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INTRODUCTION

Terra firma-forme dermatosis (TFFD) was first described in 1987 as Duncan’s dirty dermatitis.1 Terra firma-forme means dirty, dry surface in Latin, but in TFFD the patients practice good hygiene. The lesions appear as brown-gray pigmented plaques and patches and can feel rough when palpated. TFFD does not cause any symptoms. Disappearance of the lesions by rubbing with 70% isopropyl alcohol is diagnostic for disease.1-7

TFFD can be easily diagnosed and treated, but if the physician does not consider it early in the diagnosis, unnecessary diagnostic and treatment procedures may result. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the demographic and clinical features of the TFFD.

METHODS

We evaluated retrospectively the medical records of patients with a diagnosis of TFFD between June 2013 and February 2016. Demographic features, dermatological findings, and accompanying systemic and dermatological diseases were documented. We received ethical approval from the ethics committee of Kocaeli University. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

RESULTS

There were 79 patients with TFFD; 70 were children (aged 1 to 17 years), and 9 were adult (aged 18 to 42 years). Fifty-one (65.6%) of the patients were female (Table 1). Accompanying dermatological diseases and systemic diseases are displayed in Table 1.

Location of the lesions is displayed in Table 2. In 34.2% of cases lesions were found in more than 1 area of the body. They appeared on the trunk, extremities, folded zones, and head and neck in decreasing order. The lesions were symmetrically distributed in 61 (77.2%) patients.

The lesions disappeared after being wiped with 70% isopropyl alcohol-soaked gauze, as shown in Supplemental Figures 1 and 2 (available at: http://AnnFamMed.org/content/16/1/52/suppl/DC1).

ABSTRACT

Terra-firma-forme dermatosis (TFFD) is a little known hyperpigmented skin disease that is more common than expected. We examined retrospectively the medical records of 79 patients: 88.6% were children, the mean age was 10.4 years (SD = 7.5 years), and 64.6% were female. The dermatoses were found on the trunk in 27.8%, extremities in 26.6%, fold zones in 8.9%, and head in 2.5% of the patients. The lesions appeared in more than 1 location in 34.2% of the patients and were symmetrically located 77.2% of the time. Swabbing with alcohol is sufficient for diagnosis and treatment. TFFD, mostly seen in children and female patients, should be kept in mind to avoid unnecessary diagnostic testing and treatment.
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TFFD is a keratinization disorder with an asymptomatic dirt-like appearance that occurs despite adequate hygiene. It should be differentiated from dermatosis neglecta, acanthosis nigricans, neurodermatitis, ichthyosis, pityriasis versicolor, epidermal nevi, and confluent and reticulated papillomatosis. The lesions can be mistaken for dirt, even though the patient’s hygiene is good. The dirt-like lesions make patients and their parents uncomfortable; they report, “We are constantly washing these places with soap.” In addition to the parents’ observation, when examining the patient, the physician can differentiate the lesions from dermatosis neglecta and real dirt.

Aggressive diagnostic and therapeutic approaches can result when the physician does not recognize TFFD. For example, a skin biopsy was taken from a girl with a presumed diagnosis of ichthyosis vulgaris (Supplemental Figure 1). Before arrival at our clinic, another patient was believed to have pityriasis versicolor, and treatment was attempted using antifungal medication.

The exact cause of TFFD is still unclear. Histopathological studies are limited, but lamellar hyperkeratosis, compact orthokeratosis with whorls, keratin globules in the stratum corneum, increased melanin, and *Malassezia furfur* spores in the basal layer have been reported. The clinical appearance of TFFD may be explained by an abnormal keratinization and accumulation of melanin and dirt within the epidermis. Further histopathologic studies, however, may be useful in terms of illuminating the etiopathogenesis of the disease.

Genetic predisposition has been mentioned in previous case reports. In our study group, 2 patients were siblings and had xerosis. This finding suggests a genetic predisposition, but wider studies are needed to address this possibility.

Emollients and sun exposure have also been reported as causative factors. Because our patients reported no history of regular emollient use, even those with atopic dermatitis and keratosis, we believe that emollient usage is not a causative factor. There may be a relationship between TFFD and xerosis, which is also a disorder of keratinization. Our patients had lesions in places that were not exposed to the sun, which would suggest there is no relationship between sun exposure and TFFD.

Some patients had acne, seborrheic dermatitis, rosacea, and vitiligo, but the locations of the TFFD lesions were different from where these lesions were found. According to our results, there may be no relationship between these dermatoses and TFFD. Further studies about accompanying skin diseases may be informative about the etiopathogenesis of TFFD.

A total of 18 patients had other systemic diseases, such as allergic rhinitis, allergic asthma, urinary tract infection, hypothyroidism, osteosarcoma, and chronic myeloid leukemia. There is no report describing the relation of these diseases with TFFD. Future studies may be useful to determine whether other systemic diseases are related TFFD.

In previous case reports, lesions were found to be mostly on skin folds. In our study, more than 1 anatomical region was involved. Lesions were found on the trunk, extremities, skin folds (neck, axilla, inguinal region, umbilical fold), and face in decreasing order.

| Characteristic | Value |
|---------------|-------|
| Demographic   |       |
| Total patients, No. | 79 |
| Child, No. (%)     | 70 (88.6) |
| Adult, No. (%)     | 9 (11.4) |
| Age, y, mean (SD)  | 10.4 (7.5) |
| Sex             |       |
| Female, No. (%)   | 51 (64.6) |
| Male, No. (%)     | 28 (35.4) |
| Pediatric, female, No. (%) | 44 (62.9) |
| Pediatric, male, No. (%) | 26 (37.1) |
| Adult, female, No. (%) | 7 (77.8) |
| Adult, male, No. (%) | 2 (22.2) |
| Accompanying skin disease |       |
| Atopic dermatitis, No. (%) | 6 (7.6) |
| Ketosis, No. (%)   | 23 (29.1) |
| Acne, No. (%)      | 3 (3.8) |
| Rosacea, No. (%)   | 1 (1.3) |
| Seborrheic dermatitis, No. (%) | 1 (1.3) |
| Vitiligo, No. (%)  | 1 (1.3) |
| Other accompanying disease |       |
| Allergic rhinitis/allergic asthma, No. (%) | 9 (11.4) |
| Urinary tract infection, No. (%) | 5 (6.3) |
| Malignancy (osteosarcoma and chronic myeloid leukemia), No. (%) | 2 (2.5) |
| Hypothyroidism, No. (%) | 2 (2.5) |

| Location          | No. (%)  |
|-------------------|----------|
| Trunk             | 22 (27.8) |
| Extremity         | 21 (26.6) |
| Folded zone       | 7 (8.9)   |
| Head (face and ears) | 2 (2.5)   |
| More than 1 region| 27 (34.2) |
| Entire body       | 7 (8.9)   |
| Arms and trunk    | 6 (7.6)   |
| Legs and trunk    | 8 (10.1)  |
| Head, neck, and trunk | 6 (7.6) |
| Symmetrical distribution | 61 (77.2) |
TFFD has been reported to affect both sexes equally and affect all age-groups, though mostly children.\textsuperscript{1-12} In our study, most of our patients were children and female. Women take more care about their appearance and are more likely to notice these lesions on themselves. Even so, most of our patients were children, and the lesions had been noticed by the parents. The predominance of female patients therefore cannot be related to a tendency of women to notice TFFD lesions. Studies about predominance of TFFD in female patients may be useful in further understanding the etiopathogenesis of this disease.

In previous cases reports, recurrence was not reported.\textsuperscript{1-12} Our findings were similar; during a period of 19 months, no recurrence was seen. Even so, 5 patients reported a history of recurrence, and we believe there may have been more recurrences than we evaluated. When lesions reappeared, patients may have cleaned them with isopropyl alcohol and chose not return to our clinic.

TFFD is predominantly a childhood dermatosis, mostly seen in female patients, and it may be more common than expected. Wiping the lesions with alcohol is both diagnostic and therapeutic. TFFD should be kept in mind in the differential diagnosis of hyperpigmented and hyperkeratotic lesions to avoid unnecessary diagnostic testing and therapeutic treatments.

To read and post commentaries in response to this article, see it online at http://www.AnnFamMed.org/content/16/1/52.
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