V1/V2 Neutralizing Epitope is Conserved in Divergent Non-M Groups of HIV-1
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Background: Highly potent broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (bNAbs) have been obtained from individuals infected by HIV-1 group M variants. We analyzed the cross-group neutralization potency of these bNAbs toward non-M primary isolates (PI).

Material and Methods: The sensitivity to neutralization was analyzed in a neutralization assay using TZM-bi cells. Twenty-three bNAbs were used, including reagents targeting the CD4-binding site, the N160 glycan-V1/V2 site, the membrane proximal external region of gp41, and complex epitopes spanning both env subunits. Two bispecific antibodies that combine the inhibitory activity of an anti-CD4 with that of PG9 or PG16 bNAbs were included in the study (PG9-iMab and PG16-iMab).

Results: Cross-group neutralization was observed only with the bNAbs targeting the N160 glycan-V1/V2 site. Four group O PIs, 1 group N PI, and the group P PI were neutralized by PG9 and/or PG16 or PGT145 at low concentrations (0.04–9.39 µg/mL). None of the non-M PIs was neutralized by the bNAbs targeting other regions at the highest concentration tested, except 10E8 that neutralized weakly 2 group N PIs and 35O22 that neutralized 1 group O PI. The bispecific bNAbs neutralized very efficiently all the non-M PIs with IC50 below 1 µg/mL, except 2 group O strains.

Conclusion: The N160 glycan-V1/V2 site is the most conserved neutralizing site within the 4 groups of HIV-1. This makes it an interesting target for the development of HIV vaccine immunogens. The corresponding bNAbs may be useful for immunotherapeutic strategies in patients infected by non-M variants.
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INTRODUCTION

Through technological advances associating B-cell clonal amplification, antibody cloning in expression vectors, and high-throughput neutralization assays, many extremely potent and broad donor-derived human monoclonal neutralizing antibodies (bNAbs) directed to the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) were discovered over the past 5 years (for reviews, see Refs. 1–4). They target sites of vulnerability on the HIV-1 envelope glycoproteins: 3 within the external glycoprotein gp120 corresponding to the CD4-binding site (CD4bs), a V1/V2-glycan-dependent site (N160 glycan-V1/V2), and a V3-glycan-dependent site (N332 glycan-V3), 1 corresponding to the membrane proximal external region (MPER) of the transmembrane glycoprotein gp41, and more recently described, epitopes located at the gp120-gp41 interface.5–8 When passively transferred, the bNAbs can protect against Simian HIV infection in non-human primates at low concentrations.9,10 In addition, they can also suppress established infection in humanized mice and macaques.11–13 After the identification of this new generation of bNAbs, both their breadth and potency were still improved by either structure-based gene modifications14,15 or creation of bispecific antibodies that combine...
the HIV-1 inhibitory activity of an anti-human CD4 with that of anti-gp120 bNAbs (BibNAbs).16

All the data described above were obtained using group M HIV-1 viruses. The identification of antigenic targets of the bNAbs that would be conserved among highly divergent HIV-1 variants, such as variants related to groups N, O, and P, might be of additional value to inform HIV-1 vaccine design. Indeed, a high conservation of a neutralizing antigenic site would suggest its major role in the biology of the HIV-1 species and, therefore, its potential importance as a vaccine component. We recently presented data with some evidence for conservation of the N160 glycan-V1/V2 site within the different groups.17 The aim of this study was to extend the previous analysis to a larger panel of non-M viruses and to the most potent bNAbs described today, including BibNAbs.

METHODS

Virus Isolates

Sixteen HIV-1 primary isolates (PIs) related to groups O, N, and P were used (Table 1). There were 12 group O viruses, 2 group N viruses, 1 group P virus, and 1 recombinant M/O virus. The entire env region of the recombinant M/O virus was related to group M. These viruses were isolated from blood samples collected between 1994 and 2011, either in Cameroon or in France (see references in Table 1). As shown in Figure 1, the PIs were representative of the non-M diversity because they did not cluster in specific branches of the tree. The sequence divergence among the viruses used in the study is shown in Table S1 (see Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A753). Virus stocks were prepared by passaging isolates only once or twice on phytohemagglutinin-stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells from a HIV-negative blood donor.

Neutralizing Antibodies and Soluble CD4

Twenty-three neutralizing antibodies were used (Table 2). Eight human monoclonal bNAbs target the CD4bs: VRC01, VRC03, 3BNC117, and 5 clonal variants of the bNAb NIH45-46G54W.14 Four bNAbs target the N160 glycan-V1/V2 site: PGT145, PG9, PG16, and the modified PG9-PG16 RSH.15 Three bNAbs target the N332 glycan-V3 site: PGT121, PGT128, and 10-1074. Three bNAbs target the MPER: 2F5, 4E10, and 10E8. In addition, we used 2 human monoclonal bNAbs 8ANC195 and 35O22 that target the interface of both env subunits5,8 and the single-domain llama antibody JM4sdAb that targets an epitope involving elements of both coreceptor-binding sites and CD4bs on gp120.24

Two BibNAbs, PG9-iMab, and PG16-iMab, that exhibit exceptional breadth and potency toward HIV-1 group M isolates, were analyzed.16 They are bispecific antibodies that combine the HIV-1 inhibitory activity of ibalizumab (iMab), a humanized Mab directed to domain 2 of human CD4, with that of PG9 or PG16. They were constructed by engraftment of the single-chain variable fragment (scFv) of PG9 or PG16 at the N-terminus of the heavy chain of iMab by a flexible linker. The parental anti-CD4 iMab, whose both safety and anti-HIV-1 efficacy have been established in

| TABLE 1. Characteristics of the HIV-1 Strains Related to Groups O, N, and P, Used in the Study |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Code   | Group (Clade*) | Isolation From | Clinical Stage at the Time of Isolation | CD4+ T-cell Count (/mm³) at the Time of Isolation | No. Passages* | Ref. |
| BCF02  | O (A)           | PBMC           | AIDS            | 19               | ≥2              | 18              |
| BCF03  | O (A)           | PBMC           | Asymptomatic    | 97               | ≥2              | 18              |
| BCF108† | O (A)           | PBMC           | AIDS            | 90               | ≥2              | 18              |
| RBF125 | O (B)           | PBMC           | NA              | NA               | 0               | 19              |
| RBF189 | O (A)           | Plasma         | AIDS            | NA               | 2               | 19              |
| YBF16  | O (C)           | PBMC           | AIDS            | NA               | ≥2              | 18              |
| YBF35  | O (U§)          | PBMC           | AIDS            | NA               | ≥2              | 18              |
| YBF26‡ | O (A)           | PBMC           | AIDS            | NA               | ≥2              | 18              |
| YBF32‡ | O (A)           | PBMC           | AIDS            | NA               | ≥2              | 18              |
| YBF37  | O (U§)          | PBMC           | Pulmonary tuberculosis | NA              | ≥2              | 18              |
| YBF38  | O (A)           | PBMC           | Pulmonary tuberculosis | NA              | ≥2              | 18              |
| YBF39  | O (A)           | PBMC           | AIDS            | NA               | ≥2              | 18              |
| RBF168 | P               | PBMC           | Asymptomatic    | 268              | 0               | 20              |
| YBF30  | N               | PBMC           | AIDS            | NA               | ≥2              | 21              |
| N1.FR.2011‡ | N | Plasma       | Primary infection | 219              | 0               | 22              |
| RBF208 | M-O Rec|| | Plasma         | AIDS            | 6                | 0               | 23              |

*Defined by the phylogenetic analysis of the Pr-RT region of the pol gene and at least the gp41 region of the env gene.
†(Number of passages before preparation of the virus stocks used in this study.
‡PIs those were not included in the previous study.17
§Unclassified.
||Recombinant strain: HIV-O clade A (pol gene)/HIV-M subtype D (env gene).
NA, not available; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell.
FIGURE 1. Phylogenetic analysis of env sequences. The 16 env sequences of the PIs included in the study were aligned with 72 env sequences from non-M variants that were available in the Los Alamos database. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 752,171,464 is shown. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Tamura-Nei method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The rate variation among sites was modeled with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 1). All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair. There were a total of 3165 positions in the final data set. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6.
### TABLE 2. Sensitivity to Neutralization (IC₅₀) of 16 Non-M PIs

| Pls, Group | BCF02, O | BCF03, O | BCF108, O | RBF125, O | RBF189, O | YBF16, O | YBF26, O | YBF32, O |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Nab        |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Anti-CD4bs |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| VRC01      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| VRC03      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| NIH45-46G54W | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| 45-46 m2    | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      |
| 45-46 m7    | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      |
| 45-46 m25   | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      |
| 45-46 m28   | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      |
| 3BNC117     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| Anti-V1/V2  |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| PGT145      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| PG9         | 0.23     | 9.39    | >10     | >10     | 4.99    | >10     | >10     | 1.60    |
| PG16        | 6.10     | >10     | >10     | 7.62    | >10     | >10     | 1.20    |         |
| PG9-PG16 RSH | 0.02     | 0.60    | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | 0.88    | 0.20    |
| BibNAb      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| PG9-iMab    | 0.02     | 0.61    | 0.19    | 0.73    | 0.70    | 1.70    | 0.51    | 0.49    |
| PG16-iMab   | 0.01     | 0.24    | 0.06    | 0.94    | 0.59    | 2.95    | 0.14    | 0.48    |
| Anti-V3     |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| PGT121      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| PGT128      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| 10-1074     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| Anti-MPER   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 2F5         | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| 4E10        | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| 10E8        | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| Others      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 8ANC195     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| 30O22       | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| JM48sAb     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     |
| Anti-CD4 iMab | 4.80     | 8.00    | 6.06    | 7.56    | 4.71    | 4.83    | 2.20    | 1.69    |
| sCD4        | 7.05     | 6.84    | 8.66    | 9.57    | 9.68    | 3.07    | 9.59    | >10     |

| Pls, Group | YBF35, O | YBF37, O | YBF38, O | YBF39, O | RBF208, M/O | YBF30, N | N1.FR.2011, N | RBF168, P |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| Nab        |         |         |         |         |             |         |               |         |
| Anti-CD4bs |         |         |         |         |             |         |               |         |
| VRC01      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | 3.64        | >10     | >10           | >10     |
| VRC03      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10         | >10     | >10           | >10     |
| NIH45-46G54W | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | 1.50        | >10     | >10           | >10     |
| 45-46 m2    | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | 0.23        | >1      | >1            | >1      |
| 45-46 m7    | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | 0.31        | >1      | >1            | >1      |
| 45-46 m25   | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | 0.36        | >1      | >1            | >1      |
| 45-46 m28   | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | 0.19        | >1      | >1            | >1      |
| 3BNC117     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | 0.63        | >10     | >10           | >10     |
| Anti-V1/V2  |         |         |         |         |             |         |               |         |
| PGT145      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10         | >10     | >10           | 0.13    |
| PG9         | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10         | >10     | >10           | >10     |
| PG16        | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10         | >10     | >10           | >10     |
| PG9-PG16 RSH | >1      | >1      | >1      | >1      | 0.04        | >10     | >10           | >10     |
| BibNAb      |         |         |         |         |             |         |               |         |
| PG9-iMab    | 4.99    | 0.41    | 0.56    | 0.44    | 0.44        | 0.04    | 0.24          | 0.25    |
| PG16-iMab   | >10     | 0.20    | 0.35    | 0.22    | 0.15        | 0.001   | 0.48          | 0.56    |
clinical trials, was used as control. The sensitivity to soluble CD4 (sCD4; Progenics Pharmaceuticals, Tarrytown, NY) was also analyzed.

Neutralization Assay

Sensitivity to bNAbs or sCD4 of the PIs was assessed in TZM-bl cells as previously described. Briefly, virus stocks were diluted to 10,000 TCID$_{50}$/mL. Aliquots of 50 μL corresponding to 500 TCID$_{50}$ were then incubated for 1 hour at 37°C with 50 μL of 3-fold serial dilutions of each reagent (starting at 10 μg/mL for all the reagents, except at 1 μg/mL for PG9-PG16 RSH and the clonal variants of NIH45-46 G54W). The virus-antibody mixture was then used to infect 10,000 TZM-bl cells in the presence of 30 μg/mL DEAE-dextran. Infection levels were determined after 48 hours by measuring the luciferase activity of cell lysates using the Bright-Glo luciferase assay (Promega, Madison, WI) and a Centro LB 960 luminometer (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). The results were expressed as the mean values of the assays performed in duplicate. IC$_{50}$ values were defined as the bNAb concentration required to reduce the relative luminescence units by 50%.

**RESULTS**

None of the bNAbs targeting the CD4bs or the N332 glycan-V3 site was capable of neutralizing the non-M viruses (Table 2). Similarly, 8ANC195 and JM45sAb did not neutralize any of the non-M viruses, at least at concentration below 10 μg/mL. 35O22 neutralized only 1 group O strain (YBF26 strain which was resistant to PG9 and PG16, it was sensitive to PGT145 (IC$_{50}$ = 0.88 μg/mL). Although the group P prototype strain RBF168 was resistant to neutralization by PG9 and PG16, it was sensitive to PGT145 (IC$_{50}$ = 0.13 μg/mL). All together, these data strongly suggest that the neutralizing epitopes located in the N160 glycan-V1/V2 site are conserved within all groups of the HIV-1 species, at least at some degree.

The sensitivity to soluble CD4 (sCD4; Progenics Pharmaceuticals, Tarrytown, NY) was also analyzed.

| PIs, Group | YBF35, O | YBF37, O | YBF38, O | YBF39, O | RBF208, M/O | YBF30, N | N1.FR.2011, N | RBF168, P |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------|-------------|----------|
| Anti-V3    | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | >10     | >10         | >10      |
| PGT121     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | >10     | >10         | >10      |
| PGT128     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | >10     | >10         | >10      |
| 10-1074    | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | 2.86       | >10     | >10         | >10      |
| Anti-MPER  |         |         |         |         |            |         |             |          |
| 2F5        | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | >10     | >10         | >10      |
| 4E10       | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | >10     | >10         | >10      |
| 10E8       | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | 4.83       | 3.69    | 3.35        | >10      |
| Others     |         |         |         |         |            |         |             |          |
| 8ANC195    | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | 0.31    | >10         | >10      |
| 35O22      | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | >10     | >10         | >10      |
| JM45sAb    | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | 2.31    | >10         | >10      |
| Anti-CD4   |         |         |         |         |            |         |             |          |
| iMab       |         |         |         |         |            |         |             |          |
| sCD4       | 6.80    | >10     | >10     | >10     | >10        | >10     | >10         | >10      |

*Bold and italic values show neutralizing activity between 1 and 10 μg/mL and below 1 μg/mL, respectively. Only 6 of the 23 bNAbs were used in the previous study: VRC01, VRC03, PG9, PG16, 2F5, and 4E10.*
observed for both the PG9-sensitive and PG16-sensitive and the PG9-resistant and PG16-resistant viruses (Fig. 3A). Indeed, the 5 dual-sensitive viruses (BCF02, BCF03, RBF189, YBF32, and YBF30) were neutralized approximately 10-fold more potently by the BibNAbs than by PG9 or PG16. The data suggest that the enhanced potency was not simply due to the additive effects of the parental antibodies.

The most sensitive viruses to PG9-iMab and PG16-iMab were also the most sensitive to PG9 or PG16 (Fig. 3A), suggesting that the high potency of the BibNAbs was mediated by the gp120-binding activity of PG9 and PG16 scFvs. The improved activity of PG9-iMab and PG16-iMab is illustrated also in Figure 3B, where viral neutralization coverage as a function of increasing concentrations is shown.

The most sensitive viruses to PG9-iMab and PG16-iMab were also the most sensitive to PG9 or PG16 (Fig. 3A), suggesting that the high potency of the BibNAbs was mediated by the gp120-binding activity of PG9 and PG16 scFvs. The improved activity of PG9-iMab and PG16-iMab is illustrated also in Figure 3B, where viral neutralization coverage as a function of increasing concentrations is shown.

FIGURE 2. Conservation of amino acids involved in antibody binding epitopes. An alignment of the env protein sequences of the non-M viruses used in the study is depicted, with dashes representing gaps introduced to improve the alignment. HXB2 sequence is shown as reference. Amino acids are colored based on their physicochemical properties. The logo plots denote the conservation of individual amino acids, with the height of each letter indicating the proportion of sequences that contain the residue at that site. Contact residues of VRC01 (blue), JM4SAb (yellow), and MPER bAbs (brown) are highlighted below the alignment. The Y symbols indicate the positions of the glycans associated with antibody neutralizing activity (see colors in the inserted legend to identify the corresponding bNAbs).
More than 80% viral coverage was achieved by PG9-iMab and PG16-iMab at IC$_{50}$ below 1 µg/mL, whereas this coverage was achieved at approximately 8 µg/mL for iMab alone while PG9 or PG16 alone neutralized less than 35% of the non-M PIs at 10 µg/mL.

Soluble CD4 neutralized 8 of the 12 group O strains, but none of the N or P variants at 10 µg/mL (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

HIV-1 non-M variants are mainly endemic in Cameroon, where the prevalence has been stable over the last 10 years, and sporadic cases were reported outside this region, particularly in France. In contrast to the prevalence of HIV-1M that has progressed exponentially worldwide, the non-M viruses did not spread and their prevalence remains stable for reasons that are still greatly unknown, even in Cameroon. As such, they do not seem as a major public health problem. However, because of their distant genetic relatedness to HIV-1, they represent a relevant tool to study conserved biological properties. We, and others previously, have shown that sera from HIV-1-infected individuals may share cross-neutralizing activities against groups M, N, O, and P PIs. The identification of antigenic targets of bNAbs that would be conserved among highly divergent HIV-1 variants could be informative for HIV vaccine design. Taking the opportunity to analyze the sensitivity of a panel of rare non-M PIs to the most potent bNAbs described today, we confirm and expand the impact of our previous findings that suggested some degree of conservation of the PG9/PG16 epitopes within these divergent groups. Indeed, 17 of the 23 bNAbs that we used in this study were not included in our previous work. A limitation of our findings might be the representativeness of the panel of non-M strains because we included less than 20 PIs. However, because of the scarcity of non-M PIs, we consider that it represents a relatively large panel. In addition, the phylogenetic analysis that included all the env gene sequences from non-M variants available in the Los Alamos database revealed that our isolates did not cluster in particular branches. It suggests that the neutralization properties that we describe may be representative of the entire non-M population.

We provide data showing that variants related to groups O, N, and P are neutralized by bNAbs, targeting the N160 glycan-V1/V2 site, either by PG9 and PG16 for groups O and

**FIGURE 3.** Neutralization breadth and potency of PG9-iMab and PG16-iMab, and parental Mabs against the panel of non-M viruses. A, Comparison of potency. For each virus, IC$_{50}$ are represented with a closed circle for PG9-iMab, an open circle for PG9, a closed square for PG16-iMab, an open square for PG16, and a cross for iMab. B, Percent viral coverage achieved by PG9-iMab, PG16-iMab, PG9, PG16, and iMab. Cumulative frequency distribution of IC$_{50}$ values of the antibodies tested against the 16 viruses. Symbols as above.
N viruses or by PGT145 for the single–group P isolate. Although the bNAbbs directed to the CD4bs or to the N332 glycan-V3 site that we used were selected among the most potent reagents that exist up to now, we did not detect cross-neutralizing activity involving these 2 major gp120 antigenic sites. In view of the lack of effect of antibodies to the CD4b, we analyzed the sensitivity of the viruses to neutralization by soluble CD4. Only approximately half of them were neutralized by sCD4, suggesting a lower sensitivity to sCD4 of non-M viruses also. The 2 bNAbbs 8ANC195 and 35O22 that target epitopes located at the gp120-gp41 interface had no cross-neutralization potential, except 35O22 which neutralized a single–group O isolate (YB16) at an IC 
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