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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Accurate discrimination of orbital lymphoma from benign orbital lymphoproliferative disorders is crucial for treatment planning. We evaluated MR imaging including DWI and contrast-enhanced MR imaging for differentiating orbital lymphoma from benign orbital lymphoproliferative disorders.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Forty-seven histopathologically proved orbital lymphoproliferative disorders (29 orbital lymphomas and 18 benign orbital lymphoproliferative disorders) were evaluated. Two board-certified radiologists reviewed visual features on T1-weighted, fat-suppressed T2-weighted, diffusion-weighted, and contrast-enhanced MR images. For quantitative evaluation, ADC and contrast-enhancement ratio of all lesions were measured and optimal cutoff thresholds and areas under curves for differentiating orbital lymphoma from benign orbital lymphoproliferative disorders were determined using receiver operative characteristic analysis; corresponding sensitivities and specificities were calculated.

RESULTS: Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that ill-defined tumor margin ($P = .003$) had a significant association with orbital lymphoma whereas the “flow void sign” ($P = .005$) and radiologic evidence of sinusitis ($P = .0002$) were associated with benign orbital lymphoproliferative disorders. The mean ADC and contrast-enhancement ratio of orbital lymphomas were significantly lower than those of benign orbital lymphoproliferative disorders ($P < .01$). An ADC of less than $0.612 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}$ and a contrast-enhancement ratio of less than 1.88 yielded areas under curves of 0.980 and 0.770, sensitivity of 94.1% and 95.5%, and specificities of 93.3% and 80.0% for predicting orbital lymphoma, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: Some characteristic MR imaging features and quantitative DWI and contrast-enhanced MR imaging are useful in further improving the accuracy of MR imaging for differentiation of orbital lymphoma from benign orbital lymphoproliferative disorders.

ABBREVIATIONS: AUC = area under curve; CER = contrast-enhancement ratio; ICC = interclass correlation coefficient; MALT = extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue; OLPD = orbital lymphoproliferative disorder

Orbital lymphoproliferative disorders (OLPDs) frequently present as an orbital mass lesion (24%–49%) in the adult and comprise a wide spectrum of diseases ranging from benign to malignant lesions.1 Orbital lymphoma is the most common orbital neoplasm representing 55% of cases in adults.2 Most orbital lymphomas are primary, low-grade, B-cell, non-Hodgkin lymphomas, and the most common subtype is extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT).3 Other OLPDs comprise several benign, noninfectious, chronic inflammatory diseases, including IgG4-related ophthalmic disease, reactive lymphoid hyperplasia, and idiopathic orbital inflammation.4 Among them, IgG4-related ophthalmic disease is becoming increasingly recognized and accounts for approximately half of benign OPLDs on the basis of recent surveillance.5 The discrimination of orbital lymphoma from benign OLPDs is crucial because of the different therapeutic implications: the former is amenable to low-dose radiation therapy, whereas the latter are expected to show a good response to corticosteroid therapy.6 The utility of conventional anatomic MR imaging for this purpose is limited, however, because orbital lymphoma and benign OLPDs frequently share similar imaging features.7,8 Recently, some researchers have reported quantitative DWI with ADC measurements to be potentially useful for discriminating orbital lymphoma from other orbital tumors.9–15 However, these studies in-
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cluded other neoplastic and nonneoplastic lesions such as cavernous hemangiomas, neurogenic tumors, and metastases, and the value of DWI for discrimination of lymphoma and OLPDs remains unclear. The purpose of this study was to assess the value of MR imaging including DWI and contrast-enhanced MR imaging for the discrimination of primary orbital lymphoma from benign OLPDs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

The institutional review board of Tokyo Medical University approved this retrospective study. Written informed consent from patients was waived.

A total of 187 patients with an orbital mass lesion and whom had undergone surgical biopsy for pathologic diagnosis were identified in our hospital’s database within a consecutive period of 5 years (between January 2008 and September 2013). From these pathologically-proved cases, we retrospectively searched for eligible candidates for this study using the search terms “lymphoproliferative disorder including malignant lymphoma, IgG4-related ophthalmic disease, reactive lymphoid hyperplasia, and idiopathic orbital inflammation,” and 77 patients were identified. Of these 77 patients, 30 patients were excluded because of 1) non-availability of both DWI and contrast (gadolinium)-enhanced MR imaging (n = 16), 2) administration of corticosteroid therapy before MR imaging (n = 10), 3) a case of secondary lymphoma (n = 1), 4) a case with inconclusive pathology (n = 1), and 5) two cases with a single small lesion at the conjunctiva that did not allow for accurate ADC measurement. Finally, 47 patients (28 men and 19 women; mean age and standard deviation 66.6 ± 14.4 years; age range, 27–88 years) with 47 lesions (29 orbital lymphomas and 18 benign OLPDs) were enrolled in this study. Benign OLPDs consisted of IgG4-related ophthalmic disease (n = 14) and reactive lymphoid hyperplasia (n = 4). In the cohort, 27 patients had undergone both DWI and contrast-enhanced MR examinations, 5 patients had undergone only DWI, and 15 patients had undergone only contrast-enhanced MR imaging. All patients received the MR imaging before surgical biopsy.

MR Imaging

All MR examinations were performed using a 1.5T scanner (Avanto; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with high-performance gradients (maximum gradient strength of 45 mT/m; peak slew rate of 200 mT/m/s) and a 12-channel phased-array head coil allowing for parallel image acquisition.

Unenhanced MR images included 1) transverse and coronal T2-weighted fat-suppressed turbo spin-echo sequences (TR/TE of 3500 ms/96 ms, echo-train length of 7, section thickness of 3 mm, an intersection gap of 0.3 mm (10%), a field of view of 150 × 150 mm², matrix of 320 × 320, number of excitations of 2); 2) a transverse T1-weighted spin-echo sequence (TR/TE of 450 ms/12 ms, flip angle of 90, section thickness of 3 mm, an intersection gap of 0.3 mm (10%), field of view of 150 × 150 mm², matrix of 512 × 512, number of excitations of 2); and 3) a transverse diffusion-weighted sequence with b-values of 0 and 1000 seconds/mm² (TR/TE of 6836 ms/68 ms, section thickness of 2 mm, an intersection gap of 0.2 mm (10%), a field of view of 280 × 280 mm², matrix of 256 × 208, number of excitations of 6–8). Parallel imaging acquisition with a reduction factor of 2 was applied for DWI. Diffusion motion probing gradients were applied in 3 orthogonal directions and trace images were obtained using the manufacturer’s software. Voxel-based ADC maps were created by means of a commercial workstation on the MR console using a linear regression analysis of the function $S = S_0 \times \exp (-b \times ADC)$, where $S$ is the signal intensity after the applied motion probing gradients and $S_0$ is the signal intensity at $b = 0$ seconds/mm². Two b-values (0 and 1000 seconds/mm²) were used for the ADC calculation. After intravenous administration of an extracellular gadolinium-based contrast agent (0.2 mL/kg), transverse, coronal, and sagittal contrast-enhanced fat-saturated T1-weighted sequences (TR/TE of 632 ms/12 ms, flip angle of 90, section thickness of 3 mm, intersection gap of 0.3 mm [10%], a field of view of 150 × 150 mm², matrix of 512 × 512, number of excitations of 2) were obtained.

Qualitative MR Imaging Features Analysis

All MR images were reviewed by 2 board-certified radiologists with 19 and 9 years of experience, respectively, who were blinded to the clinical information and histologic results, using a PACS. Consensus between the 2 readers was reached by means of an additional joint reading session. The following items were evaluated: 1) the laterality (unilateral/bilateral), 2) the shape of the margins (well-defined, ill-defined [infiltrative], and lobulated) and signal intensity on T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and diffusion-weighted images relative to cerebral cortex (hypointense, iso-, or hyperintense), 3) the homogeneity and degree of contrast enhancement relative to extraocular muscles, 4) the presence of a signal void from a vessel in the lesion on T2-weighted images, referred to as the “flow void sign,” and 5) findings indicative of sinusitis; for this purpose, the readers comprehensively considered the following criteria: 1) significant paranasal mucosal thickness (>4 mm), 2) fluid level, and 3) the presence of a retention cyst at each paranasal cavity.

Quantitative DWI and Contrast-Enhanced MR Imaging Analyses

Regions of interest for ADC measurements and contrast-enhancement ratio (CER) calculation were determined by an ophthalmologist assisted by a radiologist with 20 years of clinical experience. Both observers were blinded to the pathologic results. On all lesions, a circular region of interest was placed over the entire lesion on “diffusion-weighted” images obtained with a b-value of 0 seconds/mm², while avoiding artifacts and in reference to the other sequences. This region of interest was then copied and pasted onto the ADC maps and the corresponding ADCs were measured. ADC measurements were repeated 3 times for each lesion and the mean was calculated for further statistical analysis. To calculate the CER, the signal intensities of the lesions and temporal muscle were measured for each patient on transverse fat-saturated contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images. The signal intensity of the temporal muscle was measured by a circular region of interest while avoiding the artifacts. The CERs were calculated as follows: $CER = \frac{SI_{lesion}}{SI_{temporal~muscle}}$, where $SI_{lesion}$ and $SI_{temporal~muscle}$ are the signal intensity of the lesion and the temporal muscle on contrast-enhanced
MR images, respectively.17 To confirm the reproducibility of both ADC and CRE measurements for the intraobserver agreement analysis, 3 repeated measurements were conducted with a week interval between each set of measurements.

Pathologic Diagnosis
Pathologic diagnosis of all OLPDs was established using histopathologic features including immunohistochemical staining, flow cytometric analysis, and gene rearrangement analysis according to the latest World Health Organization18 criteria in 2008. Flow cytometric and gene rearrangement analyses were used to identify a monoclonal immunoglobulin band for the diagnosis of orbital lymphoma. For the diagnosis of IgG4-related ophthalmic disease, the following 2 main criteria were adopted: 1) serum IgG4 concentration >135 mg/dl, and 2) >40% of IgG4 positive plasma cells and >10 cells/high-power field in the biopsy sample.18 The distributions of B- and T-cells in a lesion were evaluated by immunohistochemical staining, where a normal distribution of these cells was considered to indicate reactive lymphoid hyperplasia.

Statistical Analysis
All OLPDs were divided into 2 groups: orbital lymphoma and benign OLPDs. The differences in mean age and sex distinction between the 2 groups were assessed by a t-test and a Fisher exact test, respectively. The results of the qualitative MR features between the 2 groups were compared using a χ² test. The parameters found to have statistical significance by univariate analysis were entered into a multivariate logistic regression model to elucidate the useful findings for predicting orbital lymphoma or benign OLPDs. Differences in mean lesion ADCs and CERs between the 2 groups were assessed using a t-test with a Welch correction. Receiver operating characteristic analysis with multiple logistic regression was used to calculate areas under the ROC curve (AUC) and optimal cutoff thresholds of ADC and CER for differentiating orbital lymphoma from benign OLPDs, along with corresponding sensitivities, specificities, and positive and negative predictive values. The intrarater reliability was calculated by an interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) using a random effect analysis of variance. Agreement between the 2 readers with regard to the assessment of qualitative MR features was analyzed using the κ statistic. Differences in mean region of interest size in ADC and CER measurements between the 2 groups were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney test. The P values <.05 were considered to indicate a significant difference.

RESULTS
Patient Characteristics, Pathologic Findings, Disease Distribution
Patient characteristics, pathologic subtypes, and disease distribution are summarized in Table 1. Age of the patients with orbital lymphoma was significantly higher than those with benign OLPDs (P = .001) whereas no significant difference in sex distribution was observed between the 2 groups (P = .763). Most orbital lymphomas and benign OLPDs were MALT lymphoma (21/29, 72%) and IgG4-related ophthalmic disease (14/18, 78%), respectively. Most orbital lymphomas had unilateral involvement (17/29, 59%), whereas most benign OLPDs had bilateral involvement (15/18, 83%).

Qualitative MR Imaging Features
The qualitative MR imaging features of orbital lymphoma and benign OLPDs are summarized in Table 2. Most orbital lymphomas and benign OLPDs appeared as ill-defined masses (21/29, 72%) and well-defined masses (10/18, 56%), respectively, and a significant difference was observed between the 2 groups (P = .006). The lesion signal of orbital lymphoma and benign OLPDs on unenhanced MR imaging was similar, and most lesions showed isointensity on T1-weighted and T2-weighted images and

### Table 1: Patient characteristics and pathologic findings of OLPD cases

| Distribution | Orbital Lymphoma (n = 29) | Benign OLPDs (n = 18) | P Value |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Age (y)      | 72.2 ± 11.3 (47–88)       | 57.6 ± 14.7 (27–80)   | .001a  |
| Sex          | Male, Female              | Male, Female          | .763   |
| Histologic subtypes | MALT lymphoma 21 (72)       | IgG4-related ophthalmic disease 14 (78) |
| Laterality   | Bilateral 12 (41)         | Bilateral 15 (83)     | .006a  |

Table 2: MR imaging characteristics

| Distribution | Orbital Lymphoma (n = 29) | Benign OLPDs (n = 18) | P Value |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Shape        | Well-defined 7 (24)       | Well-defined 10 (56)  | .0006a |
| Signal intensity | Ill-defined 21 (72)       | Ill-defined 3 (17)    |        |
| TIWI         | Iso 28 (97)               | Iso 18 (100)          | .2548  |
| Low 1 (3)    | Iso 27 (93)               | Iso 16 (89)           | .6147  |
| T2WI         | Iso 17 (100)              | Iso 14 (93)           | .2794  |
| DWI          | Inhomogeneous 23 (85)     | Homogeneous 15 (100)  | .2787  |
| Homogeneity and degree of contrast enhancement | Inhomogeneous 4 (15) | Homogeneous 15 (100) | .0519  |
| Presence of “flow void sign” | Iso 27 (100) | Iso 27 (100) | .0084a |
| Findings suggestive of sinusitis | 8 (28) | 16 (89) | <.001a |

Note:—Data in parentheses are ranges for age and percentages for histologic subtypes and laterality. DLBCL indicates diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; RLH, reactive lymphoid hyperplasia.

Note:—Data in parentheses are ranges for age and percentages for histologic subtypes and laterality. DLBCL indicates diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; RLH, reactive lymphoid hyperplasia.

* There were significant differences in age and laterality between the 2 groups.
hyperintensity on diffusion-weighted images, relative to cerebral cortex (Figs 1–3). In the 2 benign OLPDs showing hypointensity on T2-weighted images, abundant fibrotic components (sclerotic type) were histopathologically identified. After gadolinium-based contrast administration, most orbital lymphomas and all benign OLPD lesions showed homogeneous contrast enhancement (23/27, 85% for orbital lymphomas and 15/15, 100% for benign OLPDs) and their signal intensities were similar to those of the nonaffected external ocular muscles (Figs 1–3). The “flow void sign” (Fig 4) was identified significantly more frequently (P = .008) in benign OLPDs (17/18, 94%) than in orbital lymphoma (17/29, 59%). Radiologic sinusitis findings were also seen significantly more often (P < .001) in benign OLPDs (16/18, 89%) than in orbital lymphoma (8/29, 28%). Upon multivariate logistic regression analysis, ill-defined tumor margin (P = .003) was a significant predictor of orbital lymphoma, whereas the “flow void sign” (P = .005) and radiologic sinusitis (P = .0002) were predictors of benign OLPDs. The reader agreement for the
assessment of qualitative MR imaging features was good to excellent (0.627–1.00): shape, 0.856; T1WI signal, 0.849; T2WI signal, 0.877; DWI signal, 1.00; degree of contrast enhancement, 0.916; homogeneity of contrast enhancement, 0.627; flow void sign, 0.787; radiologic sinusitis, 0.872. Additional joint reading sessions had to be conducted to obtain the consensus in up to a maximum of 4 cases for each qualitative MR imaging feature.

Quantitative DWI and Contrast-Enhanced MR Imaging Analyses

The ADCs and CERs of orbital lymphoma and benign OLPDs, including their histologic subtypes, are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. The mean ADC and CER of orbital lymphoma (0.544 × 10⁻³ mm²/s and 1.70) were significantly lower (P < .01) than those of benign OLPDs (0.812 × 10⁻³ mm²/s and 2.07) (Fig 5). The standard deviation of the ADC of orbital lymphomas was lower (±0.051) compared with that of benign OLPDs (±0.246), and ADCs of only 2 benign OLPDs overlapped with those of orbital lymphomas in the ADC range, whereas CERs of both entities showed considerable overlap though a significant difference was observed in their mean CERs (Fig 5). Based upon the ROC analysis, an optimal ADC threshold of less than 0.612 × 10⁻³ mm²/s yielded an AUC, sensitivity, and specificity of 0.980, 94.1%, and 93.3%, and a positive and negative predictive value of 94.1% and 93.3% for predicting orbital lymphoma. Meanwhile, an optimal CER threshold of less than 1.88 yielded an AUC, sensitivity, and specificity of 0.770, 95.5%, and 80.0%, and a positive and negative predictive value of 87.5% and 92.3% for diagnosing orbital lymphoma. In benign OLPDs, a lower ADC and CER below each optimal threshold (false-positive lesion) were observed with 1 lesion in the ADC and 3 lesions in the CER, respectively. The 1 benign OLPD lesion showing a lower ADC demonstrated pathologically a relatively higher cellular attenuation, less edematous change, and a mild to moderate fibrosis. In the 3 benign OLPD lesions showing a lower CER, fibrotic changes were less prominent than in the other benign OLPDs, whereas the orbital lymphomas showing a higher CER (≥2.0) had a tendency to have higher vascularization on pathology. Intraobserver reliabilities were almost perfect in the repeated ADC and CER measurements: ADC in orbital lymphoma (ICC = 0.98, P < .001), ADC in benign OLPDs (ICC = 0.99, P < .001), CER in orbital lymphoma (ICC = 0.97, P < .001), and CER in benign OLPDs (ICC = 0.98, P < .001). The region of interest sizes for ADC and CER measurements ranged from 34.6 to 221.4 mm² (mean, 69.6 mm²) and from 22.1 to 268.2 mm² (mean, 85.2 mm²), respectively. The mean region of interest sizes for both ADC and CER measurements in benign OLPDs (59.3 mm² in ADC, 61.6 mm² in CER) were smaller than those in orbital lymphomas (78.7 mm² in ADC, 98.3 mm² in CER) though no significant differences were observed.

DISCUSSION

In agreement with previous results, we found that the mean ADC of orbital lymphomas (0.544 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) was signifi-
cantly lower ($P < .001$) than that of benign OLPDs ($0.812 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s). It is thought to reflect a higher cellularity in orbital lymphoma lesions because of numerous uniformly small-sized atypical lymphocyte infiltrations. Moreover, interstitial edema-tous changes in benign OLPDs, which lead to increased ADC, could also contribute to a significant difference in the ADCs. It is important to note that previous studies mainly included other benign and malignant orbital neoplastic lesions rather than inflammatory orbital lesions. Sepahdari et al reported that an ADC of less than $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s was optimal for predicting malignancy and Politi et al indicated that a threshold of $0.775 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s was optimal for predicting orbital lymphoma. Therefore, their reported optimal ADC may be unfit for differentiating orbital lymphoma from benign OLPDs because these ADC values are generally lower than those of benign OLPDs found in this study. In contrast to these previous studies, the present study focused on the differentiation of orbital lymphoma from benign OLPDs and we found that a lower ADC threshold of $0.612 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s is more optimal for discriminating between these 2 entities with sufficiently high diagnostic capability (AUC of 0.980). In addition, it should be noted that ADC measurements can be affected by the MR acquisition parameters and magnetic field strength. In the study by Sepahdari et al, patients were examined at different magnetic field strengths (1.5T and 3T). Image distortion due to susceptibility artifacts, which could affect the ADC measurement error, occurs more easily with high field strength. Orbital lesions are frequently in close proximity to normal orbital organs such as the eyeball, which could cause ROI measurement error because of contamination from the nonlesional signal in the scanning section. Moreover, orbital lesions are sometimes of small size. For example, if a lesion is localized in the lac- rimal gland, it is usually smaller than other orbital lesions. Thus, scanning with thinner section thickness is crucial to reduce the effect of the partial-volume effect on the ADC measurements. In the present study, we used a high b-value (1000 seconds/mm$^2$) and a thinner section thickness (2 mm) for DWI to minimize perfusion and partial volume effects. Thus, our ADC values were globally lower than those found in previous investigations. In the present study, orbital lymphomas had highly homogeneous ADCs with a low standard deviation, which is in line with previously reported data, and ADCs of only 2 benign OLPD lesions had values

### Table 3: ADCs of each group of OLPDs

| Group                                | Mean ADC ± SD | ADC range | $P$ Value |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Orbital lymphoma (n = 27)            | 1.70 ± 0.25  | 1.27–2.24 | $P = .0096^a$ |
| MALT lymphoma (n = 20)               | 1.72 ± 0.24  | 1.27–2.24 |
| DLBCL (n = 5)                        | 1.36–1.71    |           |
| Follicular lymphoma (n = 3)          | 1.76–1.94    |           |
| Mantle cell lymphoma (n = 1)         | 1.55         |           |
| Benign OLPDs (n = 15)                | 2.07 ± 0.46  | 1.27–3.03 |
| IgG4-related ophthalmic disease (n = 11) | 1.27–3.03  |           |
| RLH (n = 4)                          | 1.66–2.14    |           |

Note: There were significant differences in ADC between the 2 groups. SD indicates standard deviation; DLBCL diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; RLH reactive lymphoid hyperplasia.

### Table 4: CERs of each group of OLPDs

| Group                                | Mean CER ± SD | CER Range | $P$ Value |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Orbital lymphoma (n = 27)            | 1.70 ± 0.25  | 1.27–2.24 | $P = .0096^a$ |
| MALT lymphoma (n = 20)               | 1.72 ± 0.24  | 1.27–2.24 |
| DLBCL (n = 5)                        | 1.36–1.71    |           |
| Follicular lymphoma (n = 3)          | 1.76–1.94    |           |
| Mantle cell lymphoma (n = 1)         | 1.55         |           |
| Benign OLPDs (n = 15)                | 2.07 ± 0.46  | 1.27–3.03 |
| IgG4-related ophthalmic disease (n = 11) | 1.27–3.03  |           |
| RLH (n = 4)                          | 1.66–2.14    |           |

* There were significant differences in CER between the 2 groups. SD indicates standard deviation; DLBCL diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; RLH reactive lymphoid hyperplasia.
that overlapped with the orbital lymphoma lesions. The mean CER of orbital lymphoma (1.70) was significantly lower \( (P = .0096) \) than that of benign OLPDs (2.07), which could be used as an additional valuable index for the diagnosis of orbital lymphoma. Abundant fibrotic components and higher vascularization in benign OLPDs compared with orbital lymphoma may be responsible for the higher CER of benign OLPDs. However, CERs of both entities showed considerable overlap though a significant difference was observed in the mean CER between the 2 entities. We also confirmed the reproducibility of the measured ADC and CER values with almost perfect ICC as previously reported. 22,23 Ill-defined tumor margin had a significant association with orbital lymphoma in present study. In addition, some characteristic conventional MR imaging features were found to be potentially useful for the differential diagnosis of OLPDs in the present study. The presence of a vessel signal void on T2-weighted MR imaging (“flow void sign”) (Fig 4) was observed significantly more frequently \( (P = .008) \) in benign OLPDs (17/18, 94%) than in orbital lymphoma (17/29, 59%), probably because of the hypervascular nature of the former. 22,23 As 1 report indicated, an extension of inflammatory changes to the mucosa of nasal and paranasal cavities can occur in IgG4-related disease; 24 we also found that imaging findings indicative of sinusitis were seen significantly more frequently \( (P < .001) \) in benign OLPDs (16/18, 89%) than in orbital lymphoma (8/29, 28%). In addition to the intrinsic limitation of a retrospective study, this study had other limitations that should be noted. First, the number of included patients was relatively low. Further large-scale studies are needed to confirm the present results. Second, in addition to the confirmation of intraobserver reliability with regard to the ADC and CER measurement, assessing interobserver agreement of radiologist versus ophthalmologist would be of interest. Third, further optimization of b-values for DWI in this application may be needed. One researcher adopted a low b-value of 500 seconds/mm². 25 Although low high b-values may provide higher signal-to-noise ratio and reduce eddy current artifacts, the inclusion of perfusion effects in ADC measurements could limit their value for discriminating orbital lymphoma from IgG4-related ophthalmic disease because the measured ADCs of both entities showed considerable overlap because of the variation of the lesion vascularity. 25 Therefore, we believe that the use of a high b-value of 1000 seconds/mm² is crucial for accurately distinguishing orbital lymphoma from benign OLPDs with DWI.

CONCLUSIONS
Some characteristic conventional MR imaging features and quantitative evaluations with DWI and contrast-enhanced MR imaging are useful for discriminating orbital lymphoma from benign OLPDs.
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