Senecio changii (Asteraceae: Senecioneae), a New Species from Sichuan, China
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Abstract

Senecio changii (Asteraceae: Senecioneae), a new species from Muli, Sichuan, southwestern China, is described. It is distinguished in Chinese Senecio s.s. by having lyrate-pinnatisect to pinnatisect leaves and a single terminal large discoid capitulum which is somewhat nodding. Evidence from floral micromorphology, karyology and molecular phylogenetic analyses based on the nuclear ITS/ETS sequence data all support its membership within Senecio s.s.

Introduction

Senecio L. (Asteraceae: Senecioneae), as recently delimited [1, 2], consists of ca. 1,000 species with an almost cosmopolitan distribution. The genus is not particularly richly represented in China. In the Flora of China 20–21 published in 2011, 65 species were recorded in the genus [3]. These include ten species that have been transferred to Jacobaea Mill. [4–6], one to Madaractis DC. [1, 2], and three (all within S. sect. Flexicaules C. Jeffrey & Y.L. Chen) that represent an independent genus of their own but not as yet formally named [1]. Recently, S. daochengensis Y.L. Chen was synonymized with S.atrofuscus Grierson [7]. Fifty species in total, therefore, are currently recognized in Senecio s.s. from China. They are mostly distributed in the Hengduan Mountains region in southwestern China, one of the biodiversity hotspots in the world [8, 9].

During a botanical expedition to Sichuan Province in southwestern China in 2015, we discovered an unusual population of Senecio s.s. in Muli County, an area situated in the southern part of the Hengduan Mountains region. The plants are most readily distinguishable from all the other known Chinese species in Senecio s.s. by having lyrate-pinnatisect or pinnatisect leaves and a single terminal large discoid capitulum which is somewhat nodding (Figs 1 and 2). We determined that the population represents a hitherto undescribed species, which we name as S. changii and describe below. Its membership within Senecio s.s. is strongly supported by evidence from floral micromorphology, karyology and molecular phylogenetic analyses based on the nuclear rDNA internal and external transcribed spacer (ITS and ETS) sequences.
Fig 1. Holotype sheet of *Senecio changii*.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151423.g001
**Fig 2. Senecio changii** in the wild (Guangtou Shan, Muli, Sichuan, China). (A) Habitat; (B) Habit; (C) Roots; (D) Leaf (adaxial surface); (E) Leaves (abaxial surface) (F) Capitulum (top view); (G) Capitulum (lateral view); (H) Capitulum (back view).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151423.g002
Materials and Methods

Ethics statements

The new species reported in this study was collected from Guangtou Shan in Muli County, Sichuan Province, China. The collection locality is situated in a state forest farm superintended by the Forestry Bureau of Sichuan Province. The Forestry Bureau permitted our field studies in the farm, and our field studies did not involve endangered or protected species.

Morphology

The morphological description of the new species was based on the examination of fresh and pressed specimens. For morphological comparison with its more or less similar species, including Senecio chungtienensis C. Jeffrey & Y.L. Chen, Senecio megalanthus Y.L. Chen, and Senecio pteridophyllos Franch., relevant specimens deposited in the major Chinese herbaria, including CDBI, IBSC, KUN, PE, SM, SZ, and WUK, were examined.

Floral micromorphology

Thirty florets from ten individuals of Senecio changii were examined. Dry mature florets were first boiled in distilled water for 20 min. For observation of style-arm apices and stigmatic areas on the inner surface of the style branch, styles were segregated from the florets and mounted on a slide. For observation of the filament collar and anther endothecial cell wall thickenings, softened florets were immersed in 5% NaOH at 45°C for 1 h, then the anthers were removed from the florets and mounted on a slide with 50% glycerol. All the observations were made under a Nikon microscope (ECLIPSE E600), and photographs were made with a Nikon digital camera (DXM1200F).

Terminology for the description of the configuration of stigmatic areas on the inner surface of the style branch followed Wetter [10], and that for the description of the filament collar and of the endothecial cell wall thickenings followed Nordenstam [11].

Karyology

Five plant individuals of Senecio changii were examined. Root tips were pretreated in a 1:1 mixture of 0.1% colchicine and 0.002 M 8-hydroxyquinoline for 2.5 h, then fixed in Carnoy I (glacial acetic acid: absolute ethanol = 1:3) at room temperature for 1 h, and then macerated in a 1:1 mixture of 45% acetic acid and 1 M HCl at 37°C for 45 min, and stained and squashed in Carbol fuchsin. Photographs of chromosomes were made with a Nikon microscope (ECLIPSE E600) with a Nikon digital camera (DXM1200F).

The karyotype formula was based on measurements of chromosomes of five cells. The acronyms used to describe the karyotypes followed Levan et al. [12]: m = median centromeric chromosome with arm ratio of 1.0–1.7, and sm = submedian centromeric chromosome with arm ratio of 1.7–3.0.

Molecular systematics

**Taxon sampling.** A total of 67 accessions were sampled, including three accessions of S. changii, 29 accessions representing 29 species within Senecio s.s., and 35 accessions in 35 genera representing other main clades of Senecioneae revealed by Pelser et al. [1, 13]. Abrotanella emarginata (Gaudich.) Cass. was selected as a root based on Pelser et al. [1, 13]. GenBank accession numbers and voucher information for the materials used in this study are provided in S1 Table.
DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing. Total genomic DNA of *Senecio changii* was extracted from silica gel-dried leaves collected in the field using a CTAB protocol [14]. ITS and ETS sequence data were employed to infer phylogeny in this study. They are amplified and sequenced using primer pairs ITS4 (TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC)/ITS5 (GCAAGTAAAAAGCTGTAACAAGG) [15] and AST-1 (CGTAAAGGGCAGTGATGCTGTG) [16]/ETS (ACTTTACAGTCGACTGCTTAATCT) [17], respectively. Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed in a total volume of 25 μl containing 5 μl 5× PrimeSTAR buffer (Mg²⁺ plus) (Takara, Dalian, Liaoning, China), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.3 μM each primer, 50 ng template DNA, and 0.6 U PrimeSTAR HS DNA Polymerase (Takara, Dalian, Liaoning, China). PCR conditions followed the suggestions of the user manual of PrimeSTAR HS DNA Polymerase: a pretreatment at 98°C for 3 min, 30 cycles of DNA denaturation at 98°C for 10 s, primer annealing at 55°C for 15 s, and DNA extension at 72°C for 30 s, followed by a single final extension at 72°C for 6 min. PCR products were visualized via agarose gel electrophoresis. Successful amplifications were purified, and sequenced on an Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA Analyzer at Invitrogen (Life Technologies, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China). The resulting contigs were assembled and edited using Sequencher v.4.1.4 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A.). Six sequences of *Senecio changii* were deposited at GenBank (S1 Table).

Phylogenetic analyses. Sequences were aligned using Clustal X v.2.1 [18] with the default settings, and followed by manual adjustment in BioEdit v.7.0.5.3 [19] when necessary. The concatenated alignment of ITS and ETS could be found in S1 File (ITS: 1–950; ETS: 951–1058). Gaps introduced for alignment were treated as missing.

Before combining ITS and ETS for phylogenetic analyses, we performed incongruence length difference (ILD) test [20, 21] to test the congruence between them. The ILD test was implemented in PAUP* v.4.0b10 [22] with 1,000 replicates, each using a heuristic search algorithm with 100 random-addition-sequence replicates, tree bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping, and saving multiple trees. P-values below 0.05 indicated significant incongruence [20, 21].

Maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) methods were employed to infer the phylogeny. MP analysis was performed using PAUP* v.4.0b10 [22] with the following settings: heuristic tree search, 10,000 random-addition-sequence replicates, TBR branch-swapping, and saving multiple trees. Node support was estimated with 1,000 bootstrap (MPBS) replicates [23], each using a heuristic search algorithm with 100 random-addition-sequence replicates, TBR branch-swapping, and saving multiple trees. For ML and BI analyses, ITS and ETS were assigned separate partitions. ML analysis was implemented in RAxML v.8.1.20 [24] with GTR+GAMMA model assigned for both partitions and with 1,000 bootstrap (MLBS) replicates using a fast bootstrapping algorithm [25]. MrBayes v.3.2.5 [26] was employed to implement BI. We sampled across the GTR model space (nst = mixed) [27] with a gamma-shaped distribution of rates across sites. All parameters were unlinked and variable rates were allowed. Two parallel analyses each with four chains were run for three million generations. Trees were sampled every 1,000 generations. Average standard deviation of split frequencies below 0.01, and effective sample sizes (ESS) of all parameters over 200 evaluated in Tracer v.1.6 [28], were used as indicators of convergence and adequate sampling. The first 25% sampled trees were discarded as burn-in, and the remaining trees were used to estimate the posterior probabilities (PP). Bootstrap percentage (MPBS and MLBS) values ≥ 70 [29] and PP values ≥ 0.95 were regarded as strong support.

Nomenclature

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) in a work with an ISSN or ISBN will represent a published work according to the International Code of
Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants, and hence the new name contained in the electronic publication of a PLOS article is effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone, so there is no longer any need to provide printed copies.

In addition, the new name contained in this work has been submitted to IPNI, from where it will be made available to the Global Names Index. The IPNI LSIDs can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID contained in this publication to the prefix http://ipni.org/. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PubMed Central and LOCKSS.

Results

Floral Micromorphology

The filament collar of *Senecio changii*, which was dilated towards the base with the basal cells somewhat enlarged, was balusterform (Fig 3A). The anther endothecial cell wall thickenings were radial, with the thickenings or ribs nearly evenly distributed in the inner anticlinal walls (Fig 3B). The style-arm apices were truncate and the stigmatic areas on the inner surface of the style branch were discrete (Fig 3C).

Karyology

The chromosome number of *Senecio changii* was $2n = 40$ (Fig 4A). The chromosomes were medium-sized, ranging from 4.6 to 2.6 μm in length. They showed a steady gradation in length from the longest to the shortest, with no evidence of bimodality. The karyotype was formulated as $2n = 38m + 2sm$ (Fig 4B).

Fig 3. Floral micromorphology in *Senecio changii*. (A) Filament collar, balusterform; (B) Anther endothecial cell wall thickenings, radial; (C) Style arm, showing the truncate apex and discrete stigmatic areas.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151423.g003
The p-value of the ILD test between ITS and ETS data was 0.127, indicating that there was no significant incongruence between these two regions. We thus combined them for phylogenetic analyses.

MP (S1 Fig), ML (Fig 5) and BI (S2 Fig) analyses all produced congruent topologies, except for the position of *Crocidium multicaule* Hook. This species was suggested as a member of subtribe Tussilagininae s.s. by both ML and BI analyses, although not receiving strong support, while in MP tree, it was sister to *Othonna capensis* L.H. Bailey (MPBS = 79).

As shown in Fig 5, after *Abrotanella emarginata*, *Capelio caledonica* B. Nord. and three lineages of the subtribe Tussilagininae grade successively diverged. Subtribe Senecioninae was resolved as a well-supported clade (MLBP/MPBP/PP = 94/95/1.00), with *Othonna capensis* as a sister (MLBP/MPBP/PP = 80/64/1.00). Within Senecioninae, the monophyly of *Senecio* s.s. was strongly supported (MLBP/MPBP/PP = 100/100/1.00), although phylogenetic relationships within the subtribe were poorly resolved. Significantly, the three accessions of *Senecio changii* constituted a strongly-supported clade (MLBP/MPBP/PP = 100/100/1.00) deeply nested within *Senecio* s.s.

**Taxonomic treatment**

*Senecio changii* C. Ren & Q.E. Yang, sp. nov. [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names: 77153507–1] (Figs 1–3)

Type: China. Sichuan: Muli, Xiangjiao, Guangtou Shan, 27°51′25.49″ N, 101°20′15.34″ E, 3741 m, rocky crevices in alpine forest, 22 Aug 2015 (fl), C. Ren et al. WL146 (holotype and isotypes, IBSC!).

![Mitotic metaphase chromosomes (A; 2n = 40) and karyotype (B; 2n = 38m + 2sm) of *Senecio changii.*](Fig 4. Mitotic metaphase chromosomes (A; 2n = 40) and karyotype (B; 2n = 38m + 2sm) of *Senecio changii.*)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151423.g004
Fig 5. Phylogeny of tribe Senecioneae based on concatenated ITS and ETS data by using maximum likelihood analysis. Bootstrap values (≥ 50%; maximum likelihood/maximum parsimony) are indicated above branches, and posterior probabilities (≥ 0.95) below branches. Dashes (−) indicate bootstrap values < 50%.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151423.g005
**Diagnosis.** *Senecio changii* is distinguished in Chinese *Senecio* s.s. by having lyrate-pinnatisect to pinnatisect leaves and a single large terminal discoid capitulum which is somewhat nodding.

**Description.** Herbs, perennial, rhizomatous. Stem solitary, erect, scapiform, 10–20 cm tall, crisped-pubescent, especially densely so near base. Basal leaves many, present at anthesis; petiole 2–6 cm long, crisped-pubescent, base dilated but not auriculate; blade oblanceolate-oblong or narrowly oblanceolate-oblong, 4–10 × 1.2–3 cm, herbaceous, lyrate-pinnate to pinnate with irregularly sparsely dentate lobes, adaxially green, abaxially whitish green to purple, crisped-pubescent on both surfaces, especially so at margins and on veins. Stem leaves several, similar to basal leaves and gradually smaller upwards. Capitula solitary, discoid, slightly nodding; scape 7–11 cm tall, crisped-pubescent, with 2–4 linear bracts in upper part. Involucres campanulate, 10–14 × 15–20 mm, conspicuously calyculate; bracts of calyculus 12–18, linear, 10–14 × 2–3 mm, herbaceous; phyllaries 20–26, linear, 10–14 × 2–3 mm, herbaceous, crisped-pubescent, apically purplish, puberulent. Disk florets numerous; corolla yellow, 7–8 mm long, with ca. 2.5 mm tube and funnelform limb; lobes oblong-lanceolate, ca. 1 mm long, apically acute, papillose; anthers ca. 1.5 mm long, basally obtuse-auriculate, appendages ovate-lanceolate; style branches ca. 0.5 mm long, apically truncate; stigmatic areas separate. Achenes (immature) cylindric. Pappus white, ca. 4 mm long.

**Etymology.** The epithet "changii" is named in honor of the late Professor Chao-chien Chang (1900–1972), who was one of the Chinese pioneers in taxonomic studies of the Asteraceae from China.

**Distribution and Habitat.** *Senecio changii* is currently known only from its type locality, i.e., Guangtou Shan, Muli, Sichuan, China (Fig 6). It is a chasmophyte growing in rocky crevices in alpine forest at an altitude of ca. 3700 m a.s.l.

---

Fig 6. Distribution of *Senecio changii*.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151423.g006
Phenology. Found in flower in late August.

Conservation Status. According to the IUCN red list categories and criteria, Version 3.1 [30], Senecio changii should be categorized as a Critically Endangered (CR) species. This species seems to be quite rare. It had never been collected before, and we discovered only a small population of some 150 mature individuals despite our great efforts to find more populations. In addition, S. changii seems to prefer highly specialized habitats like rocky crevices, and is thus prone to threats from human activities such as grazing and mining. Fortunately, the type locality is not easily accessible and sparsely populated. The population seems to be reproductively healthy, with 1/3 of the individuals are in blossom. The presence of many seedlings in the population indicates that the species should be sexually reproductive and its seeds can germinate normally.

Key to Similar Species

1a. Capitula solitary, 10–14 × 15–20 mm.
   2a. Leaves lyrate-pinnatisect to pinnatisect; capitula discoid. ................. Senecio changii
   2b. Leaves subentire or undulate-dentate; capitula radiate. ................. S. megalanthus

1b. Capitula numerous in a compound corymb, 3–6 × 2–4 mm.
   3a. Rays 4.5 mm long; pappus 4 mm long. .................. S. pteridophyllus
   3b. Rays 8 mm long; pappus 5.5 mm long. .................. S. chungtienensis

Discussion

The generic affiliation of Senecio changii is strongly supported by evidence from gross morphology, floral micromorphology, karyology, and ITS/ETS sequence data. The somewhat nodding capitulum of S. changii is reminiscent of members within Cremanthodium Benth. within subtribe Tussilagininae. However, the calyculate involucre with numerous bracts (Fig 2F–2H), the balusterform filament collar (Fig 3A), the radial anther endothecial cell wall thickenings (Fig 3B), the truncate style-arm apices (Fig 3C), the discrete stigmatic areas (Fig 3C), and the chromosome number of 2n = 40 (Fig 3) all indicate that S. changii is a member within subtribe Senecioninae as defined by Nordenstam [31]. In subtribe Tussilagininae, the involucre is usually ecalyculate, the filament collar is cylindric, the endothecial cell wall thickenings are polarized, the style-arm apices are obtuse, the stigmatic areas are continuous, and the base chromosome number is x = 30 or a derivative thereof [31]. In our molecular phylogenetic analyses based on ITS/ETS sequence data, Senecio changii is revealed to be deeply nested within Senecio s.s. (Fig 5).

Senecio changii is morphologically a very distinctive species in Chinese Senecio s.s. in having lyrate-pinnatisect to pinnatisect leaves and a single terminal large discoid capitulum which is somewhat nodding. Although S. megalanthus, a species occurring in Xiangcheng and Kangding in western Sichuan and belonging in S. sect. Crociseris (Reichenb.) Hall. & Wohlf. ser. Monticolarum C. Jeffrey & Y.L. Chen, also has a single terminal large capitulum, but from S. changii it immediately differs by the leaves subentire or undulate-dentate and the capitulum radiate. From a morphological perspective S. changii may be referred to S. sect. Crociseris ser. Malacophylli C. Jeffrey & Y.L. Chen because of its scapiform habit and lyrate-pinnatisect to pinnatisect leaves. In leaf shape, particularly, S. changii is similar to S. pteridophyllus and S. chungtienensis within the series. However, both S. pteridophyllus and S. chungtienensis occur in northwestern
Yunnan, China, and are most easily distinguishable from *S. changii* by having a compound corymb composed of numerous small radiate capitula which are erect.
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S1 Fig. Phylogeny of tribe Senecioneae based on concatenated ITS and ETS data by using maximum parsimony analysis. Bootstrap values (≥ 50%) are indicated above branches. (EPS)

S2 Fig. Phylogeny of tribe Senecioneae based on concatenated ITS and ETS data by using Bayesian analysis. Posterior probabilities (≥ 0.95) are indicated above branches. (EPS)

S1 File. The concatenated ITS and ETS dataset. ITS region: 1–950; ETS region: 951–1058. (FAS)
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