Case Report

Potential utilization of neopterin measurements in the assessment of pyrexia in metastatic melanoma treated with combined targeted therapy: a case report

Abstract: In patients with metastatic melanoma the advent of targeted therapy and immune checkpoint inhibitors has transformed the management of advanced and metastatic disease, resulting in improved outcomes. Neopterin is a biomarker of immune activation increased in cancer as well as in other conditions associated with immune activation. We present a case of a patient with advanced metastatic melanoma responding to the combination targeted therapy with dabrafenib and trametinib. The treatment was complicated by a fever that was accompanied by a marked rise in serum and urinary neopterin concentrations. Present case report illustrates not only the efficacy of combined targeted therapy, but also the utilization of neopterin measurements in the diagnosis and monitoring of pyrexia in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma.
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Introduction

Malignant melanoma is a heterogeneous cluster of neoplastic disorders characterized by a variable and unpredictable biological behavior. Cases of melanoma can be distinguished according to the site of the primary tumor and molecular pathogenesis. About 90% of melanoma cases originate in the skin, and about half of skin melanomas harbor an activating BRAF mutation [1]. Before the advent of targeted therapy and immune checkpoint inhibitors, the prognosis of patients with metastatic melanoma was almost uniformly dismal as cytotoxic chemotherapy and cytokines have been shown to be only marginally active [2, 3].

Ipilimumab, a monoclonal antibody targeting the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen (CTLA-4) was the first drug shown to prolong survival in metastatic melanoma [4]. However, the response rate with ipilimumab monotherapy was relatively low, and has been quickly surpassed by the outcomes obtained with monoclonal antibodies targeting programmed death receptor (PD)-1 pembrolizumab and nivolumab [5, 6]. Subsequently, superior activity of the combination of ipilimumab and nivolumab over immune checkpoint inhibitor monotherapy has been demonstrated [6].

The development of new therapeutics in malignant melanoma also followed a parallel path. Approximately 50% of cases of cutaneous melanoma are characterized by the V600 mutation of the BRAF gene [1, 7]. In patients with BRAF V600 mutation-positive melanoma, targeted inhibition of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) pathway results in rapid tumor regression. Combination of a BRAF inhibitor (dabrafenib, vemurafenib, or encorafenib) with a MEK inhibitor (trametinib, cobimetinib, or binimetinib) reduces the risk of acquired resistance and decreases the toxicity in comparison with single agent BRAF inhibition [8-10]. Adverse events of BRAF inhibitors include fever (dabrafenib), photosensitivity
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Laboratory medicine plays a crucial role in the management of cancer patients [11]. In malignant melanoma the determination of the presence of BRAF mutation is essential for targeted therapy. However, less attention has been focused on the laboratory biomarkers of toxicity associated with the therapy. A notable side effect of the treatment with dabrafenib and trametinib combination is pyrexia that has to be distinguished from fever of other origin [12-18].

Neopterin is a biomarker of immune response produced by macrophages activated by interferon-gamma [19, 20]. Neopterin is increased in patients with advanced cancer [19, 20], including malignant melanoma [21, 22], and neopterin concentrations may further increase after treatment with chemotherapy, cytokines and radiotherapy [20, 23]. Moreover, increased neopterin concentrations are associated with poor prognosis [24].

We present here a case of a patient with remarkable response to the combination of dabrafenib and trametinib accompanied by marked changes in serum and urinary neopterin concentrations that reflected the course of the disease and therapy, in particular the fever induced by the treatment.

**Ethical approval:** The research related to human use has been complied with all the relevant national regulations, institutional policies and in accordance the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration, and has been approved by the authors’ institutional review board or equivalent committee.

**Informed consent:** Informed consent has been obtained from the patient included in this study

**Case Description**

A 47-year old woman was admitted to a regional hospital on June 12, 2014 because of life threatening grade 4 anemia (hemoglobin concentration of 25g/L) caused by hemorrhage from ulcerated tumor in the left groin. The patient was transfused five red blood cell units and received intravenous fluids. A biopsy from the left groin established the diagnosis of malignant melanoma metastasis. Eight days later the patient was transferred to the Department of Oncology, University Hospital Olomouc, Czech Republic. Upon admission, blood pressure of 110/65 mm Hg, heart rate of 95 per minute, and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 4 were recorded. Lymphedema of the left lower extremity and an ulcerated melanoma of 5 centimeters in diameter on the ventral side of the left calf were noted. In the left groin ulcerated metastasis of total size of 15 centimeters with spontaneous bleeding and necrosis was evident (Figure 1). Laboratory test showed a grade 2 anemia (hemoglobin concentration of 84 g/L), and high concentration of C-reactive protein (CRP; 104 mg/L). Tumor BRAF mutation was detected. Supportive care, including daily dressing of the ulcerated metastasis, nutritional support, and red blood cell transfusion were provided. Subsequent computed tomography (CT) scan confirmed an ulcerated solid tumor of 163 x 105 x 150 mm in the left groin, a metastasis to the left ovary of a total size of 100 x 65 mm, and partial thrombosis of the right femoral vein (Figure 2 A and B).

On July 4, 2014, because of rapid tumor progression and confirmed presence of BRAF mutation, combined targeted therapy with dabrafenib (150 mg twice daily) plus trametinib (2 mg once daily) was started. Samples for urinary neopterin determination were collected daily.

On July 28, 2014 (24th day of the therapy) the condition of the patient suddenly deteriorated. The patient was confused and had fever above 40° C. Laboratory test showed serum neopterin concentration above 28.10 µg/L and grade 3 anemia, but CRP concentration decreased (65 mg/L). The patient underwent brain CT scan, which excluded serious intracranial pathology. The time course
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of serum neopterin, determined by immunoassay as described earlier [23] is shown in Figure 3 A. The changes in urinary neopterin (Figure 3 B) determined daily by high performance liquid chromatography [23] showed a similar trend, in contrast to serum CRP concentrations (Figure 3 C) determined by a commercial kit [25]. Because of the presentation, including rising neopterin concentration and decreasing CRP, and absence of any other general or organ-specific symptoms suggestive of infectious disease, the fever was considered a side effect of therapy, and not a manifestation of infection.

The results of daily urinary neopterin measurements indicate that after an initial decrease urinary neopterin concentrations began to rise 4 days after the start of therapy. Urinary neopterin concentrations were then stable for about two weeks at a higher level before another steep increase that began about a week before the manifestation of symptoms that coincided with peak neopterin concentration (Figure 3 B).

Patient received hydration, acetaminophen, corticosteroids (prednisone 10 mg), was transfused with three units of red blood cell for grade 3 anemia, and the targeted therapy was interrupted. Administration of antibiotic therapy (cefuroxime 500 mg twice daily) that was initiated was considered a more or less prophylactic measure as the episode was considered to be non-infectious in face of declining CRP concentration. Two days later the patient condition improved, serum neopterin concentration decreased to 17 µg/L, and targeted therapy was reinstated. Urinary neopterin concentration also decreased markedly while the patient was recovering. Daily dressing including toilette and debridement of necrotic tissue was critical in preventing secondary infection of the ulcerated metastasis. It was also possible to monitor immediately the effect of the treatment. During course of hospitalization rapid and significant tumor shrinkage was observed accompanied by spontaneous shedding of the necrotic tumor (Figure 4). Intermittent pyrexia was the only adverse events of combined targeted therapy noted. During the period of daily urinary neopterin measurement another peak of increased concentration was observed (Figure 3 B). Targeted therapy was otherwise well tolerated with rapid response. The patient was discharged and continued with combined targeted therapy on an outpatient basis.

In February 2015 control CT scan confirmed significant tumor regression (Figure 5 A and B). In April 2015 external beam radiation (total dose of 24 Gy in 3 fractions) was administered because of local progression in the left groin. In June 2015 the patient was admitted with thrombocytopenia, purpura and fever. Laboratory tests showed elevation of CRP (430.9 mg/L), acute kidney injury (urea 21.9 mmol/L and creatinine 353 µmol/L), elevated level of lactate dehydrogenase (>30 µkat/L), anemia grade 3 (68 g/L), thrombocytopenia grade 3 (32 x 10^9/L), leukocytosis (28.43x10^9/L), elevated levels of D-dimer (247242 µg/L). Antibiotic therapy was initiated immediately (cefotaxime, 1 g three times daily), and the patient was transfused with three red blood cell units. The two days later, on 12 June 2015 patient died supposedly of severe sepsis complicated by disseminated intravascular coagulation. An autopsy was not performed due to the known extensive metastatic disease.

Discussion

The present case report demonstrating the efficacy of combined targeted therapy in a patient with BRAF-mutated melanoma also illustrates the potential role of repeated neopterin measurements in the supportive care, including the diagnosis and monitoring of side effects of targeted therapy. During the past decade we have witnessed
Figure 3: (A) Serum neopterin concentrations during the course of treatment. (B) Urinary neopterin concentrations during the course of treatment. (C) Serum C-reactive protein concentrations during the course of treatment.
a major paradigm shift in medical oncology with the introduction of targeted therapy. The advent of targeted therapy has virtually transformed the management of tumors resistant to radiation and chemotherapy like renal cell carcinoma [26, 27] and malignant melanoma [8]. Targeted therapy currently represents a treatment of choice for symptomatic patients with BRAF-mutated metastatic melanoma and high tumor burden as it induces rapid tumor shrinkage leading to the relief of symptoms. Unfortunately, most melanoma patients who initially respond to combined BRAF and MEK inhibition experience subsequently disease progression caused by acquired resistance. The other therapeutic option in this setting, the therapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors could result in long-term survival, but delayed onset of response or pseudo-progression may represent a problem in patients with high burden of metastatic disease as there may not be sufficient time for response manifestation.

Pyrexia with associated symptoms of chills, night sweats, dehydration or hypotension is the principal and most common side effect of the dabrafenib plus trametinib combination [18]. The mechanism of hyperpyrexia induced with dabrafenib and trametinib combination is still unknown [15, 16]. It has been hypothesized that the cause of hyperpyrexia is paradoxical activation of MAPK pathway in lymphocytes, similarly to familial Mediterranean fever [16]. Present data demonstrate pyrexia in patients treated with dabrafenib plus trametinib is accompanied by markedly increased production of neopterin, a biomarker of immune response.

Biomarkers are essential for the management of cancer patients [11], and there is an unmet medical need for new biomarkers in patients treated with targeted therapy comprising immune checkpoint inhibitors, including patients with melanoma. Neopterin is a biomarker of immune activation, and increased neopterin concentrations were demonstrated in different disorders associated with immune activation, including viral infections, autoimmune diseases, myocardial infarction, or cancer [19, 28-30]. In cancer patients, increased neopterin production in the tumor microenvironment or systemically has been associated with immune dysfunction [31-34]. It has been demonstrated that changing neopterin concentrations reflect the administration of therapy or complications [23].

In the present case report, daily monitoring of neopterin concentrations during the first two months of therapy was instrumental in distinguishing between fever accompanying bacterial infection and pyrexia caused by the therapy. The time course of daily measurements indicates that after an initial decline neopterin concentrations began to increase, indicating an activation of immune system. A further marked increase in urinary neopterin concentrations started about a week before the culmination of symptom manifestation at which time also urinary neopterin concentrations peaked. The supportive therapy resulted in a swift decrease in urinary neopterin that began to increase again after the re-institution of therapy...
of treatment. In the present case of a large ulcerated metastasis it was important to exclude infection as the cause of pyrexia. In this situation increasing urinary and serum neopterin concentrations while CRP level was decreasing suggested an etiology different from bacterial infection. Moreover, the presentation did not include, besides fever, any general or organ-specific symptoms suggestive of a bacterial or viral infection. The decrease of neopterin concentrations after institution of supportive therapy also indicated a non-infectious cause of the fever. Present data indicate that, in addition to aiding with the diagnosis, urinary neopterin concentration may be used to monitor as well as to predict fever associated with the administration of dabrafenib plus trametinib combination, but further studies are needed to investigate relationship between immune-related adverse events and neopterin concentration. Present data also suggest potential use of serial neopterin measurements for the assessment of the efficacy of therapeutic interventions in the supportive care of patients with pyrexia induced by combined BRAF and MEK inhibition.

With the advent of new drugs targeting pathogenic pathways of malignant transformation, including the evasion of the immune system [35], the spectrum of adverse events expands considerably [36]. While for cytotoxic agents the most prominent side effects are on rapidly dividing tissues, i.e. bone marrow and gastrointestinal mucosa [37], the spectrum of side effects of targeted agents is much wider and may often be caused by the activation of immune system [36]. In addition to immune checkpoint inhibitors, other targeted agents, e.g. the combination of dabrafenib with trametinib, could also activate the immune system. Patients with severe immune-related adverse events often require intensive care and multidisciplinary approach as this toxicity may be potentially fatal, and the present case report also illustrates importance of supportive treatment, including intensive care in medical oncology.

In conclusion, the present case reports demonstrating efficacy of the treatment with dabrafenib and trametinib also indicates potential use of daily neopterin measurements in the assessment of fever induced by this combination therapy, specifically the differentiation between the pyrexia induced by the treatment and fever of infectious origin and in the monitoring during the therapy. **Conflict of Interest Statement:** BM and HS; honoraria for speeches and advisory role Roche, Pfizer, BMS, Astellas, Novartis, Bayer, MSD, Merck Serono, Sanofi, Servier, AstraZeneca, Amgen, Janssen, Eisai, E. Lilly, Pierre Farbre. BM is the member of Pteridines Editorial Board. Other authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.
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