Major Role of Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor and Src Kinases in Promoting Oxidative Stress-dependent Loss of Adhesion and Apoptosis in Epithelial Cells*\(^{a}\)
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A growing body of evidence suggests that reactive oxygen species are critical components of cell signaling pathways, in particular regulating protein phosphorylation events. Here, we show that oxidative stress in response to hydrogen peroxide treatment of human epithelial cells induces robust tyrosine phosphorylation on multiple proteins. Using an anti-phosphotyrosine purification and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry approach, we have identified many of these H\(_{2}\)O\(_{2}\)-induced tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins. Importantly, we show that epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and Src are the primary upstream kinases mediating these events through their redox activation. The finding that many of the identified proteins have functions in cell adhesion, cell-cell junctions, and the actin cytoskeleton prompted us to examine stress-induced changes in adhesion. Immunofluorescence analysis showed that H\(_{2}\)O\(_{2}\) alters cell adhesion structures and the actin cytoskeleton causing loss of adhesion and apoptosis. Remarkably, these cellular changes could be attenuated by inhibition of EGFR and Src, identifying these kinases as targets to block oxidative damage. In summary, our data demonstrate that EGFR and Src together play a central role in oxidative-stress-induced phosphorylation, which in turn results in loss of adhesion, morphological changes, and cell damage in epithelial cells. These data also provide a general model for redox signaling in other cell systems.

Protein phosphorylation is a key post-translational modification event that plays a critical role in regulating diverse cellular processes such as signal transduction, metabolism, differentiation, cell division, movement, and cell survival (1). In mammalian cells, one-third of expressed proteins are thought to be phosphorylated on serine and threonine and less commonly on tyrosine residues (2). Tyrosine phosphorylation in particular plays a critical role in growth factor signal transduction, and unregulated tyrosine phosphorylation by oncogenic tyrosine kinases has been implicated in cancer through the generation of inappropriate proliferative and survival signals (3). Reactive oxygen species (ROS)\(^{2}\) are known to be produced by and regulate a multitude of cellular and physiological processes, including cell signaling. They include several species such as hydrogen peroxide (H\(_{2}\)O\(_{2}\)), the hydroxyl radical (‘OH), superoxide (O\(_{2}^{−}\)), and singlet oxygen and are interconvertible. For example, O\(_{2}^{−}\) is generated by the action of enzymes such as NADPH oxidase, xanthine oxidase, lipooxygenase, cyclooxygenase, cytochrome P450, or through UV irradiation and can be converted into H\(_{2}\)O\(_{2}\) and O\(_{2}\) by the action of superoxide dismutases. ROS were originally recognized as active products of the mammalian host defense mechanism involved in the respiratory burst in phagocytic neutrophils. ROS have also been reported to promote tumorogenesis. For example, ROS-induced tumorigenesis has been linked to oxidative DNA damage through generation of the DNA oxidative product 8-oxo-deoxyguanosine, a highly mutagenic agent. In addition, ROS may stabilize and activate HIF-1\(\alpha\), a transcription factor controlling angiogenesis under normoxic or hypoxic conditions (4). ROS are also thought to contribute to cancer through interference with multiple signaling systems, including pathways involving nuclear transcription factor \(\kappa\)B, activated protein-1, phospholipase A\(_{2}\), mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), Akt, and Jun kinase.

Among the ROS, H\(_{2}\)O\(_{2}\) is the major cellular form because of its stability. It has been reported to play a key role in reversible protein phosphorylation through inactivation of protein-tyrosine phosphatases and the lipid phosphatase and tumor suppressor PTEN; this is by direct oxidation of their catalytic cys-
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teine residues (5–11). As such, H₂O₂ has been recognized as an important intracellular messenger in growth factor and cytokine signal transduction (12–16). Indeed, the H₂O₂ generated transiently in response to peptide growth factor stimulation appears to be permissive for transmission of tyrosine phosphorylation-based signals and is thought to be generated through a mechanism requiring sequential activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, βPix, Rac1, and NADPH oxidase, with the resulting O₂⁻ converted to H₂O₂ by superoxide dismutase (17).

In this study, we identify and characterize proteins that are phosphorylated in response to treatment with H₂O₂ and relate these protein changes to the biological effects of oxidative stress. An SV40 large T antigen-immortalized human mammary luminal epithelial cell line, HB4a, was chosen as a cellular model for these studies (18). HB4a cells display several markers of the luminal epithelial cell type, have a characteristic luminal epithelial cellular morphology in culture, and are the cell type from which most breast cancers arise. In these cells, H₂O₂ treatment induced the activation of several signaling pathways and generated a robust but transient tyrosine phosphorylation of multiple proteins. An immunoprecipitation (IP) strategy was used to purify tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins that were subsequently identified by LC-MS/MS. Our work shows that many cytoskeletal and adhesion-related proteins are targets of H₂O₂-induced tyrosine phosphorylation in these cells and that the Src and EGFR kinases are critical upstream regulators of these events. Importantly, we link the modification of these proteins to reduced cell adhesion, altered cytoskeletal organization, and increased apoptosis in response to oxidative stress.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture, Treatment, and Lysis—The luminal epithelial cell line HB4a was derived from reduction mammoplasty tissue from A. Di Cristofano (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York) and were maintained in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s media supplemented with 15% (v/v) fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin/gentamycin (Irvine Scientific, CA), and 10 ng/ml EGF (Promega). Cells were maintained as described previously (19). Wild-type murine embryonic fibroblasts were a gift from M. O’Hare (Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, London). Cells were maintained as described previously (20). Primary antibodies used with dilutions and sources were as follows: α-Ser(P)-473-Akt (1:2000), α-Akt (1:2000), anti-p38 (1:1000), α-Thr(P)-180/Tyr(P)-182-p38 (1:1000), α-JNK (1:1000), α-Thr(P)-183/Tyr(P)-185-JNK (1:1000), α-β-catenin (1:1000), α-E-cadherin (1:1000), α-Tyr(P)-416-Src (1:2000), and α-Tyr(P)-527-Src (1:2000) (all from Cell Signaling Technology); α-activated-ERK1/2 (1:5000) and α-ERK1/2 (1:5000) (both from Promega); α-p130Cas (1:1000), α-cortactin (1:1000), and α-FAK (1:2000) (all from BD Transduction Laboratories); α-EGFR (1:1000) and α-pY (pY-99; 1:5000) (both from Santa Cruz Biotechnology); α-β-actin (1:1000; Sigma); α-Prdx3 (1:2000; Lab Frontier); and α-pY1197-EGFR (1:500; Millipore), α-pY421-cortactin (1:1000; Novus Biologicals) and α-pY118-paxillin (1:1000; BD Transduction Laboratories). For small scale IPs, proteins were immunoprecipitated from 500 μg of cell lysate using 5 μg of antibody and 40 μl of a 50% slurry of protein A-Sepharose for 16 h at 4 °C. Immune complexes were then washed three times in lysis buffer and boiled in Laemmli buffer prior to immunoblotting.

Large Scale Tyrosine-phosphorylated Protein Enrichment—Exponentially growing HB4a cells (40 × 10⁶ cells) were either left untreated or treated with 0.5 mM H₂O₂ for 20 min followed by washing in cold PBS and lysis in chilled lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA plus protease inhibitors, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate). Lysates were precleared by incubation with 500 μl of protein A-agarose for 4 h at 4 °C on a rotator and then incubated overnight with 300 μl of agarose-conjugated 4G10 α-Tyr(P) monoclonal antibody beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). IPs were washed extensively with lysis buffer, and proteins were eluted with a 1-bed volume of 100 mM phenyl phosphate. The elution step was repeated, and the two eluates were pooled. Samples were precipitated by adding 1 volume of 100% trichloroacetic acid (at −20 °C) to 4 volumes of sample with incubation for 10 min at 4 °C. Precipitated protein was recovered by centrifugation at 14,000 × g for 5 min, and the pellet washed twice with ice-cold acetone. Air-dried pellets were resuspended in 100 μl of Laemmli buffer and boiled; proteins were resolved by 12% one-dimensional SDS-PAGE, and gels were stained with colloidal Coomassie Blue G-250, as described (20).

Protein Identification by LC-MS/MS—Excised gel pieces were subjected to trypsin digestion as described previously (20). Peptide extracts were vacuum-dried and resuspended in 6 μl of double deionized water containing 0.1% formic acid. LC-MS/MS was performed by injecting 5 μl of digested peptides onto a reversed phase capillary column (PepMap 75 μm × 150 mm, LC Packings) using a nanoflow high pressure liquid chromatography system (Ultimate, Dionex) connected on-line to an electrospray ionization Q-TOF 1 mass spectrometer (Waters). The flow rate was 300 nl/min, and separation was performed by gradient elution from 5 to 50% solution B (80% (v/v) acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) for 60 min followed by an
isotropic step at 100% solution B for 10 min. Balance solution A was 0.1% formic acid. Data-dependent acquisition was used with mass spectrometry scans set every second (m/z 350–1500), and MS/MS performed on automatically selected peptide ions, also for 1 s (m/z 50–2000, continuum mode), using the function switching in MassLynx version 4.0 software. Raw MS/MS data were smoothed (Savitzky Golay, two channels twice) and centroided (at 80%) and peaks lists generated using MassLynx software. Peak lists were submitted for data base searching using Mascot (version 2.2.04). Searches were performed against the IPI Human Database (release version 3.44; May 2008; 72,346 sequence entries). Parameters for protein searches were as follows: enzyme (trypsin and porcine); mis-cleavages (2); charge of ions (+2 and +3); mass tolerance of precursor peptide ion (100 ppm); and mass tolerance for MS/MS fragment ions (0.8 mmu). Carbamidomethylation of precursor peptide ion (100 ppm); and mass tolerance for MS/MS fragment ions (0.8 mmu). Carbamidomethylation of cysteines was considered as a fixed modification, whereas oxidation of methionine and pyroglutamic acid, N-acetylation, and phosphorylation of tyrosine, serine, and threonine were considered as variable modifications. Positive protein identifications were called when at least two peptide sequences matched an entry and Mascot scores were above the significance threshold e.g. splice isoforms, processed precursors) matched the same set of peptides, all protein isoforms returned from the search were reported. To evaluate the false discovery rate, data were researched against a randomized “decoy” IPI human data base using Mascot, employing identical search parameters and validation criteria. A false discovery rate of <1% was determined for the combined dataset. Phosphorylation sites were evaluated using Scaffold software version 2.0. Phosphopeptide identifications were accepted when the Mascot score was above the significance threshold value at \( p = 0.05 \). When proteins of overlapping sequence identity (e.g. splice isoforms, processed precursors) matched the same set of peptides, all protein isoforms returned from the search were reported. To evaluate the false discovery rate, data were researched against a randomized “decoy” IPI human data base using Mascot, employing identical search parameters and validation criteria. A false discovery rate of <1% was determined for the combined dataset. Phosphorylation sites were evaluated using Scaffold software version 2.0. Phosphopeptide identifications were accepted when the Mascot score was above the significance threshold value at \( p = 0.05 \). When proteins of overlapping sequence identity (e.g. splice isoforms, processed precursors) matched the same set of peptides, all protein isoforms returned from the search were reported.

**RESULTS**

Hydrogen Peroxide Induces Tyrosine Phosphorylation and Activation of Multiple Signaling Pathways in Human Mammary Luminal Epithelial Cells—Our goal was to examine targets of ROS-induced tyrosine phosphorylation in a relevant epithelial cell model. We chose the human mammary luminal epithelial cell line HB4a, as it is ideal for examining early molecular events associated with breast cancer formation because the majority of breast cancers arise from the luminal epithelial cell type. In previous work, we have used this model to examine how overexpression of the receptor tyrosine kinase ErbB2 results in oncogenic signaling (19, 21, 22) and how oxidative stress alters the human mammary luminal epithelial cell proteome in an ErbB2-dependent manner (20). Here, we expand on this work by studying in more detail the signaling events associated with oxidative stress.
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Previous studies using H$_2$O$_2$ to treat different cell types have reported nonlethal cellular responses in the range 0.1–1 mM H$_2$O$_2$. Here, we tested a wider range of doses and treated HB4a cells for different times to find a maximal response in terms of survival.

To enrich the H$_2$O$_2$-induced tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins for mass spectrometry-based identification, a large scale IP approach was adopted using agarose-conjugated α-phosphotyrosine (Tyr(P)) antibody and a phenyl phosphate elution step. Starting with ~1 × 10$^8$ cells (18 mg of total protein), the purification yielded 54 μg of protein (0.3% of total) from H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells (0.5 mM; 20 min) versus 4.5 μg (0.025% of total) from untreated cells. Purification and one-dimensional gel separation of tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins are shown in Fig. 1B. LC-MS/MS and data base searching identified 85 proteins and 8 previously reported phosphorylation sites (supplemental Table 1 and supplemental material). These sites were as follows: p120 catenin Tyr(P)-228 (Src kinase phosphorylation site); cortactin Ser(P)-405, Ser(P)-418, and Tyr(P)-421 (the latter is an Src kinase phosphorylation site); epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) Thr(P)-693 and Tyr(P)-1197 (the latter is an autophosphorylation site); paxillin Tyr(P)-118 (FAK phosphorylation site); and tight junction protein-2/ZO-2 Tyr(P)-1118. Importantly, nearly half of the identified proteins are reported to be tyrosine-phosphorylated in the SwissProt, PhoSite, and/or PhosphoSite Databases, confirming the effectiveness of the enrichment strategy. These included the following: receptor tyrosine kinases EGFR, ephrin type A receptor 2, and epithelial discoidin domain-containing receptor 1/DDR1; the nonreceptor tyrosine kinases FAK and PYK2; tyrosine phosphatase SHPTP2; and proteins involved in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and adhesion (Abl interactor 1 isoform 2, β-actin, actin-associated proteins, and several actin binding proteins).

Identification of H$_2$O$_2$-induced Tyrosine-phosphorylated Proteins—To enrich the H$_2$O$_2$-induced tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins, the IP was followed by immunoblotting with anti-α-actin antibody, and the blots were probed with antibodies specific to tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins. The bands were cut out of the gel and digested with trypsin, and the resulting peptides were analyzed by LC-MS/MS and compared with the SwissProt and PhoSite databases. Additionally, Western blot analysis was performed to confirm the identity of the tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins.

The results suggest that oxidative stress induces global tyrosine phosphorylation and activates multiple signaling pathways involved in regulating cellular proliferation and survival.

**Figure 1.** Effect of H$_2$O$_2$ on signaling in HB4a and purification of tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins. A, total cell lysates (TCL) were prepared from HB4a cells treated with 0.5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ for the indicated times. Protein (30 μg) was separated by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE, transferred to Immobilon P membrane, and probed with specific antibodies against the indicated proteins and phosphorylation motifs. B, HB4a cells were either left untreated or treated with 0.5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ for 20 min followed by preclearing with protein A and enrichment with α-actin (Tyr(P)) mouse monoclonal antibody (4G10). 10 μg of total cell lysates, 10 μg of protein A precleared lysate (PC), 1 μg of immunoprecipitated protein (IP) from untreated cells, and 5 μg of affinity-purified protein from H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells were resolved by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with α-actin(Tyr(P)) antibody pY99 (left panel) and visualization of proteins by colloidal Coomassie Blue G-250 (CCB) staining (right panel).
Validation and Functional Classification of Identified Proteins—Selected H$_2$O$_2$-induced phosphorylated proteins were further confirmed by immunoblotting of small scale α-Tyr(P) IPs with specific antibodies. The known Tyr(P) proteins p130CAS, β-catenin, cortactin, EGFR, E-cadherin, and FAK were shown to be present in IPs (Fig. 2A). The redox regulator Prdx3 (peroxiredoxin 3), a protein not been reported to be tyrosine-phosphorylated, was also enriched in IPs from H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells. Although we were unable to confirm whether Prdx3 was tyrosine-phosphorylated in response to oxidative stress, its enrichment in the IPs is intriguing given its role in protecting proteins from oxidative damage. Interestingly, a number of known Src kinase substrates (e.g. FAK, p130CAS, β-catenin, p120-catenin, cortactin, EGFR, and paxillin) were identified, prompting us to examine if Src itself was present in the IPs. Immunoblotting using antibodies against the activated form of c-Src (α-Tyr(P)-416-Src) confirmed that this was the case and that Src is activated in H$_2$O$_2$-treated HB4a cells (Fig. 2A).

Functional annotation of the identified proteins revealed that around 60% are reported to be associated with the actin cytoskeleton, focal adhesions, cell-cell junctions, and cell motility (Fig. 2B). This suggests that H$_2$O$_2$ has profound effects on the actin cytoskeleton, adhesion, and/or intercellular connections (see below), as suggested in previous work (25–27). We acknowledge that the presence of enriched proteins using this strategy does not directly prove that they are tyrosine-phosphorylated and that associated proteins will also be enriched. Indeed, an enrichment of complexes was evident from searching the STRING protein interaction data base using the identified proteins (including Src) as input (Fig. 3A). Using the “medium” confidence level defined in the software, there is evidence that 84% of these proteins can interact with one or more of the other proteins. Src kinase interacted with the highest number of input proteins (22 interactions) followed by β-actin/ENSP00000349960 (21 interactions), EGFR (19 interactions), tight junction protein 1/TJP1/ZO-1 (18 interactions), and E-cadherin/UVO (17 interactions). The Src kinase interactors and their “evidenced” connectivities are shown in Fig. 3B. Although these interactions may not all occur in H$_2$O$_2$-treated HB4a cells, these findings suggest that many of the identified proteins occur within signaling complexes and networks regulating the cytoskeleton and adhesion and that Src and EGFR play a major role in catalyzing tyrosine phosphorylation events that regulate these networks.

Roles of Src and EGFR Kinases in H$_2$O$_2$-dependent Tyrosine Phosphorylation—To address the possible roles of Src and EGFR in eliciting peroxide-induced tyrosine phosphorylation in these cells, we used inhibitors PP1 and AG1478 to examine the effects of reducing the Src and EGFR kinase activities, respectively. Pretreatment of cells with either PP1 or AG1478 significantly reduced H$_2$O$_2$-induced tyrosine phosphorylation, implicating both kinases as mediators of peroxide-induced phosphorylation (Fig. 4A). Two prominent phosphorylated bands of ~41 and 45 kDa were retained, however, in the PP1- and AG1478-treated samples, respectively, suggesting some specificity in the response to the different inhibitors. As alluded to above, Src kinase was activated in response to H$_2$O$_2$ treatment as shown by its active site (Tyr-416) phosphorylation. Notably, AG1478 treatment, as well as PP1 treatment, resulted in ablation of the Tyr(P)-416 signal, with no effect on phosphorylation of the Src kinase Tyr-527 inhibitory site (Fig. 4A). Similar results were observed in MEFs, where the H$_2$O$_2$-induced tyrosine phosphorylation and Src kinase activation were appreciably inhibited by PP1 (Fig. 4A, right panel). However, AG1478 was less potent in these cells, and higher doses of H$_2$O$_2$ (2–10 mM) were required to induce robust tyrosine phosphorylation. PP1 treatment also reduced Akt, ERK1/2, and p38 activation in response to H$_2$O$_2$, albeit modestly (Fig. 4B), suggesting an upstream role for Src in mediating activation of these known survival, proliferative, and stress-activated pathways in response to oxidative stress. PP1 pretreatment also resulted in loss of the adhesion proteins and Src substrates β-catenin, cortactin, FAK, and p130CAS from α-Tyr(P) IPs from H$_2$O$_2$-treated HB4a cells.
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Finally, the effects of H$_2$O$_2$ and inhibitor treatments on identified signaling events and tyrosine-phosphorylated protein recovery. A, total cell lysates (TCL) prepared from untreated and treated HB4a cells (left panel) and murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) (right panel) were immunoblotted with antibodies against the indicated proteins and their phosphorylated forms. Cells were left untreated or pretreated with 2.5 $\mu$M Src kinase inhibitor PP1 or 5 $\mu$M EGFR inhibitor AG1478 for 1 h, prior to treatment with 0.5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ for 20 min. B, activation of signaling kinases in response to H$_2$O$_2$ and the effect of PP1 pretreatment. C, effect of Src kinase inhibition on recovery of tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins. Small scale $\alpha$-Tyr(P) IPs from untreated and PP1-pre-treated and H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells were immunoblotted for the indicated proteins. D, effect of H$_2$O$_2$ treatment and Src and EGFR kinase inhibition on phosphorylation of EGFR at Tyr(P)-1197, cortactin at Tyr(P)-421, and paxillin at Tyr(P)-118. FAK, paxillin, and p130CAS were immunoprecipitated from lysates and IPs immunoblotted with anti-Tyr(P) (pTyr) antibody.

FIGURE 3. Interaction networks of identified proteins. A, proteins identified in supplemental Table 1 and Src kinase were imported into the EMBL Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Proteins (STRING) database, and an interaction map was generated. Each node represents a protein entry. Interactions or edges were generated from experimental, text mining, and database evidences using the medium confidence level. Thicker lines represent higher confidence interactions. B, schematic illustrating the 22 Src-interacting input proteins identified.
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treated cells, presumably as a result of dephosphorylation and disruption of complexes in the absence of Src activity (Fig. 4C). Finally, the effects of H$_2$O$_2$ and inhibitor treatments on identified phosphorylation sites EGFR-Tyr(P)-1197, cortactin-Tyr(P)-421, and paxillin-Tyr(P)-118 were examined by immunoblotting with phospho-specific antibodies (Fig. 4D). H$_2$O$_2$ treatment resulted in increased phosphorylation of all three sites, which was partially or completely blocked by either PP1 or AG1478 pretreatment. Paxillin-Tyr(P)-118 phosphorylation was only reduced by PP1 treatment. In addition, tyrosine phosphorylation of E-cadherin was shown by immunoprecipitating the protein and blotting for Tyr(P) (Fig. 4D, lower panel). Taken together, these data show that the Src and EGFR kinases are activated by H$_2$O$_2$ and suggest that they play a direct role in mediating the cellular response to oxidative stress through phosphorylation of the identified targets.

Role of Src Kinase in H$_2$O$_2$-induced Loss of Cell Adhesion, Morphology, and Cell Viability—The high proportion of proteins identified with roles in cellular adhesion and cytoskeletal regulation prompted us to examine the effect of H$_2$O$_2$ on cell-cell and cell-substratum adhesion events and cell morphology. We hypothesized that H$_2$O$_2$-induced tyrosine phosphorylation through Src may affect the function of proteins involved in cytoskeletal regulation and adhesion, particularly because some of these are known Src substrates and because Src is a regulator of cell adhesion and motility (28). Immunofluorescence staining confirmed the robust increase in tyrosine phosphorylation in response to H$_2$O$_2$, which was potently inhibited by PP1 pretreatment (Fig. 5, A–C). The tyrosine phosphorylation signal was primarily localized to the cell cortex and regions of cell-cell contacts in untreated cells, whereas H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells were heavily stained in all areas but the nuclei. H$_2$O$_2$ treatment resulted in changes to the actin cytoskeleton; in control cells most actin filaments were localized close to cell-cell adhesions, whereas in H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells, disorganized actin filaments were observed throughout the cytoplasm of most cells, where there was a loss of uniform cell shape (Fig. 5, D–F). Staining for Tyr(P)-416-Src was increased as expected in H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells, reduced by PP1 pretreatment, and was uniformly localized with some discrete puncta (Fig. 5, G–I). H$_2$O$_2$-induced and PP1-sensitive phosphorylation of the focal adhesion integrin-regulated adaptor protein paxillin at its Tyr(P)-118 FAK phosphorylation site was also confirmed by staining (Fig. 5, J–L), validating the MS/MS-based identification of this site (supplemental Table 1). In untreated cells, punctate Tyr(P)-118-paxillin staining was more evident at cell edges and indicated sites of focal adhesions. H$_2$O$_2$ treatment appeared to increase diffuse cytoplasmic staining of Tyr(P)-118-paxillin, whereas PP1 pretreatment resulted in staining only at the periphery of cell clusters. As expected, expression of the cell-cell adhesion protein E-cadherin was localized predominantly to regions of cell-cell contact in untreated cells (Fig. 5M). This localized staining was reduced in response to H$_2$O$_2$, but it was rescued by pretreatment with the PP1 inhibitor (Fig. 5, N and O). Similarly, staining for the tight junction protein ZO-1 revealed more intense punctate staining in areas of cell-cell contact, representing tight junctions, and this staining was significantly reduced in H$_2$O$_2$-treated cells and rescued by PP1 pretreatment (Fig. 5, P–R). Together, these data indicate that oxidative stress of epithelial cells results in a moderate alteration of the actin cytoskeleton and cell morphology and a substantial loss of normal cell-cell contacts. It must be noted that these immunofluorescence experiments required cells to be attached to the substratum, although H$_2$O$_2$ causes significant...
loss of adhesion. We therefore propose that the observed events occur prior to de-adhesion as part of the response to oxidative stress.

We further examined the effect of peroxide and Src or EGFR inhibition on cell adhesion itself. HB4a cells were pretreated with PP1 or AG1478 and then treated with peroxide or left untreated and plated onto substrate for 1 and 4 h followed by gently washing to remove unbound cells. The remaining adherent cells were counted. The results showed that H$_2$O$_2$ treatment significantly reduced the adhesive capability of cells and that this effect was partially dependent upon Src and EGFR kinase activity (Fig. 6A). This suggests that peroxide-induced Src and EGFR activation decrease adhesive capability. Further experiments to determine the effect of peroxide on cell viability

**FIGURE 5.** H$_2$O$_2$ induces morphological and protein localization changes in HB4a cells. HB4a cells seeded onto coverslips were either left untreated or treated with 0.5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ for 20 min or pretreated with 2.5 μM PP1 for 1 h and then with H$_2$O$_2$, for 20 min before fixation and staining for Tyr(P) (pTyr), F-actin, pY416-Src, pY118-paxillin, E-cadherin, and ZO-1. Images for each set of fields for each protein were taken using the same exposure times. Images are representative of at least five different fields in at least three independent experiments. Scale bar, 20 μm. Ctrl, control.

**FIGURE 6.** Effect of H$_2$O$_2$, Src, and EGFR inhibition on HB4a cell adhesion and viability. A, adhesion assays were performed where 5000 cells pre-treated with PP1, AG1478, and/or H$_2$O$_2$ or left untreated were plated into 96-well plates in medium containing 10% fetal calf serum at 37 °C. After 1 and 4 h, cells were gently washed three times, and the number of adherent cells was counted using a hemocytometer. The mean cell number of four independent assays is shown ± S.D. An unpaired Student’s t test was used to assess the significance of difference between treatments. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01. B, MTT-based viability assays were performed where 5000 HB4a cells were plated into 96-well plates in medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. After 24 h, the cells were pretreated with 2.5 μM PP1 for 1 h followed by treatment with 0.5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ for 20 min and 1 and 4 h or left untreated. Cells were incubated with MTT, and then DMSO was added and the plates were shaken for 20 min followed by measurement of the absorbance at 540 nm. Values were normalized against the untreated samples and are the average of four independent measurements ± S.D. C, MTT assays were performed essentially as described above, except that H$_2$O$_2$-containing media were replaced after 20 min with fresh medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (washout) and cells cultured for different time points up to 24 h prior to MTT assay.
were performed using an MTT assay that takes into account the loss of cells due to de-adhesion. Cell viability/cell adhesion was decreased to about 50% after 20 min, 25% after 1 h, and 20% after 4 h of H₂O₂ treatment, whereas PP1 pretreatment resulted in the maintenance of 100%, 85%, and 50% of viability/adhesion after 20 min and 1 and 4 h of treatment, respectively (Fig. 6B). This suggests that Src-dependent signaling may also play a role in mediating peroxide-induced cell death, as well as adhesion.

To test whether the observed effects on cell viability and morphology were a specific response to ROS-dependent signaling or a consequence of nonspecific toxicity, "washout" experiments were performed where H₂O₂-containing media were replaced after 20 min with fresh media without H₂O₂, and cell viability and morphology were assessed over 24 h. Cell viability was partially rescued (20–40% viability after 24 h) when H₂O₂ was removed and completely rescued (50–100%) in the cells pretreated with PP1 (Fig. 6C). Recovery of cell morphology after H₂O₂ washout was monitored by phase-contrast microscopy and F-actin staining (Fig. 7). The ordered morphology of cells was lost in response to H₂O₂ treatment, and this was restored 16 h after washout. Restoration of F-actin staining appeared to be more rapid, and cortical staining was restored by 8 h. These data support the conclusion that the observed effects are due to ROS-specific signaling events and not due to irreversible toxicity.

Role of Src Kinase in H₂O₂-induced Apoptosis—To explore further a possible role of Src activation in peroxide-induced cell death, several markers of apoptosis (cytochrome c release from mitochondria, activation of caspase-3, and decreased Bcl-2 expression) were examined by immunofluorescence. In response to peroxide, there was a decrease in fluorescence intensity for cytochrome c resulting from its release from mitochondria and indicative of apoptosis (Fig. 8). Cleaved caspase-3, a mediator of apoptosis, accumulated in the cytosol of some cells following H₂O₂ treatment, although staining of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2, which governs the permeability of the mitochondrial outer membrane, displayed decreased fluorescence intensity. These observations indicated that a certain level of apoptosis occurs in cells exposed to H₂O₂. In contrast, in cells pretreated with PP1 prior to H₂O₂ treatment, cytochrome c, cleaved caspase-3, and Bcl-2 staining were similar to that found in untreated cells (Fig. 8, right panels). These data suggest that blocking Src kinase activity suppresses oxidant-induced apoptosis in these cells, which may be a consequence of tyrosine hyper-phosphorylation.

**DISCUSSION**

ROS play critical roles in regulating cell behavior through modification of proteins. However, compared with knowledge accumulated from other cell regulatory systems, relatively little is known about the extent of redox modifications of signaling proteins. In this study, we have shown that oxidative stress of human mammary luminal epithelial cells induces a robust and transient increase in protein tyrosine phosphorylation, and we have identified a subset of these proteins. Almost 60% of them are known to be involved in modulating the cytoskeleton and cell adhesion, and we provide evidence for the existence of multiple signaling complexes controlling these processes. We fur-
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ther showed that oxidative stress alters the actin cytoskeleton, causing disruption of cell-cell and cell-matrix contacts. H$_2$O$_2$ treatment also elicited pro-apoptotic changes in cells that were still attached to the substratum and that may contribute to detachment. Our most important finding was that the Src and EGFR kinases (present within these complexes) play a central role in eliciting H$_2$O$_2$-induced tyrosine phosphorylation, which we link directly to changes in cell morphology, loss of cell-cell adhesions, cell detachment, and apoptosis.

Our data suggest that loss of adhesion involves tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent disruption of several types of epithelial adhesion complexes as follows: E-cadherin-mediated cell-cell adherens junctions (AJs) in which catenins, a-actinin, and afadin link these junctions to the actin cytoskeleton; cell-cell tight junctions (TJs), where claudins and occludin are stabilized by proteins such as ZO-1/TJP1, ZO-2, and Par3, which help to maintain epithelial polarity and integrity; cell-matrix focal adhesions (FAs), where integrin heterodimers link extracellular matrix proteins with FAK, p130CAS, paxillin, and other proteins to regulate attachment-dependent survival, proliferation, and motility; signaling; and desmosome complexes where plakoglobin link cadherin dimers to intermediate filaments. Multiple components of these adhesion complexes were identified using the enrichment strategy described.

Src kinase family members and receptor tyrosine kinases such as EGFR have been implicating in regulating the assembly of and signaling events from epithelial AJs, TJs, and FAs. For example, Src and EGFR have been reported to phosphorylate and dissociate B-catenin and p120 catenin in AJs (29–31), and expression of oncogenic v-Src results in redistribution of E-cadherin and AJ disassembly (32). The re-organization and/or disassembly of AJs in our system is likely to occur through the same mechanism, i.e. oxidant-induced activation of Src and EGFR leading to hyper-phosphorylation of AJ proteins (E-cadherin, catenins, cortactin, and afadin), junctional disruption, and cell de-adhesion. A previous study also showed that overexpression of ephrin type A2 receptor (also identified herein) can destabilize AJs via a Src- and RhoA-dependent mechanism (33). Thus, hyper-activation of receptor tyrosine kinases other than EGFR may also promote loss of adhesion.

In tight junctions, the roles of Src and EGFR are less clear, although it has been shown that kinase-inactive Src delays oxidative stress-induced disruption of TJs (34), that EGF-stimulated, Src-dependent phosphorylation of Par3 at Tyr-1127 regulates TJ assembly (35), and that Src-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of ZO-1 and ZO-2 leads to disruption of TJ-protein interactions (36). Thus, it appears that H$_2$O$_2$ may cause the TJ disassembly observed here through Src activation and phosphorylation of junction proteins such as Par3 and ZO-1/2, which were identified here. In FAs, the interplay between extracellular matrix-integrin engagement and FAK and Src phosphorylation in coupling adhesion with survival and motility signaling has been intensely studied. However, little is known about the effects of ROS on FA complexes. ROS have been shown to promote FAK, paxillin, and p130CAS tyrosine phosphorylation in endothelial cells (37), supporting our findings in epithelial cells. Herein, paxillin phosphorylated at the Tyr-118 FAK site was delocalized from FAs in response to H$_2$O$_2$ and would be expected to promote de-adhesion, supporting previous work showing that lysyl oxidase facilitates migration of invasive breast cancer cells through an H$_2$O$_2$-mediated mechanism involving the FAK/Src signaling pathway (38). Oxidative stress is also known to modify the actin cytoskeleton in many cell types, and this has been characterized by fragmentation, patching, and oxidative modification of F-actin itself (reviewed in Ref. 39). Here, we observed subtle changes in F-actin and irregular cell morphology. Although the observed changes may be consistent with previous findings, we suggest that the outcome is dependent upon cell type and the effective ROS dose.

The interplay between Src family kinases and receptor tyrosine kinases is well established, and it is known that EGFR activity stimulates Src and vice versa (40, 41). Indeed, Src has been shown to associate with and phosphorylate EGFR at Tyr-845 (40). In our study, we observed that blockade of Src or EGFR kinase activity with PP1 and AG1478, respectively, could dramatically inhibit H$_2$O$_2$-induced tyrosine phosphorylation and alleviate H$_2$O$_2$-induced loss of cell adhesion. The fact that either inhibitor substantially reduced the signal suggests that these kinases do indeed cooperate with one another and/or share the same substrates. One key finding was that AG1478 treatment effectively blocked Src kinase activity induced by H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. This would place EGFR receptor activation upstream of Src, although the identification of multiple Src kinase substrates and interactors suggests that Src is the key regulator of multiple downstream effectors.

In terms of a mechanism by which H$_2$O$_2$ activates the EGFR and Src kinases, we propose that protein-tyrosine phosphatases with EGFR and/or Src as their substrates are targets of direct H$_2$O$_2$-mediated oxidative modification and inhibition (see Introduction). For EGFR, these may include PTP1B, SHPTP1, SHPTP2, Cdc25A, TC-PTP, and the receptor-type protein-tyrosine phosphatase z, which have all been reported to dephosphorylate the receptor. Although SHPTP2 was identified in our pulldowns, this protein-tyrosine phosphatase appears to play a positive role in growth factor signaling, possibly acting through dephosphorylation of receptor recruitment sites for RasGAP or the Tyr(P)-517 Src inhibitory site. Although this makes SHPTP2 a less likely target of oxidation and mediator of the observed effects, it may well regulate downstream AJ components directly, as shown for VE-cadherin complex components in endothelial cells (42). In the case of c-Src, our study supports previous work linking its activity with oxidative stress-induced signal transduction (43–47). Our data suggest a mechanism whereby a phosphatase for Tyr(P)-416 of Src is inhibited by H$_2$O$_2$, because we found no evidence of the altered phosphorylation of the Tyr-517 inhibitory site. However, engagement of the Src homology 2 domain of Src through binding to phosphorylated EGFR would also promote autophosphorylation at Tyr-416 by relieving an auto-inhibited conformation (48). This again implicates the hyper-phosphorylation of EGFR as the initiator of oxidant-dependent signaling, which is diversified through downstream Src activation (Fig. 9). Alternatively, a sulfhydryl-based structural modification has been proposed for the direct activation of Src in response to oxidants (49).

Finally, the findings of this study may have clinical implications in that Src or EGFR kinase inhibition may be useful in...
pathological conditions where oxidative stress is implicated. One such condition is myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury, where a shortage of blood supply to a region of tissue is followed by resumption of blood flow and tissue damage due to generation of ROS. Although the detailed mechanisms for ROS generation and induced tissue damage are unclear, Src has been implicated in this process (50). From our study, the roles of receptor tyrosine kinases and Src family kinases in ischemia-reperfusion injury warrant further investigation, and small molecule inhibitors of these kinases should be tested in animal models as potential therapeutic interventions. In epithelial cancers, the overexpression and constitutive activation of ErbB receptors acting in concert with Src may promote transformation and invasion through loss of cell-cell and cell-matrix contacts in a similar manner to that elicited by oxidative stress. However, in this setting, mechanisms that block apoptosis in response to loss of adhesion must come into play in order for cells to survive.
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