Luminescence of Terbium Ions in Aqueous Solutions of Sodium Styrene Sulfonate Copolymers with 4-Methacrylamidosalicylic Acid
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Abstract—Water-soluble copolymers of sodium styrene sulfonate and 4-methacrylamidosalicylic acid of 93.7 mol% composition have been synthesized, and their interaction with terbium and gadolinium ions has been investigated to fabricate luminescent probes promising for their visualization in biomedical research. It has been shown that, in aqueous solutions in the copolymer concentration range 0.15–1.7 mg mL–1 and at the ratio [Tb3+]/[COO–] = 1, water-soluble luminescent metal polymer complexes with a luminescence lifetime of 823 μs are formed. When Tb3+ ions are partially replaced in the complex by Gd3+ ions, bimetallic complexes with intense luminescence are formed.
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The currently developing coronavirus pandemic stimulates the search for new antiviral agents, both among low-molecular-weight and water-soluble high-molecular-weight substances. Among polymers, of great interest to researchers are polyanions, in particular, sulfo-containing polymers, for example, sodium poly(styrene sulfonate) (poly-SSNa), sodium polyvinylsulfonate, etc., which are active against various viruses (influenza, HIV, herpes, rabies, etc.) [1, 2]. For biovisualization of cells, viruses, tissues, and biological processes, metal–polymer complexes of Eu3+ and Tb3+ lanthanides have recently been used [3–6].

To study the interaction of sodium poly(styrene sulfonate) (I) with viruses and cells, in this work we synthesized copolymer II of sodium styrene sulfonate (SSNa) with 4-methacrylamidosalicylic acid (MASA). The objects of study are shown in Fig. 1. For them, the conditions for the formation of luminescent complexes of Tb3+ and Tb3+ lanthanides have recently been used [3–6].

The copolymer and homopolymers were obtained by radical (co)polymerization in solutions (DMF, DMSO) in the presence of azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) as an initiator at 65°C for 24 h. The resulting polymers were isolated by dialysis against water followed by freeze drying. The molecular weights were calculated from the intrinsic viscosity values according to the formula [η] = 1.17 × 10–2 × M0.69 for sodium poly(styrene sulfonate) [9]: the molecular weight was 31 × 103 for I and for 84 × 103 for copolymer II. The content of MASA, used as a chelate label to obtain a luminescent probe, determined by UV spectrophotometry in the copolymer was 7 mol%.

Aqueous solutions with copolymer concentrations of 1.5 and 0.12 mg mL–1 and pH 8–9 were studied. The [COO–]/[Tb3+] ratio was varied by adding a TbCl3 solution (c = 5 × 10–4 mol L–1) to the copolymer solution.

The absorption spectra of the solutions were recorded on an SF256 UVI spectrophotometer (OOO LOMO Phototonika, Russia). The excitation and luminescence spectra of the solutions were recorded on a PTI LS100 spectrophotometer. The lifetime of the excited state of the Tb3+ complex with copolymer II (τphosph) was determined from the kinetic phosphorescence decay curve. The measurements were carried out in a thermostated cell at 25°C in a quartz cuvette with an optical path length of 1 cm.

Figure 2a shows the absorption spectra of aqueous solutions of (co)polymers I–III in the wavelength range 220–400 nm. The absorption spectra of a solu-
The UV spectra of aqueous solutions of the copolymers (Fig. 2a) show a band at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 265 \) nm due to \( \pi-\pi^* \) electronic transitions in the aromatic ring. This band is a superposition of two bands at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 262 \) nm (Fig. 2a, spectrum 1) and \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 267 \) nm (Fig. 2a, spectrum 3). Figure 2b shows the excitation and luminescence spectra of copolymer II.

Figure 3 shows the excitation and luminescence spectra of Tb\(^{3+}\) ions in a solution of copolymer II at different concentrations of the copolymer. Comparison of Figs. 2a and 3 shows that the excitation and absorption spectra of solutions of the Tb\(^{3+}\)/II complex and the initial solution of copolymer II differ in shape and, in addition, unlike the absorption spectra of solutions of II, change significantly with a change in the concentration of the copolymer. In lanthanide complexes, the ratio between the bands due to the absorption of the ligand and the absorption of the lanthanide ion depends not only on their molar absorption coefficients, but also on the efficiency of luminescence sensitization [6]. A significant change in the shape of the excitation spectra with a decrease in the concentration of the copolymer indicates a rearrangement of the copolymer.
rangement of the inner sphere of the complex, associated with a change in the number of COO\(^{-}\) groups and water molecules coordinated by Tb\(^{3+}\).

The excitation spectra at \(c = 1.57 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}\) show one band with \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 335 \text{ nm}\), while at \(c = 0.12 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}\) bands with maxima at 227, 258, 268, 296, and 310 nm appear in the spectra (Fig. 3, spectra \(I\) and \(2\)). The observed effect of concentration is due to competition in the binding of Tb\(^{3+}\) ions by styrene sulfonate and MASA units. Styrene sulfonate, being a strong acid anion, weakly coordinates Tb\(^{3+}\) ions; therefore, at the concentration of copolymer \(I\) \(c_{II} \geq 1.5 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}\), they are mainly bound by MASA units. But since the constants of formation of lanthanide complexes with carboxyl groups are in the range \((1 \times 10^{4}) – (1 \times 10^{6})\) [10], when the solution is diluted, the equilibrium shifts towards the formation of “coordinatively unsaturated” complexes Tb\(^{3+}\)(COO\(^{-}\))\(_{3-n}\) (\(n = 1, 2\)).

The lifetimes \(\tau_{\text{phosph}}\) of the excited state of Tb\(^{3+}\) complexes with copolymer \(II\) were determined from the kinetic phosphorescence decay curves. The kinetic curves are described by a two-exponential dependence with \(\tau_{\text{phosph}} = 823\) and 157 \(\mu\)s, the pre-exponential factor is 0.92 and 0.08, respectively. Based on the calculations performed in [11], it can be assumed that the Tb\(^{3+}/\II\) polymer complex can contain up to about four water molecules.

The photoluminescence spectra of solutions of Tb\(^{3+}\) with copolymer \(II\) for the studied concentrations (Fig. 3) show, along with the bands at 495, 545, 587, and 622 nm characteristic of Tb\(^{3+}\) due to the \(5D_{2} \rightarrow 7F_{j}\) (\(j = 6, 5, 4, 3\)) transitions, there is a MASA luminescence band at \(\lambda = 402 \text{ nm}\), which indicates incomplete energy transfer from the ligand triplet level to the Tb\(^{3+}\) resonance level. It is known that, if the intrasystem transfer is not efficient enough, then the partial replacement of luminescent ions by Gd\(^{3+}\) ions can contribute to an increase in the luminescence intensity of lanthanide ions [12–14]. Macromolecular Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes, in addition to being used in MRI, are promising for simultaneous MRI and targeted therapeutic procedures [15] and can also be combined with other imaging methods.

Figure 4 shows the change in the luminescence intensity of the Tb\(^{3+}/\II\) complex normalized to 1 at \([\text{Tb}^{3+}] = 4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}\) upon the addition of Gd\(^{3+}\) ions (black squares). The \([\text{Tb}^{3+}]/\text{Gd}^{3+}\) ratio was changed from 0.6 to 16, decreasing the concentration of Tb\(^{3+}\), but keeping the total concentration of Tb\(^{3+}\) and Gd\(^{3+}\) ions constant and equal to \(4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}\). For comparison, the dependence for Tb\(^{3+}/\II\) without Gd\(^{3+}\) is shown in the same coordinates (solid curve).

It can be seen from Fig. 4 that the addition of Gd\(^{3+}\) does not affect the luminescence of Tb\(^{3+}\) at all \([\text{Tb}^{3+}]/\text{Gd}^{3+}\) ratios. This may be due to the fact that either Gd\(^{3+}\) is bound by styrene sulfonate units, or Gd\(^{3+}\), replacing Tb\(^{3+}\) in the complex with \(II\), creates an additional step in the electron excitation energy transfer to the emitting Tb\(^{3+}\) level, which enhances luminescence, compensating for the decrease in the concentration of Tb\(^{3+}/\II\) complexes in the copolymer.

Thus, the formation of complexes of the (SSNa–MASA) copolymer with Tb\(^{3+}\) ions, as well as bimetallic complexes of Tb\(^{3+}\) and Gd\(^{3+}\) with copolymer \(II\), opens up prospects for the creation of water-soluble polymeric polyfunctional biologically active substances with antiviral activity containing probes with optical and magnetic resonance properties, for diagnostics and imaging of cells, organs, and tissues.
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