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S1 Diurnal pattern of mass spectra of nitrate CI-API-TOF and related parameters

Fig. S1. Median diurnal variations of (a) J(O\(^{1}\)D), Temperature, NO, total aromatics
(benzene + toluene + C\(_{8}\) aromatics + C\(_{9}\) aromatics + C\(_{10}\) aromatics + styrene), and
isoprene, and (b) mass spectra of nitrate CI-API-TOF with m/z in the range of 201-404
Th.

S2 PMF inputs and diagnostics

S2.1 binPMF inputs
Data matrix

In binPMF, as described in Zhang et al. (2019), the mass spectra are divided into small bins of 0.006 Th width after baseline subtraction and mass axis calibration. Figure S1 (b) shows the averaged binned spectrum measured by nitrate CI-API-TOF. We deleted bins of nitrophenol and some fluorinated contaminations (Table S1) from the raw spectra. The concentration of nitrophenol (C₆H₅NO₃(NO₃⁻)) is about one order of magnitude higher than other compounds, but it is not our main concern. Fluorinated contaminations come from Teflon tube volatiles and perfluoric acid for mass dependent transmission efficiency calibrations, so these artificially introduced compounds with high signals should also not be taken into the PMF model. To avoid unnecessary computation, for each nominal m/z in the range of 202-404 Th, only signal regions with signal-to-noise ratio >1 were adopted as data matrix for PMF inputs.

Table S1. Peak list of deleted bins

| Mass-to-charge (Th) | Formulas                      |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 201.0153            | C₆H₅NO₃(NO₃⁻)                 |
| 207.9875            | C₂F₄HCOOH(NO₃⁻)               |
| 225.9780            | C₂F₅COOH(NO₃⁻)                |
| 241.9730            | C₃F₅OCOOH(NO₃⁻)               |
| 262.9760            | C₄F₆COO⁻                     |
| 275.9748            | C₃F₇COOH(NO₃⁻)                |
| 307.9811            | C₄F₈HCOOH(NO₃⁻)               |
| 325.9716            | C₄F₆COOH(NO₃⁻)                |
| 341.9666            | C₄F₉OCOOH(NO₃⁻)               |
| 362.9696            | C₆F₁₃COO⁻                     |
| 375.9685            | C₅F₁₁COOH(NO₃⁻)               |

Error matrix

The error matrix was calculated based on Eq. (1) (Polissar et al., 1998)

\[ S_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} + \sigma_{noise} \]  

where \( S_{ij} \) represents the uncertainty of m/z j at time i and \( \sigma_{ij} \) stands for counting statistics uncertainty and is estimated as follows:

\[ \sigma_{ij} = a \times \frac{\sqrt{I_{ij}}}{\sqrt{t_s}} \]  

where \( I \) is the signal intensity term, in unit of ions per second; \( t_s \) stands for length of averaging in seconds, and \( a \) is an empirical coefficient to compensate for unaccounted...
uncertainties (Allan et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2016) and is 1.28 in this study as previously estimated from laboratory experiments (Yan et al., 2016). The $\sigma_{\text{noise}}$ term was estimated as the median of the standard deviations from signals in the bins in the region between nominal masses, where no physically meaningful signals are expected.

Fig. S2. (a) Normalized mass spectra of nitrate CI-API-TOF with m/z in the range of 202-404 Th. (b) Averaged binned spectrum measured by nitrate CI-API-TOF. After delete some bins (gray) affected by compounds listed in Table S1, remaining bins (red) with unit m/z in the range of 202-404 Th were adopted as data matrix for PMF inputs.

**S2.2 Evolution**

As mentioned in previous classic works applying PMF (Zhang et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2016), the choice of the proper number of factors is the most critical decision towards interpreting the PMF results. When the number of factors solved exceeds 8, the rates of decrease in $Q/Q_{\text{exp}}$ (Fig. S2 (a)) and of increase in absolute values (Fig. S2(c)) slow down. A variable should be regarded as explained only if the UEV for that variable is less than 25 % (Fig. S2 (b)). These mathematical diagnostics give us solutions with 9-20 factors to choose from. Solution of more factors can explain more subtle variations in the data, but too many factors can split a physically meaningful factor into unrealistic ones. The evolution of PMF solutions has been carefully viewed, and the first occurrences of main factors are denoted in the corresponding solutions (Fig. S2 (a)). For example, the ‘F contaminations’ factor, mainly comprising fluorinated
contaminations from perfluorinated acids and Teflon tubing volatiles, first appears purely in the solution of three factors, while the Aliph-OOM factor did not appear until the solution of the 14 factors. Due to the non-uniqueness of the PMF solution, the order of first occurrence of different factors may vary slightly. To some extent, the selection of PMF solutions depends on the interpretability of the factors. From our analysis, solutions with more than 14 factors did not provide new process-specific information and made the interpretability of the results more difficult because of factor splitting and fewer unique correlations with external tracers.

Fig. S3. Diagnostics of PMF solutions, including the evolutions of (a) Q/Q_{exp}, (b) the explained variation (EV) and unexplained variation (UEV), and (c) absolute values
resolved by PMF. In (b) UEV is further separated into the real UEV for data possessing a high signal-to-noise value (un_real) and UEV for noisy data (un_noisy).

S2.3 Rotation

Rotational ambiguity of PMF solution with 14 factors has been checked here (Fig. S3). We set the fpeak to increase from -4.0 to 4.0 with a difference of 0.2, for the global control of such rotations. All solutions are divided into two types, one containing 13 + ‘Mixed contaminations’ factors and the other containing 13 + ‘Unexplained I’ factors, with the former occurring more frequently. The ‘Mixed contaminations’ factor consists of mainly by nitrated phenols and fluorinated contaminations, and is negatively correlated with the ‘F contaminations’ factor (Fig. S5), meaning these two factors are somewhat over split. The ‘Unexplained I’ factor has no correlation with external tracer data, and seems to abstract some signal from the Temp-related factor, but peaks at around 17:30 in its diurnal pattern. Finally, the solution with a fpeak value of -0.2 is selected to analysis data.

Fig. S4. (a) Q/Q_{exp} distribution on rotation of 14-factors solutions, the one with an fpeak value of -0.2 is the selected solution. (b) The relative contribution ratio of factors in each solution with different values of fpeak.

S2.4 Residual

The residual histograms are an easy and fast method for investigating whether the PMF result contains some systematic under- or overestimation. As showed in Fig. S4, the
time series of residuals looks full of noise, and dihydroxy nitro-benzene (C₆H₅NO₄, charged by NO₃⁻ at unit m/z 217 Th) and dihydroxy nitro-toluene (C₇H₇NO₄, charged by NO₃⁻ at unit m/z 231 Th) are the largest peaks in mass spectra of residuals. But these two compounds will be distributed to two different factors about nitrated phenols, in the solutions of 17-20 factors (denoted as '217-NP' and '231-NP' factors in Fig. S2 (a)). In order to separate out these two factors, the other physically meaningful factors will be over-split. Since the factors about nitrated phenols are not our main concern, it's reasonable to choose the 14-factors solution.

Fig. S5. (a) Mass spectra and (b) time series plots showing the residual histograms of the solution selected.

S2.5 Comparison between the factors
Fig. S6. Comparison among the factors through (a) Pearson correlation and (b) Uncentered Pearson correlation. The x-axis shows the correlation of the time series between the factors, and the y-axis shows the correlation of the spectra between the factors.

S3 Calculation of molecular properties of OOMs

Carbon oxidation state (OS<sub>c</sub>)

The OS<sub>c</sub> of each non-nitro OOM was calculated based on Eq. (3) modified from that in Kroll et al. (2011) include organic nitrate contributions, by assuming that all nitrogen come from the nitrate group (-ONO<sub>2</sub>), which are more likely to be detected by the nitrate CI-APi-TOF. A group oxidation state of -1 was applied to -ONO<sub>2</sub> functionality:

\[
\text{OS}_{c} = \frac{2(n_{O} - 3n_{N})}{n_{C}} - \frac{n_{H}}{n_{C}} + \frac{n_{N}}{n_{C}}
\]  

(3)

Where \(n_{C}, n_{H}, n_{O},\) and \(n_{N}\) denote the number of carbons, hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen in the molecule, respectively. The formula is only valid when \(n_{O} \geq 3 \times n_{N}\), meaning that there is enough oxygen to account for the -ONO<sub>2</sub> group. Almost all of fitted HR peaks satisfy this condition, further indicating that the detected nitrogen is likely to occur entirely in the -ONO<sub>2</sub> group. However, it is worth mentioning that polyfunctional nitrogenous species with reduced N functionalities (i.e., heterocyclic, amine or nitrite) can still be detected by the nitrate CI-APi-TOF if they contain sufficient oxygenated functional groups (e.g., -OH, -OOH).

Double bond equivalent (DBE)
The DBE of each OOM was calculated using Eq. (4), by assuming that all nitrogen come from the nitrate group (-ONO₂) or nitro group (-NO₂). Here DBE represents the combined effect of double or triple bonds, as well as the ring structure, in the molecule.

\[
\text{DBE} = n_C + 1 - (n_H + n_N)/2 \quad (4)
\]

**Volatility Basis Set (VBS)**

The saturation concentration (volatility) of selected OOMs was estimated based on the group-contribution method proposed by Donahue et al. (2011):

\[
\log_{10} C^* (300K) = (25 - n_C) \cdot b_C - (n_O - 2n_N) \cdot b_O - 2 \left[ \frac{(n_O-2n_N)n_C}{n_C+n_O-2n_N} \right] \cdot b_{CO} \quad (5)
\]

Where \( b_C = 0.475 \), \( b_O = 2.3 \), \( b_{CO} = -0.3 \). The effect of nitrate group (-ONO₂) on volatility is similar to hydroxyl group (-OH).

The temperature dependence of volatilities is described by Eq. (6), according to Stolzenburg et al. (2018):

\[
\log_{10} C_i^* (T) = \log_{10} C_i^* (300K) + \frac{\Delta H_{\text{vap}}}{R \ln(10)} \left( \frac{1}{300} - \frac{1}{T} \right) \quad (6)
\]

The evaporation enthalpy (\( \Delta H_{\text{vap}} \)) can be linked to the saturation mass concentration at 300 K, \( \log_{10} C^* (300K) \), according to Donahue et al. (2011) and combined with Epstein et al. (2010):

\[
\Delta H_{\text{vap}} [kJ \ mol^{-1}] = 129 - 5.7 \cdot \log_{10} (C^* (300K)) \quad (7)
\]

**S4 Main peaks of 9 discussed non-nitrated-phenols factors**

**S4.1 Aro-OOM factor**

Table S2. Molecular characteristics of the Aro-OOM factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a -CH₂ moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion NO₃⁻ or HNO₃NO₃⁻ has been omitted from the formulas.
### Table S3. Molecular characteristics of the Temp-related factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a -CH₂ moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion \( \text{NO}_3^- \) or \( \text{HNO}_3\text{NO}_3^- \) has been omitted from the formulas.

| No. | Formulas         | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | no | nN |
|-----|------------------|--------------------------------|-----|----|----|
| 1   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-4}\text{O}_5 \), \( x = [5, 11] \) | 10.0 | 3  | 5  | 0  |
| 2   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-2}\text{O}_5 \), \( x = [5, 10] \) | 7.8 | 2  | 5  | 0  |
| 3   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-1}\text{O}_6 \), \( x = [3, 7] \) | 6.0 | 1  | 6  | 1  |
| 4   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-6}\text{O}_5 \), \( x = [5, 11] \) | 5.4 | 4  | 5  | 0  |
| 5   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-4}\text{O}_6 \), \( x = [5, 10] \) | 5.3 | 3  | 6  | 0  |
| 6   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-3}\text{O}_7 \), \( x = [4, 10] \) | 5.0 | 2  | 7  | 1  |
| 7   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-3}\text{O}_6 \), \( x = [4, 9] \) | 4.3 | 2  | 6  | 1  |
| 8   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-1}\text{O}_7 \), \( x = [4, 9] \) | 2.9 | 1  | 7  | 1  |
| 9   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-4}\text{O}_4 \), \( x = [6, 9] \) | 2.3 | 1  | 4  | 0  |
| 10  | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-6}\text{O}_6 \), \( x = [7, 11] \) | 2.1 | 4  | 6  | 0  |

a. The nitrogen atom comes from the nitro functional group.

### S4.2 Temp-related factor

Table S3. Molecular characteristics of the Temp-related factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a -CH₂ moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion \( \text{NO}_3^- \) or \( \text{HNO}_3\text{NO}_3^- \) has been omitted from the formulas.

| No. | Formulas         | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | no | nN |
|-----|------------------|--------------------------------|-----|----|----|
| 1   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-4}\text{O}_5 \), \( x = [5, 11] \) | 10.0 | 3  | 5  | 0  |
| 2   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-2}\text{O}_5 \), \( x = [5, 10] \) | 7.8 | 2  | 5  | 0  |
| 3   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-1}\text{O}_6 \), \( x = [3, 7] \) | 6.0 | 1  | 6  | 1  |
| 4   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-6}\text{O}_5 \), \( x = [5, 11] \) | 5.4 | 4  | 5  | 0  |
| 5   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-4}\text{O}_6 \), \( x = [5, 10] \) | 5.3 | 3  | 6  | 0  |
| 6   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-3}\text{O}_7 \), \( x = [4, 10] \) | 5.0 | 2  | 7  | 1  |
| 7   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-3}\text{O}_6 \), \( x = [4, 9] \) | 4.3 | 2  | 6  | 1  |
| 8   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-1}\text{O}_7 \), \( x = [4, 9] \) | 2.9 | 1  | 7  | 1  |
| 9   | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-4}\text{O}_4 \), \( x = [6, 9] \) | 2.3 | 1  | 4  | 0  |
| 10  | \( \text{C}_x\text{H}_{2x-6}\text{O}_6 \), \( x = [7, 11] \) | 2.1 | 4  | 6  | 0  |

### S4.3 Aliph-OOM factor

Table S4. Molecular characteristics of the Aliph-OOM factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a -CH₂ moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion \( \text{NO}_3^- \) or \( \text{HNO}_3\text{NO}_3^- \) has been omitted from the formulas.
from the formulas.

| No. | Formulas                                      | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | nO | nN |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|----|----|
| 1   | C₅H₂x₃O₆N, x= [4, 12]                        | 10.0                            | 2   | 6  | 1  |
| 2   | C₅H₂x₂O₈N₂, x= [4, 13]                       | 9.0                             | 1   | 8  | 2  |
| 3   | C₅H₂x₃O₇N, x= [5, 12]                       | 5.9                             | 2   | 7  | 1  |
| 4   | C₅H₂x₃O₆N, x= [3, 11]                       | 5.7                             | 1   | 6  | 1  |
| 5   | C₅H₂x₁O₃N, x= [4, 9]                        | 4.9                             | 1   | 5  | 1  |
| 6   | C₅H₂x₈O₈N₂, x= [4, 9]                       | 3.4                             | 0   | 8  | 2  |
| 7   | C₅H₂x₃O₇N, x= [6, 13]                       | 2.8                             | 3   | 7  | 1  |
| 8   | C₅H₂x₄O₄, x= [6, 10]                        | 2.8                             | 3   | 4  | 0  |
| 9   | C₅H₂x₇O₇N₂, x= [4, 11]                      | 2.2                             | 0   | 7  | 2  |
| 10  | C₅H₂x₄O₅, x= [5, 11]                        | 2.2                             | 3   | 5  | 0  |

### S4.4 Photo-related factor

Table S5. Molecular characteristics of the Photo-related factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a -CH₂ moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion NO₃⁻ or HNO₃NO₃⁻ has been omitted from the formulas.

| No. | Formulas                                      | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | nO | nN |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|----|----|
| 1   | C₅H₂x₈O₈N₂, x= [5]                           | 18.0                            | 0   | 8  | 2  |
| 2   | C₅H₂x₃O₇N, x= [4, 11]                       | 6.5                             | 2   | 7  | 1  |
| 3   | C₅H₂x₇O₈N, x= [3, 10]                       | 6.3                             | 1   | 6  | 1  |
| 4   | C₅H₂x₇O₄N, x= [6, 8]                        | 3.6                             | 4   | 4  | 1b |
| 5   | C₅H₂x₇O₃N, x= [7, 8]a                       | 3.3                             | 4   | 3  | 1b |
| 6   | C₅H₂x₉O₇N, x= [5, 11]                       | 2.5                             | 3   | 7  | 1  |
| 7   | C₅H₂x₄O₈N₂, x= [7, 10]                      | 2.2                             | 2   | 10 | 2  |
| 8   | C₅H₂x₇O₈N, x= [5, 10]                       | 2.1                             | 2   | 8  | 1  |
| 9   | C₅H₂x₉O₇N, x= [6, 11]                       | 2.1                             | 3   | 8  | 1  |
| 10  | C₅H₂x₄O₅, x= [5, 8]                         | 2.0                             | 3   | 5  | 0  |

a. Do not contain nitro phenol with a carbon number of 6, because we removed it before binPMF. b. The nitrogen atom comes from the nitro functional group.

### S4.5 O₃⁻ and SOA-related factor

Table S6. Molecular characteristics of the O₃⁻ and SOA-related factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a -
CH₂ moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion NO₃⁻ or HNO₃NO₃⁻ has been omitted from the formulas.

| No. | Formulas | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | nO | nN  |
|-----|----------|---------------------------------|-----|----|-----|
| 1   | C₅H₁₀O₈N₂, x= [6, 8] | 30.7                            | 0   | 5  | 2   |
| 2   | C₅H₁₀O₁₂N, x= [5, 6]   | 9.0                             | 1   | 4  | 1   |
| 3   | C₅H₁₁O₈N, x= [4, 7]    | 8.8                             | 1   | 5  | 1   |
| 4   | C₅H₁₀O₈N, x= [5, 6]    | 4.6                             | 2   | 5  | 1   |
| 5   | C₅H₁₂O₈N₂, x= [6, 9]   | 3.6                             | 1   | 5  | 2   |
| 6   | C₅H₁₁O₈N, x= [5, 6]    | 3.5                             | 0   | 5  | 1   |
| 7   | C₅H₁₁O₈N₃, x= [10]     | 2.5                             | 0   | 5  | 3   |

a. The nitrogen atom comes from the nitro functional group.

**S4.6 Isop-OOM factor**

Table S7. Molecular characteristics of the Isop-OOM factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a `-O' moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion NO₃⁻ or HNO₃NO₃⁻ has been omitted from the formulas.

| No. | Formulas | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | nC | nN  |
|-----|----------|---------------------------------|-----|----|-----|
| 1   | C₅H₁₀O₈N₂, x= [7, 8] | 30.7                            | 0   | 5  | 2   |
| 2   | C₅H₁₀O₁₂N, x= [5, 6]   | 9.0                             | 1   | 4  | 1   |
| 3   | C₅H₁₁O₈N, x= [4, 7]    | 8.8                             | 1   | 5  | 1   |
| 4   | C₅H₁₀O₈N, x= [5, 6]    | 4.6                             | 2   | 5  | 1   |
| 5   | C₅H₁₂O₈N₂, x= [6, 9]   | 3.6                             | 1   | 5  | 2   |
| 6   | C₅H₁₁O₈N, x= [5, 6]    | 3.5                             | 0   | 5  | 1   |
| 7   | C₅H₁₁O₈N₃, x= [10]     | 2.5                             | 0   | 5  | 3   |

**S4.7 BVOC-OOM-I factor**

Table S8. Molecular characteristics of the BVOC-OOM-I factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a `-O' moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion NO₃⁻ or HNO₃NO₃⁻ has been omitted from the formulas.
| No. | Formulas               | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | nc | nN |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|----|----|
| 1   | C₈H₁₀O₅N, x= [4, 8]    | 6.0                           | 1   | 5  | 1  |
| 2   | C₈H₁₀O₅N₂, x= [7, 10]  | 4.1                           | 0   | 5  | 2  |
| 3   | C₉H₁₅O₅N, x= [6, 9]    | 3.6                           | 2   | 9  | 1  |
| 4   | C₁₀H₁₅O₅N, x= [6, 11]  | 3.6                           | 3   | 10 | 1  |
| 5   | C₉H₁₀O₅N, x= [5, 8]    | 3.4                           | 2   | 5  | 1  |
| 6   | C₈H₈O₅N₂, x= [7, 10]   | 3.3                           | 1   | 5  | 2  |
| 7   | C₈H₁₁O₅N, x= [5, 9]    | 2.9                           | 1   | 6  | 1  |
| 8   | C₈H₈O₅N, x= [5, 8]     | 2.7                           | 2   | 6  | 1  |
| 9   | C₈H₁₂O₅N, x= [5, 6]    | 2.7                           | 1   | 4  | 1  |
| 10  | C₇H₉O₅N, x= [6, 8]     | 2.5                           | 3   | 7  | 1  |

**S4.8 BVOC-OOM-II factor**

Table S9. Molecular characteristics of the BVOC-OOM-II factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a '-O' moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion NO₃⁻ or HNO₃NO₃⁻ has been omitted from the formulas.

| No. | Formulas               | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | nC | nN |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|----|----|
| 1   | C₁₀H₁₅O₅N, x= [5, 12]  | 9.8                           | 3   | 10 | 1  |
| 2   | C₁₀H₁₇O₅N, x= [5, 10]  | 4.4                           | 2   | 10 | 1  |
| 2   | C₁₀H₉O₅N, x= [6, 8]    | 4.2                           | 3   | 7  | 1  |
| 4   | C₉H₁₅O₅N, x= [6, 9]    | 3.7                           | 2   | 9  | 1  |
| 5   | C₁₀H₁₆O₅N, x= [6, 11]  | 3.3                           | 2.5 | 10 | 1  |
| 6   | C₁₀H₁₆O₅N₂, x= [7, 11] | 2.5                           | 2   | 10 | 2  |
| 7   | C₉H₁₃O₅N, x= [6, 10]   | 2.2                           | 3   | 9  | 1  |
| 8   | C₈H₉O₅N, x= [5]        | 2.1                           | 1.5 | 5  | 1  |
| 9   | C₈H₁₃O₅N, x= [6, 8]    | 1.7                           | 2   | 8  | 1  |
| 10  | C₈H₁₁O₅N, x= [6, 8]    | 1.6                           | 1   | 6  | 1  |

**S4.9 BVOC-OOM-III factor**

Table S10. Molecular characteristics of the BVOC-OOM-III factor. Presented as several sets of compounds, and the members of each sets differ in the addition of a '-O' moiety. Only the signals that account for more than one thousandth of the factor are selected to reduce uncertainties. The clustering reagent ion NO₃⁻ or HNO₃NO₃⁻ has been omitted from the formulas.
| No. | Formulas               | Contribution to the factor (%) | DBE | nc | nN |
|-----|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|----|----|
| 1   | C_{10}H_{15}O_{\alpha}N, x= [5, 9] | 7.1                           | 3   | 10 | 1  |
| 2   | C_{8}H_{11}O_{\alpha}N, x= [6, 8]   | 4.5                           | 3   | 8  | 1  |
| 3   | C_{9}H_{15}O_{\alpha}N, x= [5, 8]   | 4.4                           | 2   | 9  | 1  |
| 4   | C_{10}H_{16}O_{\alpha}N_{2}, x= [7, 10] | 4.1                          | 2   | 10 | 2  |
| 5   | C_{10}H_{17}O_{\alpha}N, x= [5, 8]   | 3.9                           | 2   | 10 | 1  |
| 6   | C_{6}H_{10}O_{\alpha}N_{2}, x= [5]   | 3.8                           | 4   | 6  | 2^a|
| 7   | C_{7}H_{11}O_{\alpha}N, x= [5, 7]    | 3.0                           | 2   | 7  | 1  |
| 8   | C_{8}H_{10}O_{\alpha}N_{2}, x= [8]   | 2.8                           | 0   | 5  | 2  |
| 9   | C_{10}H_{18}O_{\alpha}N_{2}, x= [7, 10] | 2.6                          | 1   | 10 | 2  |
| 10  | C_{9}H_{13}O_{\alpha}N, x= [5, 8]    | 2.4                           | 3   | 9  | 1  |

a. The nitrogen atom comes from the nitro functional group.

**S5 The dependence of Temp-related factor on temperature**

The total concentration of this factor in the gas and aerosol phases was calculated considering the effect of temperature on gas-particle partitioning (Eq. 8), so that we can investigate the possible formation mechanisms from the correlation analysis of Fig. S6 (c) and (d).

\[
\zeta_i = (1 + \frac{C_i}{C_{OA}})^{-1}
\]  

(8)

In equation 1, \( \zeta_i \) represents the partitioning coefficient (the ratio of the concentration of compound i in the condensed phase to its total concentration in the atmosphere), COA is the total mass concentration of organic aerosol, and \( C_i \) represents the saturation concentration of compound i.
Figure S7. Scatter plots of Temp-related factor with (a) temperature, (b) ozone, the solid black curve in (a) was obtained by e-exponential fitting. All the scatters are colored by J(O¹D), to show the difference between day and night.
Fig. S8. The averaged retroplumes (i.e., 100 m footprint) based on 3-day backward Lagrangian particle dispersion modeling during (a) August 03 to August 06, 2019, and (b) August 13 to August 17, 2019. Note: Black dot gives the location of the SORPES station. The method of calculating the footprint was developed by (Ding et al., 2013), based on the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Stein et al., 2015). The types of land cover were got from Terra and Aqua combined Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Land Cover Type (MCD12Q1) (https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/mcd12q1v006/, last access: 11 March 2021).
S7 High resolution peak fitting

Fig. S9. Examples of peak fitting. Peaks of unit m/z at 356 Th in (a) the BVOC-OOM-I factor, (b) the BVOC-OOM-III factor, and (c) the BVOC-OOM-II factor. Peaks of unit m/z at 224 Th in (d) the BVOC-OOM-II factor. The black solid line is the measured signal, the blue solid line is the total signal of the fitted peaks, the green dashed line denotes the fitted peak, and the purple one is the residual.
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