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Abstract

Aims This study aims to examine the effect of differences in nutritional status on activities of daily living (ADL) and mobility recovery of hospitalized elderly patients with heart failure (HF).

Methods and results From among 377 consecutive HF patients who underwent rehabilitation at one acute-care hospital from January 2013 to August 2015, those who were aged ≥65 years could walk with assistance before hospitalization and who were hospitalized for the first time were included in this retrospective cohort study. Exclusion criteria were pacemaker surgery during hospitalization, change to other departments, death during hospitalization, and unmeasured ADL. We investigated patient characteristics, basic attributes, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI), ADL (motor Functional Independence Measure (motor FIM)), and Rivermead Mobility Index (RMI). Of these 377 patients, 96 met the inclusion criteria and were divided into the low GNRI group (n = 38, 83.5 ± 8.3 years, 44.7% male) and high GNRI group (n = 58, 81.0 ± 6.6 years, 55.2%). Patient characteristics and the difference between motor ADL and motility recovery and nutrition data were analysed with unpaired t-test, χ2 test, and two-way analysis of covariance. In comparing the two groups, the following parameters were significantly lower in the low GNRI group than in the high GNRI group: body mass index (18.7 ± 2.2 vs. 23.2 ± 2.7 kg/m2, P < 0.01), albumin (3.4 ± 0.4 vs. 3.8 ± 0.4 g/dL, P < 0.01), diabetes mellitus ratio (21.1% vs. 50.0%, P < 0.01), RMI at discharge (6.8 ± 2.6 vs. 8.2 ± 2.2, P = 0.01), and motor FIM at discharge (67.2 ± 19.5 vs. 75.6 ± 13.3, P = 0.02). RMI showed a significant group and term main effect and interaction effect (P < 0.05). Motor FIM showed a significant main effect of group and term (P < 0.05), and no significant interaction effect.

Conclusions Low nutritional status in hospitalized elderly HF patients affected their recovery of mobility but did not appear to affect the recovery of ADL.
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Introduction

The number of elderly people is increasing worldwide, and this increase is especially remarkable in Japan, where the elderly make up 27.3% of the population.1–3 Also, the number of patients with heart failure (HF) is similarly increasing, and their high mortality and rates of readmission and increased medical expenses are becoming a problem.4–9

In contrast, nutrition10,11 in HF patients is a reported factor of mortality and readmission. In a report on the nutritional assessment of HF patients, the Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI), which is measured using both serum albumin and body mass index (BMI), was shown to have excellent prognostic evaluation ability as compared with the measurement of serum albumin or BMI alone.12–15 Also, several cross-sectional studies have shown a relation between mobility
and nutrition\textsuperscript{16,17} and between activities of daily living (ADL) and nutrition.\textsuperscript{18}

However, it is not clear whether a difference in nutritional status in elderly HF patients affects ADL and motility recovery. The present study aimed to examine the effect of differences in nutritional status on ADL and mobility of elderly patients with HF.

**Methods**

**Study design and participants**

From among 377 consecutive HF patients who underwent rehabilitation at one acute-care hospital from January 2013 to August 2015, those who were aged $\geq 65$ years could walk with assistance before hospitalization and who were hospitalized for the first time were included in this retrospective cohort study. Exclusion criteria were pacemaker surgery during hospitalization, change to other departments, death during hospitalization, and unmeasured ADL. The reason for including the criterion of ‘walk with assistance before hospitalization’ is that it affects the mobility and ADL recovery of the patients during hospitalization.

In rehabilitation, if haemodynamics have been stabilized or if HF patients are not experiencing any resting symptoms, on the basis of instructions from a doctor, they can perform exercise therapy (sitting and standing exercises, walking, and aerobic exercise) and ADL training with support from physical therapists.\textsuperscript{19}

**Investigation**

Patient characteristics and clinical parameters investigated included age; sex; BMI; blood pressure; heart rate; left ventricular ejection fraction; brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) concentration; New York Heart Association (NYHA) class; levels of creatinine, haemoglobin, and albumin; acute management; co-morbidity; medications; initiation of rehabilitation and of walking exercise; and length of the rehabilitation period and of hospital stay.

**Assessment of Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index**

We evaluated the GNRI as an index of patient nutrition. Baseline GNRI was calculated from serum albumin and BMI using the following formula:\textsuperscript{20} $\text{GNRI} = 14.89 \times \text{serum albumin (g/dL)} + 41.7 \times \text{present body weight}/[\text{height}^2 (m^2) \times 22] = 14.89 \times \text{serum albumin (g/dL)} + 41.7 \times \text{BMI}/22$. The patients were then divided into two groups—the low GNRI ($<92$) group and high GNRI ($\geq 92$) group—on the basis of a previous study.\textsuperscript{14,15}

**Assessment of activities of daily living**

We evaluated ADL with the Functional Independence Measure (FIM) at hospital admission and discharge of the patients.\textsuperscript{13} The FIM was developed to assess rehabilitative aspects of patients with disabilities and consists of two domains, motor and cognitive. A supporting reference for the use of these was published in 1996.\textsuperscript{21}

The motor domain (motor FIM) consists of 13 items: eating; grooming; bathing; dressing upper body; dressing lower body; toileting; bladder and bowel manoeuvres; transfer to bed, chair, or wheelchair; transfer to toilet; transfer to tub or shower; walking/wheelchair; and stairs. The cognitive domain (cognitive FIM) consists of five items: comprehension, expression, social interaction, problem solving, and memory.

The FIM is scored on a scale ranging from 1 to 7 points: one point for total assistance, two points for maximal assistance, three points for moderate assistance, four points for minimal contact assistance, five points for supervision, six points for modified independence, and seven points for complete independence. The minimum total FIM score is 18 points, and the maximum score is 126 points, whereas the minimum scores for motor FIM and cognitive FIM were 13 points and five points and the maximum scores were 91 points and 35 points, respectively. We used the motor FIM in this study.\textsuperscript{22}

**Assessment of Rivermead Mobility Index**

The Rivermead Mobility Index (RMI) is an assessment of mobility originally published in Italy in 1991. This assessment is determined by asking 14 questions related to patient mobility (turning over in bed, lying to sitting, sitting balance, sitting to standing, transfer, walking inside with an aid if needed, climbing stairs, walking outside on even ground, walking inside with no aid, picking something off the floor, walking outside on uneven ground, bathing, walking up and down four steps, and running) and making one observation on the patient’s ability to stand unsupported.\textsuperscript{23,24} This assessment was performed twice by two physical therapists, at patient hospitalization and at discharge. Also, motor FIM and mobility at admission were evaluated on the day after hospitalization.

**Statistical analysis**

Patient characteristics and clinical parameters are reported using percentages for categorical variables and mean $\pm$ standard deviation for continuous variables. Unpaired $t$-test and $\chi^2$ test were used to compare patient characteristics and clinical parameters between the two GNRI groups. To analyse the difference between motor ADL and motility recovery and nutrition, two-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to investigate the interaction between within-groups factor.
(term: admission and discharge) and between-groups factor (group: high GNRI and low GNRI). Those factors with 5% significance in the comparison between the two groups were used as covariates. A $P$ value $< 0.05$ indicated statistical significance. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS 25.0 J statistical software (IBM SPSS Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

**Ethical considerations**

The Kokura Rehabilitation College Institutional Review Committee on Human Research approved this study (approval number 29-03), and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

**Results**

**Patient flow**

Participant flow in the study is shown Figure 1. Of the 377 consecutive HF patients who underwent rehabilitation, 109 patients who met the inclusion criteria were originally included in this study. However, 13 patients were later excluded because of pacemaker surgery during hospitalization (nine patients), change to other departments (two patients), death during hospitalization (one patient), or unmeasured ADL (one patient). Therefore, 96 patients were included who were divided into the low GNRI group ($n = 38$) and high GNRI group ($n = 58$).

**Patient characteristics**

A comparison of the clinical characteristics between the low GNRI group and high GNRI group of elderly hospitalized HF patients is shown in Table 1. There were significant differences between the two groups with regard to BMI, albumin level, diabetes mellitus (DM), RMI score, and motor FIM score at discharge ($P < 0.05$).

**Mobility and activities of daily living**

The results of two-way ANCOVA showed a significant group and term main effect and interaction effect for the RMI score ($P < 0.05$), indicating that nutrition had an effect on mobility recovery. There was no significant interaction effect for the motor FIM score. There was also a significant main effect of group and term ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2), which showed that nutrition did not affect ADL recovery.

**Discussion**

This is the first study, to our knowledge, to report that the nutritional status of elderly hospitalized HF patients is related to the recovery of mobility. The results showed that recovery of mobility in the low GNRI group was poor in comparison with that in the high GNRI group of elderly inpatients with HF.

**Characteristics of the low Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index group of elderly heart failure patients**

Compared with the low GNRI group, the high GNRI group had a higher BMI and higher DM ratio. In the elderly, high BMI is a known risk factor for developing diabetes. In a previous study, characteristics of a high co-morbidity rate of DM were shown to be related to malnutrition in patients with HF. Therefore, in the patients with HF and a high GNRI in this study, the co-morbidity rate of DM may also be high.

However, there was no significant difference in severity of HF between the two groups. A previous study showed a relationship between nutritional status and severity in HF. We set ‘walk with assistance’ and ‘hospitalized for the first time’ as inclusion criteria in the present study. It was reported that ADL and NYHA in HF patients were correlated. There is a relation between cardiovascular disease history and the severity of risk factors in HF. In the present study, it appeared that nutritional status did not reflect severity because it was possible that owing to inclusion criteria that we chose, not so many cases of severe HF were included.

**Recovery of mobility and activities of daily living**

Mobility of the elderly HF patients in the low GNRI group was low at discharge, and this finding has been reported as a
The decline in mobility at discharge in the present study supports the findings of these previous studies. We also found that nutritional status was related to the recovery of mobility in the hospitalized patients. HF patients and community-dwelling elderly with malnutrition are known to have decreased muscle mass, and decreased mobility was shown to be associated with the reduced muscle mass of HF patients. It was also reported that elderly people require more protein than do younger adults, that the reduction in protein associated with malnutrition may reduce muscle mass, and that the combination of protein intake and exercise therapy increases the muscle mass of elderly people. Therefore, in the present study, we surmise that the HF patients in low GNRI group may have had a poor recovery of mobility from exercise therapy. Also, the results suggested that the evaluation of muscle mass related to mobility and the necessity for nutrition intervention were necessary. However, it was also possible that HF patients with cachexia were included in the low GNRI group. The effect of exercise therapy on cachexia is not known.

### Table 1 Patient characteristics

|                          | Low GNRI n = 38 | High GNRI n = 58 | F or \( \chi^2 \) value | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age, years               | 83.5 ± 8.3      | 81.0 ± 6.6       | 1.71a                    | 0.10    |
| Sex, male, %             | 44.7            | 55.2             | 1.00                     | 0.32    |
| BMI at admission, kg/m²  | 18.7 ± 2.2      | 23.2 ± 2.7       | 1.47a                    | <0.001  |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg | 141.7 ± 32.1     | 146.9 ± 36.6     | 0.03a                    | 0.47    |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg | 72.7 ± 14.9      | 82.4 ± 28.8      | 1.54a                    | 0.50    |
| Heart rate, beats/min    | 88.8 ± 24.1     | 88.1 ± 24.7      | 0.41a                    | 0.89    |
| LVEF, %                  | 49.7 ± 15.0     | 45.4 ± 15.8      | 0.29a                    | 0.19    |
| BNP level, pg/mL         | 1045.6 ± 761.7  | 803.0 ± 600.4    | 4.54a                    | 0.10    |
| NYHA class I/II/III/IV, %| 2.6/18.4/50.0/28.9 | 1.7/19.0/43.1/36.2 | 0.70                    | 0.874   |
| Creatinine level, mg/dL  | 1.3 ± 0.9       | 1.3 ± 1.1        | 0.04                     | 0.83    |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m²     | 45.2 ± 21.2     | 49.5 ± 19.3      | 0.61a                    | 0.31    |
| Haemoglobin level, g/dL  | 11.0 ± 2.4      | 12.0 ± 2.5       | 0.04a                    | 0.06    |
| Albumin level, g/dL      | 3.4 ± 0.4       | 3.8 ± 0.4        | 0.51a                    | <0.001  |
| GNRI                     | 86.0 ± 5.9      | 101.2 ± 7.4      | 1.35a                    | <0.001  |
| Acute management, %      | 23.7            | 27.6             | 0.18                     | 0.67    |
| Hypertension             | 97.4            | 87.9             | 2.68                     | 0.10    |
| DM                       | 21.1            | 50.0             | 8.12                     | 0.004   |
| Ischaemic heart disease  | 39.5            | 55.2             | 2.26                     | 0.13    |
| Valvular disease         | 50.0            | 34.5             | 2.29                     | 0.13    |
| Atrial fibrillation      | 23.7            | 43.1             | 3.79                     | 0.052   |
| Orthopaedic disease      | 42.1            | 39.7             | 0.06                     | 0.81    |
| Neurological disease     | 15.8            | 25.9             | 1.36                     | 0.24    |
| Respiratory disease      | 31.6            | 15.5             | 3.47                     | 0.06    |
| Diuretic                 | 100.0           | 93.1             | 2.74                     | 0.10    |
| Beta-blockers            | 63.2            | 79.3             | 3.03                     | 0.08    |
| ACEI/ARB                 | 73.7            | 60.3             | 1.81                     | 0.18    |
| Initiation of walking exercise, days | 8.4 ± 8.2      | 6.4 ± 4.8        | 5.31a                    | 0.18    |
| Rehabilitation start, days | 2.2 ± 3.0      | 2.6 ± 2.7        | 0.52a                    | 0.50    |
| Length of hospital stay, days | 22.2 ± 11.0    | 19.8 ± 7.0       | 4.08a                    | 0.25    |
| Rehabilitation period, days | 19.9 ± 11.3    | 17.2 ± 6.4       | 6.29a                    | 0.13    |
| RMI score on admission   | 2.6 ± 1.7       | 2.5 ± 2.1        | 1.50a                    | 0.81    |
| RMI score at discharge   | 6.8 ± 2.6       | 8.2 ± 2.2        | 0.01a                    | 0.01    |
| Motor FIM score on admission | 33.1 ± 16.4    | 36.5 ± 15.6      | 0.15a                    | 0.31    |
| Motor FIM score at discharge | 67.2 ± 19.5    | 75.6 ± 13.3      | 4.87a                    | 0.02    |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or %.
ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; DM, diabetes mellitus; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FIM, Functional Independence Measurement; GNRI, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association; RMI, Rivermead Mobility Index.

Table 2 Two-way analysis of covariance

|                  | Mean square | F value | P value |
|------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| RMI              | 1146.7      | 246.1   | <0.001  |
| GNRI group       | 19.1        | 4.1     | 0.04    |
| Interaction      | 30.4        | 6.5     | 0.01    |
| Motor FIM        | 6148.61     | 252.3   | <0.001  |
| GNRI group       | 1669.7      | 6.8     | <0.001  |
| Interaction      | 347.5       | 1.4     | 0.23    |

FIM, Functional Independence Measure; GNRI, Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; RMI, Rivermead Mobility Index.

Potential prognostic indicator for frailty and malnutrition. The decline in mobility at discharge in the present study supports the findings of these previous studies. We also found that nutritional status was related to the recovery of mobility in the hospitalized patients. HF patients and community-dwelling elderly with malnutrition are known to have decreased muscle mass, and decreased mobility was shown to be associated with the reduced muscle mass of HF patients. It was also reported that elderly people require more protein than do younger adults, that the reduction in protein associated with malnutrition may reduce muscle mass, and that the combination of protein intake and exercise therapy increases the muscle mass of elderly people. Therefore, in the present study, we surmise that the HF patients in low GNRI group may have had a poor recovery of mobility from exercise therapy. Also, the results suggested that the evaluation of muscle mass related to mobility and the necessity for nutrition intervention were necessary. However, it was also possible that HF patients with cachexia were included in the low GNRI group. The effect of exercise therapy on cachexia is not known.
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the HF patients with cachexia in the low GNRI group may have been poor because it is difficult to obtain positive effects of exercise therapy in these patients. Activities of daily living at discharge was low in the HF patients in the low GNRI group. This supports the findings of a previous study. However, nutritional status did not show any apparent effects on the recovery of ADL. A previous study showed that each ADL varies in difficulty. For example, the difficulty and physical load of performing self-care such as grooming, bathing, and dressing are low, whereas they are high when performing transfer actions and locomotion. ADL associated with the high loads of locomotion and transfer may be difficult to recover owing to malnutrition. In addition, because locomotion and transfer are just one part of the total FIM score, we considered that the influence of nutrition on the ADL index of the FIM to be small. In high and low GNRI groups in the future, it will be necessary to investigate ADL items with different rates of recovery and to determine which ADL items are difficult to recover through rehabilitation. It is possible that ADL was evaluated as being low owing to the ceiling effect of the FIM evaluation. For a more accurate evaluation of ADL recovery, we may need to select more sensitive indicators of ADL and to investigate the physical functions associated with ADL. Longitudinal studies that include nutritional interventions will be necessary in the future to evaluate the effects of malnutrition on the recovery of mobility and ADL in hospitalized elderly HF patients.

Strengths
As a result of two-way ANCOVA using the factors of nutrition and mobility, low nutritional status as evaluated by the GNRI was a factor related to recovery of mobility in elderly HF patients. This finding suggests that improvement of nutrition during hospitalization may be important in improving patient mobility at discharge.

Limitations
This study was conducted at a single facility and with a small sample size. Primarily, the patients were in their 80s, thus making it difficult to report findings for patients in their 70s or 90s. Also, we did not consider difference in the sexes. We also did not investigate physical function (muscle strength, handgrip, skin fold thickness, and gait speed) as related to ADL and mobility before or during hospitalization, nor did we evaluate the nutrition index at discharge, and ADL after discharge. These need to be considered in a future study.

Conclusions
We showed that low nutritional status affected the recovery of mobility in elderly hospitalized HF patients but that it might not affect the recovery of ADL. These findings suggest the need for muscle mass measurement and nutritional intervention studies.
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