Study on Water Exchange and Water Quality Simulation of Macao Airport Expansion Project
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Abstract. In this paper, we analyzed the current situation of water pollution sources near Macao airport, established a two-dimensional water exchange and water quality mathematical model near the project, and compared and simulated the reclamation schemes of Macao airport. According to the results of water exchange and COD calculation of water quality, the 80 m channel scheme was remarkably better than the 40 m one in terms of water exchange capacity and the possibility of achieving the water quality target. However, with the increase of channel width, the variation of water exchange rate and half exchange period would slow down. There was no significant difference in water exchange rate, water exchange period and water quality distribution between 80m channel and 120 m channel. The final scheme was to adopt the 80 m channel.

1 Engineering general situation

The building of Macau International Airport was officially started in 1989 and completed in 1995. It is composed of runway artificial island, parking apron, terminal building and two taxiing bridges connecting artificial island and the apron. In 2016, the airport witnessed 57000 aircraft movements and 6.63 million passengers. At present, some facilities have been saturated, such as commercial aviation facilities, passenger terminal buildings and land transport facilities, while other facilities, like the parking apron, will be inadequate one after another. Therefore, in order to ensure aviation safety and meet the requirements of Aviation Convention, based on the Overall Development Plan of Macao International Airport, the north and south ends of the runway artificial island and the west side of the airport will be reclaimed, so as to ensure the safety zone at the end of the runway and drive the development of the airport. The project location is shown in Figure 1.

2 Current water environment near the airport

The water quality near Macao airport is not only affected by the upstream and downstream water and the tail water discharged from the nearby sewage treatment plant, but also affected by the domestic sewage, factory waste water and initial rainwater discharge in surrounding land.

(1) Domestic sewage

The main sources of domestic sewage in the western waters of the airport runway are Jiuao village, Luhuan power plant and the Container terminal. The domestic sewage from Jiuao village and the Container terminal is directly discharged into the sea, and the sewage from workers in Luhuan power plant is discharged after being treated by the sewage treatment equipment of the power plant. Domestic sewage carries such features as small quantity, low water quality and continual discharge, directly affecting the water environment of Jiuao Bay.

(2) Factory waste water
Luhuan power plant consists of the two plants, named CCA and CCB. There are 8 units in CCA plant. The designed total discharge from G01 to G04 units is 10230 m³/h (2.8 m³/s); the designed total discharge from G05 to G08 units is 12427.8 m³/h (3.5 m³/s). The CCB plant is 12427.8 m³/h (3.5 m³/s). The discharge of Luhuan power plant is relatively large, and its water quality has a certain impact on the water quality of Jiuao Bay.

(3) Initial rainwater
Surface runoff contains a considerable amount of suspended particles, nutrients, heavy metals and organic pollutants, which are discharged into the receiving water without treatment, easily leading to eutrophication and affect the water environment in the area. According to the data of Macao airport drainage network and the investigation on site, the rainwater entering the west water area of the airport runway mainly comes from the west residential areas of the runway, Macao airport, Luhuan power plant, Jiuao village and the Container terminal. In addition, there are about 0.96 km² of landfills near the south side of airport apron, which may produce some pollution in the Bay in the early rain spell. The high load pollution and the large quantity in a short time make the initial rainwater become the potential risk of water environmental pollution in the west water area of the runway.

According to the water quality monitoring of each drainage outlet in December 2017, it is found that the input of land-based sources of pollution in the surrounding waters of Macao airport is mainly pH, ammonia nitrogen, total nitrogen and total phosphorus. Among them, the ammonia nitrogen in the drainage ditch of Jiuao village exceeds the Class V of the National Surface Water Quality Standards (GB3636-2002), and the maximum extra standard reaches 10.5, represents the serious land-based sources of pollution.

3 Mathematical model of water quality for water exchange

3.1. Model Construction

The two-dimensional tidal current mathematical model of the Pearl River estuary is used to provide the flow and tide process boundary for the local model of the waters near Macao. The project adopts the hydrological boundary conditions of the whole tide combination in dry season (including the middle, high and low tides in the fortnightly tide), the flow rate boundary uses the measured data collected from Maluzhou hydrological station, and the specific boundary of water level is extracted from the Pearl River estuary model of the Pearl River Hydraulic Research Institution.

The research scope of the model is indicated in figure 2. The research area of the model is divided into 475059 rectangular grids, and the key research areas near the project, such as Jiuao Bay, the triangle area of the airport and water area near the airport, are refined. The minimum size of the grid is 5 m.

3.2. Study on water exchange

3.2.1 Analysis method

Water exchange capacity serves as an important index of water environmental capacity and a significant factor affecting the distribution characteristics of water quality. The mathematical model uses the water quality point tracking method to calculate the water exchange in Jiuao Bay. The main calculation principle is as follows: Taking the soluble conservative material as tracer, the law of material concentration change caused by fluctuation current is calculated by plane two-dimensional model and then analyze the result. The initial condition of the model calculation: the initial concentration of conservative tracer in Jiuao Bay is assumed to be 1 mg/L. And the initial concentration of other regions in the model is set as 0 mg/L.

3.2.2 Water exchange characteristics in Jiuao Bay

The weakest water exchange capacity of Jiuao Bay presents under the implementation of full reclamation scheme, and the capacity enhances gradually with the increase of the channel width in the triangle area. The concentration distribution of tracer at typical time (flood slack and the lowest tide) is selected for comparison, as shown in figure 3. Under the influence of different schemes with various hydrodynamics, from the full reclamation scheme to the present situation, the overall tracer concentration at the same time decreases with the increase of the channel width in the triangle area. For the time of flood slack and the lowest tide, except for the full reclamation scheme, the tracer of the other schemes trace back to the triangle area to varying degrees with the rising tide, and most of the tracers are not flow northward into the Macao channel; for the lowest tidal time, the 40 m scheme in the northern part of the Bay demonstrates obviously weaker capacity than that of the 80 m scheme, the 120 m scheme and the current situation. And the channel width of the 40 m scheme is not enough to support the tracer flow into the open sea in one tidal cycle, which may pose risks to the water exchange in the Bay.
Figure 3 shows the pollutant distribution at typical time under the scheme of retaining 40 m and 80 m channel width. It can be seen that after the implementation of 80 m scheme, the concentration (color) of pollutants in Jiouo Bay at each typical time is significantly higher than that of 40 m scheme. Consequently, the water exchange of 80 m scheme is better than that of 40 m scheme.

3.3. Water quality variation analysis

3.3.1 Pollution distribution considerations

The water quality simulation considers that there are several sewage treatment plants in the sea area near Macao, especially the sewage discharge from Dangzai sewage treatment plant near the airport and Macao Peninsula sewage treatment plant have produced significant impacts on the water area near the airport, so the sewage discharge from the sewage treatment plant is considered in the water quality simulation.

Based on the analysis of the current situation of the water environment near the airport and the analysis of the initial rain pollution caused by 20 years’ rainstorm near the airport, the domestic sewage and the initial rain pollution around the Jiouo Bay are also simulated. This is helpful to more accurately simulate the water quality of the water area near the project under the current conditions.

3.3.2 Characteristics of water quality change

After the implementation of each scheme, it can be seen from the COD distribution nephogram (Figure 4) that after 12 hours of rainfall, the differences among the schemes are not obvious; after 36 hours, the differences among the current scheme, 80 m scheme and 120 m scheme are weakened in the main channel area of Jiouo Bay, but the 40 m scheme still witnesses the Class IV water in a certain area in the south side of the channel area, and the differences between the 40 m scheme and other schemes are obvious; after 72 hours, with the 80 m and 120 m and the current schemes, only 30% or so of north power plant belong to Class IV water, while the whole area of the 40 m scheme belongs to Class IV water.

On the whole, the 80 m and 120 m channel schemes carry strong tide flow, which can meet the demand that the tracer can flow into the open sea in an ebb tide period, while the 40 m fails to make it. At the same time, the COD concentration of the 40 m scheme is significantly higher than that of other schemes, and there is a greater risk of pollutant transport in the cecum section of the north bay of the power plant. The overall difference of pollutant distribution between 80 m and 120 m schemes is small.
80 m and 120 m channel scheme, the half exchange period of the water in the Bay is 66.4 h, 30.5 h, 18.0 h and 16.7 h respectively. The water exchange rate reaches 47.7%, 67.4%, 80.2% and 85.4% respectively after two days. After the full reclamation of the triangle area, the half exchange period of the water is 4.2 times of the current situation, and the exchange rate of water only reaches 54% after two days.

(2) With the increase of channel width, the water exchange rate and half exchange period tends to be stable. In terms of water exchange rate, there is a difference of 5.2% of the water exchange rate between 80 m and 120 m scheme with the equal width, and that of 40 m and 80 m scheme is 12.8%. In terms of half exchange period, the 40m channel scheme is 1.9 times of the current situation, and the 80 m channel scheme is close to the 120 m channel scheme.

(3) Compared with the channel area and south area, the north area of the power plant has the worst hydrodynamic performance and the weakest water exchange capacity. After the implementation of each scheme, the half exchange period of water in north area reaches more than 60 h, of which the full reclamation scheme is over 150 h. Under the scheme of 40 m channel, only 12% water are exchanged in the north area two days later, and the water exchange rate is reduced by nearly 60% compared with the current situation.

(4) The channel width of 40 m fails to support the flowing of the tracer in a tidal cycle. In each time period, the Class IV water in the 40 m scheme is significantly larger than that in the 80 and 120 m schemes. After the implementation of the full reclamation, the hydrodynamic performance and water exchange capacity of Jiuao Bay is significantly weakened. The channel width of 40 m scheme is not enough to support the flowing of the tracer in a tidal cycle. The water exchange capacity in Jiuao Bay is insufficient and the water quality risk is high.

4 Conclusion

Whether from the water exchange capacity in Jiuao Bay or the possibility of achieving water quality, the 80 m channel scheme is far better than the 40 m. However, with the increase of channel width, the variation of water exchange rate and half exchange period will slow down. There is little difference between the 80 m channel scheme and 120 m channel scheme in terms of water exchange rate, exchange period and water quality distribution characteristics.

Therefore, considering the impact of the implementation of the project on the water environment of Jiuao Bay and the drainage safety of its own land area, the width of the reserved channel in the west triangle area of the runway is recommended to be 80 m.
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