INTRODUCTION

Epithelial cancer cells can convert into a more mobile and invasive mesenchymal phenotype through “Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition” (EMT). Orchestrated by a group of pleiotropic EMT-promoting transcription factors (EMT-TFs) and a subset microRNA’s (miRs), EMT promotes stemness and enhances drug resistance. While the reverse process mesenchymal epithelial transition (MET) is required for the growth of micrometastatic tumors.1,2 A revolution in immune checkpoint immunotherapies has begun and new combination strategies with potent curative potential are emerging.3 Programmed death 1 (PD-1) or Programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) blockade has proven to be successful in many cancers.4,5 We and others6 have reported that Hypoxia-inducing factors (HIF-1α7 and HIF-2α8) regulate PD-L1 under hypoxia. PD-L1 expression is also regulated by signaling pathways, transcription factors, and miRs.9 However, the expression and regulation of PD-L1 in metastatic mesenchymal tumors versus primary epithelial tumors remain unclear. Furthermore, the potential contribution of various EMT-TFs on PD-L1 expression is still largely unknown.

In the present study, using multiple EMT-activated human breast cancer cell lines, we compared the expression and regulation of PD-L1 and we showed that not all EMT-activated cells upregulated PD-L1. Furthermore, upregulated PD-L1 rendered EMT-activated cells resistant to CTL-mediated lysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

CULTURE OF TUMOR CELLS AND CTLS

The tumor-infiltrating cytotoxic T lymphocyte (TIL CTL) clone Heu 33 and the human breast cancer cell lines were maintained in culture as described.10,11

RNA ISOLATION AND SYBR-GREEN qRT-PCR (REAL TIME-QUANTITATIVE POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION) AND WESTERN BLOT

RNA isolation and SYBR-GREEN qRT-PCR were performed as described.12 Expression level of 18S was used as endogenous control. Western blotting was performed as previously.7

FLOW CYTOMETRY ANALYSIS

Flow cytometry was performed using FACS LSR-II. Data were further analyzed by FACS DIVA 7.0 or Flow Jo 7.6.5 software.7

GENE SILENCING BY RNA INTERFERENCE

Pre-designed siRNAs against PD-L1 and scrambled control were obtained from Life Technologies and transfected by electroporation as described earlier.7
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Confocal microscopy

Confocal microscopy was performed as described.11

Cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxic activity of the TIL CTL clone (Heu33) was measured by a conventional 4-h Cr51 release assay.13,14

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism. Student’s t-test was used for single comparisons. Statistically significant differences (indicated by asterisks) are shown (‘’ = p < 0.05, ‘’’ = p < 0.005, and ‘’’’ = p < 0.0005). Error bars indicate SD.

Results and discussion

Differential upregulation of PD-L1 in MCF7 sh-WISP2 and MCF7–1001/2101 cells vs. MCF7, MCF7 SNAI1, and MCF7 SNAI1–6SA cells

We first compared the expression of PD-L1 in MCF7 and different EMT-activated MCF7 clones (MCF7 SNAI1, MCF7 SNAI1–6SA, MCF7 sh-WISP2, and MCF7 1001/2101 cells),10,11 as well as in mesenchymal MDA-MB-231 cells. We showed that among the different EMT-activated MCF7 clones, PD-L1 was differentially upregulated only in MCF7 sh-WISP2 (more than 150-fold) and MCF7–1001/2101 cells (more than 50-fold) vs. MCF7, MCF7 SNAI1, and MCF7 SNAI1–6SA cells both at mRNA (Fig. 1A) and protein (Figs. 1B and C) levels. Similarly, as depicted in Figs. 1D–F and G, surface expression of PD-L1 was significantly upregulated in MCF7 sh-WISP2, MCF7–1001/2101, and MDA-MB-231 cells as compare with MCF7, MCF7 SNAI1, and MCF7 SNAI1–6SA cells. Moreover, IFNγ strongly upregulated PD-L1 at both mRNA (Fig. S1A) and protein (Figs. S1B–D) levels in all cell lines. Surprisingly, although all of the EMT-activated MCF7 clones and MDA-MB-231 cells expressed EMT markers (Fig. 1B), we did not observe any difference in PD-L1 expression in MCF7 SNAI1 and MCF7 SNAI1–6SA cells vs. MCF7 cells. Recently, a new molecular link between EMT-upregulated PD-L1 expression and CD8+ TIL immunosuppression was established in human lung cancer.15 Subsequently, in a patient-derived mesenchymal tumor, a pan-cancer EMT signature was identified that showed high expression of multiple immune checkpoints including PD-L1.16

Our data strongly demonstrate that among the different EMT-activated MCF7 clones, PD-L1 is differentially upregulated in MCF7 sh-WISP2 and MCF7–1001/2101 cells, but not in MCF7 SNAI1 and MCF7 SNAI1–6SA cells. Additionally, we provide new evidence here that not all EMT-activated breast cancer cells upregulate PD-L1 expression.

TGFβ-1 and TNFα have no effect on PD-L1 expression in MCF7 and MCF7–2101 cells, and the selective upregulation of PD-L1 in MCF7 sh-WISP2 does not involve TGFβ-1

We next investigated the mechanisms involved in the upregulation of PD-L1 in EMT-activated MCF7 sh-WISP2, MCF7–1001/2101, and MDA-MB-231 cells. Both TGFβ1 and TNFα have been reported to control PD-L1.17,18 By treating MCF7 and MCF7 2101 cells with TGFβ-1 (Figs. S2A–C) and TNFα (Figs. S2D–F), we showed that none affected PD-L1 expression at either the mRNA or protein level in MCF7 and MCF7 2101 cells. We have previously shown that the loss of WISP2 in MCF7 cells resulted in increased TGF-β signaling, thereby promoting EMT.11,19 To examine directly whether the TGF-β signaling pathway modulated PD-L1 expression, MCF7 sh-WISP2 and MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with two different inhibitors of TGFβ signaling. However, as illustrated in Fig. 2B, although both SB 431542 and A83–01 strongly inhibited SMAD-2 activation, they did not modulate PD-L1 expression at either the mRNA (Fig. 2A) or protein (Fig. 2B) level. Similarly, surface expression of PD-L1 remained highly upregulated in both MCF7 sh-WISP2 (Figs. 2C–E) and MDA-MB-231 (Figs. 2F–H) when treated with SB 431542 and A83–01. These data clearly indicate that upregulated PD-L1 in EMT-activated MCF7 clones (MCF7 sh-WISP2, MCF7–2101, and MDA-MB-231 cells) is not regulated by TGF-β and TNF-α signaling.

Recently, MAPK, IFNγ, and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways have been reported to regulate PD-L1. Whether these signaling pathways are activated in our different EMT-activated MCF7 clones and whether they are involved in the upregulation of PD-L1 remains to be explored.

The selective upregulation of PD-L1 is dependent on ZEB-1/miR-200 axis in MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells

Stress-induced activation of EMT-TFs (TWIST, SNAI1, and ZEB families) results in EMT and cancer metastasis.1 In order to assess a putative role for these different EMT-TFs in the regulation of PD-L1 expression, we silenced SNAI1, TWIST, SLUG, or ZEB-1 in MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells. Interestingly, siRNA silencing of ZEB-1, but not SNAI1, TWIST, or SLUG in MCF7 sh-WISP2 strongly decreased PD-L1 at mRNA (Fig. 3A) and protein (Fig. 3B) levels. Similarly, as represented in Fig. 3C, surface expression of PD-L1 significantly decreased in MCF7 sh-WISP2 only after ZEB-1 silencing.

It is noteworthy that in MCF7–2101 and MDA-MB-231 cells, upregulated PD-L1 was found to be dependent on ZEB-1 and SNAI1 but not SLUG at both mRNA (Figs. S3A and D) and protein (Figs. S3B–C and S3E–F) levels. Both SLUG and SNAI1 occupy the ZEB1 promoter, but ZEB-1 expression is controlled by SNAI1 but not SLUG in MDAMB-231 cells.20 In light of our observations (multiple EMT-TF comparison study), we propose that PD-L1 expression in EMT-activated breast cancer cells depends on the EMT activation by EMT-TF. Future experiments will attempt to study whether other EMT-TFs, such as SLUG or TWIST-driven EMT-activated cells upregulate PD-L1 expression.

miR-200 and ZEB-1 are well known to form a double negative feedback loop to regulate EMT in various cancers.1 We therefore asked whether members of miR200 family (miR200a, miR200b, and miR200c) can regulate PD-L1 expression in MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells (Fig. 3D). PD-L1 expression in MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells strongly decreased after transfection with Pre-miR200a, Pre-miR200b, Pre-miR200c, Pre-miR200bc, or Pre-miR200abc as compare with Pre miR control at both mRNA (Fig. 3E) and protein (Figs. 3F and G) levels. This is in complete agreement with a recent finding, which
showed that ZEB-1 activates EMT by repressing miR-200, increasing PD-L1 on lung cancer cells and hence promoting intratumoral CD8⁺ T cells immunosuppression and metastasis. Taken together, our data strongly points to the regulation of PD-L1 in EMT-activated breast cancer cells through the ZEB-1/miR-200 axis (MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells) and ZEB-1 and SNAI1 but not SLUG (MCF7–2101 and MDA-MB-231 cells).

Targeting PD-L1 and PD-L1 block restores the susceptibility of MCF7 sh-WISP2 and MCF7–2101 cells to CTL-mediated lysis

To investigate directly the functional consequences of upregulated PD-L1 in EMT-activated cells, MCF7 sh-WISP2 (Figs. 4A–D) and MCF7–2101 (Figs. 4SA–D) cells were transfected with different siRNAs against PD-L1, and Cr
Cytotoxicity assays were performed using the allogeneic HLA-A2-restricted H33 CTL clone. We have previously shown that both MCF7 sh-WISP2 and MCF7–210 cells were resistant to CTL-mediated lysis. Importantly, targeting PD-L1 significantly increased the CTL-mediated killing of both MCF7 sh-WISP2 (Fig. 4E) and MCF7–210 (Fig. S4E) cells. Similarly, PD-L1 block also significantly restored the susceptibility of MCF7 sh-WISP2 (Fig. 4F) and MCF7–210 (Fig. S4F) cells to CTL-mediated lysis. In conclusion, EMT activation-mediated PD-L1 upregulation in EMT-activated cells is associated with resistance to CTL-mediated lysis. Whether there is a bidirectional crosstalk between PD-L1 expression and EMT activation remains uninvestigated. Future experiments will attempt to dissect whether there is a relationship between resistance to CTL-mediated lysis, autophagy, and PD-L1 expression in EMT+ cells.

It would be of major interest to study whether metastatic mesenchymal tumors with increased PD-L1 expression as
compare with primary tumors will respond better to anti-PD-1/anti-PDL1 immunotherapy. It would also be interesting to compare CD8$^+$ T cell immunosuppression mediated by increased PD-L1 in various EMT-activated tumors driven by differentially expressed multiple EMT-TFs (ZEB, SNAIL, and TWIST families).

Taken together, PD-L1 was highly upregulated in EMT-activated breast cancer cells driven by various EMT-TFs (ZEB-1/
Figure 4. siRNA-mediated PD-L1 silencing and PD-L1 block increase the susceptibility of MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells to CTL-mediated killing. (A–D) MCF7 sh-CT and MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells were transfected with different siRNA targeting PD-L1 or scrambled control. (A) SYBR-GREEN RT-qPCR was used to monitor PD-L1 mRNA expressions levels. The experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times with the same results. (B) Western blot was performed to show PD-L1 protein levels. The experiment was repeated three times with the same results. (C) Surface expression of PD-L1 on live cells was evaluated by flow cytometry as compared with isotype control (gray-shaded histogram). The experiment was repeated three times with the same results. (D) Conventional 4 h Cr⁶¹ cytotoxicity assays were performed on MCF7 sh-CT and MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells transfected with either siRNA targeting PD-L1 or scrambled control as targets at different effector to target (E:T) ratios. The experiment was repeated two times with the same results. (E) Conventional 4 h Cr⁶¹ cytotoxicity assays were performed on MCF7 sh-CT and MCF7 sh-WISP2 cells pretreated for 30 min on ice with 5 μg/mL control antibody (IgG) or antibody against PD-L1 (PD-L1 Block). Conventional 4 h Cr⁶¹ cytotoxicity assay was performed at different E:T ratios. The experiment was repeated two times with the same results.
miR200 or SNAI1) and PD-L1 renders EMT-activated cells resistant to CTL-mediated lysis. Therefore, the use of EMT inhibitors as adjuvants with new emerging immunotherapeutic strategies may be beneficial for boosting the immune system in cancer patients with mesenchymal metastatic tumors.
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