Physician-staffed helicopter emergency medical service has a beneficial impact on the incidence of prehospital hypoxia and secured airways on patients with severe traumatic brain injury
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Abstract

Background: After traumatic brain injury (TBI), hypotension, hypoxia and hypercapnia have been shown to result in secondary brain injury that can lead to increased mortality and disability. Effective prehospital assessment and treatment by emergency medical service (EMS) is considered essential for favourable outcome. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of a physician-staffed helicopter emergency medical service (HEMS) in the treatment of TBI patients.

Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study. Prehospital data from two periods were collected: before (EMS group) and after (HEMS group) the implementation of a physician-staffed HEMS. Unconscious prehospital patients due to severe TBI were included in the study. Unconsciousness was defined as a Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score ≤ 8 and was documented either on-scene, during transportation or by an on-call neurosurgeon on hospital admission. Modified Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS) was used for assessment of six-month neurological outcome and good neurological outcome was defined as GOS 4–5.

Results: Data from 181 patients in the EMS group and 85 patients in the HEMS group were available for neurological outcome analyses. The baseline characteristics and the first recorded vital signs of the two cohorts were similar. Good neurological outcome was more frequent in the HEMS group; 42% of the HEMS managed patients and 28% (p = 0.022) of the EMS managed patients had a good neurological recovery. The airway was more frequently secured in the HEMS group (p < 0.001). On arrival at the emergency department, the patients in the HEMS group were less often hypoxic (p = 0.024). In univariate analysis HEMS period, lower age and secured airway were associated with good neurological outcome.

Conclusion: The introduction of a physician-staffed HEMS unit resulted in decreased incidence of prehospital hypoxia and increased the number of secured airways. This may have contributed to the observed improved neurological outcome during the HEMS period.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov IDNCT02659046. Registered January 15th, 2016.
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**Introduction**

After traumatic brain injury (TBI), hypotension, hypoxia and hypercapnia have been shown to result in secondary brain injury that can lead to increased mortality and disability [1]. As the prognosis of patients with severe TBI and a low Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score depends on early support of vital functions [2, 3], effective prehospital assessment and treatment is considered essential for favourable outcome [4]. In particular, prehospital prevention of hypoxia by adequate airway and respiratory management including a secured airway, normoventilation and prevention of aspiration is strongly associated with improved outcome [5–8].

Depending on the structure of the emergency medical service (EMS) system the level of available treatment varies, and this may have an impact on the patient’s outcome. A systematic review from 2009 revealed only a few controlled studies examining the effect of advanced interventions by a prehospital EMS physician on outcome. Increased survival was found in major trauma patients and in patients with cardiac arrest [9].

Although a Helicopter Emergency Medical Service (HEMS) is a part of the prehospital trauma system in many countries, HEMS and the possible impact it has on outcome in traumatically injured patients remains a subject of debate. Studies have been performed with the aim to evaluate the effect of HEMS on outcome in trauma patients, with contradictory results [10–15]. Differences in HEMS team composition, dispatch protocols, EMS organisation, hospital treatment and methodology and outcome measures make comparisons between studies difficult.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of a physician-staffed HEMS in the treatment of TBI patients. The hypothesis was that implementation of a physician-staffed HEMS would have a positive effect on outcome.

**Material and methods**

The Pirkanmaa district has the second largest population in Finland, with approximately a half million inhabitants living in the city of Tampere and in the surrounding municipalities. All TBI patients in the study region are admitted to Tampere University Hospital, which is the referral centre in the area, and provides immediate neurosurgical care according to national guidelines (the first edition published in 2003, with an update in 2008) [16].

**Period 1 (2005–2010): Paramedic EMS (EMS group)**

The EMS was the responsibility of and organised by each of the municipalities in the region. The system was two-tiered, with emergency medical technician basic life support and paramedic advanced life support units. There were no dedicated on-call EMS medical directors, and no physician-staffed EMS units available on-scene. Prehospital crews consulted on-call hospital and local primary care physicians for treatment guidelines when deemed necessary. Patients with a decreased level of consciousness were routinely administered oxygen according to national guidelines and ventilation was assisted with bag-valve mask if required. Endotracheal intubation was primarily performed in cardiac arrest patients and infrequently in patients with a decreased level of consciousness. Hypnotics or neuromuscular blocking agents were not available in the prehospital setting and endotracheal intubation was performed using sedatives and opioids only, at the discretion of the paramedic on the scene.

**Period 2 (2012–2015): Physician-staffed HEMS (HEMS group)**

A physician-staffed HEMS was introduced into the EMS in the autumn of 2011, covering all municipalities in the study area. The HEMS is dispatched on primary missions together with basic or advanced life support EMS units to patients with potential major trauma or other critical medical condition. The role of the helicopter is primarily to transport the physician to the scene, while patient transport is mainly carried out by EMS ground vehicles with the physician escorting the patient to the emergency department (ED) when necessary. The physicians are anaesthesiologists experienced in prehospital critical emergency medicine and conduct advanced airway management according to the HEMS unit TBI standard operation procedure (SOP). General anaesthesia complying with the principles of neuroanaesthesia, including hypnotics, opioids and neuromuscular blocking agents, is routinely used for rapid sequence intubation (RSI). Capnography-assisted controlled ventilation, invasive haemodynamic monitoring with arterial blood gas sampling and, if necessary, noradrenaline-infusion and hypertonic saline are also routinely employed according to the unit’s TBI SOP and national guidelines [16].

**Study design**

This retrospective cohort study compares the outcome of patients with severe TBI. Prehospital data from two periods were collected: before (EMS group) and after (HEMS group) the implementation of the HEMS. Data of the EMS group have been presented in a previous study [10] comparing the outcome of TBI patients in two differently structured EMS systems and were used as a historical control cohort in the current study. As the physician-staffed HEMS was introduced in the autumn of 2011, that year was excluded from data collecting.

Unconscious prehospital patients due to severe TBI were included in the study. Unconsciousness was defined as a Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score ≤ 8 [17] and...
was documented either on-scene, during transportation or by an on-call neurosurgeon on hospital admission. The ICD-10 hospital discharge diagnoses for traumatic brain injury and/or skull fracture (S06.2-S06.6, S06.8, S02.1) were used to identify the patients, and their patient records were cross-referenced with EMS and HEMS run-sheets. Patients with concomitant multiple injuries with the need for other than neurosurgical interventions were excluded, as were patients transferred from other hospitals.

Data collected included age, gender, mechanism of injury, GCS score and vital signs on-scene and on arrival at the ED, airway management, response and total mission times. Hypoxia was defined as an SpO₂ below 90% and hypotension was defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) below 90 mmHg. These definitions are consistent with the latest edition of the Brain Trauma Foundation’s guidelines for prehospital management of traumatic brain injury [1]. For assessment of neurological outcome, a modified six-month Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS) was used [18, 19]. A GOS of 1 denoted death within six months, GOS 2–3 poor neurological outcome (need for assistance in activities of daily living) and GOS 4–5 good neurological recovery (independent life). Outcome evaluation was performed, or time of death was obtained, by the corresponding author, based on hospital patient records six months after the incident. If the outcome evaluation was unclear, the research team members reviewed the case and a joint decision was made.

**Statistical analyses**

Results are expressed as medians with ranges or percentages. The groups were compared using the chi-square or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Six-month survival is presented with Kaplan-Meier curves. Comparison between EMS and HEMS was made with the log-rank test.

Binary logistic regression analysis was used to predict good outcome. Variables of the univariate analysis with \( p < 0.05 \) were added to the multivariable analysis. Statistical significance was considered at a \( p \)-value less than 0.05. The data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. released 2012.

**Results**

During the study period (Periods 1 + 2) data from 181 patients in the EMS group and 85 patients in the HEMS group were available for neurological outcome analyses (Fig. 1). The baseline characteristics and the first recorded vital signs of the two cohorts were similar and are presented in Table 1.

---

**Fig. 1 Flow-chart**
Good neurological outcome was more frequent in the HEMS group; 42% of the HEMS managed patients and 28% (p = 0.022) of the EMS managed patients had a good neurological recovery (GOS 4–5), living an independent life six months after the incident. There was a trend to higher survival (53% vs. 43%, Log Rank p = 0.066) in the HEMS group during the 6-month follow-up period, presented as Kaplan-Meier curves in Fig. 2. A prehospital decision not to treat was done by the attending HEMS physician on two patients. A subgroup Kaplan-Meier analysis with these two patients removed from the HEMS group resulted in higher survival (p = 0.045).

The logistic regression analysis is presented in Table 2. The airway was secured more frequently in the HEMS group (p < 0.001). Due to long distances, 10 patients were air transported to the ED in the HEMS group, while patient transport was mainly carried out by EMS ground vehicles with the physician escorting the patient. On arrival at the ED, patients in the HEMS group were less often hypoxic (p = 0.024). In univariate analysis HEMS-period, lower age and secured airway were
associated with good neurological outcome. In multivariable analysis lower age remained as a significant factor for good outcome.

**Discussion**

The introduction of a physician-staffed HEMS unit significantly decreased the proportion of hypoxic TBI patients and increased the number of patients with secured airways on hospital admission. This may have contributed to the observed improved neurological outcome during the HEMS period.

This supports our previous findings when evaluating mortality and neurological outcome of TBI patients in two regions with differently structured EMS systems [10].

The baseline characteristics of the two cohorts were similar, with no differences between the groups regarding gender, mechanism of injury or initial GCS. Only the mission-related time frames differed, since the response time and delay to hospital admission were longer in the HEMS group. In the HEMS group 10 patients were air transported to the ED, but as the total mission times in the HEMS group were longer, this result in our opinion excludes the impact of the air transport itself.

The principles for prehospital airway management of TBI patients are described in international guidelines: an airway should be established in patients who have severe TBI (GCS ≤ 8), who are unable to maintain an adequate airway or who are hypoxaemic despite supplemental oxygen [1]. The optimal way to secure the airway still remains controversial [20, 21]. With endotracheal intubation, if RSI is performed poorly, hypoxia and hypotension have been shown to have a negative effect on outcome of TBI patients [21–23]. In the present study, virtually all patients were intubated in the prehospital setting in the physician-staffed HEMS group, whereas only a few patients were intubated in the paramedic EMS group. In univariate analysis of the HEMS-period, securing the airway was associated with good neurological outcome. Anaesthetics were used by the HEMS physicians, while the paramedics were limited to the use of sedatives and opioids. This may have influenced on the observed difference in the rate of airway management procedures during the two periods.

### Table 2 Univariate and multivariable logistic regression of six-month good outcome predictors

|                  | Univariate |         |         |                  | Multivariable |         |         |        |
|------------------|------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------------|---------|---------|--------|
|                  | OR 95% CI  | p-value | OR 95% CI | p-value          |               | OR 95% CI | p-value |        |
| Period           |            |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| HEMS             | 1.87 1.09–3.21 | 0.022   | 2.46 0.89–6.84 | 0.083           |               |          |         |        |
| EMS              | 1          |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| Age              | 0.95 0.93–0.96 | < 0.001 | 0.95 0.93–0.96 | < 0.001        |               |          |         |        |
| Sex              |            |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| Male             | 1.78 0.99–3.19 | 0.055   |          |                  | Not entered   |          |         |        |
| Female           | 1          |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| GCS              | 1.07 0.97–1.18 | 0.183   |          |                  | Not entered   |          |         |        |
| Hypoxia          |            |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| On-scene         | 1          |         |          |                  | Not entered   |          |         |        |
| Not present      | 1.65 0.81–3.37 | 0.165   |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| Hypotension      |            |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| On-scene         | 1          |         |          |                  | Not entered   |          |         |        |
| Not present      | 2.26 0.48–10.72 | 0.303   |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| Airway           |            |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| Secured          | 1.89 1.12–3.19 | 0.017   | 0.71 0.27–1.88 | 0.486           |               |          |         |        |
| Not secured      | 1          |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| Hypoxia          |            |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| At ER            | 1          |         |          |                  | Not entered   |          |         |        |
| Not present      | 2.12 0.69–6.54 | 0.193   |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| Hypotension      |            |         |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
| At ER            | 1          |         |          |                  | Not entered   |          |         |        |
| Not present      | 2.28 0.48–10.78 | 0.299   |          |                  |               |          |         |        |
In previous studies both hypoxaemia and hypotension have been shown to have a negative impact on TBI outcome [2, 5]. We found no difference in the on-scene occurrence of disturbances of these vital signs between the study groups. The proportion of hypotensive patients on arrival to the ED was similar in both groups. However, hypoxia was more common in the patients managed by the paramedic EMS. The likely explanation for this finding is the higher frequency of prehospital endotracheal intubation, controlled ventilation and more precise and invasive monitoring of the vital signs in the HEMS group.

Age has been demonstrated to be an important predictor of outcome after head injury. Older age has been shown to be an independent risk factor for higher mortality and poor functional outcome in TBI [24, 25]. In this study, in uni- and multivariate analysis of the HEMS-period lower age was associated with good neurological outcome.

**Study limitations**

This was a retrospective observational study and the following limitations should be considered when interpreting the results. The prehospital data were originally self-reported, could not be independently verified, and could therefore have been biased. Continuous data on vital signs covering the whole prehospital phase were not available; therefore, short-lived hypoxia or hypotension during the prehospital period cannot with certainty be excluded during either period. Due to the low rate of endotracheal intubation in the paramedic EMS group, parameters regarding ventilation could not be compared. The first CT scans were not evaluated using the Marshall classification. Neurosurgical and intensive care have advanced during the study period, which may also have affected the results and may to some extent account for the improved outcome. Outcome evaluation was based on patient record assessment without clinical examination or the help of a questionnaire. It is possible that the deaths occurring in the late stages of the follow-up period were unrelated to the prehospital index event, with secondary diseases or injury being the cause.

**Conclusions**

The introduction of a physician-staffed HEMS unit resulted in a beneficial impact on patient care reflected by a decreased incidence of prehospital hypoxia and an increased number of patients with secured airways. This may have contributed to the observed improved neurological outcome during the HEMS period. Further prospective multicentre studies with detailed data are needed to confirm the hypothesis that a physician-staffed HEMS has a positive impact on the outcome of TBI patients.

**Abbreviations**

ED: Emergency Department; EMS: Emergency Medical Services; GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; GOS: Glasgow Outcome Score; HEMS: Helicopter Emergency Medical Service; RSI: Rapid Sequence Intubation; TBI: Traumatic Brain Injury

**Acknowledgements**

This study is dedicated to the memory of Janne Virta, M.D.

**Availability of data and supporting materials**

Please contact author for data requests.

**Funding**

This study was supported by a study grant from FinnHEMS Ltd, Research and Development Unit.

**Declarations**

The study was conducted in the the Pirkanmaa Hospital District, Finland.

**Authors’ contributions**

TP: the concept and design of the study, acquisition and evaluation of the data and corresponding author. AK: the concept and design of the study, evaluation of the data and the manuscript. HH: statistical analyses. TS: evaluation of the data and the manuscript. JN: evaluation of the data and the manuscript. IV: evaluation of the data and the manuscript. AYH: evaluation of the data and the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The study protocol was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of the Pirkanmaa Hospital District (reference number R15158), permission to conduct the study was obtained from the Research Director of Tampere University Hospital and registered in ClinicalTrials.gov (Identifier NCT02659046, registered January 15th 2016).

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Publisher’s Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Author details**

1. FinHEMS Ltd, Research and Development Unit, Vantaa, Finland.
2. Department of Anaesthesia, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland.
3. Tays Emergency Medical Service, FinnHEMS 30, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland.
4. Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland.
5. Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Helsinki University Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
6. Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland.
7. Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland.

Received: 27 March 2017 Accepted: 6 September 2017
Published online: 13 September 2017

**References**

1. Badjatia N, Carney N, Crocco TJ, Fallat ME, Henriksen HM, Jagoda AS, et al. Guidelines for prehospital management of traumatic brain injury 2nd edition. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2008;12(Suppl 1):51–52.
2. Chesnut RM, Marshall LF, Klauber MR, Blunt BA, Baldwin N, Eisenberg HM, et al. The role of secondary brain injury in determining outcome from severe head injury. J Trauma. 1993;34(2):216–22.
3. Stocchetti N, Furlan A, Volta F. Hypoxemia and arterial hypotension at the accident scene in head injury. J Trauma. 1996;40(5):764–7.
4. Boer C, Franschman G, Loer SA. Prehospital management of severe traumatic brain injury: concepts and ongoing controversies. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2012;25(5):556–62.
5. Wang HE, Peitzman AB, Cassidy LD, Adelson PD, Yealy DM. Out-of-hospital endotracheal intubation and outcome after traumatic brain injury. Ann Emerg Med. 2004;44(5):439–50.

6. Murray JA, Demetriades D, Berne TV, Stratton SJ, Cryer HG, Bongard F, et al. Prehospital intubation in patients with severe head injury. J Trauma. 2000;49(6):1065–70.

7. Davis DP. Prehospital intubation of brain-injured patients. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2008;14(2):142–8.

8. Davis DP. Early ventilation in traumatic brain injury. Resuscitation. 2008;76(3):330–40.

9. Botker MT, Bakke SA, Christensen EF. A systematic review of controlled studies: do physicians increase survival with prehospital treatment? Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2009;17:12.

10. Pakkanen T, Virkkunen I, Kamarainen A, Huhtala H, Sillavst T, Virta J, et al. Pre-hospital severe traumatic brain injury - comparison of outcome in paramedic versus physician staffed emergency medical services. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2016;24:62.

11. Ringburg AN, Thomas SH, Steyerberg EW, van Lieshout EM, Parka P, Schipper IB. Lives saved by helicopter emergency medical services: an overview of literature. Air Med J. 2009;28(6):298–302.

12. Frankema SP, Ringburg AN, Steyerberg EW, Edwards MJ, Schipper IB, van Vugt AB. Beneficial effect of helicopter emergency medical services on survival of severely injured patients. Br J Surg. 2004;91(11):1520–6.

13. Garner A, Rashford S, Lee A, Bartolacci R. Addition of physicians to paramedic helicopter services decreases blunt trauma mortality. Aust N Z J Surg. 1999;69(10):697–701.

14. Iirola TT, Laaksonen MI, Vahlberg TJ, Palve HK. Effect of physician-staffed helicopter emergency medical service on blunt trauma patient survival and prehospital care. Eur J Emerg Med. 2006;13(6):335–9.

15. Nicholl JP, Brazier JE, Snooks HA. Effects of London helicopter emergency medical service on survival after trauma. BMJ. 1995;311(6999):217–22.

16. Traumatic Brain Injury (online). Current Care Guidelines. Working group set up by the Finnish Medical Society Duodecim. Helsinki: The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim. http://www.kaypahoito.fi/. Accessed 7 Jan 2017.

17. Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. A practical scale. Lancet. 1974;2(7872):81–4.

18. Jennett B, Bond MR. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. Lancet. 1975;1(7905):480–4.

19. Jennett B, Snoek J, Bond MR, Brooks N. Disability after severe head injury: observations on the use of the Glasgow outcome scale. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1981;44(4):285–93.

20. Bernard SA, Nguyen V, Cameron P, Masri K, Fitzgerald M, Cooper DJ, et al. Prehospital rapid sequence intubation improves functional outcome for patients with severe traumatic brain injury: a randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg. 2010;252(6):959–65.

21. Davis DP, Dunford JV, Poste JC, Ochs M, Holbrook T, Fortlage D, et al. The impact of hypoxia and hyperventilation on outcome after paramedic rapid sequence intubation of severely head-injured patients. J Trauma. 2004;57(1):1–8. discussion −10.

22. Haltmeier T, Benjamin E, Siboni S, et al. Prehospital intubation for isolated severe blunt traumatic brain injury: worse outcomes and higher mortality. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00068-016-0781-7.

23. Bosser SM, Schwarte LA, Loer SA, Twisk JW, Boer C, Schober P. Experience in Prehospital endotracheal intubation significantly influences mortality of patients with severe traumatic brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015;10(10):e0141004.

24. Susman M, DiRusso SM, Sullivan T, Risucci D, Nealon P, Cuff S, et al. Traumatic brain injury in the elderly: increased mortality and worse functional outcome at discharge despite lower injury severity. J Trauma. 2002;53(2):219–23. discussion 23–4.

25. Mosenthal AC, Lavery RF, Addis M, Kaul S, Ross S, Marburger R, et al. Isolated traumatic brain injury: age is an independent predictor of mortality and early outcome. J Trauma. 2002;52(5):907–11.