Effectiveness of amiodarone and lidocaine combination in termination of monomorphic ventricular tachycardia electrical storm in patient with dilated cardiomyopathy and severe left ventricular dysfunction

Abstract

Electrical storm is life threatening condition that is defined by ≥3 episodes of sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT), ventricular fibrillation, or appropriate shocks from an ICD within 24h. In hospitals lacking electrophysiology service, the therapy includes rapid recognition of the condition, treatment of the reversible causes, immediate start of antiarrhythmic drugs and sedation. Catheter ablation should be considered in drug refractory electrical storm. We present a case of dilated cardiomyopathy with severe left ventricular dysfunction came with electrical storm of nonmonomorphic ventricular tachycardia. He was found to have hyperkalemia and metabolic acidosis as precipitating factors which were aggressively treated. He received total of 43 electrical cardioversion shocks for hemodynamic unstable VT. Concomitant administration of intravenous infusion of amiodarone and lidocaine was an effective approach to control the electrical storm within 24 h of starting both.
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Introduction

Electrical storm is life threatening condition that is defined by ≥3 episodes of sustained ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation, or appropriate shocks from an ICD within 24h. According to the above-mentioned definition, the incidence of electrical storm in patients who have an ICD inserted for secondary prevention of sudden cardiac death, is about 10-20%. It is lower when ICDs are placed for primary prevention with an incidence of 4%. Monomorphic VT is the most common form of electrical storm with an incidence of 86-97%. Typically leads to a poor outcome and its management is challenging. The mortality is high as 82%. Also increased mortality has been documented in patients experiencing electrical storm in the AVID, MADIT II trials. 

Case presentation

This is 34-year-old male patient presented to our hospital with 2 days history of dizziness and myalgia. Initially he denied any past medical illnesses. He is working at a company in the desert. On arrival his BP was 80/50, HR 160/min, ECG showed monomorphic ventricular tachycardia (Figure 1). While preparing for cardioversion, he received adenosine 6mg then 12 mg IV, then IV 150 mg of amiodarone but no response noted. He was sedated and first cardioversion was given. His rhythm converted to sinus (Figure 2). Due to the hypotension, he was resuscitated with IV normal saline. Then he developed dyspnea and desaturated in room air, required intubation and ventilation. He was put on amiodarone infusion. His initial work up showed the following Table 1.
Effectiveness of amiodarone and lidocaine combination in termination of monomorphic ventricular tachycardia electrical storm in patient with dilated cardiomyopathy and severe left ventricular dysfunction

Copyright: ©2019 AlKalbani et al.

Citation: AlKalbani A, AlRawahi N, AlMuqbali R. Effectiveness of amiodarone and lidocaine combination in termination of monomorphic ventricular tachycardia electrical storm in patient with dilated cardiomyopathy and severe left ventricular dysfunction. J Cardiol Curr Res. 2019;12(1):31–34.
DOI: 10.15406/jccr.2019.12.00423

The chest x-ray showed cardiomegaly with pulmonary oedema. He was admitted to the ICU. An urgent echocardiography showed dilated left ventricle with severe LV systolic dysfunction, grade III diastolic dysfunction, moderate MR and normal RV function and size. He was kept on amiodarone infusion and IV fluids as maintenance. His repeated K was 6.7 mmol/L. Initial impression was metabolic acidosis/ hyperkalemia with acute kidney injury secondary to hypovolemia and low cardiac output. The VT secondary to acidosis and hyperkalemia. He received anti-hyperkalemic measures and sodium bicarbonate to correct his acidosis and hyperkalemia. He was started empirically on ceftriaxone after sending septic workup. In view of hypotension he was started on nor-adrenaline and dobutamine. Unfortunately, he developed recurrent VT required multiple DC shocks and received total of 500 mg IV boluses of lidocaine and 2g of Magnesium sulfate along with amiodarone infusion.

His repeated blood gas after maintenance of IV fluid and sodium bicarbonate infusion showed improvement of the acidosis (pH 7.27, pCO2 36 mm [Hg], HCO3 4.1 mmol/l, Lactate 1.6 mmol/L. However, the patient continued to have recurrent VT. External Electrophysiology consultation was done regarding the VT storm and the advice was to correct the acidosis, manage electrolytes imbalance, continue amiodarone infusion and start lidocaine infusion of 2mg/min. Over 24 hrs, the patient received 43 DC shocks. After the 24 hrs, he had 1 episode of VT which was aborted by it. Dobutamine was gradually tapered down as it is pro-arrhythmic and kept only on noradrenaline. The repeated blood gas after 24 hr showed pH 7.38, pCO2 47 mm [Hg], HCO3 28, potassium 1.7 mmol/L. On 3rd day of admission, the family brought a medical report, stated that the patient is known to have cardiomyopathy with left ventricular dysfunction diagnosed in September 2015. Coronary angiogram showed normal coronaries. He did Cardiac MRI on 10/2015 which showed moderate LV dysfunction with regional wall motion abnormalities involving inferior and lateral wall of LV along with transmural enhancement and features suggestive of amyloidosis. He did Cardiac PET scan 10/2015 which showed increased FDG uptake in inferior and lateral wall of LV suggestive of active inflammatory process. He was started empirically on immunosuppressant drugs and steroids. PET scan was repeated in Jan/2018 showed persistent severe perfusion in lateral & major part of inferior part of LV and there was no improvement in extent & severity of cardiac inflammation, hence the immunosuppressant and steroid were stopped. He also did fat bad biopsy and bone marrow biopsy which were normal. He was kept on losartan, metoprolol XL and eplerenone.

In view of background history of steroid use, hypotension, metabolic acidosis, hyponatremia and hyperkalemia, Addisonian crisis was to be ruled out. Hence, a short synacthen test was done then
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Discussion

Electrical storm is an emergency condition that requires prompt treatment. After proper diagnosis of VT, patient should be assessed immediately for hemodynamic stability and be treated according to advanced cardiac life support. Reversible causes should be identified and treated aggressively. In most of the cases, the triggering cause cannot be identified and the reversible precipitating factors found in less than 10% of the patients. The commonly reported precipitating conditions are acute myocardial infarction and ischemia, congestive cardiac failure decompensation, electrolyte abnormalities (Hypo/hyper-kalemia, Hypomagnesemia), hyperthyroidism and antiarrhythmic drug therapy (Vaughan-Williams Class IA, Class IIb). In our patient the possible triggering factors of VT storm is acid-base imbalance (acidosidosis), electrolyte imbalance (hyperkalemia) and worsening heart failure. There are 2 possible scenarios of the acid-base and electrolytes imbalance in this patient. First dehydration with worsening of his renal profile leads to acidosis and hyperkalemia and subsequent VT storm. The other acceptable scenario is worsening of heart failure which trigger VT storm. Such High VT burden could compromise cardiac contractility and subsequent hypoperfusion of other organs including the kidneys. Along with treatment of reversible causes, administration of antiarrhythmic drugs should be started immediately. Electrical storm usually requires more than one antiarrhythmic medication. Although limited data exist, b-blockade in conjunction with amiodarone appears to be the most effective therapy for electrical storm. Initially, this patient was put on amiodarone infusion. The b-blocker could not be given as he was hypotensive and required inotropic and vasopressor support. Amiodarone is considered the most effective antiarrhythmic drug and widely used in the treatment of electrical storm. Unless presence of contraindications such as hyperthyroidism or QT prolongation, amiodarone can be given safely. It has a mixed antiarrhythmic class action with a prevalent class β action (potassium channel blocker).

In view of recurrent VT that required several synchronized DC shock, hemodynamic instability and inability to give b-blocker, another anti-arrhythmic in conjunction to amiodarone was needed. The next available drug was lidocaine which was given in several boluses then continuous infusion. Combination of reversible cause’s correction (hyperkalemia and acidosis) and dual antiarrhythmic infusion, the VT storm was controlled within 24 hr. Catheter ablation should be considered in drug refractory electrical storm. Lidocaine is class IB antiarrhythmic drug, acting as rapid sodium channel blockers binding to the receptor in a use-dependent fashion. Its effectiveness in terminating ventricular arrhythmias is mostly in ischemia setting. In conditions other than ischemia, the effectiveness of lidocaine in terminating ventricular arrhythmias range from 8%-30% which is considered as relatively weak antiarrhythmic effect. In retrospective study of 42 patients, lidocaine was effective in treating ventricular arrhythmia in 26 patients (62%) and it was ineffective in 16 patients (38%). Out of the effective group, there were 11 patients (42%) already on amiodarone at the start of lidocaine. The remaining number of patients (15 patients) in the effective group was on lidocaine alone. In the ineffective group, there were also 15 patients on lidocaine alone. It was noted that left ventricular ejection fraction was significantly higher in the effective group who were on lidocaine alone compared to the other group (EF% 51+/− 16 vs 32+/−9). The results of this study suggest that lidocaine has favorable effect in patients with normal ejection fraction and combination with amiodarone can terminate most refractory arrhythmia.

The mechanism of antiarrhythmic effect of lidocaine and amiodarone combination therapy is that mainly due concomitant blockade of potassium and sodium channels. Amiodarone has mainly potassium channel blockade effect with weak blocking effect on sodium channel. By adding the strong sodium channel blockade effect of lidocaine, the amiodarone antiarrhythmic effect is reinforced. In addition, lidocaine also provides blockade of inactivated sodium channels. The effectiveness of combination therapy was observed during both the acute and chronic phases of amiodarone therapy.

Conclusion

Electrical storm is an emergency condition that requires prompts treatment. In hospitals lacking electrophysiology service, the therapy includes rapid recognition, treatment of the reversible causes, immediate start of antiarrhythmic drugs and sedation. Aggressive correction of electrolytes imbalance and acidosis with concomitant administration of intravenous infusion of amiodarone with lidocaine is an effective approach in treating electrical storm in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and severe left ventricular dysfunction.
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