Coronary subclavian steal syndrome: A case report
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ABSTRACT

Coronary subclavian steal syndrome is characterized by reverse flow of the left internal mammary artery used in the coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). The stenosis in the proximal segment of the left subclavian artery is the reason which consequently leads to disruption of myocardial perfusion. It may cause myocardial infarction with variety of anginal symptoms in patients. In this article, we present a case who had symptoms of dyspnea and angina pectoris after CABG and was diagnosed with subclavian steal syndrome. In conclusion, physical examination and angiographic evaluation for subclavian artery stenosis in the preoperative period is recommended in patients who are scheduled for CABG.
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CASE REPORT

A 77-year-old woman was admitted with a history of CABG 10 years ago in which the left IMA (LIMA) was anastomosed to the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery. Other bypasses were saphenous vein graft to the first obtuse marginal and saphenous vein graft to the second obtuse marginal. She had complaints of exertional dyspnea, chest pain, and exhaustion within the last four months. On physical examination, the heart rate was 80 to 95 bpm and systemic arterial blood pressure ranged between 110/70 mmHg and 130/80 mmHg. Compared to the right upper extremity, there was a systolic pressure gradient of 25 mmHg on the left arm. In addition, the left radial and brachial pulses were weaker. Electrocardiogram showed sinus rhythm without findings indicating myocardial ischemia. Coronary angiography showed that all saphenous grafts were patent. The LIMA filling was not observed in the selective subclavian artery injection (Video 1). However, there was no stenosis in the LIMA during retrograde filling. A 90% stenosis in the proximal region of the subclavian artery was detected. In her dynamic angiography examination, a critical stenosis at the proximal segment of left subclavian artery in a length of 40 mm was detected (Video 2). A 7- and 6-Fr sheaths were placed in the right and left femoral arteries, respectively, using the percutaneous Seldinger technique under local anesthesia. Subsequently, a pigtail catheter was placed at the level of the aortic
arch and a vertebral catheter was inserted to subclavian artery. Access to the brachial artery level was achieved by crossing the stenotic vascular segment using a hydrophilic guide wire (035”). Contrast infusion through the pigtail catheter revealed a stenotic segment of dissection, which was marked. A peripheral vascular self-expandable stent (8 mm × 40 mm × 120 cm) was appropriately placed in the relevant segment (NAVALIS®, Tsunamed Inc., Hamburg, Germany). The stent and ostium of the left subclavian artery in the aortic arch were expanded under 6 atmosphere pressure using an 8 mm × 60 mm × 135 cm drug-coated percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) balloon (RD Global Inc., Ankara, Turkey) (Video 3).

Control angiography revealed that the dissected stenotic segment was corrected, and complete patency was achieved (Video 4). The reverse flow in the LIMA graft corrected to the proper direction and the flow was sufficient (Figure 1). No any complication occurred after the intervention. As for medical therapy, acetyl salicylic acid 100 mg and clopidogrel 75 mg was continued. In the post-procedure early follow-up of the patient, the chest pain and the effort-related angina pectoris has relieved. The first-month computed tomography angiography (CTA) revealed full patency of the left subclavian artery and the LIMA. After one year, the patient has been still asymptomatic. A written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Video 1. Coronary steal syndrome from LAD-to-LIMA-to-subclavian artery.
LAD: Left anterior descending; LIMA: Left internal mammary artery.

Video 2. The stenosis detected before the procedure in the proximal left subclavian artery.

Video 3. An 8 mm × 60 mm × 135 cm drug-coated PTA balloon application after self-expandable stent on the 8 mm × 40 mm × 120 cm balloon.
PTA: Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty.
DISCUSSION

Atherosclerosis is the major etiological factor of subclavian artery stenosis (SAS), while less common factors are embolic occlusions, infections, radiotherapy, arteritis, and external pressure (i.e., tumors and thoracic outlet syndrome).\(^7,^5\) If there is a three-vessel or left main coronary artery disease, the SAS prevalence reaches 5.3%.\(^6\) Subclavian steal syndrome results from stenosis or complete occlusion in the pre-vertebral artery region of the subclavian artery.\(^7\) This phenomenon is stealing coronary flow to subclavian artery via LIMA. In patients undergoing CABG with the LIMA, long-term patency rates are higher than that of saphenous vein or radial artery. Therefore, the use of LIMA is recommended for every suitable patient.\(^8,^9\) There should be SSS or occlusion for coronary steal syndrome with LIMA. Although it is not common in the society, it can be seen in CABG patients.\(^10\) Shadman et al.\(^10\) reported that the rate of SSS ranged from 1.9 to 7.1% in four cohorts (n=4,223). English et al.\(^6\) also reported that there was 5.3% SSS in 492 CABG patients undergoing cardiac catheterization. If peripheral arterial disease was present, the prevalence of SSS increased up to 11.8%. However, evaluating the LIMA through the left subclavian artery during coronary angiography in patients who are considered for CABG surgery is still controversial.\(^7,^4\)

Screening for SAS has been advocated by some authors,\(^7,^11\) as CSSS has a potential to cause stable angina, ST elevation infarct, arrhythmias, or sudden death resembling to other coronary artery syndromes.\(^7,^12,^13\) Vertigo, dizziness, syncope, extremity hypo-paresthesia, and visual-auditory disturbances may be the symptoms of CSSS.\(^1,^7,^9,^12\) Physical evaluation is critical to make a decision for the evaluation of the LIMA and subclavian artery. Arterial blood pressure difference between the upper extremities can simply reveal a SAS.\(^14,^15\) However, some limitations, such as bilateral SAS, arrhythmias, or non-simultaneous measurement, can lead to a misleading SAS decision. More objective evaluation should be performed for a certain diagnosis of SAS.

**Video 4.** Removal of the stenosis in the subclavian artery after the procedure and showing the filling in the LIMA.

LIMA: Left internal mammary artery.

**Figure 1.** (a) The stenosis detected before the procedure in the proximal segment of left subclavian artery. (b) An 8 mm × 60 mm × 135 cm drug-coated PTA balloon application after self-expandable stent implantation on the 8 mm × 40 mm × 120 cm balloon. (c) Removal of the stenosis in the subclavian artery after the procedure and showing the filling in the LIMA.

LIMA: Left internal mammary artery; PTA: Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty.
In two different studies, Lapropoulos et al.\textsuperscript{[5]} and Tamura et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} showed a very close correlation between SSS and >20-mmHg pressure difference between the arms. In the English et al.\textsuperscript{[5,6]} meta-analysis, a 10-mmHg pressure difference showed a strong association with SAS (<50%). On the other hand, Shadman et al.\textsuperscript{[10]} reported that a 15-mmHg pressure difference was enough for SAS. However, the main limitation of blood pressure measurement is that it is an indirect measurement. In our case, there was a systolic pressure gradient of 25 mmHg and imaging was needed to confirm the diagnosis of SSS. Arterial Doppler ultrasonography is one of the most useful, cost-effective, and sensitive diagnostic tool for the detection of SAS.\textsuperscript{[17,18]} However, conventional angiography is still the gold-standard for the diagnosis in most patients. The CTA is also used quite frequently. Physical evaluation showed SAS in our patient, and the diagnosis was confirmed by further examination. In the event that the reverse flow of LIMA is evaluated, conventional angiography should be performed for LAD.

In patients whose anginal complaints begin or continue after CABG, coronary angiography is recommended to evaluate the subclavian artery together with the LIMA-LAD anastomosis. In patients with stenosis of the proximal subclavian artery, the LIMA-LAD flow is impaired and ischemia is detected in the region of LAD artery on myocardial perfusion scintigraphy.\textsuperscript{[2,14]} The absence of LIMA flow is seen in subclavian artery occlusion.\textsuperscript{[4,7,19]}

An axillary-to-axillary or carotico-subclavian bypass are major surgery techniques for the treatment of SAS.\textsuperscript{[7,14]} Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty and subclavian artery bypass surgery associated with stenting are the most commonly used methods in the treatment of SSS. The PTA is preferred owing to its low morbidity and shorter hospital stay.\textsuperscript{[4,20,21]} Also, in the 2017 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines, both revascularization options (stenting or surgery) should be considered and discussed case by case according to the lesion characteristics and patient’s risk.\textsuperscript{[23]} In a study, the stroke rate was 2.6% and 2.4% who were treated by PTA and open surgery, respectively.\textsuperscript{[23]} In such cases, endovascular treatment is mostly the preferred strategy.\textsuperscript{[21-23]} In a retrospective study conducted by De Vries et al.,\textsuperscript{[24]} the success of PTA and stenting was 93%, and the patency rate was 93% after three years. Although the carotico-subclavian artery bypass surgery method is relatively less frequently performed, the success rate was reported as 98%, with a 10-year patency rate of around 95%.\textsuperscript{[19]} In the study by Jahic et al.,\textsuperscript{[25]} PTA treatment was performed in 22 of 26 patients, and a 100% patency rate was achieved within one-year follow-up. As a result of this study, PTA treatment was suggested for those with subclavian stenosis, while surgical treatment was recommended for those with obstruction.\textsuperscript{[25]}

In recent years, new laser cutting balloon therapies and drug-coated balloon therapies have been developed.\textsuperscript{[9,26]} Self-expandable stents have more stronger radial force and easier to deploy in more calcific lesions.\textsuperscript{[9,25]} Medical therapy after PTA or surgery is similar. Antiaggregant-based therapy (acetylsalicylic acid and clopidogrel) is the mainstay, although patient-based individualized therapy is always the primary preference. Anticoagulant therapy can be prescribed in cases who has a concomitant atrial fibrillation or a hypercoagulation state.\textsuperscript{[9,19,27]} In this case, we preferred PTA treatment, due to the advanced age of the patient and the presence of a stenosis rather than a complete occlusion of the subclavian artery. Additionally, the lesion was deemed suitable for a percutaneous intervention.

The literature consists controversial issues. First and more controversial of them is the performance of a subclavian artery and LIMA imaging during preoperative angiography. The second issue is using the LIMA in patients receiving a surgical or endovascular intervention for SAS.\textsuperscript{[4,24]} However, in a study, CABG with LIMA was performed at the same day of successfully treated SAS patients and the authors used acetylsalicylic acid after CABG.\textsuperscript{[28]} The third is the treatment selection: surgery versus PTA. The literature review reveals that patient-based selection is more important and both surgery and PTA treatment are safe.\textsuperscript{[4,7,22]}

In conclusion, although coronary SSS is rare, it is a critical syndrome due to its possible role in the disruption of myocardial perfusion and development of angina pectoris and symptoms of dyspnea. Percutaneous treatment is safe and effective in eligible cases. We recommend physical examination of SAS for CABG candidates preoperatively and angiographic evaluation of the subclavian artery in patients who has a concomitant peripheral arterial disease.
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