Polarization in heavy-ion collisions: magnetic field and vorticity
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Abstract. The polarization of hyperons due to axial chiral vortical effect is discussed. The effect is proportional to (strange) chemical potential and is pronounced at lower energies, contrary to that of magnetic field. The polarization of antihyperons has the same sign and larger magnitude. The emergence of vortical structures is observed in kinetic QGSM models. The hydrodynamical helicity separation receives the contribution of longitudinal velocity and vorticity implying the quadrupole structure of the latter. The transition from the quark vortical effects to baryons in confined phase may be achieved by exploring the axial charge. At the hadronic level the polarization corresponds to the cores of quantized vortices in pionic superfluid. The chiral vortical effects may be also studied in the framework of Wigner function establishing the relation to the thermodynamical approach to polarization.

1. Introduction

The experimental evidences for polarization of hyperons in heavy-ion collisions found by STAR collaboration [1] attracted recently much attention [2-6].

The studies of polarization are often performed [7] in the framework of approach exploring local equilibrium thermodynamics [8] and hydrodynamical calculations of vorticity [9-11].

There is another approach to polarization first proposed in [12] and independently in [17]. The so-called axial vortical effect (see e.g. [13] and references therein) being the macroscopic manifestation of axial anomaly [14] leads to induced axial current of strange quarks which may be converted to polarization of $Λ$-hyperons [12,17].

The effect is proportional to vorticity and helicity of the strong interacting medium which was calculated [15] in the kinetic Quark-Gluon-String Model (QGSM) [18-20]. In this way, in fact, some particular case of fundamental relation between kinetic and hydrodynamic description was considered.

This calculation were later made more detailed [21], including the spatial and temporal dependence of strange chemical potential which is also the ingredient of anomalous approach to polarization.
2. Helicity separation and quadrupole structure
The effect of helicity separation was found in [15]. It was also found [15] that it receives contributions from both transverse and longitudinal velocity and vorticity. The latter, as the longitudinal velocity changes sign in each half-space, should lead to the quadrupole structure of longitudinal vorticity, in accordance to recent results [16]. This conclusion, following from observations in [15] is now confirmed by explicit calculation (Fig.1).

Figure 1. Quadrupole structure of longitudinal vorticity.

3. Anomalous mechanism of hyperon polarization
Anomalous mechanism of polarization makes this effect qualitatively similar to the local violation [22] of discrete symmetries in strongly interacting QCD matter. The most well known here is the Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME) which uses the (C)P-violating (electro)magnetic field emerging in heavy ion collisions in order to probe the (C)P-odd effects in QCD matter. At the same time, magnetic field is typically growing with energy, in the constrast to vorticity and chemical potential effects which we will discuss below.

The polarization, in turn, is similar to Chiral Vortical Effect (CVE) [23] due to coupling to P-odd medium vorticity leading to the induced electromagnetic and all conserved-charge currents [12], in particular the baryonic one.

Recently the pioneering preliminary results on global polarization of Λ and ¯Λ hyperons in Au – Au collisions in Beam Energy Scan at RHIC were released [1] and the qualitative tendency of polarization decrease with energy in agreement with the prediction [12] was revealed. The recent theoretical analysis [24] suggested that decrease of polarization with energy is related to the suppression of Axial Magnetic effect contribution in strongly correlated QCD matter found in lattice simulations.
Indeed, the chiral vorticity coefficient describing the axial vortical effect

\[ c_V = \frac{\mu_s^2 + \mu_A^2}{2\pi^2} + \frac{T^2}{6}, \]

contains temperature dependent term related to gravitational anomaly [25], and naively it can be quite substantial and increase with energy. However, lattice simulations [26] lead to the zero result in the confined phase and to the suppression by one order of magnitude at high temperatures. Neglecting axial chemical potential, the coefficient \( c_V \) takes the form

\[ c_V = \frac{\mu_s^2}{2\pi^2} + \frac{k}{6} T^2, \]

As soon as for free fermion gas the \( T^2/6 \) term is recovered [27] for large lattice volume at fixed temperature, the above-mentioned suppression should be attributed to the correlation effects. It was suggested [24], that the accurate measurements of polarization energy dependence may serve a sensitive probe of strongly correlated QCD matter.

4. Numerical simulations of axial anomaly contributions to (anti)hyperon polarization

We performed the numerical simulations in QGSM model [18–20]. We decomposed the space-time to the cells, allowing to define velocity and vorticity in the kinetic model, as described in detail in [15]. To define the strange chemical potential (assuming that \( \Lambda \) polarization is carried by strange quark) we used the matching procedure [21] of distribution functions to its (local) equilibrium values. In this paper, we also determine in this way the values of temperature. In general, let us stress that we realized in our particular case the relation between kinetics, hydrodynamics and thermodynamics.

We first neglect the gravitational anomaly contribution and start by considering the energy dependence of polarization (described in detail in [24]) for three values of impact parameter. The results are presented at Fig.1. The curves correspond to \( b = 8.0 fm, 6.4 fm, 4.8 fm \).

We continue by the inclusion of contribution related to gravitational anomaly, which is the central issue of this paper. The results are presented at Fig.2. We consider as a starting point the original value of anomaly coefficient [25] \( T^2/6 \) which is reproduced for large lattice volume at fixed temperature [27]. We present the curves following from the coefficients suppressed by factor \( k \) (2) resulting from the lattice calculations [26]. We compare values of \( k = 1 \) with \( k = 0, 1/15, 1/10 \). As one can see, the lattice-supported value 1/15 is most close to the behavior of preliminary data which may be considered as a signal of strongly correlated matter formation. The closeness of \( k = 0 \) curve to the experimental points may be related to the contribution of confinement phase, where lattice calculations [26] lead to zero temperature-dependent effect. At the same time, already \( k = 1/10 \) leads to the curve growing with energy, while \( k = 1 \) leads to extremely strong growth.

The \( \Lambda \) polarization is emerging due to the polarization of \( \bar{s} \)-quarks, which has the same sign, as the axial current and charge are C-even operators. The magnitude of the \( \Lambda \) is larger as the same axial charge is distributed between the polarizations of the smaller number of particles [24]. It is mandatory to take into account the contribution of \( K^0 \) mesons. In the case of \( \Lambda \) the \( K^{*-}, K^0 \) mesons contain two sea(anti)quarks and does not change the polarization significantly. At the same time, for \( \Lambda \) the relevant \( K^{*+}, K^0 \) mesons are more numerous and make the excess of \( \Lambda \) polarization less pronounced.

Note that this excess is larger for smaller energies, where suppression of \( \Lambda \) is larger. This differs from the (C-odd) effect of magnetic field, which is increasing with energy, although more detailed studies taking into account the finite time of magnetic field existence are required.
Figure 2. Energy dependence of polarization for different values of impact parameter.

The quantitative analysis of these effects, taking into account the gravitational anomaly contribution, is presented at Figure 3. The result is in reasonable agreement with STAR data, although further analysis is required.

5. Quantized vortices in pionic superfluid
The description of polarization at the hadronic level may be achieved by consideration of quantized vortices in mesonic superfluid [28]. The baryonic polarization emerges as a radiative correction at their cores leading to the current

\[
(j_B^5)_{\alpha} = \frac{N_c}{36\pi^2 f_{\pi}^2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\partial^\beta \pi^0)(\partial^\gamma \partial^\delta \pi^0). \tag{3}
\]
Figure 3. Energy dependence of polarization for different values of gravitational anomaly contribution.

One may also explain that in such a way: as the quantized circulation should lead to the growing velocity for small distances, the pointlike spin contribution at the vortex core is required in order not to exceed the velocity of light. In the liquid helium, to the contrary, the velocity of sound is not exceeded because the quantized circulation is not manifested at the distances smaller than interatomic ones.

6. Chiral vortical effect from Wigner function

The approach based on chiral vortical effects and making therefore the polarization a kind of anomalous transport seems to be rather different from the thermodynamical approach [2]. However, the recent study [29] shows that the covariant Wigner function should lead to the
Figure 4. Comparison of $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ polarizations for different values of gravitational anomaly contribution.

expression analogous to induced anomalous current. This may be related to the fact that the in-medium current correlators should include triangle diagrams when the expansion over the external parameters is performed. This however does not imply the anomalous non-conservation of axial current. The appearance of extra contributions to axial current [29] may be due to the ambiguity in Wigner function definition [30]. One can even guess that their absence may be used as a constraint for the proper definition of Wigner function.

7. Conclusions and Outlook
We found that the anomalous mechanism may naturally explain decrease of polarization with energy.
The polarization of antihyperons of the same sign and larger magnitude as hyperons with the difference decreasing with energy, contrary to the effect of magnetic field.

The polarization at the hadronic level may be attributed to the cores of quantized vortices in pionic superfluid.

The anomalous contribution may be obtained in the framework of Wigner function approach. The further experimental theoretical and experimental studies should be performed. In particular, this is related to the polarization of other $(\Sigma, \Xi)$ hyperons, which should have the same (up to the mass rescaling) polarization in thermodynamical approach, but may differ significantly due to the different chemical potentials in the anomalous approach.
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