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INTRODUCTION

Adsorption is a process of forming a layer of gas on the surface of a solid or liquid (Paryanto et al. 2018). Two terms often used in the adsorption process are adsorbate and adsorbent. Adsorbate is a substance that is absorbed on the surface of other substances during the adsorption process (Nielsen & Bandoz 2016) while adsorbents are substances where the surface can absorb other substances in the adsorption process (Stawiński et al. 2017).

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to demonstrate the adsorption of dye on carbon microparticles. We conducted two experiments: Understanding of the adsorption of dye on carbon microparticles. We used turmeric solution as a model of dye, in which this solution was contacted into commercially available carbon microparticles in the batch-typed adsorption reactor. The measurement results were then compared to several adsorption isotherm models, such as Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich models; and finding teaching strategies to improve students’ understanding on the adsorption concept to students with special needs. As for the second part which is finding strategies to teach the obtained results and their concept of adsorption to students with special needs, we evaluated the strategies to eight students with intellectual disabilities in special schools in Kuningan District, Indonesia using a Single Subject Research method (equipped with pre-test, post-test, and experimental demonstration). The results showed that carbon can absorb dye and can be used as an alternative for wastewater treatment. The isotherm models have the linearity parameter $R^2$ of above 50%, and the most suitable model is Freundlich. The models also confirmed favorable adsorption with multilayer structure and physical interaction between turmeric and carbon microparticles. Demonstrating experiments and informing the measurement results gave great impacts on students’ comprehension, in which they have better understanding about the concept of adsorption compared to the conventional teaching method.
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ABSTRACT

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menunjukkan penjerapan pewarna pada mikrozarah karbon. Dua uji kaji telah dijalankan: Memahami penjerapan pewarna pada mikrozarah karbon. Larutan kunyit digunakan sebagai model pewarna dan larutan ini dihubungkan ke mikrozarah karbon yang tersedia secara komersial dalam reaktor penjerapan jenis batch. Hasil pengukuran kemudian dibandingkan dengan beberapa model isoterma penjerapan, seperti model Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin dan Dubinin-Radushkevich; dan mencari strategi pengajaran untuk meningkatkan pemahaman pelajar mengenai konsep penjerapan kepada pelajar berkeperluan khas. Bagi bahagian kedua iaitu mencari strategi untuk mengajarkan hasil yang diperoleh dan konsep penjerapan mereka kepada pelajar berkeperluan khas, kami menilai strategi tersebut kepada lapan orang pelajar kurang upaya intelektual di sekolah khas di Kabupaten Kuningan, Indonesia menggunakan kaedah Penyelidikan Subjek Tunggal (dilengkapi dengan ujian pra, ujian pasca dan demonstrasi uji kaji). Hasil kaji menunjukkan bahawa karbon dapat menyerap pewarna dan dapat digunakan sebagai alternatif untuk rawatan air sisa. Model isoterma mempunyai parameter kelinearan $R^2$ lebih 50% dan model yang paling sesuai ialah Freundlich. Model itu juga mengesahkan penjerapan yang baik dengan struktur pelbagai lapisan dan interaksi fizikal antara mikrozarah kunyit dan karbon. Uji kaji menunjukkan dan pemberitaan hasil pengukuran memberi impak yang besar terhadap pemahaman pelajar kerana mereka mempunyai pemahaman yang lebih baik mengenai konsep penjerapan berbanding kaedah pengajaran konvensional.

Kata kunci: Isoterma penjerapan; pelajar berkeperluan khusus; pendidikan; pengajaran; penjerapan karbon
Many studies described the adsorption of materials, including the adsorption phenomenon (Hermann 2017), gas separation by adsorption processes (Lin et al. 2019), adsorption on solids (Zhang et al. 2019), the kinetics of adsorption on carbon from solution (Largitte & Pasquere 2016), a useful adsorption isotherm (Baghdadi 2017), hydrogen adsorption in different carbon nanostructures (Pyle et al. 2016), and adsorption of organic molecules from aqueous solutions on carbon materials (Yu et al. 2016).

One of the materials used as an adsorbent is carbon. Carbon is one of the abundant elements in the universe (Yan et al. 2019). Carbon particles are also widely studied because they have several advantages, such as harmless, inexpensive, high volumetric capacity, high reversible capacity, easily composited, rich, cheap, and stable.

There have been many reports on the synthesis and characterization of carbon materials, however, there is limited research on dye adsorption on carbon microparticles. Needless to say, the information is essential to understand the phenomenon occurred during the adsorption process which can be used for further uses, especially when using carbon as a catalyst and adsorbent. Furthermore, there are still no comprehensive approaches on teaching adsorption to students with special needs. Most of the researchers examined the adsorption learning process only on students in regular schools (Vandorn et al. 2011; Walkley 1973). Teachers consider that students with special needs do not have the ability to learn science because they have developmental and academic limitations (Maryanti et al. 2020a, 2020b). Every student has the capability that could be optimized. They understand the learning material if the education services accommodate their needs (Hidayat et al. 2020). Finding good strategies for teaching this concept is important and can be used as a model for further development of the way for improving students’ comprehension.

Based on our previous studies regarding the adsorption process using silica (Ragadhita et al. 2019) and carbon (Nandiyanto et al. 2020a, 2017), the purpose of this study was to demonstrate adsorption of dye on carbon microparticles. The experiments in this study were conducted into two parts which are adsorption experiments and teaching process. The first part is the understanding of the adsorption of dye on carbon microparticles. In this part, the turmeric solution was used as a dye model in which this solution was contacted in commercially available carbon microparticles in the adsorption reactor of the batch type. The measurement results were then compared to several adsorption isotherm models, such as Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich models. The second part included identifying strategies to teach students with special needs more about obtained findings and their understanding of adsorption. In this part, we evaluated the strategies to eight students with intellectual disabilities in special schools in Kuningan District, Indonesia using the Single Subject Research Method (consist of pre-test, post-test, and experimental demonstration). Experimental demonstration is one of the most effective ways of teaching students with special needs, particularly those with intellectual disabilities. They need attractive, concrete and interesting learning medias. In our previous studies, experimental demonstration were also used in science learning on the law of archimedes (Hidayat et al. 2020) and the heat transfer (Widodo et al. 2020).

Different from other studies that focused on the adsorption only, our study added the education part. Indeed, the main focus of this research was scientific research about adsorption of carbon microparticles, only one of its applications is education. The educational element only adds to originality to this research.

The results showed that carbon can absorb dye and can also be used as an alternative for wastewater treatment. The isotherm models have the linearity parameter $R^2$ of above 50% and the most suitable model is Freundlich. The models also confirmed favorable adsorption with multilayer structure and physical interaction between turmeric and carbon microparticles. Informing the measurement results gave great impacts on students’ comprehension, in which they have better understanding about the concept of adsorption compared to the conventional teaching method.

THEORITICAL KNOWLEDGE OF CARBON MICROPARTICLES ADSORPTION

Isotherm Adsorption Model. To understand the concept of adsorption, four adsorption isotherm models were used in this study: Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubushkevich Dubinin-models. The phenomenon during the adsorption process is predicted by the isotherm model, based on the concentration of the adsorbate and the amount of solution adsorbed per mass of adsorbent at equilibrium (Romero et al. 2005). Theoretically, the adsorbate attached to the surface of the adsorbent can be classified as monolayer and multilayer, as shown in Figure 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. Furthermore, the interaction between the surface of the adsorbent and adsorbing molecules under physisorption and chemisorption as described in the model is illustrated in Figure 1(a) for physical adsorption and Figure 1(b) for chemical adsorption. The concept of how the adsorbate adheres to the surface of the adsorbent is presented in Figure 2(a) and 2(b), respectively, which explains the concept of adsorption physically and chemically.
The isotherm model has the function of predicting phenomenon during the adsorption process, based on the adsorbate concentration and solution amount adsorbed per adsorbent mass at equilibrium. We used the derivation concept of correlations in Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich models. Detailed information regarding the concept of isotherm adsorption is in our previous study (Nandiyanto 2020b). The Langmuir model has a function to the quantitative analysis for the formation of the monolayer adsorption model on the outer surface of the adsorbent. The Freundlich isotherm adsorption model has a feature for the analysis of a most widely used nonlinear adsorption model, which is adsorbed in multiple layers with a heterogeneous energy distribution on the adsorbent from the active site. The Temkin model has a feature to examine a correlation between indirect adsorbate interactions and the isotherm adsorption. All calculations are based on the initial concentration of adsorbate \( C_0 \; \text{mg/L} \) and the concentration of adsorbate in equilibrium \( C_e \; \text{mg/L} \). The Langmuir model is predicted using (1) and (2) (Chung et al. 2015).

\[
\frac{1}{q_e} = \frac{1}{W_{\text{max}} K_L} \frac{1}{C_e} + \frac{1}{q_{\text{max}}} \\
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_e}
\]
where $K_L$, $q_e$, and $q_{max}$ are the Langmuir’s adsorption constants the monolayer adsorption capacity (mg/g), and the maximum adsorption capacity (mg g$^{-1}$), respectively. The $R_L$ value is a separate factor that describes (Ayawei et al. 2017): $R_L > 1$ is unfavorable adsorption, encouraging desorption; $R_L = 1$ shows the linear adsorption process (independent adsorption in concentration); $R_L = 0$ is an irreversible adsorption process where adsorbed cannot spread or desorb. This usually occurs in chemisorption; and $0 < R_L < 1$ is a favorable adsorption process, preventing the desorption process.

The Freundlich isotherm adsorption model analyzes using (3):

$$
\ln q_e = \ln K_f + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e
$$

(3)

where $K_f$ is the Freundlich’s constant (an estimated indicator of adsorption capacity), $n$ is a non-linear degree that describes (Ayawei et al. 2017): $n < 1$ answers adsorption by chemical processes; $n = 1$ implies linear adsorption (partitioning between two phases that are independent in concentration); and $n > 1$ defines adsorption by physical processes.

The definition of $n$ can be derived again using $1/n$ (a function of the strength of adsorption in the adsorption process), which is described as (Ayawei et al. 2017): $1/n < 1$ answers normal adsorption; and $1/n > 1$ implies cooperative adsorption where adsorbed adsorbs affect and affect adsorption free adsorption.

The Temkin model illustrates factors with correlation (4):

$$
q_e = B_T (\ln C_e) + (B_T \ln A_T)
$$

(4)

where $A_T$ is the equilibrium binding constant; and $\beta_T$ is the Temkin isotherm constant, informing (Ayawei et al. 2017): $\beta_T < 8$ kJ implies physisorption; and $\beta_T > 8$ kJ answers chemisorption.

Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm uses the concept of correlation (5), (6) and (7):

$$
\ln q_e = \ln q_e - \beta \varepsilon^2
$$

(5)

$$
\varepsilon = RT \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{C_e} \right]
$$

(6)

$$
E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta}}
$$

(7)

where $q_e$, $\beta$, and $\varepsilon$ represent the theoretical isotherm of saturation capacity, the Dubinin-Radushkevich theory of isotherm saturation capacity, and Polanyi potential, respectively. $T$ is the absolute temperature (K); and $R$ is the Boltzmann gas constant (8,314 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). $E$ is energy, describing (Ayawei et al. 2017): $E < 8$ kJmol$^{-1}$ is chemisorption; $E > 8$ kJmol$^{-1}$ is physisorption.

The adsorption efficiency is measured as (8):

$$
\%E = \left( \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \right) \times 100\%
$$

(8)

where $\%E$ is the adsorption efficiency (%).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MATERIALS

Materials used are carbon (Daiyu Water Filter, Japan) and turmeric powder (Desaku turmeric powder, PT. Motasa, Indonesia).

ADSORPTION PROCESS

Adsorption tests were done by adding carbon particles (0.5 g; with a specific size of 500, 1000, 1500, and 2000 μm) into 15 mL of turmeric solution with a specific concentration (i.e. 100, 80, 60, 40, 20, and 0 ppm). Every 5 min, turmeric solution and turmeric solution plus carbon were measured for concentration using the concept of light penetration (Figure 3). It is intended to make the adsorption task simpler for the students to understand. In short, the testing apparatus in Figure 3 consists of a LED flashlight, a solution (containing turmeric and carbon microparticles) and lux meter. Theoretically, the light from the battery is transmitted to the lux meter (HP OPPO A3; Indonesia). The maximum adsorption yield and absorption peak were measured and compared with standard isotherm adsorption models: Freundlich, Langmuir, Temkin, and Dubin-Radushkevich models.

FIGURE 3. Carbon adsorption test by calculating the concentration of the solution.
TEACHING STRATEGY

This study used a single-subject research method. The subjects in this study were 8 students with intellectual disabilities in special schools in Kuningan district, Indonesia. We obtained data through a number of questions provided (pretest-posttest). Parents and teachers played important role in helping the process of obtaining data in the field. We conducted interviews with teacher to obtain information about students’ abilities such as students’ level of intelligence, concentration, communication and motor skills. All information on student ability levels was assessed using a score of 5, on a scale of 0 (know nothing), 1 (poor), 2 (fair) 3 (quite good), 4 (good), 5 (excellent).

The teaching process used two learning methods:

The conventional method (the first session)

The conventional method is one of the teacher-centered methods. The learning process includes talks, assignments, and questions and answers (Gopalan & Klann 2017; Hidayat et al. 2020). The teacher usually talks about method to explain the adsorption material to students and also provides a pretest and posttest to determine the level of student understanding. We used a short question type test with yes or no answer choices. We provided 21 questions related to the adsorption concept (Table 4). Each question has a score of 1. The maximum score the student gets is $21 \times 100: 21 = 100$ (the score obtained is multiplied by 100 divided by twenty one). In contrast to conventional methods, we used concrete and interesting learning media in experimental demonstrations. The teaching process for students with special needs required special techniques. In particular, teachers needed to provide attractive methods to attract student concentration and focus.

The experimental demonstration method (the second session)

In the second session, the experimental demonstration method was conducted by observing the adsorption of turmeric. Initially, the teacher explained the adsorption material, equipment, and materials used to conduct the experiment. We used several tools and materials to teach material about adsorption. We also used natural turmeric powder, carbon, mineral water, measuring cups, scales, clear glasses, battery, and cellphone application for lux meters. During this session, the teacher demonstrated how to weigh the carbon microparticles, formulated a turmeric solution with concentrations of 100, 80, 60, 40, 20, and 0 ppm and put carbon microparticles into a turmeric solution. The solution was kept for several min and measured using the light penetration concept. Pretest and posttest were given to students in each learning session. We used a short question type test, same with the conventional method. We provided 21 questions related to the adsorption concept (Table 4). Each question has a score of 1. The maximum score the student gets is $21 \times 100: 21 = 100$ (the score obtained is multiplied by 100 divided by twenty one).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ADSORPTION OF DYE ON THE CARBON MICROPARTICLES

Figure 4(a) shows an image of carbon microparticles. The analysis results showed that the particle sizes are in the range between 500 and 2000 um. The results of the particle size distribution using a sieve test are shown in Figure 4(b), identifying most particle sizes in the range of 500 and 1000 um.

Figure 5 shows the FTIR analysis results of samples, including as-purchased carbon particles, turmeric powder and carbon/turmeric (i.e. as-processed carbon particles with turmeric solution). The FTIR results showed that turmeric has strong signal at about $2300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This signal appeared in the sample of carbon/turmeric, informing there is the interaction of turmeric on the surface of carbon.

FIGURE 4. Microscope image (a) of carbon particles with their particle size distribution (b)
Analysis of adsorption was made by calculating linearization from adsorption data. Linear analysis based on (1)-(7) is presented in Figures 6, 7, 8, and 9, respectively, according to the Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich models.

Detailed information for the adsorption parameters gained from Figures 6, 7, 8, and 9 is presented in Table 1. This table also discusses the results of the parameters to confirm what phenomenon occurred during the adsorption process.

FIGURE 5. The FTIR analysis results of carbon particles with various sizes

FIGURE 6. Langmuir adsorption isotherm model
FIGURE 7. Freundlich adsorption isotherm model

FIGURE 8. Temkin adsorption isotherm model

FIGURE 9. Dubinin-Radushkevich adsorption isotherm model
Based on the $R^2$ calculation in Table 1, the adsorption is suitable with the models, sequentially Freundlich $>$ Temkin $>$ Langmuir $>$ Dubinin-Radushkevich. The Freundlich model predicted the adsorption of turmeric that occurs on the surface of carbon microparticles, as follows: Happening on the heterogeneous surface of the adsorbent; having interactions between carbon and turmeric in the multilayer adsorption; and having physical adsorption phenomena.

The illustration of the multilayer adsorption process with the physical interactions is presented in Figure 10.

### Table 1. Detailed Parameters of Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and the Radushkevich-Dubinin Models

| Model                | Parameter                      | 2000 | 1500 | 1000 | 500 | Notes                                                                 |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Langmuir             | $q_{max}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)        | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.18| The maximum monolayer adsorption capacity                             |
|                      | $K_L$ (L mg$^{-1}$)            | 0.2821 | 0.1033 | 5.2541 | 0.1865 | Langmuir adsorption constant                                           |
|                      | $R_L$                          | 0.1759 | 0.3372 | 0.0158 | 0.2485 | $0 < R_L < 1$, indicating favorable adsorption                         |
|                      | $R^2$                          | 0.1523 | 0.5473 | 0.0010 | 0.5520 | The correlation coefficient                                            |
|                      | $D_{Gf}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)       | -34.89 | -37.38 | -27.64 | -39.51 | $D_{Gf} < 0$, informing spontaneous process                            |
|                      | $n$                            | 1.5548 | 0.6495 | 5.0043 | 2.3466 | $n > 1$, defining adsorption with physical process                    |
|                      | $1/n$                          | 0.6432 | 0.6495 | 0.1998 | 0.4261 | $1/n = 0 - 1$, indicating favorable adsorption                         |
| Freundlich           | $K_f$ (mg g$^{-1}$)            | 0.0144 | 0.0245 | 0.0535 | 0.0424 | The Freundlich constant                                               |
|                      | $R^2$                          | 0.4833 | 0.5530 | 0.0358 | 0.2593 | The correlation coefficient                                            |
|                      | $D_{Gf}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)       | -42.25 | -40.94 | -39.01 | -39.58 | $D_{Gf} < 0$, informing spontaneous process                            |
|                      | $A_f$ (L g$^{-1}$)             | 0.2070 | 0.2593 | 0.3958 | 0.3715 | The equilibrium binding constant                                       |
|                      | $B_f$ (J mol$^{-1}$)           | 0.1216 | 0.1680 | 0.1209 | 0.1457 | For size $> 500$ um, $B_f < 8$ kJ, indicating physical adsorption      |
| Temkin               | $R_f$                          | 0.3954 | 0.4261 | 0.0838 | 0.1278 | The correlation coefficient                                            |
|                      | $K_f$                          | 0.0023 | 0.0005 | 0.0031 | 0.0025 |                                                                        |
|                      | $D_{H}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)        | 44.5004 | 45.4846 | 31.6546 | 29.8980 |                                                                        |
|                      | $R^2$                          | 0.3167 | 0.3385 | 0.2558 | 0.3212 | The maximum adsorption capacity of adsorbent                           |
|                      | $q_s$ (mg g$^{-1}$)            | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.20 | The maximum adsorption capacity of adsorbent                           |
|                      | $\beta$ (mol$^2$ kJ$^{-2}$)    | 1.98 | 3.15 | 1.36 | 2.51 | The Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm saturation capacity                  |
|                      | $E$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)            | 0.5024 | 0.3986 | 0.6058 | 0.4460 | $E < 8$ kJ/mol, replying physical adsorption                           |
|                      | $R^2$                          | 0.1105 | 0.3105 | 0.0395 | 0.2106 | The correlation coefficient                                            |
TEACHING PROCESS TO STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS

One of the applications in the present adsorption process is educating students regarding the important of adsorption process. Table 2 shows the level of student ability in aspects of development, namely the level of intelligence, concentration, communication, and motor skills. All students have the same level of ability, indicating that they can embrace the teaching and learning process despite additional specific treatment. Tables 3 and 4 shows the students’ pretest and post-test scores. Before conducting learning using the experimental demonstration method, all students had a score below 70 for the pretest. After the teacher gave learning material using the experimental demonstration method, more than 50% of students had grades above 70. The experimental demonstration shows its effectiveness for improving students’ understanding although students with special needs have difficulties in understanding abstract concept (Maryanti et al. 2020a, 2020b).

![Illustration of adsorption process using carbon (as the adsorbent) and turmeric (as the adsorbate)](image)

**TABLE 2.** Level of students ability

| No | Aspect of students ability | Student A | Student B | Student C | Student D | Student E | Student F | Student G | Student H |
|----|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1  | Intelligence              | 3         | 3         | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         |
| 2  | concentration             | 3         | 2         | 3         | 3         | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         |
| 3  | communication             | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         |
| 4  | motor skills              | 3         | 3         | 3         | 3         | 3         | 2         | 3         | 3         |

**TABLE 3.** The level of student knowledge about carbon adsorption from the pretest and posttest results

| No | Subject | Pretest | Posttest after conventional teaching | Posttest after experimental demonstration |
|----|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Student A | 23.81   | 28.57                               | 85.71                                    |
| 2  | Student B | 19.05   | 23.81                               | 76.19                                    |
| 3  | Student C | 19.05   | 28.57                               | 71.43                                    |
| 4  | Student D | 19.05   | 23.81                               | 76.19                                    |
| 5  | Student E | 19.05   | 23.81                               | 76.19                                    |
| 6  | Student F | 19.05   | 23.81                               | 66.67                                    |
| 7  | Student G | 19.05   | 23.81                               | 66.67                                    |
| 8  | Student H | 19.05   | 23.81                               | 66.67                                    |
TABLE 4. Analysis of the percentage of students’ answer to the carbon adsorption concept material being taught

| No | Concept                                                                 | Pretest | Posttest after conventional teaching | Posttest after experimental demonstration |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Definition of solution                                                  | 100     | 100                                 | 100                                      |
| 2  | Definition of solvents                                                  | 100     | 100                                 | 100                                      |
| 3  | Definition of solutes                                                   | 0       | 0                                   | 100                                      |
| 4  | Understanding of mineral water is a solvent                             | 12      | 100                                 | 100                                      |
| 5  | Understanding of types of solutes                                       | 0       | 25                                  | 100                                      |
| 6  | Definition of solution concentration                                    | 0       | 0                                   | 12                                       |
| 7  | Definition of a high concentration solution                              | 0       | 0                                   | 100                                      |
| 8  | Definition of a low concentration solution                              | 0       | 0                                   | 100                                      |
| 9  | Effect of low concentrated solution on light                             | 0       | 0                                   | 100                                      |
| 10 | Effect of high concentrated solution on light                            | 0       | 0                                   | 100                                      |
| 11 | Comparison of light in high concentrated solutions                       | 0       | 0                                   | 37                                       |
| 12 | Comparison of low in high concentrated solutions                        | 0       | 0                                   | 100                                      |
| 13 | Understanding of turmeric color                                         | 100     | 100                                 | 100                                      |
| 14 | The effect of turmeric on concentration                                 | 0       | 0                                   | 100                                      |
| 15 | Understanding of carbon color                                           | 100     | 100                                 | 100                                      |
| 16 | The effect of carbon particle on concentration                          | 0       | 0                                   | 100                                      |
| 17 | Definition of adsorption                                                | 0       | 0                                   | 62                                       |
| 18 | Definition of adsorbate                                                 | 0       | 0                                   | 0                                        |
| 19 | Definition of adsorbent                                                 | 0       | 0                                   | 0                                        |
| 20 | Type of adsorbate                                                       | 0       | 0                                   | 12                                       |
| 21 | Type of adsorbent                                                       | 0       | 0                                   | 12                                       |

**CONCLUSION**

This study aimed to demonstrate the adsorption of dye on carbon microparticles. The experiment was carried out in two parts. The first part is an understanding of the adsorption of dyes on carbon microparticles using turmeric. The measurement results are then compared with several adsorption isotherm models, such as Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich models. The second part is to find strategies to teach the results obtained and the concept of adsorption to students with special needs. We evaluated the strategy to eight students with intellectual disabilities in special schools. The results showed that carbon can absorb dyes and can be used as an alternative to wastewater treatment. The isotherm
model has a linearity parameter of $R^2$ above 50% and the most suitable model is Freundlich with a multilayer structure and the physical interactions between turmeric and carbon microparticles. The learning outcomes using the experimental demonstration method have a major impact on students’ understanding, compared to conventional teaching methods.
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