The clinical significance of SWI/SNF complex in pancreatic cancer
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Abstract. Chromatin remodeling factors have been the subject of great interest in oncology. However, little is known about their role in pancreatic cancer. The objective of this study was to clarify the clinical significance of the SWIitch/sucrose non-fermentable (SWI/SNF) complex in patients with pancreatic cancer. A total of 68 patients with pancreatic cancer who underwent R0, 1 resection were enrolled. Cancer tissues were processed to tissue microarray, then stained immunohistochemically by using antibody of SWI/SNF components; BRM, BRG1, BAF250a, BAF180 and BAF47. The correlation of expression levels and clinicopathological outcomes were analyzed, followed by the multivariate analysis of prognostic factors for overall survival. The expression levels of the SWI/SNF components were categorized as low or high according to the median value of Histoscore. Statistical analysis revealed that BRM expression was related to tumor size, T factor, M factor, lymphatic invasion and stage BRG1 expression to histology and stage BAF180 expression to tumor size and BAF47 expression to lymphatic invasion, respectively. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis showed that high BRM and low BAF180 expression levels were independent predictors of worse survival in patients with pancreatic cancer. High BRM, and low BAF180 were also independent prognostic factors for poor survival in the subgroup with adjuvant gemcitabine. These results suggest that the specific cofactors of SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex certainly have roles in pancreatic cancer. High BRM, and low BAF180 are useful biomarkers for poor prognosis in pancreatic cancer.
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Introduction

Pancreatic cancer remains a leading cause of cancer deaths in the advanced nation (1,2). The overall 5-year survival rate is reported to be less than 5% (3). A reliable and clinically relevant prognostic biomarker which can stratify the disease is needed for developing new strategies.

It is a known fact that chromatin, highly condensed and dynamically structured, can be temporally rearranged so that specific genes can be expressed or repressed (4). Studies have shown that modification of chromatin structure is an essential step in gene regulation primarily mediated by chromatin remodeling proteins. Among these proteins, histone is known to play a dynamic role in the regulation of transcription (5-7). Often, transcription is also regulated by other cofactors, and the balance of chromatin remodeling activities may be crucial to ensure accurate responses to developmental or environmental cues and to prevent the transition of normal cells into cancer cells (8).

The SWIitch/sucrose non-fermentable (SWI/SNF) complex is a major complex of adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-dependent chromatin remodeling factors and controls the transcriptional activity of a variety of genes involved in cellular growth and transformation by altering chromatin structure (9-13). SWI/SNF complex, originally identified in yeast, is composed of more than 10 characterized subunits (14,15) and human SWI/SNF complexes contain one of the two core ATPase subunits, BRM or BRG1 (13,16-18). Growing genetic and molecular evidence indicates that specific subunits of the SWI/SNF complex can act as tumor suppressors (6,19). However, there is no report on the relationship between SWI/SNF components expression and the clinical significance of pancreatic cancer. In this study, we investigated the expression levels of SWI/SNF components to clarify the clinical impact of SWI/SNF complex on pancreatic cancer.

Materials and methods

Patients and samples. The surgical specimens of pancreatic cancer tissue obtained from 68 patients were evaluated. All of the patients had undergone macroscopically curative resection (R0, 1) at Kanagawa Cancer Center between July 2006

...
and April 2010. The clinicopathological characteristics of these patients are shown in Table I. In all cases, archival hematoxylin and eosin-stained (H&E) slides of the primary tumor were retrieved and reviewed to confirm the pathological features as well as to select suitable tissue blocks for immunohistochemical analysis. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. The Ethics Committees of the Kanagawa Cancer Center approved the protocol before initiation of the study. We declare no conflicts of interest.

### Tissue microarrays and immunohistochemistry.

Microarrays consisting of cores, each measuring 2 mm in diameter, were prepared from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks of surgically removed primary tumors. Each tissue core of the primary tumor was sampled.

Immunohistochemical staining was performed using commercially available polyclonal rabbit, or mouse antibodies raised against BRM (Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA), BRG1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA), BAF250a (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), BAF180 (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO), BAF47 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.). Tissue microarray blocks were sectioned at a thickness of 4 µm and mounted on pre-coated glass slides. The sections were de-paraffinized through a graded series of xylene and rehydrated through a graded series of alcohol to distilled water. Endogenous peroxidase was quenched with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol at room temperature. The sections were placed in a 95˚C solution of 0.01 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 40 min for antigen retrieval. Normal goat serum (5%) was then applied for 15 min to block any non-specific protein binding sites. Primary polyclonal antibodies were applied for 1 h at room temperature at the following dilutions: anti-BRM at 1:250, anti-BRG1 at 1:200, anti-BAF250a at 1:100, anti-BAF180 at 1:90 and BAF47 at 1:300. Immunoreactive proteins were detected using the Simple Stain MAX-PO (Multi).

All sections were counterstained with Mayer's hematoxylin, and negative controls were included in each staining sequence. The intensity and global level of staining were scored semi-quantitatively for each tissue microarray by an investigator blinded to all of the clinicopathological variables. The global level of staining refers to the percentage of tumor cells that stained positively for an antibody within each tissue microarray at x200 magnification using a light microscope.

### Scoring of immunohistochemical reactivity.

Immunohistochemical scoring was completed using the modified Histoscore (H-score) (20), which involves a semiquantitative assessment of both the intensity of staining (graded as: 0, non-staining; 1, weak; 2, median; or 3, strong using adjacent normal mucosa as the median) and the percentage of positive cells (Fig. 1). The range of possible scores was from 0 to 300. Expression level of each component was categorized as low or high according to the median value of H-score.

### Statistical analysis.

The relationships between the expression level and the clinicopathological factors were evaluated with the χ² test. The postoperative survival rate from the day of primary tumor resection was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and any differences in the survival rates were assessed with the log-rank test. A Cox proportional-hazard model was used for the multivariate analyses. Differences were considered significant when P<0.05. The statistical analysis was performed using the PASW Statistics 18 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

### Results

**Relation of SWI/SNF component expression to clinicopathological features.** The distribution of H-score is showed in Fig. 2.
Expression level of the SWI/SNF components was categorized as low or high according to the median value of the H-score. Relations between the expression levels of each component and clinicopathological features were then examined. Factors implicating significant relations were tumor size, T factor, M factor, lymphatic invasion, and stage in BRM, histology and stage in BRG1, tumor size in BAF180, lymphatic invasion in BAF47, respectively (Table II).

Analysis of prognostic factors in all patients. Univariate Cox regression analysis for overall survival in all patients showed that age, tumor size, histological type, M factor, curability of the surgery, and expression level of BRM as well as BAF180 were significant predictors (Table III). On multivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis, histology, expression level of BRM and BAF180 were significant independent predictors of overall survival in patients with pancreatic cancer (Table IV).

Comparison of survival by the status of BRM and BAF180. The 5-year survival rate of high BRM patients was 9.8%, which was significantly worse than that of low BRM patients (43.8%) (Fig. 3). Also, the 5-year survival rate of low BAF180 (8.1%) was significantly worse than that of high BAF180 patients (40.8%) (Fig. 3).

Hazard analysis of SWI/SNF components in the patients treated with adjuvant gemcitabine. Multivariate analysis (Table V) and survival analysis (Fig. 4) showed that BRM-high and BAF180-low were independent prognostic factors for overall survival in the patients treated with adjuvant gemcitabine.

Discussion

Chromatin remodeling factors have been the subject of great interest in oncology. However, little is known about their role in pancreatic cancer.

The SWI/SNF complexes are large, multi-subunit complexes containing 10 or more subunits, serving as a master switch that directs and limits the execution of specific cellular programs, such as differentiation and growth control (21). Each complex has one of the two different ATPase as core motor; BRM or BRG1, and subunits which are referred to as BAFs (BRM- or BRG1-associated factors). The BRM-containing complex is termed BRM/BAF. The BRG1-containing complexes are
Table II. Relation of SWI/SNF component expression to clinicopathological factors.

| Factors                  | BRM Low/High | p-value | BRG1 Low/High | p-value | BAF250a Low/High | p-value | BAF180 Low/High | p-value | BAF47 Low/High | p-value |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------|---------------|---------|------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Age (years)              |              |         |               |         |                  |         |                 |         |                |         |
| <65/≥65                  | 15/19        | 1/0.000 | 18/19         | 0.143   | 13/21            | 0.329   | 19/15           | 0.051   | 13/21          | 0.329   |
| Gender                   |              |         |               |         |                  |         |                 |         |                |         |
| Male/female              | 16/18        | 1/0.000 | 16/18         | 1.000   | 13/21            | 0.145   | 15/19           | 0.627   | 17/17          | 0.627   |
| Tumor size <4/≥4 cm      | 19/15        | 0.027   | 12/22         | 0.220   | 14/20            | 0.806   | 10/24           | 0.027   | 15/19          | 0.806   |
| Histology                |              |         |               |         |                  |         |                 |         |                |         |
| Well, mod/poor           | 18/16        | 0.331   | 11/23         | 0.015   | 14/20            | 0.331   | 13/21           | 0.145   | 15/19          | 0.627   |
| T1-3/4                   | 25/9         | 0.003   | 23/11         | 0.051   | 17/17            | 0.329   | 19/15           | 1.000   | 20/14          | 0.625   |
| N0/N1                    | 9/25         | 0.779   | 10/24         | 0.401   | 10/24            | 0.401   | 8/26            | 0.779   | 9/25           | 0.779   |
| M0/M1                    | 30/4         | 0.041   | 27/7          | 0.770   | 24/10            | 0.114   | 25/9            | 0.380   | 28/6           | 0.380   |
| Vessel invasion No/yes   | 12/22        | 0.287   | 11/23         | 0.595   | 7/27             | 0.110   | 10/24           | 1.000   | 8/26           | 0.287   |
| Lymphatic invasion No/yes| 15/19        | 0.018   | 13/21         | 0.189   | 9/25             | 0.431   | 9/25            | 0.431   | 15/19          | 0.018   |
| Stage 0-III/IV           | 18/16        | 0.001   | 17/17         | 0.005   | 10/24            | 0.442   | 11/23           | 0.798   | 14/20          | 0.200   |
| Curability R0/R1         | 25/9         | 0.078   | 23/11         | 0.451   | 20/14            | 0.451   | 21/13           | 0.801   | 20/14          | 0.451   |

Well, well differentiated adenocarcinoma; mod, moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma; poor, poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma; inv, invasion.
There are several studies reporting that the subunit of SWI/SNF complex was decreased in cancer tissues. They revealed the mutation of ARID1A, which codes BAF250a protein, in about half of ovarian clear cell carcinomas (23,24), and PBRM1, which codes BAF180, in approximately 40% of renal cell carcinomas (25). Another study identified the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex as tumor suppressor, by mediating retinoblastoma protein (RB)-derived regulation of the cell cycle (22,26,27). However, the roles of these subunits in pancreatic cancers are poorly understood.

In this study, we investigated the expression levels of 5 key subunits; BRM, BRG1, BAF250a, BAF180, which are the key subunits when subdividing complex types, and BAF47. There is established evidence that BAF47 is a tumor suppressor in rhabdoid tumors (28).

In the analysis of expression level and clinicopathological features, high BRM was related to worse clinicopathological features in general, including larger tumor size, T4 disease, other

Table III. Univariate analysis for overall survival in pancreatic cancer.

| Factors       | HR (95% CI) | p-value |
|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Age (years)   |             |         |
| <65           | 1.0         |         |
| ≥65           | 0.533 (0.293-0.967) | 0.035   |
| Sex           |             |         |
| Male          | 1.0         |         |
| Female        | 0.865 (0.478-1.565) | 0.632   |
| Tumor size (cm) |           |         |
| <4            | 1.0         |         |
| ≥4            | 1.979 (1.048-3.739) | 0.035   |
| Histology     |             |         |
| Well/mod      | 1.0         |         |
| Poor          | 2.744 (1.429-5.271) | 0.002   |
| T             |             |         |
| T1-3          | 1.0         |         |
| T4            | 1.733 (0.955-3.146) | 0.071   |
| N             |             |         |
| N0            | 1.0         |         |
| N1            | 1.208 (0.594-2.458) | 0.602   |
| M             |             |         |
| M0            | 1.0         |         |
| M1            | 2.329 (1.222-4.439) | 0.010   |
| Curability of surgery |             |         |
| R0            | 1.0         |         |
| R1            | 2.068 (1.121-3.815) | 0.020   |
| BRM           |             |         |
| Low           | 1.0         |         |
| High          | 2.225 (1.199-4.129) | 0.111   |
| BRG1          |             |         |
| Low           | 1.0         |         |
| High          | 0.853 (0.471-1.546) | 0.601   |
| BAF250a       |             |         |
| Low           | 1.0         |         |
| High          | 0.807 (0.446-1.461) | 0.479   |
| BAF180        |             |         |
| Low           | 1.0         |         |
| High          | 0.428 (0.231-0.793) | 0.007   |
| BAF47         |             |         |
| Low           | 1.0         |         |
| High          | 0.690 (0.378-1.258) | 0.226   |

HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; well, well differentiated adenocarcinoma; mod, moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma; poor, poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma.

Table IV. Multivariate analysis for overall survival in pancreatic cancer.

| Factors       | HR (95% CI) | p-value |
|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Age           |             |         |
| <65           | 1.0         |         |
| ≥65           | 0.633 (0.330-1.214) | 0.169   |
| Tumor size (cm) |           |         |
| <4            | 1.0         |         |
| ≥4            | 1.122 (0.543-2.318) | 0.755   |
| Histology     |             |         |
| Well/Mod      | 1.0         |         |
| Poor          | 2.702 (1.253-5.830) | 0.011   |
| M             |             |         |
| M0            | 1.0         |         |
| M1            | 1.381 (0.557-3.424) | 0.486   |
| Curability of surgery |             |         |
| R0            | 1.0         |         |
| R1            | 1.981 (0.932-4.214) | 0.076   |
| BRM           |             |         |
| Low           | 1.0         |         |
| High          | 2.144 (1.066-4.311) | 0.032   |
| BAF180        |             |         |
| Low           | 1.0         |         |
| High          | 0.501 (0.258-0.971) | 0.041   |

HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; well, well differentiated adenocarcinoma; mod, moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma; poor, poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma.

further divided into those that contain the BAF250a (termed BRG1/BAF) or the BAF180 (termed PBAF). These three types of complexes are believed to have different molecular functions (22).

There are several studies reporting that the subunit of SWI/SNF complex was decreased in cancer tissues. They revealed the mutation of ARID1A, which codes BAF250a protein, in about half of ovarian clear cell carcinomas (23,24), and PBRM1, which codes BAF180, in approximately 40% of renal cell carcinomas (25). Another study identified the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex as tumor suppressor, by mediating retinoblastoma protein (RB)-derived regulation of the cell cycle (22,26,27). However, the roles of these subunits in pancreatic cancers are poorly understood.

In this study, we investigated the expression levels of 5 key subunits; BRM, BRG1, BAF250a, BAF180, which are the key subunits when subdividing complex types, and BAF47. There is established evidence that BAF47 is a tumor suppressor in rhabdoid tumors (28).

In the analysis of expression level and clinicopathological features, high BRM was related to worse clinicopathological features in general, including larger tumor size, T4 disease, other
organ metastasis, lymphatic invasion, and stage IV disease. Stage IV disease was also correlated to high BRG1, which is reported to have similar biological function as BRM. On the other hand, better clinicopathological features were related to high BAF expression. High BAF180 was related to smaller tumor size, and high BAF47 was associated with negative lymphatic invasion.

In addition, our multivariate analysis revealed both high BRM and low BAF180 were independent prognostic indicators for poor survival, whereas the expression level of BRG1, BAF250a, and BAF47 were not related to overall survival.

As a next step, we investigated the prognostic significance of these factors in the patients with adjuvant gemcitabine. Gemcitabine remains standard therapy in the adjuvant and palliative settings for pancreatic cancer (29,30). However, the response rate of gemcitabine is very low, with only 18% of 1-year survival rate (31). Developing a novel biomarker, which predicts the response for gemcitabine, is urgently needed. In the analysis of the patients with gemcitabine, we reached the same result: both high BRM and low BAF180 were independent prognostic indicators for poor survival.

A previous study showed that BRM or BRG1 is lost in 10-20% of the bladder, colon, breast, esophageal, pancreatic and ovarian cancers by immunohistochemical staining of tissue microarrays (32). Another study reported BRM was lost in approximately 15-20% of primary non-small lung cancers, and silencing of BRM was a prognostic factor for poor outcome (33,34). Although BRM is supposed to be involved in many biological functions, these data showed BRM-containing complexes (BRM/BAF) as tumor suppressor in cancer tissue.

It is also reported that BRM has a role in transcription of CD44 (35), which is important in the process of tumor-endothelium interactions, cell migration, cell adhesion, tumor progression and metastasis (36).

Our result showed that the patient with high BRM had a significantly worse survival than those without (5-year OS:...
Baf250a was deleted in as many as 30% of renal cell carcinoma and 10% of breast carcinoma (19,45). These results lead to the concept that BRG1/BAF appear to have antagonistic effect on cell cycle progression (46). However, our data did not show the relationship of BAF250a expression to clinicopathological features or overall survival in pancreatic cancer.

Based on this study, we reached the conclusion that high BRM, and low BAF180 are useful biomarkers not only for the patients with curative resection, but also for those with adjuvant gemcitabine. Future investigation into biological functions of SWI/SNF components could lead to better management in pancreatic cancer.
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