The expression of Toll-like receptors in murine Müller cells, the glial cells in retina
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Abstract Müller cells, the principal glial cells of the retina, play an important role in immune responses. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are members of the pattern recognition receptor family and mediate innate and adaptive immune responses. In this study, we isolated, characterized Müller cells from mouse retina, and analyzed the expression of TLRs in these cells. We found that the mRNA of TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR5 was highly expressed by Müller cells. PAM3 and LPS, the agonists for TLR2 and TLR4, promoted Müller cells to produce the inflammatory cytokine Interleukine-6 and the chemokine MIP-2/CXCL2. These results suggest that Müller cells may be involved in innate and adaptive responses via TLR signaling in the eye. Our study should facilitate further study of the role of Müller cell in eye diseases and identification of the potential therapeutic targets.
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Introduction

In mammalian retina, three types of glia have been identified: Muller glia, astroglia or astrocytes, and microglia. Müller cells constitute the main glial population in the retina [1]. They share a lineage with retinal neurons, and both Müller cells and neurons are derived from a common progenitor that is multipotent at all stages of retinal histogenesis [2]. They extend throughout the whole thickness of the neural retina, with nuclei in the inner nuclear layer and many fine processes surrounding neuronal cell bodies, axons, and blood vessels [3]. Müller cells subserve many of the metabolic, ionic, and extracellular buffering requirements of neurons [1]. Although much is yet to be learned about the functions of Müller cells in the retina, it is clear that they play important roles in retinal development, in the preservation of its integrity and in maintaining neuronal survival [4–6]. Thus, Müller cells constitute an anatomical link between the retinal neurons and the compartments to exchange molecules. This link is not merely anatomical but also functional. For this purpose, Müller cells are endowed with a wealth of different ion channels, ligand receptors, transmembrane transporter molecules, and enzymes [1, 7]. Many of these molecules are specifically expressed by Müller cells [8].

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are a family of pattern recognition receptors which recognize distinct pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), including molecules from bacteria, viruses, fungi, protozoa, and host cells [9–12]. Thus far, TLR1–10 in human and TLR1–9, 11–13 in mouse have been identified, and many of their ligands are known. For example, TLR2 is involved in the response to microbial lipoproteins and peptidoglycan, such as those in microbacteria, Gram-positive bacteria, and yeasts. TLR4 is required for the recognition of endotoxin of Gram-negative bacteria [11]. These receptors constitute the first line of defense against pathogens and play a crucial role in innate immune system by activating NF-κB and other signaling pathways to produce inflammatory cytokines [10, 11]. Furthermore, TLR signaling is also involved in the development of adaptive immune responses by upregulating costimulatory molecules of antigen presenting cells.
(APCs) [12]. Thus, TLRs play pivotal roles in innate and adaptive immune responses.

Several studies have shown the expression of TLRs in various cells in human central nervous system, including microglia, astrocytes, neurons, and vascular endothelial cell [13–15]. Since Müller cells constitute the main glial population of the retina, TLRs have ever been supposed to express in the Müller cells. Recent study showed that human Müller gail expressed all ten TLRs and the treatment by TLR ligands could enhance the expression of respective TLRs [14, 16]. The expression of TLR2, TLR3, and TLR4 has been detected activated retinal astrocytes isolated from B6 mice and also different TLR ligands had distinct stimulatory effects on these cells [17]. However, the expression of TLR and their responsiveness to TLR ligands in mouse retinal Müller cells remain to be investigated.

In this study, we established a stable Müller cell line from C57BL/6 mouse retina and determined their characteristics that provided a prerequisite for investigations on their properties. We found that TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR5 were expressed in murine Müller cells. We also investigated the activation of TLR2 and TLR4 in the Müller cells in response to the stimulation by respective ligand. The results showed that Müller cells stimulated by PAM3 and LPS, the agonists for TLR2 and TLR4, could markedly produce the inflammatory cytokine Interleukine-6 and the chemokine MIP-2/CXCL2. Our results suggest that Müller cells may play an important role in regulating innate and adaptive responses via TLR signaling in the eye.

**Materials and methods**

**Experimental animals**

Seven-day-old C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Laboratory Animal Center of Sun yat-sen University and approved by experimental animals monitoring station of Guangdong Province. Animal care and use were in compliance with institutional guidelines and with the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

**Tissue preparation, isolation, and culture of retinal Müller cells**

Müller cells were isolated from mouse retina by collecting retinas from eight mice (7-day-old). First, eyes from the mice were collected and the connective tissue was removed. Then the eyes were immersed in serum-free DMEM on ice. Under a dissecting microscope, the anterior segment and vitreous were discarded. The neural retinas were rinsed with serum-free DMEM and collected by centrifugation at 400 g for 10 min and resuspended in complete medium (DMEM medium containing 10 % fetal bovine serum, 1 % L-glutamine and 1 % penicillin/streptomycin, Gibco). Then the retinal fragments were dissociated by gentle trituration through a Pasteur pipette and seeded on a single well of a six-well plate and incubated at 37 °C with 5 % CO₂. Purification of cell cultures was obtained by repeating vigorous washing and removal of supernatant with non-attached cells (neuronal cells). Purity of primary culture was initially assessed by verification of homologous morphology using phase-contrast microscopy. When approaching confluence, cells were detached using 0.25 % trypsin/EDTA (Gibco) and subsequently split to obtain subcultures. Dissociated cells were cultured using complete medium at 37 °C with 5 % CO₂. Müller cells were used in experiments after culture for 4–6 passages.

**Real-time RT-PCR of TLR expression**

Müller cells of passage 4 were seeded (5 × 10⁵ per well) in six-well plates. After cultured for 24 h, total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA was synthesized using PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (TaKaRa) in a final volume of 20 μL. The cDNA reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 15 min to synthesize and then 85 °C for 15 s to end. Real-time PCR assay was performed in ABI PRISM 7000, and the gene expression was examined by SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen) with preincubation at 50 °C for 2 min and 95 °C for 2 min, and amplification of 40 cycles that was set for 15 s at 95 °C, and the annealing for 30 s at 60 °C. PCR amplification was performed in triplicate, and water was used to replace cDNA in each run as a negative control. The concentration
of the gene of interest was determined using the comparative threshold cycle (CT) number and normalized to that of the GAPDH (amount of target = $2^{-\Delta CT}$). The mouse GAPDH, TLR1–9, and TLR11–13 specific primers used in reaction were listed in Table 1.

Analysis of cytokine secretion by stimulated Müller cells with PAM3 and LPS

Müller cells of passage 6 were seeded (5 $\times$ 10^5 per well) in six-well plates. After being cultured for 24 h, Müller cell monolayer was incubated in the presence of PAM3 (1 µg/ml) or LPS (0.1 µg/ml), or PBS control. After 6 h, cells were collected and total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent. Real-time RT-PCR assays were performed as described earlier to determine mRNA expression of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines including Interleukine-6 (IL-6), Interleukine-1β (IL-1β), Interleukine-10 (IL-10), Tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), MIP-2/CXCL2, MCP-1/CCL2, and MIP-1α/CCL3. The specific primers’ sequences are shown in Table 2. PCR amplification was performed in triplicate, and water was used to replace cDNA in each run as a negative control. The CT method normalized to GAPDH was used to analyze relative changes in gene expression (amount of target = $2^{-\Delta CT}$).

To determine the protein production of cytokines and chemokines released by stimulated Müller cell, 5 $\times$ 10^5 cells were seeded in a well of six-well plates. After being cultured for 24 h, cells were, respectively, challenged with TLR2 and TLR4 ligand, PAM3 (1 µg/ml) and LPS (0.1 µg/ml) for another 24, 48, and 72 h. Müller cells cultured in the absence of TLR ligand were included as controls. Then culture media were collected and ELISA used for measurement of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. ELISAs were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN). The amount of cytokines in the culture media was expressed as pictograms per milliliter media.

**Statistics**

Experiments were repeated three times. Results were highly reproducible. Figures show pooled data from repeat

| Gene   | Sequence (5’→3’)                      | Accession number | Size (bp) |
|--------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| GAPDH  | F: TGAGCAAGAGAGGCCCTATC R: AGGCCCTCCTGGTATATG | NM_008084.2      | 94        |
| TLR1   | F: TCAAGTGTCGACTGATTGC R: TAGTGCTGACGGACACATCC | NM_030682.1      | 178       |
| TLR2   | F: CAGCGAGAAGACCTCAGCCC R: CAAAGAGCCTGAACTTGGAG | NM_011905.3      | 193       |
| TLR3   | F: CCTCCAATGCTGCTACCAGTTC R: GCCTGCGTATGTATTGGTC | NM_126166.4      | 230       |
| TLR4   | F: CAAATATCCCAAGGAAGGC R: GAAGGGGATGACTTCCACC | NM_021297.2      | 206       |
| TLR5   | F: AGTATCCTCATGCTGGTG R: AATGGTGGTCATTGGTCG | NM_016928.2      | 219       |
| TLR6   | F: TGGATGTCCTCAACATCACC R: GCAGCTTATAGCGAGCTGAC | NM_011604.3      | 206       |
| TLR7   | F: TTTCTCTCCGTAGCCTGAGCC R: GTAGCTGAGCTGTCAGATCC | NM_133211.3      | 203       |
| TLR8   | F: TCTAATGGCCCTTGTCAGAGG R: ATGGCAGAGCTGATGCTTCC | NM_133212.2      | 273       |
| TLR9   | F: CAAAGACACTGTTGAGCAGTC R: TGGGATAGCTGCGACAAGTC | NM_031178.2      | 200       |
| TLR11  | F: TCCATGCTGATGCTGCCTA A R: TCCGCTATCCTGTTGCAAGT | NM_205819.2      | 86        |
| TLR12  | F: GCAGCCTACATCAGGCTAC T R: CTCCAGATTGAGCTGATGCTA | NM_205823.2      | 89        |
| TLR13  | F: ATGCCAAGAGAGGAGAAAGAA R: CTGGTGATACCCTGCTCAG | NM_205820.1      | 80        |
experiments, or representative experiments, as indicated. The statistical analysis was performed using an independent \( t \) test. \( p \) values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

Isolation and culture of Müller cells

Primary cultures of dissociated retinal cells appeared heterogeneous after 3 days of incubation. To characterize cultured cells, phase-contrast microscopy was used to assess morphological features. Besides single cells and debris, non-adherent cells forming cellular clusters were observed. By gentle panning of the culture plate, some cells were attached to the dish. By day 5, non-confluent cells started to form bipolar extensions exhibiting a flat and elongated shape. On the 7th day, the culture supernatant and non-adherent debris were removed and the cells were vigorously washed, leaving single, asymmetrical adherent cells. Forming of projections increased within the next 48–72 h of culture resulting in pronounced Müller cell features comprising a characteristic bipolar morphology, cytoplasmatic projections, and an elongated shape (Fig. 1a). At this stage, the cells acquired the ability to proliferate. When approaching a confluent monolayer, cells of the primary cultures adopted a fibroblast-like morphology and

![Fig. 1](image-url) Morphology of cultured Müller cells, which were photographed using a phase-contrast microscope in a digital format (×100).

- **A** Cultured cells on day 10 displayed the Müller cell features comprising a characteristic bipolar, spindle-shape morphology, cytoplasmatic projections, a central nucleus, and an elongated shape.
- **B** Primary Müller cells were approaching a confluent monolayer on day 14 of culture and adopted a fibroblast-like morphology, and became increasingly flattened.

### Table 2

| Gene | Sequence (5' → 3') | Accession number | Size (bp) |
|------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| IL-6 | F:CCAGAGATACAAAGAAATGATGG R:ACTCCAGAAAGCACGAGGAAT | NM_031168.1 | 88 |
| IL-1β | F:ACACTCCTTATGCTCTCGGCCA R:TGTTTTCTTGTGACCCTGAGC | NM_008361.3 | 51 |
| IL-10 | F:GGTTGCACCAAGGCCCTTATCGGA R:ACCTGCTCCTGAGCTCTTGC | NM_010548.2 | 191 |
| TNF-α | F:GCACCACCATCAAGGACTCA R:TCGAGGCTCAGTAGTTG | NM_013693.2 | 51 |
| MIP-2 | F:CGCCCAAGCAGAGTGATAG R:TCCCTCCTTCCAGGTCTAGTGAAT | NM_009140.2 | 132 |
| MCP-1 | F:TAGGCTGGAGAGCTACAGAGG R:AGTGCTTGAGGTGGTTG | NM_011333.3 | 277 |
| MIP-1α | F:TGAATGCCTGAGAGCTCTTGG R:TTGGCAACAAACAGCCTTATC | NM_011337.2 | 134 |
became increasingly flattened (Fig. 1b). After 14 days, when reaching confluence, the primary cell culture was divided into subcultures by incubation with 0.25 % trypsin/EDTA. Then dissociated cells were plated in a 25-cm² flask and cultured with complete DMEM in an incubator at 37 °C with 5 % CO₂. Most subculture of cells were evenly distributed and attached to the flask within 24 h of incubation. These cells proliferated rapidly, approaching a confluent monolayer in 2–3 days. All passaged cells exhibited the same bipolar and elongated morphology with several cytoplasmic projections.

Immunofluorescence assay on Müller cells

It was reported that glutamine synthetase (GS) was a specific marker for Müller cells [18, 19]. However, recent reports showed that microglial cells in the brain also expressed GS [20]. Additional studies showed that microglial cells, but not Müller cells, expressed CD11b [21–23]. To determine the purity and exclude contamination of Müller cell preparations by microglial cells and further characterize the cultured cells, we performed immunofluorescence assay to stain isolated cells at passage 4. Cells grown on glass slides were stained with mouse GS and anti-CD11b antibodies. The result showed that GS was detected in all tested cells at passage 4. The expression was in a punctuated pattern that appeared predominantly around the central nuclei and less in cell projections (Fig. 2). The cells were negative in isotype control or anti-CD11b antibody staining (Fig. 2). These results indicate that all the cells examined were Müller cells rather than microglial cells.

![Immunofluorescence staining of GS on passage 4 Müller cells.](image)
TLR mRNA expression in Müller cells

Müller cells isolated from the retina of C57BL/6 mice at 4 passages as described as above were used. Total mRNA was extracted from the cells and real-time RT-PCR assay was used to determine the expression of TLR mRNA. Data showed that TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR5 mRNA were highly expressed in Müller cells (Fig. 3). TLR1 and TLR6, but not TLR7, TLR8, TLR9, TLR11, TLR12, and TLR13, were also detected in Müller cells (Fig. 3).

The expression of cytokines and chemokines by TLR ligand-stimulated Müller cells

Our above results showed that TLR2 and TLR4 were highly expressed in Müller cells. To determine the function of TLR2 and TLR4 on Müller cells, the cells were cultured with TLR ligands (PAM3 and LPS) for 6 h. Total RNA was isolated and real-time RT-PCR assays were performed. The results showed that the mRNA expression of IL-6, MIP-2/CXCL2, and MCP-1/CCL2 in stimulated Müller cells were significantly increased compared with control cells (Fig. 4). In contrast, the expression of IL-1β, IL-10, TNF-α, and MIP-1α/CCL3 had no significant change in the Müller cells which were treated with TLR2 and TLR4 ligands (Fig. 4).

Increased release of IL-6 and MIP-2/CXCL2 by TLR2 or TLR4 ligand-stimulated Müller cells

As the mRNA expression of IL-6 and MIP-2/CXCL2 were significantly increased in PAM3- and LPS-treated Müller cells, ELISA was used to measure the production of IL-6 and MIP-2/CXCL2 in the supernatants of PAM3- and LPS-stimulated Müller cells. In accordance with the real-time RT-PCR results, the ELISA results showed that the production of IL-6 and MIP-2/CXCL2 was markedly increased by PAM3 and LPS-stimulated Müller cells (Fig. 5). Our results thus indicate that Müller cells expressed functional TLR2 and TLR4 which play an important role in response to stimulation by microbial molecular patterns as well as potential endogenous ligands in the eye.

Discussion

In this study, we described a method for isolation and propagation of Müller cells from murine retina. We successfully isolated and characterized these cells and established a stable murine Müller cell line, which provides a resource for further investigations on murine Müller cell physiology and subsequent studies to elucidate their participation in most of the signaling highway and immune responses. Many different methods were previously used to isolate Müller cells from the retina of rat, rabbit, porcine, and equine [24–27]. In these methods, Müller cells were dissociated from enzyme-digested retina and purified using sequential density gradient centrifugation. Because Müller cells have the ability to migrate from the retina, adhere to plastics and proliferate in vitro [8], in our study, we successfully isolated and expanded Müller cells by a method in which retina was triturated into small pieces without enzyme treatment and cells obtained were cultured in complete medium.

Müller cells are often identified by morphology and specific markers. In our study, the morphology of Müller cells isolated from mouse retinas was similar to the
The morphology of Müller cells from human, rat, cat, rabbit, and guinea pig [26–31]. Previous studies showed that glutamine synthetase (GS) was a specific marker and exclusively present in Müller cells. However, recent studies showed that microglial cells in the brain also expressed GS. Therefore, we stained isolated Müller cells with the antibody against mouse CD11b, a positive surface marker for microglia, but not Müller cells. Our results indicate that all our isolated cells were Müller cells rather than microglial cells.

The discovery of mammalian TLRs has provided new insights into the association between innate responses to microbes and adaptive responses and consequently their impact on autoimmune diseases. TLRs recognize microbial as well as endogenous ligands from necrotic cells to induce inflammatory responses [32]. Many observations suggest a role of TLRs in triggering allergic and autoimmune diseases [33, 34]. On the other hand, unexpected observations have indicated that systemic TLR stimulation can prevent the onset of allergic and autoimmune diseases when it is implemented early enough in the natural history of the disease [35, 36]. Recent study showed that TLR2 played an important role in mediating innate response to S. aureus by Muller glia [37]. Our previous studies showed that signaling of TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9 is highly redundant in the adjuvant effect needed to induce experimental autoimmune uveitis (EAU) [38, 39]. Our recent study suggest that activation of innate immune system mediated by TLR3 signaling pathway is of importance in the pathogenesis of virus-induced autoimmune diseases [40]. In this study, we found that TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR5 were highly expressed in Müller cells. Our study also indicated that Müller cells expressed functional TLR2 and TLR4. These results suggest that Müller cells may be involved in endophthalmitis through TLR2 and TLR4 signaling. Our study will facilitate study of Müller cells in intraocular immune responses in endophthalmitis and to explore the potential targets for therapeutic agent.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to Dr Ji Ming Wang of the National Cancer Institute, NIH, for helpful critique of the manuscript. This project was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31170861) and by Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (S2011010006047, S2011010006048) and the Fundamental Research Funds of State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology (303060202400439).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Newman E, Reichenbach A (1996) The muller cell: a functional element of the retina. Trends Neurosci 19:307–312
2. Turner DL, Cepko CL (1987) A common progenitor for neurons and glia persists in rat retina late in development. Nature 328:131–136
3. Hollander H, Makarov F, Dreher Z, van Driel D, Chan-Ling TL, Stone J (1991) Structure of the macroglia of the retina: sharing and division of labour between astrocytes and muller cells. J Comp Neurol 313:587–603
4. Pfeiffer B, Grosche J, Reichenbach A, Hamprecht B (1994) Immunocytochemical demonstration of glycogen phosphorylase in muller (glial) cells of the mammalian retina. Glia 12:62–67
5. Poitry-Yamate C, Tsacopoulos M (1991) Glial (muller) cells take up and phosphorylate [3 h] 2-deoxy-D-glucose in mammalian retina. Neurosci Lett 122:241–244
6. Poitry-Yamate CL, Poitry S, Tsacopoulos M (1995) Lactate released by muller glial cells is metabolized by photoreceptors from mammalian retina. J Neurosci 15:5179–5191
7. Sarthy VP, Pignataro L, Pannicke T, Weick M, Reichenbach A, Harada T, Tanaka K, Marc R (2005) Glutamate transport by...
retinal muller cells in glutamate/aspartate transporter-knockout mice. Glia 49:184–196
8. Bringmann A, Pannicke T, Grosche J, Francke M, Wiedemann P, Skatchkov SN, Osborne NN, Reichenbach A (2006) Muller cells in the healthy and diseased retina. Prog Retin Eye Res 25:397–424
9. Foster SL, Medzhitov R (2009) Gene-specific control of the TLR-induced inflammatory response. Clin Immunol 130:7–15
10. Kawai T, Akira S (2007) Toll-like receptors. Curr Protoc Immunol Chapter 14: Unit 14 12
11. Takeda K, Kaisho T, Akira S (2003) Toll-like receptors. Annu Rev Immunol 21:335–376
12. Ebihara N, Chen L, Tokura T, Usbio H, Iwatsu M, Murakami A (2007) Distinct functions between toll-like receptors 3 and 9 in retinal pigment epithelial cells. Ophthalmic Res 39:155–163
13. Limb GA, Salt TE, Munro PM, Moss SE, Kehl PT (2002) In vitro characterization of a spontaneously immortalized human muller cell line (mio-m1). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 43:864–869
14. Linser P, Moscona AA (1983) Hormonal induction of glutamine synthetase in cultures of embryonic retina cells: requirement for neuron-glial contact interactions. Dev Biol 96:529–534
15. Luo C, Yang X, Kain AD, Powell DW, Kuehn MH, Tezel G (2010) Glaucomatous tissue stress and the regulation of immune response through glial toll-like receptor signaling. Invest Ophthalmo Vis Sci 51:5697–5707
16. Kong Y, Le Y (2011) Toll-like receptors in inflammation of the central nervous system. Int Immunopharmacol 11:1407–1414
17. Jiang G, Ke Y, Sun D, Wang Y, Kaplan HJ, Detrick B (2004) Innate immunity in the retina: toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling in human retinal pigment epithelial cells. J Neuroimmunol 153:7–15
18. Riepe RE, Norensen MD (1978) Glutamine synthetase in the developing rat retina: an immunohistochemical study. Exp Eye Res 27:435–444
19. Linser P, Moscona AA (1983) Hormonal induction of glutamine synthetase in cultures of embryonic retina cells: requirements for neuron-glial contact interactions. Dev Biol 96:529–534
20. Gras G, Samah B, Leone C, Porcheray F, Rimanoli AC (2012) Eaat expression by macrophages and microglia: still more questions than answers. Amino Acids 42:221–229
21. Ma W, Zhao L, Fontainhas AM, Fariss RN, Wong WT (2009) Microglia in the mouse retina alter the structure and function of retinal pigmented epithelial cells: a potential cellular interaction relevant to AMD. PLoS One 4:e7945
22. Peregoc F, Fumagalli S, De Simoni MG (2011) Temporal pattern of expression and colocalization of microglia/macroglia phenotype markers following brain ischemic injury in mice. J Neurinflammam 8:174
23. Wang M, Ma W, Zhao L, Fariss RN, Wong WT (2011) Adaptive muller cell responses to microglia activation mediate neuroprotection and coordinate inflammation in the retina. J Neuroinflammam 8:173
24. Eberhardt C, Amann B, Stangassinger M, Hauck SM, Deeg CA (2011) Isolation, characterization and establishment of an equine retinal glial cell line: a prerequisite to investigate the physiological function of muller cells in the retina. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl) 96:260–269
25. Guidry C (1996) Isolation and characterization of porcine muller cells. Myostatistically defined differentiation in culture. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 37:740–752
26. Hicks D, Courtois Y (1990) The growth and behavior of rat retinal muller cells in vitro. I. An improved method for isolation and culture. Exp Eye Res 51:119–129
27. Reichenbach A, Wolburg H, Richter W, Eberhardt W (1990) Membrane ultrastructure preservation and membrane potentials after isolation of rabbit retinal glial (muller) cells by papain. J Neurosci Methods 32:227–233
28. Lewis GP, Kaska DD, Vaughan DK, Fisher SK (1988) An immunocytochemical study of cat retinal muller cells in culture. Exp Eye Res 47:855–868
29. Kong Y, Le Y, Munro PM, Moss SE, Kehl PT (2002) In vitro characterization of a spontaneously immortalized human muller cell line (mio-m1). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 43:864–869
30. Malgorzata Goczalik I, Raap M, Weick M, Milenkovic I, Heidemann J, Enzmann V, Wiedemann P, Reichenbach A, Francke M: The activation of IL-8 receptors in cultured guinea pig muller glial cells is modified by signals from retinal pigment epithelium. J Neuroimmunol 2005;161:49–60
31. Sarthy PV (1985) Establishment of muller cell cultures from adult rat retina. Brain Res 337:138–141
32. Miller HH, Wolf H, Minarovits J (2008) Regulation and dysregulation of Epstein-Barr virus latency: implications for the development of autoimmune diseases. Autoimmunity 41:298–328
33. Hammond H, Chiappa M, Ferro F, Willart MA, Germain RN, Lambrecht BN (2009) House dust mite allergen induces asthma via toll-like receptor 4 triggering of airway structural cells. Nat Med 15:410–416
34. Trompette A, Divanovic S, Visinti A, Blanchard C, Hegde RS, Madan R, Thorne PS, Willars-Karp M, Gioannini T, Weiss JP, Karp CL (2009) Allergenicity resulting from functional mimicry of a toll-like receptor complex protein. Nature 457:585–588
35. Camateros P, Tamaoka M, Hassan M, Marino R, Moisan J, Marion D, Guiot MC, Martin JG, Radzioch D (2007) Chronic asthma-induced airway remodeling is prevented by toll-like receptor-7/8 ligand s28463. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 175:1241–1249
36. Sel S, Wegmann M, Bauer S, Garn H, Alber G, Renz H (2007) Immunomodulatory effects of viral TLR ligands on experimental asthma depend on the additive effects of IL-12 and IL-10. J Immunol 178:7805–7813
37. Shamsuddin N, Kumar A (2011) TLR2 mediates the innate immune response of retinal muller glia to Staphylococcus aureus. J Immunol 186:7089–7097
38. Fang J, Fang D, Silver PB, Wen F, Li B, Ren X, Lin Q, Caspi RR, Su SB (2010) The role of TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9 signaling in the pathogenesis of autoimmune disease in a retinal autoimmunity model. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 51:3092–3099
39. Su SB, Silver PB, Grajewski RS, Agarwal RK, Tang J, Chan CC, Caspi RR (2005) Essential role of the myd88 pathway, but nonessential roles of TLRs 2, 4, and 9, in the adjuvant effect promoting Th1-mediated autoimmunity. J Immunol 175:6303–6310
40. Ren X, Zhou H, Li B, Su SB (2011) Toll-like receptor 3 ligand polymosinic: polycytidylid acid enhances autoimmune disease in a retinal autoimmune model. Int Immunopharmacol 11:769–773