Decoding Saccadic Directions Using Epidural ECoG in Non-Human Primates
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INTRODUCTION

Brainstem stroke, traumatic brain injury, and neurodegenerative disorders can result in locked-in syndrome, characterized by near-total paralysis despite intact cognitive function. A brain-computer interface (BCI) can be used as an alternative interface for such patients to restore some communication with the outside world because this system does not depend on peripheral nerves and muscles but directly uses brain signals to assist repair sensory-motor functions (1-3). Unlike the traditional input machines (keyboard, mouse, stylus pen, etc.), the BCI reads the brain signals, translates these signals into actions, and commands that can control the computer. BCI system appears as a promising communication platform for persons suffering from severe paralysis (i.e., persons suffering from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis).

BCI has been intensively studied during the last decades by numerous researchers, and scientific and technological advances have accelerated the development of BCI. There are different techniques to record brain activity by BCIs, and different approaches have been utilized to translate brain signals into the output actions of an external effector (1-3). Non-invasive BCI, especially that based on electroencephalogram (EEG) signals, has been developed to implement control over external devices. For instance, the analysis of modulations from the P300 has led to highly accurate decoding of the letters on the screen to which a subject has attended (4). However, the main shortcoming of this technique is the classification accuracy of BCI because of the low spatial resolution and low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). On the other hand, invasive methods can perform the decoding much more accurately since there is both an increase in spatial resolution and the SNR. The BCIs using spiking signals from multiple neurons recorded with intracortical electrode implants showed the best performance (5-7); however, recording from intracortical implants can be unstable due to the response of brain tissue to the implant (8) and changes of the neuronal activity-behavior relationship across time (9). Thus, intracortical recording based BCI currently seems to have significant limitations for long-term application. A useful alternative to intracortical neural signals, is the electrocorticogram (ECoG). A num-
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Several studies have shown that various movement parameters can be decoded using ECoG (10,11). However, most of these BCI studies have focused on arm movement or motor imagery, and so, to increase the usefulness and applicability of the ECoG BCI, it is necessary to diversify the types of control signals that can be used to discriminate between multiple classes.

In this study, we adopted a simple eye movement task to use in attempting to predict a monkey’s saccadic eye movement direction using epidural ECoG (eECoG). Since eye movements can be directly mapped to 2-dimensional space, the prediction and decoding of eye movements may be useful as control signals for BCI, allowing for interaction with the environment. Several studies reported the BCI system based on the eye movements, however, most of them decoded the ocular muscle’s activity not a brain signal or used the eye tracker to track the gaze movement (12,13). Here, we used a support vector machine (SVM) as a classifier and successfully decoded the four possible saccadic directions. We also quantified the feature’s contribution ratio to quantify which feature is more dominantly used for prediction.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subject and surgical procedures**

Two adult male rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*, M14 and M5) were recruited for the experiments. The monkeys were housed by paired way and the cage size was followed the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The temperature was maintained at 24°C ± 4°C and humidity was maintained at 50% ± 10%. The light was controlled as 12 hours for day and 12 hours for night. For the surgery, the monkeys were prepared with sterile, anesthetic surgical procedures. A licensed veterinarian was present throughout surgery to induce anesthesia and to monitor and record all measured physiological variables. One hour before the surgery, the animal was intramuscularly (IM) injected with atropine sulfate (0.08 mg/kg) to prevent excessive salivation during surgery. One-half hour later, it was sedated with tiletamine-zolazepam (Zoletil®, 10 mg/kg, IM; Virbac Corporation, Carros, France), intubated, and placed under isoflurane anesthesia. A saline drip was maintained through an intravenous catheter placed into a leg vein. Throughout the surgery, body temperature, heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, and respiratory rate were continuously monitored. The primates were then placed in a stereotaxic frame, the scalp was incised, and a craniotomy of 2.5 cm radius was performed, but the dura was left intact.

Two monkeys were implanted with 2 customized multichannel ECoG electrode arrays containing 32 gold electrodes (300 μm in diameter) with an inter-electrode distance of 3 mm in the epidural space chronically (Fig. 1A). The rectangular type (4 by 8) of the electrode patch was implanted in the left hemisphere for monkey 14, covering the superior parietal cortex including the intraparietal sulcus (IPS) and a portion of the frontal cortex, including the frontal eye field (FEF) and supplementary eye field (SEF). For monkey 5, the circular type of electrode patch (14) was inserted along the central line. The electrodes covered the bilateral FEF; SEF, and superior part of the parietal cortex. A head restraint device was also implanted for each monkey. Finally, the bone flap was replaced on top of the implant and sealed with dental cement, maintaining the implant in position.

**Behavioral task**

The monkey was trained to perform a choice saccade (CS) task (15). Fig. 1B shows the pre-trained location and color association; for example, a red colored dot in the upper part of the figure is associated with the direction in which the monkey should move its eyes when the white dot in the center of the panel is changed to red. The trial began when the animal fixed its eyes at the central white dot shown in Fig. 1C. Subsequently, 4 white target dots appeared in 4 peripheral visual fields. The target dots were present 7 degrees away from the central fixation points. After 400 or 600 ms, the central disc changed to 1 of the 4 colors associated with a particular target location. After an additional 700 or 500 ms (i.e., 1,100 ms after the alternative spot onset), the central disc disappeared, providing the cue for the animal to make a saccade response. The mapping between color and location was held constant throughout the training and experiments. We collected ECoG data during 3 experimental sessions from each monkey. Each session included 200 trials per direction (800 trials total), on average. The minimum number of trials was 189.

**Data analysis**

In the experiment, the monkey was seated in a custom-made primate chair facing the visual screen with head movement restricted and a water reward system. The saccadic behavioral response was monitored by an eye tracker with a sampling rate of 500 Hz (Eyelink2; SR Research Ltd., Kanata, Canada). Saccade behavior was measured off-line using programs written in MATLAB (The Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). The onset and offset of the saccades were determined by the velocity criteria (30°/s radial velocity for onset and 10°/s for offset).

Electrical recordings were started one week after surgery. ECoG signals were digitized at a sampling rate of 512 Hz (Brainbox EEG-1164 amplifier; Braintronics B. V., Almere, the Netherlands). As visual inspection, we rejected the trials that contain the severe motion artifact caused by monkey’s fiery movement. The monkey’s movement induced the trembling of the data cable, thus the noise was contaminated. The rejected trials were about 3–4 in 200 total trials. Additionally, channels that did not clearly contain ECoG signals (e.g., such as channels that contained flat signals or noise due to broken connections) were removed prior.
Overall, these procedures reduced the total number of channels to 57 in monkey 14 and 56 in monkey 5 (Fig. 1A). Signals were band-pass filtered from 1 Hz to 200 Hz and re-referenced using the common average reference (CAR). The filter was 2-way least-squares finite impulse response (FIR) filter provided by EEGLAB (16). The length of the filter was 3,000 sample points (i.e., 3*sampling rate/low-cut frequency). Then, the independent component (IC) analysis decomposition was conducted to remove artifacts. We rejected the component which has a short, high-amplitude and single-electrode offset and we also tested a kurtosis value of the ICs which measures the peakedness of data (17). The analysis epoch was extracted as 2 conditions, target on (TG) and saccade start (SS). In the TG condition, we aligned the signal to the color cue changing time and extracted the epoch −600 ms to 750 ms. In the SS condition, the epoch was extracted as −1,100 ms to 450 ms relative to the saccade execution time.

Time-frequency representation of the ECoG signals for each electrode was generated by the Morlet wavelet transformation (8–100 Hz). The length of the wavelets was 5 cycles of the lowest frequency (i.e., 625 ms). For each channel and each 100 ms time period, the normalized average power spectral densities were computed in 4 different frequency bands; the α-band (8–13 Hz), β-band (18–26 Hz), low γ-band (30–50 Hz), and high γ-band (70–100 Hz) (18-20). Then, we selected the data in four time bins after the TG in the TG condition and 5 time bins before the SS time in the SS condition. The power values of each frequency bin (4), time window (4 for TG and 5 for SS) and channel (57 for M14 and 56 for M5) were used as input features (a feature vector) for classification analysis. The feature vector obtained from each trial was labeled by the target direction.
Classification
We decoded the brain signal with 3 different comparison types: 4 for each direction (4d), left vs. right (LR, left: 135°+225°, right: 45°+315°) and top vs. bottom (TB, top: 45°+135°, bottom: 225°+315°). For classification, we used a SVM (21) implemented in the LibSVM toolbox (National Science Council of Taiwan, Taichung, Taiwan) (22) with a linear kernel. The dimensionality of the feature vectors was reduced by adopting SVM-based recursive feature elimination (SVM-RFE) (23,24). We ranked the features by the weights value and selected the top features above a +2-standard deviation. To estimate accuracies, we used a 10-fold cross-validation in which the data was permuted and partitioned into 10 blocks of equal size. In each of the 10 folds, 9 blocks were used for training the classifier and tested on the 1 remaining block. Each block was used for testing once.

Based on Kübler et al. (25), we set the threshold for correct responses at 70% for the 2 classes because verbal communication with a language support program is possible at that level.

Spatio-spectro-temporal contributions
To calculate the directional information that could be extracted from each cortical area, each sub-band and each time bin in the eECoG signal, we quantified the spatio-spectro-temporal contribution of brain activity for predicting each target direction. We calculated the weight value’s ratio from the features’ weight magnitude which was derived from adopting the SVM-RFE algorithm (26). Three different contents were calculated from $w_{ch}$, $w_{freq}$, and $w_{time}$, which is the weight derived from the SVM-RFE at electrode ‘ch’, frequency ‘freq’, and time bin ‘time’ in each decoding model.

$$W_{ch} = \frac{\sum_{freq} \sum_{time} |w_{ch,freq,time}|}{\sum_{freq} \sum_{time} |w_{ch,freq,time}|}$$  (1)

$$W_{freq} = \frac{\sum_{ch} \sum_{time} |w_{ch,freq,time}|}{\sum_{ch} \sum_{time} |w_{ch,freq,time}|}$$  (2)

$$W_{time} = \frac{\sum_{ch} \sum_{freq} |w_{ch,freq,time}|}{\sum_{ch} \sum_{freq} |w_{ch,freq,time}|}$$  (3)

The spatial contribution $W_{ch}(ch)$ of each recording electrode ‘ch’ was quantified based on the ratio of the value of the weight for the frequency bin and the time lag in the recording electrode to the total weight of all the frequency bins and time lags (Equation 1). The spectral contribution $W_{freq}(freq)$ of each frequency

![Fig. 2. Decoding accuracy. The decoding accuracy was calculated in three conditions (left vs. right, top vs. bottom, and 4 directions), 3 sessions, and 2 epoch types (TG and SS). The decoding performance was significantly higher than the chance level (50% for 2 classes and 25% for 4 classes) for M14 (A, B, C) and M5 (D, E, F), respectively. The horizontal line means the chance level. TG = target on, SS = saccade start.](http://jkms.org)
band ‘freq’ and the temporal contribution $W_t$ (time) of each time bin ‘time’ (Equations 2 and 3) were also calculated in the same way as the spatial contribution. Equation 1 quantifies the contribution ratio of each channel for predicting the directions across all the frequency and time bins and Equations 2 and 3 quantify the contribution ratio of each frequency band and time bin, respectively. These values can be interpreted as how each feature contributes to the decoding performance.

**Ethics statement**
The study was performed after receiving approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) in Seoul National University Hospital (IACUC approval No. 13-0314).

**RESULTS**
We trained the monkeys to make a saccade movement according to the color of the cue. The monkeys responded correctly to the target directions in 89% and 91% of trials on average across three sessions, for M14 and M5, respectively.

**Decoding accuracy**
The decoding performances were significantly higher than chance level for both monkeys (Fig. 2). For M14, the average prediction accuracies over the total sessions were 77.0%, 82.7%, and 41.6% for the LR, TB, and 4d classification conditions, respectively (Fig. 2A-C). For M5 (Fig. 2D-F), the accuracies were 78.9%, 86.5%, and 45.7% for the LR, TB, and 4d, respectively (Table 1). These values were significantly higher than chance level, 50% for 2 classes and 25% for 4 classes. There was no difference between the LR and TB condition and between sessions.

We also calculated the confusion matrix to see the decoding tendency. As you can see in Fig. 3, prediction of 45° was more accurate than other degrees for both monkeys. In contrast, the opposite direction, at 225°, had the lowest accuracy.

**Weight contribution ratio**
In the spatial contribution ratio, the electrodes over the FEF, SEE, and superior parietal lobe (SPL) areas, which are known as the oculomotor area, showed a higher contribution ratio than the other electrodes for both monkeys. There is the left dominance of the contribution for M5, which means that the electrodes in the left hemisphere play a more important role in discrimination. In the spectral contribution, the $\alpha$-band was used as the dominant feature for decoding the saccadic direction for both monkeys in both time epochs. In the TG period, the signal from the time bin (100 to 200 ms) was mainly used to determine the saccadic direction and gradually decreased as time passed. In contrast, in the SS condition, the temporal contribution ratio gradually increased with proximity to the saccadic onset time, that is, the data just before the saccade onset is the most informative for decoding.

| Table 1. Decoding accuracy |
|-----------------------------|
| Subject | Condition | Target on | Saccade start |
|       |          | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Average (SD) | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Average (SD) |
| M14   | Left vs right | 81 | 74 | 72 | 76 (4.73) | 76 | 78 | 77 | 77 (1.00) |
|       | Top vs bottom | 78 | 78 | 79 | 78 (0.58) | 86 | 82 | 80 | 83 (3.06) |
|       | 4 directions | 45 | 39 | 38 | 41 (1.79) | 44 | 42 | 39 | 42 (2.52) |
| M5    | Left vs right | 78 | 84 | 73 | 78 (6.51) | 75 | 84 | 77 | 79 (4.73) |
|       | Top vs bottom | 82 | 87 | 81 | 83 (2.21) | 86 | 86 | 87 | 86 (0.58) |
|       | 4 directions | 42 | 43 | 43 | 43 (0.58) | 43 | 49 | 45 | 46 (3.06) |

**Fig. 3.** Confusion matrix on the condition of the “TG” and “SS.” The confusion matrix of the actual 4 directions (45°, 135°, 225°, 315°) and the predicted directions was calculated for 2 epoch types for Monkey 14 (A, B) and Monkey 5 (C, D), respectively. TG = target on, SS = saccade start.
**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we developed a BCI paradigm using eECoG signals related to eye movement and successfully decoded saccadic directions before saccade execution time. Across three sessions, the decoding accuracies were maintained above the chance level for both monkeys.

As shown in Fig. 4, the electrodes over the FEF, SEE, and SPL showed a higher significant contribution for decoding saccadic directions. These results were consistent with previous neuroscientific findings that neurons in the brain area known as the oculomotor area are mainly related to saccadic movement planning, preparation and execution (15,27). Especially for M5, left hemispheric bias was shown in the spatial contribution ratio, in other words, the contribution magnitude of the electrodes in the left hemisphere was higher than in the right hemisphere. This seems to indicate that left hemispheric activity is more crucial for decoding eye movement directions, while activities in the right hemisphere are less important for classification. However, these inter-hemispheric imbalances resulted in no difference of decoding accuracy between M14 and M5. Therefore, more evidence is required to provide for a more concrete explanation.

In the spectral domain, the $\alpha$-band was dominantly used for classification. This may be related to the oscillatory multiplexing according to functions. Several studies reported that the $\alpha$...
Second, eye movement is tightly linked with top-down attentional systems. Several previous studies have reported an association between saccadic eye movement and spatial attentional allocation (39, 40). Therefore, since decoding eye movements can be interpreted as decoding where the subject places attention, a saccade based BCI supposedly provide several advantages for various applications. Additionally, saccade BCI might be more advantageous than direct eye tracking method, because saccade BCI can predict the eye movement’s direction before actual movement execution. Therefore, suggested saccade BCI can exhibit faster performance than eye tracking system.

As conclusion, in this paper, we developed a saccade based BCI paradigm in non-human primates using eECoG signals. We demonstrated that brain activity related to saccadic eye movement can serve as a control signal for BCI that does not require much training time and is easy to use. Our future aim is to advance the paradigm in actual on-line BCI applications for real-time uses.
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