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Abstract

Background: Cricothyrotomy and chest needle decompression (NDC) have a high failure and complication rate. This article sought to determine whether paramedics can correctly identify the anatomical landmarks for cricothyrotomy and chest NDC.

Methods: A prospective study using human models was performed. Paramedics were partnered and requested to identify the location for cricothyrotomy and chest NDC (both mid-clavicular and anterior axillary sites) on each other. A board-certified or board-eligible emergency medicine physician timed the process and confirmed location accuracy. All data were collected de-identified. Descriptive analysis was performed on continuous data; chi-square was used for categorical data.

Results: A total of 69 participants were recruited, with one excluded for incomplete data. The paramedics had a range of six to 38 (median 14) years of experience. There were 28 medical training officers (MTOs) and 41 field paramedics. Cricothyroidotomy location was correctly identified in 56 of 68 participants with a time to identification range of 2.0 to 38.2 (median 8.6) seconds. Chest NDC (mid-clavicular) location was correctly identified in 54 of 68 participants with a time to identification range of 3.4 to 25.0 (median 9.5) seconds. Chest NDC (anterior axillary) location was correctly identified in 43 of 68 participants with a time to identification range of 1.9 to 37.9 (median 9.6) seconds. Chi-square (2-tail) showed no difference between MTO and field paramedic in cricothyroidotomy site (P = .62), mid-clavicular chest NDC site (P = .21), or anterior axillary chest NDC site (P = .11). There was no difference in time to identification for any procedure between MTO and field paramedic.

Conclusion: Both MTOs and field paramedics were quick in identifying correct placement of cricothyroidotomy and chest NDC location sites. While time to identification was clinically acceptable, there was also a significant proportion that did not identify the correct landmarks.
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When other airway interventions are not possible, cricothyroty-
omy is the last resort airway in patients that are critically ill or
have wide-scale injuries involving the upper airway anatomy.
Consequently, prehospital cricothyrotomy is rare and success rates
vary widely by procedure type; needle cricothyrotomy ranges from
25%-77% and surgical cricothyrotomy ranges from 62%-100% 
when accounting for both EMS personnel and emergency medi-
cine physicians. In a military-based study, Mabry noted that
two-thirds of patients undergoing prehospital cricothyrotomy died,
and nearly one-fourth of those attempts were unable to cannulate 
the trachea.4

In patients with a tension pneumothorax undergoing NDC,
icorrect catheter placement and failure to cannulate the chest 
cavity are the most common complications.5 Procedural failure 
rates among EMS and emergency medicine physicians range from 
22%-35% based on different studies. These studies do not 
evaluate for anatomical site accuracy. Variation in success is attrib-
utable to many factors including specific technique, personnel 
training and prior experience with the procedure, patient body 
habitus, and overall patient trauma.

Rapid and accurate identification of key anatomical landmarks 
by EMS personnel is essential for successful cricothyrotomy and 
NDC. There are a paucity of data specifically assessing paramedics’
ability to correctly identify anatomical landmarks needed for both 
cricothyrotomy and NDC.

This article sought to determine whether paramedics at a large,
urban fire department could correctly identify the anatomical
landmarks for cricothyrotomy and NDC. The primary outcome
was the ability to identify the correct anatomical landmark for
the procedures. Secondarily, time to identification was assessed.
Both outcomes compared medic training officers (MTOs) with
field paramedics. The primary hypothesis was that MTOs would
be more accurate compared to field paramedics due to their
experience level.

Methods
The study is a prospective observational study, utilizing the
Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in
Epidemiology (STROBE) statement guidelines.8 Human models
were voluntarily recruited from the San Antonio Fire Department
(SAFD; San Antonio, Texas USA). The SAFD serves a response
area of over 450 square miles with a population of 1.5 million and
responds to 160,000 medical calls for service every year. The study
was performed at the University of Texas Health – San Antonio,
Emergency Health Sciences Office of Medical Director (OMD;
San Antonio, Texas USA), which provides the participants’
continuing education training. The University of Texas Health – San 
Antonio institutional review board deemed the project (protocol number; HSC20180856N) to not be regulated
research as defined by DHHS regulations at 45 CFR 46 and
FDA regulations at 21 CFR 56. Written consent of participants
was obtained.

Selection of Participants
Paramedics from SAFD were recruited to participate. There are
two groups of paramedics that work on the ambulances. The
MTOs have applied and been accepted to train incoming
paramedics; they practice teaching daily and often participate
in extra training. Field paramedics perform the regular duties
as assigned to paramedics at SAFD. Participants were given
a standardized demographics information form to complete.

Lack of existing data regarding the accurate identification of
cricothyrotomy or chest NDC landmarks precluded sample size
estimation. Based on clinical experience, an estimated 30 partici-
pants would be needed to complete the study.

Patient and Public Involvement
This research was done without patient involvement. Patients were
not invited to comment on the study design and were not consulted
to develop patient-relevant outcomes or interpret the results.
Patients were not invited to contribute to the writing or editing
of this document for readability or accuracy.

Method of Measurement
Participants were split into groups of two. To prevent bias, the pair
of medics were then taken to a private area where one member was
randomly selected to identify the correct anatomical location for
surgical cricothyrotomy using a tongue depressor on his/her partner
(Figure 1). The participants then switched roles and repeated the
process.

A study team member recorded the time from when the participant first touched the model to the time they identified
the anatomical location for the procedure. A board-certified or
board-eligible emergency medicine physician that is a member
of the study team then confirmed whether the tongue depressor
was in the correct location. Next, the placement of the tongue
depressor was photographed for secondary verification by another
study team member to ensure data collection veracity. No identi-
fying features were included in the photographs (eg, facial features,
tattoos, or name tapes). If the two study team members did not
agree upon whether the anatomic location was correct, then the
opinion from an additional physician was solicited.

In the next phase of study, the medic removed his/her shirt with
females specified to leave their sports bra on. A female study team
member was present when a female was the model. The medic
performing the identification was instructed to identify both
correct locations for chest NDC (second intercostal space
[ICS] mid-clavicular line and fourth ICS anterior axillary line).
Afterwards, the same data collection and photography procedures
as outlined above were repeated.
The medics received feedback on the accuracy of anatomical locations they selected to ensure the study provided an educational benefit.

All data were aggregated and de-identified to obtain further anonymity. All data collection forms were entered into an Excel Database (version 10; Microsoft Corp., Redmond, Washington USA). All data for analysis was exported into JMP Statistical Discovery from SAS (version 13; SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina USA). Participant characteristics were compared based upon the technique sequence to which they were assigned by randomization. Categorical variables are reported as numbers with percentages, ordinal variables as medians with interquartile ranges, and continuous variables as means with 95% confidence intervals.

### Results

A total of 69 participants were recruited, with one excluded for incomplete data. The paramedics had a range of six to 38 (median 14) years of experience (Table 1). There were 28 MTOs and 41 field paramedics. Sixty-six of the 68 participants were male.

Education level was variable, with 64 participants having completed a minimum of some college. Five participants attended graduate school.

Sixty-two of 69 participants reported they had never performed a cricothyrotomy on a patient. Thirteen of 69 participants reported they had never performed chest NDC on a patient. Questions on procedures performed included those on simulations and real-world patients.

Cricothyroidotomy location was correctly identified in 53 of 68 (77.9%) participants with a time to identification range of 2.0 to 38.2 (median 8.6) seconds. Chest NDC (mid-clavicular) location was correctly identified in 54 of 68 (79.4%) participants with a time to identification range of 3.4 to 25.0 (median 9.5) seconds. Chest NDC (anterior axillary) location was correctly identified in 43 of 68 (63.2%) participants with a time to identification range of 1.9 to 37.9 (median 9.6) seconds (Table 2).

Chi-squared (2-tail) analysis showed no difference in correct site identification between MTO and field paramedic in cricothyroidotomy site (P = .62), mid-clavicular chest NDC site (P = .21), or anterior axillary chest NDC site (P = .11). There was no difference in time to identification for any procedure between MTO and field paramedic (Table 3 and Table 4). In addition, t-test analysis showed there was no difference in success rates for any procedure when years of experience was compared.

### Discussion

The overall failure rate for the procedures ranged from 22.1% to 36.8%. This is well within the failure rates previously reported in literature, though this still represents a large portion of potentially missed therapeutic opportunities. Performing both cricothyrotomy and chest NDC requires correct identification of anatomical landmarks followed by procedural execution.

These data show that MTOs were not more accurate or quicker in identification of anatomical location for any of the procedures. There are multiple potential reasons for this. This fire department utilizes a cadaver anatomy laboratory in which recurrent training on procedures is performed for both MTOs and field paramedics. Anecdotally, participants acknowledged the usefulness and their perceived benefits of the cadaver training.

The data from this study can be used to further understand the performance capability and training standards for prehospital EMS personnel. Both MTOs and field paramedics were accurate and quick in identifying correct placement of cricothyroidotomy and chest NDC location sites. Recent procedural reviews have advocated for use of the anterior axillary NDC site due to decreased body mass compared to the mid-clavicular site in obese patients.

---

### Table 1. Participant Demographic Information

| Abbreviation: | NDC, needle decompression. |
|---------------|---------------------------|
| **Age (years)** | Minimum: 27, Maximum: 58, Average: 40 |
| **Years of Medic Experience** | Minimum: 6, Maximum: 38, Average: 14 |
| **Number of Surgical Airways Performed** | Minimum: 0, Maximum: 50, Average: 3 |
| **Number of Chest NDCs Performed** | Minimum: 1, Maximum: 50, Average: 10 |

---

### Table 2. Time to Identification and Accuracy of Surgical Airway and Chest NDC Sites by Combined MTOs and Field Paramedics

| Abbreviations: | ICS, intercostal space; MTO, medic training officer; NDC, needle decompression. |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Cricothyroid Membrane Identification** | Minimum: 2.00, Maximum: 38.27, Average: 8.63, Accurate Identification: 77.9% |
| **Chest NDC in 2nd ICS** | Minimum: 3.41, Maximum: 25.04, Average: 9.57, Accurate Identification: 78.3% |
| **Chest NDC in 4th ICS** | Minimum: 1.93, Maximum: 37.93, Average: 9.62, Accurate Identification: 63.2% |

---

### Table 3. Time to Identification and Accuracy of Surgical Airway and Chest NDC Sites by MTOs

| Abbreviations: | ICS, intercostal space; MTO, medic training officer; NDC, needle decompression. |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Cricothyroid Membrane Identification** | Minimum: 2.37, Maximum: 32.05, Average: 10.69, Accurate Identification: 75.0% |
| **Chest NDC in 2nd ICS** | Minimum: 3.46, Maximum: 23.79, Average: 11.28, Accurate Identification: 85.7% |
| **Chest NDC in 4th ICS** | Minimum: 2.98, Maximum: 21.42, Average: 11.49, Accurate Identification: 51.9% |

---

### Table 4. Time to Identification and Accuracy of Surgical Airway and Chest NDC Sites by Field Paramedics

| Abbreviations: | ICS, intercostal space; NDC, needle decompression. |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Cricothyroid Membrane Identification** | Minimum: 2.00, Maximum: 38.27, Average: 10.71, Accurate Identification: 80.0% |
| **Chest NDC in 2nd ICS** | Minimum: 3.41, Maximum: 25.04, Average: 10.32, Accurate Identification: 73.2% |
| **Chest NDC in 4th ICS** | Minimum: 1.93, Maximum: 37.93, Average: 10.51, Accurate Identification: 70.7% |
This study was not designed to show a difference in site identification accuracy; however, a reduced precision in the anterior axillary site identification was evident.

For procedures that are rarely performed, including cricothyrotomy and chest NDC, opportunities to review procedural skills are essential for successful patient care. This study was not designed or powered to evaluate for the specific reasons behind failure to accurately locate the anatomical site. Further studies are warranted to evaluate the maximum benefit of various training techniques. A device to assist in anatomical location identification could be beneficial.

Limitations
This study has several limitations. First, focus on two fire stations that have a medical special operations unit in an urban fire department resulted in a convenience sample of 69 majority male participants. However, this may limit the extrapolation of these results to EMS personnel at-large and these data likely underrepresents the misidentification rates. Moreover, only paramedic level and above were included – in rural areas emergency medical technicians (EMTs; formerly EMT-Basic) and advanced EMTs (formerly EMT-Intermediate) may have to perform these procedures or assist in their performance which could carry higher complication rates. In addition, some research has shown axillary ICS four and five to be safe and effective for thoracostomy; however, this study only evaluated the fourth ICS identification. A future study can expand upon the findings and include EMS personnel both in rural areas and other urban cities that have different training regimens. Second, since volunteers were used instead of standardized manikins, each participant had a different body habitus resulting in a variety of both neck circumference size and overall body mass. While this better represents the variety in the population for which they must perform this procedure, it may have also skewed the results. Additionally, the study population may underrepresent potential future patients that are extremely obese.

Conclusion
Both MTOs and field paramedics were quick in identifying correct placement of cricothyroidotomy and chest NDC location sites. While time to identification was clinically acceptable, there was also a significant proportion that did not identify the correct landmarks. These rates are within the range of previously reported failure rates. These data suggest that training and technology that helps identify the correct landmarks are needed over rapidity of the procedure.

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to thank Dr. Yimage Ahmed and Ms. Nguvan Uhaa.

References
1. Warner KJ, Copass MK, Bulger EM. Paramedic use of needle thoracostomy in the prehospital environment. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2008;12(2):162–168.
2. Schauer SG, Bellamy MA, Mahry RL, Beharta VS. A comparison of the incidence of cricothyrotomy in the deployed setting to the emergency department at a Level 1 military trauma center: a descriptive analysis. Mil Med. 2015;180(3 Suppl):60–63.
3. Hubble MW, Wilfong DA, Brown LH, Hertelendy A, Benner RW. A meta-analysis of prehospital airway control techniques part II: alternative airway devices and cricothyrotomy success rates. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2010;14(4):515–530.
4. Mabry RL. An analysis of battlefield cricothyrotomy in Iraq and Afghanistan. J Spec Oper Med. 2012;12(1):17–23.
5. Lesperance RN, Carroll CM, Aden JK, Young JB, Nunez TC. Failure rate of prehospital needle decompression for tension pneumothorax in trauma patients. Am Surg. 2018;84(11):1730–1735.
6. Wernick B, Hon HH, Mubang RN, et al. Complications of needle thoracostomy: a comprehensive clinical review. Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci. 2015;5(3):160–169.
7. Weichenthal L, Crane D, Ronk L. Needle thoracostomy in the prehospital setting: a retrospective observational study. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2016;20(3):399–403.
8. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. BMJ. 2007;335(764):806–808.