Melanoacanthoma: a potential pitfall of reflectance confocal microscopy
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Abstract  Melanoacanthoma is a rare variant of seborrheic keratosis, which is notable for dark pigmentation and fast radial growth, making it difficult to distinguish from melanoma. Histologically, it is characterized by proliferation of keratinocytes and dendritic melanocytes. The authors report a scalp lesion, fast growing, suspected by dermoscopy and reflectance confocal microscopy examination, with dendritic cells distributed throughout the lesion. Based on these findings, it was not possible to classify this lesion as clearly benign, so it was excised. Histopathologic evaluation and immunostain were consistent with melanoacanthoma.

© 2019 Sociedade Brasileira de Dermatologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

Cutaneous melanoacanthoma (CM) is a rare variant of seborrheic keratosis (SK) that occurs mainly in fair-skinned adults, preferentially in the head, neck, and chest.1,2 This benign lesion is clinically notable for its dark pigmentation and fast-radial growth, making this entity difficult to distinguish from melanoma. CM has been seldom characterized with dermoscopy and with reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM).3

Case report

A 76-year-old male patient presented a rapidly growing 9-mm asymmetric, dark-brown patch on the scalp. Dermoscopic examination showed comedo-like openings, fat fingers, and irregularly distributed dots (Fig. 1).

The first diagnostic hypothesis was SK, but the irregularly distributed dots lead the authors to perform RCM to exclude melanoma. It showed a typical honeycomb pattern at the spinous-granular layer, epidermal projections, keratin filled invaginations, and widespread dendritic pagetoid cells.
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At the dermo-epidermal junction (DEJ), densely packed polymorphous dermal edged papillae were observed, in addition to an infiltration of dendritic cells between dermal papillae. At the papillary dermis level, melanophages were observed (Fig. 2). Based on RCM findings, it was not possible to classify this lesion as clearly benign, so it was excised.

On histopathological evaluation, hyperplastic epidermis, hyperkeratosis, acanthosis, and dendritic melanocytes were seen throughout the lesion. Melan-A and CD1a immunostain were positive and confirmed an increased density of melanocytes and Langerhans cells (Fig. 3). These findings were consistent with CM.

**Discussion**

CM is an uncommon neoplasm histologically characterized by proliferation of epidermal keratinocytes and large dendritic melanocytes. The melanocytes are scattered throughout the tumor. The exact incidence of CM among the SK histopathological subtypes is unclear. Roh et al. found a high percentage (9.2%) in their study and refuted that CM is extremely rare.

Four case reports have previously described dermoscopic appearance of CM, and features of both benign as well as malignant lesions have been reported. Rossiello et al. described a starburst pattern, while Shankar et al. observed a pattern of ridges and fissures. Chung et al. described features characteristic of SK in eight CM cases; comedo-like openings and sharp demarcation were the most common findings. However, melanoma-specific dermoscopic criteria
were identified in six of these cases, and blue-white veil and atypical dots were the most frequent features. In the most recent report, Shahriari et al. described a black papule with blue-black pigmentation, suspicious for nodular melanoma.

The assessment of the reported lesion with polarized light (PL) dermatoscopy demonstrated comedo-like openings, fat fingers, and irregularly distributed dots. PL offers a better view of structures located deeper into the skin, whereas non-polarized light allows a better visualization of more superficial structures. With PL, milia-like cysts are less visible; the melanin appears sharper and darker, and comedo-like openings can be interpreted as dots. Thus, the use of PL instruments, increasingly popular among dermatologists, may hinder the diagnosis of CM.

In equivocal clinical and dermoscopic SK cases, Ahligrimm-Siess et al. showed that at least three RCM criteria for SK diagnosis can be found in almost all lesions, including epidermal projections, keratin-filled invaginations, and corneal pseudocysts at the suprabasal levels; densely packed polymorphous dermal papillae at the DEJ level. Furthermore, there is absence of any features suggestive of malignancy.

On RCM examination, the presence of pagetoid spread of dendritic melanocytes within the epidermis, as well the presence of these cells at DEJ, is an important clue for melanoma, in particular the lentigo malign subtype. The presence of numerous bright dendritic cells in the suprabasal epidermis can also be found in pigmented squamous cell carcinoma in situ. Summing up, if dendritic cells are seen scattered throughout a lesion on RCM, it is expected that the dermatologist will be suspicious and quickly jump to conclusion of malignancy.

RCM features of CM have been described in only one case by Shahriari et al. The authors observed numerous, tangled dendritic cells, at the basal and supra-basal layers. This pattern was interpreted as suspicious for melanoma and the lesion was excised, confirming CM.

In the present case, a regular honeycomb and polymorphous papillae were identified, as well as an infiltration of dendritic cells at the basal and supra-basal layers and at the DEJ level. Concerned with these diffuse dendritic cells, even in the presence of other RCM criteria of benignity, the authors performed a biopsy. In hindsight, dendritic cells scattered throughout the tumor in the presence of RCM criteria for SK are consistent with the histopathological description of CM.

In conclusion, ruling out melanoma was difficult after RCM imaging in the present case, as it was for Shahriari et al. The present authors believe that the appearance of uniform dendritic melanocytes throughout the lesion, in the context of a thickened epidermis with RCM features of SK, may be a possible clue of CM. Reports of more cases analyzed with RCM and the definition of criteria for diagnosis of this benign tumor is necessary in order to prevent CM from being a pitfall of RCM examination.
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