Large-scale modulation of star formation in void walls
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ABSTRACT
We perform a statistical study of the characteristics of galaxies in voids and void walls in the SDSS and 2dFGRS catalogues. We investigate dependencies of the distribution of galaxy spectral types and colours as a function of the relative position to the void centres for different luminosity and local density ranges. We find a trend towards bluer, star forming galaxies in void walls beyond the local density dependence. These results indicate that luminosity and local density do not entirely determine the distribution of galaxy properties such as colours and spectral types, and point towards a large scale modulation of star formation. We argue that this effect is due to the lower accretion and merger history of galaxies arriving at void walls from the emptier inner void regions.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The study of the galaxy population in large voids is crucial to understand the processes involved in the formation and evolution of galaxies. Voids have been found to occupy the greatest amounts of space in the universe, adding up to ~ 40% of the total volume of galaxy surveys (Patiri et al., 2005). This result has been repeatedly found using several catalogues at a variety of wavelengths (Hoyle & Vogeley, 2002), such as the Center for Astrophysics Survey (CfA, Vogeley et. al, 1991; 1994), the Southern Sky Redshift Survey (SSRS, Gaztañaga & Yokohama, 1993), the Point Source Catalogue Redshift Survey (PSCz, Hoyle & Vogeley, 2002), the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS, El-Ad et. al, 1997), the Las Campanas Redshift Survey (LCRS, Muller et. al, 2000), the 2degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS, Hoyle & Vogeley, 2004; Croton et. al, 2004; Patiri et. al, 2005) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, Hoyle et. al, 2005; Rojas et. al, 2005).

Even though voids occupy such a large fraction of the volume of the Universe, the very low number density of galaxies inside them (lower than 10% the average in the Universe) makes it difficult to obtain samples of void galaxies suitable for statistical measures. This is another reason why voids need to be studied in the largest possible surveys covering wide solid angles to considerable depths.

Several studies have been focused on the properties of galaxies in underdense regions. The luminosity function of galaxies in voids in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey has been measured by Rojas et al. (2005), who also study their photometric properties finding that in general the population of galaxies in voids is characterised by a fainter characteristic luminosity $L^*$ although the relative importance of faint galaxies is similar to that found in the field (i.e. the faint-end slope of the luminosity function in voids is similar to that of the field). Spectroscopic properties of void galaxies have also been studied in detail (Hoyle, Vogeley & Rojas, 2005); these results indicate that galaxies inside voids have higher star formation rates than galaxies in denser regions and are still forming starts at the same rate than in the past.

Another widely used application of void statistics is that of probing the bias in the galaxy distribution using large redshift surveys (Mathis & White 2002; Arbabi-Bidgoli et al. 2002; Benson et al. 2003; Goldberg & Vogeley 2004). Statistical studies of voids have also been found to provide invaluable information on higher order clustering (White 1979; Fry 1986) which can be used to probe models of galaxy clustering (Croton et al., 2004) and void properties such as sizes, shapes and frequency of occurrence, and how these properties vary with galaxy type. Void statistics in general, have been shown to provide important clues on the galaxy formation processes and can be used to place constraints on cosmological models (Peebles 2001).

Voids are also found in numerical simulations, either identified using the distribution of dark-matter particles, dark-matter haloes, or semi-analytic galaxies. Large scale cosmological simulations and mock galaxy catalogues have been used to study void properties, including their evolution, distribution of sizes and density profiles (Benson et al. 2003; Goldberg & Vogeley 2004; Sheth & van de Wiegert 2004; Patiri et al. 2004; Shandarin et al. 2004; Colberg et al. 2005;
and galaxies at distances \( > 1.15r_{\text{void}} \) are shown as grey crosses.

Figure 1. Stacked distribution of galaxies around SDSS voids of radius \( 9 \leq r_{\text{void}}/h^{-1}\text{Mpc} \leq 11 \); wall galaxies are plotted as black, filled circles, inner void galaxies are represented by open circles, and galaxies at distances \( > 1.15r_{\text{void}} \) are shown as grey crosses.

Figure 2. Upper panel: void density profiles traced by all galaxies in the SDSS (solid lines) and the 2dFGRS (dashed lines), for galaxies with \( M_r < -19.2 \) (SDSS) and \( M_{bJ} < -18 \) (2dFGRS). Void radii are in the range \( 10 \leq r_{\text{void}}/h^{-1}\text{Mpc} \leq 12 \). Middle panel: average local galaxy density as a function of normalised distance to the void centres. Lower panel: fraction of galaxies arriving at the void edges or walls could have experienced a different evolutionary history than their field counterparts. For instance due to the void material accumulating around them, or to the fact that void galaxies most likely spent their lives inside voids. Motivated by these facts, in this letter we will perform a statistical study of wall and normal galaxies using the 2dFGRS and SDSS, analysing spectroscopic and photometric properties of galaxies, taking into account the well known dependence on luminosity/stellar mass and local density (Balogh et al., 2004, Baldry et al., 2006, Dekel & Birnboim, 2006, Kannappan 2004, Lagos et al., 2008).

2 DATA SAMPLES

The samples analysed in this work are taken from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, Data Release 6 (SDSS DR6, Adelman-McCarthy et al., 2007) and the 2-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (Colless et al., 2003). The use of both catalogues allows us to probe a larger volume of space to improve the robustness of our results by using two independent surveys covering different regions of the sky.

We select the \( \sim 585,000 \) galaxies from the \( r < 17.77 \) magnitude-limited main spectroscopic galaxy sample from the SDSS DR6 (Adelman-McCarthy et al., 2007), which contains CCD imaging data in five photometric bands (ugriz, Fukugita et al., 1996). We will use to define this high quality photometry to define galaxy colours; in particular we will use the \( u-r \) since it has proven to be more sensitive to variations in galaxy properties and environment (e.g. Baldry et al., 2006). The 2dFGRS contains spectroscopic redshifts for approximately 230,000 galaxies. The source catalogue for the 2dFGRS is a revised and extended version of the APM galaxy catalogue from which a set of target galaxies, characterised by extinction-corrected magnitudes brighter than \( b_{J}=19.45 \), was selected for the construction of the 2dFGRS. In all our analysis we use a magnitude limit \( b_{J}=18.9 \) to avoid the variation of completeness with angular position. In order to quantify the properties of void galaxies we use the spectral classification introduced by Madgwick et al. (2002), included in the catalogue. Each galaxy is assigned a spectral parameter \( \eta \), which represents the average line strength in its spectrum. The spectral parameter \( (\eta) \) shows a strong correlation with the equivalent width of the H\( \alpha \) emission line and can therefore be interpreted as an indicator of the amount of star formation in the galaxy, which allows to define a spectral classification. The specific star formation rate in galaxies becomes higher as \( \eta \) increases. Given that 2dFGRS plate photometry is of less quality than SDSS CCD data we use \( \eta \) rather than \( b_{J} - R \) colours to characterise the star formation activity in 2dFGRS galaxies.

3 VOIDS IN GALAXY CATALOGUES

We apply the void finding algorithm described in Ceccarelli et al. (2006, hereafter C06), which tests the density inside spheres centred on a very large number of random centres for a wide range of sphere radii. For each centre the largest sphere that satisfies a low density criterion becomes a void candidate (note that only a small fraction of the initial, random candidate centres will satisfy the low density condi-
As a final step we remove small spheres contained in larger ones.

We have adopted $z = 0.08$ in the SDSS and 2dFGRS as the limiting redshift of our samples, so that the catalogues are complete for galaxies brighter than $M_r = -19.2$ and $M_{bJ} = -18$ in SDSS and 2dFGRS, respectively. This choice of maximum redshift is a compromise between well-resolved voids which require faint galaxies, and a sufficiently large volume in order to have enough void statistics. The adopted absolute magnitude limits imply that the galaxy number density is high enough to lower the effects of shot noise in the identification of small voids. We apply the void finding algorithm to the samples of galaxies described in table 1. Our resulting samples of voids are restricted to radii within the range $5$ to $15h^{-1}$Mpc, which comprises the best resolved systems suitable for our study (the resulting number of voids are shown in table 1). Fig. 1 shows the stacked distribution of galaxies around voids in the SDSS-DR6; the galaxy positions are in units of their closest void radius, and we restrict the $z$-coordinate to lie within $0.5r_{\text{void}}$ of the void centre to allow a better visualization. In addition to the simple check allowed by this figure, the reliability of the identified voids in all these samples has been carefully tested in C06.

4 GALAXIES IN VOID WALLS

In order to study the effects of the evolutionary history of galaxies arriving at the void edges we define the void walls as the spherical shells delimited by distances to void centres of $0.8$ and $1.15r_{\text{void}}$ (galaxies in walls are shown as black solid circles in Fig. 1; the upper limit marks the beginning of the decrement in the fractions or red galaxies).

4.1 Galaxy densities: global vs. local

Several papers have analysed the relative fraction of galaxy populations of different characteristic colour and morphology as a function of environment. The fact that local galaxy density may be crucial in determining the properties of galaxies has been explored by many authors since the pioneering work of Dressler (1982; see for instance, Balogh et al., 2004, Baldry et al., 2006, Rojas et al., 2004, Patiri et al., 2005, Hoyle et al., 2005). Given this well documented dependence, we perform a local density dependent analysis in order to analyse the properties of galaxies in void walls with respect to galaxies of similar local densities not residing in void walls. This analysis should allow to determine the relative weights of global and local effects on galaxy properties.

We define a local density parameter, $\Sigma$, as the projected number density of galaxies brighter than $M_r \leq -20.2$ in the SDSS and $M_{bJ} < -19.1$ in the 2dFGRS, within projected distances of $d < 2.5h^{-1}$Mpc, and radial velocity differences of $\Delta V = 1000$km/s. We use this fixed radius rather than the usual $\Sigma_5$ calculated using the fifth nearest neighbour, in order to avoid non-local effects at low density environments.

As can be seen in Figure 2 (upper panel), the average, non-local density in void walls is of a few tenths of the average density of galaxies in the full catalogue, in both the SDSS or the 2dFGRS. The middle panel shows the mean local density of galaxies (errorbars show the dispersion around the mean) as a function of void-centric distance; note that galaxies in void walls span a wide range in local densities, from nearly isolated to group/cluster galaxies, with similar values in the 2dFGRS and SDSS catalogues. The lower panel shows the relative fraction of 2dFGRS type 1 galaxies, corresponding to red, passively star forming objects ($\eta < -1.3$), and the fraction of red galaxies ($u - r > 2.2$) in the SDSS-DR6; The
| sample | $z_{lim}$ | Max. Lum. | No. of gals | No. of voids | No. of wall gals. |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|------------------|
| SDSS   | 0.08      | $M_r < -19.2$ | 66849       | 136          | 2674             |
| 2dFGRS | 0.08      | $M_{bJ} < -19.0$ | 26654       | 39           | 1432             |

Table 1. Characteristics of galaxy and void samples.

Figure 4. Red galaxy fractions for wall galaxies in low and high local density environments relative to galaxies outside voids. Results are shown for different galaxy luminosities (see the figure key).

Differences in the fractions shown in the 2dFGRS and SDSS are expected since the 2dFGRS is based on a bluer colour selection than the SDSS. It can be seen that at the edge of voids ($0.8 < r/r_{void} < 1.15$), there is a systematic drop in these fractions, which we will explore into more detail in the following sections, since this is expected to some degree given the well known relation between spectral morphology and colours with local density.

4.2 Bimodality of the galaxy distribution

Given that the bimodal behaviour of galaxies is a strong function of luminosity and local density, we have studied the distribution of SDSS-DR6 $u - r$ colours as a function of these two variables for galaxies in void walls and outside voids separately. Thus, any differences in these distributions can only be related to the astrophysical effects associated to the special star formation history of galaxies which today reside within void walls.

The results are shown in figure 3, where it can be appreciated that the full sample of galaxies shows the well documented effect that brighter galaxies of equal local density occupy preferentially the red peak of the colour distribution. However, we find a systematic trend of galaxies in void walls to be bluer at any given luminosity and local density values.

This can be seen more clearly in the fraction of red galaxies as a function of luminosity for the different local density ranges explored. The results are shown in Fig. 4. We notice that the red fractions in void wall galaxies are systematically lower than galaxies outside voids by up to

Figure 5. Distributions of spectral type parameter $\eta$ for 2dFGRS galaxies for different luminosity and local density ranges. Line types are as in Fig. 3

Figure 6. Fractions of galaxies with $\eta < 1.3$ for wall in low and high local density environments relative to galaxies outside voids. Results are shown for different galaxy luminosities (see the figure key).
Large-scale modulation of star formation in void walls

-0.18 ± 0.18 for the higher luminosity galaxies in high density regions, and by up to −0.19 ± 0.08 for faint galaxies in low local densities. Although with a lower statistical significance, this is also the case for galaxies with higher local densities. The global ratios adding together galaxies in the different luminosity bins provide higher significance results, where low densities (corresponding to the solid squares in the figure) show a ratio between wall and field samples of $f_{\text{red}}(\text{wall})/f_{\text{red}}(\text{field}) = 0.89 ± 0.05$, and high densities (corresponding to the solid triangles) $f_{\text{red}}(\text{wall})/f_{\text{red}}(\text{field}) = 0.88 ± 0.11$, a 2− and 1−σ detections, respectively.

We have also explored this effect using 2dFGRS data using the η parameter. Similar differences between void wall galaxies and the field can be appreciated in Figs. 5 and 6, where we show the distributions of η for different luminosities and local density bins, and the resulting ratios between late-type and total galaxy populations for wall and field catalogues. Although the significance is lower than in the SDSS, an excess of star-forming galaxies is seen for void wall galaxies particularly in low luminosity, low local density environments consistent with the results shown in Fig. 3. This reinforces the statistical significance of our findings by including the largest combined spectroscopic sample available to date.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have focussed on an analysis of properties of galaxies residing in void edges, aimed at exploring possible differences induced by the different interaction history of galaxies arriving at void walls driven by the wall expansion. Given the strong dependence of galaxy colour index and spectral type on luminosity and local density, we have considered different ranges in these two parameters to analyse the properties of the population of void wall galaxies compared to galaxies outside voids.

Our analysis indicates that galaxies residing in void walls are systematically bluer and more actively star-forming at a given luminosity and local galaxy density range. These results suggest that besides the influence of local environment, galaxies are also subject to a large scale dependent star-formation activity; in the case studied here, by the lower interaction history of galaxies escaping void interiors. This is an effect taking place over scales of the order of void radii, which in this study corresponds to 5−15h−1Mpc.

One important aspect that needs to be studied with larger samples of voids is whether this effect is also present at the more internal void regions, which may also show different properties to what is simply expected from the local density effects on galaxy properties.

This finding of a large scale modulation of star formation can be used to test galaxy formation scenarios, and adds an extra parameter in the relation between galaxy properties and environment.
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