Optical Monitoring of BL Lacertae Object OJ 287: a 40-Day Period?
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ABSTRACT

We present the results of our optical monitoring of the BL Lacertae object OJ 287 during the first half of 2005. The source did not show large-amplitude variations during this period and was in a relatively quiescent state. A possible period of 40 days was derived from its light curves in three BATC wavebands. A bluer-when-brighter chromatism was discovered, which is different from the extremely stable color during the outburst in 1994–96. The different color behaviors imply different variation mechanisms in the two states. We then revisited the optical data on OJ 287 from the OJ-94 project and found as well a probable period of 40 days in its optical variability during the late-1994 outburst. The results suggest that two components contribute to the variability of OJ 287 during its outburst state. The first component is the normal blazar variation. This component has an amplitude similar to that of the quiescent state and also may share a similar periodicity. The second component can be taken as a ‘low-frequency modulation’ to the first component. It may be induced by the interaction of the assumed binary black holes in the center of this object. The 40-day period may be related to the helical structure of the magnetic field at the base of the jet, or to the orbital motion close to the central primary black hole.

Subject headings: black hole physics — BL Lacertae objects: individual (OJ 287) — galaxies: active — galaxies: photometry — galaxies: jets
1. INTRODUCTION

Blazars represent a peculiar subclass of active galactic nuclei (AGNs). The most prominent property of blazars is their strong and rapid variability, which is believed to originate from a relativistic jet that is pointed basically towards an observer. Another characteristic of blazars is their high polarization, with the degree and position angle also being highly variable. Superluminal motions have been observed in a significant fraction of these radio-loud flat-spectrum sources. Blazars can be classified into flat-spectrum radio quasars and BL Lac objects, depending on whether or not they show strong emission lines in their optical spectra.

Ever since the discovery of blazars and their highly variable brightness, the efforts have never stopped in searching for a periodicity in their variability. The reason for doing that is that the periodicity can put strong constraints on the emission and variation mechanisms. Although most attempts failed to find any periodicity, some positive results have been claimed for several objects (e.g., OJ 287, Sillanpää et al. 1988; 3C 345, Webb et al. 1988; 3C 120, Webb et al. 1990; S5 0716+714, Quirrenbach et al. 1991; ON 231, Liu, Xie, & Bai 1995; Mrk 421, Liu, Liu, & Xie 1997; PKS 0735+178, Fan et al. 1997; BL Lac, Fan et al. 1998; Mrk 501, Hayashida et al. 1998; AO 0235+164, Raiteri et al. 2001). However, except for the case of OJ 287, none of the claimed periods have been seen repeatedly, and they appear to be only ‘transient periods’.

The BL Lac object OJ 287 is one of the best observed blazars. It is also the only blazar that shows convincing evidence for periodic variations. By good luck and its suitable location on the sky (very close to the ecliptic where most asteroid and comet searches are made), its optical photometric measurements can be dated back to more than 100 years ago. The most prominent feature in its historical light curves is the cyclic outbursts with an interval of about 12 years, based on which Sillanpää et al. (1988) proposed a binary black hole (BBH) model for this object and predicted that a new outburst would occur in late-1994. In order to verify the predicted outburst, an international project was organized to monitor OJ 287 in multi-wavebands. This is the OJ-94 project, which covered the time range from fall 1993 to the beginning of 1997. The predicted outburst was observed with one peak at 1994.8 and another at 1996.0 (Sillanpää et al. 1996a,b). This result confirms the 12-year periodicity in the optical variability of OJ 287.

The OJ-94 project found a double-peaked structure and a quite stable color for the major outburst (Sillanpää et al. 1996a,b). Therefore, the “old” BBH model by Sillanpää et al. (1988) had to be modified, since it cannot explain the double-peaked structure. New models include the “old” hit and penetration model by Lehto & Valtonen (1996), the precessing disk/jet model by Katz (1997), and the beaming model by Villata et al. (1998). These
new models also require a BBH system in the center of OJ 287, and can well explain the
12-year period, double-peaked structure of the outburst, and/or stable color (see a review
by Sillanpää et al. 1996b). However, radio and polarization observations (Valtaoja et al.
2000; Pursimo et al. 2000) show that the first peak in late-1994 is a thermal flare lacking
a radio counterpart, while the second peak in 1995–96 is apparently a flare dominated by
synchrotron radiation and is accompanied by a radio outburst. Two previous outbursts in
1971–73 and 1983–84 also have this property. These results can not be explained by the three
new models mentioned above. By incorporating the radio and polarization results, Valtaoja
et al. (2000) suggested a new hit and penetration model, in which the secondary black hole
hits and penetrates the accretion disk of the primary during the pericenter passage, causing
a thermal flare visible only in the optical regime. At the same time, the pericenter passage
enhances accretion into the primary black hole, leading to increased jet flow and formation
of shocks down the jet. These become visible as simultaneous radio-optical synchrotron
flares and are identified with the second optical peaks. Later, Liu & Wu (2002) derived
detailed parameters of the BBH system and estimated the mass of the primary black hole
as $4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$.

Alternatively, in order to explain the lack of a simultaneous radio flare in the late-1994
outburst, Marscher (1998) proposed that the late-1994 outburst comes from the base of the
jet, near the central engine, while the simultaneous radio-optical flare in 1995–1996 occur in
the radio core region, about a parsec down the jet. Since the base of the jet must be utterly
opaque to radio emission, the first flare is not observed in radio regimes. He also mentioned
that a “duty cycle” of winding up of the magnetic field at the base of the jet would also
result in major quasi-periodic injection of enhanced flow into the jet (Ouyed, Pudritz, &
Stone 1997) and hence the observed periodic outbursts.

In order to re-verify the 12-year period and to evaluate the various models on OJ 287,
more intensive monitoring should be carried out, not only during the outburst phases, but
also in its quiescent states. We monitored OJ 287 in the first half of 2005, about 1.5 year
before the predicted next outburst (Valtonen & Lehto 1997; Kidger 2000; Valtaoja et al.
2000; Liu & Wu 2002). The aims are to record the variability in its quiescent state, to
prepare a comparison to the variability in its outburst state, and to give more constraints to
its physical model. Here we present our monitoring results and compare them with those of
the 1994–96 outburst observed in the OJ-94 project. Section 2 describes our observations and
data reduction procedures. The results are presented in §3, and §4 describes our re-analyses
of the OJ-94 data. The physical processes responsible for the variability are discussed in §5,
and a summary is given in §6.
2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Our optical monitoring of OJ 287 was carried out on a 60/90 cm Schmidt telescope located at the Xinglong Station of the National Astronomical Observatories of China (NAOC). A Ford Aerospace $2048 \times 2048$ CCD camera is mounted at its main focus. The CCD has a pixel size of $15\mu m$ and a field of view of $58' \times 58'$, resulting in a resolution of $1''7$ pixel$^{-1}$. The telescope is equipped with a 15 color intermediate-band photometric system, covering a wavelength range from 3000 to 10,000 Å. The telescope and the photometric system are mainly used to carry out the Beijing-Arizona-Taiwan-Connecticut (BATC) survey (Zhou 2005).

The monitoring covered the time from 2005 January 29 to April 28, or from JD 2,453,400 to 2,453,489. As a result of weather conditions and observations of other targets, there are actually 27 nights’ data in total. We used the BATC $e$, $i$, and $m$ filters. Their central wavelengths are 4885, 6685, and 8013 Å, respectively. In most nights, we made photometric measurements in only one cycle of the BATC $e$, $i$, and $m$ bands, while in a small fraction of nights, more cycles of exposures were made. The exposure times are mostly 240 s in the BATC $e$ and $m$ bands and 150 s in the $i$ band. The observational log and parameters are presented in Tables 1–3.

The procedures of data reduction include positional calibration, bias subtraction, flat-fielding, extraction of instrumental aperture magnitude, and flux calibration. The average FWHM of stellar images was about $4''5$ during our monitoring. So the radii of the aperture and the sky annulus were adopted as 5, 7, and 10 pixels (or $8.5''$, $12''$, and $18''$) respectively during the extraction. We used the comparison stars 4, 10, and 11 in Fiorucci & Toste (1996) for the flux calibration of OJ 287. Their BATC $e$, $i$, and $m$ magnitudes are obtained by observing them and three BATC standard stars, HD 19445, HD 84937, and BD+17d4708, on a photometric night, and are listed in Table 4. Then, by comparing the instrumental magnitudes of the three comparison stars with their standard BATC magnitudes, the instrumental magnitudes of OJ 287 were calibrated into the BATC $e$, $i$, and $m$ magnitudes, and the light curves in the three BATC bands were obtained.

The BATC $i$ and $m$ bands are close to the Cousins $R$ and $I$ bands, respectively. One can transfer the BATC $i$ magnitudes to the Cousins $R$ magnitudes with the perfect linear relation $R = i + 0.1$ for normal stars (Zhou et al. 2003).
3. RESULTS

3.1. Light Curves

The light curves in the three BATC bands are displayed in Figure 1. Here we plot only the nightly-mean magnitudes, since the amplitudes of variations during all individual nights (if there are multi-cycles of exposures, see §2) are mostly less than 0.2 mag. The variations in the three BATC bands are basically consistent with each other. The overall amplitude was about 1.3 mag during the whole monitoring period, and the object was in a relatively quiescent state, as expected. Two cycles of variations show up in the light curves: The object varied from a minimum on JD 2,453,403 to a maximum on JD 2,453,426, and then went back to a new minimum on JD 2,453,449. With a sharp turnover, the object brightened again and reached a second maximum around JD 2,453,474. After that, the object dropped its brightness again to a third minimum on JD 2,453,489 (see also Tables 1–3). The time intervals are 46 and 40 days between the successive minima, and 48 days between the two maxima. The average is 44 days, which could be taken as the period of the variations.

One may argue that the minimum on JD 2,453,489 may not represent the actual end of the second cycle. But one can see from Figure 1 that the end parts of the light curves have very steep slopes, which implies that the object might get still fainter in magnitude, but will not spend too much in time to reach the apparent end of the second “cycle”. In other words, it appears probable that JD 2,453,489 is close to, if not actually at, the end of a second cycle.

3.2. Period of Variability

Visual inspection of the light curves in Figure 1 indicates a period of 44 days in the variations of OJ 287. In order to quantitatively derive the period, we performed a structure function (SF) analysis on the light curves. SF is frequently used to search for the typical timescales and periods in the variability (Simonetti, Cordes, & Heesch 1985). A characteristic timescale in a light curve, defined as the time interval between a maximum and an adjacent minimum or vice versa, is indicated by a maximum of the SF, whereas a period in the light curve causes a minimum of the SF (Smith et al. 1993; Heidt & Wagner 1996). SF is usually calculated twice by using an interpolation algorithm, first starting from the beginning of the time series and proceeding forwards, and secondly starting from the end and proceeding backwards. This may result in two slightly different SF curves but will provide a rough assessment of the errors caused by the interpolation process.
Figure 2 shows the SF of the light curve in the BATC $i$ band. There is a deep minimum at about 44 days, which confirms the 44-day period estimated with the above visual inspection. Besides the minimum at 44 days, there is a secondary minimum around 34 days on the SF curve. It should reflect the time interval between JD 2,453,426 and JD 2,453,460, the two consecutive maxima on the light curves (while the 44-day period mainly reflects the time intervals between consecutive minima). SF curves in the other two BATC bands also show both these ‘periods’. In principle, the time intervals between any two consecutive in-phase points in a periodic light curve should be equal to each other and equal to the period. Here the difference between the two ‘periods’ may be the result of the unevenly sampled data (for example, the actual second maximum may be between JD 2,453,460 and JD 2,453,473 where we have no observations) and the relatively short time coverage of our monitoring. The two periods are expected to converge in a longer and more evenly sampled monitoring program. So here we take the mean of them, $\sim$ 40 days, as the actual period in the variations.

Although both visual inspection and SF analysis indicate a period of around 40 days, it must be noted that our observations cover only two cycles of that apparent period. Moreover, in the light curves there are two gaps (JD 2,453,404–420 and JD 2,453,460–473), which may include additional maxima and/or minima. So this 40-day period needs to be confirmed with future observations, but it does lead us to search for this possible period in the earlier data. Indeed, much stronger evidence for the 40-day period was found, which will be reported in §4.

Besides the 40-day period reported here and the prominent 12-year period, Fan et al. (2002) have reported a period of 5.53 years for the optical variability of OJ 287. On shorter timescales, Efimov et al. (2002) observed an apparent period of 36.56 days for the rotation of the position angle of the optical polarization. Small fluctuations in intensity with periods of 10–20 min have also been claimed (Carrasco et al. 1985; de Diego & Kidger 1990) but have been (at best) of a transient nature. Our 40-day period is somewhat consistent with the period reported by Efimov et al. (2002), which will be discussed later.

### 3.3. Spectral Behavior

Spectral behavior involved in the variability of blazars can put strong constraints on their variation mechanisms, as demonstrated by Wu et al. (2005). Optical spectral changes with brightness have been investigated for a number of blazars (e.g., Carini et al. 1992; Ghisellini et al. 1997; Speziali & Natali 1998; Romero, Cellone, & Combi 2000; Villata et al. 2002, 2004; Raiteri et al. 2003; Vagnetti, Trevese, & Nesci 2003; Wu et al. 2005). Most authors have reported a bluer-when-brighter chromatism when the objects show fast flares and an
achromatic trend for their long-term variability. OJ 287 was found to have an extremely stable color during the 1994–96 outburst (Sillanpää et al. 1996b). Here we investigate its spectral behavior in its relatively quiescent state.

Following Raiteri et al. (2003) and Wu et al. (2005), we use color index to denote spectral shape, and calculate the color as $e - m$ and brightness as $(e + m)/2$ for the BATC intermediate-band photometric system. As in §3.1 and §3.2, the nightly-mean magnitudes were used to calculate the colors and brightness. Figure 3 displays the color–brightness dependence. The dashed line is the best fit to the points, with the errors in both coordinates been taken into account (Press et al. 1992). The Pearson correlation coefficient is 0.504 and the significance level is 0.017. So there is a significant correlation between the brightness and color index, or in other words, there is a clear bluer-when-brighter chromatism. This is consistent with the bluer-when-brighter trend found by Vagnetti, Trevese, & Nesci (2003), but is different from the extremely stable color during the outburst in 1994–96 (Sillanpää et al. 1996b).

The different spectral behaviors between the quiescent and outburst states may indicate different variation mechanisms. In fact, in the quiescent state, the essentially simultaneous optical and radio small flares (e.g., Pursimo et al. 2000; Valtaoja et al. 2000) and the bluer-when-brighter chromatism found in this work support the hypothesis that shocks propagating along the relativistic jet and interacting with the hydrodynamically turbulent plasma and twisted magnetic field should be responsible for the variations in the quiescent state (Wagner & Witzel 1995; Marscher 1998). On the other hand, it is very likely that the bulk increase in brightness during the outburst state is the result of the impact of the secondary black hole onto the primary accretion disk and the subsequent enhanced accretion (Valtaoja et al. 2000), as mentioned in §1.

4. THE 1994–96 OUTBURST REVISITED

After deriving a possible period of 40 days and obtaining the properties of the variability of OJ 287 in its quiescent state, we then tried to search for new proofs to the period and compare the properties to those in the outburst state. The predicted next outburst is in 2006 (Valtonen & Lehto 1997; Kidger 2000; Valtaoja et al. 2000; Liu & Wu 2002). So we at first look into the data of the outburst in 1994–96. The data on the 1994–96 outburst are from the archive of the OJ-94 project. With a visual inspection on the optical light curves of the outburst during 1994.7–1995.5 (e.g., Fig. 1 in Sillanpää et al. 1996b), we found that
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there were some small amplitude flares occurring at intervals of about 40 days overlaid on
the prominent outburst. This is in excellent agreement with the 40-day period found in our
monitoring program. We then analyzed the data in detail.

Our data analyses focused on the Johnson and Cousin $V$, $R$, and $I$ bands, which are
the most densely sampled wavebands in the OJ-94 project. We at first analyzed the light
curves from 1994.7 to 1995.5. In order to show the small flares more clearly, we at first made
a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) smoothing to the light curves. To have better smoothing
results, the light curves were truncated at both ends where the samplings are very low. The
smoothed light curves were then subtracted from the original ones, and the ‘residual light
curves (or variations)’ were obtained. The procedure is illustrated in Figure 4. The large
panels display the original light curves (pluses) and the smoothed ones (solid lines), while
the small panels show the residual light curves. Here we carried out 240-, 100-, and 140-
point FFT smoothings to the original $V$-, $R$-, and $I$-band light curves, respectively. In all
three small panels, the flares can be seen clearly around JD 2,449,638, 2,449,670, 2,449,717,
2,449,752, 2,449,790, and 2,449,832. Except for the first flares, all other consecutive flares
have intervals of about 40 days. The mean variation amplitude of the flares is about 1.0
mag, similar to that during the quiescent state (see §3.1).

Also notable is that there seems to be some sub-flares between the major flares men-
tioned above, i.e., at around JD 2,449,655, 2,449,700, 2,449,735, 2,449,775, and 2,449,817.
They are weaker but somewhat broader at peaks than the major flares. The time intervals
between them are also about 40 days, but, of course, the intervals between them and the
neighboring major flares are about 20 days.

In order to derive the period quantitatively, the SFs and $z$-transformed discrete corre-
lation functions (ZDCFs, Alexander 1997) were calculated (in auto-correlation mode for the
ZDCFs) for the residual light curves. The results are displayed in Figure 5. All three SF
curves have a deep minimum at about 40 days, and all three ZDCF curves show peaks at
40, 80, and 120 days. Both indicate a period of 40 days. That is to say, the SF and ZDCF
analyses confirmed the 40-day period found with visual inspections. This 40-day period is
in excellent agreement with the 40-day period reported in §3.2.

We then investigated the spectral behaviors of the residual variations. Figure 6 displays
the $(\Delta V - \Delta R)$ versus $\Delta V$ (left) and $(\Delta V - \Delta I)$ versus $\Delta V$ (right) distributions. As
in §3, we used the nightly-mean ‘residual magnitudes’ to denote the ‘brightness’ and to
calculate the ‘color’. There are strong bluer-when-brighter chromatism in both brightness–
color diagrams. The dashed lines are the linear fits to the points. The Pearson correlation
coefficients are respectively 0.506 and 0.488, and the significance levels are $8.07 \times 10^{-11}$ and
$1.74 \times 10^{-9}$, which indicate very strong correlations between the color and brightness. These
bluer-when-brighter chromatisms are again in agreement with the color behavior of OJ 287 during its relatively quiescent state.

After investigating the variations of the first peak in late-1994, we then checked the data piece of the second peak in 1995–96 and those before and after the two peaks. The second peak and the data after it do not show a similar period, while the light curves before the first peak show some signs of quasi-period oscillations (QPOs). Figure 7 displays the $V$-band light curve. Flares can be seen at JD 2,449,250, 2,449,293, 2,449,327, and 2,449,366, with intervals of about 40 days. After that, the light curve is characterized by three strong sharp flares (at JD 2,449,366, 2,449,415, and 2,449,476–482) separated by three weaker but broader flares (at JD 2,449,386–397, 2,449,440–459, and 2,449,501–514), a pattern very similar to that in the late-1994 outburst. The intervals between the sharp flares is 50–60 days. Because of the unevenly sampled data and the apparently changing intervals, we do not perform a quantitative assessment to this portion of the data, but the light curves may show QPOs.

### 5. Physics of the 40-Day Period

That the 40-day period shows up in the variations in both quiescent and outburst states gives us new insight into the prominent outburst of OJ 287. It seems that the variation during the outburst phase can be resolved into two components. The first component is the normal blazar variation (i.e., the residual variations obtained in §4, see the smaller panels in Fig. 4). It has the similar amplitude, period, and spectral behavior as the variations in the quiescent state. The second component can be taken as a ‘low-frequency modulation’ (the solid lines in the larger panels in Fig. 4) to the first component, and may be induced by the interaction of the assumed BBHs in the center of this object (Valtaoja et al. 2000; Liu & Wu 2002).

The variability of blazars can be best explained with the shock-in-jet model (Wagner & Witzel 1995; Marscher 1996), although sometimes the geometric (e.g., Wu et al. 2005) or propagative (e.g., Rickett et al. 2001) effects, or some other internal or external factors may also play a role. In the shock-in-jet model, a twisted relativistic jet originates from the central black hole and contains a hydromagnetically turbulent plasma. It undergoes fluctuations in its energy input and this causes shock waves to develop and propagate down the jet. Variability occurs when the shocks encounter fluctuations in the density of relativistic electrons, in the magnitude of the magnetic field, and in the orientation of the magnetic field.

For periodic variabilities, one usually turns to geometric origins, either a precessing jet or light house effects (e.g., Camenzind & Krockenberger 1992; Katz 1997; Lainela 1999; Wu
et al. 2005). However, periodic variations resulting from the geometric effects are likely to have a stable color. The bluer-when-brighter chromatisms of OJ 287 reported in §3.3 and §4 suggest that both kinds of periodic variations presented in this paper are not likely to resulted from geometric effects. Also, the fact that the variations occur in the optical regime and the presence of essentially simultaneous optical-radio small flares (Pursimo et al. 2000; Valtaoja et al. 2000) argue against a propagative origin for them.

In the first section, we have mentioned that Marscher (1998) has proposed that a “duty cycle” of winding up of the magnetic field at the base of the jet would result in major quasi-periodic injections of enhanced flow into the jet (Ouyed, Pudritz, & Stone 1997). Here we will not discuss this possibility in accounting for the 12-year period of OJ 287. But we suggest that Marscher’s mechanism does provide a good idea for explaining the 40-day’s periodic variations of this object. In fact, Efimov et al. (2002) have observed a 36.56-day’s periodic rotation of the plane of the polarization in OJ 287, which they considered as a direct evidence for a helical magnetic field structure in the jet of this object. The 36.56-day period is consistent with our roughly 40-day period, and their observations provide a strong evidence for the scenario of winding up of magnetic field at the base of the jet.

Another possibility for explaining the 40-day period may be related to the orbital motion of the accretion disk around the central primary black hole of OJ 287. At the redshift of 0.306, the 40-day period becomes \(\sim 30\) days at the rest frame of OJ 287. Adopting a mass of \(4 \times 10^8 M_\odot\) for the central primary black hole (Liu & Wu 2002), the 30-day period corresponds to the orbital motion at a radius of \(r \sim 17 r_S\), where \(r_S\) is the Schwarzschild radius. This radius may represent the inner radius of the accretion disk and the place from which the relativistic jet originates. (For examples, according to recent numerical simulations, jets may originate from several to 100 \(r_S\), see Meier, Koide, & Uchida 2001 and Hawley & Balbus 2002.) Some disk oscillations at this radius may ‘propagate’ and be kept in the jet, and result in the observed 40-day period.

The 40-day periods both in the quiescent states and in the first peak in late-1994 can be explained with the two scenarios described above. Then why don’t we observe the 40-day period in the second peak in 1995-96? According to the models by Valtaoja et al. (2000) and Liu & Wu (2002), the structure of the inner accretion disk is undisturbed in the quiescent state and in the first peak of the major outbursts. However, when the effects of the impact of the secondary black hole onto the primary accretion disk (see §1) propagate to the base of the primary jet (\(\sim 17 r_S\)), the structure of the inner primary accretion disk and the properties (electron density, magnetic field, etc) of the primary jet are changed, and the accretion rate and hence the jet emission are significantly enhanced. Then either the periodicity is destroyed or the period changes to a new value. So we do not observe the
40-day period during the second peak of the major outburst.

After the second peak, the changed structure and properties of the primary accretion disk and jet need some time to recover to the original states (in fact, the second peak is very broad and may extend to 1997, see Fig. 2 in Pursimo et al. 2000), so the 40-day period was not observed during the 1–2 years after the second peak.

Our re-visitation of the OJ-94 data can put some constraints to the physical model of OJ 287. In the old hit and penetration model by Lehto & Valtonen (1996), the precessing disk/jet model by Katz (1997), and the beaming model by Villata et al. (1998), the two peaks of the major outbursts are resulted from the same physical processes and thus should have nearly the same behaviors. Now the 40-day period shows up in the first peak but not in the second, which gives further evidence for the assumption that the two peaks are resulted from different physical processes. In other words, our results are consistent with the models by Valtaoja et al. (2000) and Liu & Wu (2002), in which the first peak is thermal while the second is dominated by synchrotron radiation.

6. SUMMARY

During our monitoring of the BL Lac object OJ 287 in the first half of 2005, the object did not show large-amplitude variations and was in a relatively quiescent state. A possible period of 40 days was inferred from its light curves. A bluer-when-brighter chromatism was found in the variations, which is different from the overall spectral behavior during the outburst state. The different spectral behaviors indicate different variation mechanisms. The optical variability of OJ 287 during the OJ-94 project was re-visited and again a probable 40-day period was discovered. The physics responsible for the 40-day period is discussed. The 40-day period may be related to the helical structure of the magnetic field at the base of the jet, or to the orbital motion close to the central primary black hole.

Except for the 36.56-day period discovered by Efimov et al. (2002), the 40-day period has not been reported before, even though OJ 287 has been observed for more than 100 years. There are only very sparse photometric measurements before the 1972 outburst (several or even only one measurement per year). After that and till 1993, much denser monitorings were carried out but were still not sufficient to reveal our claimed period of ~ 40 days. The OJ-94 project, which aimed at confirming the predicted outburst in 1994 and lasted from 1993 to 1997, provided the best opportunity to find the 40-day period. The reason that no author has previously derived the 40-day period from the OJ-94 data may be that a) the focus of the OJ-94 project is on the 12-year period, and b) the methods to search for period
in the variations (e.g., SF and ZDCF) cannot give correct results when the prominent overall outburst with large slope is not subtracted, as demonstrated by Smith et al. (1993) for the case of SF and illustrated in Figure 8 for the case of ZDCF.

Our monitoring revealed the 40-day period in the optical variability of OJ 287 in its quiescent state, but the duration covers only two cycles and there are two gaps in the light curves. The late-1994 outburst shows the 40-day period, but the major outbursts in 1971 and 1983 have sampling rates too low to reveal this period. Although our monitoring results and the OJ-94 data do support each other, and suggest that the 40-day period is unlikely to be a transient period, more data in both quiescent and outburst states are needed to confirm this period. Fortunately, the predicted next outburst of OJ 287 is in 2006 (Valtonen & Lehto 1997; Kidger 2000; Valtaoja et al. 2000; Liu & Wu 2002). A large number of telescopes in the world will surely monitor this object around the predicted time, and we will keep on monitoring it intensively in order to confirm the 40-day period both in the quiescent and outburst states.
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Fig. 1.— The light curves in the BATC $e$, $i$, and $m$ bands. Only nightly-mean magnitudes are plotted.
Fig. 2.— Structure function of the light curve in the BATC $i$ band. The minima at 34 and 44 days indicate the periods of the variation. See text for details.
Fig. 3.— Color index vs. brightness. The dashed line is the best fit to the points. There is a clear bluer-when-brighter chromatism.
Fig. 4.— Original (larger panels) and residual (smaller panels) light curves of the late-1994 outburst in the Cousins $V$, $R$, and $I$ bands, respectively. The solid curves are respectively the 240-, 100-, and 140-point FFT smoothings to the original light curves. The residuals are the differences between the originals and the smoothings.
Fig. 5.— SFs (left) and ZDCF s (right) of the residual light curves in Fig. 4. There is a deep minimum around 40 days in all SFs, and the ZDCF s show peaks around 40, 80, and 120 days, which both indicate a period of about 40 days.
Fig. 6.— The spectral behavior of the residual variations. The nightly-mean residual magnitudes are used here. The dashed lines are the best fits to the points and the correlation coefficients are respectively 0.506 and 0.488, which indicate strong correlations or strong bluer-when-brighter chromatisms.
Fig. 7.— The $V$-band light curve from 1993.7 to 1994.5 (data piece before the first peak). It shows some signs of QPOs. See text for details. The single point at (9356.5,14.86) is likely a false observation, so we do not take it into consideration.
Fig. 8.— ZDCF of the original light curve in the $R$ band in Fig. 4. Compared to the right panels of Fig. 5, the maxima at 40, 80, and 120 days is not so evident, so one can hardly extract the 40-day period from this figure.
Table 1. Observational log and results in the BATC $e$ band.$^{a}$

| Obs. Date$^b$ (yyyy mm dd) | Obs. Time$^b$ (hh:mm:ss) | Julian Date | Exp. Time (s) | $e$ (mag) | $e_{err}$ (mag) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|----------------|
| 2005 01 29                | 14:57:32.0               | 2453400.123 | 300           | 15.671  | 0.144          |
| 2005 01 29                | 15:24:21.0               | 2453400.142 | 480           | 15.425  | 0.119          |
| 2005 01 30                | 16:32:48.0               | 2453401.189 | 240           | 15.602  | 0.064          |
| 2005 01 30                | 16:44:07.0               | 2453401.197 | 240           | 15.519  | 0.060          |
| 2005 01 30                | 16:58:03.0               | 2453401.207 | 240           | 15.525  | 0.057          |
| 2005 01 30                | 17:11:56.0               | 2453401.217 | 240           | 15.539  | 0.070          |
| 2005 01 30                | 17:26:02.0               | 2453401.226 | 240           | 15.394  | 0.058          |
| 2005 01 30                | 17:40:07.0               | 2453401.236 | 240           | 15.451  | 0.058          |
| 2005 01 30                | 17:53:57.0               | 2453401.246 | 240           | 15.497  | 0.061          |
| 2005 01 30                | 18:07:58.0               | 2453401.256 | 240           | 15.610  | 0.064          |
| 2005 01 30                | 18:21:47.0               | 2453401.265 | 240           | 15.538  | 0.062          |
| 2005 01 30                | 18:35:42.0               | 2453401.275 | 240           | 15.362  | 0.051          |
| 2005 01 30                | 18:49:24.0               | 2453401.284 | 240           | 15.431  | 0.055          |
| 2005 02 01                | 18:10:46.0               | 2453403.258 | 240           | 15.859  | 0.081          |
| 2005 02 02                | 13:55:03.0               | 2453404.080 | 240           | 15.631  | 0.069          |
| 2005 02 18                | 14:44:37.0               | 2453420.114 | 240           | 15.256  | 0.063          |
| 2005 02 19                | 12:48:06.0               | 2453421.033 | 240           | 15.066  | 0.058          |
| 2005 02 24                | 12:02:17.0               | 2453426.001 | 240           | 14.954  | 0.083          |
| 2005 02 24                | 12:16:13.0               | 2453426.011 | 240           | 14.797  | 0.068          |
| 2005 02 24                | 12:30:16.0               | 2453426.021 | 240           | 14.794  | 0.062          |
| 2005 02 24                | 12:44:14.0               | 2453426.031 | 240           | 14.926  | 0.074          |
| 2005 02 26                | 12:55:37.0               | 2453428.039 | 240           | 15.092  | 0.070          |
| 2005 02 27                | 13:39:46.0               | 2453429.069 | 240           | 15.168  | 0.056          |
| 2005 03 05                | 11:53:22.0               | 2453434.995 | 240           | 15.040  | 0.055          |
| 2005 03 06                | 14:17:23.0               | 2453436.095 | 300           | 14.697  | 0.124          |
| 2005 03 06                | 17:33:11.0               | 2453436.231 | 300           | 15.086  | 0.043          |
| 2005 03 06                | 17:51:14.0               | 2453436.244 | 300           | 15.066  | 0.041          |
| 2005 03 14                | 13:54:54.0               | 2453444.080 | 240           | 15.256  | 0.184          |
| 2005 03 15                | 14:19:50.0               | 2453445.097 | 240           | 15.409  | 0.076          |
| 2005 03 18                | 13:15:05.0               | 2453448.052 | 240           | 15.857  | 0.141          |
Table 1—Continued

| Obs. Date<sup>b</sup> (yyyy mm dd) | Obs. Time<sup>b</sup> (hh:mm:ss) | Julian Date | Exp. Time (s) | <var>e</var> (mag) | <var>e</var><sub>err</sub> (mag) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2005 03 18                      | 13:35:35.0                      | 2453448.066 | 240           | 15.981          | 0.131           |
| 2005 03 18                      | 14:51:41.0                      | 2453448.119 | 240           | 15.698          | 0.084           |
| 2005 03 18                      | 15:05:46.0                      | 2453448.129 | 240           | 15.542          | 0.083           |
| 2005 03 18                      | 15:19:38.0                      | 2453448.139 | 240           | 15.472          | 0.076           |
| 2005 03 18                      | 15:32:58.0                      | 2453448.148 | 240           | 15.527          | 0.079           |
| 2005 03 19                      | 14:00:39.0                      | 2453449.084 | 240           | 15.554          | 0.133           |
| 2005 03 19                      | 14:14:30.0                      | 2453449.094 | 240           | 15.620          | 0.158           |
| 2005 03 19                      | 14:28:37.0                      | 2453449.103 | 240           | 15.775          | 0.166           |
| 2005 03 23                      | 11:56:22.0                      | 2453452.998 | 240           | 15.277          | 0.110           |
| 2005 03 26                      | 14:08:02.0                      | 2453456.089 | 240           | 15.007          | 0.097           |
| 2005 03 27                      | 14:18:35.0                      | 2453457.096 | 240           | 15.067          | 0.137           |
| 2005 03 30                      | 11:26:04.0                      | 2453459.976 | 240           | 14.923          | 0.076           |
| 2005 03 30                      | 11:40:02.0                      | 2453459.986 | 240           | 14.724          | 0.047           |
| 2005 03 30                      | 11:54:03.0                      | 2453459.996 | 240           | 14.822          | 0.049           |
| 2005 03 30                      | 12:08:01.0                      | 2453460.006 | 240           | 14.841          | 0.045           |
| 2005 03 30                      | 12:22:07.0                      | 2453460.015 | 240           | 14.962          | 0.054           |
| 2005 03 30                      | 12:36:10.0                      | 2453460.025 | 240           | 15.014          | 0.049           |
| 2005 03 30                      | 12:50:05.0                      | 2453460.035 | 240           | 14.924          | 0.053           |
| 2005 03 30                      | 13:04:11.0                      | 2453460.045 | 240           | 14.810          | 0.054           |
| 2005 04 21                      | 12:55:57.0                      | 2453482.039 | 240           | 15.186          | 0.084           |
| 2005 04 21                      | 13:10:03.0                      | 2453482.049 | 240           | 15.183          | 0.082           |
| 2005 04 22                      | 13:24:51.0                      | 2453483.059 | 240           | 15.547          | 0.125           |
| 2005 04 22                      | 13:38:52.0                      | 2453483.069 | 240           | 15.295          | 0.105           |
| 2005 04 22                      | 13:52:31.0                      | 2453483.078 | 240           | 15.717          | 0.179           |
| 2005 04 24                      | 11:59:37.0                      | 2453485.000 | 240           | 15.484          | 0.100           |
| 2005 04 24                      | 12:13:39.0                      | 2453485.010 | 240           | 15.822          | 0.123           |
| 2005 04 24                      | 12:27:37.0                      | 2453485.019 | 240           | 15.587          | 0.100           |
| 2005 04 24                      | 12:41:45.0                      | 2453485.029 | 240           | 15.609          | 0.098           |
| 2005 04 24                      | 12:55:48.0                      | 2453485.039 | 240           | 15.523          | 0.102           |
We took part in the multiwavelength campaign on OJ 287 coordinated by Stefano Ciprini during 2005 April 13–15 (or JD 2,453,473–475), so we do not present the data in these days in Tables 1–3 but give a point (the mean) per night in each light curve in Fig. 1.

The obs. date and time are of universal time. The same is for Tables 2 and 3.

| Obs. Date      | Obs. Time | Julian Date | Exp. Time | $e$  | $e_{err}$ |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| (yyyy mm dd)   | (hh:mm:ss)| (s)         | (mag)     | (mag)|           |
| 2005 04 28     | 12:47:45.0| 2453489.033 | 240       | 16.140| 0.144     |

aWe took part in the multiwavelength campaign on OJ 287 coordinated by Stefano Ciprini during 2005 April 13–15 (or JD 2,453,473–475), so we do not present the data in these days in Tables 1–3 but give a point (the mean) per night in each light curve in Fig. 1.

bThe obs. date and time are of universal time. The same is for Tables 2 and 3.
Table 2. Observational log and results in the BATC $i$ band.

| Obs. Date  | Obs. Time | Julian Date | Exp. Time | $i$   | $i_{err}$ |
|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|----------|
| (yyyy mm dd) | (hh:mm:ss) | (yyyy mm dd) | (s)       | (mag) | (mag)    |
| 2005 01 29 | 15:02:48.0 | 2453400.127 | 180       | 14.637 | 0.048    |
| 2005 01 30 | 16:28:41.0 | 2453401.187 | 150       | 14.646 | 0.023    |
| 2005 01 30 | 16:48:34.0 | 2453401.200 | 150       | 14.670 | 0.026    |
| 2005 01 30 | 17:02:23.0 | 2453401.210 | 150       | 14.168 | 0.033    |
| 2005 01 30 | 17:16:22.0 | 2453401.220 | 150       | 14.661 | 0.024    |
| 2005 01 30 | 17:30:36.0 | 2453401.229 | 150       | 14.620 | 0.026    |
| 2005 01 30 | 17:44:21.0 | 2453401.239 | 150       | 14.676 | 0.026    |
| 2005 01 30 | 17:58:24.0 | 2453401.249 | 150       | 14.710 | 0.027    |
| 2005 01 30 | 18:12:23.0 | 2453401.259 | 150       | 14.697 | 0.026    |
| 2005 01 30 | 18:26:11.0 | 2453401.268 | 150       | 14.607 | 0.022    |
| 2005 01 30 | 18:39:56.0 | 2453401.278 | 150       | 14.602 | 0.023    |
| 2005 01 30 | 18:53:50.0 | 2453401.287 | 150       | 14.681 | 0.028    |
| 2005 01 31 | 16:56:13.0 | 2453402.206 | 150       | 15.070 | 0.058    |
| 2005 01 31 | 17:54:51.0 | 2453402.246 | 240       | 14.948 | 0.034    |
| 2005 02 01 | 18:06:20.0 | 2453403.254 | 150       | 15.128 | 0.032    |
| 2005 02 02 | 13:50:34.0 | 2453404.077 | 150       | 14.856 | 0.027    |
| 2005 02 18 | 14:33:24.0 | 2453420.106 | 150       | 14.454 | 0.026    |
| 2005 02 19 | 12:55:55.0 | 2453421.039 | 150       | 14.321 | 0.031    |
| 2005 02 24 | 12:06:44.0 | 2453426.005 | 150       | 14.227 | 0.040    |
| 2005 02 24 | 12:20:41.0 | 2453426.014 | 150       | 14.117 | 0.038    |
| 2005 02 24 | 12:34:42.0 | 2453426.024 | 150       | 14.200 | 0.043    |
| 2005 02 24 | 12:48:38.0 | 2453426.034 | 150       | 14.203 | 0.036    |
| 2005 02 26 | 13:00:04.0 | 2453428.042 | 150       | 14.297 | 0.032    |
| 2005 02 27 | 13:44:13.0 | 2453429.072 | 150       | 14.308 | 0.021    |
| 2005 03 05 | 11:43:47.0 | 2453434.989 | 150       | 14.514 | 0.040    |
| 2005 03 06 | 14:06:29.0 | 2453436.088 | 150       | 14.457 | 0.089    |
| 2005 03 14 | 13:59:20.0 | 2453444.083 | 150       | 14.642 | 0.117    |
| 2005 03 15 | 14:24:16.0 | 2453445.100 | 150       | 14.726 | 0.032    |
| 2005 03 18 | 13:19:33.0 | 2453448.055 | 150       | 15.028 | 0.131    |
| 2005 03 18 | 13:40:04.0 | 2453448.070 | 150       | 14.571 | 0.112    |
| 2005 03 18 | 14:56:06.0 | 2453448.122 | 150       | 14.922 | 0.043    |
| 2005 03 18 | 15:10:14.0 | 2453448.132 | 150       | 14.968 | 0.049    |
| Obs. Date (yyyy mm dd) | Obs. Time (hh:mm:ss) | Julian Date | Exp. Time (s) | $i$ (mag) | $i_{err}$ (mag) |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|-----------------|
| 2005 03 18             | 15:23:49.0           | 2453448.142 | 150           | 14.893   | 0.046           |
| 2005 03 18             | 15:37:23.0           | 2453448.151 | 150           | 14.823   | 0.038           |
| 2005 03 19             | 14:04:53.0           | 2453449.087 | 150           | 14.965   | 0.080           |
| 2005 03 19             | 14:18:57.0           | 2453449.096 | 150           | 14.898   | 0.075           |
| 2005 03 19             | 14:33:05.0           | 2453449.106 | 150           | 14.935   | 0.075           |
| 2005 03 19             | 14:47:12.0           | 2453449.116 | 150           | 14.995   | 0.092           |
| 2005 03 23             | 12:00:49.0           | 2453453.000 | 150           | 14.703   | 0.063           |
| 2005 03 26             | 14:12:41.0           | 2453456.092 | 150           | 14.313   | 0.047           |
| 2005 03 27             | 14:13:52.0           | 2453457.093 | 150           | 14.218   | 0.054           |
| 2005 03 30             | 11:30:25.0           | 2453459.979 | 150           | 14.298   | 0.024           |
| 2005 03 30             | 11:44:28.0           | 2453459.989 | 150           | 14.195   | 0.024           |
| 2005 03 30             | 11:58:28.0           | 2453459.999 | 150           | 14.214   | 0.023           |
| 2005 03 30             | 12:12:31.0           | 2453460.009 | 150           | 14.208   | 0.023           |
| 2005 03 30             | 12:26:35.0           | 2453460.019 | 150           | 14.212   | 0.024           |
| 2005 03 30             | 12:40:32.0           | 2453460.028 | 150           | 14.219   | 0.021           |
| 2005 03 30             | 12:54:30.0           | 2453460.038 | 150           | 14.160   | 0.020           |
| 2005 03 30             | 13:08:34.0           | 2453460.048 | 150           | 14.151   | 0.022           |
| 2005 04 21             | 13:00:28.0           | 2453482.042 | 150           | 14.472   | 0.038           |
| 2005 04 21             | 13:14:26.0           | 2453482.052 | 150           | 14.475   | 0.043           |
| 2005 04 22             | 13:29:17.0           | 2453483.062 | 150           | 14.541   | 0.045           |
| 2005 04 22             | 13:43:17.0           | 2453483.072 | 150           | 14.617   | 0.047           |
| 2005 04 22             | 13:56:56.0           | 2453483.081 | 150           | 14.644   | 0.046           |
| 2005 04 24             | 12:04:30.0           | 2453485.003 | 150           | 14.864   | 0.044           |
| 2005 04 24             | 12:17:54.0           | 2453485.012 | 150           | 14.925   | 0.051           |
| 2005 04 24             | 12:32:06.0           | 2453485.022 | 150           | 15.052   | 0.052           |
| 2005 04 24             | 12:46:16.0           | 2453485.032 | 150           | 14.958   | 0.054           |
| 2005 04 24             | 13:00:12.0           | 2453485.042 | 150           | 14.891   | 0.044           |
| 2005 04 28             | 12:52:12.0           | 2453489.036 | 150           | 15.505   | 0.067           |
Table 3. Observational log and results in the BATC $m$ band.

| Obs. Date (yyyy mm dd) | Obs. Time (hh:mm:ss) | Julian Date (s) | Exp. Time (s) | $m$ (mag) | $m_{err}$ (mag) |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|
| 2005 01 30             | 16:38:03.0           | 2453401.193     | 240          | 14.360   | 0.027           |
| 2005 01 30             | 16:52:59.0           | 2453401.203     | 240          | 14.259   | 0.028           |
| 2005 01 30             | 17:06:48.0           | 2453401.213     | 240          | 14.224   | 0.026           |
| 2005 01 30             | 17:20:50.0           | 2453401.223     | 240          | 14.280   | 0.025           |
| 2005 01 30             | 17:35:00.0           | 2453401.233     | 240          | 14.255   | 0.030           |
| 2005 01 30             | 17:48:47.0           | 2453401.242     | 240          | 14.330   | 0.029           |
| 2005 01 30             | 18:02:51.0           | 2453401.252     | 240          | 14.288   | 0.027           |
| 2005 01 30             | 18:16:35.0           | 2453401.261     | 240          | 14.333   | 0.029           |
| 2005 01 30             | 18:30:30.0           | 2453401.271     | 240          | 14.256   | 0.026           |
| 2005 01 30             | 18:44:22.0           | 2453401.281     | 240          | 14.271   | 0.028           |
| 2005 01 30             | 18:58:11.0           | 2453401.290     | 240          | 14.242   | 0.026           |
| 2005 01 31             | 18:07:54.0           | 2453402.255     | 300          | 14.411   | 0.043           |
| 2005 02 01             | 17:54:36.0           | 2453403.246     | 240          | 14.632   | 0.031           |
| 2005 02 02             | 13:45:46.0           | 2453404.073     | 240          | 14.542   | 0.035           |
| 2005 02 18             | 14:38:37.0           | 2453420.110     | 240          | 14.168   | 0.028           |
| 2005 02 19             | 13:05:40.0           | 2453421.046     | 240          | 13.938   | 0.029           |
| 2005 02 24             | 12:11:08.0           | 2453426.008     | 240          | 13.911   | 0.039           |
| 2005 02 24             | 12:25:04.0           | 2453426.017     | 240          | 13.866   | 0.044           |
| 2005 02 24             | 12:39:06.0           | 2453426.027     | 240          | 13.775   | 0.035           |
| 2005 02 24             | 12:53:01.0           | 2453426.037     | 240          | 13.887   | 0.038           |
| 2005 02 26             | 13:04:16.0           | 2453428.045     | 240          | 14.008   | 0.032           |
| 2005 02 27             | 13:48:40.0           | 2453429.075     | 240          | 13.979   | 0.023           |
| 2005 03 05             | 11:48:12.0           | 2453434.992     | 240          | 14.129   | 0.041           |
| 2005 03 06             | 14:10:55.0           | 2453436.091     | 240          | 14.171   | 0.101           |
| 2005 03 15             | 14:28:38.0           | 2453445.103     | 240          | 14.346   | 0.034           |
| 2005 03 18             | 13:30:25.0           | 2453448.063     | 240          | 14.696   | 0.092           |
| 2005 03 18             | 13:46:21.0           | 2453448.074     | 240          | 14.618   | 0.209           |
| 2005 03 18             | 14:46:31.0           | 2453448.116     | 240          | 14.605   | 0.040           |
| 2005 03 18             | 15:00:33.0           | 2453448.125     | 240          | 14.627   | 0.042           |
| 2005 03 18             | 15:14:41.0           | 2453448.135     | 240          | 14.493   | 0.050           |
| 2005 03 18             | 15:27:58.0           | 2453448.145     | 240          | 14.593   | 0.042           |
| 2005 03 19             | 14:09:20.0           | 2453449.090     | 240          | 14.704   | 0.083           |
| Obs. Date | Obs. Time | Julian Date | Exp. Time | $m$  | $m_{\text{err}}$ |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------|----------------|
| (yyyy mm dd) | (hh:mm:ss) | (s) | (mag) | (mag) |
| 2005 03 19 | 14:23:27.0 | 2453449.100 | 240 | 14.569 | 0.077 |
| 2005 03 19 | 14:37:32.0 | 2453449.109 | 240 | 14.675 | 0.075 |
| 2005 03 19 | 14:51:27.0 | 2453449.119 | 240 | 14.449 | 0.071 |
| 2005 03 23 | 12:10:44.0 | 2453453.008 | 240 | 14.420 | 0.063 |
| 2005 03 26 | 14:17:09.0 | 2453456.095 | 240 | 14.247 | 0.052 |
| 2005 03 27 | 14:08:19.0 | 2453457.089 | 240 | 13.949 | 0.046 |
| 2005 03 30 | 11:34:53.0 | 2453459.983 | 240 | 13.908 | 0.027 |
| 2005 03 30 | 11:48:55.0 | 2453459.992 | 240 | 13.910 | 0.025 |
| 2005 03 30 | 12:02:52.0 | 2453460.002 | 240 | 13.859 | 0.025 |
| 2005 03 30 | 12:16:56.0 | 2453460.012 | 240 | 13.886 | 0.023 |
| 2005 03 30 | 12:31:01.0 | 2453460.021 | 240 | 13.867 | 0.024 |
| 2005 03 30 | 12:44:57.0 | 2453460.031 | 240 | 13.930 | 0.026 |
| 2005 03 30 | 12:58:59.0 | 2453460.041 | 240 | 13.857 | 0.024 |
| 2005 03 30 | 13:13:01.0 | 2453460.051 | 240 | 13.882 | 0.026 |
| 2005 04 21 | 13:04:55.0 | 2453482.045 | 240 | 14.169 | 0.043 |
| 2005 04 21 | 13:18:51.0 | 2453482.055 | 240 | 14.085 | 0.045 |
| 2005 04 22 | 13:33:42.0 | 2453483.065 | 240 | 14.326 | 0.051 |
| 2005 04 22 | 13:47:34.0 | 2453483.075 | 240 | 14.173 | 0.045 |
| 2005 04 22 | 14:01:18.0 | 2453483.084 | 240 | 14.372 | 0.049 |
| 2005 04 24 | 12:08:38.0 | 2453485.006 | 240 | 14.694 | 0.052 |
| 2005 04 24 | 12:22:22.0 | 2453485.016 | 240 | 14.602 | 0.054 |
| 2005 04 24 | 12:36:33.0 | 2453485.025 | 240 | 14.429 | 0.043 |
| 2005 04 24 | 12:50:42.0 | 2453485.035 | 240 | 14.544 | 0.050 |
| 2005 04 24 | 13:04:26.0 | 2453485.045 | 240 | 14.581 | 0.052 |
| 2005 04 28 | 12:56:35.0 | 2453489.039 | 240 | 15.025 | 0.083 |
Table 4. BATC magnitudes of the three comparison stars

| Star ID | $e$ (mag) | $i$ (mag) | $m$ (mag) |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 4       | 14.920    | 14.020    | 13.650    |
| 10      | 15.010    | 14.510    | 14.280    |
| 11      | 15.490    | 14.840    | 14.590    |