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External Complexation of BODIPYs by CB[7] Improves in-Cell Fluorescence Imaging

Mehmet Menaf Ayhan, a, b Emrah Özcan, a, b Fahri Alkan, c Metin Çetin, d İlke Un, e David Bardelang, f Bünyemin Çoşut a

Organic luminescent compounds with high emission properties play a crucial role in fluorescence labelling and optoelectronic devices. In this work, we prepared three water-soluble BODIPY derivatives (B-4, B-5, and B-6) which are weakly fluorescent due to non-radiative relaxation pathways (charge transfer: CT or heavy atom effect). However, CB[7] significantly improves BODIPY fluorescence by ~10 fold for B-4, and ~3 fold for B-5. (TD)DFT analyses suggest that for B-4 and B-5, the CT state is blue-shifted as a result of CB[7] external binding near the pyridinium groups. This effect favoured a radiative decay through a locally-excited (LE) π→π* transition state of BODIPYs resulting in a CB[7]-induced emission increase in solution (and in the solid state), without compromising singlet-to-triplet intersystem crossing (ISC). The improved emission of BODIPY•CB[7] complexes was used for fluorescence imaging of U87 cells illustrating the relevance of this approach. These results suggest that BODIPY•CB[7] complexes could be used as theragnostic agents by combining fluorescence imaging and treatment by photodynamic therapy.

Introduction

Boron dipyrromethene (BODIPY) derivatives are one of the most actively studied groups of fluorophores due to their ease of synthesis, excellent photostability, and tunability of their photophysical and photochemical properties. These organic fluorophores are attracting a great deal of attention because they have been used in solar cells, in photodynamic therapy (PDT), in organic fluorescent emitting devices (OFEDs), semiconductor, bioimaging, and chemo-sensors.

According to the Jablonski diagram, the excitation of a BODIPY chromophore leads to three competitive pathways of energy decay: fluorescence emission (S1→S0 radiative decay), intersystem crossing (S1→T1 non-radiative transition), and other nonradiative decay pathways (e.g. rotation, distortion, vibration, or charge transfer (CT)). Optimization of a given photofunction can be performed by suppressing undesired decay pathways. Moreover, BODIPYs often tend to aggregate in polar solvents causing emission drops and thereby limiting their use in biological applications. In the past decade, significant efforts were devoted to develop strategies aiming to address these drawbacks and improve the photophysical features of BODIPYs. Current approaches mainly focus on chemically modifying BODIPYs to suppress aggregation and nonradiative decay pathways, but require specific structural designs and sizable synthetic efforts. Besides, aggregation-induced emission (AIE) has recently emerged as another way to obtain useful fluorescence, but this method is limited by the small number of AIE chromophores, the challenge of controlling aggregation, and the concerns of colloidal stability and questioning safety for biomedical applications. Alternatively, supramolecular chemistry could afford low-cost strategies to tune the photophysical properties of BODIPYs by formation of host-guest inclusion complexes. For biological applications, water-soluble host molecules are required. Among macrocyclic compounds, cucurbiturils (CB[n]: n = 5-8, 10) sit at a special position due to the availability of various cavity sizes, their rigid hydrophobic cavity lined by two carbonyl fringed portals and their low toxicity. Among CB[n] macrocycles, CB[7] (Chart 1) has received lots of attention due to its reasonably good water solubility, appropriate cavity size for
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Chart 1. Structures of BODIPYs and CB[7] used in this work (R1, R2 = H or I).
most therapeutic agents and conventional chromophores, and low toxicity. Several researchers have enabled to push forward the field of fluorescent dyes by making key contributions about supramolecular complexes involving macrocycles, and in particular CB[7]. Several classes of dyes have thus received intense attention and often, fluorescence increases are noted after CB[7] encapsulation due to disfavored non radiative decay processes, deaggregation, and dyes experiencing both low polarity and low polarizability cavities. However, today, there are only a handful of studies about the effect of cucurbiturils on the photophysical and photochemical properties of BODIPY molecules. In most cases, cucurbituril encapsulation increases fluorescence by either preventing aggregation or manipulating guest pKa values. In this work, we used CB[7] to inhibit nonradiative decay processes of excited BODIPYs by external complexation enabling to improve guest emission for fluorescence imaging. The “supra-chromophore” approach enabled to dramatically reduce the number of synthetic steps while allowing to introduce switchable photophysical properties.

Results and discussion

Experimental

Synthetic procedures. For this purpose, we have prepared BODIPY derivatives (B-4, B-5, and B-6, Scheme 1) in few synthetic steps, affording water soluble chromophores by means of their cationic groups also designed to complex well CB[7].

B-1, B-2, and B-3 were synthesized according to literature procedures. Next, B-1, B-2, and B-3 were underwent reaction with 20 equivalents of methyl iodide in acetonitrile at 40 °C. The reaction proceeded smoothly in 24 h with full conversion and affording the targets B-4, B-5, and B-6 in ~75% isolated yield. Incorporation of the methyl group was supported by the presence of a diagnostic peak at 4.77 ppm in their 1H NMR spectra (Figures S1, S3, and S5). 13C NMR spectra and mass spectra confirmed this result (Figures S2, S4, S6, S7, S8 and S9). Additionally, the molecular structure of B-4 was further established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (vide infra, Figure 4a and Table S1).

Photophysical properties. The optical features of B-4, B-5, and B-6 in the absence and in the presence of CB[7] were studied by UV-Vis absorption, fluorescence, and time resolved absorption spectroscopies. As shown in Figure S10, B-4, B-5, and B-6 show an absorption maximum at 505 nm, 525 nm, and 545 nm, respectively. These absorption profiles are specific of meso-aromatic BODIPYs and assigned to π→π* transitions (S0 → S1). The absorption maximum for B-4 and B-6 is significantly red-shifted compared to that of B-4 owing to the presence of one (or two) iodine atom(s) respectively. B-4, B-5, and B-6 are weakly emissive. Fluorescence spectra show a weak intensity around 590 nm (Figure 1 left column and Figure S11), especially for B-5 and B-6 mainly caused by halogen atoms. These atoms are known to induce intersystem crossing via strong spin-orbit coupling between singlet and triplet states, the so-called heavy-atom effect.

In stark contrast, the corresponding fluorescence spectra showed dramatic changes after CB[7] addition (Figure 1, left}

Figure 1. Fluorescence spectra of (a) B-4, (b) B-5, and (c) B-6 (1.0 × 10−6 M each, excitation wavelength = 490 nm) in water upon addition of CB[7] and solid state fluorescence spectra of (d) B-4, (e) B-5, and (f) B-6 without and with CB[7].

Upon CB[7] addition, the absorption profiles of B-4, B-5, and B-6 remained nearly identical (~3–4 nm) in line with an absence of CB[7]-induced deaggregation and thus suggesting that the BODIPYs are soluble at μM concentrations (Figure S10). In stark contrast, the corresponding fluorescence spectra showed dramatic changes after CB[7] addition (Figure 1, left...
A multi-exponential behaviors with major contributions from a longer lifetime (τ2) component of 6.21 ns for B-4 and 3.84 ns for B-5. The presence of CB[7] has only a weak effect on the fluorescence decay of B-6, being mono-exponential in nature and corresponding to a fluorescence lifetime of ~0.09-0.17 ns. As for the change in quantum yield, the fluorescence quantum yield (Φf) measurements point to improved fluorescence characteristics in with the addition of CB[7]. In the presence of CB[7], the fluorescence quantum yields of B-4 and B-5 has increased ~10-13 fold compared to the absence of CB[7] (Table 1).

BODIPYs are considered as good photosensitizers for PDT. Singlet oxygen generation measurements for the three BODIPY dyes were then performed in the absence and in the presence of CB[7] (Figure S13). While B-4 did not show singlet oxygen generation, B-5 and B-6 efficiently produced singlet oxygen due to the heavy atom effect promoting singlet-to-triplet intersystem crossing (ISC). Surprisingly, CB[7] did not change these results with virtually identical responses with respect to each BODIPY alone. The observed fluorescence improvements without compromising ISC processes make this supramolecular approach with CB[7] a promising strategy to develop smart agents combining biological imaging and photodynamic therapy. To clarify the mechanism by which CB[7] impact BODIPY emissive features, we next focused on structural and theoretical studies.

**Structural study.** Mass spectrometry of B-4, B-5, and B-6 without and with CB[7] were performed by MALDI-TOF. The samples were dissolved in water/THF solutions using α-cyano-4-hydroxyquinamic acid as a matrix. Results support the occurrence of 1:1 host:guest complexes for all compounds (Figures S7, S8, S9). We next used 1H NMR to get further insights in the kind of supramolecular complex keeping in mind that the BODIPY frame is likely too large to be engulfed in the host cavity.

**Without CB[7],** the fluorescence decay of B-4 and B-5 was mono-exponential, corresponding to respective fluorescence lifetimes of about 0.18 and 0.60 ns. However, in the presence of CB[7], the fluorescence decay rates decreased while showing significant increase in solid-state emissions in solution due to aggregation-induced quenching (Figures S11). The fluorescence spectra showed only small changes after CB[7] addition probably due to weak binding interaction (Fig. S12). Furthermore, the solid-state emission properties of B-4, B-5, and B-6 without and with CB[7] were also explored. Solid films obtained on glass slides by slow solvent evaporation of aqueous solutions of B-4, B-5 and B-6, without and with CB[7], were analyzed by solid state fluorescence spectroscopy. Very weak emissions were observed at 530 nm, blue-shifted compared solution-state emissions (Figure 1, right column). The solid-state emission intensity of B-4 and B-5 was decreased compared to emissions in solution due to aggregation-induced quenching combined to non-radiative pathways. However, CB[7] caused a significant increase in solid-state emission of B-4 (~9 fold of free B-4) and red shift of 36 nm. Nevertheless, corresponding spectra for B-5 and B-6 only showed a small and negligible increase in emission intensity respectively at the same wavelength in the presence of CB[7]. Besides, CB[7] has been shown to increase fluorescence lifetimes and quantum yields of guest molecules due to its low polar inner cavity. Fluorescence decay measurements for B-4, B-5, and B-6 in the absence and in the presence of CB[7] are shown in Figure 2 and Table 1.

**Table 1.** Photophysical properties of B-4, B-5 and B-6 without and with CB[7] in water.

| Compound | λmax (nm) | a0/% | T1(ns) | a2/% | T2(ns) | Φf/% |
|----------|-----------|------|--------|------|--------|------|
| B-4      | 505       | 100  | 0.18   | -    | -      | 0.6  |
| B-4+CB[7]| 505       | 25   | 1.15   | 75   | 6.21   | 6    |
| B-5      | 525       | 100  | 0.60   | -    | -      | 0.07 |
| B-5+CB[7]| 525       | 43   | 0.78   | 57   | 3.84   | 1    |
| B-6      | 545       | 100  | 0.09   | -    | -      | -    |
| B-6+CB[7]| 545       | 100  | 0.17   | -    | -      | -    |

[a] Lifetime (a1/a2: mono-/bi-exponential fitting), [b] Fluorescence quantum yield.

Without CB[7], the fluorescence decay of B-4 and B-5 was mono-exponential, corresponding to respective fluorescence lifetimes of about 0.18 and 0.60 ns. However, in the presence of CB[7], the fluorescence decay rates decreased while showing

![Figure 2](image-url) Fluorescence decay profiles of a) B-4 without and with CB[7], b) B-5 without and with CB[7], and c) B-6 (10-5 M) without and with CB[7] using laser excitation at 390 nm.

![Figure 3](image-url) a) 1H-NMR titration of B-4 (0.5 mM) with CB[7] in D2O/CD3CN (80:20) solution, b) assignment of the corresponding protons chemical shifts and c) Lowest energy DFT minimized structure of the 1:1 inclusion complex of B-4 + CB[7]. d) The chemical shift of guest molecule Ha versus CB[7] concentration and the non-linear curve fit in red.
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When a guest molecule is included in CB[7], the signals of the guest protons inside the cavity are shifted upfield (Δδ < 0) while protons sitting next to CB[7] carbonyl portals have their signals deshielded compared to those for the guest alone (Δδ > 0). To ensure full guest solubility at mM concentrations, we used a small percentage of CD$_2$CN, this solvent known to be only weakly competitive (K$_{ACN/CB[7]} = 11±1$ M$^{-1}$) but also possibly source of weaker complexations. 1H NMR titrations at 0.5 mM of guest and with increasing amounts of CB[7] in D$_2$O/CD$_2$CN (80:20 vol%) showed complexation-induced shifts (CIS) for methyl protons (Ha) of the meso pyridinium group of B-4, -0.05, -0.06, and -0.07 ppm for B-4, B-5, and B-6, respectively (Figures 3, S14, S15). This suggests that the pyridinium group of each BODIPY is at least partly included in CB[7]. Small downfield shifts of pyridinium aromatic protons (Hb) support these results, presumably experiencing the deshielding effect of the nearby host carbonyl rim. Simultaneously, the singlets corresponding to pyrrolic hydrogen atoms (Hd) were weakly shielded, probably due to a redistribution of the electron density as signals of methyl hydrogen atoms of the pyrroles are unchanged. Analysis of the fluorescence spectra of Figure 1 suggested that binding constants for equilibria involving formation of 1:1 complex could be of the order of 10$^3$ to 10$^4$ M$^{-1}$. Non-linear curve fitting using data from NMR titrations afforded binding constants K$_B$ for B-4/CB[7] = 22±11 M$^{-1}$, K$_B$ for B-5/CB[7] = 67±19 M$^{-1}$, and K$_B$ for B-6/CB[7] = 34±16 M$^{-1}$ (Figures S14, S15), which are rather weak but account for the competitive behavior of acetonitrile necessary to do the titrations. Indeed, even if this co-solvent is weakly competitive, 20 vol% represent a very large concentration. We hypothesize that binding constants in pure water should be one or two orders of magnitude higher.

To go further, BODIPY B-4 was crystallized, and its single-crystal X-ray diffraction structure obtained. The structure showed that the pyridinium group is almost perpendicular to the BODIPY frame because of the restricted rotation imposed by the adjacent methyl groups (Figure 4a).

While pyridiniums are largely accepted to be good guest fragments for CB[7], the steric hindrance at the anchor point on the BODIPY frame prevents deep inclusion in the CB[7] cavity. Indeed, the DFT minimized structure (Figure 4b), in line with mass spectrometry and NMR shows a shallow inclusion by the methyl-pyridinium moiety, two methyl groups of the BODIPY frame coming close to one carbonyl portal. Three guest-host strong, likely charge-assisted, H-bonds are noticed: one singly engaged N'-C-H•••O=C bond (1.90 Å) and a bifurcated N'-C-H•••O=C bond (2.38 and 2.47 Å). In this geometry, the pyridinium group is slightly rotated off the perpendicular position with respect to the BODIPY frame.

Mechanism of fluorescence enhancement. The electronic structure and photophysical properties of BODIPYs and BODIPY•CB[7] complexes were further investigated with (TD)DFT methods. Optimized geometries of the ground state showed a torsion angle near perpendicular between the pyridinium moiety and the BODIPY frame suggesting a strong intramolecular donor-acceptor (DA) character with minimal π-delocalization (Figure S16). The energy levels from the BODIPY part of B-4 are similar to the corresponding ones of (neutral) B-1, while those from the pyridinium part showed a large stabilization by 1.4-1.7 eV. These differences in the electronic structure also reflect on the calculated excited states of B-1 and B-4 (Table S3). In both cases, the S1 level originates from locally-excited (LE) π→π* transitions of BODIPY levels, while dark charge transfer (CT) transition between π$_{BODIPY}$→π$_{Pyr}$/π$_{Pyr+}$ levels show a large shift from B-1 to B-4 as expected from their electronic structures (Table S2). This stabilization for the CT state in the cationic system is expected to play an important role in fluorescence quenching. When the excited state geometries of B-4 are optimized, the transition energy of the LE state shows a redshift of 0.35 eV as a result of geometry relaxation (Figure 5), which is in good agreement with the observed Stokes shift.

The effect of excited-state geometry relaxation on the transition energy of the CT state is even larger (0.66 eV). Overall, both excited states exhibit similar total energies for their respective minimum. Besides, when the torsion angle (θ) between the BODIPY and the 4-aryl moieties was changed, pure CT and LE states showed significant mixing to a hybridized state (Figure S17) pointing to a possible LE→CT conversion path. These results suggest that the fluorescence quenching observed
for B-4 most likely arises from non-radiative relaxation driven by this interplay between dark CT and bright LE states.\textsuperscript{53} We also note that there is still some overlap between pyridinium and BODIPY-based orbitals for the particle wavefunction as shown in Figure S17, which makes complete charge separation and quenching through photoinduced electron transfer (PET) mechanism unlikely for the investigated systems.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Different views (a, b) of the minimized B-4+CB[7] complex. The B-4+CB[7] distance is plotted in c) against the energy of the CT and LE states in the optimized CT geometry of B-4. The red and blue dotted lines represent the energies of CT and LE states respectively for isolated B-4 (the distance between CB[7] and B-4 is from the guest carbon atom of the methyl-pyridinium and from the barycenter of the host oxygen atoms; green circles). Energy diagram in d) illustrating how the energy of the excited-state is impacted by CB[7] complexation and corresponding to a host-guest distance of 4.25 Å [lowest energy structure for the B-4+CB[7] complex]

The impact of CB[7] on excited-state properties of B-4 was also investigated (Figure 6). For the B-4+CB[7] complex, both LE and CT states originate from the same transitions as discussed before, without significant contributions from CB[7]-based energy levels. When the distance between B-4 and CB[7] is large (6 Å), the energy difference between the CT and LE states is predicted to be comparable to the case of the isolated system (Figures 6c and 6d respectively). However, as the distance between B-4 and CB[7] decreases, the CT state blueshifts due to ion-dipole interactions between the guest pyridinium moiety, and CB[7] while the energy of the LE state is only marginally affected. For the 50 geometry, the energy difference between the LE and the CT state increases to 0.65 eV compared to 0.34 eV for B-4 alone (Figure S18). For the CT state geometry, CB[7] complexation swaps energy levels, the LE state becoming the S1 state for distances smaller than 5 Å (Figure 5d). Beyond the impact on excited-state energetics, CB[7] complexation is also expected to restrict the guest rotational and vibrational degrees of freedom, thereby limiting the LE→CT conversion channel. Consequently, radiative decay from the LE state becomes favorable again for the B-4+CB[7] complex resulting in a significant increase in emission intensity.

Likewise, excited-state properties were also examined for B-5 and B-6 (Figure S19). For both BODIPYs, the LE state showed a redshift (~0.1 eV and ~0.2 eV respectively) in the ground-state geometry compared to what observed for B-4, in line with experimental results. In comparison, the CT state showed a blueshift of ~0.25-0.30 eV in the same geometry. Due to LE-state stabilization and CT state destabilization when iodine atoms are introduced, the energy gap between the CT and LE states in both S0 and LE-state geometries increased by ~0.3 eV for B-5 compared to B-4. More importantly, the energetic order of these states does not change for the CT-state geometry. While iodine substitution is expected to increase fluorescence quenching of B-5 owing to the heavy-atom effect, the electronic structure and excited-states energetics could reduce non-radiative decay from the CT state. In the case of B-6, one would expect a further increase in the emission intensity based solely on excited state energetics as the energy gap between LE and CT state is even larger. However, the balance becomes unfavorable due to the further iodination of B-6 increasing the ISC rate and resulting in efficient fluorescence quenching in line with previous reports.\textsuperscript{54}

**Confocal fluorescence imaging.** We have shown that the rather counter-intuitive external CB[7] complexation could improve the fluorescence properties of BODIPY B-4 by alleviating nonradiative decay pathways. Instilled by these results, we wondered if this supramolecular approach could be used in a more applied context. We thus investigated the relevance of B-4, B-5 and B-6 and of their CB[7] complexes for confocal fluorescence imaging in cells. U87 cells were thus incubated with the BODIPY fluorophores or co-incubated with a BODIPY and CB[7] (Figure 7 and Figures S20).

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of U87 cells after incubation with B-4 without (top line), and with (bottom line) CB[7] for 4 hours. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI after PFA fixation (DAPI, \(\lambda_{ex}\)=405/450 nm; FITC, \(\lambda_{ex}\)=488/520 nm).

When U87 cells were incubated with B-4, B-5, or B-6 alone, only a weak fluorescence was observed. However, the fluorescence raised in the presence of CB[7] and especially when B-4 was used (Figure 6), images showing clear improvements in the green emission localized in intracellular regions. These results indicate that CB[7] complexation is retained in vitro with a preserved inhibition of nonradiative decay pathways for B-4. More investigations are necessary to determine what are the mechanisms of cell uptake and if the host and the guest are internalized together or separately. However, these experiments exceed the scope of this study. Nevertheless these results illustrate well the potential of CB[7] to improve the
fluorescence properties of a dye, even by external complexation.

Conclusions

In summary, we describe the synthesis of three water soluble cationic BODIPY derivatives (B-4, B-5, and B-6) and the effect of CB[7] complexation on their photophysical properties. UV-vis absorption and fluorescence measurements have shown that these BODIPYs do not aggregate in water in the concentration range studied and are weakly emissive mainly due to charge transfer for B-4 and heavy atom effect for B-5 and B-6. However, the addition of CB[7] improved guest fluorescence by ~10 fold for B-4 and ~3 fold for B-5, while B-6 remained essentially non-emissive in solution and in solid state. Fluorescence lifetimes were observed to be increased from 0.18 to 6.21 ns for B-4 and from 0.60 to 3.84 ns for B-5 after CB[7] addition. The origin of these improved photophysical properties upon CB[7] binding were studied by (TD)DFT and assigned to (i) interactions between the guest pyridinium and the carbonyl energy of the CT state for B-4 and (ii) restrictions of rotational and vibrational motions upon host binding. With a preserved singlet oxygen generation, CB[7] complexation was shown to selectively inhibit CT but not ISC of BODIPYs in solution, in the solid state, and in vitro. These improvements allowed to use the BODIPY•CB[7] complexes for confocal fluorescence imaging of U87 cells. While B-4, B-5, and B-6 alone only showed marginal fluorescence in vitro, clear emission from intracellular regions were evidenced after co-incubation with B-4 and CB[7], supporting the possible use of external cucurbituril complexes for fluorescence imaging. Pleasingly, singlet oxygen imaging of U87 cells. While B-4, B-5, and B-6 alone only showed marginal fluorescence in vitro, clear emission from intracellular regions were evidenced after co-incubation with B-4 and CB[7], supporting the possible use of external cucurbituril complexes for fluorescence imaging. Pleasingly, singlet oxygen generation was shown to remain unaffected by CB[7] complexation for B-5 and B-6 supporting possible use for photodynamic therapy (PDT). These results suggest that new BODIPY•CB[n] complexes could be designed for combined applications like simultaneous fluorescence imaging and PDT in a therapeutic context.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

CNRS and Aix Marseille University are acknowledged for continuous support.

Notes and references

1. H. Klfout, A. Stewart, M. Elkalifa and H. He, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 39873–39889.
2. A. Kamkaew, S. H. Lim, H. B. Lee, L. V. Kiew, L. Y. Chung and K. Burgess, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 7748–7759.
3. X. Cui, C. Zhang, K. Xu and J. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2015, 3, 8735–8759.
4. N. Boens, V. Leen and W. Dehaen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1130–1172.
5. C. L. Liu, Y. Chen, D. P. Shelar, C. Li, G. Cheng and W. F. Fu, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 5471–5478.
6. E. Ozcan, M. Ozdemir, D. Ho, Y. Zorlu, R. Ozdemir, C. Kim, H. Usta and B. Cosut, ChemプラスChem, 2019, 84, 1423–1431.
7. D. Pfeifer, A. Russo, J. Klimant and S. M. Borisov, Sensors Actuators, B Chem., 2020, 304, 127312.
8. J. M. Franke, B. K. Raliski, S. C. Boggess, D. V. Natesan, E. T. Koretsky, P. Zhang, R. U. Kulkarni, P. E. Deal and E. W. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 12824–12831.
9. P. Kaur and K. Singh, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2019, 7, 11361–11405.
10. L. Wang, L. Li and D. Cao, Sensors Actuators, B Chem., 2017, 239, 1303–1317.
11. S. Kim, J. Bouffard and Y. Kim, Chem. - A Eur. J., 2015, 21, 17459–17465.
12. H. Lu, Q. Wang, L. Gai, Z. Li, Y. Deng, X. Xiao, G. Lai and Z. Shen, Chem. - A Eur. J., 2012, 18, 7852–7861.
13. D. Tian, F. Qi, H. Ma, X. Wang, Y. Pan, R. Chen, Z. Shen, Z. Liu, L. Huang and W. Huang, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 1–9.
14. X. Choi, J. Bouffard and Y. Kim, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 751–755.
15. X. Xu, W. Wu, X. Cai, C. J. Zhang, Y. Yuan, J. Liang, G. Feng, P. Manghnanzi and B. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 8727–8730.
16. Z. Liu, Z. Jiang, M. Yan and X. Wang, Front. Chem., 2019, 7, 1–16.
17. E. Ozcan, B. Dedeoglu, Y. Chumakov, A. G. Gürek, Y. Zorlu, B. Çoşut and M. Menaf Ayhan, Chem. - A Eur. J., 2021, 27, 1603–1608.
18. C. Chen, X. Ni, H. W. Tian, Q. Liu, D. S. Guo and D. Ding, Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 10008–10012.
19. X. Y. Lou, N. Song and Y. W. Yang, Chem. - A Eur. J., 2019, 25, 11975–11982.
20. X. Yao, T. Li, J. Wang, X. Ma and H. Tian, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2016, 4, 1322–1349.
21. R. N. Osoua, U. Pischel and W. M. Nau, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 7941–7980.
22. F. Schibilla, L. Stegeomann, C. A. Straussert, F. Rizzo and B. J. Ravoo, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2016, 15, 235–243.
23. Y. L. Loukas, Analyst, 1997, 122, 377–381.
24. J. W. Lee, S. Samai, N. Selvapalam, H. J. Kim and K. Kim, Acc. Chem. Res., 2003, 36, 621–630.
25. K. I. Assaf and W. M. Nau, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 394–418.
26. J. Lagona, P. Mukhopadhyay, S. Chakrabarti and L. Isaacs, Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4844–4870.
27. O. Reany, A. Li, M. Yefet, M. K. Gilson and E. Keinan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8138–8145.
28. V. D. Uzunova, C. Cullinane, K. Brix, W. M. Nau and A. I. Day, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 2037–2042.
29 D. Das, K. I. Assaf and W. M. Nau, *Front. Chem.*, 2019, **7**, 1–23.
30 M. Sayed and H. Pal, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 2685–2706.
31 H. Yin, F. Dumur, Y. Niu, M. M. Ayhan, O. Grauby, W. Liu, C. Wang, D. Siri, R. Rosas, A. Tonetto, D. Gigmes, R. Wang, D. Bardelang and O. Ouari, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 33220–33228.
32 S. R. Wang, J. Q. Wang, G. H. Xu, L. Wei, B. S. Fu, L. Y. Wu, Y. Y. Song, X. R. Yang, C. Li, S. M. Liu and X. Zhou, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1–9.
33 C. Hu, L. Grimm, A. Prabodh, A. Baksi, A. Siennicka, P. A. Levkin, M. M. Kappes and F. Biedermann, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 11142–11153.
34 X. Ma and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 7794–7839.
35 A. Palma, M. Artelsmair, G. Wu, X. Lu, S. J. Barrow, N. Uddin, E. Rosta, E. Masson and O. A. Scherman, *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 15688–15692.
36 Z. Zeng, X. Zhang, G. Luo, Y. Meng, L. Zhang, W. Zhao, Z. Tao and Q. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, **9**, 6160–6165.
37 X. Zhang, X. Xu, S. Li, L. H. Wang, J. Zhang and R. Wang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 1–7.
38 W. M. Nau and J. Mohanty, *Int. J. Photoenergy*, 2005, **7**, 133–141.
39 S. S. Thakare, G. Chakraborty, P. Krishnakumar, A. K. Ray, D. K. Maity, H. Pal and N. Sekar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2016, **120**, 11266–11278.
40 B. Yuan, H. Wu, H. Wang, B. Tang, J. F. Xu and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 706–710.
41 J. F. Yin, Y. Hu, D. G. Wang, L. Yang, Z. Jin, Y. Zhang and G. C. Kuang, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2017, **6**, 139–143.
42 M. A. Alnajjar, J. Bartelmeß, R. Hein, P. Ashokkumar, M. Nilam, W. M. Nau, K. Kurack and A. Hennig, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **14**, 1961–1971.
43 M. Gupta, K. Parvathi, S. Mula, D. K. Maity and A. K. Ray, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2017, **16**, 499–506.
44 O. Buyukcakir, F. T. Yasar, O. A. Bozdemir, B. Icli and E. U. Akkaya, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1012–1015.
45 A. Singh, W. T. Yip and R. L. Halterman, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 4046–4049.
46 G. Chakraborty, M. K. Choudhary, M. Sundararajan, A. K. Ray, S. Mula and H. Pal, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2021, **125**, 7946–7957.
47 M. M. Ayhan, E. Özcan, B. Dedeoglu, Y. Chumakov, Y. Zorlu and B. Cosut, *CrystEngComm*, 2021, **23**, 268–272.
48 J. Karlén, L. B. A. Johansson, L. Strandberg and T. Ny, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 7801–7806.
49 A. Gorman, J. Killoran, C. O’Shea, T. Kenna, W. M. Gallagher and D. F. O’Shea, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 10619–10631.
50 X. Miao, W. Hu, T. He, H. Tao, Q. Wang, R. Chen, L. Jin, H. Zhao, X. Lu, Q. Fan and W. Huang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 3096–3102.
51 I. W. Wyman and D. H. MacArtney, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2008, **6**, 1796–1801.
52 D. Bardelang, J. L. Clément, J. P. Finet, H. Karoui and P. Tordo, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 8054–8061.
53 Z. Lin, A. W. Kohn and T. Van Voorhis, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 3925–3938.
54 R. P. Sabatini, T. M. McCormick, T. Lazarides, K. C. Wilson, R. Eisenberg and D. W. McCamant, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **2**, 223–227.