Lumbar Erector Spinae Plane Block for Posterior–Superior Iliac Spine Bone Graft Site Pain in Patients Undergoing Occipitocervical and C1–C2 Fusion for Atlantoaxial Dislocation/Odontoid Fracture—A Case Series
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Abstract

Pain at the autologous bone graft site from the posterior–superior iliac spine (PSIS) is severe enough to affect the postoperative ambulation. It adds to the morbidity of the surgical procedure. Inadequate pain management at the graft site not only affects the postoperative recovery but also can lead to chronic pain. We report the use of ultrasound (US)-guided lumbar erector spinae plane block (ESPB), to deliver effective analgesia for this pain. Patients who underwent occipitocervical fusion (OCF) and C1–C2 fusion using PSIS for atlantoaxial dislocation (AAD)/odontoid fracture from January to March 2020 and who received US-guided lumbar ESPB were retrospectively studied. All the necessary data were collected from the inpatient hospital, anesthesia, and the acute pain service records. A total of six patients received lumbar ESPB, of which one received a single shot injection, and the rest five had a catheter placement for postoperative analgesia. The average volume of intraoperative and postoperative bolus was 27 (range: 15–30) and 21 (range: 15–30) mL of 0.2% ropivacaine, respectively. All patients achieved a unilateral sensory blockade ranging from L1 to L3 dermatomes. None of our patients had a numerical rating scale of > 4 on movement at any time point during the first 48 hours except in one, in whom only a single shot bolus was given. No complications related to ESPB were noted. All were ambulated on the second postoperative day except one. The average length of hospital stay was 6 (range: 4–10) days. US-guided lumbar ESPB provides excellent analgesia for PSIS bone graft site pain and promotes early ambulation.
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Introduction

Autologous bone graft from the posterior–superior iliac spine (PSIS) is still commonly used to augment bone healing and provide spine stability in patients undergoing occipitocervical fusion (OCF) and C1–C2 fusion for atlantoaxial dislocation (AAD)/odontoid fractures, especially in resource-poor settings. Pain at the graft site can range from moderate to severe, resulting in delayed postoperative ambulation and increased duration of hospital stay.1,3 Various modes of analgesia have been attempted to alleviate this graft site pain ranging from systemic morphine, paracetamol, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents.
drugs, local anesthetic infiltration as well as the various interfascial plane blocks. Recently, Gürkan and Aksu" have reported the use of lumbar erector spinae plane block (ESPB) for graft site pain on a single case. Here, we report the analgesic efficacy of ultrasound (US)-guided lumbar ESPB for PSIS bone graft site pain in six patients undergoing OCF and C1–C2 fusion for odontoid fracture/AAD.

Materials and Methods

Patients who underwent OCF and C1–C2 fusion with PSIS bone grafting for AAD/odontoid fracture from January to May 2020 and who received lumbar ESPB for postoperative analgesia were retrospectively analyzed. Informed consent was obtained to perform the ESPB on the day before surgery. The demographic details and the preoperative diagnosis, presentations, and functional status were noted. All patients were anesthetized using a standard anesthesia protocol. After induction, the trachea was intubated with the aid of a fiberoptic bronchoscope (asleep technique). The patients were then positioned prone on a cerebellar headrest with skull pin traction. As per the existing neurosurgical protocols, cortical bone on the PSIS was harvested (3 cm [depth] × 5 cm [length]). Prior to incision and at closure, the neck wound was infiltrated with 10 mL of 0.2% ropivacaine. Fentanyl (3–5µg/kg), morphine (0.04–0.1 mg/kg), and paracetamol (20 mg/kg) were given for intraoperative analgesia. Etamol (20 mg/kg) were given for intraoperative analgesia (cervical site).

At the end of the surgical procedure, in the prone position (prior to turning the patient supine), ESPB was performed at L1–2 level. The high-frequency linear probe was placed in a sagittal plane at L1–2 level. An 18 G Touhy needle (in-plane approach) was introduced in a craniocaudal direction. Eight to ten mL of 0.2% ropivacaine was injected at each level (L1–2) below the erector spinae muscle. After creating a space in this facial plane, a 20 G epidural catheter was placed between L1–2, and its correct placement was checked by injecting another 5–10 mL of 0.2% ropivacaine (total volume of 20–30 mL) and the catheter was tunneled through the skin to prevent catheter displacement. The volume and concentration of the injected drug were decided after calculating the toxic dose based on their body weight. For those who had catheter placement for postoperative analgesia received a bolus of 20 mL 0.2% every 12th hourly for a period of 48 to 72 hours. As per our postoperative analgesia protocol, all patients received inj. paracetamol 1 g every 6th hourly and inj. diclofenac 75 mg every 8th hourly for postoperative analgesia (cervical site).

Results

A total of six patients received US-guided lumbar ESPB, of which one received a single shot injection (case no. 4), and the rest had a catheter placement for postoperative analgesia for 48–72 hours. The mean age of patients was 24 years (range: 11–49); with the male:female ratio of 5:1; the mean body mass index was 18.7 (range: 14–24). Three patients had a preoperative neurological deficit, and the rest had no deficit. The average volume of intraoperative and the postoperative bolus was 27 (range: 15–30) and 21(range: 15–30) mL of 0.2% ropivacaine, respectively. All patients had a unilateral sensory blockade ranging from L1 to L3 dermatomal distribution, and none of them had motor blockade. The numerical rating scale (NRS) at the graft site (during rest and movement) at various periods for 48 hours (at arrival and 4,12, 24, and 48 hours) postoperatively is given in Fig. 1. None of the patients had an NRS of > 4 on movement at any time point except in case no. 4 in whom the catheter was not placed for postoperative analgesia. He had adequate pain relief for 12 hours following a single shot bolus, then he had moderate pain on movement with an NRS of 5 to 6 at the 24 and 48-hour time points. All patients were ambulated in and around the bed (with or without support) on the 2nd postoperative day except one, who had a cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak during the surgical procedure for which he was on bed rest for 5 days (case no. 6). The average length of the intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital stay was 1.1(range: 1–1.5) and 6 (range: 4–10) days, respectively. None of them had complications related to the ESPB. One patient needed reoperation for correct placement of a displaced occipital screw (case no. 5), while other patient had a CSF leak requiring complete bed rest for 5 days that resulted in increase in the duration of hospital stay (case no. 6). The demographic details, preoperative diagnosis, intraoperative and postoperative bolus, ambulation time, the duration of ICU, and hospital stay are depicted in Table 1.

Fig. 1 Numerical rating scale (NRS) score at rest and movement during the first 48 hours postoperative period.
Discussion
Iliac crest autograft is often considered the gold standard for spine fusion procedures because of its vital properties of being osteoconductive, osteoinductive, and osteogenic. It is also a cost-effective alternative to expensive bone graft extenders, which have limited proof of efficacy. Nonetheless, pain at the graft site is undoubtedly added to the morbidity. Improper pain management at the graft site delays the postoperative recovery. Studies have shown that various interfascial blocks, such as the lumbar plexus block, are feasible options to provide analgesia for the graft site pain. However, they have many pitfalls such as being deep blocks, with steep learning curves and a higher risk of complications due to the close vicinity to vital structures. ESPB, being a superficial interfascial plane block, has been reported to provide adequate analgesia (for both, visceral and somatic pain) for various surgical procedures. Though ESPB as a method of providing pain relief at the graft site has been described, the experience is sparse.

In this case series, we would like to strengthen/establish the use of ESPB to provide analgesia for patients undergoing PSIS bone graft for spine fusion. With its easily recognizable sonoanatomy, vital structures along the needle path, and easy catheter insertion without hemodynamic changes, this block is simple and safe while providing better-quality analgesia.

In this era of enhanced recovery after surgery, the ESPB facilitates rapid recovery due to better pain management, early mobilization, and faster discharge from hospital. Based on the findings of this case series, lumbar ESPB has been made as a standard of care for providing analgesia at the PSIS graft site pain in our institution.

Conclusion
US-guided lumbar ESPB provides excellent analgesia for the PSIS bone graft site pain, thereby promoting early ambulation. Further prospective randomized control trials are needed to compare its analgesic efficacy with the other facial plane blocks.
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Table 1 The demographic and intraoperative and the postoperative details of patients who received lumbar ESPB for PSIS graft site pain

| Case no | Age (y)/sex | BMI | Preoperative diagnosis | Pre-op Nurick grading | Volume and concentration of ropivacaine given during the intra-op period | Average volume and concentration of ropivacaine given during the post-op period | Ambulation time (post-op day) | Length of ICU stay (d) | Length of hospital stay (d) |
|---------|-------------|-----|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1       | 16/M        | 14  | Traumatic AAD with os-odontoidem | 2                     | 20 mL of 0.15%                                                          | 15 mL of 0.15% Q12th hourly                                                   | 2                             | 1                      | 5                      |
| 2       | 49/F        | 24  | Traumatic AAD           | 1                     | 30 mL of 0.2%                                                          | 20 mL of 0.2% Q12th hourly                                                   | 2                             | 1                      | 4                      |
| 3       | 29/M        | 20  | AAD                     | 1                     | 30 mL of 0.2%                                                          | 30 mL of 0.2% Q12th hourly                                                   | 2                             | 1                      | 4                      |
| 4       | 14/M        | 17  | Marfan syndrome with rotary AAD | 0                     | 30 mL of 0.2%                                                          | NA                                                                            | 2                             | 1                      | 4                      |
| 5       | 26/M        | 20  | Traumatic odontoid fracture, C4 facet, C5 body fracture | 1                     | 30 mL of 0.2%                                                          | 20 mL of 0.2% Q12th hourly                                                   | 2                             | 1.5                    | 10 (reoperation delayed the discharge) |
| 6       | 11/M        | 17  | Assimilation of Atlas with AAD | 3                     | 20 mL of 0.2%                                                          | 20 mL of 0.2% Q12th hourly                                                   | 5 (had CSF leak—bed rest for 5 d) | 1                      | 9                      |

Abbreviations: AAD, Atlantoaxial dislocation; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ESPB, erector spinae plane block; ICU, intensive care unit; PSIS, posterior–superior iliac spine.

a Not received catheter for postoperative analgesia.
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