The poor insane Ophelia: reconsidering Ophelia syndrome
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ABSTRACT

The association between memory loss and Hodgkin's lymphoma has been given the eponym of Ophelia syndrome, in memory of Shakespeare's character. Nevertheless, there are differences between the disease and the character. Objective: To review the origins and uses of the eponym through an original article by pathologist Ian Carr, its relation to the character Ophelia, and the related autoantibodies. Methods: Historical narrative review. Results: Besides an eloquent description in the original article, Carr presaged the presence of autoantibodies, before they had been thoroughly researched. Since then, five different autoantibodies (mGluR5, Hu, NMDAR, SOX, PCA2) have been associated with Hodgkin's disease. It is interesting to note the divergent outcomes of Shakespeare's character and the patient in the original description by Carr, the latter recovering to lead a normal life, and the former deceased. Conclusions: Although there is little relationship between the fictional character and the syndrome, both imply the unintentional trigger of self-harm (suicide in one case, autoimmunity in the other), thus remaining associated.
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RESUMO

El síndrome de Ofelia describe la asociación entre pérdida de memoria y enfermedad de Hodgkin, en memoria del personaje de La Tragedia de Hamlet, Príncipe de Dinamarca, de William Shakespeare. Sin embargo, existen diferencias entre ambos. Objetivo: Revisar los orígenes y usos del epónimo a través del artículo original, su relación con el personaje y los autoanticuerpos relacionados. Métodos: Revisión narrativa histórica. Resultados: Además de una descripción elocuente, el artículo original prefigura los autoanticuerpos, cuando no se buscaban de rutina. Desde entonces, cinco distintos (mGluR5, Hu, NMDAR, SOX, PCA2) han sido asociados. Cabe destacar, que el desenlace del personaje y del paciente fueron diametralmente opuestos, el primero falleció y el segundo se recuperó, llevando una vida normal. Conclusiones: A pesar de la poca relación entre el personaje y el síndrome, ambos implican el desencadenamiento no intencional de daño auto-inflingido (suicidio en un caso, autoinmunidad en el otro), manteniendo así la adecuación.

Palavras-chave: Encefalitis límbica; enfermedad de Hodgkin.

In 1982, the pathologist Ian Carr wrote one of the most eloquent descriptions of disease during the suffering of his daughter Jane, as she gradually lost her memory and was eventually diagnosed with Hodgkin's disease1. Two remarkable things can be derived from Carr's article: his presaging of neuronal autoantibodies before they had been thoroughly researched. Since then, five different autoantibodies (mGluR5, Hu, NMDAR, SOX, PCA2) have been associated with Hodgkin's disease. It is interesting to note the divergent outcomes of Shakespeare's character and the patient in the original description by Carr, the latter recovering to lead a normal life, and the former deceased.
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However, the different outcomes of Carr’s description and Shakespeare’s character cast doubt about the appropriateness of the eponym, which led us to review the origins of this eponym.

**HAMLET’S OPHELIA**

In *The Tragedy of Hamlet, Prince of Denmark*, William Shakespeare presents us with a distracted Ophelia, “divided from herself and her fair judgement” (Act 4, Scene 5, Line 84; from here on 4.5.84). Previously sound of mind, gentle and loving, Ophelia obeys her father Polonius’s orders of rejecting Hamlet’s proposals (3.1.117), a situation that paradoxically sets in motion the very circumstances that will conclude with the slaying of Polonius by Hamlet’s sword (3.4.24; Figure 1). As a consequence of “the poison of deep grief”, originated from her father’s death (4.5.74-75), Ophelia gets lost in a singing madness:

“He is dead and gone, lady.  
He is dead and gone,  
At his head a grass-green turf,  
At his heels a stone.”(4.5.29-32)

This madness leads to her death after falling in the mourning river, while “she chaunted snatches of old lauds, as one incapable of her own distress” (4.7.175-78). Until her water-filled clothing “pull’d the poor wretch from her melodious lay to muddy death” (4.7.181-83; Figure 2). Thus, the bard offers us one of the eeriest suicides in literature, as the poor insane Ophelia dies by her own hand, albeit unknowingly.

**CARR’S OPHELIA AND ITS IMPACT**

While Dr Carr narrates his daughter’s journey and is reminded of Shakespeare’s Ophelia, he suggests that “there is perhaps a circulating neurotransmitter-like molecule produced by the neoplasm”, thus presaging the presence of autoantibodies, which were reported four years later, and related to the Ophelia syndrome eight years after Carr’s article. Since then, the association between memory loss and Hodgkin’s disease, which we now call paraneoplastic limbic encephalitis, has been widely acknowledged as the Ophelia syndrome.

**OPHELIA’S COMPLEX**

Another occurrence of Ophelia’s name was described by French philosopher Gaston Bachelard, as the Ophelia complex in his book *L’Eau et les rêves*, as a symbol of feminine suicide, destined to end her life in the water. In this complex, the water plays a fundamental role, as Bachelard’s writes: “L’eau est l’élément de la mort sans orgueil ni vengeance, du suicide masochiste” [Water is the element of young and beautiful death, of blooming death, and in the dramas of life and literature, it is the element of death without pride or revenge, masochistic suicide]. Although quite poetic, we could not find any references to the Ophelia complex in the medical literature.
DISCUSSION

Dr Carr’s daughter never committed suicide, nor was she involved in an event related to water (Bachelard’s Ophelia complex); a situation that made one of the authors (CASR) wonder about the appropriateness of the name, having found a blog entry under the provoking title “When Shakespeare meets neurology”, which briefly addressed the topic; while another author (SACT) re-explored Hamlet in search of clues that might reinforce the association. While we could not find a direct relationship between Carr’s daughter and Ophelia, because of the different outcomes, Dr Carr concluded: “In summary, recent memory loss may rarely be due to Hodgkin’s disease, probably as a paraneoplastic event. It may be reversible and can be remembered as the Ophelia syndrome”.

What did Dr Carr see in his daughter that reminded him of Ophelia? We suggest her innocence made mad by an external factor (lymphoma, in this case), with him watching from afar—through a glass—as Ophelia was seen through the waters in which her life ended, by an external factor (her father’s death). We can picture him wondering—as Laertes did—if “is’t possible a young maid’s wits should be mortal as an old man’s life” (4.5.159-60), having to endure such a difficult test. In the end, Dr Carr decides—as Hamlet declares—to “take arms against a sea of troubles, and by opposing, end them” (3.1.59-60). It can be concluded that, in both cases—however divergent the outcomes—mental soundness is lost because of an external agent set in motion by themselves: Ophelia’s rejection of Hamlet leads to him killing her father, and Carr’s daughter’s lymphoma leads her immune system to produce autoantibodies. Amidst all the “sea of troubles”, Dr Carr manages, as described by the great Argentinian writer Jorge Luis Borges, “to make of the miserable circumstance of our life, things eternal or that aspire to be”.

As Carr predicted, a number of “circulating neurotransmitter-like molecules”—which we now call antineuronal autoantibodies—have been identified in patients with limbic encephalitis and Hodgkin’s disease: anti-mGluR516-12; anti-Hu13,14; anti-NMDAR15; anti-SOX1 and anti-PCA216 (detailed in Table). Although in many other patients they have not been found, in almost all patients, tumor-directed therapy improves the neurologic syndrome.

Although initially we intended to propose changing the eponym to Carr’s syndrome, to praise his accurate observations and prediction of autoantibodies; yet, as it correlates with the pathophysiology of the syndrome, perhaps it should still be remembered as the Ophelia syndrome.
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Table: Ophelia syndrome case report series.

| Reference            | Auto-antibody | Comments                  | Outcome                      |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Lancaster et al.6    | Anti-mGluR5   | 46-year-old female        | Recovery                     |
| Mat et al.7          | Anti-mGluR5   | 15-year-old male          | Recovery                     |
| Prüss et al.8        | Anti-mGluR5   | 35-year-old male          | Recovery                     |
| Hentschke9           | Anti-Hu       | 30-year-old female*       | Recovery                     |
| Laffon10             | Anti-Hu       | 61-year-old male          | Partial recovery             |
| Zandi11              | Anti-NMDAR    | 62-year-old male          | Recovery                     |
| Kunstreich12         | Anti-SOX1     | 49-year-old male          | Recovery                     |
|                     | Anti-PCA2     | (Anti-SOX1 at presentation, | Not specified, with sequelae |
|                     |               | Anti-PCA2 after seven months) |                             |

The authors state that the patient did not have Hodgkin’s disease. All patients had clinical features of limbic encephalitis. Outcome refers to limbic encephalitis manifestations. mGluR5: Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5; NMDAR: N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor; PCA2: Purkinje cell cytoplasmic antibody type 2.
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