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The discovery of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (2D TMDs) has promised next-generation photonics and optoelectronics applications, particularly in the realm of nanophotonics. Arguably, the most crucial fundamental processes in these applications are the exciton migration and charge transfer in 2D TMDs. However, exciton dynamics in 2D TMDs have never been studied on a nanophotonic platform and more importantly, the control of exciton dynamics by means of nanophotonic structures has yet to be explored.

Here, for the first time, we demonstrate the control of exciton dynamics in MoS$_2$ monolayers by introducing a hyperbolic metamaterial (HMM) substrate. We reveal the migration mechanisms of various excitons in MoS$_2$ monolayers. Furthermore, we experimentally demonstrate that the Förster radius can be increased by HMMs, which is completely...
consistent with the theory we developed on the basis of nonlocal effects of HMM. This study will provide a significant step forward in enabling 2D TMD nanophotonics hybrid devices.

With the explosive research activities since the discovery of graphene, two-dimensional (2D) materials have emerged as one of the most exciting areas studied in science and engineering[1-5]. Among them, 2D transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have attracted a great amount of attentions and been considered as an ideal material for nanophotonic and optoelectronic applications due to their remarkable optical and electronic properties, such as, higher photoluminescence efficiency due to direct bandgap and existence of light-valley interactions[6-11]. Atomically-thin monolayer TMDs have strongly bounded excitons because of the enhancement in quantum confinement and Coulomb interactions, and this strong bonding dominates most optical and electronic effects. In general, exciton binding energy in TMD monolayers is an order of magnitude higher than that of previously investigated 2D quantum well structures, which leads to their unique optoelectronic characteristics and makes TMDs an ideal platform for exploring exciton dynamics (ED) that is essential for photo-current conversion processes and novel optoelectronic applications[12,13]. An analogue can be seen in organic semiconductors, which also have large exciton binding energies due to their low dielectric constants and this effect incites a large amount of exciton dynamics studies in organic photovoltaic operation [14-17]. Therefore, a thorough characterization of ED is of paramount importance for improving light-harvesting applications as well as revealing fundamental mechanism of carrier dynamics in 2D TMDs. More importantly, controlling the ED in these materials is crucial in developing novel optoelectronic devices. Although various excitonic properties including ED, exciton lifetime, and exciton band structures in 2D TMDs alone have been intensively studied in recent years [18-21], the control of ED in 2D TMDs by using nanophotonic structure has never been explored before.
Engineering light-matter interactions has been realized using nanophotonic structures, e.g., metamaterials and engineered materials with tailored optical properties \cite{22-24}. Particularly, metamaterials have been used in optoelectronic devices \cite{25,26}, optical sensing \cite{27}, plasmonic lasers \cite{28} and Raman spectroscopy \cite{29}. Among various types of metamaterials, hyperbolic metamaterials (HMMs) have been extensively studied over the past few years due to their unusual optical properties from the high-$k$ states \cite{30-34}. HMM structures that have been shown to exert nonlocal effects on the photophysical properties of their surrounding environment have recently been reported \cite{35,36}, which suggests that the optical property of 2D TMDs can be drastically altered without modifying the material itself, but instead by incorporating them on a HMM.

MoS$_2$ monolayers exhibit two typical band-edge excitons, A- and B-excitons, resulting from transitions between the conduction band minimum and spin-orbit split valence band maximum near the K point. In addition, recent studies observed another exciton, labelled as C-excitons, with a strong and broadband absorption at higher energies. C-exciton states are attributed to the band nesting effect, i.e., transition arising from the maxima in the joint density of state when the conduction and valence bands are parallel in a region between $K$ and $\Gamma$ points \cite{37-41}. Unlike A- and B-excitons, C-excitons have no photoluminescence. Although several studies have attempted to address some aspects of ED in 2D TMDs \cite{42-45}, the exact mechanism of exciton migration dynamics still remains unclear. Therefore, there has been no study on controlling the exciton migration process of these materials.

In this letter, we comprehensively study the underlying mechanisms of exciton migration dynamics in 2D MoS$_2$ and its controllability based on the HMM-based nanophotonic platform. We demonstrate that ED in the A- and C-excitons show very different dynamic process; the migration of A-exciton is mainly through a single-step Förster-type resonance energy transfer (FRET)
whereas multi-step diffusion process is responsible for C-excitons. We also find that the Förster radius increases in the presence of the HMM substrates in the hyperbolic dispersion region, but the diffusion coefficient is not affected by the HMMs. We elucidate that the increased Förster radius comes from the nonlocal effects of HMMs from the Purcell effect. We note there has been ongoing debates in understanding FRET in complex photonic environment [46], and this study provides conclusive evidence to address these issues.

MoS$_2$ monolayer was prepared on silicon substrates by means of chemical vapor deposition. Single-layer samples were identified by optical microscopy and photoluminescence map shown in Figure S1 [47]. Multi-layered HMMs consisting of 5 pairs of alternative Ag-TiO$_2$ layers with different fill factors ($f = 0.2, 0.5, \text{ and } 0.8$) were fabricated by electron beam evaporation. Detailed sample configurations are described in Figure S2 [47]. We confirmed that the peaks of Raman spectra were not altered with HMM substrates (Supplementary material - Figure S3). In our design, a 10-nm thick Al$_2$O$_3$ layer was deposited on top of the stack to avoid the convolution of other processes such as charge transport between MoS$_2$ and HMMs (Supplementary material - Figure S4). Figure 1a schematically displays the sample configuration for MoS$_2$ monolayer deposited on a HMM substrate with $f = 0.5$ (10 nm thickness of each layer). To observe the ED, we used exciton-exciton annihilation (EEA) method by performing ultrafast transient absorption (TA) experiment based on the pump-probe technique described below. Figure 1b shows the absorption and photoluminescence spectra of MoS$_2$ monolayer. The two absorption peaks at 1.87 eV and 2.05 eV correspond to A- and B-excitons of MoS$_2$ monolayers, respectively. The broad absorption band above 2.80 eV corresponds to the non-emissive C-excitons. The photoluminescence peak and shoulder at 1.84 eV and 2.01 eV correspond to A- and B-excitons, respectively. Figure 1c presents the real part of an effective dielectric constant of HMMs along the transverse direction calculated
by effective medium theory. HMM with \( f = 0.8 \) (\( f = 0.2 \)) shows hyperbolic (elliptic) dispersions region for both A-and C-excitons, whereas HMM with \( f = 0.5 \) exhibits hyperbolic (elliptic) dispersion for A- (C-) excitons.

Ultrafast TA experiments were carried out to analyze the ED of MoS\(_2\) monolayers by measuring relative reflection (\( \Delta R/R \)). The pump beam at 2.25 eV (3.05 eV) and probe beam at 1.85 eV (3.05 eV) were chosen for A- (C-) excitons. The pump fluence for A- and C-excitons were adjusted to obtain the same initial exciton densities (\( n_0 \)) immediately after the excitation by the pump. We note that TA signal of Si is negligible compared to the TA signal of 2D MoS\(_2\) at the frequency range of interest. This allows us to obtain the pure TA signal of MoS\(_2\) by subtracting TA signal of Si substrate from the entire TA signal. (Supplementary material - Figure S5) Figures 2a and 2b show the normalized TA kinetics of A- and C-excitons in MoS\(_2\) monolayer on Si substrate without metamaterials for different exciton densities. At the lowest initial exciton density (\( n_0 = 0.06 \times 10^{12} \) cm\(^{-2}\)), TA kinetics for both A- and C-excitons are fitted by a mono-exponential decay functions with characteristic time (\( \tau \)) of about 186 ps and 213 ps, corresponding to the intrinsic exciton lifetimes. C-excitons have a relatively longer lifetime than A-excitons, and this is consistent with previous works showing that favorable band alignment and transient excited state Coulomb environment could lead to a longer lifetime of C-excitons [37,40]. The lifetime of A-excitons based on TA measurement (\( \tau = 186 \) ps) is similar to emission lifetime obtained by time-resolved photoluminescence measurement (\( \tau_{PL} = 175 \) ps) as shown in Figure S6 [47]. As \( n_0 \) increases, the decay of A-excitons deviates from a mono-exponential fitting due to an EEA taking place where two excitons are sufficiently close to interact and to generate a single exciton with a higher energy. Using bi-exponential decay fitting, we found that the short time constant (\( \tau_1 \)) decreases with \( n_0 \). On the other hand, the longer time constant (\( \tau_2 \)) is almost independent of \( n_0 \), indicating that \( \tau_1 \)
represents EEA phenomenon and $\tau_2$ corresponds to the intrinsic exciton lifetime (Supplementary material - Figure S7). For C-exciton, we observed a relatively weak dependence on $n_0$, which is also consistent with previous work suggesting that the exciton dissociation occurs efficiently, in agreement with the self-separation of photocarriers in the nesting region in the momentum space [41]. In addition, for A-excitons, we note that figure 2a is consistent with previous study [42].

Figures 2c and 2d display the TA decays for A- and C-excitons in the initial time range (up to ~ 100 ps). To analyze the EEA behavior, we consider the rate equation of EEA described by [48,49]

$$\frac{d}{dt} n(t) = -\frac{n(t)}{\tau} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma(t)n(t)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $n(t)$ is the exciton density at a delay time $t$ after the excitation, $\gamma(t)$ is the annihilation rate coefficient and $\tau$ is intrinsic the exciton lifetime at the low exciton density limit ($\tau_2$). The factor $1/2$ represents that only one exciton is left after EEA. We note that EEA is dominant over the Auger recombination in this structure [39]. In general, EEA process can be classified as two different mechanisms: multi-step exciton diffusions and a single-step FRET [48,49]. The exciton diffusion model assumes that the excitons move in random walk in many steps towards each other before the annihilation takes place. On the other hand, FRET model considers that annihilation occurs directly via long-range energy transfer processes. FRET strongly depends on the overlap between the emission spectrum of the donor and the absorption spectrum of the acceptor. Here, the FRET process between two identical excitons can depend on a spectral overlap between the exciton emission and the excited state absorption, which is the absorption from the first exciton state to higher electronic states.

For MoS$_2$ monolayers, we only need to consider FRET and 1D exciton diffusion mechanisms. $\gamma(t)$ is given by $\alpha t^{-1/2}$ where $\alpha=R_F^2 \pi^{3/2}/2\tau^{1/2}$ for the FRET model with $R_F$ is the Förster radius and
\( \alpha = (8D/\pi)^{1/2}/aN_0 \) for the 1D diffusion model with the diffusion coefficient \( D \), lattice constant \( a \) and molecular density \( N_0 \). From these relations, Eq. (1) can be solved as [48,49],

\[
n(t) = \frac{n_0 e^{-t/\tau}}{1 + \beta \text{erf} \left( \sqrt{\frac{t}{\tau}} \right)} \tag{2}
\]

where ‘erf’ is the error function. The coefficient \( \beta \) is expressed by \( n_0 R_F^2 \pi^2/4 \) and \( n_0 l_D/aN_0 \) for FRET and the 1D diffusion process, respectively. \( l_D \) is the diffusion length defined as \( (2D\tau)^{1/2} \). (Supplementary material-section II) The \( a \) and \( N_0 \) of MoS\(_2\) monolayers were taken as 3.16 Å and \( 5.7 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), respectively. Here, it is worth noting that \( n(t) \) for both the FRET and 1D exciton diffusion models have the same mathematical structure. We have also considered 2D and 3D exciton diffusion models and fit the experimental results of C-exciton density kinetics with the 2D model. Figure S8 [47] shows that the best fit was obtained using the 1D exciton diffusion model, indicating that the diffusion coefficient of C-excitons is strongly anisotropic and thus allows effective diffusion only along one dimension in a 2D MoS\(_2\). (Supplemental material-section III, see, also, references [51] therein)

The solid curves in Figures 2b and 2e represent the fits based on Eq. (2). For C-excitons, FRET was excluded due to their non-emissive property [48]. The diffusion coefficient \( D \) determined from the fits of the TA decays based on the 1D diffusion model is plotted in Figure 2f. Here, the exciton lifetime \( \tau \) without annihilation was kept as a constant (\( \tau = 213 \text{ ps} \)) and thus was not a fitting parameter.

For A-exciton, the spectral overlap between the emission and the excited state absorption and the decreasing behavior of \( \tau_1 \) with \( n_0 \) (quenching effect of donor exciton) clearly shows that FRET is likely the main mechanism of A-exciton migration. Therefore, we plot the \( R_F \) as a function of \( n_0 \)
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for A-exciton in Figure 2e. We find that the value of $R_F$ is around 6.0 ~ 6.4 nm and is hardly dependent on $n_0$, which is also consistent with the fact that $R_F$ does not depend on the exciton density (Eq. (S8) in the supplementary material).

Figure 3 shows the behavior of time constants with different substrates. We note that $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ remain constant for all substrates with no overlapping hyperbolic dispersion, while a discernible decrease in $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ is observed for HMM with $f=0.5$ and 0.8. For A-excitons, the decrease of $\tau_2$ from 186 ps to 150 ps can be easily understood in terms of the Purcell factor enhancement based on the high local density of optical states provided by HMMs. Here, we obtain Purcell factor of $\sim$1.24 from basic relationship given by $\tau_2^{Si}/\tau_2^{HMM}$. (Table S1) Interestingly, a shortening of $\tau_1$ due to the hyperbolic dispersion indicates that the nonlocal effect of HMM based on the Purcell factor enhancement clearly affect ED occurring through FRET. The 1p substrate consists of a single pair of 10 nm thick Ag/TiO$_2$ films with a 10-nm Al$_2$O$_3$ serves as a control sample showing the relatively unmodified decay kinetics of MoS$_2$. For C-excitons, while $\tau_1$ appears to be independent of the substrates, we observed an increase in $\tau_2$ within experimental error. The increase in $\tau_2$ is somewhat similar to the increase in the charge recombination time with the HMM substrates observed in previous studies [35]. The entire TA data were plotted in Figure S9[47]. We note that pump fluence was adjusted to obtain the same $n_0$ by taking field intensity variation into account in the presence of HMM structure. (Supplementary material - Figure S10)

In Figures 4a and 4b, we plot $R_F$ and $D$ as functions of $n_0$ for Si and HMM with $f=0.2$ and 0.8 substrates, respectively. We note that the experimental results for HMM with $f=0.5$ is almost identical to those for HMM with $f=0.8$. Figure 4a exhibits an enhancement in $R_F$ for the A-excitons in the HMM hyperbolic dispersion regimes. We can explain this interesting result in terms of the nonlocal effect of HMMs based on the Purcell factor enhancement. It has been shown previously
that the nonlocal effect of HMMs could lead to a decrease in the refractive index of the environment effectively[36].

Here, we can equalize the problem as the emitter is placed in a homogenous medium with modified $n$. Based on this discussion, we showed that Purcell factor is inversely proportional to $n^3$. We also apply this concept to FRET, and we obtained the relationship between $R_F$ and Purcell factor (denoted as $F_p$) as follows, (Supplementary material-section IV).

\[ R_F \propto F_p^{2/3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

This relation presents a quantitative enhancement factor of $R_F$ by 1.05, which is displayed as the open circles in Figure 4a. Surprisingly, the predicted values based on the nonlocal effect of HMMs are almost consistent with the experimental values. We note that the current MoS$_2$-HMM hybrid systems are an ideal platform to investigate the fundamental relationship between FRET and photonic environment by excluding quenching effects such as donor-HMM coupling and the charge transport between MoS$_2$ and HMMs. In case of the diffusion processes, as shown in Figure 4b, there is no noticeable change in the presence of HMMs, which can be explained by the fact that diffusion processes are not relevant for light-matter interactions. Figure 4c schematically illustrates dominant migration mechanisms of A- and C-excitons in 2D MoS$_2$.

Finally, we discuss the influence of Purcell effect due to HMM on FRET efficiency. We note that FRET efficiency, $\eta_{\text{FRET}}$, strongly depends on the $R_F$ as following equation[50],

\[ \eta_{\text{FRET}} = \frac{R_F^6}{R_F^6 + r_T^6} = \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r_T}{R_F} \right)^6 \right]^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $r_T$ is the distance between two molecules. Eq. (4) shows that $\eta_{\text{FRET}}$ is strongly dependent on $R_F$ and $r_T$. We calculate the $r_T$ for each exciton density, $n_0$, which are, 5.77 nm, 8.16 nm, 10.54 nm,
18.25 nm for $3.0 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$, $1.5 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$, $0.9 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$, and $0.3 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$, respectively.

On the basis of the values of $R_F$ obtained from the figure 4b, we plot the $\eta_{\text{FRET}}$ as a function of $n_0$ in Figure 5. We clearly see the $\sim 8.3$ % enhancement of $\eta_{\text{FRET}}$ in the presence of HMM for $n_0 = 3.0 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$.

In conclusion, based on the different underlying migration mechanisms of A- and C-exciton dynamics in 2D MoS$_2$; single-step Förster-type resonance energy transfer for A-exciton and multi-step diffusion process for C-exciton, we investigate the in-depth optical interplay between 2D TMDs and metamaterials by integrating 2D MoS$_2$ on a range of nanophotonic platforms using HMMs with different fill factors. We find an increase in the Förster radius for A-excitons when A-exciton spectral region lies in the hyperbolic dispersion region. Furthermore, for the first time, we develop a new theoretical model determining the relationship between Förster radius and Purcell factor. Our study clearly shows that HMMs can alter the FRET process. There has been a great amount of controversy if FRET plays a role on the strength of excitation interactions in 2D TMDs in the presence of the surrounding media. We resolve this issue, for the first time, by showing that FRET plays the dominant role in A-exciton. Our work presents a novel way to nano-engineering 2D TMDs with a metamaterial-based nanophotonic platform, which will advance the applications of 2D materials in photonics, optoelectronics, and meta-devices.
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Figure 1. Sample configuration and characterization. a, Schematics of the experimental configuration for a MoS$_2$ monolayer with exciton dynamics based on the transient absorption measurements. The MoS$_2$ monolayer is deposited on a multilayered HMM structure that consists of 5 pairs of Ag-TiO$_2$ layers covered by an Al$_2$O$_3$ film to block any charge transport b, Absorption and photoluminescence spectra of MoS$_2$ monolayers with A-,B- and C-excitons. c, the Real part of the transverse effective dielectric function of HMM for three different fill factors ($f=0.2, 0.5, 0.8$)

Figure 2. Transient absorption decays and fitting curves based on exciton-exciton annihilation. a–c: A-excitons, d–f: C-excitons. a,d, Normalized transient absorption decay of A- and C-excitons respectively for several initial exciton densities. b,e, Exciton decays for A- and C-excitons respectively in the initial time range (up to 100 ps) with fitting curves based on Eq. (2). c, f, the Forster radii and the diffusion coefficients for A- and C-excitons with initial exciton density $n_0$. 
Figure 3. Behaviors of time constants for A- and C-excitons with different substrates a, Short ($\tau_1$) and long ($\tau_2$) characteristic time constants of A-excitons with different substrates for several initial exciton densities. b, Short ($\tau_1$) and long ($\tau_2$) characteristic time constants of C-excitons with different substrates for several initial exciton densities.

Figure 4. Underlying mechanism for exciton dynamics and behaviors of the Förster radius and diffusion coefficient a, Schematics shows the different migration mechanisms for A- and C-excitons b, Förster radius and c, the Diffusion coefficients for A- and C-excitons as a function of the initial exciton density on different substrates (Si, HMM with $f=0.2$ and 0.8). The overall measured behaviors for $f=0.5$ are almost identical to those for $f=0.8$. 
Figure 5. Plot of FRET efficiency versus \( n_0 \). FRET efficiency \( \eta_{\text{FRET}} \) as a function of \( n_0 \) in the absence (blue) and presence (red) of HMM. (inset: magnification at \( n_0 = 0.3 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \))
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