Y-chromosome biallelic polymorphisms and Native American population structure
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SUMMARY

It has been proposed that women had a higher migration rate than men throughout human evolutionary history. However, in a recent study of South American natives using mtDNA restriction fragment polymorphisms and Y-chromosome microsatellites we failed to detect a significant difference in estimates of migration rates between the sexes. As the high mutation rate of microsatellites might affect estimates of population structure, we now examine biallelic polymorphisms in both mtDNA and the Y-chromosome. Analyses of these markers in Amerinds from North, Central and South America agree with our previous findings in not supporting a higher migration rate for women in these populations. Furthermore, they underline the importance of genetic drift in the evolution of Amerinds and suggest the existence of a North to South gradient of increasing drift in the Americas.

INTRODUCTION

It has recently been proposed that women had a higher migration rate than men throughout human evolution (Seielstad et al. 1998). This suggestion was based on the observation, in a world-wide survey and in some specific areas, that molecular diversity on the Y-chromosome was more geographically structured than in mtDNA (Seielstad et al. 1998). We recently tested this hypothesis in South America by typing a uniform set of Y-chromosome microsatellites (STRs) and mtDNA RFLPs in five Native Colombian populations, as well as by analysing published data for these markers in other South Amerind groups (Mesa et al. 2000). Both sets of uniparental markers revealed similar levels of population structure, as assessed using $G_{ST}$: about 0.17 for the Colombian populations and about 0.30 for South America (Mesa et al. 2000).

As STRs have a relatively high mutation rate, and as they are prone to recurrent mutation, it is possible that estimates of population structure based on them might be unreliable (Seielstad, 2000). To assess the dependence of our previous conclusions on the type of Y-chromosome marker examined here, we report analyses of an expanded dataset covering Amerind populations from North to South America and including
Table 1. Y-chromosome haplotype frequencies (%) in Native Americans and in other human groups

| Population                  | Haplotype | No. of chromosomes | Linguistic subfamily | Country |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|
| South Amerind               |           |                    |                      |         |
| Ache                        | 100       | 53                 | Ge-Pano-Carib        | Paraguay|
| Bari                        | 100       | 12                 | Equatorial-Tucano    | Venezuela|
| Cinta-Larga                 | 100       | 15                 | Equatorial-Tucano    | Brazil  |
| Guarani                     | 76        | 18                 | Equatorial-Tucano    | Brazil  |
| Ingano                      | 100       | 4                  | Andean               | Colombia|
| Kraho                       | 100       | 9                  | Ge-Pano-Carib        | Brazil  |
| Mekranoti                   | 72        | 14                 | Ge-Pano-Carib        | Brazil  |
| Pacas Novos                 | 100       | 15                 | Equatorial-Tucano    | Brazil  |
| Parakanã                    | 100       | 20                 | Equatorial-Tucano    | Brazil  |
| Ticuna                      | 67        | 11                 | Equatorial-Tucano    | Colombia|
| Urubu-Kaapor                | 100       | 16                 | Equatorial-Tucano    | Brazil  |
| Warao                       | 100       | 12                 | Chibchan-Paezan      | Venezuela|
| Wayuu                       | 10        | 47                 | Equatorial-Tucano    | Colombia|
| Xikrin                      | 100       | 8                  | Ge-Pano-Carib        | Brazil  |
| Yagua                       | 86        | 14                 | Ge-Pano-Carib        | Colombia|
| Yupa                        | 100       | 12                 | Ge-Pano-Carib        | Venezuela|
| Zenu                        | 46        | 11                 |                      | Colombia|
| Total                        | 7         | 78                 |                      | 356     |
| Central Amerind (6)         | 80        | 15                 |                      | 110     |
| North Amerind (4)           | 22        | 58                 |                      | 122     |
| Eskimo/Aleut (2)            | 45        | 46                 |                      | 66      |
| Na-Dene (2)                 | 37        | 51                 |                      | 68      |
| Total                       | 256       |                    |                      |         |
| Asian                       |           |                    |                      |         |
| Central (4)                 | 45        | 40                 |                      | 229     |
| East (5)                    | 61        | 13                 |                      | 289     |
| North (15)                  | 1         | 63                 |                      | 572     |
| Total                       | 1         | 59                 |                      | 1070    |
| European (4)                | 48        | 37                 |                      | 145     |
| Sub-sahara African (6)      | 2         | 32                 |                      | 312     |

a Data for populations other than South Amerinds were obtained from Karafet et al. (1999). The number of populations considered in each region is indicated in parentheses. The M19 polymorphism has not been detected in a survey of North American Natives (Underhill et al. 1997 and pers. comm.). Since this polymorphism seems to have a restricted distribution within South America, we have assumed it to be the ancestral allele for the populations examined by Karafet et al. (1999).

b The Zenu currently speak only Spanish. It is unclear what might have been the linguistic affiliation of their language (Mesa et al. 2000).

data for mtDNA and Y-chromosome biallelic polymorphisms.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Y-chromosome typings were performed in 356 unrelated male samples from 17 Native South American populations: 8 from Brazil, 5 from Colombia, 3 from Venezuela and one from Paraguay (Table 1). This population sample includes representatives of the four major linguistic subfamilies present in South America (Andean, Chibchan-Paezan, Equatorial-Tucano and Ge-Pano-Carib). Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood, plasma or RBC samples using the Qiagen Kit, following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Seven biallelic markers (DYS199, M19, 92R7, M9, DYS271 or YAP, SY81, and RPS4Y711) were examined using the experimental conditions reported by Karafet et al. (1999) and Ruiz-Linares et al. (1999). These novel Y-chromosome data were integrated with available data from other populations for which information on the same Y-markers, as well as on mtDNA polymorphisms, was available. Genetic diversity was
assessed using Nei’s estimators (Nei, 1986), with $G_{ST}$ corrected for the number of populations sampled (thus corresponding to Nei’s $G_{ST}'$).

Assuming migration-drift equilibrium and ignoring mutation, effective migration rate estimates were obtained as $N_m = (1/G_{ST}) - 1$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Y-chromosome markers examined allow the identification of seven haplotypes. The evolutionary relatedness of these haplotypes and the allelic states defining them are shown in Figure 1. Table 1 shows the frequencies of these seven haplotypes in Native Americans and other representative human populations. The presence of haplotypes 5, 6 and 7 in some Amerindian populations is most likely the result of admixture with recent immigrants, as these haplotypes are frequent amongst Europeans and/or Africans, but are generally rare in Native Americans (Underhill et al. 1997; Karafet et al. 1999). Haplotype 2 corresponds to a lineage originating at about the time of the initial colonization of the New World (Lell et al. 1997; Karafet et al. 1997), while its derived haplotype 1 has a restricted distribution in South America (we only detected it in the Tucuna and the Wayuu). Haplotypes 3 and 4 correspond to founder lineages introduced in America from Asia, although a fraction of haplotype 3 chromosomes also result from post-Columbian admixture (Karafet et al. 1999; Ruiz-Linares et al. 1999).

Table 2 shows estimates of within ($H_s$) and between ($G_{ST}$) population diversity of Amerinds from North, Central and South America based on Y-chromosome biallelic markers and mtDNA haplogroups. We focus these analyses on the Amerind linguistic family, as this group is thought to represent the initial paleoindian migration into the New World (Cavalli-Sforza, Menozzi & Piazza, 1994).

For both mtDNA and Y chromosome markers we observe increasing levels of population structure from North to South America, with Central America showing intermediate values. In the case of Y-chromosome markers the increase in $G_{ST}$ values is associated with a marked reduction of intrapopulation diversity, with South American populations showing on average less than half the diversity of North Amerinds (0.015 vs. 0.042). At the mitochondrial level South Amerind populations are also less diverse than North Amerind populations, although the difference is less pronounced than for the Y chromosome (0.523 vs. 0.609). Central Amerinds show the lowest mean mtDNA diversity of the three regions (0.4), possibly because the data available includes several Chibchan groups that seem to have undergone recent population bottlenecks (Kolman et al. 1995, 1997). The observation of a trend of greater population structure from North to South America with both mtDNA and Y-chromosome markers, accompanied by a decrease of intra-population diversity, suggests a Southward gradient of increasing drift in the Americas. A higher level of genetic drift for South Amerinds relative to North Amerinds has been previously suggested based on autosomal polymorphisms (Cavalli-Sforza, Menozzi & Piazza, 1994). Possible explanations for such an increase in drift include a greater population fragmentation imposed by geography in South America, and a higher level of drift at the front of the wave of advance in the population that initially expanded to the Americas (Cavalli-Sforza, Menozzi & Piazza, 1994).

Interestingly, the trend towards a southward increase in population structure is more pronounced for the Y-chromosome than for mtDNA. Estimates of $N_m$ obtained from Y-chromosome data decrease eightfold between North and South America, while the corresponding values for mtDNA are only halved (Table 2). This leads to an inversion of the relative male/female migration rate between North and South America from about 3/1 to 1/2. It is not obvious why the southward increase in population structure is more pronounced for Y-chromosome markers than for mtDNA. This trend could relate to a southward increase in patrilocality, but ethnographic surveys indicate that patrilocality seems to be more common in North America than in South America (Burton et al. 1996).
Table 2. Genetic diversity and effective number of migrants ($N_m$) in Amerind populations

| Haplotype | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| M19       | A | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| DYS199    | T | T | C | C | C | C | C |
| RPS4Y711  | C | C | C | T | C | C | C |
| YAP       | - | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| SY81      | A | A | A | A | A | A | G |
| M9        | G | G | G | C | C | C | C |
| 92R7      | T | T | T | C | C | C | C |

Fig. 1. Polymorphisms defining the seven Y-chromosome haplotypes examined here and their phylogenetic relationships.

Table 2. Genetic diversity and effective number of migrants ($N_m$) in Amerind populations

| Populations | $H_r$ | $H_s$ | $G_{st}$ | $N_m$ |
|-------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| South Amerind |       |       |          |       |
| Y-chromosome | 0.027 | 0.015 | 0.47     | 1.1   |
| MtDNA       | 0.749 | 0.526 | 0.31     | 2.2   |
| Central Amerind |     |       |          |       |
| Y-chromosome | 0.025 | 0.022 | 0.15     | 5.7   |
| MtDNA       | 0.512 | 0.400 | 0.24     | 3.2   |
| North Amerind |      |       |          |       |
| Y-chromosome | 0.046 | 0.042 | 0.11     | 8.1   |
| MtDNA       | 0.745 | 0.609 | 0.20     | 4.0   |

Values calculated for the Y-chromosome exclude lineages which are most likely of non-Amerind origin (haplotypes 5 to 7). The mtDNA data set was compiled from the literature (Easton et al. 1996; Forster et al. 1996; Bonato & Salzano, 1997; Mesa et al. 2000 and references therein).

Higher levels of population structure for the Y-chromosome could relate to it having a smaller effective population size relative to mtDNA, due to a higher variance in male reproductive success (Cavalli-Sforza & Bodmer, 1971). This phenomenon is well documented in some Amerind populations and it might have been common amongst the initial colonizers of the continent (Salzano et al. 1967). Finally, differences in rates of non-Amerind admixture in the populations examined could also be contributing to the greater southward increase in population structure for the Y-chromosome relative to mtDNA. This is particularly so since recent admixture in Amerinds usually involved Native women and immigrant men, and (as mentioned above) a fraction of haplotype 3 Y-chromosomes could be of non-Amerind origin (Mesa et al. 2000; Carvajal-Carmona et al. 2000).

Our previous observations in South America (Mesa et al. 2000) are consistent with the enlarged dataset examined here using Y-chromosome biallelic markers. Although the novel $G_{st}$ estimate in South America is about 50% higher than that obtained previously using Y-STR loci (0.30), the difference is well below the 3–5 fold increase in $G_{st}$ values between STR vs. biallelic markers reported for world-wide population comparisons (Jorde et al. 2000; Quintana-Murci et al. 1999). The observation of higher levels of population structure with mtDNA than Y-chromosome markers for North and Central America further questions the generality of the proposed higher migration rate of females during human evolution (Seielstad et al. 1998). The parallel analysis of mtDNA and Y-chromosome markers further highlights the importance of drift in the evolution of Native American populations, particularly in South America.
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