Performance of Bt maize event MON810 in controlling maize stem borers *Chilo partellus* and *Busseola fusca* in Uganda
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**ABSTRACT**

Stem borers are major insect pests of maize in Uganda. A study was conducted in 2014–2016 to assess the performance of Bt hybrids expressing Cry1Ab (event MON810) against the two major stem borer species in Uganda – the African stem borer (*Busseola fusca*) and the spotted stem borer (*Chilo partellus*) – under artificial infestation. The study comprised 14 non-commercialized hybrids, including seven pairs of Bt and non-Bt hybrids (isolines), three non-Bt commercial hybrids and a conventional stem borer resistant check. All stem borer damage parameters (leaf damage, number of internodes tunneled and tunnel length) were generally significantly lower in the Bt hybrids than in the other three categories of non-Bt hybrids. Mean yields were significantly higher by 29.4–80.5% in the Bt hybrids than in the other three categories of non-Bt hybrids. This study demonstrated that Bt maize expressing Cry1Ab protects against leaf damage and can limit entry of stem borers into the stems of maize plants, resulting in higher yield than in the non-transgenic hybrids. Thus, Bt maize has potential to contribute to the overall management package of stem borers in Uganda.

**1. Introduction**

Stem borers are some of the main insect pests of maize in Uganda. The four major stem borer species are the spotted stem borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe), the African stem borer *Busseola fusca* (Fuller), the sugarcane borer *Eldana saccharina* (Walker), and the pink stem borer *Sesamia calamistis* (Hampson). *Busseola fusca* and *C. partellus* are the two most widely distributed and dominant species in Uganda (Matama-Kauma et al., 2007; Molo et al., 2014). The larvae of stem borers feed on the plant whorl and tunnel stems leading to the death of growing shoots (Ampofo et al., 1980). In Kenya, stem borers were reported to cause losses ranging from 10 to 100% (De Groote et al., 2002; Ong’amo et al., 2006; Seshu Reddy, 1990). Furthermore, in Kenya, total losses to stem borers were valued at USD 25 and USD 59.8 million in 1999 and 2000, respectively (De Groote et al., 2002). In Uganda, yield losses due to stem borers were estimated at 23.5% in 2015.
deregulated and commercialized in the United States in 1996. It has also been approved for importation and cultivation in many countries in (Farin Van Den Berg and Van Wyk, 2007), including aflatoxins, pose a serious health threat to humans and livestock and are associated with liver cancer, stunted growth in children, and immune disorders (Wu, 2014); these health risks make aflatoxin-contaminated maize grain unsuitable for food and feed.

Cropping system, chemical, cultural, biological, and host plant resistance are used to control stem borers in maize (Khan et al., 1997; Ndemah et al., 2007; Schultheiss et al., 1997). The push-pull technology is one of the cropping systems that are effective in controlling stem borers. However, it is poorly adopted by smallholder farmers because it is knowledge- and labor-intensive. In addition, limited availability and high cost of Desmidium seed, a key component of the technology, limits its uptake (Mukebezi, 2006). Spraying with insecticides only protects against early infestations, but not against stem borers feeding inside the ears and stems (Jotwani, 1983). In addition, insecticide use is not cost-effective in smallholder systems and may expose farmers to health and environmental risks. Biological control agents of stem borers have been introduced and released into farmers’ fields but they take long to establish and only provide partial control (Bonhof et al., 1997; Bruce et al., 2009; Schultheiss et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 2001). Many farmers have therefore resorted to using insecticides or not controlling stem borers at all. Although host plant resistance is safe and averts the need for farmers to purchase and apply insecticides, not a single stem borer resistant maize variety has been released and commercialized in Uganda, despite the existence of conventional stemborer resistant maize germplasm (CIMMYT, 1993; KEPHIS, 2022). In Kenya, 13 stem borer tolerant/resistant varieties have so far been released (KEPHIS, 2022).

Transgenic plants expressing toxins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) with resistance to different groups of insects have been developed by genetically engineering (Kozeli et al., 1993; Vaek et al., 1988). Transgenic Bt maize can help to control several species of Lepidopteran stem borers, e.g. Ostrinia nubilalis (Hübner) (Magg et al., 2001), S. calamistis (Van Den Berg and Van Wyk, 2007), Sesamia nonagrioides (Lefèvre) (Farinós et al., 2011), B. fusca and C. partellus (Tefera et al., 2016; Tende et al., 2010). The Bt toxin Cry1Ab included in event MON810 was deregulated and commercialized in the United States in 1996. It has also been approved for importation and cultivation in many countries in Latin America, Asia, and Europe (ISAAA, 2017). Farmers in South Africa started growing MON810 maize hybrids in 1998 (Kruger et al., 2012), and later Bt11 (also Cry1Ab) and MON89034 (Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2) were approved for control of stem borers in 2003 and 2010, respectively (De Buck et al., 2016). In Egypt, MON89034 was approved for cultivation in 2008 (Sawahel, 2008). To date, Bt maize has not been approved for commercial use in Uganda.

No studies have been conducted on the effectiveness of Bt maize in controlling stem borers in Uganda, yet the expression and efficacy of Cry1Ab protein can vary with environmental conditions and farming systems/practice (Nguyen and Jehle, 2007; Székacs et al., 2010; Tritkova et al., 2015). There are also known Cry1Ab resistant strains of B. fusca in South Africa (Campagne et al., 2013). The present study was conducted for three seasons under the supervision of the Uganda National Biosafety Committee (NBC) to generate empirical information to inform decision making on application for approval of Bt maize cultivation in Uganda. The performance of the Bt maize event MON810 in controlling two stem borer species (C. partellus and B. fusca) under artificial infestation was evaluated in confined field trials (CFTs) using different maize genotypes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study location

The experiments were conducted in confined field trials (CFTs) following the national guidelines (UNCST, 2006). One CFT site was located at the National Crops Resources Research Institute (NaCRRI), Namulonge, Wakiso district (0.525931, 32.622453), and the other in Mubuku irrigation and settlement scheme in Kasese district (0.2084, 30.12483). National Crops Resources Research Institute, a constituent Institute of the Ugandan National Agricultural Research Organization with a mandate for maize research, has a well-developed CFT village with biosafety facilities required for the study. These trials were part of research under the Water Efficient Maize for Africa (WEMA) partnership coordinated by African Agriculture Technology Foundation (AATF). The other partners included Monsanto, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre (CIMMYT) and National Agricultural Research Systems in Kenya, Tanzania, Mozambique and South Africa.

NaCRRI oversees maize research in Uganda and was, therefore, chosen because of the existing technical and physical resources, including a well-developed CFT village required for the study. The Institute is 27 km north of Kampala, on the Kampala-Gayaza-Zirobwe road. The rainfall pattern at NaCRRI is bi-modal with peaks in April and October. Average rainfall ranges from 900 mm to 1,200 mm per annum.

Mubuku irrigation scheme in Kasese was chosen because it hosts one of the largest and oldest CFT sites in the country and has a well-developed irrigation system for off-season planting. Mubuku lies at an altitude of about 1,007 m asl, with a mean annual temperature and rainfall of 27.8 °C and 750 mm, respectively.

2.2. Germplasm

Genotypes in the field trials included seven Bt hybrids (maize hybrids with event MON810 expressing insecticidal Cry1Ab protein) and seven of their corresponding non-transgenic near-isogenic hybrids (isolines). The isolines are non-GM hybrids from inbred lines with genetic background identical to those of their corresponding GM lines. Three commercial non-transgenic maize varieties sourced from seed companies (East African Seeds, Farm Input Care Centre and Nalweyo Seed Company) in Kampala and a conventionally bred B. fusca and C. partellus resistant hybrid from CIMMYT (Munyiri et al., 2013) were also used (Table 1). The Bt hybrids and isolines were sourced from Monsanto Company (now Bayer).

2.3. Experimental design

The experiments were conducted from 2014 to 2016. Chilo partellus trials were planted at NaCRRI on January 6, 2014 and December 19, 2014 and harvested on May 25, 2014 and May 11, 2015, respectively. The B. fusca trial was planted in Mubuku, Kasese on August 28, 2015 and harvested on January 15, 2016. Choice of the sites for evaluating the two species depended on an earlier report that B. fusca and C. partellus were

| Table 1 | List of Bt maize hybrids, non-Bt isolines commercial checks and resistant check used in the experiment. |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Entry code (Bt hybrids) | Entry code (non-Bt hybrids) | Commercial checks | Resistant check |
| Hybrid 1 – MON810 | Hybrid 2 – Isoline | 
| Hybrid 3 – MON810 | Hybrid 4 – Isoline | Longe 10H | CIMMYT conv. resistant hybrid (CR06009) |
| Hybrid 5 – MON810 | Hybrid 6 – Isoline | Longe 6H |
| Hybrid 7 – MON810 | Hybrid 8 – Isoline | 
| Hybrid 9 – MON810 | Hybrid 10 – Isoline | 
| Hybrid 11 – MON810 | Hybrid 12 – Isoline | 
| Hybrid 13 – MON810 | Hybrid 14 – Isoline | |
more abundant in the western mid altitude farmlands and Semeliki flats (Kasese) and Lake Victoria Crescent (Wakiso), respectively (Molo et al., 2014), and the presence of well-developed GFTs. In order to provide temporal isolation, the planting times were chosen to coincide with a period when most other maize crops in the vicinity were almost mature. This, in addition to implementing spatial isolation, was meant to avoid pollen exchange between Bt and non-Bt maize. The trials were planted in an alpha lattice experimental design, nine entries by two blocks, with four replications. There were two-row plots per entry. Two seeds were planted per hill in a row of 5 m length and thinned to one seederling per hill at two weeks after emergence. This made a total of 21 plants per period when most other maize crops in the vicinity were almost mature.
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respectively, giving a population of 53,333 plants ha\(^{-1}\). Standard rates of fertilizers were applied (125 kg N and 125 kg P\(_2\)O\(_5\) ha\(^{-1}\)). Top dressing was done using urea in two splits. Supplemental irrigation was applied when needed. The fields were kept weed-free by hand weeding. The fertilizers were applied (125 kg N and 125 kg P\(_2\)O\(_5\) ha\(^{-1}\)).

Surface sterilized using formaldehyde, and dried on filter paper as described by Tefera et al. (2016). The stem borers were reared in an insectary at NaCRRI and from 8:30 a.m. and 12:00 p.m., and 4:00 p.m. – 5 days to develop into the blackhead stage. The eggs were then monitored for 1–2 days, within which period they hatched and were used for infestation. Where the number of neonates was low, further development of the emerged neonates was delayed by subjecting them to a temperature of 4 °C until enough neonates were recovered for infestation.

Five plants, from the second to sixth plant in each row (10 plants per plot), were infested with 10 neonates of the target stemborer species (C. partellus at NaCRRI and B. fusca in Kasese), starting at about three weeks after the emergence of maize plants and repeated at weekly intervals. A total of three infestations was used for C. partellus. For the B. fusca experiment, the infestation was done four times because the required number of neonates could not be obtained; infestations used for B. fusca were 10, 3, 6 and 10 neonates per plant for the first, second, third and fourth infestations, respectively.

A camel-hair brush was used to transfer the neonates into the young maize whorls. Only active neonates were used to infest the maize plants between 8:30 a.m. and 12:00 p.m., and 4:00 p.m.–5:30 p.m. to avoid exposing the neonates to harsh sunny conditions, which could lead to desiccation. The neonates were placed directly into the cooler and concealed maize whorls.

2.5. Data collection

Data on stem borer damage were collected on the leaves, internodes and stems (tunnel length) of maize. Leaf damage by stem borers was assessed by scoring each infested plant on a scale of 1–5 (where 1 = no visible damage and 5 = completely damaged) (Tefera et al., 2011).

Scores of leaf damage were taken three times fortnightly beginning at two weeks after infestation. At harvest, the 10 infested plants were stripped off the leaves and assessed for stem damage. The number of stem borer exit holes per plant and the number of tunnelled internodes were counted and recorded. The stalks were then split open to record the tunnel length, the number of larvae and number of pupae.

To determine grain yield, only the infested plants (10 plants per plot) were harvested. The ears from the harvested plants were weighed separately and their respective moisture content was determined from a sample of grain. The yield was then converted to grain yield per hectare, assuming 80% shelling percentage and adjusted for moisture content at 13.5% using the formula below:

\[
\text{Dry grain weight (kg)} = \text{Field weight} \times \left( \frac{100}{\text{MC} + \text{Field MC}} \right)
\]

Where MC = Moisture content.

2.6. Statistical analysis

The means of the different data parameters were calculated for each experimental unit in Microsoft Excel. Before analysis, all data were checked for the assumption of normality and homogeneity of variance using GenStat (International, n.d.). The number of exit holes and internodes tunnelled, as well as tunnel lengths, were not normally distributed and were, therefore, transformed using square root transformation since they all had several zero counts and measurements. All data were analyzed using ANOVA, with contrasts for pairwise comparison between Bt and their non-Bt isolines. Similarly, we used ANOVA contrasts for comparing the Bt hybrids, non-Bt Isolines, resistant check, and commercial checks. The means were compared using multiple comparison tests using Fisher’s LSD method. All statistical analyses were done using GenStat V12.1.3338 (International, n.d.). Untransformed data are presented in the results.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of Bt maize and non-Bt maize on leaf, stem damage and grain yield

There were significant differences between Bt- and isolines in leaf damage in both seasons for C. partellus (Table 2). Mean leaf damage scores were significantly lower in all Bt hybrids when compared with their isolines. Mean leaf damage ranged from 1 to 1.4 in Bt hybrids and from 4.6 (entry 10) to 6.1 (entry 14) in isolines. Similar trends were observed for B. fusca in leaf damage for both Bt- and isolines (Table 2).

Table 2

| Entry | Mean leaf damage score |
|-------|------------------------|
|       | C. partellus | B. fusca |
|       | Season 1 | Season 2 | Mean | Season 1 |
| Hybrid 1 – MON810 | 1.0 ± 0.00a | 1.0 ± 0.00a | 1.0 ± 0.10a | 1.8 ± 0.08a |
| Hybrid 2 – Isoline | 4.9 ± 0.60b | 4.9 ± 0.39b | 4.9 ± 0.33b | 3.0 ± 0.24b |
| Hybrid 3 – MON810 | 1.0 ± 0.01a | 1.0 ± 0.02a | 1.0 ± 0.01a | 1.7 ± 0.06a |
| Hybrid 4 – Isoline | 4.8 ± 0.42b | 4.7 ± 0.19b | 4.7 ± 0.21b | 3.1 ± 0.14b |
| Hybrid 5 – MON810 | 1.2 ± 0.13a | 1.4 ± 0.26a | 1.3 ± 0.14a | 1.9 ± 0.10a |
| Hybrid 6 – Isoline | 5.2 ± 0.40b | 5.4 ± 0.39b | 5.3 ± 0.26b | 3.4 ± 0.17b |
| Hybrid 7 – MON810 | 1.0 ± 0.01a | 1.0 ± 0.00a | 1.0 ± 0.00a | 1.9 ± 0.09a |
| Hybrid 8 – Isoline | 4.6 ± 0.28b | 5.2 ± 0.22b | 4.9 ± 0.19b | 3.3 ± 0.33b |
| Hybrid 9 – MON810 | 1.1 ± 0.13a | 1.2 ± 0.13a | 1.2 ± 0.08a | 1.9 ± 0.10a |
| Hybrid 10 – Isoline | 4.6 ± 0.46b | 5.5 ± 0.17b | 5.1 ± 0.26b | 3.2 ± 0.24b |
| Hybrid 11 – MON810 | 1.0 ± 0.02a | 1.0 ± 0.03a | 1.0 ± 0.02a | 1.9 ± 0.08a |
| Hybrid 12 – Isoline | 4.9 ± 0.21b | 5.5 ± 0.29b | 5.2 ± 0.19b | 3.4 ± 0.34b |
| Hybrid 13 – MON810 | 1.3 ± 0.15a | 1.4 ± 0.35a | 1.3 ± 0.18a | 1.8 ± 0.06a |
| Hybrid 14 – Isoline | 6.1 ± 0.40b | 5.1 ± 0.18b | 5.6 ± 0.27b | 3.5 ± 0.17b |
| F<sub>13,39</sub> | 53.88 | 13.58 | 121.93 | 83.35 |
| P-Value | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

Entries with odd numbers have Bt genes and those with even numbers do not have the Bt gene (isolines). Each pair of means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different.
than in the isolines in the two C. partellus plantings (Table 3). Hybrids 8 and 12 recorded the highest exit holes in both seasons of infestation with C. partellus. Similarly, significant differences were observed in number of internodes tunneled (Table 4) and tunnel length (Table 5). Most of the Bt hybrids were highly resistant to tunneling caused by C. partellus in both seasons. There were, however, longer tunnels recorded in B. fusca infested plants (hybrids 4, 8, 10, 14) than in C. partellus infested plants (Table 5). For grain yield, there were significant differences between Bt-hybrids and isolines under both C. partellus and B. fusca infestation (Table 6). All Bt hybrids had greater yields than isolines in the C. partellus infested experiments. Among the C. partellus infested hybrids, hybrids 3, 5 and 7 had the highest yield (8.5–8.6 kg/ha) in the first season and hybrids 1, 3, 5, 7 and 13 had the highest yields (10.5–12.5 kg/ha) in the second season. In the B. fusca experiment, significant differences occurred between the Bt and isoline pairs in grain yield only between Bt hybrid 13 (9.1 kg/ha) and isoline 14 (7.3 kg/ha). All the other comparisons between Bt and isolines in the B. fusca infested plants were not significantly different.

### 3.2. Effect of Bt maize, non-Bt maize, resistant check and commercial checks on maize damage and grain yield

There were significant differences between the four sets of hybrids (Bt hybrids, isolines, resistant check and commercial checks) in leaf damage, number of exit/entry holes, number of internodes tunneled, tunnel length and grain yield (Table 7). Bt maize had the lowest leaf damage, number of exit/entry holes, internodes tunneled, internodes tunneling and tunnel length followed by the resistant check, when infested with C. partellus and B. fusca. There were no significant differences between isolines and commercial checks in leaf damage and number of exit holes in all the three trials. However, the number of internodes tunneled were significantly lower in the isolines than in the commercial check in the second trial infested with C. partellus and the one infested with B. fusca. The length of tunnels was significantly lower in isolines than in commercial checks only in the first season of infestation with C. partellus. No significant differences were observed between the two in those other plantings. Bt hybrids had the highest yield followed by the resistant check in both seasons under C. partellus infestation; however, there were no differences in grain yield between Bt hybrids and their isolines under infestation with B. fusca.

### 3.3. Recovery of larvae and pupae of B. fusca and C. partellus in Bt and non-Bt maize

The number of different stages of both species that were recovered was not analyzed to test differences between treatments as the numbers were very low (Table 8). C. partellus larvae were recovered in both Bt maize (hybrid 9) and two isolines (hybrids 2 and 10) in the first season planting, and only isolate 10 in the second season. C. partellus pupae were recovered in Bt maize (hybrid 9) in the first season and isolate 10 in the second season. B. fusca larvae were recovered only in the stems of isolines 4, 8, 12 and 14.

### 4. Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated the efficacy of MON810 maize in controlling C. partellus and B. fusca on maize in Uganda. The Bt maize (that was artificially infested with C. partellus and B. fusca) showed significantly lower leaf damage, fewer exit holes, and reduced tunneling by stem borers when compared with the corresponding isolines.
conventional resistant check, and the commercial non-transgenic reference maize varieties. As a result, the Bt maize produced significantly higher grain yield (29.4–80.5%) than the isolines and the commercial non-transgenic maize varieties. *Chilo partellus* larvae and pupae were recovered in isolate, resistant check, and commercial varieties, but in only one of the Bt hybrids, while *B. fusca* larvae and pupae were only recovered in the resistant check, isolines, and commercial varieties. Reduced stem borer damage (leaf damage, number of exit holes, and tunnel lengths) in the Bt maize as compared to the non-transgenics showed and confirmed that transgenic Bt maize (MON810) is effective against *C. partellus* and *B. fusca*. Similar results were reported in Kenya for *B. fusca* in the laboratory and *C. partellus* in CFT trials (Tefera et al., 2016; Tende et al., 2010).

The high expression of Bt protein in maize leaves as reported by Nguyen and Jehle (2007) explains the success of Bt maize in managing maize stem borers. The use of different promoters in commercial Bt maize hybrids leads to differential expression of toxins in different plant tissues (Dutton et al., 2005; Van Wyk et al., 2009). The maize events MON810 and Bt11 contain the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter, which results in toxin expression in the leaves, stem, roots, and kernels at all maize growth stages (EPA, 2001). If differences occur in Bt-toxin concentrations within a plant, control success may be compromised as is the case when larvae feed on silks and kernels with a lower toxin concentration, and later enter the stems as 3rd instars. This may lead to development up to the adult stage. Indeed, van Rensburg (2001) reported successful control of *B. fusca* during the vegetative stages because of high protein expression, and survival of *B. fusca* 1st instar larvae when fed on maize silks with lower toxin levels.

Grain yields realized from MON810 Bt hybrids were higher than those of the isolines, resistant check and commercial varieties. This shows that protection from stem borer damage resulted into higher grain yield. In addition to guarding against grain yield losses, MON810 Bt maize can also limit field infections by *Aspergillus* spp., thereby reducing aflatoxin contamination and contributing to food safety and reducing risks caused by aflatoxins (Schulthess et al., 2002; Setamou et al., 1998). A similar study by Kocourek and Stará (2018) on the European corn borer in the Czech Republic reported reduced damage and incidence of *Fusarium* species on Bt maize (MON810), with a corresponding yield advantage of 15% over the non-Bt maize. Bt crops can be a useful component of integrated pest management (IPM) systems to protect the crop from targeted pests (Mabubu et al., 2016).

We observed some cases of exit holes and stem tunneling in Bt maize hybrids infested with either species of stem borers, and one pupa in Bt maize infested with *C. partellus*, implying incomplete control of *C. partellus* by Bt maize. This may be because of a window of opportunity for successful feeding and survival of later generation neonates and second instar larvae on silks, which were postulated to have a reduced concentration of the Bt toxin (van Rensburg, 2001). We also observed higher leaf damage and tunneling by *B. fusca* in Bt hybrids suggesting that *B. fusca* requires higher amount of Bt plant tissue to cause mortality. The more extensive tunneling also implies that the pest can easily escape the initial protection and continue feeding on other parts of the plant such as the ears.
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Table 5
Mean tunnel length (±SEM) of Bt hybrids and their non-Bt isolines following artificial infestation with *Chilo partellus* at Namulonge, Wakiiso and with *Busseola fusca* at Mubuku, Kasese, Uganda from 2014 to 2016 crop season.

| Entry code | Mean length of tunnels (cm) | B. fusca | C. partellus |
|------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|
| Hybrid 1   | 0.9 ± 0.72a                  | 0.0 ± 0.4 | 0.0 ± 0.00a |
| Hybrid 2   | 3.5 ± 0.57b                  | 4.4 ± 0.4 | 7.0 ± 2.35b |
| Hybrid 3   | 0.1 ± 0.05a                  | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 5.3 ± 2.96a |
| Hybrid 4   | 1.8 ± 0.67b                  | 3.0 ± 2.4 | 11.6 ±       |
| Hybrid 5   | 0.2 ± 0.15a                  | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 4.0 ± 2.43b |
| Hybrid 6   | 4.7 ± 1.61b                  | 2.9 ± 0.3 | 9.3 ± 0.27b |
| Hybrid 7   | 1.0 ± 0.38a                  | 0.0 ± 0.5 | 2.7 ± 2.72a |
| Hybrid 8   | 3.7 ± 0.63b                  | 5.1 ± 0.4 | 10.5 ±      |
| Hybrid 9   | 0.1 ± 0.15a                  | 0.1 ± 0.1 | 2.3 ± 2.31a |
| Hybrid 10  | 3.4 ± 0.49b                  | 3.5 ± 0.3 | 10.7 ±      |
| Hybrid 11  | 1.1 ± 0.2a                   | 0.2 ± 0.6 | 0.0 ± 0.00a |
| Hybrid 12  | 3.7 ± 0.31b                  | 4.7 ± 0.2 | 9.8 ± 0.62b |
| Hybrid 13  | 0.0 ± 0.00a                  | 0.6 ± 0.3 | 0.5 ± 0.50a |
| Hybrid 14  | 2.3 ± 0.35b                  | 3.7 ± 0.9 | 12.5 ±      |
| F1,39      | 10.39                       | 26.71     | 27.8        |
| P-Value    | <0.001                      | <0.001    | <0.001      |

Entries with odd numbers have the Bt gene and those with even numbers do not have the Bt gene (isolines). Each pair of means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different.
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Table 6
Mean grain yield (±SEM) of Bt hybrids and their isolines following artificial infestation with *Chilo partellus* at Namulonge, Wakiiso, and with *Busseola fusca* at Mubuku, Kasese, Uganda from 2014 to 2016 crop season.

| Entry code | Mean grain yield (t ha⁻¹) under different stem borer species |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hybrid 1   | MON810                                                      |
| Hybrid 2   | Isoline                                                    |
| Hybrid 3   | MON810                                                      |
| Hybrid 4   | Isoline                                                    |
| Hybrid 5   | MON810                                                      |
| Hybrid 6   | Isoline                                                    |
| Hybrid 7   | MON810                                                      |
| Hybrid 8   | Isoline                                                    |
| Hybrid 9   | MON810                                                      |
| Hybrid 10  | Isoline                                                    |
| Hybrid 11  | MON810                                                      |
| Hybrid 12  | Isoline                                                    |
| Hybrid 13  | MON810                                                      |
| Hybrid 14  | Isoline                                                    |
| F1,39      |                                                            |
| P-Value    | <0.001                                                      |

Entries with odd numbers have the Bt gene and those with even numbers do not have the Bt gene (isolines). Each pair of means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different.
Table 7
Pooled means of stem borer damage parameters and grain yield (±SEM) for the three sets of entries (Bt hybrids, isolines, conventional resistant, and commercial checks) averaged across CFT and hybrids.

| Entry code | Leaf damage | Number of exit holes per plant | Number of internodes tunnelled | Length of tunnel (cm) | Grain yield (kg/ha) | P-Value |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Bt         | 1.1 ± 0.04a | 0.2 ± 0.02 ± 0.05a            | 0.5 ± 0.5 ± 0.5             | 7.9 ± 0.7 ± 0.7     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 1   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 2   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 3   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 4   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 5   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 6   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 7   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 8   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 9   | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 10  | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 11  | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 12  | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 13  | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |
| Hybrid 14  | 0.05 ± 0.03a| 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0               | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0             | 0.0 ± 0.0 ± 0.0     |                     |         |

Means within a column for each trial followed by different letters are significantly different.

Table 8
Mean number (±SEM) of larvae and pupae of *Chilo partellus* and *Busseola fusca* recovered from Bt hybrids and their isolines following artificial infestation with *C. partellus* at Namulonge, Wakiso, and with *B. fusca* at Mubuku, Kasese, Uganda from 2014 to 2016 crop season.

| Entry code | C. partellus (Season 1) | B. fusca (Season 1) |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Hybrid 1   | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 2   | 0.05 ± 0.03a            | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 3   | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 4   | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 5   | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 6   | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 7   | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 8   | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 9   | 0.08 (0.03) ± 0.08      | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 10  | 0.04 ± 0.04             | 0 (0.03) ± 0.03    |
| Hybrid 11  | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 12  | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 13  | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |
| Hybrid 14  | 0 ± 0                   | 0 ± 0              |

Figures in parentheses are number of pupae recovered from the different entries.

Our study has demonstrated that Bt maize (MON810) with Cry1Ab was effective in controlling *C. partellus* and *B. fusca* in our trials in Uganda in 2014–2016. Bt maize protected against leaf damage and limited stem borer entry into maize stems, resulting in 29.4–80.5% higher yield than in the non-transgenic hybrids. Bt maize has potential to help Ugandan maize farmers produce high-quality grain with greater yield and less reliance on insecticides, and thus enhancing food security. This study was conducted in only two locations because of regulatory requirements. Additional studies may be needed in multiple locations to capture representation from different populations of the stem borers. Such studies could be part of the testing in national performance trials for variety registration and commercialization.

**5. Conclusion**
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