Modified Broström Repair With and Without Augmentation Using Suture Tape for Chronic Lateral Ankle Instability
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Objective: To compare the clinical and radiological outcome between the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape (MBA) and the modified Broström repair (MB) for patients with chronic lateral ankle instability.

Methods: A retrospective study was performed in Ningbo No. 6 Hospital. The study included 53 patients who underwent surgical treatment from March 2014 to July 2016 and were followed for 2 years. A total of 25 patients underwent modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape, and 28 patients were treated with modified Broström repair. Patients were evaluated using the American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Scale (AOFAS) hindfoot scale, the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM) score, range of motion (ROM), and the visual analogue scale (VAS). The talar tilt angle (TTA) and anterior talar translation (ATT) were used to evaluate the mechanical stability. All radiological outcomes were measured by two orthopaedic surgeons, with the measurements repeated 3 days later.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 26.6 ± 17.8 years in the MBA group and 28.1 ± 19.4 years in the MB group, and no statistical difference in preoperative data was found between two groups. There were significant differences before and after the operation within the groups. Both groups achieved satisfactory outcomes, and significant improvements (VAS, FAAM, AOFAS, TTA, and ATT) were observed between the 1-year follow-up and final follow-up (P < 0.05). The MBA group showed significant improvement in the FAAM Sport (87.1 ± 5.4 vs 78.2 ± 12.0, P = 0.001) and total scores (93.1 ± 2.3 vs 90.5 ± 5.1, P = 0.027) at the final follow-up compared with the MB group, and for the other outcomes, there were no significant differences between the two groups.

Conclusion: The modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tap for chronic lateral ankle instability achieves a better outcome; however, further research is necessary.
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Introduction

Ankle sprains are very common in the general community. Acute ankle sprains are mostly addressed with palliative treatment1, but many patients may develop chronic lateral ankle instability (CLAI), which will have an impact on their daily lives. As a result, operative intervention is sometimes required. Although CLAI has a high prevalence rate, the optimal surgical method remains controversial2. The Broström repair was first described in 1966. It involves direct repair of the torn ends of the anterior talofibular ligament and calcaneofibular ligament by midsubstance suturing3. Hamiton et al. described the...
modified Broström repair, which is more suitable for patients because it enables a full range of plantarflexion and dorsiflexion and normal peroneal function. The common advantages of this procedure include its simplicity and reliability, and it is performed with the original ligament tissue, which does not damage the normal tendon. Liu et al. reported that 25 patients with chronic lateral ankle instability who were treated with modified Broström repair yielded satisfactory outcome, and the signal/noise ratio of anterior talofibular ligaments was significantly decreased compared to preoperation (8.4 ± 2.4 vs 11.2 ± 3.4, P < 0.001). Maffulli et al. reported that 42 patients with chronic lateral ankle instability who underwent ankle arthroscopy and anterior talofibular Broström repair had significant improvements in ankle laxity, AOFAS scores, and Kaikkonen scales during 9-year follow-up visits.

Although the modified Broström repair is often used to treat CLAI, this procedure is not always the best surgical technique due to significant technical advances in surgical procedures for treating chronic lateral ligament instability and some reported complications, including ankle instability and wound infection. Petrella et al. reported on 55 patients with chronic lateral ankle instability who failed nonoperative management and underwent modified Broström repair. Although those patients showed significant improvement in the Foot and Ankle Outcome Scale after the operation (P < 0.001), 3 patients reported residual instability after a traumatic retear; there were 2 patients with superficial wound infection and there was one patient with temporary neuropraxia of the superficial peroneal nerve.

To maintain stability and reduce complications, there is an increasing interest in ligament augmentation using suture tape to treat this condition. Messer et al. reported that 21 patients with chronic lateral ankle instability treated using the modified Broström procedure with suture anchors had a significantly lower “overall satisfaction” score (P = 0.013), and that it results in a good or excellent outcome in the majority of patients with few complications. Byung-Ki Cho et al. reported that, in 34 young female patients, ligament repair using suture tape yielded satisfactory functional results. Li et al. reported on 62 patients with chronic lateral ankle instability treated with the Gould-modified Broström procedure with suture anchors who had anatomical ligament reconstruction and found that it yielded good clinical outcomes. Some researchers have also conducted biomechanical evaluations. Schuh et al. reported on 18 subjects randomly assigned to three different groups (traditional Broström [TB]; tape augmentation internal brace [IB]; and tape augmentation suture anchor repair [SA]), and the IB group had statistically superior performance. Waldrop et al. reported on 24 fresh-frozen cadaveric specimens divided into four groups (intact control group, traditional Broström repair, talus suture anchor modifications, and fibula suture anchor modifications of the Broström procedure), and found that the suture anchors groups (79.2 ± 34.3 N) had better strength than the Broström repair group (68.2 ± 27.8 N). With increased knowledge of chronic lateral instability and surgical treatment, the most suitable operative method for this disease remains controversial, and whether the modified Broström with suture tape yields better outcomes remains unclear.

Until now, few studies have compared the clinical and radiological outcomes between the modified Broström repair with and without augmentation using suture tape for patients with chronic lateral ankle instability, and considered whether the modified Broström repair with and without augmentation using suture tape can provide better clinical outcome and diminish complications. Therefore, the present study compares the outcomes of those two surgical methods and aims to provide surgeons with information regarding whether: (i) ligament augmentation using suture tape for chronic ankle instability in patients may provide better strength after surgery; (ii) using suture tape can prevent complications including ligament retear; (iii) the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape has a better long-term outcome.

Patients and Methods

Patients

This was a retrospective study, and all data were collected from clinical records. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) patients over the age of 18 with chronic lateral ankle instability; (ii) patients with at least 3 months of failed palliative therapy before the operation; and (iii) patients treated with modified Broström repair or modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) patients who previously underwent surgical treatment involving lateral ankle ligaments; (ii) patients with bilateral ankle instability; and (iii) patients with a history of infection of the ankle. Here, we enrolled 53 patients who suffered from chronic lateral ankle instability who received surgical intervention in our hospital during March 2014 to July 2016 with a follow-up period of 2 years. This study protocol was approved by the institutional review board in Ningbo No. 6 Hospital.

In this study, 25 patients underwent the modified Broström with augmentation using suture tape (MBA group) and the other 28 patients underwent the modified Broström repair (MB group). The mean age of the patients was 26.6 years (range, 16–50 years) in the MBA group and 28.1 years (range, 17–55 years) in the MB group. Clinical and radiological data were collected by case notes. There were no differences between the two groups in rehabilitation protocols.

Surgical Procedure

Modified Broström Repair with and Without Augmentation Using Suture Tape

Anesthesia and Position

All patients in the group received surgery under general anesthesia. After successful anesthesia, the patient was placed in the supine position in an orthopaedic bed.
Arthroscopy Debridement
A standard arthroscopy was performed to allow visualization and debridement of the joint, including osteophyte removal and synovectomies as needed.

Exposure
Along the anterior and inferior borders of the lateral malleolus, a curved skin incision was made.

Preparation of Holes
The first drill hole was made at the footprint of the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) on the talus. Once the hole was prepared, the 3.5-mm SwiveLock anchor with FiberTape (Arthrex, Florida, America) was inserted. The second drill hole was then made at the footprint of the ATFL on the fibula.

Insertion of InternalBrace
The InternalBrace (Arthrex, Florida, USA) was anchored into the fibula with a 3.5-mm SwiveLock anchor. Care was taken to insert the InternalBrace under adequate tension. There should be 1–2 mm of play in the brace to allow normal physiological movement of the repaired lateral ligament complex (Figs 1 and 2). Then, the modified Broström repair was performed.

The Modified Broström Repair
Anesthesia and Position
All patients in the group received surgery under general anesthesia. After successful anesthesia, the patient was placed in the supine position in an orthopaedic bed.

Arthroscopy Debridement
A standard arthroscopy was performed to allow visualization and debridement of the joint, including osteophyte removal and synovectomies as needed.

Exposure
Then, along the anterior and inferior borders of the lateral malleolus, a curved skin incision was made. The location of the inferior extensor retinaculum was identified. The anterior talofibular (ATF) ligament was divided into two parts 3 mm from the fibular margin.

Anchors Insertion
The peristeum was carefully dissected from the anterior and distal margins of the lateral malleolus and retracted to the proximal area. Two 3.5-mm SwiveLock anchors were inserted into the anteroinferior border of the lateral malleolus.

Repair the Ligament
While maintaining the ankle joint in neutral flexion and slight eversion, the capsule and ATF ligament were securely fixed to the fibula using horizontal mattress sutures. The
fibular periosteum was overlapped and imbricated at the attachment site of the ATF ligament (Fig. 3).

**Outcomes Assessment**

**American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Scale Hind Foot Score**

The American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Scale hind foot score, which is a self-administered test, was used to evaluate the ankle function of patients and to assess surgery-related improvement. The AOFAS scale, which contains three questionnaires (for Pain, Function, and Alignment), was evaluated preoperation and 1 year and 2 years after the operation.

**Visual Analogue Scale**

Ankle pain is an important sign and a frequent patient complaint. We used the VAS score to assess the degree of patients’ pain. A 10-point visual analog scale (VAS) was used for pain, and patients recorded the VAS by themselves.

**Foot and Ankle Ability Measure**

The Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM), which is a widely used measure of chronic lateral ankle instability, was applied to evaluate sports activities (eight questions) and activities of daily life (21 questions). Every question is rated from 0 to 4, with 0 representing “unable to do” and four meaning “no difficulty.” N/A responses are not counted. Therefore, each subscale has a maximum potential score (84 Activity of daily living and 32 Sport subscales). The scores for two subscales are divided by the maximum potential score and multiplied by 100 to obtain a percentage. As a result, the higher values mean greater physical function.

**Range of Motion**

In addition, the range of motion (ROM), which defines the mobile function of the ankle, was used to measure ankle mobility, and the ROM was measured by angulometer preoperation and at each follow-up visit.

**Radiological Outcomes**

The talar tilt angle was defined as the angle between the distal articular surface of the tibia and the proximal articular surface of the talus on the varus stress view. The anterior talar translation was defined as the shortest distance between the posterior articular border of the distal tibia and the posterior articular surface of the talus on the lateral X-ray. The talar tilt angle (TTA) and degree of anterior talar translation (ATT) were independently measured in the anterior drawer and varus stress radiographs using a spring dynamometer with a loading force of 150 N (Fig. 4). All measures were repeated three times by two orthopaedic surgeons; then the outcomes were averaged.

**Statistical Methods**

SPSS (version 19.0, 2010; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Paired data analysis correlated with clinical evaluations was performed to compare improvement between the preoperative, postoperative, and follow-up scores and to compare differences between the two groups. Comparison of the results using the AOFAS, FAAM, VAS and ROM scores and radiological outcomes was made using paired-sample t-tests, and one-way ANOVA tests were used to compare results between the two groups. Differences were considered significant when the P-value was <0.05.

**Results**

**General Results**

There was no significant difference in the general results for patients in both MB and MBA groups, including gender, age, body mass index (BMI), operation time, and blood loss.

**The American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Scale Hind Foot Score**

Improvement in the AOFAS scores from preoperation (68.2 ± 9.5) to 1 year after surgery (92.9 ± 8.6) was significant (P < 0.001), and the AOFAS scores significantly improved between the 1-year and 2-year follow-up (92.9 ± 8.6 vs 97.5 ± 3.3, P < 0.001) in the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape group. Similarly, in the modified Broström repair group, the
AOFAS scores were significantly improved after surgery, with recovery experienced at 2-year follow-up (67.3 ± 10.6, 92.4 ± 8.6 and 96.3 ± 6.0). The AOFAS score preoperation (P = 0.734), at 1-year follow-up (P = 0.805), and at 2-year follow-up (P = 0.384) showed no significant difference between the two groups (Table 1); at the 1-year follow-up, all patients had returned to exercise activity without difficulties.

**Visual Analogue Scale**
The decrease in the VAS was significant (P < 0.001) between preoperation and the 1-year follow-up, and also significantly decreased between the 1-year follow-up and the 2-year follow-up (P < 0.001) in the two groups. In the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape group, the VAS is 6.2 ± 1.1, 1.4 ± 0.9, and 0.6 ± 0.7 at each time point, and for the modified Broström repair group is 6.4 ± 1.0, 1.7 ± 1.3, and 0.7 ± 1.2, respectively. There was no significant difference between the two groups at each time.

**Range of Motion**
The mean dorsiflexion was 54.88 ± 1.13 preoperation, 52.80 ± 1.08 at the 1-year follow-up, and 53.88 ± 1.13 at the 2-year follow-up, and the mean plantar flexion was 13.96 ± 1.09, 11.96 ± 0.98, and 13.08 ± 0.99, respectively, in the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape group. In the the modified Broström repair group, the mean dorsiflexion was 54.86 ± 1.15 preoperation, 52.86 ± 1.14 at 1-year follow-up, and 53.86 ± 1.14 at 2-year follow-up, and the mean plantar flexion was 13.89 ± 1.10, 11.96 ± 1.07, and 12.93 ± 1.09, respectively. There was no significant difference between the two groups at each time point.

**Foot and Ankle Ability Measure**
The Foot and Ankle Ability Measure Activities of Daily Living (FAAM ADL) score (89.7 ± 3.8 vs 66.8 ± 8.2, P < 0.05), the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure sport (FAAM Sport) score (73.8 ± 4.9 vs 35.8 ± 6.3, P < 0.05), and the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM) Total scale (85.3 ± 3.8 vs 58.2 ± 7.5, P < 0.05) were significantly improved at 1-year follow-up compared with preoperation in the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape group. The modified Broström repair group had similarly improvement. There were significant differences in the FAAM Sport (87.1 ± 5.4 vs 78.2 ± 12.0, P = 0.001) and FAAM Total (93.1 ± 2.3 vs 90.5 ± 5.1, P = 0.027) at 2-year follow-up visit between the two groups. The MBA had 10.1% more than the MB group in the FMMA Sport score and 2.8% more in the

**TABLE 1** Comparison of the clinical outcomes between the two groups (mean ± standard deviation)

|                      | MBA group    | MB group    | F-value | P-value |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| **AOFAS**            |              |             |         |         |
| Preoperative         | 68.2 ± 9.5   | 67.3 ± 10.6 | 0.117   | 0.734   |
| 1 year               | 92.9 ± 8.6   | 92.4 ± 8.6  | 0.062   | 0.805   |
| 2 tears              | 97.5 ± 3.3   | 96.3 ± 6.0  | 0.771   | 0.384   |
| **VAS**              |              |             |         |         |
| Preoperative         | 6.2 ± 10.9   | 6.4 ± 1.0   | 0.751   | 0.393   |
| 1 year               | 1.4 ± 0.9    | 1.7 ± 1.3   | 1.332   | 0.254   |
| 2 tears              | 0.8 ± 0.7    | 0.7 ± 1.2   | 0.335   | 0.565   |
| **Dorsiflexion**     |              |             |         |         |
| Preoperative         | 54.9 ± 1.1   | 54.9 ± 1.2  | 0.005   | 0.942   |
| 1 year               | 52.8 ± 1.0   | 52.9 ± 1.1  | 0.043   | 0.836   |
| 2 years              | 53.9 ± 1.1   | 54.0 ± 1.1  | 0.217   | 0.644   |
| **Plantar flexion**  |              |             |         |         |
| Preoperative         | 14.0 ± 1.1   | 13.9 ± 1.1  | 0.049   | 0.825   |
| 1 year               | 12.0 ± 0.9   | 12.0 ± 1.0  | 0.231   | 0.695   |
| 2 years              | 13.1 ± 1.0   | 12.9 ± 1.1  | 0.277   | 0.601   |
| **FAAM ADL**         |              |             |         |         |
| Preoperative         | 66.8 ± 8.2   | 67.8 ± 12.4 | 0.108   | 0.744   |
| 1 year               | 89.7 ± 3.8   | 90.4 ± 5.5  | 0.304   | 0.583   |
| 2 years              | 95.3 ± 1.9   | 95.2 ± 5.0  | 0.008   | 0.929   |
| **FAAM Sport**       |              |             |         |         |
| Preoperative         | 35.8 ± 6.3   | 35.5 ± 9.5  | 0.013   | 0.908   |
| 1 year               | 73.8 ± 4.9   | 74.9 ± 12.2 | 0.191   | 0.664   |
| 2 years              | 87.1 ± 5.4   | 78.2 ± 12.0 | 11.704  | 0.001   |
| **FAAM Total**       |              |             |         |         |
| Preoperative         | 58.2 ± 7.5   | 58.9 ± 11.3 | 0.055   | 0.816   |
| 1 year               | 85.3 ± 3.8   | 86.1 ± 7.2  | 0.271   | 0.605   |
| 2 years              | 93.1 ± 2.3   | 90.5 ± 5.1  | 5.218   | 0.027   |

AOFAS, American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Scale; FAAM ADL, Foot and Ankle Ability Measure Activities of Daily Living score; VAS, visual analogue scale.
FMMA Total score at the 2 year follow-up visit. There was no significant difference between two groups at other visit times.

**Radiological Outcomes**

In the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape group, the talar tilt angle (14.0 ± 3.2 vs 3.3 ± 1.58) and the anterior talar translation (12.2 ± 3.6 vs 3.4 ± 1.6) were significantly decreased between preoperation and 1-year follow-up ($P < 0.001$). These values decreased at the 2-year follow-up compared to the outcomes at 1-year follow-up; the TTA was from 3.3 ± 1.6 to 2.4 ± 1.3 ($P < 0.001$) and the ATT is from 3.4 ± 1.6 to 2.9 ± 1.6 ($P < 0.05$). In the modified Broström repair group, the talar tilt angle (14.2 ± 3.5 vs 2.9 ± 1.7) and anterior talar translation (12.2 ± 3.9 vs 3.5 ± 1.5) were significantly decreased at the 1-year follow-up ($P < 0.001$). The TTA was well maintained at the 2-year follow-up compared to the outcomes at the 1-year follow-up (2.7 ± 1.4 vs 2.9 ± 1.7, $P = 0.168$). The ATT decreased at the 2-year follow-up compared to the outcomes at the 1-year follow-up (3.1 ± 1.3 vs 3.4 ± 1.5, $P < 0.001$) (Table 2). There was no significant difference in TTA and ATT between the two groups at each time point.

**Complications**

Postoperative complications in the MB group included 1 case of mechanical instability, which was treated with modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape after the final follow-up, and 1 case of wound infection, which was healed within 10 days after palliative treatment including antibiotic therapy and routine wound management. In the MBA group, there were 3 cases of abnormal dorsal foot paresthesia, which may damage the superficial peroneal nerve, but all 3 patients recovered.

**Discussion**

Chronic lateral ankle instability is a common disease, but the best treatment procedure remains controversial. Several surgical procedures for chronic lateral instability of the ankle have been applied. The modified Broström repair, which is an anatomic reconstruction, has shown satisfactory outcomes, but there are still some complications, including ankle instability and ligament tear. Huang et al. reported that, in 32 patients with chronic lateral ankle instability treated with the modified Broström repair, at the final follow-up, 16 patients were very satisfied with the results, 10 patients were satisfied, 3 patients rated their satisfaction as fair, and 1 patient was not satisfied with the results. In addition, Mark Porter et al. reported that, of 25 patients who underwent the modified Broström repair, 3 patients experienced recurrent ankle injuries. Similarly, Petrella et al. reported that 55 patients who were treated with modified Broström repair yielded satisfactory outcomes, but 3 patients reported residual instability after a traumatic retear, and there were 2 cases of superficial wound infection. Moreover, Sami Hassan et al. retrospectively analyzed 26 individuals (27 ankles) with the modified Broström repair, with 21 patients postoperatively providing AOFAS scores. Overall, of the 21 patients, 15 (71.4%) had excellent results, 3 (14.3%) had good results, 1 (4.8%) had a fair result, and 2 (9.5%) had poor results.

The modified Broström repair has good clinical outcomes, but it is significantly weaker than the native ATFL. Kirk et al. reported that Broström repairs can only provide approximately 50% of the strength of the native, uninjured ligament. As a result, the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape was proposed, and this method has received great interest among surgeons. Consequently, some researchers began to use this new procedure. For example, Chris Coetzee et al. stated that, in 81 patients (30 males and 51 females) with chronic lateral ankle instability who underwent modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape, the average AOFAS score was 94.3, and 79.0% of the subjects obtained a score of 90 or higher on the FAAM Sport subscale. The single-leg hop test (Limb Symmetry Index %) showed that 86.4% of patients achieved normal or near normal results. However, after the suture tape augmented repair, the ankle dorsiflexion comparisons showed a significant difference: 9.2 ± 3.3 cm (operative side) and 10.4 ± 3.7 cm (contralateral side).

Although there are many researchers studying the modified Broström repair or modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape, there are few prospective studies in which the two procedures are compared. In our study, we prospectively compared those two groups, and the most distinct findings were that the MBA group yielded a better outcome in the FAAM scale, and that, after surgery, patients could rehabilitate over time because at the final follow-up, the ankle function and radiological outcomes had progressed when compared with the 1-year follow-up. According to our results, improvements in the AOFAS scale, VAS scale, TTA, and ATT from preoperation to the 1-year follow-up were significant in both groups ($P < 0.001$). Moreover, the AOFAS scale, VAS, and ATT outcomes had

### TABLE 2 Comparison of the radiological outcomes between the two groups (mean ± standard deviation)

|                | MBA group (mm) | MB group (mm) | F value | P value |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------|---------|
| TTA            |                |               |         |         |
| Preoperation   | 14.0 ± 3.2     | 14.2 ± 3.5    | 0.193   | 0.662   |
| 1 year         | 3.3 ± 1.58     | 2.9 ± 1.7     | 0.885   | 0.351   |
| 2 years        | 2.4 ± 1.3      | 2.7 ± 1.4     | 0.407   | 0.527   |
| ATT            |                |               |         |         |
| Preoperation   | 12.2 ± 3.6     | 12.2 ± 3.9    | 0.001   | 0.983   |
| 1 year         | 3.4 ± 1.6      | 3.4 ± 1.5     | 0.001   | 0.978   |
| 2 years        | 2.9 ± 1.6      | 3.1 ± 1.3     | 0.143   | 0.706   |

ATT, anterior talar translation; TTA, talar tilt angle.
statistically significant progress in both groups at the final follow-up ($P < 0.05$). However, in the MB group, there was no significant difference in the TTA from the 1-year follow-up to the final follow-up ($P = 0.168$). This result means that both methods have satisfactory clinical outcomes for chronic lateral ankle instability, and the suture tape may provide better strength in the repaired ligament. Some studies have reported similar outcomes of ankle function recovery during follow-up.29

During early mobilization, after the ankle ligament reconstruction, the elongation of ligaments is associated with ankle laxity and decreased instability30; therefore, the suture tape augmentation allowed for higher stability of the ankle and reduced ligament elongation. The modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape has been thought to improve the ankle stability of the reconstructed ATFL. Schuh et al. reported that there was a 95% higher torque at failure in the suture tape augmentation construct compared to the Broström repair, and the ATFL reconstruction failed at an angle of 24.1°; in the suture tape group, failure occurred at 35.5° ($P = 0.02$)31. Functional exercise can promote the recovery of ligament strength and result in the improvement of ankle function. This is a possible reason why the FAAM Sport scale and FAAM total score were significantly improved between the two groups at the final follow-up. Some researchers have reported that functional exercise can enhance the levels of growth hormone in the serum and result in more tensile strength of the ligament.32 There was no significant difference in range of motion between the two groups at preoperation, 1-year follow-up or final follow-up ($P > 0.05$).

Most complications occurred in patients with poor tissue quality or previously failed operations. Huang et al. reported that 9 out of 32 patients with chronic lateral ankle instability with generalized joint hypermobility sustained ankle sprains after the modified Broström procedure25. Xu and Lee15 reported that failure rates were 11.4% (5 patients) in the laxity group and 1.8% (1 patient) in the nonlaxity group, and patients without generalized joint laxity showed significantly better clinical outcomes compared with the laxity patients. In our study, 1 case of mechanical instability in the MB group may have been caused by poor tissue quality. In our prospective study, there was no significant difference in radiological and clinical outcomes, except the FAAM scale; moreover, the outcomes were better, and the abnormal dorsal foot paresthesia had recovered during follow-up. The results of the present study reveal that the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape provides a better effect than the modified Broström repair.

There were some limitations of our study. First, the number of cases was small, and our follow-up term was of a short–midterm range of 2 years; however, this can be solved with further research. Second, although we recruited a relatively homogeneous sample of patients based on demographics and physical activity level, the participants with chronic lateral ankle instability had diverse experiences with rehabilitation after surgery due to patients’ different economic circumstances.

In conclusion, both methods can yield satisfactory outcomes for CLAI, and the modified Broström repair with augmentation using suture tape has a better functional outcome; however, more research needs to be undertaken.
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