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Abstract

Background: In adults, hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis activity shows sexual dimorphism, and this is thought to be a mechanism underlying sex-specific disease incidence. Evidence is scarce on whether these sex differences are also present in childhood. In a meta-analysis, we recently found that basal (non-stimulated) cortisol in saliva and free cortisol in 24-h urine follow sex-specific patterns. We explored whether these findings could be extended with sex differences in HPA axis reactivity.

Methods: From inception to January 2016, PubMed and EMBASE.com were searched for studies that assessed HPA axis reactivity in healthy girls and boys aged ≤ 18 years. Articles were systematically assessed and reported in the categories: (1) diurnal rhythm, (2) cortisol awakening response (CAR), (3) protocolled social stress tests similar or equal to the Trier Social Stress Test for children (TSST-C), (4) pharmacological (ACTH and CRH) stress tests, and (5) miscellaneous stress tests.

Results: Two independent assessors selected 109 out of 6158 records for full-text screening, of which 81 studies (with a total of 14,591 subjects) were included. Studies showed that girls had a tendency towards a more variable diurnal rhythm (12 out of 29 studies), a higher CAR (8 out of 18 studies), and a stronger cortisol response to social stress tests (9 out of 21 studies). We found no evidence for sex differences in cortisol response after a pharmacological challenge or to miscellaneous stress tests.

Discussion: Sex differences in HPA axis reactivity appear to be present in childhood, although evidence is not unequivocal. For a better evaluation of sex differences in HPA axis reactivity, standardization of protocols and reports of stress tests is warranted.
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Background

Marked gender differences exist in the incidence of several diseases. While men are more prone to obesity, cardiovascular disease, and infectious diseases, women are more susceptible to anxiety, depression, and autoimmune diseases. Sex-specific risks for chronic, non-communicable diseases are thought to result from a combination of genotype, phenotype, and environmental influences during life. Whereas adjustment to environmental challenges is healthy in the short term, developmental plasticity can cause sex-specific adverse effects in the long term [1].

One of the possible explanations for this sexual dimorphism in disease is a sex-specific reactivity of the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis. HPA axis functioning can be distinguished by on the one hand the maintenance of homeostasis by controlling basal activity as well as the sensitivity to stressors and, on the other hand, coping with, adapting to, and recovery from reactions to stressors. These processes are controlled by mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors (MRs and GRs). MRs are mainly involved with basal HPA axis activity, whereas GRs predominantly regulate HPA axis reactivity [2]. In animals, receptor expression patterns appear to develop in a sex-specific manner, with sex differences already present at birth [3]. In humans, sexually dimorphic HPA axis reactivity has also been reported in...
adulthood: men showed a greater cortisol response to acute real-life or controlled laboratory psychological stress compared to women [4]. Additionally, cortisol responses increased with age in both men and women, but the effect was threefold stronger in women compared to men, which could possibly be attributed to menopause [5]. These patterns closely resemble those of cardiovascular disease mortality and morbidity [6]. While the setting of HPA axis functioning results from the balance between MR and GR expression [2], interactions with the hypothalamus–pituitary–gonadal (HPG) axis are thought to mediate sex-specific stress reactions as well as pathophysiology [7].

It has previously been hypothesized that disease susceptibility can originate in childhood, possibly through permanent alterations in HPA axis activity to environmental challenges [1]. We recently showed that basal HPA axis activity, represented by non-stimulated cortisol concentrations in saliva and free cortisol in 24-h urine, show sexual dimorphism, with a sex-specific change induced by puberty [8]. In addition, gender differences in the reactivity of the HPA axis have also been described in children [4, 9, 10], although evidence is scarce and not systematically reviewed. Therefore, we aimed to examine whether sex-specific differences in HPA axis reactivity are present in childhood.

To study this sex-specific reactivity of the HPA axis, we performed a systematic review of the literature. The reactivity of the HPA axis was defined as the response to either exogenous (e.g., pharmacological, physical, or social) or endogenous (e.g., cortisol awakening response (CAR)) stimuli. In addition, we included diurnal rhythm as a marker of the responsiveness of the HPA axis, although it functions differently from reactions of the HPA axis to stressors. We hypothesized that sex-specific HPA axis reactivity is already present early in life.

**Methods**

**Search strategy**

PubMed and Embase.com were searched from inception up to January 14, 2016 for studies addressing HPA axis reactivity in serum or saliva in boys and girls aged ≤18 years by reports of either absolute cortisol values, slopes, AUCs, and/or through visualization of the data in figures. The full search strategy is detailed in Additional file 1 and was based on the index terms or free-text words “cortisol” or “glucocorticoid,” and “sex difference” or “sexual characteristics,” and “child” or “adolescent.” We excluded studies on children with (psycho)pathology, on synthetic glucocorticoids or with a risk of abnormal HPA axis reactivity (e.g., maltreatment). We did not impose restrictions on the year of publication or study design, apart from reviews and case reports, but we did apply an English language restriction.

The review protocol was based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement.

**Data collection**

Two independent assessors (BvdV and JJH) screened 6158 titles and abstracts for assessment of sex-specific HPA axis reactivity. Studies were not assessed blindly. Disagreement between assessors was discussed until consensus was reached. One hundred nine were eligible for full-text screening, of which 81 studies were included in the systematic review.

Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the search. When reports of results were unclear, the authors were contacted (n = 4); two authors responded. One author did not reply and one replied but could not provide sufficient data, resulting in exclusion of these studies. Additionally, articles were excluded when (1) no statistical analysis of reactivity was performed (n = 9); (2) pharmacological stress tests did not use corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) and/or ACTH (n = 2); (3) HPA axis reactivity was presented stratified by gender, without analyzing gender differences (n = 6); (4) gender was analyzed only as a confounder or effect modifier (n = 3); (5) analyses of sex differences were performed with cases and controls combined (n = 2); or (6) cortisol reactivity was defined as the variability of cortisol concentrations over several days to months (n = 3). Several articles reported on the same cohort. Provided that extra information was presented, all articles were included in the review. Two articles were excluded as no new information was provided compared to other articles describing the same cohort. With respect to case-control studies, we included only the control group.

**Data analysis**

HPA axis reactivity was classified as follows: (1) diurnal rhythm, (2) CAR, (3) protocolled social stress tests similar or equal to the Trier Social Stress Test for children (TSST-C), (4) pharmacological (ACTH and/or CRH) tests, or (5) miscellaneous stress tests. One assessor (JJH) assessed all the articles and sorted them according to the categories above. Data were extracted from the articles and systematically summarized. If more than one type of reactivity was assessed within one article, the data were included in all applicable categories.

**Results**

A short overview of all articles is presented in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. For a more in-depth summary of the articles, see Additional file 2. Data on 14,591 subjects were included in this review, with an age range of 31 h to 18 years.
Diurnal rhythm
Twenty-nine studies (with the data of 8971 subjects) described diurnal rhythmicity and/or decline of cortisol throughout the day in children, of which 15 studies reported no significant sex differences [11–25]. Fourteen studies reported significant sex differences, of which 12 reported higher cortisol levels and/or a steeper decline over the day in girls. Both Adam et al. [26] \((n = 230,\) age 17.04 ± 0.36 years) and Williams et al. [27] \((n = 27,\) age 9.13 ± 1.41 years) reported a steeper diurnal cortisol curve in girls. Morin-Major et al. [28] \((n = 88,\) age 14.5 ± 1.8 years) found a higher area under the curve as measured from the ground (AUCg) in girls. Martikainen et al. [29] \((n = 252,\) age 8.1 ± 0.3 years) reported a higher cortisol level at awakening in girls, while there was no difference between sexes at nadir, suggesting a steeper cortisol decline over the day in girls compared to boys. This was also found by Rosmalen et al. [30] \((n = 1768,\) age 11.08 ± 0.55 years), who found this to be already present prepubertally, while age and pubertal status were not associated with diurnal rhythm. Fransson et al. [31] \((n = 157,\) age 14–16 years) found a higher cortisol level at awakening and a steeper diurnal decline in girls. Kelly et al. [32] \((n = 2995,\) age 15.4 ± 0.3 years) found a greater decrease in cortisol concentration in girls as compared to boys between ±9 a.m. and 9:30 a.m. Ruttle et al. [33] \((n = 346,\) age 11, 13, and 15 years) and Shirtcliff et al. [34] \((n = 357,\) age 9, 11, 13, and 15 years) examined the same cohort. Ruttle et al. found a significantly steeper diurnal decline in girls aged 11 and 13 years. At age 15, gender differences in cortisol slope had disappeared, although girls had higher cortisol levels throughout the day. Shirtcliff et al. found similar differences, with higher cortisol and steeper slopes, as well as more curvature, in girls. Moreover, the circadian rhythm became flatter with advancing puberty, particularly among girls. Vaillancourt et al. [35] \((n = 154,\) age 147 ± 9.1 months) examined morning and evening cortisol levels on Monday, Thursday, and Saturday. They only found a higher cortisol concentration in girls on Saturday morning. Moreover, after modeling the circadian pattern, they found that girls consistently had higher cortisol levels than boys throughout the day. Bae et al. [36] \((n = 138,\) age 10.7 ± 1.7 years) found higher cortisol levels in girls at awakening and 30 min after awakening, as well as a higher total daily output. However, no sex differences were found with regard to diurnal slope or evening levels. Netherton et al. [37] \((n = 129,\) age 12.8 ± 0.19 years) found higher morning cortisol levels in mid- to postpubertal girls compared to boys, but no sex differences were found in evening cortisol levels. In pre- to early-pubertal children, no sex differences were found in either morning or evening cortisol levels. Contrastingly, Kuhlman et al. [38] \((n = 121,\) age 12.8 ± 2.3 years) reported no sex differences in cortisol levels at awakening or in linear decline, although girls showed more deceleration of the diurnal decline between dinner and bedtime.
### Table 1 Summary of articles describing sex differences in diurnal rhythmicity

| Author (year) | Sample size | Age | Sampling points | Sampling medium | Results |
|---------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Adam (2010)  | 230         | 17.04 ± 0.36 years | 6x/day on 3 days | Saliva          | Lower diurnal cortisol curves in boys |
| Bae (2015)   | 138 (70 controls) | 10.7 ± 1.7 years | 3x/day on 3 days | Saliva          | Higher levels at awakening, 30 min after awakening, and higher total daily output in girls; levels in the evening and diurnal slope: no sex differences |
| Barbosa (2012)| 145         | 8-10 yr group: 9.0 ± 0.8 years; 11-14 yr group: 11.9 ± 1.0 years | 2x              | Saliva          | No sex differences, higher diurnal decline in children aged 11-14 years old |
| Bartels (2003)| 360         | 12 years | 4x/day on 2 days | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Carrion (2002)| 31          | Mean: 10.9 years | 4x/day on 3 days | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity |
| Doom (2013)  | 110         | 9.42 ± 0.88 years | 3x/day on 5 days | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Fransson (2014)| 157        | 14–16 years | 4x (including CAR) | Saliva          | Steeper decline in girls |
| Garcia (1990) | 76 (21 controls) | 11.2 ± 0.37 years | 3 hourly during 24 h | Blood | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Haen (1984)  | 64          | 1 month to 15 years | 6 hourly (4x) | Blood          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Jones (2006) | 140         | 7–9 years | 5x              | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Kelly (2008) | 2995        | 15.4 ± 0.32 years | 2x, 30 min apart in the morning | Saliva          | Steeper decline in girls |
| Kjolhede (2014)| 342       | 9.5 ± 1.9 years | 3x/day on 4 days | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Knutsson (1997)| 235        | 2.2–18.5 years | 7x              | Blood          | No sex differences, except for higher values in girls at pubertal stage 2 |
| Kuhlman (2015)| 121        | 12.8 ± 2.3 years | 4x/day on 2 days | Saliva          | No impact of sex on cortisol at awakening or linear decline, but boys showed less deceleration of the diurnal decline between dinner and bedtime |
| Lumeng (2014) | 331         | 3–4 years | 3x/day on 3 days | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Martikainen (2013)| 252     | 8.1 ± 0.3 years | 7x              | Saliva          | Higher morning cortisol in girls; no sex difference in nadir |
| Matchock (2007)| 120        | Boys: 9, 11, or 13 years; girls: 8, 10, or 12 years | 6x (including CAR) | Saliva          | Cortisol peak occurred later in boys than girls during later puberty. Higher morning cortisol in boys at pubertal stage 2. AUCg: no effect of sex but significant pubertal stage effect |
| Michels (2012) | 385        | 5–10 years | 4x (including CAR) | Saliva          | No sex differences except for somewhat steeper decline in girls (p = 0.30) |
| Morin-Major (2016)| 88       | 14.5 ± 1.8 years | 4x/day on 2 days | Saliva          | Higher AUC in girls |
| Netherton (2004)| 129       | 12.8 ± 0.19 years | 2x/day on 4 days | Saliva          | Mid-post pubertal girls have higher morning cortisol than boys. No sex differences in variance across the 4 days |
| Osika (2007) | 84          | 9.9 ± 0.55 years | 5x (including CAR) | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Rosmalen (2005) | 1768       | 11.08 ± 0.55 years | 3x (including CAR) | Saliva          | Higher morning cortisol levels in girls, no sex differences in evening cortisol, already present in prepubertal children. Age or pubertal status not associated with cortisol levels |
than boys. Matchock et al. [39] (n = 120, age: boys 9, 11, or 13 years; girls 8, 10, or 12 years) found an earlier cortisol peak in the morning in girls and, at pubertal stage 2, a lower morning cortisol levels in girls. However, although a pubertal stage effect was found, there were no sex differences in the AUCg.

**CAR**

Eighteen studies (with the data of 3549 subjects) described the CAR in children. Nine studies did not find differences between boys and girls [15–18, 21, 26, 27, 38, 40], although four of these [15–18] studied the CAR as part of the diurnal rhythm, and did not perform separate analyses for the CAR, with therefore limited data available on the CAR. Additionally, Michels et al. [18] (n = 385, age 5–10 years) and Vanaelst et al. [21] (n = 355, age 5–10 years) reported on the same cohort, and Osika et al. [15] (n = 84, age 9.9 ± 0.55 years) only took samples between 0 and 15 min after awakening. Nine studies found significant differences in CAR between sexes, of which eight found a higher CAR in girls. Martikainen et al. [29] (n = 252, age 8.1 ± 0.3 years) found a higher peak after awakening in girls, as well as a higher AUCg. However, the awakening response (i.e., the peak value after awakening minus the value immediately after awakening) as well as the AUC increase (AUCi) were not significantly different between the sexes. This was also found by Bouma et al. [41] (n = 644, age 16.1 ± 0.6 years) and Dietrich et al. [42] (n = 1604, age 11.1 ± 0.6 years), who reported on the same cohort (albeit at different ages) and found higher morning cortisol concentrations in girls, but a similar response to awakening in boys and girls, manifesting as a higher AUCg in girls but a similar AUCi between sexes. Additionally, Bae et al. [36] (n = 138, 10.7 ± 1.7 years) found higher cortisol levels in girls at awakening and 30 min after awakening, although they did not find sex differences in the AUCg. Fransson et al. [31] (n = 157, age 14–16 years) and Hatzinger et al. [43] (n = 102, age 4.9 ± 0.4 years) both found a higher CAR in girls, and Pruessner et al. [44] (n = 42, age 11.2 ± 2.0 years) showed a tendency towards larger increases in girls compared to boys. Morin-Major et al. [28] (n = 88, age 14.5 ± 1.8 years) found a correlation between the CAR and sex, with a higher CAR in girls. Contrastingly, Jones et al. [14] (n = 140, age 7–9 years) found the CAR to be absent in girls but present in boys.

**Proto colloced social stress tests similar or equal to the TSST-C**

Twenty-one studies (with the data of 3500 subjects) examined responses to standardized social stress tests. Eighteen used the TSST-C (validated in children aged ≥7 years), while three used other laboratory-based social stress tests that closely resemble the TSST-C [41, 45, 46]: the Groningen Social Stress Test (GSST) which consisted of a 6-min speech, a brief interlude, and a subtracting task; and a psychosocial stress test which consisted of a mental arithmetic task, a public speaking task, and a computer mathematics task. Eight studies, of which two studied the same cohort, did not find sex differences [36, 47–53], while 13 did find sex differences. Ji et al. [54] (n = 135, age: boys 9, 11, or 13 years; girls 8, 10, or 12 years) reported on the same cohort as Dockray et al. [48] and Peckins et al. [50], who did not find sex differences. However, Ji et al. found that at wave 3, where each wave is separated by 6 months, girls had a stronger cortisol response to the stressor, although they

---

**Table 1** Summary of articles describing sex differences in diurnal rhythmicity (Continued)

| Author          | n    | Age          | Protocol | Sample | Saliva | Measure | Findings                                      |
|-----------------|------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Ruttle (2013)   | 346  | 11, 13, and 15 years | 3x/day on 3 days | Saliva | Steeper slope in girls at ages 11 and 13 and in longitudinal analyses; higher cortisol levels in girls throughout the day at age 15 |
| Shirtcliff (2012) | 357 | 9, 11, 13, and 15 years | 3x/day on 3 days | Saliva | Steeper slopes, more curvature in girls. Advancement through puberty: rhythm becomes flatter, especially in girls |
| Susman (2007)   | 111  | Boys: 9, 11, or 13 years; girls: 8, 10, or 12 years | 6x (including CAR) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity |
| Tzortzi (2009)  | 21   | 10–14 years | 20x (including CAR) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Vaillancourt (2008) | 154 | 147 ± 9.07 months | 2x/day on 3 days | Saliva | Higher morning levels in girls on Saturday, multilevel regression: consistently higher production in girls |
| Vanaelst (2013) | 355  | 5–10 years | 4x/day on 2 days (including CAR) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Williams (2013) | 27   | 9.13 ± 1.41 years | 3x/day on 2 days (including CAR) | Saliva | Boys exhibited flatter slopes than girls |
did not find sex differences with regard to cortisol recovery. Raikkonen et al. [55] (n = 292, age 8.1 ± 0.3 years) and Martikainen et al. [29] (n = 252, age 8.1 ± 0.3 years) reported on the same cohort and found a higher peak after stress and higher AUCs (both ground and increase) in girls, while no pre-test differences were found. De Veld [56] (n = 158, age 10.61 ± 0.52 years) found a stronger cortisol response in girls. Jones et al. [14] (n = 140, age 7–9 years) found an anticipatory rise in cortisol in both sexes, but only an additional increase after the TSST-C in girls. Evans et al. [45] (n = 707, age 13.8 ± 3.6 years) found that girls aged ≤12 years displayed higher cortisol reactivity to the psychological stress test, while sex differences were not present in subjects aged 13–20 years. A similar result was found by Hostinar et al. [57] (n = 81, age 9.97 ± 0.52 (children) and 16.05 ± 0.39 (adolescents) years), who found a stronger cortisol response in girls at ages 9 to 10, and no sex differences among the adolescents. Gunnar et al. [58] (n = 82, age 9, 11, 13, and 15 years) found a significantly higher AUCi in girls in response to the TSST-C at age 13, while no sex differences were found at ages 9, 11, and 15 years. Mrug et al. [59] (n = 84, age 13.4 ± 1.0 years) found a higher cortisol 55 min post-test as well as a greater AUCi in girls. On the other hand, Lu et al. [60] (n = 87, age 12.7 ± 0.3 years) found a significantly more negative logAUCi in girls, indicative of a smaller increase in cortisol in girls compared to boys after the TSST-C, and Trickett et al. [61] (n = 151 controls, age 11.11 ± 1.15 years) found a blunted cortisol response in girls compared to boys. Additionally, Bouma et al. [41] (n = 644, age 16.1 ± 0.6 years), who used the GSST, found lower cortisol responses in girls compared to boys, which was further specified in a study published by Bouma et al. in 2011 [46] (n = 553, age 16.07 ± 0.90 years), who found lower cortisol levels in girls on the first sample after completing the GSST.

Table 2 Summary of articles describing sex differences in cortisol awakening response (CAR)

| Author (year)       | Sample size | Age              | Sampling points                  | Sampling medium | Results                                                                 |
|---------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Adam (2010)         | 230         | 17.04 ± 0.36 years | 0 and 40 min after awakening     | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                         |
| Bae (2015)          | 138 (70 controls) | 10.7 ± 1.7 years | 0 and 30 min after awakening     | Saliva          | Higher levels in girls at awakening and 30 min after awakening; no sex differences in awakening response |
| Bouma (2009)        | 644         | 16.13 ± 0.59 years | 0 and 30 min after awakening     | Saliva          | Higher basal levels in girls, no difference in awakening responses      |
| Bright (2014)       | 47          | 12–24 months     | 0 and 30 min after awakening     | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                         |
| Dietrich (2013)     | 1604        | 11.1 ± 0.55 years | 0 and 30 min after awakening     | Saliva          | AUCg and absolute cortisol values higher in girls, AUCi no sex differences |
| Fransson (2014)     | 157         | 14–16 years      | 0, 30, and 60 min after awakening | Saliva          | Higher CAR in girls                                                     |
| Hatzinger (2007)    | 102         | 4.91 ± 0.44 years | 0, 10, 20, and 30 min after awakening | Saliva          | Higher CAR in girls                                                     |
| Jones (2006)        | 140         | 7–9 years        | 0 and 30 min after awakening     | Saliva          | CAR present in boys, not in girls                                       |
| Kuhlman (2015)      | 121         | 12.8 ± 2.3 years | 0 and 45 min after awakening     | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                         |
| Martikainen (2013)  | 252         | 8.1 ± 0.3 years  | 0, 15, and 30 min after awakening | Saliva          | Higher AUCg in girls, same increase and AUCi                              |
| Michels (2012)      | 385         | 5–10 years       | 0, 30, and 60 min after awakening | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                         |
| Morin-Major (2016)  | 88          | 14.5 ± 1.8 years | 0 and 30 min after awakening     | Saliva          | Correlated to sex, higher CAR in girls                                   |
| Osika (2007)        | 84          | 9.9 ± 0.55 years | 0 and 15 min after awakening     | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                         |
| Pruessner (1997)    | 42          | 11.16 ± 1.99 years | On 3 days: 0, 10, 20, and 30 min after awakening | Saliva          | Marginal differences: higher in girls                                   |
| Susman (2007)       | 111         | Boys: 9, 11, or 13 years; girls: 8, 10, or 12 years | 0, 20, and 40 min after awakening | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity      |
| Tzortzi (2009)      | 21          | 10–14 years      | From waking: every 20 min until 3 h after awakening | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                         |
| Vanaelst (2013)     | 355         | 5–10 years       | 0, 30, and 60 min after awakening | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                         |
| Williams (2013)     | 27          | 9.13 ± 1.41 years | 0 and 30 min after awakening     | Saliva          | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                         |
Table 3 Summary of articles describing sex differences in protocolled social stress test similar or equal to the TSST-C

| Author (year)   | Sample size                  | Age                              | Sampling points                                      | Sampling medium               | Results                                                                 |
|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bae (2015)      | 169 (81 controls)            | 10.8 ± 1.8 years                 | 8x (3 before, 5 after)                               | Saliva                       | No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity      |
| Bouma (2009)    | 644                          | 16.13 ± 0.59 years               | 5x (2 before, 3 after)                               | Saliva                       | Cortisol responses were stronger in boys                               |
| Bouma (2011)    | 553                          | 16.07 ± 0.90 years               | 4x (1 before, 3 after)                               | Saliva                       | Boys had higher cortisol levels on sample 2                              |
| De Veld (2012)  | 158                          | 10.61 ± 0.52 years               | 7x (2 before, 5 after)                               | Saliva                       | Cortisol response stronger in girls                                    |
| Dockray (2009)  | 111                          | Boys: 9, 11, or 13 years; girls: 8, 10, or 12 years | 5x, 2 before, 3 after                               | Saliva                       | No sex differences; age not pubertal stage associated with reactivity in girls, no associations in boys. |
| Evans (2013)    | 707                          | 13.77 ± 3.56 years               | After each period/task, at the middle of the documentary, and at the end of it (in figure 2: 6 samples, 2 before, 4 during/after) (social stress tests based on TSST) | Saliva                       | In children (7–12): lower cortisol reactivity in boys experiencing less emotional warmth Adolescents (13–20): no sex differences |
| Gunnar (2009)   | 82                           | Four age groups: 9 (9.79 ± 0.16), 11 (11.57 ± 0.15), 13 (13.55 ± 0.46), and 15 (15.55 ± 0.47) | 10x, 3 before, 7 after | Saliva                       | No sex differences, except higher cortisol reactivity in girls at age 13 |
| Hostinar (2014) | 191                          | 14.4 ± 1.93 years               | 6x (2 before, 4 after)                               | Saliva                       | No sex differences; higher intercepts and greater anticipatory responses with increasing age, pubertal status not assessed |
| Hostinar (2015) | 81 (40 children, 41 adolescents) | Children: 9.97 ± 0.52 years; adolescents: 16.05 ± 0.39 years | 4x (1 before, 3 after)                               | Saliva                       | Stronger response in 9–10-year old girls, no sex differences among adolescents |
| Ji (2016)       | 135                          | Boys: 9, 11, or 13 years; girls: 8, 10, or 12 years | 5x (2 before, 3 after)                               | Saliva                       | At wave 3 (each wave separated by 6 months): girls have stronger reaction to stressor; no sex differences in recovery |
| Jones (2006)    | 140                          | 7–9 years                        | 7x (3 before, 4 after)                               | Saliva                       | Anticipatory rise in both, further increment in girls                   |
| Kudielka (2004) | 31                           | 12.1 ± 0.3 years                 | 5x, 1 before, 4 after                               | Saliva                       | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                        |
| Lu (2014)       | 87                           | 12.7 ± 0.3 years                 | 9x, not specified when                              | Saliva                       | More negative logAUCi in girls (less increase)                           |
| Martikainen (2013) | 252                  | 8.1 ± 0.3 years                  | 7x (2 before, 5 after)                               | Saliva                       | Higher peak, AUCg, and AUCi in girls                                   |
| Martin (2011)   | 40                           | 16–18 years                      | 7x (1 before, 6 after)                               | Saliva                       | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                        |
| Mrug (2016)     | 84                           | 13.36 ± 0.95 years               | 3x, 1 before, 2 after                               | Saliva                       | Higher post-test cortisol and AUCi in girls                             |
| Peckins (2012)  | 124                          | 10.49 ± 1.68 years               | 5x, 2 before, 3 after                               | Saliva                       | No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity      |
| Portnoy (2015)  | 446                          | 11.92 ± 0.59 years               | 4x, 1 before, 3 after                               | Saliva                       | No sex differences in AUCg; pubertal status not associated with reactivity |
| Raikkonen (2010)| 292                          | 8.1 ± 0.3 years                  | 7x (2 before, 5 after)                               | Saliva                       | Boys lower than girls                                                  |
| Strahler (2010) | 62                           | 6–10 years                       | 4x, 1 before, 3 after                               | Saliva                       | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed                        |
| Trickett (2014) | 303 maltreated, 151 control  | Maltreated: 10.84 ± 1.16 years; comparison: 11.11 ± 1.15 years | 6x (2 before, 4 after)                               | Saliva                       | Cortisol response blunted in girls compared to boys                     |

Pharmacological stress tests

Seven studies (with the data of 322 subjects) investigated cortisol responses to pharmacological ACTH or CRH. Five studies (3 with ACTH, 2 with CRH) did not find significant sex differences [62–66], and 2 studies found a smaller cortisol increase in girls. Stroud et al. [67] (n = 68,
age 11.9 ± 1.9 years), who performed a CRH challenge with 1 μg/kg human CRH, found a smaller increase from baseline in girls compared to boys for all Tanner pubertal stages. Additionally, sex-specific pubertal changes were observed, with a baseline cortisol that increased in girls and decreased in boys with advancing puberty. Moreover, girls showed decreases in reactivity/recovery rates (in μg/dL/min), as well as increases in total cortisol response (AUCg) and time to peak cortisol levels with pubertal maturation. Boys, on the other hand, showed little change in reactivity/recovery rates and no changes across puberty for the other parameters. Dahl et al. [68] (n = 25, age 10.3 ± 1.6 years) also performed a 1 μg/kg human CRH challenge and found a smaller increase in cortisol concentration in girls compared to boys.

**Miscellaneous stress tests**

Twenty-five studies (with the data of 3004 subjects) performed a wide range of other stress tests.

Three studies were performed in infants aged <1 year (with the data of 285 subjects) [69–71], of which two found a lower cortisol reactivity in girls: Davis and Emory [69] (n = 36, age 31.0 ± 8.1 h), who used the Neonatal Behavior Assessment Scale, and Eiden et al. [70] (n = 217, age 9 months), who used the Laboratory Temperament Assessment Battery.

Eight studies (with the data of 1472 subjects) were performed in children aged 1–7 years, of which six [72–77] found no sex differences. Hatzinger et al. [43] (n = 102, age 4.9 ± 0.4 years) used the MacArthur Story Stem Battery and found a higher reactivity in girls. Mills et al. [78] (n = 214, age 4.1 ± 0.2 years) used easy and difficult matching tasks with standardized failure and success. They found decreases in cortisol concentrations in both sexes up to 15 min post-stressor but only further decreases in boys.

Fourteen studies (with the data of 1247 subjects) assessed stress in children aged ≥7 years using miscellaneous protocols. Four studies performed psychological stress tests: one found no sex differences [79], while three found lower reactivity in girls. Zijlmans et al. [80] (n = 52, age 12.5 ± 1.2 years) used a computerized testing paradigm, the social evaluative stress test (SEST), containing elements of social evaluation, unpredictability, and uncontrollability. A lower reactivity was found in girls. Daughters et al. [81] (n = 132, age 16.1 ± 1.0 years) used the Behavioral Indicator of Resiliency to Distress (BIRD) and found no cortisol increase and slower cortisol decrease in girls, while there were no sex differences in AUCg. Minkley and Kirchner [82] (n = 93, age 17.9 ± 0.1 years) used two knowledge tests aimed at testing “reproduction of knowledge” or “transfer and problem-solving.” A lower reactivity was found in girls, although this was not statistically significant. Ten other studies assessed cortisol reactivity to physical stressors, of which seven did not find sex differences [38, 83–88], of which two reported on the same cohort [38, 88]. Chiodo et al. [89] (n = 16, age: boys 14 ± 0 years, girls 13 ± 1 years) used a Taekwondo competitions as stressor, and found lower overall values in girls, although
| Age Range | Sample Size | Study Protocol | Sampling Points | Sampling Medium | Results |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| 0–1 year old | | | | | |
| Davis (1995) | 36 | 30.99 ± 8.09 h | Neonatal Behavior Assessment Scale | 5×, 1 before, 4 after test | Saliva | Higher reactivity in boys |
| Eiden (2015) | 217 | 9 months | Laboratory Temperament Assessment Battery | 4×, 1 before, 3 after test | Saliva | Cortisol increase in boys, not in girls |
| Grunau (2010) | 32 | 4.2 ± 1.0 months | Cortisol response after vaccination | 3×, 1 before, 2 after | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| 1–7 years old | | | | | |
| De Weerth (2013) | 42 | 68.0 ± 4.3 months | CREST paradigm | 6× (2 before, 4 after) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Gunnar (2010) | 151 | 3.81 ± 0.23 years | Daycare attendance | 2×/day on 2 days | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Hatzinger (2007) | 102 | 4.91 ± 0.44 years | MSSB | 5× (2 before, 3 after) | Saliva | Higher reactivity in girls |
| Krysik (2013) | 409 | 40.72 ± 3.51 months | Matching task | 6× (1 before, 5 after) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Mills (2008) | 214 | 4.14 ± 0.24 years | Easy and difficult matching tasks | 6×, 1 before, 5 after | Saliva | Further decreases in boys after initial decrease for both sexes |
| Plusquellec (2011) | 376 | 18.85 ± 0.74 months | Two unfamiliar situations (clown and robot) | 2×, 1 before, 1 after | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Spinrad (2009) | 84 | 54.07 ± 0.97 months | Preschool Laboratory Assessment Battery | 3×, 1 before, 2 after | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Yong Ping (2014) | 94 | 299 ± 1.1 months | Maternal separation | 4× (2 before, 2 after) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| ≥7 years old | | | | | |
| Daughters (2013) | 132 | 16.1 ± 1.0 years | Behavioral Indicator of Resiliency to Distress | 4×, 1 before, 3 after | Saliva | Boys: higher baseline; greater peak. No sex differences in AUC |
| Hackman (2012) | 180 | 12–14 years | Parent–adolescent conflict discussion | 3× (2 before, 1 after) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Minkley (2012) | 93 | 17.86 ± 0.096 years | Examination challenge (reproduction of knowledge or transfer and problem-solving) | 2×, 1 before, 1 after | Saliva | Not statistically significant, but higher increases in boys. More in reproduction of knowledge group, but also greater in transfer and problem-solving group |
| Zijlmans (2013) | 52 | 125.2 ± 1.21 years | Social Evaluative Stress Test | 7×, 1 before, 6 after | Saliva | Higher reactivity in boys |
| Allen (2009) | 235 | 12.7 ± 2.9 years | Laboratory Pain Tasks | Saliva: 3×, 1 before, 2 after | Saliva/blood | No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity |
| Chiodo (2011) | 16 | 14 ± 0 years; girls: 13 ± 1 years | Taekwondo competition | 5× (2 before, 3 after) | Saliva | Lower overall values in girls, but higher peak. |
| Covelli (2012) | 106 | 15.3 ± 1.1 years | Cold water hand immersion | 2×, 1 before, 1 after | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Frias (2000) | 48 | 13–17 years | Acute alcohol intoxication | 1× (after); controls as reference | Blood | More pronounced increase in girls |
| Gecgelien (2012) | 40 | 109–147 years | Rapid maxillary expansion | 13×, 1 before, 3 after, and 9 during a period of treatment | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
Table 5 Summary of articles describing sex differences in miscellaneous stress tests (Continued)

| Author       | N  | Age Range | Test Type                  | No. of Tests | Sample Type | Outcome                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------|----|-----------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Khilnani (1993) | 98 | 2–20 years | Elective surgery          | 2x, 1 before, 1 after | Blood | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Kuhlman (2015)   | 121 | 12.8 ± 2.3 years | Socially evaluated cold pressor test | 7x (2 before, 5 after) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Lopez-Duran (2015) | 115 | 12.79 ± 2.26 years | Socially evaluated cold pressor test | 8x (2 before, 6 after) | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
| Stupnicki (1995)  | 29 | Boys: 17.3 ± 0.8; girls: 16.4 ± 0.6 years | Exercise              | 2x, 1 before, 1 after | Blood | Boys decrease in cortisol; girls increase in cortisol after exercise |
| Yfanti (2014)    | 97 | 89.73 ± 15 months | Dental treatment         | 5x, 1 before, 4 after | Saliva | No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed |
they did exhibit a higher peak compared to boys. Stupnicki et al. [90] (n = 29, age 16–17 years) used physical exercise and found a cortisol increase after physical exercise in girls, compared to a decrease in boys. Frias et al. [91] (n = 48, age 13–17 years) assessed cortisol reactivity after acute alcohol intoxication (AAI). Both boys and girls showed an increase in cortisol concentrations after AAI compared to controls, but this increase was more pronounced in girls, although this was not statistically tested.

**Discussion**

In this systematic review, we found that sex differences in HPA axis reactivity are suggested to be present in childhood. In general, with regard to diurnal rhythm, the CAR and social stress tests, around 50% of the studies, notably the larger ones, found sex differences, of which approximately 80% found a more variable diurnal rhythm, a higher CAR, and/or a stronger cortisol response to social stress tests in girls, suggestive of a more variable HPA axis. We found no evidence for a sex difference in cortisol response after a pharmacological challenge, with only two out of 7 studies reporting a higher cortisol response in boys. Findings from studies addressing sex differences in cortisol response after miscellaneous (social or physical) stress tests were inconsistent, due to different types of stressors applied.

In total, 12 out of 29 studies found a more variable diurnal rhythm in girls, while 2 found this in boys and 15 did not find sex differences. A higher CAR in girls was found in 8 out of 18 studies, although 1 study found a higher CAR in boys and 9 studies found no sex differences. Girls had a stronger cortisol response to social stress tests in 9 out of 21 studies, whereas boys had a stronger response in 4 studies and no sex differences were found in 8 studies. Therefore, although results are suggestive of a more responsive HPA axis in girls during childhood, these results must be interpreted with caution as the evidence is not unequivocal. However, the sample sizes of the studies that found sex differences were on average larger, while the studies that did not find sex differences more often had a sample size <100.

Our results differed considerably with findings from studies in adults. Notably, psychological stress studies in adults either found no gender difference or a more pronounced cortisol response in men [4]. This difference might be explained by gonadal hormones, more specifically estrogens. In childhood, as we have shown in this review, cortisol reactivity appears to be more pronounced in females. However, other research has shown that in adults, females were found to exhibit attenuated cortisol responses to stress, and males displayed a higher cortisol reactivity [4]. Consequently, it could be hypothesized that postmenopausal women once again show a stronger cortisol response to stress compared to men of the same age. Otte et al. [5], who performed a meta-analysis to evaluate and quantify age-related changes in cortisol response, found a threefold higher increase in cortisol reactivity with aging in women compared to men. However, studies examining cortisol reactivity in elderly subjects are inconclusive with regard to gender differences [92–95].

According to the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) hypothesis, disease susceptibility arises early in development [1] and might be mediated by HPA axis (re)activity. Dysfunctional (hypo- or hyper-reactive) HPA axis responses have previously been associated with cardiovascular disease risk [96]. In addition, more subtle differences in early HPA axis settings can also contribute to sex-specific disease risks throughout life [10, 97].

Sex differences in HPA axis reactivity might be due to interactions between the HPA and HPG axes, and several mechanisms have been proposed. Estradiol has been shown to enhance, while testosterone inhibited CRH gene transcription in the hypothalamus [9]. In addition, estradiol has been found to sensitize the pituitary, thereby increasing the ACTH response, while progesterone seemed to oppose this effect [9]. Moreover, estrogen receptors (ERs) are widely expressed throughout the brain, especially in the limbic system. Although not unequivocal, the distribution of the ER subtypes α and β, which have opposing actions on the HPA axis [98], is probably sex-dependent [99]. In rats, gender differences in the expression of ERs were already present early in life [100]. It is possible that sex differences in the balance and distribution of ERs and ERβ in the brain are already present before puberty as a result of priming [1] or genetics, which subsequently change after the onset of puberty. In addition, the sensitivity of the adrenal cortex to ACTH is suggested to be increased in young women [9], while estrogens were found to increase the production of corticosteroid-binding globulin (CBG) [101], decrease glucocorticoid receptor (GR) expression and activation [7], and lower hepatic clearance of cortisol by inhibition of A-ring reduction [102]. In contrast, testosterone was found to inhibit the release of ACTH, while progesterone possibly acts as a glucocorticoid antagonist. [9, 47, 103] However, estrogens seem to have different effects in (postmenopausal) women and men [104–106], and ACTH responses to a TSST after 2 weeks of DHEA or placebo treatment was found to be equal for women treated with DHEA to those of men but increased compared to women taking placebos [4]. These HPA/HPG axes interactions might explain why the sex differences in HPA axis reactivity that we found in children are not corroborated by studies in adults. Moreover, some of the included studies in this review took pubertal status into account [13, 24, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37,
levels as well as derived variables (e.g., time to peak/recovery, delta cortisol, ascending/descending slopes and areas under the curve), preferably analyzing sex differences for all these parameters. This will allow a full appreciation and overview of the course followed by cortisol from pre- to post-stressor.

Our review has several strengths and limitations. Our strengths lie in the systematic and extensive search performed, which has resulted in the inclusion of 81 studies. Our review is limited not only by the previously mentioned concerns but also by the broad range in ages as well as the lack of (reliable) establishment of pubertal stage in the majority of the included articles. Although several studies mention an effect of age or pubertal status on cortisol reactivity [13, 22, 24, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 45, 48, 50–52, 54, 57, 58, 64, 65, 67, 83, 94], findings are conflicting between the articles. Moreover, we ourselves were unable to draw any conclusions with regard to age or pubertal status, due to the heterogeneous ways of analyzing these effects as well as limited power within studies. Moreover, pubertal status was often assessed through self-report, which has poor reliability [112]. However, it is possible that the effect of age and/or pubertal status can partly explain our unequivocal conclusions regarding sex differences, as was previously suggested by Jessop and Turner-Cobb [10]. Aside from standardizing the collection and presentation of data, we therefore urge to also always take age and pubertal status into account. This is in line with a recent study in adults, which showed that adjusting for sex hormones significantly alters sex-specific cortisol profiles [113].

Conclusions

In conclusion, we found that gender differences in HPA axis reactivity appear to be present in childhood, suggestive of priming of the HPA axis during early development, although the evidence is not unequivocal. Overall, girls appear to have a more variable diurnal rhythm, a higher CAR, and a higher cortisol response to social stress tests. These differences are not in line with studies in adults, which might be due to changes in gonadal hormones during puberty impacting on HPA axis reactivity. We found various gender differences depending on the type of stressor applied, which stresses the importance of taking the nature of the stressor into account when designing a new study. Moreover, standardization of protocols and reports of results is warranted.
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