Gamete expression of TALE class HD genes activates the diploid sporophyte program in Marchantia polymorpha
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Abstract

Eukaryotic life cycles alternate between haploid and diploid phases and in phylogenetically diverse unicellular eukaryotes, expression of paralogous homeodomain genes in gametes primes the haploid-to-diploid transition. In the unicellular chlorophyte alga Chlamydomonas, KNOX and BELL TALE-homeodomain genes mediate this transition. We demonstrate that in the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha, paternal (sperm) expression of three of five phylogenetically diverse BELL genes, MpBELL234, and maternal (egg) expression of both MpKNOX1 and MpBELL34 mediate the haploid-to-diploid transition. Loss-of-function alleles of MpKNOX1 result in zygotic arrest, whereas a loss of either maternal or paternal MpBELL234 results in variable zygotic and early embryonic arrest. Expression of MpKNOX1 and MpBELL34 during diploid sporophyte development is consistent with a later role for these genes in patterning the sporophyte. These results indicate that the ancestral mechanism to activate diploid gene expression was retained in early diverging land plants and subsequently co-opted during evolution of the diploid sporophyte body.

Introduction

Life cycles of eukaryotes alternate between haploid and diploid phases, initiated by meiosis and gamete fusion, respectively. Expression of paralogous homeodomain (HD) genes in the two gametes and the subsequent heterodimerization of the respective proteins in the zygote direct the haploid-to-diploid transition in gene expression in phylogenetically diverse eukaryotes, including the ascomycete fungus Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Goutte and Johnson, 1988; Herskowitz, 1989), the basidiomycete fungi Coprinopsis cinerea and Ustilago maydis (Gillissen et al., 1992; Hull et al., 2005; Kues et al., 1992; Spit et al., 1998, Urban, 1996), the Amoebozoa Dictyostelium discoideum (Hedgethorne et al., 2017), the brown alga Ectocarpus (Arun et al., 2019), the red alga Pyropia yezoensis (Mikami et al., 2019), and the unicellular chlorophyte alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Ferris and Goodenough, 1987; Lee et al., 2008; Nishimura et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2001). This broad phylogenetic distribution suggests this was an ancestral function of HD genes (reviewed by Bowman et al., 2016b). In Viridiplantae, the paralogs are two subclasses, KNOX and BELL, of TALE class HD genes. In Chlamydomonas, the minus (-) gamete expresses a KNOX protein (GSM1) and the plus (+) gamete expresses a BELL protein (GSP1), and upon gamete fusion, the two proteins heterodimerize and translocate to the nucleus, activating zygotic gene expression (Lee et al., 2008). GSM1 and GSP1 are necessary for diploid gene expression and, when ectopically expressed together in vegetative haploid cells, are sufficient to induce the diploid genetic program (Ferris and Goodenough, 1987; Lee et al., 2008; Nishimura et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2001). Biologically, the expression of a unique paralog in each type of gamete, coupled with the requirement for heterodimerization for functionality, is a
mechanism to preload gametes such that immediately following gamete fusion/fertilization a distinct diploid genetic program is initiated.

Heterodimerization of TALE-HD paralogs is mediated by subclass-specific protein domains N-terminal of the homeodomain conserved in phylogenetically diverse eukaryotes (Bellaoui et al., 2001; Bürglin, 1997). For example, Viridiplantae KNOX proteins and metazoan MEIS proteins share a homologous heterodimerization domain, thus defining a TALE-HD subclass, named MEINOX, present in the ancestral eukaryote (Bürglin, 1997). In contrast, heterodimerization domains of MEINOX partners are not as well conserved (Joo et al., 2018). Some MEINOX partners in animals have a characteristic PBC-C heterodimerization domain (Bürglin, 1997), and PBC-related domains are found in some algal BELL-related TALE-HD proteins, suggesting its presence in the ancestral eukaryote (Joo et al., 2018). In contrast, previously characterized land plant BELL proteins possess conserved SKY and BELL domains, collectively termed POX (pre-homeobox), that mediate heterodimerization with KNOX partners (Bellaoui et al., 2001; Hackbusch et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2002). POX domains are either highly divergent from, or unrelated to, PBC domains. Finally, in other algal BELL-related TALE-HD proteins, neither heterodimerization domain is evident (Joo et al., 2018). Regardless, when tested for the dimerization potential, Archaeplastida BELL-related TALE-HD proteins interact only with MEINOX TALE-HD partners and not with other BELL-related TALE-HD proteins (Joo et al., 2018).

Land plants are characterized by an alternation of generations whereby complex multicellular bodies develop in both haploid (gametophyte) and diploid (sporophyte) phases of the life cycle (Hofmeister, 1862), and elements of the KNOX/BELL system have been implicated in regulating the land plant haploid-to-diploid transition. In the moss Physcomitrium patens, one subclass of KNOX genes, KNOX1, is required for proper proliferation and differentiation in the diploid body (Sakakibara et al., 2008; Singer and Ashton, 2007), while another subclass, KNOX2, acts to suppress the haploid genetic program during diploid development (Sakakibara et al., 2013). In addition to being expressed during sporophyte development, both classes of KNOX genes are expressed in the egg cell during gamete formation (Sakakibara et al., 2013; Sakakibara et al., 2008). The expression of KNOX genes in egg cells suggests that this gamete may correspond to the (-) gamete in Chlamydomonas, which also expresses a KNOX protein, implying that the male gamete in land plants might express BELL proteins, as does the (+) gamete in Chlamydomonas. Ectopic expression of a Physcomitrium BELL paralog, PpBELL1, has been noted to induce the diploid genetic program in specific cell types of the gametophyte, but PpBELL1 expression was detected in the egg cell (Horst et al., 2016), rather than the male gamete, as might have been expected if it is functionally similar to Chlamydomonas BELL. However, expression of PpBELL1 has also been reported to be induced in the sperm by activation of glutamate channels, with PpBELL1 subsequently functionally active in the sporophyte (Ortiz-Ramírez et al., 2017). These observations prompted our investigation of the homologous genetic programs in the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha.

Results

M. polymorpha possesses phylogenetically diverse TALE homeodomain proteins

The M. polymorpha genome encodes nine TALE-HD-related family members: four KNOX genes and five BELL genes (Bowman et al., 2017; Figure 1), all of which are expressed in either the haploid sexual organs or the diploid sporophyte or both, with minimal or no expression detected by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in the haploid vegetative thallus (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Of the four KNOX genes, three are of KNOX1 subclass, but with only one encoding a HD, and one of KNOX2 subclass (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). The two subclasses arose via gene duplication in an ancestral charophycean alga (Sakakibara, 2016; Joo et al., 2018; Frangeldakis et al., 2017). Expression of MpKNOX1 (Mp5g01600), which encodes a HD, is predominantly detected in archegoniohophores and young sporophytes. In contrast, the two other KNOX1 genes (MpKNOX1A, Mp4g12450; MpKNOX1B, Mp2g11140) lacking a HD are predominantly expressed in antheridiohophores. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that these HD-less paralogs are liverwort specific and are likely evolved in the ancestral liverwort (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). In contrast, expression of MpKNOX2 (Mp7g05320) is not detected in unfertilized reproductive organs and is expressed primarily during sporophyte development.
The *M. polymorpha* BELL genes reside in three phylogenetically distinct clades, each with its own characteristic HD (*Figure 2; Figure 2—figure supplement 1*). Like some algal BELL-related proteins (*Joo et al., 2018*), the presence of a PBC domain is not easily discernible in any of the predicted *M. polymorpha* BELL protein sequences. Only one *M. polymorpha* BELL gene (MpBELL1, Mp8g18310)
Figure 2. Unrooted Bayesian phylogram of Viridiplantae BELL-TALE class homeodomain genes. Tree was constructed using a nucleotide alignment of the homeodomain. The five *Marchantia polymorpha* BELL genes are highlighted (purple arrows). A clade of genes representing previously identified 'canonical' land plant BELL genes is recovered with high support. This clade includes Mp*BELL1* and charophycean algal sequences dating as far back as prior to the divergence of *Klebsormidium*. These canonical land plant BELL genes also share significant sequence similarity outside the homeodomain, including the SKY and BELL domains. The remaining BELL genes, including all chlorophyte sequences, sequences from charophycean algae, and the other four *M. polymorpha* sequences, reside in either other well-supported clades or in polytomies. Several subclades (labeled) previously identified.
are evident (Joo et al., 2018). MpBELL2/3/4 resides in a polytomy composed of liverwort and charophyte sequences, with other liverwort, moss, and charophyte sequences residing in a second clade; these are labeled ‘BELL-gamete’. The multiple homeodomains of MpBELL2, MpBELL3 and MpBELL4 are designated a, b, and c (see Figure 1). MpBELL5 resides, with other liverwort and charophyte sequences, in the GLX-basal clade. Chlorophyte, light blue; charophyte, dark blue; liverwort, purple; moss, green; hornwort, dark green; lycophyte, brown; fern, orange; seed plant, red. Numbers at branches indicate posterior probability values >50%; branches explicitly shown have probability values >50%, whereas polytomies represent nodes with probability values <50%; values within subclades are omitted for clarity.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

**Figure supplement 1.** Comparison of Viridiplantae TALE homeodomains.
Figure 3. Maternal MpKNOX1 is required for post-zygotic embryo development. (A–F) MpKNOX1 expression pattern. MpKNOX1 transcripts could be detected via in situ hybridization in the egg (e) cells of unfertilized archegonia (B), but not in the venter canal cell (A, vcc). After fertilization, expression is detected in young developing sporophytes (approximately 1 week post fertilization (wpf); C, D), with expression continuing until foot and seta begin to differentiate (E). MpKNOX1 is not expressed in older sporophytes where sporogenous tissue has differentiated (F). (G–L) Comparison of wild-type

Figure 3 continued on next page
and Mpknox1 development; unfertilized (G, J), 1 wpf (H, K), and 3 wpf (I, L). Mpknox1-6th egg cells appear wild-type-like (unfertilized, G, J). In contrast to wild-type (H, I), the embryo of Mpknox1-6th mutants does not develop post fertilization (K, L). The zygote fails to undergo cytokinesis, with the nucleus disappearing after 3 weeks, leaving an empty space (approximately 3 wpf, L). The initial outgrowth of the pseudoperianth (black arrows) and calyptra (red arrows) post-fertilization is not affected in Mpknox1-6th mutants, but their development is arrested as well approximately 1 wpf (K, L) (M, N). Archegoniophores of Mpknox1-6th mutants begin to senesce approximately 2 weeks after maturation (N), while wild-type archegoniophores of the same age remain green (M). (O) Based on RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data and associated gene models, the 3’ end of MpKNOX1 overlaps with the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of MpSUK1, which is transcribed from the opposite strand. Predicted full-length MpKNOX1 transcripts, that is, those including exons 2 and 3, are present primarily in the archegoniophore, consistent with the in situ data and semiquantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (sqRT-PCR) data in Figure 1—figure supplement 1. FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of exon model per Million mapped fragments) scales are set to a standard within each tissue to allow potential weakly expressed transcripts to be visualized. (P) Signal of MpSUK1 in situ hybridization is detected in antheridia of the antheridiophore. (Q, R) Expression of _MpKNOX1:GUS:SUK1_ in an archegoniophore (R), an archegonium (S), and an antheridiophore (Q). Scale bar = 50 µm (A, E, G, J, K, L, S); 100 µm (B, C, D, H, I); 200 µm (P); 500 µm (F, R); 1000 µm (Q).

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Developmental staging data of crosses between Mpknox1-6 females and wild-type males.

Figure supplement 2. Expression profile of _MpKNOX1_ transcriptional β-glucuronidase reporter gene fusions unravels regulation by antisense transcript MpSUK1.

Figure supplement 3. MpKNOX1A and MpKNOX1B lack the homeodomain and are both primarily expressed in the antheridiophores.

weeks before they senesce (Figure 3M). In contrast, Mpknox1-6th archegoniophores begin to senesce around 2 weeks post maturation (Figure 3N), suggesting that an MpKNOX1-dependent signal is required for archegoniophore maintenance.

At the MpKNOX1 locus, a convergently transcribed gene, _SUPPRESSOR OF KNOX1_ (MpSUK1, MpSg01590), is expressed predominantly in antheridia (Figure 30–P), suggesting that MpKNOX1 may be regulated by an antisense transcript as has been described for _MpgFGMYB_ (Mpg17210) (_Hisanaga, 2019_). Consistent with this hypothesis, a transcriptional fusion of 4.6 kb 5’ of MpKNOX1 coding sequence with a β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter coding sequence and 3’ terminator results in expression in both egg cells and antheridia (Figure 3—figure supplement 2). In contrast, incorporation of 2.7 kb of the MpSUK1 antisense transcriptional unit immediately downstream of the GUS coding sequence (_MpKNOX1:GUS:SUK1_) results in female-specific expression (Figure 3; Figure 3—figure supplement 2). However, if a 3’ terminator sequence is inserted between the GUS coding sequence and the MpSUK1 sequences, the antisense repression is lost, suggesting a requirement of transcriptional overlap but in a non-sequence-specific mechanism (Figure 3—figure supplement 2). MpSUK1 also encodes a 225 aa protein, including a 55 aa domain conserved across most liverworts but not found in other land plant lineages, that does not overlap with the MpKNOX1 coding sequence (Figure 3O).

In addition to the full-length MpKNOX1 gene, two additional KNOX1-related genes, MpKNOX1A and MpKNOX1B, are encoded in the _M. polymorpha_ genome. Both genes encode a conserved KNOX1 MEINOX domain (Figure 3—figure supplement 3A) but lack a HD and are predominantly expressed in the antheridia and sperm cells (Figure 3—figure supplement 3B-E).

**MpBELL234 are expressed in antheridia, archegonia, and young embryos**

The acropetal development of antheridia within antheridiophores results in the youngest being peripheral. MpBELL2, MpBELL3, and MpBELL4 expression was detected in stage 2–4 antheridia (_Higo et al., 2016_) within antheridiophores as assayed by in situ hybridization (Figure 4A, C and E). Likewise, translational MpBELL2 and transcriptional MpBELL3 and MpBELL4 GUS reporter gene fusion lines using 3.5 kb (MpBELL2), 5.6 kb (MpBELL3), and 5.8 kb (MpBELL4) of sequence 5’ to a transcriptional start site exhibit signals in antheridia of developing antheridiophores (Figure 4B, D, F and G). In these reporter lines, no signal was detected in unfertilized archegoniophores or developing sporophytes, nor was any expression observed in the vegetative gametophyte. However, in contrast to the above reporter lines, strand-specific transcriptomic analysis suggests that shorter transcripts, likely produced from an alternative promoter, are generated at the MpBELL3 and MpBELL4 loci in archegoniophores and sporophytes (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A, B). Indeed, expression of both
Figure 4. Expression patterns of MpBELL2, MpBELL3, and MpBELL4. In situ localization of all three MpBELL mRNAs in antheridia as seen in antheridiophore cross-sections; younger antheridia are toward the left (A, C, E). Antheridiophores with translational (B) or transcriptional (D, F) β-glucuronidase reporter gene fusions of MpBELL2 (B), MpBELL3 (D), and MpBELL4 (F). These reporter genes all harbor sequences 5' of the longest predicted transcript at each of the loci; for example, proMpBELL4 in (G). Signal appears strongest in young- to medium-aged antheridia (stage 3 and stage 4; Higo et al., 2016), with the signal being lost in older antheridia toward the center of the antheridiophore (B, F), possibly due to draining of spermatogenous tissue. Staining was not observed in unfertilized archegonia (B, D, F). (I) In situ hybridization using the full-length MpBELL4 coding sequence exhibits signals in egg cells of unfertilized archegonia, gametophytic tissues surrounding fertilized archegonia at 1 week post fertilization (wpf), as well as sporophytes up to at least 3 wpf. (H, J) Reporter genes constructed using an alternative promoter internal of the MpBELL4 locus (iproMpBELL4) marked with a Cap Analysis of Gene Expression (CAGE) signal (G) exhibit a signal in unfertilized archegonia, but not in antheridia (H). Signal remains visible in developing unfertilized archegonia within the center of the archegoniophore, but no signal was observed in sporophytes at 2 wpf (J). Scale bar = 200 μm for left panels in A, C, E and the right panel in C, panels B, D, F, H, and the right panel in J, 100 μm for right panels in A, E, I and the left panel in J; 50 μm for the left and middle panel in I.

Figure 4 continued on next page
Paternal and maternal MpBELL are required for proper embryo development

To examine whether MpBELL234 could provide the male counterpart to the female MpKNOX1, we created loss-of-function alleles for each gene (Table 1). When used as male parents in crosses with wild-type females, Mpbell2, Mpbell3, and Mpbell4 single mutants did not exhibit an aberrant phenotype; however, when Mpbell3 Mpbell4 (Mpbell34) double mutant or Mpbell2 Mpbell3 Mpbell4 (Mpbell234) triple mutant males were used in crosses with wild-type females, mature sporophytes were formed at a reduced frequency compared to crosses between wild-type parents (Figure 5A–B). To quantify the reduction in fertility, we first examined crosses between wild-type parents in greater detail. A majority of fertilization events in crosses between wild-type males and wild-type females produced mature sporophytes (Figure 5A–B). However, nearly 40% of wild-type crosses were either aborted at the zygote stage or arrested at a globular multicellular stage, characteristic of about 1 wpf. As we did not observe sporophytes arrested at later stages, sporophytes that surpass the 1 wpf stage must generally progress to maturity. In contrast, most fertilization events derived from crosses between wild-type females and Mpbell234 males resulted in sporophyte development that only progressed to a globular stage characteristic of the first week of wild-type development, followed by sporophyte arrest. A smaller number of fertilization events were aborted at zygote formation, resembling the phenotype observed in female Mpknx1-6♀ mutants (Figure 5A–B). However, mature sporophytes with viable spores were produced in about 17% of fertilization events. In individual crosses in which mature sporophytes form, the corresponding archegoniophores remain viable until after the sporophytes have matured, while unfertilized archegoniophores undergo senescence. The archegoniophores with only arrested sporophytes senesced in a manner similar to unfertilized archegoniophores.

Since both Mpbell3 and Mpbell4 expression was detected in the egg cell, we performed reciprocal crosses between Mpbell234 females and wild-type males and we observed a similar distribution of sporophyte phenotypes compared to wild-type females crossed with Mpbell234 males: aborted zygotes, aborted multicellular embryos, and phenotypically normal sporophytes (Figure 5A–B). However, in crosses where both the male and female harbored mutations in both Mpbell3 and Mpbell4, no mature sporophytes were formed, with a majority of embryos arrested at the zygote stage (Figure 5A–B), a phenotype similar to, albeit less severe than, that observed for Mpknx1 mutants. Similar sporophyte phenotype ratios in reciprocal crosses between Mpknx1 and Mpbell34 have been described independently (Hisanaga, 2021).

We next examined whether MpKNOX1 and MpBELL proteins could interact. We chose antheridal-expressed full-length MpBELL4 for analysis due to the truncated nature of MpBELL2 and the extreme length of MpBELL3. In a split Yellow Fluorescent Protein (YFP) BiFC assay in Nicotiana benthamia leaf epidermal cells, MpKNOX1 on its own was cytoplasmically localized, but when co-expressed with nuclear localized MpBELL4, MpKNOX1 signal became nuclear (Figure 5C–F). A similar interaction was observed with MpKNOX2 and MpBELL1 (Figure 5—figure supplement 1). These interactions are selective, since neither interaction between MpKNOX1 and MpBELL1 nor between MpKNOX2
### Table 1. Alleles used in this study.

Nomenclature is as outlined previously (Bowman et al., 2016c; Montgomery et al., 2020).

| Name             | Gene number(s) | Type            | Details                  | Gender | Putative effect |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Mpknox1-6        | Mp5g01600      | CRISPR 2 gRNAs | 2360 nt del              | Female | Null            |
| Mpbell2-1        | Mp4g09650      | CRISPR 1 gRNAs | 1 nt in                  | Female | Hypomorph       |
| Mpbell2-2        | Mp4g09650      | CRISPR 1 gRNAs | 10 nt del                | Male   | Hypomorph       |
| Mpbell2-11       | Mp4g09650      | CRISPR 1 gRNAs | 1 nt del                 | Male   | Hypomorph       |
| Mpbell3-4        | Mp8g02970      | CRISPR 2 gRNAs | 2217 nt del              | Male   | Null            |
| Mpbell3-10       | Mp8g02970      | CRISPR 2 gRNAs | 2218 nt del              | Male   | Null            |
| Mpbell4-5        | Mp8g07680      | CRISPR 2 gRNAs | 1135 nt del              | Male   | Null            |
| Mpbell4-24       | Mp8g07680      | CRISPR 2 gRNAs | 1135 nt del              | Male   | Null            |
| Mpbell34-4 (Mpbell3-1 Mpbell4-1) |             | CRISPR 4 gRNAs |                          | Male   |                 |
| MpBELL2          | Mp4g09650      | wt              |                          |        | Wild type       |
| Mpbell3-1        | Mp8g02970      | 6 nt in/1 nt del; 1 nt in | Hypomorph |
| Mpbell4-1        | Mp8g07680      | 1 nt del        |                          |        | Hypomorph       |
| Mpbell234-15 (Mpbell2-15 Mpbell3-15 Mpbell4-15) | CRISPR 4 gRNAs | Male |
| Mpbell2-15       | Mp4g09650      | 23 nt in/10 nt del | Hypomorph |
| Mpbell3-15       | Mp8g02970      | 49 nt in/1 nt del; 1 nt in | Hypomorph |
| Mpbell4-15       | Mp8g07680      | 1 nt del        |                          |        | Hypomorph       |
| Mpbell234-83 (Mpbell2-83 Mpbell3-83 Mpbell4-83) | CRISPR 6 gRNAs | Male |
| Mpbell2-83       | Mp4g09650      | 110 nt in/1401 nt del | Null? |
| Mpbell3-83       | Mp8g02970      | 2217 nt del     |                          | Null?  |
| Mpbell4-83       | Mp8g07680      | 7 nt in/1150 nt del | Null? |
| Mpbell234-7 (Mpbell2-7 Mpbell3-7 Mpbell4-7) | CRISPR 4 gRNAs | Female |

Table 1 continued on next page
| Name          | Gene number(s) | Type            | Details                           | Putative effect         | Gender |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Mpbell2-7ge   | Mp4g09650      | Hypomorph       | 16 nt in/8 nt del                 | Mp                       |
| Mpbell3-7ge   | Mp8g02970      | Hypomorph       | 1 nt in/30 nt del                | Mp                       |
| Mpbell4-7ge   | Mp8g07680      | Hypomorph       | 44 nt in                           | Mp                       |
| Mpbell234-18ge| (Mpbell2-18ge, Mpbell3-18ge, Mpbell4-18ge) | CRISPR 4 gRNAs | Female                            |                         |
| Mpbell2-18ge  | Mp4g09650      | Hypomorph       | 13 nt in/7 nt del                 | Mp                       |
| Mpbell3-18ge  | Mp8g02970      | Knock-down      | 97 nt in/304 nt del; 1 nt del    | Mp                       |
| Mpbell4-18ge  | Mp8g07680      | Ectopic overexpression | 1 nt del                          | Mp                       |
| proEF1:XMpE(z)1SkmiR166 | Mp5g18040 | Transgene Knock-down | Both                              |                         |
| proEF1:XMpKNOX1 | Mp5g01600 | Transgene Ectopic overexpression | Both                            |                         |
| proEF1:XVE>>MpBELL3 | Mp8g02970 | Transgene Transcriptional β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |
| proEF1:XMpKNOX1;proEF1:XVE>>MpBELL3 | Mp5g01600 | Transgene Transcriptional β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |
| proMpBELL2:GUS | Mp4g09650 | Transgene Translational β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |
| proMpBELL3:GUS | Mp8g02970 | Transgene Transcriptional β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |
| proMpBELL4:GUS | Mp8g07680 | Transgene Transcriptional β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |
| proMpKNOX1:GUS | Mp5g01600 | Transgene Transcriptional β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |
| proMpKNOX1:GUSterm:SUK1 | Mp5g01590 | Transgene Transcriptional β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |
| proMpKNOX1A:MPKNOX1AGUS | Mp4g12450 | Transgene Translational β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |
| proMpKNOX1B:MPKNOX1BAGUS | Mp5g01400 | Transgene Translational β-glucuronidase gene fusion | Both                        |                         |

Table 1 continued
**Figure 5.** Sporophyte development entails BELL activity in both male and female gametes. (A) Wild-type females crossed with wild-type males (left column), reciprocal crosses between wild-type and Mpbell mutant alleles (middle two columns), and crosses between Mpbell234 homozygotes (right column) observed after 1, 2, and 3 weeks post fertilization (wpf). All crosses produced aborted embryos or arrested sporophytes (left pictures in each panel). However, crosses between Mpbell234-18 and Mpbell234-83 only produced embryos aborted at the zygote stage or arrested at approximately

---

**Figure 5 continued on next page**
the 1 wpf stage. See Table 1 for details on mutant alleles. (B) Percentages of developmental stages observed for each of the crosses. Plants were crossed once and then examined after 1, 2, or 3 wpf. Observed embryos were grouped into the following developmental stages: aborted zygotes, sporophytes that were arrested at a stage younger than the maximum stage possible, and sporophytes that had reached the maximum stage expected. 

N = the total number of observed fertilization events. See Figure 5—source data 1 for details. (C–F) BiFC (Bimolecular fluorescence complementation) assay of protein-protein interaction of MpKNOX1 and MpBELL4 in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. Homodimers of MpKNOX1 show cytoplasmic localization (C), while MpBELL4 homodimers localize to the nucleus (D). Co-expression of both proteins resulted in nuclear localization of heterodimers (E, F). (G–J) BiFC assays of the protein derived from the shorter 3' transcript of MpBELL3 (Figure 4—figure supplement 2) with MpKNOX1 show weak cytoplasmic interaction (G, H). However, MpBELL4 interacts strongly with shortMpBELL3 and results in nuclear localization (I, J). Scale bar = 50 µm, for all left pictures in (A) panels, all double mutant pictures, and all 1 wpf pictures; 100 µm for right pictures of the 2 wpf panel; 200 µm for all right pictures of the 3 wpf panel.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 5:

**Figure supplement 1.** MpKNOX1/MpBELL4 and MpKNOX2/MpBELL1 exhibit specificity in their interactions.

**Figure supplement 2.** Co-expression strategy to express MpKNOX1 and MpBELL3 simultaneously in the vegetative gametophyte.

and MpBELL4 was observed (Figure 5—figure supplement 1). In contrast to full-length MpBELL4, we did not observe any efficient interaction between the shorter sporophyte-expressed MpBELL3 and MpKNOX1 in this heterologous system (Figure 5G–H). Surprisingly, however, in this system, full-length MpBELL4 and sporophyte-expressed MpBELL3 interacted (Figure 5I–J).

Expression of the fifth M. polymorpha MpBELL gene, MpBELL5, may be restricted to the archegoniophore among tissues examined (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A, B). As with MpKNOX1 (Figure 3) and MpFGMYB (Hisanaga, 2019), the MpBELL5 transcript may also be opposed by a convergently transcribed locus in antheridia (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A, B).

**Activation of sporophyte gene expression in the vegetative gametophyte**

The expression patterns and mutant phenotypes of MpBELL2/3/4 and MpKNOX1 genes are consistent with a role in activating diploid gene expression, and thus we examined whether ectopic (co-) expression of these genes in vegetative gametophyte is sufficient to activate diploid gene expression (Figure 5—figure supplement 2A). Ectopic expression of MpKNOX1 alone does not alter expression of MpBELL2, MpBELL1, or MpBELL3 in the vegetative gametophyte (Figure 5—figure supplement 2B). However, ectopic expression of either MpBELL3 or co-expression of MpKNOX1 and MpBELL3 in the vegetative gametophyte for 72 hr is sufficient to activate both MpKNOX2 and MpBELL1, whose expression is normally limited to sporophyte development, or, in the case of MpBELL1, also after continuous far-red light induction (Inoue et al., 2019; Figure 5—figure supplement 2B). Thus, in this context, MpBELL3 alone can activate MpKNOX1 expression, a scenario perhaps reminiscent of post-zygotic activation of MpKNOX1 after fertilization (Figure 3C, Figure 3—figure supplement 2D).

**Discussion**

**An ancestral function for TALE-HD genes in Viridiplantae**

The eukaryotic life cycle alternates between haploid and diploid phases, initiated by meiosis and gamete fusion, respectively. Organisms spanning the phylogenetic diversity of euakaryotes, including ascomycete and basidiomycete fungi, Amoebozoa, brown algae, and chlorophyte algae, utilize paralogous homeodomain proteins that heterodimerize following gamete fusion to initiate the diploid genetic program, lending support for the idea that this may have been an ancestral function of homeodomain proteins. Our observations in M. polymorpha indicate that TALE-HD proteins, specifically MpKNOX1 and MpBELL2/3/4, are initially supplied in gametes, and that this gametic expression is required for diploid sporophyte development (Figure 6). MpKNOX1 is absolutely required in the egg cell, as is MpBELL3/4 in either the sperm or egg. This scenario is reminiscent of that characterized in Chlamydomonas, wherein KNOX is supplied by the (-) gamete and BELL via the (+) gamete, and that once together in the zygote, the diploid genetic program can be activated. Thus, the basic tenets of the genetic regulation of the haploid-to-diploid transition are conserved in two widely divergent
Figure 6. Model of KNOX and BELL function in Marchantia polymorpha. Three MpBELL genes (MpBELL2/3/4) are expressed in antheridia in the cells that will differentiate into sperm cells. MpKNOX1 is expressed in the egg cell of the archegonia, along with three MpBELL genes (MpBELL5 and MpBELL3/4). In contrast to the antheridia, where full-length transcripts are found, alternative short transcripts of MpBELL3/4 (MpBELL3s and MpBELL4s; see Figure 4—figure supplements 2 and 3) are produced in the egg cell. After fertilization, MpBELL3/4 derived from both sperm and egg cells and MpKNOX1 derived from the egg cell act together to activate the zygotic program. MpBELL1 and MpKNOX2 form a distinct heterodimer that acts at later stages of sporophyte development. The homeodomain lacking MpKNOX1A and MpKNOX1B genes expressed in the antheridia along with MpBELL2/3/4 potentially prevents functionality by sequestering MpBELL2/3/4 proteins. The function of MpBELL5 is unknown.

Images are obtained from Marchant, 1713; Mirbel, 1835; Thuret, 1851; and Unger, 1837.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 6:

Figure supplement 1. Phylogenetic perspective on HD gene-mediated haploid-to-diploid transitions in eukaryotes.
Viridiplantae lineages, consistent with the notion that such a system was present in the common ancestor of extant Viridiplantae.

The obvious major difference between the roles of KNOX and BELL in Marchantia when compared to Chlamydomonas is the activity of MpBELL3/4 in both the egg and sperm, rather than being confined to the sperm. Thus, questions on how the system operates mechanistically and why it might have evolved are paramount. The functions of maternal MpKNOX1 and paternal MpBELL3/4 could be presumed to represent the ancestral condition, with the role of maternal MpBELL3/4 being a derived condition. The absolute requirement for maternal MpKNOX1 (Figure 3) and its ability to heterodimerize with full-length MpBELL3/4 (Figure 5), which is expressed only paternally (Figure 4), suggest that this ancestral system functions in Marchantia, albeit with a reduced efficacy. In Marchantia, although neither maternal nor paternal MpBELL is absolutely required, both are necessary for efficient sporophyte development. One plausible hypothesis is that maternally supplied MpBELL3/4 evolved as a backup system to ensure diploid development following fertilization, concomitant with the evolution of sperm with reduced cytoplasmic content and highly condensed nuclei, anatomical features that could reduce the efficiency of MpBELL protein delivery by sperm. That both MpKNOX1 and either MpBELL3 are present in the egg cell prior to fertilization and that they do not efficiently interact, at least in a split YFP BiFC assay in Nicotiana leaf cells (Figure 5), suggests that the act of fertilization may trigger a biochemical change required for their interaction. Although shortMpBELL3 can interact at least in a split YFP BiFC assay in Marchantia archegoniophore tissues until sporophyte development is completed. Finally, that aborted embryos suggest a signal emanating from older sporophytes to maintain the viability of the maternal archegoniophore tissues until sporophyte development is completed. Finally, that aborted embryos...
are found even in crosses involving only wild-type parents suggests possible maternal control over allocation of resources across multiple embryos, a phenomenon similar to maternal control over fruit set in angiosperms (Stephenson, 1981).

**Roles of MpKNOX1 in Marchantia sporophyte**

A key land plant innovation was the evolution of a multicellular diploid generation, the embryo, via mitoses, interpolated between gamete fusion and meiosis (Bower, 1908). In seed plants, ferns, and mosses, KNOX1 activity is associated with continued sporophyte cell proliferation, including sporophyte apical meristem activity (Hay and Tsiantis, 2010; Sakakibara et al., 2008; Sano et al., 2005). While *M. polymorpha* and other liverworts lack apical meristems during sporophyte development (Kienitz-gerloff, 1874), MpKNOX1 expression is detected throughout developing sporophytes until the inception of sporogenous cell differentiation (Figure 3), consistent with MpKNOX1 having a role in cell proliferation during *M. polymorpha* sporophyte development. Thus, while MpKNOX1 retains the ancestral function in the haploid-diploid transition, the lack of zygotic cell division in Mpknox1 mutants and the MpKNOX1 sporophytic expression pattern suggest that neofunctionalization of KNOX1 contributed to the evolution of the embryo via stimulation of cell proliferation. That MpBELL3/4 are also expressed during these stages of sporophyte development (Figure 4; Figure 4—figure supplements 1 and 2, 3) suggests these genes encode the heterodimeric partners of MpKNOX1 during sporophyte development. This is supported by the subfunctionalization of MpBELL genes with respect to heterodimerization partners—MpBELL3/4 specifically heterodimerize with MpKNOX1 while MpBELL1 interacts only with MpKNOX2 (Figure 5—figure supplement 2). This subfunctionalization resembles that observed for KNOX/BELL interactions in angiosperms (Furumizu et al., 2015) but represents an independent evolutionary event since all angiosperm BELL genes are MpBELL1 orthologs.

In eukaryotic lineages in which multicellularity evolved in the diploid phase of the life cycle, genes involved in the haploid-diploid transition, or paralogs thereof, have been repeatedly co-opted into roles directing development in the diploid generation. Most conspicuously, despite the loss of their role in zygotic gene activation (see below), both non-TALE- (eg, Hox) and TALE-HD genes act to pattern the metazoan body (Merabet and Mann, 2016; Pearson et al., 2005; Lewis, 1978). Likewise, in the basidiomycete fungus *Coprinopsis*, the same HD heterodimer that initiates the diploid genetic program also directs early developmental stages in the multicellular diploid (Kamada, 2002). In the syncytial chlorophyte alga *Caulerpa lentillifera*, differential TALE-HD expression in the diploid body was speculated to influence differentiation of fronds and stolons, but functional data are lacking (Arimoto, 2019). Finally, in land plants, as the multicellular sporophyte evolved increasing complexity, KNOX/BELL genetic modules were co-opted to direct development of novel organs and tissues via regulation of cell proliferation (KNOX1) or differentiation (KNOX2) in conjunction with other gene regulatory networks (Furumizu et al., 2015; Hay and Tsiantis, 2010).

**The remaining TALE-HD genes of *M. polymorpha***

The two KNOX1-related sequences lacking homeodomains (MpKNOX1A and MpKNOX1B) are reminiscent of similar, albeit independently evolved, proteins in angiosperms that act as inhibitors of KNOX/BELL function by forming inactive heterodimers primarily with BELL partners (Magnani and Hake, 2008; Kimura et al., 2008). The antheridial expression of MpKNOX1A and MpKNOX1B prompts the hypothesis that the encoded proteins may act as a safeguard against the inappropriate activity of MpBELL in the male gametophyte. This is particularly pertinent since ectopic expression of MpBELL3 alone in the vegetative gametophyte can activate MpKNOX1, MpKNOX2, and MpBELL1 (Figure 5—figure supplement 2). The lack of an aberrant phenotype observed in lines constitutively expressing MpKNOX1 in male gametophytes would be consistent with this hypothesis.

In contrast to the other MpBELL genes, MpBELL5 transcripts are only detected in archegonio- phores. Given the strong heterodimerization affinity preferences of BELL proteins for KNOX proteins (Bellaoui et al., 2001; Joo et al., 2018), the only conspicuous potential partner in *M. polymorpha* would be MpKNOX1. Hence, MpBELL5 might play an as-yet-undefined role in the activation of zygotic gene expression. At the MpBELL5 locus, a convergently transcribed locus is expressed predominantly in antheridia (Figure 4—figure supplement 1), suggesting MpBELL5 may be repressed by an antisense transcript in a manner similar to MpKNOX1 (Figure 3) and MpFGMYB (Hisanaga, 2019). Such
male-expressed antisense transcript-mediated repression apparently provides a general mechanism for female-specific expression of autosomal genes, with sex-specific regulation ultimately being linked to the feminizing locus on the female sex chromosome (Bowman, 2016a; Knapp, 1935; Lorbeer, 1936).

Marchantia BELL gene diversity resembles that of charophyte algae
The phylogenetic diversity of BELL genes in M. polymorpha, and liverworts more broadly, more closely resembles the diversity observed in charophyte algae than that of other land plants (Joo et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2008). All previously described land plant BELL genes form a single clade that evolved within the charophycean algae (Figure 2). The single M. polymorpha gene in this clade, MpBELL1, is the only MpBELL gene predominantly expressed during sporophyte development. The other MpBELL genes reside in two other phylogenetically distinct clades, GLX-basal and BELL-gamete, both of which include charophyte sequences (Figure 2). This phylogenetic pattern suggests an ancient proliferation of BELL paralogs within the charophycean algal ancestor, with M. polymorpha (and other liverworts and some mosses) retaining this diversity that was subsequently lost in most other land plant lineages. The structural diversity (two or three homeodomains) of the MpBELL2/3/4 paralogs and the extensive sequence diversity of BELL-gamete clade genes are consistent with rapid evolution of genes involved in reproductive processes (Swanson and Vacquier, 2002). Finally, the expression pattern of MpBELL5, a member of the GLX-basal clade that evolved early in the charophytes, also suggests an as-yet-unresolved function in reproduction. Similarly, the Chlamydomonas genome encodes a second BELL paralog, HDG1, that is expressed in both (+) and (-) gametes, suggesting a role in reproduction, but whose function is unknown.

An ancestral function for TALE-HD genes in eukaryotes
In Viridiplantae (Chlamydomonas and Marchantia), the haploid-to-diploid transition is mediated by two TALE-HD genes. In the red alga Pyropia yezoensis, KNOX gene expression is detected in the diploid conchosporangium, but not in haploid thalli (Mikami et al., 2019). The phylogenetic distribution of KNOX and BELL subfamilies and their heterodimerization affinities (Joo et al., 2018) suggest that the Archaeplastida common ancestor utilized KNOX/BELL TALE-HD genes to mediate the haploid-to-diploid transition. Likewise, in the brown alga Ectocarpus, two TALE-HD proteins, OUROBOROS and SAMSARA, mediate the transition (Arun et al., 2019). In contrast, in both ascomycete and basidiomycete fungi, the haploid-to-diploid transition is mediated by heterodimerization of a TALE-HD and a non-TALE-HD protein (Gillissen et al., 1992; Goutte and Johnson, 1988; Herskowitz, 1989; Hull et al., 2005; Kues et al., 1992; Spit et al., 1998; Urban, 1996). In the Amoebozoa Dictyostelium, the two homeodomain-like proteins controlling the haploid-to-diploid transition are highly divergent, rendering the phylogenetic affinities enigmatic (Hedgethorne et al., 2017). As these taxa span eukaryotic phylogenetic diversity, one role of homeodomain genes in the ancestral eukaryote was to regulate the haploid-to-diploid transition—the evolution of the homeodomain in the ancestral eukaryote was associated with evolution of a novel life cycle (Figure 6—figure supplement 1). In arguably the most intensively studied taxon, the Metazoa, zygotic gene activation has been replaced by maternally derived pluripotency factors (Schulz and Harrison, 2019), but homeodomain genes have been retained.

Given the ancestral eukaryote possessed a minimum of two HD genes, including one TALE-HD and one non-TALE-HD (Bharathan et al., 1997; Bürglin, 1997; Derelle et al., 2007), it is an intriguing question as to whether, ancestrally, both TALE and non-TALE paralogs acted in the diploid-to-haploid transition. Either possible ancestral scenario (TALE + non-TALE or TALE + TALE) requires either a co-option of a pre-existing paralog (eg, non-TALE), or alternatively, a TALE gene duplication and transference of function to the new paralog, respectively. To resolve the ancestral condition, broader phylogenetic sampling and functional analyses across additional unicellular Bikont lineages, particularly in the Excavata and the paraphyletic sister groups of the Metazoa (choanoflagellates, Filasterea, and Ichthyosporea), might be informative (Figure 6—figure supplement 1). Resolution of the ancestral condition could inform whether the primary ancestral function of both TALE-HD and non-TALE-HD was regulating the haploid-to-diploid transition or whether non-TALE-HD genes had another fundamental function in ancestral eukaryotes.
Materials and methods

Ecotypes, plant growth, transformation, and induction

*M. polymorpha* ssp *ruderalis*, ecotype BoGa, obtained from the Botanical Garden of Osnabrueck, Germany, was used in SZ lab. Plant cultivation, transformation, and induction were performed according to Ishizaki et al., 2008 and Althoff, 2014. *M. polymorpha* ssp *ruderalis*, ecotype MEL, was used in JLB lab and grown, transformed, and induced according to Ishizaki et al., 2008 and Flores-Sandoval et al., 2015. In case of double transformations, sporelings were co-transformed using two constructs featuring different selectable markers. Spores were drained and plated on selection media for approximately 2 weeks and then subjected to a second round of selection before being transferred to ½ B5 plates. For induction of reproductive organs, plants were transferred to white light supplemented with far red light (735 nm; 45 μmol/m²/s) on ½ B5 media supplemented with 1 % glucose.

Genotyping, RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and semiquantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction

DNA was extracted using a modified protocol from Edwards et al., 1991. Instead of vacuum drying, the pelleted DNA was air-dried. Amplicons were directly sequenced. RNA was extracted from ≈100 mg wet weight tissue using Rneasy kit from Qiagen following the manufacturer’s instructions, including an on-column Dnase I digestion. The same amount of total RNA was used for complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis using either Superscript II (Invitrogen) (SZ lab) or Bioscript (Bioline) (JLB lab) reverse transcriptase according to the manufacturer’s instructions with oligo-dT₁₅ primer. 5’ and 3’ RACE-ready cDNA synthesis was performed using SMARTScribe reverse transcriptase (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s instructions but with the addition of random primer mix (NEB) to allow reverse transcription of long mRNA templates. See Supplementary file 1, Table 1a for primer sequences.

In situ hybridization, sectioning, staining, and microscopy

*M. polymorpha* ssp *ruderalis*, ecotype BoGa, tissue fixation, embedding, sectioning, and hybridization with digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense RNA probes were performed according to Zachgo, 2002. Sections were either stained in toluidine blue O (TBO) alone or counterstained with ruthenium red (RR) according to Retamales and Scharaschkin, 2014. Staining time for RR and TBO was 90 s and 60 s, respectively. Microscopic slides were observed using a Leica MZ16 FA microscope and pictures were taken with a Leica DFC490 camera. Plants were observed using either a Lunar dissecting microscope (Zeiss) and photographed with AxioCam HRc and AxioVision software (both Zeiss) or a Leica stereomicroscope (Leica M165 FC) and photographed with an integrated Leica DFC490 camera. See Supplementary file 1, Table 1b for probe sequences.

Bimolecular fluorescence complementation

Coding sequences of KNOX and BELL genes were seamlessly cloned into the pGREEN derivatives (Hellens et al., 2000) pAMON/pSUR for N-terminal split VENUS (I152L) fusion and into pURIL/pDOX for C-terminal split VENUS (I152L) fusion (Lampugnani et al., 2016). All constructs feature the 35 S promoter driving the N- (pAMON/pURIL) or C-terminal (pSUR/pDOX) part of the split VENUS (I152L) fluorophore for translational fusion of the gene-of-interest in frame with the split VENUS (I152L). All constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 for transfection of plant leaves (Bracha-Drori et al., 2004). For fluorescence microscopy, usually three, approximately 50 × 50 mm, abaxial leaf tissue fragments were examined under an Axioskop two mot plus (Zeiss) microscope and photographed using AxioCam HRc and AxioVision software. For YFP filter set 46, excitation BP 500/20; beam splitter FT 515; emission BP 535/30 was used. See Supplementary file 1, Table 1c for primer sequences.

Overexpression and transcriptional and translational fusion constructs

Primers used for overexpression with the endogenous, constitutive MpEF1α promoter (Althoff, 2014), inducible overexpression, and transcriptional and translational fusion constructs are shown in Supplementary file 1, Table 1c. The complete 1.8 kb MpKNOX1 coding sequence and a 4.8 kb fragment of the MpBEL3 coding sequence were amplified from cDNA and seamlessly cloned (NEBuilder, NEB) into pENTR-D (Invitrogen) via NotI/Ascl sites. The MpKNOX1 coding sequence was subsequently
recombined into pMpGW8403 (Addgene entry #68668) for constitutive expression, whereas the MpBELL3 coding sequence was recombined into the estrogen-inducible binary pHART XVE (Flores-Sandoval et al., 2016). Regulatory sequences upstream of the transcriptional start site of MpKNOX1 (4.6 kb), MpBELL3 (5.6 kb), and MpBELL4 (5.8 kb) and internal of the MpBELL4 locus (4.1 kb) were amplified and cloned into pENTR-D and subsequently recombined using LR-clonase II (Invitrogen) into pMpGW4014 (Addgene entry #68558) featuring the GUS reporter gene. To elucidate the transcriptional regulation at the MpKNOX1/MpSU1 locus, the 4.6 kb regulatory region of MpKNOX1 was seamlessly cloned (NEBuilder, NEB) into the HindIII/XbaI site 5' of the Gateway cassette of pMpGW8401 (Addgene entry #68666). The reversely transcribed MpSU1 locus (2.7 kb) was seamlessly cloned into either the SacI site or Ascl site (5' or 3' of the NOS terminator). Subsequently, the GUS reporter gene was recombined using pENTR-GUS (Invitrogen). For translational fusions of MpBELL2, MpKNOX1A, and MpKNOX1B, the regulatory sequence 5' upstream of the transcriptional start site including the genomic gene locus and excluding the stop codon was amplified and cloned into pRITA, a shuttle vector featuring the GUS reporter gene and NOS terminator. MpBELL2 and MpKNOX1A were cloned into the Kpnl or SalI/Kpnl site, respectively. MpKNOX1B was seamlessly cloned using Infusion cloning (Clontech). All constructs were subsequently transferred to the binary vector pHART using NotI.

**CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knock-out**

Potential guide RNAs (gRNAs) were obtained and screened for off targets in the *M. polymorpha* genome using CRISPOR website (http://crispor.tefor.net/). Quadruple gRNAs were each cloned into pMpGE_En04, pBC-14, pBC-23, or pBC-34 using Bsil sites. Double gRNAs were cloned into pMpGE_En04 and pBC-14 (Hisanaga, 2019). These guides were subsequently assembled into the pMpGE_En04 backbone using BglI sites. pMpGE_En04 were gateway cloned into pMpGE010 (Sugano et al., 2018; Sugano et al., 2014; Hisanaga, 2019; Addgene entry #71536), featuring Cas9 nuclease. In case of six gRNAs, the remaining two were cloned into pMpGW8401 (Ishizaki et al., 2015; Addgene entry #68666) and plants doubly transformed (Ishizaki et al., 2008). See Supplementary file 1, Table 1d for gRNA sequences.

**GUS staining**

Generally, detection of GUS activity in a minimum of three independent lines was performed overnight at 37 °C in GUS staining solution (0.05 M NaPO4, pH 7.2, 2 mM K3[Fe(CN)6], 2 mM K4[Fe(CN)6], 0.3 % (v/v) Triton X-100) supplemented with 0.6 mg/ml b-D-glucopyranosiduronic acid (X-gluc) dissolved in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF). Only detection of proMpKNOX1:GUS activity in archegoniophores was performed for 4 hr in staining solution containing 5 mM K3[Fe(CN)6] and 5 mM K4[Fe(CN)6]. Antheridiophores were cleared in 70 % (w/v) chloral hydrate in 10 % (v/v) glycerol solution.

**Phylogenetics**

Predicted TALE-HD sequences were assembled from land plants, charophytes, and chlorophytes, via Genbank or additional sources as detailed below. Gymnosperm sequences were obtained from Congenie (congenie.org), fern sequences from *Equisetum* and *Azolla* transcriptomes (de Vries et al., 2016; Vanneste et al., 2015), bryophyte sequences, other than *M. polymorpha* (Phytozone) and *P. patens* (Genbank), from available transcriptomes (Wickett et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2019; Radhakrishnan et al., 2020), *Klebsormidium* and *Caulerpa* sequences derived from genome sequences (Hori et al., 2014; Arimoto, 2019), and other charophyte and chlorophyte sequences from Genbank and additional transcriptomes (Cooper and Delwiche, 2016; Ju et al., 2015).

Complete or partial coding nucleotide sequences were manually aligned as amino acid translations using Se-Al v2.0a11 for Macintosh (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/seal/). We excluded ambiguously aligned sequences to produce an alignment of 231 nucleotides (77 amino acids) for 132 BELL sequences. Alignments of KNOX genes included the homeodomain, MEINOX (KNOX) and ELK domains (Joo et al., 2018), comprising 630 nucleotides (210 amino acids) for 124 KNOX sequences. Alignments of nucleotides and amino acids were employed in the subsequent Bayesian analysis. Bayesian phylogenetic analysis was performed using Mr Bayes 3.2.1 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Huelsenbeck et al., 2001). The Bayesian analyses for the nucleotide data sets were run for 15,000,000 (BELL) or 5,000,000 (KNOX) generations, which was sufficient for convergence of the two
simultaneous runs (BELL, 0.0357; KNOX, 0.0423). In both cases, to allow for the burn-in phase, 50% of the total number of saved trees were discarded. The graphic representation of the trees was generated using the FigTree (version 1.4.0) software (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). Sequence alignments and command files used to run the Bayesian phylogenetic analyses can be provided upon request.
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