Secreted *Gaussia princeps* Luciferase as a Reporter of *Escherichia coli* Replication in a Mouse Tissue Cage Model of Infection
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Abstract

Measurement of bacterial burden in animal infection models is a key component for both bacterial pathogenesis studies and therapeutic agent research. The traditional quantification means for *in vivo* bacterial burden requires frequent animal sacrifice and enumerating colony forming units (CFU) recovered from infection loci. To address these issues, researchers have developed a variety of luciferase-expressing bacterial reporter strains to enable bacterial detection in living animals. To date, all such luciferase-based bacterial reporters are in cell-associated form. Production of luciferase-secreting recombinant bacteria could provide the advantage of reporting CFU from both infection loci themselves and remote sampling (eg. body fluid and plasma). Toward this end, we have genetically manipulated a pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) strain, ATCC25922, to secrete the marine copepod *Gaussia princeps* luciferase (Gluc), and assessed the use of Gluc as both an *in situ* and *ex situ* reporter for bacterial burden in mouse tissue cage infections. The *E. coli* expressing Gluc demonstrates *in vivo* imaging of bacteria in a tissue cage model of infection. Furthermore, secreted Gluc activity and bacterial CFUs recovered from tissue cage fluid (TCF) are correlated along 18 days of infection. Importantly, secreted Gluc can also be detected in plasma samples and serve as an *ex situ* indicator for the established tissue cage infection, once high bacterial burdens are achieved. We have demonstrated that Gluc from marine eukaryotes can be stably expressed and secreted by pathogenic *E. coli* *in vivo* to enable a facile tool for longitudinal evaluation of persistent bacterial infection.

Introduction

The detection and quantification of bacterial colony-forming units (CFU) within animal infection models is critical for both basic research of host-pathogen interactions and pre-clinical evaluation of antibacterial agents and vaccines. However, longitudinal monitoring of CFU *in vivo* models usually is costly and time-consuming, and requires sacrifice of animals at different time points of infection. Using luciferase-expressing genetically engineered bacteria to enable a rapid and non-destructive reporter for infection burden has been applied for a variety of bacterial infections [1]. To date, all the luminescence producing recombinant bacteria available *in vivo* studies have used two classes of luciferase, either from luminous beetles including *Photinus pyralis* firefly luciferase (FFLuc, 62 kDa) [2,3] and *Pyrophorus plagiophthalamus* click beetle luciferase (CBRLuc, 62 kDa) [4] or luciferase from luminous bacteria including *Photobacterium* and *Vibrio* genera (LuxCDABE, 77 kDa) [5]. On the other hand, luciferase from luminous marine eukaryotes such as *Gaussia princeps* has not been successfully applied for *in vivo* bacterial studies.

The *Gaussia princeps* luciferase (Gluc, 19.9 kDa) from marine copepod catalyzes the oxidation of its substrate, coelenterazine (CTZ), producing blue luminescence concomitantly. As the smallest known luciferase, Gluc in either cell-associated form or secreted form has been used for *in vivo* monitoring of a variety of mammalian cell behaviors including primary tumor growth [6], early tumor metastasis [7], cell apoptosis [8] and T cells trafficking [9]. Additionally, Gluc has also been used as reporter for *in vivo* detection of certain nonmammalian organisms such as the fungus *Candida albicans* [10] and alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [11]. Gluc demonstrates several advantages over other conventional luciferases. First, it is documented that human codon-optimized Gluc is 1000-fold more sensitive than humanized firefly luciferase (FFLuc) or humanized *Renilla reniformis* luciferase (RLuc, 34 kDa) [12]; Second, Gluc is naturally secreted in active form from *Gaussia princeps* using its native secretion signal (SS), enabling reporting from both the cells themselves and their extracellular environment; Third, Gluc exhibits good stability under adverse conditions including low pH, hydrogen peroxide, high temperature and even β-mercaptoethanol [13], making it well suited for reporting from stress-associated *in vivo* environments that are...
expected within sites of infection. Last, unlike the firefly luciferase (Fluc) or bacterial luciferase (LuxCDABE) [14,15,16,17,18,19], Gluc does not require co-factors such as ATP or FMNH₂ for its reaction. The independence of Gluc activity from these metabolites avoids declines in detection sensitivity associated with the decreased concentration of bacterial metabolite, occurring upon stationary phase [13,18,19].

Despite the many potential advantages of Gluc-based bacterial reporter systems, successful attempts have only been demonstrated for in vitro studies, such as monitoring Mycobacterium smegmatis (M. smegmatis) in vitro growth under hostile conditions [13] or reporting transcription and secretion of virulence factors in the in vitro culture of Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium (S.Typhimurium) [20]. The trials of using engineered M. smegmatis or Lactococcus lactis (L. lactis) to stably express Gluc are illustrative of the challenge for making in vivo bacterial reporter. Although Gluc was robustly expressed by M. smegmatis in vitro, in vivo imaging of M. smegmatis in mice lungs was not successful [2]. The other endeavor of in vivo and ex vivo imaging of Gluc-producing L. lactis did not generate any signal above the background either [4]. In order to prepare a bacterial reporter that could benefit from the sensitivity of Gluc, the robustness of Gluc to adverse conditions, and also enable in vivo bacteria detection using ex situ sampling (eg, body fluid and plasma), we prepared an engineered pathogenic E. coli that can stably secrete Gluc and tested whether Gluc can function as a CFU reporter within a persistent tissue cage model of infection.

The subcutaneous tissue cage infection model [21,22,23] mimics deep skin bacterial infections, facilitating the study of bacterial virulence factors [24,25], cellular immune responses [26,27,28], the evaluations of antibiotic efficacy, and assessment of in vivo emergence of bacterial resistance during antibiotic treatment of persistent infections [29,30,31]. For the mouse tissue cage model, a sterile, perforated teflon cylindrical tube (size: 8 mm outer diameter × 6 mm inner diameter × 20 mm length) is implanted subcutaneously (s.c.) on the back of mice to form tissue cages wherein interstitial fluid/tissue cage fluid (TCF) accumulates. Infection is initiated by injection of bacteria into the cage cavity via percutaneous puncture (p.c.). This model enables the study of a persistent infection, for up to 3 weeks, wherein the host cellular immune responses are in effect. As long as the initial inoculum size is controlled, tissue cage infection normally does not spread systemically but instead results in an abscess milieu within the cage [32,33], characterized by a suppurative environment with low pH, high protein content, and stationary phase bacteria present at high density in TCF. In such a milieu, the robustness of Gluc to adverse conditions may be of particular importance. Additionally, this model provides a system to evaluate the stability of Gluc-based CFU reporter in infections of long duration. Longitudinal monitoring of bacteria in tissue cage requires multiple sampling of TCF along the infection. Doing so removes organisms from the active infection thus the sampling volume must be kept to a minimum to avoid altering bacterial growth kinetics in tissue cage. In addition, the abscess fluid (TCF) thickens with the progress of infections, making it difficult to sample a volume greater than 10 μl. Conventional CFU plating assays require at least 10 μl or more TCF for measuring bacterial burden in TCF. Therefore, developing a CFU reporter allowing the use of less TCF for bacterial detection is meaningful and important.

Here we report for the first time that the secretion of Gluc by pathogenic E. coli enables a convenient CFU reporter for bacterial infection in mice. Gluc empowers in vivo imaging of stationary phase bacteria in tissue cage infection. Furthermore, CFU burden in TCF and secretion of Gluc activity in TCF correlate to a high degree. Lastly, stationary phase bacterial burden in tissue cage even can be indicated by secreted Gluc in the blood samples of mice bearing tissue cage infection.

Results

Secretion signal PelB derived from pectate lyase B of Erwinia carotovora (E. carotovora) efficiently promotes the secretion of Gluc by E. coli to culture supernatant

A total of four Gluc-derived proteins with varied secretion signaling peptides were tested in E. coli for their ability to promote secretion in vitro. These included the full length Gluc which contains the native 16 amino acid N-terminal secretion signal (SS) or Gluc with these residues removed. Two further fusion proteins were designed from the latter construct, Gluc appended to the 21 N-terminal amino acid secretion signal from pectate lyase B (PelB), and also a construct with the 60 amino acid secretion signal of Hemolysin A (HlyA) appended at the C-terminus of the Gluc protein. The cell lysates of E. coli harboring pCOLDI based plasmid encoding these different versions were analyzed by Western-blotting (Figure 1A and 1B). The majority of over-expressed Gluc is present in the insoluble fraction of cell lysate (comparing lane T and Lane I), yet some over-expressed Gluc is retained in the soluble fraction of cell lysate (comparing lanes T and S). Gluc without any secretion signal is highly expressed from plasmid (comparing lane B and Lane A for Gluc in Figure 1A), but not found in the culture supernatant (Lane “-” in Figure 1C). Similar results were observed with Coomassie Blue staining (figure S1), indicating that Gluc without a secretion signal is not naturally secreted by E. coli. This result highlights the differing efficiency of Gluc secretion between E. coli and Mycobacterium smegmatis (M. smegmatis), noting that almost 100% of Gluc was detected in the culture supernatant of M. smegmatis expressing Gluc without a secretion signal [2]. Gluc with either PelB secretion signal or native secretion signal (SS) is secreted to the culture supernatant to a greater degree than Gluc with HlyA secretion signal (comparing Lane “H” with lane “P” or lane “+” in Figure 1C). However, significant growth lag times were observed for E. coli cultures expressing Gluc with native secretion signal (SS) to reach the same cell density as other E. coli strains (Data not shown), suggesting fitness cost with this construct. Additional bands for Figure 1C lane P could reflect some degradation of the secreted PelB tagged Gluc protein. Nonetheless, the construct was selected for further study due to the greater functional activity of this clone relative to the others investigated.

Integration of Gaussia princeps luciferase (gluc) gene into the E. coli chromosome

In order to achieve a stable expression and secretion of Gluc from E. coli, the gluc gene with codon usage optimised for Gram-negative bacteria was integrated site-specifically into the E. coli chromosome under the control of a constitutive promoter. Three different promoters that have been reported to have varying strengths were tested [34]. Also, gluc with either the pelB or the native secretion signal (SS) were examined. The three promoter sequences each fused to tagged gluc ORFs were cloned into pSMM25, a derivative of the vector pKD4 [35], so that they were immediately 5’ to a FRT-flanked kanamycin resistance gene. Thus, a matrix of six template plasmids containing either the pelB tagged or the SS tagged gluc gene driven by each of the three different promoters was generated. These plasmids were used as PCR templates for generating the DNA fragments used for site-specific recombination with the chromosome. These DNA fragments were integrated into the chromosome using the λ Red recombinase [35]. In the process of cloning the gluc gene driven by either strong
of the panel A and B, lysate corresponding to 10^8 cells was loaded in each lane. (A) The expression of Gluc without secretion signal (Gluc) or Gluc with C-terminal secretion signal derived from α-hemolysin (Gluc-HlyA) was induced from pCOLDI-based vectors in E. coli. Expressions were detected by Western blotting using a rabbit polyclonal antibody against Gluc. (B) The expression of Gluc with N-terminal secretion signal derived from pectate lyase (PelB-Gluc) or Gluc with its native secretion signal from Gaussia princeps (SS-Gluc) was induced from pCOLDI-based vectors in E. coli and detected by the above rabbit polyclonal antibody. (C) For panel C, the bacterial culture supernatant corresponding to secreted protein from 2×10^8 cells was loaded in each lane. →: Culture supernatant of E. coli expressing Gluc without secretion signal, +: supernatant of E. coli expressing Gluc-HlyA, P: supernatant of E. coli expressing pelb-Gluc, E. coli.

or intermediate promoters to make template plasmids, multiple mutations were repetitively observed in the promoter region of these template plasmids (data not shown), suggesting that constitutive expression of secreted forms of Gluc at elevated levels is toxic to E. coli. As represented in Figure 2A, the DNA fragment used for the final homologous recombination contained a moderate promoter driving either the pelB tagged gluc ORF or the SS tagged gluc ORF.

The lacZ locus within the genome was selected as the integration site of the gluc gene based on two considerations. First, LacZ is not required for E. coli replication and pathogenesis, avoiding the fitness cost caused by the substitution of lacZ with gluc. Second, the substitution of lacZ with gluc enables a fast X-gal Blue/White screening for targeted integration of gluc in lacZ locus. Integration of the gluc gene into lacZ locus was performed in both the opposite orientation of the lacZ ORF (Figure 2B) or same orientation as the lacZ ORF (Figure 2C). The clones obtained exhibit the lacZ ORF substituted with the gluc gene accompanied with a kanamycin drug selection cassette.

Targeted integration of pelb-tagged gluc into the lacZ locus of E. coli yields stable secretion of Gluc

The secretion of Gluc resulting from the pelB tagged gluc gene integrated in the same orientation as the original lacZ ORF is four orders of magnitude higher than the signal from the parental strain ATCC25922 and two orders of magnitude higher than the secretion from the gluc gene integrated in the opposite orientation (Figure 3 and Figure S2). This is presumably due to transcription from the Lac promoter driving expression of Gluc, in addition to the promoter fused to the gluc gene. Integration of the SS tagged gluc gene into lacZ locus was only accomplished when the orientation of gluc is opposite to the direction of the lacZ ORF (Figure 3 and S2), despite repeated attempts to integrate this fusion in the same orientation as lacZ transcription. This is consistent with the observation that E. coli expressing SS tagged Gluc from a plasmid grew extremely slowly in liquid culture, suggesting a significant fitness cost of high SS-Gluc expression and/or secretion. All the clones with the SS tagged gluc gene integrated in the orientation opposite to lacZ have a low level of secretion of Gluc (Figure 3 and S2).

We investigated the in vitro stability of selected integrants of Gluc tagged with PelB. First, E. coli clones which were shown to secrete Gluc to a high extent (Figure 3) were analyzed by in vitro passage experiments. Clones were grown in LB medium without kanamycin selection for one passage until an OD_{600} of about three, then diluted 1000-fold for a second passage. This protocol was followed for a total of six passages. Comparing the secreted Gluc activity in the culture supernatant of passage number one with the activity in the supernatant of sixth passage (Figure 4A,4B and S3) reveals that there is no reduction of Gluc secretion after 6 passages. Moreover, the Gluc secretion for passage number 6 is not dependent on the presence of kanamycin selection in media (Grey bar and black bar in figure 4B and S3). Hence, the stability of the targeted integration of gluc gene in lacZ locus is independent of kanamycin selection.

The kanamycin resistance gene was then removed from three clones (R6, 618 and 619) showing stable integration of gluc in lacZ locus using the FLP recombinase to generate 6 clones as shown in Figure 4C. In vitro passage experiments were performed on the six clones (as described above) to confirm the stability of the gluc integration after elimination of the kanamycin resistance gene. These studies demonstrated that elimination of the kanamycin resistance cassette did not affect the stability of chromosomal integration of the gluc gene in the lacZ locus (passage 1 and passage 6, grey bar and black bar in figure 4C). Furthermore, the replication of the E. coli recombinant clone 6109 (ML6109) in either LB medium (data not shown) or in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) was compared against the parental strain ATCC25922 (Figure S4A). No evidence of a fitness cost was observed in these studies. Additionally, Gluc bioluminescence correlates well with bacterial density during both exponential and stationary phase of in vitro growth (Figure S4B).

Optimization of the luminescence reaction catalyzed by the secreted Gluc

In addition to the in vitro passage test for the stability of chromosomal integration of the gluc gene, we also examined the stability of the gluc integration after in vivo growth of the recombinant E. coli strain. Comparison of the Gluc secretion levels of the recombinant E. coli clone (ML6109) before and after in
vivo thigh infection was performed using a Gluc assay kit from New England Biolab (NEB kit, white bars in Figure 5A). Colonies recovered after in vivo infection produced the same level of secreted Gluc activity in the culture supernatant as seen prior to in vivo infection (Figure 5A), verifying the stability of integration of the gluc gene in lacZ locus during the course of infection.

To maximize the luminescence signal produced by bacterial secreted Gluc, the coelenterazine (CTZ) substrate was assessed over four different concentrations up to the solubility limit (118 mM) of CTZ in biological samples relative to the NEB kit conditions (Figure 5A). Using a standard integration time of 1000 millisecond (ms), a linear dependence of luminescence produced by secreted Gluc from culture supernatant was observed with substrate concentration. Based on these results, the CTZ substrate concentration was varied as needed to obtain sufficient signal above noise in subsequent. The variation of the integration time from 200ms to 2000ms had no significant effect on luminescence production (Figure 5B). Further studies using integration time longer than 2000ms or shorter than 200ms did not show higher signal intensity than using 1000ms either (data not shown). These observations in terms of integration time were independent of CTZ concentration (data not shown). Accordingly, an integration time of 1000ms was chosen for all subsequent Gluc in vivo or ex vivo assays.

**In vivo imaging of Gluc generated luminescence**

To assess whether the Gluc secreting capability of E. coli recombinant clone could endure a typical tissue cage infection course (greater than a week), mice with tissue cages infected by strain ML6189 were imaged at both 11 and 18 days post-infection, when CFU burdens are fully established at $10^8$ CFU/ml tissue cage fluid (TCF). The background level of luminescence signal was determined by imaging mice infected with ATCC25922 parental strain.

Three routes of substrate administration including intraperitoneal (i.p.), tail vein/intravenous (i.v.) and intra-tissue cage (i.t.) were tested using a high concentration of CTZ (4mg/kg body weight), while avoiding solubility issues of CTZ in physiological fluid. Neither i.p. nor i.v. injection of substrate produced sufficient luminescence signal for imaging detection in tissue cages (Data not shown). In contrast, i.t. injection gave rise to prominent luminescence signal immediately after substrate administration (Figure 6A, $t = 30s$). The intensity differences that resulted from different routes of substrate administration most likely reflect the impediment to perfusion of CTZ from the periphery to the tissue cage environment.

The time dependence of the luminescence signal was assessed. The most vivid image was observed immediately following substrate administration ($t = 30$ sec post CTZ injection), followed by a reduced level plateau stage (2–6 min post CTZ injection) that

---

**Figure 2. Strategy for integration of Gaussia princeps luciferase (gluc) gene into lacZ locus of E. coli.** (A) Representation of the PCR fragment used for λ red integration. H1 and H2 refer to the regions homologous to the chromosome. P1 and P2 represent the priming sites for amplifying the PCR fragments from template plasmids. FRT: Flippase-mediated excision site, KanR: Kanamycin resistance gene, Moder+SS/pelB+Gluc: moderate strength promoter driven either native secretion signal (SS) tagged gluc gene or PelB secretion signal tagged gluc gene. Arrowheads show orientations of open reading frame (ORF). (B) If H1 and H2 regions correspond to the terminal region and the beginning region of lacZ ORF respectively, the gluc gene will replace the lacZ ORF and be integrated in the orientation opposite to the original lacZ ORF. LacY: LacY gene locus on the chromosome, LacZ: lacZ gene locus, Laci: LacI gene locus. (C) If H1 and H2 correspond to the beginning region and the terminal region of lacZ ORF respectively, the integrated gluc will be in the same orientation as the original lacZ ORF.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090382.g002
derivatives from clone 618. Clones 6192 and 61911 are KanS derivatives from clone 619. Clones were passaged in LB medium without kanamycin.

Figure 3. The pelB tagged gluc gene integrated in the same orientation as the original lacZ ORF yields a high level of secretion of Gluc to bacterial culture supernatant by E. coli. All E. coli clones were grown in LB medium without kanamycin supplement for overnight and 50 µl culture supernatant was measured for secreted Gluc activity. Note: all results have been normalized with OD600. CPS: photon counts per second. E. coli strain ATCC25922 is the negative control strain without integration of gluc gene on the chromosome. E. coli clones 618 and 619 harbor the pelB tagged gluc gene integrated in the same orientation as the original LacZ ORF on the chromosome. Clones 622 and 623 have the pelB tagged gluc gene integrated in the orientation opposite to LacZ. Clones 641 and 642 have the SS tagged gluc gene integrated in the orientation opposite to LacZ. Data represent mean and standard error of triplicate samples. Error bars may be too small to see for some samples.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090382.g003

Figure 4. Integration of the pelB tagged gluc gene into chromosomal lacZ locus is stable during in vitro passage. All results have been normalized against OD600 of the culture analyzed. For all panels, data represent mean and standard error of triplicate samples. Error bars may be too small to see for some samples. CPS: photon counts per second (A) E. coli clones with the pelB tagged gluc gene were grown in LB medium without kanamycin for one passage and measured for the secretion of Gluc into culture supernatant. All clones in panel A harbor the kanamycin resistance gene accompanying the pelB tagged gluc gene. Clones ATCC25922, 618 and 619 are the same shown in Figure 3. Clone R8 is an E. coli clone with the pelB tagged gluc gene integrated in the same orientation as lacZ; however, the gluc gene is inserted into lacZ ORF instead of replacing lacZ ORF (see Experimental Procedure Table1). (B) E. coli clones from panel A have undergone 5 more serial passages either in media supplied with kanamycin (black bar) or without kanamycin (grey bar). Culture supernatant corresponding to the 6th passage was measured for the secretion of Gluc. (C) Kanamycin resistance gene was eliminated from clone R8 to generate clone R85 and R86. Clones 6181 and 6189 are kanamycin sensitive (KanR) derivatives from clone 618. Clones 6192 and 61911 are KanR derivatives from clone 619. Clones were passaged in LB medium without kanamycin. Culture supernatant collected from the 1st passage (grey bar) and the 6th passage (black bar) were measured for secreted Gluc activity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090382.g004
TCF to enable simultaneous CFU plating, however luminescence measurements involved sample dilution equating to 1 μL of TCF sample per test well. The background level of Gluc activity in TCF was determined by measuring TCF from mice infected with ATCC25922 parental strain (Figure 7D). For tissue cage infections initiated with 10⁵ CFU, the increase of Gluc activity in TCF correlates with the growth of CFU (Figure 7A and 7C). First, there is 3 logs increase of Gluc activity within the first 3 days (59 hr), the same magnitude of increase as the CFU density. Second, Gluc activity levels off at day 4 while the growth, as measured by CFU, levels off at day 3. Importantly, Gluc activity persists from the 83 hr time point throughout the course of the infection (18 days). It should be pointed out that the mice that did not show Gluc activity above background across all time points are the same mice which failed to establish a robust infection of $10⁸ CFU/mL TCF$.

Figure 5. Detection sensitivity of Gluc secreted by bacteria recovered from in vivo infection increases with CTZ concentration, but is less affected by integration time. All results have been normalized with OD₆₀₀. (A) E. coli clone 6189 (ML6189) was grown in LB medium for 16 hr and secreted Gluc in culture supernatant was measured as the “before infection” sample. E. coli from the above culture was used for mice thigh infection. 24 hr later, E. coli was recovered from infected thigh tissues and grown as colonies on Agar plate. 7 colonies were randomly picked from the plate and inoculated into LB for 16 hr. Culture supernatant of the above 7 colonies was measured for secreted Gluc activity. CTZ: coelenterazine, substrate of Gluc. For panel A, integration time for Gluc assay is 1000 millisecond (ms). (B) For panel B, 39 μM CTZ was used for Gluc assay. Overnight culture supernatant of the 7 colonies recovered from mice infection was measured for secreted Gluc activity. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090382.g005
individual samples taken during the first three time points ($\geq 31$ h, Figure 7 and S5) showed that Gluc activity in TCF were above the background level when CFU reaches the density of about $10^6$ CFU/mL TCF. The tissue cage used in these studies holds a maximum of 300 mL TCF in vivo, suggesting that the minimal number of bacteria in TCF enabling CFU detection via secreted Gluc is less than or equal to $3 \times 10^5$ CFU per tissue cage.

Furthermore, the ex vivo luminescence signal rises significantly above background after the infection burden is fully established ($10^8$ CFU/mL TCF or $3 \times 10^7$ CFU per tissue cage).

Ex vivo measurement of secreted Gluc for established tissue cage infection presented a signal to background ratio of three logs, a quantification window larger than the one generated by imaging. More importantly, measuring samples in vivo with a luminometer equipped with a substrate injector avoids the timing complications encountered in whole-animal imaging, thereby aiding sensitivity of detection. Lastly, ex vivo measurements required much less CTZ substrate than the amount infused into whole animal for imaging experiment. In summary, ex vivo measurements of Gluc in TCF requires sampling of TCF, but provides a sensitive method of detecting secreted Gluc as a reporter for E. coli replication.

Secreted Gluc can be detected in plasma samples and serve as an ex situ indicator for the localized tissue cage infection

We sought to test whether the Gluc secreted by E. coli could be detected in the circulating blood and used for quantification of CFU burden in tissue cage infection loci. Tissue cage infections initiated with either $10^3$ CFU (Figure 8A) or $10^5$ CFU (Figure 8B) were measured for plasmatic Gluc activity at four time points during a tissue cage infection (details seen Experimental Procedures). At an early time point of infection (12hr), there was no significant difference between ML6189 (Gluc secreting strain) infection and ATCC25922 (parental strain) infection in terms of detectable Gluc activity in plasma. However, once the tissue cage infections proceeded into stationary phase at day 3 (59hr), the mean value of plasmatic Gluc activity was about 4-fold higher for the Gluc secreting strain (Figure 8A, B). The detection of Gluc activity in plasma samples of tissue cage infection proved that bacterial secreted Gluc can serve as a blood indicator for a well-established localized infection. For the persistent stage of infection from day 3 to day 18, the plasmatic Gluc activity correspondingly remained above the background.

As expected, the Gluc signals in plasma samples are lower than the ones in TCF for the same time points (Figure 7, 8 and S5). Although this limits the use of blood Gluc activity as a quantitative reporter for bacterial replication in tissue cage, it is useful as a qualitative blood indicator for advanced stages of tissue cage infection. Overall our results demonstrated that there are three ways of using Gluc secreted by E. coli to monitor bacterial replication within a tissue cage, including in vivo imaging, as well as ex vivo measuring of Gluc activity in either tissue cage fluid or plasma samples.

Discussion

Despite the extensive use of Gluc expression in mammalian cells for monitoring in vivo cell behavior [6,7,8,9,36], successful in vivo applications of this reporter for prokaryotic organisms have not been reported to date. Other researchers have explored M.
**smegmatis** and *L. lactis* systems expressing Gluc, but did not observe luminescence signals above the background for *in vivo* imaging of bacteria [2,4]. Additionally, for these systems, no Gluc signal was detected using *ex vivo* assays such as measurement of secreted Gluc in blood samples of the infected animal [2,4]. Herein we report that GLuc expressed and secreted by pathogenic *E. coli* can be used as a reporter for monitoring localized *E. coli* tissue cage infection via either *in vivo* luminescence imaging on whole animals or *ex vivo* measurement of mouse interstitial fluid samples and blood samples. Compared to the traditional method of CFU quantitation, which requires outgrowth in media over long periods (hours-days), the potential for real time quantitation of *in vivo* bacterial burden using this luciferase reporter is especially useful.

To produce an engineered *E. coli* that stably secretes Gluc, it was necessary to optimize both the expression promoter and the secretion tag. Our trials of making plasmids expressing Gluc driven by strong promoters repeatedly induced multiple mutations in the promoter region. In addition, high expression and or secretion of Gluc with its native (eukaryotic) secretion signal by pCOLDI expression plasmid severely affected the growth of *E. coli* in culture. These results are in contrast with what seen in tumor cells where Gluc with its native secretion signal driven by the strong CMV promoter demonstrated a consistent, high expression and secretion of Gluc [12,37]. The discrepancy between tumor cells and bacteria suggested that bacteria cannot tolerate the high levels of constitutive Gluc expression, particularly when Gluc has its native secretion signal. To find the balance between bacterial fitness and sufficient Gluc expression for *in vivo* detection, a promoter reported to have moderate strength [34] was selected for driving expression of the *E. coli* codon-optimized gluc gene. In addition, it was found that plasmid encoding Gluc in *Lactobacillus plantarum* (*L. plantarum*) was not stable [4], albeit the decent

Figure 7. CFU burden of the recombinant *E. coli* in tissue cage fluid (TCF) and secreted Gluc activity in TCF correlate to a high degree for the established tissue cage infection. Mouse tissue cages were inoculated with $10^5$ CFU of the recombinant *E. coli* strain ML619 expressing Gluc or the parental *E. coli* strain ATCC25922. TCF was collected at different time points and analyzed by both CFU plating and measurement of secreted Gluc activity (details seen Experimental Procedures). CPS: photon counts per second. For all panels, the mean value for each group of samples is represented by a black bar. Data from two independent experiments were pooled. (A) CFU burden of ML619 in TCF at different time points during 18 days of tissue cage infection. (B) CFU burden of ATCC25922 in TCF at different time points along 18 days of tissue cage infection. (C) Secreted Gluc activity in TCF at different time points of ML619 infection. The red bars represent mean value of the background Gluc signal calculated from data in panel D. Note: for ML619 infection, 4 mice (day 11) and 5 mice (day 18) were removed from the group for imaging experiments and were excluded from quantification of Gluc activity in TCF (panel C). (D) Secreted Gluc activity in TCF at different time points of ATCC25922 infection.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090382.g007
expression of Gluc from the episomal plasmid in *L. plantarum*. Hence, in contrast to expression of Gluc from plasmid in either *M. smegmatis* or *L. lactis* [2,4], the gluc gene was stably integrated on the *E. coli* chromosome in the non-essential lacZ locus (Figure 2, 3 and 4). Recent reports indicate that the Gluc native secretion signal negatively affects Gluc protein production and/or activity in *E. coli* [38,39]. Therefore, the conventional bacterial PelB secretion signal was used for promoting Gluc secretion by *E. coli* (Figure 1C).

Traditionally, the N-terminal 22 amino acid leader sequence of PelB directs the protein to the periplasmic space, after which this signal sequence is cleaved. We found that the PelB secretion signal could promote the delivery of Gluc across the *E. coli* outer membrane to the culture supernatant while retaining high activity of Gluc (Figure 1C; Figure 3 and 4). The above combination of correct promoter strength, bacterial secretion signal and stable chromosomal integration enabled the isolation of an engineered virulent *E. coli* strain that stably expresses Gluc within animal infection models.

Our results showed that Gluc expressed by *E. coli* could serve as a reporter for monitoring tissue cage infection by *in vivo* luminescence imaging (Figure 6). While this result represents a significant advance, the practical limitations of the imaging experimental procedures, including frequent substrate injection and anesthesia, present challenges in monitoring a large cohort of animals at short time intervals. In addition, it is well-known that the luminescence propagation through living tissue can cause compromised sensitivity, reduced spatial resolution and accuracy [40]. The *ex vivo* assessment of secreted Gluc in TCF and blood samples as reporter for bacterial burden addresses the above limitations and therefore has significant advantages (Figure 7 and 8). Importantly, the window of signal to background ratio provided by *ex vivo* measurement of Gluc activity in TCF (3.5–4.0 logs) is not only bigger than the range (1–1.5 logs) provided by Gluc *in vivo* imaging (Figure 6, 7 and S5), but also wider than the window showed by *in vivo* imaging of FFluc expressing *M. smegmatis* (2–2.5 logs) [2].

Secreted Gluc generates bright *in vivo* and *ex vivo* luminescence during the plateau stage of tissue cage infection (Figure 6, 7 and S5), in other words, there was no evidence of decreased Gluc luminescence for *in vitro* (Figure S4B) and *in vivo* cultures (Figure 7, S5) that achieved stationary phase, supporting the idea that Gluc is well suited for monitoring infection models focusing on stationary phase bacteria due to the independence of Gluc from bacterial exponential phase metabolites (ATP or FMNH2). As expected, the Gluc reporter enabled CFU quantification with the equivalent of as low as 1 mL of TCF (Figure 7 and S5), demonstrating the required sample volume for Gluc measurement is small, which should minimize the impact on bacterial growth kinetics upon repetitive TCF sampling. Additionally, the difficulty of sampling viscous TCF can be overcome by minimized sampling volumes.

The minimal density of *E. coli* capable of being detected by *ex vivo* assessment of Gluc in TCF is around 10⁶ CFU/mL TCF, corresponding to an absolute number of ≤3×10⁵ CFU per tissue cage (Figure 7 and S5). This detection sensitivity compares favorably to other non-Gluc based luciferase-derived bacterial reporters. For example, it has been shown that the minimal density of Click Beetle luciferase (CBRluc) expressing *L. plantarum* required for *ex vivo* detection of CBRluc in feces is 10⁹ CFU/100 mg feces [4]. Additionally, the minimal number of FFluc expressing *M. smegmatis* enabling *in vivo* imaging is around 6.6×10⁶–1.4×10⁷ CFU per lung [2].

Our results advance the understanding of using Gluc as a reporter for *in vivo* bacterial infection. However, some concerns should be resolved to broaden the applications. Reporting CFU *via* secreted Gluc in TCF requires a burden of ≥10⁶ CFU/mL TCF to enable detection above the background luminescence. Therefore, the CFU burden at 14 hr post infection (hpi) was not well represented by Gluc activity in TCF for all animals sampled (Figure 7 and S5). Individual animals at this time point with Gluc signals above the background corresponded to those animals with ≥10⁶ CFU/mL TCF. This effect is more prominent for the infections initiated with 10⁵ CFU than the ones with 10⁶ CFU,

Figure 8. Secreted Gluc permeates from the established tissue cage infection loci to the blood stream and serves as an *ex situ* indicator for the localized tissue cage infection. Mouse plasma was collected at the denoted time points during tissue cage infection and measured for Gluc activity present in plasma (details seen Experimental Procedures). (A) Mouse tissue cages were inoculated with 10³ CFU of ML6189 or ATCC25922 at the beginning of infection. (B) Mouse tissue cages were inoculated with 10⁵ CFU of ML6189 or ATCC25922 at the beginning of infection. Unpaired and two tailed Student’s t-test was used for all two comparisons with one variable (indicated by the connected lines in the figure), *P*<0.01 was considered as statistically significant and marked by ‘*‘. For all panels, the mean value for each group of samples is represented by a black bar. Data from two independent experiments were pooled.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090382.g008
consistent with the requirement of the lower inocula to grow further to achieve $10^8$ CFU/mL. Further optimization of the detection of Gluc in biological samples may be able to address this issue. However, Gluc activity in TCF indeed showed the similar magnitude of increase with CFU burden in TCF for the later time points (59 hr and later, Figure 7 and S5), indicating that the current detection limit does not pose a problem for the established phase of infection, which has frequently been the main focus of tissue cage infection model.

All previous successful trials using secreted Gluc present in blood as reporter for in vivo processes are from oncology studies. Published work on Gluc-secreting human glioma cells and breast adenocarcinoma cells have shown a dynamic range with 2–3 orders of magnitude for quantification of in vivo implanted tumor cells by blood Gluc activity [6,7]. However, such a broad dynamic range was not observed for detecting blood Gluc activity produced from bacteria in our tissue cage studies. This is not particularly surprising since the bacterial infection remained localized to the tissue cage, thereby presenting another permeation barrier for secreted Gluc diffusing from the Gluc production site to the blood stream. An example highlighting the impact of the location of Gluc production is shown in Figure 7 and S5. The production of Gluc from bacteria in our tissue cage studies. This is not particularly surprising since the bacterial infection remained localized to the tissue cage, thereby presenting another permeation barrier for secreted Gluc diffusing from the Gluc production site to the blood stream. An example highlighting the impact of the location of Gluc production on dynamic range is that Gluc secreted by human glioma cells implanted subcutaneously in mice provides a dynamic range of 4 orders of magnitude in blood, while the same cells implanted in mice brain only generates a range of 1 order of magnitude in blood [6]. Further, Gluc secretion by human breast adenocarcinoma cells implanted in mice mammary fat pad produces a dynamic range of about 1.5 orders of magnitude in blood [7]. Therefore, the signal intensity of Gluc in blood is affected by a variety of disease parameters including the duration and location of the Gluc-producing lesion as well as the level of Gluc expression by the pathogen.

Expression and secretion of Gluc with its native secretion signal at a high level via the strong CMV promoter were tolerated by tumor cells, which may be due to the fact that tumor cells naturally lack many replication checkpoints [6,12]. In contrast, we and others have showed that expressions of Gluc at high levels or with its native secretion signal severely compromise bacterial fitness [4,39]. These results suggest that Gluc expression will not be straightforward to boost the signal intensity of Gluc in blood via increasing expression of Gluc from bacteria. An alternative approach such as optimizing the detection method of Gluc in biological samples, especially in blood/plasma samples, is indicated. It was observed that the luminescence signal produced by Gluc increases with increasing substrate concentration for bacterial culture supernatant samples (Figure 5) [2], and this trend was the same for measurements of Gluc in TCF and blood samples (Data not shown). This suggests that using high concentration of CTZ substrate reduces the interference of Gluc activity by other molecules in biological samples. However, solubility limitations of CTZ in biological samples and physiologically relevant buffers restrict the maximal CTZ concentration (e.g. 118 μM in these studies). Therefore, improvements in the physical properties of CTZ may enhance the detection of Gluc in biological samples. To this end, a new chemical variant of CTZ with improved aqueous solubility was recently reported to show enhanced light output for in vivo imaging and ex vivo blood assay, albeit it has a faster luminescence decay rate [41]. Additionally, there have been successes in engineering Gluc for improved kinetics and spectral-enhancements that may provide further sensitivity [39,42,43,44]. Taken together, these advances demonstrate the potential for further optimization of the bacterial secreted Gluc as a fast and sensitive reporter for longitudinal evaluation of bacterial infection.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Ethics Statement**

This research was performed by strict following the guidelines of Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care of Laboratory Animals and the mouse research protocol used here was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of AstraZeneca (IACUC Permit Number: 11-01-i). Mice were anesthetized with intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 80 mg/kg Ketamine and 10 mg/kg Xylazine during tissue cage surgery, and all efforts were made to minimize suffering.

**Bacterial strains and Culture**

All strains/clones used or generated in this study can be found in Table 1 and List S3 of File S1. For assay of Gluc luciferase secretion in vitro, E. coli clones were grown in either LB medium or Tryptic soy broth (TSB) medium for 16 hr at 200 rpm at 37°C. The culture was centrifuged for 2 min at 16,000g and the supernatant collected. For cultivation of bacteria for mouse infections, E. coli clone ML6189 or ATCC25922 was grown in TSB medium for 16 hr at 200 rpm at 37°C. Then the overnight culture was diluted 1:10 in TSB, the OD₆₀₀₀ determined. The calculated volume of the overnight culture was pipetted into the appropriate volume of saline to obtain the target inoculum of either $10^8$ CFU/100 μl per tissue cage, $10^5$ CFU/100 μl per tissue cage or $10^6$ CFU/100 μl per mouse thigh.

**Western Blotting**

E. coli harboring pCOLDI construct was grown in LB medium at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm until OD₆₀₀₀ reached 0.5. A portion of bacteria was pelleted (5,000g, 10 min) to provide the pre-induction sample (“B” in figure 1). The rest of the culture was cooled down on ice prior to being transferred to 18°C and induced with 1 mM IPTG overnight with shaking at 200 rpm. A second portion of culture was pelleted for the post-induction sample (“A” in figure 1) and the supernatant saved as the post-induction supernatant. The remaining culture was pelleted, resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol,) and lysed by French press. A portion of lysate was saved as total lysate (“T” in figure 1). The remaining sample was subjected to centrifugation for 30 min and the supernatant saved (sample “S” in figure 1). The pellet was retained as the insoluble fraction (“I” in figure 1). Aliquots corresponding to $1 \times 10^8$ cells were loaded into each well of protein gel and Gluc was detected by Western blotting using a rabbit polyclonal antibody against Gluc (NEB, Ipswich, MA). The post-induction supernatant samples were concentrated by chloroform-methanol precipitation [46]. Aliquots corresponding to the supernatant from $2 \times 10^8$ cells were loaded into each well of protein gel and detected by Western as described above.

**Cloning and generation of chromosomal integration**

All primers used in this study can be found in List S1 of File S1 and the plasmids used are presented in List S2 of File S1. E. coli codon-optimized gluc open reading frame (ORF) without secretion signal (Gluc), with HlyA secretion signal (Gluc-HlyA), with PeB secretion signal (PeB-Gluc) and with SS secretion signal (SS-Gluc) were synthesized (Epoch Life Science, Missouri, TX) and cloned in pBlueScript (pBSK⁺) [47] to generate GS4624-1,2,4 and GS50101 respectively (List S2 in File S1). Sequences for Gluc, Gluc-HlyA, PeB-Gluc and SS-
Gluc were inserted into pCOLDI [38] through NdeI and BamHI restriction digestion and ligation to generate pCOLDI (Gluc), pCOLDI (Gluc-HlyA), pCOLDI (PelB-Gluc), pCOLDI (SS-Gluc) (List S2 in File S1). Promoter driven either the SS tagged **gluc** or the PelB tagged **gluc** was cloned into pSMM25 through a blunt-sticky ligation using Eco53kI/BamHI digested pKD4 parent plasmid. The presence of the introduced restriction site was verified by restriction digest of purified plasmid with EcoRI and BamHI.

The **pelB** tagged **gluc** gene replacing chromosomal lacZ ORF with the orientation opposite to original lacZ, KanR was used to integrate the gene replacing chromosomal lacZ ORF. The **pelB** tagged **gluc** gene replacing chromosomal lacZ ORF with the orientation opposite to original lacZ, KanR was used to integrate the gene replacing chromosomal lacZ ORF.

**Table 1. E. coli Strains/Clones used in this study.**

| Strain       | Relevant characteristic(s)                              | Source/Reference |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| ATCC25922    | Kanamycin-sensitive (KanR)                              | [45]            |
| 618          | **pelB** tagged **gluc** gene replacing chromosomal lacZ ORF with the same orientation as original lacZ, KanR | This study      |
| 619          | same as the above                                       | This study      |
| 622          | **pelB** tagged **gluc** gene replacing chromosomal lacZ ORF with the orientation opposite to original lacZ, KanR | This study      |
| 623          | same as the above                                       | This study      |
| 641          | native secretion signal (SS) tagged **gluc** gene replacing chromosomal lacZ ORF with the orientation opposite to original lacZ, KanR | This study      |
| 642          | same as the above                                       | This study      |
| R8           | **pelB** tagged **gluc** gene inserting into chromosomal lacZ ORF with the same orientation as lacZ, KanR | This study      |
| 6181         | **pelB** tagged **gluc** gene replacing chromosomal lacZ ORF with the same orientation as original lacZ, KanR | This study      |
| 6189         | same as the above                                       | This study      |
| 6192         | same as the above                                       | This study      |
| 61911        | same as the above                                       | This study      |
| R85          | **pelB** tagged **gluc** gene inserting into chromosomal lacZ ORF with the same orientation as lacZ, KanR | This study      |
| R86          | same as the above                                       | This study      |

Mice and Tissue cage model

All animal protocols were conducted under approved institutional guidelines (IACUC protocol number 11-01-i). Mice were kept in a specific pathogen free (SPF) facility of AstraZeneca Boston R&D. Eight weeks old female CD1 mice (Charles River Lab, Boston, MA) were anesthetized with intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 80 mg/kg Ketamine and 10 mg/kg Xylazine. When Stage III anesthesia was achieved, as determined by lack of pedal reflex, buprenorphine (0.1 mg/kg) was subcutaneously (i.c.) administrated to mice. A sterile tissue cage was s.c. implanted on the mouse back using aseptic techniques [27,48]. The tissue cages were closed polytetrafluoroethylene Teflon cylinder with frequently spaced 0.2-mm holes on the wall. Tissue cages implanted had dimensions of 20 mm length by 8 mm external diameter (6 mm internal diameter) accommodating an internal volume of 600 μl. Two weeks after surgery, sterility of implanted cage was verified by the absence of colony on Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) plates when plating about 15 μl of tissue cage fluid (TCF) on the plate.

Sampling of tissue cage fluid (TCF) and plasma from infected mice

Mice were anesthetized by inhalation of isoflurane and about 20–40 μl interstitial fluid (TCF) in tissue cage was drawn by percutaneous puncture (p.p.) using a sterile 25-gauge needle. For determination of CFU burden in TCF, 20 μl of TCF was 1:10 serially diluted in saline and plated on TSA plates. Colonies were counted after 16 hr incubation at 37°C. The remaining TCF sample was subjected to a 4°C centrifugation of 7,500 g for 15 min to yield a clear supernatant that was frozen at −80°C until use. About 50–100 μl blood was drawn from mice by submandibular bleeding [49] and transferred into K$_2$EDTA containing 3.8% sodium citrate.
plastic tubes (Becton Dickinson & Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ) to avoid clotting. Blood then was centrifuged at 2,000×g for 25 min at 4 °C to harvest plasma sample that was frozen at −80 °C until use.

**Gaussia luminescence in vivo imaging**

*Gaussia* luciferase substrate, coelenterazine (CTZ-10, Gold BioTechnology, St. Louis, MO) was reconstituted in methanol to a concentration of 1.8 mM (5 mg/ml) and stored at −80 °C until use [37]. Before imaging, CTZ stock was diluted in Duolbecco’s-PBS (D-PBS) to 1.2 mg/ml work solution. Mice were anesthetized by inhalation of 4% isofluorane and each administrated with 100 μl CTZ work solution by either i.v., i.p., or intra-tissue cage (i.t.) route, at a dose of 4 mg/kg body weight. *In vivo* imaging was performed at the indicated time after CTZ administration using an IVIS Spectrum system (Caliper Life Sciences, Alameda, CA). Mice were positioned within the imaging chamber and 2.5% isofluorane in air was administered via nose cones through the IXG8 gas anesthesia system (Caliper Life Sciences). Images used for quantification analysis for i.t. route administration of CTZ were all acquired using 16 second integration times. For assessment of *Gaussia* luminescence intensity at a given location by the Living image software (version 4.3.1), pseudocolor images were generated and represented with different colors according to the color scale bar (from blue, least intense, to red, most intense; units as photons second−1 cm−2 steradian−1). Total luminescence quantification (photons second−1) at each time point was done by the region of interest (ROI) tool of the Living image software.

**Measurement of Gluc activity in TCF and plasma**

Clear supernatant of TCF or plasma samples (see the Experimental Procedure for sampling of TCF and plasma) was initially diluted 50-fold in D-PBS then further 1: 10 serially diluted. For each dilution, 50 μl sample was loaded into each well of 96-well plates (serial number 3912, Corning, NY). The plates were assessed by adding 50 μl of CTZ substrate to each well at the final concentration of either 118 μM or 39 μM via a built-in substrate injector in luminometer (Model of Infinite® 200 PRO, Tecan Systems, San Jose, CA). Gluc activity in TCF (CPS per ml TCF) or Gluc activity in plasma (CPS per μl plasma) was determined by the luminescence signal falling into the linear measurement range of the luminometer. It was found that 118 μM CTZ yield better results than 39 μM. Consequently, 118 μM CTZ was used for all final quantification analysis.
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**Statistic Analysis**

Unpaired and two tailed Student’s t-test was used for all two comparisons with one variable (indicated by the connected lines in the figure), \( P<0.01 \) was considered as statistically significant.
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