Rapid formation of massive black holes in close proximity to embryonic protogalaxies
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The appearance of supermassive black holes at very early times1–3 in the Universe is a challenge to our understanding of star and black hole formation. The direct-collapse4–6 black hole scenario provides a potential solution. A prerequisite for forming a direct-collapse black hole is that the formation of (much less massive) population III stars be avoided6–8; this can be achieved by destroying H2 by means of Lyman–Werner radiation (photons of energy around 12.6 eV). Here we show that two conditions must be met in the protogalaxy that will host the direct-collapse black hole. First, prior star formation must be delayed; this can be achieved with a background Lyman–Werner flux of \( J_{\text{BG}} \geq 100 J_\nu \) (\( J_\nu \) is the intensity of background radiation in units of \( 10^{-21} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \)). Second, an intense burst of Lyman–Werner radiation from a neighbouring star-forming protogalaxy is required, just before the gas cloud undergoes gravitational collapse, to suppress star formation completely.

We use relatively mild backgrounds (compared with the rather high values often cited in the literature, where background fields of \( J_{\text{BG}} \geq 1,000 J_\nu \) are typically invoked) from \( J_{\text{BG}} = 100 J_\nu \) up to \( J_{\text{BG}} = 200 J_\nu \) for an effective background temperature of 30,000 K (we normalize \( J_\nu \) at the hydrogen ionization edge throughout). This is the effective temperature expected from a population of metal-free and partially metal-enriched stars in the early Universe. This (relatively mild) global background radiation field is sufficient to delay the collapse but will not prevent the formation of H2 as the halo mass increases (halo encompasses both the protogalaxy and the dark-matter structure surrounding the protogalaxy). To model the nearby source, we use the ‘synchronized pairs’ scenario in which a protogalaxy (secondary halo) is exposed to the intense radiation from a starburst (primary halo) that is sufficiently nearby1–4 and tightly synchronized in time2,4. The model is illustrated in Fig. 1. If the primary halo crosses the atomic cooling threshold (and begins forming stars through molecular collapse) shortly before a neighbouring, secondary halo, the primary halo can bombard the secondary halo with a critical flux of Lyman–Werner radiation. This bombardment destroys the H2 in the secondary halo because of the extremely high flux of the primary halo. It provides the final push to the secondary halo, forcing the halo onto the atomic cooling track leading to a direct-collapse black hole (DCBH) formation. Forming massive black holes in this way is a promising way of producing massive black hole seeds in the early Universe.

Further consideration must be given to preventing both metal contamination and photo-evaporation (from photons with energy \( E \geq 13.6 \text{ eV} \)) from neighbouring haloes. Metal cooling will rapidly reduce the temperature of the collapsing gas, resulting in strong fragmentation (the cooling time at a density of \( n_{\text{H}_2} \approx 100 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) is about 10 Myr for gas with a metallicity of \( Z = 10^{-4} – 10^{-5} Z_\odot \)). Therefore, for the Jeans mass to remain large, metal pollution from nearby galaxies must be avoided (although this can be mitigated by inefficient or slow mixing due to external metal enrichment4–6). In addition, photo-evaporation in haloes exposed to star-burst radiation for longer than \( \sim 40 \text{ Myr} \) from a source with luminosity \( L = 1.64 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) and a separation of between 0.5 and 1 kpc was observed in previous simulations10, effectively limiting the time available for creating a pristine atomic cooling halo (ACH) using a nearby neighbour.

Our results show that to achieve an ACH, the separation, \( R_{\text{sep}} \), between the primary and secondary haloes needs to be less than approximately 300 pc for the stellar luminosity of the primary halo adopted in our model (\( 1.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ photons s}^{-1} \), or a stellar mass of \( M_\star \approx 10^5 M_\odot \)). This critical distance will vary as \( d_{\text{sep}} \propto \sqrt{M_\star} \), but for the luminosities examined in this paper will be approximately 300 pc. We define the synchronization time as the time between when the primary halo is turned on and when the gas in the secondary halo would have collapsed in the absence of the primary halo (see Fig. 1). The synchronization times that successfully produce an ACH can range from a few kiloyears to a few megayears (see column 6 in Supplementary Table 1). Short synchronization times reduce the probability of metal pollution and/or photo-evaporation from the primary halo.
In Fig. 2, we show a selection of ray profiles using our primary halo as the local galactic source (the stellar mass of the primary halo was determined from high-resolution simulations of the high-redshift Universe\[^{19}\]). Ray profiles are generated by tracing 500 individual rays originating at the radiation source (centre of the primary halo) and terminating on a plane with a radius of approximately 2 pc around the centre of the secondary halo. For each simulation, we show the temperature, $T$, fraction, hydrogen number density and the intensity in the Lyman–Werner band as a function of distance from the centre of the secondary halo. Of the six cases examined in Fig. 2, four collapse nearly isothermally and form ACHs, whereas the two others form excessive H$_2$ cooling significantly. Three of the ‘successful’ simulations lie within the critical distance of 300 pc, with the fourth at 388 pc. The thermal profile of the fourth simulation is not as smooth as the closer-in simulations, and this case is therefore likely to be close to a tipping point (that is, a slightly larger separation would probably have resulted in a non-isothermal collapse). The virial radius of our secondary halo is approximately 300 pc, meaning that for the neighbouring source to have the greatest probability of completely suppressing H$_2$ cooling, the virial radii of the primary and secondary must overlap\[^{18}\].

Primary sources that lie outside the virial radius of the secondary do not, in general, provide sufficient flux without unrealistically high star-formation efficiencies.

How spatially and temporally correlated do the halo pairs need to be? In Fig. 3, we show the average separation against the synchronization time, $t_{\text{sync}}$, for all of the simulations conducted in this study. As noted above, for separations of $R_{\text{sep}} \gtrsim 300$ pc, ACHs tend not to be formed, and instead a critical level of H$_2$ builds up, leading to a non-isothermal collapse. Owing to the possibility of metals from supernova explosions polluting the pristine environment of the secondary halo and the detrimental effects of long-term exposure of the gas to ionizing radiation, we disfavour sources that must be ‘on’ for more than 10 Myr (which is comparable to the lifetime of massive stars) before the initial collapse occurs. We therefore do not probe backgrounds with $J_{\text{HL}} < 100J_\odot$. As a result of these constraints, regions at the top and to the right in Fig. 3 are excluded. Ram pressure stripping will affect haloes that get too close to one another, and thus the bottom section of the graph is excluded for this reason\[^{14,19}\] (see Methods for further discussion). This leaves a (green) region in the left centre of the figure that allows for the formation of DCBHs. The crosses are results from the suite of simulations (see Supplementary Table 1). The simulations that formed an atomic cooling halo are labelled with green crosses and are in this region. Orange crosses indicate simulations that formed an atomic cooling halo but for which the background was set to $J_{\text{HL}} = 200J_\odot$ (the maximum level in our study). This is a strong background and may be beyond even the most clustered regions\[^{20}\]. Red crosses indicate simulations in which a non-isothermal collapse was observed because of H$_2$ cooling and in which a DCBH is therefore unlikely to form.

Three regions of particular interest are marked on the plot as A, B and C. Region A is where most of our simulation results cluster. Short ($T_{\text{sync}} \lesssim 2$ Myr) synchronization times combine with close separations almost always resulted in an ACH. Region B is outside our ‘synchronized halo zone’ (SHZ), and here most of the simulations show that the flux received by the secondary is too small and an atomic cooling halo does not form. Nonetheless, four atomic cooling haloes appear outside the SHZ. Two of the green crosses are from runs with a background of $J_{\text{HL}} = 150J_\odot$, while one is from a run with a background of $J_{\text{HL}} = 100J_\odot$. The orange cross had a background of $J_{\text{HL}} = 200J_\odot$. Similarly, two molecular collapses are seen inside the SHZ, showing that fluctuations limit the accuracy of the SHZ boundary at approximately the 10% level. Region C indicates the tip of the SHZ. As the synchronization time becomes longer, the risk of photoionization and/or metal pollution becomes larger. Synchronization limits will vary depending on the exact environmental conditions, the luminosity, the spectrum and the distance to the primary halo; we therefore only probed the limits of the separation and the limits of the synchronization (that is, how short or extended the synchronization time can be).
Our simulations do not include a star-formation prescription or the impact from subsequent supernova events. However, these omissions have no bearing on our results, as we include realistic stellar outputs in our radiative transfer calculation, while supernova feedback timescales are typically longer than the synchronization timescales found in this study.

A related issue concerning metal pollution is the possible impact of the background galaxies, which we have assumed provide the background radiation field. The metal pollution radius scales approximately as $r_{\text{poll}}(M, t) \propto M^{1/2}t^{2/7}$, where $r_{\text{poll}}(M, t)$ is the metal spread radius, $M_{\odot}$ is the stellar mass of the galaxy and $t$ is the time. If we assume that the radiation field is created by a collection of five galaxies of similar mass to our primary galaxy, then the metallicity field spreads as $r_{\text{poll}}=3 \times 10^{-2}(5 \times 10^{8}M_{\odot})^{1/2}h^{-1/2}r_{25}^{1/2}/5$ (see Equation 5 in ref. 21). This leads to a metal spread of just over 2 kpc after 30 Myr under optimistic assumptions. This suggests, for background galaxies separated by a distance greater than 2 kpc from the primary and secondary haloes, that metal pollution is not a concern at the redshifts explored here. Our use of a massless radiation particle (see Methods) to act as a source of the nearby radiation field also means that we neglect the impact of tidal disruption between the neighbouring galaxies. However, even in these circumstances, tidal disruption can be successfully avoided.

Forming seeds for the supermassive black holes (SMBHs) that we observe at the centres of the most massive galaxies and as very-high-redshift quasars is an outstanding problem in modern astrophysics. We show here that the combination of a relatively mild ‘local’ radiation background field due to the clustering of early galaxies plus a nearby star-burst event is the perfect trigger for the creation of such an atomic cooling halo. The local background serves to delay population III (PopIII) star formation, allowing a sufficiently massive halo to develop. A nearby (synchronized) star-burst can then irradiate the now-massive halo with a flux greater than the critical Lyman–Werner flux, pushing the collapsing halo onto the atomic cooling track while avoiding the deleterious effects of photo-evaporation or metal pollution. We find that a ‘synchronized halo zone’ exists where the separation between the neighbouring haloes is between approximately 200 pc and 300 pc and the synchronization time between the evolution of the neighbouring haloes is less than approximately 4 Myr (‘on’ time < 10 Myr). Furthermore, we find that the mass inflow rates onto the central object are greater than 0.1 M$_{\odot}$/yr over several decades in radius.

Close halo pairs with tight synchronization times should easily fulfill the number density requirements of SMBHs at high redshifts (see Methods for further details and a calculation of expected number densities) and may play an important role in the formation of all SMBHs. Upcoming observations with the James Webb Space Telescope will search for DCBH candidates up to redshifts of $z \approx 25$ and may be able to identify them from their unique spectral signatures. A detection of a DCBH candidate together with star-forming galaxies in close proximity would validate the synchronization mechanism.

**Methods**

**Simulation set-up.** We use the adaptive mesh refinement code Enzo in this study. Enzo uses an N-body adaptive particle-mesh solver to follow the dark matter dynamics. It solves the hydrodynamics equations using the second-order accurate piecewise parabolic method, while an HLLE Riemann solver ensures accurate shock capturing with minimal viscosity. Rather than using the internal chemistry solver, we use a modified version of the Crackle chemistry library that has been updated with the latest rates for modelling collapse in the face of radiation backgrounds. The chemical network includes 33 separate chemical reactions (see Table 1 in previous work) from 10 species: H, H*, He, He*, He++, e, e*, H$_2$, H$_3^+$, H and H$^+$. The initial conditions were taken from previous work and were generated with the MUSIC initial conditions generator. Haloes were initially located by running a large suite of dark-matter-only simulations in a cosmological context. Realizations were then selected by choosing simulations in which massive dark matter haloes formed relatively early and thus represented high ‘sigma’ peaks in the primordial density field. Simulations were then rerun with gas dynamics included. All simulations were run within a box of size $2h^{-1}$ Mpc (comoving), the root grid size was 256, and we used three levels of nested grids. Within the most refined nested grid, the dark matter resolution was $M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^8$ M$_{\odot}$. To increase the dark matter resolution further, we split the dark matter particles at a redshift of $z = 40$, well before the onset of the collapse. This has no adverse effect on the dynamics of the collapse but is a necessary step in high-resolution simulations. The baryon resolution is set by the size of the highest-resolution cells within the grid. Grids are refined in Enzo whenever user-defined criteria are breached. We allow refinement of grid cells based on three physical measurements: (1) the dark matter particle overdensity, (2) the baryon overdensity and (3) the Jeans length. The first two criteria introduce additional meshes when the overdensity ($\delta_{\text{th}}$) of a grid cell with respect to the mean density exceeds 8.0 for baryons and dark matter. Furthermore, we set the MinimumMassForRefinementExponent parameter to −0.1 in the simulation super-Lagrangian, and therefore reducing the threshold for refinement as higher densities are reached. For the final criteria, we set the number of cells per Jeans length to be 16 in these simulations. We set the maximum allowed refinement level to 18. This means that we reach a maximum grid resolution of $\Delta x \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$ pc (physical distance at $z = 25$).

Choosing a background radiation field. The vast majority of studies undertaken to investigate the destruction of H$_2$ by an external radiation field have used a uniform background radiation field to demonstrate the viability of the mechanism. The general consensus has been that a critical Lyman–Werner intensity of $f_{\text{LYW}} \gtrsim 1000$ (see Methods) for a black-body background with an effective temperature of $10^4$ K (a background intensity about an order of magnitude lower would be sufficient for low-mass stars with an effective temperature of $T = 10^4$ K) but the stellar mass required is then significantly higher. However, achieving a background of $f_{\text{LYW}} \approx 1000$ is very unlikely at the very high redshifts of interest ($z \gtrsim 25$). Instead, what is much more likely to create the required flux is a mild background ($f_{\text{LYW}} \approx 100–200$), augmented by a local burst of Lyman–Werner radiation from one or more nearby sources.

The synchronized pairs scenario requires a mechanism to delay the formation of PopIII stars so that neighbouring haloes can build sufficient mass to cross the atomic cooling threshold in near-synchronization. In this study, we impose an external background field to facilitate this delay. For the halo studied in this work, we found, in agreement with other studies in the literature, that a background radiation field of $f_{\text{LYW}} \approx 1000$ is required to form an atomic halo with...
a black-body effective temperature of 30,000 K (when only a global background is considered). In Supplementary Fig. 1, we have plotted the temperature as a function of radius for six different background fields. In this study, we examine background radiation fields, $I_{\nu_1}$, with values of 100$I_{\nu_3}$, 150$I_{\nu_3}$ and 200$I_{\nu_3}$. In all cases, we initiate our background radiation field at $z=35$. In principle, the star-burst radiation from the primary galaxy could compensate for a lower background field. This, however, requires the star-burst to shine for longer, increasing the risk of metal pollution or photo-evaporation.

**Radiation source.** To study the effect that a local radiation source (the primary halo) can have on the ‘target’ halo (the secondary halo), we use a massless ‘radiation particle’ for which we can vary the emission intensity and source separation. The radiation particle acts as a source of radiation, and the radiation is propagated by Enzo’s radiative transfer scheme\(^{36}\), which traces individual photon packets through the AMR mesh by using 64-bit HEALPix algorithms\(^{35,36}\). The ray tracing scheme allows us to follow photons in the infrared, Lyman–Werner and hydrogen ionization range. The exact energy levels and relative strength of each energy bin used are given in Supplementary Table 2. By using the ray tracing scheme, we are able to accurately track both the column density along each ray and the level of photodissociation of different ions along the ray path. The shielding effects of different species are accounted for by the exact knowledge of the column density (and therefore the optical depth). Self-shielding of H$_2$ is accounted for using the prescription given in previous work\(^{34}\).

We control the physical distance between the primary and the secondary haloes by first running a test simulation where the radiation particle is placed at a distance of approximately 200 pc from the source. The simulation is then run, and we calculate the position of the point of maximum density averaged over multiple outputs. By doing this, we know in advance how the centre of mass of the system will change as the simulation proceeds (as the initial source position is changed, the centre of mass will change, but our numerical experiments showed that the change was only at the 10% level). We then choose a vector with the centre of mass as the origin. By placing the radiation particle at set distances along this given vector (we use the angular momentum vector for convenience), we find points at which the distance to the secondary halo remains approximately constant, although some variance is expected. This mimics a scenario in which the secondary halo and primary halo orbit one another.

The radiation spectrum emitted by the primary halo is modelled to be a partially metal-enriched galaxy. We use a luminosity of $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{21}$ photons per second (above the $H$-photo-detachment energy of 0.76 eV; see Fig. 2 in ref. \(^{32}\)). We assume a stellar mass of $M = 10^6 M_\odot$ at $z = 20$, consistent with the largest galaxies predicted to be reionized in the Renaissance simulations\(^{40}\). We then calculate its spectrum using the Bruzual–Charlot models\(^{40}\) with a metallicity of 10$^{-2}$Z$_\odot$ and compute the photon luminosity from it. The spectrum does not include emission from the nebular component and is solely due to stellar emission. This spectrum uses the Bruzual–Charlot models\(^{40}\) with a metallicity of 10$^{-2}$Z$_\odot$ and computes the photon luminosity from it. The spectrum does not include emission from the nebular component and is solely due to stellar emission. This spectrum is virtually identical to a PopIII source with a cluster of 9M$_\odot$ stars\(^{45}\). However, a cluster of 10$^5$ PopIII stars is a challenging structure to produce in the extreme conditions\(^{40}\) and hence we opt for the metal-enriched population. The details of the spectrum (and a comparable PopIII spectrum for comparison) are given in Supplementary Table 2.

**Ram pressure stripping and tidal disruption.** The small separation of the primary and secondary haloes implies that they are sub-haloes of a larger parent halo. As they move through the ‘intra-cluster medium’ of the parent halo, they are subject to ram pressure stripping. The ram pressure due to this movement is:

$$P_{\text{ram}} = \frac{\alpha G M_{\text{RR}}}{R_{\text{core}}} \rho_{\text{gas}} (R_{\text{core}}) \approx 2 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{g cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-2}$$

(3)

while the right-hand side of equation (2) gives a value of

$$\frac{\alpha G M_{\text{RR}}}{R_{\text{core}}} \rho_{\text{gas}} (R_{\text{core}}) \approx 2 \times 10^{-8} \, \text{g cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-2}$$

(4)

at a radius of 10 pc. Inside this radius, the binding energy of the halo increases approximately as $R^{-2}$, and so, within 10 pc, ram pressure stripping will be unable to unbind the gas. To be conservative, we always constrain the radiation particle to be at a distance greater than 150 pc from the secondary halo centre. However, while the core will remain intact, some mass loss from the outer parts of the protogalaxy is inevitable. Given that the secondary and primary haloes are eventually expected to merge, the total mass available for the accreting DCBH is unaffected.

In our current study\(^{38}\) examined the formation of DCBHs in a large cosmological simulation. The focus of its simulations was to determine the impact of tidal disruption and ram pressure on the formation of DCBHs. In a study of 42 DC candidates, the authors found that two candidates successfully resulted in the rapid formation of stars within an ACH. One of the two successful candidates was due to a scenario similar to the simplified scenario investigated here. However, their simulations were unable to accurately track the radiation coming from the primary halo and were not focused on this scenario. In their case, they found that the primary halo exceeded the atomic cooling threshold 20–30 Myrs before the secondary halo, with the Lyman–Werner intensity exceeding the critical value. This suggests that we are conservative with our 10-Myr limit on the primary halo ‘on’ time. Nevertheless, similar to our scenario, the previous study\(^{38}\) did not include the effects of metal pollution (see below), which could limit the time for which the star-burst can be active before the secondary must collapse under atomic cooling. We also note that the authors did not include the impact of photoionization in their hydrodynamics simulations\(^{38}\), whereas we do so here, and are therefore able to show that photo-evaporation is avoided.

**Metal pollution, photo-evaporation and the maximum irradiation time.** Metal pollution, photo-evaporation or the natural end of the star-burst in the primary galaxy will ultimately limit the prospects for achieving a DCBH. A PopIII source model with individual stellar masses of $M=9 M_\odot$ will have an expected lifetime\(^{40}\) of $10^7$–10$^9$ years. After this lifetime, the massive star would explode as a supernova and presumably pollute the secondary halo within a few million years\(^{40}\). Our galaxy model includes lower-mass stars with longer lifetimes but with a more distributed IMF, and so also stars with masses in excess of $9 M_\odot$. To be conservative, we assume that in cases where the primary halo must be ‘on’ for greater than 10 Myr, a DCBH does not form. We note that we see no evidence of photo-evaporation in our simulations, and that the secondary halo, with the Lyman-Werner intensity exceeding the critical value. However, similar to our study, the previous study\(^{38}\) did not include the effects of metal pollution (see below), which could limit the time for which the star-burst can be active before the secondary must collapse under atomic cooling. We also note that the authors did not include the impact of photoionization in their hydrodynamics simulations\(^{38}\), whereas we do so here, and are therefore able to show that photo-evaporation is avoided.

**Mass inflow rates.** Large mass inflow rates are one of the prerequisites for forming a supermassive star. For the case of (super-)massive stars, the gravitational contraction timescale is much shorter than the Kelvin–Helmholtz timescale, meaning that the star begins nuclear burning before it has finished accreting, and in fact will continue to accrete (subject to the correct environmental conditions) throughout its lifetime. Its final mass then becomes the important quantity. In Fig. 4, we have plotted the mass inflow rates as found for the same subset of simulations shown in Fig. 2. The mass inflow rates are calculated in spherical shells around the central density according to the equation

$$M(t) = 4 \pi R^2 (dV/dt)$$

(5)

where $M(t)$ is the mass inflow rate, $R$ is the radius, $ho$ is the density and $V(R)$ is the radial velocity at $R$. We find that the mass inflow rates peak at approximately $0.75 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ at $R \approx 5 \times 10^{12}$ pc. Most importantly, mass inflow rates greater than 0.1 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ are sustained over several decades in radius. Furthermore, we find that the increase in the mass inflow rate occurs at radii beyond $R=\approx 10^{13}$ pc. The higher the mass inflow rates, the higher the mass of the black hole. This feature is likely to be due to the higher Lyman–Werner flux experienced at this radius, which should in turn lead to high gas temperatures owing to the higher dissociation rates of H$_2$.

The classical assumption regarding the formation of supermassive stars is that accretion rates greater than 0.03 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ are required\(^{40}\). At this mass accretion rate, the gravitational collapse timescale changes completely. The stellar envelope swells greatly in radius, reaching up to 100 AU. The effective stellar temperature drops to close to 5,000 K, meaning that radiative feedback from the protostar becomes ineffective at preventing continued accretion. In a somewhat complementary scenario, a ‘quasi-star’ may be born when high accretion rates ($M(t) \approx 0.1-1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) onto an already collapsed massive star results in the collapse of the hydrogen core into a stellar–mass black hole. The highly optically thick gas that is falling onto the black hole, bringing with it angular momentum, results in an accretion disk forming around the central black hole. The energy feedback inflates the innermost part of the inflow, resulting in a ‘quasi-star’. The mass-loss rates from these two types of objects are an area of active research, with possible negative consequences in the case of quasi-stars\(^{40}\) (high mass-loss rates that may lead to less massive black holes) that are absent in the case of more ‘normal’ supermassive stars\(^{40}\). Either way, the accretion rates observed in our simulations satisfy the basic requirements that accretion rates of $\gtrsim 0.1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ are found over several decades in radius.

It should be noted that below a radius of approximately $3 \times 10^{12}$ pc, the inflow rates shown in Fig. 4 fall. This is a purely numerical effect created by both a diminishing resolution below that scale (the Jeans length of the gas at this
for a strong background. Nonetheless, an additional intense nearby source is always required to prevent H$_2$ forming in the centre of the collapsing halo.

Even if the abundance of DCBHs formed in the synchronized pair channel at z = 25 is very low, the number density at lower redshift could potentially explain the abundance of high-redshift supermassive black holes. Assuming separations similar to those in our simulations, previous work estimated the abundance of synchronized pair DCBHs formed between z = 10 and z = 11 to be 0.0003 Mpc$^{-3}$. If the probability distribution function of the Lyman–Werner background for these pairs matched that from ref. (20) (see their Figure 11), combining this with the $I_{BG} \geq 100 J_{\nu}$ background requirement would not reduce the number density below $10^{-6} Mpc^{-3}$ (which is similar to the observed number density of high-redshift quasars). This rough estimate is only a lower limit, owing to the limited box sizes used in both calculations cited above and to the fact that other large-scale effects, as discussed, are neglected.

**Data availability.** The numerical experiments presented in this work were run with a fork of the Enzo code available from https://bitbucket.org/john_regan/enzo-3.0-rp, in particular change set d11330f. This altered version of Enzo also requires an altered version of the Grackle cooling library, available from https://bitbucket.org/john_regan/grackle-converson, particularly change set dd8d240.
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