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Abstract

**Background:** Bovine babesiosis is regarded as a limited health problem for Norwegian cows, and the incidence has decreased markedly since the 1930s. Rare cases of babesiosis in splenectomised humans from infection with *Babesia divergens* and *B. venatorum* have been described. The objective of this study was to determine whether birds can introduce *Babesia*-infected ticks. There are between 30 and 85 million passerine birds that migrate to Norway every spring.

**Methods:** Passerine birds were examined for ticks at four bird observatories along the southern Norwegian coast during the spring migrations of 2003, 2004 and 2005. The presence of *Babesia* was detected in the nymphs of *Ixodes ricinus* by real-time PCR. Positive samples were confirmed using PCR, cloning and phylogenetic analyses.

**Results:** Of 512 ticks examined, real-time PCR revealed five to be positive (1.0%). Of these, four generated products that indicated the presence of *Babesia*spp.; each of these were confirmed to be from *Babesia venatorum* (EU1). Two of the four *B. venatorum*-positive ticks were caught from birds having an eastern migratory route (*P* < 0.001).

**Conclusions:** Birds transport millions of ticks across the North Sea, the Skagerrak and the Kattegat every year. Thus, even with the low prevalence of *Babesia*-infected ticks, a substantial number of infected ticks will be transported into Norway each year. Therefore, there is a continuous risk for introduction of new *Babesia* spp. into areas where *I. ricinus* can survive.

**Background**

Ticks have limited mobility [1], but can be transported over long distances when feeding on their vertebrate hosts. In particular, avian hosts can efficiently transport ticks across geographical barriers such as oceans and deserts [2-6].

Norway is in the northernmost range for the distribution of *Ixodes ricinus*, which is a vector for many human and animal pathogens [7]. In a review by Gorenflot [8], 83% of the 28 European cases of human babesiosis occurred in splenectomised individuals, and 76% were reported to be due to *Babesia divergens*. Apicomplexans of the genus *Babesia* have greater vertebrate host specificity than other tick-borne pathogens, such as *Borrelia* spp. and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. None of the described *Babesia* species can have both bird and mammal hosts [9,10]. The first report of *Babesia canis* in Norway occurred recently; the tick was found on a dog that had not been abroad [11]. The main vector for *B. canis* is *Dermacentor reticulatus*, which is not native to Norway. However, a larva of *Dermacentor sp.* was recovered on a northward-migrating willow warbler (*Phylloscopus trochilus*) in Akerøya in 2005 [6]. *B. divergens*, which causes redwater disease in cattle, is widespread in Europe [12]. This pathogen was first described in Norway in 1901 [13] and has been recovered and confirmed in Norway, using molecular tools (O. Øines unpublished results). A comparison of the data of Thambs-Lyche from the 1930s [14] with data from the Norwegian Dairy Herd Recording System (NDHRS) indicates that the incidence of babesiosis in Norwegian cattle has decreased, although the infection remains highly prevalent in some coastal locations in Norway such as Lista (longitude E 6.7°) (47% of 34 tested cows had antibodies...
against *B. divergens*) and the island Jomfruland (longitude E 9.6°) (68%, N = 25) [15]. Lundsett tested 439 flagged ticks along the southern Norwegian coast (although not specifically in cow-pasturing areas) and found only a single tick that was positive for *B. divergens* by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis [16]. Radzijevskaja and colleagues [17] found no *Babesia* sp. in 91 ticks (16 adults and 75 nymphs) collected from Jomfruland in Norway. There are no data to support the presence of other *Babesia* species related to *B. divergens* in Norway. However, in this study, we report the presence of *B. venatorum* (*Babesia* species, EU1) in ticks found on migratory birds. This species was first characterised by Herwaldt in 2003 [18] and has been recovered from roe deer in Slovenia [19] and France [20] and from *I. ricinus* [21,22]. Three cases documenting infection with this species in splenectomised humans [18,23] have raised concern for *B. venatorum* becoming an emerging tick-borne human disease.

The purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence of *Babesia* on ticks collected from northward migratory birds arriving in Norway and to document the potential for spread of this parasite across the sea. This is the first report finding *Babesia* on ticks from birds. In comparison, a Polish study [24] did not detect *Babesia* in 442 tick specimens collected from birds.

**Materials and methods**

Four Norwegian bird observatories along 300 km of the southern Norwegian coast were involved in the study; from west to east, these included Lista; Jomfruland, Store Færder and Akerøya [6]. At Akerøya, six hours of flagging yielded five ticks, and at Store Færder, two hours of flagging did not yield any ticks. Although the yield of ticks may vary through the day and through the season, our experience is that ticks are detectable at any time during spring, summer and autumn at localities where they occur. Therefore, it is questionable whether these two islands have any local tick populations. By contrast, ticks are common on Jomfruland and on the mainland locality of Lista [6]. There are pasturing cattle in Jomfruland and Lista, but there are only pasturing sheep at Akerøya or Store Færder, and no cattle or cervid animals. Altogether, 9,768 passerine birds on northward migration were caught with mist nets during the first 24 hours following attachment [25]. To avoid including unengorged specimens, only obviously thickened nymphs were recorded as engorged. Because there were very few ticks on Akerøya and Store Færder, we examined all nymphs collected from birds at these two sites for pathogens. Larvae were not included in this study, as there was considerable uncertainty as to whether the reduced amounts of *Babesia* DNA obtained from larvae, in comparison to that from nymphs, would alter the sensitivity of the test. In total, 512 ticks were selected for examination. Of these, 332 were engorged and were from all the locations and 180 were unengorged or slightly engorged and were from the two locations assumed not to have resident tick populations.

The specimens were crushed with the tip of a glass rod, which was discarded after each use, and DNA was isolated using a spin-column method, using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To avoid interspecimen contamination, the ticks were handled with tweezers that were flame-decontaminated after each use. Engorged and unengorged ticks were treated using the same methods. A contract laboratory, Telelab (now Unilabs Telelab, Skien, Norway), performed the initial screening of the samples using real-time PCR to detect *B. divergens* DNA published by Radzijevskaja et al. [17]. The PCR mix consisted of 5 μL Bdf (3 pmol/μL), 5 μL BdiR (9 pmol/μL), 2 μL BdiT (5 pmol/μL), 8 μL PCR water and 25 μL TaqMan Universal PCR Mastermix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in a total volume of 45 μL per sample, with 5 μL of sample DNA or control (dH2O). After heat activation at 93°C for 10 minutes, the two-step PCR protocol consisting of the following steps ran for 40 cycles: denaturation at 94°C for 15 seconds and annealing/extension at 60°C for 60 seconds. Signals were read using an Applied Biosystems AB7000 sequence-detection system and were calculated against a dilution series of synthetic amplicon DNA supplied by Applied Biosystems and standards of *B. divergens* DNA (30,000, 3,000, 300 and 30 DNA copies). Only signals above the threshold line (ΔRn = 1e-1) at or before cycle (Ct) 37 were interpreted as a positive. DNA from the five positive samples were then used for the two separate nested PCR reactions described by Zintel and colleagues [26]. PCRs were performed with two positive controls (*B. divergens* and *B. gibsoni*) in each run. The...
multiple PCR products were cloned using One Shot® TOP-10 E.coli TA Cloning® by Invitrogen (Carlsbad, USA) and pCR®2.1 plasmid. Transformed bacteria were grown overnight at 37°C on LB agar plates containing 75 µg/ml ampicillin and X-gal. From each PCR sample that was cloned, 16 to 24 white colonies were selected and used as templates for a PCR reaction using M13-reverse and T7 primers. Several of these PCR products were sequenced using these primers at Macrogen Europe (http://www.macrogen.com). Chromatograms were edited, trimmed for vector contamination and assembled using Contig Express in Vector Nti Advance® 11.5 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). An initial BLASTN search (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) for several of the sequence assemblages made in Contig Express revealed matches for sequences from Ixodes, uncultured eucaryotes and other non-Babesia targets. However, many of the sequence assemblages produced matches for Babesia. Cloned sequences from one of the five samples did not match any Babesia entries in BLASTN searches even after repeated PCR and cloning. The remaining four samples, however, contained cloned sequences matching Babesia 18S DNA from the databases. Each of the nested PCR products were assembled using high-quality chromatograms into a single sequence. Sequences extending beyond the nested PCR primers were deleted to avoid any confounding errors introduced by the primers. The two nested PCRs reported by Zintl and colleagues [26] target two regions of 18S DNA in separate PCRs (5’ and the 3’) and produce two non-overlapping sequences due to deletion of regions protruding from the annealing sites of the primers. The 472 bp 5’ and 534 bp 3’ sequences from each clone were found to contain no more than 3 nucleotide ambiguities (substitutions, deletions or polymorphisms) when compared to the sequence of Babesia EU1 GQ888709. This corresponded to a maximum difference of 0.6% from this ‘backbone’ sequence.

It is possible that the infrequent substitutions found in the individual chromatogrammes were due to errors from the Taq-polymerase or basecalling or that they may represent intra-host nucleotide differences from Babesia present in each sample. However, when applying the principle of the majority rule to the chromatograms, these substitutions would be eliminated hence producing sequences identical to the GQ888709 Genbank entry for B. venatorum (EU1). The two non-overlapping sequences from the separate nested PCR’s were included in an alignment that consisted of 18S sequences from each of the following Babesia species: B. gibsoni (AB118032), B. odocoeci (AY237638), B. divergens (AY572456), B. capreoli (AY726009), B. canis canis (AY072926), B. canis vogeli (AY371198), B. canis rossi (DQ111760), B. kiwiensis (EF551335), B. occultans (EU376017), B. bovis (AY150059), B. equi (AY150062), B. caballi (AY309955), B. motasi (AY533147), B. coco (AY618928) and two sequences from Babesia venatorum (EU1) (GQ888709 and HQ830266). The alignment was exported to MEGA 5 [27], and a Neighbor-joining tree was constructed using 500 bootstrap replicates with pairwise deletion of gaps and missing data. The nucleotides in positions 505-992 and 1090-1626, relative to the complete alignment, were included in a single phylogenetic analysis which used the sequence data produced by the two nested PCRs.

The National Board of Animal Experimentation approved the field collecting and handling of the birds.

**Results**

Thirty-three species of birds carrying I. ricinus nymphs were collected. Of the 512 nymphs investigated, 114 (22.2%), 98 (19.1%), 54 (10.5%), 40 (7.8%), 38 (7.4%), 31 (6.1%), 23 (4.5%), 22 (4.3%) and 14 (2.7%) were found positive for the presence of Babesia sp. Subsequent PCR and cloning confirmed four specimens, collected from a robin (Erithacus rubecula) in Akerøya (cycle threshold: Ct = 30), a greenish warbler (Phylloscopus trochiloides) in Jomfruland (Ct = 24) and a blue whitethroat (Luscinia svecica) (Ct = 24) and a dunnock (Prunella modularis) from Store Færder (Ct = 27), to be positive. The disproportionality between Babesia-positive ticks caught from birds with an eastern versus a western migratory route was highly significant, i.e., P < 0.001 (Fisher’s exact test for count data, run in the software R [28]).

Phylogenetic analysis of the sequences obtained after cloning of the samples using a Neighbor-joining tree indicated a very high support for the unknown sequence to belong to Babesia venatorum (EU1) (GQ888709) (Bootstrap > 99) (Figure 1).

**Discussion**

The real-time PCR used for screening was initially reported to be specific for B. divergens [17]. However, in this study we report the detection of B. venatorum using this method. In addition, the primer set and probe is capable of generating positive signals with DNA from other Babesia species, such as B. canis canis and B. gibsoni (Ø. Øines unpublished results). The fifth sample that was not confirmed to contain Babesia was only marginally positive in the real-time PCR, as it produced
A signal close to the threshold line at 37 cycles, i.e., Ct = 36. This could indicate that either any Babesia DNA may have been present in this sample at concentrations that were too low to allow for verification using the procedures described previously, or, it could indicate that the cycle threshold (Ct) was set too high when selecting procedures described previously, or, it could indicate that the real-time analysis.

Some bird species are partly migratory, with only a fraction of the individuals wintering in Norway [29]. Therefore, for a conservative approach, we only used engorged nymphs caught before or during the peak migratory arrival time in localities where ticks are endemic. In addition, three of the four bird species on which B. venatorum was found are not known to winter in Norway [29], and three Babesia samples were collected from birds on Akerøya and Store Færder (Table 1) where it seems to be no I. ricinus ticks [6]. Thus, it appears reasonable to conclude that the ticks infected by B. venatorum were brought to Norway by the birds they were feeding on. The low number of Babesia-positive nymphs was insufficient for analyses comparing differences in the prevalence of these nymphs by bird species. The robin and dunnock belong to the great majority of birds that migrate to Norway via the Atlantic coast of continental Europe [29]. The greenish warbler does not breed west of Gotland, Sweden [30] and is very rarely encountered in Norway, and the bluethroat is one of the few birds that migrate to Norway via an eastern migratory route [29]. Thus, two of the four B. venatorum-positive ticks were collected from the minority of birds that came from Eastern Europe, indicating that B. venatorum may be more common in Eastern Europe.

B. venatorum (Babesia EU1) had previously not been reported in Norway. Thus, we did not expect to find this parasite and not B. divergens, which is a widespread and common parasite of cattle [12,15]. Duh et al. found B. venatorum in 11 and B. divergens in 28 of 51 roe deers that were tested [19]. The host range for B. venatorum is poorly understood, and apart from splenectomised humans, a literature search on the Internet (http://www.scholar.google.com) revealed no natural hosts besides roe deer or any other tick vector than I. ricinus for B. venatorum.

Few B. venatorum-positive ticks were found on migratory birds in this study. There are 30-85 million passerine birds that migrate to Norway every spring [31], which may carry 0.2 ticks on average (nymphs and larvae) across the sea during their spring migration [6]. B. divergens has been shown to be transmitted transovarially and transstadially in the tick [32]. Therefore, larvae are as likely as nymphs to carry the pathogen. Assuming a prevalence of infection less than 1%, as was obtained in this study, birds could introduce on the order of 100,000 Babesia-infected ticks per year. Therefore, the spring migration represents an important component in the mechanism of the spread of tick-borne pathogens. Although theoretically possible, birds have not been

Table 1 Number of positive (percentage) Babesia venatorum (EU 1) specimens detected by PCR of Ixodes ricinus nymphs collected from northward migrating birds

| Bird observatory | Babesia venatorum | Total ticks |
|------------------|------------------|------------|
| Akerøya          | 1 (0.6)          | 175        |
| Jomfruland       | 1 (1.1)          | 93         |
| Lista            | 0                | 80         |
| Store Færder     | 2 (1.2)          | 164        |
| **Total positive** | 4 (0.8)         | 512        |

In parentheses: per cent.
shown to be responsible for the introduction of new tick-borne pathogens. This study shows that such introduction of *B. venatorum* is possible. Evidence suggests that the transport of ticks by migratory birds into Norway has increased over the last four decades [6], and it is possible that *B. canis*, recently described for the first time in Norway [11], may have been introduced by ticks on migratory birds.
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