Glioblastoma multiform with primitive neuronal component, radiological and histology features: a case report
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Abstract

Background: Glioblastoma multiform with primitive neuronal component (GBM-PNC) has been recently defined as a rare variant of glioblastoma multiform (GBM), which shows characteristically pathological pattern of less differentiated areas with small blue cell morphology and neuroectodermic immunophenotype. New studies emphasize its characteristics and differences, which have become vitally important due to the changes in therapeutic management.

Case presentation: We present the case of 57-year-old male patient who onset symptoms were secondarily widespread partial seizures and expression aphasia. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) reported left enhanced temporal infiltrating lesion, requiring surgery twice throughout two years. At first surgery, pathological samples revealed embryonic tumor of the central nervous system (grade IV, WHO 2016), so PACKER protocol consisting of CSRT (craniospinal radiation) plus weekly vincristine followed by 8 cycles of cisplatin, lomustine and vincristine usually used for medulloblastomas or other primitive neuroectodermal tumors was started. However, due to reappearance of symptoms and progression in MRI, reoperation was performed with definitive diagnosis of GBM-PNC (Grade IV, WHO 2016) and switched to STUPP protocol.

Conclusions: It is important to take into account the chance of this entity when histological, radiological and intraoperative findings orient toward a primitive neural tumor since the presence of GBM could be overlooked leading to mistakes in diagnosis and the therapeutic orientation.
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Background
In 2016 the classification of WHO tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) made changes of nomenclature appearing the new description of glioblastoma with primitive neuronal component (GBM-PNC) replacing the previous term of glioblastoma multiform-primitive neuroectodermic tumor (GBM-PNET) [1]. GBM is the most common malignant primary brain tumor in the CNS. Advances in molecular biology and cytogenetic allowed to identify the variant with primitive neural component, which is only 0.5% of the total GBM [2]. These tumors occur predominantly in adults with an average of 54 years old [3]. GBM-PNC is a unique variant of GBM at molecular level with high frequency of TP53, PIK3CA, PIK3R1 or PTEN mutation [4]. Unlike GBM, primitive neuronal component (PNC) tumor has a better response to therapy and higher survival rate of approximately 38% in 4 years, although it has an increased risk of spread to cerebrospinal fluid with extracranial metastases [5–7]. Its treatment differs from GBM protocol, because after surgical resection needs craniospinal irradiation and platinum base land chemotherapy to prevent dissemination [8].
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Case presentation
We present the case of a 57-year-old male patient with no pathological history of interest that made his debut with an expression aphasia plus behavioral alteration; later in the emergency room, he suffered a secondarily widespread partial seizure. MRI found a left temporal lesion with small areas of contrast enhancement in the T1 gado-linium with an increase in FLAIR component (Fig. 1). The anatomic–pathological study of the first surgical resection evidenced a embryonic tumor of the central nervous system, B-catenin positive, INI-1 not mutated, P53 < 10%, Ki67 95%, IDH-1 negative, ATRX not mutated. The diagnostic challenge was mainly to identify the correct diagnosis because in this case, at first surgery the high-grade glial component was not identified. The first operation obtained a rough resection of the > 95%. Later, he started oncological specific treatment with 4 cycles of PACKER protocol [9] for PNET and then cranial–spinal radiotherapy with 36 gy plus boost in the tumoral area with 55 gy. During treatment, the patient presented again speech disturbance and right hemiparesis. The new MRI reported tumor growth with contrast enhancing and marked increase of the FLAIR component (Fig. 2). Given the tumor progression, the patient went to surgical reintervention 7 months after the first surgery, where this time anatomic–pathological diagnosis concluded GBM-PNC (Grade IV, WHO 2016). In the second pathology sample, a GBM-PNC is evidenced, IDH-1 and IDH-2 not mutated, MGMT not methylated, 1p19q not codeleted, NEuN negative, Olig-2 focally positive < 25%, high-grade

Fig. 1 Brain MRI T1 with contrast axial cut (A) and coronal (B) visualizing contrast-capturing left temporal lesion (red arrows)

Fig. 2 MRI cerebral axial cuts (A and B) and coronal (C) displaying increased FLAIR component (yellow circle) and contrast uprising lesion (red arrows)
neuroembrional and glial component [10]. Intraoperatively, macroscopic differences in neoplasm tissues were evident. At first surgery, dura mater appeared infiltrated, the tumor had malignant aspect, and no tumoral angiogenesis was present. Otherwise, necrosis was not found. The consistency was soft and easily removable with aspirator (Fig. 3). At second surgery, the tumoral tissue was hard and looked malignant, it was difficult to aspirate, and it had intense positive response to 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA). Subsequently, treatment was changed to STUPP protocol. Patient finally died 14 months after first surgery.

Discussion
Histologically, the presentation of this tumor is usually characterized by the high expression of fibrillary glial protein (GFAP) in the GBM areas and focal to patchy in the undifferentiated hypercellular areas of PNET. Perry et al. described infiltrative growth pattern with commonly secondary structures of Scherer associated. Additionally, at low magnification the PNET component looks like markedly hypercellular (small blue cell); nodules with features of high nuclear to cytoplasmatic ratios, hyperchromatic oval to carrot-shaped nuclei, high mitotic-karyorrhectic indices and Homer Wirth (neuroblastic) rosettes principally [11]. Immunohistochemically, it presents neuronal immunophenotype (S-100, Synaptophysin, NeuN, NSE and NFP) and evidence of multiple areas of hypercellular PNET [11]. Although genetic alterations may be encountered, glioma like characteristics such as 10q deletion, EGFR amplification, 1p/19q deletions and a high Ki 67 set with N-myc and C-myc extended may be observed [12]. The IDH1 and IDH2 are still controversial [13].

Typically, this tumor had high rate of temporal locations [14]. MRI radiological features of this tumor reveal usually a T2 heterogeneous mass, a T1 gadolinium showing a well-circumscribed lesion with vasogenic edema, heterogeneous enhancement and may be associated with central necrosis, cyst formations and tumor hemorrhage [6, 15–17]. Nowadays, spectroscopy is not useful because results show a malignancy pattern tumor with high peak of choline and lactate with decreases of N-acetyl aspartate, but not specific features that might help with diagnosis [15].

The 2016 WHO CNS tumor nomenclature changed to respond the complex situation that was generated when presentation of GBM-PNET was discovered [1]. How to perform the treatment approach to these patients became a challenge, because misdiagnosing such as the case we present may occur. This may lead to an initial histological PNC tumor treatment with protocol PACKER and focus on avoiding the dissemination to cerebrospinal fluid, but without treatment for the high glial neoplasm [17]. The controversy in this case appeared in first surgery when macroscopical features suggest malignancy and pathologist confirmed the absence of GBM tissue compatibility. Despite knowing that PNET tumors alone are extremely rare at this patient’s age, taking into account that the surgical resection of the tumor was almost complete, and that pathology reported no GBM tissue, the oncologist made the decision to treat as a PNET neoplasm. Retrospectively, we think that the peripheral tissue near to eloquent areas which we decided not to remove due to high chances of postoperative deficit, really was the GBM tissue compatible that later grew up.

Control MRI showed progression of the neoplasm with contrast enhancement, which is very atypical for this type of tumor. Therefore, the patient required a second surgery with evidence of macroscopical, 5-ALA enhancement and histological GBM features, immediately switching the oncological treatment to STUPP protocol. We concluded that highly probable the initial treatment was suboptimal, leading to the fast progression and compromised the patient’s evolution allowing the high-grade glial component to grow. The average survival of these patients is a little better than GBM.

Fig. 3 A HE × 40 embryonic undifferentiated component, blue cell, densely cellular, pseudo-red shaper. B HE × 40 astrocytic glial component with moderate atypia. C Intraoperative image visualizing embryonic component of the first surgery (arrow)
Conclusions

The variant of GBM-PNC causes a biphasic component in anatomical-pathological preparations. When the blue small cell component predominates, it makes difficult to differentiate with other blue small cell tumors (CNS embryonic tumor, small cell carcinoma metastasis and lymphoma) or mixed tumors, leading to mistakes in the diagnosis affecting the treatment and prognosis of the patient. This rare variant of GBM needs to be considered to avoid misdiagnosis.
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