Abstract

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced during normal physiologic processes with the consumption of oxygen. While ROS play signaling roles, when they are produced in excess beyond normal antioxidative capacity this can cause pathogenic damage to cells. The majority of such oxidation occurs in polyunsaturated fatty acids and sulfhydryl group in proteins, resulting in lipid peroxidation and protein misfolding, respectively. The accumulation of misfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is enhanced under conditions of oxidative stress and results in ER stress, which, together, leads to the malfunction of cellular homeostasis. Multiple types of defensive machinery are activated in unfolded protein response under ER stress to resolve this unfavorable situation. ER stress triggers the malfunction of protein secretion and is associated with a variety of pathogenic conditions including defective insulin secretion from pancreatic β-cells and accelerated lipid droplet formation in hepatocytes. Herein we use nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) as an illustration of such pathological liver conditions that result from ER stress in association with oxidative stress. Protecting the ER by eliminating excessive ROS via
the administration of antioxidants or by enhancing lipid-metabolizing capacity via the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors represent promising therapeutics for NAFLD.
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Core tip: Accumulated experimental data indicate that oxidative stress causes endoplasmic reticulum stress, which together leads to pathogenic damage to cells. The lipid metabolism in the liver is a sensitive target of these types of stress, which appears to be associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Most eukaryotic cells, excluding enucleated cells such as mammalian red blood cells, synthesize proteins based on genetic information from nuclear DNA during their lifespan. Translated secretory and membrane proteins become functional when they undergo appropriate oxidative folding, posttranslational modification, and cellular localization. While the redox status in the cytosol is in a reduced state, the extracellular space is largely in an oxidized state. Premature secretion and exposure to an extracellular environment can cause aberrant conformational changes in proteins due to inappropriate disulfide bond formation. Hence, the appropriate oxidative folding of polypeptides by means of the oxidation of sulfhydryl groups to produce disulfide bridges in proteins within cells is a prerequisite process for producing secretory proteins and the membrane proteins prior to their secretion and translocation to the plasma membrane, respectively (Figure 1). The signaling process for these types of oxidative protein folding reactions was not understood well until the end of the last century [1-3].

The accumulation of misfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) causes ER stress, which then leads to the malfunction of the ER [3]. Without proper resolution of ER stress, affected cells become dysfunctional and, if not resolved properly, they die. To avoid this unfavorable scenario, multiple defensive machineries, referred to as an unfolded protein response (UPR), are activated under such conditions and play roles in preventing this and permit the cells to recover from this fatal situation [4,5].

Multiple factors, either internally or externally, can cause the accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER [6,7]. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced during conventional physiological processes accompanied by oxygen consumption and the levels are enhanced under a variety of pathological conditions such as inflammation, high temperature, and a deficit in the antioxidative system, and result in the development of oxidative stress [8]. Both low molecular weight antioxidant compounds and antioxidative enzymes function to control the levels of ROS and reduce their levels to acceptable ranges. However, the antioxidant levels in the ER are relatively low compared to the cytoplasm or other organelles, despite the robust production of hydrogen peroxide via active reduction-oxidation (redox) reactions [9]. Oxidative stress perturbs the usual oxidative protein folding, which results in the ER stress and organ failure. Thus, among a variety of stressful conditions, oxidative stress can occur in any cell and is also responsible for ER stress, and they together lead to the development of a pathogenic state.

Herein we overview recent progress in our understanding of the relationships between oxidative stress and ER stress and attempt to clarify the pathogenic pathways that are involved, by focusing on fatty liver diseases.

**OXIDATIVE FOLDING OF SECRETORY AND MEMBRANE PROTEINS IN THE ER**

Protein conformation is supported by several types of chemical bonds, among which the disulfide bonds formed between cysteine sulfhydryl groups are the primary determinants of overall protein structure for secreted proteins and membrane proteins that face the extracellular space. Endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductin 1 (ERO1), which contains flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) as a redox cofactor, is an evolutionarily conserved protein [10] and, in conjunction with molecular oxygen consumption, catalyzes disulfide formation in nascent proteins via protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) in the ER [11-12]. PDI is a member of the family of chaperone molecules that are specifically responsible for disulfide bond formation in proteins in the ER lumen [13,14]. In addition to PDI, several chaperone molecules are also present in the ER lumen and participate in maintaining ER homeostasis [15]. Mammals have two ERO1 genes, ERO1α and ERO1β, that are transcriptionally regulated by the CCAAT-enhancer-binding protein homologous protein (CHOP) [16]. ERO1 first introduces a disulfide bond in PDI and its family members using molecular oxygen as the oxidant [13,14]. Hydrogen peroxide is produced as a byproduct in this oxidative protein folding process. Because not only secretory proteins but also many membrane proteins that face the extracellular space must undergo oxidative protein folding in the ER, hydrogen peroxide is inevitably produced in the ERO1-mediated sulfoxidation reaction. Thus, the ER is exposed to an oxidative insult to a greater or lesser extent as the result of this type of oxidative protein folding [17].

ERO1 is prerequisite for oxidative protein folding
in yeast and the genetic ablation of the gene results in yeast that are hypersensitive to reducing agents such as dithiothreitol \[18,19\]. However the genetic ablation of genes encoding ERO1\(\alpha\) and ERO1\(\beta\) cause only moderate effects in mice, e.g., a decrease in ERO1 activity blunts the cardiomyocyte inotropic response to adrenergic stimulation and sensitized mice to adrenergic blockade \[20\]. This rather mild phenotype compared to the case of yeast can be explained by the presence of other redundant sulfoxidase enzymes in mammalian cells. Quiescin sulfhydryl oxidase (QSOX), a flavoprotein, catalyzes disulfide formation in PDI family members using an oxygen molecule and produces hydrogen peroxide \[21\]. The enzymatic properties are somewhat similar to ERO1. However, since QSOX is localized primarily in the Golgi apparatus and secreted fluids it appears to be unique as a multi-domain enzyme \[22\]. Mammalian cells also possess other sulfoxidases that introduce disulfide bonds to PDI by means of hydrogen peroxide or other oxidizing power, such as peroxiredoxin (PRDX)4 and glutathione peroxidase \(7\/8\) (GPX). ROS: Reactive oxygen species; ER: Endoplasmic reticulum; ERO1: Endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductin 1; PDI: Protein disulfide isomerase; PRDX4: Peroxiredoxin 4; GPX: Glutathione peroxidase.

**CAUSES OF AND RESPONSES TO ER STRESS**

The accumulation of inappropriately folded proteins is caused by unfavorable conditions, including the excessive synthesis of proteins, the inhibition of protein glycosylation, and \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) depletion \[24\]. ER homeostasis is maintained by a variety of factors that include ionic and metabolic conditions. For example, ER is the organelle that stores millimolar ranges of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) which is maintained by \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)-ATPase localized at the ER membrane. Cardiac and skeletal muscle possess specialized forms for this \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) storage, designated as the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR), that regulates excitation-contraction coupling in these muscular tissues \[25\]. \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)-ATPase in the SR membrane contributes to \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) uptake, so that the inhibition of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) uptake by thapsigargin decreases the levels of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) stored in the SR lumen. Similarly, thapsigargin inhibits the non-muscular form of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)-ATPase and leads to pathological conditions via ER stress \[26\]. Some antibiotics, e.g., tunicamycin, inhibit the formation of N-linked glycosylation, leading to the suppression of the translocation of nascent proteins to the Golgi body \[27\].

Heat stress impairs the proper folding of proteins by affecting the free energy needed for correct protein folding and thereby produces misfolded proteins with a high frequency \[28\]. As a compensatory mechanism, heat shock proteins (HSPs), a large protein family, are induced at high temperatures and ameliorate protein folding via their ability to function as a chaperone. Repetitive or sustained stimulation of hormonal secretion, such as the case of insulin secretion under hyperglycemic conditions, is a suspected cause for defected insulin production and \(\beta\)-cell dysfunction, which typically leads to type II diabetes \[24\].

Because the accumulation of misfolded proteins causes dysfunction of the ER and, if not properly resolved,
ultimately results in cell death, several systems in the UPR are activated to resolve the stress. Genes involved in ameliorating ER stress are largely induced by several mechanisms via sensor proteins that are localized on the ER membrane. Because this process has been overviewed extensively by experts in this research field[5,29], we briefly mention major machineries and related matters here.

**Control of protein synthesis on the rough ER**

Both secretory proteins and membrane proteins are synthesized on the rough ER where ribosome-mRNA complexes are attached. Eukaryotic initiation factor 2α (eIF2α) plays a key role in the regulation of the translational process from mRNA on the cytoplasmic surface of the rough ER. Upon ER stress, double-stranded RNA-activated eIF2α kinase-like ER kinase (PERK) is activated upon autophosphorylation and phosphorylates eIF2α, which results in the selective translation of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4)[30]. ATF4 then transcribes activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3), CHOP, and growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible 34 (GADD34). In the meantime phosphorylated eIF2α causes the synthesis of many other protein to be suppressed and restricts further protein influx to the ER lumen via attenuating the guanine nucleotide exchange factor eIF2B[31].

**Sensing and signaling to nucleus**

The inositol requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1) was originally reported as a yeast membrane protein that was predicted to be a kinase involved in inositol metabolism[32]. The potential role of IRE1 in the transmission of the unfolded protein signal across the ER or the inner nuclear membrane was then rediscovered later[33]. IRE1 undergoes activation via oligomerization on unfolded proteins and autopshorylation. Active IRE1 then removes the splicing of the X-box-binding-protein 1 (XBPI) precursor mRNA by its RNase activity[34]. The XBPI protein translated from the mature XBPI mRNA moves to the nucleus and then transcriptionally activate genes for a protein chaperone, such as the glucose-regulated protein of 78 kDa (GRP78 also referred to as Bip)[35] and PDIα[36].

Activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) was also originally found in yeast[37], but its localization in the ER membrane was recognized at later period. The localization of ATF6 to the ER membrane in its inactive form and its subsequent transcriptional activation in response to UPR have been reported[38]. ER stress stimulates the translocation of the precursor form of ATF6 to the Golgi membrane where Site-1 (S1P) and the Site-2 proteases (S2P) are located[39,40]. These proteases release the transcriptional activator domain from the precursors, and the resulting active ATF6 moves to the nucleus where it transcriptionally activates the corresponding genes[41]. Astrocytes specifically express a unique ER stress transducer, the old astrocyte specifically induced substance (OASIS), that regulates the signaling of UPR and contributes to the resistance to ER stress[42].

**ER-associated degradation of misfolded proteins**

Misfolded proteins that are formed within the ER lumen undergo either refolding to the correct conformation in a chaperone-mediated manner or undergo proteolytic degradation, designated as ER-associated degradation (ERAD)[43]. PDI is the first enzyme that was reported to catalyze protein folding[44]. Nearly 20 PDI family proteins are present in the ER lumen and are involved in correcting disulfide bonds and also the oxidative folding of nascent proteins in the ER in association with ERO1 and other sulfioxidases[45].

Some unfolded proteins are exported out from the ER lumen or the ER membrane, polyubiquitinated and then degraded by proteinases[46]. ERAD pathways, which are further classified into ERAD-L, -M, and -C, are responsible for misfolded proteins in the ER lumen, within the membrane, or on the cytosolic side of the ER membrane, respectively[47]. RING-finger ligase Hrd1, in association with other components appear to play a major role in ERAD-L and ERAD-M[48]. A retro-translocation channel is formed by Hrd1 in complex with the partner molecule Hrd3 and are involved in the polyubiquitination of target proteins[49]. Thus, among the several candidate channel molecules, the Hrd1-Hrd3 complex appears to play a *bona fide role* in polyubiquitination/protein translocation in the ERAD. Derin 1 (Der1), which reportedly initiates the export of aberrant proteins from the ER[49], appears to aid luminal substrates to be moved to the Hrd1 channel. The potential involvement of other ubiquitin ligases that span the ER membrane in this manner has also been proposed.

The machinery responsible for ERAD is also involved in cholesterol homeostasis by controlling the protein levels of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG CoA) reductase in the ER membrane[50]. HMG CoA reductase, which is embedded in the ER membrane, limits cholesterol synthesis. The presence of a sufficient amount of cholesterol results in an accelerated degradation of HMG CoA reductase via the activation of polyubiquitination followed by proteasome-catalyzed degradation[51], a process that is independent from ER stress. Hence, the ERAD system appears to be involved in, not only the proteolytic removal of misfolded proteins, but also regulation of the cholesterol metabolic process by means of the same ER-associated machinery. Moreover, because some ER-resident proteins such as Bip[52], PDI[36], and PRDX4[52,53] are reportedly present in the cytosol, the ERAD machinery may be partly responsible for the retro-translocation of these proteins from the ER to the cytosol.

**Induction of cell death**

Cell death is induced when these protective mechanisms against the ER stress functions insufficiently and do not rescue ER function[54]. CHOP, also referred to as GADD153, is a developmentally regulated nuclear protein that has a strong sequence similarity to transcription factors C/EBP. CHOP functions as a dominant-negative inhibitor of the activity of C/EBP-like proteins[55]. CHOP induces the production of ERO1α, which then activates the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP₃) receptor and stimulates the release of Ca²⁺ from the ER via the IP₃ receptor channel. The increased levels of cytosolic Ca²⁺ then trigger apoptosis in cells that are under ER stress[56], and induction of...
The production of reactive oxygen species is largely initiated by a one-electron donation to an oxygen molecule, resulting in the production of superoxide (O$_2^-$). Superoxide undergoes spontaneous dismutation to hydrogen peroxide, a process that is markedly accelerated by superoxide dismutase. The resulting hydrogen peroxide can be rapidly eliminated by peroxidases, such as catalase, glutathione peroxidase and peroxiredoxin. In the meantime, a one-electron reduction of a transition metal, notably ferric to ferrous ion, results in the conversion of hydrogen peroxide to hydroxyl radicals (•OH). ROS: Reactive oxygen species; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; CAT: Catalase; GPX: Glutathione peroxidase; PRDX: Peroxiredoxin.

Figure 2  Production, conversion, and suppression of reactive oxygen species. The production of reactive oxygen species is largely initiated by a one-electron donation to an oxygen molecule, resulting in the production of superoxide (O$_2^-$). Superoxide undergoes spontaneous dismutation to hydrogen peroxide, a process that is markedly accelerated by superoxide dismutase. The resulting hydrogen peroxide can be rapidly eliminated by peroxidases, such as catalase, glutathione peroxidase and peroxiredoxin. In the meantime, a one-electron reduction of a transition metal, notably ferric to ferrous ion, results in the conversion of hydrogen peroxide to hydroxyl radicals (•OH). ROS: Reactive oxygen species; SOD: Superoxide dismutase; CAT: Catalase; GPX: Glutathione peroxidase; PRDX: Peroxiredoxin.

of cell death is a well-established function of CHOP$^{[57]}$. ERO1α was found to be enriched in the mitochondrial associated membrane (MAM), the interface area of the ER with mitochondria$^{[58]}$, and may also regulate mitochondrial Ca$^{2+}$ flux via controlling redox homeostasis in the ER$^{[59]}$. The pro-apoptotic roles of CHOP are supported by the finding that the disruption of the gene that encodes CHOP causes delays in the development of ER stress-mediated diabetes and decreases the sensitivity of pancreatic islet cells to nitric oxide toxicity$^{[60,61]}$. PERK and the IRE1 pathway activate CHOP, which, after translocation to the nucleus, inhibits the expression of anti-apoptotic genes, such as BCL-2, and activates the pro-apoptotic genes, Bim and DRS$^{[62,63]}$. Nevertheless, CHOP appears to have an additional function, which needs clarification$^{[64]}$.

OXIDATIVE STRESS AND ER STRESS

Superoxide radicals are produced primarily via a one-electron donation to molecular oxygen, which is mediated either by enzymatic reactions such as oxidases or non-enzymatic reactions such as glycoxidation$^{[65,66]}$ (Figure 2). While ROS, notably hydrogen peroxide, produced by stimuli function as signal regulators$^{[67]}$, excessively produced ROS cause oxidative stress that can exert a variety of effects over cellular functions. Transferring an unpaired electron together with oxygen to an unsaturated lipid causes lipid peroxidation, which then triggers a radical chain reaction$^{[68]}$. Superoxide is not reactive as a radical, but it may trigger the production of more reactive free radicals by a reaction referred to as Fenton chemistry, a process that is initiated by the donation of an electron to a transition metal ion, such as Fe$^{3+}$$^{[69]}$. The resultant Fe$^{2+}$ reacts with hydrogen peroxide, leading to the production of hydroxyl radicals, one of the most harmful molecules, which oxidizes lipids that contain unsaturated fatty acids, bases in nucleic acids, and proteins.

Sources for oxidative stress in the ER

Based on the amount of consumed oxygen molecules, mitochondria are generally regarded as the main source of ROS production. MAM appears to be the place where these organelles communicate and provide ROS to the ER from mitochondria$^{[58]}$. NADPH-oxidases (NOX) are another source of ROS and frequently cause oxidative stress, especially under inflammatory conditions$^{[70]}$. After mitochondria, the ER is the organelle that consumes the most molecular oxygen because there are several oxidases that are associated with this organelle. While most NOX members are located in the plasma membrane and produce superoxide as primary ROS, NOX4, a ER-resident enzyme, faces the ER lumen and releases hydrogen peroxide by the two-electron reduction of an oxygen molecule$^{[71]}$. Cytochrome P450 is largely associated with the ER membrane and releases ROS to the cytoplasmic side$^{[72,73]}$. However, these genes are selectively expressed in tissues, such as the liver and steroidogenic organs.

In the meantime, oxygen molecule is also consumed inside the ER by ERO1$^{[74,75]}$, which is involved in oxidative protein folding in most cells, as mentioned above. In fact, the ER is the organelle that produces the highest levels of hydrogen peroxide$^{[76]}$. The levels of hydrogen peroxide increase under conditions where the secretion of proteins is enhanced due to ERO1-mediated oxidative protein
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folding. Insulin production is maintained at high levels under prolonged hyperglycemic conditions and hydrogen peroxide levels are also kept high, which may cause oxidative stress together with ER stress in pancreatic β-cells and ultimately aberrant insulin secretion. Hydrogen peroxide may also come from other cellular compartments or reactions other than ERO1-catalysed PDI oxidation.

Machineries maintaining ER homeostasis against oxidative stress
To avoid oxidative stress, ROS are either eliminated via interactions with low molecular weight antioxidants, such as glutathione (GSH) and vitamin C, or converted to less-reactive compounds by antioxidative enzymes. Superoxide is exclusively converted to hydrogen peroxide by superoxide dismutase (SOD). In the case of the elimination of hydrogen peroxide, GSH peroxidase (GPX) and peroxiredoxin (PRDX), which are encoded by respective gene families, largely contribute to the reductive detoxification of hydrogen peroxide. While conventional GPX utilizes GSH as the electron donor, PRDX largely utilizes thioredoxin for this purpose. Extracellular superoxide dismutase (SOD3) and the plasma form of GPX (GPX3) are produced in the ER in the same manner as other secretory proteins. However, while some of them may be retained in the ER lumen, most are excreted. Thus, only few antioxidative systems are present in the ER lumen compared to cytoplasm and mitochondria.

Conversion of superoxide to hydrogen peroxide
Superoxide is converted to hydrogen peroxide by dismutation, either by a spontaneous reaction between two superoxide molecules or by accelerated reaction via the SOD-catalyzed reaction. The latter reaction is about 3000 times faster than the spontaneous dismutation and causes superoxide levels to be decreased to less than 0.5% of that of the SOD1-free erythrocytes. However, the physiological relevance of SOD is sometimes a topic of debate because hydrogen peroxide, which is not a radical but is still a reactive compound, is the product of the catalytic conversion of superoxide. While hydrogen peroxide does not itself cause a radical chain reaction, on accepting one electron, hydrogen peroxide is converted to a highly toxic molecule, hydroxyl radical, via the Fenton reaction. The Fenton reaction involves a one-electron reduction of a transition metal ion in the preceding step and can be prevented by either the removal of the free transition metal ion or the elimination of the radical species that serves as the electron donor. Thus, the physiological significance of SOD can be rationally explained by the rapid elimination of superoxide before donating its unpaired electron. The resulting hydrogen peroxide can be reduced immediately to water by peroxidases that abundant in the body.

Among the three SOD isozymes present in mammalian cells, SOD1, which is localized in the cytoplasm and the intermembrane space in mitochondria as well, is expressed ubiquitously in the body and the best characterized from the biochemical and pathological viewpoints. A missense mutation in SOD1 is reportedly a cause of familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). More than 150 mutations have been identified in the SOD1 gene in familial ALS, and several model mice have been established by the transgenic overexpression of the mutant gene and have been employed in attempts to elucidate the etiology of ALS and to develop possible drugs. On the contrary, the genetic ablation of SOD1 does not induce ALS in mice, indicating that ALS develops as a consequence of the gain-of-function of mutant SOD1. Among several proposed mechanisms, an attractive hypothesis has been proposed from viewpoint of ER stress-mediated motor neuron death as follows. ALS-linked mutations of SOD1 cause chronic ER stress through interactions with Der1, a component proposed for ERAD, as described above. In addition it is noteworthy that, under zinc-depleted conditions, the wild-type SOD1 interacts with Der1, suggesting that SOD1 plays a role in the interaction with Der1. Therefore SOD1 may be post-translationally converted into a pathogenic molecule under zinc insufficient conditions and could also cause sporadic ALS. In the meantime, mutant SOD1 interacts with subunits of the coatamer coat protein (COP) complex, which is essential for ER-Golgi transport. Thus, mutant SOD1 may aggravate ER stress via the suppression of membrane transport from the ER to the Golgi body.

Reductive detoxification of hydrogen peroxide and oxidative folding by PRDX4
Because ERO1 and other oxidoreductases that are involved in oxidative protein folding produce hydrogen peroxide, which would be expected to lead to ER stress via oxidative modification, especially sulfhydryls in nascent proteins. While peroxiredoxins exert peroxidase activity using the reducing power derived from reducing agents, such as thioredoxin, PRDX4 possesses a hydrophobic signal peptide, and is the only form present in the ER lumen. PRDX4 eliminates peroxides in a thioredoxin-dependent manner in vitro analogous to other family members, but the thioredoxin-redox system is much less active in the ER compared to the cytosol. Hence, it is not likely that thioredoxin supports the elimination of hydrogen peroxide by PRDX4 in the ER.

PRDX4-catalysed disulfide formation in PDI proteins by means of hydrogen peroxide was first demonstrated in vitro. PRDX4 utilizes hydrogen peroxide to oxidize reactive sulfhydryls in the PDI, rescues an ERO1 deficiency in yeast, and catalyzes hydrogen peroxide-mediated oxidative protein folding in the ER. Among the PDI family members, two proteins, P5 and ERP46, are preferential targets of PRDX4. While ERO1 utilizes molecular oxygen as an electron acceptor and produces hydrogen peroxide, PRDX4 utilizes electrons from sulfhydryl groups in PDI family proteins and reduces hydrogen peroxide. Thus PRDX4 is beneficial from the standpoint of antioxidation as well. PRDX4 regulates disulfide formation in glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase.
(GDE)2, a membrane protein, and determines its cell surface expression and consequently neurogenesis in mouse embryos as well[100].

The genetic ablation of PRDX4 causes a defect in the development of testis but otherwise shows a moderate phenotypical abnormality in mice[101]. This can be attributed to a functional redundancy of other molecules in the ER. The induction of PRDX4 is observed during the terminal differentiation of B cells to plasma cells after a lipopolysaccharide treatment[102]. In PRDX4-deficient plasma cells, large aggregates of IgM are actually formed in the ER. The ablation of both ERO1 and PRDX4 causes the consumption of ascorbic acid, which donates electrons for the hydroxylation of prolines in collagen, and results in atypical scurry, which is characterized by an insufficient production of collagen[103]. The pancreas express PRDX4 abundantly[104] probably because protein secretion both as exocrine and endocrine mechanisms is critical in this organ. In fact mice that overexpress PRDX4 show protection against high-dose streptozotocin-induced diabetes in transgenic mice[105,106]. The biosynthesis and secretion of insulin are improved by the overexpression of PRDX4 in INS-1E cells[107]. Moreover, atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-knockout mice is attenuated by the excessive expression of PRDX4[108].

Issues regarding the physiological functions of PRDX4 other than ER sulfoxidase remain ambiguous and should be resolved. A portion of PRDX4 is present in blood plasma[109] and is elevated under some pathological conditions[110]. However, its release from cultivated cells is suppressed by oxidative stress[111], so that the organ source and the mechanism responsible for the release of the PRDX4 are unknown. Moreover, sexually matured testes express a variant form of PRDX4 that is transcribed from the alternative exon 1[112]. The translated variant protein does not possess a secretory signal peptide, which results in this protein being localized in the cytosol. The variant form of PRDX4 exhibits an antioxidative function[113], but its physiological significance in testes is unknown.

Other proteins involved in disulfide formation in the ER

Several other proteins that are present in the ER lumen also appear to participate in disulfide formation[114], GPX7 and GPX8 exhibit sulfoxidase activity in the ER[115], and GPX8 is reportedly more selective for the reduction of hydrogen peroxide produced by ERO1. PRDX4 appears to form a complex with Ero1α and exerts peroxidase activity that prevents the diffusion of Ero1α-derived hydrogen peroxide into and out of the rough ER[115]. Similar to PRDX4, GPX7 and GPX8 are not expressed in β cells but improves insulin secretion when overexpressed in INS-1E β-cells[116]. Vitamin K epoxide reductase (VKOR) catalyzes the reduction of vitamin K 2,3-epoxide and vitamin K to vitamin K hydroquinone, which is required for the γ-glutamyl carboxylation of coagulation factors[117]. VKOR is an ER protein consisting of four transmembrane helices, employs an electron transfer pathway similar to the bacterial homologue and preferably couples with membrane-bound thioredoxin-like redox partners[118]. The combined depletion of ERO1, PRDX4, and VKOR actually causes cell death, and VKOR alone is capable of supporting cell viability and protein secretion in the absence of ERO1 and PRDX4 activities[119]. Thus, VKOR appears to function sufficiently in oxidative protein folding and compensates for the lack of other sulfoxidases.

Low molecular redox compounds

Some small molecules other than proteins are also reportedly involved in redox homeostasis in the ER. GSH is the most abundant non-protein thiol in cells and plays pleiotropic roles, such as in antioxidation and in the detoxification of toxicants[120]. The antioxidative functions of GSH are effectively expressed by a donation of electrons to peroxides via GPX[81]. Hence, a GSH insufficiency triggers a redox imbalance and makes cells more vulnerable to an oxidative insult, leading to cell death in severe cases. ER contains 15 mM GSH[121] which reportedly supports the secretion of proteins by its redox capacity. However, GSH depletion, which is caused by expressing an exogenous GSH-metabolizing enzyme in the ER lumen, shows no measurable effect on the induction of UPR, suggesting the existence of an alternative molecule capable of supporting redox reactions in the ER[122].

Ascorbic acid is also present abundantly in the ER and appears to support oxidative protein folding. As mentioned above, a double deficiency of ERO1 and PRDX4 causes atypical scurry in mice due to ascorbic acid consumption and defects in collagen synthesis[103]. However, the genetic ablation of gulonolactone oxidase (GULO), which is the enzyme that catalyzes the last step of ascorbic synthesis but which is lacking in primates, shows normal collagen status in mouse skin[123]. In mice that lack aldehyde reductase encoded by AKR1A, ascorbic acid synthesis is decreased to approximately 10% of wild-type mice[124] but normal collagen synthesis in the fibrotic kidney after unilateral ureteral obstruction was observed[125]. Thus, these reports suggest the presence of other electron donors, other than ascorbic acid, to support collagen synthesis.

NON-ALCOHOLIC FATTY LIVER DISEASE AS A REPRESENTATIVE INJURY MEDIATED BY OXIDATIVE /ER STRESS

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a condition where the fat content in the liver exceeds 5%-10% by weight without a history of an excessive ingestion of alcohol[126]. A variety of metabolic dysfunctions including diabetes, dyslipidemia, and the metabolic syndrome are associated with NAFLD, which then degenerates to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), a condition associated with inflammation and fibrotic liver damage[127].

Roles of oxidative/ER stress in fatty liver disease

Oxidative stress is commonly regarded as “the second hit” for NASH development[128]. Signaling pathways for the ER stress-induced inflammatory process to NAFLD de-
development has been extensively overviewed\[129\]. Oxidative stress triggers ER stress by stimulating the formation of misfolded proteins, and they together coordinately trigger NAFLD\[130,131\]. Below we briefly summarize the processes for lipid droplet accumulation triggered by these types of stress.

Sterol regulatory element-binding proteins (SREBPs) are the main transcriptional regulatory factors that control the synthesis of fatty acids and cholesterol under the control of the sterol status in the ER membrane\[51\]. Two SREBP genes, SREBP1 and SREBP2, are present in mammals. SREBP1 is alternatively transcribed into two forms SREBP1a and SREBP1c and is mainly involved in fatty acid synthesis. SREBP2 regulates a series of genes that are responsible for cholesterol synthesis. SREBPs are membrane proteins predominantly exposed to the cytoplasmic side and bind to another ER protein, the SREBP cleavage-activating protein (SCAP), which is located in the ER membrane\[132\]. Intermembrane domains on the SCAP protein bind cholesterol, which enable the formation of the SCAP/SREBP complex. Insig-1, another ER membrane protein, block the lateral movement of SCAP/SREBP into COP II-coated vesicles on ER membranes and prevent them from reaching the Golgi body\[51,133\]. Upon the dissociation of cholesterol due to an insufficient presence within the ER membrane, the SCAP/SREBP complex is released from Insig-1, translocated to the Golgi apparatus and then cleaved by S1P and S2P there\[134\]. This proteolytic activation recruits the cytoplasmic domain of the proteins to the nucleus, which then results in the induction of the expression of a series of lipogenic genes\[135\]. As described above, S1P and S2P are processing enzymes for the ER membrane-bound transcriptional regulatory protein ATF6 and activate it under conditions of ER stress\[40\]. Because the proteolytic machinery is shared, ER stress actually upregulates SREBP-1c, leading to the accumulation of lipid in hepatic cells\[136\]. Glycogen synthase kinase (GSK)-3 appears to be involved in signaling downstream of ER stress\[137\]. In the meantime HMG CoA reductase limits cholesterol synthesis, irrespective of ER stress. The protein levels of HMG CoA reductase are indirectly regulated by cholesterol via an Insig-mediated reaction; i.e., the presence of sufficient amounts of cholesterol consequently drives the polyubiquitination of HMG CoA reductase and proteolytic degradation by proteasomes\[40\].

The fact that ER stress induces the activation of SREBPs, in turn, suggests that SREBP-mediated lipogenesis also activated under conditions of oxidative stress. In fact, oxidative stress induces SREBP1c activation and lipid accumulation\[138\]. Thus, oxidative stress and ER stress interdependently stimulates the de novo synthesis and accumulation of triglycerides and cholesterol but, on another front, inhibits the secretion of lipoproteins\[139\]. Because cell cultures are typically performed under atmospheric oxygen, the spontaneous activation of SREBP1 and the associated expression of genes fatty acid synthase (FAS), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), and stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD)1 are observed in primary hepatocytes and this is greatly enhanced in SOD1-knockout hepatocytes\[140\]. For the excretion of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, appropriate oxidative folding of the apoB protein is essential\[141,142\]. In fact, oxidative stress appears to suppress lipoprotein secretion, which would be most likely caused via the misfolding of apoB and an impaired microsomal transfer protein function\[143\]. Stimulation of lipogenesis and the inhibition of lipoprotein secretion would cooperatively elevate lipid droplet accumulation, which would consequently result in the development of liver steatosis (Figure 3). This interdependent work of dealing with oxidative stress and ER stress in liver steatosis is further supported by recent observations showing the double knockout of SOD1 and PRDX4 result in aggravated liver damage compared to singly knockout mice\[144\].

An examination of fatty acid metabolism in NAFLD patients indicates that the inability of the liver to regulate changes in lipogenesis during the transition from the fasted to fed state is the underlying mechanism responsible for this\[145\]. Fasting induces the formation of lipid droplets, not only in the liver but also other tissues that are dominantly involved in active β-oxidation. While feeding a high fat diet leads to lipid droplet accumulation in the liver\[146\] and intestinal epithelia\[143\] more intensely in SOD1-knockout mice than the wild-type mice, fasting induces severe and irreversible damage not only to the liver but to other aerobic organs as well\[147,148\]. Thus fasting may aggravate oxidative damage in these organs and become a serious pathogenic factor for NAFLD.

These observations raise the next query, i.e., why is lipogenesis elevated as a consequence of UPR in cases of ER stress if lipid accumulation is unfavorable for the liver? We have a clue to this, in that feeding a lard-containing high-calorie diet increases the accumulation of lipid droplets but improves the longevity of the SOD1-deficient mice compared to mice fed a normal diet\[149\]. This is unexpected because lipid accumulation is generally recognized to be an exacerbating factor for liver function. Based on these observations we hypothesized that lipid droplets that accumulate in response to oxidative stress may have a protective role against the hepatotoxic effects of ROS. Experimental data actually suggest that lipids that transiently accumulate in the liver have a protective function against oxidative injury caused by a liver toxicant thioacetamide in mice and by hydrogen peroxide in cultivated cells\[150\]. Thus, the accumulation of lipids under ER stress conditions may also be regarded as an adaptive response of hepatocytes to oxidative stress conditions\[151\], although liver steatosis at a more advanced stage is hazardous and should be treated appropriately.

**Therapeutics to combat against NAFLD**

Multiple processes appear to underlie the pathogenesis of NAFLD, so that a variety of agents and treatment may be applicable for therapeutic purposes. Antioxidants directly eliminate ROS and result in the suppression of oxidative stress and consequently ER stress. Hydrophobic antioxidants, such as vitamin E\[68\] and coenzyme Q10\[152\], and their derivatives and hydrophilic antioxidants, such as vitamin C (ascorbic acid) and N-acetylcysteine, a precursor
for cysteine and GSH\textsuperscript{[133]}, may be promising agents for use in ameliorating the effects of NAFLD. In fact, vitamin E and polyphenol have been reported to be useful in the treatment of NAFLD patients, while the issue of whether vitamin C is beneficial is ambiguous at this moment\textsuperscript{[154,155]}.

While antioxidants decrease the levels of pathogenic ROS directly, it would be helpful if cellular capacity to resist malfunctions in lipid metabolism could be additionally enhanced. In this sense, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) and their binding partner retinoid X-receptors (RXR), appear to have roles in maintaining lipid homeostasis and, hence, represent promising targets for ameliorating the pathogenesis of NAFLD\textsuperscript{[126]}. PPAR family proteins of which PPAR-\(\alpha\), PPAR-\(\beta/\delta\), PPAR-\(\gamma\) are members, can be either activated or inhibited by lipid metabolites and other lipophilic agents \textit{in vivo}. Regarding the distribution of PPARs in tissues, the highest expression of PPAR-\(\alpha\) is observed in brown adipose tissue, liver, kidney, and heart\textsuperscript{[156]}. The expression of PPAR-\(\gamma\) is higher in adipose tissues than other tissues. Compared to these isoforms, the expression of the PPAR-\(\gamma/\delta\) isoform is rather ubiquitous. Mice in which PPAR-\(\alpha\) is knocked out are viable and fertile and show no detectable gross phenotypic defects\textsuperscript{[157]}. Contrary to PPAR-\(\alpha\) knockout mice, a PPAR-\(\gamma\) deficiency showed impaired placental vascularization, leading to embryonic death by embryonic day E10.0\textsuperscript{[158]}. Similarly, the genetic ablation of PPAR-\(\gamma/\delta\) also impairs the placenta and leads to embryonic death at E9.5 - E10.5\textsuperscript{[159]}.

The roles of PPAR-\(\gamma/\delta\) are less established compared to those of PPAR-\(\alpha\) and PPAR-\(\gamma\). In 2003 it was reported that PPAR-\(\gamma\) contributes to fat metabolism\textsuperscript{[160,161]}, which then attracted more interest in PPAR-\(\gamma\) than before. For example, PPAR-\(\gamma\) activation enhances fatty acid oxidation and rescues ER stress in pancreatic \(\beta\)-cells\textsuperscript{[162]}. The PPAR-\(\alpha/\delta\) agonist GFT505 prevents high fat diet-induced liver steatosis and protects the liver from inflammatory reactions in mice\textsuperscript{[163]}. The activation of PPAR-\(\gamma/\delta\) by GW501516 also prevents the inflammation associated with ER stress in skeletal muscle cells and ameliorates insulin resistance in mice through an adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-dependent mechanism\textsuperscript{[164]}. The PPAR-\(\gamma/\delta\) agonist GW0742 also reportedly attenuates ER stress by improving hepatic energy metabolism in the livers of high fat diet-administered mice\textsuperscript{[165]}. The effectiveness of agonists for PPAR-\(\alpha/\delta\) has been confirmed in NASH patients as well\textsuperscript{[166]}. Thus, the application of PPAR agonists, notably those for PPAR-\(\beta/\delta\) isoforms, appears to be promising therapeutics for the treatment of NAFLD\textsuperscript{[167]}. While the molecular mechanisms for how PPAR-\(\beta/\delta\) controls insulin signaling are largely
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\caption{Coordinate action of oxidative stress and endoplasmic reticulum stress in liver steatosis. Excessively produced reactive oxygen species (ROS) cause the misfolding of proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), which leads to ER stress. The precursor forms of activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) and sterol regulatory element-binding proteins (SREBPs) in the ER membrane are translocated to the Golgi body by an independent mechanism but are proteolytically activated there by site-1 protease (S1P) and S2P. The transcriptionally active ATF6 and SREBP then move to the nucleus. While ATF6 exerts a protective function by activating the genes involved in the unfolded protein response, SREBPs activates genes that are involved in lipogenesis and steroidogenesis, which may lead to the development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. ROS: Reactive oxygen species; ER: Endoplasmic reticulum; ATF6: Activating transcription factor 6; SREBP: Sterol regulatory element-binding protein; S1P: Site-1 protease; UPR: Unfolded protein response.}
\end{figure}
unknown, a stable interaction of PPAR-γ/δ with nuclear T-cell protein tyrosine phosphatase 45 (TCPPT45) isoform has been demonstrated as the most upstream component that resolves the downregulation of insulin signaling[160]. Forthcoming experiments directed at elucidating the pathway in more detail would provide a clearer vision on this issue.

As described above, the activation of PPAR-γ/δ is a largely promising area of therapeutics for fatty liver diseases in animal experiments[160]. However, it should be remembered that the most potent and specific activator for PPAR-γ/δ, GW501516, also accelerates intestinal adenocarcinoma in Apcr1 mice that are predisposed to developing intestinal polyposis[170]. The activation of PPAR-γ/δ by GW501516 is also associated with the induction of cancer development, while the PPAR-γ agonist GW7845 causes a moderate delay in tumor formation[171]. At the present stage, the activation of PPAR-γ/δ does not always lead to consistent results; i.e., it is either pro-carcinogenic or anti-carcinogenic, depending on carcinogenic model and the animals being used[172-173]. Therefore the development of activating agents that exert a beneficial action on PPAR-γ/δ, but do not have other side effects, is awaited for using synthetic activators for therapeutic purposes in the treatment of fatty liver diseases.

PERSPECTIVES
Oxidative stress and ER stress are frequently linked and become pathogenic in some diseases such as NAFLD[174]. Antioxidants suppress oxidative stress directly and also subsequent ER stress and, hence, can mitigate the causal factors for NAFLD. In the meantime, the activation of PPARs renders the liver more resistant against stress caused by lipotoxicity. Coordinated treatment with these agents may exert therapeutic effects more efficiently in NAFLD as well as in other diseases that are caused by aberrant lipid metabolism.
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