REM Sleep Behavior Disorder in Children With Type 1 Narcolepsy Treated With Sodium Oxybate
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Abstract

Objective
To study the effect of stable treatment with sodium oxybate (SO) on nocturnal REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD) and REM sleep without atonia (RSWA) that severely affected children with type 1 narcolepsy (NT1).

Methods
Nineteen children and adolescents with NT1 (9 female, mean age 12.5 ± 2.7 years, mean disease duration 3.4 ± 1.6 years) underwent neurologic investigations and video-polysomnography (v-PSG) at baseline and after 3 months of stable treatment with SO. v-PSG was independently analyzed by 2 sleep experts to rate RBD episodes. RSWA was automatically computed by means of the validated REM sleep atonia index (RAI).

Results
Compared to baseline, RAI significantly improved (p < 0.05) and complex movements during REM sleep were remarkably reduced after stable treatment with SO. Compared to baseline, children also reported improvement in clinical complaints and showed a different nighttime sleep-stage architecture.

Conclusions
RBD and RSWA improved after treatment with SO, pointing to a direct role of the drug in modulating motor control during REM sleep.

Classification of Evidence
This study offers Class IV evidence of the positive effect of SO on modulation of muscle atonia during REM sleep in children with NT1 because of the absence of a control group.
Glossary

iRBD = idiopathic RBD; NREM = non-REM; NT1 = type 1 narcolepsy; RAI = REM atonia index; RBD = REM sleep behavior disorder; RSWA = REM sleep without atonia; SO = sodium oxybate; v-PSG = video-polysomnography.

Type 1 narcolepsy (NT1) is a central disorder of hypersomnolence that is characterized by sleep attacks, cataplexy, hypnagogic/hypnopompic hallucinations, sleep paralysis, and disrupted nocturnal sleep and linked to hypocretin-1 deficiency.1

REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD) has specific features in children with NT1, and video-polysomnography (v-PSG) disclosed almost repetitive gesturing/behaviors emerging during dissociated REM sleep in almost 30% of a cohort of 40 children.2 In these children, RBD occurs in correlation with decreased muscle atonia during REM sleep2; indeed, REM sleep without atonia (RSWA) is a sensitive and specific biomarker for NT1 in children.3

Because sodium oxybate (SO), a first-line treatment of NT1, has recently been reported to be effective in idiopathic RBD (iRBD)4,5 and in modulating muscle activity during REM sleep in narcolepsy,6,7 the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of this drug on nocturnal motor activity/behavior during REM sleep in children with NT1.

Methods

Nineteen children with a final diagnosis of NT1 according to international diagnostic criteria1 (9 female, mean age 12.5 ± 2.7 years, mean disease duration 3.4 ± 1.6 years) were analyzed at baseline (drug free) and after at least 3 months of stable treatment with 6 to 8 g SO (SO was titrated on the basis of the patient’s improvement, mean dose 6.4 ± 1.2 g). Extensive neurologic investigations and v-PSG were performed both at baseline and after treatment.

The v-PSG included at least 3 EEG channels (frontal, central, and occipital leads, referred to the contralateral mastoid), bilateral electro-oculogram, submentalis and anterior tibialis EMG, respiratory parameters, and ECG. PSG signals were sampled at 256 Hz and stored on hard disk in European data format for further analysis. Sleep stages were scored according to standard criteria.8 RSWA was evaluated by means of the REM atonia index (RAI) using the validated automatic analysis implemented in Hypnolab version 1.2. RAI can vary from 0, which means complete absence of EMG atonia, to 1, which means stable EMG atonia.

The second-night v-PSGs were reviewed at baseline and after treatment for the classification of motor episodes by 2 sleep experts, who reached a mean interscorer agreement of 0.91 (p = 0.001). Briefly, as better detailed previously,2 we identified elementary movements as (1) small, brief (<5 seconds), and apparently nonpurposeful movements and (2) stereotypies, automatism-like events. Complex behaviors instead identified purposeful behavior that seem to express a participant’s mentation.

Statistical Analysis

Data were explored with descriptive statistics (mean ± SD and frequency). Differences from baseline to follow-up evaluation in clinical and neurophysiologic data were assessed by means of the Student t test for paired samples and the McNemar test. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant; due to the exploratory nature of the study, a adjustments were not performed. Statistical analyses were conducted with IBM SPSS Statistics 19 software (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL).

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

We received approval from the local ethical standards committee on human studies (No. 17009), and written informed consent was obtained from all patients (or guardians of patients) participating in the study (consent for research).

We obtained authorization for disclosure (consent to disclose) of any recognizable persons in photographs or videos or other information that may be published.

Data Availability

Anonymized data will be shared by request from any qualified investigator.

Results

Compared to baseline, after stable treatment with SO, children improved in clinical complaints, showing a decrease in the Epworth Sleepiness Scale score (14.4 ± 4.25 vs 7.8 ± 2.59; T [18] = 5.05, p < 0.0001) and a different distribution of sleep stages, with a decrease in the percentage of non-REM (NREM) sleep stage 1 (14.2 ± 9.01 vs 3.25 ± 4.87; T [18] = 4.04, p < 0.0005) and in REM sleep (23.1 ± 7 vs 13.1 ± 6.45; T [18] = 6.50, p < 0.0001) and an increase in NREM sleep stage 3 (11.1 ± 10.13 vs 53.9 ± 17.96; T [18] = −5.34, p < 0.0001). RAI significantly increased from 0.68 to 0.81 (T [18] = −3.27, p < 0.005). In analyses of motor events/behaviors during nighttime, it emerged that while simple motor episodes during NREM sleep tended to increase, complex movements during REM sleep decreased, although these observations did not reach statistical significance. Data are reported in the table.

Figures 1 and 2 show an example of v-PSG of a child with NT1 at baseline and after SO, respectively.
Our findings indicate that RAI significantly increased after stable treatment with SO in children with NT1, indicating an improvement in muscle atonia control during REM sleep. The parallel tendency for a decrease in motor events/behaviors during REM sleep leads us to infer a direct role of SO in modulating motor control during REM sleep.

On the contrary, simple motor events showed a tendency to increase during NREM, in line with a large cohort study of 116 adults with NT1 evaluated after 2 months of SO treatment in whom an increase in brief (<0.5 seconds) motor chin EMG activation was seen during NREM sleep at lower doses (up to 4.5 g) of SO.7

In our cohort, the significant increase in RAI implies that the decrease in motor episodes during REM sleep cannot be ascribed only to the reduction in the amount of REM sleep and the increase in deep sleep but reflects a direct effect of SO in improving and stabilizing muscle atonia during REM sleep.

Previous studies showed a different effect on muscle tone modulation if SO was administered short term or long term in NT1. Short-term administration of SO did not show an immediate effect on chin muscle tone compared to baseline.9 Contrary to this, a single case report6 and a cohort study of 116 patients with narcolepsy-cataplexy7 showed a direct effect on muscle tone.7 In the cohort study, the effect seemed to be dose and sleep stage dependent, with low doses increasing short muscle activity in both NREM and REM sleep, while high doses decreased longer muscle activations (>0.5 seconds) during REM sleep.7

This is quite compelling because it seems not to be the case in iRBD, for which systematic studies are lacking. However, a report of 2 adult male patients with violent iRBD showed the persistence of RSWA and RBD episodes at v-PSG, even if patients subjectively reported an improvement in symptoms.5 In particular, 1 patient reported that he could not recall dreams anymore. This allows us to suppose that the beneficial effect of SO in iRBD is likely related to the modification of dream mentation5 rather than to a direct action on muscle

Discussion

Table Neurophysiologic Findings

|                          | Baseline, Mean ± SD (n = 19) | After SO (6.4 ± 1.2 g),a Mean ± SD (n = 19) | p Value |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| Sleep latency, min       | 3.7 ± 5                      | 12.1 ± 7                                    | <0.005  |
| REM latency, min         | 41.2 ± 59.79                 | 22.0 ± 44.08                                | NS      |
| Total sleep time, min    | 443.8 ± 59.65                | 447.7 ± 29.02                               | NS      |
| Sleep period, min        | 495.9 ± 40.85                | 485.7 ± 24.72                               | NS      |
| Sleep efficiency, %      | 89.6 ± 9.82                  | 93.5 ± 4.73                                 | NS      |
| WASO, min                | 52.1 ± 51.60                 | 31.2 ± 22.15                                | NS      |
| Stage N1, %              | 14.2 ± 9.01                  | 3.2 ± 4.87                                  | <0.0001 |
| Stage N2, %              | 35.6 ± 9.14                  | 29.8 ± 13.39                                | NS      |
| Stage N3, %              | 27.1 ± 10.05                 | 53.9 ± 17.96                                | <0.0001 |
| Stage R, %               | 23.1 ± 7                     | 13.1 ± 6.45                                 | <0.0001 |
| PLMS index, n/h          | 11.1 ± 10.13                 | 8.2 ± 9.19                                  | NS      |
| RAI                      | 0.68 ± 0.16                  | 0.81 ± 0.011                                | <0.005  |

Symptoms and nocturnal episodes

|                          | ESS score 14.37 ± 4.25, % | ESS score 7.84 ± 2.59, % | p Value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Hypnagogic hallucinations| 57.9                      | 42.1                     | 73.7    | 26.3 | NS |
| Sleep paralysis          | 89.5                      | 10.5                     | 78.9    | 21.1 | NS |
| Simple motor episodes in NREM | 36.8                  | 63.1                     | 0       | 100 | NS |
| Simple motor episodes in REM       | 5.3                      | 94.7                     | 5.3      | 94.7 | NS |
| Complex motor episodes in REM       | 36.8                     | 63.2                     | 78.9     | 21.1 | NS |

Abbreviations: ESS = Epworth Sleepiness Scale; NREM = non-REM; NS = nonsignificant; N1, N2, N3 = stage 1, 2, and 3 of NREM sleep; PLMS = periodic limb movements during sleep; RAI = REM atonia index; SO = sodium oxybate; WASO = wakefulness after sleep onset.

*a Mean dosage of SO ± SD.

Discussion

Our findings indicate that RAI significantly increased after stable treatment with SO in children with NT1, indicating an improvement in muscle atonia control during REM sleep. The parallel tendency for a decrease in motor events/behaviors during REM sleep leads us to infer a direct role of SO in modulating motor control during REM sleep.

On the contrary, simple motor events showed a tendency to increase during NREM, in line with a large cohort study of 116 adults with NT1 evaluated after 2 months of SO treatment in whom an increase in brief (<0.5 seconds) motor chin EMG activation was seen during NREM sleep at lower doses (up to 4.5 g) of SO.7

In our cohort, the significant increase in RAI implies that the decrease in motor episodes during REM sleep cannot be ascribed only to the reduction in the amount of REM sleep and the increase in deep sleep but reflects a direct effect of SO in improving and stabilizing muscle atonia during REM sleep.
Two additional case reports in the literature show improvement of RBD episodes in iRBD with SO, but neuropsychiologic parameters were not collected. The different effect on tone modulation and the effect on motor episodes by SO in children with NT1 confirm that even if iRBD and RBD within narcolepsy share overlapping

**Figure 1** Video-Polysomnography of a Child With Type 1 Narcolepsy at Baseline (Drug Free)

(A) Simple gesturing during non-REM sleep. (B) Complex gesturing during REM sleep (video segments and chin EMG channels).

**Figure 2** Video-Polysomnography of a Child With Type 1 Narcolepsy After 3 Months of Stable Treatment With Sodium Oxybate

(A) Complex motor episodes, i.e., non-REM parasomnia (video segments and chin EMG channels). (B) Simple gesturing during REM sleep.
features, they have different mechanisms.\textsuperscript{11} Indeed, motor behaviors during REM sleep in patients with NT1 are simpler and calmer and occur throughout the whole night, contrary to patients with iRBD in whom more vivid and energetic episodes are observed, mainly in the second half of the night.\textsuperscript{10} Episodes are also different from a neurophysiologic standpoint, with patients with narcolepsy presenting increased chin EMG tone and phasic activations in all sleep stages and dissociated EEG features and patients with iRBD having only RSWA.\textsuperscript{11}

This study shows SO to be efficacious not only in treating clinical symptoms of narcolepsy but also in positively modulating motor activity during REM sleep, with the potential to reduce RBD episodes. The effect on muscle tone and on episodes during NREM is, however, still controversial; indeed, SO has been reported to trigger NREM parasomnia and to increase short muscle activations during NREM sleep at a lower dose.\textsuperscript{7} Similarly, in our cohort, we observed the tendency to increase simple movements in NREM sleep, putatively because of its GABAergic mechanism (videos 1 and 2).

SO promises to be useful in reducing RBD in children with NT1 by directly modulating muscle tone during REM sleep. Further studies are needed to confirm our findings in adults with NT1 and in those with iRBD.
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