Pulsed quantum continuous-variable optoelectromechanical transducer
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Abstract: We propose a setup allowing to entangle two directly non-interacting radiation modes applying four sequential pulsed quantum resonant interactions with a noisy vibrational mode of a mechanical oscillator which plays the role of the mediator. We analyze Gaussian entanglement of the radiation modes generated by the transducer and confirm that the noisy mechanical mode can mediate generation of entanglement. The entanglement, however, is limited if the interaction gains are not individually optimized. We prove the robustness of the transducer to optical losses and the influence of the mechanical bath and propose the ways to achieve maximal performance through the individual optimization.
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1. Introduction

Quantum transducers are hybrid quantum systems important for development of unified quantum technology [1]. They practically demonstrate ability to universally entangle even very different quantum systems [2–4] and therefore, exchange quantum states between them. The transducer in principle connects two different systems $A$ and $B$ that otherwise are not interacting [5]. For an example, the systems $A$ and $B$ individually interact only in the pairs $A - M$ and $B - M$ with a mediating system $M$. The latter is however also a quantum system, therefore it can introduce quantum noise to the transducer. Moreover, $M$ is typically open to an environment, which is noisy and lossy and limitedly measurable. It is therefore important to take this connection into account to propose a feasible quantum transducer. Continuous-variable (CV) quantum transducers are capable to quantum mechanically couple two different oscillators $A$ and $B$ by a mediating oscillator $M$. They can generate Gaussian CV entanglement, which can be used, for example, to teleport states between $A$ and $B$ [6]. Advantageously, they can be built, without any nonlinearity, from the most common linearized interactions $A - M$, $B - M$ of the oscillators. First type of transducers use simultaneously running linearized couplings $A - M$ and $B - M$ towards a steady state where $A - B$ coupling can be of a high quality and sufficient strength [7]. Ideally, the mediator $M$ should be completely eliminated and not influence the coupling $A - B$. Nontrivial optimization of the $A - M$ and $B - M$ coupling strengths over time can improve the transducer quality.

These transducers, however, cannot operate in time-resolved quantum regime, with nonclassical states defined within a shorter time interval, used in modern optical [8, 9] and microwave experiments [10, 11]. To solve this problem, pulsed CV quantum transducers operating with optical and microwave pulses are required. The pulsed regime was already used to generate entanglement [10] and propose for quantum teleportation [12]. Complementary to previous approach, the pulsed transducers individually control the interactions $A - M$ and $B - M$ by time non-overlapping pump pulses. The main idea is to use twice a sequence of the interactions $A - M$ and $B - M$ and exploit power of geometric phase effect [13] for CVs to eliminate the mediator $M$ regardless of its noisy initial state. Recently, a principal robustness of such the pulsed transducers has been proven theoretically and temporal optimization of pulse control beyond the geometric phase effect has been suggested to reach the robust regime [14]. It opens a way to propose the pulsed transducers for various experimental platforms, for example, quantum optomechanics and electromechanics.

Rapid development of quantum optomechanics [15, 16] puts forward a mechanical oscillator as a suitable mediator for the construction of the pulsed transducers. A lot of progress is done in the direction of optoelectromechanical transducers. The reversible optoelectromechanical transducer which used the effective source of two-mode squeezing between optical idler and a microwave signal to transfer quantum states between optical and microwave fields via quantum teleportation was proposed in [17]. High-fidelity quantum state conversion between microwave and optical fields may be performed through the excitation of the mechanical dark mode [5, 18–21]. This approach allows significant suppression of the mechanical noise from the mediator. Several experimental works were performed in the direction of quantum state transfer. In [22] an integrated optomechanical and electromechanical nanocavity was used to efficiently interconvert microwave and optical signals. In this device a photonic crystal defect cavity and an electrical circuit were both coupled to the same mechanical degree of freedom. In [23] a piezoelectric optomechanical crystal was used for coherent signal transfer between itinerant microwave and optical fields. In another experimental work [24] a mechanically compliant silicon nitride membrane was used to realize a high-fidelity conversion between optical light and microwave. In [25] a transducer
utilizing a high-Q nanomembrane to interconvert radio-frequency waves with optical light was demonstrated. Very recently a new experimental work [26] considering the device capable for microwave-to-optics conversion by placing all components inside a re-entrant microwave cavity was performed. This design allows the wireless coupling to the transmission line with the possibility to vary the strength of this coupling without affecting the performance of the setup. There was also sufficient progress in the domain of transducers interconnecting optical fields. Optomechanical device entangling two optical fields was proposed in [27]. This setup was also considered to be used for quantum state teleportation of light signals over long distances, mediated by concatenated swap operations. The scheme containing two-mode optical cavity and the closed-loop feedback control was studied in [28]. This setup allowed to entangle the outputs and to coherently teleport quantum states between them. Coherent quantum state transfer between optical fields by the sequence of optomechanical pulses was studied theoretically [29].

In the experiment [30] a conversion of optical fields between two different frequencies by coupling them to a mechanical mode of a silica resonator was demonstrated. It was also the first experimental observation of a mechanical dark mode for the optomechanical transducer. Despite this remarkable progress in the field of opto- and electromechanical transducers, there is still one sufficient limitation — mechanical noise which restricts the performance of aforementioned setups. Our approach, based on the geometric phase effect allows to bypass this limitation. This method requires a pulsed control of mechanical systems, which is simultaneously advantageously compatible with modern quantum optics [8, 9, 31].

In this paper, we propose a pulsed CV quantum transducer with a noisy mechanical system as a mediator and analyze its feasibility for optoelectromechanical experiment. We study the influence of radiation losses and mechanical bath and we show that optimization of parameters allows high performance of the proposed transducer even for very noisy mediator. To demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed setup we firstly consider the symmetrical transducer which connects optical modes and to optical fields. Such scheme is a good demonstration of the viability of the proposed concept and does not require involved modifications of the state-of-the-art experimental platforms for near-future implementation. Only then we consider more general case of the asymmetrical transducer coupling optical to microwave fields. This case is very important since it follows the trend to connect different quantum systems which is crucial for the future development of hybrid quantum systems [32, 33].

2. Pulsed CV Quantum Symmetrical Transducer

2.1. Setup description

The basic idea of the setup which we consider is depicted in Fig. 1. The two radiation modes \( A \) and \( B \) are coupled to the same mechanical oscillator \( M \) but do not interact directly. The quantum states of the modes \( A \) and \( B \) are defined in temporal pulses with duration \( \tau_A \) and \( \tau_B \) correspondingly (see Fig. 1 (a)). Each pulse interacts to the mechanical mediator \( M \) twice during the protocol. After the interaction of the first pulse with the mechanical mode is complete, the former is sent to the delay line (see Fig. 1 (a)) while the second pulse enters its cavity to interact with the mechanical mode. The operation is then repeated until the four interactions are performed. The block scheme of the protocol is depicted on Fig. 1 (b). Note that the transducer between two optical modes requires only one one-sided optomechanical cavity, to which the pulses are directed in turns.

The mechanical mediator is coupled to the pulses by the means of four sequential quantum non-demolition (QND) interactions [34, 35]. The nondemolition interactions preserve always one quadrature variable (generalized position or momentum) of the single oscillator and perform therefore a partial quadrature exchange between the two oscillators. Only a single non-demolition variable is transferred between two systems during an individual interaction. The appropriate combination of such QND interactions of the modes \( A \) and \( B \) with mechanical mediator \( M \)
allows driving the latter around a closed path in the phase space in such a way that the geometric phase appears. The geometric phase effect has been already used in quantum optics [36–38] and optomechanics where mechanical oscillator was coupled to a qubit [39] or light [40,41]. As a result of the geometric phase imparted to the mechanical system the modes $A$ and $B$ appear to be coupled to each other but not to the mediator $M$ that is brought back to the initial state. This result is achievable due to specific character of the QND interaction, which qualify it to be a basic CV quantum gate. The transducer can therefore principally work for any initial state, even a very noisy one, of the mediator $M$.

In [14], it has been observed that the transducer can be stable against the small in-coupling and out-coupling losses of the radiation modes and losses in the delay lines, if the interaction gains of all four QND coupling are optimized. To reach sufficiently high gain of individual interactions with mediator and overall gain of the transducer, the enhancement by a high-$Q$ cavity is necessary. The intracavity field is continuously leaking out the cavity. Simultaneously, the mechanical mode is also continuously damped to its noisy environment. Considerations of these imperfections go far beyond the basic stability check in [14]. In more realistic setup with the cavities and noisy mechanical environment, we therefore need to carefully analyze the performance of quantum transducer through noisy mediator and compare it to realistic parameters of the experimental schemes.
2.2. Optomechanical interaction

In a basic case an optomechanical system may be modeled as a single cavity mode of the optical resonator interacting with a single one of a mechanical oscillator via the radiation pressure (see Fig. 1 (a)). The Hamiltonian of the optomechanical system may thus be written as [42]:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\hbar \omega_c}{4} \left( X^2 + Y^2 \right) + \frac{\hbar \omega_m}{4} \left( p^2 + q^2 \right) - \frac{\hbar g_0}{4} q \left( X^2 + Y^2 \right),$$

with $X, Y$ and $q, p$ being the quadratures of optical and mechanical modes correspondingly, with eigenfrequencies $\omega_c$ and $\omega_m$. These quadratures satisfy commutation relations $[X, Y] = 2i$, $[q, p] = 2i$. The single-photon coupling rate $g_0$ is usually very small and thus the optomechanical interaction is very weak. To further enhance this interaction the cavity is pumped by a strong classical field. This approach allows to linearize the dynamics of the system and consider small quantum corrections to the mean classical values of the quadratures. The CV transducer is capable to generate Gaussian entanglement correlating these corrections.

To obtain a QND interaction we consider each pump to be resonant with the cavity and properly modulated at the mechanical resonant frequency which is assumed to exceed the corresponding cavity decay rates $\omega_m \gg \kappa_A, \kappa_B$ (the resolved sideband condition). After using a rotating wave approximation where we get rid of terms oscillating at $2\omega_m$, in terms of the quantum corrections defined at linearization, we obtain the following QND interaction Hamiltonian depending on the phase of the pump:

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \hbar \gamma_i q Y_A \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{H}_j = \hbar \gamma_j p X_B,$$

where $i = \{1, 3\}$, $j = \{2, 4\}$ denotes the interaction number, $\gamma_1 = -g_1$, $\gamma_2 = g_2$, $\gamma_3 = g_3$, $\gamma_4 = -g_4$ are individual interaction strengths of radiation modes with the mechanical one. The change of the sign of interaction strength can be obtained by a suitable adjustment of pump phase. The large intracavity photon number $n_{cav,i}$ corresponding to $i$-th interaction enhances the optomechanical coupling strength so that $g_i = g_0 \sqrt{n_{cav,i}}$. See Fig. 1 (b) for our choice of the sequence of the QND interactions. This sequence of interactions leads to the closed rectangular path in the phase space of mediator’s variables $q$ and $p$. Due to this the mediator becomes uncoupled from the radiation modes at the end of the protocol and does not affect its efficiency.

In the Heisenberg picture the system of quantum Langevin equations [43] describing the dynamics of the first and second QND interactions may be written as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{X}_A &= -\kappa_A X_A + \sqrt{2\kappa_A} X_A^{in} + \gamma_1 q, \\
\dot{X}_B &= -\kappa_B X_B + \sqrt{2\kappa_B} X_B^{in}, \\
\dot{Y}_A &= -\kappa_A Y_A + \sqrt{2\kappa_A} Y_A^{in}, \\
\dot{Y}_B &= -\kappa_B Y_B + \sqrt{2\kappa_B} Y_B^{in} - \gamma_2 p, \\
\dot{q} &= -\frac{\gamma}{2} q + \sqrt{\gamma} \xi_{x_1}, \\
\dot{q} &= -\frac{\gamma}{2} q + \sqrt{\gamma} \xi_{x_2} + \gamma_2 X_B, \\
\dot{p} &= -\frac{\gamma}{2} p + \sqrt{\gamma} \xi_{p_1}, \\
\dot{p} &= -\frac{\gamma}{2} p + \sqrt{\gamma} \xi_{p_2},
\end{align*}$$

where $\kappa_{A,B}$ are cavity decay rates of two corresponding cavities, $\gamma$ is the mechanical damping coefficient and $\xi_{x_i,p_i}$ are mechanical noise quadratures. Note, here the mechanical decoherence is present during whole the time of the entangling process, differently to simplified analysis in Ref. [14].

2.3. Adiabatic elimination and the entanglement generation

As it was mentioned previously we firstly consider symmetrical transducer putting equal decay rates $\kappa_A = \kappa_B = \kappa$ and assuming that $\kappa$ is much larger than other rates in the dynamical equations (2). The latter condition gives us a possibility to adiabatically eliminate the influence of the intracavitiy field by setting the derivatives of field quadratures equal to zero [12]. To find theoretical upper bound for generated entanglement, we assume here the mechanical
mode decoherence-free putting $\gamma = 0$ and $\xi_{i,p} = 0$. Previous studies [44] show that the optomechanical QND interaction can be degraded by cavity memory effects due to finite linewidth $\kappa$ and mechanical bath. The consideration when these effects are eliminated therefore allows to estimate the ultimate performance of our transducer that we will later use to evaluate the realistic regimes. We refer to this adiabatic lossless and noiseless regime as the ideal one.

Using Langevin equations and the input-output relations in the form

$$Q^{\text{out}}(t) = \sqrt{2\kappa} Q(t) - Q^{\text{in}}(t),$$

(3)

where $Q = \{X, Y\}$, we can show that the scheme depicted in Fig. 1 is equivalent to the QND interaction between modes $A$ and $B$:

$$X_A^{\text{out}} = X_A^{\text{in}} + \eta^2 X_B^{\text{in}}, \quad Y_A^{\text{out}} = Y_A^{\text{in}}, \quad q = q(0),$$

$$X_B^{\text{out}} = X_B^{\text{in}} - \eta^2 Y_B^{\text{in}}, \quad Y_B^{\text{out}} = Y_B^{\text{in}}, \quad p = p(0),$$

(4)

where we have introduced effective QND coupling strength $\eta = g \sqrt{\frac{2\tau}{\kappa}}$, new quadratures $Q = \{X, Y\}$, $Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \int_0^\tau Q(t)dt$ integrated over rectangular pulses, pulse duration time $\tau$ (at this point we assumed identical pulses $\tau_A = \tau_B = \tau$) and we have put all optomechanical couplings equal to each other and equal to $g$. As we can see from (4) the mechanical mode is completely traced out from these transformations due to geometric phase effect discussed in the Section 2.1 and 2.2.

We choose the entanglement of the modes $A$ and $B$ as the measure of the efficiency of the proposed transducer. Our consideration is limited to zero-mean Gaussian states as the initial states of the three modes are such (the vacuum states for the radiation ones and the thermal state for the mechanical mode) and the nondemolition interaction due to its linearity preserves the Gaussianity of the quantum states. Any zero-mean Gaussian state $\hat{\rho}$ of two modes $A$ and $B$ with quadratures $f = [X_A, Y_A, X_B, Y_B]^T$ can be fully described by the covariance matrix with elements $V_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho} \left( f_i f_j + f_j f_i \right) \right]$. To numerically characterize the Gaussian entanglement we use logarithmic negativity defined as

$$E_N = \max \left[ 0, -\log_2 \nu_- \right],$$

(5)

with $\nu_-$ being the smallest symplectic eigenvalue of the partially transposed covariance matrix [45] that can be computed as follows:

$$\nu_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\Sigma(V) - \sqrt{\Sigma(V)^2 - 4 \det V}},$$

where

$$\Sigma(V) = \det \mathcal{V}_1 + \det \mathcal{V}_2 - 2 \det \mathcal{V}_c,$$

with $\mathcal{V}_{1,2}, \mathcal{V}_c$ being $2 \times 2$ block-matrices composing the covariance matrix:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{V}_1 & \mathcal{V}_c \\ \mathcal{V}_c^T & \mathcal{V}_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the ideal case of adiabatic elimination of the intracavity modes and the absence of decoherence processes the symplectic eigenvalue for the two radiation modes $A$ and $B$ may be expressed in the following form:

$$\nu_-^0 = \sqrt{1 - 2\eta^4 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \eta^{-4}} - 1 \right]},$$

(6)
The corresponding logarithmic negativity is nonzero for arbitrary $\eta > 0$ and monotonically increases regardless of the state of the mechanical mediator since in (4) the mechanical quadratures appear to be traced out of the transformations of the radiation modes. The dependence of the entanglement on the coupling strength is illustrated in Fig. 2 (solid purple curve). The main question is how close the realistic transducer can be to this idealised case.

3. Decoherence processes for the symmetrical transducer

In this section we consider the radiation loss and mechanical decoherence during whole time of the transducer operation. First we include radiation losses in the delay lines, then we study the influence of the mechanical bath and finally we combine both to explore their joint contribution. It allows a detailed analysis of decoherence in the quantum transducer.

![Fig. 2. Logarithmic negativity $E_N$ as the function of QND coupling strength $\eta$ in the lossless adiabatic case (top purple curve) and in the case of radiation losses present (lower solid curves). Dotted lines correspond to the case of the optimal combination of the strengths of individual interactions. It demonstrates that radiation losses only partially limit generation of entanglement from the transducer. The optimization of gains is efficient only for large loss.]

3.1. The influence of radiation losses

The radiation losses may be modeled by a virtual beamsplitter with the transmittance $T_{ls}$. After a mode with quadratures $Q$ passes this beamsplitter the quadratures are transformed in the following way:

$$Q \rightarrow \sqrt{T_{ls}}Q + \sqrt{1 - T_{ls}}Q_{ls}$$

with $Q_{ls}$ being noise quadratures of vacuum. We introduce these beamsplitters after the first and the second QND interactions (see Fig. 1 (b)). For the sake of simplicity we assume damping coefficients $T_{ls}$ to be the same for both modes. We consider the initial mechanical state to be in the ground state within this section.

The radiation losses break the entanglement monotonicity for increased interaction gain $\eta$. Instead, the maximal value of logarithmic negativity is reached for a finite coupling. This effect is obviously more pronounced at larger losses (see Fig. 2 for details). For higher $\eta$ smaller amounts of losses are sufficient to break the entanglement. In the limit of small losses $T_{ls} \sim 1$ and weak coupling $\eta \ll 1$ the symplectic eigenvalue may be approximated in the following form:

$$\nu_{-} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} (1 + T_{ls}) \eta^{2}.$$  (7)

Losses therefore do not impose a threshold on the value of $\eta$ — for any transmittance $T_{ls}$ there is entanglement for arbitrarily low values of $\eta$. The coupling strength $\eta$ however becomes bounded...
from above (see Fig. 2). We also see from this figure that the entanglement behavior near the origin is defined by the losses value — the approximated value of the derivative of the logarithmic negativity reads:

$$\frac{\partial E_N}{\partial \eta} \bigg|_{\eta \to 0} \approx \frac{2}{\ln 2} \sqrt{T_{ls} \eta}. \quad (8)$$

In the ideal case without any losses we compensate for the influence of the noisy mechanical mediator setting strengths of each interaction equal to each other. Losses lead to the imbalance in the system that can be corrected by making these strengths non-equal. We numerically find the optimal combination of individual QND gains $\eta_i$ that provides the maximum of the achievable entanglement given the constraint on coupling strengths $0 < \eta_i < \eta$. The coupling strength can be manipulated by change of interaction time or pumping. They are equivalent at this point. The result of the numerical optimization is presented in Fig. 2. The maximal logarithmic negativity $E_N$ is plotted as a function of the upper boundary of the region over which we optimize. As we can see from this figure the optimization helps to restore high values of entanglement especially in case of high losses. In the case of small losses and small $\eta$ non-optimized logarithmic negativity is close to the maximally achievable value (6).

### 3.2. The influence of the thermal environment

![Fig. 3. Logarithmic negativity $E_N$ as the function of the QND coupling $\eta$. For both left and right figures solid and dashed lines correspond to the non-optimized case with respectively $g$ or $\tau$ varied, dotted lines with markers show the result of optimization. For the plots we used $\gamma = 1.5 \times 10^{-6} \kappa$. (a) Lossless case in presence of mechanical bath. Parameters are varied in the following regions: $0 \leq g \leq 0.4\kappa; 7 \times 10^2/\kappa \leq \tau \leq 9 \times 10^4/\kappa$. This plot demonstrates that mechanical bath does not affect the entanglement drastically and the optimization is efficient for larger mechanical bath occupation numbers. (b) Mechanical bath and radiation losses. Parameters here are varied in the following regions: $0 \leq g \leq 0.4\kappa; 7 \times 10^2/\kappa \leq \tau \leq 9 \times 10^4/\kappa$. This plot demonstrates that the performance of the proposed transducer may be quite high. Even for large bath occupation $n_{th} = 200$ the optimization helps to reach significant values of the entanglement.](image-url)
Now we explore our setup with the presence of the thermal mechanical environment and investigate its influence on the protocol performance. We consider the mechanical bath to be in the thermal state with mean occupation number $n_{th}$, being coupled to the mechanical mode at rate $\gamma$ and we model it by the noisy quadratures $\xi_{x,i,p}$ in (2) with $i = \{1, 2\}$.

In the idealised adiabatic case each of interactions is parametrized by a single coupling parameter $\eta_i$, upper-bounded by maximal $\eta$. In presence of the mechanical bath the entanglement changes differently with respect to changes in optomechanical coupling $g$ and pulse duration $\tau$ even if those result in equal coupling parameter $\eta$. It is reflected in Fig. 3 (a). Increasing of $g$ causes deviation from the monotonic increase of entanglement which is seen more clearly for large values of $g$. If we instead increase the temporal duration of pulses $\tau$ to achieve same interaction gain $\eta$ the entanglement is suppressed stronger because the influence of the mechanical bath is obviously more significant for longer interaction times. It is worth noting that for any thermal occupation arbitrarily low coupling $\eta$ generates entanglement. We also note that in contrast to previous section, the derivatives near the origin are the same in this case, so in the limit $\eta \ll 1$ all curves coincide.

To reach maximal entanglement we again optimize the logarithmic negativity with respect to the four unequal optomechanical couplings $g_i$ and different interaction times $\tau$. The result of this optimization is presented in Fig. 3 (a). The optimization proves especially useful for larger values of mean occupation number $n_{th}$; in contrast to the non-optimal case of equal couplings, in the optimized regime entanglement monotonically increases with $\eta$.

We would like to note that in the region of small values of the mean bath occupation number $n_{th}$ and QND coupling $\eta$ the entanglement values are close to the ones of the ideal adiabatic case. On the other hand, in the case of large $n_{th}$, which is of our interest, the entanglement increases at different rate than in the adiabatic regime.

3.3. Joint influence of radiation losses and mechanical bath

To complete the full analysis we consider the joint impact of the radiation losses and mechanical bath on the protocol performance which is reflected in Fig. 3 (b). Apparently, joint influence of the radiation losses and mechanical bath is not critical. The transducer still keeps possibility to generate detectable entanglement, especially for low $\eta$. The figure shows that including radiation losses in addition to mechanical bath depresses the curves more for larger interaction strength in agreement with results of Section 3.1. The figure shows as well that the influence of the radiation losses is more drastic than the mechanical bath impact when both are present simultaneously. It is therefore important to keep the delay lines lossless.

We once again optimize the interaction parameters $\eta_i$ by varying simultaneously optomechanical couplings $g_i$ and the pulse durations $\tau_i$ to achieve maximum of entanglement. We see that in the case of joint influence of both mechanical bath and radiation losses the optimization helps sufficiently, especially for larger values of mean occupation number $n_{th}$ and radiation losses. In particular, for high $n_{th} = 200$ where for the non-optimized case the entanglement is not generated at all, the optimal regime shows sufficient values of logarithmic negativity which is a very promising result. In agreement with Section 3.1 the optimal entanglement saturates to a finite value. It clearly demonstrates advantage of the optimization beyond the basic idea of geometric phase effect in the real pulsed cavity quantum transducer.

4. Asymmetrical transducer

Up to this moment we considered a symmetric transducer that could in principle be implemented with two radiation modes entering in turns a same optomechanical cavity. Now we switch to a principally different case, where a common mechanical mode is shared across two opto(electro-)mechanical cavities. This type of transducer allows coupling physically different modes of radiation, for instance, optical and microwave fields and was implemented in continuous wave
regime in Refs. [24,25]. The performance of the transducer has been proven [24] to be partially limited by mechanical environment occupation. We show that our scheme is capable of reasonable performance at relatively high temperatures.

To characterize the system performance we introduce new effective QND coupling parameter \( \eta' = \frac{\sqrt{2g_A g_B \frac{\tau_A}{\tau_B}}}{\sqrt{k_A k_B}} \), where subscripts \( A \) and \( B \) denote optical and microwave systems correspondingly. We take into account losses in both modes and the mechanical bath.

Our analysis shows that in the case of small radiation losses and low mechanical bath occupation the optoelectromechanical transducer demonstrates very small deviations from maximally achievable performance (See Fig. 4) even without optimization of the individual interactions. For the numerical parameters of the analysis we were inspired by two experimental works. The first one reported in Ref. [46] considers a nanoscale silicon optomechanical crystal and the second one [10] explores pulsed entanglement in an electromechanical system.

To achieve maximum of entanglement we performed the same optimization of parameters as we did previously unless this time the coupling strengths \( g_{A,B} \) and pulse durations \( \tau_{A,B} \) for the two modes were bounded in individual regions in order to reflect the difference between the two modes. In the case of small losses and low occupations this optimization does not help sufficiently (See Fig. 4 where green lines virtually overlap). However for the case of high thermal occupation \( n_{th} = 200 \) where the entanglement is not observable (solid brown line on Fig. 4) the optimized curve demonstrates significant values of the logarithmic negativity (brown dotted line with markers).

It is worth noting that variation of \( \tau_A \) and \( \tau_B \) leads to different results in the entanglement behavior. As you may see for the case of \( n_{th} = 200 \) variation of \( \tau_B \) (dashed brown curve) leads to a region of non-zero entanglement, whereas variation of \( \tau_A \) in the region of realistic parameters does not produce any entanglement. This is related to the fact that at large bath occupation number the individual subsystems are very sensitive to the changes in the pulse duration thus the asymmetry of the transducer becomes more apparent.
5. Conclusion

In this paper we explored pulsed optomechanical transducer which entangles two directly non-interacting radiation modes with assistance of a noisy mechanical mediator. We considered this system in the adiabatic regime for the case of symmetrical transducer interconnecting optical fields and we explored the realistic performance of this system in the presence of decoherence for both symmetrical and asymmetrical (allowing to connect optical to microwave radiation) cases. We have shown that the appropriate choice of parameters and their numerical optimization over controllable interaction time and pumping power allow promising performance of such device for experiment. It goes beyond simple understanding based on the geometric phase effect [14]. Particularly, for the case of very high bath occupation number $n_h = 200$ where the entanglement is not generated in the non-optimal case, the optimization shows significant values of achievable logarithmic negativity. It is apparently measurable value of the entanglement detectable in the experiments. This result is a good demonstration of potential efficiency of proposed pulsed transducer and a stimulation for the experimental teams. It opens the way for further exploration of pulsed transducers combining them with other physical platforms, like for example atoms [47,48] or solid-state systems like NV centers [49,50].
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