Abstract: We report an unusual presentation of presumed mitomycin C toxicity with possible subsequent hypersensitization to other medication toxicities. A 50-year-old female presented three months after photorefractive keratectomy with intraoperative mitomycin C for the management of persistent epithelial defects, corneal haze, and edema. She was found to have used an expansive and rapidly changing medical regimen which may have caused additional toxicity. These medications included besifloxacin, bromfenac, and ketotifen. Additives such as benzalkonium chloride and DuraSite® may have also contributed. Intraoperative mitomycin C can result in longstanding corneal haze, edema, and delayed epithelial healing in the setting of corneal refractive surgery. These may leave the cornea more susceptible to additional subsequent medication toxicities during the postoperative period. This report describes a case of mitomycin C exposure leading to a prolonged sensitivity to other medication toxicities, which has not been discussed elsewhere in the literature.
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Introduction

Polypharmacy, loosely defined as the concurrent use of multiple medications, has a well-studied negative impact on patient health. This has been demonstrated both systemically and specifically in ocular health; polypharmacy is associated with decreased patient compliance and increased adverse outcomes.1–3 Patients undergoing refractive surgery are especially at risk, as the cornea is more susceptible to insult and injury in the postoperative period.4,5 Even medications that are often used safely after photorefractive surgery can be toxic to the cornea if combined with one another under the wrong circumstances. In some cases, these medications may have synergistic effects, compromising wound healing and leading to unfavorable results.6 This can be particularly challenging when using medications whose toxic effects remain for extended periods of time. One of these medications is mitomycin C (MMC), which can cause corneal edema, delayed epithelial healing, and endothelial cell damage that persist for months after exposure to the medication.7–9 We present a case of presumed topical polypharmacy centering around the intraoperative use of MMC and resulting in persistent corneal edema, endothelial cell loss, and delayed epithelial healing.
Case Report
A 50-year-old white woman was referred to our clinic three months after bilateral PRK for progressively worsening visual acuity, persistent epithelial defects, corneal edema, and sub-epithelial haze. The patient had undergone bilateral cataract surgery the year before. Prior to the PRK procedure, uncorrected distance visual acuity (UDVA) was 20/30 OU. Manifest refraction was $-0.75 + 1.00 \times 100$ OD and $-0.25 + 0.75 \times 114$ OS. Epithelial debridement was performed with 20% alcohol for 20 seconds. The patient underwent excimer laser treatment using the VISX Star S4 laser (Johnson & Johnson, Santa Ana, CA, USA) with an ablation zone of 6.5 mm and a 1 mm blend zone OU. One bottle of chilled balanced salt solution (BSS) was used to irrigate the eye immediately after ablation. Subsequently, mitomycin C 0.02% was applied for 12 seconds, followed by topical bromfenac 0.07% (Prolensa, Bausch + Lomb, Bridgewater, NJ, USA), moxifloxacin 0.5% (Vigamox, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland), prednisolone 1% (Pred Forte, Allergan, Irvine, CA) and a bandage contact lens (BCL). The patient was then prescribed prednisolone and besifloxacin 0.6% (Besivance, Bausch + Lomb, Bridgewater, NJ, USA) drops four times daily as well as bromfenac 0.07% drops three times daily.

The patient’s first postoperative examination was on the third day after surgery. UDVA was 20/100 OD and 20/60 OS with bilateral epithelial defects. BCLs were replaced and postoperative steroids, topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and antibiotic drops were continued. On postoperative week one, she returned complaining of hazy vision. UDVA was 20/50 OD and 20/100+1 OS. Slit-lamp examination (SLE) revealed healing epithelial defects, mild stromal haze, and edema bilaterally. BCLs were removed and besifloxacin was discontinued. The patient was instructed to continue steroid and NSAID drops. At postoperative week two, UDVA had worsened to 20/80 OD and 20/400 OS. On slit-lamp examination, 2+ superficial punctate keratitis (SPK) OD and 4+ SPK OS were observed along with increasing corneal haze and edema OU. Prednisolone drops were increased to eight times per day OU and bromfenac was continued.

Due to worsening visual acuity (20/80 OD and 20/400 OS), she sought a second opinion on postoperative day POD 14. Prednisolone and bromfenac were discontinued; punctal plugs and bilateral BCLs were placed for better management of the patient’s SPK. Additionally, difluprednate (Durezol, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) drops started every six hours OU in the hopes of improving the corneal haze and were then tapered over six weeks. Artificial tears were encouraged. UDVA improved to 20/50 OD and 20/20 OS at the two-month postoperative visit with improved corneal haze and SPK. During this period, the patient was encouraged to use lifitegrast (Xiidra, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland), 20% autologous blood serum (ABS), and artificial tears. Due to the patient’s complaint of itching, ketotifen (Zaditor, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) was started twice daily OU.

Two weeks later (two and a half months post-PRK), the patient returned to the clinic complaining of declining visual acuity to UDVA 20/80 OD and 20/40 OS. SLE revealed recurrence of bilateral corneal edema and diffuse haze, 2–3+ Descemet folds, and microcystic edema involving the epithelium. Due to concern for delayed post-PRK haze and inflammation, the patient was started on prednisolone every hour and bromfenac three times daily. Lifitegrast, ABS, and preservative-free artificial tears were continued. One week later, UDVA had worsened to 20/250 OD and 20/50 OS with an increase in Descemet folds and corneal edema OU. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was 18 OD and 19 OS. Bromfenac was discontinued, and prednisolone was replaced with hourly loteprednol (Lotemax, Bausch + Lomb, Bridgewater, NJ, USA). Brinzolamide-brimonidine tartrate (Simbrinza, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) was started three times daily because of the concern for steroid-induced glaucoma. Visual acuity and ocular discomfort steadily worsened over the next ten days, at which time she was referred to our clinic for further evaluation.

At the time of referral, the patient complained of poor visual acuity and pain bilaterally but more severe in the right eye. UDVA was 20/500 OD and 20/60 OS. On SLE, corneal edema with 2–3+ Descemet folds was observed bilaterally (Figure 1A and B). An epithelial defect and 3+ SPK were also documented OD (Figure 1A). Bilateral BCLs were in place. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) demonstrated Descemet folds with stromal edema and hyperreflectivity of the anterior stroma OU (Figure 2A and B). On OCT, corneal thickness measured 723 µm OD and 604 µm OS. Accurate endothelial cell counts could not be obtained due to diffuse corneal swelling.

All current medications were discontinued except for preservative-free artificial tears. The patient was also started on doxycycline 100 mg twice daily and vitamin C supplementation 1000 mg daily. BCLs were left in place for an additional four weeks. During this time, moxifloxacin was chosen as a prophylactic measure as it is a preservative-free solution.
and was used twice daily. Over the next several visits, UDVA improved to 20/50-3 OD and 20/20 OS. The epithelial defect, corneal edema, and Descemet folds all resolved. Corneal thickness improved from 591 µm to 530 µm OD and 541 µm to 512 µm OS (Figure 3). Endothelial cell counts were repeated at seven months post-PRK and demonstrated 564 cells/mm² OD and 864 cells/mm² OS (Figure 4). The patient was instructed to continue artificial tears, doxycycline, and vitamin C.

**Discussion**

Given this patient’s persistent corneal edema, recurrent epithelial defects, and endothelial cell loss, it appears that this patient was affected by a complex series of multiple overlapping drug toxicities. These symptoms may stem from initial MMC toxicity with resultant sensitization to other medication side effects. Additionally, the frequency of medication and dosing changes likely contributed to the adverse outcomes.¹⁻³
Figure 3 Pachymetry at 4 months post-PRK (top), 5 months post-PRK (middle), and 7 months post-PRK (bottom).

Abbreviation: CCT, central corneal thickness.
The patient’s complicated postoperative course likely started with intraoperative MMC use. MMC is commonly used to prevent corneal haze, a well-documented but uncommon risk of PRK. This postoperative haze is likely due to myofibroblast proliferation and subsequent collagen deposition within the corneal stroma and is more likely in the correction of high levels of myopia, previous corneal surgery, and increased ablation depth. Brief intraoperative use of MMC is generally safe and effective for reducing haze; however, it is not free of risks. Potential adverse effects include corneal edema, decompensation, ulceration, and perforation. The edema, endothelial cell loss, and delayed epithelial healing seen in our patient are consistent with reports of mitomycin C toxicity in the literature. These adverse effects have been seen to last for months and are more common with increasing doses – especially when MMC is used topically over more than a few days. Because of these potential complications, many physicians recommend using the smallest possible doses to reduce side effects (often 0.02% MMC for less than one minute). In patients requiring only small visual corrections (less than five diopters), some suggest using no MMC at all since haze is unlikely to occur in this population. While our patient was only exposed to a routine dose of MMC, any use of MMC may have been unnecessary since the patient’s correction of less than two diopters of spherical equivalent made haze development unlikely. Additionally, our patient’s history of cataract surgery and recent PRK likely made the patient’s corneas more susceptible to injury when MMC was introduced. Given the immediate and persistent corneal edema, delayed epithelial healing, and low endothelial cell counts, MMC toxicity was likely the initial cause of our patient’s sequelae. One limitation of this report is the lack of data on endothelial cell count after cataract surgery before PRK. MMC’s prolonged impact on the cornea may also have made the patient’s corneas more vulnerable to future medication toxicities.

Bromfenac and other NSAIDs are often used for pain control after PRK without complications; however, there are reports of delayed epithelial healing, corneal edema, and corneal melt from these medications. Our patient’s initial symptoms, substantial improvement after discontinuation of bromfenac, and recurrence when the medication was restarted all correlate with bromfenac.
toxicity. The relative rarity of NSAID toxicity, however, lends credence to the theory that initial damage from MMC may have sensitized these corneas to bromfenac’s detrimental effects. It should also be noted that this patient was initially treated with bromfenac for two weeks, which is beyond the traditional use of up to three or four days following surface ablation. Adverse effects may also be more prevalent when medications are instilled beneath a bandage contact lens, as in our patient.23,24,26-29

Benzalkonium chloride (BAK), a preservative that is well known for its adverse effects on the cornea, may also have contributed to the patient’s symptoms. These effects include corneal edema or degeneration, keratoconjunctivitis sicca, tear film dysfunction, and toxicity to both the corneal endothelium and epithelium.5,30,31 These toxicities may occur at concentrations as low as 0.001% and can be cumulative over periods of prolonged use.5,32 Patients with damaged corneal epithelium are at especially high risk of complications from BAK.5 Our patient was using as many as five concurrent medications containing BAK consistently for nearly three months, totaling as many as 22 prescribed doses daily (steroids, NSAIDS, antibiotics, and anti-glaucoma medication). Several of these drugs had concentrations as high as 0.01% (well over the threshold of potential toxicity). The initial corneal edema and the patient’s exacerbation at two and a half months also coincided with periods of higher BAK-containing medication use.

It is notable that the medications with higher concentrations of BAK, including ketotifen and besifloxacin, are independently associated with similar toxicities.5 Ketotifen, which contains a BAK concentration of 0.01%, has long been associated with symptoms of ocular dryness and irritation. Interestingly, some studies now suggest that these symptoms may be a result of the BAK in the compound rather than a side effect of the medication itself.33,34 Similarly, besifloxacin contains a 0.01% concentration of BAK and has been associated with ocular irritation and delayed epithelial healing. Besifloxacin additionally contains the carrier DuraSite®, which is designed to prolong the medication’s duration at the corneal surface. This maintains the concentrated BAK in contact with the corneal surface for a prolonged period, which may result in increased toxicity.35-37 The use of ketotifen and besifloxacin also coincides with our patient’s worst symptoms.

Other factors that may be risk factors for the development of haze include chronic cigarette smoking, the use of nepafenac sodium, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, and corneal anesthetic abuse (Table 1).

**Conclusion**

Corneal edema, delayed epithelial healing, and endothelial cell toxicity are potential complications of intraoperative MMC usage that may last for months after surgery. If patients experience these symptoms, MMC

---

**Table 1 Effect of Different Factors on Corneal Wound Healing After PRK**

| Risk Factor | Study Type | Purpose | Effects | Reference |
|-------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Mitomycin C (alcohol or blade de-epithelialization) | Animal Studies (adult hens) Control: no Mitomycin C | Determine whether haze or epithelial healing is affected by Mitomycin C | Improvement in hen corneas with MMC; delayed or no haze; no difference in apoptosis | Blanco-Mezquita et al37 |
| Nepafenac sodium 0.1% (with mitomycin C during PRK) | Retrospective comparative (69 eyes) nepafenac and Non-nepafenac | Assess delayed reepithelialization and postop corneal haze | No difference; no delay in corneal epithelial healing; no significant haze | Jalali et al38 |
| Ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin | Prospective study (28 eyes) | Determine corneal epithelial healing with ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, and ofloxacin with artificial tears. | Ciprofloxacin more prone to impaired wound healing and development of corneal haze | G. Patel et al39 |
| Topical Anesthetic | Case report of delayed epithelial healing after PRK | Determine the Cause of delayed healing | Delayed epithelial healing due to 6 month abuse of topical anesthetics | Ji Young Kim et al40 |
| Contact Lens Wearing and Chronic Cigarette Smoking | Prospective (180 eyes) undergoing PRK | Correlation of gender, Contact lens wearing, chronic drinking, and chronic smoking with wound healing after PRK | Contact lens wearing and cigarette smoking impair corneal epithelial wound repair | Anna Roszkowska et al41 |
may be considered a potential cause even weeks or months after exposure. MMC may even sensitize the cornea to other medication toxicities. It appears prudent to be particularly cautious in these cases about the addition of other topical medications, especially those with potential corneal toxicities such as bromfenac, besifloxacin, or ketotifen. If multiple medications are used, it is also important to account for potentially toxic preservatives or binding agents like BAK and DuraSite®. While additives are generally safe in isolated medications, their ubiquitous use may result in unforeseen adverse effects. Clinicians should be mindful of synergistic toxicities when using multiple topical medications simultaneously, especially in patients with already compromised corneas.
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