Giant Meckel’s diverticulum compressing root of mesentery – A rare cause of ileal gangrene – Case report and review of literature
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Meckel’s diverticulum (MD) commonly presents as gastrointestinal bleeding in the pediatric population and intestinal obstruction in adults. There is no consensus for surgical excision of an incidentally diagnosed MD. We present a hitherto unreported vascular cause of intestinal gangrene due to MD.

CASE PRESENTATION: A 16 year old boy was referred as an acute abdomen for tertiary hospital management. Clinical examination and CT suggested small bowel obstruction and emergency laparotomy was performed. A giant MD compressing the root of mesentery, causing critical occlusion of the ileal vessels and extensive ileal gangrene was found. The gangrenous bowel was resected and a jejunoo-ascending colon anastomosis was done. Postoperative recovery was uneventful.

DISCUSSION: This case report highlights an unrecognized complication of a giant Meckel’s diverticulum. There are no clear guidelines on the management of an incidentally discovered MD though certain studies recommend resection of an incidental MD in males and individuals less than 50 years of age or when the MD is larger than 2 cm or contains histologically abnormal tissue. Other meta-analyses do not recommend routine resection. MD has been identified as a high risk region for ileal malignancy and its resection usually has minimal morbidity. A valid consent for opportunistic resection of a Meckel’s diverticulum in any laparotomy would be discerning.

CONCLUSION: Appropriate opportunistic resection of an incidental Meckel’s diverticulum may prevent extensive surgical morbidity later. This case highlights the need to revisit guidelines for management of incidentally identified MD.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Meckel’s diverticulum (MD) commonly presents as gastrointestinal bleeding in the pediatric population and as intestinal obstruction in adults. We present an unusual case of ileal gangrene resulting from a giant Meckel’s diverticulum due to a hitherto unidentified mechanism.

2. Patient information

A 16 year old boy was referred to our emergency from a private practitioner for an acute abdomen. He had complained of sudden onset, unremitting abdominal pain, five to six episodes of bilious vomiting and abdominal distension lasting for a day. He had passed normal stools earlier that day. He had no previous gastrointestinal bleed, cardiovascular disease and no history suggestive of hypercoagulable states. No other relevant medical or surgical history was obtained.

3. Clinical findings

On examination, he was afebrile with a temperature of 97.2 °F, dehydrated and tachypneic (respiratory rate of 23 cycles per minute). His heart rate was 118 beats per minute and blood pressure was 94/70 mm Hg. Femoral pulses were palpable. His abdomen was distended and rigid. Diffuse tenderness was present more so in the periumbilical region. Bowel sounds were absent. Digital rectal examination revealed an empty rectum.

4. Timeline
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5. Diagnostic assessment

Total white cell count was 10,000 cells/microliter. Renal function tests revealed blood urea nitrogen – 94 mg/dl and serum creatinine of 1.9 mg/dl. Liver function tests were within normal parameters. Amylase was 228 U/L and lipase was 48 U/L. ABG was consistent with metabolic acidosis with a pH of 7.29. Serum lactate levels were raised. Blood glucose level was 74 mg/dl and serum calcium was 9.1 mg/dl. CT abdomen revealed dilated bowel loops with pneumatosis intestinalis (Figs. 1 and 2). Contrast had not been used due to elevated renal parameters. A diagnosis of small bowel obstruction with possible intestinal gangrene was made and emergency surgery was scheduled.

6. Therapeutic intervention

After anesthetic clearance, emergency laparotomy was performed under general anesthesia with prophylactic antibiotics. There was extensive ileal gangrene (180 cm) with a transition zone 5 cms proximal to the ileocaecal junction. This was due to a long Meckel’s diverticulum (15 cm) that had coiled around the root of the mesentery (Fig. 3) causing a critical occlusion of the ileal vessels. This is a first-in-human reported unusual cause of ileal gangrene from a long Meckel’s diverticulum. The compression was released (Fig. 4) and the gangrenous bowel was resected along with the caecum and ascending colon (Fig. 5). A jejun-ascending colon anastamosis was done. Postoperative recovery was uneventful and the patient was discharged home four days later.

7. Follow-up and outcomes

The patient was followed up as an outpatient 2 weeks after discharge and was well with no further complaints. Another follow-up was done at 3 months.

8. Discussion

This unusual mechanism of a Meckel’s diverticulum strangulating the ileal vessels and resulting in gangrene has thus far not been reported in literature. The common cause of ileal gangrene from these diverticula is secondary to intestinal obstruction. Longer Meckel’s diverticulum confers more mobility and facilitates...
incidental strangulation and torsion. Meckel's diverticulum (MD), a congenital true diverticulum is an embryonic remnant of the omphalomesenteric duct. Persistence of the omphalomesenteric duct can result in any of the following anomalies – most commonly a MD, vitello-intestinal fistula, umbilical sinus, a fibrous cord, enterocystoma, mesodiverticular band, or a congenital umbilical hernia from contraction of the band [1]. Ectopic tissue is found in up to 55% of Meckel's diverticula [2]. Gastric and pancreatic tissue predominate, with corresponding incidences of 60–85% and 5–16% [3,4]. These may present with ulcerations due to the acidic or alkaline secretions.

The commonest complication of Meckel's diverticulum in the pediatric population is a gastrointestinal bleed due to peptic ulceration of the heterotopic gastric mucosa [5]. In adults, symptomatic Meckel's diverticular pathology frequently presents as an intestinal obstruction. Causative mechanisms for an obstruction include an omphalomesenteric band, internal hernia or volvulus through the vitelline duct remnants, intussusception, incarceration within a hernia sac (Littre's hernia) or a chronic Meckel's diverticulitis [6]. Diverticulectomy, with or without limited bowel resection and primary anastomosis is essential in these patients [7].

MD is commonly 2 cms long and if it is longer than 5 centimeters, it is termed a giant Meckel's diverticulum. Long Meckel's diverticulum have been associated with enteroliths and are hence prone for obstruction. Symptomatic MD warrants surgical resection, though preoperative diagnosis of MD related pathology is rare. Asymptomatic incidentally discovered Meckel's diverticulum has been a subject of intense debate. Only 4% of those with MD would require MD-related hospital admission and 2.9% (number needed to treat for prevention = 34) would require surgery [8]. Park et al. in their analysis of 1476 patients with MD showed no complications or deaths with diverticulectomy. They suggested that incidental discovery of a MD along with any of the following four factors – age less than 50 years, male sex, length greater than 2 cm or histologically abnormal tissue warrants resection as these are associated with MD producing complications [9].

Zani et al. in their meta-analysis concluded that 758 patients with incidental MD would need resection to prevent 1 death from MD related complications. They showed that the morbidity due to the resection of an incidental MD was substantially higher than leaving it in situ (5.3% in the resected compared with 1.3% in the non-resected MD (P<0.0001)) [10]. However the follow up period was variable in the studies and there was no evaluation of a malignancy in the MD later. Since then, surgical procedures and postoperative care has improved substantially.

Thirunavukarasu et al. found the risk of ileal malignancy in MD was 70 times higher than for any other ileal site. Interestingly their study identified an increase in Meckel’s diverticular cancer (MDC) in patients more than 50 years of age and especially in women over the last few decades. Histologically, abnormal tissue in an asymptomatic MD may only be palpable in 38% of patients though it is present in 60% of MD [8]. Furthermore as MD cancer is usually localized, with a high potential for curative resection and negli-
gible operative complications [9,10] they advocated resection of incidentally detected MD during surgery for other pathology [11].

Incidental Meckel’s diverticulotomy during any laparotomy would reduce the differential diagnosis confounders for any obscure abdominal pain. Moreover morbidity associated with emergency MD surgery was much higher than resection of an asymptomatic MD [4].

Surgical treatment of an omphalomesenteric anomaly with a narrow base is by amputation and closure of the defect. A simple diverticulotomy usually suffices in an asymptomatic MD. If there is presence of ectopic tissue and inflammatory process in adjacent bowel, it needs resection of that part of the intestine with anastomosis. Tumors in MD require wide intestinal resection along lymphatic pathways. If the base of the MD is edematous or perforated, ileal resection should be done [12]. Hence incidental MD resection may minimize morbidity due to sudden MD pathology.

Given Thirunavukarasu’s finding, the current longevity of the average human life span, the finding by Cullen et al. that complications due to MD do not reduce with age and the minimal morbidity of incidental resection, there seems to be a role for all incidentally diagnosed MD during laparotomy or laparoscopy to be resected. Further studies and consensus are however needed to validate this recommendation. Till then, a giant MD definitely suggests a clear cut resection even if asymptomatic. This case report has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [13].

Patient perspective

The patient had enormous symptomatic relief after the procedure. He was able to return to his routine after four days.
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