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Objective: To elucidate the effectiveness of Risk Assessment of Pakistani individuals with diabetes (RAPID) tool in epidemiological and population-based second National Diabetes Survey of Pakistan (NDSP) 2016–2017 for identifying risk of developing type 2 diabetes.

Methodology: This observational study was a sub-analysis of the second National Diabetes Survey of Pakistan (NDSP) 2016–2017 conducted from February 2016 to August 2017 in all four provinces of Pakistan. Ethical approval was obtained from National Bioethics Committee Pakistan. RAPID score, a validated and published scoring scale to assess risk of diabetes, originally developed from community-based surveys was used. The risk score is assessed by parameters namely: age, waist circumference, and positive family history of diabetes. Subjects with score greater ≥4 were considered at risk of diabetes.

Results: A total of 4904 individuals were assessed (2205 males and 2699 females). Mean age of participants was 41.8±14.2 years. Positive family history of diabetes was seen in 1379 (28.1%) people. According to RAPID score 1268 (25.9%) individuals scored ≥4 and were at risk of diabetes. OGTT status of people at risk of diabetes according to RAPID score showed that 18.1% people with diabetes and 29.2% were prediabetic. Whereas, OGTT status of people not at risk of diabetes showed that only 7.6% people with diabetes, 20% were prediabetic.

Conclusion: A simple diabetes risk score can be used for identification of high-risk individuals for diabetes so that timely intervention can be implemented. Community-based awareness programs are needed to educate people regarding healthy lifestyle in order to reduce risk of diabetes.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is amongst the most common chronic disease and a serious public health challenge of 21st century for both the developed and developing world.1,2 The increasing prevalence is not only contributing to the health burden, a significant economic impact is also noted.3 According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), it is anticipated that the number of people with diabetes in 2019 will rise approximately by two-fold in 2045 from 463 to 700 million.4

The second National Diabetes Survey of Pakistan (NDSP) 2016–2017 showed that 26.3% of the population is suffering from diabetes.5 This high prevalence is
fueled by aging, physical inactivity, unhealthy food choices and stress of diverse origins. However, the effect of these environmental factors changes with variance in the genome. With maternal gene predominance, the lifetime risk of developing diabetes escalates to about 40% with single parental disease and to around 70%, if both parents are affected.

Recent statistics have revealed that more than a quarter of the total diabetic population are unaware of their disease. Laboratory screening modalities including fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, OGTT are all reliable in detecting diabetes. However, mass screening is essential for early detection and appropriate intervention as the disease is usually asymptomatic in the early stages, a cost-effective measure for resource-limited health care systems. For this reason, The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends regular screening for type 2 diabetes and repeating subsequently every three years.

Various models have been proposed for risk assessment of diabetes, but results are almost always heterogeneous. This includes Finnish, British, Canadian, Australian, German, Chinese and Indian risk assessment models comprising of designed questionnaires, anthropometric, demographic, family history and elementary lifestyle information.

A similar algorithm was designed named, RAPID (risk assessment of Pakistani individuals for diabetes) for identification of high-risk individuals through readily available variables without laboratory intervention or physician interpretation. In the present study we aim to access effectiveness of Risk Assessment of Pakistani individual with diabetes (RAPID) tool in the epidemiological and population-based second National Diabetes Survey of Pakistan (NDSP) 2016–2017 for identifying the risk of developing type 2 diabetes.

Methodology
This community-based observational study was a sub-analysis of second National Diabetes Survey of Pakistan (NDSP) 2016–2017 conducted from February 2016 to August 2017 in all four provinces of Pakistan. Ethical approval was obtained from National Bioethics Committee (NBC) of Pakistan. All Pakistani individuals aged 20 years or more, were eligible to participate after obtaining informed consent and this study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Detailed information regarding demographics, anthropometric and medical examinations was obtained with the help of the predesigned questionnaire. All information was gathered in one-to-one-based interviews by a trained survey officer. Detailed methodology has been published earlier. Stratification of the population was done based on urban and rural areas of all four provinces of Pakistan (Punjab, Sindh, Khyber, Pakhtunkhwa (KPK), and Baluchistan) as defined in the latest census. Each province was taken as a stratum and the districts a subdivision of provinces taken as clusters were identified from each stratum. Tehsils or towns are further bifurcation of districts considered as sub-clusters were taken from each identified cluster. Clusters and sub-clusters were selected randomly using probability proportional to size technique, and the number of clusters was taken from each province using the “rule of thumb”. Twenty-seven clusters were taken out of a total of 213 clusters throughout Pakistan. A total of 46 sub-clusters 21 from urban and 25 from rural were identified respectively.

Out of 10,834 participants only 4904 fulfil the inclusion criteria for this study as shown in flow diagram of Figure 1.

RAPID score was used in the study to estimate the risk of diabetes. RAPID score, a validated and published scoring scale to assess risk of diabetes in Pakistani individuals originally developed from community-based surveys was used. The risk score is assessed by parameters namely; age, waist circumference, and positive family history of the diabetes. Age in between 40–50 years was marked 1 whereas, age greater than 50 years was marked 3 points. Waist circumference greater than, or equal to cutoff point (80 cm for females and 90 cm for males) was marked 2 points and positive family history of diabetes was marked 1 point. Subjects with score greater or equal to 4 are considered at risk of diabetes.

Oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was used for diagnosis of newly diabetes, prediabetes and normal individuals according to WHO criteria. Newly diagnosed diabetes was defined as fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level 126 mg/dL or greater or two-hour post/glucose load 200 mg/dL or greater or both. Known diabetes was considered if the participant had been already diagnosed or taking anti-diabetic medication. Subjects without diabetes were considered if FPG below 100 mg/dL and two-hour post/glucose load below 140 mg/dL.

Samples of intravenous blood was collected in vials containing EDTA-anticoagulant agent from all participants as per the standard producers. Sodium fluoride tube (for
glucose), gel (for lipids) and EDTA K2 (for HbA1c). CHOD-PAP method for total cholesterol (TC), GPO-PAP method for triglycerides, homogeneous enzymatic calorimetric method for high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and CHOD-PAP method (Selectra Pro S instrument) for low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C). HbA1c were performed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method by D10. Detailed biochemical information has been published earlier.\(^5\)

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were performed by using SPSS v. 20. Continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation while categorical variables were presented as frequency (percentage).

**Results**

Demographic and biochemical characteristics of study population was shown in Table 1. Out of 4904 subjects,
Table 1 Demographic and Biochemical Characteristics of Study Population

| Variables                        | Mean ±SD n (%) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| n                                | 4904           |
| Age (years)                      | 41.8±14.2      |

Gender

|                          |               |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| Male                    | 2205 (44.9)   |
| Female                  | 2699 (55.1)   |

Marital status

|                  |               |
|------------------|---------------|
| Single           | 763 (15.9)    |
| Married          | 4027 (84.1)   |

Tobacco addiction

|     |               |
|-----|---------------|
| Yes | 750 (15.6)    |
| No  | 4058 (84.4)   |

Family history of diabetes

|                                   |               |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Yes                               | 1379 (28.1)   |
| No                                | 3526 (71.9)   |
| Weight (kg)                       | 69.3±15.29    |
| Height (cm)                       | 160.99±11.88  |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)           | 26.84±5.83    |
| Waist circumference (cm)          | 91.86±14.19   |
| Hip circumference (cm)            | 101.68±16.43  |
| Waist-to-hip ratio                | 0.94±0.2      |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)    | 124.69±18.22  |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)   | 83.2±13.01    |
| Fasting blood sugar (mg/dL)       | 93.03±37.84   |
| Random blood sugar (mg/dL)        | 129.9±50.29   |
| Cholesterol (mg/dL)               | 191.84±59.13  |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)             | 178.2±118.24  |
| High density lipoprotein (mg/dL)  | 32.79±13.78   |
| Low density lipoprotein (mg/dL)   | 121.6±38.9    |
| HbA1c (%)                         | 5.53±1.35     |

Note: Data presented as n (%) and mean ±SD.

44.9% were males and 55.1% were females. Mean age of the subjects was 41.8±14.2 years. Majority of the subjects were married (84.1%), and nontobacco users (84.4%). Positive family history of diabetes was present in 28.1% subjects. Mean body mass index and waist to hip ratio were 26.84±5.83 kg/m² and 0.94±0.2 cm respectively. Mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure was 124.69±18.22 and 83.2±13.01 mmHg respectively. Whereas, mean HbA1c was 5.53±1.35%.

Out of 4904 subjects, 25.9% people screened positive for risk of developing diabetes by RAPID score as shown in Figure 2. When these subjects undergo OGTT which is considered a gold standard for the diagnosis of diabetes, 18.1% turned out to be people with diabetes.

OGTT status of people at risk of diabetes (as per RAPID score) was shown in Table 2. According to OGTT criteria, 18.1% people at risk were diagnosed as diabetic, 29.2% were diagnosed as prediabetic while 52.7% were found to be nondiabetic. Similarly, OGTT status of people who were not at risk of diabetes was shown 7.6% people were diagnosed as diabetics, 20% were diagnosed as prediabetic while 72.4% were found to be nondiabetic.

---

Table 2 OGTT Status Study Participants

| Status of Diabetes by OGTT | RAPID Score |
|----------------------------|-------------|
|                            | At Risk n (%) | Not at Risk n (%) |
| Nondiabetes                | 668 (52.7)   | 2632 (72.4)     |
| Prediabetes                | 370 (29.2)   | 727 (20)        |
| Newly diagnosed diabetes   | 230 (18.1)   | 277 (7.6)       |
| Total                      | 1268 (100)   | 3636 (100)      |

Note: Data presented as n (%).

Abbreviation: OGTT, Oral Glucose Tolerance Test.
Discussion
In this sub-analysis of nationwide survey, a modest non-blood-based risk score based on age, family history of diabetes and waist circumference was built. This study found 25.9% people screened positive for risk of developing diabetes by RAPID score, of whom 18.1% turned out to be diabetic by OGTT. While, 74.1% people who were not at risk of diabetes by RAPID score, only 7.6% had developed diabetes by OGTT. We observed that the diabetes risk score performed approximately equally well and this second nationwide National Diabetes Survey of Pakistan (NDSP) 2016–2017 survey is comparable to studies from other parts of the world.19,20

Many studies have focused on the fact that type 2 diabetes can be prevented in high-risk subjects by lifestyle intervention.21 In prior studies, obesity measured by body mass index or waist circumference played a considerable role as modifiable risk factor in preventing early diabetes.22 In this sub-analysis, waist circumference instead of body mass index as other risk scores was used to provide a better indicator of accumulation of visceral fat and metabolic dysfunction. Our main aim was to provide a simple diabetes risk assessment tool, therefore, several other variables such as occupation, education, ethnicity, and lipid lowering therapy were excluded.18 In our study, waist circumference was used as a modifiable risk factor and was given a high-risk score of 2, in contrast, age and family history of diabetes are non-modifiable risk factors and were given a low risk score parallel to Indian Diabetes Risk Score (IDRS).23

Robust impact of BMI and family history leading to increased risk of diabetes was observed in Western European studies.24,25 Family history of diabetes is known to be an important marker for increased risk of diabetes. It reflects the genetic predisposition which may be necessary but not sufficient for development of type 2 diabetes.26 So, individuals with genetic susceptibility to diabetes, but with a healthy lifestyle can avoid the symptomatic phase of the disease.27 Physical activity, quality, and quantity of dietary fat and fiber intake have been demonstrated to modify the risk of diabetes.28

Existing recommendations that rely on blood testing for diabetes screening are not widely followed, resulting in the majority of subjects being left undiagnosed.29 The self-assessment method helps people to decide that they should seek medical care for testing diabetes.30 It gives a way to address the lack of interaction with health-care facilities/providers, alternatively reduce the percentage of undiagnosed diabetes, particularly in underserved people. However, RAPID score in our study was designed as a screening tool based on only three variables ensuring its simplicity for use and implementation. To our knowledge, this study is unique in demonstrating the simplified and convenient diabetes risk score (RAPID-score), an efficient screening tool used for estimating the risk of developing type 2 diabetes with several factors that are easy to measure with noninvasive methods, easily comprehensible, and direct attention to modifiable risk factors of diabetes among the Pakistani population. Moreover, RAPID score is safe and inexpensive screening test in a practical way to identify individuals at high risk of diabetes in general population, seems to demonstrate improvements upon the existing methods comparable to other studies, the model warrants further scrutiny before it can be used in other populations.31

Conclusion
A simple diabetes risk score can be used for the identification of individuals at high-risk for diabetes so that timely intervention can be implemented. Community-based awareness programs are needed to educate people regarding healthy lifestyle in order to reduce the risk of diabetes.

Highlights
1. Risk assessment score used for exploring people who are at risk of developing diabetes
2. Assessing parameters were age, waist circumference, and family history of diabetes
3. Participant with score ≥4 was considered at risk of developing diabetes
4. It is noninvasive, cost efficient and easily available score for predicting diabetes
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