Up-regulation of FOXD1 by YAP alleviates senescence and osteoarthritis

Lina Fu1,2,3*, Yuqiong Hu4,5*, Moshi Song3,6,7, Zunpeng Liu2,3, Weiqi Zhang1,3,7,8, Fuxing Yu9, Jun Wu10, Si Wang1,8, Juan Carlos Izpisua Belmonte11, Piu Chan12,13, Jing Qu1,2,3,7, Fuchou Tang4,5,12,13*, Guang-Hui Liu1,3,6,7,8,14*

1 National Laboratory of Biomacromolecules, CAS Center for Excellence in Biomacromolecules, Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, 2 State Key Laboratory of Stem Cell and Reproductive Biology, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, 3 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, 4 Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Genomics, College of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China, 5 Biomedical Pioneering Innovation Center, Peking University, Beijing, China, 6 State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, 7 Institute for Stem Cell and Regeneration, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, 8 Advanced Innovation Center for Human Brain Protection, National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, 9 Children’s Hospital and Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, 10 Department of Molecular Biology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, United States of America, 11 Gene Expression Laboratory, Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, California, United States of America, 12 Peking-Taishanhu Center for Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China, 13 Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Cell Proliferation and Differentiation, Beijing, China, 14 Beijing Institute for Brain Disorders, Beijing, China

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.
*
quijing@ioz.ac.cn (JQ); tangfuchou@pku.edu.cn (FT); ghlui@ibp.ac.cn (GHL)

Abstract

Cellular senescence is a driver of various aging-associated disorders, including osteoarthritis. Here, we identified a critical role for Yes-associated protein (YAP), a major effector of Hippo signaling, in maintaining a younger state of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) and ameliorating osteoarthritis in mice. Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/CRISPR associated protein 9 nuclease (Cas9)-mediated knockout (KO) of YAP in hMSCs resulted in premature cellular senescence. Mechanistically, YAP cooperated with TEA domain transcriptional factor (TEAD) to activate the expression of forkhead box D1 (FOXD1), a geroprotective protein. YAP deficiency led to the down-regulation of FOXD1. In turn, overexpression of YAP or FOXD1 rejuvenated aged hMSCs. Moreover, intra-articular administration of lentiviral vector encoding YAP or FOXD1 attenuated the development of osteoarthritis in mice. Collectively, our findings reveal YAP–FOXD1, a novel aging-associated regulatory axis, as a potential target for gene therapy to alleviate osteoarthritis.

Author summary

Stem cell aging contributes to aging-associated degenerative diseases. Studies aiming to characterize the mechanisms of stem cell aging are critical for obtaining a comprehensive understanding of cellular senescence and the development of age-related diseases. This study identifies YAP–FOXD1 as a potential therapeutic target for osteoarthritis, a chronic degenerative joint disease. By elucidating the role of YAP and FOXD1 in maintaining cellular senescence, this research advances our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying osteoarthritis development and provides a new approach for developing therapeutic strategies.
Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are widely distributed in adult tissues and have the capacities of self-renewal and differentiation into multiple cell lineages, such as chondrocytes, osteoblasts, and adipocytes [1]. MSCs are involved in tissue repair and homeostatic maintenance [2,3]. Over time, MSCs exhibit an age-associated decline in their number and function [4–6], namely, MSC senescence, which may be implicated in the loss of tissue homeostatic maintenance and leads to organ failure and degenerative diseases [7–10]. Therefore, an understanding of the mechanisms underlying MSC senescence will likely reveal novel therapeutic targets for ameliorating degenerative diseases.

Osteoarthritis is a prevalent aging-associated disorder that is characterized by the progressive deterioration of articular cartilage [11,12]. In osteoarthritis joints, degenerative changes start with cellular disorganization, gradual stiffening, and irregular surface of superficial zone sive deterioration of articular cartilage [11,12]. In osteoarthritis joints, degenerative changes of self-renewal and differentiation into multiple cell lineages, such as chondrocytes, osteoblasts, and adipocytes [1]. MSCs are involved in tissue repair and homeostatic maintenance [2,3]. Over time, MSCs exhibit an age-associated decline in their number and function [4–6], namely, MSC senescence, which may be implicated in the loss of tissue homeostatic maintenance and leads to organ failure and degenerative diseases [7–10]. Therefore, an understanding of the mechanisms underlying MSC senescence will likely reveal novel therapeutic targets for ameliorating degenerative diseases.
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essential transducers of mechanical signals [34]. Here, we identified a critical role for YAP, but not TAZ, in regulating human MSC (hMSC) senescence. YAP exerted a geroprotective effect on hMSCs through the transcriptional activation of forkhead box D1 (FOXD1) in a TEA domain transcription factor (TEAD)-dependent manner. Gene therapy with lentiviral vectors encoding YAP or FOXD1 prevented cellular aging and attenuated osteoarthritis in mice. Our data suggest that YAP and its downstream target FOXD1 are novel suppressors of hMSC senescence and that the YAP–FOXD1 regulatory axis represents a potential therapeutic target for osteoarthritis.

Results

YAP, but not TAZ, safeguards hMSCs from senescence

We first used Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 nuclease (Cas9)-mediated gene editing [35] to generate isogenic human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) lacking YAP or TAZ to study the functions of YAP and TAZ in regulating human stem cell homeostasis (S1A, S1B and S1G Fig). Successful gene targeting at the YAP or TAZ locus were verified by genomic polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) (S1C and S1D Fig). Western blot and immunofluorescence further confirmed the complete ablation of YAP and TAZ protein in YAP−/− and TAZ−/− hESCs, respectively, with no detectable compensations between YAP and TAZ (Fig 1A, 1B and 1C). Both YAP−/− and TAZ−/− hESCs maintained normal pluripotency (Fig 1D) and cell cycle kinetics (Fig 1E) and were able to differentiate into tissues composed of all 3 germ layers in vivo (S1E Fig). Karyotype and genome-wide copy number variation (CNV) analyses demonstrated that genomic integrity was maintained in YAP−/− and TAZ−/− hESCs after more than 30 passages (Figs 1F and S1F). Moreover, YAP−/− and TAZ−/− hESCs displayed transcriptional profiles that were highly similar to wild type (WT) hESCs (Fig 1G).

We next differentiated WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hESCs into hMSCs (Fig 2A) [35–38]. The derived hMSCs expressed a series of hMSC markers including CD105, CD166, CD29, CD90, CD73, CD44, CD13, and human leukocyte antigens, A, B and C (HLA-ABC) and were negative for hematopoietic or skeletal lineage markers CD34, CD43, CD45, CD14, CD19, podoplanin (PDPN), and CD164, resembling the resident CD105+ , CD166+ , and CD29+ MSCs in the superficial zone of articular cartilage (Figs 2A and S2A) [39–41]. Whereas WT hMSCs were able to differentiate into chondrocytes, osteoblasts, and adipocytes, YAP−/− and TAZ−/− hMSCs exhibited compromised differentiation abilities into osteoblasts and chondrocytes (S2B–S2E Fig). Additionally, the growth rates of WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs were analyzed through in vitro serial passaging. Compared with WT and TAZ−/− hMSCs, YAP-deficient hMSCs exhibited early-onset aging characteristics and arrested at passage 6 (Fig 2B). Increased levels of senescence-associated–β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) activity (Fig 2C) and increased expression of P16, P53, and P21 (Fig 2D) were detected at as early as passage 4. Concomitantly, YAP−/− hMSCs showed a series of premature phenotypes, including (1) a decreased percentage of cells in synthesis (S) phase and increased percentages of cells in gap phases (G0, G1, and G2) and mitosis (M) phase (Fig 2E), (2) a lower percentage of Ki67-positive cells (S2F and S2G Fig), (3) decreased levels of the nuclear lamina-associated protein 2 (LAP2; S2F and S2G Fig), (4) reduced levels of heterochromatin protein 1 alpha (HP1α) and heterochromatin protein 1 gamma (HP1γ; S2F and S2G Fig), and (5) higher levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS; S3A Fig), compared with WT and TAZ−/− hMSCs. We subsequently examined whether the YAP deficiency resulted in stem cell attrition in vivo. WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs were transduced with a lentiviral vector expressing luciferase (Luc) and injected into the tibialis anterior (TA) muscles of immunodeficient mice. Consistent with the
in vitro observations, YAP−/− hMSCs, but not TAZ−/− or WT hMSCs, exhibited an accelerated functional decay after transplantation in vivo (Figs 2F and 2G and S3B and S3C).

To further evaluate the effect of YAP in hMSCs, WT hMSCs were transduced with lentiviruses encoding a single guide RNA (sgRNA) targeting YAP or a non-targeting control (NTC) sgRNA, as well as CRISPR/Cas9 [42,43]. Phenotypic characterizations revealed that the down-regulation of YAP in hMSCs also resulted in a similar premature aging phenotype (S3D and S3E Fig). By contrast, ectopic expression of YAP rescued the premature senescence observed in YAP−/− hMSCs, as evidenced by the reduced percentage of SA-β-gal–positive cells (Fig 2H),
Fig 2. YAP−/− hMSCs exhibit accelerated senescence. (A) Brightfield micrographs and FACS analysis of the surface markers CD105, CD73, and CD90 in WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs. Scale bar, 50 μm. (B) Cell growth curves of WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3. (C) SA-β-gal staining of WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs at passage 4. Scale bar, 100 μm. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. (D) Western blot analysis of YAP, TAZ, P16, P21, and P53 in WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs. GAPDH was used as a loading control (left). The protein levels normalized with GAPDH were shown as fold change relative to WT hMSCs (right). Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (E) Cell cycle analysis of WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.01. (F) WT and YAP−/− hMSCs transduced with a lentivirus expressing Luc were injected into the TA muscle of immunodeficient mice. Luc activities were imaged at day (D)0, D1, D3, D5, and D7 after cell implantation. Representative images at D0 and D7 are shown. (G) Data are presented as the ratios of YAP−/− to WT cells (log10 fold), mean ± SD, n = 5, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (H) SA-β-gal staining of YAP−/− hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc or YAP. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. (I) YAP−/− hMSCs overexpressing GFP plus Luc and YAP−/− hMSCs overexpressing YAP plus Luc were implanted into the TA muscles of immunodeficient mice. The Luc activities were measured at different time points after cell implantation. Data are presented as the ratios of YAP to GFP (log10 fold), mean ± SD, n = 5, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data. APC, allophycocyanin; CD, cluster of differentiation; D, day; FACS, fluorescence activated cell sorter; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; G, gap; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GFP, green fluorescent protein; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; Lenti, lentivirus; Luc, luciferase; M, mitosis; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; ns, not significant; PE, phycoerythrin; S, synthesis; SA-β-gal, senescence-associated-β-galactosidase; TA, tibialis anterior; TAZ, transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif; WT, wild type; YAP, Yes-associated protein.
enhanced growth rate and clonal expansion ability (S3F and S3G Fig), decreased expression of P16 and P21 (S3H Fig), lower levels of ROS (S3I Fig), and slower in vivo decay after engraftment (Figs 2I and S3J). Taken together, these data suggest that YAP, but not TAZ, plays an essential role in protecting hMSCs from premature senescence.

YAP suppresses hMSC senescence in a TEAD-dependent manner

Given that YAP and TAZ displayed distinct functions in regulating hMSC senescence, we next examined whether there were differences in the subcellular localizations of YAP and TAZ. In hMSCs, YAP was predominantly located in the nucleus, whereas TAZ was in the cytoplasm (Fig 3A). It has been shown that nuclear YAP binds transcription factors, including TEAD family of transcription factors (TEAD1, 2, 3, and 4), as a transcriptional coactivator to induce target gene expression and thus regulate a series of cellular processes [44]. To test whether the nuclear YAP acted in conjunction with TEAD to regulate hMSC senescence, we blocked the activities of all the members of TEAD family in hMSCs as confirmed by immunoblotting analysis (referred to as TEADs knockdown [KD] and KO hMSCs, TEADs KD/KO hMSCs; Fig 3B). Similar to YAP-deficient hMSCs, TEADs KD/KO hMSCs also showed major phenotypes of premature senescence, such as an increased number of SA-β-gal–positive cells (Fig 3C), compromised clonal expansion abilities (S4A Fig), and up-regulation of P16 and P21 (S4B Fig). These observations suggest that YAP safeguards hMSCs from premature senescence in a TEAD-dependent manner.

FOXD1 mediates YAP deficiency-induced senescence

To elucidate the molecular mechanism underlying YAP–TEAD regulation of hMSC senescence, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analyses of WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs were performed (S4C Fig). TAZ−/− hMSCs displayed comparable transcriptional features compared to those of WT hMSCs, whereas YAP−/− hMSCs exhibited a substantial number of differentially expressed genes (Figs 3D and S4E and S2 and S3 Datas). We observed few overlaps between differentially expressed genes in YAP KO and TAZ KO hMSCs compared to WT cells (S4D Fig), consistent with differential subcellular localization patterns of YAP and TAZ in hMSCs. Searching for TEAD binding motifs in the genome [45,46] identified 476 (55%) of the 862 down-regulated genes in YAP−/− hMSCs as potential TEAD targets (P < 1.0 × 10−4; Fig 3E). Among them, FOXD1 was the most significantly down-regulated gene in YAP−/− hMSCs (S4 Data).

Western blotting verified the down-regulation of FOXD1 expression in YAP−/− hMSCs (Fig 3F) as well as its up-regulation upon the reintroduction of YAP (Fig 3G), suggesting that FOXD1 was transcriptionally controlled by YAP. We examined the FOXD1 promoter region, including 1,500 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS) and identified 4 putative TEAD binding sites between −1,500 and −1,000 bp and 1 between −1,000 bp and the TSS (Fig 3H). Accordingly, we detected these 2 regions followed by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) using YAP and TEAD4 antibodies, revealing that YAP and TEAD4 bound predominantly within 1,000 bp upstream of the FOXD1 TSS, where there was a putative TEAD binding site (Fig 3I and 3J). Next, we cloned this promoter region (−1,000 bp to the TSS) as a transcriptional element upstream of a basic Luc reporter. Reporter activity was lower in YAP−/− hMSCs than in WT cells (Fig 3K) and was increased upon YAP or TEAD4 overexpression. Luc activity was even higher upon the expression of a constitutively activated YAP mutant (YAP-S127A) and was further enhanced by coexpression of YAP and TEAD4 (Fig 3L). The high levels of Luc activity were significantly abolished when we mutated the predicted TEAD binding site (Fig 3M). By contrast, ChIP assay demonstrated that TAZ did not bind to the FOXD1 promoter.

YAP-FOX D1 axis alleviate s senescence and osteoarthritis
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FoxD1 depletion in hMSCs increased the percentage of SA–gal–positive cells (Fig 4B), and the Luc activity was insensitive to cellular TAZ levels (Figs 3K and S4G). Therefore, the YAP–TEAD pathway, but not TAZ, transcriptionally activates FoxD1 expression.

FoxD1 was initially implicated in renal development [47], but there was a lack of evidence for a link between FoxD1 and cellular senescence. To investigate whether FoxD1 participated in YAP deficiency–induced accelerated senescence of hMSCs, we knocked out FoxD1 in hMSCs using a lentiviral vector–dependent CRISPR/Cas9 system [42,43] (Figs 4A and S5A). FoxD1 depletion in hMSCs increased the percentage of SA–gal–positive cells (Fig 4B), inhibited clonal expansion (SSB Fig), and up-regulated P16 and P21 expression (SSC Fig), recapitulating the major phenotypes implicated in premature senescence caused by the YAP deficiency. The overexpression of FoxD1 in YAP−/− hMSCs effectively alleviated the accelerated senescence (Fig 4C).

In addition, we also examined the gene expression profile of FoxD1 KO hMSCs using RNA-seq (S5 Data). FoxD1 KO decreased the expression of genes that were mainly associated with cell division and DNA replication, which ultimately contributed to the senescence phenotypes (Fig 4D and S6 Data). Combined analyses with WT and YAP−/− hMSCs showed that FoxD1-deficient and YAP−/− hMSCs were similar to each other at the transcriptomic level (S5D and SSE Fig). Many differentially expressed genes were overlapped between YAP−/− (compared to WT) and FoxD1 KO (compared to NTC-transduced) hMSCs, including 116 up-regulated genes accounting for 20% of the total up-regulated genes in YAP−/− hMSCs and 276 down-regulated genes accounting for 32% of the total down-regulated genes in YAP−/− hMSCs (Fig 4E and 4F), implying an important role for FoxD1 in mediating YAP deficiency–induced premature cellular aging. Of note, many of those commonly down-regulated genes were elevated upon ectopic expression of FoxD1 in YAP−/− hMSCs (Fig 4G). Conversely, ectopic expression of YAP in FoxD1 KO or TEADs KD/KO hMSCs did not exert obvious rescue effect on the senescence phenotypes (SSF and SSG Fig). Taken together, these data indicate that down-regulation of FoxD1, an effector of YAP–TEAD signaling, contributes to the premature senescence induced by YAP deficiency.
The YAP–FOXD1 axis exerts a geroprotective effect on replicative and pathological cellular aging

To further elucidate the relationship between the YAP–FOXD1 axis and human stem cell aging, we examined the expression levels of YAP, pan-TEAD, and FOXD1 in both replicative-senescence (RS) hMSCs and Werner syndrome (WS) hMSCs, a human stem cell model for geriatric research. We found that the YAP–FOXD1 axis is involved in the regulation of cellular aging, as evidenced by the expression levels of these transcription factors in both replicative-senescence and Werner syndrome hMSCs.

**Fig 4. FOXD1 mediates YAP deficiency-induced senescence.**

(A) Western blot analysis of FOXD1 in hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing NTC or FOXD1 sgRNA, as well as CRISPR/Cas9. GAPDH was used as a loading control (left). The protein levels normalized with GAPDH were shown as fold change relative to lenti-NTC sgRNA transduced hMSCs (right). Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.01.**

(B) SA-β-gal staining of hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc or FOXD1. Scale bar, 100 μm. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001.

(C) SA-β-gal staining of YAP−/− hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc or FOXD1. Scale bar, 100 μm. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.01.

(D) GO-BP enrichment analysis of down-regulated genes in FOXD1 KO hMSCs. (E) Venn diagram showing differentially expressed genes in both YAP−/− hMSCs (relative to WT) and FOXD1 KO hMSCs (relative to NTC). (F) RNA-seq heat map showing the rescue of aging-associated genes by FOXD1 overexpression in YAP−/− hMSCs. The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data.

**Note:**
- ANLN, anillin actin binding protein; ASPM, abnormal spindle microtubule assembly; AURKB, aurora kinase B; BIRC5, baculoviral IAP repeat containing 5; BP, biological process; BUB1, BUB1 mitotic checkpoint serine/threonine kinase; Cas9, CRISPR associated protein 9 nuclease; CCNA2, cyclin A2; CCNB1, cyclin B1; CDC20, cell division cycle 20; CENPF, centromere protein F; CEP55, centrosomal protein 55; CKS1B, CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 1B; CRISPR, Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats; CXCL12, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 12; DEK, DEK proto-oncogene; DLGAP5, DLG associated protein 5; DLK, delta like non-canonical Notch ligand 1; FOXD1, forkhead box D1; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GTSE1, G2 and S-phase expressed 1; GO, gene ontology; H2AFZ, H2A histone family member Z; HMGB1, high mobility group box 1; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; KIF2C, kinesin family member 2C; KIF11, kinesin family member 11; KO, knockdown; lenti, lentivirus; Luc, luciferase; MCM7, minichromosome maintenance complex component 7; MYBL2, MYB proto-oncogene like 2; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; ns, not significant; NASP, nuclear autoantigenic sperm protein; NUSAP1, nucleolar and spindle associated protein 1; NTC, non-targeting control; PRC1, protein regulator of cytokinesis 1; RNA-seq, RNA sequencing; RRM2, ribonucleotide reductase regulatory subunit M2; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SA-β-gal, senescence-associated β-galactosidase; sgRNA, single guide RNA; SMC4, structural maintenance of chromosomes 4; TACC3, transforming acidic coiled-coil containing protein 3; TK1, thymidine kinase 1; TMPO, thymopoietin; TOP2A, DNA topoisomerase II alpha; WT, wild type; YAP, Yes-associated protein.
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premature aging disorder WS [37, 48]. Western blotting revealed decreased levels of YAP, pan-TEAD, and FOXD1 in both types of senescent hMSCs (Fig 5A and 5D). Moreover, the activity of 8 × GTIIC-Luc, a YAP/TAZ-responsive reporter, decreased in both RS hMSCs and WS hMSCs (Fig 5B and 5E). Lentiviral overexpression of YAP or FOXD1 effectively attenuated the senescent features of RS hMSCs (Figs 5C and S6A–S6C) and WS hMSCs (Figs 5F and S6D–S6G). We also observed diminished protein levels of YAP and FOXD1 in RS-primary hMSCs isolated from human bone marrow (BM-hMSCs) (Fig 5G). In BM-hMSCs, KO of YAP or FOXD1 with a CRISPR/Cas9 system promoted cellular senescence (Fig 5H–5K), whereas the overexpression of YAP or FOXD1 delayed BM-hMSC senescence (Fig 5L and 5M). Collectively, these observations establish a geroprotective role for the YAP–FOXD1 axis in alleviating hMSC aging.

Overexpression of YAP or FOXD1 attenuates post-traumatic osteoarthritis in mice

Mesodermal cellular aging has emerged as a fundamental hallmark of aging-related disorders, including osteoarthritis, one of the most common degenerative diseases, the incidence of which increases significantly with age. Dysfunction of MSCs residing in the superficial zone of cartilage precedes osteoarthritis [15–19, 21, 22] that is characterized by articular cartilage degradation [49–51]. To validate a role of hMSC senescence in driving osteoarthritis, we injected young hMSCs, RS hMSCs, and RS hMSCs overexpressing YAP or FOXD1, respectively, into the joints of immunodeficient mice and performed histological assessment of the joints 1 month later (S7A Fig). In line with a previous report [52], Safranin O staining revealed the delamination of the articular surface and erosion of articular cartilage in the RS hMSC–administered joints (S7B and S7C Fig). However, no osteoarthritis-related features manifested in the joints transplanted with young hMSCs or RS hMSCs overexpressing YAP or FOXD1. RT-qPCR further demonstrated that RS hMSCs, rather than young hMSCs, and RS hMSCs overexpressing YAP or FOXD1 induced aging markers in mouse joints (S7D Fig). These results suggest that accumulation of senescent MSCs in joints contributes to the development of osteoarthritis, which can be eliminated by YAP or FOXD1 overexpression.

The elimination of local senescent cells using pharmacological or genetic approaches have been proven effective in attenuating age-associated bone loss and development of post-traumatic osteoarthritis in rodents [51, 53]. Given the ability of YAP or FOXD1 to rejuvenate senescent MSCs, we hypothesized that intra-articular injection of lentiviral vectors expressing YAP or FOXD1 might exert a therapeutic effect on osteoarthritis. To test this, we performed an anterior cruciate ligament transection (ACLT) surgery widely used to trigger osteoarthritis in mice and then administrated the lentiviruses expressing flag-tagged Luc, YAP, or FOXD1 intra-articularly (Fig 6A). The lentiviral vectors steadily expressed exogenous proteins in and around the joints receiving virus injection for at least 7 weeks (S8A Fig). High expression levels of YAP and FOXD1 were detectable by RT-qPCR (Fig 6B and 6C); immunohistochemical analysis of the flag-tagged Luc, YAP, and FOXD1 further verified the persistent infection of the lentiviruses and expression of indicated proteins primarily in the superficial zone of articular cartilage (S8B Fig). As expected, ACLT induced the accumulation of P16-positive senescent cells in the articular cartilage, particularly in the superficial zone of cartilage, of the osteoarthritis mice (Fig 6D), which was accompanied by decreased levels of YAP and FOXD1 (S8C and S8D Fig). YAP or FOXD1 gene therapy reduced the number of senescent cells and alleviated ACLT-induced articular cartilage erosion and clefts (Fig 6D and 6E). Consistently, a substantial proportion of gene expression changes in the joints induced by ACLT were reversed by YAP or FOXD1 gene therapy (S8C–S8F Fig and S7 Data). For instance, increased expression...
Fig 5. The YAP–FOX D1 axis counteracts replicative and pathological senescence. (A) Western blot analysis of YAP, Pan-TEAD, and FOXD1 in RS hMSCs. GAPDH was used as a loading control. The protein levels normalized with GAPDH were shown as fold change relative to P2 hMSCs (right). Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (B) The 8 × GTIIC-Luc activity detected in RS hMSCs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (C) SA-β-gal staining of RS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.01. (D) Western blot analysis of YAP, Pan-TEAD, and FOXD1 in WT and WS hMSCs. GAPDH was used as a loading control (left). The protein levels normalized with GAPDH were shown as fold change relative to WT hMSCs (right). Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < 0.01, **P < 0.001. (E) The 8 × GTIIC-Luc activity determined in WT and WS hMSCs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < 0.01. (F) SA-β-gal staining of WS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.001. (G) Western blot analysis of YAP and FOXD1 in RS BM-
of genes associated with inflammation (Mmp13, Il6, etc.), cellular senescence (P21, Serpine1, etc.), and cell apoptosis (Daplk1, Casp4, etc.) were observed in ACLT-induced osteoarthritis joints, and the expression levels of most of these genes were diminished upon YAP or FOXD1 treatment. Moreover, the YAP or FOXD1 treatment enhanced the expression of proliferation markers (Ki67, Aspm, etc.) and chondrocyte differentiation-related genes (Col2a1, Acan, etc.) (Fig 6F). Taken together, these data suggest that the YAP- or FOXD1-mediated alleviation of cellular senescence in local bone joints helps create a prochondrogenic environment and alleviates disease symptoms.

**Discussion**

Cellular senescence and stem cell exhaustion are hallmarks of aging [54]. Accelerated attrition of the MSC pool has been observed in human stem cell and mouse models of premature aging disorders, including WS and Hutchinson Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS) [37,55]. Transplantation of mesoderm-derived stem cells from young animals increases the lifespan of progeroid mice [56]. Quercetin has been shown to alleviate MSC senescence [57], improve physical function, and increase lifespan in aged mice [58]. From this perspective, senescent MSCs could be good therapeutic targets for aging-associated degenerative disorders. In this study, we presented several lines of evidence supporting a geroprotective role of YAP and FOXD1 in rejuvenating hMSCs: (1) YAP is required for preventing premature senescence of hMSCs; (2) YAP transcriptionally activates FOXD1 expression whereas YAP deficiency results in down-regulation of FOXD1, which contributes to the early-onset of cellular aging; and (3) lentiviral gene transfer of YAP or FOXD1 alleviates cellular senescence and osteoarthritis. Our findings define a critical role of the YAP–FOXD1 axis in regulating hMSC aging, which highlights new avenues for translation into geriatric and regenerative medicine.

The Hippo-YAP/TAZ signaling pathway is an evolutionarily conserved pathway that regulates cell proliferation and apoptosis. Here, we focused on the function of YAP and/or TAZ in regulating hMSC senescence. We generated isogenic YAP- or TAZ-deficient hMSCs. Compared with WT cells, TAZ−/− hMSCs showed minimal effect in cell growth, whereas YAP−/− hMSCs exhibited accelerated senescence. The cytoplasmic localization of TAZ underlays its inactivation in hMSCs, whereas nuclear YAP was essential for counteracting hMSC senescence. Consistent with our observations, emerging studies have revealed the differences between YAP and TAZ. For example, TAZ promotes the myogenic differentiation of myoblasts at late stages of myogenesis, whereas YAP inhibits this process in mice [59]. However, in-depth insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying these functional differences require further investigations.
Fig 6. The YAP and FOXD1 gene therapy alleviates osteoarthritis in mice. (A) Schematic of the time course used for the in vivo osteoarthritis experiments. (B and C) RT-qPCR analysis of YAP and FOXD1 expression in the joints of mice that did not undergo YAP-FOX D1 axis alleviates senescence and osteoarthritis.
FOXD1 is a new downstream target of YAP, loss of which mediates the senescent phenotype of YAP-deficient hMSCs. As a member of the forkhead box family of transcription factors, FOXD1 is known to regulate kidney development during organogenesis [60,61]. Recently, FOXD1 has been shown to promote cell proliferation by targeting the sonic hedgehog pathway and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors [62,63]. FOXD1 also facilitates the reprogramming of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) into induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) [64]. Here, we identified a geroprotective role for FOXD1 as a transcriptional target of YAP in rejuvenating hMSCs. Overexpression of YAP or FOXD1 delayed replicative and pathological senescence, implying a therapeutic potential of targeting the YAP–FOXD1 axis to relieve aging-associated degenerative diseases.

In a therapeutic context, we provided a proof-of-concept evidence that intra-articular lentiviral transduction of a single protein exerted therapeutic effects on ACLT-induced osteoarthritis, an age-related disorder. Because ACLT-induced osteoarthritis is accompanied by the accumulation of senescent cells [65], efforts have been made on chemical-induced elimination of senescent cells to alleviate osteoarthritis in mouse models [50,51]. With gene therapy offering novel therapeutic options for osteoarthritis [66], intra-articular injection presents a minimally invasive procedure that avoids conventional barriers to joint entry, increases bioavailability, and lowers systemic toxicity [67]. For the first time, our study shows that the intra-articular injection of lentiviruses expressing YAP or FOXD1 reduces the number of senescent cells, inhibits articular inflammation and cartilage erosion, and ameliorates the pathological symptoms. Therefore, gene therapy via the introduction of geroprotective factors aiming at rejuvenating senescent cells may represent a new avenue to treating osteoarthritis in the future.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All animal experiments were conducted in compliance with animal protocols approved by the Chinese Academy of Science Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, licensed by the Science and Technology Commission of Beijing Municipality (SYXK-2016-0026). All mice were housed under a 12-hour light/dark cycle at constant temperature (22°C). Food and water were available ad libitum. Mice were anaesthetized using isoflurane and euthanized with CO2 followed by cervical dislocation.
Cell culture

Human H9 ESCs as well as derived YAP\(^{-/-}\) and TAZ\(^{-/-}\) hESCs were maintained on feeder layers of mitomycin C–inactivated MEFs in hESC medium [68] (DMEM/F12 [Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA], 20% Knockout Serum Replacement [Thermo Fisher Scientific], 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids [NEAAs; Thermo Fisher Scientific], 2 mM GlutaMAX [Thermo Fisher Scientific], 1% penicillin/streptomycin [Thermo Fisher Scientific], 55 μM β-mercaptoethanol [Thermo Fisher Scientific], and 10 ng/ml bFGF [Joint Protein Central, Incheon, Korea] or on Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) in mTeSR medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Vancouver, Canada). hESCs derived hMSCs and BM-hMSCs (purchased from Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) were cultured in hMSC medium (αMEM + GlutaMAX [Thermo Fisher Scientific], 10% fetal bovine serum [Gibco, Cat: 10099–141, Lot: 1616964], 1% penicillin/streptomycin [Thermo Fisher Scientific], and 1 ng/ml bFGF [Joint Protein Central]). No mycoplasma contamination was observed during cell culture.

Antibodies

Antibodies used for western blotting, immunostaining and flow cytometry included anti-YAP (15407; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-YAP (52771; Abcam, Cambridge, MA), anti-TAZ (4883; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), anti-GAPDH (25778; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-P16 (550834; BD), anti-P21 (2947s; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-P53 (1101; Abcam), anti-β-tubulin (5274; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-β-actin (69879; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-Pan TEAD (13295; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-FOXO1 (PA5-27142; Thermo Fisher Scientific), anti-NANOG (21624; Abcam), anti-OCT3/4 (5279; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-SOX2 (17320; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-TUJ1 (T2200; Sigma, St. Louis, MO), anti-FOXO2 (8186; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-SMA (A5228; Sigma), anti-Ki67 (VP-RM04; Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA), anti-LAP2 (611000; BD), anti-β1 integrin (2616S; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-α1 integrin (2619; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Aggrecan (AF1220; R&D, Minneapolis, MN), anti-Osteocalcin (MAB1419; R&D), anti-FABP4 (AF3150; R&D), anti-CD73 (550741; BD Bioscience), anti-CD90 (555959; BD Bioscience), anti-CD105 (17–1057; eBioscience, San Diego, CA), anti-CD29 (303004; Biolegend, San Diego, CA), anti-CD34 (550989; BD Bioscience), anti-CD13 (301705; Biolegend), anti-CD166 (343903; Biolegend), anti-CD14 (555398; BD Biosciences), anti-CD19 (555415; BD Biosciences), anti-CD43 (580198; BD Biosciences), anti-CD45 (555482; BD Biosciences), anti-CD4 (555398; BD Biosciences), anti-CD164 (324805; Biolegend).

Generation of YAP\(^{-/-}\) and TAZ\(^{-/-}\) hESCs

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene targeting was performed using previously described methods, with some modifications [69]. The YAP or TAZ gRNA was cloned into the gRNA vector (Addgene #41824). The donor plasmid for homologous recombination containing homology arms and a neo cassette was described previously [70]. Briefly, 5 × 10\(^6\) H9 ESCs were mixed with the plasmid cocktail and electroporated. After electroporation, cells were plated on a G418-resistant MEF feeder layer. Two days later, cells were treated with 100 μg/ml G418 (Gibco, 10131027) for screening. After 2 weeks of selection, G418-resistant clones were manually picked, transferred to 96-well plates, and expanded for genotyping. Gene-targeted clones were identified using genomic PCR. gRNA sequences and primers are listed in S1 Data.
Directed differentiation of hESCs into hMSCs

hMSCs were differentiated from hESCs as previously described [70–72]. Briefly, hESCs were dissociated into embryoid bodies and then plated on Matrigel-coated plates in differentiation medium (α-MEM + GlutaMAX [Thermo Fisher Scientific], 10% FBS [Gibco, Cat: 10099–141, Lot: 1616964], 1% penicillin/streptomycin [Thermo Fisher Scientific], 10 ng/ml bFGF, and 5 ng/ml TGFβ [HumanZyme, Chicago, IL]). After 10 days, the confluent MSC-like cells were passaged to gelatin-coated plates and sorted by FACS to purify CD73/CD90/CD105 triple-positive hMSCs, which were further characterized by flow cytometry analysis of the surface antigens, including CD166, CD29, CD44, CD13, HLA-ABC, CD34, CD43, CD45, CD14, CD19, PDPN, and CD164. The functionality of hMSCs was verified by differentiation to osteoblasts, chondrocytes, and adipocytes.

Lentiviral CRISPR/Cas9-mediated YAP or FOXD1 KO

Lentiviral CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing was performed as previously described [42]. Briefly, the sgRNA targeting YAP or FOXD1 was cloned into lentiCRISPRv2 vector (Addgene #52961), which contains 2 expression cassettes, hSpCas9 and the chimeric sgRNA. Then, the plasmids were packaged into lentiviruses and transduced into hMSCs; 72 hours later, transduced cells were treated with 1 µg/ml puromycin (Gibco, A1113803) for enriching transduced cells. The sgRNA sequences are listed in S1 Data.

Generation of TEADs KD/KO hMSCs

We generated 2 lentiviral constructs to silence the expression of TEAD1, 2, 3, and 4: one containing an shRNA targeting TEAD1, TEAD3, and TEAD4 and the other containing an sgRNA targeting TEAD2 [73]. The shRNA was cloned into the PLVTHM vector (Addgene #12247), and the sgRNA was cloned into lentiCRISPRv2. We then cotransduced these lentiviral constructs into hMSCs. Seventy-two hours later, transduced cells were enriched by treatment with 1 µg/ml puromycin (Gibco, A1113803). The targeting sequences are listed in S1 Data.

Lentivirus packaging

For packaging of the lentivirus, HEK293T cells were cotransfected with lentiviral vectors, psPAX2 (Addgene #12260) and pMD2.G (Addgene #12259). Viral particles were collected by ultracentrifugation at 19,400 g for 2.5 hours.

Flow cytometry analysis

For the cell cycle analysis, the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 647 Flow Cytometry Assay Kit (C-10419; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For ROS measurements, living cells were incubated with ROS indicators (1 µM CM-H2DCFDA, C6827; Molecular Probes). All experiments were measured with an LSRFortessa cell analyzer (BD), and data were analyzed using FlowJo software (TreeStar, Ashland, OR).

Immunofluorescence staining

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes, washed with PBS, permeabilized with 0.4% Trion X-100 in PBS, and then blocked with 10% donkey serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs, West Grove, PA). Afterwards, cells were incubated with primary antibodies in blocking solution at 4°C overnight, followed by an incubation with the corresponding secondary antibodies and Hoechst 33342 for 1 hour at room temperature.
SA-β-gal staining
The SA-β-gal staining of hMSCs was conducted using a previously described method [74].

Protein, RNA, and DNA analyses
For western blotting, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and quantified with a BCA kit. Generally, 20 μg of cell lysate was subjected to SDS-PAGE and electrotransferred to a PVDF membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Then, the membrane was incubated with primary and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. Western blot data were quantified with Image Lab software for the ChemiDoc XRS system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). For RT-qPCR, cellular total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and genomic DNA was removed with a DNA-free Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX), followed by cDNA synthesis with the GoScript Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Madison, WI). RT-qPCR was performed with qPCR Mix (TOYOBO, Tokyo, Japan) in a CFX384 Real-Time system (Bio-Rad). For genomic PCR, genomic DNA was extracted with a DNA extraction kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China), and PCR was conducted using PrimeSTAR (TAKARA, Tokyo, Japan).

Clonal expansion assay
Two thousand cells were seeded in each well of a 12-well plate and then cultured until clear cell colonies formed to determine the clonal expansion abilities of hMSCs. The relative colony area was then determined by performing crystal violet staining and measured using ImageJ software.

Dual Luc assay
The indicated fragments of the FOXD1 promoter were amplified by PCR and cloned into the pGL3-Basic vector (Promega). The mutant of pGL3-FOXD1 promoter 2-Luc was constructed with the Fast Mutagenesis System kit (FM111; Transgen Biotech, Beijing, China). PGL3-FOXD1 promoter 2-Luc or PGL3-FOXD1 promoter 2(mut)-Luc was transfected into hMSCs together with vectors expressing the proteins of interest and Renilla-Luc, which was used to normalize the transfection efficiency. For detection of the 8×GTIIC-Luc activity, the 8× GTIIC reporter (Addgene #34615) and Renilla-Luc plasmids were cotransfected into hMSCs. Cells were harvested 72 hours later using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Vigorous Biotechnology, Beijing, China) and assayed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

ChIP
ChIP was performed using a previously reported protocol with minor modifications [75]. Briefly, cells were cross-linked with 1% (v/v) formaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature, and the reaction was terminated by the addition of 125 mM glycine and an incubation for 5 minutes at room temperature. Then, cells were scraped and lysed in lysis buffer. After sonication, protein-DNA complexes were incubated with antibody-coupled Protein A beads at 4°C overnight. After elution and reverse cross-linking at 68°C, DNA was purified by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation and then subjected to qPCR analysis. Antibodies for ChIP included anti-YAP (14074; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-TAZ (4883; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-TEAD4 (101184; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and normal rabbit IgG (2027; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as a negative control.
Animal experiments

For the teratoma analysis, 5 × 10⁶ hESCs were subcutaneously injected into NOD-SCID mice (6 to 8 weeks, male). After 8 to 12 weeks, the tumors were excised, fixed, dehydrated, embedded in O.C.T. compound, sectioned while frozen, and analyzed by immunostaining.

For hMSC transplantation assays, 1 × 10⁶ hMSCs transduced with a lentivirus expressing Luc were injected into the midportion of the TA muscle of nude mice (6 to 8 weeks, male). Then, 0, 1, 3, 5, and 7 days after transplantation, mice were treated with D-luciferin (GoldBio, St. Louis, MO) and imaged with an IVIS spectrum imaging system (XENOGEN, Caliper, Waltham, MA). Bioluminescence images were acquired in “auto” mode.

For RS-hMSC–induced osteoarthritis, we transplanted PBS, young hMSCs, RS hMSCs, and RS hMSCs overexpressing YAP or FOXD1 intra-articularly into the joints of NOD-SCID mice (6 to 8 weeks, male). Firstly, the mice were anaesthetized using isoflurane, and skin around the joints were shaved. For each injection, the needle was inserted beneath the middle patellar ligament, and a volume of 10 μl containing either PBS or 3 × 10⁶ cells was injected intra-articularly. One month later, the mice were euthanized, and the joints were collected for mRNA quantification and histological assessments.

For surgically induced osteoarthritis, we performed ACLT surgery on 8-week-old male C57BL/6 mice. Animals were anaesthetized, and their hindlimbs were shaved. After the opening of the joint capsule, the anterior cruciate ligament was transected with microscissors under a surgical microscope. After irrigation with saline to remove tissue debris, the skin incision was closed. Then, 7 days later, a total volume of 10 μl of the indicated lentivirus was injected intra-articularly. At week 8, the mice were euthanized, and the joints were collected for mRNA quantification and histological assessments.

Histology

Mouse joints were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, decalcified with 5% methanoic acid for 7 days, and embedded in paraffin. Sections (5 μm) were cut from the paraffin blocks and stained with Fast Green FCF (0.02%) and Safranin O (0.1%). Joint pathology was quantified using the OARSI scoring system [13].

Immunohistochemistry

For immunohistochemical staining, paraffin-embedded tissue sections were subjected to a heat-mediated antigen retrieval procedure, and then endogenous peroxidases were blocked with hydrogen peroxide. Next, tissue sections were incubated with a primary antibody overnight. Finally, the appropriate secondary antibody (ZSGB-BIO, Beijing, China) was added to the sections, which were then incubated for 30 minutes. Antigen-positive cells were visualized using the DAB Substrate kit (ZSGB-BIO). Anti-P16 antibody (54210; Abcam) and anti-flag (166355; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used as the primary antibodies.

CNV identification

First, genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Düsseldorf, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was sheared into fragments of approximately 300 bp using Covaris, and then the library of the fragmented DNA was constructed using the NEBNext ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, Beverly, MA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. For CNV identification, we used the published R package HMMcopy [76]. Briefly, the genome was binned into consecutive 1 Mb windows with read Counter, and
then we calculated the absolute number of reads detected in each window. We estimated the copy number with GC and mappability corrections with HMMcopy.

**RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing**

Total RNA was extracted from cultured human cells or mouse joints using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For cells, $1 \times 10^6$ cells were analyzed in biological triplicate. For mouse joints, we mixed the RNA extracted from the sample group, and then divided the sample into 3 technical replicates. One to two micrograms of total RNA was used to construct sequencing libraries using the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB). The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. RNA-seq reads were aligned to the hg19 or mm10 reference genome using TopHat2 software [77]. The analysis of differentially expressed genes was performed using DESeq2 [78] based on read counts.

**TEAD-binding site analysis**

Promoter for TEAD-binding sites analysis was defined as 3 kb upstream and 500 bp downstream of TSS. TEAD-binding sites with $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ among the promoter regions were found by FIMO (http://meme-suite.org/doc/fimo.html) using the TEAD motif downloaded from JASPAR database (http://jaspar.genereg.net/).

**Statistical analysis**

Results are presented as the mean ± SD. Two-tailed Student $t$ tests were used to compare differences between treatments. $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, and $P < 0.001$ were considered statistically significant (“*”, “**”, and “***”, respectively).

**Supporting information**

S1 Fig. Generation and characterization of YAP$^{-/-}$ and TAZ$^{-/-}$ hESCs. (A) Schematic showing the KO of YAP in hESCs using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene targeting. Exon 1 was removed from YAP. (B) Schematic showing the KO of TAZ in hESCs using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene targeting. Exon 2 was removed from TAZ. (C) Genomic PCR and RT-qPCR analyses showing the YAP deletion. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***$P < 0.001$. (D) Genomic PCR and RT-qPCR analyses showing the TAZ deletion. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***$P < 0.001$. (E) Immunostaining for representative markers of the 3 germ layers in teratomas that were developed from WT, YAP$^{-/-}$, and TAZ$^{-/-}$ hESCs. Scale bar, 75 μm. (F) G-banded karyotyping analysis of WT, YAP$^{-/-}$, and TAZ$^{-/-}$ hESCs showing normal karyotypes. (G) Summary of the generated total and YAP/TAZ dKO clone numbers. The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data. Cas9, CRISPR associated protein 9 nuclease; CRISPR, Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats; Ctrl, control; dKO, double knockout; ESC, embryonic stem cell; FOXA2, forkhead box A2; hESC, human embryonic stem cell; HR, homologous recombination; KO, knockout; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SMA, smooth muscle actin; TAZ, transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif; TUJ1, beta-tubulin III; WT, wild type; YAP, Yes-associated protein.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Characterization of WT, YAP$^{-/-}$, and TAZ$^{-/-}$ hMSCs. (A) Surface antigen expression levels of WT, YAP$^{-/-}$, and TAZ$^{-/-}$ hMSCs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3. (B) Characterization of the multilineage differentiation potential of WT, YAP$^{-/-}$, and TAZ$^{-/-}$
hMSCs. Toluidine blue and Aggrecan staining were used to evaluate chondrogenesis. Von Kossa and Osteocalcin staining were used to evaluate osteogenesis. Oil Red O and FABP4 staining were used to evaluate adipogenesis. Scale bar, 25 μm. (C) The diameters of chondrocyte spheres were measured. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 10, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (D) Areas of Von Kossa–positive cells were calculated. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.01. (E) Areas of Oil Red O-positive cells were calculated. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3. (F) Representative images of immunofluorescence staining for HP1α, HP1γ, LAP2, and Ki67 in hMSCs. Scale bar, 50 μm. (G) Quantification of HP1α−, HP1γ−, LAP2−, and Ki67−positive nuclei in WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs. More than 100 randomly selected nuclei were analyzed from each group. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, ***P < 0.001. (H) Western blot analysis showing decreased expression of P16 and P21 upon the ectopic expression of YAP in hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing NTC or YAP sgRNA, as well as CRISPR/Cas9. GAPDH was used as a loading control (left). The protein levels normalized with GAPDH were shown as fold change relative to WT hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing NTC or YAP sgRNA. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001 (right). (E) SA-β-gal analysis of hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing NTC or YAP sgRNA, as well as CRISPR/Cas9. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. (F) Cell growth curves of YAP−/− hMSCs transduced with a lentiviral vector encoding Luc or YAP. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.01. (G) Analysis of the clonal expansion of YAP−/− hMSCs lentivirally expressing Luc or YAP. Areas of crystal violet–positive cells were calculated using ImageJ software. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. (H) Western blot analysis showing decreased expression of P16 and P21 upon the ectopic expression of YAP in YAP−/− hMSCs. β- tubulin was used as a loading control (left). The protein levels normalized with β-tubulin were shown as fold change relative to WT hMSCs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (I) ROS detection in WT hMSCs transduced with the lentivirus expressing Luc and YAP−/− hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc or YAP. (J) YAP−/− hMSCs overexpressing GFP plus Luc and YAP−/− hMSCs overexpressing YAP plus Luc were implanted into the TA muscles of immunodeficient mice. Luc activities were imaged at day 0, 1, 3, 3, 5, and 7 after cell implantation. Representative images at day 0 and day 7 are shown. The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data. Cas9, CRISPR associated protein 9 nuclease; CRISPR, Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GFP, green fluorescent protein; H2DCFDA, 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; lenti, lentivirus; Luc, luciferase; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; NTC, non-targeting control; ns, not significant; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SA-β-gal, senescence-associated-β-galactosidase; sgRNA, single guide RNA; TA, tibialis anterior; TAZ, transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif; WT, wild type; YAP, Yes-associated protein.
PDZ-binding motif; WT, wild type; YAP, Yes-associated protein.

**S4 Fig. YAP and TEAD, but not TAZ, activates FOXD1 transcription.** (A) Clonal expansion analysis of Ctrl and TEADs KD/KO hMSCs. Areas of crystal violet–positive cells were calculated using ImageJ software. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. (B) Western blots for P16 and P21 in Ctrl and TEADs KD/KO hMSCs. GAPDH was used as a loading control (left). The protein levels normalized with GAPDH were shown as fold change relative to Ctrl hMSCs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (C) Pearson correlation coefficients for gene expression in WT, YAP−/−, and TAZ−/− hMSCs. (D) Venn diagrams showing DEGs in YAP−/− and TAZ−/− hMSCs relative to WT hMSCs. (E) The top 5 GO BPs enriched among the DEGs in YAP−/− hMSCs. (F) ChIP-qPCR for TAZ enrichment within different FOXD1 pro regions (Pro 1 and Pro 2) containing putative TEAD binding motifs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3. (G) The FOXD1 pro containing the Pro 2 region and a mutation were cloned upstream of a Luc reporter, and the Luc activities were measured after transfection of GFP or TAZ. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3. The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data.

**S5 Fig. FOXD1 KO induces hMSC senescence.** (A) Genomic sequencing of the FOXD1 locus in NTC and FOXD1 KO hMSCs. (B) Clonal expansion analysis of NTC and FOXD1 KO hMSCs. Areas of crystal violet–positive cells were calculated using ImageJ software. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, **P < 0.01. (C) Western blot analysis for P16 and P21 in NTC and FOXD1 KO hMSCs. GAPDH was used as a loading control (left). The protein levels normalized with GAPDH were shown as fold change relative to NTC hMSCs. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < 0.05. (D) PC analysis of WT, YAP−/−, NTC, and FOXD1 KO hMSCs. (E) Comparison of the GSEA of cell cycle and cell adhesion genes between WT and YAP−/− hMSCs and between NTC and FOXD1 KO hMSCs. (F) SA-β-gal analysis of TEADs KD/KO hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc or YAP. Scale bar, 100 μm. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3. (G) SA-β-gal analysis of FOXD1 KO hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc or YAP. Scale bar, 100 μm. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3. The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data.

**S6 Fig. YAP or FOXD1 expression delays replicative and premature cellular senescence.** (A) Growth curve of RS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. (B) Cell cycle analysis of RS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001. (C) Clonal
expansion abilities of RS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. (D) Growth curves of WS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (E) RT-qPCR of aging-associated markers in WS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. (F) Measurement of Luc activity using IVIS at day 0 and day 7 after in vivo implantation of WS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing YAP or GFP. Data are presented as the ratios of YAP to GFP (log10 (fold)), mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. (G) Measurement of Luc activity using IVIS at day 0 and day 7 after in vivo implantation of WS hMSCs transduced with lentiviruses expressing FOXD1 or GFP. Data are presented as the ratios of FOXD1 to GFP (log10 (fold)), mean ± SD, n = 3, ***P < 0.001. The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data. FOXD1, forkhead box D1; GFP, green fluorescent protein; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; IVIS, in vivo imaging system; LAP2, lamina-associated protein 2; LMNB1, Lamin B1; Luc, luciferase; ns, not significant; RS, replicative-senescent; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; WS, Werner syndrome; YAP, Yes-associated protein.

S7 Fig. Implanted senescent hMSCs induces osteoarthritis in mice. (A) Schematic of the time course for experiments in B–D. (B) Safranin O and Fast Green staining of articular cartilage from mice that transplanted with indicated hMSCs. Representative images are shown. Scale bar, 100 μm. (C) OARSI scores of articular joints (PBS, n = 5; young hMSCs, n = 5; RS hMSCs, n = 6; RS hMSCs overexpressing YAP, n = 5; RS hMSCs overexpressing FOXD1, n = 5). Data are presented as the mean ± SD, *P < 0.05. (D) Heat map showing RT-qPCR analysis of the indicated genes associated with senescence, inflammation and chondrogenesis in joints transplanted with indicated hMSCs. Expression levels of the indicated genes were normalized to PBS group. The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data. Acan, aggrecan; Col2a1, collagen, type II, alpha 1; FOXD1, forkhead box D1; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; IVIS, in vivo imaging system; LAP2, lamina-associated protein 2; LMNB1, Lamin B1; Luc, luciferase; ns, not significant; RS, replicative-senescent; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; YAP, Yes-associated protein.

S8 Fig. YAP or FOXD1 gene therapy attenuates the development of osteoarthritis. (A) Detection of the luminescence in the joints at different time points after injection of the lentivirus expressing Luc intra-articularly. The representative images are shown. (B) Immunohistochemical staining of indicated flag-tagged proteins in the joint cartilage of mice that did not receive surgery and of ACLT mice treated with lentiviruses expressing flag-tagged Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. Scale bar, 50 μm. (C and D) RT-qPCR analysis of YAP and FOXD1 expression in the joints of mice that did not undergo surgery (n = 6) and ACLT (n = 8). Data are presented as the mean ± SD, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (E and F) Heat maps showing relative mRNA expression levels of the differentially expressed genes in the ACLT-Luc group compared to the joints that did not undergo surgery. Genes were sorted by the fold change and P value (fold change > 2 or < 0.5, P < 0.01). Corresponding gene expression profiles obtained from ACLT-YAP and ACLT-FOXD1 groups are also shown. (G and H) Venn diagrams showing differentially expressed genes (no surgery versus ACLT-Luc; ACLT-YAP versus ACLT-Luc; ACLT-FOXD1 versus ACLT-Luc). The numerical data underlying this figure are included in S8 Data. ACLT, anterior cruciate ligament transection; FOXD1, forkhead box D1; Luc, luciferase; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; YAP, Yes-
associated protein.

S1 Data. Primer list.

S2 Data. Differentially expressed genes in *YAP<sup>−/−</sup>* and *TAZ<sup>−/−</sup>* hMSCs, compared to WT hMSCs. hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; TAZ, transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif; WT, wild type; YAP, Yes-associated protein.

S3 Data. List of the most significant GO Biological Process terms associated with the differentially expressed genes in *YAP<sup>−/−</sup>* hMSCs. GO, gene ontology; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; YAP, Yes-associated protein.

S4 Data. Potential TEAD targets among the down-regulated genes in *YAP<sup>−/−</sup>* hMSCs. hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; TEAD, TEA domain transcriptional factor; YAP, Yes-associated protein.

S5 Data. Differentially expressed genes identified by RNA-seq in FOXD1-sgRNA hMSCs compared to NTC-sgRNA hMSCs. FOXD1, forkhead box D1; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; NTC, non-targeting control; RNA-seq, RNA sequencing; sgRNA, single guide RNA.

S6 Data. List of the most significant GO Biological Process terms associated with the differentially expressed genes in FOXD1-sgRNA hMSCs. FOXD1, forkhead box D1; GO, gene ontology; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; sgRNA, single guide RNA.

S7 Data. Differentially expressed genes in ACLT joints transduced with lentiviruses expressing Luc, YAP, or FOXD1. ACLT, anterior cruciate ligament transection; FOXD1, forkhead box D1; Luc, luciferase; YAP, Yes-associated protein.

S8 Data. Numerical values of presented diagrams.
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