The Confirmation of Three Faint Variable Stars and the Observation of Eleven Others in the Vicinity of Kepler-8b by the Lookout Observatory
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Abstract A commissioning survey of the Lookout Observatory has observed fourteen faint (V ~ 13 to 17) variables in the region of the exoplanet Kepler-8b. Three of these are variable star candidates discovered by the Asteroid Terrestrial-Impact Last Alert System (ATLAS) and confirmed here. The ATLAS survey identified 315,000 probable variables within its wide-field survey in 2018. The faintness (down to r ~ 18) and small amplitudes (down to 0.02 mag) included in these candidates makes external validation difficult. The confirmation of this handful of variable stars lends credibility to the ATLAS catalog. Lastly, the agreement between various surveys and this new one validates the use of this instrument for variable star and exoplanet research.

1. Introduction

The primary function of the Lookout Observatory (LO) is to observe exoplanets via the transit photometry method. It routinely attains photometric precision better than 0.002 mag (2 mmag) for bright targets. It autonomously performs photometry on all suitable stars within the field of view (FOV) in addition to the targeted exoplanet host star. It thus provides valuable observations of many variable stars during a given night.

Section 2 discusses the characteristics and expected performance of the LO in contrast to several other sources of photometry. Section 3 validates results against external data, provides light curves for 14 variable stars, and confirms the existence of three variable star candidates identified by ATLAS. Section 4 is the conclusion.

2. Instrumentation and methods

The LO is optimized to maintain the photometric precision necessary to observe exoplanet transits. Even large, short-period exoplanets generally only cause a stellar dimming of 30 mmag or less and have a duration of two to three hours. To decisively capture a transit (and a sufficient baseline before and afterwards), noise levels of less than 2 mmag are routinely maintained from dusk to dawn for 10th magnitude stars using one-minute exposures. However, the LO generally collects useful photometry down to V < 17, depending on conditions and exposure length. A single FOV is observed with dimensions: 114' × 86' or 2.7 deg². This allows the LO to collect photometry on 500 to 15,000 stars with a photometric cadence of 10 to 60 seconds. Optical filters are not usually used. Within the past two years the LO has successfully observed approximately 70 exoplanet transits. The results presented here are from a single night. In summary, LO provides high-cadence and nearly continuous photometry for up to 15,000 stars over the time span of a night. Appendix A provides more detailed performance characteristics.

Images are collected semi-autonomously using MAXIM DL and CCDCOMMANDER. A custom-made software pipeline developed in MATLAB performs the photometry. The software is specifically designed to mitigate the impact of observing from a light-polluted site in Colorado which also typically experiences variable cloud cover each night. The site has a light pollution Bortle index of four, with sky brightness being measured at 20 mag per square arc second on moonless nights.

This paper uses photometry from several other external surveys to validate ours. The ATLAS survey is a wide-field survey with the primary purpose of detecting hazardous asteroids approaching Earth (Tonry et al. 2018). It has incidentally become a rich source of detections for other transient events such as supernovae, flares, gamma-ray bursts, and variable stars down to r ~ 19. Recently, a dedicated list of new variable star candidates was released (Heinze et al. 2018). In contrast to the high cadence of observations collected during transit photometry, ATLAS generally makes a few photometric measurements of any given star each night. ATLAS identifies variables and catalogs them using complex frequency analysis techniques and many months of such observations. While the ATLAS archive of new variables is significant, the faintness and low amplitude of many of these variables makes verification difficult. Yet LO also identified 14 of the variables detected by ATLAS. Three of them were only previously identified by ATLAS as candidates and thus likely do not appear in the International Variable Star Index (VSX; Watson et al. 2014), operated by the American Association of Variable Star Observers (AAVSO) catalog for this reason.

The All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN) is an all-sky survey which collects photometry on stars down to V < 17 every two to three days in the search for supernovae (Jayasinghe et al. 2018). The survey has incidentally identified over 66,000 variable stars and made their light curves available to the public. Although the observations are often spaced days apart, short-period variables are detectable thanks to an archive of observations extending back to 2014 and frequency analysis techniques.

Unlike ATLAS and ASAS-SN, the mission of the Super Wide Angle Search for Planets (SuperWASP) project is exoplanet discovery, and as a result, it has been responsible for the discovery of nearly 200 exoplanets (Pollacco et al. 2006). It can survey the entire sky every 40 minutes, but at a modest accuracy of 1% (or ~11 mmag) for bright targets (V = 7–11.5).
Table 1. Existing characteristics of the 14 variable stars observed by LO. ID #4, 10, and 14 are ATLAS candidates confirmed in this paper.

| ID | Name | R.A. | Dec. | Mag | Period (days) | Range | Type | Discoverer |
|----|------|------|------|-----|--------------|-------|------|------------|
| 1  | ROTSE J184234.00+420947.9 | 280.6414 | 42.1635 | 13.440 | 0.319774 | 0.403 | RRC | ROTSE |
| 2  | ROTSE J184517.00+420104.4 | 281.3238 | 42.6700 | 13.380 | 0.807725 | 0.277 | ELL | ROTSE |
| 3  | ASASSN-V J184116.40+421342 | 280.3183 | 42.2284 | 13.430 | 0.175226 | 0.050 | DSCT | ASAS-SN |
| 4  | 2MASS 1844165+4201591 | 281.0486 | 42.0331 | — | — | — | — | ATLAS candidate (dub) |
| 5  | KIC 7176440 | 282.5124 | 42.7709 | 14.293 | 0.358267 | 0.115 | ECL | Kepler |
| 6  | KIC 7179310 | 281.2031 | 42.7461 | 14.360 | 0.402244 | 0.132 | EW | Kepler |
| 7  | WISE J184227.5+422724 | 280.6149 | 42.4568 | 12.429 | 0.846410 | 0.357 | EA | WISE |
| 8  | KIC 6836620 | 281.4374 | 42.3286 | 14.500 | 0.227270 | — | DSCT | Kepler |
| 9  | KIC 6836140 | 281.0407 | 42.3978 | 14.646 | 0.487721 | 0.245 | SD (EW) | Kepler |
| 10 | 2MASS 18452610+4231055 | 281.3588 | 42.5181 | — | — | — | — | ATLAS candidate (EW) |
| 11 | MarSEC_V13 | 280.2693 | 42.6732 | 15.65 | 0.333045 | 0.400 | EW | Mar-SEC |
| 12 | V351 Lyr | 282.3584 | 42.9808 | 15.25 | 0.839481 | 1.100 | AHB1 | C. Hoffmeister (1966) |
| 13 | CSS_J184816.3+414748 | 282.0681 | 41.7965 | 16.04 | 0.600642 | 1.190 | RRAG | Catalina |
| 14 | 2MASS 18465788+4156020 | 281.7412 | 41.9339 | — | — | — | — | ATLAS candidate (EW) |

1 Typically from the discovery source (magnitudes zero points can vary greatly based on the survey wavelength sensitivity).

2 From Gaia, J2000 (Gaia Colab. et al. 2016, 2018).

This level of accuracy is sufficient to detect large exoplanets, particularly when its long baseline of observations allows for phase-folding many periods to reduce noise.

The Kepler spacecraft collected photometry with the precision necessary to detect the transits of Earth-sized planets (Borucki et al. 2010). Its primary mission monitored a relatively small FOV in the region of Cygnus and Lyra. As a result, the quality of the nearly continuous photometry of stars within this region is unprecedented.

The LO observed a FOV centered on Kepler-8b (R.A. 281.2881°, Dec. 42.4511° J2000) on 7 June 2020, and the number of stars ultimately providing useful light curves was 2,812. The data pipeline flagged merely 14 stars (0.35%) as data-drift failures. The availability of external photometry for these variables is given in Table 2. To demonstrate the validity in this survey, four stars were subsequently shown, including the three ATLAS candidates that this survey confirms.

Table 2. Characteristics for these 14 stars are provided in Table 1. Targets 4, 10, and 14 did not appear in VSX, likely because ATLAS has been the only source to report them as candidates. The same approach is followed for the similar study of the star KIC 6836140 (ID #9) has a magnitude of V = 14.646 and is classified by Kepler as a semi-detached (SD) eclipsing binary, a subclass of EW, with a period of 0.487721 day (Prša et al. 2011). ATLAS and ASAS-SN both classify it as an EW with an amplitude of 270 mmag. Figure 3 shows the binned light curves.
Table 2. Light curve availability.

| ID  | Kepler | SuperWASP | ASAS-SN | ATLAS | Notes |
|-----|--------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|
| 1   | y      | y         | y       | —      |       |
| 2   | y      | y         | y       | y      |       |
| 3   | —      | —         | y       | y      |       |
| 4   | —      | —         | —       | y      | Only identified by ATLAS |
| 5   | y      | y         | —       | y      | First test case, Figures 1 and 2 |
| 6   | y      | y         | y       | y      | Figure 6. STOCH detection by ATLAS of a known EA. |
| 7   | —      | —         | —       | y      | Second test case, Figures 3 and 4. |
| 8   | y      | y         | y       | y      | Only identified by ATLAS |
| 9   | y      | y         | y       | y      | Only identified by ATLAS |
| 10  | —      | —         | y       | y      | Only identified by ATLAS |
| 11  | —      | —         | —       | y      | Only identified by ATLAS |
| 12  | —      | —         | —       | y      | Only identified by ATLAS |
| 13  | —      | —         | —       | y      | Only identified by ATLAS |
| 14  | —      | —         | —       | y      | Only identified by ATLAS |

1 Availability is only marked when the survey also identified a star as variable. Photometry may actually exist for these stars.
2 https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/
3 https://asas-sn.osu.edu/variables
4 http://mastweb.stsci.edu/

Figure 1. Phase-folded light curves for the EW variable KIC 7173910 (ID #6) from multiple surveys. This star is V = 14.36 and has a period of 0.402244 day. LO results compare well with ATLAS and ASAS-SN. Kepler and SuperWASP light curves appear deceptively noisy. But in fact, the much larger sizes of their datasets allows for greatly improved results once binned.

Figure 2. Phase-folded light curves for KIC 7173910 (ID #6) with data binned to a common sampling to fairly compare surveys having widely varying numbers of observations. LO results compare well with other ground-based surveys. SuperWASP noise levels are greatly reduced, and Kepler data appear free of noise after binning.
Figure 3. Phase-folded light curves for the EW KIC 6836140 (ID # 9). This star is $V = 14.646$ and has a period of 0.487721 day. LO results compare well with other ground-based surveys.

3.2. Photometric results

Having confidence in the LO light curves, Figure 5 presents the light curves and results for all 14 variable stars detected in this survey. Table 3 provides characteristics derived from this work, when possible.

3.3. ATLAS candidate confirmations

This survey has confirmed three candidate variables previously only identified by ATLAS. They range in magnitude from $V = 14.15$ to 16.08. ID #4 (2MASS 18441165+4201591) is an ATLAS candidate classified as dubious by their survey. This survey, however, confirms a significant variability. The observation window range is too limited to characterize it completely, but it seems to be a transient event such as an EA eclipsing binary. ID #10 (2MASS 18452610+4231055) clearly shows sinusoid variability, in agreement with the ATLAS classification as an EW. The results for ID #14 (2MASS 18465788+4156020) do not show a significant portion of the period but the LO light curve is consistent with the ATLAS identification as an EW.

We also match a star classified by ATLAS as STOCH to the known EA WISE J184227.5+422724 (Chen et al. 2018). The STOCH classification implies “variables that do not fit into any coherent periodic class” (Heinze et al. 2018). The inability of ATLAS to classify this variable (ID #7 in our survey) is likely due to the inherent difficulty in the detection of transient events using traditional frequency analysis, which favors sinusoidal patterns (Kovacs et al. 2002). Figure 6 shows the light curves from several surveys. The WISE detection clearly shows the primary and secondary eclipses. The ATLAS photometry demonstrates the eclipsing nature of this system once phase-folded to the period established by WISE. For this variable, ASAS-SN observations were poorly sampled during the phase of the transit. Their results are consistent with an EA but would not have been expected to lead to a detection due to their sampling. The LO results clearly show a portion of the primary eclipse.

4. Conclusion

The Lookout Observatory has finished its commissioning phase and demonstrated its photometric goals by automatically identifying short-period variables at a quality that is comparable to other ground-based programs. The data were collected in a single night rather than the years required by the low-cadence surveys (ATLAS and ASAS-SN), for which this is not their primary goal. Of the 14 stars examined here, three ATLAS candidates were confirmed. ATLAS, ASAS-SN, and LO also successfully observed an EA discovered by WISE. The LO has observed many FOVs over the past two years, often multiple times. This survey will continue to focus on exoplanet observation, but variable star data will be released as they become available.
Figure 5. The LO light curves of the 14 variables automatically detected by our software. Targets 4, 10, and 14 do not appear in VSX, likely because they have only been previously reported as ATLAS candidates.
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Appendix A: Performance characteristics of the Lookout Observatory

The Lookout Observatory consists of an 11-inch Celestron telescope modified to f/1.9 with a HyperStar. Imaging is done with a ZWO ASI 1600 CMOS camera. LO was constructed by faculty and students of the Astronautical Engineering Department at the U. S. Air Force Academy and focused on consumer grade instruments (entire observatory less than $10,000 USD). It first successfully observed an exoplanet transit in May of 2019. Although it is at an altitude of 7,000 feet, it suffers from suburban light pollution and variable weather. About 20% of nights have long enough periods of clarity to allow for observations.

This team developed a custom data pipeline to allow flexibility in dealing with poor sky conditions as well as issues common to small observatories such as errors in tracking, focus, and mirror flop. It also allows for automated processing of all reasonable stars without user input for targeting, calibration star choice, or photometric aperture selections. Light curves lasting 5.4 hours were produced for 4,508 stars ranging 12–17th magnitude, at a 60-second cadence. The standard deviation of the photometry for each star observed this night is plotted against magnitude in Figure A1. Since most stars are stable, the data create a clear function of expected performance versus magnitude. Photon shot noise is also calculated using the flux of each star and the excess observed noise is used to model scintillation noise and red noise. The standard deviation in magnitude for stable stars of 13th magnitude is approximately 10 mmag and is primarily photon limited. The red noise was calculated to be 0.61 mmag and indicates the ultimate precision possible of any star in this survey, regardless of brightness.
If we compare two stars of different brightness we can estimate the ratio of precisions with Equation 3. If flux is increased four times, then the relative error will be cut in half.

\[
\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} = \sqrt{\frac{F_2}{F_1}}
\]  

(3)

The ratio of fluxes between a notional V = 10 star, F_{10}, can be related to the flux of target star, F_T, of known magnitude by Equation 4.

\[
\frac{F_{10}}{F_T} = 10^{-0.4(M_{10} - M_T)}
\]  

(4)

We have been using the logarithmic magnitude scale to measure our noise, not flux. While the same relationship between brightness and relative noise does not strictly apply when speaking in magnitudes, it is very close so long as the flux values are large, which is the case here. When we combine Equations 3 and 4, we can estimate the RMS (in units of magnitude) for a V = 10 star based on observed error in a target star using Equation 5. This equation also includes the fact that flux is linearly dependent on exposure time, t.

\[
\text{RMS}_{10} = \text{RMS}_T \sqrt{(\frac{t}{60})10^{-0.4(M_{10} - M_T)}}
\]  

(5)

For the example in section 3.1, we have a V = 14.75 star demonstrating an RMS of 28.4 mmag using 60-second exposures. Equation 5 yields an equivalent RMS for a V = 10 star under these same conditions of 3.18 mmag.

While this performance is slightly poorer than the design objective, it is comparable. Also, this is an analysis of only one star and not a statistical study of all stars in the FOV. A more comprehensive approach is to note in Figure A1 that the body of data for all stars indicates a noise level of approximately 10.3 mmag at V = 13. Using Equation 5 with this relationship yields an estimated error at V = 10 of 2.58 mmag. Considering that this is based on a single and unremarkable night, we consider this a successful demonstration of our design goals.

Appendix B: Design goals for the Lookout Observatory

Our primary design goal is maintaining noise levels of less than 2 mmag from dusk to dawn for 10th magnitude stars and one-minute exposures. Most stars in this survey are fainter than this. So, we must have a way of scaling results for fainter stars to judge performance.

The photon-limited shot noise, \( \sigma \), is the square root of the measured flux, \( F \), as seen in Equation 1, where both are measured in photons.

\[
\sigma = \sqrt{F}
\]  

(1)

A brighter star will have greater flux and while its noise will increase, the fraction of noise in the overall signal will decrease. The fraction of the signal that is noise will be given by Equation 2.

\[
\text{noise fraction} = \frac{\sqrt{F}}{F} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F}}
\]  

(2)