Characteristics of a laccolith along the LRTPB fault zone between Pearl River Mouth Basin and Southwest Taiwan Basin
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ABSTRACT

The northern margin of the South China Sea (SCS) is often regarded as a magma-poor passive continental margin. Magmatic activities occurred after the cessation of seafloor spreading were founded mainly over the Continent-Ocean Transition (COT) zone. Intrusive rocks are observed in the Luzon-Ryukyu Transform Plate Boundary (LRTPB) dividing Southwest Taiwan Basin (SWTB) and Pearl River Mouth Basin (PRMB) north of the COT and their evolution mechanisms are not very well-studied. Here, detailed structural and geophysical features of a large-scale anomaly (LSA) is revealed from high-resolution multi-channel seismic (MCS) profiles over the LRTPB dividing the SWTB and the PRMB. After velocity estimation, AVO analysis, and geological interpretation for the LSA, we suggest that the LSA is an intrusive igneous rock and further classified as a laccolith. The coexistence of the laccolith and surrounding sills over the LRTPB shows that the north limit of magmatism is further north than the COT zone. The elongated shape of the laccolith is accordant to the NW directional LRTPB, indicating that magmatic activities of study region maybe controlled by the faulting.

1. INTRODUCTION

South China Sea is one of the largest marginal seas in the western Pacific. It is surrounded by different types of continental rifted margins: subducting continental margin in the east, pull-apart continental margin in the west, and compressional continental margin in the south (Tapponnier et al. 1982; Leloup et al. 1995; Bautista et al. 2001; Clift et al. 2008). The northern margin of SCS (Fig. 1), recognized as a typical passive continental margin today, has experienced subduction in the late Mesozoic and the subsequent seafloor spreading in the Cenozoic (Taylor and Hayes 1983; Yang and Fang 2015).

According to whether the magmatism is the dominant process in the passive continental margins or not, passive margins are classified as two major types, i.e., magma-rich and magma-poor margins (Geoffroy 2005; Franke 2013). Although there are many seamounts and an extensive lower crustal high-speed layer are identified in the northern margin of the SCS, the northern margin is still considered as the magma-poor margin (Lester et al. 2014; McIntosh et al. 2014; Fan et al. 2017; Song et al. 2017; Li et al. 2019). Previous studies concluded that these seamounts are formed between the late Oligocene to mid-Miocene (Liao et al. 2016; Fan et al. 2017; Song et al. 2017). Spatially, most of the seamounts are located in the south of Dongsha islands or on the continental-ocean transition (COT) zone (Fig. 1b). Exposed volcanic seamounts in the region north of COT are seldom observed. However, lava flows and sills are often observed on seismic profiles from previous studies as shown in Fig. 1 (Yeh and Hsu 2004; Yeh et al. 2012; Lester et al. 2014; Liao et al. 2016). Nevertheless, little attention has been paid to them. This limits a more thorough understanding of magmatism on the region north of COT. Whether the northern
boundary of the COT is the north limit of the magmatism? What is the evolution mechanism of this magmatism? These questions need to be investigated in detail.

In this study, many magma intrusions are observed from high-resolution seismic profiles on the LRTPB dividing the SWTB and PRMB north of the COT. Among them, an elongated LSA over 15-km long was imaged in two intersecting seismic profiles. The features including spatial scale, velocity property, and AVO characteristics of the LSA are investigated in detail. A magma intrusion mechanism is proposed close to the LRTPB dividing the SWTB and PRMB north of COT.

2. GEOLOGIC AND TECTONIC BACKGROUND

The East Asian continent were formed from Permian to Triassic (257 - 205 Ma). During this time, the northeastern SCS and the continental areas were in the same sedimentary system. During early Jurassic to Cretaceous (181 - 82 Ma), as the Eurasian plate moves south, the Pacific plate moves west and the Indian Ocean plate moves northeast, the SCS area experienced frequent fault activity and magmatic activity (Uyeda and Miyashiro 1974; Jahn et al. 1976; Zhang 2014; Lüdmann and Wong 1999). After that, the SCS was formed by experiencing successive dominant movements, including Shenhu Movement, Nanhai Movement, and Dongsha Movement (83 Ma to present) (Yi et al. 2007; Li et al. 2008).

Among these movements, the Dongsha Movement occurred around the Dongsha area after the SCS seafloor spreading in the Late Cenozoic (Yan et al. 2001). The most evident feature caused by the Dongsha Movement is the occurrence of significant angular unconformities and stratigraphic loss in the strata, accompanied with fracturing and magmatic activities (Chen et al. 2005; Lin et al. 2006; Zhao et al. 2012). After the cessation of seafloor spreading, due to the oceanic and continental lithosphere extension related to cooling and thermal contraction, decompressive melting occurred beneath the continental slope, and large-scale magmatism occurred on the northern margin of the SCS (Zhang et al. 2016; Wan et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2021). The magma reaches to the upper crust and forms sills, dikes and volcanoes (Liu et al. 2021). Mafic basalt is the primary type of these igneous rocks (Yan et al. 2006; Sun et al. 2019). Analysis of seamount samples in the Dongsha region shows that most seamounts are formed by post-spreading magmatism at < 16 Ma (Yan et al. 2006), suggesting that magmatism did not end after seafloor spreading. Previous studies reported numerous volcanic eruptions related to the Dongsha Movement (Lüdmann and Wong 1999; Lüdmann et al. 2001; Yan et al. 2001). Most of these volcanic eruptions occurred along faults between the thinned continental and oceanic crust, thus forming part of the so-called COT (Yan et al. 2006).

![Fig. 1.](image-url) (a) Regional tectonic map of the northern margin of SCS. PRMB: Pearl River Mouth Basin; SWTB: Southwest Taiwan Basin; COT: Continent-Ocean Transition; LRTPB: Luzon-Ryukyu Transform Plate Boundary. COT, Seamounts, Late Miocene-Recent Igneous Band, Eocene-mid Miocene Igneous Rocks, and LRTPB are modified from (Sibuet et al. 2002; Hsu et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2006; Yan et al. 2006; Fan et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2018). (b) Close-up of the LRTPB and survey lines (black) as outlined with red box in (a). Sills of Previous Study are modified from (Yeh et al. 2012; Lester et al. 2014; Liao et al. 2016).
A NW directional fault zone, named as Luzon-Ryukyu Transform Plate Boundary (LRTPB) goes along the Taiwan Canyon (or Formosa Canyon) and extends southeast to the Manila Trench (Hsu et al. 2004; Yeh and Hsu 2004; Sibuet et al. 2002) (Fig. 1a). The LRTPB probably became inactive at 20 Ma (Hsu et al. 2004). During the post-spreading Miocene volcanism and the Pliocene Dongsha uplift event, the LRTPB was re-activated. Unfortunately, this fault zone always cannot be clearly imaged on seismic profiles (Yeh et al. 2012; Li et al. 2019). However, the two sides of the LRTPB differ significantly in topography and magnetic anomalies, indicating that the fault zone has a significant impact on the tectonic and sedimentary environment of the study region (Yeh and Hsu 2004; Yeh et al. 2010, 2012; Li et al. 2019). Furthermore, since Late Miocene, the sedimentary layers have been suffered from NW compressional forces. A series of faults, mud diapirs, anticline, and other structures favoring gas hydrate formation developed (Yao et al. 2008). Meanwhile, the increased supply of terrestrial debris resulted in a rapid sediment replenishment and accumulation in this region (Zhang et al. 2002).

3. DATA AND METHODS

3.1 Acquisition

Four high-resolution MCS lines (SOY, SO1E, T19B, and T19C) close to the LRTPB are acquired via three seismic cruises for this study (Fig. 1b). Line SOY in NE-SW direction and Line SO1E in NW-SE direction were collected aboard the R/V SHIYAN-2 in 2012 and 2014, respectively. Line T19B and T19C in NW-SE direction were collected aboard the ship HAIDIAO-6 in 2016. The detailed acquisition parameters of these lines are listed in Table 1. High-resolution seismic data were acquired using GI air-guns with a dominant frequency of ~80 Hz. The record length is only 6 s because of the limited source energy from two GI guns.

3.2 Processing

To obtain high-resolution seismic profiles, a representative processing flow was applied as follows: demultiplexing, geometry definition, band-pass filter (3-5-120-125 Hz), trace edit, spherical divergence correction, common depth point (CDP) sorting, velocity analysis, normal moveout (NMO) correction, stacking, poststack migration in f-x domain, and f-k filter.

Multiples are the primary noise in contaminating the seismic lines. A parabolic Radon transform filtering with inner mute and a surface-related multiple elimination (SRME) were applied to suppress the multiples (Fig. 2). For SO1E (Fig. 2a), multiples were suppressed substantially after using parabolic Radon transform filtering and SRME (Figs. 2b, c). For SOY (Fig. 2d), neither parabolic Radon transform filtering nor SRME can suppress the multiples completely (Figs. 2e, f). However, the parabolic Radon transform filtering method was selected as it works better than the SRME method.

3.3 AVO Analysis

Amplitude variation with offset (AVO) analysis is a seismic technique for lithology and oil-gas identification by using the amplitude information of the seismic data (Dondurur 2018). It is based on the Zoeppritz equations which describe the variation of reflection amplitude with incident angle (Ostrander 1984). In this study, the Shuey’s simplification of Zoeppritz equations was adopted:

\[ R(\theta) \approx P + G \sin^2 \theta; \ \theta \leq 30^\circ \]

where \( R(\theta) \) is the reflection coefficient, \( P \) is the intercept (the vertical incident reflection coefficient of \( P \) wave), \( G \) is the gradient [the rate of change of \( R(\theta) \) with incident angle], and \( \theta \) is the incident angle (Shuey 1985).

There are five main processing steps for AVO analysis. First, stacking velocities are picked from the velocity spectrum every 50 CDP gathers, and converted into interval velocities using Dix Equation (Dix 1955). Second, a smoothed velocity field is interpolated from the interval velocity field corresponding to the location of CDP gathers. Third, CDP gathers are sorted according to the different offset ranges. Fourth, extract the most suitable wavelet by repeatedly adjusting parameters, such as wavelength, amplitude from three stack sections that obtained by the stack of near-offset, medium-offset, and far-offset CDP gathers. Finally, the \( P \) (intercept), \( G \) (gradient), and \( P+G \) (the change rate of Poisson’s ratio) sections are inverted using the interpolated velocity field and extracted wavelets.

4. RESULTS

4.1 MCS Profiles

Four processed MCS profiles with their interpretations are presented in Figs. 3 to 6. The most prominent feature on these profiles are the abnormal reflectors with extremely strong reflection. Among them, a large scale pseudo-3D abnormal reflector on lines SO1E and SOY are presented in detail. The key stratigraphic interfaces and unconformities have been interpreted and correlated on reflection seismic data from Li et al. (2007) and Liao et al. (2016).

4.1.1 SO1E

Line SO1E is on the continental slope with the bathymetry variation of 750 to 2200 m (Fig. 3a). On the upslope from 0 to 30 km, gullies are extensively developed by eroding the seafloor into steep channels. These gullies behave...
as “V” and “U” shaped, with widths ranging from 850 to 1700 m and depths ranging from 50 to 150 m (Fig. 3c). On the downslope from 40 to 65 km, submarine landslides are observed (Figs. 3b, d, blue lines). Most of them might occur before Quaternary, because they do not go through the Quaternary strata. One submarine landslide extends from Pliocene to Late-Miocene (Figs. 3b, d, blue dashed lines). Yeh et al. (2012) believe that the tilted blocks close to the LRTPB were re-activated, affected by Miocene volcanism and the Pliocene Dongsha uplift event. These submarine landslides may be controlled by the re-activated faults (Fig. 3b, red lines). However, this viewpoint may require more solid evidence.

Below the submarine landslide, there is a high-amplitude LSA with 15 km in length and 350 ms thickness in TWT, approximately. It has an average dipping angle of 2° towards the downslope. This LSA is characterized by a sharp top boundary, complex internal structures and an indistinct bottom boundary (Fig. 3d). Different from the typical reflection feature of the sedimentary layers above, the internal structure of this LSA reveals strong velocity contrast, intermittent internal reflection, and uneven internal layers. Because most of the seismic energy is blocked out by the LSA, the strata beneath the LSA cannot be clearly observed. Besides this LSA, two anomalies with strong reflection are observed on line SO1E (Figs. 3b, d). They are concordant and saucer-shaped anomalies, respectively.

Quaternary sediments are relatively thicker on the downslope than on the upslope, ranging from 50 to 450 ms thickness in TWT (Fig. 3b). The thicknesses of the Pliocene and Late-Miocene strata are nearly invariable along this line, while the thickness of the Early Miocene strata is gradually thinned towards the end of the line. The LSA is located between the Early and Late Cenozoic strata. The saucer-shaped anomaly is located between the Mesozoic and Cenozoic strata, while the concordant anomaly is located in the Early-Miocene strata.

4.1.2 SOY

Line SOY traverse the continental slope with the bathymetry variation of 1200 to 2500 m (Fig. 4a). Below the Taiwan Canyon, a series of faults in shallow strata may be related to canyon erosion processes. These faults may control the direction of the Taiwan Canyon, as well as its depth and width (Xu et al. 2014; Hui et al. 2019). On the east side of SOY from 60 to 88 km range, several gullies are developed by eroding the seafloor into steep channels (Zhong et al. 2017). These gullies behave as “U” shaped, with widths ranging from 2200 to 5800 m and depths ranging from 150 to 350 m (Fig. 4a).

On Line SOY, a high-amplitude abnormal reflection also exists as the LSA on Line SO1E. However, the length of this anomaly is only 3 km, typically shorter than the one on Line SO1E of ~15-km in length (Figs. 3, 4). By comparing the exact positions, these two reflection anomalies are located at the intersection of two lines. It means that these two high-amplitude abnormal reflections are from the same structure.

East of the LSA, there are some minor reflection anomalies. One of them is a strata-concordant anomaly above Early-Miocene sediments at the east end of SOY. Others are emplaced on Mesozoic basement as complexes with various shapes and lengths (Fig. 4b). Beneath these high-amplitude abnormal reflections, there are two chaotic zones without horizontal continuous reflections that connect the upper anomalies with deeper strata. These may be the conduit systems of magma assuming the abnormal reflections are the igneous rocks (Fig. 4c).

At the east side of Taiwan Canyon, Quaternary sediments (770 to 1250 ms thickness in TWT) are relatively thicker than Pliocene sediments (~220 ms thickness in TWT). However, at the west side of Taiwan Canyon, the thickness of Quaternary (~60 ms thickness in TWT) is much thinner than the Pliocene sediments (700 to 950 ms thickness in TWT). The thicknesses of the Early-Miocene and

| MCS Line | SOY | SO1E | T19B, T19C |
|----------|-----|------|------------|
| year     | 2012| 2014 | 2019       |
| Channel number | 72  | 120  | 144        |
| Streamer depth (m) | 5   | 5    | 6          |
| Channel interval (m) | 12.5| 12.5 | 12.5       |
| Shot interval (m)    | 25  | 25   | 20         |
| Record length (s)    | 6   | 6    | 6          |
| Sampling rate (ms)   | 2   | 2    | 2          |
| Minimum offset (m)   | 90  | 90   | 100        |
| Air-gun volume (cu-inch) | 210 | 420  | 420        |
Fig. 2. Examples showing the multiple suppression. (a) and (d) subsections from SO1E and SOY profiles before multiples suppressing. (b) (e) Suppression using parabolic Radon transform filtering with inner mute. (c) (f) Suppression using SRME.

Fig. 3. (a) Seismic profile and (b) its interpretation of Line SO1E. See Fig. 1b for the location of the profile. (c) Close-up of the upslope part. (d) Close-up of the downslope part. TWT: two way travel time. LSA: large-scale Anomaly.
Fig. 4. (a) Seismic profile and (b) its interpretation of Line SOY. See Fig. 1b for the location of the profile. (c) Close-up of anomalies and conduits.

Fig. 5. (a) Seismic profile and (b) its interpretation of Line T19B. See Fig. 1b for the location of the profile. (c) Close-up of the high reflection anomalies.
Late-Miocene strata in the western part of Taiwan Canyon are also slightly greater than the strata thickness in the east.

4.1.3 T19B and T19C

Lines T19B and T19C extend from the continental shelf to the bottom of continental slope, with the bathymetry variation of 500 to 3000 m (Figs. 5a, 6a). On the upslope of Line T19B from 20 to 28 km, there is a very wide “U” shaped gully with widths of ~8-km and depths of ~440-m. On the downslope of Line T19B from 50 to 70 km, a group of faults are observed in Pliocene and Late-Miocene strata or Mesozoic strata. Several strata-concordant anomalies are scattering around the range of 60 ± 20 km in Miocene sediments (Figs. 5b, c). Stratigraphic interfaces of Pliocene and Miocene are roughly parallel to the seafloor.

Line T19C goes across the Taiwan Canyon (40 - 60 km; Fig. 6). The bathymetry variation decreases rapidly from 800 m to 2300 m in the 10-35 km range of the profile, while it is relatively flat after going into the sea basin. On the southeast of this line (85 - 130 km), periodically wavy strata with strong reflection are observed near the seafloor (Fig. 6b). These deepwater sediment waves may be generated by turbidity currents and bottom (contour) currents (Wang et al. 2008; Gong et al. 2012; Bai et al. 2019). Similar to previous lines, several strata-concordant anomalies in Pliocene and Miocene sediments are observed on Line T19C (Figs. 6b, c, and d). On the eastern of the Taiwan Canyon, the thickness of each strata is more uniform and these stratigraphic interfaces are roughly parallel to the seafloor. Residuals of Paleogene strata (syn-rift strata) can be observed on the Mesozoic strata on Lines T19B and T19C. It can be seen that the reflection anomalies in these two lines and other two lines described above are predominantly distributed in the post-rift strata.

4.2 Velocity Characteristics of the LSA

A subsection of Line SO1E is used to create the interval velocity field around the LSA (CDP numbers 14850 - 17851 and time range 1.8 - 4.2 s, Fig. 7a). From the smoothed velocity (Fig. 7a), it can be seen that the interval velocity rapidly increases from 3200 m s⁻¹ to about 4000 m s⁻¹ at the LSA with increasing depth. The interval velocity inside the LSA is increased by about 600 m s⁻¹ compared to the surrounding strata at the same depth below the seafloor. Beneath the LSA, the interval velocity drops to the same level as the background strata. Such variations can be seen from the velocity analysis of two representative CDP gathers as well. One is outside of the LSA (CDP number 14901) and the other is inside of the LSA (CDP number 16161). Four main constraint points were picked on each of the semblance plot. See Table 2 for their detailed stacking velocities and interval velocities. The synthetic horizontally aligned hyperbolas...
Fig. 7. (a) Interval velocity field between CDPs 14850 - 17851. The vertical lines denote two representative CDP gathers (CDP 14901 outside of the LSA and CDP 16161 inside of the LSA), for velocity analysis. (b) CDP 14901 NMO correction (left) using the velocity picked on the semblance plot (right). (c) Same as (b) but for the CDP 16161.

Table 2. Velocities at CDPs 4901 and 16161 of Line SO1E.

| CDP  | Points | Time (ms) | Stacking velocity (m s\(^{-1}\)) | Interval velocity (m s\(^{-1}\)) |
|------|--------|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 14901| 1      | 1939      | 1502                          | 1841                            |
|      | 2      | 2230      | 1549                          | 2099                            |
|      | 3      | 2547      | 1630                          | 2579                            |
|      | 4      | 3318      | 1892                          | 3114                            |
| 16161| 1      | 2177      | 1501                          | 1831                            |
|      | 2      | 2593      | 1556                          | 2381                            |
|      | 3      | 3331      | 1774                          | 3591                            |
|      | 4      | 3412      | 2194                          | 3361                            |
after NMO correction on the seismic data show the velocities are correctly selected. On the semblance plot outside LSA (Fig. 7b), the semblance contours are arranged along a near-straight line with gradually increased velocity. In contrast, on the semblance plot inside LSA (Fig. 7c) the semblance contours are changed abruptly after 3.3 s with a different slope, indicating a sharp velocity contrast and an abrupt velocity increase from the overlying strata to the LSA.

4.3 AVO Attribute Sections

After AVO inversion of the subsection of Line SO1E (CDP 14850 - 17851 and time range 1.8 - 4.2 s, Fig. 7a), three AVO attribute sections are obtained, i.e., P, G, and P+G sections. The P section shows the P wave reflection coefficients at zero offset (Shuey 1985), indicating the vertical changes in P wave impedance (Fig. 8a). The positive reflection coefficients of the uppermost boundary of LSA indicates the P wave impedance below the uppermost boundary is higher than the P wave impedance above. According to the equations of P wave impedance $Z_p$:

$$Z_p = V_p \cdot \rho$$  (2)

where $V_p$ is P wave velocity, and $\rho$ is density. P wave impedance is proportional to $V_p$ and $\rho$. Based on the discussion above, the higher velocity inside the LSA may be the main reason for the increase of P wave impedance.

The G section indicates the change rates (gradients) of reflection coefficients with offset (Shuey 1985) (Fig. 8b). The negative change rates of the uppermost boundary of LSA indicates the P wave reflection coefficients decrease as offset increase. In general, the variation along the G section alone is not significant enough for AVO interpretation. Previous studies always combined it with the P section for interpretation, such as using the P+G section.

The P+G section shows the change rate of Poisson’s ratio (Ostrander 1984) (Fig. 8c). The positive change rate of the uppermost boundary of LSA indicates the Poisson’s ratio below the uppermost boundary is higher than that of the overlying sedimentary layer. According to the equations of Poisson’s ratio $\sigma$:

$$\sigma = \frac{(V_p/V_s)^2 - 2}{2(V_p/V_s)^2 - 2}$$  (3)

where $V_p$, $V_s$, are P and S wave velocities, respectively. The increase in Poisson’s ratio implies an increase in $V_p/V_s$ of the LSA.

From all the three sections, an interface with opposite polarities in contrast to the uppermost boundary of the LSA is observed on the right side of the LSA between 3.55 - 3.6 s (Fig. 8). This opposite variation may indicate that the velocity starts to decrease deeper than this interface. It may be part of the bottom boundary of the laccolith. Because of the shielding effect of the LSA, the lower boundary of the LSA is difficult to determine.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Lithology of the LSA

One of most concerns is the lithology of the LSA. There are three possible origins for the strong reflection anomaly with high seismic velocity, i.e., (1) carbonate sediments; (2) sandstone intrusions; (3) magma intrusion (Xia et al. 2018). Carbonate sediments were always found in Xisha area, Dongsha area and the east of PRMB in northern SCS (Feng 2012; Wu et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015). To our best knowledge, there is no discovery of carbonate sediments in this study area. Although the LSA could be recognized as carbonate platform from the size of the anomaly, this interpretation could be ruled out substantially based on the following aspects. First, the shape feature with bulges at the edges of the anomaly is one of the most typical markers for carbonate platforms recognition (Feng 2012). The LSA has no such bulges at the both ends of the anomaly. Second, carbonate platforms in MCS profiles always have clear bottom boundaries (Wu et al. 2014), while the bottom boundary of LSA is ambiguous. Third, the physical property study of 21 carbonate samples showed that $V_p/V_s$ of carbonate is about 1.98 (Salah et al. 2020). However, the $V_p/V_s$ of seafloor sediments is greater than 2.0 and can even reaches to 3.3 (Zhao et al. 2010, 2017; Hou 2019). This means that the Poisson’s ratio of the submarine sediment is often greater than that of the carbonate. In contrast, the Poisson’s ratio of the LSA is higher than the sedimentary layer above (Fig. 8c). Fourth, carbonate sediments usually form in a shallow-marine environment (Song et al. 2017). The LSA was formed after Late-Miocene, during which was a deep-marine environment after the cessation of seafloor spreading (Taylor and Hayes 1983).

Sandstone intrusions are deep-water sediments that have been intruded into the overlying muddy sediment in an overpressure fluid environment (Wu et al. 2008). AVO attribute is not able to determine whether the LSA is a sandstone intrusion or not, because the acoustic impedance of a sandstone intrusion might be higher or lower than its host strata (Cartwright et al. 2008). However, there are some different aspects between LSA and sandstone intrusions in terms of shape and size. Sandstone intrusions usually behave as “V” or “wing” shaped on MCS profiles with the lateral extent from hundreds of meters to thousands of meters (Yao et al. 2020). Meanwhile, sandstone intrusions generally occur with sand remobilization, which has not been reported along the northern margin of SCS (Sun et al. 2014).
On the contrary, there are multiple and widespread magmatic activities in the northern margin of SCS after the cessation of seafloor spreading (Zhou et al. 1995; Yan et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2006). These activities are dominated by mafic magmas (Zhang 2014). The Poisson’s ratio of igneous rock will be higher than the overlying strata if the rock is mafic-rich or/and the rock is partial melting (Owens and Zandt 1997; Wang et al. 2017). By considering the tectonic background, reflection feature, velocity field, AVO attribute, and forming time of the LSA, we proposed that the LSA is an intrusion of mafic igneous rock.

5.2 Classification of the Laccolith

Based on the size and shape of the minor strong reflection anomalies, they can be classified as the intruded sills (Cukur et al. 2010; Schofield et al. 2012; Alves et al. 2015; Xia et al. 2018; Yao et al. 2020) (Figs. 3 - 6). In comparison, the LSA has much wider in size than the minor reflection anomalies.

Laccolith is a kind of intrusive rock that has split two strata, resulting in a domelike structure (Jackson and Pollard 1988; Saint-Blanquat et al. 2006; Horsman et al. 2009). Although the LSA is not a perfect domelike structure, there is still a partially domed structure ~5 km in length at the center of the LSA. According to Menand (2008), the lateral extent of laccolith ranges from ~1 km to tens of kilometers, and the thickness ranges from ~500 m to 1 km with an aspect ratio up to ~10. The length and thickness of the LSA is around 15 km and 1300 m, respectively, and thus the aspect ratio is ~11.5, assuming interval velocity is 5000 m s\(^{-1}\) for the representative igneous rock. In addition, the LSA has similar features as other discovered laccolith with wide top and steep side (Jackson and Pollard 1988; Saint-Blanquat et al. 2006; Horsman et al. 2009).

Furthermore, although the laccolith has different spatial scale and the aspect ratio from the sills, the formation of the laccolith is related to sills (Johnson and Pollard 1973; Menand 2008). With the continuous supply of magma, sill intrudes along the strata, keeping a stable thickness. After

---

Fig. 8. AVO attribute sections of (a) P, (b) G, and (c) P+G for the LSA. They indicate the P wave reflection coefficients at zero offset, the change rates (gradients) of reflection coefficients with offset, and the change rate of Poisson’s ratio, respectively.
the lateral movement of magma stops, the successive supply of magma makes the intruded layer to be thickened, and thus a transition from sill to laccolith occurs (Johnson and Pollard 1973; Menand 2008). In addition, some studies suggest that a laccolith could be formed during multiple magmatic activities (Horsman et al. 2005, 2009; Morgan et al. 2008). The previous solidified sills can provide a favorable rigidity anisotropy site for the emplacement of the subsequent sills. This mechanism helps sills to thicken vertically to form laccoliths finally (Menand 2008; Agirrezabala 2015). There are multiple magmatic activities in the northern SCS after the cessation of seafloor spreading (Zhou et al. 1995; Yan et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2006). This is might be a favorable condition for the formation of laccolith in the study region.

5.3 Implications for Magmatism

In this study, the laccolith is detected at the intersection of Lines SO1E and SOY. Its spatial extents are different on these two lines (Fig. 9). The laccolith on SO1E profile is over 15 km, while it is only 3 km on SOY profile. This means that the long axis of the laccolith is roughly NW. Such a strike is very close to the NW directional LRTPB near the intersection of Lines SO1E and SOY (Fig. 1). In addition, igneous rocks are mainly distributed on both sides of the LRTPB (Fig. 1b). It indicates that the LRTPB is closely associated with the magma in the northeastern SCS.

Fan et al. (2017) concluded that magma from the mantle plume at the south of Dongsha Island were controlled by faults and dominant orientations of seamounts parallel to the faults (NE and NEE orientation) along the COT (Fig. 1). Decompression melting occurred under the continental slope after the cessation of seafloor spreading (Liu et al. 2021). These magma volumes are not sufficient for large-scale intrusion into the crustal zone (Gao et al. 2015). Based on the scale of the magmatism in the northeastern SCS, it is more likely that these magmas were formed by decompression melting. The NW directional LRTPB might be responsible for the migration of the magma in this study region. Laccolith usually forms in multiple magmatic activities, indicating that the LRTPB may also be re-activated in multiple phases. This view is consistent with Yeh et al. (2012), who mentioned that tilted blocks close to the LRTPB were re-activated during Dongsha Movement.

Most of our discovered magma intrusions are located north of the northern boundary of COT. It probably means that the north boundary of the COT could be further north, in considering that the exact location of COT is still debatable (Zhu et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2016; Larsen et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018; Ding et al. 2020). According to the characteristics of magma activities, a schematics of magma activities are presented (Fig. 10). In the LRTPB,
magmas ascending from the decompression melting zone along fault zone. The faults controlled magma does not have enough momentum to ascend through the sediment. Under the occurrence multiple magmatic activities, magma intruded into sediment and accumulated to form sills and laccolith along the NW directional LRTPB (Fig. 10).

6. CONCLUSIONS

Four high-resolution MCS profiles are processed to reveal the detailed geological structure and magma intrusions in the northeastern SCS. The main points of this study are concluded as follows based on the seismic imaging and AVO analysis:

1. High-amplitude reflection anomalies are observed from 4 MSC profiles SO1E, SOY, T19B, and T19C. The most prominent one is on SO1E and SOY profiles with a clear upper boundary and ambiguous bottom boundary named as LSA. The spatial size reaches to ~15 and ~3 km along and perpendicular to the strike direction, respectively, and 1300 m in thickness.

2. The results of velocity field and AVO analyses show that the LSA has a higher P wave velocity and Poisson’s ratio than the host strata above.

3. By comparing the spatial size, shape, velocity feature and AVO attribute with other possible high-amplitude anomalies, we suggest that the LSA on SO1E and SOY profiles is characterized an igneous rock and further classified as a laccolith.

4. The discovery of laccolith and other igneous anomalies indicate that there are still pervasive magmatic activities close to the LRTPB, indicating the magmatic activities is further north than the COT zone. The NW directional LRTPB may be responsible for the migration of the magma.
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