Effect of light regime and provenance on leaf characteristics, growth and flavonoid accumulation in *Cyclocarya paliurus* (Batal) Iljinskaja coppices
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**Abstract**

**Background:** As a highly valued and multiple function tree species, *Cyclocarya paliurus* is planted and managed for timber production and medical use. However, limited information is available on its genotype selection and cultivation for growth and phytochemicals. Responses of growth and secondary metabolites to light regimes and genotypes are useful information to determine suitable habitat conditions for the cultivation of medicinal plants.

**Results:** Both light regime and provenance significantly affected the leaf characteristics, leaf flavonoid contents, biomass production and flavonoid accumulation per plant. Leaf thickness, length of palisade cells and chlorophyll a/b decreased significantly under shading conditions, while leaf areas and total chlorophyll content increased obviously. In the full light condition, leaf flavonoid contents showed a bimodal temporal variation pattern with the maximum observed in August and the second peak in October, while shading treatment not only reduced the leaf content of flavonoids but also delayed the peak appearing of the flavonoid contents in the leaves of *C. paliurus*. Strong correlations were found between leaf thickness, palisade length, monthly light intensity and measured flavonoid contents in the leaves of *C. paliurus*. Muchuan provenance with full light achieved the highest leaf biomass and flavonoid accumulation per plant.

**Conclusions:** *Cyclocarya paliurus* genotypes show diverse responses to different light regimes in leaf characteristics, biomass production and flavonoid accumulation, highlighting the opportunity for extensive selection in the leaf flavonoid production.
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**Background**

*Cyclocarya paliurus* (Batal) Iljinskaja, commonly called "sweet tea tree" because of the flavor of its leaves, is a sole species from *Cyclocarya* genus and is widely distributed in sub-tropical regions of China (Fang et al. 2006). Leaves of this plant are traditionally used in China as a medicine or nutraceutical tea (Birari and Bhutani 2007; Fang et al. 2011). Many studies have demonstrated that *C. paliurus* possesses a variety of bioactivities, including antihypertensive activity, hypoglycemic activity, enhancement of mental efficiency, anticancer, anti-HIV-1, and antioxidant activity (Kurihara et al. 2003; Xie et al. 2010, 2013; Zhang et al. 2010). These beneficial effects have been partly attributed to its content of several chemical components, including proteins, polysaccharides, triterpenoids, flavonoids, steroids, saponins, phenolic compounds, and minerals (Xie et al. 2010, 2013; Li et al. 2011; Fang et al. 2011). Owing to its multiple beneficial effects on human health, a huge production of leaves is required for *C. paliurus* tea production and for medical use. However,
most studies on *C. paliurus* were focused on extraction procedures and low molecular weight substances, such as triterpenoids, flavonoids, steroids, saponins, and other compounds present in this plant, whereas less attention was paid to the silvics of the species (Deng et al. 2012, 2015).

Flavonoids are a large group of phenolic constituents of plants, and the bioavailability of flavonoids varies greatly among different subgroups and compounds (Erlund 2004). Some beneficial bioactivities of flavonoids have been proved, such as antibacterial, anticarcinogenic, antioxidant, antimutagenic, anti-inflammatory, antiallergic, antiobesity, and antidiabetic activities (Peterson and Dwyer 1998; Erlund 2004; Zhang et al. 2010). Quercetin and its glycoside derivatives, such as isoquercitrin, have been found to promote human health through cytoprotective effects, including reducing lipid peroxidation, protein carbonylation and radical oxygen species (ROS) production (Ciancolini et al. 2013; Palazzolo et al. 2012), while kaempferol was found to have remarkable antioxidant potential and has the capacity to lower the risks of coronary heart disease (Schmidt et al. 2010). According to Iwashina (2000), biosynthesis of flavonoids is mostly carried out by plant, with a few exceptions of animals and fungi.

The content of phytochemicals in plants is affected by numerous internal and external factors that occur during the growing period (Graham 1998; Björkman et al. 2011; Cui et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2015). Thus, factors influencing the phytochemical content and profile in the production of plants are worth considering for improved cultivation. Recently, attempts have been made to develop plantations of *C. paliurus* as a functional food or ingredient to be used in traditional Chinese medicine. Fang et al. (2011) investigated the genetic and temporal variations in the flavonoid (quercetin, kaempferol, and isoquercitrin) content in leaves of *C. paliurus*, while Deng et al. (2012) explored the effects of environment and fertilization on growth and flavonoid content of *C. paliurus*. Xie et al. (2015) had examined the effects of plant growth regulating substances on growth and phytochemical content of *C. paliurus* leaves. Björkman et al. (2011) indicated that factors that influence plant growth and phytochemical content may interact, and it is now possible to design multifactorial experiments that simulate their combined effects. However, very little is known about the influences of interaction between genetic and environmental factors on plant growth and phytochemical contents of *C. paliurus* leaves, especially the mechanism of flavonoid accumulation in *C. paliurus* plants.

For these reasons, it is necessary to investigate the integrated influences of provenance and light intensity on plant growth, leaf characteristics, accumulation of total flavonoid and key health promoting flavonoids (quercetin, kaempferol, and isoquercitrin) in the leaves of *C. paliurus*. The information provided by this study would be of great value for understanding the light-regulating mechanism for different provenances of *C. paliurus*, and contribute to establishing optimal cropping strategies for *C. paliurus* plants.

**Methods**

**Plant material and growth conditions**

The experiment was carried out during the 2011 growing season in Zhenjiang Nursery, Jiangsu Province, China, and the site conditions were the same as described by Fang et al. (2011). For each provenance, seed trees (generally dominant or co-dominant tree in the stand) were selected based on tree age, stem form and growth vigor. Number of trees for collecting seeds for each provenance was determined according to stand area and quantity of *C. paliurus* naturally distributed on the area (about 10 % of the total). Seeds of *C. paliurus* were collected in late October 2009 and were subjected to chemical scarification, exogenous gibberellin A3 (GA3) treatments, and stratification treatments in early January 2010, according to the method proposed by Fang et al. (2006). After a 3 month stratification treatment, the germinated seeds were sown in containers, and then transplanted into the experimental site at a spacing of 40 × 50 cm in early June 2010. After 1-year growth, the seedlings of *C. paliurus* were cut from the bottom in March 2011 for coppice management.

A split-plot randomized design was used to establish nine treatments with three shading levels and three provenances. Three shading treatments were subjected to three light intensity regimes: 100 % sunlight (A1, without shading net), about 50 % of solar radiation (A2, covered with one layer of shading net at 2 m height), and around 15 % of solar radiation (A3, covered with two layers of shading net at 2 m height). Three provenances were Wufeng (30° 19’ N, 110° 89’ E) from Hubei Province (B1), Yuanling (28° 46’ N, 110° 39’ E) from Hunan Province (B2) and Muchuan (28° 96’ N, 103° 78’ E) from Sichuan Province (B3), respectively. With three replications, the trial gave a total of 27 subplots, and each subplot consisted of 20 plants.

Shading treatments were conducted from June 2011. To monitor environmental factors automatically, a hand-held Agricultural Weather Station (TNHY series model, Zhejiang Top Instrument Co. Ltd., Hangzhou, China) was set up in different shading treatments. Photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was recorded at full sunlight and under shade conditions at intervals of 30 min, whereas air temperature (T) and relative humidity (RH) were measured at intervals of 10 min during the
experimental periods by the set hand-held Agricultural Weather Station.

**Growth and biomass assessment**

Growth and biomass assessments of the plants were conducted on October 20, 2011. Based on the measurements of base diameter and height, three intact *C. paliurus* plants with most close to mean base diameter and height in each treatment were selected and harvested for biomass analysis. After excavating, the sample plants were washed with tap water. Then leaf, stem, and root components of each sample tree were separated, weighed, and dried at 70 °C. The total dry mass of each was calculated as the sum of leaf, stem, and root dry weights.

**Measurement of leaf characteristics and chlorophyll concentration**

Leaf area (LA) per plant (cm²) of fully expanded leaves was measured by an area meter (Li-Cor, Model 3100 area meter, USA). Leaves of similar size were used for anatomical measurements. Cross sections were cut into about 4 mm × 6 mm by hand from the same levels of leaves (1 cm below the leaf tip) in all materials. The sections were fixed in a FAA (formalin/glacial acetic/70 % ethanol in the ratio of 0.5:0.5:9.0) and dehydrated through the gradual ethanol series and t-butanol. Samples were then sectioned with a microtome (Leica CM1850, Leica Instruments, Nussloch, Germany) into slices of 30 μm thickness. The section tissues were stained 10 min with a 1 % aluminium chloride-ethanol solution. Microphotographs were taken with a Nikon YS100 microscope (Nikon Co. Tokyo, Jena, Japan), while leaf thickness and palisade length were examined using a FW4000 software.

For the analysis of chlorophyll concentrations, 0.1 g of finely cut and well-mixed sample was extracted with 8 mL 95 % acetone for 24 h at 4 °C in darkness and shaken 3 or 4 times until they were bleached, according to the method of Tang et al. (2015). The absorbance was measured with a spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV-2550 (Kyoto, Japan) at 646.6, 663.6 and 450 nm respectively, after centrifugation of the mixture on standing. Chlorophyll concentrations were calculated by the standard method of Porra et al. (1989) and expressed in mg g⁻¹ fresh weight (FW).

**Determination of flavonoid concentration**

Approximately 50 g of fresh fully developed leaves of each provenance and shading treatment was sampled at about 1 month intervals (20 July, 20 August, 20 September, 20 October and 15 November in 2011). All samples were dried, sliced and ground into fine powder before extraction. Samples were stored at room temperature prior to analysis.

For flavonoid extraction, flavonoids were extracted using an ultrasonic-assisted method with minor modifications (Huang et al. 2009). Briefly, 50 mL of 75 % ethanol was added to each sample and the extraction was conducted for 45 min at 65 °C.

The total flavonoid concentration was determined by using a colorimetric method with minor modification (Bao et al. 2005). About 2.0 g sample was placed in a soxhlet extractor and refluxed with petroleum ether for 4 h at 80 °C to remove fat soluble impurities. The extract was discarded, and the retained residues were dried at room temperature. The extract was evaporated to dryness in a rotary vacuum evaporator at <40 °C and then dissolved with methanol. Exactly 0.3 mL of 5 % NaNO₂ was added to a 1 mL extract in a 10 mL volumetric flask, and the mixture was kept for 5 min at room temperature. The residue was extracted two times and the obtained extract was combined and condensed to 10 mL. Addition of 0.3 mL of 10 % AlCl₃·6H₂O to the mixture, which was incubated for another 5 min, was followed by the addition of 2 mL of 1 M NaOH. After 15 min of incubation at room temperature for color development, the absorbance at 415 nm was measured. Total flavonoid concentration was calculated using the standard rutin curve and expressed as milligrams rutin equivalent per gram of dry mass (mg/g dm).

Analysis of selected flavonoids (kaempferol, quercetin and isoquercitrin) was performed using high-performance liquid chromatography. All obtained extracts were filtered through a 0.45 um polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filter prior to HPLC analysis. An Agilent 1200 series HPLC system (Waldbronn, Germany), which consists of an online degasser, a quaternary pump solvent management system, an autosampler, a column heater, an UV/VIS diode array detector (DAD), and a data processing system, was used for the flavonoid separation. Quercetin and kaempferol were quantified as aglycones after acid hydrolysis. The hydrolyzed extracts were separated on an Eclipse Plus C18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 um) at 30 °C. The mobile phases were methanol (A) and 0.3 % phosphoric acid (B) at 55: 45 (VA/VB). The flow rate was 1.0 mL min⁻¹ and the wavelength of detection was 365 nm. For isoquercitrin determination, the mobile phases were methanol (A) and 0.5 % phosphoric acid (B). The gradient elution included 0–25 min, 15 % A; 15–26 min, 15–90 % A; 26–36 min, 90 % A; 36–37 min, 90–15 % A; and 37–45 min, 25 % A. The detection wavelength was 350 nm. The column temperature and flow rate were similar to the conditions described for the detection of quercetin and kaempferol. The standards of quercetin, kaempferol (Sigma–AldrichInc., St. Louis, USA), and isoquercitrin (National Institute for the Control of
Pharmaceutical and Biological Products, Beijing, China) were used to obtain an external calibration curve.

Statistical analysis
Data are reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), and all tests were performed using the SPSS 16.0 statistical software program (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). A two-way ANOVA model with shading and provenance as the main fixed factors plus a shading × provenance interaction term, followed by Tukey’s multiple-range test, was performed for each leaf characteristic, flavonoid concentration, plant growth, and flavonoid accumulation per plant. Relationships between leaf characteristics, individual environmental factors (solar radiation, air temperature, and RH values) and leaf flavonoid concentration were evaluated using the Pearson’s correlation analysis. The data were tested for normality (Shapiro–Wilk normality test) before analysis of variance. All statistical analyses were performed at a 95% confidence level.

Results

Variation in environmental factors
The microclimatic parameters varied in different shading conditions. From June 20 to October 20, values of daily mean air temperature in the three shading treatments were 31.04 ± 4.92 °C for A1, 29.39 ± 4.99 °C for A2, and 27.41 ± 4.11 °C for A3, whereas the daily mean RH values were 63.2 ± 16.25, 69.82 ± 4.99, and 74 ± 16.08% for A1, A2, and A3, respectively. Also, a great difference in the range of PPFD was observed at the same time. The distribution of PPFD in treatment A1 was 29.2% in 0–200 μmol/m²/s, 10.2% in 601–800 μmol/m²/s, 20.3% in 201–400 μmol/m²/s, and 5.4% in 401–600 μmol/m²/s, whereas the greatest PPFD distribution in treatment A3 was in 0–200 μmol/m²/s (accounting for 98.2%) in the same periods.

Variation in leaf characteristics
Leaf morphology and growth of *C. paliurus* were found to be significantly different under various provenance and shading treatments (Table 1). Moreover, a significant interaction of light intensity and provenance was observed in palisade length and ratio of chlorophyll a to b (Chla/b ratio) of *C. paliurus* (Table 2). One layer shading resulted in the highest LA, and LA was significantly higher in B3 treatment (Muchuan provenance) than in the other two provenances for all light conditions (Table 3). In the nine treatments, the highest LA value was observed in treatment A2B3 (1610 cm²), whereas the lowest value was detected in treatment A1B2 (793 cm²). Leaf thickness and length of palisade cells were significantly decreased under shading conditions, compared with full light treatment (Table 1). Compared with other provenances, B2 treatment (Yuanling provenance) under full light had the longest length of palisade cells (Fig. 1; Table 3). There were higher total pigments concentrations and smaller Chla/b ratio in shaded plants than in plants grown under full sunlight (Table 1). In term of provenance, B2 treatment (Yuanling provenance) presented the lowest pigments concentrations and the smallest Chla/b ratio.

Variation in leaf flavonoid concentration and seasonal dynamics
Two-way ANOVA showed that shading significantly affected concentrations of total and selected flavonoids in *C. paliurus* leaves, while the concentrations of quercetin and isoquercitrin were significantly affected by provenance and the interaction between shading

| Table 1 | Leaf characteristics and chlorophyll concentration of *Cyclocarya paliurus* leaves under different treatments |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Treatment | Leaf area per plant (cm²) | Leaf thickness (μm) | Palisade length (μm) | Total chlorophyll concentration (mg g⁻¹) | Chlorophyll ratio a/b |
| A1B1 | 846 ± 25.8a | 244.1 ± 19.5d | 110.1 ± 4.5c | 1.69 ± 0.28abc | 4.00 ± 0.02e |
| A1B2 | 793 ± 15.8a | 301.0 ± 20.6e | 134.7 ± 2.2d | 1.43 ± 0.16a | 3.54 ± 0.04c |
| A1B3 | 1158 ± 23.2abc | 257.2 ± 9.1d | 123.1 ± 8.2cd | 1.35 ± 0.24a | 3.96 ± 0.20e |
| A2B1 | 1299 ± 177.3abc | 135.5 ± 24.4ab | 79.0 ± 6.3b | 2.19 ± 0.22d | 3.78 ± 0.06d |
| A2B2 | 867 ± 79.5a | 170.2 ± 1.5c | 78.2 ± 4.3b | 1.63 ± 0.08ab | 3.43 ± 0.03bc |
| A2B3 | 1610 ± 115.6c | 126.0 ± 14.2ab | 60.8 ± 3.2a | 1.94 ± 0.29bcd | 3.56 ± 0.06c |
| A3B1 | 959 ± 16.0ab | 105.0 ± 19.7a | 55.8 ± 6.3a | 2.15 ± 0.21cd | 3.35 ± 0.03c |
| A3B2 | 1156 ± 48.1abc | 147.8 ± 17.2ab | 76.1 ± 7.5b | 1.79 ± 0.24bcd | 3.25 ± 0.04a |
| A3B3 | 1384 ± 43.3bc | 116.3 ± 1.1a | 50.0 ± 6.5a | 2.08 ± 0.11cd | 3.38 ± 0.06b |

Mean ± SD in the same column with different letters are statistically significantly different among the treatments for each determined index (*P < 0.05* by Tukey’s test)
and provenance (Table 4). The highest concentrations of both total flavonoid and kaempferol concentrations were observed in treatment A1B3, while the highest concentrations of quercetin and isoquercitrin were found in treatment A1B2. However, no significant difference in concentrations of total flavonoid, isoquercitrin and kaempferol was found under shading conditions (Table 5). Compared with full light treatment, mean concentrations of total flavonoid, quercetin, kaempferol, and isoquercitrin in C. paliurus under one layer shading significantly decreased by 41.1, 74.1, 67.0 and 80.2 %, respectively. On the levels of provenance, B2 treatment (Yuanling provenance) under full light condition achieved the highest concentrations of quercetin and isoquercitrin, while B3 treatment (Muchuan provenance) under full light condition obtained the highest concentrations of total flavonoid and kaempferol.

Based on the means of three provenances, seasonal variation in total flavonoid concentration of full light treatment showed a bimodal temporal pattern with peaks of 53.04 mg g\(^{-1}\) in August and 44.81 mg g\(^{-1}\) in October, which were 91.2 and 61.5 % higher than the concentration in November respectively when the lowest flavonoid concentration (27.74 mg g\(^{-1}\)) occurred (Fig. 2). This trend persisted across the key health promoting flavonoids (quercetin, kaempferol, and isoquercitrin). Shading treatment obviously changed the seasonal dynamics of leaf flavonoid concentrations (Fig. 2). The highest concentrations of total flavonoid, isoquercitrin and kaempferol under A2 and A3 treatments were observed in September and October respectively, while the highest concentration of quercetin under A2 and A3 treatments occurred in October and November.

Variation in biomass production and allocation
Biomass production of C. paliurus coppices was significant affected by shading, provenance and their interaction (Table 4; p < 0.05). The total biomass production per plant among the integrated treatments was in the order A1B3 > A2B3 > A1B1 > A1B2 > A2B2 > A2B1 > A3B2 > A3B3 > A3B1, and this trend persisted across the growth of leaf, stem, and root (Table 6). Across the nine treatments, biomass allocation for root, stem, and leaf components was 36.0, 41.8 and 23.2 %, respectively. However,
there existed some differences in biomass allocation among the nine treatments. The highest ratio of root to total biomass was observed in treatment A3B2 (42.8%), whereas the greatest ratio of shoot to total biomass was achieved in treatment A2B3 (74.8%). Compared to the mean value of nine treatments, leaf production per plant in A3B1, A3B3, A3B2, A2B1, A1B1, A1B2 and A2B2 treatments decreased by 80.5, 69.5, 64.0, 59.9, 25.0, 24.0 and 10.4%, whereas in A2B3 and A1B3 treatments increased by 147.1 and 186.5%, respectively.

Variation in flavonoid accumulation
Based on the leaf biomass and flavonoid concentration, total and selected flavonoid accumulations in the leaves per plant were calculated for nine treatments. Two-way ANOVA indicated that an integrated treatment effect on the accumulations of both total and selected flavonoids in leaves per plant was significant (p < 0.05; Fig. 3). The greatest accumulations of total and selected flavonoids in the leaves per plant were achieved in treatment A1B3, followed by treatment A2B3, whereas the lowest were found in treatments A3B1 and A3B3. Compared to treatment A1B3, the total flavonoid accumulation in other treatments was decreased by 47.9–95.9%, whereas the accumulations of kaempferol, quercetin, and isoquercitrin were decreased by 73.6–98.7, 55.9–97.9, 68.6–98.1% in other treatments, respectively. Moreover, a two-way ANOVA showed that light intensity and provenance as well as their interaction significantly affected the accumulations of total and selected flavonoids in *C. paliurus* (Table 4).

Discussion
Effects of light regime and provenance on leaf characteristics
Photosynthetic light acclimation involves a variety of responses, including changes in leaf anatomy (Wes- ton et al. 2000). The palisade parenchyma and spongy
Table 4 Summary of significance levels (Two-way ANOVA) for the effects of shading, provenance and their interaction on biomass accumulation, flavonoid concentrations and flavonoid yield in leaves of *Cyclocarya paliurus*

| Source          | Biomass accumulation (g plant\(^{-1}\) dm) | Flavonoid concentrations (mg g\(^{-1}\) dm) | Flavonoid yield (mg plant\(^{-1}\) dm) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                 | Root  | Stem | Leaf | Total | Total | Quercetin | Isoquercitrin | Kaempferol | Total | Quercetin | Isoquercitrin | Kaempferol |
| Shading (A)     |       |      |      |       |       |            |              |           |       |            |              |           |
| f               | 2     | 2    | 2    | 2     | 2     | 2         | 2            | 2          | 2     | 2          | 2           | 2         |
| MS              | 7332.3| 10,952.4 | 2977.3 | 58,209.7 | 806.7  | 9.4058    | 24.425     | 4.979     | 6,077,330.0 | 28,472.3   | 59,931.2  | 9511.8   |
| P               | <0.001| <0.001| <0.001| <0.001| <0.001| <0.001    | <0.001      | <0.001    | <0.001| <0.001     | <0.001      | <0.001    |
| Provenance (B)  |       |      |      |       |       |            |              |           |       |            |              |           |
| f               | 2     | 2    | 2    | 2     | 2     | 2         | 2            | 2          | 2     | 2          | 2           | 2         |
| MS              | 1827.4| 4905.5 | 2986.8 | 35,337.9 | 19.2   | 2.3303    | 2.244       | 0.0197    | 3,853,934.2 | 16,248.5   | 19,977.7  | 2298.6   |
| P               | <0.001| <0.001 | <0.001| <0.001| <0.001| <0.001    | <0.001      | <0.001    | <0.001| <0.001     | <0.001      | <0.001    |
| Interaction     |       |      |      |       |       |            |              |           |       |            |              |           |
| f               | 4     | 4    | 4    | 4     | 4     | 4         | 4            | 4          | 4     | 4          | 4           | 4         |
| MS              | 1635.3| 4912.3 | 2940.5 | 27,709.1 | 4.9    | 0.1994    | 1.194       | 0.1436    | 4,847,762.0 | 7018.2     | 25,089.3  | 1907.3   |
| P               | 0.008 | 0.001| 0.005| <0.001| 0.970  | 0.002     | 0.001       | 0.078     | <0.001| 0.004      | <0.001      | <0.001    |

Italic values indicate the treatment effects are statistically significant at the 0.05 level for each determined index.
Table 5 Flavonoid concentrations in leaves of Cyclocarya paliurus under various treatments of shading and provenance (mean ± SD, samples collected in October 20, 2011)

| Treatment | Total Quercetin | Isoquercitrin | Kaempferol |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| A1B1      | 40.9 ± 4.6b     | 2.11 ± 0.19c  | 2.37 ± 0.32b | 2.12 ± 0.79b |
| A1B2      | 42.6 ± 2.8b     | 2.13 ± 0.03c  | 4.35 ± 0.53d | 2.10 ± 0.01b |
| A1B3      | 45.8 ± 9.3b     | 1.28 ± 0.31b  | 3.67 ± 0.64c | 2.97 ± 0.35c |
| A2B1      | 24.8 ± 3.4a     | 0.62 ± 0.05a  | 0.53 ± 0.07a | 0.87 ± 0.08a |
| A2B2      | 23.3 ± 2.2a     | 0.40 ± 0.04a  | 0.76 ± 0.07a | 0.59 ± 0.06a |
| A2B3      | 28.0 ± 6.1a     | 0.41 ± 0.08a  | 0.77 ± 0.20a | 0.91 ± 0.17a |
| A3B1      | 27.6 ± 1.8a     | 0.54 ± 0.05a  | 0.49 ± 0.06a | 0.71 ± 0.07a |
| A3B2      | 28.8 ± 6.4a     | 0.50 ± 0.13a  | 1.06 ± 0.16a | 0.44 ± 0.01a |
| A3B3      | 30.7 ± 0.6a     | 0.25 ± 0.03a  | 0.50 ± 0.05a | 0.35 ± 0.03a |

Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments for the same category according to Tukey’s test (p < 0.05)

The reason might be that higher transpiration rate leads to increasing root biomass allocation in order to improve water uptake ability under full sunlight conditions, in agreement with that reported on Rawolfia species, Anoectochilus formosanus Hayata, and freshwater macrophytes (Cai et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2010; Cronin and Lodge 2003).

Light intensity is known to affect not only plant growth and development but also the biosynthesis of both primary and secondary metabolites (Hemm et al. 2004). Fang et al. (2011) reported that genotypes play a significant role in flavonoid accumulation of C. paliurus grown under the same environment. In the present study, a two-way ANOVA showed that shading significantly affected concentrations of total and selected flavonoids in C. paliurus leaves, and meanwhile provenance and the interaction between shading and provenance also

Effects of light regime and provenance on growth and flavonoid concentration

It is generally recognized that light intensity plays an important role in plant growth and photosynthetic capacity (Gottschalk 1994). Insufficient light may stress plant growth by limiting photosynthesis, while high light levels may damage the photosynthetic apparatus (Tang et al. 2015). The present study demonstrated that shading had significant negative effects on leaf and total biomass production of C. paliurus coppices, while full light condition resulted in the highest growth for all the components, indicating that C. paliurus is a heliophyte. The observed growth response of C. paliurus to light intensity was similar to that of many tree species growing under various light intensities (Poorter 1999; Cai et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2015; Tang et al. 2015). The present study also indicated that leaf biomass ratio of C. paliurus increased at lower light intensity, whereas full sunlight increased the root and stem biomass ratios, respectively (Table 6).

The reason might be that higher transpiration rate leads to increasing root biomass allocation in order to improve water uptake ability under full sunlight conditions, in agreement with that reported on Rawolfia species, Anoectochilus formosanus Hayata, and freshwater macrophytes (Cai et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2010; Cronin and Lodge 2003).
significantly affected the concentrations of quercetin and isoquercitrin. However, provenance and the interaction had no significant effects on concentrations of total flavonoid and kaempferol (Table 4). Differences in flavonoid concentration were significant among the five sampling times, showing that flavonoid accumulation was strongly affected by seasonal progression. This finding suggests that shading treatments not only reduced the leaf concentrations of flavonoids but also delayed the appearance of the highest flavonoid concentrations in *C. paliurus* leaf of different provenances during the growing season. Seasonal changes of flavonoid concentrations under different light conditions also indicated that the contents of flavonoids were highly positively correlated with total solar radiation in the period from planting to the sampling time, in agreement with the results from
previous study (Gliszczyńska-Świgło et al. 2007). Overall, shading had a significantly negative effect on the accumulation of total flavonoids and the studied flavonoids (Table 5), supporting that high photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) triggers biosynthesis of flavonoids (Xu et al. 2014).

Accumulation of phytochemical compounds in plants is often induced by environmental factors. For example, visible light primarily induces biosynthesis of proanthocyanidins and affects their composition, whereas UV light specifically induces biosynthesis of flavonols (Koyama et al. 2012). Compared with genetic effects, light intensity seemed to have greater influence on flavonoid biosynthesis (Table 4). Björkman et al. (2011) and Edreva (2005) reported that flavonoids in plants may carry defensive functions against environmental stresses, especially higher light radiation, which is evidenced by data obtained in full light treatments of our study. Moreover, strong correlations were found between monthly light intensity and measured flavonoid concentrations (Table 7). In addition, significantly positive correlations were found between leaf thickness, palisade length and flavonoid concentrations (Table 8). To some extent, these results reflected that light conditions influenced both leaf growth and accumulation of phytochemical compounds in C. paliurus. In the present study, Muchuan provenance (B3) which origined from lower latitude and higher altitude achieved the highest flavonoid contents. This indicated that both environmental factors and genotype have great contribution to the accumulation of phytochemical compounds, as reported in other plants (Adom et al. 2003; André et al. 2009; Schmidt et al. 2010). Thus, it could be expected that manipulation of light intensity and genotypes would be a powerful tool for stimulating secondary plant metabolite accumulation, particularly for crops in intensive management system.

**Effects of light regime and provenance on flavonoid production**

Plant growth and content of phytochemicals are affected by genetic, management practice, harvesting and environment factors that occur during the growing period (Graham 1998; Björkman et al. 2011; Deng et al. 2012). Two-way analysis of variance indicated that biomass accumulation of C. paliurus coppices was not only significantly influenced by light intensity, but also significantly by genotype and interactions between these two variables (Table 4). The present study indicated that B3 treatment (Muchuan provenance) achieved the highest total biomass, and the highest leaf biomass in all shading conditions, compared with other provenances. Thus, provenance selection is crucial to optimize higher flavonoids yield of C. paliurus coppices, as leaf biomass has a great contribution to the accumulation of total flavonoid and key health promoting flavonoids (quercetin, kaempferol, and isoquercitrin) per plant.

In leaf-harvest plantations of C. paliurus coppice, the goal is to obtain not only higher quality (higher flavonoid

### Table 6 Variation in biomass production of *Cyclocarya paliurus* under different treatments of shading and provenance (mean ± SD, samples collected in October 20, 2011)

| Treatment | Biomass production (g) | Root          | Stem          | Leaf          | Total         |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| A1B1      | 57.9 ± 4.00cd          | 62.9 ± 7.08cd | 21.6 ± 2.72a  | 142.5 ± 13.8bc|
| A1B2      | 47.8 ± 5.42cd          | 46.4 ± 4.70bc | 21.9 ± 2.48a  | 112.6 ± 12.6b |
| A1B3      | 104.5 ± 9.50d          | 129.3 ± 13.76d| 82.7 ± 8.75b  | 316.5 ± 32.02d|
| A2B1      | 20.1 ± 2.08bc          | 30.8 ± 2.36b  | 115. ± 1.73a  | 62.5 ± 6.18ab |
| A2B2      | 36.9 ± 3.22bcd         | 40.4 ± 3.25bc | 25.8 ± 2.05a  | 103.1 ± 8.53ab|
| A2B3      | 59.8 ± 5.50cd          | 106.0 ± 10.27d| 71.3 ± 6.67b  | 237.2 ± 22.46cd|
| A3B1      | 9.4 ± 1.48a           | 11.3 ± 0.82a  | 5.6 ± 0.47a   | 26.4 ± 2.77a  |
| A3B2      | 17.2 ± 1.92b          | 12.6 ± 0.77a  | 10.3 ± 0.74a  | 40.3 ± 3.44ab |
| A3B3      | 12.6 ± 2.18b           | 10.7 ± 0.98a  | 8.8 ± 0.46a   | 32.2 ± 2.59a  |

Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments for the same category according to Tukey’s test (p < 0.05)
concentrations) but also higher yield (equal to flavonoid concentrations multiplied by leaf biomass), thus both provenance selection and the optimal silvicultral measures are important for production practices aimed at obtaining higher flavonoids yields (Poutaraud and Girardin 2005). However, the response may be quite different and also vary for different crops and secondary metabolites. For example, fertilizer application did not promote polyphenolic formation in apples (Morales-Silbrero et al. 2008) and leaf flavonoid content in *C. paliurus* (Deng et al. 2012), whereas leaf phenolics concentrations were 31% higher in fertilized than in unfertilized plants of freshwater macrophytes (Cronin and Lodge 2003). In the present study, the A1B3 treatment (full light and Muchuan provenance) was the most effective way to improve the accumulations of total and selected flavonoids because it resulted in the highest leaf biomass production. Overall, in order to achieve the highest flavonoid yield per area for food and medicinal utilization, it is important to manipulate field-growing conditions such as light intensity and select genotypes in *C. paliurus* coppices. However, more provenance trials are required to clarify the genotype effects of this species at different sites.

In conclusion, significant variations in leaf morphology, growth and accumulations of total and selected flavonoids were found in *C. paliurus* growing under light intensity and genotype treatments. Both light regime and provenance significantly affected the morphology and growth index of *C. paliurus* leaves. In the full light condition, leaf flavonoid concentrations showed a bimodal variation pattern. However, shading treatments not only reduced the leaf concentrations of flavonoids but also delayed the peak appearing of the flavonoid concentrations in *C. paliurus* leaf of different provenances. Muchuan provenance with full light achieved the highest leaf biomass and flavonoid accumulation. Moreover, documentation of genotypic variation among different light environments provides a basis for extensive selection and breeding programs in improving the leaf concentrations of flavonoids compounds of *C. paliurus*.

![Fig. 3](image)

**Fig. 3** Variation in total and selected flavonoid accumulation in leaves of *Cyclocarya paliurus* per plant among various treatments (mean ± SD, samples collected in October 20, 2011). Different lower case letters indicate significant differences among various treatments within the same flavonoid (p < 0.05 by Tukey’s test). A1, A2 and A3 represent no shading, shading with one-layer net, and shading with two-layer nets, respectively, whereas B1, B2 and B3 indicate different provenances Wufeng, Yuanling and Muchuan, respectively.

| Environmental factor           | Flavonoid concentrations (mg g⁻¹) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                               | Total | Quercetin | Isoquercitrin | Kaempferol |
| Monthly light intensity (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) | 0.580* | 0.771** | 0.780** | 0.785** |
| Monthly air temperature (°C)   | 0.240 | 0.298 | 0.481 | 0.292 |
| Monthly relative humidity (%)  | −0.257 | −0.404 | −0.316 | −0.439 |

* and ** indicate correlation is significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively
Table 8  Pearson correlation coefficients between leaf characteristics and leaf flavonoid concentrations (n = 27)

| Leaf characteristics                        | Flavonoid concentrations (mg g⁻¹) |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                             | Total | Quercetin | Isoquercitrin | Kaempferol |
| Leaf area per plant (cm²)                   | -0.334 | -0.614 | -0.469 | -0.339 |
| Leaf thickness (µm)                         | 0.859** | 0.904** | 0.962** | 0.866** |
| Palisade length (µm)                        | 0.829** | 0.887** | 0.948** | 0.884** |
| Total chlorophyll concentration (mg g⁻¹)    | -0.729* | -0.636 | -0.853** | -0.746* |
| Chlorophyll ratio a/b                       | 0.574  | 0.591  | 0.443  | 0.777*  |

* and ** indicate correlation is significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively.

Authors’ contributions
SF and XS conceived and designed the experiments. YL did data analysis and prepared the manuscript. CQ and SD carried out field work and the chemical analysis. WY and XS contributed to field work and data collection. SF also supervised the study, reviewed and edited the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details
1 College of Forestry, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, People’s Republic of China. 2 Co-Innovation Center for Sustainable Forestry in Southern China, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, People’s Republic of China.

Acknowledgements
We acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31270673), a project funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD) and the Doctorate Fellowship Foundation of Nanjing Forestry University.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 2 June 2016 Accepted: 11 October 2016

References
Adom KK, Sorrells ME, Liu RH (2003) Physicochemical profiles and antioxidant activity of wheat varieties. J Agric Food Chem 51:7825–7834
André CM, Öufir M, Hoffmann L, Hausman JF, Rogez H, Larondelle Y, Evers C (2011) Review of the flavonoids quercetin, hesperetin, and naringenin. Dietary sources, bioactivities, bioavailability, and epidemiology. Nutr Res 24:851–874
Evans JR (1989) Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C3 plants. Oecologia 78:9–19
Fang S, Wang J, Wei Z, Zhu Z (2006) Methods to break seed dormancy in Cyclocarya paliurus (Batali) Iljinskaja. Sci Hortic 110:305–309
Fang S, Wang W, Chu X, Shang X, She C, Fu X (2011) Provenance and temporal variations in selected flavonoids in leaves of Cyclocarya paliurus. Food Chem 124:1382–1386
Gottschalk RW (1994) Shade, leaf growth and crown development of Quercus rubra, Quercus velutina, Prunus serotina and Acer rubrum seedlings. Tree Physiol 14:735–749
Graham TL (1998) Flavonoid and flavonol glycoside metabolism in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol Biochem 36:135–144
Hemm MR, Rider SD, Ogas J, Munry DJ, Chapple C (2004) Light induces phenylpropanoid metabolism in Arabidopsis roots. Plant J 38:765–778
Huang W, Xue A, Niu H, Jia Z, Wang J (2009) Optimised ultrasonic-assisted extraction of flavonoids from Foliu m u evum and evaluation of antioxidant activity in multi-test systems in vitro. Food Chem 114:1147–1154
Kurihara H, Fukumi H, Kusumoto A, Toyoda Y, Shibata H, Matsui Y, Tanaka T (2003) Hypoglycemic action of Cyclocarya paliurus (Batali) Iljinskaja in normal and diabetic mice. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 67:877–880
Li S, Li J, Guan XL, Li J, Deng SP, Li LQ, Yang RT (2011) Hypoglycemic effects and constituents of the barks of Cyclocarya paliurus and their inhibiting activities to glucosidase and glycogen phosphorylase. Fitoterapia 82:1081–1085
Liu Y, Song L, Yu W, Hu Y, Ma X, Wu J, Ying Y (2015) Light quality modifies camptothecin production and gene expression of biosynthesis in Camptotheca acuminate Decne seedlings. Ind Crop Prod 66:137–143
Ma Z, Li S, Zhang M, Jiang S, Xiao Y (2010) Light intensity affects growth, photosynthetic capability, and total flavonoid accumulation of Anoectochilus Plants. HortScience 45:863–867
Ma X, Song L, Yu W, Hu Y, Liu Y, Wu J, Ying Y (2015) Growth, physiological, and biochemical responses of Camptotheca acuminata seedlings to different light environments. Front Plant Sci. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00321
Morales-Sillero A, Jimenez R, Fernandez JE, Troncoso A, Rejano L (2008) Effect of fertigation on the ‘Manzanilla de sevilla’table olive quality before and after “Spanish-style” green processing. HortScience 43:153–158
Murchie EH, Horton P (1997) Acclimation of photosynthesis to irradiance and spectral quality in British plant species: chlorophyll content, photosynthetic capacity and habitat preference. Plant Cell Environ 20:438–448
Palazzolo G, Horvath P, Zenobi-Wong M, Obukhov AG (2012) The flavonoid isoueritrin promotes neurite elongation by reducing RhoA activity. PLoS One 7(11):e49979
Peri PL, Lucas RJ, Moot DJ (2007) Dry matter production, morphology and nutritive value of Dactylis glomerata growing under different light regimes. Agrofor Syst 70:63–79
Peterson J, Dwyer J (1998) Flavonoids: dietary occurrence and biochemical activity. Nutr Res 18:1995–2018
Poorter L (1999) Growth responses of 15 rain-forest tree species to a light gradient: the relative importance of morphological and physiological traits. Funct Ecol 13:396–410
Porra RJ, Thompson WA, Kriedemann PE (1989) Determination of accurate extinction coefficients and simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls a and b extracted with four different solvents: verification of the concentration of chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Biochim Biophys Acta 975:384–394
Poutaraud A, Girardin P (2005) Improvement of medicinal plant quality: a Hypericum perforatum literature review as an example. Plant Genet Resour 3:178–189
Schmidt S, Zietz M, Schreiner M, Rohr S, Krob LW, Krumbein A (2010) Genotypic and climatic influences on the concentration and composition of flavonoids in kale (Brassica oleracea var. sabellica). Food Chem 119:1293–1299
Tang H, Hu YY, Yu WW, Song LL, Wu JS (2015) Growth, photosynthetic and physiological responses of Torreya grandis seedlings to varied light environments. Trees 29:1–12
Valladares F, Niinemets Ü (2008) Shade tolerance, a key plant feature of complex nature and consequences. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 39:237–257
Weston E, Thorogood K, Vinti G, López-luëz E (2000) Light quantity controls leaf-cell and chloroplast development in Arabidopsis thaliana wild type and blue-light-perception mutants. Planta 211:807–815
Xie JH, Xie MY, Shen MY, Nie SP, Li C, Wang YX (2010) Optimisation of microwave-assisted extraction of polysaccharides from Cyclocarya paliurus (Batal.) Iljinskaja using response surface methodology. J Sci Food Agric 90:1353–1360
Xie JH, Liu X, Shen MY, Nie SP, Zhang H, Li C, Xie MY (2013a) Purification, physicochemical characterisation and anticancer activity of a polysaccharide from Cyclocarya paliurus leaves. Food Chem 136:1453–1460
Xie JH, Shen MY, Nie SP, Liu X, Yin JY, Huang DF, Xie MY (2013b) Simultaneous analysis of 18 mineral elements in Cyclocarya paliurus polysaccharide by ICP-AES. Carbohydr Polym 94:216–220
Xie YF, Li Y, Liu NN, Zang Y, Guo N, Wang T, Shang X (2015) Effects of cerium nitrate on the growth and physiological characteristics in Cyclocarya paliurus seedlings. J Rare Earth 33:898–904
Xu Y, Wang G, Cao F, Zhu C, Wang G, El-Kassaby YA (2014) Light intensity affects the growth and flavonol biosynthesis of Ginkgo (Ginkgo biloba L.). New For 45:765–776
Zhang J, Huang N, Lu J, Li X, Wang Y, Yang L, Xiao K (2010) Water-soluble phenolic compounds and their anti-HIV-1 activities from the leaves of Cyclocarya paliurus. J Food Drug Anal 18:398–404
Zhao D, Hao Z, Tao J (2012) Effects of shade on plant growth and flower quality in the herbaceous peony (Paeonia lactiflora Pall.). Plant Physiol Biochem 61:187–196