APOGEE-2 Discovery of a Large Population of Relatively High-metallicity Globular Cluster Debris
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We report the discovery of a new, chemically distinct population of relatively high-metallicity ([Fe/H] > −0.7) red giant stars with super-solar [N/Fe] (≥+0.75) identified within the bulge, disk, and halo of the Milky Way. This sample of stars was observed during the second phase of the Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE-2); the spectra of these stars are part of the seventeenth Data Release (DR 17) of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. We hypothesize that this newly identified population was formed in a variety of progenitors, and is likely made up of either fully or partially destroyed metal-rich globular clusters, which we refer to as globular cluster debris (GCD), identified by their unusual photospheric nitrogen abundances. It is likely that some of the GCD stars were probable members of the Gaia–Enceladus–Sausage accretion event, along with clusters formed in situ.
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1. Introduction

It is well known that the Milky Way (MW) is populated by a great variety (∼170) of ancient globular clusters (GCs)–(Gratton et al. 2004; Baumgardt & Vasiliev 2021; Vasiliev & Baumgardt 2021, and references therein) and perhaps a hundred new low-luminosity candidates uncovered in the inner Galaxy (Minniti et al. 2017).

The MW GCs measured so far span a wide range of metallicities, above the so-called “metallicity floor” at [Fe/H] = −2.5, with only two cases that have metallicities close to this value, VVV CL001 at [Fe/H] = −2.45 (Fernández-Trincado et al. 2021) and ESO280–SC06 at [Fe/H] = −2.48 (Simpson 2018).

While many of these systems have long survived, a handful of unique field stars with chemical patterns differing from the typical patterns observed in the MW and other Local Group galaxies suggest that many of the surviving and destroyed GCs have deposited part or all of their stellar content into the bulge, disk, and halo of the MW (see, e.g., Nissen & Schuster 2010; Fernández-Trincado et al. 2016, 2017, 2019a; Hanke et al. 2020; Wan et al. 2020).

On the metal-rich end, a handful of GCs have been found to exhibit metallicities very near solar metallicity, such as Pal 10 (Harris 2010). Motivated by the prospects of unearthing new examples of such GC debris, we have searched for the presence of relatively high-metallicity ([Fe/H] ≥ −0.7) GC debris throughout the MW within the footprint of the APOGEE-2 survey.

In this Letter, we report the discovery of a significant population of high-metallicity globular cluster debris (GCD) stars within the bulge, disk, and halo of the MW likely associated with small pieces of destroyed GCs and identified by their unusual nitrogen abundances.

2. Data

We employ interim data from the seventeenth data release (DR 17) of the second generation of the Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE-2) survey (Majewski et al. 2017), which is one of the programs
of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS-IV; Blanton et al. 2017). The APOGEE instruments are high-resolution (R ~ 22, 500), near-infrared (collecting ~2/3 of the H-band; 15145–16960 Å; vacuum wavelengths) spectrographs (Wilson et al. 2019) that operate on the Sloan 2.5 m telescope (Gunn et al. 2006) at Apache Point Observatory (APOGEE-2N) and on the Irénée du Pont 2.5 m telescope (Bowen & Vaughan 1973) at Las Campanas Observatory (APOGEE-2S).

As of 2021 January, the dual APOGEE instruments have observed more than 700,000 stars across the MW. Zasowski et al. (2017), Beaton et al. (2021), and Santana et al. (2021) provide a detailed overview of the targeting strategy of the APOGEE-2 survey. Spectra are reduced as described in Nidever et al. (2015) and analyzed using the APOGEE Stellar Parameters and Chemical Abundance Pipeline (García Pérez et al. 2016, hereafter ASPCAP/APOGEE-2) and the libraries of synthetic spectra described in Zamora et al. (2015). The accuracy and precision of the atmospheric parameters and chemical abundances are extensively analyzed in Holtzman et al. (2018), while details regarding the customized H-band line list are fully described in Shetrone et al. (2015) and Smith et al. (2021).

3. Sample Selection

We restrict our sample to giant stars (log g < 3.6) that have been flagged as ASPCAPFLAG=0, AL_FE_FLAG=0, N_FE_FLAG=0, and have a spectral signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) larger than 70. Our sample is also restricted to 3200 K < T eff < 5500 K in order to avoid large uncertainties in [N/Fe] due to the weakness of the 12C14N, 12C16O, and 16OH molecules, which together are required to determine the nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon abundances. Moreover, because we are interested in searching for relatively high-metallicity (−0.7 < [Fe/H] < −0.1) stellar debris with possible GC origin, we restrict our analysis to relatively carbon-poor stars, [C/Fe] < +0.15 (see Martell et al. 2016; Schiavon et al. 2017; Fernández-Trincado et al. 2019b, 2020a, 2020b, 2020d, for a discussion) because such stars are typically found in GCs (see, e.g., Mészáros et al. 2020) and at the same time minimize potential contamination by objects such as CH stars (Karinkuzhi & Goswami 2015), whose surface abundances may have been modified by mass-transfer from low-mass companion AGB stars (Karinkuzhi & Goswami 2015). The cuts above return a data set of 143,141 unique sources, after removing duplicate entries. This sample has been divided into several main populations as described in next section.

4. Potential High-metallicity Globular Cluster Debris

As nitrogen enrichment in GCs is even larger than what is possible/expected from pure mixing processes (see, e.g., Shetrone et al. 2019) occurring in non-cluster stars, we start by evaluating the chemical species (N and Al) that typically participate in the characteristic GC abundance patterns over a wide range of metallicities (see, e.g., Mészáros et al. 2020). In the following, we describe our two-step selection of outliers in the [N/Fe]–[Al/Fe] space.

First, we carried out a population analysis in the [Al/Fe]–[N/Fe] plane using the k-means clustering approach, as described in Ivezić et al. (2014). The k-means clustering algorithm revealed the existence of a significant population of stars that is separated relatively cleanly from the MW field stars approximately between {[N/Fe], [Al/Fe]} = [+0.75, −0.05] and [+1.55, +1.1], and have been found to be >4σ above the typical MW field stars in the [Fe/H]–[N/Fe] plane at fixed metallicity. After removing 50 well-studied APOGEE-2 GC stars (Mészáros et al. 2020) and ~145 potential GC candidates19 not analyzed in Mészáros et al. (2020). Thus, the final sample was reduced to a total of 42 unique stars, marked with circles in Figure 1. There are no known GCs within an angular separation of 0°.5 from these stars.

For comparison, with our relatively high-metallicity outliers (potential GCDs), we define and include in Figure 1 four main populations of similar metallicity ([Fe/H] > −0.7) stars: MW stars (140,270 source in the thin and thick disk as well as likely metal-rich halo stars), confirmed dwarf galaxy stars (2,634 sources in the Sagittarius and the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds) from Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018) with ASPCAP/APOGEE-2 DR 17 abundances (dwarf galaxy populations), and relatively high-metallicity GC stars (50 sources) from Mészáros et al. (2020) having ASPCAP-measured abundances in DR17. We ran a kernel density estimation (KDE) model over the stars in every population, as shown in Figure 1. As can be appreciated from inspection of Figure 1, the MW stars and dwarf galaxy populations lie below [N/Fe] < +0.75 over a wide range of [Al/Fe] abundance ratios and well below [Al/Fe] < +0.5. In contrast, the relatively high-metallicity GCs span a range in [N/Fe], which is much wider than that of the MW and dwarf galaxy populations, and exhibits [Al/Fe] abundance ratios from the solar level to [Al/Fe] < +1.0.

Figure 1 also shows some main characteristics of the newly identified GCD population. For instance, Figure 1 reveals that the GCD clearly occupies the locus dominated by the nitrogen-enriched ([N/Fe] ≥ +0.7) GC populations, suggesting that GCD stars may have formed in different sites than typical MW stars unless they were part of systems with a contribution from “spinstars” (Frischknecht et al. 2016), which would alternatively explain the anomalous abundance signature. Close inspection of Figure 1 also reveals a fairly clear clump of potential GCD stars that are not located in the main bulk of the KDE of the MW stars or dwarf galaxy populations, exceeding the background level by a factor of more than 10. This suggests the existence of a statistically significant newly discovered stellar population of potential GCD stars in the relatively high-metallicity regime.

The [N,Al/Fe]-peak is clearly visible at ([N/Fe], [Al/Fe]) ≥ ([+0.75, +0.0]) in Figure 1; a set of contour lines is provided as a visual aid. The statistical significance of the detection of newly identified GCD stars confirms and reinforces the existence of a newly discovered, relatively high-metallicity stellar sub-population whose origin is different from that of MW stars and clearly well separated from the MW and dwarf galaxy stellar systems.

Figure 2 shows examples of the typical high-S/N APOGEE-2 DR 17 spectra of arbitrarily selected stars belonging to the GCD population, covering a small portion of the observed spectral regions around the remarkably strong 12C14N lines, along with corresponding best-fit models from the ASPCAP/APOGEE-2 spectral synthesis. It is clear that the ASPCAP

19 These sources were not included in our analysis, as the potential progenitors are primarily located in regions heavily reddened which requires a more exhaustive treatment of their atmospheric parameters and elemental abundances.
analysis is performing well on these stars, in particular, in the regions of the cyanogen bands.

Figure 3 shows the chemical-abundance patterns of selected APOGEE-2 DR 17 chemical species for the relatively high-metallicity GCD stars compared to those for the MW stars, dwarf galaxy populations, and GC populations. While the GCD stars largely follow the expected chemical enrichment of the relatively high-metallicity GC populations, we cannot rule out possible contamination from the incidence of variable stars or mass-transfer events in our sample, which could be responsible for producing the anomalous abundance variations (primarily with N and Al) observed in this relatively high-metallicity regime.

Even though our APOGEE-2 DR 17 data do not provide any strong evidence for variability in radial velocity over the period of the APOGEE-2 DR 17 observations, we identify one star in our sample (2M06410076−6926199) with [N/Fe] > +0.87, [Al/Fe] ~ +0.16, and [Mg/Fe] ~ +0.34 that has been classified (with a 97% of probability) as a semi-regular variable (likely a highly evolved AGB star) with a period of 17.17 days and low amplitude (0.08 mag) in the ASAS-SN Catalog (Jayasinghe et al. 2021). Unfortunately, the s-process ([Ce/Fe]) abundance ratio for this star is not determined by the ASPCAP/APOGEE-2 pipeline, which makes it difficult to provide a rough estimate of the mass of the presumed AGB.

Figure 3 also reveals that our sample lies well below [C/N] ~−0.25, which indicates that the chemical anomalies observed in our sample are unlikely to be affected by extra-mixing processes (see, e.g., Shetrone et al. 2019). This suggests that whatever process is responsible for the abundances observed in the GCD population is similar to that associated with the production of this signature for relatively high-metallicity GCs.

5. Dynamical Properties of Selected Globular Cluster Debris Stars

We study the kinematics and dynamical properties of our sample by making use of the GravPot16_VAC_DR17 Value Added Catalog (J. G. Fernández-Trincado et al. 2021, in preparation) of ensemble orbits integrated over a 3 Gyr timespan with the GravPot16 model (Fernández-Trincado et al. 2020c). For the orbit computations we assumed a bar pattern speed of 41 ± 10 km s⁻¹ kpc⁻¹ (Sanders et al. 2019). We note that our model has some limitations in the processes considered; e.g., secular changes in the adopted MW potential as well as dynamical friction are not included.

The orbit calculations were performed by adopting a simple Monte Carlo approach that considers the errors of the
observables. The resulting values and their errors were taken as the 16th, 50th, and 84th percentiles from the generated distributions. Heliocentric distances were estimated with the StarHorse code (see, e.g., Queiroz et al. 2018, 2020a, 2020b), proper motions are from Gaia EDR 3 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021), and radial velocities are provided from the APOGEE-2 DR 17 database. Figure 4 shows the resulting orbital elements for the 32 out of 42 stars in our sample that have a Gaia re-normalized unit weight error (RUWE) less than 1.4 (indicating the high quality of their astrometric solutions).

We find that the great majority of the stars (27 out of 32) in our sample tagged as GCD members exhibit prograde orbits, with the exception of five stars. Among those five, four exhibit the unusual behavior of orbits that change their sense of motion from prograde to retrograde (P–R orbits) during the integration time, and one star that is in retrograde motion.

We also find that three of the stars with P–R orbits, the star on a retrograde orbit, and one star with a prograde orbit have kinematical properties compatible with the position of the Gaia –Enceladus –Sausage (GES) accretion event (Belokurov et al. 2018), as can be appreciated from inspection of Figure 4(a). Like GES stars, these GES candidates in our sample have radial/eccentric orbits. The stars in the P–R configurations are on bulge-like orbits ($r_{apo} < 3.5$ kpc) with small vertical excursions above the Galactic plane ($Z_{max} < 2.5$ kpc), while the retrograde star exhibits a radial and high-eccentricity, halo-like orbit, with large vertical excursions from the Galactic plane ($Z_{max} > 9$ kpc); see Figures 4(b) and (c). Strikingly, three of the stars in our sample with GES-like kinematics have [Fe/ H] > −0.38 and are strongly enriched in nitrogen ([N/Fe] > +0.77) with [Al/Fe] abundance ratios ranging from +0.05 to +0.29 and sodium enrichment above [Na/Fe] = +0.27. Thus, the very peculiar dynamics of these stars, combined with the enrichment levels of their constituent chemical elements, make these five stars potential members of dissipated metal-rich ([Fe/ H] > −0.7) GCs that are likely associated with the progenitor GES dwarf galaxy.

Figure 4(a) also reveals that the majority of stars on prograde orbits exhibit disk-like kinematics, with typical orbital eccentricities below $e = 0.6$ and small vertical excursions below the Galactic plane ($Z_{max} \lesssim 3.0$ kpc), with a few exceptions on halo-like orbits (and $Z_{max} > 3.0$ kpc). The dark gray shadow region in Figures 4(b) and (c) reveals that stars (13 out of the 32) with lower eccentricities ($e \lesssim 0.4$) exhibit a peak in their perigalactocentric distances between 4 and 9 kpc, apogalactocentric distances between \(\sim 8.5\) and 12 kpc, and are on in-plane orbits with $Z_{max} < 0.5$ kpc; this places these stars on disk-like orbits very near the solar neighborhood, with radial excursions reaching the co-rotation radius. The stars with prograde orbits outside these dynamical limits are likely on inner-halo-like orbits.

Our dynamical analysis clearly reveals that there are at least four different dynamical families of stars represented within the GCD sample, suggesting a variety of origin scenarios: (i) the group of stars that lives in bulge-like orbits was likely ejected from in situ disk/bulge GCs, (ii) the stars dynamically lost to the innermost parts of the MW, from a massive accreted system, such as the GES dwarf galaxy, (iii) stars in the solar neighborhood with in-plane orbits, and (iv) stars with halo-like orbits. The presence of four distinctly different dynamical groups among the GCD sample suggests that there is not a single common origin for these stars and their origins are not like those of typical MW populations. We conclude that, if metal-rich GCs are responsible for these chemically unique stars, then there should be several groups of GCs contributing to the GCD population, e.g., those formed in situ and those with an extragalactic origin (see, e.g., Massari et al. 2019).
6. Conclusions

We report on the discovery of a large population of relatively high-metallicity ($-0.7 < [\text{Fe/H}] < -0.1$) globular cluster debris (GCD) stars identified in the interim data from the 17th data release of the APOGEE-2 Survey.

The newly identified GCD stars are strongly enriched in nitrogen ($[\text{N}/\text{Fe}] \geq +0.75$) and chemically distinct from MW and dwarf galaxy stars in almost all the chemical species examined so far, but with chemical patterns similar to those found in GC populations of comparable metallicity. We find that most of the relatively high-metallicity GCD stars lie on bulge- (stars with P–R orbits), disk- and halo-like orbits, and are likely part of the cumulative effect of many events, including partially or completely dissolved disk/bulge GCs of relatively high metallicity, and/or dissolved GC stars ejected from massive accretion events such as the Gaia–Enceladus–Sausage. Stars in our sample were mostly identified within the bulge, disk (likely the thick disk), and halo of the MW.

The presence of a semi-regular variable (likely an AGB star) in our sample makes it possible that there is some contamination in our sample by variable stars and/or mass-transfer events, which could explain part of the chemically anomalous patterns at relatively high metallicity. Future, long-term radial-velocity monitoring of our sample would naturally be the best course to establish the number of such sources formed through the binary or pulsating-star channels.

Figure 3. Chemical-abundance patterns of selected elements for the relatively high-metallicity GCD population (red filled stars) compared to the MW stars (green 2D density histogram), dwarf galaxy populations (cyan circles and blue contours), and GC populations (black empty triangles and red contours). The black dotted line highlighted in the $[\text{Fe/H}]-[\text{C}/\text{N}]$ plane indicates the limit above which extra-mixing episodes are expected to take place (see, e.g., Shetrone et al. 2019).
Finally, we conclude that, whatever process is responsible for the origin of the GCD stars, it is similar to that associated with the production of the unusual chemical-abundance patterns in relatively high-metallicity GCs.

7. Data Availability

The observational data underlying this article are from Gaia EDR3, and from the 17th data release of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS-IV), which are proprietary and will later be made publicly available. A short list of the APOGEE–IDs of all the potential members of the high-metallicity globular cluster debris star can be found in the Table 1.
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