Effects of biochar addition on nitrous oxide emission during soil freeze–thaw cycles
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Biochar applied to soil can reduce nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions produced by freeze–thaw processes. Nonetheless, how biochar modification affects N₂O emissions during freeze–thaw cycles is not completely clear. In our research, during freeze–thaw cycles, microcosm experiments were conducted to investigate the effects of maize straw biochar (MB) or rice straw biochar (RB) addition on soil N₂O emissions under different water conditions. The N₂O emissions peaked at the initial stage of thawing in all the soils, and the total N₂O emissions were considerably greater in the flooded soils than in the nonflooded soils. Compared with the soils without biochar addition, RB and MB amendments inhibited N₂O emissions by 69 and 67%, respectively. Moreover, after biochar addition, the abundance of AOB amoA genes decreased by 9–13%. Biochar addition significantly decreased the content of microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) in flooded soil during thawing, which was significantly correlated with N₂O emissions and nitrification and denitrification communities. The PLS-PM further revealed that biochar can inhibit the production and emission of soil N₂O by reducing soil MBN during soil thawing. In addition, soil moisture directly significantly affects N₂O emissions and indirectly affects N₂O emissions through its influence on soil physicochemical properties. Our results revealed the important function of biochar in decreasing the emission of N₂O in flooded soil during freeze–thaw cycles.
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Introduction

Freeze–thaw alternation, as a familiar natural phenomenon, affects more than 70% of the land area in cold regions and high latitudes (Mellander et al., 2007). Global climate warming has exacerbated the intensity and frequency of freeze–thaw cycles, leading to an increase in nitrous oxide (N₂O) release in soil. On a 100-year timescale, the global warming potential of N₂O, a significant greenhouse gas, is 298 times higher...
found that soil moisture was positively related to N$_2$O flux in the nongrowing period and explained approximately 32% of the change in N$_2$O flux. Initial soil moisture conditions also affect the dynamics of N transformation during freeze–thaw (Stres et al., 2008). Anaerobic or anoxic microenvironments were generally beneficial for denitrifiers to produce N$_2$O. With the gradual increase in water content, the degree of damage caused by water molecules to soil aggregates becomes more pronounced during freezing. Accordingly, the higher amount of active organic carbon released by the aggregates probably promotes the metabolic activity of heterotrophic microorganisms, including denitrifying microorganisms (Song et al., 2020). In this experiment, maize straw biochar and rice straw biochar were selected to explore the regulatory effect of different biochars on N$_2$O emissions during the soil freeze–thaw cycles under different water conditions. We hypothesized that biochar addition can reduce N$_2$O emissions by decreasing the abundance of functional genes related to denitrification.

**Materials and methods**

**Soil analysis**

The studied soil was gathered from a typical paddy field that has a long history of rice cultivation in Shuguang Village (123°58′31″ E, 47°23′15″ N), Qiijiar City, Heilongjiang Province, China. Influenced by the mid-temperate continental monsoon climate in this area, the average annual temperature was 3.2°C (the monthly average temperature ranged from −20.5°C in January to 22.2°C in July), and the average annual precipitation was 415 mm. The topsoil normally begins to frost around October and thaws in March of the following year due to rising temperatures (Song et al., 2008). We collected surface-layer soil (0–20 cm) after the thawing period in March 2019. Part of the soil samples were air-dried and then sieved through a 2-mm mesh to remove observable stones and roots for physicocchemical analysis. The other part of the soil sample was stored at 4°C for subsequent incubation experiments. The soil texture was silty loam. The pH, total nitrogen and total carbon contents of the soil were 6.4, 0.22, and 3.78%, respectively. The basic chemical and physical properties of the soil are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1** Physicochemical properties of soil samples and biochar.

| Soil | C (%) | N (%) | C/N ratio | pH | Soil texture |
|------|-------|-------|-----------|----|-------------|
|      |       |       |           |    | Sand (%) | Silt (%) | Clay (%) |
| Soil | 2.37  | 0.22  | 10.4      | 6.4| 38        | 26       | 36       |
| MB   | 75.3  | 1.35  | 55.9      | 8.5| –         | –        | –        |
| RB   | 46.5  | 0.76  | 69.0      | 10.4| –         | –        | –        |

MB, maize straw biochar; RB, rice straw biochar.
Experimental design

Soil samples of 15.0 g (dry weight) were accurately weighed in a 120-ml brown serum flask, and the soil water content was adjusted to 60% water holding capacity (WHC), 100% WHC, and flooding with a 1-cm water layer thickness. Maize straw biochar (MB) and rice straw biochar (RB) with a mass concentration of 2% were added before incubation. The basic physicochemical properties of the biochar used in this study are listed in Table 1. The surface morphology and structural characteristics of biochar were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Figure 1). All treatments were precultured at 25°C for 7 days in the dark (the culture vessel was ventilated, and water loss was replenished) to fully recover the microbial activity in the soil. After preculture, the soils were frozen at −20°C for 7 days to simulate winter freezing, and then the temperature was set to 4°C for 12 days to simulate thawing.

Gas sampling and analysis

Nitrous oxide emissions were measured within 12 days after thawing. A gas chromatograph with an electron capture detector (ECD) was used to analyze the concentration of N₂O produced by the soil. Then, the total N₂O emissions of the entire culture period were calculated by the daily emission rate described by Yu et al. (2022).

Soil mineral nitrogen measurement

The cultured fresh soil samples on the first day after thawing were weighed to approximately 3 g, 1 mol/L KCl solution was added at a ratio of 1:10 (w:v), and the sample was fully mixed. The filtrate was collected by centrifugation at 3,000 r/min. Finally, a flow analyzer determined the contents of nitrate (NO₃⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺; Zhang et al., 2022b).

Soil dissolved organic carbon and microbial biomass nitrogen measurements

The microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) contents in the soil were determined by potassium sulfate extraction and chloroform fumigation (Jenkinson et al., 2004). Approximately 3 g of fresh soil on the first day after thawing was weighed and placed in a clean centrifuge tube, and 0.5 ml of ethanol-free chloroform was added and fully mixed. The soils were placed at 25°C for sealed culture in the dark for 24 h, and 10 ml potassium sulfate solution with a concentration of 0.5 mol/l was added. After shaking extraction for 30 min (180 r/min) on the vibrating machine, centrifugal filtration was carried out, and finally, the measurement was carried out on the machine. The control group was extracted without fumigation and determined by potassium sulfate solution. The soil dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen contents were analyzed by a total organic carbon analyzer (MULTI-N/C 2100S, Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany). The organic carbon concentration of the unfumigated sample group is the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) content of the soil sample. The MBN contents were obtained from the difference in total nitrogen (TN) between the fumigated and unfumigated soils multiplied by the corresponding conversion coefficient (kEN = 0.45; Jenkinson et al., 2004).

DNA extraction and quantitative PCR analysis

DNA was extracted from 0.5 g freeze-dried soil using the FastDNA® for Soil Kit (MP Biomedicals, California, United States) strictly according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA of the soil samples before freezing and on the first and seventh days of thawing was extracted. Quantitative analysis of functional genes is based on extracted DNA as templates at the gene level. Thus, DNA was used as a template for quantitative analysis of nitrification [ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) amoA, ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) amoA] and denitrification (nirS, nirK, and nosZ) functional genes (Zhang et al., 2021). The detailed amplification system, conditions and primers are shown in Table 2.
controls were replaced with the same amount of nuclease-free water. The functional gene plasmids related to bacterial nitrification and denitrification were extracted by DNA template, and the plasmids were continuously diluted 10 times to form a standard curve for gene quantitative analysis (Yin et al., 2019).

### Statistical analysis

The software used for data processing and analysis mainly included Excel 2016, OriginPro 2018, R 4.2.1 and SPSS 20.0 (IBM, Chicago, United States). Univariate ANOVA (Tukey’s HSD, p < 0.05) was used to analyze significant differences in soil chemical and physical properties and abundance of functional genes during thawing. The Mantel test established through the “linkET” program package in R language was used to analyze the interaction influences of different factors. Partial least squares path modeling (PLS-PM) was established through the “plsm” program package in R language to analyze the influence of biochar addition and moisture on N₂O emissions during the thawing process.

### Results

#### Soil N₂O emissions

After different biochar treatments, N₂O gas emissions peaked at the initial stage of soil thawing (within 1 day), declined rapidly, and then tended to be stable (Figure 2). The addition of both biochar types had a remarkable influence on cumulative N₂O emissions during soil thawing in flooded conditions, while there was no remarkable difference in total N₂O emissions between the two nonflooded conditions (Figure 3). The MB and RB treatments inhibited cumulative N₂O emissions by 67 and 69%, respectively. No significant difference was found between the inhibition effects of these two biochars on N₂O emissions during the soil freeze–thaw process.

### Soil mineral N and DOC

In our study, contrasted with the control treatment, the NO₃⁻ content in the nonflooded soil under the MB treatment decreased at 1 day of thawing, while the NO₃⁻ content in the nonflooded soil increased under the RB treatment. There was no significant difference in NO₃⁻ content between the different treatments under flooded conditions (Figure 4A). Both biochar amendments increased NH₄⁺ content, but there was no significant difference in NH₄⁺ content between the two treatments (Figure 4B). Compared with the control treatment, biochar addition increased the content of DOC by 0.32–1.55 times. Moreover, the soil DOC content with RB addition was higher than that with MB addition (Figure 4D). Last, biochar addition reduced the soil MBN content under 100% WHC and flooded soils but did not affect MBN content under 60% WHC soil (Figure 4C).

### Microbial functional genes

There was no significant difference in functional gene abundance related to nitrification and denitrification processes on the first day after thawing compared with before freezing, but most of the gene abundance decreased on the seventh day after thawing (Figure 5). The addition of biochar increased the abundance of the nitrifying gene AOA amoA (Figure 6A) but reduced AOB amoA (Figure 6B). Biochar treatment did not observably influence the abundance of the denitrifying functional gene nirS (Figure 6C) but increased the abundance of nirK (Figure 6D) and nosZ (Figure 6E). In addition, soil moisture had no significant effect on functional gene abundance (Figures 7, 8).

### Relationship among different factors and N₂O emissions

The Mantel test showed that biochar, soil moisture, MBN, NO₃⁻, AOA amoA, and AOB amoA had significant influences on
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N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. Moreover, soil moisture had negative effects on the content of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} (Figure 7). PLS-PM showed that the addition of biochar had significant negative effects on nitrifiers and MBN content and indirectly affected N\textsubscript{2}O emissions through its effect on soil MBN (Figures 8A, B). Soil moisture directly significantly affects N\textsubscript{2}O emissions and indirectly affects N\textsubscript{2}O emissions through its influence on soil physicochemical properties (Figures 8A, B).

Discussion

Effect of soil moisture on N\textsubscript{2}O emissions during thawing

Previous studies observed peaks of N\textsubscript{2}O emission at the start of thawing during the freeze–thaw periods (Prieme and Christensen, 2001; Wu et al., 2020). In the present study, N\textsubscript{2}O gas emissions peaked at the initial stage of soil thawing, which mainly contributed to the release of N\textsubscript{2}O during the freeze–thaw period. There was no significant difference in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions (< 2 μg kg\textsuperscript{−1}) found in this study between soil moisture contents of 60 and 100% WHC during the freeze–thaw process, but the cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions significantly increased to 10.9 μg kg\textsuperscript{−1} in the flooded soil. This result was consistent with Bhowmik et al. (2016), who found that N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from pasture soil increased from 5.43 to 12.3 μg kg\textsuperscript{−1} during freeze–thaw when the soil moisture content increased from 40 to 80%. This result was probably due to denitrification dominating the N\textsubscript{2}O production under saturated water content and then inducing a high risk of N\textsubscript{2}O emission during the thawing process (Mathieu et al., 2006; Braker et al., 2010). Overall, our results highlight the importance of water conditions in regulating N\textsubscript{2}O emissions during thawing, and it is necessary to develop an efficient approach to inhibit the production of N\textsubscript{2}O under flooded conditions.

Soil moisture controls the emission of N\textsubscript{2}O mainly by regulating the content of soil oxygen (O\textsubscript{2}) and thereby affects the transformation of denitrification and nitrification processes (Li et al., 2021). The flooding conditions with high water content in soil reduced O\textsubscript{2} diffusion and formed anoxic or anaerobic environments, which are conducive to denitrification (Ma and Fan, 2020). However, in this study, there was no significant difference in the abundance of functional genes related to nitrification and denitrification processes. But the soil
NO$_3^-$ content, as a substrate for denitrifiers, decreased sharply under flooding conditions during thawing. This result showed that soil moisture probably affects N$_2$O production/reduction by controlling denitrifying microbial activity rather than denitrifying microbial gene abundance.

**Effect of biochar addition on N$_2$O emissions during thawing**

Previous studies found that biochar can effectively reduce soil greenhouse gas production (Yin et al., 2021), which might be a possible method to decrease soil N$_2$O emissions during freeze–thaw. Our results demonstrated that biochar amendment significantly inhibited soil N$_2$O production by 67–69% during freeze–thaw, which was consistent with the results of Liu et al. (2016), who confirmed that biochar addition inhibited soil N$_2$O emissions by 20–70% during freeze–thaw. In another experiment, Hou et al. (2020) found that the application of biochar to...
seasonally frozen farmland soil directly reduced cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions by 24%. However, Zhou et al. (2017) found that biochar with high porosity interacted with freezing and increased N\textsubscript{2}O emissions by microbial lysis. This difference indicated that the effect of biochar amendments on N\textsubscript{2}O emissions during the freeze–thaw process varied with their type. Moreover, based on a meta-analysis, Brassard et al. (2016) found that biochar with a lower nitrogen content and higher C/N ratio (>30) was more suitable for mitigating soil N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. In this study, compared with MB, although RB contained lower nitrogen content but higher porosity, there was no significant difference in N\textsubscript{2}O mitigation potential between the two treatments amended with MB or RB biochar under flooded conditions. This result indicates that the anaerobic environment probably masked the actual impact of biochar properties on N\textsubscript{2}O emissions.

It has been reported that biochar can reduce N\textsubscript{2}O emissions by affecting soil N availability (Liu et al., 2016). However, under near-saturated conditions, Case et al. (2015) found that biochar inhibited the release of N\textsubscript{2}O emissions, but the change in soil inorganic nitrogen (including NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}) was not able to explain the reduction in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. In this study, the amendment of rice straw biochar significantly increased NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} contents but had a negligible effect on N\textsubscript{2}O emissions, indicating that biochar amendment did not affect inorganic N availability for N\textsubscript{2}O production (Case et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2020). Moreover, under flooded conditions, the amendment of biochar increased the NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} content, which supplied an N source for nitrification to produce N\textsubscript{2}O. However, the application of biochar significantly reduced N\textsubscript{2}O emissions, indicating that the reduction in N\textsubscript{2}O was probably due to the inhibitory compounds of biochar.
on nitrifiers and denitrifiers (Taghizadeh-Toosi et al., 2011; Quilliam et al., 2013; Case et al., 2015) rather than the N substrates.

The inhibitory effect of biochar on N₂O formation was mainly due to its inhibitory compounds on denitrification (Case et al., 2015; Edwards et al., 2018). However, in this study, biochar amendments significantly increased the abundance of the AOA amoA gene but reduced AOB amoA gene abundance during the freeze–thaw period. In neutral and alkaline soils, Shen et al. (2012) found that AOB dominate nitrification rather than AOA using the DNA stable isotope probing (DNA-SIP) method. Therefore, it is expected that biochar can reduce N₂O production, probably by limiting the nitrification caused by AOB. During the denitrification process, the amendment of biochar significantly increased the abundance of the nosZ gene encoding N₂O reductase, indicating that biochar reduced N₂O emission through enhanced N₂O reduction during thawing. In addition, the addition of biochar significantly decreased the content of MBN, indicating that biochar reduced N₂O emissions by inhibiting the substrates for N mineralization. Overall, based on the PLS-PM model, although biochar significantly affects the abundance of nitrifiers and denitrifiers, the change in functional genes related to nitrification and denitrification was not able to explain the reduction in N₂O emissions. Further study should focus on the impact of biochar on the activity of nitrifiers and denitrifiers.

**Conclusion**

In the absence of biochar, there was no significant difference in N₂O emissions between soil water holding capacities of 60 and 100% during the freezing–thawing period. However, microbial-mediated denitrification and nitrification processes in flooded soil led to a large increase in N₂O produced by freeze–thaw processes. During
the thawing period, the application of biochar to soil had a negligible effect on N\textsubscript{2}O emissions under nonflooded conditions but significantly reduced cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions by 67–69% under flooded condition. The reduction in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions in the flooded environment was mainly due to biochar reducing N\textsubscript{2}O production by decreasing the AOB amoA gene abundance and enhancing N\textsubscript{2}O reduction by increasing the nosZ gene, which encodes N\textsubscript{2}O reductase. However, across the different water conditions, the change in functional genes related to nitrification and denitrification processes was not able to explain the reduction in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions. Further study should focus on the impact of biochar on the activity of nitrifiers and denitrifiers. Overall, our study showed that field water management was important for the release of N\textsubscript{2}O in the freezing–thawing stage of paddy soil, and biochar addition could alleviate the N\textsubscript{2}O produced in the freezing–thawing stage of flooding soil.
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