**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Transcutaneous electrical nervous stimulation (TENS) and interferential electrical stimulation (IES) attenuates muscle metaboreflex by sympathetic nervous modulation. **Objective:** We tested the hypothesis that IES may be more effective than TENS to improves blood flow which may be linked to greater of deep tissue. **Methods:** Eleven health subjects were randomized to TENS (80 Hz, 150μs), IES (4000 Hz, ΔAMF=25 Hz) or sham stimulation group, during 30 minutes. The acute intervention was applied on stellate ganglion region (C7-T4). **Results:** Were measured metaboreflex activity by calf vascular resistance (CVR) and calf blood flow (CBF) and HRV during three times: rest, exercise (static handgrip) and postexercise circulatory occlusion (PECO+ and PECO−). At the exercise peak, compared with TENS and Sham, the IES group reduced CVR (36 ± 5 vs 43 ± 3; p<0.05) and increased CBF (p<0.01). Also, IES was associated with a greater reduction of the isolated application IES and PECO+ (p<0.05). **Conclusion:** The IES over the stellate ganglion region seems to have superior efficacy compared with TENS to attenuate metaboreflex activation and vasodilatory responses during exercise in healthy subjects.
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**BACKGROUND**

Several studies with application of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and interferential electrical stimulation (IES) were recently conducted with special focus on non-analgesic effects that seem to be related to blood flow effect and vasodilatory mechanisms.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) In this regard, it has been suggested that the application of TENS and IES, low and middle frequency electrical pulses, respectively, over stellate ganglion or peripheral may induce local vasodilatation,\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^7\) attenuating the vascular resistance, that may be linked to improvement of cardiopulmonary adjustment. In addition, these electrical stimulation modalities could also have a favorable impact on the sympathetic nervous system, trough mitigation on the pressor reflex.\(^6\)

Considering the variety of the studies methodologies, such as duration, intensity and area under treatment, different physiological responses has been showed, such as, peripheral circulation increase,\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^12\) myocardial oxygen increase, and oxygen demand reduction.\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^15\) Our research group recently found that TENS applied previously to exercise at stellate ganglion region attenuates muscle metaboreflex activation (reduction in the distribution of muscle blood flow).\(^2\)

This response was linked to an increase in peripheral vasodilatory capacity and reduction of the blood pressure response at the end of the exercise, attenuating sympathetic-mediated vasoconstriction during exercise. In addition, we also tested the effect of the isolated application IES on muscle metaboreflex activity,\(^3\) resulting in significant lower levels of vasoconstrictor tone and marked reduction in muscle metaboreflex activity. However, despite these findings, there is none study that compare these two kinds of electrical stimulation.

In this sense, the aim of the present study was to compare the effectiveness of application of TENS and IES over the ganglionic area and their muscle metaboreflex responses mediated by the autonomic nervous system in healthy individuals. The hypothesis is that the blood pressure, blood flow and resistance vascular response evoked by directly stimulation on ganglion with IES during static exercise would be greater than TENS, due to present higher maximum total current and greater penetration in the tissues, as well as lower accommodation of the stimulated nerve fibers.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\)
The exclusion criteria were use of alcohol or any medication with potential effects on circulatory system. The subjects were instructed not to consume foods or beverages containing caffeine and do not exercise 48 hours before the protocol. Data were collected in Exercise Pathophysiology Research Laboratory and Cardiology Division, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre under protocol number 110374 and Clinical Trial Register (NCT01450371). Subjects were informed about the study protocol and gave their informed written consent before their participation.

**Experimental Protocol**

Subjects were randomly allocated, using computer-based randomization with Graphpad StatMate™ software (La Jolla, CA, USA), in three groups: TENS, IES or Sham-stimulation group, with 48 hours rest between them and 72 hours after the first visit. In the first visit, subjects completed a health questionnaire and performed a maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test, as previously described.(17) In the second, third and fourth visits, subjects were submitted to the randomized intervention during 30 minutes, applied in the region of cervical-thoracic ganglion (C7-T4), where 5x5 cm2 adhesive electrodes (MultiStick®, Axelgaard Manufacturing CO, Ltd, Fallbrook, CA, USA) were placed on each side, about 3 cm to the right and left of midline vertebral process as described elsewhere.(2) The TENS group received continuous flow, symmetrical and rectangular biphasic pulses using bipolar electrodes with two channels of TENS (TensMed 911 Device, Enraf-Novius B.V., Rotterdam, Netherlands, GB 3004), with a frequency of 80 Hz and pulse width of 150 µs. For IES group, the carrier current was adjusted to 4000 Hz, with AMF of the 80 Hz, AMF variation of 25 Hz (25% of carrier current was adjusted to 4000 Hz, with AMF 911 Device, Enraf Nonius B.V., Kempele, Finland) and mean blood pressure (MBP) was measured in the non-dominant arm using a calibrated oscillometric automatic device (Dinamap 1846SX/P, Critikon, Tampa, Florida, USA). Calf blood flow (CBF) was measured by venous occlusion plethysmography (Hokanson, TL-400, Bellevue, USA). Calf vascular resistance (CVR) was calculated as MBP/CBF.(17)

Determination of MMA was performed by changes in systolic blood pressure which were measured and plotted against protocol time for both PECO+ and PECO-. The area under curve was estimated, and the calculated difference in the area between PECO+ and PECO- was regarded as MMA. All flow recordings were manually traced by an operator who was blinded to the intervention and time. Reproducibility of CBF measurements in our study group has been observed to be good with coefficients of variation of 5.7-5.9% for intra and inter-day measurements.(2, 3)

**Heart Rate Variability**

Recordings obtained from the heart rate monitor were analyzed using intervals during the 9-min heart rate variability (HRV) data acquisition period, considering 256 heart beats, as described elsewhere (2). HRV in the frequency domain was calculated according to the Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology (1996).(19) Power spectral component were reported using Fast Fourier Transform at LF and HF, expressed in normalized units. Temporal series from the tachogram, related to each selected segment were quantitatively evaluated considering the values for the HR, total and normalized powers (n.u) of low frequency (LF – 0.04 to 0.15 Hz) and high frequency (HF – 0.15 to 0.40 Hz) components of HRV and the sympatho-vagal index (LF/HF). Normalized units were obtained by dividing the power of a given component by the total power (from which VLF has been subtracted) and maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) of the dominant arm was initially determined with a handgrip dynamometer (Jamar® Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer, Sammons Preston CO, Bolingbrook, Illinois, USA). A static handgrip exercise was performed at 30% of MVC for 3 min, immediately followed by post exercise circulatory occlusion with (PECO+) and without occlusion (PECO-) pressure measurement of the exercised arm, to promote selective induction of metaboreflex. Heart rate (HR) was measured by a heart rate monitor (POLAR model RS800, Kempele, Finland) and mean blood pressure (MBP) was measured in the non-dominant arm using a calibrated oscillometric automatic device (Dinamap 1846SX/P, Critikon, Tampa, Florida, USA). Calf blood flow (CBF) was measured by venous occlusion plethysmography (Hokanson, TL-400, Bellevue, USA). Calf vascular resistance (CVR) was calculated as MBP/CBF.(17)

**Muscle Metaboreflex Induction**

The muscle metaboreflex activity was evaluated as described elsewhere.(2,3,17) Briefly, muscle metaboreflex activity was evaluated as described elsewhere.(2,3,17) Briefly, the muscle metaboreflex activity was evaluated as described elsewhere.(2,3,17)
multiplying by 100. \(^{(20)}\) Analyses were performed with a personal computer using customized software (KUBIOS, Kuopio, Finland) as performed previously for our group. Artifacts were reviewed by visual inspection of the computer display. Only segments with 95% pure sinus beats were included in the final analysis.

**Data Analysis**

Values are reported as means ± SD. Two-tailed unpaired \(t\) tests were used to compare differences in baseline values between the groups. Differences in hemodynamic responses among TENS, IES and Control intervention during exercise and to PECO+/PECO- were compared by generalized estimating equation (GEE) models for repeated measures. Statistical significance was accepted when \(p < 0.05\). Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**

During the 4-month recruitment period, 18 subjects were screened. Seven subjects were excluded to not meeting inclusion criteria (n=5) and decline to participate (n=2). A total of 11 healthy subjects (age: 26 ± 2.8 years; height: 166 ± 4 cm; body mass: 63 ± 3 kg) completed the study (Figure 1). The subjects had a maximal oxygen uptake of 38 ± 0.3 ml/kg.min\(^{-1}\), assessed on previous ramp-incremental cycle ergometer exercise test. Initial maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) was 42 ± 3 N, assessed by handgrip dynamometer. \(^{(19)}\)

**Muscle Metaboreflex Activity**

Responses of MBP, HR, CBF and CVR data during TENS, IES and Sham-stimulation, at baseline, hangrip exercise, and during the recovery with and without immediate circulatory occlusion (PECO+ and PECO-, respectively) were shown in Figure 2. In all groups MBP was greater during exercise and the recovery period during PECO+ compared with PECO-. However, both modalities of electrical current evoked significant reduction on MBP at peak exercise compared with control condition (Sham-stimulation 113 ± 3, TENS 103 ± 2 and IES 88 ± 3 mmHg; \(p < 0.05\)) which was meaningly lower in IES group (\(p < 0.001\)) (Fig. 2A). HR did not present relevant changes between PECO groups in the baseline and exercise, but the IES reduced significantly HR during exercise, at peak exercise and recovery compared with Sham and TENS (\(p < 0.05\)) (Fig. 2A). Regarding CBF measure, TENS and IES increased value in baseline, exercise and recovery compared with Sham (\(p < 0.001\)), with a superior value on IES when comparing to TENS (\(p < 0.05\)) (Fig. 2B). Unlike the CBF, the CVR was significantly reduced in TENS and IES groups in all situations compared with Sham group in all situations (\(p < 0.05\)). Likewise, a higher reduction was found after application of IES (\(p < 0.001\)) (Fig. 2B).

![Figure 2: Mean blood pressure (MBP) and heart rate (HR) in absolute values during the static hangrip exercise, and after exercise with (PECO+) and without (PECO-) circulatory occlusion in healthy subjects.](image_url)

*Note: Statistical significance was accepted when \(p < 0.05\). * Generalized estimating equation (GEE) for repeated measures (\(p < 0.05\)): comparisons within intervention, PECO- vs. PECO+; † GEE for repeated measures (\(P < 0.05\)): comparisons between interventions, Control vs. TENS vs. IES.
Heart Rate Variability

Results for HRV parameters during PECO- and PECO+ were shown in Figure 4. TENS and IES presented different responses when compared with Sham group (p<0.001). LF and HF components were changed in both PECO- and PECO+ with TENS and IES (p<0.001). Interestingly, these changes were more expressive during PECO+ with IES (p<0.05). LF/HF ratio, which represent sympatho-vagal balance modulation, reduced significantly during PECO+ on TENS and IES compared with to Sham group (p<0.01). Furthermore, IES resulted in higher reduction of LF/HF ratio during PECO- and PECO+ (p<0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first randomized trial comparing IES and TENS effect, applied over the stellate ganglion region, over autonomic nervous system in healthy subjects. The main findings of this study are that IES have a superior effect compared to TENS to attenuate muscle metaboreflex activity by sympathetic-vagal modulation in healthy subjects, as shown previously.(3)

At least in part, these findings may be underled by a higher maximum total current and
a more effective penetration of IES into deep tissues through kilohertz-carrier-frequency pulsed or sinusoidal currents to overcome the impedance of the skin.\(^{(16)}\) Differently, low frequency TENS studies produced a reduced skin conductance.\(^{(12,21)}\) If so, IES could generate larger alterations on muscle metaboreflex activity and sympathetic-mediated vasoconstriction, which may induce local vasodilation during exercise.

In this study, IES applied on ganglion region was superior to TENS to improve CBF and reduce CVR, and, hence, decreasing muscle metaboreflex activity during exercise. A previous study by our group had already suggested that IES can generate peripheral vasodilatation in this population at peak exercise.\(^{(3)}\) Although it is the first study comparing IES and TENS electrical stimulation in healthy volunteers. Lamb found an increased arterial blood flow and skin perfusion during and after IES.\(^{(4)}\) and Ganne et al. demonstrated substantial vasodilatation in the upper limbs with the administration of IES to the brachial plexus region.\(^{(22)}\) Furthermore, the application of the electrical stimulation at ganglion level has resulted in a significant improvement of the blood flow in subjects with Raynold’s Syndrome\(^{(23)}\) and Endarteritis Obliterans,\(^{(24)}\) which corroborates our findings.

In contrast, Nussbaum et al.\(^{(25)}\) found no change in peripheral vasodilatation with the use of IES when applied to the cervical sympathetic chain and dorsal-lumbar region, regardless of the application site and intensity of the current. Other studies have reported that application of IES did not change peripheral blood flow and vascular resistance in healthy subjects during rest\(^{(1)}\) and did not increased cutaneous blood flow when applied quadriceps.\(^{(7)}\) This could be explained by different evaluation moments and local of electrical stimulation application, respectively.

We also found that the effect of IES was higher than TENS on the modulation of HRV, with increases of HF and decreases in LF component and LF/HF ratio during PECO+ or PECO-. Our group has already demonstrated that TENS results in HRV improvement,\(^{(2)}\) which is compatible with sympathetic nervous system activity reduction, perhaps by the CNS opioid release enhancement suggested by Campbell and Ditto.\(^{(21)}\) Studies in chronic heart disease patients have reported that the application of TENS is linked to increase the baroreflex sensitivity,\(^{(13,14,26,27)}\) but none with ganglion application. Also, the sympatho-inhibitory effects of TENS also seems to have a beneficial effect on mean blood pressure.\(^{(28)}\) We found no studies evaluating HRV modulation after IES intervention.

In this context, it could hypothesized that the modulating effects of IES and TENS on the opioid systems produced important systemic effects. For instance, low frequency TENS – as used in the present study – may activate δ-opioid receptors in spinal cord\(^{(29)}\) and rostral ventromedial medulla.\(^{(30)}\) These receptors are associated with vasoactive substances release such as endorphins which have dual effects in reducing pain and sympathetically-mediated vasoconstriction.\(^{(31,32)}\) However, we believed that TENS and IES at stellate ganglion evoked important effects on the opioid systems improving blood flow peripheral by vagal-tonus stimulation.

The present investigation has some important limitations which can drive the interest for future studies. Firstly, we did not evaluate muscle sympathetic nerve activity or catecholamines spill-over autonomic which could additionally provide supportive evidence for IES and TENS-induced reduction in sympathetic hyperactivity. Secondly, stellate ganglion blockade is related to an enhance of the cerebral blood flow.\(^{(33)}\) Thirdly, as described previously in our two papers,\(^{(2,3,32)}\) we used as control for application of TENS and IES electrodes at the same dorsal region. Fourthly, an additional limitation was did not attempt to directly assess endogenous opioid levels. On the other hand, as described in the literature,\(^{(21)}\) the usual method of assessing opioid levels by assay of plasma, may not be relevant for blood pressure.

**STUDY LIMITATIONS**

The likely contemporary stimulation of near structures which may affect the cardiovascular system should be considered and discussed.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, the results of the present study demonstrate that ganglion neuromuscular electrical stimulation by TENS and IES was capable of attenuating the peripheral responses caused by muscle metaboreflex activity, maintaining peripheral blood flow and peripheral vascular resistance within the range of normality, with IES superiority. These findings contribute toward a better understanding of these types of therapies on these variables. The administration of these therapies may have an extremely positive impact on the treatment of patients with diseases that lead to an intolerance to exercise due exacerbation of muscle metaboreflex activity.
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