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Rice stripe virus p2 Colocalizes and Interacts with Arabidopsis Cajal Bodies and Its Domains in Plant Cells
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p2 of rice stripe virus may translocate from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and recruit nucleolar functions to promote virus systemic movement. Cajal bodies (CBs) are nuclear components associated with the nucleolus, which play a major role in plant virus infection. Coilin, a marker protein of CBs, is essential for CB formation and function. Coilin contains three domains, the N-terminal, the center, and the C-terminal fragments. Using yeast two-hybrid, colocalization, and bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) approaches, we show that p2 interacts with the full-length of Arabidopsis thaliana coilin (Atcoilin), the center and C-terminal domain of Atcoilin in the nucleus. Moreover, the N-terminal is indispensable for Atcoilin to interact with Cajal bodies.

1. Introduction

Plant viruses invade plants by infecting host cell to complete replication. The replicated viruses then infect more cells to cause virus diseases. The nucleus is a significant cell organelle for virus infection. An increasing number of plant viruses are reported to interact with the nucleus and its compartments. Cajal bodies (CBs) are distinct nuclear bodies physically and functionally associated with the nucleolus [1]. Virus may rearrange CBs to obtain energy for virus replication or virus pathogenicity [2, 3]. Coilin is a critical protein of CBs; many viruses were reported to bind with coilin to finish viral infection. Coilin serves to increase virus pathogenicity; Groundnut rosette virus (GRV) ORF3 protein hijacks CBs to enter the nucleolus in virus systemic infection [4, 5]; herpes simplex virus 1 and adenoviruses relocalize coilin and other CB components around viral replication centers [2]. TGβP1 encoded by Poa semilatent virus (PSLV) relocalized with coilin in the cytoplasm resulting in the inclusions of various sizes [6]. However, coilin also contributes to plant defense against virus, such as tobacco rattle virus and tomato black ring virus [1]. Coilin usually comprises three protein domains: the N- and C-termini are highly conserved, while the middle portion is not conserved.

Rice stripe virus (RSV) is an important rice virus in Asia, causing great rice yield losses [7, 8]. RSV is the type species of genus Tenuivirus, but has not been assigned to any family [9]. It is transmitted by the small brown plant hopper (Laodelphax striatellus Fallén) in a persistent and circulative-propagative manner [10]. The genome of RSV contains four single-stranded RNAs (ssRNAs), which were named RNA1-RNA4 in the decreasing order of size [11]. RNA1 is a negative sense and encodes RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) [12]. RNA2-RNA4 employ an ambisense coding strategy, each encode two proteins, one in the virus-sense strand (vRNA) named p2-p4, respectively, and the other in the virus complementary-sense strand (vcRNA) named pc2-pc4 [10]. p4 is a nonstructural disease-specific protein, which often reaches a high level in RSV-infected plants [13]; pc4 is a movement protein playing roles in virus pathogenicity [14, 15]. p3 is a silencing suppressor [16], and pc3 is a nucleocapsid protein [17]. pc2 shares many characteristics to the glycoproteins [18]. p2 is another silencing suppressor and interacts with fibrillarin to promote virus systemic movement.
movement [19, 20]. Fibrillarin is a major nucleolus protein, localized in nucleolus and CBs; CBs are often physically and functionally associated with the nucleolus to play a significant role in modulating some virus infections [1, 2], for example, GRV finished its systemic infection via a mechanism involving the reorganization of CBs and their fusion with the nucleolus [4]. p2 is a nuclear-cytoplasmic trafficking protein, it may recruit Cajal bodies to involve in RSV infection.

In this study, the interactions between p2 and the full length of coilin from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Atcoilin) and between p2 and the three functional domains of Atcoilin are identified through yeast two-hybrid (Y2H), colocalization, and BiFC methods. The results indicated that p2 interacts with the full-length Atcoilin, the center and C-terminal domains of Atcoilin in the nucleus, but not interacts with the N-terminal domain.

### 2. Results

#### 2.1. p2 Interacts with Atcoilin in Y2H Assay

Atcoilin contains three functional domains, an N-terminal ordered domain (NOD, Atcoilin-1), a central disordered domain (IDD, Atcoilin-2), and a C-terminal domain (CTD, Atcoilin-3) (Figure 1). To perform Y2H assay, the full-length ORF of p2 was cloned into the vector pGADT7 (pGAD), creating pGAD-p2; the full-length ORF of Atcoilin and the three domains of Atcoilin (Atcoilin-1, Atcoilin-2, and Atcoilin-3) were inserted into the vector pGBK7 (pGBK), creating pGBD-Atcoilin, pGBD-Atcoilin1, pGBD-Atcoilin2, and pGBD-Atcoilin3. The same amount of yeast cells was resuspended in 20 μL of sterile water and diluted in sterile water at ratios of 1:1, 1:10, and 1:100. As shown in Figure 2, yeast cells cotransformed with pGAD-p2/pGBK-Atcoilin, pGAD-p2/pGBK-Atcoilin2, and pGAD-p2/pGBK-Atcoilin3 grew...
on SD medium lacking adenine (Ade), histidine (His), leucine (Leu), and tryptophan (Trp) (SD/Trp-Leu-His-Ade-), while cotransforms of pGAD-p2/pGBK-Atcoilin1, pGBK-p2/pGAD, pGBK/pGAD-Atcoilin, pGBK/pGAD-Atcoilin1, pGBK/pGAD-Atcoilin2, and pGBK/pGAD-Atcoilin3 failed to grow on SD/Trp-Leu-His-Ade-. Cotransforms of pGAD-T/pGBK-53 and pGAD-T/pGBK-Lam were individually used as positive controls and negative controls. These results indicated that p2 of RSV interacts with full-length of Atcoilin, in which the central disordered domain and the C-terminal domain are involved in their interaction.

2.2. p2 Colocalizes with Atcoilin. In colocalization assay, the full-length ORF of p2 was coexpressed with Atcoilin-YFP; (b) p2-CFP was not coexpressed with Atcoilin-1-YFP; (c) p2-CFP was coexpressed with Atcoilin-2-YFP; (d) p2-CFP was coexpressed with Atcoilin-3-YFP. The nucleus was stained with 4, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Possible nucleolus or Cajal bodies described in the text are designated with red and blue arrows, respectively. Scale bars, 10 μm.

Figure 3: Subcellular colocalization of Rice stripe virus p2 and Arabidopsis thaliana coilin (Atcoilin) in epidermal cells of Nicotiana benthamiana at 48 h postinfiltration. (a) p2-CFP was coexpressed with Atcoilin-YFP; (b) p2-CFP was not coexpressed with Atcoilin-1-YFP; (c) p2-CFP was coexpressed with Atcoilin-2-YFP; (d) p2-CFP was coexpressed with Atcoilin-3-YFP. The nucleus was stained with 4, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Possible nucleolus or Cajal bodies described in the text are designated with red and blue arrows, respectively. Scale bars, 10 μm.

2.3. p2 Binds to Atcoilin in BiFC Assay. In BiFC assay, the full-length ORF of p2 was cloned into pEarley201-YC (YC) and that of Atcoilin, Atcoilin1, Atcoilin2, and Atcoilin3 into pEarley201-YN (YN). Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105 carrying each of these constructs were mixed and infiltrated into leaves of N. benthamiana. As shown in Figure 4, strong yellow fluorescent was detected in the nucleus of N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells when YC-p2 individually mixed with YN-Atcoilin, YN-Atcoilin2, or YN-Atcoilin3. However, none can be found in the N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells coinfiltated by YC-p2 and YN-Atcoilin1. This confirms the results in Y2H assays, p2 interacts with the full-length of Atcoilin, the central domain Atcoilin2, and the C-terminal domain Atcoilin3, except the N-terminal domain Atcoilin1. YN and YC were also mixed and infiltrated, no fluorescent was detected (data not shown).
3. Discussion

Coilin has low homology in amino acid sequences in different species, but coilin of different organisms demonstrate a high level of structural similarity, such that their functionalities may be localized into three main domains, the N-terminal, the center, and C-terminal regions; the N- and C-terminal are conserved [21, 22]. As shown in Figure 1, Atcoilin consists of three important functional domains, NOD in the N-terminal, IDD in the center, and the CTD in the C-terminal. Like many coilins, IDD of Atcoilin contains a K-rich region from 202 to 208 aa, indicating a putative nuclear localization signal. The C-terminal includes a Tudor-like structure. The results show that p2 of RSV binds with the full-length of Atcoilin in the nucleus, targeting to the nucleoli and Cajal bodies (Figure 3(a)). Although p2 also interacts with the IDD and CTD of Atcoilin in the nucleus, their combination failed to obviously localize in nucleolus and Cajal bodies (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)). Atcoilin localized outside the nucleus; p2 localized in the nucleus, and it unsuccessfully integrated with NOD of Atcoilin (Atcoilin-1). It was reported previously that the N-terminal region of coilin was critical for CB assembly, self-interaction, and targeting to CBs and CB formation [23]. CBs can translocate outside the nucleus by separating into two daughter bodies or join to form larger bodies and maybe involve in nuclear transport events [24]. As precisely suggested, the results in the colocalization assay indicated that Atcoilin-1 form into small or big granules (CBs) and move outside the nucleus. The center fragment (IDD) of Atcoilin can target nucleoli; it is consistent with the prediction that IDD domain has a nucleolar localization signal. In BiFC assay, p2 combines with the full-length of Atcoilin localizing almost in nucleoplasm. In fact, although coilin is known as the CB signature protein, the majority of

![Figure 4: Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay showing interaction between p2 and Atcoilin, Atcoilin-2, and Atcoilin-3. Fluorescence was observed at 48 h postinfiltration. The nucleus was stained with 4, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Scale bars, 10 μm.](image-url)
coliin is found in the nucleoplasm, not the CB [25]. p2 binds with IDD and CTD of Atcoilin in the nucleus, but failed to interact with NOD of Atcoilin.

Plant CBs have also been implicated in virus-host interactions since the mechanistic properties of CBs may be hijacked by some viruses for their own benefit. It has been shown that CB components, coilin, and fibrillarin can be used by viruses to mediate virus replication and spread [26]. Coilin (CBs) were found that it not only increased potato virus Y (PVY) and turnip vein clearing virus (TVCV) pathogenicity by facilitating replication of these viruses but also contributes to plant defense against tobacco rattle virus (TRV) and tomato black ring virus (TBRV) [1]. Some components of gene silencing machinery, such as AGO4 and Dicer-like 3, can colocalize with CBs [22, 25]. CBs may operate as processing centers in plants which generate RNA species involved in gene silencing [27]. p2 is an RNA silencing suppressor (RSS); many RSS were known as virulence factors involved in gene silencing [27].

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Growth Conditions. The N. benthamiana plants were grown and maintained in a greenhouse at 25°C.

4.2. Plasmid Construction. The full-length ORF of Atcoilin, cDNA encoding three domains of Atcoilin, and RSV-p2 were amplified, respectively, by PCR using primers in Table 1, designed from Arabidopsis thaliana coilin and RSV sequences (GenBank accession: AY128933 and EF493228) downloaded from GenBank. The full-length and three domain fragments of Atcoilin were firstly inserted into the entry vector pDONR221 and then destination vectors pEarleyGate101 (YFP) and pEarleyGate201-YN (YN) using Gateway recombination system [29]. pEarleyGate102-p2 (CFP-p2) and pEarleyGate201-YN (YC-p2) constructs were obtained by the same methods.

For yeast two-hybrid experiment, PCR products of RSV-p2, full-length of Atcoilin, and three domains of Atcoilin were digested with the suitable restriction enzymes individually, then ligated to the vector pGADT7 or pGBK7T7 digested with the same enzymes, creating pGADT7-p2, pGBK7T7-Atcoilin, pGBK7T7-Atcoilin1, pGBK7T7-Atcoilin2, and pGBK7T7-Atcoilin3.

These constructs were confirmed by sequencing conducted by Takara (Dalian, China).

| Primer and purpose | Sequence (5′→3′) | Modification |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Construction for yeast two-hybrid assay | | |
| p2-ADF | CgggtacctTGATGGCATACCTTTCC | BamHI |
| p2-ADR | CCGcrgagTCACATTAGAATAGGACACT | XhoI |
| Atcoilin-BDF | GgaattcATGGAGGAGAGAGGTAGGTG | EcoRI |
| Atcoilin-1-BDR | CgggtacctTCATTCTCTCAATCCTCCAT | BamHI |
| Atcoilin-2-BDF | CCcatatgATGGGCGCTCAATGCTCTCTGTTG | NdeI |
| Atcoilin-2-BDR | CgggtacctTCAAAGGCTCCATCTGTCC | BamHI |
| Atcoilin-3-BDF | CCCatagtATGCTTAGATGGAACCTCTTG | NdeI |
| Atcoilin-BDR | CgggtacctCAAAATCTCTTCTGAGATC | BamHI |
| Construction for entry vector pDONR221 | | |
| p2-GF | ggggacaagtgaagaaagagcggctcATGGCATTACTCC TTTC | Homologous recombination |
| p2-GR | ggggaccctttgtgactaaagaagctggtcATTTAGAATAGGACACT | Homologous recombination |
| Atcoilin-GF | ggggacaagttaagaaagaagcggcttcATGGGCGAAGAGGAGGTG | Homologous recombination |
| Atcoilin-1-GR | ggggaccctttgacaagaaagctggcCTTTTCTCAACCTCCAAT | Homologous recombination |
| Atcoilin-2-GF | ggggacaagttaagaaagaagcggcttcATGGGCGCTGAGATGCTCTC | Homologous recombination |
| Atcoilin-2-GR | ggggacactttgacaaagagcctgcGAGGCGCTACATGTCC | Homologous recombination |
| Atcoilin-3-GF | ggggacaagttaagaaagaagcggcttcATGGCATTACCTTTTC | Homologous recombination |
| Atcoilin-GR | ggggacaagttaagaaagaagcggcttcGCTTTCTCTGAGATC | Homologous recombination |

*The letters in lower case indicate homologous recombination sequence or a restriction enzyme site.
4.3. Yeast Two-Hybrid Assay. pGBK7-Atcoilin, pGBK7-Atcoilin1, pGBK7-Atcoilin2, and pGBK7-Atcoilin3 were introduced together with pGADT7-p2 or pGADT7. pGADT7-p2 was introduced together with pGBK7 into the yeast strain AH109 by cotransformation. The cotransformations were selected on different SD mediums lacking tryptophan (Trp) and Leucine (Leu) (SD/Trp-Leu-); lacking histidine (His), Trp, and Leu (SD/Trp-Leu-His-); and last on the SD medium lacking adenine (Ade), His, Trp, and Leu (SD/Trp-Leu-His-Ade-).

4.4. Agrobacterium-Mediated Transient Expression. A. tumefaciens strain EHA105 were grown separately to histidine (His), Trp, and Leu (SD/Trp-Leu-His-); and last on the SD medium lacking adenine (Ade), His, Trp, and Leu (SD/Trp-Leu-His-Ade-).

4.5. Confocal Imaging Analysis. Subcellular localizations of proteins were monitored at 48 h after infiltration under a confocal microscope (Microsystems CMS GmbH Leica TCS SP5). The fluorophores in CFP and YFP were excited at 458 and 514 nm, and images were taken using BA480-495 and BA535–565 nm emission filters, respectively.
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