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Influence of Antistripping Additives and Rejuvenators on Healing Performance of Moisture-Damaged HMA
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This study aims to evaluate the effect of different rejuvenators and antistripping agents on the healing performance of hot mix asphalt (HMA). Two damage HMA series (e.g., moisture damage and aged damage) were subjected to either induction or microwave heating. A PG64-22 virgin and aged binder were used and modified with several additives. Three long-term aged binders (e.g., PAV5, PAV15, and PAV20) were conducted by pressure aging vessel (PAV) test. The moisture damage series fabricating with a new binder was further categorized into four different freeze-thaw (FT) cycles (e.g., 0FT, 1FT, 3FT, and 5FT). Also, the aged series was fabricated with three different aged binders. A total of eight damage-healing cycles were applied to all asphalt mixtures, examined by the three-point bending test. The moisture resistance of modified asphalt mixture was examined by indirect tensile strength test. Overall, asphalt mixtures modified with either antistripping additives or rejuvenators not only obtained higher moisture resistance but also gained better healing performance under moisture damage. In addition, the study showed a probable correlation between moisture damage and long-term aging in terms of healing performance, such as PAV15 and 3FT cycles and PAV20 and 5FT cycles.

1. Introduction

Moisture damage is one of the main factors affecting the durability of asphalt mixtures [1]. The application of freezing and thawing cycles is adopted to replicate in-service moisture damage on pavements. Moisture damage greatly affects internal structure and consequently results in pavement degradation. This occurs when moisture from either rainwater or snowmelt makes its way into existing microcracks during high temperature, after which, as the temperature drops below freezing point, the moisture within the microcracks begins to freeze and expand. The repetition of this occurrence leads to accelerate aging of asphalt binders [2]. The strength of interaction is reduced, which creates a weak asphalt-aggregate system [3]. However, moisture damage can be prevented either by improving the adhesion strength of asphalt aggregate or by preventing water intrusion on asphalt concrete [4]. Nowadays, antistripping agent is used to enhancing moisture resistance of asphalt mixture. This additive agent can improve internal structure of asphalt mixture, which enhances the adhesive of aggregate and binder [5]. In addition to reducing water intrusion, microcracks healing is also a promising solution [6]. Electromagnetic induction, microwave, and infrared have been used to heat asphalt concrete for healing purposes. It has been proved that the healing level of asphalt concrete can be improved by induction and microwave heating. During the heating process, mixture containing conductive additives is exposed to high frequency alternating magnetic fields. Based on the Joule law, the eddy current heats conductive particle. Then, the heat energy diffuses into the asphalt mixture to increase sample temperature [7]. A sufficient temperature leads to low viscosity of asphalt binder, and the binder can fill microcracks. This phenomenon is explained by the fact...
that asphalt binder is a temperature-dependent material. The first applied induction heating on the road has been taken on the A58 near Vlissingen in Netherlands. After three years of paving time, the healing result was promising; this road could be heated in the expected time [8].

Aging is another factor influencing the performance of asphalt mixture, which occurs during production and construction and continues throughout the service life of asphalt pavements. Long-term aging caused asphalt binder to stiffen and embrittle, which leads to a high potential of cracking [9]. Repetition of the healing process may lead to similar aging attributes, which are easily recognized at late damage-healing cycles. According to SHRP, 20 hours aging in the PAV simulates the asphalt binder aging that occurs during 5–10 years of in-service HMA pavements [10]. Previous researches have been proved that rejuvenators could be used to restore some of the mechanical properties of an aged asphalt binder [11, 12]. Wang et al. confirmed that sufficient rejuvenator could improve physical properties and crack resistance [13]. However, it was found that there was a lack of research focused on the performance of healed HMA under both moisture damage and aging effects. In reality, asphalt mixtures are subjected to aging and moisture damage simultaneously [14–16]. Hence, further investigation is also needed on the correlation between the long-term aging process and moisture damage.

This research aims to study the effect of different additive agents (e.g., antistripping agent and rejuvenator) on the healing performance of moisture damage asphalt mixture. Induction heating and microwave heating are used to heat asphalt mixture. Long-term aging is considered to find the correlation between moisture damage and aging in terms of healing performance. Two sample series are fabricated to archive the research objectives. First, the unaged asphalt binder is modified with four types of additive agents. These samples are going on to four different freeze–thaw (FT) cycles. Second series was fabricated with three levels of PAV asphalt binder (e.g., PAV5, PAV15, and PAV20). The healing performance of mixture is assumed by the three-point bending (TPB) test, while the moisture resistance is conducted to indirect tensile strength (ITS) test. Steel wool fiber (SWF) is utilized to obtain a prime healing performance. All test samples are applied to eight damage-healing (DH) cycles. During healing process, the infrared camera (Fluke TiS20 model) is used to record the surface temperature of sample. The ANOVA and Tukey HSD post hoc are employed to find the correlation between moisture damage and aging in terms of healing performance.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Laboratory-fabricated HMA mixtures were used to conduct a series of experiments. Table 1 shows the gradation of experiment aggregate. The bitumen PG 64-22 had a penetration at 25°C of 70 mm/10s and a density of 1.02 g/cm³. The SWF has a diameter ranging from 70 to 130 μm, a density of 7.18 g/cm³, a length of 4–4.5 mm, and thermal conductivity of 80 W/mK. The antistripping additives consist of silane additive, amine type surfactant, and stabilizer. Two kinds of antistripping additives named A and B have different proportions of silane additive to amine type surfactant. Rejuvenator types 1 and 2 had a viscosity of 79–90 and 60°C at 60°C and a density of 0.93 and 0.8 g/cm³ at 20°C, respectively. Further, three levels of long-term aged asphalt binder (e.g., 5 hours, 15 hours, and 20 hours) were used to fabricate aged mixtures.

2.2. Sample Preparation. The asphalt mixtures were prepared according to the Superpave Mix Design Method [17]. Two sample series were designed in this experiment (as shown in Figure 1). Table 2 shows the mix proportion of the moisture damage series. Four additives were used in this series, while only two additives were used in the aged series (Table 3). This is because of the weight limitation of binder from the PAV process. The SWF was utilized in both series to gain an optimum healing performance [18–20]. All test specimens were prepared according to the Superpave Mix Design Method [17], with an optimum asphalt binder content of 5.4% and target air void of 4 ± 0.5%.

Asphalt binder-additive mixing process involved preheating the asphalt binder for an hour at 160°C. Once preheated, two percent of additive was then introduced and stirred thoroughly unto the binder. The mixture was allowed to sit in the oven for an additional hour (at 160°C), stirring the mixture at 30 minutes interval. Based on ITS test requirements, a cylindrical test specimen had a dimension of 63.5 mm in height and 100 mm in diameter (Figure 2(a)). The TPB specimen which has a dimension of 100 mm in both height and diameter was followed. After curing for one day at room temperature (25°C), the TPB molded specimen was cut into six equal semicircular samples with an overall size of 30 mm thick, 50 mm height, and 100 mm in width (Figure 2(b)). To ensure cracking of the sample at midpoint during the three-point bending test, a 10 mm depth and 2 mm thick notch were made at the base of the sample. This preexisting cut will ensure crack propagation at midsection. The notch dimension was based on the finding of Garcia [21]. After cutting, these samples were let to dry at room temperature for 48 hours (to remove liquid due to cutting). Each mixture condition was prepared with three replicates to compute the average results.
2.3. Test Methods

2.3.1. Aged Binder Process. The asphalt binder underwent short-term and long-term aging. Short-term aging was simulated first, using RTFO (Rolling Thin-Film Oven) in accordance with D2872-12 [22], typically subduing binder for 85 min at 163°C. The binder from the short-term aging process was further used for long-term aging simulation with the pressure aging vessel (PAV), which mimics the aging of road pavements during its life span. The PAV test
was conducted with a pressure of 2070 kPa on three different time periods: 5 hours, 15 hours, and 20 hours. Finally, specimens were fabricated using the long-term aged binder to investigate the effect of aging on healing performance.

2.3.2. Freeze-Thaw Process. The moisture damage series included 4 groups of freeze-thaw cycle: unconditioned/control (0FT), one cycle (1FT), three cycles (3FT), and five cycles (5FT). According to AASHTO T 283 [23], one freeze-thaw cycle includes saturating samples on a sealed vacuum container. Samples were supported by steel grills inside the container to acquire a minimum of 25 mm water above its surface. A relative vacuum of 13–67 kPa was applied on the sealed container for 5–10 min. Once finished, the vacuum pressure was removed, and the samples were left submerged approximately 5–10 min more. Then, the saturated specimens were transferred unto sealed plastic bags filled with 10 ml water and kept inside a freezer at −18°C for 16 hours, after which, the specimens were placed unto a hot water bath at 60°C; once the ice has melted, the sealed plastic was removed, and samples were left at this temperature for 24 hours. Finally, the specimens were then again transferred in a water bath at 25°C for 2 hours.

To investigate the effect of additive agents on moisture susceptibility, the indirect tensile strength test was conducted. The indirect tensile strength was recorded by applying a loading rate of 50 mm/min. The indirect tensile strength $S_t$ is calculated using

$$S_t = \frac{2P}{\pi Dt},$$

(1)

where $P$ is the maximum load (kN), $t$ is thickness of specimen (mm), and $D$ is the diameter of specimen (mm).

2.3.3. Damage-Healing Process. To obtain brittle condition before TPB test, samples were placed in a refrigerator at aged binder process −18°C for 2 hours. TPB test contained a loading roller at midpoint on the semicircular arch sample, supported by two fix rollers spaced at 80 mm apart (Figure 2(b)). A testing machine with a capacity of 100 kN was used in this experiment. The test was performed under the loading rate of 0.9 mm/min until failure, and the load was reduced 25% of the peak load. The test was carried out at room temperature (approximately 25°C). After the TPB test, the damaged specimens were kept in ambient condition for 24 hours covered with paper a towel to ensure that the condensate moisture from freezing has totally dried.

Two healing treatments were used unto damaged samples: the induction heating generator and microwave heating machine. The induction heater used in this study has a capacity of 50 kW and a maximum frequency of 35 kHz. The damaged sample was placed under the induction heating coil and heated until 90°C (as shown in Figure 3). In addition, microwave heating treatment was conducted using a microwave oven with a maximum frequency of 2.45 MHz and a power capacity of 700 W. Damaged sample was subjected to electromagnetic waves for 50 seconds [20]. The surface temperature was recorded by an infrared camera (Figure 4).

$$S_h = \frac{F_n}{F_0} \times 100,$$

(2)

where $F_0$ is the force of the initially tested sample (0 DH cycle) (kN). $F_n$ is the force of sample after the damage-healing process ($n^{th}$ DH cycle) (kN).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results of Surface Temperature after Healing Process. Figure 5 shows the relationship between surface temperature and several freeze-thaw cycles of unaged asphalt mixture composed of different types of additive agents. Overall, the temperature gradually decreased at every FT cycle. The results indicated that samples treated by microwave heating obtained a higher temperature than that of induction heating. This occurrence can be explained by the fact that any material exposed to electromagnetic radiation heats up, while magnetic induction only affects conductive objects (i.e., SWF) [24]. Among four types of additive agents and control samples, type 2 achieved the highest surface temperature in both microwave and induction heating. In addition, the trends of temperature variation between antistripping additives and rejuvenators were most similar.
By using microwave heating, the average surface temperature was increased after one FT cycle and decreased subsequently (see Figure 5). The type 2 mixture had the highest surface temperature of 93.5°C, and type A presented the lowest temperature with 68.8°C (after five FT cycles). The increase in temperature at the early stages of FT cycle can be explained by the change of air void content. After one FT cycle, air void content within samples might have been sufficient to hold a substantial amount of water, which led to an increase in temperature. However, with successive FT cycles, the repetition of freezing and thawing may have caused much air void volume expansion [25], with bigger air gaps that led to the flow of moisture rather than retention [26]. Therefore, this may have caused a decrease in temperature due to the decrease in moisture content.

Under induction heating, the average surface temperature of samples gradually decreased with increasing FT cycles. The highest temperature was recorded in type 2 additive samples with 85°C (at 0 FT cycles), and the lowest was type A with 63°C (after 5 FT cycles). As mentioned before, when the number of FT cycles was increased, there would be higher air void content, thereby breaking the interconnecting bonds of the mixture (especially SWF). This phenomenon which led to heat transfer was interrupted. In addition, the water retained on the samples played a role as a thermal absorbing material, which lowers the temperature. Therefore, the temperature of test samples gradually reduced with an increasing FT cycle when using induction heating method. Temperature attainment after the healing process plays an important role during real-scale heating scenarios. With the results presented, it is conclusive that although it reached the margin of 80–90°C, it is within boundaries of a regular asphalt pavement working temperature.

3.2. Results of Moisture Resistance. To better understand and express the indirect tensile strength of samples in this experiment, the tensile strength ratio (TSR) was computed. TSR is defined as the ratio of the tensile strength of both wet-conditioned (i.e., 1, 3, and 5 FT cycles) and dry-conditioned samples (i.e., 0 FT cycles). The tensile strength ratio can be calculated by following equation:

$$\text{TSR}_i = \frac{K_i}{K_0} \times 100,$$

where TSR$_i$ is tensile strength ratio of mixture with type “K” additive agent at cycle “i,” K$_i$ is the wet-conditioned tensile strength of mixture with “K” additive agent at cycle “i,” and
$K_0$ is the dry-conditioned tensile strength of mixture with “$K$” additive agent.

The results from Figure 6 show that additive could enhance moisture resistance of modified asphalt mixture. Particularly, mixtures containing antistripping archived the highest indirect tensile strength. With the dry condition, type A mixture showed the highest value of 1.89 MPa; however, the control mixture presented the lowest indirect tensile strength, which was 1.45 MPa. Overall, TSR of all asphalt mixtures decreased at every freeze-thaw cycle. The control mixture showed the lowest TSR values of 53% and 19% after one and five FT cycles, respectively. The decrease in TSR could be caused by the presence of more air void content after succeeding in FT cycles. The control mixture showed the lowest TSR values of 53% and 19% after one and five FT cycles, respectively.

Moreover, (4) was developed to analyze the effect of introducing additive agents unto the mixture at different freeze-thaw cycles:

$$E_{ik}^i = \frac{K_i - C_i}{C_i} \times 100,$$

where $E_{ik}^i$ is the tensile strength percentage improvement of mixture with type “$K$” additive agent at cycle “$i$,” $K_i$ is the tensile strength of mixture with “$K$” additive agent at cycle “$i$,” and $C_i$ is the tensile strength of control sample (without additive agent) at cycle “$i$.”

Figure 7 illustrates the effect of adding additive agents. The bar graph shows that all mixtures with additive can improve tensile strength. The antistripping additive samples had significant improvement in tensile strength compared to rejuvenator additive samples. The improvement of the antistripping additive is visible in unconditioned state (0 FT cycles). Type B additive increased tensile strength by 32% over the control sample. On the other hand, rejuvenator additive samples imposed a slight increase of only 8% (at 0 FT cycles). The increase in tensile strength is caused by the characteristic of each additive. The antistripping additives can improve the adhesive between asphalt binders and aggregates, while rejuvenator can restore the mechanical properties of an aged binder [12].

3.3. Results of Healing Performance

3.3.1. Without Moisture Damage (0 FT Cycles). Table 4 shows the initial force of the moisture-damage series. Without moisture damage (i.e., 0 FT cycles), the mixtures containing additive agents were slightly improved initial force of asphalt mixture. The type B mixture was 7% higher than the control mixture. In addition, the healing performance of samples without moisture damage is shown in Figure 8. In general, the healing levels of all mixes decreased at every damage-healing cycle. The microwave heating method indicated a higher healing level than induction heating method. This outcome agrees well with the results previously discussed from attained surface temperature after the healing process. The higher surface temperature helps sufficient crack healing [18].

Under the microwave heating treatment, the effect of antistripping additives was less than that of rejuvenators. This can be seen at the fourth DH cycle, with rejuvenator type 2 mixture having a healing level of 76% compared to...
55% for antistripping additive type B mixture. Type 2 mixture showed a promising healing performance with a level of 76% and 47% under 4 and 8 DH cycles, respectively. Additionally, the type 1 mixture had the lowest healing level of 43% and 29% corresponding to 4 and 8 DH cycles. It can be explained due to the high viscosity of rejuvenator additive 1, which causes slow and inefficient diffusion into the asphalt. In the early stages of DH cycles, the difference in the healing level of the control sample and additive agent sample was insignificant. Controls also have healing capabilities effective until four DH cycles. Furthermore, from the fifth DH cycles, the effect of an additive agent, specifically rejuvenators, can have a significant impact on continuing the healing level above 50%. Adding an appropriate rejuvenator (type 2 with lower viscosity) helps asphalt binder reduce its viscosity, which can explain a higher level of healing [28].

The healing result under induction heating is shown in Figure 8. In early cycles of DH, the mixtures without additive agents (control) and type 2 had a better healing level compared to other mixtures, obtaining 79% and 83%, respectively. However, after 4 cycles, the healing performance of the control sample dropped significantly by 39%. The results might be due to the repetition of damage-healing cycles, which lead to aging and oxidation of asphalt binder. As a result, it caused high viscosity that prevented the capillary flow of asphalt binders to repair cracks.

3.3.2. With Moisture Damage (1, 3, and 5 FT Cycles). The effect of additive agents was proved after one FT cycle (Table 4). The initial force of additive mixtures was approximately 10% higher than the control mixture. The healing performance of asphalt mixtures suffering from
Healing level with moisture damage (1 FT cycle)

Figure 9: Healing level of unaged mixtures with moisture damage (1 FT cycle).

Healing level with moisture damage (3 FT cycles)

Figure 10: Healing level of unaged mixtures with moisture damage (3 FT cycles).
moisture damage is shown in Figures 9–11. Overall, the healing level decreased with increasing damage-healing cycle. Similarly, with the increasing FT cycles, the healing level decreased. This occurrence was observed for both microwave and induction heating method. Moreover, microwave heating showed a slight advantage in the healing level over induction heating for all FT cycles. Observing the figures, all mixtures with additive agents showed improvement in the healing level over control samples. However, during the late DH cycles, the gap on the healing level becomes less significant. This might be attributed to the limitation of the healing characteristics of a mixture with an additive agent during excessive DH cycles.

Figure 9 shows the corresponding healing level on every type of additive agent that underwent one FT cycle. It can be observed that type A antistripping additive obtained the highest healing level at 83% during one DH cycle. It is important to note that mixtures with type 2 rejuvenator additive had a better healing performance overall. At 8 DH cycles, type 2 additive mixture showed an 8% higher healing level compared to type A mixture. By further observing Figures 10 and 11, type 2 additive samples show consistency in its healing level, obtaining 69% at 5 FT cycles on 1 DH cycle. Furthermore, it seems that antistripping additive can heal significantly only at the early cycles of FT. With Figure 11, the significant difference in using a rejuvenator additive over antistripping additive can be seen. This further proves the advantageous effect of using rejuvenator for optimum self-healing characteristics.

Similar trend results with microwave heating can be observed, with type 2 additive showing best healing performance and consistency on all mixtures. With moisture damage at 5 FT cycles, type 2 rejuvenator additive samples showed 20% and 32% higher healing level compared to type B antistripping additive samples and control samples, respectively. It is obvious that the healing performance of the mix with rejuvenators was better than that of the mixture with antistripping additives. The antistripping additives can protect the asphalt mixture from water intrusion and increase the adhesion of the aggregate to the asphalt binder. However, in terms of healing performance, rejuvenators with low viscosity can increase the flowability of an asphalt binder; hence, the binder can easily flow to repair microcracks. This observation also explains the high healing level of rejuvenator type 2 additive, which had the lowest viscosity value. The results highlight the important...
role of the viscosity of an additive agent in providing a self-healing ability.

3.3.3. With Long-Term Aging. The containing of additive agents could enhance the initial force of aged asphalt mixtures (Table 5). Mixtures with additive agents gain a 10% higher compared to the control mixture. The healing level results of aged asphalt mixture without an additive agent are shown in Figure 12. Observing the general trend portrayed by the figure, aging shows a great influence on the healing performance of asphalt mixture. The longer aging exposure caused a smaller healing level. From both healing treatments, 5 hours aged mixture showed the highest healing result among other levels of aging. At the first DH cycle of PAV-5hrs samples, the healing performance of induction and microwave was 78% and 83%, respectively. During subsequent DH cycles until the fourth cycle, the healing level remained over 65%. Meanwhile, the healing level of 15 hours and 20 hours significantly decreased by 50% in the first cycle of damage healing. It can be explained that longer aging exposure makes asphalt binder stiffer. The research result from Lin confirmed that penetration and ductility decrease with the increase of aging time. Particularly, penetration decreases significantly after PAV for 20 hours.

Figure 13 illustrates the healing performance of aged mixture modified with 2 additive agents (i.e., type 2 and type A) that undergone two different healing treatments. Overall, the healing level of aged mixtures is improved by using additive agents. Particularly, using rejuvenator additive type 2 showed good performance. At the initial stage of damage healing, all samples with rejuvenator additive kept their healing level over 70%. The healing level of type 2 and type A showed a slight improvement compared to the control mixture; however, the improvement was significant only during late DH cycles on longer aging exposure. Different from rejuvenator additive, antistripping additive causes an increment of cohesion free energy [29], which is evident at the first stage of damage-healing.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s HSD post hoc was used to evaluate the statistical significance of the change in healing performance with moisture-damaged and aging time (shown in Table 6). The result from ANOVA indicates that the healing performances of asphalt mixtures are significantly affected by moisture damage and long-term aging. However, there is no significant difference at $\alpha = 0.05$ level between 3 FT cycles and 15 hours and also 5 FT cycles and 20 hours. In other words, a statistical correlation may be found between moisture damage and long-term aging within the scope and procedure of this experiment.
4. Conclusions

In this study, the indirect tensile strength test and the three-point bending test were conducted to investigate the effect of additive agents on the healing performance of hot mix asphalt under moisture and long-term aging damage. A series of test results showed that adding additive agents can improve the healing performance of asphalt mixture after being subjected to damage. The main research conclusions are presented as follows:

(i) Microwave heating method shows a better healing option than that of induction heating. The entire asphalt mixture heats up with electromagnetic radiation, while for magnetic induction, thermal energy disseminates only through conductive materials, that is, steel wool fibers.

(ii) Mixing asphalt binder with antistripping additive can obtain significant moisture resistance.

However, if healing performance is the primary goal of the mixture, a low viscosity rejuvenator additive is best.

(iii) Three to four damage-healing cycles on asphalt mixture, with or without moisture damage, are able to achieve prime healing performance.

(iv) The application of rejuvenators leads to the softening of the asphalt binder. This concept may enhance the healing performance of asphalt to some extent. Asphalt binders with rejuvenator may be softened faster under heating treatment, and the use of rejuvenator is expected to accelerate the crack healing process.

Following the statistic results of healing performance, there may have been a correlation between freeze-thaw cycles and long-term aging time in terms of healing performance, such as 3 freeze-thaw cycles with 15 hours of aging time and 5 freeze-thaw cycles with 20 hours of aging time. The mechanism correlation needs to be clarified in further studies.
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