Recognition of host Clr-b by the inhibitory NKR-P1B receptor provides a basis for missing-self recognition
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The interaction between natural killer (NK) cell inhibitory receptors and their cognate ligands constitutes a key mechanism by which healthy tissues are protected from NK cell-mediated lysis. However, self-ligand recognition remains poorly understood within the prototypical NKR-P1 receptor family. Here we report the structure of the inhibitory NKR-P1B receptor bound to its cognate host ligand, Clr-b. NKR-P1B and Clr-b interact via a head-to-head docking mode through an interface that includes a large array of polar interactions. NKR-P1B:Clr-b recognition is extremely sensitive to mutations at the heterodimeric interface, with most mutations severely impacting both Clr-b binding and NKR-P1B receptor function to implicate a low affinity interaction. Within the structure, two NKR-P1B:Clr-b complexes are cross-linked by a non-classic NKR-P1B homodimer, and the disruption of homodimer formation abrogates Clr-b recognition. These data provide an insight into a fundamental missing-self recognition system and suggest an avidity-based mechanism underpins NKR-P1B receptor function.
Natural killer (NK) cells are a subset of innate lymphocytes (ILC) that act as sentinels focused on the early detection of pathogens or transformed self. NK cells recognize virally-infected, stressed, allogeneic, and cancerous cells via an array of germline-encoded cell surface receptors. NK cell function is governed by a variety of distinct mechanisms, with the overall response being determined by the integration of receptor signals received upon engagement of host- or virally-encoded ligands. For example, inhibitory NK cell receptors (NKR) typically recognize self-ligands, which are often downregulated during viral infection or transformation, resulting in NK cell disinhibition that enables missing-self recognition. In contrast, stimulatory NKR recognize altered or non-self ligands that are upregulated during these same pathological conditions, resulting in NK cell activation via induced-self or foreign antigen recognition.

Many NKR are encoded by genes that are concentrated within defined regions of the genome, such as the leukocyte receptor complex (LRC) and the natural killer gene complex (NKC). In mice, the NKC is located on chromosome 6 and includes the Ly49, the CD94/NKG2, and the NKR-P1 receptors. Each of these receptor families are architecturally similar, being type II transmembrane proteins that possess C-type lectin-like domains (CTLD). However, they differ in the type of ligands they recognize, which span classic MHC class I (Ly49)5,6, non-classic MHC (CD94/NKG2 and Ly49)7–11, MHC-I-like (NKG2D and Ly49)12–14, and the Clr proteins (NKR-P1)15. While we have an understanding of NKR-mediated missing-self recognition of MHC and MHC-I like molecules, precisely how NKR recognize non-MHC-related ligands is much less clear.

In mice, the NKR-P1 family consists of five members, which include three stimulatory (NKR-P1A, NKR-P1C, and NKR-P1F) and two inhibitory (NKR-P1B and NKR-P1G) members. Of these, NKR-P1B, NKR-P1F, and NKR-P1G recognize host-encoded Clr molecules, which like their receptor counterparts are C-type lectin-related type II transmembrane proteins that form disulphide-linked dimers via cysteine residues within their membrane-proximal stalks. Notably, while the Clr ligands form homodimers whose architecture is conserved among other CTLD-containing proteins (herein termed classic homodimers), the mode of NKR-P1 receptor self-association is less clear. Within this axis, the most studied interaction is that of NKR-P1B with Clr-b. While the expression of most Clr molecules is tissue-specific, such as Clr-b. Activity of NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction remains unknown.

Here we report the crystal structure of NKR-P1B bound to its host-encoded ligand, Clr-b. We demonstrate that Clr-b forms classic homodimers, whereas NKR-P1B forms an alternate dimeric arrangement that has the capacity to cross-link two NKR-P1B:Clr-b complexes. Data from mutating the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interface suggest the interaction to be of weak affinity. Moreover, disruption of the NKR-P1B dimer interface impacts signaling in response to the host ligand Clr-b, but not to the viral decoy, m12. Collectively, this study provides broad insight into the mechanisms of MHC-I-independent missing-self recognition and NKR-P1B receptor function.

| Table 1 X-ray data collection statistics |
|-----------------------------------------|
| **Data collection statistics** |
| Temperature (K) | 100 |
| X-ray source | MX2 Australian Synchrotron |
| Spacegroup | P1 |
| Cell dimensions (Å) | 66.9, 122.1, 131.6 |
| Resolution (Å) | 66.1-2.9 (3.06-2.9) |
| Total number of observations | 233,422 (34,352) |
| No. of unique observations | 80,841 (12,101) |
| Multiplicity | 2.9 (2.8) |
| Data completeness | 92.8 (95.4) |
| I/σI | 6.3 (2.2) |
| Rmerge (%) | 0.176 (0.545) |
| Rpur (%) | 0.114 (0.363) |
| **Refinement statistics** |
| Non-hydrogen atoms | Protein 22828 | Sugar 157 | Water 8 |
| β factor, all atoms (Å²) | 52.0 |
| r.m.s.d from ideality |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.01 |
| Bond angles (°) | 1.13 |
| Ramachandran plot |
| Favored regions (%) | 93.2 |
| Allowed regions (%) | 6.1 |
| Disallowed regions (%) | 0.7 |

**Results**

**Structure determination.** To understand the molecular basis underpinning recognition of Clr-b by NKR-P1B, we expressed their corresponding CTLDs and determined the structure of the co-complex to 2.9 Å resolution (Table 1). The crystallographic asymmetric unit comprised eight protomers of NKR-P1B and sixteen protomers of Clr-b, which together formed eight highly similar NKR-P1B:Clr-b complexes (root mean square deviation (r.m.s.d) ~ 0.5 Å overall Ca atoms) (Supplementary Fig. 1). Within the crystal lattice, the molecules were tightly packed with no significant unaccounted electron density. Indeed, the structure refined very well, to Rfree values of 20.6 and 22.5 respectively, and continuous electron density was visible for the entire Clr-b chain (residues 74–194) and the vast majority of NKR-P1B (residues 91–215) (Supplementary Fig. 2) with the exception of a single loop (residues 177–179) that was distal to the Clr-b binding site. Clear electron density was also observed for a single N-acetylgalactosamine (GlcNAc) moiety attached to Asn169 of NKR-P1B (Fig. 1). This sugar chain did not impact any of the interactions discussed below. Surprisingly, each NKR-P1B:Clr-b complex was comprised of a single NKR-P1B monomer bound to a Clr-b homodimer, although a higher-order assembly was also apparent (discussed below).

**Structures of NKR-P1B and Clr-b.** Both NKR-P1B and Clr-b adopted the classic CTLD fold comprising two central antiparallel β-sheets flanked by two α-helices (a1 and a2) (Fig. 1). Each of the β-sheets was comprised of four β-strands, which we denote β0, β1, β5, β’1 and β2’, β2, β3, β4 based on a strand assignment described previously. The two intramolecular disulfide bonds that are highly conserved throughout CTLDs are present in NKR-P1B (Cys122–Cys210 and Cys189–202), whereas Clr-b only...
contains the former (Cys108–Cys190). Both NKR-P1B and Clr-b also possessed an additional disulfide bond (Cys94–Cys105 in NKR-P1B and Cys81–Cys91 in Clr-b) that tethered the N-terminus to the β1-strand. A structural similarity search using the Dali server revealed that NKR-P1B was most similar to members of the human CLEC family, including CLEC9A\textsuperscript{26} and CLEC5A\textsuperscript{27} (Z-score \approx 20.2, r.m.s.d \approx 1.6 Å over 119 aligned Cα residues), but also displayed considerable structural homology to other CTLD-containing proteins including human LOX\textsuperscript{28}, dectin-1\textsuperscript{29}, and mouse NKR-P1A\textsuperscript{30} (Z-score = 18.2, r.m.s.d = 1.7 Å over 121 aligned Cα residues) (Fig. 1). In contrast, Clr-b was most similar to the closely related family member Clr-g\textsuperscript{31}, and also displayed considerable structural homology to the human NKR-P1A ligand LLT-1\textsuperscript{32} (Z-score = 22.8, r.m.s.d = 1.0 Å over 117 aligned Cα residues). Notably, NKR-P1B and Clr-b were highly structurally similar to each other (r.m.s.d 1.6 Å over 87 aligned Cα atoms), with the main distinction being a reorientation of the α2-helix of NKR-P1B by ~10° (Supplementary Fig. 3A). Altogether, the structures of NKR-P1B and Clr-b highlight the conserved nature of this receptor-ligand pair, which are encoded within the same region of the NKC and likely arose by gene duplication from a common ancestral precursor.

**NKR-P1B and Clr-b self-association.** Both NKR-P1B and some Clr molecules have been reported to form disulfide-linked dimers on the cell surface\textsuperscript{15}. Indeed, within the crystal lattice, Clr-b formed homodimers such that the N-termini were positioned in a manner that would enable the lateral association of two monomers in cis (within the plane of the same membrane) (Fig. 2a). The architecture of the Clr-b homodimer is reminiscent of that observed for a number of related proteins including Clr-g\textsuperscript{31}, LLT-1\textsuperscript{32}, and KACL\textsuperscript{33}, and thus could be described as a classic homodimer for CTLD-containing proteins. A similar arrangement has also been observed for some Ly49 receptors (e.g. Ly49A), where it is referred to as the closed conformation\textsuperscript{6}. Upon self-association, the two Clr-b monomers bury a total solvent accessible surface area (BSA) of \textasciitilde 1900 Å\textsuperscript{2}, which is large.
compared to that of other classic CTLD homodimers such as KACL (1300 Å²) or Clr-g (1740 Å²)\textsuperscript{31,33}. The interaction interface is comprised of three main segments that are analogous to head, body and tail regions. The central body stems from the β\textsubscript{0} strands of each monomer, which associate via two main-chain hydrogen bonds between Ile85 and Gly86 to form an extended antiparallel β-sheet (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 1). These interactions are solidified by the head that is derived from the C-termini of the α\textsubscript{2}-helices. Here, Arg129 adopts a planar conformation that stacks against Tyr130 (Fig. 2a). The head and body interactions, which are relatively rich in H-bonds and symmetrical in nature, are further complemented by extensive hydrophobic interactions within the membrane proximal tails of Clr-b (Asn74-Trp84) that are asymmetric but account for 44% of the total BSA (Fig. 2a).

Unlike Clr-b, NKR-P1B was not observed to form a classic homodimer, most likely due to the orientation of the α\textsubscript{2}-helix, which protruded in a fashion that would sterically clash with a neighboring NKR-P1B protomer (Supplementary Fig. 3A). Indeed, an analysis of other related receptors and ligands for which structural data are available revealed that the extent to which the α\textsubscript{2}-helix protruded was directly correlated with the capacity of the molecule to form a classic CTLD homodimer (Supplementary Fig. 3B). Accordingly, this structural feature may be a key determinant governing oligomerization within CTLD-containing proteins.
Instead, two symmetry-related NKR-P1B molecules packed together to form a distinct non-classic homodimer (Fig. 2b). The NKR-P1B homodimer interface was modest in size (BSA ~700 Å²), with the interaction surface confined to the β2–β2′ loop, which primarily formed contacts with residues from the α1-helix (Supplementary Table 2). Here, Asp162 formed a salt-bridge interaction with Arg119, whereas Thr161, which was located at the tip of the β2–β2′ loop, was tightly packed at the juncture of the β2–β3 strands and the α1-helix (Fig. 2b). Within the NKR-P1B homodimer, the N-termini extend outwards within the same plane, but are not directly juxtaposed. Thus, it is possible that these remodel somewhat within the context of the native cysteine-containing stalk. Notably, within the NKP65:KACL crystal structure, the NKP65 receptor also formed a related homodimer via a similar interface (Supplementary Fig. 3C)33.

In order to assess the oligomeric status of NKR-P1B and Clr-b in solution, we performed analytical ultracentrifugation experiments at a range of protein concentrations. At low concentrations (~3–12 μM) Clr-b was evident as a single species with a sedimentation profile correlated well with that of a monomer (frictional ratio (f/f₀) = 1.15) (Fig. 2c). However, at higher concentrations (25–200 μM), an additional species, representing a dimeric form of Clr-b was visible at ~2.7S (Fig. 2d). Within the NKR-P1B homodimer, the N-termini extend outwards within the same plane, but are not directly juxtaposed. Thus, it is possible that these remodel somewhat within the context of the native cysteine-containing stalk. Notably, within the NKP65:KACL crystal structure, the NKP65 receptor also formed a related homodimer via a similar interface (Supplementary Fig. 3C)33.

The NKR-P1B:Clr-b interface. NKR-P1B and Clr-b interacted via an imperfect interface that was modest in size, burying a total solvent accessible surface area of 1450 Å², and characterized by poor shape complementarity (S₉ = 0.55, where 1 indicates a geometrically perfect fit)34. Despite this, the interface was densely packed with a large number of highly specific, polar interactions that included 18 H-bonds and 8 salt-bridges (Supplementary Table 3). The main interaction site (site I) comprised the β4–β5 loop of NKR-P1B, where a string of residues (Ser203-Arg207) lay on top of one of the Clr-b β-sheets in a perpendicular fashion, making extensive contacts with a variety of structural elements, including the β3 and β4 strands, and the β2–β3 loop (Fig. 3e). Here, Asp205 of NKR-P1B played a central role. In addition to the 3 H-bonds that anchored its backbone, the side chain made multiple salt-bridge interactions with Arg164 and H-bonds to Asn173, Asn175, and Ser178 of Clr-b. Arg207 of NKR-P1B appeared equally important, forming a number of salt-bridge interactions with Clr-b residue Asp135. In addition, the hydroxyl groups of Ser203 and Ser204 of NKR-P1B both formed H-bonds with the Clr-b backbone whilst Asp206 bent downwards in order to facilitate an interaction with Arg186. The second, more peripheral interaction site (site II) was centered on the Clr-b β4–β5 loop which contacts the β2–β3 loop as well as the β3 and β4 strands of NKR-P1B (Fig. 3f). In particular, four Clr-b residues, Arg181, Tyr183, Ser184, and Arg186 projected upwards, making hydrophilic interactions with NKR-P1B. Here, Arg181 and Tyr183 of Clr-b formed a multitude of H-bonds with the NKR-P1B main chain (including Thr184, Ser199, and Gly201) as well as the side chain of Ser188, while Ser184 and Arg186 flanked Asp200 from the NKR-P1B β4 strand. Notably, the interactions within site II were characteristic of an induced-fit style binding mode. In particular, Arg181 and Tyr183 of Clr-b moved toward NKR-P1B, which remodelled its β2’–β3 loop (compared to the m12-bound form) in order to accommodate these bulky residues (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Structural comparisons. The overall structure of the NKR-P1B:Clr-b complex is similar to that of the related NKP65:KACL receptor-ligand pair (r.m.s.d 1.9 Å over 218 aligned Ca atoms) (Fig. 3a–c), suggesting that an evolutionarily conserved docking topology underpins ligand recognition by both inhibitory and stimulatory members of the NKR-P1 family. However, a closer inspection of the molecular interactions reveals some differences. Notably, the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interface is considerably less ideal than that of NKP65:KACL, exhibiting poorer shape complementarity (S₉ 0.55 versus 0.69) and burying 14% less surface area (Fig. 4a). The major points of difference are the loop preceding the β2 strand (residues 131–4 in NKP65) and the β3–β4 loop, both of which are tightly packed against KACL forming a multitude of H-bond and Van der Waals interactions (Fig. 4b, c). In comparison, the same regions in NKR-P1B are withdrawn from Clr-b, such that the only contacts are derived from Tyr149 (Fig. 4). Despite being structurally dissimilar to Clr-b, the viral m12 ligand also targets the same NKR-P1B surface (Fig. 4a), although it does so more robustly than Clr-b, via an extensive surface (BSA 2,180 Å²) that exhibits high shape complementarity (S₉ 0.69). Overall, these structural observations explain why binding of m12 (Kᵦ ~6 μM) and KACL (Kᵦ ~0.7 nM) are relatively tight, while recognition of Clr-b by NKR-P1B is extremely weak (see below).

The NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction is extremely weak. Next, we sought to define the affinity of the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction using surface plasmon resonance (SPR). However, at the concentration range used, neither mammalian (570 μM) nor E. coli
(200 μM) expressed Clr-b bound appreciably to NKR-P1B that was immobilized onto streptavidin-coated chips via a C-terminal BirA tag (Supplementary Fig. 6A). The absence of an interaction was not due to geometric constraints of the experimental setup, because m12, which interacts with the same surface of NKR-P1B, bound robustly in this assay. We also observed no interaction using extended forms of Clr-b (250 μM) and NKR-P1B that included the native cysteine residues involved in disulfide-mediated homodimerization (Supplementary Fig. 6B), although the latter did not form a homo-dimer despite containing two cysteine residues within the membrane proximal stalk (Supplementary Fig. 6C). Similar results were obtained using a different experimental setup (akin to that in described in ref. 35), whereby NKR-P1B tetramers were passed over immobilized Clr-b (Supplementary Fig. 6B). We did however observe a small fraction (6% of total) of a species consistent with a 1 NKR-P1B:2 Clr-b complex (S_{20,w} = 4.2, f/f_0 = 1.2) using AUC (Supplementary Fig. 6D), although we cannot exclude the possibility that a 2:2 complex could also form at higher protein concentrations. Taken together, our data indicate that the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction was potentially extremely weak in solution. Notably, this is not the first example of a bona fide immune receptor-ligand interaction whose affinity lies outside of the detection limit of standard biophysical approaches36,37.

Fig. 3 Overview of the NKR-P1B:Clr-b complex. a Simplified representation showing how a single NKR-P1B monomer engages one half of the Clr-b homodimer in a 1:2 receptor-ligand stoichiometry. b Structure of the related NKp65 receptor bound to KACL (PDB ID: 4IOP) where two NKp65 monomers bind to the KACL homodimer. c Model of a fully saturated 2 NKR-P1B:2 Clr-b complex. d Representation of the hexameric NKR-P1B:Clr-b assembly visible within the crystal structure, and how it could be accommodated at the NK cell-target cell interface. Membrane proximal stalks not visible within the crystal structure are depicted as dashed lines, with their length annotated. The position of inter-molecular cysteines in the NKR-P1B stalk are shown as green lines. e, f Close up views of the interactions at site I (e) and site II (f) within the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interface. Hydrogen bonds (black) and salt-bridges (red) are shown as dotted lines.
NKR-P1B:Clr-b binding is highly sensitive to mutation. Despite little/no apparent interaction in solution, NKR-P1B tetramers robustly stained both BWZ cells transduced with Clr-b, as well as HEK293T cells transfected with a Clr-b-expressing vector (Fig. 5a), in accordance with previous findings. Thus, we utilized this assay to interrogate the energetic basis of the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction. To this end, we generated tetrameric forms of a panel of 15 individual NKR-P1B mutants, focusing on key amino acid residues located at the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interface. As a negative control, we mutated Asn150, which was close to the interface but did not directly contact Clr-b. Here, an N150A mutation did not impact NKR-P1B staining compared to wild type (Fig. 5b). As a positive control, we introduced a large, bulky charged residue into the central region of the interface (S204R). Notably, all of these residues were centrally located at the interface and formed multiple contacts with Clr-b (Figs. 3, 5b). In contrast, mutation of residues at the periphery of the interface either had no effect (N113A and V183A) or reduced staining to a lesser extent (Y149A).

Secondly, we assessed the impact of the same NKR-P1B mutants in a cellular context using BWZ reporter assays. To this end, we generated a chimeric receptor expressing the extracellular domain of Clr-b fused with an intracellular CD3ζ signaling domain, and transduced BWZ.36 cells expressing the anti-Clr-b antibody, 4A6 (Fig. 5a). To test these interactions, we then used site-directed mutagenesis to clone the panel of NKR-P1B mutants described above into the pIRE2-EGFP mammalian expression vector, transfected these constructs into HEK293T, and used these transfectants as stimulators for BWZ.Clr-b reporters. Using this approach, we observed a similar pattern as described above for the tetramer staining experiments. More specifically, N113A, N150A, and V183A had no effect on binding, whereas weak interactions with the T184A and S204A mutants were observed (~60% reduction compared to wild type) (Fig. 5c). On the other hand, the Y149A, S188A, S199A, E200A, D205A, N206A, R207A, and the positive control S204R, all abolished the interaction (Fig. 5c). Importantly, all the NKR-P1B mutants were abundantly expressed at the cell surface as judged by anti-NKR-P1B mAb staining, although the levels of N206A and R207A were comparatively lower (Supplementary Fig. 7). Thus, both tetramer staining and cellular reporter data fully support the X-ray crystal structure, and demonstrate that the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction is underpinned by polar interactions that are uniquely sensitive to alterations, in line with the weak intrinsic affinity of the interaction.

The NKR-P1B homodimer is critical for Clr-b recognition. Finally, we sought to determine the importance of the NKR-P1B homodimer for receptor function. To this end, we generated two mutants (T161W and D162A) in residues located at the NKR-P1B homodimer interface and assessed their capacity to stimulate BWZ.Clr-b reporters. These transfectants resulted in a total loss (D162A) or dramatic reduction (T161W) in BWZ.Clr-b reporter signaling, indicating that the NKR-P1B homodimer was an important factor in the response to host Clr-b. To further support this conclusion, we also mutated the cysteine residues (Cys75 and Cys88) within the NKR-P1B stalk region that have been
implicated in the formation of disulfide-linked NKR-P1B homodimers on the cell surface. Here, mutation of both cysteine residues within the NKR-P1B stalk resulted in a total ablation of Clr-b reporter cell signaling, whereas mutation of either cysteine alone had little effect (Fig. 5e), indicating that both of the cysteine residues within the NKR-P1B stalk are involved in intermolecular disulfide bond formation. Notably, all of the NKR-P1B dimer mutants tested had little to no effect on signaling from BWZ.m12 reporters relative to wild type (Fig. 5d, e), despite their moderately decreased level of expression on the cell surface, as judged by mAb staining (Supplementary Fig. 7). Thus, the non-classic NKR-P1B homodimer is critical for signaling in response to the weak affinity host-encoded Clr-b ligand, but is somewhat dispensable for signaling in response to the viral m12 decoy, which exhibits relatively high affinity for a single NKR-P1B molecule (Fig. 6).
Discussion

Missing-self recognition is a central way by which NK cells distinguish healthy cells from those that are infected, foreign or otherwise abnormal. This process is dependent on inhibitory receptors and is best understood within the context of MHC-I recognition. Structural insights into KIR:pHLA and Ly49:pMHC-I have provided profound insights into how these receptors bind to one the most polymorphic molecules encoded within the human genome. In these examples, the receptors either engage a relatively conserved region of MHC-I (e.g. Ly49 and LIR), and/or are highly polymorphic themselves (e.g. KIR), thereby facilitating recognition of a broad range of MHC allotypes. Moreover, their binding modes are tailored such that variations in the anchored peptide sequence have little to no impact on the interaction.

However, alternate MHC-I-independent missing-self recognition systems have emerged as important regulators of NK cell function. Among these, the NKR-P1:Clr axis is fundamentally distinct from the MHC-centric systems, most notably in the nature of the ligand, which is essentially monomorphic and does not associate with peptide. However, the molecular basis for this pivotal recognition event has remained unknown. Here, we determined the structure of an inhibitory NKR-P1 receptor bound to a host-encoded ligand. Our structural analysis revealed that Clr-b formed a homodimer that was classic of CTLD-containing proteins, while NKR-P1 did not. Instead, our data suggest the existence of a non-classic NKR-P1 homodimer, the architecture of which differs to that suggested for other CTLD-containing proteins, including mouse NKR-P1A and BDC2A. While it is unanticipated that related receptors with common tertiary structures adopt differing quaternary arrangements, this phenomenon is not without precedent. Notably, a similar homo-dimer interface to that observed for NKR-P1B is also evident between symmetry-related NKp65 molecules within the NKp65:KACL structure. Although the significance of this arrangement remains untested within the context of NKp65, targeted mutations at the NKR-P1B homodimer interface abrogated the signal generated by Clr-b reporters, suggesting that this arrangement was physiologically important, despite its apparent weak affinity in solution. Similar results were obtained when both cytosteine residues within the NKR-P1B stalk region were mutated to alanine, indicating that the NKR-P1B stalk region may play an important role in stabilization of the potentially transient NKR-P1B dimer via an inter-molecular disulfide bridge.

Unexpectedly, we were unable to measure an affinity for the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction in solution using SPR or AUC, and this observation was independent of the glycosylation status of Clr-b. NKR-P1B tetramers also failed to bind Clr-b in our SPR assay, but did bind robustly to Clr-b transfectants. Thus the increased avidity conferred by NKR-P1B tetramers may require that the ligand is able to diffuse laterally within the plane of the membrane. This proposition is supported by previous observations that interactions between proteins in solution (3D affinity) differ from those at contacts between two cells (2D affinity). The weak nature of the NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction was particularly surprising given the extremely high affinity of the NKp65:KACL interaction (~nM range), which docked in a similar configuration. However, subtle differences at the NKp65:KACL interface resulted in an interaction zone that appeared considerably more energetically favorable. Notably, although the NKp65:KACL interaction was particularly high affinity, this does not appear to be a defining feature associated with the NKR-P1 family. Indeed, within the sphere of immune recognition, many interactions are characterized by extremely low affinity binding.

Taken together, our data suggest that the imperfect NKR-P1B:Clr-b interface alone is insufficient to promote the formation of a stable receptor:ligand complex. Instead, productive interactions may require additional avidity conferred by the non-classic NKR-P1B homodimer, which may be necessary to supplement the weak NKR-P1B:Clr-b interaction. This proposition is supported by the NKR-P1B dimer mutants, which resulted in a drastic ablation of BWZ.Clr-b reporter signal, despite these mutations being distal to the Clr-b binding site. In the future, it would be informative to test the impact of these NKR-P1B mutants in an in vivo setting. Notably, a similar avidity-based mechanism has also been proposed to be involved in cadherin-mediated cell adhesion. Within this theme, it is interesting to note that unlike NKR-P1B and other C-type lectin-like NK cell receptors, NKp65 does not form a disulfide-linked dimer on cells. Thus, the extremely high affinity of NKp65 for KACL may have evolved to overcome the need for receptor dimerization to initiate signaling. Surprisingly, the NKR-P1B homodimer was not necessary for the interaction with the virally-encoded m12 ligand, suggesting that
the relatively strong affinity of this interaction alone is sufficient to drive the formation of stable NKR-P1B:m12 complexes. Modeling of m12 onto the structure of the NKR-P1B homodimer suggested that two m12 molecules could not be simultaneously accommodated, perhaps explaining why the NKR-P1B homodimer was not evident in the m12:NKR-P1B crystal structure. Thus, our studies indicate that NKR-P1B receptor function is governed by distinct mechanisms (avidity versus affinity driven) that apply in a ligand-specific manner.

Methods

**Protein expression and purification.** The B6 (C57BL/6) allele of Klrb1 encoding NKR-P1B, as well as an extended version containing the membrane proximal stalk (starting from Gln72) was codon optimized for expression in E. coli and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies prior to cloning into the pHLSec expression vector upstream of a His tag (for structural and AUC experiments). For SPR and tetramer studies, a biotin protein ligase consensus sequence was included directly 5' of the His tag. NKR-P1B constructs were expressed in HEK-293S cells by transient transfection using jetPEI. Media containing secreted protein was harvested and diafiltered/concentrated using tangential flow filtration prior to purification using Ni-NTA agarose (ThermoFisher Scientific) and Superdex 200 columns 16/60 (GE Healthcare).

For structural studies, the gene encoding residues 74–194 of mouse Clec2d encoding Clr-b was codon optimized for expression in E. coli and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies prior to cloning into the pHLSec expression vector upstream of a His tag for structural and AUC experiments. For SPR and tetramer studies, a biotin protein ligase consensus sequence was included directly 5' of the His tag. NKR-P1B constructs were expressed in HEK-293S cells by transient transfection using jetPEI. Media containing secreted protein was harvested and diafiltered/concentrated using tangential flow filtration prior to purification using Ni-NTA agarose (ThermoFisher Scientific) and Superdex 200 columns 16/60 (GE Healthcare).

**Cloning & expression of NKR-P1B variants.** The initial search for Clr-b was also expressed with an N-terminal BirA tag. Clr-b was terminally extended version (terminating at Ser207) was cloned into the pHLSec expression vector using Molrep52 within the CCP4 program suite. The initial search was calculated following subtraction of the response from an empty flow cell. All affinity measurements were calculated from two independent experiments, each performed in duplicate. In separate experiments, E.coli and HEK-293S produced Clr-b were immobilized onto streptavidin-coated or CM5 sensor chips, respectively. Tetrabions of biotinylated NKR-P1B coupled to streptavidin were purified on a Superdex 200 16/60 size exclusion chromatography column (GE Healthcare) and injected over the immobilized ligands at a concentration of 200 µM. Alternatively, biotinylated NKR-P1B (200 µM) was injected over biotinylated E.coli produced Clr-b immobilized onto streptavidin-coated sensor chips (GE Healthcare). In all cases, the amount of material immobilized on the chip was ~800 response units.

**Site-directed mutagenesis using PCR cloning.** Site-directed mutagenesis of NKR-P1B was performed by gene splicing by overlap extension (geneSOE) or traditional PCR techniques using Q5 High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). PCR amplicons were digested with XhoI and PstI (Nkrp1b mutants), ligated, and purified into pIRE2-EGFP (Clontech) mammalian vector. All vectors were sequenced to confirm intended mutations prior to conducting experiments. A list of all primers used is provided in Supplementary Table 4.

**Transfections.** HEK293T cells were transfected using Lipofectamine2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer’s protocol, and used for experiments 48 h post-transfection. Transfection efficiency was consistently above 50% for all experiments (averaging at around 70% GFP+).

**BWZ reporter assays.** Chimeric CD3ζ-Clr-b fusions were generated by cloning the extracellular domain of Clr-b into the retroviral type II MSCV vector downstream of a chimeric construct containing the intracellular and transmembrane domains of CD3ζ and NKR-P1B, respectively38. BWZ.CD3ζ/Clr-b reporter cells were then produced by transfecting these retroviral vectors into HEK293T in combination with packaging vectors (Gag/Pol and VSV-envelope), and using this virus to transduce BWZ.36 cells. These cells were then treated for GFP+ cell and surface expression of Clr-b using anti-Clr-b mAb, 4A620.

**Reporter assays were conducted by plating stimulator cells (transfected HEK293T) in 3-fold dilutions starting at 5 × 10⁴ per well in flat-bottom 96-well plate.** BWZ reporter cells (5 × 10⁴ per well) were then co-cultured with stimulators and incubated overnight. Media- and PMA+ ionomycin-treated reporters were used to measure negative and positive controls, respectively. The next day, these cells were washed with PBS, then lysed with 150 µL of CPRG buffer (90 mg ml⁻¹ chlorophenol-red-β-D-galactopyranoside (Sigma), 9 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% NP-40), allowed to develop, and read using a Varioskan (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using OD 595-655. Data are presented as % Receptor Specific Stimulation that is de
defined as:

\[
\% \text{ receptor specific stimulation} = \frac{\text{stimulator} - \text{negative}}{\text{positive} - \text{negative}} \times 100
\]

**Antibodies, tetramers, and flow cytometry.** Cells were stained in flow buffer (HBSS, 0.5% BSA and 0.03% NaN₃) on ice with primary antibodies for 25–30 min or with tetramers for 1 h, washed between incubations, resuspended in flow buffer with DAPI or propidium iodide, and then analyzed using a FACSCanto II or LSR II (BD Biosciences). Cells were gated based on forward and side light scatter properties, doublet excluded, and DAPI or propidium iodide was added to the gate to analyze for cell surface expression of Clr-b using anti-Clr-b mAb, 4A620.

**Statistics.** Where statistics were applied, data were visualized using Prism 6 software (GraphPad) and presented as mean ± SEM. Data were confirmed to have normal distribution using Shapiro–Wilks test. Sample sizes were selected based on previous experiments that demonstrated sufficient power and consistency to detect the effect sizes between experiments15,18. Data were analyzed for statistical differences using one-way or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc tests, see figure 1.
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