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Programming properties of transient hydrogels by an enzymatic reaction

Santanu Panja, Kamila Bohacova, Bart Dietrich and Dave J. Adams*

Supramolecular gels are usually stable in time as they are formed under thermodynamic equilibrium or at least in a deep well of a kinetically trapped state. However, artificial construction of kinetically controlled transient supramolecular gels is an interesting challenge. In these systems, usually a kinetically trapped transient aggregate is formed by active building blocks that leads to gelation; the gel then typically returns to the solution state. In this work, we show that such transient aggregation can occur by successive formation of two distinctly different kinetically controlled metastable states. Control over the first metastable state allows us to achieve significant control over the stability and properties of the second metastable state.

Introduction

Self-assembly can be used to build up complex structures from individual molecules using different non-covalent interactions. Supramolecular gels are formed when monomeric building blocks self-assemble into long fibrous structures that entrap solvent molecules. Generally, man-made self-assembly is an energetically down-hill process and so self-assembled gels can be formed under thermodynamic equilibrium. However, depending on the preparative pathway, self-assembled gels may exist as kinetically trapped structures where the assembly structures reside in the local thermodynamic minimum in the free energy landscape. This kinetically-trapped structure may be in a sufficiently deep energy well such that the gel state is stable for long periods of time. As a consequence, supramolecular gels are stable, and their mechanical properties do not generally change over their useful lifetime.

In comparison, natural self-assembled structures and processes such as microtubule formation, cell division, replication, formation of actin filaments, and signal transduction are energetically up-hill processes and so require a continuous source of energy input to maintain the assembled structures. Such assemblies are kinetically controlled and are classified as out-of-equilibrium assemblies where the functional efficiency of the assembly state is governed by the assembly pathway. Such out-of-equilibrium kinetics create supramolecular assemblies of finite lifetimes which are referred to as transient self-assembled systems.

Inspired by nature, there is significant current interest in preparing transient gels under out-of-equilibrium conditions. Various strategies like pH cycles, co-operative catalysis, chemical reaction networks, redox reactions, enzymatic reactions have been documented to construct transient assemblies. In these cases, systems operate under out-of-equilibrium usually exhibit one kinetically-controlled transient aggregation formed by the active building blocks during functioning. In this work, we show that such transient aggregation can occur by successive formation of two distinctly different kinetically controlled metastable states, where control over the first metastable state allows us to achieve significant control over the stability and properties of the second metastable state.
metastable state (Figure 1). Accessing of metastable assembly states is an interesting domain of materials chemistry research.\textsuperscript{11, 13, 39-45} Recently, Wang et al. reported a dynamic system where an initially formed metastable transient aggregation is programmed into a thermodynamically more stable hydrogel by aging.\textsuperscript{46} In our system, a dynamic covalent bond formation leads to either a thermodynamically stable yellow gel at high pH or a kinetically controlled metastable orange gel at low pH (Figure 1). By adjusting the initial pH, an intermediate metastable yellow gel can be accessed that evolves into the transient orange gel over time. As the different energy states are pH dependent, we pre-programme its change by introducing the autocatalytic reaction between urea and urease that allows us to achieve a self-regulated gel-to-gel transition. As such, the lifetime and stability of the final orange gel can be significantly extended.

Results and discussion

Dynamic imine bonds are formed and broken reversibly under mild reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{46, 47} Here, we utilize this concept to synthesize the gelator molecules from the reaction between 4-(dimethylamino)benzaldehyde (1) and hydrazine monohydrochloride (2) in DMSO/H\textsubscript{2}O (20/80, v/v) (Figure 2). When an aqueous solution of 2 was added to the DMSO solution of 1 (molar ratio of 1 and 2 is 2:1), an orange, self-supporting gel was formed after 5 minutes. The resulting gel was transient and after 5 hours collapsed to give an orange precipitate (Figure 2b). Interestingly, when the same reaction was performed in presence of base (an equimolar amount of NaOH with respect to 2), instead of an orange coloured gel, a white-colored viscous solution initially appeared at the beginning that slowly evolved to a yellow coloured viscous solution (Figure 2c) and then a self-supporting gel. The appearance of the gel was significantly delayed by presence of base, however, unlike the orange gel, the yellow gel formed at high pH was stable over time and did not collapse even after several days.

To characterize the chemical component responsible for gelation in both cases (whether transient or stable, i.e. in the absence and presence of base), \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy of the mixture of 1 and 2 obtained under different conditions were carried out (Figure S1). By \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy, the signal for aldehyde proton of 1 at 9.67 ppm almost completely disappeared. The appearance of a new peak at 8.50 ppm clearly demonstrates the imine bond formation between the 1 and 2 in both cases. Integration of the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra shows around a 92\% conversion to the imine 3\textsuperscript{46, 49} after 18 hours in both cases, and thereby eliminated any scope of hydrolysis of imine 3 at acidic pH. These data also show that once the compound 3 was formed, irrespective of pH of the medium, it remained stable and did not undergo hydrolysis to regenerate the starting aldehyde and amine. The imine bond formation was further confirmed by high resolution mass spectrometry, which shows the expected mass for compound 3 (Figure S2). Interestingly, when the gelation experiment was conducted with pre-synthesized 3 in DMSO/H\textsubscript{2}O, no gel formation was observed (Figure S3). Rather, yellow and orange coloured precipitation occurred under basic and acidic pH respectively. These observations show that in situ formation of 3 from the mixture of 1 and 2 is essential for gel formation. The higher solubility of 1 and 2 (as compared to 3) leads to supersaturation effects as 3 is formed which results in gelation of the mixture. Without supersaturation of the solution, a simple solvation of the compound 3 does not yield a gel. Differences in the aggregation and alignment of the molecules in the assembled structure may also play an important role in self-assembly.\textsuperscript{46, 50}

Figure 2. (a) Chemical reaction between 1 and 2 resulting in a formation of the azine 3. (b) and (c) represent variation of G\textsuperscript{'} (black), G\textsuperscript{''} (red), complex viscosity (purple) and pH (blue) with time for the mixture of 1 and 2 in absence (b) and presence (c) of NaOH. For (b) and (c), the photographs represent phase changes of the mixture of 1 and 2 with time under different conditions. For (b) and (c), initial concentration of 1 is 20 mg/mL, [2] = 0.5 equivalent. Molar ratio of 2 and NaOH is 1:1. Solvent is DMSO/H\textsubscript{2}O (20/80, v/v).

To better understand the development of the gels in absence and presence of NaOH, time sweep rheology was conducted (Figure 2b and 2c). In both cases, at the beginning of the experiment, the storage modulus (G\textsuperscript{'}) was considerably higher than the loss modulus (G\textsuperscript{''}) indicating that self-assembly begins at the early stages (i.e. on mixing 1 and 2 before it is possible to begin the experiment). In absence of a base, both G\textsuperscript{' } and G\textsuperscript{''} started to increase rapidly after 3 minutes and reached their maxima after around 20 minutes. With further time, both G\textsuperscript{' } and G\textsuperscript{''} slowly decreased indicating gel-to-sol transformation. Complex viscosity data recorded over time follows a similar trend as that of rheology and exhibits a bell-shaped curve, showing a maximum viscosity after 20 minutes followed by a gradual decrease with time. The changes in the rheological moduli as well as viscosity over time correlate with the visual observations (Figure 2b). In the presence of NaOH, mixing 1 and 2 leads to a different kinetic profile. In presence of a base, although the increase of G\textsuperscript{' } and G\textsuperscript{''} was delayed compared to without base, the final values of the absolute stiffness (G\textsuperscript{'}) and complex viscosity were significantly higher and did not decrease, agreeing with the visual observation that the final product is a stable gel (Figure 2c).

The different trends in the rheological studies can be attributed to the changes in pH of the respective solutions with
time. pH measurements showed a good correlation with the rheology data (Figure 2b and 2c). The aqueous solution of 2 exhibits a pH of around pH 4.7. When this solution was added to 1, the pH of the mixture decreased rapidly and reached a plateau at pH 1.6-1.7 after 25 minutes. The gel starts to collapse when the pH reaches the minimal value (Figure 2b). For the high pH gel, when NaOH was added to 2, the pH of the solution increased to pH 11.3. The initial pH of the mixture of 1 and 2 was above 11 and stayed relatively constant for several hours. Eventually, the pH began to decrease which was mirrored by the increase in rheological values and followed by gelation (Figure 2c). The pKₐ of compound 3 is 7.45 in ethanol as reported by Derinkuyu et al.⁴⁹ However, because of poor solubility in DMSO-water, we were unable to determine the pKₐ of 3 in our case from pH-metric titration at high concentration.⁴⁹ At a concentration of 1 x 10⁻⁴ M, compound 3 exhibits apparent pKₐ of 6.0 in DMSO-water (20/80, v/v) (Figure S4). Correlation of these observations suggests that, when the pH of the medium is acidic (bellow the pKₐ), the imine nitrogen of 3 gets protonated.⁴⁹ This protonated form of 3 is more prone to self-assembly through intermolecular hydrogen bonding, as shown in Figure S4, than compound 3.⁵¹ Because of this, gelation occurs rapidly at low pH as compared to that at high pH. These observations are quite similar to the polymer system reported by Wang group, where the formation of acylhydrazone bonds was efficient at a low initial pH of 4 and further activation of the acylhydrazone bonds through protonation not only led to rapid hydrogelation but also allowed good healing capability under acidic condition.⁵¹ However, when the pH is sufficiently low, all the molecules of 3 become protonated and we assume destroy the hydrogen bonded network through electrostatic repulsion. The increased polarity of the compound then aids the dissolution of the gel. As a result, the gel state exhibits a finite lifetime.

To confirm this, time variable UV-vis and emission spectra of the mixture of 1 and 2 were recorded (Figure 3). From UV-vis spectroscopy, the weak shoulder at 430 nm indicates formation of the azine bond.⁵² The red-shifted appearance of this band to 500 nm corresponding to the protonated form of 3 backs up our proposition (Figure 3a and Figure S5).⁴⁹ Such a band at 500 nm was absent in the absorption spectra of the same mixture in DMSO-water (20/80, v/v) (Figure S5). Correlation of these observations suggests that, when the pH of the medium is acidic (bellow the pKₐ), the imine nitrogen of 3 gets protonated.⁴⁹ This protonated form of 3 is more prone to self-assembly through intermolecular hydrogen bonding, as shown in Figure S4, than compound 3.⁵¹ Because of this, gelation occurs rapidly at low pH as compared to that at high pH. These observations are quite similar to the polymer system reported by Wang group, where the formation of acylhydrazone bonds was efficient at a low initial pH of 4 and further activation of the acylhydrazone bonds through protonation not only led to rapid hydrogelation but also allowed good healing capability under acidic condition.⁵¹ However, when the pH is sufficiently low, all the molecules of 3 become protonated and we assume destroy the hydrogen bonded network through electrostatic repulsion. The increased polarity of the compound then aids the dissolution of the gel. As a result, the gel state exhibits a finite lifetime.

We next set out to investigate the energy landscape of the system and the different potential pathways in order to access and control transitions between possible metastable and kinetically trapped states. A change in pH can alter the degree of protonation of the gelator, thus modifying its solubility or availability to hydrogen bonding. This in turn leads to lowering of the energy barrier and easier transitions from one energy state to another. To induce a pH change, the autocatalytic reaction between urea and urease was developed by Taylor et al.⁵⁵-⁵⁷ and also used by Walther et al.⁵⁸, ⁵⁹ and other research groups.¹⁶, ⁶⁰-⁶³ Under aqueous conditions, urea reacts with urease to produce ammonia, which is responsible for the increase of the pH of the medium.⁶⁴-⁶⁸ The reaction itself is highly dependent on the initial reaction conditions such as pH and the reagent concentrations,⁵⁷, ⁶⁴, ⁶⁵ which enables us to exercise precise control over the rate of pH change and subsequent transitions between the energy states.

As the outcome of the chemical reaction between 1 and 2 is pH dependent, the behaviour of the system in the absence of urea but with the enzyme present at different initial pH had to be established. For these experiments, instead of 2, aqueous mixture of 2 and urease having different initial pH were used. In
In all cases, we maintained the urease concentration at 0.05 mg/mL. An aqueous mixture of 2 and urease exhibits a pH 4.9. We adjusted the initial pH of the solutions to pH 6.9, 7.2, 7.5, or 7.75 using NaOH. We then compared the rates of pH change of these solutions in presence of 1 (Figure S6). When no base was added, the initial pH dropped from pH 4.9 to pH 4.2 at the beginning of the reaction and decreased to ~pH 1.7 after 20 minutes where it became constant. As the initial pH was progressively increased, there was an increase in the lifetime of the high pH state (Figure S6).

The physical behaviour of the systems varied depending on the initial pH of the solutions (Figure 4). In case of the solutions of initial pH 4.9 and 6.9, the systems exhibit formation of orange coloured transient hydrogels, whilst the solution having an initial pH of 7.2 exhibits a yellow coloured viscous material at the beginning followed by orange coloured gel-to-sol transition with time. The solutions with an initial pH of 7.5, 7.6 and 7.75 produced yellow coloured gels at the early stages which slowly evolved to orange gels through orange-yellow intermediates. With further time all the orange gels disintegrate into orange sols (Figure 4). This was further confirmed by time dependent absorption and emission experiments. For the solutions having high initial pH, the appearance of the redshifted band at 500 nm corresponding to the protonated form of 3 responsible for orange colouration was significantly delayed (no presence after 1 hour) compared to the solutions having low initial pH where we see the band appearing after 10-60 minutes (Figure S7). Similarly, from fluorescence spectroscopy, as the initial pH of the solution increases, the decrease in emission at 500 nm followed by the appearance of the band at 600 nm which corresponds to the protonation of 3 was considerably delayed (Figure S8, S9). These times correspond to gel formation in each case. Figure S8 shows the final peak intensity at 600 nm in all cases. Notably, after 18 hours, the absorption and emission spectra of all the orange sols appeared almost same indicating formation of a similar type of material at the end (Figure S7, S8). Furthermore, correlation of the results from Figure 4 with Figure 2b suggests that, although in presence of urease, the...
systems followed different kinetics at the early stages, the evolution of the orange gels over time followed a common pathway as in the case when urease was absent and finally produced thermodynamically stable orange sols.

The delay in gelation agrees with the pH profile; gels formed at the lower initial pH (pH 4.9 and 6.9) show their highest viscosity as well as rheological moduli after 10 minutes while for high pH gels the highest values appeared after around 2 hours (Figure S10). Comparison of the complex viscosity data shows that in each case there was a sudden increase in the viscosity values just around when the pH began to decrease (Figure 4). These results again endorse the nucleation of self-assembly by the protonated form of 3 as described in Figure S4. This further confirms that the evolution of yellow gel to orange sol (at high initial pH) through an orange gel as intermediate involves two kinetically controlled metastable steps where control over the lifetime and properties of the yellow coloured first metastable state can allow to achieve control over the properties of the orange coloured second metastable gel.

Once the influence of initial pH on transitions between two different kinetically controlled states had been established, we moved to investigate the effect of urea and urease reaction on these transitions. Initially, we incorporated 40 µL of urea (concentration is 2 M) into the system and performed the same experiments in the presence of urease. Again, the initial pH of the mixture of 2 and urease (pH of the mixture is pH 4.9) was adjusted to pH 6.9, 7.2, 7.5, 7.6 and 7.75 by NaOH, before addition to the mixture of 1 and urease. The rate of pH change of the mixture of 1 and 2 in presence of the urea-urease reaction under different conditions was measured (Figure S11-S13). In absence of any base (pH 4.9), the pH-time profile follows similar trends as in the case when urea was absent (Figure S13). In this case, the initial pH decreased from pH 4.9 to “pH 1.7 within 20 minutes where it became constant. As the urea-urease reaction is highly pH dependent, and below pH 4 urease loses its activity, consequently, in this case, no prominent effect of the enzymatic reaction on the system was observed. Only a slight reduction in the rate of pH decrease was noticed at the early stages which resulted in a small delay in the appearance of the orange coloured gel which was further confirmed by rheological studies (Figure 4a, Figure S12 and Figure S13). This transient gel exhibits a lower value of absolute stiffness and viscosity in comparison to the transient gel formed in absence of urea. The lower value of complex viscosity was probably due to slower pH decrease which eventually delayed the hydrogen bond mediated acceleration of self-assembly by the protonated form of 3 (as shown in Figure S4). Consequently, we believe that the system did not have enough time to produce stiffer gel before it started to disintegrate as compared to when urea was absent. Time variable absorption spectra also support the visual observations. Using UV-vis spectroscopy, the intensity of the red-shifted band at 450 nm corresponding to the protonated form of 3 appears significantly lower after 10 minutes (Figure S7 and Figure S14a). As a consequence, nucleated aggregation was delayed resulting in a slower appearance of the gel.

As the initial pH was increased by the addition of NaOH (pH 6.9, 7.2, 7.5, 7.6 and 7.75), a significant change in pH time profile as well as in the visual appearance of the gels was noticed in presence of urea (Figure S11 and S12). In these cases, the pH-time profiles follow similar trends in the early stages as compared to when urea was absent (Figure S6 and S11). However, after a certain time, the sudden decrease in pH is retarded due to the formation of NH$_3$ through the urea and urease reaction. In all cases, the final pH of the medium was in the region of 7-8. Interestingly, no orange colouration was noticed. Indeed, a yellow coloured gel appeared after 40 minutes in all cases, which remained stable with time (Figure S12). Time sweep rheology also shows the evolution of the systems to stable gels with time (Figure S12). No significant change in stiffness (G’) of the final gels was noticed formed from high initial pHs (pH 7.75, 7.6, 7.5) (Figure S15, S16). Gels obtained from lower initial pHs (pH 7.2, 6.9) are less stiff (i.e. a lower G’). In comparison to the gel formed in presence of NaOH, these gels showed lower stiffness (G’) but ~2-4 times increase in strength (critical strain) (Figure S15, S16).

Correlation of the rheological data further indicates that in these cases, the appearance of the gels was significantly delayed in presence of the urease-urea reaction compared to the solution of initial pH 4.9 (Figure S12). These observations again emphasize the absence of nucleated acceleration of aggregation at high pH. Time dependent UV-vis and emission spectroscopy further confirm our proposition. The red-shifted absorption in the 450-500 nm region corresponding to the protonated (orange coloured) form of 3 is absolutely absent in all other cases with higher initial pH (Figure S14). Similarly, by fluorescence spectroscopy, the only appearance of the band at 600 nm which corresponds to the protonated form of 3 is seen in the case of initial pH 4.9 (Figure S17). All other systems showed a similar decrease in emission at 455 nm during the experiments which was significantly delayed at high pH (Figure S17, S18). As expected, all the yellow gels that appeared at high pH showed emission at 500 nm. Hence from the experimental evidences, it is clear that the system formed from low initial pH (pH 4.9) evolves through a common kinetically controlled metastable state just like the systems where urea was absent (for all the solutions having initial pH 4.9-7.75), while the systems formed from high initial pHs (pH 6.9-7.75) directly lead to thermodynamically stable gels in presence of the enzymatic reaction.

Following these findings, we decided to investigate the processes at a lower initial concentration of urea (20 µL). As there was no significant change in behaviour of the systems prepared from solutions of pH 4.9 in absence and presence of 40 µL of urea, we omitted this solution for further experiments. As before, we adjusted the pH of the mixture of 2 and urease to pH 6.9, 7.2, 7.5, 7.6 and 7.75 using NaOH before addition to the mixture of 1 and urea (20 µL). From the recorded pH data, we could see a mixture of trends among solutions starting at different initial pH (Figure 5, Figure S19 and Figure S20). For the lower initial pH solutions (pH 6.9 and 7.2), the pH-time profiles show analogous trend to when urea was absent, however, the lifetime of the high pH state is significantly increased (Figure S6, S19). A similar curve was observed for solutions of higher pH (pH 7.5 and 7.6) but with a slower rate of pH change, once the
values start to decrease. There was also little increase in the final pH of the systems (~pH 2.5). On the other hand, in the case of the solution of initial pH 7.75, the enzymatic reaction produced enough base to restrict the decrease in pH altogether and keep the values above pH 6.5 (Figure S19). Comparison of the pH-time profiles obtained in presence of 0 µL, 20 µL and 40 µL of urea reveals that at the early stages, there was no significant change in the variation of pH for the systems obtained from the same initial pH. However, in all cases, the final pH of the systems proportionally increases with an increase in initial urea concentration (Figure S20).

The differences seen in the pH profiles correspond to different physical behaviours among these systems (Figure 5). Initially, all systems produced yellow coloured gels within 50 minutes. The yellow gels formed from an initial pH of 6.9 and 7.2 then proceeded to evolve to an orange gel overnight, although no gel-to-sol transition was observed in the course of the experiment. With higher initial pH (pH 7.5 and 7.6), the transition into an orange gel was postponed but instead, an orange-yellow intermediate gel appeared as the pH dropped below ~pH 2.5. Finally, the system of initial pH 7.75 never showed any appearance of orange colouration. Instead, the yellow gel remained stable in time as the pH decrease was prevented by base production due to the urea and urease reaction (Figure 5). Furthermore, as the initial pH was progressively raised the rheology data also shows an analogous increase in the final G' and G'' values of the final gels (Figure 5, Figure S21, S22). Notably, for the systems of initial pH 6.9 and 7.2, although the final pH is the same, the gel formed from pH 7.2 showed higher stiffness (2105 Pa) as compared to the gel formed from pH 6.9 (1720 Pa) (Figure S19, S21). The same is also true for the gels formed from initial phs 7.5 and 7.6 (6540 Pa and 30730 Pa, respectively) (Figure S19, S21). These observations suggest that final pH of the medium is not the only
determining factor of the material properties. The stiffness of the final material is actually governed by the pH change of the medium and thereby the properties of the initially formed kinetically controlled yellow gel states.

Time dependent UV-vis spectroscopy and emission data further support our findings. The final absorption at 500 nm indicates the protonated form of 3 which results in orange colouration concomitantly decreases with an increase in initial pH of the solutions from pH 6.9 to pH 7.5 and is almost absent in the case of solutions with initial pH 7.6 and 7.75 (Figure S23). Similarly, we can see from the fluorescence spectra that the decrease of emission intensity at 500 nm was deferred as subject to increased initial pH which corresponds to the delay in gelation of these solutions (Figure S24 and Figure S25). Moreover, the final emission band for the low pH solutions (from pH 6.9 to pH 7.5) appears at 600 nm indicating protonation of 3 (Figure S24). On the other hand, systems with high initial pH (pH 7.6 and 7.75) show final emission at 500 nm which correlates with the presence of the yellow gel at the end (Figure S24).

These results indicate that the systems obtained from initial pH of 6.9-7.6 evolve through two kinetically controlled states, where the yellow coloured first metastable state actually determines the properties of the orange or orange-yellow coloured second state. In this context, SEM images of the gels and sols obtained under different conditions were recorded (Figure S26). SEM images show that irrespective of initial reaction conditions, all the orange sols (obtained at low final pHs) exhibit rectangular disks while yellow gels (obtained at high final pHs) exhibit closely spaced flake like aggregates. Interestingly, the orange-yellow gels exhibit morphology where the concentrations of flake-like aggregates was significantly reduced while globular aggregates appeared.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have successfully created a dynamic gel system that allows programming of a metastable assembly state which eventually drives the system to a new material exhibiting a gel-to-gel transition. In our study, we devised a system by employing dynamic imine chemistry through to which a thermodynamically controlled yellow gel is formed at high pH while a kinetically controlled transient orange gel appears at low pH. Adjustment of the initial pH of the solutions leads to a situation where another kinetically controlled yellow gel appears before the appearance of the transient orange gel. Experimental evidences suggest that the evolution of the yellow gel to orange sol through an orange gel as intermediate involves two kinetically controlled metastable states where control over the lifetime and properties of the yellow coloured first metastable state allow us to achieve control over the properties of the orange coloured second metastable state. Furthermore, exploitation of the urea-urease reaction on our system does not allow conversion of the orange gel (low pH) to the yellow gel (high pH). Instead, it offers a controlled transition from a yellow gel to an orange gel. Indeed, temporal control over the evolution of the system between these two gel states can be achieved by adjusting either the initial pH of the solutions or the initial concentration of the urea. Depending on the initial reaction conditions, we are able to programme the transition between different coloured gels of various mechanical strengths whilst we can control the lifetime of the orange gel by controlling the properties of the initially formed metastable yellow gel.
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Here we created a dynamic gel system that allows programming of a metastable assembly state which eventually drives the system to a new material exhibiting a gel-to-gel transition.