Resolution of Conflicting Signals at the Single-Cell Level in the Regulation of Cyanobacterial Photosynthesis and Nitrogen Fixation
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Abstract

Unicellular, diazotrophic cyanobacteria temporally separate dinitrogen (N2) fixation and photosynthesis to prevent inactivation of the nitrogenase by oxygen. This temporal segregation is regulated by a circadian clock with oscillating activities of N2 fixation in the dark and photosynthesis in the light. On the population level, this separation is not always complete, since the two processes can overlap during transitions from dark to light. How do single cells avoid inactivation of nitrogenase during these periods? One possibility is that phenotypic heterogeneity in populations leads to segregation of the two processes. Here, we measured N2 fixation and photosynthesis of individual cells using nanometer-scale secondary ion mass spectrometry (nanoSIMS) to assess both processes in a culture of the unicellular, diazotrophic cyanobacterium Crocosphaera watsonii during a dark-light and a continuous light phase. We compared single-cell rates with bulk rates and gene expression profiles. During the regular dark and light phases, C. watsonii exhibited the temporal segregation of N2 fixation and photosynthesis commonly observed. However, N2 fixation and photosynthesis were concurrently measurable at the population level during the subjective dark phase in which cells were kept in the light rather than returned to the expected dark phase. At the single-cell level, though, cells discriminated against either one of the two processes. Cells that showed high levels of photosynthesis had low nitrogen fixing activities, and vice versa. These results suggest that, under ambient environmental signals, single cells discriminate against either photosynthesis or nitrogen fixation, and thereby might reduce costs associated with running incompatible processes in the same cell.

Introduction

Dinitrogen (N2) fixation and photosynthesis are two crucial metabolic processes in diazotrophic cyanobacteria. However, cyanobacterial photosynthesis leads to the production of O2, which inactivates the key enzyme for N2 fixation, nitrogenase [1,2]. The two processes can thus not be performed concurrently within one cell. To overcome this problem, cyanobacterial diazotrophs separate N2 fixation and photosynthesis, either spatially or temporally. The development of specialized cells in filamentous cyanobacteria provides a spatial separation, with N2 fixation occurring in the thick-walled heterocysts that lack the oxygenic photosystem (PS) II. Unicellular cyanobacteria separate N2 fixation and photosynthesis temporally, with the former occurring during the dark and the latter in the light [3–6]. This segregation of N2 fixation and photosynthetic activity is regulated by a circadian clock [4,7–9]. Cyanobacteria possess the simplest version of a circadian regulatory network with the key proteins encoded by the kaiABC genes (for review see [10]). A recent study suggests that this circadian clock is directly entrained by light-driven changes in energy metabolism [11]. Since the circadian clock controls the expression of the nitrogenase, the direct entrainment of the clock by light leads to a temporal separation of the two processes.

However, this separation may not always be complete. Several studies suggest that photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation could occur concurrently, at least on the population level, during transitions from dark to light [12] or in studies where the light conditions are experimentally shifted relative to the clock [13]. However, both studies did not directly measure N2 fixation and photosynthesis at the single-cell level leaving the question whether individual cells actually do perform both processes. There is evidence that some populations have the capacity to adjust their cellular metabolism in order to fix N2 and CO2 simultaneously after acclimation to continuous light [6]. So far, this observation appears to be restricted to the unicellular diazotrophic cyanobac-
teria Gloeothecae [6,14] and Synechococcus RF-1 [15]. Recently, it has also been observed that the unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacterium Crocosphaera watsonii was able to grow diazotrophically in continuous light, however, the question remains whether this involves simultaneous or time-resolved fixation of \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) [16].

These results raise the question of how individual cells manage the activities of the two processes under such conditions. Does the observation of photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation at the population level mean that each cell performs these processes simultaneously – or do single cells preferentially perform one or the other process thereby indicating phenotypic heterogeneity between genetically identical cells of a population? A number of recent studies have reported on the latter, for diverse phenotypic traits including behavior [17] growth rate [18,19], and gene expression [20].

\( \text{N}_2 \) fixation of single cells has already been analyzed in previous studies including field studies [21]; for example, *Teredinibacter turnerae* was shown to exhibit population heterogeneity in \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation [22] whereas vegetative cells in *Anabaena oscillarioides* filaments had a rather uniform distribution of recently fixed nitrogen from the heterocysts [23]. The former is a heterotrophic proteobacterium which is diazotrophic but not photosynthetic, and the latter is a heterocystous cyanobacterium which fixes \( \text{N}_2 \) and is photosynthetic but has the capability of spatially separating \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and photosynthesis. In contrast, *C. watsonii* is a unicellular diazotrophic cyanobacterium that can only separate the two processes temporally, indicating the need for a tight temporal regulation such as a circadian clock [13].

Here, we combined the measurement of bulk rates of \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and photosynthesis in a population of *C. watsonii* WH8501 with single-cell measurements using nanoSIMS throughout dark-light and continuous light phases. We complemented rate measurements with the analysis of gene expression patterns at the population level for genes that are involved in these two processes. This allowed us to assess the level of phenotypic heterogeneity during regular light-dark cycles, and under conditions where populations that are ‘scheduled’ to perform \( \text{O}_2 \)-sensitive \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation are exposed to light, and thus potentially are subject to a physiological dilemma posed by the opportunity to simultaneously carry out two incompatible processes.

**Results and Discussion**

**\( \text{N}_2 \) Fixation and Photosynthesis at the Population Level**

\( \text{N}_2 \) fixation rates in *C. watsonii* determined via the acetylene reduction assay (ARA) followed the diel pattern known for this organism [24,25] during the first 24 h of the experimental phase encompassing a 12:12 h dark-light cycle with \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation occurring during the dark phase (Figure 1A). \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation rates measured via the incorporation of \( ^{15}\text{N}_2 \) into biomass (Figure 1A) closely matched the observed reduction of acetylene with a ratio of 4.5:1 of ethylene produced to \( \text{N}_2 \) fixed, which compared well with the conversion factor of 4:1 [26] (ratio from total dark \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation). Inorganic carbon fixation was confined to the light phase of the dark-light cycle (Figure 1B), in line with the well-established temporal separation of \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and photosynthesis in unicellular, diazotrophic cyanobacteria [2,3,5,6].

We then exposed *C. watsonii* to a 24 h continuous light period just after a 12:12 h dark-light cycle to determine how this bacterium regulates \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and photosynthesis considering the dilemma that continuous light poses on the population. Unexpectedly, both inorganic carbon and \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation rates were measurable concurrently at the population level (Figure 1) during the subjective dark phase in which the cells were kept in continuous light rather than returned to the expected dark phase. However, \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation only reached 14% of the maximum observed during the regular dark phase. Photosynthetic rates during the subjective dark phase continuously declined to 42% of its maximum regular light phase activity at the end of the subjective dark period. This indicates that photosystem components are not entirely degraded at the “scheduled” end of the light period and can be activated upon illumination [25]. The relative rates of carbon and nitrogen accumulation in the biomass during the subjective dark phase are reflected in the dynamics of the molar C:N (carbon:nitrogen) ratio of the biomass (Figure 1B). The increase in C:N decelerates compared to the previous light phase, but is still significantly positive (regression analysis; p<0.05). Taking into account the measured rates of \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and photosynthesis as well as the molar C:N ratio of the biomass, about 67% of this deceleration could be attributed to the sustained but lower rates of photosynthesis and \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation. We attribute the other 33% to additional respiration, which may have supported nitrogenase activity in the light [27].

We then measured the expression of genes related to \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and photosynthesis in order to analyze how the co-occurrence of \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and photosynthetic activity during subjective dark would manifest at the transcriptional level. Interestingly, expression levels of *nifH* did not show any differences in peak activity.
between the regular and the subjective dark phase (Figure 2). This indicates that the reduction of N₂ fixation in the subjective dark phase may have been regulated post-transcriptionally [28], possibly resulting from the inactivation of the nitrogenase complex by photosynthetically evolved O₂. All genes analyzed here showed cyclic patterns comparable to those found in the regular dark and light phases (Figure 2) suggesting circadian regulation of N₂ fixation and photosynthesis in C. watsonii [13]. We also analyzed two genes coding for proteins of the cyanobacterial circadian clock, kaiA and kaiC. Both genes showed cyclic expression patterns throughout the entire experimental phase giving further evidence for circadian regulation in which cyclic gene expression is maintained for several cycles in continuous light (e.g. [29]).

N₂ Fixation and Photosynthesis at the Single-cell Level

In general, the single-cell analysis using nanoSIMS revealed the same temporal pattern of N₂ fixation and photosynthetic activity during the regular light-dark cycle as the bulk population measurements (Figure 1). Cells fixed N₂ during the regular dark period with no dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) uptake, and photosynthesized with no N₂ fixation during the regular light period (Figures 3 and 4, Table 1).

These figures reveal substantial variation in ¹⁵N enrichment between individual cells during the regular dark period. This variation can have diverse sources, including measurement error and temporal fluctuations in nitrogen fixation. However, given that the measurements are clearly above background (comparing Fig. 4A and Fig. 4B), and given the long duration of the incubation (3 hours), we conclude that this pattern likely reflects actual variation in activity between single cells, as for example reported in [19]. During the subjective dark, single-cell analysis provided insights that could not be gained from the bulk measurements. While the average ¹³C-DIC uptake and the average ¹⁵N₂-fixation were both significantly larger than zero (Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, p<0.0001), the two processes were negatively associated between cells; cells that showed high rates of photosynthesis showed low rates of nitrogen fixation, and vice versa (nonparametric test; Spearman’s Rho = −0.156; p [2-tailed] = 0.016). These results indicate that photosynthesis is mainly regulated by the availability of light, and nitrogen fixation by the circadian clock, and that both processes are thus triggered during the subjective dark period: The internal signal ‘scheduled’ the population for N₂ fixation by increasing the necessary gene transcripts (Figure 2) and probably protein synthesis. On the other hand, the presence of light promoted photosynthesis and O₂-production, generating a metabolic conflict with nitrogen fixation. While most cells in the population carried out photosynthesis under these conditions, some cells engaged mostly in N₂ fixation, and attained rates of activity that were comparable to the rates achieved during the regular dark. That these cells had low rates of photosynthesis presumably allowed them to avoid inactivation of the nitrogenase by oxygen, and thus to circumvent the biochemical incompatibility of these two processes.

**Figure 2. Gene expression analysis shown as enrichment factor of relative transcript abundance.** The genes are indicated in the top right corner of each panel. Filled circles represent the experimental data during the 48 h phase. The grey bars indicate the regular dark phase and the striped grey bars indicate the subjective dark phase. Symbols and error bars represent mean ± SE of triplicate cultures.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066060.g002
The molecular basis of the negative association between photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation at the single-cell level is currently not clear. One possibility is that the differential response of individual cells is due to differences in cellular capacities. Lechene and colleagues [22] suggested that such differences could explain individual N₂ fixation rates. The study by Rust and colleagues [11] indicated that the circadian clock, and thus nitrogen fixation, is directly entrained by each cell’s energy metabolism. Stochastic differences in photosynthetic activities between cells could thus translate into slight differences in the phase of their circadian clock, and thus into different levels of nitrogen fixation. Another possibility could be the availability of iron for each individual cell. Saito et al. [30] showed that C. watsonii engages in “hotbunking” and recycles its iron from photosynthesis for N₂ fixation and vice versa. This strategy reduces the cellular iron demand and could lead to a competitive advantage in iron-deplete oceanic regions [30]. However, the recycling would also enable the individual cells to only perform one of the two processes during the subjective dark period, as observed here. The fact that most cells engage in photosynthesis rather than N₂ fixation could indicate that the former may be the metabolically more important process [31].

Stochasticity is only one of several causes for phenotypic heterogeneity (for review see [32,33]). Ryall and colleagues [34] elaborated several aspects of phenotypic heterogeneity within populations with respect to a population response to a single environmental shift. This includes variation in growth rate, intracellular signals, age and size of cells, and external signals. Further, transcriptional responses can lead to bimodality, i.e. similar to an all-or-none response or the formation of subpopu-

### Table 1. Summary of single-cell photosynthesis (DIC uptake) and N₂ fixation rates.

|                  | (regular dark)* | (regular light) | (subjective dark) | (subjective light) |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| **DIC uptake**   |                 |                 |                   |                    |
| range            | −5.09–6.78      | −0.34–11.23     | −4.70–11.17       | −4.58–10.44        |
| mean ± SD        | 0.19±2.34       | 4.61±2.51       | 3.75±2.55         | 3.44±2.61          |
| median           | −0.05           | 4.79            | 3.96              | 3.62               |
| **N₂ fixation**  |                 |                 |                   |                    |
| range            | −0.23–2.35      | −0.19–0.14      | −0.07–4.03        | −0.06–0.20         |
| mean ± SD        | 0.40±0.60       | 0.02±0.07       | 0.17±0.37         | 0.05±0.03          |
| median           | 0.13            | 0.03            | 0.09              | 0.05               |

Values are in fmol C and N cell⁻¹ h⁻¹ for DIC uptake and N₂ fixation, respectively, and represent mean ± SD.
*significantly different from all other phases, all other phases are not significantly different from each other.
^not significantly different from each other, but from
^not significantly different from each other, but from

Figure 3. Enrichment in ¹⁵N (color scale: ¹⁵N/¹⁴N) due to N₂ fixation by individual C. watsonii cells. A. Regular dark phase. B. Regular light phase. C. Subjective dark phase. D. Subjective light phase. (Scale bars: 5 µm in A, C and D, 2 µm in B). E–H. Secondary electron images (complementary to A–D.) which are simultaneously recorded during the measurement and showing the individual cells. The aggregation of cells was an artifact of filtration; the C. watsonii cells are unicellular (as per microscopic observation), however, gather in trenches upon filtration due to the unevenness of the filtration devices at the micrometer scale.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066060.g003
Single-Cell $N_2$ Fixation and Photosynthesis

Figure 4. $^{15}N_2$ fixation and photosynthesis ($NaH^{13}CO_3$ uptake) rates as calculated from the isotopic enrichment of individual cells (each symbol represents one individual cell). The corresponding dark or light phase is indicated in the upper right corner of each panel. The large variability in the $^{13}C$ signal/photosynthesis for the regular dark phase is due to the precision of the nanoSIMS measurement combined with the low labeling during the non-photosynthetic phase.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066060.g004

Our approach allowed to directly measure metabolic activities of single cells, and thus to gain additional and more direct information about each cell’s state than by using transcriptional reporters. We observed large variation between cells in photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation (Figure 3 and 4). Additionally, and importantly, we find that these two processes were negatively correlated between cells in conditions where the circadian clock is entrained and where the majority continues photosynthesis whereas the minority engages in $N_2$ fixation (Figure 3 and 4). Integrating this information about each cell's state than by using transcriptional reporters. We observed large variability in the $^{13}C$ signal/photosynthesis for the regular dark phase is due to the precision of the nanoSIMS measurement combined with the low labeling during the non-photosynthetic phase.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Setup

Axenic batch cultures of *Crocosphaera watsonii* WH8501 were grown in 2-L polycarbonate bottles at 28°C in phosphate and trace metal amended YBCII medium [36] without combined nitrogen in a 12:12 h dark:light cycle with a light intensity of ~70–100 $\mu$E m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The growth rate at the time of the experiment was 0.14 d$^{-1}$ with cell densities ranging from 6.4 to 9.2×10$^7$ cells ml$^{-1}$. The experimental phase consisted of a 24 h phase under growth conditions followed by a 24 h continuous light phase. Cultures were kept in temperature- and light-controlled incubators with opposite dark:light regimes to facilitate sampling. Subsamples for stable isotope incubations and acetylene reduction assay to assess $N_2$ fixation rates were taken every 4 and 3 h, respectively. Samples for gene expression analysis were taken every 4 h during the experimental phase. Cell abundance for calculations of cell-based rates was assessed at the beginning of each experimental dark or light phase using analytical flow cytometry. Subsamples for nanoSIMS analysis were taken from the stable isotope incubations at the end of the following incubation phases: the middle of the regular dark phase, the middle of the regular light phase, the middle of the subjective dark phase and the end of the continuous light phase.

Acetylene Reduction Assay (ARA)

$N_2$ fixation rates were assessed by incubating 3 ml of culture in 8.65 ml septum-capped vials containing 650 $\mu$l of acetylene in the headspace. Incubations lasted for ~3 h and ethylene ($C_2H_4$) concentrations were then measured in a 250 $\mu$l headspace sample using a Shimadzu GC-14B gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a RT Alumina Plot column. $C_2H_4$ concentrations were calibrated with a dilution series ranging from 1 to 1000 ppm $C_2H_4$. $C_2H_4$ production was converted to $N_2$ fixation with a conversion factor of 4:1 ($C_2H_4$ produced:$N_2$ fixed) [26].

Stable Isotope Incubations

$N_2$ and inorganic carbon fixation rates were determined by simultaneous incubation of *C. watsonii* with 280 $\mu$Ci $^{15}N_2$ [37] and NaH$^{13}CO_3$ (1% of 2500 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$) in 100 ml glass serum bottles every 4 h during the 48 h experimental phase. Aliquots for elemental stoichiometry and bulk stable isotope analysis as well as for nanoSIMS (nanometer-scale secondary ion mass spectrometry) analysis were taken at the end of each ~3 h incubation. Samples for bulk stable isotope analysis were filtered onto pre-combusted (450°C, 4 h) GF/F filters (Whatman), oven-dried (60°C for 6 h) and stored until analysis. Filters were pelletized in tin cups and analyzed using isotope ratio monitoring mass spectrometry. Samples for nanoSIMS were preserved with formamidhydrine (1% v/v) final) for up to 24 h at 4°C and subsequently filtered onto Au/Pd-sputtered GTTP filters (Isopore, 0.22 $\mu$m pore size, 25 mm). Filters were rinsed with sterile-filtered (0.2 $\mu$m) phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS buffer), air-dried for 20 min and stored at ~20°C until analysis.
NanoSIMS Analysis

The NanoSIMS 50L (CAMECA) instrument was used for analysis. The sample surface was sputtered with a cesium primary ion beam with a current of 1–2 pA and an energy of 16 keV. The beam was focused to a nominal spot size of 130 nm. NanoSIMS working as an ion microprobe rastered the scanning area with the primary ion beam with a 256 x 256 pixel resolution and a dwelling time of 1 ms/pixel. Multiple scans were recorded for each area. Secondary ions extracted from each pixel of the sample surface were mass separated according to their mass to charge (m/z) ratio and counted in separated electron multiplier detectors. A mass resolving power of \( m/z \) was used to separate secondary ions of the desired isotopes from mass interference from secondary ions with close \( m/z \) ratios. Two-dimensional images of the sample content were recorded for chosen ions (\( ^{12}\text{C}, ^{13}\text{C}, ^{14}\text{N}, ^{15}\text{N}, ^{31}\text{P} \)). Secondary electron images were recorded which provided information on surface topography. Regions of interest (ROI) were chosen around each individual cell. The isotopic ratios of individual cells were calculated for each ROI only taking ions originating from the cell into account.

Calculation of \( \text{N}_2 \) Fixation and Inorganic Carbon Uptake Rates

Biomass-specific \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation and inorganic carbon uptake rates were calculated based on the atom percent of \( ^{15}\text{N} \) and \( ^{13}\text{C} \) in the particulate organic nitrogen or carbon (PON or POC) within either the bulk or the single-cell measurements. The \( ^{15}\text{N} \) enrichment in the \( \text{N}_2 \) pool was calculated from previous measurements of \( ^{15}\text{N}_2 \) concentration during a 3-hour incubation period under the same conditions [38]. Cellular rates were based on the bulk nitrogen and carbon content of the population divided by the total number of cells.

Gene Expression Analysis

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) were carried out as described previously [25]. Transcript levels of genes related to \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation, photosynthesis and the cyanobacterial circadian clock were detected using \( \text{C. watsonii} \)-specific primers (Table 2). Transcript levels were calculated according to the \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}} \) method [39,40] and are presented as enrichment factor relative to the expression of \( \text{rpbI} \) (RNA polymerase) which was chosen as the calibrator.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 19.0.0 (SPSS Inc.).
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