Carbazole-Based Tetrapodal Anchor Groups for Gold Surfaces: Synthesis and Conductance Properties
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Abstract: As the field of molecular-scale electronics matures and the prospect of devices incorporating molecular wires becomes more feasible, it is necessary to progress from the simple anchor groups used in fundamental conductance studies to more elaborate anchors designed with device stability in mind. This study presents a series of oligo(phenylene-ethynylene) wires with one tetrapodal anchor and a phenyl or pyridyl head group. The new anchors are designed to bind strongly to gold surfaces without disrupting the conductance pathway of the wires. Conductive probe atomic force microscopy (cAFM) was used to determine the conductance of self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of the wires in Au-SAM–Pt and Au-SAM–graphene junctions, from which the conductance per molecule was derived. For tolane-type wires, mean conductances per molecule of up to 10−4.37 G0 (Pt) and 10−3.01 G0 (graphene) were measured, despite limited electronic coupling to the Au electrode, demonstrating the potential of this approach. Computational studies of the surface binding geometry and transport properties rationalise and support the experimental results.

Introduction

Anchor groups fulfill a critical role in materials designed to assemble on surfaces.[1] In the field of molecular-scale electronics, they serve as contact points between conductive molecules and electrode surfaces. The strength of binding interactions and extent of electronic coupling between an anchor group and an electrode are important factors when designing conductive organic materials.[2] For fundamental studies, an anchor able to form a conductive junction with a lifetime longer than a molecular conductance experiment is adequate. However, when working towards the goal of functional devices based on conductive molecules,[2] such as thermoelectric generators[3] and Peltier coolers,[4] strengthening the interactions between these molecules and electrode surfaces is necessary to achieve effective device lifetime and performance. Improved anchor groups can also result in more ordered surface assemblies.

Thiols, thioethers, and pyridines, amongst others, are common anchor groups in fundamental molecular conductance studies using gold surfaces.[5] Recently, several new anchor groups have been designed to exhibit increased affinity for gold surfaces, with various applications.[6–8] Some examples pertinent to molecular electronics are shown in Figure S1.29 in the Supporting Information (SI). In many cases, these anchors are tetrapodal with three conventional anchor groups working together to achieve enhanced surface binding, akin to the chelate effect. Surface-π interactions can contribute additionally to this binding. Tetrapodal anchors often incorporate an sp3-hybridised carbon centre to induce the desired geometry. High molecular conductance is associated with conjugation,[9] meaning that sp3-hybridisation is considered undesirable in conductive molecular backbones, although a study of a pyridine-anchored tripod describes contrasting results.[10] Positioning the sp3-centre outside the conductance pathway of the molecule can avoid any impact on conductance.[11] An additional feature of larger anchors of this type is that they increase the spacing between conductive molecular backbones and thereby prevent intermolecular interactions.[12]

In this work, we present a new tetrapodal anchoring motif designed to bind strongly to gold surfaces and form ordered self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) with well-spaced conductive backbones. Inspired by tripodal systems,[5b, 5c, 5e] multiple anchoring points are incorporated into our design to ensure efficient surface binding. In contrast to many current approaches, we aimed to separate these additional anchoring points from the conductive backbone of the molecule and avoid sp3-hybridisation in the conductive pathway. We note that while this study focuses on the molecular electronics applications of our new anchoring motif, it may also prove adaptable as a useful scaffold for other applications, e.g. gold surface-assemblies of optoelectronic materials,[9] switches[9c] or polymerisation initiators.[9d]

Results and Discussion

Taking the ubiquitous oligo(phenylene-ethynylene) (OPE) backbone as a starting point, we selected the meta-positions with regard to the conductive backbone as an ideal point to incorporate additional anchoring functionality. Conductance through a meta-conjugated pathway is considerably lower than the favoured para-conjugated pathway due to destructive quantum interference (QI) effects.[2b, 9] Therefore, any additional meta-functionalisation should contribute minimally to the conductance of the molecule. Inspired in part by the spirolifluorene tripods reported by the Mayor group,[5b, 5e] carbazole was selected as the basis of the additional anchors. This choice further disfavours any conductance through the poorly conducting meta-positions as steric factors should induce a significant twist between the backbone and carbazole π-systems, reducing conjugation...
between them. Furthermore, this twist should help to direct the conjugated backbone away from the surface. In addition to any interactions between its π-electrons and the surface, carbazole can be readily functionalised in the 3- and 6-positions, allowing convenient incorporation of additional binding functionality.

To test this design the model compound 1 was initially prepared (see SI, Section 1.6). The single crystal X-ray structure (Figure 1a) confirmed the expected twist between the backbone and the carbazole units. To investigate the anchoring properties of the unit a series of OPE2 type molecules (where 2 refers to the number of aryl rings in the backbone) were then synthesised, each with one tetrapodal unit (anchor) and one simple terminal aryl group (head). Two anchors were proposed, with either a central benzene (X = CH) or pyridine (X = N) ring, in each case functionalised with two 3,6-bis(methylthio)carbazole units, i.e. four thiomethyl groups per anchoring unit. The thiomethyl anchor groups were preferred to acetylated thiols to ensure compatibility with the synthetic route and to reduce synthetic complexity. The use of multiple thiethers has been reported to result in strong anchoring to a gold surface, where each thiether makes a contribution comparable to that expected from a thiol. For the benzene systems, anchoring is expected from only the functionalised carbazole subunits, whereas the pyridyl nitrogen is able to interact additionally with the gold surface. Three head groups were investigated, namely benzene, p-pyridine and m-pyridine. The benzene head group has no defined anchor point and should therefore interact poorly with metallic electrodes. Benzene should, however, be able to interact with graphic surfaces through π-π overlap at appropriate contact angles. Both p- and m-pyridyl head groups should bind to metallic electrodes through the nitrogen lone pair, with the para derivative expected to show a higher molecular conductance than the meta derivative due to Q1 effects. The structures of these species are shown in Scheme 1. Our naming convention is to indicate the anchor unit (B or P) followed by the head unit (B, BP or mP), e.g. BPp is the compound with a benzene-based anchor and a para-pyridyl head.

A key building block is the thiomethoxy-substituted carbazole derivative 2. Although it has been previously reported, limited experimental details and characterisation data are available. We developed an alternative synthesis using 3,6-diiodocarbazole[12] as the precursor. After TBDMS-protection of the N-position, thiomethoxy substituents were introduced via lithiation followed by treatment with dimethyl disulfide, although optimisation was required to minimise by-product formation and achieve practical yields (see SI, Section 1.7). Straightforward deprotection of the TBDMS group using TBAF afforded 2. By using nucleophilic aromatic substitution (SAr) reactions it was then possible to prepare the benzene- and pyridine-based scaffolds 3 and 4 (Scheme 1). Both are functionalised with an iodide group as a convenient synthetic handle for subsequent synthetic transformations. This is an advantage of the SAr route; alternatives based on metal-catalysed coupling reactions would have a higher potential for by-product formation and would either rely on statistical reactions or utilise a less-reactive bromide group as the resulting synthetic handle. A disadvantage of the SAr approach is that it is poorly compatible with central rings bearing electron-donating substituents, as these disfavour SAr reactions.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of tetrapodal molecular wires. Reagents and conditions: a) Cs2CO3, DMF, 100 °C, 17 h; b) K2CO3, DMSO, 70 °C, 2 h; c) CuI, Pd(PPh3)4Cl2, THF, DIPEA, RT, 80–120 min. For the tetrapodal molecular wires, the anchoring unit is coloured red, and the head unit blue (note that for B(OPE1)pP the head unit pyridine ring also forms part of the anchor group).
With the iodide species in hand, the targeted library of asymmetric molecular wires was prepared using Sonogashira protocols (Scheme 1). For comparison in the conductance studies, a series of analogous OPE2 derivatives were also prepared with a simple (protected) thiol anchor (denoted with $S$ in our naming convention) and the three head groups used in the tetrapodal series (See SI, Section 1.8). To probe the effect of changes to the length of the conductive backbone, the shorter (B(OPE1))$_P$ and longer (B(OPE3))$_P$ analogues of Bpp (Scheme 1) were also prepared. They differ by the effective subtraction or addition of a phenylethynyl unit into the conductive OPE2 backbone.

Single crystal X-ray structures were obtained for model compound 1 and for BmP. For 1, the torsion angles between the central benzene ring and the two carbazole units are 56° and 60° (Figure 1a), whereas for BmP, which showed noticeable structural disorder in the positions of the pyridyl-nitrogen and the thiomethyl groups (see SI, Section 2.1), these angles are 55° and 39° (Figure 1b). Using the SIESTA package, density functional theory (DFT) simulations (see SI, Section 3.1) of model, alkyne-terminated systems in the gas phase gave corresponding angles of 38° and 53° for a benzene (X = CH) base (Figure 1c) and 24° and 29° for a pyridine (X = N) base (Figure 1d). The reduced torsion in the latter can be attributed to reduced steric hindrance. H-bonding interactions may also contribute (see SI, Section 2.2). In all cases, to differing extents, the designed twist is observed between the carbazole and OPE π-systems, which should reduce the influence of the carbazole units on the conductive backbone. DFT was also used to simulate the assembly of these model systems on Au(111) surfaces. As shown in Figures 1e and 1f, the two carbazole units splay out to lie essentially flat on the gold surface (indicative of favourable surface-π interactions), with the OPE backbone protruding at an angle. The angle between the gold surface and the conductive backbone is 48° for a benzene base and 37° for a pyridine base. Therefore, little conjugation is expected between the conductive backbone and the carbazole units in this conformation.

The binding energies of these conformers to a Au(111) surface, calculated using the counterpoise correction method,[15] are −1.86 eV (X = CH) and −1.68 eV (X = N). These values suggest that the pyridine nitrogen atom does not enhance surface binding (at least in this conformation), and in fact results in slightly weaker surface interactions than the benzene analogue. The binding energies of some simple, conventional anchors were reported previously using the counterpoise method.[16] Comparison with these values shows that both tetrapodal anchors have considerably higher binding energies than amine (−0.30 eV), nitrile (−0.41 eV), dihydrobenzothiophene (−0.41 eV) and pyridine (−0.50 eV) anchors, and have enhanced binding compared to thiols (−1.51 eV). A significant increase in binding energy is also observed in comparison to phosphine-based tripodal anchors with three thiomethyl anchoring groups (ca. −1 eV).[5] The adsorption energies of triptycene tripods with three thiol anchors, which account for loss of hydrogen upon binding to a gold surface, were found to be −1.62 eV (for less flexible aryl thiols) and −2.67 eV (for more flexible benzylic thiols).[50] Our tetrapodal anchors perform comparably to the former case despite using thiomethyl anchors rather than thiols. Although it binds strongly, the latter triptycene design includes sp²-carbons so is likely poorly suited to molecular electronics applications.

The properties of SAMs of these molecules on Au(111) surfaces were assessed using a range of techniques. Details of the preparation of SAMs, characterisation methods and associated images can be found in the SI (Section 2.3). Atomic force microscope (AFM) imaging showed that the SAMs were densely packed films with uniform structures in the range of 0.5−1 nm. The thickness of the SAMs was determined using a nano-scratching method. The averaged film thickness for the OPE2 molecules was in the range of 0.6−0.65 nm, and for OPE3 derivative B(OPE3))$_P$ it was 0.9 ± 0.04 nm. These values correspond to tilting angles of ca. 35° between the OPE backbones and the substrate surface, in reasonable agreement with the DFT calculations (Figures 1e and 1f). SAMs of the model compound 1 could not be prepared on gold, showing that the thiomethyl groups play a critical role in surface assembly. DFT simulations confirm this, showing stepwise increases in binding energy as thiomethyl groups are sequentially added to the carbazole-based anchoring motif (see SI, Section 3.1 for further discussion).

The density of molecules on the gold substrate was determined using reductive desorption and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) measurements. Reductive desorption allowed the molecular area to be calculated based on the charge density of the desorption peak, under the assumption that four electrons correspond to desorption of a single molecule (i.e., one electron per thiomethyl anchor group). A second cycle of
desorption confirmed that all molecules were desorbed from the surface in the first sweep (SI, Figure S2.07). BB and PB were investigated as representative molecules and had estimated molecular occupation areas of 265 Å² and 300 Å², respectively. This agrees well with a DFT-estimated molecular footprint of 265 Å² for either benzene- or pyridine-based tetrapods (see SI, Section 3.1). The desorption potential of benzene-based material BB was −0.57 V vs. SCE, whereas for PB the desorption potential was −0.67 V vs. SCE. In this case, the pyridine-based anchoring unit appears to provide a small additional anchoring effect compared to the benzene-based analogue. Although this appears to disagree with the DFT-calculated binding energies stated above, we note that the methods may not be directly comparable as reductive desorption relates to electrochemical stability, whereas the binding energies relate to adsorption. QCM measurements (see SI, Section 2.5) gave estimated molecular areas around 30% lower than those derived from reductive desorption. AFM imaging of the QCM substrate (SI, Figure S2.09) showed that the surface was much rougher than those used in the other measurements and was therefore likely to result in underestimation of molecular areas. As the substrate used for reductive desorption is comparable to those used in conductance studies, we believe this is the more reliable method for determining molecular area in this case.

Table 1. Summary of values derived from reductive desorption and quartz crystal microbalance studies

| Molecule | Desorption Potential / V vs. SCE | Molecular area / Å² (Reductive Desorption) | Molecular area / Å² (QCM) |
|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| BB       | −0.57                           | 265                                       | 187                       |
| PB       | −0.67                           | 301                                       | 220                       |

Investigations of the conductance of molecules with multiple binding sites using single-molecule junction techniques can be challenging, as various junction configurations are possible. This generally results in complex conductance data from which it can be difficult to determine the contributions of different configurations. To avoid this complication, the conductance properties of the tetrapodal wires were investigated using conductive probe AFM (cAFM). The analysis of SAMs of the tetrapods indicated that the molecules were binding to the gold surface with all four thiomethyl groups in the designed manner. Therefore, it was expected that the protruding head groups would be contacted with the cAFM probe and afford the desired Au–anchor–head–probe junction configuration. A further advantage of cAFM is that measuring the conductance of SAMs should be more representative of potential large-area devices than single-molecule methods.

Electrical maps obtained via cAFM revealed that, in general, the SAMs showed very good electrical uniformity. However, the electrical uniformity of SAMs of tetrapods with pyridine bases was poorer than that of SAMs of benzene-based analogues prepared under the same conditions; there are clearly some uncovered regions in the electrical map in the pyridine case (c.f. PP and BB, SI, Figure S2.11). The electrical conductance of the SAMs was determined through cAFM IV measurements using both Pt- and graphene-coated probes (See SI, Section 2.6). After gently approaching the surface with a new cAFM probe, the contact force was set to 2 nN and the bias voltage was swept (typically from −1 V to +1 V) at least 20 randomly selected locations on the sample surface (Figures 2c, S2.12, S2.13 and S2.15). At least 3 IV sweeps were conducted at each location and used to calculate the differential conductance of the junction (Gₐ). The number of molecular junctions formed between the probe and the substrate was estimated using the Hertz model[17] (see SI, Section 2.6). This allowed the conductance contribution of a single molecule (Gₛ) to be calculated for each IV curve. At least 60 IV curves were measured for each molecule, giving a distribution of Gₛ values (Figures 2a, 2b, S2.14 and S2.16). The mean Gₛ values for each molecule are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of mean single-molecule conductance (Gₛ) values derived from cAFM measurements (uncertainties are standard deviations).

| Molecule | Pt probe | Graphene Probe |
|----------|----------|----------------|
|          | Gₛ / nS  | log(Gₛ/G₀)     |
| BB       | 0.89 (±0.07) | −4.94         | 4.5 (±0.38) | −4.24 |
| PB       | 1.36 (±0.14) | −4.76         | 6.5 (±0.89) | −4.08 |
| PP       | 2.8 (±0.15)  | −4.44         | 8.6 (±0.94) | −3.95 |
| PnP      | 3.3 (±0.36)  | −4.37         | 12.9 (±1.02) | −3.78 |
| MnP      | 0.49 (±0.03) | −5.20         | 2.5 (±0.22)  | −4.49 |
| BP       | 0.97 (±0.10) | −4.90         | 4.1 (±0.41)  | −4.28 |
| B(OPE1)P | 29.5 (±2.16) | −3.42         | -            | -     |
| B(OPE3)P | 0.047 (±0.01) | −6.22         | -            | -     |
| SB       | 6.4 (±0.58)  | −4.08         | -            | -     |
| SpP      | 12.8 (±1.25) | −3.78         | -            | -     |
| SmP      | 5.2 (±0.47)  | −4.17         | -            | -     |

Using either Pt- or graphene-coated probes, for a given head group, the average molecular conductance, Gₘ, of a pyridine-based wire is higher than that of the equivalent benzene-based wire. However, the conductance distribution of pyridine-based wires tends to be broader than that of the benzene-based analogues (Figures 2a and S2.14 in the SI). This agrees with the observation above that SAMs of pyridine-based species have lower electrical uniformity than SAMs of their benzene analogues. Given the close proximity to the Au surface, the slightly reduced steric bulk of a pyridine lone pair versus a benzene hydrogen atom could mean that more molecular conformations are possible within the Au–SAM–probe ensemble in the pyridine case. The extent of electronic coupling between the Au surface and the conductive backbone through the pyridine nitrogen, a known anchor group,[18] could vary significantly with conformation, thus affording a broad conductance distribution.
For molecules with a given anchor group, $G_M$ varies with head group according to the trend $pP > B > mP$ in all cases. The $pP$ head group was expected to perform best with a metallic probe, due to interactions between the probe and the pyridine lone pair, which were anticipated to enhance electronic coupling, and constructive QI effects. Interestingly, this head group also showed the highest $G_M$ when using a graphene-coated probe. These results indicate that constructive ($pP$) and destructive ($mP$) QI effects are observed for both probes. The higher conductance of the $B$ head group relative to $mP$ suggests that $\pi$-probe interactions contribute significantly to electronic coupling for both probes, as the former has no other binding functionality.

The effect of molecular length on $G_M$ was studied using $BpP$ and its two analogues $B(OPE1)pP$ and $B(OPE3)pP$. As seen in Figures 2b-d, conductance decreases with molecular length, as expected for OPE-type molecular wires. Indeed, a plot of $\ln(G_M)$ against DFT-relaxed molecular length gives the expected linear trend (Figure 2d), allowing for estimation of the tunnelling decay factor, $\beta$. For this series, $\beta = 0.42 \pm 0.03 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, which is slightly larger than the reported range for OPEs of 0.2-0.34 Å$^{-1}$.[2b,18] This discrepancy could relate to the incorporation of the pyridine head group into the tetrapodal base of $B(OPE1)pP$ in order to create a shorter analogue of $BP$. This structural change may have an additional effect on conductance and therefore distort the $\beta$-value. From the plot in Figure 2d the contact resistance of the benzene-based anchoring unit is estimated as 9.8 MΩ.

We further investigated these three molecules and two additional, longer analogues using DFT-based charge transport calculations with the Gollum package[19] (see SI, Section 3.2). The logarithms of the calculated conductances of the OPE2 to OPE5 species follow the expected linear trend with molecular length, with $\beta = 0.21 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ (SI, Figure S3.02). The calculated conductance of $B(OPE1)pP$ is much higher than would be expected by extrapolating this trend, indicating that it may not be a representative member of the OPE series. In the simulations, the short conductive backbone of $B(OPE1)pP$ results in additional electronic coupling between the top electrode and the carbazole units, which affords additional conductance pathways and a higher molecular conductance. When this additional coupling is artificially removed, the calculated conductance is lower and closer to the expected trend (SI, Figure S3.02). The linear trend observed in the cAFM experiments suggests that electronic coupling through the carbazole units is hindered in this case. This could be due to roughness in the top contact or the presence of solvent molecules.

Compared to thiol-anchored analogues $SB$, $SpP$ and $SmP$, results from the Pt probe show that $G_M$ for the benzene- and pyridine-based tetrapodal wires is 5-10 times and 4-5 times lower, respectively. This is reasonable as a thiol anchor provides strong electronic coupling to a gold surface through Au–S bond formation, whereas the conductive backbones of the tetrapodal species are unable to interact directly with the surface to the same extent. To probe the nature of the electronic coupling between the tetrapods and the gold surface, we conducted charge transport simulations in which $BB$ was compared to a simple, unfunctionalised OPE2, which was held in the same geometry as the OPE2 backbone of $BB$ when relaxed in a junction configuration (Figures 3a and 3b). The resulting transmission functions (Figure 3c) are remarkably similar, suggesting that the

---

Figure 2. a) Histograms of conductance per molecule ($G_M$) for Au–SAM–Pt junctions containing tetrapodal OPE2 molecular wires at low bias voltage ($\sim 0.1$ V to 0.1 V), fit curves are a guide for the eye; b) Histograms of $G_M$ values for Au–SAM–Pt junctions containing tetrapodal molecular wires of different lengths at low bias voltage ($\sim 0.1$ V to 0.1 V), fit curves are a guide for the eye; c) Differential conductance (per molecule) versus voltage for Au–SAM–Pt junctions containing tetrapodal molecular wires of different lengths; d) Plot of $\ln(G_M)$ vs. molecular length which allows the tunnelling decay factor, $\beta$, to be calculated.

$G_M$ for the tetrapodal wires is 3-5 times higher in Au–SAM–graphene junctions than in Au–SAM–Pt junctions. Stronger molecule-probe interactions are possible in the former case as $\pi-\pi$ overlap may occur between the head groups and graphene, which could enhance electronic coupling and therefore increase conductance. Alternatively, $\pi-\pi$ overlap could move the electronic contact point further down the backbone, effectively shortening the conductive pathway, thereby affording a higher conductance.
carbazole anchoring units have negligible influence on electronic coupling and therefore on $G_{M}$, despite providing an efficient means of surface binding. In effect, our molecular design decouples surface binding from electronic coupling. This important observation potentially allows for investigations of unconventional or weakly binding anchor groups, which could be held in place near the surface by a tetrapodal unit, allowing their electronic coupling to be probed regardless of their surface binding properties.

Section 3.2 of the SI discusses additional charge-transport calculations investigating the effects of factors such as the spacing between the molecules and the top electrode, the tilt angle of the conductive backbone in the junction, and binding geometries between the head group and top contact.

The single-molecule conductance of several tripod molecular wires has been reported in the literature, typically using scanning tunnelling microscopy or mechanically controlled break-junction methods (STM-BJ and MCBJ, respectively). Any comparisons with our $G_{M}$ values obtained using cAFM therefore require benchmarking. The molecular conductances of thiol-anchored species SpP and SmP have been reported using the MCBJ method. Our cAFM method results in slightly lower conductances for both SpP ($\log G_{Sp}/G_0 = -3.78$) and SmP ($\log G_{Sm}/G_0 = -4.17$) compared to cAFM measurements. This discrepancy may result from differences in the nature of the junctions or assumptions made when calculating $G_{M}$ from $G_0$. It can be concluded, however, that it is reasonable to compare our $G_{M}$ values with conductances determined using MCBJ, with the caveat that the $G_{M}$ values may be slight underestimates. As MCBJ conductances have been shown to be comparable with those obtained using STM-BJ, it follows that comparisons with STM-BJ data are also valid.

Spirobifluorenes are amongst the most conductive tripod molecular wires, with reported log $G_{Sp}/G_0 = -3.2$ (STM-BJ) and $-3.0$ (MCBJ) for a wire of similar length to our OPE2 derivatives ($\log G_{Sp}/G_0 \approx -4.37$), but with much stronger direct thiol anchoring of the conductive backbone to the gold surface. Although they are more conductive, the spirobifluorenes require a much lengthier synthesis than the tetrapods. The OPE2 tetrapods have conductances comparable to molecular wires based on tetraphenylmethane tetrapods, with three thiol anchors ($\log G_{Sp}/G_0 = -3.4$ for a slightly shorter wire and $\log G_{Sp}/G_0 = -4.8$ for a slightly longer wire, both STM-BJ and pyridine anchors ($\log G_{Sp}/G_0 = -4.5$ (STM-BJ) or thiophene anchors ($\log G_{Sp}/G_0 = -4.7$ (STM-BJ)).

Conclusion

Tetrapodal anchor units for gold surfaces based on thiomethoxy-substituted carbazole have been developed. The synthetic route is convenient and adaptable for other possible applications. The anchor units were incorporated into OPE-type molecular wires bearing benzene, para-pyridine or meta-pyridine head groups, and their conductance was investigated using cAFM and charge transport calculations. The molecules form uniform SAMs on Au(111) in which the carbazole π-systems and sulfur atoms bind to the surface through the OPE backbone protruding at an angle of 35°-50°. The stabilising effect of multiple thiomethoxy groups was demonstrated using DFT-calculated binding energies. Using cAFM, the conductance of Au-SAMs and Au-SAM-graphene junctions was measured and used to determine the conductance contribution per molecule, $G_{M}$, based on the contact area of the AFM probe and the area occupied by a molecule as determined by reductive desorption studies. For OPE2 tetrapods in Au-SAM-Pt junctions, $G_{M}$ varied from $10^{5.20}$ to $10^{4.37}$ $G_0$ (SpP). In all cases, tetrapods with pyridine in the base unit gave higher $G_{M}$ than their benzene-based analogues, but tended to show broader conductance distributions. For a given base, $G_{M}$ varied with head group in the order $pP > B > mP$, in accordance with expectations based on quantum interference. For Au-SAM–graphene junctions, similar trends were observed but $G_{M}$ increased by a factor of ca. 4. Charge transport calculations showed that the carbazole units play little or no role in the conductance pathway of the molecules, and that electronic coupling to the surface is through the benzene or pyridine ring of the anchoring unit. Such decoupling of surface binding and electronic coupling could enable the use of unconventional functional groups, which may afford strong electronic coupling but only weak physical binding, in tandem with ancillary strong anchor groups with poor electronic coupling. Despite the lack of strong electronic coupling between the...
tetraptods and the gold surface, comparison with the literature shows that their conductance is comparable to existing triptodal systems. Ongoing research in our laboratories is exploring ways to enhance the electronic coupling, and therefore molecular conductance, of systems based on a tetraptodal anchor motif, while retaining a convenient synthetic approach.

**Experimental Section**

Experimental details are given in the Supporting Information (SI). This includes synthetic procedures and characterisation, NMR spectra, X-ray crystallographic data, microscopy procedures and computational methods.
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Four-legged friends: Self-assembled monolayers of molecular wires with new anchoring units containing four thiomethoxy groups were formed on gold and their conductance was measured. The tetrapodal anchors provide effective binding but contribute minimally to the conductance pathway of the wires. Surface binding and electronic coupling can therefore be considered independently.