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Abstract

Several approaches have been used to reduce the accumulation of heavy metals in aqueous solutions, including adsorption to the surface of agricultural waste. Batch studies have been performed in this study to explore the adsorption of $Fe^{2+}$, $Mn^{2+}$ on olive pomace (OP), and moringa seed husk (MSH). Fourier transform infrared and scanning electron microscopy also characterized the prepared adsorbent. Batch adsorption studies were performed, and the effects of adsorbent chemical structure, adsorbent dosage, pH, contact time, and initial ion concentration were investigated on Fe and Mn ions sorption and mechanism in order to maximize the removal efficiency of Fe and Mn. It was shown that the removal percentage of $Fe^{2+}$ and $Mn^{2+}$ were 83% and 91%, respectively, at optimum pH 5 and optimum time of 120 min at 5 g of OP. Although the removal percentage of $Fe^{2+}$ and $Mn^{2+}$ were 80.5% and 93%, respectively, at 5 g of MSH. The pseudo-second-order model was followed by the adsorption kinetics of $Fe^{2+}$ and $Mn^{2+}$ on OP and MSH, and the Langmuir model worked well with the adsorption isotherms. Based on their adsorption/desorption processes, OP and MSH adsorbents may be regenerated by DI water more than five times. The overall adsorption power of the OP adsorbent for $Fe^{2+}$ and $Mn^{2+}$ was 10.406 and 10.460 mg/g, and the MSH was 10.28 and 11.641 mg/g for $Fe^{2+}$ and $Mn^{2+}$, respectively.
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Introduction

One of the main causes of water degradation of marine heavy metals is agricultural wastewater effluent. Aqueous toxic waste induces heavy metal pollution from many operations, such as metal plating, logging, tanning, etc. (Nieto et al. 2010). There are, however, several distinct strategies for extracting heavy metals from polluted water (Ince and Ince 2017). The key topics associated with low-cost adsorbents and their derivatives for use in the removal of contaminants from waste water were listed (Veglio and Beolchini 1997; Volesky 2001). Agricultural waste, municipal solid waste, biomass, clay minerals, and zeolites were included. It is apparent that inexpensive adsorbents have shown excellent pollutant-removal capabilities. Modifications of cheap adsorbents can modify their original properties, making them highly suited to the sorting of different kinds of waste materials (Sadegh et al. 2017). An immense amount of waste that is disrupted by the high content of organic carbon (cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin and polyphenols) is created by the olive oil industry (Martín-Lara et al. 2009). A number of these olive oil wastes have recently been tested with positive findings as heavy metal biosorbents (Gharaibeh et al. 1999; Martín-Lara et al. 2008). Nieto et al. (2010) examined the adsorption of Fe by olive stones in agricultural wastewaters. The percentage of iron adsorption increased from 30 to 70% when the initial biomass concentration rose from 25 to 125 g dm$^{-3}$. Moringa Oleifera Seeds Husks (MSH) have been used as an adsorbent to get rid of iron and manganese ions from the aqueous solution (Ghafar et al. 2017), Moringa oleifera seed powder has been researched. Ongulu (2015) investigated Moringa oleifera seed powder with a view of using it as a low-cost
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biosorbent for the removal of toxic heavy metals from wastewater. MOH was chemically activated using citric acid in order to improve the ability of adsorption. The adsorption analysis was carried out in the water bath shaker using the batch technique to explore various parameters; adsorbent dose (10 g/L), initial metal concentration (50 mg/L), contact time (90 min), at steady 100 rpm agitation. This study was performed to investigate the adsorption performance of environmental eco-friendly wastes, namely OP and MSH for the removal of Fe and Mn from aqueous solutions in the heavily populated study region, surrounded by sources of contamination or close to it and compare the efficiency of the two adsorbent materials. Nieto et al. (2010) used olive stones directly and characterized by mercuric porosimetry. The equilibrium adsorption capacity was higher when the particles size (from <1 to 4.8 mm) decreased. The percentage of iron adsorption increased from 30 to 70% when the initial concentration of biomass increased from 25 to 125 g dm−3. The optimum concentration of olive stones was fixed at 37.5 g dm−3. The adsorption of iron was determined as a function of their initial concentration and multiplayer formed at high iron concentration. Fiol et al. (2006) reached that the highest value of Langmuir maximum uptake, (q_max), was found for cadmium (6.88 × 10−5 mol g−1) followed by lead (4.47 × 10−5 mol g−1), nickel (3.63 × 10−5 mol g−1), and copper (3.19 × 10−5 mol g−1) onto olive stone waste. Similar Freundlich empirical constants, k, were obtained for all metals (2.4 × 10−5 to 2.8 × 10−5). Elouear et al. (2009) showed the equilibrium in the adsorption of Pb(II) and Zn(II) on exhausted olive pomace ash within 2 h of contact between the fly ash and the aqueous solution. Monolayer sorption capacities of EOPA were 8.76 and 7.75 mg g−1 for lead and zinc ions, respectively. Ali and Seng (2018) used Moringa oleifera press cake (MOPC) to remove the heavy metal from wastewater. The MOPC removed 69.99% Fe, 88.86% Cu, and 93.73% Cr at optimum concentration of 10,000 ppm, 5000 ppm, and 15,000 ppm, respectively. Aziz et al. (2016a, b) demonstrates that Moringa seeds, banana peel and their combination have the potential to be used as a natural alternative to the other water treatment agents for removing the Pb, Ni, and Cd from drinking water. Results showed that combined biomasses was able to met the Pb, Ni, and Cd WHO standards from higher Pb, Ni, and Cd initial concentrations which were up to 40 μg/L, 50 μg/L, 9 μg/L, respectively, compared to individual biomass of Moringa seed and banana peel.

Material and methods

Preparation of adsorbent materials.

Preparation of olive pomace adsorbent

From Ras Sidr, South Sinai, Egypt, the olive pomace solid waste was collected. An electric mixer grounded the olive pomace, removed large particles by a sieve with 4.75 diameter and obtained uniform sizes. OP was washed by boiled deionized water (on Jar test equipment for 90 min. at 200 rpm for 5 times) to remove the remaining organic matter which could interfere in the results (Nieto et al. 2010). They were dried in the oven for 3 h at 105°c and then placed in a jar for experimental work as shown in Fig. 1 a, b, and c.

Preparation of moringa seeds husks adsorbent

Moringa seeds were purchased from a local market. The husks were removed manually from the seeds and washed several times with boiled distilled water to remove all the dirt and other undesirable particulate matter. Then, it was dried in drying oven (JP Selecta Model DiGitHeat 19L/2,001,241) at 100 °C until constant weight is obtained. The dried MSH was ground using electrical grinder (Model Braun 850 W made in Germany) to achieve fine and uniform particles as in Fig. 1 d, e, and f.

Characterization

Surface images of nanoparticles were recorded using Quanta FEG 250 scanning electron microscope (FEI Company, USA) available at EDRC, DRC, Cairo. Samples were mounted onto SEM stubs. Applied SEM conditions were as follows: a 10.1 mm working distance, with in-lens detector with an excitation voltage of 20 kV.

Preparation of Fe2+ and Mn2+ adsorbate

By dissolving 7.0025 g of ferrous ammonium sulfate and 2.8727 g of potassium permanganate, the stock solutions (1000 mg / l) of Fe2+ and Mn2+ were prepared and the solution was stored in a volumetric flask at one liter mark. To achieve the correct concentration, the stock solution was diluted with double distilled water, varying from 10 to 1000 mg/l, as required for the experiments. Iron (510 nm) concentration and manganese (525 nm) concentration were calculated using the JP Selecta uv/visible
Spectrophotometer phenonthroline and persulphate process, respectively (Standard Methods 2012).

**Batch adsorption studies**

Sorption tests were conducted at 100 rpm (Aziz et al. 2016a; b) by batch technique on jar test equipment (Model Flocumatic, J.P selecta, Spain). To elucidate the influence of certain parameters on the sorption of iron and manganese into OP and MSH, batch tests were administered. Those parameters investigated included pH, adsorbent dosage, concentration of metal ions, and contact time. All adsorption tests were conducted at pH 5, with HCl (1 N) and NaOH (1 N) solutions being modified. Both adsorption tests were performed in a 500 mL solution with a metal ion concentration of 50 ppm. Batch tests were conducted for various metal concentrations (10–300 mg/L), contact time (120 min), adsorbent dosage (0.5–7 g) and pH (2–7) in order to establish the optimal experimental conditions. The initial and final iron and manganese concentrations were calculated using a spectrophotometer (Model JP Selecta uv / visible). The amount of the metal adsorbed (% removal) by the sorbent was calculated using

\[
\text{% Removal Efficiency} = \frac{(C_i - C_f)}{C_i} \times 100 \tag{1}
\]

The amount of adsorbed metal ions onto the surface of the adsorbent was calculated from the mass balance expression given by:

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_i - C_f)}{M} V, \tag{2}
\]

where \(q_e\) is the amount of metal ions adsorbed (mg/g); \(C_i\) initial metal ion concentration (mg/L), \(C_f\) is the final metal ion concentration (mg/L), \(M\) is the mass of the adsorbent in (g), and \(V\) is the volume (liter) of the metal solution in contact with the adsorbent.

**Adsorption isotherms**

The adsorption isotherm models of Langmuir (1918) and Freundlich (1906) were used. For Langmuir model the linear form could be expressed from the following equation:

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_{max}} + \frac{C_e}{q_{max}} \tag{3}
\]

The Freundlich model, the linear form could be expressed from the following equation:

\[
\log q_e = \log K_F + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \log C_e, \tag{4}
\]

where \(C_e\) is the equilibrium concentration of Fe\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) in mg/l, \(q_e\) and \(q_m\) are the adsorption amount at equilibrium (mg/g) and adsorption capacity (mg/g), respectively, and \(K_L\) is the Langmuir constant (L/mg). The values of \(K_F\) and \(q_m\) can be obtained from the intercept and slope of \(C_e/q_e\) versus \(C_e\) (Fig. 10a and b). \(K_F\) is the empirical Freundlich constant (mg/g) and 1/n is the Freundlich exponent.
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Adsorption kinetics

The pseudo-first-order kinetic model (Lagergren 1898) and pseudo-second-order kinetic model (Ys et al. 1999) were employed to fit the experimental data and to understand the adsorption mechanism of Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺ ions using OP and MSH adsorbents. The equation of Pseudo-first-order kinetic model is as follows:

$$\log \left( q_e - q_t \right) = \log q_e - \left( k_1 / 2.303 \right) * t,$$

where \( q_t \) and \( q_e \) (mg/g) are the amounts of metal ions adsorbed per unit mass of the adsorbent at time \( t \) (min) and equilibrium, respectively, and \( k_1 \) (1/min) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant of the sorption process. The equation of Pseudo-second-order kinetic model is as follows:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e},$$

where \( k_2 \) [g/(mg min)] is the pseudo-second-order rate constant.

Results and discussion

Characterization of OP and MSH

Olive pomace

Olive pomace is a sticky waste and polyphenolic compounds; in addition, it contains uronic acids, cellulose and lignin (Saviozzi et al. 2001). This complex matrix comprises numerous fixed polyvalent functional groups (such as carboxy, hydroxy, and methoxy groups) and a large number of fixed anionic and cationic functional groups that cause various mechanisms in solutions to bind metal ions: complexation, chelation, physical sorption, ion exchange, and electrostatic. Veglio and Beolchini (1997).

In the adsorption mechanism where the biomass arrangement and some cavities on the external surface are porous in Fig. 2a, the morphology of fresh OP plays an avital role, which increases its overall surface area and thus easily increases the propensity of agglomeration between biomass and Fe²⁺ ions and Mn²⁺ ions, as shown in Fig. 2b and c, respectively (Bayramoglu et al. 2006; Tsai et al. 2008; Nieto et al. 2010). As seen in Fig. 3a, the Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra of fresh OP show large wide bands assigned to the surface hydroxyl group of about 3300 cm⁻¹, C=O, C=C alkene and C-O, respectively, are responsible for the peaks at 2362.21 cm⁻¹, 1635 cm⁻¹ and 1030 cm⁻¹. Following the adsorption of Fe²⁺ metal ions, shown in Fig. 3b, the wavenumbers of fresh OP changed from 3300, 1635, and 1030 cm⁻¹ to 3277.88, 1615, and 1029.94 cm⁻¹, respectively. On the other hand, after Mn²⁺ adsorption, the wavenumbers of new OP changed to 3279.16, 1616.85, and 1050.97 cm⁻¹, respectively, seen in Fig. 3c. It was concluded that OP may include various mechanisms such as ion exchange, electrostatic attraction and complexation, according to FTIR analysis study before and after adsorption (Hawari et al. 2014).

Moringa seeds husks

Various amino acids, vitamins, carbohydrates, glucosinolates, and fatty acid phenolics, which are functional groups capable of metal adsorption, are found in the MSH. It is a possible source of low-price adsorbent output due to the composition of MSH and its availability Ghafar et al. (2017). The MSH micrographs (Fig. 4a) indicate mesoporous structures of different pore sizes. Because of the available binding cavities for the metal ions, these surface properties will result in high metal binding (Maina 2016). Figure 4b shows the wavenumbers of fresh MSH, which was changed from 3333, 1654.7, and 1029.76 cm⁻¹ to 3323.42, 1646.89, and 1030.12 cm⁻¹, respectively. On the other hand, the wavenumbers of new MSH shifted to 3327, 1636.3, and 1052.08 cm⁻¹, respectively, after Mn²⁺ uptake seen in Fig. 4c. As seen in Fig. 5b and c, the decrease in amplitude and change of the above-mentioned peaks may be attributed to the relationship between the metal and the specific functional groups. The hydroxyl (OH) groups, C–H, C = C of alkenes, and C–O of carboxylic acids are the functional groups responsible for metal removal in MSH (Demirbas et al. 2004; Rahman and Islam 2009).

Factors affecting on removal of Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺ ions

Effect of initial pH

Figure 6 shows the role of the solution pH value (2 to 7) on the metal adsorption on OP and MSH. The figure reveals that the ideal pH for adsorption of ions is 5. For OP, Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺ removal efficiency improved dramatically from 8 and 5 percent (at pH 2) to 89 percent and 79 percent (at pH 5), respectively, for Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺. On the other hand, for Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺, MSH indicates a higher removal efficiency that has improved from 12 and 3.5 percent (pH 2) to 93 and 82 percent (pH 5). There is a competition between hydrogen ions and metal ions at a lower pH for a small number of binding sites, which will minimize Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺ sorption. Whereas, as the number of hydrogen ions decreased and the O.P, MSH adsorbents allowed more ligands to form complexes with Fe²⁺and Mn²⁺ at higher pH values, leading to sorption of Fe²⁺and Mn²⁺ (Tan et al. 2015; Blázquez et al. 2005).
Effect of adsorbent dosage

The dependence of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ adsorption on the biomass of OP and MSH was examined at pH 5 by changing the biosorbent dose for each Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ in 500 ml of 50 mg/l solution from 0.5 to 7.0 g for OP and MSH. Figure 7 indicates that the removal efficiency improved from 10.4 to 52% for Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, respectively, to 87% and 82% in the case of OP. On the other hand, the removal efficiency improved from 24 to 26% for Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ to 96% and 98% for Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, respectively, by using MSH. The increased adsorbent dose would improve the surface area that can be used for sorption (Ponnusami et al. 2007; Cengeloglu et al. 2007; Bouguerra et al. 2008). For every Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, the chosen dose of OP and MSH was 5 g.

Fig. 2 SEM image of OP before adsorption (a) OP after Fe$^{2+}$ adsorption (b) OP after Mn$^{2+}$ adsorption (c) at two magnifications
Effect of initial concentration

Figure 8 shows that the removal percentage of Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} by using O.P and MSH decreases with the increase in initial concentration. For the adsorption of heavy metal ions, adequate sorption sites are needed at a lower metal ion initial concentration. However, at high concentrations, the available adsorption sites are reduced and the percentage of the reduction of heavy metals depends on the initial concentration (Funes et al. 2014). The initial concentration analysis of Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} was investigated for each adsorbent with a pH value set at 5 in the range of 10–300 mg/L. For OP, the sorption percentage decreased from 93 and 90% to 34.16% and 32.5%, Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+}, respectively. The percentage sorption reduction for MSH decreased from 98.5 to 97% for Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} to 33% and 37%, respectively. The improvement in the initial concentration of metal also increases the relationship of metal and adsorbent. Therefore, an increase in initial metal concentration increases metal adsorption absorption. This is due to the increase in the concentration gradient’s motivating force with the increase in the original metal concentration (Kumar et al. 2010).

Effect of contact time

Figure 9 shows the adsorption data of Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} onto OP and MSH adsorbents at different time intervals. The experiments were carried out at different contact time 5 to 120 min using jar test apparatus at 100 rpm with adsorbent dosage 10 g, initial concentration 50 mg/l at a pH 5 and 500 ml contact solution. At the first 20 min, the relative elimination of Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} reaches to 58%, 46% onto OP and 65%, 49% onto MSH, respectively. The removal proceeds was at a slower pace for OP and MSH until 120 min. At the beginning, there were some empty active sites for adsorption, but the number reduction was achieved after the optimal time, since most of these sites were filled with ions (Kumar et al. 2010).

Adsorption isotherms

The values of K_F and 1/n can be expressed from the intercept and slope of Log q_e versus Log c_e (Fig. 10c and d). The n_F value in the Freundlich model represents the reactivity of biomass’s active sites. According to (Bhatt et al. 2012), if a value of n_F = 1, the adsorption is linear, for n_F < 1, the
adsorption is chemisorption, and for $n_F > 1$ the adsorption is a favorable physical adsorption. From Table 1, it was found that $n_F$ values are greater than unity and physical adsorption was shown favorable for adsorption of Fe and Mn on OP and MSH. The maximum adsorption capacity of OP and MSH adsorbents for the removal Fe and Mn ions is compared with other adsorbents reported in previous works, Table 2. The $q_m$ calculated from the Langmuir model were determined to be 10.406 mg/g, 10.28 mg/g for Fe$^{2+}$ on OP and MSH, respectively, and 10.460 mg/g, 11.641 mg/g for Mn$^{2+}$ on OP and MSH, respectively. It is clear that the data obtained for the adsorption of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ on OP are well fitted to the Langmuir model, that indicates that Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ on OP and MSH are monolayer coverage (Tan et al. 2015).

Fig. 4  SEM image of MSH before adsorption a MSH after Fe$^{2+}$ adsorption b MSH after Mn$^{2+}$ adsorption c at two magnifications
Fig. 5 FTIR spectra of fresh MSH (a), Fe$^{2+}$ ions adsorption on MSH (b) Mn$^{2+}$ ions adsorption on MSH

Fig. 6 Effect of pH on removal of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ using O.P adsorbent (a) and MSH adsorbent (b). Conditions, initial metal concentration, 50 mg/l, adsorbate solution volume 500 ml, adsorbent dose 10 g/l, contact time 120 min
Adsorption kinetics

Figure 11a and b showed the Kinetics models for Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺ adsorption onto OP and MSH. From plotting log (qₑ - qₜ) versus t, K₁ and qₑ can be obtained from the slope and intercept, respectively. From Table 3, the correlation coefficients (R²) for the pseudo-second-order kinetic model are higher than those for the pseudo-first-order kinetic model, and the qₑ values calculated from the pseudo-second-order kinetic model are very close to the experimental ones. These results suggest that the overall rates of the adsorption of Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺ onto OP and MSH are controlled by chemical adsorption.
**Fig. 10** Langmuir isotherm for the adsorption of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ on OP (a), MSH (b) & Freundlich isotherm for the adsorption of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ on OP (c), MSH (d).

### Table 1
Parameters for the Langmuir and Freundlich models of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ adsorption on OP and MSH adsorbent

| Adsorbent | Metal ion | q$_{\text{max}}$ (mg/g) | K$_L$ (L/mg) | R$^2$ | kf | n | R$^2$ |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------|-------|-----|----|-------|
| OP        | Fe$^{2+}$ | 10.406                   | 0.1074       | 0.9947| 1.525| 2.462| 0.9193|
|           | Mn$^{2+}$ | 10.460                   | 0.1046       | 0.9953| 1.276| 2.297| 0.8079|
| MSH       | Fe$^{2+}$ | 10.28                    | 0.5189       | 0.997 | 1   | 4.315| 0.7749|
|           | Mn$^{2+}$ | 11.641                   | 0.1367       | 0.9983| 11.641| 2.37 | 0.9152|

### Table 2
Comparison of OP and MSH for the removal of Heavy Metals from aqueous solutions

| Adsorbent | Metal ions | Condition | %, q | Reference |
|-----------|------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Moringa oleifera leaf | Mn$^{2+}$ | contact time of 120 min, pH 6, dosage 0.2 g/l, $c_o$ 5 mg/l | 19.230 | (Muthuraman 2017) |
| M. oleifera seeds | Fe(II) | $c_o$ 5 mg/L contact time 60 min | 41% | (Pramanik, Pramanik et al. 2016) |
| crude olive stones | Fe(II) | Dose 37.5 g dm$^{-3}$, $c_o$ 20 mg dm$^{-3}$, 117 rpm, size < 4.8 mm | 1.2 mg/g | (Nieto et al. 2010) |
| (Moringa) Seed Pods | Fe Mn | contact time of 60 min pH 8, $C_o$ 20 mg/L, Dosage 1 g, Particle size 100 µm | Fe, 80.9% Mn, 100.0% | (Maina, Obuseng et al. 2016) |
| OP | Fe Mn | Dose 5 g, 100 rpm, 2 h pH 5 $C_o$ 50 mg/l | 10.406, 10.460 | This study |
| MSH | Fe Mn | | 10.28, 11.641 | This study |
Regeneration study

The percentage elimination of Fe$^{2+}$ on OP and MSH (Fig. 12b) decreases by around 11% and 23%, respectively, after five cycles. And the percentage elimination of Mn$^{2+}$ on OP and MSH (Fig. 12c) also falls by 16 and 29%, respectively. Desorption tests were conducted with and without washing using deionized H2O, 0.5 N HCl, 0.5 N NaOH, 0.02 N EDTA and the results are shown in the figure. The adsorbent’s reusability was accomplished by washing with DI water. This discovery also indicates that one of the key pathways for the desorption process was ion exchange. However, washing the metal laden adsorbent with deionized water did not demonstrate any potential for desorption (Ngah and Hanafiah 2008). This decrease can be attributed to loss of binding sites after each desorption step (Zhang

Table 3 Parameters for the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ adsorption ions on O.P and MSH adsorbents

| Adsorbent | Metal ion | Pseudo-first-order | | | Pseudo-second-order | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|---|
|           |           | $q_e$ (cal) (mg/g)  | $K_1$ (L/mg) | $R^2$ | $q_e$ (cal) (mg/g)  | $K_2$ (g/mg min) | $R^2$ | $q_e$ (exp) (mg/g) |
| OP        | Fe$^{2+}$ | 4.34                | 0.0497        | 0.9836 | 5.02                | 0.00936          | 0.9917 | 4.15              |
| OP        | Mn$^{2+}$ | 4.22                | 0.043         | 0.9797 | 4.96                | 0.00847          | 0.9965 | 4.1               |
| MSH       | Fe$^{2+}$ | 3.63                | 0.043         | 0.9772 | 5.2                 | 0.006            | 0.9975 | 4.7               |
| MSH       | Mn$^{2+}$ | 4.58                | 0.040         | 0.9835 | 5.52                | 0.005            | 0.992  | 4.42              |

Fig. 11 Pseudo-first-order kinetic model for Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ adsorption onto OP (a) and MSH adsorbents (b) & Pseudo-second-order kinetic model for Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ adsorption onto OP (c) and MSH adsorbents (d)
et al. 2011). The results showed that the used adsorbent materials could be reused as adsorbents following five cycles of adsorption/desorption with a small loss in its adsorption capacity in industrial applications.

**Application**

The studied adsorbent was applied in the adsorption of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ ions from surface water sample (A) and underground sample (B) taken from the study area. The samples were subsequently treated with the two adsorbent materials to determine the percentage removal of the metal. The samples (500 mL) were then treated with 5 g of adsorbent at optimum pH 5 and optimum time of 120 min. The two metal ions were quantified using spectrophotometer before and after the removal experiments as shown in Table 4. The results showed that OP and MSH are effective in removing Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ from water samples. It was found that the removal percentage of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ were 92%, 91% by using OP adsorbent and 98%, 95% by using MSH adsorbent, respectively.

**Conclusion**

In this work, the OP and MSH adsorbents were prepared and defined by FTIR and SEM to validate, respectively, the functional groups and the morphological structure. The results obtained showed an optimal adsorbent dosage of 10 g/l at a pH of 5 and a balance time of 120 min. The pseudo-second-order model was followed by the adsorption kinetics of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ on OP and MSH, and the Langmuir model worked well with the adsorption isotherm. The overall adsorption power of the OP adsorbent for Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ was 10.406 and 10.460 mg/g, and the MSH was 10.28 and 11.641 mg/g.
for Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, respectively. At various concentrations, the equilibrium parameter (RL) was less than unity, suggesting that the adsorption of metal ions into adsorbents is favorable.
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