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1 Introduction

Deep neural networks have constantly pushed the state-of-the-art performance in natural language processing (NLP) and are now considered as the de facto modeling approach in solving most complex NLP tasks such as machine translation, summarization and question-answering. Despite the benefits and the usefulness of deep neural networks at-large, their opaqueness is a major cause of concern. Interpreting neural networks is considered important for increasing trust in AI systems, providing additional information to decision makers, and assisting ethical decision making (Lipton, 2016).

Work done on neural interpretation can be broadly divided into two types: i) intrinsic analysis, ii) causation analysis. The former class analyze representations learned within neural networks (Adi et al., 2016; Belinkov et al., 2017a,b; Dalvi et al., 2017; Conneau et al., 2018; Tenney et al., 2019; Durrani et al., 2019). The latter tries to understand the network with various behavioural studies (Gulordava et al., 2018; Linzen et al., 2016; Marvin and Linzen, 2018), or by studying importance of input features and neurons with respect to a prediction (Dhamdhere et al., 2018a; Lundberg and Lee, 2017; Tran et al., 2018). These works on interpretation have been presented in earlier tutorials, for example ACL 2020 (Belinkov et al., 2020b) and EMNLP 2020 (Wallace et al., 2020). The former focused on representation analysis and behavioral studies and the latter discusses work done on the causal interpretation such as assessing model’s behavior using constructed examples. Both these great tutorials serve as a starting point for the new researchers in this area.

The representation analysis, also called as structural analysis, is useful to understand how various core linguistic properties are learned in the model (Belinkov et al., 2020a). However, the analysis suffers from a few limitations. It mainly focuses at interpreting full vector representations and does not study the role of fine-grained components in the representation i.e. neurons. Also the findings of representation analysis do not link with the cause of a prediction (Belinkov and Glass, 2019). While the behavioral analysis evaluates model predictions, it does not typically connect them with the influence of the input features and the internal components of a model (Vig et al., 2020).

In this tutorial, we present and discuss the research work on interpreting fine-grained components of a model from two perspectives, i) fine-grained interpretation, ii) causation analysis. The former introduces methods to analyze individual neurons and a group of neurons with respect to a language property or a task. The latter studies the role of neurons and input features in explaining decisions made by the model. We will cover important research questions such as i) how is knowledge distributed across the model components? ii) what knowledge learned within the model is used for specific predictions? iii) does the inhibition of specific knowledge in the model change predictions? iv) how do different modeling and optimization choices impact the underlying knowledge?

Recent work on interpreting neurons has shown that in-addition to gaining better understanding of the inner workings of neural networks, the neuron-level interpretation has applications in model distillation (Rethmeier et al., 2020), domain adaptation (Gu et al., 2021) or efficient feature selection (Dalvi et al., 2020) e.g., by removing unimportant neurons, facilitating architecture search, and mitigating model bias by identifying neurons responsible for sensitive attributes like gender, race or politeness (Bau et al., 2019; Vig et al., 2020). These recent works are not only enabling better understanding of these networks, but are also leading towards better, fairer and more environmental-friendly models, which are all important goals for the Artificial Intelligence community at large.
2 Description

The tutorial is divided into two main parts: i) fine-grained interpretation, and ii) causation analysis. The first part of the tutorial covers methods that align neurons to human interpretable concepts or study the most salient neurons in the network. We cluster these methods into four groups i) Visualization Methods (Karpathy et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016a), ii) Corpus Selection (Kádár et al., 2017; Poerner et al., 2018; Na et al., 2019; Mu and Andreas, 2020), iii) Neuron Probing (Dalvi et al., 2019a; Lakretz et al., 2019; Valipour et al., 2019; Durrani et al., 2020, 2021) and iv) Unsupervised Methods (Bau et al., 2019; Torroba Hennigen et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Michael et al., 2020). We also discuss evaluation methods that are used to measure the effectiveness of an interpretation method, such as accuracy, control tasks (Hewitt and Liang, 2019) and ablation studies (Li et al., 2016b; Lilalian et al., 2018; Dalvi et al., 2019a; Lakretz et al., 2019). Moreover, we cover various applications of these methods that go beyond interpretation such as efficient transfer learning (Dalvi et al., 2020), controlling system's behavior (Bau et al., 2019; Suau et al., 2020), generating explanations (Mu and Andreas, 2020) and domain adaptation (Gu et al., 2021).

The second part, Causation Analysis, focuses on the methods that characterize the role of neurons and layers towards a specific prediction. More concretely, we discuss gradient and perturbation-based attribution algorithms such as Integrated Gradients (Sundararajan et al., 2017), Layer Conductance (Dhamdhere et al., 2018b), Saliency (Simonyan et al., 2014), SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) (Lundberg and Lee, 2017) and showcase how they can help us to identify important neurons in different layers of a deep neural network. Besides that we also dive deep into more recent and advanced attribution algorithms that take feature or neuron interactions into account. More specifically, we look into Integrated Hessians (Janizek et al., 2020), Shapely Taylor index (Dhamdhere et al., 2020) and Archipelago (Tsang et al., 2020).

Lastly, we present various open source toolkits and libraries that provide implementation of notable techniques in the area. A few examples include: Captum (Kokhlikyan et al., 2020), InterpretML, NeuroX (Dalvi et al., 2019b), Ecco and Diagnose (Jumelet and Hupkes, 2019). We give a walk-through of how some of these tools can be used for fine-grained interpretation and causation analysis. Throughout the tutorial, we critically evaluate the strengths and weakness of the presented methods, and discuss future directions.

3 Outline

I. Introduction: We introduce the topic and motivate it by providing the vision of model interpretability, and how it leads towards fair and ethical models and towards better generalization. We then describe various forms of interpretation and outline the scope of the tutorial (15 minutes).

II. Fine-grained Interpretation: We present and discuss the work on neuron-level interpretation. (90 minutes)
   - Methods (30 minutes)
   - Evaluation (15 minutes)
   - Findings (30 minutes)
   - Practical (15 minutes)

III. Causation Analysis: In causation analysis we present various methods on interpreting model predictions with respect to input features and individual neurons. (60 minutes)
   - Methods (30 minutes)
   - Evaluation (10 minutes)
   - Practical (20 minutes)

IV. Concept-based Interpretation of Prediction: This part aims to bridge the gap between fine-grained interpretation and causation analysis. We discuss how fine-grained interpretation and causation analysis can be combined to establish concept-based interpretation of model predictions. (10 minutes)

V. Discussion: The last part discusses the overall challenges that the current work faces and suggests future directions. (10 minutes)

4 Prerequisites

We assume a basic knowledge of the deep learning and familiarity with the LSTM-based and transformer-based pre-trained models such as ELMo (Peters et al., 2018) and BERT (Devlin et al., 2019). Additionally, some familiarity with natural language processing tasks such as, named entity
tagging, natural language inference, etc. would be useful but not mandatory. We do not expect participants to have familiarity with the research on the interpretation and analysis of deep models. Familiarity with Python, Pytorch and Transformers library (Wolf et al., 2019) would be useful to understand the practical part.

5 Reading List

• In order to get an overview of the interpretation field, trainees may look at the following survey papers: Belinkov and Glass (2019) and Danilevsky et al. (2020).

• Fine-grained analysis and its Applications: Bau et al. (2019); Dalvi et al. (2019a); Mu and Andreas (2020); Suau et al. (2020) etc.

• Causation analysis: Lundberg and Lee (2017) provides an overview of various methods introduced in literature. For more details, see the following papers: Voita et al. (2020); Sundararajan et al. (2017); Dhamdhere et al. (2018b); Ribeiro et al. (2016); Janizek et al. (2020)

In addition to the above list, interested trainees may look at the papers mentioned in Section 2.
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