Quantitative Modeling of *Escherichia coli* Chemotactic Motion in Environments Varying in Space and Time

Lili Jiang¹,², Qi Ouyang¹,², Yuhai Tu¹,³*

¹ Center for Theoretical Biology and School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, China, ² The State Key Laboratory for Artificial Microstructures and Mesoscopic Physics, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, China, ³ IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York, United States of America

**Abstract**

*Escherichia coli* chemotactic motion in spatiotemporally varying environments is studied by using a computational model based on a coarse-grained description of the intracellular signaling pathway dynamics. We find that the cell's chemotaxis drift velocity $v_d$ is a constant in an exponential attractant concentration gradient $[L]\exp(Gx)$. $v_d$ depends linearly on the exponential gradient $G$ before it saturates when $G$ is larger than a critical value $G_C$. We find that $G_C$ is determined by the intracellular adaptation rate $k_R$ with a simple scaling law: $G_C = k_R^{-1/2}$. The linear dependence of $v_d$ on $G = d[ln(L)]/dx$ directly demonstrates *E. coli*’s ability in sensing the derivative of the logarithmic attractant concentration. The existence of the limiting gradient $G_C$ and its scaling with $k_R$ are explained by the underlying intracellular adaptation dynamics and the flagellar motor response characteristics. For individual cells, we find that the overall average run length in an exponential gradient is longer than that in a homogeneous environment, which is caused by the constant kinase activity shift (decrease). The forward runs (up the gradient) are longer than the backward runs, as expected; and depending on the exact gradient, the (shorter) backward runs can be comparable to runs in a spatially homogeneous environment, consistent with previous experiments. In (spatial) ligand gradients that also vary in time, the chemotaxis motion is damped as the frequency $\omega$ of the time-varying spatial gradient becomes faster than a critical value $\omega_C$, which is controlled by the cell’s chemotaxis adaptation rate $k_R$. Finally, our model, with no adjustable parameters, agrees quantitatively with the classical capillary assay experiments where the attractant concentration changes both in space and time. Our model can thus be used to study *E. coli* chemotaxis behavior in arbitrary spatiotemporally varying environments. Further experiments are suggested to test some of the model predictions.
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**Introduction**

Bacterial chemotaxis is one of the most studied model systems for two-component signal transduction in biology [1]. In *Escherichia coli*, the relevant proteins and their interactions in the chemotaxis signaling pathway have been studied over the past decades and a more or less complete qualitative picture of chemotaxis signal transduction has emerged (Figure 1A). It is now known [2] that external chemical signals are sensed by membrane-bound chemoreceptors called methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCP), which form a functional complex with two types of cytoplasmic proteins: the adaptor protein CheW and the histidine kinase CheA. Upon binding to an attractant (repellent) ligand molecule, the receptor suppresses (enhances) the autophosphorylation activity of the attached CheA, and transduces the external chemical signal to inside the cell. The histidine kinase CheA, once phosphorylated, quickly transfers its phosphate group to the two downstream response regulator proteins CheY and CheB [3]. The small protein CheY-phosphate (CheY-p), before it gets dephosphorylated by the phosphatase enzyme CheZ, can diffuse from the receptor complex to the flagellar motor. CheY-p can bind to FilM proteins of the flagellar motor, increasing the probability of changing its rotation from counterclockwise (CCW) to clockwise (CW), which in turn causes the motion of the *E. coli* cell to change from run to tumble. After a brief tumble, the cell runs again in a new random direction. The directed motion of bacterial chemotaxis is achieved when the run length is longer in a favorable direction [1].

Significant progress has been made in several key areas towards quantitative understanding of the *E. coli* chemotaxis signaling pathway. First, through experiments and modeling, it is now well established that the high sensitivity of the *E. coli* chemotactic response [4,5,6] is partly caused by the cooperative interaction between neighboring MCP complexes [7,8,9,10] within the polar receptor cluster. Another important feature of the *E. coli* chemotaxis signaling pathway is its ability to adapt to a wide range of environments by slow methylation and demethylation of the MCP receptors at four specific residues. Two enzymes are involved in adaptation: CheR adds methyl groups to the chemoreceptor, while CheB-p removes them [3]. Both of these processes depend on the receptor kinase activity, and this feedback mechanism is believed to be responsible for the near perfect adaptation of the system [11,12,13,14], which maintains the kinase activity within the sensitive range of the motor. A general model
Chemotaxis in Spatiotemporal Varying Environments

Author Summary

A computational model, based on a coarse-grained description of the cell’s underlying chemotaxis signaling pathway dynamics, is used to study *Escherichia coli* chemotactic motion in realistic environments that change in both space and time. We find that in an exponential attractant gradient, *E. coli* cells swim (randomly) toward higher attractant concentrations with a constant chemotactic drift velocity (CDV) that is proportional to the exponential gradient. In contrast, CDV continuously decreases in a linear gradient. These findings demonstrate that *E. coli* senses and responds to the relative gradient of the ligand concentration, instead of the gradient itself. The intracellular sensory adaptation rate does not affect the chemotactic motion directly; however, it sets a maximum relative ligand gradient beyond which CDV saturates. In time-varying environments, the *E. coli*’s chemotactic motion is damped when the spatial gradient varies (in time) faster than a critical frequency determined by the adaptation rate. The run-length statistics of individual cells are studied and found to be consistent with previous experimental measurements. Finally, simulations of our model, with no adjustable parameters, agree quantitatively with the classical capillary assay [22,23], where the attractant concentration adaptation dynamics. Quantitative comparisons with the classical cell’s internal molecular signaling processes, in particular its *coli* chemotaxis motion in spatiotemporally-varying environments.

Methods

Here, we briefly describe SPECS, a parsimonious model first introduced in [21] that contains the minimum essential features of the *E. coli* chemotaxis pathway without including all the detailed elements and reactions of the entire network. To represent the system-level dynamics of the signaling pathway, we use a coarse-grained model in which the chemoreceptor is represented by its average kinase activity $a(t)$ and its average methylation level $m(t)$ at time $t$. The external environment at time $t$ is given by the ligand concentration $[L](x(t),t)$. The internal signaling pathway dynamics is described at the coarse-grained level by the interactions between the average receptor methylation level $m(t)$ and the kinase activity $a(t)$, which eventually determines the switching probability of the flagellar motor $P(a)$. The switching probability is then used to determine the cell motion (run or tumble), and direction of motion during run fluctuates due to rotational diffusion. The motion of the cell leads it to a new location with a new ligand concentration for the cell and the whole simulation process continues.

Figure 1. Illustrations of the E. coli chemotaxis pathway and the SPECS (Signaling Pathway-based E. coli Chemotaxis Simulator) model. (A) The *E. coli* chemotaxis signal transduction pathway. The MCP complex receptor-CheW-CheA is the sensor and can be active (green) or inactive (dark brown). Binding of attractant molecules (yellow) decreases the probability of the receptor to be active. Once activated, the histidine kinase CheA quickly autophosphorylates and then transfers the phosphate group to CheY. CheY-p, the response regulator, diffuses to the flagellar motor and modulates its switching probability between CW and CCW rotations. CheZ, the CheY-p phosphatase, serves as the sink of the signal. Adaptation of the system is carried out by methylation and demethylation of the receptor, which are facilitated by the enzymes, CheR and CheB-p, respectively. (B) Flow chart of the SPECS model (reproduced from Figure 3 in [21]). The input for the signaling pathway (inside the box) is the instantaneous ligand concentration $[L](x(t),t)$. The internal signaling pathway dynamics is described at the coarse-grained level by the interactions between the average receptor methylation level $m(t)$ and the kinase activity $a(t)$, which eventually determines the switching probability of the flagellar motor $P(a)$. The switching probability is then used to determine the cell motion (run or tumble), and direction of motion during run fluctuates due to rotational diffusion. The motion of the cell leads it to a new location with a new ligand concentration for the cell and the whole simulation process continues. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.10000735.g001

Chemotaxis signaling pathway dynamics

Following Tu et al. [15], each functional MCP receptor complex can be either in the active or the inactive state; these states are separated by a free energy difference, $N(\Delta m[L])$, where $N$ is the number of the responding receptor dimers in the complex. The ligand-receptor binding time ($\tau_{br}$), estimated from the measured ligand-receptor dissociation constant [24] and the diffusion limited on-rate, is much shorter than the receptor...
methylation time scale \( \tau_m (\gg 1s) \). The measured CheA auto-phosphorylation time \( \sim 0.025s \) [25] is also much shorter than \( \tau_m \). Therefore, the kinase activity can be determined by the quasi-equilibrium approximation:

\[
a = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(Ne(m,[L]))}.
\]  

(1)

Using the Monod-Wyman-Changeux allosteric model to describe the receptor cooperativity [26,27], the free energy difference can be written as:

\[
a(m,[L]) = \frac{f_m(m)}{1 + [L]/K_A},
\]  

(2)

where \( f_m(m) \) is the methylation level-dependent free energy difference; \( K_f \) and \( K_A \) are the dissociation constants of the ligand to the inactive and the active receptor, respectively. Quantitatively, for MeAsp, which is the chemo-attractant studied here, we use the parameters \( K_f = 18.2 \mu M, K_A = 3mM, N = 6 \) determined by fitting the pathway model to the in vivo FRET data [28]. The free energy contribution due to methylation of the receptor is taken to be linear in \( m: f_m(m) = \alpha(m_0 - m) \) as used in [15] and supported by recent experiments [29]. The parameters \( \alpha \) and \( m_0 \) can be estimated from the dose-response data [6,30] of the cheRcheB mutants with different methylation levels; for MeAsp, they are roughly \( \alpha \approx 1.7, m_0 \approx 1 \).

The kinetics of the methylation level can be described by the dynamic equation [15]:

\[
dm/dt = F(a) \approx k_R(1 - a) - k_ga
\]  

(3)

The general methylation rate function \( F(a) \) is expressed by a linear approximation with \( k_R \) and \( k_g \) as the linear rates for methylation and demethylation processes. The simple form is based on the assumption that CheR only methylates inactive receptors and CheB-p only demethylates active receptors, which are required to achieve near perfect adaptation in the kinase activity [11,13,14,31]. More complicated Michaelis-Menten equations can be used [32], but they do not affect the results here as the system (pathway) normally operates in the linear range. For simplicity, we take \( k_R = k_g \) to fix the steady state activity \( a_0 = 0.5 \); another value \( a_0 = 0.5 \) was used without affecting the results. The methylation rates can be estimated by the adaptation time from experiments with step function stimuli [4]; for MeAsp, we use \( k_R \approx 0.005/\text{sec} \). The dependence of the chemotaxis motion on \( k_R \) is studied in this paper.

Run and tumble motion

A simple phenomenological model is used here to model the \( E. \ coli \) cell motion. Let \( s = -1 \) represent the tumble and run states of the cell. For the time period \( t \rightarrow t + \Delta t \), a cell switches from state \( s \) to state \( 1-s \) with probability \( p_s([Y]) \Delta t \). The response curve measured by Chazell et al [16] determined the ratio between the two probability rates for one flagellar motor (see Supporting Information SI Figure S1 for details on effects of multiple flagella):

\[
p_s([Y]) = \frac{[Y]^H}{[Y]_{0.5}^H},
\]  

(4)

with \( H \approx 10 \) and \( ([Y]_{0.5} \approx 3\mu M \). We assume the tumble time is roughly constant (independent of \([Y]\)) by setting \( p_0([Y]) = \tau_0^{-1} \), where \( \tau_0 \approx 0.2 \text{sec} \) is the average duration of the tumble state. Correspondingly, the probability rate to switch from the run state to the tumble state is:

\[
p_1([Y]) = \tau_0^{-1} \frac{[Y]^H}{[Y]_{0.5}^H}.
\]  

(5)

In our simulation, \([Y]\) is assumed to be proportional to the kinase activity: \([Y] = Y_a a(t)\) without considering the nonlinear dependence [33] \((Y_a \) is defined later in this paragraph). This linear approximation is justified by the relatively small range of activity variation in our study. Including the CheY-p dephosphorylation dynamics explicitly with dephosphorylation time \( \tau_Y = 0.1 - 0.5s \) did not significantly change the results (see Figure S1). Spatial effects are neglected as the diffusion time for CheY-p across the cell length is short \( < 50 \mu m \) [34] and the CheY-p level was measured to be spatially uniform in wt \( E. \ coli \) cells [35]. In steady state, \( a = a_0 = k_R/(k_R + k_g) \) and the average run time is \( \tau_s \approx 0.8 \text{sec} \). Therefore, \( Y_a \) is set by \( Y_{a0} = [Y]_{0.5}^{1/2}(0.2/0.8)^{1/2} \). After a tumbling episode, a new run direction is chosen randomly with the run velocity \( v_0 = 1.5 \mu m/\text{sec} \) [36]. In our simulations, a small time step \( \Delta t = 0.1s \) is chosen to resolve the average tumbling time.

Rotational diffusion

As first pointed out by Berg and Brown [37], one important factor in chemotaxis is the rotational diffusion of the cell due to the Brownian fluctuation of the medium. This can be simply captured by adding a small Gaussian random angle \( \delta \theta \) to the direction of the velocity in every run time step \( \Delta t = 0.1 \text{sec} \):

\[
\theta \rightarrow \theta + \delta \theta
\]  

(6)

The amplitude of this rotational diffusion angle \( \Delta \theta \equiv \sqrt{\langle \delta \theta^2 \rangle} \) is roughly \( 10^\circ \) as estimated by the fact that it takes \( \sim 10 \text{sec} \) for the cell to lose its original direction of motion (i.e., turn more than \( 90^\circ \)) by pure rotational diffusion.

Boundary effect

For the boundary condition, we assume that when a cell swims to a wall, it swim along the wall for some time (1--5 sec) before swimming away [21,38]. The boundary condition can affect the cell distribution near the wall, but should not strongly affect the overall behavior of the cell distribution in the bulk.

Results

The SPCS model was used to investigate \( E. \ coli \) chemotaxis behaviors (for individual cells and at the population-level) for a series of ligand profiles with increasing spatial and temporal complexity. Our model revealed the key dynamics of the microscopic control circuit responsible for these behaviors and predicted novel responses to spatio-temporally varying environments, which can be tested by future experiments.

\( E. \ coli \) chemotactic motion in stationary ligand gradients: logarithmic sensing and its microscopic mechanism

Constant chemotactic drift velocity in exponential ligand gradients.

One central question in chemotactic motility is whether the drift velocity of the cells is determined by the gradient \( \nabla[L] \) or the relative gradient \( \nabla[L]/[L] \) of the ligand
concentration \([L]\). We addressed this question by studying cell motion in two types of stationary ligand spatial profiles, linear and exponential, in which the gradient or the relative gradient of the ligand concentration was kept constant, respectively. For an exponential attractant concentration profile \( [L] = [L_0] \exp(x/x_0) \), the relative gradient \( V[L] / [L] \) or equivalently the gradient of the logarithmic concentration \( V \ln[L] \) is a constant vector along the \( x \)-direction with magnitude \( G = x_0^{-1} \). The response to pure temporal exponential gradient was first studied experimentally by Block et al [39]. Recently, exponential gradient sensing was studied theoretically [15] and from an optimization point of view [19]. Here, by using the SPECs model, we simulated the motion of a population typically consisting of 1000 individuals in different exponential ligand profiles (solid lines, Figure 2A). In comparison, cell motions in linear gradients: \( [L] = [L_0](1 + x/x_0) \) was also studied (dotted lines, Figure 2B). We calculated the dynamics of the average cell position, the average methylation level, and the average activity of the cells for different values of \( x_0 \).

In exponential gradients, the average cell position increases linearly with time, leading to a constant chemotaxis drift velocity \( v_d \), before it saturates at a later time. The molecular mechanism for this behavior becomes evident by inspecting the average methylation level of the cells. Initially, as a cell moves up the gradient, its receptor methylation level increases with time to balance the effect of the increasing ligand concentration. This adaptation mechanism maintains the kinase activity within the sensitive range of the flagellar motor and therefore maintains a constant chemotactic drift velocity. Only when the receptor methylation level approaches its maximum value \((m_{\text{max}} = 4)\), the chemotactic motion slows because the cell can no longer adapt to higher ligand concentrations, which can be seen in Figure 2A for the case of \( x_0 = 0.6mm \) for \( t > 20 \text{min} \). In contrast, the drift velocity decreases continuously in linear gradients long before the methylation level reaches its maximum (Figure 2B). The difference in drift velocities in exponential and linear gradients originates from the different behaviors in their average kinase activities. In an exponential gradient, the kinase activity shifts to a new steady-state value lower than the perfectly adapted value \( v_0 \) in the absence of any gradient (Figure 2A). The activity shift \( \Delta a \) measures the kinase response of the cell. The constant kinase response in exponential gradients lead to constant drift velocities. In a linear gradient, the kinase activity, after an initial fast decrease, continuously recovers towards \( a_0 \) without reaching a steady state, as shown in Figure 2B. The decreasing kinase response in a linear gradient leads to a decreasing drift velocity (Figure 2B). In Figure 2C, plots of instantaneous drift velocity as a function of ligand concentration for both exponential (solid line) and linear (dotted line) gradients show explicitly that cells move with constant drift velocities in exponential gradients while they slow down in linear gradients as they move to regions with higher ligand concentrations.

The range of ligand concentrations over which the drift velocity remains constant in an exponential gradient is spanned by the two dissociation constants \( K_I \) and \( K_I (> K_I) \) for inactive and active receptors respectively. From Eq. (2), the free energy contribution from ligand binding, \( f_L \), can be expressed as:

\[
 f_L = \ln([L] + K_I) - \ln([L] + K_I) + \ln(K_I/K_I). \]

Within the range \( K_I < [L] < K_I \), this logarithmic dependence of ligand concentration in the free energy leads to a constant kinase activity shift in response to an exponential temporal gradient [15,39] and eventually results to a constant drift velocity proportional to the gradient of the logarithmic ligand concentration, i.e., the logarithmic sensing behavior. For MeAsp, this range \( (K_I/K_I) \) is over 2 decades as shown in [6,28]. In general, a constant activity shift can be obtained by setting the rate of change in activity free energy to be a constant: \( \partial f_L / \partial x = x_0^{-1} \), resulting to the required ligand profile:

\[
 [L](x) = \frac{K_A([L_0] \exp(x/x_0) - K_I)}{K_A - [L_0] \exp(x/x_0)},
\]

where the constant \([L_0]\) sets the scale for the ligand concentration. Thus, the required ligand profile is exponential: \([L](x) \approx [L_0] \exp(x/x_0)\) as long as \([L] \) is within the range set by \( K_I \) and \( K_A: K_I < [L] < K_A \). Recently, Vladimir et al [20] studied the dependence of drift velocity on gradient shape and adaptation rate with a much smaller range \( (K_I/K_I \approx 7) \) assumed for Asp. A constant drift velocity was reported in [20] for a ligand profile that is qualitatively different from the exponential gradient shown here. This discrepancy is likely caused by the smaller \( K_I/K_I \) ratio used in [20]. In addition, an uncontrolled approximation for changes in ligand free energy \( \Delta f_L \) was used in [20] to obtain the

![Figure 2. Comparison of chemotactic motions in exponential and linear ligand profiles.](image)
specific form of ligand profile for constant activity. Linearizing the exponential spatial dependence in the exact solution given in Eq. (7) would lead to a similar (but not identical) spatial gradient form as reported in [20]. However, such linearization is only valid for a limited range of space \( x \), which is much smaller than the length scale \( x_0 \) of the ligand profile.

The logarithmic sensing behavior, i.e., constant drift velocities in exponential gradients, predicted here from the model can be directly tested by measuring \( E. coli \) chemotaxis motion in stationary exponential attractant gradients, which is yet to be achieved experimentally. Recently, we used the SPECS model to simulate \( E. coli \) chemotropic motions in a finite channel with different linear ligand profiles. The quantitative agreement with microfluidics experiments [21] indirectly supported the notion that \( E. coli \) senses the relative change of ligand concentration and verified the validity of the SPECS model.

**Adaptation rate controls the critical exponential gradient and the saturation (maximum) chemotaxis velocity.** Within the chemosensitivity range \( m < m_{\text{max}} \), the average cell position \( \langle x \rangle \) increases linearly with time in exponential gradients, resulting in a constant chemotaxis velocity \( v_d \): \( v_d \equiv \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} \). The dependence of \( v_d \) on the exponential gradient \( G(=x_0^{-1}) \) is determined for different values of \( k_R \) (with fixed \( k_R/k_B = 1 \)), which correspond to different adaptation rates. As shown in Figure 3A, the drift velocity is linearly proportional to the gradient of the logarithmic ligand concentration, \( v_d \approx C G = C \frac{\partial \ln[L]}{\partial x} \), for \( G < G_C \) where \( G_C \) is a critical gradient beyond which \( v_d \) saturates. The linear proportional constant \( C \) defines the chemotaxis motility of \( E. coli \) cells and has the dimension of a diffusion constant with its dependence of \( v_d \) on the exponential gradient \( G \).

Within the chemosensitivity range \( m < m_{\text{max}} \), the average cell position \( \langle x \rangle \) increases linearly with time in exponential gradients, resulting in a constant chemotaxis velocity \( v_d \): \( v_d \equiv \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} \). The dependence of \( v_d \) on the exponential gradient \( G(=x_0^{-1}) \) is determined for different values of \( k_R \) (with fixed \( k_R/k_B = 1 \)), which correspond to different adaptation rates. As shown in Figure 3A, the drift velocity is linearly proportional to the gradient of the logarithmic ligand concentration, \( v_d \approx C G = C \frac{\partial \ln[L]}{\partial x} \), for \( G < G_C \) where \( G_C \) is a critical gradient beyond which \( v_d \) saturates. The linear proportional constant \( C \) defines the chemotaxis motility of \( E. coli \) cells and has the dimension of a diffusion constant with its dependence on the exponential gradient \( G \).

Adaptation rate controls the critical exponential gradient and the saturation (maximum) chemotaxis velocity. Within the chemosensitivity range \( m < m_{\text{max}} \), the average cell position \( \langle x \rangle \) increases linearly with time in exponential gradients, resulting in a constant chemotaxis velocity \( v_d \): \( v_d \equiv \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} \). The dependence of \( v_d \) on the exponential gradient \( G(=x_0^{-1}) \) is determined for different values of \( k_R \) (with fixed \( k_R/k_B = 1 \)), which correspond to different adaptation rates. As shown in Figure 3A, the drift velocity is linearly proportional to the gradient of the logarithmic ligand concentration, \( v_d \approx C G = C \frac{\partial \ln[L]}{\partial x} \), for \( G < G_C \) where \( G_C \) is a critical gradient beyond which \( v_d \) saturates. The linear proportional constant \( C \) defines the chemotaxis motility of \( E. coli \) cells and has the dimension of a diffusion constant with its dependence on the exponential gradient \( G \).

Adaptation rate controls the critical exponential gradient and the saturation (maximum) chemotaxis velocity. Within the chemosensitivity range \( m < m_{\text{max}} \), the average cell position \( \langle x \rangle \) increases linearly with time in exponential gradients, resulting in a constant chemotaxis velocity \( v_d \): \( v_d \equiv \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} \). The dependence of \( v_d \) on the exponential gradient \( G(=x_0^{-1}) \) is determined for different values of \( k_R \) (with fixed \( k_R/k_B = 1 \)), which correspond to different adaptation rates. As shown in Figure 3A, the drift velocity is linearly proportional to the gradient of the logarithmic ligand concentration, \( v_d \approx C G = C \frac{\partial \ln[L]}{\partial x} \), for \( G < G_C \) where \( G_C \) is a critical gradient beyond which \( v_d \) saturates. The linear proportional constant \( C \) defines the chemotaxis motility of \( E. coli \) cells and has the dimension of a diffusion constant with its dependence on the exponential gradient \( G \).

Adaptation rate controls the critical exponential gradient and the saturation (maximum) chemotaxis velocity. Within the chemosensitivity range \( m < m_{\text{max}} \), the average cell position \( \langle x \rangle \) increases linearly with time in exponential gradients, resulting in a constant chemotaxis velocity \( v_d \): \( v_d \equiv \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} \). The dependence of \( v_d \) on the exponential gradient \( G(=x_0^{-1}) \) is determined for different values of \( k_R \) (with fixed \( k_R/k_B = 1 \)), which correspond to different adaptation rates. As shown in Figure 3A, the drift velocity is linearly proportional to the gradient of the logarithmic ligand concentration, \( v_d \approx C G = C \frac{\partial \ln[L]}{\partial x} \), for \( G < G_C \) where \( G_C \) is a critical gradient beyond which \( v_d \) saturates. The linear proportional constant \( C \) defines the chemotaxis motility of \( E. coli \) cells and has the dimension of a diffusion constant with its dependence on the exponential gradient \( G \).
therefore determined by $\Delta a = a_{\text{min}}$, which leads to the observed scaling relation: $G^2 \propto k_R^{-1/2}$.

Taken together, a simple coherent picture of *E. coli* chemotaxis motion emerges from our modeling studies. Within the chemosensitive regime ($K_L < |L| < K_a$) the chemotaxis drift velocity is linearly proportional to the relative gradient of the concentration. The signal amplification of the underlying pathway increases the chemotaxis sensitivity; and the internal adaptation rate determines the range of this linear response and the maximum drift velocity as summarized in Eq. (8). A few interesting results come directly from this general picture. In a linear gradient $|L| = |L_0| (1 + x/x_0)$, the dynamics of the average *E. coli* position $<x>$ can be studied by using Eq. (8) and assuming $x_0^{-1} < G C; d <x>/dt \approx CG = C/(<x> + x_0)$, which leads to an analytical solution: $<x> = \sqrt{2C/(<x> + x_0)}$. This analytical solution agrees with our simulation results (Figure 2B). It shows explicitly that the chemotaxis drift motion in a linear gradient is sub-linear with a continuously decreasing velocity and $<x> \propto (C t)^{1/2}$ at long times when $t >> x_0^3/C$. For a given exponential gradient $G$, because of the weak dependence of the motility $C$ on $k_R$ within the range of adaptation rates we studied, the dependence of the chemotaxis drift velocity on $k_R$ does not show a pronounced maximum at a particular adaptation rate as reported previously [20]. Instead, the same (near-optimum) chemotaxis velocity is reached, provided that the adaptation rate $k_R$ is larger than a minimum rate $k_{R}\text{min}$ to keep the cell within the linear response regime, i.e., $G < G_C$. From the dependence of $G_C$ on $k_R$, we can obtain the dependence of $k_{R}\text{min}$ on $G : k_{R}\text{min} \propto G^2$. Of course, extremely fast adaptation with adaptation times approaching the average run time would drastically decrease the drift velocity as the cell loses its ability to distinguish forward runs from backward runs.

**Chemotactic motion of individual cells: statistics of the forward and backward run lengths.** In their early work, Berg and Brown first observed long tracks of *E. coli* motion and measured the distributions of runs by using a 3D tracking microscope [37]. From the characteristics of the longest runs up the gradient than down the gradient, they first established that bacterial chemotaxis results from longer runs toward attractants. They also found that the distribution of runs down the gradient is similar to the run length distribution in the absence of ligand concentration gradient. This peculiar observation prompted the question of whether the cell only responds to upward the gradient while ignoring the downward gradient. Here, we addressed this question by using our model to study the characteristics of the chemotactic motion of individual cells. We showed the motion of one cell in an exponential ligand gradient profile ($x_0 = 4.4nm$) (Figure 4A) and the distributions of the run time in the forward and backward directions (Figure 4B). The average forward run time is longer than the average backward run time, with the distribution of backward run time close to the distribution in the absence of attractant gradient. Our model results are consistent with the experimental observations [37] (Figure 4B inset) without invoking different sensing mechanisms for the downward and upward gradients.

The microscopic mechanism for the run length distributions can be studied with our model. We found that after some initial transient time, the kinase activity fluctuated around a constant average value $\langle a \rangle$. This steady state activity value $\langle a \rangle$ was found to be lower than $a_0$, the steady state activity in the absence of ligand gradient, by an amount that depends on the steepness of the gradient (Figure 4C). This result can be explained as follows. As the cell drifts up the exponential ligand gradient with a constant velocity, the average ligand concentration the cell experiences at time $t$ grows exponentially with $t$. For an exponential temporal stimulus, it was first shown experimentally by Block et al [40] that the kinase activity of the cell shifts to a constant value lower than its adapted value. As explained recently in [13], this constant shift in activity is caused by the balance of the exponentially increasing ligand concentration with the linearly increasing methylation level of the receptors. The methylation level versus the logarithmic ligand concentration for individual cells at different times for different exponential gradients collapse onto a universal curve (Figure 4D). The logarithmic external ligand concentration is closely tracked by the receptor methylation level despite the large temporal fluctuations in both these quantities (Figure 4D). Due to the fact that $\langle a \rangle < a_0$, the overall average of all the run times (both up and down the gradient) is longer than that in the absence of gradient. This also explains the larger cell diffusion constant in the presence of exponential attractant gradients (Figure S2). However, during an individual run down the gradient, the decreasing ligand concentration increases the kinase activity as methylation is too slow to react in the typical run time scale. The opposite is true for the upward run. Indeed, as we examined the kinase activity statistics during the upward and the downward runs separately (Figure 4C), we found that the average kinase activity during downward runs $\langle a \rangle_d$ was larger than that of the upward runs $\langle a \rangle_u$. Therefore $\langle a \rangle_d$ can approach $a_0$ while $\langle a \rangle_u$ can be smaller or bigger than $a_0$, depending on the methylation rate and ligand gradient) while $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle a \rangle_u$ are always smaller than $a_0$.

Analytically, the activity shift can be obtained by using the results from the last subsection and following the analysis in [15]: $\langle a \rangle = a_0 - 2G^2/(2zk_R)$. The deviation of $\langle a \rangle_d$ and $\langle a \rangle_u$ from $\langle a \rangle$ can be estimated due to slow adaptation during average run time $t_1$: $\langle a \rangle_d - \langle a \rangle = \langle a \rangle - \langle a \rangle_u \approx (1 - a_0)GNGV_0t_1/2$, which gives: $\langle a \rangle_d - a_0 \approx - \frac{C}{2zk_R}G^2 + \frac{NGV_0t_1(1 - a_0)}{2}G\langle a \rangle_u - a_0 \approx - \frac{C}{2zk_R}G^2 + \frac{NGV_0t_1(1 - a_0)}{2}G$. These analytical expressions show that while $\langle a \rangle_u \leq a_0$ is always true, $\langle a \rangle_d$ can be larger, smaller, or equal to $a_0$ depending on the exponential gradient $G$ (See Figure S3 in SI for demonstration of all these cases). Quantitatively, the run time distributions depend on the details of the gradient, e.g., the ligand concentration in [37] probably has a Gaussian profile rather than a pure exponential form.

**E. coli** chemotactic motion in oscillating spatial gradients: damped responses at high frequencies

In the natural environment, chemical signals not only vary in time, they also fluctuate in space. The fluctuation of a chemical signal (ligand concentration) sensed by a moving cell can be caused by: 1) randomness in the cell motion, i.e., the run-tumble motion and the rotational diffusion of the cell; and 2) temporal variation of the environment itself. Here, we investigated the effects of the latter due to ligand (spatial) gradients that also vary with time. In particular, based on the feasibility of future experimental tests of our predictions, we studied the case that *E. coli* swims in a finite channel of length L where the attractant concentration $|L|$ is linear inside the channel $-L/2 \leq x \leq L/2$ with a slope that oscillates in time with a frequency $\omega$.

$$[L](x,t) = g_0 [\sin (\omega t) x + L/2], \quad (10)$$

with a fixed maximum ligand spatial gradient $g_0$. $g_0 = 0.25 \mu M/\mu m$ was used in this study. We simulated the motion of cells and their dependence on the frequency $\omega$ in a channel with $L = 400 \mu m$, the same geometry as used in our previous study of the stationary linear gradient [21]. To separate the effects of the time-varying gradient from those caused by the fast time variations
due to run-tumble transitions ($\sim 1$ s) and rotational diffusion ($\sim 10$ s), we studied the dependence of cell motion on ligand concentration oscillation with relatively low frequency $\omega < 0.1 \text{Hz}$. We found that the average position (center of mass) of the *E. coli* cells oscillated with the same periodicity as the ligand concentration (Figure 5A). The amplitude of the response depends on the frequency (Figure 5B). For spatial gradients changing with very low frequencies, the response amplitude becomes comparable to the size of the channel and stays almost constant independent of $\omega$ due to the boundary effects. For higher frequencies, the amplitude of the cell motion decreases with $\omega$. This dependence of cell motion on the frequency of the gradient can be understood by studying the mean-field dynamics of the average position of the cell $x_c(t)$ by assuming an instantaneous response to the (logarithmic) ligand gradient:

$$dx_c/dt \approx C [L]^{-1} d[L]/dx = \frac{C \sin(\omega t)}{\sin(\omega t) x_c(t) + L/2}$$

where $C$ is the motility constant defined in the last section. For high frequency, the amplitude of the cell motion is much less than the channel size, $|x_c| \ll L/2$, and the above equation can be solved approximately to obtain:

$$x_c \approx \frac{2C}{L\omega} (1 - \cos(\omega t)),$$

which shows that the response amplitude decreases with frequency as $1/\omega$, consistent with our simulation results (Figure 5B). Equation (12) breaks down and the amplitude saturates at low frequencies.

**Figure 4. Single cell behaviors in exponential attractant gradients.** (A) Trajectory of a cell for 10 min for $[L] = [L]_0 \exp(x_0/L)$ with $x_0 = 4 \text{mm}$. The random walk motion is biased towards the gradient (arrow). The forward runs up the gradient are in red, and the backward runs down the gradient are in black. (B) Run length distribution for forward runs (red), backward runs (black) in the presence of an exponential gradient and without a gradient (purple). The backward run length distribution is close to the run length distribution in the absence of a gradient, similar to the experimental results from Berg and Brown [37] as reproduced in the inset. (C) Distributions of cell kinase activity for forward (red) and backward (black) runs. A time series of the activity $a$ of a single cell is shown: forward is in red and backward is in black. The average activity for backward (black dashed line) is closer to the adapted activity (purple dashed line, 0.5) compared with the average activity for forward (red dashed line). (D) Methylation level of different individual cells at different times and in different exponential gradients (represented by color symbols as in Figure 2) all increase with logarithmic ligand concentration along a universal line, despite large temporal fluctuations in methylation levels and positions for (two) individual cells as shown in the inset.
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on the relative gradients in its past with an exponentially decaying function with time scale $\tau_a$:

$$
\dot{x}_c(t) \approx \tau_a \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{C^2 \ln|L(x,t')|}{\frac{L}{\tau_c}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-t')}{\tau_a}\right) dt'
= \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{C_{tau}\sin(\omega t')}{\sin(\omega t')x_c(t')} + \frac{L/2}{\sin(\omega t')} dt'.
$$

If the time-dependent term in the denominator of the integrant of the above equation is neglected for small amplitudes of cell motion, we can estimate the amplitude of cell motion at high frequencies: $x_c \approx \frac{2C}{L\omega}(1 - \cos(\omega t)) \times (1 + \tau_c \omega)^{-1}$, which has a similar time-dependence as in Eq. (12) but with an extra factor $(1 + \tau_c \omega)^{-1}$ due to the finite adaptation time. For high frequencies $\omega > \tau_c^{-1} \ll k_R$, this extra factor causes the response amplitude to decay with an extra factor $(\tau_c \omega)^{-1}$, consistent with our simulation results (Figure 5C).

The responses of *E. coli* to pure temporal oscillatory signals have been studied experimentally [39], theoretically [15], and within the framework of information theory [41]. However, for an *E. coli* cell moving in a time-varying spatial gradient, its signaling pathway dynamics becomes much more complex as the signal (ligand concentration) changes due to both its temporal and spatial variations convoluted by the cell motion, which is in turn determined by the pathway dynamics. The interplay between spatial and temporal signals coupled to cell motion can lead to rich cellular behaviors, which we have just started to explore. The quantitative dependence of cell motion on $\omega$ and $k_R$ depends on the details of the ligand spatial profile and a simple analytical form is not available. However, the damped chemotaxis motion in environments with high-frequency gradients should be generally true due to the finite adaptation time of the cell. This frequency-dependent chemotaxis behavior can be tested by future experiments in spatial gradients that also change with time with tunable frequencies.

**Complex spatial-temporal ligand profile: quantitative simulation of the classical capillary assay**

The capillary assay is an ingenious experimental method developed more than a century ago by W. Pfeffer and later perfected by J. Adler’s group to study bacterial chemotaxis [22,23]. A capillary tube containing a solution of attractant is inserted into a liquid medium (the pool) containing bacteria. A gradient of the attractant is subsequently developed due to diffusion and bacterial cells swim into the tube following the gradient. The number of bacteria entering the capillary is counted at a given time (45–60 min), as a measure of the cells’ chemosensitivity. This method is still in use today because of its simplicity and also because the spatiotemporally varying attractant profile mimics the realistic situation of attractant released from a stationary source. Here, we modeled the responses of cells in the capillary assay and quantitatively compared the results with the experimental measurements. The time-dependent attractant concentration was determined by solving the diffusion equations:

$$
\frac{\partial C_p}{\partial t} = D\Delta C_p, \quad \frac{\partial C_c}{\partial t} = D\Delta C_c,
$$

where $C_p$ and $C_c$ stand for the ligand concentrations in the...
capillary and the suspension pool respectively. $D (\approx 700 \mu m^2/s)$ is the diffusion coefficient of the attractant ligand in water. Using the cylindrical symmetry of the geometry, the ligand concentration was solved in cylindrical coordinates with the boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial C_c(z > 0, r = R)}{\partial r} &= 0, & \frac{\partial C_c(z = 0, r > R)}{\partial z} &= 0, \\
C_p(z = 0, r \leq R) &= C_c(z = 0, r \leq R),
\end{align*}
$$

where $R = 100 \mu m$ is the radius of the capillary tube. The initial conditions at $t = 0$ are that the ligand concentrations in the capillary and the suspension pool are $C_0$ and $C_1$ respectively:

$C_c(t = 0) = C_0, C_p(t = 0) = C_1$. The time-dependent concentration profiles are shown in Figure 6A. Starting from being $C_1$ at $t = 0$, the ligand concentration at a particular position in the pool peaks at a given time depending on its location (Figure 6A, inset). Furtelle and Berg [42] calculated the attractant profile by solving the diffusion equations asymptotically away from the mouth of the capillary ($r = 0, z = 0$), and their analytical asymptotic solution is shown together with our exact numerical solution in Figure 6B at different times along the center line of the capillary tube ($r = 0$). The two solutions show remarkable agreement except for near the mouth of the capillary, where the Furtelle and Berg solution breaks down. Later, we show this inaccuracy near the capillary mouth can cause large differences in computing the result of a capillary assay.

**Figure 6.** Quantitative simulation of the classical capillary assay and comparison with experiments. (A) Time-dependent ligand concentrations at three different positions in the suspension pool (see inset) from directly solving the ligand diffusion equation. The ligand concentration at a given position peaks at a given time, depending on its location. $C_0 = 5 \mu M, C_1 = 0$. (B) The exact ligand profile (solid line) along the center line of the capillary at different times, in comparison with the asymptotic solutions (dashed lines) by Furtelle and Berg [42]. (C) Cell density in the rectangular coordinate is shown together with the contours of the logarithmic ligand concentration (in $\mu M$) at different times. Three individual cell trajectories (starting from circles and ending at squares) are shown. Only the black cell ends in the capillary. (D) Probability distribution of the original positions of cells that end in the capillary. For a cell originally located at position $(r, z)$, the probability of it ending in the capillary at a later time (45 min), $P(r, z)$, is shown. $C_0 = 5 \mu M, C_1 = 0$. (E) Concentration-response curve for the capillary assay. The average number of bacteria in the capillary after 45–50 min subtracted by the number of bacteria in the capillary in the absence of attractant is defined as the response (ordinate). The results from our model with the exact ligand profile are labeled by solid symbols (fitted by a solid line). They agree well with the experimental measurements (hollow squares) of Mesibov et al [22,23]. The results from using the asymptotic ligand profile by Furtelle and Berg are shown by the dashed lines. (F) Response curve for capillary assay with $C_0/C_1 = 3.16$. The solid symbols (fitted with a solid line) represent the model, and the hollow symbols represent the experimental results [22] (both collected at 60 min).
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From the spatial-temporal profile of the attractant, cell motion can be calculated by using SPECS. We considered the bacterial cells started randomly in a region of $2\text{mm} \times 2\text{mm} \times 2\text{mm}$ around the capillary mouth inside the pool (Figure 6C). The probability $P(r,z)$ of a cell at an original position $(r,z)$ that eventually ends in the capillary at 45 min was calculated (Figure 6D). Even cells originally far away from the mouth of the capillary can enter it, with $P(r,z)$ decreasing with both $z$ and $r$.

Finally, we calculated the chemotactic responses in capillary assay for different values of $C_0$ and/or $C_1$ and compared the results directly with the experiments by Mesibov et al [22,23]. The results showed that the number of bacteria accumulating in the capillary 45 min after the capillary is inserted is a function of $C_0$ ($C_1 = 0$) for both the experiments and our simulation (Figure 6E). The results from our model, with no adjustable parameters, agree quantitatively with the experiments. The dashed line in Figure 6E represents the results of our cell motility simulation by using the Furtelle and Berg solution for the ligand concentration. Evidently, even though the Furtelle and Berg solution is accurate away from the capillary mouth, its inaccuracy near the capillary mouth changes the results significantly. The accuracy of the ligand profile near the mouth of the capillary is important because cells that eventually enter the capillary need to pass the mouth. In another set of experiments by Mesibov et al [22], both $C_0$ and $C_1$ are changed while keeping their ratio fixed at $C_0/C_1 = 3.16$. The sensitivity curves are plotted as the number of bacteria accumulating in the capillary after one hour versus $(C_0 C_1)^{1/2}$ (Figure 6F). The simulation results (filled triangles) showed quantitative agreement with the experiments [17], further verifying our model. Qualitatively, the shape of the capillary assay response can be understood as the chemotaxis response (sensitivity) is small for either very large or very small ligand concentrations. Quantitatively, the peak response concentration is larger than the center of the chemoreceptor sensitivity region [6] due to the fast decay of the ligand concentration from inside the tube toward the pool (Figure 6A).

Discussion

In this paper, a coherent picture of how E. coli chemotaxis motion depends on the spatio-temporally varying chemical environment and its intracellular signaling dynamics has emerged from our modeling study. The chemotaxis drift velocity $v_d$ is mainly determined by three factors: $v_d \approx F_L \times F_G \times F_\text{mot}$, where $F_L, F_G,$ and $F_\text{mot}$ depend on the ligand concentration $[L]$, the gradient of the logarithmic concentration $G \approx 2 \ln([L]/C_0)$, and the frequency $\omega$ of the temporal-variation of $[L]$ respectively. For ligand concentrations within a wide (chemosensitive) range $K_I < [L] < K_\text{d}$ (as focused on in this paper), the concentration-dependent factor $F_L \approx 1$, and $v_d$ depend linearly on the gradient of the logarithmic concentration $G$ until it saturates at a high relative gradient $G > G_C$ as demonstrated by the second factor $F_G \approx C_G/(1+G/G_C)$. For a ligand gradient that varies with high frequency $\omega > \omega_C$, $v_d$ is damped by the third factor $F_\text{mot} \approx (1 + \omega/\omega_C)^{-1}$ due to the finite adaptation time $(\tau_2 \propto \omega_C^{-1})$ in the underlying signaling pathway. We found that the saturation gradient $G_C$ is controlled by the adaptation rate $k_R$, but the motility constant $C$ is not (although weak dependence on $k_R$ cannot be completely ruled out). The nontrivial scaling dependence $G_C \propto k_R^{1/2}$ observed in our simulation, can be explained analytically by the dynamics of the internal signaling pathway and the narrow range of kinase activity over which the flagellar motor can respond.

Calibrated quantitatively by the most up-to-date in vivo FRET experiments, our model (SPECS) captures the essential characteristics of the underlying signaling network, in particular the receptor-receptor cooperativity and the near-perfect adaptation kinetics, within a single unified mathematical description. We described the internal state of a cell at the coarse-grained (cellular) level without modeling the details of individual signaling molecules as used in other simulation methods such as StochSim [17], AgentCell [18], and E. solo [10], which are particularly suited to studying noise in the intracellular signaling process. This coarse-grained approach, similar to that used in RapidCell [20], greatly reduces the computational requirements for the simulation. For example, the SPECS model allows us to simulate E. coli populations of $10^3$–$10^4$ cells in a linear ligand concentration profile and $10^2$–$10^3$ cells in a capillary assay in real time with a standard desktop computer (Matlab code available upon request); and the simulation results agree quantitatively with both the recent microfluidics experiments and the classical capillary assay measurements, without any fitting parameters. Predictions, such as bacterial chemotactic responses in exponential ligand profiles and oscillatory ligand gradients, are made with our model and can be tested by future experiments. Indeed, the SPECS model can be used to predict E. coli chemotaxis motions in arbitrary spatio-temporal varying environments efficiently and accurately.

Perhaps equally important as predicting cellular behaviors, the SPECS model, which captures the essential features of the underlying pathway, enables us to understand these behaviors based on the key intracellular signaling dynamics, some of which can be difficult to study directly by experimental methods. For example, the constant drift velocity in an exponential ligand profile was found to be caused by a constant shift in the average kinase activity, which is maintained by a linearly increasing mean methylation level in balancing the exponentially increasing ligand concentration. At the individual cell level, this constant activity shift is also shown to be responsible for the intriguing observation that the average backward run time in an exponential gradient is similar to the average run time in the absence of a gradient, while the forward run time is much longer.

The SPECS model can be used to study various noise effects as well. The effect of the cell-to-cell variability for chemotaxis behavior in a linear gradient in a closed channel was studied by choosing (from a broad distribution) a random value for the internal parameters such as $N$, methylation rate constants, and swimming velocity in each individual cell. We found that although individual cells now behaved differently, at the population level, the average steady state behavior, such as cell density, remained almost the same (see Figure S4) except for a slight change near the boundary for the case with run velocity variation. The main source of (external) temporal noise for the cell’s chemotactic sensory system comes from the run and tumble motion of the cell. Even in a smooth spatial ligand gradient, the randomness in the cell motion can lead to large temporal fluctuations in the input (ligand concentration) to the E. coli’s chemotactic sensory system. This source of external noise was included in our model. The effects of fluctuations in intracellular signaling remain to be examined. By adding noise to our pathway model, it would be interesting to see whether and how the internal signaling noise affects the population level behavior.

The model framework described here lays the foundations for modeling cellular motility behavior based on the relevant underlying signaling pathway dynamics without describing the details of the individual signaling molecules. The current model can be extended in several directions to study many other interesting chemotaxis phenomena. For example, the interaction
between the cells and the liquid-solid surface were oversimplified in this paper. Cells are known to turn with a preferred handedness when they run into a surface [43] and can remain near the surface for a long time [38] before they finally escape. It would be interesting to see how different “boundary conditions” affect the overall behavior of cells. In its natural environment, a cell must make decisions in the presence of multiple, sometimes conflicting cues. Our model can be extended to include integration between different chemotactic signals [26] and applied to study bacterial motion in the presence of multiple stimuli gradients. The same chemotaxis pathway seems to be able to sense and react to other non-chemical stimuli, such as temperature [44] and osmotic pressure [45]. Our model can be modified to study the responses of cells to these non-chemical stimuli by incorporating the dependence of various (kinetic and energetic) biochemical parameters on the strength of these external stimuli. Recently, we carried out such extensions to study the microscopic mechanism of precision-sensing in E. coli chemotaxis [46]. Finally, the chemo-attractant (MeAsp) considered in this paper is non-netabolizable and its concentration gradient is formed independent of the cell population. In other cases, such as in swarm plate experiments [47], the attractant gradient is generated by consumption of the nutrient, which is also the chemo-attractant. In addition, cells can communicate by emitting chemo-attractants [48]. The consumption and generation of the attractant, together with cell division, need to be incorporated into our model to understand complex pattern formations in different swarm plate experiments. We are currently pursuing some of these directions.
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