OBJECTIVE—Physical fitness is inversely related to mortality in the general population and in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Here, we present data concerning the relationship between changes in physical fitness and modifiable cardiovascular risk factors in subjects with type 2 diabetes from the Italian Diabetes and Exercise Study.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Sedentary patients with type 2 diabetes (n = 606) were enrolled in 22 outpatient diabetes clinics and randomized to twice-a-week supervised aerobic and resistance training plus exercise counseling versus counseling alone for 12 months. Baseline to end-of-study changes in cardiorespiratory fitness, strength, and flexibility, as assessed by VO$_2$max estimation, a 5–8 maximal repetition test, and a hip/trunk flexibility test, respectively, were calculated in the whole cohort, and multiple regression analyses were applied to assess the relationship between physical fitness and cardiovascular risk factors.

RESULTS—Changes in VO$_2$max, upper and lower body strength, and flexibility were significantly associated with the variation in the volume of physical activity, HbA$_1c$, BMI, waist circumference, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), coronary heart disease (CHD) risk score, and inversely, HDL cholesterol. Changes in fitness predicted improvements in HbA$_1c$, waist circumference, HDL cholesterol, hs-CRP, and CHD risk score, independent of study arm, BMI, and in case of strength, also waist circumference.

CONCLUSIONS—Physical activity/exercise-induced increases in fitness, particularly muscular, predict improvements in cardiovascular risk factors in subjects with type 2 diabetes independently of weight loss, thus indicating the need for targeting fitness in these individuals, particularly in subjects who struggle to lose weight.
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Large studies have shown that physical activity (PA) provides significant health benefits by reducing cardiovascular disease (CVD) and all-cause mortality in the general population (1,2) and also in subjects with type 2 diabetes (3,4). A recent meta-analysis has shown that aerobic and resistance exercise are both effective in reducing HbA$_1c$ in diabetic individuals (5). Two randomized controlled trials, the Diabetes Aerobic and Resistance Exercise study (6) and the Health Benefits of Aerobic and Resistance Training in individuals with type 2 Diabetes study (7), have demonstrated that combined aerobic and resistance training is more effective than either one alone.

In the recent Italian Diabetes and Exercise Study (IDES) (8), a strategy combining a prescribed and supervised mixed (aerobic and resistance) training program with structured exercise counseling was more effective than counseling alone in improving HbA$_1c$ and other modifiable CVD risk factors, decreasing the number and/or dosage of medications, and reducing coronary heart disease (CHD) 10-year risk scores in a large cohort of sedentary subjects with type 2 diabetes. In addition, physical and mental health–related quality-of-life improved significantly with supervised exercise, but not with counseling alone (9). The incremental health benefits of supervised exercise beyond those of counseling alone were associated with a higher volume of PA, both total and unsupervised, and more marked improvements in physical fitness (8).

Because physical fitness is mainly determined by the level of PA/exercise, it increases with training, namely, aerobic training enhancing cardiorespiratory fitness and resistance training augmenting muscular fitness (10,11). Both components of physical fitness have been shown to be inversely related to all-cause mortality in...
the general population (12,13), whereas, for subjects with type 2 diabetes, an association with all-cause and CVD mortality has been shown so far for cardiorespiratory fitness only (14–17). In these studies (12–17), the relation of physical fitness with mortality was independent of BMI. However, the detrimental effect of overweight/obesity on mortality risk disappeared or was significantly reduced after adjustment for a number of confounding variables, including fitness and amount of exercise (18).

A recent systematic review showed that although the risk of death was higher in unfit/nonfat individuals than in fit/fat subjects, having a high BMI, even in the presence of high PA, posed a greater risk for the incidence of type 2 diabetes and the prevalence of CVD risk factors compared with normal BMI in the presence of low PA (19). Thus, it is unclear whether the benefits of PA and exercise on the unfavorable profile of CVD risk factors in subjects with type 2 diabetes and/or the metabolic syndrome, which appears to be mainly driven by increased adiposity (19), are predicted by improvements in the fitness components, independently of body weight loss. To address this issue, we analyzed the relationship between changes in physical fitness and improvements in modifiable CVD risk factors in subjects with type 2 diabetes participating in the IDES.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**—The design and methods of this multicenter, randomized controlled trial have been detailed elsewhere (8,20) and will be briefly reported here. Locally appointed ethics committees approved the research protocol, and participants gave written informed consent.

**Setting and participants**

The IDES involved 22 outpatient diabetes clinics throughout Italy between October 1, 2005, and March 31, 2006. Each center was connected with a metabolic fitness center, a dedicated facility where patients trained under the supervision of an exercise professional. Sedentary patients with type 2 diabetes, according to the definition of the American Diabetes Association (21) and who fulfilled the International Diabetes Federation criteria for the metabolic syndrome (22), were eligible for this study. Subjects who had any condition limiting or contraindicating PA were excluded (8,20). Of the 691 eligible patients, 85 were excluded for various reasons and 606 were recruited and randomized to supervised training plus structured exercise counseling (EXE group; n = 303) versus a control group that received counseling alone as part of standard care (CON group; n = 303) for 12 months. Randomization was stratified by center and, within each center, by age (<60 vs. ≥60 years) and type of diabetes treatment (diet with or without oral agents vs. insulin) using permuted-block randomization software. The study flow chart has been reported elsewhere (8). Physicians and patients were not blinded to group assignment, whereas sample blinding at the central laboratory was achieved using bar codes.

**Interventions**

Subjects from both groups received structured, individualized counseling (23) aimed at achieving the currently recommended amount of PA (24) by encouraging any type of commuting, occupational, home, and leisure-time PA. Counseling was reinforced every 3 months.

The training program for the EXE group consisted of 150 min/week in two supervised sessions of progressive mixed (aerobic and resistance) training (8,20). Aerobic training was performed at 55–70% of predicted VO_{2}\text{max} using a treadmill, step, elliptical, arm, or cycle-ergometer. The exercise load for the equipment was calculated to achieve prescribed exercise intensity, expressed as percentage of VO_{2}\text{max}, by the use of standard equations (23). Resistance training was performed at 60–80% of predicted 1 repetition maximum (1-RM) and consisted of four resistance exercises, comprising a thrust movement on the transverse plane (chest press or equivalent), traction movement on the frontal plane (lateral pull down or equivalent), squat movement (leg press or equivalent), trunk flexion for the abdominals, and three stretching positions. Intensity was adjusted according to improvements in predicted VO_{2}\text{max} and 1-RM, as recorded throughout the study. In addition, caloric expenditure was increased progressively by 0.1-kcal/kg body weight/session every month.

Standard care consisted of a treatment regimen aimed at achieving optimal glyce-mic, lipid, blood pressure (BP), and body weight targets, as established by current guidelines, and including diet prescription and glucose-, lipid-, and BP-lowering agents, as needed (8,20). For ethical reasons and to test the real-world applicability of the IDES results, the treatment regimen was adjusted according to results of biochemical tests performed locally at 3-month intervals, and changes in type, number, and dosage of drugs were recorded.

**Main outcome measures**

The primary outcome was HbA_{1c} reduction. Secondary outcomes included improvements in other modifiable CVD risk factors, change in the number and/or dosage of glucose-, lipid-, and BP-lowering drugs, UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) global CHD 10-year risk scores, health-related quality-of-life, and the relationship between changes in physical fitness and modifiable CVD risk factors. Results of this trial have been reported elsewhere (8,9), except those concerning the relation of physical fitness with modifiable CVD risk factors.

At baseline, the volume of PA was assessed retrospectively using the Minnesota Leisure Time Physical Activity questionnaire (26). The amount of PA was evaluated prospectively by asking patients to fill in a daily diary based on the range of activities considered in this questionnaire. Volume was calculated by multiplying the metabolic equivalent (MET) scores corresponding to each Minnesota code (8), by time in hours per week spent in each activity, and expressed as METs \cdot h \cdot week^{-1}.

For aerobic exercise, energy expenditure during supervised sessions was calculated automatically by the machines from workload (i.e., the combination of speed and slope for treadmill, steps per minute for step and power for ergometer), using standard equations (23). For resistance exercise, a conservative estimate of 3 METs \cdot h was established, based on direct measurements in subjects with type 2 diabetes (27).

The following modifiable CVD risk factors were evaluated at baseline and end-of-study: HbA_{1c}, fasting blood glucose and serum insulin, waist circumference, BMI, BP, triglycerides, total and HDL cholesterol, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP). Biochemical tests were performed at the central laboratory, at baseline, and end-of-study, and locally, throughout the study period, to adjust treatment regimen (8,20). The Homeostasis Model Assessment—Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) index was calculated by the formula: HOMA-IR = [insulin (in pmol/L) \times FPG (in mmol/L)]/163.6, whereas LDL cholesterol was estimated by the equation: LDL cholesterol (in mmol/L) = total cholesterol – [HDL cholesterol + (triglycerides/2.17)], as previously reported (20). Global CHD 10-year risk...
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torso forward to try to touch the ground with their fingertips. The test was performed three times, and the distance between the finger and the ground was measured by the exercise specialist at the third attempt.

**Statistical analysis**
Baseline to end-of-study changes (expressed as median and interquartile range [IQR]) in total PA, CVD risk factors, and total CHD risk score were calculated by quintiles of changes in physical fitness parameters (i.e., $V_{O2\text{max}}$ and upper and lower body strength and flexibility) and analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA. A test for linear trend was also applied. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. To encompass the entire range of fitness values, the whole cohort of 606 patients was considered.

To assess whether improvements in fitness predicted changes from baseline in HbA1c, other CVD risk factors, and CHD risk score, independently of body weight loss, multiple regression analyses were applied, with baseline to end-of-study changes in each modifiable CVD risk factor as a dependent variable. Covariates were the baseline value of each CVD risk factor, the change in each fitness parameter (and, for upper and lower body strength and flexibility, also in $V_{O2\text{max}}$), change in BMI, body weight, or waist circumference to assess dependence on body weight loss, and study arm, to account for the independent effect of intervention. Additional regression analyses were performed with PA/exercise volume as the covariate forced in the model or, to account for change in medication throughout the 12-month period, with treatment at baseline and treatment initiation during the study included in the model as dichotomous (yes vs. no) variables.

**RESULTS**—Subjects from the IDES cohort had a mean age of 58.8 years (SD 8.5), a median diabetes duration of 6 years (IQR 3–10), and a male-to-female ratio of 58/42. Baseline values for $V_{O2\text{max}}$, upper and lower body strength, and flexibility were 25.9 ± 6.2 mL/kg/min, 40.0 ± 16.6 kg, 107.3 ± 68.5 kg, and 11.7 ± 9.8 cm, respectively. The two study groups did not differ for any of these parameters (8).

As previously reported (8), the median attendance of the supervised exercise sessions in the EXE group was 80.3% (IQR, 75–99%). Moreover, $V_{O2\text{max}}$ (mean

| Table 2 — Baseline to end-of-study changes (median and IQR) in PA volume, CVD risk factors, and total CHD risk score by quintiles of upper body strength |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Quintiles of upper body strength | PA volume, METs/year | CVD risk factors | Total CHD risk score |
| | | | | | |
| 1 (<1.50) | 2 (1.50–2.00) | 3 (2.01–2.50) | 4 (2.51–3.00) | 5 (>3.00) |
| PA volume, METs/year | 281 (140, 468) | 367 (210, 588) | 407 (240, 607) | 436 (280, 657) | 475 (280, 714) |
| HbA1c (%) | 7.7 (6.5–9.2) | 7.5 (6.4–8.9) | 7.4 (6.3–8.7) | 7.3 (6.2–8.5) | 7.2 (6.1–8.3) |
| FBG (mg/dL) | 93 (72–121) | 92 (72–121) | 93 (72–121) | 94 (72–121) | 94 (72–121) |
| LDL (mg/dL) | 122 (100–145) | 122 (100–145) | 122 (100–145) | 122 (100–145) | 122 (100–145) |
| HDL (mg/dL) | 40 (30–51) | 40 (30–51) | 40 (30–51) | 40 (30–51) | 40 (30–51) |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.47 (1.00–2.10) | 1.47 (1.00–2.10) | 1.47 (1.00–2.10) | 1.47 (1.00–2.10) | 1.47 (1.00–2.10) |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 6.00 (5.00–7.50) | 6.00 (5.00–7.50) | 6.00 (5.00–7.50) | 6.00 (5.00–7.50) | 6.00 (5.00–7.50) |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 3.50 (2.50–4.50) | 3.50 (2.50–4.50) | 3.50 (2.50–4.50) | 3.50 (2.50–4.50) | 3.50 (2.50–4.50) |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | 140 (120–160) | 140 (120–160) | 140 (120–160) | 140 (120–160) | 140 (120–160) |
| 10-year CHD UKPDS score | 1.89 (1.05–2.94) | 1.89 (1.05–2.94) | 1.89 (1.05–2.94) | 1.89 (1.05–2.94) | 1.89 (1.05–2.94) |

*Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA.*
In these analyses, BMI was significantly associated with waist circumference and HbA1c, independent of study arm and change in HDL cholesterol, but not HDL cholesterol. Lower body strength was also significantly associated with HbA1c, waist circumference, and HDL cholesterol, and for associations with insulin, HOMA-IR remained after adjusting for body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference. These parameters were also independently associated with variation in PA volume, HbA1c, BMI, and waist circumference. When changes in BMI and V02max were excluded from the model, lower body strength was also significantly associated with HbA1c, waist circumference, and insulin sensitivity (P = 0.039). Finally, improved lower body strength predicted improvements in insulin sensitivity and HOMA-IR, independent of study arm and changes in BMI and V02max.

Regression analyses (Table 4) showed stronger associations between lower body strength with insulin, HOMA-IR, and HDL cholesterol. Association was significant with insulin, HOMA-IR total and HDL cholesterol, and for lower body strength with insulin, BMI, and insulin sensitivity (P = 0.041). Table 4 also shows that changes in V02max predicted a reduction in HbA1c, waist circumference, and changes in insulin sensitivity, HOMA-IR, total CHD risk score, and waist circumference. Associations with HDL cholesterol remained after adjusting for BMI, BMI, waist circumference, and insulin sensitivity.

![Table 3](https://example.com/table3.png)

**Table 3—Baseline to end-of-study changes (median and IQR) in PA volume, CVD risk factors, and total CHD risk score by quartiles of lower body strength (kg)**

| Change in PA Volume | Strength (kg) |
|---------------------|---------------|
| 1.000 – 2.500       | 0.100         |
| 2.600 – 4.100       | 0.200         |
| 4.200 – 5.700       | 0.300         |
| 5.800 – 7.000       | 0.400         |

(Supplementary Fig 1)
regression models (data not shown). In contrast, when waist circumference was included in the model as a measure of adiposity in place of BMI or body weight, an independent association of $V_{O2\text{max}}$ and flexibility with CVD risk factors was no longer observed, except for a reduction in hs-CRP ($P = 0.017$) and an increase in HDL cholesterol ($P = 0.018$), respectively, whereas strength, particularly upper body, continued to predict HbA1c ($P = 0.016$), hs-CRP ($P = 0.005$), and total CHD risk score ($P < 0.0001$). Finally, all fitness parameters no longer predicted changes in CVD risk factors when PA/exercise volume was forced in the model, whereas associations remained significant when change in medication was included as a covariate.

**CONCLUSIONS**—This report shows that PA/exercise-induced improvements in physical fitness, particularly in cardiorespiratory fitness and upper body strength, significantly predict changes in several modifiable CVD risk factors and in the total UKPDS CHD 10-year risk score, independently of study arm, change in BMI or body weight, and, in case of strength, also of $V_{O2\text{max}}$ and waist circumference.

A previous meta-analysis of small-sized studies showed that structured aerobic exercise training improves cardiorespiratory fitness in subjects with type 2 diabetes, along with a reduction of HbA1c (32). Moreover, cardiorespiratory and/or muscular fitness correlated with changes in HbA1c in sedentary diabetic individuals performing supervised aerobic and/or resistance training (33). Our data indicate for the first time that in these subjects, PA/exercise-induced amelioration of physical fitness is linked to improvements in a wide range of modifiable CVD risk factors in addition to HbA1c, thus resulting in a significantly reduced estimated total CHD 10-year risk. These factors included waist circumference, insulin, HOMA-IR, HDL cholesterol, and hs-CRP, which are the metabolic and inflammatory abnormalities related to central fat distribution in subjects with type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome (34). Interestingly, waist circumference and HOMA-IR were also independent predictors of HbA1c reduction in the IDES participants (8). Taken together, these observations are consistent with the inverse association of cardiorespiratory fitness with the prevalence of type 2 diabetes (35) and the metabolic syndrome (36) as well as of low levels of PA with traditional and nontraditional CVD risk factors (37) in the general population.

A very important finding of our study is that the relationship of changes in physical fitness with improvements in modifiable CVD risk factors was independent of body weight loss, although fitness correlated significantly with BMI and waist circumference. This observation, which is consistent with the lack of interaction between fitness and fatness (38), supports the concept that fitness ameliorates the unfavorable CVD risk factor profile driven by increased adiposity through mechanisms independent, at least partly, of weight loss. These mechanisms seem to involve enhanced muscle fuel supply and storage, which increase insulin sensitivity (33), as well as production of myokines, which act locally in a paracrine fashion and also as hormones in other organs of the body, including fat, to improve metabolism and reduce inflammation (39). A role for muscle independent of central fat distribution is strongly supported by the observation that muscular fitness, at variance with cardiorespiratory fitness, predicted changes in modifiable CVD risk factors even after adjustment for waist circumference, a measure of visceral adiposity.

These findings suggest that lifestyle interventions aimed at reducing CVD risk in subjects with type 2 diabetes should target improvement of fitness, in addition to weight loss, and that strategies including diet plus PA/exercise would be more effective than diet alone at the same level of achieved BMI. In this view, physical fitness may represent a surrogate outcome in these subjects, especially in individuals who struggle to lose weight. However, although PA is the main determinant of physical fitness, as shown in our study by the strong association between these two parameters and by the disappearance of association between fitness and modifiable CVD risk factors after adjustment for PA/exercise volume, genetic factors also play a role in individuals with the low-fitness phenotype. It is unclear whether specific PA programs may reverse low-fitness in these subjects and whether those who fail to improve fitness with exercise still receive health benefits from training (40).

Finally, improvements of cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness appeared to exert at least partly independent effects on modifiable CVD risk factors, according to the observation that relation of strength, particularly upper body, with these parameters remained significant when $V_{O2\text{max}}$ and also waist circumference were forced in the model as covariates. Moreover, because the EXE subjects followed a supervised mixed (aerobic and resistance) training program, whereas the CON participants performed predominantly aerobic PA (8), this is also in keeping with the association of study arm with improvements in modifiable CVD risk factors, although relation of fitness with these parameters occurred independently of it. This supports the finding that, given the same volume of PA, the combination of aerobic and resistance training is more effective than either one alone in reducing CVD risk in subjects with type 2 diabetes (6,7) and suggests that this is dependent of the concurrent improvement in cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness.
Strengths of this study are that the IDES was multicenter, thus less dependent on local factors, and of a larger size and longer duration than other exercise intervention trials in patients with type 2 diabetes (6,7). A potential limitation of this trial is the unblinded design, although it is impossible to keep assignment to supervised training hidden to both patients and physicians. However, sample analysis was blinded. In addition, in our study, although patients from both groups received specific dietary prescriptions and adherence to diet was verified at intermediate visits, diet was not considered in the data analysis.

In conclusion, this analysis of data from the IDES shows that PA/exercise-induced increases in physical fitness predict improvements in CVD risk factors and estimated CHD 10-year risk in subjects with type 2 diabetes independently of body weight loss and, for muscular fitness, also of waist circumference reduction. These findings indicate the need for targeting weight loss and, for muscular fitness, particular strength training, in these individuals, especially in subjects who struggle to lose weight, by implementing specific training programs including resistance exercise.
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