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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Varicella zoster virus (VZV) reactivation has been reported following vaccination for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), but the real extent remains unknown.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review to summarize evidence of VZV reactivation or infection following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Episodes after coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) were also identified. Related articles were identified in PubMed and EMBASE databases till December 31, 2021 using the terms “varicella zoster” and “COVID-19.” PROSPERO Register Number: CRD42021289399.

Results: The search revealed 314 articles, of which 55 met the inclusion criteria. VZV manifestations were documented in 179 (82.1%) subjects following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination and in 39 (17.9%) patients with COVID-19. Among the vaccinated, median (IQR) age was 56.5 (42-70) years, and 56.8% were female. Twenty-one (16.8%) were immunosuppressed. The median (IQR) latency time after vaccination was 6 (3-10) days, and 84.4% received mRNA vaccines. VZV reactivation occurred following a first dose (68.2%), a second dose (12.8%) or a booster (0.6%). The most important VZV manifestation was dermatome herpes zoster rash, which accounted for 86.4% of events in vaccinated subjects. Twenty patients (11.3%) presented serious VZV events after vaccination, with Herpes Zoster ophthalmicus (5.6%) and post-herpetic neuralgia (3.4%) predominating. No VZV pneumonia or deaths were recorded. Antiviral prescriptions were made in 96.2% of vaccinated subjects. No significant differences between vaccinated and infected subjects were found.

Conclusion: This study indicates that the occurrence of VZV reactivation is clinically relevant. However, our findings suggest that COVID-19 vaccination is safe, and remains strongly recommended.

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) is caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1]. The systemic manifestations of COVID-19 comprise respiratory, gastrointestinal, neurological and endocrine symptoms, cardiovascular and hematological manifestations, and septic shock [2]. This disease has been associated with numerous cutaneous manifestations [3], ranging from vesicular and chilblain-like lesions to urticaria and maculopapular eruptions. Recent case reports have documented cutaneous lesions attributable to varicella zoster virus (VZV) in patients with COVID-19, or shortly after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination [4]. It would be interesting to
establish whether there is a causal relationship between these events or whether it is just a coincidence.

The main objective of this systematic literature review was to summarize the evidence concerning clinical VZV reactivation following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. A secondary objective was to record the timing and distribution of VZV reactivation, and to identify serious events among SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated subjects compared with those with COVID-19 acute infection.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Registration and protocol

This study was performed following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [5]. The protocol was pre-registered on PROSPERO (CRD42021289399). PRISMA checklist is reported in Supplemental Table 1.

2.2. Data sources

The search was performed in the PubMed and EMBASE databases using the terms “varicella zoster” and “COVID-19”. Articles published from January 1, 2020, to December 31, 2021, including Ahead of Print publication studies were reviewed. Only studies related to humans were considered for inclusion. No language restrictions were applied. Preprint servers such as medRxiv were not scanned.

Reasons for exclusion were the following: (a) reviews and conference abstracts that did not present original data; (b) unpublished studies; (c) surveillance or registers; (d) evidence of poor quality or low relevance; (e) reports associated with Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus or other herpes viruses.

2.3. Selection criteria

The articles included were observational studies, case series, case reports, and letters. Subjects (any age) who reported VZV manifestations after (i) SARS-CoV-2 vaccination or (ii) COVID-19 disease were eligible for inclusion. Outcomes assessed were: latency time (days), VZV manifestations (frequency and related complications), and antiviral treatment.

2.3.1. Definitions

VZV reactivation was defined as the appearance of herpes zoster symptoms (a painful, unilateral vesicular eruption, which usually occurs in a restricted dermatome distribution) after a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 or after the administration of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Status of the COVID-19 infection was considered as active when the subjects had symptoms confirmed by laboratory confirmation, and recovered when the symptoms abated. Severity of illness was defined as mild when the patient could remain at home and severe when hospital admission was required due to the infection. Immune status was considered as immunocompetent when subjects neither had an autoimmune disease nor had received immunosuppressive treatment during the past year.

2.4. Data extraction and study selection process

Two authors (GARA, FRP) independently searched and analysed all the articles. All articles identified were retrieved by reading and assessing their titles, abstracts and full-texts. A third reviewer (CC) was consulted in order to resolve any differences.

The articles were classified according to whether the subjects enrolled were infected or vaccinated. For the SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated subjects, the data extracted consisted of: subject’s number, sex and age, type of vaccination, latency, VZV manifestations, and antiviral treatment administered. For the COVID-19 infected subjects, the data extracted consisted of: subject’s number, sex and age, SARS-CoV-2 infection status, severity of illness, latency, VZV manifestations, and antiviral treatment administered. When the diagnosis of herpes zoster was not confirmed by laboratory tests, the cases were evaluated by an expert author (MCM).

2.5. Quality assessment

Two investigators (RMR and MCM) independently assessed the risk of bias in the studies included. Any disagreement regarding quality assessment was resolved by a third author (ST).

The risk of bias was evaluated using the Newcastle Ottawa scale [6] for cohort and case-control studies, and an adapted form of the Newcastle Ottawa cohort scale for cross-sectional studies [7].

The tool proposed by Murad et al. [8] was used to assess the quality of case reports and case series. The scale combines criteria previously described by Pierson [9], Bradford Hills [10], and the modified Newcastle-Ottawa scale [6].

2.6. Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described as medians and their interquartile ranges (IQR), while categorical variables were presented as counts and percentages. Heterogeneity was investigated by ordering tables, displaying SARS-CoV-2 vaccination and COVID-19 disease characteristics and outcomes separately. When relevant information was not reported, the authors were contacted and the missing data requested.

2.7. Statement of ethics compliance

Ethics committee approval was not required since the article was based on previously conducted studies and did not contain any new studies with human participants or animals.

3. Results

3.1. Study selection

A total of 314 studies were identified. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 55 studies [11–65] of VZV manifestations in subjects with SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations or COVID-19 disease were deemed eligible for inclusion. A flow diagram of the selection of the studies is presented in Fig. 1.

3.2. Study and population characteristics

Twenty-three case reports, 22 letters, seven case series, and three observational studies met the eligibility criteria. Thirty-three studies were conducted in subjects vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 and 22 in subjects with COVID-19 disease.

A total of 218 subjects were included. The median (IQR) age was 58 (42–70) years. Sex was reported in 203 participants, 114 (56.1%) of whom were female. Study and population characteristics are detailed in Table 1 and Supplemental Table 2.

3.2.1. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 vaccine

A total of 179 subjects were identified (Table 1). The median (IQR) age was 56.5 (42–70) years and 96 (56.8%) were female. Twenty-one (16.8%) subjects were immunocompromised. Six subjects [28,29,47,55] reported a history of COVID-19 disease prior to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Regarding the type of vaccines associated with VZV reactivation, the manifestations occurred after mRNA vaccines in 151 (84.4%) subjects, after adenovirus vector vaccines in 23 (12.8%), and after inactivated virus vaccine in five (2.8%). VZV reactivation occurred following the first dose in 68.2% of cases, the second dose in 31.2%, and the booster in 0.6%.
A total of 157 uncomplicated VZV manifestations were reported, with dermatome herpes zoster rash (86.4%) the most common. Disseminated rash was observed in fewer than 5% of subjects. Among the 20 severe VZV manifestations, Herpes Zoster ophthalmicus was the most frequent, representing 5.6% of events. Post-herpetic neuralgia was described in six vaccinated subjects, accounting for 3.4% of manifestations. The median (IQR) latency time associated with VZV reactivation was 6 days (3–10). Antiviral prescriptions were made in 151 subjects, in most cases valacyclovir or acyclovir. Outcomes are detailed in Table 2.

3.2.2. Coronavirus disease 2019

Thirty-nine subjects were identified (Table 1). The median (IQR) age was 62 (43–70) years, and 18 (52.9%) subjects were female. Three (10.4%) subjects were immunocompromised. Thirty (78.9%) had active SARS-CoV-2 infection, while eight (21.1%) had already recovered. Eighteen (47.3%) outpatients had a mild disease course and 20 (52.6%) subjects were hospitalized due to severe COVID-19 symptomatology. Hydroxychloroquine was the most frequent pharmacological prescription (31.3%). One patient was treated with tocilizumab and one with dexamethasone.

Thirty-one uncomplicated VZV manifestations were reported, the most common being dermatome herpes zoster rash (68.3%). Among the 10 severe VZV infections, Herpes Zoster ophthalmicus was the most frequently reported complication (17.1%). Post-herpetic neuralgia, encephalitis-meningitis and vasculitis manifestations were also described in one subject each. The median (IQR) latency time associated with VZV reactivation was 7 days (5–20). Antiviral prescriptions were made in 30 subjects, the most frequently used being acyclovir. Outcomes are detailed in Table 2.

3.3. Quality assessment

Two observational studies were assessed by the Newcastle Ottawa Scale (Supplemental Table 3A), with a moderate quality of evidence. This scale was adapted from the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale for cohort studies to assess the quality of cross-sectional studies for the systematic review. One cross-sectional study presented satisfactory quality (Supplemental Table 3B).

Twenty-three case reports, 22 letters, and seven case series were assessed by the Murad et al. study (Supplemental Table 3C). The quality of evidence was high in seven studies, moderate in 40 and low in only five, mainly due to selection and reporting issues. Causality Q5 was not applicable in this systematic review, due to the lack of a dose–response effect to assess.

---

**Fig. 1.** PRISMA flow diagram of the selection of studies.
Moreover, COVID-19 depletes the immune system. In our study, nearly 90% of reactivations were non-serious, accounting for two-thirds of the serious events among vaccinated individuals. The majority of subjects received antiviral therapy. It appears that a defective cellular immunity may be involved. The exact mechanism that causes VZV reactivation is not clear. The immune response induced by respiratory viruses is complex and it may predispose to bacterial or viral superinfections. The study by Rodríguez-Jiménez et al. hypothesized that SARS-CoV-2 vaccines may induce some type of immunomodulatory process that leads to the reactivation of VZV, because this phenomenon has not been reported after other vaccinations. A retrospective single-center study showed that SARS-CoV-2 vaccination might indeed trigger VZV manifestations in rare instances. Further studies investigating the mechanism underlying the presumptive causative relationship between COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2 vaccination and VZV reactivation are now warranted.

The current study does not examine the incidence of VZV reactivation after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination or infection in the real world. Several surveillance and register studies have been conducted to aid decision-making regarding risks and benefits and to estimate the prevalence of this adverse phenomenon. A post-surveillance study conducted during research into the Pfizer BNT162b2 vaccine, reported no evidence of a significant increase in herpes zoster risk (relative risk 1.07; 95%CI 0.85–1.35). In contrast, analysing observational data, Barda et al. recorded an elevated risk (risk ratio 1.43, 95%CI

### Table 1

| Characteristics of subjects with varicella zoster reactivation after SARS-CoV-2 vaccine (33 studies) or COVID-19 disease (22 studies). |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Number of patients | 179 | 39 |
| Age, median (interquartile range) | 56.5 (42–70) | 62 (43–70) |
| Sex, n (%) | 169 | 34 |
| Men | 96 (56.8) | 18 (52.9) |
| Women | 73 (43.2) | 16 (47.1) |
| Immune status, n (%) | 125 | 29 |
| Immunocompetent | 101 (88.2) | 86 (29) |
| Immunocompromised | 21 (16.8) | 3 (10.4) |
| Vaccination type, n (%) | 179 | b |
| mRNA | 151 (84.4) | – |
| Viral vector | 23 (12.8) | – |
| Inactivated virus vaccine | 5 (2.8) | – |
| Doses, n (%) | 173 | 0 |
| 1st dose | 118 (68.2) | – |
| 2nd dose | 54 (31.2) | – |
| booster | 1 (0.6) | – |
| COVID-19 status & severity, n (%) | 0 | 38 |
| Active | – | 30 (78.9) |
| mild | – | 13 (34.2) |
| severe | – | 17 (44.7) |
| Recovered | – | 8 (21.1) |
| mild | – | 5 (13.1) |
| severe | – | 3 (7.9) |
| COVID-19 treatment prescriptions a, n (%) | 0 | 22 |
| Hydroxychloroquine | – | 10 (31.3) |
| Azithromycin | – | 4 (12.5) |
| Otelamivir | – | 2 (6.2) |
| Other | – | 16 (50) |

Six vaccinated subjects also had previous COVID-19 disease.

* COVID-19 treatment prescriptions reported in COVID-19 disease group (32 prescriptions in 16 subjects).

### Table 2

| Outcomes of subjects with varicella zoster reactivation after SARS-CoV-2 vaccine (33 studies) or COVID-19 disease (22 studies). |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| SARS-CoV-2 vaccine (n = 179) | COVID-19 disease (n = 39) |
| Latency, median (interquartile range) | 6 (3–10) | 7 (5–20) |
| Varicella zoster virus | 177 | 41 |
| manifestations a, n (%) | |
| Uncomplicated infections, events | 157 (88.7) | 31 (75.6) |
| Dermatomal herpetic rash | 153 (86.4) | 28 (68.3) |
| Disseminated rash | 4 (2.3) | 3 (7.3) |
| Serious infections, events b | 1 (0.3) | 10 (24.4) |
| Herpes Zoster ophthalmicus | 10 (5.6) | 7 (17.1) |
| Post-herpetic neuralgia | 6 (3.4) | 1 (2.4) |
| Encephalitis-meningitis | 1 (0.5) | 1 (2.4) |
| Vasculitis | 1 (0.5) | 1 (2.4) |
| Acute retinal necrosis | 1 (0.5) | 0 |
| Ramsay-Hunt Syndrome | 1 (0.5) | 0 |
| Pneumonia | 1 (0.5) | 1 (2.4) |
| VZV treatment, n (%) | 157 | 34 |
| Pharmacological treatment, patients | |
| Received treatment | 151 (96.2) | 30 (88.2) |
| No treatment | 6 (3.8) | 4 (11.8) |
| Antiviral treatment, prescriptions b | 160 | 31 |
| Acyclovir | 20 (12.5) | 19 (61.3) |
| Valacyclovir | 51 (31.9) | 11 (35.5) |
| Famciclovir | 1 (0.6) | 1 (3.2) |
| Ganciclovir | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
| Brivudine | 1 (0.6) | 0 |
| NR antiviral | 86 (53.8) | 0 |

Six vaccinated subjects also had previous COVID-19 disease.

* Manifestations reported in SARS-CoV-2 vaccination group (177 events in 175 subjects) and COVID-19 disease group (41 events in 39 subjects).

* Antiviral prescriptions reported in SARS-CoV-2 vaccination group (160 prescriptions in 151 subjects) and COVID-19 disease group (31 prescriptions in 30 subjects).

### 4. Discussion

This study summarizes the available evidence on VZV reactivation following the administration of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines or after COVID-19 infection. Supplemental Table 4 summarizes the differences between our current study and the five previous literature reviews assessing the potential association between SARS-CoV-2 and VZV reactivation. In our study, nearly 90% of reactivations were non-serious, among both SARS-CoV-2-vaccinated and infected subjects. The majority of the VZV manifestations were VZV skin rashes with dermatome distributions. Most manifestations appeared within ten days of the first dose inoculation or infection onset. The majority of subjects received antiviral therapy. Herpes Zoster ophthalmicus was described as the most common serious adverse event, followed by post-herpetic neuralgia, which accounted for two-thirds of the serious events among vaccinated subjects. Pneumonia and meningoencephalitis were extremely rare, and all reported patients survived. Nevertheless, the authors agreed that the benefits of vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 far outweigh the chance of developing these symptoms, which are not life-threatening and can be successfully addressed with the proper antiviral regimen.

The exact mechanism that causes VZV reactivation is not clear. It appears that a defective cellular immunity may be involved. Interestingly, tissue-resident memory T cells and satellite glial cells can secrete interferon and regulate VZV reactivation. Emerging evidence shows that it may be induced by the upregulation of the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase-Akt pathway and the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. The immune response induced by respiratory viruses is complex and it may predispose to bacterial or viral superinfections. Moreover, COVID-19 depletes the immune system and makes it susceptible to attack by other viruses, which may explain the reactivation of VZV. Yu et al. suggested that patients with COVID-19 may have an increased risk of developing shingles due to their high levels of Th17 cells and interleukin-17. Moreover, the association between the infection by the novel coronavirus and VZV reactivation can be attributed to the impaired cellular immunity that characterizes COVID-19. Indeed, the age-related and immunosuppressant-related declines in cell-mediated defenses are established risk factors for herpes zoster. Interestingly, Levinson et al. proposed that mitochondrial haplogroups, which coordinate T-cell functionality, are linked to the risk of VZV reactivation. Haplogroup clade IWX is associated with a high risk of VZV reactivation in individuals of European ancestry. The mechanism that underpins VZV reactivation after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination remains obscure, since vaccination is supposed to strengthen the immune response rather than weaken it. The study by Rodriguez-Jiménez et al. hypothesized that SARS-CoV-2 vaccines may induce some type of immunomodulatory process that leads to the reactivation of VZV, because this phenomenon has not been reported after other vaccinations. A retrospective single-center study showed that SARS-CoV-2 vaccination might indeed trigger VZV manifestations in rare instances. Further studies investigating the mechanism underlying the presumptive causative relationship between COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2 vaccination and VZV reactivation are now warranted.

The current study does not examine the incidence of VZV reactivation after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination or infection in the real world. Several surveillance and register studies have been conducted to aid decision-making regarding risks and benefits and to estimate the prevalence of this adverse phenomenon. A post-surveillance study conducted during research into the Pfizer BNT162b2 vaccine, reported no evidence of a significant increase in herpes zoster risk (relative risk 1.07; 95%CI 0.85–1.35). In contrast, analysing observational data, Barda et al. recorded an elevated risk (risk ratio 1.43, 95%CI
findings support the notion that COVID-19 vaccination is safe, and recent cases were serious. Wan et al. [82] conducted a self-controlled search of event alongside VZV reactivation to be causal. In the US, the VAERS database [81] reported the most common adverse vaccine was identified, with estimated incidence of 0.7/100,000 doses. Further analytical post-surveillance studies should be done to assess reasonable to assume that some cases of VZV reactivation may have not been published because they were not exceptional. In contrast, the incidence of more severe complications like Herpes Zoster ophthalmicus may be over-rated. Therefore, accurate data on the real occurrence of reactivation cannot be derived from this type of study. The second limitation was the incompleteness of the data provided by some reports, which influenced the results of this systematic review. The third limitation was that our study did not explore any biological causes for the relationship between SARS-CoV-2 and VZV reactivation which ranges widely in terms of the interval between the two events (3–10 days [vaccine], 5–20 days [disease]). Whether differences existed between COVID-19 disease and anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations remains unknown. Lastly, the lack of a simultaneous control group means that the evidence of association between SARS-CoV-2 infection/vaccination is poor and causality cannot be inferred. However, no articles related to influenza H1N1pdm2009 infection or vaccinations were documented in a literature search carried out prior to COVID-19 onset. Like other observational studies of vaccine safety, a potential selection bias may be inferred because of the differences in safety outcomes between subjects who accept vaccination and those who do not. Moreover, the risk of herpes zoster is highly dependent on comorbidities and age, factors that give priority for vaccination.

5. Conclusion

This systematic review should be viewed as a real-world example generating a signal of interest for the first step identification of rare adverse events. Our findings suggest that subjects with SARS-CoV-2 vaccine or infection are exposed to a clinically relevant risk of varicella herpes zoster reactivation. VZV reactivation often presents as a self-limited localized dermatome rash within ten days of the inoculation of the first dose or infection. Most patients received antivirals and serious events were rare, with post-herpetic neuralgia affecting fewer than 5% of cases. Further analytical post-surveillance studies should be conducted to discern the potential association with vaccination. Our findings support the notion that COVID-19 vaccination is safe, and remains strongly recommended.
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