On the polar edge: the status of the northern gannet (Morus bassanus) in the Barents Sea in 2015-16
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ABSTRACT

During its population recovery in the North Atlantic in the early 1900s, the northern gannet (Morus bassanus) established its first colony in Norway at Runde in 1946. Since the 1960s, gannets have established (and later abandoned) several small colonies in the north of the country. These colonies have been regularly monitored, and in 2015–16 ca. 3300 apparently occupied nests (AON) were counted in seven colonies in northern Norway. Two colonies that existed in 2008 had been abandoned and four new ones established. Two of the latter were again abandoned before 2015. In 1995, one pair established a colony at Kharlov on the Kola Peninsula, Russia, where numbers increased to 200–250 AON in 2016. The newest and world’s northernmost colony was established at Bjørnøya (Bear Island) in 2011, extending the species’ breeding range well into the Arctic. These recent establishments are thought to be associated with a warming of the Barents Sea and the northward spread of common prey of the gannet such as herring and mackerel. This paper documents recent establishments, growths and abandonments of colonies at the gannet’s northern limit of distribution.

Once established, gannet numbers increased steadily in the northernmost colonies in mainland Norway, but with clear regional differences in progression. After reaching a maximum of 1500 AON in Nordland and Troms counties (Fig. 2) in 1991, they declined to 590 in 2005. In the county of Finnmark, however, numbers nearly doubled between 1995 and 2008, mainly due to the expansion of the colony at Storstappen from ca. 500 to 1200–1300 AON (Barrett 2008). During the decline in Lofoten/Vesterålen, in Nordland county, there was also a marked reorganization of the gannets’ distribution, with four colonies abandoned and three new ones established (Barrett 2008). Here we present the results of censuses made on the Russian and Bjørnøya colonies since their establishments and on the Norwegian mainland colonies between 2008 and 2016, including descriptions of three new colonies. This summary is presented to coincide with published results of decadal counts made in the UK and Iceland in 2013–15 (Newton et al. 2015; Murray, Harris et al. 2015; Murray, Morgan et al. 2015; Gardarsson in press).

Methods

Between 2008 and 2016, nearly all gannet colonies in northern Norway were censused at 1–2 year intervals. Counts were made of AON (AON = single or pairs of birds at sites where nest material was observed) on...
aerial photographs (taken from ca. 150 m, airspeed ca. 100 knots). Occupied sites without nest material were not counted. They may be attended by non-breeding pairs that often abandon the site when approached by the low-flying aircraft (Murray, Harris et al. 2015; pers. obs.) and would therefore have been missed. The colony at Syltefjord was censused less regularly (bad weather often preventing access) using photographs taken from land. More details of methods are given in Montevecchi et al. (1987) and dates of counts are given in Table 1.

Counts were made of digital images on the computer screen using Photoshop. Establishment of new colonies in the region were reported by local fishermen and/or bird watchers and were followed up during the aerial surveys as soon as possible.

At Kharlov, ground counts of occupied nests were carried out annually (except in 2003 and 2012–14) using a combination of direct counts and counts from photographs. This was done during the incubation period with slight corrections made later in the season when chicks were ringed. At Bjarnøya direct counts were made using a telescope at a distance of ca. 280 m also during incubation in 2011–15. In 2016, direct counts were combined with counts from photographs.

Results

In 2015–16, there were seven occupied colonies along the mainland of northern Norway, totalling ca. 3300 AON (Table 1, Fig. 1). In Finnmark, the colony at Syltefjord had remained relatively stable since 2008, while that at Storstappen increased by 17% between 2008 and 2015. In Troms and Nordland, numbers increased from ca. 900 AON in 2008 to ca. 1300 AON in 2015 (Fig. 2). This was despite a drop from 326 AON at Kvitvær—the one colony in Troms—in 2008 to only 21 AON in 2015. During this period, two colonies in Nordland were abandoned and two new ones established. The former, Kvitholmen and Kvalnesflesa, were never larger than 50 and 76 AON and were found abandoned in 2010 and 2015 respectively. Kvitholmen was found occupied for the first time in 2008 and lasted a maximum of two seasons (an estimated 40 AON were counted from the air in a different survey on 5 August 2009 [M. Husdal, County Governor of Nordland, pers. comm.]), whereas the colony at Kvalnesflesa declined steadily from 76 AON in 2008 to 0 in 2015 (Table 1).

In July 2009, >100 gannets were seen circling over and on land at Little (Little) Forøya near Bleik, Andøya (Figs. 1, 3; F. Broms, pers. comm.) and in August 2009, ‘tens’ of gannets were seen from land over Oddskjæran, off Gimsøya, Lofoten (Figs. 1 and 4; J. Stenersen, pers. comm.). During the aerial survey in 2010, 75 gannet AON were counted on Little Forøya, and 20 gannet AON were counted among 370 pairs of great cormorants (Phalacrocorax carbo) on Oddskjæran. The nests on low-lying (< 5 m a.s.l.) Little Forøya, however, were washed away annually during spring storms (E. Olsen, pers. comm.) and despite new nests being built after the events (as seen from the aerial surveys), the colony was abandoned after five years (Table 1). The gannets may have moved to the neighbouring Store (Big) Forøya (ca. 700 m to the south-east; Fig. 3) where 88 AON were found among 165 AON of great cormorants in 2012, increasing to 187 AON in 2015 (pers. obs.). In the context of this summary, the two Forøya islands are considered as one colony. Photographs of the other northern Norwegian colonies can be seen in Barrett (2008).

After the colonization of Kharlov in 1995, gannet numbers increased slowly at first and then rapidly to 335 AON in 2009 after which they decreased and stabilized around 200–250 AON (Table 2). Like the
colony at Syltefjord, the establishment at Kharlov was on and among ledges occupied by common guillemots (*Uria aalge*) in a colony long occupied by a variety of cliff-nesting seabirds (Fig. 5). The colony subsequently spread to cliffs occupied by black-legged kittiwakes (*Rissa tridactyla*) and up to the turf-covered plateau at the top of the cliff. The recent fluctuations in numbers have been mainly in this latter part of the colony while numbers have remained more-or-less stable on the cliff face.

On Bjørnøya, the population increased from two pairs in 2011 to 10 occupied nests in 2013 and 52 in 2016 (Table 2). As in several of the mainland colonies, the establishment on Bjørnøya took place on rocky outcrops already occupied by common guillemots, and some northern fulmars (*Fulmarus glacialis*), along the outer rim of the top of the small island (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

The establishment of a colony on Bjørnøya signalled a major (356 km) northward extension of the gannet’s breeding distribution further into the Arctic, enhancing the already well-earned descriptive ‘northern’ in the species’ vernacular name (Nelson 2002). It also increased the latitudinal range of gannets in Europe, the southernmost being Rouzic, Brittany, 48° 53’ N (Nelson 2002), by 14% (from 22° 15’ to 25° 27’). (This is ignoring outlying breeding attempts, some of which were successful, in the 1990s in Mediterranean France and in Baia dell’Olivo at Porto Venere, Italy, in 2012–15 [Giagnoni et al. 2015].) The spread of the gannet to Bjørnøya coincided with an unprecedented inflow of warm Atlantic

---

Table 1. Counts of northern gannet AON in northern Norwegian colonies in 2008–2016. All counts are from aerial photographs, with the exception of those at Syltefjord, which was photographed from land. A dash indicates no counts were made.

| Colony          | County     | Dates of surveys          | Position  | 27 & 29 June | 2 June | 2 & 26 June | 28 June | 10th & 14 May | 26 & 27th May | 16 June |
|-----------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------|-------------|---------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Syltefjord      | Finnmark  | 70° 36’ N, 30° 18’ E      | 600       | –            | –      | –           | 561     | –             | 563          | –       |
| Storstappen     | Finnmark  | 71° 09’ N, 25° 19’ E      | 1244      | –            | 1412   | –           | 1500    | 1450          | –            | –       |
| Kvivær          | Troms      | 70° 13’ N, 18° 42’ E      | 326       | –            | 0      | –           | 33      | 21            | –            | –       |
| Store Forøya    | Nordland  | 69° 18’ N, 15° 59’ E      | 0         | 0            | 88     | 119         | 187     | –             | –            | –       |
| Little Forøya   | Nordland  | 69° 18’ N, 15° 58’ E      | 0         | 75           | 75     | 59          | 17      | 0             | –            | –       |
| Skarvklakken    | Nordland  | 69° 09’ N, 15° 39’ E      | 0         | 0            | 0      | 0           | 0       | 0             | –            | –       |
| Kvitværnolmen   | Nordland  | 69° 09’ N, 15° 41’ E      | 50        | 0            | 0      | 0           | 0       | 0             | –            | –       |
| St. Ulvøhomen   | Nordland  | 68° 31’ N, 14° 31’ E      | 308       | 308          | 294    | 254         | 319     | 330           | –            | –       |
| Oddskjæraven    | Nordland  | 68° 20’ N, 14° 15’ E      | 0         | 20           | 39     | 55          | 19      | 13            | –            | –       |
| Kvalnesfjøra    | Nordland  | 68° 21’ N, 13° 59’ E      | 76        | 33           | 12     | 9           | 2       | 0             | –            | –       |
| Buholmene       | Nordland  | 67° 46’ N, 12° 45’ E      | 126       | 357          | 495    | 479         | 498     | 725           | –            | –       |

*Storstappen. *Kvivær and Storstappen. *17 June. *2 July.

---

Figure 3. Little Forøya (low-lying island to the left in the background) and Store Forøya (large island in the middle rising to 16 asl) as photographed from the mainland (distance to Store Forøya = 500 m), 17 July 2015.

Figure 4. Aerial photograph of great cormorant and northern gannet colony on Oddskjærav, 2 June 2010. Most gannets were nesting on the island below, left. One gannet nest was seen on the island to the right in 2012.
water into the Barents Sea reducing the area covered by cold, Arctic water (Spielhagen et al. 2011). This inflow was accompanied by the spread of boreal fish species such as haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus), herring (Clupea harengus) and mackerel (Scomber scombrus) into the Barents Sea and in the fjords of Spitsbergen (Dalpadado et al. 2012; Berge et al. 2015). Herring and mackerel are very common food items of the gannet in the core of its distribution and recently also in northern Norway (Nelson 2002; Barrett 2016) such that their northward expansion made way for the opportunistic spread of the gannet to Bjørnøya. This mirrors the recolonization by gannets of Funk Island, off Newfoundland, in the 1930s and the establishment of new gannet colonies in eastern Iceland in the 1940s when mackerel appeared off the coasts during periods of warm water (Gudmundsson 1953; Montevecchi & Myers 1997; Astthorsson et al. 2012; Freydis Vigfúsdóttir, pers. comm.). Such a northward shift in distribution of boreal predators into the Barents Sea in the wake of preferred food species was anticipated (Dalpadado et al. 2012; Berge et al. 2015) and is welcome news in the light of the otherwise negative effects a warming climate has been having on the Svalbard wildlife, including seabirds (Descamps et al. 2017). How well gannets, which have one of the longest breeding periods among seabirds—nearly 4.5 months from egg-laying to fledging (Nelson 2002)—breeding in the North Atlantic, will fare so far north where the length of the breeding season is restrained by the shortness of the Arctic summers remains to be seen.

### Table 2. Counts of northern gannet AON on Kharlov, Russia (68° 49'N, 37° 20'E), and on Bjørnøya (74° 21'N, 19° 07'E).

| Colony | Year (1995–2016) |
|--------|------------------|
|        | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 00 | 01 | 02 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Kharlov| 1  | 1  | 19 | 18 | 16 | 22 | 22 | 48 | 30 | 145| 161| 232| 229| 335| 317| 206| 203| 241|
| Bjørnøya| 2 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 25 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |

**Figure 5.** Northern gannet nests on the north coast of Kharlov, Russia, July 2016.

**Figure 6.** Northern gannet nests (encircled) along the top of the cliff at Alkeholmen, Bjørnøya, 23 June 2015.
The extension of the gannet distribution eastwards to Kharlov in the 1990s was also during a period of warming in the southern Barents Sea that started in ca. 1980 and favoured the spread of fish such as herring along the Murman coast. This culminated in 2006 when the influx of warm Atlantic water reached a maximum (Krasnov & Barrett 1997; Matishov et al. 2012; Ingvaldsen 2016) after a period when herring was the commonest food item found in the colony at Kharlov (Melnikov 2006). Sightings at Kharlov of eight birds that had been ringed in northern Norwegian colonies, five (four ringed as chicks and one as an adult) from Hovsflesa (a colony in Lofoten that was found suddenly abandoned in 2001 [Barrett 2008]) and three ringed as chicks at Storstappen suggest that some of the increase was due to Norwegian birds taking advantage of this opportunity.

A total of 3300 gannet AON in mainland northern Norway in 2015–16 was an increase of 600 (overall 22%) or 3% y\(^{-1}\) since 2008, an annual increase that equates to the global gannet population rate of change. It was driven mainly by the reverse of the decline in Nordland and Troms that had been evident during the previous decade (Fig. 2; Barrett 2008). In Finnmark, however, numbers increased by only 9% as a result of a small decline at Syltefjord and a slightly larger increase at Storstappen. Furthermore, in Troms, numbers dropped steeply in the one colony at Kvitvær, but this was more than offset by a more than doubling (+124%) of numbers in Nordland. That, in turn, was driven mainly by a large increase at Buholmene (from 126 AON in 2008 to 725 AON in 2015) and the establishment and increase at Store Forøya (Table 1). The reversal of the negative trend in the Lofoten/Vesterålen region, in Nordland county, was nevertheless unexpected as it followed the abandonment of the two largest colonies in the region and a large (70%) decline in numbers in 1995–2008. This decline was attributed partly to harassment from an increasing population of white-tailed eagles (*Haliaeetus albicilla*) (Barrett 2008; Pettex et al. 2015). Because the general recovery of sea eagle populations has recently been implicated in local declines of surface-nesting seabirds throughout the Northern Hemisphere (Hipfner et al. 2012), the increase in numbers in Norway that continued through the next decade (State of the Environment Norway 2017) was expected to cause a further decline in gannet numbers. Although recent reports from local tourist guides, birdwatchers and fishermen of eagles preying on near-fledging chicks on the nest at, e.g., Store Ulvøyholmen and Storstappen are a cause of concern (Pettex et al. 2015), it seems that gannets may have partly overcome this threat and are able to continue their increase in the region. White-tailed eagles have also been reported at Kharlov since 2000, becoming common since 2011. In 2015 and 2016, 10–15 birds were often seen causing widespread disturbance and population declines among the kittiwakes and guillemots but are not yet considered a serious threat to the gannets. So far, white-tailed eagles are only rare visitors at Bjørnøya. Changes in gannet population trends are often attributed to current food availability (e.g., Crawford et al. 2007), but there was no evidence that this was a factor during the decline in Lofoten/Vesterålen. Here gannets fed on high-energy fish such as herring, mackerel and saithe (*Pollachius virens*) during both the population decline and subsequent increase (Pettex et al. 2015; Barrett 2016).

The colony on Syltefjordstauren was the first to be established in northern Norway in 1961 and, like many of the other new colonies, increased rapidly in size during the first two decades (35% and 18% y\(^{-1}\) respectively [Barrett & Folkestad 1996]). The colony on Syltefjordstauren was the first to be established in northern Norway in 1961 and, like many of the other new colonies, increased rapidly in size during the first two decades (35% and 18% y\(^{-1}\) respectively [Barrett & Folkestad 1996]). The first nests were built on top of an isolated ca. 40 m high stack (Fig. 7) at the south end of a 3 km long...
multispecies seabird colony (with then up to 140 000 pairs of black-legged kittiwakes and ca. 10 000 pairs of common guillemot [Norderhaug et al. 1977]). Since 1992, however, most of the sites suitable for gannets on the stack have been occupied, and numbers have hovered between 500 and 600 AON. It seems that the gannets have been strangely reluctant to build nests elsewhere on the main cliff, thus inhibiting an increase in numbers. This reluctance is more understandable today after kittiwake numbers have crashed and abandoned huge areas of the cliff (Barrett, pers. obs.), and numbers of sea eagles patrolling the main cliff have been reported by locals to have increased noticeably. Similarly, the main site at Storstappen seems to have become fully occupied, and a few tens of birds have spent many years prospecting a little higher up the cliff but with the completion of few nests (Fig. 8). As a result, the total numbers for Finnmark remained around 2000 AON between 2013 and 2015–16 (Fig. 2).

Although gannets seem to thrive in Norway, the population is still very small on a global scale. Including the ca. 3600 pairs at Runde (data downloaded from the SEAPOP website at http://www.seapop.no/en/), the total Norwegian population in 2015–16 was ca. 6900 AON (up from ca. 3700 AON in 1995 [Barrett & Folkestad 1997] and 4500 AON in 2008 [Pettex et al. 2015]). This, plus the approximately 250 AON in Russia, constitutes only 2% of the ca. 340 000 apparently occupied sites in 21 colonies in the British Isles (including 11 000 at Bempton Cliffs, north-east England, in 2012 [Newton et al. 2015; Murray, Harris et al. 2015; Murray, Morgan et al. 2015; JNCC 2016] or 19% of the Iceland population (37 000 apparently occupied sites in 2013–14 [Gardarsson in press]). This does not detract, however, from the plasticity of the population with recent and frequent abandonments and establishments of small colonies in northern Norway that is unique compared to other parts of the species’ breeding range (Nelson 2002; Barrett 2008; this study). This is further manifested in the novelty of the (far) north- and eastward spread of the species into the Barents Sea and the challenges gannets face from the Arctic amplification of global warming and the rapid climate changes forecast for the region (Spielhagen et al. 2011; Stocker et al. 2013).
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