Prevalence of self-reported dermatologic symptoms among farmers living in Gracias a Dios, Honduras

To the Editor: In 2013, dermatologic disorders were the fourth leading cause of nonfatal disease burden worldwide. Limited access to health care services, finances, and safe drinking water have been suggested to increase the burden of dermatologic diseases prevalent in rural regions.

Furthermore, agricultural workers experience occupational risk factors (eg, UV radiation exposure, heavy metals, chemicals, pesticides, and dermatomycoses) that contribute to dermatologic conditions and malignancies. With ~23.4% of the Honduran labor force in the agricultural industry, an assessment of this population for the symptoms of dermatologic conditions is important for disease prevention and management. This study aimed to evaluate the presence of dermatologic symptoms affecting individuals from a rural village in Honduras. We hypothesized that agricultural workers will be disproportionately affected presumably because of increased exposure to environmental risk factors compared with nonagricultural laborers.

A cross-sectional survey was administered by village health workers and local Rotarian members on June 9, 2020, to consenting adults in Gracias a Dios, Honduras. The proportions (%) were estimated for categorical variables; continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD. Bivariate analyses, comparing farmers and nonfarmers, were performed. The statistical level of significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \) and corrected for multiple comparisons by Bonferroni correction. The analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc).

Among 53 surveyed individuals, the response rate was 81.1%. The mean age of the participants (\( N = 43 \)) was 41.3 ± 17.7 years. Men accounted for 47% of the study population, and approximately 37% of the respondents reported at least 1 skin lesion (Table I). The symptoms more frequently reported were itching (21%), color change (14%), redness/irritation (14%), and pain (9%). Farmers had a greater number of reported skin lesions or symptoms than other village residents (50% vs 28%, respectively). The lesions more frequently reported included rash, blisters, scale formation (dry, flaky skin), and hair loss. Farmers had a higher mean of skin lesions, especially in the extremities (4.0 vs 1.1) (Table II). The affected body locations included the head (9.3%: scalp, ears, face, and neck), trunk (16.3%: shoulders, chest, abdomen, and back), arms (23.3%: triceps, biceps, elbows, wrists, palms, and fingers), legs (25.6%: thighs, knees, legs, feet, and toes), and extremities (37.2%: arms or legs).

Our results showed the presence of dermatologic symptoms in a rural village in Honduras, with the highest rates reported among male agricultural workers. Although many factors may contribute to the development of dermatologic conditions, these data support our hypothesis that agricultural workers will be disproportionately affected presumably because of increased exposure to environmental risk factors. Our study limitations include the small sample size, the fact that a single community was surveyed, and the use of a nonvalidated survey, limiting the interpretation and conclusions that can be drawn.

These results emphasize the importance of surveying rural agricultural communities for dermatologic complaints. Further, they signify the importance of providing accessible health education on occupational and environmental risk factors. To further evaluate risk factors and the prevalence of dermatologic symptoms in rural communities, larger multisite studies are needed.
Table II. Skin symptoms and lesions*

| Variable                                           | All (N = 43) | No farmer (n = 25) | Farmer (n = 18) | P value |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| **Skin symptoms**                                  |              |                    |                |         |
| Itching                                             | 9 (20.9)     | 3 (12.0)           | 6 (33.3)       | .3254   |
| Redness/irritation                                 | 6 (14.0)     | 2 (8.0)            | 4 (22.2)       | .7072   |
| Fever                                              | 4 (9.3)      | 3 (12.0)           | 1 (5.6)        | .9929   |
| Color changes in skin                              | 6 (14.0)     | 2 (8.0)            | 4 (22.2)       | .7072   |
| Pain experienced with skin lesions                 | 4 (9.3)      | 0 (0.0)            | 4 (22.2)       | .1180   |
| **Skin lesions**                                   |              |                    |                |         |
| Rash                                               | 3 (7.0)      | 1 (4.0)            | 2 (11.1)       | .9969   |
| Blisters                                           | 3 (7.0)      | 1 (4.0)            | 2 (11.1)       | .9969   |
| Skin lightening or loss of pigmentation            | 2 (4.7)      | 1 (4.0)            | 1 (5.6)        | 1.0000  |
| Burning sensation                                  | 1 (2.3)      | 0 (0.0)            | 1 (5.6)        | .9775   |
| Scale formation and dry, flaky skin                | 4 (9.3)      | 3 (12.0)           | 1 (5.6)        | .9990   |
| Hair loss                                          | 4 (9.3)      | 2 (8.0)            | 2 (11.1)       | .9999   |
| Pustules                                           | 2 (4.7)      | 1 (4.0)            | 1 (5.6)        | 1.0000  |
| Ulcerations                                        | 0            | 0                  | 0              |         |
| Pus/Fluids                                         | 1 (2.3)      | 0 (0.0)            | 1 (5.6)        | .9775   |
| Inflammation                                       | 2 (4.7)      | 1 (4.0)            | 1 (5.6)        | .0000   |
| Reporting at least 1 skin lesion or symptom        | 16 (37.2)    | 7 (28.0)           | 9 (50.0)       | .6676   |
| Total number of skin lesions or symptoms (0-14)     | 1.2 ± 2.5    | 0.8 ± 1.8          | 1.7 ± 3.3      | .1592   |
| **Body location (grouped)**                        |              |                    |                |         |
| Head/neck                                          | 0.2 ± 0.7    | 0.1 ± 0.3          | 0.3 ± 1.0      | .7139   |
| Trunk                                              | 0.4 ± 1.1    | 0.3 ± 0.1          | 0.6 ± 1.2      | .1254   |
| Arms                                               | 1.0 ± 2.1    | 0.6 ± 1.8          | 1.6 ± 2.4      | .0622   |
| Legs                                               | 0.7 ± 1.6    | 0.2 ± 0.5          | 1.3 ± 2.2      | .1622   |
| Extremities (legs or arms)                         | 2.3 ± 4.3    | 1.1 ± 2.0          | 4.0 ± 5.8      | .0832   |
| Total number of body sites (0-21)                  | 2.3 ± 4.5    | 1.3 ± 3.0          | 3.8 ± 5.8      | .0884   |

*P values were obtained using the t test or Wilcoxon test and the χ² or Fisher test.

†Among those with itching, it was worse at night for 8 (88.9%).

‡Multiple comparisons for binomial proportions were performed using the Sidak correction procedure.
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