Factors associated with serious psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan: a nationwide cross-sectional internet-based study
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ABSTRACT

Objective To investigate the factors associated with serious psychological distress (SPD) during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan.

Design Nationwide cross-sectional study using survey data.

Setting Internet survey using sampling weights for national estimates conducted between 25 August and 30 September 2020 in Japan.

Exposures Demographics (age, gender), socioeconomic status (income level, employment type, educational attainment, marital status, family composition and caregiving burden); the experience of domestic violence (DV), the state of emergency and fear of and stigma related to COVID-19.

Main outcome measures Prevalence of SPD, defined as Kessler 6 Scale score ≥13.

Results Among 25 482 respondents, 10.0% met the criteria of SPD. Overall, women (adjusted OR (aOR) 1.59; 95% CI 1.55 to 2.48; p<0.001), low-income level (aOR 1.70 compared with intermediate income; 95% CI 1.64 to 3.38; p<0.001), caregiving to family members (aOR 5.48; 95% CI 3.51 to 8.56; p<0.001), experiencing DV (aOR 5.72; 95% CI 3.81 to 8.59; p<0.001) and fear of COVID-19 (aOR 1.96; 95% CI 1.55 to 2.48; p<0.001) were associated with SPD.

Conclusions Economic situation, caregiving burden, DV and fear of COVID-19 were independently associated with SPD during the COVID-19 pandemic. Among young women, similar factors, except economic situation, were associated with SPD. Targeted interventions based on age and gender may be more effective in mitigating the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the population's mental health.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 has infected more than 116 million people, contributed to over 2.5 million deaths globally and has impacted many aspects of our lives. The COVID-19 pandemic has put a significant burden on our healthcare systems, and many countries have been struggling with an economic downturn due to reduced economic activities or lockdowns, leading to widened social inequalities. Reduced social interactions due to social distancing and isolation, as well as economic downturns due to the pandemic, have the potential to negatively impact mental health conditions leading to psychological distress and increased risk for psychiatric disorders. Some countries have been experiencing an increased suicide rate during the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, in Japan, the number of people who died of suicide increased from 20 169 in 2019 to 20 919 in 2020. It is important to note that the...
suicide rate has increased dramatically among young women during the COVID-19 pandemic, and some have speculated that the economic downturn combined with a relatively unstable employment status often experienced among this population may be underlying reasons for increased suicides among this population. Although there are people who commit suicide without experiencing psychological distress, it is clearly one of the major risk factors for suicide. Therefore, identifying risk factors associated with psychological distress is critically important for policymakers to design interventions that can effectively mitigate deteriorating mental health conditions.

An increasing number of studies have demonstrated that the psychological distress is increasing during the COVID-19 pandemic, and that the underlying reasons are different before and during the pandemic. Research has found that those who are vulnerable to financial stressors (eg, low-income levels or unemployed) are more likely to experience psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic. Notably, women and the young are more likely to experience psychological distress, although the risk of severe disease and death due to COVID-19 is higher in men and older adults. The difference across age groups may account that the young people are sensitive to the change in lifestyle, concerns for their jobs or reduced social interactions. The gender difference may be attributable to the difference in the prevalence of psychological distress. For example, depression and anxiety are generally more prevalent among women than men. A small number of recent studies have shown sex differences in determinants of psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic; however, existing studies are limited as they did not include important factors, such as domestic violence (DV), presence of caregivers and income. More importantly, to our knowledge, no study to date has examined the determinants of psychological distress among young women, who are of special interest due to an increased suicide rate in this population in Japan, a phenomenon we have not observed in previous economic depressions.

In this context, using data from a large-scale internet survey conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic (between 25 August and 30 September 2020) in Japan, we sought to answer three key questions: What are the factors associated with a higher prevalence of serious psychological distress (SPD) during the COVID-19 pandemic? Are the risk factors of SPD during the COVID-19 pandemic different between men and women? What are the risk factors of SPD among young women who are exhibiting a dramatic increase in suicides during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan?

METHODS

Data
We analysed data from the Japan ‘COVID-19 and Society’ Internet Survey (JACSIS). The JACSIS is a large-scale, internet-based, self-reported questionnaire survey via a survey panel provided by a major internet survey agency in Japan (Rakuten Insight, Tokyo, Japan). The total number of individuals included in the survey panel was approximately 2.2 million and comprises individuals from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds—such as educational level, household income and number of household members, and marital status—to be nationally representative. This study reached out to 224 389 participants using a stratified sampling approach by gender, age and each prefecture from the panel. The study enrolment continued until it achieved the target number of respondents whose age, gender and prefectures had been a priori set (based on the distribution of the general Japanese population in 2019; and 28 000 respondents). The survey was conducted between 25 August and 30 September 2020. The overall response rate accounted for 12.5% (28 000/224 389). We excluded participants whose responses raised concerns about whether participants were answering consciously (some participants may be answering without reading the questions in order to complete fast) (online supplemental method A1).

Exposure variables
Our exposure variables of interest were respondents’ demographics and socioeconomic status (SES), the experience of DV, the state of emergency (SOE) in response to COVID-19 (online supplemental method A2), fear of COVID-19 and stigma related to COVID-19. The demographics included age groups (15–29, 30–44, 45–59, 60–79 years), gender, marital status (unmarried, married and widowed/separated), having children and caregiving to an elderly/disabled family member. The SES included educational attainment (high school educated or lower, and college educated or higher), income level that was calculated as dividing the household income by the square root of household size (categorised by the tertiles of household equivalent income (low, <JPY2.5 million/US$25 000/£16 667; intermediate, JPY2.5–JPY4.3 million/US$25 000–US$43 000/£16 667–£28 667; high, <JPY4.3 million/US$43 000/£28 667; unknown/declined to answer)) and employment type (employer, self-employed, regular employee, non-regular employee and unemployed). The experiences of DV (including any of physical, sexual and financial violence) and COVID-19-related stigma were defined using the specific survey questions (online supplemental method A3). Fear of COVID-19 was categorised by the median of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale, which is validated in Japanese.

Adjustment variables
Adjustment variables were health-related status as follows: smoking status (never, ever and current smokers), alcohol use (never, ever and current users) and comorbidities. The comorbidities included those at risk of severe COVID-19, which may influence mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic (hypertension, diabetes, asthma/chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cardiovascular disease, stroke, cancer and psychiatric disorders).
Outcome variable
The outcome variable is SPD, defined as Kessler 6 Scale (K-6) score \( \geq 13 \).\(^{34,35}\) K-6 was validated in Japanese.\(^{36}\) SPD is a relevant outcome for policy because it is strongly associated with mental health services.\(^{37}\)

Statistical analysis
First, for each gender, we described the exposure variables (demographics, SES, experience of DV, SOE in relation to COVID-19, fear of and stigma related to COVID-19) and the adjustment variables (smoking status, alcohol use and comorbidities) with number and proportion (%) of each category.

Second, we conducted multivariable logistic regression analyses to determine the potential risk factors of SPD among exposure variables. To characterise the risk factors for each gender—and especially young women—in addition to the general public, we also conducted multivariable logistic regression analysis stratified by the gender of the participants. Finally, we conducted an analysis to examine the factors regarding occupational industry (food essential workers/healthcare workers/other workers) that the COVID-19 pandemic had a possible influence on psychological distress.\(^{38,39}\) Finally, given that prior reports have shown that women aged 15–29 years are experiencing a dramatic increase in the suicide rate in Japan during the COVID-19 pandemic,\(^6\) we repeated the analysis focused on this population. Regarding this analysis, some comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, COPD, cardiovascular disease, stroke, cancer) were uncommon among young women, and therefore were not included as adjustment variables.

To account for the differences between the sociodemographic status in actual respondents from the survey panel and the Japanese general public, we used inverse sampling probability weighting for all analyses.\(^{40,41}\) The sampling weights using propensity scores were calculated by fitting a logistic regression model using sociodemographic and health-related characteristics to adjust for the difference in respondents between the current internet survey and the 2016 Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions, which is a nationally representative survey in Japan.\(^{40}\)

Statistical significance was set at \( p<0.05 \). The data were analysed using STATA V.16.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). This study conducted all procedures according to the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration. The internet survey agency fully respected the Act on the Protection of Personal Information in Japan. This study involved neither patients nor the public in the development of the research question and outcome measures. We did not invite patients to comment on the study design, interpretation of the results, or the readability or accuracy of this document.

RESULTS
Characteristics of respondents
After excluding 2518 respondents who met the exclusion criteria, a total of 25,482 respondents (91.0% of the overall survey respondents) were included in our study. Of the overall weighted respondents, 50.3% were women; 27.2% were aged 45–59; 51.2% were college educated or higher; 28.8% were of low-income level; 63.2% were currently married; and 30.9% were regular employees (table 1). When stratified by gender, women were younger; had higher educational levels and lower income levels; and were more likely to be unmarried and unemployed compared with men. The characteristics of overall unweighted respondents and those aged 15–29 years were shown in online supplemental tables A1 and A2, respectively.

Factors associated with SPD in overall respondents
Of the overall weighted respondents, SPD was prevalent in 10.0% of the individuals (which amounts to 2556 cases among 25,482 weighted total). After adjusting for smoking status, alcohol use and comorbidities, women (adjusted OR (aOR) 1.59; 95% CI 1.17 to 2.16; \( p=0.003 \)); ages 15–29 (aOR 2.35 compared with ages 45–59 years; 95% CI 1.64 to 3.38; \( p<0.001 \)) and 30–44 (aOR 1.67; 95% CI 1.35 to 2.08; \( p<0.001 \)) were independently associated with SPD compared with ages 60 years and older; married men (aOR 1.35 to 2.08; \( p<0.001 \)) and low-income levels were associated with higher rates of SPD (aOR 1.74; 95% CI 1.25 to 2.42; \( p<0.001 \)) self-employed (aOR 2.11 compared with regular employee; 95% CI 1.21 to 3.68; \( p=0.008 \)) caregiving to an elderly/disabled family member (aOR 5.48; 95% CI 3.51 to 8.56; \( p<0.001 \)); experiencing DV (aOR 5.72; 95% CI 3.81 to 8.59; \( p<0.001 \)); and fear of COVID-19 (aOR 1.96; 95% CI 1.55 to 2.48; \( p<0.001 \)) were independently associated with higher odds of experiencing SPD (table 2).

Stratified analysis by gender
We found that different factors were associated with SPD between men and women. Both high-income and low-income levels were associated with higher rates of SPD among men, whereas only low income was associated with a higher likelihood of SPD among women. Unmarried or widowed/separated men experienced a higher SPD than married men, whereas the prevalence of SPD did not differ by marital status among women. The impact of caregiving was more salient among men than women, and the effect of DV and fear of COVID-19 was stronger among women (table 3).

Analysis focusing on women aged 15–29 years
Of 2295 weighted women aged 15–29 years, SPD was prevalent in 15.0% individuals (which amounts to 344 cases among 2295 weighted total). After adjustment for potential confounders, caregiving to an elderly/disabled family
## Table 1 Baseline characteristics of weighted respondents

| Characteristics                              | Overall Weighted n=25 482 | Men Weighted n=12 672 | Women Weighted n=12 810 |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years)                                 |                           |                       |                         |
| 15–29                                        | 4426 (17.4)               | 2130 (16.8)           | 2296 (17.9)             |
| 30–44                                        | 5996 (23.5)               | 2999 (23.7)           | 2994 (23.4)             |
| 45–59                                        | 6921 (27.2)               | 3501 (27.6)           | 3420 (26.7)             |
| 60–79                                        | 8139 (31.9)               | 4041 (31.9)           | 4100 (32.0)             |
| Educational attainment                      |                           |                       |                         |
| High school educated or lower               | 12 435 (48.8)             | 6493 (51.2)           | 5942 (46.4)             |
| College educated or higher                 | 13 047 (51.2)             | 6179 (48.8)           | 6867 (53.6)             |
| Income level                                |                           |                       |                         |
| Low                                          | 7336 (28.8)               | 3654 (28.8)           | 3682 (28.7)             |
| Intermediate                                | 6816 (26.8)               | 3613 (28.5)           | 3206 (25.0)             |
| High                                         | 5733 (22.5)               | 3458 (27.3)           | 2276 (17.8)             |
| Unknown/declined to answer                  | 5596 (22.0)               | 1949 (15.4)           | 3646 (28.5)             |
| Marital status                              |                           |                       |                         |
| Married                                      | 16 100 (63.2)             | 8083 (63.8)           | 8017 (62.6)             |
| Unmarried                                    | 6047 (23.7)               | 2742 (21.6)           | 3305 (25.8)             |
| Widowed/separated                            | 3336 (13.1)               | 1847 (14.6)           | 1488 (11.6)             |
| Employment                                  |                           |                       |                         |
| Employer                                    | 1007 (4.0)                | 854 (6.7)             | 153 (1.2)               |
| Self-employed                               | 2008 (7.9)                | 1427 (11.3)           | 581 (4.5)               |
| Regular employee                             | 7876 (30.9)               | 5451 (43.0)           | 2423 (18.9)             |
| Non-regular employee                        | 4870 (19.1)               | 1458 (11.5)           | 3412 (26.6)             |
| Unemployed                                   | 9721 (38.2)               | 3483 (27.5)           | 6238 (48.7)             |
| Having children                              | 9602 (37.7)               | 5010 (39.5)           | 4592 (35.8)             |
| Caregiving to an elderly/disabled family member | 2500 (9.8)               | 1452 (11.5)           | 1047 (8.2)              |
| Experiencing DV                              | 2831 (11.1)               | 1669 (13.2)           | 1162 (9.1)              |
| SOE in response to COVID-19                 | 11 041 (43.3)             | 5387 (42.5)           | 5654 (44.1)             |
| Fear of COVID-19                             | 11 569 (45.4)             | 5784 (45.6)           | 5784 (45.2)             |
| Experiencing COVID-19-related stigma         | 864 (3.4)                 | 471 (3.7)             | 392 (3.1)               |
| Smoking status                               |                           |                       |                         |
| Never                                        | 13 472 (52.9)             | 4477 (35.3)           | 8998 (70.2)             |
| Ever                                         | 8480 (33.3)               | 5614 (44.3)           | 2867 (22.4)             |
| Current                                      | 3527 (13.8)               | 2581 (20.4)           | 945 (7.4)               |
| Alcohol use                                  |                           |                       |                         |
| Never                                        | 5639 (22.1)               | 2212 (17.5)           | 3425 (26.7)             |
| Ever                                         | 8315 (32.6)               | 3838 (30.3)           | 4477 (35.0)             |
| Current                                      | 11 531 (45.3)             | 6623 (52.3)           | 4908 (38.3)             |
| Comorbidities                                |                           |                       |                         |
| Hypertension                                 | 5053 (19.8)               | 3287 (25.9)           | 1766 (13.8)             |
| Diabetes                                     | 1888 (7.4)                | 1473 (11.6)           | 415 (3.2)               |
| Asthma/COPD                                  | 1702 (6.7)                | 1058 (8.3)            | 645 (5.0)               |
| Cardiovascular disease                       | 1040 (4.1)                | 882 (7.0)             | 158 (1.2)               |
| Stroke                                       | 459 (1.8)                 | 400 (3.2)             | 59 (0.5)                |
| Cancer                                       | 892 (3.5)                 | 757 (6.0)             | 135 (1.1)               |
| Psychiatric disorders                        | 1970 (7.7)                | 1165 (9.2)            | 808 (6.3)               |

All proportions are expressed as weighted numbers and weighted percentages (%). The sum of respondents did not necessarily equal the number of categories because of sample weighting and rounding. The weights, which were scaled such that the total came to 25 482 (original number of respondents), were predicted from the logistic model including area (residence), marital status, education, home ownership (household), self-rated health and smoking status which were adjusted for in individuals aged 20–79 years; and area, education, home ownership (household) and self-rated health were adjusted for (omitting marital and smoking status) in individuals aged 15–19 years. COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DV, domestic violence; SOE, state of emergency.
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Analysis focusing on workers

Of 15 454 weighted respondents who worked at that time, similar to the general public, ages 15–29 and 30–44 years, low-income and high-income levels, widowed/separated, caregiving and experience of DV were significantly associated with SPD (online supplemental table A3). Regarding occupational factors, food industry workers had a significantly higher OR for SPD after adjustment (aOR 1.55; 95% CI 1.04 to 2.32; p=0.03).

Table 2  Factors associated with serious psychological distress among the general population

| Characteristics                                      | aOR   | (95% CI)       | P value |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|---------|
| Women                                                | 1.59  | (1.17 to 2.16) | 0.003   |
| Age (years)                                          |       |                |         |
| 15–29                                                | 2.35  | (1.64 to 3.38) | <0.001  |
| 30–44                                                | 1.67  | (1.35 to 2.08) | <0.001  |
| 45–59                                                | Ref   |                |         |
| 60–79                                                | 0.50  | (0.3 to 0.81)  | 0.005   |
| Educational attainment                               |       |                |         |
| College educated or higher                           | 1.11  | (0.86 to 1.43) | 0.44    |
| Income level                                         |       |                |         |
| Low                                                  | 1.70  | (1.16 to 2.49) | 0.007   |
| Intermediate                                         | Ref   |                |         |
| High                                                 | 1.74  | (1.25 to 2.42) | 0.001   |
| Unknown/declined to answer                           | 1.19  | (0.86 to 1.66) | 0.29    |
| Marital status                                       |       |                |         |
| Married                                              | Ref   |                |         |
| Unmarried                                            | 1.26  | (0.88 to 1.81) | 0.20    |
| Widowed/separated                                    | 1.45  | (0.88 to 2.37) | 0.14    |
| Employment                                           |       |                |         |
| Employer                                             | 1.11  | (0.35 to 3.49) | 0.86    |
| Self-employed                                        | 2.11  | (1.21 to 3.68) | 0.008   |
| Regular employee                                     | Ref   |                |         |
| Non-regular employee                                 | 0.92  | (0.68 to 1.25) | 0.59    |
| Unemployed                                           | 0.75  | (0.51 to 1.1)  | 0.14    |
| Having children                                      | 0.97  | (0.7 to 1.35)  | 0.86    |
| Caregiving to an elderly/disabled family member       | 5.48  | (3.51 to 8.56) | <0.001  |
| Experiencing DV                                      | 5.72  | (3.81 to 8.59) | <0.001  |
| SOE in response to COVID-19                          | 1.23  | (0.98 to 1.56) | 0.08    |
| Fear of COVID-19                                      | 1.96  | (1.55 to 2.48) | <0.001  |
| Experiencing COVID-19-related stigma                 | 1.22  | (0.69 to 2.13) | 0.50    |

Adjusted for smoking status, alcohol use and comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or asthma, cardiovascular disease, stroke, cancer and psychiatric disorder). All CIs and p values were based on the robust variance estimator to account for the inverse probability weighting.
aOR, adjusted OR; DV, domestic violence; SOE, state of emergency.

DISCUSSION

Using data from a nationally representative survey conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, we identified several important factors that were associated with a higher rate of SPD in Japan. We found that women, younger age, income level, employment type, caregiving status, DV experience and fear of COVID-19 were independently associated with SPD. We found different patterns among men and women. For example, although both high-income and low-income levels were associated with higher rates of SPD among men, only low income was associated with a higher likelihood of SPD among women. Unmarried or widowed/separated men experienced a higher SPD rate than married men, whereas the prevalence of SPD did not differ by marital status among women. Among young women—who are exhibiting an increased suicide rate during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan—experiencing DV, fear of COVID-19 and COVID-19-related stigma were risk factors of SPD. On the other hand, economic situation and social isolation—factors
considered to be causes of an increased suicide rate among this population—were not associated with the rate of SPD. Taken together, these findings indicate that underlying reasons for SPD may vary based on subgroups of the population, and therefore targeted interventions based on age and gender may be more effective in mitigating negative impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the population’s mental health.

There are several potential mechanisms that may explain the factors associated with SPD in Japan. First, economic downturns due to the COVID-19 pandemic may negatively impact the mental health condition of the population. For example, we found that having a low-income level and being self-employed were associated with SPD in both men and women, suggesting that these individuals were potentially vulnerable population groups affected by economic stagnation itself and social distancing due to the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, among men, those who have children were likely to have SPD, indicating that men may feel anxious about the responsibility of supporting family members during the economic downturn. Second, the anxiety caused by fear about future uncertainties due to the COVID-19 pandemic may influence high-income as well as low-income individuals who are full-time workers. In fact, we found significant associations between high-income level and a higher rate of SPD among men, suggesting that the objective instability in SES due to the COVID-19 pandemic alone may not explain SPD. Third, social isolation due to the SOE may cause a heavier burden of caregiving as well as DV from partners, resulting in mental illness. In our study, caregiving to an elderly/disabled family member was associated with SPD in both men and women. It is known that reported cases of DV increased while people were staying home, probably leading to worse mental health conditions. Finally, as COVID-19 spread and society dramatically changed, fears about COVID-19 gradually developed making people psychologically unstable. Our findings, in which fear of COVID-19 was associated with SPD in all analyses, support this hypothesis.

Evidence is limited as to which factors affected mental health status at the population level during the COVID-19 pandemic. There is a pressing need to examine the factors that contribute to the mental health status of the population in Japan during the COVID-19 pandemic, as these factors may differ from those in other parts of the world. The findings of this study provide important insights into the factors associated with SPD in Japan during the COVID-19 pandemic, and may inform targeted interventions to mitigate the negative impacts of the pandemic on the population’s mental health.

Table 3  Factors associated with serious psychological distress, stratified by gender

| Characteristics                              | Men                          | Women                         |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                              | aOR (95% CI) | P value | aOR (95% CI) | P value |
| Age (years) 15–29                           | 2.26 (1.37 to 3.72) | 0.001   | 2.06 (1.44 to 2.95) | <0.001 |
| 30–44                                        | 1.81 (1.33 to 2.46) | <0.001 | 1.55 (1.16 to 2.06) | 0.003  |
| 45–59                                        | Ref                  | Ref            | Ref                  | Ref            |
| 60–79                                        | 0.38 (0.20 to 0.71) | 0.003   | 0.49 (0.30 to 0.80) | 0.004  |
| Educational attainment College educated or higher | 1.12 (0.82 to 1.52) | 0.48    | 1.02 (0.79 to 1.33) | 0.86   |
| Income level Low                             | 1.84 (1.13 to 2.97) | 0.01    | 1.54 (1.06 to 2.23) | 0.02   |
| Intermediate                                | Ref                  | Ref            | Ref                  | Ref            |
| High                                         | 2.12 (1.44 to 3.11) | <0.001 | 0.94 (0.64 to 1.37) | 0.74   |
| Unknown/declined to answer                   | 1.29 (0.78 to 2.13) | 0.33    | 0.96 (0.67 to 1.37) | 0.83   |
| Marital status Marital                      | Ref                  | Ref            | Ref                  | Ref            |
| Unmarried                                    | 2.46 (1.49 to 4.07) | <0.001 | 0.92 (0.60 to 1.39) | 0.68   |
| Widowed/separated                            | 3.57 (1.84 to 6.94) | <0.001 | 0.81 (0.55 to 1.21) | 0.30   |
| Employment Employer                          | 1.04 (0.38 to 2.84) | 0.93    | 1.08 (0.54 to 2.14) | 0.84   |
| Self-employed                                | 1.71 (1.07 to 2.72) | 0.03    | 2.35 (0.92 to 6.02) | 0.07   |
| Regular employee                             | Ref                  | Ref            | Ref                  | Ref            |
| Non-regular employee                         | 1.42 (0.87 to 2.32) | 0.16    | 0.86 (0.63 to 1.17) | 0.34   |
| Unemployed                                   | 0.47 (0.23 to 0.95) | 0.04    | 0.96 (0.68 to 1.36) | 0.83   |
| Having children                              | 1.80 (1.16 to 2.81) | 0.009   | 0.58 (0.42 to 0.81) | 0.001  |
| Caregiving to an elderly/disabled family member | 6.67 (3.96 to 11.23) | <0.001 | 3.00 (2.12 to 4.25) | <0.001 |
| Experiencing DV                              | 4.27 (2.64 to 6.90) | <0.001 | 6.34 (4.15 to 9.69) | <0.001 |
| SOE in response to COVID-19                  | 1.23 (1.01 to 1.78) | 0.08    | 1.01 (0.79 to 1.29) | 0.94   |
| Fear of COVID-19                             | 1.62 (1.24 to 2.12) | <0.001 | 2.29 (1.72 to 3.07) | <0.001 |
| Experiencing COVID-19-related stigma         | 1.28 (0.61 to 2.67) | 0.51    | 1.91 (1.20 to 3.05) | 0.007  |

Adjusted for smoking status, alcohol use and comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or asthma, cardiovascular disease, stroke, cancer and psychiatric disorder). All CIs and p values were based on the robust variance estimator to account for the inverse probability weighting.

aOR, adjusted OR; DV, domestic violence; SOE, state of emergency.
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pandemic. Wang et al conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis examining the factors associated with psychological distress (ie, anxiety and depression) in the general population, and found that women, younger age and lower SES were associated with both anxiety and depression. A recent study that investigated factors associated with SPD during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan did not include important variables such as SES and DV. Furthermore, their survey was conducted between February and April 2020, suggesting that the study period was too early to examine the SPD because suicides of the young in Japan have been reported in October. The sample size was small; therefore, the study may be under-powered to detect meaningful associations. In terms of age-related factors, Glowacz and Schmits have examined the difference of psychological distress dividing into age groups during the COVID-19 lockdown in Belgium. However, their results were based on univariate comparison via a small sample size. Regarding gender-related risk factors, Rehm and Shield reported that anxiety and depression are more prevalent in women than in men. In addition to such pre-existing psychological distress, previous studies reported that women in preconception, pregnancy or postpartum periods experienced anxiety or depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic. Yan et al and del Río-Casanova et al reported gender differences in SPD after the COVID-19 pandemic in China and Spain, respectively; however, these studies did not investigate socioeconomic factors deemed as especially important during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as DV or caregiving status. Therefore, despite its central importance, evidence regarding important determinants of SPD during the COVID-19 pandemic is still limited. While informative, evidence regarding gender differences in the factors associated with SPD is limited. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has examined the risk factors of SPD comprehensively in both the general public and the mentally vulnerable population (young women) using large-scale, nationally representative data.

Our study has limitations. First, because this survey was conducted between August and September 2020, it is possible that our findings would have been different if more recent data had been used. However, the data were collected just before October 2020, when suicides among young women actually increased in Japan, and therefore our findings may reflect the most critical period regarding the underlying reasons for increased suicide rates in Japan. Second, due to the self-reported design of our study, not all the variables were based on the

| Characteristics | aOR     | (95% CI)   | P value |
|-----------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Age (years)     | 1.12    | (0.59 to 2.12) | 0.74    |
| Educational attainment | 0.83    | (0.50 to 1.39) | 0.48    |
| Income level    | Low     | 1.31       | (0.71 to 2.42) | 0.39    |
|                 | Intermediate | Ref     |          |
|                 | High    | 1.31       | (0.63 to 2.74) | 0.47    |
|                 | Not answered | 0.78    | (0.38 to 1.61) | 0.51    |
| Marital status  | Married | Ref        |          |
|                 | Unmarried | 1.31     | (0.71 to 2.44) | 0.39    |
| Employment      | Employer | 1.29      | (0.44 to 3.77) | 0.65    |
|                 | Regular employee | 0.62    | (0.12 to 3.22) | 0.57    |
|                 | Non-regular employee | 0.87    | (0.45 to 1.67) | 0.67    |
|                 | Unemployed | 0.81     | (0.44 to 1.49) | 0.49    |
| Having children | 0.77    | (0.41 to 1.45) | 0.42    |
| Caregiving to an elderly/disabled family member | 4.05    | (1.69 to 9.75) | 0.002   |
| Experiencing DV | 3.44    | (1.94 to 6.11) | <0.001  |
| SOE in response to COVID-19 | 1.09    | (0.75 to 1.59) | 0.64    |
| Fear of COVID-19 | 1.94    | (1.30 to 2.89) | 0.001   |
| Experiencing COVID-19-related stigma | 2.42    | (1.31 to 4.50) | 0.005   |

Adjusted for smoking status, alcohol use and comorbidities (asthma and psychiatric disorder). All CIs and p values were based on the robust variance estimator to account for the inverse probability weighting. aOR, adjusted OR; DV, domestic violence; SOE, state of emergency.
CONCLUSION
Using large-scale, nationally representative survey data, we identified several important factors associated with SPD during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan’s general population. In particular, we found that female gender, younger age, income level, employment type, caregiving status, DV experience and fear of COVID-19 were key risk factors for a higher rate of SPD. We found different patterns between men and women regarding income level and marital status. Among young women who presented higher suicide rates during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan, experience of DV, fear of COVID-19 and COVID-19-related stigma were risk factors associated with SPD. Our findings highlight the importance of designing countermeasures based on the age and gender of the population to more effectively mitigate negative impacts on mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic.
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