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Thrombotic microangiopathies assessment: mind the complement
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ABSTRACT

When faced with microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia, thrombocytopenia and organ dysfunction, clinicians should suspect thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA). The endothelial damage that leads to this histological lesion can be triggered by several conditions or diseases, hindering an early diagnosis and aetiological treatment. However, due to systemic involvement in TMA and its low incidence, an accurate early diagnosis is often troublesome. In the last few decades, major improvements have been made in the pathophysiological knowledge of TMAs such as thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP, caused by ADAMTS-13 (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with a thrombospondin Type 1 motif, member 13) deficiency) and atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome (aHUS, associated with dysregulation of the alternative complement pathway), together with enhancements in patient management due to new diagnostic tools and treatments. However, diagnosis of aHUS requires the exclusion of all the other entities that can cause TMA, delaying the introduction of terminal complement blockers, which have shown high efficacy in haemolysis control and especially in avoiding organ damage if used early. Importantly, there is increasing evidence that other forms of TMA could present overactivation of the complement system, worsening their clinical progression. This review addresses the diagnostic and therapeutic approach when there is clinical suspicion of TMA, emphasizing complement evaluation as a potential tool for the inclusive diagnosis of aHUS, as well as for the improvement of current knowledge of its pathophysiological involvement in other TMAs. The development of both new complement activation biomarkers and inhibitory treatments will probably improve the management of TMA patients in the near future, reducing response times and improving patient outcomes.
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INTRODUCTION

Thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) is a histological lesion common to multiple diseases and with variable clinical presentation due to its systemic nature [1, 2]. Recently, advances have been made in the understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms involved [3, 4]. However, an accurate diagnosis and early start of aetiological treatment able to change the natural history of these serious conditions may be challenging. In addition, the development of new-generation functional and genetic studies has revealed an emerging role of the complement system—at different levels and degrees—in the pathogenesis of many of the entities that can produce TMA [5–10].

Given the high complexity of the clinical management of patients with TMA, this review attempts to shed light on the diagnostic process when there is clinical suspicion and/or historical confirmation of TMA, and stresses the need for complete and comprehensive assessment of complement activation. Complement status evaluation will not only improve our pathophysiological knowledge of the different TMA forms but could also have diagnostic and therapeutic implications. Due to the current development of multiple complement blockers [11, 12], these data could eventually allow inclusive diagnosis and personalized medicine.

CLINICAL SUSPICION

Thrombotic microangiopathies are a group of disorders characterized by non-immune intravascular haemolysis and ischaemic organ dysfunction. The classical laboratory findings are thrombocytopenia (or >25% decrease in platelet count), resulting from platelet aggregation and consumption, and microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia (MAHA), resulting from erythrocyte fragmentation in the microcirculation due to partial occlusion by platelet aggregates [1, 13]. MAHA is defined by the presence of schistocytes (fragmented erythrocytes) that can be found on peripheral blood smear, reticulocytosis and a negative direct antiglobulin (Coombs) test. Other less specific laboratory findings for MAHA are increased serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), as a result of tissue ischaemia and cell lysis; decreased haptoglobin levels, after its binding to circulating haemoglobin (Hb) realeased by haemolysis; and unconjugated hyperbilirubinaemia. The severity of all these findings reflects the extent of microvascular platelet aggregation [14].

From a clinical point of view, most patients present with non-specific symptoms that are a result of microvascular injury. TMA patients often present with high blood pressure and, in some cases, with hypertensive retinopathy [2]. The results of coagulation tests [prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT)] are usually normal [13]. However, patients with very severe disease associated with disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) are the exception, as they frequently present with septic shock and prolongation of PT and aPTT [13]. The most commonly affected targets in TMA are the brain, kidneys, heart, skin and gastrointestinal system, although the clinical presentation can differ depending on the underlying aetiology of the disease [2].

Classically, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) and haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS), both entities occurring with no associated underlying cause, have been classified as primary TMA forms (Table 1). However, the classification of TMs is challenging and constantly evolving [3, 13, 15]. On the basis of clinical findings, TTP often presents with ischaemia of the brain or gastrointestinal tract, and rarely causes severe acute kidney injury (AKI) [3]. Diagnosis of TTP relies on measurement of very low plasma ADAMTS-13 (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with a thrombospondin Type 1 motif, member 13) activity (<10%) [3]. However, when ADAMTS-13 testing is unavailable and urgent therapy is needed, the PLASMIC score can be used, which is a valuable clinical tool based on clinical and laboratory findings [16]. If the predominant feature at presentation is severe AKI, then the disorder will most probably be classified as HUS. The most common form (90% of HUS)—typical HUS—is associated with bloody diarrhoea due to different pathogens, especially Escherichia coli. Atypical HUS (aHUS) is an ultra-rare disease (incidence 0.5–2 per million per year), and it is based on a clinical diagnosis after the exclusion of other causes of TMA. aHUS is caused by dysregulation of the alternative complement pathway, of either acquired or genetic origin [13, 17, 18].

TMAs classified as secondary forms can occur in multiple clinical settings (Table 1), among which the most important are: autoimmune diseases; cancer and its treatment; pregnancy-related TMA; solid organ transplantation (SOT) and haematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT); and multiple infections [13, 19].

HISTOLOGY

Because the histological findings of TMA are not pathognomonic, histological confirmation is not mandatory to establish a diagnosis. Therefore, an aetiological diagnostic approach to TMA can be initiated once suspicion is established. However, as TMA can manifest as a diverse range symptoms and conditions, histological confirmation is useful, especially when the clinical presentation is uncommon or incomplete, as well as to determine prognosis [2]. Several organs may be affected by TMA, and histopathological findings in all of them have been described in the literature. Classic findings of TMA consist of endothelial cell (EC) swelling, often associated with luminal fibrin thrombi, and minimal or absent inflammation. Heart, lung and brain biopsies have only been described in autopsies, whereas the skin, gastrointestinal tract and gingiva are the suggested sites for sampling, whether or not there is a visible lesion [20–22]. Kidney tissue can also be sampled and remains the gold standard for kidney TMA diagnosis and to exclude other potential causes of kidney

| Primary TMA | Secondary TMA |
|-------------|---------------|
| TTP         | Autoimmune diseases |
| Hereditary TTP, acquired/immune-mediated TTP | Infections |
| HUS         | Pregnancy |
| Acquired HUS: infectious causes (STEC-HUS, S. pneumoniae, Shigella, etc.); antibody against CFH (aHUS) | SOT |
| Hereditary HUS: complement gene mutations (aHUS) | HCT |
|             | Methylmalonic acidemia |
|             | Malignancy |
|             | Medications |
|             | Malignant hypertension |
injury. However, the decision to perform a kidney biopsy must be individualized on a case-by-case basis, given that in patients with suspected TMA there is a high bleeding risk, due to the presence of high blood pressure, thrombocytopenia and uraemia, among other factors. The histopathological findings in kidney biopsy reflect tissue response to endothelial injury, resulting in changes in different structures that progress over time [23]. These changes can be categorized as below.

### Glomerular changes

In the acute phases of TMA, ECs may appear swollen and fibrin-platelet thrombi are identified within glomerular capillaries. FIGURE 1: Pathological findings of renal biopsy in acute and chronic TMA. (A) A thrombus is identified within glomerular capillaries (arrow head) (Masson’s trichrome, 60×). (B) Segmental mesangiolysis is indicative of active lesion (arrow head) (silver, 40×). (C) Chronic lesions show reduplication of GBM (arrow head) (silver, 60×). (D) Necrosis of the arterial wall (arrow head) and glomeruli of shrunken appearance (Masson’s trichrome, 20×). (E) Artery show intimal thickening with concentric appearance (‘onion-skin’) (haematoxylin and eosin, 60×). (F) Acute tubular necrosis (arrow head) is a finding resulting of ischemic changes (haematoxylin and eosin, 20×).
(Figure 1A). Other findings such as detachment of the ECs from glomerular basement membranes (GBMs), mesangiolysis or necrosis can be observed (Figure 1B). If TMA persists, then ECs continue to produce basement membrane material, leading to GBM remodelling with double contours and mesangial expansion, resulting in a membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis-like pattern indicative of chronic phases of TMA [24–26] (Figure 1C).

Vascular changes
When the arterioles and arteries are involved, intimal swelling, mucoid change and proliferation, and necrosis of the arterial wall or thrombi in the lumen are observed (Figure 1D). In this context, glomeruli appear ischaemic and shrunk. In the chronic phase, these changes may lead to concentric lamination of intimal fibrosis causing ‘onion-skin’ appearance [24, 26] (Figures 1E).

Tubulointerstitial changes
Acute tubular necrosis is a finding resulting from ischaemic changes. Severe TMA cases can show cortical necrosis, which is predictive of a poor outcome [25, 26] (Figure 1F).
fluorescence energy resonance transfer (FRETs), offers quick and reproducible results [32]. The International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis guidelines establish that when plasma ADAMTS-13 activity is <10 IU/dL (often referred to as 10% of normal ADAMTS-13 activity), the diagnosis of TTP is more likely [33]. Autoantibodies against ADAMTS-13, usually immunoglobulin G, are the cause of acquired TTP, a rare autoimmune disease [34]. These antibodies show inhibitory activity primarily mediated by their binding to ADAMTS-13 spacer domain, critical for VWF recognition. However, there are also antibodies that may cause rapid clearance of ADAMTS-13. Regarding ADAMTS-13 Ag, the concentrations of this enzyme in human plasma range from 0.7 to 1.4 μg/mL (3.5–7.0 nM) [35] and can be severely reduced or even non-existent in congenital TTP. This very rare condition, with a prevalence of ~0.05–0.4/100,000, is transmitted by autosomal recessive inheritance [36].

STEC-HUS
The most common cause of HUS, particularly in children, is Stx-producing bacteria, especially E. coli serotype O157:H7 [37]. STEC-HUS is usually initiated a few days after clinical gastroenteritis (typically bloody diarrhoea) caused by STEC. Stx, a potent cytotoxin, apparently initiates the disease process, playing a central pathophysiological role damaging ECs from the vasculature [6], among red cells and platelets [18]. This damage could constitute triggers leading to enhanced complement activation. The clinical manifestations of Stx-induced HUS overlap with those of other related syndromes, but the molecular mechanisms differ considerably. Accurate diagnosis of STEC infections is important for the appropriate management of infected patients. Candidates for the detection of all STEC serotypes include chromogenic agars, enzyme immunoassays, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) and, most recently, methods of whole-genome analysis [38].

Autoimmune diseases
The main systemic disorders related to TMA are systemic scleroderma (SSc) and SLE, with or without associated antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). Their diagnosis requires the performance of a complete clinical assessment and autoimmune panel, including: serum antinuclear antibodies (ANA), anti-double-stranded DNA (ds-DNA) antibodies (using Cribidia luciliae indirect immunofluorescence test) and anti-extractable nuclear Ag antibodies, including anti-Sm, anti-SSA, anti-SSB and anti-RNP antibodies (the latter associated with overlap connective tissue diseases), as well as serum complement factors (C3, C4 and CH50) for SLE diagnosis; antitopoisomerase I (anti-Scl-70) antibody, anticentromere antibody (ACA) and anti-RNA polymerase III antibodies for SSC diagnosis; and anti-cardiolipin antibody, anti-β2GP-1 antibody and lupus anticoagulant (LA) for APS diagnosis [39].

Infections
Many systemic infections have been identified as a TMA-causing agent [40]. Among them, the most important ones are: numerous viral infections (outlined in Figure 2); bacterial infections such as bacterial endocarditis, spotted fever rickettsiosis or infections caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae (the latter shows a positive Coombs test as a differentiating feature); and infections caused by red blood cell parasites (Plasmodium falciparum, Plasmodium vivax). In each particular case, and depending on the clinical suspicion, different diagnostic tests should be performed (serological tests, qPCR, cultures, etc.) [41].

Pregnancy-associated TMA
There are different circumstances in which TMA can occur during pregnancy. Haemolysis, Elevated Liver enzymes and Low Platelets (HELLP) syndrome, which usually occurs after the 20th week of pregnancy, is defined by the coexistence of thrombocytopenia, MAHA and liver damage. It can be associated with preeclampsia, defined by new-onset hypertension and proteinuria, with or without end-organ dysfunction. If seizures occur in a patient with preeclampsia, then the diagnosis changes to eclampsia [42]. Moreover, pregnancy may trigger new-onset TTP or a relapse, especially in the first trimester [8]. Additionally, in up to 20% of women, onset of aHUS occurs during the postpartum period [43–45]. In this case, it is hypothesized that there are different complement system regulatory proteins on the placental surface, and that their loss in conjunction with complement overactivation during delivery may be a perfect trigger [46].

SOT and HCT
Aetiological diagnosis is challenging because TMA may be associated with several triggers, such as ischaemia–reperfusion injury and antibody-mediated rejection in the case of SOT, or induction treatment and graft-versus-host prevention regimens in HCT. Opportunistic infections and immunosuppressive treatment, mainly related to calcineurin and mammalian Target of Rapamycin (m-TOR) inhibitors, could be the cause of TMA in both transplantation modalities. TMA associated with HCT is especially recognized to be associated with excessive complement activation, likely triggered by endothelial injury, and new evidence suggests neutrophil extracellular trap (NETs) formation as the mechanistic link between these two entities [47]. NETs are deposits of antimicrobial proteins on a single DNA framework released by activated neutrophils [48], and can activate complement via both alternative and non-alternative pathways [49]. Levels of NETs are measured through quantification of circulating ds-DNA in a quick and easy assay, and could eventually constitute a new marker to be considered in the diagnosis of TA-TMA.

Methylmalonic acidemia and/or aciduria
This is an uncommon and heterogeneous congenital metabolic disorder of cobalamin (vitamin B12), resulting in methylmalonic acid and homocysteine accumulation in blood and tissues, with increased urinary excretion of both compounds. In this setting, endothelial damage is caused by high homocysteine levels, among other factors. Confirmatory genetic testing should be performed in patients with positive metabolic screening [50].

Malignancy
If there is any symptomatic suggestion of malignancy or a history of cancer in the context of TMA, it is imperative to perform diagnostic tests (CT scan, bone marrow study, serum tumour markers). The evaluation of TMA in patients with cancer focuses on two principal aetiologies: (i) cancer-induced TMA, commonly caused by systemic metastases due to microvascular obstruction by tumour cells; and (ii) chemotherapy-induced TMA, caused by dose-dependent toxicity (with gradual onset of
TMA signs), or by an immune-mediated reaction (drug-dependent antibodies), the latter leading to rapid onset of TMA [51].

**Medications**

In most cases, TMA is caused by dose-dependent direct endothelial damage (non-immune-mechanism). The most frequent forms of drug-induced TMA are summarized in Table 2 [52].

**Malignant hypertension**

A direct damage of the endothelium is produced in this entity. Ocular fundus study may show hypertensive retinopathy Grades III–IV. In these patients, optimal blood pressure control is the most critical initial management and may be the only treatment required. If, after optimal blood pressure control, there is no improvement in platelet count, other causes of TMA must be considered [2].

**aHUS**

This entity is caused by a dysregulation of alternative complement pathway over cell surfaces [presence of complement proteins mutations or antibodies against complement factor H (CFH)], damaging ECs through complement terminal phase activation/membrane attack complex (MAC) formation [19, 53]. aHUS diagnosis is by exclusion of other TMA forms.

### Table 2. Main drug-induced TMA

| Cancer therapeutics | Immunosuppressants | Biological agents | Others |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Cytotoxic agents:   | Calcineurin inhibitors: | adalimumab, imatinib |        |
| gemcitabine, mytomycin C | cyclosporine, tacrolimus | m-TOR inhibitors: | Antibiotics: |
| VEGF inhibitors:    | sirolimus, everolimus | ciprofloxacin, metronidazole, nitrofurantoin, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole |        |
| bevacizumab, sunitinib |                    | Commonly used drugs: ibuprofen, ketorolac, clopidogrel, simvastatin, oestrogen/progesterone |
| Proteasome inhibitors: |                    |                   |        |
| bortezomib, carfilzomib |                    |                   |        |

**FIGURE 3:** Complement system. The complement system is the first line of physical defence against pathogens and altered host cells and is composed of a cascade of several plasmatic and membrane proteins expressed on the cell surface. There are three different pathways through which the complement system can be activated: the classical, the lectin and the alternative pathway (upper part of the image). Each of the pathways leads to the activation of C5 convertase that cleaves C3 into C3a and C3b, which binds Factor B and generates active convertases on targeted surfaces. The terminal complement cascade is then initiated by the cleavage of protein C5 by C5 convertase, followed by C5a release (potent anaphylotoxin) and C5b-9 molecule assembly, forming the MAC. This structure becomes inserted into the target cell lipid bilayer and induces cell lysis through proton influx. Dysfunction of the complement cascade may be mediated by aberrations in the pathways of activation, complement regulatory proteins or complement deficiencies, whether genetic or acquired. Soluble C5b-9 levels and C5b-9 deposition induced by patient activated plasma on ECs in vitro are two of the most promising complement monitoring tools used to provide insight into the integrity of the entire complement reaction cascade.
COMPLEMENT ASSESSMENT IN TMA

Complement is a part of the innate immune surveillance system (Figure 3), its main functions being chemotaxis, opsonization, clearance of immunocomplexes and cell lysis through MAC formation. Complement dysregulation has been linked to several forms of TMA [5–10]. Therefore, we suggest a study of complement in all TMA patients, immediately after diagnosis and before any specific treatment (Figure 2). Among all the laboratory tests for complement assessment (discussed below), we strongly recommend, when possible, analysis of C5b-9 deposition on ECs in culture and quantification of soluble C5b-9 levels as useful markers of terminal complement pathway overactivation [54–60] (Figure 3). Although this assessment is not a quick procedure, and is not commercially available, knowledge of complement involvement may critically reduce diagnostic times when aHUS is strongly suspected (Figure 4), and could offer an alternative therapeutic process when there is a lack of response to initial treatment in other forms of TMA [10, 61–63] (Figure 2).

Functional analysis

Complement functional analyses provide insight into the integrity of the entire complement reaction cascade. These tests are suitable for investigating suspected complement deficiencies and must be interpreted in the context of the complete complement picture. However, there is still an open discussion about the utility of complement functional tests as biomarkers of complement activation in both TMA debut and response to therapy. Complement assays are performed on serum or plasma samples. It is important to maintain the samples on ice in order to limit the amount of ongoing tick-over. Functional complement activity assays are used as screening tests and are based on the ability of complement to induce lysis of erythrocytes.

FIGURE 4: Clinical case—complement assessment in TMA for early aetiopathological treatment. A 52-year-old man, with a past medical history of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (cT1cN0M0), was treated with surgery and concomitant gemcitabine from March to August 2017. After 2 months, he showed nephrotic syndrome, MAHA, thrombocytopenia and progressive kidney failure. A kidney biopsy confirmed TMA both in the glomerulus and arterioles with negative immunofluorescence. To make a rapid differential diagnosis, we ruled out TTP (ADAMTS-13 activity: 87%), STEC-HUS (negative Stx detection), infections (negative cultures) and autoimmune diseases, among other causes of TMA. Concomitantly, we performed a complement assessment with plasma levels of complement components (C3, C4, CH50 and C5b-9) and also with C5b-9 deposition evaluation on ECs culture [57]. Complement levels were within the normal range, but 5 days after admission, we identified significant deposition of C5b-9 on EC (3.2 ± 0.2-fold increase, P < 0.05) (Figure 4) (A). Despite these results, we spent 4 weeks in the initial management of TMA and especially ruling out tumour recurrence (tumour markers, PET-CT) and gemcitabine-induced TMA. MAHA, thrombocytopenia, kidney failure and nephrotic syndrome persisted despite supportive therapy and plasma exchange (18 sessions). Finally, we reached a clinical diagnosis of aHUS by exclusion. At that point (November 2017), we started eculizumab following the data sheet, with TMA haematological response (normalization of platelet count, LDH, haptoglobin and reticulocytes) after 2 weeks, and normal kidney function with complete remission of nephrotic syndrome (Figure 4) (B). Three months after admission, genetic study revealed a CFI mutation (heterozygous variant in exon 5, c.739T>G [p.Cys247Gly] considered as pathogenic) and risk haplotypes in heterozygosis for CFH and MCP. After 29 months, the patient maintained haematological and kidney remission on eculizumab. In conclusion, complement assessment in all TMA cases (the proposed algorithm in Figure 2) could critically reduce diagnostic times for aHUS (supporting physician suspicion) and establish the therapeutic potential of complement blockade in secondary TMA forms when aetiological treatment—if available—fails.
C5b-9 deposition on ECs in culture. This technique is used to screen for complement abnormalities. It was set up using aHUS as the gold standard disease in which complement is dysregulated over cell surfaces. Furthermore, it has been proven useful to monitor ecuizumab treatment in aHUS patients, and to assess complement involvement in severe preeclampsia and HELLP syndrome or to exclude complement as a pathophysiological factor in malignant hypertension [57]. To evaluate complement activation, human dermal microvascular EC line CDC/EU. HMEC-1 (HMEC) [64] is seeded on gelatin-covered glass coverslips. Cells are washed with test medium [54] and are then incubated with activated plasma (a-plasma), obtained by mixing patients’ plasma with control pooled sera (1:1) and diluting the mix with test medium. Control samples consist of mixing healthy plasma from donors with pooled serum from controls. Then, cultures are washed and fixed with paraformaldehyde. For C5b-9 detection, immunostaining is performed with an anti-human complement C5b-9 complex antibody followed by a fluorescent conjugated secondary antibody and DAPI. The area covered by C5b-9 deposits is calculated from fluorescent micrographs and expressed as the average fold increases of each condition versus control. This test is a modification of that developed by Noris et al. [54] but takes advantage of the multiple links between the complement and the clotting system [65] to enhance C5b-9 deposition and reduce the coefficient of variation obtained for each sample. However, a laboratory that offers this evaluation needs to have ECs in culture available constantly.

Total haemolytic complement (CH50) assay. This assay analyses the functional ability of serum complement components to lyse sheep red blood cells (SRBCs) pre-coated with rabbit anti-sheep antibodies. Therefore, it mainly evaluates the classic complement activation pathway. In this assay, serial dilutions of the sample are made and incubated with SRBC and the results are reported as the reciprocal of the dilution at which 50% haemolysis occurs (CH50) [66]. Low levels of CH50 may occur due to congenital complement deficiencies, increased consumption of complement components or insufficient synthesis of complement factors, and during infections, disease exacerbation in patients with SLE and in patients with immune complex diseases such as glomerulonephritis. Haemolytic and enzymelinked immunosorbent assays are currently commercially available [67].

Complement alternative pathway (AP50) assay. This assay incubates serial dilutions of patients’ sera with rabbit or guinea pig erythrocytes in conditions that specifically activate the alternative pathway [68]. A low AP50 result is associated with deficiencies in FB, FD, FH and properdin, glomerulonephritis, aHUS, STEC-HUS and consumption of complement proteins [67].

Modified Ham test. The principle of this technique is that paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria cells are more sensitive to alternative pathway of complement due to due to deficiency of complement regulatory proteins. The incubation of cells with this deficiency with sera from aHUS patients is supposed to result in a significant increase of nonviable cells compared with serum from healthy controls [69].

Quantification of individual complement components and regulators and activation markers

Unfortunately, the quantification of levels of the different complement components in serum is not a straightforward approach in TMA diagnosis, as soluble levels are not reliable biomarkers of complement activation in any form of TMA, including aHUS [58]. However, comprehensive knowledge of different complement components or/and complement activation marker levels could provide hints about possible complement pathway overactivation. The quantification of the majority of individual complement components can be performed through standard immunochemical assays [70]. C3 and C4 plasma levels have been available in routine diagnostic laboratories for decades. Low levels of these two components are associated with a number of pathologies such as aHUS (C3 consumption only in 30–50% of patients), TTP, STEC-HUS and glomerulonephritis, among others [67, 70, 71]. The quantification of Factor B levels could provide information regarding which pathway is actively consuming C3. Properdin quantification is available only in specialized laboratories, but knowledge of its levels could be useful as it is the only positive regulator of the alternative pathway and its levels could be reduced in cases of complement activation. The decay products of C3, C4, C5 and Factor B can be quantified in specialized laboratories and offer information about the activation state of the complement system. The main drawback to its use in clinical practice is that, in general, decay products have a very short decay time or half-life. From all the complement activation molecules evaluated, soluble C5b-9 (sC5b-9) may reflect the overall activation of the complement system as the activation of any of the three initiating complement pathways ultimately leads to the generation of the MAC. However, there are discrepant conclusions regarding the clinical value of this assay, especially in aHUS. Palomo et al. [57] and Noris et al. [54] reported that sC5b-9 is not a suitable biomarker of complement activation in aHUS as they found no correlation between levels of this molecule and clinical progression of the disease. Contrarily, Volokhina et al. [59] and Cataland et al. [60] suggested sC5b-9 as a helpful biomarker to confirm clinical diagnosis of aHUS as levels were elevated in patients at the acute phase of the disease and comparable to healthy controls when patients were in remission. Bu et al. [58] reported data suggesting that increased sC5b-9 levels are indicative of active disease (positive predictive value, 89%) but should be used in the context of other complement assays (negative predictive value, 46%).

**Genetic analysis**

Molecular and genetic complement study is mandatory in patients with clinical suspicion of aHUS [23], reinforcing the diagnosis if pathogenic variants or CFH antibodies are identified (50–60% aHUS patients), and allowing genetic counselling and offering genotype–phenotype correlations that predict prognosis, treatment response and post-transplant recurrence risk [72]. Genetic testing must be carried out in reference laboratories.
complement laboratories, capable of identify genetic variants, risk haplotypes, complex genomic rearrangements and hybrid genes (Table 3). Its main limitations are its high cost and long response time; therefore, clinical diagnosis of aHUS, by exclusion, and initiation of an aetiological treatment should never be delayed. In other forms of TMA, there is increasing evidence of the presence of genetic variants in complement proteins that could be related to its pathophysiology [73–76]. However, there are no cost-effectiveness studies that allow a universal recommendation to carry out these studies in all TMA cases.

**TREATMENT OF DIFFERENT TMA FORMS**

**Primary TMA forms**

TTP. The mainstay treatment for hereditary TTP is plasma infusion to raise ADAMTS-13 activity. Regarding acquired TTP, current standard management consists in therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE). TPE involves removal of the patient’s plasma by apheresis and replacement with donor plasma, thus removing ADAMTS-13 autoantibodies and replacing plasma with normal ADAMTS-13 activity. The duration of TPE and the number of procedures required to achieve remission, defined by the recovery of the platelet count, is highly variable. Immunosuppressive agents are routinely added to TPE for the treatment of acquired TTP; however, there are limited data from high-quality clinical trials to direct the most appropriate use of these treatments [17, 77]. Glucocorticoids are thought to speed up the recovery because they reduce the production of both ADAMTS-13 inhibitor (auto-antibody) and cytokines production. The administered dose of glucocorticoids varies according to the severity of TTP presentation; for severe cases, high dose of glucocorticoids is given, starting with pulses of intravenous methylprednisolone and tapering the dose to oral prednisone afterwards. Typically, the regimen is prednisone 1 mg/kg/day orally followed by a rapid taper over 3–4 weeks once a normal platelet count has been achieved. In combination with the above measures, rituximab (anti-CD20 antibody) is also indicated in patients with presumptive and/or confirmed diagnosis of acquired TTP, since available data suggest that it has a beneficial effect in preventing disease relapse, and possibly in hastening the response to therapy. However, along with the sparse data, the need for enteral administration and drug cost are conditioning factors that have to be taken into consideration when rituximab is prescribed [17, 77].

Recently, caplacizumab, a humanized monoclonal anti-VWF antibody with subcutaneous route of administration, has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (February 2019) for acquired TTP treatment. Caplacizumab targets the A1 domain of VWF and blocks its interaction with platelet glycoprotein 1b, thereby preventing the formation of microvascular thrombosis in small arterioles and capillaries. In clinical practice, caplacizumab is reserved for patients who present with severe features of TTP. Although the benefit of caplacizumab is greatest when given earlier in the course of disease, it should be given under the guidance of an experienced clinician since it is associated with side effects (mainly, risk of bleeding) and significant cost. However, because it does not affect the underlying cause of acquired TTP, the above-explained co-treatments to remove the antibodies are required along with caplacizumab [78]. In this regard, it has to be noted that the sequencing of adjunctive therapies are yet to be characterized, since there are limited data from prospective, randomized trials to direct the most appropriate use of these treatments.

**Secondary TMA forms**

In secondary TMA forms, the treatment of choice should aim to correct the underlying disease together with supportive care. It has been suggested there is a possible benefit of TPE for some secondary TMA forms, including those related with autoimmune conditions, HCT, pregnancy and drug-induced TMAs, all of them having a potential immune-mediated endothelial damage as a common pathologic mechanism. However, limited published information exists, and in most cases, American Society for Apheresis guidelines categorizes the use of TPE as a Category III indication; that is, the role of TPE is uncertain, and decision-making should be individualized [87].

Importantly, there is increasing evidence that secondary TMA forms could present complement system dysregulation, leading to an endothelial damage. Although experience is scarce, cases of secondary TMA of distinct aetiology (including TMAs secondary to pregnancy, drugs, transplant, humoral rejection and systemic diseases) refractory to the conventional treatment with good response to eculizumab have been reported [10, 47, 61, 62, 88–90]. In these settings, demonstration of terminal complement overactivation (C5b-9 monitoring) could be a powerful tool in the decision-making process regarding the potential use of complement inhibitors (Figure 2).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Major advances in the pathophysiological knowledge of entities such as TTP and aHUS have allowed a clear improvement in patient prognosis. However, in many other causes of TMA, the underlying pathogenic process is still unknown. Furthermore, despite progress achieved in aHUS, its diagnosis continues to be by exclusion, sometimes delaying the start of high-impact treatment. To improve the management of patients with TMA, we recommend a clinical algorithm, with special emphasis on...
terminal complement pathway monitoring at the beginning of the diagnostic process. This review—as a practical guide in the diagnostic process of TMA—will evolve with the development of new biomarkers and treatments, but its application will allow a better understanding of the role of complement in the pathogenesis of these serious systemic processes with potential therapeutic interventions.
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