A novel 2D graphene oxide modified \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) nanorods: Design, fabrication, and enhanced visible-light photocatalytic performance
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Abstract: Silver vanadates are promising visible-light-responded photocatalysts with suitable bandgap for solar absorption. However, the easy recombination of photogenerated carriers limits their performance. To overcome this obstacle, a novel 2D graphene oxide (GO) modified \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) nanorods (GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\)) photocatalyst was designed herein to improve the separation of photocarriers. The GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) was fabricated through a facile in-situ coprecipitation method at room temperature. It was found that the as-prepared 0.5 wt\% GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) exhibited the most excellent performance for rhodamine B (RhB) decomposition, with an apparent reaction rate constant 18 times higher than that of pure \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) under visible-light irradiation. In light of the first-principles calculations and the hetero junction analysis, the mechanism underpinned the enhanced photocatalytic performance was proposed. The enhanced photocatalytic performance was ascribed to the appropriate bandgap of \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) nanorods for visible-light response and efficient separation of photocarriers through GO nanosheets. This work demonstrates the feasibility of overcoming the easy recombination of photogenerated carriers and provides a valuable GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) photocatalyst for pollutant degradation.
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1 Introduction

The elimination of toxic organic dyes that hazarded to water resources and human health has aroused widespread attentions [1–3]. Photocatalysis has been regarded as a promising approach to surmount these concerns, wherein visible-light-driven photocatalysts play an important role [4,5]. However, currently, there are two limitations that hinder the performance and applications of photocatalysts. One is that the band gap of photocatalyst is not suitable for visible-light absorption [6,7], and the other is the rapid recombination of photogenerated electrons and holes [8]. To cope with these issues, it is appealing to search for novel photocatalysts with fascinating properties [9,10].

Silver vanadium oxides (SVOs), including AgVO\(_3\) [11], \( \mathrm{Ag}_2\mathrm{V}_2\mathrm{O}_7\) [12], \( \mathrm{Ag}_3\mathrm{VO}_4\) [13], and \( \mathrm{Ag}_2\mathrm{V}_4\mathrm{O}_{11}\) [14], have been widely exploited in the field of catalysis
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owing to their excellent electrical properties, controllable surface, and easy availability. Among these SVOs, monoclinic structured α-AgVO₃ is one of the most promising visible-light-responsive photocatalyst because the hybridization of V 3d, O 2p, and Ag 4d orbits can form highly dispersed valence bands with a narrow bandgap [15]. For its potential high performance, photocatalytic properties of α-AgVO₃ based materials have been explored extensively [16,17]. However, the activity of pristine α-AgVO₃ is still unsatisfactory owing to the fast recombination of photogenerated electrons and holes, which will reduce the photoreaction rate and thus limit its further applications.

Heterojunction construction is an efficient way to overcome the above obstacles. By designing proper heterostructure, including matched band structure and well-controlled surface, an additional transmission path for the carriers (electrons and holes) can be established at the interface which is helpful to improve the photocatalytic performance. Considering the high chemical stability, large surface area, and high electron mobility, 2D graphene oxide (GO) is regarded as an ideal candidate to act as an electron transmitter [18,19]. For example, enhanced photocatalytic activity has been found in GO/α-C₃N₄/MoS₂ ternary hybrid materials, wherein the enhanced photocatalytic activity is attributed to act as an electron transmitter [18,19]. For example, enhanced photocatalytic activity has been found in GO/α-C₃N₄/MoS₂ ternary hybrid material, wherein the fast charge transfer pathway through GO plays a key role [20]. Based on these facts, the introduction of GO to build graphene oxide modified α-AgVO₃ (GO/α-AgVO₃) nanorod hybrid materials is expected to significantly improve the photocatalytic performance of α-AgVO₃.

With this aim, a series of GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalysts were designed in this work and were fabricated by a facial in-situ coprecipitation method. The structure and morphology of the as-prepared GO/α-AgVO₃ were systematically characterized. And the photocatalytic performance of GO/α-AgVO₃ was evaluated using photo decomposition of rhodamine B (RhB) as a model reaction. The dynamic of degradative reaction was also analyzed. Moreover, in order to disclose the possible mechanism that underpins the enhanced photocatalytic performance, the band structure of α-AgVO₃ was calculated by first-principles calculations based on density functional theory (DFT).

2 Experimental

2.1 Preparation of α-AgVO₃ nanorods and GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalysts

Ammonium metavanadate (NH₄VO₃, ≥ 99%, analytical reagent purchased from Aladdin Chemical Co., Ltd.), silver nitrate (AgNO₃, ≥ 99%, analytical reagent), RhB (purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.), and graphene oxide (type SE2430 obtained from the Sixth Elemental Inc.) were used as starting materials. All the reagents were used without further purification.

Pristine α-AgVO₃ nanorods were fabricated using a coprecipitation method at room temperature. Typically, 1 mmol NH₄VO₃ and 1 mmol AgNO₃ were dispersed in 40 mL of deionized water, and stirred for 1 h to dissolve completely. The AgNO₃ solution was added into the NH₄VO₃ solution dropwise under agitation, and then the mixture was stirred continuously for 3 h at room temperature. Then α-AgVO₃ nanorods were obtained by centrifugation at 6000 r/min for 10 min. The nanorods were washed with distilled water and ethanol for three times and then dried in an oven at 40 °C overnight.

The GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalysts were fabricated by a facial in-situ directly coprecipitation method. Firstly, GO nanosheets (20 mg) were added to deionized water (100 mL), and the suspension was sonicated for 4 h at 10 °C. Likewise, 1 mmol NH₄VO₃ and 1 mmol AgNO₃ were prepared separately. The 2D GO nanosheet dispersion with a certain mass fraction ratio (0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 wt%) was added to NH₄VO₃ solution and stirred for 30 min to reach saturated adsorption of VO₃⁻ on the surface of GO. Then, the AgNO₃ solution was dropped into the mixture slowly, and the mixture was stirred continuously for 3 h at room temperature. The as-prepared GO/α-AgVO₃ hybrid materials were obtained by centrifugation at 6000 r/min for 10 min. The hybrid materials were washed with distilled water and ethanol three times and then dried in an oven at 40 °C. For brevity, the as-synthesized photocatalysts were referred as 0.5 GO/α-AgVO₃, 1.0 GO/α-AgVO₃, and 2.0 GO/α-AgVO₃ according to the GO weight percentage hereafter.

2.2 Structural characterization and photocatalytic activity

The phase compositions of the α-AgVO₃ and GO/α-AgVO₃ were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on a Bruker D8 diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). The morphology characterizations were conducted on a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) for elemental analysis. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM
Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded on a \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) with an excitation wavelength of 400 nm. Shimadzu RF-6000 Plus fluorescence spectrometer after convergent test. A 2×2×3 Monckhorst-Pack \([21,22]\). The plane-wave basis set cutoff was 760 eV. Cambridge serial total energy package (CASTEP) code. For this aim, the band structure of \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) was investigated to understand its photocatalytic performance. For this aim, first-principles calculations were conducted using the Cambridge serial total energy package (CASTEP) code \([21,22]\). The plane-wave basis set cutoff was 760 eV after convergent test. A 2×2×3 Monkhorst-Pack \( k \)-mesh was used to sample the Brillouin zone of \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) \([23]\). Geometry optimization was conducted via the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation function within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) \([24]\). The convergence tolerance for the total energy and maximum ionic displacement was set as 1.0×10\(^{-5}\) eV and 1.0×10\(^{-3}\) Å, respectively. After completing the geometry optimization, an OTFG ultrasoft potential and GGA functional was used to calculate the band structure of \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\). To further improve the accuracy of band gap calculation, a hybrid HSE03 exchange-correlation functional was employed which has been proven in obtaining a reliable bandgap for complex oxides \([25]\).  

3 Results

3.1 Morphology of \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) and GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\)

Figure 1 shows the morphologies of the \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) and GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) with various weight percentages of GO. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the pure \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) sample shows a flower-like morphology, which is composed of plentiful one-dimensional (1D) \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) nanorods with a length of 10–15 μm and a width of 100–300 nm. Figures 1(b)–1(d) show the morphologies of \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) nanorods are covered by 2D GO nanosheets, indicating the formation of heterojunctions. Notably, with the increase of GO content, the accumulation of a large amount of lamellar corrugated GO nanosheets can be observed. Meanwhile, the introduction of GO also increases the BET surface area of the GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) nanocomposites (Fig. S1 and Table S1 in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)). TEM and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) were used to further characterize the microstructure of GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) composites. As shown in Fig. 1(e), \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) exhibits a nanorod structure with corrugated GO nanosheets tightly attached on it. The HRTEM image in Fig. 1(f) shows that the lattice fringes of 0.27 nm can be indexed to the (002) lattice planes of \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\). The inset image of Fig. 1(f) is the corresponding SAED pattern. The composition of GO/\( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) photocatalyst was further characterized by EDS elemental mapping. As shown in Fig. 2, silver, oxygen, and vanadium elements are uniformly distributed on the nanorods, and the corrugated nanosheets correspond to the carbon element, indicating the close coupling of \( \alpha \)-AgVO\(_3\) nanorods and GO nanosheets.
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3. 2 Crystal structure and XRD analysis

The phase composition and preferred growth direction of \(\alpha\)-AgVO$_3$ nanorods were further studied by experimental and simulated XRD patterns. The XRD patterns of the as-prepared \(\alpha\)-AgVO$_3$ and GO/\(\alpha\)-AgVO$_3$ are shown in Fig. 3. The \(\alpha\)-AgVO$_3$ is well crystallized in a monoclinic structure and no impurity phase can be detected. The main peaks at 2\(\theta\) of 12.40\(^\circ\), 17.22\(^\circ\), 24.95\(^\circ\), 27.50\(^\circ\), 28.23\(^\circ\), 31.51\(^\circ\), 32.12\(^\circ\), and 32.82\(^\circ\), can be ascribed to (110), (200), (220), (310), (2\(\overline{2}\)1), (221), (\(\overline{1}\)31), and (002) diffraction planes of \(\alpha\)-AgVO$_3$ (according to JCPDS Card #89-4396), respectively. After the introduction of GO, the 2\(\theta\) angles of these diffraction peaks remain unchanged, indicating that the
addition of GO does not affect the phase stability and crystal structure of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$. Due to the low percentage and weak reflection of GO, no obvious diffraction peak for GO can be observed in the XRD pattern of GO/$\alpha$-AgVO$_3$.

To reveal the growth direction of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$ nanorods, its crystal structure was constructed and optimized (Fig. 4 and Table 1), and the comparison of the simulated and experimental XRD patterns of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$ is shown in Fig. 5. As shown in Fig. 4, two VO$_4$ tetrahedrons are connected by sharing vertex and then precipitate with Ag to crystallize in a monoclinic $\alpha$ phase AgVO$_3$ with space group $C2/c$. The geometry optimized lattice constants, atomic positions, and bond lengths of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$ are shown in Table 1.

Based on this geometry optimized crystal structure, the XRD pattern simulation was carried out through the Reflex code in Materials Studio software (Accelrys Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) using Cu K$\alpha$ radiation of $\lambda = 1.5406 \, \text{Å}$, and step size of 0.02°. The preferred c-axis orientation was imposed by the Rietveld–Toraya method and the simulated morphology was generated based on the theory of Donnay and Harker [26], Wells [27], and Berkovich-Yellin [28]. According to Scherrer’s formula, the grain size difference in different directions will result in different degrees of broadening of diffraction peaks. Thus, as shown in Fig. 5, the simulated XRD pattern of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$ nanorods with a growth direction that perpendicular to the (002) crystal plane is closer to the experimental result, compared with that of the power reflection without orientation. Meanwhile, the simulated morphology shown in Fig. 5(d) further confirms that the $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$ nanorods prefer to grow along c-axis that is perpendicular to

| Parameter | $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$ |
|-----------|------------------|
| Space group | $C2/c$ (No. 15) |
| $a$ | 11.2975 Å |
| $b$ | 10.7962 Å |
| $c$ | 5.78487 Å |
| $V$ | 646.534 Å$^3$ |

Table 1  Geometry optimized lattice constants, atomic positions, and bond lengths of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$

Fig. 5 (a) Experimental XRD patterns of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$, together with simulated XRD patterns of (b) powder and (c) c-axis oriented particle, and (d) morphology of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$ generated based on the theory of Donnay–Harker (in the crystal structure of $\alpha$-AgVO$_3$, (002) plane is highlighted).
(002) crystal plane, which is in accordance with the TEM results.

3.3 FTIR and Raman characterization

In order to confirm the presence of GO in the GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalysts, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR spectroscopy) and Raman spectroscopy were employed. As shown in the FTIR spectra (Fig. 6(a)), the bands related to the VO₄ tetrahedron, including ν(V−O−V, 515 cm⁻¹), δ(V−O, 636 cm⁻¹), and ν(V = O, 660, 895, 929, and 964 cm⁻¹) vibrational modes are observed, as well as bands at 846 cm⁻¹ for ν(Ag−O−V) stretching modes [29,30]. For GO/α-AgVO₃, in addition to the bands mentioned above, typical peaks of C−O stretching and C−C sp² in-plane vibration emerge at 1057 and 1624 cm⁻¹, demonstrating the successfully introduction of GO [31]. In fact, GO is more sensitive to Raman spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 6(b), two typical GO peaks at about 1340 and 1597 cm⁻¹ appear in the spectra of GO/α-AgVO₃, which are attributed to the disordered sp² carbon (D-band) and well-ordered graphite (G-band), respectively [32,33]. With the increase of GO contents, the intensity of D and G peaks also increases. Moreover, the main characteristic vibration peaks of α-AgVO₃ are all observed. In Raman spectra of all the samples, the strongest band at 919 cm⁻¹ may originate from symmetric stretching of V−O−Ag or O−V−O vibrations. The band at 897 cm⁻¹ is linked with the stretching vibrations of Ag−O−Ag, while the band at 875 cm⁻¹ represents the stretching vibrations of the V−O−Ag. The bands appearing at 850, 762, 627, and 528 cm⁻¹ are related to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes of V−O−V [34–36]. Based on the FTIR and Raman spectrum analysis, the presence of GO in GO/α-AgVO₃ nanocomposites is further confirmed. All the foregoing results demonstrate that a series of α-AgVO₃ (002)/GO (002) heterostructure photocatalysts have been successfully prepared.

3.4 Photocatalytic performance

The photocatalytic activities of α-AgVO₃ and GO/α-AgVO₃ are evaluated in terms of the photo degradation of RhB. Figure 7(a) shows the variation of RhB concentration versus irradiation time of visible light. It is obvious that the introduction of GO significantly improves the photocatalytic performance compared with pure α-AgVO₃. After 40 min of irradiation, the 0.5 GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalyst displays the highest photocatalytic activity with 90.0% RhB degradation, while less RhB is removed by pure α-AgVO₃. Quantitatively, the photocatalytic degradation of RhB can be described by pseudo-first-order dynamic process and its kinetics can be expressed as

$$\ln \left( \frac{C_0}{C} \right) = k_1 t$$

where C is the concentration of the RhB at time t, C₀ is the initial concentration of the RhB solution, and the slope k₁ is the apparent reaction rate constant. As shown in Fig. 7(b), the 0.5 GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalyst has the maximum rate constant of 0.0584 min⁻¹ which is 18 times higher than that of pure α-AgVO₃, revealing the superior photocatalytic activity of the GO/α-AgVO₃. With the increase of GO contents, the degradation efficiency decreases probably due to the aggregation of GO nanosheets as shown in SEM images in Fig. 1. Moreover, the photocatalytic activity of the GO/α-AgVO₃ shows good stability. As shown in Fig. 7(c), after three
Fig. 7 (a) Photocatalytic degradation curves of RhB, (b) corresponding pseudo-first-order kinetic curves, and (c) cyclic tests of the 0.5 GO/α-AgVO₃.

Table 2 RhB photodegradation properties of recently reported SVOs and other photocatalysts

| Photocatalyst            | Loading photocatalyst (mg)/dye (mg) | Light source | Degradation ratioa (%) | Apparent rate constant, k (min⁻¹) | Reference          |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| TiO₂                     | 100/1                               | Xe, 300 W, > 400 nm | 12%                    | 0.0060                            | Wang et al. [37]   |
| α-AgVO₃/α-FeOOH          | 50/0.24                             | Xe, 500 W, > 420 nm | 45%                    | 0.0194                            | Sun et al. [17]    |
| β-AgVO₃/Ag₂O₃            | 50/0.3                              | Xe, 300 W, > 400 nm | 76%                    | 0.0340                            | Gao et al. [38]    |
| β-AgVO₃/BiVO₃            | 50/1                                | Xe, 300 W, > 400 nm | 69%                    | 0.0206                            | Wang and Cao [39]  |
| β-AgVO₃/AgBr             | 30/0.5                              | Xe, 800 W, 420–780 nm | 23%                    | 0.0183                            | Zhang et al. [40]  |
| Ag₃V₂O₇                  | 80/0.38                             | Xe, 500 W, 420–800 nm | 38%                    | —                                 | Wang et al. [41]   |
| g-C₃N₄/Ag₃V₂O₇           | 100/1                               | Xe, 300 W, > 420 nm | 55%                    | 0.015                             | Zhang et al. [42]  |
| Ag₃VO₄                   | 2000/4.8                            | Xe, 500 W, > 420 nm | 32%                    | 0.0155                            | Zhang et al. [43]  |
| GO/α-AgVO₃               | 15/0.15                             | Xe, 300 W, > 420 nm | 90%                    | 0.0584                            | This work          |

αThe degradation percentage is collected at 40 min visible-light irradiation for better comparison.

continuous cyclic tests, RhB can still be degraded under visible-light irradiation. The above results demonstrate that compared with other SVOs and traditional TiO₂ photocatalysts under the similar experimental conditions [17,37–43], the GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalyst shows outstanding performance with fast rate and large degradation ratio as shown in Table 2.

4 Discussion

4.1 Electronic structure and bandgaps

To shed light on the photocatalytic mechanism, it is necessary to analyze the electronic structure of α-AgVO₃. Figure 8 shows the band structure of α-AgVO₃ along the high symmetry line in the Brillouin zone calculated by GGA (Fig. 8(a)) and HSE03 functional (Fig. 8(b)). The α-AgVO₃ is a direct band gap semiconductor because the top of valence bands and bottom of conduction bands are at the Gamma point simultaneously. The direct band gap of α-AgVO₃ obtained from GGA functional is 1.9 eV because the GGA functional generally underestimates the experimental bandgaps. To improve the accuracy of bandgap calculation, a hybrid functional [44] based on a screened Coulomb potential HSE03 was used in DFT calculation. As shown in Fig. 8(b), the band gap obtained by HSE03 functional is 3.0 eV, which is believed more reliable and closer to the experimental values for complex oxides [25,45].

To analyze the optical properties and obtain the
experimental bandgaps, UV–Vis absorption spectra of α-AgVO$_3$ and GO/α-AgVO$_3$ nanorods are collected. As shown in Fig. 9(a), the absorbance edge of α-AgVO$_3$ is around 540 nm. After the introduction of 0.5 and 1.0 wt% GO, the absorption band edge shows an obvious blue-shift, while 2.0 wt% GO addition causes the absorption band edge to shift in the opposite direction. The change of absorption edge is closely related to the band gap, which can be obtained by the Kubelka–Munk method [46].

\[(\alpha h\nu)^n = A(h\nu - E_g)\] (2)

where $\alpha$, $h$, $\nu$, $A$, and $E_g$ are the absorption coefficient, Planck’s constant, light frequency, proportionality constant, and optical bandgap, respectively. The index $n$ depends on the electronic transition of the crystalline semiconductor, $n = 0.5$ for indirect-gap semiconductor and $n = 2$ for direct-gap semiconductor. For α-AgVO$_3$ crystal, $n$ equals 2, because α-AgVO$_3$ is a direct transition type of semiconductors, according to the band structure in Fig. 8. The Kubelka–Munk plots are shown in Fig. 9(b). The band gap energy can be estimated from the intercept of the tangent to the plot of $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ vs. energy ($h\nu$). The band gap of α-AgVO$_3$ is 2.56 eV, which is approximate to the calculated bandgap from HSE03. The bandgaps for 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 GO/α-AgVO$_3$ are 2.59, 2.62, and 2.26 eV, respectively, i.e., the addition of 0.5 and 1.0 wt% GO can help to increase the band gap, which is beneficial for the separation of photogenerated carriers. However, continuing to increase the GO content will narrow the bandgap due to the conductive nature of GO, resulting in the decrease of photocatalytic performance. Therefore, the theoretical and experimental analysis of band structure demonstrates that α-AgVO$_3$ is a visible-light-responsible photocatalyst, and the combination with an appropriate amount of GO helps to adjust the band gap and improve the photocatalytic efficiency.

To further analyze the band structure, UPS is implemented to ascertain the valence band energy ($E_v$), as shown in Fig. 10(a). The $E_v$ of α-AgVO$_3$ is calculated to be 6.61 eV via subtracting the width of the UPS spectra from the excitation energy of 21.22 eV [47]. The conduction band energy ($E_c$) is thus estimated at...
4.05 eV from the equation: $E_c = E_v - E_g$. Considering the reference standard for which 0 eV vs. normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) equals −4.44 eV vs. $E_{av\nu}$ (vacuum level), the $E_v$ and $E_c$ of α-AgVO$_3$ are converted to −0.39 and 2.17 eV (vs. NHE), respectively [48]. The energy position of α-AgVO$_3$ is illustrated in Fig. 10(b) [49], which provides clear guidance for the subsequent proposal of the photocatalytic mechanism.

4.2 Role of GO/α-AgVO$_3$ heterojunction

According to the structure and morphology characterization and simulated morphology in Section 3, the α-AgVO$_3$ (002)//GO (002) heterojunction is successfully constructed in the GO/α-AgVO$_3$ photocatalyst. The surface chemical composition and the interaction of GO and α-AgVO$_3$ were further confirmed by XPS. The full range XPS spectrum in Fig. 11(a) confirms the presence of C, Ag, V, and O elements in the 0.5 GO/α-AgVO$_3$ without impurities. The atomic ratio of Ag, V, and O is 12.1%:22.0%:65.9%, which is close to the stoichiometric ratio of α-AgVO$_3$. The high-resolution XPS spectra of Ag 3d, V 2p, and O 1s are shown in Figs. 11(b)–11(d), respectively. In Fig. 11(b), the peaks that emerged at the binding energies of 367.5 and 373.5 eV correspond to Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ and Ag 3d$_{3/2}$ orbits, respectively. The XPS spectra of V 2p show two specific peaks of pentavalent vanadium at the binding energies of 516.4 and 523.8 eV [50], as shown in Fig. 11(c). The O 1s spectrum shown in Fig. 11(d) can be split into three peaks at 529.9, 530.4, and 532.1 eV, which are attributed to the binding energies of lattice oxygen, oxygen defects, and adsorbed oxygen species, respectively [51]. After the introduction of GO, a new C = O peak appears at 533.4 eV in the O 1s spectrum [52]. More importantly, peaks of Ag 3d, V 2p, and O 1s in 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 GO/α-AgVO$_3$, respectively, all shift towards the high binding energy, indicating that the electron migration from α-AgVO$_3$ to GO nanosheet happens, which can improve the separation efficiency of photogenerated carriers and enhance photocatalytic performance [13]. The photoluminescence spectra with excited wavelength of 400 nm are shown in Fig. 12. In comparison with pure α-AgVO$_3$ nanorods, the PL emission intensities of 0.5 and 1.0 GO/α-AgVO$_3$ nanocomposites are significantly weaker, demonstrating that the 0.5 and 1.0 GO/α-AgVO$_3$ nanocomposites own better separation ability for photogenerated charges, which will enhance the photocatalytic activity. The 2.0 GO/α-AgVO$_3$ nanocomposite with higher GO content shows a relatively higher PL emission intensity and

![Fig. 11](a) Full-scale XPS survey spectrum for 0.5 GO/α-AgVO$_3$ and the XPS spectra of (b) Ag 3d, (c) V 2p, and (d) O 1s for GO/α-AgVO$_3$ with different GO contents (0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 wt%).
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lower photocatalytic efficiency, which may be due to the fast recombination of photo-induced electron–hole pairs on the aggregated GO without forming the heterostructure.

4.3 Mechanism for the enhanced photocatalytic performance of the GO/α-AgVO₃

Based on the foregoing analysis, the possible mechanism of the GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalyst is proposed and illustrated in Fig. 13. When the visible-light shines on the surface of GO/α-AgVO₃ photocatalyst, the electrons (e⁻) at valance band (VB) are excited to conduct band (CB), leaving an equal number of holes (h⁺) at VB, as presented in Eq. (3) [53]. The electrons in the conduction band of α-AgVO₃ can be transferred to the surface of GO through the α-AgVO₃ (002)//GO (002) heterojunction, promoting the separation efficiency of photogenerated carriers. Taking advantage of the conductivity of GO’s π–π graphitic carbon network, the lifetime of electrons can be further prolonged [54], which is helpful to improve the photocatalytic performance. Then, the h⁺ at VB can produce hydroxyl radicals (•OH) by reacting with H₂O, while the e⁻ at GO can generate superoxide radicals [13]. These radicals and holes with high oxidative activity can degrade organic pollutants like RhB efficiently by the following possible equations:

$$\alpha\text{-AgVO}_3 + hv \rightarrow \alpha\text{-AgVO}_3(e^- + h^+) \quad (3)$$
$$e^- (\alpha\text{-AgVO}_3) + GO \rightarrow \alpha\text{-AgVO}_3 + \text{injected e}^- (GO) \quad (4)$$
$$\alpha\text{-AgVO}_3(h^+) + H_2O \rightarrow \text{•OH} + H^+ \quad (5)$$
$$e^- (GO/\alpha\text{-AgVO}_3) + O_2 \rightarrow \text{•O}^2^- \quad (6)$$
$$\text{RhB} + \alpha\text{-AgVO}_3(h^+)\cdot\text{•O}^2^-/\text{•OH} \rightarrow$$
intermediates → CO₂ + H₂O \quad (7)

Therefore, suitable band structure and bandgap for visible-light absorption are fundamental factors for visible-light-responsive photocatalyst, and their photocatalytic performance can be further improved by inhibiting the recombination of photogenerated carriers through heterostructure design.

5 Conclusions

In summary, novel visible-light-responded photocatalysts including 0.5 wt% GO/α-AgVO₃, 1.0 wt% GO/α-AgVO₃, 2.0 wt% GO/α-AgVO₃, and pure α-AgVO₃ were successfully synthesized by a facile in-situ coprecipitation method, and their structure, morphology, photocatalytic performance, and mechanism were revealed. SEM analyses indicate that the as-prepared photocatalysts have a flower-like morphology, wherein the petals consist of α-AgVO₃ nanorods with a length of a few microns and then GO nanosheets are uniformly covered on these nanorods. XRD analyses demonstrate that α-AgVO₃ is well crystallized in a monoclinic α phase with space group C₂/c. Through the morphology and theoretical XRD pattern stimulation, it can be confirmed that the α-AgVO₃ nanorods prefer to grow along the c-axis. Raman, FTIR, and XPS spectra analyses demonstrate the presence of GO nanosheets, and finally, confirm the formation of α-AgVO₃ (002)//GO (002) heterojunction. The successful introduction of GO nanosheets and construction of heterostructure might have unique effect on the photocatalytic performance.

Compared with pure α-AgVO₃ and other SVOs, 0.5 GO/α-AgVO₃ displays the optimal photodegradation efficiency of 90% RhB removal with the maximum rate constant of 0.0584 min⁻¹ which is tens of times higher than that of pure α-AgVO₃ and other SVOs.
Proper bandgap and efficient photocarrier separation play significant roles in high photocatalytic performance of GO/α-AgVO₃. Electronic structure and bandgap calculations by HSE03 functional and optical property analysis reveal that α-AgVO₃ is a direct-gap semiconductor with a band gap of about 2.56 eV, which is suitable for visible-light absorption and irradiation. Then, the GO and α-AgVO₃ heterojunction facilitates the transfer of photogenerated electrons, which overcomes the obstacle of rapid recombination of carriers and further boosts the photocatalytic activity. Moreover, some active species generated by the photochemical reaction can help degrade organic pollutants like RhB. With the advantage of suitable band gap, efficient photocarrier separation, and superior photocatalytic performance, GO/α-AgVO₃ is a promising photocatalyst for the visible-light degradation of pollutants and water environmental protection.
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