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Abstract

Glutamate is a nonessential amino acid, a major bioenergetic substrate for proliferating normal and neoplastic cells, and an excitatory neurotransmitter that is actively involved in biosynthetic, bioenergetic, metabolic, and oncogenic signaling pathways. Glutamate signaling activates a family of receptors consisting of metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) and ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs), both of which have been implicated in chronic disabling brain disorders such as Schizophrenia and neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, and multiple sclerosis. In this review, we discuss the structural and functional relationship of mGluRs and iGluRs and their downstream signaling pathways. The three groups of mGluRs, the associated second messenger systems, and subsequent activation of PI3K/Akt, MAPK, NFkB, PLC, and Ca/CaM signaling systems will be discussed in detail. The current state of human mGluR1a as one of the most important isoforms of Group I-mGluRs will be highlighted. The lack of studies on the human orthologues of mGluR family will be outlined. We conclude that upon further study, human glutamate-initiated signaling pathways may provide novel therapeutic opportunities for a variety of non-malignant and malignant human diseases.
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Introduction

Research into the amino acid glutamate (Glu) and its role in neurotransmission and disease has a long history spanning over four decades. Recognized as the predominant excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system (CNS), a byproduct of glutamine (Gln) deamination, a critical step in nitrogen metabolism and a source of energy for rapidly dividing cells, this non-essential amino acid has been well-studied for its role in cellular homeostasis [1].

In the nervous system, Glu is the primary fast excitatory neurotransmitter participating in a wide range of neural functions such as learning and memory, long-term potentiation and synaptic plasticity [2, 3]. The early observation that increasing amounts of Glu can cause excitotoxicity and neural cell death opened the door to a whole field of research implicating Glu toxicity in neurodegenerative diseases (NDDs). In depth study of Glu signaling mechanisms has facilitated the development of treatments for Glu-related NDDs such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease and multiple sclerosis [4]. Most recently, Glu signaling has been implicated in cellular transformation and cancer progression in multiple organs such as brain, skin, breast and prostate [5].

Beginning with the many and varied Glu receptors (GluRs), Glu signaling has been studied extensively in normal and disease states. GluRs are divided into two families: ionotropic (iGluR) and metabotropic (mGluR) (reviewed in [6, 7]). Further, the ionotropic receptor family includes the
N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA), α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA), and kainate receptor subfamilies – so named for the chemical agonist that selectively binds to the subfamily members. The iGluR subfamilies all share a common voltage-gated ion channel function. Metabotropic GluRs, on the contrary, are G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) containing the classic seven transmembrane domain structure and initiate signaling cascades or cation influx upon Glu binding. Based on sequence homology, pharmacology and second messenger associations, mGluRs are further categorized into the group I, II and III subfamilies [8]. Although iGluR signaling plays a critical role in neural function and has been shown in a few cases to play a role in cancer progression, for the purposes of this review, we will provide a cursory discussion of iGluRs while focusing more on the detail of Glu-mGluR signaling mechanisms.

The ionotropic GluRs: Basic functions and implications in disease states

In general, all iGluRs are quaternary ligand-gated ion channels that allow cation influx upon Glu binding [7]. Each of the four subunits that comprise the channel share a similar structure of four well-conserved domains including the extracellular amino-terminal (ATD), ligand-binding (LBD), transmembrane (TMD) and intracellular carboxy-terminal (CTD) domains (Figure 1A). Glu or agonist interaction with the LBD results in a conformation shift which changes the angle of the TMD regions, opening a pore in the membrane where influx of sodium, potassium and/or calcium occurs (Figure 1B). Interestingly, the NMDA family of iGluRs can also be activated by voltage gating and are often found paired with mGluRs. If enough iGluRs are stimulated simultaneously, high concentrations of cation influx will result in an action potential – the fastest type of excitatory synaptic transmission throughout the central and peripheral nervous systems (CNS, PNS) and the retina [7]. After the signal is received by the target cell, excitatory amino acid transporters (EAATs; also known as glutamate transporters) expressed on postsynaptic and supporting glial cells are tasked with emptying the synaptic cleft of Glu to effectively turn off the signal and reset the system for generation and propagation of new action potentials [7].

Prolonged stimulation of the iGluRs and other post-synaptic signaling components results in a phenomenon called excitotoxicity – a major contributor to NDDs and nervous system injuries [9]. Cells associated with trauma or disease release Glu, stimulating further Glu release by normal signaling mechanisms, and the resulting excessive Ca\(^{2+}\) influx leads to aberrant over-activation of proteases and caspases [4, 10]. Since EAATs require normal membrane voltage to function, they are not able to overcome the bombardment of cations caused by the overstimulation of iGluRs and apoptosis can occur, further exacerbating the injury and/or contributing to disease onset and progression [11, 12]. In fact, ischemia and hypoxia after CNS injury results in such a buildup of Glu in the synaptic cleft that depolarization and EAAT activity is inhibited leading to paralysis. Both NMDA and AMPA receptor subfamily members have been implicated in excitotoxicity after nervous system trauma [13].

---

**Fig 1. iGluR structure and activation.** iGluRs share the same basic structure: Beginning at the N terminus (N), the amino terminal domain (ATD) is followed by the ligand binding domain (LBD), the four transmembrane domains (TMD; numbered 1-4) and the C-terminal domain (CTD). Panel A illustrates the native resting conformation of iGluR. Only two subunits are shown, but the entire molecule consists of four subunits which are arranged in such a way as to create a channel through the plasma membrane. Upon Glu binding (Panel B), a conformational change in TMD domain 1 causes opening of the channel where Ca\(^{2+}\) passes into the cell by diffusion.
Overstimulation of Glu signaling via iGluRs has been shown to contribute to NDDs [13]. It is clear that reactive oxygen species (ROS) and overstimulation of calcium-dependent signaling molecules is responsible, at least in part, for neuronal cell death by a similar mechanism as outlined for nervous system trauma [9]. Research into exactly which iGluRs are involved in disease onset and progression has led to treatments with varying success rates (reviewed in [4, 14]).

The metabotropic GluRs are atypical G-protein coupled receptors

The mGluR superfamily consists of 12 members encoded by eight genes (reviewed in [15]). This is accomplished by multiple alternative splicing products produced by some mGluR genes, while others encode only one (Figure 2). For example, mGluR1 encodes five isoforms while there is only one isoform of mGluR2. Within the superfamily, the three aforementioned subgroups (I, II and III) emerge based on amino acid sequence homology, agonist binding pharmacology and the second messenger system that is activated upon Glu binding to each receptor (see [8] for a review). Activation of Group I mGluRs (mGluR1 and 5) and coupled Gq G-proteins initiates signaling cascades involving Phospholipase C/Inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate/diacylglycerol (PLC/IP3/DAG). Groups II and III both reduce cAMP levels by signaling through the inhibitory G-protein, Gi. Group II receptors include mGluR2 and 3 while Group III includes mGluR4, 6, 7 and 8 (Figure 2A). Within each group, amino acid sequence homologies are more than of 70%, while between groups, homology is only, on average, 45% (Figure 3).

All mGluRs share a basic architecture (Figure 4 and 5). At the N-terminus exists a large bi-lobed extracellular domain known as the amino terminal domain (ATD), which in the protein crystallography literature is also referred to as the venus fly trap domain (VFT) because of its unique shape [16]. Following the ATD is a cysteine-rich domain (CRD) which is critical for dimerization and activation [17]. C-terminal to the CRD, the classic seven alpha-helical transmembrane domains (TMD) shared by all GPCRs are found and finally, an intracellular cytoplasmic tail domain (CTD) (reviewed in [18]). The receptor isoforms are divergent in structure within the CTD (Figure 5). Some isoforms of the Group I mGluRs (mGluR1a and 5a) contain a very long proline-rich domain which has been studied for its intricate protein-protein interactions and complex formations [19]. This domain, called the Homer1 binding motif, facilitates coupling of mGluRs with NMDA receptors among other proteins and will be described in detail below.
Fig 3. Amino acid sequence alignment of the eight mGluR genes. In this analysis, the “a” isoform of genes encoding multiple isoforms was used for simplicity. This figure was generated using the DNAStar software suite (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI, USA) using the NCBI data base. NCBI accession numbers: mGluR1a = NP_000829.2, mGluR2 = EAW65150.1, mGluR3 = NP_000831.2, mGluR4 = NP_000832.1, mGluR5a = NP_001137303.1, mGluR6 = AAB82068.1, mGluR7a = EAW63933.1, and mGluR8a = EAW83621.1. Colored blocks indicate the following domains: Green, amino-terminal domain (ATD); blue, cysteine-rich domain (CRD); yellow, transmembrane-spanning domains (TMD); red, carboxy-terminal domain (CTD).
Aside from the TMD and G-protein coupling, mGluRs are highly dissimilar at the structural and functional levels to any other classical GPCR groups studied to date and are therefore referred to as atypical GPCRs. In general, GPCRs are organized into five groups and virtually all of them belong to groups A, B, D, and E. mGluRs, GABA receptors, two groups of taste receptors, and a Ca²⁺-sensing receptor (CaSRs) class belong to group C—a clearly divergent group based on amino acid sequence, size and structure [20, 21]. Interestingly, CaSR receptors are not sensitive to Glu or mGluR agonists, but share amino acid identity of 28% with Group I mGluRs [22]. CaSRs are mainly involved in Ca²⁺ sensing and homeostasis in the parathyroid gland and detection of L-amino acid levels [20]. Group C GPCRs diverge from other GPCR groups in a number of ways [23]. First, both mGluRs and CaSRs form homodimers in vivo through disulfide bridging between CRDs and this event is critical for function [17, 24, 25]. In addition, unlike other GPCRs which typically have a short extracellular ligand binding domain, the ATD is a large two-lobed structure allowing for Glu binding between the two lobes (Figure 4). The ATD in human mGluRs is, on average, 56 kDa (470-510 amino acids) which is far larger than the extracellular domain of other GPCRs that usually measure about 5 kDa, or 50 amino acids and correspond in size with ligand size [26]. For example, GPCRs which are activated by small molecules such as cations generally have a very small extracellular loop, while larger ligands require a larger LBD.

This does not hold true for Group C GPCRs since
Ca²⁺ and Glu are small molecules, indeed. The ATD is related to bacterial periplasmic amino acid binding proteins, the structure of which has been extensively modeled and provides an excellent basis for models of the mGluR ATD [27]. Interestingly, some allosteric modifiers do not bind to mGluRs in Glu-binding pocket within the ATD. Instead, they typically bind to the small loop structure connecting the ATD to the TMD or within the TMD itself which is similar to activation of other GPCRs [25]. Reports have suggested that Ca²⁺ (which can activate both mGluRs and CaSRs) and L-amino acids (which can activate CaSR signaling) may bind to the extracellular loops connecting the seven transmembrane domains, further separating Group C GPCRs from the other groups [28, 29]. Finally, despite the canonical role of the third intracellular loop (i3; Figure 4) in G-protein activation, Group C GPCRs appear to use the second loop for this function (i2; [30-33]). The downstream signaling effects of Glu binding and the pharmacology pharmacodynamics of agonist/antagonist binding to mGluRs has been studied extensively due to this receptor group’s clear implications in both benign and malignant disease progression.

mGluRs are localized throughout the CNS and PNS and have been shown to play a role in homeostasis in many organ systems [34]. Groups II and III receptors are generally found on presynaptic cells in the nervous system which correlates well with their function in regulating ion channel opening, while Group I receptors are generally found on postsynaptic cells ([35] and reviewed in [4]). This is not a rule, however, since all three receptor groups have been found on both pre- and postsynaptic cells. With regards to non-neural tissues, mGluRs have been found in all of the major organ systems including epithelium, connective and muscle tissues. More specifically, one or more mGluRs are expressed in the gastrointestinal system, immune system, genitourinary tract, bone, and hepatosplenic system (reviewed in [6]). In many cases, studies have established a functional role for mGluRs in organ homeostasis.

**Structure and function of the mGluR1 gene**

The first member of the human mGluR gene superfamily, mGluR1 (sometimes referred to as the Glutamate receptor metabotropic 1; GRM1) encodes five alternatively spliced isoforms (Figure 5). A complete literature review on this gene is stymied by multiple confusing nomenclatures that have been used interchangeably throughout the decades. For example, the first isoform of mGluR1 is synonymously referred to as the following: GRM1a, mGluR1a, mGluR1α, GPRC1a, and hmGlu1a. Surprisingly, a search for any of the synonyms excludes articles using the others, necessitating many searches to ensure completeness in collecting reliable and informative data in a review on this topic. We will use the simplest nomenclature which is the most utilized in recent studies, mGluR1a, for this review and will always be referring to the Homo sapiens gene unless explicitly noted. Adding to this complexity is the fact that many of the studies on the mGluR gene family have been performed using orthologues from the Norway rat, Rattus norvegicus. In fact, a large body of experimental data exists on the pharmacology, expression, mutational analysis and drug targeting of rodent mGluR1s. This phenomenon is so pervasive that it is difficult to find a study in the last decade that has used the human gene or protein. The rodent orthologues have certainly facilitated our understanding of mGluR signaling, but their translational value when interpreted in the context of non-malignant or malignant human diseases may remain questionable – at least in some cases.

Many of the initial studies on the human mGluR1 gene cloning and isoform identification were published in the mid-1990s, before the human genome sequence became available and was regularly annotated with newly published information [36-41]. As a result, despite numerous publications on the human mGluR1c and mGluR1d isoforms, there are no references to them in the NCBI human genome database at either the mRNA or protein levels. A nucleotide BLAST search with rat mGluR1d found similarity with the human mGluR1 and mGluR5 precursor gene sequences, but since isoforms c and d were not annotated in the human genome databases, and human mGluR1c and mGluR1d do not exist there as either mRNA or protein sequences. This issue is highlighted by the fact that annotation of isoforms a, b, c and d exists for the Rattus norvegicus and Mus musculus homologues of mGluR1 in the NCBI databases while the human gene shows annotation of only isoforms a and b.

The rat mGluR1a protein sequence is 94% identical to the human mGluR1a protein at the amino acid level (Figure 6) and is certainly an excellent model for studies on mGluR1a function, however, it is a detriment to the field that genes with such a critical role in many organ systems, in NDDs and in benign and malignant diseases have been far better characterized with modern bioinformatics in rodents than their human orthologues. Figure 6 details the percent amino acid identity when the mouse and rat proteins are compared to the human protein. This analysis was performed using William Pearson’s lalign program with Huang and Miller’s algorithm which can be found at http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/
LALIGN_form.html [42]. The highest homology between the mouse and rat protein and the human protein exists in the TMD, while the lowest percent identity is found in the CRD (Figure 6). Although all of the cysteine residues in the CRD are conserved, it is unclear whether or not the changes in the surrounding amino acids change the three dimensional structure of the domain or influence how it interacts with other mGluR1α proteins during homodimerization. Human mGluR1α was first expressed and characterized in vitro in 1998 by Hermans and colleagues using an inducible expression system [43, 44]. The localization (diffuse cytoplasmic), size (145 kDa) and induction of receptor activity were assayed in the common rodent model, Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells [43]. Subsequent studies have utilized this inducible rodent cell line system, known in the field as CHO-Lac-hmGlu1α. One such study noted that the induction of high levels of mGluR1α expression in CHO cells leads to a notable morphology change which is modulated by changing amounts of Ca²⁺ in the culture medium, but follow up studies in which the consequences of this change on cell motility or wound healing were investigated were not found [28].

It would be a great service to the field for a study to be undertaken in which full-length rodent and human mGluR1α are expressed in a human cell line and downstream signaling and biological assays are shown to yield identical results in side-by-side experiments. We were unable to find such a study, however, recent work by Esseltine and colleagues showed the result of human mGluR1α expression in human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells [45]. The mGluR1α protein that was expressed in in Esseltine and colleagues’ study began at amino acid 19, therefore removing the amino-terminal end of the protein to include their own localization domain [45]. Although this study confirmed that the truncated mGluR1α localized to the plasma membrane and was able to initiate downstream signaling cascades upon stimulation, no biological effects such as cell growth, migration, morphology, etc. were examined [45].

Many studies have been aimed at determining how many isoforms were produced by the mGluR1 gene and the function and localization of each (see [46, 47] for reviews). The longest isoform, and most homologous to other Group I mGluRs is mGluR1α. The shortest and least well-characterized, mGluR1ε, is truncated before the TMD, leading to the predicted expression of only the ATD (Figure 5) [46]. Follow up studies on a possible function for this isoform are not available. Excluding mGluR1ε, the human mGluR1 isoforms are virtually identical except for alternative splicing changes near the 3’ end of the mRNA which result in much smaller cytoplasmic domains at their c-termini for the mGluR1b, c and d proteins than the mGluR1α isoform (Figure 5). This difference in size is dramatic: An amino acid sequence alignment of human mGluR1α and mGluR1b are 100% identical until amino acid 886. Afterwards, a small exon containing a stop codon is incorporated in mGluR1b that is not included in mGluR1α, explaining the difference in size between the two isoforms (Figure 5). Alternative splicing for human mGluR1α results in a significantly longer protein than the other isoforms and includes the proline-rich Homer1 binding motif at the c-terminus. Figure 5 depicts a model of human mGluR1 isoforms. The extended length of the mGluR1α isoform prompts the question of why such a large cytoplasmic tail is required: Studies have shown that extensive protein-protein interactions are facili-

![Fig 4. A comparison of rodent and human mGluR1α at the amino acid level.](http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/LALIGN_form.html)
localized activation of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) release of mGluR1a/5a results in IP3 production and rapid, the Inositol triphosphate receptor (IP3R). Activation fold which brings mGluR1a/5a in close proximity to signaling where Homer1 homodimers create a sca f-f-proteins. This has been shown to be the case for PLC mGluRs to other Homer binding motif -containing proteins can form homodimers and therefore link receptor clustering within the membrane through its scaffolding activity (Figure 4). Further, Homer1 proteins can form homodimers and therefore link mGluRs to other Homer binding motif-containing proteins. This has been shown to be the case for PLC signaling where Homer1 homodimers create a scaffold which brings mGluR1a/5a in close proximity to the Inositol triphosphate receptor (IP3R). Activation of mGluR1a/5a results in IP3 production and rapid, localized activation of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) release through IP3R – the speed of which is facilitated by the close physical proximity of IP3R to active mGluR1a/5a via Homer1 scaffolding [52].

In addition to the previously described domains within the mGluR proteins, a cysteine-rich domain referred to as the 9-Cysteine domain in some studies or the Cysteine-rich domain (CRD) in others is found between the ATD and TMD (Figure 4) [24, 34]. This domain, unique to group C GPCRs, has been crystal- lized and studied in Rat group II and III mGluRs. This short domain (only 69 amino acids in mGluR1) consists of three β-pleated sheets and nine cysteine residues – all nine of which are very well-conserved in the other mGluR proteins, irrespective of subgroup classifications and are also conserved in the human orthologues. Although the exact structure and function of this domain is still under investigation, it is clear from mutational analyses that certain cysteine’s are critical for receptor clustering and for facilitating the interaction between the ATD and the TMD regions during ligand binding and activation [17]. Activation of the mGluR dimer has been shown to elicit a conformational change which brings the C-terminal regions of the CRD in closer proximity to one another (represented by a * in Figure 4) and to involve a cysteine-cysteine interaction in the e2 loop of the TMD. Crystal structures have indicated that this conformation change also involves a shift in the TMD, therefore coupling the ATD with G-protein activation [25]. The mutational analyses and crystallography that was used to obtain these models were all completed using the Rat orthologues of the mGluR family members and although all of the cysteine’s in the CRD are conserved in the human protein, as shown in Figure 6, studies will need to be performed to confirm the residues of importance in the human orthologues.

**mGluR1 signaling in the nervous system**

Ligand binding to mGluR1 results in a wide array of downstream signaling effects. Ligands are diverse and include not only Glu, but also Ca\(^{2+}\) and neurotransmitters. Glu binding and aforementioned conformational changes can precipitate a number of varied signaling responses including: activation of coupled Ca\(^{2+}\), K\(^{+}\) and Na\(^{+}\) channels, activation of G\(_{q}\) and consequent downstream signaling cascades, activation of Extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) 1/2 (also known as mitogen activated protein kinase; MAPK) and retrovirus AK thymoma [AKT; also known as protein kinase B (PKB)] signaling and even stimulation of Sarcoma (Src) kinase signaling (Figure 7) [4, 15, 53-56]. Interestingly, mGluR1 differs from fellow group I mGluR family member, mGluR5, in that its activation results in a single transient rise in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) while active mGluR5 causes recurrent Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations of unknown function [57]. Each of these second messenger systems and their functions in specific tissues will be expanded upon below.

Glu stimulation of both mGluRs and iGluRs in neural cells results in increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels via differing signaling mechanisms. Unlike iGluR signaling, which directly involves the opening of an ion channel upon Glu-binding, mGluR signaling can lead to Ca\(^{2+}\) influx by indirect ion channel opening and/or by second messenger signaling systems. Homer1 binding to the Homer binding motif can re- cruit the scaffold protein, Shank, which facilitates the direct interaction of mGluRs and NMDARs resulting in Ca\(^{2+}\) influx [58]. Further, activation of PLCs by Gq11 results in the cleavage of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) into two products: the phosphate head group (IP3) and the fatty acid chains (e.g., DAG). DAG remains in the plasma membrane while IP3 diffuses freely in the cytosol and binds to its cog- nate receptor, IP3R. Each of these two products not only initiates a signaling cascade of its own but can also work cooperatively to achieve further protein activation (Figure 7). Protein kinase C (PKC) is re- cruited to the plasma membrane by cooperatively binding DAG and Ca\(^{2+}\) and is maintained there in its active state by membrane-bound receptor for activated protein kinase C proteins (e.g., receptor for activated C kinases (RACKs)). For excellent reviews on
neural PKC signaling, see [54, 59]. Moreover, active IP3R results in further cytosolic increases in Ca\(^{2+}\) through the release of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) stores from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). PKC activation plays a role in receptor desensitization through phosphorylation of mGluR1α itself, a critical mechanism allowing return to resting membrane potential after action potential propagation [60].

In addition to coupling of mGluR1 to IP3 and to NMDARs, Homer1 proteins also appear to couple active mGluR1 to PI3K, initiating signaling which has been shown to have potent effects on cell survival, metabolism, proliferation and tumorigenesis/cancer progression [61, 62]. Activated PI3K leads to the accumulation of phosphoinositide 3,4,5-triphosphate (PIP3) and consequent recruitment of pleckstrin homology (PH) domain-containing proteins such as Akt1. Native Akt1 is recruited to the membrane via its PH domain where it is subsequently phosphorylated first at serine 473 by mammalian Target of rapamycin complex 2 (mTorC2) and this event triggers a second phosphorylation at threonine 308 by phosphoinositide dependent kinase 1 (PDK1) [63]. Fully active Akt1 participates in a number of important downstream signaling events that certainly occur in neurons but are not limited to this cell type (reviewed in [64, 65]).

Akt1 and Akt2 activation by mGluR1 is not only neuroprotective but is also pro-proliferation in many neuronal cell types [61, 66, 67]. It should be noted, however, that activation of mGluR1 is also considered a pro-apoptosis signal in subsets of neural cells and in certain experimental paradigms [68] (Reviewed in [69]). Therefore, the link between survival and mGluR1 signaling may be complex. As a survival signal, Akt1 can phosphorylate Bcl2-associated death protein (BAD) resulting in BAD’s dissociation from the Bcl-2/Bcl-X complex and therefore leading to its anti-apoptotic and cell survival activities [70, 71]. In addition, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NFκB) activation following Akt1-mediated phosphorylation of IκB kinase (IKK) results in active transcription of survival and growth promoting genes [72, 73]. Further, phosphorylation of mTorC1 complex members by Akt1 results in increased translation of mRNAs required for cell growth [74] (Figure 7). Therefore, mGluR1 activation is responsible for regulating cell cycle and proliferation in many cell types. Glu signaling through NFκB and IκB kinase (IKK) has been shown to play a role in many neuronal processes including learning, memory and other processes where synaptic remodeling and plasticity are critical [75]. In addition, NFκB target genes are implicated in the growth of dendritic spines and other neural processes [76, 77]. Interestingly, not only is NFκB activated by this mechanism, but it can also be phosphorylated by PKC downstream of Gq signaling through PLC.

![Fig 7. G-protein signaling pathways activated by mGluR1a](http://www.ijbs.com) This drawing represents an overview of G-protein dependent signaling pathways that are activated upon Glu binding to mGluR1a. Following receptor activation and downstream of G-protein dissociation, the following second messenger systems are activated in the nervous system: PKB, PLCγ, PI3K/AKT/mTorC1 and 2, IP3/DAG, NFκB and CaM. Please see the text for detailed description on these signaling pathways.
Intracellular calcium signaling has been well-studied for its role in neural transmission. Active GluRs (both mGluR and iGluR families) stimulate release of intracellular calcium stores via multiple previously-discussed mechanisms. In signal transduction, calcium can act as either second messenger (when influx or release is downstream of G-protein signaling) or as direct effector of enzyme activity (where influx occurs as a direct or indirect result of ion-channel opening). As outlined above, Ca²⁺ has an established role in PLC signaling [4, 78]. Further, Ca²⁺ binds and activates calmodulin (CaM), the main second messenger that transduces Ca²⁺-related signals [79]. The binding of 4 Ca²⁺ molecules in CaM’s 4 EF-hand motifs results in the activation of Ca²⁺/CaM-dependent kinases (CaMK) and subsequent signaling has effects on learning and memory and long-term potentiation of action potentials (Figure 7) [4, 78].

G-protein independent signaling mechanisms involving mGluRs have been documented in rodents [54, 56]. Indeed, an additional consequence of active mGluRs appears to be the activation of Src tyrosine kinase and downstream messengers such as ERK [56]. The functional role for G-protein independent glutamatergic signaling clearly involves long-term potentiation in the CNS, since certain tyrosine kinase inhibitors have negative effects on this process in rats [54, 56, 80]. Studies have also demonstrated that activation of ERK/MAPK signaling in the CN5 is also critical for synaptic plasticity and memory formation – two processes that require long-term potentiation [56, 81, 82].

Canonical neural pathways involving mGluRs have been well-established by a large body of literature (see [4] for example). However, other functions for mGluR1s are suggested by work in in vitro systems. First, mGluR1α expressed in baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells inhibits adenylate cyclase (AC) activity via signaling through Gaα15, suggesting that mGluR1α can couple to inhibitory G proteins [83]. In contrast, mGluR1a in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells does not affect AC or appear to couple with Gaα15 [84]. Several overexpression models including CHO, Human embryonic kidney (HEK 293) and Xenopus oocytes demonstrated that mGluR1α can couple with Gaα to stimulate cAMP production [30, 85, 86]. Therefore, there is no established rule for which G proteins mGluR1s couple with – only that in the nervous system, they most commonly couple with G protein complex q and more specifically, Gaα11.

mGluR1 signaling in malignant diseases

A large body of work has linked mGluRs to the development and progression of cancer. Rodent mGluR1 expression is sufficient for cellular transformation of melanocytes in culture, indicating that mGluR genes can function as oncogenes [87, 88]. Furthermore, recent work has shown that not only is Glu a serum biomarker for prostate cancer aggressiveness, but also that mGluR1 is overexpressed in prostate tumors [89]. A detailed review on the involvement of mGluRs in malignant disease has been recently published by our group [90].

In addition to the described role for mGluR signaling in normal nervous system function, glutamatergic signaling through the mGluR family has been implicated in NDDs and learning and memory disorders [91]. For example, in severe autism and Alzheimer’s disease, secretion of amyloid precursor protein-α (sAPPα) is a known contributor to disease severity [92, 93]. Many reports have postulated that targeting mGluR signaling may provide symptomatic relief from Alzheimer’s and autism [90, 91, 94]. The mechanism underlying this phenomenon has not been documented as of this review, but it clearly involves antagonizing or inhibition of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway which is a known target of active mGluR signaling [91].

Concluding Remarks

Decades of studies have clearly established that glutamatergic signaling is critical for normal nervous system function. Much of the research done to characterize this complex network of receptors and second messenger systems has been performed using rodent models. This has led to a critical lack of information on the human orthologues of the mGluR family – a fact which may have far-reaching impacts on drug discovery for NDDs and other nervous system disorders as well as for anti-cancer therapies. For example, it is clear from an analysis of the literature on the crystal structure of the mGluR proteins that much of this work has been done on the rodent orthologues. In fact, when analyzing publications on this subject, a protein BLAST search is often necessary to determine the species of origin for the currently discussed peptides or proteins. The exact amino acid sequence of the human mGluR that are involved in the disulfide bridging between members of the receptor dimer are not known and are inferred from these studies. Although it is true that in the majority of cases, the information obtained from rodent crystallography may be applicable to the human proteins, however, without mutational analysis, the question will remain. Furthermore, no studies were found that provide an analysis of the rodent and human mGluR proteins expressed in the same cell line to allow a comparison between the orthologues in terms of expression level and function. Hopefully, future work will address
these issues and the field will gain insight into exactly how identical the rodent and human proteins are in terms of function and therapeutic targeting potential.
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