The impact of educational attainment on cardiorespiratory fitness and metabolic syndrome in Korean adults
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Abstract
The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship between educational attainment and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) as a predictor of metabolic syndrome in a Korean population.

In this single-center, retrospective cross-sectional study, 988 healthy adults (601 men and 387 women) who underwent regular health check-up in Seoul St. Mary’s Hospital were analyzed. Educational attainment was categorized into 3 groups according to their final grade of educational course: middle or high school ($\leq$12 years of education), college or university (12–16 years of education), and postgraduate ($\geq$16 years of education). CRF was assessed by cardiopulmonary exercise testing, biceps strength, hand grip strength, bioelectrical impedance analysis, and echocardiography. Metabolic syndrome was diagnosed according to the 3rd report of the National Cholesterol Education Program.

Among the subjects, 357 (36.1\%) had metabolic syndrome. The postgraduate group had significantly higher peak oxygen consumption ($\text{VO}_2$, biceps strength, hand grip strength, and peak expiratory flow than other groups (all $P<.001$). This group showed better left ventricular diastolic function, in terms of deceleration time of mitral inflow, maximal tricuspid valve regurgitation velocity, and left atrial volume index than other groups. Peak VO$_2$ (%) was significantly correlated with all the parameters of metabolic syndrome, including insulin resistance ($r=-0.106$, $P=.002$), waist circumference ($r=-0.387$, $P<.001$), triglyceride ($r=-0.109$, $P=.001$), high density lipoprotein-cholesterol ($r=0.219$, $P<.001$), systolic blood pressure ($r=-0.143$, $P<.001$), and diastolic blood pressure ($r=-0.177$, $P<.001$). And Peak VO$_2$ (%) was found to be a predictor of metabolic syndrome (adjusted $\beta=.988$, $P<.001$). However, the level of education was not able to predict metabolic syndrome (postgraduate group; $\beta=.985$, $P=.801$).

Although the postgraduate group had better CRF than other groups, the educational attainment could not exclusively predict metabolic syndrome in this study. Further research is needed to reveal the socioeconomic mechanism of developing metabolic syndrome.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness, DT = deceleration time, HDL-cholesterol = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance, LA = left atrium, LDL-cholesterol = low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, LV = left ventricular, OECD = Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, VO$_2$ = oxygen consumption.
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1. Introduction

Health risks are usually higher among groups with lower education and socioeconomic status.[1,2] Lifestyle-related factors play a pivotal role in the clinical management of metabolic syndrome,[3] and those factors, including physical activity, smoking behavior, and dietary habit, are primarily determined by the social learning process during an individual’s lifetime.[4] The association between socioeconomic status and metabolic syndrome of cardiovascular mortality has been well described.[1,2] The level of education has been extensively used as an indicator of overall socioeconomic status, and it has been suggested as the most constant determinant among the components; it can be measured with much accuracy, and it affects various socioeconomic factors robust over the lifespan.[5,6] As recent studies reported that parental education affected their offspring’s risk of cardiovascular disease, education became so essential to improving both individual and public health.[5-9] Nonetheless, few studies had examined educational attainment to cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and metabolic syndrome.[10-12] This study aims to assess the relationship between the level of education and CRF, which can explain the mechanism of the development of metabolic syndrome in a Korean population.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Subjects and study design

This study was conducted at the health promotion center in Seoul St. Mary’s hospital in South Korea during 2009 to 2018. In this retrospective cross-sectional study, a total of 1164 asymptomatic healthy Korean subjects aged ≥25 who underwent a cardiopulmonary exercise test as part of cardiovascular health surveillance program were identified automatically from electronic medical records. Of these literate subjects, 101 were excluded with the following reasons: self-administered questionnaires (Supplement 1, http://links.lww.com/MD/E78) were not completed; or having a history of proven coronary or peripheral artery disease, and cerebrovascular disease; or having a history of lung disease, a history of proven coronary or peripheral artery disease, and triglyceride were measured using commercial kits in a central laboratory authorized by the Korean Association of Quality Assurance for Clinical Laboratories. Insulin resistance was assessed with homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) using following the formula: HOMA-IR = fasting insulin (mIU/L) x fasting glucose (mmol/L)/22.5.[15] The definition of metabolic syndrome was derived from the 3rd report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP).[3] Regarding the modified NCEP, current Adult Treatment Panel III (ATP III) criteria, metabolic syndrome was defined as the presence of ≥3 of the following 5 traits: abdominal obesity, defined as a waist circumference ≥80 cm in women and ≥90 cm in men (in accord with the International Obesity Task Force criteria for the Asian-Pacific population); triglyceride concentration ≥150 mg/dL; HDL-cholesterol concentration <40 mg/dL in women and <50 mg/dL in men; systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg or use of antihypertensive drugs; and fasting glucose ≥100 mg/dL or use of hypoglycemic agents.

2.2. Measurements

Weight and height were measured using digital balance with the participant wearing indoor clothing without shoes, and body mass index (BMI, kg/m2) was calculated. Waist circumference was measured at the narrowest region between the lower margin of the rib cage and the iliac crest in a standing position. Hip circumference was measured at the maximum circumference over the buttocks. Blood pressure was measured in a sitting position using an automated blood pressure monitoring device (TM-2665P, A&D Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with an appropriate-sized cuff. Body composition was assessed using the In-Body 720, direct segmental multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis (InBody Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea).[14] Fitness assessments, including biceps strength, and hand grip strength were measured using the MicroFit FAS-2 System (MicroFit Inc., CA). Echocardiographic data were obtained using standard ultrasound systems (Vivid 7, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) according to the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines.[13] Subjects underwent a symptom-limited cardiopulmonary exercise test using the Bruce treadmill protocol.[16] Peak oxygen consumption (V̇O₂) (mL/kg/min), which is representative of exercise capacity, was defined as the mean of the highest values over the last 10 seconds of exercise and was recorded during the test using CARDIOVIT CS-200 excellence ergospirometry (Schiller AG., Baar, Switzerland).[17]

A venous blood sample was collected after fasting overnight in 12 hours. Serum concentrations of glucose, insulin, HbA1c, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, and triglyceride were measured using commercial kits in a central laboratory authorized by the Korean Association of Quality Assurance for Clinical Laboratories. Insulin resistance was assessed with homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) using following the formula: HOMA-IR = fasting insulin (mIU/L) x fasting glucose (mmol/L)/22.5.[15] The definition of metabolic syndrome was derived from the 3rd report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP).[3] Regarding the modified NCEP, current Adult Treatment Panel III (ATP III) criteria, metabolic syndrome was defined as the presence of ≥3 of the following 5 traits: abdominal obesity, defined as a waist circumference ≥80 cm in women and ≥90 cm in men (in accord with the International Obesity Task Force criteria for the Asian-Pacific population); triglyceride concentration ≥150 mg/dL; HDL-cholesterol concentration <40 mg/dL in women and <50 mg/dL in men; systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg or use of antihypertensive drugs; and fasting glucose ≥100 mg/dL or use of hypoglycemic agents.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were described as the frequency with percentage, while continuous variables with normal distribution were reported as mean with standard deviation. The authors identified differences in various parameters among 3 educational groups by analysis of variance (ANOVA) or chi-square test for independence. Correlations between peak V̇O₂ and the factors of CRF or peak VO₂ (%) and the components of metabolic syndrome were analyzed using Pearson correlation test. Further, logistic regression was performed to determine the predictor of metabolic syndrome. A 2-tailed P < .05 was considered statistically significant. All the analysis was conducted using PASW Statistics 21.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

3. Results

Table 1 showed the baseline characteristics of the 988 studied subjects. The postgraduate group was younger (mean
Baseline characteristics of the participants according to the level of education.

|                           | Middle or High school graduate | College or University graduate | Postgraduate | P value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Total number              | 364 (36.8%)                  | 447 (48.3%)                  | 177 (17.9%) |         |
| Clinical variables        |                               |                               |             |         |
| Male, %                   | 166 (45.6%)                  | 288 (64.4%)                  | 147 (83.1%) | <.001   |
| Age, y                    | 60.8 ± 9.7                   | 57.4 ± 10.2                  | 57.5 ± 10.1 | <.001   |
| Hypertension, %           | 85 (27.8%)                   | 95 (25.3%)                   | 43 (28.7%)  | .648    |
| Diabetes, %               | 43 (14.3%)                   | 43 (11.6%)                   | 12 (8.3%)   | .185    |
| Dyslipidemia, %           | 45 (14.9%)                   | 53 (14.2%)                   | 21 (14.5%)  | .976    |
| Current smoker, %         | 59 (22.6%)                   | 109 (30.8%)                  | 36 (24.8%)  | .064    |
| Physical activity ≥3 times/wk | 124 (37.9%)              | 157 (36.7%)                  | 67 (40.1%)  | .737    |
| Annual family income      |                               |                               |             |         |
| <$30,000                  | 67 (18.4%)                   | 23 (5.1%)                    | 7 (4.0%)    | <.001   |
| $30,000–100,000           | 212 (58.2%)                  | 189 (42.3%)                  | 66 (37.3%)  |         |
| ≥$100,000                 | 85 (23.4%)                   | 235 (52.6%)                  | 104 (58.8%) |         |
| Laboratory findings       |                               |                               |             |         |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL    | 51.2 ± 13.2                  | 50.4 ± 12.7                  | 48.4 ± 11.9 | .054    |
| Triglyceride, mg/dL       | 109.9 ± 80.4                 | 118.3 ± 73.5                 | 127.6 ± 90.0| .046    |
| Fasting glucose, mg/dL    | 102.8 ± 22.2                 | 104.8 ± 29.4                 | 101.6 ± 16.9| .189    |
| HOMA-IR                   | 2.3 ± 2.6                    | 2.3 ± 2.9                    | 2.6 ± 2.3   | .399    |
| Metabolic syndrome, %     | 123 (33.8%)                  | 169 (37.8%)                  | 65 (36.7%)  | .488    |
| Anthropometric variables  |                               |                               |             |         |
| BMI, kg/m²                | 24.0 ± 3.4                   | 24.1 ± 3.1                   | 24.4 ± 3.2  | .311    |
| Waist/Hip ratio           | 0.93 ± 0.04                  | 0.91 ± 0.04                  | 0.91 ± 0.04 | <.001   |
| Lean body mass, kg        | 25.2 ± 5.8                   | 27.4 ± 5.9                   | 29.4 ± 5.3  | <.001   |
| Body fat, %               | 28.4 ± 7.4                   | 26.9 ± 6.4                   | 24.6 ± 5.4  | <.001   |
| Cardiorespiratory fitness variables |               |                               |             |         |
| Metabolic equivalent of task | 6.8 ± 2.0                   | 7.1 ± 1.9                    | 7.8 ± 1.9   | <.001   |
| Peak VO₂, mL/min/kg       | 23.7 ± 7.1                   | 25.0 ± 6.8                   | 27.4 ± 6.5  | <.001   |
| Biceps strength, kg       | 25.0 ± 9.7                   | 27.9 ± 9.4                   | 31.7 ± 11.3 | <.001   |
| Hand grip strength, kg    | 59.2 ± 19.5                  | 65.2 ± 18.8                  | 70.1 ± 17.9 | <.001   |
| Peak expiratory flow rate, L/min | 92.6 ± 20.1           | 96.9 ± 18.3                  | 101.0 ± 17.4| <.001   |
| Echocardiographic findings|                               |                               |             |         |
| LV ejection fraction, %   | 67.1 ± 4.9                   | 66.7 ± 4.9                   | 66.4 ± 5.0  | .275    |
| E/A ratio                 | 1.0 ± 0.3                    | 1.0 ± 0.3                    | 1.0 ± 0.3   | .050    |
| DT of mitral inflow, ms   | 8.9 ± 2.4                    | 8.5 ± 2.2                    | 8.7 ± 2.8   | .218    |
| Max TR velocity, m/s      | 22.2 ± 0.2                   | 22.0 ± 0.3                   | 21.1 ± 0.2  | .003    |
| LA volume index, mL/m²    | 29.1 ± 8.5                   | 27.2 ± 8.2                   | 28.1 ± 9.1  | .026    |
| LV mass index, mL/m²      | 97.2 ± 23.0                  | 89.4 ± 23.1                  | 90.9 ± 21.1 | .165    |

Table 1

BMI = body mass index, DT = deceleration time, HDL-cholesterol = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance, LA = left atrium, LDL-cholesterol = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LV = left ventricle, VO₂ = oxygen consumption.

Age 57.5 ± 10.1 and predominantly men (83.1%). Among 988 subjects, 357 (36.1%) had metabolic syndrome according to the 3rd report of NCEP guideline, and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome was not different between groups. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of hypertension or diabetes mellitus among the groups. Neither LDL cholesterol nor fasting glucose was different among the groups. The percentage of current smokers or frequency of physical activity did not have a trend according to the level of education, whereas their annual family income status was significantly different according to their education status. The postgraduate group had annual family income ≥$100,000 in 58.8%, while the middle to high school graduate group had that of income in 23.4% (r = 0.318, P < .001).

The various factors of cardiorespiratory fitness were evaluated according to the level of education. The postgraduate group had a significantly better cardiorespiratory functions in all aspects, including waist/hip ratio, lean body mass, percentage of body fat, metabolic equivalent of task, peak VO₂, biceps strength, hand grip strength, and peak expiratory flow rate (Fig. 1). This group showed the better left ventricular diastolic function, in terms of deceleration time of mitral inflow, maximal tricuspid regurgitation velocity, and left atrial volume index than other groups. However, there was no significant difference in left ventricular ejection fraction or left ventricular mass index according to the level of education.

The correlations between Peak VO₂, the most widely used and reliable parameter to assess CRF, and potential factors affecting CRF were presented in Table 2. Peak VO₂ was inversely correlated to age, E/A', deceleration time of mitral inflow, maximal tricuspid regurgitation velocity, and left atrial volume index. Biceps strength, hand grip strength, peak expiratory flow rate, E/A ratio, and left ventricular (LV) mass index had a positive correlation to peak VO₂.

As metabolic syndrome was associated with the aging process, the authors evaluated the correlations between the components of metabolic syndrome and peak VO₂, adjusted by age and sex. Although there was no correlation between fasting glucose and peak VO₂ (r = -0.012, P = .715), other components of metabolic syndrome showed significant correlations with peak VO₂ (Table 3). These findings suggest that the combination of metabolic syndrome can have a negative impact on cardiorespiratory fitness, independent of age and sex.
syndrome and HOMA-IR presented with good correlations to peak VO2 (Fig. 2). Peak VO2 had linear correlations to HOMA-IR, waist circumference, triglyceride, and blood pressure decreased, and inverse correlations to HDL-cholesterol. Among the components, the abdominal obesity showed the highest correlation with peak VO2 ($r = -0.387, P < .001$).

In logistic regression for diagnosing metabolic syndrome, the level of education (postgraduate group; $b = 0.955, P = .801$), the frequency of physical activity ($\geq 3$ times/wk; $b = 0.969, P = .826$), and the annual family income status ($\geq $100,000; $b = 0.874, P = .334$) did not have power on prediction. Meanwhile, such CRF factors as peak VO2 (%), biceps strength, hand grip strength, $E/A$ ratio, $E/e_0$ ratio, and LV mass index, were found to be significant predictors of metabolic syndrome (Table 3).

When the peak VO2 (%) were presented as interquartile, the lowest quartile range was 20% to 60% (Fig. 3). The frequency of metabolic syndrome was 47.2% in the lowest quartile range. As the peak VO2 (%) increased, the frequency of metabolic syndrome decreased. For each interquartile range of peak VO2 (%) showed different risk reduction rate, and the highest quartile with peak VO2 range 170% to 210% had a much lower risk of metabolic syndrome by 86.1% compared with the lowest quartile.

4. Discussion
In this cross-sectional study, the authors evaluated the association between the level of education and cardiorespiratory fitness as a predictor of metabolic syndrome. More than a third (36.1%) of 988 subjects met the ATP III criteria for the presence of metabolic syndrome, comparably higher than the estimates (20.3%) from representative samples of Korean adults.[19] The prevalence of metabolic syndrome was not different according to the educational attainment; whereas, the postgraduate group had better CRF in many aspects, and most of the factors were significant predictors for metabolic syndrome. Among the several factors of CRF, peak VO2 (%) was a strong predictor for metabolic syndrome, and the risk of metabolic syndrome was much lesser with the higher peak VO2 (%). Despite no correlation between educational attainment and metabolic syndrome, those results from this study were important to reveal the relation between CRF and the educational attainment, linking peak VO2 (%) as a predictor of metabolic syndrome. So far, this is the first study to present direct correlations between various components of CRF and metabolic syndrome according to educational attainment.

Table 2
Correlations between peak VO2 and potential factors affecting cardiorespiratory fitness.

| Variables                      | $r$  | $P$     |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|
| Age, y                         | -0.281 | <.001  |
| Biceps strength, kg            | 0.333  | <.001  |
| Hand grip strength, kg         | 0.320  | <.001  |
| Peak expiratory flow rate, L/min| 0.143  | <.001  |
| LV ejection fraction, %        | -0.081  | .180  |
| $E/A$ ratio                    | 0.184  | <.001  |
| $E/e_0$ ratio                  | -0.103  | .003  |
| DT of mitral inflow, ms        | -0.110  | .001  |
| Max TR velocity, m/s           | -0.037  | .406  |
| LA volume index, mL/m$^3$      | -0.091  | .018  |
| LV mass index, mL/m$^2$        | 0.130  | <.001  |

DT = deceleration time, LA = left atrium, LV = left ventricular, VO2 = oxygen consumption.
Figure 2. Correlations of peak VO₂ and the components of metabolic syndrome. (A) HOMA-IR, (B) waist circumstances, (C) the level of triglyceride, and (E, F) blood pressures were inversely correlated with peak VO₂. (D) The level of HDL-cholesterol had a positive correlation with peak VO₂. HDL=high-density lipoprotein, HOMA-IR=homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance, VO₂=oxygen consumption.
than using continuous variables.\textsuperscript{[23]} Furthermore, adults had more complex, bio-psychosocial factors influencing metabolic syndrome than adolescents.\textsuperscript{[4]} Recently, an interesting study was published that genetic variants known to affect educational attainment had a significant association between lifestyle and coronary artery disease.\textsuperscript{[24]} Still, the correlation between education and cardiovascular disease is not clearly known, and it is even more challenging to establish how education impacts health-related behavior change.

In the current report, Korea demonstrated the inverse relationship between the level of education and the treatment of diabetes or hypertension in both men and women, while other countries did not.\textsuperscript{[1]} Given this phenomenon, the authors estimated that this has resulted in higher education opportunity and the national health insurance system for the Korean population. South Korea established the basic education law in 1949, and since 1984, 9-years of compulsory, free education is supplied by the government. As a result, the level of education has been improved every year, and in 2017, 48% of the Korean population obtained a university or college course, which was much higher than the OECD average of 37%.\textsuperscript{[25]} From a Korean study, <6-years of education was associated with 2.0 (95% confidence interval 1.2–3.4) of increased risks of metabolic syndrome compared with university graduation.\textsuperscript{[26]} In Finland, where everyone is insured, there was an inverse association between socioeconomic status and mortality after myocardial infarction.\textsuperscript{[27]} Thus, various factors, such as the country’s stage of economic development, education, and social and health policies, are needed to be considered for improving health-related outcomes.

There were some limitations in this study. Firstly, as a cross-sectional study, there was a selection and an observer bias. The present study population was highly educated and financially able to undergo their regular health check-up in a private tertiary hospital. Even regarding the high level of educational achievements in Koreans, this study population consisted of college graduates in 63.2%, which may weaken the statistical power of educational attainment in predicting metabolic syndrome. In addition, the proportion of men and age of subjects were different among

| Table 3 |
| Logarithmic regression analysis of cardiorespiratory fitness in predicting metabolic syndrome in healthy adults. |
| Univariate | Adjusted |
| Peak VO2 (%) | \( \beta \) | \( P \) | \( \beta \) | \( P \) |
| 0.985 | <0.001 | 0.988 | <0.001 |
| Biceps strength, kg | 0.950 | <0.001 | 0.972 | 0.049 |
| Hand grip strength, kg | 0.975 | <0.001 | 0.980 | 0.009 |
| Peak expiratory flow rate, L/min | 1.001 | 0.772 |
| E/A ratio | 0.113 | <0.001 | 0.147 | <0.001 |
| E/e’ ratio | 1.250 | <0.001 | 1.269 | <0.001 |
| DT of mitral inflow, msec | 1.007 | <0.001 |
| LA volume index, mL/m² | 1.004 | 0.672 |
| LV mass index, mL/m² | 1.010 | 0.002 | 0.070 | <0.001 |

\textbf{Figure 3.} Risk of metabolic syndrome according to Peak VO2 (%). The frequency of metabolic syndrome decreased with increasing peak VO2, and the risk reduction rate was more extensive with the higher peak VO2 range. VO2 = oxygen consumption.
groups. Secondly, this independently developed questionnaire posed a recall bias. Since the results entirely depended on the accuracy of the subject’s answers and the lack of standardized tool remained the reliability issue. Thirdly, the causal-result relationship was not clear. It is well known that CRF is an essential determinant of academic achievement in children. Biological explanations for the link between fitness and cognition have been proposed, such as improved memory, inhibition, and cognition across academic fields. With better CRF, the subjects might achieve higher educational attainment, as shown in this study. Lastly, because of the small study population confined to Koreans, this study could not reveal the direct correlation between the level of education and metabolic syndrome.

In spite of some limitations, this study has several important implications. Of the various risk factors of metabolic syndrome, the authors confirmed that CRF is a good predictor of metabolic syndrome, and the relation to educational attainment was weak but possible not been reported previously. Furthermore, peak VO₂ was evaluated directly for all the components of metabolic syndrome, and not only peak VO₂ but also the other factors of CRF were all checked and demonstrated good correlations to metabolic syndrome.

Even though the direct correlation of education attainment and metabolic syndrome could not be established, it is crucial to evaluate the mechanism of how socioeconomic status affects cardiovascular disease. Understanding the mechanism can be efficient in promoting public health through specialized programs which meet the needs of individuals.

5. Conclusion
The association between socioeconomic status and metabolic syndrome of cardiovascular mortality has been well-described with the level of education being extensively used as an indicator of overall socioeconomic status. Yet this current study was not able to support educational attainment being exclusively used to predict metabolic syndrome. More research is needed to better understand which socioeconomic factors best predict metabolic syndrome.

Acknowledgments
Jeom-Sik Kim, Sunyoung Park, Ju-Eun Chae, and Dong-Hun Lee contributed to the data collection. Kevin Zinck contributed to revise the manuscript.

Author contributions
Data curation: Jea-Young Lee, Yoon-Seok Koh.
Investigation: Mineok Chang.
Project administration: Mineok Chang, Ki-Bae Seung.
Supervision: Suk Min Seo, Hun-Jun Park, Pum-Joon Kim, Ki-Bae Seung.
Writing – original draft: Mineok Chang.
Writing – review & editing: Ki-Bae Seung.

References
[1] Di Cesare M, Khang YH, Asaria P, et al. Inequalities in non-communicable diseases and effective responses. Lancet 2013;381:585–97.
[2] Mackenbach JP, Bos V, Andersen O, et al. Widening socioeconomic inequalities in mortality in six Western European countries. Int J Epidemiol 2003;32:830–7.
[3] Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation 2005;112:2735–52.
[4] Montano D. Association between socioeconomic determinants and the metabolic syndrome in the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Adults (DEGS1) - a mediation analysis. Rev Diabet Stud 2017;14:279–94.
[5] Kaplan GA, Keil JE. Socioeconomic factors and cardiovascular disease: a review of the literature. Circulation 1993;88:1973–98.
[6] Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, et al. Indicators of socioeconomic position (part 1). J Epidemiol Community Health 2006;60:7–12.
[7] Kyazvick E, Glymour M, Kemple KI, et al. Parental education as a predictor of offspring behaviour and physiological cardiovascular disease risk factors. Eur J Public Health 2012;22:344–50.
[8] Clicand VJ, Ball K, Magnusen C, et al. Socioeconomic position and the tracking of physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness from childhood to adulthood. Am J Epidemiol 2009;170:1069–77.
[9] Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, et al. Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Lancet 2008;372:1661–9.
[10] Di Chiara T, Scaglione A, Corrao S, et al. Association between low education and higher global cardiovascular risk. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2015;17:332–7.
[11] Reddy KS, Prabhakaran D, Jeemon P, et al. Educational status and cardiovascular risk profile in Indians. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:1626–8.
[12] Kim I, Song YM, Ko H, et al. Educational disparities in risk for metabolic syndrome. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 2018;16:416–24.
[13] OECD.org. 2019. Available at: http://www.oecdbetterlifexindex.org/topics/income/.
[14] Ling CH, de Craen AJ, Slagboom PE, et al. Accuracy of direct segmental multi-frequency bioimpedance analysis in the assessment of total body and segmental body composition in middle-aged adult population. Clin Nutr 2011;30:610–5.
[15] Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2015;28:e1–39.e14.
[16] Bruce RA, Kusumi F, Hosmer D. Maximal oxygen intake and nomographic assessment of functional aerobic impairment in cardiovascular disease. Am Heart J 1973;85:546–62.
[17] Mezzani A, Agostini P, Cohen-Solal A, et al. Standards for the use of cardiopulmonary exercise testing for the functional evaluation of cardiac patients: a report from the Exercise Physiology Section of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2009;16:249–67.
[18] Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1487–95.
[19] Huh JH, Kang DR, Jang JY, et al. Metabolic syndrome epidemic among Korean adults: Korean survey of Cardiometabolic Syndrome (2018). Atherosclerosis 2018;277:47–52.
[20] Ngo AD, Paquet C, Howard NJ, et al. Area-level socioeconomic characteristics and incidence of metabolic syndrome: a prospective cohort study. BMC Public Health 2013;13:681–91.
[21] Gannar F, Cabrera de Leon A, Brito Díez B, et al. Social class and metabolic syndrome in populations from Tunisia and Spain. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2015;7:88–94.
[22] Ozaki R, Qiao Q, Wong GW, et al. Overweight, family history on the metabolic syndrome as dependent predictors for metabolic syndrome in Hong Kong Chinese adolescents. Arch Dis Child 2007;92:224–8.
[23] Ahmed AO, Strauss GP, Buchanan RW, et al. Are negative symptoms dimensional or categorical? Detection and validation of deficit schizophrenia with taxometric and latent variable mixture models. Schizophr Bull 2015;41:879–91.
[24] Zeng L, Ntalla I, Kessler T, et al. Genetically mediated educational attainment and coronary disease risk. Eur Heart J 2019;40:2413–20.
[25] OECD.org. 2019. Available at: https://data.oecd.org/eduatt/adult-education-non-level.htm#indicator-chart.
[26] Lee WY, Jung CH, Park JS, et al. Effects of smoking, alcohol, exercise, education, and family history on the metabolic syndrome as defined by the ATP III. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2003;67:70–7.
[27] Salomaa V, Niemela M, Marttinen H, et al. Relationship of socioeconomic status to the incidence and prehospital, 28-day, and 1-year mortality rates of acute coronary events in the FINMONICA myocardial infarction register study. Circulation 2000;101:1913–8.

[28] Haapala EA. Cardiorespiratory fitness and motor skills in relation to cognition and academic performance in children – a review. J Hum Kinet 2013;36:55–68.

[29] Kamijo K, Pontifex MB, O’Leary KC, et al. The effects of an afterschool physical activity program on working memory in preadolescent children. Dev Sci 2011;14:1046–58.

[30] Voss MW, Chaddock L, Kim JS, et al. Aerobic fitness is associated with greater efficiency of the network underlying cognitive control in preadolescent children. Neuroscience 2011;199:166–76.

[31] Ericsson I. Motor skills, attention and academic achievements. an intervention study in school years 1-3. Brit Educ Res J 2008;34:301–13.