Comparison of Methods and Systems in Internal Radiation Dosimetry
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Mini Review

This different internal dosimetry methods were developed for the purpose of radioprotection, and radiation safety to minimize the risk of the effects of ionizing radiation on the people. The current method for calculating dose was proposed by the ICRP [1-4]. Internal dosimetry modalities or methods are used when a radioactive material enters the body by different routes inhalation, ingestion, absorption, injection on different circumstances either by breathing, eating or drinking respectively, contaminated air, fluids foods and wounds. These occur in different occasions, either occupational or accidentally. In this course class paper, we describe the various mathematical models for calculating the absorbed dose by diverse organs of the body.

Internal Dosimetry Dose Calculation

The quantification of the absorbed dose to tissue requires the amount of energy deposited per unit mass of the body tissue. That energy comes from different type of radiations, the penetrating emissions (x and γ-rays), as well as non-penetrating ones α and β. Furthermore, the radiation absorbed dose is a function of lot of parameters such as: the activity of the radionuclide, its physical and biological half-life $T_p$ and $T_b$, the fractional abundance of the radiation with energy $E_i$ emitted per nuclear transition $n_i$, the fraction of energy emitted that is absorbed in the target volume $\phi_i$, the pathology or biodistribution of the radioactivity in the body [5,6]. The equivalent and effective doses are proportional to the radiation and tissue weighting factors and the absorbed dose. The absorbed dose rate is given by the equation:

$$D_{(rad/hr \text{ or Gy/s})} = k \sum_{i} \frac{N_i E_i \phi_i}{m} = k \sum_{i} \Delta \phi_i = 2.13 \sum_{i} \frac{N_i E_i \phi_i}{m}$$

Where $A' [\mu Ci \ or \ Bq]$, is the accumulated activity,

$$\Delta_{eq} [rad \ \mu Ci^{-1} \ or \ Gy \ kgs^{-1}] = 2.13 \sum N_i E_i$$

is the equilibrium absorbed dose constant, and $m$ is the mass of the target volume. This formula is applicable only for non-penetrating radiations (α-rays and β-rays), implying that all the energy is absorbed in the target volume. For penetrating radiations (x and γ-rays), all the energy or portion of it will be absorbed by...
the target volume. When the source and the target are different, we must insert a coefficient to account for the partial absorption of the energy by the target tissue, then, the absorbed dose is given by the following equation.

$$D_{\text{rad.hr}^{-1}} = 2.13 \frac{A \cdot N \cdot E \cdot \Phi(T \leftrightarrow S)}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where $\Phi_{S \rightarrow T} = AF(T \leftrightarrow S)$ is the absorbed fraction of dose coming from the source organ (S), that is absorbed by the target organ T. For $\alpha$ and $\beta$ particles, $\gamma$ - and $\gamma$-radiation of energies less than 11 keV, all the energy emitted. By the radiopharmaceutical, the absorbed is in the volume greater than 1 cm. So, $\Phi$, will be different from 0, unless the source S and target T are the same, $\Phi$. For $\alpha$ and $\beta$ particles, most non-penetrating radiation is usually absorbed, so we set the absorption fraction $\Phi$. For $\gamma$ and $\gamma$-rays, penetrating radiation with energy greater than 0.1, the value of $\Phi$ varies inversely with increasing energy and between 0 and 1, contingent on the energy. The data of $\Phi$ are computed by statistical Monte Carlo methods based on the interaction radiation and matter [6].

### Different Dosimetry Systems

The previous formulas have been derived using lot of simplifications and are the most commonly used to calculate dose for radiopharmaceutical with complex emission spectra. These dosimetry systems that seem to look different, where some parameters have been combined, may look different, but yield the same output given the same input and assumptions.

#### Marinelli-Quimby Methods

The equation for the dose of non-penetrating beta ($\beta$) emitter that decays completely in a body tissue is given by the equation: [7,8]

$$D_{\beta} = 73.8 \times C \times E \times \frac{T}{\mu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where: $D_{\beta}[\text{rad}]$ is the concentration of the radionuclide, $C[\text{Ci} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}]$ is the concentration of the nuclide, $E_{\beta}[\text{MeV}]$ is the mean energy emitted per decay of the nuclide, is the half-life of the nuclide in the tissue. By analogy with the cumulative activity, $A = 1.44 \times f \times A_{\alpha} \times T$, we see that $k = \frac{73.8}{1.44} \times 51.1$, and $C[\mu \text{Ci} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}]$ is the activity per unit mass, and for the $\beta$ emitter, the absorption fraction $\Phi = 1$. For penetrating radiations such as $\gamma$-rays, we use the geometric factors of Brownell and Hine [9] for spheres and cylinders of set shape to calculate the data for the fraction of energy $\Phi$ emitted that is absorbed in the target volume. The dose in the vicinity of the $\gamma$-emitter is given by the formula:

$$D_{\gamma} = 10^{-3} \tau \times e^{-E_{r}^{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-E_{r}^{1}/E_{r}^{2}}}{{E}_{r}^{2}} d{E}_{r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $C[\mu \text{Ci} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}]$ is the activity of the gamma emitter. Similarly, by analogy, the specific $\gamma$-rate constant $\Gamma$ is the exposure rate per disintegration from the point source (same as $k \times C \times E_{\beta}$) to an infinite medium, while the factor $\frac{1}{\Gamma \times \tau}$ plays a role of the absorbed fraction, with $\mu$, being basically the absorbed fraction and $\mu$ is an absorption coefficient.

### International Commission on Radiology Protection (ICRP)

The ICRP introduced two methodologies ICRP II [10] and ICRP 30 [1] for internal dosimetry implementable in occupational settings, particularly in the nuclear fuel cycle with reference to (Figure 1). The ICRP II is the foundation of the radiation protection regulations in the US (Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 10 CFR 20), which was revised (10 CFR 20) in 1994 and gave birth to the new ICRP 30. The ICRP II and ICRP 30 systems have to do with occupational exposure and its calculation of the dose equivalent using the formula: $H = \frac{51.2 \times A \times E}{m}$, with $Q = \sum n_{i} \Phi_{i} \phi$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where $n_{i}, \Phi_{i}$ are defined in section 2, while $Q_{i}$ is the quality factor of the radiation to get the result in equivalent dose. The constant 5.12 is the $k$ constant that converts into rem per day, for activity $A[\mu \text{Ci}]), mass [\text{mg}], and energy E[\text{MeV}]. At t = 0, a certain amount of radiopharmaceutical enters the body either by ingestion or

Ingestion. For the gastrointestinal (GI) tract as well as the respiratory system models are used to compute the transfer of radionuclide from the GI or the respiratory system to the body fluids as well as its excretion. Then, by either pathway of intake, the radionuclide enters the body fluid system [11]. The radionuclide enters the body through compartment a which is connected to different compartments, b, c, d, etc. representing type of tissues or organs of the body, where the radiopharmaceutical experiences biological clearance and physical decay, and finally goes out of the body. The dose distribution in the first compartment is assumed to be uniform in the reference man. The ICRP metabolic design uses mathematical models for the reference man to track the radiopharmaceutical as it moves from the original compartment to other tissues or organs. The different compartment models are: ICRP-30 Dosimetric Model for Respiratory System (ICRP Human Respiratory Tract Model), ICRP-30 Dosimetric Model for the Gastrointestinal Tract, and ICRP-30 Dosimetric Model for Submersion in a Radioactive Gas Cloud. The solutions of these computations are the equivalent dose and effective dose rates of various tissues and organs as a function of time. Then, the committed equivalent dose and the committed effective dose subsequent from the original intake can be evaluated, as well as the ALI (Annual Limit of Intake), the DAC (Derived Air Concentration) [11].

We calculate the committed effective dose for a radionuclide in the body with the ICRP mathematical tools and data from the reference man. The committed effective dose is given for a period of 50 years by the formula: $E(t) = \sum w_{i} H_{i}(t) \Leftrightarrow E(50) = \sum w_{i} H_{i}$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $w_{i}$ is the weighting factor for the tissue, and $H_{i}(t)$ is the committed equivalent dose of tissue T given by equation (7).
\[ H_I(t) = \int_0^t H_I \, dt \]  
where \( t = 50 \) years for occupational use, and \( t = 70 \) years for the members of the public [12]. For a target organ \( T \), we can calculate and sum the respective committed equivalent dose from all organs, known as source organ \( S \).

\[ AF(T \leftarrow S)_R \]

where \( \dot{H}(T \leftarrow S) \) is the equivalent dose in the target \( T \) (mean) per disintegration of the radionuclide in \( S \). \( \dot{U}_i \) is the number of disintegration of the nuclide in the source organ \( S \) for the 50 years of the committed equivalent dose. The unique difference between the dose calculated with ICRP II in one hand and ICRP 30 including MIRD on the other hand, is that the committee of ICRP II used a very simple phantom, the sphere (all body organs and whole were represented by spheres). The source and the target were the same.

**Medical Internal Radiation Dosimetry (MIRD) System**

The MIRD system has been extensively studied in class, so, here we are going write down the main equations that govern that system [13]. The absorbed dose in the MIRD system is given by the set of equations below:

\[ \langle D \rangle = \sum\limits_{\text{all organs}} \frac{A \cdot \lambda \cdot \Delta \cdot m}{m} \cdot S \]

This equation means that the Average or Mean Dose \( \langle D \rangle \) is the product of the cumulative activity \( \dot{A} \) by Mean Dose per activity \( S \).

\[ \langle D \rangle = \frac{\sum A \cdot \lambda \cdot \Delta \cdot m}{m} \cdot S \]

the average or mean dose is the ratio of the term \( \sum A \cdot \lambda \) by the mass of target \( m \) [g].

\[ \sum A \cdot \lambda [\text{rad.g.mCi}^{-1}.hr^{-1}] \] is the product of the cumulative activity \( \dot{A} [\text{mCi.hr}] \) by \( \sum A \cdot \lambda [\text{rad.g.mCi}^{-1}.hr^{-1}] \), the sum of all the equilibrium absorbed dose constants for all radiation emissions i.

\[ S = \frac{\sum \Phi \cdot \Delta \cdot \lambda}{\dot{A}} - \sum \Phi \cdot \Delta \cdot \lambda [\text{rad.g.mCi}^{-1}.hr^{-1}] \]

Equation (11) gives the Mean dose per cumulated activity, where \( \Phi \cdot \dot{\lambda} / \dot{A} \) is called specific absorbed fraction.

**Radiation Dose Assessment Resource (RADAR)**

The Radiation Dose Assessment Resource set up a website www.doseinfo-radar.com. The introduction of the internet gave the scientific community the impulse to disseminate a number of publications on data and procedures used in the system. The RADAR system is ruled by the equation [14].

\[ D = N \cdot DF \]

where \( N \) and \( DF \) are respectively the number of transformations going on in the organ (the number of disintegrations is the area of the activity-time curve for a source region) and is given by the expression:

\[ DF = \frac{k \cdot \sum n \cdot E \cdot \Phi}{m} \]

Mathematically speaking is similar to the mean dose per cumulated activity defined in the MIRD system by the equation (11) in 3.3. The RADAR team put together collections of decay data, dose conversion factors, and classified, identical dose models for occupational workers and nuclear medicine patients. Furthermore, they developed a computer code, OLINDA/EXM that works with formulas (12), (13) and input data from RADAR site [15].

**Specific Absorbed Fraction, Specific Effective Energy, and Committed Quantities**

The specific equivalent dose in a target organ \( T \) caused by the radiation emitted per disintegration by a radionuclide in source organ \( S \) is \( \dot{H}(T \leftarrow S) \). For a specific combination of the source organ \( S \), target organ \( T \) and the kind of radiation, we derive the value of \( \dot{H} \) from the fraction of the energy emitted in the organ \( S \) and absorbed in organ \( T \) denoted \( \Phi \), where \( R \) represents the type of radiation. The specific absorbed fraction is the ratio of the fraction of the energy emitted in the organ \( S \) and absorbed in organ \( T \) denoted \( \Phi \). Tables with value of the is the same as in equation (3) are publishes by the ICRP. The values of for different type of radiations are the same as in section 2 [11]. The Medical Internal Radiation Dosimetry (MIRD) Committee have computed the absorbed fraction for different organs and targets in the Reference Man using Monte Carlo techniques based of the transport of photons (monoenergetic and poly-energetic beam) through the human body. Very often, a radiopharmaceutical in source organ \( S \) emits several kind of radiation \( R \) with a yield \( Y_k \) with a mean energy \( E_{k \cdot c} \).

The product of \( Y_k \cdot E_{k \cdot c} \) by \( \dot{A}(T \leftarrow S)_k \) gives the mean absorbed dose in the target \( T \) per disintegration in source \( S \) by the type of radiation \( R \). The ICRP expresses the specific effective energy (SEE) transmitted per gram of tissue in \( T \) from the emission of a specific radiation \( R \) in the source organ \( S \) per disintegration as follows [12],

\[ \text{SEE}(T \leftarrow S)_k = \frac{\dot{A}(T \leftarrow S)_k \cdot Y_k \cdot E_{k \cdot c} \cdot \text{MeV.g}^{-1}}{m} \]

Where \( w_k \) is the weighting factor of radiation \( R \) emitted per disintegration of a radionuclide in source \( S \) to the equivalent dose in target \( T \). To get this expression in radiation protection unit, we time the SEE by the factor:

\[ (1.60 \times 10^{12} \text{JMeV}^{-1} / 10^{3} \text{kg.g}^{-1}) = 1.6 \times 10^{10} \text{Sv(MeV.g}^{-1}) \]

Performing the discrete sum for all kind of radiation emitted by the radiopharmaceutical gives a specific equivalent dose in target organ \( T \), given below:

\[ \dot{H}(T \leftarrow S) = 1.6 \times 10^{10} \sum \text{SEE}(T \leftarrow S)_k \cdot \text{Sv} \]

The committed equivalent dose is given by multiplying the number of disintegration \( U_s \) in 50 years by the specific equivalent dose above:
The committed effective dose obtained by multiplying the committed equivalent dose by the sum of all weighting factors for different tissues or organs:

$$E(50) = 1.6 \times 10^{-5} \sum_r w_r \sum_s U_s \sum_s \text{SEE}(T \leftrightarrow S)_r \text{[Sv]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Suppose we have multiple radiopharmaceuticals in the body, therefore, to get the total committed effective dose, we add the individual contributions [12].

$$E(50) = 1.6 \times 10^{-5} \sum_r w_r \sum_s U_s \sum_s \text{SEE}(T \leftrightarrow S)_r \text{[Sv]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

**Conclusion**

It has been long journey exploring learning about the various internal dosimetry systems that are used to monitor; evaluate exposure for occupational workers as well as the public. All these dosimetry systems are crucial for protecting radiation workers and the public in order to prevent acute, rare effect of radiation, as well as minimizing the risk for long-term effects. Finally, we protect the people, also, by verifying adequacy at workplace controls and demonstrating regulatory compliance.
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