Colon biopsies for evaluation of acute graft-versus-host disease (A-GVHD) in allogeneic bone marrow transplant patients
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Abstract

Background: Proper histomorphological interpretation of intestinal acute graft versus host disease (A-GVHD) associated with allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (BMT) is critical for clinical management. However, studies methodically evaluating different histomorphological features of A-GVHD are rare.

Methods: Colonic biopsies from 44 allogeneic BMT patients having biopsy-proven cutaneous A-GVHD were compared with colon biopsies from 48 negative controls.

Results: A-GVHD showed intra-cryptal apoptosis in 91% and pericryptal apoptosis in adjacent lamina propria in 70% (p < 0.002). Nonspecific apoptosis along the surface epithelium was observed in all groups with comparable frequency. The number of apoptotic cells in mucosa were approximately four times (5.3 per 10 HPF) the negative controls (p < 0.002) in A-GVHD group. 48% of cases with A-GVHD showed decreased number of lymphocytes in lamina propria. Some features, including intraepithelial lymphocytes in surface or crypt epithelium; and neutrophils, eosinophils, and edema in lamina propria, did not demonstrate significant difference in A-GVHD and negative controls. Pericryptal apoptosis, dilated crypts, irregular distribution of crypts, decreased lymphocytes, increased microvessel network, focal fibrosis, presence of muciphages, reactive changes in surface epithelium with mucin depletion, mucosal ulceration, and/or reduced mucosal thickness showed higher association with A-GVHD group.

Conclusions: Intracyptal apoptosis is a reliable indicator of A-GVHD. Its diagnostic significance was improved if intracyptal apoptosis was associated with features which were observed more frequently in A-GVHD group as mentioned above.
Background

Acute graft versus host disease (A-GVHD) is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality following allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (BMT). It frequently involves skin, intestinal tract, and liver. The incidence of A-GVHD after allogeneic BMT ranges from 30% to 60% with skin as the most commonly affected organ often accompanied by intestinal and / or liver involvement [1–4]. Intestinal A-GVHD may manifest as abdominal cramps, ileus, profuse diarrhea, and sometimes bleeding. However, these symptoms are not specific and include broad differential diagnoses such as chemotherapy effect, radiation therapy effect, infections, and side effects of other drugs [5–9]. Furthermore, the histomorphological features of these conditions overlap significantly with A-GVHD. Due to this, interpretation of colonic biopsies for intestinal A-GVHD may be a major diagnostic challenge especially for those not conversant with these findings [10]. Only a few studies have statistically evaluated the diagnostic significance of some histopathological features [6]. A-GVHD is treated with immunosuppression which may worsen an underlying infective condition. Thus it is extremely important to diagnose these conditions correctly for proper management.

In addition to other histopathological features described in association with A-GVHD, significance of apoptosis, crypt abscess, and crypt loss has been emphasized [4,11–15]. However, the distribution pattern of apoptosis in colonic mucosa in A-GVHD has not been methodically evaluated. Similarly the term 'crypt abscesses' has been used previously in A-GVHD, although it appears to be a misnomer as discussed later. This may lead to confusion with 'crypt abscess' of inflammatory bowel disease. 'Crypt abscess' and 'cryptitis' associated with inflammatory bowel diseases, not been evaluated in intestinal A-GVHD previously, were specifically studied to confirm the misnomer status.

We retrospectively analyzed various histomorphological features in 44 colon biopsies from BMT patients with cutaneous A-GVHD and diarrhea. These were compared with 48 colon biopsies from negative control groups. The goal of this study was to systematically evaluate the diagnostic reliability of various histomorphological features with reference to intestinal A-GVHD.

Methods

Group Definitions

The colonic biopsies from 92 patients were evaluated retrospectively using archival material and were divided into three categories (group A- 44, group B- 27, and group C- 21). Group A included BMT cases with biopsy proven cutaneous A-GVHD. All cases in group A were allogeneic BMT and none received peripheral blood stem cell or cord blood transplantation. They received the same conditioning regimen (cyclophosphamide [Cytoxan], cytosine arabinoside, and total body irradiation). The type of A-GVHD prophylaxis used was post-transplantation cyclosporin A. Group B and C included negative controls.

Group A

Consisted of 44 patients (25 males and 19 females, 19 to 59 years of age, mean 41.6 years) with diarrhea and biopsy proven cutaneous A-GVHD. In this group, intestinal A-GVHD was strongly favored, in the absence of other etiologies such as CMV colitis and NSAIDs [2,4,7,9,12,16,17]. CMV colitis cases were excluded morphologically based on the presence of CMV cytopathic effect or CMV immunohistochemistry. The cases with suspicious features such as ill defined cytopathic changes and ischemic features were also automatically excluded without performing CMV immunohistochemistry to avoid possibility of inclusion of cases with CMV. These 44 colon biopsies were performed 21 to 100 day post-BMT (median 35 days) prior to the commencement of treatment for A-GVHD and within 15 days of A-GVHD positive skin biopsy for investigating their diarrhea.

The underlying indications for BMT in these 44 cases in group A are shown in Table 1. The distribution of cases with reference to the grading of cutaneous A-GVHD included, 20 cases with grade I, 13 with grade II, 5 with grade III, and 6 with grade IV. Published clinical and histological criteria were used to define cutaneous and intestinal A-GVHD [12–14,18,19]. Grading of A-GVHD was not attempted in colon biopsies.

Group B

(negative control I): Included 27 patients (13 males and 14 females, 20 to 77 years of age, mean 51.5 years) without immunodeficiency, BMT, or any other transplantation. They had non-specific GI symptoms like diarrhoea and abdominal cramps without inflammatory bowel disease. The histopathology was negative for any diagnostic pathology with nonspecific changes or normal colonic mucosa.

Group C

(negative control II): Included 21 kidney transplant patients (14 males and 7 females, 46 to 75 years of age, mean 60.3 years) with non-specific GI symptoms like diarrhea and guaiac positive stool. The sections of colon biopsies were histopathologically negative for diagnostic pathology. These patients in group C were under maintenance immunosuppressive therapy with cyclosporine or tacrolimus, prednisonse, and mycophenalate mofetil. This group was included to study if any of the histomorphological features evaluated in this study have significant
relationship with transplantation in general including effect of immunosuppressive therapy.

Subclinical A-GVHD in the absence of cutaneous A-GVHD or gastrointestinal symptoms is known [4,10]. Because of this reason a fourth hypothetical control group of biopsies from BMT patient without A-GVHD though desired was considered impractical, as various criteria under scrutiny in this study will be deciding if those biopsies would have to be considered negative for A-GVHD. Other negative control group desired would be colonic biopsies from the cases undergoing all the therapy components of BMT including cytoreductive therapy but without BMT. However, such a group would also be impractical during any clinical study due to obvious ethical limitations. Autologous BMT case without cyclosporine induced GVHD or viral infection would have been ideal control [28,29]. However, due to limited number of cases in this group we could not include such a group.

Histopathological Analysis

Various histological features important for the differential diagnosis of A-GVHD were selected after review of literature [12–14]. All H&E stained sections were evaluated qualitatively or semi-quantitatively for histomorphological features shown in table 2.

The mucosal thicknesses (Table 2, A) from the surface epithelium to the internal limit of muscularis mucosa in three places were measured in the area of least thickness and mean was calculated. Thickness > 500 microns was considered within normal limits [20]. Cryptitis and crypt abscess were defined respectively as infiltration of crypt epithelium with neutrophils and presence of neutrophils in crypt lumen (Table 2, F). Five or fewer intraepithelial lymphocytes per 100 epithelial cells in surface and crypt lining were considered to be within normal limits (Table 2, G and H) [21]. We classified 1–5 extravascular neutrophils per 20 inflammatory cells in the lamina propria (LP) as mild increase and more than five as marked increase (Table 2, L). Macrophages with mucin as faintly basophilic foamy cytoplasm in H&E stained sections were identified as muciphages. Five or more muciphages in LP per 10 crypts were considered significant (Table 2, P). Presence of five or more endothelial lined blood spaces larger than 50 microns for every 10 crypts was regarded as increased microvessel network (Table 2, Q). Apoptosis (defined as single cell death) was indentified in H&E stained sections as apoptotic cells with scattered karyorrhectic basophilic globular intracytoplasmic debris of ap-
optotic bodies (Figure 1). Apoptotic cells were counted in 10 high power field (HPF) at 40 × magnification with a field diameter of 0.35 mm. Mitotic figures were counted per 10 crypts (Table 3).

Statistical Analysis
Each group was evaluated for the frequency of various histomorphological features shown in table 2. The findings in group A were compared with groups B and C using Fisher's exact test (Table 2). Number of apoptotic cells and mitotic figures in group A were compared with those in groups B and C using Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test (Table 3). In order to compensate for the multiple testing and thus to avoid imparting spurious significance, we used a stringent significance level of 0.002 for each test. This was based on the Bonferroni correction factor for multiple testing to achieve an overall significance level of 5% [22]. Histomorphological features with statistically significant differences were separated for further analysis (Table 4).

Results
The comparative frequency of various histomorphological features observed in all the three groups is shown in table 2. Apoptosis in crypt lining was associated with higher frequency in A-GVHD with statistically significant difference (Table 3 and 4). Other features observed more often in A-GVHD with statistically significant differences as compared to the negative control groups included (Table 2 and 4, Figure 2): pericryptal apoptosis adjacent to the crypts in LP, crypt abnormalities (including crypt size variation, crypt dilatation and irregular crypt distribution),

Figure 1
Colonic biopsy with GVHD. A. Colonic mucosa with apoptotic bodies in crypts. Inset- Crypts show many apoptotic bodies (for higher magnification of the crypt with arrow see figure 1B). B. Magnified crypt shown in the inset of figure 1A: The crypt shows “popcorn” lesions (arrows) with occasional muciphages (arrowhead) in LP.
Table 2: Comparison of histological features in group A with A-GVHD and negative controls in group B & C.

| Histological features evaluated | Frequency of the histological features in % |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                 | A-GVHD Grp A (n= 44) | Grp B (n= 27) | Grp C (n= 21) |
| A Reduced mucosal thickness     | 47.73% | 0.00%* | 0.00%* |
| B Mucosal ulceration            | 27.27% | 0.00%* | 0.00%* |
| C Reactive changes in superficial epithelium | 41.86% | 0.00%* | 0.00%* |
| D1 Size variation               | 97.73% | 29.63%* | 14.28%* |
| D2 Crypt abnormalities          | 63.64% | 3.70%* | 0.00%* |
| D3 Crypt dropout                | 30.23% | 0.00%* | 0.00%* |
| E Crypt dilatation              | 72.73% | 3.70%* | 0.00%* |
| F Cryptitis and crypt abscess   | 2.27%  | 0.00%  | 4.76%  |
| G Increased intraepithelial lymphocytes in superficial epithelium | 6.98% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| H Increased intraepithelial lymphocytes in crypts | 6.98% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| I Intraepithelial neutrophils in superficial epithelium | 9.30% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| J Intraepithelial neutrophils in crypts | 6.82% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| K1 Intraepithelial in SE        | 76.74% | 77.78% | 57.4% |
| K2 Intracryptal (“popcorn”)     | 90.91% | 11.11%* | 14.28%* |
| K3 Lamina propria, near SE     | 79.55% | 70.37% | 85.7% |
| K4 Pericryptal                 | 70.45% | 22.22%* | 4.76%* |
| L0 None                        | 59.09% | 74.07% | 57.14% |
| L1 Neutrophils in lamina propria | None | 4.55% | 18.52% |
| L2 Mild increase               | 36.36% | 11.11% | 42.85% |
| M0 Eosinophils in lamina propria | Few to none | 100% | 96.30% |
| M1 many                        | 0.00%  | 3.70%  | 0.00%  |
| N Focal periglandular infiltrate | 6.98% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| O1 Edema in lamina propria     | 86.36% | 88.88% | 28.57% |
| O2 Focal                       | 4.55%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  |

* denotes significance compared to negative controls.
reactive changes in LP (including reduced mucosal thickness, muciphages in LP, increased microvessel network, and focal fibrosis), and reactive changes in the surface epithelium with mucin depletion.

Apoptosis in crypt lining was observed in 91% of group A cases with A-GVHD and demonstrated statistically significant difference as compared to negative control group B and C (p < 0.002, Fisher’s exact test) (Table 2 K2, Figure 1). In group A, 70% of cases showed apoptotic cells in LP around the crypts as compared to 22% in group B and 5% in group C (p < 0.002) (Table 2 K4). Apoptosis in the surface epithelium did not show any significant difference between the three groups (Table 2 K1). Apoptotic bodies in LP adjacent to the surface epithelium were also nonspecific and did not show significant difference between the three groups (Table 2 K3). An average 5.3 apoptotic cells per 10 HPF were observed in group A, in contrast to only 1.25 in group B and 1.42 in group C (p < 0.002, Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test) (Table 3).

**Table 2: Comparison of histological features in group A with A-GVHD and negative controls in group B & C. (Continued)**

|        | Muciphages in lamina propria | 43.18% | 0.00%* | 4.76%* |
|--------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Q      | Increased microvessel network in lamina propria | 61.36% | 0.00%* | 0.00%* |
| R      | Clusters of enterochromaffin cells | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| S1     | Fibrosis in lamina propria | Focal | 47.73% | 0.00%* | 0.00%* |
| S2     | Fibrosis in lamina propria | Diffuse | 2.27% | 0.00% | 0.00% |

n, total number of cases in a group; SE, surface epithelium. * Statistically significant difference with p values less than 0.002 with Fisher’s exact test when A was compared with B and C individually.

**Table 3: The comparison of frequencies of apoptotic cells and mitotic figures in colon biopsies.**

|                          | Group A | Group B | Group C |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Number of apoptotic cells (per 10 HPF, X40, FD- 0.35 mm). | Mean | 5.29* | 1.25* | 1.42* |
|                         | Range | 1 to 50 | 0 to 3 | 1 to 3 |
|                         | SD    | 8.49    | 0.90   | 0.60   |
| Number of mitotic figures in crypt lining (per 10 crypts). | Mean | 2.65    | 1.88   | 2.28   |
|                         | Range | 1 to 10 | 1 to 5 | 1 to 7 |
|                         | SD    | 1.98    | 1.01   | 1.80   |

SD, standard deviation; HPF, high power field, FD, field diameter. *Statistically significant differences between Group A / B and Group A / C respectively. The p values calculated by Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test were less than 0.002.

Intraepithelial lymphocytes and neutrophils in the surface epithelium and the crypt epithelium did not show statistically significant difference in A-GVHD group and negative controls (Table 2, G,H,I,J). Neutrophils, eosinophils, and edema in LP were nonspecific and were observed with comparable frequencies in all the three categories (Table 2, L,M,O). The number of mitotic figures in crypt lining did not show statistically significant difference between the three groups (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Some of the histological features of A-GVHD in colonic biopsies have been studied and reported previously [6,11,14,23]. But they have not been evaluated together as collective features with methodical statistical analysis. Crypt cell apoptosis has been described as a significant finding in intestinal A-GVHD. Usually this is focal in nature and results in membrane bound debris of apoptotic bodies in the crypts. This phenomenon has been labeled variously as "exploding crypt cells" [13,24], "karyolytic body [1,12,14], "apoptotic body" [1,12], "granular necrosis", [2] and "popcorn lesions" [2,13] (Figure 1). Though
this lesion is not specific for A-GVHD, it is a cardinal feature as demonstrated by experimental production of intestinal A-GVHD in the Rhesus monkey [14,25]. It is a valuable diagnostic feature in the absence of infections especially CMV [2,4,7,9,12,16,17]. Other critical differential diagnoses include effects of radiation-chemotherapy [12,13] and effects of other drugs such as NSAIDs [7].

A higher number of apoptotic bodies were observed in A-GVHD than in negative controls (Table 3). The distribution pattern of apoptosis in colon biopsies with A-GVHD has not been evaluated previously with statistical analysis. In this study, a statistically significant difference was noted when the distribution of apoptotic bodies in crypts and around the crypts was compared with those in surface epithelium and adjacent to the surface epithelium.

The frequency of apoptotic bodies in surface epithelium and adjacent LP in all the three groups were comparable and did not show statistically significant difference (Table 2, K₁ and K₃). The presence of apoptosis in surface epithelium or in adjacent LP is nonspecific. In the absence of apoptosis in the crypts, presence of apoptosis along the surface epithelium does not have any diagnostic significance for A-GVHD. The cause of apoptosis along the surface epithelium is physiological turnover with loss from the surface epithelium and the replacement by proliferation of cells in the crypts. Variety of non-specific factors may accelerate this with increased number of apoptotic cells along the surface epithelium.

As compared to the negative controls (group B- 11% and group C- 14%), crypt apoptosis was frequent in A-GVHD- 91% with statistically significant difference (Table 2 K₂). With proper clinical history, the diagnosis of A-GVHD can be suggested if apoptosis is observed in and around the crypts. Association of cryptal apoptosis with other features (Table 4) should increase the diagnostic accuracy. These associations included reactive changes in the surface epithelium, increased muciphages, reduced mucosal thickness, focal fibrosis in LP, irregular distribution of crypts, crypt dilatation, and crypt size variation (Table 4). However, some of these features may be secondary to cytoreductive therapy and other components of BMT. In general, the effects of cytoreductive therapy are not observed after 20 days [12]. Under proper clinical situation,
their association with apoptosis in crypts and pericryptal apoptosis in LP is consistent with A-GVHD in BMT. Further evaluation of these features in relation to individual components of BMT protocols may be conducted as animal experiments with proper controls. As mentioned under materials and methods, such evaluation would be impractical as clinical study due to obvious ethical limitations in organizing proper controls.

Patients with cutaneous and hepatic A-GVHD frequently have apoptosis in their colon biopsies, without any intestinal symptoms [4]. This may represent sub-clinical intestinal A-GVHD if the apoptosis is observed in relation to the crypts [10]. If other findings (Table 4) are present in the absence of crypt apoptosis, it may represent evidence of past A-GVHD or due to other etiologic factors such as chronic infections and inflammatory bowel disease.

As noted in this study, classical cryptitis with infiltration of crypt-lining by neutrophils and crypt abscesses with neutrophils within crypt lumens observed in inflammatory bowel disease were not features of intestinal A-GVHD (Table 2, F). 'Cryptitis' has been described in association with A-GVHD, but these studies do not define the term [6,12,13]. Although reference had been made to crypt abscesses in these reports, it is evident that the researchers were looking at the apoptotic debris in gland lumina and suggested 'crypt abscess-like' changes [14]. In our study, only one case (2.7%) from group A with A-GVHD showed occasional crypt abscess (Table 2) and this may represent a coincidental inflammatory bowel disease – like damage [26]. Mild cryptitis with a few crypt abscesses was also observed in one patient (4.76%) in group C with kidney transplantation (Table 2). Thus, classical cryptitis and crypt abscesses with infiltration of crypt lining and crypt lumen with neutrophils observed in inflammatory bowel disease is not a feature of A-GVHD.

A change, that was called focal periglandular infiltrate by Bombi et al [6], was observed by us in three (6.9%) cases of A-GVHD involving only one or two crypts (Table 2, N). Sale and colleagues described a comparable feature with "focal increase in density of lymphocytes, plasma cells, and occasional eosinophils in the LP" [13]. This finding was suggested as a marker for apoptosis favoring the clinical diagnosis of A-GVHD [6]. They have suggested that the presence of focal periglandular infiltrate warrants a careful search for cryptal apoptosis. We did not observe this finding in negative control groups B and C.

The clusters of enterochromaffin cells in A-GVHD have been reported previously [25,27]. They have been described in association with apoptosis, suggesting their presence as the result of selective sparing of enterochromaffin elements during the process of A-GVHD. We did not observe them in H&E stained sections (Table 2, R).

**Conclusions**

Apoptosis in crypt lining and pericryptal apoptosis adjacent to crypts in LP, especially when associated with the features such as crypt abnormalities and reactive changes in mucosa (LP and surface epithelium) favor the diagnosis of A-GVHD. Cryptitis and crypt abscesses are not feature of A-GVHD and should be differentiated from "popcorn
lesions”, which show apoptotic cells instead of neutrophils along the crypt lining and in the crypt lumen.
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