Abstract. We investigate the Zipoy-Voorhees metric \((q - \text{metric})\) as the simplest static, axially symmetric solution of Einstein’s vacuum field equations that possesses as independent parameters the mass and the quadrupole moment. In accordance with the black holes uniqueness theorems, the presence of the quadrupole completely changes the geometric properties of the corresponding spacetime that turns out to contain naked singularities for all possible values of the quadrupole parameter. The naked singularities, however, can be covered by interior solutions that correspond to perfect fluid sources with no specific equations of state. We conclude that the \(q - \text{metric}\) can be used to describe the entire spacetime generated by static deformed compact objects.
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Introduction

The Zipoy–Voorhees metric \([1, 2]\) was discovered more than forty years ago as a particular exact solution of Einstein’s vacuum field equations that belongs to the Weyl class \([3]\) of vacuum solutions. In this work, we will refer to the Zipoy-Voorhees solution as to the \(q - \text{metric}\) for a reason that will be explained below. Since its discovery, many works have been devoted to the investigation of its geometric and physical properties. In particular, it has been established that it describes an asymptotically flat spacetime, it possesses two commuting, hypersurface orthogonal Killing vector fields that imply that the spacetime is static and axially symmetric, it contains the Schwarzschild metric as a special case that turns out to be the only one with a true curvature singularity surrounded by an event horizon \([4, 5, 6, 7, 8]\).

In a recent work \([9]\), it was proposed to interpret the \(q - \text{metric}\) as describing the gravitational field of a distribution of mass whose non-spherically symmetric shape is represented by an independent quadrupole parameter. Moreover, the curvature singularities turn out to be localized inside a region situated very close to the origin of coordinates. Consequently, this metric can be used to describe the exterior gravitational field of deformed distributions of mass in which the quadrupole moment is the main parameter that describes the deformation. The question arises whether it is possible to find an interior metric that can be matched to the exterior one in such a way that the entire spacetime is described. To this end, it is usually assumed that the interior mass distribution can be described by means of a perfect fluid with two physical parameters, namely, energy density and pressure. The energy-momentum tensor of the perfect fluid is then used in the Einstein equations as the source of the gravitational field. It turns out that the system of the corresponding differential equations cannot be solved, because the number of equations is less
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than the number of unknown functions. This problem is usually solved by imposing equations of state that relate the pressure and density of the fluid. In this work, however, we will explore a different approach that was first proposed by Synge [10]. To apply this method, one first uses general physical considerations to postulate the form of the interior metric and then one evaluates the energy-momentum tensor of the source by using Einstein’s equations. In this manner, any interior metric can be considered as an exact solution of the Einstein equations for some energy-momentum tensor. However, the main point of the procedure is to impose physical conditions on the resulting matter source so that it corresponds to a physical reasonable configuration. In general, one can impose the energy conditions, the matching conditions with the exterior metric, and conditions on the behavior of the metric functions near the center of the source and on the boundary with the exterior field.

This work is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we review the main properties of the Zipoy-Voorhees transformation in different coordinate systems. In Sec. 3, we consider the $q$–metric as describing the exterior gravitational field of a deformed source with mass and quadrupole moment. In Sec. 4, we propose a particular interior solution and derive the corresponding energy-momentum tensor by using the Einstein equations. Finally, Sec. 5 contains discussions of our results and suggestions for further research.

The Zipoy–Voorhees transformation

Zipoy [1] and Voorhees [2] investigated static, axisymmetric vacuum solutions of Einstein’s equations and found a simple transformation which allows to generate new solutions from a known solution. To illustrate the idea of the transformation, we use the general line element for static, axisymmetric vacuum gravitational fields in prolate spheroidal coordinates $(t, x, y, \varphi)$:

$$ds^2 = e^{2\varphi}dt^2 - \sigma^2 e^{-2\varphi} e^{2\varphi} x^2 - y^2 \left( \frac{dx^2}{x^2-1} + \frac{dy^2}{1-y^2} \right) + (x^2 - 1)(1 - y^2)d\varphi^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the metric functions $\varphi$ and $\gamma$ depend on the spatial coordinates $x$ and $y$, only, and $\sigma$ represents a non-zero real constant. The corresponding vacuum field equations can be written as

$$[(x^2 - 1)\varphi_x]_x + [(1 - y^2)\varphi_y]_y = 0, \quad \varphi_x = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\gamma_x = \frac{1 - y^2}{x^2 - y^2} \left[ x(x^2 - 1)\varphi_x^2 - x(1 - y^2)\varphi_y^2 - 2y(x^2 - 1)\varphi_x\varphi_y \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\gamma_y = \frac{1 - y^2}{x^2 - y^2} \left[ y(x^2 - 1)\varphi_x^2 - y(1 - y^2)\varphi_y^2 + 2x(1 - y^2)\varphi_x\varphi_y \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

It can be seen that the function $\gamma$ can be calculated by quadratures once $\varphi$ is known. If we demand that $\varphi$ be asymptotically flat, i.e.,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \varphi(x, y) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

it can be shown [11] that using quadratures the asymptotically flat function $\gamma$ can be calculated as

$$\gamma = (x^2 - 1) \int_1^y (x^2 - y^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left[ y(x^2 - 1)\varphi_x^2 - y(1 - y^2)\varphi_y^2 + 2x(1 - y^2)\varphi_x\varphi_y \right]dy.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Suppose that a solution $\varphi_0$ and $\gamma_0$ of this system is known. It is then easy to see that $\varphi = \delta \varphi_0$ and $\gamma = \delta^2 \gamma_0$ is also a solution for any constant $\delta$. This is the Zipoy-Voorhees transformation that can be used to generate new solutions. The simplest example is
which is generated from Schwarzschild solution \((\delta = 1)\). This metric is known in the literature as the \(\delta\)-metric to emphasize the fact that it is obtained by applying a Zipoy-Voorhees transformation with constant \(\delta\).

A different representation can be obtained by using cylindrical coordinates that are defined as

\[
\rho = \sigma \sqrt{1 - y^2 (x^2 - 1)} , \quad z = \alpha y .
\]

and in which the line element becomes

\[
ds^2 = e^{2\psi} dt^2 - e^{-2\psi} \left[ e^{2\gamma} (d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2 \right].
\]

Then, the vacuum field equations can be expressed as

\[
\psi_{,\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \psi_{,\rho} + \psi_{,z} = 0 ,
\]

\[
\gamma_{,\rho} = \rho (\psi_{,\rho} - \psi_{,z}) , \quad \gamma_{,z} = 2 \rho \psi_{,\rho} \psi_{,z} .
\]

In this representation, the Zipoy-Voorhees metric can be expressed in the Weyl form \([3]\)

\[
\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} P_n (\cos \theta) , \quad \cos \theta = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} ,
\]

\[
\gamma = - \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n a_m (n+1)(m+1)}{(n+m+2)(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{n+m+2}{2}}} \left( P_n P_m - P_{n+m} P_{m+n} \right) ,
\]

where \(a_n\) \((n = 0,1,\ldots)\) are arbitrary constants, and \(P_n (\cos \theta)\) represents the Legendre polynomials of degree \(n\). The Zipoy-Voorhees metric can be obtained by choosing the constants \(a_n\) in such a way that the infinite sum (12) converges to (7) in cylindric coordinates. A simpler representation, however, is obtained in spherical coordinates which are defined by means of the relationships

\[
\rho^2 = (r^2 - 2\sigma \sin^2 \theta) , \quad z = (r - \sigma) \cos \theta ,
\]

so that the metric becomes

\[
ds^2 = \Delta^\delta dt^2 - \Delta^{1-\delta} \left[ \Sigma^{1-\delta} \Delta^{\delta-1} \left( \frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + r^2 d\theta^2 \right) + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \right],
\]

\[
\Delta = 1 - \frac{2\sigma}{r} , \quad \Sigma = 1 - \frac{2\sigma}{r} + \frac{\sigma^2}{r^2} \sin^2 \theta .
\]

An analysis of the Newtonian limit of this metric shows that it corresponds to a thin rod source of constant density \(\delta\), uniformly distributed along the \(z\)-axis from \(z_1 = -\sigma\) to \(z_2 = \sigma\). In the literature, usually a different constant \(\gamma\) is used instead of \(\delta\), and, therefore, the Zipoy-Voorhees metric in the representation (15) is known as the Gamma-metric.

**The \(q\) - metric**

If we start from the Schwarzschild solution and apply a Zipoy-Voorhees transformation with \(\delta = 1 + q\), we obtain the metric
\[ ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1+q} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-q} \left(1 + \frac{m^2 \sin^2 \theta}{r^2 - 2mr}\right)^{-q(2+q)} \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2\right) \].

In [9], it was shown that this is the simplest generalization of the Schwarzschild solution that contains the additional parameter \( q \), which describes the deformation of the mass distribution.

In fact, this can be shown explicitly by calculating the invariant Geroch multipoles \([12]\). The lowest mass multipole moments \( M_n \), \( n = 0,1, \ldots \) are given by

\[ M_0 = (1 + q)m, \quad M_2 = -\frac{m^3}{3} q(1 + q)(2 + q), \]

whereas higher moments are proportional to \( mq \) and can be completely rewritten in terms of \( M_0 \) and \( M_2 \). Accordingly, the arbitrary parameters \( m \) and \( q \) determine the mass and quadrupole which are the only independent multipole moments of the solution. In the limiting case \( q = 0 \) only the monopole \( M_0 = m \) survives, as in the Schwarzschild spacetime. In the limit \( m = 0 \), with \( q \neq 0 \), all moments vanish identically, implying that no mass distribution is present and the spacetime must be flat. The same is true in the limiting case \( q \to -1 \) which corresponds to the Minkowski metric. Notice that all odd multipole moments are zero because the solution possesses an additional reflection symmetry with respect to the equatorial plane \( \theta = \pi/2 \).

The Kretschmann scalar

\[ K = R_{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} R^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} = \frac{16m^2(1+q)^3(r^2-2mr+m^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{2q+q^2}-1}{r^{4(2q+q^2)}} \frac{L(r, \theta)}{(1-2m/r)^{2q+q^2+1}} \]

\[ L(r, \theta) = 3(r-2m-qm)^2(r^2-2mr+m^2 \sin^2 \theta) + m^2 q(2+q) \sin^2 \theta[m^2 q(2+q) + 3(r-m)(r-2m-qm)], \]

can be used to explore the singularities of the spacetime. We can see that only the cases \( q = -1 \) and \( m = 0 \) are free of singularities. In fact, as noticed above, these cases correspond to a flat spacetime. A singularity exists at \( r \to 0 \) for any value of \( q \) and \( m \). In fact, for negative values of \( m \) this is the only singular point of the spacetime which thus describes a naked singularity situated at the origin. In the range \( 2q(2+q) < 1 \) with \( m > 0 \), there is singularity at those values of \( r \) that satisfy the condition \( r^2 - 2mr + m^2 \sin^2 \theta = 0 \), i.e., these singularities are all situated inside a sphere of radius \( 2m \). Finally, an additional singularity appears at the radius \( r = 2m \) which, according to the metric (17), is also a horizon in the sense that the norm of the timelike Killing tensor vanishes at that radius. Outside the hypersurface \( r = 2m \) no additional horizon exists, indicating that the singularities situated at \( r = 2m \) and inside this sphere are naked. This result is in accordance with the black holes uniqueness theorems which establishes that the only compact object possessing an event horizon that covers the inner singularity is described by the Schwarzschild solution.

The deformation is described by the quadrupole moment \( M_2 \), which is positive for a prolate source and negative for an oblate source. This implies that the parameter \( q \) can be either positive or negative. Since the total mass \( M_0 \) of the source must be positive, we must assume that \( q > -1 \) for positive values of \( m \), and \( q < -1 \) for negative values of \( m \).

We conclude that the above metric can be used to describe the exterior gravitational field of a static positive mass \( M_0 \) with a positive or negative quadrupole moment \( M_2 \). The behavior of the mass moments depends on the explicit value of \( q \). We will refer to the metric (17) as to the \( q \)-metric to emphasize its physical significance as the simplest solution with an independent quadrupole moment.
situated at \( r_s = 2m \) can be evaluated by using the expression for the invariant mass, i.e., \( r_s = 2M_0/(1+q) \). In astrophysical compact objects, one expects that the quadrupole moment is small so that \( q \ll 1 \). Then the radius \( r_s \) of the singular sphere is of the order of magnitude of the Schwarzschild radius \( 2M_0 \) of a compact object of mass \( M_0 \), which is usually located well inside the matter distribution. It follows that it should be possible to “eliminate” the naked singular sphere by finding the interior metric of an appropriate matter distribution that would fill completely the singular regions.

### The interior metric

It is very difficult to find physically reasonable solutions in general relativity, because the underlying differential equations are highly nonlinear with very strong couplings between the metric functions. In [13], a numerical solution was derived for a particular choice of the interior static and axially symmetric line element

\[
\frac{ds^2}{f} = dt^2 - \frac{e^{2k_0}}{f}\left(\frac{dr^2}{h} + d\theta^2\right) - \frac{\mu^2}{f} d\varphi^2,
\]

where

\[
e^{2k_0} = (r^2 - 2mr + m^2 \cos^2 \theta) e^{2k(r, \theta)},
\]

and \( f = f(r, \theta), \ h = h(r), \) and \( \mu = \mu(r, \theta) \). To solve Einstein’s equations with a perfect fluid source, the pressure and the energy must be functions of the coordinates \( r \) and \( \theta \). However, if we assume that \( \rho = \text{const} \), the complexity of the corresponding differential equations reduces drastically:

\[
p_r = -\frac{1}{2} (p + \rho) \frac{f_r}{f}, \quad p_\theta = -\frac{1}{2} (p + \rho) \frac{f_\theta}{f},
\]

\[
\mu_{rr} = -\frac{1}{2h} \left(2\mu_{00} + h_{rr} + 32\pi \rho \frac{\mu e^{2k_0}}{f}\right),
\]

\[
f_{rr} = f_r^2 - \frac{f_r}{f} \left(\frac{h_{rr} + \mu_r}{\mu} f_r + f_\theta^2 \frac{h_{\theta\theta}}{h} - \frac{f_\theta}{h} f_\theta - f_{00} \frac{h_{00}}{h} + 8\pi (3p + \rho) e^{2k_0}\right).
\]

In addition, the function \( k \) is determined by a set of two partial differential equations which can be integrated by quadratures once \( f \) and \( \mu \) are known. The integrability condition of these partial differential equations turns out to be satisfied identically by virtue of the remaining field equations. It is then possible to perform a numerical integration by imposing appropriate initial conditions. In particular, if we demand that the metric functions and the pressure are finite at the axis, it is possible to find a class of numerical solutions which can be matched with the exterior \( q \)–metric with a pressure that vanishes at the matching surface.

A different approach consists in postulating the interior line element and evaluating the energy-momentum tensor from the Einstein equations. This method was first proposed by Synge and has been applied very intensively to find approximate interior solutions [14, 15]. To find the interior metric we proceed as follows. Consider the case of a slightly deformed mass.

This means that the parameter \( q \) can be considered as infinitesimal and this fact can be used to construct the interior metric functions. In fact, to the zeroth-order an interior line element can be obtained just by assuming that instead of the constant \( m \), the function \( \mu(r) \) appears in the metric. In the case of the \( q \)–metric, the functions entering the metric can be separated as

\[
1 - \frac{2m}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^\gamma_q c_q^2,
\]
where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are constants. Then, to the first order in \( q \), we can approximate this combination of functions as

\[
\left[ 1 - \frac{2\mu}{r} \right] \left[ 1 + c_1 q \alpha(r) \right].
\]

(27)

Following this procedure, an appropriate interior line element for the \( q \)-metric (17) can be expressed as

\[
ds^2 = \left( 1 - \frac{2\mu}{r} \right) \left( 1 + q \alpha \right) dt^2 - (1 + q \alpha + q\beta) \left( \frac{dr^2}{1 - 2\mu/r} + r^2 d\theta^2 \right) - r^2 \sin^2 \theta (1 - q\alpha) d\phi^2,
\]

(28)

where \( \mu = \mu(r) \), \( \alpha = \alpha(r) \) and \( \beta = \beta(r, \theta) \).

Let us now consider the boundary conditions at the matching surface by comparing the above interior metric (28) with the \( q \)-metric to first order in \( q \), i.e.,

\[
ds^2 = \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \left[ 1 + q \ln \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \right] dt^2 - r^2 \left[ 1 - q \ln \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \right] d\phi^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left( 1 - q\alpha \right) d\theta^2.
\]

(29)

A comparison of the metrics (28) and (29) shows that they coincide at the matching radius \( r = r_m \), if the conditions

\[
\mu(r_m) = m, \quad \alpha(r_m) = \ln \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r_m} \right), \quad \beta(r_m, \theta) = -2 \ln \left( 1 - \frac{2m}{r_m} + \frac{m^2}{r_m^2} \sin^2 \theta \right),
\]

(30)

are satisfied. Notice that we reach the desired matching by fixing only the radial coordinate as \( r = r_m \), but it does not mean that the matching surface is a sphere. Indeed, the shape of matching surface is determined by the conditions \( t = \text{const} \) and \( r = r_m \), which, according to Eq.(29), determine a surface with explicit \( \theta \)-dependence.

Finally, we calculate the Einstein tensor \( G_{ij} \) and find that the only non-diagonal component \( G_{0} \) implies the equation

\[
\beta_0 = \frac{r \cos \theta (r - 2\mu)(2\alpha + \beta)}{\sin \theta \left( r\mu_r - r + \mu \right)}
\]

(31)

which partially determines the function \( \beta(r, \theta) \).

Furthermore, the energy conditions \( T_t^t \geq 0 \) and \( T_r^r - T_t^t \geq 0 \) lead

\[
q \left[ \beta_{\theta\theta} + r \beta_{\theta r} (r - 2\mu) - \beta_r (r \mu_r + \mu - r) + 4 \mu_r (\alpha + \beta) - 2 r \alpha_r \right] \geq 4 \mu_r, \quad (32)
\]

\[
q \left[ \beta_{\theta\theta} + r \beta_{\theta r} (r - 2\mu) + 4 \beta \mu_r + 4 \mu \alpha_r + \cot \theta \beta_0 \right] \geq 0, \quad (33)
\]

to respectively. A preliminary numerical analysis of these equations shows that it is possible to find solutions that satisfy the boundary conditions and the energy conditions simultaneously. In fact, the pressure and the energy density obtained in this way show a profile that is in accordance with the physical expectations. We conclude that by applying Synge’s method it is possible to find physically reasonable interior solutions for the exterior \( q \)-metric. However, it will be necessary to further analyze the numerical solutions to find the ranges of boundary values of the main physical parameters that one can use to obtain physical configurations.
Conclusion

In this work we discussed the Zipoy-Voorhees metric in different coordinate representations. We propose a different interpretation in terms of the quadrupole parameter $q$ and, therefore, we designate it the $q$–metric. We found all the singularities of the underlying spacetime. It was shown that only the Minkowski spacetime is free of curvature singularities, and that only the Schwarzschild spacetime possesses an event horizon that separates the inner singularity from the exterior spacetime. For all the remaining cases with non-vanishing quadrupole moment, it was established that naked singularities are present inside a sphere with a radius which is of the same order or magnitude of the Schwarzschild radius for astrophysical compact objects.

We investigated the possibility of finding interior metrics that could be matched with the exterior $q$–metric. In particular, we postulated a specific line element for the interior metric and used Synge’s method to derive the matter distribution. The matching conditions and the energy conditions were calculated explicitly in the case of a deformed source with a small quadrupole parameter. It was shown that the resulting system of differential equations is compatible and that particular solutions can be calculated by using numerical methods.

The resulting system of differential equations for the functions of the interior metric indicates that one can try to find analytical solutions, at least in the case of a slightly deformed mass distribution. To do this, it will be necessary to investigate in detail the mathematical properties of the differential equations. This is a task for future investigations.

Moreover, we expect to apply the same method in the case of rotating sources. The rotating $q$–metric was derived in [11], but no attempts have been made to investigate its physical properties and the possibility of matching it with a suitable interior metric. This problems will be the subject of future research.
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