Work Pressures Among Teachers of Learning Disabilities in English Language from their Point of View

Mohammad Ahmad Saleem Khasawneh

Corresponding Email: mkhasawneh@kku.edu.sa

1Assistant Professor, Special Education Department, King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia

Received: August 5, 2021 Revised: August 26, 2021 Accepted: September 9, 2021

Abstract

This study aimed at identifying the work pressures among teachers of learning disabilities in English language in Irbid governorate from their point of view. The total number of the study population was (60) male and female teachers, while the study sample included (30) male and female teachers. To collect the study information, the researcher developed a questionnaire to reveal the level of work stress among male and female teachers, and it included (40) items. The study found that there are pressures at work for teachers of LDs in English language. These pressures are the poor achievement of some students, large financial obligations for teachers, poor standards used to promote teachers, the large number of lessons taught by the teacher per week, daily planning, and overcrowding of students in class. The study recommended holding training courses for teachers in the private sector, similar to their colleagues in other sectors, to improve the educational process, and so that teachers can keep pace with the rapid scientific and technological development that the world is witnessing around them.
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Introduction

Work pressure has captured the attention of many countries of the developed world, and this concern is due to the fear of these countries of the effects of pressure on the behavior of individuals and groups, their job performance, and the institutions in which they work. Pressure at work increases burdens to the national economy of these countries. Therefore, sound management must give pressure at work the attention it deserves so that its employees can perform their jobs efficiently and effectively (Al-Otaibi, 2003).

Any individual does not live without pressure. The moderate amount of pressure is useful and may have positive results, motivation, and incentives that help improve job performance. However, excess pressure is what must be avoided because it makes the individual loses his abilities and energies in adapting and overcoming stress. Any physical exhaustion or an unpleasant psychological state such as anxiety, frustration, and pain is directly related to the work pressures that man is exposed to (Singh, & Srivastava, 2018). Work stress causes a person many mental and physical diseases and disorders, such as heart disease, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, anxiety, frustration, and headaches (Na-Nan et al, 2018).

Given the importance of the role that the teacher plays in the educational process, researchers in the field of education have been interested in identifying the sources of work pressures that the teacher is exposed to reduce the impact of these pressures on teachers, whose effects are undoubtedly reflected on their job performance (Mohammed, 2015).

Through the studies that were conducted on work stress, the level of pressure on teachers has risen significantly during the modern era. The results of these studies have indicated that teachers are under pressure more than other professions because of the many conflicting and
expected demands from them, the ambiguity of the role, and the continuous exposure to stressful situations (Al-Sameeh, 2020).

By looking at the previous factors, it is noted that any process of change in any of them may lead to a change in the level of job performance for individuals. An employee who has the motivation to achieve and possesses the necessary knowledge and skill will inevitably be better able to face work pressures than an employee who does not possess them, which reflects positively on his job performance. The employee who feels that his job offers him opportunities for promotion and self-realization, and the necessary resources and tools are available to him and works in a healthy environment that is better able to face difficulties at work.

The teaching profession is one of the social professions that requires its workers to have many tasks and high skills. The teacher deals with values, trends, and demands to inculcate them in immature children. The teacher's job includes preparing for lessons and giving lectures, setting annual plans, participating in various courses and committees, and carrying out extra-curricular activities. shifts, following up on students’ attendance and absence, correcting papers, monitoring grades, raising classes, making schedules, in addition to the workload officially assigned to him. Therefore, the researcher tried in this study to reveal the work pressures that teachers of LDs in English language are exposed to. However, despite the importance of this topic and its danger to teachers’ health and job performance, it has not received sufficient attention in Arabic literature and studies except for a few studies. Interest in the issue of work stress is still limited to some procedural and theoretical studies, and there are no statistics to the knowledge of the researcher, that explain the impact of pressure on the economy and work in the Arab world.

This study aimed at answering the following question: (1) What is the level of work stress among teachers of learning disabilities in English language from their point of view?

The significance of this study comes from the importance of the topic it deals with, as this study addresses the following dimensions. The Human Dimension. This study is concerned with the physical aspect and its impact on the job performance of teachers of LDs in English language, who have not given enough attention in local and Arabic studies and literature. The productive dimension. This study is concerned with the production of teachers of LDs in English language and their job performance to work on improving it and raising its level. The evaluative dimension. This study is expected to make those in charge of the educational process aware of the reality of what is happening in the field in terms of weakness, strength, or wrong practices in Jordanian schools to work on addressing and confronting them.

However, this study was limited to teachers of LDs in English Language for the academic year 2020/2021. The study is limited to the instrument of the study and the responses of the study sample members to the items of the instrument developed by the researcher in this study.

Literature Review

Most people in our time face different types of pressures at work. Because of stress, there are examples are many of employees’ failure to adapt to the requirements and conditions of work, organization policy, leadership and supervisory style, difficult financial conditions, high cost of living, traffic congestion, noise, environmental pollution, unemployment, family problems, etc. (Hareem, 2007).

The word (Stress) derives from the Latin word (Stringere) which means closer, tighten, tight, and bind. The French word (Etreindre) is also generated from the word stress, and it means surrounds the body and organs with strong tension. We do not find the word (Stress) in the French language before the twentieth century, but on the contrary, it has been used in the English language for centuries and has been used since the seventeenth century to express pain,
deprivation, adversity, obsessions, disasters, and strife, that is, it expresses, in general, the results of a harsh life (Stora, 2011).

The pressure resulting from the role conflict appears clearly among teachers who work in remote areas, where their work requires them to reside in their workplace and thus contradicts their role as parents and husbands. The pressure from the ambiguity of the role of the teacher appears among new teachers, where their knowledge of their rights and duties is limited.

There are also many burdens on the teacher during the exercise of his role, such as giving lectures, preparing lessons, raising classes and shifts, preparing plans and extracurricular activities, monitoring grades, making schedules, following up on the attendance and absence of students, and attending courses. All of this constitutes heavy burdens on the teacher and affects performance (Abolqasem, 2020).

Many studies have indicated the sources or causes of pressure in teachers. Fuller explained that the lack of student discipline in the classroom, the teacher’s inability to answer their questions, and the problems of evaluating students’ performance are among the important sources that cause pressure for the teacher. Pratt identified five main sources of pressure the teacher is exposed to, which are the inability of the teacher to overcome the teaching problems, the lack of cooperation of students in the classroom, aggressive students, the curricula and teaching methods used, and the relationship between teachers (Al-Mutairi, 2020).

Previous Studies

Lutfi (2019) identified the level of both work stress and organizational commitment among teachers of the second cycle of basic education schools in Menoufia Governorate from their point of view. The study used the descriptive-analytical approach. The research sample included (274) male and female teachers from Menoufia Governorate. The results showed the presence of work pressures to a medium degree among teachers of the second cycle of basic education in Menoufia Governorate. The organizational commitment was to a large extent among teachers. The results showed the existence of a negative correlation between role conflict and organizational commitment, and the absence of a correlation between role burden and organizational commitment.

Al-Muaqil (2016) explored the relationship between emotional intelligence and strategies for coping with work pressures among teachers of intellectual disabilities. The research sample included (53) teachers in the institutes of intellectual education, east of Riyadh. The Bar-On Emotional Intelligence Scale and the Work Stress Management Strategies Scale were applied. The results revealed a significant relationship between emotional intelligence and strategies for coping with work stress among teachers, and there are statistically significant differences in teachers’ coping strategies that are attributed to gender, in favor of female teachers. the results showed no statistically significant differences in strategies for coping with stress among teachers attributed to the number of years of experience. It is possible to predict from the emotional intelligence of teachers with intellectual disabilities their strategies in facing work pressures.

Al-Fraihat (2016) investigated the sources of work stress for teachers of the lower basic stage in Ajloun Governorate. The study sample consisted of (100) male and female teachers who work in public schools in the lower basic education stage in Ajloun Governorate, for the academic year 2009/2010. The work stress scale prepared by the researchers was used for this study. The results of the study indicated that the rate of work stress was high among teachers. The arrangement of work sources as seen by the teachers of the basic stage in Ajloun Governorate was as follows: occupational satisfaction, school environment, teaching load, relationship with management, relationship with colleagues, relationship with students. The
results of the study indicated that there were no statistically significant differences in the extent of teachers’ exposure to work pressures due to any of the variables.

Al-Judou (2015) investigated the sources of work stress among special education teachers in Amman Governorate. The study sample consisted of (170) male and female teachers who were selected by stratified random method from public and private schools and centers for the 2012-2013 academic year. To achieve the goal of the study, the researcher built the study tool represented by the questionnaire, which consisted of 70 paragraphs distributed over seven fields. The results of the study indicated that the total degree of sources of work stress for special education teachers in Amman governorate was high. The results also indicated that there were statistically significant differences in the sources of work stress among special education teachers according to the variables gender in favor of females.

McManus et al (2004) explored the extent to which approaches to work, workplace climate, stress, burnout, and satisfaction with medicine as a career in doctors aged about thirty are predicted by measures of learning style and personality measured five to twelve years earlier when the doctors were applicants to medical school or were medical students. Doctors' approaches to work were predicted by study habits and learning styles, both at application to medical school and in the final year. How doctors perceive their workplace climate and workload is predicted both by approaches to work and by measures of stress, burnout, and satisfaction with medicine.

Kwakman (2001) explored the Job Demand-Control model was used to study the quality of working life of Dutch secondary teachers. The Job Demand-Control model of Karasek is a theoretical model in which stress and learning are both considered as dependent variables which are influenced by three different task characteristics: job demands, job control, and social support. This model was tested for Dutch secondary teachers (n = 542). Results shed light on the relationship between stress and learning, on the one hand, and the effects of task characteristics on work stress and work-based learning, on the other hand. It is concluded that the relationship between stress and learning is mediated by the amount of job control as the model predicts.

Methods

This study used the descriptive method and developed a questionnaire to collect data for analysis. The study population consisted of (60) male and female teachers, and the study sample included (30) male and female teachers.

Instrument of the Study

The instrument of the study was developed after defining the concept of the study variable, which is work stress. The dimensions of the study were determined, which are students, parents and society, family pressures, management and supervision, teaching and working conditions, and curricula. The necessary information for the study was obtained by referring to previous research and studies and theoretical literature related to the subject of the study.

To ensure the validity of the instrument, the researcher presented the study instrument to specialized professors. After the instrument was collected from specialists and judges, the researcher studied the opinions, suggestions, observations, and modifications that were received regarding the paragraphs from deleting and adding some words and phrases and the linguistic formulation of some paragraphs of the instrument.

The researcher used two methods to verify the reliability of the instrument. First, the Test-re-Test method. To ensure the reliability of the instrument, the researcher distributed it to a sample of (15) male and female teachers from outside the study population, and after two weeks, the
same instrument was redistributed to the same sample of the study. The Pearson coefficient was 0.96, and this indicates a high stability coefficient.

Second, the researcher used Cronbach's alpha equation to verify the value of the internal consistency of the study instrument and to know the strength of the correlation between the paragraphs of the tool. The researcher obtained acceptable results for this study and expresses the ability of the instrument to achieve its goal.

**Results and Discussion**

Results related to the study question: What is the level of work stress among teachers of LDs in English language from their point of view?

To answer this question, the mean scores and standard deviations were used for each field of study, and Table (1) illustrates the results.

Table 1. The mean scores and standard deviations of teachers' work stress dimensions, arranged in descending order.

| NO. | Dimension                        | Mean scores | Standard deviation | rank |
|-----|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|------|
| 5   | Parents and the community        | 3.19        | .62                | 1    |
| 6   | Curriculum                       | 3.17        | .67                | 2    |
| 4   | family pressures                 | 3.08        | .68                | 3    |
| 2   | Teaching and working conditions  | 3.07        | .59                | 4    |
| 1   | Students                         | 2.98        | .63                | 5    |
| 3   | Management and supervision       | 2.87        | .65                | 6    |
|     | Total                            | 3.07        | .50                |      |

Table (1) shows that the mean scores of work stress for teachers of learning disabilities ranged between (3.19-2.87). The dimension of parents and community got the highest mean score (3.19), while the field of management and supervision got the lowest mean score (2.87). The total mean score of the six dimensions was (3.07).

The following is a presentation of the mean scores and standard deviations of the paragraphs of each dimension of the study, arranged in descending order according to the mean scores.

Table 2. The mean scores and standard deviations of teachers’ responses to the students' dimension.

| NO. | Paragraph                                              | Mean score | Standard deviation |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 1   | Student abuse of the teacher.                         | 3.28       | 1.19               |
| 2   | Socioeconomic differences among students.             | 3.16       | 1.17               |
| 3   | Students’ quarrels inside the school.                 | 2.92       | 1.14               |
| 4   | Students' absence.                                    | 2.88       | 1.11               |
| 5   | Students do not bring stationery and textbooks.       | 2.86       | 1.15               |
| 6   | Poor methods of evaluating students.                  | 2.84       | 1.09               |
| 7   | Lack of cleanliness and safety of students’ books and notebooks. | 2.81 | 1.16               |
| 8   | Students’ unwillingness to participate in cultural and sports competitions. | 2.78 | 1.04               |
| 9   | Having troubled students in the class.                | 2.72       | 1.41               |
| 10  | Students do not accept the teacher.                   | 2.53       | 1.31               |
Table 3. The mean scores and standard deviations of teachers’ responses to the parents and the community dimension

| NO. | Paragraph                                                                 | Mean score | Standard deviation |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 11  | Society's negative view of the teacher.                                  | 3.42       | 1.07               |
| 12  | Negative parental interference in school work.                           | 3.36       | 1.29               |
| 13  | Parents' lack of interest in the cleanliness and arrangement of their children. | 3.25       | 1.18               |
| 14  | Poor cooperation between parents and teachers.                           | 3.12       | 1.19               |
| 15  | The teacher is required to attend the Parent-Teacher Council.             | 3.12       | 1.25               |
| 16  | The poor educational level of parents.                                   | 2.98       | 1.14               |
| 17  | Parents not attending parent-teacher conferences.                        | 2.88       | 1.05               |
| 18  | The many demands of parents from teachers.                               | 2.42       | .98                |

Table 4. The mean scores and standard deviations of teachers’ responses to the family pressure dimension

| NO. | Paragraph                                                                 | Mean score | Standard deviation |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 19  | Lack of support and encouragement on the part of the family.              | 2.96       | 1.23               |
| 20  | Lots of family events.                                                    | 2.87       | 1.18               |
| 21  | Poor relationship with family members.                                    | 2.83       | .99                |
| 22  | Family problems with community members.                                   | 2.77       | 1.02               |
| 23  | A large number of family members.                                         | 2.75       | 1.21               |
| 24  | Family members' interference in the teacher's work.                       | 2.74       | 1.38               |
| 25  | Being late for home because of the distance to work.                      | 2.73       | 1.15               |
| 26  | The inconvenience and lack of a comfortable atmosphere by the family.     | 2.56       | 1.19               |
| 27  | Negative observations of the family on the teaching profession.           | 2.26       | 1.02               |
| 28  | Studying at the University.                                               | 2.09       | 1.01               |

Table 5. The mean scores and standard deviations of teachers’ responses to the management and supervision dimension

| NO. | Paragraph                                                                 | Mean score | Standard deviation |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 29  | Management's lack of appreciation for the teacher's efforts and achievements. | 3.18       | 1.16               |
| 30  | Poor standards in evaluating teachers.                                    | 3.17       | 1.22               |
| 31  | Weak supervisory assistance from the educational supervisor.             | 3.14       | 1.23               |
| 32  | Unfair distribution of study materials to teachers.                       | 3.13       | 1.22               |
| 33  | Weak disciplinary policy in the school.                                   | 3.02       | 1.11               |
Table 6. The mean scores and standard deviations of teachers’ responses to the Teaching and working conditions dimension

| NO. | Paragraph                                                                 | Mean score | Standard deviation |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 34  | The administration's failure to take into account the teachers' conditions when distributing assignments to them. | 3.01       | 1.14               |
| 35  | The school administration's lack of attention to the students' achievement weakness. | 3.01       | 1.19               |
| 36  | Lack of teachers’ encouragement for innovation                            | 2.99       | 1.09               |
| 37  | The principal's lack of commitment to the principle of a good example in word and deed. | 2.92       | 1.14               |
| 38  | Failure to provide the administration with the educational needs and requirements of teachers. | 2.83       | 1.26               |

Table 7. The mean scores and standard deviations of teachers’ responses to the curricula dimension

| NO. | Paragraph                                                                 | Mean score | Standard deviation |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 49  | Frequent change in the curriculum.                                        | 3.64       | 1.22               |
| 50  | The curricula do not take into account the needs and tendencies of the students. | 3.58       | 1.21               |
| 51  | The large information in the curriculum.                                   | 3.45       | 1.08               |
| 52  | Inadequate curriculum for the age level of the students.                   | 3.37       | 1.07               |
| 53  | Teachers are not involved when developing the curriculum.                  | 3.33       | 1.08               |
| 54  | The curriculum does not take into account the individual differences between students. | 3.23       | 1.11               |
| 55  | The illogical sequence in the content of the curriculum.                   | 3.02       | 1.17               |
| 56  | The curriculum’s lack of comprehensiveness for aspects of human behavior. | 2.93       | 1.09               |
| 57  | Difficulty applying the activities mentioned in the book.                  | 2.96       | 1.05               |
| 58  | The ambiguity of graphics, shapes, and images inside the books.            | 2.94       | 1.19               |
It is clear from the foregoing that the dimension of teaching and working conditions cause teachers more pressure at work than other dimensions. As for the dimension of family pressure, it causes teachers less pressure at work than other dimensions of the study. This may be due to the large number of lessons taught by teachers per week, the salaries they receive, and the training courses that teachers are obliged to attend to keep pace with the rapid practical and technological development that education is going through in Jordan, as well as daily and quarterly planning. Other causes of stress included the student crowding in classes, and obligating the teacher to implement the curriculum and take exams within a specified schedule by the supervisory authority.

### Conclusion

Through our review of previous studies, and the results of this study, we can make the following recommendations; (1) Holding training courses for teachers of learning disabilities to improve the educational process, and to be able to keep pace with the rapid scientific and technological development witnessed by the world around them; (2) Studying the pressures mentioned in this study by educational officials and working to confront them before they increase. These pressures must be taken into consideration when adopting or amending new educational policies. Preparing training programs and courses by specialists in the field of educational administration and training teachers on how to deal with the work pressures they face.
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