NO EFFECTS OF WATERPROOF MARKING ON THE BEHAVIOUR AND GROWTH OF PHYSA ACUTA DRAPARNAUD, 1805 (GASTROPODA: HYGROPHILA: PHYSIDAE) IN THE LABORATORY
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ABSTRACT: Physa acuta Draparnaud, 1805 is one of the most common freshwater gastropod species, with worldwide distribution. It is an effective periphyton grazer and a potential keystone species in shallow-water systems, where it can boost macrophyte well-being and thus help maintain high water clarity even in nutrient-rich habitats. P. acuta also has been extensively studied in ecotoxicological and behavioural investigations. Such investigations may require observations on individual snails. A method to distinguish individual snails in small-scale experiments is marking their shells with paint dots. However, such marking must not influence snail behaviour (nutritional, reproductive, respiratory, etc.) or growth to avoid confounding effects. Earlier investigations point to no or very limited effects of marking on aquatic and terrestrial snail survival, behaviour, and growth. We tested whether marking could affect the behaviour (as snail activity) and growth of P. acuta using a waterproof, oil-based, non-toxic, fine-point car-body paint marker. Snails were divided into a “marked” and an “unmarked” (control) group of ten snails each in an eight-day experiment. The marking had no effect on the snail activity or growth. The snails survived the experiment and produced egg clutches well beyond the eight-day period. The marking persisted without fading during and beyond the experimental period. Our results support earlier findings that the use of oil-based, non-toxic markers can assist in carrying out reliable observations on individual snails, including the small-bodied P. acuta. Combinations of two dots of different colours allow simultaneous observations on a high number of replicate individuals.
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INTRODUCTION

Freshwater gastropods are among the most intensively studied aquatic organisms. Gastropods may play a keystone role in benthic littoral food webs as heavy periphyton and plant litter grazers (CATTANEO & KALFF 1986, LOWE & HUNTER 1988, GROSS & LOMBARDO 2018), thus maximising access to light and nutrients for the photosynthetic organs of submerged macrophytes (e.g., JONES et al. 2000, TÓTH 2013). Healthy macrophytes in turn maintain or enhance water transparency even in nutrient-rich waters by many concurrent mechanisms, including allelopathic and/or competitive action against phytoplank-
ton (e.g., Jasser 1995, Körner & Nicklisch 2002, Lombardo et al. 2013), limitation of re-suspension of nutrient-rich sediments (Vermaat et al. 2000), shading (Frodge et al. 1990, Doyle & Smart 1998), and providing a daytime refuge against fish predation for zooplankton (Burks et al. 2002, Pęczuła et al. 2017). Grazing on living macrophyte tissue is rare (Soszka 1975, Newman 1991, Gross & Lombardo 2018) and seems limited to relatively large snail species such as Lymnaea stagnalis (Linnaeus, 1758) on soft-leaved macrophyte species (Pieczynska 2003, Elger & Lemoine 2005, Zhang et al. 2020).

The relatively small-bodied physids (Hygrophila) Physa acuta Draparnaud 1805 [= P. integra (Haldeman, 1841) = P. heterostropha (Say, 1817) = Physella acuta = Haitiia acuta] and P. fontinalis (Linnaeus, 1758) are particularly effective as periphyton grazers and macrophyte enhancers (e.g., McCollum et al. 1998, Jones et al. 1999, Lombardo 2001). The common occurrence of P. acuta, its potential keystone role as a grazer in shallow-water habitats, and ease of laboratory rearing have also led to its use as a model aquatic organism in many studies (e.g., Spyra et al. 2019, Tariel et al. 2020).

Such research may require following individual snail growth and/or behaviour in space and/or time. In such cases, a need to distinguish the individual experimental snails may arise. Although marking individual animals for observational/experimental purposes is a common practice (Heidinger et al. 2009 and references therein), it has seldom been used for gastropods (Henry & Jarne 2007). The few studies that used individual markings on terrestrial or aquatic gastropods did not show any negative marking effects on snails (Fenwick & Amin 1983, O'Keeffe 1985, Baker 1988, Burris et al. 1990, Gosselin 1993, McRae & Lepitzki 1994, Baminger 2000, Henry & Jarne 2007, Tariel et al. 2020). The most common ways to mark individual snails were plastic tags attached with some kind of glue, nail varnish, enamel, or waterproof car-body paint (review in Henry & Jarne 2007). The waterproof car-body paint was suggested as the best possible marking method for short- and medium-term observations (Henry & Jarne 2007), while other paints, such as corrective white-out for paper and gouache paint, dissolve or chip quite quickly (Henry & Jarne 2007). We therefore further tested if one possible method to distinguish individual snails, namely marking their shells with a waterproof car-body paint, was a feasible approach for short- and medium-term field and laboratory experiments using the small-bodied, common freshwater gastropod P. acuta (Hygrophila: Physidae).

We organised individual P. acuta into two groups, a “marked” treatment and an “unmarked” control, in an eight-day experiment in small containers (200 mL), to ascertain whether the officially non-toxic, oil-based, waterproof fine-point CE- and RoHS-compliant marker used on snail shells could affect snail growth and behaviour. Our experiment had the additional aim to test if the marking was as waterproof as the manufacturer claimed.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL ORGANISM

The taxonomy of the genus Physa Draparnaud, 1801 (= Physella Haldeman, 1842 = Costatella Dall, 1850 = Haitiia Clench et Aguyao, 1932) has recently been revised following detailed research in molecular biology, body anatomy, and reproductive behaviour. Such recent findings point to a grouping of several Physa species into a single species. Dillon et al. (2002) and Wethington & Lydeard (2007) proposed to assign it the oldest name, P. acuta. We follow such nomenclature.

Although considered a native European species for decades, P. acuta is a North American native, possibly accidentally brought to western Europe during the cotton trade in the mid 1700s (Anderson 2003, Lydeard et al. 2016, Vinarski 2017). P. acuta spread rapidly eastwards and into Mediterranean Europe (Lydeard et al. 2016, Vinarski 2017), where it quickly displaced and often replaced the native P. fontinalis (Cianfanelli et al. 2007). P. acuta is found in all 20 administrative regions in Italy in all types of inland waters (Cianfanelli et al. 2007). It is regarded as invasive in south-western and Mediterranean Europe, while its colonisation of new habitats in colder-climate areas is often non-invasive (e.g., Michalik-Kucharz 2008, Lydeard et al. 2016, Spyra et al. 2019). P. acuta’s successful colonisation of new areas has led this species to become one of the most cosmopolitan aquatic invertebrates (Dillon et al. 2002).

Snails used in this experiment were taken from a parasite- and predator-free long-term culture started from individuals collected at the pond of Cascina Bellezza (44°54′.72″N, 07°47′.27″E) in the Stagni di Poirino-Fävari (Poirino-Fävari Ponds) “Nature 2000” Site of European Importance (SIC IT1110035) located ~30 km south of the city of Turin, Italy (Evangelista & Vallinotto 2009). P. acuta is common at the Cascina Bellezza pond (Mr. M. Evangelista, pers. comm.; P. Lombardo, pers. observ.).

The parental and culturing aquaria were kept in the outdoor laboratory of Limno Consulting in suburban Rome, Italy (41°43′.88″N, 12°21′.42″E), where the field-collected parental snails appeared to have
adapted at once upon arrival. The parental and culturing aquaria were placed in an area protected from strong winds, direct sunlight, and extreme temperatures. European *P. acuta* is at low risk of parasitic infection (*Toledo et al.* 1998, *Gérard* 2001). However, the parental *P. acuta* that gave origin to the culturing population were kept separate from their offspring, with egg clutches removed from the parental aquarium and left to hatch in the culturing aquarium. Such a method produced a parasite-free culturing aquarium, even though the parental snails were not checked for parasites.

**EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND SETUP**

The experiment was carried out alongside the culturing aquaria in the outdoor laboratory of Limno Consulting in suburban Rome, central Italy. Twenty sub- and young adults of *P. acuta* were collected randomly (except for general body size) from the parasite- and predator-free post-parental culture. The snails were placed on absorbent paper and their body size was measured as shell height with an electronic DIN862-compliant Metrica (San Donato Milanese, Italy) 10008 precision calliper (accuracy ± 0.03 mm). The ranges of shell height at the beginning of the experiment were 5.0–7.5 mm and 5.4–7.9 mm for marked and unmarked snails, respectively. Although the exact age of our experimental snails could not be determined as parental snails oviposited continuously and hatchling size at birth was too small to be measured with our calliper, such shell sizes are typical of sub-adults or adults at the initial stages of reproductive age (e.g., *Perrin* 1986). Snails were left

Figs 1–3. Outline of materials and methods: 1 – snails were marked with a fine-point white marker after having been partially dried on absorbent paper; 2 – a marked *P. acuta* in its experimental container with a lettuce leaf; 3 – the two containers, each containing ten experimental snails, as seen from above
for ~5 min on the absorbent paper until the shell appeared dry (Figs 1–3). A white oil-based, non-toxic, waterproof fine-point “Sipa SP150” marker (Sino Path Enterprises Ltd, Hong Kong, China: http://www.sipa.com.hk/) advertised for use as car-body paint was used to paint two ~2-mm dots on the dorsal shell area of ten snails (Fig. 2). The snails were then left on the absorbent paper for another ~2–3 min to allow the white dots to dry, and then placed in one of two small clear-plastic containers along with ~200 mL of clean tap water (the same water used for the long-term culturing aquarium) (Figs 1–3). The snails left unmarked underwent the same pre-trial handling except for the marking. The two small containers: treatment – “marked” and control – “unmarked” were then placed on a shelf in a well-lit area (Figs 1–3) alongside the culturing aquaria. Snails were fed ad libitum before and during the experiment with fresh “baby leaf” and trocadero lettuce, both tender-leaf variants of head lettuce (Lactuca sativa var. capitata), supplemented every four days with a few flakes of commercially available protein-rich food for ornamental fish.

The experiment lasted eight days during 1–9 March 2020 (light/dark cycle ~11:27/12:33). Low (night time) air temperature (determined with a BAR208HGA Oregon Scientific weather station) was 9.7 ± 2.7 °C and high (daytime) temperature was 15.9 ± 1.3 °C (mean ± standard deviation; n = 8 for both). Water pH (measured with an Oakton pHTestr 30) remained in the 8.2 ± 0.5 range.

The snails were observed twice a day, once in daytime at about 14:00 h [i.e., the time of activity peak according to Lombardo et al. (2010)], and once at night time in the 0:00–2:00 period. The degree of activity of individual snails was recorded following Lombardo et al.’s (2010) scheme with a slight modification (Table 1). Lombardo et al. (2010) found that observations at three-hour intervals did not influence the snail behaviour in the next observation, so our 12-hour interval between the observations can be also safely considered as producing independently collected data. As in Lombardo et al. (2010), night time observations were carried out with a red-light flashlight which does not disturb the animals (e.g., Peckarsky & Cowan 1995).

The water in the experimental containers was refreshed at the end of the first cycle of four days as it had become foul, thus possibly influencing the snail behaviour (e.g., Chaudry & Morgan 1987). All the snails appeared in good health and several egg clutches were produced in both containers at the end of the first and the second four-day period.

The snails were retrieved from the experimental containers after a total of eight daytime and eight night time consecutive observations. All the snails survived the experiment and kept ovipositing for at least another six weeks after the end of the experimental period. Growth was determined as changes in shell height, with final shell height measured as for initial height. Shell height is a reliable proxy to estimate snail growth (e.g., Rid 2008).

NUMERICAL AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The observed activity was expressed numerically following the qualitative-to-quantitative conversion in Table 1. The individual activity was summed across the 10 replicate snails to allow the statistical analysis to be carried out with ANOVAs and t-tests, which are much more robust and reliable than χ²-based contingency tables (e.g., Zar 2009). Normality tests were not carried out because of the robustness of the ANOVA and t-test with mild non-normality and heteroskedasticity (Zar 2009); the analysis robustness was further maximised by the balanced experimental design (Underwood 1997, Zar 2009). The group activity summed across the ten replicate snails could range from zero (if all ten snails were found dead) through 40 (if all ten snails were observed in “high activity” mode). The “group activity” approach also further maximised the analysis robustness.

Table 1. Four categories of pulmonate snail activity, listed from least active (top) to most active (bottom). Snails were considered inactive when observed as either inact– or inact+, and active when observed as either act– or act+. The 0–4 scale used in our experiment is slightly modified from Lombardo et al. (2010), who did not include mating as they observed the snails as physically separate individuals.

| Activity degree in Lombardo et al. (2010) | This trial |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| code | description | 0–4 scale |
| --- | --- | --- |
| inact– | absence of any perceived movement and body completely withdrawn into shell with shell aperture closely adhering to the substratum | 1 |
| inact+ | absence of any perceived movement, but body not completely withdrawn into shell; snail apparently “sleeping”, sometimes with production of faeces (“digestion”) | 2 |
| act– | snail in some perceived movement as “act+” (described below), but at a markedly lower degree of movement/activity | 3 |
| act+ | evident movement (roaming; sliding upside down at the water surface; crawling above water level); active foraging with or without locomotion (radular/tentacle movement); mating; oviposition | 4 |
ness despite the relatively low number of snail and treatment replicates, and the low replication was not expected to artificially depress statistical significance (Gosselin 1993). Replication was de facto increased by the twice-daily yet independent observations (Lombardo et al. 2010).

Snail activity was analysed with pairwise t-tests, which can be applied to normally or non-normally distributed data (Underwood 1997). The pairwise t-tests also allowed a repeated-measures approach to the activity data, which were obtained from individuals observed on consecutive days. Pairwise t-tests were used for the two factors separately (observation time and marked vs. unmarked condition) because the relatively small sample sizes may have weakened the power of a three-way (two factors + time) repeated-measures ANOVA.

Snail growth, determined as changes in shell height during the eight-day experiment, was analysed with a type I, two-way ANOVA with experimental condition (marked vs. unmarked snails) and measurement time (initial vs. final) as factors. Since each factor comprised only two levels, a post-hoc test following significant F values was not needed (e.g., Zar 2009: 274). Statistical significance for all tests was assumed for \( p \leq 0.05 \).

RESULTS

All the snails exhibited a high degree of daytime and night time activity (Table 2). Although the possible maximum of 40 was never reached, the total activity was within the range of 28–36 and 31–36 for marked and unmarked (control) snails, respectively (Table 2). There was no difference in group activity between marked and unmarked snails (Fig. 4), though marked snails tended to be more active at night (Fig. 5).

All the snails grew during the eight-day experiment (Fig. 6, Table 3). The growth rate (as linear accrual of shell height) was the same for marked and unmarked snails (Fig. 6, Table 3). The daily growth rate (as total shell growth divided by the eight experimental days) ranged from 0.11 to 0.12 mm d\(^{-1}\). Marked and unmarked snails produced 30 and 15 egg clutches, respectively, by the end of the experiment.

The white markings persisted without fading or chipping through the eight-day experiment (Fig. 7). However, apparently because of the spiral shell growth, the white markings appeared to move “sideways” around the shells, in some cases becoming barely visible from above (Fig. 7).

Table 2. Activity of marked and unmarked (control) \( P. \) acuta individuals during the experiment; \( \bullet \) – daytime and \( \bigcirc \) – night time observation. For explanation of numerical values see Table 1. Snails were not followed individually, and are reported from left (“individual 1”) to right (“individual 10”) according to decreasing observed degree of activity.

| Observation | Activity degree of | marked snails (individuals 1 through 10) | unmarked snails (individuals 1 through 10) |
|-------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|             | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 tot | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 tot | |
| 1 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 2 35 | 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 2 2 32 |
| 2 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 31 | 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 32 |
| 3 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 36 | 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 34 |
| 4 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 34 | 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 32 |
| 5 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 33 | 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 31 |
| 6 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 32 | 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 34 |
| 7 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 31 | 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 33 |
| 8 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 35 | 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 32 |
| 9 March     | \( \bigcirc \) 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 2 34 | 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 34 |
DISCUSSION

The marking did not influence the snail activity appreciably, with the sole possible exception of a sub-significant (p = 0.08) higher activity of marked snails at night (Figs 4, 5). As oviposition in freshwater gastropods often occurs at night (e.g., Van der Steen 1967, but see Ter Maat et al. 2012), the higher activity of marked snails at night could be related to the higher oviposition, but this hypothesis remains untested for this experiment or for P. acuta. Also, P. acuta is a particularly active species (e.g., Perrin 1986, Lombardo et al. 2010), with small differences in activity in daylight or at night (Lombardo et al. 2010), and the mildly higher activity of marked snails at night (Fig. 5) may have been due to casual factors, possibly including sustained interactions among snails searching for mates. [Lombardo et al. (2010) tested individual snails observed in isolation.] Although the range and mean values for initial body size were similar for marked and unmarked snails (Fig. 6), the group of marked snails may have comprised more sexually active individuals in “female mode”, following the pre-trial random division of the experimental snails, thus leading to a higher number of egg clutches produced by marked snails at the end of the experiment. However, the reason behind the higher number of egg clutches produced by marked snails remains unexplained, and other experiments with higher replication may be needed to address this issue.

The absence of marking effects on snail activity in our experiment with P. acuta is compatible with earlier findings of absence of marking effects on snail activity, locomotion, horizontal dispersion, anti-predator behaviour, reproductive behaviour, susceptibility to parasite infection, and survival for P. acuta and other aquatic gastropod species (Fenwick & Amin 1983, O’Keeffe 1985, Goater et al. 1989, Burris et al. 1990, McRae & LePitzi 1994, Henry & Jarne 2007, Hädner et al. 2009, Coutellec & Caquet 2011, Morton & Silliman 2020, Tariel et al. 2020).

The marking also did not have any influence on the snail growth (Fig. 6, Table 3), supporting earlier findings for terrestrial gastropods (Baker 1988, Baminger 2000), aquatic gastropods in general

Fig. 4. Average total activities of marked and unmarked individuals in daytime, night time, and as experiment-wide total; mean ± standard error. Pairwise t-tests are included; n_{marked} = n_{unmarked} = df + 1

Fig. 5. Total activities of individually marked and unmarked P. acuta in daytime vs. night time; mean ± standard error. Pairwise t-tests are included; n_{marked} = n_{unmarked} = df + 1
Individual growth rates are difficult to compare across the literature because of the variety of experimental or rearing conditions. The daily individual growth for our experimental *P. acuta* was within the range of approximately 0.11–0.12 mm d$^{-1}$ as shell height accrual, which is twice as much as that reported for *P. acuta* (Perrin 1986, Henry & Jarne 2007) or for the closely related *P. fontinalis* (De Wit 1955). However, all such studies report mean growth rates from medium- to long-term observations, and physids and other aquatic gastropods tend to grow much faster when they are young (before reproductive age: De Wit 1955, Perrin 1986), possibly as an adaptation to reach a refuge size against potential predators (e.g., Crowl & Covich 1990, Auld & Relyea 2008). Gosselin (1993) also reported much faster individual growth for juveniles than adults of the relatively slow growing marine gastropod *Nucella (=Thais) emarginata* (Deshayes, 1839). The relatively fast daily growth rate observed for our pre-reproductive age *P. acuta* therefore seems to align with the scant data from the literature.

The marking with the Sipa 150 car-body, oil-based paint did not fade during the eight-day experiment (Fig. 7), and persisted for at least six weeks after the end of the experiment. While we stopped our post-experiment qualitative observations after ~six weeks, snail marking with car-body paint, enamel, or fingernail varnish was observed to persist for ~two to six months in the laboratory (Gosselin 1993, Henry & Jarne 2007) and in the field (Goater et al. 1989, Burris et al. 1990, Gosselin 1993), though markings in the field tended to last slightly less (Gosselin 1993). Although the marking persisted without chipping or fading for the experimental eight days (Fig. 7) and for at least another six–seven weeks, the marked dots tended to “disappear” under the growing shell spires for our fast-growing pre/peri-reproductive *P. acuta*. Gosselin (1993), who followed individual snails from hatchlings (~1–2 mm of shell height) to adult size (~28 mm of shell height attained after one year of observations), had to re-paint his experimental snails 71 days into this experiment; once growth slowed down, the marking did not need to be reiterated.

Our results support earlier findings that oil-based, waterproof car-body paint is a reliable and suitable method to distinguish individual freshwater *P. acuta* at least in the short and medium term. The suitability of car-body paint may be similar to that of fingernail varnish [used among others by Gosselin (1993) and McRae & Lepitzki (1994)] and plastic tags glued to snail shells (Henry & Jarne 2007). However, plastic tags cannot be applied to small-bodied snails, while car-body paint or fingernail varnish can be applied to snails as small as 3 mm in shell height.

The following table summarizes the results of the two-way ANOVA testing for differences in shell height in Fig. 6. Treatment = marked vs. unmarked snails; time = initial vs. final shell height.

|          | SS    | df | MS   | F     | p    |
|----------|-------|----|------|-------|------|
| treatment | 0.072 | 1  | 0.072| 0.079 | 0.779|
| time      | 8.930 | 1  | 8.930| 9.854 | 0.003|
| interaction | 0.012 | 1  | 0.012| 0.013 | 0.908|
| error     | 32.625| 36 | 0.906| –     | –    |
| total     | 41.640| 39 | –    | –     | –    |

The following figures illustrate the marking process and growth over time.

---

Fig. 6. Snail size as shell height (mm) at the beginning and end of the eight-day experiment; mean ± standard error, n = 10 for each group. For statistical analysis see Table 3.

Fig. 7. Representative marked snails at the end of the experiment, showing the apparent sideways “movement” of the white marking dots as snails grew.
Table 4. Maximum number of snails that can be simultaneously identified as separate individuals with all possible combinations of two marker dots of different colours. The number of possible colour combinations (and hence of individually distinguishable snails) regardless of dot order is $n^k - \frac{n}{2} \times (n - 1)$, where $n =$ number of marker colours and $k =$ number of dots ($k = 2$ in our case) (first Table column); the maximum number of colour combinations that includes also the combinations in different order (second Table column) is $n^k$. A graphical example is given for the first Table row when only two marker colours are available to paint two identifying dots ($k = 2$).

| Number of marker colours | Number of identifiable snail individuals |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                          | number of colour combinations regardless of dot order | number of colour combinations considering dot order |
|                          | $[n^k - \frac{n}{2} \times (n - 1)]$  | $(n^k)$ |
| 2                        | 3                                      | 4 |
|                          | ●○                                    | ●○ |
|                          | ○○                                    | ○○ |
|                          | ●●                                    | ●● |
| 3                        | 6                                      | 9 |
|                          | ○○                                    | ○○ |
|                          | ●●                                    | ●● |
| 4                        | 10                                     | 16 |
| 5                        | 15                                     | 25 |
| 6                        | 21                                     | 36 |
| 7                        | 28                                     | 49 |
| 8                        | 36                                     | 64 |
| 9                        | 45                                     | 81 |
| 10                       | 55                                     | 100 |

height (HENRY & JARNE 2007), or even to smaller snails, using a trimmed brush with only 3–5 strands (GOSSELIN 1993). Among the most effective marking methods tested by HENRY & JARNE (2007), car-body paint also has the smallest possible influence on the snails, as two 2-mm dots on −4–7-mm-long P. acuta weigh < 0.05% of the marked individual (HENRY & JARNE 2007). Car-body paint has the additional advantage that it can be applied within 3–4 min of out-of-the-water pre-experiment handling, thus with minimal stress for the snails (GOSSELIN 1993, HENRY & JARNE 2007), though the marking may need to be repeated for medium- to long-term observations (Fig. 7; GOSSELIN 1993). Car-body paint or nail varnish can be applied to a variety of relatively small-bodied snail species such as physids (this study, DE WIT 1955, HENRY & JARNE 2007, TARIEL et al. 2020) and bithyniids (MCRAE & LEPITZKI 1994), and are potentially applicable to laboratory (e.g., HENRY & JARNE 2007, TARIEL et al. 2020) and field experiments (e.g., BURRIS et al. 1990, GOSSELIN 1993).

To summarise, the results suggest that the two-dot marking of experimental snails can be adopted for detailed experiments with no risk of influencing or confounding the results, at least using this particular brand and type of marker (Figs 1–3). Although the manufacturer did not provide information on the components of the paint in their SP150 marker, such markers are advertised as car-body paint and described as non-toxic and CE- and RoHS compliant. Our experimental approach could be easily adapted to test other marker types, as other investigators see fit.

The use of two dots allows several snails in a single experimental aquarium to be distinguished individually. It is impossible to write numbers or draw symbols on the shells of small-bodied snails such as P. acuta, but two −2-mm dots produced with a fine-point marker are clearly visible from above (Figs 1–3). The use of colour markers thus would make it possible to distinguish several experimental snails by combinations of marking colours (Table 4). HENRY & JARNE (2007) found no effect of car-body colour on life history traits of P. acuta, in contrast to other less reliable methods such as gouache paint. Combinations of one to three colour-coded dots can uniquely mark a higher number of snails simultaneously (GOSSELIN 1993).

The water in the experimental containers (both for the marked and unmarked snail groups) appeared foul after the first four daily cycles of observations. Although the fouling did not seem to have appreciable effects on snail growth, oviposition, or behaviour, cycles of observations in small experimental containers should be carried out at regular intervals to avoid possible confounding effects of fouling water.
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