A Single Herpesvirus Protein Can Mediate Vesicle Formation in the Nuclear Envelope

Background: Herpesviruses egress from the nucleus by vesicle trafficking through the nuclear envelope. Viruses that replicate in the nucleus (for a review, see Refs. 4, 5). In many cases, this involves an at least partial disassembly of the viral capsid at the cytoplasmic side or core of the nuclear pore complex because these particles are too large to pass the pore intact.

Although many viruses assemble in the cytoplasm, herpesviruses package their newly replicated genomic DNA into capsids of up to 125 nm within the nucleus. Unlike many other DNA viruses, herpesviruses do not depend on the breakdown of the nuclear envelope during mitosis for their release and can also replicate in non-dividing cells. Therefore, they face the challenge of passing the nuclear envelope a second time, now in the opposite direction. Because herpesvirus capsids are too large for passage through the nuclear pore, they are transported through the nuclear envelope by vesicle-mediated transport, also described as an “envelopment-de-envelopment” pathway (6). Capsids bud at the inner nuclear membrane and, therefore, acquire a (primary) envelope, resulting in a nascent virus located in the lumen between the inner and outer nuclear membranes (for a review, see Refs. 7, 8). Subsequently, the primary envelope fuses with the outer nuclear membrane, resulting in translocation of the capsid to the cytoplasm. Final maturation, including assembly of the tegument and secondary envelopment, follows in the cytoplasm, and mature virions are released at the plasma membrane.

Egress from the nucleus is a multifaceted process. In all herpesviruses studied, it involves the formation of a heterodimeric nuclear egress complex consisting of two viral proteins that are conserved throughout the herpesvirus family. One component is a type II transmembrane protein, tail-anchored in the nuclear envelope and termed pUL34 in herpes simplex virus 1 and pseudorabies virus. It interacts with a soluble component, pUL31, and recruits it to the nuclear envelope (9–15). In the absence of this complex, nuclear egress is blocked, and capsids accumulate in the nucleoplasm. One function of the pUL31-pUL34 complex is the recruitment of viral and cellular kinases that phosphorylate locally and disrupt the nuclear lamina (15–19), a prerequisite for the access of capsids to the inner nuclear membranes (for a review, see Refs. 1, 2). Subsequently, the primary envelope fuses with the outer nuclear membrane, resulting in translocation of the capsid to the cytoplasm. Final maturation, including assembly of the tegument and secondary envelopment, follows in the cytoplasm, and mature virions are released at the plasma membrane.

Viruses exploit amazing strategies, overcoming various cellular membranes to realize their complex life cycle, including cell entry, genome replication, and assembly of virus particles as well as their egress. For many viruses that replicate in the cell nucleus, a major barrier is the nuclear envelope, a double membrane structure enclosing the chromatin. It consists of the inner nuclear membrane, which, in animal cells, is underlaid by a tight lamina meshwork that connects and stabilizes the nuclear envelope, and the outer nuclear membrane, which is continuous with the endoplasmic reticulum (for a review, see Refs. 1, 2). The nuclear envelope is perforated by nuclear pore complexes, huge macromolecular assemblies of up to 125 MDa in vertebrates that act as selective gateways between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (3). Nuclear pores are used as entry paths for most viruses that replicate in the nucleus (for a review, see Refs. 4, 5).

Results: Using giant unilamellar vesicles, we reconstitute the function of two viral proteins, pUL31 and pUL34, in nuclear envelope vesicle formation.

Conclusion: pUL34 recruits pUL31 to the membrane, which, on its own, deforms membranes to produce nuclear envelope vesicles.

Significance: pUL31 constitutes a minimal machinery mediating inwardly directed membrane budding and scission.

Background:
Herpesviruses assemble capsids in the nucleus and egress by unconventional vesicle-mediated trafficking through the nuclear envelope. Capsids bud at the inner nuclear membrane into the nuclear envelope lumen. The resulting intraluminal vesicles fuse with the outer nuclear membrane, delivering the capsids to the cytoplasm. Two viral proteins are required for vesicle formation, the tail-anchored pUL34 and its soluble interactor, pUL31. Whether cellular proteins are involved is unclear. Using giant unilamellar vesicles, we show that pUL31 and pUL34 are sufficient for membrane budding and scission. pUL34 function can be bypassed by membrane tethering of pUL31, demonstrating that pUL34 is required for pUL31 membrane recruitment but not for membrane remodeling. pUL31 can inwardly deform membranes by oligomerizing on their inner surface to form buds that constrict to vesicles. Therefore, a single viral protein can mediate all events necessary for membrane budding and abscission.
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membrane. In addition, the pUL31–pUL34 complex might also contribute to the nuclear membrane restructuring necessary for herpesvirus nuclear egress. Transient or stable transgenic expression of pUL31 and pUL34 is sufficient to drive the formation of correctly sized primary envelopes in the perinuclear space between the outer and inner nuclear membrane in the absence of capsids (10, 20). Therefore, pUL31 and pUL34 are the only two viral proteins required for budding and fission of vesicles at the inner nuclear membrane. Whether this process is additionally dependent on the recruitment and function of cellular factors is currently unknown. Recently, it has been suggested that, in vitro, pUL31 and pUL34 are sufficient to drive membrane budding and scission by forming a coat on the surface (21). However, this assay depended on an unnaturally high content (up to 40%) of negatively charged lipid headgroups on the deformed lipid bilayer that is not present on physiological membranes. Therefore, it remains questionable to what extent it reflects the natural situation. Primary envelopment of herpesvirus capsids requires extensive restructuring of the host inner nuclear membrane to allow envelope formation and vesicle detachment into the lumen of the nuclear envelope. Similar membrane deformations have been investigated intensively because they are at the heart of vesicle-mediated intracellular trafficking in the secretory and endocytic pathways. Many such processes are directed by complex but conceptually straightforward mechanisms in which coat proteins impose a curvature on the cytosolic membrane face, thereby generating buds that ultimately constrict to vesicles. The best known coat proteins are clathrin and the COP I face, thereby generating buds that ultimately constrict to vesicles. The best known coat proteins are clathrin and the COP I and COP II complexes, which assemble on the outer side of the membrane and are crucial for vesicle formation into the cytosol (22). Membrane deformation in the opposite direction, i.e. away from the cytosol, such as the invagination of the endosomal membrane during formation of multivesicular bodies or egress of HIV and other enveloped viruses at the plasma membrane, both mediated by the ESCRT machinery, are less frequent (23). pUL31–pUL34-mediated inner nuclear membrane engulfment, much like the suggested pathway for nuclear egress of large ribonucleoprotein complexes identified recently in Drosophila (24), represents one of these exceptional pathways. It involves vesicle budding and scission from the nucleoplasm into the intermembrane space of the nuclear envelope, which is connected and topologically identical to the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum. The molecular machinery mediating inner nuclear membrane deformation and scission remains largely obscure. It is especially unclear whether it requires proteins within the lumen of the nuclear envelope that could assemble a coat on the outer surface of the nascent vesicles, similar to COP I, COP II, and clathrin coats in the cytoplasm.

We reconstituted the function of pseudorabies virus pUL31 and pUL34 in a simple membrane system by using giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) mimicking the lipid composition of the nuclear envelope. We show that the two viral proteins are sufficient for budding and fission of membrane vesicles into the lumen of GUVs, a process that is topologically identical to inwardly directed vesicle formation at the inner nuclear membrane during herpesvirus nuclear egress. Artificial membrane recruitment of pUL31 alone results in the same membrane remodelling and generates intra-GUV vesicles. Therefore, pUL31 and pUL34 are sufficient for vesicle formation without the need for additional (cellular) proteins. Moreover, we can assign distinct functions to pUL31 and pUL34 during herpesvirus nuclear egress. pUL34 recruits pUL31 to the membrane and provides membrane anchorage, whereas pUL31 mediates membrane budding and scission.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

1,1′-dioctadecyl-3,3,3′,3′-tetramethylindodicarbocyanine,4-chlorobenzenesulfonate salt (DiDC18), Alexa Fluor 546 carboxylic acid succinimidyl ester, and cascade blue-labeled neutravidin were obtained from Invitrogen, naphthopyrene from Sigma, and dyes from Calbiochem. The nuclear envelope lipid mix consisted of 5 mol% cholesterol, 2.5 mol% sphingomyelin, 2.5 mol% sodium phosphatidylserine, 10 mol% sodium phosphatidylinositol, 20 mol% phosphatidylethanolamine, and 60 mol% phosphatidylcholine (all from Avanti Polar Lipids). In lipid mixtures lacking a specific component, the respective lipid was replaced by an equimolar amount of phosphatidylcholine.

**Protein Expression and Purification—** Constructs for expression of pseudorabies virus pUL31 and pUL34 were generated from a synthetic DNA optimized for codon usage in *Escherichia coli* (Geneart). pUL31 was expressed from a modified pET28a vector with a His₆ tag and a TEV site, followed by an EGFP protein amino-terminal of pUL31. The soluble domain of pUL34 (amino acids 1–240), untagged pUL31, as well as EGFP were expressed from a modified pET28a vector with a His₆ tag followed by a TEV site. For C-terminal His₆ tagging of the soluble domain of pUL34 (amino acids 1–240), the fragment was expressed from a modified pET28a vector lacking the amino-terminal His₆ sequence. GST fusions of pUL31 and pUL34 (amino acids 1–240) were expressed from a modified pET28a vector lacking a His₆ tag but with an N-terminal GST tag followed by a recognition site for precision protease upstream of pUL31 and pUL34. All His₆-tagged proteins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21de3 and purified using Ni-NTA. Where applicable, the His₆ tags were cleaved off. Proteins were purified further by gel filtration (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare). GST fusions were purified using GSH-Sepharose (GE Healthcare).

Full-length pUL34 and SCL1 were expressed from a modified pET28a vector with an N-terminal membrane-integrating sequence for translation of integral membrane protein constructs (MISTIC) fragment (25) followed by a thrombin cleavage site and purified as described previously (26). Proteins were labeled using Alexa Fluor 546 carboxylic acid succinimidyl ester in 200 mM NaHCO₃ (pH 8.4) or the same buffer containing 1% (w/v) cetyltrimethylammonium bromide for labeling of trans-
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2The abbreviations used are: GUV, giant unilamellar vesicle; DiDC₁₈, 1,1′-dioctadecyl-3,3,3′,3′-tetramethylindodicarbocyanine,4-chlorobenzenesulfonate salt; EGFP, enhanced GFP; Ni-NTA, nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid; DGS, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-(N-(5-amino-1-carboxypentyl)imino)diazacyclic acid) succinyl; ILV, intraluminal vesicle; COP, coat protein complex; ESCRT,
membrane proteins and purified by gel filtration on a Sephadex G50 fine column (GE Healthcare).

**Generation of GUVs—**Detergent-solubilized and labeled SCL1 and pUL34 were reconstituted in proteoliposomes via gel filtration (27). For this, 20 μl of the nuclear envelope lipid mix (30 mg/ml in 10% octylglucopyranoside) was mixed with 20 μl of 2 μM pUL34 or SCL1 protein and 100 μl of PBS. The sample was applied to a Sephadex G50 fine filled Econo chromatography column (0.5 × 20 cm, Bio-Rad) to remove the detergent. The formed proteoliposomes were collected and pelleted for 30 min at 100,000 rpm in a TLA120.2 (Beckman Coulter) rotor at 4 °C. The pellet was resuspended in 120 μl of 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 100 mM KCl, and 1 mM DTT. 5 μl of resuspended proteoliposomes were dried onto two 5 × 5 mm platinum gauzes (ALS) under vacuum for at least 1 h at room temperature. The gauzes were placed in parallel (5 mm distance) into a cuvette (UVette, Eppendorf) and submerged in 259 mM sucrose solution, and for 140 min an alternating current electric field with 10 Hz, 2.2 V was applied, followed by 20 min at 2 Hz at 42 °C.

Lipid GUVs were generated from chloroform-dissolved lipid mixtures where indicated, supplemented with 1 mol% Ni-NTA-DGS (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-(N-(5-amino-1-carboxypentyl)iminodiacetic acid)succinyl), Avanti Polar Lipids and 0.8 nm DiDC18 as described previously (28).

**GUV Vesicle Budding Reaction—**An 8-well glass observation chamber (chambered #1.0 borosilicate coverslip system, Lab-Tek) was blocked with 5% (w/v) BSA in PBS and washed with PBS. For each reaction, 50 μl of freshly prepared GUVs were mixed with 150 μl of PBS and placed into a well. Unless indicated otherwise, all soluble proteins were added to a final concentration of 500 nM (cascade blue-labeled neutravidin at 3.8 μM). The proteins and buffers used for GUV preparation matched the osmotic pressure of the sucrose solution, as measured using an osmometer. The mixture was incubated for 5 min and imaged immediately at room temperature on an inverted Olympus Fluoview 1000 confocal laser-scanning system utilizing an UPlanSApo ×60/1.35 oil objective. The cascade blue-labeled neutravidin was excited by a 405-nm diode pumped solid state laser, and emission was collected between 425–475 nm. EGFP and Alexa Fluor 546 were excited by an argon ion laser at 488 and 515 nm, respectively. Emission for EGFP was collected between 500–545 nm and between 570–625 nm for Alexa Fluor 546. DiD was excited by a 635-nm DPSS laser, and emission was collected between 655–755 nm. The pinhole was set to 1 airy unit. Three-dimensional reconstructions were generated using Imaris software (version 7.4, Bitplane). Linescan analysis was performed using FIJI software (Fiji.is/Fiji). Size quantification was done by stack analysis of GUVs using a stack size of 0.5 μm.

**Preparation of Supported Lipid Bilayers—**Supported lipid bilayers were prepared as described previously (29). The nuclear envelope lipid mix with 0.2 mol% Rhodamine-PE was dissolved in SLB buffer (10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) and 150 mM NaCl) at a concentration of 5 mg/ml. 20 μl of the mixture was diluted with 150 μl of SLB buffer. The suspension was then vortexed and bath-sonicated until a clear suspension was obtained, indicating that small unilamellar vesicles had formed. The clear solution was put in contact, at 37 °C, with freshly cleaved mica glued to a coverslip. CaCl2 was added to a final concentration of 3 mM and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. The samples were rinsed several times with SLB buffer to remove CaCl2 and unfused vesicles and then allowed to equilibrate at room temperature before analysis.

**Confocal Microscopy of Supported Lipid Bilayers—**Supported lipid bilayers were imaged using a commercial LSM 710 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) at 22 °C. The excitation light of a DPSS laser at 561 nm and of an argon laser at 488 nm was reflected by a dichroic mirror (main beam splitter 488/561/633) and focused through a Zeiss C-Apochromat ×40, numerical aperture 1.2 water immersion objective onto the sample. The fluorescence emission was collected by the objective and directed by spectral beam guides to photomultiplier tube detectors. Images were acquired before and adding His6-EGFP-pUL31 or His6-EGFP at the same areas of the supported lipid bilayers. Images were analyzed using FIJI (particle analysis). Proteins were added to a final concentration of 500 nm.

**Atomic Force Microscopy—**Supported lipid bilayers were imaged using a JPK NanoWizard II system (JPK Instruments, Berlin, Germany) mounted on an Axiovert 200 inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss). Intermittent contact (or tapping) mode images were taken using V-shaped silicon nitride cantilevers (SNL-10) with a typical spring constant of 0.08 N/m and a nominal tip radius of 2 nm. The cantilever oscillation was tuned to a frequency between 3–10 kHz, and the amplitude was set between 0.3–0.6 V. The amplitude was varied during the experiment to minimize the force of the tip on the bilayer. The scan rate was set to 0.7–1 Hz. The height, deflection, and phase shift signals were collected simultaneously in both track and retrace directions. Atomic force microscopy images were acquired before and after adding 150 nm His6-EGFP-pUL31 or His6-EGFP on the bilayer. Atomic force microscopy images were analyzed using JPK data analysis software.

**RESULTS**

**pUL31 and pUL34 Are Sufficient for Membrane Budding and Scission—**Viral pUL31 and pUL34 are necessary for nuclear egress of herpesvirus capsids. To investigate their function in detail, we expressed the transmembrane protein pUL34 in *E. coli* as a full-length protein, including its transmembrane region, and, after purification, labeled it with the fluorescent dye Alexa Fluor 546 (488 nm) and of an argon laser at 488 nm was reflected by a dichroic mirror (main beam splitter 488/561/633) and focused through a Zeiss C-Apochromat ×40, numerical aperture 1.2 water immersion objective onto the sample. The fluorescence emission was collected by the objective and directed by spectral beam guides to photomultiplier tube detectors. Images were acquired before and adding His6-EGFP-pUL31 or His6-EGFP on the bilayer. Atomic force microscopy images were analyzed using JPK data analysis software.
were detected, the majority of them 0.5–2 μm in size (Fig. 1, D–F). Formation of intralumenal vesicles was specific for pUL31 because it was not induced by addition of EGFP alone. The same result was observed in different buffer systems and with untagged pUL31, confirming that the EGFP tag did not cause this effect. As a control, we replaced pUL34 with the inner nuclear membrane protein SCL1 (32). Like pUL34, SCL1 is a type II transmembrane protein with a single membrane-spanning domain at its C terminus. Therefore, the N-terminal region preceding the transmembrane domain of both pUL34 and SCL1 faces the nucleoplasm. EGFP-pUL31 was not recruited to SCL1-GUVs, and membrane invaginations were not observed above background levels, demonstrating that this process specifically requires pUL31 and pUL34 (Fig. 1B).

Cascade blue-labeled neutravidin was used as a fluid phase marker to assess permeability between the bulk solution and the pUL31-induced vesicles. When the fluid phase marker was added as a soluble probe, together with pUL31, to pUL34-GUVs, the label was found in intralumenal vesicles (Fig. 1G, top row). When added 20 min after pUL31 addition, intra-GUV vesicles without the fluid phase marker were detected (Fig. 1G, bottom row). Therefore, the lumina of vesicles are disconnected from the bulk solution surrounding the GUVs, indicating membrane scission. This is consistent with the three-dimensional reconstitution of an EGFP-pUL31-treated pUL34-GUV that shows highly mobile vesicles distant and apparently detached from the limiting GUV membrane (Fig. 1H). When the fluid
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**FIGURE 1.** The pUL31-pUL34 complex is sufficient to induce intralumenal vesicles. A, recombinant Alexa Fluor 546-labeled pUL34 (red) was reconstituted into GUVs. The GUV membrane was stained with the lipophilic dye DiOC6 (3). B, Alexa Fluor 546-labeled pUL34 or the inner nuclear membrane protein SCL1 were reconstituted into GUVs (red). Addition of EGFP-pUL31 (green in overlay) induced formation of intra-GUV vesicles on pUL34 but not SCL1 GUVs. C, recombinant proteins employed were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Blue. D, quantitation of the number of GUVs with intralumenal vesicles (ILVs) shows the mean ± S.E. of three independent experiments, each including at least 70 GUVs/condition and experiment. E, the number of ILVs per GUV was quantified from three independent experiments, each including at least 20 GUVs/condition and experiment. The mean ± S.E. is shown. F, the size distribution of ILVs formed in pUL34-GUVs after EGFP-pUL31 addition was analyzed from three independent experiments, each including at least 20 GUVs/condition and experiment. G, cascade blue-labeled neuravidin (fluid phase marker) was added together with EGFP-pUL31 (top row) or 20 min after EGFP-pUL31 addition to GUVs (bottom row). H, three-dimensional reconstruction of an EGFP-pUL31-treated (green) pUL34 GUV (red). I, higher magnification of a budding spot on a pUL34 GUV after EGFP-pUL31 addition. EGFP-UL31 and Alexa Fluor 546-pUL34 was analyzed along the limiting GUV membrane. Scale bars = 5 μm (1 μm in l and insets).
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3 M. Lorenz, B. Vollmer, J. D. Unsay, B. G. Klupp, A. J. García-Sáez, T. C. Mettenleiter, and W. Antonin, unpublished observations.
phase marker was added to SCL1-GUVs together with EGFP-pUL31 (Fig. 1G) or to pUL34-GUVs in the absence of EGFP-pUL31, no intra-GUV fluorescence was detected, consistent with the notion that the pUL31-pUL34 interaction specifically induces vesicle budding into the GUV lumen.

These data show that, in a minimal membrane system, the two viral proteins pUL31 and pUL34 are sufficient to induce membrane perturbations generating intra-GUV vesicles. Importantly, in our assay, pUL34 is integrated as a transmembrane protein into the GUV lipid bilayer, mimicking the natural situation at the nuclear envelope membranes. The restructuring of the GUV-limiting membrane is reminiscent of the inwardly directed primary vesicle formation into the lumen of the nuclear envelope during herpesvirus nuclear egress. The emerging buds were enriched in pUL31 and pUL34, indicating that both proteins accumulate at sites of membrane deformation (Fig. 1I), consistent with a role in this process. Therefore, this GUV system is a valuable tool to investigate pUL31-pUL34-mediated vesicle budding and scission in detail.

**pUL31 Mediates Nuclear Envelope Vesicle Formation**

**pUL31 Mediates Membrane Budding and Scission—**Many membrane-deforming processes, including budding of several viruses at the plasma membrane, specifically involve integral membrane proteins (33–37). To assess whether the membrane-spanning C terminus of pUL34 is required for the formation of intralumenal vesicles, we artificially recruited a pUL34 fragment lacking its transmembrane domain to GUVs (Fig. 2A). To
this end, the Alexa Fluor 546-labeled soluble domain (amino acids 1–240) of pUL34 fused to an N- or C-terminal His<sub>6</sub> tag was added to GUVs incorporating the Ni<sup>2+</sup>-chelating lipid NiNTA-DGS. GUVs coated with these tethered pUL34 fragments showed no detectable vesicle structures in their interior. When EGFP-pUL31 was added, it was efficiently recruited to the GUV membranes regardless of whether pUL34 was attached to the membrane via its N or C terminus. This finding is consistent with the fact that the transmembrane region of pUL34 is not required for pUL31 interaction (9, 38). More importantly, pUL34-mediated pUL31 recruitment was sufficient for intralumenal vesicle formation. This indicates that the presence of an authentic transmembrane region is not essential for this process (21).

It should be noted that, in contrast to the experiments presented in Fig. 1, where pUL34, because of its reconstitution as an integral membrane protein, might be present in both orientations in the GUV membrane, the pUL34 fragment is only located on the outer leaflet of the GUVs. Although unlikely in the light of its cellular localization, orienting its non-membrane-spanning region into the nucleoplasm, the experiments shown here exclude that a (wrongly oriented) fraction of pUL34 is causative for in vitro vesicle formation.

Next we tested whether pUL34 plays an active role in the invagination process or whether it is merely required for pUL31 recruitment. When His<sub>6</sub>-tagged EGFP-pUL31 was bound directly to NiNTA-DGS-containing lipid GUVs, intralumenal vesicles were induced efficiently induced (Fig. 2, B and C). Addition of cascade blue-labeled neutravidin together or 20 min after protein addition indicated that the formed intralumenal vesicles pinched off from the limiting GUV membrane. The size distribution of these intralumenal vesicles was shifted to smaller vesicle diameters (Fig. 2D). Although we cannot exclude other scenarios, it is possible that this is due to the absence of pUL34. Three-dimensional reconstitution of a His<sub>6</sub>-EGFP-pUL31-treated GUV shows many highly mobile vesicles distant from the GUV membrane, indicating that they have been disconnected (Fig. 2F). Therefore, artificial membrane tethering of pUL31 is sufficient for the induction of membrane invaginations and membrane scission. This effect was specific for pUL31 because a His<sub>6</sub>-tagged EGFP, despite efficient recruitment to the GUV membrane, did not induce intra-GUV vesicle formation (Fig. 2, B and C). Therefore, the function of pUL34 in this minimal system is to target pUL31 to the membrane.

pUL31-pUL34-mediated Membrane Resculpting Requires Cholesterol and Sphingomyelin—The GUVs used so far contained a lipid composition resembling the nuclear envelope. We next assessed whether any specific lipid or lipid class in this mixture is required for intralumenal vesicle formation. GUVs were reconstituted with full-length pUL34 in the absence of specific lipid components. EGFP-pUL31 was efficiently recruited to the membrane of pUL34-GUVs regardless of lipid composition (Fig. 3A). In fact, efficient formation of intralumenal vesicles was observed in all instances, except when cholesterol or sphingomyelin were absent from the GUV membrane. Also, in the absence of both negatively charged lipid classes, phosphatidylserine and phosphatidylinositol, intralumenal vesicles formed efficiently. Identical results were obtained when His<sub>6</sub>-tagged EGFP-pUL31 was directly targeted to NiNTA-DGS GUVs (Fig. 3B). These data indicate that cholesterol and sphingomyelin are required for pUL31-induced membrane invagination and scission. Both lipids interact and are crucial for lateral segregation of membrane components, leading to membrane subdomain formation, such as rafts (39). However, we did not observe a pUL31-pUL34-induced phase separation of sphingolipid-cholesterol from other membrane components in GUVs (Fig. 3C), although we cannot exclude the formation of raft-like nanodomains of a size below the optical resolution of light microscopy. It is possible that cholesterol and sphingomyelin are required for intra-GUV vesicle formation because they permit membrane fluidity (40) and, therefore, might be essential for flexibility of the lipid bilayer, allowing its rescultping to vesicles.

**DISCUSSION**

Nuclear egress is a common mechanism for herpesvirus nucleocapsid translocation through the nuclear envelope (41). Although it has long been thought to be unique to herpesvi-
ruses, it has recently been recognized that a similar mechanism also functions in the nuclear export of large ribonucleoprotein particles in Drosophila (24), indicating the presence of a general, so far unknown mechanism of vesicular transport through the nuclear envelope. Although the cellular factors involved in this process remain largely enigmatic but may include the AAA+/H11001 ATPase torsin (42), all members of the Herpesviridae family analyzed in this respect are dependent on the viral nuclear egress complex, composed of pUL31 and pUL34 homologs, for translocation through the nuclear envelope. In the absence of either complex partner, herpesvirus-induced nuclear envelope breakdown can substitute for pUL31-pUL34-mediated nuclear egress (43). This mode of nuclear escape has, however, only been observed after forced reversion analysis in cell culture. Differing roles for pUL31 and pUL34 in nuclear egress have been demonstrated (7, 8), including recruitment of viral and cellular kinases that locally disrupt the lamina (15–19) as well as functions in herpesvirus replication beyond nuclear egress, including viral DNA binding and packaging (44, 45) or cell-to-cell spread (46).

Here we reconstituted herpesvirus pUL31-pUL34-dependent membrane invagination and scission using a minimal set of protein components and a simple model for the eukaryotic nuclear envelope. The presence of pUL31 at the membrane is sufficient to induce GUV-internal vesicles, a process topologically similar to the formation of primary envelopes, indicating that, in this system, pUL34 is only required to recruit pUL31 to the membrane. In contrast to a recent report (21), we did not observe a dependence on the negatively charged phospholipids phosphatidylinerse and phosphatidic acid, which needed to be present in unphysiologically high amounts (up to 40%). In our system, budding and scission also occur in the absence of the comparably low amounts of phosphatidylinerse and phosphatidylinositol found in the nuclear envelope. This difference is likely due to the fact that, in the previous report, the negatively charged lipids are required for membrane recruitment by electrostatic interaction of a C-terminally truncated pUL34 lacking the transmembrane region. In contrast, we used either full-length pUL34 containing the C-terminal transmembrane region or directly tethered pUL31 to the GUV membrane. Therefore, our system is less prone to artifacts and mimics the natural situation more faithfully.

The minimal GUV model system provides several fundamental new insights into the process of herpesvirus nuclear egress. First, it shows that pUL31-pUL34-mediated membrane deformation at the inner nuclear membrane can be uncoupled and is, therefore, functionally disconnected from lamina disassembly. It is formally possible that pUL31-pUL34 regulated lamina dynamics are a driving force for restructuring the inner nuclear membrane, similar to other cytoskeleton assembly and...
disassembly processes that are linked to membrane shape changes of a variety of organelles (47, 48). However, in our minimal system, deformation of the GUV membrane leading to vesicle formation occurs in the absence of lamin proteins. Second, pUL31 and pUL34 have well defined and separate functions in the primary envelopment of herpesvirus capsids. pUL31 and pUL34 are both crucial for this process, and coexpression of both proteins in uninfected cells induces the formation and scission of vesicles from the inner nuclear envelope (10, 20). It could be envisioned that cellular proteins participate in the necessary restructuring of the inner nuclear membrane because a similar process occurs during the nuclear export of large ribonucleoprotein particles in Drosophila neurons (24). However, our work shows that cellular proteins are not essential to execute the basic membrane restructuring necessary for nuclear egress, including membrane deformation, budding away from the nucleoplasm, and scission to generate vesicles detached from the inner nuclear membrane. In contrast, cellular proteins may be involved in fusion of the intralumenal vesicles with the outer nuclear membrane to complete the transport process. Third, pUL31 membrane recruitment is sufficient for membrane remodelling, resulting in vesicle formation. Therefore, this viral protein is the driving force both for membrane budding and scission in herpesvirus nuclear egress, which constitutes a new archetype of these non-conventional, inwardly directed budding events.

Herpesvirus nuclear egress can be regarded as vesicle-mediated transport through the lumen of the nuclear envelope. In this respect, the process has an inverted topology compared with classical vesicular trafficking pathways through the cytosol. The prime factors inducing membrane deformation and scission in vesicular trafficking localize and act on the outer surface of the exvaginated membrane and/or the vesicle. Our results demonstrate that such an outer membrane coat is not required for vesicle formation during herpesvirus nuclear egress. Rather, formation of the nuclear egress complex on the emerging inner vesicle surface is sufficient to drive membrane bending and scission. Different mechanisms implicated in membrane remodelling might be envisioned to promote vesicle formation (35). First, integral membrane proteins can deform membranes. Well known examples are reticulons and caveolins, which oligomerize and possess unusual hydrophobic segments that might form wedge-shaped hairpins in the membrane (33, 34), and both features can contribute to membrane shaping (35). Similarly, budding of some viruses (e.g. coronaviruses) at the plasma membrane is driven by membrane protein...
However, sequence analysis does not suggest such an unusual topology for the pUL34 transmembrane region. Our data rather show that pUL34 is dispensable for membrane restructuring leading to vesicle formation in the nuclear envelope lumen. Furthermore, membrane invaginations can be detected in the GUV system with pUL31 alone, indicating that a transmembrane region does not play a compulsory role in the process.

A second widely discussed mechanism that can contribute to membrane deformation and budding is the insertion of amphipathic helixes into the lipid bilayer (31, 50, 51). However, neither pUL31 nor pUL34 are predicted to form such helixes. More importantly, helix insertion has to take place in the outer lipid leaflet of the nascent vesicle to increase the outer in relation to the inner surface area. pUL31 is localized in the interior of the vesicle, discounting such a mechanism. Similarly, protein crowding generating lateral pressure, which can curve membranes (52), can be excluded for pUL31-mediated membrane budding because this mechanism generates membrane deformation in the opposite direction, i.e. outward from the limiting membrane.

In addition to protein-driven processes, lipid-induced changes can restructure membranes. Phase separation can generate membrane curvature and induce budding and scission in simple membrane systems (53–55). The membrane envelope of HIV is highly enriched in the raft-forming lipids sphingomyelin and cholesterol (56, 57), which suggests that budding at the plasma membrane occurs from lipid rafts (58). However, the lipid mixture of the nuclear envelope/endoplasmic reticulum possesses a relatively low amount of cholesterol and sphingomyelin compared with the plasma membrane (30) and does not form detectable lipid rafts (40). Accordingly, we did not observe phase separation between a cholesterol/sphingomyelin-enriched ordered and a disordered phase when pUL31 was added to pUL34-GUVs (Fig. 3).

Therefore, there is no indication for a locally induced phase separation, giving rise to the observed invaginations. In addition, changes in lipid composition, especially the localized generation of non-cone shaped lipids or their enrichment, such as the unconventional phospholipid lysobisphosphatidic acid on internal vesicles of multivesicular bodies (59), can contribute to membrane curving. However, there is no evidence...
that pUL31 mediates lipid modifying reactions or has a binding preference for a specific lipid.

Therefore, we prefer a model in which pUL31 acts as a scaffolding protein self-assembling at the inner surface of the forming vesicle. pUL31 is monomeric and does not detectably self-interact in solution (Fig. 4, B–D). Artificial membrane tethering of limiting amounts of pUL31 that are not sufficient to promote membrane invaginations can recruit soluble pUL31 (Fig. 4A), which, in turn, induces intralumenal vesicle formation. This effect is caused by membrane-induced pUL31 oligomerization, as suggested by confocal and atomic force microscopy on supported lipid bilayers. Consistently, budding sites at the GUV membranes show local enrichment of pUL34–pUL31 or pUL31, respectively (Figs. 1I and 2E). Although we cannot exclude the contribution of other proteins, in cells, budding sites at the inner nuclear membrane are more electron-dense, an observation that implies high protein density (8, 10). Interestingly, a recent study shows that a pUL31–pUL34 complex lacking the pUL34 transmembrane domain forms ordered assemblies when recruited to membranes (21). Our study suggests that pUL31 alone can oligomerize on membranes and induce vesicle formation. For the Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus pUL31 homolog ORF69, it has been suggested that it is required for membrane remodelling into circular virion-size vesicles, whereas the pUL34 homolog ORF67 results in membrane proliferation (60). A double point mutation in HSV-1 pUL34 (D35A/E37A) causes a blockage in nuclear envelope vesicle formation without preventing pUL31 membrane recruitment (61). When tethered to GUVs, the corresponding pUL31/pUL34 fails to induce membrane deformation (21). The defect of pUL34 (D35A/E37A) during infection could be overcome by a mutation in pUL31 that restored the ability to induce membrane deformation (61). This indicates that pUL34, in addition to pUL31 recruitment, plays a supportive and regulatory role in the induction of vesicles (62). It is possible that pUL34 undergoes a conformational change upon pUL31 binding that either brings the protein closer to the membrane or triggers multimORIZATION of the complex, features that might be blocked in the D35A/E37A mutation.

The vesicle budding at the nuclear envelope is reminiscent of the invagination processes mediated by the ESCRT machinery during formation of intraendosomal vesicles in multivesicular body formation. In this reaction pathway, ESCRT-I and ESCRT-II complexes direct membrane budding away from the cytosol, and ESCRT-III cleaves the bud necks from their cytosolic faces (23, 63, 64). In a mechanistically related process during HIV egress at the plasma membrane, the viral gag protein assembles and drives initial bud formation but requires the ESCRT complex components for membrane scission (65, 66). Gag protein assembly on the cytoplasmic side of the plasma membrane is thought to be the driving force for bud formation in HIV, and similar roles for membrane-associated proteins are implicated in rhabdovirus, filovirus, arenavirus, and paramyxovirus formation (37). In contrast to the gag/ESCRT machinery,
which mediates HIV release at the plasma membrane (67), the nuclear egress complex requires no energy input for both membrane budding and scission, although we cannot exclude that, in the cellular situation, an energy-dependent step is involved. Interestingly, although, in the case of gag/ESCRT-mediated vesicle formation, several proteins are required and the steps of membrane budding and scission are separated functionally, a single protein, pUL31, can execute this task in herpesvirus nuclear egress. This suggests that pUL31 has the intrinsic ability to oligomerize on the membrane and cleave its own bud neck. How this is achieved mechanistically is an interesting avenue for future research. In contrast to a previous report, we did not detect a regular structural pattern on the membrane surface (Fig. 5), most likely because of the absence of pUL34. This suggests that pUL34 is, in addition to pUL31 recruitment, required for formation of the hexagonal arrangement and drives the otherwise unstructured oligomerization of pUL31 into an ordered pattern.

Although we cannot exclude the presence of smaller-sized vesicles inside the GUVs because of the refraction limit of light microscopy and the rapid vesicle movement, the vesicles observed in the in vitro system are considerably larger than the vesicles formed in the nuclear envelope lumen during viral egress (68) but also in the absence of capsids when overexpressing pUL31 and pUL34 (10, 20). Notably, a similar increase in vesicle diameters has been observed when studying budding and/or vesicle formation on GUVs mediated by the ESCRT machinery or other viral proteins, including pUL31/pUL34 (21, 63, 69–71). A number of factors could, in the case of our study, account for the size difference. The inner nuclear membrane is tightly connected to the underlying lamina and the chromatin by integral membrane proteins (1, 2). This could restrain lateral mobility of the membrane and, consequently, impact the size of the vesicles. Moreover, although pUL31 can, on its own, promote vesicle budding and scission, it is conceivable that cellular (and additional viral) factors contribute to the process in vivo, which could restrict vesicle size. The fact that a similar vesicle-mediated nuclear export of large ribonucleoprotein particles bypassing nuclear pore complexes is found (24) makes it likely that a cellular machinery exists that mediates membrane budding and scission at the inner nuclear membrane as well as fusion of these vesicles with the outer nuclear membrane and that could participate in herpesvirus nuclear egress.

In summary, our work establishes that pUL34-mediated membrane recruitment of pUL31 drives vesicle budding and constriction in a biophysically well defined system. It shows that a single protein, pUL31, is sufficient to induce inwardly directed membrane deformation and scission. It will be interesting to see whether cellular orthologs of herpesvirus pUL31 exist, especially for the process of RNP egress at the nuclear envelope, which is topologically comparable with herpesvirus nuclear egress. Finally, the methods established and employed here to express and reconstitute pUL34 into GUVs are generally applicable for other single and multiple transmembrane-spanning proteins and, therefore, present a valuable tool for studying these proteins in minimal and well defined membrane systems.
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