AGN feedback in the FR II galaxy 3C 220.1
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ABSTRACT
We present results from a deep (174 ks) Chandra observation of the FR-II radio galaxy 3C 220.1, the central brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) of a $kT \sim 4$ keV cluster at $z = 0.61$. The temperature of the hot cluster medium drops from $\sim 5.9$ to $\sim 3.9$ keV at $\sim 35$ kpc radius, while the temperature at smaller radii may be substantially lower. The central active galactic nucleus (AGN) outshines the whole cluster in X-rays, with a bolometric luminosity of $2.0 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($\sim 10$ per cent of the Eddington rate). The system shows a pair of potential X-ray cavities $\sim 35$ kpc east and west of the nucleus. The cavity power is estimated within the range of $1.0 \times 10^{44}$ and $1.7 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, from different methods. The X-ray enhancements in the radio lobes could be due to inverse Compton emission, with a total $2$–$10$ keV luminosity of $\sim 8.0 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$. We compare 3C 220.1 with other cluster BCGs, including Cygnus A, as there are few BCGs in rich clusters hosting an FR-II galaxy. We also summarize the jet power of FR-II galaxies from different methods. The comparison suggests that the cavity power of FR-II galaxies likely underestimates the jet power. The properties of 3C 220.1 suggest that it is at the transition stage from quasar-mode feedback to radio-mode feedback.
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1 INTRODUCTION
It has been widely accepted that feedback from central active galactic nuclei (AGNs) plays an important role in galaxy formation and evolution (e.g. McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Fabian 2012). In the nearby Universe, a strong central AGN, hosted by the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) in the core of the galaxy cluster, can drive energetic radio jets, which propagate through the intracluster medium (ICM), push aside the hot X-ray gas, and create cavities visible in X-ray images (e.g. Churazov et al. 2001). At low redshift, the X-ray cavities are predominantly found in cool-core clusters, with a high detection rate of 90 per cent (e.g. Dunn & Fabian 2006; Fabian 2012), although cases in non-cool core clusters have also been reported (e.g. Worrall & Birkinshaw 2017). This is known as ‘radio-mode’ AGN feedback, which is mainly mechanically dominated and occurs when the central black holes (BHs) are accreting at a low rate compared to the Eddington limit. The radio AGN affects the ICM through AGN-driven shocks and bubbles. The high mechanical power of radio AGN cannot only quench cooling in cluster cool cores, but can also drive the ICM properties away from those defined by simple self-similar relations involving only gravity (e.g. Voit 2005). The features in the ICM, such as cavities and shocks discovered by high-resolution X-ray observations, can serve as calorimeters for the total energy output of the central AGN, allowing important constraints on the evolution of the central supermassive black hole (SMBH) (e.g. McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Fabian 2012).

Large cavities in the ICM and the associated AGN shocks trace the biggest explosions in the Universe. A correlation between the AGN radio luminosity and jet power, or the $L_{\text{radio}} - P_{\text{jet}}$ relation, has been established (Bürzan et al. 2008; Cavagnolo et al. 2010; O’Sullivan et al. 2011). Thus, a natural approach to study the biggest AGN explosions is to study the most powerful FR II galaxies. However, very few powerful FR II galaxies have been included in the studies of X-ray cavities. In the combined samples from the literature (e.g. Bürzan et al. 2008; Cavagnolo et al. 2010; O’Sullivan et al. 2011; Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2012), Cygnus A and 3C 295...
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Figure 1. VLA images and contours of 3C 220.1 at 1.5 GHz (top left), 4.9 GHz (top right), and 8.4 GHz (bottom left) with resolution of $1.8 \times 1.4$, $0.5 \times 0.3$, and $0.3 \times 0.2$ arcsec. The contours start at $2\sigma$ and are spaced by a factor of 2 in intensity. The 8.4 GHz radio image (bottom right) shows three ‘hotspot-like’ structures (two eastern hotspots, EH, and one western hotspot, WH) and a deflected jet (with a deflection angle $\sim 14^\circ$) on the eastern side (highlighted with dashed yellow curve). The projected distances of the farthest points of the radio lobes to the nucleus are $\sim 97$ and $\sim 120$ kpc for the east and west, respectively.

are the only two FR II galaxies. Besides these, the powerful FR II radio galaxies, 3C 444 (Croston et al. 2011; Vagshette et al. 2017), and 3C 320 (Vagshette, Naik & Patil 2019), have been studied in detail for X-ray cavities.

At high redshift ($z > 1$), AGN feedback is mainly radiatively dominated, in the so-called ‘quasar-mode’, which occurs when the central BHs are accreting at rates near the Eddington limit. Using a sample of $z > 0.3$ BCGs, Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. (2012, 2013) found that the X-ray AGN luminosities in BCGs increase with redshift, while the mechanical properties of the AGN outflows remain unchanged. The central AGN in such systems provide both strong radiative and mechanical feedback, suggesting that they are in the process of transitioning between quasar-mode feedback and radio-mode feedback (e.g. O’Sullivan et al. 2012; Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2015). The detection rate of cavities in X-rays at high redshift. The systems showing both radiative feedback and radio-mode feedback with detailed X-ray studies are very few. These include H1821+643 ($z = 0.299$) (Russell et al. 2010), IRAS 09104+4109 ($z = 0.442$) (O’Sullivan et al. 2012), and the Phoenix cluster ($z = 0.596$) (McDonald et al. 2015). It is crucial to build a census of AGN feedback in such high redshift systems to understand how and why the SMBHs switch from one mode to the other.

3C 220.1 is classified as an FR II narrow emission-line radio galaxy at redshift $z = 0.61$ (Spinrad et al. 1985), with two prominent radio lobes that extend over 100 kpc from the nucleus. In Fig. 1 we show the VLA images of 3C 220.1 at 1.5, 4.9 GHz from program AA267, and 8.4 GHz from program AP380 (e.g. Worrall et al. 2001; Mullin, Hardcastle & Riley 2006; Fernini 2014). The radio source has a core, one-sided deflected radio jet to the east and three ‘hotspot-like’ structures as shown in Fig. 1 bottom right. 3C 220.1 was observed with the ROSAT HRI, which allowed the emission to be decomposed into a compact core and an extended
The improved background filtering was also applied by setting CHECK_VF_PHA as ‘yes’ to remove bad events that are likely associated with cosmic rays. The background light curve extracted from a source-free region was filtered with the LC_CLEAN script\(^1\) to identify any period affected by background flares. There were no strong background flares for these observations and the resulting cleaned exposure time is given in Table 2.

In order to correct for small astrometric errors, we chose ObsID 18747 as the reference due to its longest exposure. For each observation, a broad-band (0.5–7.0 keV energy band) image on the S3 chip was made and point sources were detected. The astrometric translation required to align each data set with the reference data was obtained using the CIAO tool wcs_match, and was applied to each event list using the CIAO tool wcs_update.

Point sources were detected in the combined 0.5–7.0 keV count image using the CIAO tool WAVDETECT, with the variation of the point spread function across the field considered. The detection threshold was set to 10\(^{-6}\) and the scales are from 1 to 16 pixels, increasing in steps of a factor of \(\sqrt{2}\). All detected sources were visually inspected and masked in the analysis except the central AGN. A weighted exposure map was generated to account for quantum efficiency, vignetting, and the energy dependence of the effective area assuming an absorbed APEC model with \(kT = 4.0\) keV, \(N_H = 2.0 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (the weighted column density of the total Galactic hydrogen absorption, calculated by the ‘NHtot’ tool\(^2\)) and abundance of 0.3 Z\(_\odot\) at the redshift \(z = 0.61\). We used the CALDB blank sky background files in our data analysis. For each observation the standard blank sky file for each chip was reprojected to match the time-dependent aspect solution, and normalized to match the count rate in the 9.5–12.0 keV band to account for variations in the particle background. We estimated the local X-ray background for 3C 220.1 in each observation using a region where the surface brightness is approximately constant. The regions are > 3.0 arcmin from the cluster on the S3 chip. For spectral fitting, we used XSPEC version 12.10.0 and ATOMDB 3.0.9, assuming the solar abundance table by Asplund et al. (2009). The absorption model is TBABS.

### 3 Spatial and Spectral Analysis

#### 3.1 Spatial Analysis

We reprojected and summed the count images, background images, and exposure maps, respectively, from four observations using the CIAO tool reproject_image. Fig. 3 left-hand panel shows the combined background-subtracted, exposure-corrected image in the 0.5–3.0 keV band, smoothed with a 2D Gaussian 2-pixel kernel (0’’ 492 pixel\(^{-1}\)) and overlaid with the VLA 1.5 and 8.4 GHz radio contours. Fig. 3 right-hand panel shows the same X-ray image, but with the central bright AGN masked, smoothed with a Gaussian 3-pixel kernel. X-ray enhancements, associated with the radio lobes, are visible in the figure.

---

\(^1\)http://asc.harvard.edu/contrib/maxim/acisbg/

\(^2\)http://www.swift.ac.uk/analysis/nh_tot/index.php
Azimuthal surface brightness values were examined to determine the significance of potential cavities. As shown in Fig. 4 (left-hand panel), we extracted the surface brightness profiles in two annular regions centred on the nucleus with inner and outer radii of 3–8 and 8–20 arcsec to investigate the azimuthal variations. Fig. 4 right shows the azimuthal variations of the surface brightness with an angle step size of 20° in the inner and outer regions in the 0.5–2.0 keV band. The inner surface brightness profiles show depressions around 10°–30° and 150°–210° (measured counterclockwise from the west), because of the potential cavities, while the surface brightness profile in the outer region (corresponding to the radio lobes) shows enhanced emission. This may be due to inverse Compton X-ray emission (see Section 4.6), which is often found in FR II radio lobes, and which was reported for 3C 220.1 based on the earlier Chandra data by Croston et al. (2005).

3.2 Cluster surface brightness profile

In order to study the arcsecond-features of the central bright source, we created subpixel (0.0984 arcsec pixel⁻¹) images by using the Energy-Dependent Subpixel Event Repositioning (EDSER) algorithm (Li et al. 2004). The radial surface brightness profiles obtained from the subpixel images in the soft and hard X-ray bands for 3C 220.1 are shown in Fig. 5. The central point source is bright and dominates the central region. To derive the gas properties for the cluster, we simulated the PSF of the central point source using the Chandra Ray Tracer (ChaRT) program (Carter et al. 2003). We first fitted the central AGN spectrum with a power-law model (see Section 4.1) and used the best-fitting model as the input for the ChaRT program. The simulation was then projected on to the ACIS-S detector with the MARX (version 5.3.2) software¹ and used to estimate the PSF contamination from the total emission. The parameter AspectBlur of MARX is used to account for the known uncertainty in the determination of the aspect solution. The parameter can be adjusted to better match the PSF core and wing to observations. In our study we used the default AspectBlur value of 0.25 for ACIS-S observations. Fig. 5 shows the simulated PSF (blue) and the total observed surface brightness profile (black) in soft (0.5–2.0 keV) and hard (2.0–7.0 keV) bands. The PSF was normalized based on the total counts in the central 2 arcsec.

3.3 Spectral analysis

Spectra were extracted from the same circular annuli for the four observations separately using the CIAO tool specextract. For each spectrum, the weighted response files and matrices were made, and a corresponding background spectrum was extracted from the same region from the blank sky background data. The spectra were fitted in the energy band 0.5–5.0 keV using the C-statistic (Cash 1979), since it is largely unbiased compared to $\chi^2$ minimization (e.g. Humphrey, Liu & Buote 2009). The spectra from the same region from four observations were fitted simultaneously to an absorbed thermal APEC model, i.e. TBABS*APEC. The temperature, metallicity, and the normalization were allowed to vary freely. For regions where the abundances cannot be well constrained due to the few source counts, we fixed the abundance at the value obtained in nearby regions.

We focused our analysis on the ACIS-S3 chip and extracted a set of five circular annuli from the centre to a radius of ∼540 kpc. For the temperature deprojection, we adopted a model-independent approach (Sun et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2019) which can account for point sources and chip gaps. The deprojected temperature profile is shown in Fig. 6 (top left). We excluded the central ∼20 kpc radius (∼3 arcsec) due to the central bright point source. The deprojected temperature decreases towards the centre, from ∼5.9 keV at 215 kpc to ∼3.9 keV at 37 kpc, although the uncertainties are too large to make the drop significant. On the other hand, as shown in Section 4.1, the gas temperature within the central 20 kpc radius can be substantially lower. The electron density (Fig. 6 bottom left) was obtained directly from the deprojected normalization of the APEC component, assuming $n_e = 1.22 n_H$, where $n_e$ and $n_H$ are the electron and proton densities. Based on the deprojected gas temperature and density, we calculated the pressure (Fig. 6 top right) in each annulus as $P = nkT$ (where $n = 1.92 n_H$) and the entropy (Fig. 6 middle right) as $S = kT / n_e^{2/3}$. The cooling time (shown in Fig. 6 bottom right) is defined as $t_{cool} = 3P/[2n_e n_H \Lambda(T, Z)]$, where $\Lambda(T, Z)$ is the cooling function determined by the gas temperature and metallicity. Assuming that the cluster was born at a redshift of 2.0, we estimated a cluster age of ∼4.5 Gyr. This gives a cooling radius of 71 kpc, if we define it as the radius where the cooling time equals the cluster age. We derive a bolometric luminosity of $1.5 \times 10^{44}$ erg s⁻¹ within the cooling radius.

Assuming hydrostatic equilibrium and spherical symmetry, we calculate the gravitational acceleration, $g$, as

$$g = \frac{d\Phi}{dr} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dP}{dr}.$$ 

Table 1. Properties of the 3C 220.1 cluster.

| Name       | $z$ | $kT^b_{500}$ (keV) | $Z^a$ | $L^b_{X,500}$ (10⁴⁴ erg s⁻¹) | $M^b_{500}$ (10¹⁴ $M_\odot$) | $P^b_{1.4 GHz}$ ($10^{27}$ W Hz⁻¹) | Radio spectral index⁶ |
|------------|----|-------------------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 3C 220.1   | 0.61 | 3.7⁺⁰.⁹⁻¹.⁶      | 0.5⁺⁰.⁶⁻⁰.⁴ | 2.5 ± 0.4             | 1.3⁺⁰.³⁻⁰.₃             | 3.4 ± 0.1               | −0.97 ± 0.02       |

Notes. a: Temperature and abundance are measured within 0.15−0.75$R_{500}$ (~16 − 80 arcsec). The X-ray bolometric luminosity is measured within $R_{500}$ based on the surface brightness profile. The gravitational mass is estimated based on the $M - T$ relation (Sun et al. 2009). Based on the established $L - T$ relation, the estimated luminosity from the hot ICM, after correcting for the cosmological evolution term $E(z)$, is $~2.0 \times 10^{44}$ erg s⁻¹ from Giles et al. (2016), or $~4.2 \times 10^{44}$ erg s⁻¹ from Sun (2012).

b: The fitted radio spectral index across the whole source from 38 MHz to 10 GHz based on the data from NED.

Table 2. Chandra Observations of 3C 220.1 (PI: Sun).

| ObsID | Date Obs | Total Exp (ks) | Cleaned Exp (ks) |
|-------|----------|----------------|-----------------|
| 17130 | 2016 Jan 17 | 41.5 | 40.94 |
| 17131 | 2016 Jun 02 | 49.40 | 49.13 |
| 18747 | 2016 Jun 25 | 54.34 | 54.25 |
| 18860 | 2016 Jun 05 | 28.65 | 28.65 |

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/492/3/3156/5700309 by guest on 05 March 2022

²https://space.mit.edu/CXC/MARX/
Figure 3. Left-hand panel: The combined background-subtracted, exposure-corrected Chandra image of 3C 220.1 in the 0.5–3.0 keV band, smoothed with a 2D Gaussian 2-pixel kernel (0.492 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$) and overlaid with the VLA 1.5 GHz (yellow) and 8.4 GHz (red) radio contours. Right-hand panel: The same Chandra image as in the left-hand panel, smoothed with a 2D Gaussian 3-pixel kernel (0.492 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$). We have masked the central bright AGN (2 arcsec radius to cover much of the PSF). X-ray enhancements are visible in eastern and western radio lobe regions.

Figure 4. Left-hand panel: Exposure-corrected, background-subtracted Chandra image (0.5–2.0 keV) of 3C 220.1, smoothed with a Gaussian 2-pixel kernel, overlaid with VLA 1.5 GHz contours. The overlaid blue regions denote two annuli (3–8 and 8–20 arcsec) where the azimuthal surface brightness profiles are extracted. The red ellipses denote the potential cavities showing surface brightness depressions as in the right-hand panel. Right-hand panel: The surface brightness profiles extracted along the azimuthal directions (measured counterclockwise from the west) with angle step size of 20 degrees from inner 3–8 arcsec annulus (black) and outer 8–20 arcsec annulus (red) in 0.5–2.0 keV band. The grey region between the dashed lines shows the range for the eastern ($158^\circ$–$190^\circ$) and western ($-25^\circ$–$7^\circ$) radio lobes. The inner surface brightness profile shows depressions around $-10^\circ$–$30^\circ$ and $150^\circ$–$210^\circ$. The outer surface brightness profile shows enhanced emission in the region corresponding with the eastern and western radio lobes.

where $\Phi$ is the gravitational potential, $\rho = 1.92 n_\mu m_p$ is the particle gas density, $\mu = 0.60$ is the mean particle weight, and $m_p$ is the proton mass. To obtain a smooth pressure profile, we fitted it with a generalized NFW model, where $P \propto r^{-3}$ at large radii and the inner slope is allowed to vary. We found the best-fitting inner slope of $0.58 \pm 0.11$. Once the gravitational acceleration is determined, we calculated the free fall time $t_{ff} = \sqrt{2r/g}$. As shown in Fig. 7, the minimum value of $t_{cool}/t_{ff}$ is $\sim 30$, where the cooling time is $\sim 1.7$ Gyr. Although this value is larger than the typical threshold ($\sim 10$–$20$) for precipitation, it is possible that the threshold can be reached within the central $\sim 20$ kpc.

We estimated the total gravitational mass assuming hydrostatic equilibrium for the hot gas in the gravitational potential. We already calculated the gravitational acceleration $g$, and the total gravitational mass is therefore, $M(r) = g(r)r^2/G$, where $G$ is the gravitational constant. The total gravitational mass profile is shown in Fig. 8. The hydrostatic mass estimate is consistent with the previous mass estimate using strong lensing (e.g. Comerford & Natarajan 2007).

We measured X-ray temperature, $kT_{500}$, within $0.15–0.75R_{500}$ ($\sim 16$ – $80$ arcsec). Using the surface brightness profile, after
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Figure 5. Left-hand panel: Total surface brightness profile in the energy range of 0.5–2.0 keV for 3C 220.1 (black), the simulated PSF (blue) of the central point source using ChaRT simulation, and the cluster surface brightness profile produced by subtracting the simulated PSF from the total surface brightness (red). We normalized the PSF assuming that the central 2 arcsec is dominated by the AGN. The red dashed lines show the change on the cluster emission when the AGN normalization is changed by 20 per cent. Right-hand panel: Same as left, but in the 2.0–7.0 keV band. We do not plot the cluster surface brightness profile (red) to large radii since it would overlap with the total surface brightness profile in black.

Figure 6. Radial profiles of the deprojected temperature, abundance, electron density, pressure, entropy, and cooling time. We also show the projected temperature and abundance profiles in red (we slightly shifted the red points horizontally for comparison). We fix the deprojected abundance in all radial bins except the second bin.

removing the PSF of the central AGN, we calculated the flux from the hot ICM in the central region (e.g. within 0.15R_{500}) and outer region (>0.75R_{500}), and estimate a bolometric luminosity within R_{500}, L_{X,500}, of $2.5 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Based on the established $L$--$T$ relation, the estimated luminosity based on the fitted temperature, after correcting for the cosmological evolution term $E(z)$, is $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ from Giles et al. (2016), or $\sim 4.2 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ from Sun (2012).
between 0.5 and 7.0 keV. The power-law photon index is 1.62.

Four observations are ∼ in a circular region with a radius of 2 arcsec, and the background extracted spectra of the central X-ray source from each observation in observations with bright point sources by setting to ‘yes’. We attempted a model with an obscured AGN value of 1.7. We estimated a 0.5–10 keV luminosity of ∼3.7 × 10^{44} erg s\(^{-1}\) and ∼2.7 × 10^{44} erg s\(^{-1}\) for two eastern radio hot spots.

Based on the 5 GHz radio-core flux density (25 mJy; Giovannini et al. 1988), we calculated a radio luminosity at 5 GHz of \(L_R\) ∼ 2 × 10^{22} erg s\(^{-1}\) assuming the spectra index of −0.6. Using the black hole Fundamental Plane (Gültekin et al. 2009), i.e. the relation between the radio luminosity at 5 GHz, the X-ray 2–10 keV luminosity, and the black hole mass, we estimate a black hole mass of ∼1.3 × 10^{10} M\(_\odot\). Assuming a radiative efficiency \(\epsilon = 0.1\), we estimate an Eddington luminosity \(L_{\text{Edd}}\) of 1.6 × 10^{42} erg s\(^{-1}\), giving an Eddington ratio \(L/\text{bol}/L_{\text{Edd}}\) of ∼0.13.

**4 DISCUSSION**

4.1 The central AGN

To study the central AGN, we reprocessed the *Chandra* data by setting CHECK_VF_PHA as ‘no’, since it could remove good events in observations with bright point sources by setting to ‘yes’. We extracted spectra of the central X-ray source from each observation in a circular region with a radius of 2 arcsec, and the background spectra from an annulus from 2 to 4 arcsec. The total net counts from four observations are ∼8000 over the 0.5–7.0 keV energy band. The spectra from the four observations were fitted simultaneously between 0.5 and 7.0 keV. The power-law photon index is 1.62 ± 0.02 from a single power-law model (Table 3), close to the canonical AGN value of 1.7. We attempted a model with an obscured AGN plus a soft component, which indeed improves the spectral fits (Table 3). 3C 220.1’s AGN is obscured with a small intrinsic absorption column density of ∼0.3 × 10^{22} cm\(^{-2}\). The rest-frame 2–10 keV luminosity of the AGN is ∼5.0 × 10^{44} erg s\(^{-1}\). We found that the luminosity of the AGN is increased by ∼5 per cent by setting CHECK_VF_PHA to ‘no’. Using a bolometric correction of 40 from Vasudevan & Fabian (2007), we estimate the bolometric luminosity of the central AGN to be ∼2.0 × 10^{46} erg s\(^{-1}\).

4.2 Cavity power

In Fig. 4 (left-hand panel), the potential cavities, defined by the surface brightness depressions, are close to the central nucleus and are smaller than the radio lobes. This is similar to some other high-redshift cool core systems, where the cavities are generally found in the central gas-rich regions (e.g. Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2015). We considered the eastern and western cavities to be associated with the radio jet, and the hot spots. There is marginal X-ray emission detected from the eastern radio hotspots with a significance of ∼1.6σ, and there is no X-ray emission detected from the western hotspots or from the radio jet.

As shown in Table 2, the time span of the four observations is about half a year. Since AGN variabilities have different time-scales, we also include the previous, short ACIS-S observation (Obs. ID 839) taken in 1999. We found the 2–10 keV flux changes by ∼7 per cent during the four recent observations, and the flux from new observations is ∼30 per cent higher than that from the old observation, based on our own AGN spectrum fitting from the old observation, and the previous study (Belsole, Worrall & Hardcastle 2006). In the new data, we also checked the possible X-ray emission associated with the radio features, e.g. radio jet and the hot spots.

We chose a circular region for the hot spots and a box region for the radio jet to measure the observed X-ray emission as in Massaro et al. (2015). Background regions of the same shape and size were chosen to avoid emission from other sources, with two boxes for the radio jet, and three circles for the hot spots. There is marginal X-ray emission detected from the eastern radio hotspots with a significance of ∼1.6σ, and there is no X-ray emission detected from the western hotspots or from the radio jet. Assuming an absorbed power-law model with a photon index of 2.5, we estimated a 0.5–10 keV luminosity of ∼3.7 × 10^{44} and ∼2.7 × 10^{44} erg s\(^{-1}\) for two eastern radio hot spots.

We estimated a lower limit for the total jet power based on the enthalpy and buoyant rise time of the cavities (Churazov et al. 2001), to allow a direct comparison with previous studies. We assumed the eastern and western cavities are ellipsoids as in Fig. 4. The projected distances of the eastern and western cavity centres to the nucleus are ∼36.4 and ∼35.0 kpc. The major and minor axes are 14.6 and 6.7 kpc for the eastern cavity, and 14.2 and 7.2 kpc for the western cavity. The path-length along the line of sight, calculated...
Table 3. Spectral fits of the central AGN.

| Model        | $\Gamma_1$ | $kT_0$ (keV) | $N_{H,\text{int}}$ ($10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $L_{\text{d,0.5-2.0}}^\text{jet}$ ($10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $L_{\text{d,10}}^\text{jet}$ ($10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $[C, C_z, C_{\gamma}]^\text{f}$ |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| thabs*POW   | 1.62 ± 0.02 | 1.70 ± 0.02 | 5.17 ± 0.07                   | 5.16 ± 0.10                                      | 166.9, 148.6, 17.3                        |
| thabs*(zthabs*POW+APEC) | 1.64 ± 0.08 | 1.05 ± 0.20 | 0.28 ± 0.15                   | 3.77 ± 0.32                                      | 1.08 ± 0.08                                 | 148.0, 148.6, 17.3                        |

Notes. $a$: the photon index for the power-law component; $b$: the temperature for the thermal component (we assumed an abundance of 0.5Z⊙). The fits are not sensitive to the assumed abundance value; $c$: the column density for the intrinsic absorption; $d$: the rest-frame 0.5–2.0 keV luminosity for the thermal component; $e$: the rest-frame 2–10 keV luminosity for the power-law component; $f$: $C$, $C_z$, $C_{\gamma}$ are the fitted C-statistic, its expected value, and its standard deviation computed based on Kaastra (2017). 68 per cent of the time the acceptable spectral models should have $-1 < \frac{C - C_0}{\sigma} < 1$.

as the square root of the product of major and minor axes, are 9.9 and 10.1 kpc respectively. The estimated rise times of the bubbles to their present position are $8.8 \times 10^7$ and $8.3 \times 10^7$ yr. The total enthalpy ($H = 4PV$, where $P$ is the azimuthally averaged pressure at the radius of the centre of the cavity) is calculated from the projected temperature and electron density profile. The total enthalpy and the cavity power for the two cavities are $2.7 \times 10^{59}$ erg and $1.0 \times 10^{58}$ erg s$^{-1}$. We found that the cavity power is slightly smaller than the cooling luminosity (Section 3.3). Since the cavities here could be underestimated, we also estimate the jet power assuming the cavities are as large as the radio lobes. With a projected distance of $\sim 65$ kpc to the nucleus, and major and minor axes of 40 and 20 kpc for both radio lobes, the total enthalpy and power for two cavities are $\sim 3 \times 10^{59}$ erg and $\sim 7 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. We consider that the actual cavity power in 3C 220.1 lies probably between these two estimates.

If the cavity centre does not lie on the plane of sky, we need to consider projection effect on the estimated cavity power. Since the actual distance from the AGN to the centre of cavity and the size of cavity would increase, the pressure at the centre of cavity would be lower, while the volume of cavity would increase, hence affecting the estimated total enthalpy. In addition, the estimated age of the cavity will increase. Based on the jet to counter-jet ratio, Worrall et al. (2001) measured a maximum angle of $\sim 67$ degree of the jet to the line of sight for 3C 220.1, which can be assumed as the upper limit for the angle of cavity to the line of sight. We found that the estimated cavity power of 3C 220.1 increases with decreasing angle of the cavity to the line of sight, e.g. the cavity power is $\sim 20$ per cent larger for an angle of 60 degrees and $\sim 80$ per cent larger for 30 degrees.

For comparison, we estimated the jet power using other techniques. The jet power can be estimated from the observed parameters of the jet terminal hotspots as $Q_{\text{hs}} = Ac^2 \times g_{\text{hs}}$ (e.g. Godfrey & Shabala 2013), where $A$ is the area of the jet hotspots, $c$ is the speed of light, $B_{\text{eq}}$ is the equipartition magnetic field strength, and $g_{\text{hs}}$ is the normalization factor, which can be empirically determined. Based on the 5 GHz radio flux at the eastern and western hotspots (Hardcastle et al. 2004), we estimate an equipartition magnetic field of $\sim 100$ $\mu$G for each hotspot (e.g. Miley 1980). From three hotspots, each with a radius of $\sim 1.8$ kpc, and a $g_{\text{hs}}$ factor of 2, we estimate a total jet power of $\sim 6.9 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The properties of hotspots are thought to be variable on time-scales comparable to the light crossing time of a hotspot, and the jet power estimated from this technique could vary on a time-scale much shorter than that used to estimate the cavity power. We note that jet power is about four times the estimated cavity power based on the radio lobes.

For a sample of powerful FR-II radio galaxies with X-ray inverse Compton (IC) emission detections, Ineson et al. (2017) show that their radio lobes are typically dominated by relativistic electrons, and are in pressure balance at lobe mid-point but overpressured in their outer parts relative to the external medium (e.g. Croston et al. 2017). They presented another method to estimate the time-averaged jet power as $Q_{\text{j}} \sim 2E_i/t \sim 2E_i \times v/l$, where $E_i$ is the internal energy of the lobe, $t$ is the age of the jet, $l$ is the lobe length, and $v$ is the advance speed of the lobe, estimated directly from lobe overpressuring. With the enhanced X-ray emission possibly arising from IC emission (see Section 4.6), we estimated the internal pressure of 3C 220.1 and then its jet power. We used the SYNCH code (Hardcastle et al. 1998) and assumed a power law in electron energy with Lorentz factors between $\gamma_{\min} = 10$ and $\gamma_{\max} = 10^3$ with an energy index of 2.4. The eastern and western radio lobes are approximated as spheres with radii of $\sim 34$ and $\sim 35$ kpc, respectively, and their radio flux densities are from Croston et al. (2005). We found that the observed magnetic field strengths of the eastern and western lobes are $\sim 0.46 B_{\text{eq}}$ and $\sim 0.38 B_{\text{eq}}$, respectively, close to the median value, $0.46 B_{\text{eq}}$, found in Ineson et al. (2017). We obtain internal pressures of $\sim 7.0 \times 10^{-12}$ and $\sim 7.0 \times 10^{-12}$ Pa for the eastern and western lobes, respectively, and the ratio to the external ICM pressures at the lobe mid-point are $\sim 0.4$ and $\sim 0.8$. Therefore, based on the internal pressure, the pressure difference at the lobe-tip, and the gas temperature (see Table 1), we estimate a jet power of $\sim 1.7 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the estimated cavity power based on the sizes of radio lobes. We notice that the radio lobes appear slightly underpressured with respect to the environment, and 3C 220.1 seems not follow the relation between the internal and external pressure presented in Croston et al. (2017), especially for the eastern lobe. However, the Croston et al. (2017) relation is obtained from the studies of FR-II radio galaxies in galaxy groups. It has not been tested for the FR-IIs in massive galaxy clusters, where the pressure is much higher. In addition, since 3C 220.1 resides in a denser environment, where the lobes are in direct contact with the ICM, any entrained non-radiating particles will increase the internal pressure of the lobes. Alternatively, the lobe pressure may be dominated by the kinetic and thermal energy of shocked gas, as for example in PKS B2152-699 (Worrall et al. 2012). Therefore, the jet power of 3C 220.1 could be underestimated.

In Fig. 9 we plot the radio power versus cavity power for FR-I sources and FR-II radio sources available from the literature.

4https://github.com/mhardcastle/pysynch
Fig. 9. Cavity power versus radio power at 1.4 GHz for galaxy groups and clusters. The red circles denote FR-I sources from Birzan et al. (2008), Cavagnolo et al. (2010), and O’Sullivan et al. (2011), with their best-fitting relations in dotted, dashed, and solid lines, respectively. For systems in common between these papers we used the values from O’Sullivan et al. (2011). The black squares denote FR-II sources, i.e. Cygnus A (Rafferty et al. 2006), Hercules A (Rafferty et al. 2006), PKS B2152-699 (Worrall et al. 2012), 3C 295 (Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2012), 3C 320 (Vaghshette et al. 2019), 3C 444 (Vaghshette et al. 2017), and 3C 220.1 (this work). The large solid square represents the cavity power of 3C 220.1 estimated from X-ray cavities, and empty square represents the power estimated based on the radio lobes (both not corrected for projection). For comparison, we show FR-II sources with jet power estimated from other methods, i.e. based on the properties of hotspots (blue plus; Godfrey & Shabala 2013), and from FR-II lobes with X-ray IC emission detections (magenta star; Ineson et al. 2017). See details in Section 4.2.

(Birzan et al. 2008; Cavagnolo et al. 2010; O’Sullivan et al. 2011; Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2012; Worrall et al. 2012; Vaghshette et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2019). For comparison, we also show FR-II sources with jet power estimated from other techniques discussed above (Godfrey & Shabala 2013; Ineson et al. 2017). We converted their radio luminosities at 151 MHz to the corresponding ones at 1.4 GHz assuming a spectral index of 0.7. There are six common systems in two studies but the quoted radio luminosities and jet power are generally different, with the radio luminosity ratios from 0.2 to 2.8 (a median value of 0.6) and the jet power ratios from 0.8 to 9.6 (a median value of 3.8). As shown in Fig. 9, the jet power estimated from two different methods are generally consistent for very luminous radio galaxies (e.g. $P_{1400} > \sim 3 \times 10^{28} \text{W Hz}^{-1}$). However, the power estimated from X-ray cavities are systematically smaller than the jet power estimated from those two methods, especially for the cavity power of 3C 220.1 determined from the inner pair of X-ray cavities. We suggest the cavity power of 3C 220.1, or similar FR-II source, is underestimated due to one or more of the following reasons: (1) A large amount of energy from the central SMBH is released as radiation power of the central AGN; (2) Much of the energy of the central SMBH could be deposited in a shock, which either has dissipated, or is hard to detect in high redshift systems; (3) The sizes of cavities are underestimated due to the complex structures, e.g. the bright central AGN and the enhancements in the lobes, projection, and the limited data statistics.

We note that Fig. 9 contains both FR-I and FR-II radio galaxies and the two populations may not be fully comparable due to their different intrinsic properties and environment. To maintain pressure balance with the external medium, their lobe internal composition is thought to be different, with FR-I lobes containing more protons (e.g. Croston, Ineson & Hardcastle 2018). Therefore, the jet powers of the two populations are expected to be systematically different due to a large fraction of non-radiating particles present in FR-I galaxies. In addition, the radio luminosity of an AGN depends on the jet power and external pressure (e.g. Hardcastle & Krause 2013) so a radio galaxy embedded in higher pressure environment would require a lower jet power to produce the same radio luminosity.

4.3 Radiative and kinetic power

Fig. 10 shows the comparison of the AGN nuclear 2–10 keV luminosity with the cooling luminosity, the cavity power, and the radio power for BCGs. Fig. 11 shows the cavity enthalpy versus the 2–10 keV nuclear luminosity. We included the samples from Hlavacek-Larrondo & Fabian (2011) and Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. (2013), and three quasar systems, the Phoenix cluster (McDonald et al. 2012, 2015), H1821+643 (Russell et al. 2010), and IRAS 09104+4109 (Vignali et al. 2011; O’Sullivan et al. 2012). The nuclear luminosities in the plot span more than five orders of magnitude. The properties of 3C 220.1 are similar to those of quasars. Both ‘quasars’ and ‘radio galaxies’ have luminous central AGNs, and energetic jets which extend outwards, inflate radio lobes, and create X-ray cavities. The nuclear luminosity of 3C 220.1 is the third largest in the plot, and is much greater than both the cooling luminosity and the cavity power. The ratio of nuclear luminosity (in the 2–10 keV band) to the cavity power for 3C 220.1 is ~5, which is the second largest and only smaller than the ratio for H1821+643 (~28). Weak cavities could be missed and the cavity power in the middle panel can be taken as a lower limit. If we assume that the cavities in 3C 220.1 have the same size as the radio lobes, the cavity power would be almost 20 times larger, as shown by the open circle in the middle panel of Fig. 10. As an FR-II radio galaxy, 3C 220.1 produces a larger radio power than the three quasars shown.

An SMBH and its surrounding medium are expected to evolve through two stages (e.g. see Fabian 2012, and references therein). According to a standard paradigm, at early times the SMBH grows rapidly by accreting cooling gas at high rate, and AGN feedback is radiation dominated in the so-called ‘quasar-mode’ (e.g. Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005; Hopkins et al. 2006; Sijacki & Springel 2006). The SMBH produces enough power to suppress cooling, quenching star formation, and regulating the growth of the SMBH. As the SMBH is starved of fuel and the accretion rate drops, the system evolves to the ‘radio-mode’, where mechanical dominated feedback regulates radiative cooling in the ICM in the form of bubbles and shocks (e.g. McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Fabian 2012). With a high accretion rate (~10 per cent of the Eddington rate), 3C 220.1 is currently in quasar-mode. However, there is also evidence of mechanical heating, e.g. the radio jet and the X-ray cavities, and the mechanical power is able to compensate for the cooling losses of the ICM. Therefore, 3C 220.1 may be at the transition stage in which the SMBH has not evolved completely out of quasar-mode, but already produces sufficient power to suppress cooling.

Unlike most of the powerful FR-II galaxies at high redshift, 3C 220.1 lives in a dense environment. AGN activity with a high accretion rate can be triggered by a recent galaxy merger or interaction (e.g. Ramos Almeida et al. 2011; Pierce et al. 2019), as shown by the distorted morphology in HST image (Fig. 2) and the high star formation rate (Section 4.4). We can estimate the time elapsed since the last interaction and how long the AGN has been accreting in its current mode through the spectral age.
Figure 10. Top: The bolometric cooling luminosity versus nuclear 2–10 keV luminosity. The black dotted line represents equal cooling and bolometric luminosity of central AGN, assuming a bolometric correction factor of 30. Middle: Cavity power versus nuclear 2–10 keV luminosity. The dashed line is the equal line of cavity power and bolometric luminosity. Bottom: Total radio power (10 MHz to 10 GHz) versus nuclear 2–10 keV luminosity. The dashed and dotted lines are the equal lines of the nuclear bolometric luminosity and the cavity power, which are calculated based on the relation between the radio power and cavity power from Bırzan et al. (2008) and O'Sullivan et al. (2011), respectively. The red circles are for 3C 220.1. The empty red circle in the middle panel represents 3C 220.1, assuming that the cavities have the same size as the radio lobes. H1821 data are from Russell et al. (2010). Phoenix Cluster data are from McDonald et al. (2012, 2015). IRAS 09104+4109 data are from Vignali et al. (2011) and O’Sullivan et al. (2012). Data of other black circles are from Hlavacek-Larrondo & Fabian (2011) and Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. (2013). Note that all the AGNs are located in the cluster BCGs.

Figure 11. Similar to Fig. 10, but for cavity enthalpy ($H_{cav} = 4PV$) versus nuclear 2–10 keV luminosity. The empty red circle represents 3C 220.1 assuming that the cavities have the same size as the radio lobes.

Assuming the magnetic field is constant and the particle injected have a constant power-law energy spectrum, the spectral age is given by (e.g. Jamrozy et al. 2008):

$$t = 50.3[v(1 + z)]^{-1/2} \frac{B_{1/2}}{B + B_{ic}} \text{Myr},$$

(2)

where $B_{ic} = 0.32(1 + z)^2$, is the magnetic field strength in units of nT equivalent to the cosmic microwave background radiation, $B$ is the magnetic field strength of the radio lobes in nT, $v$ is the spectral break frequency in GHz. Although there is too little radio data to measure spectral curvature with sufficient angular resolution, the steep spectrum in lobe suggests that the spectral break is below about 5 GHz. Using an equipartition magnetic field of $\sim 3$ nT, we estimate a lower limit to the age of $\sim 3.2$ Myr.

4.4 BCG and star formation

3C 220.1 has a 24 μm flux density of $2.2 \pm 0.2$ mJy measured from Spitzer (Cleary et al. 2007) and remains undetected at 70, 100, and 160 μm from Spitzer and Herschel. We assume an average spectral index of $-0.3$ between the rest-frame 15 and 30 μm from the same work. The SFR of the BCG is then estimated to be about $60–80 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ from the calibrations of Lee, Hwang & Ko (2013) and Rieke et al. (2009), assuming a Kroupa IMF. This is consistent with the estimate from Westhues et al. (2016) and comparable to the SFR of another high redshift cluster IRAS 09104+4109 ($z = 0.44$) also with a luminous central AGN (O’Sullivan et al. 2012).

Substantial SF in the BCG of 3C 220.1 is also implied by its distorted morphology as seen with HST (Fig. 2). However, this estimate of SFR may be biased high if there is still a significant contribution from the AGN in the observed 24 μm band.

4.5 Deflected radio jet

Fig. 1 (bottom right) shows a deflected radio jet (with an angle of $\sim 14^\circ$) on the eastern side in the VLA 8.4 GHz map. It is almost
straight to the bending point (∼6 arcsec from the nucleus), and almost straight from that point to the second eastern hotspot (e.g. Mullin et al. 2006), which may be where the jet partially de-collimates before being turned around the edge of the lobe into the first hotspot. This cannot be explained with the dentist’s drill model (Scheuer 1974). In the dentist’s drill model, when a hotspot is no longer being fed directly by the jet it will stop advancing and will expand to merge into the general radio lobe. This should happen rather fast, since the hotspot is a locus of high pressure excess, and the expansion time (greater than the radio fading time) is expected to be short compared with the jet propagation time from the core to the edge of the lobe.

As described in Mullin et al. (2006), the flow continues from the jet to hotspots, and bends around to the south and back towards the core. The jet deflection point lies close to the edge (in projection) of the low-frequency radio lobe, so a natural explanation would be that the jet flow is encountering some angled interface between two different environments – passing into plasma dominated by the radio lobe. The structure can be interpreted in terms of low-density supersonic fluid flows with interactions causing the jets to change direction by a small angle until they slow down or encounter strong shocks.

In Krause et al. (2019), the radio features of 3C 220.1 in the VLA 8.4 GHz image, such as jet curvature, jet at the edge of lobe, and the wide terminal hotspots on both sides, are considered as a result of jet precession. Jet precession usually produces curving jets, with S-symmetry if both jet and counter-jet are observed. Simple jet precession would have problems producing two such straight segments with a single bend. However, depending on the viewing angle, the jet can appear with mild curvature or as a straight jet.

### 4.6 Enhanced X-ray emission in the radio lobes

Powerful FR II radio sources show extended lobes of synchrotron-emitting plasma, as well as the jets which terminate in bright hotspots. The radio plasma displaces the hot ICM, forming X-ray cavities, and compresses it into a dense shell, which may be observed as a sharp bright edge in X-rays and is referred as the cocoon shock. One of the best-studied examples is the nearest powerful FR II source, Cygnus A (e.g. Wilson, Smith & Young 2006; Snios et al. 2018). As a powerful FR II source at a higher redshift, 3C 220.1 shows structure similar to Cygnus A. In Fig. 12 we show the Chandra images of 3C 220.1 and Cygnus A side by side. Assuming that Cygnus A is observed at a similar redshift to 3C 220.1’s, we re-binned the X-ray flux image of Cygnus A by a factor of 6 based on the pixel scale ratio at the two different redshifts (the actual pixel scale ratio is 6.19), then re-scaled it by the ratio of squared luminosity distances. The final image is then rotated to have similar tilted radio structures as 3C 220.1. Interesting features of the two systems are within similar physical scales (∼100 kpc, the red circles in Fig. 12). Along the radio jet direction away from the central bright region, both systems show X-ray cavities in the radio lobes, and enhanced X-ray emission in the region towards the hotspots. Inside the radio lobes, there is a possible shock edge in 3C 220.1, which is difficult to confirm through the surface brightness profile due to the limited counts.

X-ray emission in the lobes can have contributions from inverse Compton (IC) emission, produced by photons being IC-scattered to X-ray energies by the radio-synchrotron emitting electrons. IC emission has been observed in many FR II radio lobes (e.g. Isobe et al. 2002; Bondi et al. 2004; Croston et al. 2005; Hardcastle & Croston 2010; Ineson et al. 2017; de Vries et al. 2018). In order to check for IC X-ray emission in the lobes, we chose two elliptical regions for the lobes based on Fig. 4, and two off-lobe regions for each lobe, as shown in Fig. 13. We extracted the spectra from those regions from each observation. The spectra from the two off-lobe regions are combined with the CIAO tool combine_spectra. The spectra from the lobe regions show clear excesses over those from off-lobe regions. Assuming the thermal emission from the hot ICM is the same in lobe- and off-lobe regions, we found that an
the total enthalpy and cavity power become If the X-ray cavities are assumed to be as large as the radio lobes, cavity power is comparable to the cooling luminosity of the cluster.

extra power-law component with fixed index of 2.0 helps to fit the excess, suggesting the enhanced X-ray emission is of non-thermal origin. We obtained values for the power-law normalization at 1 keV of 0.5 and 0.8 nJy for the eastern and western lobes, respectively, and luminosities at 2–10 keV of $\sim 3 \times 10^{42}$ and $\sim 7 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Considering the lobe regions in our study are smaller than those in Croston et al. (2005), our estimates are consistent with their results. However, we found a second thermal component with a high temperature (e.g. $\sim 6$ keV) can also fit the excess. Due to the limited data statistics, we cannot make a definitive conclusion as to which model better describes the enhanced emission.

5 SUMMARY

3C 220.1 is an FR-II radio galaxy which is undergoing strong radiative feedback. We have analysed 174 ks of Chandra observations centred on the BCG of this kT $\sim 4$ keV galaxy cluster and presented the properties of the hot gas related to AGN feedback. Our results are summarized as follows:

(i) The X-ray emission of the central AGN dominates the central $\sim 2$ arcsec. The bolometric luminosity is estimated to be $2.0 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$, among the highest known for a cluster BCG. Assuming a radiative efficiency of 0.1, we estimate an Eddington ratio of $\sim 0.13$.

(ii) We found a pair of potential X-ray cavities to the eastern and western sides of the AGN based on the surface brightness depressions. The total enthalpy and cavity power for the cavities are estimated to be $2.7 \times 10^{59}$ erg and $\sim 1.0 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This cavity power is comparable to the cooling luminosity of the cluster. If the X-ray cavities are assumed to be as large as the radio lobes, the total enthalpy and cavity power become $\sim 11$ and $\sim 17$ times larger. Furthermore, if we consider the effect of projection, the cavity power could be double our estimate if the lobes lie close to the line of sight. Using two other techniques we estimated the jet power of $6.9 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $1.7 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for 3C 220.1 based on the hotspot properties and the properties of lobes with X-ray IC emission detections, respectively. The cavity power of 3C 220.1 and other FR-II sources, being systematically smaller than the jet power estimated from those two methods, could be well underestimated.

(iii) A likely explanation of the X-ray enhancements in the radio lobes of 3C 220.1 is IC emission. We estimate 2–10 keV luminosities of $\sim 3 \times 10^{42}$ and $\sim 5 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ from the eastern and western lobes, respectively.

(iv) The properties of 3C 220.1 are similar to those of quasars. The ratio of X-ray nuclear luminosity to cavity power is $\sim 5$, which is the second largest known among BCGs after the source H1821+643. We suggest that 3C 220.1 is at the transition stage from quasar-mode feedback to radio-mode feedback.
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