Unraveling the Compositional Heterogeneity and Carrier Dynamics of Alkali Cation Doped 3D/2D Perovskites with Improved Stability

Ming-Chun Tang\textsuperscript{a,b,c,d}, Siyuan Zhang\textsuperscript{a,d}, Timothy J. Magnanelli\textsuperscript{a}, Nhan V. Nguyen\textsuperscript{a}, Edwin J. Heilweil\textsuperscript{a}, Thomas D. Anthopoulos\textsuperscript{a} and Christina A. Hacker\textsuperscript{a}\*\textsuperscript{‡}

Preventing the degradation of hybrid perovskite by humid air remains a challenge for their future commercial utilization. 3D/2D perovskites with hierarchical architecture have attracted significant attention due to their promising power conversion efficiency (PCE) and device stability. Here, we report novel 3D/2D planar bi-layer perovskite obtained by growing 2D Ruddlesden–Popper layer on top of 3D rubidium (Rb\textsuperscript{+})-doped triple-cation perovskite. Rb\textsuperscript{+} cation incorporation decreases the work function, and 3D/2D films show smaller work function values compared to classic 3D perovskites. X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) confirms the presence of 2D perovskite capping layer and observes halide migration. Time-resolved terahertz spectroscopy (TRTS) shows average DC carrier mobility for 3D/2D hierarchical structures and their 3D counterparts are one order of magnitude higher than 2D perovskite. The resulting 3D/2D Rb\textsuperscript{+}-incorporated perovskite solar cells show a peak PCE of >20\%, which is slightly higher than their 3D counterpart (19.5\%). Benefited from moisture resistivity, the 3D/2D perovskite photovoltaics show significantly improved long-term stability by retaining 81% of the initial PCE after 60 days of exposure in ambient air (50 ± 10\% relative humidity) without encapsulation, highlighting the potential of engineered stable 3D/2D perovskite solar cells for their commercial utilization.

Introduction

Hybrid organic-inorganic metal-halide perovskites prepared via low-cost solution-processed approaches have attracted tremendous research interest due to their remarkable optoelectronic properties.\textsuperscript{1–6} Substantial efforts have been put into improving device power conversion efficiency (PCE),\textsuperscript{7} which are positively related to perovskite morphology, microstructure, mobility, defect, and trap state density.\textsuperscript{8–13} To date, planar heterojunction three-dimensional (3D) perovskite photovoltaics fabricated through interfacial engineering have recently surpassed the PCE milestone of 25\%.\textsuperscript{14} Despite its high efficiency, 3D perovskites are susceptible to the external environment, such as moisture, heat, and irradiation, which leads to phase degradation and hinder the device performance.\textsuperscript{15–17}

In contrast to the 3D counterparts, the two-dimensional (2D) Ruddlesden–Popper layered perovskites have recently gained extensive attention because of their tunability of optoelectronic properties and, more importantly, ambient stability.\textsuperscript{18–20} 2D layered perovskites have a general formula of \( \text{R}_2\text{A}_{x-1}\text{M}_x\text{X}_{3n+1} \), where \( \text{R}^+ \) is a bulky organic cation such as butylammonium and phenylethylammonium (PEA\textsuperscript{+}). A\textsuperscript{−} represents smaller organic or inorganic cations, including methylammonium (MA\textsuperscript{+}) or formamidinium (FA\textsuperscript{+}), and \( n \) is the number of 3D inorganic corner-sharing Pb\text{I}_6\text{−}\) octahedra layers separating by bulky organic layers. M\textsuperscript{2+} metal cations are typically lead (Pb\textsuperscript{2+}) or tin (Sn\textsuperscript{2+}), and X is a monovalent halide anion such as chloride (Cl\textsuperscript{−}), bromide (Br\textsuperscript{−}), iodide (I\textsuperscript{−}), or the mixture.\textsuperscript{21–23} The hydrophobic spacing layer and the dense-packed crystal structure prevent 2D layered perovskites from the direct contact of moisture. Therefore, the 2D perovskite solar cells could remain stable for thousands of hours in an ambient environment. Based on the benefits of superior stability, these 2D perovskites were further incorporated onto the surface of 3D counterparts as a capping layer to promote the stability of the underneath 3D perovskite phase without significant compromise of device performance.\textsuperscript{24} For instance, the 3D/2D heterojunction solar cells were constructed based on PEA\textsubscript{2}MAPb\textsubscript{0.13}I\textsubscript{0.87} and 3D classic MAPb\textsubscript{i} perovskite, which showed enhanced device stability toward exposure to 75\% relative humidity (RH).\textsuperscript{25} Recently, Nazeeruddin \textit{et al.} reported that the use of 2D layered perovskite had been extended to cesium (Cs\textsuperscript{+})-incorporated 3D mixed-cation and mixed-halide perovskite with an average PCE of 20.1\% based on PEA\textsubscript{2}Pb\textsubscript{i}/Cs\textsubscript{0.2}FA\textsubscript{0.7}MAPb\textsubscript{0.13}I\textsubscript{0.87} stacking structure, which retained 85\% of initial device performance for 800 h at 50 °C.\textsuperscript{26} Other bulky organic ligands have also been introduced into the 3D/2D heterojunction photovoltaics, resulting in decent PCE with enhanced device stability.\textsuperscript{19, 27} However, despite the achievement of stable and high-performance solar cells with...
improved stability, the in-depth roles of the 2D layer and alkali cations on electronic structure, chemical composition, and carrier dynamics in 3D/2D hybrid system are still not yet fully developed.

Herein, we report the comprehensive analysis of electronic structure, chemical compositions, and carrier dynamics of rubidium (Rb⁺)-incorporated FA$_{0.85}$MA$_{0.15}$Rb$_2$Pb$_{1.5}$Br$_{10.45}$ (x = 0 and 0.05, abbreviated as M0 and Rb5 respectively) with layered PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$(I$_2$Br$_{1.4}$)$_4$ capping layer 3D/2D heterojunction perovskites. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns show featured peaks and well-preserved crystallinity of 3D/2D bi-layer perovskites. Benefiting from the hydrophobicity of PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$(I$_2$Br$_{1.4}$)$_4$ layer, 2D perovskite prevents moisture penetration into the 3D perovskite. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) characterization shows that Rb⁺ alkali metal cation’s doping decreases the work function, and the 3D/2D perovskites exhibit smaller work function values compared to their classic 3D counterparts. X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) confirms the presence of 2D PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$(I$_2$Br$_{1.4}$)$_4$ layer, and the XPS depth profile observes halide migration in the 3D/2D perovskite architecture. Through Time-resolved terahertz measurements, the average non-contact DC carrier mobility for pure 2D PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$ perovskite was 1.3 and 1.7 (cm$^2$/V·s) using 400 nm and 800 nm excitation wavelengths, respectively, whereas 3D/2D hierarchical perovskite (i.e., Rb5/2D) yielded average DC mobility values of 14.9 and 45.5 (cm$^2$/V·s). These differences were reflected in photovoltaic performance: slightly higher average PCE were demonstrated with 3D/2D perovskite solar cells (=19.5% for Rb5/2D) than its 3D counterpart (=18.6% for Rb5). With the advantage of moisture resistivity, the 3D/2D (i.e., Rb5/2D) perovskite photovoltaics show significantly improved long-term stability, decreasing by only 19% of its initial PCE after exposure to ≈50% RH at room temperature for 60 days without encapsulation. By comparison, the control 3D sample (i.e., Rb5) underwent a significant decrease of 65% in PCE. This vital information and understanding of the electronic structure, carrier dynamics, and composition of 3D/2D heterojunction perovskites with Rb⁺ addition can be used to guide the synthesis of new 3D/2D perovskite films to improve performance and stability in optoelectronic applications.

Results and discussion
Formation and impact of 3D/2D heterostructure perovskites
The device configuration used in this study is based on the following architecture of glass/ indium-doped tin oxide (ITO)/ compact titanium dioxide (c-TiO$_2$)/ 3D perovskite/ 2D layered perovskite / 2,2’,7,7’-tetrakis-(N,N-di-methoxyphenylamine)-9’-spiroibfluorene (Spiro-OMeTAD)/ (Au), as illustrated in Fig. 1a. The 3D FA⁺-dominated perovskite films of FA$_{0.85}$MA$_{0.15}$Rb$_2$Pb$_{1.5}$Br$_{10.45}$ (x = 0 and 0.05), abbreviated as M0 and Rb5 respectively, were processed through a one-step spin-coating antisolvent assisted method, leading to a compact and pinhole-free perovskite layer with a thickness of ≈400 nm, confirmed by profilometer and spectroscopic ellipsometry measurement (Fig. S1, Supporting Information). Through spin casting a PEAI solution upon the annealed 3D perovskite film, 2D layered PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$(I$_2$Br$_{1.4}$)$_4$ perovskite (abbreviated as 2D) was formed by PEAI reacting with excess and unreacted lead halides or diffusing into polycrystalline 3D perovskite via grain boundaries and surface. The thickness of the 2D perovskite PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$(I$_2$Br$_{1.4}$)$_4$ capping layers can be tuned by varying the concentrations of PEAI solutions (1, 5, and 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ in isopropanol). A schematic representation of the preparation process of both 3D and 3D/2D heterostructure perovskite layers is shown in Fig. S2. Unless stated otherwise, all the characterization results of 3D/2D perovskite were based on optimized 2D layered perovskite fabricated by 5 mg mL$^{-1}$ PEAI solution.

The optical energy band gaps of 3D and 3D/2D perovskite films were calculated from the Tauc plot (Fig. S3) in ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorption spectra (Fig. 1b). All the 3D films (M0 and Rb5) and their corresponding 3D/2D perovskites (M0/2D and Rb5/2D) show similar absorption profiles that have a broad absorption band over the entire visible region with two featured peaks located at ≈780 nm and ≈480 nm. On the other hand, the optical UV-Vis absorption spectrum of 2D PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$ layered perovskites shows typical features of a high-energy continuum absorption edge and a lower-energy excitonic peak. The optical band gaps appear to remain similar between 3D and 3D/2D perovskite films, which are consistent with earlier reports of multidimensional hybrid perovskites.

Fig. 1c shows XRD patterns of perovskite thin films based on 2D perovskite PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$$_x$, 3D perovskites (M0 and Rb5), and 3D/2D perovskites (M0/2D and Rb5/2D). The crystal structure of pristine 2D PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$ perovskite films show peaks at 5.4°, 10.8°, and 16.3°, which can be assigned to the scattering from (002), (004), and (006) diffraction plane of the layered perovskite PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$, respectively. Based on the (002) reflection peaks of PEAI$_2$Pb$_4$, the distance between the 2D perovskite layers is calculated to be 16.35 Å, and the growth planes are primarily parallel to the substrate. XRD patterns of other 3D/2D perovskite films with different PEAI solution concentrations (1, 5, and 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ in isopropanol) of 2D perovskite capping layers were also demonstrated (Fig. S4a). XRD pattern of Rb5/2D heterojunction perovskites remains similar to the Rb5 film when using 1 mg mL$^{-1}$ PEAI solution, indicating that the 2D perovskite is not formed. While employing the 5, and 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ PEAI solutions, the (002) reflection featured peak of 2D perovskite becomes more dominant.

Contact angle tests were performed to elucidate the 2D capping layer’s effect to suppress moisture diffusion (Fig. 1d). The pristine 3D Rb5 perovskite film shows a ≈55.6° contact angle, while the capping layer treated 3D/2D perovskite film shows a contact angle of 89.7°. The higher contact angle suggests the 2D capping layers have high water resistance and act as a protective coating against moisture, preserving the underlying 3D Rb5 perovskite layer. Therefore, the 3D/2D films exhibit stronger water resistance and show a more hydrophobic surface. The optical images of Rb5 and Rb5/2D films (Fig. 1d) show that both films appear black, indicating a desirable and complete perovskite conversion.
Electronic structures of 2D, 3D, and 3D/2D heterostructure perovskites

Even though the absorption spectra of 3D/2D stacking perovskite show similar profiles as their classic 3D counterparts, the UPS results demonstrate that the electronic structure at the 3D/2D films’ surface differs from the 3D perovskites. Fig. 2a shows the secondary electron edge (SEE) and the low binding energy regions of the UPS spectra for pure 2D, 3D, and 3D/2D bi-layer perovskites. All energies are referenced to a standard Fermi Level ($E_F = 0$ eV), calibrated by a sputter-cleaned Au. The work function (WF) was determined by the difference between the UV photons (21.21 eV) and the binding energy of the SEE. The conduction band minimum (CBM) position, the $E_{CBM}$, is calculated from the position of valence band maximum (VBM) and the bandgap value. The determination of these perovskite films’ energetic levels can unravel the role of band alignment in charge transport. The Fermi level is close to the CBM, indicating that all these perovskite films function as an n-type semiconductor. As shown in Fig. 2b, the work functions of the classic 2D PEA$_2$PbI$_4$ and 3D films (i.e., M0 and Rb5) were determined to be 4.33 ± 0.03 eV, 4.73 ± 0.03 eV, 4.53 ± 0.04 eV, respectively, as determined by a linear extrapolation of the secondary electron cutoff. The addition of Rb$^+$ alkali metal cation in Rb5 decreases the WF and shifts both VBM and CBM to a higher level compared with M0. Upon capping with a 5 mg mL$^{-1}$ PEAI solution, the WFs of M0/2D (≈ 4.48 eV) and Rb5/2D (≈ 4.28 eV) exhibit smaller values compared with their pure 3D counterparts, and the band edges in 3D/2D perovskite films are upshifted by ≈ 0.04 eV and ≈ 0.09 eV in M0/2D and Rb5/2D, respectively. The trends observed here are in good agreement with the literature reports. The 3D/2D bi-layer perovskite films with various PEAI solution concentrations were also investigated (see Fig. S5), and the work function gradually decreases as the PEAI solution concentration increases (1 mg mL$^{-1}$ to 10 mg mL$^{-1}$). The detailed evolution of UPS spectra and the standard deviation from averaging the results obtained with different samples and spots were summarized in
Supporting Information (Table S1). The energy levels of 3D/2D perovskites were in good agreement with the charge transporting layers (TiO₂ and Spiro-OMeTAD in this case), which could facilitate the charge transfer and suppress the charge recombination.  
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**Fig. 2** (a) UPS secondary electron edge (SEE) and the low binding energy region (near the Fermi energy \( E_F = 0 \) eV) of the classic 2D, 3D, and 3D/2D bi-layer perovskites. The onset of ionization of filled states relative to zero binding energy is used to track the shifts of \( E_F \) relative to VBM. (b) Schematics of the electronic structure of 2D, 3D, and 3D/2D bi-layer perovskite films.

**Confirmation of the 2D layered perovskite on 3D perovskites via X-ray photoemission spectroscopy**

To further verify the 2D perovskite layer’s presence on 3D perovskites, XPS measurements were carried out on the classical 2D, 3D, and 3D/2D perovskite films in **Fig. 3**. The C 1s core-level spectrum (Fig. 3a) of pristine 2D PEA₃PbI₅ perovskite film contains three components which are consistent with the previous report: the \( \approx 284.6 \) eV component is assigned to surface absorbed amorphous carbon (C–C), the \( \approx 286.2 \) eV peak is attributed to the C–N bond in PEAI, and the feature peak at the binding energy of \( \approx 291.7 \) eV is assigned to the \( \pi-\pi^* \) shakeup satellite peak for carbon in aromatic systems, the phenyl functional group in the PEAI cation, in this case. The kinetic energy of the emitted photoelectron from carbon is reduced due to the delocalized aromatic \( \pi \) system. The presence of this satellite peak confirms the presence of the 2D perovskite layer. The C 1s spectrum of pristine 3D perovskite film also consists of three components, peaks at 284.6 eV, 286.2 eV, and 288.2 eV, which can be attributed to the C–C, C–N, and C=N, respectively. The FA⁺ and MA⁺ cations are confirmed by the presence of the peaks for C–N and C=N bonding. In other 3D/2D perovskite films, the Rb5 film processed with 1 mg mL⁻¹ of the PEAI solution still shows similar features as the classic 3D Rb5 perovskite film (see **Fig. S6a**). This observation is consistent with the XRD results. As the PEAI solution concentration increased to 5 mg mL⁻¹ and 10 mg mL⁻¹, the 2D perovskite features became more pronounced, where the \( \pi-\pi^* \) shakeup satellite peak increases accompanied by the decrease of the C=N from FA⁺ cation (**Fig. S6e**). This observation is consistent with the increased thickness of the 2D perovskite layer in 3D/2D perovskite heterostructure. This phenomenon was also confirmed by the N 1s spectra, as shown in **Fig. S6b**. The featured bonds of C–N (401.9 eV) and C=N (400.3 eV) from FA⁺ cation can be observed in the N 1s spectrum of pristine 3D (i.e., M0 and Rb5), while the classic 2D PEA₃PbI₅ perovskite film only shows one feature bond of C–N (401.9 eV). **Figure S6** shows that the 2D perovskite feature peak at higher binding energy became more dominant as the PEAI solution concentration increases, indicating a thicker 2D perovskite film.
Distribution of chemical components throughout pure 3D and 3D/2D perovskites

To further analyze the distribution of chemical components throughout the films, the depth-profile XPS was conducted for pure 3D and 3D/2D perovskite films. The interfacial region between perovskite film and substrate was determined by an abrupt decrease of Pb 4f signal accompanied by the increase of O 1s and In 3d signals from the ITO substrate. The film thicknesses were measured by the profilometer and ellipsometry (as shown in Fig. S1). Fig. 4 illustrates the 3D/2D perovskite film (i.e., Rb5/2D) elemental composition as a function of depth profile collected with XPS by using in situ Ar⁺ sputtering. To compare with its 3D counterpart, the depth-profile XPS of Rb5 perovskite film is also shown in Fig. S7 and S8. The sputtering time was converted to sampling depth with the assumption that the etching rate was constant. Fig. 4a shows the XPS spectra in the 80 eV to 10 eV range covering the Br 3d (70–67 eV), I 4d (53–47 eV), and Pb 5d (24–18 eV) core levels. This representation’s practical advantage is that information from bromide, iodide, and lead are acquired in the same spectral window giving an accurate relative intensity ratio at a specific probing depth, which can be easily compared without laborious calculations. As shown in Fig. 4a, both I and Br are observed on the surface of the 3D/2D perovskite layer.

Fig. 4b shows the XPS depth profiling of Rb 3d in the Rb5/2D film. The Rb 3d is not initially detected on the film surface. Then a gradual increase of the intensity is observed after the first sputtering, confirming that the Rb⁺ alkali metal cation doping is limited in the 3D region and Rb⁺ does not migrate into the 2D layer. To better present the film composition, the atomic ratio of halides (I 3d and Br 3d) and metal cations Rb⁺ (Rb 3d) is normalized to lead Pb²⁺ (Pb 4f) for Rb5/2D and summarized in Fig. 4c. As shown in Fig. 4c, the Rb/Pb ratio is close to 0 on the top surface of the Rb5/2D film, while the I/Pb and Br/Pb ratios are ≈ 3.3 and ≈ 0.5, respectively. As the depth increases into the 3D portion, the Rb/Pb ratio increases slightly to ≈ 0.03, then ≈ 0.05 as the sputtering time increases. Both I/Pb and Br/Pb ratios, on the other hand, decrease to ≈ 2.3 and ≈ 0.2 after the first sputtering, then increase to ≈ 2.6 and ≈ 0.3 within the film as the depth increases. The drastic decrease of halide to lead (X/Pb) ratio after the first sputtering is consistent with the 2D layer transition, where the X/Pb is supposed to be 4, to the 3D portion of the film, where X/Pb is close 3. Here, as the PEAI solution is spun-coated on the top of 3D layers, the PEAI molecules diffuse into the polycrystalline structure of 3D layer through surface and grain boundaries, reacting with 3D perovskite and excess of lead halide forming the 3D/2D stacking structures. The X/Pb value (I/Pb + Br/Pb) in the 3D region increases from ≈ 2.5 to ≈ 2.9 as the depth increases. This may be attributed to halide migration from 3D into the 2D layer at the 3D/2D interface. The profiles for M0, Rb5, and M0/2D are also presented in the Supporting Information (Fig. S8). The X/Pb ratios in M0/2D (Fig. S8 c) show a similar pattern compared to the Rb5/2D, where halides migrate from the 3D layer into 2D perovskite and lead to inhomogeneous halide distribution to a more significant extent. Compared with non-doped 3D counterparts (Fig. S8 a-b), a small amount of alkali metal (Rb⁺) cations effectively prevented the halide segregation in mixed-cation and mixed-halide perovskite, which functions similarly to the addition of Cs⁺ cations reported.

Fig. 3 Representative XPS core-level spectra of a) C 1s and b) N1s of classical 2D PEA₂PbI₄, M0, Rb5, and Rb5/2D perovskite films. The y-axis is on a log scale to facilitate the view of the low-intensity peak.
previously. This is the first report of direct observation of halide migration from 3D into 2D perovskite at the 3D/2D interface using XPS depth profiling. This is unique compared with other previous works, mostly using the optical or electrical techniques to characterize the halide migration and not have spatial composition distribution information.
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**Fig. 4** (a) XPS depth profiling in the 80–10 eV range covering the Br 3d, I 4d, Pb 5d core level peaks in Rb5/2D film. The figure on the top represents the spectrum in the 80–10 eV range on the surface of the sample (depth = 0 nm). (b) XPS depth profiling of Rb 3d in the Rb5/2D film. The top figure represents the core level peak of Rb 3d inside the sample at 100 nm depth. (c) The atomic ratios of the halides and metal cations relative to lead Pb in Rb5/2D. Error bars indicate the standard deviation from averaging the results obtained with different spots.

### Carrier dynamics of pure 2D, 3D, and 3D/2D hierarchical structures studied via time-resolved terahertz spectroscopy

Time-resolved terahertz (THz) spectroscopy (TRTS) was employed to interrogate charge carriers’ localized mobility following photoexcitation. Each perovskite sample was photoexcited using 400 nm pulses to generate charge carriers and monitored by subsequent THz probe pulse absorption to provide information on their ultrafast characteristics and dynamics. Here, we fit THz probe frequency-dependent complex photo-responses (TRTS measurements, see Fig. 5a) using a rebouncing carrier model (Drude-Smith, Equation 1) to assess the dielectric response and extract the total charge mobility of each perovskite sample. The Drude-Smith model, Equation 1, represents the simplest way to model the photoinduced dielectric response of charge carriers that are subject to confinement. Here, \( C_0 \) represents a conductive prefactor (including the carrier number density \( \Delta N \), and effective mass \( m^* \)), \( \tau \) the charges scattering time, and \( c_1 \) the backscattering parameter, roughly the proportion of charges that rebound, ranging from none to all as \( c_1 = 0 \) to -1. Real and imaginary components were fit simultaneously with individual frequencies weighted by their relative magnitudes from the incident (unphotoexcited) THz probe.

\[
\sigma(\omega) = C_0 \frac{\tau}{(1-i\omega\tau)} \left( 1 + \frac{c_1}{(1-i\omega\tau)} \right)
\]

Knowing the total carrier density, THz mobility was determined by correcting for differences in pump fluence and assuming an external quantum efficiency (EQE) at 400 nm of 85% and a penetration depth of 39 nm \(^{19} \) (78 nm thick active region). The UV pump-THz probe delay was fixed at 5 ps to permit thermal relaxation. The average photoexcited carrier density was \( 5 \times 10^{18} \) charge pairs/cm\(^3 \) and perovskite films were photoexcited through the back surface (to minimize air exposure contamination). TRTS measures the combined hole and electron mobility of a given system and can be represented as either the direct response from the THz probe (\( \mu_{THz} \)) or extrapolation to a zero-frequency, \( \omega \rightarrow 0 \), DC limit (\( \mu_{DC} \)). Direct fitting of the low-lying phonon modes\(^{46} \) also present within the spectrum and acknowledges other limitations to the technique and modeling in the Supporting Information. **Fig. 5** shows the frequency-dependent carrier mobility fit for 2D layered PEA\(_3\)Pb\(_2\)I\(_6\), 3D M0 and Rb5, and 3D/2D heterostructure perovskite films and the extracted \( \mu_{DC} \) and \( \mu_{THz} \) for those datasets. Specific fit parameters to the Drude-Smith model and numerical values for the \( \mu_{DC} \) and \( \mu_{THz} \) data are included in Table S3. From these results (see **Fig. 5b**), we find no substantial difference between the delocalized limit of the mobility except when comparing the 2D film to the other 3D M0 and Rb5 and 3D/2D heterostructure perovskites, where the mobility is an order of magnitude lower. The 3D/2D samples are found to have slightly lower mobility than their 3D counterparts, but the difference is within error and comparable to previous TRTS perovskite findings\(^{41} \).

A similar analysis was conducted using 800 nm photoexcitation after 42 days of exposure to dry air (O\(_2\) present but excluding H\(_2\)O and light) to assess any differences between near-interface excitation (using 400 nm) versus the entire film thickness (using 800 nm excitation). Results from this study, along with discussion, are found in the Supporting Information (**Fig. S9**). Finally, we note that the strength in THz measurements lies in being able to describe charge mobility and conduction properties on very localized domains (ca.
Photovoltaic Device Performance and Stability

It has generally been accepted that the solution chemistry in perovskite formulations, together with the processing protocols, can both impact the morphology of the final thin film. To better understand the impacts of Rb⁺ incorporation and 2D layered perovskite capping layer, we studied morphology for 3D (i.e., M0 and Rb5) and 3D/2D (i.e., M0/2D and Rb5/2D) perovskite solid-state thin films via scanning electron microscope (SEM) as shown in Fig. S10. Unlike M0/2D (without Rb⁺ doping) layers, we see an increasing number of pinholes and cracks in the perovskite crystals with the 2D perovskite layer (Fig. S10 c-d). Rb5/2D heterostructure tends to form high quality and continuous perovskite layers comprised of compact domains. For comparison, the top-view SEM images of M0 and Rb5 perovskites without the 2D perovskite capping layer were also shown in Fig. S10 a-b. The layer morphology differences may be attributed to complex perovskite crystals nucleation and growth rate and interaction between various precursors within the colloidal solution, including solvents and solutes. Therefore, we could obtain high quality and full coverage of perovskite layers through careful design of the perovskite formulations. These features are expected to benefit the performance of perovskite solar cells, which will be discussed later.

To demonstrate the device performance, we fabricated planar n-i-p type 2D layered PEA₂PbI₄, 3D M0 and Rb5, and 3D/2D heterostructure perovskite solar cells with the layer configuration (see Fig. 1a). We first collected and compared data on 20–25 solar cells based on these types of perovskite films with the statistical distribution of PCE (see Fig. 6a) and summarized in Table S2. Representative J–V curves of peak PCE for these perovskite films are shown in Fig. 6b. The best FΑ₀.₈₅MΑ₀.₁₅PbI₂.₅₅Br₀.₄₅ without Rb⁺ incorporation (i.e., M0) device shows an average PCE of 17.46 ± 0.66%. We observed statistically meaningful PCE improvements with a small amount of Rb⁺ alkali cations addition for the FΑ₀.₈₅MΑ₀.₁₅Rb₀.₇₅PbI₂.₅₅Br₀.₄₅ (i.e., Rb5) devices to 18.61 ± 0.56%, which is solid proof of the critical importance of Rb⁺ addition. This enhanced device performance may be attributed to the introduction of alkali cations that enables homogeneous halide distribution (see Fig. S8) and remediates defects in the mixed-cation and mixed-halide perovskite, which results in higher electron mobility and lower trap density. In contrast, the 2D layered PEA₂PbI₄ devices exhibit an average PCE of 1.22 ± 0.21%, indicating 2D perovskite crystal structures are generally not good options for high-performance solar cells due to their reduced (see Fig. 5) and anisotropic charge transport associated with the bulky organic spacers.⁴¹ The M0/2D heterostructure perovskites show an average PCE of 17.28 ± 0.35%, which slightly drops in contrast to the M0 partially due to relatively poor morphology (Fig. S10) and slightly lower mobility than their 3D counterparts (Fig. 5). The addition of a 2D perovskite layer on top of M0 induces larger pinholes than the original morphology of M0. By contrast, a peak PCE of 20.02% (average PCE of 19.5 ± 0.43%), with a V_OC of 1.17 V, a J_SC of 22.82 mA cm⁻², and an FF of 75.02% was obtained for the PEA⁺-treated 3D/2D (i.e., Rb5/2D) devices, mainly due to a slight increase in the V_OC and FF in contrast to the control Rb5 (Fig. 6a and Table S2). This performance is also among the highest for the 3D/2D heterojunction perovskite solar cells.⁴² The slight increase in the V_OC of Rb5/2D bi-layer structure compared to Rb5 may be attributed to the modified interface energy level (shown by UPS in Fig. 2) and slightly larger and compact grains (see SEM image in Fig. S10), which reduces grain boundary and interface charge recombination.⁴³ Besides, the EQE of the champion Rb5/2D device (Fig. S4d), showing an integrated J_SC = 22.49 mA cm⁻², which is close to the measured J_SC.
Moreover, the statistical distribution of PCEs of the 3D/2D perovskite solar cells using different concentrations of PEAI solutions (1, 5, and 10 mg mL⁻¹ in isopropanol) as 2D perovskite capping layers was also shown in Fig. 5b. Average PCE of 19.15 ± 0.55% and 19.5 ± 0.43% were obtained for 1 and 5 mg mL⁻¹ cases, respectively, higher than the average PCEs (15.48 ± 0.62%) of 10 mg mL⁻¹ case. The deteriorated performance in thicker 2D perovskite film may be attributed to the reduced charge transport property that originated from the severe quantum confinement effect. Decent charge carrier mobility can be obtained in the 3D/2D perovskite stacking structure (Fig. 5) when the thin 2D perovskite capping layer is controlled within effective diffusion length.

Finally, the environmental stability of the perovskite solar cells was also evaluated. Unencapsulated devices were exposed to an ambient environment with ±50% relative humidity at room temperature. The normalized PCEs versus time were recorded periodically (Fig. 6c). Devices incorporate small amounts of Rb⁺ cations and 2D layered perovskites exhibit significantly improved environmental stability. For example, the PCEs retain 90%, 15%, 35%, 75%, and 81% of its initial value for 2D layered PEA₂PbI₄, 3D M0 and Rb5, and 3D/2D heterostructure (i.e., M0/2D and Rb5/2D) perovskite solar cells after 60-day ambient exposure (50 ± 10% RH conditions without encapsulation). The far superior ambient stability of 3D/2D heterostructure devices is partially attributed to fewer phase impurities present in films and better phase stability since the addition of Rb⁺ and hydrophobic 2D layered perovskites help to form an entropically stabilized phase and prevent direct contact of moisture. In addition, the environmental stability of the 3D/2D perovskite solar cells using different concentrations of PEAI solutions (1, 5, and 10 mg mL⁻¹ in isopropanol) as 2D perovskite capping layers were also evaluated (Fig. 5c). The hysteresis index is determined using the equation: Hysteresis index = \( \frac{PCE_{\text{reverse}} - PCE_{\text{forward}}}{PCE_{\text{reverse}}} \), and reverse or forward represents scan direction. Indeed, hysteresis is also significantly improved within the Rb5/2D device partially due to suppressed trap formation, with the hysteresis index of 1.8% (Fig. 6d). We further demonstrated promising light-soaking stability of the cell at a fixed maximum power point voltage for 500 s, with a stabilized PCE of ≈19.70% under continuous illumination (Fig. 6e). This lasting illumination stability is due to suppressed phase conversion from the perovskite phase to non-perovskite phases, mainly assisted by the addition of Rb⁺ and Br⁻ ions and 2D perovskite capping layer.

**Fig. 6** Solar cell characterization. (a) Statistics of 20-25 devices for 2D layered PEA₂PbI₄, 3D M0 and Rb5, and 3D/2D heterostructure perovskite solar cells. (b) J–V curves of the peak efficiency for each type of perovskite film. (c) Recorded long-term environmental stability of corresponding perovskite solar cells exposed to an ambient environment with 50% relative humidity without encapsulation. (d) J–V curve for the peak efficiency of 20.02% in the reverse scan (19.65% in the forward scan) obtained in the Rb5/2D perovskite solar cell. (e) The stabilized power output of J_sc and PCE are monitored versus time.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we demonstrated that fine-tuning of 2D layered perovskite as a capping layer on top of classic 3D Rb⁺-doped...
perovskite could effectively alter the band structure and exhibit a more hydrophobic surface, leading to a significant improvement of device stability while maintaining good performance. The properties of the 2D capped layer and 3D Rb⁺-doped perovskite are put in context by comparison with 2D, 3D, and 3D/2D bilayer perovskites where we have investigated the electronic structure, composition, and carrier dynamics of these structures. The doping of Rb⁺ cations decreases the WF, and the addition of 2D layered perovskite in 3D/2D bi-layer structures further decreases the WF compared to their classic 3D perovskites. XPS depth profile shows that halide can migrate from 3D into the 2D layer and a small amount of alkali metal can help to reduce the halide migration in both 3D and 3D/2D hybrid films. Through TRTS results, the average DC carrier mobility for 3D/2D hierarchical structure and 3D perovskites are one order higher than the 2D PEA₂PbI₄ film, which is consistent with literature values but providing the only minor distinction between 3D/2D and 3D films. As a result, the optimal 3D/2D devices (i.e., Rb5/2D) incorporating 2D layered perovskite and Rb⁺ alkali metal cations exhibit significantly increased PCE over 20% in planar n-i-p devices, as well as improved stability at ~ 50% relative humidity at room temperature without encapsulation. This work provides the perovskite community facile strategy and fundamental understanding to rationally design 3D/2D bi-layer architecture with significant guidelines toward high-performance perovskite photovoltaics with improved operational stability.
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