Effect of C_{60} on the phase transition behavior of a lipid bilayer under high pressure
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Interactions between fullerenes and cells and effects on the main transition of lipid bilayers have attracted much attention in biophysics in recent years. By employing coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations, we obtained the temperature–pressure phase diagrams of a dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine bilayer, which exhibits a gel phase and a fluid phase, with variation of the C_{60} versus lipid ratios. The simulation results show that the critical area per lipid at the fluid–gel main phase transition boundary increases with the increasing ratios of C_{60}. A critical area per lipid of 0.594 ± 0.002 nm² is obtained when the ratio of C_{60} reaches 6.4% while that of the pure bilayer case is 0.572 ± 0.002 nm². The main transition temperature, $T_{m}$, remains almost unchanged with the addition of C_{60} below a ratio of 4.7%, while a 2 K decrease of $T_{m}$ is observed at a ratio of 6.4% under various pressures. Consequently, the presence of C_{60} in the bilayer, with the ratio of C_{60} less than 4.7%, will not influence the main transition behavior of the bilayer even under pressure as high as 1500 bar. The radial distribution function analyses suggest that the presence of C_{60} produces no impact on the radial distribution of the lipids in the bilayers. The lateral density profiles show that the incorporation of C_{60} with relatively high ratios stabilizes the thickness of the bilayer.

1 Introduction

Fullerenes have attracted attention extensively since C_{60} was first discovered in 1985. They have various applications in diverse fields, e.g., energy application, cosmetic products, medical application and polymer modifications due to their unique physicochemical properties. In particular, tremendous efforts have been focused on the applications of fullerenes in biomedicine since the landmark work by Friedman and co-workers in 1993. For example, fullerenes have been used as HIV inhibitors and radical scavengers in therapeutics and fullerene–biomolecule conjugates have been designed as drug-delivery systems. In addition, C_{60} fullerene and its derivatives are remarkable triplet sensitizers, which can be used to produce highly reactive oxygen species for photodynamic therapy. On the basis of the appealing characteristics and application prospects of fullerenes, there has been growing interest in the study of fullerenes and their interactions with biological systems, in particular with lipid bilayers.

In a biological system, a biomembrane is one of the most fundamental structural elements, which acts as a highly selective barrier for the exchange of various molecules between different spatial regions and compartments. A lipid bilayer is usually employed as a simplified model of a biomembrane in the relevant studies. It is well known that the lipid bilayer exists in various phase states, depending on pressure, temperature, the lipid components and the ionic composition of the aqueous environment, etc. At high temperatures, the lipid molecules pack in a disordered state and form a flat fluid membrane called a fluid phase or a liquid-crystalline phase, which is mainly physiologically relevant. At low temperatures, on the contrary, the lipid molecules are densely compacted, forming a gel phase, which is characterized by ordered chains, a lower area per lipid (APL) and lower lipid lateral mobility compared to the fluid phase. The transition from the fluid phase to the gel phase with a temperature decrease is defined as the main transition, and the critical temperature of the main transition is called the main transition temperature ($T_{m}$). The main transition plays a vital role in biophysics for the reason that the shift of the $T_{m}$ may exert remarkable influences on the biological activity of cell membranes and thus on interrupting the cell functions. For example, it has been reported that the physical state of lipid acyl chains produces a striking impact on the activity of membrane enzymes and the membrane transport processes.

Experimental and simulation studies have confirmed that C_{60} can enter into lipid bilayers. The effects of C_{60} on the physico-structural properties of lipid membranes have been investigated by Wong-Ekkabut and co-workers using computer simulations. They reported an increase of bilayer viscosity and a 40% decrease of the lateral diffusion coefficient of the lipids. Meanwhile, the authors concluded that the addition of C_{60} has no obvious...
structural damage to the membrane since the phenomena of pore formation, micellization and bilayer rupture were not observed. Zhou et al. studied the effects of \( \text{C}_{60} \) on the mechanical resistance and elastic modulus of lipid bilayers using a combination of atomic force microscopy, laser scattering and infrared spectroscopy.\(^{24}\) The results suggested that the incorporation of \( \text{C}_{60} \) weakens the lipid mobility in the gel phase and increases the membrane viscosity. Nevertheless, most of such studies focused on the effect of \( \text{C}_{60} \) on the mechanical and structural properties of the lipid membrane. Few studies have elucidated the effects of \( \text{C}_{60} \) on the main transition of the lipid membrane, in particular under high pressure.

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations provide molecular-level insights into the mechanisms of various biological processes.\(^{30}\) Many investigations on the main transition behavior of the lipid membrane using the MD simulation approach are successful.\(^{31–34}\) In this study, we aim to explore the effects of \( \text{C}_{60} \) on the main transition behavior of the lipid bilayer and the distribution properties under high pressure. Using systematic coarse-grained (CG) MD simulations of fluid dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) bilayers with various addition ratios of \( \text{C}_{60} \), we obtain the relationship between \( T_m \) of the DPPC bilayer and the amount of \( \text{C}_{60} \) under different pressures and the \( T-p \) phase diagrams of the DPPC bilayer. Our results suggest that the presence of \( \text{C}_{60} \) below a ratio of 4.7%, does not significantly influence the main transition behavior of the DPPC bilayer. However, the \( T_m \) of the DPPC bilayer decreases by about 2 K in the presence of 6.4% \( \text{C}_{60} \) under various pressures. In addition, the distribution properties of the DPPC molecules in the bilayer are discussed based on the radial distribution analyses and lateral density profiles to obtain further information of the effects of \( \text{C}_{60} \) on the DPPC bilayer.

2 Materials and methods

The Martini CG force field was employed in our dynamic simulations to study the effect of \( \text{C}_{60} \) on the main transition behavior and the relative distribution properties of the lipid bilayer under high pressure.\(^{35–36}\) The initial DPPC bilayer coordinate file was obtained using the bilayer formation program insane.py provided by Wassenaar’s group,\(^{37}\) and the \( \text{C}_{60} \) particle coordinate file was from a previous model.\(^{38}\) A particle free DPPC bilayer containing 264 lipids (132 lipids for each leaflet) and fully hydrated with 4130 CG water beads was placed in the simulation box with a dimension of 10 \( \times \) 9 \( \times \) 10 \( \text{nm}^3 \). The \( \text{C}_{60} \) bilayer systems were generated by mixing the \( \text{C}_{60} \) in a fully hydrated DPPC bilayer simulation box. One, four, eight, twelve and sixteen \( \text{C}_{60} \) particle(s) were added to the bilayer, corresponding to the \( \text{C}_{60} \)-DPPC ratios of 0.4%, 1.5%, 3.0%, 4.7% and 6.4%, respectively. The \( \text{C}_{60} \) particles were initially placed in the lipid tail regions to achieve an equilibrium state of the system as soon as possible. The CG models of a DPPC molecule, \( \text{C}_{60} \) particle and a snapshot of a DPPC bilayer with a number of \( \text{C}_{60} \) particles in an equilibrium state are shown in Fig. 1. All of the molecules in our CG-MD simulations were built up by various kinds of CG bead.

All MD simulations started from the fluid phase state and the \( \text{C}_{60} \)-DPPC bilayer systems were equilibrated at 325 K under ambient pressure for 3 \( \mu \text{s} \). Then, the equilibrated patches under ambient pressure were coupled to higher pressures of 1, 300, 600, 1000 and 1500 bar, respectively, and equilibrated again for another 3 \( \mu \text{s} \). The temperatures of the simulated equilibration at pressures lower than 300 bar were coupled to 325 K while the others were coupled to 370 K with an aim to obtain the fluid phase. The final equilibrated configurations corresponding to various higher pressures have been achieved for further simulations. All MD simulations were performed within the Gromacs 5.1.1 environment.\(^{39,40}\) Periodic boundary conditions were applied, and the constant temperature and pressure conditions were achieved using the Berendsen algorithm.\(^{40}\) The coupling constant of the temperature was 0.1 ps, while that of the pressure was 0.2 ps. We chose a semi-isotropic way for the pressure coupling to maintain a zero surface tension. Lennard-Jones (LJ) and electrostatic potentials were cut off at 1.1 nm. The time step

![Fig. 1](image_url) (A) The CG models of DPPC and \( \text{C}_{60} \). A DPPC molecule consists of 12 CG beads. The blue, green, pink and cyan beads represent the CG beads of the Q0, Qa, Na and C1 type, respectively. One \( \text{C}_{60} \) molecule consists of 16 CNP CG beads. (B) A snapshot of a DPPC bilayer with a number of \( \text{C}_{60} \) particles in an equilibrium state. For clarity, the CG water molecules are not shown.
of the simulations was fixed at 20 fs. Visual molecular dynamics (VMD) software, version 1.9.2, was employed for the final visualization of the simulation results.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 APL

The APL is related to the tilt angle of the lipid tail and thus indicates the order of the acyl chain. The APL was calculated to investigate the progress of the main transition, as shown in Fig. 2. For the pure DPPC bilayer, a critical APL of $0.572 \pm 0.002 \text{ nm}^2$ is found at the liquid–gel phase transition boundary, for which a value of 0.57 nm$^2$ has also been reported by Lai et al. through computer simulations for a pure DPPC membrane case.$^{31}$ The critical APL increases with the increasing ratio of C$_{60}$ and it reaches $0.594 \pm 0.002 \text{ nm}^2$ when the ratio of C$_{60}$ increases to 6.4%. The results show that the incorporation of C$_{60}$ in the DPPC bilayer increases the critical APL and an increment of the ratio of C$_{60}$ increases to 6.4%. This suggests that the main transition from the fluid phase to the gel phase becomes more difficult to take place. The value of 0.58 nm$^2$ was therefore used to determine whether the main transition has occurred or not in the following simulations, such that the $T_m$ corresponding to various pressures can be obtained.

3.2 Phase transition temperature

The $T_m$s of the pure DPPC bilayer under various external pressures are shown in Fig. 3. One can observe that the $T_m$ increases when the pure DPPC bilayer is coupled to higher external pressures. The maximum difference in $T_m$ between this work and the reported data is within 1 K, indicating a good agreement with the previous work.$^{31}$

The main transition of the lipid bilayer at ambient pressure has been well studied by Marrink et al.$^{43}$ The calculated phase diagram of the DPPC bilayer is shown in Fig. 4. The same $T_m$ of 289 K was found for the DPPC bilayers when the ratios of C$_{60}$ are below 4.7% at ambient pressure. A corresponding value of 288 K has been reported by Lai et al.$^{31}$ and Ramalho et al.$^{33}$ in a pure DPPC bilayer case. The 1 K shift of the $T_m$ is probably caused by thermal fluctuations and the differences in the system size and simulation time. At a ratio of 6.4%, the $T_m$ decreases by about 2 K. In all of the simulations, the $T_m$ increases with an increase in the external pressure, exhibiting a nonlinear relationship between the temperature $T$ and the pressure $p$, which qualitatively agrees with the experimental data.$^{42}$ Our simulations show that the $T_m$ of the DPPC bilayer will not be affected and the $T-p$ phase diagram stays almost unchanged until the ratio of C$_{60}$
increases to 6.4%. Also, the positive slope is controlled by the Clausius–Clapeyron equation and the deviation of the simulation result from the experimental data can be explained by the finite simulation time and the suppression of thermal undulations originating from periodic boundary conditions.\textsuperscript{31}

More simulations were performed to study the relationship between \( T_m \) and external pressures. In a semi-isotropic pressure coupling way, different negative pressures were applied in the lateral direction while ambient pressure was enforced in the perpendicular direction. The specific pressure settings were (1) \(-5 \) bar in the lateral direction and 1 bar in the perpendicular direction; (2) \(-10 \) bar in the lateral direction and 1 bar in the perpendicular direction; and (3) \(-15 \) bar in the lateral direction and 1 bar in the perpendicular direction. Again, the \( T-p \) phase diagram was obtained in such a semi-isotropic pressure coupling way, as shown in Fig. 5. The result also suggests that the \( T_m \) increases with the increase of pressure in the lateral direction in all of the simulations. The vast majority of the differences of the \( T_{m5}s \) for the various ratios of \( C_{60} \) and under different pressures are within 1 K compared with that of the pure DPPC bilayer. Accordingly, the \( T_m \) of the DPPC bilayer hardly changes in response to the presence of \( C_{60} \) in such a semi-isotropic coupling of pressure.

3.3 Radial distribution function \( g(r) \)

As shown in Fig. 6A, the radial distribution of the DPPC–DPPC molecules in the fluid phase at 325 K shows a long-range disordered distribution due to a disordered packing of the acyl chains in the fluid phase. In Fig. 6B, on the contrary, the radial distribution of the DPPC–DPPC molecules in the gel phase shows a long-range ordered distribution due to the ordered packing of the lipids in the gel phase. The various curves corresponding to the different ratios of \( C_{60} \) conform well to each other. Therefore, it can be concluded that the presence of \( C_{60} \) under a proportion of 6.4% does not disturb the radial distribution of the DPPC molecule in the lipid bilayer at ambient pressure. This probably can be explained by the limited amount of \( C_{60} \) present in the DPPC bilayer. The distortion of the lipid packing caused by the interaction between the \( C_{60} \) and DPPC molecules is too small to be observed in the radial distribution curves.

3.4 Lateral density profile

The lateral density profiles of a set of fluid DPPC bilayers at ambient pressure are shown in Fig. 7. The density curves of the DPPC bilayers and water intersect with each other at a distance of about 2.1 nm from the bilayer center. Moreover, the distance between the intersections of the DPPC bilayers and water can be used to estimate the thickness of the DPPC bilayer. In Fig. 7A and B, the distance between the intersections decreases with the increase of temperature, indicating that the thickness of the DPPC bilayer with relatively low ratios of \( C_{60} \) decreases with the temperature increase. The reduction in thickness can be explained by the bending and tilting of the acyl chains in the tail region with the temperature increase and therefore it can be concluded that the thickness of the DPPC bilayer is sensitive to temperature. However, in Fig. 7C–F, the distance between the intersections does not change with the increase of temperature, indicating that the thickness of the bilayer with a relatively high ratio of \( C_{60} \)–DPPC remains constant regardless of the temperature variation. Therefore, the different thicknesses with the elevation of temperature with different ratios of \( C_{60} \) suggest that

\fig{6}{The radial distribution functions, \( g(r) \), of the DPPC–DPPC molecules in the fluid and the gel phases at ambient pressure with various ratios of \( C_{60} \). (A) The distribution function at 325 K with the DPPC bilayer in the fluid phase; and (B) the distribution function at 280 K with the DPPC bilayer in the gel phase. The red, green, blue, cyan, magenta and black lines represent the \( g(r) \) of the DPPC bilayers with ratios of \( C_{60} \) of 0%, 0.4%, 1.5%, 3.0%, 4.7% and 6.4%, respectively. A long-range disordered distribution and a long-range ordered distribution of the DPPC molecules emerges in the fluid phase and the gel phase, respectively. The radial distribution of the DPPC molecules in the bilayer does not change in the presence of a small amount of \( C_{60} \).}
the presence of C$_{60}$ with a relatively high ratio can alleviate the sensitivity of the bilayer thickness to temperature. In other words, the presence of C$_{60}$ with a relatively high ratio can stabilize the thickness of the DPPC bilayer. This can be understood based on the fact that the flexibility of the lipid tail is suppressed by the presence of C$_{60}$ through steric hindrance. Accordingly, when the temperature increases, the tilting and bending of the lipid tail would become harder to take place, resulting in a constant bilayer thickness. Also, in Fig. 7A, the valley in the bilayer center remains almost unchanged with the increase of temperature. On the other hand, however, in Fig. 7B–F the density of the DPPC molecules in the bilayer center decreases with the increase of temperature. Such a difference suggests that the presence of C$_{60}$ can impose a local influence on the lateral distribution of the DPPC molecules.

In the CG Martini force-field, the non-bonded interactions consist of LJ potential and Coulomb potential. The visual results with VMD showed that the interaction sites between C$_{60}$ and the DPPC molecules locate in the CG beads C1 and Na, as shown in Fig. 1B. In the parameter setting of the CG Martini force-field, the parameters $\sigma_{ij}$ between C1–C1, C1–CNP, Na–Na, and Na–CNP of the LJ potential energy function are all 0.47 nm. However, another parameter $\varepsilon_{ij}$ between C1–CNP, C1–C1, Na–CNP, and Na–Na is 3.15 kJ mol$^{-1}$, 3.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$, 3.25 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and 4.0 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The interaction strength between C1–CNP and Na–CNP is weaker than that of C1–C1 and Na–Na, respectively. This suggests that the presence of C$_{60}$ weakens the non-bonding interactions, which can lead to a decrease in $T_m$. However, it has been reported that the presence of C$_{60}$ also decreases the lateral diffusion coefficient of the DPPC molecules. Our simulation also confirms a reduction of the lateral diffusion coefficient of the DPPC molecules with the addition of C$_{60}$. The reduced interactions between the molecules and the mobility of the DPPC molecules are possible explanations as to why the critical temperature, $T_{m}$, remains almost constant when C$_{60}$ particles, below ratios of 4.7%, are introduced into the DPPC bilayer. A proper theoretical model is required to further the understanding of this phenomenon. At a ratio of C$_{60}$ of 6.4%, the presence of C$_{60}$ decreases the $T_m$ of the DPPC bilayer by about 2 K, which is consistent with the result of the critical APL analysis in Fig. 2.

### 4 Conclusions

In this study, CGMD simulations have been performed to investigate the effect of C$_{60}$ and its amount on the main...
transition behavior and the distribution property of a DPPC bilayer at high pressure. The critical APL increases with the elevation of the amount of C60. A critical area per lipid of 0.594 ± 0.002 nm² is obtained when the ratio of C60 reaches 6.4% while that of the pure bilayer case is 0.572 ± 0.002 nm². Our simulation results show that the T_m increases with the increase of external pressure. When the C60–DPPC ratio is less than 4.7%, C60 particles exert no significant influence on the T_m of the DPPC bilayer. Also, the T_m decreases by about 2 K with a ratio of C60 of 6.4%. This suggests that the cytotoxicity of C60 is negligible from the point of view of the main transition when the ratio of C60 is less then 4.7%. Moreover, the T–p phase diagram of the DPPC bilayers with different ratios of C60 under various external pressures was obtained.

The radial distribution function and the lateral density profiles suggest that the presence of C60 produces no impact on the radial distribution of the DPPC molecules in the lipid bilayers but imposes a local influence on the lateral distribution of the DPPC molecules. The presence of C60 with a relatively high ratio decreases the sensitivity of the bilayer thickness to temperature and thus stabilizes the thickness of the DPPC bilayer.
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