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Author's response to reviews:

The authors acknowledge the study's substantial limitations (notably, the small sample size), and I believe they have done well given the practical challenges. At the same time, I feel this should be called a pilot study in both the title and abstract.

Answer 1) Thank you for your good comments. According to reviewer recommendation, we revised title and abstract as follows: “Prognostic factors for recurrence-free and overall survival after adrenalectomy for metastatic carcinoma: a retrospective cohort pilot study”

“Conclusions

Our pilot study suggests that synchronous disease and inflammation-based prognostic score are significant prognostic factors for survival and should be considered when performing ADx for metastatic diseases.”