Severe aplastic anemia is a rare bone marrow failure disease with the majority of cases caused by aberrant immune destruction of blood progenitors. Although the Th1-mediated pathology of aplastic anemia is well-described, the molecular mechanisms that drive disease progression remain ill-defined. The NOTCH signaling pathway mediates Th1 differentiation in the presence of polarizing cytokines, an action requiring enzymatic processing of NOTCH receptors by γ-secretase. We used a mouse model of aplastic anemia to demonstrate that expression both of intracellular NOTCH1 (NOTCH1IC) and T-BET, a key transcription factor regulating Th1 differentiation, were increased in T cells in the spleen and bone marrow during active disease. Conditionally deleting NOTCH1 or administering γ-secretase inhibitors (GSI) in vivo, attenuated disease and rescued mice from lethal bone marrow failure. In peripheral T cells from patients with untreated aplastic anemia, NOTCH1IC was significantly elevated and was detected at the TBX21 promoter, showing NOTCH1 directly regulates the gene encoding T-BET. Treating patients’ cells ex vivo with GSI lowered NOTCH1IC levels, decreased the level of NOTCH1 detectable at the TBX21 promoter, and also decreased T-BET expression, indicating NOTCH1 signaling is responsive to GSI during active disease. Collectively, these results identify NOTCH1 signaling as a primary driver of Th1-mediated pathogenesis in aplastic anemia and may represent a novel target for therapeutic intervention.
inhibitors.\textsuperscript{6,7} γ-Secretase inhibitors (GSI) successfully prevent this final enzymatic step that is required to cleave and activate all four NOTCH receptors, and can block NOTCH signaling in vitro and in vivo.\textsuperscript{8,9} As a result, the use of GSI as a therapeutic modality is the focus of substantial and growing interest. Numerous clinical trials are underway with several chemically distinct GSI, primarily in oncology. Despite initial concerns that dose-limiting toxicity may reduce the clinical usefulness of these drugs, intermittent administration of GSI is safe and well-tolerated. Two Phase 1 clinical trials in early-stage and metastatic ER+ breast cancer with endocrine therapy/GSI combinations have shown safety, tolerability and preliminary suggestions of efficacy.\textsuperscript{31}

 NOTCH1\textsuperscript{1C} has been shown to directly regulate genes involved in T cell activation, cell cycle progression, differentiation, cytokine production and effector cell function.\textsuperscript{4,10-12} We and others have demonstrated that NOTCH signaling can facilitate differentiation of naïve T cells to a Th1 phenotype in mice.\textsuperscript{8,13-15} One way NOTCH1 drives Th1 polarization is by influencing expression of the T-box transcription factor, Tbx21, the gene encoding T-BET, which is a master transcriptional regulator of Th1 differentiation.\textsuperscript{16} T-BET is often upregulated in patients with aplastic anemia and mouse models utilizing cells deficient in Tbx21 show less severe disease induction.\textsuperscript{17} Furthermore, T-BET expression may serve as a biomarker for response to immunosuppressive therapy (IST), since high levels of T-BET have been observed in patients who are refractory to IST, while, for those who respond to IST and remain in remission, T-BET expression in circulating peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) is below detectable limits.\textsuperscript{18}

In our recently published study, we used a mouse model to show that the cleaved, active form of NOTCH1 (NOTCH1\textsuperscript{IC}), but not NOTCH2 or NOTCH3, is increased in the T cells of mice with aplastic anemia.\textsuperscript{19} This high level of NOTCH1 expression was accompanied by characteristic symptoms of aplastic anemia: hypocellular bone marrow, peripheral pancytopenia and elevated levels of circulating proinflammatory cytokines, IFNγ and TNF. When we abrogated NOTCH1 signaling using genetic or pharmacological approaches, bone marrow cellularity in treated animals was higher, as were the numbers of red and white blood cells in the circulation. Additionally, the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines were diminished and the percentages of CD4 and CD8 T cells infiltrating the bone marrow were greatly decreased. As a result of ameliorating the symptoms of disease, the lifespan of these diseased mice was significantly lengthened.

For patients with aplastic anemia, a bone marrow transplant from an HLA-matched donor is curative. However, only about 25% of patients have a matched sibling donor. For the majority of patients, an immunosuppressive regimen of horse anti-thymocyte globulin and cyclosporin A is necessary. Should a patient require bone marrow transplantation after IST, it is critically important to know that the IST will not adversely affect the engraftment and long-term hematopoiesis of the transplanted bone marrow. Using serial bone marrow transplantations, we determined that, at a dose that was efficacious in attenuating the symptoms of BM failure, extended GSI treatment showed no adverse effects on engraftment or long-term hematopoiesis.

We further demonstrated that in PBMCs from patients with untreated aplastic anemia, NOTCH1\textsuperscript{IC} is increased, can be detected bound to the TBX21 promoter, and is lost from the promoter following GSI treatment. Collectively, our findings demonstrate that NOTCH1 is a critical mediator of Th1 pathology in aplastic anemia through its direct regulation of TBX21 and is responsive to the inhibitory actions of GSI, both in vitro and in vivo.

Detailing a role for NOTCH1 as a driver of pathogenesis in aplastic anemia brings us one step closer to understanding the molecular mechanisms that facilitate disease progression, but much of what causes the pathogenesis of this autoimmune condition remains unclear. One aspect to consider centers on the role additional T helper subsets may play in aplastic anemia and how they are influenced by NOTCH signaling. Studies from the Young lab suggest Th17 cells may also contribute to disease, albeit to a lesser extent than Th1 cells.\textsuperscript{20} Although we did not observe a direct contribution of Th17 cells or significant upregulation of IL-17 in our mouse model, there may well be a population of effector Th17 cells present in some human patients. Where does NOTCH signaling come into play then? Recent reports have defined a role for NOTCH3 in regulating Th17 differentiation and IL-17 production (Osborne BA, personal communication).\textsuperscript{21,22} As such, it may be important to examine NOTCH3 levels in aplastic anemia patients, particularly in those patients who are refractory to standard IST. There exists considerable plasticity between Th1 and Th17 cells that is only now being recognized.\textsuperscript{23,24} Furthermore, since Th1 and Th17 responses act to cross-regulate each other, could dampening the Th1 cytokine milieu with the current IST serve to “de-repress” Th17 responses in some patients, making them refractory to treatment? This phenomenon is not without precedence. Inhibiting the induction of Th1 responses in a mouse model of experimental autoimmune neuritis, unexpectedly, exacerbated disease mediated by the unchecked Th17 response that ensued.\textsuperscript{25} If this were the case in that subset of aplastic anemia patients who do not respond to standard immunosuppressive protocols, therapeutic use of GSI may be all the more relevant. GSI targeting both of NOTCH1 and NOTCH3 would prevent an amplified Th17 response in the absence of a modulating Th1 environment. Comparing and contrasting NOTCH expression levels in patients who respond to IST and those who do not may lay the ground work for a clearer understanding of how these cohorts are fundamentally different in their disease pathogenesis and perhaps even allow for stratification into different treatment categories.

Another unexplained aspect of aplastic anemia is why the bone marrow is the organ targeted for destruction. Unlike other autoimmune conditions such as type I diabetes or multiple sclerosis, the inciting self-antigen(s) that precipitate aplastic anemia have not been identified. Prevailing thought suggests that self-antigen(s) or
Neoantigen(s) expressed on bone marrow stem cells are revealed during the course of an infectious event, but the identity of this bone marrow-resident antigen(s) awaits discovery.

In the absence of knowing the precipitating antigenic stimulus, what do we know about how effector T cells traffic to the bone marrow? We found that using GSI greatly reduced NOTCH1 expression in the spleen of diseased mice and reduced the bone marrow-infiltrating T cell population, as well. Since NOTCH1 can be upregulated in T cells following an infectious event that elicits a Th1 response, does failure to downregulate NOTCH1 contribute to disease initiation? Does NOTCH receptor-ligand interaction influence trafficking of CD4 and CD8 effector cells to the bone marrow? If so, what are the NOTCH ligands that are expressed on bone marrow stem or stromal cells that may mediate homing to the bone marrow? Do expression patterns of NOTCH ligands differ between aplastic and normal bone marrow and does GSI treatment act to restore a more “normal” ligand expression pattern? Ligands of the Delta-like family, especially Dll-1 and Dll-4, have been shown to be strong drivers of Th1 differentiation. Furthermore, both Delta-like and Jagged family ligands are processed in a gamma-secretase-dependent fashion to signal in the ligand-expressing cell population.

A greater understanding of changes in the temporal expression of NOTCH ligands in the bone marrow, both during disease progression and in response to GSI treatment, may provide answers to these questions, as well as identify new targets for therapeutic intervention.

Alternatively, are there other surface receptors found in abundance on effector T cells that mediate trafficking to the bone marrow? Are these NOTCH-regulated? Might these be differentially expressed on T cells during the initiation or expansion phases of aplastic anemia, thus explaining why effector cells traffic to the bone marrow and not to other organs? If so, what are these receptors and what might be their bone marrow-resident cognate ligands? Much more work is required to define in greater detail the molecular mechanisms responsible for the initiation and activation, the expansion and differentiation, and ultimately the homing and cellular destruction that manifest in immune-mediated bone marrow failure (Fig. 1).

Aplastic anemia is a rare and somewhat mystifying autoimmune bone marrow failure disease. The paucity of cells found in the bone marrow at time of diagnosis presents a significant barrier to more fully
Characterizing the molecular mechanisms that drive disease. Representational animal models of disease, as well as strong collaborative efforts between clinicians and basic scientists to extend research findings and establish their clinical relevance, will be essential to furthering our understanding of aplastic anemia pathogenesis and devising novel and effective therapeutic strategies for patients in the future.
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