Polyphenols Isolated from *Xanthoceras sorbifolia* Husks and Their Anti-Tumor and Radical-Scavenging Activities
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Abstract: *Xanthoceras sorbifolia* Bunge. is used in traditional medicine in North China. To evaluate the anti-tumor and radical-scavenging activities of *X. sorbifolia* husks polyphenols and determine their structure-activity relationships, 37 polyphenols 1–37 were obtained by bioassay-guided fractionation. Two new compounds 1–2, and compounds 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14–17, 21–25, 27–29, 31, 33, 34, 36, and 37 were isolated from the genus *Xanthoceras* for the first time. Compounds 1–37 did not show strong cytotoxicity against the four tested tumor cell lines (A549, HepG2, MGC-803, and MFC) compared to paclitaxel and under the conditions tested in the anti-tumor assay, but compounds 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 18–20, 25, 26, 29, 30, 32, and 35 exhibited stronger radical-scavenging activity than ascorbic acid in a 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt assay. This was the first report on the anti-tumor and radical-scavenging activities of the polyphenols isolated from *X. sorbifolia* husks. Overall, the present study contributed valuable information concerning *X. sorbifolia* husks use in medicine and pharmacology.
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1. Introduction

*Xanthoceras sorbifolia* Bunge. is the only species in the genus *Xanthoceras* (family Sapindaceae) and is distributed in North China [1]. This species is a kind of woody oil-bearing crop, traditionally used in herbal medicine for curing atherosclerosis, rheumatism, hyperpiesia, chronic hepatitis, and child enuresis [2], and it has been included in the 1977 edition of the China Pharmacopoeia [3]. Chemical studies of *X. sorbifolia* husks, which are considered byproducts, showed that the husks contained a variety of compounds, including polyphenols [4], triterpenoids [5], and sterols [6]. Medical research showed that *X. sorbifolia* husk components improved learning ability and memory [7], had anti-cancer effects [8], inhibited tyrosinase [9], cured cardiovascular diseases [10], had anti-oxidant properties [11], and inhibited pancreatic lipase activity [12]. However, anti-tumor and radical-scavenging activities have not yet been reported for the polyphenols isolated from *X. sorbifolia* husks.

Cancer is a multi-step disease that often involves the activation of oncogenes or the inactivation of tumor-suppressor genes. In addition to genetic mutations, different free radicals interfering with enzyme structure or activity are also responsible for cancer development [13]. In particular, growth
factors, such as the platelet-derived growth factor and the epidermal growth factor, which can be activated by cancer cells to sustain cellular growth and proliferation, could rapidly, and transiently, increase reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation through nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidases [14]. ROS are often associated with oxidative stress, which has been related to the progression of many diseases, including cancer and cardiovascular diseases (e.g., atherosclerosis) [15]. Thus, it is necessary to develop and utilize natural radical-scavenging and antitumor agent with low cytotoxicity so that they can help human get rid of over-produced ROS and also reduce the risks of suffering from cancer. Polyphenols, including flavonoids and phenolic acids, have been associated with protection against oxidative stress and cancer risk reduction [16]. In the present study, 37 polyphenols were isolated from X. sorbifolia husks, and their anti-tumor and radical-scavenging capacities were analyzed, together with those of the husks’ 70% aqueous ethanol extract, chloroform fraction, n-butanol fraction, and water fraction. The SAR of the polyphenols were also discussed.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Structure Elucidation

Repeated column chromatography using silica gel, Sephadex LH-20, and preparative high performance liquid chromatography (prep-HPLC) of the 70% aqueous ethanol extract of XS husks resulted in the isolation of 37 polyphenols with purities over 98% (Figure 1). Compound 1 was obtained as a yellowish gum ([α]D20 +2.041°; c 0.49, MeOH). Its UV spectrum revealed absorption at λmax 289 and 263 nm and the infrared (IR) spectrum suggested the presence of hydroxyl (3356.46 cm⁻¹), alkyl (2919.23 cm⁻¹), and olefin (1617.76 cm⁻¹) groups. The molecular formula was determined as C14H20O9 by high resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HR-ESI-MS) at m/z 355.1003 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd. for C14H20O9Na, 355.1000), with five degrees of unsaturation. In the proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H-NMR; Table 1), one tetrasubstituted phenyl [δH 6.18 (1H, br s) and 6.15 (1H, br s)] and one methyl (δH 2.37 (3H, s)) were easily recognized.

Table 1. 1H- and 13C-NMR data of the new compound 1 (in DMSO-d6, 400 MHz).

| No. | 13C-NMR DEPT | 1H-NMR |
|-----|--------------|--------|
| 1   | 106.5 C      |        |
| 2   | 162.1 C      |        |
| 3   | 100.5 CH     | 6.15 (br s) |
| 4   | 161.5 C      |        |
| 5   | 110.6 CH     | 6.18 (br s) |
| 6   | 141.9 C      |        |
| 6-CH3 | 22.8 CH3 | 2.37 (s) |
| 7   | 170.2 C      |        |
| 1'  | 67.7 CH2     | 4.49 (dd, 1.6, 10.8), 4.24 (dd, 6.4, 11.2) |
| 2'  | 68.1 CH      | 3.79 (m) |
| 3'  | 69.7 CH      | 3.63 (m) |
| 4'  | 69.3 CH      | 3.58 (m) |
| 5'  | 71.2 CH      | 3.47 (m) |
| 6'  | 63.8 CH2     | 3.62 (m), 3.40 (o) |

Assignments were done by HSQC, HMBC, 1H-1H COSY and NOESY experiments; J values (Hz) are in parentheses; o: overlapped.

The carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (13C-NMR) spectrum also showed six carbon signals at δc 67.7 (C-1’), 68.1 (C-2’), 69.7 (C-3’), 69.3 (C-4’), 71.2 (C-5’), and 63.8 (C-6’), suggesting the presence of a D-mannitol moiety [17]. The hetero-nuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectroscopy identified one carbonyl (δc 170.2), one phenyl (δc 162.1, 161.5, 141.9, 110.6, 106.5, and 100.5), two oxygenated methylenes (δc 67.7, 63.8), and four oxygenated methines (δc 71.2, 69.7, 69.3, and 68.1). These data suggested that I was an orsellinic acid analog. The hetero-nuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) confirmed the presence of an orsellinic acid scaffold: H-3/C-1 (δc 106.5), C-2 (δc 162.1),
C-5 (δc 110.6), H-5/C-4 (δc 161.5), C-1 (δc 106.5), C-3 (δc 100.5), CH3-6/C-6 (δc 141.9), C-5 (δc 110.6), C-1 (δc 106.5), and C-3 (δc 100.5) (Figure 2). The nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) correlation between CH3-6/H-5 and the 1H-NMR [δH 6.18 (1H, br s) and 6.15 (1H, br s)] results were in agreement with the substitution patterns of orsellinic acid. The location of the mannitol moiety at C-7 was deduced from the H-1'/C-7 HMBC correlation. Accordingly, the structure of 1 was determined as D-mannitol orsellinate, trivially named xspolyphenol A.

![Figure 1. The chemical structures of polyphenols isolated from X. sorbifolia husks.](image)

Figure 1. The chemical structures of polyphenols isolated from X. sorbifolia husks.

Compound 2 was obtained as a yellowish gum ([α]D20 = −52.055°; c 0.73, MeOH). The UV spectrum suggested absorption at λmax 320 nm and the IR spectrum suggested the presence of hydroxyl (3356.07 cm−1), methyl (2918.26 cm−1), carbonyl (1617.71, 1578.08 cm−1), and aryl (1676.87 cm−1) groups. The molecular formula of 2 was determined as C20H28O13 by HR-ESI-MS at m/z 499.1418 [M + Na]+ (calcd, 499.1422), suggesting seven degrees of unsaturation. The 1H-NMR (Table 2) of compound 2 showed one ABX coupling system at: δH 7.39 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 6.94 (d, J = 9.2 Hz) and 7.25 (dd, J = 2.8, 8.8 Hz) for H-2, H-5, and H-6 of a benzene ring, respectively, indicating the presence of a C-1,3,4 trisubstituted benzene moiety. In the 1H-NMR, the sharp signal at δH 3.90 (3H, s) suggested a –OCH3. In the HMBC spectrum (Figure 2), –OCH3 was assigned at C-7, due to the long-range coupling of C-7 and –OCH3. After the acidic hydrolysis of compound 2, the aqueous layer
was separated by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) to yield two glycosides: rhamnose and glucose. The position of the sugar linkage was assigned at C-3 by HMBC correlations (Figure 2) and confirmed by the positive NOESY between H-2 (δH 7.39) and H-1′ (δH 4.68). The configurations of the anomeric protons of 2 were deduced to be α and β forms based on the 3JH1,H2 coupling conditions (H-1′′ (brs) and H-1′′′′′ (J = 7.6 Hz)). Accordingly, the chemical structure of 2 was unambiguously established as methyl 4-hydroxylbenzoate 3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→6)-β-D-glucopyranoside, trivially named xspolyphenol B.

Table 2. 1H- and 13C-NMR data of the new compound 2 (in DMSO-d6, 400 MHz).

| No. | 13C-NMR | DEPT | 1H-NMR |
|-----|----------|------|--------|
| 1   | 112.7 C  |      | 7.39 (d, 2.8) |
| 2   | 117.0 CH |      | 6.94 (d, 9.2) |
| 3   | 155.4 C  |      | 7.25 (dd, 2.8, 8.8) |
| 4   | 149.7 C  |      | 7.25 (dd, 2.8, 8.8) |
| 5   | 118.2 CH |      | 6.94 (d, 9.2) |
| 6   | 125.5 CH |      | 7.25 (dd, 2.8, 8.8) |
| 7   | 168.9 C  |      |        |
| −OCH3 | 52.6 CH3 |      | 3.90 (s) |
| 1′  | 101.9 CH |      | 4.68 (d, 7.6) |
| 2′  | 73.2 CH  |      | 3.20 (o) |
| 3′  | 75.5 CH  |      | 3.42 (o) |
| 4′  | 70.0 CH  |      | 3.08 (t, 8.8) |
| 5′  | 76.3 CH  |      | 3.24 (m) |
| 6′  | 66.6 CH  |      | 3.85 (d, 9.2), 3.39 (o) |
| 1′′ | 100.6 CH |      | 4.54 (s) |
| 2′′ | 70.3 CH  |      | 3.59 (br s) |
| 3′′ | 70.7 CH  |      | 3.43 (o) |
| 4′′ | 72.0 CH  |      | 3.16 (o) |
| 5′′ | 68.3 CH  |      | 3.41 (o) |
| 6′′ | 17.8 CH3 |      | 1.10 (d, 6.0) |

Assignments were done by HSQC, HMBC, 1H-1H COSY and NOESY experiments; J values (Hz) are in parentheses; o: overlapped.

Based on spectroscopic data and by comparison to previously reported compounds, Compounds 3–37 were identified as: scopoletin (3) [18], naringenin (4) [19], p-hydroxybenzoic acid (5) [20], pyrogallol (6) [21], protocatechuic acid (7) [22], taxifolin (8) [23], aromadendrin (9) [24], eriodictyol (10) [25], mearsetin (11) [26], luteolin (12) [27], fraxetin (13) [28], naringenin 5-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (14) [29], methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (15) [30], (−)-salipurposide (16) [31], naringenin 4′-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (17) [32], (+)-catechin (18) [33], epicatechin (19) [34], quercetin (20) [34], eriodictyol 4′-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (21) [35], tricetin (22) [36], (25)-eriodictyol 7-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (23) [37], (2R)-eriodictyol 7-O-β-glucopyranoside (24) [38], gallic acid (25) [39], galloacetin (26) [40], kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside (27) [41], isorhamnetin 3-O-rutinoside (28) [42], isoquercitrin (29) [43], quercitrin (30) [44], 2α,3α-epoxy-5,7,3′,4′-tetrahydroxyflavan-(4β-8-catechin) (31) [45], proanthocyanidin A2 (32) [46], isomericitin (33) [47], quercetin (34) [48], rutin (35) [34], myricetin 3-O-rutinoside (36) [44], and myricetin 3-O-rutinoside (37) [49].

2.2. Chemotaxonomic Significance

The polyphenols identified from X. sorbifolia husks provided an image concerning the chemotaxonomic situation of the genus Xanthoceras within the family Sapindaceae. The main polyphenols isolated from X. sorbifolia husks were protocatechuic acid (6.01 mg/100 g husks), epicatechin (5.24 mg/100 g husks), catechin (3.34 mg/100 g husks), rutin (2.81 mg/100 g husks), myricetin-3-O-rutinoside (1.37 mg/100 g husks), quercetin (1.19 mg/100 g husks), and quercetin (1.12 mg/100 g husks); quercetin and myricetin were the main aglycons in X. sorbifolia husks. Previous phytochemical studies of the genus showed that their polyphenolic pool comprised mostly of flavonoids and phenolic
acids [4], but these compounds were not quantified. The present study is the first to isolate and quantify the polyphenolic compounds from *X. sorbifolia* husks, which might be important for the chemotaxonomy of the genus and family.

2.3. Anti-Tumor Effects of *X. sorbifolia* Polyphenols

In general, the anti-tumor activities of natural products are evaluated by testing their ability to directly inhibit the proliferation of tumor cells, or their capacity to induce immune cells to secrete cytokines that could act on tumor cells [15]. Tests for the anti-tumor activity of the polyphenols isolated from the husks (1–37) at a concentration of 50 µg/mL, revealed that all compounds had null or weak cytotoxicity, when compared to that of paclitaxel and under the conditions described in the present study. The percentage inhibition of compounds 1–37 at 50 µg/mL against four tumor cell lines is summarized in Figures 3 and 4. Protocatechuic acid (7) showed no effect on the lung adenocarcinoma (A549) cell line, similarly to that described in a previous study [50]; however, in that study, protocatechuic acid (7) exhibited anti-tumor activity against other cancer cell lines, at high concentrations. Previous studies [34,51] also reported that epicatechin (19) had no effect or a weak effect on the A549, liver cancer (HepG2), and gastric carcinoma (MGC-803) cell lines, supporting the results found in the present study. It has also been previously reported [52] that catechin (18) had a weak effect on HepG2 and MGC-803 cell lines. Figures 3 and 4 evidence that rutin (35) exhibited no or weak effects on A549, HepG2, and MGC-803 cell lines, in agreement with previous reports [13,34,53]. Although myricetin 3-O-rutinoside (37) showed no or weak anti-tumor activity against A549, HepG2, MGC-803, and murine foregastric carcinoma (MFC) cell lines (as shown in Figures 3 and 4), it was firstly investigated in the present study. Similar to that found in a previous study [33], quercetin (20) had no effect on the MGC-803 cell line. As there were few reports on the in vitro anti-tumor activity of polyphenols on the MFC cell line, the present study represents a major addition to the knowledge on this subject. Overall, polyphenols isolated from *X. sorbifolia* husks did not strongly inhibit the proliferation of some cancer cell lines, compared to paclitaxel, under the conditions examined in this research. Interestingly, we found that almost half of the compounds exhibited negative inhibition effects, the mechanism was worthy of further study and could be studied by other tumor cell lines or even animal models.

![Figure 3](image-url). The anti-tumor activity against A549 and HepG2 of the polyphenols (1–37) isolated from *X. sorbifolia* husks. MTT 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide was dissolved in PBS at 5 mg/mL. After the formazans were dissolved in DMSO for 10 min, the absorbance values at 490 nm were measured, and the percentage inhibitions were calculated. PTX, Paclitaxel.
X. sorbifolia polyphenols did not strongly inhibited the proliferation of cancer cell lines under the conditions described in the present research (Figures 3 and 4), they might indirectly present anti-tumor activity by reducing oxidative damage [57]. As free radical damage is indicated to be the main cause of cancer [56], although the husks' main compounds strongly contributed to the striking radical-scavenging activity of the XSB fraction. As free radical damage is indicated to be the main cause of cancer [56], although the XSB fraction presented the highest radical-scavenging activity among X. sorbifolia components [55] and, therefore, it was used in the present study. The XSB fraction had strong radical-scavenging activity (Figure 6), and, therefore, all compounds were subject to ABTS assay to determine their scavenging capability. As shown in Figure 6 and Table 3, the main polyphenols (protocatechuic acid, epicatechin, (+)-catechin, rutin, myricetin-3-O-rutinoside, quercetin, and quercitrin) exhibited strong radical-scavenging activities, compared to ascorbic acid. Thus, the husks’ main compounds strongly contributed to the striking radical-scavenging activity of the XSB fraction. As free radical damage is indicated to be the main cause of cancer [56], although X. sorbifolia polyphenols did not strongly inhibited the proliferation of cancer cell lines under the conditions described in the present research (Figures 3 and 4), they might indirectly present anti-tumor activity by reducing oxidative damage [57].

2.4. Radical-Scavenging Activity of Extracts and Polyphenols

2.4.1. Radical-Scavenging Activity

There is considerable evidence that free radicals induce oxidative damage to biomolecules and play an important role in cancer and cardiovascular diseases [54]. The 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethyl-benzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS) assay has been a popular radical-scavenging test for natural components [55] and, therefore, it was used in the present study. The XSB fraction presented the highest radical-scavenging activity among X. sorbifolia husk extracts (Figure 5). Then the bioassay-guided fractionation of X. sorbifolia husks led to the isolation of 37 polyphenols. The evaluation on the radical-scavenging activity of the polyphenols at a concentration of 50 µg/mL showed that compounds 1–37 had strong radical-scavenging activity (Figure 6), and, therefore, all compounds were subject to ABTS assay to determine their scavenging capability. As shown in Figure 6 and Table 3, the main polyphenols (protocatechuic acid, epicatechin, (+)-catechin, rutin, myricetin-3-O-rutinoside, quercetin, and quercitrin) exhibited strong radical-scavenging activities, compared to ascorbic acid. Thus, the husks' main compounds strongly contributed to the striking radical-scavenging activity of the XSB fraction. As free radical damage is indicated to be the main cause of cancer [56], although X. sorbifolia polyphenols did not strongly inhibited the proliferation of cancer cell lines under the conditions described in the present research (Figures 3 and 4), they might indirectly present anti-tumor activity by reducing oxidative damage [57].

Table 3. Radical-scavenging activity of the compounds (1–37) isolated from X. sorbifolia husks.

| Compounds | SC<sub>50</sub> Values (µg/mL) | Compound | SC<sub>50</sub> Values (µg/mL) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|
|           | ABTS                          |          | ABTS                          |
| 1         | >50                           | 20       | 3.45 ± 1.38                   |
| 2         | 12.59 ± 1.33                  | 21       | 37.95 ± 1.87                  |
| 3         | 4.72 ± 1.13                   | 22       | 10.19 ± 1.11                  |
| 4         | 5.99 ± 1.09                   | 23, 24   | 12.73 ± 1.02                  |
| 5         | 20.61 ± 1.07                  | 25       | 3.65 ± 1.02                   |
| 6         | 13.48 ± 1.08                  | 26       | 4.76 ± 1.10                   |
Molecules were measured, and the percentage inhibitions were calculated. Vc, ascorbic acid; XST, 70% aqueous ethanol extract; XSC, chloroform soluble fraction; XSB, n-butanol soluble fraction; XS W, water soluble fraction.

Table 3. Cont.

| Compounds | SC \(_{50}\) \(^a\) Values (µg/mL) | Compound | SC \(_{50}\) \(^a\) Values (µg/mL) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|
|           | ABTS                            |         | ABTS                            |
| 7         | 4.32 ± 1.09                      | 27      | 32.31 ± 4.87                     |
| 8         | 6.82 ± 1.18                      | 28      | 28.65 ± 1.07                     |
| 9         | >50                             | 29      | 5.69 ± 1.13                      |
| 10        | 5.85 ± 1.04                      | 30      | 5.25 ± 1.07                      |
| 11        | 10.19 ± 1.11                     | 31      | 8.48 ± 1.15                      |
| 12        | 11.16 ± 1.05                     | 32      | 5.23 ± 1.18                      |
| 13        | 24.44 ± 1.16                     | 33      | 13.86 ± 1.10                     |
| 14        | 52.33 ± 1.15                     | 34      | 7.99 ± 1.11                      |
| 15        | >50                             | 35      | 7.46 ± 1.09                      |
| 16        | 42.43 ± 1.54                     | 36      | 9.05 ± 1.07                      |
| 17        | 18.15 ± 1.27                     | 37      | 14.04 ± 1.09                     |
| 18        | 4.45 ± 1.06                      | Ascorbic acid \(^b\) | 7.67 ± 1.09                     |
| 19        | 4.54 ± 1.36                      |         |                                 |

\(^a\) The SC \(_{50}\) value of each compound was defined as the concentration (µg/mL) that caused 50% scavenging capability of ABTS; \(^b\) Ascorbic acid was used as positive control.

Figure 5. The radical-scavenging activity of the crude samples from \(X.\) sorbifolia husks. ABTS was prepared daily and diluted to an absorbance of 0.70 ± 0.02 at 734 nm. After the crude samples (50 µg/mL) reacted with the ABTS radical solution for 10 min, the absorbance values (\(A_i\)) at 734 nm were measured, and the percentage inhibitions were calculated. Vc, ascorbic acid; XST, 70% aqueous ethanol extract; XSC, chloroform soluble fraction; XSB, n-butanol soluble fraction; XS W, water soluble fraction. PTX, Paclitaxel.

Figure 6. The radical-scavenging activity of the polyphenols (1–37) isolated from \(X.\) sorbifolia husks. ABTS was prepared daily and diluted to an absorbance of 0.70 ± 0.02 at 734 nm. After the crude samples (50 µg/mL) reacted with the ABTS radical solution for 10 min, the absorbance values (\(A_i\)) at 734 nm were measured, and the percentage inhibitions were calculated. Vc, ascorbic acid.
2.4.2. Discussion on the SAR of Polyphenols

The polyphenols isolated from *X. sorbifolia* husks can be divided into three categories (Figure 1): flavonoids, phenolic acids, and coumarins. The twenty-eight flavonoids can be further classified into flavonols, flavones, flavanones, flavan-3-ols, and flavanones. Flavonoids with vicinal phenolic hydroxyls presented strong radical-scavenging activity, in agreement with that previously reported [58]. In comparison with the flavonoids bearing saccharide groups, different characteristics of the sugar side chain also play important roles in their radical-scavenging effect. The flavonoids 36, 37 possess the same aglycone, but flavonoid glycoside 37 with a disaccharide chain exhibited weaker radical-scavenging effect than 36 with a monosaccharide chain, which suggested that the presence of a disaccharide chain might reduce the radical-scavenging effect. Thus, the antioxidant activities of these flavonoids would depend on not only the substituent groups on the aglycone, but also the sugar moieties. Phenolic acids with both carboxyl and vicinal phenolic hydroxyls also showed strong radical-scavenging activity. However, coumarins with a single phenolic hydroxyl exhibited stronger radical-scavenging activity than coumarins with vicinal phenolic hydroxyls.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

Column chromatography was conducted using silica gel (SiO$_2$, 200–300 µm mesh; Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd., Qingdao, China) and Sephadex LH-20 (20–100 µm; Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) as packing materials. Silica GF$_{254}$ (10–40 mm) for TLC was supplied by the Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, Qingdao, China. All TLC spots were visualized under UV light (254 nm) and stained with a 10% H$_2$SO$_4$ solution in ethanol, followed by heating. Optical rotations were recorded with a 341 polarimeter (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) in a 1 dm cell. The UV spectra were measured on a UV-260 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Nishinokyo Kuwabara-cho, Nakagyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan) and the IR spectra were obtained on a NEXUS 670 FT-IR spectrometer (Nicolet, Madison, WI, USA). Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on INOVA-400 (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and AVANCE III-400 (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) spectrometers. Chemical shifts were given on a δ (ppm) scale using tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. High-resolution electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry (MS) was carried out on a APEX II mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA) and ESI-MS spectra were determined on a Bruker Daltonics Esquire 6000 spectrometer. The ABTS and 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) used were obtained from Aladdin Industrial Co. (Shanghai, China).

3.2. Plant Material and Reagents

*X. sorbifolia* husks (Sapindaceae) used in the present study were collected in Gansu Province, China, in 2013, and authenticated by Associate Prof. Huanyang Qi (Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China). A voucher specimen (No. 20131106XSB) was deposited in the Key Laboratory of Chemistry of Northwestern Plant Resources and Key Laboratory for Natural Medicine of Gansu Province, Chinese Academy of Sciences. All chemicals were of industrial or analytical grade and used after redistillation.

3.3. Extraction and Isolation

After air-drying, *X. sorbifolia* husks (10.0 kg) were pulverized and refluxed with 70% aqueous ethanol at 65 °C (four times, using 100 L, for 2 h). Extracts were filtered and then concentrated under reduced pressure to yield the ethanol extract (XST; 2.0 kg). Most XST (1.95 kg) was then suspended in distilled water (18 L) and successively partitioned with chloroform (four times, using 6 L), and water-saturated n-butanol (four times, using 6 L) to yield the chloroform soluble fraction (XSC; 86.0 g), the n-butanol soluble fraction (XSB; 560.0 g), and the aqueous fraction (XSW; 1.3 kg). As XSB exhibited a marked radical-scavenging activity (Figure 6), this active fraction was subjected to
sequential silica-gel chromatography, Sephadex LH-20, and prep-HPLC to obtain compounds 1–37 (see Supplementary Materials). Most XSB (500.0 g) was loaded into an ordinary-phase silica gel column (5 kg, 15 cm × 35 cm) with a CHCl₃:MeOH elution gradient of 10:1, 5:1, 2:1, 1:1, and 1:1 (water saturated). The eluate was collected in 108 portions of 3000 mL, and eluates containing similar components according to the TLC results were combined into 11 fractions (Fr. 1 to Fr. 11).

Fr. 1 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1) to obtain compounds 3 (10.0 mg) and 4 (8.0 mg).

Fr. 2 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and four subfractions I–IV were obtained. Subfraction II was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (42:58) to obtain compound 5 (t_R 10.0 min, 8.0 mg). Subfraction III was firstly purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (34:66) to obtain compounds 6 (t_R 7.3 min, 4.2 mg) and 7 (t_R 8.5 min, 601.3 mg), and then eluted with MeOH:H₂O (43:57) to obtain compounds 8 (t_R 12.7 min, 8.4 mg), 9 (t_R 20.3 min, 10.0 mg), and 10 (t_R 31.2 min, 83.0 mg). Subfraction IV was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (45:55) to obtain compounds 11 (t_R 55.0 min, 2.6 mg) and 12 (t_R 66.7 min, 3.4 mg).

Fr. 3 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and five subfractions I–V were obtained. Subfraction III was first purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (30:70) to obtain compounds 13 (t_R 20.8 min, 15.3 mg), 14 (t_R 34.2 min, 9.3 mg), 15 (t_R 38.4 min, 5.2 mg), and 16 (t_R 41.1 min, 9.2 mg); and then eluted with MeOH:H₂O (36:64) to obtain compound 17 (t_R 39.9 min, 19.8 mg). Subfraction IV was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (13:87) to obtain compounds 18 (t_R 21.6 min, 334.4 mg) and 19 (t_R 49.3 min, 523.8 mg). Subfraction V was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (42:58) to obtain compound 20 (t_R 74.8 min, 118.5 mg).

Fr. 4 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and four subfractions I–IV were obtained. Subfraction III was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (38:62) to obtain compound 21 (t_R 28.0 min, 10.0 mg) and subfraction IV was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH: H₂O (43:57) to obtain compound 22 (t_R 60.8 min, 2.3 mg).

Fr. 5 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and five subfractions I–V were obtained. Subfraction III was first purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (33:67) to obtain compound 1 (t_R 13.5 min, 4.9 mg), and then eluted with MeOH:H₂O (40:60) to obtain the isomers of 23 and 24 at a ratio of approximately 1:1 (t_R 18.0 min, 36.3 mg). Sub- fraction IV was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (10:90) to obtain compounds 25 (t_R 23.0 min, 38.7 mg) and 26 (t_R 37.7 min, 8.6 mg).

Fr. 6 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and six subfractions I–VI were obtained. Subfraction III was first purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (30:70) to obtain compound 2 (t_R 27.0 min, 7.3 mg), and then eluted with MeOH:H₂O (39:61) to obtain compounds 27 (t_R 64.9 min, 38.5 mg) and 28 (t_R 71.6 min, 17.4 mg). Subfraction IV was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (35:65) to give compounds 29 (t_R 48.4 min, 35.8 mg) and 30 (t_R 70.2 min, 119.9 mg).

Fr. 7 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and four subfractions I–IV were obtained. Subfraction III was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (20:80) to obtain compound 31 (t_R 45.4 min, 4.0 mg) whereas subfraction IV was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (30:70) to obtain compound 32 (t_R 66.0 min, 5.0 mg).

Fr. 8 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and four subfractions I–IV were obtained. Subfraction III was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (38.5:61.5) to obtain compounds 33 (t_R 31.6 min, 14.5 mg) and 34 (t_R 36.4 min, 4.1 mg).
Fr. 9 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and five subfractions I–V were obtained. Subfraction III was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (40:60) to obtain compound 35 (tR 29.0 min, 280.5 mg).

Fr. 10 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and six subfractions I–VI were obtained. Subfraction III was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (34.3:65.7) to obtain compound 36 (tR 53.7 min, 7.8 mg).

Fr. 11 was chromatographed on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1), and six subfractions I–VI were obtained. Subfraction IV was purified by prep-HPLC eluted with MeOH:H₂O (24:76) to give compound 37 (tR 54.7 min, 137.1 mg).

3.4. Acidic Hydrolysis of the New Compound and Sugar Analysis

The new compound 2 (2 mg) was added to a solution of concentrated HCl (0.5 mL), and refluxed for 3 h, by adding H₂O (1.5 mL)/dioxane (3 mL). After dilution with H₂O, the reaction mixture was subjected to extraction twice with ethyl acetate (EtOAc). The H₂O layers of compound 2 were then neutralized with NaHCO₃ and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was re-dissolved in H₂O for TLC analysis.

3.5. Biological Activity

3.5.1. Anti-Tumor Assay

Compounds 1–37 were evaluated for their anti-tumor activity against three human cancer cell lines, the lung adenocarcinoma (A549), liver cancer (HepG2), and gastric carcinoma (MGC-803) cell lines, and one murine foregastri cancer (MFC) cell line, which were obtained from Shanghai Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (both from HyClone, South Logan, UT, USA), in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. The anti-tumor assay was performed according to the MTT method [58], with some modifications. In brief, A549, HepG2, MGC-803, and MFC cells were seeded into 96-well plates (5 × 10³ cells/well) for 20–24 h under the above conditions, treated with 50 µg/mL of each tested compound, and further incubated for 24 h under the same conditions. After this period, 20 µL of MTT stock solution (5 mg/mL in PBS) were added to each well and samples were incubated for another 4 h, under the same conditions. Supernatants were then removed, and 150 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide were added to each well. After 10 min, absorbance was determined on a Multiskan MK 3 Automated Microplate Reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 490 nm, using paclitaxel as the positive control. The percentage inhibition was calculated according to:

\[
AA(\%) = \left[1 - \frac{A_i}{A_0}\right] \times 100\% 
\]

where AA is the inhibition percentage, A₀ is the absorbance of the blank sample, and Aᵢ is the absorbance of the test sample.

3.5.2. ABTS Radical-Scavenging Assay

Samples (extracts and polyphenols from X. sorbifolia husks) ability to scavenge the ABTS radical cation was measured following a previously reported method [59], with some modifications, and using L-ascorbic acid as the positive control. Assays were performed in 96-well plates and absorbance was measured at 734 nm. The radical-scavenging activity of each sample was expressed as the percentage inhibition of the ABTS radical and determined according to Equation (1).
3.6. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were carried out in three replicates to ensure reproducibility. Sample concentrations providing 50% scavenging capability (SC$_{50}$) were obtained by fitting dose-response data to a four-parametric logistic nonlinear regression model, using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA).

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, quercetin and myricetin polyphenols were the main aglycons in X. sorbifolia husks, and this might be of great chemotaxonomic importance within the genus Xanthoceras and the family Sapindaceae. Pharmacological studies showed that, although compounds 1–37 did not show strong cytotoxicity against the four tumor cell lines (A549, HepG2, MGC-803, and MFC), compared to paclitaxel and under the conditions described in the present research, compounds 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 18–20, 25, 26, 29, 30, 32, and 35 showed stronger radical-scavenging activity than ascorbic acid in the ABTS assay. This was the first report on the anti-tumor and radical-scavenging activities of the polyphenols isolated from X. sorbifolia husks. The results obtained in the present study contribute important baseline information on the biological activity of X. sorbifolia husks, which might contribute for their pharmacological application.
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