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Many proposals for quantum information processing require precise control over the motion of neutral atoms, as in the manipulation of coherent matter waves or the confinement and localization of individual atoms. Patterns of micron-sized wires, fabricated lithographically on a flat substrate, can conveniently produce large magnetic-field gradients and curvatures to trap cold atoms and to facilitate the production of Bose-Einstein condensates. This paper describes tools and techniques for the construction of such devices.

1 Introduction

Cold samples of neutral atoms and Bose-Einstein condensates have become readily available using the techniques of laser cooling and trapping [1], and it has been widely recognized that cold atoms are a rich resource for experiments in quantum information science. For many proposals, however, quantum control of the atomic motional degrees of freedom is essential. For example, quantum computation in a cavity QED setting or through controlled cold collisions requires the ability to trap and control single atoms in the Lamb-Dicke regime [2, 3, 4]. In 1995, Weinstein and Libbrecht noted that micron-sized wires, fabricated on a substrate, are capable of producing the large magnetic field gradients and curvatures required for trapping atoms in the Lamb-Dicke regime [5]. Westervelt et al., in 1998, succeeded in fabricating the wire patterns used in the trap designs of Weinstein and Libbrecht [6]. These microwire devices, now commonly known as atom chips [7], have been used to great success in atom optics and in the production of Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC), and are promising tools not just for quantum computation, but for atom interferometry, cavity QED, and the study of cold collisions as well. In this paper we describe techniques, which have been adapted from the standard lore of microfabrication, for fabricating this increasingly important tool for atomic physics and quantum optics.

Atom optical elements, such as mirrors, waveguides, splitters, traps, and conveyor belts have been demonstrated using atom chips [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Cesium cold collisions in
the presence of light have been studied using a magnetic microtrap \cite{15}, and the use of fiber gap \cite{16, 17} and microsphere cavities \cite{17} for on-chip atom detection is being explored. Ion trap experiments are now using substrates with microfabricated electric pads for the purpose of controlling ion position \cite{18, 19}.

On-chip production of a BEC has been one of the most successful uses of the atom chip thus far \cite{20, 21, 22}. Ioffe traps formed from microwires can produce extremely large trap compressions that enhance the efficiency of evaporative cooling. Consequently, condensate production time can be reduced from one minute to approximately ten seconds \cite{20}, and MOT loading can occur from a thermal vapor in a glass cell with a vacuum of only a few $10^{-10}$ Torr. All of the required magnetic fields can be produced on-chip \cite{23, 24}, removing the necessity of large, high power external coils. The atom chip greatly miniaturizes BEC production and will enable the integration of matter waves with chip-based atom optics and photonics.

Another exciting avenue of research involves the use of an atom chip to trap, in the Lamb-Dicke regime, one or more atoms in the mode of a high finesse cavity. The combination of magnetic microtraps and photonic bandgap (PBG) cavities would be an excellent cavity QED system for the implementation of scalable quantum computation, or for the study of continuous measurement and quantum-limited feedback. One technical proposal involves the integration of a PBG cavity with an Ioffe trap formed from microwires patterned on the same surface \cite{25}. The combination of small mode volume and modest optical quality factor that should be obtainable with PBG structures would enable strong atom-cavity coupling. This would be an interesting alternative to present experiments that utilize a Far Off Resonance Trap (FORT) to confine atoms inside optical Fabry-Perot cavities \cite{26}. Several PBG cavities, each with an independent microwire trap, could be fabricated on the same substrate and coupled together with a network of line-defect optical waveguides.

Atom chips exploit the interaction potential, $V = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}$, between an atom’s magnetic moment, $\vec{\mu}$, and a wire’s magnetic field, $\vec{B}$, to trap or guide weak-field seeking states of a neutral atom. In general, the field’s magnitude, gradient, and curvature scale as $I/r$, $I/r^2$, and $I/r^3$, respectively, where $I$ is the wire’s current and $r$ is its characteristic dimension. Microscopic wire patterns maximize field gradients and curvatures while keeping power dissipation to a minimum. Experiments involve ultra-high vacuum chambers wherein atoms are trapped and cooled near the vicinity of the atom chip’s confining magnetic potentials.

2 Fabrication Challenges and Constraints

Fabrication of atom chips poses several challenges in addition to those encountered in standard photolithography. Many applications require the wires to be a couple microns wide by a few microns tall and spaced only a few microns from one another. One micron resolution is near the limit of standard photolithography, and much care must be taken to accurately produce these micron-sized wires. Wires with widths much less than a micron are of limited usefulness since they become limited to the same maximum current density as micron-sized wires \cite{27}. Further fabrication complications arise from the need to trap the atoms near the substrate’s surface, and the need to connect the microwires to macroscopic leads without blocking optical access. A common technique for trapping atoms near the substrate surface, the mirror magneto-optical trap (MMOT), requires that this surface be an optical mirror as
well as the support surface for the microwires (see figure 1). The substrate surface needs to be larger than 5 to 10 cm$^2$ to accommodate the reflected trapping beams as well as to allow the pads for macroscopic wire contacts to be outside of the mirror area and not blocking the optical access needed for the trapping, imaging, and pumping beams. Consequently, the wire pattern must be flawless over an exceptionally large surface area: during fabrication one must be extremely careful that no dust or surface defects break or short the wires.

![Fig. 1. Diagram of the mirror MOT experimental set-up. A quadrupole field and two 45$^\circ$ laser beams and one retroreflected grazing beam form a MOT 1.5 to 4 mm above the atom mirror.](image)

The major fabrication challenge lies in increasing the height of the wires to a few microns. Even the smallest wires need to support up to an amp of current, and consequently, the cross-sectional area of the wire must be maximized. This reduces wire resistance and limits the heating that causes wire breakdown. Moreover, attention must be paid to the thermal conductivity of the substrate and mounting system to ensure sufficient power dissipation. Sapphire or polished aluminum nitride (AlN) substrates provide sufficient thermal conductivity, but are slightly trickier to use for fabrication than more standard substrates.

The use of microwires to create an Ioffe trap illustrates these challenges. The wire pattern shown in figures 2 (a) and (b) creates a 3D harmonic trap when combined with a perpendicular homogenous bias field. Unlike a quadrupole trap, the Ioffe trap has a non-zero field at the trap center and thus does not suffer from Majorana spin-flip losses. An atom is confined within the Lamb-Dicke regime when its recoil energy is less then the trap’s vibrational level spacing ($\eta = (E_{\text{recoil}}/E_{\text{vib}})^{1/2} < 1$), and for a cesium atom this occurs when the trap curvature exceeds $2 \times 10^6$ G/cm$^2$. To achieve this extremely large field curvature in all three dimensions, the radius of the wire pattern in figure 2 (a) must be smaller than $\sim 30$ µm. For a trap of inner radius 10 µm, outer radius 15 µm, and wire current $I = 1$ A, the curvature and Lamb-Dicke parameter, $\eta$, at the center of the trap in the axis perpendicular (plane parallel) to the substrate is $2 \times 10^8$ G/cm$^2$ ($2 \times 10^{10}$ G/cm$^2$) and $\eta = 0.38$ ($\eta = 0.11$). The closely spaced wires can only be a few microns wide, and even if fabricated to a height of 2 to 4 microns, the wires would need to support the large current density of $\sim 10^{11}$ A/m$^2$. The accommodation
of laser beams for atom cooling, loading, and imaging constrains and complicates the atom chip’s design. The trap minimum is only 7 µm from the substrate’s surface, and the mirror patterned on the surface for use with the MMOT must neither short the Ioffe wires nor extend more than ∼ 5 µm from the surface. The following sections describe the necessary fabrication tools and the techniques we use to overcome these challenges.

![Fig. 2. The planar Weinstein and Libbrecht-style Ioffe trap. a) When combined with an opposing bias field, this wire pattern produces a 3D harmonic potential above the substrate with a non-zero field at the trap center [5]. b) A planar Ioffe trap with an on-chip bias coil fabricated with gold on sapphire using the lift-off method. In the sample shown here, the wire height is 1.5 µm and the minimum wire width is 10 µm. The gold between the wires forms a mirror for creating a mirror MOT.](image)

3 The Elements of Atom Chip Fabrication

Microfabrication is a labor intensive process, often involving several weeks of trial and error to perfect the fabrication recipe. However, once the process works, five to ten atom chips can be produced over a span of two to three days. The intent of this paper is to provide the researcher who has access to a standard clean-room enough information to design and fabricate an atom chip. We will describe the use of fabrication instruments and techniques only insofar as they are relevant to atom chips. Fabrication is not an exact science, and the techniques described here may not be optimal, but nevertheless have proven successful for the chips we have fabricated.

In photolithography, UV light shone through a photomask casts shadows onto photoresist, a light sensitive polymer, which is coated on the surface of the substrate. Either positive or negative photoresist may be used, with the primary difference being that exposed areas of positive photoresist are removed after developing whereas exposed areas remain in a process using negative photoresist. The various fabrication techniques differ in how the wire metal and photoresist are used to create the wire patterns. For instance, the wire metal may be either thermally evaporated into the trenches created in the photoresist, or grown upward trough the trenches by electroplating onto a seed metallic layer underneath the photoresist. The photoresist and unwanted metal are removed leaving only the desired wire pattern. Generally, chip fabrication consists of six steps: creating a photomask containing the desired wire pattern, using photolithography to transfer the wire pattern to photoresist on a substrate, thermally evaporating wire material, increasing the wire height, preparing the surface mir-
ror, and making contacts to macroscopic wires. The details and exact order of these steps vary depending on the specific requirements of the microwire pattern to be fabricated. For instance, wires wider than 30 $\mu$m or less than one micron in height may be fabricated with a much simpler technique than thinner or taller wires. This section discusses the steps common to all techniques. Procedures required to increase the wire’s thickness pertain to individual fabrication techniques and will be discussed in the next section.

### 3.1 The photomask

The photomask is typically a 10 cm square piece of glass or transparent plastic on which is printed a positive or negative 1:1 image of the wire pattern. Wire patterns with widths or spacings less than $\sim 30$ $\mu$m require a professionally made chrome mask: one in which the pattern is written with chromium on a glass plate. We have used the company Photronics, Inc. (telephone 619-992-8467) to make photomasks from AutoCAD drawings. Much care must be taken in producing the AutoCAD files since not all functions are properly converted to the company’s file format. These masks are quite expensive, costing between $600 and $800, but have sub-micron resolution and are typically shipped within a week. It is possible to purchase a laser writer to produce in-house photomasks with resolution down to 0.8 $\mu$m. This can be a cost effective alternative to purchasing individual masks from companies.

Many commercial printing shops are capable of printing transparencies with high enough resolution to serve as photomasks for wire patterns with features larger than $\sim 30$ $\mu$m. The line edges are granular on a scale of a few microns, and the UV exposure time must be adjusted to account for the ink not being perfectly opaque. However, the one day turn-around, low cost of $\sim$ $20$, and ease of file preparation—only an .eps file is typically needed—make the transparency photomask quite an attractive alternative for large features.

### 3.2 The substrate

As mentioned earlier, the substrate material for the atom chip should be carefully chosen: it must be electrically insulating, highly polished, insusceptible to fractures upon localized heating, and an excellent thermal conductor. We have found that both sapphire and AlN substrates satisfy these requirements. Sapphire substrates 0.5 mm to 2 mm thick with surface areas of several cm$^2$ may be purchased from companies such as Meller Optics, Inc. (telephone 800-821-0180) for $30$ to $40$ apiece. A surface quality of 80-50 scratch-dig is sufficient for fabrication. The thermal conductivity of AlN, $\sim 170 \sim 180$ Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ at 20$^\circ$C, is $\sim 4.5$ times higher than that of sapphire$^{[27]}$. We measured that the max current density supported by microwires on AlN, $\sim 2 \times 10^{11}$ A/m$^2$, is a factor of two greater than for microwires patterned on sapphire. This was measured using electroplated gold wires of varying cross-sections patterned exactly the same way on both AlN and sapphire substrates. Specifically, we used several 3 $\mu$m and 20 $\mu$m wide wires whose heights ranged from one to three microns. The substrates were glued to room temperature copper blocks using EPO-TEK H77 (Epoxy Technology, telephone 978-667-3805), a thermally conductive epoxy.

Sapphire substrates are easier to use for fabrication because their transparency allows one to detect and avoid defects and dust during the photolithography process. Polished AlN substrates may be purchased in bulk for less than $\sim 75$, and unlike sapphire, AlN substrates can be cleaved with a diamond scorer to any shape desired. The polished AlN still has a considerable amount of surface roughness—one micron wide plateaus a few hundred
nanometers tall are typical—but we found that it is nevertheless possible to fabricate on this surface perfect three micron wide wires spaced less than three microns from one another. The surface bumps simply map directly onto the upper surface of the wires.

### 3.3 Substrate cleaning

Before the photolithography process may begin, the surface of the substrate must be cleaned to remove all organic material and dust. Although some of the following steps may seem unnecessary and “overkill,” investing the time to thoroughly clean minimizes the chance that after many hours of work, one discovers that a piece of dirt has broken or shorted a wire. The first step is to immerse the substrate in a beaker of “piranha etch,” sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide in a 10:1 volume ratio brought to 100°C on a hot plate for ~ 5 min. Teflon coated, flat tipped tweezers are ideal for manipulating substrates. After the etch, the substrate should be placed in a beaker of acetone, heated again to 100°C for a few minutes, and finally inserted into an ultrasound cleaner for few more minutes. In extreme cases of substrate grime, a cotton tipped dowel can be used to manually wipe away the dirt. Acetone leaves a thin film—and sometimes even particulate—when allowed to dry on a substrate’s surface. It is imperative that one spray isopropanol (IPA) onto the substrate as it is removed from the acetone bath. This rinses the surface of acetone and wets it with IPA which does not quickly dry. The substrate must then be rinsed with methanol, which is relatively clean and does not leave a film, and quickly blown dry with an air or nitrogen gun. It is crucial that the air jet is aimed almost parallel to the surface so that the methanol is blown-off rather than dried on the substrate. When done correctly, the only remaining dirt particles will be along the edge of the substrate that is downwind of the air jet, and not in the center fabrication region. If the substrate is reasonably clean after the piranha etch, then the acetone step (which may actually add some dirt particulate) may be skipped, and the substrate should instead be immersed in IPA and placed inside an ultrasound cleaner.

### 3.4 Thermal evaporation

Certain fabrication techniques, to be discussed below, require that a 100 nm metal layer be thermally evaporated before coating the surface with photoresist. We take this opportunity to discuss the thermal evaporation process. We use gold for the wires because of its high electrical conductivity, resistance to corrosion, and ease of evaporation, electroplating, and wet etching. To successfully deposit gold on a substrate’s surface, one must first evaporate a 50 Å metallic layer that promotes adhesion between the gold and the sapphire or AlN. We typically use chromium, but titanium may also be used. The magnetic effects from the thin layer of chromium are negligible. In a thermal evaporator, the substrate is mounted in a vacuum chamber facing a tungsten crucible positioned a few tens of centimeters below. The crucible, known as a boat, can hold 10 to 20 pieces of ~ 2 mm long and 0.5 mm diameter gold wire. Current flows through the boat, melting the gold and spewing it upwards toward the substrate. A calibrated crystal monitor measures the deposition rate. One to two boats are sufficient to deposit 100 to 200 nm of gold, and this costs $10 to $15 per boat. There are typically only four sets of electrical feedthroughs in the evaporator’s vacuum chamber, and to deposit more gold, one needs to bring the chamber up to atmosphere, reload the boats with gold, and pump back down to base pressure—a process that takes about an hour. The substrate mounting area allows several substrates to be coated at once. Evaporating less than
1 \( \mu m \) of gold is reasonable, but depositing more than 1 \( \mu m \) becomes too expensive and time consuming, and the quality of the gold surface begins to diminish. Moreover, the vacuum chamber eventually becomes hot which may result in the failure of the crystal monitor or the burning of photoresist.

3.5 Photoresist spinning and baking

Photoresist does not always adhere well to the substrate’s surface. Before coating with photoresist, the substrate should be baked on a hot plate at \( \sim 150^\circ C \) for a few minutes to remove surface moisture. Hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) should be used with sapphire substrates to promote adhesion (this is unnecessary for AlN). Only a few monolayers of HMDS are required: after baking, place the sapphire in a dish next to several drops of HMDS and cover for a few minutes. Note that both HMDS and photoresist are carcinogenic and should be handled with care.

Spinning photoresist onto a substrate is a relatively straightforward process. The substrate, with beads of photoresist dripped onto its surface, is spun by a vacuum chuck to a few thousand rpm for several tens of seconds. A faster rotation results in a thinner film of photoresist. Typically, a film thickness of a few microns is possible with standard photoresists, and there exists special resists that are four to twenty microns thick. These thick resists are often important for making tall wire structures. The thickness of a photoresist may be increased beyond its specification by dripping resist onto its surface during rotation. After spin-coating, the photoresist needs to be baked on a hot plate to prepare the polymer for UV exposure. The exact temperature and bake duration are often crucial to the success of the fabrication. We would like to note that it is possible to layer microwire patterns on top of one another by fabricating each new wire layer on top of a spin-coated insulator such as polyimide [29].

3.6 UV exposure

The central step in photolithography is the UV exposure of the photoresist. An instrument known as a mask aligner allows one to accurately position the photomask flush to the substrate’s photoresist-coated surface, and a built-in UV lamp exposes the photoresist for a specified amount of time. Essential for photomask and substrate registration is an optical microscope mounted on the mask aligner. This enables one to simultaneously view the wire patterns on the mask and the underlying substrate. Dust particles or scratches often remain on the substrate even after a thorough cleaning. If these defects are sparse, then the substrate may be translated such that the wires avoid all defects. Aligning the chip’s wire pads along one or more edges of the substrate further constrains the relative position of the photomask to the substrate. It should be noted that it is difficult to properly develop the pads (or other wire features) less than a millimeter from the edge due to photoresist beading. Certain fabrication recipes require the photoresist to be baked and exposed again before developing.

It is good practice to clean the chrome photomasks after every use. Photoresist can stick to the surface, and if left for days, will produce hard to remove specs that can block the UV light, creating unwanted features or breaks in the patterned wires. Immersing in a dish of acetone and rinsing with IPA and methanol is sufficient for routine cleaning. Some chrome masks can withstand ultrasound cleaning as well as being wiped with a soft, lint-free cloth, and this seems to be the only way to remove encrusted grime or particulate.
3.7 Developing

To remove the photoresist regions defined by the UV exposure, the substrate must be immersed and slightly agitated in a beaker of developer for a few tens of seconds followed by a water rinse. The exact developing time depends on the previous fabrication steps, but it is generally possible, especially with the transparent sapphire substrates, to see a characteristic change in opacity of the photoresist as it becomes fully developed. For instance, when using a positive process, one first sees the exposed photoresist turn hazy, revealing the wire pattern. After a few seconds, the hazy region sloughs off exposing the bare substrate and leaving darker, patterned regions of photoresist. If a mistake is made at any point in the photolithography process, the substrate can be reused by removing the photoresist in a beaker of acetone and cleaning the substrate as mentioned above, starting with the ultrasound.

3.8 Ozone dry stripping

Certain fabrication processes require the substrate surface to be etched in an ozone dry stripper. This uses UV light, ozone, and heat to remove thin films of unwanted organic material, photoresist, or HMDS that may prevent the deposition of thermally evaporated or electroplated gold.

3.9 Wire contacts

Wire bonding and ultrasonic fluxless soldering are useful methods for attaching macroscopic wires to the substrate’s contact pads. Wire bonding is the standard method for making contacts to micro- or nanofabricated devices. The wire bonder attaches each end of a thin thread of gold wire to a pad using a heated, ultrasonically vibrating tip. The thin wire may be stretched over several millimeters between the pad on the substrate and a pad on the substrate support structure. The pads on the support structure may then be connected to standard wire contact pins. Because the wire threads are prone to break and cannot individually support more than a few hundred mA of current, it is necessary to make several redundant bonds per pad. This process can be quite time consuming. As an alternative, ultrasonic soldering irons are capable of attaching regular wires to sapphire or AlN using fluxless solder. Attaching wires is nearly as simple as standard soldering, and the fluxless solder is vacuum compatible to at least $10^{-9}$ Torr. Unfortunately, the solder material forms mounds on the substrate’s surface that can limit optical access.

3.10 The mirror

Finally, we would like to discuss methods for making the atom chip’s surface mirror-like. The most straightforward method involves simply patterning gold on the entire chip’s surface except for thin, > 10 µm, wide gaps around the actual wires. This technique does not add any additional steps to the fabrication procedure, but it does increase the likelihood that surface defects will short the wires through contacts to the large mirrored areas. The mirror gaps that define the wires imprint defects onto the reflected mirror MOT beams, but we have nevertheless been able to trap more than a million cesium atoms with this less than perfect mirror. Another technique involves coating the chip’s surface with an insulator and then applying a mirror coating. For example, several layers of polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) can be spun onto the substrate. Swabbing with acetone removes the PMMA covering the wire pads near the substrate’s edge, and the mirror is created by using a mask to thermally
evaporate gold only onto the PMMA-coated region. Epoxying a silver mirror (with EPO-TEK 353) to the surface also forms a good mirror, and it eliminates any corrugations on the mirror surface caused by the underlying wires. Unfortunately, the minimum distance between the atoms and the wires is set by the mirror and epoxy thickness. An improved mirror can be made by epoxying a dielectric mirror onto the surface. Vacuums of $2 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr, in a chamber baked to 150$^\circ$C, have been achieved despite using this glue and dielectric coating.

4 Specific fabrication techniques: wet etching, ion milling, lift-off method, and electroplating

The minimum required wire dimensions vary significantly depending on the atom chip’s application, and an optimal fabrication technique should be chosen accordingly. This section describes the recipe and relative merit of each fabrication method.

4.1 Wet etching and ion milling

The simplest chip to fabricate has wire widths no smaller than 30–40 $\mu$m and wire heights less than 1 $\mu$m. A transparency mask should be used for the photolithography. The wire height is set by a thermally evaporated gold layer and the photoresist masks the gold intended for wires from the wet etch solution (see figure 3(a)). To begin the procedure, the cleaned substrate should be placed in the ozone dry stripper for five minutes at 65$^\circ$C to ensure that no organic material will prevent the adhesion of chromium and gold. The thermal evaporation step follows, with the thickness of the gold layer determined by chip’s current density requirements. Because the photoresist adheres well to gold, only a 5 min bake at 180$^\circ$C is necessary for adhesion. Wet etching removes exposed gold, and the photoresist should be patterned such that it covers the areas intended for wires, i.e. the photoresist should be a positive image of the wire pattern. A photomask on which the wires are opaque, used in conjunction with positive photoresist, will produce a positive image of the wire pattern. We use the photoresist AZ5214 (Clariant), which can serve as both a negative and positive photoresist depending on the bake and exposure procedure. The positive process recipe is as follows: spin coat at 5000 rpm for 50 s, bake at 95$^\circ$C for 2 min, expose for 10 to 20 s, and develop in AZ327 MIF (or some similar developer) for 30 s. All of the above times are approximate and will vary depending on the UV light intensity of the specific mask aligner and on various environmental conditions. It may be necessary to try various exposure and bake times to find the optimal recipe. These exposure times are based on the 16 mW/cm$^2$ UV intensity of our mask aligner. To remove the gold not covered by photoresist, submerge the substrate in gold etch solution (Gold Etchant TFA, Transene Company, Inc., telephone 978-777-7860) for a few tens of seconds until only the dull gray of the chromium layer remains. Finally, remove the chromium layer with chrome etchant (CR-7S, Cyantek, Co., telephone 510-651-3341). Figures 4(a) and (b) show a substrate patterned in this manner. The wet etch dissolves the gold isotropically, and the decrease in wire width is insignificant for wires larger than 10 to 20 $\mu$m. Of course, transparency masks cannot be used for features smaller than a few tens of microns.

Ion milling can be useful alternative to wet etching. Instead of removing the unwanted gold with an etch solution, argon ions bombard the surface, removing the gold not covered by photoresist (see figure 5(b)). This method can produce very narrow features, limited only
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Fig. 3. Fabrication techniques. (a) Patterned positive photoresist masks the gold layer from the gold and chromium wet etch. (b) The argon ions mill away the gold not covered by positive photoresist. (c) Gold is thermally evaporated into the trenches patterned in the negative photoresist. The undercut allows the photoresist and unwanted gold to separate from the substrate without peeling away the gold in the trenches. (d) Wires are defined by gaps in the positive photoresist, and the walls of the photoresist guide the wires as they are electroplated. After electroplating, acetone removes the photoresist and gold and chromium etches remove the seed layer.
Fig. 4. Gold wire patterned using the wet etch technique. (a) This atom chip contains a quadrupole trap in the U configuration. The gold wire, patterned on sapphire and surrounded by a gold mirror, is 300 µm wide and 1 µm tall. (b) Close-up of the wire region. The gold appears darker than the uncovered sapphire substrate.

by photoresist resolution, with heights determined by the thermally evaporated gold layer. The photoresist is also milled, but this is of no consequence as long as it is thicker than the gold layer. The substrate may become quite hot during the ion etching, and one needs to be careful that the substrate does not overheat, causing the photoresist to become hard and difficult to remove. We have used ion milling to make atom chips as well as to etch a common hard drive for use as a magnetic atom mirror [14].

4.2 The lift-off method

The quick and easy wet etch technique is unfortunately not suitable for wire widths smaller than 10 µm, and ion milling machines are not readily available. The lift-off method should be used for the case in which the wires need not be taller than 1 µm but less than 10 µm wide. In contrast to the wet etch technique, the photoresist in this method is used as a mask for the deposition of thermally evaporated gold. Trenches are created in a negative photoresist using a photomask with opaque wires, and evaporated gold deposits both into the trenches, adhering to the substrate, and onto the surface of the photoresist (see figure 3(c)). If done properly, the walls of the trenches have an overhang—which looks like an undercut when viewed from above—that prevents the unwanted gold on the photoresist from connecting to the gold in the trenches. An acetone bath dissolves the photoresist, allowing the unwanted gold to lift-off leaving the wire pattern formed from the gold in the trenches.

After cleaning the substrate, the AZ5214 is spun on the substrate for 45 s at 5000 rpm. The maximum height of the thermally evaporated wires is set by the thickness of the photoresist since lift-off will not work once the top of the gold connects with the gold on the overhang. We have been able to achieve lift-off with wires 1.5 µm tall by spinning the photoresist on at 2000 rpm and thermally evaporating many boats of gold over a period of three to four hours. The photoresist should then be baked for 45 s at 100°C, UV exposed with the photomask for 10 s, baked again for 45 s at 123°C, UV exposed with no mask for 2.1 min, and developed for 25 to 35 s. Developing is finished when one can see the wire pattern in the photoresist. A
successful undercut can be seen in a microscope as a bright outline of the edges of the trenches. Before thermal evaporation, the substrate should be placed in the ozone dry stripper at 65°C for 5 minutes. To promote lift-off, the acetone bath should be heated on a hot plate, and the substrate, while inside the beaker, should be sprayed with an acetone squirt bottle. It is very important that all of the gold-coated photoresist be peeled away before the substrate is removed from the acetone. Otherwise, once dried, the unwanted gold flakes become extremely difficult to separate from the surface. Difficulty in achieving lift-off may be overcome by briefly exposing the substrate to ultrasound. This is risky, however, since the gold wires might be stripped-off as well. Figure 2(b) shows an atom chip fabricated with the lift-off method.

4.3 Electroplating

The above methods rely on thermal evaporation to achieve the required wire thickness. This limits the wire heights to $\sim 1 \mu m$. Electroplating the wires can increase the wire height considerably: for example, we have made 3 $\mu m$ wide wires, 4 $\mu m$ tall. Thick photoresist spun and patterned on a thin gold seed layer provide a template for the growth of the wires. The walls of the photoresist maintain a constant wire width as the wire height increases (see figure 4(d)). An acetone wash followed by a brief wet etch removes the photoresist and gold seed layer. Electroplating is a tricky process that does not always produce reliable results. We provide here a general guideline for the process, and with this process we have typically been able to achieve a 75% yield with a wire height accuracy of $\pm 0.5 \mu m$.

Fabrication begins with cleaning and ozone dry stripping the substrate, followed by the thermal evaporation of a 100 to 150 nm seed layer of gold along with a 50 Å chromium adhesion layer. For proper wire guiding, the photoresist must always be taller than the electroplated wires, and a photoresist thicker than the one used in the aforementioned techniques is necessary. Clariant’s AZ9200 series photoresists are 4 to 24 microns thick, and can achieve aspect ratios of 5 to 7 with resolutions of $< 1 \mu m$ to 3.5 $\mu m$ depending on the resist thickness. After spin coating, the photoresist should be UV exposed for 60 s (or longer depending on the photoresist thickness) using a photomask with transparent wire patterns. The resist is developed in a 1:4 solution of AZ400K and water for a minute or more: the exposed photoresist will turn hazy before dissolving away. The gold seed layer also acts as the cathode in the electroplating process, and some of the photoresist must be whipped away with acetone—or a blank spot designed in the photoresist—to serve as a contact for the cathode lead. An ozone dry etch is then used to remove any layers of HMDS, photoresist, or organics that might mask regions of the gold from the electroplating solution. The time and temperature of this process is crucial: too long of an exposure at too high of a temperature will make the photoresist difficult to remove between closely spaced wires, and too short of an exposure will not remove enough unwanted masking material. For example, we found that an 18 s room-temperature ozone dry etch was optimal for removing unwanted material while also enabling the removal of photoresist between wires spaced by 3 $\mu m$.

We use a sodium gold sulfite solution (TG-25E, Technic, Inc. telephone 714-632-0200) for the electroplating. The solution is temperature controlled on a hot plate to 60°C and agitated with a magnetic stirrer. The anode is a platinum foil, and the substrate is connected to the power supply with a standard mini alligator clip. This clip can be dipped into the bath to enable the complete submersion of the substrate. We usually use a current of 0.1 to 0.2 mA
to electroplate. Higher currents seem to produce rougher wire surfaces. The solution should remain clear to slightly yellowish during the process, and something is wrong if the solution starts to turn brown. The substrate should be gently agitated while electroplating to promote even plating and suppress the formation of $\sim 5 \, \mu m$ tall towers of gold. Typically, it takes 10 to 30 minutes to electroplate several microns of gold at this current setting.

After electroplating, the photoresist should be removed in a room-temperature acetone bath. Sometimes it is difficult to remove the photoresist between wires spaced only several microns from one another, and in these cases the substrate—while in the acetone—should be placed in an ultrasound for a few minutes. The gold should not peel away since it is attached to the entire substrate surface. After rinsing the acetone away with IPA and methanol, the gold seed layer is removed with a $\sim 15$ s wet etch. The chromium adhesion layer should also be wet etched away. Occasionally, the air jet does not remove all of the methanol from the substrate, and tiny drops of methanol can sometimes dry on leeward side of the wires. This dried methanol acts as a mask for the gold etch, leaving small puddles of the seed layer that can short adjacent wires. These puddles can be removed by rinsing with methanol, blow-drying from a different angle, and briefly wet etching a second time. The surface reflectance of the gold is typically diminished after the wet etch, and a mirror fabricated with this gold may not be ideal.

A surface profilometer, commonly known as an alpha step machine, is quite useful for quickly measuring the height of the wires. Inevitably, a few substrates must be spent optimizing the electroplating process for a specific wire height. Figures 5(a) and (b) show a chip-based BEC interferometer that we fabricated by electroplating on an AlN substrate [30]. The smallest features are five, 1 mm long wires that are each 3 $\mu m$ wide, 4 $\mu m$ tall, and spaced less than 3 $\mu m$ from one another.

![Fig. 5. An atom chip-based BEC interferometer fabricated by electroplating onto an AlN substrate.](image)
a) The chip will produce a BEC and transport it to the center region where b) five wires 3 $\mu m$ wide, 4 $\mu m$ tall, and spaced by 3 $\mu m$ will split the BEC in a double well potential.
5 Conclusion

The techniques described in this paper provide a basic starting point for the design and fabrication of these atom chips. The precise control of atomic position enabled by these chips is quite crucial to many areas of research. Moreover, these devices allow an incredible miniaturization of experimenters involving cold atoms. From constructing atom optical elements to studies of BECs and cavity QED, atom chips are proving invaluable to the fields of atomic physics, quantum optics, and quantum computation.
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