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Abstract

Objective: Malaria is an entirely preventable and treatable disease. However, due to lack of adherence to the preventive measures and delay in early treatment, it has become one of the leading public health problems. The general objective was to assess knowledge, attitude and early treatment seeking behavior about malaria among the communities residing in May-Aynee administration, Eritrea.

Method: We conducted a community based cross sectional study. Using systematic random sampling proportional to the size of households in the community, 243 households were selected. Data was collected using a pre-tested questionnaire and analyzed with SPSS (Version-20). P-value less than 5% was considered statistically significant.

Results: All respondents knew malaria's fatal outcome if untreated. More than half (55.6%) were aware of preventive and treatment measures, mainly through health workers. Most of them recognized mosquito bite as cause (91.8%) and fever as symptom (84%). Of all respondents, 75.4%, mostly with higher educational level and living close to health facilities, had adequate knowledge about malaria. Almost all (98.8%) respondents stated they would seek treatment from health facility when symptoms appear. However, only 39.9% would do so within 24 hours. Around half of respondents (47.7%) experienced malaria symptoms in the past six months. Most of them (90.6%) sought treatment from health facility. However, only 22.4% did so within 24 hours. Long distance between home and health facility was significantly associated with such delay.

Conclusion: Most respondents had adequate knowledge regarding cause, symptom, outcome and treatment in relation to malaria. However, small proportion of them sought treatment promptly. Health promotion activities should be implemented to enhance early treatment of the disease.

Introduction

Background

Malaria is known to be a major cause of morbidity and mortality globally, with an estimated 3.2 billion people living in malarious areas, mostly in low-income countries [1]. According to the 2015 World Malaria Report, around 214 million cases and 438,000 deaths of malaria were reported [2]. Similarly, in 2016, there were nearly 215 million patients and 435,000 deaths worldwide [3]. An estimated 88% of cases and 90% deaths of malaria were reported from Africa [4]. Early diagnosis and prompt treatment can cure the disease and reduce mortality attributed from malaria [5]. World Health Organization has emphasized to reduce the burden, complication and transmission of malaria through diagnosis and treatment within 24 hours and states that a delay would increase
the already high impact of the disease [6-8]. Malaria is a great public health concern in all endemic areas of Eritrea. Around 70% of the Eritrean population live in areas where malaria is transmitted [9].

The government of the state of Eritrea has been constructing several health facilities to attain sustainable development goal (SDG-3) objectives by reducing the burden and eventually eliminating malaria [10]. Besides many health professionals have been trained to offer an equitable, easy and smooth delivery of healthcare to all communities in the country. In addition, the ministry of health has introduced community health agents (CHA) in villages and towns to provide primary care services. The cumulative effect of these approaches has reduced the incidence, health facility admission and deaths attributable to malaria [6,8,11-14]. Despite the reduction in malaria morbidity and mortality, the disease remains a public health concern in most parts of the country threatening economic development. Success of malaria control efforts depends on knowledge, attitude and treatment seeking behaviors of people living in malarious areas [15]. Several countries have achieved significant progress in malaria control and elimination by empowering communities with knowledge so as to recognize the symptoms and to seek early treatment through health facilities [16-18]. The aim of this study was to investigate the level of knowledge, attitude and practice of community on early treatment seeking behavior on malaria.

Methods

Study Design

Community based cross-sectional study was conducted in May-Aynee administration. A structured questionnaire was used to collect information from representatives of households.

Study Area

May-Aynee is a subzone located about 78 km southeast of Asmara. It is one of the 12 subzones in Southern region of Eritrea. May-Aynee administration is one of the 11 administrations in May-Aynee subzone. It consists of four villages and the town of May-Aynee. Malaria is endemic in the administration. Rainfall is seasonal and extends between the months of June and September with the greatest intensity during July-August.

Study Population

May-Aynee administration has 575 households. There are three ethnic groups: Tigre, Tigrigna, and Saho. The livelihood of the population primarily relies on agriculture, pastoralism, and trade.

Sample Size Determination

A sample size of participants was determined using the formula. 

\[ n = \frac{z^2 \cdot q \cdot d^2}{p(1-p)} \]

where \( n \) is sample size, \( p \) (where is \( p \) in the formula) is the proportion of a population with the attribute of interest (0.5), \( q \) is 1-\( p \), \( d \) is the degree of precision (0.05), \( z \) is the standard normal deviation (1.96), giving 384.16. Since the population size is small in comparison to the sample size, the population correction factor was introduced (\( n_{\text{c}} = n/1+n/N \)). Adding 5% contingency for non-response; 243 households were included. Using a systematic random sampling technique, households (Interval = 575/243 \( \approx 2.36 \)) were selected and one member of the household family (the husband, wife or adult>15years old) was interviewed (Table 1).

| Village         | No. of Households | Sample from Each Village |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| May-Aynee town  | 261               | 111                      |
| Emba-Arba       | 83                | 35                       |
| Habenat         | 155               | 64                       |
| Adi-Hufat       | 29                | 13                       |
| Adi-Bari        | 47                | 20                       |
| Total           | 575               | 243                      |

Data Collection

A standardized questionnaire was adapted to the context of this study. Initially the questionnaire was developed in English and was translated to local language. The questionnaire included four sections - socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge, attitude, and early treatment seeking behaviors. Pilot study was carried out in Dieda 6 km east of May-Aynee two days prior to the actual study, and an amendment was done accordingly. Trained public health officers collected the data using face to face interview with the head of the household.

Overall Knowledge Scores

Knowledge on malaria was assessed based on three components: causes, signs and symptoms, and prevention of malaria. Each respondent got one score for correctly answering a question and zero otherwise. For questions with multiple responses, a score was given based on the number of correct responses. An overall knowledge score was calculated by adding up the scores of each respondent across all questions. Seventeen points were considered for malaria knowledge score. Using median as cutoff point, score greater than the median was considered as satisfactory and less than the median as unsatisfactory. Furthermore, a percent knowledge index (PKI) was calculated for each participant by summing the number of correct answers from the 17 items.

Data Processing and Analysis

Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS version 20. Categorical variables were described using frequencies and percentages while continuous variables were described using appropriate measures of central tendency and dispersion and were presented in the form of tables and graph. Chi-square and binary logistic regression were used to test for associations. Crude and adjusted odds ratio along with their 95% confidence intervals were presented, and \( p<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Result

Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

A total of 243 households participated in this study. Mean family size of the studied households was 5.2 persons. Nine-tenth
(90.1%) of the households were headed by females. The mean age of the study participants was 42.6 with a standard deviation (SD) of ±14.8 years. Forty-three percent of the study participants had primary education; while 41.2% had no formal education (Table 2).

Table 2: Baseline characteristics of respondents.

| Variable                | Frequency (N=243) | Percent (%) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Gender                  |                   |             |
| Male                    | 22                | 9.1         |
| Female                  | 221               | 90.9        |
| Age                     |                   |             |
| 20-30                   | 66                | 27.2        |
| 31-40                   | 68                | 28          |
| 41-50                   | 49                | 20.2        |
| 51-60                   | 22                | 9.1         |
| >61                     | 38                | 15.6        |
| Educational level       |                   |             |
| None                    | 100               | 41.2        |
| Primary                 | 105               | 43.2        |
| Junior                  | 23                | 9.5         |
| Secondary               | 14                | 5.8         |
| College & above         | 1                 | 0.4         |
| Marital status          |                   |             |
| Single                  | 10                | 4.1         |
| Married                 | 191               | 78.6        |
| Divorced                | 23                | 9.5         |
| Widowed                 | 19                | 7.8         |
| Occupation              |                   |             |
| Farmer                  | 189               | 77.8        |
| Business                | 42                | 17.3        |
| Governmental employees  | 7                 | 2.9         |
| non-Governmental employees | 5            | 2.1         |
| Family size             |                   |             |
| 2 persons               | 44                | 18.1        |
| 3-4 person              | 89                | 36.6        |
| >5person                | 110               | 45.3        |
| Religion                |                   |             |
| Orthodox                | 241               | 99.2        |
| Muslim                  | 2                 | 0.8         |

Knowledge of Participants' About Malaria

All respondents knew malaria is a deadly disease if left untreated. More than half (55.6%) of the respondents have heard messages regarding malaria during the malaria season. The main sources of information about malaria were health workers/health education (49.6%), community meeting (31.1%), radio (16.3%), CHA (2.2%) and TV (0.8%). The types of messages they heard were on usage of ITN’s (47.3%), environmental managements (49.8%) and early treatment seeking behavior (31.3%).

A majority (91.8%) of the respondents knew malaria is transmitted by mosquito bite. However, other transmission routes like hunger (30.9%) have been mentioned (Table 3). Fever was the most (84%) recognized signs/symptoms of malaria followed by shivering/chills (60.5%), headache and joint pain (55.6%) and vomiting (54.3%). Majority of the participants were aware of how to avoid malaria. The most frequently mentioned preventive methods were use of insecticide treated nets (ITN) (90.9%) and environmental management (86.4%) (Table 3).

Table 3: Knowledge of participants about malaria transmission, signs and symptoms and prevention.

| Variables                  | Frequency | Percent(95%CI) |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Cause of Malaria           | 261       | 111           |
| Mosquito bite              | 223       | 91.8(88.5-94.7)|
| Hunger                     | 75        | 30.9(25.1-36.6)|
| Eating unclean food        | 19        | 7.8(4.5-11.1)  |
| Drinking dirty water       | 17        | 7.0(3.7-10.3)  |
| Eating immature sugarcane  | 10        | 4.1(1.6-7)     |
| Don’t know the cause       | 5         | 2.1(0.4-3.7)   |
| Signs and Symptoms of Malaria |         |               |
| Fever                      | 204       | 84(79-88.1)    |
| Shivering/chills           | 147       | 60.5(54.3-66.3)|
| Headache                   | 135       | 55.6(49.4-62.5)|
| Joint pain                 | 135       | 55.6(49.4-62.5)|
| Vomiting                   | 132       | 54.6(48.1-60.1)|
| Loss of appetite           | 73        | 30(24.3-36.2)  |
| General body weakness      | 66        | 27.2(21.4-32.9)|
| Dizziness                  | 60        | 24.7(19.3-30)  |
| Don’t know                 | 2         | 0.8(0.00-2.10) |
| Methods of Malaria Prevention |        |               |
| Using ITN’s                | 221       | 90.9(89.8-96.2)|
| Environmental management   | 210       | 86.4(84.7-92.8)|
| Early treatment seeking    | 67        | 27.6(22.9-34.3)|
| Where to Seek Treatment    |           |               |
| Health facility            | 240       | 98.8(97.0-99.9)|
| Drug vender/pharmacy       | 4         | 1.6(0.40-3.70) |
| Home remedies              | 4         | 1.6(0.40-3.70) |
| When to Seek Treatment     |           |               |
| 24hrs                      | 97        | 39.9(33.7-46.5)|
| 48hrs                      | 60        | 24.7(18.9-29.6)|
| 72hrs                      | 39        | 16(11.50-21.00)|
| >72hrs                     | 47        | 19.4(14.8-25.10)|

Attitude of Respondents Towards Malaria

A majority of the participants said that malaria is a public health problem in their area. All (100%) of the respondents stated that seeking treatment as soon as the onset of signs and symptoms as well as taking a child/pregnant woman with signs and symptoms of malaria early to a health facility is important. Forty-five percent of the respondents perceived that malaria is very serious, 48.1% somewhat serious and 6.6% is not serious at all. A large number (80.2%) of the respondents have positive attitude toward malaria.
treatment. However, 2% have mentioned that they have fear of the anti-malaria treatment consequences.

### Treatment Seeking Behavior

Most of the respondents (98.8%) sought treatment from the nearby health facility when the signs and symptoms of malaria appeared. However, only 39.9% of them sought treatment within 24 hours. Around half (47.7%) of the study participants have experienced signs and symptoms six months prior to the study. Nine-tenth (90.6%) of them sought treatment from health facilities, but only 22.4% reached the facilities within 24 hours.

### Percent Knowledge Index

The median knowledge score of study participants was 10. Majority (75.4%) of the respondents had adequate knowledge on causes, signs and symptoms and prevention methods of malaria.

**Table 4:** Association of socio demographic variables with knowledge on malaria.

| Knowledge                      | Independent | Cause   | AOR (95%CI) | p-value | Signs and Symptoms | AOR (95%CI) | p-value | Prevention       | AOR (95%CI) | p-value |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|---------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| Village                       | Emba-arba   | 1       | 1           |         | 1                  | 1           |         | 1                | 1           |         |
|                               | Mai-Aynee   | 2.22(2.2-18.45) | 0.461 | 1.30(0.28-6.13) | 0.737 | 0.66(0.11-4.00) | 0.65 |
|                               | Adi-barin   | 7.75(7.45-17.90) | 0.072 | 2.17(0.52-9.14) | 0.29 | 1.61(0.27-9.60) | 0.604 |
|                               | Adi-hufut   | 2.39(2.40-2.80) | 0.467 | 0.68(0.11-4.26) | 0.682 | 0.15(0.02-0.99) * | 0.048 |
|                               | Habenat     | 1.98(1.98-4.98) | 0.66 | 0.49(0.76-3.15) | 0.452 | 0.06(0.04-0.94) * | 0.045 |
| Sex                           | Male        | 1       | 1           |         | 1                  | 1           |         | 1                | 1           |         |
|                               | Female      | 1.3(0.70-15.97) | 0.6  | 0.65(0.21-1.85) | 0.422 | 0.43(0.09-2.10) | 0.298 |
| Age                           | ≤30         | 1       | 1           |         | 1                  | 1           |         | 1                | 1           |         |
|                               | >30         | 0.98(0.47-2.01) | 0.947 | 1.57(0.71-3.47) | 0.266 | 0.73(0.26-2.03) | 0.541 |
| Marital status                | Single      | 1       | 1           |         | 1                  | 1           |         | 1                | 1           |         |
|                               | Married     | 1.63(0.39-6.78) | 0.504 | 0.38(0.09-1.68) | 0.2 | 0.72(0.07-6.90) | 0.771 |
|                               | Divorced    | 1.35(0.27-6.70) | 0.718 | 0.10(0.01-0.69) * | 0.02 | 0.66(0.06-7.70) | 0.744 |
|                               | Widowed     | 0.69(0.13-3.62) | 0.663 | 0.18(0.03-1.27) | 0.085 | 1.60(0.12-12.6) | 0.721 |
| Educational level             | Literate    | 1       | 1           |         | 1                  | 1           |         | 1                | 1           |         |
|                               | Illiterate  | 0.29(0.18-0.63) * | 0.036 | 0.98(0.46-2.10) | 0.968 | 0.60(0.47-0.82) * | 0.048 |
| Occupation                    | Gov’t employ| 1       | 1           |         | 1                  | 1           |         | 1                | 1           |         |
|                               | Farmers     | 0.95(0.85-3.21) | 0.491 | 2.05(0.43-9.60) | 0.364 | 1.02(0.1-10.3) | 0.984 |
|                               | Business    | 0.64(1.44-2.90) | 0.566 | 2.91(0.60-14.1) | 0.184 | 1.9(0.14-24.7) | 0.633 |
| Family Size                   | 2 persons   | 1       | 1           |         | 1                  | 1           |         | 1                | 1           |         |
|                               | 3-4 persons | 0.77(0.34-1.77) | 0.54 | 2.03(0.73-5.61) | 0.174 | 2.07(0.69-6.20) | 0.196 |
|                               | >5 persons  | 1.52(0.67-3.35) | 0.316 | 1.73(0.64-4.67) | 0.278 | 1.54(0.55-4.30) | 0.411 |
| Time Needed to Reach Health Facility | >30 min | 1       | 1           |         | 1                  | 1           |         | 1                | 1           |         |
|                               | <30 min     | 1.03(0.36-2.99) | 0.954 | 0.7(0.212-2.24) | 0.536 | 0.08(0.01-0.70) * | 0.024 |

Note: *= p<0.05
In this study respondents’ occupation was significant association with attitude about seriousness of malaria (p<0.001) (Table not shown). Farmers and businessmen were less likely to perceive the seriousness of malaria as compared with government employers (AOR= 0.052; 95%CI: 0.007-0.404), p<0.05 and (AOR=0.118; 0.017-0.815), p<0.05) respectively. Early treatment seeking behavior of malaria was associated with higher educational level (p<0.05), distance from a health facility (p<0.05) and good knowledge on signs and symptoms of malaria (p=0.038). Those who didn’t know signs and symptoms of malaria were 40% less likely than those who were aware, to seek treatment (AOR= 0.6; 95%CI; 0.36-0.98), p<0.05) (Table 5).

Table 5: Associations of socio-demographic characteristics with attitude and treatment seeking behavior of malaria.

| Independent      | Attitude     | P value   | Treatment Seeking Behavior | P value |
|------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------|
| Sex              |              |           |                            |         |
| Male             | 1            | 1         |                            |         |
| Female           | 1.92(0.20-17.98) | 0.569     | 1.01(0.32-3.13)          | 0.993   |
| Age              | 29           | 13        |                            |         |
| >30              | 1            |           |                            |         |
| ≤30              | 0.99(0.24-4.12) | 0.988     | 0.65(0.27-1.59)          | 0.347   |
| Educational level|              |           |                            |         |
| Literate         | 1            |           |                            |         |
| Illiterate       | 0.19(0.03-1.37) | 0.099     | 0.65(0.51-0.26) *         | 0.006   |
| Occupation       |              |           |                            |         |
| Gov’ employ      | 1            |           |                            |         |
| Farmers          | 0.05(0.01-0.40) * | 0.005     | 0.99(0.23-4.32)          | 0.991   |
| Business         | 0.12(0.02-0.82) * | 0.03      | 1.66(0.35-7.91)          | 0.523   |
| Family Size      |              |           |                            |         |
| 2 persons        | 1            |           |                            |         |
| 3-4 persons      | 0.52(0.03-9.27) | 0.654     | 1.26(0.45-3.53)          | 0.663   |
| >5 persons       | 6.03(1.50-28.2) | 0.23      | 1.07(0.51-2.26)          | 0.856   |
| Time Needed to Reach Health Facility | | | | |
| >30 min          | 1            |           |                            |         |
| <30 min          | 5.44(0.67-4.36) | 0.114     | 0.34(0.14-0.83) *         | 0.017   |
| Knew Cause       |              |           |                            |         |
| Yes              | 1            |           |                            |         |
| No               | 1.15(0.29-4.57) | 0.845     | 0.90(0.44-1.87)          | 0.784   |
| Knew Signs & Symptom | | | | |
| Yes              | 1            |           |                            |         |
| No               | 0.32(0.09-1.13) | 0.50      | 0.6(0.36-0.98) *         | 0.046   |
| Knew Prevention  |              |           |                            |         |
| Yes              | 1            |           |                            |         |
| No               | 2.17(0.35-13.40) | 0.406     | 2.81(0.78-10.45)        | 0.113   |

Note: *= p<0.05

Discussion

A majority (91.8 %) of the respondents have mentioned that malaria is transmitted by mosquito bite, which was similar with studies from India [19], Ghana [20], and Colombia [21]. However, some of them had misconceptions like hunger, eating unclean food, drinking dirty water, and eating immature sugar cane would cause malaria. The sub-zonal branch of the ministry of health in collaboration with the national malaria control program should work to change those false believes about the causes of malaria through community health education. In this study no association between educational level of the participants and knowledge on causes and transmission of malaria. This may be due to community sensitization gaining momentum towards the sustainable development goal-3 in the community of May-Aynee administration. Similar finding was reported by a study from Ethiopia [22].

A good knowledge of respondents on the frequent signs and symptoms of malaria was reported in the present study. Fever, shivering/chills, headache, joint pain, and vomiting were stated as the cardinal signs of malaria. Fever was the predominantly (84%) recognized symptom of malaria in this study, consistent with
studies from India [19], Uganda [23], and Kenya [24]. This may be
due to the existence of high malaria infections since long time
in the area. Sensitization of the community at different occasions
by health workers or CHA could also have had significant role in
awareness rising of the community.

Regarding the knowledge on prevention of malaria, all study
participants mentioned that malaria is preventable, similar to a
study from Ghana (92.3%) [20]. Majority (Table 3) of the study
participants knew the correct practices of malaria prevention
at home. Insecticide treated net (ITN) was stated the most effective
preventive methods of malaria, similar to other studies [25,26].
Advocacy on timely delivery and proper usage of ITNs together
with practices on environmental management surrounding houses
can serve as effective reinforcement on community’s knowledge
regarding malaria prevention.

Most of the respondents (97.1%) considered malaria as a serious
disease. This could be due to severity of malaria and its impact in
our study area. A significant number of the participants stated that children and pregnant mothers are at higher risk of malaria that
prompt treatment is crucial for their survival. However, studies in
Colombia and Uganda reported that only a small proportion felt
malaria as a serious and life-threatening condition, with 45.8%
of Colombians and 44.6% of Ugandans considering it as a normal
disease [21,23]. In this study, a significant association was found
between perceptions of the study subjects on seriousness of malaria
with occupation (p < 0.05) (Table 5). Farmers and businessmen
thought that malaria was not serious. This may be due to lack of
knowledge attributed to limited access to information as compared
with government employees.

Ninety percent of the present study participants, who had
experienced signs and symptoms of malaria six months prior the
study, have visited health facility for consultation. This is much
higher compared to other studies, only 38.5% in Nigerians [27]
and 54.2% in Ghanaians [20] have sought treatment from a health
facility. Timing of treatment seeking behavior (<24hrs), among
subject experienced signs/symptoms of malaria was very low
(22.4%). One of the main challenges in seeking treatment on time
was distance to reach the health facilities. People had to travel long
distances to get treatment, because there were only few number of
government health facilities. Distance from health facility (P<0.05),
educational level and knowledge on signs and symptoms of malaria
(P<0.05) were found having significant association with early
treatment seeking behavior. Although the knowledge and attitude of
the residents in May-Aynee administration was adequate, practices
of early treatment seeking behavior of malaria was low. This also
could be due to community’s inability to distinguish malaria’s
symptoms with other similar diseases such as dengue fever and
other febrile illness in the first two days of onset of the illness.
Similar findings were reported by studies from rural Ethiopia [22]
and Myanmar [28].

Needless to mention, a small proportion (5.1%) of the respondents used traditional remedies like Aloe Vera, Teketater,
eating bitter food and others to treat malaria. This is similar to a
study from Myanmar [28], where 7.3% sought treatment from
traditional healer as well as a friend or relative. Another study from
Ghana [20] revealed that some of the respondents used Neem or
Pawpaw, bark of Mahogany trees to treat malaria at their home.
However, in Nigeria, a large proportion (61.5%) of study participants
preferred to seek traditional healers for being not expensive
[27]. Therefore, an understanding of community’s knowledge and
practice regarding malaria prevention and treatment are important
in assessing the situation before designing intervention projects.

Limitation

For the reason of limited budget, we did not conduct large
household sample size besides of the distance from the workplace
of the researchers.

Conclusion

It is evident that communities’ knowledge, attitudes and
practices about malaria often remains unobserved during malaria
control efforts. Knowledge on causes, signs and symptoms and
prevention aspects of malaria was found to be high, but early
treatment seeking behavior within the recommended time was
low. Some misconceptions were also prevalent among the residents
of May-Aynee administration. Further, the distance to reach health
facilities was an impediment to seeking treatment promptly.
Thus, effective health promotion and education activities should
be implemented to increase the likelihood of timely treatment
of malaria. Simultaneously, efforts should be made to reduce the
distance between home and health care facilities. This can also be
done by increasing the number of health facilities.
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