Modified Sphygmomanometer as “Biofeedback Tool” in Retraining Quadriceps Function among Individuals with Unilateral Tibio-femoral Osteoarthritis – A Case Series
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Unilateral tibiofemoral osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is the most common musculoskeletal impairment among the geriatric population and is associated with pain, quadriceps weakness, functional disability and progressive deformity. Quadriceps weakness is an early clinical finding which plays a major role in disease progression. Presently interventions are focused on pain relief and quadriceps reactivation on a subjective basis. Hence, physical therapists must succour these individuals at an early stage and objectively reactivate the quadriceps mechanism. This paper highlights the importance of visual biofeedback in the form of a “modified sphygmomanometer” in retraining quadriceps reactivation and retaining the functional status in individuals with unilateral tibiofemoral osteoarthritis.

Objective: To provide an objective re-learning to regain quadriceps muscle strength in individuals with unilateral tibiofemoral osteoarthritis

Methodology: It was a case series where 23 individuals in the age group of 35-65 years reporting to the orthopaedic outpatient department were recruited. 12 individuals who fulfilled the selection criteria were included in the study and were observed for 4 weeks. 2 individuals lost their follow up during treatment. After obtaining the oral and written consent basic demographic details, quadriceps isometric strength (Using modified sphygmomanometer in mm/hg), Visual analogue scale for pain (VAS) and Lysholm’s knee scoring scale was measured initially and after 4 weeks.

Statistics: Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed to observe the impact of biofeedback based on the pre and post-analysis. Female individuals were found to be more when compared to male individuals.

Results: Based on the analysis it was found that there was a significant reduction of pain, improved quadriceps isometric strength and functional outcome following the intervention.

Conclusion: It may be concluded that the “modified sphygmomanometer” may be used as a visual biofeedback tool in relearning the quadriceps activation objectively in individuals with unilateral tibiofemoral osteoarthritis.
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INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is a prevalent musculoskeletal impairment among the geriatric population, it is estimated that the prevalence was found to be 22% to 39% of the total population.¹ OA is common in women and the prevalence increases with age. OA knee is accompanied by pain, quadriceps weakness, altered functional abilities and progressive deformity.² Quadriceps weakness is one of the early clinical observations which is found to emerge before limiting the
functional abilities of these individuals and may play a crucial role in disease manifestations. Hence, it is imperative for physical therapists to succour the patient in relearning the quadriceps muscle activation at an early stage. The strength deficits of quadriceps in the OA knee individuals ranges from 15 to 18% before secondary changes to 24% in individuals with Kellgren & Lawrence (K/L) grade II OA and as high as 38% in individuals with K/L grade IV knee OA.

Quadriceps has multiple roles at the knee joint in routine activities and reduces impulsive load onto the intra-articular structures. Of late, quadriceps weakness is found to be a risk factor for knee pain and is associated with alterations in balance and postural control in individuals with knee OA. Evidence from the past shows that quadriceps weakness is analogous with inferior outcome measures which can prognosticate the depreciation in physical activity levels over some time in individuals with knee OA. Quadriceps weakness can be attributed to multiple factors which can be mechanical or physiological. Despite, pain is identified as the important cause for quadriceps atrophy, it has been observed quadriceps weakness is prevalent in individuals with knee OA despite the absence of pain which suggests that pain is not the only factor that instigates the onset of weakness in the quadriceps. This gives us an insight into other attributing factors in the incidence of quadriceps weakness. Of which, one of the major causes for quadriceps weakness is Quadriceps Activation Failure (QAF), which is due to impaired neural facilitators effects. These individuals have higher QAF than with their age and sex-matched asymptomatic individuals. QAF is found to be inversely related to the impairment in quadriceps with reduced maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) and lower physical performance and self-report measures in individuals with knee OA (Fitzgerald GK). The findings of Hurley et al highlights a cascade of events initiated by the mechanical alterations from the degenerated joint structures leading to altered sensory information from mechanoreceptors which results in reduced activation levels of quadriceps muscles.

Reduced MVIC in an individual’s knee OA can be reversed by voluntary activation of the quadriceps, which is regarded as an appropriate and reliable approach to improve strength. In older individuals with knee OA isometric activation of quadriceps has been found to facilitate the MVIC of the quadriceps with much ease. A modified sphygmomanometer is used as pressure biofeedback in this study in training the strength of the quadriceps. This conventional sphygmomanometer was found to be an affordable and useful alternative in monitoring and maintaining the isometric quadriceps strength during the acute phase of post-Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction rehabilitation. The objective of this study is to observe the influence of an objective training program by using a modified sphygmomanometer as a visual biofeedback tool to facilitate quadriceps activation which can also be a cost-effective tool.

Methodology
A case series was performed to observe the influence of a modified sphygmomanometer as visual biofeedback in isometric quadriceps strengthening in patients with unilateral tibiofemoral Osteoarthritis. Out of 23 patients diagnosed with knee Osteoarthritis, 13 patients who fulfilled the selection criteria were included in the study. During the treatment, there were 2 dropouts and the posttest evaluations were done for 10 patients and in total, females were higher than the male (Table: 1). Written consent was taken from every patient. Approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee of Sri Ramakrishna Institute of Paramedical Sciences (IEC NO: SRIPMS/COPT/007/03). The inclusion criteria were, both male and female patients aged between 35-65 years who had mild to moderate unilateral tibiofemoral osteoarthritis. The exclusion criteria were knee deformity, symptomatic patella-femoral arthritis, visual impairment, recurrent dislocation of patella, knee surgeries, recent fractures in the lower limb, cardiac patients and ligament injuries. The treatment duration was for about 1 month, where the initial assessment was taken on the first day and follow up assessments were taken every week. The assessment tools used are the V AS scale, manual muscle testing for quadriceps strength and Lysholm’s knee scoring scale. A brief idea about the treatment and objectives were explained to the patients. Quadriceps muscle strength was assessed using a modified sphygmomanometer.

Apparatus setting
The patient was positioned in long sitting and the cuff of the conventional sphygmomanometer was placed below the knee joint which was inflated up to 100 mm/Hg. The knee joint which has to be treated was flexed to 10 – 15 degrees and supported with a rolled towel. The patient was encouraged to visualize the movement and the apparatus for better visual feedback to enhance learning.

Procedure
The patients were instructed to perform an isometric quadriceps contraction, observe the same with change in mercury levels and hold the same for about 5 to 8 secs. The basal pressure was fixed at 100 mmHg and the pressure difference was noted as gain in isometric quadriceps strength. The patient was instructed to observe the values which served as visual biofeedback.

They were instructed to perform six times/week, two sessions/day with two to three sets/session. Every set had 10 repetitions with a hold time of 3-5 seconds for the isometric contractions. Adequate rest periods were ensured in between every contraction and every set. Initially for a week patients were supervised to avoid potential compensations such as
pelvic hiking, excessive hamstring and gluteal muscle activity. Later home based exercises program (HEP) with the apparatus was advised for three weeks.

**Statistical analysis**

Non Parametric tests were performed and the data were expressed as mean and standard deviation. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed to observe the impact of biofeedback based on the pre and post-analysis.

**RESULTS**

The present study involved 10 individuals between the age group of 35-65 years. The mean age of participants was 42 ± 7 while females were slightly higher than males. The study results showed that there was a statistically significant difference between the pre and post scores of outcome measures of quadriceps isometric strength, pain and functional ability (Graph: 1) with less than 0.01 level of significance (Table: 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Biofeedback is considered a “psychophysiological mirror” that allows the patients to observe and learn from the psychological signals created from the soma. Sphygmomanometer used as modified biofeedback uses sensors that are entirely non – invasive and helps to achieve threshold activation in osteoarthritis knee which may not be possible volitionally in muscles with quadriceps activation failure. To gain the strength of a muscle, training programs should impart 30-50% of maximum voluntary effort to impart the overload principle. This type of biofeedback device creates self-awareness and control over the persons own physiological response. As self-awareness increases, the person may achieve insight and control over this action. Concurrently, the therapist utilizes the signal information to monitor and facilitate the particular effort of activation and subsequent strengthening.

Evidence from the past validates that strength and QAF can be improvised by progressive strength training. In older adults, Henwood and Taaffe et al demonstrated a 17% improvement in strength following retraining exercise. Similarly, individuals demonstrated improvements in both muscle strength and functional performance following progressive strength training. Thus, it becomes necessary on the part of the therapist to ensure threshold stimulus for effective activation of the quadriceps muscle.

Learning to control brain activity is resulted by the contingent feedback and reward, potential verbal instructions and mental strategies. It is a resultant concurrent occurrence of a strong presynaptic and postsynaptic activity and dopamine release. According to Hebb et al., if the activity in the presynaptic neuron led to the firing of the postsynaptic neuron, an enduring alteration of the synaptic structure follows, such that subsequent activity of the presynaptic neuron has a high probability to excite the postsynaptic neuron. Thus in this type of learning, the synaptic transmission is strengthened only in those neurons that simultaneously receive input coding some aspect of the event in the environment and dopaminergic input. From a clinical standpoint, the neuro-feedback remains in early development i.e, stimulating the quadriceps muscle in the early stage of quadriceps failure or reflex inhibition, accurate learning helps in maintaining the strength of the muscle and thereby preventing further worsening of the functional status. The improvements in muscle strength occur as a result of patients learning to activate the quadriceps muscle to a threshold level thereby minimizing the effects of neural atherogenic inhibition.

The study by Raeissadot et al have shown that there occurs a significant improvement in VAS score on treating patients with Electromyography (EMG) biofeedback. This is following this study which could be due to the psychological effects of modified biofeedback. On the other hand, Anwar et al in 2011, evaluated the effects of EMG biofeedback in quadriceps strength in knee OA and found that the maximal voluntary contraction of quadriceps is significantly greater in the biofeedback group. The use of a modified pressure biofeedback device employed instead of EMG or Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation (NMES) helps in gaining similar effects and also acts as a cost-effective and simple acting tool.

Numerous studies endorsed that this method is a safe and effective method to treat and evaluate muscle activation in cervical pathologies, handgrip strength, and low back pain. Thus, a sphygmomanometer used as pressure biofeedback can be an effective alternative in training muscle activation and is also a safe and cost-effective tool.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the outcome of this study it may be concluded that a simple “modified sphygmomanometer” may be used as an objective tool in individuals with unilateral tibio-femoral osteoarthritis. Since the study involved limited samples further studies are recommended with structured methodology and with adequate samples.
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Graph 1: Graphical Representation of Pre and Post Intervention evaluation of the Outcome measures.

Table 1: Pre and Post Intervention evaluation of the Outcome measures

| Outcome measures (N=10) | Pre-intervention (Mean ± SD) | Post-intervention (Mean ± SD) | Significance |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Quadriceps strength     | 65 ± 14.33                  | 135 ± 19.57                  | 0.004        |
| Pain (VAS)              | 5.5 ± 1.08                  | 0.3 ± 0.48                   | 0.004        |
| Lysholm's score         | 54.3 ± 3.36                 | 72.8 ± 4.36                  | 0.005        |

APPENDIX

INFORMED CONSENT

Adequate information about the research should be given in simple, easily understandable, unambiguous language in the Participant/ Patient Information Sheet.

Title of the project:

Modified sphygmomanometer as “biofeedback tool” in retraining quadriceps function among individuals with unilateral tibiofemoral osteoarthritis – A case series

Name of the Principal Investigator:

Description of the Study:

- **Nature and purpose of study stating it as research:**
  The purpose of the study is to find out the effectiveness of exercise programs in retraining quadriceps function among individuals with unilateral tibiofemoral osteoarthritis

  - **Voluntary participation:** Participation in this study is completely voluntary and your consent is required before you can participate in the study.
  - **Duration of participation with the number of participants:** 1-month duration.
  - **Procedures to be followed:** The patients will undergo a physical examination. Patients with unilateral osteoarthritis underwent quadriceps retraining using a modified sphygmomanometer along with a home-based exercise program. The assessment tools used are the VAS scale, manual muscle testing for quadriceps strength and Lysholm’s knee scoring scale. Quadriceps muscle strength was assessed using a modified sphygmomanometer.

  

Ethical Clearance Number: SRIPMS/COPT/007/03

- Investigations, if any, to be performed: Nil
- Any alternative procedures or courses of treatment that might be as advantageous to the participant as the procedure or treatment to which she is being subjected: Nil
- Storage period of the biological sample and related data with the choice offered to the participant regarding future use of the sample, refusal for storage and receipt of its results: Nil

Possible Risks to the participant: Nil

Cost and Payments to the participant: There is no cost for participation in this study. Participation is completely voluntary and no payment will be provided.

Confidentiality: Information obtained in this study is strictly confidential. Your name will not be used in reporting information in publications or conference presentations.

Participants’ right to withdraw from the study: You have the right to refuse to participate in this study, the right to withdraw from the study and the right to have your data destroyed at any point during or after the study, without penalty.

Voluntary consent by the participant: Participation in this study is completely voluntary, and your consent is required before you can participate in this study.

I have read this consent form (or it has been read to me) and I fully understand the contents of this document and voluntarily consent to participate in the study. All of my questions concerning this study have been answered. If I have any questions in the future about this study they will be answered by the investigators listed below. I understand that this consent ends after this study.