Effect of Crossfit Training on Jump and Strength
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Abstract

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of regular Crossfit training on some force and jump parameters. 32 healthy wrestling men participated in the study, 16 experimental and 16 control groups. For the experimental group, CrossFit training, known as Cindy, was practiced three times a week for 8 weeks. The training consisted of 5 bars, 10 push-ups and 15 squats for 20 minutes. The control group continued the classical wrestling practice. Myotest accelerometric system was used for measurements of participants' values. For the analysis of the data, repeated measure ANOVA was used. According to the results, as a result of CrossFit training, athletes' squat jump heights increased (Wilks' Lambda = .541, F (1,30) = 25, p = .00). The mean post-training leap values (33.778 ± 5.48) were higher than the pre-training leap values (32.169 ± 4.95) (p <0.05). It can be concluded that Cindy CrossFit studies improve jumping and strength ability.
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1. Introduction

CrossFit® (CrossFit, Inc., Washington, DC, USA), which is very popular in recent years, is a high intensity interval training model that includes many functional movements (Butcher et al., 2015). CrossFit training is the overall strength and conditioning program. This program was initially developed for military training and is increasingly popular among civilian population. CrossFit workouts are usually high intensity strength exercises. This training method include fast, repetitive movements with short or no rest periods. Individuals who participate in regular CrossFit training are seen to develop muscle endurance and strength over time (Yüksel et. al., 2017). CrossFit is a fast-growing fitness sport, not just a form of competition, but also a form of general exercise training. Within the currently available literature, CrossFit has recently identified significant advances in health and wellness (Kliszczewicz et al., 2014). In addition, CrossFit training is affected both by cardiovascular and anaerobic performance (Smith et al., 2013). As with other strength training models, The CrossFit training model also improves the power performance derived via anaerobic metabolism. However, while other strength training have a structure that includes rest and work cycle, CrossFit has a structure that goes on continuously. Most CrossFit training protocols do not have a rest period so that individuals have the ability to produce high power. Beside these, the individuals try to make movements as fast as possible or to complete as much work as possible within a given time period (Escobar et al., 2017). High intensity interval training and body weight training are among the top three on the worldwide fitness trend list of 2017 (Thompson, 2017). The “Cindy CrossFit” training model that used in our study also includes this two training types.

A CrossFit model such as Cindy CrossFit should include resistance and durability models to develop physical fitness parameters such as strength, power, and durability within an exercise program. The CrossFit programming philosophy is called workouts of the day (WODs) (Glassman, 2005). The aim of the WODs is to complete the exercises as fast as possible and high intensity session (Kliszczewicz et al., 2014). Maté-Muñoz et al. in their study on healthy man found that heart rate mean values 193, rate of perceived exertion values 17.62 and lactate level 12.02 mmol·L−1 after Cindy CrossFit training. According to these responses, Cindy WODs were described as high intensity (Maté-Muñoz et al., 2018). Additionally, heart rate values equivalent to vigorous exercise according to the American College of Sports Medicine (Garber el. al., 2011).

With this study, it is aimed to review the effects of 8-week Cindy CrossFit exercises, which are scheduled three times a week, on changes over certain physical and motoric attributes and vertical jumping performance of male athletes of the adult age group, which is 19 – 24.
2. Method

32 male wrestlers (16 experimental and 16 control) playing in regional basketball league have participated in the study (age; 21.72 ± 1.40 years). Participants were randomly divided two groups and both groups were measured at same time on pre- and posttests. For the experimental group, CrossFit training, known as Cindy, was practiced three times a week for 8 weeks. The CrossFit named workout “Cindy” consists of as many rounds possible of 5 pull-ups, 10 push-ups, and 15 air squats in 20-minutes. Participants began a 5-minute warm up on the exercise bike at a self-selected intensity followed by a 1-minute rest. The workout required that the individual complete all prescribed repetitions for the movement before moving on to the next exercise and to do so as fast as possible. For example, all 10 push-ups must be completed before moving on to the 15 air squats. Each move is standardized to ensure consistency across all wrestlers. The control group continued the classical wrestling practice. Myotest accelerometric system (Myotest SA, Sion, Switzerland) was used to measure the squat jump and bench press force values of the participants. The participants jumped 5 times with beeps from the device so that their hands were on the hips and knee positions were 90°. Participants were instructed to jump as high as possible without performing any countermovement before the carry out of the jump. For Bench Press Force Measurement; five repetitive tests were performed with weights corresponding to 30% of the 1 repeat maximum. Data process and analysis collected data were processed with SPSS 23 program. For the analyses of the data; descriptive statistics and two-way repeated measures of ANOVA was used.

3. Findings

Table 1. Descriptive Data of Participants

| Variables       | N  | Mean     | Standard Deviation (Sd) |
|-----------------|----|----------|-------------------------|
| Age (year)      | 32 | 21.72    | 1.40                    |
| Weight (kg)     | 32 | 72.54    | 10.28                   |
| Height (cm)     | 32 | 175.22   | 5.75                    |

The mean age of the 32 athletes in our study was 21.72 ± 1.40 years, the mean body weight was 72.54 ± 10.28 kilograms, and the average height was determined as 175.22 ± 5.75 centimeters.

Table 2. Comparison of Squat Jump Values

| Variables       | N     | Pre-test (Mean±Sd) | Post-test (Mean±Sd) | Group×Time (F) | p     |
|-----------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Vertical Jump   |       |                    |                     |                |       |
| cm              |       |                    |                     |                |       |
| Experimental    | 16    | 32.75 ± 6.19       | 35.97 ± 6.33        | 25.449*        | .00   |
| Control Group   | 16    | 31.58 ± 3.40       | 31.70 ± 3.36        |                |       |
| Vertical Jump   |       |                    |                     |                |       |
| cm              |       |                    |                     |                |       |
| Experimental    | 16    | 250.88 ± 24.39     | 254.63 ± 30.87      |                |       |
| Control Group   | 16    | 254.06 ± 22.74     | 253.52 ± 23.12      |                |       |

According to the results, the squat jump height of the athletes increased as a result of CrossFit training (Wilks' Lambda = .541, F (1,30) = 25, p = .00).

Table 3. Comparison of Bench Press Values

| Variables       | N     | Pre-test (Mean±Sd) | Post-test (Mean±Sd) | Group×Time (F) | p     |
|-----------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Bench Press     |       |                    |                     |                |       |
| Strength (n/kg) |       |                    |                     |                |       |
| Experimental    | 16    | 436.94 ± 35.315    | 452.25±35.55        | 7.334*         | .011  |
| Control Group   | 16    | 431.13 ± 39.24     | 432.63 ± 39.83      |                |       |
| Bench Press Bar |       |                    |                     |                |       |
| Speed (cm/sec)  |       |                    |                     |                |       |
| Experimental    | 16    | 129.06 ± 16.66     | 147.00 ± 22.05      | 13.691*        | .001  |
| Control Group   | 16    | 130.54 ± 22.73     | 134.20 ± 23.62      |                |       |

According to the results, the bench press force values of the athletes increased as a result of CrossFit training (Wilks' Lambda = .804, F (1,30) = 25, p = .011). There was also a significant increase in bar lift rates at the bench press position (Wilks' Lambda = .687, F (1,30) = 25, p = .001).
4. Discussion and Result

The purpose of this study was to examine effects of CrossFit training on bench press strength and jump parameters for wrestlers. Results indicated that 8 weeks CrossFit training had effectiveness on jump performance and strength.

Similar results were found in studies focusing on standing jump performance. Eather et. al. found improving standing jump performance on 96 adolescents. In their study, physical fitness parameters can be improved in a safe, effective and interesting way via to the CrossFit Teens program in secondary education physical education courses in adolescents (Eather et al., 2015). Besides, Greg Glassman, in his study in 2006, found that the CrossFit program was more effective in gaining strength than Canadian Army Fitness program (Glassman, 2006). Sparkes and Behm reported in 2010 that they compared a CrossFit-like unstable training method with the stable training method in their study and improved the counter movement jump performance with the CrossFit-like unstable training method. 10 men and 8 women resistance trained three days in a week under unstable training conditions for eight weeks (Sparkes and Behm, 2010). Sousa et. al. report that CrossFit training participants showed a greater countermovement jump height compared to the resistant training participants (Souza et. al., 2016). CrossFit Cindy training program is a structure that stimulates anaerobic metabolism. Due to its continuous structure, it exceeds the anaerobic threshold and stimulates the body's anaerobic metabolism. Thus, it is expected that the jump and bench press force performances dominated by anaerobic metabolism will be improved after eight weeks. Fernandez-Fernandez et. al. in a study on the acute physiological effects of Cindy CrossFit training heart rate averages were found to be 182.2±6.6 beat/minute and blood lactate averages were found to be 14.5 ± 3.2 mmol·l^{-1}. This result shows that anaerobic metabolism is predominant because of maximal heart rate of 81% and over the training period of the participants. (Fernandez-Fernandez et. al., 2015). Otherwise, Kliszczewicz et. al. in a study on the metabolic and cardiovascular response to the CrossFit workout Cindy heart rate averages were found to be 186±11 beat/minute (Kliszczewicz et. al., 2014).

CrossFit training is a method that bases on overall body endurance and strength, rather than developing a certain motor skill of the body. Perna et. al. reported in 2017 that they compared high intensity CrossFit training and high intensity swimming training and increased muscle strength with CrossFit training (Perna et. al., 2017). Heinrich et al. in 2015, participants between the ages of 35 and 65 were applied CrossFit like high-intensity functional training in 3 times a week for 5 weeks. As a result, there was a significant difference in lower extremity power and strength (Heinrich et al., 2015). Gerhart in the study on the compared traditional resistance training with Crossfit training maximal strength values were found higher in the CrossFit training group (Gerhart, 2013). Dilber and Doğru investigated effects of high-intensity functional exercises on anthropometric and physiological characteristics in Sedantery. International Journal of Sports Exercise & Training Sciences, 4(2), 64–69. https://doi.org/10.18826/useeabd.425483
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