The effects of sonication and gamma irradiation on the inactivation of *Escherichia coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in pomegranate juice
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background and Objectives:** Pomegranate fruit is a rich source of bioactive compounds. The serious concern over unprocessed fruit juices is microbial contamination, which effectively inactivated by thermal processing, but it significantly affects juice functional compounds. Therefore, the effect of gamma irradiation and ultrasonic on inoculated microbial to pomegranate juices was studied.

**Materials and Methods:** Two pomegranate cultivars were purchased from the Agricultural Research Center of Saveh, and their juices were extracted by a manual device and immediately centrifuged. Then the studied microorganisms were re-suspended in sterile pomegranate juices. The juices were continuously sonicated at amplitude levels of 50, 75 and 100% and times of 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15 min at temperature of 25 ± 1°C. Irradiation treatment was also carried out at various doses of 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3 kGy.

**Results:** The results showed that lower amplitude levels (50 and 75%) did not inactivate *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* significantly (<1.5 log reduction), while at 100% amplitude level for 15 min, their population reduced by 3.47 and 1.86 log cfu/mL, respectively. Gamma irradiation treatment at 1 kGy also reduced *E. coli* by 6.66 log cfu/mL, whereas at 3 kGy it reduced *S. cerevisiae* by 5.08 log cfu/mL.

**Conclusions:** The low-dose gamma irradiation could potentially inactivate the studied microorganisms compared to the sonication, which had less destructive effects on their populations. Further research is needed to determine the effect of these methods on other fruit juices for industry purposes.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Pomegranate fruit (*Punica granatum* L.) and its products have been used for centuries as a rich source of bioactive compounds (1), and it has recently been regarded as one of the new super-foods with health promoting effects (2). Total production of pomegranate in the world was approximately 1,500,000 tons in 2007 that Iran accounted for 47% of world production and the rest was mainly produced by other countries including Turkey, Afghanistan, India, Egypt, Spain, and the USA. Pomegranate is consumed as fresh fruit, juice, jam, jelly, and pomegranate supplements in the world (3). Traditionally, ready-to-drink pomegranate juice, which has a short shelf-life and is very similar to home-made pomegranate drink, is sold in grocery stores in Iran, without any specific processing and addition of preservatives.

Microbial contamination with acid-tolerant bacteria, fungi (yeasts and moulds), and pathogenic bacteria especially *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Listeria monocytogenes* is the serious concern over unprocessed fruit juices.
These microorganisms can survive in acidic conditions during prolonged storage (4). Microbial growth in unpasteurized fruit juices causes deterioration of nutritional and sensorial properties such as functional ingredients, color, flavor, and odor as well as leading to food poisoning due to pathogenic bacteria or toxicogenic fungi (5). Consequently, in order to ensure the safety of juice products, reduction of 5 log in pathogen population was enacted by the United States Food and Drug Administration, FDA (6).

Thermal processing is the most effective method for inactivation of microorganisms and enzymes (7). However, thermal pasteurization and sterilization techniques can reduce the organoleptic quality and freshness of foods (8-10). Non-thermal techniques compared with the thermal treatments have less destructive effects on nutritional and sensory properties of foods. Inactivation of spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms in food industry is the most important challenge of non-thermal technologies (11).

Some of non-thermal technologies as potential alternatives to thermal processing of foods include membrane filtration, osmotic dehydration, pulse electric field, ultrasound, ionizing radiation, high pressure, active packaging, and ozone treatment (7, 11). Ultrasound technology has shown important advances in food industries in the last few years and its application in food processing has been reviewed by Knorr et al (12). The potential of ultrasound for inactivation of microorganisms and enzymes has been reported in various researches (12, 13). In addition, food irradiation which is often called cold pasteurization can be used to control and inactivate spoilage microorganisms and food-borne pathogens, such as Salmonella, E. coli, Listeria, and Campylobacter (14). Irradiation of food products by gamma rays, X-rays, and electron beams has been used in 56 countries and their safety has been approved by the World Health Organization (WHO), the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), and FDA (14). The potential of gamma irradiation for inactivation of microorganisms such as L. monocytogenes, Salmonella enterica, Salmonella typhimurium, E. coli, coliform bacteria, total aerobic, yeast, and molds as well as enzymes has been reported in various researches (10, 15-17).

Although the effects of ultrasonic and irradiation on some fruit and vegetables juices have been reported by several researches, no or a little information is available about pomegranate juice sonication and gamma irradiation. The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of ultrasonic and low dose gamma irradiation on the inactivation of S. cerevisiae and E. coli in pomegranate juices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microbiological media. Malt extract agar and nutrient agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, USA), sorbitol MacConkey agar (Liofilchem, Teramo, Italy), dichloran rose bengal chloramphenicol agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used in this study. KH2PO4, NaOH, and NaCl were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was prepared based on the formulation recommended by Gabriel and Nakano (18).

Preparation of pomegranate juice. Fresh and commercially matured pomegranate cultivars of Malase Momtaze Saveh, MMS, and Alak Saveh, AS, were bought from the Agricultural Research Center of Saveh, Iran. Selection of pomegranate cultivars performed based on their physicochemical properties, higher total phenolic and anthocyanin contents and antioxidant activities, that published earlier (19). After deleting defective ones visually, each fruit was washed, drained, peeled, and then cut into pieces to separate the arils, edible parts of pomegranate, manually. Next, their juices were extracted by means of a manual device. The juices of Malase Momtaze Saveh arils, MMSA, and Alak Saveh arils, ASA were immediately centrifuged at 9500 g for 2 min at 4°C with a refrigerated centrifuge and subsequently refrigerated for further analysis.

Measurement of total titratable acidity (TA), pH and total soluble solids (TSS). Titratable acidity, pH, and total soluble solids (°Brix) of treated and untreated pomegranate juices were measured using methods described by Martinez et al (20). Also, maturity index (MI) was calculated by dividing total soluble solids to titratable acidity (20).

Preparation of inoculums and inoculation of test samples. According to recommendation of previous studies due to acidic conditions of fruit juices, E. coli, considered as pathogenic microorganisms that survive at acidic condition, and S. cerevisiae were...
examined in this study as spoilage and human illness microorganisms (4, 5). S. cerevisiae (PTCC 5052) and E. coli (RITCC 1177) were obtained from the Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology (IROST) and the Razi Institute Type Culture Collection (Tehran, Iran), respectively. Lyophilized S. cerevisiae and E. coli revived on malt extract agar and nutrient agar, and subsequently maintained at 4°C. The cells of E. coli and S. cerevisiae were loop-inoculated in nutrient broth (pH 7.0) and malt extract broth (pH 7.0), and then incubated at 35°C and 27°C, respectively for nearly 24 h without shaking in order to obtain cells in the early stationary phase (8, 18).

The resulting inoculated broth media were centrifuged at 6000 g for 5 min, and the precipitated cells were aseptically re-suspended in a 50-mL sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2) solution and used as the inoculums. The acclimatization time of the re-suspended cells must be no longer than 20 min prior to inactivation (18). Then, 1 mL aliquot of E. coli and S. cerevisiae in PBS was re-suspended in 100 mL sterile pomegranate juice. The initial population of E. coli and S. cerevisiae in inoculated pomegranate juices was 4.5×10⁶ and 1.1×10⁵, respectively.

**Ultrasonic treatment.** An ultrasonic liquid processor (Misonix, Inc., New York, USA) supplied with a 19 mm diameter probe, amplitude levels of 24.4–61 µm, at constant frequency of 20 kHz was used to sonicate the 100 mL pomegranate juices. Ultrasonic treatment was carried out in a 150 mL double wall cylindrical vessel pyrex glass with 60 mm inner diameter, 80 mm outer diameter, 65 mm outer height and 55 mm inner height connected to a recirculating refrigerated water bath (Cooling thermostat: Lauda Alpha RA 8, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany) to attain a constant temperature in the juice sample during sonication. Ethylene glycol (2°C according to amplitude levels) with ow rate of 0.5 L/min was used as the refrigerant to remove the heat generated during sonication to maintain sample temperature constant at 25 ± 1°C. The ultrasound probe was submerged to a depth of 25 mm in the pomegranate juice to constantly sonicate at various wave amplitudes of 50, 75 and 100% and times of 0, 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15 min. For microbial studies, the inoculated pomegranate juices (100 mL) were sonicated in a 150 mL cylindrical vessel under the conditions described at the sub lethal temperature (25°C). The samples were recovered at the exit of the ultrasonic cell, poured into sterile glass tubes; the tubes were immediately immersed into and kept in an ice bath until survivor enumerations.

**Irradiation treatments.** The inoculated pomegranate juices with E. coli and S. cerevisiae were filled in sterilized amber Wheaton-320 glass vials (8 mL, Sigma, USA) under sterile conditions. Irradiation was carried out at various dosages of 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3 kGy using a Gamma cell-220 irradiator (Nordion, Canada). After gamma irradiation at ambient temperature, tubes were immediately immersed and kept in an ice bath until survivor enumerations.

**Survivor enumeration and decimal reduction times calculations.** Tubes containing the inactivated cells were serially diluted with PBS and then, the number of surviving E. coli were determined by surface-plating on sorbitol MacConkey agar and incubating at 35°C for 48 h. The number of surviving S. cerevisiae determined by surface-plating on dichloran rose bengal chloramphenicol gar and incubating at 25°C for 72 h. Then, the log reduction and D-values (decimal reduction) of the sonicated and gamma irradiated juices were estimated. Survival curves were drawn on a semi log graph by plotting the logarithmic number of colony-forming units per milliliter against sonication times or irradiation doses. D-value is the negative inverse slope of the plot represented the irradiation dose or sonication time required to inactivate 90% of the microbial population (8). The duplicate samples of two independent trials were prepared for each treatment. The log counts of colony-forming units for each dose and sonication time were averaged, mean values plotted and curves were fitted by linear regression. All experiments were carried out in duplicate and mean was calculated to express the final result.

**Statistical analysis.** All analyses were performed by means of SAS statistical software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc). Values with different letters within a similar row are significantly different. A value of P < 0.05 was taken to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The results of soluble solid content, pH, titratable acidity and maturity index of the studied pomegranate juice are presented in Table 1.
Ultrasound inactivation of *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* in pomegranate juices. The pomegranate juice samples were inoculated by a suspension of *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* in phosphate buffer, and then they were subjected to sonication with varying amplitude levels and times. D-values of ultrasonic treated samples of *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* are shown in Table 2. The D-values and log reduction of the studied microorganisms at lower amplitude levels were significantly (P < 0.05) less than higher amplitude levels. On the other hand, a significant difference in D-values and log reduction was observed among three amplitude levels. At higher amplitude levels, the inactivation rate of yeast and bacteria increased (Table 2). The inactivation of both microorganisms at lower amplitude levels of 50 and 75% were not considerable (< 2 log reduction), while a log cfu/mL reduction was observed at the lethal amplitude level (100%) and 15 min that reduced *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* populations by 3.37-3.56 log cfu/mL and 1.84-1.88 log cfu/mL, respectively (Figs. 1 & 2). There was a noticeable and similar reduction trend among pomegranate juices, especially in the *E. coli* population, whereas the reduction rate of *S. cerevisiae* population in the treated samples was different, so that the D-values of these microorganisms in MMSA and ASA samples at 75% intensity were significantly different. In addition, the results showed that *E. coli* compared with *S. cerevisiae* in the sonicated pomegranate juice had a greater log reduction indicating its lower resistance to ultrasonic when suspended in pomegranate juices. The results demonstrated that the pH of pomegranate juices had no significant effect on *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* inactivation.

Effect of gamma irradiation on inoculated juices. The effect of gamma irradiation on the survival of inoculated *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* (log reduction cycle) in MMSA and ASA pomegranate juices are shown in Fig. 3. The $R^2$ values of regression lines ranged from 0.94 to 0.98. The studied microorganisms including *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* in the fresh pomegranate juices inactivated by irradiation at 1 kGy and 3 kGy, respectively. The D-values of *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* in the juices were approximately calculated by 0.15 kGy and 0.58 kGy, respectively. The D-values varied depending on the type of microorganism used. It should be considered that irradiation dose up to 1 kGy could not completely inactivate *S. cerevisiae* in the fresh pomegranate juices. A decreasing trend in the studied microbial population was observed by increasing the irradiation dosage. Gamma irradiation significantly reduced the microbial contamination level with a dose dependent tendency (P < 0.05). The microbial population reduced to the below detection limit at 3 kGy for *S. cerevisiae* and at 1 kGy for *E. coli*. According to Fig. 3, the log reduction of *E. coli* cells at 1 kGy was 6.64-6.67, whereas the *S. cerevisiae* counts reduced at 3 kGy by 5.06-5.09 log cfu/mL. The log reduction of the studied microorganisms at various levels of gamma ray was significantly different, but the type of pomegranate juice (Fig. 3) showed no significant effect on the inactivation of microorganisms. Also, the D-value of *S. cerevisiae* was higher than *E. coli* indicating its higher resistance to gamma ray.

DISCUSSION

| Microorganism | Pomegranate juice | Ultrasonic amplitude levels (%) |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
|               |                   | 50  | 75  | 100 |
| *S. cerevisiae* | MMSA             | 16.94 ± 0.18<sup>a</sup> | 14.28 ± 0.27<sup>ab</sup> | 8.33 ± 0.21<sup>ab</sup> |
| *S. cerevisiae* | ASA              | 16.67 ± 0.47<sup>ab</sup> | 13.32 ± 0.23<sup>ab</sup> | 8.40 ± 0.15<sup>ab</sup> |
| *E. coli*      | MMSA             | 9.52 ± 0.14<sup>a</sup>  | 8.13 ± 0.17<sup>ab</sup>  | 4.05 ± 0.15<sup>ab</sup> |
| *E. coli*      | ASA              | 10.20 ± 0.08<sup>ab</sup> | 9.52 ± 0.14<sup>ab</sup>  | 4.41 ± 0.09<sup>ab</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Values with different letters (a–c) within a similar row and (x-y) within a similar column associated with each microorganism are significantly different (P < 0.05).
The maturity index (TSS/TA) is responsible for the taste and flavor of pomegranate, which some authors used for classifying the pomegranate cultivars (20). For example, this classification has been optimized for Spanish cultivars: maturity index (MI) = 5–7 for sour, MI = 17–24 for sour–sweet and MI = 31–98 for sweet cultivars (20).

Important spoilage microorganisms found on fruit surfaces are yeasts, moulds and acid-tolerant bacteria. pH influences the growth rate of microorganisms and its shift from 3.4 to 4.0 can significantly increase the growth rate of some acid-tolerant bacteria (17). The studied microorganisms were selected based on the previous studies on spoilage and human pathogens micro-organisms in fruit juices. Some researchers reported that yeasts are the principal fungi spoiling fruit juices (5).

The pomegranate juice samples were inoculated by a suspension E. coli and S. cerevisiae in phosphate buffer, and then they were subjected to sonication with varying amplitude levels and times. Ultrasound has been identified as a potential technology to meet the FDA requirement of 5 log reduction in pertinent microorganisms found in fruit juices (6, 21).

At higher amplitude levels, the inactivation rate of yeast and bacteria increased (Table 2), which is in accordance with previous studies (8, 22). Also, the results demonstrated that the source of pomegranate juices and consequently pH of these juices had no significant effect on E. coli and S. cerevisiae inactivation, which are in agreement with findings of Guerrero et al. (8). They reported that the inactivation rate of yeasts exponentially increased with increases in amplitude, but the reduction of pH (pH 5.6 and 3.0) did not significantly affect ultrasound yeast sensitivity except for experiments performed at high amplitude level and temperature of 45°C.

Ultrasound has been recognized as one of the non-thermal technologies that significantly reduces the microbial load, but preserving the organoleptic properties of fresh food products. The potential of ultrasound for inactivation of microorganisms and enzymes in food and model systems has been reported in various researches. The inactivation of E. coli in apple cider (23), Streptococcus mutans in physiological salt solution (24), Alicyclobacillus acidoterrestris in apple juice (25), L. monocytogenes in apple cider (13) and orange juice (26), Pichia fermentans in tomato juice (27), and S. cerevisiae in model system (8) has been investigated.

The inactivation mechanism of microorganisms is mainly based on physical and chemical factors.
Effectiveness of ultrasound on liquid foods. Among the physical effects, thinning of cell membranes, localized heating and pressure change (5500°C and 50,000 kPa); and chemical effects, production of free radicals such as OH, H due to sonochemical reactions are presented (24, 28). Effectiveness and efficiency of ultrasound in microorganism inactivation depend on the physicochemical properties of food, volume of food being processed, types of microorganisms, treatment temperature, power level and duration of the ultrasound treatment (9, 11).

The 5 log and 2.7 log reductions of Shigella boydii and L. monocytogenes populations in a sterilized saline solution were achieved after about 12.75 min and 20 min, respectively at temperatures below 37°C during sonication (29). The effect of sonication on Pichia fermentans in tomato juice at different times (2 to 10 min) was investigated (30) and concluded that using ultrasound in mild temperatures (40°C) for 7.5 min to reach the desired 5 log reduction in E. coli strains in fresh kale juice at 0-5 kGy (31), two typical radiation-resistant bacteria, Bacillus megaterium and Exiguobacterium acetylicum and polyphenol oxidase activity in fresh kale juice at 0-5 kGy (31), total bacteria and fungi in pomegranate juice at 0-10 kGy (15), total aerobic, yeast and mould in tamarind juice at 0-5 kGy (16) have been reported.

The mechanism of microbial inactivation by gamma ray is damage to DNA and somewhat protein denaturation (35). Ionizing radiations damage microbial DNA either by direct (single and double strand breaks of nucleic acids) or indirect reaction (hydroxyl radicals forming DNA either by direct (single and double strand breaks of nucleic acids) or indirect reaction (hydroxyl radicals formig DNA double strand breaks) or by production of singlet oxygen). The key organisms in food irradiation are yeasts and moulds, which have a higher D-value than pathogenic bacteria. In fruit juices, D-values reported for yeasts and moulds are from 1 to 3 kGy (32) and from 0.3 to 0.7 kGy for pathogenic bacteria (33). Buchanan et al. (33) showed that, a dose of 1.8 kGy should be sufficient to achieve the 5D inactivation of E. coli which recommended by the National Advisory Committee for Microbiological Criteria for Foods Irradiation.

The effectiveness of gamma irradiation in inactivating L. monocytogenes and S. enterica in fresh orange juice at 0-4 kGy (17), E. coli 0157:H7 and aerobic mesophiles, and yeasts and moulds in shredded iceberg lettuce at 0-0.55 kGy (34), S. typhimurium and E. coli in carrot and kale juice at 0-3 kGy (10) and total aerobic and coliform bacteria in carrot and kale juice at 0-5 kGy (31), two typical radiation-resistant bacteria, Bacillus megaterium and Exiguobacterium acetylicum and polyphenol oxidase activity in fresh kale juice at 0-5 kGy (31), total bacteria and fungi in pomegranate juice at 0-10 kGy (15), total aerobic, yeast and mould in tamarind juice at 0-5 kGy (16) have been reported.
radicals originating from radiolysis of water) (36).

In conclusion, low-dose gamma irradiation could potentially inactivate the studied microorganisms compared to the ultrasonic process, which had less destructive effects on their populations. Further study is needed to determine the effect of these methods on other fruit juices in order to apply them in juice industry.
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