Evaluation of central corneal thickness and corneal curvature in patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Rheumatoid arthritis is an autoimmune disease. It is a chronic disease that causes symmetrical deforming type of polyarthritis. Rheumatoid arthritis most commonly involves the anterior segment of the eye. It causes keratoconjunctivitis sicca, episcleritis, scleritis, sclerosing keratitis, stromal keratitis, paracentral keratolysis, and peripheral ulcerative keratitis. Aims and Objectives: The purpose of this study was to evaluate central corneal thickness (CCT) and corneal curvature in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. We also evaluated the association between CCT, corneal curvature, and rheumatoid arthritis severity. Materials and Methods: A total of 49 rheumatoid arthritis patients and 49 control subjects were enrolled in this study. The study was conducted in the ophthalmology department of SRM medical college, Chennai. A detailed ophthalmological examination was performed on each subject. Dry eye evaluation was done using Shimmers test, tear breakup time, and corneal fluorescein staining. The CCT and corneal curvature were measured by ultrasonic pachymetry and auto keratorefractometer, respectively. For statistical analysis, we used chi-square test, Fisher exact test, student t-test, and ANOVA test. Results: Mean CCT in all rheumatoid arthritis patient group eyes was 532.78±14.03 micrometers. Mean CCT in rheumatoid arthritis with dry eye was 522.3±11.10 micrometer, in rheumatoid arthritis without dry eye was 536.90±11.60 micrometer and control patients’ group was 564.80±20 micrometer respectively. The difference of CCT was found to be statistically significant (P<0.05) between rheumatoid arthritis and control eyes. Mean corneal curvature was 42.71D±2.16 in all rheumatoid arthritis patients. Mean corneal curvature was 42.7D±1.6 in rheumatoid arthritis with dry eye, 42.8D±2.5 in rheumatoid arthritis without dry eye, and 42.5D±2.1 in control eye, respectively. Our result did not show statistically significant difference in corneal curvature between the rheumatoid arthritis patients and control patients. CCT and corneal curvature were not associated with rheumatoid arthritis activity and rheumatoid arthritis duration. Conclusion: The CCT was thinner in rheumatoid arthritis patients than control patients. In order to improve the quality of vision of rheumatoid arthritis patients, we suggest including pachymetry as a routine ophthalmic examination in them. Pachymetry will be helpful in diagnosing and guiding clinical treatment in these patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis is an autoimmune disease. It is a chronic disease that causes symmetrical deforming type of polyarthritis. It can affect any joint. The most commonly involved joint is inter phalangeal joints. Rheumatoid arthritis most commonly involves the anterior segment of the eye.¹ It causes keratoconjunctivitis sicca, episcleritis, scleritis, sclerosing keratitis, stromal keratitis, paracentral keratolysis, peripheral ulcerative keratitis. In rheumatoid arthritis, posterior segment findings are posterior scleritis and retinal vasculitis which are rare.
Keratoconjunctivitis sicca is the most common ocular manifestation of rheumatoid arthritis. Almost 25% of patients with rheumatoid arthritis are affected with keratoconjunctivitis sicca. Whenever keratoconjunctivitis sicca is seen along with xerostomia and autoimmune disease such as rheumatoid arthritis, it is classified as Secondary Sjogrens syndrome. If there is an autoimmune involvement of the lacrimal glands, it leads to an aqueous tear deficiency causing Dry Eye Syndrome clinically.

In order to have an appropriate anterior refractive surface and to protect the eye from infection, we need a healthy cornea along with an overlying tear film. Corneal epithelium mainly consists of 4–6 cell layers of squamous cells. It is non-keratinized stratified squamous epithelium. A tear film covers the corneal epithelium. The tear air interface along with the cornea accounts for two-thirds of total refractive power of the eye. In order to promote the spreading of tear film with every eye blink, the mucin part of the tears acts intimately with the glycol-calyx of the cornea epithelial cells. The tear film also guards the corneal epithelium from infection in addition from any kind of chemical or even toxic injury. In this way, we can say that corneal epithelium and ocular surface tear film have a close relationship.

Dry Eye Disease or keratoconjunctivitis sicca is because of decreased tear production, increased tear evaporation, or sometimes both. The tear film consists of three layers. The innermost layer is the mucin layer. It is secreted by the goblet cells of the conjunctiva. It sticks to mainly to the glycoprotein on the surface of the corneal epithelium. As it is hydrophilic in nature, it is able to make a wet surface on the corneal epithelium. The aqueous layer form the middle layer. It is secreted by lacrimal glands. The lipid layer, which is secreted by the meibomian gland, prevents the evaporation of tears.

Dry eye syndrome consists of ocular irritation and visual disturbances due to changes in tear film and ocular surface. The result of Dry eye syndrome can lead to minor difficulty to alarming sight-threatening complications. The 2017 report from the International Dry Eye Workshop, TFOS DEWS II has defined dry eye as a disease which is multifactorial in origin. It is a disease of tears and ocular surface that often leads to eye discomfort, visual disturbance, and instability of tear film. It is characterized by a loss of hemostasis of the tear film, tear film hyperosmolarity, ocular surface inflammation, and damage. Neurosensory abnormalities also have an etiological role to play.

Although different causes are there for dry eye, they have similar symptoms such as itch, burning, foreign body sensation, dryness, ocular fatigue, and redness. Signs include conjunctival injection, decreased tear meniscus, photophobia, increased tear debris, and loss of corneal luster seen mostly in the exposed interpalpebral fissure.

When we measure the corneal thickness, it is called pachymetry. Measurement of central corneal thickness (CCT) and corneal curvature plays an important role before keratorefractive surgeries. CCT measurement is helpful in diagnosing and management of glaucoma and keratoconus. The evaluation of CCT helps us in accurate determination of intraocular pressure. The average CCT is 540 micrometer. There are various tools to measure CCT. Ultrasound pachymetry, which we have used in our study, measures the CCT from the tear film to the posterior aspect of the endothelium. Very few studies, in developing world, have been done to examine CCT and corneal curvature in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, although rheumatoid arthritis mainly affects the cornea and ocular surface.

**Aims and objectives**

The purpose of this study was to evaluate central corneal thickness (CCT) and corneal curvature in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. We also evaluated the correlations between central corneal thickness, corneal curvature, and rheumatoid arthritis activity and duration.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted in ophthalmology outpatient department of SRM Medical College Hospital. 49 patients (98 eyes) with rheumatoid arthritis, who were referred from the rheumatology department of SRM medical college and hospital to ophthalmology department, and 49 age and gender-matched healthy control patients (98 eyes) were included in our study. Patients with active corneal infection, glaucoma, contact lens wearer, previous eye laser, eye surgery, and trauma, or any use of topical eye drugs were excluded from our study. The presence of any systemic disease except rheumatoid arthritis was also excluded from the study. Duration of this study was 1 year, from June 2019 to May 2020. The study was approved from the ethical committee of SRM Medical College and hospital.

All subjects in our study underwent the required ophthalmological examination. We recorded the uncorrected and best-corrected visual acuity and intraocular pressure. With the help of a slit lamp biomicroscopy, complete anterior segment examination was done. We gave a questionnaire of the ocular surface disease index to our patients before doing the ophthalmic examination.
Dry eye has been defined as having eye discomfort in patients who had at least two abnormal diagnostic test values

Tear Breakup time (TBUT) of <10 s
Corneal fluorescein staining score ≥1
Schirmer’s 1 test value of ≤10 mm

TBUT test was performed with a sterile fluorescein strip. The strip was placed in the lower eyelid fornix. The subject was asked to blink three times and then look straight forward without blinking. The time interval between a complete blink and first appearance of a dry spot on the pre-corneal tear film was measured under cobalt blue filtered light. The mean of three consecutive TBUT measurements was used for analysis. Two spots in <10 s were considered abnormal. After recording TBUT measurement; corneal fluorescein staining was evaluated by Van Bijsterveld scoring system.

In the Schirmer’s test, the round edge of the Schirmer strip was placed behind between the outer and middle third of the eyelid. After a gap of 5 min, the strip was removed and the wet portion of the strip was measured in millimeters. Patients who had a Schirmer test score ≤10 mm/5 min were considered to have dry eye. During the test, the patients should be sitting comfortably.

The corneal curvature measurements were taken using an auto refractometer (Canon RK-F2, Japan). Measurement of CCT was performed 10 min after completing dry eye test. CCT was measured with an ultrasonic pachymeter (Appascan MAX P, Appaswamy, India), after putting a drop of propacaine hydrochloride 0.5% for topical anesthesia. The probe tip of the pachymeter was placed perpendicular to the cornea of the subject and kept at the center of the cornea. We took six consecutive measurements into account and out of six, only the three lowest measurements were averaged.

We did an evaluation of the disease activity and quality of life also; it was done on the same day as the ocular examinations. The disease activity was assessed using the Disease activity index-28 (DAS-28), while assessing it we used DAS-28 online calculator of the American College of rheumatology with respect to the number of tender and swollen joints, C-reactive protein level, and the global health assessment of patients using scale. We used Health assessment questionnaire to access the quality of life of our patients. This questionnaire includes questions in eight headings; dressing, rising, eating, walking, hygiene, reach, grip, and usual activities. Each question is answered on a four-grade scale of impairment ranging from 0 to 3; 0-no difficulty, 1-some difficulty, 2-much difficulty, 3-inability to do so. The laboratory evaluation tested the erythrocytes sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein.

Statistical methods
Data were entered in MS Excel sheet and analyzed using SPSS software version 21. Continuous variables were represented in mean and standard deviation. Categorical variables were represented in frequencies and percentages. When a categorical Variable is associated with a categorical variable, the significance is tested using Chi-square test. Fishers exact test is used when more than 20% of the cell value <5. When a continuous variable is associated with a categorical variable the variables were represented by mean (± standard deviation) in tables and the significance of the difference between the means is tested by Student t-test for two categories and ANOVA test for more than three categories. P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethics approval and informed consent
This study was carried out after approval from SRM Medical College and Hospital Ethical committee (27 June 2019). The study followed the declaration of Helsinki for medical research involving human subjects. Informed consent was obtained from the patients.

RESULTS
The mean age among rheumatoid arthritis group was 56.27 which is higher than mean age among control group which was 52.88 and the difference was not statistically significant. Considering the gender of the subjects with rheumatoid arthritis distribution, there was no difference in gender distribution between rheumatoid arthritis group and control group. The mean corneal curvature among rheumatoid arthritis was 42.71D (±2.16D) which is higher than mean corneal curvature among controls which was 42.53D (±2.06D) but the difference was not statistically significant. The mean CCT among rheumatoid arthritis was 532.78 micrometer (±14.03) which is lower than the mean central corneal

| Table 1: Demographic data and mean CCT and corneal curvature of rheumatoid patients and control |
|------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                 | RA      | CONTROL | P value |
| Age (years)                       | 56.3 ±11.6 | 52.9 ±8.9 | 0.108   |
| Gender                           |         |        |        |
| Males                            | 28 (57.1%) | 31 (63.3%) | 0.536   |
| Females                          | 21 (42.9%) | 18 (36.7%) | 0.668*  |
| Corneal Curvature (Diopter)      | 42.71D (±2.16) | 42.53D (±2.06) |        |
| CCT (micrometer)                 | 532.78 (±14.03) | 564.76 (±19.95) | 0.001*  |

*P value by Student t test. CCT: Central corneal thickness, RA: Rheumatoid arthritis
curvature among control which was 564.76 micrometer (±19.95) and the difference was statistically significant, as shown in Table 1.

Mean rheumatoid arthritis duration was 146.47 (±53.22) months ranging from 35 to 235 months. Mean disease severity score was 4.17±1.06 ranging from 2.7 to 6.7. In our study, CCT and corneal curvature were not associated with disease activity score and rheumatoid arthritis duration. Mean Erythrocyte sedimentation rate was 39.45±6.21 millimeter per hour. Mean C-reactive protein was 4.63±2.01 ranging from 2 to 9 milligram per liter.

The mean corneal curvature among rheumatoid arthritis without dry eye was 42.76D which is higher than mean corneal curvature among rheumatoid arthritis with dry eye which was 42.65D followed by Controls with a mean of 42.53D, but the difference was not statistically significant (P>0.05).

The mean CCT among controls was 564.76 micrometer which is higher than mean CCT among rheumatoid arthritis with dry eye which was 522.3 micrometer followed by rheumatoid arthritis without dry eye with a mean of 536.9 micrometer and the difference was statistically significant (P<0.05), as shown in Table 2.

## DISCUSSION

Rheumatoid arthritis is one of the most common inflammatory arthritis. Approximately 40% of the patients with rheumatoid arthritis have extra-articular involvement. In the eye, the most common ocular involvement is manifested as dry eye in about 25% of the patients. It can also involve the sclera, cornea, and uvea. Rheumatoid arthritis mainly involves the anterior segment.

Various studies have reported that an increase in cytokines activates corneal cells to produce proteolytic enzymes which cause degradation of extracellular matrix. Hence, this process of collagenolysis in rheumatoid arthritis-related corneal inflammation could have an influence on CCT and corneal curvature values. Villani et al., found that CCT was significantly thinner in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, with or without secondary Sjogren syndrome than in healthy subjects. In their study, corneal thickness of rheumatoid arthritis patients with secondary Sjogren was 514.75 micrometer and was 559.23 micrometer in control group.

In our study, there was a statistically significant difference in CCT between controls and rheumatoid arthritis patients. We did not get any statistically significant difference in corneal curvature between the control group and rheumatoid arthritis patients.

Prata et al., did a study to evaluate corneal hysteresis and CCT in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and in age-matched controls. They found an abnormal corneal biomechanics in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. They reported that CCT was slightly thinner (520.2 vs. 534.9 micrometer) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis than in controls but the difference was not statistically significant, unlike our study.

Tuominen et al., in their study reported that the corneal epithelium was irregular and patchy in secondry Sjogren's syndrome patients. They even reported that the central corneal thickness was decreased because of stromal thinning. According to their study, the pump and barrier function of the epithelium, as well as endothelium, were responsible mainly of corneal thickness. Hence, a defective barrier function of the epithelium and endothelium results in corneal dehydration and thinning.

Xu et al., reported that in secondary Sjogren-related dry eye, there is a decreased corneal sensitivity. It is a known fact that an intact corneal innervation is quite essential for normal blinking and even tearing reflexes. A poor blinking reflex may result in ocular surface disease.

Yeh et al., reported in their study that increased production of inflammatory and catabolic cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin 1 (IL-1) were responsible for central thinning. Liu and Pflugfelder in their study said that various factors contribute to decreased corneal thickness in dry eyes. According to their study, the chronically stressed out corneal epithelium releases IL-1. Increased levels of inflammatory cytokines in dry eye, such as IL-1, lead to

### Table 2: CCT and corneal curvature values in control and study eyes

| Variable               | Group                      | ANOVA P value |
|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
|                       | RA with dry eye            | RA without dry eye | Control            |                     |
| Corneal curvature (Diopter) | 42.7D (±1.6)             | 42.8D (±2.5)  | 42.5D (±2.1)  | 0.898                |
| CCT (micrometer)       | 522.3 (±11.1)             | 536.9 (±11.6) | 564.8 (±20)  | 0.001                |

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. CCT: Central corneal thickness, RA: Rheumatoid arthritis.
more matrix degradation. They also reported that corneal thinning may be because of an increased osmolarity of tear film due to tear film evaporation. Another reason that they cited in their study was decreased tear film thickness. According to them, there was a reduced mucus production by decreased ocular surface epithelium. This is similar to our findings where there is also significant difference in CCT between control and RA eyes with or without dry eye.

Limitations of the study

Our study has few limitations. This was a cross sectional study with a relative small sample size. These findings need to be confirmed in future studies.

CONCLUSION

Rheumatoid arthritis is known to affect the cornea and ocular surface. In order to improve the quality of vision of rheumatoid arthritis patients, we suggest including pachymetry as a routine ophthalmic examination in them. Pachymetry will be helpful in diagnosing and guiding clinical treatment in these patients. Further research may be needed for a better understanding of cornea in rheumatoid arthritis patients.
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