Psychiatric comorbidities in patients with intentional methanol intoxication
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Background: Intentional methanol intoxication (IMI) is an emerging public health issue in Asian countries, but few data are available in the literature on underlying psychiatric comorbidities in these suicide attempters.

Patients and methods: We conducted a retrospective chart review on patients with methanol intoxication (MI) treated at Chang-Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou, Taiwan during 2000–2016. Of the 56 cases of documented MI, 16 were IMI. Baseline demographic, clinical, laboratory, psychiatric diagnoses, and mortality data were collected and analyzed.

Results: Patient group with IMI had more females (62.5% vs 7.5%, P=0.000), less alcohol consumption (33.3% vs 92.5%, P=0.000), and less smoking (40.0% vs 79.5%, P=0.009), but higher past psychiatric disease (73.3% vs 10.0%, P=0.000) and past suicide attempts (81.1% vs 2.5%, P=0.000) than patient group with unintentional MI (UMI). Pesticides (50.0%) comprised the most common source used for suicide, followed by industrial methanol (37.5%). There was no significant difference in mortality rates between these two groups (25.0% vs 22.5%, P=0.548). Twelve (75.0%) survivors of IMI were referred to a psychiatric consultation-liaison team, and depressive disorder (58.3%) and adjustment disorder (25.0%) were common.

Conclusion: There is a female predominance and a high prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities, namely depression and adjustment disorder in patients with IMI. Besides, alcohol consumption is a prevalent but not adequately treated condition in UMI group.
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Introduction

Suicide accounts for 1.4% of all deaths worldwide. According to the WHO, it is estimated that nearly 800,000 people die from suicide. In Taiwan, suicide ranked as the 12th leading cause of death with a rate of 12.3 per 100,000 people in 2016, and the leading suicide methods were hanging, charcoal burning, and pesticide intoxication; in contrast, intentional methanol intoxication (IMI) remains uncommon. Methanol is available as a common solvent in many products (eg, antifreeze, paint, cleaner, nail polish, and pesticides) or as a raw industrial material, but it could also be present in contaminated alcohol causing mass intoxications. Ingestion of small amount of methanol leads to severe acidosis, visual loss, or even death with mortality as high as 29% in an outbreak in Kenya in 2014, and thus, IMI is considered to be a method of high lethality. There were only isolated case reports discussing patients who took to MI intentionally, but with little description on psychiatric comorbidity; most of the current studies take those intoxicated patients as a whole. Thus, in the present study, we aimed to survey psychiatric diagnoses among patients with IMI, and identify distinct characteristics of patients with IMI compared to those with unintentional MI (UMI).
Patients and methods

Ethical statement
This study complies with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institution Review Board (IRB) of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou (IRB number: 201800245B0). The IRB approved and waived the need for specific informed consent from the patients because this was a retrospective study based on case research or cases treated or diagnosed by clinical routines without enrollment of HIV positive cases. All primary data were collected according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines and confidentiality of each patient data was kept.

Patients
The Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou, is a tertiary referral medical center in northern Taiwan, and there are toxicology specialists in both the Departments of Medical Emergency and Nephrology. Between 2000 and 2016, a total of 59 patients were admitted to the ward of Department of Nephrology owing to methanol intoxication (MI) and all of their medical charts were systemically reviewed by one of the authors (Yang WS). If MI was highly suspected from history of exposure to methanol, physical symptoms, marked increased serum osmolal gap, and metabolic acidosis, then emergency treatments such as either ethanol ingestion (19 patients), hemodialysis (43 patients), or both were done at the emergency department. Fomepizole was not available in our hospital and, therefore, was not included in our standard treatment. The detail of the detoxification protocols is described elsewhere.10 All the laboratory data were collected on admission by blood sampling based on clinical evaluation. Concentration of serum methanol was detected by gas chromatography with limits of detection at <0.15 mg/dL and toxic level at >20.00 mg/dL. Among 59 patients with MI, three (5.1%) were unable to be classified as intentional or unintentional and, therefore, they were excluded. For the remaining 56 patients, demographic data and clinical characteristics were documented. Among them, 16 (28.6%) patients were considered as having MI and four (25%) in the IMI group died. The remaining 12 patients were referred to a consultation-liaison psychiatric evaluation after stabilized physical condition. Board-certified psychiatrists conducted a face-to-face diagnostic interview and collateral information was collected to ascertain the validity of suicidal intention and reasons for suicide. Final psychiatric diagnoses were made according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder (DSM)-IV and DSM-5 criteria. One of the authors (Juang YY), a senior psychiatrist, supervised all the referrals to the consultation-liaison service as well as final psychiatric diagnoses. After initial chart review of all the 56 patients enrolled for MI, one of the authors, Yen TH, independently reviewed these charts in order to confirm data integrity.

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables were expressed as means, and categorical variables as numbers and percentages. We used independent Student’s t-test for quantitative variables and chi-square or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Missing data were ignored. All probability levels of P<0.05 were considered significant. We used SPSS statistics 24.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) for all the statistical analyses of our study.

Results
Among 56 patients enrolled, a significant gender difference existed between the IMI and UMI groups. The female-to-male ratio was 1.67 for the IMI group, while it was 0.08 for UMI group (P<0.001). There were significantly more patients with history of alcohol consumption and smoking in the UMI group, while past psychiatric disorders and suicide attempts were more prevalent in the IMI group. With regard to past psychiatric diagnoses in the IMI group, depression accounted for the major part (81.8%, n=9), including five patients with major depressive disorder, and rest had bipolar disorder (9.1%, n=1) and heroin use disorder (9.1%, n=1). Comorbidities were noted in patients with depression, with one alcohol use disorder patient with sustained remission and one neurocognitive disorder patient (clinical dementia rating =0.5). In contrast, only four (10%) patients in the UMI group received past psychiatric diagnoses with depression being the most prevalent diagnosis (75%, n=3). All demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Oral intake was the most common route of methanol exposure (89.3%, n=50); but two patients, one of whom was in the IMI group, were exposed through intramuscular/intravascular injection, and there were four patients with unconfirmed route of exposure. Regarding sources of methanol exposure, commercial alcohol and moonshine were the major source in the UMI group, while in the IMI group pesticides were the leading source (50%, n=8), followed by industrial methanol (37.5%, n=6). Results are illustrated in Table 2. Complex suicide was noted in a third of patients of IMI group with methods combining drinking pesticides or industrial methanol with either charcoal burning, wrist cutting, or overdosing with benzodiazepines or other psychotropics. In triage, patients of UMI group had significantly higher pulse and respiratory rate (pulse rate: $t_{15}=-2.624, P=0.012$; respiratory rate: $t_{15}=-2.046, P=0.047$). Blood test revealed significantly higher serum methanol level in the IMI
Table 1 Baseline demographic data of patients with MI (n=56)

| Variables                        | Intentional poisoning (n=16) | Unintentional poisoning (n=40) | χ²  | df | P-valuea |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|----|----------|
| Age (±)                          | 47.6±21.2                   | 45.2±14.7                    | 19.395 | 1 | 0.000**  |
| Gender                           | 6 (37.5)                    | 37 (92.5)                    | 3 (7.5) |
| Male                             | 10 (62.5)                   | 11 (28.2)                    | 28 (71.8) |
| Marital status                   | 16                           | 15                            | 39                           | 0.699 | 0.516 |
| Other                            | 6 (40.0)                    | 11 (28.2)                    | 28 (71.8) |
| Married                          | 9 (60.0)                    | 19 (61.3)                    | 12 (38.7) |
| Education ≤9 years               | 8 (66.7)                    | 19 (61.3)                    | 12 (38.7) |
| >9 years                         | 4 (33.3)                    | 16 (44.4)                    | 20 (55.6) |
| Paid employment                  | 8 (57.1)                    | 16 (44.4)                    | 20 (55.6) |
| No                               | 6 (42.9)                    | 35 (87.5)                    | 5 (12.5) |
| Yes                              | 5 (31.3)                    | 35 (87.5)                    | 5 (12.5) |
| Documented disabling             | 16                           | 40                            | 2.739 | 1 | 0.129 |
| No                               | 11 (68.8)                   | 35 (87.5)                    | 5 (12.5) |
| Yesa                             | 5 (31.3)                    | 35 (87.5)                    | 5 (12.5) |
| Alcohol consumption              | 15                           | 40                            | 21.158 | 1 | 0.000**  |
| No                               | 10 (66.7)                   | 3 (7.5)                      | 37 (92.5) |
| Yes                             | 5 (33.3)                    | 3 (7.5)                      | 37 (92.5) |
| Smoking                          | 15                           | 39                            | 7.831 | 1 | 0.009*  |
| No                               | 9 (60)                      | 8 (20.5)                     | 31 (79.5) |
| Yes                             | 6 (40)                      | 8 (20.5)                     | 31 (79.5) |
| Betal nuts chewing               | 13                           | 35                            | 0.000 | 1 | 0.987 |
| No                               | 10 (76.9)                   | 27 (77.1)                    | 8 (22.9) |
| Yes                             | 3 (23.1)                    | 27 (77.1)                    | 8 (22.9) |
| Past medical history             | 15                           | 39                            | 2.152 | 1 | 0.256 |
| No                               | 5 (33.3)                    | 6 (15.4)                     | 33 (84.6) |
| Yes                             | 10 (66.7)                   | 6 (15.4)                     | 33 (84.6) |
| Past psychiatric history        | 15                           | 40                            | 22.061 | 1 | 0.000**  |
| No                               | 4 (26.7)                    | 36 (90.0)                    | 4 (10.0) |
| Yes                             | 11 (73.3)                   | 36 (90.0)                    | 4 (10.0) |
| Past suicide attempt            | 11                           | 40                            | 34.434 | 1 | 0.000**  |
| No                               | 2 (18.2)                    | 39 (97.5)                    | 1 (2.5) |
| Yes                             | 9 (81.1)                    | 39 (97.5)                    | 1 (2.5) |
| Family history of psychiatric disorders | 13                           | 35                            | 2.101 | 1 | 0.200 |
| No                               | 9 (69.2)                    | 16 (45.7)                    | 19 (54.3) |
| Yes                             | 4 (30.8)                    | 16 (45.7)                    | 19 (54.3) |

Notes: aExcluding previous alcoholism or alcohol use disorder; ball by Fisher’s exact test. *P<0.05 and **P<0.001.

Abbreviation: MI, methanol intoxication.

In recent years, early detection and management of MI in emergency departments have raised much attention, but...
psychiatric comorbidity of methanol intoxicated patients, whether intentional or unintentional, is not yet fully investigated. To our knowledge, our study is the first to specifically address the psychiatric comorbidities in patients having IMI. The main findings of our study are that the patients in IMI group were predominantly female, having past major psychiatric diagnoses and previous suicidal attempts, all of which were risk factors that had been mentioned in previous studies, and the most common diagnoses were depressive and adjustment disorders. Though differing in vital signs and serum methanol level in initial presentation, the morbidity and mortality of both groups were similar. Despite having small number of included patients, our data is still representative in northern Taiwan across 16 years.

Sources of MI
In a report of American Association of Poison Control Centers’ National Poison Data System, MI via ingestion remained the most common route of exposure (63.3%) during 2000–2013, which was consistent with our study, but Givens et al indicated inhalation as an emerging route of methanol exposure, which is not yet encountered in our study. In contrast with windshield cleaner and carburetor cleaner being the most common sources in the USA, commercial alcohol and moonshine were the primary sources in the UMI group and pesticide and industrial methanol were the primary sources in the IMI group in Taiwan (64.3%) based on our results. Strictly speaking, half of the patients originally attempted suicides by drinking pesticides but ended up being methanol intoxicated. Although some pesticides, such as carbamates and triazoles, are less toxic, the additive methanol caused morbidity and mortality. Our study is in line with studies from Korea, which brought the toxicity of additives or solvents in pesticides to our attention. The dissimilarity in sources of methanol worldwide is important for clinical practice and public health. In Asian countries, physicians should consider MI in case of pesticide poisoning and the toxicity of additives, especially methanol, should be tagged and access to methanol-containing pesticide should be restricted through legislative regulation for suicide prevention. Furthermore, the risk of MI in contaminated alcohol should be emphasized though public education for prevention of accidental intoxication.

Gender
The result of our study demonstrated a different female-to-male ratio between IMI and UMI groups. A male predominance in UMI group was compatible with past reports worldwide. One possible explanation is that the majority (74%) of UMI patients included in our study was composed of habitual drinkers, who were mostly males as a previous study disclosed. On the contrary, females accounted for a greater proportion in patients of IMI. Given that in MI, poisoning by substance other than drug and complex methods are considered lethal, our result was contrary to past concept that females are prone to suicide attempts by nonlethal methods. Considering that suicide

| Source of methanol | n (%) |
|--------------------|-------|
| Intentional intoxication | 16 (100) |
| Pesticides | 8 (50) |
| Carbamate insecticides | 3 |
| Organophosphate insecticides | 2 |
| Organophosphate herbicides | 1 |
| Triazole fungicide | 1 |
| Unknown pesticide | 1 |
| Industrial methanol | 6 (37.5) |
| Other | 2 (12.5) |
| Deodorant | 1 |
| Nail care | 1 |
| Unintentional intoxication | 40 (100) |
| Alcohol (commercial or moonshine) | 36 (90) |
| Industrial methanol | 4 (10) |

Notes: All of them were methomyl. One of them was methamidophos and one acephate. Paraquat. Including liquid methanol and alcohol gel, which is commonly used for heating hot pot in commercial stores or private use, but contains methanol more than 50.

| Table 3 Clinical outcomes of patients with MI (n=56) | Intentional intoxication, n=16 | Unintentional intoxication, n=40 | t | df | P-value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----|--------|
| Clinical data                 | Mean ± SD                      | Mean ± SD                      |   |    |        |
| Days of hospitalization       | 11.9±10.9                      | 8.1±7.4                        | 1.275 | 20.734 | 0.216  |
| ICU admission (n)             | 9 (56.3%)                      | 19 (47.5%)                     | 1.381 | 18.757 | 0.183  |
| Days in ICU                   | 5.9±8.2                        | 3.0±4.5                        |     |    |        |
| Outcome                       | Mortality (n)                  | 4 (25%)                        | 9 (22.5%) | 0.548 |

Notes: ICU, intensive care unit; MI, methanol intoxication.
is a process, factors other than gender should be taken into account in explaining this result.

**Past and current psychiatric diagnoses**

Compared to the UMI group, patients in the IMI group were less involved in alcohol consumption ($P<0.001$) or smoking ($P=0.009$), but they were more likely to have past major psychiatric diagnoses (depression or bipolar disorder) and previous suicidal attempts, which are risk factors for suicide that had been confirmed in previous studies.\(^{1,12}\) Among those patients of the IMI group who received psychiatric interview, depressive disorder, especially, major depressive disorder, was the leading diagnosis, which was in agreement with previous studies in Taiwan.\(^{21,22}\) Therefore, early detection and treatment of depression and identification of previous suicide attempt are important for suicide prevention. Additionally, 75% of patients in intentional intoxicated group received psychiatric consultation, but, in contrast, only two unintentionally intoxicated patients received psychiatric consultation despite most of the UMI patients being habitual alcohol users. This result sheds light on the inadequacy of the management of UMI patients.

**Medical presentation and clinical outcomes**

Both of the study groups showed acidosis, increased lactate level, and hyperosmolarity, but methanol level was significantly higher in the IMI group (82.1±77.7 vs 21.3±73.0 mg/dL, $t_{4}=2.610, P=0.012$), while the lactate level was significantly higher in the UMI group (47.0±32.2 vs 159.5±92.6 mg/dL, $t_{14.323}=-3.906, P=0.002$). This intriguing result indicated a more severe metabolic acidosis in UMI group, which could be explained by differences in timing of medical presentation. The patients of IMI were often brought to emergent department soon after exposure to the causative agent, therefore, serum methanol was sampled before it was metabolized to formaldehyde. On the contrary, in UMI cases, medical care could be delayed for days because of the inability to describe that exact time of exposure and delayed occurrence of intoxicated symptoms resulted from concomitant alcohol ingestion. Therefore, methanol level became low, tissue hypoxia occurred, and lactate level became high. In a systemic review, Kostic and Dart described a negative correlation between serum methanol level with delayed medical care,\(^{23}\) which in combination with respiratory compensation presented with the vital signs at triage, demonstrated different intentions of getting intoxicated. Despite different initial level of serum methanol level, there was no difference in the percentage of ICU admission, days of ICU stay, or total hospitalization days in both the groups, and even the mortality rate was identical. The overall mortality rate of total intoxicated patients in our study was 23.3%, which is identical to previous reports in consideration of limited access of fomepizole in Taiwan.\(^{24}\)

**Limitation**

Several limitations should be noted in this study. First, this was a retrospective study and thus it inherited imitations natural to it. Second, samples in the present study were too small and so clinical implications and generalizations should be approached with caution. However, in consideration that methanol itself was uncommon for toxic poisoning, and according to Nationwide Poisoning Database of Taiwan, only 621 cases of MI were identified across 14 years.\(^{25}\) The sample size of the present study approached one-tenth of all cases of MI, and as a tertiary referral center where patients of MI were commonly referred to due to its high toxicity, our samples should be representative of northern Taiwan. Moreover, the information of each patient was documented in detail, which could hardly be achieved in a registry-based study. However, included samples were restricted to one hospital and those who could be transferred to our hospital. Those who expired or whose symptoms were not severe enough to be detected were automatically excluded. Third, although all psychiatric diagnoses were made based on DSM-IV or DSM-5 after interview by board-certified psychiatrists, we did not use any instrument to confirm the diagnoses or standardize the inter-rater reliability. However, the accuracy of diagnoses was supervised by the doctor in charge of the consultation-liaison team (Juang YY). Also, some would argue that physical condition in acute intoxication status could bias the validity of diagnoses and, indeed, some of the intentional intoxicated cases came with altered consciousness. Nevertheless, most of the intoxicated patients got admitted for several days, which provided us enough time span to conduct a routine consultation to validate previous diagnosis. Based on aforementioned reasons, the inter-rater reliability and validity of diagnosis should be considered acceptable. Fourth, the inconsistency

**Table 4** Psychiatric diagnoses of survivors of intentional intoxication after psychiatric consultation-liaison evaluation (n=12)

| Psychiatric diagnosis       | n (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Depressive disorder        | 7 (58.3) |
| Major depressive disorder  | 5 (41.7) |
| Persistent depressive disorder | 1 (8.3) |
| Unspecified depressive disorder | 1 (8.3) |
| Adjustment disorder        | 3 (25.0) |
| Delusional disorder        | 1 (8.3) |
| Neurocognitive disorder    | 1 (8.3) |

Notes: Comorbid alcohol use disorder: n=1. There were 14 intentional intoxicators but only 12 of them survived until being referred to psychiatric consultation-liaison team.
of psychiatric diagnosis between the consultation-liaison psychiatric team and Outpatient Department of Psychiatry was not examined, and long-term follow-up of patients initially diagnosed with adjustment disorder could not be achieved, which resulted from low rate of follow-up. As a result, in light of all the limitations, a prospective study with proper sampling, adequately controlled valid consultation, and sufficient follow-up duration would provide further insight into IMI and its psychiatric comorbidity.

**Conclusion**

Based on our results, depression remains the major psychiatric comorbidity in the IMI group, therefore, being aware of depressive symptoms should be emphasized through public education, and primary care physicians should be equipped with adequate knowledge of identifying depressive patients in daily practice and provide them with appropriate treatment or expertise transfer. Besides, pesticide has become the major source of IMI. Hence, a proper legislative regulation should be warranted, and physicians should be aware that MI could come from substances other than industrial methanol or contaminated alcohol. Additionally, our study sheds light for physicians and psychiatrists on detection and treatment of comorbid alcohol use disorder in UMI patients.
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