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Abstract

Background

Childhood anaemia is one of the major public health concerns in low and middle-income countries, contributing substantially to childhood mortality and morbidity. This study, therefore, sought to investigate the association between household cooking fuel type, and the joint impact of household cooking fuel type and urbanicity on anaemia among children under the age of 5 in sub-Saharan Africa.

Methods

We analysed cross-sectional data of 95,056 children under the age of 5 from 29 sub-Saharan African countries. Bivariate and multivariate analyses were performed using chi-square test of independence and negative log-log regression respectively at p < 0.05. Results were presented as Adjusted Odds Ratios for the negative log-log regression analysis.

Results

The percentage of children who had anaemia was 57%. Children from rural households that depend on unclean cooking fuels were more likely to be anaemic [AOR = 1.042; 95% CI = 1.010–1.074] compared to children from urban households using unclean cooking fuel. We also found that children in female headed households [AOR = 1.047; CI = 1.019–1.076], children in large households [AOR = 1.066; CI = 1.021–1.113], those in households with improved source of drinking water [AOR = 1.035; CI = 1.006–1.065] had higher odds of suffering from anaemia. However, children with normal weight [AOR = 0.946; CI = 0.916–0.976], children aged 4 [AOR = 0.900; CI = 0.868–0.934], children whose mothers are aged 45–49 [AOR = 0.866; CI = 0.778–0.963] and those aged 30–34 [AOR = 0.868; CI = 0.815–0.925], children whose mothers had tertiary level of education [AOR = 0.865; CI = 0.809–0.925], children in rich households [AOR = 0.935; CI = 0.904–0.968] and those in households with improved type of toilet facility [AOR = 0.955; CI = 0.929–0.981] had lower odds of being anaemic.

Conclusion

Our study established an association between the joint effect of type of household cooking fuel and urbanicity and anaemia among children under the age of 5 in SSA. Children’s birthweight, current age, maternal age, sex of household head, age of household head, maternal education, wealth status, size of household, type of source of drinking water and country of residence are associated with childhood anaemia. It is therefore critical to promote the usage of clean cooking fuels among households and women in rural areas. This could be done by governments in various countries subsidising the cost of liquefied petroleum gases and cylinders. Stakeholders that seek to improve maternal and child health should also take these associated factors into consideration.

Background

In low and middle-income countries, anaemia remains a major public health problem which causes childhood mortality and morbidity (Ntenda et al., 2018). Anaemia is a condition in which the haemoglobin level is lower than the body’s required amount for physiological activities (Roberts, Matthews & Sartorius, 2020). According to WHO (2011), about 273.2 million children suffer from anaemia globally with a general prevalence of 42.6%. However, its effects are disproportionate across regions, with sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) being one of the most affected with a startling prevalence rate of 62.3% (Nambiema, Robert & Smith, 2019).

Anaemia is a major contributor to some of the serious adverse health conditions in children and affects their cognitive, behavioral and physical development (Gener et al., 2013; Soliman, De Sanctis & Kalra, 2014; WHO, 2011; Wirth et al., 2016). The notable causes of anaemia in children are multifactorial and include deficiency of iron, and other micronutrients such as folate, vitamin B12 and vitamin A; malaria, HIV and chronic disease such as sickle cell disease (WHO, 2014).

Apart from these causes, a major environmental cause of anaemia is indoor air pollution as a result of biomass fuel use in cooking in households (Mishra, & Retherford, 2007). Households that use biomass fuel is a global air pollution issue that harms human health, the climate and the environment. About three billion people use biomass fuels such as plant residues, animal dung, wood and charcoal for daily domestic use (Van Gemert et al., 2016). Inefficient combustion of these fuels could release harmful gases such as sulphur dioxide (SO2), carbon monoxide (CO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO2), and Particulate Matter (PM) (Machisa, Wichmann & Nyasulu, 2013; Wolley et al., 2020). According to WHO (2006), households that use biomass fuels are often exposed to peak indoor particulate matter (PM10) levels greater than the air quality guidelines. The mechanism by which biomass fuels use could contribute to childhood anaemia are unknown. However, studies have shown that biomass fuel expels high carbon monoxide levels which bind with hemoglobin, form carbonylhaemoglobin and reduce the level of haemoglobin in the blood, which leads to anaemia. For instance, studies in India (Mishra & Retherford, 2007) and Swaziland (Machisa, Wichmann & Nyasulu, 2013) found the prevalence of anaemia to be significantly high among children in households that rely on biomass fuels for cooking.

Apart from anaemia, evidence of other adverse health outcomes spanning respiratory illnesses, cancer and eye problems from indoor-air pollution due to inefficient burning of biomass fuels for cooking in poorly ventilated settings exist (Amegah, Boachie, Näyhä & Jaakkola, 2019; Page, Patel & Hibberd, 2015; Kyu, Georgiades & Boyle, 2010). A majority of the burden is suffered by women who by custom are responsible for cooking and other household chores, and their children, especially, those below the age of 5 (Desai, Mehta & Smith, 2004; WHO, 2006). The prevalence of the burden is relatively low in urban areas where clean fuels like liquid petroleum gas or natural gas and electricity are typically used. However, most rural residents mainly depend on biomass fuels (Begum et al., 2009). These fuels include among others wood, animal dung, twigs and dry leaves, and crop residues such as straw and rice husks.
(Chakraborty, Mondal, & Datta, 2014). The high burden of adverse health outcomes among rural residents is that most of them often depend on unclean cooking fuels (Pinto, 2016; Page, Patel, & Hibberd, 2015; Baranwal, Baranwal, & Roy, 2014).

The associations between household biomass fuel use in cooking and important health variables of women and children such as adverse pregnancy outcomes (low birth weight, stillbirth) (Amega, Quansah & Jaakkola, 2014; Epstein et al., 2013); women health (BMI, anaemia) (Ali, Khan & Feroz, 2020; Amegah, Boachie, Näyhä & Jaakkola, 2019); and the health of children under 5 years of age (child weight, child anaemia) (Kyu, Georgiades & Boyle, 2010; Epstein et al., 2013) have been explored. Few studies have explored the association between household biomass fuel use, a notable source of indoor air pollution in LMICs with child anaemia in SSA. Further, critical to our understanding but absent in such sub-regional analysis by studies is the joint impact of household biomass fuel use and urbanicity on child anaemia in SSA. This study therefore seeks to investigate the joint effect of household biomass fuel use for cooking and urbanicity on anaemia among children under the age of 5 in SSA.

Materials And Methods

Data Source

Nationally representative data from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Program for SSA countries from 2010 to 2018 were acquired for analysis in this study. The DHS program provides a large secondary data gathered from surveys using probability sampling methods, following standard protocols that are internationally accepted. Different sets of questionnaires designed and pre-tested to ensure reliability and amenability for comparison of data gathered on various spatial and temporal scales are used in the survey. Some questionnaires the program uses include the “Children's questionnaire” “Mother’s questionnaire” and “Household questionnaire”. These questionnaires cover a broad range of variables cutting across demographics and anthropometrics, water and sanitation, health, wealth, nutrition among others. The program recruits and trains field officers to collect accurate data and measurement of weight, height, anaemia using recommended guidelines and instruments. Data on other important variables such as household cooking fuel, urbanicity, wealth, water and sanitation are taken at the household level.

Study Countries

A sample of 95,056 was drawn from 29 countries in SSA (show in Fig. 1). For a country to be selected, it must meet the following criteria; should be found in SSA based on the United Nations regional groupings; it must have a DHS dataset with standardized questions and observations on anaemia level of children under five years as well as household cooking fuel type, urbanicity, source of drinking water and type of toilet facility. Where multiple datasets exist for a country, the most recent dataset is used. Detailed information on countries, together with years of survey are shown in Table 1.

| Table 1 | Selected countries and available dataset |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| Country | Available dataset | Country       | Available dataset |
| Angola  | 2016         | Malawi       | 2016         |
| Benin   | 2018         | Mali         | 2018         |
| Burkina Faso | 2010 | Mozambique       | 2015         |
| Burundi | 2017         | Namibia      | 2013         |
| Cameroon | 2019       | Niger        | 2012         |
| Congo   | 2012         | Nigeria      | 2018         |
| Cote D’Ivoire | 2012 | Rwanda        | 2015         |
| DR Congo | 2014       | Sierra Leone | 2013         |
| Ethiopia | 2016       | Senegal      | 2017         |
| Gabon   | 2012         | Tanzania     | 2016         |
| Gambia  | 2013         | Togo         | 2014         |
| Ghana   | 2014         | Uganda       | 2016         |
| Guinea  | 2018         | Zambia       | 2019         |
| Lesotho | 2014         | Zimbabwe     | 2015         |
| South Africa | 2016 |

Definition Of Important Variables

The dataset provided information on household cooking fuel type, source of drinking water and toilet facility type at the household level. The observations for household cooking fuel were classified into “Clean” and “Unclean” (polluting fuels) following the criterion some studies (Naz, Page and Agho, 2017; Sreramareddy, Shidhaye & Sathiakumar, 2011) used (see Table 2). The weight of child at birth named as “Birth weight” was categorized as "Underweight" (<
2.5 kg) and "Normal" (≥ 2.5 kg) (see, Yaya et al., 2019). Also, the observations for household source of drinking water and type of toilet facility were classified into "improved" and "unimproved" using the revised definitions by the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP) report (World Health Organization & UNICEF, 2017). Table 2 summarises the descriptions of improved and unimproved sources of water and toilet facilities. Armah et al. (2018) further explicates the categorisation of these critical basic services.

### Table 2

| Service                      | Improved/Clean                                                                 | Unimproved/Unclean                                                                 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Drinking water sources       | Piped water, boreholes or tube wells, protected dug wells, protected springs,   | Unprotected dug well, unprotected spring, river, dam, lake, pond, stream, canal  |
|                             | rainwater, and packaged or delivered water.                                    | and irrigation canal.                                                            |
| Type of toilet facilities    | Flush/pour flush to piped sewer systems, septic tanks or pit latrines; ventilated | Pit latrines without a slab or platform, hanging latrines or bucket latrines     |
|                             | improved pit latrines, composting toilets or pit latrines with slabs.          | and open defecation.                                                            |
| Cooking fuel type            | Electricity, liquid petroleum gas (LPG), natural gas and biogas                 | Kerosene, coal/lignite, charcoal, wood, straw/shrubs/grass and animal dung        |

### Measures

#### Outcome variable

Anaemia status of children is the outcome variable considered in this study. According to DHS, the anaemia status of living children within the age bracket 0–4 years before the survey night was taken. It has its responses classified into four (4) categories according to the WHO recommendation as: (i) "Not anaemic" for children with hemoglobin count(g/dl) measuring above 11 g/dl; (ii) "Mild anaemia" for hemoglobin count of 10-10.9 g/dl; (iii) "Moderate anaemia" for hemoglobin count between 7.0-9.9 g/dl; and (iv) "Severe anaemia" for hemoglobin count less than 7.0 g/dl. Children with no observations for anaemia count (not tested), and those whose mothers were not listed in the household questionnaire were excluded. Observations under mild, moderate and severe were combined and recoded as "Anaemic (Yes)" and observations under not anaemic was recoded as "Not anaemic (No)". The Anaemia status of children was represented as a dichotomous variable with "0" representing "No" and 1 representing "Yes".

#### Main Predictor Variable

The predictor chosen for this study is a composite variable formed from the interactive effect of household cooking fuel type and urbanicity. The selection of the predictor variable was based on parsimony, literature review, theoretical relevance as well as practical significance. Household cooking fuel type and Urbanicity both had two categories, since the former was classified into "Clean" and "Unclean" and the latter measured as "Rural" and "Urban" per the Demographic Health Survey (DHS). This therefore gave a four mutually exclusive groups: Unclean urban (households relying on "unclean" cooking fuel and found in urban areas); Unclean rural (households relying on "unclean" cooking fuel and found in rural areas); Clean urban (households using "clean" cooking fuels and found in urban areas); and clean rural (households using "clean" cooking fuels and found in rural areas).

### Compositional And Contextual Variables

Variables which relate to an individual’s socio-demographic characteristics (biosocial and socio-cultural factors) together constitute compositional factors (Collins et al., 2017; Pol & Thomas, 2001). Biosocial factors refer to underlying biological and physical attributes present in an individual at birth and are not amenable to change. Socio-cultural factors on the other hand refers to customs, beliefs, lifestyles and values (Armah et al., 2018).

Biosocial variables considered in this study included: sex of child (male, female); current age of child in years with categories 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4; age of mother (15–19, 20–24, 25–29, 30–34, 35–39,40–44, 45–49); sex of household head (male, female); age of household head (“young adult” for those below 35years, middle-age adult for 35–55 years, and “old-age adult” for those above 55 years).

The study considered socio-cultural factors including: educational attainment of mother (no education, primary, secondary, tertiary); birth order number (1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and above); household size (small: 1–5, medium: 6–10, large: above 10). Also, the DHS collects data on wealth index of all interviewed households and place them into five wealth quintiles (poorer, poor, middle, rich, richer). Observations of wealth index under poorer and poor were combined and recoded as "poor". Similarly, observations under "rich" and "richer" were combined and recoded as "rich". Finally, household source of drinking water and type toilet facility which were both categorized into "improved" and "unimproved".

According to studies (Collins et al., 2017; Ross & Mirowsky, 2008), contextual factors refers to those factors related to respondent’s neighbourhood attributes or opportunities and services that are space-bound (Armah et al., 2018; Collins et al., 2017; Ross & Mirowsky, 2008). Contextual factors considered in this study include country and geographic region (Western Africa, Eastern Africa, Southern Africa, and Central Africa).

### Data Analysis
The Stata 14 MP software was used for the analysis of data. To understand the distribution of childhood anaemia and influence of predictive factors on anaemia, descriptive analysis was performed. We then determined the associations between anaemia status of children under five and the relevant predictors using inferential statistics. These relationships were further examined using multivariate techniques while controlling for theoretically relevant compositional and contextual factors. Statistical significance of 0.05 and 95% confidence interval (CI) were used in analysis and results presented as contingency tables.

**Univariate Analysis**

Pearson chi-square test of independence and Cramer's V statistic were applied in the univariate analysis of predictors of child under five anaemia. The strength of associations between anaemia status and the predictors was tested using Cramer's V statistics.

**Multivariate Regression**

The outcome variable (anaemia status of children under age 5) had 57% of responses in the non-affirmative and 43% were affirmative. The relationship between anaemia status and the interactive effect of household cooking fuel and urbanicity was analysed using negative log-log regression model. A negative log-log regression model is apt when the responses to a dichotomous response variable is asymmetric in the [0, 1] interval for which the non-affirmative is more than 55% as in the case of the response variable in this study (Aitkin et al., 2005; Armah et al., 2019; Fahrmeir & Tutz, 2013). The likelihood of a child been anaemic was estimated and reported as exponential coefficients - odds ratios (OR). An OR of 1 means that the predictor does not affect the odds of a child been anaemic; OR > 1 means that the predictor is associated with higher odds of been anaemic; and OR < 1 means that the predictor is associated with lower odds of been anaemic. Clustering of observations in units of households was controlled by imposing on the models a "cluster" variable, thus, the identification numbers of the respondents at the cluster level. This adjusted the SE leading to statistically robust estimation of parameters.

At the multivariate level, four (4) models were run: the joint effect of household cooking fuel type and urbanicity (Model 1); birth weight and biosocial factors (Model 2), sociocultural (Model 3), and the contextual (Model 4). Literature and parsimony informed the chosen groups of references for the predictor variables in the models. Respondents from urban settings who rely on unclean cooking fuel types "Unclean urban" was chosen for the key predictor. The selected reference group for sex of child and sex of household head was "male". Studies show that males in households are often less worried about children under five years as well as water and sanitation issues (Armah et al., 2018; Mulenga et al., 2017). The selected reference group for current age of child was "0". Young adults and no education were respective selected as reference groups for age of household head and educational attainment of mother. Unimproved was selected as the reference group for source of drinking water and type of toilet facility. The young adult group was selected as the reference group because this is a demographic group in transition and may be unable to provide better services for the family while those with no education has direct effect on ability to afford and capacity to spearhead decision-making of households with respect to better services and conditions. Small household size was selected as the reference group for household size.

**Ethical Considerations**

The DHS Program recognizes and adheres to established international and local ethical standards and protocols in its surveys. The ICF International’s Institutional Review Board (IRB) through The DHS Program’s reviewed and approved all survey procedures and instruments used before implementation. The board aside providing technical assistance to the program ensures that the survey complies with the United States Department of Health and Human Services regulations for the protection of human subjects CFR 46 as well as the laws of the individual countries.

**Results**

**Descriptive analysis**

The study included 95,056 children under the age of 5 years from 29 SSA countries. The percentage of children who suffered anaemia was 57% while those who were not anaemic accounted for 43%. A majority (57%) of the children included in the survey were in rural households that used unclean cooking fuels. The results indicate that only 13% of children under the age of 5 years are from household that rely on clean cooking fuel whiles 87% lived in households using unclean cooking fuels. Further, prevalence of anaemia was highest (59%) among children in urban households that use unclean cooking fuels, and rural households that use cleaning cooking fuels. Even though a majority of children were in households with improved source of drinking water (75%); and improved toilet facility (54%), most of these children, 58% and 60% respectively suffered anaemia. Child anaemia is high (60%) among children in rich households, which constitute a slightly higher percentage (41%) of households by wealth status. Even though a relatively high percentage (35%) of children included in the study were in rural households of poor wealth status, a majority (59%) of children from rich households in rural areas were anaemic. On educational attainment of mothers, only a few children (4%) belonged to mothers who had tertiary education, most (65%) of whom were anaemic. Notwithstanding the relatively few children from Namibia (1%) and Rwanda (4%) included in the survey, each recorded 82% child anaemia. Despite accounting for only 4% of the children studied, Benin recorded the least (31%) prevalence of child anaemia. Categorizing the countries into their geographical location, we found that, of the 17% and 18% of children from Southern Africa and Central Africa, 74% of children each region suffered anaemia.

The probability (P) value of all variables except sex of child were significant. The Pearson Chi-squared analysis therefore rejects the null hypotheses that anaemia level is independent of source of drinking water and toilet facility as well as compositional and contextual factors. These results therefore signify that household source of drinking water and type of toilet facility affect anaemia level of children under five years old. Again, the P-values show that the
figures obtained for anaemia level were not by chance and if the analyses were repeatedly run, same results would be obtained. Cramer’s $v$ statistics however shows weak to moderate associations between the key predictor, compositional and contextual factors. The contingency Table 3 shows the detailed results.
| Variable                                      | χ²(df) (p-value; Cramér's V) | Weighted n | Weighted % | Anaemia status |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Household cooking fuel                        | χ² = 0.2206 (1); (p = 0.639; Cramér's V = 0.0015) |
| Unclean                                       | 83,077                      | 87         | 57         | 43             |
| Clean                                         | 11,978                      | 13         | 57         | 43             |
| Household cooking fuel Urbanicity             | χ² = 38.3022 (3); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.0200) |
| Unclean Urban                                 | 29,148                      | 31         | 59         | 41             |
| Unclean Rural                                 | 53,930                      | 57         | 56         | 44             |
| Clean Urban                                   | 6,055                       | 6          | 56         | 44             |
| Clean Rural                                   | 5,923                       | 6          | 59         | 42             |
| Drinking water source                         | χ² = 80.0251 (1); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = -0.0290) |
| Unimproved                                    | 23,298                      | 25         | 54         | 46             |
| Improved                                      | 71,758                      | 75         | 58         | 42             |
| Type of toilet facility                       | χ² = 357.2000 (1); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = -0.0612) |
| Unimproved                                    | 43,618                      | 46         | 54         | 46             |
| Improved                                      | 51,438                      | 54         | 60         | 40             |
| Wealth status                                 | χ² = 281.9885(2); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.0544) |
| Poor                                          | 37,185                      | 39         | 55         | 45             |
| Middle                                        | 18,619                      | 20         | 54         | 46             |
| Rich                                          | 39,251                      | 41         | 60         | 40             |
| Sex of child                                  | χ² = 3.5696 (1); (p = 0.059; Cramér's V = -0.0061) |
| Male                                          | 47,997                      | 50         | 57         | 43             |
| Female                                        | 47,058                      | 50         | 57         | 43             |
| Birth weight                                  | χ² = 17.9842(1); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = -0.0140) |
| Under weight                                  | 13,571                      | 15         | 56         | 44             |
| Normal                                        | 77,283                      | 85         | 58         | 42             |
| Current age of child                          | χ² = 58.1591(4); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.0252) |
| 0                                             | 17,418                      | 19         | 56         | 44             |
| 1                                             | 20,043                      | 22         | 55         | 45             |
| 2                                             | 18,454                      | 20         | 57         | 43             |
| 3                                             | 18,205                      | 20         | 58         | 42             |
| 4                                             | 16,878                      | 19         | 59         | 41             |
| Age of mother(years)                          | χ² = 111.3956 (6); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.0342) |
| 15–19                                         | 1,415                       | 7          | 56         | 44             |
| 20–24                                         | 4,784                       | 22         | 59         | 41             |
| 25–29                                         | 5,830                       | 27         | 57         | 43             |
| 30–34                                         | 4,745                       | 22         | 55         | 45             |
| 35–39                                         | 3,246                       | 15         | 54         | 46             |
| 40–44                                         | 1,328                       | 6          | 57         | 43             |
| 45–49                                         | 342                         | 2          | 56         | 44             |
| Urbanicity Wealth                            | χ² = 395.6355(5); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.0644) |
| Urban Poor                                    | 4,187                       | 4          | 50         | 50             |
| Variable | χ²(df) (p-value; Cramer's V) | Weighted n | Weighted % | Yes (%) | No (%) |
|----------|----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|--------|
| Urban Middle |                           | 4,735       | 5          | 50      | 50     |
| Urban Rich   |                           | 26,281      | 28         | 61      | 39     |
| Rural Poor   |                           | 32,998      | 35         | 55      | 45     |
| Rural Middle |                           | 13,884      | 15         | 56      | 44     |
| Rural Rich   |                           | 12,970      | 14         | 59      | 41     |
| **Birth order number** | χ² = 128.4928(4); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.0367) |          |            |         |        |
| 1           |                           | 23,293      | 25         | 59      | 41     |
| 2           |                           | 19,367      | 20         | 58      | 42     |
| 3           |                           | 15,437      | 16         | 58      | 42     |
| 4           |                           | 11,803      | 12         | 56      | 44     |
| 5 and above |                           | 25,155      | 26         | 54      | 46     |
| **Age of household head** | χ² = 116.8819(2); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.0350) |          |            |         |        |
| Young adult  |                           | 37,260      | 39         | 59      | 41     |
| Middle-aged adult |                 | 44,680      | 47         | 56      | 44     |
| Older-adults |                           | 13,115      | 14         | 54      | 46     |
| **Mother's highest level of education** | χ² = 1.1e + 03(3); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.1056) |          |            |         |        |
| No formal education |                | 28,082      | 30         | 49      | 51     |
| Primary      |                           | 35,506      | 37         | 59      | 41     |
| Secondary    |                           | 27,968      | 29         | 61      | 39     |
| Tertiary     |                           | 3,499       | 4          | 65      | 35     |
| **Household size** | χ² = 306.2649(2); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.0567) |          |            |         |        |
| Small        |                           | 41,898      | 44         | 59      | 41     |
| Medium       |                           | 41,620      | 44         | 57      | 43     |
| Large        |                           | 11,538      | 12         | 50      | 50     |
| **Country**  | χ² = 7.3e + 03 (28); (p < 0.001; Cramér's V = 0.2773) |          |            |         |        |
| Angola       |                           | 5,038       | 5          | 37      | 63     |
| Benin        |                           | 3,533       | 4          | 31      | 69     |
| Burkina Faso |                           | 7,030       | 7          | 52      | 48     |
| Burundi      |                           | 6,722       | 7          | 58      | 42     |
| Cameroon     |                           | 3,345       | 4          | 61      | 39     |
| Congo        |                           | 1,653       | 2          | 48      | 52     |
| Cote D’Ivoire |                         | 1,906       | 2          | 45      | 55     |
| DR Congo     |                           | 3,737       | 4          | 58      | 42     |
| Ethiopia     |                           | 1,919       | 2          | 75      | 25     |
| Gabon        |                           | 2,858       | 3          | 43      | 57     |
| Gambia       |                           | 1,707       | 2          | 39      | 61     |
| Ghana        |                           | 1,412       | 1          | 58      | 42     |
| Guinea       |                           | 1,675       | 2          | 56      | 44     |
| Lesotho      |                           | 1,202       | 1          | 72      | 28     |
| Malawi       |                           | 4,773       | 5          | 69      | 31     |
| Mali         |                           | 2,136       | 2          | 44      | 56     |
| Mozambique   |                           | 4,102       | 4          | 39      | 61     |
| Variable | Weighted n | Weighted % | Anaemia status |
|----------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Namibia  | 1,831      | 2          | Yes (%) 82, No (%) 18 |
| Niger    | 1,428      | 2          | Yes (%) 59, No (%) 41 |
| Nigeria  | 2,758      | 3          | Yes (%) 46, No (%) 54 |
| Rwanda   | 3,547      | 4          | Yes (%) 82, No (%) 18 |
| Sierra Leone | 2,425    | 3          | Yes (%) 55, No (%) 45 |
| Senegal  | 2,484      | 3          | Yes (%) 49, No (%) 51 |
| Tanzania | 7,430      | 8          | Yes (%) 54, No (%) 46 |
| Togo     | 1,853      | 2          | Yes (%) 56, No (%) 44 |
| Uganda   | 3,415      | 4          | Yes (%) 69, No (%) 31 |
| Zambia   | 7,174      | 8          | Yes (%) 73, No (%) 27 |
| Zimbabwe | 4,891      | 5          | Yes (%) 75, No (%) 25 |
| South Africa | 1,069  | 1          | Yes (%) 72, No (%) 28 |

**Geographic region** \( \chi^2 = 3.9e + 03 \text{ (3); (} p < 0.001; \text{ Cramér's } V = 0.2019) \)

| Geographic region | Weighted n | Weighted % | Anaemia status |
|-------------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Western Africa    | 30,348     | 32         | Yes (%) 48, No (%) 52 |
| Eastern Africa    | 31,909     | 34         | Yes (%) 61, No (%) 39 |
| Southern Africa   | 16,167     | 17         | Yes (%) 74, No (%) 26 |
| Central Africa    | 16,632     | 18         | Yes (%) 74, No (%) 26 |

**Multivariate Analysis**

Table 4 shows the results from the negative log-log regression analysis on the relationship between type of household cooking fuel and anaemia among children under five in SSA. In Model 4, the final model that controlled for all the variables including country, it was found that children from rural households that depend on unclean cooking fuels were more likely to be anaemic \([AOR = 1.042; 95\% \text{ CI} = 1.010–1.074]\) as compared to children from urban households using unclean cooking fuel. With birthweight, it was found from our study that children with normal weight had lower odds \([AOR = 0.946; \text{ CI} = 0.916–0.976]\) of being anaemic compared to underweight children. The odds of suffering from anaemia decreased with age of the child. It was found that children aged 4 had the lowest odds \([AOR = 0.900; \text{ CI} = 0.868–0.934]\) of suffering from anaemia compared with those less than 1 year (0). With maternal age, compared with those age 15–19, all the age categories showed statistically significant association with anaemia. However, children whose mother are aged 45–49 \([AOR = 0.866; \text{ CI} = 0.778–0.963]\) and those aged 30–34 \([AOR = 0.868; \text{ CI} = 0.815–0.925]\) had the lowest odds of being anaemic. We also found that children in female headed households \([AOR = 1.047; \text{ CI} = 1.019–1.076]\), middle aged adults \([AOR = 1.046; \text{ CI} = 1.016–1.076]\), old age adults \([AOR = 1.078; \text{ CI} = 1.049–1.118]\) were more likely to be anaemic compared with those in male headed households; and households headed by young adults. With regards to educational attainment of child's mother, children whose mother's highest educational attainment is the tertiary level had the lowest odds \([AOR = 0.865; \text{ CI} = 0.809–0.925]\) of suffering from anaemia compared with those whose mothers had no formal education.

The result show that children in large households \([AOR = 1.066; \text{ CI} = 1.021–1.113]\), those in households with improved source of drinking water \([AOR = 1.035; \text{ CI} = 1.006–1.065]\) had higher odds of being suffering from anaemia compared to those in small household and those whose source of drinking is unimproved respectively. Children in rich households \([AOR = 0.935; \text{ CI} = 0.904–0.968]\); those in household with improved type of toilet facility \([AOR = 0.955; \text{ CI} = 0.929–0.981]\) had lower odds of being anaemic compared with those in poor households, and households with unimproved toilet facility respectively. With country, apart from Benin, all the children in the other countries had lower odds of being anaemic with those in Namibia having the lowest odds \([AOR = 0.267; \text{ CI} = 0.243–0.293]\) compared to children in Angola (see Table 4).
Table 4
Negative log-log regression model showing the relationship between Anaemia status and predictor variables

| Variables                               | Key Predictor | Key Predictor + Biosocial factors | Socio-cultural factors | C     |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
|                                         | Model 1       | Model 2                           | Model 3                | N     |
|                                         | OR            | Robust SE | P value | Conf. Interval | OR   | Robust SE | P value | Conf. Interval | OR   | Robust SE | P value | Conf. Interval | A    |
| Household cooking fuel Urbanicity (ref: Unclean Urban) | 1.068 0.012 | 0.000 1.044 1.093 | 1.045 0.013 | 0.000 1.020 1.070 | 0.905 0.013 | 0.000 0.879 0.931 | 1.  |
| Clean Urban                             | 1.062 0.027 0.017 1.011 1.115 | 1.074 0.028 | 0.006 1.020 1.129 | 1.071 0.028 | 0.008 1.018 1.127 | 1.  |
| Clean Rural                             | 1.001 0.024 0.972 0.955 1.049 | 0.997 0.025 | 0.903 0.949 1.047 | 0.880 0.023 | 0.000 0.835 0.926 | 0.  |
| Birth weight (ref: Underweight)         |               |                                    |                        |       |
| Normal                                  | 0.945 0.015 | 0.000 0.916 0.975 | 0.953 0.015 | 0.002 0.923 0.983 | 0.  |
| Sex (ref: Male)                         |               |                                    |                        |       |
| Female                                  | 0.987 0.011 0.244 0.966 1.009 | 0.986 0.011 | 0.206 0.965 1.008 | 0.  |
| Current age of child (ref: 0)           |               |                                    |                        |       |
| 1                                       | 1.004 0.017 0.819 0.971 1.039 | 0.996 0.017 | 0.796 0.962 1.030 | 0.  |
| 2                                       | 0.973 0.017 0.114 0.939 1.007 | 0.969 0.017 | 0.074 0.936 1.003 | 0.  |
| 3                                       | 0.958 0.017 | 0.015 0.925 0.992 | 0.958 0.017 | 0.017 0.924 0.992 | 0.  |
| 4                                       | 0.930 0.017 | 0.000 0.897 0.963 | 0.937 0.017 | 0.000 0.903 0.971 | 0.  |
| Age of mother (ref: 15–19)              |               |                                    |                        |       |
| 20–24                                   | 0.907 0.025 | 0.000 0.860 0.956 | 0.890 0.025 | 0.000 0.843 0.940 | 0.  |
| 25–29                                   | 0.899 0.024 | 0.000 0.853 0.947 | 0.839 0.025 | 0.000 0.792 0.889 | 0.  |
| 30–34                                   | 0.863 0.024 | 0.000 0.818 0.910 | 0.778 0.025 | 0.000 0.731 0.828 | 0.  |
| 35–39                                   | 0.898 0.026 | 0.000 0.848 0.951 | 0.797 0.028 | 0.000 0.744 0.854 | 0.  |
| 40–44                                   | 0.898 0.030 | 0.001 0.841 0.958 | 0.772 0.031 | 0.000 0.714 0.834 | 0.  |
| 45–49                                   | 0.921 0.044 | 0.086 0.838 1.012 | 0.756 0.040 | 0.000 0.681 0.840 | 0.  |
| Sex of household head (ref: Male)       |               |                                    |                        |       |
| Female                                  | 0.975 0.013 0.061 0.950 1.001 | 1.005 0.014 | 0.685 0.979 1.032 | 1.  |
| Age of household head (ref: Young adults)|               |                                    |                        |       |
| Middle-aged adults                      | 1.106 0.015 | 0.000 1.077 1.136 | 1.066 0.015 | 0.000 1.036 1.096 | 1.  |
| Old-aged adults                         | 1.194 0.022 | 0.000 1.152 1.237 | 1.109 0.022 | 0.000 1.067 1.153 | 1.  |
| Educational attainment of mother (ref: No education) |               |                                    |                        |       |
| Primary                                 |               |                                    |                        |       |
| Secondary                               | 0.734 0.011 | 0.000 0.714 0.755 | 0.  |
| Tertiary                                | 0.727 0.012 | 0.000 0.705 0.751 | 0.  |
| Birth order number(ref: 1)              |               |                                    |                        |       |
| 2                                       | 1.053 0.018 | 0.003 1.018 1.089 | 0.  |
| 3                                       | 1.063 0.021 | 0.002 1.022 1.106 | 1.  |
| 4                                       | 1.107 0.026 | 0.000 1.057 1.160 | 1.  |
| 5 and above                             | 1.120 0.028 | 0.000 1.068 1.176 | 1.  |
| Household size (ref: Small)             |               |                                    |                        |       |
| Variables                         | Key Predictor | Key Predictor + Biosocial factors | Socio-cultural factors |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                  | Model 1       | Model 2                           | Model 3                |
|                                  | OR            | Robust SE | P value | Conf. Interval | AOR | Robust SE | P value | Conf. Interval | AOR | Robust SE | P value | Conf. Interval |
| Wealth status (ref: Poor)        |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Medium                           | 1.034         | 0.014    | 0.015  | 1.007         | 1.062 | 1.015    | 0.007  | 1.062         | 1.025 | 1.062    | 1.015  | 1.062         |
| Large                            | 1.188         | 0.025    | 0.000  | 1.140         | 1.239 | 1.000    | 0.000  | 1.239         | 1.140 | 1.239    | 1.000  | 1.239         |
| Source of drinking water (ref: Unimproved) |       |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Improved                         | 1.052         | 0.017    | 0.002  | 1.019         | 1.086 | 1.002    | 0.002  | 1.086         | 1.002 | 1.086    | 1.002  | 1.086         |
| Type of toilet facility (ref: Unimproved) |       |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Improved                         | 0.977         | 0.014    | 0.107  | 0.951         | 1.005 | 0.014    | 0.107  | 1.005         | 0.014 | 1.005    | 0.107  | 1.005         |
| Country (ref: Angola)            |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Benin                            |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Burkina Faso                    |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Burundi                          |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Cameroon                         |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Congo                            |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Cote D'Ivoire                   |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| DR Congo                         |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Ethiopia                         |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Gabon                            |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Gambia                           |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Ghana                            |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Guinea                           |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Lesotho                          |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Malawi                           |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Mali                             |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Namibia                          |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Niger                            |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Nigeria                          |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Niger                            |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Rwanda                           |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Sierra Leone                    |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Senegal                          |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Tanzania                         |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Togo                             |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Uganda                           |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Zambia                           |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| Zimbabwe                         |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
| South Africa                    |               |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |     |          |        |               |
Discussion

In this current study, our principal aim was to investigate the association between household biomass fuel use, and the joint effect of household biomass fuel use for cooking and urbanicity on anaemia among children under the age of 5 in SSA. The study showed that children from rural households that depend on unclean cooking fuels were more likely to be anemic compared with children from urban households using unclean cooking fuel. This is in line with previous studies in various parts of the world such as Timor-Leste (Pinto, 2016) and India (Page, Patel, & Hibberd, 2015; Baranwal, Baranwal, & Roy, 2014). Although this study was a cross-sectional study and could not claim causality, some studies (Page, Patel, & Hibberd, 2015) have explained that exposure to unclean cooking fuels may lead to systemic inflammation which is regarded as a popular cause of anaemia, mediated by inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-1 (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and interferon-γ (IFN-γ) (Weiss & Goodnough, 2005). The pathways by which these causes anaemia include dysregulation of iron homeostasis, impaired erythropoietin response to reduced hemoglobin levels, and impaired marrow response to erythropoietin (Weiss & Goodnough, 2005; Page, Patel, & Hibberd, 2015). Apart from the key independent variable, we controlled for other key factors associated with anaemia among children under five which are worth discussing in light of previous evidence.

We found that the birthweight of the child had statistically significant association with anaemia. Specifically, children with normal weight had lower odds of being anemic compared with underweight children. This is in consonance with previous studies in Ethiopia (Gebregziabiher, Etana & Niggusi, 2014) and Brazil (Santos et al., 2011). Some previous studies espoused that there is a direct link between food consumption and anaemia. Due to this, in household where there is no food security, it can affect the nutritional status of children, which could contribute to anaemia (Ali et al., 2013; Gebreweld, Ali, Ali & Fisha, 2019). Relatedly, we found that children in large households had higher odds of suffering from anaemia compared to those in small household. This finding confirms a previous study in Ethiopia (Asresie, Fekadu & Dagnew, 2020). Insufficient consumption of appropriate quantity of nutrients due to the high numbers in the households could possibly account for this observation as explained by Asresie, Fekadu and Dagnew, (2020).

The study also established that the socio-economic status (education and wealth) of women had statistically significant association with child anaemia. Specifically, it was found that children whose mothers are in the rich wealth status as well as those with tertiary level of education have lower odds of being anaemic. This corroborates several previous studies in SSA and other countries such as Ethiopia (Kawo, Asfaw, & Yohannes, 2018; Woldie, Kebede, & Tariku, 2015; Gebregziabiher, Etana, & Niggusie, 2014), Brazil (Muniz, et al 2007), Switzerland (Prieto-Patron et al., 2018), Burma (Zhao et al., 2012), and India (Pasricha et al., 2010). There are basically three pathways to the explanation of this finding. First, on the supply side, children from households with high-socio economic status are able to buy nutritious foods for their children unlike the poor ones who might not be able to afford three square meals a day. In other words, the poor might lack the resources to purchase nutritious foods for their children. Second, evidence also suggest that mothers in poor households are also anaemic and the probability of their children also being anaemic is high. Thirdly, in terms of education, mothers who are highly educated are able to translate the health education they receive during child welfare clinics into practice. In addition, those who are highly educated are able to recognise danger signs related to childhood illnesses that warrant emergency care (Agbozo et al 2018; Asresie, Fekadu and Dagnew, 2020).

Another major finding in our study was that the odds of suffering from anaemia decreased with age of the child. This finding is supported by other studies conducted in Ethiopia (Guled et al., 2017; Gebreweld et al., 2019; Gebregziabiher, Etana, & Niggusie, 2014; Khan, Awon & Musi, 2016), Ghana (Parbey et al 2017), and Uganda (Kuziga, Adeke & Wanyenze, 2017). The possible reason for this association is that in most cases as the children grow, they are able to eat varied foods which might be sufficient in iron and as a result could prevent the occurrence of anaemia (Asresie, Fekadu & Dagnew, 2020). We also observed statistically significant association between maternal age and child's anaemia. Specifically, children whose mothers are aged 45–49 and those aged 30–34 had the lowest odds of being anemic. This is consistent with previous studies in Ethiopia (Asresie, Fekadu & Dagnew, 2020). It is possible that as mothers advance in age, they gain experiences with childcare and also, they are more likely to be exposed to education on appropriate practices on childhood nutrition compared with those who are adolescents. In line with a previous study (Engidaye et al., 2019), we also found that children in female headed households, middle aged adults, old age adults were more likely to be anemic compared with those in male headed households; and households headed by young adults. Surprisingly, our study showed that children in households with improved source of drinking water had higher odds of being anaemic. This is contrary to other empirical studies in Bangladesh (Khan, Awon, & Musi, 2016), India (Baranwal, Baranwal, & Roy, 2014), Benin and Mali (Ngine-Teta, Receveur & Kuate-Defo, 2007). Longitudinal case control studies coupled with qualitative evidence are needed to unravel this counter intuitive finding.

Strengths And Limitation

The major strength of this study is the use of recent nationally representative surveys, with relatively large sample sizes. This makes the findings generalisable to all under five children in the countries we included in our study. In addition, we employed rigorous statistical modelling to assess the association between the type of cooking fuel and anaemia while controlling for theoretical and practical confounders. The study however, is limited by the cross-sectional nature of the design employed for the data collection. Due to this, it is impossible to detect temporality of sequence. Also, geographic region and Urbanicity Wealth were associated with child anaemia (p < 0.05) but could not be included in the model due to multicollinearity.

Conclusion

Our study found that there is an association between the joint effect of household biomass fuel use for cooking and urbanicity and anaemia among children under the age of 5 in SSA. Apart from this we also found that birthweight of the child, age of the child, maternal age, sex of household head, age of household head, maternal education, wealth status, size of household, type of source of drinking water and country of residence are associated with childhood anaemia. The following recommendations are therefore made for policy and practice. Firstly, it is critical to promote clean fuel usage among households and women in rural areas. This could be done by governments in various countries by enhancing access and subsidising the cost of natural and or, liquified petroleum gas [LPG] and cylinders. Second, it is also imperative to advocate for improvement in female education which could lead to improvement in socio-economic status.
of the women. Thirdly, maternal education by community health nurses on the risk factors of anaemia is also strongly suggested. Stakeholders that seek to improve maternal and child health should also take these associated factors into consideration.
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Figure 1

Map of study countries with spatial representation of child under age 5 anaemia