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Abstract
Symmetric operators have benefited in different fields not only in mathematics but also in other sciences. They appeared in the studies of boundary value problems and spectral theory. In this note, we present a new symmetric differential operator associated with a special class of meromorphically multivalent functions in the punctured unit disk. This study explores some of its geometric properties. We consider a new class of analytic functions employing the suggested symmetric differential operator.
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1 Introduction
The study of the operator is narrowly connected with problems in the theory of functions. Various operators that were studied are operators on the space of holomorphic functions. For instance, Beurling’s theorem defines the invariant subspaces of bounded holomorphic functions on the open unit disk. Beurling deduced the idea as multiplication of the independent variable on the Hardy space. The realization in studying multiplication operators is seen in Toeplitz operators, specifically in the Bergman space of holomorphic functions. The geometric function theory is likewise ironic covering a long list of operators, counting differential, integral, and convolution operators. Limited symmetric operators are studied in this field. Newly, Ibrahim and Darus (see [1] and for applications see [2–5]) offered new symmetric differential, integral, and linear symmetric operators for a class of normalized functions in the open unit disk.

In this note, we proceed to consider a differential symmetric operator (DSO) associated with a class of meromorphically multivalent functions in the punctured unit disk. Consequently, we suggest a new class of analytic functions based on DSO to study it in view of the geometric function theory. Moreover, we investigate the real case of a formula con-
taining the DSO. We show that this operator is a solution of a type of Sturm–Liouville equation. Some examples are illustrated in the sequel.

2 Construction

In this paper, we construct a new DSO connected with the following class of multivalent meromorphic functions \( \Sigma_k(\wp) \) consisting of functions \( \phi \) with the power series expansion

\[
\phi(z) = z^{-\wp} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \phi_n z^{n-\wp}, \quad z \in \cup,
\]

where \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( n - \wp \in \mathbb{N} \). Recall that the functions \( \phi \) of the form (2.1) are called meromorphic with a pole at \( z = 0 \) so that \( \phi(z) - z^{-\wp} \) is analytic in \( \cup \) (see Komatu [6] or Hayman [7]). We then concentrate on a subclass of \( \Sigma_k(\wp) \) formulated by a subordination and explore inclusion properties and sufficient inclusion conditions for this class and check its closure property under convolution or Hadamard product.

2.1 Differential symmetric operator (DSO)

In this place, we state a few definitions and a lemma that we shall need in the next section. First, we define a conformable differential operator for the class of meromorphic functions \( \Sigma_k(\wp) \) defined by (2.1).

**Definition 2.1** For functions \( \phi \in \Sigma_k(\wp) \), define the symmetric differential operator as follows:

\[
\Delta^0 \phi(z) = \phi(z) = z^{-\wp} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \phi_n z^{n-\wp},
\]

\[
\Delta^\alpha \phi(z) = \left( \frac{\alpha}{-\wp} \right) (z \phi'(z)) + \left( \frac{1 - \alpha (-1)^{\wp+1}}{-\wp} \right) (z \phi'(-z)) \nonumber
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\alpha}{-\wp} \right) (\wp) z^{-\wp} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n - \wp) \phi_n z^{n-\wp} + \left( \frac{1 - \alpha (-1)^{\wp+1}}{-\wp} \right) \nonumber
\]

\[
\times (\wp) (-1)^{\wp-1} z^{-\wp} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n - \wp) \phi_n (-1)^{n-\wp-1} z^{n-\wp} \nonumber
\]

\[
= z^{-\wp} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n - \wp) \frac{\alpha + (1 - \alpha (-1)^n)}{-\wp} \phi_n z^{n-\wp}, \tag{2.2}
\]

\[
\Delta^{2\alpha} \phi(z) = \Delta^\alpha \phi(z) \left( \Delta^\alpha \phi(z) \right) \nonumber
\]

\[
= z^{-\wp} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n - \wp)^2 \frac{\alpha + (1 - \alpha (-1)^n)}{-\wp}^2 \phi_n z^{n-\wp}, \nonumber
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
\Delta^{m\alpha} \phi(z) = \Delta^\alpha \phi(z) \left( \Delta^{(m-1)\alpha} \phi(z) \right) \nonumber
\]

\[
= z^{-\wp} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n - \wp)^m \frac{\alpha + (1 - \alpha (-1)^n)}{-\wp}^m \phi_n z^{n-\wp}, \nonumber
\]

where \( \alpha \in [0, 1], \wp \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, z \in \cup. \)
Clearly, $\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z) \in \Sigma_k(\varphi)$ as well as, for two functions $\varphi$ and $\psi \in \Sigma_k(\varphi)$, we have

$$\Delta^\alpha [A\varphi(z) + B\psi(z)]$$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\varphi}\right) \left(z[A\varphi(z) + B\psi(z)]\right) + \left((1 - \alpha)(-1)^{\nu+1}\right) \left(z[A\varphi(-z) + B\psi(-z)]\right)$$

$$= A\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{-\varphi}\right) [z\varphi'(z)] + \left((1 - \alpha)(-1)^{\nu+1}\right) [z\varphi'(-z)]\right)$$

$$+ B\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{-\varphi}\right) [z\varphi'(z)] + \left((1 - \alpha)(-1)^{\nu+1}\right) [z\varphi'(-z)]\right)$$

$$= A\Delta^\alpha \varphi(z) + B\Delta^\alpha \psi(z); \quad A, B \in \mathbb{R}.$$
implies
\[ h(z) < \left[ 1 + z \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

3 Main results
First we prove an inclusion theorem for the class \( \Sigma^\alpha_k (\mu, v, \zeta, \wp) \).

3.1 Inclusion properties
Theorem 3.1 Let \( \psi \in \Sigma_k (\wp) \). If \( \zeta_2 < \zeta_1 < 0 \), then
\[ \Sigma^\alpha_k (\mu, v, \zeta_2, \wp) \subset \Sigma^\alpha_k (\mu, v, \zeta_1, \wp). \]

Proof Let \( \psi \in \Sigma^\alpha_k (\mu, v, \zeta_2, \wp) \). Define a function \( \phi(z) = z^\wp \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta m} \phi(z) \right] - \left( \frac{\zeta_2}{\wp} \right) z^{1+\wp} \left[ \Delta m \phi(z) \right] \).

Consequently, we get the inequality
\[ \phi(z) - \frac{\zeta_2}{\wp} (z \phi'(z)) < \frac{\mu z + 1}{v z + 1}. \]

Applying Lemma 2.4 with \( \gamma := -\frac{\zeta_2}{\wp} > 0 \) gives
\[ \phi(z) < \frac{\mu z + 1}{v z + 1}, \quad z \in \bigcup. \]

Since \( 0 < \zeta_1/\zeta_2 < 1 \) and since \( I_{\mu,v}(z) \) is convex univalent in \( \bigcup \), we arrive at the inequality
\[
(1 - \zeta_1) z^\wp \left[ \Delta m \phi(z) \right] - \left( \frac{\zeta_1}{\wp} \right) z^{1+\wp} \left[ \Delta m \phi(z) \right] < \frac{\mu z + 1}{v z + 1},
\]

Hence, by Definition 2.3, we conclude that \( \psi \in \Sigma^\alpha_k (\mu, v, \zeta_1, \wp). \)

3.2 Geometric properties
Next, we show a sufficient inclusion condition for the class \( \Sigma^\alpha_k (\mu, v, \zeta, \wp) \).

Theorem 3.2 Let \( \psi \in \Sigma_k (\wp) \) and
\[ \Phi(z) := (1 - \zeta) z^\wp \left[ \Delta m \phi(z) \right] - \left( \frac{\zeta}{\wp} \right) z^{1+\wp} \left[ \Delta m \phi(z) \right]. \]
Then $\Phi(z) < f_{\mu,\nu}(z)$ if one of the following inequalities occurs:

- $1 + \epsilon(z\Phi'(z)) < \sqrt{z + 1}, \; \epsilon \geq \max\{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1\}$, where
  \[
  \epsilon_0 = \frac{0.452v + 0.452}{\mu - v}, \quad v + 1 \neq 0, \mu - v \neq 0;
  \]
  and
  \[
  \epsilon_1 = \frac{-0.631(v - 1)}{(\mu - v)}, \quad v - 1 \neq 0, \mu - v \neq 0.
  \]

- $1 + \epsilon(z\Phi'(z)) < \sqrt{z + 1}, \; \epsilon \geq \max\{|\epsilon_2|, |\epsilon_3|\}$, where
  \[
  \epsilon_2 = \frac{0.6i}{2\pi n - i\log\left(\frac{\mu - 1}{\nu - 1}\right)},
  \left(\log\left(\frac{\mu - 1}{\nu - 1}\right) + 2i\pi n \neq 0, \mu \neq 1, \nu \neq 1 \right);
  \]
  and
  \[
  \epsilon_3 = \frac{0.452i}{2\pi n - i\log\left(\frac{\nu + 1}{\mu + 1}\right)},
  \left(v + 1 \neq 0, \mu + 1 \neq 0, \log\left(\frac{\nu + 1}{\mu + 1}\right) + 2\pi ni \neq 0 \right).
  \]

- $1 + \epsilon(z\Phi'(z)) < \sqrt{z + 1}, \; \epsilon \geq \max\{\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5\}$, where
  \[
  \epsilon_4 = \frac{0.452(\mu + 1)}{(\mu - v)}, \quad v + 1 \neq 0, \mu \neq v;
  \]
  \[
  \epsilon_5 = \frac{0.6(v - 1)}{(\mu - v)}, \quad v - 1 \neq 0, \mu \neq v.
  \]

**Proof** Case I: $1 + \epsilon(z\Phi'(z)) < \sqrt{z + 1}$.

Define a function $T_\epsilon : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ formulating by

\[
T_\epsilon(z) = 1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left(\sqrt{z + 1} - \log(1 + \sqrt{z + 1}) - 1 + \log(2)\right).
\]

Clearly, $T_\epsilon(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ satisfying $T_\epsilon(0) = 1$, and it is a solution of the differential equation

\[
1 + \epsilon(zT_\epsilon'(z)) = \sqrt{z + 1}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

Thus, we obtain $\mathfrak{T}(z) := \epsilon(zT_\epsilon'(z)) = \sqrt{z + 1} - 1$ is starlike in $\mathbb{U}$. So, for

\[
\mathfrak{G}(z) := \mathfrak{T}(z) + 1
\]

we have

\[
\Re\left(\frac{z\mathfrak{G}'(z)}{\mathfrak{G}(z)}\right) = \Re\left(\frac{z\mathfrak{G}'(z)}{\mathfrak{G}(z)}\right) > 0.
\]
Thus, by Lemma 2.4, it yields

$$1 + \varepsilon \left( z \Phi'(z) \right) < 1 + \varepsilon z \mathcal{T}'(z) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(z) < \mathcal{T}(z).$$

To complete this argument, we must prove that $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(z) < J_{\mu,\nu}(z)$. Evidently, the function $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(z)$ is increasing in the interval $(-1, 1)$ that satisfies the inequality

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(-1) \leq \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(1).$$

Since

$$\frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \nu} \leq \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(-1) \leq \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(1) \leq \frac{1 + \mu}{1 + \nu},$$

where $\varepsilon \geq \max\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\}$,

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{0.452 \nu + 0.452}{\mu - \nu}, \quad \nu + 1 \neq 0, \mu - \nu \neq 0$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{-0.631 (\nu - 1)}{\mu - \nu}, \quad \nu - 1 \neq 0, \mu - \nu \neq 0,$$

then we get the conclusion

$$\Phi(z) < \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(z) < J_{\mu,\nu}(z) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(z) < J_{\mu,\nu}(z).$$

Case II: $1 + \varepsilon \left( \frac{z \Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right) < \sqrt{z + 1}$.

Define a function $\Omega_\varepsilon : \cup \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ formulating by the structure

$$\Omega_\varepsilon(z) = \exp \left( \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt{z + 1} - \log(1 + \sqrt{z + 1}) - 1 + \log(2) \right) \right).$$

Obviously, $\Omega_\varepsilon(z)$ is analytic in $\cup$ having $\Omega_\varepsilon(0) = 1$, and it is a solution of the differential equation

$$1 + \varepsilon \left( \frac{z \Omega'_\varepsilon(z)}{\Omega_\varepsilon(z)} \right) = \sqrt{z + 1}, \quad z \in \cup. \quad (3.2)$$

By assuming $\Im(z) = \sqrt{z + 1} - 1$, which is starlike in $\cup$ and $\Im(\bar{z}) = \Im(z) + 1$, we obtain

$$\Re \left( \frac{z \Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right) = \Re \left( \frac{z \Omega'_\varepsilon(z)}{\Omega_\varepsilon(z)} \right) > 0.$$

Then again, by virtue of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$1 + \varepsilon \left( \frac{z \Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right) < 1 + \varepsilon \left( \frac{z \Omega'_\varepsilon(z)}{\Omega_\varepsilon(z)} \right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(z) < \Omega_\varepsilon(z).$$
Consequently,

\[ \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \nu} \leq \Omega_{\varepsilon}(-1) \leq \Omega_{\varepsilon}(1) \leq \frac{1 + \mu}{1 + \nu} \]

whenever \( \varepsilon \geq \max\{|\varepsilon_2|, |\varepsilon_3|\} \), where

\[ \varepsilon_2 = \frac{0.6i}{2\pi n - i \log\left(\frac{\mu}{\nu}\right)} \left( \log\left(\frac{\mu - 1}{\nu - 1}\right) + 2i\pi n \neq 0, \mu \neq 1, \nu \neq 1 \right) \]

and

\[ \varepsilon_3 = \frac{0.452i}{2\pi n - i \log\left(\frac{\nu + 1}{\mu + 1}\right)} \].

This introduces the subordination conclusions

\[ \Phi(z) \prec \Omega_{\varepsilon}(z) \prec J_{\mu, \nu}(z) \Rightarrow \Phi(z) \prec J_{\mu, \nu}(z). \]

Case III: \( 1 + \varepsilon \left( z_{\varepsilon}^\prime(z) / z_{\varepsilon}(z) \right) \prec \sqrt{z + 1} \).

Define a function \( \delta_{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C} \) by the formula

\[ \delta_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{z}{2}(\sqrt{z + 1} - \log(1 + \sqrt{z + 1}) - 1 + \log(2)))}. \]

Clearly, \( \delta_{\varepsilon}(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \) achieving \( \delta_{\varepsilon}(0) = 1 \), and it is the result of the differential equation

\[ 1 + \varepsilon \left( z_{\varepsilon}^\prime(z) / \delta_{\varepsilon}(z) \right) = \sqrt{z + 1}. \quad (3.3) \]

By employing the function \( \Upsilon(z) = \sqrt{z + 1} - 1 \), which is starlike in \( \mathbb{U} \) and \( \gamma(z) = \Upsilon(z) + 1 \), we obtain

\[ \Re\left( \frac{z_{\varepsilon}^\Upsilon(z)}{\Upsilon(z)} \right) = \Re\left( \frac{z_{\varepsilon}^{\gamma}(z)}{\gamma(z)} \right) > 0. \]

Hence, Lemma 2.4 implies

\[ 1 + \varepsilon \left( z_{\varepsilon}^\Phi(z) / \Phi^2(z) \right) \prec 1 + \varepsilon \left( z_{\varepsilon}^\gamma(z) / \gamma^2(z) \right) \Rightarrow \Phi(z) \prec \delta_{\varepsilon}(z). \]

Accordingly, we have

\[ \frac{1 - \mu}{1 - \nu} \leq \delta_{\varepsilon}(-1) \leq \delta_{\varepsilon}(1) \leq \frac{1 + \mu}{1 + \nu} \]
whenever \( \varepsilon \geq \max\{\varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_5\} \), where
\[
\varepsilon_4 = \frac{0.452(\mu + 1)}{\mu - v}, \quad v + 1 \neq 0, \mu \neq v;
\]
\[
\varepsilon_5 = \frac{0.6(\nu - 1)}{\mu - v}, \quad v - 1 \neq 0, \mu \neq v.
\]
This implies the subordination
\[
\Phi(z) < \Phi (z) < J_{\mu, \nu}(z) \Rightarrow \Phi(z) < J_{\mu, \nu}(z).
\]
As a conclusion, we have
\[
(1 - \varsigma)z^\phi [\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z)] - \left(\frac{\zeta}{\phi}\right)z^{1+\phi} [\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z)]' < J_{\mu, \nu}(z)
\]
for all \( \zeta < 0 \) and \( \varphi \in \mathbb{N} \). Consequently, \( \varphi \in \Sigma^\phi_{\mu, \nu, \zeta, \varsigma} \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.3** Let
\[
\Phi(z) = (1 - \zeta)z^\phi [\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z)] - \left(\frac{\zeta}{\phi}\right)z^{1+\phi} [\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z)]'.
\]
Then
\[
\ell_1 (1 + \phi)z^\phi \Delta^{ma} \varphi(z) + \left[\ell_1 - \ell_2 (1 + \phi) - \ell_2\right]z^{1+\phi} (\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z))' - \ell_2 z^{2+\phi} (\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z))''
\]
\[
< \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^{\lambda_1} \Rightarrow \Phi(z) < \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^{\lambda_2}
\]
\( \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0, \ell_1 = 1 - \varsigma, \ell_2 = \frac{\zeta}{\phi}, \varsigma < 0 \).

**Proof** A calculation implies that
\[
\Phi(z) + z\Phi'(z) = (1 - \zeta)z^\phi [\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z)] - \left(\frac{\zeta}{\phi}\right)z^{1+\phi} [\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z)]'
\]
\[
+ z \left(1 - \zeta\right)z^\phi [\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z)] - \left(\frac{\zeta}{\phi}\right)z^{1+\phi} [\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z)]'
\]
\[
= \ell_1 (1 + \phi)z^\phi \Delta^{ma} \varphi(z) + \left[\ell_1 - \ell_2 (1 + \phi) - \ell_2\right]z^{1+\phi} (\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z))' - \ell_2 z^{2+\phi} (\Delta^{ma} \varphi(z))''
\]
\[
< \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^{\lambda_1}.
\]
Then, in view of Lemma 2.5 with \( c = 1 \), we obtain \( \Phi(z) < \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^{\lambda_2} \). \( \square \)

Note that when \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1 \), then we have the following result.
Corollary 3.4  For $\Phi(z)$ in Theorem 3.3, if the subordination

$$
\ell_1(1 + \varphi)z^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta} \varphi(z) + \left[ \ell_1 - \ell_2(1 + \varphi) \right] z^{1+\varphi} \left( \Delta^{\delta} \varphi(z) \right) - \ell_2 z^{2+\varphi} \left( \Delta^{\delta} \varphi(z) \right)'' < \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right),
$$

$$
\left( \ell_1 = 1 - \zeta, \ell_2 = \frac{\zeta}{\Phi}, \Phi < 0 \right)
$$

holds, then $\varphi \in \Sigma_1^\mu (1, -1, \zeta, \psi)$.

Proof  Let $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ in Theorem 3.3, then this implies that $\Phi(z) < (\frac{1 + z}{1 - z})$; consequently, we have $\varphi \in \Sigma_1^\mu (1, -1, \zeta, \psi)$.$\square$

Finally, we prove a convolution condition for the class $\Sigma_1^\mu (\mu, \nu, \zeta, \psi)$.

Definition 3.5  The Hadamard product or convolution of two power series

$$
\psi(z) = z^{\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n z^{n+\nu}
$$

and

$$
\varphi(z) = z^{\delta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n z^{n+\delta}
$$

in $\Sigma_1(\varphi)$ is denoted by

$$
(\varphi \ast \psi)(z) = \varphi(z) \ast \psi(z)
$$

$$
= z^{\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \psi_n z^{n+\nu}.
$$

Theorem 3.6  Let $\varphi \in \Sigma_1^\mu (\mu, \nu, \zeta, \psi)$ and $f \in \Sigma_1(\varphi)$. Then $\varphi \ast f \in \Sigma_1^\mu (\mu, \nu, \zeta, \psi)$ if

$$
\Re \left( z^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta} f(z) \right) > \frac{1}{2}.
$$

(3.4)

Proof  By the properties of the Hadamard product, we indicate that

$$
(1 - \zeta) z^{\delta} \left[ \Delta^{\delta} \varphi \ast f(z) \right] - \left( \frac{\zeta}{\Phi} \right) z^{1+\varphi} \left[ \Delta^{\delta} \varphi \ast f(z) \right]''
$$

$$
= (1 - \zeta) \left( z^{\delta} \left[ \Delta^{\delta} \varphi(z) \ast z^{\varphi} \left[ \Delta^{\delta} f(z) \right] \right) - \left( \frac{\zeta}{\Phi} \right) \left( z^{1+\varphi} \left[ \Delta^{\delta} f(z) \right] \right)''
$$

$$
= (1 - \zeta) z^{\delta} \left[ \Delta^{\delta} \varphi(z) \right] - \left( \frac{\zeta}{\Phi} \right) z^{1+\varphi} \left[ \Delta^{\delta} f(z) \right]''
$$

$$
= \Phi(z) \ast \left( z^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta} f(z) \right),
$$
where $\Phi(z) < J_{\mu,\nu}(z)$. Given condition (3.4) yields that $(z^\phi \Delta^m f(z))$ has the Herglotz integral formula (e.g. see [12])

$$(z^\phi \Delta^m f(z)) = \int_{|\chi|=1} \frac{d\sigma(\chi)}{1 - \chi z}$$

where $d\sigma$ presents the probability measure on the unit circle $|\chi| = 1$ and

$$\int_{|\chi|=1} d\sigma(\chi) = 1.$$ 

Since $J_{\mu,\nu}(z)$ is convex in $\cup$, we have

$$(1 - \varsigma)z^\phi [\Delta^m (\varphi \ast f)(z)] - \left( \frac{\varsigma}{\phi} \right) z^{1+\phi} [\Delta^m (\varphi \ast f)(z)]' = \Phi(z) * (z^\phi \Delta^m f(z))$$

$$= \int_{|\chi|=1} \Phi(\chi z) d\sigma(\chi) < J_{\mu,\nu}(z).$$

Hence, $\varphi \ast f \in \Sigma_k^p (\mu, \nu, \varsigma, \phi)$. $\square$

We have the following geometric results.

**Theorem 3.7**  For the function $\varphi \in \Sigma_k(\phi)$, define a functional

$$\Phi(z) = (1 - \varsigma)z^\phi [\Delta^m \varphi(z)] - \left( \frac{\varsigma}{\phi} \right) z^{1+\phi} [\Delta^m \varphi(z)], \quad \varsigma < 0$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n z^n, \quad z \in \cup.$$ 

Then

$$\Re(\Phi(z)) > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\phi_n| \leq 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} |e^{-i\theta}| d\nu(\theta),$$

where $d\nu$ is a probability measure. Moreover,

$$\Re(e^{\phi \nu} \Phi(z)) > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(z) \in C,$$

where $C$ is the class of analytic convex in $\cup$.

**Proof**  For the first part of the theorem, we suppose that

$$\Re(\Phi(z)) = \Re \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n z^n \right) > 0.$$
Then, by the Carathéodory positivist theorem for holomorphic functions, we have

\[ |\varphi_n| \leq 2 \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{-in\theta}| \, d\nu(\theta), \]

where \( d\nu \) is a probability measure. Lastly, if

\[ \Re(e^{i\varphi} \Phi(z)) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{R}, \]

then in view of [13]-Theorem 1.6(P22) and for some real numbers \( \varphi \), we get

\[ \Phi(z) \approx \frac{\mu z + 1}{\nu z + 1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \]

But \( \frac{\mu z + 1}{\nu z + 1} \) is convex in \( \mathbb{U} \), then by the majority concept, we obtain that \( \Phi(z) \in \mathbb{C}. \quad \square \)

Theorem 3.7 implies the sufficient conditions to a function \( \varphi \in \Sigma_1(k) \) to be in \( \Sigma^e_1(\mu, \nu, \varsigma, \wp) \).

**Theorem 3.8** For the function \( \varphi \in \Sigma_1(k) \), define a functional \( \flat(z) := z^{\wp} \Delta^{max} \varphi(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \).

If the subordination

\[ \frac{\flat(z)}{(1+z)^2} \]

holds, then \( \flat(z) \in \mathbb{S}^* \) (the class of starlike analytic functions) and

\[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{\sqrt{\varphi(\zeta)}}{\zeta} \, d\zeta \right)^2 \leq 2 \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\zeta} \]

such that

\[ -\frac{\pi}{2} < -2 \tan^{-1} \sqrt{r} \leq \Re \left( \int_0^z \frac{\sqrt{\varphi(\zeta)}}{\zeta} \, d\zeta \right) < 2 \tan^{-1} \sqrt{r} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \]

**Proof** Let \( \flat(z) = z^{\wp+1} \Delta^{max} \varphi(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \). Then

\[ \flat(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \]

is analytic in the open unit disk. Obviously,

\[ B(z) := (2 \tan^{-1} \sqrt{z})^2 \]

\[ = 4z - 8z^2 + \frac{92z^3}{45} + O(z^4). \]

Since the function (see [9]-P177)

\[ p(z) = \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} \]

\[ = z - 2z^2 + 3z^3 - 4z^4 + 5z^5 + O(z^6) \in \mathbb{S}^*, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \]
then by the majority concept, we have \( b(z) \in S^* \). The second and third assertions are verified by [9]-Corollary 3.6a.1. \( \square \)

Similarly, we have the next result.

**Theorem 3.9** Assume that \( \psi \in \Sigma_k(\varphi) \) and a functional \( b(z) = z^{\varphi+1} \Delta^m \varphi(z), \ z \in \mathbb{D} \). If the subordination

\[
b(z) < \frac{z}{(1 + z)^2}
\]

holds, then \( b(z) \in S^* \) (the class of starlike analytic functions) and

\[
\left( \int_0^z \frac{\sqrt{b(\xi)}}{\xi} d\xi \right)^2 < (2 \cot^{-1} \sqrt{1/z})^2
\]

such that

\[
\frac{\pi}{2} < -2 \cot^{-1} \sqrt{1/z} \leq \Re\left( \int_0^z \frac{\sqrt{b(\xi)}}{\xi} d\xi \right) < 2 \cot^{-1} \sqrt{1/z} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

### 3.3 Real cases

From the proof of Theorem 3.3, we indicate the real construction as follows:

\[
\Re(\Phi(z) + z\Phi'(z)) = \Re(\ell_1(1 + \varphi)e^{\varphi} \Delta^m \varphi(z) + [\ell_1 - \ell_2(1 + \varphi) - \ell_2]z^{1+\varphi}(\Delta^m \varphi(z)))' = \ell_1(1 + \varphi)xy + \left(\frac{(1 + \varphi)(2\ell_1 - 1)}{\varphi}\right)x^{1-\varphi}y' - \left(\frac{1 - \ell_1}{\varphi}\right)x^{1-2\varphi}y'',
\]

where \( \Re(\varphi^\varphi) := x, \ell_1 = 1 - \zeta > 0, \ell_2 = (1 - \ell_1)/\varphi \) and \( \Re(\Delta^m \varphi(z)) := y(x) \). By approximate \( \ell_1 \rightarrow 2 \), we have

\[
\Re(\Phi(z) + z\Phi'(z)) = 2(1 + \varphi)xy + \left(\frac{3(1 + \varphi) - 1}{\varphi}\right)x^{1-\varphi}y' + \left(\frac{1}{\varphi}\right)x^{1-2\varphi}y'',
\]

then the real solution of \( \Re(\Phi(z) + z\Phi'(z)) = 0 \) is equivalent to the solution of

\[
2(1 + \varphi)xy + \left(\frac{3(1 + \varphi) - 1}{\varphi}\right)x^{1-\varphi}y' + \left(\frac{1}{\varphi}\right)x^{1-2\varphi}y'' = 0. \tag{3.5}
\]

The exact and the approximate solutions of Eq. (3.5) are formulated in the next result.

**Theorem 3.10** Consider Eq. (3.5). Then the exact solution is formulated as a linear combination of a confluent hypergeometric function with the Laguerre polynomials

\[
y(x) = 2^{\varphi/(2\varphi+2)}e^{-2x^{\varphi+1}}x^{\varphi+1/2}U\left(2\varphi, \varphi+2, -\varphi\right) + c_1 L_{-1/(\varphi+1)}^{(-1/(\varphi+1))}\left(\frac{(\varphi + 2)x^{\varphi+1}}{\varphi + 1}\right)
\]

(3.6)
and an approximate solution

\[
y(x) \approx 2^{\varphi/(2\varphi+2)}(2.718)^{-2\varphi+\varphi} \left( \frac{\varphi+1}{\varphi+1} \right)^{\varphi/(2\varphi+2)} x^{-\varphi/2} \times \left\{ c_1 U \left( \frac{2\varphi}{\varphi+2}, \frac{\varphi+1}{\varphi+1} \right) + c_2 L_{(\varphi/2)}^{(-\varphi/2)} \left( \frac{(\varphi + 2)x^{\varphi+1}}{(\varphi+1)} \right) \right\},
\]

(3.7)

where \( U \) is the confluent hypergeometric function of the second type and \( L \) is the Laguerre polynomial.

**Proof.** Equation (3.5) indicates the structure of the Sturm–Liouville equation. Thus we obtain the conclusion

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{(2+3\varphi)x^{1+\varphi}/(1+\varphi)} y' (x) \right) + 2 e^{(2+3\varphi)x^{1+\varphi}/(1+\varphi)} \varphi(1+\varphi)x^{2\varphi} y(x) = 0
\]

(3.8)

with the exact and the approximated solutions in (3.6) and (3.7) respectively.

**Example 3.11** Let \( \varphi = 1 \), then Eq. (3.6) becomes the Sturm–Liouville equation

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{(5x^2)/2} y' (x) \right) + 4e^{(5x^2)/2} x^2 y(x) = 0,
\]

(3.9)

with the solution (see Fig. 1)

\[
y(x) = e^{-2x^2} \left\{ c_1 H_{-4/3} \left( \frac{3}{2}x \right) + c_2 F_1 \left( \frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{2}; 3 \frac{x^2}{2} \right) \right\},
\]

where \( H_n(x) \) is the Hermite polynomial and \( F_1 \) is the hypergeometric function. It is clear that solution (3.9) is defined at the boundary of \( \cup \) (see Fig. 1-left column). That is, the functional \( \mathcal{N}(\Delta^{m_0} \varphi(z)) \approx y(x), x \to 1 \). Now, by letting \( y(0) = 1 \), this implies the solution (see Fig. 1-right column)

\[
y(x) = \frac{e^{-2x^2}}{4\n! \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)} \left\{ 4c_1 \Gamma \left( \frac{7}{6} \right) H_{-4/3} \left( \frac{3}{2}x \right) - \left( 2^{2/3} \sqrt{\pi} c_1 - 4\Gamma \left( \frac{7}{6} \right) \right) F_1 \left( \frac{2}{3}; \frac{1}{2}; 3 \frac{x^2}{2} \right) \right\}.
\]

**Figure 1** The solution of (3.9) for \( \varphi = 1 \)
Example 3.12 Let $\wp = 2$, then Eq. (3.6) becomes the Sturm–Liouville equation

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{(8x^3)/3} y' (x) \right) + 12 e^{(8x^3)/3} x^4 y(x) = 0,$$  

(3.10)

with the solution approximating the boundary of $\mathcal{U}$ (see Fig. 2-first row)

$$y(x) = c_1 e^{-x^{(2x^3)/3}} x + \frac{2^{2/3} c_2 e^{-(2x^3)/3} (x^3)^{1/3} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3}, \frac{4x^3}{3} \right)}{3^{1/3}}.$$

Moreover, the solution, when $y(0) = 1$, is given by the formula (see Fig. 2-second row)

$$y(x) = e^{-(2x^3)/3} \left( c_1 x + 6^{2/3} (x^3)^{1/3} \Gamma \left( \frac{-1}{3}, \frac{4x^3}{3} \right) \right).$$

Proposition 3.13 If

$$\Re \left( \Phi (z) + z \Phi'(z) \right) > 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$  

(3.11)

then the equation

$$2(1 + \wp)xy + \left( \frac{3(1 + \wp) - 1}{\wp} \right) x^{1-\wp} y' + \left( \frac{1}{\wp} \right) x^{1-2\wp} y'' = k, \quad k > 0$$  

(3.12)

admits a positive solution.

Proof By condition (3.11) and Lemma 2.5 (the first part), we obtain that $\Re(\Phi) > 0$. This leads to

$$\Re \left( \Delta^{\zeta} \psi (z) \right) = y(x), \quad \zeta \to 0.$$

Hence, Eq. (3.12) has a positive solution.
4 Conclusion

From what has been presented above, it is apparent that we formulated a new differential symmetric operator (DSO) associated with a class of meromorphically multivalent functions. We presented some outcomes covering the geometric studies of the suggested operator joining the Janowski function in the open unit disk. Our consequences indicated, under some conditions, that the proposed operator converges to the Janowski function. Moreover, we discussed the functional $\Phi(z) + z\Phi'(z)$ and the solution for real cases when $\wp = 1$ and $\wp = 2$

$$\Re(\Phi(z) + z\Phi'(z)) = 0.$$  

We discovered that the real cases are converging to the Sturm–Liouville equation, and the solutions are found to be a combination of special functions. We presented the condition that gives (Theorem 3.3)

$$\Phi(z) < \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)^{\lambda_2}$$

for $\lambda_2 > 0$.
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