \in [l_r, l_{r+1})\}$. So, applying Lemma 8 to $a_n = b_n = k = n, c = PM_{j,j'} + AM_{j,j'}, c' = Z_{j,j'}, c'' = PM_{j,j'} + AM_{j,j'}$ and $x_i = l_i$, we obtain that $\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{\diff_{j,j'}(\rho^{\leq t})}{k} = \frac{Z_{j,j'}}{n} = L_{j,j'}$. \hfill \[
\]

**Theorem 4** Let $G$ be a $k$-colored graph, there exists an infinite path with color limit $L$ iff there exists a connected set of simple loops having a n.l.c. with ratio $L$.

Finally, the following lemma links the color-limit-$L$ system with the existence of a set of simple loops with the desired property.

**Lemma 11** There exists a set of simple loops in $G$ with an n.l.c. of ratio $L$ iff the color-limit-$L$ system for $G$ is feasible.

**Proof.** [only if] Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is a set of simple loops having an n.l.c. with ratio $L$. Let $c_{\sigma}$ be the coefficient associated with the loop $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$. We construct a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ that satisfies the color-limit-$L$ system. First, define $h(e, \sigma)$ as 1 if the edge $e$ is in $\sigma$, and 0 otherwise. Then, we set $x_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}} c_{\sigma} h(e, \sigma)$. Considering that, for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ and $v \in V$, it holds that $\sum_{e \in E_v} h(e, \sigma) = \sum_{e \in E_v} h(e, \sigma)$, it is a matter of algebra to show that $x$ satisfies the color-limit-$L$ system.

[if] If the system is feasible, since it has integer coefficients, it has to have a rational solution. Moreover, all constraints are either equalities or inequalities of the type $a^T x \sim 0$, for $\sim \in \{>, \geq\}$. Therefore, if $x$ is a solution then $cx$ is also a solution, for all $c > 0$. Accordingly, if the system has a rational solution, it also has an integer solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. Due to the constraints (3), such solution must be non-negative. So, in fact $x \in \mathbb{N}^m$.

Then, we consider each component $x_e$ of $x$ as the number of times the edge $e$ is used in a set of loops, and we use $x$ to construct such set with an iterative algorithm. At the first step, we set $x^1 = x$, we take a
non-zero component $x^1_e$ of $x^1$, we start constructing a loop with the edge $e$, and then we subtract a unit from $x^2$ to remember that we used it. Next, we look for another non-zero component $x^1_{e'}$ such that $e'$ exits from the node $e$ enters in. It is possible to show that the edge $e'$ can always be found. Then, we add $e'$ to the loop and we subtract a unit from $x^2_{e'}$. We continue looking for edges $e'$ with $x^1_{e'} > 0$ and exiting from the last node added to the loop, until we close a loop, i.e., until the last edge added enters in the node the first edge $e$ exits from. After constructing a loop, we have a residual vector $x^2$ for the next step. If such vector is not zero, we construct another loop, and so on until the residual vector is zero. In the end we have a set of (not necessarily simple) loops. Using inductive properties propagated through the steps of the algorithm, it is possible to show that the set of loops has an n.l.c. with ratio $L$. Finally, we decompose those loops in simple loops with the algorithm of Lemma 1 of [4], and we obtain the thesis. \[\square\]

Now, Lemma 6 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 4 and Lemma 11.

5 Conclusions

We have studied two-player games on colored graphs where the objective of player 0 is the construction of a balanced, bounded, or frequency-$f$ path. We have proved that deciding whether there exists a winning strategy for this player is a Co-NP-complete problem. Moreover, we have studied the one-player version of the games with the frequency-$f$ goal and shown that it is solvable in polynomial time.

An open natural question arising in this framework is the following: if on a colored graph, or game, there is no bounded nor balanced path, what is the “most balanced path” one can achieve? This problem requires the definition of an appropriate order relation on color sequences, defining when a path is “more balanced” than another.

Acknowledgment. We thank Marcin Jurdziński for useful comments on the frequency-$f$ problem.
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