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Split hypoglossal facial anastomosis for facial nerve palsy due to skull base fractures: A case report
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\begin{abstract}
Introduction: Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is the most prevalent causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The biomechanics of primary TBI involve a direct impact, practically extended to the base of the skull, and most of the skull base fractures (SBF) are identified in anterior and medial cranial fossa. Furthermore, those predicted in the medial area are related to fissures from temporal bones.

Presentation of case: We report two cases of right facial nerve palsy initiated by SBF’s, which were diagnosed and treated at our institution. The 3D CT evaluation in our first case showed a longitudinal fracture of the right petrosal bone, which was longitudinal and transverse for the second case. Two cases of facial nerve palsy were managed with split hypoglossal facial anastomosis to restore functional reanimation. All patients were adequately achieved after the procedure, and the hypoglossal nerve function was preserved.

Conclusion: Split hypoglossal facial anastomosis technique was used to treat patients with facial nerve paralysis resulting from SBF’s. This was to achieve good recovery outcome, in terms of facial reanimation and preservation of tongue function.
\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is an important contributor to morbidity and mortality. This condition has recently been ascribed as one of the most prominent medical problems in society \cite{1,2}. Furthermore, about 4\% of all cases include skull base fractures (SBF) \cite{3}, which frequently occurs in the petrous part of the temporal bone, after high-energy impact trauma \cite{4}. However, the manifestation at the middle area of SBF’s (7\%–10\%) is implicated in facial nerve damage, as these route through temporal bone \cite{5,6}. The electrophysiologic testing and 3 D CT have been identified as valuable tools in the evaluation of anatomy, pathomechanism, prognosis and surgical planning \cite{7}. Moreover, split hypoglossal facial anastomosis is a potent and dependable technique adopted in surgical facial animation \cite{8}. This case has been reported in line with the SCARE 2018 guidelines \cite{9}.

2. Case presentation

This report presents 2 male patients 28 (Fig. 1A) and 30 (Fig. 1B) years old with right facial nerve palsy initiated by SBF’s. The 3D CT evaluation in patient no.1 showed a longitudinal fracture of the right petrosal bone (Fig. 2A), which was longitudinal and transverse (Fig. 2B) for a second patient. Furthermore, the paralysis ensued immediately after trauma and is measured using the House Brackman scale as (HB)-III and HB-IV, respectively. Based on electrophysiological examination, degeneration and facial electromyography (EMG) provided fibrillation potentials on the 2nd week. Subsequently, similar results were obtained after 6 months of rehabilitation, electrophysiological examination and clinical re-evaluation (HB scale).

Therefore, we decided to perform the split hypoglossal facial anastomosis technique on both patients for the purpose of facial reanimation (Fig. 3A). The surgical microscopic magnification shows the presentation of hypoglossal nerve, characterized by longitudinal dissected retrograde (arrow, blue), spliced to connect with the proximal facial nerve (arrow, red), alongside several epineural sutures without tension and indications of thrombin fibrine glue (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, antibiotics were administered post operatively, and both patients were discharged in good recovery on the 10th day.

\* Corresponding author. Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia Jalan Malalayang Satu Barat, Malalayang, Manado City, North Sulawesi, 95115, Indonesia. 
E-mail addresses: ekoprasetyo@unsrat.ac.id (E. Prasetyo), maxioley@yahoo.com (M.C. Oley), faroex8283@gmail.com (M. Faruk).
Evaluation was conducted 6 months after surgery, using electrophysiological examination and HB scale assessment. The result showed a satisfactory clinical assessment with HB-II scale, alongside the absence of tongue dysfunction. Furthermore, proper rehabilitation was ascertained on the 10 months, evidenced by a HB-I scale (Fig. 4A and B).

3. Discussion

The calvarium comprises the vault, as well as the skull base, encompassing the paired orbital plates, with the cribriform in the middle. This also contains the sphenoid bone with lesser anterior and greater posterior wings, alongside parts of the squamous temporal, petrous, and occipital bones posteriorly [10]. A skull base fracture (SBF) is defined as
any fracture involving the floor of the anterior, middle and posterior cranial fossa, assumed to originate from either a direct local or remote force impact [8]. This has been implicated in approximately 4% of TBIs [3], and also accounts for about 19% of all skull fractures [11]. In addition, blunt trauma is accountable for over 90% of all SBF’s [12], with most injuries located in the anterior fossa (51%) [13]. Faried et al., reported on the occurrence of around 69.4% of cases in the anterior fossa, while 27.5% was in the middle cranial fossa [14]. Mokolane et al. described the center area as the most common target point, and is assumed as the weakest area, due to the presence of neurovascular foramina and thin bones [13,15]. However, the most frequently fractured spots, as observed in 14–22% of all cases were in the temporal bone or laterobasal fractures, resulting from high energy blunt impact [16]. This is congruent with other studies, where 30–47% was documented [13].

The temporal bone is formed in five portions, including the squama as the lateral wall, alongside the mastoid, petrous, tympanic and styloid [4]. In addition, possible fractures are classified according to the relationship with the long axis of the petrous pyramid, comprising the longitudinal, transverse and mixed. Approximately 80–90% of temporal bone fractures are longitudinal, with the crack line assumed to run along the roof of the external auditory canal, while 10–20% are transverse to the long axis of the petrous temporal bone [4,17]. Moreover, the facial nerve maintains a potentially precarious route through the temporal bone. Hence, there is a high liability to injury after the experience of skull fracture at this level [18]. The longitudinal forms have been associated with facial nerve palsy, where 20–30% of cases result from lateral basal injury to nerves located within the facial canal, commonly in the geniculate ganglion region [17,19]. Conversely, transverse temporal bone fractures are much rarer, and majorly occur after severe trauma to the occiput, and approximately 50% of patients manifest immediate facial nerve paralysis [19].
The cases evaluated in this study showed longitudinal and transverse fractures of the petrous after 3D CT examination. This assessment tool is useful in the detection of fractures as well as any facial deformity, and also assists in reconstruction surgical planning [7]. The classification of petrous bone fractures relies on clinical finding and confirmation by CT [17]. However, pathologic entities located in and around the skull base are easily overlooked on conventional CT images obtained at the Emergency Department [10]. The 3D version a gold standard, as the images rendered are significantly improved, hence the application is suitable in the radiological diagnosis of SBF’s [5,17,20].

Various scoring system have been devised for grading the severity of the facial nerve impairment. The function was evaluated pre and post operatively, using the grading system of House and Brackman (HB) scale. This is assumed to be the most universally accepted measure, due to the simplicity, reproducibility and low inter-observer variability [21]. The Facial Nerve Disorders Committee recommended the application of this system during the evaluation and report of all recoveries [22]. Furthermore, gross facial appearance was assessed at rest, with movement, during forehead gestures, and motion around the eyes and mouth. The results show a gradation of facial nerve function from HB I to VI on the scale [22–24].

Pre operatively, patient no.1 (HB-III scale, moderate dysfunction) was better than no.2 (HB-IV scale, moderately severe dysfunction). This is possibly explained by the individuals’ state, comprising the combination longitudinal and transverse temporal bone fractures, indicating a high energy blunt impact.

Also, it is important to regularly perform clinical assessment and electrophysiological testing in the form of electroneurography (ENOG) and electromyography (EMG), to determine the timing onset of facial weakness and whether the injury is partial or complete [13]. However, surgery is usually recommended on instances where no regeneration potentials are noted with EMG, and after a prognosis of total paralysis with over 90% reduction in amplitude of motor response, as confirmed by ENOG [5,25]. Furthermore, an electrophysiological examination conducted on the 2nd week showed signs of nerve fiber degeneration >95% by ENOG, while facial EMG provided information on the fibrillation potentials, and the characteristic of denervated muscles. Subsequently, similar outcome was observed after exposure to electrophysiological testing and clinical re-evaluation after 6 months rehabilitation, using the HB III-IV scale.

The hypoglossal facial nerve anastomosis remains the most popular technique applied in the reanimation of paralyzed faces [26–28]. The main goal of this surgery is to restore facial symmetry, during rest, and also while expressing emotions, demonstrating voluntary motions and ensuring the preserved tongue function [22,25,29]. Moreover, the end to end method was first proposed by Körte and Bernhard in 1901, where a neural graft was used to suture the hypoglossal to the facial nerve. This procedure was widely developed in the works of Balance and Duel in 1925 [28]. The hypoglossal nerve function was preserved. The hypoglossal facial nerve anastomosis remains the most popular technique applied in the reanimation of paralyzed faces [26–28]. The main goal of this surgery is to restore facial symmetry, during rest, and also while expressing emotions, demonstrating voluntary motions and ensuring the preserved tongue function [22,25,29]. Moreover, the end to end method was first proposed by Körte and Bernhard in 1901, where a neural graft was used to suture the hypoglossal to the facial nerve. This procedure was widely developed in the works of Balance and Duel in 1925 [28]. The hypoglossal nerve function was preserved.

The hypoglossal facial nerve anastomosis technique was used on both patients suffering SBF-mediated total facial paralysis on the right side for over 6 months duration. Furthermore, good recovery of facial reanimation with HB-1 scale was accomplished, and none experienced hemi tongue atrophy or dysfunction.

4. Conclusion

This report presented two cases of facial paralysis caused by SBF’s, and treated with split hypoglossal facial anastomosis to restore functional reanimation. This outcome was adequately achieved after the procedure, and the hypoglossal nerve function was preserved.
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