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Abstract

We study the problem of solvability of linear differential systems with small coefficients in the Liouvillian sense (or, by generalized quadratures). For a general system, this problem is equivalent to that of solvability of the Lie algebra of the differential Galois group of the system. However, dependence of this Lie algebra on the system coefficients remains unknown. We show that for the particular class of systems with non-resonant irregular singular points that have sufficiently small coefficient matrices, the problem is reduced to that of solvability of the explicit Lie algebra generated by the coefficient matrices. This extends the corresponding Ilyashenko–Khovanskii theorem obtained for linear differential systems with Fuchsian singular points. We also give some examples illustrating the practical verification of the presented criteria of solvability by using general procedures implemented in Maple.

1 Introduction

Solvability of linear differential equations and systems in finite terms is a classical question of differential Galois theory. It begins with the problem of solvability by generalized quadratures (or, in the Liouvillian sense, which means the representability of all solutions of an equation in terms of elementary or algebraic functions and their integrals, speaking informally) in the 1830’s in the works of Liouville on second order equations. Generalized in 1910 by Mordukhai-Boltovskii for n-th order equations, this was independently developed in a quite different way by Picard and Vessiot who connected to an equation (system) a group, turned out to be a linear algebraic group, called the differential Galois group. They showed that solvability of the equation (system) in the Liouvillian sense depends entirely on properties of this group or its Lie algebra. Namely, solvability holds if and only if the identity component of the differential Galois group is solvable (which is equivalent to the solvability of the Lie algebra of the group). Later Kolchin completed this
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theory by considering other, more particular, types of solvability and their dependence on properties of the differential Galois group.

General methods for computing (in theory, at least) the differential Galois group have been proposed by several authors \[5, 9, 6, 17\] in the last past decades. However, those methods have an extremely high computational complexity and are therefore not practical for nontrivial problems. Moreover it is not clear how the differential Galois group of a given system depends on the coefficients of the latter. More recently an algorithm for computing the Lie algebra of the differential Galois group has been proposed in \[3\]. This algorithm has a rather reasonable complexity, compared to the previous methods for computing the differential Galois group, but as the former it does not allow to clarify the relationship between the coefficients of the input system and its differential Lie algebra.

Though the main difficulty is that the differential Galois group (and its Lie algebra) of a specific equation depends on its coefficients very implicitly, in some cases it is possible to leave aside these implicit objects (which are hard to compute) and obtain an answer to the question of solvability in terms of objects that are determined by the coefficients of the equation explicitly. Here are some examples:

- the list of hypergeometric equations solvable in the Liouvillian sense is completely known (Schwarz–Kimura’s list consisting of the fifteen families \[13\], see also \[22, Ch.12, \[1\]);
- the Bessel equation is solvable in the Liouvillian sense if and only if its parameter is a half-integer (see \[16, \[2,8\] or \[22, Ch.11, \[1]);
- the equation \(y'' = (az^2 + bz + c)y, a \neq 0\), is solvable in the Liouvillian sense if and only if \((4ac - b^2)/4a^{3/2}\) is an odd integer (see \[15\]);
- more generally, an equation \(y'' = P(z)y\) with a polynomial \(P\), is not solvable in the Liouvillian sense if \(\text{deg } P\) is odd (see \[10\]) whereas in the \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional space of such equations with \(\text{deg } P = 2n\), equations solvable in the Liouvillian sense form a union of countable number of algebraic varieties of dimension \(n + 1\) each (see \[13, 21\]).

A rather unexpected and maybe less known subclass of linear differential systems whose various types of solvability can be checked in terms of explicit input data, is formed by systems with sufficiently small matrix coefficients. The first result of this kind concerns Fuchsian systems. It was obtained by Ilyashenko, Khovanskii and published in 1974 in Russian, and is revised in \[11, 12, Ch.6, \[2,3\]]. This claims that for Fuchsian \((p \times p)\)-systems

\[
\frac{dy}{dz} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{B_i}{z - a_i}\right)y, \quad y(z) \in \mathbb{C}^p, \quad B_i \in \text{Mat}(p, \mathbb{C}),
\]

with fixed singular points \(a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}\) (and maybe \(\infty\), if \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \neq 0\)) there exists an \(\varepsilon = \varepsilon(p, n) > 0\) such that a criterion of solvability in the Liouvillian sense for a Fuchsian system with \(\|B_i\| < \varepsilon\) takes the following form: the system is solvable if and only if all the matrices \(B_i\) can be simultaneously reduced to a triangular form. Using Kolchin’s results, Ilyashenko and Khovanskii also obtained criteria for other types of solvability of a Fuchsian system with small residue matrices, in terms of these matrices. Though, all these criteria still remain not quite explicit in the sense that the \(\varepsilon\) is expressed implicitly. This situation was refined in \[19\] where it was proved that for applying the
above criterion of solvability it is sufficient for the eigenvalues of the matrices $B_i$, called the *exponents* of the system, to be small enough rather than for the matrices themselves (this refinement had been conjectured earlier by Andrey Bolibrukh, see remark in section 6.2.3 of [11]). Moreover, an explicit bound for the exponents was given. Then similar criteria of solvability were obtained in [8] for a (non-resonant) irregular differential system with small *formal exponents*.

In the next section we recall these criteria for various types of solvability of a linear differential system with small (formal) exponents (Theorems 1, 1’, 2, 3), previously giving necessary definitions. Then, in Section 3, we prove that the formal exponents at a non-resonant irregular singular point $z = a$ of a system

$$
\frac{dy}{dz} = B(z) y, \quad B(z) = \frac{1}{(z - a)^{r+1}}\left(B^{(0)}(z - a) + \ldots + B^{(r)}(z - a)^r + \ldots\right), \quad (1)
$$

are small, if the coefficient matrices $B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(r)}$ of the principal part of $B(z)$ at $a$ are small enough, while the leading term $B^{(0)}$ belongs to some compact subset of $\text{Mat}(p, \mathbb{C})$. This allows us to formulate criteria of solvability inside an open set of non-resonant irregular differential systems (Theorem 4), looking at the problem theoretically, like Ilyashenko and Khovanskii did in the Fuchsian case.

From a practical point of view, as soon as we have a system whose (formal) exponents satisfy some numerical restrictions, which can be checked algorithmically, the question on solvability of the *implicit* Lie algebra of the differential Galois group of the system is reduced to the question on solvability of the *explicit* Lie algebra generated by the system coefficient matrices. This practical part of the problem is studied in Section 4, where the corresponding algorithms and examples are provided.

## 2 Various types of solvability

We consider a linear differential $(p \times p)$-system

$$
\frac{dy}{dz} = B(z) y, \quad y(z) \in \mathbb{C}^p, \quad (2)
$$

defined on the whole Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, with the meromorphic coefficient matrix $B$ (whose entries are thus rational functions).

One says that a solution $y$ of the system (2) is *Liouvillian* if there is a tower of elementary extensions

$$
\mathbb{C}(z) = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \ldots \subset F_m
$$

of the field $\mathbb{C}(z)$ of rational functions such that all components of $y$ belong to $F_m$. Here each $F_{i+1} = F_i(x_i)$ is a field extension of $F_i$ by an element $x_i$, which is either:

- an integral of some element in $F_i$, or
- an exponential of integral of some element in $F_i$, or
- algebraic over $F_i$.  
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The system is said to be solvable in the Liouvillian sense (or, by generalized quadratures), if all its solutions are Liouvillian. There are other types of solvability studied by Kolchin from the point of view of differential Galois theory, which are defined in analogy to solvability by generalized quadratures, and we leave formal definitions to the reader. These are:

1. solvability by integrals and algebraic functions;
2. solvability by integrals;
3. solvability by exponentials of integrals and algebraic functions;
4. solvability by algebraic functions.

We leave aside the differential Galois group of the system and the description of the above types of solvability in terms of this group, since in the case of systems having small (formal) exponents we will deal with, this description is provided in terms of the coefficient matrix. Let us recall some basic theory.

Assume that the system (2) has singular points \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C} \) of Poincaré rank \( r_1, \ldots, r_n \) respectively and, probably, a singular point at infinity. This means that the coefficient matrix \( B \) has the form

\[
B(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{B_i^{(0)}}{(z - a_i)^{r_i+1}} + \ldots + \frac{B_i^{(r_i)}}{z - a_i} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{r_{\infty}-1} B_{\infty}^{(j)} z^j. \tag{3}
\]

The polynomial part is absent and \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i^{(r_i)} = 0 \), if \( \infty \) is a non-singular point. Further we assume, for the simplicity of exposition, that the point \( \infty \) is indeed non-singular, though we will consider some example with a singular point at infinity (Example 1 below). We also restrict ourselves to the generic case, when each singular point \( a_i \) is either Fuchsian or irregular non-resonant. In the first case the Poincaré rank \( r_i \) equals zero, while in the second case it is positive and the eigenvalues of the leading term \( B_i^{(0)} \) are pairwise distinct.

Near a Fuchsian singular point \( z = a_i \), the system possesses a fundamental matrix \( Y \) of the form

\[
Y(z) = U(z)(z - a_i)^A(z - a_i)^E,
\]

where the matrix \( U \) is holomorphically invertible at \( a_i \) (that is, \( \det U(a_i) \neq 0 \)), \( A \) is a diagonal integer matrix, \( E \) is a triangular matrix. The eigenvalues \( \lambda_i^1, \ldots, \lambda_i^p \) of the triangular matrix \( A + E \) are called the exponents of the system at a Fuchsian singular point \( a_i \) and they coincide with the eigenvalues of the residue matrix \( B_i^{(r_i)} = B_i^{(0)} \).

Near a non-resonant irregular singular point \( z = a_i \), the system possesses a formal fundamental matrix \( \hat{Y} \) of the form

\[
\hat{Y}(z) = \hat{F}(z)(z - a_i)^{\Lambda} e^{Q(1/(z-a_i))},
\]

where \( \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_i^1, \ldots, \lambda_i^p) \) is a diagonal matrix, \( \hat{F} \) is a matrix formal Taylor series in \( (z - a_i) \), with \( \det \hat{F}(a_i) \neq 0 \), and \( Q \) is a polynomial. The numbers \( \lambda_i^1, \ldots, \lambda_i^p \) are called the formal exponents of the system at the non-resonant irregular singular point \( a_i \), and the algorithm of their calculation will be recalled in the next section.
Now we give some theorems which follow from [8], [7] and which we will be based on further.

**Theorem 1.** Let the exponents of the system (2), (3) at all singular points satisfy the condition
\[
\text{Re } \lambda_j^i > -\frac{1}{n(p-1)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \quad j = 1, \ldots, p,
\]
Furthermore, for every Fuchsian singular point \( a_i \) each difference \( \lambda_j^i - \lambda_k^i \notin \mathbb{Q}\setminus\mathbb{Z} \). Then the system is solvable by generalized quadratures if and only if there exists a constant matrix \( C \in \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C}) \) such that all the matrices \( CB_i^{(0)}C^{-1} \) are upper triangular.

**Theorem 1′.** The assertion of Theorem 1 remains valid if the condition (4) is replaced by
\[
\left| \text{Re } \lambda_j^i - \text{Re } \lambda_k^i \right| < \frac{1}{n(p-1)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, p.
\]

According to the behaviour of solutions of a linear differential system near its irregular singular point, the system (2) with at least one irregular singular point is not solvable by integrals and algebraic functions. For solvability by exponentials of integrals and algebraic functions, the following criterion holds.

**Theorem 2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the system (2), (3) is solvable by exponentials of integrals and algebraic functions if and only if there exists a constant matrix \( C \in \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C}) \) such that all the matrices \( CB_i^{(0)}C^{-1} \) are diagonal.

For a Fuchsian system, we additionally have the following criteria of solvability.

**Theorem 3.** If all the singular points of the system (2), (3) are Fuchsian then, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, this system is

1. solvable by integrals and algebraic functions if and only if there exists \( C \in \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C}) \) such that all the matrices \( CB_i^{(0)}C^{-1} \) are triangular and the eigenvalues of each \( B_i^{(0)} \) are rational numbers differing by an integer;

2. solvable by integrals if and only if there exists \( C \in \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C}) \) such that all the matrices \( CB_i^{(0)}C^{-1} \) are triangular and their eigenvalues are equal to zero;

3. solvable by algebraic functions if and only if there exists \( C \in \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{C}) \) such that all the matrices \( CB_i^{(0)}C^{-1} \) are diagonal and the eigenvalues of each \( B_i^{(0)} \) are rational numbers differing by an integer.

**Example 1.** Let us give an example which illustrates that to expect the equivalence of solvability in the Liouvillian sense to the triangularizability of a system via a constant gauge transformation, one indeed need to put some restrictions on the (formal) system exponents.
Consider the equation
\[ \frac{d^2 u}{dz^2} = (z^2 + c) u, \]
where \( c \) is a constant.

Written in the form of a \((2 \times 2)\)-system with respect to the vector of unknowns \( y = (u, du/dz) \trans \), this becomes
\[ \frac{dy}{dz} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ z^2 + c & 0 \end{pmatrix} y, \]
or, in the variable \( t = 1/z \),
\[ \frac{dy}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/t^2 \\ -1/t^4 - c/t^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y. \]

This is a system with the unique singular point \( t = 0 \) of Poincaré rank 3 which is resonant though, since the leading term of the coefficient matrix is nilpotent. Thus we make the transformation \( \tilde{y} = t \text{diag}(0, 1) y \), under which the coefficient matrix \( B(t) \) of the system is changed as follows:
\[
A(t) = t \text{diag}(0, 1) B(t) t^{-\text{diag}(0, 1)} + \frac{1}{t} \text{diag}(0, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/t^3 \\ -1/t^3 - c/t & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/t \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{t^3} (A^{(0)} + A^{(2)} t^2).
\]
The transformed system has two singular points: non-resonant irregular \( t = 0 \) of Poincaré rank 2 (the eigenvalues of the leading term \( A^{(0)} \) are \( \pm 1 \)) and Fuchsian \( t = \infty \). The eigenvectors of the \( A^{(0)} \) are \( e_1 \mp e_2 \), \( e_{1,2} \) being the standard basic vectors \((1, 0) \trans \), \((0, 1) \trans \) of \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), whereas
\[
A^{(2)} (e_1 - e_2) = (-c - 1) e_2, \quad A^{(2)} (e_1 + e_2) = (-c + 1) e_2.
\]
Hence, the matrices \( A^{(0)}, A^{(2)} \) are simultaneously triangularizable (have a common eigenvector) if and only if \( c = 1 \) or \( c = -1 \). However, due to [15] (see the third example in our Introduction) the initial scalar equation and thus the transformed system, are solvable in the Liouvillian sense not only when \( c = \pm 1 \) but also in the case of any other odd integer \( c \). It remains to note that the exponents of the system at the Fuchsian point \( t = \infty \) are 0 and \(-1\) (the eigenvalues of the matrix \(-A^{(2)}\)), that is, one of them does not satisfy the condition [14] of Theorem 1 which in this case \((p = 2, n = 2)\) looks like
\[
\Re \lambda_i^j > -1/2, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad j = 1, 2.
\]

3 On the smallness of formal exponents

Let us denote by \( W \subset \text{Mat}(p, \mathbb{C}) \) a (Zariski open) subset of \( p \times p \)-matrices having pairwise distinct eigenvalues. Assuming all the Poincaré ranks of the system [2], [3] to be positive we regard it as a point in the set
\[
S^{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n}_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n} = \left\{ (B_1^{(0)}, \ldots, B_1^{(r_1)}), \ldots, (B_n^{(0)}, \ldots, B_n^{(r_n)}) \mid B_1^{(0)}, \ldots, B_n^{(0)} \in W \right\}.
\]
Here we prove the following statement generalizing the Ilyashenko–Khovanskii criterion of solvability to the non-resonant irregular case.

**Theorem 4.** For any open disc \( D \Subset W \) there exists \( \delta = \delta(D, p, n) > 0 \) such that in a set

\[
S_{a_1, \ldots, a_n}^r (D, \delta) = \left\{ (B_1^{(0)}, \ldots, B_i^{(r_i)})_{i=1}^n \in S_{a_1, \ldots, a_n}^r | B_i^{(0)} \in D, \|B_i^{(1)}\| < \delta, \ldots, \|B_i^{(r)}\| < \delta \right\}
\]

of systems with fixed singular points of fixed positive Poincaré ranks and sufficiently small non-leading coefficient matrices, systems solvable by generalized quadratures are those and only those whose matrices \( B_i^{(0)}, \ldots, B_i^{(r_i)} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), are triangular (in some common basis).

This theorem will clearly follow from Theorem 5 below and Theorem 1.

**Theorem 5.** For any open disc \( D \Subset W \) and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \delta = \delta(D, \varepsilon) > 0 \) such that a local system (1) with

\[
B^{(0)} \in D, \quad \|B^{(1)}\| < \delta, \ldots, \|B^{(r)}\| < \delta, \quad r > 0,
\]

has the formal exponents \( \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^p \) at the non-resonant irregular singular point \( z = a \) satisfying the condition \( |\lambda^j| < \varepsilon \), \( j = 1, \ldots, p \).

**Proof.** To prove the statement of the theorem, let us first recall the procedure of a formal transformation of the system (1) to a diagonal form, the Splitting lemma (from [20, §11]), and we assume that \( a = 0 \) for simplicity.

Under a transformation \( y = T(z)\bar{y} \), the system is changed as follows:

\[
\frac{d\bar{y}}{dz} = A(z) \bar{y}, \quad A(z) = T^{-1}B(z)T - T^{-1}\frac{dT}{dz},
\]

and a new coefficient matrix \( A \) has the Laurent expansion

\[
A(z) = \frac{1}{z^{r+1}} \left( A^{(0)} + A^{(1)}z + \ldots \right).
\]

One may always assume that \( T(z) = I + T^{(1)}z + \ldots \) and \( B^{(0)} = A^{(0)} = \text{diag}(\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^p) \). Then gathering the coefficients at each power \( z^k \) from the relation

\[
T(z) z^{r+1} A(z) - z^{r+1} B(z) T(z) + z^{r+1} \frac{dT}{dz} = 0,
\]

we obtain

\[
T^{(k)}B^{(0)} - B^{(0)}T^{(k)} + A^{(k)} - B^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (T^{(l)} A^{(k-l)} - B^{(k-l)} T^{(l)}) + (k-r)T^{(k-r)} = 0
\]

(the last summand equals zero for \( k \leq r \)). There are two sets of unknowns in this system of matrix equations: \( A^{(k)} = (A^{(k)}_{ij}) \) and \( T^{(k)} = (T^{(k)}_{ij}) \). Requiring all the \( A^{(k)} \)'s to be diagonal and assuming \( A^{(0)}, \ldots, A^{(k-1)} \) and \( T^{(0)}, \ldots, T^{(k-1)} \) to be already found, one first obtains

\[
A^{(k)}_{jj} = B^{(k)}_{jj} - H^{(k)}_{jj},
\]
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where \( H^{(k)} = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (T^{(l)} A^{(k-l)} - B^{(k-l)} T^{(l)}) + (k - r) T^{(k-r)} \), and then

\[
T_{ij}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^j - \alpha^i} (B_{ij}^{(k)} - H_{ij}^{(k)}), \quad i \neq j, \quad T_{jj}^{(k)} = 0.
\]

Thus one can see that

\[
\hat{F}(z) = T(z) e^{A^{(r+1)} z + A^{(r+2)} z^2 + \ldots}, \quad \Lambda = A^{(r)},
\]

\[
Q(1/z) = -\frac{A^{(0)}}{r z^r} - \frac{A^{(1)}}{(r - 1) z^{r-1}} - \ldots - \frac{A^{(r-1)}}{z}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\lambda^j = A_{jj}^{(r)} = B_{jj}^{(r)} - H_{jj}^{(r)}.
\]

This implies the estimate

\[
|\lambda^j| \leq \|A^{(r)}\| \leq \|B^{(r)}\| + \|H^{(r)}\|
\]

(we will use, for example, the matrix 1-norm \( \| \cdot \|_1 \) here).

Now we are ready to prove the smallness of the formal exponents \( \lambda^j \)'s in the case of small coefficients \( B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(r)} \). For this, we should prove the smallness of \( H^{(1)}, \ldots, H^{(r)} \).

Denoting \( \rho = \min_{i \neq j} |\alpha^j - \alpha^i| > 0 \) we will have

\[
|T_{ij}^{(k)}| \leq \frac{1}{\rho} (|B_{ij}^{(k)}| + |H_{ij}^{(k)}|) \implies \|T^{(k)}\| \leq \frac{1}{\rho} (\|B^{(k)}\| + \|H^{(k)}\|),
\]

hence

\[
\|H^{(k)}\| \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|T^{(l)}\| (\|A^{(k-l)}\| + \|B^{(k-l)}\|) \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\rho} (\|B^{(l)}\| + \|H^{(l)}\|) (2\|B^{(k-l)}\| + \|H^{(k-l)}\|).
\]

Assume that all \( \|B^{(k)}\| < \delta, k = 1, \ldots, r \). Then

\[
\|H^{(k)}\| \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \left( 2\delta^2 + \delta (\|H^{(l)}\| + \|H^{(k-l)}\|) + \|H^{(l)}\| \|H^{(k-l)}\| \right) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( 2(k-1)\delta^2 + 3\delta \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|H^{(l)}\| + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|H^{(l)}\| \|H^{(k-l)}\| \right).
\]

Thus having \( H^{(1)} = 0, \|H^{(2)}\| < 2\delta^2 / \rho \), one obtains by induction

\[
\|H^{(r)}\| \leq \frac{\delta^2}{\rho} P_{r-2}(\delta / \rho) \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda^j| \leq \delta Q_{r-1}(\delta / \rho),
\]

where \( P_{r-2} \) and \( Q_{r-1} \) are polynomials of degree \( r - 2 \) and \( r - 1 \) respectively. Indeed, if

\[
\|H^{(k)}\| \leq \frac{\delta^2}{\rho} P_{k-2}(\delta / \rho) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 2, 3, \ldots, r - 1
\]
(where $P_{k-2}$ is a polynomial of degree $k - 2$) then

$$\|H^{(r)}\| \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left( 2(r - 1)\delta^2 + 3\delta \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{\delta^2}{\rho} P_{l-2}(\delta/\rho) + \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \frac{\delta^4}{\rho^2} P_{l-2}(\delta/\rho)P_{r-l-2}(\delta/\rho) \right) =$$

$$= \frac{\delta^2}{\rho} \left( 2(r - 1) + \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} 3\frac{\delta}{\rho} P_{l-2}(\delta/\rho) + \sum_{l=1}^{r-1} \left( \frac{\delta}{\rho} \right)^2 P_{l-2}(\delta/\rho)P_{r-l-2}(\delta/\rho) \right) =$$

$$= \frac{\delta^2}{\rho} P_{r-2}(\delta/\rho).$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 5. $\square$

There are two simple corollaries of Theorem 4.

**Corollary 1** (for systems with singular points of Poincaré rank 1). There exists $\delta = \delta(p, n) > 0$ such that in a set

$$S_{a_1, \ldots, a_n}(\delta) = \left\{ (B_i^{(0)}, B_i^{(1)})_{i=1}^n \in S_{a_1, \ldots, a_n} \mid \|B_i^{(1)}\| < \delta \right\},$$

systems solvable by generalized quadratures are those and only those whose matrices $B_i^{(0)}, B_i^{(1)}, i = 1, \ldots, n,$ are triangular (in some common basis).

**Proof.** If all the Poincaré ranks $r_i = 1$, then $|\lambda_i^j| \leq \|B_i^{(1)}\|$ and thus one has no necessity to restrict the leading terms $B_i^{(0)}$ on some disc $D \subset W$ and may take any $B_i^{(0)} \in W$. $\square$

**Corollary 2.** System (2) with the coefficient matrix $B$ of the form

$$B(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{B_i^{(0)}}{(z - a_i)^{r_i+1}}, \quad B_i^{(0)} \in W, \quad r_i > 0,$$

is solvable by generalized quadratures if and only if all the matrices $B_1^{(0)}, \ldots, B_n^{(0)}$ are triangular (in some common basis).

**Proof.** In the case $B_i^{(1)} = \ldots = B_i^{(r_i)} = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n$, all the formal exponents equal zero, and there is again no need to restrict the matrices $B_i^{(0)}$ on some disc $D \subset W$. $\square$

### 4 Practical remarks and examples

We conclude by noting that the results presented in this paper can be turned into an algorithm which allows to check the solvability of a given concrete system. The main steps can be summarized as follows.

1. Compute the formal exponents at each singular point. The practical calculation of the formal exponents presented in the previous section, is already implemented in Maple as a part of the general program ISOLDE [4] based on algorithms from [1].
2. Check the required smallness of the formal exponents of the system under consideration.

3. The simultaneous triangularizability of a set of matrices is equivalent to the solvability of the Lie algebra generated by them. This can be checked using the package \textit{LieAlgebras} of Maple\footnote{Note that checking the simultaneous triangularizability of a given set of matrices can be done using more direct algorithms (that is, without recourse to Lie algebra computations). Those algorithms consist in trying first to find a common eigenvector of the input matrices and then to proceed recursively (this is a work in progress \cite{2}).}.

In order to illustrate the various steps of our algorithm we give two examples of computations performed by using our implementation in Maple.

\textbf{Example 2.} We consider a $(3 \times 3)$-system \footnote{Note that checking the simultaneous triangularizability of a given set of matrices can be done using more direct algorithms (that is, without recourse to Lie algebra computations). Those algorithms consist in trying first to find a common eigenvector of the input matrices and then to proceed recursively (this is a work in progress \cite{2}).} with the coefficient matrix

$$B(z) = M_1/z^3 + M_4/z + M_2/(z - 1)^2 + M_5/(z - 1) + M_3/(z + 1)^2 + M_6/(z + 1),$$

where the matrices $M_i$ are given by

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & -4 & -4 \\ 17 & 14 & 13 \\ -10 & -8 & -7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & -5 & -5 \\ 23 & 17 & 15 \\ -14 & -9 & -7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -11 & -7 & -6 \\ 8 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 2a - c & a - c & a - c \\ -3 - 6a + 5c & -2 - 3a + 5c & -2 - 3a + 5c \\ 3 + 4a - 3c & 2 + 2a - 3c & 2 + 2a - 3c \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b + 2 & -b + 1 & -2b + 1 \\ -b - 2 & b - 1 & 2b - 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_6 = \begin{pmatrix} -2a + c & -a + c & -a + c \\ -b + 6a + 1 - 5c & b + 3a + 1 - 5c & 2b + 3a + 1 - 5c \\ b - 4a - 1 + 3c & -b - 2a - 1 + 3c & -2b - 2a - 1 + 3c \end{pmatrix},$$

and $a, b$ and $c$ are parameters. It has three singular points $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1, a_3 = -1$ of Poincaré rank $r_1 = 2, r_2 = 1, r_3 = 1$, respectively. The point $z = \infty$ is non-singular, since $M_4 + M_5 + M_6 = 0$.

Our implementation allows to check that the three singularities are non-resonant and gives the formal exponents for each of them.

\begin{verbatim}
> read "/Users/barkatou/Desktop/Spliting/split";

We apply the Splitting lemma at $z = a_1$ up to $k = 2$.
\end{verbatim}
We get the equivalent matrix
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{z^4} + \frac{a}{z^2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{z^4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{z^4} + \frac{c}{z^2}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \text{regular part.}
\]
The formal exponents at \( z = a_1 \) are then \( \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2^1 = a, \lambda_3^1 = c \).

We apply now the Splitting lemma at \( z = a_2 \) up to \( k = 1 \).

We get the equivalent matrix
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{(z-1)^3} + \frac{b}{z-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{3}{(z-1)^3}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \text{regular part.}
\]
The formal exponents at \( z = a_2 \) are then \( \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3^2 = b \).

Finally, we apply the Splitting lemma at \( z = a_3 \) up to \( k = 1 \).

We get the equivalent matrix
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{a}{z+1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{2}{(z+1)^2} - \frac{c}{z+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{(z+1)^2} - \frac{b}{z+1}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \text{regular part.}
\]
The formal exponents at \( z = a_3 \) are then \( \lambda_1^3 = -a, \lambda_2^3 = -c, \lambda_3^3 = -b \).

Note that in our case \((p = 3, n = 3)\) the condition (4) of Theorem 1 is as follows:
\[
\text{Re} \lambda_j^i > -1/6, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.
\]
This is equivalent here to the condition
\[
|\text{Re} \lambda| < 1/6, \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \in \{a, b, c\}.
\]
Now let us check in which case the matrices \( M_i, i = 1, \ldots, 6, \) are simultaneously triangularizable. For this, we can first use the \textit{LieAlgebras} package of Maple.

1. First one computes the Lie algebra \( L \) generated by the set \( S = \{M_1, \ldots, M_6\} \).
2. Then one can use the \textit{Query} function with the argument “\textit{Solvable}” to check the solvability of \( L \).
with(DifferentialGeometry):
with(LieAlgebras):
S:=[seq(M_i, i = 1 .. 6)]:
L := MatrixLieAlgebra(S);

LD1:=LieAlgebraData(L,Alg1):
DGsetup(LD1):
We check the solvability of L
Query("Solvable");
true

This tells us that the Lie algebra $L$ is sovable (apparently for all $a, b, c$) but it does not give a transformation $P$ that simultaneously triangularizes the matrices $M_i$. Such a transformation can be directly obtained using the implementation from [2].

> read "/Users/barkatou/Desktop/Simul-Triang/utilitaires_reduction.mpl":
> read "/Users/barkatou/Desktop/Simul-Triang/SimultTriang.mpl":
> P := SimultaneousTriangularization([seq(M[i], i = 1 .. 6)]);

$P := \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$

One can check that indeed the matrices $P^{-1}M_iP$ are triangular:

> seq(1/P . M[i] . P, i = 1 .. 6);

$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -2 & 10 \\
0 & -1 & 7 \\
0 & 0 & 2
\end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix}
2 & -5 & 14 \\
0 & -1 & 9 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 4 & 8 \\
0 & 0 & -3 \\
0 & 0 & -2
\end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1+2a & -3-4a+3c \\
0 & a & -2a+2c \\
0 & 0 & c
\end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix}
b & -2b-1 & b+2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix}
-b & 2b-2a & -b+4a+1-3c \\
0 & -a & 2a-2c \\
0 & 0 & -c
\end{bmatrix}$
In conclusion, the system in this example is solvable in the Liouvillian sense without any restriction on the parameters \(a, b, c\). On the other hand, the matrices \(M_i, i = 1, \ldots, 6\), are never simultaneously diagonalizable since, for example, \(M_1, M_2\) do not commute:

\[
[M_1, M_2] = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & -1 & -1 \\
14 & 10 & 10 \\
-13 & -9 & -9
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence, due to Theorem 2 we can assert that the system is not solvable by exponentials of integrals and algebraic functions whenever \(|\text{Re} \lambda| < 1/6\), for \(\lambda \in \{a, b, c\}\).

**Example 3.** We consider a \((3 \times 3)\)-system \([2]\) with the coefficient matrix

\[B(z) = M_1/(z - a_1)^{1+r_1} + M_2/(z - a_2)^{1+r_2} + M_3/(z - a_3)^{1+r_3},\]

where the matrices \(M_i\) are given by

\[
M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3a & 3 + b & 1 \\ -3ab & -b^2 - 5b & -2 - b \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\(r_i\) are positive integers and \(a, b\) are parameters. The matrix \(M_2\) has three distinct eigenvalues: 0, 3, −2. Hence the system has three non-resonant singularities and is of the form assumed in Corollary 2.
This should be interpreted as that the Lie algebra $L$ is not solvable (and hence our three matrices $M_i$ are not simultaneously triangularizable) for generic values of $a, b$. Therefore, the system is not solvable by generalized quadratures for generic values of $a, b$.

Our implementation [2] gives the same answer:

```
> read "/Users/barkatou/Desktop/Simul-Triang/utilitaires_reduction.mpl":
> read "/Users/barkatou/Desktop/Simul-Triang/SimultTriang.mpl":
> P := SimultaneousTriangularization([seq(M[i], i = 1 .. 3)]):

"The matrices are not simultaneously triangularizable!"

Now one can check directly that for $a = 0$ and $b = -5$ the matrices $M_i$ (denoted by $N_i$ in this particular case) are simultaneously triangularizable.

```
> N := subs({a=0, b = -5}, S);

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

> P := SimultaneousTriangularization([seq(N[i], i = 1 .. 3)]);

\[
P := \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

We can check that $P^{-1}N_iP$ are indeed triangular:

```
> seq(1/P . N[i] . P, i = 1 .. 3);

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
-2 & -1/3 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
4 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

This means that for $a = 0$, $b = -5$, the system is solvable by generalized quadratures and, on the other hand, is not solvable by exponentials of integrals and algebraic functions (since the matrices $N_i$ are not simultaneously diagonalizable: $[N_1, N_2] \neq 0$).
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