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We present an experimental study of the longitudinal electron-spin relaxation time ($T_1$) of negatively charged nitrogen-vacancy (NV) ensembles in diamond. $T_1$ was studied as a function of temperature from 5 to 475 K and magnetic field from 0 to 630 G for several samples with various NV and nitrogen concentrations. Our studies reveal three processes responsible for $T_1$ relaxation. Above room temperature, a two-phonon Raman process dominates, and below, we observe an Orbach-type process with an activation energy, 73(4) meV, which closely matches the local vibrational modes of the NV center. At yet lower temperatures, sample dependent cross relaxation processes dominate, resulting in temperature independent values of $T_1$, from ms to minutes. The value of $T_1$ in this limit depends sensitively on magnetic field and can be tuned by more than an order of magnitude.

PACS numbers: 76.60.Es, 61.72.jn, 81.05.Uw

Negatively charged nitrogen-vacancy (NV) color centers in diamond have been a focus of recent research due to their promise as fluorescent markers for biological systems [1–3], qubits that can be optically initialized and readout [4–6], and sensors of magnetic [7–10] and electric [11] fields. The NV center is uniquely suited for these applications due to its atom-scale spatial resolution and exceptional optical and spin properties over a wide range of operating temperatures.

The spin phase coherence time ($T_2$) is a critical figure of merit for these emerging quantum-based applications. For example, for ensemble magnetometry, the sensitivity scales as $(NT_2)^{-1/2}$, where $N$ is the number of spins. Therefore, optimization of ensemble sensors [10, 12–14] calls for high-density samples with long phase coherence times. In quantum computing, $T_2$ constrains the minimum gate operation time and limits the performance of quantum error correction protocols [15, 16]. Typically $T_2$ is limited by magnetic impurities in the local environment which serve as sources of decoherence [17]. However, it is possible to reduce the effect of the spin bath using dynamic-decoupling techniques [18–21]. The phase coherence time is then limited by stochastic processes, such as phonon interactions, which cause irreversible changes in axial spin projection. Such processes are known as longitudinal relaxation, with a characteristic timescale, $T_1$.

The temperature dependence of $T_1$ has been experimentally studied previously at high magnetic fields using electron paramagnetic resonance [22–24]. In Ref. [22], $T_1$ was measured in the range 100-500 K, and the results were described by a model including Orbach and Raman phonon processes. Other results [23] over a somewhat lower temperature range could not be accurately described by this model. In Ref. [24], $T_1$ was measured at $T \approx 2$ K for two different samples, and the results differed by more than an order of magnitude, casting further doubt that $T_1$ can be explained purely by phonon processes.

In this Letter, we report an investigation of longitudinal spin relaxation $T_1$ of NV ensembles as a function of sample impurity content, temperature, and magnetic field. We identify the principal relaxation mechanisms as interactions with local and lattice phonons, as well as cross relaxation with nearby spin impurities. We develop a universal model for $T_1$ relaxation of NV centers which agrees well with experiments on samples across a wide range of impurity concentrations and temperatures.

| Sample No. | Synthesis | Radiation dose | $[N]$ (ppm) | $[NV]$ (ppm) |
|------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| S2         | HPHT      | $1 \times 10^{17}$ | 40 – 60     | 16           |
| S8         | HPHT      | $4 \times 10^{17}$ | 10 – 30     | 0.3          |
| S3         | CVD       | $4 \times 10^{17}$ | 0.1 – 4     | 0.01         |

The diamond samples used in this work are listed in Table I. These samples represent a wide range of nitrogen (N) and NV concentrations. Measurements were performed using a confocal-microscopy apparatus described previously [10, 25]. An aspheric lens with focal length $f = 6$ mm and numerical aperture NA = 0.55 was used to focus 532 nm laser light on a diamond sample mounted in a flow-through liquid-helium cryostat. Fluorescence was collected by the same lens, passed
through a dichroic mirror and was detected with a Si avalanche photodetector (Thorlabs APD110A) in the range of 650-800 nm. A microwave (MW) field was applied to the NV ensemble by means of a copper wire, 75 μm in diameter, placed inside the cryostat in the vicinity of the optical focus. Light pulses were generated by passing cw laser light through two acousto-optical modulators, which provided a combined extinction ratio of about 60 dB. MW pulses were produced by two series-connected switches, providing a combined isolation of ~120 dB. High isolation is critical, particularly for measurements of very long T1, in order to avoid unintended changes in polarization from stray fields. Sequences of pulses were produced by a programmable pulse generator PulseBlasterESR-PRO.

Optical pumping and detection of spin polarization were performed using 532 nm excitation. Optical pumping occurs due to a spin-dependent intersystem crossing which transfers NV centers with spin projection, m_s = ±1, to m_s = 0 ground-state sublevel [Fig. 1(a)]. Due to the same process, NV centers in m_s = 0, fluoresce more brightly than NV centers in m_s = ±1, allowing us to use the detected fluorescence intensity to determine the ground-state spin polarization.

Figure 1(b) shows the timing sequence used in the experiments to measure T1. Each sequence begins with a 1 ms light pulse which polarizes NV centers into m_s = 0. We then apply a MW π-pulse, with typical duration on the order of 100 ns, which transfers NV centers into m_s = 1 or m_s = −1. Following a variable time delay, τ, we apply another light pulse and detect NV fluorescence to determine the residual spin polarization. Afterwards we apply a control sequence with the π-pulse omitted, and subtract the two results. This technique results in a signal, ΔF1(τ), which is proportional to the residual spin polarization, after time τ, of only those NV centers which were excited by the MW π-pulse. Figure 1(c) shows a typical plot of ΔF1 versus τ at T = 300 K, along with a fit to single-exponential function, ΔF1(τ) = Ae^{−τ/T1}. We found that all measurements reported in this manuscript can be satisfactorily fitted with a single decay constant.

Insight into the nature of the longitudinal spin relaxation mechanisms can be gathered from its temperature dependence. Figure 2 shows the relaxation rate 1/T1 as a function of temperature for the three samples in Table I. A magnetic field, B ≈ 25 G was applied parallel to the [100] crystallographic orientation in order to separate m_s = 0 → m_s = 1 and m_s = 0 → m_s = −1 transitions. At temperatures T > 200 K, 1/T1 is the same for all samples within a factor of ≈ 2. For example, at 300 K, we measure T1 = 2.9(1), 5.5(1), and 6.0(1) ms for S2, S8, and S3, respectively. This suggests T1 in this range is largely governed by intrinsic processes such as interaction with phonons [26].
at low temperatures, a strong sample dependence of $T_1$ is observed. The relaxation rate $1/T_1$ rapidly decreases with decreasing temperature and flattens out at a certain value which is different for each sample.

Considering interaction with lattice phonons, we note that the NV ground-state spin splitting, $D \approx 3$ GHz. Consequently for $T > D/k \approx 0.1$ K, where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, the occupation of phonon modes near 3 GHz is extremely low, and we can neglect relaxation from a single-phonon process. However, higher-energy lattice phonons can produce $T_1$ relaxation via a two-phonon Raman process. We expect this process to have the form $(1/T_1)_\text{Raman} \propto T^3$ [27]. Similarly, local phonons can produce $T_1$ relaxation by resonant interaction with an excited vibrational level via a two-phonon Orbach-type process [22]. In this case, we expect $(1/T_1)_\text{Orbach} \propto (e^{\Delta/T} - 1)^{-1}$, where $\Delta$ is the dominant local vibrational energy.

Based on Fig. 2(a), we also observe a leveling-off of $(1/T_1)$ at low temperatures to a constant limiting rate. This rate is sample dependent and represents, as it will be discussed later, cross relaxation which arises from dipole-dipole interaction. We fit our data to the following global function:

$$\frac{1}{T_1} = A_1(S) + \frac{A_2}{e^{\Delta/T} - 1} + A_3T^5,$$

where $A_2$, $A_3$, and $\Delta$ are fit parameters common to all samples, and $A_1(S)$ is different for each sample. The fit is in excellent agreement with experimental data (see [26] for a comparison with the fit parameters individual for each sample). The values for the fitting parameters are: $A_1(S2) = 21(3)$ s$^{-1}$, $A_1(S8) = 0.06(1)$ s$^{-1}$, $A_1(S3) = 0.007(4)$ s$^{-1}$, $A_2 = 2.1(6) \times 10^3$ s$^{-1}$, $\Delta = 73(4)$ meV, and $A_3 = 2.2(5) \times 10^{-11}$ K$^{-5/2}$ s$^{-1}$. The value of the parameter $\Delta$ closely matches the energy of the local vibrational modes of the NV center [28, 29].

Figure 2(b) shows $A_1$ as a function of NV concentration. The observed dependence is approximately linear, and the fitted slope is $0.8(2)$ s$^{-1}$ ppm$^{-1}$. To verify this observation we performed $T_1$ measurements on a HPHT sample which has a spatial gradient in electron-irradiation dose and, correspondingly, in NV concentration. The nitrogen concentration of this diamond was measured as in Ref. [12] and found to be on the order of 100 ppm throughout the sample. We measured $T_1$ at $T = 30$ K in four different spots along the dose gradient. The relaxation rate $1/T_1$ and fluorescence intensity for each position on the diamond are presented in Fig. 2(c). Making an assumption that fluorescence intensity is proportional to NV concentration the results are consistent with linear dependence of $A_1$ on [NV].

In order to gain further insight into the apparent cross relaxation processes, we measured magnetic field dependence of $T_1$ for the sample with the highest impurity concentration, S2. Magnetic field $B$ was applied along the [111] axis using a neodymium ring magnet mounted on a 3-axis translation stage. For this magnetic field direction, one of four possible NV orientations is aligned parallel to $B$, while the other three orientations have symmetry axes which are at $\approx 109^\circ$ with respect to $B$. An additional 3-axis magnetic-coil system was used for fine tuning and scanning of the magnetic field.

Figure 3 (a) shows $1/T_1$ as a function of magnetic field $B$ applied along [111] direction (the corresponding transition frequencies are shown on the upper axis) for S2 sample at two different temperatures 296 K and 20 K. $1/T_1$ was measured for the subensemble of NV centers aligned with $B$. Solid line represents a fit (see text). (b) Transition frequencies between magnetic sublevels of NV centers and between sublevels of N as a function of magnetic field along [111] direction. NV-NV cross relaxation occurs at 0 G and 595 G, NV-$N^-$ at 514 G. Solid lines correspond to the centers aligned with [111]; dashed lines correspond to the NV centers aligned at $\approx 109^\circ$ to this axis.
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were taken at two different temperatures: 20 K and 296 K. Significant changes in $1/T_1$ are observed at certain magnetic field values: 0, 514, and 595 G. The relative changes are more pronounced for low-temperature data, where $1/T_1$ varies by more than an order of magnitude.

Cross relaxation occurs when the transition frequency of two spin species, each having different spin polarizations, coincide. Transition frequencies as a function of B of the two sub-ensembles of NV centers (those co-linear and non-collinear with B) as well as that of the spin-1/2 nitrogen impurities are shown in Fig. 3 (b). The 514 G peak apparently arises due to cross relaxation between NV centers co-aligned with B and the unpolarized nitrogen spins. At 0 and 595 G, the transition frequencies between the two subensembles of NV centers coincide. Cross relaxation can then occur if the subensembles are polarized differently by the initial optical pulse. For example, the peak at 595 G (1.2 GHz) occurs due to cross relaxation between $|m_s = 0 \rangle \rightarrow |m_s = -1 \rangle$ transition of NV centers aligned along the field direction and transitions between the eigenstates of other three degenerate orientations. At this field, each of the eigenstates is a mixture of the initial magnetic sublevels due to the large transverse field component. Cross relaxation at 0 G may be due to spin diffusion involving NV centers outside of the optical focus. Similar cross relaxation phenomena were previously studied for NV centers in diamond using other techniques, for example, monitoring changes of emission intensity [30–32], measuring changes of the optical hole depth in the zero-phonon line [33], and studying decay rate of stimulated spin-echo and spin-locking signals [30].

We fit our experimental results to three Lorentzians with central frequencies fixed at 0, 514, and 595 G [34]. The amplitude at 20 K is a factor of two smaller than at 296 K for 0 and 514 G peaks, and a factor of five smaller for the 595 G peak. We also observe a factor of two decrease in width for the 514 and 595 G peaks at 20 K. We did not expect to see a temperature dependence of either amplitudes or widths (since dipolar coupling strengths are not expected to be temperature dependent in this range [23]); so the observed difference is a subject for future work. At both temperatures, the peak at 514 G is the largest peak, which is consistent with the [N]:[NV] ratio in Table I.

Let us look at the results from the point of view of magnetic sensing. Assuming that, ultimately, transverse relaxation times $T_2$ on the order of $T_1$ can be achieved [18–21], (which may require the use of diamond samples depleted of $^{13}$C [35] and dynamic decoupling from the spin bath), the product $[NV]T_1$ is the figure of merit in terms of the sensor sensitivity [7]. Examining the data in Fig. 2(a), we find that at the lowest temperatures, $[NV]T_1$ is roughly independent of concentration, owing to the aforementioned NV-NV cross relaxation. At higher temperatures, the relaxation rate is dominated by intrinsic phonon interactions and consequently independent of [NV]. Thus the product $[NV]T_1$ increases linearly with concentration at a given temperature in this range. This motivates the use of high-density samples in room-temperature applications. It is important to notice that, with appropriate choice of magnetic field, it is possible to "tune" the NV centers to a point with an optimum value of $T_1$. For example, applying bias field to a low-temperature high-density sample, it is possible to prolong $T_1$ by more than an order of magnitude compared to near-zero field (Fig. 3 (a)). This property may also benefit other applications, including spin-ensemble memories in hybrid devices [36–39].

In conclusion, we have investigated the temperature and magnetic-field dependence of longitudinal spin relaxation of NV ensembles in diamond. We observed two distinct regimes (low and high temperature), where different sets of relaxation mechanisms dominate. The dominant relaxation mechanism at high temperature is consistent with the exponential behavior of the two-phonon Orbach-type process and $T^6$ behavior of the two-phonon Raman process. At low temperatures $T_1$ is temperature independent and the relaxation is mainly determined by cross relaxation with neighboring spins. Through our magnetic-field studies, we identify this cross relaxation as being between differently polarized NV centers as well as between NV centers and nitrogen impurities. The longest $T_1$ observed was 199(11) s at 10 K for the CVD sample with low NV concentration. Our studies inform on the fundamental quantum limits of NV based computing and sensing applications.

The authors thank M. Ledbetter, N. Yao, A. Gali, N. Manson, P. Hemmer, L-S. Bouchard, W. Gawlik, M.W. Doherty, R. Fischer, P. G. Baranov, and T. Schenkel for useful discussions. This work was supported by NSF Grant No. PHY-0855552, AFOSR/DARPA QuASAR program, IMOD, and the NATO SFP program. A.J. gratefully acknowledges support from the ERAF project No. 2010/0242/2DP/2.1.1.1.0/10/APIA/VIAA/036.

* jarmola@berkeley.edu
† budker@berkeley.edu

1. C.-C. Fu, H.-Y. Lee, K. Chen, T.-S. Lim, H.-Y. Wu, P.-K. Lin, P.-K. Wei, P.-H. Tsao, H.-C. Chang, and W. Fann, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104, 727 (2007).
2. O. Faklaris, V. Joshi, T. Irinopoulou, P. Tauc, M. Sennour, H. Girard, C. Gesset, J.-C. Arnault, A. Thorel, J.-P. Boudou, P. A. Curmi, and F. Treussart, ACS Nano 3, 3955 (2009).
3. L. P. McGuinness, Y. Yan, A. Stacey, D. A. Simpson, L. T. Hall, D. Maclaurin, S. Prawer, P. Mulvey, J. Wrachtrup, F. Caruso, R. E. Scholten, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, Nat Nano 6, 358 (2011).
4. P. Neumann, R. Kolesov, B. Naydenov, J. Beck, F. Rempp, M. Steiner, V. Jacques, G. Balasubramanian, M. L. Markham, D. J. Twitchen, S. Pezzagna,
